




DOCUMENTS

5  
CLOSED  
STACKS



BOOK NO.

ACCESSION

352 Sa52:7<sup>41</sup>/<sub>2</sub>

574934

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

FORM 3427 (006) 45  
GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER  
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

CC

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1223 90119 6932



Monday, July 1, 1946  
Tuesday, July 2, 1946  
Wednesday, July 3, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

+352  
Sa 52:7 1/2

574934



2000

# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 1, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 1, 1946,  
2:00 p.m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Lewis—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:30 p.m.

Supervisor Lewis excused from attendance.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Amalgamated Association of Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of America, Division 1380, AFL, advising of strike action taken by it.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Automotive Machinists No. 1305, requesting consideration of new wage rate agreements.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Garage & Service Station Employees Local 665, requesting consideration of new wage rate agreements.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Parkside District Improvement Club, copy of letter to Recreation Commission, urging enlargement of Pinelake recreation area.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

From Fire Commission, requesting authority for Chief Engineer to join National Fire Protection Association.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Manager of Utilities, attaching copy of communications to Sunset Heights Improvement Club acknowledging commendation.

*Ordered filed.*

From Manager of Utilities, reporting on complaint of Earl Caldwell regarding water shortage on his premises.

*Referred to Supervisor Mancuso.*

From Registrar of Voters, transmitting certified copy of results of canvass of votes cast at Direct Primary election, June 4, 1946.

*Ordered filed.*

MONDAY, JULY 1, 1946

From the Mayor, requesting formation of departmental car pools during Municipal Railway strike.

*Ordered filed.*

From Civil Service Commission, approving proposed ordinance regulating submission of administrative provisions of annual salary ordinance.

*Ordered considered with Calendar matter.*

Communication From His Honor, the Mayor, Relative to Strike of Municipal Railway Employees.

MAYOR'S OFFICE  
San Francisco, California

July 1, 1946.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco 2, California.

*Strike of Municipal Railway Employees.*

Gentlemen:

I wish to officially report to you what has happened since Wednesday, June 26th, and I submit the following enclosures:

1. Letter dated Wednesday, June 26th, from Mr. S. W. Douglas, Business Agent of the Amalgamated Association of Street Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of America, Division 1380 (AFL). This letter was received at my office at 5:35 p.m., Wednesday, June 26th.

2. Letter from Mr. H. S. Foley, President of Municipal Carmen's Union Local 250 TWU (CIO), dated Thursday, June 27th, and delivered to me by Mr. Foley in my office at 4:05 p.m., Thursday, June 27th.

3. Letter from Mr. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, signed by Mr. Dion R. Holm, Public Utilities Counsel, dated Saturday, June 29th, and submitted to me on the morning of Saturday, June 29th.

4. Copy of radio talk I made at 11:00 a.m. Saturday, June 29th.

5. Copy of my statement released to the press about 4:30 p.m., Sunday, June 30th.

There is also attached copy of a letter from Mr. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, signed by Mr. Dion R. Holm, Public Utilities Counsel, dated Tuesday, June 25th, addressed to the Manager of Utilities on the subject, "Wages of Platform Men Cannot Be Increased During Coming Fiscal Year."

I shall attend your regular meeting this afternoon and, if you so desire, shall be prepared to supplement verbally, this written report and to answer such questions as you may care to put to me.

Sincerely,

ROGER D. LAPHAM,  
Mayor.

RDL:  
HW  
Enclosures



AMALGAMATED ASSOCIATION OF STREET,  
ELECTRIC RAILWAY AND MOTOR COACH EMPLOYEES  
OF AMERICA, DIVISION 1380

Affiliated with the American Federation of Labor  
1179 Market St., Room 605-6 Phone UNDERhill 4363  
San Francisco 3, California

James L. Wilson, *President*  
James J. O'Brien,  
*Fin. and Recording Secy.*  
S. W. Douglas, *Business Agent*

June 26, 1946.

Mayor Roger D. Lapham,  
City Hall, Civic Center,  
San Francisco, California.

Your Honor:

This is to officially advise you of the action taken by the membership of Division 1380 of the Amalgamated Association of Street Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of America, A. F. of L., at its meeting on Wednesday morning, June 26th.

By secret ballot, the members of our organization voted 1054 to 1 to cease work on the Municipal Railway at 12:01 Sunday morning, June 30, 1946, unless they are guaranteed by the City of San Francisco wage rates of \$1.25 an hour for motormen and conductors, and \$1.30 an hour for bus operators, to be effective July 1, 1946.

As we pointed out to you in our letter of May 29, 1946, we as the officers of Division 1380, and you, as the responsible representatives of the City Government of San Francisco, are faced with an extremely serious situation with regard to the continued operation of the Municipal Railway. This situation has been brought about because of the inequalities that exist between the wage rates proposed for San Francisco Transit Employees for the fiscal year 1946-1947, and the wage rates paid to other transit employees throughout the State of California which range from \$1.20 per hour to \$1.25 per hour with, by and large, far more favorable working conditions and hours than those enjoyed by San Francisco employees. Division 1380 is still of the opinion expressed in its letter to you of May 29, 1946, that it is the responsibility of the City Government to find the ways and means to re-establish parity for San Francisco Transit Employees in accordance with the basic spirit and intent of the City Charter. We furthermore believe that the City has the power and the duty to establish the rates proposed by Division 1380 for the fiscal year 1946-1947. We trust that this responsibility devolving upon the City Government will be carried out.

Yours very truly,

AMALGAMATED ASSOCIATION, etc., Division 1380,  
By S. W. DOUGLAS,  
S. W. Douglas, Business Agent.

RD:pl

TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION OF AMERICA, CIO  
MUNICIPAL CARMEN'S UNION, LOCAL No. 250  
935 Market Street  
San Francisco, California

H. S. Foley, *President*

J. J. Sherry, *Secretary*

June 27, 1946.

Dear Sir:

I hereby inform you, as instructed by our local Executive Board, that the failure on the part of the City Government to grant the

MONDAY, JULY 1, 1946

attached legitimate demands of our organization has resulted in our decision to hold a mass membership meeting at 1:00 a.m. Saturday, June 29, 1946, for the purpose of taking a strike vote to enforce our organization's demands.

\*(Copy attached.)

Sincerely,

/s/ H. S. FOLEY,  
H. S. Foley, President,  
Municipal Carmen's Union,  
Local No. 250, TWU-CIO.

HSF:eh

cc: Mayor Roger D. Lapham  
Public Utilities Commission  
Civil Service Commission

uopwa—#34

\*(No copy attached.)

#### OPINION OF CITY ATTORNEY

June 29, 1946.

Subject: Strike Threat Not an Emergency Under Section 25 of the Charter.

Dear Sir:

You received a letter dated June 26 from the Business Representative of the Amalgamated Association Division No. 1380, A. F. of L., of Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees. The letter states that at a meeting held by that association in the morning of June 26 the members voted to cease work on the Municipal Railway at 12:01 Sunday morning, June 30, unless the membership is guaranteed by the City that their wage rates shall be \$1.25 an hour for motormen and conductors and \$1.30 an hour for bus operators, to be effective July 1.

The letter goes on to say that the wage rates proposed in the City's 1946-47 budget for this class of employees is less than paid transit employees throughout the State of California, which range from \$1.20 per hour to \$1.25 per hour and under "far more favorable working conditions and hours."

Th rate set up under the current (45-46) budget for platform men is 97½ cents per hour, disregarding for the time the beginners' wages; under the budget (46-47) effective Monday, July 1, the rate is \$1.10 per hour for street car operators and for bus operators \$1.15.

In addition to the letter of the representative of the American Federation of Labor Employees, you have been advised by letter that the platform men belonging to the Transport Workers Union No. 250, C.I.O., will vote tonight "for the purpose of taking a strike vote to enforce our organization's demands." This group seeks increases.

Also a letter was received by you from the Track and Maintenance Workers Union, C.I.O., requesting increased wages. This organization, I am informed, last night voted to strike.

After conferences with the representatives of the platform men, it was suggested that you exercise the powers conferred upon you under Section 25 of the Charter, to declare an emergency and to grant the increase in wages requested. You have asked me if you may exercise your emergency powers under the foregoing facts.

The opinion is given with a full appreciation of the chaos that will result if the threatened strike goes into effect. All of San Francisco

is affected, the business and professional man, the housewife, all employees seeking to go to their places of employment, if any places of employment will be able to do business, and the platform men and trackmen themselves. The latter may, if the strike goes into effect, lose valuable pension and retirement rights that they have worked long and hard to acquire.

With these facts in mind I have, within the very limited time allowed, explored the law applicable to the facts presented with a view, if possible, to advise you that you have the right to declare an emergency and pay the men the increased wages. This power would, if it existed, be given you under the following paragraph of Section 25 of the Charter:

"In case of a public emergency involving or threatening the lives, property or welfare of the citizens, or the property of the city and county, the mayor shall have the power, and it shall be his duty, to summon, organize and direct the forces of any department in the city and county in any needed service; to summon, marshal, deputize or otherwise employ other persons, or to do whatever else he may deem necessary for the purpose of meeting the emergency. The mayor may make such studies and surveys as he may deem advisable in anticipation of any such emergency."

You are referred to my opinion to the Manager of Utilities dated June 25, 1946, the subject being: "Wages of Platform Men Cannot Be Increased During Coming Fiscal Year." That opinion was based upon the case of *Sullivan v. McKinley*, 14 Cal. (2d) 113, and the various applicable Charter provisions.

We now approach the question of your authority to exercise your emergency powers conferred upon you in Section 25 of the Charter.

On June 26, 1946, the case of *Mullins v. Henderson*, No. 12944, was decided by the District Court of Appeal in this District. That case challenged your right to proclaim an emergency when the operative properties of the Market Street Railway were taken over by the City on September 29, 1944. Employees of the company to the number of 900 signed cards that they would not work for the City unless they were given parity pay of employees of the Municipal Railway. The Court in its opinion found, among other, the following facts to exist:

"The evidence also shows and the trial court found that as the result of the wars in which the United States was engaged, the port of San Francisco had become the greatest naval port in the world, and that the City of San Francisco was the very heart of the multifarious industries involved in the centralization of the tremendous war effort in this locality. The stress and strain caused by the movement of troops, warships, munitions and other supplies through the port of San Francisco to various strategic points in the Pacific area was suggested by the establishment in and about San Francisco of numerous naval, military and other federal emergency agencies, as well as various government and privately owned shipyards and other enterprises engaged in producing and repairing the instruments of war. Most of these numerous war industries located about the bay area had their headquarters in San Francisco. \* \* \*

"Gasoline was rationed, and had the overtaxed street railway system broken down, to any appreciable extent, the war effort would have been dangerously crippled; and certainly the threatened impairment of the war effort actually threatened the lives, property and welfare of the people of the entire bay area, as well as the property of the City of San Francisco. Moreover the federal and state governments, and many of the municipalities were operating under emer-

gency laws. As stated by the trial judge in the oral opinion delivered by him in deciding the case, the failure of the mayor, under the existing circumstances, to declare an emergency and direct a parity of pay so as to prevent the shut down of the Market Street division of the consolidated street railway system would have amounted to a dereliction of duty."

In that case two of the three judges subscribed to the foregoing as being facts that warranted your exercise of your emergency powers. However, there is a marked distinction between the facts as they existed in 1944 when actual warfare was in progress. Technically we are at war, as the President has not as yet repealed the emergency proclamation as made by President Roosevelt nor has Congress passed an act terminating the war. Nevertheless, we cannot overlook the obvious fact that shooting has ceased and industry is no longer called upon to devote its efforts toward production designed for war purposes.

The mere fact that a strike is threatened, or if employees of the City are actually out on strike, I think makes no difference, and in my opinion is not a set of facts that would warrant a court in determining that you would have the right to exercise your emergency powers.

It is to be observed that the employees in the instant case constitute less than one-fourth of all the employees of the City, and logically, the remaining three-fourths of City employees could likewise threaten to strike or actually strike and you would, in the many hundreds of classifications of employees, be called upon to exercise your emergency powers, assuming demands were made for increased wages.

I wholly agree with the majority view of the District Judges in the Mullins case that there was an actual public emergency existing, but feel that the facts presented by your question are different from those presented in that case.

It is to be observed that this case is not as yet final and I am advised that a petition for rehearing in the District Court is to be filed, and a petition for hearing in the Supreme Court will be made if the rehearing is denied.

For the Mayor to exercise his emergency powers under the facts presented, it would mean that he would have to disregard all provisions of the Charter relating to fiscal procedure that would upset the budget, appropriation ordinance, standardization of salary ordinance and the annual salary ordinance, all passed and to be in effect for the fiscal year 1946-47 commencing Monday. Particularly you would have to disregard Section 151 of the Charter that requires changes in salaries to be submitted and adopted by the Board of Supervisors on or before April 1 of any year before they may become effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year.

It appears to me that to declare an emergency under the facts presented would completely wreck the method prescribed under the Charter for the fixing and levying of a tax rate, as later during the fiscal year you may be called upon to again exercise your emergency powers to meet the requirements of various employees and there would be no money available to meet these requirements.

Another problem that presents itself is that under the Charter the Secretary of the Civil Service Commission must authorize the payment of any salaries paid by the City, and the Controller must audit and authorize their payment. Both these officers, particularly the latter, are under bond and if they were inclined to certify to the correctness of the increase of wages sought and to pay them they would, properly, refuse to either certify or pay, as a taxpayer might

well institute a suit and seek to recover against them individually for having paid out the City's money unlawfully.

Apart from what I have heretofore stated in this opinion concerning the distinction between the Mullins case and the present facts, there is a grave question as to whether employees of the City are legally entitled to exercise the right of strike that persons in private employment may exercise.

There is a case that yesterday our State Supreme Court refused a hearing on after decision by the District Court of Appeal, entitled *Nutter v. City of Santa Monica*, 74 A.C.A. 331, that sustains the above expression of opinion. Bus employees of the City of Santa Monica belonging to the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, endeavored to have that organization declared by the City of Santa Monica as the agency to negotiate a contract between the City and the Brotherhood covering terms and conditions of employment for the bus operators. The District Court upheld the Council of Santa Monica in refusing to recognize the Brotherhood as a bargaining agency, and without burdening this opinion with long quotations from reasoning in that case, it was held there is a distinction between private employment and public service. The Court points out that this principle is provided in the National Labor Relations Act. The case also holds that no distinction is to be made when a municipality acts in either a governmental or proprietary capacity.

The District Court of Harris County, Texas, on February 23, 1946, in the case of *City of Huston v. Duncan*, issued a temporary restraining order preventing a strike by employees of that city. The case was not tried, as the strike terminated, but we find other authority bearing out the view heretofore expressed.

The Civil Service Commission of New Jersey, on December 3, 1942, in *Hatton v. Department of Public Works*, in effect holds that public employees have their rights as do other citizens but in the nature of their employment they must forego some of the practices which private employees may exercise, while they remain as public employees. The reasoning of the Civil Service Commission may be found at page 225 of Rhyne on Labor Unions and Municipal Employee Law (1946) that seems applicable to our case. Part of the reasoning is as follows:

"There is no place in the whole scheme of government in this State for the settlement of differences which may arise between groups of departmental employees and the legally constituted officials in charge of such departments by way of strike. The acceptance of the right to strike by civil service employees would nullify the civil service law itself with all of its beneficial effects. The acceptance of the right of public employees to strike would take away the authority of legally constituted public officials to administer government for the people. It would be a constant and impending threat upon the continuity and the authority of government itself."

Another case that somewhat sustains the view expressed is *Miami Waterworks Local No. 654 v. The City of Miami*, decided by the Circuit Court of Florida June 8, 1945, and I think the expression of our late President Roosevelt concerning the relation of governmental employees, in his letter to the National Federation of Federal Employees, August 16, 1937, points out the distinction I am endeavoring to make:

"Particularly, I want to emphasize my conviction that militant tactics have no place in the functions of any organization of Government employees. Upon employees in the Federal service rests the obligation to serve the whole people, whose interests and welfare require orderliness and

continuity in the conduct of Government activities. This obligation is paramount. Since their own services have to do with the functioning of the Government, a strike of public employees manifests nothing less than an intent on their part to prevent or obstruct the operations of Government until their demands are satisfied. Such action, looking toward the paralysis of Government by those who have sworn to support it, is unthinkable and intolerable."

It is, therefore, my conclusion that first of all, there is a grave doubt as to whether the municipal employees have a legal right to strike; secondly, that under the facts presented to you, I am of the opinion, irrespective of how just the claim of the employees may be, the facts presented do not constitute a public emergency as contemplated under section 25 of the Charter. Hence you may not legally declare an emergency.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

By DION R. HOLM (signed)

Dion R. Holm,  
Public Utilities Counsel.

To:  
The Mayor

#### RADIO ADDRESS—ROGER D. LAPHAM

Saturday, June 29, 1946, 11:00 a.m.

Fellow San Franciscans:

A city-wide street car strike, which threatens to paralyze Municipal Railway service, and which would result in serious disruption of business, trade, and all normal pursuits of our people, is scheduled to begin at midnight, tonight.

Yesterday both the AFL and CIO labor councils voted to sanction the strike, despite the efforts of myself and other city officials to avert strike action.

This is not a strike against a private employer.

It is a strike against government—against your own government—and the orderly processes of government which you, as voters, have established.

This strike, if it occurs, is foredoomed to failure, because it is a strike against law and order.

It is my considered opinion, supported by the opinion of the City Attorney, that I cannot legally meet the wage demands of the carmen—and I will not meet them illegally.

The issue is not whether the wage increases should be granted—but whether they can be granted without violating the provisions of the City Charter.

As Mayor of your City, I want to make a full report to you. And I still hope reason will prevail—and that the carmen, all of whom are civil service employees, will not quit their jobs.

I want to stress this basic point:

It is one thing for workers to strike against a private employer, using their economic strength in the hope of winning higher wages or better working conditions.

It is a far different thing for City employees to strike against their government—and against the legal processes, laws, checks and bal-

ances set up by the people to control the conduct of their government.

This strike is a strike against the fundamental law which you, as voters, enacted to govern the affairs of our City. It is a strike against the City Charter—not against an employer.

It is a precedent so dangerous that if once it became established, orderly government in San Francisco would be in serious jeopardy.

If the Charter is at fault, all City employees have their legal recourse. They can go before the voters and seek amendment of the Charter. But neither I nor any other City official, sworn to uphold the law, can put aside the law, or bend it to suit the expediency of the moment—no matter how serious the strike threat held over us.

Let me give you a brief résumé of the facts:

Late last Wednesday I received a letter from the Business Agent of the AFL carmen's union, himself a City employee, saying that if the City did not agree to pay its motormen and conductors \$1.25 an hour and its bus operators \$1.30 an hour, a strike would be called, effective at midnight tonight.

Here let me say the present wages paid motormen and conductors is 97½¢ an hour, and bus operators \$1.02½ an hour.

However—and this is most important—the Salary Ordinance adopted last March provides that beginning next Monday, July 1, motormen and conductors will receive \$1.10 an hour, and bus operators \$1.15. And that amounts to \$1.00 a day increase over the wages now being paid.

After receiving this formal notice, I called a conference in my office last Thursday morning, of AFL carmen leaders. They claimed the wage increases asked were justified because other transit employees in other sections of the State had won recent pay increases. They asserted it was the responsibility of City government in San Francisco to find ways and means to give our own platform men and bus operators wages equivalent to what are now being paid in some other California cities.

I pointed out to them, however, that our City Charter provides that City salaries must be fixed by April 1 for the coming fiscal year beginning July 1—that the Charter lays down definite procedures for determining the budget for each fiscal year in order that the Board of Supervisors can fix a tax rate to produce the necessary revenues for the estimated cost of City government.

These are the processes which you, the people, have set up as a guarantee of orderly, businesslike government—and as a safeguard against governmental excesses or loose handling of the public funds.

At the conference, all agreed with the City Attorney that the salary schedule had to be set by April 1 to conform to Charter provisions. There was no dispute on that point.

However, the AFL leaders pointed out that it is the intent of the Charter that wages in city employment should be in accord with generally prevailing rates of wages for like services and working conditions in private employment or in other comparable governmental organizations in this State. They then argued that I, as Mayor, had the authority under the emergency powers granted the Mayor in Section 25 of the Charter, to adjust salaries to meet an emergency, and contended that the present threat of a carmen's strike constituted such an emergency.

That, citizens of San Francisco, is a strange argument—asking the Mayor to use his emergency powers to deal with a man-made emergency—an emergency created by city employees who threaten to strike in the hope that by so doing the Mayor would use his emergency powers to set aside the provisions of our city Charter. If I should bow to such a threat made by one group of city employees,

other groups of city employees would demand of me the same use of emergency powers to meet like created situations.

And you should know the City has already received wage demands from several other groups of city employees who are asking the Mayor to meet wage increases granted in private employment since April 1.

What, then, becomes of our Charter? And where do we get the moneys—after the tax rate has been adjusted for the year—to meet any wage increases which might be granted by the Mayor?

The answer is simple, we would have confusion and chaos in city government. We would have a Fascist dictator Mayor, usurping by emergency decree the wage-fixing powers which properly belong to the Board of Supervisors.

The City Attorney has advised me that it would not be a proper exercise of my emergency powers to grant the wage demands of our carmen, all of whom are Civil Service employees of the City; and the City Attorney is the elected legal advisor of the Mayor's Office.

The Secretary of the Civil Service Commission has advised me he could not certify the wage increases, even if I granted them. And the Controller has likewise told me he could not pay such wage increases without court action to test the legality of such procedure.

So, regardless of any action I might take, our platform men, all Civil Service employees of the City, could not possibly get the wage increases they are demanding without prolonged litigation. And it is doubtful, to say the least, whether they could ever win their case in the courts of the State.

As Mayor of your City, I asked myself two questions with regard to the demand of this particular group of city employees that I exercise emergency powers granted to me under Section 25 of the Charter.

First question—Is it legal? I decided myself it was not, and the City Attorney's opinion confirms this.

Second question—Is it right? I did not need any outside opinion to answer that one, for if I were to give the platform men what they demand, by exercise of my emergency powers, then as an honest public official I would have to grant similar demands to other groups of city employees where the same situation existed—and what a Pandora's box would that open.

During the war, early August, 1944, I exercised my emergency powers to bring the wages of the Market Street Railway men, who were to enter the City employ six weeks later to a parity with the Municipal Railway men. At that time, in my opinion, there was a real emergency justifying the use of those powers. However, the use of these powers was questioned in court and, incidentally, a suit to test the legality of my action was instituted by a group of city carmen who are now represented by CIO spokesmen.

The Superior Court, as well as the State District Court of Appeals, has upheld the use of my emergency powers in that particular case. But this suit started almost two years ago has yet to be passed upon by the Supreme Court of the State, and the monies I authorized payment of to establish parity are still impounded, although such monies were properly budgeted.

And these same CIO spokesmen who instigated this court suit, last Thursday afternoon urged me to use the same emergency powers which they are still attacking in the courts of the State.

Let me repeat what I have said—and I hope that our city carmen and their leaders will heed this statement—this strike, if it occurs, is foredoomed to failure because it is a strike against law and order. I have not questioned the right of the carmen to a raise. As a matter of fact, if the Salary Ordinance were to be enacted today—if it could



be legally enacted today—I know that the carmen would get a higher scale.

But if we disregard the Charter and the laws or the lawful conduct of our city government, we would end up in utter confusion; and any Mayor who would attempt to set aside the law under a strike threat would be guilty of the worst kind of political cowardice.

I was elected Mayor of San Francisco—not its Fascist dictator—and I will not become a party to abuse of the emergency powers fixed by the law regardless of the recall election or any threats which will be used against me.

Let me say one other thing—the people's checks and balances, voted by the people in laws, and charters, and constitutions, are the people's finest and most sacred shield against arbitrary acts of elected public servants. Our carmen or any other group of Civil Service employees should think very seriously before they go on strike against their own people—the people of San Francisco.

If reason prevails, this strike will be called off, even if our own Civil Service carmen will have to wait for the wage increase to which they may be entitled.

I know that if city-wide transit is tied up, it will cause great inconvenience and loss of time and money to our people. I know it will play havoc with trade and business. I appeal to our carmen, all Civil Service employees, and to the responsible leaders of labor—**CALL OFF THIS STRIKE.** If you feel the laws are wrong, seek new laws, in the American manner. **BUT DON'T STRIKE AGAINST LAW AND ORDER.**

If at midnight, tonight, the strike is called as scheduled, then I ask the people of San Francisco to organize share-the-ride auto clubs, and to work together to overcome the inconvenience of the transit tie-up. City parking restrictions will be relaxed and every possible step will be taken to meet the emergency.

But there will be no surrender! The law is still the law in San Francisco—and no minority group, no matter how powerful it may be, can successfully strike against it.

I hope the carmen will reconsider.

#### STATEMENT BY MAYOR LAPHAM

Released to Press and Radio Sunday, June 30, 1946

In my radio address Saturday, I appealed to our carmen, all of whom are Civil Service employees, and to the responsible leaders of labor, to call off this strike. Then I said: "If you feel the laws are wrong, seek new laws, in the American manner."

It is plain that the only relief open to those employees whose present wages are lower than wages prevailing elsewhere in the State is through the medium of a charter amendment.

Therefore, I will recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the Board, after consultation with all groups of city employees, draft a charter amendment to cover the overall situation. Such an amendment should be submitted to the voters as promptly as possible.

#### OPINION OF CITY ATTORNEY

June 25, 1946.

SUBJECT: Wages of Platform Men Cannot Be Increased During Coming Fiscal Year.

Dear Sir:

You have forwarded me a copy of letter from Mr. S. W. Douglas, Business Agent of the Carmen's Union Division 1380 A. F. of L. In

this letter Mr. Douglas calls attention to the fact that the wages to be paid the platform men of the Municipal Railway during the coming fiscal year are below wages paid in other California cities for similar work. He points out that it is recognized that living costs in San Francisco are higher than those in Los Angeles, and, finally, that the proposed scale for the fiscal year 1946-47 which is \$1.10 per hour for a 48-hour week compares unfavorably with the privately-owned transit system of Los Angeles, that pays \$1.23 per hour for a 44-hour week with time and a half thereafter.

The letter cites the wages paid platform men in Long Beach and the negotiations that were then pending with Key System in the East Bay, both of which provide wage scales in excess of those set up for San Francisco platform men. Mr. Douglas requests that you raise the base pay of the Municipal Railway platform employees.

You have asked me to advise you if the Public Utilities Commission has the power to grant the request made by Mr. Douglas and, if so, what procedure should be followed.

#### OPINION

It is not within the province of this office to consider the demerits or merits of the apparently fair request made by the representative of the platform men, and for the purposes of this opinion we will consider that Mr. Douglas has accurately stated the facts of the prevailing wage paid platform men in other communities. This obviously is higher than that proposed to be paid by the City. However just the request of the platform men may be, I must inform you that the Public Utilities Commission is powerless, in view of the Charter provisions that I will hereinafter call to your attention and a decision of our Supreme Court, to do anything toward granting the request.

Section 151 of the Charter provides, in part, as follows:

"The salaries and wages paid to employees whose compensations are subject to the provisions of this section shall be those fixed in the schedule of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors as herein provided and in accord with the provisions of the ordinance of the board of supervisors adopting the said schedule, and the compensations set forth in the budget estimates, and the annual salary ordinance and appropriations therefor shall be in accord therewith.

"Not later than January 15, 1944, and every five years thereafter and more often if in the judgment of the civil service commission or the board of supervisors economic conditions have changed to the extent that revision of existing schedules may be warranted in order to reflect current prevailing conditions, the civil service commission shall prepare and submit to the board of supervisors a schedule of compensations as in this section provided. A schedule of compensations or amendments thereto as provided herein which is adopted by the board of supervisors on or before April 1 of any year shall become effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year and a schedule of compensations or amendments thereto adopted by the board of supervisors after April 1 of any year shall not become effective until the beginning of the second succeeding fiscal year."

Your attention is directed to the language employed and above quoted that salaries fixed by the Board of Supervisors after April 1 in any year shall not become effective until the succeeding fiscal year. This language is mandatory.

Following the Charter provision above cited, the Board of Super-

visors passed Bill No. 3966, Ordinance No. 3714 (Series of 1939) March 18, 1946. In this ordinance under Section 9, caption "S—STREET RAILWAY SERVICE" the salaries of Municipal Railway platform men are fixed on a graduated scale dependent upon the time employed, and the highest wage is \$1.10 per hour. There is an additional 10 cents per hour allowed when platform men are instructing new employees. Thus it is seen the Board of Supervisors complied with Section 151 of the Charter.

It is true that Section 151 also provides:

"The compensations fixed as herein provided shall be in accord with the general prevailing rates of wages for like service and working conditions in private employment or in other comparable governmental organizations in this state;  
 . . ."

However, this language is merely descriptive of the basis or yardstick to be used in standardizing salaries to be paid by the City. It is to be assumed that in May the Civil Service Commission and the Board of Supervisors for its action, then the section provides with compensations then paid for similar service under like working conditions in private and governmental employment. When this has been observed by the Civil Service Commission and submitted to the Board of Supervisors for its action, then the section provides the time when changes may go into effect. The date for this is on or before April 1 of any year to be effective at the beginning of the succeeding fiscal year.

A case that is decisive of the situation under consideration is *Sullivan v. McKinley*, 14 C. (2d) 113. In the case cited, the wages for auto and car painters for the Municipal Railway were fixed at \$9.00 per day for the fiscal year 1937-8. In the budget estimates of the Public Utilities Commission transmitted to the Mayor, included in the annual budget and appropriation ordinance, the wages were fixed at \$9.00 per day. Thereafter the Board of Supervisors sought to amend the annual salary ordinance and increase the wages from \$9.00 to \$10.00. Payment of these wages was refused and the employees affected sued to recover the difference of \$1.00 per day and were successful in the lower court. The District Court of Appeal reversed the judgment and thereafter the Supreme Court assumed jurisdiction and followed the opinion of the District Court.

The Supreme Court held that as Section 71 of the Charter provides that "all increases in salaries or wages of officers and employees shall be determined at the time of the preparation of the annual budget estimates and the adoption of the annual budget and appropriation ordinances . . .," these ordinances must be adopted by June 1 in any fiscal year.

The opinion then goes on to say that as the salaries of the auto and car painters were not fixed at the rate of \$10 per day "by the budget and annual salary ordinance", as required by Section 71, and clearly, from this language, the salary ordinance must find its support in the items of the budget."

The court earlier in its opinion quoted from Judge Tyler's opinion given in the District Court of Appeal, reading as follows:

"The time for establishing an increase in wage rate of municipal employees, such as the petitioner and his eight companions, is before the passage of the annual salary ordinance. And the instruments within which the increase of the rate of wage is to be expressed are (1) annual budget estimates, (2) annual budget, and (3) appropriation ordinance. Once the budget is approved by the Board of Supervisors, the fiscal terms of the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance are automatically fixed beyond the power of change of any amendment. Any effort

of the Board of Supervisors to increase a wage scale in the annual salary ordinance over the amount provided in the approved budget is void."

The result of the opinion was that the painters were not entitled to recover.

In the case under consideration we find that the wages for platform men were fixed in the annual budget and appropriation ordinance at \$1.10 per hour. Our case presents even stronger facts than those in the *Sullivan v. McKinley* case, as the \$1.10 rate is provided for in the current annual standardization ordinance.

The language adopted by the Supreme Court states any changes made to increase a wage over the amount provided for in the approved budget is void. This leads to but one conclusion, and it is that nothing may be done under our Charter provisions and in view of the *Sullivan v. McKinley* case that would legally permit the increase of platform wages at this time.

It appears that the only way by which an increase may be brought about is by an amendment to the Charter that would permit the Civil Service Commission and the Board of Supervisors to restandardize wages and salaries when facts develop as are present in the case under consideration and allow appropriate amendments to the budgets.

While the request for the increase in wages is justifiable from the facts contained in Mr. Douglas' letter, I regretfully inform you that you are powerless, likewise the Civil Service Commission or the Board of Supervisors, to grant the increase of wages sought.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

By DION R. HOLM,  
Public Utilities Counsel.

To:  
Manager of Utilities  
DRH

Mayor Lapham explained, on May 31st I received a letter from Mr. Douglas in which he pointed out increases that had been made in carmen's wages elsewhere and asked me to find methods and to find ways and means to increase the wages of the street carmen. At the same time a similar letter was presented to this Board and also to the Public Utilities Commission. There is attached to the file a letter from Mr. O'Toole, dated Tuesday, June 25th, addressed to the Manager of the Public Utilities. That letter said that the salary ordinance, having been adopted, could not be changed. Mr. Davis conferred with Mr. O'Toole and discussed this opinion.

On Wednesday morning, June 26th, I read in the papers that there had been a meeting of the A.F.L. union and that a strike vote had been taken and authorized for midnight last Saturday. I had no official word from Mr. Douglas until he phoned Mr. Turner on this matter.

Later I received information from Mr. Douglas that a strike was going to be held. I asked Mr. Turner to get in touch with Mr. Douglas and arrange a meeting as soon as possible. Meeting was arranged and we met on Thursday morning. Later that same day I met with Mr. Foley and representatives of his union. We went over the situation, there was no disagreement that Mr. O'Toole's opinion was correct in so far as it went, but it was pointed out that under Section 25 of the Charter I could declare an emergency and increase the wages of the carmen. They pointed out that I had used those powers back in August, 1944.

I am informed that this case is being appealed to the State District Court and if denied will carry it to the State Supreme Court for a final hearing. I used my emergency powers at that time, because we had just purchased the Market Street Railroad. In early August the Manager of Utilities came to me and said that unless you do something you will get the equipment of the Market Street Railroad on September 29th, but you will not have the men to run it. The City was paying more money than the Market Street Railroad and it was pointed out that unless I used my powers that the Market Street men would have to enter the employ of the City as beginners. That meant that if a man had worked for the Market Street Railway for ten years he would have to enter the City service as a beginner and get less money. I talked the matter over with the City Attorney, the Controller, the Manager of Utilities and the Chief Administrative Officer and came to the decision that I could use my emergency powers at that time.

I informed men of the Market Street Railroad that when they came into the City service they would get the same pay as if the service was with the Municipal Railroad. I also put in a preference of runs order. This matter had been a question of dispute for some months. The Public Utilities Commission had said to the men, you draft up your own schedules of runs and we will take what you agree upon. The unions could not agree upon it, so I included this in my declaration of emergency.

The Municipal Railroad then went to court, and for ten days or more the case was argued as to whether or not a restraining order should be used. Then the case went to the State Court and I was upheld and also the State District Court upheld me. I was satisfied in my own mind that it was the right and reasonable thing to do at that time.

Last March this Board adopted the Salary Standardization Ordinance. At that time I did not agree with the method by which it was done so I vetoed it and you overrode my veto and the Salary Standardization Ordinance was effective. I am aware that things have changed since that time and other street car companies have given increases to their operators. I am aware that the rates we pay are lower than what other railroad companies are giving. It is true that if you passed a Salary Standardization Ordinance now many employees would receive more, but this is not April 1st and it is the intent of the Charter that City employees should have wages in accord with the prevailing wages and I also state this, that if I had it entirely in my own hands and if I was running the railroad I would meet the salaries given by my competitors. But I am not and I have to follow the rules as laid down by the people. You are aware that each department shall budget its finances for the coming year. Once the Board has acted then the expenses for the coming year have been set and the only way we can get the money is by an ad valorem tax.

I question whether it is legal to use my emergency powers to raise the wages of this particular group of City employees. I asked myself was it the right thing to do? I was convinced, in my own opinion, that it was not legal by the opinion rendered by the City Attorney. I felt that if I used my powers to give one group a raise then I would have to use them to give every group a raise where the wages had been increased in private employment, and I would have to do this whether it was in July, August, September or any month. By doing this I would be the wage fixing body of the City, which is not what the Charter prescribes. I believe that if I raised the salaries you could charge me with being the biggest Hitler out. Under Section 25, the Mayor is the sole one to determine whether or not an emergency is necessary.

There is a remedy. The Charter is the law of the City and County

of San Francisco. It can be changed by the vote of the people. If you want to put on the ballot anything that is your privilege. It is up to the Board to put it on the ballot. It is the only way that this situation can be settled. The amendment will have to be studied but I would like to have a charter amendment that I could support.

I want to emphasize that I do not intend to use my emergency powers to give the carmen an increase in wages and that the only way to correct the situation is by a charter amendment.

Supervisor Mead said, the quickest way in which the situation can be corrected is to go to the people in November with a charter amendment. If the Mayor would declare an emergency it would not solve anything. Neither the Civil Service Commission nor the Controller could authorize the payment of these new salaries because some one would file a suit against it and you would have to go to court, which would mean that you would not get any increase for at least two years. The only way is to submit a charter amendment to the people that will be instituted by three members of this Board. In preparing a charter amendment of this kind it takes a long time and if this amendment were not acceptable, six members of this Board have agreed to go further than that. They will let labor prepare the charter amendment.

As far as I am concerned, when I vote for a charter amendment, I feel that it will be my duty to speak in favor of that amendment. By doing this you would have a charter amendment on the ballot for November. That seems to be a fair offer. If it is approved by the voters it would be ratified by the Legislature in January and you would get your money within a period of seven months compared to a period of about two years in the courts. The Board of Supervisors can do nothing in this matter except submit the amendment to the people in November.

Supervisor Gallagher then presented the following proposal:

**Providing for Submission of Charter Amendment Looking Toward Solution of Problems Which Have Precipitated Municipal Railway Strike.**

Proposal No. 5832, Resolution No. 5639 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, notice has been served on City officials by representatives of Municipal Railway employees that unless their demands for wage increases are met immediately, that a general strike would be called for 12:01 a.m. Sunday, June 30th, 1946, which strike is now in effect; and

Whereas, his Honor, Mayor Roger D. Lapham, acting on the advice of the City Attorney, has informed the employees of the Municipal Railway in person and via the radio, that the Charter does not permit him to grant any increases under existing conditions; and

Whereas, continuance of the strike will play havoc with the commercial, economic and social life of the City; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that the proper course to pursue would be the preparation and submission of a charter amendment at a special election or at the regular election in November; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to prepare the proper legislation for a charter amendment; and be it

Further Resolved, That the President of the Board is hereby authorized and directed to appoint a committee, consisting of himself and not more than four other members of the Board, to sit with the City Attorney; and be it

Further Resolved, That the duly accredited representatives of the employees of the Municipal Railway be invited to sit with the City

Attorney and the appointed committee, with a view of assisting in the preparation of the proposed charter amendment; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Committee take such action as will bring the proposed charter amendment before the Board of Supervisors for its consideration at the earliest possible date; and be it

Further Resolved, That in the interest and welfare of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the representatives of the employees of the Municipal Railway be and are hereby requested to provide for the return of their men to normal working schedules, pending the preparation and submission to the electorate of such a charter amendment as is referred to herein.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Ward, representing the C.I.O., said, you have considered policy of placing charter amendments on the ballot relative to the wages of the operators and you said that it would be bad for the operators of the streetcars to fix the salary in the Charter; now you want to place them in the Charter. By placing them in the Charter you would be freezing our wages and we would have to present many Charter amendments to the voters.

Today you have an actual emergency facing San Francisco and the Mayor could use his emergency powers to grant the increase. At the meeting with the Mayor, on last Thursday, Mr. Holm said that the opinion rendered by the State District Court gave the Mayor unlimited power with respect to the declaration of an emergency. We believe that the Mayor could declare an emergency.

Under the opinion of the State District Court he has the power to do so. We feel that the question raised by the Mayor as to what might happen if he declared an emergency would then be our matter and we would be willing to face it. The C.I.O. carmen have discussed this matter relative to a charter amendment and they have rejected it. We believe there is a better method to clear up this situation.

Supervisor Gallagher inquired, do I assume from your statement that you will not go along with a proposal such as has been introduced today?

Mr. Ward replied, we are opposed to the charter amendment method of solving this matter. We do not believe that is a solution and if this is all that can be produced by the City administration then the strike will go on as far as the C.I.O. is concerned.

Mayor Lapham stated, as I get your remarks, as far as the C.I.O. group is concerned, it was their intention to stay off the job until the Mayor used his emergency powers.

Mr. Ward answered, if you cannot find any other method of solving this situation we will stay off the job. We are not saying that it is the emergency proclamation or else, but we are saying that unless you can produce something else to satisfy the situation we will stay off the job.

Mr. Lapham remarked, as far as I know, there is no way in which you can get this overnight unless the Mayor used his emergency powers.

Mr. Ward said, if you could sit down with us and work out this emergency proclamation the whole thing could be cleared up in an hour.

Mayor Lapham explained, I cannot see how I could consider it right or legal to use these emergency powers. The decision of the State District Court gave me wide powers but that is all the more reason for not abusing my powers and I would consider it an abuse to declare an emergency as you suggest.

Supervisor Mead asked, if we came to a definite conclusion that this was the only way to clear it up would you still oppose the charter amendment?

Mr. Ward answered, we do not believe that this is the only solution. We could not go along on account of that reason.

Mr. Douglas of the A.F.L. said, I have tried to get the City to meet the demands submitted by the A.F.L. carmen. The A.F.L. carmen have agreed to nothing that has been submitted to them that would settle the strike. Up to this moment the City has not found any ways and means to settle the problem. We take this position, that we will listen to anybody in the City government who might be able to help us. I think that there might be merit in some of the suggestions that have been made and I hope so. We have proceeded on the premise that it was possible to find ways and means to clear up this matter.

We are convinced that it can be done and the carmen can be granted the wages they ask. Some of the Supervisors came to our meeting and addressed the members. No action was taken on any proposal at that meeting. We believe that all officials should come to our meeting and tell us what they can offer us. It is going to be up to the Mayor or the Board of Supervisors to prove to us that the wages cannot be raised before we will believe it. We think that it can be done.

Mr. Foley, of the C.I.O., was granted the floor.

Supervisor Mead said, Mr. Foley, on account of his actions at a previous meeting, was asked to leave these chambers. Mr. Foley was asked to do something and unless he does it I will refuse him the privilege of the floor.

Mr. Foley remarked, I apologize to the Board for my previous action.

It was necessary for our group to ask an audience with the Mayor to clear up a pending strike. We were not invited to attend a meeting the Mayor had with other groups of railroad men. The Mayor said that we instituted court action against his emergency proclamation of 1944, we did not institute proceedings in that case, it was instituted by a taxpayer.

A real emergency is now in effect and the Mayor should issue a proclamation under Section 25 of the Charter.

Last November we voted a charter amendment with respect to wages for carmen and the Mayor opposed it because he did not want wages frozen in the Charter. With the raise in rents and living costs, the Mayor should use his emergency powers and grant the street carmen a raise. The salaries for the carmen come out of the revenues of the Municipal Railway and not out of taxes. We have the present condition because the Mayor refuses to do something to relieve the problem. A real emergency exists and I appeal to you to do something and do it now.

Mr. Lapham stated, the meeting on Thursday morning was called because I had received notice from Mr. Douglas notifying me that he was going to call a strike at midnight on Saturday. When you phoned me I made a date with you for that same evening.

It has been my understanding that Mr. Purcell received his financial compensation from the old A.F.L. and the present C.I.O. that you now head.

Supervisor Mead explained, it has been pointed out by the representatives of the C.I.O. that they came before the Board with a charter amendment and we opposed it because it would freeze the wages. It is apparent that some of these men have forgotten a por-



tion of the discussion of the meeting that was held on Sunday that would incorporate the language that would do away with the freezing of wages. That has not been brought out at this time.

Mr. Fitzsimon, representing the International Transportation Union of America, C.I.O., stated, I am sorry to hear the Mayor say definitely that he will not declare an emergency in this situation. It comes with very poor grace from a representative elected by the people and charged with the responsibility of maintaining transit service and other services necessary for the operation of the City. It is poor grace that with transportation paralyzed that he refused to declare an emergency. I believe that in the near future the people of San Francisco may decide to get along without the Mayor rather than without the streetcars.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Christopher raised a point of order. This is not a political meeting.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

Mr. Fitzsimon continued, one of the remarks made by the Mayor made it thoroughly clear as to why he refused to declare the emergency. He says he is responsible to only one man, I say he is responsible to the people of San Francisco.

This emergency could have been avoided. The responsibility of the emergency does not rest with the striking carmen, the emergency is here. In our opinion the way to settle the situation is to declare an emergency and grant the just demands of the carmen. We will take our chances, we will wait until the courts decide the case. If an emergency is granted, inside of two or three hours all of our employees will be back at work.

Supervisor Christopher stated, in view of the fact that we have been spending sometime on the resolution I was engaged in conferences with the representatives of the railroad with the thought to clear up this matter.

The Mayor has indicated to each of us that he is willing to grant this raise but he wants to find the legal grounds for doing it. I have had requests for an explanation of Section 16 of the Charter. The City Attorney informs me that the Board is precluded from taking emergency action to give the street carmen an increase. I want to bring out the statement made by Mr. Ward and Mr. Foley and Mr. Fitzsimon, that they will not accept anything but a declaration of emergency by the Mayor.

I believe that there has been misrepresentation of the issues. I feel that all involved have not acted promptly on this matter because we are all waiting for the other person to act first. I believe that if the Mayor and the Union sit down together they will be able to work something out.

I am in accord with the resolution. We have discussed it and if it is acceptable to the A.F.L. and C.I.O. we should adopt it. When this meeting is terminated tonight, I want to recess until tomorrow morning to discuss this matter so that we can do everything possible to settle the strike.

I respectfully urge that Mr. Gladstein contact the City Attorney's Office this afternoon to see whether or not they can iron out the difficulties in this matter. If nothing can be done, if this resolution will not avail us anything then we must meet every day until it is settled. We cannot let it go on. I do not think that this resolution will bring about what we are trying to accomplish. If it does not, we will have to meet tomorrow and hash this matter out.

I hope that the Mayor will attend the meetings of the A.F.L. and the C.I.O. and talk to the members of the unions.

Mr. McRobbie of the A.F.L. said, the adoption of this resolution will not end this strike, but one thing will end this strike and that is the granting of the raise. One way that it might be ironed out is to have meetings on this matter. Let us sit down and try to settle this matter. We will meet with anybody but we are not going to give up or call off the strike to sit down with anybody.

Supervisor Mead explained, this is not calling off the strike but it sets up further mechanics to settle the matter. If the Mayor declared an emergency you would not get your money for a couple of years but we are offering a charter amendment that would expedite your receiving an increase.

Mr. John P. Waring, member of the Carmen's Union, C.I.O., remarked, we are willing to go back to work but we want our demands. We will sit down with the members of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor. Let the Board and the Mayor come to a joint meeting of the combined Municipal Railway men, both A.F.L. and C.I.O.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, when I introduced this resolution I did so after many conferences with reference to the ability of the Mayor to declare an emergency. I know that an emergency could not be called at this time. When I introduced it I did so that the Board iron out the difficulties as they saw it at this time.

Labor has been treated very fairly in the past. There has not been a time that labor hasn't been granted their just wages. There is no reason to believe that we cannot iron this matter out over a table. The representatives of the carmen will try to protect their members. I ask the men to be fair, that we sit down and try to work out a proposition, a proposition that will try to iron out a bad situation. We do not want to set up machinery that will impair the advantages coming to the labor people, but we feel that we have a job to perform and that the labor people also have a job to perform.

We feel that the Mayor cannot use his emergency powers and we are trying to settle this matter. We can sit down this evening and try to bring it to a conclusion. There is no reason for the carmen to say that we want to appeal to the judicial body and have them settle it. The right way is to submit the matter to the people.

In the past we met with the carmen on matter of increase in wages. I believe that we should pass the legislation and see if we can sit down and work it out over the table.

Supervisor McMurray said, we are friends of the carmen and we are also representing 850,000 people of San Francisco and I am very much concerned whether or not those people will be able to get to work tomorrow morning and earn their day's pay. This body has gone all the way with all of the City employees. If there was any way to solve this problem at this time, the majority of the Board would solve it. You have been told that if the Mayor did declare an emergency the Controller would not sign the checks.

The people of San Francisco would approve a charter amendment on this matter. We are wasting a lot of time. Both your union representatives do not sit down and get together, they do not even speak to each other. We are trying to help you and you should not have any doubts in your mind about it.

Supervisor MacPhee explained, the question of the Mayor using his emergency powers has been suggested as a means for the settling of this problem. When he used his emergency powers before the City Attorney instructed him that he was right. This time the City Attorney has informed him that he could not use his emergency powers. If it is against the law to do it, it is incumbent upon us to

find some other way to solve the problem. The only other way is to submit a charter amendment to the people.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Supervisor Christopher said, I ask the Mayor and the representatives of the A.F.L. and C.I.O. to get together and discuss this matter. I would suggest that we have a meeting tonight of both unions and the Mayor address them in the Opera House and try to iron this matter out. I would like to ask if the A.F.L. and C.I.O. will agree to that proposal.

Mr. Foley replied, we will agree to that proposition.

Mr. Douglas answered, I cannot agree to that. We have our own meeting scheduled for tonight. I cannot agree to it until I ask my membership.

Supervisor Christopher inquired, would you be willing, before you leave here this afternoon, to discuss this matter with your associates, would you be willing to consider this matter and possibly recommend to your membership that they can meet with the C.I.O. this evening?

Mr. Douglas said, we have representatives from the A.F.L. who sit in with us and I would have to be guided by their opinion. I will have to sit with them and find out about it.

Consideration Postponed.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P.M.

Board of Supervisors to Sit as Board of Equalization.

Pursuant to Proposal No. 5818 (Series of 1939), Board of Supervisors to meet as a Board of Equalization to examine the assessment books for the fiscal year 1946-1947 and equalize the assessment of property in the City and County of San Francisco and to continue in session for that purpose from time to time until the business of equalization is disposed of but not later than Monday, July 15, 1946, unless said time is extended by action of the State Board of Equalization.

Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Sullivan moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Brown.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Consideration Postponed.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P.M.

Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3079, dated May 9, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the southwest corner of Lombard and Webster Streets, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Consideration Postponed.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P.M.

Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3068, dated May 9, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the east line of Thirty-ninth Avenue, 93 feet south of Noriega Street, from First Residential District to Commercial District.

Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Sullivan moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Brown.

No objections and motion carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Appropriating \$95,000, Public Utilities Commission, for Extension of Water Mains and Installation of Services in the New Anzavista Subdivision and Laurel Heights Subdivision.

Bill No. 4129, Ordinance No. 3904 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$95,000 from surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00, Water Revenue Fund, to provide funds for extension of water mains and installation of services in the New Anzavista subdivision and Laurel Heights subdivision.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$95,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00, Water Revenue Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Water Department, to provide funds for extension of water mains and installation of services in the new Anzavista subdivision and Laurel Heights subdivision:

Appropriation

Number

66.952.00	Extension Water Mains, Anzavista Subdivision	\$57,000
66.953.00	Extension Water Mains, Laurel Heights Subdivision	38.000

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Authorizing Sale of Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 3507.

Bill No. 4139, Ordinance No. 3909 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 3507.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Market Street distant thereon 190 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of 10th Street; running thence southwesterly and along said southeasterly line of Market Street 30 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 137 feet 6 inches, thence at right angles northeasterly 30 feet; and thence at right angles northwesterly 137 feet 6 inches to the southeasterly line of Market Street and the point of commencement.

Together with the building thereon known as No. 1445 Market Street.

Subject to that certain lease from the City and County of San Francisco to Ernest Ingold et al. which lease expires April 19, 1947.

Section 2. The above described real property shall be offered for sale in accordance with Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Narrow Strip of Water Department Land in San Mateo County Between Carolands and Center Line of County Road No. 15, About One Mile North of Crystal Springs Dam.**

Bill No. 4144, Ordinance No. 3910 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of narrow strip of Water Department land in San Mateo County between Carolands and center line of county road No. 15, about one mile north of Crystal Springs dam.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the common boundary line between the lands of the City and County of San Francisco; lands of T. I. Moseley, et al., formerly owned by Harriett Pullman Carolan; and the lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., known as the "Howard Tract", said point being north 46° 58' west 292.48 feet more or less from the most southerly corner of lands described in deed from Black Mountain Land and Water Company to Harriett Pullman Carolan, recorded in Book 214 of Deeds at page 187, Records of San Mateo County; running thence from said point of commencement along the common boundary line between lands of the City and County of San Francisco and lands of T. I. Moseley, et al., north 51° 39' west 2258.05 feet; thence leaving said boundary line south 38° 21' west 28.32 feet more or less to a point on the northeasterly line of that

certain 40 foot road right of way described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the County of San Mateo, dated December 11, 1924 and recorded December 16, 1924 in Book 140 of Official Records of San Mateo County at page 446, said point being also on Curve "A" referred to in the last mentioned deed, thence along a radial line through the center of said Curve "A" south 16° 20' 30" west 20 feet, to the center line of said 40 foot right of way; thence along said center line of the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line perpendicular to the preceding course, with a radius of 300 feet and a central angle of 22° 00' 30", a distance of 115.24 feet to the southerly end of said curve "A", said last mentioned point being distant 25 feet, measured at right angles southwesterly, from the hereinabove referred to common boundary line between lands of the City and County of San Francisco and lands of T. I. Moseley, et al.; thence continuing along the center line of said 40 foot right of way parallel to and 25 feet at right angles from said common boundary south 51° 39' east 2137.12 feet and south 46° 58' east 64.45 feet more or less to a point on the common boundary line between lands of the City and County of San Francisco and lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., known as the "Howard Tract"; thence along said last mentioned boundary line north 26° 04' west 70.08 feet more or less to the point of commencement; containing 1.335 acres more or less, and being a portion of San Mateo County Parcel No. 31 as described in Deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, recorded March 3, 1930 in Volume 491, at page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County,

Subject to that certain 40 foot road right of way hereinabove referred to.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Authorizing Sale of Certain City Owned Land in Assessor's Block 6309.

Bill No. 4145, Ordinance No. 3911 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain City owned land in Assessor's Block 6309.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Peabody Street, distant thereon 309 feet 10 inches southwesterly

from the southwesterly line of Sunnydale Avenue; running thence southwesterly along the northeasterly line of Peabody Street 87 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles southeasterly 105 feet 8 inches; thence at right angles northeasterly 87 feet 6 inches; thence at right angles northwesterly 105 feet 8 inches to the northeasterly line of Peabody Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of the Rancho Canada de Guadalupe la Visitacion y Rodeo Viejo.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Water Department Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 6203.**

Bill No. 4146, Ordinance No. 3912 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Water Department Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 6203.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Campbell Avenue with the easterly line of Rutland Street (formerly Beta Street); running thence northerly along the easterly line of Rutland Street 200 feet to its intersection with the southerly line of Tucker Avenue; thence at right angles easterly along last named line 75 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to a point on the northerly line of Campbell Avenue; thence at right angles westerly along last named line 50 feet to the point of commencement.

Being Lots Nos. 1, 2, 58, 59 and 60 in Block No. 54, as per Map of Reis Tract, recorded May 19, 1904 in Book 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Authorizing Sale of Water Department Lot 16 in Assessor's  
Block 7147.

Bill No. 4147, Ordinance No. 3913 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Water Department Lot 16 in Assessor's Block 7147.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore Street with the easterly line of Capitol Avenue (as per map recorded June 17, 1935 in Book "M" of Maps, page 95, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco); running thence easterly along the southerly line of Sagamore Street, as widened, 75 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 75 feet to a point on the easterly line of Capitol Avenue; thence at a right angle northly along last named line 60 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block lettered "B" as per Map of Railroad Homestead Association, recorded April 15, 1867 in Book "C and D" of Maps, page 111, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Authorizing Sale of Water Department Lot 7 in Assessor's  
Block 1709.

Bill No. 4148, Ordinance No. 3914 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Water Department Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1709.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Forty-first Avenue distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln Way, and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Forty-first Avenue 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 120 feet; thence at right angles northerly 75 feet; and thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to



the westerly line of Forty-first Avenue and the point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Lands Block Number 632.

Section 2. The above described land shall be sold pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Authorizing Sale of Lot 20 in Assessor's Block 2376.

Bill No. 4149, Ordinance No. 3915 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 20 in Assessor's Block 2376.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Taraval Street with the westerly line of 47th Avenue; running thence northerly along the westerly line of 47th Avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to a point on the easterly line of 48th Avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along last named line 75 feet to its intersection with the northerly line of Taraval Street; thence at a right angle easterly along last named line 240 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1149.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Appropriating \$50,000, Civic Auditorium, for Construction of a Permanent Ceiling and Other Improvements.

Bill No. 4154, Ordinance No. 3918 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50,000 from the surplus existing in the revenues of the General Fund (Civic Auditorium) to provide funds

for the purpose of constructing a permanent ceiling in the main arena of the Civic Auditorium in place of the present canvas canopy, and for other improvements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the revenues of the General Fund (Civic Auditorium), to the credit of Appropriation No. 535.500.00, to provide funds for the purpose of constructing a permanent ceiling in the main arena of the Civic Auditorium in place of the present canvas canopy, and for other improvements.

Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Mancuso, McMurray, Mead—3.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Accepting the Roadway of Carroll Avenue Between Third and Jennings Streets, Including the Crossing of Carroll Avenue and Jennings Street and the Intersection of Carroll Avenue and Keith Street, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4123, Ordinance No. 3901 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Carroll Avenue between Third and Jennings Streets, including the crossing of Carroll Avenue and Jennings Street and the intersection of Carroll Avenue and Keith Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Carroll Avenue between Third and Jennings Streets, including the crossing of Carroll Avenue and Jennings Street and the intersection of Carroll Avenue and Keith Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Accepting the Roadway of Elmira Street From Thornton Avenue to 500 Feet More or Less Northerly, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4124, Ordinance No. 3902 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Elmira Street from Thornton Avenue to 500 feet more or less northerly, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Elmira Street from Thornton Avenue to 500 feet more or less northerly, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Amending Ordinance Regulating Width of Sidewalks, by Adding Section Concerning Persia Avenue Between Mission Street and Ocean Avenue.**

Bill No. 4125, Ordinance No. 3903 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ninety-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 29, 1946, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ninety-one to read as follows:

Section 1291. The width of sidewalks on Persia Avenue between Mission Street and Ocean Avenue shall be Ten (10) Feet.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Granting Permission, Revocable at the Will of the Board of Supervisors, to Golden Gate Iron Works, Inc., to Construct, Maintain, and Operate a Spur Track in Twelfth Street Between Howard and Folsom Streets.**

Bill No. 4130, Ordinance No. 3905 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to Golden Gate Iron Works, Inc., to construct, maintain, and

operate a spur track in Twelfth Street between Howard and Folsom Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Golden Gate Iron Works, Inc., to construct, maintain, and operate a spur track in Twelfth Street, between Folsom and Howard Streets, the center line of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the Old Ocean Shore Railroad track in Twelfth Street, said point being distant thereon 373 feet, more or less, measured in an easterly direction from the intersection of said center line of Old Ocean Shore track with the easterly line of Howard Street; thence diverging in a northwesterly direction from said Old Ocean Shore track by means of 250-foot radius turnout with a No. 8 frog for a distance of 60 feet, more or less to a point; thence continuing in a northwesterly direction along and across Twelfth Street on a curve concave to the right, for a distance of 40 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing in a northwesterly direction along and across Twelfth Street on a tangent for a distance of 40 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing in a northwesterly direction along and across Twelfth Street and across Kissling Street on a curve concave to the left, for a distance of 64 feet, more or less, to a point in Twelfth Street distant 10 feet measured at right angles in a southwesterly direction from the northeasterly line of Twelfth Street; thence continuing in a northwesterly direction along Twelfth Street on a tangent parallel to and distant 10 feet, measured at right angles in a southwesterly direction, from the northeasterly line of Twelfth Street for a distance of 85 feet, more or less, to the end of track, said point being distant 87 feet, more or less, measured in a southeasterly direction from the easterly line of Howard Street.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (New Series) now codified as Sections 555 to 570, inclusive, Article XI, Chapter X, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all the provisions and conditions contained in said if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. The Golden Gate Iron Works, Inc., shall perform the sections are hereby made a part of this permit to the same extent as following work required as result of the construction of said spur track:

1. Reconstruct the angular curb returns at the intersection of Twelfth and Kissling Streets.
2. Remove existing catchbasins at the northwesterly angular corner of Twelfth and Kissling Streets and plug the culvert connecting to the main sewer in Twelfth Street.
3. Construct two catchbasins, one at each angular corner of the intersection of Twelfth and Kissling Streets and construct 10-inch V.C.P. connections to the main sewer in Twelfth Street.
4. The spur track shall be supported with two longitudinal stringers, one under each rail, of not less than 10" x 10" timbers. The stringers to extend across the main sewer in Twelfth Street to points clear of and at least 8 feet at right angles from the center line of the sewer.

5. Necessary asphaltic concrete between and adjacent to the rails.
6. Concrete sidewalk removed in connection with the construction of the track shall be replaced.

Section 4. All work shall be done in accordance with the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering Standard Specifications of 1942, as amended, and to the satisfaction and requirements of the Department of Public Works and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected by permittee to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. No work shall be commenced until a permit has been issued by the Department of Public Works for the above described construction work and necessary inspection fees have been deposited with the Cashier of the Central Permit Bureau, Department of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Ordering the Improvement of Pennsylvania Avenue Between Twentieth Street and 306 Feet Southerly and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize and Equalize the Assessment and Providing for the Payment of a Sewer Wing in Twentieth Street Necessary for Proper Sewer Connections.**

Bill No. 4131, Ordinance No. 3906 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

The improvement of Pennsylvania Avenue between Twentieth Street and 306 feet southerly.

Appropriating \$1,800 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 24, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in . . . . . installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the suc-

ceeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Pennsylvania Avenue between Twentieth Street and 306 feet southerly by the construction of the following items:

- | <i>Item No.</i> | <i>Item</i>   |
|-----------------|---|
| 1.              | 12-inch V.C.P. Sewer  |
| 2.              | 12-inch V.C.P. Sewer in Crossing  |
| 3.              | Brick Manhole, complete   |
| 4.              | 12 x 6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches   |
| 5.              | 6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers   |
| 6.              | Unarmored Concrete Curb   |
| 7.              | Asphaltic Concrete Pavement, consisting of a 4-inch Asphaltic Concrete Base and a 2-inch Asphaltic Concrete Wearing Surface |
| 8.              | Water Services, Long  |
| 9.              | Water Services, Short   |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 4103, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11, and

Block 4104, Lots 15, 16 and 17;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$1,800 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the reserve for "City Aid" and "Miscellaneous Sewer Extensions" to the credit of the following appropriations for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter and to provide for the payment of a sewer wing in Twentieth Street necessary for the proper sewer connection:

548.906.16	City Aid .....	\$1,500
540.214.01	Sewer Connection .....	300
		\$1,800

These amounts are based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amounts will be applied against the appropriation and the excess moneys will revert to the reserve for "City Aid" and "Miscellaneous Sewer Extensions."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Approving Map Showing Proposed Establishment of Grades on Monterey Boulevard Between Ridgewood and San Anselmo Avenues; Establishing Grades in Accordance Therewith.**

Bill No. 4132, Ordinance No. 3907 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Approving map showing proposed establishment of grades on Monterey Boulevard between Ridgewood Avenue and San Anselmo Avenue; and establishing grades in accordance therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing The Proposed Establishment Of Grades On Monterey Boulevard Between Ridgewood Avenue and San Anselmo Avenue", as approved by the Director of Public Works' Order No. 24,215, on May 29, 1946 and filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors May 29, 1946, is hereby approved.

Section 2. The grades on Monterey Boulevard between Ridgewood Avenue and San Anselmo Avenue, as shown on the above entitled map, are hereby established at points and to the elevations above City Datum, as shown on said map.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Approving Map Showing Proposed Establishment of Grades on Persia Avenue Between Mission Street and Ocean Avenue; Establishing Grades in Accordance Therewith.**

Bill No. 4133, Ordinance No. 3908 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Approving map showing proposed establishment of grades on Persia Avenue between Mission Street and Ocean Avenue; and establishing grades in accordance therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map Showing the Proposed Establishment of Grades on Persia Avenue Between Mission Street and Ocean Avenue", as approved by the Director of Public Works' Order No. 24,253 on June 5, 1946, and filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors June 5, 1946, is hereby approved.

Section 2. The grades on Persia Avenue between Mission Street and Ocean Avenue, as shown on the above entitled map, are hereby established at points and to the elevations above City Datum, as shown on said map.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Ordering the Improvement of a Portion of Forty-first Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4151, Ordinance No. 3916 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and

authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of a portion of Forty-first Avenue between Vicente and Wawona Streets.

Appropriating \$200 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 24, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of a portion of Forty-first Avenue, between Vicente and Wawona Streets, by grading to the official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

*Item No.*                      *Item*

1. Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Sub-base Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Unarmored Concrete Curb.
3. 6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers.
4. Water Services.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:  
Block 2455, Lot 2-A;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lot of land is contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$200 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation 548.906.17 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."



Recommended by the Director of Public Works.  
 Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.  
 Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Repealing Ordinance Ordering Improvement of Portions of Forty-fifth Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.**

Bill No. 4152, Ordinance No. 3917 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Repealing Bill 4035, Ordinance 3846 (Series of 1939), approved by the Mayor, May 22, 1946, ordering improvement of portions of Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa and Vicente Streets; and appropriating \$200 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4035, Ordinance 3846 (Series of 1939) approved by the Mayor May 22, 1946, ordering the improvement of portions of Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa and Vicente Streets; and appropriating \$200 to legalize the assessment, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.  
 Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 5804, Resolution No. 5628 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| 1. Eliza-beth R. Shaw, Lot 36A, Block 991, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....   | \$150.45 |
| 2. Davis Realty Co., Lot 29, Block 1253, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....   | 61.82    |
| 3. San Francisco Bank, Lot 18, Block 1430, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....   | 27.77    |
| 4. Roger Debbaudt, Lot 41B, Block 1600, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....   | 64.72    |
| 5. Prudential Insurance Co. of America, Lot 24, Block 1600, first installment \$21.73, second installment \$21.73, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... | 43.46    |

6. Home Mutual Savings & Loan Assn., Lot 1, Block 2373, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	22.12
7. Home Mutual Savings & Loan Assn., Lot 4, 2Z, Block 6589, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	30.07
8. M. M. Murphy, Jr., Lot 2B, Block 2629A, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	27.05
9. A. M. Janzan, Lot 16, Block 2968A, first installment \$24.15, second installment \$24.15, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	48.30

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

1. Mrs. Fred Larsen, failure to grant full \$1,000 property tax exemption. ....	\$ 4.09
2. Albert C. Johnson, clerical error, \$750 veteran exemption not given. ....	99.02
3. G. E. Frey, personal property declaration filed in error, since he had sold property prior to date of assessment. ....	4.35
4. Elizabeth S. Moffitt, through error filed a second declaration again paying a tax of \$14.49 which should be refunded .....	14.49
5. Mrs. Carl B. Hibbitts, through error filed a second declaration, Mr. Hibbitts filed on same property.....	9.66
6. Mr. S. K. Karper, through error, filed a second declaration, again paying tax which should be refunded. . .	4.35
7. Mr. S. K. Karper, through error, filed a second declaration, again paying tax which should be refunded. ....	3.62

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 5805, Resolution No. 5629 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Thomas Jefferson Walcom, or the legal owner, to Lot 16 in Assessor's Block 6066, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$150 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 512.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Cancellation of Taxes on Property Acquired by State.**

Proposal No. 5806, Resolution No. 5630 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as

County Auditor, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all real property taxes for the year 1945-46 which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1945, on the following described property:

Lot 2, Block 765.

Said property was acquired by the State of California subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1945.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Land Purchase—Francisco Heights, Arguello Boulevard North of Anza Street.**

Proposal No. 5807, Resolution No. 5631 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Gustave B. Henno et ux., or the legal owner, to the southerly ten feet of Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 1083, San Francisco, California, required for a sewer in Francisco Heights, and that the sum of \$1,500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 540.549.06.58.

As provided in said offer, it is understood that in the event the garden or shrubbery on the remaining portion of said lot is damaged or destroyed in any respect by reason of the installation of the sewer upon the portion of the property being purchased hereunder, then the City shall either replace the shrubbery and repair the garden or compensate the grantors for such damage as may be done; further, that the City shall reinstall the fences and clean the lot upon completion of the sewer construction work.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Confirming Lease of Certain Land in the Silva Tract Near the Skyline Boulevard, San Mateo County, to Transcontinental and Western Air, Inc.**

Proposal No. 5808, Resolution No. 5632 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3854, Bill No. 4077 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor, advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on June 19, 1946, to lease for a period of 10 years:

That certain parcel of land located in the Silva Tract near the Skyline Boulevard, San Mateo County, California, Size 300' x 700' together with a certain road right-of-way and easement for power line, all more particularly described in said ordinance.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Transcontinental and Western Air, Inc., a corporation, offered to lease said property for a period of 10 years, for the purpose of constructing and operating a radio transmitter station thereon, at a rental of \$10 per month in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the proposed lease on file in the Office of the Director of Property; and

Whereas, Transcontinental and Western Air, Inc., was the highest responsible bidder and has paid the City a deposit of \$120 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the leasing of said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor.

The City Attorney shall approve the form of said lease.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 5809, Resolution No. 5633 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

1. Eugene Unger, Lot 1, Block 166, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	\$1,617.81
2. Robert E. Anderson, Lot 12, Block 859, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	41.06
3. Mrs. Lester Gilmore, Lot 10, Block 1718, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	44.92
4. Geo. J. Plato, Lots 4, 15, Blocks 2026, 1748, second installments \$54.49, \$73.88, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	128.37
5. City Title Insurance Co., Lot 4F, Block 2382, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	38.64
6. Paul E. and Marguerite W. Nordstrom, Lot 46, Block 3141, first installment \$20.28, second installment \$19.65, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	39.93
7. John Scopazzi, Lot 14, Block 6785, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	45.27
8. Mrs. Katherine Rusk, Lot 10, Block 7101A, first installment \$41.06, second installment \$41.06, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	82.12

9. Italian Family Club, Lot 24, Block 130, first installment \$140.80, second installment \$132.83, fiscal year 1945-46 273.63

Approved as to form by the City Atotrney.

Approved as to funds available and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Antonia Palmieri.

Proposal No. 5810, Resolution No. 5634 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Antonia Palmieri, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of said City and County on real property belonging to said Antonia Palmieri; and

Whereas, said Antonia Palmieri on Payment of the debts secured by said lien is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefor, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County be, and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.

Proposal No. 5811, Resolution No. 5635 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, aid denials, suspensions, and increases, effective May 1, June 1 and July 1, 1946, are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Dora Crouch.

Proposal No. 5812, Resolution No. 5636 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Dora Crouch, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, was recorded on September 25, 1940, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Dora Crouch; and

Whereas, said Dora Crouch, on payment on the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Payment of \$1,200 and Transfer of Certain Property for Release of Damage Claims in Connection with Widening of Geary Street and O'Farrell Street.**

Proposal No. 5813, Resolution No. 5637 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written agreement of release on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the sum of \$1,200 be paid from Appropriation No. 548.962.58 to Edward W. Bender as the owner of Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 1097, San Francisco, California, in consideration of releasing the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, its contractors and agents, from all claim or claims of damage to said lot, caused or to be caused by the closing and abandonment of St. Joseph's Avenue between Geary Street and O'Farrell Street.

As a further consideration, the City shall deed to Edward W. Bender its interest in and to that portion of the easterly  $\frac{1}{2}$  of St. Joseph's Avenue adjoining said Lot 2. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed upon completion of the required street closing proceedings.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the city attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Land Purchase—Geary Street Widening.**

Proposal No. 5814, Resolution No. 5638 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Henrietta M. Hansen and Lorenz H. Hansen, or the legal owner, to the northerly 56.25 feet of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 1097, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Geary Street, and that the sum of \$1,100 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 548.962.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

As a further consideration, the City shall close and abandon all that portion of the easterly  $\frac{1}{2}$  of St. Joseph's Avenue adjoining the southerly 81.25 feet of said Lot 1, and shall deed its interest therein to Henrietta M. Hansen and Lorenz H. Hansen. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary deed upon completion of the required street closing proceedings. The sum of \$1,100 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5488 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described portion of Lot 1, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under said Resolution No. 5488, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to  
Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5815, Resolution No. 5627 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated June 24, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of July and August, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Enter Into Agreement  
for Compensation of League of California Cities for Representa-  
tion at Sacramento and Other Services.**

Bill No. 4127, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into a contract with the League of

California Cities whereby the League of California Cities will be compensated up to the amount appropriated for the purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco at Sacramento, California, and for performing for the City and County of San Francisco such other services as the League of California Cities performs for cities which are members of the League of California Cities.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with the League of California Cities whereby the said League of California Cities will be compensated up to the amount and out of such funds as are appropriated or set aside for the purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco at Sacramento, California, and for performing for the City and County of San Francisco such other services as the League of California Cities performs for cities which are members of the said League of California Cities.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 17, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Deleting Provision for General Municipal Election Days as Holidays.**

Bill No. 4164, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), by deleting from Section 2.4 thereof the language which declares any day on which a general municipal election is held in San Francisco to be a holiday for employees, other than members of the uniformed forces of the Police and Fire Departments, whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis pursuant to provisions of Section 151 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), is hereby amended by amending Section 2.4 thereof to read as follows:

Section 2.4. Holidays for Employees, Whose Compensations Are Fixed on a Monthly Basis, and Compensation Therefor: Except when normal operations require or in an emergency, employees, other than members of the uniformed forces of the Police and Fire Departments, whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedules of compensations adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter shall not be required to work on the following days hereby declared to be holidays for such employees: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, October 12, November 11, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be observed as a holiday; the first Monday of September (Labor Day); any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving Day; and any day on which an election is held throughout the State; provided that the Board of Education may, for its own employees, substitute for the holidays declared above an equal number of different holidays. Such employees required by their respective appointing officers to



work on any of the above specified holidays, or employees of the Board of Education required to work on those specified by the Board of Education, shall be paid extra compensation in the amount of a day's pay for the time worked, computed as provided in Section 215 hereof, provided further that occupants of positions enumerated in Section 1.7 hereof (administrative and executive positions) who are required by appointing officers to work on such holidays shall not receive extra compensation but may be granted time off equivalent to the time worked. Compensations fixed in the schedules of compensation on a per diem basis and converted and included herein on a monthly basis shall not be subject to the provisions of this section but such employees shall be entitled to the seven holidays specified in Section 2.6 hereof, and when required by their respective appointing officers to work on the holidays specified in Section 2.6, they shall be paid double the regular rate of pay for the time worked.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 24, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, McMurray—2.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Consideration Continued.

**Appropriating \$1,800, Assessor, for Payment of Overtime to Monthly Employees; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4165, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,800 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Assessor's Office; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,800 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 503.111.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Assessor's office.

Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: In order to complete the compilation of the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco within the time limit set by State law it is essential that the office force of the Assessor's office work a 44-hour week for the remainder of the current fiscal year. The funds heretofore provided for overtime in this office for the months of March through June, 1946, are inadequate to complete the assessment roll within the prescribed time, and there are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Assessor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

June 24, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.*

The roll was called and the foregoing bill was refused Passage as an Emergency Measure by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, MacPhee—2.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Motion to Rescind.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that we rescind action.

Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

No objections and motion carried.

#### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Mead moved that we delete the emergency from the ordinance.

Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee said, you cannot do it because this money is coming from the emergency reserve fund.

The Chair ruled the motion out of order.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Mead moved that the matter be postponed for a period of one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee stated, I am not opposed to voting for this matter if the Civil Service Commission will tell me that this money is used to pay the employees who work on Saturday.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to postpone was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors, Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Adding to Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," Class D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Bill No. 4168, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," by adding thereto Class No. D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.7. Exceptions to Norman Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized:** In order that there shall be no diminution of service to the public it shall be the duty of the head of each department to arrange and assign the work of his department so that sufficient employees will be on duty on Saturday morning in each department which is required to be open for the conduct of public business on Saturday morning, provided that time worked on Saturday morning by employees on a normal work week schedule shall be compensated by equal time off in the same or succeeding week. Employees whose positions are allocated to the classes included in Division R—Recreation Service, and employees whose positions are allocated in Division X—Library Service, may at the discretion of the appointing officer work the 40-hour schedule within six days without additional compensation or time off. Occupants of the following positions shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but subject to rule of the Civil Service Commission, may be granted time off not to exceed the time worked in excess of forty hours per week:

Class No. and Title

A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings
A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A12	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of Hetch Hetchy Properties
A108	Chief Building Inspector
A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector
B8	Supervisor of Disbursements
B14	Senior Accountant
B20	Controller
B21	Chief Assistant Controller
B22	Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B23	Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B25	Business Manager, Public Welfare Department
B26	Supervisor, Budget Statistics
B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports
B28	Supervisor of General Audits
B30	Supervisor of Utility Audits
B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department
B34	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works
B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court
B36	Business Manager, Department of Public Health
B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative) San Francisco Hospital
B51	Chief Administrative Officer
B54	Director, Bureau of Public Service
B55	Assistant Director, Bureau of Public Service
B57	Secretary, Art Commission
B58	Secretary, Board of Education
B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals
B66	Registrar of Voters
B67	Secretary, Fire Commission
B68	Chief Clerk
B69	Secretary, Coordinating Council
B70	Secretary, Park Commission
B71	Secretary, Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young and California Palace of the Legion of Honor

## Class No. and Title

B72 Secretary, Library Department  
 B74 Confidential Secretary to the Mayor  
 B76 Executive Secretary to the Mayor  
 B76.1 Administrative Assistant to the Mayor  
 B76.3 Administrative Analyst  
 B77 Executive Secretary to the Manager of Utilities  
 B78 Secretary, City Planning Commission  
 B79 Secretary, Health Service Board  
 B81 Recorder  
 B82 Secretary, Retirement System  
 B83 Consulting Actuary  
 B84 Under Sheriff  
 B87 Secretary-Attendant, Grand Jury  
 B88 Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
 B89 Director, Bureau of Licenses  
 B90 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
 B91 Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue  
 B93 Tax Collector  
 B95 Director of Finance and Records  
 B95.1 Assistant Director of Public Works  
 B96 Managing Director, War Memorial  
 B97 Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer  
 B100 Supervisor of Real Property Records, Assessor's Office  
 B108 Cashier A  
 B120 Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office  
 B169 County Clerk  
 B173 Public Administrator  
 B180 Administrative Assistant, Board of Education  
 B368 Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies  
 B374 Purchaser of Supplies  
 C4 Superintendent of Auditorium  
 D66 Superintendent of Jail  
 E8 Chief Electrical Inspector  
 E116 Superintendent of Plant  
 F1 Manager of Utilities  
 F2 Director of Public Works  
 F4 Assistant City Engineer  
 F9 Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau  
 F10 City Engineer  
 F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations  
 F61 Superintendent of Airport Operations  
 F62 Manager of Airport Department  
 F75 Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities  
 Commission  
 F108 Architect  
 F112 City Architect  
 F220 General Superintendent of Streets  
 F366 Chief, Department of Electricity  
 F372 Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and  
 Power  
 F408 Public Health Engineer  
 F412 Senior Engineer  
 F414 General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal  
 Railway  
 F520 Consulting Sanitary Engineer  
 F526 Chief Water Purification Engineer  
 F527 Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant  
 F560 Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection  
 F706 Chief Valuation Engineer  
 F800 City Planning Engineer  
 F801 Senior City Planner  
 F802 Master Plan Architect

## Class No. and Title

F810 Associate City Planner  
 G5 Chief Land Appraiser  
 G11 Chief Building Appraiser  
 G17 Chief Personal Property Appraiser  
 G20 Chief Assistant Assessor  
 G59 Assistant Personnel Director  
 G59.1 Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classifications  
 G59.2 Supervisor of Examinations  
 G60 Personnel Director  
 G62 Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission  
 G80 Personnel Officer, Department of Public Health  
 G84 Director, Bureau of Personnel, Public Utilities Commission  
 G102 General Claims Agent, Municipal Railway  
 G106 Claims Adjuster  
 G110 Compensation Claims Adjuster  
 G204 Assistant Director of Property  
 G206 Director of Property  
 H42 Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation  
 H44 Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation  
 K4 Attorney, Civil  
 K6 Senior Attorney, Civil  
 K8 Principal Attorney, Civil  
 K10 Head Attorney, Civil  
 K12 Chief Attorney, Civil  
 K16 Special Counsel, Water Services  
 K52 Junior Attorney, Criminal  
 K54 Attorney, Criminal  
 K56 Senior Attorney, Criminal  
 K58 Principal Attorney, Criminal  
 K60 Head Attorney, Criminal  
 K62 Chief Attorney, Criminal  
 L2 Assistant, Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L6 Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L9 Assistant Superintendent, Medical, Laguna Honda Home  
 L10 Superintendent, Laguna Honda Home  
 L16 Assistant Director of Public Health  
 L18 Director of Public Health  
 L19 Chief, Division of Public Health Education  
 L20 Public Health Educator  
 L156 Dentist  
 L160 Director of Dental Bureau  
 L252 Optometrist  
 L352 Interne  
 L354 House Officer  
 L356 Senior House Officer  
 L357 Resident Physician  
 L359 Supervising Physician, Blood Bank  
 L360 Physician  
 L362 Supervisor of City Physicians  
 L363 Superintendent, Hassler Health Home  
 L364 Physician Specialist  
 L368 Director of Bureau of Child Hygiene  
 L371 Director of Bureau of Communicable Diseases  
 L375 Chief, Division of Tuberculosis Control  
 L376 Chief, Division of Venereal Disease Control  
 L458 Roentgenologist  
 L502 Autopsy Surgeon  
 L506 Assistant Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital  
 L508 Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital  
 M4 Assistant General Superintendent of Equipment and  
     Overhead Lines  
 M5 Assistant Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines

## Class No. and Title

M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M7	General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines, Municipal Railway
M8	General Superintendent of Shops
M20	Superintendednt of Equipment
M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines
N10	Coroner
N54	District Supervisor
N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector
N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector
N156	County Agricultural Commissioner
N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures
N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office
O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair
P58	Director of Public Health Nursing
P122	Director of Institutional Nursing
R3	Assistant Superintendent, Recreation Department
R4	Superintendent, Recreation Department
R20	Assistant Director of Recreational Activities
R22	Director of Recreational Activities
S5	General Manager, Municipal Railway Bureau
S128	Division Superintendent, Municipal Railway
S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S134	General Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home
T30	Director of Girls' School
T70	Chief Adult Probation Officer
T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
T163	Director of Public Welfare
T165	District Supervisor
U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer
U80	Assistant Manager, Water Sales
U88	Manager, Water Sales
U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution
U144	Superintendent, City Distribution
U232	Superintendent, Alameda District
U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula District
U246	Superintendent, Peninsula District
V40	Superintendent, Agriculture
W2	Superintendent, Park Department
W4	Assistant Superintendent, Park Department
W212	Director of the Zoo
X2	City Librarian
X12	Chief Librarian
Y2	Director, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum
Y4	Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor
Y8	Curator A
Y10	Curator B
Y12	Curator C

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 24, 1946—Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor MacPhee moved that the matter be postponed.

Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

No objections and motion carried.

**Consideration Postponed.**

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

**Amending the Budget Procedure Ordinance by the Addition of a New Section Providing for the Submission of the Administrative Provisions of the Annual Salary Ordinance and the Publication thereof.**

Bill No. 4100, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending the budget procedure ordinance by the addition of a new section providing for the submission of the administrative provisions of the Annual Salary Ordinance and the publication thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1925, Ordinance No. 1847 (Series of 1939), is hereby amended by adding a new section, as follows:

Section 1.1. The administrative provisions of the annual salary ordinance for each ensuing fiscal year shall be prepared and submitted not later than May 1st by the Civil Service Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Upon transmission thereof to the Board of Supervisors it shall thereupon be automatically referred to the Finance Committee and shall be published concurrently with and in the same manner as the Mayor's proposed budget and the draft of the annual appropriation ordinance are published.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Mancuso moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**An Ordinance Declaring Policy to Cooperate with Educational or Training Institutions in Development and Establishment of Programs of Intern Training for Public Service; and Providing for Powers, Duties and Regulations to Effectuate Said Policy.**

Bill No. 4156, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance declaring policy to cooperate with educational or training institutions in development and establishment of programs of intern training for public service; and providing for powers, duties and regulations to effectuate said policy.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared to be the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to cooperate with universities, colleges, and educational or training institutions in the development and establishment of programs of intern training for public service, for the purpose of enabling qualified persons to become better fitted to enter public careers and of developing a greater number of qualified

aspirants for positions in the government of the city and county of San Francisco.

Section 2. For the purpose of carrying out said policy, the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall have the power and is hereby authorized to establish and develop a program of internship training for public service within the San Francisco municipal service.

Section 3. It shall be the duty of all officers, boards, commissions, and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to cooperate with the Civil Service Commission in the development of a public service internship program; and they are hereby authorized to accept for such public service training persons recommended by the Civil Service Commission for placement of interns; provided, however, that no placement shall be made which, in the judgment of such department head, will encumber or impair the operation of his department.

Section 4. Interns for such public service training shall be selected by the Civil Service Commission from persons recommended for such training by colleges and universities accredited by the Association of American Universities or by the Northwest Association of Secondary and Higher Schools, and by educational and training institutions approved by the Department of Education of the State of California for the training of veterans in governmental service within Title II, Public Law 346, 78th Congress; provided that any educational or training institution by which any such person is recommended must first be approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Section 5. The Civil Service Commission shall, by rules and regulations, prescribe such qualifications as it may deem advisable with respect to persons and educational or training institutions desiring to become eligible for participation in such internship training program, and shall make such other rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this ordinance, and to govern the administration of such internship training program, and to protect the City and County of San Francisco against liability for injuries which may be sustained by interns.

Section 6. The activity of interns shall be that of study and observation. They shall not perform the duties of employees of any department in which placed, or the work of any such department.

Section 7. No compensation shall be paid by the city to any intern during such training. No obligation for employment of any intern subsequent to the training program shall be assumed by any officer, board or commission of the city; and no preference as to any position in the city government shall be granted to any intern subsequent to such public service training.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that we amend Section 5 by deleting the words "and to protect the City and County of San Francisco against liability for injuries which may be sustained by interns", and add a new sentence to Section 7, as follows: "The City and County of San Francisco shall assume no liability for injury or damage caused by the activity of any intern; nor shall it assume liability for injury or damage suffered by any intern."

Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

No objections and motion carried.



## Passed for Second Reading.

**An Ordinance Declaring Policy to Cooperate with Educational or Training Institutions in Development and Establishment of Programs of Intern Training for Public Service; and Providing for Powers, Duties and Regulations to Effectuate Said Policy.**

Bill No. 4156, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance declaring policy to cooperate with educational or training institutions in development and establishment of programs of intern training for public service; and providing for powers, duties and regulations to effectuate said policy.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared to be the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to cooperate with universities, colleges, and educational or training institutions in the development and establishment of programs of intern training for public service, for the purpose of enabling qualified persons to become better fitted to enter public careers and of developing a greater number of qualified aspirants for positions in the government of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. For the purpose of carrying out said policy, the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall have the power and is hereby authorized to establish and develop a program of internship training for public service within the San Francisco municipal service.

Section 3. It shall be the duty of all officers, boards, commissions, and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to cooperate with the Civil Service Commission in the development of a public service internship program; and they are hereby authorized to accept for such public service training persons recommended by the Civil Service Commission for placement of interns; provided, however, that no placement shall be made which, in the judgment of such department head, will encumber or impair the operation of his department.

Section 4. Interns for such public service training shall be selected by the Civil Service Commission from persons recommended for such training by colleges and universities accredited by the Association of American Universities or by the Northwest Association of Secondary and Higher Schools, and by educational and training institutions approved by the Department of Education of the State of California for the training of veterans in governmental service within Title II, Public Law 346, 78th Congress; provided that any educational or training institution by which any such person is recommended must first be approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Section 5. The Civil Service Commission shall, by rules and regulations, prescribe such qualifications as it may deem advisable with respect to persons and educational or training institutions desiring to become eligible for participation in such internship training program, and shall make such other rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this ordinance, and to govern the administration of such internship training program.

Section 6. The activity of interns shall be that of study and observation. They shall not perform the duties of employees of any department in which placed, or the work of any such department.

Section 7. No compensation shall be paid by the city to any intern

during such training. No obligation for employment of any intern subsequent to the training program shall be assumed by any officer, board or commission of the city; and no preference as to any position in the city government shall be granted to any intern subsequent to such public service training. The City and County of San Francisco shall assume no liability for injury or damage caused by the activity of any intern; nor shall it assume liability for injury or damage suffered by any intern.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill, as amended, was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of His Honor, the Mayor, were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Ronald H. Born, Director of the Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 5833, Resolution No. 5640 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Ronald H. Born, Director of the Public Welfare Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period July 1 to July 15, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Leave of Absence—Albert J. Sullivan, Chief of the San Francisco Fire Department.**

Proposal No. 5834, Resolution No. 5641 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Albert J. Sullivan of the San Francisco Fire Department, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of twenty-four days commencing July 18, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Leave of Absence—Edward Sharkey, Managing Director of the War Memorial.**

Proposal No. 5835, Resolution No. 5642 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Edward Sharkey, Managing Director of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of June 29 to July 15, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Final Passage.

**Appropriating \$1,040 for Services in Civic Center Dormitories for Housing of Convention Visitors.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented as a Finance Committee recommendation:

Bill No. 4184, Ordinance No. 3919 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,040 from the surplus in the Unappropriated Reserve for Citizens' Protective Corps to provide funds for additional temporary help, laundry and other Services required in the operation of the Civic Center Dormitories for housing the American Medical Association Convention visitors and Shriners' Convention visitors during the month of July; an Emergency Ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,040 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Reserve for Citizens' Protective Corps, Appropriation No. 602.000.79, to the credit of the following appropriations:

626.120.79-65	Temporary Salaries, Dormitories .....	\$720
626.200.79-65	Contractual Services, Dormitories .....	320

to provide funds for additional temporary help, laundry and other services required in the operation of the Civic Center Dormitories for housing American Medical Association convention visitors and Shriners' Convention visitors during the month of July. These additional activities will be self-supporting.

Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being the uninterrupted operation of the above-mentioned dormitories. The funds heretofore provided for these purposes are insufficient and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Providing for Program of Services for Handicapped Children.**

Supervisor Christopher presented as a recommendation of the Public Health and Welfare Committee:

Bill No. 4185, Ordinance No. 3920 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Public Health to establish and administer a program of services for physically defective or handicapped children under the age of 21 years whose parents or estate are either wholly or partly unable to furnish the necessary services for such children. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to

establish and administer a program of services for physically defective or handicapped children under the age of 21 years whose parents or estate are either wholly or partly unable to furnish necessary services for such children. The Director of Public Health may cooperate in this service with the State Government through its appropriate agency or instrumentality. Any such program shall be administered in accordance with the provisions of Division I, Part 1, Chapter 2, Article 2 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California.

Section 2. The Director of Public Health shall determine that the handicapped child is a resident of the City and County of San Francisco and that the parents or estate of the handicapped child are either wholly or partly unable to furnish services before the handicapped child shall be eligible for services.

The Director of Public Health shall determine the financial ability of the handicapped children to pay in whole or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole, or in part for services rendered. The Director of Public Health shall bill such persons legally obligated for services rendered on the basis of the established rates for services rendered and to the extent of their ability to pay either in whole, or in part, either directly or through relatives legally obligated to pay in whole or in part, as determined by the Director of Public Health.

Section 3. The Department of Public Health may enter into agreement with parents, guardians and persons responsible for the care of handicapped children to pay such amounts as parents, guardians or other persons may be able, toward the cost of services for a handicapped child.

Section 4. All gifts, donations, bequests, and contributions which may, from time to time, be offered to the City and County of San Francisco through the Director of Public Health, for the care of handicapped children, are hereby accepted for such purpose.

Section 5. The Director of Public Health with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer may enter into agreements with the State, or any of its agencies, in order to carry into effect the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

Section 6. Any money received under the provisions of this ordinance shall be maintained in a separate fund for the benefit of handicapped children subject to the budget and fiscal provisions of the Charter.

Section 7. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors hereby declares by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an emergency exists, the nature of the emergency being as follows: Handicapped children are presently being rendered services under the program of the State Department of Public Health and, as the services presently rendered under this program will be discontinued by the said State Department on June 30, 1946, the said children will be left without care and will suffer accordingly unless provisions are made for the continuation of their care by the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Requesting Governor to Call Special Session of Legislature for Purpose of Enacting Legislation to Prevent Unwarranted Evictions From Residential Properties and Unreasonable Increases in Rent.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5836, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows

Whereas, that function of the Office of Price Administration dealing with rent control is no longer effective by reason of the fact that the Federal law which formerly made provision therefor has been abrogated; and

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the transition from war to normal conditions requires the exercise of some type of control over rentals to prevent unwarranted evictions and unreasonable increases in rentals; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Excellency Governor Warren be and is hereby respectfully requested to call a special session of the legislature for the purpose of enacting such legislation as, during the balance of the emergency, will prevent unwarranted evictions from residential properties and unreasonable increases in rent therefor.

Discussion.

Supervisor Brown said, there is a division of opinion on this matter. The landlord would feel one way and the tenant would feel another way. I believe that this matter should be referred to committee.

Referred to the County, State and National Affairs Committee.

Maintaining Rental Ceilings in All Hotels, Apartment Buildings, Flats, Private Residences and Other Dwellings Within the City and County of San Francisco at or Below Those Levels Prescribed by the Office of Price Administration Regulations Which Expired at Midnight on the Thirtieth Day of June, 1946; an Emergency Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. 4183, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Maintaining rental ceilings in all hotels, apartment buildings, flats, private residences and other dwellings within the City and County of San Francisco at or below those levels prescribed by the Office of Price Administration regulations which expired at midnight on the thirtieth day of June, 1946; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. All owners, operators and managers of hotels, apartment buildings, flats, private residences and other dwellings within the City and County of San Francisco, previously bound by regulations of the Office of Price Administration, such regulations having been promulgated by and under the authority of the Government of the United States of America, are hereby prohibited from increasing the rental for occupancy of such premises above the ceilings, levels or limitations prescribed by such regulations in effect on and before the thirtieth day of June, 1946.

Section 2. Each and every violation of this ordinance shall be punishable by imprisonment in the County Jail of the City and County of San Francisco for a period not to exceed six months, or by a fine not to exceed \$500, or both.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being that failing its passage many thousands of citizens of the City and County of San Francisco will suffer great irreparable hardship unless rent control provisions similar to those in effect under the aforesaid regulations of the Office of Price Administration are continued in effect.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

#### In Memoriam—Captain James W. Byrne.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 5838, Resolution No. 5644 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Captain James W. Byrne of the San Francisco Fire Department, has been summoned by his Creator, and

Whereas, Captain Byrne's sudden passing concluded an honorable career of thirty-two years as a member of the Fire Department, during which time he gave unstintingly and unselfishly in the interest of the city he knew and loved so well, and

Whereas, Captain Byrne's untimely death not only leaves an anguished wife, son and sister, but strips the Fire Department of a loyal and faithful servant and one who had endeared himself to all with whom he came in contact; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this board of Supervisors notes with profound sorrow the passing of Captain Byrne and takes this means of expressing to his wife, son and sister its heartfelt sympathy, and be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to transmit suitably engrossed copies of this resolution to the family of the late Captain James W. Byrne.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Pooling of Cars During the Street Car Strike.

Supervisor MacPhee said, Mayor Lapham has asked all department heads to form a car pool in his office. I believe that the Board should take cognizance of that and, if the strike should continue, that we request all firms in San Francisco to form car pools to take their employees to and from work.

Board to take under consideration if strike continues.

#### Report on County Supervisors Convention in Eureka.

Supervisor Mancuso remarked, we had a very wonderful convention in Eureka with the County Supervisors Association. We discussed the matter of a forty-hour week for State and County offices in San Francisco and it was referred to Committee. I will make a full report on the convention at a later date.

San Francisco will be host to the Convention in 1947.

Supervisor Mancuso to submit report.

Requesting Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee and Issue Proclamation for Joint Observance of Independence Day and Philippines' Independence Day, July 4th.

Supervisor Sullivan presented:

Proposal No. 5837, Resolution No. 5643 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it is traditional for American communities to celebrate July Fourth, American Independence Day, with patriotic exercises; and

Whereas, residents of San Francisco and its surrounding area who are of Filipino descent plan to hold a celebration on July 4th in observance of the Philippines' Independence Day; and

Whereas, the next July 4th will be the first anniversary of America's and the Philippines' Independence Day following the victory of the United States and the Filipino people, and their allies, in World War II, during the course of which the invader was driven from Philippine soil; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a citizens' committee to cooperate with the sponsors and organizers of the aforementioned celebration of Philippine Independence Day to the end that a joint patriotic observance be arranged; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to issue a proclamation calling attention to, and inviting the citizenry, to said joint patriotic observance and urging all San Franciscans to fly the American Flag, the Stars and Stripes, on July 4 in traditional observance and recognition of America's Day of Independence.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

#### Committee Meetings.

The following committee meetings were announced:

Streets Committee, Wednesday, July 3, 1946, 4:00 p.m.

County, State and National Affairs Committee, Wednesday, July 3, 1946, 4:00 p.m.

Judiciary Committee, Wednesday, July 10, 1946, 2:00 p.m.

#### Motion to Recess.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that we recess until the call of the Chair.

Supervisor Gallagher said, you should decide whether or not you are going to recess until a certain hour.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, that we recess until 10:00 a.m. Tuesday.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

Supervisor Brown moved, as a substitute motion, that we recess at the call of the Chair.

Seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Thereupon the roll was called and the substitute motion was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mancuso, Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—5.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, that we change the hour until 11:00 a.m.

Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5:20 p.m., recessed until 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, July 2, 1946.

DAVID A. BARRY, *Clerk.*

#### TUESDAY, JULY 2, 1946—11:00 A.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, July 2, 1946, 11:00 a.m. The Board of Supervisors met in recessed session.

#### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Lewis—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Lewis excused from attendance.

Supervisor MacPhee said, there was submitted to the Judiciary Committee a bill relative to rent control. We desired to hold a meeting so that all of the interested parties could be present. We did not know whether or not we could hold a meeting this week and we thought that early next week would be time enough to give this matter consideration. I have been informed by my office help that the landlords have been in requesting us to prepare rent increases up to 150 per cent. The thing is running out of control, people are trying to take advantage of a situation that exists for their own gains. In view of these and other facts that have come to my attention, the Judiciary Committee will meet tomorrow afternoon to consider the matter and if the Board is willing to recess this meeting until tomorrow I believe we can adopt some legislation to protect the tenants. If the Federal Government takes some action there will be no reason for the Judiciary Committee to meet tomorrow but if the Government does not act today the Judiciary Committee will meet tomorrow afternoon. I ask the press to give this matter proper notice in the papers.

#### Communications.

The Clerk read a telegram from the San Francisco Hotel Association, transmitting a resolution adopted by that organization relative to rent control.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor MacPhee stated, we recessed our meeting yesterday for the purpose of coming here today to see what could be done with the street car strike. We presented our proposal to the meeting of the street car operators last night and they rejected it. I do not know what we can do in this matter now. I believe each one of us has the same thought, we would like to see this thing end, we would like to do everything possible. If there is a solution to this thing we want it as badly as you who are away from your jobs.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I do not know what this Board can do. We have been advised by the property authorities as to what we can do. The C.I.O. and the A.F.L. do not believe that they want the



Mayor to use his emergency powers. Some authorized members of the union have told me that they are willing to take their chance on a court action. They believe, that under the circumstances, the Mayor could use his emergency powers. I do not know what this Board can do unless the labor associations themselves offer some solution to the problem. They have not suggested anything but to ask the Mayor to declare an emergency.

This Board went all the way yesterday afternoon when they offered to try to do something. I do not see any point in the Board meeting for the purpose of waiting for somebody to tell them something. Unless the labor representatives have definite plans to tell us, I cannot see any reason for this meeting.

Supervisor Christopher said, this meeting was called this morning for the express purpose of receiving what we thought might be a favorable answer to our action of yesterday. I felt that this strike could be solved if the Mayor would take a hand in knocking on the door of labor and if labor would knock on the door of the Mayor and each member of the Board.

I have found, in my own dealings with labor, that by sitting down with them and talking it over that any matter might be ironed out to the satisfaction of both parties. I have deliberately put the question to both sides involved in this strike and they inform me that all they are trying to do is to get an increase in wages. I would not like to believe that there was anything else included in the matter.

I have full confidence in both sides and I would urge that we cast no further aspersions as to the good point of one question or the other, that we resolve here and now that when we adjourn that the main matter is to solve the strike.

Supervisor Mead stated, it is very easy for a member of this Board to get up and make a heroic speech. I could stand here and make a speech and everybody would praise me.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Christopher raised a point of order. I am not trying to make like a hero. I am here trying to do a job as a supervisor.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken and that no aspersions should be cast at any other supervisor.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead explained, it was hard for me, as a member of labor, to say what I said. All I am trying to do is to tell the people what I think.

The members of this Board have worked hard trying to settle this strike, but the unions will not listen to us, they do not trust us. I do not believe their position is fair.

I do not believe that all of the people who are out on strike know all the facts of the case. I do not believe that they know the 1,300 limited tenure employees will be automatically laid off at the end of five days. I do not believe that they were informed of this condition.

Mayor Lapham said, as far as I am concerned the men and women who have quit their jobs are civil service employees. It does not make any difference to me whether their affiliations are C.I.O., A.F.L., X.Y.Z. or whatever it might be, to me they are civil service employees of the City and we, the Mayor and Board, have our duty to the people of the City. I am perfectly willing to appear before any group of employees of the City because, I am still the elected head of the City, and to state my views and position.

I am not going to request appearance before certain groups, the

door of my office has been open since January 8, 1944, to any group of employees or citizens who want to come in and talk to me. I am not ignorant of the fact that I am running for election again on July 16th.

When I considered the use of these emergency powers, as I have been urged to use them, I did so with the greatest care. There is a campaign on to get me to change my mind. That campaign has even gone so far as to keep telephoning my house, telephone the Mayor's office, send him wires until he changes his mind. I can see no justification to use my emergency powers to increase wages of any group of city employees that have been fixed by the duly constituted authorities, and that is your own Board of Supervisors. That is the law of the City as stated in our charter. Whether we like it or not we have to live up to it. I want to make it plain again that any communications or telephone calls or wires asking me to use my emergency powers to grant the increase in wages will not influence me at all. I have made that very plain on different occasions. I can see no other way out of this problem but by a charter amendment.

The Board has made it plain that if any group wants to introduce a charter amendment of their own draft the Board will permit it. Any charter amendment must be submitted to the people.

Supervisor MacPhee stated, I believe we would like to have this matter settled as soon as possible. The office of the City Attorney is elected by the people and he is told to tell the Board of Supervisors what is legal. He told us that to follow the course that is required here is illegal. I do not want this job so much that I have to do what is wrong. I do not need the money that it pays or the honor that it gives me to do something this wrong. I do not want to fool the rank and file. Somebody is being made a fool of in this deal, I hope that it isn't me.

Mr. Fitzsimon, C.I.O., remarked, Supervisor MacPhee was present at the meeting last night and he heard our attorney answer the Mayor and the City Attorney with respect to the use of the Mayor's emergency powers. Supervisor Mead came in late and he does not know just what happened at the meeting prior to his arrival. He made a statement that the members of the union are not fully informed about the situation. The C.I.O. has explained all of the matter to the members in detail. We explained how the Mayor could use his emergency powers and why he should use the emergency powers.

Supervisor Christopher inquired, did you explain to the members about their civil service status?

Mr. Fitzsimon replied, we explained all of that to them. I told the members about this and so did Mr. Foley. He dealt with the civil service angle and with the particular rules of the Civil Service Commission. I told them that in this particular instance the City was the employer and no different from any other employer.

Supervisor Christopher asked, when did you advise your membership about the condition in our charter with respect to the limited tenure employments?

Mr. Fitzsimon answered, we constantly do it.

With respect to the document that was sent to the union yesterday. I say that document and in my opinion that offer was not made in good faith.

Supervisor MacPhee said, we met with the A.F.L. on Sunday night and we decided to prepare a charter amendment. We met with the Civil Service Commission for the purpose of drafting a tentative

proposal. It was drawn by Mr. Rolm and Mr. Ross and it was submitted in good faith.

Supervisor Gallagher remarked, when I offered the resolution yesterday I did so in good faith. After consultation with the City Attorney and others I felt that the only way we could solve this matter was to submit a charter amendment to the people. The letter that was prepared was a draft to Section 151.3 of the Charter. This was the only way that we could do it so that it could be considered by the A.F.L. and C.I.O. unions.

Supervisor MacMurray stated, I was glad to see the rank and file get together at the meetings of the union. I would still like to see the A.F.L. and C.I.O. get together. I do not sign anything in bad faith and I signed the statement that went out to the unions. The Mayor will not use his emergency powers so you are going to sit and let people walk to work. If that is your intention I am not for you.

Mr. Kenneth Scott of the A.F.L. explained, last night the stage was set for the settlement of this matter. An attempt was made to exclude the members of the C.I.O. I made an attempt to hold the A.F.L. and sit down with the committee from the C.I.O. and try to settle this matter. The rank and file of the union are fully informed that we will have to wait for a couple of years before we receive our money. Once the emergency is declared and the cars are rolling we will carry on from there.

We do not question the sincerity of the offer of the Board of Supervisors. You offer a charter amendment but we do not believe that this is the solution to the problem. We believe that the Mayor should declare an emergency.

Mr. Foley of the C.I.O. said, all of our members were informed about the rules and regulations of the Civil Service Commission. We told the limited tenure employees that they would be laid off at the end of five days, but they could apply for a job again without prejudice. The permanent employees were informed that they were subject to dismissal but if they were dismissed that they would be able to go to the Retirement Board and draw out all of the money they had contributed.

I hope that this strike can be settled in the near future but I do wish that you would refrain from trying to cast fears in the minds of our workers and work for a settlement of the strike.

Mr. Ward of the C.I.O. stated, there has been talk about some political motive behind this strike. The C.I.O. did not call the strike, they went out in sympathy with the A.F.L. This strike was started by the A.F.L. and if there is any political motive in this strike they cannot be laid to the C.I.O. We are not concerned with any political tricks in this matter, we are trying to settle the strike.

#### Motion to Recess.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, that we recess until 4:00 p.m., Wednesday, July 3, 1946.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 1:15 p.m., recessed until 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, July 3, 1946.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 3, 1946—4:00 P.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, July 3, 1946,  
4:00 p.m.

The Board of Supervisors met in recessed session.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Lewis—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Colman noted present at 4:30 p.m.

Supervisor Lewis excused from attendance.

**Presentation of Guests.**

The Chair presented Mayor William O'Dwyer of New York City.

Mayor O'Dwyer said, I just came to town in time to buy a trolley ride in San Francisco and to bring the congratulations of the country to the administration in the settlement of this transit strike. I came to renew an old friendship and to enjoy the charm of your City and to bring back to New York memories of the visit.

I hope that in the post war period you will have patience with the problems, as people in large communities must have patience. I believe we need much more patience in New York than in San Francisco.

Mayor Lapham remarked, this is an unusual pleasure to greet the Mayor of the city in which I was born and raised. We are glad to have the Mayor here. I am glad he arrived at the right time and, if necessary, we can assist him a trolley bus tonight.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, Mayor O'Dwyer, we are happy to have you present today.

Supervisor Gallagher then presented the following resolution:

**Commending Certain Officials of the City and County of San Francisco for Their Efforts in Ending the Municipal Railway Street Car Strike.**

Proposal No. 5854, Resolution No. 5646 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, since 12:01 Sunday morning, June 30, 1946, there has been in progress in the City and County of San Francisco a strike for increased wages by the employees of the Municipal Railway, members of the two street car operators' unions, and

Whereas, while only one legal solution to the problem appeared available and was agreed to by the Board of Supervisors, namely the presentation to the electorate of a charter amendment next November under the provisions of which wages commensurate with prevailing wages in other jurisdictions in California would be provided, it appeared that an impasse had been reached and that the strike was destined to continue, thus further inconveniencing the people and interrupting the normal course of affairs in the community, and

Whereas, through the persistent, intelligent and industrious efforts of certain public officials of the City and County of San Francisco, a settlement of the street car strike which has paralyzed San Francisco for the past three days has been accomplished and service will

be resumed at 12:01 a.m., Thursday, July 4, 1946; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors cognizant of the untiring efforts and devotion to the interests and welfare of the people of the City and County of San Francisco on the part of those public officials through whose ministrations the strike was concluded, takes this opportunity to express to those public officials its heartfelt gratitude and to present for public approbation and commendation the names:

Roger D. Lapham, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco; George Christopher, Member of the Board of Supervisors; Chester R. MacPhee, Member of the Board of Superivisors; Patrick J. MacMurray, Member of the Board of Supervisors; Dewey Mead, Member of the Board of Supervisors; Dion Helm, Public Utilities Counsel; Harry D. Ross, Controller; William L. Henderson, Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission; James H. Turner, Manager of Utilities.

and be it

Further Resolved: That this Board of Supervisors does hereby commend and express its gratitude to the members of the Police Department for the splendid work which was accomplished by those men under most trying and difficult conditions.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead said, I have no particular objection but it is rather embarrassing to ask this Board to congratulate itself for doing a job that was their duty to do. We can congratulate the other departments but we should not congratulate ourselves.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, while all of the Supervisors assisted in trying to formulate plans that would settle the strike, the officials I named worked hard and credit is due them. I know that Mr. Mead, Mr. Christopher, Mr. McMurray and Mr. MacPhee do not wish that this Board should praise them, but I do believe that when something has been accomplished for the benefit of the City that the Board should recognize the fact and put the facts before the people of San Francisco to show that this Board was working to settle the strike. I believe it should become a part of the record to show that we have men who will go out and give service to the people.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Gallagher moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Rotel, Acting President of the Labor Council.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Rotel stated, I wish to express my thanks on behalf of the San Francisco Labor Council to the City Fathers who worked so hard to settle this strike. It wasn't an easy thing to do. There were certain commitments made by the Supervisors that they will aid labor in putting over the charter amendment that is going on the November ballot. I am going to make the first request for labor; my request is, that in taking you up on your pledge that you will support us, that this proposition will be No. 1 on the ballot.

#### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, that the name of Mayor Lapham be included in the resolution.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

*No objections and motion carried.*

### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, I hope that I can be considered worthy of being mentioned in the resolution. I do not have to remind the members of the Board who went out to settle this strike, that we made many commitments and we promised these men that the commitments were not idle statements. If it is the wish of the Labor Council, and if they want to be No. 1 on the ballot, then I say that we should place that measure first on the ballot. I would like to see the proper legislation prepared to make certain that this charter amendment be placed No. 1 on the ballot.

### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Gallagher moved, that the name of James H. Turner, Manager of Utilities, be included in the resolution.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

### Adoption of Resolution.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing resolution, amended and reading as printed above, was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

### Discussion.

Supervisor McMurray said, I want to give the greatest praise to Supervisor Christopher for the work that he did on this matter.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor for the various representatives of labor.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. John O'Connel, Secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council, stated, all of the members of the Board should be commended for their action on this matter. Everybody who was in on this matter should be commended. Cars will be rolling at midnight and I want to thank everybody who helped in this matter.

Mr. Ward, of the C.I.O., explained, the C.I.O. is heartily in accord with the purpose of the resolution. Everybody tried to settle this matter and on behalf of the C.I.O. I thank you all.

### Motion to Number Charter Amendment No. 1.

Supervisor Mead moved, that the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to number the charter amendment for the street car operators No. 1 on the November ballot.

Seconded by Supervisor Gallagher.

*No objections and motion carried.*

### Appointment of Committee for Preparation of Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher said, in line with the resolution I presented last Monday, for the appointment of a committee to draft the proposed charter amendment, I hereby appoint Supervisors Christopher, McMurray, Mead and MacPhee.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I will waive my appointment on the committee in favor of Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, Supervisor MacPhee is also a member of the committee.

#### Maintaining Rental Ceilings in All Hotels, Apartment Buildings, Flats, Private Residences and Other Dwellings Within the City and County of San Francisco at Those Levels Not Exceeding 15 Per Cent Above Those Prescribed by the Office of Price Administration Regulations Which Were in Effect on the Thirtieth Day of June, 1946; an Emergency Ordinance, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance.

Presented by Supervisor MacPhee, Judiciary Committee Recommendation:

Bill No. 4188, Ordinance No. 3921 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Maintaining rental ceilings in all hotels, apartment buildings, flats, private residences and other dwellings within the City and County of San Francisco at those levels not exceeding 15 per cent above those prescribed by the Office of Price Administration regulations which were in effect on the thirtieth day of June, 1946; an emergency ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, partnership, association, owner, lessor, sublessor, assignee, or other person receiving or entitled to receive rent for the use or occupancy of any dwelling or housing accommodation, including hotels, apartment buildings, flats, private residences and other dwellings within the City and County of San Francisco, previously bound by regulations of the Office of Price Administration, such regulations having been promulgated by and under the authority of the Government of the United States of America, to increase the rental for occupancy of such premises more than 15 per cent above the ceilings, levels or limitations prescribed by such regulations, in effect on the thirtieth day of June, 1946.

Section 2. Violations of this ordinance shall constitute a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by imprisonment in the county jail for a period not to exceed six months or by a fine not in excess of five hundred dollars (\$500) or by both such fine and imprisonment, and it shall constitute a new and separate violation for each day that a rental or other consideration is charged or permitted to be charged, for such premises as are hereinbefore designated, which rental or other consideration is more than 15 per cent over the ceilings, levels or limitations designated in section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being that there is a serious and extreme shortage of housing for human habitation in the City and County of San Francisco and as a result thereof, unless this ordinance becomes effective at once, in many instances rents will become inflated and extortionate and the residents of San Francisco will be evicted from their dwelling places and will be unable to find other places of habitation and therefore the enactment of said ordinance as an emergency measure is necessary for the preservation of public peace, health and safety.

Section 4. This ordinance shall remain effective for a period of

180 days after its passage, unless sooner repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Gallagher said, we all know that the O.P.A., as of June 30th, went out of existence, but, according to the papers, the Federal Government will pass legislation limiting rents. This legislation, before us today, is more or less stop gap legislation.

I have received many telephone calls and wires on this matter and it is the duty of San Francisco to protect its citizens. This legislation is a piece of legislation that can be followed without hurting anybody. Wages and costs have increased and the property owner is entitled to some relief.

Supervisor Mead stated, I would like to know what the effect would be with respect to where they have already raised the rents by 50 or 100 per cent.

Supervisor Gallagher replied, under the law, before you can raise the rent, you have to give the tenant 30 days' notice. If this ordinance is passed today, and signed by the Mayor, it becomes effective immediately.

Supervisor Mead asked, what happens to the individuals who have already paid an increase?

Supervisor Mancuso replied, a landlord cannot legally collect the increased rate.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Christopher moved the privilege of the floor for all interested parties.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Christin, representing the Apartment House Owners and Lessees Assn., said, we requested our members not to raise the rents. By passing this ordinance you are not increasing the rents 15 per cent but are saying that no rent should be increased more than 15 per cent.

Mr. McKevitt, representing the Northern California Hotel Assn., stated, we advised our members not to send out notices increasing the rents. We are waiting until some legislation is passed on this matter. I believe that this ordinance should be passed.

Mr. Thompson, representing himself, explained, since 1942 the wages we have had to pay have doubled, but our rents did not increase. This increase would help the small property owner. I believe that the landlords should be protected against double occupancy and undesirable tenants.

Miss Burnett, representing herself, remarked, this ordinance will not equalize the values in the building. I believe that something should be placed in the legislation relative to eviction.

Mr. Mahoney, representing himself, said, this legislation is necessary for the property owner of San Francisco.

Mr. Peterson, President of the San Francisco Hotel Association, explained, we are in favor of a 15 per cent increase in rents at this time.

Mr. Burns, representing the Hotel Employees Association said, transient rates should be eliminated from the provisions of this



ordinance. Our costs have increased more than 100 per cent, while our rates have not been increased since 1938. Hotels should be exempt from this ordinance.

Mr. Walton, representing himself, remarked, it is not my position to accept a 15 per cent increase in rents as a permanent measure. I would like to add an amendment to provide for further negotiations in case Congress takes some action. The City of San Francisco is setting a standard for the whole State.

Mr. Finn, representing himself, explained, yesterday my landlord increased my rent 60 per cent. They should not be allowed to increase the rents at the present time because it is an opening for a higher increase in rents.

Miss Whaler, representing the Miscellaneous Employees Union, Local 110, A.F.L., stated, my union went on record as opposing this increase in rents. It is our position that rent control is absolutely necessary to protect the little people. If you give an increase now it will only lead to inflation.

Mr. Ward, representing the C.I.O., explained, by raising the rent 15 per cent you are paving the way for more increases in rents. Congress is now attempting to make up its mind as to what it should do. By passing this, you are asking Congress to increase the rents more. We are not in favor of an ordinance that will increase the rents. The ordinance does not take up the case of evictions. I request that you amend the ordinance and keep the rents as they were on June 30th.

Mr. Cameron, a member of Miscellaneous Employees Union, Local 110, A.F.L., remarked, by passing this ordinance you are going to give a 15 per cent increase that is not necessary at this time. The workers are not in a position to pay a 15 per cent increase in rents and many of them will have to move out of their places.

#### Motion to Take Matter Into Hands of Board.

Supervisor Christopher moved, that the matter be taken into the hands of the Board.

Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso said, the Judiciary Committee will consider the matter of eviction at its meeting on next Wednesday and also the matter of sub-leasing of rooms and inequities in apartment house values. I believe that the ordinance before us is an immediate solution to an emergency that does exist at the present time.

Supervisor MacPhee explained, many matters can be taken up by the Judiciary Committee and submitted to the Board for action. Unfortunately we cannot have a perfect bill; on both sides there are people who are causing trouble. We are trying to help all of the people of San Francisco. We believe that by adopting this legislation we will be doing something for the people. All of the landlords will not raise their rents 15 per cent. We ask the people of San Francisco to consider the emergency that exists and bear with us on this matter. I ask that the members of the Board approve this matter.

Supervisor Mead stated, I am not against this legislation, but I would like to know what becomes of the people who have been procuring more rent than they should have. What will stop these people from adding 15 per cent to their rents.

Mr. Holm explained there is nothing in this ordinance that will correct an existing condition. If one of these so-called "chislers" adds on a 15 per cent increase, I believe he can be reached by the

language that says he shall not charge more than 15 per cent of the legal rents in effect as of June 30th.

Supervisor Mead inquired, how is this proposed legislation to be enforced?

Mr. Holm answered, it is wholly a penal ordinance and whenever there is an infraction of it, the person making a complaint will have to go to the District Attorney and make the complaint.

Supervisor Mead asked, what happens to the tenant who is being taken advantage of and knows that he will be thrown out of his house if he does make a complaint?

I feel that legislation of this type should be passed, but I feel that there should be enough teeth in it to enforce it. I realize labor has received a good increase in wages, but I feel that if we adopt any legislation it should have sufficient amount of teeth in it to make it effective. If San Francisco adopts legislation like this, what happens to the rest of the counties in the Bay Area. Have they acted on anything like this?

Mr. Holm stated, this ordinance was gathered together hurriedly. It is not, by any stretch of the imagination, a complete scheme. San Francisco might have to make a program similar to the program of the O.P.A. The only practical thing that you can do is to pass an ordinance similar to this which is to stop any abuses. It will not stop the abuses but if you do pass it today, you will stop some of the abuses.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was passed as an emergency, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Communication.

The Clerk read the following communication from Supervisor Marvin E. Lewis.

Dan Gallagher, President of the Board, and members:

Congratulations to the members of the Board of Supervisors for their equitable solution of the transit strike and also congratulations to the fair-minded leaders of both unions and the City Officials who worked so hard to effect this fair settlement. I pledge my full support to the charter amendment proposal and I will campaign for its acceptance by the voters. I regret my inability to get plane in time to return to help on rent crisis. Also you may add my vote in favor of any rent control measure that you may act on this afternoon.

Ordered filed.

**Requesting Governor Warren to Call a Special Session of the Legislature With a View to Enacting Legislation That Will Stop Evictions and Unreasonable Increases in Rents.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented as a recommendation of the County, State and National Affairs Committee:

Proposal No. 5836, Resolution No. 5645 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, that function of the Office of Price Administration dealing with rent control is no longer effective by reason of the fact that the Federal law which formerly made provision therefor has been abrogated, and

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the transition from war to normal conditions requires the exercise of some type of control over rentals to prevent unwarranted evictions and unreasonable increases in rentals; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Excellency Governor Warren be and is hereby respectfully requested to call a special session of the Legislature for the purpose of enacting such legislation as, during the balance of the emergency, will prevent unwarranted evictions from residential properties and unreasonable increases in rent therefor.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Gallagher said, I introduced this resolution because I believe that the Governor should call a special session of the Legislature on this matter and that San Francisco should bring to his attention the fact that San Francisco has acted on this matter.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the board, at the hour of 6:20 p.m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 26, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates hereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



THE PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT

Monday, July 8, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 8, 1946—2:00 P.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 8, 1946, 2:00 p.m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Lewis noted present at 2:25 p.m.

Supervisor Brown noted present at 2:35 p.m.

Supervisor Mead excused from attendance at 5:10 p.m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 29, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Alta California, Inc., advising of their current activities.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From City Planning Commission, requesting increase of filing fee for zoning changes.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Westwood Highlands Assn., protesting establishment of bus garage at Ocean and Phelan Avenues.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Mayor of Berkeley, requesting formation of committee for transit planning for Bay Area communities.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From The Exchange Club of San Francisco, addressed to the Mayor, commending latter's efforts to settle street car strike.

*Ordered filed.*

From San Francisco Second District, California Congress of Parents and Teachers, requesting provision of more adequate funds for care of Juvenile Court wards placed in foster homes.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From State Board of Equalization, addressed to the Assessor, summoning Assessor to meeting in Sacramento, July 18-19.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Chief Administrative Officer, requesting amendment of resolution providing for membership of Director of Public Health in various medical and health associations.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Sunset Community Improvement Club, opposing rezoning of property at Thirty-ninth Avenue and Noriega Street.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Mayor, transmitting communications concerning uniform sales tax ordinance.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Mayor, advising of appointment of Frank A. Flynn as member of Board of Trustees, San Francisco War Memorial.

*Resolution adopted, confirming appointment.*

From B. Reilly, Superintendent of County Jail No. 1, requesting compensatory time off for overtime actually served.

*Considered with Calendar matter.*

From San Francisco Federation of Municipal Employees, requesting that Board recommend to Civil Service Commission that it start another salary standardization survey immediately.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From San Francisco Women's Chamber of Commerce, commending Board for handling of street car strike.

*Acknowledge and file.*

From J. W. Payne, addressed to Supervisor Mancuso, protesting aviction of residents of the Commodore Hotel.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From San Francisco CIO Council, presented by Supervisor Gallagher: Statement concerning suggested new tax sources for San Francisco.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Presentation of Guests.

Supervisor Colman presented M. Raoul Bertrand, Counsel General to San Francisco of the Fourth Republic of France.

M. Bertrand said, I thank you for this opportunity to address your honorable Board. I am pleased and glad to be in San Francisco and I know that my association with this City will be a memorable one.

France is very grateful to this country for what you did to help free France from the hands of the enemy.

#### Closing and Abandonment of Certain Streets in Connection With Construction of Apparel City.

Board of Supervisors to hear all persons interested in or objecting to proposed closing and abandonment of Toland Street from southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue to northwesterly line of Industrial Street; Barneveld Avenue from the southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue to the northwesterly line of Industrial Street; all of Swan Street; all of Hecker Street; all of Stringham Street; all of Adele Street; Charter Oak Avenue from the northwesterly line of Industrial Street to the southeasterly line of Barneveld Avenue and Waterloo Street from the southeasterly line of Loomis Street to the northwesterly line of Barneveld Avenue, together with the crossings and intersections of said streets above described, pursuant to notice of intention given in Resolution No. 5579 (Series of 1939).

No protests having been made, the Clerk was directed so to notify the Director of Public Works.



**Closing and Abandonment of a Portion of South Side of Corwin Street From Acme Alley to 254.09 Feet Westerly.**

Board of Supervisors to hear all persons interested in or objecting to proposed closing and abandonment of all of that portion of the south side of Corwin Street from Acme Alley to 254.09 feet westerly, pursuant to notice of intention given in Resolution No. 5580 (Series of 1939).

No protests having been made, the Clerk was directed so to notify the Director of Public Works.

**Assessment Confirmed.**

**Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Improvement of Chester Avenue (W. ½) Between Worcester Avenue and 11.30' South; Forty-fourth Avenue (E. ½) Between 100' and 125' S. of Quintara Street, and Others.**

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessment, diagram, or warrant for pay of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of Chester Avenue (W. ½) between Worcester Avenue and 11.30' south; Forty-fourth Avenue (E. ½) 100'-125' S. of Quintara Street, and others, by the construction of sidewalks, by Arthur Wallgren, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 22780, dated August 29, 1945, of the Department of Public Works.

*No protests; assessment confirmed.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.**

**Board of Supervisors to Sit as Board of Equalization.**

Pursuant to Proposal No. 5818 (Series of 1939), Board of Supervisors to meet as a Board of Equalization to examine the assessment books for the fiscal year 1946-1947 and equalize the assessment of property in the City and County of San Francisco and to continue in session for that purpose from time to time until the business of equalization is disposed of but not later than Monday, July 15, 1946, unless said time is extended by action of the State Board of Equalization.

*July 1, 1946—Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

**Fixing Time for Board of Supervisors to Sit as Board of Equalization.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 5861, Resolution No. 5670 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has applied to the State Board of Equalization and has been granted an extension of time within which to complete and present the Assessment Roll to the Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, the Assessor will present the Assessment Roll to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors during the afternoon of Wednesday, July 10, 1946, after which the books will be open for examination in the office of the Assessor, Room 101, City Hall, and applications for reductions or equalizations in assessments may be made from that date, July 10, 1946, to and including July 24, 1946; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has made application for and has been assured of an extension of time by the State Board of Equalization, within which to sit as a County Board of Equalization, from July 15 to July 29, 1946; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That at 2:30 p.m., Monday, July 15, 1946, the Board of Supervisors will meet as a Board of Equalization to examine the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1946-1947 and to equalize the assessment of property in the City and County of San Francisco and said Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization will thereafter be in session for the aforesaid purposes, from time to time during the period July 15, 1946, to and including July 29, 1946; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 3621 (Series of 1939), be and is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

#### City Planning Commission Overruled.

#### Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3079, dated May 9, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the southwest corner of Lombard and Webster Streets, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

*July 1, 1946—Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Mr. J. A. Bardini, representing the appellant, said, this property was acquired in 1910 by the father of the present owner. When Lombard Street was extended, 33 feet was taken away and the building was torn down. Nothing has been constructed since.

Some years ago the southwest corner of Chestnut and Lombard Streets was rezoned to a commercial district. The same situation is present at this corner. Lombard Street is no longer a residential street, it is commercial. Nothing can be built on the street but commercial establishments. Business is moving out to the street.

If the rezoning is permitted we will not put it to a low commercial use. Since we filed our petition, the entire block on the north side of Lombard Street between Webster and Fillmore Streets has applied for rezoning from first residential to commercial district.

Although we are not able to build at the present time, we would like to be able to go ahead as soon as materials are available.

Supervisor MacPhee inquired, what do you propose to use the lot for?

Mr. Bardini replied, we intend to build a store. It will be a store that will lend itself to that district.

Mr. Paul Lepori stated, we opposed the rezoning of this property because we thought that it was going to be a used car lot, but if they put a nice building on the property we will not oppose the rezoning.

Mr. Tilton, of the City Planning Commission, explained, the issue before you goes quite a bit beyond the mere matter of rezoning the lot. The Planning Commission is concerned with getting the traffic across the Golden Gate Bridge and into San Francisco with a minimum of traffic delay. We need a free movement on Lombard Street.

If we grant a rezoning on this property, and also across the street, you will not have a free, smooth channel, but a badly congested up traffic situation. The City Planning Commission denied this applica-

tion because they said that they were going to put in a used car lot. We did this in the belief that we were serving the best interests of San Francisco so that Lombard Street could remain a free arterial of traffic into San Francisco.

Mr. Mead remarked, Mr. Bardini said that they were not going to put in a parking lot. If that information was presented at the meeting of the City Planning Commission would your attitude still be the same?

Mr. Tilton answered, I am not certain just what the attitude of the Commission would have been if that had been brought forth at the Commission's meeting.

Supervisor Colman stated, it seems to me we had this same discussion some years ago when the street was widened and because of this we rezoned Chestnut Street. Is there a shortage of commercial property in that district?

Mr. Tilton replied, there seems to be a shortage of commercial property in that district because we received a number of applications for the rezoning of property.

Supervisor Colman said, your contention is that on account of the additional parking of machines that it would interfere with the flow of traffic on Lombard Street and it is better for homes.

Mr. Tilton answered, that is correct.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, if these people will use this property for the construction of a building, I will go along with them.

Mr. Bardini stated, there will be no used car lot or parking lot on this property.

Supervisor Colman remarked, the representative of the Planning Commission said that you did apply for a parking lot. I believe that the Board should have protection with respect to that regard. I believe that some assurance should be given.

Mr. Bardini replied, we will make any assurance that you desire.

Supervisor Brown said, as I understand it, these rezonings can be made conditional. The City Planning Commission can place some conditions in the resolution.

Supervisor MacPhee asked, would the Commission oppose the change if these people changed their application so that a used car lot would not be put on the property?

Mr. Tilton replied, I cannot pledge the action of the Commission on these matters.

#### Motion for Temporary Postponement.

Supervisor Meyer moved, that the matter be temporarily postponed.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead stated, it can be stipulated in the resolution that no used car or parking lot can be constructed on this property.

#### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Mead moved, that the resolution be amended by inserting therein "that no used car or parking lot will be installed without making a new application to the Commission."

Lost for want of a second.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis reexplained, the City has no right to make spot zoning on particular pieces of property. I have always been opposed

to spot zoning because I believe that it violates the zoning ordinance. We cannot take one lot and say what it is to be. I believe that all of Lombard Street should be a commercial district. I think that we should ask the advice of the City Attorney on this matter.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to temporarily postpone was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Subsequent in the meeting the Board again considered the matter of the rezoning of the southwest corner of Lombard and Webster Streets.

Supervisor Mancuso said, I would like to ask Mr. Tilton a question. I know that the Planning Commission has rezoned property with the stipulation that it be used for a certain business and if it is not used accordingly it goes back to what it was before. If this lot is rezoned, can it automatically refer back to the zoning classification it was before the rezoning?

Mr. Tilton answered, that would be referred back to the Board of Permit Appeals. This matter is something new. It is the first time that we have ever tried to work out a matter of this kind with the appellant.

Supervisor Mancuso remarked, you know that if this lot is rezoned and then the property is transferred, the stipulation will not mean a thing. What about the property at Nineteenth and Sloat Boulevard? That did not go into the Board of Permit Appeals, that went to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Tilton explained, if the property is not used for the express purpose then the Planning Commission takes steps to see that it is.

Supervisor MacPhee said, it was my intention before not to vote for this matter because I believe that the Planning Commission was correct in this matter. With this proposal there is a possible basis on which we can go ahead with it. It is still my opinion that you should withdraw your appeal and a year from now go back to the City Planning Commission and make a new application on this matter. We can't overlook the fact that we can't overcrowd Lombard Street.

Would you be willing to make a statement that under no circumstances would you construct a building there to which the Planning Commission would not approve?

Mr. Bardini replied, I would be willing to sit down with the Planning Commission and discuss this matter with them and do what they want. We will not apply for any permit to build on this property without applying to the Planning Commission so that they will know what we are going to do.

Supervisor Meyer inquired, does the City Planning Commission keep a record of these commitments. If it was recorded, then the people would have to live up to their statements.

Mr. Tilton replied, if it was recorded then it would be all right.

Supervisor Lewis stated, I believe that this property should be commercial and I do not believe that we should tell these people what kind of an establishment should be constructed there. Mr. Tilton, is this spot zoning?

Mr. Tilton answered, this is spot zoning.

Supervisor Lewis inquired, in the opinion of the Commission, do you believe that it will be for the best interest of San Francisco that this entire block should be commercial or remain residential.

Mr. Tilton replied, if you zone this corner you have established a basis on which the other properties could be rezoned to commercial.

Supervisor Lewis asked, if we sustain your appeal does it mean that this particular lot is going to be commercial and the rest of it residential.

Mr. Tilton remarked, this piece of property is adjoining a school yard.

The Clerk then presented the following proposal.

**Disapproving Action of City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on the Southwest Corner of Lombard and Webster Streets From Second Residential District to Commercial District.**

Proposal No. 5859, Resolution No. 5667 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3079, dated May 9, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the southwest corner of Lombard and Webster Streets from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Colman explained, I am going to vote to sustain the City Planning Commission. They make the point that Lombard Street was built to carry the traffic to and from the Golden Gate Bridge. If we make this property commercial the rest of the people will come in and ask that their property be zoned commercial. If we do this, it will clutter up the street and it will not be able to handle all of the traffic on it.

The Planning Commission says that they could make Chestnut Street a commercial district to handle the commercial establishments that desire to go into that neighborhood.

Mr. Tilton said, there are certain pieces of property on Chestnut Street that could be made commercial to take care of these establishments.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, this piece of property cannot be used for anything but a commercial business. This property is located adjacent to school property. I do not want to prohibit a man from improving his property by denying him to build on it. This property cannot be used for an apartment house because it is next to a school yard. I feel that if we preclude this man from using this property as a commercial establishment then we are hurting this man. I do not feel that it can be used for residential property, accordingly I am going to vote to overrule the City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Brown stated, I believe that perhaps the Board is not taking into account what, to my way of thinking, is the major question. What will the people do with Lombard Street?

All we have to do is to look at Van Ness Avenue. This used to be a residential street but now all the building that is going to be done, on Van Ness Avenue, is for commercial businesses. Lombard Street is going to be commercial for its full length. It might not happen right away, but it will be done in the future.

Supervisor Lewis explained, I agree with Supervisors Christopher and Brown on this matter, but it is the opinion of the Planning Commission that we should have our streets free for traffic. While I would normally vote against spot zoning, because I do not believe in it, I am going to vote to override the Commission.

Supervisor MacPhee asked, did any off-street parking enter into this matter when it was before the Commission?

Mr. Tilton answered, not when it came to us, but that is what we are trying to keep our hands on.

**Adopted.**

The Chair then stated the question: A "No" vote sustains the Commission, an "Aye" vote overrules the Commission. It takes eight votes to overrule the Commission.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee—2.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.****City Planning Commission Sustained.****Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3068, dated May 9, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the east line of Thirty-ninth Avenue, 93 feet south of Noriega Street, from First Residential District to Commercial District.

*July 1, 1946—Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

**Discussion.**

Mr. George Sullivan, representing the appellant, said, this is an application to rezone property located on Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Noriega Street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues is now rezoned for commercial uses and it has been for some time. Mr. Costello owns the whole block on Noriega between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth Avenues, and also two lots on Thirty-ninth Avenue. Mr. Costello plans to construct stores on this property.

The purpose of the rezoning is this. Mr. Costello thought of the idea of getting rid of the commercial vehicles and delivery trucks that come to load and unload their merchandise. He wants to construct a driveway so that the trucks can go in there and unload. This will do away with double parking and will relieve traffic congestion in this vicinity. The driveway will be closed at all times and it will have a roof on it. This driveway will be constructed under the supervision of the Department of Public Works. This is a plan for the future, it is a plan for the modern handling of traffic. It is in the interest of the development of this community to get the commercial vehicles off of Noriega Street.

Supervisor Christopher asked, how close is this driveway to presently constructed homes?

Mr. Sullivan answered, this block is not fully constructed as yet. Instead of getting only 20 per cent of the property owners to sign the petition, Mr. Costello got 70 per cent. There are only about four houses in this area.

Mr. Carl H. Allen, representing the protestants, stated, the people living in this neighborhood cannot see where this project would be of benefit to them. The neighborhood, when they signed the petition for appeal, did so with the thought that Mr. Costello should have the privilege of appealing. We did not know what Mr. Costello had in mind. Now we find that he is going to close the driveway. He forgets that no truck driver will close and open doors. The trucks will not use the driveway, they will park in front of the store and load and unload their merchandise.

This is an attempt to convert an additional 25 feet of residential property to commercial property. If Mr. Costello gets away with this, other owners of property will try to do the same thing.

Supervisor Colman said, the attorney said that 70 per cent of the people in the 300 feet radius were in favor of the appeal. I understood you to say that they favored him to take the appeal but that they did not favor the decision of the City Planning Commission being overruled.

Mr. Sullivan replied, that is what some of the people told me. The people in the close proximity of the property are not in favor of the rezoning. Mr. Costello told the people that unless they signed the petition that a bowling alley would be installed. We feel that there is going to be a definite traffic hazard out there. There will be children on the street and it is possible that some of them might be hit.

Another objection is that the driveway is going to be a "catch all." All of the merchants will be dumping their refuse in that driveway.

Mr. Russell Powell, representing the Sunset Community Club, opposing the rezoning, stated, we are in favor of the City Planning Commission's decision on this matter. We believe that the Commission should be sustained in this matter.

Mr. Sullivan stated, Mr. Costello is not here because he is in the hospital, so I am not in a position to answer the charges made by Mr. Allen because I do not know whether or not he did make these statements.

Mr. Costello is sacrificing two pieces of property on which he could build homes so that he could construct this driveway.

Mr. Tilton, of the City Planning Commission, remarked, the Planning Commission felt that they were disposed to support the views of the people in the neighborhood who had to live right up against this development. The Commission feels that the whole scheme was too tight, too overloaded and not sufficient provision for circulation and movement. The situation gets back again to the matter of parking, loading and unloading. The Commission felt that if the scheme had been presented to the neighbors before it came to the Planning Commission, the Commission might have been able to go along with it.

Supervisor Lewis asked, isn't it bad planning to spot zone?

Mr. Tilton answered, it is very bad and we are trying to get away from that.

Supervisor Lewis inquired, isn't it true in planning that you must have an entire district zoned one way or the other?

Mr. Tilton replied, that is true.

Supervisor Lewis remarked, in looking at this matter, where they are trying to take one piece of property and zone it, it violates all rules of planning. This is not for the best interest of San Francisco. We have a Planning Commission which is supposed to make a plan for the future of San Francisco. That is why I am going to vote against all spot zoning.

The Clerk then presented the following proposal:

#### Refused Adoption.

**Disapproving Action of City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on Thirty-ninth Avenue, 93 Feet South of Noriega Street, From First Residential District to Commercial District.**

Proposal No. 5867, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3068, dated May 9, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on Thirty-ninth Avenue, 93 feet south of Noriega Street, from First Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

The Chair then stated the question: An "Aye" vote overrules the City Planning Commission and a "No" vote sustains the Commission. It takes eight votes to overrule the Commission.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

#### Appropriating \$6,944, Park Department, for Installation of Pumping Plant at Harding Golf Course.

Bill No. 4140, Ordinance No. 3923 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$6,944 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 512,500.60, Children's Quarters Amphitheater, to provide additional funds in the Park Department for the installation of a pumping plant at Harding Golf Course.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,944 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 512,500.60, Children's Quarters Amphitheater, to the credit of Appropriation No. 512,500.66, to provide additional funds in the Park Department for the installation of a pumping plant at Harding Golf Course.

Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Superintendent of the Park Department.

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Appropriating \$70,000, Municipal Railway, to Provide Funds for Increased Service Resulting From Acquisition of Additional Motor Coaches During Current Fiscal Year.

Bill No. 4150, Ordinance No. 3926 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$70,000 out of the surplus existing in the Surplus Fund, Municipal Railway, Appropriation No. 65,990.00 to the credit of Appropriation No. 565,130.99, Wages, Municipal Railway, to provide funds for increased service resulting from acquisition of additional motor coaches during the current fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$70,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Surplus Fund, Municipal Railway, Appropriation No. 65,990.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 565,130.99, Wages, Municipal Railway, to provide funds for increased service resulting from acquisition of additional motor coaches during the current fiscal year.



Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

June 17, 1946—*Consideration continued until June 24, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, by Changing Compensation of 1 General Foreman Lineman from \$17 Per Day to (i 433.50.**

Bill No. 4157, Ordinance No. 3927 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 70c, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER OPERATIVE, by changing compensation schedule for item 12.1, 1 E161 General Foreman Lineman, from \$17.00 day to (i 433.50.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 70c is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 70c. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
 HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY,  
 POWER OPERATIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
2	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
2.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
3	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
3.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
4	1	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
5	1	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of .....	155-195
7	7	E120	Governorman .....	190-240
8	7	E122	Power House Operator .....	230-290
8.1	5	E124	Senior Power House Operator.....	290-310
9	2	E128	Superintendent, Power House ...	360-430
12.1	1	E161	<b>General Foreman Lineman</b> ...	(i 433.50
13	1	F401a	Junior Engineer (Electrical) .....	255-320
13.1	1	F406g	Assistant Engineer (Electrical) ..	360-430
14	2	F410a	Engineer (Electrical) .....	435-520
15	1	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
16	1	I 12	Cook .....	175-210
17	1	I 60	Housekeeper .....	145-185
18	2	J4	Laborer .....	(i 217
19	1	M254	Machinist ...	(i 335
21	1	O60	Sub-Foreman Gardener .....	200-240

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947, Purchasing Department, by Adding 1 Tabulating Machine Operator (Part-Time) at \$190-240.

Bill No. 4158, Ordinance No. 3928 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU, by adding new item 1, B310 Tabulating Machine Operator (part-time) at rate of \$190-240.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.4. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU** (Continued)

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	3	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) .....	\$160-200
44	9	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator ....	190-240
44.1	1	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator</b> (part-time) .....	190-240
45	3	B325	Blueprinter .....	185-230
46	2	B327	Photostat Operator .....	185-230
47	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
47.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947, Purchasing Department, by Changing Compensation of 17 Garagemen from \$9 Per Day to (i 229.50

Bill No. 4159, Ordinance No. 3929 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37-1, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT, by changing compensation schedule for item 23.1 to read 17 J66 Garageman at (i 229.50 instead of 17 J66 Garageman at \$9.00 day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.1. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—  
CENTRAL SHOPS No. 1 and No. 2** (Continued)

The following positions are in interdepartmental service. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19	2	A156	Patternmaker .....	\$ 13.60 day
20	5	A364	Car and Auto Painter .....	14.00 day
21	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
22	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician .....	15.00 day
23.1	17	J66	<b>Garageman</b> .....	(i 229.50
24	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist .....	15.12 day
25	1	M8	General Superintendent of Shops .....	505-605

Section 37.1. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—  
CENTRAL SHOPS No. 1 and No. 2 (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
26	32	M54	Auto Machinist .....	13.00 day
26.1	3	M55	Foreman Auto Machinist .....	14.00 day
26.2	2	M57	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist ....	13.50 day
27	2	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker....	13.00 day
28	4	M107	Blacksmith Finisher .....	10.40 day
29	4	M108	Blacksmith .....	12.00 day
30	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper .....	9.78 day
31	1	M156	Boilermaker .....	12.33 day
32	1	M252	Machinist's Helper .....	9.92 day
33	9	M254	Machinist .....	13.12 day
34	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.20 day
35	1	O108	Leatherworker .....	11.12 day
36	1	O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines .....	13.20 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947, Public Welfare Department, by Adding 1 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4161, Ordinance No. 3930 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 66, PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT, by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 28 to 29 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 66 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
2	1	B25	Business Manager .....	385-460
3	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
4	5	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
4.1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	(k 230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
6	1	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
7	38	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer ....	185-230
9	1	B419.1	Secretary, Public Welfare Commission....	250-315
10	3	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	1	B510	Braille Typist .....	185-230
12	29	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
12.1	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	(k 230
13	2	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290
14	4	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
15	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor.....	195-230
16	2	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of...	460
18	85	T157	Social Service Worker .....	200-245
19	13	T160	Senior Social Service Worker....	250-315
20	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare.....	550-660
21	1	T165	District Supervisor .....	360-430

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, 1946-1947, Water Department, by Deleting 1 Laborer at \$8.50 Per Day and 1 Auto Machinist at \$13 Per Day, and by Adding 1 Water Department Worker at \$8.50 Per Day and 1 Special Pipe Fabricator at \$15 Per Day.

Bill No. 4162, Ordinance No. 3931 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76, Public Utilities Commission-San Francisco Water Department, by decreasing the number of employments under item 11 from 78 to 77 J4 Laborer at \$8.50 day; and by increasing the number of employments under item 28 from 44 to 45 U206 Water Department Worker at \$8.50 day; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 13 from 8 to 7 M54 Auto Machinist at \$13.00 day; and by adding item 23.1 1 U115 Special Pipe Fabricator at \$15.00 per day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 76. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**

**FUNCTIONAL EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	4	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 14.00 day
2	1	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A404	Plumber .....	15.00 day
4	1	B327	Photostat Operator .....	185-230
5	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
6	1	E154	Lineman .....	15.00 day
6.1	1	F102c	Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities)	260-320
7	1	F202	Inspector of Public Works Construction .....	230-290
9	4	F401b	Junior Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) .....	255-320
11	77	J4	<b>Laborer</b> .....	8.50 day
12	2	J66	Garageman .....	9.00 day
12.1	1	M53	Auto Mechanic .....	12.00 day
13	7	M54	<b>Auto Machinist</b> .....	13.00 day
13.1	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker ...	13.00 day
13.2	1	M252	Machinist's Helper .....	9.92 day
14	4	M254	Machinist .....	13.12 day
14.1	3	M265	Meter Repairman .....	9.85 day
15	1	M266	Foreman, Meter Repair .....	10.85 day
16	1	M270	Superintendent, Machine Shop and Equipment .....	335-405
17	3	O1	Chauffeur .....	*9.20-13.80 day
18	1	O116	Teamster, Two Horse Vehicle ...	9.00 day
19	5	O166.1	Junior Operating Engineer .....	232
20	1	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
21	2	U108	Compressor Operator, Portable....	11.60 day
22	13	U112	Pipe Caulker .....	12.40 day
23	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman .....	12.90 day

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPT. (Continued)

FUNCTIONAL EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
23.1	1	U115	<b>Special Pipe Fabricator</b> .....	15.00 day
24	16	U116	Service Man . . . . .	12.40 day
24.1	12	U118	Service Man's Assistant .....	9.80 day
25	1	U120	Gateman .....	12.90 day
26	1	U136	General Foreman, Service and Meters . . . . .	300-375
27	1	U140	General Foreman, Main Pipes . . .	335-405
28	45	U206	<b>Water Department Worker</b> .....	8.50 day
29	2	U227	General Maintenance Foreman....	255-320
30	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman .....	210-260

\*At rate set by Salary Standardization Ordinance for type of equipment operated.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors Sitting as a Board of Equalization and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests for Equalization of 1946-1947 Assessments.

Bill No. 4163, Ordinance No. 3932 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests for equalization of 1946-1947 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said board for the equalization of 1946-1947 assessments on taxable real property and improvements in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ the necessary independent expert real estate and building appraisers as needed, subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter, to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for equalization of said assessments. In no event shall more than six appraisers be employed under the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. For the services herein provided one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$350; one independent expert real estate and building appraiser shall receive not more than \$275 and the remaining independent expert real estate and building appraisers shall receive not more than \$250 each;

chargeable to Appropriation No. 601.140.00, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Final Passage.**

The following, from Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating \$24,500, Park Department, for Water Supply and Irrigation System, and for Equipment, Recreational Division.**

**Action of Finance Committee on individual items contained herein:**

**\$20,000 item—referred to Board without recommendation.**

**\$4,500 item—referred to Board with “do pass” recommendation.**

Bill No. 4141, Ordinance No. 3924 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$24,500 out of surplus existing in the revenues of the Park Department to provide funds for Water Supply and Irrigation System (\$20,000) and Equipment for Recreational Division (\$4,500), Park Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$24,500 is hereby appropriated out of surplus existing in the revenues of the Park Department, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Park Department, for the purposes recited:

*Appropriation*

*Number*

512.500.62	Water Supply and Irrigation System . . . . .	\$20,000
	(To restore to the Water Supply and Irrigation System Appropriation \$20,000 transferred to the Yacht Harbor Development Appropriation for riprapping required for the protection of the Yacht Harbor.)	
512.400.04	Equipment, Recreational Division . . . . .	4,500
	(To replace cash registers at the Municipal Golf Courses, the Fleishhacker Pool and the Coit Memorial Tower as the present registers are inefficient, frequently out of service, and mechanically obsolete.)	

Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Park Superintendent.

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Appropriating \$16,500, Park Department, for Addition to Fleishhacker Vending Booth, Chimpanzee Houses, and Equipment, Recreational Division.

**Action of Finance Committee on individual items contained herein:**

**\$6,500 item—referred to Board without recommendation.**

**\$10,000 item—referred to Board with “do pass” recommendation.**

Bill No. 4142, Ordinance No. 3925 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$16,500 out of the surplus existing in the revenues of the Park Department to provide funds for addition to Upper Vending Booth, Fleishhacker Playfield (\$6,500), and Equipment, Recreational Division (\$10,000).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$16,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the revenues of the Park Department, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Park Department for the purposes recited:

*Appropriation No.*

512.500.92	Addition to Upper Vending Booth, Fleishhacker Playfield . . . . . \$6,500 (An addition to the Upper Vending Booth at the Fleishhacker Playfield required to take care of the increased business.)	
512.400.04	Equipment, Recreational Division . . . . . 10,000 (The purchase of a Field Cover for the Kezar Stadium) . . . . . \$10,000	

Recommended by the Park Superintendent.

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Police Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Authorizing Chief of Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation to Grant Permission to Store Gasoline in Excess of Limitations Prescribed in Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 4134, Ordinance No. 3922 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 333 of Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, authorizing Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department to grant permission to store or keep gasoline in excess of the limitations prescribed in this section.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 333 of Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 333. Amount to Be Stored; Regulations as to Excess Amounts.** Not more than two (2) approved portable filling tanks containing not more than fifty (50) gallons of gasoline each may be stored or kept above ground in or for any one (1) gasoline supply station.

Gasoline may also be stored or kept for a gasoline supply station in approved underground tanks. Not more than five thousand (5,000) gallons of gasoline in the aggregate shall be stored or kept underground and no tank shall have a capacity greater than one thousand (1,000) gallons; *provided that the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation may grant permission to store or keep gasoline in excess of the above limitations in tanks having a capacity not greater than 2500 gallons each, if in his judgment the additional gasoline is deemed necessary, but such additional gasoline shall be stored or kept only upon conditions and under such regulations as are required by law and upon such other conditions and under such other regulations as may be required by said officer.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Electing Gerald P. Haggerty as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Proposal No. 5778, Resolution No. 5647 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby elect Gerald P. Haggerty as a Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the City and County of San Francisco, for the unexpired portion of the term of Hugo D. Newhouse, deceased.

### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Colman said, I would like to offer an amendment to this resolution.

Supervisor Colman moved, that the name of Rene Vayssie be substituted for Gerald P. Haggerty.

Seconded by Supervisor Brown.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman stated, it isn't that I have anything against Mr. Haggerty. I am placing before you a well known man in San Francisco. His name has appeared before you in the past and it was my impression that the members of this Board, who were here then, went on record as favoring the appointment of Mr. Vayssie to the next vacancy on the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge.



I recommend that he be appointed and fulfill a pledge that was made to Mr. Vayssie.

Supervisor Brown remarked, I know Mr. Haggerty and it is a matter of some embarrassment to be placed in the position I am. This Board, on the occasion of its last two fillings of the vacancy on the Bridge, considered the name of Mr. Vayssie. The first time he was assured that he would be given the next vacancy, but when the vacancy came up it was given to another man but Mr. Vayssie was definitely assured that he would be given the next vacancy on the Golden Gate Bridge. Six of the members who are on this present Board said that they would vote for Mr. Vayssie and we should follow through with our commitments.

Supervisor Gallagher said, I introduced the resolution for the appointment of Mr. Haggerty and, although the Board had made a commitment to Mr. Vayssie, I believe that a man has a right to change his mind whenever he feels like it. I believe that Mr. Haggerty will fulfill the duties as a Director of the Golden Gate Bridge District. I think that Mr. Haggerty is a choice and a representative that this Board should appoint to the Golden Gate Bridge.

Supervisor Meyer explained, I would like to vote for Mr. Vayssie, but Mr. Haggerty did untold good for us up in the Legislature. I worked with him on many bills that interested the City and I believe that we should vote for Mr. Haggerty.

Supervisor MacPhee said, I would like to be able to vote for both of these men.

Supervisor Mead remarked, the original matter before this Board came from Committee. I am wondering if we are following the proper procedure, inasmuch as the original matter did come from Committee, by permitting an amendment to this resolution.

Supervisor Gallagher said, after I introduced this resolution, Mr. Colman introduced one with the name of Mr. Vayssie and these two resolutions were referred to the Finance Committee. The matter before the Board, at this time, is Mr. Haggerty. I read that Mr. Vayssie's resolution was tabled in the Committee.

Supervisor Mead explained, both names were submitted to Committee; Mr. Haggerty's came out with a "Do Pass" recommendation, and Mr. Vayssie's was tabled.

Supervisor Brown said, I believe if you read Rule 19 of the Board that it will throw some light on the subject. It says that it shall be the right of the Board to amend any proposal that is referred to the Board by Committee and which is adopted on the day of such amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, I believe that the motion made by Supervisor Colman is in order.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I know both of these men. I was contacted and I did commit myself to vote for Mr. Haggerty.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to amend was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, MacPhee, Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman explained, although I am keenly disappointed that the Board did not see fit to carry out what I considered an obligation on its part to do so, and as long as my desire to have Mr. Vayssie elected was not fulfilled, I will vote for Mr. Haggerty and wish him every success in his new position.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Adopted.

Confirming Sale of Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 2511 to Carl Gellert.

Proposal No. 5826, Resolution No. 5650 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3789, Bill No. 4013 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on June 21, 1946, to sell the following described city-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Forty-third Avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona Street; running thence southerly along said line of Forty-third Avenue 11 feet 3 inches, more or less, to the southerly boundary of Pueblo Lands, known as the "Four League Line"; thence easterly along said last named line 240 feet 5 inches, more or less, to the westerly line of Forty-second Avenue; thence northerly along said line of Forty-second Avenue 24 feet 8 inches, more or less, to a point distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Wawona Street; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1282.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Carl Gellert, as the highest bidder, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$425 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$425 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$100 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Education have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Carl Gellert, or his assignee.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within thirty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Braulia Ortiz.

Proposal No. 5827, Resolution No. 5651 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Braulia Ortiz, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State

of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of said City and County on real property belonging to said Braulia Ortiz; and

Whereas, said Braulia Ortiz, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Consideration Continued.

#### Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1254 Market Street for Recreation Department.

Proposal No. 5828, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with Kohler & Chase, a California corporation, as lessors, of the second floor in that certain building located at 1254 Market Street, San Francisco.

This lease shall be on a month to month basis, beginning July 1, 1946, and ending September 30, 1946, at a rental of \$150 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis said, I do not understand what this means, a lease month to month beginning July, 1946, to September, 1946. Do you know for what it is being used?

Supervisor MacPhee explained, it is to be used for the photographic department of the Recreation Department.

Supervisor Lewis stated, I am opposed to the Recreation Department getting into the adult field of educational activity. It is all right if it is for the children but if it is for adults I am against it.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Lewis moved that this matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

#### Adopted.

#### Authorizing Acquisition of Certain Lands by Eminent Domain Proceedings Required for Market Street and Portola Drive, Project No. 39.

Proposal No. 5829, Resolution No. 5652 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Army Street with the westerly line of La Place Avenue, formerly known as Twin Peaks Avenue; running thence southerly along said westerly line of Twin Peaks Avenue 164 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 125.05 feet; thence north  $9^{\circ} 30'$  west 50 feet, more or less, to a point distant 114 feet at a right angle southerly from the southerly line of Army Street; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Army Street 99.07 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 114 feet to the southerly line of Army Street and thence easterly along the southerly line of Army Street 30 feet to the westerly line of La Place Avenue and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 33 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 2. Commencing at the intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-seventh Street with the westerly line of La Place Avenue, formerly known as Twin Peaks Avenue; running thence northerly along the said westerly line of La Place Avenue, formerly Twin Peaks Avenue, 46 feet; thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Twenty-seventh Street 125 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of the J. P. Place Homestead Tract; thence south  $9^{\circ} 30'$  east 47 feet, more or less, to the northerly line of Twenty-seventh Street and thence easterly along the said northerly line of Twenty-seventh Street 120 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Block No. 33 as per map of Stanford Heights.

Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the widening of Market Street and Portola Drive from Seventeenth Street to St. Francis Circle. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said lands and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The cost of said lands is to be paid from Appropriation No. 577.923.58 in an amount not to exceed \$1,000 unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

Proposal No. 5830, Resolution No. 5653 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund.*

1. Lewis A. Marsten, Lot 6, Block 599, first installment, \$725.95, second installment, \$725.95, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	\$1,451.90
2. Federation of Jewish Charities, Lot 27, Block 1051, first installment, \$61.69, second installment, \$58.20, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	119.89
3. Dr. Ernst Loewenstein, Lot 18, Block 1234, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	58.44
4. Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., Lot 120, Block 1269, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	4.34
5. Georgia L. Muirhead, Lot 10, Block 1488, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	52.65
6. Genevieve M. O'Brien, F. W. Kelly, Lot 44A, Block 1805, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	21.74
7. Harold L. Hollingsworth, Lot 18A, Block 2745, second installment, \$6.04, fiscal year 1945-46; Lot 19, Block 2745, second installment, \$35.02, fiscal year 1945-46; Lot 24, Block 2745, second installment, \$6.52, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	47.58
8. Claude T. Lindsay Co., Lot 15, Block 2949A, second installment, \$2.83, fiscal year 1943-44; Lot 16, Block 2949A, second installment, \$2.83, fiscal year 1943-44; Lot 17, Block 2949A, second installment, \$2.62, fiscal year 1943-44 .....	8.28
9. Bertha C. Toy, Lot 5, Block 569, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	438.08
10. Title Ins. & Guaranty Co., Lot 10, Block 1559, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	53.61
11. Meda B. Parachini, Lot 18, Block 2755, first installment, \$5.07, second installment, \$5.07, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	10.14
12. Harvey M. Toy, Lot 2, Block 5024, both installments, \$10.62, fiscal year 1945-46; Lots 1-9, Block 5048, both installments, \$5.66, fiscal year 1945-46; Lots 1-8, Block 4858, both installments, \$2.32, fiscal year 1945-46; Lots 21-24, Block 4858, both installments, \$1.16, fiscal year 1945-46; Lots 1-24, Block 4958, both installments, \$7.44, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	27.20
13. Harvey M. Toy, Lot 1, Block 5209, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	31.40

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

1. J. Hernandez, through error, declaration was filed and tax paid on property which had been previously declared and paid .....	\$ 10.87
2. Eleanor Walkinshaw, three separate declarations filed, refund of tax paid on two declarations .....	11.31
3. Sol Rosenthal, deponent filed affidavit claiming veteran exemption of \$1,000, covering assessment pers. prop. . .	4.83

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Anna Terzian.**

Proposal No. 5839, Resolution No. 5655 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, a notice of aid was recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which created a lien on the real property situate in said City and County of San Francisco, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Castro Street, distant thereon 149 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-second Street; running thence northerly along said line of Castro Street 49 feet and 4 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 49 feet and 4 inches; and thence at a right angle easterly 150 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 189.

and

Whereas, the recipient of aid named in such notice has offered to pay to said City and County the sum of \$70.25 for a release of said property from said lien; and

Whereas, after investigation by this Board it has been determined that the net amount which would be realized in the event that said lien was foreclosed will not exceed the said sum of \$70.25; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board to accept said sum of \$70.25 as full payment of the amount secured by said lien; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon payment of said sum of \$70.25 David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of such lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Director of Property to Sell Certain Buildings at Public Auction.**

Proposal No. 5840, Resolution No. 5656 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the Director of Property be and he is hereby authorized to sell at public auction the following described buildings, located in San Francisco, California, which buildings are of no further use for school purposes:

One double bungalow at Laguna Honda School at Seventh Avenue between Irving and Judah Streets.

One bungalow at Longfellow School at Lowell and Morse Streets.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor McMurray asked, what kind of a sale is this going to be?

Mr. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, replied, I understand this request comes from the School Department to the Director of Property to sell a building at the Laguna Honda School and one at the Lowell High School. It is a request from the Board of Educa-

tion and it will be by public auction. The buildings will be sold to the highest bidder at public auction. The bids will be forwarded to the Board of Education for approval.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Adopted.

**Authorizing Director of Public Works to Enter Into Agreement With Southern Pacific Company for Installation of Traffic Signal at Third and Sixteenth Streets.**

Proposal No. 5841, Resolution No. 5657 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Director of Public Works is, at the request of the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, preparing plans and specifications for the installation of a traffic signal at Third and Sixteenth Streets; and

Whereas, the Southern Pacific Company has signified its intention to assume one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of the final cost of this installation, including field engineering; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be and is hereby empowered to enter into an agreement whereby the signal installation cost will be borne two-thirds ( $\frac{2}{3}$ ) by the City and County of San Francisco from Gas Tax funds, and one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) by the Southern Pacific Company.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Re-referred to Committee.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Tract of Land in Sunset District.**

Proposal No. 5842, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Quintara Street with the westerly line of Thirty-seventh Avenue; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Quintara Street 860 feet to the easterly line of Fortieth Avenue; thence northerly along last named line 1280 feet to the southerly line of Ortega Street; thence easterly along last named line 310 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue; thence continuing easterly along the southerly line of Ortega Street 32 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 175 feet more or less to a point on a line parallel with and perpendicularly distant 32 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-eighth Avenue; thence at a right

angle northerly along said parallel line 100 feet to a point on the southerly line of Ortega Street; thence easterly along last named line 32 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Thirty-eighth Avenue; thence continuing easterly along the southerly line of Ortega Street 310 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-seventh Avenue; thence southerly along last named line 1280 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Assessor's Blocks 2095, 2097, 2157, 2158, 2159 and part of Assessor's Block 2096, also portions of Thirty-eighth Avenue and Thirty-ninth Avenue and a portion of Pacheco Street.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For public school, recreation, library and other municipal purposes.

It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said property.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

After said real property has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, it is understood that title to an area within the boundaries of the above described tract of land, equivalent to three Sunset blocks, shall be transferred to the San Francisco Unified School District.

The cost of said real property shall be paid from the following appropriations in a total amount not to exceed \$265,000, unless an additional authorization is secured:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>	<i>Department</i>	<i>Amount</i>
613.600.04	Recreation .....	\$ 95,000
614.600.00	Library .....	20,000
670.600.00	Board of Education .....	150,000
		\$265,000

Recommended by the Recreation Commission.

Recommended by the Library Commission.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved by the City Planning Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

**Motion to Re-refer to Committee.**

Supervisor Mead moved, that this matter be re-referred to committee. The Associated Home Builders were not given an opportunity to be heard in committee.

Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Supervisor MacPhee said, before we do that, I would like to hear from Mr. Morris. This is the land where we plan to construct the Sunset Community Center.

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Morris of the Associated Home Builders.

Seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

No objections and motion carried.



Mr. Morris stated, my organization heard of this matter only a little while ago. We are on record with the City Planning Commission opposing the proposed program for this property. We have let several contracts on this property and before the City goes ahead and purchases the property it should hear from the people who have the contracts.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, by the same token, the City does not want to have any more contracts entered into so that it will cost the City more money. If you will assure us that no further contracts will be made I will be willing to let this matter go back to committee.

Mr. Morris replied, no more contracts will be let.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Adopted.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Calvin Carl Creamer.

Proposal No. 5843, Resolution No. 5658 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Calvin Carl Creamer, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the City and County of San Francisco on real property belonging to said Calvin Carl Creamer; and

Whereas, said Calvin Carl Creamer, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Approval of Supplemental Recommendations—Public Welfare Department.

Proposal No. 5845, Resolution No. 5660 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, discontinuances, new recommendations, increases and other transactions, effective February 1 and July 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5846, Resolution No. 5661 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated July 2, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of July and August, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Brown said, this is the first time that this came up. I would like to go on record and I want to know how many people are involved and what the cost will be.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, we have a list here. Do you believe that we should publish the list on the Calendar?

Supervisor Brown remarked, all I want to know is how many people there are and how much money it will cost. I believe it will be interesting to the Board and we will be able to keep a record of how things are progressing and how much it is costing.

The Clerk was directed to forward a letter to Mr. Born and explain just what the Board desired on these matters in the future.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Adopted.**

**Approving Canvass of Votes Cast at Direct Primary Election Held Tuesday, June 4, 1946.**

Proposal No. 5847, Resolution No. 5662 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, a Direct Primary Election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, June 4, 1946; and

Whereas, the vote and returns from said Direct Primary Election have been duly canvassed and the results thereof duly ascertained; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That as to the volume on file in the office of the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled, "Statement of Votes, Direct Primary Election, June 4, 1946," bearing the identifying letters, "BO," that section of said volume now containing a statement of the vote cast at the Direct Primary Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, June 4, 1946, be and the same is hereby approved and the same shall constitute the record of the official canvass of the votes cast at said Direct Primary Election.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

### Refused Adoption.

#### Waiving Residential Requirements of the Charter for Class B245, Actuarial Statistician.

Proposal No. 5848, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 7 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and on the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the Civil Service Commission, and the Retirement Board, Class B245, Actuarial Statistician, is hereby exempted from the residential requirements of said Charter.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Gallagher inquired, why are we going out of San Francisco to get an Actuarial Statistician.

Mr. Harry Albert, of the Civil Service Commission, said, this is a permanent job. This is one of the jobs that we are making to take over the position of Mr. Nelson and we received only one application.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, I cannot believe that out of 800,000 or 900,000 people that we have to go out of town to fill the job. I am going to vote against it.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, I have always voted to waive residential qualifications to fill a limited tenure position. I believe that we should not go out of town to fill a permanent position.

Supervisor Colman asked, just what has been done?

Mr. Albert replied, a position was established and the announcement of an examination was published. We notified every place where we thought we could get applicants. Several employee groups appeared before the Commission and requested that it be made a promotional position. The Commission said that it could not be made a promotional examination.

Supervisor Christopher stated, if the Civil Service Commission cannot find any help they should come to me. I always can get help. I believe that we should fill this job from the residents of San Francisco.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, I believe that we should turn it down. I think that the Civil Service Commission should try to find a man from San Francisco.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Lewis moved the privilege of the floor for Mrs. Minudri. Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mrs. Minudri said, several of the employee groups requested the Civil Service Commission to make this a promotional examination, but the Civil Service Commission said that they could not. We believe that this examination did not receive sufficient publication. We do not believe that you should go out of San Francisco to get a man for this job.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman remarked, I believe that we should waive the residential qualifications for this job. If we cannot get a good man for this job we should go outside of the city to get him. Mr. Nelson must know what he is doing if he makes the recommendation that we go out of the city and get the man. I am going to support him.

Supervisor Christopher stated, perhaps one of the reasons that they cannot get the men for the job is because they do not pay

enough money. Maybe they should go back and see if they can up the salary a bit.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Mancuso—3.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Adopted.**

**Authorizing Chief Engineer of the Fire Department to Acquire Membership in National Fire Protection Association.**

Proposal No. 5849, Resolution No. 5663 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That Chief Engineer Albert J. Sullivan of the Fire Department of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to acquire membership in the National Fire Protection Association, the annual fee of which is \$10 the cost of said membership to be paid out of such funds as may be available or set aside for such purpose.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Re Dog License—Extension of Time for Payment.**

Proposal No. 5850, Resolution No. 5664 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, many dog owners are out of town vacationing at this period of the year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the time for payment of dog licenses be extended until August 1, 1946.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Submission of Applications for State Aid for Construction of Sewer Projects and Designating Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, as Authorized Agent.**

Proposal No. 5851, Resolution No. 5665 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the State of California, under Chapter 20, Statutes of 1946 (First Extra Session), as amended, has appropriated funds for allotment to provide for financial assistance to Local Agencies, defined therein as counties, cities and counties, or cities, so that they may engage in a large public works construction program in order to prevent and alleviate unemployment; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter designated "Local Agency" desires to apply for an allotment of State Aid for the construction of public works projects under said Act; and

Whereas, applications to the State Director of Finance for such an allotment have been prepared and presented to this legislative body for consideration; and

Whereas, Local Agency has made provisions for paying that portion of the cost of the projects not requested as an allotment from the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Local Agency submit the aforesaid applications for State Aid for construction of public works projects to the Director

of Finance, requesting an allotment of two hundred eighty thousand nine hundred thirty-five and 00/100 dollars (\$280,935) or an allotment of such amount as may be allotted by the State Allocation Board; be it

Further Resolved, That Local Agency hereby certifies that the total estimate of cost to be paid for the construction of Sewer Projects for which Local Agency is making application under said Act is five hundred sixty-one thousand eight hundred seventy and 00/100 dollars (\$561,870), and these Sewer Projects shall consist of the following specific projects:

No.	Sewer	Construction Cost	
		Total	State Share
1.	Laguna Street Diversion .....	\$ 29,770	\$ 14,885
2.	Seventh Street Outfall .....	8,400	4,200
3.	Twenty-third St., Third to Iowa St....	46,400	23,200
4.	Lake Street District Section A .....	300,000	150,000
5.	Forty-sixth Avenue and Lincoln Way Diversion .....	38,300	19,150
6.	Vicente Street Outfall.....	139,000	69,500
Total Cost—Sewer Projects.....		\$561,870	\$280,935

Be It Further Resolved, That Local Agency hereby requests the State to pay the State's share of the total actual cost of construction of the projects for which Local Agency is making application for aid; be it

Further Resolved, That for the purpose of this request Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, City Hall, San Francisco, be and he hereby is, designated as the authorized agent of Local Agency, and is hereby authorized and directed to sign the herein mentioned applications of Local Agency and to submit the same to the State Director of Finance together with certified statements of the total estimated cost to be paid for construction of the projects herein mentioned and such other information as may be required; and said authorized agent is further authorized and directed as representative of Local Agency to conduct all negotiations and conclude all arrangements, with either the State Allocation Board or the Director of Finance, including requests for payment of the State's share of the cost of the construction of the aforementioned public works projects.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Fixing Formula for Payment of Compensation to Certain Permanent Employees Performing Intermittent Service, and Certain Temporary Employees Occupying Positions Carrying Monthly Compensation Rate.**

Proposal No. 5852, Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission shall approve and the Controller shall pay employees with permanent status while on intermittent service and temporary employees, who occupy positions for which compensation has been fixed at a monthly rate, the amount of compensation determined under the following formula:

- (a) Where such employee has worked all regular working days during the pay period he shall be paid 50 per cent of the authorized monthly rate fixed for the position.

- (b) Where such employee has worked more or less than all regular working days; he shall be paid so much of the compensation for the pay period as the number of days worked bears to the number of regular working days in the pay period.
- (c) Holidays as defined in the annual salary ordinance falling between Monday and Friday, inclusive, shall be counted as regular working days within the meaning of this section. Employees who have worked the regular working day next preceding such legal holiday shall be paid therefor.

Recommended by the Civil Service Commission.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Annual Audit of Controller's Books.**

Proposal No. 5853, Resolution No. 5668 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of Section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books and accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books and accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1945-1946, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of John F. Forbes & Co., certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit:

For Supervising Accountant .....	\$50 per day
For Senior Accountant .....	30 per day
For Junior Accountant .....	20 per day

together with such office expense, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountant; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be completed not later than October 1, 1946; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller, and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of \$6,000 and shall be paid from Appropriation No. 601.262.00 Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Deleting 4 Flatwork Ironers at \$120-155, and Adding 3 Laundresses and 1 Starcher, All at \$125-160.**

Bill No. 4177, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital (Con-

tinued), by reducing the number of employments under item 29 from 13 to 9 I152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155; by increasing the number of employments under item 30 from 4 to 7 I154 Laundress at \$125-160; and by adding item 30.1 1 I156 Starcher at \$125-160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 58a. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	80	I 2	Kitchen Helper .....	\$120-155
17	1	I 6	Pastry Cook .....	175-210
18	8	I 10	Cook's Assistant .....	135-165
19	7	I 12	Cook .....	175-210
20	1	I 14	Junior Chef .....	210-230
21	1	I 16	Chef .....	230-250
22	12	I 54	Waitress or I 56 Waiter.....	120-155
24			Inmate Help, not over.....	50
25	4	I 106	Morgue Attendant .....	150-190
26	142	I 116	Orderly .....	130-165
27	4	I 120	Senior Orderly .....	150-190
28	2	I 122	House Mother .....	145-185
29	9	I 152	<b>Flatwork Ironer</b> .....	120-155
30	7	I 154	<b>Laundress</b> .....	125-160
30.1	1	I 156	<b>Starcher</b> .....	125-160
32	1	I 158	Sorter .....	140-175
33	1	I 164	Marker and Distributor .....	140-175
34	1	I 166	Wringerman .....	160-200
37	1	I 172	Head Washer .....	195-245
38	1	I 178	Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital .....	275-345
39	96	I 204	Porter .....	125-160
40	10	I 206	Porter Sub-Foreman .....	140-175
41	3	I 208	Porter Foreman .....	145-185
42	1	I 210	Head Porter .....	165-205
43	6	I 254	Seamstress .....	140-175
44	1	I 256	Head Seamstress .....	165-205

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, by Deleting 2 Key Punch Operators (Alphabetical), and Adding 2 Key Punch Operators (Numerical), All at \$160-200.**

Bill No. 4186, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 Purchasing Department—Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau (Continued) Interdepartmental, by decreasing the number of employments under item 43 from 3 to 1 B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) at \$160-200; and by adding item 43.1 2 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.4. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU** (Continued)  
**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	1	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical)</b> .....	\$160-200
43.1	2	B309b	<b>Key Punch Operator (Numerical)</b> .....	160-200
44	9	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator.....	190-240
44.1	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (part-time) .....	190-240
45	3	B325	Blueprinter .....	185-230
46	2	B327	Photostat Operator .....	185-230
47	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
47.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Final Passage.**

Appropriating \$1,800, Assessor, for Payment of Overtime to Monthly Employees; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4165, Ordinance No. 3933 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,800 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Assessor's Office; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,800 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 503.111.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Assessor's Office.

Section 2. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: In order to complete the compilation of the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco within the time limit set by State law it is essential that the office force of the Assessor's office work a 44-hour week for the remainder of the current fiscal year. The funds heretofore provided for overtime in this office for the months of March through June, 1946, are inadequate to complete the assessment roll within the prescribed time, and there are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Assessor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

June 24, 1946—Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.

July 1, 1946—Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.



*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Adding to Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," Class D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Bill No. 4168, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," by adding thereto Class No. D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.7. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized:** In order that there shall be no diminution of service to the public it shall be the duty of the head of each department to arrange and assign the work of his department so that sufficient employees will be on duty on Saturday morning in each department which is required to be open for the conduct of public business on Saturday morning, provided that time worked on Saturday morning by employees on a normal work week schedule shall be compensated by equal time off in the same or succeeding week. Employees whose positions are allocated to the classes included in Division R—Recreation Service, and employees whose positions are allocated in Division X—Library Service, may at the discretion of the appointing officer work the 40-hour schedule within six days without additional compensation or time off. Occupants of the following positions shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but subject to rule of the Civil Service Commission, may be granted time off not to exceed the time worked in excess of forty hours per week:

Class No. and Title

A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings
A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A12	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of Hetch Hetchy Properties
A108	Chief Building Inspector
A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector
B8	Supervisor of Disbursements
B14	Senior Accountant
B20	Controller
B21	Chief Assistant Controller
B22	Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B23	Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission

## Class No. and Title

B25	Business Manager, Public Welfare Department
B26	Supervisor, Budget Statistics
B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports
B28	Supervisor of General Audits
B30	Supervisor of Utility Audits
B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department
B34	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works
B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court
B36	Business Manager, Department of Public Health
B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative), San Francisco Hospital
B51	Chief Administrative Officer
B54	Director, Bureau of Public Service
B55	Assistant Director, Bureau of Public Service
B57	Secretary, Art Commission
B58	Secretary, Board of Education
B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals
B66	Registrar of Voters
B67	Secretary, Fire Commission
B68	Chief Clerk
B69	Secretary, Coordinating Council
B70	Secretary, Park Commission
B71	Secretary, Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young and California Palace of the Legion of Honor
B72	Secretary, Library Department
B74	Confidential Secretary to the Mayor
B76	Executive Secretary to the Mayor
B76.1	Administrative Assistant to the Mayor
B76.3	Administrative Analyst
B77	Executive Secretary to the Manager of Utilities
B78	Secretary, City Planning Commission
B79	Secretary, Health Service Board
B81	Recorder
B82	Secretary, Retirement System
B83	Consulting Actuary
B84	Under Sheriff
B87	Secretary-Attendant, Grand Jury
B88	Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors
B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses
B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
B91	Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue
B93	Tax Collector
B95	Director of Finance and Records
B95.1	Assistant Director of Public Works
B96	Managing Director, War Memorial
B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer
B100	Supervisor of Real Property Records, Assessor's Office
B108	Cashier A
B120	Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office
B169	County Clerk
B173	Public Administrator
B180	Administrative Assistant, Board of Education
B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies
B374	Purchaser of Supplies
C4	Superintendent of Auditorium
D66	Superintendent of Jail
E8	Chief Electrical Inspector
E116	Superintendent of Plant
F1	Manager of Utilities
F2	Director of Public Works

## Class No. and Title

F4 Assistant City Engineer  
 F9 Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau  
 F10 City Engineer  
 F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations  
 F61 Superintendent of Airport Operations  
 F62 Manager of Airport Department  
 F75 Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities  
     Commission  
 F108 Architect  
 F112 City Architect  
 F220 General Superintendent of Streets  
 F366 Chief, Department of Electricity  
 F372 Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and  
     Power  
 F408 Public Health Engineer  
 F412 Senior Engineer  
 F414 General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal  
     Railway  
 F520 Consulting Sanitary Engineer  
 F526 Chief Water Purification Engineer  
 F527 Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant  
 F560 Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection  
 F706 Chief Valuation Engineer  
 F800 City Planning Engineer  
 F801 Senior City Planner  
 F802 Master Plan Architect  
 F810 Associate City Planner  
 G5 Chief Land Appraiser  
 G11 Chief Building Appraiser  
 G17 Chief Personal Property Appraiser  
 G20 Chief Assistant Assessor  
 G59 Assistant Personnel Director  
 G59.1 Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classifications  
 G59.2 Supervisor of Examinations  
 G60 Personnel Director  
 G62 Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission  
 G80 Personnel Officer, Department of Public Health  
 G84 Director, Bureau of Personnel, Public Utilities Commission  
 G102 General Claims Agent, Municipal Railway  
 G106 Claims Adjuster  
 G110 Compensation Claims Adjuster  
 G204 Assistant Director of Property  
 G206 Director of Property  
 H42 Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation  
 H44 Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation  
 K4 Attorney, Civil  
 K6 Senior Attorney, Civil  
 K8 Principal Attorney, Civil  
 K10 Head Attorney, Civil  
 K12 Chief Attorney, Civil  
 K16 Special Counsel, Water Services  
 K52 Junior Attorney, Criminal  
 K54 Attorney, Criminal  
 K56 Senior Attorney, Criminal  
 K58 Principal Attorney, Criminal  
 K60 Head Attorney, Criminal  
 K62 Chief Attorney, Criminal  
 L2 Assistant Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L6 Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L9 Assistant Superintendent, Medical, Laguna Honda Home  
 L10 Superintendent, Laguna Honda Home

## Class No. and Title

L16	Assistant Director of Public Health
L18	Director of Public Health
L19	Chief, Division of Public Health Education
L20	Public Health Educator
L156	Dentist
L160	Director of Dental Bureau
L252	Optometrist
L352	Interne
L354	House Officer
L356	Senior House Officer
L357	Resident Physician
L359	Supervising Physician, Blood Bank
L360	Physician
L362	Supervisor of City Physicians
L363	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home
L364	Physician Specialist
L368	Director of Bureau of Child Hygiene
L371	Director of Bureau of Communicable Diseases
L375	Chief, Division of Tuberculosis Control
L376	Chief, Division of Venereal Disease Control
L458	Roentgenologist
L502	Autopsy Surgeon
L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital
L508	Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital
M4	Assistant General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M5	Assistant Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M7	General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines, Municipal Railway
M8	General Superintendent of Shops
M20	Superintendent of Equipment
M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines
N10	Coroner
N54	District Supervisor
N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector
N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector
N156	County Agricultural Commissioner
N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures
N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office
O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair
P58	Director of Public Health Nursing
P122	Director of Institutional Nursing
R3	Assistant Superintendent, Recreation Department
R4	Superintendent, Recreation Department
R20	Assistant Director of Recreational Activities
R22	Director of Recreational Activities
S5	General Manager, Municipal Railway Bureau
S128	Division Superintendent, Municipal Railway
S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S134	General Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home
T30	Director of Girls' School
T70	Chief Adult Probation Officer
T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
T163	Director of Public Welfare
T165	District Supervisor
U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer
U80	Assistant Manager, Water Sales

## Class No. and Title

U88	Manager, Water Sales
U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution
U144	Superintendent, City Distribution
U232	Superintendent, Alameda District
U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula District
U246	Superintendent, Peninsula District
V40	Superintendent, Agriculture
W2	Superintendent, Park Department
W4	Assistant Superintendent, Park Department
W212	Director of the Zoo
X2	City Librarian
X12	Chief Librarian
Y2	Director, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum
Y4	Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor
Y8	Curator A
Y10	Curator B
Y12	Curator C

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 24, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.*

July 1, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso said, this is the matter that was on the Calendar before. This comes about as the Saturday morning meeting on June 1, when we deleted these two positions from the Annual Salary Ordinance. I voted for it then because I thought it was the thing to do. After an explanation by the Sheriff, I realize that we did not do the right thing.

Supervisor Brown stated, I am prepared to vote on this matter today, and I believe that it should be acted upon now.

Supervisor Mancuso remarked, this is to put the two D66, Superintendent of Jails, back in the list authorized to work more than 40 hours a week with time off for the work performed after 40 hours. The Sheriff has explained that this is a six-days-a-week job.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, all the Superintendent of Jails requests is that he be given time off if he works more than 40 hours a week.

Sheriff Murphy said, the fact is that if you do not put this classification back into that category you will have to give the time off in the same or during the following week, and it will mean that these men will work only 40 hours in any week and if they work more than that they must be compensated, for that time worked, at time and one-half. This is to take these men out of this classification and put them on a 40-hour week without reduction in pay.

Supervisor Brown said, as I understand it, Sheriff, you are in favor of the ordinance as it appears before us.

Sheriff Murphy replied, I am in favor of putting them back into the ordinance.

Supervisor Brown stated, you, then, are asking us to vote in favor of this ordinance on the Calendar.

Sheriff Murphy answered, that is correct.

Supervisor Colman inquired, you request a "Yes" vote on this particular number, is that correct?

Supervisor Murphy said, that is correct.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Sullivan moved the privilege of the floor for Mrs. Minudri.

Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mrs. Minudri explained, we brought this matter to you before because we felt that these men were being forced to work in excess of what you prescribed. You voted for a 40-hour week. We contend that these salaries are based on a 40-hour week and that these men should receive time off for time worked in excess of 40 hours a week. These two men have regularly worked the extra eight hours a week without time off. If you delete these men from this provision, you are not giving them any increase, you are merely putting them back to what they were receiving before.

It is unfair to ask these men to work eight hours a week extra without time off. The issue is plain, it is a 40-hour week and the salary is based upon a 40-hour week. The City Attorney told us that it could not be done any other way.

Mr. Hollingberry, Under Sheriff, stated, the issue has been clouded. It has been said that these two Superintendents have been treated unfairly.

They have been raised from \$235 per month to \$460 per month, their present salary. If they were to be placed on a 40-hour week they would have received less pay than they are receiving now.

I was told by a member of the Civil Service Commission that the fact-finding body of the Civil Service Commission submitted \$360 per month, with 20 per cent additional for Saturday. The Civil Service Commission said that these men work 49 hours a week so we should fix the salary on a 48-hour week, and they could receive time off at the discretion of the Sheriff.

It has been said that these men have not received time off for the time worked. The Sheriff has always looked after the interest of the working people and these Jail Superintendents, who were getting \$235 per month, are now making \$460, have had time off continuously. They have been off at 1:00 in the afternoon; they have had personal business in Fresno. The Superintendent of Jail No. 2 arrives at 9:00 o'clock in the morning to put in his eight hours. We have never refused time off when a reasonable request was made.

Mr. John O'Connell, secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council, remarked, I support the statements made by Mrs. Minudri. It is a clear case of discrimination. These men should be given the same rights that are given to everybody else. The word "may" should not be in the ordinance. Why should it be left up to the discretion of the head of the department? These men should have the time off of the money should be paid to them. The question of money has nothing to do with these changes. If these men have to work more than 40 hours, why shouldn't they be given time off?

Mr. Albert, representing the Civil Service Commission, said, Mr. Hollingberry stated the case pretty well. When the Commission set the rate of \$460 they knew that these people were working regularly a 6-day week, and to take them out of this particular section would add another 20 per cent to the \$460. The Civil Service Commission know that the time they set \$460 per month, that these people were working this time and if they work overtime they would not be paid for it but they would receive time off if the head of the department saw fit.

Supervisor Christopher asked, just prior to this last raise, were these men receiving \$400 per month?

Mr. Albert replied, they were receiving \$400 and were in this section.

Mrs. Minudri remarked, in the case of the Assistant Superintendent of Sewer Repair, he was raised to the same salary as the Superintendent of Jails, and he received an additional 10 per cent for the four hours he works on Saturdays.

The statement was made that the Superintendent of Jail No. 2 did not arrive until 9:00 a.m. The reason for this is because the

Superintendent of Jails has to take the station wagon back to the jail and he takes no lunch hour at all.

Mr. Hollingberry answered, it is true that the Superintendent of Jails brings the car later. We have other automobiles and he could come out in one of those. It is not a question of cars, we have plenty of them. These men are the Superintendents of Jails and they should be there to supervise the jails. These men have received much time off.

Mr. Dan Scannell said, I know that these men do not have to work 48 hours a week. On holidays they are away, on both night shifts they are not in the jail. These jobs can be filled on a 5-days-a-week basis. In Seattle county jails the Superintendent gets \$480 per month for a 40-hour week. This is discrimination against the employees of the Sheriff's department.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso—6.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—4.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

**Amending the Budget Procedure Ordinance by the Addition of a New Section Providing for the Submission of the Administrative Provisions of the Annual Salary Ordinance and the Publication thereof.**

Bill No. 4100, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending the budget procedure ordinance by the addition of a new section providing for the submission of the administrative provisions of the Annual Salary Ordinance and the publication thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1925, Ordinance No. 1847 (Series of 1939), is hereby amended by adding a new section, as follows:

Section 1.1. The administrative provisions of the annual salary ordinance for each ensuing fiscal year shall be prepared and submitted not later than May 1st by the Civil Service Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Upon transmission thereof to the Board of Supervisors it shall thereupon be automatically referred to the Finance Committee and shall be published concurrently with and in the same manner as the Mayor's proposed budget and the draft of the annual appropriation ordinance are published.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 1, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray, Sullivan.

Approving Map of Resubdivision of Lots 58, 59, 60 and 61, Block 5835, St. Mary's Park.

Proposal No. 5802, Resolution No. 5648 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of resubdivision of lots 58, 59, 60, and 61, Block 5835, St. Mary's Park, San Francisco, California," composed of one sheet approved the 19th day of June, 1946, by Department of Public Works' Order No. 24367, be and the same is hereby approved and made the official map of the subdivision of lots 58, 59, 60, and 61, Block 5835, St. Mary's Park, San Francisco, California.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Real Estate Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Approving "Record of Survey Map of a Portion of Visitacion Valley Lands, San Francisco, California," for Subdivision Purposes.

Proposal No. 5803, Resolution No. 5649 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to chapter 128 of the laws of 1943, which is an act to add chapter 2, comprising sections 11500 to 11628 inclusive, and chapter 3 comprising sections 11650 to 11658, inclusive, to part 2 of division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, and pursuant to chapter 668 of the laws of 1943, which is an act to amend sections 11535, 11552, 11554, 11555, 11593, and 11612 of the Business and Professions Code, and pursuant to chapter 15, division 3 of the Business and Professions Code of the State of California, and pursuant to the Charter and Ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, that certain map entitled, "Record of Survey Map of a Portion of Visitacion Valley Lands, San Francisco, California," composed of one sheet, is hereby approved for subdivision purposes.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Real Estate Department.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor MacPhee said, I would like to request that the Clerk of the Board file, with the California State Department of Real Estate, a copy of this resolution. It is incumbent upon all property owners to file such a map with the State Department and it is not incumbent upon the City to do so.

The Clerk was directed to file a copy of this resolution with the California State Department of Real Estate.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:



Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Dublin Street Between Persia and Russia Avenues.**

Proposal No. 5831, Resolution No. 5654 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 24377 of the Director of Public Works dated June 21, 1946, making written recommendation of such action, filed with said Board June 21, 1946, to-wit:

<i>Dublin Street</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Easterly line of, at Persia Avenue (The same being the present official grade) .....	328.00
Westerly line of, at Persia Avenue (The same being the present official grade) .....	326.00
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 220 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	329.74
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 270 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	332.47
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 320 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	339.65
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 220 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	329.54
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 270 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	332.41
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 320 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	339.65
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 385 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	351.89
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 460 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	359.79
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 535 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	355.29
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 385 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	351.89
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 460 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	359.79
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 535 feet southerly from Persia Avenue .....	355.29
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points	
Russia Avenue northerly line (The same being the present official grade) .....	346.00
On Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.	

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grade.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Chronicle is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Approving Map of Pueblo Street Extension and Dedicating Same as an Open Public Street.**

Proposal No. 5844, Resolution No. 5659 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map Showing the Extension of Pueblo Street from its southerly termination southerly to the County Line," composed of one sheet approved the 28th day of June, 1946, by Department of Public Works Order No. 24430 be and the same is hereby approved and the parcel shown hatched thereon is hereby declared to be an open public street dedicated to public use to be known as Pueblo Street.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Real Estate Department.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Ordering the Improvement of the Crossings of Wawona Street at Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues, and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4170, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of the crossings of Wawona Street at Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues.

Appropriating \$350 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County

of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossings of Wawona Street at Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Grading (Excavation)
2.	12-inch V. C. P. Sewer
3.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer
4.	10-inch V. C. P. Culvert
5.	Brick Manholes, Complete
6.	Brick Catchbasins, Complete
7.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
8.	Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
9.	2-Course Concrete Sidewalk

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 2456, Lot 1;

Block 2457, Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29;

Block 2458, Lots 2, 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, 2-F, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10;

Block 2507, Lots 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, and 45;

Block 2508, Lots 1, 1-A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8-A, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35; and

Block 2509, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7-A, 26, 26-A, 26-B, 27, and 28;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2: The sum of \$350 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.906.19 for the purpose of extending City aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied

against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Lathrop Avenue Between Tunnel and Wheeler Avenues, Including Crossing of Lathrop Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4173, Ordinance No. 42 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Lathrop Avenue between Tunnel Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the crossing of Lathrop Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Lathrop Avenue between Tunnel Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the crossing of Lathrop Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Ordering the Improvement of Wawona Street Between Thirty-ninth and Forty-first Avenues and Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4178, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Wawona Street between Thirty-ninth and Forty-first Avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues.

Appropriation \$900 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 31, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of:

Wawona Street, between Thirty-ninth and Forty-first Avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, by grading to the official line and subgrade and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Subbase Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base, and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2.	Unarmored Concrete Curb.
3.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers.
4.	Water Services, Long.
5.	Water Service, Short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 2457, Lot 13;  
 Block 2508, Lot 29;  
 Block 2509; Lots 24 and 25; and  
 Block 2511, Lot 9;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$900 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.906.18 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Recommended by the Director of Public Works.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-second Avenue, Between Quintara and Rivera Streets, Including Crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Quintara Street, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4179, Ordinance No. . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-second Avenue, between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-second Avenue, between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-first Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4180, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-first Avenue between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-first Avenue between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Rivera Street Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, Including Crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Rivera Street, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4181, Ordinance No. 46 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Rivera Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Rivera Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Repealing Ordinance Ordering Improvement of Cabrillo Street (S. ½) West of Twenty-seventh Avenue, and Other Locations, by Construction or Reconstruction of Sidewalks.**

Bill No. 4182, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Repealing Bill 4067, Ordinance 3848 (Series of 1939), approved by the Mayor, May 22, 1946, ordering improvement of Cabrillo Street (S½) between 60' and 90' west of Twenty-seventh Avenue, and other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4067, Ordinance 3848 (Series of 1939), approved by the Mayor, May 22, 1946, ordering the improvement of Cabrillo Street (S½) between 60' and 90' west of Twenty-seventh Avenue, and other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

## Consideration Continued.

The following, from Public Health and Welfare Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Christopher, Sullivan.

**Urging the Housing Authority to Adopt a Policy of Non-Discrimination and Non-Segregation in Consideration of Veteran Applicants for Units in Housing Projects.**

Proposal No. 5718, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the gravity of the housing problem facing veterans has been recognized officially by various acts of the Board of Supervisors and city administration, including establishment of the Veterans' Housing Bureau and appropriation of funds for conversion of federal structures to emergency apartments for veterans and their families; and

Whereas, men and women of all races, colors, creeds, and ancestries served honorably in our armed forces during the war, many with heroism and outstanding devotion to duty; and

Whereas, it is the policy of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that there shall be no discrimination by reason of race, creed, color, or ancestry in the administration of public funds or of programs entailing tax exemption or other forms of contribution; and

Whereas, it is found that the practice of separation or segregation of tenants according to color by the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco means that it is impossible for a fair and impartial "first come, first served" principle to govern the distribution of available public housing units to applicants, and therefore that this practice of segregation actually involves discrimination; and,

Whereas, in comparison with the relative numbers of white and non-white veterans registered at the Housing Bureau, the discrimination against colored veterans resulting from the practice of segregation has reached serious proportions in recent months, both as to numbers and quality of public housing units made available; and,

Whereas, it is both a matter of record and of widespread agreement among experts in interracial relations that trouble between white and non-whites invariably occurs in those communities or sections of cities where segregation is the basic pattern of housing occupancy, and that such trouble does not occur in so-called mixed sections where people live as neighbors; and

Whereas, there is ample evidence in California, notably in Marin City and Los Angeles, of the ability of Americans of many backgrounds, including Negroes and southern whites, to live in harmony as neighbors under enlightened public housing management; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors reaffirms the rights of all veterans, regardless of race, color, creed, or ancestry, to equal treatment at the hands of all public agencies of this City and County, and therefore, other factors being equal, to equal access, on a basis of "first come, first served," to all public housing for veterans operated by the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco, and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby urges upon said Housing Authority immediate adoption of a policy of non-discrimination and non-segregation, and of any and all procedures, in cooperation with the Veterans' Housing Bureau, which will eliminate existing injustices and guarantee to all veteran applicants for



housing that they will be housed solely according to their seniority on one master waiting list, without regard to race, color, creed, or ancestry.

June 10, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher said, the Committee took this matter up in great detail and heard both sides of the story. I believe that this matter should be adopted today.

This matter comes to the Board "without recommendation" because there were only two members present and one was for and one against the proposition.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Sullivan moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead stated, I would like to ask a question. Were the people who are requesting a postponement given an opportunity to speak in Committee with respect to this matter.

Supervisor Sullivan explained, the Adjutant General of the American Legion and other members of the American Legion were present at the meetings.

Supervisor Mead inquired, were there a series of meetings on this matter in Committee?

Supervisor Sullivan, replied, there were two meetings on this proposal.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I would like to ask Mr. Sullivan if these people would be able to present anything new on this matter.

Supervisor Sullivan answered, they might have, I do not know for certain.

Supervisor Mead asked, was Mr. Beard present at the meetings.

Supervisor Christopher said, he was invited to attend the meetings, but he did not come to any of them.

Supervisor Colman stated, when the matter was first heard in Committee, at the request of Mr. Beard, the matter was postponed for one week. Then at the following meeting the veterans were heard on this matter. They made their protest and as far as I am concerned we gave them a courteous hearing and I feel that their protests were not as strong as the people in favor of this matter. I will oppose any further delay after today.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to postpone was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Motion to Set as Special Order.

Supervisor Christopher moved that this matter be made a special order of business on next Monday at 2:30 p. m.

Seconded by Supervisor Colman.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

Supervisor Sullivan said, I believe that Mr. Beard should be here.

Supervisor Sullivan moved that the Board go on record and insist that Mr. Beard be here on next Monday.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

*No objections and motion carried.*

The Clerk was directed to send telegram to Mr. Beard inviting him to attend next Monday's meeting.

**Leave of Absence—Dan S. Hewitt, Member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial.**

The following recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, was taken up:

Proposal No. 5864, Resolution No. 5673 (Series of 1936), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Mr. Dan S. Hewitt, Trustee of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period June 29 to July 15, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 5642, adopted July 1, 1946, is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Confirming Appointment of Frank A. Flynn to Board of Trustees, War Memorial.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 5862, Resolution No. 5671 (Series of 1931), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Section 44 of the Charter, his Honor, the Mayor, has appointed Frank A. Flynn as a member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial of San Francisco, vice E. Lawrence George, resigned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in meeting assembled, does hereby approve and confirm the appointment of Frank A. Flynn as a member of the Board of Trustees of the San Francisco War Memorial.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Condemning Use of Recall Procedure in Cases Where There Is No Dishonesty, Corruption, Malfeasance, Misfeasance or Incapacity.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5863, Resolution No. 5672 (Series of 1936), as follows:

Whereas, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides a method for the recall of public officials; and

Whereas, recall proceedings pursuant to the Charter have been initiated for the purpose of recalling Roger Lapham, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the fundamental purpose of recall proceedings as they have historically developed in our government has been to recall from their positions only such office holders as have been dishonest and corrupt, or those who have shown an utter incapacity due to

illness or otherwise to properly handle public affairs entrusted to them, or those who have been guilty of malfeasance or misfeasance in office; and

Whereas, there is no place in American government for the recall of an office on the basis of policy or difference of opinion; and

Whereas, a recall movement predicated entirely upon a difference of opinion or policy or the use of judgment leads to the destruction of the whole recall principle; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that the recall of a public official who has not been dishonest or corrupt or guilty of malfeasance or misfeasance in office, and who has shown no incapacity to perform his duties, should not take place, and that it is further the sense of this Board of Supervisors that only in cases where there is dishonesty, corruption, malfeasance or misfeasance in office, or utter incapacity to perform public services should recall proceedings be initiated.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Gallagher said, I am a friend of Mayor Lapham. It is true that on much of the legislation that has come before the Board the Mayor and I have not agreed. Next Tuesday is the day for the recall election and there has been no proof that Mayor Lapham has been derelict. I believe any man who is in public office has the fear that if such a recall is successful he can be recalled for any reason at all.

Supervisor Sullivan stated, I feel that any recall is an insult to this Board of Supervisors.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Gallagher moved the privilege of the floor to Mr. Bernard Freed.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Brown remarked, I object to the privilege of the floor. This is a matter that concerns the Board and we do not need any outside advice.

Supervisor MacPhee explained, I feel that I have a very fair right to express my opinion in this matter. Had I been elected the Mayor instead of Mayor Lapham, I feel that the people would have the right to recall me at any time they desired. I feel that Mayor Lapham should be allowed to finish his term and until it can be proven that he has done something wrong, that cannot be righted, then he should be permitted to finish his term.

Supervisor Mead said, I do not believe that Mayor Lapham should be recalled because I believe it is an attempt, by certain groups in San Francisco, to recall the Mayor because they do not like his opinions.

If anybody is to be recalled because they expressed an opinion, then everyone who opposed the Mayor should also be recalled because they too expressed an opinion. The worst war in the history of the world has just been concluded and the major issue of the war was just to give the people an opportunity to express an opinion.

I consider it a privilege and an honor to vote for this resolution.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, Mayor Lapham did not give me this resolution.

Supervisor Colman stated, this did not come from the Mayor. I concur in everything that has been said. An honest difference of opinion is not the reason for a recall. Suppose the recall was successful, then the election of a new Mayor would be left to the decision

of the Board of Supervisors, and that is not the true democratic form of government.

On his record, the Mayor has fulfilled his office. He brought about the consolidation of the railroads. He tackled the sewer bonds and the airport bonds, and he was successful in both of these. The Mayor has not done politics in his office. I am proud to vote for this resolution.

Supervisor Brown remarked, I want to subscribe to everything that has been said. The people of San Francisco have an opportunity, now, to officially determine whether or not they want a man in public office who will express himself and put his expressions into action. If the recall is successful, it will mean that the people of San Francisco do not want this type of man and they would not get that type of man to run for the office of Mayor. We need that type of man in that office. The people of San Francisco must subscribe to the fact that they want in responsible positions, in this City, men who will and do assume the responsibility of the office.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### **ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

##### **Construction of Four-lane Highway From San Francisco to Sacramento.**

Supervisor Brown said, I am going to again bring up the matter of the highway between here and Sacramento. I drove down this highway this morning and the situation has not improved. The situation will be relieved somewhat because they are going to open up a highway between Vacaville and Sacramento, but from Vacaville down it is a country road, only two lanes.

We can't do anything this year because the highway funds are all budgeted and will be spent the way the Commission feels will do the most good; but unless we start making a noise now, we will be left out in the cold next year.

I am going to urge that the Streets Committee take this matter up to see that the four-lane highway be made the first order of business.

Supervisor Meyer remarked, the Division of Highways will meet in Sacramento on July 18th. Will you be able to attend the meeting with the Streets Committee?

Supervisor Brown replied, I will be able to go with you.

The Chair then authorized the Streets Committee and Supervisor Brown to attend the meeting in Sacramento.

##### **Calling From Committee: Declaration of Policy re Farmers' Market.**

Supervisor Christopher stated, I would like to call out of Committee the matter of the Farmers' Market relative to the placing of this matter on the ballot.

The reason I asked for this is because I understand that this matter is coming to the Board again and I would like to suggest to Mr. Brooks that if he is going to request an appropriation that he include the amount necessary for the continuation of the Farmers' Market. We have had a number of figures on this matter and they have all been different. I would like to know just how much it would cost

to operate the Market. In my computation, the total amount necessary would be in the neighborhood of \$100,000 and, if that is correct, that is the amount that should be specified.

**Motion to Set as Special Order.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be set as a special order of business at 3:30 p. m.

Seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

*No objections and motion carried.*

**Adopted.**

**Providing for the Submission of a Charter Amendment Covering Wage Increases and Improved Working Conditions for Platform Men and Certain Other Employees of the City and County.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 5860, Resolution No. 5669 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, platform men, bus operators and other employees of the Municipal Railway heretofore refused to perform their duties until provision was made for the payment of wages in excess of those provided for under the current Budget, Appropriation Ordinance, Standardization of Salaries Ordinance and Annual Salary Ordinance; and

Whereas, the said employees struck and discontinued operation of street cars and buses for the period commencing at 12:01 a. m., June 30, 1946, and ending at 12:01 a. m., July 4, 1946, during which time members of this Board, the Mayor and other City officials conferred and negotiated with the employees, who agreed to return to work and operate the street cars and buses providing a Charter amendment would be submitted to the voters at the next General Election to be held November 5, 1946. This Charter amendment shall provide for the fixing of wages of platform men and bus operators, by the Board of Supervisors, at rates which shall be the average of the two highest rates paid by street railway systems in the State of California as of July 1 in any year and that the current wages paid platform men and bus operators shall be retroactively fixed as of July 1, 1946, with the additive provision that the minimum wage to be paid shall not be less than the currently prevailing wage effective July 1, 1946. That platform employees and bus operators are to be paid one and one-half times the usual rate of pay for all work done on six specified holidays, and that all employees of the City and County engaged in crafts now subject to section 151.3 of the Charter shall, upon the passage of the amendment, have their wages increased in accordance with contracts existing as of July 1, 1946, between crafts and employers, and wages paid from July 1, 1946, in accordance therewith for the current fiscal year; and

Whereas, it was further agreed that the wage scale paid platform men shall provide that instructors shall receive 20 cents an hour in addition to their regular pay retroactive to July 1, 1946, and that the Manager of Utilities shall provide for allowing ten minutes additional time for turn-in and report-in for bus operators working regular schedules and a pro rata time increase for those working less than a regular shift; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, by the passage of this resolution, hereby determines and declares that it will, in time for the next General Election to be held on November 5, 1946, submit to the voters of the City and County, an amendment to the Charter to provide for the foregoing increases of wages and improved working conditions and if adopted by the voters and ratified by the State

Legislature, this Board will pass the necessary legislation to put into operation the terms of the Charter amendment; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission and the Controller shall, out of revenues to be realized from the operation of the Municipal Railway, impound, set aside and earmark funds sufficient to pay the increased wages that may become effective from July 1, 1946, upon the passage of the Charter amendment described.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead inquired, was this prepared by the City Attorney? Supervisor Christopher replied, it was.

Supervisor Mead asked, did representatives of both of the Street Car Unions have a chance to study this?

Mr. Holm answered, before the meeting I submitted this to Mr. Ward and Mr. Foley and Mr. Davis and they said that it was alright.

Supervisor Christopher said, this resolution met with the approval of the Mayor and it was part and parcel of the agreement when this controversy was settled.

Mayor Lapham stated, I have not read the resolution, but if it carries out the intent of our thoughts I am in favor of it.

Supervisor Gallagher inquired, are we empowered to freeze money by resolution or do we have to do it by ordinance?

Mr. Holm answered, it is merely a direction to the Controller and the Public Utilities Commission that they take into consideration the fact that the people may pass this Charter amendment that will make wages retroactive. It is a formal calling to their attention the agreement entered into between the City and the labor unions. It would not make any difference whether it was by resolution or ordinance.

Supervisor Colman remarked, we have asked the unions as to whether they approve of this and they do. The Mayor and the Public Utilities Commission have not had an opportunity to read it. I believe it would be advisable to give the Mayor and the Utilities Commission an opportunity to read it and then we will know that it has their approval, and then we can vote upon it.

Supervisor MacPhee explained, this was prepared by Mr. Holm, who is attorney for the Public Utilities. I believe that we can depend upon the Mayor's fairness that if there is anything wrong with it he will veto it and if he is in favor of it he will sign it.

Mayor Lapham said, if it carries out the intent, I will sign it.

Mr. Holm stated, it does. It merely stated what you authorized me to do. We can amend it if we find that there are errors in it.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Adopted.

Commending First Annual Fishermen's Fiesta, Fishermen's Wharf,  
July 27-28.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Proposal No. 5865, Resolution No. 5674 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the romance and color of San Francisco's Fishermen's Wharf have contributed in large measure to the national affection and esteem in which San Francisco is held; and

Whereas, Fishermen's Wharf has become an international attraction to tourists and a beloved landmark to the City's residents; and

Whereas, the fishermen and the fishing industry have drawn up plans for a Fishermen's Fiesta to be held at the wharf July 27 and 28, celebrating the traditions and festivities of this ancient craft and demonstrating its growth into a billion-dollar industry on the Pacific Coast; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco herewith extend greetings to the fishermen and the fishing industry of San Francisco, and that it commends this first annual Fishermen's Fiesta to the people of San Francisco and to visitors in our City.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Meeting of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee to Consider Urban Redevelopment and Post War Planning Report.**

Supervisor Colman said, the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee will meet on Thursday at 2 p. m.

There are matters of importance to come up; one is the consideration of the report of the City Planning Commission relative to Urban Redevelopment, also the size of lots; the builders should be notified on this matter.

Supervisor Mancuso asked, Supervisor Colman, are you going to go into the other aspects of the Post War Planning Report?

Supervisor Colman replied, the Committee has tentatively agreed upon two projects; one is the solution of Market Street. The Mayor has appointed a committee to meet with the Board and make a report.

#### **Eviction Legislation.**

Supervisor Lewis said, I want to personally congratulate each member of the Board for the splendid work that they did on the street car strike.

I notice that the Board was considering certain ordinances that are very urgent. I notice that there were contemplated meetings of the County, State and National Affairs Committee and the Judiciary Committee and I saw fit to cut my vacation short by one week and appear before your Committee and see what I could do to help. I believe we should do something with respect to evictions. It may well be for a special meeting to be called so that the Board can enact this legislation. I understand that it is a very serious situation in San Francisco as to the number of evictions that are taking place.

Supervisor Brown stated, I understand that the body politic that has jurisdiction over this matter is the State and that no lower body can pass any legislation like this.

Supervisor Mancuso remarked, the City Attorney also called attention to the fact that in New York City they passed legislation relative to eviction and it was declared legal.

Supervisor Lewis explained, I would say that if such an ordinance is to be prepared time is of the essence and it should be passed as soon as possible.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### **Monies Due From the State.**

Supervisor Mancuso said, at the meeting of the convention of the County Supervisors Association there was a matter brought to the attention of the Association, with respect to the \$10,000,000 that the

State had set up for the programs of the cities throughout the State. They brought out the fact that there was only \$3,500,000 applied for and if we did not apply that the money would go back to the State.

I inquired as to what San Francisco had done with respect to applying for its portion of the money, and I was informed that San Francisco has applied for all of the money allocated to it for sites and with respect to plans we have applied for approximately 50 per cent. There is a balance of \$250,000.

I believe we should commend Mr. Brooks for the manner in which he has handled this job.

Adopted.

Supervisor McMurray, joined by all members of the Board, presented:

**In Memoriam—Frank J. McGovern.**

Proposal No. 5866, Resolution No. 5675 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Frank J. McGovern has been summoned by his Maker; and

Whereas, Mr. McGovern served as a member of the Board of Supervisors from 1927 to 1931; and

Whereas, prior to his election to the City's legislative panel, Mr. McGovern was Secretary of the San Francisco Milk Producers Association and was, for many years, Business Agent for the Milk Wagon Drivers' Union; and

Whereas, Mr. McGovern's passing will not only be deeply mourned by his anguished family, his wife, four sons and two daughters, but will be keenly felt by fraternal, social and business circles, where his friends were legion; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day, it does so out of respect to the memory of Frank J. McGovern, loving father and respected citizen; and be it further

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed, as an indication of the Board's esteem, to transmit suitably engrossed copies of this resolution to the family of the late Frank J. McGovern.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**COMMITTEE MEETINGS.**

The following Committee meetings were announced:

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, Thursday, July 11, 1946, 2. p m.

Judiciary Committee, Wednesday, July 10, 1946, 2 p. m.

County, State and National Affairs Committee, Wednesday, July 10, 1946, 3:30 p. m.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 3, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, David A. Barry, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

DAVID A. BARRY, Clerk.  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.







Vol. 41

No. 29

Monday, July 15, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 15, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 15, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Mancuso—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Mancuso noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Brown excused from attendance.

Supervisor Sullivan excused from attendance at 5:00 p. m.

Supervisor MacPhee excused from attendance at 4:45 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 13 and 14, 1946, were considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From S. F. Labor Council, opposing submission of charter amendment adopting appointive system for superior court judges.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Supervisor Brown, asking to be excused from meeting of July 15th.

*Supervisor Brown excused.*

From the Mayor, reporting on meeting of East Bay Division, League of California Cities, in connection with proposed local sales tax.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Civic League of Improvement Clubs, urging immediate favorable action on proposal to construct second Bay crossing.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From St. Anne's Church, inviting attendance at Procession in connection with Novena to good St. Anne, Sunday, July 21, 1946, 3:00 p. m.

*Copy to each member of Board.*

From Civil Service Assn., urging that in consideration of any salary standardization amendment to adjust city employees' salaries as

of July 1st or any date later than April 1st, equal consideration be given to all classifications for similar adjustments at a later date.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Civil Service Assn., recommending appropriation for a salary standardization survey for the next fiscal year.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Peninsula Division, League of California Cities, announcing meeting Thursday, July 25th, 7:00 p. m., Los Gatos.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Redwood Empire Assn., proposing that San Francisco stage an annual "Fiesta" commencing in the spring of 1947.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Central Council of Civic Clubs, urging study of principle of suspended mono-rail system for mass transportation in San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From Shoreline Planning Assn., of Calif., Inc., announcing semi-annual general meeting, July 23rd, probably in Los Angeles.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Mrs. C. E. Lewis, complaining of rat nuisance in Marina District.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the Mayor, transmitting copy of above Miller-DeDios telegram.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Visitation Valley Improvement Assn., favoring segregation in housing projects.

*Acknowledge and file.*

From D. R. Andreotti, opposing enactment of anti-eviction ordinance.

*Ordered filed.*

From L. C. Bentzen, requesting consideration of landlords' viewpoint in connection with proposed ordinance regulating evictions.

*Ordered filed.*

From E. D. Tichenor, requesting relief from provisions of rent ceiling ordinance.

*Ordered filed.*

From E. D. Tichenor, appealing for fairness to landlords in establishment of eviction regulations.

*Ordered filed.*

From W. G. Sparrowe, requesting continuance of rent and eviction regulations of the O. P. A.

*Ordered filed.*

From Mrs. L. V. Helgerson, objecting to establishment of rent ceilings.

*Ordered filed.*

From E. and B. Wright, advocating passage of non-eviction ordinance.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Chief Administrative Officer, submitting complete data in connection with continued operation of Farmers' Market, and recommending appropriation of \$62,000 for purchase of permanent site.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*



Presented by Supervisor Gallagher, invitation to attend induction ceremonies of Federal District Judge George B. Harris, July 17th.

*Clerk to send notice to each member of Board.*

From Julian Bagley, presented by Supervisor Mancuso, asking that maximum of \$80 per month for part-time positions be increased.

*Acknowledge and refer to Civil Service Commission for report and recommendation.*

From Mrs. C. E. Riese, presented by Supervisor Mancuso, favoring enactment of ordinance regulating evictions.

*Acknowledge and file.*

From Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection, presented by Supervisor Gallagher, reporting on activities for fiscal year 1945-1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Mrs. E. C. Miller and V. DeDios, requesting enactment of legislation creating rental ceilings on business property.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis said the Board should give serious consideration to this matter. Rentals in downtown offices have been doubling and trebling in some cases. I am going to ask the City Attorney to draft an ordinance that will place a ceiling on rents on downtown office buildings.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Taken Up Out of Order.

#### Final Passage.

Supervisor MacPhee presented as a Judiciary Committee recommendation:

**An Ordinance Declaring the Existence of an Emergency and Regulating the Possession of Property and Evictions of Tenants from Property Used for Human Habitation and Providing Penalties for Violation Thereof; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4205, Ordinance No. 3936 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance declaring the existence of an emergency and regulating the possession of property and evictions of tenants from property used for human habitation and providing penalties for violation thereof; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and determines that an emergency exists in respect to housing within the City and County of San Francisco. For several years last past there has been a dearth of housing accommodations in this City and County. During the war period, the influx of population to this area has resulted in additional and serious housing shortages. The demand for accommodations has so far exceeded the supply that in the absence of rent control laws it is apparent that great numbers of persons will be evicted and unable to obtain dwellings. The expiration of Federal rental controls has already resulted in service of many notices of termination of tenancy, with the prospect of widespread eviction of citizens at the end of the notice period. If evicted, neither this community nor the surrounding area has housing capacity to take care of such persons in a shift in accommodations, which inevitably will lead to much human suffering.

The Legislature of the State of California is not in session and cannot legislate to meet the emergency. It is probable that the

Congress of the United States will not be able to revive preexisting legislation or to provide substitutes therefor for several weeks, during which period the tenancy of large numbers of persons will terminate and evictions commence. Under the circumstances, this Board of Supervisors finds that it is likely that many tenants to be evicted will resist efforts at such eviction, and thereby promote public disorder, and that any extensive shift in accommodations for families within this City and County will result in increased demands made of public authorities to provide temporary shelter and accommodations at the public charge. This Board of Supervisors therefore finds that the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, welfare and safety require the passage of this ordinance.

Section 2. The term "housing accommodations" shall include any house, flat, apartment, room, boarding house, lodging house, hotel, inn, auto court, motel or trailer; and any building, structure or part thereof or land appurtenant thereto, or any other real or personal property rented or offered for rent for living or dwelling purposes, together with all privileges, services, furnishings, furniture, equipment, facilities and improvements connected with the use or occupancy of such property.

The term "landlord" includes an owner, lessor, sublessor, assignee or other person receiving or entitled to receive rent for the use or occupancy of any housing accommodations.

The phrase "person, firm or corporation" includes an individual, corporation, partnership, association, or any other organized group of persons or legal successor or representative of any of the foregoing.

The term "Emergency Price Control Act of 1942" includes its amendments such as the "Stabilization Act of 1942" and the "Stabilization Extension Act of 1944."

Section 3. (a) No landlord or other person shall remove any tenant so long as the tenant continues to pay the rent to which the landlord is entitled, not in excess of the fair and reasonable rent herein described, from any housing accommodations, by action to evict or to recover possession, by exclusion from possession, or otherwise, nor shall any landlord or other person attempt, by service upon any tenant of notice of eviction or otherwise, such removal or exclusion from possession, notwithstanding that such tenant has no lease or that his lease or other rental agreement has expired or otherwise terminated, and regardless of any contract, lease, agreement obligation heretofore or hereafter entered into, which provides for entry of judgment upon the tenant's confession for breach of the covenants thereof or which otherwise provides contrary hereto, unless:

(1) **Tenant's refusal to renew lease.** The tenant, who had a written lease or other written rental agreement, has refused upon demand of the landlord to execute a written extension or renewal thereof for a further term of like duration but not in excess of one year, or if the lease was for a term of more than three months and was nonseasonal in character, for a term of not more than one year, for a rent not in excess of the maximum rent, but otherwise on the same terms and conditions as the previous lease or agreement, except insofar as such terms and conditions are inconsistent with this provision; or

(2) **Tenant's refusal of access of landlord.** The tenant has unreasonably refused the landlord access to the housing accommodations for the purpose of inspection or of showing the accommodations to a prospective purchaser, mortgagee, or prospective mortgagee, or other person having a legitimate interest therein; provided, however, that such refusal shall not be ground for removal or eviction if such inspection or showing of the accommodations is contrary to the provisions of the tenant's lease or other rental agreement; or

(3) **Violating obligation of tenancy or committing nuisance.** The tenant (i) has violated a substantial obligation of his tenancy, other

than an obligation to pay rent and has continued, or failed to cure, such violation after written notice by the landlord that the violation cease, or (ii) is committing or permitting a nuisance or is using or permitting a use of the housing accommodations for an immoral or illegal purpose; or

(4) **Subtenants on expiration of tenant's lease.** The tenant's lease or other rental agreement has expired or otherwise terminated, and at the time of termination the occupants of the housing accommodations are subtenants or other persons who occupied under rental agreement with the tenant, and no part of the accommodations is used by the tenant as his own dwelling; or

(5) **Occupancy by landlord.** The landlord owned, or acquired an enforceable right to buy or the right to possession of, the housing accommodations prior to October 20, 1942, and has an immediate compelling necessity to recover possession of such accommodations for use and occupancy as a dwelling for himself, or has served during the period of the war emergency in the armed forces of the United States and in good faith seeks possession for his own occupancy.

(b) No landlord or other person shall remove or evict any tenant or commence any action therefor on grounds or conditions existing other than those stated hereinabove, except upon a written notice given to said tenant in manner and form as provided herein and by the laws of California at least six months before such removal, eviction or commencement of action; provided that as to any landlord who was honorably discharged or honorably released from military service during World War II in the Army, Navy, Coast Guard or Marine Corps of the United States such period shall be three months; and further provided that in any case such action may be taken on any such grounds only for the purpose of securing adequate housing accommodations for such landlord or his family. Any action for possession of housing accommodations shall be taken in accordance with the laws of the State of California except as herein otherwise provided.

(c) The provisions of this ordinance do not apply to a subtenant or other person who occupied under a rental agreement with the tenant, where removal or eviction of the subtenant or other such occupant is sought by the landlord of the tenant, unless there is a tenancy relationship between the landlord and the subtenant or other such occupant, nor to an occupant of a furnished room or rooms not constituting an apartment, located within the residence occupied by the landlord or his immediate family, where such landlord rents to not more than two occupants within such residence, nor to a family which on or after August 1, 1943, moves into a furnished room or rooms not constituting an apartment, located within the residence occupied by the landlord or his immediate family, where such landlord does not rent to any persons within such residence other than those in the one family, nor to a guest or tenant of a hotel having a right of occupancy of housing accommodations therein on a day to day or transient week to week basis only, nor to any action for the possession of housing accommodations pending on June 30, 1946, in which compliance with laws then in effect is proved by plaintiff on the trial thereof, nor to any such action whenever commenced in regard to the property which is the subject whereof the Administrator of said Federal housing laws and regulations has issued, on or before June 30, 1946, a certificate that the landlord may pursue his remedies in accordance with the requirements of local law.

(d) Every notice to a tenant to vacate or surrender possession of housing accommodations shall state the ground under this section upon which the landlord relies for removal or eviction of the tenant and the facts necessary to establish the existence of such ground.

Where the ground for removal or eviction of a tenant is non-payment of rent, every notice for eviction of a tenant of rent shall

state the rent for the housing accommodations, the amount of rent due, the rental period or periods for which such rent is due and the amount of the ceiling rental limitation in effect for said housing accommodations on June 30, 1946, under the Federal laws herein referred to.

Section 4. It shall be unlawful for any landlord to diminish, withdraw, impair, or discontinue the services, furniture, furnishings, or equipment furnished a tenant as part of the consideration for the rental, in effect as of June 30, 1946, or for a rental permitted by the provisions of Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3921 of said City and County and finally passed by this Board on July 3, 1946.

Section 5. The term "fair and reasonable rent" is the rent authorized and permitted under and by virtue of the Emergency Price Control Act of 1942, 56 Stats. 23, enacted by the Congress of the United States, together with the rules and regulations thereunder including the Rent Regulation for Housing, and Rent Regulation for Hotels and Rooming Houses, as the same existed and were applicable to the City and County of San Francisco as a part of the San Francisco Defense Rental Area, on June 30, 1946; to which may be added an increase of fifteen (15%) per cent, which this Board of Supervisors finds and determines to be a fair and equitable increase over and above the rentals so prevailing on June 30, 1946, under the Act and Regulations aforesaid.

Section 6. Any person convicted of violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be punishable by a fine of not more than \$500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months or by both such fine and imprisonment. Any violation of this ordinance shall constitute a misdemeanor.

Section 7. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this ordinance is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have adopted this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion thereof irrespective of the fact that any other portion thereof is declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 8. This ordinance is enacted as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being that there is a serious and extreme shortage of housing for human habitation in the City and County of San Francisco and as a result thereof, unless this ordinance becomes effective at once, in many instances rents will become inflated and extortionate and the residents of San Francisco will be evicted from their dwelling places and will be unable to find other places of habitation and therefore the enactment of said ordinance as an emergency measure is necessary for the preservation of public peace, health, and safety.

Section 9. This ordinance shall remain in effect for a period of ninety (90) days, after its passage, or until the effective date, if it occurs prior to the expiration of such ninety (90) day period, of any legislation of the State of California or of the United States enacted for the purpose of regulating evictions of tenants from housing accommodations during the emergency herein described.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee said, this ordinance provides for the same regulations, relative to evictions, as provided for by the O. P. A.

Mr. Holm, Assistant City Attorney, remarked it is the same as the O. P. A. From Section 3 on it will cover the provisions of the O. P. A.

Supervisor MacPhee stated, on Thursday afternoon the representatives of the various groups met with Mr. Holm, in the City Attorney's office, and went into the matter of drafting the legislation itself. I would suggest, at this time, that the Chair allow anyone who is interested in this matter to have the privilege of the floor to discuss the legislation.

Mr. Holm explained, in section 3C, eviction notices would not apply to the buildings stated herein. It provides that legislation pending on June 30th would not be affected by this ordinance. Those are the two outstanding things that the hotel people asked to be inserted.

This ordinance contains the outstanding provisions that the O. P. A. had in effect when it was discontinued. The penalty is \$500 or six months in the County Jail.

Supervisor Mead said, as I understand it, the only matter that it can enforce is when, and if, a tenant makes a complaint to the District Attorney's office.

Mr. Holm replied, that is correct. We do not have the machinery to carry on an investigation of all buildings. We would have to have a number of deputies to run around and check all of the buildings and follow through with court proceedings. It would require a tremendous bureau to do all of these.

Supervisor Mead stated, this is just about as far as we can go under the circumstances but, in my own opinion, I do not believe that it solves the problem at all.

Supervisor Lewis remarked, I believe that the answer to Supervisor Mead's question should be no. This ordinance could be enforced in a civil court. If a tenant is put out for reasons other than the exemptions, as set forth in the ordinance, he could come in and file a complaint. The judge in the civil court would examine the ordinance and see if the tenant could be put out.

Mr. Holm explained, if a landlord should increase the rents in excess of the ordinance that we have passed and then goes out and sues the tenant for the rent, then the tenant could use the ordinance against the landlord.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor for anyone interested in the ordinance and desiring to speak on it.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Charles Christin, representing the Apartment House Owners and Lessees Association, said, you are going, in this ordinance, so far afield in the general principles of Americanism that it is void, it will never be able to be enforced in the courts. You are disturbing, by legislation, property rights. No one has been thrown out as yet. The landlord must give 30 days' notice. There is no hurry on this matter, we should wait until the Federal Government acts on this matter. You are going to have every tenant suing every landlord and every landlord suing every tenant. You have no emergency here today. You should wait on this matter.

Mr. Meyer Simon of the Lawyers' Guild, stated, I believe that this law will be upheld by the courts. A municipality may pass legislation on this matter because the State has not passed any legislation on it. This is an emergency and the Board not only has the right, but it has its duty, to pass legislation to protect its citizens. I urge that this piece of legislation be passed today.

### Point of Order.

Supervisor Sullivan raised a point of order. We have a special order at 2:30 p. m. and we have a great number of people here.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

Subsequent in the meeting the Board again considered the Eviction Ordinance.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor for any interested citizens.

Seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Miss Gertrude Barnett, representing herself, said, this legislation should not be passed by the Board. You should permit the landlords to operate their apartment houses in the manner that they see fit. The landlords should be given protection. By passing this law you are not permitting the landlord to evict people who should be evicted.

Mr. J. Ozamick, member of the Apartment House Association, remarked, this law should not be passed today. The tenants have protection by the laws of the State of California. By passing this law you will be isolating the property owner.

Mr. Joseph Burns, representing the American Legion, explained, this ordinance should be passed by the Board. It is a protection to the veterans who are returning from overseas service. The State and Federal Government might pass some law on this, but San Francisco should go right ahead and pass legislation on this matter without any delay.

Mr. Estolvo Ward, representing the C. I. O., stated, this matter was considered in committee and a meeting was held in the City Attorney's office. All difficulties were ironed out and this is as close to the O. P. A. as is possible. While we are waiting for Congress to act, San Francisco should go ahead and pass legislation to protect the people. This ordinance should be passed today by this Board.

Mr. Ben Rothbard, representing himself, said, I do not believe that this ordinance should be passed. No landlord will go out and spend the money necessary to evict a tenant. Landlords now are trying to get rid of the nuisances that they have had to put up with for four years. No landlord will evict a tenant that has been fair with him in the past. If you do not pass this legislation, you will find that the number of evictions will not increase.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis remarked, the City tried to be more than fair in the drafting of this ordinance. We invited renting groups, who would be interested in the drafting of this ordinance, to sit with us in the City Attorney's office. We prepared this ordinance for a period of 90 days or until the Federal Government acts upon the matter. If the Government does not act, then we can do something about it in 90 days.

I believe that this Board will pass this ordinance. Something must be done to stop the landlords from evicting people indiscriminately.

Mr. Christin was in favor of this ordinance when he appeared at the City Attorney's office.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *passed as an emergency*, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**Assessment Confirmed.****Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Improvement of Portion of Carroll Avenue Between Third and Jennings Streets (East Line).**

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessment, diagram, or warrant for pay of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of Carroll Avenue between Third Street and Jennings Street (E. Line) by the construction of paving, etc., by The Fay Improvement Company, as described in Declaration of Intention No 22751 passed August 22, 1945, of the Department of Public Works.

*No protests; assessment confirmed.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.****Board of Supervisors to Sit as Board of Equalization.**

Pursuant to Resolution No. 5670 (Series of 1939), Board of Supervisors to meet as a Board of Equalization to examine the assessment books for the fiscal year 1946-1947 and equalize the assessment of property in the City and County of San Francisco and to continue in session for that purpose from time to time until the business of equalization is disposed of but not later than Monday, July 29, 1946.

*See Board of Equalization Journal, Volume 41, No. 30.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**

The following, from Public Health and Welfare Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Christopher, Sullivan.

**Urging the Housing Authority to Adopt a Policy of Non-Discrimination and Non-Segregation in Consideration of Veteran Applicants for Units in Housing Projects.**

Proposal No. 5718, Resolution No. 5693 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the gravity of the housing problem facing veterans has been recognized officially by various acts of the Board of Supervisors and city administration, including establishment of the Veterans' Housing Bureau and appropriation of funds for conversion of federal structures to emergency apartments for veterans and their families; and

Whereas, men and women of all races, colors, creeds, and ancestries served honorably in our armed forces during the war, many with heroism and outstanding devotion to duty; and

Whereas, it is the policy of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that there shall be no discrimination by reason of race, creed, color, or ancestry in the administration of public funds or of programs entailing tax exemption or other forms of contribution; and

Whereas, it is found that the practice of separation or segregation of tenants according to color by the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco means that it is impossible for a fair and impartial "first come, first served" principle to govern the distribution of available public housing units to applicants, and therefore that this practice of segregation actually involves discrimination; and

Whereas, in comparison with the relative number of white and non-white veterans registered at the Housing Bureau, the discrimination against colored veterans resulting from the practice of segrega-

tion has reached serious proportions in recent months, both as to numbers and quality of public housing units made available; and

Whereas, it is both a matter of record and of widespread agreement among experts in interracial relations that trouble between white and non-whites invariably occurs in those communities or sections of cities where segregation is the basic pattern of housing occupancy, and that such trouble does not occur in so-called mixed sections where people live as neighbors; and

Whereas, there is ample evidence in California, notably in Marin City and Los Angeles, of the ability of Americans of many backgrounds, including Negroes and southern whites, to live in harmony as neighbors under enlightened public housing management; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors reaffirms the rights of all veterans, regardless of race, color, creed, or ancestry, to equal treatment at the hands of all public agencies of this City and County, and therefore, other factors being equal, to equal access, on a basis of "first come, first served," to all public housing for veterans operated by the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby urges upon said Housing Authority immediate adoption of a policy of non-discrimination and non-segregation, and of any and all procedures, in cooperation with the Veterans' Housing Bureau, which will eliminate existing injustices and guarantee to all veteran applicants for housing that they will be housed solely according to their seniority on one master waiting list, without regard to race, color, creed, or ancestry.

*June 10, 1946—Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

*July 8, 1946—Consideration continued until July 15, 1946.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Sullivan moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Newhall.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Newhall said, the Housing Authority has jurisdiction over this matter and the Board of Supervisors does not have any. If the Board passes this resolution the Housing Authority will have to request the Board to amend the agreement between the City and the Housing Authority. If the Board does not approve the resolution, then the Housing Authority will pass on matters of this type to a committee consisting of representatives of the Housing Authority.

I request that this Board turn this resolution over to the Housing Authority to permit them to appoint a veterans' committee and let them take care of it.

Supervisor Sullivan moved the privilege of the floor for Sam Herman.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Herman stated, I feel this matter should have been sent to the Housing Authority and not to the Board. This resolution was designed to attack Federal housing.

In six months' time, were a program of non-segregation to avail, there would be no vacancies for white veterans. I ask that this matter be referred to the Housing Authority.



Supervisor Colman moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Edward Howden.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Howden remarked, it is entirely in order for the Board to consider a recommendation on this matter concerning policy. It is not a mandatory resolution. The Board of Supervisors are eminently qualified to recommend to the Housing Authority on this policy.

I wish to point out that under the present system of segregation, in public housing, there are two waiting lists among veteran applicants. This means that first come first served cannot operate as a principle of selection as to who shall get the first vacancy. It means that somebody will have to wait longer than he should to get a vacancy.

Segregation means discrimination. The question is whether or not public housing is going to be based upon first come first served for veterans. This proposal has a broad base of community support.

Supervisor Colman explained, this resolution was presented to the Board of Supervisors by Supervisor Christopher and myself. It came to us from the Central Council for Civic Unity. In the committee meetings all of the associations were present and spoke in favor of the resolution.

This housing is done with the public funds, the taxpayer's money, either by direct contribution or by the granting of land, which takes the land off of the tax roll. These projects are supported by the taxpayer's money. We have many negro taxpayers as well as white taxpayers. It is not right to take the taxpayer's money and then discriminate against the colored taxpayer.

As to segregation. I claim segregation is discrimination. If there was no discrimination there would not be any segregation.

It was stated that where there is no segregation there is apt to be trouble, but experience has shown the direct opposite. Where there is trouble there is segregation and discrimination.

Mr. Post, of the Federal Public Housing Authority, states that such an agreement would not meet with any opposition from the Federal Housing Authority. Non-segregation and non-discrimination is a sound policy. I believe that the ground has been very well covered.

According to the records available to the public 900,000 negroes served in the armed forces and acquitted themselves creditably and won for themselves the praise of their superior officer. I think it is our duty to afford them the privilege of first come first served in those projects that are carried on by the taxpayer's money. By passing this we will be going on record as favoring the things for which we fought in the last war.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, I concur in everything that Supervisor Colman said. I want to say that we endeavored to secure the counsel of the Housing Authority. We held meetings and asked them to attend but we could not get them to appear before us. On account of this, I would be reluctant to postpone this matter and send it back to the Housing Authority. I am ready to vote on this matter at this time.

#### Motion to Refer to Housing Authority.

Supervisor Sullivan moved, that this matter be referred to the Housing Authority.

Lost for want of a second.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Noes: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P.M.

The following, from Commercial and Industrial Development Committee, called out by Supervisor Christopher, was taken up:

Favoring Submission to Voters at General Election, November, 1946, of Declaration of Policy Concerning Operation of Farmers' Market.

Proposal No. 5717, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the lease of the site on which the Farmers' Market is at present located expires on June 30, 1946, and

Whereas, at the November, 1945, election, the people by a substantial majority, voted to continue the operation of the Farmers' Market, and

Whereas, efforts have been made to have the city finance the cost of a permanent Farmers' Market, the outlay estimated to range between seventy-five thousand and one hundred thousand dollars, and

Whereas, records indicate that during the past two years, the market has been barely able to meet its operating expenses, and

Whereas, while the Board of Supervisors does not wish to contravene the edict of the people, at the same time it does not desire to place the cost of such a venture on the shoulders of the taxpayers, without specific authority; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In order to determine the wishes of the people with respect to financing the cost of a new and permanent Farmers' Market, this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as being in favor of submitting a declaration of policy to the electorate at the November, 1946, election, setting forth in detail the following:

1. Location of the proposed site.
2. Cost of proposed site.
3. Cost of appurtenances necessary for the operation of the Market.
4. Probable amount of revenue that may be expected to accrue, based on past experiences of the Market.
5. Probable length of time over which amortization of the amount advanced by the city, may be expected to run, based on past revenues and costs.
6. Any other information that may be pertinent to the subject.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Registrar of Voters be and are hereby requested, respectively, to prepare such a declaration of policy as is outlined herein and to take such procedural steps as are necessary for the submission of such policy at the General Election to be held in November, 1946.

### Substitute Bill Presented.

Supervisor Colman presented the following bill as a substitute for the matter printed on the Calendar.

Appropriating the Sum of \$62,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to Provide Funds for the Acquisition of a New Site for the Farmers' Market.

Bill No. 4206, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$62,000 out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$62,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to the credit of Appropriation No. 558,600.50, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead said, I believe that the Board should consider the bill as presented by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, this matter cannot be considered, this bill will have to go to committee.

Supervisor Mead inquired, if we vote down the proposal to submit this matter to the people then what happens.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, then four members of the Board could submit the matter to the people.

The Chair then referred the foregoing bill to the Finance Committee.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor McMurray raised a point of order. What is before the Board?

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken. The Special Order is before the Board now.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman said, last week Supervisor Christopher asked for this information. Today Mr. Brooks sent the information to us and it is before us now.

Supervisor Gallagher answered, the only thing before us now is the Special Order at 3:30 p. m.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Colman raised a point of order. You referred the bill to committee but no motion was made for the suspension of the rules.

The Chair ruled Supervisor Colman out of order. The matter before us is the Special Order at 3:30 p.m. We are not considering anything else but the Special Order.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman said, you cannot refer a matter to committee without suspension of the rules.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, the matter has been *referred to the Finance Committee*. We will now discuss the Special Order at 3:30 p. m.

Supervisor Mead asked, is it possible to move temporary postponement for the purpose of considering the suggestion as made by Mr. Brooks?

Supervisor Gallagher replied, you can do that.

#### Motion to Temporarily Postpone.

Supervisor Mead moved, temporary postpone on the Special Order. Seconded by Supervisor Colman.

#### Motion to Re-refer to Committee.

Supervisor Christopher moved, as a substitute that the matter be re-referred to committee.

Lost for want of a second.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to temporarily postpone was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMur-ray—4.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead, speaking on the bill that was referred to committee, said, I would like to ascertain from Mr. Brooks whether or not this is almost identical with what the Board turned down some months ago.

Mr. Brooks replied, that is correct.

Supervisor Mead inquired, if the Board passed this matter today, then we would not have to submit the declaration of policy to the people?

Mr. Brooks answered, that is correct. If it was passed today we would start looking for a site so that we could purchase it. If we want to wait until after November, I do not believe that we would have a new site before next June and we would have to close down the Farmers' Market at its peak season.

Supervisor Christopher stated, I would like a point of information. Mr. Brooks, why did you ask us for \$10,000 last April so that we could move the market by June 30th?

Mr. Brooks answered, I wanted to get the money in the budget so that we could move the market as soon as possible.

Supervisor Mead asked, if the legislation was passed today, do you know if there is a possibility of any legal action on this by any of the proponents?

Mr. Brooks answered, I have consulted with the City Attorney and there is a difference of opinion as to whether or not the City could secure this land by eminent domain proceedings. I do not believe that there would be any legal action on this matter.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, since the proposal as submitted by Supervisor Colman has been referred to the Finance Committee, I believe that this whole matter should go over until next Monday so that we could consider both matters at the same time.

#### Motion to Reconsider Special Order.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, that we take up the Special Order at this time.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

No objections and motion carried.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, that the Special Order at 3:30 be laid over for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher said, the reason why I made the request of Mr. Brooks to put the matter down in sum total was to see just how much it would cost to operate a Farmers' Market.

Supervisor Mead stated, we might be able to eliminate this matter from going on the ballot if we consider the other matter first.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, if Mr. Brooks can find a way to secure \$90,000 Mr. Mead feels that we will not have to submit this to the people. I believe that this matter should go to the people for their approval. I can see no reason for this matter not to be referred to the people.

When this matter came to the Board for a \$10,000 appropriation it was voted down by a 7 to 4 vote. I believe that the people of San Francisco want a Farmers' Market but I am not convinced, at the present time, that they want to pay \$100,000 for it. I introduced the resolution on this matter. If the Finance Committee does not bring in a recommendation on this matter I believe it will be a violation of the trust that I have placed in the Board.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to postpone was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**SPECIAL ORDER—4:00 P. M.**

**Sale of \$2,000,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series B.**

Awarding of bid or rejecting of all bids by Board of Supervisors not later than 4 p. m. (P.S.T.), July 15, 1946, at Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, for \$2,000,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series B, consisting of 2,000 bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each, numbered B1 to B2000, inclusive, all dated June 15, 1946, and designated "Series B" Said Airport Bonds—1945, Series B, are part of an issue of \$20,000,000 aggregate principal amount authorized at an election held in said City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 1945.

The Clerk presented:

**Selling City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series B.**

Proposal No. 5879, Resolution No. 5689 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco heretofore duly authorized the issuance of \$2,000,000 principal amount of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to be known as "City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series B," (being part of an authorized issue of \$20,000,000 principal amount), consisting of 2,000 bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each, numbered from B1 to B2000, both inclusive, all dated June 15, 1946, and maturing in consecutive numerical order, from lower to higher, \$500,000 principal amount on June 15th in each of the years 1948 to 1951, both inclusive; to bear interest at a rate not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually on June 15th and December 15th in each year, and further duly authorized the sale of said bonds at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor; and

Whereas, Notice of the sale of said bonds has been duly given in the manner prescribed by said resolution authorizing the sale of said bonds, and the following bids for said bonds were and are the only bids received by said Board of Supervisors, to wit:

Name of Bidder	Net Interest Cost to City and County
American Trust Company .....	\$57,560
Harris Trust and Savings Bank, Chicago, et al .....	58,462
Bank of America, N. T. and S. A. ....	59,106
Bankers Trust Company .....	60,220
Halsey, Stuart and Co., Inc. et al.....	60,680

And Whereas, the said bid of American Trust Company is the highest and best bid for said bonds, considering the interest rate(s) specified and the premium offered,

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows, to wit:

1. Said bid of American Trust Company for \$2,000,000 par value of said bonds shall be, and is hereby accepted and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said bonds to said purchaser thereof upon payment to said Treasurer of the said purchase price, to wit: said par value thereof and a premium of \$9,100, together with accrued interest at the following rate(s):

Bond Numbers (all inclusive)	Interest Rate Per Annum
B1 to B2000 .....	(1%)
— to — .....	—%
— to — .....	—%

Said bonds shall bear interest at the said rate(s) hereinabove set forth, payable semi-annually on June 15th and December 15th.

2. That all bids except the bid of American Trust Company are hereby rejected and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby ordered and directed to return to the unsuccessful bidders their several checks accompanying their respective bids.

3. The Purchaser of Supplies of the City and County is directed to cause to be lithographed, printed or engraved a sufficient number of blank bonds and coupons of suitable quality, said bonds and coupons to show on their face that the same bear interest at the rate(s) aforesaid, in accordance with instructions from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Resolved, Further, that this resolution shall take effect from and after its passage and approval.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for Second Reading, were taken up.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Enter Into Agreement for Compensation of League of California Cities for Representation at Sacramento and Other Services.**

Bill No. 4127, Ordinance No. 3934 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco to enter into a contract with the League of California Cities whereby the League of California Cities will be compensated up to the amount appropriated for the purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco at Sacramento, California, and for performing for the City and County of San Francisco such other services as the League of California Cities performs for cities which are members of the League of California Cities.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with the League of California Cities whereby the said League of California Cities will be compensated up to the amount and out of such funds as are appropriated or set aside for the purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco at Sacramento, California, and for performing for the City and County of San Francisco such other services as the League of California Cities performs for cities which are members of the said League of California Cities.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 17, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Deleting Provision for General Municipal Election Days as Holidays.**

Bill No. 4164, Ordinance No. 3937 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), by deleting from Section 2.4 thereof the language which declares any day on which a general municipal election is held in San Francisco to be a holiday for employees, other than members of the uniformed forces of the Police and Fire Departments, whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis pursuant to provisions of Section 151 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), is hereby amended by amending Section 2.4 thereof to read as follows:

Section 2.4. Holidays for Employees Whose Compensations Are Fixed on a Monthly Basis, and Compensation Therefor: Except when normal operations require or in an emergency, employees, other than members of the uniformed forces of the Police and Fire Departments, whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedules of compensations adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter shall not be required to work on the following days hereby declared to be holidays for such employees: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, October 12, November 11, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be observed as a holiday; the first Monday of September (Labor Day); any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving Day; and any day on which an election is held throughout the State; provided that the Board of Education may, for its own employees, substitute for the holidays declared above an equal number of different holidays. Such employees required by their respective appointing officers to work on any of the above specified holidays, or employees of the Board of Education required to work on those specified by the Board of Education, shall be paid extra compensation in the amount of a day's pay for the time worked, computed as provided in Section 215 hereof, provided further that occupants of positions enumerated in Section 1.7 hereof (administrative and executive positions) who are required by appointing officers to work on such holidays shall not receive extra compensation but may be granted time off equivalent to the time worked. Compensations fixed in the schedules of com-

pensation on a per diem basis and converted and included herein on a monthly basis shall not be subject to the provisions of this section but such employees shall be entitled to the seven holidays specified in Section 2.6 hereof, and when required by their respective appointing officers to work on the holidays specified in Section 2.6, they shall be paid double the regular rate of pay for the time worked.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 24, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.*

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer—7.

Noes: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee, heretofore passed for Second Reading, was taken up.

**An Ordinance Declaring Policy to Cooperate with Educational or Training Institutions in Development and Establishment of Programs of Intern Training for Public Service; and Providing for Powers, Duties and Regulations to Effectuate Said Policy.**

Bill No. 4156, Ordinance No. 3935 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance declaring policy to cooperate with educational or training institutions in development and establishment of programs of intern training for public service; and providing for powers, duties and regulations to effectuate said policy.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby declared to be the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to cooperate with universities, colleges, and educational or training institutions in the development and establishment of programs of intern training for public service, for the purpose of enabling qualified persons to become better fitted to enter public careers and of developing a greater number of qualified aspirants for positions in the government of the city and county of San Francisco.

Section 2. For the purpose of carrying out said policy, the Civil Service Commission of the City and County of San Francisco shall have the power and is hereby authorized to establish and develop a program of internship training for public service within the San Francisco municipal service.

Section 3. It shall be the duty of all officers, boards, commissions, and departments of the City and County of San Francisco to cooperate with the Civil Service Commission in the development of a public service internship program; and they are hereby authorized to accept for such public service training persons recommended by the Civil Service Commission for placement of interns; provided, however, that no placement shall be made which, in the judgment of such department head, will encumber or impair the operation of his department.

Section 4. Interns for such public service training shall be selected by the Civil Service Commission from persons recommended for such training by colleges and universities accredited by the Association of American Universities or by the Northwest Association of Secondary and Higher Schools, and by educational and training institutions approved by the Department of Education of the State



of California for the training of veterans in governmental service within Title II, Public Law 346, 78th Congress; provided that any educational or training institution by which any such person is recommended must first be approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Section 5. The Civil Service Commission shall, by rules and regulations, prescribe such qualifications as it may deem advisable with respect to persons and educational or training institutions desiring to become eligible for participation in such internship training program, and shall make such other rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this ordinance, and to govern the administration of such internship training program.

Section 6. The activity of interns shall be that of study and observation. They shall not perform the duties of employees of any department in which placed, or the work of any such department.

Section 7. No compensation shall be paid by the city to any intern during such training. No obligation for employment of any intern subsequent to the training program shall be assumed by any officer, board or commission of the city; and no preference as to any position in the city government shall be granted to any intern subsequent to such public service training.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up.

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

#### Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1254 Market Street for Recreation Department.

Proposal No. 5828, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with Kohler & Chase, a California corporation, as lessors, of the second floor in that certain building located at 1254 Market Street, San Francisco.

This lease shall be on a month to month basis, beginning July 1, 1946, and ending September 30, 1946, at a rental of \$150 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 8, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 15, 1946.*

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Lewis said, I questioned this matter at the last meeting. I am opposed to the Recreation Department going into the field of adult recreation. I believe that they should stay with the youngsters. This is on a month-to-month tenancy and yet the lease terminates on September, 1946. That question has not been answered.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Meyer moved, that the matter be postponed for one week.

*Seconded* by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

**Adopted.**

**Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Fairmont School Sewer.**

Proposal No. 5855, Resolution No. 5676 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Victor E. Bertucci, et ux., or the legal owners, to a strip of land 10 feet in width extending westerly through Lot 11 in Assessor's Block 6657, San Francisco, California, from the westerly line of Dolores Street to the Fairmont School site, the southerly line of said strip of land being parallel with and perpendicularly distant 330 feet northerly from the northerly line of Randall Street; and that the sum of \$500 be paid for said land from appropriation No. 570.600.01.

As a further consideration, the existing sewer pipe situated on said Lot 11, connecting the Fairmont School building with the sewer in San Jose Avenue, shall be relocated on the above described 10-foot strip of land without any expense to the grantors.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Education

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

**Absent:** Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Land Purchase, School Site—Sunset District.**

Proposal No. 5856, Resolution No. 5677 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in accordance with written offer on file with the Director of Property, does hereby approve acceptance of a deed by and in the name of the San Francisco Unified School District from Carl Bruno Seidel and Norway Seidel, his wife, or the legal owners, to Lot 28, in Assessor's Block 2155, San Francisco, California, required for a school site in the Sunset District, and that the sum of \$935 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 570.600.01.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 5857, Resolution No. 5678 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Eliza McKinne (a single woman), or the legal owner, to Lots 6 and 7 in Assessor's Block 6034, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$540 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 512.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 5858, Resolution No. 5679 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from W. E. Chance, or the legal owner, to Lots 6 and 9 in Assessor's Block 6065 and Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 6064, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$400 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 512.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing the Registrar of Voters to Canvass the Votes Cast at the Special Election, Tuesday, July 16, 1946.**

Proposal No. 5868, Resolution No. 5680 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Sections 7920 and 7921 of the Elections Code of the State of California, the Registrar of Voters is hereby authorized and directed to canvass the votes cast at the

Special Election to be held on Tuesday, July 16, 1946; said canvass to be held in the City Hall at 9 a. m. on Monday, July 22, 1946.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Quitclaim Deed to Gilbert L. Plov, of Sewer Easement in Assessor's Block 6323.**

Proposal No. 5869, Resolution No. 5681 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as City, by deed recorded May 5, 1927, in Volume 1473 at page 224, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, acquired a sewer easement over the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

A strip of land 5 feet in width from Carrizal Street to Santos Street, being the most southerly 5 feet of Lots 8 and 9, Block 6323, as per "Map of Blaine Deering and Samuels Tract" filed in Map Book "L" at page 26, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, a petition has been received from Gilbert L. Plov, the present owner of said land, asking that the City quitclaim said easement to him, for the reason that the same has never been used and is of no further use to the City, due to the fact that the sewer has been constructed in another easement 95 feet south of Velasco Avenue, subsequently acquired by the City; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the easement described in said deed recorded May 5, 1927, be relinquished to said party; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary quitclaim deed to Gilbert L. Plov, or his successor in interest.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Sublease of Crystal Palace Baths.**

Proposal No. 5870, Resolution No. 5682 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as sublessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a written sublease with Charles Sava and Ernest M. Smith, a copartnership, as Lessees, the first parties, of the Crystal Palace Baths, located at No. 775 Lombard Street, San Francisco, California.

The sublease shall be for a period of one year beginning July 16, 1946, at a rental of \$1,250 per month, plus such additional amounts,

not exceeding a total of \$5,000, as may be necessary to reimburse the first parties for the cost of doing certain work in the demised premises, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

The City shall have exclusive use of the swimming pool, including all facilities pertaining thereto from the hours of 8:30 a. m. to 5:30 p. m. every day excepting Sundays, and the dance hall and appurtenant rooms in said building at all times. The first parties reserve the use of all tub baths and tub bath rooms at all times.

The first parties shall furnish swimming suits and necessary towels for each person making use of said baths while the same are being used by the City, which said suits and towels shall be satisfactory to the City.

The first parties shall furnish a duly qualified lifeguard at all times that the pool is in use, also cashiers, attendants, and any other employees necessary for the operation of the swimming pool, also light and heat, and shall maintain all portions of the premises used by the City in good order and repair. The first parties shall wash and dry all suits and towels and store the same safely when not in use, and shall collect for their account such sums as are prescribed by the Recreation Department from time to time.

The first parties shall at all times carry a policy of liability insurance in an amount of not less than \$20,000 in form satisfactory to the City to protect the City from all loss and damage for injuries sustained by any person while in said premises at the invitation of, or with the permission of the City, express or implied, which policy shall be lodged with the City and shall not be subject to cancellation except on fifteen days' notice to the city.

The Director of Property is hereby authorized to agree with the first parties upon all conditions to be inserted in said lease, for carrying out the purposes and effect of this resolution. Any holding over of said period shall be on a month to month basis at a rental of \$1,250 per month.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.

Proposal No. 5871, Resolution No. 5683 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Aid to Needy Children, including increases, effective July 1, 1946, are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

### Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.

Proposal No. 5872, Resolution No. 5684 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated July 15, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of July and August, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso said, I have requested the Clerk of the Finance Committee to write a letter to the Public Welfare Department, so that the people who are requesting aid will be taken care of so they will come to the Board one time a month.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

#### Land Purchase—Clipper Street Extension.

Proposal No. 5873, Resolution No. 5685 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the Office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Emily P. Varney and Alice Rhine, or the legal owner, to Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 6541, San Francisco, California, required for Clipper Street Extension, and that the sum of \$650 be paid for said land as hereinafter provided.

The above mentioned sum of \$650 shall be paid from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco in connection with that certain Superior Court Action entitled City and County of San Francisco vs Lillian Giovannetti Dunne, et al., No. 351909.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### Merced Playground, Lease—Purchase Agreement.

Proposal No. 5874, Resolution No. 5686 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal Corporation, hereinafter referred to as the City, enter

into a written agreement with Pacific Coast Construction Company, a corporation, dated as of July 1, 1946, whereby the City shall have the right and privilege of using and purchasing the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the Southwesterly boundary line of that certain parcel of land described in the deed from Spring Valley Company, Ltd., a corporation, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, recorded December 23, 1938, in Book 3397 of Official Records, at page 401, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, distant thereon North  $33^{\circ} 41'$  West 114.161 feet from the most southerly corner of said parcel of land described in the deed above referred to; running thence South  $33^{\circ} 41'$  East along said Southwesterly line and its Southeasterly production a distance of 296.697 feet; thence South  $56^{\circ} 19'$  West 23.346 feet; thence Southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 427.50 feet, a central angle of  $15^{\circ} 26' 23''$ , a distance of 115.200 feet; thence Southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding curve, with a radius of 772.50 feet, a central angle of  $39^{\circ} 14' 22.67''$ , a distance of 529.055 feet; thence Westerly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding curve, with a radius of 10 feet, a central angle of  $54^{\circ} 05' 00.33''$ , a distance of 9.439 feet; thence North  $45^{\circ} 48'$  West tangent to the preceding curve 10.861 feet; thence Northwesterly and Northerly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 780 feet, a central angle of  $42^{\circ} 48' 23''$ , a distance of 582.748 feet to tangency with the Southerly production of the Easterly line of Forest View Drive as said Forest View Drive is shown upon "Map of Subdivision No. 1 Lakeshore Park, San Francisco, Cal.," filed August 28, 1940, in Book "O" of Maps, pages 14 and 15, in the office of said Recorder; thence North  $2^{\circ} 59' 37''$  West tangent to the preceding curve and along said Easterly line of Forest View Drive so produced a distance of 38.708 feet to a point perpendicularly distant 310 feet Southerly from the Southerly line of Eucalyptus Drive as shown upon the map last above referred to; thence North  $84^{\circ} 40' 11''$  East, parallel with that portion of the Southerly line of Eucalyptus Drive lying Westerly of the Easterly line of Inverness Drive produced, a distance of 311.878 feet; thence South  $89^{\circ} 08' 09''$  East, parallel with that portion of the Southerly line of said Eucalyptus Drive lying Easterly of the Easterly line of Twenty-Sixth Avenue produced, a distance of 335.682 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 7.7774 acres.

Being parcels 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 as shown on the map attached to said agreement.

The right to purchase said property and to use the same for municipal purposes prior to the acquisition thereof, shall be upon the terms and conditions set forth in said written agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property. It is understood that the City may purchase the above described 7.7774-acre tract for the sum of \$71,469.50 as per the provisions of said agreement; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute said agreement on behalf of the City; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of \$7,829.83 be paid to Pacific Coast Construction Company from Appropriation No. 613.600.03 for

the 0.9114-acre portion of said 7.7774-acre tract, described as Parcel 1 in said agreement

It is understood that nothing contained in this resolution or in said agreement shall be construed to obligate the City to complete the purchase of any of said lands except Parcel 1.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting a Gift of \$1,500 to Be Used to Defray the Expenses of the City in Permitting the Display of the Theatre De La Mode in an Unoccupied Wing of the M. H. de Young Museum.**

Proposal No. 5875, Resolution No. 5687 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Accepting a gift of \$1,500 to be used to defray the expenses of the city in permitting the display of the Theatre De La Mode in an unoccupied wing of the M. H. de Young Museum.

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the M. H. de Young Museum desires to permit the presentation by the American Relief for France, Incorporated, of the display known as the Theatre De La Mode in an unused wing of the said M. H. de Young Museum, and

Whereas, to meet the museum expenses of said display, Grover Magnin, of the City and County of San Francisco, has agreed to contribute up to \$1,500 and has deposited with the said trustees his check in the amount of \$1,500, and

Whereas, if the said expenses do not amount to the said sum of \$1,500, the balance shall be returned to the said Grover Magnin; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept the said sum of \$1,500 in accordance with the terms and purposes herein recited.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Providing for Membership in Various Medical and Health Associations, the Director of Public Health to Represent the City and County of San Francisco Therein, and Rescinding Resolution No. 3121 (Series of 1939).**

Proposal No. 5876, Resolution No. 5688 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco acquire membership in the American Medical Association, American Public Health Association, American College of Physicians, the Southern Medical Association, the American Society of Tropical Diseases, the California Medical Association, and the San Francisco County Medical Society, and that the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to make application for membership in each of the afore-enumerated organizations; and if such membership is granted, to represent the City and



County of San Francisco at the meetings of said organizations; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the annual dues, not to exceed \$200, of the Director of Public Health for membership in the afore-enumerated organizations be paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose; and, be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 3121 (Series of 1939) be and it is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Creating Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund in the Amount of \$3,000.**

Bill No. 4175, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Creating Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund; providing for manner of its maintenance and use; repealing Bill 2870, Ordinance 2713.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund, in the amount of \$3,000, for the purpose of providing for petty cash funds and making expenditures which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn by the Controller upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. All expenditures from the said Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund shall be made in accordance with rules and regulations of the Public Utilities Commission and of the Controller.

Section 2. The Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund shall be established as follows:

(a) Petty Cash Funds, as may be authorized by the Public Utilities Commission, shall be established for the purpose of making direct petty cash payments of expenditures in accordance with procedure prescribed by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller.

(b) The balance of said revolving fund shall be maintained in such bank or banks as may be designated by the Public Utilities Commission and disbursement therefrom shall be made in accordance with the provisions of Section 1 by checks signed by a representative or representatives designated by the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 3. The Manager of Utilities shall cause a full, true and correct account to be kept of all monies received for or disbursed from said revolving fund, and shall, at least once during each month after the establishment of said fund, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with proper vouchers supporting said disbursements and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, the Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of said revolving fund for the aggregate amount of said disbursements.

Section 4. Expenditures from the Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund shall be made only for such items as there are funds legally available for reimbursement to said Revolving Fund.

Section 5. Bill No. 2870, Ordinance No. 2713, establishing the Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund in the amount of \$3,000, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$3,000, Public Utilities Commission, for Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund.**

Bill No. 4176, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,000 for a Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated from the funds heretofore provided by Bill No. 2870, Ordinance No. 2713, for a Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund.

Section 2. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.  
 Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up.

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

**Amending Section 37 of Article 1, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Pertaining to the Keeping and Feeding of Small Animals, Poultry and Game Birds Within the City and County of San Francisco.**

Bill No. 4052, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 37 of Article 1, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the keeping and feeding of small animals, poultry and game birds within the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article 1, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 37. Keeping and Feeding of Small Animals, Poultry and Game Birds.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to keep or feed, or cause to be kept or fed, or permit to be kept or fed, on premises over which any such person, firm or corporation

may have control more than four (4) of the following: Live hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots, of any species, game birds of any species, wild animals of any species, or cats, within the first and second residential districts as at present defined by existing law, or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

(a) Any person, firm or corporation, keeping, feeding, or causing to be kept or fed, or permitting to be kept or fed, on premises over which such person, firm or corporation may have control, four (4) or less live hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, game birds of any species or wild animals of any species, shall keep same in coops or enclosures that are approved by the Director of Public Health. Said coops or enclosures shall be not less than twenty (20) feet from any door or window of any building used for human habitation.

If after due investigation, in the opinion of the Director of Public Health, the keeping or feeding of four (4) or less live hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, wild animals of any species, or cats, is not done in a sanitary manner the Director of Public Health may serve written notice on the person, firm or corporation to remove same from the premises within thirty (30) days.

(b) **Prohibitions.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in the business of keeping, freeing, or breeding any hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, dogs, cats, for commercial purposes, within the first and second residential districts as defined by existing law

(c) **Commercial Purposes.** It is hereby declared to be unlawful to conduct for commercial purposes any establishment in which dogs, cats, hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, are kept and maintained in the Commercial District, Light Industrial District and Heavy Industrial District, as those districts are at present defined by existing law or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, without first obtaining from the Department of Public Health a permit so to do.

No permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Health to any person, firm or corporation, to keep or maintain for commercial purposes any of the above named fowl, animals or birds within the Commercial, Light Industrial or Heavy Industrial District as at present defined by existing law, or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, unless said person, firm or corporation has complied in full with the following requirements:

(1) It shall be unlawful to establish hereafter any place of business for the sale of the fowl, animals or birds specified above within twenty-five (25) feet of any door, window or other opening of any dwelling, apartment house or hotel if live fowl, animals or birds intended for sale are kept therein. It shall be unlawful to keep said live fowl, animals or birds in any basement, sub-basement or cellar in any place of business unless such basement, sub-basement or cellar is adequately ventilated as approved by the Director of Public Health and is also adequately lighted, completely ratproofed and complies fully with the sanitary requirements set forth in Section 440, Article 8, Chapter V of this Code.

(2) It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health and he is hereby expressly empowered to prescribe to the owners of existing establishments wherein such live fowl, animals or birds are

kept for purposes of sale, such sanitary improvements as may appear to the Director of Public Health to be necessary and advisable and such systems and methods of ventilation of basements, sub-basements and cellars above referred to as may appear desirable for gradual installation during the present war emergency if the equipment and materials therefor are available. It shall be unlawful after the present war emergency has terminated to keep any of the live fowl, animals or birds hereinabove specified in any basement, sub-basement or cellar unless such basement, sub-basement or cellar is adequately ventilated as approved by the Director of Public Health and complies fully with the sanitary requirements of this Code; provided, that the owners of said establishments shall have a reasonable period of time to be prescribed by the Director of Public Health following the termination of said war emergency to obtain necessary material and equipment to comply with this provision.

(3) The floors of all such premises must be constructed of waterproof material properly drained to the sewer.

(4) Said premises shall be ratproof, all openings properly fly-screened, and adequate provision must be made for the elimination of all odors.

(5) The walls and ceilings of all such premises must be of hard finished plaster, painted with two (2) coats of lead and oil paint, light in color

(6) In all premises where slaughtering of fowl, birds or animals is carried on in connection with the keeping of said fowl, birds or animals, the killing room must be entirely separate from that part of the premises occupied by the live fowl, animals or birds.

The floors of said slaughtering room must be of water-proof material, properly drained to the sewer. The walls and ceilings must be of hard-finished plaster and painted with two (2) coats of lead and oil paint, light in color. Refrigerating equipment must be installed for the reception of the dressed fowl, birds or animals, properly connected to the sewer. Toilet and lavatory facilities for the use of the employees engaged in the handling and slaughtering of such birds, animals or fowl must be installed in conformity with the provisions of the plumbing law.

(d) **Exceptions.** The terms and provisions of this section shall not apply to the keeping, liberation for exercise, or racing of homing or carrier pigeons which are not raised or kept for the market or for commercial purposes, and the lofts or pigeon houses wherein said homing or carrier pigeons are kept are elevated at least three (3) feet above the ground or other foundation upon post-legs or pillars completely surrounded or covered by smooth, jointless galvanized sheet metal and within not less than twenty (20) feet from the door or window of any building used for human habitation, and the entire floor and sides for at least two (2) feet extending upwards from the bottom of the floor of said lofts or pigeon houses, are covered or protected by galvanized iron or its equivalent, concrete or eighteen (18) gauge wire mesh of not more than one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) inch and the interior of said lofts or pigeon houses, wherein such carrier or homing pigeons are kept, are registered by the owners thereof with the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and the said lofts or pigeon houses shall be inspected by the said Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco at least once a year.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

**Granting to Sanitary Fill Company a Franchise for the Disposal of Garbage and Refuse and Providing the Details and Conditions Thereof.**

Bill No. 4174, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting to Sanitary Fill Company a franchise for the disposal of garbage and refuse and providing the details and conditions thereof.

Whereas, on March 20, 1946, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 5346 (Series of 1939) providing and calling for bids for garbage disposal, and said resolution was thereafter, on March 21, 1946, approved by Roger D. Lapham, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and duly and regularly advertised according to law; and

Whereas, on March 27, 1946, and April 3, 1946, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors caused to be advertised according to law a Notice of Proposal for Bids for Garbage and Refuse Disposal Franchise, pursuant to the provisions of said resolution and Sections 4200 to 4204 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California; and

Whereas, in response to said Notice of Proposal for Bids, bids were received by this Board of Supervisors on and before 3 p. m., April 29, 1946, the time fixed in said advertised notice for the filing of bids with the Clerk of the Board; and

Whereas, this Board did thereafter fully and completely examine into the merits of each bid so filed; and

Whereas, this Board did on May 27, 1946, adopt Resolution No. 5532 as follows:

“Resolved, That the bid of Sanitary Fill Company for garbage disposal received on April 29, 1946, be and is hereby accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and requested to prepare all necessary procedural documents necessary to granting a garbage disposal franchise to Sanitary Fill Company therefor.”;

and

Whereas, it appears to this Board that public interest will be subserved by granting of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse collected in the City and County of San Francisco to Sanitary Fill Company. Now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 4200 to 4204 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, an exclusive franchise for the disposal of garbage and refuse collected in the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted to the Sanitary Fill Company, a corporation, for the period of Twenty (20) years from and after the effective date of this franchise ordinance. Any time from and after Ten (10) years from the effective date of this franchise ordinance, either Sanitary Fill Company or the City and County of San Francisco may terminate such franchise by one year's written notice of termination delivered to the other party. Sanitary Fill Company is herein referred to as “Grantee.”

Section 2. For the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco delivered at the ramp at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets in the Southern Pacific Company's yard, San Francisco, the Grantee shall charge the sum of \$1.10 per ton, or such sum as may be agreed upon by the Grantee and the licensed collectors of garbage and refuse. In the event the Grantee and the licensed collectors of garbage and refuse cannot agree upon such sum, then the Grantee shall charge such sum as may be fixed by this Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of the power conferred on it by the “Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance,”

adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932. In the event the Southern Pacific Company cancels or withdraws permission for the use of the ramp at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, or in the event the Southern Pacific Company fails to furnish or discontinues adequate railroad car service between the ramp at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, and the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, County of San Mateo, then the Grantee shall charge for the disposal of garbage and refuse delivered to the garbage disposal site in Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, the sum of \$0.90 Dollars per ton, or such sum as may be agreed upon between the Grantee and the licensed collectors of such garbage and refuse; or failing such agreement, then such sum as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors under the power conferred by the "Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance."

Section 3. So long as the Southern Pacific Company allows the use of the ramp in its yard at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, and so long as the Southern Pacific Company continues to furnish adequate railroad car service between the ramp and the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, the Grantee shall receive at the ramp for disposal all garbage and refuse lawfully collected in the City and County, and shall cause said garbage and refuse to be transported by railroad cars to the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District. No garbage or refuse shall be kept at the ramp for a longer period than twenty-four hours after receipt.

Section 4. Garbage and refuse shall be accepted as delivered by licensed garbage and refuse collectors and no segregation shall be required prior to delivery.

Section 5. So long as the Southern Pacific Company allows the use of the ramp in the yard at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, and so long as the Southern Pacific Company continues to furnish adequate railroad car service between the ramp and the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, the Grantee shall transport the garbage and refuse, when the cars are filled, over the line of the Southern Pacific Company to the garbage disposal site situate in the Bayshore Sanitary District in the County of San Mateo, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit: The tidelands of the Southern Pacific Company situated immediately south of and adjacent to the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco and immediately easterly and adjacent to the main tracks of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company, where said tracks traversed said County of San Mateo immediately upon leaving the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. The method of disposal to be employed is the Fill and Cover Method. In the event Southern Pacific Company refuses to allow the use of the ramp at its yard at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, or fails or refuses to furnish adequate railroad car service between the ramp and the garbage disposal site in Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, Grantee shall then receive for disposal at the garbage disposal site in Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, all garbage and refuse lawfully collected in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. Grantee shall deposit the garbage and refuse at the garbage disposal site and forthwith cover the same with earth, rock, sand and gravel, as provided in this ordinance

Section 8. In all matters and operations connected with this franchise, and the work to be done thereunder, the Grantee shall respect and strictly comply with the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, all the laws of the United States and of the State of California, and all ordinances, rules or regulations of any municipal, sanitary or other public district or corporation, which are or shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in anyway the actions of those engaged hereunder in any way as principal or agent.

Section 9. Grantee shall handle such garbage and refuse so that no nuisance of any nature will result from the deposit of garbage on said tidelands, nor from the disposition of ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal.

Section 10. Grantee shall forthwith, upon the final passage of this ordinance, execute an agreement in writing by which Grantee shall agree to all of the terms and conditions of this ordinance, and shall forthwith file and maintain with this Board of Supervisors a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of \$50,000, said bond to be conditioned that Grantee shall faithfully fulfill the terms and conditions of its franchise, and shall well and truthfully observe, fulfill and perform each and every term and condition thereof, so that no nuisance of any nature will result from the deposit of garbage nor from the disposition of ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal, and that, in case of any breach of condition of such bond, damages shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties on said bond.

Section 11. A substitute bond, under the same terms and conditions, may be filed by the grantee from time to time provided it meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. In the event that the Board of Supervisors shall demand a change in the surety company furnishing the bonds, Grantee shall provide a surety company which shall be agreeable to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 12. The grantee, Sanitary Fill Company, shall fulfill sanitary fill requirements as follows:

(a) After delivery of garbage and refuse to the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, whether by railroad cars of Southern Pacific Company or by trucks of licensed collectors, Sanitary Fill Company shall dispose of such garbage in accordance with sound engineering practice by placing, spreading and covering it with earth, rock or sand. The depth and shape of the garbage and refuse so placed and covered shall depend upon the elevation, grade and location of the particular part of the site being filled. At the end of each day all garbage and refuse so placed and spread shall be covered with earth, rock or sand to a depth which is in accordance with sound engineering practice and the prior experience of Grantee. Any departure from such procedure must be in accordance with sound engineering practice as determined by the City Engineer of San Francisco. A seal shall be made each day, and shall be maintained. If such seal is not maintained, the City Engineer may order additional work and materials to obtain such seal.

(b) No open fires shall be allowed to burn on the site, and a sufficient supply of water through adequate lines, hydrants, or other methods shall be provided to extinguish any fires which may occur in the garbage disposal site. Mud from the bay shall not be used for cover material unless it can be proved to the satisfaction of the health authorities that no nuisance shall be created.

(c) Pools of water containing large amounts of organic material which may putrefy or cause obnoxious conditions shall not be allowed to collect around the edge of the fill or elsewhere, and all water shall be drained into regulated channels which shall discharge into adequate bodies of diluting water. Every reasonable effort shall be made to keep down the number of rodents. If visible signs of increased rate population are noticeable, the Director of Public Health of San Francisco may order that proper means shall be instituted at once to diminish such rat population.

(d) If it becomes necessary to dump garbage and refuse in water, then a suitable boom shall be placed adjacent to the outer face of the fill, of sufficient length and strength to provide against any floating material escaping into the bay.

(e) Railroad cars, after being unloaded, shall be swept clean inside, and, if necessary outside.

(f) The disposal site is to be under the constant inspection of the City Engineer or his authorized agents to the end that the requirements herein set forth shall faithfully be kept.

(g) A suitable trash burner shall be provided if it is desired to burn at the disposal site boxes, wood or other combustible materials which will not yield offensive odors.

(h) Operations at the disposal site shall be conducted in such a manner that there will be no rats, flies, larvae, noxious odors or nuisances resulting from the disposal of garbage or refuse.

Section 13. The City and County of San Francisco shall have the right at any time to make such inspections and tests as may be necessary to determine whether or not the Grantee is complying with its obligations under this ordinance

Section 14. The Grantee shall construct, maintain and operate all facilities used in the disposal process and shall make no charge or claim against the City and County of San Francisco for construction, maintenance, or operation of such facilities.

Section 15. Grantee shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of \$4,800 per annum to be applied on the salary of an inspector to be assigned to garbage disposal operations by the City and County.

Section 16. Grantee shall receive and dispose of all refuse collected by the Street Cleaning Division of the San Francisco Department of Public Works and of all sand collected in the City sewage treatment plants, when delivered at the receiving point, without charge or cost of any kind to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 17. Grantee shall furnish to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco a detailed statement of operations under oath at the end of each calendar year.

Section 18. As used in this ordinance, "garbage" and "refuse" means garbage and refuse as defined in the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932, and includes all waste and discarded materials from dwelling places, households, apartment houses, stores, office buildings and the like, including waste or discarded food, animal and vegetable matter, from all kitchens thereof, waste papers, cans, glass, ashes, boxes and cuttings from trees, lawns, and gardens. "Garbage" and "refuse" as used in this ordinance does not include the disposal of kitchen waste and other refuse having a commercial value which hotels and restaurants dispose of without utilizing the refuse collectors licensed by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher inquired, am I to understand that this is to be for 20 years and not for 10 years?

Mr. Brooks replied, they will not come down at all. This is a result of bids made by two companies and this was the better bid.

Supervisor Christopher stated, I am of the opinion that we might be entering into a contract whereby we might be paying top costs for 10 or 20 years.

Mr. Brooks remarked, the City will not be affected by the cost. It will have to be borne by the collecting company. It is not likely that they will pass it on to the consumer. The collecting company cannot increase the charge to residential homes but they could pass it on to the business houses.

Supervisor Christopher said, it might not be the right thing to do, to enter into a contract for 20 years at this time. We might be at the inflationary period.



Supervisor Gallagher explained, the Sanitary Fill and Cover receive the garbage from the collection agency and they receive the \$1.10 per ton.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

The following recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, was taken up:

Leave of Absence—Charles W. Dullea, Chief of Police.

Proposal No. 5881, Resolution No. 5691 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor, the Mayor, Charles W. Dullea, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period July 18th to July 28th, 1946, with permission to leave the state.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

Directing Preparation and Presentation of San Francisco's Case on the Second Bay Crossing, Before Joint Army-Navy Board, August 12, 1946.

Supervisor Lewis presented as a recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee:

Proposal No. 5880, Resolution No. 5690 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the consensus of this Board of Supervisors that the Committee on County, State and National Affairs be and it is directed to prepare and present before the joint Army-Navy Board at a public hearing to be held in this city on August 12, 1946, the case of the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the construction of a second Bay crossing and be it

Further Resolved, That Supervisor Marvin E. Lewis, Chairman of said Committee, be and he is hereby designated as the spokesman who will present San Francisco's case in this connection to the joint Army-Navy Board.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

Requesting That Second Bay Crossing Be Constructed to Allow Operation of Transcontinental Railroads Over It.

Supervisor Lewis presented as recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee:

Proposal No. 5882, Resolution No. 5692 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, It is to the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that the Transcontinental Railroads enter the city and that a Union Depot be established in San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bridge or crossing that is to be built across San Francisco Bay should be so constructed as to permit the operation of the Transcontinental Railroads over said bridge or crossing.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

Granting Permission, Revocable at the Will of the Board of Supervisors to Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to Construct, Maintain and Operate a Spur Track in Greenwich Street East and West of Sansome Street.

Supervisor Meyer presented as a recommendation of the Streets Committee:

Bill No. 4207, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Greenwich Street east and west of Sansome Street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to construct, operate and maintain spur track in Greenwich Street from a point 93 feet, more or less, easterly from Sansome Street running thence westerly across Sansome Street to a point 85 feet, more or less, westerly from Sansome Street, the center line of said spur track being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing track, located on the northerly side of Greenwich Street, distant 93 feet, more or less, along said center line in an easterly direction from the intersection with the easterly line of Sansome Street; thence in a westerly direction through a No. 6 turnout to the left 50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a westerly direction a distance of 121 feet, more or less, crossing Sansome Street to a point; thence along the arc of a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 204 feet, for a distance of 34 feet, more or less, to a point, distant 18 feet northerly from the southerly line of Greenwich Street; thence continuing in a westerly direction parallel to and distant 18 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Greenwich Street a distance of 47 feet, more or less, to the end of the track.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (new series) now codified as Sections 555 to 570 inclusive of Article 11, Chapter 10, Part 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all provisions and conditions contained in said sections are hereby made a part of this permit as if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. This permit is granted subject to the following additional conditions:

1. The Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company shall construct the track, so that the center line of said track shall be midway between the manhole approximately in the center of the crossing of Sansome and Greenwich Streets and the manhole approximately 15 feet southeasterly therefrom. Ties shall be spaced and set so they do not encroach on any portion of the manhole structure.

2. Ties shall be 10 feet in length where the track encroaches upon and crosses the existing sewers in Greenwich Street and the crossing of Sansome Street from a line approximately 50 feet easterly from Sansome Street to a line approximately 10 feet westerly from the center line of Sansome Street.

3. That portion of the granite curb return at the southwest corner of Greenwich and Sansome Streets from the first joint northwesterly from the existing catchbasin to the westerly end of the curb return shall be removed and a new granite or unarmored concrete curb constructed connecting the easterly end of the concrete curb on the southerly side of Greenwich Street at the westerly line of San-

some Street to the existing granite curb return at the first joint northwesterly from the catchbasin. Asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six-inch red rock sub-base if required, four-inch asphaltic concrete base, and a two-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface shall be constructed in the area between the old and new curbs.

Section 4. All work shall be done in accordance with the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering Standard Specifications of 1942, as amended, and to the satisfaction and requirements of the Department of Public Works, and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected by permittee to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. No work shall be commenced until a permit has been issued by the Department of Public Works for the reconstruction of the curb return and necessary pavement, and until the sum of \$25 is deposited with the cashier of the Department of Public Works for engineering inspection.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Repair of Mission Street, from The Embarcadero to South Van Ness Avenue.

Supervisor Christopher said, I would like to have the Director of Public Works submit, in writing, to the Board what he intends to do with the money that was appropriated in the 1946-1947 budget, index 40, sheet 5, line 8, \$210,000, which was stated to be for the repair of Mission Street. I would like to have an expression of opinion from him on this matter.

I listened to him when he spoke on the matter, before the Board, and he said that he intended to repair Mission Street, but it looks as if he is going to use it to widen Mission Street.

#### Recall Election.

Supervisor Christopher stated, we are on the eve of an election, and while I have made my position clear before I believe that I should restate my position to this effect; that I am emphatically opposed to a recall based on the charges that have brought this matter about. In this instance no malfeasance or misfeasance has been perpetrated against the City and so I believe that this recall is not warranted.

Requesting Appointment of Citizens' Committee for Observance of Admisson Day, September 9, 1946.

Presented jointly by Supervisors Gallagher and Colman:

Proposal No. 5883, Resolution No. . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, September 9, 1946 is the 96th birthday of the State of California, a legal holiday, and

Whereas, the celebration of this great event has always been an outstanding event throughout the State; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco appropriately arrange for a celebration and a Citizens' Committee of 25 be appointed to arrange for the proper observance of this date.

*Adopted* by the following votes

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

Requesting Mayor to Designate August, 1946, as Irwin Memorial Blood Bank Month, and Urging Citizens to Donate Blood.

The Clerk presented for Supervisor MacPhee:

Proposal 5884, Resolution No. 5694 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Red Cross Blood Procurement Center having ceased operations after V-J Day, the only remaining source of whole blood for patients in civilian and some military hospitals in this area is the Irwin Memorial Blood Bank of the San Francisco County Medical Society, and

Whereas, Through an arrangement with donors, blood needed for transfusions to civilians can be obtained at actual cost of processing, and

Whereas, Replacement of blood used is made by donors or stored up by individuals and organizations for future use by relatives and friends at only actual cost of processing, and

Whereas, Military hospitals in this area are furnished whole blood as needed without cost to such hospitals, and

Whereas, Through publicity released from Washington and misinterpreted locally, there exists the belief that because plasma has been given to some civilian hospitals by the Red Cross, whole blood is no longer needed, and

Whereas, Through dropping off of contributions of blood by civilians of San Francisco there exists today a tragic shortage of certain types of whole blood and because of this shortage, hospitals, both military and civil, appeal in vain for whole blood to be used in transfusions for men, women and children whose lives are endangered through such lack, and

Whereas, to fill the requirements of those who must have transfusions to preserve their lives, it is necessary that at least 80 persons daily donate whole blood at San Francisco's only remaining community blood bank; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to designate the month of August, 1946 as Irwin Memorial Blood Bank Month, during which period all individuals and organizations be urged to make appointments at the Irwin Memorial Blood Bank for the purpose of donating blood, such blood to be furnished the civilian hospitals at actual cost of processing and military hospitals without cost, and be it further understood that all activities herein be at no monetary profit to any individual or group.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Sullivan—3.

#### Committee Meetings.

The following Committee Meeting was announced:  
Streets Committee, Wednesday, July 17, 1946—4:00 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:30 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 3, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John R. McGrath, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

FRANCISCO  
JULIO LIQUORI  
CRIDICAL SEMI

Monday, July 15, 1946

Monday, July 22, 1946

Monday, July 29, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

*Sitting as a  
Board of Equalization*





# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 15, 1946—2:30 P.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 15, 1946, 2:30 p.m.

The Board of Supervisors met, sitting as a Board of Equalization, to hear applicants who had filed petitions for reductions or corrections of assessments on their property as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1945-1946.

### CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Brown excused from attendance.

### Consideration of Applications for Corrections or Equalization of Assessment.

Applications, as follows, for corrections or equalization of assessments were taken up, considered, and acted on as follows:

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
1 Josephine L. Hamill . . . . .	5A	2431	\$1,850	\$ 540
Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
2 Louise Zeigler . . . . .	10	2799	500	200
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
3 Chan Bing Yue Fong . . . . .	25A	158	13,500	3,120
Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
4 Flora Naskell . . . . .	1H	1277	5,000	800
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
5 Jacob J. Mallick . . . . .	5	6908	2,300	1,020
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
6 Frances Lyders . . . . .	7	3999	.....	500
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200, making assessment \$300. <i>No objections and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
7 San Francisco Gravel Company . .	3	3800	4,800	13,450
Appraisers recommend no reductions. <i>No objections, and recommendations of appraisers confirmed.</i>				

### RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:15 p.m., recessed, to reconvene on Monday, July 22, 1946, at 2:30 p.m.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

## MONDAY, JULY 22, 1946—2:30 P.M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened, pursuant to recess, to sit as a Board of Equalization to continue consideration of petitions for corrections or reductions of assessments as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1945-46.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

## Consideration of Applications for Corrections or Equalization of Assessment.

Applications, as follows, for corrections or equalization of assessments were taken up, considered, and acted on as noted:

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
8 Theodore P. Bahr . . . . .	50	3532	\$1,900	\$ 580
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
9 Bernard Becker . . . . .	10	1522	3,600	850
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
10 Carmela De Luca . . . . .	21	1026	7,500	1,940
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
11 Mr. and Mrs. John Noren . . . . .	10	3587	5,000	2,520
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
12 Ann M. Dickie . . . . .	26	1720	1,500	810
Appraisers recommended that this matter be continued until the property could be inspected. <i>No objections and consideration postponed for one week.</i>				
13 Sports Center . . . . .	23	5615	45,000	32,880
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
Supervisor Mancuso moved that we rescind action. Seconded by Supervisor Mead. <i>No objections and motion carried.</i>				
Supervisor Mancuso moved that consideration be postponed for one week. Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee. <i>No objections and motion carried.</i>				
14 Arell J. Wasson . . . . .	16	6931	2,700	1,250
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>Continued for one week until the Assessor can make a report.</i>				
15 Isaac J. Henry . . . . .	18	278	500	3,790
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
16 Fred Kelly . . . . .	12	2884	2,600	670
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
17 Annie Hospodarsky . . . . .	25	3734	500	9,660
Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
18 Marion Montague . . . . .	12	105	.....	350
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200, making assessment \$150. <i>No objections and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				



RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:30 p.m., recessed, to reconvene on Monday, July 29, 1946, at 2:30 p.m.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 29, 1946—2:30 P.M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened, pursuant to recess, to sit as a Board of Equalization to continue consideration of petitions for corrections or reductions of assessments as shown on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1945-46.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Consideration of Applications for Corrections or Equalization of Assessment.

Applications, as follows, for correction or equalization of assessment were taken up, considered, and acted on as noted:

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
12 Ann M. Dickie .....	26	1720	\$1,500	\$ 810
Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
13 Sports Center .....	23	5615	45,000	32,880
Supervisor Mancuso said, the members of the Sports Center do not care to press their request for a reduction.				
Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
14 Arell J. Wasson .....	16	6931	2,700	1,250
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				
19 Peter Rossini .....	20	1158	2,000	1,130
	21	1158	2,000	1,130
Applicant heard. Appraisers recommend no reduction. <i>No objections, and recommendation of appraisers confirmed.</i>				

Approval of Assessor's Clerical Error List.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that the Clerical Error List presented by the Assessor be approved.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Complete List of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments Filed With the Board of Equalization.

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
1 Josephine L. Hamill .....	5A	2431	\$1,850	\$ 540
2 Louise Zeigler .....	10	2799	500	200
3 Chan Bing Yue Fong.....	25A	158	13,500	3,120
4 Flora Naskell .....	1H	1277	5,000	800
5 Jacob J. Mallick .....	5	6908	2,300	1,020
6 Frances Lyders .....	7	3999	.....	500
7 San Francisco Gravel Company...	3	3800	4,800	13,450

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
8 Theodore P. Bahr .....	50	3532	1,900	580
9 Bernard Becker .....	10	1522	3,600	850
10 Carmela De Luca .....	21	1026	7,500	1,940
11 Mr. and Mrs. John Noren.....	10	3587	5,000	2,520
12 Ann M. Dickie .....	26	1720	1,500	810
13 Sports Center .....	23	5615	45,000	32,880
14 Arell J. Wasson .....	16	6931	2,700	1,250
15 Isaac J. Henry .....	18	278	500	3,790
16 Fred Kelly .....	12	2884	2,600	670
17 Annie Hospodarsky .....	25	3734	500	9,660
18 Marion Montague .....	12	105	.....	350
19 Peter Rossini .....	20	1158	2,000	1,130
	21	1158	2,000	1,130

List of Reductions Granted.

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>	<i>Reduced to</i>	<i>Reduction</i>
6 Frances Lyders ....	7	3999	.....	\$500	\$300	\$200
18 Marion Montague ....	12	105	.....	350	150	200
Reduction on buildings .....					.....	
Reduction on land .....					\$400	
Total reduction .....					\$400	

ADJOURNMENT.

All applicants present having been heard, and applications having been considered, the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, at the hour of 2:45 p.m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John R. McGrath, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, July 22, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 22, 1946, 2:00 P.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 22, 1946, 2:00 p.m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, Sullivan—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Lewis noted present at 2:20 p.m.

Supervisor Brown noted present at 2:45 p.m.

Supervisor Mancuso excused from attendance at 6:50 p.m.

Supervisor Lewis excused from attendance at 7:10 p.m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 20, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From the Public Welfare Department, replying to letter forwarded to the Welfare Department from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, requesting information regarding case-loads and costs of aid to dependent non-residents.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Upper Fillmore Merchants Assn., Inc., enclosing copy of resolution, requesting parking limitation of one hour on Fillmore Street between Sacramento and Jackson Streets.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From Horace F. Romeo, suggesting charter amendment which will discourage groundless recall elections.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Civil Aeronautics Board, notice of reargument on Docket No. 851, et al., Hawaiian Case, will be held on September 9, 10 a.m., Room 5044, Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D. C., in connection with certificate of public convenience.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From J. H. Mayers, requesting increase in pay for election officials.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Neil Cunningham, President of the Shoreline Planning Association, announcing postponement of meeting but stated that a general meeting will be held on Thursday, August 1, 10 a.m., Board of Directors, Miramar Hotel, Ocean end of Wilshire, Santa Monica.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From his Honor the Mayor, attaching statement which the Mayor has released to the press, designating the month of August as Irwin Memorial Blood Bank Month, as requested in Proposal No. 5884 of the Board of Supervisors.

*Ordered Filed.*

Telegram from Hon. Sheridan Downey, United States Senator, advising that Senate Committee reported favorably on Shore Erosion Bill H. R. 2033.

*Clerk to acknowledge wire. Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From his Honor the Mayor, expressing his thanks to the members of the Board for the unanimous passage of Resolution No. 5672, stating that the recall of a public official who had not been dishonest or corrupt or guilty of malfeasance or misfeasance in office, and who has shown no incapacity to perform his duties, should not take place.

*Ordered filed.*

Resolution from the San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council, asking that the Public Utilities Commission sell to state old-age pensioners tickets at the reduced rates applicable to school children.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From Henry F. Wrigley, urging that the Board of Supervisors appoint a committee to investigate the matter of the U. S. Government filing condemnation proceedings against 94.87 acres of land in the Islais Creek District area, with the view of petitioning the Government to abandon said condemnation proceedings.

*Referred to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.*

From Alfred George Pedwell, requesting that action be taken opening Tompkins Avenue into San Bruno Avenue.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From Monterey Heights Homes Association, protesting erection by the Municipal Railway of a bus garage on the Water Department property at Ocean and Phelan Avenues.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Office of the Controller, State of California, informing the Board that the gasoline tax apportionment for the quarter ending June 30, 1946, cannot be made in July, because of the inability of the Department of Motor Vehicles to furnish the required information on time.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Western Mining Council, Inc., requesting small appropriation for the furtherance of their work.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, attaching copy of resolution, urging that San Francisco prepare a Master Airport Plan to determine present and future airport needs of both commercial and private users of aircraft.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Grand Secretary, Native Sons of the Golden West, en-



closing resolution urging that Boards of Supervisors of all counties enact legislation compelling persons engaged in gold dredging to replace all top-soil, sub-soil, gravel and rock originally moved.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

Copy of letter from the State Board of Equalization, addressed to Russell L. Wolden, advising of meeting to be held on July 23 and 24 and July 26 for the purpose of discussing problems relating to the administration of assessment and taxation laws, said meeting to be held at the Mission Inn, Riverside on July 23 and 24 and at the office of the County Assessor, Los Angeles.

*Ordered filed.*

From B. M. Owen, protesting on unclean condition of certain streets.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the Secretary and General Manager of the American Medical Association, congratulating Board of Supervisors and the San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau for their part played in making the Convention of the Medical Association a success.

*Ordered filed.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

##### Board of Supervisors to Sit as Board of Equalization.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 5670 (Series of 1939), Board of Supervisors to meet as a Board of Equalization to examine the assessment books for the fiscal year 1946-1947 and equalize the assessment of property in the City and County of San Francisco and to continue in session for that purpose from time to time until the business of equalization is disposed of but not later than Monday, July 29, 1946.

*July 15, 1946—Consideration continued until July 22, 1946.*

*See Board of Equalization Journal, Volume 41, No. 30.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

The following, from Commercial and Industrial Development Committee, called out by Supervisor Christopher, was taken up:

**Favoring Submission to Voters at General Election, November, 1946, of Declaration of Policy Concerning Operation of Farmers' Market.**

Proposal No. 5717, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the lease of the site on which the Farmers' Market is at present located expires on June 30, 1946, and

Whereas, at the November, 1945, election, the people by a substantial majority, voted to continue the operation of the Farmers' Market, and

Whereas, efforts have been made to have the city finance the cost of a permanent Farmers' Market, the outlay estimated to range between seventy-five thousand and one hundred thousand dollars, and

Whereas, records indicate that during the past two years, the market has been barely able to meet its operating expenses, and

Whereas, while the Board of Supervisors does not wish to contravene the edict of the people, at the same time it does not desire to place the cost of such a venture on the shoulders of the taxpayers, without specific authority; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In order to determine the wishes of the people with respect to financing the cost of a new and permanent Farmers' Market, this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as being in favor of submitting a declaration of policy to the electorate at the November, 1946, election, setting forth in detail the following:

1. Location of the proposed site.
2. Cost of proposed site.
3. Cost of appurtenances necessary for the operation of the Market.
4. Probable amount of revenue that may be expected to accrue, based on past experiences of the Market.
5. Probable length of time over which amortization of the amount advanced by the city, may be expected to run, based on past revenues and costs.
6. Any other information that may be pertinent to the subject.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Registrar of Voters be and are hereby requested, respectively, to prepare such a declaration of policy as is outlined herein and to take such procedural steps as are necessary for the submission of such policy at the General Election to be held in November, 1946.

*July 15, 1946—Consideration continued until July 22, 1946.*

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Colman moved, that this matter be postponed for one week and made a special order of business at 2:00 p.m.  
Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman said, the reason I made this motion was because Supervisor Sullivan is absent, and I believe that every member of the Board should be given the opportunity to vote on this matter.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, within the next month or two, there will be a supervisor missing at almost every meeting. I do not feel that Supervisor Colman is right in wanting to have Supervisor Sullivan to vote on this matter. I do not believe that the matter should be postponed and if Mr. Sullivan's vote is needed, on this matter today, I will move for rescinding of action and postponement until next Monday.

Supervisor Brown stated, I believe that Supervisor Christopher has the right idea. If there are not six votes for this measure it will fail, and if Supervisor Sullivan's vote is needed then we can rescind our action and postpone the matter.

Supervisor Mancuso explained, the matter should also be considered with the next item and since we have kept people waiting today. I believe that these matters should be disposed of today. I would like to see the motion voted down because I do not believe that Supervisor Sullivan's vote will be needed one way or the other.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to postpone was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher said, we have gone into this matter in quite some detail and I am not going to prolong this matter with any arguments. My thoughts in this matter have been misconstrued.

I cannot convince myself that I would be doing the proper thing in reversing my position. I believe that if the people are given the opportunity to vote on this in November, they will again tell us that they want the Farmers' Market. The one important matter is that we have a group of retailers, a group of wholesalers and a group of men who are working for these retailers and wholesalers.

Mr. Brooks is asking for an appropriation of \$62,000 for the express purpose of setting up a Farmers' Market and that resolves itself around to this: we are taxing one group of people to start a competitive enterprise to compete with this group of taxpayers. If we do this we are going to have people coming in and asking that we put up various industries to compete with the industries that are already in existence.

When the people voted on this matter before, we did not tell them that it would cost \$100,000. We did not tell them that we were going to subsidize the Market. All we are asking is to let the people determine that establishment of a precedent. That is what we are doing, establishing a precedent as to whether or not we are going to subsidize the Farmers' Market.

I feel certain that, eventually, in November, it will receive the approval of the people once again, but that should not enter into consideration at this time. The only thing we should consider is the establishment of a precedent that can become very dangerous. That is the sole essence of my argument and that is why I am going to vote for the submission of this matter and against the appropriation.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I think for the benefit of all parties concerned that we should learn just what Supervisor Christopher proposes to submit to the people. There are six matters on the declaration of policy.

Supervisor Christopher explained, I believe that the people are entitled to know these things. I do not believe that any member of the Board knows the contemplated location of the Market. I have asked Mr. Brooks and he said that he could not divulge the exact location.

Mr. Brooks replied, that is correct. We do not know the lots that we can acquire at this time. We know the approximate location, but we have not tried to purchase and we should not let people know until we try to purchase the lot.

Supervisor Christopher said, I have no objections to putting up a couple of thousand dollars as a binder, and if we do not purchase the land then we can lose the money we put up.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mead moved the privilege of the floor for both the proponents and the opponents of this matter.

Seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. J. C. Simpson, attorney for the Wholesale Fruit and Produce Dealer's Association, stated, this matter was before you about two months ago. There was \$10,000 for the grading of the land. At that time seven members of the Board voted that we should not appropriate \$10,000 to start a permanent Farmers' Market. No supervisors were in favor of the establishment of a Farmers' Market on a permanent basis. The declaration of policy that was passed last November just asked whether or not the Farmers' Market should continue longer than as set up in the ordinance.

We said that the Market would be back for more money, and it is true. They are now asking for \$100,000 and it will continue to grow. By giving this money you are just subsidizing a Farmers' Market. We do not believe that the people of San Francisco will vote for this type of a Market.

The Farmers' Market is losing favor with the people of San Francisco. The income in the last fiscal year was approximately \$9,000 and the present ordinance calls for an appropriation of \$8,800 for the operation of the Farmers' Market. You will not be able to amortize the outstanding money on this type of operation.

Some wild remarks have been made as to how much money we have spent to defeat the Farmers' Market. That is not true and you can come down and investigate our books and find out that it is not correct.

We would like to see this matter go before the people.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis said, I would like to point out the language of the resolution before us. There is no language of a declaration of policy in this resolution. It is setting forth, from 1 to 6, information that is supposed to be given to the people so that they can intelligently vote on a declaration of policy, but nowhere in the resolution can I find any language that a declaration should have. The resolution is completely void of any declaration of policy. You are telling the Registrar and the City Attorney to prepare something, but there is nothing in the resolution about a declaration. I oppose the consideration of this matter because it does not set forth a declaration of policy.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, Supervisor Lewis voted for this matter at one time and then submitted to the pressure that was put on him. This matter was in committee for a couple of months and the City Attorney has looked at it and said that there was nothing wrong with it.

Supervisor Lewis explained, I voted for this before, but I believe that I voted incorrectly. I do not know what pressure Supervisor Christopher believes that I have been put to. I changed my views because of what I believe in this matter. Originally I believe that submitting this matter to the people would be a good thing, but when the Chief Administrative Officer said that there would be a danger of losing the site, I realized that I was wrong and now I am in a position to vote for the \$62,000 appropriation for the continuation of a Farmers' Market on a permanent basis.

I am sorry if anybody feels that I have submitted to pressure. It means much to me to have this Board feel that I vote the way that I feel is correct.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Mead raised a point of order. All of these discussions are out of order. It isn't a question of who put pressure on who.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis said, I rose to a question that the matter before us is illegal.

The Chair ruled Supervisor Lewis out of order. The matter is properly before us.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Rose Walker, representing the Greater Mission Improvement Association, remarked, I am in favor of a Farmers' Market, but I am not sure that the majority of the people of San Francisco know what a Farmers' Market is, or how much it is going to cost, or how it is going to be maintained. I am in favor of submitting a declaration of policy to the people. I believe that a declaration of policy should give a definite location and a definite outline as to how much it is going to be managed.

Mr. John Brucato, representing the Farmers' Market Advisory Board, stated, I agree with the arguments that this proposal is not in proper shape to be presented to the people. It would create so much confusion that the people would not know for what they were voting. If this was to go on the ballot in November it would mean that the land would not be able to be purchased, and ready for occupancy, for about 11 months. That would take us beyond the June 30th date as set forth in our present lease.

A Farmers' Market in the wholesale district would not be able to survive.

Supervisor Christopher states on one hand that he is in favor of the Farmers' Market, and on the other hand he insists upon putting through a proposition as set forth on the calendar today.

Mr. Allen M. Brodke, Secretary, Retail Fruit & Vegetable Clerks Union, Local 1017, A.F.L., remarked, a lot of stress has been laid on the majority of the people voting for the Farmers' Market. The opposition to the Farmers' Market was not organized. The people did not know the true story.

I have observed the operations of the Farmers' Market. I see a lot of good produce up there and I have seen a lot of inferior produce up there. There are a number of farmers that buy produce in the commission market and take it up to the Farmers' Market and dispose of it there.

I am for the Market in the way in which it was organized, but up there we have people who rent space all the year around and sell their produce to the public. Most of the produce is sold at about the same price as the stores sell it.

A few of the merchants came to me and said that the Farmers' Market has hurt their business. The regular merchants have to pay a license and pay taxes, while the people come into the Farmers' Market and do not have to pay licenses and taxes. By permitting the Farmers' Market to operate in its present form the people are paying more for their fruits and vegetables than they would have to pay if the Market were not in operation. I believe that the measure should go before the people.

Mr. Don Fazackerley, member of the Famine Emergency Committee, stated, the interest of the Famine Emergency Committee is an obvious one. We are still fighting the war against famine. The products that are sold at the Farmers' Market represent a method of meeting the famine that is going on over seas. We are interested in getting more fruits and vegetables into the hands of the buying public. We want to see more and more of the surplus crops put into the fight against the famine over seas.

Mrs. Kirk Whitehead, member of the Advisory Board of the Farmers' Market, remarked, the question is how to put the operation of the Farmers' Market on a permanent basis. The Board passed an ordinance, by a unanimous vote, authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer to establish and maintain a Farmers' Market. How is the

Chief Administrative Officer going to operate a Farmers' Market if you do not give him the money? The Farmers' Market is a very honorable institution.

San Francisco is one of two cities that does not have a Farmers' Market that is free from the hands of the people who want to kill it. By permitting the operation of the Farmers' Market it will improve the quality of the produce that the wholesalers will offer for sale.

Mr. George W. Gerhardt, Secretary, Civil League of Improvement Clubs, said, I wish to call to the attention of the Board one item in this proposal with respect to the location of the Farmers' Market. If you put that information to the people, in your declaration of policy, the land will all be purchased by the real estate men and then the land will cost an exorbitant price to the City.

Mr. John O'Connell, Secretary, San Francisco Labor Council, stated, this market is no longer a Farmers' Market. Anybody can come into it and sell produce. The Market was originally started to permit the sale of surplus produce. If the city wants to go into business we expect it to be on the same competitive basis as any other merchant in San Francisco, otherwise it will not be right.

Mrs. Annie Scanlan, representing the Women's Civic Center Association, remarked, San Francisco should have the Farmers' Market. It is a help to the people because it permits them to purchase surplus produce that would otherwise go to waste. There is no use to put this matter on the ballot, the people elected the Board of Supervisors to decide matters like this.

Mr. Russell Power, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, explained, about five years ago the Central Council of Civic Clubs introduced a resolution requesting an investigation of the wholesale district in San Francisco and the Board adopted it. For 40 years attempts have been made to clean up the wholesale district. Since the investigation of the Government, the commission men said that they would give us a new wholesale district, but they have not done so.

Mr. O'Connell said that anybody could hire a truck and sell the produce at the Market. That is not true, whenever we find anybody violating the ordinance we tell him to stay out of the Market.

This matter should not be put on the November ballot.

Mr. Carroll Newburg, member of the Advisory Board of the Farmers' Market, remarked, this Board should investigate every member of the Farmers' Advisory Board. If they investigate every name on that list they will find that they are good San Franciscans and that the organization that they represent represents the greatest number of improvement organizations in the City. In that group there is no one of a mind to be socialist, communistic or opposed to the continued right of a private merchant.

The Central Council of Civic Clubs is in favor of the continuance of the Farmers' Market. If the wholesalers can show that the Farmers' Market has caused a great curtailment of the local merchants' business then we should do something about it.

When the people voted for the Farmers' Market they knew that the Market was being operated by the City, they knew that it was being operated for the farmers' benefit, as well as their own, and they knew that certain money was being spent. You are doing a favor to the people of San Francisco and to the people of the surrounding areas by permitting this Farmers' Market to operate.

Mr. Ralph Button, representing the Grain and Farm Bureau, stated, this Board set out to improve its relations with its neighboring areas. In this respect they could do nothing better than to permit the con-

tinued operation of the Farmers' Market. I believe that this Board would be taking a great step ahead in the promotion of public relations with the areas around the Bay by permitting this Market to continue.

We had to bring produce to the Farmers' Market because our other market fell through, and we sold the produce at wholesale prices to the people of San Francisco.

The resolution before you today is but an attempt to prolong the issue to a point where it will become so involved that it will become lost. The Board has a mandate to provide a Farmers' Market. I am opposed to the passage of this resolution.

#### Motion to Take Matter into Hands of Board.

Supervisor Mead moved, that the matter be taken into the hands of the Board.

Second by Supervisor Mancuso.

No objections and motion carried.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead remarked, at first I did not have any real objections to submitting this to the people, but when it was pointed out that time is of the essence then I changed my mind. I have been misquoted a number of times and I would like to have my position made clear.

In connection with Proposal No. 5717, by Supervisor Christopher, "Favoring Submission to Voters at General Election, November, 1946, of Declaration of Policy Concerning Operation of Farmers' Market," I should like to point out to the members of the Board that the proposal is ambiguous and incapable of intelligent response by the voters.

Does Supervisor Christopher intend to present as *questions* to the voters, all those items listed as numbers in the Proposal and if so, how, on voting machines does he expect responses thereto and how does he hope to get a composite expression of opinion from the voters. Certain voters may prefer one site and other voters would prefer a different location. With respect to certain of the other items, particularly items of cost, the electorate has no information thereon.

If these items are *not* intended by Supervisor Christopher as questions to be answered by the electorate, who, officially, is to decide upon and supply the information necessary to be contained in such items and when is that to be done?

I certainly hope, inasmuch as some of these items provide for proposed expenditures, that Supervisor Christopher does not intend to suggest that such authority be delegated, thus having the effect of the issuance of a blank check by this Board of Supervisors. Furthermore, if these items numbered 1 to 6 are intended by Supervisor Christopher as information incident to some question which it is intended to propound to the voters, what is that question and where does it appear in Proposal No. 5717? To say the least, Supervisor Christopher's proposal is ambiguous and some considerable time would be necessary to come to an agreement as to its features so that, in intelligent form, it might be submitted to the voters.

But all of this seems to me entirely unnecessary. There was submitted to the electorate on November 6, 1945, a declaration of policy as follows: "The Farmers Market shall be continued beyond the time limitation now established by ordinance." Inasmuch as no time limitation is prescribed in the declaration of policy, indicating the duration for which it is proposed that the Farmers' Market shall

continue, the only fair inference is that it was the intention of the voters that it should continue *indefinitely* or on a *permanent* basis.

Section 179 of the Charter provides in part, as follows:

"Any declaration of policy may be submitted to the electors in the manner provided for the submission of ordinances; and when approved by a majority of the qualified electors voting on said declaration, it shall thereupon be the *duty* of the board of supervisors to enact an ordinance or ordinances to carry such policies or principles into effect, subject to the referendum provisions of this charter."

The declaration of policy referred to has been approved by the following vote: "Yes"—141,581. "No"—24,142. That vote is decisive and the people knew what they were voting for,—a Farmers' Market on a permanent basis. All of the plans and estimates necessary to provide for a Farmers' Market on a permanent basis have been presented to this Board by the Chief Administrative Officer and it seems to me that, rather than insult the intelligence of the voters by submitting to them a question which in effect asks: "Did you really mean what you said," the thing for this Board of Supervisors to do is to comply with the plain mandate of the Charter, contained in Section 179, and immediately to pass the necessary legislation to carry out the very plain and emphatic edict of the people.

I suggest that the members of the Board vote down the proposal as submitted by Supervisor Christopher. It seems to me that it is a deliberate attempt to stall this matter so that we will end up with no Farmers' Market at all. I hope that you will vote this down and vote in favor of appropriation for \$62,000 for the purchase of land for the Farmers' Market.

Supervisor Christopher said, at the time that the original \$10,000 appropriation was killed, I said that there was some kind of a mandate from the people and that we should introduce two resolutions on this matter. At first you were in favor of this and now I am sorry that you have changed your mind on this matter.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mancuso moved the privilege of the floor for Mrs. Dipple.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objection and motion carried.*

Mrs. Dipple stated, the people of San Francisco are in favor of the Farmers' Market and it should be continued on a permanent basis. There has been no supervisor who has yielded to pressure, that is, at least on our side, although it might have been so on the other side.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Christopher raised to a point of order. Either the lady retract her statement or else she will have to give up the floor.

The Chair requested Mrs. Dipple to retract her statement.

Mrs. Dipple continued, this matter should not go before the people because the people have already signified that they want the Farmers' Market.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman explained, I am in accord with Mr. Mead's position and also in accord with Mr. Christopher's position when he said that he was in favor of the Market.

I am in favor of appropriating the \$62,000 for the purchase of land.



At last November's election, in the 8th Assembly District, the vote was the same. In other words, it was carried by about the same ratio in all of the Assembly Districts. This is the most decisive vote ever taken on a proposition in the history of the new charter.

The people knew what they were voting for. It went before all of the organizations in San Francisco and was studied. The Market was in operation and they knew about it and their vote was the result.

I do not believe that we should go back to the people now and ask them to vote on this matter again. They knew that they would not get the Market free, they knew that it would cost some money.

The money that we are going to advance will be paid back in the years to come. In the last budget we made very many capital expenditures without going to the voters, but on this matter they want to go back to the people twice and ask them if they want the Farmers' Market.

It is the little fellow who uses this Market and it is the people who need it badly. Since the death of O.P.A. prices have gone up and the little people need the savings in their budget that they can get by purchasing produce at the Farmers' Market. The people want the Market.

Mr. Brooks has come to us with an appropriation for \$62,000 to permit him to go ahead and purchase the land for the permanent site of the Farmers' Market. The Mayor approved the request for the appropriation, the City Attorney said that it was legal and the Controller said that the money was available.

It is wrong to take this away from the people who need it the most. Other cities have Farmers' Markets and it is what San Francisco has expressed itself for last November, and it is what San Francisco wants.

Supervisor McMurray stated, I do not know anybody who is for this Market that represents one little storekeeper in San Francisco that has to make a living by buying produce down at the wholesale district. The people should vote on this matter again so that the people will know where the Farmers' Market is going to be constructed. If this Market is going to put one little businessman out of business in San Francisco, I do not care much about the farmers who are bringing produce into San Francisco. The small storekeeper is my friend, and I am going to fight for him.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, I believe that there is room for the Farmers' Market in San Francisco without putting the small storekeeper out of business. If it comes to that, then we will take action to remove the Farmers' Market.

Mr. Brooks has recommended an appropriation of \$62,000 for the purchase of land and I believe that he isn't in favor of the proposition that is before us.

Supervisor Brown said, it has been said that San Francisco is derelict in its duty because it is the only city that does not have a free market. That is incorrect because we have had a Farmers' Market for a number of years.

When the matter came up during budget time, I pointed out that the Market could be continued at its present site for another year, at the same rent. I am opposed to that but the lease was renewed and the Market is going to continue until June 30th of next year.

In other cities the Markets are not subsidized by the city. In Fresno they have stalls that they rent and the rent from these stalls bring in quite a bit of money to the city.

The small store owner is charged license taxes and other fees and it amounts to quite a sum. The City now proposes to start a

Farmers' Market and not charge any taxes or fees that are being charged the store owners and, on top of that, they will not even have to pay stall rent. The City should not tax a business in this community and then go into competition on a basis that is unfair. I do not believe that the City should have any part of it. I believe that the City should provide the site and then the operators of the Farmers' Market should go on from there, subject to the same taxes as the small business.

The issue here is very clear, the issue is whether or not the City should go into competition with those people it is taxing to support the City Government.

Supervisor Lewis explained, I do not believe that the issue as stated by Supervisor Brown is correct. You can't ask the people to again vote on this matter. The people have stated what they wanted and you have to go along with how the people feel on this matter. The only point of the resolution is, did the people know what they were voting on, did they know whether or not there was money involved. The question is not whether or not there should be a Farmers' Market.

Why should we take the chance of losing something that the people have already said that they want. I was wrong before, but this time I am going to vote against this proposal. If we feel that we might lose the Farmers' Market, we should appropriate the money and try to purchase the land now.

There is no declaration of policy stated today. The resolution has no declaration of policy. Who is going to word it and what is it going to say? If you vote for this proposal you will be voting for a meaningless thing.

Supervisor Brown said, Supervisor Lewis said that this matter had already been submitted to the people. We did submit something to the people. It was, 'should the Farmers' Market be continued?' and they voted for it.

Now we are proposing to spend \$100,000 of the taxpayers' money and a lot of people will think twice before they vote to spend money on the Market.

Another thing, when we started the Market it was to be for a surplus produce and now there are no surpluses to be disposed.

Thereupon the roll was called and the vote on the foregoing proposal was recorded as follows:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Mead said, I change my vote from "No" to "Aye" and service notice for reconsideration.

Thereupon the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

**Appropriating \$62,000, Chief Administrative Officer, for Acquisition of New Site for Farmers' Market.**

Bill No. 4206, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$62,000 out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$62,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to the credit of Appropriation No. 558.600.50, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Question on passage for second reading . . . . .*

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Brown moved, that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

No objections and motion carried.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital, by Deleting 4 Flatwork Ironers at \$120-155, and Adding 3 Laundresses and 1 Starcher, All at \$125-160.

Bill No. 4177, Ordinance No. 3941 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital (Continued), by reducing the number of employments under item 29 from 13 to 9 I152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155; by increasing the number of employments under item 30 from 4 to 7 I154 Laundress at \$125-160; and by adding item 30.1 1 I156 Starcher at \$125-160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	80	I 2	Kitchen Helper . . . . .	\$120-155
17	1	I 6	Pastry Cook . . . . .	175-210
18	8	I 10	Cook's Assistant . . . . .	135-165
19	7	I 12	Cook . . . . .	175-210

Section 58a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
20	1	I 14	Junior Chef .....	210-230
21	1	I 16	Chef .....	230-250
22	12	I 54	Waitress or I 56 Waiter .....	120-155
24			Inmate Help, not over .....	50
25	4	I 106	Morgue Attendant .....	150-190
26	142	I 116	Orderly .....	130-165
27	4	I 120	Senior Orderly .....	150-190
28	2	I 122	House Mother .....	145-185
29	9	I 152	<b>Flatwork Ironer</b> .....	120-155
30	7	I 154	<b>Laundress</b> .....	125-160
30.1	1	I 156	<b>Starcher</b> .....	125-160
32	1	I 158	Sorter .....	140-175
33	1	I 164	Marker and Distributor .....	140-175
34	1	I 166	Wringerman .....	160-200
37	1	I 172	Head Washer .....	195-245
38	1	I 178	Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital .....	275-345
39	96	I 204	Porter .....	125-160
40	10	I 206	Porter Sub-Foreman .....	140-175
41	3	I 208	Porter Foreman .....	145-185
42	1	I 210	Head Porter .....	165-205
43	6	I 254	Seamstress .....	140-175
44	1	I 256	Head Seamstress .....	165-205

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Sullivan—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, by Deleting 2 Key Punch Operators (Alphabetical), and Adding 2 Key Punch Operators (Numerical), All at \$160-200.

Bill No. 4186, Ordinance No. 3947 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 Purchasing Department—Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau (Continued) Interdepartmental, by decreasing the number of employments under item 43 from 3 to 1 B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) at \$160-200; and by adding item 43.1 2 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.4. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued)**

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	1	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical)</b> .....	\$160-200
43.1	2	B309b	<b>Key Punch Operator (Numerical)</b> .....	160-200
44	9	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator .....	190-240

Section 37.4. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
44.1	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (part-time) .....	190-240
45	3	B325	Blueprinter .....	185-230
46	2	B327	Photostat Operator .....	185-230
47	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
47.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Sullivan—2.

Consideration Continued.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Adding to Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," Class D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Bill No. 4168, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," by adding thereto Class No. D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.7. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized:** In order that there shall be no diminution of service to the public it shall be the duty of the head of each department to arrange and assign the work of his department so that sufficient employees will be on duty on Saturday morning in each department which is required to be open for the conduct of public business on Saturday morning, provided that time worked on Saturday morning by employees on a normal work week schedule shall be compensated by equal time off in the same or succeeding week. Employees whose positions are allocated to the classes included in Division R—Recreation Service, and employees whose positions are allocated in Division X—Library Service, may at the discretion of the appointing officer work the 40-hour schedule within six days without additional compensation or time off. Occupants of the following positions shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but subject to rule of the Civil Service Commission, may be granted time off not to exceed the time worked in excess of forty hours per week:

Class No. and Title

- A6 Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings
- A8 Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings

## Class No. and Title

A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A12	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of Hetch Hetchy Properties
A108	Chief Building Inspector
A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector
B8	Supervisor of Disbursements
B14	Senior Accountant
B20	Controller
B21	Chief Assistant Controller
B22	Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B23	Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B25	Business Manager, Public Welfare Department
B26	Supervisor, Budget Statistics
B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports
B28	Supervisor of General Audits
B30	Supervisor of Utility Audits
B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department
B34	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works
B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court
B36	Business Manager, Department of Public Health
B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative), San Francisco Hospital
B51	Chief Administrative Officer
B54	Director, Bureau of Public Service
B55	Assistant Director, Bureau of Public Service
B57	Secretary, Art Commission
B58	Secretary, Board of Education
B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals
B66	Registrar of Voters
B67	Secretary, Fire Commission
B68	Chief Clerk
B69	Secretary, Coordinating Council
B70	Secretary, Park Commission
B71	Secretary, Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young and California Palace of the Legion of Honor
B72	Secretary, Library Department
B74	Confidential Secretary to the Mayor
B76	Executive Secretary to the Mayor
B76.1	Administrative Assistant to the Mayor
B76.3	Administrative Analyst
B77	Executive Secretary to the Manager of Utilities
B78	Secretary, City Planning Commission
B79	Secretary, Health Service Board
B81	Recorder
B82	Secretary, Retirement System
B83	Consulting Actuary
B84	Under Sheriff
B87	Secretary-Attendant, Grand Jury
B88	Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors
B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses
B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
B91	Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue
B93	Tax Collector
B95	Director of Finance and Records
B95.1	Assistant Director of Public Works
B96	Managing Director, War Memorial
B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer
B100	Supervisor of Real Property Records, Assessor's Office
B108	Cashier A

## Class No. and Title

B120 Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office  
 B169 County Clerk  
 B173 Public Administrator  
 B180 Administrative Assistant, Board of Education  
 B368 Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies  
 B374 Purchaser of Supplies  
 C4 Superintendent of Auditorium  
 D66 Superintendent of Jail  
 E8 Chief Electrical Inspector  
 E116 Superintendent of Plant  
 F1 Manager of Utilities  
 F2 Director of Public Works  
 F4 Assistant City Engineer  
 F9 Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau  
 F10 City Engineer  
 F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations  
 F61 Superintendent of Airport Operations  
 F62 Manager of Airport Department  
 F75 Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities  
     Commission  
 F108 Architect  
 F112 City Architect  
 F220 General Superintendent of Streets  
 F366 Chief, Department of Electricity  
 F372 Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and  
     Power  
 F408 Public Health Engineer  
 F412 Senior Engineer  
 F414 General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal  
     Railway  
 F520 Consulting Sanitary Engineer  
 F526 Chief Water Purification Engineer  
 F527 Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant  
 F560 Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection  
 F706 Chief Valuation Engineer  
 F800 City Planning Engineer  
 F801 Senior City Planner  
 F802 Master Plan Architect  
 F810 Associate City Planner  
 G5 Chief Land Appraiser  
 G11 Chief Building Appraiser  
 G17 Chief Personal Property Appraiser  
 G20 Chief Assistant Assessor  
 G59 Assistant Personnel Director  
 G59.1 Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classifications  
 G59.2 Supervisor of Examinations  
 G60 Personnel Director  
 G62 Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission  
 G80 Personnel Officer, Department of Public Health  
 G84 Director, Bureau of Personnel, Public Utilities Commission  
 G102 General Claims Agent, Municipal Railway  
 G106 Claims Adjuster  
 G110 Compensation Claims Adjuster  
 G204 Assistant Director of Property  
 G206 Director of Property  
 H42 Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation  
 H44 Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation  
 K4 Attorney, Civil  
 K6 Senior Attorney, Civil  
 K8 Principal Attorney, Civil  
 K10 Head Attorney, Civil

## Class No. and Title

K12 Chief Attorney, Civil  
 K16 Special Counsel, Water Services  
 K52 Junior Attorney, Criminal  
 K54 Attorney, Criminal  
 K56 Senior Attorney, Criminal  
 K58 Principal Attorney, Criminal  
 K60 Head Attorney, Criminal  
 K62 Chief Attorney, Criminal  
 L2 Assistant Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L6 Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L9 Assistant Superintendent, Medical, Laguna Honda Home  
 L10 Superintendent, Laguna Honda Home  
 L16 Assistant Director of Public Health  
 L18 Director of Public Health  
 L19 Chief, Division of Public Health Education  
 L20 Public Health Educator  
 L156 Dentist  
 L160 Director of Dental Bureau  
 L252 Optometrist  
 L352 Interne  
 L354 House Officer  
 L356 Senior House Officer  
 L357 Resident Physician  
 L359 Supervising Physician, Blood Bank  
 L360 Physician  
 L362 Supervisor of City Physicians  
 L363 Superintendent, Hassler Health Home  
 L364 Physician Specialist  
 L368 Director of Bureau of Child Hygiene  
 L371 Director of Bureau of Communicable Diseases  
 L375 Chief, Division of Tuberculosis Control  
 L376 Chief, Division of Venereal Disease Control  
 L458 Roentgenologist  
 L502 Autopsy Surgeon  
 L506 Assistant Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital  
 L508 Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital  
 M4 Assistant General Superintendent of Equipment and  
     Overhead Lines  
 M5 Assistant Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines  
 M6 Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines  
 M7 General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines,  
     Municipal Railway  
 M8 General Superintendent of Shops  
 M20 Superintendent of Equipment  
 M22 Superintendent of Power and Lines  
 N10 Coroner  
 N54 District Supervisor  
 N63 Chief Abattoir Inspector  
 N70 Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector  
 N156 County Agricultural Commissioner  
 N358 Sealer of Weights and Measures  
 N403 Public Service Director, Mayor's Office  
 O216 Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair  
 P58 Director of Public Health Nursing  
 P122 Director of Institutional Nursing  
 R3 Assistant Superintendent, Recreation Department  
 R4 Superintendent, Recreation Department  
 R20 Assistant Director of Recreational Activities  
 R22 Director of Recreational Activities  
 S5 General Manager, Municipal Railway Bureau  
 S128 Division Superintendent, Municipal Railway



## Class No. and Title

S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S134	General Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home
T30	Director of Girls' School
T70	Chief Adult Probation Officer
T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
T163	Director of Public Welfare
T165	District Supervisor
U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer
U80	Assistant Manager, Water Sales
U88	Manager, Water Sales
U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution
U144	Superintendent, City Distribution
U232	Superintendent, Alameda District
U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula District
U246	Superintendent, Peninsula District
V40	Superintendent, Agriculture
W2	Superintendent, Park Department
W4	Assistant Superintendent, Park Department
W212	Director of the Zoo
X2	City Librarian
X12	Chief Librarian
Y2	Director, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum
Y4	Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor
Y8	Curator A
Y10	Curator B
Y12	Curator C

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 24, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.*

July 1, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee said, this was presented to us by the Sheriff's Office with the recommendation that it was an executive position. I am in accord with this matter but if you want to postpone the matter for one week I will go along with it.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to postpone was defeated by the following vote:

Aye: Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Not Voting: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso stated, this is to place the D66 back in Section 1.7 of the Annual Salary Ordinance.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mancuso moved the privilege of the floor for Mrs. Minudri.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Gallagher said, if you open this matter up I believe that we should have both sides present.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I have not heard either side and I would like to have the whole matter explained to me.

### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, that this matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to postpone was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer—8.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, McMurray—2.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Amending the Budget Procedure Ordinance by the Addition of a New Section Providing for the Submission of the Administrative Provisions of the Annual Salary Ordinance and the Publication thereof.**

Bill No. 4100, Ordinance No. 3938 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending the budget procedure ordinance by the addition of a new section providing for the submission of the administrative provisions of the Annual Salary Ordinance and the publication thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 1925, Ordinance No. 1847 (Series of 1939), is hereby amended by adding a new section, as follows:

Section 1.1. The administrative provisions of the annual salary ordinance for each ensuing fiscal year shall be prepared and submitted not later than May 1st by the Civil Service Commission to the Board of Supervisors.

Upon transmission thereof to the Board of Supervisors it shall thereupon be automatically referred to the Finance Committee and shall be published concurrently with and in the same manner as the Mayor's proposed budget and the draft of the annual appropriation ordinance are published.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 1, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Ordering the Improvement of the Crossings of Wawona Street at Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues, and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4170, Ordinance No. 3939 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of the crossings of Wawona Street at Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues.

Appropriating \$350 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 7, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossings of Wawona Street at Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Grading (Excavation)
2.	12-inch V. C. P. Sewer
3.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer
4.	10-inch V. C. P. Culvert
5.	Brick Manholes, Complete
6.	Brick Catchbasins, Complete
7.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
8.	Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
9.	2-Course Concrete Sidewalk

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 2456, Lot 1;

Block 2457, Lots 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29;

Block 2458, Lots 2, 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, 2-F, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10;

Block 2507, Lots 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, and 45;

Block 2508, Lots 1, 1-A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8-A, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35; and

Block 2509, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7-A, 26, 26-A, 26-B, 27, and 28; being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2: The sum of \$350 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation No. 548,906.19 for the purpose of extending City aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Lathrop Avenue Between Tunnel and Wheeler Avenues, Including Crossing of Lathrop Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4173, Ordinance No. 3940 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Lathrop Avenue between Tunnel Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the crossing of Lathrop Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Lathrop Avenue between Tunnel Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the crossing of Lathrop Avenue and Wheeler Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Wawona Street Between Thirty-ninth and Forty-first Avenues and Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.

Bill No. 4178, Ordinance No. 3942 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Wawona Street between Thirty-ninth and Forty-first Avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues.

Appropriation \$900 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 31, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of:

Wawona Street, between Thirty-ninth and Forty-first Avenues and between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, by grading to the official line and subgrade and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Subbase Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base, and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2.	Unarmored Concrete Curb.
3.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers.
4.	Water Services, Long.
5.	Water Service, Short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 2457, Lot 13;  
 Block 2508, Lot 29;  
 Block 2509; Lots 24 and 25; and  
 Block 2511, Lot 9;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the

City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$900 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.906.18 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Accepting Roadway of Forty-second Avenue, Between Quintara and Rivera Streets, Including Crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Quintara Street, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4179, Ordinance No. 3943 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-second Avenue, between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-second Avenue, between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-first Avenue Between Quintara and Rivera Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4180, Ordinance No. 3944 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-first Avenue between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-first Avenue between Quintara Street and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Rivera Street Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, Including Crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Rivera Street, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4181, Ordinance No. 3945 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Rivera Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Rivera Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Forty-second Avenue and Rivera Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Repealing Ordinance Ordering Improvement of Cabrillo Street (S. ½) West of Twenty-seventh Avenue, and Other Locations, by Construction or Reconstruction of Sidewalks.**

Bill No. 4182, Ordinance No. 3946 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Repealing Bill 4067, Ordinance 3848 (Series of 1939), approved by the Mayor, May 22, 1946, ordering improvement of Cabrillo Street

(S½) between 60' and 90' west of Twenty-seventh Avenue, and other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4067, Ordinance 3848 (Series of 1939), approved by the Mayor, May 22, 1946, ordering the improvement of Cabrillo Street (S½) between 60' and 90' west of Twenty-seventh Avenue, and other locations, by the construction or reconstruction of sidewalks, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

### NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

**Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1254 Market Street for Recreation Department.**

Proposal No. 5828, Resolution No. 5696 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with Kohler & Chase, a California corporation, as lessors, of the second floor in that certain building located at 1254 Market Street, San Francisco.

This lease shall be on a month to month basis, beginning July 1, 1946, and ending September 30, 1946, at a rental of \$150 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 8, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 15, 1946.*

July 15, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 22, 1946.*

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing the Director of Public Health to Enter Into an Agreement With the State of California for Reimbursement by the State for Salaries Paid by the City and County, Not to Exceed \$57,270 for the Current Fiscal Year.**

Proposal No. 5877, Resolution No. 5697 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Health be and is hereby authorized to enter into an agreement with the Department of Public



Health, State of California, whereby the State of California will reimburse the city and county for 4.75 per cent of actual public health expenditures for salaries and personal services, said reimbursements not to exceed \$57,270 for the current fiscal year.

Said agreement shall be subject to approval by the Chief Administrative Officer and may contain such provisions regarding reports and procedures as are not in conflict with the charter and ordinances and regulations of the controller of the city and county and are acceptable to the state and to the city and county.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Brooks said, heretofore the State of California has had a number of employments in the V.D. Clinic. We were informed that San Francisco was the only city that operated under that arrangement and we were asked to take the employments over and San Francisco would be reimbursed for the money expended.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

#### Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the United States of America.

Proposal No. 5878, Resolution No. 5698 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all real property taxes for the year 1944-45 which became a lien on the First Monday in March, to wit, March 1, 1944, on the following described property:

Parcel No. 168, Lot No. 9, Block No. 4675.

Said property was acquired by the United States of America subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1942.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

#### Authorizing Deed to Biagio Cava, Part of Lot 12, Block 11, College Homestead Association.

Proposal No. 5885, Resolution No. 5699 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on November 8, 1945, Biagio Cava executed a written offer to sell to the City and County of San Francisco, a Municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as the City, the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, required for the widening of Trumbull Street:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Trumbull Street and the westerly line of Lot 12, Block 11, College Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2A and B" at page 63, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southerly along said westerly line 28.619 feet; thence deflecting  $78^{\circ} 00' 00''$  to the left and running southeasterly 56 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of said College Homestead Association; thence deflecting  $101^{\circ} 36' 41''$  to the left and running northerly along said easterly boundary line 41 feet, more or less to said southerly line of Trumbull Street; thence deflecting  $90^{\circ} 23' 19''$  to the left and running westerly along said southerly line 56 feet 9 inches, more or less, to the westerly line of said Lot 12 and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of said Lot 12 of said Block 11, which lot is also known as lot 1 in Assessor's Block 5871.

Whereas, on December 10, 1945, this board adopted Resolution No. 5131 (Series of 1939), authorizing acceptance of a deed and the purchase by the City of the above described land for the sum of \$195, which resolution was approved by the Mayor on December 12, 1945; and

Whereas, by deed dated February 4, 1946, and recorded February 8, 1946, in Liber 4389, at page 222, official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, all of said Lot 12 was inadvertently conveyed by Biagio Cava to the City; and

Whereas, it was mutually understood between Biagio Cava and the City that only the portion of said lot described in said written offer should have been conveyed to the city; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed conveying to Biagio Cava, the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, being the portion of said lot not included in the above mentioned written offer:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of lot 12, Block 11, College Homestead Association, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2A and B" at page 63, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, distant thereon 28.619 feet southerly from the southerly line of Trumbull Street; thence deflecting  $78^{\circ} 00' 00''$  to the left from the southerly bearing of said line of Lot 12, and running southeasterly 57.736 feet to the easterly line of said Lot 12; thence deflecting  $78^{\circ} 23' 19''$  to the right and running southerly along last named line, 69.379 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 12; thence deflecting  $89^{\circ} 36' 41''$  to the right and running westerly along last named line, 56.003 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 12; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 81.381 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 12, Block 11, College Homestead Association.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Real Estate Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5886, Resolution No. 5700 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated July 22, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of July and August, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Consideration Postponed.**

**Directing Civil Service Commission to Conduct Salary Standardization Survey.**

Proposal No. 5887, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission be and it is hereby authorized and directed to conduct a salary standardization survey in order that any existing inequalities in salaries of city employees may be corrected in the 1947-1948 budget.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Lewis moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

**Consideration Postponed.**

**Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land Known as Alameda County Parcel 52 Near Niles.**

Bill No. 4166, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land known as Alameda County Parcel 52 near Niles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly limits of the town of Niles with the southwesterly line of the former state highway from Niles to Mission San Jose, distant along said easterly limits 182.1 feet southerly from the center of the Southern Pacific Company's track; and running thence along said southwesterly line and its southwesterly exten-

sion south 42° 38' east, 317.31 feet; thence leaving said line south 56° 15' west, 194.24 feet to said easterly limits of the Town of Niles; thence along said last mentioned line north 9° 20' west, 349.56 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.699 acre more or less and being Parcel No. 52, Alameda County Lands, as described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County March 3, 1930, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Alameda Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Lewis moved that this matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Consideration Postponed.

#### Authorizing Sale of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7054.

Bill No. 4169, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7054.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Lakeview Avenue with the southwesterly line of Capitol Avenue, as per map showing the widening of Capitol Avenue filed May 31, 1929, in Map Book "M" at page 14, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Capitol Avenue 104.220 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 103° 52' 45" to the right from the preceding course and running westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 101.177 feet to the southerly line of Lakeview Avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Lewis moved that this matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections and motion carried.*

**Consideration Postponed.**

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, City Attorney, by Adding 1 Law Clerk to List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4187, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.10 City Attorney, by adding 1 B160 Law Clerk to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.10 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.10. CITY ATTORNEY**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B160 Law Clerk . . . . .	1	4
B410 Legal Stenographer . . . . .	5	4

Section 2. This ordinance shall be retroactive as of July 1, 1946, and shall be in effect from and after that date.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor MacPhee moved, that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department, by Adding 1 Chauffeur at (h \$322 Per Month (Funds Provided for 4 Months Only).**

Bill No. 4191, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16.1 Recreation Department (Continued) by adding item 19.1 \*\*1 01 Chauffeur at (h 322.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 16.1. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
15	4	C104	Janitor . . . . .	\$155-195
16	1	I 154	Laundress . . . . .	125-160
17	8	J4	Laborer . . . . .	(h 198.50
18	1	J12	Labor Foreman . . . . .	(h 222

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19	2	O1	Chauffeur .....	* (h 215 to 322
19.1	**1	O1	<b>Chauffeur</b> .....	(h 322
21	2	O1	Chauffeur, Power Mower Tractors .....	(h 245.50
22	4	O54b	Foreman, Building and Grounds..	210-260
23	60	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
24	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
25	1	O80	Nurseryman .....	190-240

\*At rates set by Salary Standardization Ordinance for type of equipment operated.

\*\*Funds provided for 4 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department, by Adding 1 Bookkeeper at \$210-260 Per Month (Funds Provided for 6 Months Only).

Bill No. 4192, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16, Recreation Department, by adding item 4.1 \*1 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 14.00 day
2	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
3	3	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
4.1	*1	B4	<b>Bookkeeper</b> .....	210-260
5	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
6	1	B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department .....	385-460
7	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
8	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
9	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
10	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
11	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
12	1	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) .....	260-320
13	1	F104c	Senior Draftsman (Civil, Recreation) .....	320-375

\*Funds provided for 6 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission,  
By Changing Salary Schedule for 7 Chauffeurs from \*(i \$235 to  
\$352 Per Month, to \*\$9.20 to \$13.80 Per Day.

Bill No. 4194, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71.2, Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau (Continued) by amending the salary schedule for item 19 from 7 O1 Chauffeur at \*(i 235 to 352 to 7 O1 Chauffeur at \*9.20 to 13.80 day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71.2, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 71.2. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH  
HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER AND  
UTILITIES ENGINEERING BUREAU (Cont'd)**

INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
12	7	J4	Laborer . . . . .	\$ 8.50 day
13	3	J10	Labor Sub-Foreman . . . . .	9.00 day
14	1	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities . . . . .	(i 242.50
14.1	1	J66	Garageman . . . . .	(i 229.50
15	3	M54	Auto Machinist . . . . .	(h 303.50
17	1	M108	Blacksmith . . . . .	(i 306
19	7	O1	<b>Chauffeur</b> . . . . .	*9.20 to 13.80 day
19.1	1	O58	Gardener . . . . .	150-200
20	1	O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines . . . . .	13.20 day
21	12	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	8.50 day
23	1	U227	General Maintenance Foreman . . . . .	255-320
23.1	1	U230	Maintenance Foreman . . . . .	210-260
24			Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchaser's contracts. Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification for private employment of public contracts.	

\*At rate set by Salary Standardization Ordinance for type of equipment operated.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Board of Education, by De-  
 leting 2 Painters at \$14 Per Day, and Adding 1 Calculating Ma-  
 chine Operator at \$185-230, 1 Key Punch Operator at \$160-200,  
 43 General Clerk-Stenographers (Part Time) as 185-230, and 2  
 Telephone Operators at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4195, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Sec-  
 tion 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by re-  
 ducing the number of employments under item 4 from 5 to 3 A354  
 Painter at \$14.00 day; by increasing the number of employments  
 under item 13 from 6 to 7 B308a Calculating Machine Operator (key-  
 drive) at \$185-230; by adding item 13.1 1 B309a Key Punch Operator  
 (Alphabetical) at \$160-200; by increasing the number of employ-  
 ments under item 20 from 42 to 85 B408 General Clerk-Stenographers  
 (part time) at rate of \$185-230; and by increasing the number of  
 employments under item 23 from 3 to 5 B454 Telephone Operator at  
 \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
 cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1,  
 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 83.1. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
 NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings. . . .	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant . . . . .	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
13	7	B308a	<b>Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)</b> . . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical)</b> . . . . .	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator. . .	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. . . . .	185-230
19	136	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . .	185-230
20	85	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of</b> . . . . .	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
23	5	B454	<b>Telephone Operator</b> . . . . .	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
26	140	C102	Janitress . . . . .	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of. .	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor . . . . . (k	186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of. . . .	155-195
32	23	C107	Working Foreman Janitor. . . . .	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors . . . . .	255-320



Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors ..	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of..	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of.....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k) 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of...	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
48	18	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

55 Trucks (as needed) at rates established by Purchaser's contract.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, by Changing Compensation of 2 Painters from (i \$357 Per Month to \$14 Per Day.

Bill No. 4196, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71, Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau, by amending the salary schedule for item 4 from 2 A354 Painter at (i 357 to 2 A354 Painter at \$14.00 day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER AND UTILITIES ENGINEERING BUREAU**

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services. The employments are not established as

continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$280-340
2	3	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	(g 318
4	2	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
4.1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
9	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
13	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
15	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
15.1	1	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
15.2	1	E107	Power House Electrician .....	15.00 day
16	7	E150	Lineman's Helper .....	10.80 day
19	13	E154	Lineman .....	15.00 day
20	3	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	16.00 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Consideration Postponed.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, by Adding Class U230 Maintenance Foreman to List Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4197, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37, Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and provision therefor: by adding class U230 Maintenance Foreman to list of classes authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.37. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor:** Appointing officers may require all occupants of positions allocated to the classifications specified in this section to work tours of duty of eight hours on six days of the week, or a total work week of 48 hours. Occupants of such positions who regularly work tours of duty of eight hours six days per week shall be compensated for such sixth day of work at straight time, that is, twenty per cent of the compensation for a normal work schedule. Exceptions to the normal work schedule as provided in this section shall not be authorized by appointing officers unless funds for the compensation thereof are provided.

Class No. and Title

C152 Watchman

C180 Gallery Attendant

C182 Assistant Head Gallery Attendant

C184 Head Gallery Attendant

Class No.	Title
D52	Jail Matron
D54	Head Jail Matron
D60	Jailer
D64	Captain of Watch
D102	Writ Server
E52	Fire Dispatcher
E120	Governorman
E122	Power House Operator
E124	Senior Power House Operator
E128	Superintendent of Power House
F50	Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport
F51	Airport Attendant
F52	Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport
F54	Airport Guard
I 2	Kitchen Helper
I 6	Pastry Cook
I 7	Baker
I 10	Cook's Assistant
I 11	Griddle Cook
I 12	Cook
I 14	Junior Chef
I 16	Chef
I 52	Counter Attendant
I 54	Waitress
I 56	Waiter
I 58	Dining Room Steward
I 60	Housekeeper
I 106	Morgue Attendant
I 112	Supervisor, Ambulatory Patients
I 116	Orderly
I 120	Senior Orderly
I 122	House Mother
I 152	Flatwork Ironer
I 154	Laundress
I 156	Starcher
I 158	Sorter
I 164	Marker and Distributor
I 166	Wringerman
I 167	Tumblerman
I 170	Washer
I 172	Head Washer
I 174	Superintendent of Laundry, Laguna Honda Home
I 178	Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital
I 204	Porter
I 206	Porter Sub-Foreman
I 208	Porter Foreman
I 210	Head Porter
N4	Coroner's Investigator
O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver
O52	Farmer
O158	Motor Boat Operator
O166.1	Junior Operating Engineer
O168.1	Operating Engineer
O304	Hydrantman-Gateman
O308	Assistant Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman
O310	Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman
P2	Emergency Hospital Steward
P3	Senior Emergency Hospital Steward
P102	Registered Nurse
P104	Head Nurse
P111	Night Supervisor

P111.1	Night Supervisor, Hassler Health Home
P204	Anaesthetist
P208	Operating Room Nurse
R101	Camp Assistant
R111	Lifeguard Watchman
R130	Foreman, Recreational Activities
S56	Special Instructor, Municipal Railway
S60	Instructor, Municipal Railway
S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway
S114	Claims Investigator
S120	Day Dispatcher
S124	Supervisor of Schedules
T35	Group Supervisor
U130	Reservoir Keeper
U212	Ranger
U213	Special Agent
U227	General Maintenance Foreman
U230	<b>Maintenance Foreman</b>
W106	Rides Attendant
W150	Aquarium Attendant
W152	Aquatic Collector
Y42	Chief Installer
Y44	Senior Museum Technician
Y46	Museum Technician
Y106	Aquatic Biologist's Assistant

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$80,000, Public Utilities Commission, for Additions and Betterments at S. F. Airport.

Bill No. 4199, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$80,000 from the unappropriated balance of 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the purpose of providing funds for expenditures in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$80,000 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance of the 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.000.00, to provide funds for expenditures in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Section 2. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$2,415, Tax Collector, for New Position of 1 General Clerk-Stenographer; Deleting 1 General Clerk; Both at \$185-230 Per Month.

Bill No. 4208, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,415 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of one B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month in the Tax Collector's office, which position is created; abolishing the position of one B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,415 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 628.110.01, to provide funds for the compensation of one B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month in the Tax Collector's office.

Section 2. The position of one B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month is hereby created in the Tax Collector's office; the position of one B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

Section 3. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of the Department of Finance and Records.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Tax Collector, by Deleting 1 General Clerk and Adding 1 General Clerk-Stenographer, Both at \$185-230 Per month.

Bill No. 4189, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 31, Department of Finance and Records—Tax Collector, by decreasing the number of employments under item 6 from 22 to 21 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 2 to 3 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 31, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 31. DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses.....	\$360-430
2	1	B93	Tax Collector .....	(a) 666.66
3	3	B102	Teller .....	230-290
4	1	B105	Cashier B .....	315-375
5	1	B108	Cashier A .....	385-460
6	21	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
9	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
11	1	G153	Adjuster, Tax Collector's Office...	230-290
12	1	G154	Senior Inspector of Licenses.....	300-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$2,415, Department of Public Health, for New Position of 1 Nutritionist at \$230-260 Per Month; Deleting 1 Dietitian at \$185-230 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4209, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,415 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260 per month in the Bureau of School Inspection-Medical, Department of Public Health, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 L202 Dietitian at \$185-230 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,415 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.110.08, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260 per month in the Bureau of School Inspection-Medical, Department of Public Health.

Section 2. The position of 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260 per month is hereby created in the Department of Public Health, Bureau of School Inspection-Medical; the position of 1 L202 Dietitian at \$185-230 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

Section 3. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, by Deleting 1 Dietitian at \$185-230 Per Month and Adding 1 Nutritionist at \$230-260 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4190, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55, Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued) School Inspection—Medical, by deleting present item 53.2 1 L202 Dietitian at \$185-230; and by adding new item 53.2 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
53.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$185-230
53.2	1	L208	Nutritionist . . . . .	230-260
54	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) as rate of.	275-345
55	2	L364	Physician Specialist . . . . .	520
56	12	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	520
56.1	1	L368	Director, Bureau of Child Hygiene.	550-660
57	2	L602	Audiometer Technician . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$157,500, Municipal Railway, for Purchase of 10 Motor Coaches.**

Bill No. 4210, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$157,500 from surplus existing in funds of the Municipal Railway to provide funds for the purchase of ten Motor Coaches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$85,000 is hereby appropriated from surplus existing in Appropriation No. 65.990.00, Surplus, Municipal Railway, and the sum of \$72,500 from surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds, Municipal Railway, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.400.00, Equipment, Municipal Railway, to provide funds for the purchase of ten (10) Motor Coaches for the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Providing Change of Filing Fee for Zoning or Building Setback  
Line Changes From \$10 to \$30.**

Bill No. 4211, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 43, Article 2, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by providing a \$30 fee for the filing of a petition for rezoning or for establishment or change of building setback lines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43, Article 2, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 43. **Fees.** Upon filing said petition for rezoning or for the establishment or change of building set-back lines the petitioner shall pay a fee of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars for every block as delineated on the Assessor's Block Book wherein the property is sought to be rezoned or wherein the establishment or change of building setback lines is requested.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Gill of the City Planning Commission said, the processing of the zoning and set-back applications has cost the Planning Commission more than was charged the applicant. It costs the Planning Commission about \$28, so it was recommended that the City Planning Commission request that the fees be raised to \$30.

The roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

**Memorializing State Legislature to Enact Legislation to Provide  
Increased Payments to Recipients of Old Age Pensions.**

Proposal No. 5785, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, economic conditions throughout the country have particularly distressed recipients of old-age security benefits by virtue of the rising costs of living, and



Whereas, the minimum costs of personal sustenance continue on the incline, thus rendering the status of old-age security payments inadequate and not conducive to the accepted minimum standards of living, especially affecting the 10,000 such cases in San Francisco, and

Whereas, the McFarland Amendment recently passed in Congress, provides for a federal increase in such old-age pension benefits in the amount of \$5 per month, but specifically exempts compulsory action on the part of the respective states to match said increase as has heretofore been the generally accepted practice; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the consensus of this Board of Supervisors that the California State Legislature immediately enact legislation conforming to the principles of the said McFarland amendment and match the increased federal payments with sufficient state payments so as to assure the recipients of old-age pensions, a sum commensurate with the increased costs of living; and be it further

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Legislature to enact legislation as requested hereinabove and that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature and to Donald W. Cleary, Legislative Representative for the City and County of San Francisco.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray, Sullivan.

**Amending Municipal Code by Adding Thereto Underground District No. 116, "Anzavista"; Providing for Payment of Difference in Cost Between Overhead and Underground Construction.**

Bill No. 4171, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Part II, Chapter III, Article 6, Section 251, by adding thereto an additional underground district, No. 116, "Anzavista" being a subdivision of the area formerly known as Calvary Cemetery; providing for payment of cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to install or maintain poles and overhead wires in the streets to be constructed and dedicated for public use in "Anzavista" formerly Calvary Cemetery, to-wit:

Underground District No. 116, Streets in "Anzavista" between the easterly line of St. Joseph's Avenue, the southerly line of Geary Street and Geary Boulevard, the easterly line of Masonic Avenue and the northerly line of Turk Street.

Section 2. The subdividers or property owners of the real property described in Section 1 shall assume the excess cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction, in accordance with the regular filed tariffs, rules and regulations governing the utilities involved, and which said tariffs, rules and regulations are in effect and on file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to which specific reference is hereby made and to be considered as set forth herein in detail.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Brown said, I understand that this procedure is a complete departure from previous action on matters of this kind, and I want to hear both sides on this matter.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Lewis moved, that this matter be postponed for one week.

#### Motion to Recess.

Supervisor Brown moved, as a substitute motion, that we recess until 2:00 p.m. Tuesday.

Seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee stated, there has been a meeting called by the Committee that is to prepare the Charter Amendment for the street car operators at 2:00 p.m. tomorrow.

Supervisor Colman explained, I am leaving town tonight and I will not be able to attend a meeting tomorrow.

Supervisor Brown remarked, with the consent of my second, I will withdraw my motion.

Supervisor Lewis said, I can stay here for about an hour and then I will have to be excused.

The Chair ruled that the motion was withdrawn.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Brown moved the privilege of the floor for both sides on this matter.

Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Milton Morris, representing the Associated Home Builders of San Francisco, stated, we originally proposed this ordinance, but on account of the amendments that were put into it we have to be opposed to it. We merely asked that an ordinance be passed designating this district an underground district. We did not ask that anybody be authorized to pay for the underground construction.

The Fire Department, the Planning Commission, the Director of Public Works and the Chief Administrative Officer have voiced their approval of the original ordinance as proposed. At the second meeting of the Streets Committee, the City Attorney came in with an amendment to the ordinance. That amendment is Section 2 of the ordinance.

The money involved in these two sites will amount to approximately \$80,000. This cost should not be added to the property. If

the installations were a part of the improvement you might be in a position to say that it was a good idea, but you are asking the property owner to pay for these improvements and the utilities companies will secure the profit. We are going to install the wires from our property lines into the houses and we are also installing the street lights and deeding them to the City, free.

If the original ordinance was passed, then we could get together with the utility companies and discuss the matter.

Supervisor Christopher asked, in the event we did what you said, who would pay for the additional cost?

Mr. Morris replied, then the parties responsible for the installation would have to pay for the cost. Each one would take his respective responsibilities. At this moment the utility companies are obligated to only put in the overhead cost.

Supervisor Meyer explained, we had this before our Committee and we found that other subdivisions installed their underground and added it to the cost of the property. We took under consideration that the power companies are required to do a certain amount of work underground each year and they are way ahead of schedule.

Mr. Elmer Hutchinson, engineer for the Mayfair Heights Corporation, said, this goes back something in last September when these subdivisions were first planned. We had a plan all worked out and the City Planning Commission immediately said that there was a great number of benefits needed for the City. Land was required for various schools and land was also required for the widening of Geary Street. We have given the City a great portion of our land.

Supervisor Christopher stated, in view of the fact that this can be an important matter, I suggest that it be postponed for one week.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Meyer moved, that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Consideration Postponed.

**Amending Municipal Code by Adding Thereto Underground District No. 117, "Laurel Heights"; Providing for Payment of Difference in Cost Between Overhead and Underground Construction.**

Bill No. 4172, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Part II, Chapter III, Article 6, Section 251, by adding thereto an additional underground district, "Laurel Heights," being a subdivision of the area formerly known as Laurel Hill Cemetery; providing for payment of cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to install or maintain poles and overhead wires in the streets to be constructed and dedicated for public use in Laurel Heights (formerly Laurel Hill

Cemetery) the boundary of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Presidio Avenue distant thereon 333.855 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary Boulevard and running thence northerly along said line of Presidio Avenue 1188.473 feet; thence northwesterly and westerly on a curve to the left with a radius of 72 feet a distance of 113.097 feet to tangency with the southerly line of California Street; thence westerly along said line of California Street 2053.937 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 49.301 feet; thence deflecting 84° 09' 9" to the left and running southerly 424.349 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 14.00 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 381.084 feet; thence deflecting 87° 24' 23" to the left and running southeasterly 2267.882 feet to the westerly line of Presidio Avenue and the point of beginning, designated to-wit: Underground District No. 117, streets in "Laurel Heights" between Presidio Avenue, California Street, east of Parker Avenue and north of Geary Boulevard.

Section 2. The subdividers or property owners of the real property described in Section 1 shall assume the excess cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction, in accordance with the regular filed tariffs, rules and regulations governing the utilities involved, and which said tariffs, rules and regulations are in effect and on file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to which specific reference is hereby made and to be considered as set forth herein in detail.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Passed for Second Reading.

**Accepting Roadway of Twenty-eighth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets, Including Intersection of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Pacheco Street, and Crossing of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Quintara Street, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4200, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-eighth Avenue between Pacheco Street and Quintara Street including the intersection of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Pacheco Street and the crossing of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-eighth Avenue between Pacheco Street and Quintara Street, including the intersection of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Pacheco Street and the crossing of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-third Avenue Between Ortega and Pacheco Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4201, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-third Avenue between Ortega Street and Pacheco Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-third Avenue between Ortega Street and Pacheco Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-second Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4202, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-second Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-second Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Changing and Establishing Grades of Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, Between Vicente and Wawona Streets; Wawona Street Between Westerly Line of Thirty-Ninth Avenue and Easterly Line of Forty-fourth Avenue; Fortieth Avenue Between Vicente and Yorba Streets.

Bill No. 4203, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades on Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets; Wawona Street between the westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue and the easterly line of Forty-fourth Avenue; Fortieth Avenue between Vicente Street and Yorba Street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 1st day of April, 1946, by Resolution No. 5373 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets; Wawona Street between the westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue and the easterly line of Forty-fourth Avenue and on Fortieth Avenue between Vicente Street and Yorba Street; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and re-established as follows:

<i>Thirty-ninth Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	66.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	65.49
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . .	87.56
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . .	87.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
<i>Fortieth Avenue</i>	
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	63.68
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	63.15
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	83.93
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	86.83
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	87.41
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	83.87
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	86.80

*Fortieth Avenue (Continued)*

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	87.36
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
Easterly line of, at Wawona Street.....	84.60
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street.....	84.00
290 feet southerly from Wawona Street.....	72.00
Yorba Street .....	62.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Forty-first Avenue*

Easterly line of, at Vicente Street.....	61.34
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street.....	60.81
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	73.12
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.63
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.42
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	73.07
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.62
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.42
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
100 feet northerly from Wawona Street.....	71.16
Easterly line of, at Wawona Street.....	70.00
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street.....	68.00

*Forty-second Avenue*

Feet

Easterly line of, at Vicente Street.....	59.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street.....	57.11
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	59.53
250 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	61.76
300 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	62.14
350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	61.82
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
Wawona Street .....	58.40

*Forty-third Avenue*

Easterly line of, at Vicente Street.....	50.66
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street.....	48.78
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	50.76
Wawona Street .....	56.00

*Wawona Street*

Thirty-ninth Avenue, westerly line.....	87.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Fortieth Avenue, easterly line .....	84.60
Fortieth Avenue, westerly line .....	84.00

*Wawona Street (Continued)*

Forty-first Avenue, easterly line . . . . .	70.00
Forty-first Avenue, westerly line . . . . .	68.00
Forty-second Avenue, westerly line . . . . .	58.40
Forty-third Avenue, westerly line . . . . .	56.00
Forty-fourth Avenue, easterly line . . . . .	44.71

(The same being the present official grade)

On Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second, and Forty-third Avenues, between Vicente and Wawona Streets; on Fortieth Avenue, between Vicente and Yorba Streets; and on Wawona Street, between the westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue and the easterly line of Forty-fourth Avenue be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Twenty-seventh Street Between a Line 130 Feet Westerly From Castro Street and Diamond Street.**

Bill No. 4204, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Twenty-seventh Street between a line 130 feet westerly from Castro Street and Diamond Street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 6th day of May, 1946, by Resolution No. 5469 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Twenty-seventh Street between a line 130 feet westerly from Castro Street and Diamond Street; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevation above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Twenty-seventh Street</i>	<i>feet</i>
130 feet westerly from Castro Street . . . . .	322.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
170 feet westerly from Castro Street . . . . .	323.85
210 feet westerly from Castro Street . . . . .	328.14
Vertical Curve passing through the last three described points.	
Diamond Street easterly line . . . . .	377.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Twenty-seventh Street between a line 130 feet westerly from Castro Street and Diamond Street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.



## Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Leave of Absence—Herman A. Van Der Zee, County Clerk.

Proposal No. 5889, Resolution No. 5701 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Herman A. Van Der Zee, County Clerk, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 9, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan—3.

Appointment of Committee to Attend Meeting of the League of California Cities in San Diego.

The Clerk presented:

Requesting appointment of committee to attend the meeting of the League of California Cities at San Diego.

*Over one week.*

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Petitioning Governor and State Legislature to Include in Any Legislation Enacted by the State Legislature Relative to Rent Control and Evictions, to Include Provisions Similar to the Ordinances Enacted by San Francisco.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 5890, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on July 3, 1946, this Board of Supervisors did adopt a resolution memorializing His Excellency the Governor to call a special session of the Legislature for the purpose of enacting such legislation as, during the balance of the Emergency will prevent unwarranted evictions from residential properties and unreasonable increases in rent therefor; and

Whereas, it appears to this Board of Supervisors desirable that such legislation as may be enacted by the State of California on this subject should contain conditions as well as provisions for its enforcement similar to those provided in the San Francisco Board of Supervisors' ordinance and the rules and regulations thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby respectfully petitions His Excellency the Governor and the Members of the State Legislature, and does urge that such legislation as may be enacted on the subject of rent control and evictions contain conditions and restrictions similar to the ordinance adopted by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to His Honor the Mayor with the request that they be transmitted to Mr. Don Cleary, Legislative Representative of the City and County of San Francisco, together with a request that Mr. Cleary exert his best

efforts to the end that such legislation as is herein suggested may be enacted into law.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher said, yesterday I talked with Mr. Cleary and he is desirous of receiving this resolution today. Mr. Cleary indicated it would be well to receive such a resolution as this.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, the call is on today. Just the same, a resolution like this, I do not know what it would accomplish.

Supervisor Mancuso stated, we went on record as requesting that this matter be taken care of.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, we went on record as favoring the calling of a special session, but Mr. Cleary said that we should pass a resolution such as this.

Supervisor Mead said, I feel that this is repetitious, but I do not believe that we can do any harm by passing this resolution.

Supervisor Colman inquired, if Congress reenacts legislation dealing with rents that would supersede any action by the Legislature?

Supervisor Gallagher replied, that is correct.

Supervisor Colman said, it seems to me that by passing this we would be doing something that we have already done but I will not object to it.

Supervisor MacPhee explained, this resolution seems to be different from the legislation that we have passed. We have not asked to have any legislation prepared. I suggest that it be redrafted in accordance with the legislation that we have passed.

#### Motion to Temporarily Postpone.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that the matter be temporarily postponed.

Seconded by Supervisor Colman.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Referred to Committee.

Subsequent in the meeting the foregoing proposal was again taken up.

Supervisor Brown said, I object to the suspension of the rules for the consideration of this proposal.

Thereupon the Chair *referred the foregoing proposal to the County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### Extending Welcome to Visiting Shrines and Expressing San Francisco's Appreciation as Host to Such a Great Organization.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 5891, Resolution No. 5702 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco will play host to the Shriners' Convention which is scheduled to open this morning; and

Whereas, the revelry, pomp, music and marching patrols so reminiscent of the last great Shriners' Conclave in 1932, will again hold sway; and

Whereas, the Shriners' Victory Convention, as it is aptly called, will provide this City with more merriment and fun than it has ever experienced since the lights were blacked out five years ago; and

Whereas, amidst such scenes of good-natured mirth and comradeship, three monster parades will be staged, the first to start at 9:30

a.m., July 23, to mark the opening of the Imperial Council Sessions at the Civic Auditorium; the second, a night parade, on July 24, in which not only will the Shriners' uniformed men participate, but which will witness the appearance of Army, Navy and Marine Corps units as well as units from other fraternal organizations and a third parade will be held in Oakland on Thursday, July 25, at 10 a.m.; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, in keeping with its world-famed tradition of western hospitality, does hereby extend to Imperial Potentate William H. Woodfield, Jr., to the Shriners of North America, Hawaiian Islands, Mexico and Canada, its hand of welcome, with the sincere hope that their stay in San Francisco will be a most happy and memorable one; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution, suitably engrossed, be transmitted to the Imperial Potentate, William H. Woodfield, Jr., by the Clerk of the Board, as a means of expressing San Francisco's appreciation of being host to one of America's greatest fraternal, charitable and religious organizations.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, Sullivan—4.

#### Fishermen's Fiesta.

Supervisor Colman said, the holding of the Fishermen's Fiesta is to bring to the people of San Francisco a clear insight as to what the fishing industry means to San Francisco. The fishing industry provides employment to a great many people and it is important to San Francisco, as a seaport, because it brings much business to San Francisco. They are going to try to glamorize what is a tremendous tourist attraction. It is an important industry to San Francisco and I am calling it to the attention of the Board.

#### Charter Amendment—Fire Department.

Supervisor Gallagher presented an amendment to Section 36 of the Charter, relative to the salaries, working conditions and holidays for the uniformed members of the Fire Department.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Adopted.

Appointing John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board, Effective Upon the Retirement of David A. Barry, November 1, 1946.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5892, Resolution No. 5703 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That John R. McGrath be and is hereby designated and appointed Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, said appointment to take effect and be in force from and after the retirement of David A. Barry, present Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on November 1, 1946.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Brown said, I believe it would be well to say a few words on behalf of Mr. McGrath. Mr. McGrath has been, unofficially, the legal advisor of the Board. He has been a great help to the Board and I wish him a long and successful term in office.

Supervisor Gallagher stated, this was brought about by the illness of Mr. Barry, and I believe that Mr. McGrath should be appointed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Sullivan, Lewis—4.

**An Ordinance in Relation to the Regulation, Control and Stabilization of Rents and Rental Agreements, and to Proceedings for Recovery of Possession of Business Space in the City and County of San Francisco and to Actions, Proceedings and Related Matters Involving Unjust, Unreasonable and Oppressive Rents or Agreements for Rent with Regard to Premises Used or Occupied as Business Space, providing for the Establishment of Rent Control Committees, Declaring a Public Emergency, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of This Ordinance.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Bill No. 4213, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An Ordinance in relation to the regulation, control and stabilization of rents and rental agreements, and to proceedings for recovery of possession of business space in the City and County of San Francisco and to actions, proceedings and related matters involving unjust, unreasonable and oppressive rents or agreements for rent with regard to premises used or occupied as business space, providing for the establishment of rent control committees, declaring a public emergency, and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Unjust, unreasonable, and oppressive leases and agreements for the payment of rent for business space in the City and County of San Francisco having been and now being exacted by landlords under stress of prevailing conditions accelerated by the war, numerous eviction proceedings against tenants having been commenced or threatened by landlords, whereby breakdown has taken place in normal processes of bargaining and freedom of contract has become an illusory concept, and whereby there have come into existence conditions threatening to obstruct the production and distribution of essential commodities, and the rendition of essential services, professional and otherwise, and to divert essential manpower, materials and transportation facilities, and to cause inflation, and all of the foregoing situations and conditions being a threat to essential civilian activities, and to the public safety, health, and general welfare of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, it is hereby declared that a public emergency exists which is increasing in intensity without slackening and without promise of relief; and that legislative action by the board of supervisors in regard thereto is imperative and will not admit of delay. It is hereby found, therefore, as a matter of legislative determination, that for the duration of such emergency, the establishment of the maximum rent for business space at a level of . . . , or at a level otherwise determined as hereinafter provided, will curb the evils arising from such emergency and will accomplish the purposes hereof. This ordinance is declared to be a measure designed to protect and promote the public health, safety and general welfare, to aid essential civilian activities, and to conserve manpower, essential materials and transportation facilities and to prevent inflation, and is made necessary by an existing emergency.

Section 2. Unless expressly otherwise provided, whenever used in this ordinance, the following terms shall mean or include:

(a) "Business Space." All rental space in said City and County other than: (1) dwelling space and meeting rooms in hotels, and dwelling space in rooming houses, apartment houses, dwelling and other housing accommodations; and, (2) piers, docks and wharf properties.

(b) "Emergency Rent." The rent reserved or payable under any lease, agreement or tenancy of business space in force on . . . . . provided that, if the business space was not used or occupied as business space on such date, the emergency rent shall be the reasonable rent therefor as business space on such date, . . . . . , to be fixed by agreement or by a Rent Control Committee as hereinafter provided upon the basis of the rent charged on such date for the most nearly comparable business space in the same building or other satisfactory evidence.

(c) "Rent Control Committee." A committee of not less than three members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County shall be appointed by the President of said Board, or a committee of not less than three citizens of the City and County, not members of said Board, shall be appointed by the Mayor of said City and County. A sufficient number of committees of either type to perform the services herein set forth shall be appointed, but no such committees shall be appointed by the Mayor unless no committee of members of said Board is appointed hereunder within ten days after the effective date hereof. Such committees shall be called Rent Control Committees. A majority thereof shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Written notice of at least one day shall be given by the Chairman of such committee to each member thereof of each meeting, the time and place for which has not been fixed by previous action of such committee. Such committee shall act by a majority of the members present at any meeting thereof. A written record shall be kept of all proceedings of such committee. All meetings thereof shall be open to the public. Clerical service, supplies and other expenses thereof shall be as provided by appropriation ordinance. The members thereof shall serve without compensation. Action in any proceeding before said committee shall be taken only after at least five days written notice to the parties thereto and full opportunity to said parties to be heard at the time and place set forth in said notice. If more than one committee is appointed by the President of the Board or the Mayor, he may in his discretion confine the jurisdiction of each such committee to a portion of the City and County, dividing the City and County geographically for the purposes thereof as he may deem best. Vacancies on any committee shall be filled by the officer making the prior appointment thereto.

(d) "Landlord." An owner, lessor, sublessor, receiver, trustee, executor, assignee, or other person receiving or entitled to receive rent for the use or occupancy of the whole or a part of any business space.

(e) "Tenant." A lessee, sublessee, licensee, or other person entitled to the possession or to the use or occupancy of the whole or a part of any business space.

(f) "Rent." The consideration, including any bonus, benefit, or gratuity, charged or received by the landlord, his agents, or other representatives for the use or occupancy of the whole or any part of any business space.

(g) "Services." Repairs, decorating and maintenance, the furnishing of light, heat, steam, hot and cold water, telephone, elevator

service, cleaning service, linen service, janitor service, the removal of refuse and any other facility or privilege connected with and furnished by the landlord for the use or occupancy of the business space.

(h) "Person." An individual, corporation, partnership, association, or any other organized group of individuals or the legal successor or representative of any of the foregoing.

Section 3. Except as herein otherwise provided, from and after the effective date of this ordinance and during the continuance of the emergency as defined in section fourteen, any rent which exceeds the emergency rent shall be presumed to be unjust, unreasonable and oppressive. Every landlord within twenty days after the effective date of this ordinance shall furnish each tenant with an accurate statement of the amount of his emergency rent, and in the case of business space not used or occupied on . . . . ., such statement shall be furnished within twenty days after such rent shall be fixed or determined pursuant to subdivisions (b) and (c) of section two hereof; and if a landlord shall fail, refuse or neglect to furnish any tenant with such statement within the time specified, no rent accruing shall be collectible by such landlord during the period he is in default. Acceptance by a landlord of payment of the emergency rent by a tenant shall not be construed to be a waiver by such landlord of his right to seek increased rent from such tenant as hereinafter provided.

Section 4. A rent, exceeding in amount the emergency rent, may within the limitations specified by this section, be fixed by a Rent Control Committee. The rent to be so fixed shall be a reasonable rent based on the fair rental value of the tenant's business space as of the date the application to the Rent Control Committee is made. In the determination of the amount of such reasonable rent: (a) due consideration shall be given to the cost of maintenance and operation of the entire property (including land and building in which such business space is located) including amount paid for taxes assessed against such property, and to the kind, quality and quantity of services furnished, but excluding amortization or interest paid or accrued on any incumbrances thereon; (b) such rent shall be fixed in such manner that it shall not exceed a fair and reasonable proportion of the gross rentals from all the business space in the entire building, giving due consideration to the amount and character of the business space used or occupied by such tenant, provided, however, that the gross rentals from all such business space shall not exceed a fair and reasonable proportion of the gross rentals from the entire building.

A net annual return of . . . . . per centum on the fair value of the entire property including the land plus two per centum of principal for amortization of any mortgages thereon shall be presumed to be a reasonable return. Twice the assessed valuation of the entire property, including land and building, as shown by the latest completed assessment roll of the city and county, shall be presumed to be the fair value of the premises, but other lawful evidence of the fair value may be offered and received. In any proceeding under this section, the landlord within five days after written demand by the tenant or within such time as the Committee upon good cause shown may determine, shall serve upon the tenant a verified bill of particulars, setting forth the gross income derived from the entire building during the preceding year, the names and addresses of all tenants, the rental charged each tenant and how payable, the consideration paid by the landlord for the entire property including the land, if he be the owner thereof, or if he be a lessee the name and address of the lessor and the rent agreed to be paid; the assessed valuation of the property as shown by the latest completed assessment-roll of the city,

separately showing the amount of the assessment on the building and the amount of the assessment on the land; the cost of maintenance and operation of the building during the preceding year, the kind, quality and quantity of services furnished during such year; and such other facts as the landlord claims affect the net income of the entire building, or the reasonableness of the rent to be charged. Issue shall not be deemed to be joined in any proceeding under this section until the bill of particulars is served upon the tenant. Upon failure to serve the bill of particulars upon the tenant within the time limited, the proceeding shall be dismissed upon motion of the tenant. As a condition precedent to being heard in any proceeding under this section, the tenant must pay to the landlord the emergency rent on each date when the rent is due under the terms of his lease, rental agreement or tenancy. Any landlord who shall wilfully demand or accept a rent in excess of the emergency rent, or a rent fixed pursuant to section four of this ordinance, shall forfeit the succeeding month's rent.

In lieu of the provisions contained elsewhere in this section for determining and fixing rent, the tenant and the landlord may fix a reasonable rent by written agreement, signed by both the tenant and the landlord, provided:

(a) that such tenant used or occupied the same space on the effective date of this ordinance; and

(b) that such written agreement contains a statement setting forth:

(I) the amount of the emergency rent for the tenant's space;

(II) a statement that the landlord has advised the tenant prior to the making of such agreement of his right to continue payment of the emergency rent until modified by the Rent Control Committee pursuant to section four of this act; and

(III) a statement that the tenant, within sixty days after the effective date of such agreement, may cancel such agreement by notice to the landlord by registered mail enclosed in a securely sealed post-paid wrapper, addressed to the other party at his last known address and requiring a return receipt.

Section 5. Nothing contained in this ordinance shall authorize a landlord to seek, demand or receive increased rent, (a) under any lease or rental agreement made prior to the effective date of this ordinance wherein the rent reserved does not exceed the emergency rent; or, (b) under any lease or rental agreement made after the effective date of this ordinance wherein the rent reserved is less than the emergency rent.

Section 6. No proceeding shall be instituted or maintained, during the continuance of the emergency declared by this ordinance, to recover possession of any business space for or on account of a default in payment of rent, unless the petitioner shall allege in the petition and prove to the satisfaction of the court: (a) that the rent charged is not greater than the emergency rent for such business space or such greater rent therefor as may have been fixed pursuant to section four of this ordinance; and (b) that the services furnished by the landlord to the tenant have not been unreasonably diminished since the effective date of the existing lease, rental agreement or tenancy. To the extent that the rent charged is in excess of the emergency rent, or such greater rent as may have been fixed pursuant to section four of this ordinance, the tenant may interpose the defense that the rent charged is unjust, unreasonable and oppressive and, if such defense be interposed, the rent charged, to the extent of such excess, shall be uncollectible.

Section 7. In any action to recover rent for business space accruing during the period of the emergency, it shall be a defense that

such rent is unjust, unreasonable and oppressive if such rent is in excess of the emergency rent or any rent which may be fixed pursuant to section four of this ordinance, and to the extent of such excess the same shall be uncollectible. The tenant may interpose the defense that the rental value of the business space has been reduced by reason of an unreasonable diminution of services, and to the extent that the court shall find that such services have been so diminished, the value thereof shall be allowed in reduction of the rent charged and shall be uncollectible; or, in the alternative, the tenant shall be entitled to a cause of action to recover a proportionate amount of the rent paid.

Section 8. So long as the tenant continues to pay the rent to which the landlord is entitled, under the provisions of this act, no tenant shall be removed from any business space, by action or proceeding to evict or to recover possession, by exclusion from possession, or otherwise, nor shall any person attempt such removal or exclusion from possession, notwithstanding that such tenant has no lease or that his lease or other rental agreement has expired or otherwise terminated, and notwithstanding the issuance of any order to dispossess, warrant or process prior to the effective date of this ordinance, and regardless of any contract, lease, agreement or obligation heretofore or hereafter entered into which is inconsistent with any of the provisions of this ordinance, unless:

(a) The tenant has unreasonably refused the landlord access to any part of the business space for the purpose of inspection or of showing such space to a prospective purchaser, mortgagee, or prospective mortgagee, or other person having a legitimate interest therein; provided, however, that such refusal shall not be ground for removal or eviction if such inspection or showing of the business space is contrary to the provisions of the tenant's lease or other rental agreement; or

(b) The tenant (1) has violated a substantial obligation of his lease, rental agreement or tenancy, other than an obligation to pay rent, and has continued or failed to cure such violation after written notice by the landlord that the violation cease, or (2) is committing or permitting a nuisance or is using or permitting a use of the business space for illegal purposes; or

(c) The landlord seeks in good faith to recover possession for the immediate purpose of demolishing the building with the intention of constructing a new building, and the plans for such construction have been approved by the proper authorities, if such approval is required by law. If the landlord shall fail to start the demolition of the building within ninety days after the removal of the tenant, or if after having commenced the demolition of such building, shall fail or neglect to prosecute the work with reasonable diligence, he shall, unless for good cause shown, be liable to the tenant for all damage sustained on account of such removal. In addition to any other damage sustained, the cost of removal of plant and property shall be a lawful measure of damage; or

(d) The landlord owned or acquired an enforceable right to buy or take possession of the building on or before the effective date of this ordinance and seeks in good faith to recover possession of the business space for his immediate and personal use; or possession is sought by a person who acquires title to the building subsequent to the effective date of this ordinance, and who likewise seeks in good faith to recover possession of the business space for his immediate and personal use; provided, however, that in either such event, such person shall have an equity in the property of not less than twenty-five per centum of the purchase price; and provided, further, that nothing in this subdivision contained shall authorize the dispossession



of a tenant during the term of his lease by his landlord or by any such subsequent purchaser unless by the terms of the lease the privilege is reserved to terminate the lease upon sale of the building; and provided further that no landlord shall be entitled to invoke the provisions of this subdivision unless he shall possess an interest of not less than fifty per centum of the whole investment in the business which he proposes to carry on in such space. If the landlord shall fail, after ninety days after dispossessing a tenant under the provisions of this subdivision, to occupy such space and actively to conduct such business therein, or if the landlord shall lease or rent such space to or permit occupancy thereof by a third person within a period of six months after such dispossession, he shall be liable to the tenant for all damages sustained on account of such removal. In addition to any other damage, the cost of removal of plant and property shall be a lawful measure of damage; or

(e) The tenant, whose lease or rental agreement has expired or shall hereafter expire during the continuance of the emergency declared by this ordinance, has refused, subsequent to the date this ordinance takes effect and within a period of six months prior to such expiration, to execute, upon demand of the landlord, a renewal of the prior lease or rental agreement for a further term of like duration or for such shorter term as the landlord may elect, on substantially similar terms and conditions as are contained in such prior lease or rental agreement, provided that the terms and conditions of such renewed lease or rental agreement are not inconsistent with any of the provisions of this ordinance, and provided further that the rent reserved in such renewed lease or rental agreement may be any amount not in excess of the emergency rent for such tenant's business space. The landlord shall not be entitled to seek a fixation of rent pursuant to section four of this ordinance where the rent reserved in any such renewed lease or rental agreement is less than the emergency rent; or

(f) The tenant occupies business space in a building required to be demolished in order to carry out a housing or rehabilitation project instituted under any public housing or slum clearance law, or instituted by a corporation organized under any law for housing project purposes.

Section 9. In the case of any lease or rental agreement for use or occupancy of business space, which lease or rental agreement was entered into for a term commencing subsequent to the effective date of this ordinance by a tenant who occupied such space under a prior lease or rental agreement, the expiration date of which immediately precedes the date of commencement of the subsequent lease or rental agreement, and the term of which subsequent lease or rental agreement exceeds the term of such prior lease or rental agreement, the Rent Control Committee, upon application made by the tenant within sixty days after the effective date of this ordinance, may abridge the term of such subsequent lease or rental agreement, as justice shall require, but in no event so that such term shall be less than that of the prior lease or rental agreement, provided that the tenant shall establish that such subsequent lease or rental agreement was, on the date of its execution, unjust, unreasonable, and oppressive as to any of the terms and provisions thereof other than the rent reserved therein.

Section 10. No landlord shall be answerable in damages or otherwise for failure to give possession to a new tenant not in possession where the tenant in possession is permitted to hold over by virtue of any of the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 11. Rents are frozen and stabilized as of the effective date hereof in the manner provided herein, and nothing contained in this

ordinance shall create any claim or cause of action in favor of a tenant against a landlord to recover moneys paid as rent for business space prior to the effective date of this ordinance.

Section 12. Any waiver of any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be unenforceable and void.

Section 13. Any lease wherein the specified rent or any part thereof is variable according to volume or other criteria of volume of the tenant's business shall continue without change, but where such lease provides for the payment of a fixed, basic or minimum rent, such fixed amount shall be subject to the provisions of this ordinance. If any provision of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid the remainder of the act and the application of such provisions to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

Section 14. The emergency herein described is hereby declared to continue until .....  
This ordinance shall remain in effect until said date or until the effective date, if it occurs prior to said date, of any legislation of the State of California, of the United States, enacted in relation to the regulation, control and stabilization of rents and rental agreements in regard to premises used or occupied as business space during the emergency herein described.

Section 15. Violation of any provision of this ordinance shall constitute a misdemeanor and shall be punishable by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not to exceed six months and by fine not in excess of \$500.00, or by both, such fine and imprisonment, and it shall constitute a new and separate violation for each day that a rental or other consideration is charged or permitted to be charged, for such premises as are herein before designated which rental or other consideration is more than, the ceilings, levels or limitations designated in this ordinance or is in any other respect in violation thereof.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Excused From Attendance.

Supervisor MacPhee asked to be excused from the matter of August 5th.

Permission granted.

#### Child Welfare Program.

Supervisor MacPhee said, I have read an article in the City and County Record that states that when we cut the budget we also cut down the Child Welfare Budget. It says that the Community Chest tried to have meetings with the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor, but it was impossible to contact either one.

If you recall, I said that we should sit down with these people and go over this matter and come out with something.

I would like the Clerk of the Board, again to contact the Community Chest and have them agree to sit down with us and discuss the matter about where the Community Chest's budget begins with respect to the Child Welfare Program.

I would also request that a committee be appointed by the Chairman to meet with the Community Chest on this matter.

*Referred to Finance and Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

#### Demonstration of the Mono-Rail.

Supervisor MacPhee stated, the Mono-Rail people were here today and would like to put on a special demonstration at next Monday's

meeting, at 3:00 p.m. I suggest that we permit them to put on their demonstration and that we invite the members of the Public Utilities Commission to be present.

*Demonstration set for Monday at 3:00 p.m. and members of Utilities Commission invited to attend.*

#### Purchase of Land Near Camp Mather for a Camp Site.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, I would like to take up a matter with the Public Utilities Commission.

Miss Randall of the Recreation Commission and the District Attorney's Office and the Coordinating Council have been trying to secure some land near Camp Mather for a camp site.

Supervisor Gallagher remarked, I have also received a letter on that matter and I have referred it to the Finance Committee. They will bring back a report on it.

Supervisor MacPhee asked the Finance Committee to bring in a report on this matter by next Monday.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:20 p.m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 10, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John R. McGrath, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, July 29, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 29, 1946—2:00 P.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 29, 1946, 2:00 p.m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Brown noted present at 2:25 p.m.

Supervisor Lewis noted present at 2:25 p.m.

Supervisor Brown excused from attendance at 6:20 p.m.

Supervisor Colman excused from attendance at 6:20 p.m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 27 and 31 and of June 3, 1946, were considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Gladstein, Andersen, Resner, Sawyer and Edises, attorneys-at-law, complaining of the intolerable conditions under which the Baby Clinic at the foot of Ingalls Street, Bayview District, is conducted.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From Murray Mitchell, requesting that Police Committee meet to consider proposed change in the license ordinance to allow for multiple licenses under one master license.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From the Redwood Empire Association, enclosing copies of communications sent to United States Senators and Congressmen, requesting increased federal aid for highways.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

Invitation from the Board of Supervisors of Contra Costa County to attend the public dedication of Buchanan Field, Contra Costa County Airport, Sunday, August 4, 1946.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Shoreline Planning Association of California, notice of meeting, Thursday, August 1, 1946, 10:00 a.m., Miramar Hotel, Santa Monica.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the State Board of Equalization, copy of letter addressed to the Assessor, notifying him of meetings of the State Board of Equalization on July 30 and 31 for the purpose of discussing problems relative to the administration of assessment and taxation laws.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Central Council of Civic Clubs, attaching copy of letter sent to the City Attorney, requesting that legislation be introduced permitting the City to purchase transportation equipment on the installment plan.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From S. H. Paxton, enclosing several copies of his paper on the merits of his Aerial System of transportation.

*Ordered filed.*

From Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, copy of letter addressed to the Mayor and letter from Mayor Lapham to Supervisor Brown, relative to suggestion of Mayor Fitch Robertson of Berkeley that a committee be created for the purpose of discussing mutual problems of the bay cities served by the Key System.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

The following wires were received, favoring the continuation of the Farmers' Market: From Assemblyman Ralph Brown of Modesto; Assemblyman Raup Miller of Palo Alto; Jack Thompson, Assemblyman from Santa Clara; Herbert W. Slater, State Senator from Santa Rosa; O. E. Bremmner, Agricultural Commissioner from Santa Rosa; Bernell Harlan, president, Yolo County Farm Bureau from Woodland, and Lloyd Lowrey, Assemblyman from Woodland.

*Ordered filed.*

#### Assessment Confirmed.

#### Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Improvement of Lathrop Avenue Between Tunnel and Wheeler Avenues, Including Crossing of Lathrop and Wheeler Avenues.

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessment, diagram, or warrant for pay of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of Lathrop Avenue between Tunnel and Wheeler Avenues, including the crossing of Lathrop and Wheeler Avenues, by the construction of paving, etc., by Chas. L. Harney, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 22816 of September 7, 1945, of the Department of Public Works.

*No protests; assessment confirmed.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P.M.

##### Board of Supervisors to Sit as Board of Equalization.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 5670 (Series of 1939), Board of Supervisors to meet as a Board of Equalization to examine the assessment books for the fiscal year 1946-1947 and equalize the assessment of property in the City and County of San Francisco and to continue in session for that purpose from time to time until the business of equalization is disposed of but not later than Monday, July 29, 1946.

*July 15, 1946—Continued until July 22, 1946.*

*July 22, 1946—Continued until July 29, 1946.*

*See Board of Equalization Journal, Volume 41, No. 30.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P.M.

##### Demonstration of Model of Monorail System.

Mr. Bilger, representing the Monorail System, conducted the demonstration and explained to the Board that this type of transportation is not only quicker but that it is cheaper. It could be oper-



ated on a 5-cent fare and the bonds could be amortized without any increase in the tax rate.

Mr. MacPhee said, Mr. Bilger has made a statement that should be investigated. He says that this type of transportation could be put into effect and could be done on a 5-cent fare without any increase in the tax rate. I believe it should be investigated. I think that this matter should be studied by the Public Utilities' engineers and let us have their views and if these statements are true we should be informed of their findings. I believe that we should establish a policy on this matter.

Supervisor MacPhee then moved, that this matter be referred to the Public Utilities Commission for a comprehensive study and report back to this Board.

Seconded by Supervisor Brown.

Supervisor Mead stated, I believe that we should follow through with the suggestion made by Supervisor MacPhee with respect to this matter only.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing motion was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Refused Reconsideration.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P.M.

Reconsideration.

On Monday, July 22, 1946, the following matter, Proposal No. 5717, was voted on as follows:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan.

Before the roll call was announced, Supervisor Mead changed his vote from No to Aye and served notice that on Monday, July 29, 1946, he would move for reconsideration. The vote by which Proposal 5717 was adopted was then recorded as follows:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan.

From Commercial and Industrial Development Committee, called out by Supervisor Christopher:

**Favoring Submission to Voters at General Election, November, 1946, of Declaration of Policy Concerning Operation of Farmers' Market.**

Proposal No. 5717, Resolution No. 5704 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the lease of the site on which the Farmers' Market is at present located expires on June 30, 1946, and

Whereas, at the November, 1945, election, the people by a substantial majority, voted to continue the operation of the Farmers' Market, and

Whereas, efforts have been made to have the city finance the cost of a permanent Farmers' Market, the outlay estimated to range between seventy-five thousand and one hundred thousand dollars, and

Whereas, records indicate that during the past two years, the market has been barely able to meet its operating expenses, and

Whereas, while the Board of Supervisors does not wish to contravene the edict of the people, at the same time it does not desire to place the cost of such a venture on the shoulders of the taxpayers, without specific authority; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In order to determine the wishes of the people with respect to financing the cost of a new and permanent Farmers' Market, this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as being in favor of submitting a declaration of policy to the electorate at the November, 1946, election, setting forth in detail the following:

1. Location of the proposed site.
2. Cost of proposed site.
3. Cost of appurtenances necessary for the operation of the Market.
4. Probable amount of revenue that may be expected to accrue, based on past experiences of the Market.
5. Probable length of time over which amortization of the amount advanced by the city, may be expected to run, based on past revenues and costs.
6. Any other information that may be pertinent to the subject.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Registrar of Voters be and are hereby requested, respectively, to prepare such a declaration of policy as is outlined herein and to take such procedural steps as are necessary for the submission of such policy at the General Election to be held in November, 1946.

*July 15, 1946—Consideration continued until July 22, 1946.*

#### Motion to Reconsider.

Supervisor Mead moved, that we reconsider action with respect to Proposal 5717, adopted last week.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead said, I would like to know whether or not I could present some communications to be read at this time.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Brown raised a point of order. The matter before us is shall we consider the vote by which this matter was passed. The discussion of the main question is not in order.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead stated, I was offering that suggestion for the purpose of expediting the matter.

Supervisor Colman remarked, the question before us is whether or not we should reconsider our action. The Communications referred to by Mr. Mead may have a bearing on the question as to whether or not the Board should reconsider its action, and I believe that they should be considered at **this time**.

Supervisor Gallagher explained, if the Clerk has any communications with respect to reconsideration they would be in order.

Supervisor Colman stated, if anybody writes a letter, that would mean that they wanted us to reconsider our action. If any of the people of San Francisco writes a letter it should be read.

Supervisor Gallagher replied, the Chair is not biased in any way, but if these are not from people in San Francisco should we read them anyway.

Supervisor Mead remarked, any communications that come into the Board become public property and every Supervisor is entitled to know what they are. I believe that the communications should be read.

The Chair ruled that the communications should be read.

### Communications.

The Clerk then read telegrams favoring the continuation of the Farmers' Market, from the following:

Assemblyman Ralph Brown of Modesto; Assemblyman Raup Miller of Palo Alto; Jack Thompson, Assemblyman from Santa Clara; Herbert W. Slater, State Senator from Santa Rosa; O. E. Bremmner, Agricultural Commissioner from Santa Rosa; Bernell Harlan, President, Yolo County Farm Bureau from Woodland, and Lloyd Lowrey, Assemblyman from Woodland.

Supervisor Mead remarked, I hope that you will adopt the motion to reconsider this matter this afternoon.

By a vote of better than 5½ to 1, this matter was carried by the People of San Francisco. There has been a poll taken and more than 74 per cent are in favor of the continuation of the Farmers' Market. I feel that the Market should continue because the people of San Francisco have told us that it should continue. I do not want to see the small market operators harmed, and I do not believe that they will be harmed.

Much has been said, over a period of a month, about this matter and anything I say will be a repetition of what has been said. I wish to point out that labor is not opposed to the continuation of the Market. The San Francisco Building Trades Council are on record as favoring the continuation of this market. The San Francisco Labor Council is also on record as favoring the continuation of this market. The only ones who have opposed it are the members of the Retail Clerks.

By sending this matter back to the People you are trying to do away with the market completely. You would delay the purchase of the property for about six or seven months and it would increase the cost of the property. This matter should not be sent back to the People. We should reconsider it this afternoon and vote it down.

Supervisor Colman stated, if any citizen wants to be heard on this matter I will move the privilege of the floor for him.

Supervisor Brown said, I can see no purpose to be gained by listening to these people at this time and I will oppose the privilege of the floor for the purpose of hearing from interested parties.

Supervisor Colman explained, never in 25 years have I seen the wishes of the voters so flagrantly disregarded. The voters approved the continuation of the Farmers' Market by a vote of 5½ to 1. At the same election some Supervisors were elected to the Board, not by any vote like that, yet it was legal. I believe that the People expressed their wishes intelligently. We were told that they did not realize that an expenditure of money might be needed for the operation of the Market. I have seen some of the posters that were put out by the opposition which brought out the fact that the market would need money for operation, and yet the People voted to continue the market. These same people who voted to continue the market also voted to elect the members of the Board.

Mr. McMurray said that he was the champion of the little people, but now I find out that he is not the champion of the little people but of the big people.

**Point of Personal Privilege.**

Supervisor McMurray said, I raise to a point of personal privilege. I read in the newspaper where Supervisor Colman said that I said that I was the champion of the little people.

**Point of Order.**

Supervisor Mead raised a point of order. Mr. McMurray is out of order, he is stating something that was in the newspapers last week.

The Chair ruled that Supervisor Colman has the floor.

Supervisor McMurray continued, Mr. Colman has made another mistake, I have not withdrawn the remarks that I am the champion of the little people.

Supervisor Colman said, I am sorry I offended Mr. McMurray and I withdraw the whole thing.

We have a responsibility to the people of San Francisco and they are in favor of the Farmers' Market. The Central Council of Civic Clubs and the Civic League of Improvement Clubs are in favor of the market, and they represent just about every organization in San Francisco.

**Point of Order.**

Supervisor McMurray raised to a point of order. All of these statements made by the Supervisor were made last week.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Colman continued, every American city has a farmers' market, yet there has been no socialism, or communism on these markets.

A poll was taken as to whether or not the people would be willing to operate a farmers' market with an appropriation of \$65,000. I would like to ask Mr. Kellogg a few questions about this poll.

Supervisor McMurray remarked, I object to the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Mead inquired, if any member of the Board wants information, do I understand that if one member of this Board objects that the information cannot be secured.

The Chair explained, Mr. McMurray has objected and if you had objected the same ruling would apply.

Supervisor Colman asked, am I in order to ask Mr. Kellogg a question.

The Chair answered, Mr. McMurray has objected and so I say that Mr. Kellogg cannot answer the question. I do not know whether it would be pertinent to reconsideration.

Supervisor Colman said, I would like to know how many people were interviewed.

Mr. Kellogg answered, Seven hundred.

Mr. Colman inquired, how did you conduct your interview.

Supervisor McMurray stated, I object, this man is being allowed the privilege of the floor.

The Chair ruled, this man does not have the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Mead asked, I would like to know whether or not you have informed the members of the Board that this survey was taken with respect to the reconsideration of this matter.

Supervisor Colman replied, no, I did not, but if the Board will accept that statement I will let it stand as mine.

Supervisor Brown inquired of Mr. Kellogg, who gave you the question.

Mr. Kellogg answered, you and I worked out this question together. Supervisor Brown remarked, that is not the question that I gave you.

Mr. Kellogg explained, it is the same except for the last few words.

In order to make the survey we split the City into areas and place a couple of interviewers in each section. When the results were turned in they were tabulated for stability. Then the work of each interviewer was spot checked. I believe that just about explains the procedure.

Supervisor Sullivan said, when this matter came up two years ago, I was one of the four to sign the Declaration of Policy. The people voted for the Farmers' Market and I have to be guided by their wishes. They want a Farmers' Market and they should have it.

Supervisor Christopher stated, I was happy to receive the remarks about the poll but I do not believe that we need a poll on this matter. We know that there was a mandate of some kind that we should have a Farmers' Market. The people have never expressed themselves that we should appropriate money to operate the market.

The first request was for \$10,000 and we were informed that that was only the beginning. The \$65,000 for the land is not the full amount, more money will be needed. I resent very much that as a member of the Board that we are not told the exact amount of money needed. I believe that we are being made fools of in this matter. I am going to demand the truth from now on on matters of this kind.

I do not question the figures in the last election, they are the true figures, but there is only one salient point in this matter. We are opening the doors to permit other industries in San Francisco to compete with existing industries.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Sullivan raised a point of order. Mr. Christopher is out of order, he is not talking about reconsideration.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher continued, the only question today is whether we should establish a precedent whereby any person can go into business by a vote of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Lewis remarked, the only opposition seems to be about the matter of money. It has been said that if the matter should go on the ballot again with the money angle in it that it would be approved by the people again. If that is the way they feel, why do they want to put it back on the ballot in November.

I would like to have that question answered, and that is why I believe that the matter should be reconsidered.

Supervisor Christopher replied, the answer has been given a number of times. Those who are against it do not want to see the answer. I believe that we should go on the ballot on matters of this type every time. When we want to start a competitive business, then we should go to the people.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mead stated, I see our State Senator present, Mr. O'Gara, and he wants to talk on the matter. I move the privilege of the floor for Mr. O'Gara.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher said, I object to the privilege of the floor.

The Chair ruled the objection well taken.

Supervisor Colman remarked, I would like to ask Mr. O'Gara some questions. Are you not in favor of appropriating the money for the continuation of the market?

### Point of Order.

Supervisor Mancuso raised a point of order. Supervisor Colman is trying to circumvent the Rules of the Board by asking Mr. O'Gara that question.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

Mr. O'Gara said, as a former supervisor, I am entitled to the privilege of the floor.

The Chair stated, the rules do not say that.

Supervisor Christopher said, I withdraw my objections.

Supervisor Brown remarked, until Mr. O'Gara has taken office in the State Senate he still is a citizen of San Francisco and my objection goes for him as well as all people of San Francisco. If and when the main question is before us I will not object to the privilege of the floor.

The Chair ruled the objection well taken.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Brown explained, it seems to me that a great deal of confusion has been thrown around a number of different angles in this situation. I believe that a lot of that confusion has been on purpose. It has been said that the Board of Supervisors have killed the Farmers' Market.

That is not so. What we are considering is whether or not we should ask the people if they want to spend upward to \$100,000 for a free market. No one has made any point to the fact that the Farmers' Market is still going on and will continue to next July at the present location. That will give us a lot of time to decide what we are going to do with it then.

It has been said that this matter has to be referred to the State Legislature for ratification. That is not true. This is merely a declaration of policy and when the people vote on it that is all there is to it.

Mr. Colman has made a great deal about the vote. It is true that there was nothing in that declaration of policy that said that there was going to be an expenditure of money. That question has never been submitted to the people. When we decide to float a bond issue for a capital expenditure, we do submit that to the people.

Mr. Kellogg came in and asked me to write the question, which I did, but that was not the question that was submitted to the people for the poll. He added a little phrase to my sentence. I would like to ask who is going to guarantee that there will be a profit that will be able to pay for the Market? Can the Farmers' Market produce the money necessary, I do not think so.

The small storekeeper will be hurt by this. The Daily News says that 50,000 people visit the Farmers' Market each Saturday. I believe that the little storekeeper would like to have that purchasing power. I do not believe that the storekeeper wants to see the market operate when it does not have to pay taxes or licenses.

We are not, in any way, impairing the operation of the free market by what we do today. The market will continue until July of next year. What we are trying to do is to find out whether or not the people want us to appropriate some money for the operation of the Farmers' Market. Until we have their approval, I believe that we will be derelict in our duty to go ahead.

Supervisor Colman inquired, Mr. Brooks, it is your opinion that if the Board appropriated \$100,000 that it can be amortized out of revenues over a period of years?

Mr. Brooks replied, I have a letter before the Board that stated that over a period of 20 years the money would be paid back to the City.

Supervisor Christopher asked, Mr. Brooks, if we appropriate this money can you say that you will not be back at some future time for more money?

Mr. Brooks answered, I believe that my letter should be read.

The Clerk then read the following communication:

**Communication From the Chief Administrative Officer Relative to the  
Farmers' Market.**

**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

*Office of*

*Chief Administrative Officer*

July 15, 1946.

The Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with an authorization contained in Resolution No. 5599, adopted by your Honorable Board on June 17, 1946, the city's lease on the Duboce Avenue and Market Street site of the Farmers' Market has been renewed for a period of one year from July 1 at a rental of \$50 a month.

The market is being operated in accordance with the ordinance Bill No. 3916, passed by your Honorable Board on April 8, 1946. This ordinance was adopted following approval by the voters by a five and a half to one majority of a policy declaration which read:

"Farmers' Market. 'The Farmers' Market shall be continued beyond the time limitation now established by ordinance.'"

At the time this policy declaration was approved by the voters the Farmers' Market was being operated under authorization which limited its life to the duration of the war and six months thereafter.

In order to continue the market in accordance with the vote of the people it will be necessary to acquire a new site and prepare it for occupancy by June 30, 1947. The site now occupied has been selected as the location of an amusement center to cost, according to report, in the neighborhood of a million dollars. Construction is to begin as soon as materials are available, probably within the next six to eight months.

Thus, while it is essential that a new site be available for occupancy by June 30, 1947, it is desirable and in the public interest that it be ready months before that date. Otherwise, continued occupancy of the present site will stand in the way of an important development that would add measurably to the local tax roll and will deprive the owners of the present site of the right to greatly increased earnings. The \$50 a month rental paid by the city is a nominal amount which reflects the public spirit of the owners rather than value.

Acquisition of real property and its improvement in accordance with technical provisions of law involve such time elements that if an appropriation for land purchase (the first requirement) were approved by your Honorable Board now a new site probably would not be ready for occupancy before next spring. If there should be a delay of several months in providing funds for land acquisition a new site would not be prepared by June 30, 1947, and the voters' decision that the market be continued could not be carried out.

I should also call your attention to the fact that the present lease, although renewed for a year, will expire six months after the formal end of the war. Under this clause there is no assurance that the site can be used until the end of this fiscal year.

A delegation of growers appeared in my office after adoption of the 1946-47 budget to ask assurance that the Farmers' Market would continue. They were well representative of northern and central California agricultural areas, and they assured me that they spoke for the 500 to 600 farmers who have made frequent use of the market's facilities. They were unanimous on these points:

1. They expect the market to be completely self-supporting, repaying the city for any capital expenditures made, even if fees have to be adjusted upward.
2. Indecision regarding permanence, which they thought had been voted by the people, was hurting the market.
3. Many growers come to San Francisco to transact other business, including retail shopping, because the farmers' market brings them here.
4. They want strict, impartial inspection and enforcement of quality standards at the market.
5. Most of the commodities sold at the market would otherwise go to waste.

The reaction of our own citizens, as consumers, was similar to that of the growers when the continuance of the market appeared to be in doubt. They were apprehensive and concerned.

During the calendar year 1945 revenues of the market totaled \$8,741, expenditures \$5,775.42. The excess of revenues over expenditures was \$2,965.58. For the fiscal year which ended last June 30 revenues amount to \$9,337.50, expenditures \$6,308.86. Revenues exceeded expenditures by \$3,028.64.

The market up to the recent passage of the new enabling ordinance operated under an enactment which required it to repay the city for any capital expenditures within two years. At the end of the two-year period it had repaid the city for all construction work and there was an overall excess of revenues over expenditures (including capital expenditures) of \$812. Besides this financial gain, the city owns at the present site an administration building and public convenience stations which cost \$2,700 and market sheds which cost about \$5,000.

These sheds were financed by growers under authorization adopted by your Honorable Board, and the growers who paid for their construction have been repaid by being given free use of the shelters. They now are the property of the city.

Revenues available to reimburse the city for capital expenditures for a permanent market are indicated by the following figures for one year:

Excess of revenues over operating and maintenance costs . . . . .	\$3,000
Rents paid . . . . .	600



Value of rent-free sheds furnished growers who financed their construction (estimated on basis of sheds rentals by growers who did not participate in financing) ..... 2,000

Total earned in excess of operating and maintenance costs exclusive of rent ..... \$5,600

That is the amount that would have been available for reimbursement of the city for capital expenditures during the last fiscal year had the city completely provided market facilities. It is the estimated net earning power of the market under the present fee schedule, which fee schedule the growers using the market have asserted they would be quite willing to have increased if necessary to keep the market self-supporting.

At budget time I requested an appropriation of \$10,000 to put a new site in shape for operation as an open air market. I stated that I later would recommend a \$60,000 appropriation from available funds for purchase of a new site. It was naturally contemplated that still later, when plans were completed, I would ask for funds to build permanent improvements.

There has been a request that I furnish an overall estimate of the cost of the proposed new market.

The following estimate is based upon continuing with a physical set-up like that of the present market, but on a larger site that will provide more parking space for buyers' cars and will allow expansion in the future if justified:

Paving 2/3 of new site .....	\$12,000	
Drainage and water lines .....	3,770	
Sheds (30) .....	6,000	
Administration Building .....	3,200	
Plans and Specifications .....	1,000	
Miscellaneous .....	2,030	
Total Improvements .....		\$28,000
Land .....		60,000
Land Acquisition Costs .....		2,000
TOTAL .....		\$90,000

A contract for moving the buildings now existing and paid for at Market and Duboce, or utilizing the materials in those buildings for construction at a new site, should reduce the cost of improving a new location considerably, but no account of such a saving has been taken in the foregoing estimate.

Revenues from the present fee schedule, it is estimated, would be sufficient to pay all operating and maintenance costs and repay the city for even a \$90,000 investment in less than 20 years. It is to be remembered in this connection, also, that the growers who use the market's facilities are desirous that fees be adjusted upward if necessary to make the market completely self-supporting.

In view of the foregoing, I herewith submit and recommend an appropriation of \$62,000 from the land purchase reserve fund to acquire a permanent site for the Farmers' Market. This money was obtained from the sale of city-owned real property and is earmarked for purchase of land as needed. The money is available.

I am making this recommendation at this time so that you may consider it as an alternative to the proposed submission of a policy declaration to the voters. I believe that both the growers and San

Francisco consumers will appreciate definite action toward continuing the market on a permanent basis.

Very truly yours,  
T. A. BROOKS,  
Chief Administrative Officer.

Supervisor Brown said, I believe that a question calling for a capital expenditure in this amount should be submitted to the people for their action.

There are many properties that are exempt from the tax roll and it is hard on the tax rate. If we purchase this land, for the Farmers' Market, we will also be taking it off of the tax roll and add it on to the people.

The net profit, from the operation for the last year, was \$500 and in 20 years that would add up to only \$10,000.

Supervisor Colman replied, that \$500 is after the money had been amortized.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, what Mr. Brown states is a half truth in that the actual profits of the market represents some \$532. The expense of paying for the building has been paid before the \$532 profit accrues. I would ask Mr. Brooks if that is correct.

Mr. Brooks replied, I refer you back to my letter.

Supervisor MacPhee asked, Mr. Brooks, is the surplus of \$532 a result after the amortization of the market itself?

Mr. Brooks answered, for the fiscal year, ending June, 1946, the revenue exceeded expenditures by over \$3,000. The market, up to the present, operated under an enactment that obligated it to repay the City for any capital expenditure. At the end of two years they had repaid the capital expenditures and there was a profit of \$812.

Supervisor Brown stated, we made \$812 for two years' operation. Take that and multiply that by 20 and see where we get. Let us take the figure before the amortization and multiply that by 20 and you will see that we are still about \$40,000 short.

Mr. Brooks said, I believe that the money would be repaid to the City within 20 years.

Mr. Brown remarked, I still make out that \$3,000 times 20 will be only \$60,000.

Supervisor Mead explained, I want to point out that an ordinance was adopted by this Board to purchase a site for this market. Some of the present members of the Board voted for this ordinance. Some of the members of the Board are of the opinion that if we appropriate the money that we will bring about a condition whereby we will have to sponsor a number of other industries. That is not correct and every member of the Board knows it.

Some members of the Board said that there was no profit. I did not know that we were operating the Farmers' Market to make a profit. I understand that we are to operate a Farmers' Market and that the money was to be returned to the City.

We are trying to create a good neighbor policy with out sister counties. I believe that by continuing the Farmers' Market we are doing a great deal to foster the idea of a good neighbor policy.

I can see no reason for this matter being submitted to the people for their action and I hope that there are six members of this Board who will see fit to vote for the reconsideration of this proposal.

Supervisor Meyer stated, my idea of this is this, we will always have a Farmers' Market as long as it lasts. The Farmers' Market has 28 counties in which it could be located and continue its business. I do not believe that we should appropriate money out of the Treasury and provide for the operation of the Farmers' Market.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Mead raised a point of order. Will I be permitted to close again.

The Chair ruled that Supervisor Mead would not be able to close again.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Brooks explained, I merely attempt to follow out the provisions of an ordinance that this Board passed. It is not my market, it is your market. I have been directed to conduct a Farmers' Market and I wish to point out that I have grave doubts as to whether or not I can continue a Farmers' Market after June 30th, if you do not appropriate the money.

Supervisor Brown inquired, Mr. Brooks, what is the terminal clause in the ordinance?

Mr. Brooks replied, the market might be discontinued in the event that the Congress states that the last war is terminated. It ends six months after the termination of the war.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing motion for reconsideration was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

#### Refused Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

✕ Appropriating \$62,000, Chief Administrative Officer, for Acquisition of New Site for Farmers' Market.

Bill No. 4206, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$62,000 out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$62,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to the credit of Appropriation No. 558.600.50, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman moved passage of ordinance appropriating \$62,000 for acquisition of land for the Farmers' Market.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Christopher inquired, is that the total sum required?

Mr. Brooks replied, that is only for the purchase of the land. The total cost is mentioned in my letter.

Supervisor Christopher asked, why isn't the total mentioned in the bill?

Mr. Brooks answered, it is necessary for you to get a budget item before you can set up the entire amount.

**Motion to Re-refer to Committee.**

Supervisor McMurray moved, that the matter be re-referred to Committee. *Lost* for want of a second.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Brown said, there is no purpose in voting on this measure now. I believe that the vote will be the same as on the last matter.

**Motion to Postpone.**

Supervisor Brown moved, as a substitute motion, that the matter be postponed until November 12, 1946.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray—5.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

**Motion to Rescind.**

Supervisor Mancuso moved, that we rescind action.

Seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mead inquired, is that a proper parliamentary motion? We voted on a substitute motion and we have to vote on the original motion now.

The Chair ruled the point well taken.

Mr. Brooks explained, I do not believe that the \$62,000 will mean anything because if you wait until next November you will need more money than the \$62,000.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Refused Passage for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. O'Gara.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

Mr. O'Gara stated, I came here today in response to two telegrams with respect to the continuation of the Farmers' Market. I came here for this reason. We are in August now, and the farmers are asking us for some help. We should give them the help that they need

now. We should have the farmer on our side, we should help them so that they can help us.

I want to say to the members of the Board, that I am grateful for this opportunity and I hope that when I am in Sacramento that I will be able to convince the State Senators that San Francisco will be willing to help the farmers, when we need their help.

#### Motion to Rescind Action.

Supervisor Mead moved, that we rescind action in refusing to pass the appropriation for \$62,000.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing motion was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

#### Creating Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund in the Amount of \$3,000.

Bill No. 4175, Ordinance No. 3949 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Creating Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund; providing for manner of its maintenance and use; repealing Bill 2870, Ordinance 2713.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund, in the amount of \$3,000, for the purpose of providing for petty cash funds and making expenditures which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn by the Controller upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. All expenditures from the said Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund shall be made in accordance with rules and regulations of the Public Utilities Commission and of the Controller.

Section 2. The Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund shall be established as follows:

(a) Petty Cash Funds, as may be authorized by the Public Utilities Commission, shall be established for the purpose of making direct petty cash payments of expenditures in accordance with procedure prescribed by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller.

(b) The balance of said revolving fund shall be maintained in such bank or banks as may be designated by the Public Utilities Commission and disbursement therefrom shall be made in accordance with the provisions of Section 1 by checks signed by a representative or representatives designated by the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 3. The Manager of Utilities shall cause a full, true and correct account to be kept of all monies received for or disbursed from said revolving fund, and shall, at least once during each month after the establishment of said fund, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with proper vouchers supporting said disbursements and

upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, the Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of said revolving fund for the aggregate amount of said disbursements.

Section 4. Expenditures from the Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund shall be made only for such items as there are funds legally available for reimbursement to said Revolving Fund.

Section 5. Bill No. 2870, Ordinance No. 2713, establishing the Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund in the amount of \$3,000, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Appropriating \$3,000, Public Utilities Commission, for Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund.

Bill No. 4176, Ordinance No. 3950 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,000 for a Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated from the funds heretofore provided by Bill No. 2870, Ordinance No. 2713, for a Hetch Hetchy Miscellaneous Revolving Fund.

Section 2. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Refused Passage.

The following from Finance Committee Without Recommendation, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Adding to Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," Class D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Bill No. 4168, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," by adding thereto Class No. D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.7. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized:** In order that there shall be no diminution of service to the public it shall be the duty of the head of each department to arrange and assign the work of his department so that sufficient employees will be on duty on Saturday morning in each department which is required to be open for the conduct of public business on Saturday morning, provided that time worked on Saturday morning by employees on a normal work week schedule shall be compensated by equal time off in the same or succeeding week. Employees whose positions are allocated to the classes included in Division R—Recreation Service, and employees whose positions are allocated in Division X—Library Service, may at the discretion of the appointing officer work the 40-hour schedule within six days without additional compensation or time off. Occupants of the following positions shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but subject to rule of the Civil Service Commission, may be granted time off not to exceed the time worked in excess of forty hours per week:

Class No. and Title

A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings
A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A12	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of Hetch Hetchy Properties
A108	Chief Building Inspector
A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector
B8	Supervisor of Disbursements
B14	Senior Accountant
B20	Controller
B21	Chief Assistant Controller
B22	Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B23	Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B25	Business Manager, Public Welfare Department
B26	Supervisor, Budget Statistics
B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports
B28	Supervisor of General Audits
B30	Supervisor of Utility Audits
B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department
B34	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works
B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court
B36	Business Manager, Department of Public Health
B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative) San Francisco Hospital
B51	Chief Administrative Officer
B54	Director, Bureau of Public Service
B55	Assistant Director, Bureau of Public Service
B57	Secretary, Art Commission
B58	Secretary, Board of Education
B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals
B66	Registrar of Voters
B67	Secretary, Fire Commission
B68	Chief Clerk
B69	Secretary, Coordinating Council
B70	Secretary, Park Commission

## Class No. and Title

B71	Secretary, Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young and California Palace of the Legion of Honor
B72	Secretary, Library Department
B74	Confidential Secretary to the Mayor
B76	Executive Secretary to the Mayor
B76.1	Administrative Assistant to the Mayor
B76.3	Administrative Analyst
B77	Executive Secretary to the Manager of Utilities
B78	Secretary, City Planning Commission
B79	Secretary, Health Service Board
B81	Recorder
B82	Secretary, Retirement System
B83	Consulting Actuary
B84	Under Sheriff
B87	Secretary-Attendant, Grand Jury
B88	Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors
B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses
B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
B91	Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue
B93	Tax Collector
B95	Director of Finance and Records
B95.1	Assistant Director of Public Works
B96	Managing Director, War Memorial
B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer
B100	Supervisor of Real Property Records, Assessor's Office
B108	Cashier A
B120	Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office
B169	County Clerk
B173	Public Administrator
B180	Administrative Assistant, Board of Education
B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies
B374	Purchaser of Supplies
C4	Superintendent of Auditorium
D66	Superintendent of Jail
E8	Chief Electrical Inspector
E116	Superintendent of Plant
F1	Manager of Utilities
F2	Director of Public Works
F4	Assistant City Engineer
F9	Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau
F10	City Engineer
F60	Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations
F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations
F62	Manager of Airport Department
F75	Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities Commission
F108	Architect
F112	City Architect
F220	General Superintendent of Streets
F366	Chief, Department of Electricity
F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and Power
F408	Public Health Engineer
F412	Senior Engineer
F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal Railway
F520	Consulting Sanitary Engineer
F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer
F527	Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant
F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection
F706	Chief Valuation Engineer
F800	City Planning Engineer



## Class No. and Title

F801 Senior City Planner  
 F802 Master Plan Architect  
 F810 Associate City Planner  
 G5 Chief Land Appraiser  
 G11 Chief Building Appraiser  
 G17 Chief Personal Property Appraiser  
 G20 Chief Assistant Assessor  
 G59 Assistant Personnel Director  
 G59.1 Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classifications  
 G59.2 Supervisor of Examinations  
 G60 Personnel Director  
 G62 Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission  
 G80 Personnel Officer, Department of Public Health  
 G84 Director, Bureau of Personnel, Public Utilities Commission  
 G102 General Claims Agent, Municipal Railway  
 G106 Claims Adjuster  
 G110 Compensation Claims Adjuster  
 G204 Assistant Director of Property  
 G206 Director of Property  
 H42 Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation  
 H44 Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation  
 K4 Attorney, Civil  
 K6 Senior Attorney, Civil  
 K8 Principal Attorney, Civil  
 K10 Head Attorney, Civil  
 K12 Chief Attorney, Civil  
 K16 Special Counsel, Water Services  
 K52 Junior Attorney, Criminal  
 K54 Attorney, Criminal  
 K56 Senior Attorney, Criminal  
 K58 Principal Attorney, Criminal  
 K60 Head Attorney, Criminal  
 K62 Chief Attorney, Criminal  
 L2 Assistant, Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L6 Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital  
 L9 Assistant Superintendent, Medical, Laguna Honda Home  
 L10 Superintendent, Laguna Honda Home  
 L16 Assistant Director of Public Health  
 L18 Director of Public Health  
 L19 Chief, Division of Public Health Education  
 L20 Public Health Educator  
 L156 Dentist  
 L160 Director of Dental Bureau  
 L252 Optometrist  
 L352 Interne  
 L354 House Officer  
 L356 Senior House Officer  
 L357 Resident Physician  
 L359 Supervising Physician, Blood Bank  
 L360 Physician  
 L362 Supervisor of City Physicians  
 L363 Superintendent, Hassler Health Home  
 L364 Physician Specialist  
 L368 Director of Bureau of Child Hygiene  
 L371 Director of Bureau of Communicable Diseases  
 L375 Chief, Division of Tuberculosis Control  
 L376 Chief, Division of Venereal Disease Control  
 L458 Roentgenologist  
 L502 Autopsy Surgeon  
 L506 Assistant Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital  
 L508 Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital

## Class No. and Title

M4	Assistant General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M5	Assistant Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M7	General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines, Municipal Railway
M8	General Superintendent of Shops
M20	Superintenednt of Equipment
M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines
N10	Coroner
N54	District Supervisor
N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector
N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector
N156	County Agricultural Commissioner
N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures
N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office
O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair
P58	Director of Public Health Nursing
P122	Director of Institutional Nursing
R3	Assistant Superintendent, Recreation Department
R4	Superintendent, Recreation Department
R20	Assistant Director of Recreational Activities
R22	Director of Recreational Activities
S5	General Manager, Municipal Railway Bureau
S128	Division Superintendent, Municipal Railway
S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S134	General Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home
T30	Director of Girls' School
T70	Chief Adult Probation Officer
T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
T163	Director of Public Welfare
T165	District Supervisor
U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer
U80	Assistant Manager, Water Sales
U88	Manager, Water Sales
U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution
U144	Superintendent, City Distribution
U232	Superintendent, Alameda District
U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula District
U246	Superintendent, Peninsula District
V40	Superintendent, Agriculture
W2	Superintendent, Park Department
W4	Assistant Superintendent, Park Department
W212	Director of the Zoo
X2	City Librarian
X12	Chief Librarian
Y2	Director, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum
Y4	Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor
Y8	Curator A
Y10	Curator B
Y12	Curator C

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

June 24, 1946—Consideration continued until July 1, 1946.

July 1, 1946—Consideration continued until July 8, 1946.

July 22, 1946—Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.

## Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso said, before we passed the Annual Salary Ordinance, we deleted the D66, Superintendent of Jails. On July 1st, we reinstated these classifications in Section 1.7 of the Annual Salary Ordinance.

The D66, Superintendent of Jails, are paid on a 48-hour week because they work 48 hours each week, and they are classified as executives. Time off is permitted at the discretion of the department head. There was no money set up in the budget for extra compensation for time worked over 40 hours a week. If they were paid for working over 40 hours a week, they would receive more money than the Under Sheriff.

## Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mead moved the privilege of the floor for Mrs. Molly Minudri, representing the A.F.L., Local 747, City and County Employees.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mrs. Minudri stated, these employees are not allowed time off and they work in excess of 40 hours a week. We would not have brought the matter before you if they were allowed time off for work performed over 40 hours a week.

The statements made that these employees are paid on a 48-hour work week are incorrect, because Section 1.6 of the Annual Salary Ordinance states that salaries are based on a 40-hour week. The Civil Service Commission has stated that all salaries are based on a 40-hour week and if they work in excess of 40 hours a week they should be paid or given time off.

The men do not want the overtime, they merely want to be treated as other executives are in the City service, and allowed time off. The department head does not allow time off. We ask that you reject this ordinance.

Supervisor Colman moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Hollinberry, the Under Sheriff.

Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Hollinberry remarked, this matter was considered before, and the statements that were made then are being made today. Time has been allowed these men. When they ask for time, the Sheriff allows it to them.

It was mentioned that the Civil Service Commission said that salaries for these men were set up on a 40-hour basis. It has always been understood that the Superintendent, as well as the rest of the members of our jail, work on a 48-hour basis. The schedules have been set up accordingly.

When the fact-finding committee of the Civil Service set up the salaries for these men for the current year, they set up \$360 for 40 hours, plus 20 per cent, which would make that \$420 per month. When the amount was sent to the Commission they made the statement that they knew that these men were working a 48-hour week, and that we will give them the \$460 now; so they receive the \$460 on a 48-hour week. Then they came to you and said that they should be put on a 40-hour basis and hold the \$460 salary. The Sheriff feels that these men should be kept in the executive classification, as they have over the years.

These men are given time off and have never been refused time off. This ordinance should be passed today.

Supervisor Christopher inquired, these men were receiving \$360 prior to the increase, then they were granted an increase up to \$460, is that correct?

Mr. Hollingberry answered, they were receiving \$400 prior to the survey. The fact-finding committee set the salaries at \$400 and recommended 20 per cent for the Saturday work. The Civil Service Commission said that we know that these men are working 48 hours a week and have always worked it, so we will give them the \$460 now. The Sheriff did not object to that because if that was what the Civil Service Commission wanted, it was alright with the Sheriff.

Supervisor Christopher remarked, the period of time between 40 and 48 hours does not compare with the increase granted. The increase from \$432 to \$460 does not compare, in ratio, with the hours worked.

Mr. Hollingberry replied, the Civil Service Commission gave them a 15 per cent increase based on \$400 per month. The Sheriff is willing to give them time off for work performed over 40 hours a week.

Mr. Colman moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Albert, representing the Civil Service Commission.

Seconded by Supervisor Brown.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Albert explained, as far as the Civil Service Commission is concerned, everything that has been said before is being said today. At the time the Civil Service Commission established the salary at \$460, the Commission knew that these men were working 48 hours a week and based their pay on that number of hours. The Commission does not want to take these men out of the executive classification.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Refused Final Passage* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Amending Section 37 of Article 1, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Pertaining to the Keeping and Feeding of Small Animals, Poultry and Game Birds Within the City and County of San Francisco.

Bill No. 4052, Ordinance No. 3952 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 37 of Article 1, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the keeping and feeding of small animals, poultry and game birds within the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 37, Article 1, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 37. Keeping and Feeding of Small Animals, Poultry and Game Birds.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corpora-

tion to keep or feed, or cause to be kept or fed, or permit to be kept or fed, on premises over which any such person, firm or corporation may have control more than four (4) of the following: Live hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots, of any species, game birds of any species, wild animals of any species, or cats, within the first and second residential districts as at present defined by existing law, or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

(a) Any person, firm or corporation, keeping, feeding, or causing to be kept or fed, or permitting to be kept or fed, on premises over which such person, firm or corporation may have control, four (4) or less live hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, game birds of any species or wild animals of any species, shall keep same in coops or enclosures that are approved by the Director of Public Health. Said coops or enclosures shall be not less than twenty (20) feet from any door or window of any building used for human habitation.

If after due investigation, in the opinion of the Director of Public Health, the keeping or feeding of four (4) or less live hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, wild animals of any species, or cats, is not done in a sanitary manner the Director of Public Health may serve written notice on the person, firm or corporation to remove same from the premises within thirty (30) days.

(b) **Prohibitions.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in the business of keeping, freeing, or breeding any hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, dogs, cats, for commercial purposes, within the first and second residential districts as defined by existing law

(c) **Commercial Purposes.** It is hereby declared to be unlawful to conduct for commercial purposes any establishment in which dogs, cats, hares, rabbits, guinea pigs, chickens, turkeys, geese, ducks, doves, pigeons, parrots of any species, game birds of any species, are kept and maintained in the Commercial District, Light Industrial District and Heavy Industrial District, as those districts are at present defined by existing law or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, without first obtaining from the Department of Public Health a permit so to do.

No permit shall be issued by the Department of Public Health to any person, firm or corporation, to keep or maintain for commercial purposes any of the above named fowl, animals or birds within the Commercial, Light Industrial or Heavy Industrial District as at present defined by existing law, or as may hereafter be defined by the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, unless said person, firm or corporation has complied in full with the following requirements:

(1) It shall be unlawful to establish hereafter any place of business for the sale of the fowl, animals or birds specified above within twenty-five (25) feet of any door, window or other opening of any dwelling, apartment house or hotel if live fowl, animals or birds intended for sale are kept therein. It shall be unlawful to keep said live fowl, animals or birds in any basement, sub-basement or cellar in any place of business unless such basement, sub-basement or cellar is adequately ventilated as approved by the Director of Public Health and is also adequately lighted, completely ratproofed and complies fully with the sanitary requirements set forth in Section 440, Article 8, Chapter V of this Code.

(2) It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health and he is hereby expressly empowered to prescribed to the owners of exist-

ing establishments wherein such live fowl, animals or birds are kept for purposes of sale, such sanitary improvements as may appear to the Director of Public Health to be necessary and advisable and such systems and methods of ventilation of basements, sub-basements and cellars above referred to as may appear desirable for gradual installation during the present war emergency if the equipment and materials therefor are available. It shall be unlawful after the present war emergency has terminated to keep any of the live fowl, animals or birds hereinabove specified in any basement, sub-basement or cellar unless such basement, sub-basement or cellar is adequately ventilated as approved by the Director of Public Health and complies fully with the sanitary requirements of this Code; provided, that the owners of said establishments shall have a reasonable period of time to be prescribed by the Director of Public Health following the termination of said war emergency to obtain necessary material and equipment to comply with this provision.

(3) The floors of all such premises must be constructed of water-proof material properly drained to the sewer.

(4) Said premises shall be ratproof, all openings properly fly-screened, and adequate provision must be made for the elimination of all odors.

(5) The walls and ceilings of all such premises must be of hard finished plaster, painted with two (2) coats of lead and oil paint, light in color

(6) In all premises where slaughtering of fowl, birds or animals is carried on in connection with the keeping of said fowl, birds or animals, the killing room must be entirely separate from that part of the premises occupied by the live fowl, animals or birds.

The floors of said slaughtering room must be of water-proof material, properly drained to the sewer. The walls and ceilings must be of hard-finished plaster and painted with two (2) coats of lead and oil paint, light in color. Refrigerating equipment must be installed for the reception of the dressed fowl, birds or animals, properly connected to the sewer Toilet and lavatory facilities for the use of the employees engaged in the handling and slaughtering of such birds, animals or fowl must be installed in conformity with the provisions of the plumbing law.

(d) **Exceptions.** The terms and provisions of this section shall not apply to the keeping, liberation for exercise, or racing of homing or carrier pigeons which are not raised or kept for the market or for commercial purposes, and the lofts or pigeon houses wherein said homing or carrier pigeons are kept are elevated at least three (3) feet above the ground or other foundation upon post-legs or pillars completely surrounded or covered by smooth, jointless galvanized sheet metal and within not less than twenty (20) feet from the door or window of any building used for human habitation, and the entire floor and sides for at least two (2) feet extending upwards from the bottom of the floor of said lofts or pigeon houses, are covered or protected by galvanized iron or its equivalent, concrete or eighteen (18) gauge wire mesh of not more than one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) inch and the interior of said lofts or pigeon houses, wherein such carrier or homing pigeons are kept, are registered by the owners thereof with the Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, and the said lofts or pigeon houses shall be inspected by the said Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco at least once a year.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Granting Permission, Revocable at the Will of the Board of Supervisors, to Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to Construct, Maintain and Operate a Spur Track in Greenwich Street East and West of Sansome Street.**

Bill No. 4207, Ordinance No. 3948 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Greenwich Street east and west of Sansome Street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to construct, operate and maintain spur track in Greenwich Street from a point 93 feet, more or less, easterly from Sansome Street running thence westerly across Sansome Street to a point 85 feet, more or less, westerly from Sansome Street, the center line of said spur track being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing track, located on the northerly side of Greenwich Street, distant 93 feet, more or less, along said center line in an easterly direction from the intersection with the easterly line of Sansome Street; thence in a westerly direction through a No. 6 turnout to the left 50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a westerly direction a distance of 121 feet, more or less, crossing Sansome Street to a point; thence along the arc of a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 204 feet, for a distance of 34 feet, more or less, to a point, distant 18 feet northerly from the southerly line of Greenwich Street; thence continuing in a westerly direction parallel to and distant 18 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Greenwich Street a distance of 47 feet, more or less, to the end of the track.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (new series) now codified as Sections 555 to 570 inclusive of Article 11, Chapter 10, Part 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all provisions and conditions contained in said sections are hereby made a part of this permit as if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. This permit is granted subject to the following additional conditions:

1. The Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company shall construct the track, so that the center line of said track shall be midway between the manhole approximately in the center of the crossing of Sansome and Greenwich Streets and the manhole approximately 15 feet southeasterly therefrom. Ties shall be spaced and set so they do not encroach on any portion of the manhole structure.

2. Ties shall be 10 feet in length where the track encroaches upon and crosses the existing sewers in Greenwich Street and the crossing of Sansome Street from a line approximately 50 feet easterly from Sansome Street to a line approximately 10 feet westerly from the center line of Sansome Street.

3. That portion of the granite curb return at the southwest corner of Greenwich and Sansome Streets from the first joint north-

westerly from the existing catchbasin to the westerly end of the curb return shall be removed and a new granite or unarmored concrete curb constructed connecting the easterly end of the concrete curb on the southerly side of Greenwich Street at the westerly line of Sansome Street to the existing granite curb return at the first joint northwesterly from the catchbasin. Asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six-inch red rock sub-base if required, four-inch asphaltic concrete base, and a two-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface shall be constructed in the area between the old and new curbs.

Section 4. All work shall be done in accordance with the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering Standard Specifications of 1942, as amended, and to the satisfaction and requirements of the Department of Public Works, and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected by permittee to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. No work shall be commenced until a permit has been issued by the Department of Public Works for the reconstruction of the curb return and necessary pavement, and until the sum of \$25 is deposited with the cashier of the Department of Public Works for engineering inspection.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendations of the Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Directing Civil Service Commission to Conduct Salary Standardization Survey.

Proposal No. 5887, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission be and it is hereby authorized and directed to conduct a salary standardization survey in order that any existing inequalities in salaries of City employees may be corrected in the 1947-1948 budget.

*July 22, 1946—Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mead moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. John Jeffrey, representing the C. I. O.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

Mr. Jeffrey said, we feel that this matter is very important to the employees of the City, for two reasons:

1. The Salary Standardization Ordinance, as passed by the Board this year, did not take care of the employees in the lower classifications. They did not get a big enough increase in salaries, and they are not receiving a salary that is comparable to work done throughout the State. There should be a salary survey to take care of these people.



2. The economic situation is changing so fast that by next year the salaries that are being paid City employees will be inadequate for them to purchase the required necessities of life.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman stated, I would like to receive a little more information. I did not know this was pending and I am not aware of any change in the situation since July 1st. I would like to have Mr. Henderson here.

#### Motion to Temporarily Postpone.

Supervisor Colman moved that the matter be temporarily postponed.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

Subsequent in the meeting the Board again considered the foregoing matter, and Supervisor Mancuso moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections* and motion *carried*.

#### Adopted.

#### Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 5888, Resolution No. 5705 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation accept a deed from Robert L. Coleman, Jr., and Edith Blanding Coleman, or the legal owners, to approximately 70.31 acres of land in Sections 2-3-10-11, T. 4 s., R. 5 w., M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California required for the San Francisco Airport that the sum of \$54,091.50 be paid for such property from appropriation 96.900.58.

Subject to existing easements for the Bay Shore Highway and the Pacific Gas and Electric Company electric transmission lines.

The above amount of \$54,091.50 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under resolution No. 5441, series of 1939, for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcel of land, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Acquisition of Easements for Francisco Heights Sewer.**

Proposal No. 5893, Resolution No. 5706 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a Municipal Corporation does hereby accept deeds from the following parties to certain easements in Assessor's Block 1131, San Francisco, California, required for the Francisco Heights Sewer:

<i>Grantors</i>	<i>Part of Lot</i>	<i>Deed Dates</i>
Oswin Werner, et ux.....	11 .....	June 21, 1946
Leon Meier, et ux.....	12A.....	June 21, 1946
James Lingonis, et ux.....	12B.....	June 21, 1946
Mildred H. Held.....	12 .....	June 26, 1946
P. W. Lord, et ux.....	13 .....	June 21, 1946
I. Schutz, et ux.....	14 .....	June 24, 1946
Secundino Portos, et ux.....	14A.....	June 20, 1946
Murray L. Stone, et ux.....	15 .....	June 21, 1946
Herman Mizis, et ux.....	15A.....	June 21, 1946

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Execute Agreement With the State Department of Finance Whereby the State Will Terminate Its Interest in Dormitories A, B, C, D, and J, Civic Center Plaza.**

Proposal No. 5894, Resolution No. 5707 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That Dormitories A, B, C, D and J in the Civic Center Plaza are hereby declared surplus in the municipal program known as War Service Activities, Dormitories, in accordance with a recommendation from the Chief Administrative Officer, and be it

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with the State Department of Finance whereby the State of California will terminate its interest in said Dormitories, and be it

Further Resolved, That with the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer the Director of Properties is hereby authorized to make said dormitories available for temporary use during the American Legion National Convention in San Francisco in 1946.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5896, Resolution No. 5708 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated July 29, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of

San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of July and August, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5897, Resolution No. 5709 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated July 29, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of August and September, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Brown said, we are just voting these things without knowing how many additions, or deletions or anything about it. I believe that the letters should be mimeographed and submitted to the Board.

The Clerk was directed to secure a copy of the letters for each member of the Board.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Subordination of Lien Securing Aid Furnished to an Indigent Person to a Deed of Trust, Catherine A. Gunther.**

Proposal No. 5898, Resolution No. 5710 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Catherine A. Gunther was recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on August 2, 1939, in Book 3473 of Official Records at page 396, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the City and County of San Francisco on the following real property situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Wilde avenue distant thereon 200 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Rutland street; running thence northwesterly and along said line of Wilde avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being Lots Nos. 13 and 14 in Block No. 38 Reis Tract; and

Whereas, said lien is subject and subordinate to the lien or charge upon said land of a deed of trust given to secure the payment of \$600, and any other amounts secured thereby, together with provision for additional advances; and

Whereas, it is necessary at this time for the obligation secured by said deed of trust to be renewed in order to avoid foreclosure; and

Whereas, said obligations cannot be renewed unless the lien created by the instrument recorded as aforesaid is subordinated to the lien, or charge, upon said land of the deed of trust to be given as security for such renewal; and

Whereas, such subordination is necessary for the protection and preservation of said lien in favor of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby instructed to execute such instrument as may be required to effect such subordination.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Frances Bruno.

Proposal No. 5899, Resolution No. 5711 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Frances Bruno, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Frances Bruno; and

Whereas, said Frances Bruno, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Aristides Bustamante and Elvira Bustamante.

Proposal No. 5900, Resolution No. 5712 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Aristides Bustamante and Elvira Bustamante, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Aristides Bustamante and Elvira Bustamante; and

Whereas, said Aristides Bustamante and Elvira Bustamante, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by such lien, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 5901, Resolution No. 5713 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, containing the names and amounts to be paid as Aid to Needy Blind, Aid to Needy Children, and Old Age Security Aid, effective May 1, June 1, July 1, 1946, and as noted, including new applications, increases, discontinuances, denials, decreases, and other transactions are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for Month of August, 1946.**

Proposal No. 5902, Resolution No. 5714 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, Aid to Needy Children, for the month of August, 1946, including increases and decreases, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land Known as Alameda County Parcel 52 Near Niles.**

Bill No. 4166, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land known as Alameda County Parcel 52 near Niles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly limits of the town of Niles with the southwesterly line of the former state highway from Niles to Mission San Jose, distant along said easterly limits 182.1 feet southerly from the center of the Southern Pacific Company's track; and running thence along said southwesterly line and its southwesterly extension south 42° 38' east, 317.31 feet; thence leaving said line south 56° 15' west, 194.24 feet to said easterly limits of the Town of Niles; thence along said last mentioned line north 9° 20' west, 349.56 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.699 acre more or less and being Parcel No. 52, Ala-

ameda County Lands, as described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County March 3, 1930, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Alameda Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

June 24, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis said, this is the one I objected to before. I am objecting to Nos. 17, 18 and 27. I am objecting to the manner in which the ordinance is drawn. Until these ordinances are drawn so that the sale will be by auction, I will not vote for them.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, McMurray—2.

#### Authorizing Sale of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7054.

Bill No. 4169, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7054.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Lakeview Avenue with the southwesterly line of Capitol Avenue, as per map showing the widening of Capitol Avenue filed May 31, 1929, in Map Book "M" at page 14, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Capitol Avenue 104.220 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 103° 52' 45" to the right from the preceding course and running westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 101.177 feet to the southerly line of Lakeview Avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, City Attorney, by Adding 1 Law Clerk to List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4187, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.10 City Attorney, by adding 1 B160 Law Clerk to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.10 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.10. CITY ATTORNEY**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B160 Law Clerk . . . . .	1	4
B410 Legal Stenographer . . . . .	5	4

Section 2. This ordinance shall be retroactive as of July 1, 1946, and shall be in effect from and after that date.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, by Adding Class U230 Maintenance Foreman to List Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4197, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37, Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and provision therefor: by adding class U230 Maintenance Foreman to list of classes authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.37. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor:** Appointing officers may require all occupants of positions allocated to the classifications specified in this section to work tours of duty of eight hours on six days of the week, or a total work week of 48 hours. Occupants of such positions who regularly work tours of duty of eight hours six days per week shall be compensated for such sixth day of work at straight time, that is, twenty per cent of the compensation for a normal work schedule. Exceptions to the normal work schedule as provided in this section shall not be authorized by appointing officers unless funds for the compensation thereof are provided.

Class No. and Title

- C152 Watchman
- C180 Gallery Attendant
- C182 Assistant Head Gallery Attendant

## Class No. and Title

C184 Head Gallery Attendant  
 D52 Jail Matron  
 D54 Head Jail Matron  
 D60 Jailer  
 D64 Captain of Watch  
 D102 Writ Server  
 E52 Fire Dispatcher  
 E120 Governorman  
 E122 Power House Operator  
 E124 Senior Power House Operator  
 E128 Superintendent of Power House  
 F50 Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport  
 F51 Airport Attendant  
 F52 Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport  
 F54 Airport Guard  
 I 2 Kitchen Helper  
 I 6 Pastry Cook  
 I 7 Baker  
 I 10 Cook's Assistant  
 I 11 Griddle Cook  
 I 12 Cook  
 I 14 Junior Chef  
 I 16 Chef  
 I 52 Counter Attendant  
 I 54 Waitress  
 I 56 Waiter  
 I 58 Dining Room Steward  
 I 60 Housekeeper  
 I 106 Morgue Attendant  
 I 112 Supervisor, Ambulatory umatrs  
 I 116 Orderly  
 I 120 Senior Orderly  
 I 122 House Mother  
 I 152 Flatwork Ironer  
 I 154 Laundress  
 I 156 Starcher  
 I 158 Sorter  
 I 164 Marker and Distributor  
 I 166 Wringerman  
 I 167 Tumblerman  
 I 170 Washer  
 I 172 Head Washer  
 I 174 Superintendent of Laundry, Laguna Honda Home  
 I 178 Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital  
 I 204 Porter  
 I 206 Porter Sub-Foreman  
 I 208 Porter Foreman  
 I 210 Head Porter  
 N4 Coroner's Investigator  
 O8 Morgue Ambulance Driver  
 O52 Farmer  
 O158 Motor Boat Operator  
 O166.1 Junior Operating Engineer  
 O168.1 Operating Engineer  
 O304 Hydrantman-Gateman  
 O308 Assistant Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman  
 O310 Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman  
 P2 Emergency Hospital Steward  
 P3 Senior Emergency Hospital Steward  
 P102 Registered Nurse  
 P104 Head Nurse



## Class No. and Title

P111	Night Supervisor
P111.1	Night Supervisor, Hassler Health Home
P204	Anaesthetist
P208	Operating Room Nurse
R101	Camp Assistant
R111	Lifeguard Watchman
R130	Foreman, Recreational Activities
S56	Special Instructor, Municipal Railway
S60	Instructor, Municipal Railway
S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway
S114	Claims Investigator
S120	Day Dispatcher
S124	Supervisor of Schedules
T35	Group Supervisor
U130	Reservoir Keeper
U212	Ranger
U213	Special Agent
U227	General Maintenance Foreman
U230	<b>Maintenance Foreman</b>
W106	Rides Attendant
W150	Aquarium Attendant
W152	Aquatic Collector
Y42	Chief Installer
Y44	Senior Museum Technician
Y46	Museum Technician
Y106	Aquatic Biologist's Assistant

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Appropriating the Sum of \$2,000,000 From the Unappropriated Balance of 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the Purpose of Providing Funds for Expenditures and Certification of Contracts in Connection With Additions and Betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Bill No. 4214, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,000,000 from the unappropriated balance of 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the purpose of providing funds for expenditures and certification of contracts in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000,000 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance of the 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.000.00, to provide funds for expenditures and certification of contracts in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Section 2. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to accrued funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Execution of Contract With the State of California for Relocation of State Highway Adjacent to San Francisco Airport, Providing for Exchange of Lands, and Other Provisions Incident to Contract.**

Bill No. 4215, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing execution of contract with the State of California for relocation of state highway adjacent to San Francisco Airport, providing for exchange of lands, and other provisions incident to contract.

Be it ordained by the People of the State of California, as follows:

Section 1. The relocation of the State Highway known as Bayshore Highway paralleling the San Francisco Airport is essential for the proper and safe conduct of said airport. The State of California has agreed to relocate said highway to the west of its present location, in accordance with that certain map entitled, "State of California, Department of Public Works, Division of Highways, Freeway Relocation adjacent to San Francisco Airport, District IV—S.M.-68-F," at a cost to the City of \$1,250,000, so that the Bayshore Highway will be merged with that new highway to be known as a freeway which ultimately will accommodate four lanes of traffic in each direction, such traffic lanes to be separated by a dividing strip, to provide for a four-way traffic interchange structure of the clover leaf type at the intersection of San Bruno Avenue with freeway, a traffic interchange structure at the main entrance of the San Francisco Airport, a traffic interchange structure of the partial clover leaf type at the intersection of Millbrae Avenue and the relocated highway. To construct openings for drainage purposes under said freeway all at the State's expense and for the amount specified, and will construct at the cost of City, openings through and beneath the freeway required to provide crossings for utilities, other drainage structure or structures at certain designated parts of the freeway to connect with the drainage canal system of the City.

The State will abandon and convey to the City within the confines of City's expanded property from the intersection of San Bruno Avenue and said Bayshore Highway southerly to the southerly boundary line of the City Airport, all rights of way presently owned by the State and existing in said Bayshore Highway, and that area contiguous to the highway originally acquired by the State for the purpose of expanding Bayshore Highway.

The City shall convey to the State in fee simple, title to a strip of land 230 feet in width along the location of the proposed freeway and more particularly shown on that certain map entitled, "State of California, Department of Public Works, Division of Highways, Freeway Relocation Adjacent to San Francisco Airport, Dist. IV, S.M.-68-F, April 1946" and additional lands required for the clover leaf interchange of traffic, and the City shall pay to the State the cost of providing for construction of openings under the freeway for the accommodation of utilities, and City shall undertake the relocation and pay for the cost of relocation and adjustment of existing transmission lines owned by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and further pay the cost of the construction and maintenance of drainage canals and structures to intercept and provide for drainage westerly of the relocated State highway.

Section 2. The Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the members of the Public Utilities Commission are hereby authorized to enter into a contract with the State of California to provide

and pay for the work described in Section 1 of this ordinance, and in said contract to make such other provisions as may be deemed necessary and incident to the construction described, and to execute all documents necessary for the State to acquire title to the land over which the freeway shall pass.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Subject to passage of Bill No. 4214, Ordinance No. . . .

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Consideration Continued.**

Appropriating the Sum of \$398,318 From the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the Sum of \$1,101,682 From the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Fund of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to Provide Funds for Additions and Betterments, Replacements and Reconstruction, and Purchase Payment to the Market Street Railway During the Current Fiscal Year.

Bill No. 4222, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$398,318 from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the sum of \$1,101,682 from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Fund of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for additions and betterments, replacements and reconstruction, and purchase payment to the Market Street Railway during the current fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$398,318 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the sum of \$1,101,682 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Municipal Railway to provide funds for additions and betterments, replacements and reconstruction, and purchase payment to the Market Street Railway during the current fiscal year:

*Appropriation No.*

665.500.00	Additions and Betterments . . . . .	\$371,893
665.925.00	Replacements and Reconstruction . . . . .	26,425
665.925.50	Replacements and Reconstruction, Market Street Extension . . . . .	750,000
665.551.50	Purchase Payment and Interest . . . . .	351,682

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission, Resolution No. 7561.

Unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mancuso explained, the payment of this amount means that the amount owing the Market Street Railway will be \$2,500,000.

Supervisor MacPhee inquired, can you tell us whether or not this provides money for the removal of the rails on Market Street?

Supervisor Mancuso replied, the money is taken out of those funds that were set up for the doing of the work. Nothing is being paid for the taking up of the rails.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, I am not sure of my position. I do not know if I want to vote the \$410,000 in an attempt to replace those tracks. I question whether or not we should replace the street car tracks in Market Street. I think, before we appropriate the money, we should consider it more fully. I do not want to impair very important programs, but if it is all right I would like to lay it over for a week. If the Manager of Public Utilities would come in and say that we should appropriate the money, but before we use the money we will come in and talk it over with you again, then I would be for it.

Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that the matter be postponed for one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Passed for Second Reading.

Amending Part 1, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by Adding Thereto a New Section to Be Designated Section 12 Authorizing Municipal Railway to Become Member of American Transit Association; Providing for Payment of Annual Expenses of Said Membership.

Bill No. 4212, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Part 1, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 12 authorizing Municipal Railway to become member of American Transit Association; providing for payment of annual expenses of said membership.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Part 1, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 12, as follows:

"Section 12. It will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Municipal Railway thereof become a member of the American Transit Association for and on behalf of the City and County, the Municipal Railway is therefore authorized and directed to join said American Transit Association and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said Association.

"The annual expense of said membership shall be allowed and paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose."

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher asked, what is the exact amount of the appropriation?

Supervisor Mancuso replied, it is \$4,400 for the year.

Supervisor MacPhee said, I believe that we should appropriate the money for this. It is well worth the money.

Supervisor Gallagher remarked, we had this matter up at Budget time and we appropriated the money for it.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Appropriating the Sum of \$4,440 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to Provide Funds for the Compensation of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 Per Month, Which Positions Are Created in the Municipal Railway; Abolishing the Position of 2 B308 Calculating Machine Operators at \$185 Per Month in the Same Department.

Bill No. 4219, Ordinance No. — (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,440 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.-199.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 per month, which positions are created in the Municipal Railway; abolishing the position of 2 B308 Calculating Machine Operators at \$185 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,440 is hereby appropriated out of the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.110.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 per month in the Municipal Railway.

Section 2. The positions of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 per month are hereby created in the Municipal Railway; the positions of 2 B308 Calculating Machine Operators at \$185 per month are hereby abolished in the same department.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by Increasing the Number of Employments Under Item 10 From 64 to 65 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; by Increasing the Number of Employments Under Item 12 from 14 to 16 B308a Calculating Machine Operators (Key Drive) at \$185-230; by Deleting Item 12.1 2 B308b Calculating Machine (Rotary Type) at \$185-230; and by Decreasing the Number of Employments Under Item 15 From 25 to 24 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4160, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by increasing the number of employments under item 10 from 64 to 65 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 14 to 16 B308a Calculating Machine Operators (Key Drive) at \$185-230; by deleting item 12.1 2 B308b Calculating Machine (Rotary type) at \$185-230; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 15 from 25 to 24 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	25	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 14.00 day
1.1	1	A156	Patternmaker .....	13.60 day
1.2	2	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
1.3	1	A252	Glazier .....	12.68 day
2	2	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
3	16	A364	Car and Auto Painter.....	14.00 day
3.1	1	A366	Foreman Car and Auto Painter....	15.00 day
4	1	A370	General Foreman, Car and Auto Paint Shop.... (g 339	
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
7	3	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
8	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
8.1	2	B68	Chief Clerk .....	360-430
8.2	4	B102	Teller .....	230-290
8.3	1	B103	Cashier C .....	230-290
8.4	4	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
10	65	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> .....	185-230
10.1	7	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
11	3	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
12	16	B308a	<b>Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)</b> .....	185-230
12.2	3	B309c	Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand .....	160-200
12.3	8	B310b	Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand .....	190-240
12.4	1	B310.1d	Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand.....	240-290
12.41	1		*Senior Tabulating Machine Operator .....	240
12.5	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
13	35	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
13.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
13.2	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
14	9	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
14.1	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator .....	230-290
15	24	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
15.1	4	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4002.**

Bill No. 4217, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4002.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the Westerly line of Texas Street distant thereon 185 feet Southerly from the Southerly line of Mariposa Street; running thence Southerly and along said Westerly line of Texas Street 30 feet; thence at right angles Westerly 100 feet, thence at right angles Northerly 30 feet and thence at right angles Easterly 100 feet to the Westerly line of Texas Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 269.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, McMurray—2.

¶

**Final Passage.**

Appropriating the Sum of \$3,750 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Park Department for the Construction of a Guard Fence and Correction of a Slide Condition at Sutro Heights Park; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4216, Ordinance No. 3951 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,750 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Park Department for the construction of a guard fence and correction of a slide condition at Sutro Heights Park; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,750 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 612.500.99, to provide funds for the construction of a guard fence and correction of a slide condition at Sutro Heights Park.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The construction of a guard fence on top of the cliff at Sutro Heights Park, and the correction of improper drainage in this area, will correct a condition which is an imminent hazard to life and limb of the many visitors to this park. There are no other appropriations of the Park Department available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Board of Park Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating the Sum of \$5,250 Out of the Surplus Existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for the Compensation of the Following Employments in the Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), Which Positions Are Created: 3 I 154 Laundresses at \$125-160; 1 I 156 Starcher at \$125-160. Abolishing the Positions of 4 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 in the Same Department.

Bill No. 4218, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,250 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide for the compensation of the following employments in the Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), which positions are created: 3 I 154 Laundresses at \$125-160; 1 I 156 Starcher at \$125-160. Abolishing the positions of 4 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,250 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of the following positions which are hereby established in the Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital): 3 I 154 Laundresses at \$125-160; 1 I 156 Starcher at \$125-160.

Section 2. The positions of 4 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 in the same department are hereby abolished.

Section 3. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Consideration Continued.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

Memorializing State Legislature to Enact Legislation to Provide Increased Payments to Recipients of Old Age Pensions.

Proposal No. 5785, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:



Whereas, economic conditions throughout the country have particularly distressed recipients of old-age security benefits by virtue of the rising costs of living, and

Whereas, the minimum costs of personal sustenance continue on the incline, thus rendering the status of old-age security payments inadequate and not conducive to the accepted minimum standards of living, especially affecting the 10,000 such cases in San Francisco, and

Whereas, the McFarland Amendment recently passed in Congress, provides for a federal increase in such old-age pension benefits in the amount of \$5 per month, but specifically exempts compulsory action on the part of the respective states to match said increase as has heretofore been the generally accepted practice; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the consensus of this Board of Supervisors that the California State Legislature immediately enact legislation conforming to the principles of the said McFarland amendment and match the increased federal payments with sufficient state payments so as to assure the recipients of old-age pensions, a sum commensurate with the increased costs of living; and be it further

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Legislature to enact legislation as requested hereinabove and that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature and to Donald W. Cleary, Legislative Representative for the City and County of San Francisco.

*July 22, 1946—Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher said, this matter was due to legislation that was pending in Congress. The so-called McFarland Bill provided that an increase in pensions be allowed. It was my thought that the State should take some action to increase the pensions to the people who receive old-age assistance. The amount given to the people would be up to the State Legislature.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Colman moved that the matter be postponed for period of one week.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

*No objections and motion carried.*

#### Tabled.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray, Sullivan.

Amending Municipal Code by Adding Thereto Underground District No. 116, "Anzavista"; Providing for Payment of Difference in Cost Between Overhead and Underground Construction.

Bill No. 4171, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Part II, Chapter III, Article 6, Section 251, by adding thereto an additional underground district, No. 116, "Anzavista" being a subdivision of the area formerly known as Calvary Cemetery; providing for payment of cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to install or maintain poles and overhead wires in the streets to be constructed and dedicated for public use in "Anzavista" formerly Calvary Cemetery, to-wit:

Underground District No. 116, Streets in "Anzavista" between the easterly line of St. Joseph's Avenue, the southerly line of Geary Street and Geary Boulevard, the easterly line of Masonic Avenue and the northerly line of Turk Street.

Section 2. The subdividers or property owners of the real property described in Section 1 shall assume the excess cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction, in accordance with the regular filed tariffs, rules and regulations governing the utilities involved, and which said tariffs, rules and regulations are in effect and on file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to which specific reference is hereby made and to be considered as set forth herein in detail.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mead moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Elmer Hutchinson, representing the May Fair Heights Corporation.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objections and motion carried.*

Mr. Hutchinson said, I believe we have a solution to this matter. During the past week we have entered into a contract to have this work done and I request that this matter be sent back to committee because we will do the work.

Mr. Lyle Brown, of the Telephone Company, stated, we have always been in favor of going underground but our rules state that we must be reimbursed by the contractor. We never believed that an ordinance was necessary. Mr. Hutchinson made a statement in the Streets Committee that if this ordinance was passed ordering us to go underground his organization had already passed a motion ordering us to go overhead. Has that motion been withdrawn?

Mr. Hutchinson replied, that motion has been withdrawn.

#### Motion to Re-refer to Committee.

Supervisor Mead moved that the matter be re-referred to committee.

Seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee remarked, I believe that the City certainly has an interest and I do not want to see the matter go back to committee. I would like to see it stay on the calendar for a period of about two months. This ordinance will serve as a guide for future subdivisions. I am afraid that if it goes back to committee it might die.

#### Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, as a substitute, that the matter remain on the calendar for a period of 60 days.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

**Motion to Table.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be tabled.

Seconded by Supervisor Mead.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion to table was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead—3.

**Tabled.**

**Amending Municipal Code by Adding Thereto Underground District No. 117, "Laurel Heights"; Providing for Payment of Difference in Cost Between Overhead and Underground Construction.**

Bill No. 4172, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Part II, Chapter III, Article 6, Section 251, by adding thereto an additional underground district, "Laurel Heights," being a subdivision of the area formerly known as Laurel Hill Cemetery; providing for payment of cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to install or maintain poles and overhead wires in the streets to be constructed and dedicated for public use in Laurel Heights (formerly Laurel Hill Cemetery) the boundary of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Presidio Avenue distant thereon 333.855 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary Boulevard and running thence northerly along said line of Presidio Avenue 1188.473 feet; thence northwesterly and westerly on a curve to the left with a radius of 72 feet a distance of 113.097 feet to tangency with the southerly line of California Street; thence westerly along said line of California Street 2053.937 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 125 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 49.301 feet; thence deflecting 84° 09' 9" to the left and running southerly 424.349 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 14.00 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 381.084 feet; thence deflecting 87° 24' 23" to the left and running southeasterly 2267.882 feet to the westerly line of Presidio Avenue and the point of beginning, designated to-wit: Underground District No. 117, streets in "Laurel Heights" between Presidio Avenue, California Street, east of Parker Avenue and north of Geary Boulevard.

Section 2. The subdividers or property owners of the real property described in Section 1 shall assume the excess cost of underground utility construction over the cost of overhead construction, in accordance with the regular filed tariffs, rules and regulations governing the utilities involved, and which said tariffs, rules and regulations are in effect and on file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to which specific reference is hereby made and to be considered as set forth herein in detail.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

**Motion to Table.**

Supervisor Brown moved that the matter be tabled.

Seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing motion was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Dan S. Hewitt, Member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial.**

Proposal No. 5903, Resolution No. 5715 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dan S. Hewitt, member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of July 22 to August 17, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Dan E. London, Member of the Board of Park Commissioners.**

Proposal No. 5909, Resolution No. 5718 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Dan E. London, member of the Board of Park Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period from July 31 to August 8, 1946, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Leave of Absence—Beniamino Bufano, Member of the Art Commission.**

Proposal No. 5910, Resolution No. 5719 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Beniamino Bufano, a member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing July 25, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Approving Canvass of Votes Cast at Special Election Held Tuesday, July 16, 1946.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 5908, Resolution No. 5717 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, a Special Election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, July 16, 1946; and

Whereas, the vote and returns from said Special Election have been duly canvassed and the results thereof duly ascertained; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That as to the volume on file in the office of the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled, "Statement of Votes, Special Election, July 16, 1946," bearing the identifying letters, "BP," that section of said volume now containing a statement of the vote cast at the Special Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, July 16, 1946, be and the same is hereby approved and the same shall constitute the record of the official canvass of the votes cast at said Special Election.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Referred.

Conference, League of California Cities, San Diego,  
September 16 to 19, 1946.

The Clerk presented:

Your attention is directed to the attached subject article appearing in the June, 1946, issue of Western City.

The League of California Cities will hold its annual conference in San Diego during the period September 16 to 19, 1946. Hotel reservations are now being made by delegates attending the conference.

It is suggested that this matter be brought to the attention of our Board of Supervisors at the earliest possible date for the purpose of ascertaining who will attend the conference and the hotel reservations desired in order that satisfactory accommodations may be secured.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

Adopted.

Authorizing Employment of Counsel to Represent City in Henry K. S. Williams Trust Before Supreme Court, State of New York, County of New York, and Fixing Compensation.

The Clerk presented a recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Proposal No 5907, Resolution No 5716 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Henry K. S. Williams executed a trust November 28, 1928, under the terms of which he transferred to National Bank of Commerce of New York, as trustee, which latter concern was merged with Guaranty Trust Company of New York, securities consisting of stocks and bonds totaling \$983,546.69, and after reserving life estates in himself and for his wife, Mildred Anna Williams, the income from the trust estate is to be used for the period of thirty years after the death of the last surviving life tenant for purchasing works of art consisting of paintings, tapestries and bronzes by certain European artists who died prior to 1900, and at the end of the thirty-year period and within five years thereafter the entire corpus is to be used for a similar purpose and all objects of art purchased out of income and from the corpus shall become the property of The California Palace of the Legion of Honor. Mildred Anna Williams predeceased Henry K. S. Williams, who died May 16, 1944; and

Whereas, the Guaranty Trust Company of New York has filed an intermediate account of proceedings which shows a total on hand of cash and securities of \$733,982.94 subject to a deduction for com-

missions, and has also filed a petition for interpretation of the terms of the trust and for an approval of the account. The interpretation of the trust relates to the investment of funds as they pertain to the right of the trustee to invest and reinvest after the death of Henry K. S. Williams and during the continuance of the trust. An order to show cause has been served upon the Board of Trustees of The California Palace of the Legion of Honor and the Attorney General of the State of New York, returnable at 10 a. m., August 7, 1946, in the County Courthouse of New York, N. Y.; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In order that the City and County may be represented at a special term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York for the County of New York, in the Borough of Manhattan, on August 7, 1946, that the City Attorney be, and he is hereby, authorized to enter into a contract with the law firm of Bigham, Englar, Jones & Houston, of 99 John Street, New York, to appear in behalf of the City Attorney and the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of the trust indenture dated November 28, 1928, made by Henry K. S. Williams with Guaranty Trust Company of New York, for the purpose of obtaining a proper interpretation from the Court of the terms of the trust referred to and for the settlement of the intermediate account of proceedings; and be it

Further Resolved, That the maximum fee and court costs to be paid Bigham, Englar, Jones & Houston shall be \$500, payable from Appropriation No. 617.200.00.

Approved by Thomas C. Howe, Jr., Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Approved by W. M. Srother, Secretary, Board of Trustees, California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the Assistant City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Holm, Assistant City Attorney, explained, Mr. Williams is the gentleman who was made an Honorary Citizen of San Francisco.

We felt that it is not right to allow this matter to be submitted to the court without representation. It would cost us much more for one of us to go back to New York, and we do not feel that it is necessary. We do not feel that it is right to allow this vast sum of money to go unrepresented, especially when it comes to the power of trustee, so we suggested that you permit us to enter into a contract with the firm mentioned in the proposal.

Thereupon the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Congratulating Mr. McGrath on His Appointment to Clerk of the Board.

Supervisor Colman said, last Monday I had to leave before the meeting was over and I found out that, when I came back, the Board had appointed Mr. McGrath as Chief Clerk of the Board. I am satisfied with the action of the Board and I heartily concur in it.

Mr. McGrath is well qualified for this job and I know that he will carry on and do a good job.

Had I been present at the meeting, I would have voted for Mr. McGrath.

Supervisor Sullivan remarked, had I been present I would have voted for Mr. McGrath.

#### Requesting Federal Government to Declare Certain Property Surplus.

Supervisor Christopher said, I wrote to the Federal Government about the property that they are holding downtown. We might not be able to induce them to move out but we might be able to induce the people in Washington to move from the downtown area to areas that are not quite so valuable.

We have the building at Fifth and Mission Streets, the old Mint Building. I talked with the people in Washington and they said that this property is not surplus at this time. I believe that it should be declared surplus and go onto the tax roll. I believe we should follow this matter through and see if we can have the Federal Government release the property in the important part of town. We should try to formulate a program of this type and try to convince the people in Washington that we do have a tax problem in San Francisco and we need help on it.

I believe Mr. Brooks should look into this matter.

*The Board did not take any action on the foregoing.*

#### Re Reopening of Streets in Fisherman's Wharf Area.

Supervisor Lewis remarked, there has been a great deal of discussion about building up Fisherman's Wharf. A good many of the property owners in Fisherman's Wharf feel that their property is being injured by not having the streets that were closed for the Federal Government reopened.

Some action should be taken to try and have the Federal Government release the streets and give them back to the City.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint a Committee to Prepare a Master Plan for Youth in San Francisco.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 5912, Resolution No. 5720 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the aftermath of war, readjustment difficulties and generally changed conditions, present the necessity for a long-range, carefully considered comprehensive and effective plan looking to a satisfactory solution of the problems of youth,—educational, economic, social and otherwise,—not only from the points of view of corrective and preventive action for the benefit of the community as a whole and the conservation of its assets and resources, but particularly for the development and future welfare of the youth of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, although the various agencies in San Francisco, now devoting their energies to youth welfare, are striving very hard to cope with the problem and to do a good job in connection therewith, it is apparent that under present circumstances and conditions of organization, their endeavors cannot cope with the situation and that the fundamental reason therefor lies in the lack of complete cooperation and coordination of effort, lack of adequate facilities and principally the absence of a comprehensive plan, designed, and acquiesced in, by all those agencies and authorities having an interest

therein, and carefully thought out in light of existing and future conditions; and

Whereas, it is upon the youth of San Francisco that we must rely for our progress and future welfare; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That cognizant of the pressing need for full and immediate attention to the solution of youth problems, this Board of Supervisors does hereby petition his Honor the Mayor and does respectfully urge that there be created a committee composed of members from official agencies, as well as members from religious, fraternal, educational, and civic organizations, charged with responsibility over youth activities and welfare, or interested in any manner therein, the function and duty of which committee it shall be, as soon as possible, to prepare and arrange for the execution of an intelligent and comprehensive Master Plan for Youth in San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Requesting City Attorney to Prepare Legislation for the Regulation of Riding Saddle Horses and the Rental Thereof.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 5911, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it has come to public attention that recently there have been observed an increasing number of incidents involving abuses of horses, rented for riding purposes from stables located in the City and County of San Francisco, and its environs; and

Whereas, such a situation is intolerable, unnecessary and reflects discredit upon the whole populace of San Francisco, the people of which city are renowned for fair and humane tendencies and for genteel and considerate action in the field of sports; and

Whereas, it is apparent that legislation is immediately required which will prohibit further abuses of this kind and render immune from criticism and curtailment of their privileges those true lovers of sport, among whom no such practices are found to exist; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney, in collaboration with interested City departments and representatives of the Horsemen's Association and the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals be and is hereby requested, as soon as possible, to prepare and present to this Board of Supervisors such legislation for the regulation of riding saddle horses and the rental thereof as will prevent such incidents as are heretofore referred to and will assure humane treatment of horses used for such purposes while preventing injuries to riders as well as other members of the public.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**City and County License and Retail Sales Tax.**

Supervisor . . . . . presented:

Whereas, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that the City and County of San Francisco is in need of additional sources of revenue to finance necessary improvements; and

Whereas, it also appears to this Board that relief should be granted to the ad valorem taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco; and



Whereas, in order that this Board may have the following proposed methods of securing such additional revenue properly before it for its consideration; and

Whereas, it appears to this Board that additional revenue could be secured by providing a City sales (use) tax at the rate of one-half of one per cent on retail sales made in the City and County of San Francisco and by the reinstating of license taxes on business and professions operating in San Francisco at the rate or rates which were in effect in 1933;

Now, therefore, I move that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be instructed and he is hereby instructed to request that the City Attorney draw the necessary legislation on this subject for the consideration of this Board.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

In Memoriam—Frank J. Burke.

Supervisor Mead presented:

Proposal No. 5913, Resolution No. 5778 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Almighty has summoned to eternal rest Frank J. Burke, who for the past thirteen years was Secretary of the Industrial Accident Commission of the State of California; and

Whereas, Frank J. Burke, in addition to most capably and efficiently discharging the exacting duties of his important State position, was a long-time and distinguished member of the California State Bar, as well as past President of San Francisco Chapter Number Three of the California State Employees' Association; and

Whereas, the countless friends of Frank J. Burke in the City and County of San Francisco join his grieving family and his host of admirers throughout the State in sadly mourning the loss of one whose unflinching courtesy, constant readiness to help those less fortunate, and kindly, gentle demeanor enshrined him in the hearts of those who were fortunate enough to know him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns its meeting this day, it does so out of respect to the beloved memory of the late Frank J. Burke; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to forward to Mrs. Anne S. Burke, the bereaved widow of the late Frank J. Burke, a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution as an expression of the deep sympathy and heartfelt condolence felt by the Board at his passing.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

White Lines in Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Sullivan remarked, I would like to call the attention of the Board to the need for white lines in the Park. At night time you cannot see where you are going.

*Clerk to notify the Park Commission.*

#### COMMITTEE MEETINGS.

The following Committee meetings were announced:

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, Wednesday, July 31, 3 p. m.

Finance Committee, Wednesday, July 31, 3:30 p. m.

Finance Committee, Wednesday, July 31, 3:30 p. m., to consider ways and means of securing new revenues.

Public Health and Welfare Committee, Thursday, August 1, 2 p. m.

Streets Committee, Wednesday, August 7, 3 p. m.

Public Utilities Committee, Thursday, August 8, 3:30 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors, September 10, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, August 5, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 5, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 5, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor MacPhee excused from attendance.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of June 10 and 13, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as follows:

Presented by Supervisor Christopher: From Clara J. Mitchell, requesting raise in pay of election booth workers.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Presented by Supervisor Lewis: Resolution from the Lafayette Club, concerning the proposed second Bay crossing.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Joint Army-Navy Board, announcing public hearing on additional Bay crossing, to be held August 12, 1946, 10:00 a. m., Polk Hall.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Alta California, Inc., inviting attendance at meeting on August 7th at Hotel Senator, Sacramento.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Presented by Supervisor Sullivan: From W. T. Ellis, Treasurer, Alta California, Inc., concerning its membership dues.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From American Shore and Beach Preservation Association, suggesting that a wire be sent President Truman urging approval of H. R. 2033 providing for federal assistance in construction of protective structures.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Congressman Havenner, transmitting information in connection with City's request that War Assets Administration grant preference to Public Utilities Commission for acquisition of certain land.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, concerning proposal to prevail upon Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines to base its West Coast operations in San Francisco Bay Area.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From California Senate Interim Committee on State and Local Taxation, concerning proposed public hearings to be conducted by it.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From County Supervisors Association, transmitting resolution urging permitting of gold exports.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Associated Home Builders of San Francisco, requesting reference to committee of proposed ordinance changing filing fees for zoning changes.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Civil Service Commission, requesting postponement of Proposal 55887, directing a salary standardization survey to be held.

*Consideration continued for two weeks.*

From Public Welfare Department, concerning case of Mrs. Maria Montaldo, Case No. 322-325, recipient of Old Age Assistance.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Public Welfare Department, concerning case of Arthur and Jennie Griffiths, recipients of Old Age Assistance.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Public Welfare Department, concerning procedure for granting of emergency relief to dependent non-residents.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Chief Administrative Officer, resubmitting appropriation ordinance providing funds for purchase of permanent site for Farmers' Market.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department, by Adding 1 Chauffeur at (h \$322 Per Month (Funds Provided for 4 Months Only).

Bill No. 4191, Ordinance No. 3955 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16.1 Recreation Department (Continued) by adding item 19.1 \*\*1 01 Chauffeur at (h 322.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

### Section 16.1. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
15	4	C104	Janitor .....	\$155-195
16	1	I 154	Laundress .....	125-160
17	8	J4	Laborer .....	(h 198.50
18	1	J12	Labor Foreman .....	(h 222



Section 16.1. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19	2	O1	Chauffeur	*(h 215 to 322)
19.1	*1	O1	<b>Chauffeur</b>	(h 322)
21	2	O1	Chauffeur, Power Mower Tractors	(h 245.50)
22	4	O54b	Foreman, Building and Grounds..	210-260
23	60	O58	Gardener	150-200
24	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds	275-345
25	1	O80	Nurseryman	190-240

\*At rates set by Salary Standardization Ordinance for type of equipment operated.

\*\*Funds provided for 4 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Recreation Department, by Adding 1 Bookkeeper at \$210-260 Per Month (Funds Provided for 6 Months Only).

Bill No. 4192, Ordinance No. 3956 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16, Recreation Department, by adding item 4.1 \*1 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 16 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3	A154	Carpenter	\$ 14.00 day
2	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter	15.00 day
3	3	A354	Painter	14.00 day
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper	210-260
4.1	*1	B4	<b>Bookkeeper</b>	210-260
5	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	260-315
6	1	B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department	385-460
7	1	B210	Office Assistant	140-175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	185-230
9	2	B228	Senior Clerk	230-290
10	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185-230
11	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185-230
12	1	F102c	Draftsman (Civil)	260-320
13	1	F104c	Senior Draftsman (Civil, Recreation)	320-375

\*Funds provided for 6 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, By Changing Salary Schedules for 7 Chauffeurs from \*(i \$235 to \$352 Per Month, to \$9.20 to \$13.80 Per Day.

Bill No. 4194, Ordinance No. 3957 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71.2, Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau (Continued) by amending the salary schedule for item 19 from 7 O1 Chauffeur at \*(i 235 to 352 to 7 O1 Chauffeur at \*9.20 to 13.80 day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71.2, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 71.2. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER AND UTILITIES ENGINEERING BUREAU (Cont'd)**

INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
12	7	J4	Laborer	\$ 8.50 day
13	3	J10	Labor Sub-Foreman	9.00 day
14	1	J12	Labor Foreman, Utilities	(i 242.50
14.1	1	J66	Garageman	(i 229.50
15	3	M54	Auto Machinist	(h 303.50
17	1	M108	Blacksmith	(i 306
19	7	O1	<b>Chauffeur</b>	*9.20 to 13.80 day
19.1	1	O58	Gardener	150-200
20	1	O152	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines	13.20 day
21	12	U206	Water Department Worker	8.50 day
23	1	U227	General Maintenance Foreman	255-320
23.1	1	U230	Maintenance Foreman	210-260
24			Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchaser's contracts. Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification for private employment of public contracts.	

\*At rate set by Salary Standardization Ordinance for type of equipment operated.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Board of Education, by Deleting 2 Painters at \$14 Per Day, and Adding 1 Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230, 1 Key Punch Operator at \$160-200, 43 General Clerk-Stenographers (Part Time) as 185-230, and 2 Telephone Operators at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4195, Ordinance No. 3958 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by reducing the number of employments under item 4 from 5 to 3 A354 Painter at \$14.00 day; by increasing the number of employments under item 13 from 6 to 7 B308a Calculating Machine Operator (key-drive) at \$185-230; by adding item 13.1 1 B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) at \$160-200; by increasing the number of employments under item 20 from 42 to 85 B408 General Clerk-Stenographers (part time) at rate of \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 23 from 3 to 5 B454 Telephone Operator at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 83.1. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings . . . . .	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
4	3	A354	<b>Painter</b> . . . . .	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant . . . . .	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
13	7	B308a	<b>Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)</b> . . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical)</b> . . . . .	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. . . . .	185-230
19	136	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
20	85	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of</b> . . . . .	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
23	5	B454	<b>Telephone Operator</b> . . . . .	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
26	140	C102	Janitress . . . . .	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of . . . . .	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor . . . . . (k	186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of . . . . .	155-195
32	23	C107	Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors . . . . .	255-320

Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors ..	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of..	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of.....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k) 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of... 460	
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
48	18	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

- 55 Trucks (as needed) at rates estab-  
lished by Purchaser's contract.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission,  
by Changing Compensation of 2 Painters from (i \$357 Per Month  
to \$14 Per Day.

Bill No. 4196, Ordinance No. 3959 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939),  
Section 71, Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply,  
Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau, by amending the salary  
schedule for item 4 from 2 A354 Painter at (i 357 to 2 A354 Painter  
at \$14.00 day.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-  
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71,  
is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 71. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH  
HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER AND  
UTILITIES ENGINEERING BUREAU**

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or  
interdepartmental services. The employments are not established as

continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	A106	Building Inspector	\$280-340
2	3	A154	Carpenter	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter	(g 318
4	2	A354	Painter	14.00 day
4.1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	210-260
9	1	B10	Accountant	315-375
13	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185-230
13.1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	230-290
15	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185-230
15.1	1	C152	Watchman	150-190
15.2	1	E107	Power House Electrician	15.00 day
16	7	E150	Lineman's Helper	10.80 day
19	13	E154	Lineman	15.00 day
20	3	E160	Foreman Lineman	16.00 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Appropriating \$80,000, Public Utilities Commission, for Additions and Betterments at S. F. Airport.

Bill No. 4199, Ordinance No. 3960 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$80,000 from the unappropriated balance of 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the purpose of providing funds for expenditures in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$80,000 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance of the 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.000.00, to provide funds for expenditures in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Section 2. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Appropriating \$2,415, Tax Collector, for New Position of 1 General Clerk-Stenographer; Deleting 1 General Clerk; Both at \$185-230 Per Month.

Bill No. 4208, Ordinance No. 3966 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,415 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the com-

penensation of one B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month in the Tax Collector's office, which position is created; abolishing the position of one B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,415 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 628.110.01, to provide funds for the compensation of one B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month in the Tax Collector's office.

Section 2. The position of one B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month is hereby created in the Tax Collector's office; the position of one B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

Section 3. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of the Department of Finance and Records.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Tax Collector, by Deleting 1 General Clerk and Adding 1 General Clerk-Stenographer, Both at \$185-230 Per month.

Bill No. 4189, Ordinance No. 3953 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 31, Department of Finance and Records—Tax Collector, by decreasing the number of employments under item 6 from 22 to 21 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 2 to 3 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 31 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 31. DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
TAX COLLECTOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses . . . .	\$360-430
2	1	B93	Tax Collector . . . . .	(a) 666.66
3	3	B102	Teller . . . . .	230-290
4	1	B105	Cashier B . . . . .	315-375
5	1	B108	Cashier A . . . . .	385-460
6	21	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> . . . . .	185-230
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290

Section 31. DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—  
TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
8	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
9	3	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .</b>	<b>185-230</b>
10	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
11	1	G153	Adjuster, Tax Collector's Office . . . . .	230-290
12	1	G154	Senior Inspector of Licenses . . . . .	300-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Appropriating \$2,415, Department of Public Health, for New Position of 1 Nutritionist at \$230-260 Per Month; Deleting 1 Dietitian at \$185-230 Per Month.

Bill No. 4209, Ordinance No. 3967 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,415 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260 per month in the Bureau of School Inspection-Medical, Department of Public Health, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 L202 Dietitian at \$185-230 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,415 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.110.08, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260 per month in the Bureau of School Inspection-Medical, Department of Public Health.

Section 2. The position of 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260 per month is hereby created in the Department of Public Health, Bureau of School Inspection-Medical; the position of 1 L202 Dietitian at \$185-230 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

Section 3. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health,  
by Deleting 1 Dietitian at \$185-230 Per Month and Adding 1  
Nutritionist at \$230-260 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4190, Ordinance No. 3954 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55, Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued) School Inspection—Medical, by deleting present item 53.2 1 L202 Dietitian at \$185-230; and by adding new item 53.2 1 L208 Nutritionist at \$230-260.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55. is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
53.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$185-230
53.2	1	L208	<b>Nutritionist</b> . . . . .	230-260
54	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) as rate of	275-345
55	2	L364	Physician Specialist . . . . .	520
56	12	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	520
56.1	1	L368	Director, Bureau of Child Hygiene	550-660
57	2	L602	Audiometer Technician . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Appropriating \$157,500, Municipal Railway, for Purchase of 10  
Motor Coaches.**

Bill No. 4210, Ordinance No. 3968 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$157,500 from surplus existing in funds of the Municipal Railway to provide funds for the purchase of ten Motor Coaches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$85,000 is hereby appropriated from surplus existing in Appropriation No. 65.990.00, Surplus, Municipal Railway, and the sum of \$72,500 from surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds, Municipal Railway, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.400.00, Equipment, Municipal Railway, to provide funds for the purchase of ten (10) Motor Coaches for the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.



## Re-referred to Committee.

Providing Change of Filing Fee for Zoning or Building Setback  
Line Changes From \$10 to \$30.

Bill No. 4211, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 43, Article 2, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by providing a \$30 fee for the filing of a petition for rezoning or for establishment or change of building setback lines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43, Article 2, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 43. **Fees.** Upon filing said petition for rezoning or for the establishment or change of building set-back lines the petitioner shall pay a fee of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars for every block as delineated on the Assessor's Block Book where in the property is sought to be rezoned or wherein the establishment or change of building set-back lines is requested.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, the foregoing bill was *re-referred to Finance Committee.*

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Accepting Roadway of Twenty-eighth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets, Including Intersection of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Pacheco Street, and Crossing of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Quintara Street, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4200, Ordinance No. 3961 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Twenty-eighth Avenue between Pacheco Street and Quintara Street including the intersection of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Pacheco Street and the crossing of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Twenty-eighth Avenue between Pacheco Street and Quintara Street, including the intersection of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Pacheco Street and the crossing of Twenty-eighth Avenue and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-third Avenue Between Ortega and Pacheco Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4201, Ordinance No. 3962 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-third Avenue between Ortega Street and Pacheco Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-third Avenue between Ortega Street and Pacheco Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-second Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4202, Ordinance No. 3963 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-second Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-second Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Changing and Establishing Grades of Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, Between Vicente and Wawona Streets; Wawona Street Between Westerly Line of Thirty-Ninth Avenue and Easterly Line of Forty-fourth Avenue; Fortieth Avenue Between Vicente and Yorba Streets.**

Bill No. 4203, Ordinance No. 3964 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades on Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets; Wawona Street between the westerly line of

Thirty-ninth Avenue and the easterly line of Forty-fourth Avenue; Fortieth Avenue between Vicente Street and Yorba Street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 1st day of April, 1946, by Resolution No. 5373 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets; Wawona Street between the westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue and the easterly line of Forty-fourth Avenue and on Fortieth Avenue between Vicente Street and Yorba Street; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and re-established as follows:

<i>Thirty-ninth Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	66.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	65.49
(The same being the present official grade)	
Easterly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . .	87.56
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . .	87.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
<i>Fortieth Avenue</i>	
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	63.68
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	63.15
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	83.93
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	86.83
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	87.41
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	83.87
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	86.80
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	87.36
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
Easterly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . .	84.60
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . .	84.00
290 feet southerly from Wawona Street . . . . .	72.00
Yorba Street . . . . .	62.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
<i>Forty-first Avenue</i>	
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	61.34
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . .	60.81
(The same being the present official grade)	
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . .	73.12

<i>Forty-first Avenue (Continued)</i>	<i>Feet</i>
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.63
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.42
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	73.07
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.62
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	74.42
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
100 feet northerly from Wawona Street.....	71.16
Easterly line of, at Wawona Street.....	70.00
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street.....	68.00

<i>Forty-second Avenue</i>	<i>Feet</i>
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street.....	59.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street.....	57.11
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	59.53
250 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	61.76
300 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	62.14
350 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	61.82
Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.	
Wawona Street .....	58.40

<i>Forty-third Avenue</i>	
Easterly line of, at Vicente Street.....	50.66
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of, at Vicente Street .....	48.78
(The same being the present official grade)	
100 feet southerly from Vicente Street.....	50.76
Wawona Street .....	56.00

<i>Wawona Street</i>	
Thirty-ninth Avenue, westerly line .....	87.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
Fortieth Avenue, easterly line .....	84.60
Fortieth Avenue, westerly line .....	84.00
Forty-first Avenue, easterly line .....	70.00
Forty-first Avenue, westerly line .....	68.00
Forty-second Avenue, westerly line .....	58.40
Forty-third Avenue, westerly line .....	56.00
Forty-fourth Avenue, easterly line .....	44.71
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Thirty-ninth, Forty-first, Forty-second, and Forty-third Avenues, between Vicente and Wawona Streets; on Fortieth Avenue, between Vicente and Yorba Streets; and on Wawona Street, between the westerly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue and the easterly line of Forty-fourth Avenue be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Twenty-seventh Street Between a Line 130 Feet Westerly From Castro Street and Diamond Street.**

Bill No. 4204, Ordinance No. 3965 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Twenty-seventh Street between a line 130 feet westerly from Castro Street and Diamond Street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 6th day of May, 1946, by Resolution No. 5469 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Twenty-seventh Street between a line 130 feet westerly from Castro Street and Diamond Street; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevation above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

<i>Twenty-seventh Street</i>	<i>feet</i>
130 feet westerly from Castro Street	322.16
(The same being the present official grade)	
170 feet westerly from Castro Street	323.85
210 feet westerly from Castro Street	328.14
Vertical Curve passing through the last three described points.	
Diamond Street easterly line	377.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Twenty-seventh Street between a line 130 feet westerly from Castro Street and Diamond Street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Consideration Continued.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

**Directing Civil Service Commission to Conduct Salary Standardization Survey.**

Proposal No. 5887, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission be and it is hereby authorized and directed to conduct a salary standardization survey in order that any existing inequalities in salaries of City employees may be corrected in the 1947-1948 budget.

*July 22, 1946—Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*July 29, 1946—Consideration continued until August 5, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Colman, in compliance with request by the Civil Service Commission, con-

sideration of the foregoing Proposal was continued until August 19, 1946.

Adopted.

Conveyance of Land by the Board of Education to the City and County of San Francisco.

Proposal No. 5904, Resolution No. 5721 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco adopted resolution B-492 on the 2d day of November, 1935:

*"Resoution B-492—Temporary Transfer Public Utilities Commission*

Whereas, the State of California did, by that certain act of the Legislature of said State, known as Chapter 912 of the 1933 Statutes of California, grant certain tidelands and submerged lands in the vicinity of Yerba Buena Island in San Francisco Bay to the City and County of San Francisco for airport purposes subject to the conditions mentioned in said act, and

Whereas, by Resolution No. 965, Code No. 12.17154, adopted by the Board of Supervisors in said City and County August 21, 1933, and approved by the Mayor of said City and County August 22, 1933, the said City and County accepted from said State said lands for airport purposes subject to said conditions, and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission of said City and County desires to reclaim, fill and improve said lands for airport purposes as authorized and provided in said act, and desires to construct for use and to use temporarily in connection with said works of reclamation and improvement an administration building on a certain parcel of land owned by said City and County situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, particularly described as follows, to wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bush Street with the easterly line of Stockton Street, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Bush Street 137.5 feet; thence at right angles southerly 137.5 feet; thence at right angles westerly 137.5 feet to the easterly line of Stockton Street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Stockton Street 137.5 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of 50 Vara Block No. 118.

and

Whereas, said parcel of land is land now in charge of the school district of said City and County for whose administration the Board of Education of said City and County is responsible, and

Whereas, said parcel of land is not now required for the purposes of said school district,

Resolved: That the Board of Education of the City and County of San Francisco hereby consents to the improvement of said parcel of land by said Public Utilities Commission for the purposes aforesaid, and to the use and occupation by said commission of said parcel of land and the improvements which may be erected and constructed thereon, for the period of five years from and after the second day of November, 1935, provided and on condition that said Public Utilities Commission shall without cost to said school district erect and construct on said parcel of land within nine months from said second day of November, 1935, a building the cost of which shall be not less than \$50,000; and

Provided Further: At the expiration of the term hereof, all improvements made and erected upon the above-described property by the Public Utilities Commission shall remain the property of the

Department of Education of the City and County of San Francisco and the said Public Utilities Commission shall, upon the expiration of the term hereof, quit and surrender possession of the above-described property, and all improvements thereon"; and

Whereas, on the 26th day of November, 1935, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco accepted said resolution by passing and approving Resolution No. 2273, and

Whereas, the Board of Education amended said Resolution B-492 on July 2, 1946, by providing for the disposition and distribution of the property mentioned in said Resolution B-492 which amendment provided as follows:

That said property is to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Unified School District upon payment by said City and County of San Francisco to said San Francisco Unified School District of the sum of \$300,000 (Three Hundred Thousand Dollars).

now, therefore, be it

Resolved: That said surplus property of the joint venture is to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Unified School District upon payment by said City and County of San Francisco to said San Francisco Unified School District of the sum of \$300,000 (Three Hundred Thousand Dollars), from the funds heretofore provided in Appropriation No. 656.510.00.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Land for the Irvington Pump Station, Also an Easement for a Road and Other Purposes.**

Proposal No. 5905, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of that certain 0.803 acre tract conveyed by Antonio F. Coria and Lena Coria to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated October 25, 1924, and recorded November 5, 1924, at page 187, Book 844 of Official Records, Alameda County, with the westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way; thence along said westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way north 3° 18' west 250.00 feet; thence leaving said westerly boundary south 72° 31' west 250.00 feet; thence south 3° 18' east 250.00 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of the above mentioned 0.803 acre tract; thence along said northerly boundary north 72° 31' east 250.00 feet to the point of commencement.

Containing 1.391 acres, more or less, and being a portion of that 66.64 acre tract conveyed by Antonio F. Coria to Joseph F. Garcia and Angelina Garcia, his wife, by deed dated May

7, 1945, and recorded May 11, 1945, at page 445, Book 4686 of Official Records, Alameda County.

Parcel 2. A right of way easement to construct, reconstruct, repair, maintain and use a road, with the necessary cuts and fills; also the right to construct, reconstruct, operate, repair, maintain, renew, replace, remove, and/or change the size and/or number of conductors on, a pole line for telephone and for transmission of electric power, over, along, and/or across a strip of land 25 feet in width, being 10 feet measured at right angles easterly, and 15 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and extensions thereof:

Commencing at a point in the center line of that certain road known as the Irvington-Mission San Jose Road in Alameda County, California, said point being distant westerly along said center line 116 feet from the intersection of said center line with the center line of the existing tract of the Western Pacific Railroad; running thence north  $2^{\circ} 59'$  east 508.88 feet to a point distant 10 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railway Company; thence northerly along a line parallel to, and 10 feet measured at right angles westerly from the westerly line of the Western Pacific Railroad Company the following courses and distances: north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 159.11 feet to a point in the northerly boundary line of First Street as delineated on that certain map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," recorded August 22, 1907, at page 26, Map Book Number 23; said point being distant along said boundary north  $54^{\circ}$  west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said northerly boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west, a distance of 748.59 feet to a point in the center line of Third Street, said point being distant along said center line north  $54^{\circ}$  west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said center line with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 699.80 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of Fifth Street as delineated on said map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," said point being distant along said northerly boundary north  $54^{\circ}$  west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 6.06 feet; north  $41^{\circ} 42'$  east 14.14 feet and north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 797.32 feet to a point in the common boundary between Plat C as delineated on said map of the "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," and that certain tract containing 66.64 acres conveyed by Antonio Falso Coriea, also known as A. F. Corriea, to Joseph F. Garcia, by deed dated May 7, 1945, and recorded May 11, 1945, at page 445, Book 4686 of Official Records, Alameda County; said point being distant along said common boundary south  $45^{\circ} 45'$  west 13.24 feet from the intersection of said common boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 12.82 feet; north  $41^{\circ} 42'$  east 7.07 feet and north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 241.28 feet; thence leaving said parallel line north  $7^{\circ} 07' 30''$  west 98.48 feet to a point in the southerly boundary of that certain tract continuing 0.803 acres conveyed by Antonio F. Coria and Lena Coria, his wife, to the City and County of San Francisco, by deed dated October 25, 1924, and



recorded November 5, 1924, at page 187, Book 844 of Official Records, Alameda County; said point being distant along said boundary 17.30 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company.

The above described Parcel 1 is required by said City and County of San Francisco for a public use and purpose, to wit: For the construction, maintenance and use of a pump station in connection with the conveyance of water through its Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants. Parcel 2 being required in connection with the construction and operation of said pump station. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to Parcel 1; and that a right of way easement to Parcel 2 be taken for the aforesaid purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The cost of said property shall be paid from Appropriation No. 90.600.66 in an amount not to exceed \$2,500, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

#### Land Purchase—McLaren Park.

Proposal No. 5906, Resolution No. 5722 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Argentina G. Brambilla (a widow), or the legal owner, to Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 6027, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

#### Renewal of Lease—1440 Broadway Storage Space for Voting Machines.

Proposal No. 5914, Resolution No. 5723 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on October 13, 1943, this board adopted Resolution No. 3649 (Series of 1939), authorizing execution of a lease between

Bothin Real Estate Company, a corporation, as Lessor, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessee, covering the building known as and numbered 1440 Broadway, San Francisco, California, for a period of three years beginning November 1, 1943, at a rental of \$450 per month; and

Whereas, said lease provides that the lessee may renew the same for an additional period of three years at the same rental and otherwise upon the same terms and conditions as specified in said lease; and

Whereas, the Chief Administrative Officer desires that said lease be renewed for a three-year period beginning November 1, 1946; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said lease be and it is hereby renewed for an additional period of three years beginning November 1, 1946, at a rental of \$450 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter; be it

Further Resolved, That the Director of Property be and he is hereby authorized and directed to notify the lessor of said renewal of the above mentioned lease.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Authorizing Revocable Permits to Superior Oil Company to Construct and Maintain Pipe Lines and Electric Transmission Lines Over Certain City Owned Land in Kern County, California.**

Proposal No. 5915, Resolution No. 5724 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Library Commission and the Park Commission that the Director of Property be and is hereby authorized and directed to execute a revocable permit or permits to the Superior Oil Company, a corporation, giving said company the right to locate, construct, maintain, operate, renew, repair, alter, change the size of, and remove two pipe lines, neither of which shall exceed 5 inches in diameter, for the transportation of oil, gas, water and other substances, and to construct, maintain, operate and remove an electrical transmission line over and across the following described City owned real property situated in the County of Kern, State of California:

The east  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the west  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the northwest  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 22, Township 29 S., R. 21 E., M. D. B. & M.

Such permit or permits shall be subject to approval as to form by the City Attorney and shall be revocable at any time upon 30 days written notice to the Licensor.

The License shall not become effective until Oceanic Oil Company, a corporation, and Harry H. Magee, as lessees of said land join in and consent to the same.

Recommended by the Library Commission.

Recommended by the Park Commission.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Nils and Matilda Amundsen.**

Proposal No. 5917, Resolution No. 5725 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Nils Amundsen and Matilda Amundsen, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said city and county on real property belonging to said Nils Amundsen and Matilda Amundsen; and

Whereas, said Nils Amundsen and Matilda Amundsen, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5918, Resolution No. 5726 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, The Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated August 5, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of August and September, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, MacPhee, Mead—3.

**Re-referred to Finance Committee.**

**Determination of Liability of Responsible Relative of Recipients of Old Age Security Aid.**

Proposal No. 5919, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the responsible relatives of the recipients of Old Age Security Aid, listed in the report of the Public Welfare Department to the Board of Supervisors, dated July 30, 1946, are able to contribute each month to the said recipients of Old Age Security Aid the amounts stated in said report; that said determination is made upon the basis of the Relatives' Contribution Scale set forth in Division III, Chapter I, of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California; and the City Attorney is hereby requested and authorized to commence legal proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Sec-

tion 2224 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, against the responsible relatives who refuse to assume the obligation of making the monthly contribution to the recipients of Old Age Security Aid in accordance with the determination of their liability as made on this date by the Board of Supervisors.

*Re-referred by the President to Finance Committee.*

Adopted.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 5920, Resolution No. 5727 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Aid to Needy Blind, Aid to Needy Children and Old Age Security Aid, including aid denials, new applications, increases and suspensions, effective February 1, July 1, and August 1, 1946, are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating the Sum of \$398,318 From the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the Sum of \$1,101,682 From the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Fund of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to Provide Funds for Additions and Betterments, Replacements and Reconstruction, and Purchase Payment to the Market Street Railway During the Current Fiscal Year.

Bill No. 4222, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$398,318 from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the sum of \$1,101,682 from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Fund of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for additions and betterments, replacements and reconstruction, and purchase payment to the Market Street Railway during the current fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$398,318 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the sum of \$1,101,682 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Municipal Railway to provide funds for additions and betterments, replacements and reconstruction, and purchase payment to the Market Street Railway during the current fiscal year:

*Appropriation No.*

665.500.00	Additions and Betterments . . . . .	\$371,893
665.925.00	Replacements and Reconstruction . . . . .	26,425
665.925.50	Replacements and Reconstruction, Market Street Extension . . . . .	750,000
665.551.50	Purchase Payment and Interest . . . . .	351,682

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission, Resolution No. 7561.

Unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

July 29, 1946—*Consideration continued until August 5, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, explained in detail the need for the foregoing appropriations.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he was not satisfied with the explanation. He felt, too, that the expenditure of funds for replacement of the inner car tracks on Market Street was not warranted. The tracks should be removed entirely, he believed.

Mr. Turner, in reply, stated that the Public Utilities Commission was following the report of Mr. Leonard V. Newton; that report did not contemplate the removal of all tracks on Market Street. Even were the tracks to be removed, the cost of repair and maintenance, during the next two years or so, would be equal to or more than the amount of the requested appropriation for track replacement.

Thereupon, there being no further discussion, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$4.56, for Purpose of Reimbursing Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund in Same Amount Covering Disbursement Made on Emergency Order for Cash on Which Signatures Were Forged.

Bill No. 4223, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4.56 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 656.840.05 (Indigent Aid) for the purpose of reimbursing the Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund in the same amount covering disbursement made on emergency order for cash on which signatures were forged.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4.56 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 656.840.05—Indigent Aid—to the credit of the Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary because of an unauthorized disbursement made by the Public Welfare Department in the amount of \$4.56 representing an emergency authorization for cash in like amount on which the signature of both the social service worker and recipient were forged and for which the department is unable to determine responsibility.

The Controller is hereby directed to draw his warrant to reimburse the Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund in the amount of \$4.56 from the appropriation herein indicated, and to make the necessary entries on the books of the Public Welfare Department and the Controller to reflect this loss of \$4.56.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by Adding 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner to List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.

Bill No. 4228, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by adding 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.35. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1 Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
San Francisco	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
Airport	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	8
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
	C102 Janitress . . . . .	2	8
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	4	8
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	1	8
	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
Hetch Hetchy	O1 Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
Water Supply,	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	2	4
Utilities	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
Engineering			
Water Supply,	B222 General Clerk . . . . .	1	8
Power Operative	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	2	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1	4
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	1	8
Inter-Intra-	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
departmental	O60 Sub-Foreman Gardener . . . . .	1	8
(as needed)			
Municipal Railway	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	8	4
	B6 Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	2	4
	B10 Accountant . . . . .	3	4
	B102 Teller . . . . .	4	8
	B103 Cashier C . . . . .	1	8
	B210 Office Assistant . . . . .	4	4
	B222 General Clerk . . . . .	15	8
	B222 General Clerk . . . . .	51	4
	B228 Senior Clerk . . . . .	4	4

## Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

Classification		No. Positions	No. Hours
	B228 Senior Clerk (Shops).....	1	8
	B234 Head Clerk .....	4	4
	B239 Statistician .....	1	4
	B308a Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)....	16	4
	B308b Calculating Machine Operator (rotary) .....	2	4
	B309 Key Punch Operator.....	3	4
	B310 Tabulating Machine Operator .....	8	4
	B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine and Key Punch Operator..	2	4
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer..	26	4
	B454 Telephone Operator .....	1	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	18	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
	B516 Senior Clerk-Typist .....	3	4
	C52 Elevator Operator .....	2	8
	C104 Janitor .....	All	4
	C104.1 Car Cleaner .....	All	4
	C105 <b>Working Foreman</b> <b>Car Cleaner</b> .....	1	4
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor... All		4
	C107.1 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	All	4
	C202 Window Cleaner .....	2	4
	Supervisor Traffic Checkers..	1	4
	F654 Traffic Checker .....	5	4
	Superintendent of Traffic ...	1	4
	Sick Leave Investigator.....	1	8
	M72 Bus Dispatcher .....	1	8
	O173 Superintendent of Cable Machinery .....	1	4
	S115 Senior Claims Investigator..	2	4
	S122 Senior Inspector .....	1	8
Water	B247 Meter Reader .....	All	8
	M270 Superintendent of Machine Shop and Equipment ....	1	8
	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
	O52 Farmer .....	1	8
House Service	B454 Telephone Operator .....	2	8
	C52 Elevator Operator .....	1	8
	C104 Janitor .....	1	8
	C104 Janitor .....	4	4
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor ..	1	4
Agricultural Division	O51 Farmer Sub-Foreman .....	1	8
	V30 Assistant Superintendent ...	1	4
Docks and Shipping	B234 Head Clerk .....	1	4
	U125 Hoseman, Ships and Docks .	4	8
General	O172 Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
City Distribution General	O172 Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
Millbrae Station	B228 Senior Clerk .....	1	8
	B354 General Storekeeper .....	1	4
	O60.1 Foreman Gardener .....	1	8
	U228 Meterman Country .....	1	8

## Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
Alameda	B222 General Clerk .....	1	8
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
	O58 Gardener .....	1	8
	U231 Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division .....	1	4
Functional As Needed	U230 Maintenance Foreman .....	3	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 77, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES, FOR CONSTRUCTION OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO, by Converting the Salary Schedule of Item 24 Cook From Monthly Rate of \$210 to Daily Rate of \$10.12.

Bill No. 4229, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 77, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES, FOR CONSTRUCTION OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO, by converting the salary schedule of item 24 Cook from monthly rate of \$210 to daily rate of \$10.12.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 77 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**  
**INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES**  
**FOR CONSTRUCTION OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO**

(Not included in Budget Estimates, submitted for inclusion in Salary Ordinance only to establish classifications as needed. The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
1	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....			\$430
4	Assistant Electrical Engineer .....			430
5	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....			430
6	Auto Machinist .....		\$13.00	
7	Blacksmith .....		12.00	
8	Blacksmith's Helper .....		10.40	
11	Boilermaker .....		10.72	
12	Boilermaker's Helper .....		8.50	
13	Brakeman .....		8.50	
14	Bookkeeper .....			260
15	Construction Superintendent .....		17.50	
16	Construction Foreman .....		16.00	
18	Cable Splicer .....		17.40	
19	Carpenter .....		14.00	



Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
20	Carpenter Foreman		15.00	
21	Cement Finisher		12.00	
22	Cement Gun Operator		9.00	
23	Clerk, General			230
24	Cook		10.12	
25	Cook's Helper			165
26	Compressorman		11.60	
27	Construction Engineer			660
28	Concrete Man		8.50	
29	Concrete Foreman		9.50	
30	Chainman			290
31	Chucktender		10.00	
32	Comptometer Operator			230
33	Draftsman			320
34	Detectorman			250
35	Dishwasher			155
36	Designer			430
37	Driver		9.15	
38	Driver (Tractor)		13.60	
39	Diver, per dive		50.00	
40	Estimator			320
41	Electrician		15.00	
41.1	Junior Engineer			320
42	Engineer (Mechanical)			520
43	Engineer Assistant			430
44	Engineer (Assistant Construction)			430
45	Photostat Operator			250
46	General Clerk-Typist			230
47	Architectural Draftsman			320
48	Architectural Designer			430
49	Architect			500
50	Civil Engineering Designer			430
51	Electrical Engineering Draftsman			320
52	Electrical Engineering Designer			430
53	Electrical Engineering Inspector			375
54	Electrical Engineer			520
55	Hydraulic Engineering Designer			430
56	Mechanical Draftsman			320
57	Mechanical Engineering Designer			430
58	Structural Draftsman			320
59	Structural Engineering Designer			430
60	Structural Engineering Inspector			375
61	Structural Engineer			520
62	Assistant Chief Surveyor			340
63	Chief Surveyor			375
64	Foreman		15.00	
65	Foreman		12.00	
66	Foreman, General		16.00	
67	Field Assistant			290
68	Fire Boss			290
69	Form Man		8.50	
70	Grout Gunman		9.00	
71	Gunite Helper		8.50	
72	Gunite Mixerman		10.00	
73	Gate Tender		8.50	
74	Graderman		8.50	
75	Groundman		9.00	
76	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines		13.20	
77	Hod Carrier		13.60	
78	Hostler		9.00	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
79	Housesmith		14.00	
80	Housesmith Foreman		16.00	
81	Inspector			290
82	Inspector, Engineer			320
83	Inspector, Chief			390
84	Janitress			180
85	Janitor			195
86	Jackhammerman		10.00	
87	Kitchen Helper			155
88	Laborer		8.50	
89	Lineman		13.60	
90	Lampman			175
91	Lineman Helper		9.00	
92	Mechanic, Camp		13.00	
93	Machinist		13.00	
94	Machinist's Helper		8.40	
95	Machineman		11.00	
96	Master Mechanic			500
97	Mixerman		8.50	
98	Motorman		8.80	
99	Motorman (Gas)		9.20	
100	Mucker		8.50	
101	Miner		10.00	
102	Nozzleman		8.50	
103	Nurse			200
104	Nipper		8.50	
105	Pipe-Joint Inspector			290
106	Plasterer		14.00	
107	Painter		14.00	
108	Plumber		13.60	
109	Physician			500
110	Porter			160
111	Powderman		8.50	
112	Power Shovel Operator	\$2.25		
113	Power Shovel Oiler	1.55		
114	Pumpman		8.50	
115	Rigger	2.00		
116	Rescueman		8.50	
117	Safetyman			300
118	Steelworker		12.00	
119	Surveyor			325
120	Sanitary Engineer (as needed)			520
121	Steam Shovel Engineer	2.25		
122	Steam Shovel Fireman	2.25		
123	Steam Shovel Oiler	1.55		
124	Steam Shovel Watchman	1.55		
125	Superintendent			600
126	Steamfitter		13.60	
127	Skiptender		8.50	
128	Sub-Foreman		9.00	
129	Special Agent			230
130	Stenographer			230
131	Storekeeper, General			290
132	Tunnel Superintendent			375
133	Tractor Driver		13.60	
134	Tool Sharpener		12.00	
135	Tool Sharpener's Helper		8.50	
136	Tunnel Superintendent Assistant			300
137	Templatemanager		8.50	
138	Typist			230
139	Timekeeper			230
140	Waiter			155

Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
141	Water Pipe Welder .....		9.00	
142	Welder .....	1.75		
143	Welder Helper .....	1.50		
144	Watchman .....			190
145	Waterboy .....			150
146	Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchaser's contracts.			
147	Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.			

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.1, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued), by Amending Salary Schedule Under Item 18.5 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner From (t 195 to \$195-230. Effective as of July 29, 1946.

Bill No. 4230, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.1, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued), by amending salary schedule under item 18.5 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner from (t 195 to \$195-230. Effective as of July 29, 1946.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.1 is hereby amended as follows:

Section 72.1. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	\$150-190
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) at rate of .....	150-190
18	21	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
18.1	2	C104	Janitor .....	(k 186
18.2	95	C104.1	Car Cleaner .....	155-195
18.3	1	C104.1	Car Cleaner .....	(k 195
18.4	1	C104.1	Car Cleaner (part time) at rate of	155-195
18.5	1	C105	<b>Working Foreman Car Cleaner</b> ....	195-230
19	2	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
19.1	5	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
19.2	1	C152	Watchman .....	(k 186
19.3	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of .	150-190
19.4	2	C202	Window Cleaner .....	195-230

Section 2. This ordinance is hereby made retroactive so as to become effective July 29, 1946.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Appropriating \$3,592.50, Water Department, Provide for Compensation 1 F104b Sr. Draftsman at \$320-375, Which Position Is Established; Abolishing 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430, Same Department.**

Bill No. 4233, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,592.50 from Appropriation No. 666.199.00, Compensation Reserve, Water Department, to credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.04, Engineering, Water Department, to provide for compensation of 1 F104b Senior Draftsman at \$320-375 per month, which position is hereby created. Abolishing the position of 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,592.50 is hereby appropriated from Appropriation No. 666.199.00, Compensation Reserve, Water Department, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.04, Engineering, Water Department, to provide for compensation of 1 F104b Senior Draftsman at \$320-375 per month.

Section 2. The position of 1 F104b Senior Draftsman at \$320-375 per month is hereby created; the position of 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430 per month is hereby abolished.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Water Department, by Adding 1 Senior Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$320-375 Per Month, and Deleting 1 Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4193, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 73.3, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 22 from 1 to 2 F104b Senior Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$320-375; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 23 from 2 to 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 73.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 73.3. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**  
(Continued)

**ENGINEERING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
18	1	B330	Photographer .....	\$230-290
19	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-320
20	1	F100	Junior Draftsman .....	210-260
21	2	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) .....	260-320
22	2	F104b	<b>Senior Draftsman</b> (Civil, Public Utilities) .....	320-375
23	1	F406c	<b>Assistant Engineer (Civil)</b> .....	360-430
24	3	F410d	Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) ..	435-520
25	1	F412b	<b>Senior Engineer</b> (Civil, Public Utilities) .....	530-635

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Appropriating \$200,000, Provide Funds for the Widening and Reconstruction of Guerrero Street, Between Fourteenth and Army Streets.**

Bill No. 4234, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$200,000 from the surplus existing in the Special Road Improvement Fund to provide funds for the widening and reconstruction of Guerrero Street, between Fourteenth and Army Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Special Road Improvement Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.966.00, to provide funds for the widening and reconstruction of Guerrero Street, between Fourteenth and Army Streets.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Appropriating \$150,000 From Bond Interest and Redemption Appropriation, to Credit of 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Fund, and Directing Cancellation of \$150,000 of Said Bonds.**

Bill No. 4235, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$150,000 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 626.800.01, Bond Interest and Redemption, 1944

Juvenile Home and Court Bonds, to the credit of the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Fund and authorizing and directing the cancellation of \$150,000 of said bonds.

Whereas, at the special election held November 7, 1944, bonds in the amount of \$1,250,000 were authorized for the construction of buildings for uses of a Juvenile Detention Home, with meeting, court rooms and offices and necessary lands therefor; and

Whereas, construction of said authorized improvement has been delayed because of court action relative to the validity of engineering contracts and difficulty of procuring necessary construction materials and such delay has extended to a time when sale of bonds in the amount as anticipated to fall due during the fiscal year 1946-1947 would cause the City to incur needless bond sale costs and interest; and

Whereas, the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 provided for bond interest and redemption in the sum of \$150,000 in anticipation of sale of said bonds within the fiscal year aforesaid; and

Whereas, it is essential that the project for which the bonds aforesaid were voted be prosecuted to completion in the full amount as authorized, namely, \$1,250,000; and

Whereas, it is not now economical to sell the lot of bonds in the amount as anticipated to fall due during 1946-1947, and in such circumstance there exists a surplus in Appropriation No. 626.800.01, Bond Interest and Redemption, 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds, by virtue of such nonsale; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$150,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 626.800.01, Bond Interest and Redemption, 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds, to the credit of the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Fund, in order to provide that sufficient funds shall be available, either through cash on hand or bonds still remaining to be sold, to enable the completion of the project for which the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds were voted.

Section 2. The Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to cancel bonds of the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Issue in the amount of \$150,000.

Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

**Memorializing State Legislature to Enact Legislation to Provide Increased Payments to Recipients of Old Age Pensions.**

Proposal No. 5785, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, economic conditions throughout the country have particularly distressed recipients of old-age security benefits by virtue of the rising costs of living, and

Whereas, the minimum costs of personal sustenance continue on the incline, thus rendering the status of old-age security payments inadequate and not conducive to the accepted minimum standards of living, especially affecting the 10,000 such cases in San Francisco, and

Whereas, the McFarland Amendment recently passed in Congress, provides for a federal increase in such old-age pension benefits in the amount of \$5 per month, but specifically exempts compulsory action on the part of the respective states to match said increase as has heretofore been the generally accepted practice; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the consensus of this Board of Supervisors that the California State Legislature immediately enact legislation conforming to the principles of the said McFarland amendment and match the increased federal payments with sufficient state payments so as to assure the recipients of old-age pensions, a sum commensurate with the increased costs of living; and be it further

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Legislature to enact legislation as requested hereinabove and that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature and to Donald W. Cleary, Legislative Representative for the City and County of San Francisco.

*July 22, 1946—Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*July 29, 1946—Consideration continued until August 5, 1946.*

After explanation by Supervisors Lewis and Mancuso, the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Public Health and Welfare Committee were taken up:

**Amending Section 783, Article 13, Chapter V, Part II, Municipal Code, Providing That Retail Sale of Certain Poultry Shall Be Exempt From the Permit and License Provisions of This Article.**

Bill No. 3986, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 783, Article 13, Chapter V, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, providing for the display and sale of certain poultry bearing the seal or stamp of approval of an inspection service approved by the State of California and the Department, by providing that the retail sale of such poultry shall be exempt from the permit and license provisions of this article.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 783, Article 13, Chapter V, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 783. Certain Poultry May Be Displayed, Etc.—Conditions.** Dressed or eviscerated poultry, or poultry contained in sealed cans, tins, pots, glass or wrappers of paper, wood or similar material, bearing the seal or stamp of approval of an inspection service approved by the State of California and the Department, may be displayed, sold, delivered or offered for sale or delivery. There shall be affixed to the outside of each such container or package a label bearing the true name and quantity of the product contained therein. The sale, at retail, of such poultry shall be exempt from the permit and license provisions set forth in this Article.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

Amending Sections 493 and 499 of Article 9, Chapter V, Part II, Municipal Code, and Adding Section 499.1 Thereto to Provide for the Installation and Operation of Farm Milk Tanks and Tank Pickup Systems.

Bill No. 4221, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Sections 493 and 499 of Article 9, Chapter V, Part II, Municipal Code and adding Section 499.1 thereto to provide for the installation and operation of farm milk tanks and tank pickup systems.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

---

NOTE: Amendments are indicated by *light face italics*. Cancellations are set out in **bold face** and bracketed [ ].

---

Section 1. Sec. 493 of Article 9, Chapter V, Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 493. **Milk to be Tightly Covered.** It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream or any other milk food product in any tank or container, holding more than three (3) gallons, *except a tank truck*, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

Section 2. Sec. 499 of Article 9, Chapter V, Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 499. *The installation and operation of farm tanks and tank truck pickup systems for the holding of bulk milk on dairy farms and its transportation from farms to San Francisco are hereby authorized, subject to regulations of the Director of Public Health.*

Section 3. Sec. 499.1 is hereby added to Article 9, Chapter V, Municipal Code to read as follows:

Sec. 499.1. **Regulations of Director.** The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make [such] rules and regulations not in conflict with this Article or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this Article.

Regulation 1. **Erection, Alteration and Maintaining of Insanitary Milk Plant or Dairy Farm Structures Prohibited.** No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

Regulation 2. **Plans and Specifications for Pasteurizing Plants, Skimming and Cooling Stations and Dairy Products Plants.** When required, plans and specifications in duplicate of the pasteurizing plants, skimming and cooling stations and dairy products plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Director of Health.

Regulation 3. **Plans and Specifications for Dairy Farm Structures.** Plans and Specifications for dairy farm structures shall be as provided in the Agricultural Code and as fixed by regulation of the Director of Agriculture of the State of California.



Regulation 4. [**Wash Sink.**] *Hand Wash Basin.* A stationary hand wash basin connected with the water supply piping shall be provided convenient to the line of travel between the barn and pouring platform. Said basin to be equipped with individual soap and towels.

Regulation 5. **Water Supply.** Dairy farms, pasteurizing plants, skimming and cooling stations or milk products plants shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

Regulation 6. **Examination of Water.** A sample of the water shall be furnished the Director of Public Health at any time requested.

Regulation 7. **Toilets.** Each dairy shall be provided with adequate toilet facilities. Each toilet shall be of a sanitary type, properly fly-proofed and accessible.

Regulation 8. **Cleansing and Washing of Utensils, Etc.** (a) **Washing.** On dairy farms and in pasteurizing plants, skimming and cooling stations and dairy product plants, all cans, bottles and utensils shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye, sodium carbonate (sal soda) or some substitute containing a mixture of these or some other suitable cleansing compound, with or without soap.

(b) **Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing.** After being washed [off], all cans, bottles and other milk utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow or outflow of clean, fresh water. [The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water.] After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milk[ing] utensils, *except rubber articles*, shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination. *Rubber parts of mechanical milkers and other rubber articles shall be sterilized by being completely submerged in clean hot water at one hundred Eighty five (185°) degrees Fahrenheit for twenty (20) minutes. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, farm storage tanks and tank trucks may be sterilized by means of chemical agents.*

(c) **Wash Trays.** Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

(d) **Cleaning of Brushes.** All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be sterilized daily and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

(e) **Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors.** Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 9. **Care of Herd.** (a) **Hair Clipping.** All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

(b) **Sick Animals.** Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of such shall be discarded.

Regulation 10. **Men and Animals Must be Clean.** No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animals shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

**Regulation 11. Feeding and Watering the Herd.** (a) **Wholesome Feed.** The feed provided for dairy herds must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

(b) **Manner and Time of Feeding.** Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

(c) **Selection of Ration.** The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

(d) **Dry and Dusty Feed.** No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one (1) hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

(e) **Feed to be Separated from Herd.** All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from animals.

(f) **Drinking Water.** Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

**Regulation 12. Care and Delivery of Milk.** (a) **Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk.** Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk shall be taken to the milk house and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

(b) **Cooling of Fresh Milk.** The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45°) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central skimming and cooling station within two (2) hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco *where delivery is made only once daily*, must be shipped within four (4) hours after [it is drawn] *the final milking is completed.*

(c) **Mixing of Milk Prohibited.** [Night and morning milk shall not be mixed.] *Milk from two or more milkings shall not be mixed together unless each is cooled to or below forty-five (45°) degrees Fahrenheit, [nor] and under no conditions shall ice be put into the milk.*

(d) **Storing of Milk.** [Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room.] *Where milk is shipped in cans from the dairy farm only once daily it shall be stored while on the dairy farm in a refrigerated storage box in the milk room, or if shipped in bulk it shall be held in a storage tank as provided in Section 499 and the Regulations of the Director. The [ice] storage box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once daily and if drained it shall be indirectly trapped.*

(e) **Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans.** *The covers of all tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall be tight fitting or be [have the covers] securely wired or otherwise fastened to the [handles on each side of the] tanks or cans while in transit.*

(f) **Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited.** No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

(g) **Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk, Cream, or Milk Products Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can or utensil used in the delivery of milk, cream or milk products on or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

(h) **Milk Cans Must Not be Left on Sidewalk.** Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries,

delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots, or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

(i) **Transit of Milk, Cream or Milk Products from Point of Entry to Dairy.** The transit of milk, cream or milk products from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot, pasteurizing plant or dairy products plant shall be made within one (1) hour from the time of arrival.

(j) **Care and Delivery of Milk, Cream or Milk Products to Consumer.** While awaiting delivery to the city, milk, cream or milk food products shall be stored at a temperature of not more than forty-five (45°) degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

**Regulation 13. Milkers and Milking.** (a) **Personal Hygiene of Employees.** Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearance.

(b) **Outer Garments, Care of.** A special suit of clean, outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well ventilated place.

(c) **Milkers to Wash Hands before Milking.** Before beginning to milk the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

(d) **Cleaning of Udders and Flanks.** No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

(e) **Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited.** The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substances is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

(f) **Rejection of Fore Milk.** The first three (3) or four (4) streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

(g) **Hours and Manner of Milking.** Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.

(h) **Bloody and Stringy Milk.** If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or if it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

(i) **Milk of Cows Separated from Herd.** Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

(j) **Domestic Animals and Others Excluded from Milk Room.** Milkers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers, shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animals be allowed therein.

(k) **Cleaning of Milk Room.** The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

**Regulation 14. Employees' Living Quarters.** The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two (2) feet horizontally; and all such beds shall be so ar-

ranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred (500) cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this Article, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

**Regulation 15. Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences.** Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and the appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the direction and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

**Regulation 16. Health of Employees.** The Director of Public Health or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farms, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he shall demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

**Regulation 17. Sale of Milk to be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs.** No person with a throat infection or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious disease on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Following explanation by Supervisor Christopher, the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher. Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of his Honor, the Mayor, were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—J. H. Turner, Manager of Public Utilities.**

Proposal No. 5921, Resolution No. 5728 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. J. H. Turner, Manager of Public Utilities, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks starting August 18, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Matthew F. Brady, Municipal Judge.**

Proposal No. 5928, Resolution No. 5729 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Matthew F. Brady, Municipal Judge, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, from August 29 to September 29, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member of the Board of Supervisors.**

Proposal No. 5929, Resolution No. 5730 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of August 11 to August 18, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—Thomas K. McCarthy, Treasurer.**

Proposal No. 5930, Resolution No. 5731 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Thomas K. McCarthy, Treasurer of the City and County, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period commencing August 16, 1946, and ending September 14, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Leave of Absence—John M. Kennedy, Member of the Board of Permit Appeals.**

Proposal No. 5931, Resolution No. 5732 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, John M. Kennedy, a member of the Board of Permit Appeals, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period August 16 to October 16, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Third Annual Farmers' Market Festival.**

Supervisor Colman, under his name on Roll Call, requested the privilege of the floor for a Mr. Daggett, who desired to invite the members of the Board to attend the Third Annual Farmers Market Festival to be held on Saturday, August 10, 1946. Mr. Daggett then presented to the Board, Miss Velma Beasley, Queen of the Festival, and Mrs. Audrey Bly, one of the Queen's Ladies in Waiting, who presented for distribution to the Supervisors several baskets of fruit.

**Memorializing the Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines to Reconsider  
Its Decision to Base Its West Coast Airlines in Southern Cali-  
fornia and to Select San Francisco as Its Operational Base.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 5932, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it has come to our attention that the Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines—KNILM, authorized to fly from Australia to the West Coast, has decided to base its West Coast operations in Southern California, and

Whereas, it is our belief that this decision has been based partly on the assumption that this Airline Company will be using Douglas aircraft and that Southern California offers closer proximity to the Douglas Aircraft Company in Los Angeles, and

Whereas, we believe that San Francisco is unquestionably the most logical terminal for this operation and that the needs for repair and maintenance can be as readily met here as elsewhere and this is illustrated by the action taken by the United Airlines in moving the repair and maintenance work on Douglas aircraft for the entire United System, to its base at the San Francisco Airport, and

Whereas, the operating efficiency of the San Francisco Airport in terms of performance is unparalleled and certainly supports every reason for KNILM to base its operations here; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as memorializing KNILM—the Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines to reconsider its decision to base its West Coast operations in Southern California and select San Francisco as its operational base; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be immediately transmitted to Miss Julie G. Lynch, Acting Director, Netherlands Information Bureau, to Mr. E. A. L. De Jonge, United States Representative of KNILM and to TH. de Bruyn, Commanding Officer, 19th Squadron, Berry Park, Brisbane, Australia.

*Referred to Public Utilities Commission.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Determine Ways and  
Means of Raising Funds to Aid Families of the Late Lieutenant  
John Borman and Firemen Albert Hudson, Charles P. Lynch and  
Walter V. Elvitsky.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 5933, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, in the early morning of July 30, 1946, while fighting the blazing inferno raging at the Herbert Hotel, four members of our San Francisco Fire Department, i. e. Lieutenant John Borman, Fireman Albert Hudson, Fireman Walter Elvitsky and Fireman Charles

P. Lynch sacrificed their lives in an attempt to save the lives and property of their fellowmen; and

Whereas, these courageous men leave behind their beloved families and children whose sole support was dependent upon the earnings that these firemen received; and

Whereas, although the families will receive a small measure of compensation through the established pension system, in these days of soaring prices and emergency demands of sickness and extra living expenses, it is hardly enough to feed and clothe a growing family; and

Whereas, a grateful and loving San Francisco can help to relieve in some way the burden so suddenly and unexpectedly thrust upon these families; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and he is respectfully requested to appoint a citizens committee whose duty it shall be to determine ways and means of raising funds to aid the families of the late Lieutenant John Borman, Fireman Albert Hudson, Fireman Walter Elvitsky and Fireman Charles P. Lynch.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

Following the presentation of the foregoing proposal, Supervisor Christopher stated that he felt that the *San Francisco News* should be commended for its interest in raising funds for the firemen who lost their lives while fighting the recent Hotel Herbert fire. He believed that the present pension system should be studied; the compensation furnished at present is far from adequate to support families of victims of such tragedies.

Supervisor Christopher, after reading from an editorial printed in the *San Francisco Chronicle* relating to the recent Hotel Herbert fire, suggested that there be a revision of San Francisco's inspection laws, and that there be a more rigid enforcement of such laws. His remarks were to be construed as constructive criticism, rather than complaint, for he recognized that due to war conditions, a lack of sufficient inspection personnel, and the necessary substitution, in some cases, of materials which did not meet the ordinary pre-war standards, were responsible in some degree for the lowering of high standards heretofore demanded.

Supervisor Brown added to the statements by Supervisor Christopher, with which he was in full accord, commenting on the situation in general. The Fire Prevention Bureau, he held, should be restored to its pre-war efficiency.

Suggestions referred to Police Committee.

In Memoriam, Lieutenant John Borman and Firemen Albert Hudson, Charles P. Lynch and Walter V. Elvitsky.

Supervisor Christopher, joined with all members of the Board, presented:

Proposal No. 5934, Resolution No. 5733 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God, in His wisdom, has seen fit to claim as His own the souls of Lieutenant John Borman, Albert Hudson, Charles P. Lynch and Walter V. Elvitsky, members of the uniformed forces of the San Francisco Fire Department; and

Whereas, in the line of duty these heroic men made the supreme sacrifice in an effort to save the lives and property of their fellowmen; and

Whereas, all of San Francisco is sorrowed by the sudden and untimely death of these brave men and will forever remember their courageous action; and

Whereas, to the widows and families, in this hour of trial and tribulation, goes the heartfelt sympathy of the citizenry of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, on behalf of the People of the City and County of San Francisco, this Board of Supervisors does extend to the bereaved widows and families of the late Lieutenant John Borman, Fireman Albert Hudson, Fireman Charles P. Lynch and Fireman Walter V. Elvitsky its heartfelt condolence and sympathy; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns this day it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Lieutenant John Borman, Fireman Albert Hudson, Fireman Charles P. Lynch and Fireman Walter V. Elvitsky; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be and he is hereby directed to forward suitably engrossed copies of this Resolution to Mrs. Alyce Borman, Mrs. Eleanor Hudson, Mr. Daniel Lynch, Mrs. Lydia Elvitsky, the Fire Commission of the City and County of San Francisco and to the David Scannell Club.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

#### Reduction of Sidewalk Widths, Mission Street.

Supervisor Christopher, under his name on roll call, referred to an item of \$210,000. This item, he understood, was part of an appropriation for the repair of Mission Street. He had since learned, however, it was intended for the reduction of sidewalk widths on Mission Street. He believed that there should be a budget bureau for investigating budget items before the Board was called on to pass them. He was not implying that there was any misrepresentation or any withholding of information. During budget consideration he had not thought to inquire if any part of the budget item was for street widening.

The Chief Administrator, answering statements by Supervisor Christopher, reminded him that during budget consideration, he had been present, as well as Mr. Vensano and Mr. Hester, representing the Department of Public Works. They were all ready to answer any questions or make any explanations desired. There was no desire to fool any of the Supervisors.

Mr. Vensano stated that the \$210,000 in question was one section of a \$380,000 project. The original budget carried the \$210,000 item, but perhaps it did not reach the Board in that manner.

Supervisor Mancuso reported on proceedings heretofore had for consideration of the widening of Mission Street, pointing out that such widening was no new proposal.

#### Master Plan for Airports.

Supervisor Lewis reported briefly on a meeting which he had attended during the past week, at which was considered a master plan for airports. Each county must approve a master plan in order to get any portion of a \$30,000,000 federal appropriation. He hoped that the matter would receive the Board's careful attention.

The President announced that the matter would be before the Public Utilities Committee for hearing on August 8, 1946.

#### Additional Bay Crossing.

Supervisor Lewis, referring to the consideration of the need for a second bay crossing, announced that he had sent out cards inviting the opinion of interested parties on the type of bridge needed for San Francisco. He also stressed the need for bringing trains directly into San Francisco.



**Memorializing State Legislature to Enact Legislation Exempting From Sales and Use Tax Provisions of Revenue and Taxation Code, Certain Personal Property Acquired by Political Subdivisions of the State.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 5935, Resolution No. 5734 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the provisions of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California impose a 2½ per cent sales tax and use tax on purchases of personal property, which is applicable to political subdivisions of the State; and

Whereas, the State Board of Equalization has ruled that said taxes are applicable to all departments of municipalities, including museums and other educational institutions; and

Whereas, an apparent inequity exists due to the operation of said law in so far as it affects certain municipal departments, as illustrated by the fact that the City and County of San Francisco is required to pay the 2½ per cent sales tax or use tax on all purchases made by its M. H. deYoung Memorial Museum, including paintings, statuary and other items of purely educational and cultural interest; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco does not realize any revenue from the display by its non-profit subdivisions of said objects of educational and cultural interest, and it appears to this Board of Supervisors that it would be consistent with the policies of good government, and to the best interests of the political subdivisions of the State, for the State of California to exempt from payment of such taxes, political subdivisions of the State, their departments and agencies; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby go on record as favoring the enactment of such legislation by the Legislature of the State of California at its next regular session, as will exempt from the sales tax and use tax provisions of the Revenue and Taxation Code items of personal property acquired by non-profit departments of political subdivisions of the State for non-revenue producing purposes of an educational or cultural nature, and does hereby memorialize the Legislature of the State of California to enact such legislation; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to his Honor, Mayor Roger D. Lapham, for transmittal to and the prosecution of all necessary action by the Legislative Representative of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

**Requesting the Mayor to Commend the Police Commission and Officers and Members of the Police Department and the San Francisco Police Reserve for Their Fine Work During the Convention of the Ancient Arabic Order of Nobles of the Mystic Shrine.**

Supervisor Lewis presented for Supervisor MacPhee:

Proposal No. 5936, Resolution No. 5735 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco was recently honored by delegates to the national convention of the Ancient Arabic Order of Nobles of the Mystic Shrine; and

Whereas, these delegates and their friends held many affairs which were outstanding in the field of color and entertainment; and

Whereas, two parades were held during the convention period which caused the assemblage of more than one-half million spectators along the lines of march; and

Whereas, San Francisco in order to preserve its fine reputation of being a city of fun and good fellowship, was compelled to call upon the Police Department in order that every facility would be afforded our visitors and guests in making their stay pleasant; and

Whereas, the Police Department did carry out its assignment with courtesy, efficiency, and dispatch, and did effectively police the lines of parade and all other points of activity during said convention; and

Whereas, our police officers did forego their normal watches off and their ordinary working hours so that the fullest cooperation possible would be given to the convention delegates and their friends; and

Whereas, not only did the regular members of said Police Department do a splendid job during the said convention, but that fine organization known as the San Francisco Police Reserve did join with the regular police officers in performing an outstanding service for San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby requests his Honor, the Mayor, Roger D. Lapham, to commend the Police Commission, the Chief of Police, and the members of the Police Department, including the members of the San Francisco Police Reserve, for the splendid contribution made by them in preserving and enhancing the reputation of San Francisco as being the world's greatest convention city.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

Requesting the Mayor to Proclaim as "Youth Leadership Week" Week of September 10 to 17, Inclusive, 1946, and to Appoint Committee for Proper Observance Thereof.

Supervisor Lewis presented, for Supervisor MacPhee:

Proposal No. 5937, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there is a special need for leadership in our youth organizations, and

Whereas, many more clubs and groups could be organized among our boys and girls to guide their interests and enthusiasms if leadership were available, and

Whereas, youth is our greatest concern and our greatest asset and there is no more important phase of community life than giving instruction, leadership and understanding to future citizens of our city; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, duly cognizant of the untold benefits of leadership of youth, and in an endeavor to interest the citizens of San Francisco in the need for and the opportunity of giving volunteer leadership to the boys and girls of San Francisco, through our recognized youth-serving organizations affiliated with the Community Chest, does hereby respectfully request his Honor the Mayor to proclaim the week of September 10 through September 17, 1946, as "Youth Leadership Week" and to appoint a committee for its proper observance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead—2.

## Purchase of Site for Farmers' Market.

Supervisor Mancuso called attention to Bill appropriating \$62,000 to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market, which was refused passage at the previous meeting of the Board. He stated that it was his opinion that the \$62,000 could be appropriated with the understanding that if the people should vote against the Farmers' Market at the next election, the money need not be used. Supervisor Mancuso, in continuing his remarks, stated that he felt the Board had previously made a mistake in refusing to approve Bill 4206. He had voted against approval of the appropriation, but he was now going to reintroduce the matter and would vote in favor thereof when it came before the Board. Thereupon he presented the following:

Appropriating \$62,000 From Surplus in Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to Provide Funds for the Acquisition of a New Site for the Farmers' Market.

Bill No. 4246, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$62,000 out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$62,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to the credit of Appropriation No. 558,600.50, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

## Additional Sources of Revenue.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that during the week just passed, the Finance Committee reported that it would make no further study of the question of additional revenues, although that report was not in accord with his own views. He believed the Board should have done something to get relief for the ad valorem taxpayers.

Supervisor Lewis rose to a question of personal privilege, declaring that the statement by the previous speaker was not correct.

## Point of Order.

After a further brief discussion and explanation of his statement by Supervisor Mancuso, Supervisor Colman raised the point of order that the entire discussion was out of order.

The President ruled that the entire discussion was out of order, and that the question of personal privilege raised by Supervisor Lewis was not well taken.

## ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:45 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 16, 1946.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, August 12, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 12, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 12, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Brown on leave of absence.

Supervisor Lewis excused from attendance. However, he was noted present at 4:30 p. m.

Supervisor MacPhee was excused from attendance at 5:25 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 17, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

Charter Amendment, presented by Supervisor MacPhee: Revising charter provisions concerning City Planning Commission, Sections 115-118, both inclusive.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Charter Amendment, presented by Supervisor Mancuso: Amending Section 69 to change dates for filing of budget estimates.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Charter Amendment, presented by Supervisor Mancuso: Amending Section 72, providing for adoption of the budget and appropriation ordinance.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Motion, by Supervisor MacPhee: That vote by which Proposal No. 5905 was adopted on August 5 be rescinded, and that it be re-referred to Finance Committee.

*Carried.*

From Supervisor Lewis, requesting that he be excused from meeting of August 12th.

*Supervisor Lewis excused.*

From Mrs. Maybell Mohan, requesting advice in connection with her pending application for the Old Age Pension.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From Single, Anderson and Dawson, requesting consideration of amendment to Charter Section 151, standardization of compensation.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From S. F. City and County Employees' Union, proposing amendment of Charter Section 151, standardization of compensation.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From approximately 300 petitioners, urging eliminating traffic hazard which exists at intersection of Fourteenth Street and South Van Ness Avenue.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From Mayfair Heights Corporation, making certain stipulations in connection with underground system for Anzavista and Laurel Heights subdivisions.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From Governor Warren, to Supervisor Gallagher, expressing appreciation for cooperation during recent emergency in regard to rents and evictions.

*Ordered filed.*

From Mrs. Nellie McFoster, to the Board of Public Works, requesting erection of wooden steps from Harry Street to Beacon Street.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From Eureka Valley Promotion Association, opposing proposal to construct overhead viaduct from approximately Market and Douglass Streets to a point approximately at the Clipper Street Extension at Portola Drive.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From Matzger Chocolate Company, requesting that "no parking" restriction on north side of Harrison Street between Third and Fourth Streets be relaxed to permit loading or unloading of freight shipments.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From the Board of Education, transmitting final budget of the San Francisco Unified School District for the fiscal year 1946-47.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Miss Edna E. Rode, expressing appreciation of Board's actions in connection with rent increases and evictions.

*Ordered filed.*

From County Supervisors Association of California, announcing Board of Directors' meeting, August 23rd, Sacramento Hotel, Sacramento.

*Supervisor Mancuso authorized to attend.*

From Central Council of Civic Clubs, requesting that invitation be extended to United Nations to establish their headquarters at Angel Island.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Central Council of Civic Clubs, protesting proposed vehicular road over Twin Peaks, and endorsing proposal for a six-lane vehicular tunnel through Twin Peaks.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

Presented by Supervisor Gallagher: From Congressman Havenner, reporting on studies concerning Federal Government's continuing responsibilities toward communities in which naval installations have been located and in which populations have substantially increased since the war.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*



Presented by Supervisor Gallagher: From Twain Michelsen, Presiding Judge, Municipal Court, presenting data concerning faulty lighting and ventilation in the Traffic Fines Bureau, City Hall.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Mayor's Veto.

The following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:  
August 9, 1946.

To the Honorable  
The Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
San Francisco 2.

Gentlemen:

It is with regret that I return herewith Proposal 5933, disapproved. The last thing I want to do is to discourage voluntary raising of funds to aid the families of the four firemen who lost their lives in the Herbert Hotel fire.

However, it seems to me that appointing an official City committee to raise funds for the families of these four men creates a precedent which, viewed objectively, is questionable. Unfortunately other employees of the City have lost their lives in line of duty and in all likelihood, that will happen again and will not necessarily be confined to the Fire Department.

I would be glad to sign a resolution commending the action of a newspaper or any group of citizens who wanted, on their own initiative, to raise funds to aid the families of the late Lieutenant John Borman, Fireman Albert Hudson, Fireman Walter Elvitsky, and Fireman Charles P. Lynch.

Sincerely,  
R. D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher, who had presented the proposal disapproved by his Honor, the Mayor, announced that to some extent he had to agree with the Mayor's thought. He would not want to ask the Board, at the present time, to override the Mayor's veto, for several reasons. He outlined briefly the results of his efforts in behalf of the families of the four firemen who lost their lives, and stated that a monster benefit to be held on September 18, was being planned.

Communication and vetoed proposal *referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

#### Mayor's Veto.

The following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:  
August 9, 1946.

The Honorable  
The Board of Supervisors,  
City Hall,  
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I return Proposal 5785 without prejudice because I understand the proposal does not accurately set forth the conditions with respect to old age pensions benefits in California.

Further, I am informed that Mr. Ronald E. Born, Director of Public Welfare, has discussed this matter with Supervisor Christopher, who introduced Proposal 5785, and that Supervisor Christopher is agreeable to reconsideration of the proposal.

Sincerely,  
R. D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the proposal be tabled.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Request of the Mayor for Reconsideration of Bill No. 4168.

The Clerk presented and read communication from his Honor, the Mayor, requesting the Board to reconsider its action taken on July 29, 1946, whereby it had refused final passage to Bill No. 4168, which would have amended the Annual Salary Ordinance, Ordinance No. 3882, by adding to Section 1.7, thereof, "Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized," the classification D66, Superintendent of Jail.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved that the Board rescind its action taken on July 29, 1946, whereby it had refused final passage to Bill No. 4168.

His Honor, the Mayor, announced that he thought the matter was fully covered in the communication just read by the Clerk, together with enclosures from the Civil Service Commission.

Supervisor Mead objected to rescinding action unless some good reason were given him as to why he should change his previous vote.

Supervisor Meyer also objected to rescinding action.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to rescind action *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Assessment Confirmed.

#### Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Improvement of Forty-Third Avenue (E $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Between Ortega and Pacheco Streets.

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessment, diagram, or warrant for pay of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of Forty-third Avenue (E $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Ortega and Pacheco Streets, by the construction of paving, etc., by Chas. L. Harney, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 23073 of November 2, 1945, of the Department of Public Works.

No protests having been made, the assessments were *confirmed* and the Clerk was directed to so notify the Director of Public Works.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Certain Water Department Land Known as Alameda County Parcel 52 Near Niles.

Bill No. 4166, Ordinance No. 3970 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of certain Water Department land known as Alameda County Parcel 52 near Niles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly limits of the town of Niles with the southwesterly line of the former state highway from Niles to Mission San Jose, distant along said easterly limits 182.1 feet southerly from the center of the Southern Pacific Company's track; and running thence along said southwesterly line and its southwesterly extension south 42° 38' east, 317.31 feet; thence leaving said line south 56° 15' west, 194.24 feet to said easterly limits of the Town of Niles; thence along said last mentioned line north 9° 20' west, 349.56 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.699 acre more or less and being Parcel No. 52, Alameda County Lands, as described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County March 3, 1930, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Alameda Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

- Recommended by the Director of Property.
- Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

June 24, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*Finally Passed by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, City Attorney, by Adding 1 Law Clerk to List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4187, Ordinance No. 3971 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.10 City Attorney, by adding 1 B160 Law Clerk to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.10 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.10. CITY ATTORNEY**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B160 Law Clerk .....	1	4
B410 Legal Stenographer .....	5	4

Section 2. This ordinance shall be retroactive as of July 1, 1946, and shall be in effect from and after that date.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, by Adding Class U230 Maintenance Foreman to List Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4197, Ordinance No. 3972 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37, Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and provision therefor: by adding class U230 Maintenance Foreman to list of classes authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.37. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor:** Appointing officers may require all occupants of positions allocated to the classifications specified in this section to work tours of duty of eight hours on six days of the week, or a total work week of 48 hours. Occupants of such positions who regularly work tours of duty of eight hours six days per week shall be compensated for such sixth day of work at straight time, that is, twenty per cent of the compensation for a normal work schedule. Exceptions to the normal work schedule as provided in this section shall not be authorized by appointing officers unless funds for the compensation thereof are provided.

**Class No. and Title**

C152	Watchman
C180	Gallery Attendant
C182	Assistant Head Gallery Attendant
C184	Head Gallery Attendant
D52	Jail Matron
D54	Head Jail Matron
D60	Jailer
D64	Captain of Watch
D102	Writ Server
E52	Fire Dispatcher
E120	Governorman
E122	Power House Operator
E124	Senior Power House Operator
E128	Superintendent of Power House
F50	Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport
F51	Airport Attendant
F52	Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport
F54	Airport Guard
I 2	Kitchen Helper
I 6	Pastry Cook

## Class No. and Title

I 7 Baker  
 I 10 Cook's Assistant  
 I 11 Griddle Cook  
 I 12 Cook  
 I 14 Junior Chef  
 I 16 Chef  
 I 52 Counter Attendant  
 I 54 Waitress  
 I 56 Waiter  
 I 58 Dining Room Steward  
 I 60 Housekeeper  
 I 106 Morgue Attendant  
 I 112 Supervisor, Ambulatory Inmates  
 I 116 Orderly  
 I 120 Senior Orderly  
 I 122 House Mother  
 I 152 Flatwork Ironer  
 I 154 Laundress  
 I 156 Starcher  
 I 158 Sorter  
 I 164 Marker and Distributor  
 I 166 Wringerman  
 I 167 Tumblerman  
 I 170 Washer  
 I 172 Head Washer  
 I 174 Superintendent of Laundry, Laguna Honda Home  
 I 178 Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital  
 I 204 Porter  
 I 206 Porter Sub-Foreman  
 I 208 Porter Foreman  
 I 210 Head Porter  
 N4 Coroner's Investigator  
 O8 Morgue Ambulance Driver  
 O52 Farmer  
 O158 Motor Boat Operator  
 O166.1 Junior Operating Engineer  
 O168.1 Operating Engineer  
 O304 Hydrantman-Gateman  
 O308 Assistant Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman  
 O310 Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman  
 P2 Emergency Hospital Steward  
 P3 Senior Emergency Hospital Steward  
 P102 Registered Nurse  
 P104 Head Nurse  
 P111 Night Supervisor  
 P111.1 Night Supervisor, Hassler Health Home  
 P204 Anaesthetist  
 P208 Operating Room Nurse  
 R101 Camp Assistant  
 R111 Lifeguard Watchman  
 R130 Foreman, Recreational Activities  
 S56 Special Instructor, Municipal Railway  
 S60 Instructor, Municipal Railway  
 S110 Inspector, Municipal Railway  
 S114 Claims Investigator  
 S120 Day Dispatcher  
 S124 Supervisor of Schedules  
 T35 Group Supervisor  
 U130 Reservoir Keeper  
 U212 Ranger

## Class No. and Title

U213	Special Agent
U227	General Maintenance Foreman
U230	<b>Maintenance Foreman</b>
W106	Rides Attendant
W150	Aquarium Attendant
W152	Aquatic Collector
Y42	Chief Installer
Y44	Senior Museum Technician
Y46	Museum Technician
Y106	Aquatic Biologist's Assistant

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Appropriating the Sum of \$2,000,000 From the Unappropriated Balance of 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the Purpose of Providing Funds for Expenditures and Certification of Contracts in Connection With Additions and Betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Bill No. 4214, Ordinance No. 3974 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,000,000 from the unappropriated balance of 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the purpose of providing funds for expenditures and certification of contracts in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000,000 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance of the 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.000.00, to provide funds for expenditures and certification of contracts in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Section 2. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to accrued funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Explanation.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, in reply to questioning by Supervisor MacPhee, as to night work and the payment therefor, stated that the contract for work at the airport set up a time for completion, which the contractor must meet. The contractor fixed his work schedule to meet that time for completion. It is a unit price contract.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Finally Passed.****Authorizing Execution of Contract With the State of California for Relocation of State Highway Adjacent to San Francisco Airport, Providing for Exchange of Lands, and Other Provisions Incident to Contract.**

Bill No. 4215, Ordinance No. 3975 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing execution of contract with the State of California for relocation of state highway adjacent to San Francisco Airport, providing for exchange of lands, and other provisions incident to contract.

Be it ordained by the People of the State of California, as follows:

Section 1. The relocation of the State Highway known as Bayshore Highway paralleling the San Francisco Airport is essential for the proper and safe conduct of said airport. The State of California has agreed to relocate said highway to the west of its present location, in accordance with that certain map entitled, "State of California, Department of Public Works, Division of Highways, Freeway Relocation adjacent to San Francisco Airport, District IV—S.M.-68-F," at a cost to the City of \$1,250,000, so that the Bayshore Highway will be merged with that new highway to be known as a freeway which ultimately will accommodate four lanes of traffic in each direction, such traffic lanes to be separated by a dividing strip, to provide for a four-way traffic interchange structure of the clover leaf type at the intersection of San Bruno Avenue with freeway, a traffic interchange structure at the main entrance of the San Francisco Airport, a traffic interchange structure of the partial clover leaf type at the intersection of Millbrae Avenue and the relocated highway. To construct openings for drainage purposes under said freeway all at the State's expense and for the amount specified, and will construct at the cost of City, openings through and beneath the freeway required to provide crossings for utilities, other drainage structure or structures at certain designated parts of the freeway to connect with the drainage canal system of the City.

The State will abandon and convey to the City within the confines of City's expanded property from the intersection of San Bruno Avenue and said Bayshore Highway southerly to the southerly boundary line of the City Airport, all rights of way presently owned by the State and existing in said Bayshore Highway, and that area contiguous to the highway originally acquired by the State for the purpose of expanding Bayshore Highway.

The City shall convey to the State in fee simple, title to a strip of land 230 feet in width along the location of the proposed freeway and more particularly shown on that certain map entitled, "State of California, Department of Public Works, Division of Highways, Freeway Relocation Adjacent to San Francisco Airport, Dist. IV, S.M.-68-F. April 1946" and additional lands required for the clover leaf interchange of traffic, and the City shall pay to the State the cost of providing for construction of openings under the freeway for the accommodation of utilities, and City shall undertake the relocation and pay for the cost of relocation and adjustment of existing transmission lines owned by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and further pay the cost of the construction and maintenance of drainage canals and structures to intercept and provide for drainage westerly of the relocated State highway.

Section 2. The Mayor, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the members of the Public Utilities Commission are hereby authorized to enter into a contract with the State of California to provide and pay for the work described in Section 1 of this ordinance, and in said contract to make such other provisions as may be deemed necessary and incident to the construction described, and to execute all documents necessary for the State to acquire title to the land over which the freeway shall pass.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Subject to passage of Bill No. 4214, Ordinance No. . . .

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Amending Part 1, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by Adding Thereto a New Section to Be Designated Section 12 Authorizing Municipal Railway to Become Member of American Transit Association; Providing for Payment of Annual Expenses of Said Membership.**

Bill No. 4212, Ordinance No. 3973 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Part 1, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 12 authorizing Municipal Railway to become member of American Transit Association; providing for payment of annual expenses of said membership.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Part 1, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 12, as follows:

"Section 12. It will be for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Municipal Railway thereof become a member of the American Transit Association for and on behalf of the City and County, the Municipal Railway is therefore authorized and directed to join said American Transit Association and to represent the City and County of San Francisco in said Association.

"The annual expense of said membership shall be allowed and paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose."

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso objected to the foregoing bill, declaring that if it were passed, as written, it would be in effect giving the Municipal Railway a blank check for payment of whatever dues might be charged. The dues for the next year might be at a much higher figure, possibly \$10,000, and the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors would have nothing to say as to payment.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, explained the benefits to be derived from membership in the American Transit Association. He also pointed out that neither the Mayor nor the Board of Supervisors would lose any control over the amount of appropriation necessary for membership fee, in view of the following paragraph written into the bill:

"The annual expense of said membership shall be allowed and paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose."

Thereupon Supervisor Mancuso suggested that there be added to the paragraph quoted above, the words "out of the earnings of the



Municipal Railway." However, he did not press his suggestion for the amendment.

There being no further discussion, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Appropriating the Sum of \$4,440 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to Provide Funds for the Compensation of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 Per Month, Which Positions Are Created in the Municipal Railway; Abolishing the Position of 2 B308 Calculating Machine Operators at \$185 Per Month in the Same Department.

Bill No. 4219, Ordinance No. 3978 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,440 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.-199.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 per month, which positions are created in the Municipal Railway; abolishing the position of 2 B308 Calculating Machine Operators at \$185 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,440 is hereby appropriated out of the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.110.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 per month in the Municipal Railway.

Section 2. The positions of 2 B222 General Clerks at \$185 per month are hereby created in the Municipal Railway; the positions of 2 B308 Calculating Machine Operators at \$185 per month are hereby abolished in the same department.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by Increasing the Number of Employments Under Item 10 From 64 to 65 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; by Increasing the Number of Employments Under Item 12 from 14 to 16 B308a Calculating Machine Operators (Key Drive) at \$185-230; by Deleting Item 12.1 2 B308b Calculating Machine (Rotary Type) at \$185-230; and by Decreasing the Number of Employments Under Item 15 From 25 to 24 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4160, Ordinance No. 3969 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by increasing the number of employments under item 10 from 64 to 65 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 14 to 16 B308a Calculating Machine Operators (Key Drive) at \$185-230; by deleting item 12.1 2 B308b Calculating Machine (Rotary type) at \$185-230; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 15 from 25 to 24 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 72. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	25	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 14.00 day
1.1	1	A156	Patternmaker .....	13.60 day
1.2	2	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
1.3	1	A252	Glazier .....	12.68 day
2	2	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
3	16	A364	Car and Auto Painter.....	14.00 day
3.1	1	A366	Foreman Car and Auto Painter....	15.00 day
4	1	A370	General Foreman, Car and Auto Paint Shop.... (g 339	
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
7	3	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
8	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
8.1	2	B68	Chief Clerk .....	360-430
8.2	4	B102	Teller .....	230-290
8.3	1	B103	Cashier C .....	230-290
8.4	4	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
10	65	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> .....	185-230
10.1	7	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
11	3	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
12	16	B308a	<b>Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)</b> .....	185-230
12.2	3	B309c	Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand .....	160-200
12.3	8	B310b	Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand .....	190-240
12.4	1	B310.1d	Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand.....	240-290
12.41	1		*Senior Tabulating Machine Operator .....	240
12.5	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
13	35	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
13.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
13.2	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
14	9	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
14.1	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator .....	230-290
15	24	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
15.1	4	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4002.**

Bill No. 4217, Ordinance No. 3976 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4002.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the Westerly line of Texas Street distant thereon 185 feet Southerly from the Southerly line of Mariposa Street; running thence Southerly and along said Westerly line of Texas Street 30 feet; thence at right angles Westerly 100 feet, thence at right angles Northerly 30 feet and thence at right angles Easterly 100 feet to the Westerly line of Texas Street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 269.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$5,250 Out of the Surplus Existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for the Compensation of the Following Employments in the Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), Which Positions Are Created: 3 I 154 Laundresses at \$125-160; 1 I 156 Starcher at \$125-160. Abolishing the Positions of 4 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 in the Same Department.**

Bill No. 4218, Ordinance No. 3977 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,250 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide for the compensation of the following employments in the Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), which positions are created: 3 I 154 Laundresses at \$125-160; 1 I 156 Starcher at \$125-160. Abolishing the positions of 4 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,250 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of the following positions which are hereby established in the Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital): 3 I 154 Laundresses at \$125-160; 1 I 156 Starcher at \$125-160.

Section 2. The positions of 4 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 in the same department are hereby abolished.

Section 3. The funds herein appropriated shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.  
 Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

### NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Make Application to the State Department of Public Health for a Permit to Proceed With the Enlargement of the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant.**

Proposal No. 5916, Resolution No. 5739 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it is the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to enlarge the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant under the 1944 Sewer Bond Issue; and

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Division V, Part 3, Chapter 6, Article 2, of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, it is necessary, before proceeding to enlarge and maintain said plant, to obtain a permit therefor from the State Department of Public Health; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized to make application for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant and subject to all the terms, conditions and provisions of the said Health and Safety Code, to the State Department of Public Health for a permit to undertake and proceed with the Enlargement of the Richmond-Sunset Sewage Treatment Plant as contemplated in Plans and Specifications No. 20007 on file in the office of the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, and to maintain said plant.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Determination of Liability of Responsible Relative of Recipients of Old Age Security Aid.**

Proposal No. 5919, Resolution No. 5740 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the responsible relatives of the recipients of Old Age Security Aid,

listed in the report of the Public Welfare Department to the Board of Supervisors, dated July 30, 1946, are able to contribute each month to the said recipients of Old Age Security Aid the amounts stated in said report; that said determination is made upon the basis of the Relatives' Contribution Scale set forth in Division III, Chapter I, of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California; and the City Attorney is hereby requested and authorized to commence legal proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 2224 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, against the responsible relatives who refuse to assume the obligation of making the monthly contribution to the recipients of Old Age Security Aid in accordance with the determination of their liability as made on this date by the Board of Supervisors.

*August 5, 1946—Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

### Consideration Postponed.

#### Land Purchase—Market Street, Portola Drive Project.

Proposal No. 5924, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Howard H. Morris, et al., or the legal owner to Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 2983, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Market Street and Portola Drive, from Seventeenth Street to St. Francis Circle, and that the sum of \$2,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 677.923.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer. .

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

On being granted the privilege of the floor, Mr. Russel A. Powell, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, opposed the adoption of the foregoing proposal, and requested postponement thereof until the proposal for a tunnel under Twin Peaks, which is before the City Planning Commission, can come before the Board.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that residents of districts west of Twin Peaks wanted the project. However, he would not object to a week's postponement, and he would so move in order to find out where the land, whose purchase was contemplated, was located.

Mr. Powell suggested that another item on the Board's Calendar had a bearing on the same matter and should also be postponed.

The Chair ruled that the additional item was not before the Board at the time; action would be taken thereon when it was reached in the regular order of business.

Thereupon, there being no objection, *further consideration was postponed until Monday, August 19, 1946.*

## Adopted.

## Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes

Proposal No. 5925, Resolution No. 5742 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

1. Warner L. Jackson, Lot 5, Block 5240, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	\$ 16.91
2. Josephine L. Hamill, Lot 2B, Block 1684, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	55.06
3. Wm. Schermerhorn, Lot 27, Block 6730, first and second installment \$14, fiscal year 1945-46; Lot 26, Block 6730, first and second installment \$40.58, fiscal year 1945-46..	54.58
4. Maurice Wihtahand, Lot 28, Block 1183, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	139.10
5. L. J. Smith, Lot 29, Block 1667, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	60.69
6. Mrs. Marghuerita Bocca, Lot 40, Block 7092, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	31.40
7. Ray F. Forbes, Lot 6B, Block 6948, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	34.56
8. Isobel Driskell, Lot 18, Block 1680, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	23.67

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

## Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes

Proposal No. 5926, Resolution No. 5743 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

1. Madison & Burke, Lot 27, Block 653, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	\$194.41
2. Nicholas F. Nusbaum, Lots 38 and 39, Block 1542, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	104.09
3. Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., Lot 24, Block 1647, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	55.04
4. Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., Lot 1, H, I, J, Block 2149, first installment \$40.28, second installment \$39.56, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	79.84
5. City Title Insurance Co., Lot 1, Block 2303, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	48.75
6. Home Mutual Savings and Loan Association, Lot 4, Block 5534, first installment, fiscal year 1944-45 .....	30.95
7. Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., Lot 14, Block 6530, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 .....	107.47

- 8. Albin and Mary Bergroth, Lot 2, Block 6709, first installment \$16.42, second installment \$16.42, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... 32.84
- 9. Ole Bruflat, Lot 6, Block 1587, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... 29.95

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

- 1. G. W. Brainard—Error in computing percentage of dividend resulting in overpayment of tax (Bankruptcy case)....\$16.33
- 2. Frederic Seebe—Personal property taxes were collected on assessment of automobiles, which are not subject to assessment by Assessor ..... 29.46

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.**

Proposal No. 5927, Resolution No. 5744 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from L. I. Diamond et ux., or the legal owners to Lot 37 in Block 2, as per map of "North Millbrae Subdivision No. 1, San Mateo Co., Calif.," filed December 2, 1907, in Book 5 in Maps at page 49, records of San Mateo County, California, and that the sum of \$250 be paid for said land from appropriation 96.900.58.

The above amount of \$250 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under resolution No. 5441 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and in as much as it now appears, such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcel of land, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Deletion of the Name of Joseph J. Kola as a Responsible Relative Under the Old Age Security Act.**

Proposal No. 5938, Resolution No. 5746 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on December 3, 1945, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, did pass resolution No. 5122, establishing a liability of

certain persons as responsible relatives under the Old Age Security Act; and

Whereas, in that resolution appeared the name of Joseph J. Kola; and

Whereas, after further study it was ascertained that there was no liability on the part of Mr. Joseph J. Kola to contribute to the support of his mother; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Resolution No. 5122 (Series of 1939), "Determining the liability of Responsible Relatives" be amended by deleting therefrom the name of Joseph J. Kola.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Land Purchase, Clipper Street Extension.

Proposal No. 5940, Resolution No. 5748 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation accept a deed from Lillian Giovannetti Dunne et al., or the legal owners to the following described land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the extension of Clipper Street, and that the sum of \$6,150 be paid for said land as hereinafter provided:

Beginning at a point of intersection of the northerly line of Clipper Street, with the easterly line of Douglass Street; thence easterly along said line of Clipper Street 26 feet; thence northwesterly to a point on the easterly line of Douglass Street distant northerly thereon 6.579 feet northerly from the northerly line of Clipper Street; thence southerly along said line of Douglass Street 6.579 feet to the beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 19-C in Assessor's Block 6545.

The sum of \$2,500 shall be paid from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco in connection with that certain Superior Court action entitled City and County of San Francisco vs. Lillian Giovannetti Dunne et al., No. 351909; and the balance of \$3,650 shall be paid from Appropriation No. 648.963.58.

It is understood that the above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now located on said land, which improvements are to be relocated by the grantors within thirty days after recording of the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.



**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare  
Department.**

Proposal No. 5941, Resolution No. 5749 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Children, and Aid to Needy Blind, including discontinuances, new recommendations, new applications, rescissions and other transactions, effective July 1 and August 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-  
Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5942, Resolution No. 5750 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated August 6, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of August and September, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Changing Fee for Special Fumigation Permit From \$10 to \$2.20  
Per Hour of Inspector's Time.**

Bill No. 4232, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 701 of Article 12, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II, San Francisco Municipal Code, by changing the fee for a special fumigation permit from Ten (\$10.00) Dollars to Two and 20/100 (\$2.20) Dollars per hour of inspector's time.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 701 of Article 12, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II, San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 701 of Article 12, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II, San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 701. **Permits.** (a) **Application, etc.** Any person, firm or corporation engaged in any business or calling, or who may here-

after engage in any business or calling, and who may desire to continue in said business or calling, where hydrocyanic acid gas, cyanogen or chloropicrin or any other poisonous, noxious or dangerous gases or fumes dangerous to the life or health of human beings are used shall make written application to the Director of Public Health; shall provide and shall set forth the name of the person, firm or corporation engaged in the use of or who is desirous of using said gas; the particular character of gas used or to be used, the purposes and place where the same is used and the probable amount thereof which will be used during the existence of said permit, and the name of the person or persons who will have direct charge of the use of said gas. Before issuing any permit for the use of said gas, the Director of Public Health shall himself or through such person or persons as he may designate for that purpose, inquire into the training, experience, character, reputation and general character of the applicant for said permit and of the person or persons who are to have direct charge of the use of said gas; and for the purpose of ascertaining the training, experience, character, reputation and qualification of either said applicant or said person who has, or is to have, direct charge of the use of said gas, the Director of Public Health, or his representatives, may cause said applicant or said persons to appear before him, or them, and propound to said applicant, or to said persons, such questions as will show the training, experience, qualifications, character and reputation of said applicant, or of said persons, in regard to the use of said gas, and the regulations governing said use. The Director of Public Health shall have full power and authority to refuse to grant any permit for the use of said above-mentioned gas should he ascertain or determine that the manner in which said gas is to be used, or the place where it is to be used, is dangerous to life or health, or the person under whose direction it is to be used has not sufficient training, experience, character and reputation so that the use of said gas can be entrusted to said person without danger, or probability of danger, to the lives of human beings.

Every permit issued under authority of this section shall state the place where said gas is to be used, the character thereof and the probable amount thereof to be used, and the name or names of the person, firm or corporation authorized to use the same, and the name or names of the person or persons in direct charge of said use; provided, however, that when a permit is issued to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the general business of fumigating at places other than a fixed place of business, said permit need not specify the various places where said gas is to be used. Any permit so issued shall remain in force for a period not exceeding one (1) year from the date thereof; provided, however, that the Director of Public Health may issue a permit for a shorter period if the same is requested in said application for said permit.

(b) **Fees for Permits.** Every person, firm or corporation making application for a permit under the provisions of this section shall accompany said application with a fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars, and every person, firm or corporation making application for the renewal of any such permit shall accompany said application with a fee of Five (\$5.00) Dollars, which said fees are hereby fixed as the cost of investigating the matter of the issuing or renewing of said permits, and shall not be returnable in the event that said permits are not issued or renewed. All applications for a renewal of any permit shall contain all of the information required for an original permit.

(c) **Special Permits.** Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of fumigation, which said fumigation is to take place at any place other than the place of business of the person, firm or corporation holding a permit under this section, shall, at least twelve (12) hours before generating or releasing any of the gases mentioned in Sections 700 and 701 of this Article for fumigation purposes

outside of his, or its, fixed place of business, make application to the Director of Public Health for a permit to so do, which said application shall state the location of the building or enclosed space to be fumigated and the day and hour when such fumigation shall be commenced, and the name of the person or persons who will be in direct charge of said fumigation. If the person, firm or corporation making application for said permit provided for in this section has already received a permit under the provisions of this section, which will authorize him to carry on the business of fumigation outside of a fixed place of business, and the person or persons in charge of said fumigation have been approved by the said Director of Public Health, a special permit to generate or release said gas for fumigation purposes at the place indicated in said application shall be issued by the said Director of Public Health upon the payment of a fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars upon the payment of a fee to be computed as follows: At the rate of Two and 20/100 (\$2.20) Dollars per hour of inspector's time or fraction thereof incident to each inspection. Upon filing the application a deposit of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars shall be made by the applicant with the Director of Public Health. Upon completion of the work for which a permit has been issued, there shall be an additional charge against or a refund to the permittee, dependent on the work actually done, inspected and approved. Such permit shall be kept on the premises to be fumigated, and should the applicant therefor be unable to do the work on the day and hour set forth in said permit, he shall notify the Director of Public Health at least six (6) hours prior to said time, and thereupon the Director of Public Health shall specify a new time for the fumigation of the premises or space specified in said permit.

(d) **Rules and Regulations.** The Director of Public Health shall have power to make and enforce all reasonable rules and regulations for carrying out the purposes of this section which are not in conflict therewith.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$64,000 From Appropriation, Water Department Surplus, to Provide for Extension of Water Mains and Installation of Services in Apparel City Subdivision.**

Bill No. 4236, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$64,000 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00 (Water Department Surplus) to provide funds in the Water Department for extension of water mains and installation of services in Apparel City Subdivision.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$64,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00 (Water Department Surplus), to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.955.00 (Extension of Water Mains—Apparel City Subdivision) for extension of water mains and installation of services in Apparel City Subdivision.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Deleting 4\* Senior Accountants at \$325, and Adding in Lieu Thereof, 4 Senior Accountants at \$385-460. Also Deleting Explanation of Asterisk "To Be Classified by Civil Service Commission After Investigation."**

Bill No. 4245, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67, CONTROLLER, by deleting item 5.14 \*Senior Accountant at \$325, and by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 2 to 6 B14 Senior Accountant at \$385-460; and also deleting explanation of asterisk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B20	Controller .....	\$1,250
2	24	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
3	10	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
4	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements .....	385-460
5	10	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
6	6	B14	<b>Senior Accountant</b> .....	385-460
7	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller .....	625-750
8	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	385-460
9	1	B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports .....	460-550
10	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	460-550
11	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	460-550

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$62,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to Provide Funds for the Acquisition of a New Site for the Farmers' Market.**

Bill No. 4246, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$62,000 out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$62,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.600.50, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
Approved by the Mayor.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher announced that he wanted to go along with the foregoing proposed land purchase in view of the fact that the entire question is going on the ballot for the peoples' decision. However, he was not changing his opinion at all, notwithstanding false statements made by Mr. Brucato and others. He was adhering to his principle of having the people decide what they want to do and how they want to do it. Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher questioned the Chief Administrative Officer, asking him if he contemplated in the future requesting additional funds.

The Chief Administrative Officer, in reply, stated that he did not so contemplate. He could not, of course, see into the future. The Market could develop to be a much larger undertaking than was now planned, but he could not look five, ten or fifteen years into the future. It could be that the popularity of the Market might develop to such an extent that the Chief Administrative Officer, whoever he might be at that time, might have to ask for additional funds.

Supervisor Christopher, in continuing to question the Chief Administrative Officer, asked that if he did have to ask for more funds, if the Board could rely on his previous statement that he would increase the amount charged the members of the Market.

The Chief Administrative Officer replied that his statement applied to the members of the present Market. Representatives of some 600 farmers had called on him and had assured him that they desired the Market to be self-supporting, and that if present funds were not sufficient, they would agree to additional fees. However, if there were need for additional funds from the City and County he would come to the Board of Supervisors and present the fact, and he would abide by the Board's decision as to further support or not. He knew of no reason, though, why he should come to the Board in the future for more money to support the Market.

Supervisor Mead stated that if more money should be needed, that would not necessarily mean that it would be forthcoming. That would be determined by the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Christopher called attention to a circular distributed by the Farmers' Market Advisory Board, over the signature of Mr. Brucato. He resented the insinuations contained therein, and he condemned them. He then asked the Chief Administrative Officer what was the official capacity of the Farmers' Market Advisory Board.

The Chief Administrative Officer replied that as far as the City was concerned it had no official capacity. It had not been created by him; it was not an official body.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher complained about insinuations made as to monetary influence. He believed there should be a Grand Jury investigation made of the Farmers' Market and all those connected with it, on both sides, and also the Board of Supervisors. He would call that to the attention of the President. Supervisor Christopher then inquired whether Mr. Brucato was receiving compensation from the farmers or from any other group.

The Chief Administrative Officer had no information.

Supervisor Christopher then inquired if Mr. Brucato, in the past, had ever received any compensation.

The Chief Administrative Officer reported that sometime ago some money had reached Mr. Brucato, and he had then recommended or

suggested that the Board of Supervisors ask for essays on the Market. That was done and the winners appeared in the Chambers of the Board and were presented with bonds, which, the Chief Administrative Officer understood, were purchased by that money which Mr. Brucato had received.

Supervisor Colman then objected to the line of questioning by Supervisor Christopher. It was, he held, an attack on Mr. Brucato's reputation; the questions were of a derogatory character. Mr. Brucato should be present before such questions were asked. Mr. Brucato, as far as he knew, was doing a public service.

Supervisor Christopher then reminded the Board that he had previously requested the presence of Mr. Brucato in order that he might question him.

Supervisor Mead then rose to a question of personal privilege. Supervisor Christopher, he stated, had referred to a statement which he had made at the meeting of July 29, 1946. He insisted on being quoted correctly. He had made the statement that money was talking, and that monied people were talking. He wanted, when being quoted, to be quoted correctly.

Supervisor Christopher declared that at no time had he ever attacked the reputation of anyone. However, members of the Board of Supervisors had had their character impeached. He believed he should refute statements made against him and any other member of the Board of Supervisors. He thought it time for a Grand Jury investigation. He stated that he was voting for the measure before the Board, not because he had been browbeaten by Mr. Brucato or any of his fellows, but because the matter had reached the state where it could be brought before the people for their decision as to what they want to do.

Supervisor Mead stated that he thought Supervisor Christopher was unduly alarmed. He had just received a copy of the circular about which Supervisor Christopher had complained, and he wondered why he was getting so excited. In regard to the Grand Jury investigation, he would, himself, ask for such investigation, including the Supervisors. He had been contacted by no one from either side, and he presumed the same was true of everyone else on the Board. As to his statements previously made, he had no intention of apologizing to anyone. He believed his statements were true.

Supervisor Meyer stated that the smaller merchants, who were also taxpayers, felt that the Farmers' Market was well organized and well established. They had no objections to a Farmers' Market, but felt it should not be subsidized by the taxpayers' money. That, too, had been his policy. The small merchants feel that the Farmers' Market should go into business as they did, furnish their own site and not have the market subsidized by San Francisco. He did not intend to vote for the appropriation.

Supervisor McMurray objected to statement made by Supervisor Mead at the meeting of July 29th. It was bad enough, he stated, for outsiders to make such statements but he did not like them from his colleagues. He would not vote for the appropriation, because the entire matter would not be put on the ballot in November. He wanted the people to be told the entire truth about the situation. He was opposed to the Farmers' Market. Many people go there and peddle, paying no license fees, no taxes, nor union wages to anybody. He might be wrong, he admitted, but he believed he was right. A small minority sometimes proves to be correct.

Supervisor Mancuso called Supervisor McMurray's attention to the fact that the matter would be on the ballot. The whole question of policy will be submitted to the people. The location of the Market will also be submitted to the people.

Supervisor Colman held that those who favored the Market and believed that the people voted for the Market felt that it meant giving the authority to appropriate the necessary money. He did not doubt the results of the next election at all. Now, he thought, a real solution had been reached. However, if the people vote against the Market, the City will have property which it can either use or dispose of without any loss. The purchase of the property is a well-advised step, and he was glad that the Board has come to that conclusion in the matter.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he was voting for the appropriation on the one condition that he receive from Mr. Brooks assurance that no money would be spent on this particular site, for grading or any other expense, and that the matter on the ballot will take in the full amount necessary to support the market, including the \$62,000 for land purchase.

The Chair announced that the \$62,000 would be passed with the proviso that the Chief Administrative Officer would buy the property. If the people vote against the Market, the City would have the property. The Board has already adopted a resolution, he continued, which would empower the City Attorney to draft the necessary legislation for submission to the voters. It will be submitted if the Board of Supervisors want it.

The Chief Administrative Officer stated that he would draw up the suggested question of policy any way the Board desired it to be drawn.

The Chair announced that the amount was included in the resolution already adopted.

Supervisor Christopher then inquired if Supervisors Mead and Colman would object to the inclusion of the \$62,000 in the question of policy to be submitted to the people.

Supervisor Sullivan questioned the advisability or need for including that amount in the question to be voted on. If the Board approves the appropriation, it will be finished.

Supervisor Mead saw no point in submitting it to the people, assuming the Board should pass the matter.

Supervisor Christopher felt that if the Board should vote the \$62,000, and then ask the people to vote for a \$30,000 or \$35,000 appropriation, it would be misleading the people. He wanted the people to vote on approval of the entire amount of \$100,000 or so, for the Market. The whole truth should be told to the people.

Supervisor Mead again stated that he did not think it necessary to submit the matter of property purchase to the people. He saw no point in the Board's passing the matter and then re-submitting it to the people. He was not opposed to giving the people the entire truth, but he would be opposed to the Board's appropriating the \$62,000 and then resubmitting it to the people. The people already know about the \$62,000.

Supervisor Christopher declared that if the entire amount were not submitted to the people, they would not be given the entire story and he would vote "No."

After the reading by the Clerk of the resolution heretofore adopted by the Board, Supervisor Mancuso stated that he felt the matter should be submitted to the people and the full amount of the purchase price of the land should be included therein. He believed the land should be tied up in case the people should vote the Market.

Supervisor Mead held that the appropriation was being made to enable the Chief Administrative Officer to purchase the property. If the people should not vote for the Farmers' Market, the land would be sold or used for some other purpose.

Supervisor Christopher stated that his only desire was to submit to the people the full amount. He could see nothing unreasonable or unfair in that.

Supervisor Colman said that in the coming election he agreed that the people should be told the whole truth. They should be told that the amount of \$100,000 or so would be amortized, at the rate of, according to the experience of the Market, \$3,000 to \$5,000 per year.

Thereupon, the roll was called and Bill No. 4246 was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

#### Grand Jury Investigation.

Supervisor Mead, immediately following Passage for Second Reading of the foregoing bill, moved that the Board of Supervisors go on record requesting the Grand Jury to make a full, thorough and complete investigation in connection with the Farmers' Market of any one and everybody, including the Board of Supervisors, who have had anything to do with the Market.

Supervisor Christopher objected to the motion. He had brought the matter up, he stated, and he intended to present it himself under his name on Roll Call.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead withdrew the motion.

#### Finally Passed.

Appropriating the sum of \$454.15 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Additional Funds to Complete the Purchase of Office Equipment (Kardex Cabinets) for the Offices of the Civil Service Commission; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4224, Ordinance No. 3979 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$454.15 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide additional funds to complete the purchase of office equipment (kardex cabinets) for the offices of the Civil Service Commission; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$454.15 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.400.71, to provide additional funds to complete the purchase of office equipment (kardex cabinets) for the office of the Civil Service Commission.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: these kardex cabinets contain important personnel data and are necessary to the efficient and uninterrupted operation of the offices of the Civil Service Commission. The amount provided for the purpose in the 1946-1947 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance is insufficient and the approval of this ordinance is necessary to complete the purchase. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.



*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Appropriating \$727.99 From Emergency Reserve Fund and \$372.01 From Surplus in Employees' Retirement System Compensation Reserve Fund for Payment of Overtime to Monthly Employees in Order to Complete Posting of Members' Contributions and to Bring Up-to-Date All Records for Fiscal Year 1945-1946; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4248, Ordinance No. 3980 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$727.99 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, and the sum of \$372.01 from the surplus existing in the Employees' Retirement System Compensation Reserve Fund (Appropriation No. 932.199.00-6) to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees in order to complete posting of members' contributions and to bring up-to-date all records for the fiscal year 1945-1946; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$727.99 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, and the sum of \$372.01 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Employees' Retirement System Compensation Reserve Fund (Appropriation No. 932.199.00-6) to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.111.06, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Employees' Retirement System.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: the completion of posting all members' contributions, and the bringing up-to-date of all records for the fiscal year 1945-1946, at an early date, is immediately necessary to the uninterrupted operation of the Employees' Retirement System.

Recommended by the Secretary, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

Adopted.

The following, from Finance Committee, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

(Note: Supervisor Mancuso voted for "do pass" recommendation; Supervisor Lewis voted for "do not pass" recommendation.)

Authorizing Sale of City Owned Hot Houses at 1340 Bay Shore Boulevard.

Proposal No. 5939, Resolution No. 5747 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the Director of Property be, and is hereby

authorized to sell at public auction two hot houses, located at 1340 Bay Shore Boulevard on Lots 8, 9 and 10 in Assessor's Block 5450, San Francisco, California, which buildings are not required for municipal purposes. Said property was acquired in connection with the Bay Shore Freeway project.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale, to be executed by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

After explanation by Supervisor Mancuso of the reason for lack of recommendation and on motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Colman, the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, McMurray—3.

#### Authorizing Sale of Lot 124 in Assessor's Block 3753.

Bill No. 4231, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 124 in Assessor's Block 3753.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described city-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Sixth Street distant thereon 115 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Folsom Street; running thence southeasterly and along said line of Sixth Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 75 feet, to the point of commencement.

Being a part of 100 Vara Lot No. 217 in Block No. 383.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Colman, the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, McMurray—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

#### Authorizing Sale of Approximately One Acre of Water Department Land in Town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Bill No. 4244, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of approximately one acre of Water Department land in town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bond Street in the town of Sunol, said point being distant along said easterly line north 16° 32' east 356.60 feet from its intersection with the northerly line of Main Street, and running thence along said easterly line of Bond Street north 16° 32' east 153.55 feet to the most southerly corner of a 0.60 acre tract conveyed by the Western Pacific Railway Company to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 12, 1907, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of Alameda County January 24, 1908, in Book 1421 of Deeds at page 288; thence north 46° 08' west 109.72 feet along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract, said line also being the northeasterly line of Bond Street; thence along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract the following courses and distances: north 43° 55' east 125.00 feet; north 46° 08' west 50.00 feet; and north 43° 55' east 139.08 feet along said last mentioned boundary line and its northeasterly extension to a point on the westerly bank of Laguna Creek; thence along the westerly bank of Laguna Creek the following courses and distances: south 15° 28' east 76.71 feet; thence south 17° 10' west 127.93 feet; thence south 4° 16¼' east 106.67 feet; thence south 24° 28¼' east 145.85 feet; thence south 7° 18¾' west 25.02 feet to the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek; thence along the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek the following courses and distances: south 88° 45¼' west 107.58 feet; thence north 74° 45½' west 54.09 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.989 acre, and being a portion of Parcel 65, Alameda County Lands, conveyed by Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Book 2350, Official Records, page 1 of Alameda County Records.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Laguna Creek and Sinbad Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Sullivan—2.

## Carried.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

## Payment of Annual Dues, Alta California Inc.

Supervisor Meyer moved that the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the request to the Controller for the issuance of a warrant for the payment of the Board's annual membership dues in Alta California Inc. for the period of July 1, 1946, to June 30, 1947, payable from Appropriation No. 601.800.00, Fixed Charges—Board of Supervisors.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Sullivan and *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan.

## Closing and Abandoning Certain Streets for Apparel City.

Proposal No. 5922, Resolution No. 5741 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of June, 1946, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly adopted Resolution No. 5579 (Series of 1939), being a resolution of intention to close certain streets for Apparel City, which resolution was approved June 18, 1946, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

INTENTION TO CLOSE AND ABANDON CERTAIN  
STREETS FOR APPAREL CITY.

Resolution No. 5579 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Apparel City Corporation has requested through its engineers, Punnett, Parez & Hutchison, that certain streets adjoining its property be closed and abandoned so that said Apparel City Corporation may open new streets in lieu of the streets proposed to be closed and abandoned as per written agreement on file with the Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Apparel City Corporation is the owner of all the lands adjoining both sides of said streets proposed to be closed and abandoned; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the public interest requires and it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of the existing streets either mapped or dedicated that lie within the following described perimeter:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue distant thereon North 54° 28' 21" West 23.080 feet from the point of intersection formed by said Southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue produced South 54° 28' 21" East and the Northwesterly line of Industrial Street produced North 70° 35' 09" East (NOTE: The bearing of the Southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue is taken to be South 54° 28' 21" East and all bearings herein mentioned are related thereto); running thence Southeasterly, Southerly and Southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to said Southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue, with a radius of 12 feet, a

central angle of 125° 03' 30", a distance of 26.1922 feet to tangency with said Northwesterly line of Industrial Street; thence South 70° 35' 09" West tangent to the preceding curve 1703.3919 feet, to the Southerly production of the Westerly line of Loomis Street; thence North 4° 17' 49" East along said Westerly line of Loomis Street so produced a distance of 226.0660 feet to a point distant thereon South 4° 17' 49" West 60 feet from the Northerly line of Waterloo Street; thence South 85° 42' 11" East 60 feet to the Easterly line of said Loomis Street produced Southerly; thence North 4° 17' 49" East along said Easterly line of Loomis Street so produced and along the Easterly line of Loomis Street a distance of 481.0810 feet to an angle point therein; thence continuing along said Easterly line of Loomis Street North 21° 12' 23" East 697.4310 feet to an angle point therein; thence along said Easterly line of Loomis Street North 30° 13' 39" East 102.1310 feet to the Southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue; thence South 54° 28' 21" East along said Southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue 1465.9090 feet to the point of beginning.

The streets to be closed within the above perimeter are more particularly described as follows:

Toland Street from the southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue to the northwesterly line of Industrial Street.

Barneveld Avenue from the southwesterly line of Oakdale Avenue to the northwesterly line of Industrial Street.

All of Swan Street.

All of Hecker Street.

All of Stringham Street.

All of Adele Street.

Charter Oak Avenue from the northwesterly line of Industrial Street to the southwesterly line of Barneveld Avenue.

Waterloo street from the southeasterly line of Loomis Street to the northwesterly line of Barneveld Avenue, together with the crossings and intersections of said streets within the above-described perimeter.

Reference is made to a map on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Said closing and abandonment shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 8th day of July, 1946, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said streets in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 17, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 18, 1946.

R. D. LAPHAM,  
Mayor.

Whereas, the Clerk of this Board did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and said Department of Public Works did upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of 10 days in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said closing and abandonment, to be done as described in said Resolution No. 5579; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said closing and abandonment; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That certain streets, as described above, for Apparel City be, and are hereby closed and abandoned; and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Recorder and to the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and that said Recorder and said Director of Public Works are hereby instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Sullivan—2.

#### Consideration Postponed.

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of the Southwesterly Half of Corwin Street Between Acme Alley and a Point 227.72 Feet Northwesterly Therefrom.**

Proposal No. 5923, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of June, 1946, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly adopted Resolution No. 5580 (Series of 1939) being a resolution of intention to close a portion of Corwin Street, which resolution was approved June 18, 1946, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

#### Resolution No. 5580 (Series of 1939)

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon the southerly portion of Corwin Street situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Acme Alley with the southwesterly line of Corwin

Street and running thence northeasterly along said line of Acme Alley produced northeasterly 10.734 feet; thence deflecting  $92^{\circ} 02' 24''$  to the left and running northwesterly 78.621 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 98 feet central angle of  $24^{\circ} 27' 16''$  a distance of 41.827 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 75.898 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 42 feet central of  $25^{\circ} 21' 17''$  a distance of 18.586 feet to a point of reverse curve; thence northwesterly on the arc of a reverse curve to the right with a radius of 58 feet central angle of  $36^{\circ} 09' 32''$  a distance of 36.603 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Corwin Street; thence southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street 12.845 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 58 feet central angle of  $13^{\circ} 21' 50''$  a distance of 13.528 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street tangent to the preceding curve 134.719 feet; thence deflecting  $21^{\circ} 08' 24''$  to the left and running southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street 93 feet to point of beginning.

Reference is made to a map on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, showing the portion of Corwin Street proposed to be closed.

Said closing and abandonment shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 8th day of July, 1946, the Board of Supervisors will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

To cover the costs of advertising and expenses incidental to said closing, Mr. Matthew A. Little, No. 1 Grand View Avenue, an abutting property owner, has paid the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of One Hundred (\$100) Dollars.

The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing of said street in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 17, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 18, 1946.

R. D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

Whereas, the Clerk of this Board did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and said Department of Public Works did upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner

and as required by law, notice of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of 10 days in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said closing and abandonment to be done as described in said Resolution No. 5580; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said closing and abandonment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above described portion of Corwin Street be, and is hereby closed and abandoned, and be it

Further resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Recorder and to the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and that said Recorder and said Director of Public Works are hereby instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Director of Public Property.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, *consideration was postponed until Monday, August 19, 1946.*

Passed for Second Reading.

Ordering the Improvement of Wawona Street Between Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, Including the Crossings of Wawona at Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to legalize the Assessment and Providing for Payment for Work to Be Performed in Front of City Property and Making Appropriations Therefor.

Bill No. 4220, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Wawona Street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street at Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues.

Appropriating \$3,730 to legalize the assessment and to provide for work in front of City property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 28, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.



That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Wawona Street, between Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street at Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

- | <i>Item No.</i> | <i>Item</i>                   |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 1.              | Grading (Excavation)          |
| 2.              | 18-inch V.C.P. Sewer          |
| 3.              | 10-inch V.C.P. Culvert        |
| 4.              | Brick Catch-basins, Complete  |
| 5.              | 18x6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches |
| 6.              | 6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers     |
| 7.              | Unarmored Concrete Curb       |
| 8.              | Asphaltic Concrete Pavement   |
| 9.              | Two-course Concrete Sidewalk  |
| 10.             | Water Services, Long          |
| 11.             | Water Services, Short         |
| 12.             | Water Main                    |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

- Block 2452, Lots 3 (City Property), 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10;
- Block 2453, Lots 1-A, 1-E, 1-F, 1-G, 1-H, 1-I, 1-J, 1-K, 1-L, 1-M, 1-N, 1-O, 1-P, 1-Q, 2, 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 3, 3-A, 4, 5, 5-A, 6, 7, 8, and 9;
- Block 2454, Lots 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40;
- Block 2511, Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11;
- Block 2512, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7; and
- Block 2513, Lots 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$3,730 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the allotted balances existing in the reserve for "City Aid" and "Work in front of City Property" to the following appropriations for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter and the payment for work in front of City owned property as herein provided, and in the amounts indicated:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>		
548.906.20-1	City Aid .....	\$3,700
548.916.07-1	Work Front City Property .....	30
		\$3,730

These amounts are based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amounts will be applied against these appropriations and the excess amounts will revert to the reserve for "City Aid" and "Work in front of City property."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Sullivan—2.

### Changing and Establishing Grades on Clipper Street Extension.

Bill No. 4237, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled, "Grade Map of Clipper Street Extension showing the proposed change and establishment of grades on Clipper Street extension between Douglass Street and Portola Drive, on Clipper Street (east of Douglass) between Douglass Street and a line 37.67 feet easterly therefrom, on Douglass between lines respectively 99 feet northerly from 26th Street and 176.84 feet southerly from 25th Street, on Burnham Street between Clipper Street and a line 233.20 feet southerly from 25th Street, and on Clipper Street (west of Burnham) between Burnham Street and a line 81.21 feet westerly therefrom."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of June, 1946, by Resolution No. 5581 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map of Clipper Street Extension showing the proposed change and establishment of grades on Clipper Street Extension between Douglass Street and Portola Drive, on Clipper Street (east of Douglass) between Douglass Street and a line 37.67 feet easterly therefrom, on Douglass between lines respectively 99 feet northerly from 26th Street and 176.84 feet southerly from 25th Street, on Burnham Street between Clipper Street and a line 233.20 feet southerly from 25th Street, and on Clipper Street (west of Burnham) between Burnham Street and a line 81.21 feet westerly therefrom"; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Grades at the points and to the elevations above city base are hereby changed and established as shown on that certain diagram approved June 17, 1946, by Resolution 5581 (Series of 1939) entitled: "Grade Map of Clipper Street Extension showing the proposed change and establishment of grades on Clipper Street Extension between Douglass Street and Portola Drive, on Clipper Street (east of Douglass) between Douglass Street and a line 37.67 feet easterly therefrom, on Douglass between lines respectively 99 feet northerly from 26th Street and 176.84 feet southerly from 25th Street, on Burnham Street between Clipper Street and a line 233.20 feet southerly from 25th Street, and on Clipper Street (west of Burnham) between Burnham Street and a line 81.21 feet westerly therefrom."

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Sullivan—2.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Forty-fourth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets.**

Bill No. 4238, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente Street and Wawona Street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of June, 1946, by Resolution No. 5590 (Series of 1939), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente Street and Wawona Street; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

**FORTY-FOURTH AVENUE**

- Easterly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . . 42.33 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)
- Westerly line of, at Vicente Street . . . . . 40.45 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)
- 100 feet southerly from Vicente Street . . . . . 42.73 ft.
- 150 feet northerly from Wawona Street . . . . . 45.50 ft.
- Easterly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . . 44.71 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)
- Westerly line of, at Wawona Street . . . . . 44.00 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)

On Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente Street and Wawona Street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Sullivan—2.

**Accepting Roadway of Quintara Street Between Thirty-ninth and Forty-second Avenues, Including Crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues and Quintara Street, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4239, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Quintara Street between Thirty-ninth Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Quintara Street between Thirty-ninth Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the Deputy City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Mead, Sullivan—3.

**Ordering the Improvement of Thirty-sixth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets and Providing for the Payment for Work to Be Performed in Front of City Property and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4240, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Thirty-sixth Avenue between Pacheco and Quintara Streets.

Appropriating \$5,800 from the allotted balance in "Reserve for Work in front of City property."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 12, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-sixth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara Streets by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (Excavation)
2.	Grading (Fill)
3.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer
4.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer in Crossing
5.	Brick Manholes, Complete
6.	8 x 6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches
7.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers
8.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
9.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Subbase Pavement

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:  
 Block 2155, Lots 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39,  
 40, 41, 42 and 43; and  
 Block 2156, Lot 1 (City Property)

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$5,800 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the allotted balance in the "Reserve for Work in Front of City Property" Appropriation No. 548.916.05 for the payment of work in front of the Sunset Boulevard owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.916.05-1.

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for Work in Front of City Property."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Mead, Sullivan—3.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of County, State and National Affairs Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, Meyer, McMurray.

Opening Streets in Vicinity of Fisherman's Wharf, Heretofore Temporarily Closed.

Proposal No. 5943, Resolution No. 5751 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the hereinafter named streets in the City and County of San Francisco, which were temporarily closed, be opened:

Stockton, Powell, Mason, Taylor and Jones Streets between North Point and Beach Streets; and

Leavenworth Street between Columbus Avenue and Beach Street;

in order to provide necessary and proper accessibility to Fisherman's Wharf, with its diversified and multitudinous attractions, and the varied businesses and industries in its vicinity, and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works take steps to accomplish this at as early a date as possible.

After explanation by Supervisor Lewis, who pointed out that the foregoing proposal merely was to authorize the City Attorney, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works to take steps necessary to effect the opening of the streets listed, the roll was called and the proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Requesting Civil Aeronautics Board to Reconsider Certain Proposed Restrictions Affecting Independent Operators of Air Transport Business and to Grant Them Full Operational Rights, Thus Encouraging Development of Aviation and Air Techniques for Both Economic and National Security.

Proposal No. 5944, Resolution No. 5737 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, approximately 7,000 returned war pilots, honorably discharged from the services of the United States, are now engaged in independent aircraft transportation operation in competition with seventeen major air lines of this country; and

Whereas, more than 20,000 returned veterans are engaged as ground crew members to service these independently operated air transport lines throughout the nation; and

Whereas, the officials of these independent air transport companies are returned servicemen and veteran pilots who, believing in freedom of enterprise have invested their lives savings in former war aircraft with which to engage in the air transport business; and

Whereas, these air transport companies and personnel are available as a reserve force for the future air protection of our national security, and are necessary for the maintenance of these United States as an air power second to none; and

Whereas, the Civil Aeronautics Board in Washington, D. C., has indicated that restrictions will be put into effect on August 1, 1946, which would confine these independent operators—referred to as "non-scheduled" or "fixed base air carriers"—to limited schedules and possible bankruptcy; and

Whereas, the Civil Aeronautics Board is duty bound to "encourage and foster the development of civil aeronautics in the United States and abroad"; and

Whereas, there exists a current need of supplemental air service for passengers and freight to take up the backlog of unserved passengers and freight; and

Whereas, any further restrictions on independent operators would encourage monopoly and deprive returned veterans of their right to engage in an equitable competitive business for which they have been trained; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, urges the Civil Aeronautics Board to reconsider its proposed restrictive measures and instead grant full operational rights to independent operators and encourage the development of aviation and air techniques for both economic and national security; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Civil Aeronautics Board in Washington, D. C.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Public Utilities Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Brown, Meyer.

**Urging KNILM—The Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines—to Base Its West Coast Operations in San Francisco.**

Proposal No. 5932, Resolution No. 5745 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it has come to attention of this Board of Supervisors that the Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines—KNILM—authorized to engage in air transportation from Australia to the West Coast, has decided to base its West Coast operations in Southern California; and

Whereas, it is the belief of this Board of Supervisors that said decision has been based partly on the assumption that KNILM, by locating in Southern California, will be closer to maintenance and repair facilities; and

Whereas, San Francisco is unquestionably the most logical terminal for this operation, and the needs for repair and maintenance can be as readily met here as elsewhere, which is illustrated by the action taken by the United Airlines in moving the repair and maintenance work for the entire United System to its base at the San Francisco Airport; and

Whereas, the operating efficiency of the San Francisco Airport in terms of performance is unparalleled and certainly supports every reason for KNILM to base its operations here; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as urging KNILM—the Royal Netherlands Indies Airlines—to reconsider its decision to base its West Coast operations in Southern California, and to select San Francisco as its operational base; and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be immediately transmitted to Miss Julie G. Lynch, Acting Director, Netherlands Information Bureau, to Mr. E. A. L. De Jonge, United States Representative of KNILM, to TH. de Bruyn, Commanding Officer, 19th Squadron, Berry Park, Brisbane, Australia, and to the Honorable F. C. Aronstein, Commissioner, Economic and Financial Affairs Board for The Netherlands Indies, Surinam and Curacao, 10 Rockefeller Plaza, New York City.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**Concurring in Appointment of L. V. Newton to Represent San Francisco on Committee Formed to Study Transit Problems of Bay Area Communities Served by the Key System.**

Proposal No. 5947, Resolution No. 5754 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Bay Area is at the present time in a critical period of its development, and, with the tremendous increase in its population complicating the problems of traffic congestion on streets and overcrowding of streetcars and buses, there is a pressing demand for the

provision of an adequate, well planned transit system for the present needs and future growth of the Bay Area; and

Whereas, the Key System serves eleven cities in the Bay Area, and the suggestion has been advanced by the Honorable Fitch Robertson, Mayor of the City of Berkeley, that a committee be formed of representation from each of said cities to plan with Inter City Lines, Inc., operators of the Key System, on their contemplated changes in service and the communities' present needs and future developments of transportation, said representation being desirable due to the necessity for proper transit planning to be on a Bay-wide area basis; and

Whereas, Mr. Leonard V. Newton, Consultant of the Public Utilities Commission, has been named by Mayor Roger D. Lapham and Manager of Utilities James H. Turner to serve as a member of said Bay Area transit planning committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, cognizant of the need for a well planned transit system to properly and adequately service the present and future needs of the Bay Area communities, does hereby endorse the formation of said planning committee, and does hereby concur in the appointment of Mr. Leonard V. Newton, Consultant of the Public Utilities Commission, as the representative of the City and County of San Francisco on said committee; and, be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the Honorable Fitch Robertson, Mayor of the City of Berkeley.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**Requesting City Planning Commission and Public Utilities Commission to Report as to Feasibility of Developing Master Airport Plan for San Francisco to Determine Airport Facility Requirements and Economic Methods of Meeting Such Requirements.**

Proposal No. 5948, Resolution No. 5738 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco does not at present have a Master Airport Plan embracing construction of a sufficient number of airports adequate to meet the present and future needs of San Francisco users of both commercial and private airplanes; and

Whereas, it is desirable at this time to ascertain the need for such a Master Airport Plan for the determination of San Francisco's airport facility requirements and methods of meeting such requirements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission and the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby requested jointly to consider the desirability and feasibility of the development of said Master Airport Plan for San Francisco, in order to determine the present and future airport needs of both commercial and private users of aircraft and to determine the most practical and economic method of meeting those needs; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Planning Commission and the Public Utilities Commission be and they are hereby requested to report their conclusions to this Board of Supervisors, together with report as to the effect, if any, which the existence of such a Master Airport Plan would have on possible participation by the City and County of San Francisco in any program for contributions of Federal Government funds to municipal airport projects.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.



**Consideration Continued.**

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, Christopher, MacPhee.

**Amending Resolution No. 3450 (Series of 1939), Entitled: "Traffic Regulations—Left-Hand Turns Prohibited," by Adding Sub-Section (f), Designating Streets From Which Left-Hand Turns Are Prohibited at any Time.**

Proposal No. 5752, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Article 3, Section 34 of Bill 863, Ordinance 890 (Series of 1939), "Traffic Code," the following traffic regulations be adopted:

(a) Except from the streets listed in this subdivision, the operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn into any part of Market Street between the easterly line of The Embarcadero and a prolongation of the easterly line of Eleventh Street:

Davis Street.  
Fifth Street.  
Fremont Street.  
Grant Avenue.  
Main Street.  
O'Farrell Street.  
Sansome Street.  
Seventh Street.  
Steuart Street.

(b) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn from the streets and as indicated in this subdivision:

Ellis Street into Stockton Street.  
Geary Street into Kearny Street.  
Golden Gate Avenue into Taylor Street.  
Oak Street into Van Ness Avenue.  
Post Street into Montgomery Street.

(c) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn at any of the following intersections:

Jessie and New Montgomery Streets.  
Jessie and Fourth Streets.  
Jessie and Fifth Streets.  
Sixth and Stevenson Streets.  
Sixth and Jessie Streets.  
Stevenson and New Montgomery Streets.  
Stevenson and Third Streets.  
Stevenson and Fifth Streets.

(d) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 4:30 o'clock P. M. and 6:30 o'clock P. M., make a left turn from Plum Street into Mission Street.

(e) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn from Market Street between the easterly line of The Embarcadero and a prolongation of the easterly line of Eleventh Street.

(f) The operator of a vehicle shall not make a left-hand turn, at any time, from the following streets:

Park-Presidio Boulevard and Nineteenth Avenue, between Lake Street to and including the intersection of Junipero Serra Boulevard, with the exception of the "Y" in Golden Gate Park just off Fulton

Street, and the junction of the Crossover Drive and By-Pass in Golden Gate Park adjacent to Lincoln Way.

Signs shall be erected and maintained to give notice of the provisions of this resolution.

*June 10, 1946—Re-referred to Police Committee.*

#### Discussion.

Pursuant to request by the Chief Administrative Officer, who desired a change in the foregoing proposal, Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to committee.

Supervisor MacPhee objected to re-reference to committee. Many people, he stated, have requested the adoption of the proposal. He urged that the matter remain on the Calendar and the desired amendment be submitted for consideration at the next meeting of the Board.

The Chief Administrative Officer then suggested that the matter might be handled without delay by the elimination of reference to Junipero Serra Boulevard, which should not be in the proposal at all.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved that all reference to Junipero Serra Boulevard be eliminated.

Before the motion was put, Supervisor MacPhee changed his motion, moving that consideration be postponed for one week, and in the meantime the Chief Administrative Officer draft the amendment desired. Motion seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Richard H. Newhall, Member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial.**

Proposal No. 5945, Resolution No. 5752 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Richard H. Newhall, a member of the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of August 24 to September 18, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

**Absent:** Supervisor Brown—1.

**Leave of Absence—Dr. Karl L. Schaupp, Commissioner, San Francisco Board of Education.**

Proposal No. 5946, Resolution No. 5753 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Karl L. Schaupp, Commissioner, San Francisco Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the month of September, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

**Absent:** Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Honorable George Christopher, Member of the Board of Supervisors.

Proposal No. 5950, Resolution No. 5755 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable George Christopher, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of four weeks commencing August 19, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Ben G. Kline, Director of Finance and Records.

Proposal No. 5951, Resolution No. 5756 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Ben G. Kline, Director of Finance and Records, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks commencing August 12, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Honorable John J. McMahon, Judge of the Municipal Court.

Proposal No. 5952, Resolution No. 5757 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John J. McMahon, Judge of the Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period August 10 to August 31, 1946, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Howard J. Finn, Member of the Park Commission.

Proposal No. 5953, Resolution No. 5758 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Howard J. Finn, a member of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period August 23 to September 9, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Hon. Roger D. Lapham, Mayor.

Proposal No. 5954, Resolution No. 5759 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Roger D. Lapham, Mayor, is hereby granted

a leave of absence for the period August 14 to August 16, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Non-discrimination Against Veteran Applicants to San Francisco Housing Authority for Housing.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 5949, authorizing agreement between the City and County and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco, providing that veteran applicants for housing shall be housed according to seniority from one master waiting list without regard to race, color or creed.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Grand Jury Investigation of Activities in Connection With Farmers' Market.**

Supervisor Christopher presented orally, Proposal No. 5956, requesting the Grand Jury to check into the matter of the Farmers' Market and to investigate all phases and all persons directly or indirectly connected with it, including the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Colman objected to suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration of the proposal.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Farmers' Market Advisory Board.**

Supervisor Christopher presented orally, Proposal No. 5957, officially acknowledging and designating a Farmers' Market Advisory Board which can be consulted in connection with problems arising from the operation of the Farmers' Market.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Investigation of Fire Department With Reference to Fire Prevention.**

Supervisor Christopher presented orally, Proposal No. 5958, calling for investigation of the activities of the Fire Department with reference to fire prevention, and what has been done about it during the past few months, particularly since April 22, 1946.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Approving Tax Bond on Subdivision of Certain Lots in St. Mary's Park.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee, not appearing on the Calendar, was taken up:

Proposal No. 5955, Resolution No. 5760 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 5648 (Series of 1939), adopted July 8, 1946, that certain map entitled, "Map of resubdivision of lots 58, 59, 60 and 61, Block 5835, St. Mary's Park, San Francisco, California," composed of one sheet approved the 19th day of June, 1946, by Department of Public Works' Order No. 24,367, was approved and

made the official map of the subdivision of Lots 58, 59, 60 and 61, Block 5835, St. Mary's Park, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That that certain bond in the sum of Four Hundred Six and Forty-two Hundredths Dollars (\$406.42) executed the 28th day of May, 1946, between B. D. Scully and Helen P. Scully, as principals, and Edward J. Christal and Edward J. Baker, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map a lien against said tract or subdivision, or any part thereof, but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the said sum of Four Hundred Six and Forty-two Hundredths Dollars (\$406.42) being sufficient to cover all taxes which are a lien upon the property described in said map and which are not yet payable, the amount of such taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be Four Hundred Six and Forty-two Hundredths Dollars (\$406.42).

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**Commending the Native Sons' and Native Daughters' Central Committee for Their Good Work and Favoring Drive for Benefit of Homeless Children.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5959, Resolution No. 5761 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Native Sons' and Daughters' Central Committee on Homeless Children is organizing a drive in the Fall to solicit the purchase of stamps for the extension of their charitable work on behalf of homeless children, and

Whereas, this is a laudable and praiseworthy work and entitled to the full cooperation of all public spirited citizens; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors go on record as in favor of the proposed drive for homeless children and extend to the Native Sons' and Native Daughters' Central Committee our highest commendation for their good work and our assurance of heartiest approval of so worthy an enterprise.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

**Directing Preliminary Steps for Submission to Voters of Charter Amendment to Provide Adequate Benefits for Dependents of Members of Retirement System Who Are Killed in Line of Duty.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5960, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the recent fire in a downtown San Francisco hotel, which, unfortunately, resulted in the deaths of four heroic members of the Fire Department, has focussed attention upon the inadequacy of pension allowances provided by the Charter for dependents of those employees of the City and County of San Francisco who lose their lives in the line of duty, particularly in those hazardous endeavors which are the functions of members of the Fire Department, the Police Department and the Salvage Corps, and

Whereas, it is the philosophy of the people of the City and County of San Francisco to manifest in a material and adequate manner, the gratitude which is felt for public service rendered, and to endeavor to provide equitable and proper benefits for the dependents of those who sacrifice their lives in such service; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, cognizant of the necessity for revision of the charter provisions relating to benefits for dependents of those whose lives are lost in municipal service, does hereby authorize and direct the Judiciary Committee of this Board, immediately to commence studies and hearings in collaboration with the Retirement Board and the City Attorney, for the purpose of presenting to this Board, in time for submission to the voters at the November election, such a charter amendment as will provide adequate benefits for the dependents of those valiant men whose lives are sacrificed in the protection of the people and their property in the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Re-reference to Finance Committee.

The Clerk presented the following proposal which had heretofore been *Adopted*, but which his Honor the Mayor had declined to approve because of lack of certification as to availability of funds by the Controller.

#### Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Land for the Irvington Pump Station, Also an Easement for a Road and Other Purposes.

Proposal No. 5905, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of that certain 0.803 acre tract conveyed by Antonio F. Coria and Lena Coria to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated October 25, 1924, and recorded November 5, 1924, at page 187, Book 844 of Official Records, Alameda County, with the westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way; thence along said westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way north 3° 18' west 250.00 feet; thence leaving said westerly boundary south 72° 31' west 250.00 feet; thence south 3° 18' east 250.00 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of the above mentioned 0.803 acre tract; thence along said northerly boundary north 72° 31' east 250.00 feet to the point of commencement.

Containing 1.391 acres, more or less, and being a portion of that 66.64 acre tract conveyed by Antonio F. Coria to Joseph F. Garcia and Angelina Garcia, his wife, by deed dated May 7, 1945, and recorded May 11, 1945, at page 445, Book 4686 of Official Records, Alameda County.

Parcel 2. A right of way easement to construct, reconstruct, repair, maintain and use a road, with the necessary cuts and fills; also the right to construct, reconstruct, operate, repair, maintain, renew, replace, remove, and/or change the size and/or number of conductors on, a pole line for telephone and for transmission of electric power, over, along, and/or across a strip of land 25 feet in width, being 10 feet measured at right angles easterly, and 15 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and extensions thereof:

Commencing at a point in the center line of that certain road known as the Irvington-Mission San Jose Road in Alameda County, California, said point being distant westerly along said center line 116 feet from the intersection of said center line with the center line of the existing tract of the Western Pacific Railroad; running thence north 2° 59' east 508.88 feet to a point distant 10 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railway Company; thence northerly along a line parallel to, and 10 feet measured at right angles westerly from the westerly line of the Western Pacific Railroad Company the following courses and distances: north 3° 18' west 159.11 feet to a point in the northerly boundary line of First Street as delineated on that certain map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," recorded August 22, 1907, at page 26, Map Book Number 23; said point being distant along said boundary north 54° west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said northerly boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north 3° 18' west, a distance of 748.59 feet to a point in the center line of Third Street, said point being distant along said center line north 54° west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said center line with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north 3° 18' west 699.80 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of Fifth Street as delineated on said map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," said point being distant along said northerly boundary north 54° west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north 3° 18' west 6.06 feet; north 41° 42' east 14.14 feet and north 3° 18' west 797.32 feet to a point in the common boundary between Plat C as delineated on said map of the "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," and that certain tract containing 66.64 acres conveyed by Antonio Falso Coriea, also known as A. F. Coriea, to Joseph F. Garcia, by deed dated May 7, 1945, and recorded May 11, 1945, at page 445, Book 4686 of Official Records, Alameda County; said point being distant along said common boundary south 45° 45' west 13.24 feet from the intersection of said common boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north 3° 18' west 12.82 feet; north 41° 42' east 7.07 feet and north 3° 18' west 241.28 feet; thence leaving said parallel line north 7° 07' 30" west 98.48 feet to a point in the southerly boundary of that certain tract continuing 0.803 acres conveyed by Antonio F. Coria and Lena Coria, his wife, to the City and County of San Francisco, by deed dated October 25, 1924, and recorded November 5, 1924, at page 187, Book 844 of Official Records, Alameda County; said point being distant along said boundary 17.30 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company.

The above described Parcel 1 is required by said City and County of San Francisco for a public use and purpose, to wit: For the construction, maintenance and use of a pump station in connection with the conveyance of water through its Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants. Parcel 2 being required in connection with the construction and operation of said pump station. It is necessary

that a fee simple title be taken to Parcel 1; and that a right of way easement to Parcel 2 be taken for the aforesaid purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The cost of said property shall be paid from Appropriation No. 90.600.66 in an amount not to exceed \$2,500, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Action Rescinded.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved that the action by the Board, taken on August 5, 1946, by which the foregoing proposal had been adopted, be rescinded.

*No objection, and action rescinded.*

Re-reference to Committee.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, there being no objection, the foregoing proposal was *re-referred to Finance Committee.*

City Planning Commission.

Supervisor MacPhee presented a proposal drafted by the City Planning Committee of the Junior Chamber of Commerce for amending those sections of the Charter dealing with the City Planning Commission.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

## BUDGET ESTIMATES

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 69 thereof, "Budget Estimates."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 69 thereof, "Budget Estimates."

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## BUDGET ESTIMATES

Section 69. The fiscal year for the city and county shall begin on the 1st day of July of each year.

The budget estimate for every department and office of the city and county, whether under an elective or an appointive officer or a board or commission, and separately for each utility under the control of the public utilities commission, shall be filed by the executive of such department with, and shall be acted upon



such board or commission. All budget estimates shall be compiled in such detail as shall be required on uniform blanks furnished by the controller. The public utilities commission and the board of education must hold public hearings on their respective budget proposals. Each such elective and appointive officer, board or commission shall, not later than the [15th] 1st day of February of each year, file with the controller for check as to form and completeness two copies of the budget estimate as approved.

The chief administrative officer shall obtain in ample time to pass thereon budget estimates from the heads of departments or offices subject to his control, and, after adjusting or revising the same, not later than the [15th] 1st day of February he shall transmit such budget estimates to the controller.

The controller shall check such estimates and shall upon his request, be furnished with any additional data or information. Not later than the [15th] 1st day of March of each year he shall consolidate such budget estimates and transmit the same to the mayor.

He shall at the same time transmit to the mayor a summary and recapitulation of such budget estimates, segregated by separate departments or offices and units hereof, or by purposes for non-departmental expenditures, and arrange according to classification of objects of expenditure, as required by the controller, to show the amount of proposed expenditures and estimated revenues in comparison with the current and previous fiscal year's expenditures and revenues.

He shall submit at the same time (1) statements showing revenues and other receipts, including the estimated unencumbered surplus in any item or fund at the beginning of the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to specific or general purposes to which such revenues or receipts are legally applicable, for the last complete fiscal year and for the first six months of the current fiscal year, with estimates thereof for the last six months of the current fiscal year, together with estimates of such revenues and receipts for the ensuing fiscal year; (2) statements of the amounts required for interest on, and sinking fund or redemption of, each outstanding bond issue, and for tax judgments and other fixed charges, together with estimates of interest required on bonds proposed to be sold during the ensuing fiscal year, and statements of the city's authorized debt, and judgments outstanding at the time the budget estimates are submitted.

The mayor shall hold such public hearings on these budget estimates as he may deem necessary and may increase, decrease or reject any item contained in the estimates, excepting that he shall not increase any amount nor add any new item or personal services, materials, supplies or contractual services, but may add to the requested appropriations for any public improvement or capital expenditure; provided, however, that the budget estimates of expenditures for any utility, within the estimated revenues of such utility, shall not be increased by the mayor or board of supervisors.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

## ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET AND THE APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by

amending Section 72 thereof, "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 72 thereof, "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET AND THE APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.

Section 72. Not later than the [1st day of May, in 1932, and in each year thereafter] *15th day of April in each year*, the mayor shall transmit to the board of supervisors the consolidated budget estimates for all departments and offices of, and the proposed budget for, the city and county for the ensuing fiscal year, including a detailed estimate of all revenues of each department and an estimate of the amount required to meet bond interest, redemption and other fixed charges of the city and county, and the revenues applicable thereto. He shall, by message accompanying such proposed budget, comment upon the financial program incorporated therein, the important changes as compared with the previous budget, and bond issues, if any, as recommended by him.

The mayor shall submit to the board of supervisors, at the time that he submits said budget estimates and said proposed budget, a draft of the annual appropriation ordinance for the ensuing fiscal year, which shall be prepared by the controller. This shall be based on the proposed budget and shall be drafted to contain such provisions and detail as to furnish an adequate basis for fiscal and accounting control by the controller of each revenue and expenditure appropriation item for the ensuing fiscal year. Upon submission it shall be deemed to have been regularly introduced, and together with the proposed budget, shall be published as required for ordinances.

The detail of the proposed budget to be published shall be as follows:

1. The total cost for conducting each department, bureau, office, board or commission for the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to basic objects of expenditure for each.
2. A detail schedule of positions and compensations, showing any increases or decreases in any department or office.
3. A detail schedule of items for capital outlay.
4. The aforementioned consolidated estimates and schedules shall also include by items contained therein the following information:
  - (a) Expenditures for the last complete fiscal year.
  - (b) Estimated expenditures for the current fiscal year.
  - (c) Proposed increases or decreases as compared with the budget allowances for the current fiscal year.

The board of supervisors shall provide printed copies of the mayor's budget message and proposed budget thus prepared, including comparative expenditures and revenues for the current and preceding fiscal years and other information transmitted therewith, for official use and public demand as requested.

The board of supervisors shall fix the date or dates, not less than five days after publication as in this section provided, for consideration of and public hearings on the proposed budget and proposed appropriation ordinance.

The board of supervisors may decrease or reject any item contained in the proposed budget, but shall not increase any amount or add any new item for personal services or materials, supplies, or contractual services, for any department, unless requested in writing so to do by the mayor, on the recommendation of the chief administrative officer, board, commission or elective officer, in charge of such department.

The board of supervisors may increase or insert appropriations for capital expenditures and public improvements.

After public hearing, and not earlier than the 15th day of May, nor later than the 1st day of June, the board shall adopt the proposed budget as submitted or as amended and shall pass the necessary appropriation ordinance. If the appropriation ordinance as submitted by the mayor is amended by the supervisors, the appropriation ordinance shall be readvertised prior to final reading or passage, in the manner required for ordinances.

Any item in such appropriation ordinance except for bond interest, redemption or other fixed charges, may be vetoed in whole or in part by the mayor within ten days of receipt by him from the clerk of the board of supervisors of the ordinance as passed by the board, and the board of supervisors shall act on such veto not later than the 20th day of June.

The several items of expenditure appropriated in each annual appropriation ordinance, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth in any said annual appropriation ordinance until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation in any annual appropriation ordinance derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to section 86 of this charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the chief administrative officer, board or commission and the approval of the controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Subject to the restrictions hereinbefore in this section included, the several amounts of estimated revenue and proposed expenditures contained in the annual appropriation ordinance as adopted by the board of supervisors shall be and become appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year to and for the several departments, bureaus, offices, utilities, boards or commissions, and for the purposes specified, and each department for which an expenditure appropriation has been made shall be authorized to use the money so appropriated for the purposes specified in the appropriation ordinance, and within the limits of the appropriation. The appropriation ordinance shall constitute the authority for the controller to set up the required revenue and expenditure accounts. Appropriation items for bond interest, bond redemption, fixed charges and other purposes not appropriated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the chief administrative officer for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Bond Election in Los Angeles.

Supervisor MacPhee, who had been in Los Angeles during the past week, reported on bond election held there. On Tuesday, August 6, a special election was held at which the people were asked to vote on a number of bond issues. Less than 15 per cent of the voters went to the polls. All bond measures were defeated. In closing his report, Supervisor MacPhee called attention to the fact that San Francisco never has had such a record.

#### Report on Bridge Hearings.

Supervisor Lewis, when requested by Supervisor Colman to report on the bridge hearings held in Polk Hall, Civic Auditorium, stated that there was little he could say at the time. San Francisco's presentation, he thought, would be made on Tuesday, August 13, 1946, and he would welcome the presence of the Supervisors at that time.

#### Consideration of Bond Measures.

Supervisor Colman, chairman of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, in reply to questioning by Supervisor Mancuso, stated that his committee had requested the City Planning Commission to advise the committee as to the most urgent needs on which bond issues would be proposed, stated that on receipt of the requested information he would be glad to meet with Supervisor Mancuso's committee to consider the program.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:30 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 23, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, August 19, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 19, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 19, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Christopher on leave of absence.

Supervisor Colman excused from attendance.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Mr. and Mrs. D. Lynch and family, thanking Board for its expression of sympathy.

*Ordered filed.*

From Mrs. Charles H. Shedd, requesting removal of "no parking" signs from one side of Pleasant Street.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From Frances J. Gordy, requesting that parking of automobiles be permitted on one side of Pleasant Street.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From Civil Liberties League of Golden West Lodge No. 86, requesting privilege of the floor to discuss problem of restrictive covenants.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Tax Collector, submitting list of tax deeded lands that may be sold at public auction.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Fire Commission, requesting enactment of ordinance providing for regulation of public garages, commercial garages, and automobile sales departments.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From Frederic L. Alexander, concerning increase in Federal funds provided for aged blind and children's aid.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From State Railroad Commission, announcing hearing on application of Southern Pacific Co. for increased fares between San Francisco and San Jose and intermediate points.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Senate Interim Committee on State and Local Taxation, announcing hearing in City Hall, San Francisco, on August 27.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From State Department of Public Health, requesting report as to planning and financing in connection with suitable sewage disposal.

*Referred to Chief Administrative Officer.*

From Federal and State Income Tax Service, requesting reconsideration of Bill No. 4123, providing for rent control measures on commercial or business property.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Manager of Utilities, transmitting report concerning accident problems and projected plans for control.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee; Clerk to procure copy of report for each member of Board.*

From the Mayor, transmitting data in connection with request for appropriation of additional funds for maintenance of minors in foster homes.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the Civil Service Commission, advising that it considers inadvisable at this time submission of a charter amendment which would establish a basis for adjustment of existing schedules without a salary standardization survey.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From Parkside District Improvement Club, supporting proposal to prohibit left-hand turns from Nineteenth Avenue.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From John G. Brucato, Chairman, Farmers' Market Advisory Board, requesting privilege of the floor when Supervisor Christopher returns from his leave of absence.

*Clerk to reply and advise writer that he will be given the privilege of the floor at any time he desires.*

Presented by Supervisor MacPhee: From an anonymous writer, suggesting revival of annual baseball game between Police and Fire Department, for benefit of firemen killed in Herbert Hotel fire.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

#### Authorizing Sale of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7054.

Bill No. 4169, Ordinance No. 3982 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 7054.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Lakeview Avenue with the southwesterly line of Capitol



Avenue, as per map showing the widening of Capitol Avenue filed May 31, 1929, in Map Book "M" at page 14, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; and running thence southeasterly along said line of Capitol Avenue 104.220 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 103° 52' 45" to the right from the preceding course and running westerly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 101.177 feet to the southerly line of Lakeview Avenue and the point of beginning.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

- Recommended by the Director of Property.
- Recommended by the Director of Public Works.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved as to description by the City Engineer.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Appropriating the Sum of \$398,318 From the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the Sum of \$1,101,682 From the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Fund of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to Provide Funds for Additions and Betterments, Replacements and Reconstruction, and Purchase Payment to the Market Street Railway During the Current Fiscal Year.

Bill No. 4222, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$398,318 from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the sum of \$1,101,682 from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Fund of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for additions and betterments, replacements and reconstruction, and purchase payment to the Market Street Railway during the current fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$398,318 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, and the sum of \$1,101,682 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Municipal Railway to provide funds for additions and betterments, replacements and reconstruction, and purchase payment to the Market Street Railway during the current fiscal year:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>	
665.500.00	Additions and Betterments . . . . . \$371,893
665.925.00	Replacements and Reconstruction . . . . . 26,425
665.925.50	Replacements and Reconstruction, Market Street Extension . . . . . 750,000
665.551.50	Purchase Payment and Interest . . . . . 351,682

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission, Resolution No. 7561.

Unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*July 29, 1946—Consideration continued until August 5, 1946.*

Supervisor Lewis urged the Board to give the foregoing matter very serious consideration. "The Public Utilities Commission is asking approximately \$400,000 for new street car tracks on Market Street," he said. "I think before appropriating such funds the Commission should come forth with some definite plan and tell us where they are going. This means we are more or less bound to street car service on Market Street for some time. Definite plans have not been advanced." Supervisor Lewis objected to spending \$400,000 for one street and \$300,000 for another street. We do not know where we are going and it is pretty bad business. Supervisor Lewis called attention to statement made by the Utilities Commission on April 18, 1946, that the widest possible use would be made of modern motor coaches and trolley buses, etc., and that the Commission contemplated no expenditure to maintain street cars beyond a suitable length of time.

Supervisor Mead objected to the proposed appropriations, stating that there were several items to be considered: one for additions and betterments; another for replacements and reconstructions; replacements and reconstruction, Market Street Extension, etc. "I would want to vote for at least one of these matters," Supervisor Mead stated, "and I ask to take them up seriatim."

Thereupon, the Chair announced: "Supervisor Mead asks for division of the question on each item."

*No objection, and so ordered.*

*First Item—Additions and Betterments, \$371,893.*

Supervisor Mancuso suggested that before voting on the above item, the Board should hear from the Manager of Utilities, who had stated that it was absolutely necessary to take care of the car tracks. More money would be spent for the repair of equipment than for the replacement of the tracks. In the absence of Mr. Turner, Manager of Utilities, the Board should hear from Mr. L. V. Newton, who was present at the meeting.

Mr. Newton declared that regardless of the ultimate plan, it would be so far in the future that this \$400,000, if approved by the Board, would not be lost. In any case, he did not believe necessary repairs could be made before next spring. However, in order to obtain ties, rails, etc., in time for their use in the spring, orders must be placed in the very near future, and before placing the order, the funds therefor must be on hand.

Supervisor Mead announced his intention to vote against all items in the foregoing bill except that for Purchase Payment and Interest. This was a tremendous amount of money which the Board was being asked to appropriate for something which could not be obtained before next spring.

Mr. Lloyd Taylor, of the Market Street Association, on being granted the privilege of the floor, stated that in 1944, before the consolidation of the Market Street Railway and the Municipal Railway, it was pledged not to perpetuate the street car. He desired to know if the tracks on Market Street were to be temporary or permanent. Assurance had been given that the greatest possible use of motor buses would be made.

Supervisor Mancuso objected to the statement by Mr. Taylor. Mass transportation, he held, must be handled by street cars or by subway. A subway was a long way off. The appropriation being discussed was required to keep up the two tracks on Market Street.

Mr. Newton, in answer to Mr. Taylor, claimed that Mr. Taylor had not given all the facts to the Board. The Public Utilities Commission had made qualifying statements in regard to street cars. It had never contemplated the removal of all tracks from Market Street.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the first appropriation item, *Appropriation No. 665.500.00, Additions and Betterments, \$371,893*, was *Approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

*Second Item — Appropriation No. 665.925.00, Replacements and Reconstruction, \$26,425.*

Item *Approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

*Third Item — Appropriation No. 665.925.00, Replacements and Reconstruction, Market Street Extension, \$750,000.*

Item *Approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—3.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

*Fourth Item — Appropriation No. 665.551.50, Purchase Payment and Interest, \$351,682.*

Item *Approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Thereupon, the President rapped the gavel, indicating the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed*.

In reply to question by Supervisor MacPhee as to the necessity of voting on the foregoing bill, as a whole, on Final Passage, the President stated that was unnecessary.

**Appropriating \$4.56, for Purpose of Reimbursing Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund in Same Amount Covering Disbursement Made on Emergency Order for Cash on Which Signatures Were Forged.**

Bill No. 4223, Ordinance No. 3986 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4.56 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 656.840.05 (Indigent Aid) for the purpose of reimbursing the Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund in the same amount covering disbursement made on emergency order for cash on which signatures were forged.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4.56 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 656.840.05—Indigent Aid—to the credit of the Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund.

Section 2. This appropriation is made necessary because of an unauthorized disbursement made by the Public Welfare Department in the amount of \$4.56 representing an emergency authorization for cash in like amount on which the signature of both the social service worker and recipient were forged and for which the department is unable to determine responsibility.

The Controller is hereby directed to draw his warrant to reimburse the Public Welfare Department Revolving Fund in the amount of \$4.56 from the appropriation herein indicated, and to make the necessary entries on the books of the Public Welfare Department and the Controller to reflect this loss of \$4.56.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by Adding 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner to List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.

Bill No. 4228, Ordinance No. 3989 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by adding 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.35. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
San Francisco Airport	B4 Bookkeeper .....	1	4
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer .	1	8
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer .	1	4
	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer ..	1	4
	C102 Janitress .....	2	8
	C104 Janitor .....	4	8
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor ...	1	8
	O58 Gardener .....	1	8
Hetch Hetchy	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
Water Supply,	B4 Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
Utilities	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer ..	1	4
Engineering			
Water Supply,	B222 General Clerk .....	1	8
Power Operative	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	4
	C104 Janitor .....	1	8

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
Inter-Intra- departmental (as needed)	O58 Gardener .....	1	8
	O60 Sub-Foreman Gardener .....	1	8
Municipal Railway	B4 Bookkeeper .....	8	4
	B6 Senior Bookkeeper .....	2	4
	B10 Accountant .....	3	4
	B102 Teller .....	4	8
	B103 Cashier C .....	1	8
	B210 Office Assistant .....	4	4
	B222 General Clerk .....	15	8
	B222 General Clerk .....	51	4
	B228 Senior Clerk .....	4	4
	B228 Senior Clerk (Shops) .....	1	8
	B234 Head Clerk .....	4	4
	B239 Statistician .....	1	4
	B308a Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) ....	16	4
	B308b Calculating Machine Operator (rotary) .....	2	4
	B309 Key Punch Operator .....	3	4
	B310 Tabulating Machine Operator .....	8	4
	B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine and Key Punch Operator ..	2	4
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer ..	26	4
	B454 Telephone Operator .....	1	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	18	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
	B516 Senior Clerk-Typist .....	3	4
	C52 Elevator Operator .....	2	8
	C104 Janitor .....	All	4
	C104.1 Car Cleaner .....	All	4
	C105 <b>Working Foreman Car Cleaner</b> .....	1	4
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor... All	All	4
	C107.1 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	All	4
	C202 Window Cleaner .....	2	4
	F654 Supervisor Traffic Checkers..	1	4
	F654 Traffic Checker .....	5	4
	F654 Superintendent of Traffic ...	1	4
	F654 Sick Leave Investigator .....	1	8
M72 Bus Dispatcher .....	1	8	
O173 Superintendent of Cable Machinery .....	1	4	
S115 Senior Claims Investigator... 2	2	4	
S122 Senior Inspector .....	1	8	
Water	B247 Meter Reader .....	All	8
	M270 Superintendent of Machine Shop and Equipment ....	1	8
	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
	O52 Farmer .....	1	8
House Service	B454 Telephone Operator .....	2	8
	C52 Elevator Operator .....	1	8
	C104 Janitor .....	1	8
	C104 Janitor .....	4	4
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor... 1	1	4
Agricultural Division	O51 Farmer Sub-Foreman .....	1	8
	V30 Assistant Superintendent ...	1	4

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
Docks and Shipping	B234 Head Clerk .....	1	4
	U125 Hoseman, Ships and Docks ..	4	8
General	O172 Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
City Distribution General	O172 Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
Millbrae Station	B228 Senior Clerk .....	1	8
	B354 General Storekeeper .....	1	4
	O60.1 Foreman Gardener .....	1	8
	U228 Meterman Country .....	1	8
Alameda	B222 General Clerk .....	1	8
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
	O58 Gardener .....	1	8
	U231 Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division .....	1	4
Functional As Needed	U230 Maintenance Foreman .....	3	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 77, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES, FOR CONSTRUCTION OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO, by Converting the Salary Schedule of Item 24 Cook From Monthly Rate of \$210 to Daily Rate of \$10.12.

Bill No. 4229, Ordinance No. 3988 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 77, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES, FOR CONSTRUCTION OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO, by converting the salary schedule of item 24 Cook from monthly rate of \$210 to daily rate of \$10.12.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 77 is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

##### INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICES

##### FOR CONSTRUCTION OUTSIDE SAN FRANCISCO

(Not included in Budget Estimates, submitted for inclusion in Salary Ordinance only to establish classifications as needed. The rates of pay herein specified are maximum rates. Lower rates may be paid.)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
1	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer .....			\$430
4	Assistant Electrical Engineer .....			430
5	Assistant Mechanical Engineer .....			430
6	Auto Machinist .....		\$13.00	
7	Blacksmith .....		12.00	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
8	Blacksmith's Helper		10.40	
11	Boilermaker		10.72	
12	Boilermaker's Helper		8.50	
13	Brakeman		8.50	
14	Bookkeeper			260
15	Construction Superintendent		17.50	
16	Construction Foreman		16.00	
18	Cable Splicer		17.40	
19	Carpenter		14.00	
20	Carpenter Foreman		15.00	
21	Cement Finisher		12.00	
22	Cement Gun Operator		9.00	
23	Clerk, General			230
24	<b>Cook</b>		10.12	
25	Cook's Helper			165
26	Compressorman		11.60	
27	Construction Engineer			660
28	Concrete Man		8.50	
29	Concrete Foreman		9.50	
30	Chainman			290
31	Chucktender		10.00	
32	Comptometer Operator			230
33	Draftsman			320
34	Detectorman			250
35	Dishwasher			155
36	Designer			430
37	Driver		9.15	
38	Driver (Tractor)		13.60	
39	Diver, per dive		50.00	
40	Estimator			320
41	Electrician		15.00	
41.1	Junior Engineer			320
42	Engineer (Mechanical)			520
43	Engineer Assistant			430
44	Engineer (Assistant Construction)			430
45	Photostat Operator			250
46	General Clerk-Typist			230
47	Architectural Draftsman			320
48	Architectural Designer			430
49	Architect			500
50	Civil Engineering Designer			430
51	Electrical Engineering Draftsman			320
52	Electrical Engineering Designer			430
53	Electrical Engineering Inspector			375
54	Electrical Engineer			520
55	Hydraulic Engineering Designer			430
56	Mechanical Draftsman			320
57	Mechanical Engineering Designer			430
58	Structural Draftsman			320
59	Structural Engineering Designer			430
60	Structural Engineering Inspector			375
61	Structural Engineer			520
62	Assistant Chief Surveyor			340
63	Chief Surveyor			375
64	Foreman		15.00	
65	Foreman		12.00	
66	Foreman, General		16.00	
67	Field Assistant			290
68	Fire Boss			290
69	Form Man		8.50	
70	Grout Gunman		9.00	

Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
71	Gunite Helper		8.50	
72	Gunite Mixerman		10.00	
73	Gate Tender		8.50	
74	Graderman		8.50	
75	Groundman		9.00	
76	Engineer of Hoisting and Portable Engines		13.20	
77	Hod Carrier		13.60	
78	Hostler		9.00	
79	Housesmith		14.00	
80	Housesmith Foreman		16.00	
81	Inspector			290
82	Inspector, Engineer			320
83	Inspector, Chief			390
84	Janitress			180
85	Janitor			195
86	Jackhammerman		10.00	
87	Kitchen Helper			155
88	Laborer		8.50	
89	Lineman		13.60	
90	Lampman			175
91	Lineman Helper		9.00	
92	Mechanic, Camp		13.00	
93	Machinist		13.00	
94	Machinist's Helper		8.40	
95	Machineman		11.00	
96	Master Mechanic			500
97	Mixerman		8.50	
98	Motorman		8.80	
99	Motorman (Gas)		9.20	
100	Mucker		8.50	
101	Miner		10.00	
102	Nozzleman		8.50	
103	Nurse			200
104	Nipper		8.50	
105	Pipe-Joint Inspector			290
106	Plasterer		14.00	
107	Painter		14.00	
108	Plumber		13.60	
109	Physician			500
110	Porter			160
111	Powderman		8.50	
112	Power Shovel Operator	\$2.25		
113	Power Shovel Oiler	1.55		
114	Pumpman		8.50	
115	Rigger	2.00		
116	Rescueman		8.50	
117	Safetyman			300
118	Steelworker		12.00	
119	Surveyor			325
120	Sanitary Engineer (as needed)			520
121	Steam Shovel Engineer	2.25		
122	Steam Shovel Fireman	2.25		
123	Steam Shovel Oiler	1.55		
124	Steam Shovel Watchman	1.55		
125	Superintendent			600
126	Steamfitter		13.60	
127	Skiptender		8.50	
128	Sub-Foreman		9.00	
129	Special Agent			230
130	Stenographer			230
131	Storekeeper, General			290



Item No.	Departmental Title	Per Hour	Per Day	Per Month
132	Tunnel Superintendent .....			375
133	Tractor Driver .....		13.60	
134	Tool Sharpener .....		12.00	
135	Tool Sharpener's Helper .....		8.50	
136	Tunnel Superintendent Assistant .....			300
137	Templateman .....		8.50	
138	Typist .....			230
139	Timekeeper .....			230
140	Waiter .....			155
141	Water Pipe Welder .....		9.00	
142	Welder .....	1.75		
143	Welder Helper .....	1.50		
144	Watchman .....			190
145	Waterboy .....			150
146	Trucks and teams at rates established by Purchaser's contracts.			
147	Subject to prior approval of the Civil Service Commission, other classifications as needed for emergency work at rates not to exceed that prevailing for the particular classification.			

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.1, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued), by Amending Salary Schedule Under Item 18.5 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner From (t 195 to \$195-230. Effective as of July 29, 1946.

Bill No. 4230, Ordinance No. 3989 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.1, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued), by amending salary schedule under item 18.5 1 C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner from (t 195 to \$195-230. Effective as of July 29, 1946.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.1 is hereby amended as follows:

**Section 72.1. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	\$150-190
17	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief) at rate of .....	150-190
18	21	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
18.1	2	C104	Janitor .....	(k 186
18.2	95	C104.1	Car Cleaner .....	155-195
18.3	1	C104.1	Car Cleaner .....	(k 195
18.4	1	C104.1	Car Cleaner (part time) at rate of	155-195
18.5	1	C105	<b>Working Foreman Car Cleaner</b> ....	195-230
19	2	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230

No. Item	Employees No. of	No. Class	Class-Title	Schedules Compensation
19.1	5	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
19.2	1	C152	Watchman .....	(k 186
19.3	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of .	150-190
19.4	2	C202	Window Cleaner .....	195-230

Section 2. This ordinance is hereby made retroactive so as to become effective July 29, 1946.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Appropriating \$3,592.50, Water Department, Provide for Compensation 1 F104b Sr. Draftsman at \$320-375, Which Position Is Established; Abolishing 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430, Same Department.

Bill No. 4233, Ordinance No. 3990 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,592.50 from Appropriation No. 666.199.00, Compensation Reserve, Water Department, to credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.04, Engineering, Water Department, to provide for compensation of 1 F104b Senior Draftsman at \$320-375 per month, which position is hereby created. Abolishing the position of 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,592.50 is hereby appropriated from Appropriation No. 666.199.00, Compensation Reserve, Water Department, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.04, Engineering, Water Department, to provide for compensation of 1 F104b Senior Draftsman at \$320-375 per month.

Section 2. The position of 1 F104b Senior Draftsman at \$320-375 per month is hereby created; the position of 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430 per month is hereby abolished.

Section 3. The appropriation herein made shall be subject to the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Water Department, by Adding 1 Senior Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$320-375 Per Month, and Deleting 1 Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430 Per Month.

Bill No. 4193, Ordinance No. 3983 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 73.3, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO

WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 22 from 1 to 2 F104b Senior Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$320-375; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 23 from 2 to 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil) at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 73.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 73.3. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT  
(Continued)**

**ENGINEERING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
18	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	\$230-290
19	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-320
20	1	F100	Junior Draftsman . . . . .	210-260
21	2	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) . . . . .	260-320
22	2	F104b	<b>Senior Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities)</b> . . . . .	320-375
23	1	F406c	<b>Assistant Engineer (Civil)</b> . . . . .	360-430
24	3	F410d	Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) . . . . .	435-520
25	1	F412b	Senior Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) . . . . .	530-635

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.  
Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Appropriating \$200,000, Provide Funds for the Widening and Reconstruction of Guerrero Street, Between Fourteenth and Army Streets.**

Bill No. 4234, Ordinance No. 3991 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$200,000 from the surplus existing in the Special Road Improvement Fund to provide funds for the widening and reconstruction of Guerrero Street, between Fourteenth and Army Streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Special Road Improvement Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 648.966.00, to provide funds for the widening and reconstruction of Guerrero Street, between Fourteenth and Army Streets.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.  
Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.  
Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Appropriating \$150,000 From Bond Interest and Redemption Appropriation, to Credit of 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Fund, and Directing Cancellation of \$150,000 of Said Bonds.**

Bill No. 4235, Ordinance No. 3992 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$150,000 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 626.800.01, Bond Interest and Redemption, 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds, to the credit of the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Fund and authorizing and directing the cancellation of \$150,000 of said bonds.

Whereas, at the special election held November 7, 1944, bonds in the amount of \$1,250,000 were authorized for the construction of buildings for uses of a Juvenile Detention Home, with meeting, court rooms and offices and necessary lands therefor; and

Whereas, construction of said authorized improvement has been delayed because of court action relative to the validity of engineering contracts and difficulty of procuring necessary construction materials and such delay has extended to a time when sale of bonds in the amount as anticipated to fall due during the fiscal year 1946-1947 would cause the City to incur needless bond sale costs and interest; and

Whereas, the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 provided for bond interest and redemption in the sum of \$150,000 in anticipation of sale of said bonds within the fiscal year aforesaid; and

Whereas, it is essential that the project for which the bonds aforesaid were voted be prosecuted to completion in the full amount as authorized, namely, \$1,250,000; and

Whereas, it is not now economical to sell the lot of bonds in the amount as anticipated to fall due during 1946-1947, and in such circumstance there exists a surplus in Appropriation No. 626.800.01, Bond Interest and Redemption, 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds, by virtue of such nonsale; now, therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$150,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 626.800.01, Bond Interest and Redemption, 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds, to the credit of the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Fund, in order to provide that sufficient funds shall be available, either through cash on hand or bonds still remaining to be sold, to enable the completion of the project for which the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bonds were voted.

Section 2. The Treasurer is hereby authorized and directed to cancel bonds of the 1944 Juvenile Home and Court Bond Issue in the amount of \$150,000.

Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Amending Section 783, Article 13, Chapter V, Part II, Municipal Code, Providing That Retail Sale of Certain Poultry Shall Be Exempt From the Permit and License Provisions of This Article.**

Bill No. 3986, Ordinance No. 3981 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 783, Article 13, Chapter V, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, providing for the display and sale of

certain poultry bearing the seal or stamp of approval of an inspection service approved by the State of California and the Department, by providing that the retail sale of such poultry shall be exempt from the permit and license provisions of this article.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 783, Article 13, Chapter V, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 783. Certain Poultry May Be Displayed, Etc.—Conditions.** Dressed or eviscerated poultry, or poultry contained in sealed cans, tins, pots, glass or wrappers of paper, wood or similar material, bearing the seal or stamp of approval of an inspection service approved by the State of California and the Department, may be displayed, sold, delivered or offered for sale or delivery. There shall be affixed to the outside of each such container or package a label bearing the true name and quantity of the product contained therein. The sale, at retail, of such poultry shall be exempt from the permit and license provisions set forth in this Article.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Amending Sections 493 and 499 of Article 9, Chapter V, Part II, Municipal Code, and Adding Section 499.1 Thereto to Provide for the Installation and Operation of Farm Milk Tanks and Tank Pickup Systems.

Bill No. 4221, Ordinance No. 3985 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Sections 493 and 499 of Article 9, Chapter V, Part II, Municipal Code and adding Section 499.1 thereto to provide for the installation and operation of farm milk tanks and tank pickup systems.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

---

NOTE: Amendments are indicated by *light face italics*. Cancellations are set out in **bold face** and bracketed [ ].

---

Section 1. Sec. 493 of Article 9, Chapter V, Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 493. **Milk to be Tightly Covered.** It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, expose for sale or ship into the City and County of San Francisco, for human consumption, any milk or cream or any other milk food product in any tank or container, holding more than three (3) gallons, *except a tank truck*, which is not provided with a proper and tight-fitting mushroom cover.

Section 2. Sec. 499, Chapter V, Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 499. *The installation and operation of farm tanks and tank truck pickup systems for the holding of bulk milk on dairy farms and its transportation from farms to San Francisco are hereby authorized, subject to regulations of the Director of Public Health.*

Section 3. Sec. 499.1 is hereby added to Article 9, Chapter V, Municipal Code to read as follows:

Sec. 499.1. **Regulations of Director.** The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized to make [such] rules and regulations not in conflict with this Article or the state law for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this Article.

**Regulation 1. Erection, Alteration and Maintaining of Insanitary Milk Plant or Dairy Farm Structures Prohibited.** No person shall in any dairy, or dairy farm, erect or cause or permit to be erected by alteration, or maintain, any stable, milk house or any other building or structure which, or any part of which, shall be inadequate or defective in respect to strength, ventilation, light, drainage, or any other usual, proper or necessary provision or precaution for the security of health or life.

**Regulation 2. Plans and Specifications for Pasteurizing Plants, Skimming and Cooling Stations and Dairy Products Plants.** When required, plans and specifications in duplicate of the pasteurizing plants, skimming and cooling stations and dairy products plants for which application for inspection is made, also of new plants and plants to be remodeled, must be submitted to the Director of Health.

**Regulation 3. Plans and Specifications for Dairy Farm Structures.** Plans and Specifications for dairy farm structures shall be as provided in the Agricultural Code and as fixed by regulation of the Director of Agriculture of the State of California.

**Regulation 4. [Wash Sink.] Hand Wash Basin.** A stationary hand wash basin connected with the water supply piping shall be provided convenient to the line of travel between the barn and pouring platform. Said basin to be equipped with individual soap and towels.

**Regulation 5. Water Supply.** Dairy farms, pasteurizing plants, skimming and cooling stations or milk products plants shall be supplied with an abundance of pure water. Water from wells or springs which are not protected against the entrance of flood and surface water shall not be used for cooling milk or cleaning utensils.

**Regulation 6. Examination of Water.** A sample of the water shall be furnished the Director of Public Health at any time requested.

**Regulation 7. Toilets.** Each dairy shall be provided with adequate toilet facilities. Each toilet shall be of a sanitary type, properly fly-proofed and accessible.

**Regulation 8. Cleansing and Washing of Utensils, Etc. (a) Washing.** On dairy farms and in pasteurizing plants, skimming and cooling stations and dairy product plants, all cans, bottles and utensils shall be thoroughly washed in water containing lye, sodium carbonate (sal soda) or some substitute containing a mixture of these or some other suitable cleansing compound, with or without soap.

(b) **Rinsing and Sterilizing After Washing.** After being washed [off], all cans, bottles and other milk utensils shall be thoroughly rinsed in a tank or tub having a constant inflow or outflow of clean, fresh water. [The rinsing tank or tub, while in use, must have a constant inflow and outflow of water.] After being rinsed, all cans, bottles and other milk[ing] utensils, except rubber articles, shall be effectively sterilized by the action of live steam. After being so subjected to live steam, said cans, bottles, or other milk utensils shall not be allowed to stand in any place where they are exposed to dirt, dust, flies, or other contamination. Rubber parts of mechanical milkers and other rubber articles shall be sterilized by being completely submerged in clean hot water at one hundred Eighty five (185°) degrees Fahrenheit for twenty (20) minutes. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, farm storage tanks and tank trucks may be sterilized by means of chemical agents.

(c) **Wash Trays.** Wash trays and rinsing tanks used for the cleansing of cans, bottles and other milking utensils shall not be used for any other purpose.

(d) **Cleaning of Brushes.** All brushes, scrapers and other appliances used in cleaning cans, bottles and other utensils must be

sterilized daily and at all times must be free from incrustations and dirt.

(e) **Daily Cleansing of Wash Tanks and Floors.** Wash trays and tanks for cleansing and rinsing milk utensils and the floors of the wash room must be cleaned daily.

Regulation 9. **Care of Herd.** (a) **Hair Clipping.** All long hairs about udders and flanks must be clipped and tails of cows must be kept short enough to clear the ground.

(b) **Sick Animals.** Sick cows, or cows showing signs of tuberculosis, contagious abortion, mammitis, mammary abscess, disease of the udder or teat, or actinomycosis (lumpjaw), or other evidence of ill health, or suspected of being ill shall be at once removed from the main stable and the milk of such shall be discarded.

Regulation 10. **Men and Animals Must be Clean.** No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat, or other milk-producing animal unless such animals shall be in a clean condition; nor shall any such milk be taken from any animal except by an employee or other person who is himself in a cleanly and healthy condition.

Regulation 11. **Feeding and Watering the Herd.** (a) **Wholesome Feed.** The feed provided for dairy herds must be sweet and clean and of such a nature as to give no odor to, or otherwise affect the taste or character of the milk. Fermented beet pulp, vegetable refuse, or swill, are positively prohibited.

(b) **Manner and Time of Feeding.** Cows shall be fed liberally and regularly; that is, in the same way and at the same hour every day.

(c) **Selection of Ration.** The selection of the ration shall be supervised and any change therein approved by the Director of Public Health.

(d) **Dry and Dusty Feed.** No dry or dusty feed shall be given within one (1) hour previous to milking. If its use is necessary, it must be sprinkled before it is fed.

(e) **Feed to be Separated from Herd.** All foodstuffs must be kept in an apartment separate from animals.

(f) **Drinking Water.** Pure water shall be given at regular and frequent intervals.

Regulation 12. **Care and Delivery of Milk.** (a) **Emptying of Milk Buckets. Straining of Milk.** Immediately after receiving each pail as filled, the milk shall be taken to the milk house and emptied into a strainer from a platform outside the milk house. It shall be then promptly strained through a fine wire gauze and a layer of absorbent cotton protected on either side by a layer of cheese cloth, or equally good strainer.

(b) **Cooling of Fresh Milk.** The milk after being drawn and strained shall be cooled at once to a temperature of forty-five (45°) degrees Fahrenheit, except when milk is delivered to a central skimming and cooling station within two (2) hours after being drawn. Milk intended for consumption in San Francisco *where delivery is made only once daily*, must be shipped within four (4) hours after [it is drawn] the final milking is completed.

(c) **Mixing of Milk Prohibited.** [Night and morning milk shall not be mixed.] *Milk from two or more milkings shall not be mixed together unless each is cooled to or below forty-five (45°) degrees Fahrenheit, [nor] and under no conditions shall ice be put into the milk.*

(d) **Storing of Milk.** [Milk may be stored, while on the farm, in the regular refrigerator room or in an ice box in the milk room.] *Where milk is shipped in cans from the dairy farm only once daily*

it shall be stored while on the dairy farm in a refrigerated storage box in the milk room, or if shipped in bulk it shall be held in a storage tank as provided in Section 499 and the Regulations of the Director. The [ice] storage box shall be thoroughly scrubbed at least once daily and if drained it shall be indirectly trapped.

(e) **Wiring of Shipping Tanks and Cans.** The covers of all tanks and cans in which milk is transported from the dairy farm into San Francisco and all empty containers returned to the dairy farm, shall be tight fitting or be [have the covers] securely wired or otherwise fastened to the [handles on each side of the] tanks or cans while in transit.

(f) **Drinking Milk from Covers Prohibited.** No person shall drink from any vessel or utensil, or the cover thereof, which is used for the delivery of milk, nor shall any tank, can, bottle or utensil used for the purpose of delivering milk be used for any other purpose.

(g) **Use of Milk Tanks or Utensils for Heating Milk, Cream, or Milk Products Prohibited.** It shall be unlawful to place any milk tank, can or utensil used in the delivery of milk, cream or milk products on or about a stove or other heating apparatus.

(h) **Milk Cans Must Not be Left on Sidewalk.** Milk cans containing milk or empty, delivered to or received from grocery stores, bakeries, delicatessen stores, restaurants, depots, or other similar places shall not be left upon the sidewalk or street.

(i) **Transit of Milk, Cream or Milk Products from Point of Entry to Dairy.** The transit of milk, cream or milk products from point of entry into San Francisco to the dairy depot, pasteurizing plant or dairy products plant shall be made within one (1) hour from the time of arrival.

(j) **Care and Delivery of Milk, Cream or Milk Products to Consumer.** While awaiting delivery to the city, milk, cream or milk food products shall be stored at a temperature of not more than forty-five (45°) degrees Fahrenheit and kept free from contamination.

**Regulation 13. Milkers and Milking.** (a) **Personal Hygiene of Employees.** Milkers and employees shall be clean in habits and appearance.

(b) **Outer Garments, Care of.** A special suit of clean, outer garments and cap shall be worn during milking and at no other time; when not in use, these must not be kept in the stable or living room, but in a clean and well ventilated place.

(c) **Milkers to Wash Hands before Milking.** Before beginning to milk the milkers' hands shall be carefully washed with soap and then rinsed in clean water.

(d) **Cleaning of Udders and Flanks.** No milk shall be taken from any cow, goat or other milk-producing animal unless such animal shall be in a clean condition. The udder and surrounding parts of every cow shall be cleaned before each milking.

All milking stools must be of a type approved by the Director of Public Health and must be cleaned after each milking.

(e) **Wet Milking and Use of Emollients Prohibited.** The milkers' hands shall be kept clean and dry when milking; they shall not come in contact with the milk. The use of vaseline, lard, oil or other substances is strictly prohibited on cows' teats during the process of milking.

(f) **Rejection of Fore Milk.** The first three (3) or four (4) streams of milk from each teat shall be discarded.

(g) **Hours and Manner of Milking.** Milking shall be done in a quiet, clean and thorough manner, and at the same hours daily.



(h) **Bloody and Stringy Milk.** If the milk from a cow is bloody or stringy, or thick, or if it has an unnatural appearance, or if manure gets into it, it shall be discarded and the pail washed and sterilized before it is used again.

(i) **Milk of Cows Separated from Herd.** Cows separated from the herd shall be milked after all others are milked, and this milk must not be used except as food for stock.

(j) **Domestic Animals and Others Excluded from Milk Room.** Milk-ers and other helpers not directly concerned in the straining, separating and filling of containers, shall not be allowed within the milk house while the milk is being strained or handled; nor shall any domestic animals be allowed therein.

(k) **Cleaning of Milk Room.** The milk house shall be washed and hosed down twice daily with fresh water.

**Regulation 14. Employees' Living Quarters.** The living quarters of the employees of all dairies or dairy farms shall be contained within buildings or structures which shall be wholly separate, distinct and disconnected from the buildings or structures wherein the cattle of such dairies may be housed; the beds in all such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such living quarters, and in every room in which beds are kept or provided for such employees shall be separated by a passageway of not less than two (2) feet horizontally; and all such beds shall be so arranged that under each of them the air shall freely circulate, and there shall be adequate ventilation; and five hundred (500) cubic feet of air space shall be provided and allowed for each bed or employee, and no more beds shall be permitted than those provided for according to the terms of this Article, unless free and adequate means of ventilation exists, to be approved by the Director of Public Health and a special permit in writing be granted therefor, specifying the number of beds or the cubic air space which shall under special circumstances be allowed.

**Regulation 15. Owners Must Keep Quarters Clean and Provide Bath and Other Conveniences.** Every owner, lessee, tenant, occupant, proprietor or manager of any dairy or dairy farm shall cause every part thereof and the appurtenances to be put and shall thereafter cause the same to be kept in a cleanly and wholesome condition and shall cause every part thereof in which any person may sleep, dwell or work to be adequately lighted and ventilated according to the direction of and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health; and proper accommodations for urinals, water closets, bath tubs and washing utensils shall be provided, according to the direction and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Health.

**Regulation 16. Health of Employees.** The Director of Public Health or his representatives shall inspect all buildings connected with the dairy, or dairy farms, and all persons who directly or indirectly come in contact with the industry, and where deemed necessary he shall demand a certificate of health or make a physical or other examination to establish the health of any or all persons on a dairy or dairy farm. The dairyman shall keep informed as to the health of all employees and the members of their households. No person connected with the dairy shall enter a house where it is known that there has been an infectious disease, until it has been disinfected. No employee or other person who has been in contact with any infectious disease shall be permitted in the dairy.

**Regulation 17. Sale of Milk to be Stopped When Communicable Disease Occurs.** No person with a throat infection or who is otherwise ill shall be admitted to the stable or milk room.

The existence of smallpox, typhoid fever, diphtheria, scarlet fever, measles or other contagious disease on, or in the vicinity of, the dairy

farm shall be promptly reported to the Director of Public Health, and the sale of milk shall be stopped until its resumption is authorized by said Director.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Providing Change of Filing Fee for Zoning or Building Setback Line Changes From \$10 to \$30.

Bill No. 4211, Ordinance No. 3984 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 43, Article 2, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by providing a \$30 fee for the filing of a petition for rezoning or for establishment or change of building setback lines.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 43, Article 2, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 43. Fees. Upon filing said petition for rezoning or for the establishment or change of building set-back lines the petitioner shall pay a fee of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars for every block as delineated on the Assessor's Block Book wherein the property is sought to be rezoned or wherein the establishment or change of building setback lines is requested.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 5, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

Directing Civil Service Commission to Conduct Salary Standardization Survey.

Proposal No. 5887, Resolution No. 5763 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission be and it is hereby authorized and directed to conduct a salary standardization survey in order that any existing inequalities in salaries of City employees may be corrected in the 1947-1948 budget.

July 22, 1946—*Consideration continued until July 29, 1946.*

July 29, 1946—*Consideration continued until August 5, 1946.*

August 5, 1946—*Consideration continued until August 19, 1946.*

### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read communication from the Civil Service Commission, setting forth the Commission's views in regard to

the foregoing proposal. The Commission had been considering the recommending of a charter amendment for submission to the voters, which would take care of the situation now existing, and for that reason had previously requested postponement of consideration. At its meeting during the past week, however, the Commission had decided that submission of such charter amendment at this time was not advisable.

Thereupon, at the request of Supervisor Mancuso, who desired to hear further from the Civil Service Commission, further consideration was temporarily postponed.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Mr. Harry Alberts explained to the Board that the reason for his Commission's request for postponement no longer existed. The Commission, he stated, was not opposed to standardization.

Mr. Jeffreys representing the C. I. O., urged adoption of the proposed resolution. If it were not approved, no adjustment in employees' salaries could be made until 1948. He believed that by the end of the year, the cost of living will be increased 25 per cent, which will require major adjustments in salaries. Also, in the increased salaries given last spring, there were certain inequities which should be corrected.

Mr. Frank Moitoza, representing the Federation of Municipal Employees, supported the views expressed by Mr. Jeffreys. A new survey was necessary in order to avoid discrimination against clerical employees and others who do not have wage agreements.

There being no further discussion, the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Land for the Irvington Pump Station, Also an Easement for a Road and Other Purposes.**

Proposal No. 5905, Resolution No. 5764 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of that certain 0.803 acre tract conveyed by Antonio F. Coria and Lena Coria to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated October 25, 1924, and recorded November 5, 1924, at page 187, Book 844 of Official Records, Alameda County, with the westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way; thence along said westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad right of way north 3° 18' west 250.00 feet; thence leaving said westerly boundary south 72° 31' west 250.00 feet; thence south 3° 18' east 250.00 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of the above mentioned 0.803 acre tract; thence along said northerly boundary north 72° 31' east 250.00 feet to the point of commencement.

Containing 1.391 acres, more or less, and being a portion of that 66.64 acre tract conveyed by Antonio F. Coria to Joseph F. Garcia and Angelina Garcia, his wife, by deed dated May 7, 1945, and recorded May 11, 1945, at page 445, Book 4686 of Official Records, Alameda County.

Parcel 2. A right of way easement to construct, reconstruct, repair, maintain and use a road, with the necessary cuts and fills; also the right to construct, reconstruct, operate, repair, maintain, renew, replace, remove, and/or change the size and/or number of conductors on, a pole line for telephone and for transmission of electric power, over, along, and/or across a strip of land 25 feet in width, being 10 feet measured at right angles easterly, and 15 feet measured at right angles westerly from the following described line and extensions thereof:

Commencing at a point in the center line of that certain road known as the Irvington-Mission San Jose Road in Alameda County, California, said point being distant westerly along said center line 116 feet from the intersection of said center line with the center line of the existing tract of the Western Pacific Railroad; running thence north  $2^{\circ} 59'$  east 508.88 feet to a point distant 10 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railway Company; thence northerly along a line parallel to, and 10 feet measured at right angles westerly from the westerly line of the Western Pacific Railroad Company the following courses and distances: north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 159.11 feet to a point in the northerly boundary line of First Street as delineated on that certain map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," recorded August 22, 1907, at page 26, Map Book Number 23; said point being distant along said boundary north  $54^{\circ}$  west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said northerly boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west, a distance of 748.59 feet to a point in the center line of Third Street, said point being distant along said center line north  $54^{\circ}$  west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said center line with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 699.80 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of Fifth Street as delineated on said map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," said point being distant along said northerly boundary north  $54^{\circ}$  west 12.92 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 6.06 feet; north  $41^{\circ} 42'$  east 14.14 feet and north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 797.32 feet to a point in the common boundary between Plat C as delineated on said map of the "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," and that certain tract containing 66.64 acres conveyed by Antonio Falso Coriea, also known as A. F. Coriea, to Joseph F. Garcia, by deed dated May 7, 1945, and recorded May 11, 1945, at page 445, Book 4686 of Official Records, Alameda County; said point being distant along said common boundary south  $45^{\circ} 45'$  west 13.24 feet from the intersection of said common boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company; thence continuing north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 12.82 feet; north  $41^{\circ} 42'$  east 7.07 feet and north  $3^{\circ} 18'$  west 241.28 feet; thence leaving said parallel line north  $7^{\circ} 07' 30''$  west 98.48 feet to a point in the southerly boundary of that certain tract continuing 0.803 acres conveyed by Antonio F. Coria and Lena Coria, his wife, to the City and County of San Francisco, by deed dated October 25, 1924, and recorded November 5, 1924, at page 187, Book 844 of Official Records, Alameda County; said point being distant along said boundary 17.30 feet from the intersection of said

boundary with the westerly boundary of the lands of the Western Pacific Railroad Company.

The above described Parcel 1 is required by said City and County of San Francisco for a public use and purpose, to wit: For the construction, maintenance and use of a pump station in connection with the conveyance of water through its Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants. Parcel 2 being required in connection with the construction and operation of said pump station. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to Parcel 1; and that a right of way easement to Parcel 2 be taken for the aforesaid purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The cost of said property shall be paid from Appropriation No. 90,600.66 in an amount not to exceed \$2,500, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*August 12, 1946—Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

#### Land Purchase, Market Street—Portola Drive Project.

Proposal No. 5924, Resolution No. 5766 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Howard H. Morris et al., or the legal owner to Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 2983, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Market Street and Portola Drive from Seventeenth Street to St. Francis Circle; and that the sum of \$2,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 677.923.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*August 12, 1946—Consideration continued to August 19, 1946.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Easement Purchase—Pipe Line Right of Way, San Andreas—Outlet  
No. 3 to San Andreas-College Hill Pipe Line.**

Proposal No. 5961, Resolution No. 5767 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation accept a deed from E. L. Labadie or the legal owner to an easement over a certain 40-foot strip of land located in San Mateo County, California, required for water pipe lines extending from San Andreas Outlet No. 3 to the San Andreas-College Hill pipe line, and that the sum of \$750 be paid for said easement as follows:

Five hundred sixty-one dollars from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Mateo County in connection with Superior Court Action No. 39825 and the balance of \$189 to be paid from Appropriation No. 90.600.66.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Controller to Prepare Tax Rate Ordinance for Fiscal Year  
1946-1947.**

Proposal No. 5962, Resolution No. 5768 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Controller be requested to prepare and submit, not later than August 21, 1946, to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors for its consideration the proposed tax rate ordinance for the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1946-1947.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to  
Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 5963, Resolution No. 5769 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated August 13, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of August and September, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Final Passage.****Providing for Maintenance and Support of Wards of the Juvenile Court. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4256, Ordinance No. 3994 (Series of 1939), as follows:

To provide for necessary support and maintenance of wards of the Juvenile Court and minors for whom petitions have been filed therein under Sections 721 and 722, Welfare and Institutions Code of California, and to establish maximum rates therefor with reference to Section 860 of said code, and repealing Bill No. 3273, Ordinance No. 3086 (Series of 1939). An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established, in accordance with the provisions of Section 860, Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California as the maximum amount which the Juvenile Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco may order said city and county to pay from its treasury, as a legal charge against said city and county, for necessary support and maintenance of wards of said court and of minor persons concerning whom petitions have been filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 721 and 722 of said Welfare and Institutions Code, the sum of Forty-Seven and 50/100 (\$47.50) Dollars per month, for foster home care and Forty-Five (\$45) Dollars per month for institutional care, and said court may direct that any amount not exceeding said sums per month be so paid; provided, that, as to such wards and minor persons physically sick, epileptic, insane or feeble-minded or who for any other reason require special or constant care of intense supervision, the court may direct that any amount not exceeding the maximum amount which may be so ordered and paid is hereby established at \$70 per month provided that 10 per cent of the total caseload allotted to the agencies and institutions shall be the maximum number of children eligible for a special rate above \$45 or \$47.50 per month.

Section 2. Bill No. 3273, Ordinance No. 3086 (Series of 1939), is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to increased living costs the Juvenile Court is unable to place children in foster homes and institutions at less than the foregoing rates; that funds heretofore provided for the purpose are insufficient; and that it is immediately necessary to the uninterrupted operation of the Juvenile Court that funds be provided for the care of these children.

Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Juvenile Probation Committee.

Approved by the Judge of the Juvenile Court.

Approved by the Mayor.

Funds available: If Bill No. 4257 is enacted.—Controller.

**Discussion.**

In connection with the foregoing bill, and the two bills immediately following, the Clerk read a communication from his Honor, the Mayor, accompanying said bills, and making explanation thereof.

Mr. George Ososki, speaking more specifically of the bill immediately following, appropriating \$74,000 from Emergency Reserve Fund to cover increased cost of maintenance of children in foster homes and institutions, stated that the work had been carried on previously by private agencies, but inasmuch as it is a public responsibility, it has been recommended to be carried on by the Juvenile Court and his department.

Mr. Adrien Falk, representing the Community Chest, in reply to question by Supervisor MacPhee, agreed that Mr. Ososki had well stated the case. The care of children in foster homes was a public responsibility, and not a private matter. For that reason the responsibility should be met by a public agency.

Thereupon, there being no further discussion desired, the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Appropriating \$74,000 From Emergency Reserve Fund to Cover Increased Cost of Maintenance of Children in Foster Homes and Institutions. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4257, Ordinance No. 3995 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$74,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Juvenile Court to cover increased cost of maintenance for the care of children in foster homes and institutions, effective September 1, 1946; to provide funds for the creation of five positions in the Juvenile Court required in determining the eligibility of children to receive aid under provisions of State law, responsibility for which investigations now performed by private agencies is to be assumed by the Juvenile Court, effective September 1, 1946; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$74,000 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of the following appropriations of the Juvenile Court for the purpose recited:

Appropriation No. 623.251.00—Maintenance of Minors . . . . \$63,700  
(To provide funds to cover increased cost of maintenance for the care of children in foster homes and institutions, effective September 1, 1946.)

Appropriation No. 623.110.00—Permanent Salaries, Juvenile Court . . . . . \$10,300  
(To provide funds for the compensation of 3 T56 Probation Officers at \$220-275 per month; 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month; 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month, which positions are hereby created.)

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to increased living costs the Juvenile Court is unable to place children in foster homes and institutions at present rates; that certain administrative work now performed by private agencies in determining the eligibility of children to receive aid under the provisions of State law is to be assumed by the Juve-



nile Court, effective September 1, 1946; that funds heretofore provided for the Juvenile Court are insufficient for the above purposes, and that it is immediately necessary that funds be provided for the continuance of the entire program of the Juvenile Court for the care of these children.

- Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.
- Approved by the Juvenile Probation Committee.
- Approved by the Judge of the Juvenile Court.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

- Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.
- Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Juvenile Court Probation Office, by Adding 1 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230, 1 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230, and 3 Probation Officers at \$220-275 Per Month; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4258, Ordinance No. 3996 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 26 Juvenile Court (Probation Office) by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 12 to 13 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230; by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 10 to 11 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 13 from 44 to 47 T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275, an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 26 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 26. **JUVENILE COURT (Probation Office)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
3	1	B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court .....	360-430
4	2	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
5	1	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
6	13	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> .....	185-230
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
8	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
9	11	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
9.1	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290
10	2	L364	Physician, Specialist (part time) at rate of .....	520
11	3	L404	Psychologist .....	200-250
12	1	L406	Senior Psychologist .....	250-300
13	47	T56	<b>Probation Officer</b> .....	220-275
13.1	2	T57	Psychiatric Social Service Worker	220-275
14	6	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	275-335
15	1		Referee (part time) .....	(c 350)
16	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer	585-700
17			Hearing Reporter (as needed) per day plus transcriptions.	\$12.50
<b>INTERDEPARTMENTAL</b>				
17.1	1	T56	Probation Officer .....	220-275

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists and this ordinance is passed as an emergency measure as certain administrative work determining eligibility of children to receive aid under the provisions of state law now performed by private agencies is to be assumed by the Juvenile Court, effective September 1, 1946.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Adopted.

Authorizing and Requesting the Library Commission to Make Available Space for the Use of Students of the Hastings College of Law.

Proposal No. 5966, Resolution No. 5771 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, registration at the Hastings College of Law far exceeds that of past years, particularly by reason of the great number of veterans of World War II who, under the G. I. Bill of Rights, have elected to study law, and it becomes necessary to provide additional space for the College; and

Whereas, Section 4 (1877-8, Statutes 533) provides as follows:

“There shall be set apart for the use of the students of the College some room or suitable hall at the University, and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is authorized to supply a suitable hall in the City of San Francisco for the students and Directors,” and

Whereas, a resolution was adopted by the Library Commission of the City and County of San Francisco on August 13, 1946, which resolution reads as follows:

“Resolved, That the Exhibit Room or Newspaper Room be made available for the ensuing semester to the Hastings College of the Law, in pursuance of Section 4 of the Act creating the Hastings College of the Law, but subject to the authority to do so by a resolution of the Board of Supervisors. Such use of the premises to be limited to morning sessions and subject to such further rules that may be prescribed by the Library Commission.”

now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to Section 4 (1877-8, Statutes 533), this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and request the Library Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to make available to the Hastings College of Law such space as may be prescribed by the Commission, or may be agreed upon between the parties, for use of the students enrolled in the Hastings College of Law.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

### Creation of Position or Positions of Budget Investigator.

Supervisor McMurray's proposal that a position or positions of investigator be created in the office of the Board of Supervisors, for the purpose of providing members of the board with information relative to budget matters and positions in the municipal service as well as any other matters upon which information is desired by the board.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso explained the reason for reference of Supervisor McMurray's proposal to the Board, without recommendation, was because Supervisor McMurray desired the matter to be heard before the Board of Supervisors, as a body, in order to give them the complete story of what he had in mind.

The Clerk presented and read a communication from the Civil Service Commission outlining the procedure necessary to create a position, or positions as suggested by Supervisor McMurray, but making no recommendations thereon.

Supervisor McMurray explained to the Board his reasons for suggesting the creation of positions requested. He believed the Board should have someone to investigate and report to the Board on the various appropriation items as they appear in the budget. There should be at least two such investigators.

Supervisor MacPhee agreed that there was merit in Supervisor McMurray's suggestion. However, he called attention to staff working under the direction of the Mayor, by whom investigations might be made. The Board, he thought, could have the benefit of those investigations. His Honor, the Mayor, he felt, would be willing to make available the services of his staff, during the Board's consideration of the budget.

Supervisor Lewis stated that for a long time he had advocated a budget committee, such as is set up in Los Angeles. Such committee would not be civil service. It would be an entire department. There are three separate things involved: there is a factual job; there is a question of finance; there is need for study of departments and their needs, as to proper business machines and business administration planning.

The Chair declared that there was nothing before the Board—no resolution or bill. Supervisor McMurray should send the matter to committee or have something drawn up to cover the matter. There must be a formal resolution requesting the desired jobs be set up in the budget.

Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved that the entire matter be referred to Judiciary Committee.

His Honor, the Mayor, addressed the Board briefly, outlining his responsibilities and duties in connection with the budget, before sending it to the Board of Supervisors. He agreed with Supervisor Lewis that there was a great deal in the idea of a budget bureau or committee. However, it seemed to him that inasmuch as both the Mayor and the Board have responsibilities under the charter, of checking the budgets submitted by the various departments, that this job should be done under one head. He was willing to cooperate with the Board, and he was sure that he could obtain any information desired by the Board from Mr. David Lewis and his staff.

Thereupon, no further discussion desired, and no objections being made, the entire matter was referred to Judiciary Committee.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

**Closing and Abandoning a Portion of the Southwesterly Half of Corwin Street Between Acme Alley and a Point 227.72 Feet Northwesterly Therefrom.**

Proposal No. 5923, Resolution No. 5765 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of June, 1946, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly adopted Resolution No. 5580 (Series of 1939) being a resolution of intention to close a portion of Corwin Street, which resolution was approved June 18, 1946, said resolution being in words and figures as follows:

**Resolution No. 5580 (Series of 1939)**

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon the southerly portion of Corwin Street situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Acme Alley with the southwesterly line of Corwin Street and running thence northeasterly along said line of Acme Alley produced northeasterly 10.734 feet; thence deflecting  $92^{\circ} 02' 24''$  to the left and running northwesterly 78.621 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 98 feet central angle of  $24^{\circ} 27' 16''$  a distance of 41.827 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 75.898 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 42 feet central angle of  $25^{\circ} 21' 17''$  a distance of 18.586 feet to a point of reverse curve; thence northwesterly on the arc of a reverse curve to the right with a radius of 58 feet central angle of  $36^{\circ} 09' 32''$  a distance of 36.603 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Corwin Street; thence southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street 12.845 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 58 feet central angle of  $13^{\circ} 21' 50''$  a distance of 13.528 feet; thence continuing southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street tangent to the preceding curve 134.719 feet; thence deflecting  $21^{\circ} 08' 24''$  to the left and running southeasterly along said line of Corwin Street 93 feet to point of beginning.

Reference is made to a map on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, showing the portion of Corwin Street proposed to be closed.

Said closing and abandonment shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 8th day of July, 1946, the Board of Supervisors will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

To cover the costs of advertising and expenses incidental to said closing, Mr. Matthew A. Little, No. 1 Grand View Avenue, an abutting property owner, has paid the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of One Hundred (\$100) Dollars.

The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing of said

street in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

*Adopted*—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, June 17, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, June 18, 1946.

R. D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

Whereas, the Clerk of this Board did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and said Department of Public Works did upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of 10 days in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said closing and abandonment to be done as described in said Resolution No. 5580; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said closing and abandonment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above described portion of Corwin Street be, and is hereby closed and abandoned, and be it

Further resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Recorder and to the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and that said Recorder and said Director of Public Works are hereby instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Director of Public Property.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*August 12, 1946—Consideration continued until August 19, 1946.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, Christopher, MacPhee.

Amending Resolution No. 3450 (Series of 1939), Entitled: "Traffic Regulations—Left-Hand Turns Prohibited," by Adding Sub-Section (f), Designating Streets From Which Left-Hand Turns Are Prohibited at any Time.

Proposal No. 5752, Resolution No. 5762 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Article 3, Section 34 of Bill 863, Ordinance 890 (Series of 1939), "Traffic Code," the following traffic regulations be adopted:

(a) Except from the streets listed in this subdivision, the operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn into any part of Market Street between the easterly line of The Embarcadero and a prolongation of the easterly line of Eleventh Street:

Davis Street.  
Fifth Street.  
Fremont Street.  
Grant Avenue.  
Main Street.  
O'Farrell Street.  
Sansome Street.  
Seventh Street.  
Steuart Street.

(b) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn from the streets and as indicated in this subdivision:

Ellis Street into Stockton Street.  
Geary Street into Kearny Street.  
Golden Gate Avenue into Taylor Street.  
Oak Street into Van Ness Avenue.  
Post Street into Montgomery Street.

(c) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn at any of the following intersections:

Jessie and New Montgomery Streets.  
Jessie and Fourth Streets.  
Jessie and Fifth Streets.  
Sixth and Stevenson Streets.  
Sixth and Jessie Streets.  
Stevenson and New Montgomery Streets.  
Stevenson and Third Streets.  
Stevenson and Fifth Streets.

(d) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 4:30 o'clock P. M. and 6:30 o'clock P. M., make a left turn from Plum Street into Mission Street.

(e) The operator of a vehicle shall not, between the hours of 7 o'clock A. M. and 6 o'clock P. M. of any day, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, make a left turn from Market Street between the easterly line of The Embarcadero and a prolongation of the easterly line of Eleventh Street.

(f) The operator of a vehicle shall not make a left-hand turn, at any time, from the following streets:

Park-Presidio Boulevard and Nineteenth Avenue, between Lake Street to and including the intersection of Junipero Serra Boulevard, with the exception of the "Y" in Golden Gate Park just off Fulton Street, and the junction of the Crossover Drive and By-Pass in Golden Gate Park adjacent to Lincoln Way.

This resolution shall not become effective until signs have been erected giving notice of the provisions hereof.

*June 10, 1946—Re-referred to Police Committee.*

*August 12, 1946—Consideration continued until August 19, 1946.*

#### Amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved that the last paragraph of the foregoing proposal, as presented and reading as follows:

"Signs shall be erected and maintained to give notice of the provisions of this resolution."

be deleted, and that the following language be substituted therefor:

"This resolution shall not become effective until signs have been erected giving notice of the provisions hereof."

*No objection, and amendment approved.*

Supervisor Mancuso called attention to the need of a "slow" or "warning" sign at the intersection of Ocean Avenue and Junipero Serra Boulevard.

The Chief Administrative Officer announced that it was the intention of the Police Department to erect signs wherever the department feels them to be necessary. The language just submitted and approved gives the department that authority.

The Clerk presented and read communication from the Parkside District Improvement Club, in favor of prohibiting lefthand turns on Nineteenth Avenue.

Thereupon, no further discussion being desired, the foregoing proposal as amended and reading as above, was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendations of Police Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, MacPhee.

#### Approving Plan of the California Toll Bridge Authority to Lease The San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Approach for Controlled Parking.

Proposal No. 5895, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco through purchase of bonds contributed \$390,000 toward the purchase of land along the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach from Second to Fifth Streets, and between Perry and Stillman Streets, to protect said approach from any immediately adjacent building construction; and

Whereas, the area beneath and along said approach was utilized during the war period for military parking and storage purposes, which use has been discontinued; and

Whereas, the California Toll Bridge Authority has proposed that a large portion of said area—namely, that portion from Second Street to a point two-thirds of the distance from Fifth to Fourth Street and lying between Perry and Stillman Streets—be leased for automobile parking purposes under proper and rigid control; and

Whereas, downtown San Francisco has an urgent need for additional public parking space, and said proposal of the Toll Bridge Authority offers a partial fulfillment of said need, while not interfering with the view of or from the bridge approach; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said proposal of the California Toll Bridge Authority be approved for areas and under conditions as follows:

Lease Area No. 4—located between Third and Fourth Streets in

Lease Area No. 5—located between Third and Fourth Streets in Block 3762, estimated to accommodate 400 automobiles; Block 3762, estimated to accommodate 410 automobiles;

Lease Area No. 6—located in the easterly third of the block between Fourth and Fifth Streets, Block 3761, estimated to accommodate 160 automobiles.

#### CONDITIONS

That reservations and restrictions fully protect the approach structure and the best interests and appearance of the surrounding neighborhood;

That any lease be limited to five years' duration;

That no signs be permitted to be attached to the approach structure;

That no gasoline pumps or vending machines be permitted in the areas;

That parking be limited to vehicles in usable condition; and

That no structures be permitted to be built in the areas except necessary small offices for parking attendants.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

#### Discussion.

The Chief Administrative Officer explained the foregoing matter. Since the property in question was state property, the approval of the City and County was not necessary, although it was the recommendation of Mr. Purcell that San Francisco be extended the courtesy of being consulted in the matter.

Supervisor Brown outlined the participation of San Francisco in financing the purchase of site for the bridge approach. He felt that inasmuch as San Francisco had contributed so much toward the purchase of the property in question, if any revenues were to be secured from the use of that property San Francisco would have a valid claim to a portion of such revenues.

The Chief Administrative Officer agreed that the history of the purchase of the property in question, as stated by Supervisor Brown, was substantially correct. San Francisco had paid some \$400,000 toward the purchase of the property, but the property no longer belongs to San Francisco. San Francisco has no claim there at all.

Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved further consideration be postponed for one week.

Supervisor Brown, in reply to question by Supervisor MacPhee, stated that Alameda County had made a substantial contribution toward the purchase of property for the East Bay approaches to the San Francisco Oakland Bay Bridge. However, he did not believe that contribution was anything like \$400,000.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee commented, saying that San Francisco should be most vigilant in connection with the financing of the second bay crossing.

After brief comment by Supervisor Brown on San Francisco's receipts from the state gasoline tax funds, and the thought expressed by Supervisor Mancuso that San Francisco should receive larger apportionments therefrom, no more discussion being desired, and no objections being made thereto, the Chair declared the motion by Supervisor Mancuso for postponement was *carried* and further consideration was *postponed for one week*.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

**Amending Section 51, Article 1, of the Police Code, Prohibiting Smoking or the Carrying of Lighted Cigars, Cigarettes or Pipes Within the Enclosed Sections of Street Cars, Cable Cars, Motor Coaches and Trolley Coaches Operated Within the City and County of San Francisco.**



Bill No. 4227, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 51, Article 1, of the Police Code, prohibiting smoking or the carrying of lighted cigars, cigarettes or pipes within the enclosed sections of street cars, cable cars, motor coaches and trolley coaches operated within the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 51, Article 1, of the Police Code, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 51. **Smoking in enclosed section of street cars, cable cars, motor coaches and trolley coaches prohibited.** It shall be unlawful for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to carry any lighted cigar, pipe or cigarette within the enclosed section of any street car, cable car, motor coach or trolley coach operated within the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Re-referred to Committee.

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code Providing Procedure for Regulating and Licensing the Taking of Photographs of Persons in a Public Place or Any Place Open to the Public for Any Purpose Except as an Established Photographic Studio, and Providing a License Fee Therefor.

Bill No. 3730, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto a new section number 124, providing procedure for regulating and licensing the taking of photographs of persons in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, and providing a license tax therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**SEC. 124. Photographers — Public Places. (a) Definitions.** As used in this section, the following words shall have the following respective meanings:

"Photographer" shall mean every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of taking photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

"Solicitor" shall mean every person acting as servant, agent or employee of a photographer, as defined herein, who solicits the taking or actually takes photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration, will be paid for the said taking.

The aforesaid definitions shall not include a "Street Photographer" as defined in Section 130 of this Article, nor photographers employed

by newspapers or other similar publications while engaged in the scope of their employment.

(b) **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in or carry on, or to maintain or conduct, or cause to be engaged in, carried on, maintained or conducted, the business of photographer or to employ a solicitor without having first secured a permit so to do from the Chief of Police and a license therefor from the Tax Collector.

(c) **Application for Permit.** Every person requiring a permit as provided for in this section shall make written application to the Chief of Police for such a permit on forms provided by the Police Department. Said application shall be accompanied by fingerprints of the applicant, shall contain all information deemed relevant by the Chief of Police, shall contain the name, business or occupation, and residence address of each person financially interested in such business, and the number of solicitors to be employed.

(d) **Investigation-Issuance or Denial of Permit-Expiration Date.** Upon receipt of said application the Chief of Police shall conduct such investigation as he may deem proper as to the character and morals of the applicant and the character of the business to be conducted. The Chief of Police may deny said application when, in his opinion, good cause exists therefor. If the Chief of Police approves the granting of said permit, he may issue a permit to said applicant, which permit shall be serially numbered and shall expire on the last day of the calendar quarter year in which issued.

(e) **Permit Forwarded to Tax Collector.** When any permit is issued under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall cause said permit to be forwarded to the office of the Tax Collector for delivery to the permittee upon the payment of the license tax hereinafter set forth.

(f) **License Tax.** Every holder of a permit as herein provided shall pay to the Tax Collector a license tax as follows:

Twenty-five (\$25) Dollars per quarter for each Photographer license, and

Five (\$5) Dollars per quarter for each Solicitor employed.

License taxes paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

The licensee shall issue to each solicitor employed a badge of such wording, design and material as the Chief of Police shall authorize. Said badge shall be worn on the person by the solicitor for whom it was issued, in a conspicuous place for the public to see, at all times when said person is engaged in taking such photographs or soliciting the taking of same. It shall be unlawful for any other person to wear or otherwise display said badge.

(g) **Renewal of Permit.** Renewal of the permit shall be in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 23 of Article 1, Part II, of this code.

(h) **Revocation of Permit—Rules and Regulations.** The Chief of Police may revoke any permit issued hereunder when the permittee is violating, or attempting to violate, any law of the State of California, any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, any provision of this section, or the rules and regulations issued by the Chief of Police governing the conduct or operations of the permittee. Written notice of such revocation shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations, consistent with the provisions of this section, as he may deem necessary to govern the conduct or operations of photographers or solicitors, as herein defined.

(i) **Permit and License Not Exemption From Any Other Provision of Code.** The issuance of a permit or license under the provisions of this section shall not exempt the permittee or licensee from any other provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code or any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco requiring a permit or license or otherwise regulating the taking or soliciting the taking, of photographs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Supervisor McMurray, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved, at request of Chief of Police Dullea, re-reference to committee of the foregoing bill.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso.

Requesting City Planning Commission and Public Utilities Commission to Develop Master Airport Plan for San Francisco to Determine Airport Facility Requirements and Economic Methods of Meeting Such Requirements.

Proposal No. 5964, Resolution No. 5777 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco does not at present have a Master Airport Plan embracing construction of a sufficient number of airports adequate to meet the present and future needs of San Francisco users of both commercial and private airplanes; and

Whereas, it is desirable at this time to ascertain San Francisco's facility requirements and methods of meeting such requirements; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission and the Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby requested jointly to begin immediately the preparation and completion of said Master Airport Plan for San Francisco, in order to determine the present and future airport needs of both commercial and private users of aircraft and to determine the most practical and economic method of meeting those needs; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the City Planning Commission and the Public Utilities Commission be and they are hereby requested to report their conclusions to this Board of Supervisors, together with report as to possible participation by the City and County of San Francisco in any program for contributions of Federal Government funds to municipal airport projects; and, be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 5738 (Series of 1939) be and it is hereby repealed.

Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee, in discussing the foregoing proposal, called attention to Proposal 5948, Resolution 5738, adopted on August 12, 1946. Before adopting the foregoing proposal, the resolution heretofore adopted should be repealed, and he would so move.

On it being pointed out by the Clerk that the proposal under consideration, if approved, provided for the repeal of Resolution 5738, Supervisor MacPhee withdrew his motion.

Supervisor Lewis wondered if the City Planning Commission or the Public Utilities Commission were sufficiently well qualified to prepare plans for a master airport for San Francisco, which was a very

technical thing, and suggested that it might be worth while to employ some expert to prepare a master airport plan.

Supervisor MacPhee suggested that the Board hear from Mr. Marshall, of the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Marshall, however, who was substituting for Mr. Mills in representing the Chamber of Commerce, stated that he was not familiar with procedure of the Board, or with the aviation industry, and suggested a week's postponement.

After further brief discussion, there being no objection, further consideration was temporarily postponed, awaiting the arrival of Captain Doolin, Manager of the Airport, who, Supervisor MacPhee stated, was in the building, and whose presence was requested.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Captain Doolin being present, consideration of the foregoing proposal was resumed.

Captain Doolin, in reply to questioning by Supervisor Lewis, declared that the best qualified aid in drawing up a master airport plan was right at hand. The first step for such a plan is contained in the legislation before the Board. The best advisors were the C. A. B., and they are available at any time.

Thereupon, there being no further discussion, the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

Amending Ordinance Creating "The San Francisco Co-ordinating Council for Youth Welfare" to Provide for One Additional Member of Said Council to Be Appointed by the Mayor and for One Member of the Board of Supervisors to Be a Member of Said Council Ex-officio, and by Changing Term of Office of Members Appointed by the Mayor From Four Years to Two Years.

Bill No. 4255, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Bill No. 3802, Ordinance No. 3667 (Series of 1939), entitled, "An Ordinance Creating a Commission to Be Known as 'The San Francisco Co-ordinating Council for Youth Welfare' to Provide for Co-operation and Co-ordination of Efforts Among the Public Departments and Between the Public Departments and Social Agencies in the Promotion of Youth Welfare in San Francisco; Repealing Bill No. 1792, Ordinance No. 19.101, as Amended and Designating Sections 1 to 7 of This Ordinance Sections 360 to 366, Inclusive, Article 4, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code," by amending Section 2 thereof to provide for one additional member of said council to be appointed by the Mayor and for one member of the Board of Supervisors to be a member of said council ex-officio, and by changing term of office of members appointed by the Mayor from four years to two years.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Bill No. 3802, Ordinance No. 3667 (Series of 1939), entitled, "An ordinance creating a commission to be known as 'The San Francisco-Co-ordinating Council for Youth Welfare' to provide for co-operation and co-ordination of efforts among the public departments and between the public departments and social agencies in the promotion of youth welfare in San Francisco; repealing Bill No. 1792, Ordinance No. 19.101, as amended and designating Sections 1 to 7 of this ordinance Sections 360 to 366, inclusive, Article 4, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The Chief of Police, the District Attorney, the Chief Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, the Superintendent of Public Schools, the Superintendent of the Recreation Department, and one member of the Board of Supervisors to be appointed by the President thereof, ex-officio, shall be members of said Council, and in addition there shall be six (6) members to be appointed by the Mayor, and who at the time of their appointment are not officials or employees of the City and County of San Francisco and who are qualified to serve on said Council by reason of their knowledge of and experience with youth problems and activities. The members of the Council appointed by the Mayor pursuant to this section shall serve for a period of two years, under such plan as to staggering of appointments as shall be prescribed by the Mayor, provided that any person heretofore appointed to said Council by the Mayor to serve for a period of more than two years and who is now serving on said Council shall continue to serve until his term expires.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Ordered Submitted.

## NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 10 thereof, "Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 10 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS

Section 10. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large [provided that for the period January 8, 1932, to January 8, 1934, the board shall consist of fifteen members]. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of [twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2,400)] *forty-two hundred (\$4,200)* per year, and each shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, [1932 and every second year thereafter], *of every even numbered year*, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee explained the intent of the foregoing proposed charter amendment as well as the amendment immediately following.

The foregoing proposed amendment would provide for compensation of \$4,200 for Supervisors, instead of the \$2,400 now being paid. Alameda County compensation has been \$4,200 for some years. The amendment immediately following would provide that compensation for the Board of Supervisors be fixed by the State Legislature. However, he, Supervisor MacPhee, believed the foregoing amendment should be submitted to the voters.

Supervisor Mancuso stated reasons for approving for submission the amendment immediately following, which, he believed, should also be ordered submitted.

Supervisor Mead doubted if it would be good policy to order both amendments submitted. He felt it would bring about confusion and misunderstanding.

Mr. Alfred Smith, of the Bureau of Governmental Research, announced that he was not objecting to the submission of the first proposed amendment, but he did not think it advisable to submit the second amendment to the voters. Salaries of public officials, generally, are fixed in San Francisco, and the people should have the right to say what they desire to pay their officials. He believed there would be a great deal of opposition to the second amendment.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposed charter amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Mancuso suggested that a motion be made to designate the foregoing proposed charter amendment as No. 2 on the ballot.

Following brief discussion, the Chair suggested that the decision as to numbering the foregoing be made at a later date.

*No objection*, and suggestion of the Chair *accepted*.

Tabled.

## NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 10 thereof, "Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 10 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISORS

Section 10. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large [provided that for the period January 8, 1932, to January 8, 1934,

the board shall consist of fifteen members]. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2,400) per year, [and] until such time as the same shall be fixed by general law. Thereafter such amount shall be paid as may be so determined. Each member shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, [1932, and every second year thereafter,] of every even numbered year, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, moved the foregoing proposed charter amendment be tabled.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—4.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Consideration Postponed.

## PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 24 thereof, "Permits and Inspections."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 24 thereof, "Permits and Inspections," so that the section shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection; provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of foodstuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned, the fees or licenses to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall be as determined by the board of supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

[No license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.]

*Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the board of supervisors shall have full power to impose and provide for license taxes both for revenue and for regulation, or for either revenue or regulation.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, consideration of the foregoing was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.

Ordered Submitted.

## BUDGET ESTIMATES

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 69 thereof, "Budget Estimates."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 69 thereof, "Budget Estimates."



## BUDGET ESTIMATES

Section 69. The fiscal year for the city and county shall begin on the 1st day of July of each year.

The budget estimate for every department and office of the city and county, whether under an elective or an appointive officer or a board or commission, and separately for each utility under the control of the public utilities commission, shall be filed by the executive of such department with, and shall be acted upon by, such board or commission. All budget estimates shall be compiled in such detail as shall be required on uniform blanks furnished by the controller. The public utilities commission and the board of education must hold public hearings on their respective budget proposals. Each such elective and appointive officer, board or commission shall, not later than the [15th] 1st day of February of each year, file with the controller for check as to form and completeness two copies of the budget estimate as approved.

The chief administrative officer shall obtain in ample time to pass thereon budget estimates from the heads of departments or offices subject to his control, and, after adjusting or revising the same, not later than the [15th] 1st day of February he shall transmit such budget estimates to the controller.

The controller shall check such estimates and shall upon his request, be furnished with any additional data or information. Not later than the [15th] 1st day of March of each year he shall consolidate such budget estimates and transmit the same to the mayor.

He shall at the same time transmit to the mayor a summary and recapitulation of such budget estimates, segregated by separate departments or offices and units thereof, or by purposes for non-departmental expenditures, and arrange according to classification of objects of expenditure, as required by the controller, to show the amount of proposed expenditures and estimated revenues in comparison with the current and previous fiscal year's expenditures and revenues.

He shall submit at the same time (1) statements showing revenues and other receipts, including the estimated unencumbered surplus in any item or fund at the beginning of the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to specific or general purposes to which such revenues or receipts are legally applicable, for the last complete fiscal year and for the first six months of the current fiscal year, with estimates thereof for the last six months of the current fiscal year, together with estimates of such revenues and receipts for the ensuing fiscal year; (2) statements of the amounts required for interest on, and sinking fund or redemption of, each outstanding bond issue, and for tax judgments and other fixed charges, together with estimates of interest required on bonds proposed to be sold during the ensuing fiscal year, and statements of the city's authorized debt, and judgments outstanding at the time the budget estimates are submitted.

The mayor shall hold such public hearings on these budget estimates as he may deem necessary and may increase, decrease or reject any item contained in the estimates, excepting that he shall not increase any amount nor add any new item for personal services, materials, supplies or contractual services, but may add to the requested appropriations for any public improvement or capital expenditure; provided, however, that the budget estimates of expenditures for any utility, within the estimated revenues of such utility, shall not be increased by the mayor or board of supervisors.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Ordered Submitted.

## ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET AND THE APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 72 thereof, "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 72 thereof, "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET AND THE APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.

Section 72. Not later than the [1st day of May, in 1932, and in each year thereafter] *15th day of April in each year*, the mayor shall transmit to the board of supervisors the consolidated budget estimates for all departments and offices of, and the proposed budget for, the city and county for the ensuing fiscal year, including a detailed estimate of all revenues of each department and an estimate of the amount required to meet bond interest, redemption and other fixed charges of the city and county, and the revenues applicable thereto. He shall, by message accompanying such proposed budget, comment upon the financial program incorporated therein, the important changes as compared with the previous budget, and bond issues, if any, as recommended by him.

The mayor shall submit to the board of supervisors, at the time that he submits said budget estimates and said proposed budget, a draft of the annual appropriation ordinance for the ensuing fiscal year, which shall be prepared by the controller. This shall be based on the proposed budget and shall be drafted to contain such provisions and detail as to furnish an adequate basis for fiscal and accounting control by the controller of each revenue and expenditure appropriation item for the ensuing fiscal year. Upon submission it shall be deemed to have been regularly introduced, and together with the proposed budget, shall be published as required for ordinances.

The detail of the proposed budget to be published shall be as follows:

1. The total cost for conducting each department, bureau, office, board or commission for the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to basic objects of expenditure for each.
2. A detail schedule of positions and compensations, showing any increases or decreases in any department or office.
3. A detail schedule of items for capital outlay.

4. The aforementioned consolidated estimates and schedules shall also include by items contained therein the following information:

- (a) Expenditures for the last complete fiscal year.
- (b) Estimated expenditures for the current fiscal year.
- (c) Proposed increases or decreases as compared with the budget allowances for the current fiscal year.

The board of supervisors shall provide printed copies of the mayor's budget message and proposed budget thus prepared, including comparative expenditures and revenues for the current and preceding fiscal years and other information transmitted therewith, for official use and public demand as requested.

The board of supervisors shall fix the date or dates, not less than five days after publication as in this section provided, for consideration of and public hearings on the proposed budget and proposed appropriation ordinance.

The board of supervisors may decrease or reject any item contained in the proposed budget, but shall not increase any amount or add any new item for personal services or materials, supplies, or contractual services, for any department, unless requested in writing so to do by the mayor, on the recommendation of the chief administrative officer, board, commission or elective officer, in charge of such department.

The board of supervisors may increase or insert appropriations for capital expenditures and public improvements.

After public hearing, and not earlier than the 15th day of May, nor later than the 1st day of June, the board shall adopt the proposed budget as submitted or as amended and shall pass the necessary appropriation ordinance. If the appropriation ordinance as submitted by the mayor is amended by the supervisors, the appropriation ordinance shall be readvertised prior to final reading or passage, in the manner required for ordinances.

Any item in such appropriation ordinance except for bond interest, redemption or other fixed charges, may be vetoed in whole or in part by the mayor within ten days of receipt by him from the clerk of the board of supervisors of the ordinance as passed by the board, and the board of supervisors shall act on such veto not later than the 20th day of June.

The several items of expenditure appropriated in each annual appropriation ordinance, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth in any said annual appropriation ordinance until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation in any annual appropriation ordinance derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to section 86 of this charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of

appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the chief administrative officer, board or commission and the approval of the controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Subject to the restrictions hereinbefore in this section included, the several amounts of estimated revenue and proposed expenditures contained in the annual appropriation ordinance as adopted by the board of supervisors shall be and become appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year to and for the several departments, bureaus, offices, utilities, boards or commissions, and for the purposes specified, and each department for which an expenditure appropriation has been made shall be authorized to use the money so appropriated for the purposes specified in the appropriation ordinance, and within the limits of the appropriation. The appropriation ordinance shall constitute the authority for the controller to set up the required revenue and expenditure accounts. Appropriation items for bond interest, bond redemption, fixed charges and other purposes not appropriated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the chief administrative officer for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

*Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Consideration Postponed.

## POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### POLICE DEPARTMENT

Section 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations

for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; deputy chief of police, [\$7,500;] \$8,640; captain of inspectors, [\$6,600;] \$7,620; supervising captain of districts and department secretary, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; captain of traffic, [\$5,400;] \$6,240; director bureau of personnel and director of bureau of criminal information, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains and criminologist, [\$4,980;] \$5,760; lieutenants and director of bureau of special services, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; inspectors, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; sergeants, [\$3,480;] \$4,020; photographer, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; police surgeon, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, [for first year of service, \$2,700; for second year of service, \$2,800; for third year of service, \$2,900; for fourth year of service and thereafter, \$3,000,] \$3,480.

[The minimum annual compensation for police officers, women protective officers, and police patrol drivers, new members of the department or who shall be appointed from eligible lists established prior to January 11, 1943, shall be \$2,800, and further adjustments shall be in accordance with the preceding paragraphs.]

[In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensation sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.]

[The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.]

Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive \$15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the police department shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Section 35.5½ (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be [forty-eight (48)] forty (40) hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to *at least* one (1) day off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this sub-

section. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay *at the option of the member*.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal day off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than [~~forty-eight (48)~~] *forty* (40) hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members so serving more than [~~forty-eight (48)~~] *forty* (40) hours shall be granted added compensation or time off with pay for said extra service performed *at the option of the member*.

(g) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leaves and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(h) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the same periods of fifteen (15) minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

[*(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of said commission.*]

*(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this charter, the following days are hereby declared, for the purposes hereof, to be holidays: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be a holiday; the first Monday of September; and any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving Day, and shall be allowed as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay, at the option of the member.*

[ (j) This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1944, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date, this section shall become effective on the 1st day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.]

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January [1946, 1948, 1949] 1944, 1945, and 1946, respectively.

The fire commission shall appoint a chief [engineer] of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided. The annual compensation for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief [engineer] of department, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; first assistant and second assistant [chief engineers] chiefs of department, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; battalion chiefs, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; lieutenants, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; engineers, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; chief's operators, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen and hosemen, [for first year of service, \$2,700; for second year of service, \$2,800; for third year of service, 2,900; for fourth year of service, and thereafter, \$3,000;] \$3,480; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; firemen of fire boats, [\$3,060] \$3,540 .

Except as to members of marine crews of fire boats, each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two tours of duty, to-wit: from eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m., and from six o'clock p. m., to eight o'clock a. m. The uniformed force of the fire department shall be divided into two platoons, the officers and members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than one week. *No officer or member, including pilots, marine engineers and stokers of fire boats, shall be required to work more than one hundred and twenty (120) hours in any fifteen day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to [remain on duty for] work more than fourteen consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Each officer and each member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.*

*Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this Charter, the following days are hereby declared, for the purposes hereof, to be holidays: January 1,*

*February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be a holiday; the first Monday of September; and any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving day. Members required to work on any of said days shall be paid extra compensation in the amount of a day's pay for each day worked or shall be granted time off duty, with pay, equivalent to the time worked, at the option of the member.*

On the recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief [engineer] of department, or in his absence any assistant chief [engineer] of department, or in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

[In determining years of service necessary for a driver, stoker, tillerman, truckman and hoseman to receive the annual compensation sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.]

[The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.]

[Upon the increased compensation in this section provided becoming effective, section 36.2 shall stand repealed.]

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the fire department shall be effective and shall accrue on the first day of January, [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

On motion by Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Mead, pursuant to request of the Chief of the Fire Department and the president of the Board of Fire Commissioners, *consideration was postponed until Monday, August 26, 1946.*

#### Finally Passed.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Appropriating the Sum of \$36,362.10 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Reimburse Appropriation No. 629.140.00, Registrar of Voters, for Funds Expended for Special Election Held July 16, 1946, Which Sum Represents the Amount by Which the Cost of the Election Exceeded the \$50,000 Expended From the Special Election Fund; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4250, Ordinance No. 3993 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$36,362.10 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to reimburse Appropriation No. 629.140.00, Registrar of Voters, for funds expended for special election held July 16, 1946, which sum represents the amount by which the cost of the election ex-



ceeded the \$50,000 expended from the Special Election Fund; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$36,362.10 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the Credit of Appropriation No. 629.140.00 to reimburse the latter appropriation for expenditures made in conducting special election held July 16, 1946, which sum represents the amount by which the cost of the election exceeded the \$50,000 expended from the Special Election Fund.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: It is immediately necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the office of Registrar of Voters to reimburse the amount expended out of Appropriation No. 629.140.00 in connection with the special election of July 16, 1946, as these funds were appropriated by the 1946-1947 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance to provide funds required to conduct the General Election to be held November 5, 1946.

Recommended by the Director, Department of Finance and Records.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

**Recommending Public Utilities Commission to Grant Right-of-way For Trail Purposes, Through Crystal Lakes Property, San Mateo County, California.**

Proposal No. 5967, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has, through its Legislature, established the Riding and Hiking Trail System requiring the construction of trails throughout California; and

Whereas, the residents of the City and County of San Francisco will obtain no direct benefits through said Trail System inasmuch as San Francisco already has well developed trails, except through the development of trails in San Mateo County; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco controls an extensive area of land lying immediately across certain possible trails in our neighbor County south from San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors recommends to the Public Utilities Commission that favorable action be taken by it on the granting of a right-of-way for trail purposes through the Crystal Lakes property in San Mateo County, to complete the Master Plan of State Riding and Hiking Trails as developed by the State Park Department.

On motion by Supervisor Lewis, the foregoing proposal was *ordered carried on the Calendar until the Manager of Utilities was available.*

Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

Leave of Absence—Honorable George W. Kemper, President of the Public Library Commission.

Proposal No. 5965, Resolution No. 5770 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable George W. Kemper, President of the Public Library Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period from August 20, 1946, to September 5, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

[Leave of Absence—Honorable John J. Sullivan, Member of the Board of Supervisors.

Proposal No. 5968, Resolution No. 5772 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the Honorable John J. Sullivan, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from August 24, 1946, to September 21, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Edward Molkenbuhr, Judge, Municipal Court.

Proposal No. 5969, Resolution No. 5773 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Edward Molkenbuhr, Judge of the Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period August 14 to September 10, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Disapproving of Obscene Fiction and Commending Hearst Publications for Their Endeavors in Suppressing Such Type of Literature.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5972, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, many agencies throughout this Nation are engaged in the publication and distribution of obscene fiction, disseminating and endeavoring to glorify immorality, filth and degeneracy; and

Whereas, invoking that constitutional freedom designed as a bulwark against tyranny and oppression of decent and recognized rights these literary bacchanalians neither recognize nor exercise any restraint in those endeavors which, prompted by avarice, result in irreparable harm to otherwise normal and healthy intellects; and

Whereas, official histories of delinquencies both juvenile and adult, conclusively demonstrate that innumerable infractions of the law, resulting in particularly reprehensible injury to the peace of mind and body and general welfare of members of our society as well as immeasurable expense to the State, spring from derelictions, the motivating notions for which are drawn and conceived from the stench of these "literary" cesspools; and

Whereas, for its peace, progress and prosperity this Nation has so many unavoidable problems as would make it seem wholly unnecessary to be required to cope with one such as this, which, however lightly considered by some, is a heavily contributing factor to a national immorality such as has resulted in the degeneracy and downfall of other civilizations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors officially records its disapproval of the type of "literature" herein referred to; urges the people of the City and County of San Francisco neither to buy nor read it nor, otherwise, to patronize those who publish, keep, display or sell it, and urges its official agencies persistently and relentlessly to invoke and exhaust all such legal processes as, prudently, have been designed to prohibit its publication and distribution; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors officially commends the Hearst Publications for their praiseworthy endeavors designed for the suppression of the type of literature herein referred to.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

Supervisor Lewis, in commenting on the situation, as outlined in the foregoing proposal presented by Supervisor Gallagher, expressed agreement therewith. He suggested, however, that the Board also consider in connection therewith, some of the magazines offered for sale to the public, particularly some of the so-called comic books which so many children read.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Arrange for San Francisco's Participation in the State of Utah Centennial.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5971, Resolution No. 5774 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, during the week of July 24, 1947, there will be celebrated the State of Utah Centennial commemorating entrance into the Salt Lake Valley of the first group of Mormon pioneers; and

Whereas, there is a close historical association between the State of Utah and the City and County of San Francisco by reason of the fact that after having made the trip around the Horn, Sam Brannan, a Mormon leader, accompanied by 250 followers, settled in San Francisco and set up the first printing press here, upon which our first paper was printed; and

Whereas, Sam Brannan was one of the first to discover gold in California and was responsible for the establishment of the first school in San Francisco; and

Whereas, there exists in San Francisco fond memories of the revered Sam Brannan as well as a very kindly feeling for the Mormon people, all of which it is desired to make manifest by participation in the State of Utah Centennial; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That on behalf of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, this Board of Supervisors expresses to the people of

the State of Utah its congratulations, best wishes and the sincere hope that the State of Utah Centennial may culminate in that complete success which is planned for; and be it

Further Resolved, That Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer for the City and County of San Francisco be and is hereby authorized and requested to arrange for San Francisco's participation in the State of Utah Centennial and for the design of such a suitable float as will properly serve for San Francisco's demonstration therein.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

**Recommending Change of Name of Jackson Playground to  
Tony Lazzeri Playground.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 5973, Resolution No. 5779 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the baseball world was shocked by the unexpected passing of one of baseball's greatest players, Anthony M. Lazzeri; and

Whereas, "Poosh 'Em Up Tony" as he was affectionately dubbed, was born and raised in the Potrero District and acquired his baseball lore on San Francisco's sandlots; and

Whereas, Tony Lazzeri, after playing with Salt Lake of the Pacific Coast League, during which time he hit 60 home runs in one season, was purchased by the New York Yankees of the American League; and

Whereas, during his tenure with the New York club, that magnificent team won eight American League championships and six World Series; and

Whereas, although playing with such outstanding figures as Babe Ruth, Lou Gehrig, Bill Dickey and others, Tony became a standout, a terror at the plate and a cool, brilliant strategist in the field; and

Whereas, just prior to his untimely death, Tony Lazzeri, ever mindful of the tremendous benefits accruing to the youth of America through the medium of baseball and the game's character-building qualities, teamed with Oscar Vitt and Willie Kamm, both former major league players, to conduct the baseball clinic, sponsored by the San Francisco Examiner, at which instructions were imparted to over 3000 youngsters on the fundamentals of America's greatest game; and

Whereas, it is indeed fitting and proper that due recognition be given to "Poosh 'Em Up Tony" for his manifold contributions to baseball in particular and to sports generally; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, duly cognizant of the brilliant and outstanding achievements of one of her illustrious sons, does hereby respectfully request the Recreation Commission to give favorable consideration to changing the name of Jackson Playground to Tony Lazzeri Playground, as a means of expressing this City's heartfelt gratitude and admiration for the baseball prowess and manly qualities as exemplified by San Francisco's own "Poosh 'Em Up Tony" Lazzeri.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

## In Memoriam—Mrs. Anita Rhine Gould.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 5974, Resolution No. 5775 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, The Almighty has summoned to her eternal reward Mrs. Anita Rhine Gould, Commander of the San Francisco Chapter of the American Cancer Society; and

Whereas, Mrs. Anita Rhine Gould was an ardent worker in the fight against cancer, having carried on a campaign against the ravages of the disease for more than twenty-five years, during which time in addition to her leadership of the San Francisco Chapter she was a former Commander of the Northern California Chapter of the American Cancer Society; and

Whereas, in addition to the humane work which was the prime interest in Mrs. Gould's activities, she was also a moving figure in San Francisco women's civic affairs, being a member of the California Club, a member of the Board of Directors of the Western Women's Club, and a member of the Women's Welfare League of San Francisco, of which she was President at the time of her death; and

Whereas, the passing of Mrs. Anita Rhine Gould represents a distinct loss to the civic life of San Francisco, and is a sad bereavement to her grieving family and the countless friends who knew, admired and loved her; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, when it adjourns its meeting this day, does so out of respect to the beloved memory of the late Mrs. Anita Rhine Gould; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to forward a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to each of the six brothers and sisters of Mrs. Anita Rhine Gould as an expression of the deep sympathy and heartfelt condolence felt by the members of the Board of Supervisors at her passing.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Proclaim the Period September 29 to October 4, "American Legion Convention Week."

Supervisor Sullivan presented:

Proposal No. 5975, Resolution No. 5776 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there will be held in San Francisco from September 29 to October 4, 1946, the first National Convention of the American Legion since the entry of the United States into World War II; and

Whereas, it is confidently expected that during this American Legion Convention, San Francisco will be host to approximately 100,000 Legionnaires from other States, as well as an equal number from the State of California; and

Whereas, the American Legion confers a signal honor upon San Francisco through the selection of this City as the site for its first post-war National Convention and it is fitting and appropriate that every effort should be made to manifest the cordiality and respect which is felt for the Legionnaire veterans of World Wars I and II; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to proclaim the period September 29 to October 4, "Amer-

ican Legion Convention Week" and to urge all merchants and building owners or operators in the City and County of San Francisco suitably to dress their premises with official Legion emblems and colors in such manner as, objectively, will demonstrate to those in attendance at the Convention, the warm and kindly feeling which is felt toward them by the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman—2.

#### Amending Initiative Ordinance—Garbage Collection.

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Bill No. 4259, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Submitting to the electors an amendment of the initiative ordinance adopted by the electors on November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, Etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance." and relating to the rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by refuse collectors.

Whereas, on November 8, 1932, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted an initiative ordinance regulating refuse collection and disposal in the City and County of San Francisco and providing for maximum rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by licensed refuse collectors; and

Whereas, the maximum rates or charges fixed in Section 6 of such initiative ordinance were fixed by the electors on the basis of wages, operating costs and other costs which existed in 1932; and

Whereas, since 1932, wages generally and the wages of persons engaged in collection and disposition of garbage and refuse and all other costs and expenses have greatly increased, in many cases the increases being well in excess of 100 per cent since 1932; and

Whereas, this Board, after fully and completely examining the maximum rates fixed in Section 6 of such initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, has determined that licensed refuse collectors are entitled to a reasonable increase in maximum rates for the collection and disposition of garbage and refuse from householders and that the matter of the amendment of Section 6 and such increase should be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There shall be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, an amendment to Section 6 of the initiative ordinance adopted by the voters on November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the director of public health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing City and County of San

Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of this ordinance.", as follows:

Section 6 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, is amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse as herein defined, by refuse collectors, shall be as follows:

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from the ground floor:

No. Rooms	<i>Collections Per Week.</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .60	\$ .75	\$1.00	\$1.30
5 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.35
6 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.40
7 .....	.75	1.00	1.15	1.45
8 .....	.80	1.10	1.30	1.50
9 .....	.85	1.15	1.35	1.60
10 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.75
11 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.80
12 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from second floor, one stairway above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	<i>Collections Per Week.</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .65	\$ .85	\$1.05	\$1.35
5 .....	.75	.90	1.15	1.45
6 .....	.75	.95	1.30	1.50
7 .....	.80	1.05	1.35	1.60
8 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.70
9 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.75
10 .....	1.00	1.35	1.50	1.85
11 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90
12 .....	1.05	1.50	1.75	2.05

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from third floor, two stairways above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	<i>Collections Per Week.</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .85	\$1.10	\$1.40
4 .....	.70	.85	1.35	1.45
5 .....	.75	.90	1.50	1.50
6 .....	.75	.90	1.60	1.70
7 .....	.90	1.30	1.70	1.80
8 .....	.95	1.40	1.80	1.85
9 .....	1.00	1.50	1.90	2.00
10 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.10
11 .....	1.05	1.60	2.15	2.25
12 .....	1.10	1.75	2.25	2.35

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from fourth floor, three stairways above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	<i>Collections Per Week.</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .95	\$1.35	\$1.60
4 .....	.70	.95	1.50	1.85
5 .....	.85	1.05	1.70	2.00
6 .....	.90	1.10	1.80	2.10
7 .....	1.00	1.45	1.90	2.20
8 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.30
9 .....	1.05	1.70	2.15	2.40
10 .....	1.05	1.80	2.25	2.50
11 .....	1.10	1.90	2.35	2.65
12 .....	1.25	2.05	2.45	2.75

## Monthly rates from apartment houses:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.				
	(6)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
10	\$2.40	\$1.90	\$1.70	\$1.50	\$1.40
20	4.50	3.90	3.50	3.10	2.90
30	6.30	5.10	4.70	4.10	....
40	7.80	6.90	5.90	....	....
50	9.00	8.15	6.90	....	....
60	10.00	9.20	....	....	....
70	11.00	10.30	....	....	....
80	12.00	11.20	....	....	....
90	13.00	12.10	....	....	....
100	14.00	12.90	....	....	....
110	15.20	....	....	....	....

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
120	\$16.30	370	\$43.00
130	17.40	380	45.00
140	18.50	390	46.00
150	19.60	400	47.00
160	20.70	410	47.20
170	21.80	420	48.30
180	22.90	430	49.40
190	24.00	440	50.50
200	25.10	450	51.60
210	26.00	460	52.70
220	27.00	470	53.80
230	28.00	480	54.90
240	29.00	490	56.00
250	30.00	500	57.10
260	31.00	510	58.20
270	32.00	520	59.30
280	33.00	530	60.40
290	35.00	540	61.50
300	36.00	550	62.60
310	37.00	560	63.70
320	38.00	570	65.80
330	39.00	580	65.90
340	40.00	590	67.00
350	41.00	600	68.00
360	42.00		

The rates for more than 600 rooms in any one apartment house shall be subject to contract between the owner or lessee of the apartment house and a duly licensed refuse collector.

In determining the number of rooms of any household, building or apartment in order to ascertain the rate for the collection and disposition of refuse therefrom, halls, alcoves, storerooms, bathrooms, closets and toilets shall not be considered as rooms, nor shall basements or attics be considered as rooms unless the same be occupied as living quarters.

Any collection and disposition charges not specifically set forth herein shall be subject to contract between the producer and a duly licensed refuse collector.

Section 2. The registrar of voters is authorized and directed to place the amendment of Section 6 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, on the ballot at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, and he is further authorized and directed to comply with all applicable provisions of law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco relating to the submission of ordi-



nances to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for their approval or rejection.

Section 3. The amendment to Section 6 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, shall not be construed as amending, repealing or modifying any of the other provisions of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Report on Bay Crossing Hearings.

Supervisor Lewis reported on hearings on additional bay crossings, held during the week just passed. He expressed pride of the fact that San Francisco went before the Board united—labor, improvement clubs, and downtown interests—in the desire to bring trains into San Francisco. He expressed regret that Alameda County took the position it did, opposing San Francisco's wishes in that respect, but he hoped to live to see the day when all the bay area communities should be considered as one and would realize that what is good for one would be good for all. He expressed gratitude to the members of the County, State and National Affairs Committee, to the Chief Administrative Officer for his aid, to the improvement clubs, labor and downtown organizations for their support in presenting San Francisco's case. He wished particularly to thank the Chamber of Commerce for its aid.

Supervisor Mancuso, under his name on Roll Call, called attention to Supervisor Lewis' failure to include reference to himself in connection with the bay crossing hearings. Supervisor Lewis, Supervisor Mancuso continued, put in a great deal of time and work in the case, and he should be commended and congratulated for the way in which he handled the matter.

Senator Knowland and Congressmen Welch and Havenner, as well as a representative of the State Legislature, should also be thanked for their aid.

#### Commending Board of Education for Its Summer School Program.

Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, called attention to the summer school program conducted by the Board of Education, which had just ended on Friday, August 16, 1946, and moved that a letter be sent to the Board of Education, commending that board for its successful summer school program.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

#### Left Hand Turns at Fifth and Market Streets.

Supervisor Lewis called attention to the situation at the intersection of Fifth and Market Streets, wherein lefthand turns are permitted. The situation was very dangerous. Although there is no pedestrian crossing lane along the projection of the southwesterly side of Fifth Street, people do cross Market Street there instead of crossing from the opposite side of Fifth Street. He suggested that the Streets Committee or the Police Committee give the matter some consideration.

#### Increasing Traffic Casualties.

Supervisor MacPhee, under his name on Roll Call, called attention to the ever increasing traffic casualties, about which something must be done. Traffic accidents take a larger toll of life than does war, he stated. He had given the matter serious consideration and had some suggestions, although he did not know how effective they would be. He did, however, suggest a more rigorous enforcement of present regulations, especially regulations or prohibitions against speeding.

Whatever is done, leadership is needed. He believed the situation serious enough to warrant the Board's taking part in the matter, or even to assume leadership in an endeavor to improve the situation, by calling in the Police Department heads and judges in a meeting for an open discussion of the matter. He requested an expression of opinion from other members of the Board as to what might be done. He believed it was a Board matter rather than a matter for committee consideration.

Supervisor Brown expressed great interest in the matter. It was a matter of serious concern for the insurance business. The increase in traffic accidents has been very appalling. The increase is largely attributed to increase in driving and to the dilapidated condition of automobiles, but it was mostly to the man behind the wheel. Most of the accidents are caused by people between the ages of 16 and 30. The courts and the police in San Francisco have spent a lot of time and have met with considerable success in their efforts. Their efforts, though, have been punitive rather than educational. He thought the matter might be something for the Board of Education to think about. He suggested that driving schools before an accident might be better than such schooling after an accident.

Supervisor Sullivan called attention to the dangerous situation existing at the crossing of Van Ness Avenue between McAllister and Grove Streets.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that in another week or two he would bring up the matter again under roll call. He again stated that some leadership was needed, and that the Board of Supervisors should provide that leadership.

Supervisor Meyer suggested that the Chief of Police name a thousand or so outstanding citizens as an unofficial committee to report violations of the law, especially violations against traffic signals and "Stop" signs.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:20 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 23, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, August 26, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 26, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 26, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMur-ray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Colman excused from attendance.

Supervisors Christopher and Sullivan on leave of absence.

Supervisors Mead and Brown were excused from attendance at  
4:55 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 24 and of July 1, 2 and 3, 1946, were considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Purchasing Department, attaching inventory of various publications on hand for sale or distribution.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Sunset Heights Improvement Club, calling attention to City-owned land at Thirteenth Avenue and Lincoln Way which could be utilized for the Farmers' Market.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From The American Cancer Society, thanking Board for expression of sympathy on death of Mrs. Joseph L. Gould.

*Ordered filed.*

From Redwood Empire Supervisors Unit, announcing 26th Annual Convention in Lake County, October 10-12.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Irwin Memorial Blood Bank, thanking Board for support of its appeal for volunteer donors.

*Ordered filed.*

From League of California Cities, concerning series of meetings to be held by Senate Interim Committee on State and Local Taxation.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Registrar of Voters, regarding numbering of propositions on the November ballot.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Supervisor Colman, advising of inability to attend meeting, and urging passage of amendment to agreement with Housing Authority.

*Ordered excused from meeting.*

From Equity Advance Post 2887, V. F. W., urging Board to uphold its policy of non-discrimination and non-segregation in housing of veterans. Also, similar communications from eighteen individual addressors.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the Police Commission, requesting that proposed charter amendment affecting salaries and working conditions of Police Department be re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the Fire Commission, requesting that proposed charter amendment affecting salaries and working conditions of Fire Department be re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From City Attorney, advising that Supervisors have no control over number of non-certificated employees of Board of Education.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Mayor, appointing Supervisor Mancuso as Acting Mayor, August 30 to September 3.

*Ordered filed.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

**Changing Fee for Special Fumigation Permit From \$10 to \$2.20 Per Hour of Inspector's Time.**

Bill No. 4232, Ordinance No. 3999 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 701 of Article 12, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II, San Francisco Municipal Code, by changing the fee for a special fumigation permit from Ten (\$10.00) Dollars to Two and 20/100 (\$2.20) Dollars per hour of inspector's time.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 701 of Article 12, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II, San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Section 701 of Article 12, Chapter V (Health Code), Part II, San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 701. **Permits.** (a) **Application, etc.** Any person, firm or corporation engaged in any business or calling, or who may hereafter engage in any business or calling, and who may desire to continue in said business or calling, where hydrocyanic acid gas, cyanogen or chloropicrin or any other poisonous, noxious or dangerous gases or fumes dangerous to the life or health of human beings are used shall make written application to the Director of Public Health;



shall provide and shall set forth the name of the person, firm or corporation engaged in the use of or who is desirous of using said gas; the particular character of gas used or to be used, the purposes and place where the same is used and the probable amount thereof which will be used during the existence of said permit, and the name of the person or persons who will have direct charge of the use of said gas. Before issuing any permit for the use of said gas, the Director of Public Health shall himself or through such person or persons as he may designate for that purpose, inquire into the training, experience, character, reputation and general character of the applicant for said permit and of the person or persons who are to have direct charge of the use of said gas; and for the purpose of ascertaining the training, experience, character, reputation and qualification of either said applicant or said person who has, or is to have, direct charge of the use of said gas, the Director of Public Health, or his representatives, may cause said applicant or said persons to appear before him, or them, and propound to said applicant, or to said persons, such questions as will show the training, experience, qualifications, character and reputation of said applicant, or of said persons, in regard to the use of said gas, and the regulations governing said use. The Director of Public Health shall have full power and authority to refuse to grant any permit for the use of said above-mentioned gas should he ascertain or determine that the manner in which said gas is to be used, or the place where it is to be used, is dangerous to life or health, or the person under whose direction it is to be used has not sufficient training, experience, character and reputation so that the use of said gas can be entrusted to said person without danger, or probability of danger, to the lives of human beings.

Every permit issued under authority of this section shall state the place where said gas is to be used, the character thereof and the probable amount thereof to be used, and the name or names of the person, firm or corporation authorized to use the same, and the name or names of the person or persons in direct charge of said use; provided, however, that when a permit is issued to any person, firm or corporation engaged in the general business of fumigating at places other than a fixed place of business, said permit need not specify the various places where said gas is to be used. Any permit so issued shall remain in force for a period not exceeding one (1) year from the date thereof; provided, however, that the Director of Public Health may issue a permit for a shorter period if the same is requested in said application for said permit.

(b) **Fees for Permits.** Every person, firm or corporation making application for a permit under the provisions of this section shall accompany said application with a fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars, and every person, firm or corporation making application for the renewal of any such permit shall accompany said application with a fee of Five (\$5.00) Dollars, which said fees are hereby fixed as the cost of investigating the matter of the issuing or renewing of said permits, and shall not be returnable in the event that said permits are not issued or renewed. All applications for a renewal of any permit shall contain all of the information required for an original permit.

(c) **Special Permits.** Any person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of fumigation, which said fumigation is to take place at any place other than the place of business of the person, firm or corporation holding a permit under this section, shall, at least twelve (12) hours before generating or releasing any of the gases mentioned in Sections 700 and 701 of this Article for fumigation purposes outside of his, or its, fixed place of business, make application to the Director of Public Health for a permit to so do, which said application shall state the location of the building or enclosed space to be fumigated and the day and hour when such fumigation shall be commenced, and the name of the person or persons who will be in direct

charge of said fumigation. If the person, firm or corporation making application for said permit provided for in this section has already received a permit under the provisions of this section, which will authorize him to carry on the business of fumigation outside of a fixed place of business, and the person or persons in charge of said fumigation have been approved by the said Director of Public Health, a special permit to generate or release said gas for fumigation purposes at the place indicated in said application shall be issued by the said Director of Public Health upon the payment of a fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars upon the payment of a fee to be computed as follows: At the rate of Two and 20/100 (\$2.20) Dollars per hour of inspector's time or fraction thereof incident to each inspection. Upon filing the application a deposit of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars shall be made by the applicant with the Director of Public Health. Upon completion of the work for which a permit has been issued, there shall be an additional charge against or a refund to the permittee, dependent on the work actually done, inspected and approved. Such permit shall be kept on the premises to be fumigated, and should the applicant therefor be unable to do the work on the day and hour set forth in said permit, he shall notify the Director of Public Health at least six (6) hours prior to said time, and thereupon the Director of Public Health shall specify a new time for the fumigation of the premises or space specified in said permit.

(d) **Rules and Regulations.** The Director of Public Health shall have power to make and enforce all reasonable rules and regulations for carrying out the purposes of this section which are not in conflict therewith.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$64,000 From Appropriation, Water Department Surplus, to Provide for Extension of Water Mains and Installation of Services in Apparel City Subdivision.**

Bill No. 4236, Ordinance No. 4000 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$64,000 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00 (Water Department Surplus) to provide funds in the Water Department for extension of water mains and installation of services in Apparel City Subdivision.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$64,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00 (Water Department Surplus), to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.955.00 (Extension of Water Mains—Apparel City Subdivision) for extension of water mains and installation of services in Apparel City Subdivision.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Deleting 4\* Senior Accountants at \$325, and Adding in Lieu Thereof, 4 Senior Accountants at \$385-460. Also Deleting Explanation of Asterisk "To Be Classified by Civil Service Commission After Investigation."

Bill No. 4245, Ordinance No. 4005 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67, CONTROLLER, by deleting item 5.14 \*Senior Accountant at \$325, and by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 2 to 6 B14 Senior Accountant at \$385-460; and also deleting explanation of asterisk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 67. CONTROLLER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B20	Controller .....	\$1,250
2	24	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
3	10	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
4	1	B8	Supervisor of Disbursements .....	385-460
5	10	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
6	6	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
7	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller .....	625-750
8	1	B26	Supervisor of Budget Statistics .....	385-460
9	1	B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports .....	460-550
10	1	B28	Supervisor of General Audits .....	460-550
11	1	B30	Supervisor of Utilities Audits .....	460-550

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Appropriating the Sum of \$62,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to Provide Funds for the Acquisition of a New Site for the Farmers' Market.

Bill No. 4246, Ordinance No. 4006 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$62,000 out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$62,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Land Purchase Fund—Chief Administrative Officer, to the credit of Appropriation No. 658.600.50, to provide funds for the acquisition of a new site for the Farmers' Market.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

After explanation of the foregoing bill, by Supervisor Mancuso, at the request of Supervisor Brown, who was absent when said bill was Passed for Second Reading, the roll was called and Bill No. 4246 was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

No: Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 124 in Assessor's Block 3753.**

Bill No. 4231, Ordinance No. 3998 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 124 in Assessor's Block 3753.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described city-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Sixth Street distant thereon 115 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Folsom Street; running thence southeasterly and along said line of Sixth Street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 75 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 75 feet, to the point of commencement.

Being a part of 100 Vara Lot No. 217 in Block No. 383.

Section 2. The above described land shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Consideration Continued.**

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Approximately One Acre of Water Department Land in Town of Sunol, Alameda County.**

Bill No. 4244, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of approximately one acre of Water Department land in town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bond Street in the town of Sunol, said point being distant along said easterly line north 16° 32' east 356.60 feet from its intersection with the northerly line of Main Street, and running thence along said easterly line of Bond Street north 16° 32' east 153.55 feet to the most southerly corner of a 0.60 acre tract conveyed by the Western Pacific Railway Company to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 12, 1907, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of Alameda County January 24, 1908, in Book 1421 of Deeds at page 288; thence north 46° 08' west 109.72 feet along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract, said line also being the northeasterly line of Bond Street; thence along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract the following courses and distances: north 43° 55' east 125.00 feet; north 46° 08' west 50.00 feet; and north 43° 55' east 139.08 feet along said last mentioned boundary line and its northeasterly extension to a point on the westerly bank of Laguna Creek; thence along the westerly bank of Laguna Creek the following courses and distances: south 15° 28' east 76.71 feet; thence south 17° 10' east 127.93 feet; thence south 4° 16¼' east 106.67 feet; thence south 24° 28¼' east 145.85 feet; thence south 7° 18¾' west 25.02 feet to the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek; thence along the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek the following courses and distances: south 88° 45¼' west 107.58 feet; thence north 74° 45½' west 54.09 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.989 acre, and being a portion of Parcel 65, Alameda County Lands, conveyed by Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Book 2350, Official Records, page 1 of Alameda County Records.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Laguna Creek and Sinbad Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso explained the reason of reference of the foregoing bill to the Board without recommendation, stating that when the matter was heard in Finance Committee, there were but two members present, himself and Supervisor Lewis. Supervisor Lewis was opposed to the matter, while he was in favor of it. For that reason, there could be no committee recommendation.

Supervisor Lewis explained his objection to the bill. He felt that the bill was improperly worded. It should set forth whether the property mentioned was to be sold at public auction, or if sealed bids should be called for. Such change, he said, was to be made by the City Attorney.

Supervisor Mancuso reported that the City Attorney's office had stated that the title insurance companies were satisfied with the procedure as at present followed.

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis replied that all he was requesting was that the Charter be followed in order that it might be known how property was to be sold. An interested person is entitled to know whether a sale was to be by public auction or by sealed bids. He read Section 2 of the bill, as follows:

Section 2. Said property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Lewis pointed out that Section 92 of the Charter provides for two methods of sale; one by public auction and one by sealed bids. The bill should state by which method the property in question was to be sold. The City Attorney's office has agreed that bills should be drawn that way in the future.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he had followed the policy of the Real Estate Department as to calling for bids.

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis suggested amending Section 2 by inserting therein, immediately before the word "pursuant" the words "by public auction."

Supervisor MacPhee announced that he would have no objection to such amendment.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee moved that further consideration be temporarily postponed. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Subsequently during the proceedings, Mr. Peddicord, from the City Attorney's office, being present, consideration of the foregoing bill was resumed.

Supervisor Lewis again stated that he was not satisfied with the bill. He moved that the words "by auction" be inserted in Section 2 thereof, just before the word "pursuant." He wanted the legislation to specify the manner of sale, whether by public auction or by sealed bids. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Mr. Peddicord advised that any change in the manner of handling such matters should be made only after more consideration. The amendment as proposed, he felt, would not, by itself, be sufficient.

Supervisor Lewis again stated that he thought the bill, and all further legislation to provide for the sale of property, should specify whether sale would be by public auction or by sealed bids. It did not matter to him which way property was to be sold; all he was requesting was fairness. It was unfair to prospective purchasers not to be informed as to how a sale was to be made.

Mr. Peddicord suggested that there be inserted in the language of the foregoing bill, or in some other legislation, the words, "subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors."

Mr. Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property, stated that as far as "sealed bids" were concerned, they were out; the City and County could not get the price it could get by public auction sales. However, he saw no objection to the proposed amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee saw no harm in Supervisor Lewis' proposed amendment. However, it might be well to insert the date of proposed sale immediately following the words "by public auction."

Mr. Phillips suggested that the additional words would make the sale final, and the matter would not come back to the Board for approval. He was perfectly willing, and he would, before a sale was consummated, refer the matter to the Board for approval.

Mr. Peddicord agreed with Supervisor MacPhee's suggestion that the date of "public auction" be set forth in such legislation.

Supervisor Mancuso objected to the proposed amendment by Supervisor Lewis. If the amendment were adopted, all attempts to get further offers would be stopped. Under the present method, the City and County was in a better position to get good offers than under the proposed amendment.

Supervisor McMurray stated that he thought property should be sold to the highest bidder.

Mr. Phillips replied, pointing out that was always the case, provided the Board of Supervisors saw nothing wrong with the sale. The title insurance companies, he continued, have passed on the present method of selling City property. They think it is correct.

Supervisor Lewis, in reply to question by Supervisor Mancuso, stated that before holding a public auction, an ordinance calling for such auction must be enacted. During the past fourteen years there have been many auction sales without any ordinance. His amendment would merely provide that the Charter be followed. If a sale is to be by public auction, there should be authorization for such auction by ordinance.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Mead, pursuant to suggestion by Mr. Peddicord, moved that the foregoing bill remain on the Calendar for one week, and that the City Attorney be requested to submit a written opinion as to the proper method of procedure and the proper wording of legislation, with respect to sales of City-owned real property.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

**Ordering the Improvement of Wawona Street Between Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, Including the Crossings of Wawona at Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to legalize the Assessment and Providing for Payment for Work to Be Performed in Front of City Property and Making Appropriations Therefor.**

Bill No. 4220, Ordinance No. 3997 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Wawona Street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street at Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues.

Appropriating \$3,730 to legalize the assessment and to provide for work in front of City property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 28, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on

file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Wawona Street, between Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street at Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

- | <i>Item No.</i> | <i>Item</i>                   |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 1.              | Grading (Excavation)          |
| 2.              | 18-inch V.C.P. Sewer          |
| 3.              | 10-inch V.C.P. Culvert        |
| 4.              | Brick Catch-basins, Complete  |
| 5.              | 18x6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches |
| 6.              | 6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers     |
| 7.              | Unarmored Concrete Curb       |
| 8.              | Asphaltic Concrete Pavement   |
| 9.              | Two-course Concrete Sidewalk  |
| 10.             | Water Services, Long          |
| 11.             | Water Services, Short         |
| 12.             | Water Main                    |

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

- Block 2452, Lots 3 (City Property), 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10;
- Block 2453, Lots 1-A, 1-E, 1-F, 1-G, 1-H, 1-I, 1-J, 1-K, 1-L, 1-M, 1-N, 1-O, 1-P, 1-Q, 2, 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 3, 3-A, 4, 5, 5-A, 6, 7, 8, and 9;
- Block 2454, Lots 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40;
- Block 2511, Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11;
- Block 2512, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7; and
- Block 2513, Lots 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$3,730 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the allotted balances existing in the reserve for "City Aid" and "Work in front of City Property" to the following appropriations for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter and the payment for work in front of City owned property as herein provided, and in the amounts indicated:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>		
548.906.20-1	City Aid .....	\$3,700
548.916.07-1	Work Front City Property .....	30

---

\$3,730



These amounts are based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amounts will be applied against these appropriations and the excess amounts will revert to the reserve for "City Aid" and "Work in front of City property."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

### Changing and Establishing Grades on Clipper Street Extension.

Bill No. 4237, Ordinance No. 4001 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled, "Grade Map of Clipper Street Extension showing the proposed change and establishment of grades on Clipper Street extension between Douglass Street and Portola Drive, on Clipper Street (east of Douglass) between Douglass Street and a line 37.67 feet easterly therefrom, on Douglass between lines respectively 99 feet northerly from 26th Street and 176.84 feet southerly from 25th Street, on Burnham Street between Clipper Street and a line 233.20 feet southerly from 25th Street, and on Clipper Street (west of Burnham) between Burnham Street and a line 81.21 feet westerly therefrom."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of June, 1946, by Resolution No. 5581 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled "Grade Map of Clipper Street Extension showing the proposed change and establishment of grades on Clipper Street Extension between Douglass Street and Portola Drive, on Clipper Street (east of Douglass) between Douglass Street and a line 37.67 feet easterly therefrom, on Douglass between lines respectively 99 feet northerly from 26th Street and 176.84 feet southerly from 25th Street, on Burnham Street between Clipper Street and a line 233.20 feet southerly from 25th Street, and on Clipper Street (west of Burnham) between Burnham Street and a line 81.21 feet westerly therefrom"; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Grades at the points and to the elevations above city base are hereby changed and established as shown on that certain diagram approved June 17, 1946, by Resolution 5581 (Series of 1939) entitled: "Grade Map of Clipper Street Extension showing the proposed change and establishment of grades on Clipper Street Extension between Douglass Street and Portola Drive, on Clipper Street (east of Douglass) between Douglass Street and a line 37.67 feet easterly therefrom, on Douglass between lines respectively 99 feet northerly from 26th Street and 176.84 feet southerly from 25th Street, on Burnham Street between Clipper Street and a line 233.20 feet southerly from 25th Street, and on Clipper Street (west of Burnham) between Burnham Street and a line 81.21 feet westerly therefrom."

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Forty-fourth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets.**

Bill No. 4238, Ordinance No. 4002 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente Street and Wawona Street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 17th day of June, 1946, by Resolution No. 5590 (Series of 1939), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente Street and Wawona Street; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

**FORTY-FOURTH AVENUE**

Easterly line of, at Vicente Street ..... 42.33 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)

Westerly line of, at Vicente Street ..... 40.45 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)

100 feet southerly from Vicente Street ..... 42.73 ft.  
150 feet northerly from Wawona Street ..... 45.50 ft.

Easterly line of, at Wawona Street ..... 44.71 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)

Westerly line of, at Wawona Street ..... 44.00 ft.  
(The same being the present official grade)

On Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente Street and Wawona Street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Quintara Street Between Thirty-ninth and Forty-second Avenues, Including Crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues and Quintara Street, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4239, Ordinance No. 4003 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Quintara Street between Thirty-ninth Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Quintara Street between Thirty-ninth Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the crossings of Thirty-ninth, Fortieth and Forty-first Avenues and Quintara Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the Deputy City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Ordering the Improvement of Thirty-sixth Avenue Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets and Providing for the Payment for Work to Be Performed in Front of City Property and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4240, Ordinance No. 4004 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Thirty-sixth Avenue between Pacheco and Quintara Streets.

Appropriating \$5,800 from the allotted balance in "Reserve for Work in front of City property."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 12, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-sixth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara Streets by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (Excavation)
2.	Grading (Fill)
3.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer
4.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer in Crossing
5.	Brick Manholes, Complete
6.	8 x 6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches
7.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers
8.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
9.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Subbase Pavement

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 2155, Lots 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43; and

Block 2156, Lot 1 (City Property)

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$5,800 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the allotted balance in the "Reserve for Work in Front of City Property" Appropriation No. 548.916.05 for the payment of work in front of the Sunset Boulevard owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to the credit of Appropriation No. 548.916.05-1.

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for Work in Front of City Property."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

#### Land Purchase—John McLaren Park.

Proposal No. 5976, Resolution No. 5783 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Park Department that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, Cali-

fornia, required for the proposed McLaren Park and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from appropriation No. 612.600.03:

Arthur Heaney, Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 5998. . . . . \$300  
 Juliet L. Knox and Helen L. Trauner, Lots 3 and 4 in  
 Assessor's Block 6109. . . . . 900

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Deletion of the Name of W. F. Bauer as a Responsible Relative Under the Old Age Security Act.**

Proposal No. 5977, Resolution No. 5784 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on July 17, 1944, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, did pass Resolution No. 4114 (Series of 1939), establishing a liability of certain persons as responsible relatives under the Old Age Security Act; and

Whereas, upon the list of names, as to which Resolution No. 4114 (Series of 1939) approved the recommendation of the Public Welfare Commission, "Determining the Liability of Responsible Relatives," there appeared the name, W. F. Bauer; and

Whereas, after further study it was ascertained that there was no liability on the part of W. F. Bauer to contribute to the support of his mother; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That after deletion of the name, W. F. Bauer, from the list of names submitted and recommended by the Public Welfare Commission, "Determining the Liability of Responsible Relatives," which recommendation was approved by Resolution No. 4114 (Series of 1939), said recommendation and the list of names accompanying same be and is hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 5978, Resolution No. 5785 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including increases, decreases, discontinuances, new applications, aid denials and other transactions, effective June 1, July 1, and August 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for Month of September, 1946.**

Proposal No. 5979, Resolution No. 5786 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, for the month of September, 1946, including increases and decreases, are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Cancellation of Taxes and Penalties on Erroneous, Duplicate and Excessive Assessments for Fiscal Years 1939-1940 to 1945-1946, Both Inclusive.**

Proposal No. 5980, Resolution No. 5787 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor and with the consent of the City Attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, the taxes and penalties on the erroneous, duplicate and excessive assessments for the fiscal years 1939-1940 to 1945-1946, both inclusive, listed in certain schedules dated August 5, 1946, on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby cancelled, in total amounts as follows:

Taxes .....	\$21,298.29
Penalties .....	1,703.93

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing and Directing Department of Public Works, the Controller and the Treasurer to Consolidate Unencumbered Balances of General Fund Appropriations for Constructing New and Reconstructing Old Sewers and Sewage Disposal Plants With and Within the 1944 Sewer Bond Fund.**

Proposal No. 5982, Resolution No. 5789 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an election held on November 7, 1944, authorized the issuance of \$12,000,000 worth of Sewer Bonds; and

Whereas, in the annual appropriation ordinance for the fiscal year 1945-46 the Board of Supervisors appropriated the \$12,000,000 for constructing and reconstructing old sewers and sewage disposal plants; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, from time to time, have appropriated additional funds from ad valorem taxes for constructing new and reconstructing old sewers and sewage disposal plants; and

Whereas, it appears by consolidating these funds within the 1944 Sewer Bond Fund it would expedite the work and provide for a

simplification in operation and accounting of these funds; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Department of Public Works, the Controller and the Treasurer are hereby authorized and directed to consolidate the unencumbered balances of the general fund appropriations for constructing new and reconstructing old sewers and sewage disposal plants with and within the 1944 Sewer Bond Fund.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Controller.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Sale by Tax Collector, at Public Auction, of Property Deeded to the State for Non-Payment of Delinquent Taxes.**

Proposal No. 5983, Resolution No. 5790 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to notice of intention to sell at public auction certain tax deeded properties and request for approval thereof filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco on August 19, 1946, approval is hereby granted for said sale as set forth in said notice and the said Tax Collector be and he is hereby directed to sell the property as provided by law for a sum not less than the minimum price set forth in this resolution; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the sale of the property herein referred to be advertised as required by law and that the cost of publication be paid from the proceeds of the sale.

The parcel or parcels of property that are the subject of this resolution are deeded to the State of California for delinquent taxes and are more particularly described as follows:

<i>Parcel</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Minimum Price</i>
1	712	3	\$2,596.37
2	1566	26K	24.77
3	1839	1M	316.12
4	1839	1N	199.41
5	2206	2	214.54
6	2206	3	167.52
7	2206	4	148.93
8	2206	5	223.38
9	2206	6	214.04
10	2206	7	204.80
11	2206	8	195.39
12	2206	9	186.17
13	2206	10	186.17
14	2206	11	186.17
15	2206	12	186.17
16	2206	13	186.17
17	2206	14	186.17
18	2206	26	260.61
19	2206	27	260.61
20	2206	28	260.61
21	2206	29	260.61
22	2206	30	260.61
23	2206	31	279.59
24	2206	32	167.38

<i>Parcel</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Minimum Price</i>
25	2206	33	167.38
26	2206	34	167.38
27	2206	35	167.38
28	2206	36	167.38
29	2206	37	167.38
30	2206	38	167.38
31	2206	39	167.38
32	2206	41	195.35
33	2206	42	195.35
34	2206	43	195.35
35	2206	44	149.45
36	2620	30	604.22
37	2623	9 & 10	1,018.95
38	2719B	4	389.72
39	2719B	5	358.16
40	2719B	6	367.67
41	2719B	11	389.16
42	2719B	12	316.10
43	2719B	13	295.02
44	2779	23	187.38
45	2827	5	99.07
46	2827	6	74.33
47	2832	2	195.17
48	4093/4138	54	243.34
49	4094/4137	34	389.09
50	4094/4137	48	227.24
51	4096/4135	5	198.05
52	4158/4201	52	358.24
53	4225/4251	25	536.22
54	4282A	16	224.09
55	4972	7A	77.27
56	5522	23	410.35
57	5522	24	410.79
58	5526	15, 16 & 17	2,559.50
59	5549	82	181.90
60	5549	84	250.42
61	5549	86 & 87	444.58
62	5618	10	130.16
63	5626	13	105.72
64	5626	14	139.39
65	5626	16	117.36
66	5806	9A	63.56
67	5946	32 & 33	123.48
68	5959	1	145.73
69	6124	12	71.78
70	6190	26 & 27	74.56
71	6200	57 & 58	211.28
72	6243	2	98.66
73	6258	13, 14, 15 & 16	3,249.28
74	6501	7	194.92
75	6622	40	543.47
76	6624	2	143.59
77	6665	17	167.10
78	6697	20	71.68
79	6712	30	231.52
80	6715	20	148.33
81	6716	26	281.81
82	7094	3	140.98
83	7103	39	128.23
84	7162	11	334.86



Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.

Proposal No. 5984, Resolution No. 5791 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated August 26, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of September and October, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 2.8 to Provide That Children Under Twelve Years of Age Be Charged One-Half Rate Presently Established for Adults.

Bill No. 4241, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8 to provide that children under 12 years of age be charged one-half the rate presently established for meals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2.8. Charges and Deductions for Maintenance: The compensations fixed herein for all employees whose compensations are subject to the provisions of Section 151 or Section 151.3 of the Charter, and including also those engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco, are gross compensations and include the valuation of maintenance provided such employees. Charges and deductions therefor for any and all maintenance furnished and accepted by employees shall be made and indicated on timerolls and payrolls in accordance with the following schedule of charges fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance. Provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters, waitresses, and other kitchen workers:

Single meal (breakfast) .....	\$	35
Single meal (other than breakfast) .....		50
		Per Month
1 meal per day (breakfast) .....	\$	9.80
1 meal per day (other than breakfast) .....		14.75
2 meals per day (breakfast and one other) .....		24.55
2 meals per day (not including breakfast) .....		29.50
3 meals per day .....		39.30

	Per Month
Room or house .....	\$10 per month or 35¢ per night
Laundry .....	2.50
Board, room and laundry .....	51.80
Room, Hassler Health Home.....	5.00
Board, room and laundry for Camp Assistants at Camp Mather and for employees for Boys' Ranch School (each).....	22.00
Room and board for adult dependents of employees at Boys' Ranch School (each).....	10.00
Family maintenance furnished the Director of Boys' Ranch School and Agricultural Instructor (each).....	42.00
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital (each).....	183.60
Complete family maintenance furnished the Physician and Assistant Superintendent, Medical; Laguna Honda Home..	108.60
Complete family maintenance furnished the Physician Specialist and Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home (each).....	108.60
House furnished the Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division, of the Water Department and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (each).....	25.00
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50.00
House furnished the Operating Engineer of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department.....	25.00
House furnished the Operating Engineer of the Water Dept....	15.00
House furnished to Superintendent, Park Department.....	50.00
House furnished to the Director of the Zoo, Park Dept.....	25.00
House furnished to Junior Operating Engineer at the Murphy Windmill, Park Department .....	25.00

provided, however, that for employees of the San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home and the Hassler Health Home the rate for meals shall be as follows:

Single meal .....	\$ .35
	Per Month
1 meal per day.....	\$10.00
2 meals per day.....	16.50
3 meals per day.....	22.50

And provided further that children under 12 years of age shall be charged for meals one-half the rate applicable for the parents.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$2,403.50 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Compensation of Senior Clerk-Stenographer, at \$230-290 (f), Mayor's Office, Which Position Is Created. Also Abolishing Position General Clerk-Stenographer at \$285-230 (f)**

Bill No. 4249, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,403.50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B412 Senior

Clerk-Stenographer at \$230-290 (f) in the Mayor's Office, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 (f).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,403.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660,199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 602,110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer at \$230-290 (f) in the Mayor's Office, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 (f) in the Mayor's Office is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Mayor's Office, by Setting Up New Item, Senior Clerk-Stenographer in Lieu of General Clerk-Stenographer.**

Bill No. 4254, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 4, MAYOR, by decreasing the number of employments under item 6 from 8 to 7 B408 General Clerk Stenographer at \$185-230, and by adding item 7.1 1 B412 Senior Clerk Stenographer at \$230-290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 4, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 4. MAYOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Mayor . . . . .	(b) \$833.33
1.1	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor . . .	450
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor . . . .	625
4	1	B76.1	Administrative Assistant to Mayor	600-720
4.1	1	B76.3	Administrative Analyst . . . . .	420-500
5	1	B213	Usher, Mayor's Office . . . . .	185-230
6	7	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> . . . . .	185-230
7	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
7.1	1	B412	<b>Senior Clerk-Stenographer</b> . . . . .	230-290
8	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
8.2	2	G31	Administrative Technician . . . . .	300-375
8.3	1	N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office . . . . .	415-500
9	1	N404	Public Service Assistant . . . . .	275-345
10	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	240

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Items Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 1.22, Mayor's Office, by Authorizing Senior Clerk-Stenographer to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week in Lieu of General Clerk-Stenographer.**

Bill No. 4253, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), section 1.22, MAYOR, by adding 1 B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours a week, and by deleting therefrom 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.22, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.22 **MAYOR**

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	1	4
O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	1	4

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claims of Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson and Legal Action on Said Claims for the Sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400).**

Bill No. 4251, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claims of Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson and legal action on said claims for the sum of four hundred dollars (\$400).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows.

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended and the Police Commission having approved the settlement of the claims of Arvid Peterson and Mrs. Arvid Peterson and legal action on said claims instituted by action No. 202102 of the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California said Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson, as plaintiffs against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiffs as a result of an automobile accident occurring on the 27th day of December, 1944, at Seventeenth Avenue and Lawton Street, San Francisco, California, by payment to plaintiffs by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400) and said plaintiffs having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claims and action by the payment of said sum, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized

and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400) in favor of Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson.

Recommended and Approved by the Acting Secretary, Police Commission.

Approved as to form by the Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 6, City Attorney, by Adding 1 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545; by Adding 3 Principal Attorneys, Civil, at \$660; and by Deleting Items 8.1, 1 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545 and Item 9.1, 3 Principal Attorneys, Civil, at \$660.

Bill No. 4260, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 6, CITY ATTORNEY, by increasing the number of employments under item 8 from 5 to 6 K6 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545; by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 6 to 9 K8 Principal Attorney, Civil, at \$660; and by deleting items 8.1 1 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545; and 9.1 3 Principal Attorney, Civil, at \$660.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 6, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. **CITY ATTORNEY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		City Attorney . . . . .	(b) \$833.33
2	1	B160	Law Clerk . . . . .	230-290
2.1	1		*Receptionist . . . . .	225
3	8	B410	Legal Stenographer . . . . .	200-250
4	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
6	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer . . . . .	515-615
7	3	K4	Attorney, Civil . . . . .	430
8	6	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil . . . . .	545
9	9	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil . . . . .	660
10	3	K10	Head Attorney, Civil . . . . .	800
11	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil . . . . .	900
12	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service . . . . .	1,250
12.1	1	S114	Claims Investigator . . . . .	275-345

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission after investigation.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

13	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil (part time) at rate of . . . . .	660
----	---	----	---	-----

Approved as to funds available (Appropriation 604.110.00) by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$3,525 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund to Provide Additional Funds for the Maintenance of Sunset Boulevard and the Maintenance of Trees on Various Boulevards.**

Bill No. 4266, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,525 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund to provide additional funds for the maintenance of Sunset Boulevard and the maintenance of trees on various boulevards.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,525 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations, to provide additional funds required for the maintenance of Sunset Boulevard and the maintenance of trees on various boulevards, due to insufficient funds being provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to pay chauffeurs and gardeners the rates of pay set forth in the 1946-1947 Annual Salary Ordinance:

*Appropriation*

No.

647.908.00—Sunset Boulevard Maintenance.....	\$2,200
647.909.00—Boulevard Tree Maintenance.....	1,325

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$2,500 From the Surplus existing in the Water Department Land Purchase Fund to Provide Funds for the Purchase of Land for Irvington Pump Station.**

Bill No. 4267, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 from the surplus existing in the Water Department Land Purchase Fund to provide funds for the purchase of land for Irvington Pump Station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Water Department Land Purchase Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 90.600.66, to provide funds for the purchase of land for Irvington Pump Station.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$5,985 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Compensation for Positions Created in San Francisco Hospital as Follows: 1 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315; 2 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230. Abolishing Positions as Follows: 1 Bookkeeper at \$210-260; 1 Office Assistant at \$140-175; 1 General Clerk at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4268, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,985 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315 per month, and 2 B512 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230 per month, in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health which positions are created; abolishing the following positions in the same department: 1 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260 per month; 1 B210 Office Assistant at \$140-175 per month; 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,985 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of the following positions in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health, which positions are hereby created: 1 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315 per month; 2 B512 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230 per month.

Section 2. The following positions are hereby abolished in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health: 1 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260 per month; 1 B210 Office Assistant at \$140-175 per month; 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 58, San Francisco Hospital, by Setting Up Additional Positions, as Follows: 1 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315; 2 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230. Deleting Positions as Follows: 1 Bookkeeper at \$210-260; 1 Office Assistant at \$140-175; 1 General Clerk at \$185-230.**

Bill No. 4243, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL, by decreasing the number of employments under item 1 from 3 to 2 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260; by adding item 1.1, 1 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315; by decreasing the number of employments under item 1.3 from 2 to 1 B210 Office Assistant at \$140-175; by decreasing the number of employments under item 2 from 12 to 11 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of

employments under item 12 from 9 to 11 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 58. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	<b>Bookkeeper</b> .....	\$210-260
1.1	1	B6	<b>Senior Bookkeeper</b> .....	260-315
1.2	1	B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative), San Francisco Hospital	350-420
1.3	1	B210	<b>Office Assistant</b> .....	140-175
2	11	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> .....	185-230
3	2	B222	General Clerk (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
4.1	*1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
5	2	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
5.1	2	B309b	Key Punch Operator (Numerical)	160-200
6	11	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
9	1	B454	Telephone Operator (relief) at rate of .....	185-230
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
12	11	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
13	5	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
14	2	E108	Electrician .....	(i) 382.50

\*Funds provided for 2½ months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$2,185 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Compensation of 1 Teller in Treasurer's Office; Abolishing Position of General Clerk at \$185-230 in Same Office.**

Bill No. 4269, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,185 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B102 Teller at \$230-290 per month in the office of the Treasurer which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the same office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,185 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 606.110.00,



to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B102 Teller at \$230-290 per month in the office of the Treasurer, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the office of the Treasurer is hereby abolished.

- Recommended by the Treasurer.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 8, Treasurer, by Setting Up 1 Additional Teller at \$230-290, and Deleting 1 General Clerk at \$185-230.**

Bill No. 4252, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 8, TREASURER, by increasing the number of employments under item 4 from 2 to 3 B102 Teller at \$230-290; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 7 from 4 to 3 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 8, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 8. TREASURER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Treasurer . . . . .	(b) \$666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	385-460
4	3	B102	Teller . . . . .	230-290
5	4	B104	Senior Teller . . . . .	275-345
6	2	B108	Cashier A . . . . .	385-460
7	3	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
8	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Tax Rate Ordinance—Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1947.**

Bill No. 4275, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the Fiscal year ending June 30, 1947.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1947, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of five and fifty-five hundredths (\$.55) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of five and fifty-five hundredths (\$.55) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a)	For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of .....	\$1.606739
	Unemployment Relief Reserve .....	.017533
	Special Road Improvement .....	.000012
	Lighting Public Streets and Buildings.....	.150632
		<hr/>
		\$1.774916
(b)	For the General Funds, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the costs of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by the State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of .....	.869243
	For the Library Funds, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of .....	.092736
	For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....	.231787
	For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of .....	.148002
	For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.030623
	For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.028140
	For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund....	.023237
	For Retirement System for City employees.....	.403225
	For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.562023
	For Special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, rate of..	.036508
	For Workmen's Compensation Fund.....	.012003
	Airport Fund .....	.111692
		<hr/>
	TOTAL FOR MUNICIPAL PURPOSE.....	\$4.324135

Compulsory School Tax for San Francisco Unified School District ..... 1.225865

TOTAL TAX RATE .....\$5.55

Submitted by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Amending Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal Year 1946-1947 to Reflect Certain Revenue and Expenditure Appropriation Adjustments.**

Bill No. 4276, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the Fiscal Year 1946-1947, Bill No. 4074, Ordinance No. 3858, to reflect certain revenue and expenditure appropriation adjustments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The following revenue appropriations in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947, Bill No. 4074, Ordinance No. 3858, are hereby adjusted to read as follows:

<i>Source of Revenue</i>	<i>From</i>	<i>To</i>
General Fund .....	\$23,681,227	\$23,691,431
San Francisco Unified School District.....	6,089,497	6,941,403

*Receipts by Transfer*

General Fund .....	198,503	201,149
Employees' Retirement System.....	1,488,033	1,588,033
P. U. C. Lighting of Public Streets—H. L. P..	1,802,335	1,812,335

Section 2. The following expenditure appropriations in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947, Bill No. 4074, Ordinance No. 3858, are hereby adjusted to read as follows:

*Appropriation No.*

	<i>From</i>	<i>To</i>
633.237.70—General Fund—Scavenger Service, S. F. Unified School District \$	22,588	\$ 25,234
672.860.00—Employees' Retirement System, Pensions and Retirement Allowances, S. F. Unified School District .....	660,000	760,000
663.231.70—Lighting Public Streets & Buildings, Heat, Light and Power, S. F. Unified School District.....	104,000	114,000
670 —S. F. Unified School District.....	16,246,849	17,510,939

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Submitted by the Controller.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 83.1, Board of Education, Non-Certificated Employees, by Adding 9 General Clerk-Stenographers at \$185-230; 37 General Clerk-Stenographers (to Serve During School Year Only) at \$185-230; Also Deleting Positions as follows: 19 General Clerk-Stenographers (Part Time) at Rate of \$185-230; 5 Janitresses at \$145-180.

Bill No. 4261, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1 BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES, by increasing the number of employments under item 19 from 136 to 145 B 408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230; by reducing the number of employments under item 20 from 85 to 64 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at rate of \$185-230; by adding item 21, 37 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (to serve during school year only) at \$185-230; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 26 from 140 to 135 C102 Janitress at \$145-180.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), section 83.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 83.1. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings.....	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) .....	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator .....	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. ....	185-230
19	145	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> .....	185-230
20	64	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
21	*37	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> .....	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
26	135	C102	<b>Janitress</b> .....	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of .....	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor .....	155-195

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
29.1	1	C104	Janitor .....	(k 186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of.....	155-195
32	23	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors .....	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors ...	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of ...	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of .....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of...	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds.....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
48	18	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of.....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

55 Trucks (as needed) at rates estab-  
lished by Purchaser's contract.

\* To serve during school years only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Discussion.

Mr. Irving Breyer, representing the Board of Education, in explaining the foregoing bill, stating that he understood there was a letter from the City Attorney on file to the effect that the Board of Supervisors had no jurisdiction in the matter. The additional employments are made necessary due to certain counselling services for veterans. There will be no additional cost to the City and County. The Federal government will reimburse the City and County for all money expended.

Thereupon, the Clerk presented and read communication from the City Attorney, advising that the Board of Supervisors have no control over number of non-certificated employees of the Board of Education.

*Communication referred to Finance Committee.*

Mr. Breyer, in continuing his presentation, stated that due to the system that is in effect in administering the payroll, it was his view that unless the Board of Supervisors approved the foregoing amendment to the Salary Ordinance, the Controller would not issue checks and the employments could not become valid for these additional clerks.

The Controller advised that the City Attorney's opinion was true, but with certain qualifications. His opinion does not apply to the office of the County Board of Education or the County Superintendent of Schools. As far as non-certificated employees of the school district are concerned, the opinion was correct.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, the foregoing bill was *re-referred to Finance Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Subsequently during the proceedings, the foregoing action was rescinded, on motion by Supervisor MacPhee.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor MacPhee, the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

**Granting Revocable Permission to Public Utilities Commission to Install Bus Passenger Shelter in the Northerly Sidewalk Area of Alemany Boulevard Opposite Trumbull Street.**

Proposal No. 5981, Resolution No. 5788 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission is operating a passenger bus service on Alemany Boulevard; and

Whereas, in order to provide shelter for passengers, it is necessary to install a standard shelter on the unimproved northerly sidewalk area of Alemany Boulevard opposite Trumbull Street; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works has recommended that a revocable permit be granted to the Public Utilities Commission now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Public Utilities Commission to install a standard waiting shelter on the northerly sidewalk area of Alemany Boulevard opposite Trumbull Street.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Approving Map of Grand View Terrace, Accepting a Deed for Street and Walk and Dedicating Same as Open Public Streets; Also accepting a Deed for Sewer Easement.**

Proposal No. 5985, Resolution No. 5792 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of Grand View Terrace, M. A. Little Tract (Block 2715) San Francisco, Calif." composed of 2 sheets approved the 21st day of August, 1946, by Depart-

ment of Public Works Order No. 24-738 be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the official subdivision map of Grand View Terrace; and

Further Resolved, That the parcels of land delineated and designated thereon as Grand View Terrace and walk within the boundaries of said subdivision not previously dedicated are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets dedicated to public use to be known by the names shown thereon; and

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco that certain deed from Matthew A. Little and Frankie E. J. Little, his wife, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all the land comprising Grand View Terrace and walk as above referred to and shown on said map and does hereby also accept that certain deed for a sewer easement from Matthew A. Little and Frankie E. J. Little, his wife, as shown on said map; and

Further Resolved, That the certain certified check from M. A. Little, Jr., in the amount of \$414.86 made payable to the City and County of San Francisco conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes, which are at the time of filing of said map a lien against the land or any part thereof, as shown on said map, but not yet payable, is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of \$414.86 being sufficient to cover all taxes, the amount of said taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$414.86.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Approving Map of Portion of Blocks 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, and 2518, San Francisco, California.

Proposal No. 5986, Resolution No. 5793 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of a portion of Blocks 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512 and 2518, San Francisco, California," composed of two sheets approved the 21st day of August, 1946, by Department of Public Works Order No. 24-740 be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of subdivision of portion of blocks 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512 and 2518; and

Further Resolved, That the parcels of land delineated and designated thereon as Forty-first Avenue, Forty-second Avenue, and Forty-third Avenue, within the boundaries of said map not previously dedicated, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets dedicated to public use to be known by the names as shown thereon; and

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco that certain deed from Pacific Coast Construction Company dated June 14, 1946, signed by Carl Gellert and George G. Parsons, its president and secretary respectively, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all the land comprising Forty-first Avenue, Forty-second Avenue, and Forty-third Avenue as above referred to and shown on said map; and

Further Resolved, That the certain bond in the sum of \$1479.76 executed the 30th day of July 1946, between Carl Gellert and George G. Parsons, as principals, and Indemnity Insurance Company of North America, as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes, which are at the time of filing of said map a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown on said map, but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of \$1479.76 being sufficient to cover all taxes, the amount of said taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$739.88.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

Ordering Improvement of De Haro Street Between Fifteenth and Alameda Streets, Including the Intersection of De Haro and Alameda Streets.

Bill No. 4272, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same on De Haro Street between Fifteenth Street and Alameda Street, including the intersection of De Haro and Alameda Streets, by grading to official line and subgrade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 20, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

De Haro Street between Fifteenth Street and Alameda Street, including the intersection of De Haro Street and Alameda Street, and



excepting those portions required by law to be kept in order by persons, companies or corporations having railroad tracks therein, by grading to the official line and subgrade, and by construction of the following items:

1. Grading (excavation).
2. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert.
3. Brick catchbasins, complete.
4. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.
5. Unarmored concrete curb.
6. Asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Block 3807, Lot 10.

Block 3912, Lot 1.

Block 3913, Lots 1, 3 and 4.

Block 3914, Lot 1.

Being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment books of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

#### Ordering Improvement of De Haro Street (W $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Between Sixteenth Street and 200 Feet Northerly.

Bill No. 4273, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

De Haro Street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth Street and 200 feet northerly, by grading to official line and subgrade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assess-

ment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

De Haro Street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth Street and 200 feet northerly, by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

*Item No.            Item*

1. Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Unarmored concrete curb.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of that certain lot delineated, designated and numbered as:

Block 3937, Lot 2,

Being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment books of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Dublin Street Between Persia and Russia Avenues.**

Bill No. 4274, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Dublin Street between Persia Avenue and Russia Avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 8th day of July, 1946, by Resolution No. 5654 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Avenues; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

**DUBLIN STREET**

Easterly line of, at Persia Avenue (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	328.00 ft.
Westerly line of, at Persia Avenue (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	326.00 ft.
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 220 feet southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . .	329.74 ft.
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 270 feet southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . .	332.47 ft.
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 320 feet southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . .	339.65 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

## DUBLIN STREET (Continued)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 220 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 329.54 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 270 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 332.41 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 320 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 339.65 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 385 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 351.89 ft.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 460 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 359.79 ft.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 535 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 355.29 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 385 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 351.89 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 460 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 359.79 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 535 feet southerly from Persia Avenue ..... 355.29 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.

Russia Avenue northerly line (the same being the present official grade) ..... 346.00 ft.

On Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Police Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, MacPhee.

Approving Plan of the California Toll Bridge Authority to Lease The San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Approach for Controlled Parking.

Proposal No. 5895, Resolution No. 5780 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco through purchase of bonds contributed \$390,000 toward the purchase of land along the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach from Second to Fifth Streets, and between Perry and Stillman Streets, to protect said approach from any immediately adjacent building construction; and

Whereas, the area beneath and along said approach was utilized during the war period for military parking and storage purposes, which use has been discontinued; and

Whereas, the California Toll Bridge Authority has proposed that a large portion of said area—namely, that portion from Second Street to a point two-thirds of the distance from Fifth to Fourth Street and

lying between Perry and Stillman Streets—be leased for automobile parking purposes under proper and rigid control; and

Whereas, downtown San Francisco has an urgent need for additional public parking space, and said proposal of the Toll Bridge Authority offers a partial fulfillment of said need, while not interfering with the view of or from the bridge approach; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said proposal of the California Toll Bridge Authority be approved for areas and under conditions as follows:

Lease Area No. 4—located between Third and Fourth Streets in Block 3762, estimated to accommodate 410 automobiles;

Lease Area No. 5—located between Third and Fourth Streets in Block 3762, estimated to accommodate 400 automobiles;

Lease Area No. 6—located in the easterly third of the block between Fourth and Fifth Streets, Block 3761, estimated to accommodate 160 automobiles.

#### CONDITIONS

That reservations and restrictions fully protect the approach structure and the best interests and appearance of the surrounding neighborhood;

That any lease be limited to five years' duration;

That no signs be permitted to be attached to the approach structure;

That no gasoline pumps or vending machines be permitted in the areas;

That parking be limited to vehicles in usable condition; and

That no structures be permitted to be built in the areas except necessary small offices for parking attendants.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

August 19, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, August 26, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

The Chief Administrative Officer informed the Board that during the past week he had talked with the attorneys of the California Toll Bridge Authority, and had been told that under the terms entered into with the purchasers of the bridge bonds, all revenues of the bridge must be used in paying off bond interest and redemption. Because of that agreement, revenues to be derived from the proposed parking could not be shared with the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Brown then wondered what the situation would be in some six years, when all the bonds will have been paid off.

The Chief Administrative Officer suggested that San Francisco could file notice to the effect that the City and County be given some consideration if and when the bonds are paid off.

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown moved that the Board of Supervisors ask the Chief Administrative Officer to take that step and notify the California Toll Bridge Authority that if and when the bonds are expired that the City and County of San Francisco will approach the Authority on that subject.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

Recommending Public Utilities Commission to Grant Right-of-way For Trail Purposes, Through Crystal Lakes Property, San Mateo County, California.

Proposal No. 5967, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has, through its Legislature, established the Riding and Hiking Trail System requiring the construction of trails throughout California; and

Whereas, the residents of the City and County of San Francisco will obtain no direct benefits through said Trail System inasmuch as San Francisco already has well developed trails, except through the development of trails in San Mateo County; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco controls an extensive area of land lying immediately across certain possible trails in our neighbor County south from San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors recommends to the Public Utilities Commission that favorable action be taken by it on the granting of a right-of-way for trail purposes through the Crystal Lakes property in San Mateo County, to complete the Master Plan of State Riding and Hiking Trails as developed by the State Park Department.

August 19, 1946—*To be carried on the Calendar until Mr. J. H. Turner, Manager of Public Utilities, can be present.*

*Consideration continued until Manager of Utilities can be present.*

### Adopted.

Disapproving of Obscene Fiction and Commending Hearst Publications for Their Endeavors in Suppressing Such Type of Literature.

Proposal No. 5972, Resolution No. 5782 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, many agencies throughout this Nation are engaged in the publication and distribution of obscene fiction, disseminating and endeavoring to glorify immorality, filth and degeneracy; and

Whereas, invoking that constitutional freedom designed as a bulwark against tyranny and oppression of decent and recognized rights these literary bacchanalians neither recognize nor exercise any restraint in those endeavors which, prompted by avarice, result in irreparable harm to otherwise normal and healthy intellects; and

Whereas, official histories of delinquencies, both juvenile and adult, conclusively demonstrate that innumerable infractions of the law, resulting in particularly reprehensible injury to the peace of mind and body and general welfare of members of our society as well as immeasurable expense to the State, spring from derelictions, the motivating notions for which are drawn and conceived from the stench of these "literary" cesspools; and

Whereas, for its peace, progress and prosperity this Nation has so many unavoidable problems as would make it seem wholly unnecessary to be required to cope with one such as this, which, however lightly considered by some, is a heavily contributing factor to a national immorality such as has resulted in the degeneracy and downfall of other civilizations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors officially records its disapproval of the type of "literature" herein referred to; urges the people of the City and County of San Francisco neither to buy nor read it, and urges its official agencies persistently and relentlessly to invoke and exhaust all such legal processes as, prudently, have been designed to prohibit its publication and distribution; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors officially commends the Hearst Publications for their praiseworthy endeavors designed for the suppression of the type of literature herein referred to.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso.

Ordered Submitted as Amended.

## POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### POLICE DEPARTMENT

Section 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, [\$9,000;] *\$10,380*; deputy chief of police, [\$7,500;] *\$8,640*; captain of inspectors, [\$6,600;] *\$7,620*; supervising captain of districts and department secretary, [\$6,000;] *\$6,900*; captain of traffic, [\$5,400;] *\$6,240*; director bureau of personnel and director of bureau of criminal information, [\$5,100;] *\$5,880*; captains and criminologist, [\$4,980;] *\$5,760*; lieutenants and director of bureau of special services, [\$3,900;] *\$4,500*; inspectors, [\$3,600;] *\$4,140*; sergeants, [\$3,480;] *\$4,020*; photographer, [\$3,300;] *\$3,840*; police surgeon, [\$3,000;] *\$3,480*; police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, [for first year of service, \$2,700; for second year of service, \$2,800; for third year of service, \$2,900; for fourth year of service and thereafter, \$3,000,] *\$3,480*.

[The minimum annual compensation for police officers, women protective officers, and police patrol drivers, new members of the department or who shall be appointed from eligible lists established prior to January 11, 1943,

shall be \$2,800, and further adjustments shall be in accordance with the preceding paragraphs.]

[In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensation sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.]

[The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.]

Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive \$15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the police department shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Section 35.5½ (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be [forty-eight (48)] forty (40) hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to *at least* one (1) day off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this subsection. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay *at the option of the member*.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal day off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to

the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty* (40) hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members so serving more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty* (40) hours shall be granted added compensation or time off with pay for said extra service performed *at the option of the member.*

(g) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leaves and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(h) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the same periods of fifteen (15) minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

[(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of said commission.]

*(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this charter, the following days are hereby declared, for the purposes hereof, to be holidays: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be a holiday; the first Monday of September; and any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving Day, and shall be allowed as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay, at the option of the member.*

[(j) This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1944, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date, this section shall become effective on the 1st day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.]

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January [1946, 1948, 1949] *1944, 1945, and 1946, respectively.*



The fire commission shall appoint a chief [engineer] of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided. The annual compensation for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief [engineer] of department, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; first assistant and second assistant [chief engineers] chiefs of department, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; battalion chiefs, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; lieutenants, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; engineers, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; chief's operators, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen and hosemen, [for first year of service, \$2,700; for second year of service, \$2,800; for third year of service, 2,900; for fourth year of service, and thereafter, \$3,000;] \$3,480; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; firemen of fire boats, [\$3,060] \$3,540.

Except as to members of marine crews of fire boats, each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two tours of duty, to-wit: from eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m., and from six o'clock p. m., to eight o'clock a. m. The uniformed force of the fire department shall be divided into two platoons, the officers and members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than one week. *No officer or member, including pilots, marine engineers and stokers of fire boats, shall be required to work more than one hundred and twenty (120) hours in any fifteen day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to [remain on duty for] work more than fourteen consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Each officer and each member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.*

*Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this Charter, the following days are hereby declared, for the purposes hereof, to be holidays: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be a holiday; the first Monday of September; and any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving day. Members required to work on any of said days shall be paid extra compensation in the amount of a day's pay for each day worked or shall be granted time off duty, with pay, equivalent to the time worked, at the option of the member.*

On the recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief [engineer] of department, or in his absence any assistant chief [engineer] of department, or in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may,

during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

[In determining years of service necessary for a driver, stoker, tillerman, truckman and hoseman to receive the annual compensation sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.]

[The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.]

[Upon the increased compensation in this section provided becoming effective, section 36.2 shall stand repealed.]

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the fire department shall be effective and shall accrue on the first day of January, [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

*August 19, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, August 26, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read communications from the Police Commission and from the Fire Commission, requesting that the foregoing proposed charter amendment be re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Mead inquired the reason for the request for re-reference at such a late date. He inquired if the Committee had held hearings, and how many, and if the two Commissions had been invited to be present. If the Commissions had been invited, why had they been unable to attend?

Supervisor MacPhee stated that the Commissions had been consulted, but they felt they were not going to take any part in the matter. However, he thought they should answer Supervisor Mead's questions.

Commissioner Sullivan, President of the Board of Fire Commissioners, declared he did not think the request for re-reference was at all unreasonable. It would not prevent the matter from being put on the ballot. Now his Commission was not advised that the matter was to be presented to the Judiciary Committee. There were many matters which would confront both the Fire Department and the Police Department. The departments both have administrative problems to handle. He did not think the men of either department should tell their respective Commissions as to how they are going to take their time off. There will also be an increased cost to the taxpayers of \$1,750,000 which must be considered; this amount will be for the Fire Department.

Supervisor Mead stated that the policy in the past had always been to give both sides of any question full opportunity to be heard. However, he did not think his questions had been satisfactorily answered other than to the effect that the Commissions were not notified of hearings. However, he understood that both Commissions were invited to appear before the Judiciary Committee, but if they have not had an opportunity to be heard, he desired to give them that opportunity.

The Clerk announced that postcard notices had been sent to both Commissions, giving notice of meetings in which the foregoing charter amendment was to be considered.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that, as Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, he would assure the Board that it was not his intention to bury this proposed charter amendment in committee. With that understanding, he would move re-reference to committee.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead, who stated, however, that he was not satisfied with the statement by Commissioner Sullivan. His second did not mean, though, that he would vote in favor of the motion.

Mr. Jerd Sullivan, Police Commissioner, agreed that his Commission might be at fault in not appearing before committee. However, there were certain administrative problems that had come to the attention of the Police Commission and required the Commission's consideration. The Police Commission did not want to bury the matter in committee, or to take too much time in its consideration. It did, however, want to clear up those matters.

Mr. Robert Callahan, speaking on behalf of the firemen, stated that the charter amendment as it affected the Fire Department, had been presented to his Commission on July 17th. On July 22, the matter was submitted to the Board of Supervisors and was set for hearing in Judiciary Committee for July 24th. He had received notices of that committee hearing. No member of the Commission, or the Chief of the Fire Department appeared at that hearing, and they had not since taken any position in regard to the matter. In the meantime, the members of the firemen's organization have appeared practically every week before the Fire Commission and asked if the Commission had taken any position on the amendment. Consideration of the amendment was continued in Judiciary Committee. No opposition has been expressed in Judiciary Committee at any time. Last Monday, the President of the Fire Commission requested a week's postponement. The men had no objection, but they feel if there is any objection, it should be brought out into the open. The men whom he represented were willing to have the words "at the option of the member," which appear in several sections of the Fire Department portion of the proposed amendment, stricken out. The only other criticism has been the cost. In view of the weeks that the proposed amendment has been tossed around, the firemen feel that this request for re-reference to committee is just a stall, and if the matter goes back to committee they will have to fight to get it back to the Board.

Lieutenant James Quigley, of the Police Department, stated that the position of the men in the Police Department was the same as that of the firemen. The members of the Police Department had permission of their Commission to ask that the matter be put on the ballot. They had heard nothing from their Commission until the present time. They would have no objection to amendment of the Police Department portion of the proposed charter amendment similar to that proposed for the Fire Department portion. Lieutenant Quigley continued saying that the Los Angeles and the Oakland Police Department have no additional men because of their 44-hour week. If the proposed amendment is approved it will be possible to secure better men for the department. It is impossible to obtain the best type of men now because of the inadequate compensation. A police officer now gets but \$1.08 per hour. His actual cash is but \$94.50 each pay day. Men will not take the civil service examinations because of the low salary. The members concerned think there has been sufficient time for consideration of this amendment.

Supervisor Mead stated that no one questioned the necessity for increased pay for firemen and policemen. However, there is considerable time on this proposed amendment. There cannot be any misunderstanding between the men and the Commissions. They are not far apart. He felt certain that the matter would be back on the Calendar at the next Board meeting, and he would urge the men to permit the matter to go back to committee for the purpose of one more hearing. If that is done the matter will be back in the Board at its next meeting.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—4.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Commissioner Sullivan, President of the Board of Fire Commissioners, stated that he was not in a position to talk with the members of the Board of Fire Commissioners. That Board has had no hearing on the matter, and has not been able to discuss it as a full body. However, if the Board had discussed the amendment, he was not so sure it could have taken action, one way or the other. There are many things to which the Board objects. That was the only reason for the request for re-reference to committee.

Police Commissioner Jerd Sullivan stated that he could not speak for the Police Commission, either.

Chief of Police Dullea objected to the language, already referred to, "at the option of the member" was improper and should be deleted. He did not believe that the men should dictate as to extra compensation for excess hours off, or when they should take equivalent time off. That was purely an administrative function. Should the amendment be approved and become effective, there would be required approximately 300 additional men for the Police Department. For that reason there should be no reference to but "one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof." The question of salaries and working matters is for the Board to decide. In any case, it would be necessary for members of the Inspection Bureau to work a full 48-hour week. Chief Dullea also called attention to the wording of the proposed amendment, which would give police officers the maximum pay of their grade, immediately upon their entering the department.

Thereupon, Lieutenant Quigley stated that the members of the Police Department would have no objection to giving the Police Commissioners the right to designate the days off for work in excess of 40 hours per week, or whether extra compensation should be given for such work. The deletion of the words "at the option of the member," he stated, would be necessary in only three places.

The Chair suggested that it would be advisable to start at the beginning of the proposed amendment, in the consideration of any amendments to the proposed charter amendment as printed.

Thereupon, Lieutenant Quigley stated that in the opinion of the Chief of Police, the first sentence of Section 35.5, reading "The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof," should be deleted. However, the necessity of such deletion was a matter of opinion. The men did not believe it would be necessary.

Supervisor MacPhee, after further brief discussion, moved that the matter remain on the Calendar for one week. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead.

Supervisor Mancuso stated he would vote for the motion, but he wanted the members of the Board of Supervisors to know that if the reference to "one police officer to five hundred inhabitants" were deleted, he would vote against submission of the charter amendment to the voters. If that were deleted there would be nothing to prevent the Police Department from asking for any number of additional men. It has been a safety valve.

The Chair expressed agreement with Supervisor Mancuso as to the proposed deletion, but he saw no reason for a week's postponement. He believed the matter could be worked out without any delay. There were not many amendments necessary and he felt the two Commissions and the members of the departments could get together on them.

Police Commissioner Sullivan, after brief discussion, stated that he felt additional men could be obtained by cutting down the five hundred to four hundred, or some such figure.

The Chair announced that he would vote against a week's postponement. He then suggested temporary postponement.

Thereupon, Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved as a substitute motion, that further consideration be temporarily postponed.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

No: Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Subsequently during the proceedings, consideration of the foregoing charter amendment was resumed.

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, moved that in the first sentence of Section 35.5, the words "four hundred fifty" be substituted in lieu of the words "five hundred," making that sentence read as follows: "The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each four hundred fifty inhabitants thereof."

Supervisor Mancuso objected to the motion. He did not think it would be a good amendment. It would provide for additional men in the Police Department. That would be a mistake. San Francisco, he thought, was at its maximum taxrate. Next year's taxrate should be reduced. He would vote against any increase of personnel. He urged the members of the Board to vote down the amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that the proposed amendment had the recommendation of the Police Commission, to which Commissioner Jerd Sullivan agreed, saying that it was approved as a necessity to take care of the additional men required.

Lieutenant Quigley stated that the amendment had the approval of his group, also. He believed the Board of Supervisors would be a check against any unnecessary additional employment. The Board does not have to vote for any additional men if it thinks they are not needed.

Thereupon, the roll was called, and the amendment was *Approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Meyer—6.

No: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—4.

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, then moved that the words "at the option of the member" appearing at the end of Subsections d, f and i, be deleted.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

It having been pointed out that the words and figures "one (1)" in subsection (c) of Section 35.5½ were in error and should be changed to "two (2)", Supervisor MacPhee moved that they be so changed.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

No further amendments being proposed for that portion of the charter amendment dealing with the Police Department, the portion dealing with the Fire Department was taken up.

Subsection (c) of Section 35.5½, as amended, read as follows:

"(c) Each member shall be entitled to at least two (2) days off during each week, except as hereinafter provided."

Mr. Sullivan, President of the Board of Fire Commissioners, announced that his Commission was willing to have deleted from the sixth paragraph of Section 36, last sentence, everything after the words "for each day worked." That sentence would then read: "Members required to work on any of said days shall be paid extra compensation in the amount of a day's pay for each day worked."

Supervisor Mancuso objected to such change. The conditions, he thought, should be the same as for members of the Police Department. There should be the alternative provision of "a day off," for such extra day worked.

Mr. Callahan pointed out that there might be a difficulty in providing for "a day off," while there would be no question about the extra day's pay. Conditions in the Fire Department were different from those in the Police Department. The men of the department agree to the proposed elimination.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved approval of the deletion as suggested by the President of the Board of Fire Commissioners.

*Motion carried by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that under the circumstances he had no alternative but to vote for submission of the foregoing proposed charter amendment, as amended.

However, he was not in favor of the reduction of the figure of 500 to 450. He believed that was a serious mistake and would eventually result in padding the police force.

Thereupon, the roll was called, and the proposed charter amendment, amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department, as amended, and reading as follows, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

## POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, and Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### POLICE DEPARTMENT

Section 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each four hundred fifty inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, [**\$9,000;**] *\$10,380*; deputy chief of police, [**\$7,500;**] *\$8,640*; captain of inspectors, [**\$6,600;**] *\$7,620*; supervising captain of districts and department secretary, [**\$6,000;**] *\$6,900*; captain of traffic, [**\$5,400;**] *\$6,240*; director bureau of personnel and director of bureau of criminal information, [**\$5,100;**] *\$5,880*; captains and criminologist, [**\$4,980;**] *\$5,760*; lieutenants and director of bureau of special services, [**\$3,900;**] *\$4,500*; inspectors, [**\$3,600;**] *\$4,140*; sergeants, [**\$3,480;**] *\$4,020*; photographer, [**\$3,300;**] *\$3,840*; police surgeon, [**\$3,000;**] *\$3,480*; police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, [**for first year of service, \$2,700; for second year of service, \$2,800; for third year of service, \$2,900; for fourth year of service and thereafter, \$3,000,**] *\$3,480*.

[The minimum annual compensation for police officers, women protective officers, and police patrol drivers, new members of the department or who shall be appointed from eligible lists established prior to January 11, 1943, shall be \$2,800, and further adjustments shall be in accordance with the preceding paragraphs.]

[In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensation sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.]

[The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter,

shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.]

Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive \$15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the police department shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Section 35.5½ (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be [forty-eight (48)] forty (40) hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to *at least* two (2) days off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this subsection. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal day off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than [forty-eight (48)] forty (40) hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members so serving more than [forty-eight (48)] forty (40) hours shall be granted added compensation or time off with pay for said extra service performed.

(g) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of

the civil service commission, insofar as sick leaves and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(h) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the same periods of fifteen (15) minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

[(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of said commission.]

*(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this charter, the following days are hereby declared, for the purposes hereof, to be holidays: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be a holiday; the first Monday of September; and any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving Day, and shall be allowed as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay.*

[(j) This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1944, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date, this section shall become effective on the 1st day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.]

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January [1946, 1948, 1949] 1944, 1945, and 1946, respectively.

The fire commission shall appoint a chief [engineer] of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue



as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided. The annual compensation for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief [engineer] of department, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; first assistant and second assistant [chief engineers] chiefs of department, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; battalion chiefs, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; lieutenants, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; engineers, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; chief's operators, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen and hosemen, [for first year of service, \$2,700; for second year of service, \$2,800; for third year of service, \$2,900; for fourth year of service, and thereafter, \$3,000;] \$3,480; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; firemen of fire boats, [\$3,060] \$3,540.

Except as to members of marine crews of fire boats, each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two tours of duty, to-wit: from eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m., and from six o'clock p. m., to eight o'clock a. m. The uniformed force of the fire department shall be divided into two platoons, the officers and members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than one week. *No officer or member, including pilots, marine engineers and stokers of fire boats, shall be required to work more than one hundred and twenty (120) hours in any fifteen day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to [remain on duty for] work more than fourteen consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Each officer and each member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.*

*Notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of this Charter, the following days are hereby declared, for the purposes hereof, to be holidays: January 1, February 12, February 22, May 30, July 4, September 9, December 25, but in the event one of these days falls on Sunday, the Monday following shall be a holiday; the first Monday of September; and any day appointed by the President of the United States or the Governor of California as Thanksgiving day. Members required to work on any of said days shall be paid extra compensation in the amount of a day's pay for each day worked.*

On the recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief [engineer] of department, or in his absence any assistant chief [engineer] of department, or in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

[In determining years of service necessary for a driver, stoker, tillerman, truckman and hoseman to receive the annual compensation sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.]

[The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.]

[Upon the increased compensation in this section provided becoming effective, section 36.2 shall stand repealed.]

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the fire department shall be effective and shall accrue on the first day of January, [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

Remarks by Mr. Alfred Smith, of the Bureau of Governmental Research.

Mr. Alfred Smith, on being granted the privilege of the floor, announced that the Bureau of Governmental Research did not think that the change from "one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants" to "one police officer for each four hundred fifty inhabitants" was proper. Such amendment goes a long ways too far. There was no objection to submission of a pay increase proposition. As to hours of work and other administration features, they should be in a separate measure or left out entirely.

Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

## THE MAYOR

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 25 thereof, relating to the Mayor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 25 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## THE MAYOR

Section 25. The mayor shall be the chief executive officer of the city and county upon whom process issued by authority of law shall be served. He shall be an elective officer and shall be paid a salary of [ten] *fifteen* thousand dollars [(\$10,000)] (*\$15,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, an executive secretary and one confidential secretary, and one stenographer. The board of supervisors may annually appropriate additional sums to be expended by the mayor for purposes and duties incidental to the administration of the office of mayor, which shall be subject to the provisions of this charter relative to appropriations and the payment of claims. He shall, at the first meeting of the board of supervisors in January of each year, communicate by message to the supervisors a general statement of the condition of the affairs of the city and county, and recommend the adoption of such measures as he may deem expedient and proper.

The mayor shall be responsible for the enforcement of all laws relating to the municipality and for the review and submission of the annual executive budget; he shall supervise the administration of all departments under boards and commissions appointed by him; he shall receive and examine, without delay, all complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the city and county, and immediately inform the complainant of findings and actions thereon; and he shall coordinate and enforce cooperation between all departments of the city and county. The mayor shall have power to postpone final action on any franchise that may be passed by the supervisors until such proposed franchise shall have been voted on at the next election.

The mayor shall appoint such members of boards or commissions and other officers as provided by this charter. [He shall also make an ad-interim appointment of a qualified person to fill any vacancy occurring by reason of the expiration of a term.] He shall also appoint for the unexpired term of the office vacated, a qualified person to fill any vacancy occurring on any such board or commission and in any elective municipal office. [including the office of municipal court judge.]

The mayor shall have a seat but no vote in the board of supervisors and in any board or commission appointed by him, with the right to report on or discuss any matter before such board or commission concerning the departments or affairs in his charge. He shall have power to designate a member of the board of supervisors to act as mayor in his absence. Should he fail, neglect or refuse so to do, the supervisors shall elect one of their number to act as mayor during his absence. When a vacancy occurs in the office of mayor, it shall be filled for the unexpired portion of the term by the supervisors. Every person who has served as mayor of the city and county, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall have a seat in the board of supervisors and may participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote or to compensation.

In case of a public emergency involving or threatening the lives, property or welfare of the citizens, or the property of the city and county, the mayor shall have the power, and it shall be his duty, to summon, organize and direct the forces of any department in the city and county in any needed service; to summon, marshal, deputize or otherwise employ other persons, or to do whatever else he may deem necessary for the purpose of meeting the emergency. The mayor may make such studies and surveys as he may deem advisable in anticipation of any such emergency.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.

## CITY ATTORNEY

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 26 thereof, relating to the City Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and

County by amending Section 26 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

### CITY ATTORNEY

Section 26. The city attorney shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [**ten**] *fifteen* thousand dollars [(\$**10,000**)] (*\$15,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He shall appoint and at his pleasure may remove[,] all [**assistants and employees**] *assistant attorneys* in his office. He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office. He must, at the time of his election, be an elector of the city and county, qualified to practice in all the courts of this state, and he must have been so qualified for at least ten years next preceding his election.

The city attorney must represent the city and county in all actions and proceedings in which it may be legally interested, or, for or against the city and county, or, any officer of the city and county in any action or proceeding, when directed so to do by the supervisors, except where a cause of action exists in favor of the city and county against said officer. When any cause of action exists in favor of the city and county, the city attorney shall commence the same when within his knowledge or when directed so to do by the supervisors. He shall give his advice or opinion in writing to any officer, board or commission of the city and county when requested. Except as otherwise provided in this charter, he shall not settle or dismiss any litigation for or against the city and county, unless, upon his written recommendation, he is ordered so to do by [**ordinance**] *resolution of the board of supervisors*.

The city attorney shall prepare, or approve as to form *and legality*, all ordinances before they are enacted by the supervisors. He shall approve, by endorsement in writing, the form of all of official or other bonds required by this charter or by ordinance before the same are submitted to the proper commission, board or office for final approval, and no such bonds shall be finally approved without such approval as to form by the city attorney. Except as otherwise in this charter provided, he shall prepare in writing the draft or form of all contracts before the same are executed on behalf of the city and county. He shall examine and approve the title of all real property to be acquired by the city and county.

He shall keep on file in his office copies of all written communications and opinions, also all papers, briefs and transcripts used in matters wherein he appears; and books of record and registers of all actions or proceedings in his charge in which the city and county or any officer or board thereof, is a party or is interested.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, *consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

### ASSESSOR

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 28 thereof, relating to the Assessor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 28 thereof, relating to the Assessor, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### ASSESSOR

Section 28. The assessor shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of **[eight]** *twelve* thousand dollars **[(~~\$8,00~~)]** (*\$12,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, one chief assistant or deputy and one confidential secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, *consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

### DISTRICT ATTORNEY

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 29 thereof, relating to the District Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 29 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Section 29. The district attorney shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of **[eight]** *fifteen* thousand dollars **[\$8,000]** (*\$15,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He must, at the time of his election, be qualified to practice in all the courts of this state and must have been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, all assistants and employees in his office. *He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office.*

The district attorney, either in person or by his assistants, shall prosecute all criminal cases in the municipal and superior courts, draw all complaints, and issue warrants for the arrest of persons charged with crime who are to be prosecuted in such courts.

Any amount required by the district attorney from time to time from the district attorney's special fund shall be requisitioned by the district attorney, stating the general purpose for which required, whereupon the controller shall draw his warrant therefor and the claim be paid as provided for payment of other warrants by the treasurer. All such sums may be used by the district attorney solely as provided by

general law and he shall file vouchers with the controller at the end of each fiscal year showing what disposition he has made of any moneys received by him from such fund and the particular purpose for which it was disbursed, provided that, if a criminal proceeding be pending or under investigation, vouchers for moneys disbursed in such proceeding or investigation need not be filed until the trial of the criminal proceeding be ended or the investigation concluded. No portion of the fund shall be used for compensation or remuneration of full time assistants or employees.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, *consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

## TREASURER

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 31 thereof, relating to the Treasurer.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 31 thereof, relating to the Treasurer, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## TREASURER

Section 31. The treasurer shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of **[eight]** *ten* thousand dollars **[\$8,000]** (*\$10,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, one chief assistant.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, *consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

## SHERIFF

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 32 thereof, relating to the Sheriff.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 32 thereof, relating to the Sheriff, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## SHERIFF

Section 32. The sheriff shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of [eight] *ten* thousand dollars [**\$8,000**] (*\$10,000*) per year. Said salary shall be exclusive of the compensation received by him from the state for the delivery of prisoners to the state prisons, and insane persons to the state asylums for the insane. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, an attorney, one under sheriff, and one confidential secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, *consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

## PUBLIC DEFENDER

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 33 thereof, relating to the Public Defender.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 33 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## PUBLIC DEFENDER

Section 33. The public defender shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of [eight] *ten* thousand dollars [**\$8,000**] (*\$10,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He must, at the time of his election, be qualified to practice in all the courts of this state and must have been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove [**such assistants and employees**] *all assistant attorneys* in his office. [**as may be provided by budget and appropriation ordinances.**] He shall immediately upon the request of a defendant who is financially unable to employ counsel, or upon order of the court, defend or give counsel or advice to any person charged with the commission of a crime. *He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Brown, *consideration of the foregoing proposed charter amendment was postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

Adopted.

Resolution Authorizing Amendment to the Agreement by and Between the City and County of San Francisco and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco to Provide That the Veteran Applicants for Housing Shall Be Housed According to Their Seniority From One Master Waiting List Without Regard to Race, Color or Creed.

Proposal No. 5949, Resolution No. 5781 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on May 24, 1946, pursuant to Resolution No. 5427 of the City and County of San Francisco, entered into an agreement on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of acquiring, developing and administering twelve hundred (1200) emergency temporary housing units, now under construction, for veterans, service men and their families; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 5693 urged the immediate adoption of a policy of non-discrimination and non-segregation in regard to veteran applicants for housing, and urged that such veteran applicants be housed according to their seniority on one master waiting list, without regard to race, color or creed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into an amendment to the aforesaid agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT DATED MAY 24TH, 1946,  
BETWEEN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO RE PROVISION OF 1200 TEMPORARY DWELLING UNITS NOW UNDER CONSTRUCTION FOR THE HOUSING OF VETERANS, SERVICEMEN AND THEIR FAMILIES.

Whereas, on the 24th day of May, 1946, the City and County of San Francisco and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco entered into an agreement re provision of twelve hundred (1200) temporary units for the housing of veterans, servicemen and their families; and

Whereas, the parties desire to amend said agreement;

Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the mutual promises of the parties hereto said agreement is amended as follows:

The Authority agrees to accept applicants entitled to housing provided under the terms of this agreement in the order in which applications, properly certified, are received by the Authority from the Veterans Housing Bureau of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City agrees to notify said Veterans Housing Bureau to refer qualified applicants for said housing to the Authority according to seniority from one master waiting list without regard to race, color or creed.

On re-establishment of the rental division by the Authority, the Authority agrees to use the waiting list of the Veterans Housing Bureau of the City and County of San Francisco as its master waiting list for the housing of veterans in said units. After placement of all applicants on said master waiting list the Authority agrees to accept applicants entitled to housing provided under the terms of this agreement in the order in which applications are received by the Authority according to seniority from one master waiting list without regard to race, color or creed.

The Authority agrees to cooperate with the City and said Veterans Housing Bureau in effectuating appropriate procedures for the carrying out of the terms of this amendment.



Said original agreement between the parties hereto shall, except as here expressly amended, remain in full force and effect.

In Witness Whereof, the City and the Authority have each caused this amendment to be executed by their duly authorized officers on this ..... day of ....., 1946.

Attest: ..... CITY AND COUNTY OF  
 ..... SAN FRANCISCO.  
 Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. By ..... Mayor.

Approved as to form: ..... HOUSING AUTHORITY OF  
 ..... SAN FRANCISCO.  
 City Attorney. By ..... Chairman.

Attest:  
 .....  
 Secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor MacPhee, in explaining the foregoing proposal, called attention to Resolution No. 5427, previously *Adopted* by the Board, which was a declaration of policy. Proposal No. 5949 was but a working document, putting into effect the policy declaration.

The Clerk reported that there were eighteen letters on file, all endorsing and urging adoption of the foregoing proposal.

*Communications noted and ordered filed.*

Mr. William O'Brien, attorney for the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco, stated that the proposal under consideration was, in reality, an approval of a proposed amendment to an agreement now in effect between the City and County of San Francisco and the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco. The Housing Authority does not want to take any advantage of the City and County, and if it is desired to propose an additional condition, the Housing Authority will not object. This proposal is purely authorizing an amendment to a legal document which will effectuate the Board's wishes.

Mr. O'Brien, pursuant to request for information by Supervisor Mancuso, reported that there were approximately 1200 temporary emergency veterans' housing units. He spoke particularly about the project in Balboa Park, near the Junior College, which the federal government has cancelled, because of anticipated lack of sufficient funds. That has cut the program down. However, Balboa Park project has not definitely been abandoned; it was in a state of suspended animation.

Supervisor Mancuso, after discussing the matter briefly, stated that the proposed amendment to the agreement was equitable and fair to the men who have served in the war, and he would vote for the proposal.

Supervisor McMurray suggested an amendment to give priority to veterans who were San Franciscans. They should have priority over veterans who have moved here since the war. He offered the suggested amendment for consideration by the Board.

Supervisor Mead raised a point of order, calling attention to a lack of a second to the motion to amend.

Whereupon, Supervisor Meyer stated he would second the motion in order to bring it before the Board.

Mr. O'Brien announced that the Housing Authority had no objections to whatever terms the Board might desire.

Supervisor Mead objected to the proposal by Supervisor McMurray. A veteran was a veteran, he claimed, no matter where his home was originally. He was looking forward with a great deal of anticipation to the growth of California, and particularly to San Francisco, and no program should be set up for elimination of people who want to live in San Francisco or in California. He felt that such legislation would be discriminatory.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he felt such legislation was strictly discriminatory. He did not think it was good legislation.

His Honor, the Mayor, called attention to Resolution No. 5693, adopted July 15, 1946, which simply asked the Housing Authority to adopt a policy of non-discrimination and non-segregation. Before signing that resolution, the Mayor stated, he took the matter up formally with the Housing Authority and they expressed their willingness to do what the Board was requesting. Now the Board is asking for a further amendment to the contract between the City and the Housing Authority. The contract has been amended in accordance with Resolution No. 5693. It would be unfortunate if the Board deviated now from what it has already requested the Housing Authority to do, which he had approved, by signing the resolution.

Thereupon, Supervisor McMurray stated that he had no desire to discriminate against anyone. However, he thought that veterans from San Francisco should have first choice, because this is their home.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors McMurray, Meyer—2.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead—6.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

The roll was again called and the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, was taken up:

#### Leave of Absence—Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor.

Proposal No. 5994, Resolution No. 5794 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence from Friday, August 30, 1946, to Tuesday, September 3, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—7.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—4.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Resolution Relative to Workmen's Compensation Insurance for Registered Volunteer "Disaster Service Workers."

The Clerk presented from his Honor, the Mayor:

Proposal No. 5993, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Section 1599.1 of the Military and Veterans' Code, Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session, provides:

"The California State Disaster Council shall establish by rule and regulation various classes of disaster service workers and the scope of the duties of each class. The California State Disaster Council shall also adopt rules and regulations prescribing the manner in which disaster service workers of each class are to be registered. All such rules and regulations shall be designed to facilitate the paying of workmen's compensation," and

Whereas, the California State Disaster Council on April 4, 1946, adopted rules and regulations establishing classes of disaster service workers, the scope of duties of each class and the manner of registration of such volunteer workers; and

Whereas, Section 1599.2 of the Military and Veterans Code, Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session, provides:

"Any disaster council which both agrees to follow the rules and regulations established by the California State Disaster Council pursuant to the provisions of Section 1599.1 of this code and substantially complies with such rules and regulations shall be certified by the California State Disaster Council. Upon making such certification, and not before, the disaster council becomes an accredited disaster council," and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has registered and will hereafter register volunteer Disaster Service Workers; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco by Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), has created a San Francisco Disaster Council and has directed that Council to comply with the regulations of the California State Disaster Council; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco desires to create an "accredited Disaster Council" organization in order that injured Disaster Service Workers registered with it may benefit by the provisions of Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session;

Now, Therefore, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby agrees to follow the rules and regulations established by the California State Disaster Council under date of April 4, 1946, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1599.1 of the Military and Veterans' Code, Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby instructed to send two certified copies hereof to the California State Disaster Council.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

The Clerk presented the following from his Honor, the Mayor:

Creating the San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps.

Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and providing for various civilian protection and service agencies thereunder; enumerating certain powers and duties and conferring additional powers and duties on certain municipal officers and employees in connection with civilian protection and relief from disaster; repealing Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939).

Whereas, experience during the war and peace has demonstrated the need for adequate disaster plans and for mobilization of the

resources of the community to cope with such disaster; and

Whereas, the State of California has created a State Disaster Council to prepare a state disaster plan and to recommend mutual aid regions; and

Whereas, municipalities have legal authority (Constitution, Article XI, Section 11, and Military and Veterans Code, Section 1571, as amended by Statutes of 1945, Chapter 1024) to create disaster councils for the purpose of formulating local disaster plans, including mutual aid agreements; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. **Disaster.** As used in this ordinance, a disaster shall exist when proclaimed by the Commander. It shall include, but is not limited to, any extraordinary fire, flood, storm, epidemic, or earthquake, or any actual or threatened enemy attack or sabotage, which causes or threatens to cause loss of life or property and upon which occurrences the responsibility devolves upon the regularly constituted authorities for the maintenance of public peace and order and to preserve life and property.

Section 2. **The Disaster Council. Members.** The San Francisco Disaster Council is hereby created and shall consist of the following:

- (a) The Mayor, who shall be Chairman.
- (b) The Vice-Commander, who shall be the Chief Administrative Officer who, in the absence of or at the direction of the Commander, shall act on his behalf on matters within the purview of this ordinance.
- (c) The Chiefs of Divisions as hereinafter provided.
- (d) Such other representatives of civic, business, labor, veterans, professional, or other organizations as may be appointed by the Mayor.

Section 3. **Disaster Council. Powers and Duties.** It shall be the duty of the San Francisco Disaster Council and it is hereby empowered:

- (a) To develop a plan for meeting any disaster. Such plan shall provide for the effective mobilization of all the resources of the community, both public and private.
- (b) To prepare and recommend for consideration by the Board of Supervisors ordinances necessary to implement the disaster plan.
- (c) To consider and recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval mutual aid plans and agreements.

The Disaster Council shall meet upon call of the Chairman.

Section 4. **Commander. Powers and Duties.** There is hereby created the office of Commander. The Mayor is designated as the Commander.

The Commander is hereby empowered:

- (a) To proclaim the existence or threatened existence of a disaster and the termination thereof.
- (b) To request the Governor to proclaim a state of extreme emergency (as defined in the Military and Veterans' Code of the State of California) in the area in and around the City and County of San Francisco when in the opinion of the Commander the resources of the community are inadequate to cope with the disaster.
- (c) To govern and direct the efforts of the San Francisco Disaster Corps in the accomplishment of the purposes of this ordinance.

(d) To direct coordination and cooperation between the chiefs of divisions and resolve questions of authority and responsibility that may arise between them.

(e) To represent the Disaster Corps in all dealing with public or private agencies pertaining to disaster preparedness.

It shall also be the duty of the Commander and he is hereby empowered during a disaster or when a disaster is imminent:

(a) To make and issue rules and regulations on matters reasonably related to the protection of life and property affected by such disaster.

(b) To obtain necessary supplies, equipment and such other properties found lacking and needed for the protection of the life and property of the people, and to bind the City to reimburse for the fair value thereof.

(c) To require emergency services of any City officers or employees.

(d) To requisition necessary personnel or material or any City department or agency.

(e) To enter into agreements with private agencies for the performance of such special services and duties as may be necessary in the judgment of the Commander to carry out the provisions of this ordinance.

(f) To execute all of his ordinary powers as Mayor, all of the special powers conferred upon him by this ordinance and all powers conferred upon him by any other lawful authority.

**Section 5. Executive Officer.** There is hereby created the position of Executive Officer of the Disaster Corps. The Executive Officer shall be appointed by the Mayor. He shall be the Executive Secretary of the San Francisco Disaster Council and shall be Chief of the Division of Personnel and Recruitment.

**Section 6. Disaster Corps. General.** Officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, together with those volunteer forces enrolled to aid them during a disaster, and all groups, organizations and persons who may by agreement or operation of law be charged with duties incident to the protection of life and property in the City and County of San Francisco during such disaster, shall constitute the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

**Section 7. Divisions of Disaster Corps.** The functions and duties of the San Francisco Disaster Corps shall be distributed among the following divisions of such corps, each division to be under the direction of a chief and, in his absence, the first deputy and second deputy chief, respectively, who shall be appointed by the chief, and said division shall consist of the following forces, organizations, and services, and such other forces, organizations or services as may be included pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance. The Chiefs of Divisions shall organize and train volunteers assigned to such divisions by the Personnel and Recruitment division and shall formulate the division plan which, when approved by the Disaster Council, shall become an annex to the disaster plan. The Chief of Divisions shall include in the division plans recommended mutual aid agreements. The Purchaser of Supplies of the City and County of San Francisco shall be custodian of special equipment and other property obtained from any source for the use of the Disaster Corps. He is hereby authorized to act as the agent of this community to receive from any agency the loan of any such equipment or property upon such conditions as may be prescribed by such agency. He shall keep an account of the property entrusted to his control according to the terms of its acceptance, and where there are no terms provided by such agency, then upon such terms as the Commander may prescribe.

He shall keep records of the receipt and distribution of such property and may issue or distribute such property only to the persons entitled thereto under the rules of the Corps. Any property now held by him for the use of the Citizens' Protective Corps shall be transferred to the use of the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

The Divisions shall consist of:

(a) *Police Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief of Police, who shall be Chief of the Police Division. The division shall consist of police personnel and the Police Reserve (volunteers).

(b) *Fire Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, who shall be Chief of the Fire Division. The division shall consist of Fire Department personnel and the Auxiliary Fire Service (volunteers).

(c) *Medical Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of Health Department personnel, medical and hospital units, ambulance units, receiving hospital personnel, the Coroner's department, and medical service volunteers. The Director of Public Health shall be the Chief of this division.

(d) *Public Works Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel of the Department of Public Works and auxiliary personnel (volunteers). The Director of Public Works shall be the Chief of this division.

(e) *Utilities Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Manager of Utilities who shall be Chief of the Utilities Division. The division shall consist of personnel of the utilities repair service and other utilities department personnel and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(f) *Communications Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel and equipment of the Department of Electricity and of the Ultra Shortwave Service and auxiliary personnel (volunteers) and such other City personnel engaged in communications work. The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be Chief of this division.

(g) *Personnel and Recruitment Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Executive Officer who shall be Chief of this division. It shall be the duty of this division to recruit all volunteer personnel, to enroll and register and classify such personnel (in accordance with the regulations adopted from time to time by the California State Disaster Council), to keep adequate records thereof, and to assign such personnel to other divisions of the Disaster Corps. The executive officer may establish and operate a volunteer office to accomplish these purposes.

(h) *Welfare Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Director of Public Welfare who shall be Chief of the Welfare Division. This division shall consist of personnel of the Public Welfare Department and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(i) *American Red Cross.* The American Red Cross in the City and County of San Francisco will furnish food, clothing, shelter, registration and information service, supplementary medical service when requested and rehabilitation to individuals and families affected by a disaster. The American Red Cross will provide funds with which to finance all of its relief operations. The chief of this division will be

the chairman of the City of San Francisco Chapter of the American Red Cross, or a delegated representative thereof.

**Section 8. Volunteers.** All persons, other than officers and employees of the City, volunteering services pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall serve without compensation from the City. While engaged in such services, they shall have the same immunities as officers and employees of the City performing similar duties.

**Section 9. Punishment of Violations.** It shall be a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not to exceed \$500.00, or by imprisonment for not to exceed six months, or both, for any person during a disaster:

(a) Willfully to obstruct, hinder or delay any member of the Disaster Corps in the enforcement of any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, or in the performance of any duty imposed upon him by virtue of this ordinance;

(b) To do any act forbidden by any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, if such act is of such a nature as to give, or be likely to give assistance to the enemy, or to imperil the lives or property of other inhabitants of this City, or to prevent, hinder or delay the defense or protection thereof;

(c) To wear, carry or display, without authority, any means of identification specified by the Disaster Council.

Section 10. Bill No. 2498, Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939) is hereby repealed.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Officially Welcoming Fashion Editors to Arrive in San Francisco on September 23, 1946.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 5996, Resolution No. 5795 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on the 23rd day of September, 1946, fashion editors of the leading newspapers and publications of the United States will arrive in San Francisco from Los Angeles; and

Whereas, it is fitting and proper that San Francisco give an official welcome to these distinguished visitors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon the arrival of the fashion editors in the City and County of San Francisco, an official welcome be given them, and that they be officially greeted by representatives of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—5.

**Application of American Buslines, Inc., for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Bus Passenger Transportation Service Intra-state and Inter-state Between San Francisco and Portland, Oregon, Generally Via Highway No. 101 and San Francisco and Fort Yuma, California, Via Highway No. 101 to San Diego and Highway No. 80 to Fort Yuma, California.**

Supervisor Meyer presented:

Proposal No. 5998, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has been advised that American Buslines, Inc., a transcontinental carrier, has filed three applications for permission to operate in California and Oregon, as follows:

1. An application with the California Railroad Commission to operate within California between Fort Yuma, California, and the California-Oregon State Line via El Centro, San Diego, Los Angeles, Santa Barbara, Santa Maria, San Luis Obispo, Salinas, San Jose, San Francisco, Santa Rosa, Ukiah, Eureka, Crescent City and intermediate points along U. S. Highways 80, 101, 101 Alternate and adjacent highways.

2. An application with the Oregon Public Utilities Commissioner to operate within Oregon between the California-Oregon State Line and Portland, Oregon, via Gold Beach, Coquille, Coos Bay, Reedsport, Florence, Waldport, Newport, Taft, Valley Junction and McMinnville, along U. S. Highway 101, Oregon State Highway 18 and U. S. Highway 99-W.

3. An application with the Interstate Commerce Commission to operate between these points and all intermediate points in Oregon and California and all points on its transcontinental system; and

Whereas, The American Buslines, Inc., is a transcontinental carrier now operating approximately 16,767 route miles between San Francisco, Los Angeles, San Diego and intermediate points on the Pacific Coast and New York City, Pittsburgh, Baltimore, Washington, D. C., and intermediate points on the Atlantic Coast via Reno, Salt Lake City, Omaha and Chicago and via Yuma, Phoenix, El Paso, Dallas, Fort Worth, Saint Louis, Chicago and territory contiguous to the routes hereinbefore set forth; and

Whereas, there has been a phenomenal increase in population, industry, agriculture, fisheries and commerce along the entire Pacific Coast; and

Whereas, generally, over the lines proposed to be served by American Buslines, Inc., and in particular from Los Angeles, California, to Portland, Oregon, via San Francisco there is but one motor bus passenger carrier, namely the Pacific Greyhound Lines and one railroad passenger carrier, namely the Southern Pacific Railroad System; and

Whereas, it is the conviction of this Board of Supervisors that the service proposed by American Buslines, Inc., would provide that necessary competition and service, which can be rendered by a strong transcontinental carrier, and tend to stimulate and improve service generally, promote tourist and other travel to and from San Francisco, from the entire Nation and assist materially in the developing, publicizing and advertising of the world famed Redwood Empire, thus bringing many additional tourists into the City and County of San Francisco annually; and

Whereas, it has been the policy of the Board of Supervisors to advocate the entry into the City and County of San Francisco of as many necessary transcontinental and coastal carriers as possible; and

Whereas, this Board is of the opinion that because of the recent increase in population of the City and County of San Francisco and the territory tributary to it, that there will be a corresponding increase in necessity for travel and transportation facilities by the people of the City and County of San Francisco and of contiguous and tributary territory and that the best interests of all such people will be served if the proposed service is provided; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve and endorse the proposed service of the American Buslines, Inc., and does hereby respectfully urge the Railroad Commission of the State of California and the Interstate Commerce Commission to grant the applications of the American Buslines, Inc., now pending before said Commission.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

#### Request for Reconsideration of Action.

The Clerk presented communication from his Honor, the Mayor, explaining his reasons for returning unsigned, Bill No. 4222, appropriating funds for the Municipal Railway. The act of Final Passage had not been completed, the Mayor stated.

The Chair reviewed the action of the Board, taken on said bill at the meeting of August 19, 1946. He had conferred with the City Attorney, he stated, who also held that action on the bill had not been completed. Although the Chair was not fully in accord with the views of the City Attorney, he was willing, in order to obviate any possibility of doubt as to the validity of the action, to be guided by his opinion. For that reason he believed the Board should rescind the action previously taken and re-refer the entire matter to Finance Committee and allow the City Attorney to bring in four separate bills to cover the four items contained in Bill No. 4222.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the Board rescind its action taken at the meeting of August 19, 1946.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—7.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—4.

Whereupon, Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, moved re-reference to the Finance Committee.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—5.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Sullivan—4.

#### San Francisco Building Code.

The Clerk presented, for reference to committee, new San Francisco Building Code.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Appointment of Acting Mayor.

The Clerk presented the following communication from his Honor, the Mayor:

August 26, 1946.

The Honorable,  
The Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
San Francisco 2.

Gentlemen:

To serve during my leave of absence from the State, August 20 to September 3, 1946, I hereby appoint the Honorable Edward T. Mancuso Acting Mayor.

Sincerely,  
ROGER D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

**Request for Actuarial Report on Costs and Effects of Proposed Changes in Section 38.1 of the Charter.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 5995, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there has been presented to the Board of Supervisors a proposition to amend Section 38.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, said amendment proposes a change in the benefits under the Retirement System to the members of the Salvage Corps of the San Francisco Fire Department; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors is desirous of submitting said amendment to the voters of said City and County at the election to be held on November 5, 1946; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby call on the Retirement Board to forthwith render an actuarial report of the costs and effect of the proposed changes provided for in said Charter amendment.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**SALVAGE CORPS**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .**

Amending paragraph (5) of Section 38.1 of the City Charter.

Upon the actual taking over of the employees of said Underwriters' Fire Partol of San Francisco, the members thereof coming into the employment of the city and county of San Francisco shall become members of the San Francisco city and county retirement system and shall be entitled to the benefits received by other members of the uniformed force of the Fire Department, commencing with date of service with City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Request for Actuarial Report on Cause and Effect of Proposed Provision for Retirement Provisions for Elective Officers.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 5997, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Retirement Board be and is hereby requested to furnish in time sufficient for the preparation, consideration and possible submission of a charter amendment at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, information as required by Charter section 158 with respect to provision for retirement, at age of seventy years, of all elective officers of the City and County except members of boards and commissions, after sixteen years of continuous service as an elective officer in the office held at the time of retirement, at half the salary attached to the office occupied at such time.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Action Rescinded on Ordering Submission of Charter Amendments Amending Section 69 of the Charter, Budget Estimates, and Section 72 of the Charter, Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance, and Resubmission of Said Amendments as a Single Charter Amendment.**

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved that the action be rescinded whereby on Monday, August 19, 1946, the

Board of Supervisors ordered submitted to the electorate on the November, 1946, ballot those two charter amendments, appearing on the Calendar of August 19, 1946, designated as Nos. 35 and 36, relating to "Budget Estimates" and "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved that the proposed amendments to Charter sections 69 and 72, "Budget Estimates" and "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance," be consolidated and ordered submitted to the electorate on the ballot for November 5, 1946, as one amendment.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Whereupon, the following charter amendment was taken up:

**BUDGET ESTIMATES AND ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET AND  
THE APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE  
CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 69 thereof relating to "Budget Estimates" and by amending Section 72 thereof relating to "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 69 thereof relating to "Budget Estimates" and by amending Section 72 thereof relating to "Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance."

**NOTE**—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

**BUDGET ESTIMATES**

Section 69. The fiscal year for the city and county shall begin on the 1st day of July of each year.

The budget estimate for every department and office of the city and county, whether under an elective or an appointive officer or a board or commission, and separately for each utility under the control of the public utilities commission, shall be filed by the executive of such department with, and shall be acted upon by, such board or commission. All budget estimates shall be compiled in such detail as shall be required on uniform blanks furnished by the controller. The public utilities commission and the board of education must hold public hearings on their respective budget proposals. Each such elective and appointive officer,

board or commission shall, not later than the [15th] 1st day of February of each year, file with the controller for check as to form and completeness two copies of the budget estimate as approved.

The chief administrative officer shall obtain in ample time to pass thereon budget estimates from the heads of departments or offices subject to his control and, after adjusting or revising the same, not later than the [15th] 1st day of February he shall transmit such budget estimates to the controller.

The controller shall check such estimates and shall upon his request, be furnished with any additional data or information. Not later than the [15th] 1st day of March of each year he shall consolidate such budget estimates and transmit the same to the mayor.

He shall at the same time transmit to the mayor a summary and recapitulation of such budget estimates, segregated by separate departments or offices and units thereof, or by purposes for non-departmental expenditures, and arrange according to classification of objects of expenditure, as required by the controller, to show the amount of proposed expenditures and estimated revenues in comparison with the current and previous fiscal year's expenditures and revenues.

He shall submit at the same time (1) statements showing revenues and other receipts, including the estimated unencumbered surplus in any item or fund at the beginning of the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to specific or general purposes to which such revenues or receipts are legally applicable, for the last complete fiscal year and for the first six months of the current fiscal year, with estimates thereof for the last six months of the current fiscal year, together with estimates of such revenues and receipts for the ensuing fiscal year; (2) statements of the amounts required for interest on, and sinking fund or redemption of, each outstanding bond issue, and for tax judgments and other fixed charges, together with estimates of interest required on bonds proposed to be sold during the ensuing fiscal year, and statements of the city's authorized debt, and judgments outstanding at the time the budget estimates are submitted.

The mayor shall hold such public hearings on these budget estimates as he may deem necessary and may increase, decrease or reject any item contained in the estimates, excepting that he shall not increase any amount nor add any new item for personal services, materials, supplies or contractual services, but may add to the requested appropriations for any public improvement or capital expenditure; provided, however, that the budget estimates of expenditures for any utility, within the estimated revenues of such utility, shall not be increased by the mayor or board of supervisors.

#### **ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET AND THE APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE.**

Section 72. Not later than the [1st day of May, in 1932, and in each year thereafter] 15th day of April in each year, the mayor shall transmit to the board of supervisors the consolidated budget estimates for all departments and offices of, and the proposed budget for, the city and county for the ensuing fiscal year, including a detailed estimate of all revenues of each department and an estimate of the amount required to meet bond interest, redemption and other fixed charges of the city and county, and the revenues applicable thereto. He shall, by message accompanying such proposed budget, comment upon the financial program in-

incorporated therein, the important changes as compared with the previous budget, and bond issues, if any, as recommended by him.

The mayor shall submit to the board of supervisors, at the time that he submits his budget estimates and said proposed budget, a draft of the annual appropriation ordinance for the ensuing fiscal year, which shall be prepared by the controller. This shall be based on the proposed budget and shall be drafted to contain such provisions and detail as to furnish an adequate basis for fiscal and accounting control by the controller of each revenue and expenditure appropriation item for the ensuing fiscal year. Upon submission it shall be deemed to have been regularly introduced, and together with the proposed budget, shall be published as required by ordinances.

The detail of the proposed budget to be published shall be as follows:

1. The total cost for conducting each department, bureau, office, board or commission for the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to basic objects of expenditure for each.
2. A detail schedule of positions and compensations, showing any increases or decreases in any department or office.
3. A detail schedule of items for capital outlay.
4. The aforementioned consolidated estimates and schedules shall also include by items contained therein the following information:
  - (a) Expenditures for the last complete fiscal year.
  - (b) Estimated expenditures for the current fiscal year.
  - (c) Proposed increases or decreases as compared with the budget allowances for the current fiscal year.

The board of supervisors shall provide printed copies of the mayor's budget message and proposed budget thus prepared, including comparative expenditures and revenues for the current and preceding fiscal years and other information transmitted therewith, for official use and public demand as requested.

The board of supervisors shall fix the date or dates, not less than five days after publication as in this section provided, for consideration of and public hearings on the proposed budget and proposed appropriation ordinance.

The board of supervisors may decrease or reject any item contained in the proposed budget, but shall not increase any amount or add any new item for personal services or materials, supplies, or contractual services, for any department, unless requested in writing so to do by the mayor, on the recommendation of the chief administrative officer, board, commission or elective officer, in charge of such department.

The board of supervisors may increase or insert appropriations for capital expenditures and public improvements.

After public hearing, and not earlier than the 15th day of May, nor later than the 1st day of June, the board shall adopt the proposed budget as submitted or as amended and shall pass the necessary appropriation ordinance. If the appropriation ordinance as submitted by the mayor is amended by the supervisors, the appropriation ordinance shall be readvertised prior to final reading or passage, in the manner required for ordinances.

Any item in such appropriation ordinance except for bond interest, redemption or other fixed charges, may be vetoed in whole or in part by the mayor within ten days of receipt by him from the clerk of the board of supervisors of the ordinance as passed by the board, and the board of supervisors shall act on such veto not later than the 20th day of June.

The several items of expenditure appropriated in each annual appropriation ordinance, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth in any said annual appropriation ordinance until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation in any annual appropriation ordinance derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to section 86 of this charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the chief administrative officer, board or commission and the approval of the controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Subject to the restrictions hereinbefore in this section included, the several amounts of estimated revenue and proposed expenditures contained in the annual appropriation ordinance as adopted by the board of supervisors shall be and become appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year to and for the several departments, bureaus, offices, utilities, boards or commissions, and for the purposes specified, and each department for which an expenditure appropriation has been made shall be authorized to use the money so appropriated for the purposes specified in the appropriation ordinance, and within the limits of the appropriation. The appropriation ordinance shall constitute the authority for the controller to set up the required revenue and expenditure accounts. Appropriation items for bond interest, bond redemption, fixed charges and other purposes not appropriated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the chief administrative officer for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

*Ordered Submitted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Mead, Sullivan—5.

### Installation in Lobby of City Hall, of Graph Showing Disposition of Tax Dollar.

Supervisor Gallagher, under his name on Roll Call, called attention to the fact that in many cities there are large graphs on display, showing the taxpayers where their tax dollars go. He suggested that the Finance Committee consider a proposal to install such graph in the lobby of the City Hall.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Consideration of Ways and Means to Secure Additional Revenues.

Supervisor Gallagher questioned Supervisor Mancuso about studies being made by the Finance Committee of the problem of securing additional revenues to relieve the taxpayers of their increasingly large tax burden. He suggested that the Chief Administrative Officer and other department heads be consulted on the question of inspection and license fees.

Supervisor Mancuso informed the President that he had been waiting for the Fire Commission to submit to the Finance Committee the question of 25 more inspectors. At that time he would request that the night clubs and other groups pay the cost of the additional inspections. He also invited all members of the Board to be present on Tuesday, when a meeting would be held in the Chambers of the Board to consider the question of other sources of revenue. The question of additional revenues is being studied by the Chief Administrative Officer, and others, Supervisor Mancuso stated.

### Transportation Engineer or Separate Commission for Transportation System.

Supervisor Lewis called attention to his prediction, made at the time he had opposed a proposed increase in car fare, that the Public Utilities Commission would not be able to secure new equipment from increased revenues resulting from the increased fares; that sooner or later requests for increased salaries for carmen would have to be granted; and that a bond issue would be proposed. Now, according to the newspapers, the Mayor and the Public Utilities Commission say that they will have to go to the people for approval of a bond issue. The people, Supervisor Lewis did not believe, would approve a bond issue without first knowing how the money was to be expended. San Francisco should have a sensible program for improvement of its transportation facilities. He would suggest the appointment of a citizens' committee, that experts be called in if necessary, and that something be done for a decent transportation plan at the time a bond issue is proposed and money is requested. The members of the Public Utilities Commission are not capable of deciding on a transportation plan for San Francisco. If necessary the Charter should be amended to do away with the Public Utilities Commission and to provide for a transportation engineer, if necessary.

### Revenue Bonds Proposed.

Supervisor MacPhee called attention to proposal which he had presented several months ago, to authorize the Public Utilities Commission to issue revenue bonds, up to the amount of \$10,000,000, on the request of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. That proposal was turned down. That might have been a good proposal, and it might be well to re-introduce it. It would give the Public Utilities Commission a letter of credit for taking on major improvements. He would introduce it again. He requested that the matter be on the Calendar of the Judiciary Committee on Wednesday, August 28, 1946.

As to street cars on Market Street, he had voted against the appropriation.

### Fire Department Additional Inspectors.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that he expected there would be submitted to the Board for action the question of providing for 25 additional inspectors in the Fire Department, to supplement the Division of Fire Prevention. The additional expense, he stated, would be \$173,700. If other miscellaneous expenses are included, the additional cost will be more than \$200,000 per year. The Fire Marshal has advised that some places have not been inspected for more than two years, because of lack of manpower to make the inspections. Supervisor MacPhee believed the inspection should be made to pay its full cost. The additional revenues should be collected from those to whom service is given. Other service is given to hotels, apartment houses, etc., for which but a very small fee is collected. He has asked to make figures as to cost and revenues obtained available. He suggested that the Finance Committee give consideration to the needed \$75,000 appropriation for the 25 firemen, and at the same time give consideration to seeing that this particular department is made to carry its own weight.

### Home Building Permits for Veterans.

Supervisor MacPhee suggested that the issuance of permits for home building should be restricted to holders of veterans' priorities.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee for consideration on September 4, 1946.*

### Amendment to Agreement Between City and the Housing Authority.

Supervisor MacPhee suggested that the City and County enter into a contract with the Housing Authority to provide for construction of 289 housing units to be erected in Balboa Park, for which the federal government is supposed to contribute two-thirds of the cost.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee for consideration on September 4, 1946.*

### Tribute to San Francisco Emergency Hospital Service.

Supervisor MacPhee called attention to the September issue of "Coronet," in which a wonderful tribute is paid to San Francisco's emergency hospital service, and moved that the Board of Supervisors officially recognize that article and that a letter of thanks for the article be sent to the editors of "Coronet."

*No objection, and so ordered.*

### Consolidation of Park and Recreation Departments.

Supervisor Mancuso inquired if proposed charter amendment to provide for the consolidation of the Park and Recreation departments, for which he had asked the City Attorney, had been received. Mr. Peddicord, of the City Attorney's office, stated that he had talked with Mr. Dion Holm on the matter, who had suggested that the language of the desired amendment would come from the Mayor's office. A few days ago, stated Mr. Peddicord, he had received partial language, and would receive further language very shortly. He would have a report at the next meeting of the Board if he could get additional language.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Question of Policy for Submission to the Voters.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that the City Attorney prepare, for submission to the voters, a question of policy, as follows: "Shall the Board of Supervisors amend all ordinances providing for minimum fines of \$5.00 for certain traffic code violations, to make such fines a minimum of \$2.00?" The matter would not come back to the Board,



inasmuch as enough Supervisors had agreed to request submission of such question to the voters.

**Cost of Necessary Inspections Not to Be Additional Burden on the Ad Valorem Taxpayers.**

Supervisor Mancuso announced that he had requested Mr. Rosenthal to compute the cost, and also the amount of increase in fees to prevent the cost of necessary inspections from becoming an additional burden on the ad valorem taxpayers.

**Uniform Assessments Throughout California.**

Supervisor Mancuso announced that the County Supervisors Association has adopted a policy of meeting with the Assessors throughout the State of California, with the end in view that there will be adopted a uniform assessment throughout the State. Los Angeles has increased its assessment roll by some \$300,000,000 by providing additional employments in the Assessor's office, and the roll will be still further increased.

**Proposal to Provide for a Change in Management of San Francisco's Transportation System.**

Supervisor Mancuso inquired if the City Attorney's office could prepare a question of policy asking the voters whether or not the transportation system of the City and County of San Francisco should be handled and operated by a transportation commission separate and distinct from the Public Utilities Committee.

Mr. Peddicord called attention to provision in Section 119.1 of the Charter which provides that until the Market Street Railway operative properties are fully paid for, the management of the street railway transportation system shall be operated by the Public Utilities Commission.

However, Supervisor Mancuso stated, he would like to have some such question of policy prepared.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 5:40 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 7, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.







Tuesday, September 3, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1946, 2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 3, 1946, 2:00 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisors Christopher, Sullivan on leave of absence.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 8 and July 15, 1946, were considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting proposal authorizing Purchaser of Supplies to dispose of plaster molds for statues by Beniamino Bufano, by auction or otherwise.

*Referred to Building Committee.*

From Retirement Board, notice that funds in excess of appropriation of \$7,500 to provide for cost of making actuarial reports have been expended, and that an additional appropriation may be requested before the end of the current fiscal year.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Engineers' Office, War Department, Public Notice 47-10, notice of application made by the State of California for permit to make borings in San Francisco Bay in connection with proposals for an additional bay crossing, and advising that any objections to granting such permit should be filed in that office, 75 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, not later than September 5, 1946, at 11 a. m.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Mrs. Alys Borman, acknowledgment of kind expressions of sympathy.

*Filed.*

From City Planning Commission, four copies of the review of the Citizens' Postwar Planning Committee Report.

*Referred to Building Committee.*

From League of California Cities, notice of Annual Conference of California Cities, to be held in San Diego, September 16 to 19, 1946.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Allied Printing Trades Council, requesting that all City printing carry the Allied Printing Trades Council Union Label.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Retirement Board, report on costs of proposed charter amendment relating to retirement of miscellaneous employees other than members of the Fire and Police departments.

*Filed.*

From City Attorney, advising that a declaration of policy with respect to the creation of a Transportation Commission can be submitted only in the form of a charter amendment.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Board of Fire Commissioners, proposed amendment to the Charter, Section 38, Fire Prevention.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Mayor, suggesting that no charter amendments be considered for submission to the electorate unless presented to the Board not later than September 16, 1946.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Retirement Board, actuarial report on proposed new Charter Section 166.1, Salary Base, for Retirement Purposes, of Former Rank of Corporal of Police.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Presented by the Clerk, proposed charter amendment, consolidating the Park and Recreation departments.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From R. H. Mariott, suggesting that the Mayor be elected by majority vote rather than plurality vote.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Police Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, MacPhee.

**Amending Section 51, Article 1, of the Police Code, Prohibiting Smoking or the Carrying of Lighted Cigars, Cigarettes or Pipes Within the Enclosed Sections of Street Cars, Cable Cars, Motor Coaches and Trolley Coaches Operated Within the City and County of San Francisco.**

Bill No. 4227, Ordinance No. 4007 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 51, Article 1, of the Police Code, prohibiting smoking or the carrying of lighted cigars, cigarettes or pipes within the enclosed sections of street cars, cable cars, motor coaches and trolley coaches operated within the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 51, Article 1, of the Police Code, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 51. Smoking in enclosed section of street cars, cable cars, motor coaches and trolley coaches prohibited. It shall be unlawful**



for any person to smoke any cigar, pipe or cigarette, or to carry any lighted cigar, pipe or cigarette within the enclosed section of any street car, cable car, motor coach or trolley coach operated within the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso.

**Amending Ordinance Creating "The San Francisco Co-ordinating Council for Youth Welfare" to Provide for One Additional Member of Said Council to Be Appointed by the Mayor and for One Member of the Board of Supervisors to Be a Member of Said Council Ex-officio, and by Changing Term of Office of Members Appointed by the Mayor From Four Years to Two Years.**

Bill No. 4255, Ordinance No. 4008 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Bill No. 3802, Ordinance No. 3667 (Series of 1939), entitled, "An Ordinance Creating a Commission to Be Known as 'The San Francisco Co-ordinating Council for Youth Welfare' to Provide for Co-operation and Co-ordination of Efforts Among the Public Departments and Between the Public Departments and Social Agencies in the Promotion of Youth Welfare in San Francisco; Repealing Bill No. 1792, Ordinance No. 19.101, as Amended and Designating Sections 1 to 7 of This Ordinance Sections 360 to 366, Inclusive, Article 4, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code," by amending Section 2 thereof to provide for one additional member of said council to be appointed by the Mayor and for one member of the Board of Supervisors to be a member of said council ex-officio, and by changing term of office of members appointed by the Mayor from four years to two years.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Bill No. 3802, Ordinance No. 3667 (Series of 1939), entitled, "An ordinance creating a commission to be known as 'The San Francisco-Co-ordinating Council for Youth Welfare' to provide for co-operation and co-ordination of efforts among the public departments and between the public departments and social agencies in the promotion of youth welfare in San Francisco; repealing Bill No. 1792, Ordinance No. 19.101, as amended and designating Sections 1 to 7 of this ordinance Sections 360 to 366, inclusive, Article 4, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The Chief of Police, the District Attorney, the Chief Probation Officer of the Juvenile Court, the Superintendent of Public Schools, the Superintendent of the Recreation Department, and one member of the Board of Supervisors to be appointed by the President thereof, ex-officio, shall be members of said Council, and in addition there shall be six (6) members to be appointed by the Mayor, and who at the time of their appointment are not officials or employees of the City and County of San Francisco and who are

qualified to serve on said Council by reason of their knowledge of and experience with youth problems and activities. The members of the Council appointed by the Mayor pursuant to this section shall serve for a period of two years, under such plan as to staggering of appointments as shall be prescribed by the Mayor, provided that any person heretofore appointed to said Council by the Mayor to serve for a period of more than two years and who is now serving on said Council shall continue to serve until his term expires.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

#### Authorizing Sale of Approximately One Acre of Water Department Land in Town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Bill No. 4244, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of approximately one acre of Water Department land in town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bond Street in the town of Sunol, said point being distant along said easterly line north  $16^{\circ} 32'$  east 356.60 feet from its intersection with the northerly line of Main Street, and running thence along said easterly line of Bond Street north  $16^{\circ} 32'$  east 153.55 feet to the most southerly corner of a 0.60 acre tract conveyed by the Western Pacific Railway Company to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 12, 1907, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of Alameda County January 24, 1908, in Book 1421 of Deeds at page 288; thence north  $46^{\circ} 08'$  west 109.72 feet along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract, said line also being the northeasterly line of Bond Street; thence along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract the following courses and distances: north  $43^{\circ} 55'$  east 125.00 feet; north  $46^{\circ} 08'$  west 50.00 feet; and north  $43^{\circ} 55'$  east 139.08 feet along said last mentioned boundary line and its northeasterly extension to a point on the westerly bank of Laguna Creek; thence along the westerly bank of Laguna Creek the following courses and distances: south  $15^{\circ} 28'$  east 76.71 feet; thence south  $17^{\circ} 10'$  west 127.93 feet; thence south  $4^{\circ} 16\frac{1}{4}'$  east 106.67 feet; thence south  $24^{\circ} 28\frac{1}{4}'$  east 145.85 feet; thence south  $7^{\circ} 18\frac{3}{4}'$  west 25.02 feet to the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek; thence along the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek the following courses and distances: south  $88^{\circ} 45\frac{1}{4}'$  west 107.58 feet; thence north  $74^{\circ} 45\frac{1}{2}'$  west 54.09 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.989 acre, and being a portion of Parcel 65, Alameda County Lands, conveyed by Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Fran-

cisco by deed dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Book 2350, Official Records, page 1 of Alameda County Records.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Laguna Creek and Sinbad Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration continued until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Action Rescinded.

Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved that the Board rescind its action just taken.

*No objection and action rescinded.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis stated that he had the same objections to the foregoing bill which he had previously raised, and that it was unnecessary to repeat them. The City Attorney was to give an opinion as to why the matter could not be clarified by the insertion of the words "by public auction," or "by sealed bids" in Section 2 of the bill, and he desired to hear from the City Attorney.

Thereupon, Mr. Peddicord, of the City Attorney's office, reported that such request had been received, and had been referred to Mr. Bianchi for report. The opinion would be presented very shortly, he was sure. However, unless the sale was urgent, he would suggest a postponement of two weeks.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, stated that the sale was not urgent, and he would have no objection to a two weeks' postponement.

Supervisor Lewis, however, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved that further consideration be postponed for one week.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Authorizing Payment to San Francisco Hospital Patients' Cash Account Sum of \$20 Erroneously Credited to Tuberculosis Trust Fund by Department of Public Health.

Proposal No. 5988, Resolution No. 5996 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the amount of Twenty Dollars erroneously deposited in the Treasury on March 4, 1946, Fee Tag No. 2984, to the credit of Tuberculosis Trust Fund by Department of Public Health is hereby

authorized to be paid to San Francisco Hospital Patients' Cash Account.

Recommended by Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Cancellation of Taxes on Property Acquired by the Department of Veterans' Affairs, State of California.**

Proposal No. 5989, Resolution No. 5797 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel both installments of the real property taxes for the year 1946-47, which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1946, on the following described property:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>
17	5337
27	1707
25	3028B
12, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 27	2440
22	2020
10	1734
2	1602
4A	2129
25	2165
2V	1878
1	7015
11A	2315
49	2010
30	7118
16	2465A
7	1911
31	2026
38	3062
4E	2382
17	2936A
29	7090
1L	2458
28	2438
28	1587
6A	5353B
38	1918
53	2090
27	2165
1D	1499
6	1676
9	2342
1K	2086
19	1542
20	6754B
16A	2164
42	2171
28	2165

Lot	Block
11A	1821
34	7060
3A	2356
20	5898

Said property has been acquired by the Department of Veterans' Affairs of the State of California.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 5991, Resolution No. 5798 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts to be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of erroneous payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. 905—Duplicate Tax Fund.*

1. Cora Franklin, Lot 7, Block 1031, first installment \$49.75, second installment \$49.75, fiscal year 1945-46.....\$ 99.50
2. Charles Bernheim, Lot 7B, Block 1567, first installment \$53.76, second installment \$50.72, fiscal year 1945-46... 104.48
3. Geo. Harais, Lot 24, Block 1612, first installment \$25.60, Lot 26, Block 1890, first installment \$11.78, fiscal year 1945-46. .... 37.38
4. Jessie Patterson, Lot 14, Block 1917, first installment \$12.08, second installment \$12.08, fiscal year 1945-46..... 24.16
5. Frank and Emily Snyder, Lot 10, Block 2083, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... 7.97
6. City Title Insurance Co., Lot 12, Block 2445, first installment \$7.97, second installment \$7.97, fiscal year 1945-46. 15.94
7. Jack Debarros, Lot 3B, Block 5353A, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... 39.05
8. Mathilde Renn, Lot 18A, Block 5525, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... 49.75
9. Frank D. Hall, Lot 33, Block 5957, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46 ..... 12.80
10. Eaton and Smith, Lot 22, Block 6006, first and second installment \$35.26, fiscal year 1945-46; Lot 23, Block 6006, first and second installment \$24.16, fiscal year 1945-46.. 59.42
11. Frances Verkuyl, Lot 2B, Block 7137, first and second installment \$29.08, fiscal year 1944-45; Lot 2B, Block 7137, first and second installment \$29.94, fiscal year 1945-46.. 59.02

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00.*

1. Frank C. Bleuss, declaration filed covering the Holly Park Market, 235 Cortland Ave., and paid resultant tax of \$31.40 on 5/15/46, Receipt No. 28738—through error a second declaration covering same property was filed and resultant tax of \$29.13 paid on 7/12/46, Receipt No. 48130. ....\$29.13
2. Harold T. Johnson, filed affidavit claiming veteran exemption \$1,000 which covers assessment on his personal property at 321 Trumbull Street ..... 7.25

3. Raimon F. Conlisk, filed affidavit claiming veteran exemption \$1,000 which covers assessment on his personal property at 2333 Twenty-fourth Avenue..... 3.86

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 5992, Resolution No. 5799 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation accept a deed from Mills Estate Incorporated, a corporation, or the legal owner, to approximately 19.0 acres more or less in Section 11, T. 4 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$8,500 be paid for such property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58.

Subject to all existing easements of record.

The above amount of \$8,500 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5441, Series of 1939, for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcel of land, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Authorizing Quitclaim Deed to A. Waight of Sewer Easement in Assessor's Block 2641.

Proposal No. 5999, Resolution No. 5800 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as the City, is the owner of a sewer easement over the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

A strip of land 10 feet in width lying southwesterly from and adjacent to the northeasterly line of Lot 3 in Block 13 as per "Map of Forest Hill," filed May 8, 1913, in Map Book

"G" at Page 101, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and extending from the northwesterly line to the southeasterly line of said Lot 3.

Whereas, a petition has been received from A. Waight, the present owner of said lot, asking that the City quitclaim said easement to him for the reason that the same has never been used and is of no further use to the City due to the fact that an existing 12-inch sewer in Laguna Honda Boulevard now serves said property; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended that said easement over said Lot 3 be relinquished to the said party; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary quitclaim deed to A. Waight or his successor in interest.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Director of Property to Rent Certain Land in Assessor's Block 5289 on Month to Month Basis.**

Proposal No. 6000, Resolution No. 5801 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on March 25, 1946, this Board adopted Resolution No. 5354 (Series of 1939), authorizing the purchase of Lots 14 to 25, inclusive, in Assessor's Block 5289, San Francisco, California, from Real Estate Associates, a co-partnership, required for the proposed Islais Creek Sewage Plant; and

Whereas, by deed dated May 31, 1946, and recorded June 27, 1946, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, acquired said real property, subject to month to month Lease to E. A. Hills, doing business under the trade name and style of Hills Transportation Co., as Lessee; and

Whereas, said property is not immediately required for the purpose of constructng said sewage plant; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Property be and he is hereby authorized to continue renting said property on a month to month basis at a rental of \$175 per month until such time as the premises are actually needed for construction purposes by the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Land Acquisition—Sawyer Street Extension.**

Proposal No. 6001, Resolution No. 5802 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation does hereby accept that certain deed dated March 12, 1946, from Sbarboro & Jorgensen, Inc., a corporation, to a certain 60-foot strip of land located in Assessor's Block 6316, San Francisco, California, required for the extension of Sawyer Street, southerly from Sunnydale Avenue.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Determination of Liability of Responsible Relatives of Recipients of Old Age Security Aid.**

Proposal No. 6002, Resolution No. 5803 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the responsible relatives of the recipients of Old Age Security Aid, listed in the report of the Public Welfare Department to the Board of Supervisors, dated August 26, 1946, are able to contribute each month to the said recipients of Old Age Security Aid the amounts stated in said report; that said determination is made upon the basis of the Relatives Contribution Scale set forth in Division III, Chapter 1, of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California; and the City Attorney is hereby requested and authorized to commence legal proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 2224 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, against the responsible relatives who refuse to assume the obligation of making the monthly contribution to the recipients of Old Age Security Aid in accordance with the determination of their liability as made on this date by the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6003, Resolution No. 5804 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, increases, decreases, reissuances,



aid denials, suspensions, and rescissions, effective May 1, August 1, and September 1, 1946, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6004, Resolution No. 5805 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated September 3, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 7939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of September and October, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Release of Lien Filed in Connection With Care and Treatment Furnished to Greeta Long as a Patient in the San Francisco Hospital.**

Proposal No. 6005, Resolution No. 5806 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on August 9, 1946, a lien was placed on the real property situated at 121 Banks Street, said lien being Recorder's No. V10489, and executed, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance 18.013, by Louis E. Long, to secure reimbursement for care and treatment furnished to Greeta Long, as a patient in the San Francisco Hospital from December 19, 1945, to December 24, 1945; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Health has certified to this Board of Supervisors that the claim of the City and County of San Francisco for said care and treatment has been satisfied by payment in full of San Francisco Hospital bill No. 19168; and

Whereas, said Louis E. Long, on payment of the debt secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of said lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Repealing Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), Concerning Formula for Determining the Amount of Compensation of Certain Employees.

Proposal No. 5990, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), which provides formula for determining the amount of compensation of employees with permanent status while on intermittent service and temporary employees who occupy positions for which compensation has been fixed at a monthly rate, be and it is hereby repealed.

The Clerk called attention to the foregoing proposal, which, he informed the Board, should be taken up after Bill No. 4280, appearing on the day's Calendar as No. 22, had become effective. Thereupon, consideration of the foregoing proposal was *temporarily postponed*.

Subsequently during the proceedings, following Passage for Second Reading of Bill No. 4280, the foregoing proposal was again taken up and consideration thereof, on motion by Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, was *postponed for three weeks*.

Passed for Second Reading.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 1.7 (Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized) So That Said List May Be Set Forth Under New Section Items to Be Designated Sections 1.7 to 1.7.7 Inclusive.

Bill No. 4264, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1.7 (Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" by breaking down list of executive positions so that said list may be set forth under new section numbers to be designated Sections 1.7 to 1.7.7 inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1.7 (Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" is hereby amended by breaking down list of executive positions so that said list may be set forth under new section numbers to be designated as follows:

**Section 1.7. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized:** In order that there shall be no diminution of service to the public it shall be the duty of the head of each department to arrange and assign the work of his department so that sufficient employees will be on duty on Saturday morning in each department which is required to be open for the conduct of public business on Saturday morning, provided that time worked on Saturday morning by employees on a normal work week schedule shall be compensated by equal time off in the same or succeeding week. Employees whose positions are allocated to the classes included in Division R—Recreation Service, and employees whose positions are allocated in Division X—Library Service, may at the discretion of the appointing officer work the 40-hour schedule within six days without additional compensation or time off. Occupants of

positions specified in Sections 1.7.1 to 1.7.7 shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but subject to the rule of the Civil Service Commission, may be granted time off not to exceed the time worked in excess of forty hours per week.

### Section 1.7.1. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS.

#### Class No. and Title

A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings
A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A12	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of Hetch Hetchy Properties
A108	Chief Building Inspector
A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector
B8	Supervisor of Disbursements
B14	Senior Accountant
B20	Controller
B21	Chief Assistant Controller
B22	Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B23	Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B25	Business Manager, Public Welfare Department
B26	Supervisor, Budget Statistics
B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports
B28	Supervisor of General Audits
B30	Supervisor of Utility Audits
B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department
B34	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works
B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court
B36	Business Manager, Department of Public Health
B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative) San Francisco Hospital

### Section 1.7.2. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS (Continued).

#### Class No. and Title

B51	Chief Administrative Officer
B54	Director, Bureau of Public Service
B55	Assistant Director, Bureau of Public Service
B57	Secretary, Art Commission
B58	Secretary, Board of Education
B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals
B66	Registrar of Voters
B67	Secretary, Fire Commission
B68	Chief Clerk
B69	Secretary, Coordinating Council
B70	Secretary, Park Commission
B71	Secretary, Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young and California Palace of the Legion of Honor
B72	Secretary, Library Department
B74	Confidential Secretary to the Mayor
B76	Executive Secretary to the Mayor
B76.1	Administrative Assistant to the Mayor
B76.3	Administrative Analyst
B77	Executive Secretary to the Manager of Utilities
B78	Secretary, City Planning Commission
B79	Secretary, Health Service Board

Section 1.7.2. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued)

Class No. and Title

B81	Recorder
B82	Secretary, Retirement System
B83	Consulting Actuary
B84	Under Sheriff
B87	Secretary-Attendant, Grand Jury
B88	Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors
B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses
B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
B91	Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue
B93	Tax Collector
B95	Director of Finance and Records
B95.1	Assistant Director of Public Works
B96	Managing Director, War Memorial
B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer
B100	Supervisor of Real Property Records, Assessor's Office

Section 1.7.3. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

B108	Cashier A
B120	Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office
B169	County Clerk
B173	Public Administrator
B180	Administrative Assistant, Board of Education
B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies
B374	Purchaser of Supplies
C4	Superintendent of Auditorium
E8	Chief Electrical Inspector
E116	Superintendent of Plant
F1	Manager of Utilities
F2	Director of Public Works
F4	Assistant City Engineer
F9	Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau
F10	City Engineer
F60	Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations
F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations
F62	Manager of Airport Department
F75	Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities Commission
F108	Architect
F112	City Architect
F220	General Superintendent of Streets
F366	Chief, Department of Electricity
F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and Power
F408	Public Health Engineer
F412	Senior Engineer
F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal Railway
F520	Consulting Sanitary Engineer
F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer
F527	Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant
F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection
F706	Chief Valuation Engineer
F800	City Planning Engineer
F801	Senior City Planner
F802	Master Plan Architect
F810	Associate City Planner

Section 1.7.4. **EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS**  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

G5	Chief Land Appraiser
G11	Chief Building Appraiser
G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser
G20	Chief Assistant Assessor
G59	Assistant Personnel Director
G59.1	Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classifications
G59.2	Supervisor of Examinations
G60	Personnel Director
G62	Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission
G80	Personnel Officer, Department of Public Health
G84	Director, Bureau of Personnel, Public Utilities Commission
G102	General Claims Agent, Municipal Railway
G106	Claims Adjuster
G110	Compensation Claims Adjuster
G204	Assistant Director of Property
G206	Director of Property
H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation
H44	Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation
K4	Attorney, Civil
K6	Senior Attorney, Civil
K8	Principal Attorney, Civil
K10	Head Attorney, Civil
K12	Chief Attorney, Civil
K16	Special Counsel, Water Services
K52	Junior Attorney, Criminal
K54	Attorney, Criminal
K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal
K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal
K60	Head Attorney, Criminal
K62	Chief Attorney, Criminal

Section 1.7.5. **EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS**  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

L2	Assistant, Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital
L6	Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital
L9	Assistant Superintendent, Medical, Laguna Honda Home
L10	Superintendent, Laguna Honda Home
L16	Assistant Director of Public Health
L18	Director of Public Health
L19	Chief, Division of Public Health Education
L20	Public Health Educator
L156	Dentist
L160	Director of Dental Bureau
L252	Optometrist
L352	Interne
L354	House Officer
L356	Senior House Officer
L357	Resident Physician
L359	Supervising Physician, Blood Bank
L360	Physician
L362	Supervisor of City Physicians
L363	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home
L364	Physician Specialist
L368	Director of Bureau of Child Hygiene
L371	Director of Bureau of Communicable Diseases
L375	Chief, Division of Tuberculosis Control
L376	Chief, Division of Venereal Disease Control

Section 1.7.5. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

L458	Roentgenologist
L502	Autopsy Surgeon
L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital
L508	Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital

Section 1.7.6. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

M4	Assistant General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M5	Assistant Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M7	General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines, Municipal Railway
M8	General Superintendent of Shops
M20	Superintenednt of Equipment
M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines
N10	Coroner
N54	District Supervisor
N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector
N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector
N156	County Agricultural Commissioner
N358	Scaler of Weights and Measures
N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office
O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair

Section 1.7.7. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

P58	Director of Public Health Nursing
P122	Director of Institutional Nursing
R3	Assistant Superintendent, Recreation Department
R4	Superintendent, Recreation Department
R20	Assistant Director of Recreational Activities
R22	Director of Recreational Activities
S5	General Manager, Municipal Railway Bureau
S128	Division Superintendent, Municipal Railway
S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municinal Railway
S134	General Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home
T30	Director of Girls' School
T70	Chief Adult Probation Officer
T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
T163	Director of Public Welfare
T165	District Supervisor
U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer
U80	Assistant Manager, Water Sales
U88	Manager, Water Sales
U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution
U144	Superintendent, City Distribution
U232	Superintendent, Alameda District
U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula District
U246	Superintendent, Peninsula District
V40	Superintendent, Agriculture
W2	Superintendent, Park Department
W4	Assistant Superintendent, Park Department
W212	Director of the Zoo

Section 1.7.7. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued)

Class No. and Title

- X2 City Librarian
- X12 Chief Librarian
- Y2 Director, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum
- Y4 Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor
- Y8 Curator A
- Y10 Curator B
- Y12 Curator C

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.  
Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 1.35, Public Utilities Commission, by Breaking Down List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours a Week So That Said List May Be Set Forth Under New Sections 1.35, 1.35a and 1.35b.

Bill No. 4265, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1.35 (Public Utilities Commission) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" by breaking down the list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours a week so that said list may be set forth under new section numbers to be designated Sections 1.35, 1.35a and 1.35b.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1.35 (Public Utilities Commission) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" is hereby amended and broken down into sections so that the same shall read and be designated as follows:

Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

	Classification		No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
San Francisco	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
Airport	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	1	8
	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	1	4
	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . .	1	4
	C102	Janitress . . . . .	2	8
	C104	Janitor . . . . .	4	8
	C107	Working Foreman Janitor . . .	1	8
	O58	Gardener . . . . .	1	8
Hetch Hetchy	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
Water Supply, Power and Utilities, Utilities	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . .	1	4
Engineering				
Water Supply, Power Operative	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	1	8
	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1	4
	C104	Janitor . . . . .	1	8
Inter-Intra- departmental (as needed)	O58	Gardener . . . . .	1	8
	O60	Sub-Foreman Gardener . . . .	1	8

Section 1.35a. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B4 Bookkeeper .....	8	4
B6 Senior Bookkeeper .....	2	4
B10 Accountant .....	3	4
B102 Teller .....	4	8
B103 Cashier C .....	1	8
B210 Office Assistant .....	4	4
B222 General Clerk .....	15	8
B222 General Clerk .....	51	4
B228 Senior Clerk .....	4	4
B228 Senior Clerk (Shops).....	1	8
B234 Head Clerk .....	4	4
B239 Statistician .....	1	4
B303a Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)....	16	4
B303b Calculating Machine Operator (rotary) .....	2	4
B309 Key Punch Operator.....	3	4
B310 Tabulating Machine Operator .....	8	4
B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine and Key Punch Operator.	2	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	26	4
B454 Telephone Operator .....	1	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	18	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
B516 Senior Clerk-Typist .....	3	4
C52 Elevator Operator .....	2	8
C104 Janitor .....	All	4
C104.1 Car Cleaner .....	All	4
C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	1	4
C107 Working Foreman Janitor... All		4
C107.1 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	All	4
C202 Window Cleaner .....	2	4
Supervisor Traffic Checkers..	1	4
F654 Traffic Checker .....	5	4
Superintendent of Traffic ...	1	4
Sick Leave Investigator.....	1	8
M72 Bus Dispatcher .....	1	8
O173 Superintendent of Cable Machinery .....	1	4
S115 Senior Claims Investigator...	2	4
S122 Senior Inspector .....	1	8

Section 1.35b. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
WATER DEPARTMENT**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B247 Meter Reader .....	All	8
M270 Superintendent of Machine Shop and Equipment ....	1	8
O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
O52 Farmer .....	1	8
House Service B454 Telephone Operator .....	2	8
C52 Elevator Operator .....	1	8
C104 Janitor .....	1	8
C104 Janitor .....	4	4
C107 Working Foreman Janitor...	1	4



Section 1.35b. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

	Classification		No. Positions	No. Hours
Agricultural Division	O51	Farmer Sub-Foreman . . . . .	1	8
	V30	Assistant Superintendent . . .	1	4
Docks and Shipping	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	1	4
	U125	Hoseman, Ships and Docks..	4	8
General	O172	Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
City Distribution General	O172	Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
Millbrae Station	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	1	8
	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	1	4
	O60.1	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	1	8
	U228	Meterman Country . . . . .	1	8
Alameda	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	1	8
	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1	8
	O58	Gardener . . . . .	1	8
	U231	Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division . . . . .	1	4
Functional As Needed	U230	Maintenance Foreman . . . . .	3	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$15,929.34 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Temporary Employments in Office of Employees' Retirement System.

Bill No. 4277, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$15,929.34 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the employment of temporary personnel in the office of the Employees' Retirement System.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,929.34 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.120.00-6, to provide funds for the employment of temporary personnel in the office of the Employees' Retirement System.

Recommended by the Secretary, Employees' Retirement System.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$1,425 From Surplus in Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund for Compensation of Gardener, at \$150-200 Per Month. Abolishing Position of Trackman at \$8.50 Per Day in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4278, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,425 from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O58 Gardener at \$150-200 per month, which position is hereby created in the Municipal Railway; abolishing the position of 1 J152 Trackman at \$8.50 per day in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,425 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.110.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O58 Gardener at \$150-200 per month in the Municipal Railway, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 J152 Trackman at \$8.50 per day is hereby abolished in the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item, Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway, by Deleting One Position of Trackman at \$8.50 Per Day, and Providing for One Position of Gardener at \$150-200 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4242, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.2, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by decreasing the number of employments under item 28 from 140 to 139 J 152 Trackman at \$8.50 per day; and by adding item 43.1.1, one O58 Gardener at \$150-200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 72.2. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19.5	12	E105	Armature Winder's Helper . . . . .	\$ 8.80 day
20	9	E106	Armature Winder . . . . .	12.00 day
20.1	1	E106.1	Foreman Armature Winder . . . . .	13.80 day
20.2	1	E107	Power House Electrician . . . . .	(i 382.50
20.3	1	E107.1	Foreman Power House Electrician . . . . .	(i 408

Section 72.2. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued).

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
20.31	1	E108	Electrician .....	15.00 day
20.4	2	E120	Governorman .....	190-240
20.5	19	E122	Power House Operator .....	230-290
20.6	4	E124	Senior Power House Operator.....	290-310
20.7	3	E150	Lineman Helper .....	10.80 day
21	20	E154	Lineman .....	15.00 day
22	4	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	16.00 day
22.2	1	E161	General Foreman Lineman .....	(i) 433.50
22.3	97	E200	Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic..	8.80 day
22.4	85	E202	Senior Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic .....	12.00 day
22.5	21	E206	Sub-Foreman Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic .....	12.50 day
22.6	10	E208	Foreman Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic .....	13.80 day
22.7	1	E210	General Foreman Electrical Rail- way Shop Mechanic .....	14.00 day
22.8	1	F406c	Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) .....	360-430
23	3	F410d	Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) ..	435-520
23.1	1	F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway .....	530-635
23.2	5	F654	Traffic Checker .....	205-255
23.3	1		*Supervisor of Traffic Checkers ..	225
23.4	1	G102	General Claims Agent .....	500-600
25	35	J4	Laborer .....	8.50 day
26	1	J4	Laborer .....	(k h) 198.50
27	36	J66	Garageman .....	9.00 day
27.1	4	J68	Sub-Foreman Garageman .....	9.50 day
27.2	1	J92	Rope Cable Splicer .....	(t) 13.00 day
28	139	J152	Trackman .....	8.50 day
29	8	J156	Switch Repairer .....	9.00 day
30	11	J160	Track Welder .....	9.00 day
31	6	J162	Electric Arc Welder .....	12.50 day
32	14	J166	Track Foreman .....	9.50 day
32.1	2	J168	General Foreman Trackman .....	10.50 day
34	1	M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines .....	505-605
34.2	1	M20	Superintendent of Equipment .....	460-550
34.3	1	M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines	385-460
34.4	38	M53	Auto Mechanic .....	12.00 day
35	33	M54	Auto Machinist .....	13.00 day
35.1	7	M55	Foreman Auto Machinist .....	14.00 day
36	2	M56	Garage Foreman .....	(i) 382.50
36.1	2	M57	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist .....	13.50 day
36.2	4	M60	Auto Body and Fender Worker.....	13.00 day
36.3	1	M72	Bus Dispatcher .....	185-230
37	5	M107	Blacksmith's Finisher .....	10.40 day
38	6	M108	Blacksmith .....	12.00 day
39	2	M110	Molder's Helper .....	8.72 day
40	1	M112	Molder .....	12.00 day
41	6	M252	Machinist's Helper .....	9.92 day
41.1	18	M253	Machine Tool Operator .....	10.48 day
42	22	M254	Machinist .....	13.12 day
42.1	2	M268	Foreman Machinist .....	14.12 day
43	10	O1	Chauffeur .....	13.80 day

\*To be classified by Civil Service Commission when position is filled.

Section 72.2. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued).

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43.1	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	(i) 235
43.1.1	1	O58	<b>Gardener</b> .....	150-200
43.2	1	O108	Leatherworker .....	11.12 day
43.3	6	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
43.4	1	O173	Superintendent of Cable Machinery	335-405

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$13,700 From Surplus in Land Reserve, Proposed McLaren Park, to Provide for Acquisition of Real Property Required for Said Proposed Park.**

Bill No. 4279, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$13,700 from surplus existing in the Land Reserve, Proposed McLaren Park, to Appropriation No. 612.600.03 for acquisition of real property required for proposed John McLaren Park.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$13,700 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Land Reserve, Proposed McLaren Park, to the credit of Appropriation No. 612.600.03 to provide for the acquisition of real property required for the proposed John McLaren Park, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Establishing a New Section, 2.4.1, Method of Calculation of Compensation Earned.**

Bill No. 4280, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), by establishing a new section to be known as Section 2.4.1—METHOD OF CALCULATION OF COMPENSATION EARNED.

Be is ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939) is hereby amended by adding new section 2.4.1, title of which is recited above:

**Section 2.4.1. METHOD OF CALCULATION OF COMPENSATION EARNED**

An employee whose compensation is fixed herein on a monthly basis shall be paid one-half the monthly salary herein provided for his position if, during the payroll period of a half month he works every work day included in the normal work schedule defined in section 1.6 hereof. Deduction in the amount of one day's pay shall be made for each work day in said normal work schedule for each such work day not worked, provided that no deduction shall be made as provided in this section for absences compensated according to law as vacation, sick leave with pay, military leave with pay, or compensating time off. The amount of a day's pay shall be determined by dividing the number of work days (including holidays enumerated in section 2.4 hereof) in the work schedule as defined in section 16 hereof in the payroll period into half the monthly salary provided herein for the position.

An employee whose services are terminated the day before a holiday enumerated in section 2.4 hereof who has worked not less than five consecutive work days next preceding termination of employment shall be paid for such holiday.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing the Compromise of Claim of B. O. Ballou for the Sum of Sixty-two and 50/100 Dollars (\$62.50).**

Bill No. 4282, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the compromise of claim of B. O. Ballou for the sum of Sixty-two & 50/100 Dollars (\$62.50).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended, and the Director of Public Health and the Chief Administrative Officer having approved, the settlement of the claim of B. O. Ballou, and legal action having been commenced on said claim by action No. 204501 of the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, B. O. Ballou, Plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, Defendant, for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiff by the collision of his automobile and an ambulance owned and operated by the said City and County of San Francisco on December 6, 1945, by the payment to said plaintiff by said City and County of the sum of sixty-two & 50/100 dollars (\$62.50), and the said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claim and action by the payment of said sum, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of sixty-two & 50/100 dollars (\$62.50) in favor of said B. O. Ballou.

Recommended and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Appropriating the Sum of \$351,682 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to Provide Funds for Purchase Payment and Interest to the Market Street Railway.

Bill No. 4288, Ordinance No. . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$351,682 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for purchase payment and interest to the Market Street Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1.2 The sum of \$351,682 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway, Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.551.50, to provide funds for purchase payment and interest to the Market Street Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following, from Finance Committee, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," Supervisor Mancuso dissenting, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Appropriating the Sum of \$371,893 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Provide Funds for Additions and Betterments in the Municipal Railway.

Bill No. 4289, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$371,893 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway operating Fund to provide funds for additions and betterments in the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$371,893 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.500.00, to provide funds for additions and betterments in the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

Supervisor Mead inquired if any portion of the foregoing appropriation was for repair work on Market Street. If not, apparently he had previously voted wrong.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, answered that none of the funds appropriated by the foregoing bill, or by the bill immediately following, were to be used for such purpose.

Thereupon, the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$26,425 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Provide Funds for Replacements and Reconstruction in the Municipal Railway.**

Bill No. 4290, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$26,425 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$26,425 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.925.00, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Consideration Postponed.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$750,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund to Provide Funds for Replacements and Reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.**

Bill No. 4291, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.925.50, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read communication from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, endorsing the proposed reconstruction of the inner tracks on Market Street, and urging approval of the foregoing bill.

Supervisor Lewis presented communication from Mr. George Lennon, opposing said appropriation.

Supervisor Colman moved postponement of consideration for two weeks, to enable Supervisors Christopher, Brown and Sullivan to be present, in view of the importance of the matter. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objection, and consideration postponed until Monday, September 16, 1946.*

#### Re-reference to Committee.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

**Recommending Public Utilities Commission to Grant Right-of-way For Trail Purposes, Through Crystal Lakes Property, San Mateo County, California.**

Proposal No. 5967, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has, through its Legislature, established the Riding and Hiking Trail System requiring the construction of trails throughout California; and

Whereas, the residents of the City and County of San Francisco will obtain no direct benefits through said Trail System inasmuch as San Francisco already has well developed trails, except through the development of trails in San Mateo County; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco controls an extensive area of land lying immediately across certain possible trails in our neighbor County south from San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors recommends to the Public Utilities Commission that favorable action be taken by it on the granting of a right-of-way for trail purposes through the Crystal Lakes property in San Mateo County, to complete the Master Plan of State Riding and Hiking Trails as developed by the State Park Department.

*August 19, 1946—To be carried on the Calendar until Mr. J. H. Turner, Manager of Public Utilities, can be present.*

*August 26, 1946—Consideration postponed until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, opposed the granting of any right of way for trail purposes through Crystal Lakes property. The Public Utilities Commission, he stated, was opening trails in properties to the use of the public, under Commission's rules and regulations, but, as far as granting rights of way over public trails, he was personally opposed to such granting. Privileges granted before the war will be extended to people. The old practice of granting



permits to some, and denying them to others, will be discontinued. Permits will be granted to any applicant provided he is a substantial citizen and will conform to the rules and regulations to be set up by the Commission. The adoption of the foregoing proposal would open up the property "without control." He was opposed to that.

Thereupon, on motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the foregoing proposal was *re-referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### Ordered Submitted.

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:  
Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . . PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 24 thereof, "Permits and Inspections."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 24 thereof, "Permits and Inspections," so that the section shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

#### PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection; provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of foodstuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned, the fees or licenses to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall be as determined by the board of supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such

permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

[No license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.]

*Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the board of supervisors shall have full power to impose and provide for license taxes both for revenue and for regulation, or for either revenue or regulation.*

August 19, 1946—Consideration continued until Tuesday, September 3, 1946.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read communication from Eureka District Merchants Association opposing proposed amendment to Section 24 of the Charter.

Supervisor Colman, in discussing the foregoing proposed charter amendment, stated that he thought Supervisor Mancuso had abandoned the idea of imposing license fees that would be permitted by the approval of the charter amendment. Supervisor Colman stated further that he was opposed to such license fees or taxes; he would go along, though, with a sales tax. That, he thought, was very fair, and would not meet with any opposition to speak of. He would vote against submission of the proposed charter amendment.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that he was not referring to the re-enactment of business license taxes. That could be done without amending Section 24 of the Charter. This proposal is merely an enabling act to permit the Board of Supervisors to submit legislation to obtain taxes. It does not mean that the Board is approving any form of taxation by submitting this to the voters.

Mr. Peddicord stated that sometime ago the City Attorney had made a study of this matter and decided that the Retail Sales Tax as it is known here was a form of license tax or permit to do business. A use tax could be enacted but not a sales tax. Under this amendment, if approved, a sales tax could be imposed.

Supervisor Lewis, discussing the proposed amendment, called attention to the prohibition against the imposition of a license tax on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business, which would be removed by the approval of this amendment. The approval of the charter amendment would permit imposing a license tax upon the Emporium, the White House, and similar establishments. No such policy has been decided at the present time. Until there is some ordinance before the Board or some such policy has been decided upon, he would be opposed to any enabling act. He would vote against submission.

Supervisor Colman, following the presentation by Mr. Peddicord, in discussing the proposed charter amendment further, pointed out that until this or similar legislation is passed, San Francisco cannot have a sales tax. Some start has to be made and this amendment seems to be a logical method. He saw nothing wrong with the amendment.

Supervisor Lewis disagreed. The Board, he stated, has been considering the general subject of a sales tax without this amendment. The matter can be considered, legislation passed, and then such amendment proposed.

Supervisor Mancuso believed that any such legislation, unless Section 24 of the Charter were amended, would be invalid. If the State Legislature should pass a sales tax, San Francisco might not be able to get any benefit from such tax. This proposed amendment is a proper step forward.

Mr. Lloyd Taylor opposed submission of the proposed amendment as presented. Either expenses must be reduced or revenues must be increased. An attempt has to

be made in one direction or the other. Finding unlimited sources of revenue has never reduced taxes, he stated. There will be on the ballot in November, many charter amendments, most of which will increase the cost of government. The small business man will have to pay the entire bill. There should be year-around study of departmental expenditures first and then plans for raising needed revenues. This proposed amendment can be amended to permit a sales tax.

#### Ordered Submitted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposed charter amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

### THE MAYOR

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 25 thereof, relating to the Mayor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 25 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface in brackets** [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### THE MAYOR

Section 25. The mayor shall be the chief executive officer of the city and county upon whom process issued by authority of law shall be served. He shall be an elective officer and shall be paid a salary of [ten] *fifteen* thousand dollars [(\$10,000)] (*\$15,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, an executive secretary and one confidential secretary, and one stenographer. The board of supervisors may annually appropriate additional sums to be expended by the mayor for purposes and duties incidental to the administration of the office of mayor, which shall be subject to the provisions of this charter relative to appropriations and the payment of claims. He shall, at the first meeting of the board of supervisors in January of each year, communicate by message to the supervisors a general statement of the condition of the affairs of the city and county, and recommend the adoption of such measures as he may deem expedient and proper.

The mayor shall be responsible for the enforcement of all laws relating to the municipality and for the review and submission of the annual executive budget; he shall supervise the administration of all departments under boards and commissions appointed by him; he shall receive and examine, without delay, all complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the city and county, and immediately inform the complainant of findings and actions thereon; and he shall coordinate and enforce cooperation between all departments of the city and county. The mayor shall have power to postpone final action on any franchise that may be

passed by the supervisors until such proposed franchise shall have been voted on at the next election.

The mayor shall appoint such members of boards or commissions and other officers as provided by this charter. [He shall also make an ad-interim appointment of a qualified person to fill any vacancy occurring by reason of the expiration of a term.] He shall also appoint for the unexpired term of the office vacated, a qualified person to fill any vacancy occurring on any such board or commission and in any elective municipal office. [including the office of municipal court judge.]

The mayor shall have a seat but no vote in the board of supervisors and in any board or commission appointed by him, with the right to report on or discuss any matter before such board or commission concerning the departments or affairs in his charge. He shall have power to designate a member of the board of supervisors to act as mayor in his absence. Should he fail, neglect or refuse so to do, the supervisors shall elect one of their number to act as mayor during his absence. When a vacancy occurs in the office of mayor, it shall be filled for the unexpired portion of the term by the supervisors. Every person who has served as mayor of the city and county, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall have a seat in the board of supervisors and may participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote or to compensation.

In case of a public emergency involving or threatening the lives, property or welfare of the citizens, or the property of the city and county, the mayor shall have the power, and it shall be his duty, to summon, organize and direct the forces of any department in the city and county in any needed service; to summon, marshal, deputize or otherwise employ other persons, or to do whatever else he may deem necessary for the purpose of meeting the emergency. The mayor may make such studies and surveys as he may deem advisable in anticipation of any such emergency.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

## CITY ATTORNEY

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 26 thereof, relating to the City Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 26 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## CITY ATTORNEY

Section 26. The city attorney shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [ten] *fifteen* thousand dollars [(\$10,000)] (\$15,000). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He shall appoint and at his pleasure may remove[,] all [assistants and employees] *assis-*

at attorneys in his office. He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office. He must, at the time of his election, be an elector of the city and county, qualified to practice in all the courts of this state, and he must have been qualified for at least ten years next preceding his election.

The city attorney must represent the city and county in all actions and proceedings in which it may be legally interested, or, for or against the city and county, any officer of the city and county in any action or proceeding, when directed so to do by the supervisors, except where a cause of action exists in favor of the city and county against said officer. When any cause of action exists in favor of the city and county, the city attorney shall commence the same when within his knowledge or when directed so to do by the supervisors. He shall give his advice and opinion in writing to any officer, board or commission of the city and county when requested. Except as otherwise provided in this charter, he shall not settle or dismiss any litigation for or against the city and county, unless, upon his written recommendation, he is ordered so to do by [ordinance] *resolution of the board of supervisors.*

The city attorney shall prepare, or approve as to form *and legality*, all ordinances before they are enacted by the supervisors. He shall approve, by endorsement in writing, the form of all of official or other bonds required by this charter or by ordinance before the same are submitted to the proper commission, board or office for final approval, and no such bonds shall be finally approved without such approval as to form by the city attorney. Except as otherwise in this charter provided, he shall prepare in writing the draft or form of all contracts before the same are executed on behalf of the city and county. He shall examine and approve the title of all real property to be acquired by the city and county.

He shall keep on file in his office copies of all written communications and opinions, also all papers, briefs and transcripts used in matters wherein he appears; and books of record and registers of all actions or proceedings in his charge in which the city and county or any officer or board thereof, is a party or is interested.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

## ASSESSOR

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 28 thereof, relating to the Assessor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 28 thereof, relating to the Assessor, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## ASSESSOR

Section 28. The assessor shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [eight] *twelve* thousand dollars [(\$8,00)] (*\$12,000*). He shall furnish

an official bond in the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). He shall appoint and at his pleasure may remove, one chief assistant or deputy and one confidential secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 29 thereof, relating to the District Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 29 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Section 29. The district attorney shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [**eight**] *fifteen* thousand dollars [**\$3,000**] (*\$15,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He must, at the time of his election, be qualified to practice in all the courts of this state and must have been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, all assistants and employees in his office. *He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office.*

The district attorney, either in person or by his assistants, shall prosecute all criminal cases in the municipal and superior courts, draw all complaints, and issue warrants for the arrest of persons charged with crime who are to be prosecuted in such courts.

Any amount required by the district attorney from time to time from the district attorney's special fund shall be requisitioned by the district attorney, stating the general purpose for which required, whereupon the controller shall draw his warrant therefor and the claim be paid as provided for payment of other warrants by the treasurer. All such sums may be used by the district attorney solely as provided by general law and he shall file vouchers with the controller at the end of each fiscal year showing what disposition he has made of any moneys received by him from such fund and the particular purpose for which it was disbursed, provided that, if a criminal proceeding be pending or under investigation, vouchers for moneys disbursed in such proceeding or investigation need not be filed until the trial of the criminal proceeding be ended or the investigation concluded. No portion of the fund shall be used for compensation or remuneration of full time assistants or employees.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

## TREASURER

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 31 thereof, relating to the Treasurer.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 31 thereof, relating to the Treasurer, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## TREASURER

Section 31. The treasurer shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of **[eight]** *ten* thousand dollars **[\$8,000]** (*\$10,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, one chief assistant.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

## SHERIFF

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 32 thereof, relating to the Sheriff.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 32 thereof, relating to the Sheriff, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## SHERIFF

Section 32. The sheriff shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of **[eight]** *ten* thousand dollars **[\$8,000]** (*\$10,000*) per year. Said salary shall be exclusive of the compensation received by him from the state for the delivery of prisoners to the state prisons, and insane persons to the state asylums for the insane. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, an attorney, one under sheriff, and one confidential secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

**PUBLIC DEFENDER**  
**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 33 thereof, relating to the Public Defender.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 33 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

**PUBLIC DEFENDER**

Section 33. The public defender shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of **[eight]** *ten* thousand dollars **[\$8,000]** (*\$10,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He must, at the time of his election, be qualified to practice in all the courts of this state and must have been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove **[such assistants and employees]** *all assistant attorneys* in his office. **[as may be provided by budget and appropriation ordinances.]** He shall immediately upon the request of a defendant who is financially unable to employ counsel, or upon order of the court, defend or give counsel or advice to any person charged with the commission of a crime. *He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Tuesday September 10, 1946.*

Ordered Submitted.

**DEFINING RETIREMENT PROVISIONS—  
MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .**

**MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.** Adding Charter Section 165.2 prescribing retirement provisions for present and future Miscellaneous Officers and Employees.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 165.2 thereto, relating to present and future Miscellaneous Officers and Employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, by adding thereto a new section to be designated as Section 165.2, as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---



## DEFINING RETIREMENT PROVISIONS— MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

*Section 165.2. Miscellaneous officers and employees, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on the effective date hereof, hereby designated as the first day of July, 1947, and persons who become miscellaneous officers and employees after said effective date, shall be members of the retirement system on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this section, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter. Miscellaneous officers and employees of the said departments who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 165 instead of this section, the election under said option to be effective on said effective date, provided, that members who are absent by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, on the effective date of the amendment shall have the same option of electing to be members under section 165 instead of this section, until ninety days after their return to service. On and after said date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the system under section 165 and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of this section.*

*(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:*

*“Retirement allowance”, or “allowance”, shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.*

*“Compensation”, as distinguished from benefits under the workmen’s compensation laws of the State of California, shall mean all remuneration whether in cash or by other allowances made by the city and county, for service qualifying for credit under this section.*

*“Compensation earnable” shall mean the compensation as determined by the retirement board, which would have been earned by the member had he worked, throughout the period under consideration, the average number of days ordinarily worked by persons in the same grade or class of positions as the positions held by him during such period, and at the rate of pay attached to such positions, it being assumed that during any absence, he was in the position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to entering city-service he was in the position first held by him in city-service.*

*“Benefit” shall include “allowance”, “retirement allowance”, and “death benefit”.*

*“Average final compensation” shall mean the average monthly compensation earned by a member during any five consecutive years of credited service in the retirement system in which his average final compensation is the highest.*

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "miscellaneous officer or employee", or "member", as used in this section shall mean any officer or employee who is not a member of the fire or police departments as defined in the charter for the purpose of the retirement system, under section 165 of the charter.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

(B) Any member who completes at least twenty years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system and attains the age of fifty-five years, or at least ten years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system, and attains the age of sixty years, said service to be computed under subsection (G) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of seventy years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1948; the age of sixty-nine years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1949; the age of sixty-eight years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1950; the age of sixty-seven years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1951; the age of sixty-six years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1952; and thereafter, following the attainment of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after reaching the age of sixty years shall receive a service retirement allowance at the rate of  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of said average final compensation, for each year of service. The service retirement allowance of any member retiring, after rendering twenty years or more of such service and having attained the age of fifty-five years, computed under subsection (G), shall be such as can be provided at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of the retirement allowance to which he would be entitled upon retirement at age sixty and with the service credited at the date of actual retirement. Before the first payment of a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection (C) of this section, may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits. The portion of service retirement allowance provided by the city and county's contributions shall be not less than \$50 per month upon retirement after thirty years of service and after attaining the age of sixty years, and provided further that as to any member with fifteen years or more of service at the compulsory retirement age of sixty-five, the portion of the service retirement allowance provided by the city and county's contribution shall be such that the total retirement allowance shall be not less than \$50 per month.

(C) Any member who becomes incapacitated for performance of duty because of disability determined by the retirement board to be of extended and uncertain duration, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service credited in the retirement system in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (G) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the average final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof for each year of credited service, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of his average final compensation; otherwise one and one-half ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ ) per cent of his average final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service which would be creditable to him were such city-service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of such average final compensation. In the calculation of retirement allowance under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one (1) class of service, that is service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools, or as an employee in any other position, separate retirement allowance shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the average final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the average final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the five (5) years immediately preceding his retirement. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of any commission or board, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the position or classification he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) No modification of benefits provided in this section shall be made because of any amounts payable to or on account of any member under workmen's compensation laws of the State of California.

(E) If a member shall die, before retirement, regardless of cause, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary consisting of the compensation earnable by him during the six months immediately preceding death, plus his contributions and interest credited thereon. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members.

(F) Should any miscellaneous member cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to cover similar terminations of employment of other members of the retirement system, provided that if such member is entitled to be credited with at least ten years of service, he shall have the right to elect within ninety days after said termination of service, without right of revocation, whether to allow his accumulated contributions to remain in the retirement fund. Failure to make such election shall be deemed an irrevocable election to withdraw his accumulated contributions. Upon the qualification of such member for retirement by reason of service and age, he shall receive a

retirement allowance which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions and an equal amount of the contributions of the city and county plus  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of his final compensation for each year of service credited to him as rendered prior to his first membership in the retirement system. Upon the death of such member prior to retirement, his contributions with interest credited thereon shall be paid his estate or designated beneficiary.

(G) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

- (1) Time during which said member is a member of the retirement system and during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a miscellaneous officer or employee.
- (2) Service in the fire and police departments which is not credited to a member under this section shall count under this section upon transfer of a member of either of such departments to employment entitling him to membership in the retirement system under this section, provided that the accumulated contribution standing to the credit of such member shall be adjusted by refund to the member or by payment by the member to bring the account at the time of such transfer to the amount which it would have been credited to it had the member been a miscellaneous employee throughout the period of his service in either of such departments at the compensation he received in such department.
- (3) Time during which said member is absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding which is not deemed absence from service under the provisions of section 161 of the charter and for which such member is entitled to receive credit as service for the city and county by virtue of contributions made in accordance with the provision of such section.

(H) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

- (1) The rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his nearest age at the effective date of his membership in the retirement system. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under this section, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under subsection (B) of this section, one-half of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled if retired at age sixty or higher age after rendering ten years of service for retirement under that subsection. No adjustment shall be included in said rates because of time during which members have contributed at different rates. Provided further that the member's contribution under this section and the city's contribution on his account shall cease after thirty-six years of credited service. Members' rates of contributions shall be changed only in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for changing contribution rates of other members.
- (2) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rate of

contribution to such salary payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (E) and (F) of this section, provided that the portion of the salaries of the teachers as provided in Section 165, paragraph (a), as a basis for fixing the contributions to be made, and the benefits to be received, by the teachers under the retirement system shall not be less than eighty per cent of the total salary received by the teachers.

- (3) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1) and (3) of subsection (G), and deducted prior to the effective date hereof, from compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.
- (4) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, on the effective date hereof, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.
- (5) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (H), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his rate of contribution is determined in paragraph (1), subsection (H), shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value of the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city

and county, less the amount of such contributions, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(6) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city and county held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

(I) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (B), and nothing shall deprive said member of said right.

(J) No person retired under this section, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such persons receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any such retired person engage in gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty years, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed his compensation at the time of his retirement.

(K) Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of this section. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

This amendment shall take effect on the first day of July, 1947.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

## Re-reference to Committee.

Proposed Charter Amendment to Add Section 166.1, Prescribing Salary Base, for Retirement Purposes, of Former Rank of Corporal of Police.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 166.1, prescribing salary base, for retirement purposes, of former rank of corporal of police.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 166.1, prescribing salary base, for retirement purposes, of former rank of corporal of police.

*SALARY BASE, FOR RETIREMENT PURPOSES, OF FORMER  
RANK OF CORPORAL OF POLICE.*

*Section 166.1. For all purposes of the retirement system, and notwithstanding any other provision of the Charter, the monthly salary attached to the former rank of corporal, heretofore held by a member of the Police Department, shall henceforth be deemed to be an amount equal to the maximum monthly salary attached to the rank of police officer, plus three-fourths of the difference between such amount and the monthly salary attached to the rank of sergeant.*

## Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee explained the reason for Committee's recommendation that the foregoing proposed charter amendment be Ordered Submitted.

A police officer, representing the San Francisco Police Officers' Association, opposed the submission of the foregoing proposed charter amendment, fearing that its submission might create confusion and injure the chance of approval of charter amendment heretofore Ordered Submitted, and which was vitally important to the active members of the police force. The amendment was unnecessary, he held, because these retired members would benefit by any increases granted to active members of the department.

Supervisor MacPhee thereupon stated that if this proposed amendment would hurt the possibilities of the police and firemen, in their amendment, he would not want to see it submitted at this time. However, the request of the retired corporals has been in for about nine months. He did not think the request was unreasonable. Supervisor MacPhee stated that this matter should be followed by a motion, which he would make, as follows:

## Motion.

On June 10, 1946, this Board ordered submitted to the electorate on the ballot for November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter by adding a new section to be designated Section 166.1, prescribing Salary Base, for Retirement Purposes, of Former Rank of Corporal of Police.

At the time this proposed charter amendment was ordered submitted, no actuarial report had been prepared and I therefore move that the action be rescinded by which the proposal to add Section 166.1, Salary Base, for Retirement Purposes, of Former Rank of Corporal of Police, was, on June 10, 1946, ordered submitted to the electorate.

The necessary actuarial report has now been received and the proposed charter amendment appears on today's Calendar as item No. 38.

*Motion referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee moved re-reference of the foregoing proposed charter amendment to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## Adopted.

Requesting Registrar of Voters to Designate Charter Amendment Establishing Wages and Working Conditions of Platformmen and Certain Other Employees of Municipal Railway as Charter Amendment No. 1.

Proposal No. 6006, Resolution No. 5807 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and is hereby authorized and directed to designate, upon the ballot for the general election to be held November 5, 1946, such Charter amendments as are ordered submitted commencing with the Charter amendment Establishing Wages and Working Conditions of Platformmen and Certain Other Employees of the Municipal Railway, which proposed amendment shall be designated Charter Amendment No. 1, and with respect to such other Charter amendments or proposals as are ordered submitted to the electorate, in accordance with such designation, as to number, as shall be subsequently assigned such matters by this Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Re-referred to Committee.

Proposed Amendment of Refuse Collection Ordinance.

*Order of Submission.*

Bill No. 4259, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Submitting to the electors an amendment of the initiative ordinance adopted by the electors on November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance." And relating to the rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by refuse collectors and the records to be kept by collectors.

Whereas, on November 8, 1932, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted an initiative ordinance regulating refuse collection and disposal in the City and County of San Francisco and providing maximum rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by licensed refuse collectors; and

Whereas, the maximum rates or charges fixed in Section 6 of such initiative ordinance were fixed by the electors on the basis of wages, operating costs and other costs which existed in 1932; and

Whereas, since 1932, wages generally and the wages of persons engaged in collection and disposition of garbage and refuse and all other costs and expenses have greatly increased, in many cases the increases being well in excess of 100 per cent since 1932; and

Whereas, this Board, after fully and completely examining the maximum rates fixed in Section 6 of such initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, has determined that licensed refuse collectors are entitled to a reasonable increase in maximum rates for the collection and disposition of garbage and refuse from householders and that the matter of the amendment of Section 6 and such increase should be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this Board is also desirous of submitting to the electorate an amendment of Section 16 of such initiative ordinance to enable



the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to examine more fully into the rates to the producer; now, therefore,

It Is Hereby Ordered, That the Board of Supervisors hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, an ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted at an election held in said City and County November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance", by amending Sections 6 and 16 of said ordinance as follows:

*"Initiative ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted by the electors on November 8, 1932, entitled 'Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance.' And relating to the rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by refuse collectors and the records to be kept by collectors."*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, entitled as recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse as herein defined, by refuse collectors, shall be as follows:

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from the ground floor:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .60	\$ .75	\$1.00	\$1.30
5 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.35
6 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.40
7 .....	.75	1.00	1.15	1.45
8 .....	.80	1.10	1.30	1.50
9 .....	.85	1.15	1.35	1.60
10 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.75
11 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.80
12 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from second floor, one stairway above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .65	\$ .85	\$1.05	\$1.35
5 .....	.75	.90	1.15	1.45
6 .....	.75	.95	1.30	1.50
7 .....	.80	1.05	1.35	1.60
8 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.70
9 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.75
10 .....	1.00	1.35	1.50	1.85
11 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90
12 .....	1.05	1.50	1.75	2.05

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from third floor, two stairways above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .85	\$1.10	\$1.40
4 .....	.70	.85	1.35	1.45
5 .....	.75	.90	1.50	1.50
6 .....	.75	.90	1.60	1.70
7 .....	.90	1.30	1.70	1.80
8 .....	.95	1.40	1.80	1.85
9 .....	1.00	1.50	1.90	2.00
10 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.10
11 .....	1.05	1.60	2.15	2.25
12 .....	1.10	1.75	2.25	2.35

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from fourth floor, three stairways above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .95	\$1.35	\$1.60
4 .....	.70	.95	1.50	1.85
5 .....	.85	1.05	1.70	2.00
6 .....	.90	1.10	1.80	2.10
7 .....	1.00	1.45	1.90	2.20
8 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.30
9 .....	1.05	1.70	2.15	2.40
10 .....	1.05	1.80	2.25	2.50
11 .....	1.10	1.90	2.35	2.65
12 .....	1.25	2.05	2.45	2.75

Monthly rates from apartment houses:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.				
	(6)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
10 .....	\$2.40	\$1.90	\$1.70	\$1.50	\$1.40
20 .....	4.50	3.90	3.50	3.10	2.90
30 .....	6.30	5.10	4.70	4.10	....
40 .....	7.80	6.90	5.90	....	....
50 .....	9.00	8.15	6.90	....	....
60 .....	10.00	9.20	....	....	....
70 .....	11.00	10.30	....	....	....
80 .....	12.00	11.20	....	....	....
90 .....	13.00	12.10	....	....	....
100 .....	14.00	12.90	....	....	....
110 .....	15.20	....	....	....	....

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
120 .....	\$16.30	370 .....	\$43.00
130 .....	17.40	380 .....	45.00
140 .....	18.50	390 .....	46.00
150 .....	19.60	400 .....	47.00
160 .....	20.70	410 .....	47.20
170 .....	21.80	420 .....	48.30
180 .....	22.90	430 .....	49.40
190 .....	24.00	440 .....	50.50
200 .....	25.10	450 .....	51.60
210 .....	26.00	460 .....	52.70
220 .....	27.00	470 .....	53.80
230 .....	28.00	480 .....	54.90

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
240	29.00	490	56.00
250	30.00	500	57.10
260	31.00	510	58.20
270	32.00	520	59.30
280	33.00	530	60.40
290	35.00	540	61.50
300	36.00	550	62.60
310	37.00	560	63.70
320	38.00	570	65.80
330	39.00	580	65.90
340	40.00	590	67.00
350	41.00	600	68.00
360	42.00		

The rates for more than 600 rooms in any one apartment house shall be subject to contract between the owner or lessee of the apartment house and a duly licensed refuse collector.

In determining the number of rooms of any household, building or apartment in order to ascertain the rate for the collection and disposition of refuse therefrom, halls, alcoves, storerooms, bathrooms, closets and toilets shall not be considered as rooms, nor shall basements or attics be considered as rooms unless the same be occupied as living quarters.

Any collection and disposition charges not specifically set forth herein shall be subject to contract between the producer and a duly licensed refuse collector.

Section 2. Section 16 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

During the month of January each year the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall survey and examine into the rates to the producer for the collection and disposition of refuse, with a view to a reduction in such rates, and upon completion thereof shall report his conclusions to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors may by a two-thirds vote reduce the rates upon receipt of said report when found to be justified, and may increase said rates, but not to exceed the rates herein set forth. The Board of Supervisors shall have no other right to amend this ordinance. Each collector holding a permit shall keep such records as may be required to produce the information necessary for the purposes of this section. The records shall be made available to the Controller at his request.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee explained that although the foregoing was a recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, the committee had now received additional information in relation to the revenues and the question had been raised as to over-all costs, and the amount to be raised by increased revenues. He thought the matter should be returned to committee for further study, and he would so move. Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman referred to the fourth "Whereas," beginning, "Whereas, this Board, after fully and completely examining the maximum rates fixed in Section 6 of such initiative ordinance . . ." he had not made any such examination, and he hoped the committee would demonstrate the truth of that "Whereas." In a case of this kind the Board sits in a somewhat similar capacity to that of the Railroad Commission, which inquires as to how much money a utility needs and what increase in revenue will be brought about by increased rates. He would like to have that information. He desired

to know, also, why the lowest price service should get the highest percentage of increase. Why should not the same rate of increase apply to all the grades of service the collectors render? The rates for collection from apartment houses have not been changed. He thought the Board was entitled to an explanation as to why those rates have not been increased, while rates for residences have been.

Supervisor Mancuso agreed with Supervisor Colman's remarks about the particular "Whereas." That would mean that the Supervisors were recommending the increase, which might not be the case. The apartment houses take care of the cost of their collections; that is not so in the residence districts. The increased amount of revenues is 17.5 per cent, but the cost of living has increased 44.5 per cent. The increased rates for residences will balance the losses.

Supervisor Colman declared that the same increases in cost applies to handling garbage from the apartment houses as it does to residences.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing matter was *re-referred to Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, was taken up:

Leave of Absence—Honorable Sam McKee, Member of the  
Public Utilities Commission.

Proposal No. 6016, Resolution No. 5808 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Sam McKee, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for one week from and including August 31, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Granting Spur Track Permit, Bancroft Avenue, Between Mendell Street and Third Street, and Repealing Ordinance 6650 (New Series).

Bill No. 4294, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate and maintain a spur track and loading platform in Bancroft Avenue between Mendell Street and Third Street and repealing Ordinance 6650 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a spur track in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue, the center line of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of Southern Pacific Company existing drill track in Mendell Street, said point being distant 30 feet, more or less, from the intersection of said center line with the prolongation of the southerly line of Armstrong Avenue; thence diverging from said existing track through a #7 turnout in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street for a distance of 62 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing along and across Mendell Street in a southeasterly direction on a tangent for a distance of 105 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street and along and across Bancroft Avenue on a curve concave to the left, having a radius of 249.22 feet for a distance of 228 feet, more or less, to a point in Bancroft Avenue, said point being distant 19.25 feet, measured at right angles in a northerly direction from the southerly line of Bancroft Avenue; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along Bancroft Avenue on a tangent parallel to said southerly line of Bancroft Avenue for a distance of 457 feet, more or less, to a point 65 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Third Street and the end of proposed track.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (New Series) now codified as Sections 555 to 570 inclusive of Article 11, Chapter 10, Part 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all provisions and conditions contained in said sections are hereby made a part of this permit as if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. The Alchar California Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Bureau of Light, Heat and Power of the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 4. All work shall be done to the satisfaction and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. Permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a loading platform 12 feet wide in the southwesterly sidewalk area of Bancroft Avenue extending from a point 65 feet more or less, northwesterly from Third Street northwesterly 385 feet, more or less.

Section 6. Plans and specifications for said platform shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works before beginning construction and all work shall be done in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. The Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless against loss or expense caused by accident or damage to persons or property resulting from or in consequence of the construction of said platform.

Section 8. Upon revocation or abandonment of the permit for the above platform, the Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall remove or cause to be removed, without cost

or obligation to the City and County of San Francisco, all material used for or in connection with said platform.

Section 9. Ordinance No. 6650 (new series) approved May 25, 1925, granting permission to Premier Bed Company to construct, maintain, and operate a spur track in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue is hereby repealed.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Taken From the Table.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that there be taken from the table, proposed amendment to the Charter, Section 10, Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors, to provide that compensation for the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco shall be fixed by the State Legislature, and that the matter shall be brought before the Board for consideration "at this time." Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

*No objection*, and motion *carried*.

Thereupon, the following proposed charter amendment was taken up.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

#### NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISOR

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 10 thereof, "Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 10 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletion

#### NUMBER, COMPENSATION AND MEETINGS OF SUPERVISOR

Section 10. The board of supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large [provided that for the period January 8, 1932, to January 8, 1933, the board shall consist of fifteen members]. Each member of the board shall be paid a salary of twenty-four hundred dollars (\$2,400) per year, [and] *until such time as the same shall be fixed by general law. Thereafter such amount shall be paid as may be so determined.* Each member shall execute an official bond to the city and county in the sum of five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly elected and continuing members of the board of supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meetings shall be held as fixed by resolution. The supervisors constituting the new board shall, on January 8, [1932, and every second year thereafter,] *of every even number of years*, elect one of their number as president of the board for a two-year term. The president shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special

committees of the board and shall have such other powers and duties as the supervisors may provide.

The meetings of the board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso reported that the question of Supervisors' salaries was discussed at a meeting of the County Supervisors Association. The association was of the opinion that the majority members of the Board would be justified in submitting the foregoing proposed charter amendment to the voters. If both charter amendments are approved by the people, the Supervisors will receive an increased salary and in the future salaries will be set by the State Legislature. He urged the members of the Board to vote to submit the proposed amendment.

Supervisor Colman did not think it wise to submit a second amendment. It is unnecessary, he stated, to go to the State. The people of San Francisco do not need the State to tell them how much they should pay their Supervisors. That right should not be taken away from the people and given to the State. Their salaries are in good hands now. The people elect the Supervisors; they should fix their salaries.

Supervisor Mancuso disagreed. If the people approve the foregoing amendment, it will be their wish that the salaries of the Supervisors be fixed by the State Legislature.

Supervisor Colman continued his argument against submission. It is not demanded that the Supervisors devote full time to their office. The people recognize that the Board of Supervisors sits somewhat as a board of directors of a large corporation, and can pursue their ordinary business or profession. The Board cannot consider wages too much. It is an honor to be a member of the Board of Supervisors. Members of the Board are not dependent on their salaries and the public know it. The voters of San Francisco are very intelligent people and they know everything that is going on.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposed charter amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—6.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee—2.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Sullivan—3.

#### Acquisition of Land for Sunset Community Center.

The Finance Committee presented, "Off Calendar" Bill No. 5842, authorizing acquisition by eminent domain proceedings, of six blocks of land in the Sunset District, for Sunset Community Center, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

The Finance Committee presented, also, as a substitute for Bill No. 5842, an additional bill authorizing the acquisition of four blocks of land in the Sunset District, for the same purpose, with recommendation "Do Pass," Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

Supervisor Mead moved that consideration of the foregoing bills be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, September 16, 1946, at 2:30 p. m.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

### Presentation of Guest.

During the day's proceedings, his Honor, the Mayor, entered the Chambers, accompanied by his Honor, James P. Meeham, Mayor of Lawrence, Massachusetts, who was presented to the Board by the President. His Honor, Mayor Meeham, addressed the Board briefly, expressing his pleasure at again having the opportunity of visiting San Francisco.

### Request for Report on Condition of San Francisco's Beaches.

Supervisor Lewis, under his name on Roll Call, called attention to the condition of the beaches, which, he reported, were in a disgraceful condition, and should be cleaned up. He had previously discussed the matter with the Park Department, but without results. There should be more children's equipment in some of the parks and squares in San Francisco, and he would like to question the Park Commission on that matter. He then moved that the Park Commission be invited to appear before the Board, at its next meeting and advise the Board as to why the sands of the beaches have been left in such a disgraceful condition, and to explain why there is not more recreational apparatus for children in public squares. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead.

Supervisor Colman objected to the wording of the motion. He did not know that the beaches were in a filthy or disgraceful condition as characterized by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor Mead agreed with Supervisor Lewis; he visited the beaches about twice a week, he stated, and knew the condition.

Thereupon, there being no objection the Chair declared the motion carried.

### Housing for Automobiles South of Market Street.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that sometime ago he had suggested that a study be made for a large structure to be erected south of Market Street, between Third and Tenth Streets, to house some 30,000 automobiles. He asked that the City Planning Commission study such program and report to the Board as to the cost of such structure, and its practicability. Consideration might also be given to the possibility of utilizing the roof of such structure as a landing place for aircraft, particularly for helicopters. A freeway might also run through the structure, connecting the Bayshore Freeway and the transbay bridges.

### Beautification for San Francisco.

Mr. Norman W. Mohr, on being granted the privilege of the floor, addressed the Board briefly on the subject of trans-bay traffic situation and his plan for a solution of that problem. At the conclusion he presented an outline of his plan.

*Referred to Building Committee.*

### Declaration of Policy—Reduction of Minimum Fines.

Supervisor Mancuso presented a Declaration of Policy, signed by four members of the Board, asking the voters to express their desires on reduction of certain minimum fines for violation of certain sections of the Traffic Code, from \$5.00 to \$2.00.

Declaration of Policy presented for information of the Board only. No Board action required.

### Need for Budget Investigators.

Supervisor McMurray called attention to the need for investigators for the Board of Supervisors, which he had previously suggested, so that expenditures by various departments might be investigated, as



well as requests for appropriations during budget hearing and at other times.

**Meeting Redwood Empire Association.**

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to meeting of Redwood Empire Association, at Hoberg's, October 10, 11 and 12, 1946, to which all Supervisors were invited.

Poll of the Board signified that Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead and Meyer intended to be present. Clerk directed to inquire whether Supervisors Brown, Christopher and Sullivan would attend.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:30 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 14, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.







Tuesday, September 10, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 10, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Sullivan on leave of absence.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 22 and July 29, 1946, were considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From the Legislative Representative, suggesting procedure for making proposed new legislation part of the program of the San Francisco legislative delegation.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Coronet Magazine, thanking Board for acknowledgment of its recent article on San Francisco's emergency hospitals.

*Ordered filed.*

From State Reconstruction and Re-employment Commission, requesting cooperation in development of master airport planning program.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the City Planning Commission, proposing amendment to City Planning Code as it affects laundries, cleaners and dry cleaners.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the City Attorney, opinion on the subject of sales of City-owned real property by the Director of Property.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Police Department, annual report for calendar year 1945.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Mayor, urging that consideration of proposed charter amendment consolidating the Park and Recreation Departments be not deferred.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Comitato Liberazione Nazionale in Milan, Italy, requesting assistance for a number of poor families in said city.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Downtown Bowl, inviting Board to officiate in opening ceremonies of The Brewers Classic Bowling League, September 11, 8:00 p. m.

*Referred to Supervisor Mancuso.*

From the District Engineer, War Department, giving notice of application made by the Twelfth Naval District for the emergency beaching of decommissioned vessels in a portion of San Francisco Bay.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Mr. Ben K. Lerer, requesting that Mr. Harry Guthertz be granted permission to install a mobile lunch wagon in the Farmers' Market.

*Referred to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.*

From the Mayor, attaching letter from Mr. Paul F. Fratessa concerning the issuance of non-interest bearing tax warrants.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, expressing views in connection with proposed consolidation of the Park and Recreation Departments.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Civil Service Commission, relative to compensation of classification D66, Superintendent of Jail, for work in excess of forty hours per week.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Mrs. Eleanor Hudson and family, thanking Board for its expression of sympathy.

*Ordered filed.*

From Veterans of Foreign Wars and the United Veterans' Council, presenting their views on proposed amendment to Charter Section 146.1 relative to promotional examinations for persons returning from military service.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the County Clerk, annual report for fiscal year ending June 30, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the County Supervisors' Association of California, subject: Protesting threatened loss of Federal aged administrative funds resulting from recent State Social Welfare Board action.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, urging that additional data be obtained in connection with Fire Department's request for 25 additional men.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From Mr. L. R. Jackson, protesting certain increases in scavenger rates.

*Ordered filed.*

From Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, submitting statement of reasons which impel the scavenger companies to request revision of rates.

*Ordered filed.*



From Joseph S. Connelly, requesting examination of conditions as they exist in local scavengers' companies.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Controller, calling attention to language added to proposed amendment to the initiative Refuse Collection Ordinance.

*Ordered filed.*

#### **Park Commission to Discuss Condition of the Beaches and Need for More Children's Recreational Apparatus in Public Squares.**

On motion by Supervisor Lewis, Park Commission invited to appear before the Board and explain the reasons for the condition of San Francisco Beaches, and to discuss also the need for more recreational apparatus for children in public squares.

#### **Discussion.**

Supervisor Lewis outlined his reasons for requesting the presence of the Park Commission to discuss the question of children's recreational apparatus in public squares and parks. He then presented to the Board a group of mothers, residents in the vicinity of Lafayette Square, and requested that one of the ladies outline, on behalf of the rest of the group, the conditions prevailing in that Square about which they were complaining.

Mrs. John W. Davis thereupon addressed the Board, stating that the mothers in the district desired at least a couple of slides and some swings for the children who play in the park. She also complained about the filthy condition of the sand in the sand box in Lafayette Square.

Park Commissioner Finn suggested that any complaints about the operation of the parks should be made to the Park Commission. The Commission hears complaints every two weeks, makes investigations, and if complaints are justified, the conditions complained of are corrected. If more apparatus is needed, the Park Commission will request funds for its purchase.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he had spoken about the conditions complained of more than five times, but the situation continues to exist. Citizens look to the Supervisors for correction of conditions, and can't understand why the Supervisors can do nothing about them.

Thereupon, Mr. Finn stated that the Park Commission had received no complaints. Supervisor Lewis had spoken about the sand box, but he had no recollection of any requests for equipment. No money had been allowed in the budget for equipment for the present fiscal year.

Supervisor Lewis then stated that if the Park Commission could not install a few slides and swings in public squares, perhaps the operation of said squares should be turned over to the Recreation Commission.

Thereupon, the entire subject matter was *referred to Finance Committee.*

Supervisor Mancuso then spoke of the matter of condition of the beach, from the Cliff House to Fleishhacker Pool, and stated that this was the third time the question had been brought to the attention of the Park Commission.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he was about to speak on that question.

The Chair then explained that he was referring to the Finance Committee the request that more money be appropriated for equipment in public parks and squares.

Supervisor Mead suggested that the Park Commission could find the small amount of money needed for purchase of necessary equipment.

Supervisor Lewis then took up the matter of the condition of the Beach. The people cannot enjoy the beach because of the dirty, filthy condition of the sand. The Park Commission should obtain a machine to keep the beach in a clean condition.

Mr. Julius Girod informed the Board of the difficulties in keeping the beach in a proper condition. The Park Commission has not a sufficient number of men to keep the beaches as they should be kept. The Commission had looked into the question of machines to keep the sand clean, but no such machines are available. The few machines that are in existence are home-made affairs.

*No action taken.*

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

Passed for Second Reading, as Amended.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 2.8, to Provide That Employees' Children Under Twelve Years of Age Be Charged One-Half Rate Presently Established for Adults.**

Bill No. 4241, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8, to provide that employees' children under 12 years of age be charged one-half the rate presently established for meals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2.8. **Charges and Deductions for Maintenance:** The compensations fixed herein for all employees whose compensations are subject to the provisions of Section 151 or Section 151.3 of the Charter, and including also those engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco, are gross compensations and include the valuation of maintenance provided such employees. Charges and deductions therefor for any and all maintenance furnished and accepted by employees shall be made and indicated on timerolls and payrolls in accordance with the following schedule of charges fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance. Provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters, waitresses, and other kitchen workers:

Single meal (breakfast) . . . . .	\$	.35
Single meal (other than breakfast) . . . . .		.50
		Per Month
1 meal per day (breakfast) . . . . .	\$	9.80
1 meal per day (other than breakfast) . . . . .		14.75
2 meals per day (breakfast and one other) . . . . .		24.55
2 meals per day (not including breakfast) . . . . .		29.50
3 meals per day . . . . .		39.30
Room or house . . . . .	\$10 per month or 35¢ per night	
Laundry . . . . .		2.50
Board, room and laundry . . . . .		51.80
Room, Hassler Health Home . . . . .		5.00
Board, room and laundry for Camp Assistants at Camp Mather and for employees for Boys' Ranch School (each) . . . . .		22.00
Room and board for adult dependents of employees at Boys' Ranch School (each) . . . . .		10.00

	Per Month
Family maintenance furnished the Director of Boys' Ranch School and Agricultural Instructor (each) . . . . .	42.00
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital (each) . . . . .	183.60
Complete family maintenance furnished the Physician and Assistant Superintendent, Medical; Laguna Honda Home . .	108.60
Complete family maintenance furnished the Physician Specialist and Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home (each) . . . . .	108.60
House furnished the Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division, of the Water Department and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (each) . . . . .	25.00
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department . . . . .	50.00
House furnished the Operating Engineer of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department . . . . .	25.00
House furnished the Operating Engineer of the Water Dept. . .	15.00
House furnished to Superintendent, Park Department . . . . .	50.00
House furnished to the Director of the Zoo, Park Dept. . . . .	25.00
House furnished to Junior Operating Engineer at the Murphy Windmill, Park Department . . . . .	25.00

provided, however, that for employees of the San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home and the Hassler Health Home the rate for meals shall be as follows:

Single meal . . . . .	\$ .35
	Per Month
1 meal per day . . . . .	\$10.00
2 meals per day . . . . .	16.50
3 meals per day . . . . .	22.50

And provided further that employees' children under 12 years of age shall be charged for meals one-half the rate applicable for the parents.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Brown called attention to the language in the foregoing bill, as presented, which would indicate that the City and County was employing children under twelve years of age.

Supervisor Mancuso explained the intent of the proposed legislation. There were only a few families affected by the legislation, he stated.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso, after discussion as to the proper wording to accomplish the desired effect and to make it clear that the children affected were children of employees of the City and County, and not employees themselves, moved that there be inserted in the title of the bill, and in the last paragraph thereof, just before the word "children," the word "employees'", making the title read:

"An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8, to provide that employees' children under 12 years of age be charged one-half the rate presently established for meals."

The last paragraph would read:

"And provided further that employees' children under 12 years of age shall be charged for meals one-half the rate applicable for the parents."

Motion seconded by Supervisor Brown.

There being no objection, the Chair declared the motion *carried* and the amendment approved.

Thereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended, and reading as above, was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Final Passage.**

**Appropriating \$2,403.50 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Compensation of Senior Clerk-Stenographer, at \$230-290 (f), Mayor's Office, Which Position Is Created. Also Abolishing Position General Clerk-Stenographer at \$285-230 (f)**

Bill No. 4249, Ordinance No. 4010 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,403.50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer at \$230-290 (f) in the Mayor's Office, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 (f).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,403.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 602.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer at \$230-290 (f) in the Mayor's Office, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 (f) in the Mayor's Office is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Sullivan—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Mayor's Office, by Setting Up New Item, Senior Clerk-Stenographer in Lieu of General Clerk-Stenographer.**

Bill No. 4254, Ordinance No. 4014 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 4, MAYOR, by decreasing the number of employments under item 6 from 8 to 7 B408 General Clerk Stenographer at \$185-230, and by adding item 7.1 1 B412 Senior Clerk Stenographer at \$230-290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 4, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 4. MAYOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Mayor .....	(b) \$833.33
1.1	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375

Section 4. MAYOR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor...	450
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor....	625
4	1	B76.1	Administrative Assistant to Mayor	600-720
4.1	1	B76.3	Administrative Analyst .....	420-500
5	1	B213	Usher, Mayor's Office .....	185-230
6	7	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> .....	185-230
7	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
7.1	1	B412	<b>Senior Clerk-Stenographer</b> . . . . .	230-290
8	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
8.2	2	G31	Administrative Technician .....	300-375
8.3	1	N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office .....	415-500
9	1	N404	Public Service Assistant .....	275-345
10	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney  
 Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Sullivan—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Items Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 1.22, Mayor's Office, by Authorizing Senior Clerk-Stenographer to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week in Lieu of General Clerk-Stenographer.**

Bill No. 4253, Ordinance No. 4013 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), section 1.22, MAYOR, by adding 1 B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours a week, and by deleting therefrom 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.22, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.22 **MAYOR**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	1	4
B454 Telephone Operator .....	1	4
O1 Chauffeur .....	1	4

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Sullivan—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claims of Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson and Legal Action on Said Claims for the Sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400).**

Bill No. 4251, Ordinance No. 4011 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claims of Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson and legal action on said claims for the sum of four hundred dollars (\$400).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows.

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended and the Police Commission having approved the settlement of the claims of Arvid Peterson and Mrs. Arvid Peterson and legal action on said claims instituted by action No. 202102 of the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California said Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson, as plaintiffs against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiffs as a result of an automobile accident occurring on the 27th day of December, 1944, at Seventeenth Avenue and Lawton Street, San Francisco, California, by payment to plaintiffs by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400) and said plaintiffs having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claims and action by the payment of said sum, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of Four Hundred Dollars (\$400) in favor of Mr. and Mrs. Arvid Peterson.

Recommended and Approved by the Acting Secretary, Police Commission.

Approved as to form by the Assistant City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Sullivan—2.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 6, City Attorney, by Adding 1 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545; by Adding 3 Principal Attorneys, Civil, at \$660; and by Deleting Items 8.1, 1 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545 and Item 9.1, 3 Principal Attorneys, Civil, at \$660.

Bill No. 4260, Ordinance No. 4015 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 6, CITY ATTORNEY, by increasing the number of employments under item 8 from 5 to 6 K6 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545; by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 6 to 9 K8 Principal Attorney, Civil, at \$660; and by deleting items 8.1 1 Senior Attorney, Civil, at \$545; and 9.1 3 Principal Attorney, Civil, at \$660.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 6, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		City Attorney .....	(b) \$833.33
2	1	B160	Law Clerk .....	230-290
2.1	1		*Receptionist .....	225
3	8	B410	Legal Stenographer .....	200-250
4	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
6	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer .....	515-615
7	3	K4	Attorney, Civil .....	430
8	6	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil .....	545
9	9	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil .....	660
10	3	K10	Head Attorney, Civil .....	800

Section 6. CITY ATTORNEY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
11	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil .....	900
12	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service...	1,250
12.1	1	S114	Claims Investigator .....	275-345

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission after investigation.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

13	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil (part time) at rate of .....	660
----	---	----	---	-----

Approved as to funds available (Appropriation 604.110.00) by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Sullivan—2.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$3,525 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund to Provide Additional Funds for the Maintenance of Sunset Boulevard and the Maintenance of Trees on Various Boulevards.**

Bill No. 4266, Ordinance No. 4016 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,525 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund to provide additional funds for the maintenance of Sunset Boulevard and the maintenance of trees on various boulevards.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,525 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations, to provide additional funds required for the maintenance of Sunset Boulevard and the maintenance of trees on various boulevards, due to insufficient funds being provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to pay chauffeurs and gardeners the rates of pay set forth in the 1946-1947 Annual Salary Ordinance:

*Appropriation*  
No.

647.908.00—Sunset Boulevard Maintenance.....	\$2,200
647.909.00—Boulevard Tree Maintenance.....	1,325

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Sullivan—2.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$2,500 From the Surplus existing in the Water Department Land Purchase Fund to Provide Funds for the Purchase of Land for Irvington Pump Station.**

Bill No. 4267, Ordinance No. 4017 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 from the surplus existing in the Water Department Land Purchase Fund to provide funds for the purchase of land for Irvington Pump Station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Water Department Land Purchase Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 90.600.66, to provide funds for the purchase of land for Irvington Pump Station.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Appropriating \$5,985 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Compensation for Positions Created in San Francisco Hospital as Follows: 1 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315; 2 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230. Abolishing Positions as Follows: 1 Bookkeeper at \$210-260; 1 Office Assistant at \$140-175; 1 General Clerk at \$185-230.**

Bill No. 4268, Ordinance No. 4018 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,985 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315 per month, and 2 B512 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230 per month, in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health which positions are created; abolishing the following positions in the same department: 1 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260 per month; 1 B210 Office Assistant at \$140-175 per month; 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,985 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of the following positions in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health, which positions are hereby created: 1 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315 per month; 2 B512 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230 per month.

Section 2. The following positions are hereby abolished in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health: 1 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260 per month; 1 B210 Office Assistant at \$140-175 per month; 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.



*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 58, San Francisco Hospital, by Setting Up Additional Positions, as Follows: 1 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315; 2 General Clerk-Typists at \$185-230. Deleting Positions as Follows: 1 Bookkeeper at \$210-260; 1 Office Assistant at \$140-175; 1 General Clerk at \$185-230.**

Bill No. 4243, Ordinance No. 4009 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL, by decreasing the number of employments under item 1 from 3 to 2 B4 Bookkeeper at \$210-260; by adding item 1.1, 1 B6 Senior Bookkeeper at \$260-315; by decreasing the number of employments under item 1.3 from 2 to 1 B210 Office Assistant at \$140-175; by decreasing the number of employments under item 2 from 12 to 11 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 9 to 11 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	<b>Bookkeeper</b> .....	\$210-260
1.1	1	B6	<b>Senior Bookkeeper</b> .....	260-315
1.2	1	B37	Assistant Superintendent (Adminis- trative), San Francisco Hospital	350-420
1.3	1	B210	<b>Office Assistant</b> .....	140-175
2	11	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> .....	185-230
3	2	B222	General Clerk (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
4.1	*1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
5	2	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
5.1	2	B309b	Key Punch Operator (Numerical)	160-200
6	11	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
9	1	B454	Telephone Operator (relief) at rate of .....	185-230
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
12	11	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
13	5	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
14	2	E108	Electrician .....	(i) 382.50

\*Funds provided for 2½ months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Appropriating \$2,185 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Compensation of 1 Teller in Treasurer's Office; Abolishing Position of General Clerk at \$185-230 in Same Office.**

Bill No. 4269, Ordinance No. 4019 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,185 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B102 Teller at \$230-290 per month in the office of the Treasurer which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the same office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,185 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 606.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B102 Teller at \$230-290 per month in the office of the Treasurer, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the office of the Treasurer is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Treasurer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 8, Treasurer, by Setting Up 1 Additional Teller at \$230-290, and Deleting 1 General Clerk at \$185-230.**

Bill No. 4252, Ordinance No. 4012 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 8, TREASURER, by increasing the number of employments under item 4 from 2 to 3 B102 Teller at \$230-290; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 7 from 4 to 3 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 8, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 8. TREASURER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Treasurer .....	(b) \$666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
4	3	B102	<b>Teller</b> .....	230-290
5	4	B104	Senior Teller .....	275-345
6	2	B108	Cashier A .....	385-460
7	3	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> .....	185-230
8	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Tax Rate Ordinance—Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1947.**

Bill No. 4275, Ordinance No. 4023 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the Fiscal year ending June 30, 1947.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1947, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of five and fifty-five hundredths (\$.55) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of five and fifty-five hundredths (\$.55) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of .....	\$1.606739
Unemployment Relief Reserve .....	.017533
Special Road Improvement .....	.000012
Lighting Public Streets and Buildings.....	.150632
	<hr/>
	\$1.774916
(b) For the General Funds, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the costs of elections, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by the State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, including one-half (\$.005) cent to meet the expenses of the Civil Service Commission and including one-half (\$.005) cent for the Art Commission for the purpose of maintaining a symphony orchestra, the rate of ..	.869243
For the Library Funds, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of .....	.092736
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of .....	.231787

For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of .....	.148002
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.030623
For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.028140
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund....	.023237
For Retirement System for City employees.....	.403225
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.562023
For Special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, rate of..	.036508
For Workmen's Compensation Fund.....	.012003
Airport Fund .....	.111692

TOTAL FOR MUNICIPAL PURPOSE.....\$4.324135

Compulsory School Tax for San Francisco Unified School District .....	1.225865
---	----------

TOTAL TAX RATE .....

\$5.55

Submitted by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Amending Annual Appropriation Ordinance for Fiscal Year 1946-1947 to Reflect Certain Revenue and Expenditure Appropriation Adjustments.**

Bill No. 4276, Ordinance No. 4024 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the Fiscal Year 1946-1947, Bill No. 4074, Ordinance No. 3858, to reflect certain revenue and expenditure appropriation adjustments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, that:

Section 1. The following revenue appropriations in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947, Bill No. 4074, Ordinance No. 3858, are hereby adjusted to read as follows:

Source of Revenue	From	To
General Fund .....	\$23,681,227	\$23,691,431
San Francisco Unified School District.....	6,089,497	6,941,403

*Receipts by Transfer*

General Fund .....	198,503	201,149
Employees' Retirement System .....	1,488,033	1,588,033
P. U. C. Lighting of Public Streets—H. L. P. .....	1,802,335	1,812,335

Section 2. The following expenditure appropriations in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947, Bill No. 4074, Ordinance No. 3858, are hereby adjusted to read as follows:

Appropriation No.	From	To
633.237.70—General Fund—Scavenger Service, S. F. Unified School District \$	22,588	\$ 25,234

<i>Appropriation No.</i>	<i>From</i>	<i>To</i>
672.860.00—Employees' Retirement System, Pensions and Retirement Allow- ances, S. F. Unified School Dis- trict .....	660,000	760,000
663.231.70—Lighting Public Streets & Build- ings, Heat, Light and Power, S. F. Unified School District.....	104,000	114,000
670 —S. F. Unified School District.....	16,246,849	17,510,939

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Submitted by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Consideration Continued.**

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

**Authorizing Sale of Approximately One Acre of Water Department Land in Town of Sunol, Alameda County.**

Bill No. 4244, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of approximately one acre of Water Department land in town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bond Street in the town of Sunol, said point being distant along said easterly line north 16° 32' east 356.60 feet from its intersection with the northerly line of Main Street, and running thence along said easterly line of Bond Street north 16° 32' east 153.55 feet to the most southerly corner of a 0.60 acre tract conveyed by the Western Pacific Railway Company to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 12, 1907, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of Alameda County January 24, 1908, in Book 1421 of Deeds at page 288; thence north 46° 08' west 109.72 feet along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract, said line also being the northeasterly line of Bond Street; thence along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract the following courses and distances: north 43° 55' east 125.00 feet; north 46° 08' west 50.00 feet; and north 43° 55' east 139.08 feet along said last mentioned boundary line and its northeasterly extension to a point on the westerly bank of Laguna Creek; thence along the westerly bank of Laguna Creek the following courses and distances: south 15° 28' east 76.71 feet; thence south 17° 10' west 127.93 feet; thence south 4° 16¼' east 106.67 feet; thence south 24° 28¼' east 145.35 feet; thence south 7° 18¾' west 25.02 feet to the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek; thence along the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek the following courses and distances: south 88° 45¼' west 107.58 feet;

thence north 74° 45½' west 54.09 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.989 acre, and being a portion of Parcel 65, Alameda County Lands, conveyed by Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Book 2350, Official Records, page 1 of Alameda County Records.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Laguna Creek and Sinbad Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*September 3, 1946—Consideration continued until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, *consideration was postponed until Monday, September 16, 1946.*

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore *Passed for Second Reading*, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

#### Ordering Improvement of De Haro Street Between Fifteenth and Alameda Streets, Including the Intersection of De Haro and Alameda Streets.

Bill No. 4272, Ordinance No. 4020 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same on De Haro Street between Fifteenth Street and Alameda Street, including the intersection of De Haro and Alameda Streets, by grading to official line and subgrade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 20, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeed-

ing installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

De Haro Street between Fifteenth Street and Alameda Street, including the intersection of De Haro Street and Alameda Street, and excepting those portions required by law to be kept in order by persons, companies or corporations having railroad tracks therein, by grading to the official line and subgrade, and by construction of the following items:

1. Grading (excavation).
2. 10-inch V. C. P. culvert.
3. Brick catchbasins, complete.
4. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.
5. Unarmored concrete curb.
6. Asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Block 3807, Lot 10.

Block 3912, Lot 1.

Block 3913, Lots 1, 3 and 4.

Block 3914, Lot 1.

Being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment books of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Ordering Improvement of De Haro Street (W $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Between Sixteenth Street and 200 Feet Northerly.

Bill No. 4273, Ordinance No. 4021 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

De Haro Street (W.  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) between Sixteenth Street and 200 feet northerly, by grading to official line and subgrade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the

specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

De Haro Street (W. 1/2) between Sixteenth Street and 200 feet northerly, by grading to official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

*Item No.            Item*

- 1. Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
- 2. Unarmored concrete curb.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of that certain lot delineated, designated and numbered as:

Block 3937, Lot 2,

Being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment books of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Dublin Street Between Persia and Russia Avenues.**

Bill No. 4274, Ordinance No. 4022 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Dublin Street between Persia Avenue and Russia Avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 8th day of July, 1946, by Resolution No. 5654 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Avenues; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

**DUBLIN STREET**

- Easterly line of, at Persia Avenue (the same being the present official grade) . . . . . 328.00 ft.
- Westerly line of, at Persia Avenue (the same being the present official grade) . . . . . 326.00 ft.



## DUBLIN STREET (Continued)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 220 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 329.74 ft.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 270 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 332.47 ft.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 320 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 339.65 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three  
described points.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 220 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 329.54 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 270 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 332.41 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 320 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 339.65 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three  
described points.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 385 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 351.89 ft.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 460 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 359.79 ft.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of 535 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 355.29 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three  
described points.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 385 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 351.89 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 460 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 359.79 ft.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of 535 feet  
southerly from Persia Avenue . . . . . 355.29 ft.

Vertical curve passing through the last three  
described points.

Russia Avenue northerly line (the same being the  
present official grade) . . . . . 346.00 ft.

On Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Ave-  
nues be changed and established to conform to  
true gradients between the grade elevations  
above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis,  
MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken  
up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Joseph Dorigo and  
Mary Dorigo.

Proposal No. 6007, Resolution No. 5809 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Joseph Dorigo and Mary  
Dorigo, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco,  
has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County  
of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created

a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Joseph Dorigo and Mary Dorigo; and

Whereas, said Joseph Dorigo and Mary Dorigo, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, David A. Barry, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Deletion of the Name of Eleanor Higby as a Responsible Relative Under the Old Age Security Act.**

Proposal No. 6008, Resolution No. 5810 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on June 21, 1945, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, did pass Resolution No. 4665 (Series of 1939), establishing a liability of certain persons as responsible relatives under the Old Age Security Act; and

Whereas, upon the list of names, as to which Resolution No. 4665 (Series of 1939) approved the recommendation of the Public Welfare Commission, "Determining the Liability of Responsible Relatives," there appeared the name of Eleanor Higby; and

Whereas, after further study it was ascertained that there was no liability on the part of Eleanor Higby to contribute to the support of her father; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That after deletion of the name of Eleanor Higby, from the list of names submitted and recommended by the Public Welfare Commission, "Determining the Liability of Responsible Relatives," which recommendation was approved by Resolution No. 4665 (Series of 1939), said recommendation and the list of names accompanying same be and is hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Recommended by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the State of California.**

Proposal No. 6009, Resolution No. 5811 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the second installment of the real property taxes for the year 1945-46, which became a lien on the first Monday in March, to-wit, March 5, 1945 and both installments of the real property taxes for the year 1946-47 which became a lien on the first Monday in March, to wit, March 4, 1946, on the following described property:

Lot 1, Block 765.

Said property was acquired by the State of California subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1945.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6012, Resolution No. 5812 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including, discontinuances, new recommendations, aid denials, increases and other transactions, effective August 1 and September 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Carlotta Garcia Figueroa.**

Proposal No. 6013, Resolution No. 5813 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Carlotta Garcia Figueroa, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Carlotta Garcia Figueroa; and

Whereas, said Carlotta Garcia Figueroa, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6014, Resolution No. 5814 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated September 10, 1946 of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of

indigent aid for the months of September and October, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Land Purchase—North Point Sewage Plant.

Proposal No. 6015, Resolution No. 5815 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Luccia Vlasich et al. or the legal owners to lot 8 in Assessor's Block 38 and Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 39, San Francisco, California, required for the North Point Sewage Treatment Plant and that the sum of \$9,000.00 be paid for said property from appropriation No. 81.028.58.1.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

The sum of \$9,000.00 required for the purpose of this Resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 4745, Series of 1939, for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings and, in as much as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to said property, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under said Resolution No. 4745, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Consideration Postponed.

Creating the San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps.

Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and providing for various civilian protection and service agencies thereunder; enumerating certain powers and duties and conferring additional powers and duties on certain municipal officers and employees in connection with civilian protection and relief from disaster; repealing Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939).

Whereas, experience during the war and peace has demonstrated the need for adequate disaster plans and for mobilization of the resources of the community to cope with such disaster; and

Whereas, the State of California has created a State Disaster Council to prepare a state disaster plan and to recommend mutual aid regions; and

Whereas, municipalities have legal authority (Constitution, Article XI, Section 11, and Military and Veterans Code, Section 1571, as amended by Statutes of 1945, Chapter 1024) to create disaster councils for the purpose of formulating local disaster plans, including mutual aid agreements; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. **Disaster.** As used in this ordinance, a disaster shall exist when proclaimed by the Commander. It shall include, but is not limited to, any extraordinary fire, flood, storm, epidemic, or earthquake, or any actual or threatened enemy attack or sabotage, which causes or threatens to cause loss of life or property and upon which occurrences the responsibility devolves upon the regularly constituted authorities for the maintenance of public peace and order and to preserve life and property.

Section 2. **The Disaster Council. Members.** The San Francisco Disaster Council is hereby created and shall consist of the following:

(a) The Mayor, who shall be Chairman.

(b) The Vice-Commander, who shall be the Chief Administrative Officer who, in the absence of or at the direction of the Commander, shall act on his behalf on matters within the purview of this ordinance.

(c) The Chiefs of Divisions as hereinafter provided.

(d) Such other representatives of civic, business, labor, veterans, professional, or other organizations as may be appointed by the Mayor.

Section 3. **Disaster Council. Powers and Duties.** It shall be the duty of the San Francisco Disaster Council and it is hereby empowered:

(a) To develop a plan for meeting any disaster. Such plan shall provide for the effective mobilization of all the resources of the community, both public and private.

(b) To prepare and recommend for consideration by the Board of Supervisors ordinances necessary to implement the disaster plan.

(c) To consider and recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval mutual aid plans and agreements.

The Disaster Council shall meet upon call of the Chairman.

Section 4. **Commander. Powers and Duties.** There is hereby created the office of Commander. The Mayor is designated as the Commander.

The Commander is hereby empowered:

(a) To proclaim the existence or threatened existence of a disaster and the termination thereof.

(b) To request the Governor to proclaim a state of extreme emergency (as defined in the Military and Veterans' Code of the State of California) in the area in and around the City and County of San Francisco when in the opinion of the Commander the resources of the community are inadequate to cope with the disaster.

(c) To govern and direct the efforts of the San Francisco Disaster Corps in the accomplishment of the purposes of this ordinance.

(d) To direct coordination and cooperation between the chiefs of divisions and resolve questions of authority and responsibility that may arise between them.

(e) To represent the Disaster Corps in all dealing with public or private agencies pertaining to disaster preparedness.

It shall also be the duty of the Commander and he is hereby empowered during a disaster or when a disaster is imminent:

(a) To make and issue rules and regulations on matters reasonably related to the protection of life and property affected by such disaster.

(b) To obtain necessary supplies, equipment and such other properties found lacking and needed for the protection of the life and property of the people, and to bind the City to reimburse for the fair value thereof.

(c) To require emergency services of any City officers or employees.

(d) To requisition necessary personnel or material or any City department or agency.

(e) To enter into agreements with private agencies for the performance of such special services and duties as may be necessary in the judgment of the Commander to carry out the provisions of this ordinance.

(f) To execute all of his ordinary powers as Mayor, all of the special powers conferred upon him by this ordinance and all powers conferred upon him by any other lawful authority.

**Section 5. Executive Officer.** There is hereby created the position of Executive Officer of the Disaster Corps. The Executive Officer shall be appointed by the Mayor. He shall be the Executive Secretary of the San Francisco Disaster Council and shall be Chief of the Division of Personnel and Recruitment.

**Section 6. Disaster Corps. General.** Officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, together with those volunteer forces enrolled to aid them during a disaster, and all groups, organizations and persons who may by agreement or operation of law be charged with duties incident to the protection of life and property in the City and County of San Francisco during such disaster, shall constitute the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

**Section 7. Divisions of Disaster Corps.** The functions and duties of the San Francisco Disaster Corps shall be distributed among the following divisions of such corps, each division to be under the direction of a chief and, in his absence, the first deputy and second deputy chief, respectively, who shall be appointed by the chief, and said division shall consist of the following forces, organizations, and services, and such other forces, organizations or services as may be included pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance. The Chiefs of Divisions shall organize and train volunteers assigned to such divisions by the Personnel and Recruitment division and shall formulate the division plan which, when approved by the Disaster Council, shall become an annex to the disaster plan. The Chief of Divisions shall include in the division plans recommended mutual aid agreements. The Purchaser of Supplies of the City and County of San Francisco shall be custodian of special equipment and other property obtained from any source for the use of the Disaster Corps. He is hereby authorized to act as the agent of this community to receive from any agency the loan of any such equipment or property upon such conditions as may be prescribed by such agency. He shall keep an account of the property entrusted to his control according to the terms of its acceptance, and where there are no terms provided by such agency, then upon such terms as the Commander may prescribe. He shall keep records of the receipt and distribution of such property and may issue or distribute such property only to the persons entitled thereto under the rules of the Corps. Any property now held

by him for the use of the Citizens' Protective Corps shall be transferred to the use of the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

The Divisions shall consist of:

(a) *Police Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief of Police, who shall be Chief of the Police Division. The division shall consist of police personnel and the Police Reserve (volunteers).

(b) *Fire Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, who shall be Chief of the Fire Division. The division shall consist of Fire Department personnel and the Auxiliary Fire Service (volunteers).

(c) *Medical Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of Health Department personnel, medical and hospital units, ambulance units, receiving hospital personnel, the Coroner's department, and medical service volunteers. The Director of Public Health shall be the Chief of this division.

(d) *Public Works Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel of the Department of Public Works and auxiliary personnel (volunteers). The Director of Public Works shall be the Chief of this division.

(e) *Utilities Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Manager of Utilities who shall be Chief of the Utilities Division. The division shall consist of personnel of the utilities repair service and other utilities department personnel and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(f) *Communications Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel and equipment of the Department of Electricity and of the Ultra Shortwave Service and auxiliary personnel (volunteers) and such other City personnel engaged in communications work. The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be Chief of this division.

(g) *Personnel and Recruitment Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Executive Officer who shall be Chief of this division. It shall be the duty of this division to recruit all volunteer personnel, to enroll and register and classify such personnel (in accordance with the regulations adopted from time to time by the California State Disaster Council), to keep adequate records thereof, and to assign such personnel to other divisions of the Disaster Corps. The executive officer may establish and operate a volunteer office to accomplish these purposes.

(h) *Welfare Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Director of Public Welfare who shall be Chief of the Welfare Division. This division shall consist of personnel of the Public Welfare Department and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(i) *American Red Cross.* The American Red Cross in the City and County of San Francisco will furnish food, clothing, shelter, registration and information service, supplementary medical service when requested and rehabilitation to individuals and families affected by a disaster. The American Red Cross will provide funds with which to finance all of its relief operations. The chief of this division will be the chairman of the City of San Francisco Chapter of the American Red Cross, or a delegated representative thereof.

Section 8. **Volunteers.** All persons, other than officers and employees of the City, volunteering services pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall serve without compensation from the City. While engaged in such services, they shall have the same immunities as officers and employees of the City performing similar duties.

Section 9. **Punishment of Violations.** It shall be a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not to exceed \$500.00, or by imprisonment for not to exceed six months, or both, for any person during a disaster:

(a) Willfully to obstruct, hinder or delay any member of the Disaster Corps in the enforcement of any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, or in the performance of any duty imposed upon him by virtue of this ordinance;

(b) To do any act forbidden by any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, if such act is of such a nature as to give, or be likely to give assistance to the enemy, or to imperil the lives or property of other inhabitants of this City, or to prevent, hinder or delay the defense or protection thereof;

(c) To wear, carry or display, without authority, any means of identification specified by the Disaster Council.

Section 10. Bill No. 2498, Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939) is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee reported that one of the Auxiliary Police Reserve members had suffered an injury, and he inquired as to where funds would come from to take care of such injury. Does the State make money available, or is hospitalization the only assistance the City and County grants.

Supervisor Lewis also called attention to the injury about which Supervisor MacPhee had spoken. After reporting on the seriousness of the injury, he stated that he understood that the City and County was to take care of such situation.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved that further consideration be postponed until Monday, September 16, 1946.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Approving a Certain Lease Entered Into by the Park Commission and Lee Dougan, Dated September 14, 1946.

Bill No. 4296, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Approving a certain lease entered into by the Park Commission and Lee Dougan, dated September 14, 1946.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve a certain lease dated September 14, 1946, and entered into by and between the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco and Lee Dougan, wherein and whereby there has been leased to said Lee Dougan for the period of nine and one-half months commencing on the 14th day of September, 1946, and ending on the 30th day of June, 1947, for the purpose of operating a golf practice range



and selling golf merchandise, said real property under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission described as follows, to wit:

That certain parcel of land lying within a tract of land bounded on the north and west by Sloat Boulevard and Sunset Boulevard as shown on Map No. 4011, entitled: "Map Showing Property for Proposed Lease, Vicinity of Sloat Boulevard, Skyline Connection," and described as follows, to wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sloat Boulevard distant thereon 318.33 feet westerly from the center line of 37th Avenue produced southerly (said line of Sloat Boulevard assumed to have a bearing of south 86° 59' 00" west), said point of beginning being the point of beginning of a curve 750 feet radius and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Sloat Skyline Connection Road on a curve of 750 foot radius, central angle 47° 30' 00", a distance of 621.774 feet; thence S. 39° 29' 00" W. along said line of Sloat Skyline Connection, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 117.29 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along said line of Sloat Skyline Connection on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius 541.109 feet central angle 18° 31' 48", a distance of 175.00 feet; thence S. 78° 45' 24" E. a distance of 937.398 feet; to a point on the easterly line of a service road; thence N. 1° 22' 14" W., a distance of 365.72 feet; thence N. 44° 20' 29" W. 66 feet; thence N. 37° 50' 29" W. 44 feet; thence N. 9° 00' 29" W. 56 feet; thence N. 15° 09' 31" E. 141 feet to the southwesterly line of a Sloat Boulevard Sunset Boulevard connecting road; thence northwesterly and westerly along said connecting road on a curve to the left radius 230 feet, central angle 44° 20' 31" a distance of 178 feet, to the southerly line of Sloat Boulevard and the point of beginning; containing 9.67 acres more or less.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Re-referred to Committee.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$50,625 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds for the Compensation of 25 H2 Firemen at \$225-250 Per Month in the Fire Department, Which Positions Are Created; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4295, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50,625 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the compensation of 25 H2 firemen at \$225-250 per month in the Fire Department, which positions are created: an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50,625 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.110.01, to provide funds for the compensation of 25 H2 Firemen at \$225-250 per month in the Fire Department, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The employment of this additional personnel is necessary to carry out nightly inspections of all night clubs and places of assemblage, as well as for more thorough inspections throughout the city, for the purpose of enforcing strict adherence to the Fire Code and statutes for the protection of persons and property from fire; to carry out a campaign of education through direct contact with owners, lessees, tenants of the property and business establishments for the elimination of conditions which are or may become fire hazards or dangerous.

San Francisco population has increased by approximately 200,000, causing an overcrowded housing condition. To take care of this increase in population, buildings which were erected many years ago for one-family purposes have been converted into multiple family dwellings. Old type residences consisting originally of two or three stories are now converted into housekeeping rooms, and buildings originally constructed as two or three flat dwellings are now converted into apartment houses or rooming houses. These occupancies now contain approximately four times the number of people formerly accommodated, thereby increasing the hazards.

Building construction and alterations have increased considerably and are still rising. Areas within the Sunset, Parkside, Merced, Lakeside, Potrero, Hunters Point and Bay View districts are being rapidly built upon. This large portion of San Francisco is being covered by two inspectors.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read communication from the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, urging that additional data be obtained in connection with the Fire Department's request for 25 additional men.

Supervisor Mancuso, Chairman of the Finance Committee, stated that the Finance Committee had had no opportunity to have a hearing on this matter. Nothing was presented to show the need for this increased number of Inspectors. No opportunity was given to study this matter at all. He would vote against the bill unless he was given information as to the need. He wanted to know how the additional men would be detailed, how they would be paid, etc.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved re-reference to Finance Committee. Motion seconded by Supervisor Brown.

Supervisor Mead announced that the other members of the Finance Committee should be heard from before the motion should be entertained. He did not know what more information Supervisor Mancuso or any other member of the Board needed. All they have to do is to look at the facts in order to learn why the Fire Department needs additional men. There is enough information. These men are for protection of life and limb; of course they will cost money. But the people will be willing to pay that cost. He urged the Board to vote down the motion to re-refer.

Supervisor Lewis joined with Supervisor Mead in his views. He believed that the majority of the Finance Committee were right in

recommending the matter to the Board. There is merely a question of the Chairman of the Finance Committee being outvoted. The Fire Chief and the Fire Commission appeared before the Finance Committee and requested the 25 additional Inspectors. Apparently there is a question of dollars and cents against life and limb.

President Sullivan, of the Fire Commission, addressed the Board. He had received a copy of the letter from the Bureau of Governmental Research. He had explained to Mr. Smith, of that Bureau, that these men were only on a temporary basis, and he had satisfied him as to what he wanted to know. Thereupon, he read a section of the Charter, dealing with the Fire Department, its duties and responsibilities, and pointed out that the Charter superseded any ordinance.

Supervisor Colman remarked that he was under the impression that the additional employees were to be on a permanent basis.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he, too, thought the same.

Mr. Frank Kelly, Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, explained that the City and County was divided into eleven districts, and during the past five years there have been not more than six men covering those districts. It is planned to reduce the size of these districts. The Commission intends to have 15 additional men appointed on a permanent basis, and needs 10 additional men for inspection of night clubs and places of general assembly. These 10 men, he understood, were to be on a temporary basis, and only until some of the present hazardous conditions can be abated.

Supervisor Colman stated that he believed the Board was entitled to know what the additional men were to be used for. The explanation by the members of the Fire Commission must be satisfactory.

President Sullivan reported that there had been difficulty in getting manpower, but that the Fire Department is now in a position where it can obtain additional men.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that it was only in carrying out the duties of the Finance Committee, as he understood them, that he had questioned the discussed matter. However, there was no Salary Ordinance amendment presented, and the foregoing bill could not become effective until such ordinance was passed.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to Finance Committee *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—5.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—5.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Supervisor Colman expressed regret that the motion to re-refer had failed to carry, thus necessitating voting of the appropriation. He had never known of a request by a chairman of a committee for re-reference of a matter to his committee, being denied. There was no desire to block passage of the bill at all.

Supervisor Mead declared that he did not know what more information could be obtained by re-referring the matter to committee. Generally he would be willing to grant such request by the chairman of any committee, but this was an exception.

Supervisor Mancuso held that nothing would be lost by re-reference to committee; there was no salary ordinance amendment before the Board. He did want additional information. He desired to hear from the men who make the inspections; he wanted to find out how they felt about the legislation—what they think about the need for 25 additional men.

Supervisor Lewis reported that there was a full and complete hearing in Finance Committee. Questions were asked and answers were given. Evidence was presented.

Supervisor Brown stated that he felt to return the matter to committee was a courtesy to the chairman of the committee. However, he would vote for the additional firemen. As to the number of additional men, the Fire Department is the best judge as to how many are needed. He believed the Board might well accept the assurance that the permanent employees would be kept to a minimum.

Supervisor MacPhee agreed with Supervisor Brown. Full inspection of these premises is desired. However, if the appropriation were voted nothing could take place unless an accompanying salary ordinance amendment was passed by the Board. If it should be necessary to vote for the appropriation, he would vote for it, of course, and he believed all the members of the Board would so vote.

Supervisor Lewis held that matter should not be sent back to committee unless some benefit is to be gained thereby.

Fire Commissioner Sullivan, in reply to questioning on the matter, stated that he did not believe a week's delay would hinder the matter in any way. He had no objection to such delay. However, the Fire Commission could not present any more evidence than has already been presented.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher announced that if there was no objection by President Sullivan, he would not oppose a week's delay.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved for re-reference to Finance Committee.

The Roll was called and the motion to re-refer the matter to Finance Committee was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray—8.

Noes: Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Re-referred to Committee.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

### THE MAYOR

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 25 thereof, relating to the Mayor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 25 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### THE MAYOR

Section 25. The mayor shall be the chief executive officer of the city and county upon whom process issued by authority of law shall be served. He shall be an elective officer and shall be paid a salary of [ten] *fifteen* thousand dollars [(\$10,000)] (*\$15,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may

remove, an executive secretary and one confidential secretary, and one stenographer. The board of supervisors may annually appropriate additional sums to be expended by the mayor for purposes and duties incidental to the administration of the office of mayor, which shall be subject to the provisions of this charter relative to appropriations and the payment of claims. He shall, at the first meeting of the board of supervisors in January of each year, communicate by message to the supervisors a general statement of the condition of the affairs of the city and county, and recommend the adoption of such measures as he may deem expedient and proper.

The mayor shall be responsible for the enforcement of all laws relating to the municipality and for the review and submission of the annual executive budget; he shall supervise the administration of all departments under boards and commissions appointed by him; he shall receive and examine, without delay, all complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the city and county, and immediately inform the complainant of findings and actions thereon; and he shall coordinate and enforce cooperation between all departments of the city and county. The mayor shall have power to postpone final action on any franchise that may be passed by the supervisors until such proposed franchise shall have been voted on at the next election.

The mayor shall appoint such members of boards or commissions and other officers as provided by this charter. [He shall also make an ad-interim appointment of a qualified person to fill any vacancy occurring by reason of the expiration of a term.] He shall also appoint for the unexpired term of the office vacated, a qualified person to fill any vacancy occurring on any such board or commission and in any elective municipal office. [including the office of municipal court judge.]

The mayor shall have a seat but no vote in the board of supervisors and in any board or commission appointed by him, with the right to report on or discuss any matter before such board or commission concerning the departments or affairs in his charge. He shall have power to designate a member of the board of supervisors to act as mayor in his absence. Should he fail, neglect or refuse so to do, the supervisors shall elect one of their number to act as mayor during his absence. When a vacancy occurs in the office of mayor, it shall be filled for the unexpired portion of the term by the supervisors. Every person who has served as mayor of the city and county, so long as he remains a resident thereof, shall have a seat in the board of supervisors and may participate in its debates, but shall not be entitled to a vote or to compensation.

In case of a public emergency involving or threatening the lives, property or welfare of the citizens, or the property of the city and county, the mayor shall have the power, and it shall be his duty, to summon, organize and direct the forces of any department in the city and county in any needed service; to summon, marshal, deputize or otherwise employ other persons, or to do whatever else he may deem necessary for the purpose of meeting the emergency. The mayor may make such studies and surveys as he may deem advisable in anticipation of any such emergency.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.

September 3, 1946—Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

No objection and so ordered.

**CITY ATTORNEY**  
**CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 26 thereof, relating to the City Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 26 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

**CITY ATTORNEY**

Section 26. The city attorney shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [ten] *fifteen* thousand dollars [(**\$10,000**)] (*\$15,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (**\$10,000**). He shall appoint and at his pleasure may remove[,] all [**assistants and employees**] *assistant attorneys* in his office. He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office. He must, at the time of his election, be an elector of the city and county, qualified to practice in all the courts of this state, and he must have been so qualified for at least ten years next preceding his election.

The city attorney must represent the city and county in all actions and proceedings in which it may be legally interested, or, for or against the city and county, or, any officer of the city and county in any action or proceeding, when directed so to do by the supervisors, except where a cause of action exists in favor of the city and county against said officer. When any cause of action exists in favor of the city and county, the city attorney shall commence the same when within his knowledge or when directed so to do by the supervisors. He shall give his advice or opinion in writing to any officer, board or commission of the city and county when requested. Except as otherwise provided in this charter, he shall not settle or dismiss any litigation for or against the city and county, unless, upon his written recommendation, he is ordered so to do by [**ordinance**] *resolution of the board of supervisors*.

The city attorney shall prepare, or approve as to form *and legality*, all ordinances before they are enacted by the supervisors. He shall approve, by endorsement in writing, the form of all of official or other bonds required by this charter or by ordinance before the same are submitted to the proper commission, board or office for final approval, and no such bonds shall be finally approved without such approval as to form by the city attorney. Except as otherwise in this charter provided, he shall prepare in writing the draft or form of all contracts before the same are executed on behalf of the city and county. He shall examine and approve the title of all real property to be acquired by the city and county.

He shall keep on file in his office copies of all written communications and opinions, also all papers, briefs and transcripts used in matters wherein he appears; and books of record and registers of all actions or proceedings in his charge in which the city and county or any officer or board thereof, is a party or is interested.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.*

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## ASSESSOR

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 28 thereof, relating to the Assessor.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 28 thereof, relating to the Assessor, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## ASSESSOR

Section 28. The assessor shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [**eight**] *twelve* thousand dollars [**(\$8,000)**] (*\$12,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, one chief assistant or deputy and one confidential secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.*

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 29 thereof, relating to the District Attorney.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 29 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Section 29. The district attorney shall be an elective officer and shall receive an annual salary of [**eight**] *fifteen* thousand dollars [**\$8,000**] (*\$15,000*). He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He must, at the time of his election, be qualified to practice in all the courts of this state and must have been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, all assistants and employees in his office. *He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office.*

The district attorney, either in person or by his assistants, shall prosecute all criminal cases in the municipal and superior courts, draw all complaints, and issue warrants for the arrest of persons charged with crime who are to be prosecuted in such courts.

Any amount required by the district attorney from time to time from the district attorney's special fund shall be requisitioned by the district attorney, stating the general purpose for which required, whereupon the controller shall draw his warrant therefor and the claim be paid as provided for payment of other warrants by the treasurer. All such sums may be used by the district attorney solely as provided by general law and he shall file vouchers with the controller at the end of each fiscal year showing what disposition he has made of any moneys received by him from such fund and the particular purpose for which it was disbursed, provided that, if a criminal proceeding be pending or under investigation, vouchers for moneys disbursed in such proceeding or investigation need not be filed until the trial of the criminal proceeding be ended or the investigation concluded. No portion of the fund shall be used for compensation or remuneration of full time assistants or employees.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.*

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## TREASURER

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 31 thereof, relating to the Treasurer.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 31 thereof, relating to the Treasurer, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## TREASURER

Section 31. The treasurer shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of **[eight]** *ten* thousand dollars **[\$8,000]** (*\$10,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, one chief assistant.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.*

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*



## SHERIFF

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 32 thereof, relating to the Sheriff.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 32 thereof, relating to the Sheriff, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## SHERIFF

Section 32. The sheriff shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of [eight] *ten* thousand dollars [**\$8,000**] (*\$10,000*) per year. Said salary shall be exclusive of the compensation received by him from the state for the delivery of prisoners to the state prisons, and insane persons to the state asylums for the insane. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove, an attorney, one under sheriff, and one confidential secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.*

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## PUBLIC DEFENDER

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 33 thereof, relating to the Public Defender.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 33 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## PUBLIC DEFENDER

Section 33. The public defender shall be an elective officer and shall receive a salary of [eight] *ten* thousand dollars [**\$8,000**] (*\$10,000*) per year. He shall furnish an official bond in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). He must, at the time of his election, be qualified to practice in all the courts of this state and must have been so qualified for at least five years next preceding his election. He shall appoint, and at his pleasure may remove [**such assistants and employees**] *all assistant attorneys* in his office. [**as may be provided by budget and appropria-**

tion ordinances.] He shall immediately upon the request of a defendant who is financially unable to employ counsel, or upon order of the court, defend or give counsel or advice to any person charged with the commission of a crime. *He shall devote his entire time and attention to the duties of his office.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

August 26, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 3, 1946.*

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 10, 1946.*

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Ordered Submitted as Amended.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

## BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 thereof relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees, which shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Section 151.3. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter, whenever any groups or crafts establish a rate of pay for such groups or crafts through collective bargaining agreements with employers employing such groups or crafts and such rate is recognized and paid throughout the industry and the establishments employing such groups or crafts in San Francisco, and the civil service commission shall certify that such rate is generally prevailing for such groups or crafts in private employment in San Francisco pursuant to collective bargaining agreements, the board of supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty to fix such rate of pay as the compensations for such groups or crafts engaged in the city and county service. [The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year providing the civil service commission has so certified such rate of pay to the board of supervisors on or prior to the first day of April preceding.] *The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be determined on the basis of rates of pay certified by the civil service commission on or prior to April 1st of each year and shall be effective July 1st following; provided, that the civil*

service commission shall review all such agreements as of July 1st of each year and certify to the board of supervisors on or before the second Monday of July any modifications in rates of pay established thereunder for such crafts or groups as herein provided. The board of supervisors shall thereupon revise the rates of pay for such crafts or groups accordingly and the said revised rates of pay so fixed shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which the said revisions are determined.

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter the wages of platform employees and bus operators of the Municipal Railway shall be determined and fixed, annually, as follows:

(A) On or before the second Monday of July of each year the civil service commission shall certify to the board of supervisors the two highest wage schedules in effect on July 1st of that year for platform employees and bus operators of other street railway systems in the State of California;

(B) The board of supervisors shall thereupon fix wage schedules for platform employees and bus operators of the Municipal Railway which shall be the average of the two highest wage schedules so certified by the civil service commission; provided, if the average of the two highest wage schedules shall be less than the rates of pay fixed for such service in the Salary Standardization Ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors on March 18, 1946, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for such service which shall be the same as the rates fixed for such service in the said ordinance;

(C) When, in addition to their usual duties, such employees are assigned duties of instructors of platform employees they shall receive 20c per hour above the rates of pay fixed for platform employees as herein provided;

(D) The rates of pay so fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which such rates of pay are certified by the civil service commission;

(E) Platform employees and bus operators shall be paid one and one-half times the rate of pay fixed as herein provided for all work performed on six days specified as holidays by ordinance of the board of supervisors for such employees.

Within 30 days after the ratification of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for the fiscal year 1946-47 for the crafts and groups subject to the provisions of this section and which wage schedules shall be effective commencing July 1, 1946.

Not later than the 25th day of July in each year the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the Annual Budget, to amend the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, not later than thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the Annual Budget, to amend the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to include the provisions necessary for paying from July 1, 1946, the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 out of such funds as the controller certifies are available.

## Amended.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that the foregoing proposed charter amendment be amended by inserting in the second line of paragraph (C), immediately following the words "platform employees," the words "or bus operators," and in the same paragraph, in the last line, immediately following the words "platform employees," there be inserted the words "and bus operators," making that paragraph, as amended, read as follows:

"(C) When, in addition to their usual duties, such employees are assigned duties of instructors of platform employees or bus operators they shall receive 20¢ per hour above the rates of pay fixed for platform employees and bus operators, as herein provided;"

Assistant City Attorney, Dion Holm, suggested that in the second paragraph of Subsection (E) the figures "30" be spelled out, and that the figures "1946-1947" be inserted in lieu of the figures "1946-47," as originally written.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he would include the additional suggested amendments in his motion. Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

Motion *carried* and amendments *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Thereupon, Mr. Dion Holm announced that the Civil Service Commission had suggested an additional change in Subdivision (E). He did not think the amendment at all necessary, nor could it do any harm.

No motion to so amend was made.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the proposed charter amendment, as amended, and reading as follows, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 thereof relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees, which shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Section 151.3. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter, whenever any groups or crafts establish a rate

of pay for such groups or crafts through collective bargaining agreements with employers employing such groups or crafts, and such rate is recognized and paid throughout the industry and the establishments employing such groups or crafts in San Francisco, and the civil service commission shall certify that such rate is generally prevailing for such groups or crafts in private employment in San Francisco pursuant to collective bargaining agreements, the board of supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty to fix such rate of pay as the compensations for such groups or crafts engaged in the city and county service. [The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year providing the civil service commission has so certified such rate of pay to the board of supervisors on or prior to the first day of April preceding.] *The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be determined on the basis of rates of pay certified by the civil service commission on or prior to April 1st of each year and shall be effective July 1st following; provided, that the civil service commission shall review all such agreements as of July 1st of each year and certify to the board of supervisors on or before the second Monday of July any modifications in rates of pay established thereunder for such crafts or groups as herein provided. The board of supervisors shall thereupon revise the rates of pay for such crafts or groups accordingly and the said revised rates of pay so fixed shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which the said revisions are determined.*

*Notwithstanding the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter the wages of platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway shall be determined and fixed, annually, as follows:*

(A) *On or before the second Monday of July of each year the civil service commission shall certify to the board of supervisors the two highest wage schedules in effect on July 1st of that year for platform employees and bus operators of other street railway systems in the State of California;*

(B) *The board of supervisors shall thereupon fix wage schedules for platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway which shall be the average of the two highest wage schedules so certified by the civil service commission; provided, if the average of the two highest wage schedules shall be less than the rates of pay fixed for such service in the salary standardization ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors on March 18, 1946, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for such service which shall be the same as the rates fixed for such service in the said ordinance;*

(C) *When, in addition to their usual duties, such employees are assigned duties of instructors of platform employees or bus operators they shall receive twenty (20c) cents per hour above the rates of pay fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided;*

(D) *The rates of pay so fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which such rates of pay are certified by the civil service commission;*

(E) *Platform employees and bus operators shall be paid one and one-half times the rate of pay fixed as herein provided for all work performed on six days specified as holidays by ordinance of the board of supervisors for such employees.*

*Within thirty days after the ratification of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for the fiscal year 1946-1947 for the crafts and groups subject to the provisions of this section and which wage schedules shall be effective commencing July 1, 1946.*

*Not later than the 25th day of July in each year the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.*

*Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, not later than thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to include the provisions necessary for paying from July 1, 1946, the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 out of such funds as the controller certifies are available.*

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Ordering Submission of Proposed Amendment to Initiative Ordinance Regulating Refuse Collection and Disposal.

The Board of Supervisors hereby orders submitted to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, an ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted at an election held in said City and County November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance," by amending Sections 6 and 16 of said ordinance, as follows:

Bill No. 4259, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

**Initiative ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted by the electors on November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance," by amending Sections 6 and 16 thereof, relating to the rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by refuse collectors and the records to be kept by collectors."**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, entitled as recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse as herein defined, by refuse collectors, shall be as follows:

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from the ground floor:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .60	\$ .75	\$1.00	\$1.30
5 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.35
6 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.40
7 .....	.75	1.00	1.15	1.45
8 .....	.80	1.10	1.30	1.50
9 .....	.85	1.15	1.35	1.60
10 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.75
11 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.80
12 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from second floor, one stairway above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .65	\$ .85	\$1.05	\$1.35
5 .....	.75	.90	1.15	1.45
6 .....	.75	.95	1.30	1.50
7 .....	.80	1.05	1.35	1.60
8 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.70
9 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.75
10 .....	1.00	1.35	1.50	1.85
11 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90
12 .....	1.05	1.50	1.75	2.05

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from third floor, two stairways above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .85	\$1.10	\$1.40
4 .....	.70	.85	1.35	1.45
5 .....	.75	.90	1.50	1.50
6 .....	.75	.90	1.60	1.70
7 .....	.90	1.30	1.70	1.80
8 .....	.95	1.40	1.80	1.85
9 .....	1.00	1.50	1.90	2.00
10 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.10
11 .....	1.05	1.60	2.15	2.25
12 .....	1.10	1.75	2.25	2.35

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from fourth floor, three stairways above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .95	\$1.35	\$1.60
4 .....	.70	.95	1.50	1.85
5 .....	.85	1.05	1.70	2.00
6 .....	.90	1.10	1.80	2.10
7 .....	1.00	1.45	1.90	2.20
8 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.30
9 .....	1.05	1.70	2.15	2.40
10 .....	1.05	1.80	2.25	2.50
11 .....	1.10	1.90	2.35	2.65
12 .....	1.25	2.05	2.45	2.75

## Monthly rates from apartment houses:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.				
	(6)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
10	\$2.40	\$1.90	\$1.70	\$1.50	\$1.40
20	4.50	3.90	3.50	3.10	2.90
30	6.30	5.10	4.70	4.10	....
40	7.80	6.90	5.90	....	....
50	9.00	8.15	6.90	....	....
60	10.00	9.20	....	....	....
70	11.00	10.30	....	....	....
80	12.00	11.20	....	....	....
90	13.00	12.10	....	....	....
100	14.00	12.90	....	....	....
110	15.20	....	....	....	....

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
120	\$16.30	370	\$43.00
130	17.40	380	45.00
140	18.50	390	46.00
150	19.60	400	47.00
160	20.70	410	47.20
170	21.80	420	48.30
180	22.90	430	49.40
190	24.00	440	50.50
200	25.10	450	51.60
210	26.00	460	52.70
220	27.00	470	53.80
230	28.00	480	54.90
240	29.00	490	56.00
250	30.00	500	57.10
260	31.00	510	58.20
270	32.00	520	59.30
280	33.00	530	60.40
290	35.00	540	61.50
300	36.00	550	62.60
310	37.00	560	63.70
320	38.00	570	65.80
330	39.00	580	65.90
340	40.00	590	67.00
350	41.00	600	68.00
360	42.00		

The rates for more than 600 rooms in any one apartment house shall be subject to contract between the owner or lessee of the apartment house and a duly licensed refuse collector.

In determining the number of rooms of any household, building or apartment in order to ascertain the rate for the collection and disposition of refuse therefrom, halls, alcoves, storerooms, bathrooms, closets and toilets shall not be considered as rooms, nor shall basements or attics be considered as rooms unless the same be occupied as living quarters.

Any collection and disposition charges not specifically set forth herein shall be subject to contract between the producer and a duly licensed refuse collector.

Section 2. Section 16 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 16. During the month of January each year the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall survey and examine into the rates to the producer for the collection and disposition of refuse, with a view to a reduction in such rates, and upon completion thereof shall report his conclusions to the Board of Super-



visors. The Board of Supervisors may by a two-thirds vote reduce the rates upon receipt of said report when found to be justified, and may increase said rates, but not to exceed the rates herein set forth. The Board of Supervisors shall have no other right to amend this ordinance. Each collector holding a permit shall keep such records as may be required to produce the information necessary for the purposes of this section. The records shall be made available to the Controller at his request.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read a communication from Mr. L. R. Jackson, protesting certain increases in scavenger rates.

The Clerk presented and read, also, communication from Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, submitting statement of reasons which impelled the scavenger companies to request revision of rates.

The Clerk presented and read another communication from Joseph S. Connelly, requesting examination of conditions as they exist in local scavengers' companies.

The foregoing communications were *ordered filed*.

The Clerk presented and read a communication from the Controller, calling attention to certain language added to the proposed amendment to the Initiative Refuse Collection Ordinance.

*Ordered filed.*

Mr. Robert E. Burns, representing two of the scavenger companies, addressed the Board, explaining the need for increased rates for the collection of refuse. Mr. Burns, in reply to request to interpret provision in Section 16, relating to the reduction of rates by the Board of Supervisors, and also to possible increase of rates, stated that he could give his opinion as to the meaning of that particular portion of Section 16, but suggested that such interpretation should come from the City Attorney. The sentence for which interpretation was requested read as follows: "The Board of Supervisors may by a two-thirds vote reduce the rates upon receipt of said report when found to be justified, and may increase said rates, but not to exceed the rates herein set forth."

On again being asked as to his interpretation of that sentence, Mr. Burns stated that he understood it to mean that the Board might, by a two-thirds vote, reduce the rates, but to increase the rates would require only a majority vote. However, he suggested again that the City Attorney's opinion as to the meaning of the sentence should be sought rather than his opinion just stated.

Thereupon, Mr. Walter Peddicord, Assistant City Attorney, on being requested to express his understanding of the sentence, agreed with the interpretation by Mr. Burns. "The two-thirds vote," he stated, referred specifically to the method of reduction of rates.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher stated that he felt that increases in rate should be made with the same vote as decreases, and he moved to amend by inserting the words "by a two-thirds vote," just before the words "increase said rates." He also moved to substitute the word "shall" for the word "may" in both places where the word "may" appeared in the sentence quoted.

Supervisor Mancuso was opposed to the proposed amendment. The substitution of the word "shall" for "may" would make the Controller a dictator. However, he would offer as a substitute motion, that the words "by a majority vote" be inserted between the words "may" and "increase," making the sentence read: "The Board of Supervisors may by a two-thirds vote reduce the rates upon receipt of said report when found to be justified, and may, by a majority vote, increase said rates, but not to exceed the rates herein set forth."

No second to the motion.

Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved re-reference to Committee.

Supervisor Colman announced that if the matter should go back to committee there were some further points that he would like to have brought up. He had noted the estimated amount that the increased charges would give to the scavengers, amounting to \$288,000, or more than one-half the capital invested. This is a large increase for the companies, regardless of the number of men affected.

Mr. Burns, in answer to remarks by Supervisor Colman, that although the increased income for companies he represented would amount to some \$240,000, there would be new employments of 75 men, at a minimum salary of \$200 per month, or \$180,000 per year. In addition to that would be social security payments, overhead charges, and new equipment. The figures of income and outgo would begin to meet each other. As for rates proposed for apartment houses, those collections have really been carrying part of the load for residential districts. Central collections can be performed more efficiently than collections from residences. Forty cents per month does not begin to pay the cost of collection from individual houses.

Supervisor Colman, however, stated that he thought it would be fairer to apply increases all along the line, rather than to residences alone.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer—9.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Sullivan—2.

#### Adopted.

#### Declaring That All Proposed Charter Amendments Offered for Submission at the November 5, 1946, Election Must be Filed With the Board of Supervisors Not Later Than September 16, 1946.

Proposal No. 6017, Resolution No. 5816 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, in connection with proposed Charter amendments which are ordered submitted to the electorate by this Board of Supervisors, it has been pointed out by the City Attorney that practical difficulties are encountered in checking all said proposed amendments from the standpoints of language and constitutionality; and

Whereas, Section 158 of the Charter directs that, before ordering submission to the electorate of any proposed Charter amendment which proposes a change in the benefits under the Retirement System, the Board of Supervisors shall secure through the Retirement Board an actuarial report of the cost and effect thereof; and

Whereas, in order to properly discharge the obligations imposed by the Charter with respect to proposed Charter amendments, it is necessary that any proposed amendments be filed with the Board of Supervisors sufficiently in advance of the last day authorized by law for the submission of such amendments; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby declare as a matter of policy that it will accept for consideration as to submission on the November 5, 1946, ballot, only those proposed Charter amendments filed with said Board during or prior to its regular meeting to be held Monday, September 16, 1946; and, be it

Further Resolved, That all prospective filers of proposed Charter amendments which are offered for submission to the electorate at the election to be held November 5, 1946, be and they are hereby put on notice that rigid adherence will be accorded the policy herein established.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Re-referred to Committee.

The following, from Judiciary Committee with recommendation that it be re-referred to said committee, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

## FIXING BY SALARY STANDARDIZATION OF SALARIES OF CERTAIN ELECTIVE OFFICERS

Section 151.1. Notwithstanding any other provisions or limitations of this charter, the compensations of all elective and appointive officers of the city and county, except members of the board of supervisors and of other boards and commissions, the superintendent of schools and officers of the police and fire departments, shall be fixed in accordance with the salary standardization provisions of this charter.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *re-referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Colman, Mead.

**Amending City Planning Code to Permit Establishments for Hand Ironing, Employing Not More Than 5 Persons, in Commercial Districts.**

Bill No. 4297, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5, Article I, Chapter II, (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to zoning regulations in commercial districts, by adding thereto a provision permitting establishments for hand ironing, of not more than five (5) employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5, Article I, Chapter II (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 5. Commercial District.** In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered, which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

- (a) Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof;
- (b) Bakeries employing more than five (5) persons;
- (c) Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments;
- (d) Bottling works;
- (e) Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel;
- (f) Warehouse and storage houses;
- (g) Marble, granite, stone or monumental works;
- (h) Contractors' plant or storage yard;
- (i) Cooperage;
- (j) Laundry;

- (k) Lumber yard;  
 (l) Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District;  
 (m) Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building;  
 (n) Provided, further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper;
2. Light Industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park;
3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges;
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department;
5. *Establishments for hand ironing only and not employing more than five (5) employees.*

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 of this Article shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Provided, further, that the restrictions herein provided shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9 of this Article in so far as existing non-conforming uses are concerned.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher announced that he understood there were citizens interested in the foregoing matter who had additional data to present. Because of that, he would request that the matter be re-referred to committee.

Supervisor Colman, Chairman of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, objected to re-reference to committee. The matter had been heard very thoroughly. He would move that the matter remain on the Calendar for two weeks.

Supervisor Christopher accepted the suggestion, and seconded the motion for two weeks' postponement.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion for postponement was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, MacPhee.

**Amending Section 1078, Article 16, Chapter VII, Part II, Municipal Code, by Changing Time Limitation Within Which Applicant Shall Comply With Certain Provisions Required for Granting of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for Operation of Motor Vehicles for Hire.**

Bill No. 4299, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1078, Article 16, Chapter VIII, (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the time within which applicants shall comply with certain provisions required for the granting of certificates of public convenience and necessity for the operation of motor vehicles for hire, by providing that such time may be extended by the Police Commission not more than

thirty days during the unlimited national emergency created by the Presidential Proclamation, No. 2487 of May 27, 1941.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1078, Article 16, Chapter VIII (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 1078. Findings by Police Commission—Procedure.** If the Police Commission finds from its investigation and hearing that public convenience and necessity justify the operation of the motor vehicle or motor vehicles for which licenses or permits are requested, it shall notify the applicant of its findings, and within sixty (60) days thereafter the applicant shall furnish to the Police Commission any and all additional information which may be required and if the said Police Commission then finds that the applicant is the owner of the vehicle or vehicles for which license or permit is requested, and that such vehicle meets with the requirements as prescribed by the provisions of the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco, and all of the rules and regulations enacted by the Board of Supervisors and the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, it shall thereupon issue to said applicant a certificate of public convenience and necessity and the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco shall thereupon issue to said applicant a license or permit, or licenses or permits, for the operation of such vehicle or vehicles. For the duration of the unlimited national emergency created by Presidential Proclamation No. 2487, May 27, 1941, the period of sixty (60) days herein provided, may in the discretion of the Police Commission be extended an additional thirty (30) days, whenever in the judgment of the Police Commission the applicant has made a showing that performance of the foregoing requirements has been prevented or hindered because of such emergency.

If the Police Commission finds from such investigation and hearing that the public convenience and necessity do not justify the operation of the vehicle for which license or permit is requested, it shall forthwith notify the applicant of said finding.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Jerd Sullivan, President of the Police Commission.**

Proposal No. 6025, Resolution No. 5817 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jerd Sullivan, President of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of September 27 to October 26, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Leave of Absence—Charles W. Dullea, Chief of Police.

Proposal No. 6028, Resolution No. 5818 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Charles W. Dullea, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period September 16 to October 7, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Leave of Absence—Mr. George W. Kemper, Member of the Public Library Commission.

Proposal No. 6029, Resolution No. 5819 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. George W. Kemper, a member of the Public Library Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period September 22 to October 12, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

Granting Leave of Absence—Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Member, Board of Supervisors.

Proposal No. 6030, Resolution No. 5820 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period September 11 to September 16, 1946, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

## ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Action in Ordering Submission Rescinded.

Defining Retirement Provisions—Miscellaneous Officers and Employees.

Supervisor MacPhee called attention to an inadvertent omission from the proposed amendment, as ordered submitted. Its inclusion has been recommended by the Actuary for the Retirement Board, and the Municipal Conference, as well as by the employee groups affected. For that reason, the action taken on September 3, 1946, whereby the Board ordered submitted to the electorate on the ballot for November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 165.2, "Defining Retirement Provisions—Miscellaneous Officers and Employees," should be rescinded, and he would so move. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

No objection, and action rescinded.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee stated: "On behalf of the Judiciary Committee, I now move that there be ordered submitted to the

electorate on the ballot for November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 165.2, 'Retirement—Miscellaneous Officers and Employees,' copy of which I now hand the Clerk. This document reflects the recommended changes in the proposed charter amendment."

Supervisor MacPhee requested the Board to approve his motion, and to give the proposed amendment the Number 3 position on the ballot.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that he would not agree to Number 3 position on the ballot, and he would object to consideration without reference to committee.

Thereupon, Supervisor Brown moved reference to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that the Judiciary Committee had agreed to the proposed amendment.

However, the roll was called and the motion to refer to Judiciary Committee was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, Meyer—6.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead—4.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

The Clerk presented:

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.1, Fire Department (Continued), by Increasing the Number of Employments Under Item 8 From 990 to 1015 H2 Fireman at (b \$225-250.

Bill No. 4308, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.1, FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 8 from 990 to 1015 H2 Fireman at (b \$225-250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12.1 **FIRE DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
8	1015	H2	Fireman, 1st year.....	(b \$225
			2nd year .....	(b 233.33
			3rd year .....	(b 241.66
			4th year .....	(b 250
9	34	H10	Chief's Operator .....	(b 275
10	3	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines .....	(b 275
11	124	H20	Lieutenant .....	(b 300
11.1	*2	H20	Lieutenant .....	(b 300
12	73	H30	Captain .....	(b 325
13	26	H40	Battalion Chief .....	(b 425
14	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	460-550
15	1	H44	Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation .....	355-425
16	7	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer .....	(b 500
17	1	H152	Inspector of Fire Department Apparatus .....	240-300
18	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of..	460

\*Funds provided for 9 months only.

Referred to Finance Committee.

## Inspections and Permits.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Supervisor Lewis moved that the Board rescind its action taken on Tuesday, September 3, 1946, whereby it had ordered submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco a proposed amendment to Section No. 24 of the Charter. Motion seconded by Supervisor Brown.

In support of his motion to rescind, Supervisor Lewis stated that the Board had approved submission of the amendment to the electors, believing that it was necessary to pass such amendment in order, some time in the future, to pass a sales tax. He believed that the members of the Board had so voted, convinced that they were correct. However, he did not think they were correct. Section 24 deals only with license taxes, Supervisor Lewis continued. It is very specific.

The framers of the Charter had put in a safeguard in the last paragraph of the Section, in prohibiting the imposing of any license tax "on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power." The Board of Supervisors was induced to take out that safeguard from the Charter and to insert other language.

Supervisor Lewis held that the sales tax was not a license tax and could not possibly be so interpreted. The charter amendment heretofore ordered submitted, if approved by the people, will open the door to license taxes of all kinds for any business. That is all wrong. There is no reason for passing such an enabling provision under the guise that "we may some day pass a sales tax."

Supervisor Brown opposed any license for revenue measure. On several occasions, he stated, we have seen new forms of taxation with the promise of reduction of ad valorem taxes, but there has been further increases of ad valorem taxes. The California Sales Tax was to bring about a reduction in the ad valorem tax; the sales tax has continued, but there has been no benefit to the ad valorem tax payer. Each new form of taxation is simply a means of getting more money, and it does not result in reduction of the ad valorem tax. In his opinion, he stated, it never had and never would. He was opposed to licensing for revenue, and he urged that the Board rescind its previous action.

Supervisor Mancuso urged that the entire matter be postponed until the next meeting of the Board. Mr. Rosenthal was not available, nor was Mr. Ross, the Controller. If the action should be rescinded at this time, the Controller would not be present to hear the entire story. Supervisor Mancuso stated that he was in favor of licensing for revenue, and he had facts to support his views, but he did not have them at the present time. Therefore, he moved that consideration of the motion to rescind be postponed until the next meeting of the Board.

Supervisor Mead announced that he had no objection to postponing the matter for a week, in the event the Board rescinded its action.

Supervisor Lewis declared that when voting for submission of the charter amendment, the only issue was that the Board might want sometime to vote for a sales tax. There was nothing in the amendment that had anything to do with a sales tax. The only argument was on a sales tax.

Supervisor Colman stated that the remarks by Supervisor Lewis were correct, in his own case. He was not altogether in favor of a sales tax. However, he would suggest that the entire matter be put over. If action on the motion to rescind could not be postponed, he would suggest that the motion be withdrawn.



Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis, with the consent of his second, withdrew his motion.

**Providing for Official Tribute to Dead of World War II Whose Bodies Are to Be Shipped Through San Francisco.**

Proposal No. 6031, Resolution No. 5821 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, many of the bodies of those who heroically died in battle in World War II will soon be entering San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is fitting and proper that these men who made the supreme sacrifice should be paid an official tribute; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco pay official tribute to these silent heroes in a manner appropriate to the grandeur of their heroism and the magnitude of their service and thus, in the very fullest measure of our capacity to do so, acknowledge what they have done and what they have sacrificed.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Requesting Navy to Permit Motorists to Use Portion of Yerba Buena Island as an Observation Point.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 6032, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it would be desirable for visitors to San Francisco, as well as residents of both sides of San Francisco Bay, to be able to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island for an observation post for the purpose of viewing the ships entering and leaving the harbor, the San Francisco skyline and the beauty of San Francisco Bay, both by day and night; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the United States Navy Department to give serious consideration to a plan that will permit motorists to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island, in San Francisco Bay, as an observation point; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to James Forrestal, Secretary of Navy, Senator William F. Knowland, Senator Sheridan Downey, Representative Richard J. Welch and Representative Franck R. Havenner.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**Authorizing Clerk to Correct Clerical Errors in Charter Amendments Ordered Submitted to Voters.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6033, Resolution No. 5822 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That, without in any manner changing the substance of those amendments to charter sections proposed for submission or ordered submitted to the electorate on the November ballot, the Clerk of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to make such changes in spelling, punctuation and capitalization as are necessary to have such proposed amendments conform to the form and style of present charter sections and to correct such errors or omissions as will not in any manner affect the substantive content of such proposed amendments.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Endorsing State Proposition No. 1 on November Ballot, Providing for Bond Issue to Purchase Homes and Farms for Veterans of World War II.**

Presented jointly by all members of the Board:

Proposal No. 6034, Resolution No. 5823 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, between the years 1922 and 1946 the State of California has issued bonds totaling over \$100,000,000 for the purchase of homes and farms for its veterans; and

Whereas, the administration of this fund by the Department of Veterans' Affairs has enabled thousands of veterans to become the owners of homes and farms without any cost to the State; and

Whereas, Proposition No. 1 on the November 5th ballot authorizes an additional bond issue in the sum of \$100,000,000 for the purchase of homes and farms for veterans of World War II; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in the opinion of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco the proposed bond issue is for the best interest of the veterans of the recent war and the State of California and this Board does hereby endorse Proposition No. 1 and urges its members and the citizens of the State of California to vote for its adoption.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—10.

Absent: Supervisor Sullivan—1.

**Resolution Requesting the Abandonment of Industrial Property Used by United States Marine Corps for Storage of War Materials.**

Supervisor Mead presented:

Proposal No. 6027, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the United States Marine Corps has during the war leased and occupied approximately a 94-acre parcel of land, including street areas within the City and County of San Francisco, which land is situated in the industrial area of the city and used for the storing of ammunition and other war material in the area designated, which constitutes a hazard to life and property; and

Whereas, there are but 2495 acres of land zoned for industrial uses within the City and County and 1503 acres are presently in use by industry and railroads, and the remaining unused acreage is presently needed, and demand has arisen for the remaining acreage to be used by industry; and

Whereas, there is pending in the United States District Court in and for this Northern District of California, Southern Division, an action instituted by the United States to acquire the fee to the land in question but as of the date of the passage of this resolution no declaration of taking the said land has been filed in the action referred to; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a committee consisting of the Mayor, the President of this Board, Congressman Richard J. Welch and Congressman Franck R. Havenner, represent the City and County of San Francisco and that they make known the objections the city has to the continued occupancy, of approximately 94 acres, by the United States Marine Corps of the land within the industrial district of the City and County to the Commanding Officer of the United States Marine Corps, the Commandant of the Twelfth Naval District, and to the Secretary of Navy. The committee shall request that the United States Marine Corps abandon the land herein referred to and that no

Declaration of taking of the land in question be filed in the United States District Court proceedings until the city may designate a site outside the industrial area that would be suitable for the uses and purposes of the United States Marine Corps.

*Referred to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.*

#### Allowance for Widows of Veteran Police Officers.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto section No. 168.2 relating to allowances to widows of police officers deceased after the effective date of such section.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be designated section 168.2, as follows:

Section 168.2. Upon the death after retirement of a member of the police department, as defined in the charter for purposes of the retirement system, or if death occurs before retirement and after qualification for service retirement, and if an allowance is not payable to his surviving wife under any other section of the charter, regardless of whether such allowance is subject to adjustment under the workmen's compensation laws of California, a monthly allowance, beginning on the date next following the date of death, shall be paid to his surviving wife, throughout her life, or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but was not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the monthly retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had retired for service on the day of death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If an allowance be payable under this section, no benefit otherwise provided at death before retirement, shall be paid.

Upon the death of any person, retired prior to the effective date of this section, as a member of the police department, as defined in the charter for purposes of the retirement system, and in receipt of a retirement allowance on the effective date hereof, and provided that an allowance is not payable to his surviving wife under any other section of the charter, regardless of whether such allowance is subject to adjustment under the workmen's compensation laws of California, his retirement allowance shall be continued to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage.

No allowance shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the decedent at least one year prior to the date of his retirement, or one year prior to death if death occurs prior to retirement.

This section shall be effective on the first day of the month next following its ratification by the legislature of the State of California.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Supervisor Colman presented:

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said City and County by amending Section 134, relating to the Board of Education.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said City and County by amending Section 134 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletion

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Section 134. All of the public schools of the school district of the city and county shall be under the control and management of a board of education composed of seven commissioners, who shall be nominated by the mayor and shall be subject to confirmation or rejection by vote of the electors as in this section provided, and who shall be subject to recall, and to suspensions and removal in the same manner as elective officers, as provided by this charter. The term of each member shall be five years, commencing on the 8th day of January following their respective nominations, provided that each such five-year term shall begin at the expiration of the respective terms of members existing at the time this charter shall go into effect. The compensation of each member shall be fifteen dollars (\$15) per day when the board is in session and ten dollars (\$10) per day when engaged in committee work under the direction of the board, provided that the total amount for such session or committee work for the whole board shall not exceed six thousand dollars (\$6,000) for any fiscal year, and that only those actually attending a session or doing such committee work shall be entitled to compensation therefor.

Nominations of members of the board of education shall be made, subject to confirmation by the electors, by the filing by the mayor, with the registrar of voters between the [1st] *first* and the [10th] *tenth* day of September in each year prior to the expiration of the term or terms of members, the name of one qualified citizen, or two, as the case may be, to serve as a member or members, respectively, of said board for the regular term or terms commencing on the 8th day of January in the succeeding year.

The form of ballot shall be as provided in section 184 of this charter and if a majority of the qualified electors voting on said nomination or nominations shall vote in favor thereof, said nomination shall be confirmed and the person or persons named shall take office on the 8th day of January next following. If a majority of the electors vote "No," the nomination shall stand rejected, and such person shall not be eligible for nomination as a member of the board of education for a period of at least three years. *If a majority of the electors vote "No," the mayor shall appoint a qualified citizen to serve as a member of the board until the 8th day of January following the next general election or general municipal election, whichever shall first be held. Between the first and tenth day*

September before such general election or general municipal election, the mayor shall nominate, subject to confirmation by the electors at such election, as herein provided, a qualified citizen to serve as a member of the board for the remainder of the five year term for which the nomination first made by the mayor was elected. Vacancies otherwise occurring on said board shall be filled by the mayor at the unexpired terms.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

#### Benefits to Dependents of Employees Killed in Line of Duty.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the charter of said city and county by adding Section 168.3 thereto, relating to the members of the Fire and Police Departments, the Salvage Corps and pilots, marine engineers and marine firemen of fireboats.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held herein on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be designated as Section 168.3, as follows:

*SEC. 168.3. If a member of the fire or police departments, as defined in the charter for the purposes of the retirement system, or a member of the salvage corps in the fire department, or any person employed by the city and county to perform duties now performed under the titles of pilot of fireboats, marine engineer of fireboats, or marine firemen of fireboats, all of whom are hereafter designated members, shall die before or after retirement as a result of an injury received, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance, in lieu of any allowance payable under any other section of the charter or by ordinance, shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of the average monthly compensation earnable by said member during the three years immediately preceding death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of such average monthly compensation. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased*

*member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this sub-section to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.*

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Supervisor Meyer presented:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

#### CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS

Section 95. The construction, reconstruction or [repair] alteration of public buildings, streets utilities or other public works or improvements, and the purchasing of supplies, materials and equipment, when the expenditure involved in each case shall exceed the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided by this charter. It shall constitute official misconduct to split or divide any public work or improvement or purchase into two or more units for the purpose of evading the contract provisions of this section. In an emergency, provided an actual emergency be declared by the board of supervisors to exist, and when authorized by resolution of said board, any public work or improvement may be executed in the most expeditious manner.

Any public work or improvement estimated to cost less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) may be performed under contract or written order or by the employment of the necessary labor and purchase of the necessary materials and supplies directly by the city and county. Any public work or improvement executed by the city, other than routine *maintenance* or repair work, shall be authorized by the chief administrative office or by the heads of departments not under the chief administrative officer, only after detailed estimates have been prepared and submitted by the head of the department concerned. There shall be separate accounting for each work or improvement so executed, which accounting shall include all direct, indirect and supervisory elements of cost chargeable to such work or improvement, and each cost accounting shall be reported to the chief administrative officer, or to the mayor when such work shall have been performed by departments not under the chief administrative officer. All such accounts shall be reported to the controller. Any public work or improvement costing less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and not performed by the use of city and county labor, materials, and supplies shall, if not performed under contract, be covered by written order or agreement which shall be based on not less than three bids, notice of which shall be given by three days' posting. Records of such bids shall be kept by the department.

When the expenditure for any public work or improvement *other than routine maintenance or repair work* shall exceed the sum of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), the same shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided in this charter. The head of the department in charge of or responsible for the work or which a contract is to be let, or the purchaser of supplies in the case of purchases of materials, supplies and equipment, shall let such contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder not less than ten days after advertising by publication for two consecutive days for sealed proposals for the work, improvement or purchase contemplated. Each such advertisement shall contain the reservation of the right to reject any and all bids. The officer responsible for the awarding of any such contract shall require from all bidders information concerning their experience and financial qualifications, as provided by general law relative to such investigations authorized by departments of public works.

The purchaser of supplies with the approval of the chief administrative officer, or the department head concerned with the approval of the board or commission to which he is responsible, may reject any and all bids and re-advertise for bids.

The department head or the purchaser of supplies, as the case may be, shall have power to sign such contract for the estimated expenditures thereunder not in excess of two thousand dollars (\$2,000). Any contract involving the expenditure of over two thousand dollars (\$2,000), if for the purchase of materials, supplies or equipment, shall require the joint approval of the purchaser of supplies and the chief administrative officer. If such contract is for any public work or improvement, it shall require the joint approval of the department head and the chief administrative officer relative to departments under his jurisdiction, or the signature of the department head and the approval by resolution of the board or commission concerned for departments not under the chief administrative officer.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure whereby appropriate city and county departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under contract. If such bid is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department. Accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the controller monthly and on the completion of the work.

In any case where the lowest gross price or unit cost bid is not accepted, and a contract is entered into with another bidder, written report shall be made to the chief administrative officer, the mayor and the controller by the officer authorized to execute the contract, with the reasons for failure to accept such lowest bid.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

## DECLARATION OF POLICY

The Clerk presented:

### **SUBMITTING TO ELECTORS A DECLARATION OF POLICY REGARDING THE APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE FACILITIES FOR THE FARMERS' MARKET.**

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at

an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, the following Declaration of Policy and directs that the Registrar of Voters place said Declaration of Policy upon the ballot at said election, so that the electors can express their preference for or against said Declaration voting "Yes" or "No" thereon, to-wit:

The Supervisors shall appropriate funds to provide adequate facilities for the Farmers' Market, fees being collected to defray operating and maintenance costs and to reimburse the city for capital expenditures.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Compensation for Members of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Colman discussed briefly the question of compensation for members of the Board of Supervisors. He had heard much criticism, he stated, of the fact that the Board of Supervisors had ordered submitted two proposed charter amendments to provide for increases in their pay. He thought it was a mistake to have ordered submitted the second amendment; it was giving up home rule. In conclusion, he requested the members of the Board to give the matter their serious thought.

#### Request for Opinion From City Attorney.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that the City Attorney be asked to render an opinion as to the declaration of Flag Day as a holiday, by the Mayor.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

#### Suggested Regulation for Night Clubs and Places of Public Congregation.

Supervisor Christopher informed the Board that in New York City almost every club or place of public congregation adheres strictly to the fire laws and has signs posted indicating the maximum number of people who can be accommodated. Regulations and inspections are very strict. He believed San Francisco should consider some such regulation.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:00 p. m., adjourned.


JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 21, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.











Monday, September 16, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 16, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Brown was excused from attendance at 6:40 p. m.

Supervisor Lewis was excused from attendance at 7:40 p. m.

Supervisor MacPhee was excused from attendance at 7:50 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 5, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk and acted on as noted:

From the Winterland Corporation, concerning rental for present site of Farmers' Market at Duboce and Market Streets.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Registrar, advising of shortage of paper for printing pamphlets containing proposed charter amendments.

*Referred to Chief Administrative Officer, at his request.*

From California Mission Trails Association, Ltd., inviting attendance at rally and dinner, San Jose, September 26th, 7:00 p. m.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From John G. Brucato, requesting privilege of floor for purpose of replying to certain remarks of Supervisor Christopher in connection with operation of Farmers' Market.

Privilege of the floor *granted*.

From the Mayor, returning unsigned Proposal No. 6034, endorsing State Proposition No. 1 on the November ballot.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Superintendent of Schools, thanking Board for its expression of commendation for work accomplished by summer vacation schools.

*Ordered filed.*

From Construction and General Laborers' Union Local No. 261, urging purchase of air compressor by Department of Public Works at earliest possible date.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From The Wesleyan Service Guild, Temple Methodist Church, endorsing Supervisors' condemnation of objectionable and indecent literature.

*Ordered filed.*

From Downtown Mission Street Committee, suggesting improvements for movement of traffic.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From the San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, urging further consideration of problem of driver training in the public schools.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

From the Chief Probation Officer, Juvenile Court, transmitting statistical report for the Juvenile Court Department, fiscal year 1945-46.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From eleven separate addressors, expressing views in connection with acquisition of land in Sunset District for a Community Center.

*Ordered filed.*

From Central Council of Civic Clubs, opposing expenditures for replacements of tracks on Market Street at the present time.

*Ordered filed.*

From Civic League of Improvement Clubs, urging enactment of legislation to provide for reconstruction of inner Market Street railway tracks.

*Ordered filed.*

From Mr. C. M. Smith, presenting plan of Magic Carpet Coach System of motor coach operation on Market Street, and the elimination of streetcars.

*Ordered filed.*

From several retired employees of the City and County, jointly urging their inclusion in any plan for liberalization of retirement benefits.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Mayor, transmitting his views and copies of correspondence in connection with proposed charter amendment providing increased pay and shorter hours for firemen and policemen.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Mr. Geo. M. Mann, suggesting that garbage collection and disposal service should be operated by the municipality.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Resignation of Arthur M. Brown, Jr., as Member of the Board of Supervisors.

The Clerk presented, and read to the Board, communication from Supervisor Brown, notifying the Board of his resignation as Supervisor, to become effective at midnight, September 16, 1946. Ac-



companying the communication to the Board, was copy of letter of resignation, addressed to his Honor the Mayor, stating the reasons for the resignation, and expressing regret that the request of his private business compelled the resignation.

Supervisor Brown addressed the Board briefly, stating that the Mayor had accepted his resignation. His resignation, Supervisor Brown stated, had caused him considerable personal grief. He had enjoyed working with all his colleagues on the Board.

Supervisors Colman, Christopher and Mead all expressed regret at Supervisor Brown's resignation. The City and County will sustain a great loss by his going, Supervisor Colman stated, and the remaining members of the Board will always remember with great pleasure the many happy and constructive years spent with him on the Board.

In closing, Supervisor Colman suggested that the Board adopt, and present to Supervisor Brown, a properly embossed resolution expressing the Board's warmest regards to Supervisor Brown, and regret at his leaving.

#### Resolution Expressing Regret of Board of Supervisors at Resignation of Supervisor Brown.

Proposal No. 6045, Resolution No. 5841 (Series of 1939) as follows:

Whereas, by reason of the tender and acceptance of his resignation, on Monday, September 16, 1946, the Board of Supervisors witnessed the departure from its midst of Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.; and

Whereas, on the same day that the new Charter became effective, January 8, 1932, Supervisor Brown was inducted into office and brought with him to make manifest, during his sixteen years of public service, that spirit of progress and that sound business acumen which were necessary to the successful execution of its provisions; and

Whereas, while a member of the Board of Supervisors, for seven years Supervisor Brown also served upon the directorate of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and, in large measure, is responsible for the successful operation of that colossal enterprise; and

Whereas, throughout his long tenure in public office each of the colleagues of Supervisor Brown felt and expressed profound admiration for him and for his courteous but firm perseverance in the attainment of those objectives which his considered judgment decreed necessary and desirable; and

Whereas, the People of the City and County of San Francisco and the members of the Board of Supervisors as well as all others in the official family will sorely miss Supervisor Brown—his gentlemanly mien and his sound guidance in legislative affairs; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon this occasion, the departure of the Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr., from the legislative halls of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors makes manifest its sincere regret and expresses the ardent hope that his private life shall be graced, in abundance, with health, happiness and prosperity; and be it

Further Resolved, That an engrossed copy of this resolution be prepared and transmitted to the Honorable Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Excused: Supervisor Brown—1.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. John Brucato, pursuant to written request and in accordance with ruling by the President, made at the meeting of August 19, 1946, was granted the privilege of the floor to reply to statements made by Supervisor Christopher, at the meeting of August 12, 1946.

Mr. Brucato explained at length the receipt by him of War Bonds of the amount of \$800 instead of \$1,000, as mentioned in the Board at the meeting of August 12th. He explained the disposition made of said bonds, which, he pointed out, was a matter of public record.

As to the Farmers Advisory Board, he understood that Supervisor Christopher had stated, at the meeting of August 12, 1946, that there should be an official Farmers Advisory Board, and that the present so-called unofficial advisory board should be done away with. As far as the official Farmers Advisory Board, there may be as many such boards as the City and County sees fit. As to the so-called Farmers Advisory Board, that was organized as a citizens group to protect the interests of the Farmers Market and it would continue to serve in that capacity as long as a Farmers Market existed.

Supervisor Christopher stated that the minutes of the meeting of August 12th, reported in detail what took place at that meeting. No charges were made at that meeting.

Mr. Brucato stated, in reply to questioning by Supervisor McMurray, that he was receiving no compensation for his activities in connection with the Farmers Market. The unofficial advisory board had nothing to do with the running of the market. It is interested only in the welfare of the market, and it will fight the opponents of the market when any opportunity occurs.

Supervisor Christopher reported that the only direct statement made thus far, was the statement entered in the record which states that six members of the Board were influenced by money. At no time has Mr. Brucato been charged with misfeasance or malfeasance. However, the only charge that has been made, was made by those on Mr. Brucato's side of the question.

Thereupon, in closing, Supervisor Christopher thanked Mr. Brucato for being present and stated that his explanation was satisfactory.

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

#### Adopted.

The following from Finance Committee, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up:

Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Tract of Land in Sunset District.

Proposal No. 5842, Resolution No. 5824 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Quintara Street with the westerly line of Thirty-seventh Avenue; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Quintara Street 860 feet to the easterly line of Fortieth Avenue; thence northerly along last named line 1280 feet to the southerly line of Ortega Street; thence easterly along last named line 310 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue; thence continuing easterly along the southerly line of Ortega Street 32 feet 6 inches; thence at

a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 175 feet more or less to a point on a line parallel with and perpendicularly distant 32 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-eighth Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line 100 feet to a point on the southerly line of Ortega Street; thence easterly along last named line 32 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Thirty-eighth Avenue; thence continuing easterly along the southerly line of Ortega Street 310 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-seventh Avenue; thence southerly along last named line 1280 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Assessor's Blocks 2095, 2097, 2157, 2158, 2159 and part of Assessor's Block 2096, also portions of Thirty-eighth Avenue and Thirty-ninth Avenue and a portion of Pacheco Street.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For public school, recreation, library and other municipal purposes.

It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said property.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

After said real property has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, it is understood that title to an area within the boundaries of the above described tract of land, equivalent to three Sunset blocks, shall be transferred to the San Francisco Unified School District.

The cost of said real property shall be paid from the following appropriations in a total amount not to exceed \$265,000, unless an additional authorization is secured:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>	<i>Department</i>	<i>Amount</i>
613.600.04	Recreation .....	\$ 95,000
614.600.00	Library .....	20,000
670.600.00	Board of Education.....	150,000
		<hr/>
		\$265,000

- Recommended by the Recreation Commission.
  - Recommended by the Library Commission.
  - Recommended by the Board of Education.
  - Approved by the Director of Property.
  - Approved by the City Planning Commission.
  - Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
  - Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- July 8, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mancuso, Chairman of the Finance Committee, explained the reason for the Finance Committee's "Do Not Pass" recommendation. The majority members of the committee desired to reduce the area of the proposed Sunset Community Center from six blocks to four blocks. The City Planning Commission had advised the Finance Committee that the only way the Sunset Community Center could have the cooperation of other departments was the approval of the six-block program as submitted by the other departments. He had disagreed with the recommendation of the

Finance Committee. He had approved the six-block program. He had the names of about fifteen people who desired to speak on the project.

The builders opposed the six-block program. Their opposition was the only opposition voiced. No civic body or organization was opposed. It would be the greatest tragedy to the City and County to accept the recommendation of the majority of the Finance Committee, he felt.

Supervisor Lewis addressed the Board, stating that Supervisor Mancuso was the one member of the Finance Committee who originally voted against any appropriation. Supervisor Mead and he had voted for the appropriation, and the Board voted for the appropriation. Both Supervisor Mead and he were in favor of a community center for the Sunset District, and he did not think there was a man on the Board who was opposed to an area for children to play in. No one is opposed to bigger and better schools, or to the type of plan that has been proposed. He was not opposed himself to that plan. He wanted to see bigger and better community centers for the children of San Francisco, whether in the Sunset District or in any other district. If during the discussion on this matter he was shown that by cutting down from six blocks to four blocks the children of the Sunset District will have a single inch taken away from their recreation grounds, the library or the schools he would vote for the six blocks. That has been his position, and he was still maintaining that position. When the Board of Supervisors originally voted for the appropriation, the Planning Commission had a map which pictured the community center. On the strength of that map the Board voted this appropriation. In Finance Committee another map was presented. Now the Planning Commission has still another map. He wanted to be satisfied that the people of the district are actually going ahead with what they have in mind. He wanted to feel satisfied that the area that is to be used will be used 100 per cent for the purposes for which it is being understood by the Board of Supervisors that the land is to be condemned.

No one is against youth, but he had in mind that San Francisco has not the area of Marin County, or Alameda County, or Los Angeles County. As much area as possible should be devoted to this purpose, but it must be held in mind that San Francisco has only 38 square miles available for building. In the Sunset District, two square blocks will mean housing for 300 families. The children of these 300 families will need facilities; they will also need homes. The builders state that in their plan there will be a baseball field as large as Wrigley Field in Chicago. There will be basketball courts, school buildings, a library, etc. There will be a place for younger children, tennis courts and other facilities in the four-block plan. Two blocks of grass and trees are cut out. That is all. Grass and trees are not organized play in this district. Seven blocks away is Golden Gate Park. Six blocks away is Fleishhacker's. There is also the beach nearby. However, he was not set in this matter. His mind was still wide open.

#### Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved that the Board of Supervisors sit as a Committee of the Whole.

Before the Chair had put the question, Supervisor Christopher announced that at the very beginning of the discussion he had requested that consideration be postponed for one week. At the present time he felt compelled to follow the wishes of the departments and vote for the six blocks. However, he wanted to do what was practical.

Thereupon, the Chair put the question, and, there being no opposition, declared the motion by Supervisor Mead was *carried*.

Supervisor Mead then moved that the President of the Board act as chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Mr. Rogers Deas, representing the City Planning Commission, addressed the Committee of the Whole at length. In January, 1946, there came to the attention of the City Planning Commission the fact that the Master Plan called for certain facilities in the Sunset District. This plan that is proposed represents the best thinking of the Board of Education, the Recreation Commission, the Library Commission and the City Planning Commission. He reported on the needs of the district in the near future. San Francisco must think in terms of homes, and not in terms of more housing. The question is six blocks or four blocks. There are 58 houses to the block, which means 116 families instead of 300 families as mentioned. These 116 families will be demanding the same type of facilities that are now being presented. The sketch that is being presented was not a final sketch, but rather a sketch developed for presentation. The builders proposed to reduce the area for the elementary school and also for the junior high school. They also propose to reduce the recreational area to below standards. The proposed plan represents the cooperative activities of the City departments affected, and they are proud of it. This program represents good economy; we are taking open land and getting it at the lowest possible price.

Mr. George Johns, member of the Board of Education, addressed the Board, stating that the Board of Education has established standards as to the size of property needed to carry on any type of school. It is absolutely necessary to maintain those standards which have been set up. The Board of Education has taken into consideration the limited space in the City and County of San Francisco. There must be three square blocks of area for school facilities in that district. The Board of Education stands very solidly behind the six-block program.

In reply to question by Supervisor Mancuso, Mr. Johns stated that it was his personal opinion that unless there were six blocks in the Sunset District Community Center, the Board of Education could not take part in the plan. However, that Board had not officially spoken.

Supervisor Lewis questioned Mr. Deas as to whether anything had been taken away from the several facilities, other than grass and trees. After checking of maps of the City Planning Commission and of the interested builders by a technician of the City Planning Commission, it was reported that nothing had actually been taken away from the tennis courts, although, as delineated on the builders' map, they were not usable, since they were faced in the wrong direction. Something had been taken from the small children's area.

Mr. Francis McCarthy, representing the builders, addressed the Board. The only objection made in committee to the plan submitted by his clients was that the baseball field was 10 feet away from the sidewalk instead of 40 feet, as it should have been. The builders now have a plan which eliminates that objection. The small children's playground has been put in the elementary school playground.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he had been told that 20 feet had been taken away from the softball grounds, and 10 feet was taken off the playing area of the baseball field and 30 feet taken from the protective area. Nothing had been taken from the gymnasium or the swimming pool. Fifty-seven thousand square feet had been taken away from the concrete play area of the Junior High School.

Miss Josephine Randall stated that the six-block plan as presented was a very satisfactory preliminary plan. However, there may have to be some adjustments.

Mr. Clark, Librarian, stated that his Commission had approved the six-block plan. The area problem is definitely a problem for the Recreation Commission and the Board of Education. As far as the Library Commission was concerned the question of area was not so important.

Supervisor Mead requested the Board to hear from the attorney representing the builders. He had based his vote on the definite statement that there would not be one single inch taken away from any of the facilities.

Mr. McCarthy objected that the map being displayed to the Board was not the same as that presented in committee. The plan for the builders was drawn up, based on the map previously presented in committee.

Mr. Charles F. Pride, engineer, stated that his dimensions were taken from the map he had received from the City Planning Commission. That map was different from the one presented to the Board.

At the request of Supervisor Mancuso, the following citizens, all endorsing the six-block plan for the Sunset Community Center, were heard: Mr. Jay Minkley, Mr. Elmer Gaetjen, Mr. J. F. Brady, Reverend Mr. Gillespie, Mr. John Titsworth, Mr. Leonard Allen, Mr. Fred Weidemann, Mrs. Wm. Morgan, Mr. Morgan H. Gunst, Dr. C. F. Griffin, Mrs. Thomas R. Best, Mr. Jerome Sapiro and Mr. Lloyd D. McMurray.

#### Committee of the Whole Arises.

Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman stated that there was no doubt in his mind that the right thing, the wise thing, and the constructive thing to do was to vote for the six-block program.

Supervisor Mancuso thought that the matter had been fully presented and he hoped the Board would vote in favor of the six blocks.

Supervisor Lewis stated that his mind was still in a state of confusion. It was confusing to have an entirely new map presented—one that was not even discussed in committee.

Supervisor Colman held that it had been shown conclusively that it was impossible to change the project from six blocks to four blocks without taking away the equivalent of two blocks.

Supervisor Lewis answered, saying that he thought in committee that the saving to be made by the builders was two square blocks of grass. What really bothered him was that the commissions and departments say that if the Board does not go along with the six-block program, there will be no center at all. He did not want that.

Supervisor Mead, in discussing the matter, declared that certain departments in San Francisco are little governments all by themselves. However, the Board must face the facts. The members of the Board know that six blocks in any city is a lot of land. If San Francisco had the area that is available across the bay, or in Los Angeles County, perhaps the Board could be justified in taking a different position from that which he was taking. He felt that the people in the Sunset District were entitled to as much consideration as possible and practical to give them, taking into consideration the space in San Francisco. Many of the people present have appeared before the Board complaining about expenditures of money and the tax rate proposed. He wondered if these same people would appear

before the Board in behalf of other districts of San Francisco. This was a question of space. He was not taking the builders' part in this matter. He was merely trying to be practical. If these people can be given the facilities they are requesting in a reasonable space, that is the thing to do. Any land, however, not necessary to be used, is waste land. Under the circumstances, he thought, the Board could afford to go along with the four-block proposal. That would give them everything they could reasonably ask for.

Supervisor Brown called attention to the fact that the population in the district was fast increasing. In a few years, Supervisor Brown warned, the space would be gone. He thought the Board would be well advised to take advantage of the opportunity that still remains and take the six blocks while they can get it.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that Proposal No. 5842 be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

The roll was again called and Proposal No. 5842 was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Following the foregoing roll call, Supervisor MacPhee addressed a few remarks to the Recreation Commission, the Library Commission, the Board of Education and the City Planning Commission, saying that he thought the Board, by its decision, had established a pattern it desired to see followed in future activities of this kind. City departments should work together for future arrangements of this kind in the different neighborhoods, so facilities can be made available in one unit for people in the districts.

Tabled.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, as substitute for Proposal No. 5842, was taken up:

Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Tract of Land in Sunset District, San Francisco, California.

Proposal No. . . . ., Resolution No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Quintara Street with the westerly line of Thirty-seventh Avenue; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Quintara Street 550 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-ninth Avenue; thence northerly along last named line 1,280 feet to the southerly line of Ortega Street; thence easterly along last named line 32 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 175 feet more or less to a point on a line parallel with and perpendicularly distant 32 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-eighth Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said parallel line 100 feet to a point on the southerly line of Ortega Street; thence easterly along last named line 32 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Thirty-eighth

Avenue; thence continuing easterly along the southerly line of Ortega Street, 310 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-seventh Avenue; thence southerly along last named line 1,280 feet to the point of commencement.

Being all of Assessor's Blocks 2157, 2158, 2097 and part of Assessor's Block 2096, also a portion of Thirty-eighth Avenue and a portion of Pacheco Street.

and be it

Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For public school, recreation, library and other municipal purposes.

It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said property.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

After said real property has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, it is understood that title to an area within the boundaries of the above described tract of land, equivalent to three Sunset Blocks, shall be transferred to the San Francisco Unified School District.

The cost of said real property shall be paid from the following appropriations in a total amount not to exceed \$....., unless an additional authorization is secured:

<i>Department</i>	<i>Appropriation No.</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Recreation	613.600.04	\$.....
Library	614.600.00	.....
Board of Education	670.600.00	.....

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, and by unanimous consent of the Board, the foregoing matter was *tabled*.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 1.7 (Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized) So That Said List May Be Set Forth Under New Section Items to Be Designated Sections 1.7 to 1.7.7 Inclusive.

Bill No. 4264, Ordinance No. 4029 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1.7 (Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" by breaking down list of executive positions so that said list may be set forth under new section numbers to be designated Sections 1.7 to 1.7.7 inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1.7 (Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" is hereby amended by breaking down list of executive positions so that said list may be set forth under new section numbers to be designated as follows:



**Section 1.7. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedule for Which Extra Compensation Is Not Authorized:** In order that there shall be no diminution of service to the public it shall be the duty of the head of each department to arrange and assign the work of his department so that sufficient employees will be on duty on Saturday morning in each department which is required to be open for the conduct of public business on Saturday morning, provided that time worked on Saturday morning by employees on a normal work week schedule shall be compensated by equal time off in the same or succeeding week. Employees whose positions are allocated to the classes included in Division R—Recreation Service, and employees whose positions are allocated in Division X—Library Service, may at the discretion of the appointing officer work the 40-hour schedule within six days without additional compensation or time off. Occupants of positions specified in Sections 1.7.1 to 1.7.7 shall work such hours as may be necessary for the full and proper performance of their duties and shall receive no additional compensation for work in excess of eight hours per day for five days per week, but subject to the rule of the Civil Service Commission, may be granted time off not to exceed the time worked in excess of forty hours per week.

**Section 1.7.1. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS**

Class No. and Title

A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings
A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings
A12	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of Hetch Hetchy Properties
A108	Chief Building Inspector
A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector
B8	Supervisor of Disbursements
B14	Senior Accountant
B20	Controller
B21	Chief Assistant Controller
B22	Assistant Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B23	Director, Bureau of Accounts, Public Utilities Commission
B25	Business Manager, Public Welfare Department
B26	Supervisor, Budget Statistics
B27	Supervisor of Accounts and Reports
B28	Supervisor of General Audits
B30	Supervisor of Utility Audits
B32	Business Manager, Recreation Department
B34	Supervisor, Bureau of Accounts, Department of Public Works
B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court
B36	Business Manager, Department of Public Health
B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative) San Francisco Hospital

**Section 1.7.2. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS**  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

B51	Chief Administrative Officer
B54	Director, Bureau of Public Service
B55	Assistant Director, Bureau of Public Service
B57	Secretary, Art Commission
B58	Secretary, Board of Education
B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals
B66	Registrar of Voters
B67	Secretary, Fire Commission

Section 1.7.2. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued)

Class No. and Title

B68	Chief Clerk
B69	Secretary, Coordinating Council
B70	Secretary, Park Commission
B71	Secretary, Board of Trustees, M. H. de Young and California Palace of the Legion of Honor
B72	Secretary, Library Department
B74	Confidential Secretary to the Mayor
B76	Executive Secretary to the Mayor
B76.1	Administrative Assistant to the Mayor
B76.3	Administrative Analyst
B77	Executive Secretary to the Manager of Utilities
B78	Secretary, City Planning Commission
B79	Secretary, Health Service Board
B81	Recorder
B82	Secretary, Retirement System
B83	Consulting Actuary
B84	Under Sheriff
B87	Secretary-Attendant, Grand Jury
B88	Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors
B89	Director, Bureau of Licenses
B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
B91	Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenue
B93	Tax Collector
B95	Director of Finance and Records
B95.1	Assistant Director of Public Works
B96	Managing Director, War Memorial
B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer
B100	Supervisor of Real Property Records, Assessor's Office

Section 1.7.3. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

B108	Cashier A
B120	Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office
B169	County Clerk
B173	Public Administrator
B180	Administrative Assistant, Board of Education
B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies
B374	Purchaser of Supplies
C4	Superintendent of Auditorium
E8	Chief Electrical Inspector
E116	Superintendent of Plant
F1	Manager of Utilities
F2	Director of Public Works
F4	Assistant City Engineer
F9	Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau
F10	City Engineer
F60	Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations
F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations
F62	Manager of Airport Department
F75	Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities Commission
F108	Architect
F112	City Architect
F220	General Superintendent of Streets
F366	Chief, Department of Electricity
F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and Power
F408	Public Health Engineer

Section 1.7.3. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued)

Class No. and Title

F412	Senior Engineer
F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal Railway
F520	Consulting Sanitary Engineer
F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer
F527	Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant
F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection
F706	Chief Valuation Engineer
F800	City Planning Engineer
F801	Senior City Planner
F802	Master Plan Architect
F810	Associate City Planner

Section 1.7.4. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

G5	Chief Land Appraiser
G11	Chief Building Appraiser
G17	Chief Personal Property Appraiser
G20	Chief Assistant Assessor
G59	Assistant Personnel Director
G59.1	Supervisor of Wage Scales and Classifications
G59.2	Supervisor of Examinations
G60	Personnel Director
G62	Personnel Director and Secretary, Civil Service Commission
G80	Personnel Officer, Department of Public Health
G84	Director, Bureau of Personnel, Public Utilities Commission
G102	General Claims Agent, Municipal Railway
G106	Claims Adjuster
G110	Compensation Claims Adjuster
G204	Assistant Director of Property
G206	Director of Property
H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation
H44	Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation
K4	Attorney, Civil
K6	Senior Attorney, Civil
K8	Principal Attorney, Civil
K10	Head Attorney, Civil
K12	Chief Attorney, Civil
K16	Special Counsel, Water Services
K52	Junior Attorney, Criminal
K54	Attorney, Criminal
K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal
K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal
K60	Head Attorney, Criminal
K62	Chief Attorney, Criminal

Section 1.7.5. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

L2	Assistant, Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital
L6	Superintendent, San Francisco Hospital
L9	Assistant Superintendent, Medical, Laguna Honda Home
L10	Superintendent, Laguna Honda Home
L16	Assistant Director of Public Health
L18	Director of Public Health
L19	Chief, Division of Public Health Education
L20	Public Health Educator
L156	Dentist

Section 1.7.5. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

L160	Director of Dental Bureau
L252	Optometrist
L352	Interne
L354	House Officer
L356	Senior House Officer
L357	Resident Physician
L359	Supervising Physician, Blood Bank
L360	Physician
L362	Supervisor of City Physicians
L363	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home
L364	Physician Specialist
L368	Director of Bureau of Child Hygiene
L371	Director of Bureau of Communicable Diseases
L375	Chief, Division of Tuberculosis Control
L376	Chief, Division of Venereal Disease Control
L458	Roentgenologist
L502	Autopsy Surgeon
L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital
L508	Chief Surgeon, Emergency Hospital

Section 1.7.6. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

M4	Assistant General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M5	Assistant Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines
M7	General Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines, Municipal Railway
M8	General Superintendent of Shops
M20	Superintendednt of Equipment
M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines
N10	Coroner
N54	District Supervisor
N63	Chief Abattoir Inspector
N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector
N156	County Agricultural Commissioner
N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures
N403	Public Service Director, Mayor's Office
O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair

Section 1.7.7. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued).

Class No. and Title

P58	Director of Public Health Nursing
P122	Director of Institutional Nursing
R3	Assistant Superintendent, Recreation Department
R4	Superintendent, Recreation Department
R20	Assistant Director of Recreational Activities
R22	Director of Recreational Activities
S5	General Manager, Municipal Railway Bureau
S128	Division Superintendent, Municipal Railway
S130	Assistant Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
S134	General Superintendent of Transportation, Municipal Railway
T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Detention Home
T30	Director of Girls' School
T70	Chief Adult Probation Officer

Section 1.7.7. EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS  
(Continued)

Class No. and Title

- T72 Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
- T163 Director of Public Welfare
- T165 District Supervisor
- U44 General Manager and Chief Engineer
- U80 Assistant Manager, Water Sales
- U88 Manager, Water Sales
- U142 Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution
- U144 Superintendent, City Distribution
- U232 Superintendent, Alameda District
- U236 Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula District
- U246 Superintendent, Peninsula District
- V40 Superintendent, Agriculture
- W2 Superintendent, Park Department
- W4 Assistant Superintendent, Park Department
- W212 Director of the Zoo
- X2 City Librarian
- X12 Chief Librarian
- Y2 Director, M. H. de Young Memorial Museum
- Y4 Director, California Palace of the Legion of Honor
- Y8 Curator A
- Y10 Curator B
- Y12 Curator C

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 1.35, Public Utilities Commission, by Breaking Down List of Employments Authorized to Work in Excess of 40 Hours a Week So That Said List May Be Set Forth Under New Sections 1.35, 1.35a and 1.35b.

Bill No. 4265, Ordinance No. 4030 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1.35 (Public Utilities Commission) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" by breaking down the list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours a week so that said list may be set forth under new section numbers to be designated Sections 1.35, 1.35a and 1.35b.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1.35 (Public Utilities Commission) of Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), "Annual Salary Ordinance 1946-1947" is hereby amended and broken down into sections so that the same shall read and be designated as follows:

Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
San Francisco	B4 Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Airport	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	1	8
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	1	4
	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
	C102 Janitress .....	2	8
	C104 Janitor .....	4	8
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor ...	1	8
	O58 Gardener .....	1	8

## Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (Continued)

	Classification		No. Positions	No. Hours
Hetch Hetchy	O1	Chauffeur .....	1	8
Water Supply,	B4	Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
Utilities	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer ..	1	4
Engineering				
Water Supply,	B222	General Clerk .....	1	8
Power Operative	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	1	4
	C104	Janitor .....	1	8
Inter-Intra-	O58	Gardener .....	1	8
departmental	O60	Sub-Foreman Gardener ....	1	8
(as needed)				

Section 1.35a. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

	Classification		No. Positions	No. Hours
	B4	Bookkeeper .....	8	4
	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	2	4
	B10	Accountant .....	3	4
	B102	Teller .....	4	8
	B103	Cashier C .....	1	8
	B210	Office Assistant .....	4	4
	B222	General Clerk .....	15	8
	B222	General Clerk .....	51	4
	B228	Senior Clerk .....	4	4
	B228	Senior Clerk (Shops).....	1	8
	B234	Head Clerk .....	4	4
	B239	Statistician .....	1	4
	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)....	16	4
	B308b	Calculating Machine Operator (rotary) .....	2	4
	B309	Key Punch Operator.....	3	4
	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator .....	8	4
	B310.1	Senior Tabulating Machine and Key Punch Operator .	2	4
	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	26	4
	B454	Telephone Operator .....	1	4
	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	18	4
	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	3	4
	C52	Elevator Operator .....	2	8
	C104	Janitor .....	All	4
	C104.1	Car Cleaner .....	All	4
	C105	Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	1	4
	C107	Working Foreman Janitor... All		4
	C107.1	Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	All	4
	C202	Window Cleaner .....	2	4
		Supervisor Traffic Checkers..	1	4
	F654	Traffic Checker .....	5	4
		Superintendent of Traffic ...	1	4
		Sick Leave Investigator.....	1	8
	M72	Bus Dispatcher .....	1	8
	O173	Superintendent of Cable Machinery .....	1	4

Section 1.35a. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
S115 Senior Claims Investigator...	2	4
S122 Senior Inspector .....	1	8

Section 1.35b. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
WATER DEPARTMENT

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
	B247 Meter Reader .....	All	8
	M270 Superintendent of Machine Shop and Equipment ....	1	8
	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
	O52 Farmer .....	1	8
House Service	B454 Telephone Operator .....	2	8
	C52 Elevator Operator .....	1	8
	C104 Janitor .....	1	8
	C104 Janitor .....	4	4
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor...	1	4
Agricultural Division	O51 Farmer Sub-Foreman .....	1	8
	V30 Assistant Superintendent ...	1	4
Docks and Shipping	B234 Head Clerk .....	1	4
	U125 Hoseman, Ships and Docks..	4	8
General	O172 Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
City Distribution General	O172 Chief Operating Engineer...	1	8
Millbrae Station	B228 Senior Clerk .....	1	8
	B354 General Storekeeper .....	1	4
	O60.1 Foreman Gardener .....	1	8
	U228 Meterman Country .....	1	8
Alameda	B222 General Clerk .....	1	8
	B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
	O53 Gardener .....	1	8
	U231 Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division .....	1	4
Functional As Needed	U230 Maintenance Foreman .....	3	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Appropriating \$15,929.34 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Temporary Employments in Office of Employees' Retirement System.

Bill No. 4277, Ordinance No. 4031 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$15,929.34 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the employment of temporary personnel in the office of the Employees' Retirement System.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,929.34 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.120.00-6, to provide funds for the employment of temporary personnel in the office of the Employees' Retirement System.

Recommended by the Secretary, Employees' Retirement System.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$1,425 From Surplus in Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund for Compensation of Gardener, at \$150-200 Per Month. Abolishing Position of Trackman at \$8.50 Per Day in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4278, Ordinance No. 4032 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,425 from the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O58 Gardener at \$150-200 per month, which position is hereby created in the Municipal Railway; abolishing the position of 1 J152 Trackman at \$8.50 per day in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,425 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.110.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O58 Gardener at \$150-200 per month in the Municipal Railway, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 J152 Trackman at \$8.50 per day is hereby abolished in the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item, Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway, by Deleting One Position of Trackman at \$8.50 Per Day, and Providing for One Position of Gardener at \$150-200 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4242, Ordinance No. 4026 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72.2, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by decreasing the number of employments under item 28 from 140 to 139 J 152 Trackman at \$8.50 per day; and by adding item 43.1.1, one O58 Gardener at \$150-200.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 72.2. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19.5	12	E105	Armature Winder's Helper .....	\$ 8.80 day
20	9	E106	Armature Winder .....	12.00 day
20.1	1	E106.1	Foreman Armature Winder.....	13.80 day
20.2	1	E107	Power House Electrician .....	(i 382.50
20.3	1	E107.1	Foreman Power House Electrician .....	(i 408
20.31	1	E108	Electrician .....	15.00 day
20.4	2	E120	Governorman .....	190-240
20.5	19	E122	Power House Operator .....	230-290
20.6	4	E124	Senior Power House Operator....	290-310
20.7	3	E150	Lineman Helper .....	10.80 day
21	20	E154	Lineman .....	15.00 day
22	4	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	16.00 day
22.2	1	E161	General Foreman Lineman .....	(i 433.50
22.3	97	E200	Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic.	8.80 day
22.4	85	E202	Senior Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic .....	12.00 day
22.5	21	E206	Sub-Foreman Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic .....	12.50 day
22.6	10	E208	Foreman Electrical Railway Shop Mechanic .....	13.80 day
22.7	1	E210	General Foreman Electrical Rail- way Shop Mechanic .....	14.00 day
22.8	1	F406c	Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) .....	360-430
23	3	F410d	Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)..	435-520
23.1	1	F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway .....	530-635
23.2	5	F654	Traffic Checker .....	205-255
23.3	1		*Supervisor of Traffic Checkers....	225
23.4	1	G102	General Claims Agent .....	500-600
25	35	J4	Laborer .....	8.50 day
26	1	J4	Laborer .....	(k h 198.50
27	36	J66	Garageman .....	9.00 day
27.1	4	J68	Sub-Foreman Garageman .....	9.50 day
27.2	1	J92	Rope Cable Splicer .....	(t 13.00 day
28	139	J152	Trackman .....	8.50 day
29	8	J156	Switch Repairer .....	9.00 day
30	11	J160	Track Welder .....	9.00 day
31	6	J162	Electric Arc Welder .....	12.50 day
32	14	J166	Track Foreman .....	9.50 day
32.1	2	J168	General Foreman Trackman .....	10.50 day
34	1	M6	Superintendent of Equipment and Overhead Lines .....	505-605
34.2	1	M20	Superintendent of Equipment .....	460-550
34.3	1	M22	Superintendent of Power and Lines	385-460
34.4	38	M53	Auto Mechanic .....	12.00 day
35	33	M54	Auto Machinist .....	13.00 day
35.1	7	M55	Foreman Auto Machinist .....	14.00 day
36	2	M56	Garage Foreman .....	(i 382.50
36.1	2	M57	Sub-Foreman Auto Machinist .....	13.50 day
36.2	4	M60	Auto Body and Fender Worker....	13.00 day
36.3	1	M72	Bus Dispatcher .....	185-230
37	5	M107	Blacksmith's Finisher .....	10.40 day

\*To be classified by Civil Service Commission when position is filled.

Section 72.2. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued).

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
38	6	M108	Blacksmith .....	12.00 day
39	2	M110	Molder's Helper .....	8.72 day
40	1	M112	Molder .....	12.00 day
41	6	M252	Machinist's Helper .....	9.92 day
41.1	18	M253	Machine Tool Operator .....	10.48 day
42	22	M254	Machinist .....	13.12 day
42.1	2	M268	Foreman Machinist .....	14.12 day
43	10	O1	Chauffeur .....	13.80 day
43.1	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	(i 235
43.1.1	1	O58	<b>Gardener</b> .....	150-200
43.2	1	O108	Leatherworker .....	11.12 day
43.3	6	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
43.4	1	O173	Superintendent of Cable Machinery	335-405

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$13,700 From Surplus in Land Reserve, Proposed McLaren Park, to Provide for Acquisition of Real Property Required for Said Proposed Park.**

Bill No. 4279, Ordinance No. 4033 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$13,700 from surplus existing in the Land Reserve, Proposed McLaren Park, to Appropriation No. 612.600.03 for acquisition of real property required for proposed John McLaren Park.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$13,700 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Land Reserve, Proposed McLaren Park, to the credit of Appropriation No. 612.600.03 to provide for the acquisition of real property required for the proposed John McLaren Park, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Establishing a New Section, 2.4.1, Method of Calculation of Compensation Earned.**

Bill No. 4280, Ordinance No. 4034 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939), by establishing a new section to be known as Section 2.4.1—**METHOD OF CALCULATION OF COMPENSATION EARNED.**

Be is ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882 (Series of 1939) is hereby amended by adding new section 2.4.1, title of which is recited above: -

**Section 2.4.1. METHOD OF CALCULATION OF COMPENSATION EARNED**

An employee whose compensation is fixed herein on a monthly basis shall be paid one-half the monthly salary herein provided for his position if, during the payroll period of a half month he works every work day included in the normal work schedule defined in section 1.6 hereof. Deduction in the amount of one day's pay shall be made for each work day in said normal work schedule for each such work day not worked, provided that no deduction shall be made as provided in this section for absences compensated according to law as vacation, sick leave with pay, military leave with pay, or compensating time off. The amount of a day's pay shall be determined by dividing the number of work days (including holidays enumerated in section 2.4 hereof) in the work schedule as defined in section 16 hereof in the payroll period into half the monthly salary provided herein for the position.

An employee whose services are terminated the day before a holiday enumerated in section 2.4 hereof who has worked not less than five consecutive work days next preceding termination of employment shall be paid for such holiday.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing the Compromise of Claim of B. O. Ballou for the Sum of Sixty-two and 50/100 Dollars (\$62.50).**

Bill No. 4282, Ordinance No. 4035 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the compromise of claim of B. O. Ballou for the sum of Sixty-two & 50/100 Dollars (\$62.50).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended, and the Director of Public Health and the Chief Administrative Officer having approved, the settlement of the claim of B. O. Ballou, and legal action having been commenced on said claim by action No. 204501 of the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, B. O. Ballou, Plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, Defendant, for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiff by the collision of his automobile and an ambulance owned and operated by the said City and County of San Francisco on December 6, 1945, by the payment to said plaintiff by said City and County of the sum of sixty-two & 50/100 dollars (\$62.50), and the said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claim and action by the payment of said sum, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of sixty-two & 50/100 dollars (\$62.50) in favor of said B. O. Ballou.

Recommended and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Appropriating the Sum of \$351,682 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to Provide Funds for Purchase Payment and Interest to the Market Street Railway.

Bill No. 4288, Ordinance No. 4036 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$351,682 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for purchase payment and interest to the Market Street Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1.2 The sum of \$351,682 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway, Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.551.50, to provide funds for purchase payment and interest to the Market Street Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following from Finance Committee, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," were taken up:

Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Appropriating the Sum of \$371,893 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Provide Funds for Additions and Betterments in the Municipal Railway.

Bill No. 4289, Ordinance No. 4037 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$371,893 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway operating Fund to provide funds for additions and betterments in the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$371,893 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.500.00, to provide funds for additions and betterments in the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Appropriating the Sum of \$26,425 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to Provide Funds for Replacements and Reconstruction in the Municipal Railway.

Bill No. 4290, Ordinance No. 4038 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$26,425 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$26,425 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665,925.00, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Final Passage.

The following from Finance Committee, without recommendation, was taken up:

Authorizing Sale of Approximately One Acre of Water Department Land in Town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Bill No. 4244, Ordinance No. 4027 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of approximately one acre of Water Department land in town of Sunol, Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Bond Street in the town of Sunol, said point being distant along said easterly line north 16° 32' east 356.60 feet from its intersection with the northerly line of Main Street, and running thence along said easterly line of Bond Street north 16° 32' east 153.55 feet to the most southerly corner of a 0.60 acre tract conveyed by the Western Pacific Railway Company to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated July 12, 1907, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of Alameda County January 24, 1908, in Book 1421 of Deeds at page 288; thence north 46° 08' west 109.72 feet along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract, said line also being the northeasterly line of Bond Street; thence along the westerly boundary of said 0.60 acre tract the following courses and distances: north 43° 55' east 125.00 feet; north 46° 08' west 50.00 feet;

and north 43° 55' east 139.08 feet along said last mentioned boundary line and its northeasterly extension to a point on the westerly bank of Laguna Creek; thence along the westerly bank of Laguna Creek the following courses and distances: south 15° 28' east 76.71 feet; thence south 17° 10' west 127.93 feet; thence south 4° 16 $\frac{1}{4}$ ' east 106.67 feet; thence south 24° 28 $\frac{1}{4}$ ' east 145.85 feet; thence south 7° 18 $\frac{3}{4}$ ' west 25.02 feet to the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek; thence along the northerly bank of Sinbad Creek the following courses and distances: south 88° 45 $\frac{1}{4}$ ' west 107.58 feet; thence north 74° 45 $\frac{1}{2}$ ' west 54.09 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.989 acre, and being a portion of Parcel 65, Alameda County Lands, conveyed by Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Book 2350, Official Records, page 1 of Alameda County Records.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Laguna Creek and Sinbad Creek appertaining to the above described land.

Section 2. Said real property shall be offered for sale pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until Tuesday, September 10, 1946.*

September 10, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 16, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis announced that the City Attorney had presented an opinion upholding his position in the foregoing matter. The legislation was still drawn incorrectly, according to the opinion of the City Attorney.

Mr. Peddicord, Assistant City Attorney, stated that the opinion pointed out that in connection with the sale of property, the sale would have to be in conformity with the Charter provision. However, in taking tenders, the Board may specify how tenders may be taken. The Charter does not specify as to the making of tenders. The Board may direct the manner in which they shall be taken. If the Board desires to do that in the present instance, it may be done so by amending Section 2 of the foregoing bill.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 83.1, Board of Education, Non-Certificated Employees, by Adding 9 General Clerk-Stenographers at \$185-230; 37 General Clerk-Stenographers (to Serve During School Year Only) at \$185-230; Also Deleting Positions as follows: 19 General Clerk-Stenographers (Part Time) at Rate of \$185-230; 5 Janitresses at \$145-180.

Bill No. 4261, Ordinance No. 4028 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1 BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EM-

PLOYEES, by increasing the number of employments under item 19 from 136 to 145 B 408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230; by reducing the number of employments under item 20 from 85 to 64 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at rate of \$185-230; by adding item 21, 37 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer (to serve during school year only) at \$185-230; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 26 from 140 to 135 C102 Janitress at \$145-180.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), section 83.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings.....	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) .....	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator... ..	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. ....	185-230
19	145	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
20	64	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
21	*37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
26	135	C102	Janitress .....	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of... ..	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor .....	(k 186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of... ..	155-195
32	23	C107	Working Foreman Janitor.....	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors .....	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors... ..	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of.. ..	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of.....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of... ..	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240

\*To serve during school year only.

Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
48	18	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

- 55 Trucks (as needed) at rates established by Purchaser's contract.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Granting Spur Track Permit, Bancroft Avenue, Between Mendell Street and Third Street, and Repealing Ordinance 6650 (New Series).

Bill No. 4294, Ordinance No. 4039 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate and maintain a spur track and loading platform in Bancroft Avenue between Mendell Street and Third Street and repealing Ordinance 6650 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a spur track in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue, the center line of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of Southern Pacific Company existing drill track in Mendell Street, said point being distant 30 feet, more or less, from the intersection of said center line with the prolongation of the southerly line of Armstrong Avenue; thence diverging from said existing track through a #7 turnout in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street for a distance of 62 feet, more or less, to



a point; thence continuing along and across Mendell Street in a southeasterly direction on a tangent for a distance of 105 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street and along and across Bancroft Avenue on a curve concave to the left, having a radius of 249.22 feet for a distance of 228 feet, more or less, to a point in Bancroft Avenue, said point being distant 19.25 feet, measured at right angles in a northerly direction from the southerly line of Bancroft Avenue; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along Bancroft Avenue on a tangent parallel to said southerly line of Bancroft Avenue for a distance of 457 feet, more or less, to a point 65 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Third Street and the end of proposed track.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (New Series) now codified as Sections 555 to 570 inclusive of Article 11, Chapter 10, Part 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all provisions and conditions contained in said sections are hereby made a part of this permit as if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. The Alchar California Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Bureau of Light, Heat and Power of the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 4. All work shall be done to the satisfaction and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. Permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a loading platform 12 feet wide in the southwesterly sidewalk area of Bancroft Avenue extending from a point 65 feet more or less, northwesterly from Third Street northwesterly 385 feet, more or less.

Section 6. Plans and specifications for said platform shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works before beginning construction and all work shall be done in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. The Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless against loss or expense caused by accident or damage to persons or property resulting from or in consequence of the construction of said platform.

Section 8. Upon revocation or abandonment of the permit for the above platform, the Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall remove or cause to be removed, without cost or obligation to the City and County of San Francisco, all material used for or in connection with said platform.

Section 9. Ordinance No. 6650 (New Series) approved May 25, 1925, granting permission to Premier Bed Company to construct, maintain, and operate a spur track in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue is hereby repealed.

*Finally Passed by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1663 Mission Street for Department of Public Works.

Proposal No. 6018, Resolution No. 5825 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with Speyer & Schwartz, Inc., a corporation, as Lessor, of the entire fifth floor in that certain building located at 1663 Mission Street, San Francisco.

This lease shall be for a period of two years beginning October 20, 1946, at a monthly rental of \$300 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter, Appropriation 81.000.56.75.

Said premises are required by the Department of Public Works for Municipal purposes.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## Land Purchase—Tulare Street Widening.

Proposal No. 6019, Resolution No. 5826 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation accept a deed from Santa Fe Land Improvement Company or the legal owner to the southerly 34 feet of Lot 3 in Assessor's Block 4377, San Francisco, required for the widening of Tulare Street and that the sum of \$6,800 be paid for said land from appropriation No. 677.969.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Authorizing the Settlement of the Claim of G. F. Benton in the Sum of Two Hundred (\$200) Dollars.**

Proposal No. 6020, Resolution No. 5827 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the settlement of the claim of G. F. Benton in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200) Dollars.

Whereas, on the 13th day of June, 1946, the automobile of G. F. Benton was parked on Twenty-fifth Street, between Sanchez and Noe Streets, when the same was struck by Department of Public Works truck, causing damage through and by reason thereof and claim has been filed in the sum of Two Hundred (\$200) Dollars damages; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the City Attorney that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement of compromise in the said matter; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to settle the said claim by the payment of Two Hundred (\$200) Dollars to the said G. F. Benton, and the Controller is hereby authorized to draw his warrant in favor of said G. F. Benton in said sum, there being no litigation pending.

Recommended and approved by the Department of Public Works.

Approved as to form and payment recommended by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Confirming Lease of Part of Silva Tract in San Mateo County to United Airlines Transport Corporation.**

Proposal No. 6021, Resolution No. 5828 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Be it Resolved, In accordance with Ordinance No. 1292 (Series of 1939), and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with United Airlines Transport Corporation, a corporation, as Lessee of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California.

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Skyline Boulevard with the Southeasterly line of that certain 146.25 acre tract of land described as San Mateo County Parcel No. 30 in deed recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491, page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County; running thence along the southeasterly line of said tract of land north 53° 45' east 700 feet more or less; thence north 36° 50' west 700 feet more or less; thence south 53° 45' west 550 feet more or less; thence south 36° 50' east 660 feet more or less; thence south 53° 45' west 150 feet more or less to a point on the northeasterly line of Skyline Boulevard; thence along said last named line south 36° 50' east 40 feet more or less to the point of commencement.

Said lease shall be for a period of ten years, beginning September 1, 1946, at a rental of \$10 per month for the purpose of maintaining and operating a radio transmitter station on the premises, subject to

the terms and conditions set forth in the proposed lease on file in the office of the Director of Property.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Francis J. Hutchings.

Proposal No. 6024, Resolution No. 5829 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Francis John Hutchings, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Francis John Hutchings; and

Whereas, said Francis John Hutchings, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Confirming Sale of Lot 26 in Assessor's Block 4102 to De Boom Paint Company.

Proposal No. 6026, Resolution No. 5830 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance 2012, Bill No. 2104 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on September 4, 1946, to sell the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Texas Street, distant thereon 566 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twentieth Street; thence running southerly along said line of Texas Street 150 feet to the northeasterly line of Twenty-second Street as said line is shown on the "Map showing the opening of Twenty-second Street, etc." filed October 24, 1917, in Book "H" of Maps, at page 92, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting  $33^{\circ} 41' 24''$  to the left and running southeasterly along said line of Twenty-second Street, 180.28 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-second Street; thence deflecting  $56^{\circ} 18' 36''$  to the left and running easterly along last-named line of Twenty-second Street, 100 feet to the westerly line of Mississippi Street; thence at right angles northerly along said line of Mississippi Street 225 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet; thence at right angles northerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, the De Boom Paint Company, a copartnership offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$26,250 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$26,250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property. The amount of said appraisal being \$26,250; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City the sum of \$2,625 as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Department of Public Works have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted. Be it further resolved that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to the De Boom Paint Company, a co-partnership.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Authorizing Relinquishment of Portion of Former College Hill Pipe Line Right of Way to Arco Building Company in Exchange for Additional Right of Way for San Andreas 54-Inch Pipe Line.

Proposal No. 6035, Resolution No. 5831 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with Resolution No. 7560, adopted by the Public Utilities Commission on July 22, 1946, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed in substantially the following form for the exchange of certain rights of way with Arco Building Company, a corporation:

City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the first party, hereinafter termed the "City," hereby grants to Arco Building Company, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, the second party, all its right, title and interest in and to the following described easement and right of way situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

**PARCEL 1.**

An easement and right of way for pipe line over and across the 131.06 acre tract allotted to E. W. Burr and E. F. Northam by Decree of Partition of the Buri Buri Rancho in the County of San Mateo, entered in the District Court of the Twelfth Judicial District, along a line commencing on the northerly line of said tract at a point distant sixteen chains and sixty-six links westerly from the westerly line of the county road leading from San Francisco to San Jose, known as El Camino Real, and running thence south 2° 10' east 26.96 chains to the southern line of said tract; as said easement and right of way were conveyed by E. W. Burr and E. F. Northam to Spring Valley Water Works by deed dated March 10, 1870, and re-

corded April 4, 1870, in Book 10 of deeds, page 410, San Mateo County Records.

In exchange for the above described easement and right of way, the said Arco Building Company, the second party, hereby grants to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the first party, a right of way easement to lay, relay, construct reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, across lands of the grantor situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, more particularly described as follows:

#### PARCEL "A"

A strip of land 10 feet wide measured at right angles westerly from and adjoining the westerly line of the San Andreas 54" pipe line right of way conveyed to the Spring Valley Water Company by various deeds from Harry C. McNally and others, recorded respectively in the office of County Recorder of San Mateo County, March 10, 1928, in Volume 346 of Official Records at page 144; March 10, 1928 in Volume 342, at page 189; April 11, 1928 in Volume 344 at page 430; March 24, 1928 in Volume 348 at page 135; March 10, 1928, Volume 335 at page 135; June 11, 1928, Volume 363 at page 157; July 3, 1928, Volume 357 at page 354; said 10 foot strip extending northerly along said westerly line from the common boundary line between the land conveyed by Pierre Dupuy and Joseph Cabannes to R. G. Sneath by deed dated June 15, 1875 and recorded June 24, 1875 in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California in liber 25 at page 129, and the 131.08 acre tract of land conveyed by C. B. Smith, et ux, to J. H. T. Watkinson by deed dated November 26, 1913, and recorded December 11, 1913 in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County, State of California, in liber 231 of deeds, at page 449, a distance of approximately 1,728.79 feet to the common boundary between the said 131.08 acre tract and the tract of land conveyed by the Nevada Bank of San Francisco to John W. Mackay and James L. Flood by deed dated March 27, 1890 and recorded April 7, 1890 in liber 54 of deeds at page 4, in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County.

The grant and conveyance of hereinabove described Parcel "A" is made subject to the following express reservations and conditions:

First: The second party reserves the right to make use of said strip of land for any purposes not inconsistent with the use thereof by the City under the terms of this grant. In the exercise of the rights herein reserved the said second party agrees that no trees shall be planted and that no structures of any kind or character shall be placed on, over, along and/or across said strip of land without the consent of the City, except as hereinafter provided.

Second: The second party reserves the right to construct and maintain over and across, but not along, said strip of land, roads, streets, sewers, water pipes, gas pipes, electric power lines, telephone lines, telegraph lines, also the right of free ingress to and egress from said strip of land for the purpose of maintaining, repairing and renewing such structures, and the right to plant grass, shrubs and plants or cultivate and harvest crops on said strip of land; provided, however, that said second party shall not use said strips of

land or permit the same to be used, for any purpose or in any manner which will interfere with, damage or endanger in any way, any pipes, pipe lines, conduits, connections, appurtenances or appliances of the City.

Third: All of said pipe lines and conduits shall be laid below the surface of ground to a minimum depth of 18 inches, except as otherwise provided herein. Pipe line appurtenances may be constructed above the surface of the ground.

Fourth: The rights and conditions herein set forth shall inure to the benefit of, and bind, the heirs, successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Land Purchase—Army Street Widening.

Proposal No. 6036, Resolution No. 5832 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from M. Fisher & Son, a co-partnership or the legal owners to Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 6569, San Francisco, California, required for the widening of Army Street and that the sum of \$50,000.00 be paid for said land from appropriation No. 677.924.58.

Of said amount, the sum of \$35,600.00 required for the purpose of this Resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 4920, series of 1939, for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described land, the Controller is authorized to release said amount of \$35,600.00 from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purposes herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 4920, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

It is understood that the deed conveying said property to the City shall be recorded on November 1, 1946, and that the Grantor shall be entitled to receive the rents from said property to and including the month of October, 1946.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Form approved by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Authorizing the Printing of Arguments Advocating Approval of Charter Amendment No. 1, Relating to Basis of Standardization of Compensations of Certain Employees.

Proposal No. 6037, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Acting Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies to have printed, argument advocating the approval by the electors of Charter Amendment No. 1, on the November 5, 1946 ballot, relating to basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, the foregoing proposal was *referred to Judiciary Committee*.

## Adopted.

Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.

Proposal No. 6038, Resolution No. 5833 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated September 16, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of September and October, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## Passed for Second Reading.

An Ordinance Establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and Providing for Various Civilian Protection and Service Agencies Thereunder; Enumerating Certain Powers and Duties and Conferring Additional Powers and Duties on Certain Municipal Officers and Employees in Connection With Civilian Protection and Relief From Disaster; Repealing Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939).

Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and providing for various civilian protection and service agencies thereunder; enumerating certain powers and duties and conferring additional powers and duties on certain municipal officers and employees in connection with civilian protection and relief from disaster; repealing Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939).

Whereas, experience during the war and peace has demonstrated the need for adequate disaster plans and for mobilization of the resources of the community to cope with such disaster; and

Whereas, the State of California has created a State Disaster Council to prepare a state disaster plan and to recommend mutual aid regions; and

Whereas, municipalities have legal authority (Constitution, Article XI, Section 11, and Military and Veterans Code, Section 1571, as



amended by Statutes of 1945, Chapter 1024) to create disaster councils for the purpose of formulating local disaster plans, including mutual aid agreements; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1. Disaster.** As used in this ordinance, a disaster shall exist when proclaimed by the Commander. It shall include, but is not limited to, any extraordinary fire, flood, storm, epidemic, or earthquake, or any actual or threatened enemy attack or sabotage, which causes or threatens to cause loss of life or property and upon which occurrences the responsibility devolves upon the regularly constituted authorities for the maintenance of public peace and order and to preserve life and property.

**Section 2. The Disaster Council. Members.** The San Francisco Disaster Council is hereby created and shall consist of the following:

(a) The Mayor, who shall be Chairman.

(b) The Vice-Commander, who shall be the Chief Administrative Officer who, in the absence of or at the direction of the Commander, shall act on his behalf on matters within the purview of this ordinance.

(c) The Chiefs of Divisions as hereinafter provided.

(d) Such other representatives of civic, business, labor, veterans, professional, or other organizations as may be appointed by the Mayor.

**Section 3. Disaster Council. Powers and Duties.** It shall be the duty of the San Francisco Disaster Council and it is hereby empowered:

(a) To develop a plan for meeting any disaster. Such plan shall provide for the effective mobilization of all the resources of the community, both public and private.

(b) To prepare and recommend for consideration by the Board of Supervisors ordinances necessary to implement the disaster plan.

(c) To consider and recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval mutual aid plans and agreements.

The Disaster Council shall meet upon call of the Chairman.

**Section 4. Commander. Powers and Duties.** There is hereby created the office of Commander. The Mayor is designated as the Commander.

The Commander is hereby empowered:

(a) To proclaim the existence or threatened existence of a disaster and the termination thereof.

(b) To request the Governor to proclaim a state of extreme emergency (as defined in the Military and Veterans' Code of the State of California) in the area in and around the City and County of San Francisco when in the opinion of the Commander the resources of the community are inadequate to cope with the disaster.

(c) To govern and direct the efforts of the San Francisco Disaster Corps in the accomplishment of the purposes of this ordinance.

(d) To direct coordination and cooperation between the chiefs of divisions and resolve questions of authority and responsibility that may arise between them.

(e) To represent the Disaster Corps in all dealing with public or private agencies pertaining to disaster preparedness.

It shall also be the duty of the Commander and he is hereby empowered during a disaster or when a disaster is imminent:

(a) To make and issue rules and regulations on matters reasonably related to the protection of life and property affected by such disaster.

(b) To obtain necessary supplies, equipment and such other properties found lacking and needed for the protection of the life and property of the people, and to bind the City to reimburse for the fair value thereof.

(c) To require emergency services of any City officers or employees.

(d) To requisition necessary personnel or material or any City department or agency.

(e) To enter into agreements with private agencies for the performance of such special services and duties as may be necessary in the judgment of the Commander to carry out the provisions of this ordinance.

(f) To execute all of his ordinary powers as Mayor, all of the special powers conferred upon him by this ordinance and all powers conferred upon him by any other lawful authority.

**Section 5. Executive Officer.** There is hereby created the position of Executive Officer of the Disaster Corps. The Executive Officer shall be appointed by the Mayor. He shall be the Executive Secretary of the San Francisco Disaster Council and shall be Chief of the Division of Personnel and Recruitment.

**Section 6. Disaster Corps. General.** Officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, together with those volunteer forces enrolled to aid them during a disaster, and all groups, organizations and persons who may by agreement or operation of law be charged with duties incident to the protection of life and property in the City and County of San Francisco during such disaster, shall constitute the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

**Section 7. Divisions of Disaster Corps.** The functions and duties of the San Francisco Disaster Corps shall be distributed among the following divisions of such corps, each division to be under the direction of a chief and, in his absence, the first deputy and second deputy chief, respectively, who shall be appointed by the chief, and said division shall consist of the following forces, organizations, and services, and such other forces, organizations or services as may be included pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance. The Chiefs of Divisions shall organize and train volunteers assigned to such divisions by the Personnel and Recruitment division and shall formulate the division plan which, when approved by the Disaster Council, shall become an annex to the disaster plan. The Chief of Divisions shall include in the division plans recommended mutual aid agreements. The Purchaser of Supplies of the City and County of San Francisco shall be custodian of special equipment and other property obtained from any source for the use of the Disaster Corps. He is hereby authorized to act as the agent of this community to receive from any agency the loan of any such equipment or property upon such conditions as may be prescribed by such agency. He shall keep an account of the property entrusted to his control according to the terms of its acceptance, and where there are no terms provided by such agency, then upon such terms as the Commander may prescribe. He shall keep records of the receipt and distribution of such property and may issue or distribute such property only to the persons entitled thereto under the rules of the Corps. Any property now held by him for the use of the Citizens' Protective Corps shall be transferred to the use of the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

The Divisions shall consist of:

(a) *Police Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief of Police, who shall be Chief of the Police Division. The division shall consist of police personnel and the Police Reserve (volunteers).

(b) *Fire Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, who shall be Chief of the Fire Division. The division shall consist of Fire Department personnel and the Auxiliary Fire Service (volunteers).

(c) *Medical Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of Health Department personnel, medical and hospital units, ambulance units, receiving hospital personnel, the Coroner's department, and medical service volunteers. The Director of Public Health shall be the Chief of this division.

(d) *Public Works Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel of the Department of Public Works and auxiliary personnel (volunteers). The Director of Public Works shall be the Chief of this division.

(e) *Utilities Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Manager of Utilities who shall be Chief of the Utilities Division. The division shall consist of personnel of the utilities repair service and other utilities department personnel and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(f) *Communications Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel and equipment of the Department of Electricity and of the Ultra Shortwave Service and auxiliary personnel (volunteers) and such other City personnel engaged in communications work. The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be Chief of this division.

(g) *Personnel and Recruitment Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Executive Officer who shall be Chief of this division. It shall be the duty of this division to recruit all volunteer personnel, to enroll and register and classify such personnel (in accordance with the regulations adopted from time to time by the California State Disaster Council), to keep adequate records thereof, and to assign such personnel to other divisions of the Disaster Corps. The executive officer may establish and operate a volunteer office to accomplish these purposes.

(h) *Welfare Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Director of Public Welfare who shall be Chief of the Welfare Division. This division shall consist of personnel of the Public Welfare Department and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(i) *American Red Cross.* The American Red Cross in the City and County of San Francisco will furnish food, clothing, shelter, registration and information service, supplementary medical service when requested and rehabilitation to individuals and families affected by a disaster. The American Red Cross will provide funds with which to finance all of its relief operations. The chief of this division will be the chairman of the City of San Francisco Chapter of the American Red Cross, or a delegated representative thereof.

**Section 8. Volunteers.** All persons, other than officers and employees of the City, volunteering services pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall serve without compensation from the

City. While engaged in such services, they shall have the same immunities as officers and employees of the City performing similar duties.

**Section 9. Punishment of Violations.** It shall be a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not to exceed \$500.00, or by imprisonment for not to exceed six months, or both, for any person during a disaster:

(a) Willfully to obstruct, hinder or delay any member of the Disaster Corps in the enforcement of any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, or in the performance of any duty imposed upon him by virtue of this ordinance;

(b) To do any act forbidden by any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, if such act is of such a nature as to give, or be likely to give assistance to the enemy, or to imperil the lives or property of other inhabitants of this City, or to prevent, hinder or delay the defense or protection thereof;

(c) To wear, carry or display, without authority, any means of identification specified by the Disaster Council.

**Section 10.** Bill No. 2498, Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939) is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 10, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 16, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 389 (Series of 1939) by Increasing the Amount of Revolving Funds for the Recorder from \$150 to \$200.**

Bill No. 4300, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 389 (Series of 1939) by increasing the amount of revolving funds for the Recorder from \$150.00 to \$200.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1.** Section 1 of Ordinance No. 389 (Series of 1939) is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.** There are hereby established the following revolving funds for the Recorder:

(a) The Recorder's Change Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$50.00.

(b) The Recorder's Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$150.00.

Recommended by the Acting Director, Dept. of Finance and Records.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Funds Available: (Subject to approval of Bill No. 4301, Ord. No. . . .) by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$50 From Surplus in Contractual Services, Recorder's Office to Provide for Increasing Revolving Fund From \$100 to \$150.**

Bill No. 4301, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50 from the surplus existing in appropriation No. 630.200.00, contractual services, recorder's office, to provide funds for the purpose of increasing revolving fund for the recorder's office from \$100 to \$150.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 630.200.00, Contractual Services, Recorder's Office, to the credit of Appropriation No. 630.999.00, to provide funds for the purpose of increasing Revolving Fund for the Recorder's Office from \$100 to \$150.

Recommended by the Acting Director, Dept. of Finance and Records.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$4,140 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Compensation for 2 Senior Clerk Stenographers at \$230 Per Month in Superior Court, which Positions Are Created.**

Bill No. 4309, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939) as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,140 out of the surplus existing in the general fund compensation reserve, appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 senior clerk-stenographers at \$230 per month in the superior court, which positions are created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,140 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 621-110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 Senior Clerk-Stenographers at \$230 per month in the Superior Court, which positions are hereby created.

Recommended by the Secretary-Jury Commissioner of the Superior Court.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney

Approved by the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$2,400 From Surplus in Park Fund Compensation Reserve, to Provide for Sick Leave, Temporary Wages and Overtime Requirements.**

Bill No. 4310, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,400 out of the surplus existing in the Park Fund compensation reserve, appropriation No. 612.199.00, to provide funds in the park department for sick leave, temporary wages and overtime requirements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,400 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Park Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 612.199.00, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Park Department:

Appropriation  
Number

612.115.01	Salaries, Sick Leave . . . . .	\$ 400
612.135.01	Wages, Sick Leave . . . . .	400
612.130.01-2	Wages, temporary, General Division . . . . .	600
612.111.02	Allowance for Overtime, General Division . . . . .	1,000

to provide funds for partial sick leave compensation for employees receiving disability indemnity pay, wages for temporary employments required for sick leave replacements, and allowance for overtime for holiday pay for employees at the San Francisco Zoo.

Recommended by the Park Superintendent.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Establishing Revolving Fund for the Real Estate Department-Auditorium and Providing for the Operation Thereof.**

Bill No. 4311, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing revolving fund for the Real Estate Department-Auditorium and providing for the operation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a revolving fund to be known as the "Real Estate Department-Auditorium Revolving Fund," which fund shall not exceed the sum of \$50.00. The fund may be maintained in cash or may be deposited in such bank or banks as the Director of Property, with the approval of the Controller, may designate.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to use said revolving fund for making petty purchases required for the operation of the Auditorium within such limits as may be set by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller. Expenditures from said fund shall be made only for items for which funds are available for reimbursement to said revolving fund.

Section 3. The procedure to be followed in administering the said revolving fund shall conform to instructions issued by the Controller and the Purchaser relative thereto, including the "Procurement Pro-

cedure" with supplements and revisions pertaining thereto. At least once each month the Director of Property shall submit to the Controller a full and complete statement of expenditures from the said revolving fund, in such form and supported by such receipts as the Controller may prescribe. The Controller shall draw warrant to reimburse the said revolving fund for such expenditures properly accounted for.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Funds available subject to approval of Bill No. 4312 Ord. No. . . . by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$50 From Surplus in Materials and Supplies, Civic Auditorium, to Provide for Establishment of a Revolving Fund for Civic Auditorium.**

Bill No. 4312, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50 out of the surplus existing in appropriation No. 635.300.00, materials and supplies, Civic Auditorium, to provide funds for the establishment of a revolving fund for the Civic Auditorium.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 635.300.00, Materials and Supplies, Civic Auditorium, to provide funds for the establishment of a revolving fund for the Civic Auditorium.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

Final Passage.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$25,000 Out of the Accrued Revenues of the General Fund (Federal War Services and Assistance) to Provide Funds for Payments to Be Made Under the Federal War Services and Assistance Program; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4313, Ordinance No. 4025 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$25,000 out of the accrued revenues of the General Fund (Federal War Services and Assistance) to provide funds for payments to be made under the Federal War Services and Assistance Program; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$25,000 is hereby appropriated out of the accrued revenues of the General Fund (Federal War Services and Assistance), to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.840.07, to provide

funds for payments to be made under the Federal War Services and Assistance Program.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such advances from this appropriation as may be necessary.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to demands made by persons eligible for assistance the funds heretofore provided for the purpose are insufficient, and it is immediately necessary that additional funds be provided so that the Public Welfare Department may proceed without interruption to render aid to persons eligible therefor under the provisions of the Federal War Services and Assistance Program.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Consideration Continued.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$50,625 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds for the Compensation of 25 H2 Firemen at \$225-250 Per Month in the Fire Department, Which Positions Are Created; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4295, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50,625 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the compensation of 25 H2 firemen at \$225-250 per month in the Fire Department, which positions are created: an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50,625 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.110.01, to provide funds for the compensation of 25 H2 Firemen at \$225-250 per month in the Fire Department, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The employment of this additional personnel is necessary to carry out nightly inspections of all night clubs and places of assemblage, as well as for more thorough inspections throughout the city, for the purpose of enforcing strict adherence to the Fire Code and statutes for the protection of persons and property from fire; to carry out a campaign of education through direct contact with owners, lessees, tenants of the property and business establishments for the elimination of conditions which are or may become fire hazards or dangerous.

San Francisco population has increased by approximately 200,000, causing an overcrowded housing condition. To take care of this increase in population, buildings which were erected many years ago for one-family purposes have been converted into multiple family



dwellings. Old type residences consisting originally of two or three stories are now converted into housekeeping rooms, and buildings originally constructed as two or three flat dwellings are now converted into apartment houses or rooming houses. These occupancies now contain approximately four times the number of people formerly accommodated, thereby increasing the hazards.

Building construction and alterations have increased considerably and are still rising. Areas within the Sunset, Parkside, Merced, Lakeside, Potrero, Hunters Point and Bay View districts are being rapidly built upon. This large portion of San Francisco is being covered by two inspectors.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*September 10, 1946—Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso suggested that consideration of the foregoing bill be continued for one week. He desired to have the members of the Board of Fire Commissioners present. He wanted to move to amend by reducing the number of positions to be created from 25 to 15, and he desired to present his reasons for such change. He was convinced, in his own mind, he stated, that 25 men were not necessary.

Supervisor Mead stated that there was no formal request in committee for a continuance. He had told the Chairman of the Finance Committee, though, that he could not go along with any request for continuance, due to the understanding that the matter was to be brought back to the Board.

Supervisor Mancuso then moved that further consideration be postponed for one week. He felt the matter could be properly presented at that time. Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman announced that he was not taking part in any disagreement. The Chairman of the Finance Committee desires an additional week's consideration because he thinks he can get some information that might save the taxpayers some money. The statement made by Chief Kelley apparently bears him out; he doesn't know whether the men will be permanent or temporary, and he doesn't know how long they will be needed. However, if the Chairman does not get his desired information during the coming week, Supervisor Colman stated, he would not support a further request for delay.

Supervisor Mancuso, in further argument and explanation of the reasons for his request for continuance, stated that under the circumstances he did not think the Finance Committee had had the opportunity to consider the matter as it should have been considered. He was trying to present the case to the Board at its next meeting and to show that only fifteen men were needed.

Supervisor Mead remarked that the Fire Department had stated it needs fifteen permanent men and ten temporary men.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion for a week's continuance was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—5.

## Consideration Continued.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item, Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Fire Department, to Provide for 25 Additional Firemen.**

Bill No. 4308, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

A companion bill to the foregoing item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Fire Department, to provide for 25 additional firemen.

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 Fire Department (continued), by increasing the number of employments under Item 8 from 990 to 1015 H2 Firemen at \$225-250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 12.1 FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
8	1015	H2	<b>Fireman, 1st year</b> . . . . .	(b) \$225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b) 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b) 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b) 250
9	34	H10	Chief's Operator . . . . .	(b) 275
10	3	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines . . . . .	(b) 275
11	124	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	(b) 300
11.1	*2	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	(b) 300
12	73	H30	Captain . . . . .	(b) 325
13	26	H40	Battalion Chief . . . . .	(b) 425
14	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation . . . . .	460-550
15	1	H44	Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation . . . . .	355-425
16	7	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer . . . . .	(b) 500
17	1	H152	Inspector of Fire Department Apparatus . . . . .	240-300
18	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of . .	460

\*Funds provided for 9 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Colman, consideration was *continued until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

**Approved as Amended.****Intra-Fund Transfer—Board of Supervisors.**

It is moved that, in accordance with the provisions of the annual appropriation ordinance and the charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the request to the Controller for the intra-fund transfer to \$1,500.00 from Appropriation No. 601.500.00 (Improvements—Board of Supervisors) to Appropriation No. 633.400.01 (Equipment—Board of Supervisors) to provide funds for the purchase of necessary office equipment for use in the office of the Board.

**Amendment.**

Supervisor Mead moved that the amount as originally requested be transferred be increased by \$300, making that amount read \$1,500. He had made an investigation and he had found that \$1,200 was not sufficient to secure the necessary equipment to enable the

Clerk's office to function properly. Motion seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that this was the first time he had heard about the need for more than the \$1,200 originally recommended by the Finance Committee. However, he would not object to the amendment.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the amendment was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

The roll was again called, and the motion, as amended, and reading as above, was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—11.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following from the Finance Committee with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up:

Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

Appropriating the Sum of \$750,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund to Provide Funds for Replacements and Reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Bill No. 4291, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.925,50, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 3, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, September 16, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Mr. James Turner, Manager of Utilities, repeated briefly his explanation of the need for the foregoing appropriation. Four hundred and ten thousand dollars out of the \$750,000 requested, he pointed out, was for the reconstruction of the inner tracks on Market Street. The rest of the funds were required for many other jobs.

Supervisor Mead announced that he desired to vote for the difference between the entire appropriation of \$750,000 and the \$410,000 requested for the Market Street tracks reconstruction, and would, therefore, ask for a division of the question.

The Chair stated that he did not see how the matter could be divided.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead moved that the amount of \$410,000 be deleted. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor Lewis called attention to recommendation made by the Post-War Planning Committee that \$300,000 be appropriated for a study of the Market Street problem. He could see no sense in spending \$300,000 for such purpose if approximately one-half million dollars was to be appropriated at this time. He had been requested to ask for the privilege of the floor by several people. He would suggest postponement of consideration in order to give these men a chance to explain their views. Then too, probably Mr. Brooks' departments might have to go along with the job of street repair in connection with the reconstruction of tracks.

The Chief Administrative Officer stated that the Department of Public Works would have no work to do on Market Street at this time. The department might have to do some resurfacing from the outer tracks to the curbs at a later date.

Mr. Turner stated that the proposed track reconstruction did not involve the Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Mancuso opposed the motion to delete \$410,000. If the survey requested by the Post-War Planning Committee were made, there would still be necessary work which must be done. This work is to correct an evil that exists at the present time. The tracks should be replaced; Mr. Turner's hands should not be tied.

Supervisor Colman agreed that the work was necessary. If the tracks are not replaced, at least the same amount of money must be spent in maintenance of equipment, and the City and County will have nothing to show for it. This is the first step to permit the removal of the outer tracks and the repaving of Market Street.

Supervisor McMurray agreed with the views of Supervisors Lewis and Mead. He was opposed to the appropriation of \$410,000 for replacement of tracks on Market Street.

Supervisor MacPhee called attention to appropriation of \$300,000 made about three years ago for replacement of tracks on Stockton Street.

However, those tracks were still in service. He agreed, though, with Supervisor Mancuso in reference to his remarks about Mr. Turner. He thought Mr. Turner was doing a good job and the Board should not tie his hands. However, he thought emergency repairs should be made. He was not in favor of appropriating \$410,000 to do the whole job.

Mr. Turner reported that he was doing the job that the Public Utilities Commission considered should be done first. The condition on other streets was bad, but the Commission thinks that the condition on Market Street is worse. This job should be done immediately. Specifications are all ready to be issued.

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis moved that action be postponed for one week. He repeated his statement that there were several gentlemen who desired to speak on the matter. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor Mancuso opposed the motion. However, he believed the Board should hear from these gentlemen.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to postpone was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—6.

The roll was again called and the motion by Supervisor Mead to delete the appropriation of \$410,000 for replacement of tracks on Market Street, was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

Noes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Supervisor MacPhee declared that by a "Yes" vote now, the Board would be approving what it believes to be a solution of the Market Street problem. That was his understanding of the situation.

The Clerk presented and read communication from the Central Council of Civic Clubs, opposing the proposed expenditure for replacement of tracks on Market Street at the present time. The Clerk also read communication from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, urging reconstruction of said tracks.

Mr. T. M. Smith, representing Magic Carpet Coach System, read statement proposing a solution of the Market Street problem.

Supervisor Mead rose to a point of order. The operation of streetcars was not before the Board. The only question was an appropriation of \$410,000 for replacement of tracks on Market Street.

The Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

Supervisor Lewis stated that it was obvious that if there are substitutes for streetcars, the Board would not want to spend \$500,000 for tracks. He merely wanted to call attention to the fact that there are other plans than the operation of streetcars on Market Street. This was no time to invest a half million dollars in tracks, because so doing would indicate that there was only one plan, and the Board would have decided that this was the only plan.

Supervisor Meyer held that if there is another plan, it would take from four to six years to get it. The tracks are needed now.

Supervisor McMurray announced that he was going to vote for the requested appropriation, but that would not be a declaration on his part for streetcars on Market Street.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved for approval of Bill No. 4291. Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

The roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

The roll was again called and Bill No. 4291 was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

#### Re-reference to Committee.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

#### Ordering Submission of Proposed Amendment to Initiative Ordinance Regulating Refuse Collection and Disposal.

The Board of Supervisors hereby orders submitted to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, an ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted at an election held in said City and County November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Col-

lection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance," by amending Sections 6 and 16 of said ordinance, as follows:

Bill No. 4259, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

**Initiative ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted by the electors on November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance," by amending Sections 6 and 16 thereof, relating to the rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse by refuse collectors and the records to be kept by collectors."**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, entitled as recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse as herein defined, by refuse collectors, shall be as follows:

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from the ground floor:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. . . . .	\$ .60	\$ .75	\$1.00	\$1.30
5 . . . . .	.65	.85	1.05	1.35
6 . . . . .	.65	.85	1.05	1.40
7 . . . . .	.75	1.00	1.15	1.45
8 . . . . .	.80	1.10	1.30	1.50
9 . . . . .	.85	1.15	1.35	1.60
10 . . . . .	.90	1.25	1.45	1.75
11 . . . . .	.95	1.30	1.50	1.80
12 . . . . .	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from second floor, one stairway above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. . . . .	\$ .65	\$ .85	\$1.05	\$1.35
5 . . . . .	.75	.90	1.15	1.45
6 . . . . .	.75	.95	1.30	1.50
7 . . . . .	.80	1.05	1.35	1.60
8 . . . . .	.90	1.25	1.45	1.70
9 . . . . .	.95	1.30	1.50	1.75
10 . . . . .	1.00	1.35	1.50	1.85
11 . . . . .	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90
12 . . . . .	1.05	1.50	1.75	2.05

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from third floor, two stairways above ground floor or basement:

No. Rooms	Collections Per Week.			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. . . . .	\$ .70	\$ .85	\$1.10	\$1.40
4 . . . . .	.70	.85	1.35	1.45
5 . . . . .	.75	.90	1.50	1.50
6 . . . . .	.75	.90	1.60	1.70
7 . . . . .	.90	1.30	1.70	1.80
8 . . . . .	.95	1.40	1.80	1.85

*Collections Per Week (Continued)*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
9 .....	1.00	1.50	1.90	2.00
10 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.10
11 .....	1.05	1.60	2.15	2.25
12 .....	1.10	1.75	2.25	2.35

Monthly rates from residences and flats. Made from fourth floor, three stairways above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .95	\$1.35	\$1.60
4 .....	.70	.95	1.50	1.85
5 .....	.85	1.05	1.70	2.00
6 .....	.90	1.10	1.80	2.10
7 .....	1.00	1.45	1.90	2.20
8 .....	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.30
9 .....	1.05	1.70	2.15	2.40
10 .....	1.05	1.80	2.25	2.50
11 .....	1.10	1.90	2.35	2.65
12 .....	1.25	2.05	2.45	2.75

Monthly rates from apartment houses:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(6)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
10 .....	\$2.40	\$1.90	\$1.70	\$1.50	\$1.40
20 .....	4.50	3.90	3.50	3.10	2.90
30 .....	6.30	5.10	4.70	4.10	....
40 .....	7.80	6.90	5.90	....	....
50 .....	9.00	8.15	6.90	....	....
60 .....	10.00	9.20	....	....	....
70 .....	11.00	10.30	....	....	....
80 .....	12.00	11.20	....	....	....
90 .....	13.00	12.10	....	....	....
100 .....	14.00	12.90	....	....	....
110 .....	15.20	....	....	....	....

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
120 .....	\$16.30	370 .....	\$43.00
130 .....	17.40	380 .....	45.00
140 .....	18.50	390 .....	46.00
150 .....	19.60	400 .....	47.00
160 .....	20.70	410 .....	47.20
170 .....	21.80	420 .....	48.30
180 .....	22.90	430 .....	49.40
190 .....	24.00	440 .....	50.50
200 .....	25.10	450 .....	51.60
210 .....	26.00	460 .....	52.70
220 .....	27.00	470 .....	53.80
230 .....	28.00	480 .....	54.90
240 .....	29.00	490 .....	56.00
250 .....	30.00	500 .....	57.10
260 .....	31.00	510 .....	58.20
270 .....	32.00	520 .....	59.30
280 .....	33.00	530 .....	60.40
290 .....	35.00	540 .....	61.50
300 .....	36.00	550 .....	62.60
310 .....	37.00	560 .....	63.70
320 .....	38.00	570 .....	65.80
330 .....	39.00	580 .....	65.90
340 .....	40.00	590 .....	67.00
350 .....	41.00	600 .....	68.00
360 .....	42.00		

The rates for more than 600 rooms in any one apartment house shall be subject to contract between the owner or lessee of the apartment house and a duly licensed refuse collector.

In determining the number of rooms of any household, building or apartment in order to ascertain the rate for the collection and disposition of refuse therefrom, halls, alcoves, storerooms, bathrooms, closets and toilets shall not be considered as rooms, nor shall basements or attics be considered as rooms unless the same be occupied as living quarters.

Any collection and disposition charges not specifically set forth herein shall be subject to contract between the producer and a duly licensed refuse collector.

Section 2. Section 16 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 16. During the month of January each year the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall survey and examine into the rates to the producer for the collection and disposition of refuse, with a view to a reduction in such rates, and upon completion thereof shall report his conclusions to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors may by a two-thirds vote reduce the rates upon receipt of said report when found to be justified, and may by a two-thirds vote increase said rates, but not to exceed the rates herein set forth. The Board of Supervisors shall have no other right to amend this ordinance. Each collector holding a permit shall keep such records as may be required to produce the information necessary for the purposes of this section. The records shall be made available to the Controller at his request.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 10, 1946—*Re-referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee reported on committee hearing of the foregoing proposed amendment to the Initiative Ordinance regulating the collection and disposal of refuse. He reported that Dr. Geiger had suggested the need of an entirely new ordinance.

Dr. Geiger reported that he had such new ordinance prepared. However, it had not yet been presented to the Chief Administrative Officer or to the City Attorney. It would be an idle act for the Board to listen to its reading at the present time.

Thereupon, Supervisor Sullivan moved that the draft of the new legislation take its regular course.

Supervisor MacPhee then moved that the foregoing matter be re-referred to Judiciary Committee. Motion seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Ordered Submitted.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . SALVAGE CORPS.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 38.1 thereof, relating to salvage corps.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at



an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 38.1 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

### SALVAGE CORPS.

Section 38.1. There is hereby created in the San Francisco fire department a division to be known as the salvage corps.

The duties of said salvage corps shall be the protection of property, during the period of any fire and immediately after said fire, from damage by smoke, water or flames. Said salvage corps shall be under the jurisdiction of the fire commission of the City and County of San Francisco. The personnel of said salvage corps shall not be transferred to any other branch or division of the fire department but shall at all times be subject to the orders of the chief engineer of the department in so far as actual service is concerned. The personnel of said salvage corps may be increased in accordance with the fiscal and budgetary procedure provided for in the charter, but members of any other branch or division of said fire department shall not be transferred to said salvage corps except after examination and certification as to eligibility by the civil service commission.

All persons employed in the uniformed force of the corporation known as the Underwriters' Fire Patrol of San Francisco, [on the effective date of this amendment] on July 1, 1943, and who [have been] were so employed for a period of six months next [before the effective date of this amendment shall become] theretofore, thereupon became members of said salvage corps and [shall be] were thereupon deemed appointed as such in accordance with the civil service provisions of the charter and [shall] are thereafter [be] entitled to all of the benefits thereof. Any person [heretofore] employed on July 1, 1943, in the uniformed force of said Underwriters' Fire Patrol of San Francisco, [who has been granted a leave of absence for military purposes] who was absent from his duties therein on account of military service and who had been so employed by said Underwriters' Fire Patrol of San Francisco for a period of six (6) months next before [the granting of said leave of absence, shall on the expiration of his said leave become] July 1, 1943, is deemed a member of said salvage corps on July 1, 1943, on military leave from his position therein and [also shall be] is deemed appointed thereto on July 1, 1943, pursuant to the civil service provisions of the charter and entitled from said date to all of the benefits [thereof.] of such employment.

All persons who, on the effective date of this amendment, shall be serving as officers in said uniformed force of said Underwriters' Fire Patrol of San Francisco and who have been so serving for a period of six months prior thereto, shall continue to occupy their respective official positions, with the exception that such persons as may be serving in the position of sergeant shall become lieutenants in said salvage corps and the position of sergeant shall no longer exist.

The officers and members of said salvage corps shall receive respectively the salaries provided for captains, lieutenants and hosemen provided by section 36 of the charter and for the purpose of determining the salaries of said hosemen, service rendered in the uniformed force of said Underwriters' Fire

Patrol of San Francisco shall be deemed as service rendered in the service of the City and County of San Francisco.

Upon the actual taking over of the employees of said Underwriters' Fire Patrol of San Francisco on July 1, 1943, the members thereof coming into the employment of the City and County of San Francisco thereupon [shall become] became members of the San Francisco City and County Retirement System and were thereupon and shall thenceforth [and shall] be entitled to the benefits thereof and subject to the obligations thereof pursuant to the provisions of section [165] 171 of the charter. Contributions of such members who are members of the retirement system on the effective date of this amendment shall be adjusted accordingly, but no adjustments in benefits or contributions shall be made on account of members who came into the employment of the city and county on the actual taking over of said employees and who are not members of the retirement system on the effective date of this amendment. Each employee shall have the option, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system prior to July 1, 1947, of being a member of the system under section 165 instead of section 171. Persons who affirmatively exercise said options, shall be members of the system under section 165, effective July 1, 1943, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of section 171.

All employees hereafter added to said salvage corps, including officers appointed thereto, other than those who may have been serving in the uniformed forces of said Underwriters' Fire Patrol of San Francisco and continue in the service of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to the provisions of this section, shall be appointed and hold their positions subject to the civil service provisions of the charter.

The tours of duty of the members of said salvage corps shall be the same as the tours of duty for other members of the uniformed force of the fire department as the same are set forth in section 36 of the charter.

The physical and age requirements for future applicants and members of the salvage corps shall be the same as those applicable to applicants for and regular members of equal rank in the San Francisco fire department.

[This amendment shall become effective when the joint legislative resolution approving such amendment is filed with the secretary of state, and the board of supervisors has appropriated the funds necessary in connection therewith, but not later than July 1, 1943.]

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .

### RETIREMENT—MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

**MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.** Adding Charter Section 165.2 prescribing retirement provisions for present and future Miscellaneous Officers and Employees.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of

said City and County by adding Section 165.2 thereto, relating to present and future Miscellaneous Officers and Employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County, at the general election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County, by adding thereto a new section to be designated as Section 165.2, as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

## RETIREMENT—MISCELLANEOUS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

*Section 165.2. Miscellaneous officers and employees, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on the effective date hereof, hereby designated as the first day of July, 1947, and persons who become miscellaneous officers and employees after said effective date, shall be members of the retirement system on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this section, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter, provided that the retirement system shall be applied to persons employed on a part-time, temporary or substitute basis only as the board of supervisors shall determine by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board. Miscellaneous officers and employees of the said departments who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 165 instead of this section, the election under said option to be effective on said effective date, provided, that members who are absent by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, on the effective date of the amendment shall have the same option of electing to be members under section 165 instead of this section, until ninety days after their return to service. On and after said date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the system under section 165 and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of this section.*

(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

“Retirement allowance”, or “allowance”, shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

“Compensation”, as distinguished from benefits under the workmen’s compensation laws of the State of California, shall mean all remuneration whether in cash or by other allowances made by the city and county, for service qualifying for credit under this section, excluding that part of such remuneration which exceeds \$500.00 per month, unless the board of supervisors shall otherwise provide by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board.

“Compensation earnable” shall mean the compensation as determined by the retirement board, which would have been earned by the member had he worked,

throughout the period under consideration, the average number of days ordinarily worked by persons in the same grade or class of positions as the positions held by him during such period, and at the rate of pay attached to such positions, it being assumed that during any absence, he was in the position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to entering city-service he was in the position first held by him in city-service.

"Benefit" shall include "allowance", "retirement allowance", and "death benefit".

"Average final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earned by a member during any five consecutive years of credited service in the retirement system in which his average final compensation is the highest, excluding that part of such remuneration which exceeds \$500.00 per month, unless the board of supervisors shall otherwise provide by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "miscellaneous officer or employee", or "member", as used in this section shall mean any officer or employee who is not a member of the fire or police departments as defined in the charter for the purpose of the retirement system, under section 165 of the charter.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

(B) Any member who completes at least twenty years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system and attains the age of fifty-five years, or at least ten years of service in the aggregate credited in the retirement system, and attains the age of sixty years, said service to be computed under subsection (G) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of seventy years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1948; the age of sixty-nine years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1949; the age of sixty-eight years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1950; the age of sixty-seven years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1951; the age of sixty-six years during the twelve months ending June 30, 1952; and thereafter, following the attainment of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after reaching the age of sixty years shall receive a service retirement allowance at the rate of  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of said average final compensation, for each year of service. The service retirement allowance of any member retiring, after rendering twenty years or more of such service and having attained the age of fifty-five years, computed under subsection (G), shall be such as can be provided at the age of retirement by the actuarial value, at the age of retirement, of the retirement allowance to which he would be entitled upon retirement at age sixty and with the service credited at the date of actual retirement. Before the first payment of

a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection (C) of this section, may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits. The portion of service retirement allowance provided by the city and county's contributions shall be not less than \$50 per month upon retirement after thirty years of service and after attaining the age of sixty years, and provided further that as to any member with fifteen years or more of service at the compulsory retirement age of sixty-five, the portion of the service retirement allowance provided by the city and county's contribution shall be such that the total retirement allowance shall be not less than \$50 per month.

(C) Any member who becomes incapacitated for performance of duty because of disability determined by the retirement board to be of extended and uncertain duration, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service credited in the retirement system in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (G) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the average final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof for each year of credited service, if such retirement allowance exceeds one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of his average final compensation; otherwise one and one-half ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ ) per cent of his average final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service which would be creditable to him were such city-service to continue until attainment by him of age sixty, but such retirement allowance shall not exceed one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of such average final compensation. In the calculation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one (1) class of service, that is service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools, or as an employee in any other position, separate retirement allowance shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the average final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the average final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the five (5) years immediately preceding his retirement. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of any commission or board, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to service in the position or classification he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) No modification of benefits provided in this section shall be made because of any amounts payable to or on account of any member under workmen's compensation laws of the State of California.

(E) If a member shall die, before retirement, regardless of cause, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary consisting of the compensation earnable by him during the six months immediately preceding death, plus his contributions and interest credited thereon. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the

conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members.

(F) Should any miscellaneous member cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to cover similar terminations of employment of other members of the retirement system, provided that if such member is entitled to be credited with at least ten years of service, he shall have the right to elect within ninety days after said termination of service, without right of revocation, whether to allow his accumulated contributions to remain in the retirement fund. Failure to make such election shall be deemed an irrevocable election to withdraw his accumulated contributions. Upon the qualification of such member for retirement by reason of service and age, he shall receive a retirement allowance which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions and an equal amount of the contributions of the city and county, plus  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of his average final compensation for each year of service credited to him as rendered prior to his first membership in the retirement system. Upon the death of such member prior to retirement, his contributions with interest credited thereon shall be paid his estate or designated beneficiary.

(G) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

- (1) Time during which said member is a member of the retirement system and during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a miscellaneous officer or employee.
- (2) Service in the fire and police departments which is not credited as service of a member under this section shall count under this section upon transfer of a member of either of such departments to employment entitling him to membership in the retirement system under this section, provided that the accumulated contribution standing to the credit of such member shall be adjusted by refund to the member or by payment by the member to bring the account at the time of such transfer to the amount which it would have been credited to it had the member been a miscellaneous employee throughout the period of his service in either of such departments at the compensation he received in such departments.
- (3) Time during which said member is absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding which is not deemed absence from service under the provisions of section 161 of the charter and for which such member is entitled to receive credit as service for the city and county by virtue of contributions made in accordance with the provisions of such section.

(H) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds:

- (1) The rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his nearest age at the effective date of his membership in the retirement system. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under this section, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under subsection (B) of this

section, one-half of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled if retired at age sixty or higher age after rendering ten years of service for retirement under that subsection. No adjustment shall be included in said rates because of time during which members have contributed at different rates. Provided further that the member's contribution under this section and the city's contribution on his account shall cease after thirty-six years of credited service. Members' rates of contributions shall be changed only in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for changing contribution rates of other members.

- (2) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rate of contribution to such salary payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsections (E) and (F) of this section, provided that the portion of the salaries of the teachers as provided in Section 165, paragraph (a), as a basis for fixing the contributions to be made, and the benefits to be received, by the teachers under the retirement system shall be determined by the method provided in section 165 paragraph (a) and shall not be less than eighty per cent of the total salary received by the teachers, excluding that part of such portion which exceeds \$500.00 per month, unless the board of supervisors shall otherwise provide by ordinance enacted by three-fourths vote of all members of the board.
- (3) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1) and (3) of subsection (G), and deducted prior to the effective date hereof, from compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.
- (4) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, on the effective date hereof, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.
- (5) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (H), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his rate of contribution is determined in paragraph (1), subsection (H),

shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members under this section, said percentage to be the ratio of the value of the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contributions, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said respective dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and of death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

- (6) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city and county held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

(I) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (B), and nothing shall deprive said member of said right.

(J) No person retired under this section, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such persons receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any such retired person engage in gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty years, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed his compensation at the time of his retirement.



(K) *Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of this section. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.*

*This amendment shall take effect on the first day of July, 1947.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Numbering of Amendments.

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the foregoing proposed charter amendment be designated as No. 3.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved that the charter amendment affecting members of the Police Department and the Fire Department be designated as No. 4.

However, Supervisor Mead pointed out that said amendment had not yet been ordered submitted, and could not be numbered until it was ordered submitted. He suggested a change of language and that the motion be that "No. 4 be reserved for the charter amendment for the members of the Police Department and the Fire Department."

Supervisor Gallagher accepted the changed language as his motion.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

Supervisor Mancuso moved that charter amendment to provide for increase in compensation for Supervisors from \$200 to \$350 per month, be designated as No. 2 on the ballot. Motion seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Ordered Submitted.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

#### SALARY STANDARDIZATION—CERTAIN ELECTIVE AND APPOINTIVE OFFICERS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 151.1, relating to certain officers and employees subject to salary standardization.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending Section 151.1 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

#### SALARY STANDARDIZATION—CERTAIN ELECTIVE AND APPOINTIVE OFFICERS

SEC. 151.1. Notwithstanding any other provisions or limitations of this charter, the compensations of all *elective and* appointive officers of the city and county,

except members of the board of supervisors and of other boards and commissions, the superintendent of schools [and officers] and members of the several ranks of the police and fire departments, shall be fixed in accordance with the salary standardization provisions of this charter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. ....

### BOARD OF EDUCATION

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said City and County by amending Section 134, relating to the Board of Education.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said City and County by amending Section 134 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### BOARD OF EDUCATION

Section 134. All of the public schools of the school district of the city and county shall be under the control and management of a board of education, composed of seven commissioners, who shall be nominated by the mayor and be subject to confirmation or rejection by vote of the electors as in this section provided, and who shall be subject to recall, and to suspensions and removal in the same manner as elective officers, as provided by this charter. The term of each member shall be five years, commencing on the 8th day of January following their respective nominations, provided that each such five-year term shall begin at the expiration of the respective terms of members as existing at the time this charter shall go into effect. The compensation of each member shall be fifteen dollars (\$15) per day when the board is in session and ten dollars (\$10) per day when engaged in committee work under the direction of the board, provided that the total amount for such session and committee work for the whole board shall not exceed six thousand dollars (\$6,000) for any fiscal year, and that only those actually attending a session or doing such committee work shall be entitled to compensation therefor.

Nominations of members of the board of education shall be made, subject to confirmation by the electors, by the filing by the mayor, with the registrar of voters between the [1st] *first* and the [10th] *tenth* day of September in each year prior to the expiration of the term or terms of members, the name of one qualified citizen, or two, as the case may be, to serve as a member or members, respectively, of said board for the regular term or terms commencing on the 8th day of January in the succeeding year.

The form of ballot shall be as provided in section 184 of this charter and if a majority of the qualified electors voting on said nomination or nominations shall vote in favor thereof, said nomination shall be confirmed and the person or persons named shall take office on the 8th day of January next following. If a majority of the electors vote "No," the nomination shall stand rejected, and such person shall not be eligible for nomination as a member of the board of education for a period of at least three years. *If a majority of the electors vote "No," the mayor shall appoint a qualified citizen to serve as a member of the board until the 8th day of January following the next general election or general municipal election, whichever shall first be held. Between the first and tenth day of September before such general election or general municipal election, the mayor shall nominate, subject to confirmation by the electors at such election, as herein provided, a qualified citizen to serve as a member of the board for the remainder of the five year term for which the nomination first made by the mayor was rejected.* Vacancies otherwise occurring on said board shall be filled by the mayor for the unexpired terms.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

#### Re-reference to Committee.

#### Directing Preparation of Proposed New Initiative Ordinance Regulating Refuse Collection and Disposal.

Proposal No. 6039, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it is the desire of this Board of Supervisors to have prepared for submission to the electorate of the City and County of San Francisco at as early a date as possible a revised version of the existing initiative ordinance governing refuse collection and disposal, such revision to include regulations looking to the provision of improved sanitary facilities, wider dissemination of rate information, redelegation of authority to make disposition of consumer complaints, and other refinements of a modern and progressive refuse collection and disposal system; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Public Health and the Chief Administrative Officer be and they are hereby requested to prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors a revised initiative ordinance which will be designed to effectuate the objectives outlined hereinabove, and which will include all provisions deemed by said Director of Public Health and Chief Administrative Officer to be worthy of inclusion therein.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the foregoing proposal was *re-referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Leave of Absence—John R. Graves, Member of the Public Library Commission.

Proposal No. 6040, Resolution No. 5834 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Mr. John R. Graves, a member of the Public Library Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the

period September 15 to October 1, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Relinquishment of the Chair.**

Supervisor Gallagher relinquished the Chair temporarily, in favor of Supervisor Lewis, in order to take more active part in consideration of charter amendment for members of Fire and Police departments.

**Action Rescinded.**

**Charter Amendment—Police and Fire Departments.**

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the action of the Board whereby on August 26, 1946, proposed charter amendment affecting the officers and employees of the Police and the Fire departments was ordered submitted to the electors at the election to be held on November 5, 1946, be rescinded. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead.

The roll was called and the motion *carried* and the Board's action heretofore taken was rescinded by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher presented the following:

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO  
SECTIONS 36, 35.5 AND 35.5½ OF THE CHARTER**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department and Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department and Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, so that the same shall read as follows:

**FIRE DEPARTMENT**

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at

twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years [1946, 1948, 1949] 1944, 1945, and 1946, respectively.

The fire commission shall appoint a chief [engineer] of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided.

The annual compensation for the several ranks in the fire department shall be as follows: chief [engineer] of department, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; first assistant and second assistant [chief engineers] chiefs of department, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; battalion chiefs, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains, [\$3,000;] \$4,500; lieutenants, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; engineers, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; chief's operators, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen and hosemen, for first year of service, [\$2,700;] \$3,120; for second year of service, [\$2,800;] \$3,240; for third year of service, [\$2,900;] \$3,360; for fourth year of service and thereafter, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; firemen of fire boats, [\$3,060;] \$3,540.

Except as to members of marine crews of fire boats, each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two tours of duty, to-wit: from eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m., and from six o'clock p. m., to eight o'clock a. m. The uniformed force of the fire department shall be divided into two platoons, the officers and members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than one week. No officer or member, including pilots, marine engineers and firemen of fire boats, shall be required to work more than one hundred and thirty (130) hours in any fifteen day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to [remain on duty for] work more than fourteen consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Each officer and each member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.

On the recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief [engineer] of department, or in his absence any assistant chief [engineer] of department, or in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

In determining years of service necessary for a driver, stoker, tillerman, truckman and hoseman to receive the annual compensation sum of [\$2,800, \$2,900, and \$3,000,] \$3,240, \$3,360, and \$3,480, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

[Upon the increased compensation in this section provided becoming effective, section 36.2 shall stand repealed.]

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the fire department shall be effective and shall accrue on the first day of January, [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

### POLICE DEPARTMENT

Section 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; deputy chief of police, [\$7,500;] \$8,640; captain of inspectors, [\$6,600;] \$7,620; supervising captain of districts and department secretary, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; captain of traffic, [\$5,400;] \$6,240; director of bureau of personnel and director of bureau of criminal information, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains and criminologist, [\$4,980;] \$5,760; lieutenants and director of bureau of special services, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; inspectors, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; sergeants, [\$3,480;] \$4,020; photographer, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; police surgeon, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, for first year of service, [\$2,700;] \$3,120; for second year of service, [\$2,800;] \$3,240; for third year of service, [\$2,900;] \$3,360; for fourth year of service and thereafter, [\$3,000;] \$3,480.

[The minimum annual compensation for police officers, women protective officers, and police patrol drivers, now members of the department or who shall be appointed from eligible lists established prior to January 11, 1943, shall be \$2,800, and further adjustments shall be in accordance with the preceding paragraph.]

In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensations [sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively,] as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned

a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive \$15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the police department shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Section 35.5½ (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be [forty-eight (48)] forty-four (44) hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to *at least* one (1) day off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(4) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this subsection. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal day off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than [forty-eight (48)] forty-four (44) hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members

so serving more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours shall be granted added compensation or time off with pay for said extra service performed.

(g) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leaves and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(h) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the said periods of fifteen (15) minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of said commission.

[(j) This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1944, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date, this section shall become effective on the 1st day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.]

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Gallagher explained the changes therein. In the new proposed amendment, the hours per week for the police are increased from 40 to 44. The hours for the members of the Fire Department are increased from 56 hours per week to 61.7 hours. The number of members of the Police Department has been restored to present charter provision—one member for each 500 inhabitants.

Supervisor Colman objected to voting for the submission of the proposed amendment until he had heard from the two commissions affected. He believed consideration should be postponed for one week for that purpose. No harm could come from such delay.

Supervisor Gallagher reported that he understood the Police Commission would not support the amendment. The Fire Commission was split. One member was supporting the amendment and another member was not. The third member was new on the Commission and did not want to take part either way because he did not yet know enough about the functioning of the department. During the hearing in the Board, minor amendments were made in accord with the wishes of the Police Commission, the Fire Commission and the members of the departments. It is up to the Board to order this amendment submitted. It is in conformity with the Los Angeles and the Oakland provisions. It will be the people, of course, who will decide the ultimate disposition of the amendment.

Supervisor Colman again urged a week's delay. No harm will come from such postponement. He did not desire to vote against submission to the voters, but if compelled to vote at the present time, thus



being denied the opportunity of having the guidance of the commissions, which run the Police and the Fire departments, and the opportunity of discussing the matter with the Mayor, he would have to vote against submission. Thereupon, he moved for a week's time in order to find out the views of the Mayor, and the commissions running the two departments. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Lieutenant James Quigley, on being granted the privilege of the floor, reported that the Police Committee had met with the Police Commissioners earlier in the day, and gave the Commissioners a copy of the proposed amendment. The hours and wages set up in the proposed amendment are exactly the same or less than the hours and wages in Los Angeles at the present time. The Commission gave permission to present the matter to the Board. The Commission has no objection to putting the amendment on the ballot. Endorsement comes after a matter is on the ballot. The president of the Fire Commission has stated that he is giving the amendment most serious consideration in view of the fact that it will give parity with Los Angeles. The Mayor, in his statement, said he had endorsed the carmen's amendment because it was on parity with Los Angeles. He can't well oppose this amendment, because it too gives parity with Los Angeles. The men have gone as far as they will. There is nothing else to be taken away, as far as the Police Committee is concerned. The amendment should be put on the ballot and should be given a number, in order that the members of the departments can conduct their campaigns.

Mr. Robert Callaghan also urged submission. There have been seventeen meetings to discuss this amendment during the past two weeks. The Municipal Conference Committee has never granted the courtesy of a hearing. He urged a favorable vote on submission, without further delay.

Supervisor Christopher announced that ordinarily he would vote for a delay. However, he could not so do in this instance.

Supervisor Colman again urged postponement. He desired to hear from the heads of the two departments most directly concerned, and also from the Mayor.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion for a week's continuance lost by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso—2.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the amendment be ordered submitted. Motion seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Supervisor Colman announced his intention to vote "No" on submission of the amendment, on the grounds that he was not familiar with the amendment; that he had been denied the right of knowing the opinions of the two commissions directly concerned. The Chairman of the Finance Committee has made a statement calling attention to the \$5.55 tax rate. If all the amendments on the ballot carry it would cost ten million dollars, more or less, or \$1.20 in the tax rate, which would bring the tax rate to \$6.75. These matters should be studied, and studied carefully. The commissions might have suggestions which would be constructive that the Board might be glad to take, and which the firemen and policemen might be glad to take. He believed it was his duty, because of the meager information he had, to wait and know what he was doing. He regretted it very much, but he would have to vote "No" unless a postponement were granted.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he would vote for submission because he felt that should be done. However, he believed the Board had made a mistake in not granting the request for a week's postponement.

Following suggestion that the amended charter amendment was a new matter and could not be ordered submitted on the day of its introduction, without reference to committee, except by unanimous consent of the Board, Supervisor Gallagher moved suspension of the rules, as provided for in Rule 67 of the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

The Chair ruled that section did not apply to the present case.

Supervisor Colman requested the Chair to check on Rule 16, which provides that "No proposal shall be considered or adopted by the Board on the day of its introduction or presentation, and without reference to committee, except by unanimous consent of the Supervisors present."

The Chair ruled that a charter amendment was a proposal.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that he would appeal from the decision of the Chair. The charter amendment was not legislation. The Board was not enacting anything, but merely submitting it to the people of San Francisco for their action.

Supervisor Christopher referred to Rule 19, entitled "Right of Board to Amend Proposed Legislation," and pointed out that the subject matter was not new, but had been before the Board previously.

Supervisor Gallagher contended that the charter amendment he was proposing was the same as that previously presented and considered by the Board, with the exception of hours.

Thereupon, the Chair stated that Supervisor Gallagher was correct, and that Rule 19 covered the matter. He suggested that Supervisor Gallagher withdraw his motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, with the consent of his second, withdrew his motion.

Mr. Peddicord, having been requested to advise the Board, stated that if the Board should amend Rule 16, which was substantially taken from the Charter, Section 13, it would be in a position to act. In Section 13 of the Charter, in the phrase beginning "No other resolution," the court has ruled that the word "resolution" should be read "ordinance." Accordingly, by suspension of Rule 16, the Board could act.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that Rule 16 be suspended.

Thereupon, the Chair, Supervisor Lewis, announced that he had no objection to suspension of Rule 16. However, he would vote "No" on submission.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he was concerned with the question of legality of the Board's procedure. He had originally intended to propose to have a meeting on Friday for the purpose of having the Board sit as a Committee of the Whole to consider a charter amendment for the City Planning Commission. That was discussed in Judiciary Committee meeting during the previous week.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher withdrew his motion for suspension of Rule 16.

Supervisor MacPhee then moved that the matter under consideration be postponed until Friday, September 20, 1946, at 2:00 p. m., at which time the Board of Supervisors would hold a special meeting to consider the matter.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that when the Board finishes its day's business, it adjourn to reconvene on Friday, September 20, 1946, at 2:00 p. m.

*No action.*

Supervisor MacPhee moved the proposed charter amendment be referred to Judiciary Committee.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Supervisor Gallagher resumed the chair.

### Construction, Reconstruction, and Repair of Public Buildings, Streets, Utilities or Other Public Works or Improvements.

Supervisor Mead presented proposed charter amendment relating to contracts for construction, reconstruction and repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public works or improvement.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### Action Rescinded.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that it would be necessary to rescind action heretofore taken on September 10, 1946, whereby amendment to Section 151.3 of the Charter, Basis of Standardization of Compensations of Certain Employees (Carmen) was ordered submitted to the voters, and he would so move. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso and *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Mead—4.

### Substitute Proposed Amendment Presented.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee presented substitute for the amendment to Section 151.3 of the Charter, on which the previous action had been rescinded, and moved approval thereof. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

*Amendment approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Brown—1.

The Chair then ruled that the amendment was *referred to Judiciary Committee.*

## BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. ....

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 thereof relating

to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees, which shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Section 151.3. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter, whenever any groups or crafts establish a rate of pay for such groups or crafts through collective bargaining agreements with employers employing such groups or crafts, and such rate is recognized and paid throughout the industry and the establishments employing such groups or crafts in San Francisco, and the civil service commission shall certify that such rate is generally prevailing for such groups or crafts in private employment in San Francisco pursuant to collective bargaining agreements, the board of supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty to fix such rate of pay as the compensations for such groups or crafts engaged in the city and county service. [The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year providing the civil service commission has so certified such rate of pay to the board of supervisors on or prior to the first day of April preceding.] *The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be determined on the basis of rates of pay certified by the civil service commission on or prior to April 1st of each year and shall be effective July 1st following; provided, that the civil service commission shall review all such agreements as of July 1st of each year and certify to the board of supervisors on or before the second Monday of July any modifications in rates of pay established thereunder for such crafts or groups as herein provided. The board of supervisors shall thereupon revise the rates of pay for such crafts or groups accordingly and the said revised rates of pay so fixed shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which the said revisions are determined.*

Should the budget estimates for the several departments be filed with the controller or transmitted to the mayor before [said] any such report of said civil service commission is received by the board of supervisors, the head of each department affected by [said] such report may amend its budget estimate to comply with the provisions of [said] such report. [of said civil service commission so as to make the same effective as of the first day of July of the following fiscal year. No report made by the civil service commission as in this section provided, subsequent to the first day of April of any fiscal year or any action of the board of supervisors based on such report, shall be effective until the beginning of the second succeeding fiscal year.]

*Notwithstanding the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter the wages of platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway shall be determined and fixed, annually, as follows:*

(A) *On or before the second Monday of July of each year the civil service commission shall certify to the board of supervisors the two highest wage schedules in effect on July 1st of that year for platform employees and bus operators of other street railway systems in the State of California;*

(B) *The board of supervisors shall thereupon fix wage schedules for platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway which shall be the*

average of the two highest wage schedules so certified by the civil service commission; provided, if the average of the two highest wage schedules shall be less than the rates of pay fixed for such service in the salary standardization ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors on March 18, 1946, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for such service which shall be the same as the rates fixed for such service in the said ordinance;

(C) When, in addition to their usual duties, such employees are assigned duties of instructors of platform employees or bus operators they shall receive twenty (20c) cents per hour above the rates of pay fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided;

(D) The rates of pay so fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which such rates of pay are certified by the civil service commission;

(E) Platform employees and bus operators shall be paid one and one-half times the rate of pay fixed as herein provided for all work performed on six days specified as holidays by ordinance of the board of supervisors for such employees.

Within thirty days after the ratification of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for the fiscal year 1946-1947 for the crafts and groups subject to the provisions of this section and which wage schedules shall be effective commencing July 1, 1946.

Not later than the 25th day of July in each year the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, not later than thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to include the provisions necessary for paying from July 1, 1946, the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 out of such funds as the controller certifies are available.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

## PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT RELATING TO CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECONSTRUCTION, REPAIR OR IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC STREETS

Supervisor Mead presented:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 95.1 (Contracts for Street Work) relating to contracts for construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of public streets.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 95.1 thereto, to read as follows:

### CONTRACTS FOR STREET WORK

Section 95.1. Whenever the estimated expenditure therefor shall exceed five hundred (\$500.00) dollars including in each instance the cost of the necessary materials involved, the construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of a public street or public streets, including sidewalks and curbs and including the installation, repair or replacement of utility facilities therein or thereunder, shall be done or accomplished by contract, except as otherwise provided in this section.

When an actual emergency exists and has been declared to exist by the board of supervisors, or by the mayor pursuant to the provisions of section twenty-five (25) of this charter, any public work or improvement, including the construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of public streets, may be executed or accomplished in the most expeditious manner possible and without regard for the provisions of this section.

It shall constitute official misconduct, in any manner to split or divide or to permit to be split or divided the actual estimate for, or any unit of, public work or improvement, including any unit of street construction, street reconstruction, street repair or street improvement, into two or more units or parts when the effect of such action would result in circumvention of the contract requirements of this section. For the purposes of this section, "public work or improvement" shall be construed and held to mean the construction, reconstruction, repair or maintenance of anything excepting a structure, other than a structure in or under a public street.

For the purposes of this section, the terms "construction," and "reconstruction" shall contemplate, include and are hereby defined to mean every type and character of work in, under or upon any public street, including sidewalks and curbs, other than excavations and restorations for utility connections and for repairs to or renewals of such connections when such work does not constitute a portion of the construction or reconstruction of an entire block, ordinary patchwork as that term is commonly understood and applied in the construction industry, and planing, burning or resurfacing, or a combination of planing, burning and resurfacing in that manner and to that extent or degree which constitutes planing, burning and resurfacing as those functions are performed by the department of public works at the time this amendment is approved by the electorate.

Except as to work performed pursuant to the declaration of an emergency as provided in this section, every project involving the construction or reconstruction of a public street or public streets shall extend to and include the construction or reconstruction of a street area equivalent to at least one block, as such blocks are delineated upon the official maps of the city and county.

Immediately after the passage of the first annual appropriation ordinance subsequent to the ratification of this amendment and prior to June 15th of each succeeding year, the controller shall estimate and declare the total amount of money available from all sources for the construction, reconstruc-

tion, repair or improvement of public streets during the ensuing fiscal year.

On or before July 15th of each year subsequent to the ratification of this amendment, the director of public works shall cause to be prepared a program containing every determinable and necessary item of street construction, street reconstruction, street repair or street improvement which can be accomplished with the amount of money declared by the controller to be available for these purposes, and on or before July 15th of each year the director of public works shall call for bids on a unit basis and let a single contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder for the performance during that fiscal year of all street work, exclusive of such units of street work of any kind or character as are estimated to cost in excess of five hundred (\$500.00) dollars and exclusive of emergency work.

The head of the department in charge of or responsible for the work for which such a contract is to be let, shall let such contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder not less than ten days after advertising by publication for two consecutive days for sealed proposals for the work or improvement contemplated. Each such advertisement shall contain the reservation of the right to reject any and all bids. The officer responsible for the awarding of any such contract shall require from all bidders information concerning their experience and financial qualifications as provided by general law relative to such investigations authorized by departments of public works.

The department head shall have power to sign such contract for the estimated expenditures thereunder not in excess of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00). Any such contract involving the expenditure of over two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) shall require the joint approval of the department head and the chief administrative officer relative to departments under his jurisdiction, or the signature of the department head and the approval by resolution of the board or commission concerned for departments not under the chief administrative officer.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure whereby appropriate city and county departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under contract. If such bid is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department. Accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the controller monthly and on the completion of the work. In any case where the lowest gross price or unit cost bid is not accepted, and a contract is entered into with another bidder, written report shall be made to the chief administrative officer, the mayor and the controller by the officer authorized to execute the contract, with the reasons for failure to accept such lowest bid.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

### **PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT Section 171.1**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 171.1 thereto, relating to present and future members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county, by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 171.1, as follows:

Section 171.1. Members of the fire department, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under section 165 or 171 of the charter on the effective date hereof, hereby designated as the first day of July, 1947, and persons who become members of said department after said effective date, shall be members of the retirement system on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this section 171.1, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter. Members of the retirement system under section 169 of the charter shall be members under this section only with respect to subsection (D) and no other provisions of this section shall apply to them. Benefits provided under subsection (D) at the death of members under section 169 shall be in lieu of benefits provided in subsection (b) of section 169. Members of the said department who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 165 instead of section 171.1, the election under said option to be effective on said effective date. In like manner, members of the said department who are members of the retirement system under section 171 of the charter shall have the option, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system, and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 171, instead of section 171.1, the election to be effective on said effective date, provided, that members who are absent by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, on the effective dates of the amendment shall have the same option of electing to be members under section 165 or 171, as the case may be, instead of section 171.1, until ninety days after their return to service in the fire department. On and after said effective date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the system under sections 165 or 171, respectively, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of section 171.1.

(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

"Retirement allowance," "death allowance" or "allowance," shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the fire department.



"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

"Benefit" shall include "allowance," "retirement allowance," "death allowance" and "death benefit."

"Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death before retirement.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "member of the fire department," "member of the department" or "member," shall mean any officer or employee of the fire department whose employment therein was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements for members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than thirty-five years in the fire department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of hydrant-gateman, marine engineer, marine fireman, and pilot, or now performed as members of the salvage corps. Any fire service performed by such a member of the fire department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco city and county employees' retirement system as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the city and county of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

(B) Any member of the fire department who completes at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at least twenty years of service in the aggregate, and attains the age of 55 years, said service to be computed under subsection (H) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after meeting the service and age requirements in the sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, plus an allowance at the rate of  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of said final compensation, for each year of service rendered prior to attaining age 60 and after qualifying as to age and service for retirement, but the total allowance shall not exceed two-thirds of

said final compensation provided that a member who attains age 65 with less than twenty years of service, shall receive a retirement allowance at the rate of 2½ per cent of said final compensation for each year of service with which he is credited. Before the first payment of a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection (C), may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the Board of Supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

(C) Any member of the fire department, who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance. If at the time of retirement, he is qualified as to age and service for retirement under subsection (B), the allowance shall be equal to the retirement allowance which he would receive if retired under subsection (B). If retirement occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance shall be not less than 75% or more than 90% of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, the exact percentage to depend on the degree of disability as determined by the retirement board, at the time of retirement, and from time to time thereafter, but for a member who is totally disabled for the performance of any duties in or out of the service of the city and county, the allowance shall be 90% of said final compensation. Said allowance as fixed by the retirement board shall continue until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at retirement, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he rendered service as assumed.

Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding paragraph, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (H) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of the fire commission, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability, for which he is retired under this subsection, regardless of cause, shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) If a member of the fire department shall die before or after retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance shall be paid, beginning on the date next

following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

(E) That portion of any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said department which is provided by contributions of the city and county, shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the board of supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the workmen's compensation insurance and safety law of the State of California and because of the injury or illness resulting in said death or retirement.

(F) If a member of the fire department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (D) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, the sum of five hundred dollars shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members.

(G) Should any member of the department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer

to another office or department, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar terminations of employment of other members of the retirement system. If he shall again become a member of the department, he shall redeposit in the retirement fund, the amount refunded to him. Contributions, with interest, which are credited because of service rendered in any other office or department and which will not be counted under subsection (H), to any person who becomes a member of the retirement system under this section, shall be refunded to him forthwith. Should a member of the fire department become an employee of any other office or department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation received by him in the fire department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(H) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a member of the fire or police department;

(2) Time during which said member served prior to the effective date hereof, and received compensation in any other status requisite for membership in the retirement system, and solely for the purpose of determining qualification for retirement under subsection (C) for disability not resulting from injury received in, or illness caused by performance of duty, time during which said member served, after the effective date hereof, and received compensation in any other status requisite for membership in the retirement system;

(3) Time during which said member, while absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding, by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States of America, or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged or during other national emergency.

(I) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds;

(1) The rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his age taken to the next lower complete quarter year, at the date he became a member under section 165 or 171, as a member of the fire department, as defined in this section, in the case of persons who are members under these sections, and his age taken to the next lower completed year, when he entered the fire department, or on his age at the date he becomes a member under this section, in the case of persons who become members on or after the effective date of this amendment. The age of entrance into the fire department shall be determined by deducting the member's service as a member of the fire and police departments, prior to the effective date hereof from his age on said date, taken to the next lower complete quarter

year. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under this section, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under subsection (B) of this section, one-third of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled, upon first qualifying as to age and service, for retirement under that subsection, which is based on service rendered after the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the first sentence in this paragraph, and assuming the contribution to be made from that date.

(2) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rate of contribution to such salary payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsection (F) and (G) of this section.

(3) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1), (2) and (3) of subsection (H), and deducted prior to the effective date hereof, from compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(4) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(5) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (I), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution in paragraph (1), subsection (I), shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members under this section. Said percentage shall be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of

supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contribution, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(6) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

(J) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (B), and nothing shall deprive said member of said right.

(K) No person retired under this section, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any such retired person, except persons retired because of disability incurred in the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty-two, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed his compensation at the time of his retirement.

(L) Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section 171.1, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of said section 171.1. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

This amendment shall take effect on the first day of July, 1947.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**An Ordinance Authorizing Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco to Attend Conventions of the American Legion (Including Forty and Eight), Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States and United Spanish War Veterans.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. 4323, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance authorizing officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco to attend conventions of the American Legion (including Forty and Eight), Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States and United Spanish War Veterans.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco who shall be duly selected to participate as a delegate or an alternate, or in any other official capacity, in any regular annual National or State convention of the American Legion (including Forty and Eight), Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, or the United Spanish War Veterans (incorporated by Act of the Congress of the United States for the promotion of patriotism), is hereby authorized to absent himself from his regular municipal duties for the purpose of attending such regular annual conventions of such organizations. Such absence shall be without loss of salary or wages for the time of such convention and the travel time necessary to such attendance.

Section 2. Each such officer and employee shall furnish to the Controller written evidence of his selection as an official participant in such convention, certified by the adjutant or secretary of the organization which selected him. There shall be inserted on each payroll covering the period of any such absence the inclusive dates thereof and a statement that compensation therefor is paid pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to officers and employees of those departments of the City and County having control of their own funds.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Approving Map of Sun Valley Subdivision Accepting Deed to Streets and Declaring Same to Be Open Public Streets.**

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Proposal No. 6044, Resolution No. 5835 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of Sun Valley Subdivision, San Francisco, Calif.," composed of two sheets approved the 11th day of September, 1946, by Department of Public Works Order No. 24-882, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the official map of Sun Valley Subdivision.

Further Resolved, That the parcels of land delineated and designated thereon as Hahn Street, Sunrise Way and Sawyer Street, within the boundaries of said subdivision not previously dedicated, are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets dedicated to public use to be known by the names shown thereon.

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco that certain deed from Fred H. Thorinson and Evelyn Thorinson, his wife,

granting to the City and County of San Francisco all the land comprising Hahn Street, Sunrise Way and Sawyer Street, as above referred to and as shown on said map.

Further Resolved, That the certain certified check in the sum of two hundred and 20/100 dollars made payable to the City and County of San Francisco conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes, which are at the time of filing said map a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown on said map, but not yet payable, be and the same is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of two hundred and 20/100 dollars (\$200.20) being the amount of taxes estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

**Authorizing the Printing of Arguments Advocating Approval of Proposed Amendment to Section 153 of the Charter, Leaves of Absence.**

Supervisor Sullivan presented:

Proposal No. 6046, Resolution No. 5836 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Acting Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies to have printed argument advocating the approval by the electors of Charter Amendment on the November 5, 1946, ballot, amending Section 153, Leaves of Absence.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

**Annual Audit of Controller's Books—Extending Time for Completion of.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Proposal No. 6047, Resolution No. 5837 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, because, due to unavoidable circumstances not within its control, it will be impossible for the firm of John F. Forbes and Company to complete the annual audit of the Controller's books within the time limit prescribed in Resolution No. 5668 (Series of 1939), which time limit is fixed in said resolution as October 1, 1946; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the time within which the firm of John F. Forbes and Company shall complete the audit of the Controller's books and accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1945-1946, be and is hereby extended to and including the 31st day of October, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

**Inviting United Nations to Make Its Headquarters in San Francisco.**

The following was presented jointly by all members of the Board:

Proposal No. 6048, Resolution No. 5838 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on May 28, 1945, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 4714 (Series of



1939), inviting the United Nations to establish its permanent headquarters in San Francisco or the San Francisco Bay Area; and

Whereas, a permanent site for headquarters for the United Nations has not yet been designated; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco would welcome the establishment here of the United Nations and believe that the San Francisco Bay Area provides an ideal site for its location; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby reiterate its invitation to the United Nations to establish its headquarters in the City and County of San Francisco or the San Francisco Bay Area, where every facility and all the resources at our command will be made available to the delegates and for the convenience and operation of the functions and business of the United Nations.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

**Authorizing Supervisors Lewis and MacPhee to Attend Hearings of House Naval Affairs Committee Re Federal Aid to Communities in Which Naval Installations Have Been Located.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6049, Resolution No. 5839 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Marvin E. Lewis, Chairman of the Committee on County, State and National Affairs, and Supervisor Chester R. MacPhee, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, be and are hereby authorized to attend the hearings of the House Naval Affairs Committee, to be held in San Francisco on September 18 and 19, 1946, to present San Francisco's case for the enactment, by Congress, of such legislation as will continue such benefits as were provided by subvention under the Lanham Act.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Brown, Lewis, MacPhee—3.

**In Memoriam—Peter R. Maloney.**

Presented jointly by all members of the Board:

Proposal No. 6050, Resolution No. 5840 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has summoned to his eternal reward Peter R. Maloney, erstwhile member of the San Francisco Police Department and attache of the Mayor's office; and

Whereas, Peter R. Maloney, "Pete," as he was affectionately known to thousands throughout the world, was one of San Francisco's best known and best loved citizens, in his official capacities and as the guiding spirit in countless fraternal and humanitarian movements; and

Whereas, a complete recitation of "Pete" Maloney's charities and benevolences would be far too voluminous for this brief document and would merely serve to re-emphasize that which needs no repetition: that "Pete's" career of kindness and charity will never be for-

gotten by San Francisco and particularly by those who perpetuate the traditions which he was largely instrumental in establishing—the South of Market Street Boys' Association, Inc., the Sunrise Breakfast Club, Inc., the annual Mothers' Day breakfast at which residents of the Laguna Honda Home are guests, the annual Father's Day breakfast, and the San Francisco Shut-In Association, to mention but a few; and

Whereas, the legions from all walks of life who were privileged to know and love "Pete" Maloney during his lifetime are immeasurably saddened by his passing, and will ever cherish in their memories the remembrance of one whose selfless and heart-warming deeds will endure as an inspiration to those who come after him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, noting with profound regret and a keen sense of civic loss the passing of Peter R. Maloney, does adjourn its meeting this day out of respect to his beloved memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to forward to Mrs. Catherine Maloney, the bereaved widow of Mr. Maloney, and to his grieving children, suitably engrossed copies of this resolution as an expression of the deep sympathy and heartfelt condolence felt by the members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco at the passing of the late Peter R. Maloney.

*Unanimously Adopted by rising vote.*

#### Proposed Charter Amendment—Election of Officers.

Supervisor McMurray presented:

Where there is but one office of its kind to be filled by a candidate within the city government at a duly qualified election, the successful candidate elected to such office shall have been elected only by a plurality of all the votes cast for such office, or, lacking a distinct plurality, the office shall be filled by such candidate as shall have been given a majority of the balloted votes cast for the two candidates highest placed in numbers of votes at the first election.

The first such election shall be the primary election, and when a candidate for one of the above enumerated offices shall have been elected by a plurality of all the votes cast for said office, he shall be elected thereto; failure to get a plurality of the votes cast for any said office shall result in a run-off election between the two candidates with the highest numerical vote respectively; this shall be denominated the general election.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

#### Special Meeting of Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the Board hold a special meeting on Friday, September 20, 1946, at 2:00 p. m., to consider charter amendments only.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

#### Declaration of Policy.

Supervisor Christopher presented declaration of policy relating to financing of Farmers' Market. After brief discussion as to wording of declaration of policy, the matter was referred to Supervisor Christopher for his personal handling.

Request for Report on Cost of Proposed Charter Amendment,  
Fire and Police Departments.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that the Civil Service Commission be requested to furnish the Judiciary Committee with the estimated cost of proposed charter amendment, Fire and Police departments.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Traffic Violations.

Supervisor Christopher called attention to the increasing number of accidents due to violation of traffic regulations, to which serious consideration should be given. He mentioned particularly, accidents caused by failure to turn the wheel of the automobile into the curb when parking on a grade, and to drunken driving.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 8:15 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 4, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Friday, September 20, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, September 20, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in special meeting to consider charter amendments only, pursuant to motion by Supervisor MacPhee made at the regular meeting of September 16, 1946.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mc-Murray, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Mancuso was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

Supervisor Mead was noted present at 3:35 p. m.

## Consideration of Proposed Charter Amendments.

Ordered Submitted.

## PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO SECTIONS 36, 35.5 AND 35.5½ OF THE CHARTER

Supervisor MacPhee presented the following:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department and Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department and Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years [1946, 1948, 1949] 1944, 1945, and 1946, respectively.

The fire commission shall appoint a chief [engineer] of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided.

The annual compensation for the several ranks in the fire department shall be as follows: chief [engineer] of department, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; first assistant and second assistant [chief engineers] chiefs of department, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; battalion chiefs, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; lieutenants, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; engineers, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; chief's operators, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen and hosemen, for first year of service, [\$2,700;] \$3,120; for second year of service, [\$2,800;] \$3,240; for third year of service, [\$2,900;] \$3,360; for fourth year of service and thereafter, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; firemen of fire boats, [\$3,060;] \$3,540.

Except as to members of marine crews of fire boats, each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two tours of duty, to-wit: from eight o'clock a.m. to six o'clock p.m., and from six o'clock p.m. to eight o'clock a.m. The uniformed force of the fire department shall be divided into two platoons, the officers and members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than one week. No officer or member, including pilots, marine engineers and firemen of fire boats, shall be required to work more than one hundred and thirty (130) hours in any fifteen-day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to [remain on duty for] work more than fourteen consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Each officer and each member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.

On the recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief [engineer] of department, or in his absence any assistant chief [engineer] of department, or in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

In determining years of service necessary for a driver, stoker, tillerman, truckman and hoseman to receive the annual compensation sum of [\$2,800, \$2,900, and \$3,000,] \$3,240, \$3,360, and \$3,480, respectively, as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.



The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

[Upon the increased compensation in this section provided becoming effective, section 36.2 shall stand repealed.]

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the fire department shall be effective and shall accrue on the first day of January, [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

### POLICE DEPARTMENT

Section 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each four hundred and fifty inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; deputy chief of police, [\$7,500;] \$8,640; captain of inspectors, [\$6,600;] \$7,620; supervising captain of districts and department secretary, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; captain of traffic, [\$5,400;] \$6,240; director of bureau of personnel and director of bureau of criminal information, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains and criminologist, [\$4,980;] \$5,760; lieutenants and director of bureau of special services, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; inspectors, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; sergeants, [\$3,480;] \$4,020; photographer, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; police surgeon, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, for first year of service, [\$2,700;] \$3,120; for second year of service, [\$2,800;] \$3,240; for third year of service, [\$2,900;] \$3,360; for fourth year of service and thereafter, [\$3,000;] \$3,480.

[The minimum annual compensation for police officers, women protective officers, and police patrol drivers, now members of the department or who shall be appointed from eligible lists established prior to January 11, 1943, shall be \$2,800, and further adjustments shall be in accordance with the preceding paragraph.]

In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensations [sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively,] as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive \$15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the police department shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year

immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Section 35.5½ (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to *at least* one (1) day off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this subsection. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal day off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members so serving more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours shall be granted added compensation or time off with pay for said extra service performed.

(g) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leaves and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(h) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the said periods of fifteen (15) minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to

perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of said commission.

[(j) This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1944, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date, this section shall become effective on the 1st day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.]

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee explained the changes made in the foregoing amendment, wherein it differed from the amendment heretofore considered. The amendment represents a reduction from 60 per cent to 70 per cent of the demands made in the original charter amendment as ordered submitted by the Board.

The total cost of the proposed amendment, Supervisor MacPhee reported, in reply to question by Supervisor Colman, was \$2,842,020.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman announced that as he had received no comments on the foregoing charter amendment from the Police or the Fire Commissions, he felt that they had no objections to submitting it to the voters. The only way the members of the Police and the Fire departments have to obtain increases in pay is by going to the people. He would vote for submission.

Mr. Alfred Smith of the Bureau of Governmental Research stated that one item of cost had been left out. That was the increased cost of pensions involved in the increased number of men and also the increases in salaries of the present forces.

After further brief comment the roll was called and the foregoing amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Ordered Submitted.

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 thereof relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees, which shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Section 151.3. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter, whenever any groups or crafts establish a rate

of pay for such groups or crafts through collective bargaining agreements with employers employing such groups or crafts, and such rate is recognized and paid throughout the industry and the establishments employing such groups or crafts in San Francisco, and the civil service commission shall certify that such rate is generally prevailing for such groups or crafts in private employment in San Francisco pursuant to collective bargaining agreements, the board of supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty to fix such rate of pay as the compensations for such groups and crafts engaged in the city and county service. [The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year providing the civil service commission has so certified such rate of pay to the board of supervisors on or prior to the first day of April preceding.] *The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be determined on the basis of rates of pay certified by the civil service commission on or prior to April 1st of each year and shall be effective July 1st following; provided, that the civil service commission shall review all such agreements as of July 1st of each year and certify to the board of supervisors on or before the second Monday of July any modifications in rates of pay established thereunder for such crafts or groups as herein provided. The board of supervisors shall thereupon revise the rates of pay for such crafts or groups accordingly and the said revised rates of pay so fixed shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which the said revisions are determined.*

Should the budget estimates for the several departments be filed with the controller or transmitted to the mayor before [said] any such report of said civil service commission is received by the board of supervisors, the head of each department affected by [said] such report may amend its budget estimate to comply with the provisions of [said] such report. [of said civil service commission so as to make the same effective as of the first day of July of the following fiscal year. No report made by the civil service commission as in this section provided, subsequent to the first day of April of any fiscal year or any action of the board of supervisors based on such report, shall be effective until the beginning of the second succeeding fiscal year.]

*Notwithstanding the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter the wages of platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway shall be determined and fixed, annually, as follows:*

(A) *On or before the second Monday of July of each year the civil service commission shall certify to the board of supervisors the two highest wage schedules in effect on July 1st of that year for platform employees and bus operators of other street railway systems in the State of California;*

(B) *The board of supervisors shall thereupon fix wage schedules for platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway which shall be the average of the two highest wage schedules so certified by the civil service commission; provided, if the average of the two highest wage schedules shall be less than the rates of pay fixed for such service in the salary standardization ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors on March 18, 1946, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for such service which shall be the same as the rates fixed for such service in the said ordinance;*

(C) *When, in addition to their usual duties, such employees are assigned duties of instructors of platform employees or bus operators they shall receive*

twenty (20c) cents per hour above the rates of pay fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided;

(D) The rates of pay so fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which such rates of pay are certified by the civil service commission;

(E) Platform employees and bus operators shall be paid one and one-half times the rate of pay fixed as herein provided for all work performed on six days specified as holidays by ordinance of the board of supervisors for such employees.

Within thirty days after the ratification of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for the fiscal year 1946-1947 for the crafts and groups subject to the provisions of this section and which wage schedules shall be effective commencing July 1, 1946.

Not later than the 25th day of July in each year the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, not later than thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to include the provisions necessary for paying from July 1, 1946, the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 out of such funds as the controller certifies are available.

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

The Controller, in reply to questioning by Supervisor Colman, stated that the cost of the foregoing charter amendment, if approved, would be approximately \$1,500,000.

#### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Mead announced that had he been present he would have voted in favor of submission of the foregoing amendment, and he desired the record so to show.

Supervisor Colman, thereupon, moved that the action of the Board heretofore taken be rescinded in order to give Supervisor Mead the opportunity of voting.

No objection, and action rescinded by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, moved that the foregoing amendment be ordered submitted to the voters.

Motion carried, and amendment ordered submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

## Consideration Postponed.

## PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT Section 171.1

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 171.1 thereto, relating to present and future members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county, by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 171.1, as follows:

Section 171.1. Members of the fire department, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under section 165 or 171 of the charter on the effective date hereof, hereby designated as the first day of July, 1947, and persons who become members of said department after said effective date, shall be members of the retirement system on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this section 171.1, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter. Members of the retirement system under section 169 of the charter shall be members under this section only with respect to subsection (D) and no other provisions of this section shall apply to them. Benefits provided under subsection (D) at the death of members under section 169 shall be in lieu of benefits provided in subsection (b) of section 169. Members of the said department who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 165 instead of section 171.1, the election under said option to be effective on said effective date. In like manner, members of the said department who are members of the retirement system under section 171 of the charter shall have the option, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system, and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 171, instead of section 171.1, the election to be effective on said effective date, provided, that members who are absent by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, on the effective dates of the amendment shall have the same option of electing to be members under section 165 or 171, as the case may be, instead of section 171.1, until ninety days after their return to service in the fire department. On and after said effective date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the system under sections 165 or 171, respectively, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of section 171.1.

(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

"Retirement allowance," "death allowance" or "allowance," shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement,

or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

"Compensation," as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the fire department.

"Compensation earnable" shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

"Benefit" shall include "allowance," "retirement allowance," "death allowance" and "death benefit."

"Final compensation" shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death before retirement.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms "member of the fire department," "member of the department" or "member," shall mean any officer or employee of the fire department whose employment therein was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements for members of the uniformed force of said department, and said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than thirty-five years in the fire department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of hydrant-gateman, marine engineer, marine fireman, and pilot, or now performed as members of the salvage corps. Any fire service performed by such a member of the fire department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco city and county employees' retirement system as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the city and county of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

(B) Any member of the fire department who completes at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at least twenty years of service in the aggregate, and attains the age of 55 years, said service to be computed under subsection (H) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next follow-

ing the attainment by them of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after meeting the service and age requirements in the sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, plus an allowance at the rate of  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of said final compensation, for each year of service rendered prior to attaining age 60 and after qualifying as to age and service for retirement, but the total allowance shall not exceed two-thirds of said final compensation provided that a member who attains age 65 with less than twenty years of service, shall receive a retirement allowance at the rate of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of said final compensation for each year of service with which he is credited. Before the first payment of a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection (C), may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the Board of Supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

(C) Any member of the fire department, who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance. If at the time of retirement, he is qualified as to age and service for retirement under subsection (B), the allowance shall be equal to the retirement allowance which he would receive if retired under subsection (B). If retirement occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance shall be not less than 75% or more than 90% of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, the exact percentage to depend on the degree of disability as determined by the retirement board, at the time of retirement, and from time to time thereafter, but for a member who is totally disabled for the performance of any duties in or out of the service of the city and county, the allowance shall be 90% of said final compensation. Said allowance as fixed by the retirement board shall continue until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at retirement, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he rendered service as assumed.

Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding paragraph, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (H) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of the fire commission, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability, for which he is retired under this subsection, regardless of cause, shall cease, his retirement allowance shall



cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) If a member of the fire department shall die before or after retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

(E) That portion of any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said department which is provided by contributions of the city and county, shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the board of supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the workmen's compensation insurance and safety law of the State of California and because of the injury or illness resulting in said death or retirement.

(F) If a member of the fire department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (D) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, the sum of five hundred dollars shall be paid to his estate or

designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members.

(G) Should any member of the department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer to another office or department, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar terminations of employment of other members of the retirement system. If he shall again become a member of the department, he shall redeposit in the retirement fund, the amount refunded to him. Contributions, with interest, which are credited because of service rendered in any other office or department and which will not be counted under subsection (H), to any person who becomes a member of the retirement system under this section, shall be refunded to him forthwith. Should a member of the fire department become an employee of any other office or department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation received by him in the fire department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(H) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive compensation because of services as a member of the fire or police department;

(2) Time during which said member served prior to the effective date hereof, and received compensation in any other status requisite for membership in the retirement system, and solely for the purpose of determining qualification for retirement under subsection (C) for disability not resulting from injury received in, or illness caused by performance of duty, time during which said member served, after the effective date hereof, and received compensation in any other status requisite for membership in the retirement system;

(3) Time during which said member, while absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding, by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States of America, or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged or during other national emergency.

(I) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds;

(1) The rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his age taken to the next lower complete quarter year, at the date he became a member under section 165 or 171, as a member of the fire department, as defined in this section, in the case of persons who are members under these sections, and his age taken to the next lower completed year, when he entered the fire department, or on his age at the date he becomes a member under this section, in the case of persons who become members

on or after the effective date of this amendment. The age of entrance into the fire department shall be determined by deducting the member's service as a member of the fire and police departments, prior to the effective date hereof from his age on said date, taken to the next lower complete quarter year. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under this section, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under subsection (B) of this section, one-third of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled, upon first qualifying as to age and service, for retirement under that subsection, which is based on service rendered after the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the first sentence in this paragraph, and assuming the contribution to be made from that date.

(2) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rate of contribution to such salary payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsection (F) and (G) of this section.

(3) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1), (2) and (3) of subsection (H), and deducted prior to the effective date hereof, from compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(4) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(5) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (I), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution in paragraph (1), subsection (I), shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members under

this section. Said percentage shall be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contribution, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(6) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

(J) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (B), and nothing shall deprive said member of said right.

(K) No person retired under this section, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any such retired person, except persons retired because of disability incurred in the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty-two, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed his compensation at the time of his retirement.

(L) Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section 171.1, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of said section 171.1. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

This amendment shall take effect on the first day of July, 1947.

*Consideration postponed until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

Re-reference to Committee.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT

### RETIREMENT OF ELECTIVE OFFICERS

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 158.1, relating to retirement of elective officers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 158.1, Retirement of Elective Officers, which shall read as follows:

### RETIREMENT OF ELECTIVE OFFICERS

Section 158.1. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 158 of this charter, elective officers, except members of boards and commissions, shall be members of the San Francisco city and county employee's retirement system and shall be subject to all of the conditions applying to other members thereof, except members of the fire and police departments, and except as herein otherwise provided. Elective officers in office on the effective date hereof and otherwise eligible to the provisions hereof shall have the option to become members of said retirement system to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof. Each such present and future elective officer may retire at his option but only after having attained the age of seventy years and only after having occupied such an elective office or having been otherwise employed in a position subject to membership in the retirement system for at least twenty years immediately preceding retirement, and may retire by filing written application therefor with the retirement board, and the mayor shall thereupon appoint a qualified person for the unexpired term of office remaining at the time of any such retirement. Such elective officer shall thereafter receive a retirement allowance equal to one-half of the compensation received by him at the time of retirement. Contributions required to provide the portion of the benefits under this section not provided by the member's contribution shall be paid to the retirement system by the city and county.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Peddicord of the City Attorney's office, after explaining the reasons for the proposed amendment, and the provisions thereof, stated that only one officer would be eligible for retirement, under its provisions, at the present time. It would be nine years before another officer would be eligible for retirement, and sixteen years later before another officer would be so eligible. The cost of the amendment would be about \$5,000.

Supervisor Christopher called attention to the possibility of a young man in his early twenties being elected to office. He might serve the City and County for 45 years or so and then be defeated for office. Not having reached the age of 70, even though he had given a lifetime of service to the City and County, he could not enjoy a retirement allowance under the terms of the proposed charter amendment. That was not fair.

Mr. Alfred Smith pointed out that for the miscellaneous employees, a retired employee could receive a pension based upon the first \$500 of his monthly salary only. An employee receiving, for example, a salary of more than \$500 per month, could receive a pension based only on \$500 and not on his full salary.

Supervisor Mancuso agreed with the statement as expressed by Mr. Smith, and suggested that the matter should be returned to committee.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher moved re-reference to Judiciary Committee. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, and *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT — CITY PLANNING

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending sections 115, 116, 117 and 118 thereof, and by adding thereto new sections to be designated 116.1, 116.2, 117.1 and 117.2, relating to city planning.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending sections 115, 116, 117 and 118 thereof, and by adding thereto new sections to be designated 116.1, 116.2, 117.1 and 117.2, relating to city planning, which shall read as follows:

Section 115. There is hereby established a city planning department which shall consist of a planning commission, a director of planning and such employees as may be necessary to carry out the functions and duties of said department. The city planning commission shall consist of seven members, five of whom shall be appointed by the mayor. The chief administrative officer and the manager of utilities shall be members *ex officio*.

The terms of appointive members of the commission shall be four years, expiring one each at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1947, 1949 and 1950 and two at said time in the year 1948. Present appointees shall continue in office without change of incumbency for the existing terms thereof. The mayor shall fill all vacancies in office of appointive members of the commission occurring either during or at the expiration of terms.

Neither the foregoing provision for addition of members to the commission, nor the addition thereof, nor any change herein provided in the powers and duties of the commission shall be deemed to affect the continuity of the existence of the commission as such or the status of any matter pending before it. All recorded actions of the commission shall remain in force and effect unless and until changed by ordinance or by other legal means.

The members of the commission shall serve without compensation.

Section 116. The planning commission shall appoint a director of planning who shall hold office at its pleasure and who shall be a person of adequate technical, training and administrative experience in city planning. The director of planning shall be the administrative head and appointing officer of the planning department. The position of director of planning shall not be subject to any provisions of this charter prescribing a residence

qualification for officers or appointees, provided, however, that during his incumbency the appointee to the position shall reside in the city and county. Subject to the provisions of section 86 of the charter, the commission may also contract with architects, city planners, engineers, or other consultants for such services as it may require.

It shall be the function and duty of the commission to adopt and maintain, including necessary changes therein, a comprehensive, long-term general plan for the improvement and future development of the city and county, to be known as the master plan.

The master plan adopted by the commission existing at the time of the passage of this amendment shall be the official master plan. Before the commission may adopt any substantial extension thereof or any substantial amendment or addition thereto, which in the judgment of the commission constitutes a major alteration in the plan, it shall hold at least one public hearing thereon, notice of the time and place of which shall be given by at least one publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the city and county at least ten (10) days before the day of hearing. Adoption of the master plan or portions thereof or amendments, extensions or additions thereto shall be by resolution of the commission. Such resolutions shall refer expressly to the reports, plans or description and other matter intended to form the whole or part of the plan, and the action taken shall be recorded on such documents and an attested copy thereof shall be certified to the mayor and board of supervisors.

The master plan, including maps, plans, charts, exhibits, and descriptive matter, shall constitute the recommendation of the commission for the development of areas within the city and county and of any land outside the boundaries thereof which in the opinion of the commission bears a relation thereto. The master plan shall show existing and proposed public ways, grounds and open spaces and the major buildings, structures and facilities constructed thereon or proposed, including among other things freeways, highways, streets, alleys, boulevards, parkways, waterways, airports and other public ways; parks, playgrounds, squares and sites for public buildings and structures; routes and rights of way for utilities and services, whether publicly or privately operated, for water, light, sanitation, transportation, communication, power and other purposes; standards for the subdivision of land; proposed sites and general plans for public housing and the rehabilitation and redevelopment of slums and blighted areas, and the removal, relocation, widening, narrowing, vacating or abandonment or extension of any of the foregoing ways, open spaces or buildings. The master plan shall also include a land use plan for the regulation of the uses of land and the height, area, bulk and uses of public and private buildings and structures.

In the preparation of such plans, the commission is authorized to make or cause to be made such investigations, studies, maps, charts, exhibits, and reports as may be required. It may make such reports and recommendations to the mayor, the board of supervisors, and other officers and agencies as it may deem necessary to secure understanding and the systematic execution of the master plan. The commission shall have the power to promote public interest in and understanding of the master plan and may publish and dis-

tribute copies of the plan or of any report and may employ such other means of publicity and education as it may deem to be in the public interest.

The commission shall act in an advisory capacity to the board of supervisors and other departments, commissions and agencies of the city and county in all matters affecting the physical improvement and future development of the city and county. All departments and officials of the city and county shall furnish to the city planning department such information as it may require for its work and the department shall furnish to all departments and officials such information as said departments and officials may require concerning them when in its judgment the furtherance of the master plan will result, the commission shall have the right to represent the city and county of San Francisco in conferences with State and Federal agencies on legislation for public improvements or other projects coming within the scope of or related to the master plan.

Section 116.1. The head of each department or agency of the city and county shall submit annually on or before the first day of February to the planning commission a detailed estimate of all capital projects, substantially affecting the master plan proposed for the ensuing fiscal year and of all such capital projects which he believes should be undertaken within the five succeeding years. Each year on or before the first day of March the planning commission shall prepare and submit to the mayor and board of supervisors a capital improvement program for the ensuing fiscal year, including in writing its disapproval of any capital projects, based on departmental estimates for capital projects, giving the recommendation of the commission for the advance planning, the acquisition of land, and the construction of capital improvement projects. The mayor shall note in the consolidated budget estimates which he submits to the board of supervisors the recommendations of the planning commission on each capital improvement item. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, any such item disapproved by the planning commission within thirty days of the date of submission can be approved by the board of supervisors only by a two-thirds vote of all members thereof.

Section 116.2. No ordinance, order or resolution which substantially affects the master plan shall be adopted by the board of supervisors unless and until such ordinance, order or resolution shall have first been submitted to the city planning commission for a report and recommendation, nor shall any acts or orders of administrative departments or officials substantially affecting the master plan, the substance of which has not previously been before the commission for its report thereon, become legal and binding upon the city until it shall have been submitted to the city planning commission for its report and recommendation.

It shall be the duty of the planning commission to render its report and recommendations within sixty (60) days after the date of such referral unless a longer period be granted. Failure of the commission to act within the time limit set shall be deemed approval and the board of supervisors may then pass the ordinance, order, or resolution, and the administrative acts or orders may become legal and binding upon the city. When the planning commission recommends against an ordinance, resolution, act or order referred to it pursuant to this section, it shall not become legal or binding upon the



city unless the board of supervisors, by not less than two-thirds vote of all members, approves said ordinance, resolution, act or order. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, the board of supervisors shall have jurisdiction of all such matters for the purposes hereof.

Section 117. Until such time as the board of supervisors shall enact a new comprehensive zoning ordinance based on a land use plan and defining and providing for variances, and until the effective date of such ordinance, this section of the charter shall continue in force, and until such time, sections 117.1 and 117.2 shall be inoperative. Upon the enactment and effective date of such an ordinance this section of the charter shall become inoperative for any purpose, and sections 117.1 and 117.2 shall become operative.

The city planning commission, from time to time, shall consider and hold hearings on proposed changes in the classification of the use to which property in the city and county may be put, and the establishment or changing of building set-back lines, in either case, on its own motion or on the application of an interested property owner.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure for action on such matters, which ordinance must provide, among other things, that the commission shall give notice of time, place and date of hearing by posting throughout the area and by publication not less than twenty days prior thereto; that the commission shall notify, in writing, not less than ten days prior to said hearing, applicants for proposed changes, and all persons whose names and addresses are shown on the assessment roll as owners of property within three hundred feet of all exterior boundaries of the area affected by the proposed changes of the time and the place of hearing, which names, addresses and other information shall be furnished by the applicant in the form required by the commission; that the commission, after hearing shall, by resolution, approve or disapprove the proposed change which, if approved, shall not become effective for thirty days; that appeal may be taken from the ruling of the commission by filing written protest with the board of supervisors, and if such protest is subscribed by the owners of twenty per cent of the property affected, the supervisors shall fix a time and a place for hearing such objections which shall be not less than ten nor more than thirty days after such filing, and must decide thereon within ten days of the start of such hearing; that the supervisors, by not less than two-thirds vote, may disapprove the action of the commission, provided, however, that any change in zoning, classification or building set-back lines made by the commission on its own motion shall require approval of the supervisors by a two-thirds vote; that in case of disapproval by the commission or by the supervisors on appeal of a proposed change, such proposed change may not be resubmitted to or reconsidered by the commission for at least one year.

No ordinance shall be considered by the supervisors, the purpose or intent of which is the classification, regulation or control of the height, area, bulk, location or use of any building or buildings, or premise or premises, and classifying any property into any district or zone for such purposes or establishing a set-back line or lines along any street or portion thereof in the city and county without being first submitted to the city planning commission for report and recommendation. If the commission disapprove any such ordinance, the supervisors may adopt the same only by an affirmative vote

of at least two-thirds of its entire membership. The failure of the commission to act within sixty days from and after the date of official submission of any proposed zoning, classification or set-back line by the board of supervisors shall be deemed to be an approval of such classification or proposed set-back line by the commission.

Section 117.1 There is hereby created the office of zoning administrator. Said zoning administrator shall be appointed by the city planning commission, subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. He shall have the following powers and duties, subject, however, to the supervision and direction of the city planning commission as to matters of policy:

(a) He shall have the control of and be responsible for the administration and enforcement of all zoning ordinances.

(b) He shall investigate all applications of property owners, including lessees, for changes to zoning ordinances and make his report thereon and his recommendation in respect thereto to the director of planning and to the city planning commission.

Upon the receipt of such report and recommendation the city planning commission shall consider such applications and may approve or deny the same. If approved, such applications, together with the approval of the commission, shall be presented to the board of supervisors, which may adopt the change in said ordinance by a majority vote. If any such application is denied by the city planning commission, its action thereon shall be final, except that applicant may appeal to the board of supervisors, which may then adopt such change in said ordinance only by a two-thirds vote of all members of the board of supervisors. The city planning commission shall act upon such applications within thirty (30) days from the date the same are filed and it shall not be necessary to hold more than one hearing upon any such application.

(c) Subject to such rules and regulations as the board of supervisors may by ordinance prescribe he shall investigate, hear and determine all applications for variances from the rules, regulations, restrictions and requirements of the zoning ordinances and shall have power to grant such variances as may be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of said ordinances and in accordance with the general and specific rules therein contained and subject to such conditions and safeguards as he may impose. He shall have authority to grant variances only when practical difficulties, unnecessary hardships or results inconsistent with the general purposes of the zoning regulations may result from the strict and literal interpretation and enforcement of the provisions thereof and before any variance may be granted, it shall appear and the zoning administrator shall specify in his findings the facts in each case which shall establish beyond a reasonable doubt:

(1) That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to the property or class of uses in the same district or zone;

(2) That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right of the petitioner, possessed by other property in the same zone and vicinity; and

(3) That the granting of such variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in such zone or district in which the property is located; and

(4) That the granting of such variance will not adversely affect the master plan.

(d) Not less than ten days prior to the hearing of any application for change in the classification of the use to which any property in the city and county may be put, the establishment or changing of building set-back lines or any variances referred to herein, the zoning administrator shall give notice in writing of the time and place of hearing to all applicants therefor and all persons whose names and addresses are shown on the assessment roll as owners of property within three hundred feet of all exterior boundaries of the area affected by the proposed change. The names, addresses and other information required therefor shall be furnished by the applicant in the form required by the zoning administrator.

The determination of the zoning administrator shall be final except that appeals therefrom may be taken, as hereinafter provided, to the board of zoning appeals, exclusively and notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, by any person aggrieved or by an officer, board, department or bureau of the city and county. Upon making a ruling or determination upon any matter under his jurisdiction, the zoning administrator shall forthwith furnish a copy thereof to the applicant, to the director of planning and to the city planning commission. No variance granted by the zoning administrator shall become effective until after an elapsed period of ten (10) days, during which time an appeal may be filed with the board of zoning appeals. An appeal stays all proceedings in furtherance of the action appealed from.

Section 117.2. There is hereby created a board of zoning appeals, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor, one of whom shall be a member of the city planning commission. The terms of the members of said board shall expire respectively at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the first, second, and third years subsequent to the formation of said board. Thereafter, the term of each member shall be three years. The mayor shall fill by appointment all vacancies on the board, whether occurring during or at the expiration of the term.

The members of said board shall receive such compensation as the board of supervisors may from time to time fix and determine, and they shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of this charter.

The board of zoning appeals shall have and exercise the following powers:

(a) To hear and determine appeals where it is alleged there is error or abuse of discretion in any order, requirement, decision or determination made by the zoning administrator in the enforcement of the provisions of any ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors creating zoning districts or regulating the use of property in the city and county.

(b) To hear and determine appeals from the rulings, decisions and determinations of the zoning administrator granting or denying applications for variances from any rule, regulation, restriction or requirement of the zoning ordinances, or any section thereof.

Upon the hearing of such appeals said board may affirm, change or modify the ruling, decision or determination appealed from, or, in lieu thereof, make such other additional determination as it shall deem proper in the premises, subject to the same limitations as are placed upon the zoning administrator by this charter.

The board of supervisors shall prescribe by ordinance rules and regulations providing for the time, manner, method and procedure for the taking and hearing of such appeals; provided, however, that the said board of zoning appeals may adopt such other and additional rules as it may deem necessary to carry out the rules and regulations prescribed by ordinance and which are not in conflict or inconsistent therewith. All said rules and regulations shall be kept posted in the office of the board and a copy thereof furnished to any applicant. At least two members shall sit at all hearings, and the concurring vote of at least two members shall be necessary in the determination of any appeal.

All matters of the type referred to in the sections hereof relating to the zoning administrator or the board of supervisors which may be pending on the effective date hereof before the city planning commission or the board of supervisors shall not be affected by any of the provisions hereof relating to the zoning administrator or the board of zoning appeals, and in regard to all such pending matters, the city planning commission and the board of supervisors shall continue until the final determination thereof before both the commission and the board to exercise the powers and duties provided by both the charter and ordinances immediately prior to the effective date hereof.

Section 118. All plats or replats of subdivisions of land laid out in building lots, and the project plans for public and private housing, slum clearance and the rehabilitation and redevelopment of blighted areas, including the streets, alleys or other lands intended to be dedicated to public use or for the use of lessees, purchasers or owners of lots fronting thereon or adjacent thereto, and located within the city and county limits, shall be submitted in tentative and in final form, to the city planning commission which shall report its recommendations thereon in writing to the agency responsible therefor, as provided by ordinance. The report to the board of supervisors on any final subdivision map, public or private housing or redevelopment project plan shall include a copy of the report and recommendations of the city planning commission.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Lewis presented the following as an amendment to the second paragraph of Section 116.2. The amendment was merely for the purpose of clarification, and would, he understood, meet some of the objections to the charter amendment under consideration:

It shall be the duty of the planning commission to render its report and recommendations in writing to the controller and to the officer, board, department or commission within sixty (60) days after the date of such referral unless a longer period be granted. Failure of the commission to act within the time limit set shall be deemed approval, and the board of supervisors may then pass the ordinance, order or resolution originating within said board, and any acts or orders originating with any officer, department or commission shall become legal and binding upon failure of the commission to so act. When the planning commission recommends against an ordinance,

resolution, act or order referred to it pursuant to this section by either the board of supervisors, officers, departments or commissions, it shall not become legal or binding upon the city unless the board of supervisors, by not less than two-thirds vote of all members, approves said ordinance, resolution, act or order. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, the board of supervisors shall have jurisdiction of all such matters for the purposes hereof, and thereafter it shall be the duty of the officer, department, or commission charged with the execution of the work to proceed with the execution thereof, in accordance with the finding and action of the board of supervisors.

#### Discussion.

In discussing the proposed amendment, Supervisor Lewis stated that an objection had been made by the Controller. The foregoing amendment, he believed, would take care of objections raised.

Supervisor Mancuso held that there was no objection to the amendment proposed by Supervisor Lewis. It was merely for clarification.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, the privilege of the floor was granted to any citizen who desired to discuss the matter.

Mr. Alfred Smith, in commenting on the amendment, stated it appeared to him that the amendment would result in a major departure of policy. For example, a veto power was being placed over the right of the Public Utilities Commission to provide for extension of the Municipal Railway or the Water Department. The amendment seems a little drastic.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that Mr. Smith was not talking to the issue. If he desired to speak on the merits of the entire amendment that would come at another time.

Supervisor Lewis announced that his proposed amendment would not change the issues in any manner. It merely provided for clearer language.

Mr. Peddicord pointed out that the amendment proposed by Supervisor Lewis merely carried out in more specific language the general terms of the section and were an aid.

Supervisor Mancuso declared that he did not think the interested people had been notified of this particular amendment. He did not believe the interested parties realized the scope of this particular amendment. He believed it provided for a complete change in the structural government of the City and County. The West of Twin Peaks Central Council is opposed to submitting such amendment at this time without having gone into the matter thoroughly. Thereupon he moved re-reference to committee for further study and hearings over a period of time. He was making that motion as a substitute for the motion by Supervisor Lewis. Motion seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

Supervisor Lewis opposed the foregoing motion. Supervisor Mancuso was conspicuous by his absence from committee meetings, so perhaps he has not heard the matter before. Had he been present he would have learned that the Judiciary Committee had put in more time on this particular charter amendment than on any other it has had before it. The Committee has spent four and five hours at a time going over this particular amendment, and listening to the Junior Chamber of Commerce and the Planning Commission on it. It would appear that the only reason for reference to committee was to kill the amendment. If the Master Plan is going to work, someone has to have power. He urged the Board to vote down the motion and to decide the merits of the amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee agreed that Supervisor Mancuso had the right to press his motion, but he questioned the propriety of so doing. Copies of the amendment have been given to the department heads. It is only fair that they be given the right to express their point of view. Other interested parties should have the privilege of being heard.

Supervisor Mancuso declared that his reason for the motion was to have an airing of the matter at one time. Under his motion there could be a complete hearing of both sides of the question. However, he did not think Supervisor Lewis was fair in his criticism. He had been unavoidably absent from only two meetings, at which the matter had been discussed.

Supervisor Colman suggested that the Board hear first from the proponents of the charter amendment and then from the opponents.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso, with the consent of his second, withdrew his motion for re-reference to committee.

Mr. James Lash of the Junior Chamber of Commerce, outlined the reasons for the submission of the amendment, on which his group had been working for months. His group felt it to be especially urgent that the amendment be submitted to the voters at the November election. Something must be done to make San Francisco a better and more beautiful city. His committee believes this amendment will accomplish the ends on which everyone is agreed, and he urged the Board to approve the amendment for submission.

Mr. Steingart, representing San Francisco C.I.O., agreed that an amendment should be submitted to the voters. However, there were some matters the C.I.O. would like to have incorporated in the amendment before submission. Among other matters, the C.I.O. believed the City Planning Commission should have a full time chairman or executive director, at an adequate salary to attract some well known person. The C.I.O. was in favor of the amendment and would like to see it approved. That could be done with a few minor changes.

Mr. DeMazzio, representing the Real Estate Association of San Francisco, stated that his organization felt that the amendment was a very definite step forward. Something like it was needed. Unfortunately it has not been presented at the right time. Insufficient time has been given to examine the measure properly. The amendment needs unlimited serious study. The amendment is needed, but it should be given more study.

Mr. Henry King, Mr. Lewis, Mr. Robert Lilienthal, Mr. Pointer and Mr. Frederick Mann, all from the Chamber of Commerce, urged submission of the amendment.

Mrs. Horace Gray, representing the San Francisco Planning and Housing Association, and Mrs. Richard Kahn of San Francisco Center League of Women Voters, favored submission.

A speaker from the Commonwealth Club also favored submission of the amendment.

Mr. Moosier, representing the Council of Civic Clubs, stated that the Central Council was generally in favor of the amendment. However, the Council thinks it has not had time for proper consideration. As presented, the amendment is cumbersome and it is not clear.

Mr. Vining T. Fisher of the Down Town Association objected to placing the amendment on the ballot at this time. The amendment contains too much administration material for charter provision. It will eliminate largely the functions of the Director of Public Works and the Manager of Utilities. He urged postponement and further study of the measure before ordering it submitted to the voters.

Mr. Milton W. Morris of the Association of Home Builders of San Francisco believed that submission at this time was premature. Cor-

rections should be made to the amendment. The amendment gives too much power to the City Planning Commission. It should be sent back to committee for further study.

Mr. John Craig, representing the West of Twin Peaks Central Council, stated that the council, generally speaking, thinks the amendment is a step in the right direction, but urged further study.

Mr. H. C. Vensano opposed submission. The amendment would take away many of the functions of the Department of Public Works.

Mr. Hague, manager of the Associated General Contractors, held that not enough study had been given to the amendment. Further time should be given to it.

Mr. Kent, Acting Director of Planning for the City Planning Commission, presented a letter prepared by Mr. Michel Weill, President of the City Planning Commission, stating the Commission's position on the amendment. The Commission favored the amendment granting greater power in its specific work on planning. However, the Commission desires more time to review, discuss and decide on every point in the amendment. It was opposed to submission at the present time.

Mr. Alfred Smith held that the amendment would make a great change in the structure of city government, and he could not commit his group either way on the amendment. The charter should contain broad general principles rather than so much procedure.

#### In Hands of the Board.

Thereupon, the matter was taken into the hands of the Board.

Supervisor Lewis, in discussing the proposed charter amendment, stated that either the City and County wants a master plan or it doesn't want a master plan. No department or commission at the present time pays any attention to the master plan. Each department is jealous of its own department, and doesn't want to be interfered with. This amendment gives the right to legislate by a two-thirds vote, something the Board has a right to do.

In answer to suggestion that the necessary vote by the Supervisors should be a "majority vote" instead of a "two-thirds vote," Supervisor Lewis stated that he was willing to have the "two-thirds vote" provision remain in the amendment.

Supervisor McMurray believed the amendment to be useless. The Planning Commission has demonstrated many times, he stated, that it can get four votes of the Board of Supervisors. Matters should be decided by majority vote.

Supervisor Mead stated that he thought Supervisor McMurray was mistaken; the City Planning Commission has been overridden as many times, if not more, as it has been successful.

Supervisor Mancuso renewed his motion for re-reference to committee. There were many mistakes in the proposed amendment, he stated, which should be corrected. The amendment should have further study. Motion seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

However, at the request of Supervisor Mead, Supervisor Mancuso withdrew his motion temporarily.

Supervisor Mead called attention to the first sentence in Section 117.2, for which he desired an explanation.

Supervisor MacPhee explained the situation. The zoning administrator makes a decision and if a citizen is not satisfied with the decision he may go to the zoning board of appeals.

After further brief discussion on the composition of the board of zoning appeals, and the functions of that board, Supervisor Mancuso renewed his motion for re-reference to committee. Motion again seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

Supervisor MacPhee reported that the Judiciary Committee had considered the matter for some six weeks. Re-reference to committee would mean that the matter could not be submitted to the people at the election in November. It might be two years before the matter could again be presented to the people. The City and County has waited too long now for this matter. Supervisor MacPhee stated that he did not know Mr. Fisher's authority or Mr. Vensano's authority for stating that the amendment would practically eliminate the Department of Public Works.

Mr. Peddicord, in answer to questioning by Supervisor Mancuso, stated that with regard to all acts whether in the nature of orders or contracts of the Department of Public Works, the Public Utilities Commission, Recreation, Parks and various departments, affecting the master plan, it would be necessary for such things to be presented to the City Planning Commission. The commission would not be a recommendatory body in that regard; it would be an administrative body. The Planning Commission would require a much greater personnel than it now has.

Supervisor MacPhee held that the amendment had not been hastily drawn. It is the recommendation of the San Francisco Center, League of Women Voters. He had never seen them endorse matters without sufficient study and consideration. The C.I.O. recommends the measure. The San Francisco Planning and Housing Association asks approval. Action should be taken to present the amendment to the voters. It is about time to have some agency designated to channel ideas for the betterment of San Francisco. There should be correlation of ideas. It will be two years before this matter can be presented to the voters again. If the matter is not ordered submitted to the voters at the present session, Supervisor MacPhee declared, he would attempt to have further consideration postponed until the Monday meeting of the Board.

Supervisor Colman moved further consideration be postponed until Monday, September 23, 1946. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead, who held that any attempt to refer the matter back to committee was an attempt to kill it.

Supervisor Mancuso denied that there was any attempt on his part to kill the amendment. He was also opposed to the motion to postpone further consideration until Monday, September 23, 1946. The Planning Commission wants further time to study the measure. The endorsement of the San Francisco Planning and Housing Association was contingent on the Board's providing in the budget for a greatly increased staff of the City Planning Commission. That contingency should be carefully considered.

Thereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Colman's motion to postpone was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—5.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—5.

Supervisor Colman stated that he believed enough thought and support had been given the amendment to warrant its submission. Even the opponents recognize enough merits in the amendment to warrant its submission, in his opinion. Any defects can be taken care of by further amendments and clarification. It means a delay of a couple of years if it is not sent to the voters in November. He would vote for submission to the people.

Thereupon, the roll was again called and Supervisor Mancuso's motion for re-reference to committee and the motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—5.



The Controller, in reply to questioning by Supervisor Mancuso, stated that he had studied the amendment. His interest was entirely as to the financial aspects of the amendment. The amendment would be very difficult of administration in its proposed form, he felt. He believed that certain of the financial aspects should be clarified.

Supervisor Colman announced that he heard that the Mayor was to name someone to fill the vacancy on the Board created by Mr. Brown's resignation. The announcement would be made on Monday, he understood. For that reason he would renew his motion for postponement of further consideration until Monday, September 23, 1946. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor MacPhee, speaking in favor of the motion to postpone, stated that the Controller desired to submit amendments. If the matter is to be submitted, the Board should first hear from the City Planning Engineer, who is at the present time in Los Angeles. That would be another reason for postponement until Monday.

The Controller stated he would try to have his desired amendments ready for presentation on Monday.

Thereupon, the roll was called and further consideration was postponed until Monday, September 23, 1946, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—4.

#### Motion for Recess.

Supervisor Mead moved that the Board continue its discussion until 6:00 p. m., and at that time it recess until 8:00 p. m.

Supervisor MacPhee suggested that the Board reconvene on Monday, September 23, 1946, at 10:00 a. m., instead of returning for an evening session.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that he could not be present on Monday before 2:00 p. m.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead renewed his motion which had not yet been seconded. Motion seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that he had no objection to reconvening for an evening session. However, he could not be present.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that he, too, could not be present.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to recess at the hour of 6:00 p. m. and to reconvene at 8:00 p. m., was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Supervisor Colman stated that Monday was not the last day for the Board to act on submission of proposed charter amendments. The Board could recess until Tuesday.

The Clerk pointed out to the Board the necessity of action not later than on Monday. It would be practically impossible to prepare copies of amendments for publication, and there could be no guarantee of correct publication if the Board prolonged its considerations after Monday.

After considerable further discussion as to the Board's possible action with respect to charter amendments and calendar matters on Monday, September 23, 1946, the hour of 6:00 p. m. having arrived, the Chair declared that pursuant to motion, the Board was recessed to reconvene at 8:00 p. m., at which time Supervisors Colman, Mancuso and MacPhee would be excused from attendance.

#### FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 1946—8:00 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reconvened in special session to continue consideration of proposed charter amendments.

**CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS**

Supervisor Lewis presented and explained the following:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 95 thereof, "Public Works and Purchasing Contracts".

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 95 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

**CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS**

Section 95. The construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public works or improvements, and the purchasing of supplies, materials and equipment, when the expenditure involved in each case shall exceed the sum of [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)], (\$2,000) shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided by this charter. It shall constitute official misconduct to split or divide any public work or improvement or purchase into two or more units for the purpose of evading the contract provisions of this section. In an emergency, provided an actual emergency be declared by the board of supervisors to exist, and when authorized by resolution of said board, any public work or improvement may be executed in the most expeditious manner.

Any public work or improvement estimated to cost less than [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000) may be performed under contract or written order or by the employment of the necessary labor and purchase of the necessary materials and supplies directly by the city and county. Any public work or improvement executed by the city, other than routine repair work, shall be authorized by the chief administrative officer or by the heads of departments not under the chief administrative officer, only after detailed estimates have been prepared and submitted by the head of the department concerned. There shall be separate accounting for each work or improvement so executed, which accounting shall include all direct, indirect and supervisory elements of cost chargeable to such work or improvement, and each cost accounting shall be reported to the chief administrative officer, or to the mayor when such work shall have been performed by departments not under the chief administrative officer. All such accounts shall be reported to the controller. Any public work or improvement costing less than [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000) and not performed by the use of city and county labor, materials, and supplies shall, if not performed under contract, be covered by written order or agreement which shall be based on not less than three bids, notice of which shall be given by three days' posting. Records of such bids shall be kept by the department.

When the expenditure for any public work or improvement shall exceed the sum of [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000), the same shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided in this charter. The head of the department in charge of or responsible for the work for which a contract is to be let, or the purchaser of supplies in the case of purchases of materials, supplies and equipment, shall let such contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder not less than ten days after advertising by publication for two consecutive days for sealed proposals for the work, improvement or purchase contemplated. Each such adver-

tisement shall contain the reservation of the right to reject any and all bids. The officer responsible for the awarding of any such contract shall require from all bidders information concerning their experience and financial qualifications, as provided by general law relative to such investigations authorized by departments of public works.

The purchaser of supplies with the approval of the chief administrative officer, or the department head concerned with the approval of the board or commission to which he is responsible, may reject any and all bids and readvertise for bids.

The department head or the purchaser of supplies, as the case may be, shall have power to sign such contract for the estimated expenditures thereunder not in excess of [two] *three* thousand dollars [(\$2,000)] (\$3,000). Any contract involving the expenditure of over [two] *three* thousand dollars [(\$2,000)] (\$3,000), if for the purchase of materials, supplies or equipment, shall require the joint approval of the purchaser of supplies and the chief administrative officer. If such contract is for any public work or improvement, it shall require the joint approval of the department head and the chief administrative officer relative to departments under his jurisdiction, or the signature of the department head and the approval by resolution of the board or commission concerned for departments not under the chief administrative officer.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure whereby appropriate city and county departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under contract. If such bid is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department. Accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the controller monthly and on the completion of the work.

In any case where the lowest gross price or unit cost bid is not accepted, and a contract is entered into with another bidder, written report shall be made to the chief administrative officer, the mayor and the controller by the officer authorized to execute the contract, with the reasons for failure to accept such lowest bid.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis, in discussing the foregoing proposed amendment, stated that the Chief Administrative Officer desired the \$1,000 limitation to be increased to \$2,000. Today, with inflation, \$2,000 is the same as \$1,000 was at the time the charter was approved. However, there is opposition to the amendment. He understood the Building Trades and the contractors were on record as opposed to the change. Additional amendment was presented in committee, but the committee felt the arguments by the Chief Administrative Officer were reasonable and so recommended. The contractors have offered an amendment prepared by their attorneys. The Chairman of the Judiciary Committee and Supervisor Mancuso ruled that the alternate amendment offered by the contractors should remain in committee because it had not been considered. He, Supervisor Lewis, had asked permission to bring in the contractors' amendment as a minority report, without recommendation, but the rest of the committee refused to permit it to be brought to the Board in that way. The City Attorney advised that the amendment could be brought to the Board by any member of the committee.

Mr. Johnson, representing the Associated General Contractors, stated that the views expressed by the contractors also expressed the views of a great many people, including those who are in the construction trades unions in San Francisco. Both employers and organ-

ized labor, as a matter of principle, are opposed to any extension of construction work by governmental bodies, whether municipal, state or federal. Of course, the groups opposed to the increase from \$1,000 to \$2,000 recognize that there must be certain work done by the City and County of San Francisco. It was his personal views, however, that the majority of the membership of the Associated General Contractors, and probably of the labor groups, recognize there must be certain maintenance, repairs and emergency work that the governmental bodies must do. That is the reason why a certain amount of work can be done without contract. The present charter states that work costing less than \$1,000 can be so done. Everything else must be done after calling for and accepting bids. The practice has developed, Mr. Johnson declared, in splitting many projects into separate items so that work can be done without calling for bids. The practice is not peculiar to San Francisco alone. The contractors desire time for thorough consideration of the proposed amendment and an opportunity to place into the measure amendments to protect them against splitting and dividing projects. For that reason they are opposed to the measure. However, if the measure is to be approved he would like to have approved a counter measure. If the Board is going to double the exemption from \$1,000 to \$2,000, there should also be submitted an amendment the other way. Should there be a sharp decline, and the construction industry should face a depression, should the \$2,000 exemption be maintained? The contractors propose a provision that the amount of exemption shall be set as the Board of Supervisors may, from time to time, by ordinance, shall establish, but not to exceed a certain amount. That is the only method by which the "ups" and "downs" may be recognized.

There should be definition of terms in this measure. Nothing in the present measure defines the terms. Words are changed from repair to alteration. The issue should not be decided in a hasty manner. The contractors are opposed to this amendment. If their amendment is bad it is because they have presented it as a counter irritant to the other measure. His personal opinion, continued Mr. Johnson, was that this was no time to consider either measure. The charter should be left as it is. Both matters should be tabled.

Mr. Vensano, speaking for various departments, the Department of Public Works, Public Utilities Commission, Parks, Recreation, etc., stated that the measure applies to all construction and maintenance within the City and County. The \$1,000 limitation was put in the Charter in 1932, and was carried over from the previous Charter. No one knows how far back the limitation goes. With this provision of the Charter, the Department of Public Works cannot service one block of streets, yet newspapers and others are after the department continually for repairs. It is very difficult to live up to the present limitation imposed by the Charter. The department can spend \$100,000 in patching up open streets, but it cannot resurface one complete block.

Supervisor Mead stated that Mr. Johnson was not at the meeting of the Building Trades Council, held the previous evening, but he discussed the matter exactly as it was discussed there. The Building Trades Council represents men on both sides of the picture. It is rather difficult to discuss the matter without touching briefly on the proposal by the contractors which has to do with decreasing the limitation to \$500. In one way, men employed by the City and County would be injured; in the other way private employment would be injured. Supervisor Mead disagreed with Mr. Vensano, when he stated that he would completely renew the surface of a street instead of patching it. That would be money thrown away, he felt. Whichever proposal is submitted to the people, someone is going to get hurt. He suggested the matter remain in status quo. It should be voted down and the contractors should withdraw their amendment.

Supervisor Meyer stated that the Department of Public Works was now limited to \$1,000 expenditure on any one street in any one year. A limit of \$2,000 would not hurt the contractors at all.

Supervisor Christopher agreed that labor costs and cost of materials have both gone up. He thought, however, that the purchase of supplies should not be increased. He would go along to increase the limitation on repair work, but not on the amount of money to be spent by the Purchaser of Supplies. That amount, if possible, should be reduced to \$500.

Supervisor Christopher moved that the amendment be approved, with the exception that the purchaser of supplies remain at the present figure and the Clerk be instructed to re-write the amendment for consideration on Monday, September 23, 1946. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Supervisor Lewis called attention to the fact that all purchases have to be by bid. He did not think anything would be accomplished by such amendment.

The Chair pointed out that there was a \$25 limitation on purchases without calling for bids.

After further brief discussion, the roll was called and the motion by Supervisor Christopher was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, McMurray, Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer—3.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

Mr. Johnson suggested that if possible a compromise be reached in respect to the amount of limitation to be submitted to the voters. He thought the amount of limitation be increased to \$1,500 instead of \$2,000. He would like to hear someone make a motion to that effect.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he would be glad to make such a motion, and he would so do. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to make the limitation read \$1,500 instead of \$2,000, was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

Supervisor Meyer then proposed the following amendment to be inserted in Section 95, as the second paragraph thereof, and moved approval:

“Notwithstanding any other provision in this section or this charter contained, upon the approval of the chief administrative officer declaring the work to be emergency in character, there may be expended by the Department of Public Works the sum not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) for new construction of any type in or upon unimproved or unaccepted streets.”

Motion seconded by Supervisor Sullivan.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

#### Refused Submission.

Thereupon, the roll was again called, and the foregoing proposed charter amendment, as amended, was *refused submission* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Mead, Meyer—2.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

#### Numbering of Charter Amendments.

On motion by Supervisor Sullivan, amendment to Section 153 of the Charter, Leaves of Absence, was designated as Charter Amendment No. 6 by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

On motion by Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, amendment adding Section 168.3 to the Charter, Pension Provisions, Dependents of Members of Fire and Police Departments Killed in Line of Duty, was designated as Charter Amendment No. 7 by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

On motion by Supervisor Sullivan, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, amendment to Section 10 of the Charter, Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors (Salaries of Supervisors to be fixed by general law), was designated as Charter Amendment No. 9 by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

During the discussion of the designation of number for the foregoing mentioned charter amendment, Supervisor Mead reiterated statement previously made by him that he thought a mistake was being made in ordering two amendments to provide for fixing salaries of supervisors to be submitted to the voters.

Supervisor McMurray, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, moved that amendment to Section 134 of the Charter, Board of Education, be designated as Charter Amendment No. 10.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, moved that amendment to Section 38.1 of the Charter, Salvage Corps, be designated as Charter Amendment No. 7.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, moved that amendment to Section 151.1 of the Charter, Officers Subject to Salary Standardization, be designated as Charter Amendment No. 8.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

Supervisor Mead moved that amendment to Section 69 of the Charter, Budget Estimates, and Section 72 of the Charter, Adoption

of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance, be designated as Charter Amendment No. 11.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

**Official Welcome of Fashion Editors.**

Supervisor Lewis called attention to official welcome of ninety fashion editors who will arrive in San Francisco at the Third and Townsend Street Station on Monday, September 23, 1946, at 9:00 a. m., and he invited the participation of all members of the Board in such welcome.

**RECESS.**


At the suggestion of Supervisor Gallagher, and on motion by Supervisor Sullivan, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, the Board, at the hour of 10:30 p. m., recessed its special meeting to reconvene on Monday, September 23, 1946, at 12 o'clock noon, to resume consideration of charter amendments.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, September 23, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1946—12:00 NOON.

In Board of Supervisors, Monday, September 23, 1946, 12:00 Noon.  
The Board of Supervisors met in recessed special meeting.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, McMurray—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisors Christopher and McMurray were noted present at 12:00 p. m.

## Consideration of Charter Amendments Resumed.

The Board of Supervisors, sitting in recessed special meeting, resumed consideration of proposed charter amendments.

### Charter Amendment No. 9—Supervisors' Compensation.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board rescind its action previously taken, whereby Charter Amendment No. 9, providing for fixing of Supervisors' compensation by the State Legislature, was ordered submitted, be rescinded. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

After brief explanation as to his reason for the foregoing motion, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved that action on the motion be temporarily postponed.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

### Rescinding of Action Proposed.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, again moved that the Board rescind its action whereby, on September 3, 1946, it had ordered submitted to the voters, Charter Amendment No. 9, to provide that compensation of members of the Board of Supervisors be fixed by general law.

Supervisor Colman in support of his motion to rescind action, stated that the Board had already ordered submitted one amendment asking the people to increase their wages. He did not think it wise to submit two amendments on the same matter. The people themselves should be the best judges of what they desire to pay their Supervisors.

Supervisor Lewis held that both amendments should be submitted.

Supervisor Mancuso, in support of Charter Amendment No. 9, which he had presented, stated that there was a movement throughout the entire State that the salaries of County Supervisors be set by the State Legislature. It was only by the State Legislature last year that Supervisors throughout the State were enabled to get some increase in salary. Both amendments should be submitted.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to rescind action failed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—6.

#### Numbering of Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Sullivan, moved that proposed amendment to Section 24 of the Charter, Permits and Licenses, be designated as Charter Amendment No. 5.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, McMurray—2.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Robert Callaghan, representing the members of the Fire Department, reported that the representatives of the Fire and Police departments had attempted to consolidate amendments affecting both those departments. The proposed consolidation would include the Salvage Corps. He would like to have the Fire and Police Department amendments considered at one time.

Supervisor Colman presided during the discussion on Fire and Police Department amendments, at the request of President Gallagher, who desired to participate more actively in discussion on said amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher expressed opposition to and rescinding of action whereby Charter Amendment affecting the Salvage Corps had previously been ordered submitted. He believed that the status of the Salvage Corps, as regards any charter amendment, should remain as at present.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher resumed his position as President and Chairman.

Lieutenant Quigley, of the Police Department, reported that the members of the Police and Fire departments' committee had agreed that having nine amendments on the ballot would most likely kill nearly, if not all amendments. The committee realizes the need for increased salaries for policemen and firemen. The members of both departments, under the proposed charter amendment, will get an increase of 15 per cent in salary, so no one will be hurt along those lines. Provision is made for widows of members of the departments killed in line of duty. In the interest of harmony, the committee has asked the various groups to go along with two amendments. All groups, Lieutenant Quigley thought, would support the first amendment. He urged the members of the Board to consider all amendments for the Police and Fire departments together.

Mr. John, representing San Francisco Labor Council, stated that the Labor Council was in complete accord with the contention made by the firemen. The Council believes there is better opportunity for success if only two amendments are on the ballot. However, it believes that Charter Amendment No. 4, already ordered submitted, should go on the ballot. Amendment covering pensions of widows of men killed in line of duty was a good amendment, and should be submitted.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman stated that in order to have something before the Board for consideration, and after listening to the discussion, he would move, as suggested by Mr. Gallagher, that the Board introduce only two amendments, and that the Board does not consider any other amendments.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman moved that the Board rescind its action whereby it had ordered submitted charter amendment affecting the Salvage Corps.

Motion failed for want of a second.

Mr. Ivan Flamm, representing the Retirement League of San Francisco, stated that association had endorsed the proposals made by the Fire and Police department representatives to keep the number of amendments to a minimum. The Retirement League represents 15,000 employees. The League realizes that too many amendments affecting City employees will adversely affect every employee. The Salvage Corps will benefit by Charter Amendment No. 3, because they come under the proposed liberalization plan, and will not be losing too much. They can come in with their proposal at a later date.

Supervisor Gallagher stated he thought members of the Salvage Corps should have the same rights and privileges as other members of the Fire Department, and that there should be three matters on the ballot. Thereupon, he ruled further discussion out of order.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman presented the following proposed charter amendment, and, in order to bring the matter before the Board, moved submission thereof:

### PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 7

#### PENSION PROVISIONS—DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF FIRE AND POLICE DEPARTMENTS KILLED IN LINE OF DUTY

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the charter of said city and county by adding Section 168.3 thereto, relating to the members of the Fire and Police Departments, the Salvage Corps and pilots, marine engineers and marine firemen of fireboats, and Section 38.2, relating to membership in the salvage corps of persons absent in military service.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held therein on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto new sections to be designated as Section 168.3 and 38.2, as follows:

#### PENSION PROVISIONS—DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF FIRE AND POLICE DEPARTMENTS KILLED IN LINE OF DUTY

*SEC. 168.3. If a member of the fire or police departments, as defined in the charter for the purposes of the retirement system, or a member of the salvage corps in the fire department, or any person employed by the city and county to perform duties now performed under the titles of pilot of fireboats, marine engineer of fireboats, or marine firemen of fireboats, all of whom are hereafter designated as members, shall die before or after retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance, in lieu of any allowance payable under any other section of the charter or by ordinance, shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, but such allowances shall not be less than one-half of the average monthly compensation earnable by said member during the three years immediately preceding death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If death occurs prior to qualification for service*

retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of such average monthly compensation. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this sub-section to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

Benefits provided under this section shall be in lieu of all benefits payable under other sections of the charter upon death of such member resulting from an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, except the five hundred dollar benefit payable upon death after retirement.

Contributions to provide the allowance under this section shall be made to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System by the city and county. The amount of the contribution shall be determined and payment to the system shall be made in the same manner as contributions are determined and paid which are required for other benefits provided under the retirement system for the respective groups of members who are included under this section.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, any member of the salvage corps in the fire department, or any person employed by the city and county to perform duties now performed under the titles of pilot of fire boats, marine engineer of fire boats, or marine firemen of fire boats, who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall receive the same benefits as members of the fire department who are members of the retirement system under section 171 of the charter.

### SALVAGE CORPS—MILITARY LEAVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 38.2. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, any person employed on July 1, 1943, in the uniformed force of said Underwriters Fire Patrol of San Francisco who was absent from his duties therein on account of military service and who had been so employed by said Underwriters Fire Patrol of San Francisco for a period of six (6) months next before July 1, 1943, is deemed a member of said salvage corps on July 1, 1943, on military leave from his position therein and is deemed appointed thereto on July 1, 1943, pursuant to the civil service provisions of the charter and entitled from said date to all of the benefits of such employment.

### Discussion.

Lieutenant Quigley stated that it was his understanding that if the foregoing proposed amendment were ordered submitted, that all other amendments affecting the Police and Fire departments, except Charter Amendment No. 4, would be off the ballot.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Section 38.2, the last paragraph of the proposed charter amendment, be deleted, inasmuch as the Board has already ordered submitted an amendment to take care of the Salvage Corps. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that he would vote against the motion, and he would ask the other members of the Board so to vote. The Judiciary Committee, he stated, had been working for some time on these amendments. The members of the Fire Prevention Bureau should receive consideration.

Lieutenant Quigley, in answer to remarks by Supervisor McMurray, stated if Section 38.2, amendment for the Salvage Corps, were kept on the ballot, the amendment for Retired Police Corporals should also be on the ballot.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that further consideration of all proposed amendments affecting the Police and Fire departments be postponed until the Board has an opportunity of passing upon other charter amendments that have been presented. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Supervisor Gallagher opposed the motion. Many of the members of the Fire Department have to fly to Long Beach during the afternoon, he stated. It would be an injustice to them to do that.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion for postponement by Supervisor Mancuso *failed to carry* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Mancuso, McMurray—2.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—8.

Supervisor Lewis speaking in favor of motion by Supervisor Gallagher, to delete Section 38.2 from the amendment under consideration, stated that the two Sections of the amendment were really two different matters, and he believed it would be a mistake to have them in one charter amendment.

Mr. Callaghan objected to the motion. If the motion were carried it would kill the intention of the entire matter.

Supervisor Colman agreed with Mr. Callaghan. He was opposed to the deletion, and would vote "No" on the motion.

After further brief discussion, the roll was called and the motion by Supervisor Gallagher *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mead, Sullivan—5.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee suggested an additional amendment to the proposed charter amendment, to provide for retired police corporals.

Mr. Quigley again informed the Board that in order to make a compromise with the various groups, it was necessary to make some sacrifices. There was no question of opposition to any of the groups.

Lieutenant Quigley stated that in the interest of harmony, the retired corporals were eliminated.

Mr. James Farrel, representing retired corporals, announced that his group was willing to go along in the charter amendment as proposed.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that Section 161.1, which had heretofore been included in proposed charter amendment, be included in

the proper place in charter amendment proposed by Supervisor Colman. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, McMurray, Mead Sullivan—6.

#### Ordered Submitted.

The roll was then called and motion to submit, by Supervisor Colman, was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—10.

Supervisor Colman moved the Board rescind its previous action, whereby proposed amendment to Section 38.1, Salvage Corps, had been ordered submitted to the voters. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor Gallagher was opposed to the motion. Such action, he declared, would imperil the rights of the members of the Salvage Corps to retirements. It was not fair to members of the Salvage Corps, since they were taken into the Fire Department, not to receive the same retirement benefits as the members of that Department.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—4.

Before the result of the foregoing vote had been announced, Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" and moved for reconsideration at a later time during the day. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

The vote then stood:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—3.

Subsequently during the day's proceedings, Supervisor Gallagher announced that he would not press his motion for reconsideration, and he then moved that the Board adjourn. Motion seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher—2.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher presented, as a substitute for motion made previously during the proceedings, that all personnel serving in the uniformed forces of the Fire Department who serve in the Fire Prevention Bureau shall receive \$25 per month more salary than those of the same ranks while serving in the Fire Department, the following, to be known as Section 36.2 of the Charter:

Section 36.2. Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, all personnel of the police and fire departments assigned to the following special services:

Fire Department—Fire Prevention and Investigation Bureau; Auto Detail; Drill Tower Detail; Water Front Fire Marshal Operators.

Police Department—Motorcycle Officers; Radio Car Officers; Radio Technicians; Bureau of Special Service; Accident Prevention Bureau; Desk Clerks; City Prison Officers; shall be paid \$25.00 per month in addition to the compen-



sation attached to their respective ranks while so serving, provided that the compensation of any such position in special service is not subject to the salary standardization provisions of this charter.

This proposed charter amendment, Supervisor Gallagher pointed out, speaks for itself. If one group detailed to special service is to be taken care of, personnel of all departments detailed to special service should be taken care of, and accordingly he would move submission. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead.

The roll was called and the foregoing proposed amendment was *Refused Submission* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Mead—3.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Thereupon, the roll was called on charter amendment submitted by Supervisor Mancuso, and amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, John J. Sullivan—4.

#### Salvage Corps.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that he believed the men of the Salvage Corps should be on a separate amendment. They are entitled to be part of the retirement system. It was not fair that they were not taken in as members of the Fire Department and given an opportunity of coming into the retirement system as such.

Supervisor Mead agreed, but two wrongs did not make a right, he held. Supervisor Gallagher's proposal brings up the question of cluttering up the ballot, which might defeat everything the Board has already done. There are three amendments affecting members of the Fire and the Police departments already ordered submitted. There should be no more.

Supervisor Colman declared that the Board was doing everything in its power to sabotage all the amendments. In his opinion, those who say they never want to vote against an increase in salary are doing exactly that. The cost of charter amendments already ordered submitted is approximately \$10,000,000. The Board is not acting wisely in submitting any more amendments. He would vote against this proposal by Supervisor Gallagher, and against all other amendments.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the amendment proposed by Supervisor Gallagher was *Refused Submission* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, McMurray—3.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

#### Numbering of Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that proposed charter amendment providing for pension provisions for dependents of members of the Fire and Police departments killed in line of duty, and for military leave provisions for members of the Salvage Corps, be designated as Charter Amendment No. 7.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

#### Re-reference to Committee.

Supervisor Colman then moved re-reference to committee of Charter Amendment, Section 168.2, Continuance of Retirement Allowances to Widows of Policemen. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray. *No objection, and motion carried.*

## CHARTER AMENDMENT

## DIVISION OF FIRE PREVENTION AND INVESTIGATION

Supervisor Mancuso presented the following:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 38 thereof, relating to fire prevention and investigation.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 38 thereof relating to fire prevention and investigation, so that the same shall read as follows:

Section 38. The division of fire prevention and investigation is hereby established in the fire department, under the jurisdiction of the fire commission. The division shall consist of such personnel as may be necessary for the proper operation of the same, and shall have such organization, powers and duties as are provided herein and by ordinance not in conflict herewith. The division of fire prevention and investigation shall consist of the bureau of fire prevention and public safety and the bureau of fire investigation. The chief of the division of fire prevention and investigation shall be in charge of such division under the jurisdiction aforesaid. The fire commission shall detail to the bureau of fire prevention and public safety from the uniformed force of the department an officer to have charge of said bureau and such personnel for service above the rank of inspector as it may deem necessary. The supervising inspector, bureau of fire investigation, shall be in charge of the bureau of fire investigation.

In addition to the chief of the division of fire prevention and investigation and the supervising inspector of the bureau of fire investigation, whose positions in the division are subject to civil service regulation and to salary standardization, officers of the fire department sufficient for the performance of the functions of the division shall be detailed at all times for service herein above the rank of inspector. While so serving such officers shall each receive as compensation for such service a sum of \$25.00 per month in addition to the compensation attached to their respective ranks.

Other service in the division shall be performed by members of the uniformed force of the fire department having the rank of inspector, which position is hereby created.

The position of inspector shall be included in the classified civil service of the city and county and all occupants thereof shall be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter, provided that the probationary period for the rank of inspector shall be one year, and further provided that all members of the uniformed force other than officers who are actually employed as such inspectors on the effective date of this amendment and who have been regularly detailed thereto for a period of three years prior thereto, shall be continued in their respective positions as such inspectors without serving a probationary period and otherwise as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a civil service list of eligibles, and thereafter shall be governed by, and be subject to, the civil service provisions of this char-

ter. Members of the uniformed force of the fire department for a period of three years or more shall be eligible to participate in examinations for the rank of inspector. For all purposes of promotional examinations and promotion the rank of inspector shall be equal to those of chief's operator, hose-man and truckman in the fire department.

The annual compensation for persons serving as inspectors shall be, during their probationary period as such, that attached at the time earned to the rank held on appointment thereto. The annual compensation for persons permanently appointed to the rank of inspector shall be \$300.00 per year less than that of lieutenant of the fire department. The salary herein provided for the rank of inspector shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January, 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of this charter, but the payment of any portion thereof not theretofore budgeted and appropriated may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California. All officers and members of the division shall retain all pension and retirement rights provided by charter and ordinance.

The bureau of fire prevention and public safety shall inspect all structures and premises to determine whether or not compliance is being had with statutes and ordinances relative to fire prevention, fire protection and fire-spread control, and the protection of persons and property from fire. It shall enforce said statutes and ordinances and shall report violations to departments having jurisdiction.

Such bureau shall examine the application, plans and specifications for the erection, and for alterations and repairs of any structure or premises subject to the statutes and ordinances referred to in this section. The bureau shall by written report, filed with the superintendent of building inspection, approve such plans and specifications, or report to said superintendent the particulars wherein noncompliance exists, and upon modification of the application, plans and specifications to comply therewith, the bureau shall inform said superintendent of its approval. No permit for alteration or repair or for erection shall be issued unless said approval is given.

The fire commission, relative to permits subject to issuance or revocation by the chief engineer of the fire department, shall, by regulation, prescribe such duties of the bureau of fire prevention as it shall deem appropriate. Any structure or premises wherein there exists any violation of statutes and ordinances referred to in this section, or which is maintained or used in such manner as to endanger persons or property by hazard of fire, explosion or panic and any structure or premises hereafter constructed, altered or repaired in violation of said statutes and ordinances is hereby declared to be a public nuisance, and it shall be the duty of the bureau to prosecute abatement proceedings.

An appeal and advisory board is hereby created, consisting of the chief engineer of the fire department, who shall be the chairman, the chief of division of fire prevention and investigation, and the heads of the bureau of building inspection and the department of electricity, and a lay member to be appointed by the mayor for a four-year term. Said board shall serve without compensation.

An appeal may be taken from any act, determination or order of the bureau, performed under this section, by filling a written appeal with the appeal and advisory board.

Pending action on such appeal any construction, alteration or repair, embraced therein, may proceed if a building permit therefor has been issued, but no such permit may be issued while action on an appeal is pending. No certificate of completion or occupancy shall be issued by any officer or employee until said appeal has been determined. The advisory and appeal board may affirm, reverse or modify the act and determination of the bureau. If the appeal is determined adversely to the appellant, said structure or premises shall be made to comply with such decision. It shall require four votes of the board to reverse or modify the act, order or determination of the bureau.

The bureau of fire investigation shall have the power to investigate the cause, origin and circumstances of every fire or explosion occurring in the city and county of San Francisco for the purpose of ascertaining whether the fire or explosion was of accidental or criminal origin. Said bureau shall keep a record of all fires, including important facts, statistics and circumstances relating to the cause thereof. The bureau of fire investigation shall have the power to enter in or upon and examine any structure or premises wherein or whereon an attempt has been made to set a fire or cause an explosion, or a fire or explosion has occurred. The bureau shall report promptly to the district attorney all cases in which it concludes that a fire or explosion has been caused with criminal intent.

Officers of the division of fire prevention and investigation and inspectors of the bureau of fire investigation shall have the powers and exercise the functions of a police officer to enforce compliance with the provisions of this section.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Sullivan, President of the Board of Fire Commissioners, stated that the Board of Fire Commissioners objected to Civil Service status of members of the Fire Prevention Bureau. Such status would interfere with the administration of the department. The amendment would create dissension in the department. He was opposed to submission.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that the Scannell Club group definitely insisted that the personnel of the Fire Prevention Bureau be under civil service. The members of that Bureau also want civil service status. This amendment simply provides that the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation shall be under the Fire Commission and that the Chief of the division shall be confined to his division.

Mr. Alfred Smith stated that he had not had an opportunity to consider the amendment proposed by Supervisor Mancuso. However, he agreed with the remarks of President Sullivan.

Mr. Frank Kelley, Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, stated that he had seen the proposed amendment only about five minutes previously. He was opposed to the taking of his position out of the uniformed ranks of the Fire Department. It was not fair or right. The amendment should be left as originally written, or there should be no amendment at all.

Mr. Charles Rockwell, representing Personnel in the Fire Prevention Bureau, urged submission of amendment proposed by Supervisor Mancuso.

Supervisor McMurray urged submission of the amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee reported on the Judiciary Committee's consideration of the amendment. The committee has felt for a long time, he stated, that consideration should be given this division in the matter of increased compensation.

Mr. Callaghan declared that the subject matter was strictly controversial in the Fire Department. If it gets on the ballot there will be other groups trying to get on the ballot, also. The Fire and Police Committee objects to its submission at this time.

After further brief argument by Supervisor Mancuso, the roll was called and the amendment as proposed by Supervisor Mancuso was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—5.

#### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, moved that the Board rescind its action whereby it had ordered submitted to the voters, the foregoing charter amendment.

Supervisor Colman stated his reason for moving to rescind, saying that he did not believe all the members of the Board had heard the arguments presented and so did not understand exactly what was taking place.

Supervisor Mancuso opposed the motion to rescind, and urged the members to vote "No" on the motion.

Supervisor Mead announced that he would vote for the motion to rescind. He did not hear the arguments by the heads of the department.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to rescind action was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—5.

President Sullivan, of the Board of Fire Commissioners, stated that said Board had opposed the amendment for the simple reason that it provided for civil service status for the inspectors in the department. He urged that the matter be not submitted.

Supervisor McMurray moved that reference to civil service be deleted from the amendment.

Motion *failed* for want of a second.

Chief Kerns of the Fire Department also opposed submission of the amendment.

Mr. Callaghan also opposed the submission of the amendment. His opposition was not based on the merits of the amendment, however, but he did not want to see too many matters on the ballot for the Fire and the Police departments.

#### Refused Submission.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposed amendment was *Refused Submission* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

#### City Planning Commission Withdrawn.

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Lash, of the Junior Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Lash reported at some length on the efforts of his associates in connection with City Planning Commission charter amendments

which they had proposed. He expressed appreciation for the courtesies and the hearing by the City Planning Committee. After much thought, and with some regret, he and his associates had decided, in order further to explain the City Planning Commission amendment to additional groups, and to better prepare the amendment to present to the Board of Supervisors for unanimous submission to the voters, to request that further consideration be postponed and that they be given permission to withdraw the amendment. It was their intention at a later date, and as early as possible, again to present their amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that, in view of the statement by Mr. Lash, he would move that the amendment be re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Colman announced that he was prepared to state that he thought there was sufficient merit in the City Planning Commission amendment to warrant its submission to the voters. He complimented the members of the Junior Chamber of Commerce for their leadership and their fine example.

Supervisor Mead declared that Supervisor Colman had expressed the opinion of every member of the Board.

Supervisor Lewis announced that he was opposed to re-reference to committee. It seemed evident to him that pressure had come in from some place. The amendment should be sent to the people, and it should be voted on, because it was a good amendment, and he urged the advocates of the measure to stick with it.

Supervisor McMurray agreed with Supervisor Colman that the young men of the Junior Chamber of Commerce were deserving of much commendation.

Supervisor Meyer announced that he would go along with the group from the Junior Chamber of Commerce.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he believed the provisions of the amendment were generally acceptable. However, there seemed to be a desire for opportunity for further study by various groups. Those groups are not necessarily opposed to the measure. He did not believe the proponents of the proposed charter amendment had made any hasty decision not to press their amendment, but gave their decision very careful thought. If the amendment is returned to committee, it will not die there.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to Judiciary Committee was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

#### Adjournment of Special Meeting.

During the consideration of the foregoing matter, at the hour of 2:00 p. m., the Board of Supervisors adjourned its recessed special session and reconvened in regular meeting.

#### Announcement of Appointment of J. Joseph Sullivan as Supervisor.

His Honor, the Mayor, presented to the Board, Honorable J. Joseph Sullivan, and announced his appointment as Supervisor to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Supervisor Brown.

#### Committee Assignments for Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan.

The President, after greeting the newly appointed Supervisor and presenting him to his colleagues on the Board of Supervisors, announced that he would appoint him to the same committee assignments which had been held by Mr. Brown.

#### APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 12, 1946, was considered read and approved.

Ordered Submitted.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 13

## SUBSTITUTE PROMOTIONAL EXAMINATIONS FOR EMPLOYEES RETURNING FROM SERVICE IN ARMED FORCES

The following proposed charter amendment was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 146.2, relating to substitute promotional examinations for employees returning from military leaves of absence for service in the armed forces of the United States, and rights arising therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 146.2, so that the same shall read as follows:

### SUBSTITUTE PROMOTIONAL EXAMINATIONS FOR EMPLOYEES RETURNING FROM SERVICE IN ARMED FORCES

*SEC. 146.2. Employees under permanent civil service appointment who, because of absence on duly authorized military leave for service in the armed forces of the United States, did not participate in a promotional examination held between September 16, 1940 and the effective date of this amendment, in which examination the employee was otherwise eligible to compete, and which examination is hereinafter referred to as the original promotional examination, shall after abridgment of military leave, have the right to participate in a similar promotional examination, subject to the provisions of this section. The provisions of this section shall not apply to employees whose military leave extends beyond six months after the effective date of this amendment.*

*In order to qualify for participation in a similar promotional examination under the provisions of this section, such employee who desires to participate therein must make application in writing to the Civil Service Commission within thirty days after the abridgment of his military leave, or within thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, whichever is later. Failure to file such written request to participate in a similar promotional examination as herein provided shall be deemed a waiver of all rights of the employee to participate in such similar promotional examination.*

*The Civil Service Commission shall arrange to hold such similar promotional examination within a reasonable time after all such employees eligible to request participation in any such similar promotional examination under the provisions of this section have indicated their desire to so participate, or have waived their right to participate, as herein provided.*

*The Civil Service Commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of such similar promotional examination. If the employee obtains in the similar promotional examination a score rating equal to or more than the minimum passing mark established by the Civil Service Commission for inclusion on the list of eligibles resulting from the original promotional examination, his name shall thereupon be entered on the eligible list resulting from the original promotional examination in*

accordance with the relative excellence obtained by all the qualified participants in the original and similar promotional examinations. Such employees shall be eligible for appointment from such list of eligibles in accordance with civil service rules to any vacancy thereafter occurring, and subject to satisfactory completion of a probationary period as provided in section 148 of this charter for a period of four years after the proclamation of peace or the termination of the emergency, and before eligibles procuring standing through examinations held subsequent to the original promotional examination.

If it is determined by the Civil Service Commission that the name of such person would have been reached for permanent appointment from the list of eligibles established as a result of the original promotional examination during his term of military service had the name of such person appeared thereon, then such employee, upon appointment to a permanent position as herein provided, shall be granted seniority in such appointment from the date his name would have been reached had his name appeared thereon, but such seniority shall be used only for the purpose of determining salary increments and calculating city and county service credits in other promotional examinations held subsequent to the similar promotional examination herein authorized. For all other purposes, seniority of service shall date from the date of appointment as a result of qualifying in the similar promotional examination as herein authorized.

Such employees who qualify for appointment as a result of a similar promotional examination as herein provided, and who are appointed to permanent positions, as herein provided, shall be permitted to participate in other promotional examinations for which they are otherwise eligible, while serving under probationary appointment in the position to which appointed as a result of the similar promotional examination, provided that certification from lists of eligibles established from such other examinations shall not be made until the employee has satisfactorily completed the aforesaid probationary appointment.

The Civil Service Commission shall adopt rules to govern the administration of similar promotional examinations herein authorized, and appointments and other matters resulting therefrom.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher announced that he was in favor of the foregoing proposed amendment, but he feared that if there were two amendments on the ballot, of equal importance and dealing with the same subject, the voters might become confused and so injustice would be done to both measures.

Supervisor MacPhee reported that the Judiciary Committee had given the subject matter considerable thought and had heard a great deal of discussion. The C.I.O. was adamant that the "veterans only" be put on the ballot. The Committee felt that both matters should go on the ballot and the decision of the people would be final. The Committee believes that no harm can be done by submission of both amendments.

Supervisor Christopher then stated that the explanation by Supervisor MacPhee was satisfactory to him, and he would go along with the veterans.

Mr. Peddicord stated that there was some thought expressed of appointing a committee to go to the State Legislature, in case one measure received a very much larger vote than the other, which would be regarded as the will of the people, to ask the Legislature to ratify only that amendment which received such larger vote. However, if both amendments were approved by the people, and ratified



by the Legislature, the result would merely be that all employees returning from military leave of absence, whether their service was in the armed forces or in the maritime service, would be entitled to take the promotional examinations they had missed because of such service. There would be no conflict between the two measures.

Supervisor Colman believed one amendment was sufficient. He could not go along with a second amendment. He would vote against submission of the amendment to add Section 146.2 to the Charter.

Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, moved that the word "employees" be substituted for the word "eligibles" in the foregoing amendment.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the foregoing amendment, as amended and reading as above, be ordered submitted.

Motion *carried* and proposed charter amendment, adding a new section to the Charter to be known as Section 146.2, providing for substitute promotional examinations for employees returning from service in armed forces, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Ordered Submitted.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 15

### RETIREMENT OF ELECTIVE OFFICERS

The following proposed charter amendment was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 158.1, relating to retirement of elective officers.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 158.1, Retirement of Elective Officers, which shall read as follows:

### RETIREMENT OF ELECTIVE OFFICERS

*SEC. 158.1. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 158 of this charter, elective officers, except members of the board of supervisors and of boards and commissions, shall be members of the San Francisco city and county employee's retirement system and shall be subject to all of the conditions applying to other members thereof, except members of the fire and police departments, and except as herein otherwise provided. In the determination of contributions and benefits of any officer becoming a member of the retirement system by virtue of the provisions hereof, that part of the salary of such officer which exceeds one thousand (\$1,000) dollars per month shall be excluded. Elective officers in office on the effective date hereof and otherwise eligible to the provisions hereof shall have the option to become members of said retirement system to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not*

*later than ninety days after the effective date hereof. Each such present and future elective officer may retire at his option but only after having attained the age of seventy years and only after having occupied such an elective office or having been otherwise employed in a position subject to membership in the retirement system for at least twenty years immediately preceding retirement, and may retire by filing written application therefor with the retirement board, and the mayor shall thereupon appoint a qualified person for the unexpired term of office remaining at the time of any such retirement. Such elective officer shall thereafter receive a retirement allowance equal to one-half of the compensation received by him at the time of retirement, provided that such allowance shall not exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars per month. Contributions required to provide the portion of the benefits under this section not provided by the member's contribution shall be paid to the retirement system by the city and county.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso explained the foregoing proposed charter amendment. The amendment, at present, he stated, would affect only the City Attorney. The question brought up by Mr. Smith as to the amount of pension to be granted, was considered. It was felt that a pension of one-half pay, based on a maximum of \$500 per month, or \$250 per month, would be no incentive for an official receiving \$1,000 or more per month to retire from service. For that reason, the Judiciary Committee has recommended that the amount of pension be based on an amount of salary not to exceed \$1,000 per month, making the maximum pension to be allowed under the proposed amendment to be \$500.

Supervisor Christopher again brought up the possible case of an official entering the City's service at a very early age, possibly in his early twenties, serving for forty or forty-five years, and being retired by the voters just before reaching the age of seventy years. He would be denied all pension rights under the terms of the proposed amendment. That would not be fair.

Mr. Smith again advanced the same objections as he had previously voiced. City employees' pensions are based on a maximum salary of \$500 per month. The City and County will some day be confronted with the situation whereby the employees of the City and County will request their pensions be based on the same maximum salary as that proposed for officials.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved submission of the foregoing proposed charter amendment.

Motion carried and amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

#### Charter Amendment—Division of Fire Prevention.

Supervisor Mancuso called attention to proposed charter amendment affecting the Division of Fire Prevention, which had heretofore been ordered submitted, and on which action ordering the submission had subsequently been rescinded, and on which by a still later vote, submission was refused. He proposed an additional amendment to Section 38 of the Charter, by deleting the second sentence thereof, as the Charter reads at present, and to insert in lieu thereof, the following:

The commission shall detail to said bureau from the uniformed force of the department an officer to have charge

of said bureau and such other personnel as it may deem necessary who while serving therein, shall be paid, in addition to their salary or salaries for their respective ranks in the fire department, \$25. more per month, provided that such additional compensation shall not be paid to any person whose salary is subject to the salary standardization provisions of this charter.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that the Board rescind its action previously taken, whereby it had refused to submit to the voters, an amendment to Section 38 of the Charter, for the purpose of amending said Section 38, as herein stated. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

The amendment, Supervisor Mancuso explained, would provide an additional \$25 above the salary paid for like rank in the Fire Department. He did not believe the people would object to that increase, nor, did he think, would the David Scannell Club.

Mr. Callaghan announced that the Fire and Police Committee would object to any amendment affecting the Fire and Police Departments other than the two amendments which have already been ordered submitted.

Fire Commissioner Sullivan announced that he had not even seen the proposed charter amendment.

Lieutenant Quigley wanted to make the position of the Fire and Police Committee very clear. The Committee recognized merit in the request of the men in the Fire Prevention Bureau, but there were other groups, with meritorious requests who, for the greater good of the greater number, were not pressing for submission of their amendments. The veteran police officers, for instance, have had their amendments in for four years. All members of the Police and Fire Departments will get a 15 per cent increase in salary and a reduction of the number of hours of work, if their amendment is approved. The men in the Fire Prevention Bureau will benefit by that amendment. The Deputy Chief of Police wants the motorcycle men to get an increase of \$30. They are entitled to that increase. The Accident Prevention Bureau wants \$30 increase in salary. They are foregoing it at this time the same as are the others, for the purpose of having the wage amendment on the ballot. The Committee feels that if anything more goes on the ballot, everything will be lost.

President Sullivan, of the Board of Fire Commissioners, stated that the Commission had discussed the question of a \$25 increase for men in the Bureau of Fire Prevention. The consensus was that the Fire Commission would not oppose an increase. However, that was not to be construed as approval of the amendment as submitted.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved that further consideration be temporarily postponed.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Mancuso requested a vote on the amendment to Section 38 of the Charter.

Supervisor Mead, in discussing the motion, declared that he was being put in a position where he could not vote against increases in salary. He did not want to see the firemen hurt; there was only one way to stop that, and that was by refraining from cluttering up the ballot. However, he would not go on record as voting against an increase in salary.

Supervisor Gallagher reminded the Board that it had already refused to submit a number of amendments. If this matter was going

to be pressed, he would press the Salvage Corps amendment also. However, it was not fair to the police and firemen to clutter up the ballot with too many amendments. If this matter is approved for submission, though, the Fire and Police Departments will ask for submission of other amendments now before the Board. This amendment should be turned down, or its should be sent back to committee for further study.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that Supervisor Mancuso's amendment be referred to Judiciary Committee. Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Mead stated that he thought the proposed increases should have been included in the original charter amendment.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to refer to committee failed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that all special services of the Police and Fire departments be granted \$25 per month increase. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mead.

Supervisor Mancuso raised a point of order, stating that there was a motion already before the house, his motion, and that Supervisor Gallagher's motion, therefore, was out of order.

Supervisor Gallagher answered, saying that his motion was a substitute for Supervisor Mancuso's motion.

Mr. Peddicord, in reply to questioning by Supervisor MacPhee, stated that the motion by Supervisor Gallagher was not in proper form for inclusion in Section 38 of the Charter.

Mr. Harry Alberts, of the Civil Service Commission, stated that there would have to be specific definitions as to what was to be included. There must be a definition as to special services.

Mr. Peddicord advised the Board that any proposal to grant an increase of \$25 per month above their respective ranks for all special services should be made part of another charter amendment, and not a part of Section 38. It could be in an appropriate place in Sections 35, 35.5 or 35.5½, for instance.

#### Substitute Promotional Examinations for Returned Veterans.

Supervisor MacPhee explained to the Board that there were two amendments on this subject, to provide that persons returned from military leave of absence shall have the right to take promotional examinations they were entitled to take while they were in the service. One amendment would give that right to all those employees who were on military leave of absence, as set forth in the Charter; the other would grant that right only to those who were in the armed forces. The Civil Service Commission recommends that promotional examinations be allowed to those employees who were in the armed forces or in the maritime service. That is, those who were on military leave as defined in the Charter.

Mr. Sawyer, of the C.I.O., recommended submission of both amendments. The amendment with the greater number of votes should be considered as approved. The one with the fewer number of votes should be inoperative.

Thereupon, further consideration was postponed temporarily.

Subsequently during the day's proceedings, the Board again took up the charter amendments above mentioned.

Supervisor Colman held if both amendments should be approved by the people, they should both be effective. That would be the edict of the people.

Supervisor Mancuso reported that the City Attorney's office had stated that both amendments could be submitted, and that the Board of Supervisors could request the State Legislature to ratify that amendment receiving the greater number of votes.

Mr. Harry Albert, representing the Civil Service Commission, stated that the amendment providing for Section 146.1 was worked out after much argument and discussion between the employee groups and the Civil Service Commission. This was presented officially to the Judiciary Committee with the approval of the Civil Service Commission and the employee groups. It provides that all City employees who were on military leave and missed promotional examinations while on such leave, shall have the right to take such examinations when they return to the City's service. The Charter provides that City employees in time of war shall be granted military leaves for service in the armed forces and also for those in the maritime service. The Commission feels that all employees returning from military leave should have the same rights. The veteran groups feel that military leave should be defined, and those who went into the armed forces should have the right to take promotional examinations they missed while away, while those who went into the maritime service should be denied that right.

Mr. Walker Peddicord, of the City Attorney's office, referred to remarks previously made by Supervisor Mancuso, stated that the question asked was if both amendments should be presented to the people, making distinction between veterans who had served in the armed forces and those who were on military leave and had served as provided in Section 163 of the Charter, if both amendments were adopted by the people, would be the result. If both amendments were adopted there would be no conflict. The result would be that the one which included both would be effective. No harm could come if both amendments were ratified.

Supervisor Christopher moved that the word "employees" be substituted for the word "Eligibles" in the amendment providing for Section 146.1 of the Charter.

*No objection, and amendment approved.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that charter amendment to provide for substitute promotional examinations for persons returning from service in the armed forces or the maritime service, and reading as follows, be ordered submitted:

Ordered Submitted.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

### SUBSTITUTE PROMOTIONAL EXAMINATIONS FOR PERSONS RETURNING FROM SERVICE IN ARMED FORCES OR MARITIME SERVICE

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 146.1, relating to substitute promotional examinations for employees returning from military leaves of absence and rights arising therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be known as section 146.1, so that the same shall read as follows:

## SUBSTITUTE PROMOTIONAL EXAMINATIONS FOR PERSONS RETURNING FROM SERVICE IN ARMED FORCES OR MARITIME SERVICE

*SEC. 146.1. Employees under permanent civil service appointment who, because of absence on duly authorized military leave, did not participate in a promotional examination held between September 16, 1940 and the effective date of this amendment, in which examination the employee was otherwise eligible to compete, and which examination is hereinafter referred to as the original promotional examination, shall after abridgment of military leave, have the right to participate in a similar promotional examination, subject to the provisions of this section. The provisions of this section shall not apply to employees whose military leave extends beyond six months after the effective date of this amendment.*

*In order to qualify for participation in a similar promotional examination under the provisions of this section, such employee who desires to participate therein must make application in writing to the Civil Service Commission within thirty days after the abridgment of his military leave, or within thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, whichever is later. Failure to file such written request to participate in a similar promotional examination as herein provided shall be deemed a waiver of all rights of the employee to participate in such similar promotional examination.*

*The Civil Service Commission shall arrange to hold such similar promotional examination within a reasonable time after all such employees eligible to request participation in any such similar promotional examination under the provisions of this section have indicated their desire to so participate, or have waived their right to participate, as herein provided.*

*The Civil Service Commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of such similar promotional examination. If the employee obtains in the similar promotional examination a score rating equal to or more than the minimum passing mark established by the Civil Service Commission for inclusion on the list of eligibles resulting from the original promotional examination, his name shall thereupon be entered on the eligible list resulting from the original promotional examination in accordance with the relative excellence obtained by all the qualified participants in the original and similar promotional examinations. Such employees shall be eligible for appointment from such list of eligibles in accordance with civil service rules to any vacancy thereafter occurring, and subject to satisfactory completion of a probationary period as provided in section 148 of this charter for a period of four years after the proclamation of peace or the termination of the emergency, and before eligibles procuring standing through examinations held subsequent to the original promotional examination.*

*If it is determined by the Civil Service Commission that the name of such person would have been reached for permanent appointment from the list of eligibles established as a result of the original promotional examination during his term of military service had the name of such person appeared thereon, then such employee, upon appointment to a permanent position as herein provided, shall be granted seniority in such appointment from the date his name would have been reached had his name appeared thereon, but such seniority shall be used only for the purpose of determining salary increments and calculating city and county service credits in other promotional examinations held subsequent to the similar*

*promotional examination herein authorized. For all other purposes, seniority of service shall date from the date of appointment as a result of qualifying in the similar promotional examination as herein authorized.*

*Such employees who qualify for appointment as a result of a similar promotional examination as herein provided, and who are appointed to permanent positions, as herein provided, shall be permitted to participate in other promotional examinations for which they are otherwise eligible, while serving under probationary appointment in the position to which appointed as a result of the similar promotional examination, provided that certification from lists of eligibles established from such other examinations shall not be made until the employee has satisfactorily completed the aforesaid probationary appointment.*

*The Civil Service Commission shall adopt rules to govern the administration of similar promotional examinations herein authorized, and appointments and other matters resulting therefrom.*

Ordered Submitted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

##### Assessments Confirmed.

**Hearing of Protests—Assessments for Costs and Expenses of Improvement of Twenty-eighth Avenue, Pacheco Street to Quintara Street.**

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessments, diagram, or warrant for pay of the cost of same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of Twenty-eighth Avenue from North line of Pacheco Street to South Line of Quintara Street, by the construction of paving, et cetera, by Chas. L. Harney, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 22961, passed October 10, 1945, by the Department of Public Works.

No protests having been made, the assessments were confirmed and the Clerk was directed so to notify the Director of Public Works.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

##### Assessments Confirmed.

**Hearing of Protests—Assessments for Costs and Expenses of Improvement of Riviera Street, Between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, Including the Crossing of Riviera Street and Forty-second Avenue.**

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessment, diagram, or warrant for pay of the cost of same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of Riviera Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Riviera Street and Forty-second Avenue, by the construction of paving, et cetera, by Chas. L. Harney, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 22,997, passed October 19, 1945, by the Department of Public Works.

No protests having been made, the assessments were confirmed and the Clerk was directed so to notify the Director of Public Works.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Section 2.8, to Provide That Employees' Children Under Twelve Years of Age Be Charged One-Half Rate Presently Established for Adults.

Bill No. 4241, Ordinance No. 4041 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8, to provide that employees' children under 12 years of age be charged one-half the rate presently established for meals.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 2.8 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 2.8. Charges and Deductions for Maintenance:** The compensations fixed herein for all employees whose compensations are subject to the provisions of Section 151 or Section 151.3 of the Charter, and including also those engaged in construction work outside the City and County of San Francisco, are gross compensations and include the valuation of maintenance provided such employees. Charges and deductions therefor for any and all maintenance furnished and accepted by employees shall be made and indicated on timeroles and payrolls in accordance with the following schedule of charges fixed by the Civil Service Commission for such maintenance. Provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters, waitresses, and other kitchen workers:

Single meal (breakfast) .....	\$	35
Single meal (other than breakfast) .....		50
		Per Month
1 meal per day (breakfast) .....	\$	9.80
1 meal per day (other than breakfast) .....		14.75
2 meals per day (breakfast and one other) .....		24.55
2 meals per day (not including breakfast) .....		29.50
3 meals per day .....		39.30
Room or house .....	\$10 per month or 35¢ per night	
Laundry .....		2.50
Board, room and laundry .....		51.80
Room, Hassler Health Home .....		5.00
Board, room and laundry for Camp Assistants at Camp Mather and for employees for Boys' Ranch School (each) .....		22.00
Room and board for adult dependents of employees at Boys' Ranch School (each) .....		10.00
Family maintenance furnished the Director of Boys' Ranch School and Agricultural Instructor (each) .....		42.00
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital (each) .....		183.60
Complete family maintenance furnished the Physician and Assistant Superintendent, Medical; Laguna Honda Home ..		108.60
Complete family maintenance furnished the Physician Specialist and Resident Physician and Superintendent of Hassler Health Home (each) .....		108.60
House furnished the Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division, of the Water Department and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department (each) .....		25.00



	Per Month
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department .....	50.00
House furnished the Operating Engineer of the Peninsula and Alameda Division of the Water Department .....	25.00
House furnished the Operating Engineer of the Water Dept....	15.00
House furnished to Superintendent, Park Department .....	50.00
House furnished to the Director of the Zoo, Park Dept. ....	25.00
House furnished to Junior Operating Engineer at the Murphy Windmill, Park Department .....	25.00

provided, however, that for employees of the San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home and the Hassler Health Home the rate for meals shall be as follows:

Single meal .....	\$ .35
	Per Month
1 meal per day .....	\$10.00
2 meals per day .....	16.50
3 meals per day .....	22.50

And provided further that employees' children under 12 years of age shall be charged for meals one-half the rate applicable for the parents.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Approving a Certain Lease Entered Into by the Park Commission and Lee Dougan, Dated September 14, 1946.**

Bill No. 4296, Ordinance No. 4043 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Approving a certain lease entered into by the Park Commission and Lee Dougan, dated September 14, 1946.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Supervisors does hereby approve a certain lease dated September 14, 1946, and entered into by and between the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco and Lee Dougan, wherein and whereby there has been leased to said Lee Dougan for the period of nine and one-half months commencing on the 14th day of September, 1946, and ending on the 30th day of June, 1947, for the purpose of operating a golf practice range and selling golf merchandise, said real property under the jurisdiction of the Park Commission described as follows, to wit:

That certain parcel of land lying within a tract of land bounded on the north and west by Sloat Boulevard and Sunset Boulevard as shown on Map No. 4011, entitled: "Map Showing Property for Proposed Lease, Vicinity of Sloat Boulevard, Skyline Connection," and described as follows, to wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Sloat Boulevard distant thereon 318.33 feet westerly from the center line of 37th Avenue produced southerly (said line of Sloat Boulevard assumed to have a bearing of south 86° 59' 00" west), said point of beginning being the point of beginning of a curve 750 feet radius and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Sloat Skyline Connection Road on a curve of 750 foot radius, central angle 47° 30' 00", a distance of 621.774 feet; thence S. 39° 29' 00" W. along

said line of Sloat Skyline Connection, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 117.29 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along said line of Sloat Skyline Connection on a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius 541.109 feet central angle  $18^{\circ} 31' 48''$ , a distance of 175.00 feet; thence S.  $78^{\circ} 45' 24''$  E. a distance of 937.398 feet; to a point on the easterly line of a service road; thence N.  $1^{\circ} 22' 14''$  W., a distance of 365.72 feet; thence N.  $44^{\circ} 20' 29''$  W. 66 feet; thence N.  $37^{\circ} 50' 29''$  W. 44 feet; thence N.  $9^{\circ} 00' 29''$  W. 56 feet; thence N.  $15^{\circ} 09' 31''$  E. 141 feet to the southwesterly line of a Sloat Boulevard Sunset Boulevard connecting road; thence northwesterly and westerly along said connecting road on a curve to the left radius 230 feet, central angle  $44^{\circ} 20' 31''$  a distance of 178 feet, to the southerly line of Sloat Boulevard and the point of beginning; containing 9.67 acres more or less.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

#### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

#### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor and Powell Streets.

Bill No. 1716, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 837 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled: "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 22, 1941, by amending Section 837 thereof to read as follows:

Section 837. The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Market and Stockton Streets shall be 15 feet.

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the northerly side of, between Stockton and Powell Streets, shall be 15 feet.*

The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the southerly side of, between Stockton and Powell Streets, shall be abolished.

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the northerly side of, between Powell and Mason Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the southerly side of, between Powell and Mason Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Mason and Taylor Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue, shall be 10 feet.

August 27, 1945—Consideration continued to September 17, 1945.

Tuesday, September 11, 1945—On motion of Supervisor MacPhee, consideration of the foregoing Bill, heretofore set for Monday, September 17, 1945, was postponed to Monday, September 24, 1945.

September 24, 1945 continued until the 4th Monday in September, 1946 (September 23, 1946.)

On motion by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, seconded by Supervisor Colman, *consideration was continued until Monday, September 30, 1948.*

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, MacPhee.

**Amending Section 1078, Article 16, Chapter VII, Part II, Municipal Code, by Changing Time Limitation Within Which Applicant Shall Comply With Certain Provisions Required for Granting of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for Operation of Motor Vehicles for Hire.**

Bill No. 4299, Ordinance No. 4044 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1078, Article 16, Chapter VIII, (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the time within which applicants shall comply with certain provisions required for the granting of certificates of public convenience and necessity for the operation of motor vehicles for hire, by providing that such time may be extended by the Police Commission not more than thirty days during the unlimited national emergency created by the Presidential Proclamation, No. 2487 of May 27, 1941.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1078, Article 16, Chapter VIII (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 1078. Findings by Police Commission—Procedure.** If the Police Commission finds from its investigation and hearing that public convenience and necessity justify the operation of the motor vehicle or motor vehicles for which licenses or permits are requested, it shall notify the applicant of its findings, and within sixty (60) days thereafter the applicant shall furnish to the Police Commission any and all additional information which may be required and if the said Police Commission then finds that the applicant is the owner of the vehicle or vehicles for which license or permit is requested, and that such vehicle meets with the requirements as prescribed by the provisions of the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco, and all of the rules and regulations enacted by the Board of Supervisors and the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, it shall thereupon issue to said applicant a certificate of public convenience and necessity and the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco shall thereupon issue to said applicant a license or permit, or licenses or permits, for the operation of such vehicle or vehicles. For the duration of the unlimited national emergency created by Presidential Proclamation No. 2487, May 27, 1941, the period of sixty (60) days herein provided, may in the discretion of the Police Commission be extended an additional thirty (30) days, whenever in the judgment of the Police Commission the applicant has made a showing that performance of the foregoing requirements has been prevented or hindered because of such emergency.

If the Police Commission finds from such investigation and hearing that the public convenience and necessity do not justify the operation of the vehicle for which license or permit is requested, it shall forthwith notify the applicant of said finding.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Granting to Sanitary Fill Company a Franchise for the Disposal of Garbage and Refuse and Providing the Details and Conditions Thereof.**

Bill No. 4174, Ordinance No. 4040 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting to Sanitary Fill Company a franchise for the disposal of garbage and refuse and providing the details and conditions thereof.

Whereas, on March 20, 1946, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco adopted Resolution No. 5346 (Series of 1939) providing and calling for bids for garbage disposal, and said resolution was thereafter, on March 21, 1946, approved by Roger D. Lapham, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and duly and regularly advertised according to law; and

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Whereas, on March 27, 1946, and April 3, 1946, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors caused to be advertised according to law a Notice of Proposal for Bids for Garbage and Refuse Disposal Franchise, pursuant to the provisions of said resolution and Sections 4200 to 4204 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California; and

Whereas, in response to said Notice of Proposal for Bids, bids were received by this Board of Supervisors on and before 3 p. m., April 29, 1946, the time fixed in said advertised notice for the filing of bids with the Clerk of the Board; and

Whereas, this Board did thereafter fully and completely examine into the merits of each bid so filed; and

Whereas, this Board did on May 27, 1946, adopt Resolution No. 5532 as follows:

"Resolved, That the bid of Sanitary Fill Company for garbage disposal received on April 29, 1946, be and is hereby accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and requested to prepare all necessary procedural documents necessary to granting a garbage disposal franchise to Sanitary Fill Company therefor.";

and

Whereas, it appears to this Board that public interest will be subserved by granting of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse collected in the City and County of San Francisco to Sanitary Fill Company.

Now, therefore, the People of the City and County of San Francisco do ordain as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 4200 to 4204 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California, an exclusive franchise for the disposal of garbage and refuse collected in the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted to the Sanitary Fill Company, a corporation, for the period of Twenty (20) years from and after the effective date of this franchise ordinance. Any time from and after Ten (10) years from the effective date of this franchise ordinance, either Sanitary Fill Company or the City and County of San Francisco may terminate such franchise by one year's written notice of termination delivered to the other party. Sanitary Fill Company is herein referred to as "Grantee."

Section 2. For the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco delivered at the ramp at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets in the Southern Pacific Company's yard, San

Francisco, the Grantee shall charge the sum of \$1.10 per ton, or such sum as may be agreed upon by the Grantee and the licensed collectors of garbage and refuse. In the event the Grantee and the licensed collectors of garbage and refuse cannot agree upon such sum, then the Grantee shall charge such sum as may be fixed by this Board of Supervisors under and by virtue of the power conferred on it by the "Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance," adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932. In the event the Southern Pacific Company cancels or withdraws permission for the use of the ramp at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, or in the event the Southern Pacific Company fails to furnish or discontinues adequate railroad car service between the ramp at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, and the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, County of San Mateo, then the Grantee shall charge for the disposal of garbage and refuse delivered to the garbage disposal site in Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, the sum of \$0.90 Dollars per ton, or such sum as may be agreed upon between the Grantee and the licensed collectors of such garbage and refuse; or failing such agreement, then such sum as may be fixed by the Board of Supervisors under the power conferred by the "Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance."

Section 3. So long as the Southern Pacific Company allows the use of the ramp in its yard at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, and so long as the Southern Pacific Company continues to furnish adequate railroad car service between the ramp and the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, the Grantee shall receive at the ramp for disposal all garbage and refuse lawfully collected in the City and County, and shall cause said garbage and refuse to be transported by railroad cars to the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District. No garbage or refuse shall be kept at the ramp for a longer period than twenty-four hours after receipt.

Section 4. Garbage and refuse shall be accepted as delivered by licensed garbage and refuse collectors and no segregation shall be required prior to delivery.

Section 5. So long as the Southern Pacific Company allows the use of the ramp in the yard at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, and so long as the Southern Pacific Company continues to furnish adequate railroad car service between the ramp and the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, the Grantee shall transport the garbage and refuse, when the cars are filled, over the line of the Southern Pacific Company to the garbage disposal site situate in the Bayshore Sanitary District in the County of San Mateo, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit: The tidelands of the Southern Pacific Company situated immediately south of and adjacent to the southerly boundary of the City and County of San Francisco and immediately easterly and adjacent to the main tracks of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company, where said tracks traversed said County of San Mateo immediately upon leaving the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. The method of disposal to be employed is the Fill and Cover Method. In the event Southern Pacific Company refuses to allow the use of the ramp at its yard at Sixth and Sixteenth Streets, San Francisco, or fails or refuses to furnish adequate railroad car service between the ramp and the garbage disposal site in Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, Grantee shall then receive for disposal at the garbage disposal site in Bayshore Sanitary District, San Mateo County, all garbage and refuse lawfully collected in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. Grantee shall deposit the garbage and refuse at the garbage disposal site and forthwith cover the same with earth, rock, sand and gravel, as provided in this ordinance

Section 8. In all matters and operations connected with this franchise, and the work to be done thereunder, the Grantee shall respect and strictly comply with the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, all the laws of the United States and of the State of California, and all ordinances, rules or regulations of any municipal, sanitary or other public district or corporation, which are or shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in anyway the actions of those engaged hereunder in any way as principal or agent.

Section 9. Grantee shall handle such garbage and refuse so that no nuisance of any nature will result from the deposit of garbage on said tidelands, nor from the disposition of ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal.

Section 10. Grantee shall forthwith, upon the final passage of this ordinance, execute an agreement in writing by which Grantee shall agree to all of the terms and conditions of this ordinance, and shall forthwith file and maintain with this Board of Supervisors a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of \$50,000, said bond to be conditioned that Grantee shall faithfully fulfill the terms and conditions of its franchise, and shall well and truthfully observe, fulfill and perform each and every term and condition thereof, so that no nuisance of any nature will result from the deposit of garbage nor from the disposition of ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal, and that, in case of any breach of condition of such bond, damages shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties on said bond.

Section 11. A substitute bond, under the same terms and conditions, may be filed by the grantee from time to time provided it meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors. In the event that the Board of Supervisors shall demand a change in the surety company furnishing the bonds, Grantee shall provide a surety company which shall be agreeable to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 12. The grantee, Sanitary Fill Company, shall fulfill sanitary fill requirements as follows:

(a) After delivery of garbage and refuse to the garbage disposal site in the Bayshore Sanitary District, whether by railroad cars of Southern Pacific Company or by trucks of licensed collectors, Sanitary Fill Company shall dispose of such garbage in accordance with sound engineering practice by placing, spreading and covering it with earth, rock or sand. The depth and shape of the garbage and refuse so placed and covered shall depend upon the elevation, grade and location of the particular part of the site being filled. At the end of each day all garbage and refuse so placed and spread shall be covered with earth, rock or sand to a depth which is in accordance with sound engineering practice and the prior experience of Grantee. Any departure from such procedure must be in accordance with sound engineering practice as determined by the City Engineer of San Francisco. A seal shall be made each day, and shall be maintained. If such seal is not maintained, the City Engineer may order additional work and materials to obtain such seal.

(b) No open fires shall be allowed to burn on the site, and a sufficient supply of water through adequate lines, hydrants, or other methods shall be provided to extinguish any fires which may occur in the garbage disposal site. Mud from the bay shall not be used for cover material unless it can be proved to the satisfaction of the health authorities that no nuisance shall be created.

(c) Pools of water containing large amounts of organic material which may putrefy or cause obnoxious conditions shall not be allowed to collect around the edge of the fill or elsewhere, and all water shall

be drained into regulated channels which shall discharge into adequate bodies of diluting water. Every reasonable effort shall be made to keep down the number of rodents. If visible signs of increased rate population are noticeable, the Director of Public Health of San Francisco may order that proper means shall be instituted at once to diminish such rat population.

(d) If it becomes necessary to dump garbage and refuse in water, then a suitable boom shall be placed adjacent to the outer face of the fill, of sufficient length and strength to provide against any floating material escaping into the bay.

(e) Railroad cars, after being unloaded, shall be swept clean inside, and, if necessary outside.

(f) The disposal site is to be under the constant inspection of the City Engineer or his authorized agents to the end that the requirements herein set forth shall faithfully be kept.

(g) A suitable trash burner shall be provided if it is desired to burn at the disposal site boxes, wood or other combustible materials which will not yield offensive odors.

(h) Operations at the disposal site shall be conducted in such a manner that there will be no rats, flies, larvae, noxious odors or nuisances resulting from the disposal of garbage or refuse.

Section 13. The City and County of San Francisco shall have the right at any time to make such inspections and tests as may be necessary to determine whether or not the Grantee is complying with its obligations under this ordinance

Section 14. The Grantee shall construct, maintain and operate all facilities used in the disposal process and shall make no charge or claim against the City and County of San Francisco for construction, maintenance, or operation of such facilities.

Section 15. Grantee shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of \$4,800 per annum to be applied on the salary of an inspector to be assigned to garbage disposal operations by the City and County.

Section 16. Grantee shall receive and dispose of all refuse collected by the Street Cleaning Division of the San Francisco Department of Public Works and of all sand collected in the City sewage treatment plants, when delivered at the receiving point, without charge or cost of any kind to the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 17. Grantee shall furnish to the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco a detailed statement of operations under oath at the end of each calendar year.

Section 18. As used in this ordinance, "garbage" and "refuse" means garbage and refuse as defined in the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance adopted by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election held on November 8, 1932 and includes all waste and discarded materials from dwelling places, households, apartment houses, stores, office buildings and the like, including waste or discarded food, animal and vegetable matter, from all kitchens thereof, waste papers, cans, glass, ashes, boxes and cuttings from trees, lawns, and gardens. "Garbage" and "refuse" as used in this ordinance does not include the disposal of kitchen waste and other refuse having a commercial value which hotels and restaurants dispose of without utilizing the refuse collectors licensed by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Repealing Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), Concerning Formula for Determining the Amount of Compensation of Certain Employees.

Proposal No. 5990, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), which provides formula for determining the amount of compensation of employees with permanent status while on intermittent service and temporary employees who occupy positions for which compensation has been fixed at a monthly rate, be and it is hereby repealed.

September 3, 1946—*Consideration postponed until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was postponed until Monday, September 30, 1946.*

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Islais Creek Sewage Plant—Acquisition of Land by Cancellation of Lease.

Proposal No. 6041, Resolution No. 5848 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the sum of \$2,900.00 be paid to Richard E. Mussalle, doing business under the name of Dickinson's Lumber Company, from appropriation No. 81.028.58.2 in consideration for a release of lease as to Lots 1 to 10 inclusive, also Lots 24 to 31 inclusive in Assessor's Block 5270, San Francisco, California.

Said land was acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, from Nello Giorgi et ux by deed dated June 28, 1946 and recorded July 2, 1946 for the proposed Islais Creek Sewage Plant, pursuant to Resolution No. 5385 series of 1939, adopted by this Board on April 1, 1946 and approved by the Mayor on April 5, 1946.

The sum of \$2,900.00 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under resolution No. 4744, series of 1939, for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and in as much as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described property, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purposes herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 4744, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustments of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and



directed to execute the necessary release of lease with said Richard E. Mussallem. The Director of Property is authorized to rent said property on a month to month basis at a rental of \$100.00 per month.

- Recommended by the Director of Public Works.
- Recommended by the Director of Property.
- Approved as to Description by the City Engineer.
- Approved as to Form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved as to Funds Available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 6042, Resolution No. 5849 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Park Department that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 612.600.03:

Arthur J and William H. Lawrence, Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 6110. ....	\$ 200
Christina M. Gaehwiler, Lots 1 and 13 in Assessor's Block 6064. ....	1,300

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

- Recommended by the Park Department.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 6043, Resolution No. 5850 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Rue R. Clifford, or the legal owner, to Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 6033, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$800 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

- Recommended by the Park Department.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Deletion of the Name of George D. Larzelere as a Responsible Relative Under the Old Age Security Act.**

Proposal No. 6052, Resolution No. 5852 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on May 5, 1946, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, did pass Resolution No. 5476 (Series of 1939) establishing a liability of certain persons as responsible relatives under the Old Age Security Act; and

Whereas, upon the list of names, as to which Resolution No. 5476 (Series of 1939), approved the recommendation of the Public Welfare Commission, "Determining the Liability of Responsible Relatives," there appeared the name, George D. Larzelere; and

Whereas, after further study it was ascertained that there was no liability on the part of George D. Larzelere to contribute to the support of his mother; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That after deletion of the name, George D. Larzelere, from the list of names submitted and recommended by the Public Welfare Commission, "Determining the Liability of Responsible Relatives," which recommendation was approved by Resolution No. 5476 (Series of 1939), said recommendation and the list of names accompanying same be and is hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Confirming Lease of Certain Lake Merced Property to the Olympic Club.**

Proposal No. 6054, Resolution No. 5853 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 1192, Bill No. 1238 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on September 6, 1946, for leasing the following described San Francisco Water Department land situated partly in the City and County of San Francisco and partly in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Bounded on the north by the easterly prolongation of the north boundary of the Olympic Golf Club property; on the east by the Vista Grande Sewer; on the south by the Golf Club road and on the west by property of The Olympic Club.

Being a strip of land approximately 180 feet in width and containing 17.35 acres, more or less.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, The Olympic Club, a corporation, offered to lease said property for golf course operations for a period of ten years, beginning October 1, 1946, and ending September 30, 1956, at a rental of \$200 per month, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the proposed lease on file in the office of the Director of Property, with the proviso that either party may cancel the lease on six months' written notice; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the leasing of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary lease to The Olympic Club, a corporation, as Lessee.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Confirming Sale of Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 3507 to Ernest Ingold, et al.**

Proposal No. 6055, Resolution No. 5854 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3909, Bill No. 4139, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on September 4, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City owned real property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Market Street, distant thereon 190 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Tenth Street; running thence southwesterly along said line of Market Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northeasterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block Number 5.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Ernest Ingold bid the sum of \$40,500.00 for said property; and

Whereas, said sum of \$40,500.00 is 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property; the amount of said appraisal being \$45,000.00; and

Whereas, the sum of \$4,050.00 has been paid to the City as a deposit in connection with this transaction, and Ernest Ingold has requested that the property be conveyed to the hereinafter mentioned parties; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Fire Commissioners have recommended the sale of said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Ernest Ingold and Gene Ingold, his wife, George Whitney and Eva C. Whitney, his wife and P. A. Rowe and Ellen Rowe, his wife.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price, which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property

Approved as to Form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 6056, Resolution No. 5855 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Giulia Berni and Giovanni Biglieri, or the legal owner, to 0.3 of an acre, more or less and being portion of the Maria de la Bolcoff 10.84 acre tract, San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$500.00 be paid for such property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.

Proposal No. 6057, Resolution No. 5856 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund

- 1. Northern Counties Title Insurance Co., fiscal year 1944-45, Lot 25, Block 753, second installment .....\$35.88
- 2. Larry Casalegno, fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 37, Block 1442, first installment ..... 25.84
- 3. Home Mutual Savings & Loan Assn., fiscal year 1944-45, Lot 28, Block 1466, second installment ..... 23.68
- 4. Bank of America, N.T.&S.A., fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 17, Block 1925, first installment \$27.53, second installment \$27.53 ..... 55.06
- 5. Luigi Ferrari, fiscal year 1945-46, Lots 21 and 22, Block 3204, second installment ..... 93.70
- 6. E. A. Brown, Sec'y, Home Mutual Savings & Loan Assn., fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 28, Block 5354A, first installment . 41.78
- 7. Home Mutual Savings & Loan Assn., fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 4,2Z, Block 6589, both installments ..... 24.02
- 8. Bartolomeo Casone, fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 23, Block 6651, first installment ..... 13.05

Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00

- 1. Ellison Lee Barber, veteran exemption filed, refund.....\$6.83
- 2. Ward M. Nichols, veteran exemption filed, refund..... 2.42

- 3. Mary A. Johnson, veteran exemption filed, refund . . . . . 7.25
- 4. George S. Elefteriades, through error duplicate declaration was filed—3194 Twenty-fourth Street, refund . . . . . 4.83

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Confirming Sale of Water Department Strip of Land in San Mateo County, Adjoining Carolands, to T. I. Moseley.**

Proposal No. 6058, Resolution No. 5857 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3910, Bill No. 4144, Series of 1939, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on September 12, 1946 to sell at public auction the following described City owned real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Commencing at a point in the common boundary line between the lands of the City and County of San Francisco; lands of T. I. Moseley, et al., formerly owned by Harriett Pullman Carolan; and lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., known as the "Howard Tract," said point being north 46° 58' west 292.48 feet more or less from the most southerly corner of lands described in deed from Black Mountain Land and Water Company to Harriett Pullman Carolan, recorded in Book 214 of Deeds at page 187, Records of San Mateo County; running thence from said point of commencement along the common boundary line between lands of the City and County of San Francisco and lands of T. I. Moseley, et al., north 51° 39' west 2258.05 feet; thence leaving said boundary line south 38° 21' west 28.32 feet more or less to a point on the northeasterly line of that certain 40 foot road right of way described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the County of San Mateo, dated December 11, 1924 and recorded December 16, 1924 in Book 140 of Official Records of San Mateo County at page 446, said point being also on Curve "A" referred to in the last mentioned deed, thence along a radial line through the center of said Curve "A" south 16° 20' 30" west 20 feet, to the center line of said 40 foot right of way; thence along said center line on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to a line perpendicular to the preceding course, with a radius of 300 feet and a central angle of 22° 00' 30", a distance of 115.24 feet to the southerly end of said curve "A", said last mentioned point being distant 25 feet measured at right angles southwesterly, from the hereinabove referred to common boundary line between lands of the City and County of San Francisco and lands of T. I. Moseley, et al; thence continuing along the center line of said 40 foot right of way parallel to and 25 feet at right angles from said common boundary south 51° 39' east 2137.12 feet and south 46° 58' east 64.45 feet more or less to a point on the common boundary line between lands of the City and County of San Francisco and lands of the Spring Valley Company, Ltd., known as the "Howard Tract"; thence along said last mentioned boundary line north 26° 04' west 70.08 feet more or less to the point of commencement; containing 1.335 acres more or less, and being a portion of San Mateo

County Parcel No. 31 as described in Deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, recorded March 3, 1930 in Volume 491, at page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County.

Subject to that certain 40 foot road right of way hereinabove referred to.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement T. I. Moseley bid the sum of \$335.00 for said property; and

Whereas, said sum of \$335.00 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$335.00; and

Whereas, T. I. Moseley has paid the City a deposit of \$100.00 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further resolved, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to T. I. Moseley or his assignee.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Land Purchase—Stanley Street Parkway.

Proposal No. 6059, Resolution No. 5858 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Genevieve E. Murphy and Frances G. Todd, or the legal owners, to Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 7135, San Francisco, California, required for Stanley Street Parkway, and that the sum of \$350.00 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 648.911.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.

Proposal No. 6061, Resolution No. 5860 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated September 23, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of September and October, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$3,375 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Compensation of One Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$375 Per Month, in Purchasing Department.

Bill No. 4319, Ordinance No. . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,375 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of one Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$375 per month, in the Purchasing Department, which position is created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,375 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of one Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$375 per month, in the Purchasing Department, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau to Provide for One Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$315-375 Per Month, and Changing Class Number of Item 42, from B310.2 to B310.3.

Bill No. 4285, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 Purchasing Department—Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by amending the class number of item 42 from B310.2 Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau to B310.3; and by adding item 41.1 1 B310.2 Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau at \$315-375.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 37.3 PURCHASING DEPARTMENT — TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
41	1	B310.1	Senior Tabulating Machine Operator	\$240-290
41.1	1	B310.2	Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau	315-375
42	1	B310.3	Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau	315-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Appropriating \$18,050 From Appropriation for Grattan Land to Provide for Purchase of Site for Playground for Miraloma District.

Bill No. 4318, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$18,050 from Appropriation No. 613.600.11, Grattan Land, to provide funds for the purchase of site for playground for the Miraloma District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$18,050 is hereby appropriated from Appropriation No. 613.600.11, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.600.13, to provide funds for the purchase of site for playground for the Miraloma District.

Recommended by the Superintendent of Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.



**Appropriating \$350 From Surplus in Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, to Provide for Purchase of Spray Gun Compressor for Use in Maintenance of Trucks and Equipment in Bureau of Street Repair.**

Bill No. 4320, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$350 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.996.15, overhead reserve, Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, to provide funds for the purchase of spray gun compressor for use in maintenance of trucks and equipment in the Bureau of Street Repair.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$350 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.996.15, Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Appropriation No. 645.400.00, to provide funds for the purchase of spray gun compressor for use in maintenance of trucks and equipment in the Bureau of Street Repair.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**RECESS.**

Supervisor Colman, at the hour of 7:00 p. m., moved that the Board recess, to reconvene at 8:30 p. m. Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan—4.

**RECONVENING OF BOARD.**

The Board of Supervisors reconvened at the hour of 9:00 p. m.

Present: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan was noted present at 9:10 p. m.

Supervisors Lewis and Mead were noted present at 10:20 p. m.

*Passed for Second Reading.*

**Appropriating \$225 From Surplus in Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Building Repair, to Provide for Purchase of Terrazzo Stair Grinder and Skillsaw for Interdepartmental Service Performed by Bureau of Building Repair.**

Bill No. 4321, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$225 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 638.996.15, Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works, to provide funds for the purchase of terrazzo stair grinder and skillsaw for use in the Interdepartmental Service performed by the Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$225 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 638.996.15, Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Building Repair, to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.400.00, to provide funds for the purchase of terrazzo stair grinder and skillsaw for use in interdepartmental service performed by the Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against F. A. Archibald Company and Pacific Indemnity Company in the Amount of \$250.**

Bill No. 4332, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939) as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against F. A. Archibald Company and Pacific Indemnity Company in the amount of \$250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended and the City Attorney having approved the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against F. A. Archibald Company and Pacific Indemnity Company, being recovery of loss sustained by said City and County on account of personal injuries received by Charles Radford on the 2nd day of April, 1946, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of the employment of said Charles Radford as a member of the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco and having been sustained when the said Charles Radford stumbled on some rocks along side of an excavation ditch in front of the Mission Police Station which ditch was being constructed by the F. A. Archibald Company, the loss to said City and County to date being \$510.02, including salary paid to said Charles Radford while absent from his employment and the cost of medical and hospital services provided; and the said F. A. Archibald Company and the Pacific Indemnity Company, its insurer, having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the amount of \$250.00, the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim for said amount of \$250.00.

Approved as to form and settlement approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City & County Employees' Retirement System.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 1.35, Public Utilities Commission, to Provide for Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4304, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939) as follows:

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 Public Utilities Commission, by adding Class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1 Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
San Francisco Airport	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	8
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
	C102 Janitress . . . . .	2	8
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	4	8
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	1	8
	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
	F60 <b>Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations</b> . . . . .	1	4
Hetch Hetchy	O1 Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
Water Supply,	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	2	4
Utilities	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	1	4
Engineering			
Water Supply,	B222 General Clerk . . . . .	1	8
Power Operative	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	2	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1	4
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	1	8
Inter-Intra-	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
departmental	O60 Sub-Foreman Gardener . . . . .	1	8
(as needed)			

Funds Available (Appropriation 664.110.00) by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 1.7.3 Executive and Administrative Positions (Continued) by Deleting Class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations Therefrom.**

Bill No. 4305, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7.3 executive and administrative positions (continued), by deleting class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.7.3. **EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS**  
(Continued.)

Class No. and Title

B108	Cashier A
B120	Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office
B169	County Clerk
B173	Public Administrator
B180	Administrative Assistant, Board of Education
B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies
B374	Purchaser of Supplies
C4	Superintendent of Auditorium
E8	Chief Electrical Inspector
E116	Superintendent of Plant
F1	Manager of Utilities
F2	Director of Public Works
F4	Assistant City Engineer
F9	Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau
F10	City Engineer
F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations
F62	Manager of Airport Department
F75	Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities Commission
F108	Architect
F112	City Architect
F220	General Superintendent of Streets
F366	Chief, Department of Electricity
F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and Power
F408	Public Health Engineer
F412	Senior Engineer
F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal Railway
F520	Consulting Sanitary Engineer
F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer
F527	Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant
F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection
F706	Chief Valuation Engineer
F800	City Planning Engineer
F801	Senior City Planner
F802	Master Plan Architect
F810	Associate City Planner

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 1.37, Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor, by Adding Class F58 Supervisor of Airport Services Thereto.

Bill No. 4306, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37 exceptions to normal work schedules (48-hour work week

for specified classifications) for which extra compensation is authorized and provision therefor, by adding Class F58 Supervisor of Airport Services to list of classes included therein.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.37. Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor:** Appointing officers may require all occupants of positions allocated to the classifications specified in this section to work tours of duty of eight hours on six days of the week, or a total work week of 48 hours. Occupants of such positions who regularly work tours of duty of eight hours six days per week shall be compensated for such sixth day of work at straight time, that is, twenty per cent of the compensation for a normal work schedule. Exceptions to the normal work schedule as provided in this section shall not be authorized by appointing officers unless funds for the compensation thereof are provided.

Class No. and Title

C152	Watchman
C180	Gallery Attendant
C182	Assistant Head Gallery Attendant
C184	Head Gallery Attendant
D52	Jail Matron
D54	Head Jail Matron
D60	Jailer
D64	Captain of Watch
D102	Writ Server
E52	Fire Dispatcher
E120	Governorman
E122	Power House Operator
E124	Senior Power House Operator
E128	Superintendent of Power House
F50	Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport
F51	Airport Attendant
F52	Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport
F54	Airport Guard
F58	<b>Supervisor of Airport Services</b>
I 2	Kitchen Helper
I 6	Pastry Cook
I 7	Baker
I 10	Cook's Assistant
I 11	Griddle Cook
I 12	Cook
I 14	Junior Chef
I 16	Chef
I 52	Counter Attendant
I 54	Waitress
I 56	Waiter
I 58	Dining Room Steward
I 60	Housekeeper
I 106	Morgue Attendant
I 112	Supervisor, Ambulatory Inmates
I 116	Orderly
I 120	Senior Orderly
I 122	House Mother
I 152	Flatwork Ironer
I 154	Laundress
I 156	Starcher

## Class No. and Title

I 158 Sorter  
 I 164 Marker and Distributor  
 I 166 Wringerman  
 I 167 Tumblerman  
 I 170 Washer  
 I 172 Head Washer  
 I 174 Superintendent of Laundry, Laguna Honda Home  
 I 178 Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital  
 I 204 Porter  
 I 206 Porter Sub-Foreman  
 I 208 Porter Foreman  
 I 210 Head Porter  
 N4 Coroner's Investigator  
 O8 Morgue Ambulance Driver  
 O52 Farmer  
 O158 Motor Boat Operator  
 O166.1 Junior Operating Engineer  
 O168.1 Operating Engineer  
 O304 Hydrantman-Gateman  
 O308 Assistant Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman  
 O310 Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman  
 P2 Emergency Hospital Steward  
 P3 Senior Emergency Hospital Steward  
 P102 Registered Nurse  
 P104 Head Nurse  
 P111 Night Supervisor  
 P111.1 Night Supervisor, Hassler Health Home  
 P204 Anaesthetist  
 P208 Operating Room Nurse  
 R101 Camp Assistant  
 R111 Lifeguard Watchman  
 R130 Foreman, Recreational Activities  
 S56 Special Instructor, Municipal Railway  
 S60 Instructor, Municipal Railway  
 S110 Inspector, Municipal Railway  
 S114 Claims Investigator  
 S120 Day Dispatcher  
 S124 Supervisor of Schedules  
 T35 Group Supervisor  
 U130 Reservoir Keeper  
 U212 Ranger  
 U213 Special Agent  
 U227 General Maintenance Foreman  
 U230 Maintenance Foreman  
 W106 Rides Attendant  
 W150 Aquarium Attendant  
 W152 Aquatic Collector  
 Y42 Chief Installer  
 Y44 Senior Museum Technician  
 Y46 Museum Technician  
 Y106 Aquatic Biologist's Assistant

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available (Appropriation No. 664.110.00) by the Controller.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$7,030 From Surplus in Airport Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Creation of Positions, 4 Airport Attendants at \$185-230 Per Month, at San Francisco Airport; Also Abolishing Positions of 4 Airport Guards at \$230 Per Month in Same Department.

Bill No. 4328, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$7,030 out of the surplus existing in the Airport Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 664.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of four F51 airport attendants at \$185-\$230 per month in the San Francisco Airport, which positions are created: abolishing the positions of four F54 airport guards at \$230 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,030 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Airport Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 664.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 664.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of four F51 airport attendants at \$185-\$230 per month in the San Francisco Airport, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. The positions of four F54 airport guards at \$230 per month in the San Francisco Airport are hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Airport, to Provide for Four Additional Airport Attendants at \$185-230 Per Month; Deleting Four Airport Guards at \$230; Amending Salary Schedule, Supervisor of Airport Services From (t 350 to \$275-345); Amending Salary Schedule for Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations From (t 400 to 350-420).**

Bill No. 4263, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 70 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT, by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 14 to 18 F51 Airport Attendant at \$185-230; and by deleting item 7.1 4 F54 Airport Guard at (t 230, and by amending salary schedule of class F58 Supervisor of Airport Services from (t 350 to \$275-345; and by amending salary schedule for Class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations from (t 400 to \$350-420.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 70 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 70. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
0.01	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
0.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
1	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
1.1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
1.2	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
2	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
2.1	4	C102	Janitress .....	145-180
3	4	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
4	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
5	1	F50	Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport .....	275-345
6	18	F51	<b>Airport Attendant</b> .....	185-230
7	4	F52	Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport	210-260
7.2	1	F58	<b>Supervisor Airport Services</b> ....(t	275-345
7.3	1	F60	<b>Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations</b> .....	(t 350-420
8	1	F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations .....	435-520
9	1	F62	Manager, Airport Department ....	833.33
10	1	F410d	Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)..	435-520
11	1	O58	Gardener .....	150-200

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Man-  
cusa, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$4,930 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Creation of Positions, 2 Assistant District Supervisors, Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Department of Public Health, at \$A290-345; Abolishing Positions 2 Market and Food Inspectors at \$230-290 in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4333, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,930 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the Compensation of two N53 Assistant District Supervisors at \$290-\$345 per month in the Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Dept. of Public Health, which positions are created: abolishing the positions of two N56 Market and Food Inspectors at \$230-\$290 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,930 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.110.11 to provide funds for the compensation of two N53 Assistant District Supervisors at \$290-\$345 per month in the Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Department of Public Health, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. The positions of two N56 Market and Food Inspectors at \$230-\$290 per month are hereby abolished in the Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Department of Public Health.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.



Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Funds Available by the Controller.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Bureau of Inspection, to Provide for Two Additional Assistant District Supervisors at \$290-345 and by Decreasing by 2 the Number of Market and Food Inspectors.**

Bill No. 4302, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 55a5 Department of Public Health-Bureau of Inspection (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 11 from 2 to 4 N53 Assistant District Supervisor at \$290-345, and by decreasing the number of employments under item 13 from 33 to 31 Market and Food Inspector at \$230-290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 55a5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 55a5. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
BUREAU OF INSPECTION** (Continued)

DIVISION 2

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
9	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . .	\$185-230
9.1	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
10	1	F408	Public Health Engineer . . . . .	400-480
11	4	N53	<b>Assistant District Supervisor . . . . .</b>	<b>290-345</b>
12	4	N54	District Supervisor . . . . .	345-405
13	31	N56	<b>Market and Food Inspector . . . . .</b>	<b>230-290</b>
13.1	2	N60	<b>Abattoir Inspector . . . . .</b>	<b>230-290</b>
13.2	1	N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector	385-460
14	16	N204	Housing and Industrial Inspector.	230-290

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Final Passage.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$50,625 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds for the Compensation of 25 H2 Firemen at \$225-250 Per Month in the Fire Department, Which Positions Are Created; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4295, Ordinance No. 4042 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50,625 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the compensation of 25 H2 firemen at \$225-250 per month in the Fire Department, which positions are created: an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50,625 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.110.01, to provide funds for the compensation of 25 H2 Firemen at \$225-250 per month in the Fire Department, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The employment of this additional personnel is necessary to carry out nightly inspections of all night clubs and places of assemblage, as well as for more thorough inspections throughout the city, for the purpose of enforcing strict adherence to the Fire Code and statutes for the protection of persons and property from fire; to carry out a campaign of education through direct contact with owners, lessees, tenants of the property and business establishments for the elimination of conditions which are or may become fire hazards or dangerous.

San Francisco population has increased by approximately 200,000, causing an overcrowded housing condition. To take care of this increase in population, buildings which were erected many years ago for one-family purposes have been converted into multiple family dwellings. Old type residences consisting originally of two or three stories are now converted into housekeeping rooms, and buildings originally constructed as two or three flat dwellings are now converted into apartment houses or rooming houses. These occupancies now contain approximately four times the number of people formerly accommodated, thereby increasing the hazards.

Building construction and alterations have increased considerably and are still rising. Areas within the Sunset, Parkside, Merced, Lakeside, Potrero, Hunters Point and Bay View districts are being rapidly built upon. This large portion of San Francisco is being covered by two inspectors.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

September 10, 1946—*Re-referred to Finance Committee.*

September 16, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 24, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso moved to amend the foregoing bill by reducing the amount of appropriation from \$50,625 to \$30,375, and by reducing the number of additional positions to be created from 25 to 15. He did not believe the original \$50,625 requested could possibly be spent, or that 25 additional men could be used. There is not the equipment or the facilities to equip and train 25 men. Fifteen men should be allowed now, and additional men can be provided for in the budget for next year, if they are necessary. Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

Fire Commissioner Sullivan questioned the source of Supervisor Mancuso's information. The Fire Commission has made investigations, and will not deviate from its request for 25 men. If the Board wants to reduce that number, and anything happens, the blame will rest on the Board.

Commissioner John Fixer also stressed the need for the 25 additional men. Chief Sullivan and Chief Kelley also informed the Board that the 25 additional men were needed.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion by Supervisor Mancuso was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Whereupon, the roll was again called and the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item, Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Fire Department, to Provide for 25 Additional Firemen.**

Bill No. 4308, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

A companion bill to the foregoing item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Fire Department, to provide for 25 additional firemen.

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 Fire Department (continued), by increasing the number of employments under Item 8 from 990 to 1015 H2 Firemen at \$225-250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 12.1 FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
8	1015	H2	Fireman, 1st year . . . . .	(b) \$225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b) 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b) 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b) 250
9	34	H10	Chief's Operator . . . . .	(b) 275
10	3	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines . . . . .	(b) 275
11	124	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	(b) 300
11.1	*2	H20	Lieutenant . . . . .	(b) 300
12	73	H30	Captain . . . . .	(b) 325
13	26	H40	Battalion Chief . . . . .	(b) 425
14	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation . . . . .	460-550
15	1	H44	Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation . . . . .	355-425
16	7	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer . . . . .	(b) 500
17	1	H152	Inspector of Fire Department Apparatus . . . . .	240-300
18	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of . . . . .	460

\*Funds provided for 9 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 16, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 24, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray and Sullivan.

Approving Map of Sunnydale Garden, San Francisco, California, and Dedicating Sawyer Street as an Open Public Street.

Proposal No. 5987, Resolution No. 5842 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of Sunnydale Garden, San Francisco, California," composed of one sheet approved the 21st day of August 1946, by Department of Public Works order No. 24739, be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the official subdivision map of Sunnydale Garden; and be it

Further resolved, That the land comprising Sawyer Street as shown on said map, the deed for which was previously accepted by Resolution No. 5802 (Series of 1939) of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby declared to be an open public street dedicated to public use to be known as Sawyer Street; and be it

Further resolved, That the certain bond in the sum of \$1,380.86 dated July 19, 1946, with A. L. Harrigan and L. A. Weidenmuller as sureties, running to the City and County of San Francisco, conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing of said map a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown on said map, but not yet payable, be and is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of \$1,380.86 being sufficient to cover all taxes, the amount of said taxes being estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco to be \$690.43.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Linda Vista Steps  
Between Geneva Avenue and Chicago Way.**

Proposal No. 6010, Resolution No. 5843 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon portions of Linda Vista Steps between Geneva Avenue and Chicago Way situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

All that portion of Linda Vista Steps lying between the southwesterly line of Geneva Avenue and the northeasterly line of Rolph Street as said Steps, Avenue and Street are delineated and so designated on that certain map entitled "Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2," filed March 17, 1914, in Liber "H" of Maps at pages 14 to 20 inclusive, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting therefrom two parcels of land 10.00 feet in width, described as follows:

Parcel No. 1. Beginning at a point in the said southwesterly line of Geneva Avenue, distant therein S. 75° 34' E. 35.00 feet from the intersection thereof with the north-

easterly line of Lot 19 in Block 6418, as said lot and block are shown on the map hereinbefore referred to; running thence from said point of beginning S. 14° 26' W. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the northeasterly line of said Rolph Street; thence S. 75° 34' E. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet; thence N. 14° 26' E. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the said southwesterly line of Geneva Avenue; thence N. 75° 34' W. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 2. A strip of land 10 feet in width the same being the extension across said Linda Vista Steps of the 10-foot sewer easement shown in Blocks 6396 and 6418 of abovementioned map.

All that portion of Linda Vista Steps lying between the southwesterly line of Rolph Street and the northeasterly line of Chicago Way (formerly Vista Way) as said Steps, Street and Way are delineated and so designated on that certain map entitled "Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2," filed March 17, 1914, in Liber "H" of Maps at pages 14 to 20 inclusive, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting therefrom a strip of land 10.00 feet in width, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the said southwesterly line of Rolph Street, distant therein S. 75° 34' E. 38.34 feet from the intersection thereof with the northeasterly line of Lot 12 in Block 6419 as said lot and block are shown on the map hereinbefore referred to; running thence from said point of beginning S. 14° 26' W. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the northeasterly line of said Chicago Way; then S. 75° 34' E. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet; thence N. 14° 26' E. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the said southwesterly line of Rolph Street; thence N. 75° 34' W. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet to the point of beginning.

The closing and abandonment of said portions of Linda Vista Steps shall be made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California and notice is hereby given that on the 14th day of October, 1946, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

Before the final closing of said portions of Linda Vista Steps, M. J. King, the owner of the abutting property on both sides of Linda Vista Steps, shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) to defray the costs of advertising and other expenses incidental to said closing.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of portions of Linda Vista Steps in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

### Intention to Close a Portion of St. Joseph's Avenue.

Proposal No. 6011, Resolution No. 5844 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of St. Joseph's Avenue described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of St. Joseph's Avenue distant thereon 56.25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary Street and running thence southerly 183.29 feet; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right whose tangent deflects 100° 10' 20" to the right from the preceding course with a radius of 215 feet a central angle of 13° 58' 49" a distance of 52.460 feet to the westerly line of St. Joseph's Avenue as established by the closing and abandoning of a portion of St. Joseph's Avenue by resolution No. 5514 (Series of 1939) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted May 27, 1946; thence deflecting 65° 50' 51" to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northerly along said line of St. Joseph's Avenue 167.849 feet to a point in a line parallel with and distant 56.25 feet measured at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Geary Street; thence at a right angle easterly along said parallel line 50 feet to the easterly line of St. Joseph's Avenue and the point of beginning.

Said St. Joseph's Avenue being situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Reference is made to a map on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco showing the portion of St. Joseph's Avenue to be closed and abandoned.

The closing and abandonment of said portion of St. Joseph's Avenue shall be done and be made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 14th day of October this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of a portion of St. Joseph's Avenue in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law.

Recommended by the Director of Property.  
 Recommended by the Director of Public Works.  
 Description approved by the City Engineer.  
 Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Granting Permission Revocable at the Will of the Board of Supervisors to S. B. Misrack, to Fence and Occupy Portions of Barneveld Avenue, Dickinson Street and Perasto Street.

Proposal No. 6022, Resolution No. 5845 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, S. B. Misrack has requested permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors to fence in and occupy portions of Barneveld Avenue, Dickinson Street and Perasto Street; and

Whereas, the above mentioned streets are unimproved and are not used by vehicular traffic; and

Whereas, the streets are presently being used for the storing and wrecking of automobiles, and dumping of debris; and

Whereas, Mr. Misrack has agreed to clear the street areas and keep them in good order; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to S. B. Misrack to fence in and occupy the following described streets:

Barneveld Avenue from San Bruno Avenue to the easterly line of Dickinson Street,

Perasto Street from San Bruno Avenue to Dickinson Street,

Dickinson Street from the northerly line of Barneveld Avenue to Perasto Street

subject to the following conditions:

1. S. B. Misrack shall remove all materials and debris from and keep in good order the streets above described.

2. Upon the revocation or abandonment of this permit, S. B. Misrack shall remove, at his own expense, all fences and materials placed within or along the above described streets.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Approving Map Showing Proposed Change and Establishment of Grades O'Farrell Street Between West Line of St. Joseph's Avenue and Line Parallel With East Line of Broderick Street and 50 Feet Easterly Therefrom; St. Joseph's Avenue Between North Line of O'Farrell Street and South Line Ellis Street; Declaring Intention Change and Establish Grades in Accordance Therewith.

Proposal No. 6023, Resolution No. 5846 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That certain diagram entitled "Grade Map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell Street between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and 50 feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street," approved the 4th day of September, 1946, by the Director of Public Works, Order No. 24870, be and the same is hereby approved; and, be it

Further resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on O'Farrell Street between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and 50 feet easterly therefrom; and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street, at points and to the elevations above city datum as shown on said map.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street upon which said change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Chronicle is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

Accepting Roadways of Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues Between Vicente and Wawona Streets, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4292, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

Accepting Roadway of Forty-third Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4293, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-third Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Forty-third Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.



Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Accepting Roadway of Girard Street Between Ordway and Ward Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4298, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Girard Street between Ordway Street and Ward Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Girard Street between Ordway Street and Ward Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Ordering the Improvement of Pacheco Street Between Fortieth Avenue and 82 Feet 6 Inches West and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4322, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Pacheco Street between 40th Avenue and 82' 6" west by grading to official line and sub-grade, appropriating \$1100.00 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 23, 1946 having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San

Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Pacheco Street between 40th Avenue and 82' 6" west, by grading to the official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock sub-base Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2.	Unarmored Concrete Curb.

Block 2094, Lot 13-B; and Block 2160, Lot 1;

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows: being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$1100.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the allotted balance in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of App. 648.906.03 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Improving of Wawona Street Between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, Including Crossing of Wawona Street With Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues; Extending City Aid in Amount Necessary to Legalize and Equalize the Assessment.**

Bill No. 4329, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

On Wawona Street between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street with Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade; appropriating \$1,700 to legalize and equalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 30, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Wawona Street between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street with Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Grading (Excavation).
2.	Grading (Fill).
3.	15-inch V.C.P. Sewer.
4.	12-inch V.C.P. Sewer.
5.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer.
6.	10-inch V.C.P. Culvert.
7.	Brick Manhole, Complete.
8.	Brick Catchbasins, Complete.
9.	15x6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches.
10.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers.
11.	Unarmored Concrete Curb.
12.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Sub-base Pavement.
13.	Two-Course Concrete Sidewalk.
14.	Water Services, Long.
15.	Water Services, Short.
16.	Water Main.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively, as:

Block 2454, Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26;  
Blocks 2455, Lots 13, 14, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40;

Block 2456, Lots 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40;

Block 2509, Lots 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 26-B;

Block 2510, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5-A, 5-B, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 14-A, 14-B and 15; and

Block 2511, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11 and 12;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the abovementioned improvement.

Section 2. The sum of \$1700.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appro. 648.906.04 for the purpose of extending city aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Improvement of Portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues  
Between Quintara and Rivera Streets by Grading to Official Line  
and Sub-Grade.**

Bill No. 4330, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Portions of Thirty-Ninth and Fortieth Avenues between Quintara and Rivera Streets by grading to official line and sub-grade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 16, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) annual installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of 39th and 40th Avenues between Quintara and Rivera Streets, by grading to the official line and sub-grade and by the construction of the following items:

1. Asphaltic concrete on rock sub-base pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base, and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Unarmored concrete curb.
3. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.
4. Water Services, long.
5. Water Services, short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 2178, Lot 2 and Block 2179, Lots 9, 10, 11-b and 17-a being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the abovementioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

The following, from Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal From the Decision of the City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on the East Line of Broderick Street and the South Line of Union Street From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

Proposal No. 6060, Resolution No. 5859 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3128, dated August 8, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the east line of Broderick Street and the south line of Union Street from Second Residential District to First Residential District, is hereby set for 2:00 P. M. Monday, September 30, 1946.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Colman, Mead.

**Amending City Planning Code to Permit Establishments for Hand Ironing, Employing Not More Than 5 Persons, in Commercial Districts.**

Bill No. 4297, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5, Article I, Chapter II, (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to zoning regulations in commercial districts, by adding thereto

a provision permitting establishments for hand ironing, of not more than five (5) employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5, Article I, Chapter II (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 5. Commercial District.** In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered, which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

- (a) Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof;
- (b) Bakeries employing more than five (5) persons;
- (c) Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments;
- (d) Bottling works;
- (e) Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel;
- (f) Warehouse and storage houses;
- (g) Marble, granite, stone or monumental works;
- (h) Contractors' plant or storage yard;
- (i) Cooperage;
- (j) Laundry;
- (k) Lumber yard;
- (l) Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District;
- (m) Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building;

(n) Provided, further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper;
2. Light Industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park;
3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges;
4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department;
5. *Establishments for hand ironing only and not employing more than five (5) employees.*

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 of this Article shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Provided, further, that the restrictions herein provided shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9 of this Article in so far as existing non-conforming uses are concerned.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 10, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

After brief discussion and on motion by Supervisor Colman, *consideration was postponed until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

Memorializing Navy Department to Consider Plan to Permit Motorists to Use a Portion of Yerba Buena Island as an Observation Point.

Proposal No. 6032, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it would be desirable for visitors to San Francisco, as well as residents of both sides of San Francisco Bay, to be able to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island for an observation post for the purpose of viewing the ships entering and leaving the harbor, the San Francisco skyline and the beauty of San Francisco Bay, both by day and night; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the United States Navy Department to give serious consideration to a plan that will permit motorists to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island, in San Francisco Bay, as an observation point; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to James Forrestal, Secretary of Navy, Senator William F. Knowland, Senator Sheridan Downey, Representative Richard J. Welch and Representative Franck R. Havenner.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Colman, *consideration was postponed until Monday, September 30, 1946.*

Ordered Submitted.

The following recommendations of Judiciary Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis and Mancuso.

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . .

### CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 95 thereof, "Public Works and Purchasing Contracts".

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 95 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

### CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS

Section 95. The construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public works or improvements, and the purchasing of supplies, materials and equipment, when the expenditure involved in each case shall exceed the sum of [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)], (\$2,000) shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided by this charter. It shall constitute official misconduct to split or divide any public work or improvement or purchase into two or more units for the purpose of evading the contract provisions of this section. In an emergency, provided an actual emergency be declared by the board of supervisors to exist, and when authorized by resolution of said board, any public work or improvement may be executed in the most expeditious manner.

Any public work or improvement estimated to cost less than [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000) may be performed under contract or written order or by the employment of the necessary labor and purchase of the necessary materials and supplies directly by the city and county. Any public work or improvement executed by the city, other than routine repair work, shall be authorized by the chief administrative officer or by the heads of departments not under the chief administrative officer, only after detailed estimates have been prepared and submitted by the head of the department concerned. There shall be separate accounting for each work or improvement so executed, which accounting shall include all direct, indirect and

supervisory elements of cost chargeable to such work or improvement, and each cost accounting shall be reported to the chief administrative officer, or to the mayor when such work shall have been performed by departments not under the chief administrative officer. All such accounts shall be reported to the controller. Any public work or improvement costing less than [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000) and not performed by the use of city and county labor, materials, and supplies shall, if not performed under contract, be covered by written order or agreement which shall be based on not less than three bids, notice of which shall be given by three days' posting. Records of such bids shall be kept by the department.

When the expenditure for any public work or improvement shall exceed the sum of [one] two thousand dollars [(1,000)] (\$2,000), the same shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided in this charter. The head of the department in charge of or responsible for the work for which a contract is to be let, or the purchaser of supplies in the case of purchases of materials, supplies and equipment, shall let such contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder not less than ten days after advertising by publication for two consecutive days for sealed proposals for the work, improvement or purchase contemplated. Each such advertisement shall contain the reservation of the right to reject any and all bids. The officer responsible for the awarding of any such contract shall require from all bidders information concerning their experience and financial qualifications, as provided by general law relative to such investigations authorized by departments of public works.

The purchaser of supplies with the approval of the chief administrative officer, or the department head concerned with the approval of the board or commission to which he is responsible, may reject any and all bids and readvertise for bids.

The department head or the purchaser of supplies, as the case may be, shall have power to sign such contract for the estimated expenditures thereunder not in excess of [two] three thousand dollars [(2,000)] (\$3,000). Any contract involving the expenditure of over [two] three thousand dollars [(\$2,000)] (\$3,000), if for the purchase of materials, supplies or equipment, shall require the joint approval of the purchaser of supplies and the chief administrative officer. If such contract is for any public work or improvement, it shall require the joint approval of the department head and the chief administrative officer relative to departments under his jurisdiction, or the signature of the department head and the approval by resolution of the board or commission concerned for departments not under the chief administrative officer.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure whereby appropriate city and county departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under contract. If such bid is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department. Accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the controller monthly and on the completion of the work.

In any case where the lowest gross price or unit cost bid is not accepted, and a contract is entered into with another bidder, written report shall be made to the chief administrative officer, the mayor and the controller by the officer authorized to execute the contract, with the reasons for failure to accept such lowest bid.



### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee explained that the foregoing proposed charter amendment provides only that the minimum cost of work for which bids must be asked shall be \$2,000 instead of \$1,000 as is the present requirement. The Judiciary Committee recommends approval. The Associated General Contractors, though, has presented an amendment to Section 95.1. Both amendments should be considered at the same time, Supervisor MacPhee suggested.

Supervisor Christopher announced that since the meeting of Friday, September 20, he had found out that he was in error in regard to the Purchaser of Supplies. Thereupon, he moved to rescind action taken on September 20, 1946, on both motions which he had made at that meeting. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Action *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Supervisor Lewis announced that he was not offering the amendment to Section 95.1, requested by the Associated General Contractors, for approval. He was offering it without recommendation.

The Chief Administrative Officer reported that his prime purpose in asking amendment to Section 95 was to accommodate the value of a dollar. In 1932, the dollar was worth much more than it is at the present time. The Department of Public Works cannot now do the amount of work that the Charter framers contemplated. He urged the Board to submit the amendment to provide for an increase in the cost of work which might be done without calling for bids, from \$1,000 to \$2,000.

Mr. Schnurr, representing the C.I.O.; Mr. Edminister, representing laborers; Mr. Ward Dawson, representing the Civil Service Association; a representative of the Per Diem Men's Association; and Mr. J. S. Mazzie, all favored submission of proposed amendment to Section 95.

Supervisor Mead suggested that there should be a protective clause in the amendment, to provide for a reduction in the amount of \$2,000 should conditions change.

Supervisor Mead moved that the entire matter be tabled. Motion *failed* for want of a second.

Mr. H. C. Vensano, Director of Public Works, denied that jobs had been split up by his department, as charged by a speaker during previous discussion of the amendment. There has been a great deal of loose thinking in this entire matter, he declared. The contractors do not know what they are fighting for.

Mr. Johnson, representing the Associated General Contractors, in discussing the proposed amendment to Section 95 of the Charter, held that in times of depression nothing would cause more chaos in ranks of the building industry than the approval of this measure. This amendment proposes to take today's peak prices and to continue the \$2,000 permanently in the Charter. There is no method of bringing down this amount. The contractors' amendment provides that the Department of Public Works shall bid competitively. Private employers want the right to bid against the Department of Public Works. If an increase is going to be put permanently in the Charter, the Board should take some middle ground. The amount of \$1,500 would be acceptable. However, both amendments should be voted down, he believed.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead moved to amend by changing the amount from \$2,000 to \$1,250. Motion *failed* for want of a second.

Mr. Coughlin, of the Associated General Contractors, suggested that

the amount be reduced to \$500, and that all work costing more than \$500 per unit be let on a competitive bid basis once each year.

Mr. Vensano objected to any such amendment. Such provision would practically abolish the Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Meyer moved as an amendment that there be added to the end of the first paragraph, the following sentence: "Notwithstanding any other provision in this section or this charter contained, upon the recommendation of the chief administrative officer declaring the work to be emergency in character, there may be expended by the department of public works the sum not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) for new construction of any type in or upon unimproved or unaccepted streets." Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

The Chair announced that amendment had already been approved by the Board.

Supervisor Mead moved that all reference to \$2,000 be deleted from the proposed amendment and that the amount of \$1,500 be substituted therefor. Motion seconded by Supervisor Gallagher.

Motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mead—2.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Supervisor Meyer announced that the Controller desired a change in language of the motion which he had made and which had been previously approved by the Board, by changing the words "upon recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer," to "upon approval of the Chief Administrative Officer," and he would so move. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Ordered Submitted.

The roll was again called and the proposed amendment to Section 95 of the Charter, as amended, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Action Rescinded.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Christopher moved to rescind action taken in ordering the amendment submitted to the voters, in order that he might present an amendment thereto. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objection*, and action *rescinded*.

#### Amended.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher moved to amend by adding thereto the following paragraph:

If any provision of this section is in conflict with any provision of section 88 of the charter, the provision contained in section 88 shall govern and control.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Motion *carried* and amendment *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Ordered Submitted.

The roll was again called and the proposed amendment to Section 95 of the Charter, as amended and reading as follows, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 14

#### CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 95 thereof, "Public Works and Purchasing Contracts".

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 95 thereof so that the same shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

#### CONTRACTS—PUBLIC WORKS AND PURCHASING CONTRACTS

Section 95. The construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public works or improvements, and the purchasing of supplies, materials and equipment, when the expenditure involved in each case shall exceed the sum of [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)], (\$2,000) shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided by this charter. It shall constitute official misconduct to split or divide any public work or improvement or purchase into two or more units for the purpose of evading the contract provisions of this section. In an emergency, provided an actual emergency be declared by the board of supervisors to exist, and when authorized by resolution of said board, any public work or improvement may be executed in the most expeditious manner. *Notwithstanding any other provision in this section or this charter contained, upon the approval of the chief administrative officer declaring the work to be emergency in character, there may be expended by the department of public works the sum not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) for new construction of any type in or upon unimproved or unaccepted streets.*

Any public work or improvement estimated to cost less than [one] two thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000) may be performed under contract or written order or by the employment of the necessary labor and purchase of the necessary materials and supplies directly by the city and county. Any public work or improvement executed by the city, other than routine repair work, shall be authorized by the chief administrative officer or by the heads of departments not under the chief administrative officer, only after detailed estimates have been prepared and submitted by the head of the department concerned. There shall be separate accounting for each work or improvement so executed, which accounting shall include all direct, indirect and supervisory elements of cost chargeable to such work or improvement, and each cost accounting shall be reported to the chief administrative officer, or to the mayor

when such work shall have been performed by departments not under the chief administrative officer. All such accounts shall be reported to the controller. Any public work or improvement costing less than [one] *two* thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000) and not performed by the use of city and county labor, materials, and supplies shall, if not performed under contract, be covered by written order or agreement which shall be based on not less than three bids, notice of which shall be given by three days' posting. Records of such bids shall be kept by the department.

When the expenditure for any public work or improvement shall exceed the sum of [one] *two* thousand dollars [(\$1,000)] (\$2,000), the same shall be done by contract, except as otherwise provided in this charter. The head of the department in charge of or responsible for the work for which a contract is to be let, or the purchaser of supplies in the case of purchases of materials, supplies and equipment, shall let such contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder not less than ten days after advertising by publication for two consecutive days for sealed proposals for the work, improvement or purchase contemplated. Each such advertisement shall contain the reservation of the right to reject any and all bids. The officer responsible for the awarding of any such contract shall require from all bidders information concerning their experience and financial qualifications, as provided by general law relative to such investigations authorized by departments of public works.

The purchaser of supplies with the approval of the chief administrative officer, or the department head concerned, with the approval of the board or commission to which he is responsible, may reject any and all bids and readvertise for bids.

The department head or the purchaser of supplies, as the case may be, shall have power to sign such contract for the estimated expenditures thereunder not in excess of two thousand dollars (\$2,000). Any contract involving the expenditure of over two thousand dollars (\$2,000), if for the purchase of materials, supplies or equipment, shall require the joint approval of the purchaser of supplies and the chief administrative officer. If such contract is for any public work or improvement, it shall require the joint approval of the department head and the chief administrative officer relative to departments under his jurisdiction, or the signature of the department head and the approval by resolution of the board or commission concerned for departments not under the chief administrative officer.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure whereby appropriate city and county departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under contract. If such bid is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department. Accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the controller monthly and on the completion of the work.

In any case where the lowest gross price or unit cost bid is not accepted, and a contract is entered into with another bidder, written report shall be made to the chief administrative officer, the mayor and the controller by the officer authorized to execute the contract, with the reasons for failure to accept such lowest bid.

*If any provision of this section is in conflict with any provision of section 88 of the charter, the provision contained in section 88 shall govern and control.*

*Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

## PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT RELATING TO CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECONSTRUCTION, REPAIR OR IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC STREETS

The following proposed charter amendment was taken up:

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 95.1 (Contracts for Street Work) relating to contracts for construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of public streets.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 95.1 thereto, to read as follows:

### CONTRACTS FOR STREET WORK

Section 95.1. Whenever the estimated expenditure therefor shall exceed five hundred (\$500.00) dollars including in each instance the cost of the necessary materials involved, the construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of a public street or public streets, including sidewalks and curbs and including the installation, repair or replacement of utility facilities therein or thereunder, shall be done or accomplished by contract, except as otherwise provided in this section.

When an actual emergency exists and has been declared to exist by the board of supervisors, or by the mayor pursuant to the provisions of section twenty-five (25) of this charter, any public work or improvement, including the construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of public streets, may be executed or accomplished in the most expeditious manner possible and without regard for the provisions of this section.

It shall constitute official misconduct, in any manner to split or divide or to permit to be split or divided the actual estimate for, or any unit of, public work or improvement, including any unit of street construction, street reconstruction, street repair or street improvement, into two or more units or parts when the effect of such action would result in circumvention of the contract requirements of this section. For the purposes of this section, "public work or improvement" shall be construed and held to mean the construction, reconstruction, repair or maintenance of anything excepting a structure, other than a structure in or under a public street.

For the purposes of this section, the terms "construction," and "reconstruction" shall contemplate, include and are hereby defined to mean every type and character of work in, under or upon any public street, including sidewalks and curbs, other than excavations and restorations for utility connections and for repairs to or renewals of such connections when such work does not constitute a portion of the construction or reconstruction of an entire block, ordinary patchwork as that term is commonly understood

and applied in the construction industry, and planing, burning or resurfacing, or a combination of planing, burning and resurfacing in that manner and to that extent or degree which constitutes planing, burning and resurfacing as those functions are performed by the department of public works at the time this amendment is approved by the electorate.

Except as to work performed pursuant to the declaration of an emergency as provided in this section, every project involving the construction or reconstruction of a public street or public streets shall extend to and include the construction or reconstruction of a street area equivalent to at least one block, as such blocks are delineated upon the official maps of the city and county.

Immediately after the passage of the first annual appropriation ordinance subsequent to the ratification of this amendment and prior to June 15th of each succeeding year, the controller shall estimate and declare the total amount of money available from all sources for the construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement of public streets during the ensuing fiscal year.

On or before July 15th of each year subsequent to the ratification of this amendment, the director of public works shall cause to be prepared a program containing every determinable and necessary item of street construction, street reconstruction, street repair or street improvement which can be accomplished with the amount of money declared by the controller to be available for these purposes, and on or before July 15th of each year the director of public works shall call for bids on a unit basis and let a single contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder for the performance during that fiscal year of all street work, exclusive of such units of street work of any kind or character as are estimated to cost in excess of five hundred (\$500.00) dollars and exclusive of emergency work.

The head of the department in charge of or responsible for the work for which such a contract is to be let, shall let such contract to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder not less than ten days after advertising by publication for two consecutive days for sealed proposals for the work or improvement contemplated. Each such advertisement shall contain the reservation of the right to reject any and all bids. The officer responsible for the awarding of any such contract shall require from all bidders information concerning their experience and financial qualifications as provided by general law relative to such investigations authorized by departments of public works.

The department head shall have power to sign such contract for the estimated expenditures thereunder not in excess of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00). Any such contract involving the expenditure of over two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) shall require the joint approval of the department head and the chief administrative officer relative to departments under his jurisdiction, or the signature of the department head and the approval by resolution of the board or commission concerned for departments not under the chief administrative officer.

The board of supervisors, by ordinance, shall establish procedure whereby appropriate city and county departments may file sealed bids for the execution of any work to be performed under contract. If such bid is the lowest, the contract shall be awarded to the department. Accurate unit costs shall be kept of all direct and indirect charges incurred by the department under

any such contract, which unit costs shall be reported to and audited by the controller monthly and on the completion of the work. In any case where the lowest gross price or unit cost bid is not accepted, and a contract is entered into with another bidder, written report shall be made to the chief administrative officer, the mayor and the controller by the officer authorized to execute the contract, with the reasons for failure to accept such lowest bid.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Coughlin, of the General Contractors Association, explained the purpose of the foregoing proposed amendment. It is proposed that bids must be called for for work to be done.

Mr. H. C. Vensano, Director of Public Works, objected to the amendment. It would reduce the amount of work to be done by the Department of Public Works to \$500, and if the contractors should bid low for one year, the City and County would lose its Department of Public Works.

The Chief Administrative Officer agreed with Mr. Vensano. He could visualize, he stated, that the first year the Director of Public Works asks for bids, the contractors can bid a ridiculously low figure that would wipe out the Bureau of Street Repair. It would not be good procedure.

Mr. Sawyer, of the C.I.O., held that the amendment was an attempt to do by indirection what is proposed by the amendment already ordered submitted.

Mr. Ivan Flamm expressed opposition to the amendment. It would practically abolish the Bureau of Street Repair. It would be doing away with the one organization that tends to keep down the cost of public improvements. It is entirely contrary to the previous charter amendment.

Mrs. Mollie Minrudi stated that the A.F.L. Council of Municipal Employees was opposed to the amendment.

Mr. Johnson, also representing the Associated General Contractors, explained in some detail the proposed amendment. Under this amendment there will be competitive bidding for all public work. Both amendments should be submitted to the people.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, moved that the proposed amendment be tabled.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Ordered Submitted.

### CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .

#### PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO SECTIONS 36, 35.5 AND 35.5½ OF THE CHARTER

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department and Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 36 thereof, relating to the Fire Department and Sections 35.5 and 35.5½ thereof, both relating to the Police Department, so that the same shall read as follows:

#### FIRE DEPARTMENT

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor and

each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years [1946, 1948, 1949] 1944, 1945, and 1946, respectively.

The fire commission shall appoint a chief [engineer] of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office of the fire commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided.

The annual compensation for the several ranks in the fire department shall be as follows: chief [engineer] of department, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; first assistant and second assistant [chief engineers] chiefs of department, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; battalion chiefs, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains, [\$3,000;] \$4,500; lieutenants, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; engineers, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; chief's operators, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen and hosemen, for first year of service, [\$2,700;] \$3,120; for second year of service, [\$2,800;] \$3,240; for third year of service, [\$2,900;] \$3,360; for fourth year of service and thereafter, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; pilots of fire boats and marine engineers of fire boats, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; firemen of fire boats, [\$3,060;] \$3,540.

Except as to members of marine crews of fire boats, each period of twenty-four hours shall be divided into two tours of duty, to-wit: from eight o'clock a. m. to six o'clock p. m., and from six o'clock p. m., to eight o'clock a. m. The uniformed force of the fire department shall be divided into two platoons, the officers and members assigned to which shall alternate on the tours of duty at intervals of not more than one week. No officer or member, including pilots, marine engineers and firemen of fire boats, shall be required to work more than one hundred and thirty (130) hours in any fifteen day period, nor shall any officer or member be required to [remain on duty for] work more than fourteen consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Each officer and each member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.

On the recommendation of the chief [engineer] of department, the commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The chief [engineer] of department, or in his absence any assistant chief [engineer] of department, or in their absence any battalion chief in charge, may, during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

In determining years of service necessary for a driver, stoker, tillerman, truckman and hoseman to receive the annual compensation sum of [\$2,800, \$2,900, and \$3,000,] \$3,240, \$3,360, and \$3,480, respectively, as provided for herein, service



rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

[Upon the increased compensation in this section provided becoming effective, section 36.2 shall stand repealed.]

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the fire department shall be effective and shall accrue on the first day of January, [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

### POLICE DEPARTMENT

Section 35.5. The police force of the city and county shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police, [\$9,000;] \$10,380; deputy chief of police, [\$7,500;] \$8,640; captain of inspectors, [\$6,600;] \$7,620; supervising captain of districts and department secretary, [\$6,000;] \$6,900; captain of traffic, [\$5,400;] \$6,240; director of bureau of personnel and director of bureau of criminal information, [\$5,100;] \$5,880; captains and criminologist, [\$4,980;] \$5,760; lieutenants and director of bureau of special services, [\$3,900;] \$4,500; inspectors, [\$3,600;] \$4,140; sergeants, [\$3,480;] \$4,020; photographer, [\$3,300;] \$3,840; police surgeon, [\$3,000;] \$3,480; police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers, for first year of service, [\$2,700;] \$3,120; for second year of service, [\$2,800;] \$3,240; for third year of service, [\$2,900;] \$3,360; for fourth year of service and thereafter, [\$3,000;] \$3,480.

[The minimum annual compensation for police officers, women protective officers, and police patrol drivers, now members of the department or who shall be appointed from eligible lists established prior to January 11, 1943, shall be \$2,800, and further adjustments shall be in accordance with the preceding paragraph.]

In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, woman protective officer and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensations [sum of \$2,800, \$2,900 and \$3,000, respectively.] as provided for herein, service rendered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any police officer, woman protective officer, or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

Any member assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty shall receive \$15 per month in addition to the compensation to which he would otherwise be entitled.

The salary increases herein provided for the respective ranks of the police department shall be effective and shall accrue on the 1st day of January [1946] 1947, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the charter, but the payment thereof

may be deferred by the board of supervisors until the beginning of the fiscal year immediately following ratification of this section by the legislature of the State of California.

Section 35.5½ (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to *at least* one (1) day off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this subsection. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal day off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal day off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensations provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) The police commission is hereby authorized to require a member or members to work more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours per week in any week when public necessity requires such services, and the member or members so serving more than [forty-eight (48)] *forty-four (44)* hours shall be granted added compensation or time off with pay for said extra service performed.

(g) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leaves and disability leaves for members are concerned.

(h) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the said periods of fifteen (15) minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing subsections, the police commission is empowered to designate certain legal holidays as additional

days off with pay for members of the police department and members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of straight time as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of said commission.

[(j) This section shall become effective on the 1st day of July, 1944, provided the same is ratified prior to said date by the Legislature of the State of California. If not ratified prior to said date, this section shall become effective on the 1st day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.]

Previously *Ordered Submitted* (September 20, 1946).

**Authorizing the Printing of Arguments Advocating Approval of Charter Amendments  
Relating to the Police and Fire Departments.**

Proposal No. 6051, Resolution No. 5851 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Acting Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies to have printed, arguments advocating the approval by the electors of charter amendments on the November 5, 1946, ballot relating to salaries and working schedules for the members of the uniformed force of the Police and Fire Departments; providing for payment to dependents of members of the uniformed force of the Police and Fire Departments, including Salvage Corps, Pilots, Marine Engineers and Marine Firemen of fire boats who are killed in line of duty, and relating to membership in the Salvage Corps of persons absent in military service.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Ordered Submitted.

**PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT Section 171.1**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding Section 171.1 thereto, relating to present and future members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county, by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 171.1, as follows:

Section 171.1. Members of the fire department, as defined in this section, who are members of the retirement system under section 165 or 171 of the charter on the effective date hereof, hereby designated as the first day of July, 1947, and persons who become members of said department after said effective date, shall be members of the retirement system on and after said date, subject to the following provisions of this section 171.1, in addition to the provisions contained in sections 158 to 161, both inclusive, of this charter notwithstanding the provisions of any other section of the charter. Members of the retirement system under section 169 of the charter shall be members under this section only with respect to subsection (D) and no other provisions of this section shall apply to them. Benefits provided under subsection (D) at the death of members under section 169 shall be in lieu of benefits provided in subsection (b) of section 169. Members of the said department

who are members of the retirement system under section 165 of the charter on said effective date, however, shall have the option to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 165 instead of section 171.1, the election under said option to be effective on said effective date. In like manner, members of the said department who are members of the retirement system under section 171 of the charter shall have the option, to be exercised in writing on a form furnished by the retirement system, and to be filed at the office of said system not later than ninety days after the effective date hereof, of being members of the system under section 171, instead of section 171.1, the election to be effective on said effective date, provided, that members who are absent by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, on the effective dates of the amendment shall have the same option of electing to be members under section 165 or 171, as the case may be, instead of section 171.1, until ninety days after their return to service in the fire department. On and after said effective date, the persons who affirmatively exercise said option, shall continue to be members of the system under sections 165 or 171, respectively, and shall not be subject to any of the provisions of section 171.1.

(A) The following words and phrases as used in this section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context, shall have the following meaning:

“Retirement allowance,” “death allowance” or “allowance,” shall mean equal monthly payments, beginning to accrue upon the date of retirement, or upon the day following the date of death, and continuing for life unless a different term of payment is definitely provided by the context.

“Compensation,” as distinguished from benefits under the Workmen’s Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of the State of California, shall mean the remuneration payable in cash, by the city and county, without deduction except for absence from duty, for time during which the individual receiving such remuneration is a member of the fire department.

“Compensation earnable” shall mean the compensation which would have been earned had the member received compensation without interruption throughout the period under consideration and at the rates of remuneration attached at that time to the ranks or positions held by him during such period, it being assumed that during any absence he was in the rank or position held by him at the beginning of the absence, and that prior to becoming a member of the fire department he was in the rank or position first held by him in such department.

“Benefit” shall include “allowance,” “retirement allowance,” “death allowance” and “death benefit.”

“Final compensation” shall mean the average monthly compensation earnable by a member during the three years immediately preceding his retirement, or death before retirement.

For the purpose of the retirement system and of this section, the terms “member of the fire department,” “member of the department” or “member,” shall mean any officer or employee of the fire department whose employment therein was or shall be subject to the charter provisions governing entrance requirements for members of the uniformed force of said department, and

said terms further shall mean, from the effective date of their employment in said department, persons employed on the effective date hereof, regardless of age, or employed after said date at an age not greater than thirty-five years in the fire department to perform the duties now performed under the titles of hydrant-gateman, marine engineer, marine fireman, and pilot, or now performed as members of the salvage corps. Any fire service performed by such a member of the fire department outside the limits of the city and county and under orders of a superior officer of any such member, shall be considered as city and county service, and any disability or death incurred therein shall be covered under the provisions of the retirement system.

"Retirement system" or "system" shall mean San Francisco city and county employees' retirement system as created in section 158 of the charter.

"Retirement board" shall mean "retirement board" as created in section 159 of the charter.

"Charter" shall mean the charter of the city and county of San Francisco.

Words used in the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neuter genders, and singular numbers shall include the plural, and the plural the singular.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate adopted by the retirement board.

(B) Any member of the fire department who completes at least twenty-five years of service in the aggregate, regardless of age, or at least twenty years of service in the aggregate, and attains the age of 55 years, said service to be computed under subsection (H) hereof, may retire for service at his option. Members shall be retired on the first day of the month next following the attainment by them of the age of sixty-five years. A member retired after meeting the service and age requirements in the sentences next preceding, shall receive a retirement allowance equal to fifty per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, plus an allowance at the rate of  $1\frac{2}{3}$  per cent of said final compensation, for each year of service rendered prior to attaining age 60 and after qualifying as to age and service for retirement, but the total allowance shall not exceed two-thirds of said final compensation provided that a member who attains age 65 with less than twenty years of service, shall receive a retirement allowance at the rate of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of said final compensation for each year of service with which he is credited. Before the first payment of a retirement allowance is made, a member retired under this subsection or subsection (C), may elect to receive the actuarial equivalent of his allowance, partly in an allowance to be received by him throughout his life, and partly in other benefits payable after his death to another person or persons, provided that such election shall be subject to all the conditions prescribed by the Board of Supervisors to govern similar elections by other members of the retirement system, including the character and amount of such other benefits.

(C) Any member of the fire department, who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty by reason of any bodily injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, shall be retired, and shall receive a retirement allowance. If at the time of retirement, he is qualified as to age and service for retirement under subsection (B), the allowance shall be equal to the retirement allowance which he would receive if retired under subsection (B). If retirement occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance shall be not less than 75% or more than 90% of the final compen-

sation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, the exact percentage to depend on the degree of disability as determined by the retirement board, at the time of retirement, and from time to time thereafter, but for a member who is totally disabled for the performance of any duties in or out of the service of the city and county, the allowance shall be 90% of said final compensation. Said allowance as fixed by the retirement board shall continue until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at retirement, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he rendered service as assumed.

Any member of the fire department who becomes incapacitated for performance of his duty, by reason of a cause not included under the provisions of the immediately preceding paragraph, and who shall have completed at least ten years of service in the aggregate, computed as provided in subsection (H) hereof, shall be retired upon an allowance of one and one-half per cent of the final compensation of said member, as defined in subsection (A) hereof, for each year of service, provided that said allowance shall not be less than twenty-five per cent of said final compensation. The question of retiring a member under this subsection may be brought before the retirement board on said board's own motion, by recommendation of the fire commission, or by said member or his guardian. If his disability, for which he is retired under this subsection, regardless of cause, shall cease, his retirement allowance shall cease, and he shall be restored to the service in the rank he occupied at the time of his retirement.

(D) If a member of the fire department shall die before or after retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years.

Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this subsection to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.

(E) That portion of any allowance payable because of the death or retirement of any member of said department which is provided by contributions of the city and county, shall be reduced in the manner fixed by the board of supervisors, by the amount of any benefits, other than medical benefits, payable to or on account of such person, under the workmen's compensation insurance and safety law of the State of California and because of the injury or illness resulting in said death or retirement.

(F) If a member of the fire department shall die, before retirement, from causes other than an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, or regardless of cause, if no allowance shall be payable under subsection (D) preceding, a death benefit shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary, the amount of which and the conditions for the payment of which shall be determined in the manner prescribed by the board of supervisors for the death benefit of other members of the retirement system. Upon the death of a member after retirement and regardless of the cause of death, the sum of five hundred dollars shall be paid to his estate or designated beneficiary in the manner and subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors for the payment of a similar benefit upon the death of other retired members.

(G) Should any member of the department cease to be employed as such a member, through any cause other than death or retirement or transfer to another office or department, all of his contributions, with interest credited thereon, shall be refunded to him subject to the conditions prescribed by the board of supervisors to govern similar terminations of employment of other members of the retirement system. If he shall again become a member of the department, he shall redeposit in the retirement fund, the amount refunded to him. Contributions, with interest, which are credited because of service rendered in any other office or department and which will not be counted under subsection (H), to any person who becomes a member of the retirement system under this section, shall be refunded to him forthwith. Should a member of the fire department become an employee of any other office or department, a portion of his contributions with credited interest equal to the contributions which would have accumulated to his credit if he had been employed in said other office or department at the rate of compensation received by him in the fire department, shall remain credited to his account, and he shall receive credit for service to the extent of said accumulated contributions, and the balance of his contributions with credited interest shall be refunded to him forthwith.

(H) The following time shall be included in the computation of the service to be credited to a member of the department for the purpose of determining whether such member qualifies for retirement:

(1) Time during and for which said member is entitled to receive com-

pensation because of services as a member of the fire or police department;

(2) Time during which said member served prior to the effective date hereof, and received compensation in any other status requisite for membership in the retirement system, and solely for the purpose of determining qualification for retirement under subsection (C) for disability not resulting from injury received in, or illness caused by performance of duty, time during which said member served, after the effective date hereof, and received compensation in any other status requisite for membership in the retirement system;

(3) Time during which said member, while absent from a status included in paragraphs (1) or (2) next preceding, by reason of service in the armed forces of the United States of America, or by reason of any other service included in section 161 of the charter, during any war in which the United States was or shall be engaged or during other national emergency.

(I) All payments provided under this section shall be made from funds derived from the following sources, plus interest earned on said funds;

(1) The rate of contribution of each member under this section shall be based on his age taken to the next lower complete quarter year, at the date he became a member under section 165 or 171, as a member of the fire department, as defined in this section, in the case of persons who are members under these sections, and his age taken to the next lower completed year, when he entered the fire department, or on his age at the date he becomes a member under this section, in the case of persons who become members on or after the effective date of this amendment. The age of entrance into the fire department shall be determined by deducting the member's service as a member of the fire and police departments, prior to the effective date hereof from his age on said date, taken to the next lower complete quarter year. The normal rate of contribution of each such member, to be effective from the effective date of membership under this section, shall be such as, on the average for such member, will provide, assuming service without interruption, under subsection (B) of this section, one-third of that portion of the service retirement allowance to which he would be entitled, upon first qualifying as to age and service, for retirement under that subsection, which is based on service rendered after the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution according to the first sentence in this paragraph, and assuming the contribution to be made from that date.

(2) There shall be deducted from each salary payment made to a member under this section, a sum determined by applying the member's rate of contribution to such salary payment. The sum so deducted shall be paid forthwith to the retirement system. Said contribution shall be credited to the individual account of the member from whose salary it was deducted, and the total of said contributions, together with interest credited thereon in the same manner as is prescribed by the board of supervisors for crediting interest to contributions of other members of the retirement system, shall be applied to provide part of the retirement allowance granted to, or allowance granted on account of said member, under this section or shall be paid to said member or his estate or beneficiary as provided in subsection (F) and (G) of this section.

(3) Contributions based on time included in paragraphs (1), (2) and (3) of subsection (H), and deducted prior to the effective date hereof, from



compensation of persons who become members under this section, and standing with interest thereon, to the credit of such members on the records of the retirement system on said date, shall continue to be credited to the individual accounts of said members and shall be combined with and administered in the same manner as the contributions deducted after said date.

(4) The total contributions, with interest thereon, made by or charged against the city and county and standing to its credit, in the accounts of the retirement system, on account of persons who become members under this section, shall be applied to provide the benefits under this section.

(5) The city and county shall contribute to the retirement system such amounts as may be necessary, when added to the contributions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection (I), to provide the benefits payable under this section. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by each member prior to the date upon which his age is based for determination of his rate of contribution in paragraph (1), subsection (I), shall not be less during any fiscal year than the amount of such benefits paid during said year. Such contributions of the city and county to provide the portion of the benefits hereunder which shall be based on service rendered by respective members on and after the date stated in the next preceding sentence, shall be made in annual installments, and the installment to be paid in any year shall be determined by the application of a percentage to the total salaries paid during said year, to persons who are members under this section. Said percentage shall be the ratio of the value at the effective date hereof, or at the later date of a periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system as provided by the board of supervisors, of the benefits thereafter to be paid under this section, from contributions of the city and county, less the amount of such contribution, and plus accumulated interest thereon, then held by said system to provide said benefits on account of service rendered by respective member after the date stated in the sentence next preceding, to the value at said dates of salaries thereafter payable to said members. Said values shall be determined by the actuary, who shall take into account the interest which shall be earned on said contributions, the compensation experience of members, and the probabilities of separation by all causes, of members from service before retirement and death after retirement. Said percentage shall be changed only on the basis of said periodical actuarial valuation and investigation into the experience under the system.

(6) To promote the stability of the retirement system through a joint participation in the results of variations in the experience under mortality, investment and other contingencies, the contributions of both members and the city held by the system to provide the benefits under this section, shall be a part of the fund in which all other assets of said system are included. Nothing in this section shall affect the obligations of the city and county to pay to the retirement system any amounts which may or shall become due under the provisions of the charter prior to the effective date hereof, and which are represented on said effective date, in the accounts of said system by debits against the city and county.

(J) Upon the completion of the years of service set forth in subsection (B) of this section as requisite to retirement, a member shall be entitled to

retire at any time thereafter in accordance with the provisions of said subsection (B), and nothing shall deprive said member of said right.

(K) No person retired under this section, for service or disability and entitled to receive a retirement allowance under the retirement system shall serve in any elective or appointive position in the city and county service, including membership on boards and commissions, nor shall such person receive any payment for service rendered to the city and county after retirement, provided that service as an election officer or juror shall not be affected by this section.

Should any such retired person, except persons retired because of disability incurred in the performance of duty, engage in a gainful occupation prior to attaining the age of sixty-two, the retirement board shall reduce that part of his monthly pension or retirement allowance which is provided by contributions of the city and county, to an amount which, when added to the amount earned monthly by him in such occupation, shall not exceed his compensation at the time of his retirement.

(L) Any section or part of any section in this charter, insofar as it should conflict with this section 171.1, or with any part thereof, shall be superseded by the contents of said section 171.1. In the event that any word, phrase, clause or subsection of this section shall be adjudged unconstitutional, the remainder thereof shall remain in full force and effect.

This amendment shall take effect on the first day of July, 1947.

#### Discussion.

Mr. McClatchy, Chairman of the Pension Committee for the San Francisco Fire Department, in discussing the foregoing proposed charter amendment, consideration of which had been, earlier in the day's proceedings, temporarily postponed, stated that he was not representing any minority group, but he was representing more than 600 active men of the fire fighting forces. Consideration of this amendment was postponed for the definite purpose of getting a raise of pay for men in the Fire and Police departments. His group was now asking submission of the foregoing amendment because it affects every fireman. If small minority groups are to be taken in and supported, he believed the majority groups of the Fire and Police departments should be given the same privilege.

Supervisor Colman announced that the cost would be another \$1,000,000 or a total of about \$11,000,000.

Mr. Callaghan urged submission of the foregoing amendment.

Thereupon, the foregoing proposed charter amendment was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

#### Ordered Submitted.

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

#### CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an election to be held therein on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending section 151.3 thereof relating to the basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees, which shall read as follows:

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

### BASIS OF STANDARDIZATION OF COMPENSATIONS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES

Section 151.3. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter, whenever any groups or crafts establish a rate of pay for such groups or crafts through collective bargaining agreements with employers employing such groups or crafts, and such rate is recognized and paid throughout the industry and the establishments employing such groups or crafts in San Francisco, and the civil service commission shall certify that such rate is generally prevailing for such groups or crafts in private employment in San Francisco pursuant to collective bargaining agreements, the board of supervisors shall have the power and it shall be its duty to fix such rate of pay as the compensations for such groups and crafts engaged in the city and county service. [The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be effective at the beginning of the next succeeding fiscal year providing the civil service commission has so certified such rate of pay to the board of supervisors on or prior to the first day of April preceding.] *The rate of pay so fixed by the board of supervisors shall be determined on the basis of rates of pay certified by the civil service commission on or prior to April 1st of each year and shall be effective July 1st following; provided, that the civil service commission shall review all such agreements as of July 1st of each year and certify to the board of supervisors on or before the second Monday of July any modifications in rates of pay established thereunder for such crafts or groups as herein provided. The board of supervisors shall thereupon revise the rates of pay for such crafts or groups accordingly and the said revised rates of pay so fixed shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which the said revisions are determined.*

Should the budget estimates for the several departments be filed with the controller or transmitted to the mayor before [said] any such report of said civil service commission is received by the board of supervisors, the head of each department affected by [said] such report may amend its budget estimate to comply with the provisions of [said] such report. [of said civil service commission so as to make the same effective as of the first day of July of the following fiscal year. No report made by the civil service commission as in this section provided, subsequent to the first day of April of any fiscal year or any action of the board of supervisors based on such report, shall be effective until the beginning of the second succeeding fiscal year.]

*Notwithstanding the provisions of section 151 or any other provisions of this charter the wages of platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway shall be determined and fixed, annually, as follows:*

(A) *On or before the second Monday of July of each year the civil service commission shall certify to the board of supervisors the two highest wage schedules*

in effect on July 1st of that year for platform employees and bus operators of other street railway systems in the State of California;

(B) The board of supervisors shall thereupon fix wage schedules for platform employees and bus operators of the municipal railway which shall be the average of the two highest wage schedules so certified by the civil service commission; provided, if the average of the two highest wage schedules shall be less than the rates of pay fixed for such service in the salary standardization ordinance adopted by the board of supervisors on March 18, 1946, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for such service which shall be the same as the rates fixed for such service in the said ordinance;

(C) When, in addition to their usual duties, such employees are assigned duties of instructors of platform employees or bus operators they shall receive twenty (20c) cents per hour above the rates of pay fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided;

(D) The rates of pay so fixed for platform employees and bus operators as herein provided shall be effective from July 1st of the fiscal year in which such rates of pay are certified by the civil service commission;

(E) Platform employees and bus operators shall be paid one and one-half times the rate of pay fixed as herein provided for all work performed on six days specified as holidays by ordinance of the board of supervisors for such employees.

Within thirty days after the ratification of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall fix wage schedules for the fiscal year 1946-1947 for the crafts and groups subject to the provisions of this section and which wage schedules shall be effective commencing July 1, 1946.

Not later than the 25th day of July in each year the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter but, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this charter, not later than thirty days after the effective date of this amendment, the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1946-1947 to include the provisions necessary for paying from July 1, 1946, the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the fiscal year 1946-1947 out of such funds as the controller certifies are available.

Ordered Submitted at meeting of September 20, 1946.

Adopted.

Authorizing Printed Arguments, Charter Amendment Relative to  
Municipal Railway Carmen's Salary Standardization.

Proposal No. 6037, Resolution No. 5847 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Acting Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies to have printed, argument advocating the approval by the electors of Charter Amendment No. 1, on the November 5, 1946, ballot, relating to basis of standardization of compensations of certain employees.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Ordered Submitted.

**Ordering Submission of Proposed Amendment to Initiative Ordinance Regulating Refuse Collection and Disposal.**

The Board of Supervisors hereby orders submitted to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, an ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted at an election held in said City and County November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance," by amending Sections 2, 3, 6, 10, 11 and 16 of said ordinance, as follows:

Bill No. 4259, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

**Initiative ordinance amending the initiative ordinance adopted by the electors on November 8, 1932, entitled "Providing for the Collection and Disposition of Refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; Providing for the Licensing of Refuse Collectors by the Director of Public Health; Fixing the Maximum Rates or Charges for the Collection of Refuse by Licensed Refuse Collectors From Homes, Apartment Houses, Stores, etc.; Dividing City and County of San Francisco Into Collection Routes; Providing Penalties for the Violation of the Provisions of This Ordinance," by amending Sections 2, 3, 6, 10, 11 and 16 thereof.**

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of the initiative ordinance of November 8, 1932, entitled as recited above, is amended to read as follows:

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to dispose of refuse as defined in this ordinance except as herein provided, save that the provisions of this ordinance shall not include refuse which may be incinerated by an owner of a building for himself or for his tenants on the premises where produced; provided, however, that such incineration shall be subject to inspection and control by the Director of Public Health and the Fire Department. Failure of any householder producing refuse to subscribe to and pay for refuse collection, unless such householder is a tenant for whom refuse collection service is provided by his landlord, shall be prima facie evidence that such householder is disposing of refuse in violation of this ordinance.

Section 2. Section 3 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 3. Refuse consisting of waste or discarded food, animal and vegetable matter, discarded containers of food, animal and vegetable matter and ashes shall be collected and placed in suitable metal cans of thirty gallons or less capacity by the producer or landlord who by reason of contract or lease with an occupant is obligated to care for such refuse, for collection by a refuse collector to be disposed

of as herein provided. Waste paper and boxes and other refuse materials not subject to putrefaction, or decay, and cuttings from trees, lawns and gardens may be placed in any suitable container and delivered by the producer or landlord, who by reason of contract or lease with the occupant is obligated to care for such refuse and deliver same to a refuse collector, to be disposed of as herein provided; provided, however, that it shall be optional with the producer or landlord to deliver waste paper or other refuse having a commercial value to a refuse collector, and the producer or landlord may dispose of the same in any manner he may see fit. Any charge made by a refuse collector for removal from residences, flats or apartments of waste material not placed in metal cans shall not exceed rates fixed herein for collection and disposal of equivalent volumes of refuse. Refuse which under the provisions hereof must be deposited in a metal can of suitable capacity shall be removed daily from the place where the same is created.

Section 3. Section 6 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The rates or charges for the collection and disposition of refuse as herein defined, by refuse collectors, shall be as follows:

Monthly rates from residences and flats for one container of not exceeding thirty gallons. Made from the ground floor:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .60	\$ .75	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.30
5 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.35
6 .....	.65	.85	1.05	1.40
7 .....	.75	1.00	1.15	1.45
8 .....	.80	1.10	1.30	1.50
9 .....	.85	1.15	1.35	1.60
10 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.75
11 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.80
12 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90

Monthly rates from residences and flats for one container of not exceeding thirty gallons. Made from second floor, one stairway above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 4, incl. ....	\$ .65	\$ .85	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.35
5 .....	.75	.90	1.15	1.45
6 .....	.75	.95	1.30	1.50
7 .....	.80	1.05	1.35	1.60
8 .....	.90	1.25	1.45	1.70
9 .....	.95	1.30	1.50	1.75
10 .....	1.00	1.35	1.50	1.85
11 .....	1.00	1.40	1.60	1.90
12 .....	1.05	1.50	1.75	2.05

Monthly rates from residences and flats for one container of not exceeding thirty gallons. Made from third floor, two stairways above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl. ....	\$ .70	\$ .85	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.40
4 .....	.70	.85	1.35	1.45
5 .....	.75	.90	1.50	1.50
6 .....	.75	.90	1.60	1.70

*Collections Per Week (Continued)*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
7	.90	1.30	1.70	1.80
8	.95	1.40	1.80	1.85
9	1.00	1.50	1.90	2.00
10	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.10
11	1.05	1.60	2.15	2.25
12	1.10	1.75	2.25	2.35

Monthly rates from residences and flats for one container of not exceeding thirty gallons. Made from fourth floor, three stairways above ground floor or basement:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 to 3, incl.	\$ .70	\$ .95	\$1.35	\$1.60
4	.70	.95	1.50	1.85
5	.85	1.05	1.70	2.00
6	.90	1.10	1.80	2.10
7	1.00	1.45	1.90	2.20
8	1.00	1.50	2.05	2.30
9	1.05	1.70	2.15	2.40
10	1.05	1.80	2.25	2.50
11	1.10	1.90	2.35	2.65
12	1.25	2.05	2.45	2.75

Monthly rates from apartment houses:

*Collections Per Week.*

No. Rooms	(6)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
10	\$2.40	\$1.90	\$1.70	\$1.50	\$1.40
20	4.50	3.90	3.50	3.10	2.90
30	6.30	5.10	4.70	4.10	....
40	7.80	6.90	5.90	....	....
50	9.00	8.15	6.90	....	....
60	10.00	9.20	....	....	....
70	11.00	10.30	....	....	....
80	12.00	11.20	....	....	....
90	13.00	12.10	....	....	....
100	14.00	12.90	....	....	....
110	15.20	....	....	....	....

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
120	\$16.30	310	\$37.00
130	17.40	320	38.00
140	18.50	330	39.00
150	19.60	340	40.00
160	20.70	350	41.00
170	21.80	360	42.00
180	22.90	370	43.00
190	24.00	380	45.00
200	25.10	390	46.00
210	26.00	400	47.00
220	27.00	410	47.20
230	28.00	420	48.30
240	29.00	430	49.40
250	30.00	440	50.50
260	31.00	450	51.60
270	32.00	460	52.70
280	33.00	470	53.80
290	35.00	480	54.90
300	36.00	490	56.00

## Monthly rates from apartment houses (Continued):

No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)	No. Rooms	Collections per Week (6)
500 .....	\$57.10	560 .....	\$63.70
510 .....	58.20	570 .....	65.80
520 .....	59.30	580 .....	65.90
530 .....	60.40	590 .....	67.00
540 .....	61.50	600 .....	68.00
550 .....	62.60		

Rates for residences and flats shall be increased for more than one container of a maximum of thirty gallons by 10 cents per additional container per collection.

The rates for more than 600 rooms in any one apartment house shall be subject to contract between the owner or lessee of the apartment house and a duly licensed refuse collector.

In determining the number of rooms of any household, building or apartment in order to ascertain the rate for the collection and disposition of refuse therefrom, halls, alcoves, storerooms, bathrooms, closets and toilets shall not be considered as rooms, nor shall basements or attics be considered as rooms unless the same be occupied as living quarters.

Any collection and disposition charges not specifically set forth herein shall be subject to contract between the producer and a duly licensed refuse collector.

Section 4. Section 10 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 10. Upon the payment of the rate fixed in this ordinance for the collection and removal of refuse, the person paying the same shall be entitled to, and there shall be delivered to him a receipt on which shall be shown the amount paid, the premises for which it is paid, the name and number of the collector, the number of the vehicle or wagon, and, in clearly legible print, the schedule of rates herein set forth for his classification of establishment. On the face of said receipt there shall be printed the following words: "The rates for the collection of refuse are fixed by initiative ordinance and are printed on the back of this receipt. Complaints as to service should be made to the Department of Public Health."

Section 5. Section 11 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 11. Disputes over charges made by collectors or as to the character of the service performed shall be decided by the Director of Public Health. Any charges made in excess of rates fixed in this ordinance, when determined by the Director of Public Health, shall be refunded to the person or persons who paid the excess charge.

Section 6. Section 16 of said ordinance is amended to read as follows:

Section 16. During the month of January each year the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall survey and examine into the rates to the producer for the collection and disposition of refuse, with a view to a reduction in such rates, and upon completion thereof shall report his conclusions to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors may by a two-thirds vote reduce the rates upon receipt of said report when found to be justified, and may by a two-thirds vote increase said rates, but not to exceed the rates herein set forth. The Board of Supervisors



shall have no other right to amend this ordinance. Each collector holding a permit shall keep such records as may be required by the Controller to produce the information necessary for the purposes of this section. The records shall be made available to the Controller at his request. At intervals of six months the Controller shall furnish the Department of Public Health estimates of the cost per hour for refuse collections from establishments for which rates are not fixed in this ordinance.

After brief discussion and explanation the foregoing Initiative Ordinance was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Re-reference to Committee.

## BENEFITS FOR DEPENDENTS OF THOSE KILLED IN LINE OF DUTY AND RETIREMENT PAY FOR CORPORALS, POLICE DEPARTMENT

### PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the charter of said city and county by adding Section 168.3 thereto, relating to the members of the Fire and Police Departments, the Salvage Corps and pilots, marine engineers and marine firemen of fireboats, and Section 166.1, prescribing salary base, for retirement purposes, of former rank of corporal of police.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held therein on the 5th day of November, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto new sections to be designated as Section 168.3 and 166.1 as follows:

*SEC. 168.3. If a member of the fire or police departments, as defined in the charter for the purposes of the retirement system, or a member of the salvage corps in the fire department, or any person employed by the city and county to perform duties now performed under the titles of pilot of fireboats, marine engineer of fireboats, or marine fireman of fireboats, all of whom are hereafter designated as members, shall die before or after retirement as a result of an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of his duty, a monthly allowance, in lieu of any allowance payable under any other section of the charter or by ordinance, shall be paid, beginning on the date next following the date of death, to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but had not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had been retired for service on the day of death, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of the average monthly compensation earnable by said member during the three years immediately preceding death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If death occurs prior to qualification for service retirement, the allowance payable shall be equal to the compensation of said member at the date of death, until the date upon which said member would have qualified for service retirement, had he lived and rendered service without interruption in*

*the rank held by him at death, and after said date the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance said member would have received if retired for service on said date, based on the average monthly compensation he would have received during the three years immediately prior to said date, had he lived and rendered service as assumed, but such allowance shall not be less than one-half of such average monthly compensation. If there be no surviving wife entitled to an allowance hereunder, or if she die or remarry before every child of such deceased member attains the age of eighteen years, then the allowance which the surviving wife would have received had she lived and not remarried shall be paid to his child or children under said age, collectively, to continue until every such child dies or attains said age, provided that no child shall receive any allowance after marrying or attaining the age of eighteen years. Should said member leave no surviving wife and no children under the age of eighteen years, but leave a parent or parents dependent upon him for support, the parents so dependent shall collectively receive a monthly allowance equal to that which a surviving widow otherwise would have received, during such dependency. No allowance, however, shall be paid under this sub-section to a surviving wife following the death of a member unless she was married to the member prior to the date of the injury or onset of the illness which results in death.*

*Benefits provided under this section shall be in lieu of all benefits payable under other sections of the charter upon death of such member resulting from an injury received in, or illness caused by the performance of duty, except the five hundred dollar benefit payable upon death after retirement.*

*Contributions to provide the allowance under this section shall be made to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System by the city and county. The amount of the contribution shall be determined and payment to the system shall be made in the same manner as contributions are determined and paid which are required for other benefits provided under the retirement system for the respective groups of members who are included under this section.*

*Section 166.1. For all purposes of the retirement system, and notwithstanding any other provision of the Charter, the monthly salary attached to the former rank of corporal, heretofore held by a member of the Police Department, shall henceforth be deemed to be an amount equal to the maximum monthly salary attached to the rank of police officer, plus three-fourths of the difference between such amount and the monthly salary attached to the rank of sergeant.*

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, the foregoing was re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

Adopted.

**Authorizing the Printing of Arguments Advocating Approval of Charter Amendment No. 2, Relating to Increase of Supervisors' Salaries to \$4,200 Per Annum.**

Proposal No. 6062, Resolution No. 5870 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Acting Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies to have printed, argument advocating the approval by the electors of charter amendment on the November 5, 1946, ballot, relating to increasing salaries of members of the Board of Supervisors to \$4,200 per annum.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

## Re-reference to Committee.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. . . . .

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto section No. 168.2 relating to allowances to widows of police officers deceased after the effective date of such section.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county, at an election to be held therein November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by adding thereto a new section to be designated section 168.2, as follows:

Section 168.2. Upon the death after retirement of a member of the police department, as defined in the charter for purposes of the retirement system, or if death occurs before retirement and after qualification for service retirement, and if an allowance is not payable to his surviving wife under any other section of the charter, regardless of whether such allowance is subject to adjustment under the workmen's compensation laws of California, a monthly allowance, beginning on the date next following the date of death, shall be paid to his surviving wife, throughout her life, or until her remarriage. If the member, at the time of death, was qualified for service retirement, but was not retired, the allowance payable shall be equal to the monthly retirement allowance which the member would have received if he had retired for service on the day of death, and if he had retired prior to death, the allowance payable shall be equal to the retirement allowance of the member. If an allowance be payable under this section, no benefit otherwise provided at death before retirement, shall be paid.

Upon the death of any person, retired prior to the effective date of this section, as a member of the police department, as defined in the charter for purposes of the retirement system, and in receipt of a retirement allowance on the effective date hereof, and provided that an allowance is not payable to his surviving wife under any other section of the charter, regardless of whether such allowance is subject to adjustment under the workmen's compensation laws of California, his retirement allowance shall be continued to his surviving wife throughout her life or until her remarriage.

No allowance shall be paid under this section to a surviving wife unless she was married to the decedent at least one year prior to the date of his retirement, or one year prior to death if death occurs prior to retirement.

This section shall be effective on the first day of the month next following its ratification by the legislature of the State of California.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, the foregoing was *re-referred to committee.*

## Re-reference to Committee.

**An Ordinance Authorizing Officers and Employees of the City and County of San Francisco to Attend Conventions of the American Legion (including Forty and Eight), Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States and United Spanish War Veterans.**

Bill No. 4323, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance authorizing officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco to attend conventions of the American Legion (including Forty and Eight),

Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States and United Spanish War Veterans.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Every officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco who shall be duly selected to participate as a delegate or an alternate, or in any other official capacity, in any regular annual National or State convention of the American Legion (including Forty and Eight, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, or the United Spanish War Veterans (incorporated by Act of the Congress of the United States for the promotion of patriotism), is hereby authorized to absent himself from his regular municipal duties for the purpose of attending such regular annual conventions of such organizations. Such absence shall be without loss of salary or wages for the time of such convention and the travel time necessary to such attendance.

Section 2. Each such officer and employee shall furnish to the Controller written evidence of his selection as an official participant in such convention, certified by the adjutant or secretary of the organization which selected him. There shall be inserted on each payroll covering the period of any such absence the inclusive dates thereof and a statement that compensation therefor is paid pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 3. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to officers and employees of those departments of the City and County having control of their own funds.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, the foregoing bill was *referred to committee*.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Public Health and Welfare Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Christopher, Sullivan.

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Section 150, Article 3, Chapter V, Part II, Relative to Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions by Increasing the Age of Physically Defective and Physically Handicapped Persons Eligible for Admission From Eighteen Years to Twenty-one Years; and by Adding Paragraph 4 to Subdivision K, to Provide for the Hospitalization and Medical and Surgical Care of Any Authorized and Assigned Volunteer at San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home or Hassler Health Home Who Suffers Injury While Serving as a Volunteer at Said Institutions.

Bill No. 4270, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Section 150, Article 3, Chapter V, Part II, relative to admission to San Francisco Hospital and allied institutions by increasing the age of physically defective and physically handicapped persons eligible for admission from eighteen years to twenty-one years; and by adding Paragraph 4 to Subdivision K, to provide for the hospitalization and medical and surgical care of any authorized and assigned volunteer at San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home or Hassler Health Home who suffers injury while serving as a volunteer at said institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 150, Article 3, Chapter V, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 150. Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions.** There shall be admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, the following:

(a) An indigent sick person of the City and County of San Francisco who possesses the required residence qualifications, upon application and after investigation and approval by the Director of Public Health;

(b) A psychopath, narcotic addict or habitual inebriate temporarily in custody;

(c) A physically defective and physically handicapped person under the age of twenty-one (21) years when the parents or guardian of such person are not financially able to secure proper care or treatment and when such person's admission and treatment has been duly authorized in the manner provided by law;

(d) A prisoner confined to the City or County Jail who requires medical or surgical treatment necessitating hospitalization where such treatment cannot be furnished or supplied at such jail when any court of the City and County shall have ordered the removal of such prisoner to the City and County hospital (and said prisoner elects not to furnish such treatment at his own expense);

(e) A dependent, or partially dependent, poor sick person, who possesses the required residential qualifications;

(f) A person in need of immediate hospitalization on account of accident or sudden sickness or injury or by reason of sickness or injury caused by or arising in a sudden public emergency or calamity or disaster;

(g) A person in the active stages of tuberculosis in wards established for the treatment of such persons;

(h) A person to be quarantined or isolated in the City and County hospital with a contagious, communicable or infectious disease;

(i) An expectant mother who is unable to pay for her care and the cost of her maintenance (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of her residence);

(j) An indigent sick or dependent poor person from another county which lacks the proper facilities for the caring of such patients (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of which said person is a resident);

(k) 1. A City and County employee who is judged by the retirement board to have suffered an injury arising out of and in the course of his employment by the City and County, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

2. During the war the United States of America is now engaged in, San Francisco civilian defense volunteer members who are judged by the retirement board to have suffered an injury arising out of and in the course of performance of duties in connection with San Francisco civilian defense, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

3. American Red Cross nurses aides who are judged by the retirement board to have suffered injury while actually serving as volunteer assistants at San Francisco hospital, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury.

4. Any authorized volunteer assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home and assisting in the care and treatment of patients in any of the said institutions, who is judged by the retirement board to have suffered injury while actually serving as a volunteer at any of the said institutions, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure or relieve the effects of such injury.

(l) A person sent by the immigration authorities of the United States Government (under such conditions as may be contracted for between the Director of Public Health and the United States Government);

(m) Provided, nothing in this section shall be construed as restraining the director of public health from obeying or carrying out or giving effect to any law that may exist or be hereafter passed, relating to the hospitalization of patients in county hospitals which may affect the San Francisco Hospital, including the isolation division and the Hassler Health Home.

(n) During the war in which the United States is now engaged, and for six months thereafter, a wife or minor child under one year of age of a non-commissioned member of the United States Armed Forces when the City and County is reimbursed from funds appropriated by Congress for the hospitalization and care of such person.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Amending Section 266, Article 3 (Employees Retirement System) to Provide for the Hospitalization and Medical and Surgical Treatment Required by Any Authorized Volunteer Assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home Injured While Serving as a Volunteer at Said Institutions.

Bill No. 4271, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 266 Article 3 (Employees Retirement System) to provide for the Hospitalization and Medical and Surgical Treatment required by any Authorized Volunteer assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home injured while serving as a Volunteer at said Institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sec. 266, Article III (Employees Retirement System) Part 1. San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 266. Medical and Hospital Service.** Hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment required under the said Compensation Insurance and Safety Law, shall be furnished at the San Francisco Hospital to every employee judged by the Retirement Board to have been injured in the performance of duty, said hospital to be reimbursed for such services and supplies by the Retirement Board from contributions by the city, provided that at all times the charges for such

services and supplies shall be in accordance with an agreement to be made and revised from time to time between the Retirement Board and the Department of Public Health. The Retirement Board shall have authority, however, to arrange for hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment at other hospitals, and shall have the sole authority and responsibility to provide medical and surgical treatment for all injured employees, regardless of where hospitalized; provided that, if hospitalization be at the San Francisco Hospital, physicians and surgeons to render such medical and surgical treatment shall be selected from the staff of said hospital. Each employee shall have the right, however, to provide at his own expense, such consulting or attending physicians as he may desire, in addition to physicians furnished by the Retirement Board, provided that, if such consulting or attending physicians are to render any service at the San Francisco Hospital, they shall be selected from the staff of said hospital. The provisions of this section shall apply to San Francisco Civilian Defense volunteer members who are judged by the Retirement Board to have suffered injuries arising out of and in the course of performance of duties in connection with San Francisco Civilian Defense during the war the United States of America is now engaged in and to American Red Cross Nurses Aides who are judged by the Retirement Board to have suffered injuries while actually serving as volunteer assistants at San Francisco Hospital and to any authorized volunteer assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home, and assisting in the care and treatment of patients in any of said institutions, who is judged by the retirement board to have suffered injury while actually serving as a volunteer to any of said institutions when hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment are reasonably required in the judgment of the retirement board to cure and relieve the effects of such injuries.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Tabled.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, MacPhee.

Recommending Favorable Consideration by State Railroad Commission and Interstate Commerce Commission of Pending Petitions for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity in Connection With Motor Bus Transportation, Including Transcontinental to and From San Francisco.

Proposal No. 6063, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity have been made to the Railroad Commission of this State and to the Interstate Commerce Commission in connection with motor bus transportation services to and from the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, during the present period of reconversion from war conditions such transportation services are congested by unusual

traffic demands and are subject to possible improvement by additional service to the traveling public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco recommends to the Railroad Commission of the State of California and to the Interstate Commerce Commission the favorable consideration by said Commissions of present pending petitions for certificates of public convenience and necessity in connection with motor bus transportation, including transcontinental, to and from San Francisco, if in the opinion of said Commissions, such petitions are made by those capable and qualified to furnish, continue and provide such motor bus transportation as will relieve present congestion to and from San Francisco and will improve and augment such present service to the traveling public.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee explained the foregoing proposal, stating that it was intended to take the place of both other resolutions, one for the American Bus Lines and the other for the Pony Express. It was the unanimous opinion of the Public Utilities Committee that additional transportation facilities should be encouraged. This resolution asks for favorable consideration of any proposals that provide additional facilities or relief.

Senator Quinn of Eureka, representing the American Bus Lines, reported that his clients were asking for a permit to operate on Highway 101, from Yuma to Portland, Oregon. The Pony Express people have made application, also, to come to San Francisco. However, he was not discussing that application at all. More transportation is needed between San Francisco and Portland. At the present time there is only one line operating along Highway 101; that is the Greyhound Line. The granting of a permit to the Pony Express would be of no benefit to the people on Highway 101, north of San Francisco. The resolution, as presented, he held, was all right as far as it went. However, he would like to have the American Bus Lines named. That would make it a better and stronger resolution. He would like to see the original resolution adopted.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher stated that he thought the original resolution should be adopted. He had no objection to the Pony Express resolution. In fact, the Board should consider both resolutions.

Supervisor Christopher felt that it would be better to present legislation containing the names of both companies. The Board should listen to the proponents and the opponents of both firms, and at the conclusion of its hearing, should consider a resolution containing the names of both companies.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher announced that if there were no objections to either the Pony Express or to the American Bus Lines, he would be willing to withdraw his resolution and to adopt Supervisor Christopher's suggestion.

Supervisor Colman suggested that in the Railroad Commission, each action was a separate one, and that the Board should adopt separate resolutions. He would be glad to second Supervisor Gallagher's resolution and in return he would expect that the Board give the same treatment to each company.

Mr. John, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, reported that the Labor Council was in agreement with Senator Quinn. However, as to the Pony Express matter, the Council would like to have that re-referred to committee.

Mr. James Burns, representing the Pony Express lines, agreed that separate resolutions, one for each company, should be adopted. However, his company had filed application months prior to the filing of the American Bus Lines application. He was not aware of any labor



differences. His company has no labor difficulties in San Francisco, because it does not operate in San Francisco at the present time. He urged the Board to take action without delay, and not to re-refer his application to committee. He was more or less in favor of the adoption of a resolution for each company.

His Honor, the Mayor, in reply to questioning by Supervisor Christopher, stated that he thought the entire matter was of interest to San Francisco. In a broad way, he continued, he believed in as many services as possible, whether by bus, railway or air. He had no brief for the American Bus Lines or for the Pony Express, but it was apparent that San Francisco needs more service by bus, both up and down the coast. Competition, he believed, was a good thing. He believed it would be for the best interest of San Francisco to advocate the passage of both resolutions.

Mr. John repeated his request to have the matter of the Pony Express referred back to committee. He did not desire to discuss the wage conditions of the people involved at the present time. He could see no reason for not referring the matter back to committee for further examination.

Supervisor Christopher did not believe the Board of Supervisors should engage in controversy where these two bus lines were trying to obtain franchises. He believed the proposal on the Board's calendar very ably handled the situation. The passage of that proposal would not jeopardize either company.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher presented the following:

**Approving Application of American Buslines, Inc., and Petitioning the Railroad Commission and the Interstate Commerce Commission to Grant Said Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Bus Transportation Service.**

Proposal No. 5998, Resolution No. 5871 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the American Buslines, Inc., has made application to the Railroad Commission of the State of California, the Oregon Public Utilities Commissioner and the Interstate Commerce Commission, for certificates of public convenience and necessity to operate motor bus transportation services as follows:

#### APPLICATION OF AMERICAN BUSLINES, INC.

1. An application with the California Railroad Commission to operate within California between Fort Yuma, California and the California-Oregon State Line via El Centro, San Diego, Los Angeles, Santa Barbara, Santa Maria, San Luis Obispo, Salinas, San Jose, San Francisco, Santa Rosa, Ukiah, Eureka, Crescent City and intermediate points along U. S. Highways 80, 101, 101 Alternate and adjacent highways.
2. An application with the Oregon Public Utilities Commissioner to operate within Oregon between the California-Oregon State Line and Portland, Oregon, via Gold Beach, Coquille, Coos Bay, Reedsport, Florence, Waldport, Newport, Taft, Valley Junction and McMinnville, along U. S. Highway 101, Oregon State Highway 18 and U. S. Highway 99-W.
3. An application with the Interstate Commerce Commission to operate between these points and all intermediate points in Oregon and California and all points on its trans-continental system; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has been hampered in its growth during the past by inadequate transportation to and from the City; and

Whereas, representatives of the American Buslines, Inc., the Southern Pacific Railroad, the Pony Express Stages and the Greyhound Lines have appeared before the Committee on Public Utilities at its regular meeting held at 4 o'clock p. m. August 29, 1946, and presented arguments in favor of and against such applications after due notice of meeting; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County believes that transportation facilities to and from San Francisco should be developed to the utmost, especially during the current period of great traffic demands; and

Whereas, the granting of the applications of the American Buslines, Inc., by the Railroad Commission and the Interstate Commerce Commission would produce an immediate increase in the facilities for travel in and out of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is part of the Redwood Empire and is located midway on Highway 101 between Los Angeles and the California-Oregon line, and which highway is now only served by one transportation system carrying passengers without competition, and that people desiring to travel up and down Highway 101, either North or South, from the City and County of San Francisco are now dependent entirely upon one bus transportation system, and the Board of Supervisors believes it to be in the best interest of the City and County of San Francisco and the Bay Area that said application be granted; and

Whereas, the application of the American Buslines, Inc., extend the entire route of Highway 101 from Fort Yuma, California, to the California-Oregon line on said Highway 101 will serve this city both North and South; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve in principle, the application of the American Buslines, Inc., for certificates of public convenience and necessity to operate motor bus transportation service and does respectfully petition the Railroad Commission of the State of California and the Interstate Commerce Commission to grant said applications.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee believed that the best interest of San Francisco would be served by the resolution as it appeared on the Board Calendar. He would vote against the proposal presented by Supervisor Gallagher, not because he was opposed to the American Buslines coming to San Francisco, for he was not. The proposal on the Calendar was, he thought, a good proposal; it did not take sides.

Supervisor Lewis declared that the proposal on the Calendar was absolutely meaningless. The American Buslines, Inc., want a resolution to be presented to the Railroad Commission as evidence in their case. The matter on the Calendar would not be evidence.

Mr. Dion Holm, on being requested to give his opinion in the matter, stated that he thought it would be more profitable to pass on each application. He was rather inclined to the view that the proposal on the Calendar was somewhat too general to create much of an impression in the minds of the Railroad Commission.

Mrs. Edna Calhan addressed the Board briefly, urging adoption of the resolution as presented by Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Christopher announced that he would vote for the American Buslines. However, he had only one concern. He did not want to be placed in a predicament of favoring one line against another.

Mr. Russell A. Powell announced that the Central Council of Civic Clubs had taken the stand that the applications of the two companies would be heard as two separate cases, and the Council had passed

resolutions separately. The Labor Council cannot make a contract with the bus lines until those lines are in operation.

Supervisor Mead disagreed with Mr. Powell's last statement. That was not necessarily so, he held. Negotiations for contracts can begin pending the beginning of operations. However, he did not think the Board of Supervisors was justified in holding up consideration because of a contemplated labor dispute.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee moved that Proposal 6063 be tabled. Motion seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

The roll was called and Proposal 6063 was *tabled* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Whereupon, Proposal 5998 was again taken up and *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee moved adoption of a similar proposal in behalf of the Pony Express.

Supervisor Mead thought there should be given time for further hearing on the Pony Express application. He had fully made up his mind to go along with both proposals. He had not expected any objections from any responsible organizations. However, the Labor Council has asked for a reasonable length of time for consideration, and he could not refuse to grant them that time.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he did not know the nature of any labor dispute, or how long it would take to be settled. He felt the Board should not reject this resolution for the Pony Express because of a temporary labor dispute which he felt could be amicably settled within a short time.

After further short discussion, Supervisor Mead announced that with the understanding that the matter would be back from committee by the time of the next Board meeting, he would move reference to committee.

Mr. James Burns stated that his company has a contract with the Brotherhood of Railway Trainmen. There is no labor dispute pending.

Supervisor Mead requested that under the circumstances, since charges have been made and denied, that the Board hear from Mr. John again, and he would so move. Supervisor John J. Sullivan seconded the motion.

Mr. John announced that the dispute had just been called to his attention. He was not prepared at the time to make any further statement. He did not think the matter should be discussed publicly at the present meeting. That was why he had asked for the privilege of appearing before committee.

Supervisor Meyer announced that he would be out of the city during the week. However, he would go along with whatever the other members of the committee decided.

After further brief discussion, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Supervisor Mead moved that the matter be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, September 30, 1946, at 3:00 p. m.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

## Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:  
**Regulating and Licensing the Taking of Photographs of Persons  
in Public Places.**

Bill No. 3730, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, providing procedure for regulating and licensing the taking of photographs of persons in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, and providing license taxes therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, to read as follows:

**SEC. 124. Photographers—Public Places. (a) Definitions.**

As used in this section, the following words shall have the following respective meanings:

“Photographer” shall mean every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of taking photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

“Solicitor” shall mean every person acting as servant, agent or employee of a photographer, as defined herein, who solicits the taking or actually takes photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

The aforesaid definitions shall not include a “Street Photographer” as defined in Section 130 of this Article, nor photographers employed by newspapers or other similar publications while engaged in the scope of their employment.

(b) **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in or carry on, or to maintain or conduct, or cause to be engaged in, carried on, maintained or conducted, the business of photographer or to act as a solicitor without having first secured a permit so to do from the Chief of Police and a license therefor from the Tax Collector.

(c) **Application for Permit.** Every person requiring a permit as provided for in this section shall make written application to the Chief of Police for such a permit on forms provided by the Police Department. Said application shall be accompanied by fingerprints of the applicant, shall contain all information deemed relevant by the Chief of Police, and for a permit as photographer, shall contain in addition thereto the name, business or occupation, and resident address of each person financially interested in such business. For a permit as solicitor, such application shall be first authorized in writing by the photographer engaging, employing or hiring such person.

(d) **Investigation—Issuance or Denial of Permit—Expiration Date.** Upon receipt of said application the Chief of

Police shall conduct such investigation as he may deem proper as to the character and morals of the applicant and the character of the business to be conducted. The Chief of Police may deny said application when, in his opinion, good cause exists therefor. If the Chief of Police approves the granting of said permit, he may issue a permit to said applicant, which permit shall be serially numbered and shall expire on the last day of the calendar quarter year in which issued.

(e) **Permit Forwarded to Tax Collector.** When any permit is issued under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall cause said permit to be forwarded to the office of the Tax Collector for delivery to the permittee upon the payment of the license tax hereinafter set forth.

(f) **License Tax.** Every holder of a permit as herein provided shall pay to the Tax Collector a license tax as follows:

Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars per quarter for each Photographer license, and

Five (\$5.00) Dollars per quarter for each Solicitor license employed.

License taxes paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

The licensee shall issue to each solicitor employed a badge of such wording, design and material as the Chief of Police shall authorize. Said badge shall be worn on the person by the solicitor for whom it was issued, in a conspicuous place for the public to see, at all times when said person is engaged in taking such photographs or soliciting the taking of same. It shall be unlawful for any other person to wear or otherwise display said badge.

(g) **Renewal of Permit.** Renewal of the permit shall be in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 23 of Article 1, Part III, of this Code.

(h) **Revocation of Permit—Rules and Regulations.** The Chief of Police may revoke any permit issued hereunder when the permittee is violating, or attempting to violate, any law of the State of California, any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, any provision of this section, or the rules and regulations issued by the Chief of Police governing the conduct or operations of the permittee. Written notice of such revocation shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations, consistent with the provisions of this section, as he may deem necessary to govern the conduct or operations of photographers or solicitors, as herein defined.

(i) **Permit and License Not Exemption From Any Other Provisions of Code.** The issuance of a permit or license under the provisions of this section shall not exempt the permittee or licensee from any other provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code or any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco requiring a permit or license or otherwise regulating the taking, or soliciting the taking, of photographs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Colman, *consideration was postponed until Monday, September 30, 1946.*

### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendations of Police Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, Christopher, MacPhee.

**Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of San Francisco Municipal Code to Change Title of Section From Automobile Supply Station to Gasoline Supply Station, and to Provide for the Performance of Additional Services.**

Bill No. 4334, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the licensing of automobile supply stations, by amending the title thereof to read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and providing for the performance of additional services as set forth in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 93 Gasoline Supply Stations.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating a gasoline supply station under a permit from the Fire Department, shall pay a license fee of Six dollars and Twenty-Five Cents (\$6.25) per quarter for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, servicing or adjusting motor vehicle brakes, or making certain repairs, adjustments or replacements to motor vehicles upon the premises of a gasoline supply station as provided for in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of this Code, shall pay an additional license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, *consideration was postponed until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

### Consideration Postponed.

**Amending Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Pertaining to Services Permitted by Gasoline Supply Stations, by Providing That Such Stations May Make Certain Additional Adjustments to and Renew or Replace Specified Parts of Motor Vehicles.**

Bill No. 4335, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 366, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to services permitted by gasoline supply stations, by providing that such stations may make certain additional adjustments to and renew or replace specified parts of motor vehicles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Sec. 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 336. Services Permitted.** The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning,

oiling and greasing of automobiles, the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, the removing, cleaning, adjusting and replacing of spark plugs, the renewing of distributor points and replacing distributor, the renewing of water hoses and fan belts, the adjusting of carburetors, the replacing of condensers and coils, the removal and replacement of fuel pumps, the blowing out of gasoline lines, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station; provided, however, that no automobile or motor vehicle shall be permitted to be stored or parked within twenty (20) feet of the gasoline dispensing units except while being serviced with gasoline, oil, air and water, and battery testing; and provided further that storage or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles for other than the above stated services, shall be prohibited unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the fire department as required elsewhere in this Municipal Code for automobile parking stations; and provided that where such automobile parking station is operated in conjunction with or immediately adjacent to a gasoline supply station that portion of the fence required for automobile parking stations which would separate the automobile parking station from the gasoline supply station may be omitted, and any entrance or exit of the gasoline supply station may be used as the entrance or exit of the automobile parking station.

All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department may make regarding the same.

All automobiles shall be spaced and parked in a manner approved by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, *consideration was postponed until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor, the Mayor, were taken up:

**Granting Leave of Absence—Miss Florentine Schage, Member of the Art Commission.**

Proposal No. 6066, Resolution No. 5861 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Miss Florentine Schage, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of 30 days, commencing September 17, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

**Absent:** Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

**Granting Leave of Absence—William Coffman, Member of the  
Recreation Commission.**

Proposal No. 6075, Resolution No. 5862 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable William Coffman, Recreation Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 25, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Granting Leave of Absence—Gardner A. Dailey, Member of the  
City Planning Commission.**

Proposal No. 6076, Resolution No. 5863 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Gardner A. Dailey, member of the City Planning Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing September 24, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Granting Leave of Absence—Harry K. Wolff, Member of the  
Civil Service Commission.**

Proposal No. 6077, Resolution No. 5864 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month commencing October 15, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Granting Leave of Absence—William L. Henderson, Personnel  
Director and Secretary to the Civil Service Commission.**

Proposal No. 6078, Resolution No. 5865 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Mr. William L. Henderson, Personnel Director and Secretary to the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month commencing October 15, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.



**Granting Leave of Absence—Milton S. Maxwell, Member of the Civil Service Commission.**

Proposal No. 6079, Resolution No. 5866 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Milton S. Maxwell, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty-one days commencing September 26, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Authorizing the Printing of Arguments in Favor of Charter Amendment Relating to Retirement of Miscellaneous Officers and Employees.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6081, Resolution No. 5867 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Acting Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies to have printed, arguments advocating the approval by the electors of charter amendment on the November 5, 1946, ballot, relating to retirement of miscellaneous officers and employees; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and is hereby authorized and directed to include copies of the aforementioned arguments on the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on November 5, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Properly Observe Saint Patrick's Day, March 17, 1947.**

Supervisor McMurray presented:

Proposal No. 6083, Resolution No. 5868 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to properly assist the United Irish Societies and the people of San Francisco in the annual observance of Saint Patrick's Day, March 17, 1947.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Requesting the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Make Arrangements for Convention of County Supervisors' Association and County Engineers to Be Held in San Francisco, June 18 to 20, 1947, Inclusive.

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Proposal No. 6084, Resolution No. 5869 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a San Francisco Committee to make arrangements for the Convention of the County Supervisors Association and the County Engineers to be held in San Francisco, June 18 to June 20, 1947, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Inspection of the Marine Depot at Islais Creek.

Supervisor Mead requested a poll of the Board to ascertain how many members of the Board would accept an invitation extended by Brigadier-General A. Cressy, of the U.S.M.C., to inspect the Marine Depot at Islais Creek. He urged all members who could possibly do so, to make the inspection trip.

All members agreed to make the trip with the exception of Supervisor Meyer, who would be out of the city.

#### Declaration of Policy.

Supervisor Christopher presented the following declaration of policy concerning the Farmers' Market, which, he reported, had been revised to meet objections of everyone concerned, and moved submission of same to the voters:

Shall \$100,000 be spent from tax funds by the City and County to finance a permanent Farmers' Market on a new site, estimated to be repaid in 20 years?

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead, in discussing the foregoing Declaration of Policy, stated that he did not think he was entirely satisfied with the language thereof. He thought the language might have a tendency to mislead the people. The amount would not necessarily be \$100,000. The declaration should be in a little plainer language.

Supervisor MacPhee declared that this was a very simple matter that required no argument at all. Four members of the Board could take it down to the Registrar of Voters as it is; it was not necessary for the Board to vote on it.

The Chair announced that Supervisor Christopher has submitted the declaration to the Board and has asked for a vote on submission. If he does not get six votes, he can go ahead and get four signatures.

Supervisor Colman regretted that Supervisor Christopher had taken the step he had. It put members of the Board in a peculiar position. If, for instance, he voted "No" it would be interpreted that he was not in favor of a Farmers' Market at all, which would not be right. He was very much in favor of it. Thereupon, Supervisor Colman moved, as an amendment, that the matter be denied submission. No second.

Supervisor Mead declared that he thought the members would appreciate the fact that they have been talked into a very embarrassing position.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman moved that the matter be referred to the Public Health and Welfare Department. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

The roll was called and the motion to refer to committee *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Supervisor Lewis raised a point of order. Sometime ago, he stated, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution providing for a declaration of policy to be submitted to the voters, and said declaration of policy was set forth in the resolution. This is an attempt to bring in a second declaration of policy after the matter has already been voted on. The declaration has to go on the ballot in accordance with the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken. The Board has no declaration of policy. The resolution referred to merely called upon the City Attorney, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Registrar of Voters to prepare the necessary legislation on the question of policy to be submitted to the people. This is the matter that came out of that request.

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis called for the resolution to which he had referred.

Supervisor Mancuso suggested that even if Supervisor Lewis were correct, the entire resolution could not have been submitted. The resolution contained about 500 words.

Thereupon, the Clerk read Resolution 5704, to which Supervisor Lewis had referred.

Supervisor Lewis, following the reading of the resolution, declared the resolution was self explanatory. The resolution incorporated certain language in no uncertain terms, which was to be set forth in the legislation to be prepared. This language, he understood, was not prepared by the City Attorney, nor did it follow the language passed by the Board of Supervisors.

Again the Chair ruled the point of order was not well taken.

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Colman, at the request of the Chair, put the appeal. The question was, he stated: "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board? A vote 'Aye' sustains the Chair. A vote 'No' overrules the Chair. Mr. Lewis may have the floor."

Thereupon, Supervisor Lewis read the following pertinent portion of Resolution No. 5704 (Series of 1939), and pointed out that the declaration of policy as presented by Supervisor Christopher did not set forth in detail that which the Board had demanded it contain. Unless the Board rescinds its action in adopting Resolution No. 5704, this declaration of policy is not proper.

Resolved, In order to determine the wishes of the people with respect to financing the cost of a new and permanent Farmers' Market, this Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as being in favor of submitting a declaration of policy to the electorate at the November 1946 election, setting forth in detail the following:

1. Location of the proposed site.
2. Cost of proposed site.
3. Cost of appurtenances necessary for the operation of the Market.
4. Probable amount of revenue that may be expected to accrue, based on past experiences of the Market.

5. Probable length of time over which amortization of the amount advanced by the city may be expected to run, based on past revenues and costs.

6. Any other information that may be pertinent to the subject.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Registrar of Voters be and are hereby requested, respectively, to prepare such a declaration of policy as is outlined herein and to take such procedural steps as are necessary for the submission of such policy at the General Election to be held in November, 1946.

Supervisor Gallagher, in explanation of his ruling, declared it was impossible to set forth in detail, as demand by Resolution No. 5704, any declaration within the limit of thirty words, as required for declarations of policies. For example, the location for the proposed Farmers' Market cannot be stated in thirty words. The Chief Administrative Officer has stated that any member of the Board could receive the information as to the site, but he did not think it advisable to divulge the location at this time, because of the great likelihood of increase in the price of the property if such information were generally known. Simply because the Board has adopted Resolution No. 5704, all the detail written therein does not have to appear in the declaration of policy. No one knows the cost of appurtenances necessary for the operation of the Market, so nothing can be placed in the declaration of policy covering that point. As to the probable amount of revenue that may be expected to accrue, and the probable length of time for amortization of the amount advanced by the City, that is in the declaration. There is a limit of thirty words for a declaration of policy, which prevents too much language.

The declaration of policy was prepared by the City Attorney.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher moved submission of declaration of policy as read by the Clerk.

Supervisor Mead announced that he was forced to vote for submission, although the entire matter would never make sense to him. The City and County is spending \$62,000 to purchase a parcel of land, and is telling the people that \$100,000 will be paid back. Assuming that is done, the City and County of San Francisco has a piece of property worth at least \$62,000.

The roll was then called and the declaration of policy, as presented by Supervisor Christopher, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Action Rescinded.

Supervisor Lewis moved that the Board rescind its action, whereby it had ordered submitted to the voters a proposed amendment to Section 24 of the Charter. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Supervisor Lewis, in explanation of his reason for the motion to rescind, declared that the Board had approved submission of the amendment in order that a sales tax might be passed at some future time. If action should be rescinded he intended to propose an amendment that would permit the enactment of sales tax legislation.

Mr. Lloyd Taylor stated that he thought the proposed amendment would satisfy those who look into the future for some form of sales tax.

Thereupon, the roll was called and action *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that Section 24 of the Charter be amended by inserting in the last paragraph thereof, after the words "city and county," the following language: "except a retail sales tax imposed for the privilege of selling tangible personal property at retail, or," making that paragraph read as follows:

No license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except a retail sales tax imposed for the privilege of selling tangible personal property at retail, or except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

After explanation by the Controller and by Mr. Peddicord, of the City Attorney's office, of the necessity or the advisability of such amendment, the motion to amend was seconded by Supervisor Colman.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the proposed amendment was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, McMurray—3.

Ordered Submitted.

## CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 5 PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 24 thereof, "Permits and Inspections."

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on November 5, 1946, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending section 24 thereof, "Permits and Inspections," so that the section shall read as follows:

---

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

---

### PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable.

Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall not be less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection provided, that in so far as the regulation and inspection of foodstuffs or articles of food for human consumption are concerned, the fees or license to be charged for such regulation and inspection shall be as determined by the board of supervisors, but the same shall not exceed the cost of said regulation and inspection. Said ordinance shall also specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

No license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, *except a retail sales tax imposed for the privilege of selling tangible personal property at retail*, or except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

Whereupon on motion by Supervisor Mancuso the proposed amendment to Section 24 of the Charter, reading as above, was *Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

*Ordered Submitted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, McMurray—3.

#### Action Rescinded.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that the Veteran Police Officers Association desired to press for submission the amendment adding Section 168.2 to the Charter, heretofore re-referred to Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher also requested that amendment adding Section 166.1 to the Charter be included with the charter amendment referred to by Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor Colman, in discussing the two foregoing proposed amendments, stated that he desired to correct a statement heretofore made by him. The submission of the two foregoing amendments would add another \$700,000 to the already heavy burden of the taxpayer, making a total of \$11,700,000, which would bring the tax rate to about \$7. He thought the Board was acting in a way that was unfriendly to the interests of the great majority of the uniformed

forces of San Francisco. This was his sincere belief. It was not too late to go back to the original two amendments and cut out all the rest, and he thought that was the only thing to do. The Board should rescind its action in ordering additional amendments submitted and go back to the two amendments. At the next city election, these amendments can again be submitted, when everybody can have a much fairer break in winning their battle with the voters.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman moved that the Board rescind its action whereby it had ordered submitted the fire prevention amendment. Motion seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Supervisor Mancuso moved as a substitute motion that the Board adjourn.

The Chair moved the substitute motion out of order.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, moved that the motion by Supervisor Colman be tabled.

Subsequently, however, with the consent of his second, Supervisor Mancuso withdrew his motion temporarily.

After brief arguments by members of the Fire and Police departments, and representatives of other employee groups, Supervisor Lewis announced that he would change his vote.

Mr. Rockwell, a retired member of the Fire Department, representing the personnel of the Fire Prevention Bureau, opposed rescinding action.

Supervisor Colman urged Mr. Rockwell to agree to postponement of the request of personnel of the Fire Prevention Bureau until the next election. It was a case of 26 men vs. 2,700 men, he stated.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the action whereby amendment to Section 38 of the Charter, Fire Prevention Bureau, had been ordered submitted, was *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—5.

#### Action Rescinded.

Supervisor Colman moved that the action whereby the Board had ordered submitted proposed charter amendment adding Section 171.1 to the Charter. Motion seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Motion *carried* and action *rescinded* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor McMurray—1.

#### Amendment Proposed.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that the Board rescind its action in ordering submitted charter amendment for the Police and Fire departments, for the purpose of amending said proposed amendment to provide that members of the uniformed forces of the Fire Prevention Bureau shall receive additional pay. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

## ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, moved that the Board adjourn.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—4.

Whereupon, at the hour of 1:40 a. m. Tuesday, September 24, 1946, pursuant to the foregoing motion, the Board of Supervisors adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, September 30, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 30, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan excused from attendance.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings for the meeting of July 15, 22 and 29, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Presentation of Guest.

During the day's proceedings, Supervisor Christopher presented to the Board former Lieutenant Governor Sullivan, of Nevada, who was also a member of Congress from Nevada. Mr. Sullivan addressed the Board briefly, expressing his pleasure at being in San Francisco, where he had spent the first nine years of his life.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were received, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Mr. Geo. Linck, requesting hearing to determine reason for his residence in Laguna Honda Home, and referring to conditions existing in said Home and the meat departments of chain stores.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the League of California Cities, submitting proposal for equalizing property taxes through a statewide system of assessment.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the National Association of County Officials, advising that San Francisco's membership became delinquent February 1, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From California Highway Commission, advising that 10:30 a. m., Thursday, October 17, has been reserved for appearance of Redwood Empire Association.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the California Spring Blossom and Wild Flower Association, urging rehabilitation of Palace of Fine Arts.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Eureka Valley Promotion Association, urging that each issue on next bond issue to come before the electorate be itemized separately and not rolled into a general bond drive.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the Parkside Parent Teacher Association, urging approval of a Community Center.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Mayor, urging approval of resolution endorsing application of Pony Express Stages for permission to operate buses between San Francisco and Los Angeles, and adoption of policy to welcome development of all transportation facilities.

*Ordered considered with Calendar matter.*

From James C. Widtfeldt, urging endorsement of petition of American Airlines to serve San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, transmitting report of examination of accounts for fiscal year ended June 30, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Peninsula Division, League of California Cities, announcing meeting October 17, 7:00 p. m., Hotel Whitcomb.

*Ordered filed.*

From California Mission Trails Association, Ltd., requesting election of member of Board to serve on executive board of the association.

*Referred to Rules Committee.*

From Civil Aeronautics Administration, urging preparation of San Francisco Master Airport Plan at earliest possible date.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Mayer and Associates, advancing proposal for collection of taxes on U. S. Government property.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Pattern Makers' Association, requesting increase in pay for pattern makers employed by the City and County.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Pacific-American Steamship Association, transmitting background material on "The Consequences of the Shipping Strike."

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Treasurer, monthly cash account for period ending August 31.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting report of obligations of California Street Cable Railway for year ending December 31, 1945, and maps and index on Franchises and Permits of the Steam Railroads as of July, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Controller, monthly report of appropriations for two months ended August 31, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From County Supervisors Association of California, subject: Federal Aged Administrative Funds after October 1, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

## Consideration Postponed.

## Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission in denying application to rezone property located on the west line of Broderick Street and the south line of Union Street from Second Residential District to First Residential District.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, *consideration was postponed until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

**An Ordinance Establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and Providing for Various Civilian Protection and Service Agencies Thereunder; Enumerating Certain Powers and Duties and Conferring Additional Powers and Duties on Certain Municipal Officers and Employees in Connection With Civilian Protection and Relief From Disaster; Repealing Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939).**

Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. 4045 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and providing for various civilian protection and service agencies thereunder; enumerating certain powers and duties and conferring additional powers and duties on certain municipal officers and employees in connection with civilian protection and relief from disaster; repealing Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939).

Whereas, experience during the war and peace has demonstrated the need for adequate disaster plans and for mobilization of the resources of the community to cope with such disaster; and

Whereas, the State of California has created a State Disaster Council to prepare a state disaster plan and to recommend mutual aid regions; and

Whereas, municipalities have legal authority (Constitution, Article XI, Section 11, and Military and Veterans Code, Section 1571, as amended by Statutes of 1945, Chapter 1024) to create disaster councils for the purpose of formulating local disaster plans, including mutual aid agreements; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. **Disaster.** As used in this ordinance, a disaster shall exist when proclaimed by the Commander. It shall include, but is not limited to, any extraordinary fire, flood, storm, epidemic, or earthquake, or any actual or threatened enemy attack or sabotage, which causes or threatens to cause loss of life or property and upon which occurrences the responsibility devolves upon the regularly constituted authorities for the maintenance of public peace and order and to preserve life and property.

Section 2. **The Disaster Council. Members.** The San Francisco Disaster Council is hereby created and shall consist of the following:

(a) The Mayor, who shall be Chairman.

(b) The Vice-Commander, who shall be the Chief Administrative Officer who, in the absence of or at the direction

of the Commander, shall act on his behalf on matters within the purview of this ordinance.

(c) The Chiefs of Divisions as hereinafter provided.

(d) Such other representatives of civic, business, labor, veterans, professional, or other organizations as may be appointed by the Mayor.

**Section 3. Disaster Council. Powers and Duties.** It shall be the duty of the San Francisco Disaster Council and it is hereby empowered:

(a) To develop a plan for meeting any disaster. Such plan shall provide for the effective mobilization of all the resources of the community, both public and private.

(b) To prepare and recommend for consideration by the Board of Supervisors ordinances necessary to implement the disaster plan.

(c) To consider and recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval mutual aid plans and agreements.

The Disaster Council shall meet upon call of the Chairman.

**Section 4. Commander. Powers and Duties.** There is hereby created the office of Commander. The Mayor is designated as the Commander.

The Commander is hereby empowered:

(a) To proclaim the existence or threatened existence of a disaster and the termination thereof.

(b) To request the Governor to proclaim a state of extreme emergency (as defined in the Military and Veterans' Code of the State of California) in the area in and around the City and County of San Francisco when in the opinion of the Commander the resources of the community are inadequate to cope with the disaster.

(c) To govern and direct the efforts of the San Francisco Disaster Corps in the accomplishment of the purposes of this ordinance.

(d) To direct coordination and cooperation between the chiefs of divisions and resolve questions of authority and responsibility that may arise between them.

(e) To represent the Disaster Corps in all dealing with public or private agencies pertaining to disaster preparedness.

It shall also be the duty of the Commander and he is hereby empowered during a disaster or when a disaster is imminent:

(a) To make and issue rules and regulations on matters reasonably related to the protection of life and property affected by such disaster.

(b) To obtain necessary supplies, equipment and such other properties found lacking and needed for the protection of the life and property of the people, and to bind the City to reimburse for the fair value thereof.

(c) To require emergency services of any City officers or employees.

(d) To requisition necessary personnel or material or any City department or agency.

(e) To enter into agreements with private agencies for the performance of such special services and duties as may be necessary in the judgment of the Commander to carry out the provisions of this ordinance.

(f) To execute all of his ordinary powers as Mayor, all of the special powers conferred upon him by this ordinance and all powers conferred upon him by any other lawful authority.

**Section 5. Executive Officer.** There is hereby created the position of Executive Officer of the Disaster Corps. The Executive Officer shall be appointed by the Mayor. He shall be the Executive Secretary of the San Francisco Disaster Council and shall be Chief of the Division of Personnel and Recruitment.

**Section 6. Disaster Corps. General.** Officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, together with those volunteer forces enrolled to aid them during a disaster, and all groups, organizations and persons who may by agreement or operation of law be charged with duties incident to the protection of life and property in the City and County of San Francisco during such disaster, shall constitute the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

**Section 7. Divisions of Disaster Corps.** The functions and duties of the San Francisco Disaster Corps shall be distributed among the following divisions of such corps, each division to be under the direction of a chief and, in his absence, the first deputy and second deputy chief, respectively, who shall be appointed by the chief, and said division shall consist of the following forces, organizations, and services, and such other forces, organizations or services as may be included pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance. The Chiefs of Divisions shall organize and train volunteers assigned to such divisions by the Personnel and Recruitment division and shall formulate the division plan which, when approved by the Disaster Council, shall become an annex to the disaster plan. The Chief of Divisions shall include in the division plans recommended mutual aid agreements. The Purchaser of Supplies of the City and County of San Francisco shall be custodian of special equipment and other property obtained from any source for the use of the Disaster Corps. He is hereby authorized to act as the agent of this community to receive from any agency the loan of any such equipment or property upon such conditions as may be prescribed by such agency. He shall keep an account of the property entrusted to his control according to the terms of its acceptance, and where there are no terms provided by such agency, then upon such terms as the Commander may prescribe. He shall keep records of the receipt and distribution of such property and may issue or distribute such property only to the persons entitled thereto under the rules of the Corps. Any property now held by him for the use of the Citizens' Protective Corps shall be transferred to the use of the San Francisco Disaster Corps.

The Divisions shall consist of:

(a) *Police Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief of Police, who shall be Chief of the Police Division. The division shall consist of police personnel and the Police Reserve (volunteers).

(b) *Fire Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department, who shall be Chief of the Fire Division. The division shall consist of Fire Department personnel and the Auxiliary Fire Service (volunteers).

(c) *Medical Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of Health Department personnel, medical and hospital units, ambulance units, receiving hospital personnel, the Coroner's department, and medical service volunteers. The Director of Public Health shall be the Chief of this division.

(d) *Public Works Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel of the Department of Public Works and

auxiliary personnel (volunteers). The Director of Public Works shall be the Chief of this division.

(e) *Utilities Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Manager of Utilities who shall be Chief of the Utilities Division. The division shall consist of personnel of the utilities repair service and other utilities department personnel and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(f) *Communications Division.* This division shall be under the direction of the Chief Administrative Officer and shall consist of personnel and equipment of the Department of Electricity and of the Ultra Shortwave Service and auxiliary personnel (volunteers) and such other City personnel engaged in communications work. The Chief of the Department of Electricity shall be Chief of this division.

(g) *Personnel and Recruitment Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Executive Officer who shall be Chief of this division. It shall be the duty of this division to recruit all volunteer personnel, to enroll and register and classify such personnel (in accordance with the regulations adopted from time to time by the California State Disaster Council), to keep adequate records thereof, and to assign such personnel to other divisions of the Disaster Corps. The executive officer may establish and operate a volunteer office to accomplish these purposes.

(h) *Welfare Division.* This division shall be under and subject to the control of the Director of Public Welfare who shall be Chief of the Welfare Division. This division shall consist of personnel of the Public Welfare Department and auxiliary personnel (volunteers).

(i) *American Red Cross.* The American Red Cross in the City and County of San Francisco will furnish food, clothing, shelter, registration and information service, supplementary medical service when requested and rehabilitation to individuals and families affected by a disaster. The American Red Cross will provide funds with which to finance all of its relief operations. The chief of this division will be the chairman of the City of San Francisco Chapter of the American Red Cross, or a delegated representative thereof.

**Section 8. Volunteers.** All persons, other than officers and employees of the City, volunteering services pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall serve without compensation from the City. While engaged in such services, they shall have the same immunities as officers and employees of the City performing similar duties.

**Section 9. Punishment of Violations.** It shall be a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of not to exceed \$500.00, or by imprisonment for not to exceed six months, or both, for any person during a disaster:

(a) Willfully to obstruct, hinder or delay any member of the Disaster Corps in the enforcement of any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, or in the performance of any duty imposed upon him by virtue of this ordinance;

(b) To do any act forbidden by any lawful rule or regulation issued pursuant to this ordinance, if such act is of such a nature as to give, or be likely to give assistance to the enemy, or to imperil the lives or property of other inhabitants of this City, or to prevent, hinder or delay the defense or protection thereof;



(c) To wear, carry or display, without authority, any means of identification specified by the Disaster Council.

Section 10. Bill No. 2498, Ordinance No. 2811 (Series of 1939) is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 10, 1946—*Consideration postponed until September 16, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 389 (Series of 1939) by Increasing the Amount of Revolving Funds for the Recorder from \$150 to \$200.

Bill No. 4300, Ordinance No. 4046 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 389 (Series of 1939) by increasing the amount of revolving funds for the Recorder from \$150.00 to \$200.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 389 (Series of 1939) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. There are hereby established the following revolving funds for the Recorder:

(a) The Recorder's Change Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$50.00.

(b) The Recorder's Revolving Fund, the amount of which shall not exceed \$150.00.

Recommended by the Acting Director, Dept. of Finance and Records.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Funds Available: (Subject to approval of Bill No. 4301, Ord. No. 4047) by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$50 From Surplus in Contractual Services, Recorder's Office to Provide for Increasing Revolving Fund From \$100 to \$150.

Bill No. 4301, Ordinance No. 4047 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50 from the surplus existing in appropriation No. 630.200.00, contractual services, recorder's office, to provide funds for the purpose of increasing revolving fund for the recorder's office from \$100 to \$150.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 630.200.00, Contractual Services, Recorder's Office, to the credit of Appropriation No. 630.999.00, to

provide funds for the purpose of increasing Revolving Fund for the Recorder's Office from \$100 to \$150.

Recommended by the Acting Director, Dept. of Finance and Records.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$4,140 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Compensation for 2 Senior Clerk Stenographers at \$230 Per Month in Superior Court, which Positions Are Created.**

Bill No. 4309, Ordinance No. 4048 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,140 out of the surplus existing in the general fund compensation reserve, appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 senior clerk-stenographers at \$230 per month in the superior court, which positions are created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,140 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.-110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 2 Senior Clerk-Stenographers at \$230 per month in the Superior Court, which positions are hereby created.

Recommended by the Secretary-Jury Commissioner of the Superior Court.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney

Approved by the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$2,400 From Surplus in Park Fund Compensation Reserve, to Provide for Sick Leave, Temporary Wages and Overtime Requirements.**

Bill No. 4310, Ordinance No. 4049 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,400 out of the surplus existing in the Park Fund compensation reserve, appropriation No. 612.199.00, to provide funds in the park department for sick leave, temporary wages and overtime requirements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,400 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Park Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 612.199.00, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Park Department:

Appropriation  
Number

612.115.01	Salaries, Sick Leave .....	\$ 400
612.135.01	Wages, Sick Leave .....	400
612.130.01-2	Wages, temporary, General Division .....	600
612.111.02	Allowance for Overtime, General Division .....	1,000

to provide funds for partial sick leave compensation for employees receiving disability indemnity pay, wages for temporary employments required for sick leave replacements, and allowance for overtime for holiday pay for employees at the San Francisco Zoo.

Recommended by the Park Superintendent.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Establishing Revolving Fund for the Real Estate Department-Auditorium and Providing for the Operation Thereof.

Bill No. 4311, Ordinance No. 4050 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing revolving fund for the Real Estate Department-Auditorium and providing for the operation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a revolving fund to be known as the "Real Estate Department-Auditorium Revolving Fund," which fund shall not exceed the sum of \$50.00. The fund may be maintained in cash or may be deposited in such bank or banks as the Director of Property, with the approval of the Controller, may designate.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to use said revolving fund for making petty purchases required for the operation of the Auditorium within such limits as may be set by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller. Expenditures from said fund shall be made only for items for which funds are available for reimbursement to said revolving fund.

Section 3. The procedure to be followed in administering the said revolving fund shall conform to instructions issued by the Controller and the Purchaser relative thereto, including the "Procurement Procedure" with supplements and revisions pertaining thereto. At least once each month the Director of Property shall submit to the Controller a full and complete statement of expenditures from the said revolving fund, in such form and supported by such receipts as the Controller may prescribe. The Controller shall draw warrant to reimburse the said revolving fund for such expenditures properly accounted for.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Funds available subject to approval of Bill No. 4312 Ord. No. . . . by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$50 From Surplus in Materials and Supplies, Civic Auditorium, to Provide for Establishment of a Revolving Fund for Civic Auditorium.**

Bill No. 4312, Ordinance No. 4051 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$50 out of the surplus existing in appropriation No. 635.300.00, materials and supplies, Civic Auditorium, to provide funds for the establishment of a revolving fund for the Civic Auditorium.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 635.300.00, Materials and Supplies, Civic Auditorium, to provide funds for the establishment of a revolving fund for the Civic Auditorium.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Consideration Postponed.**

The following from Finance Committee, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up:

Supervisor Mancuso dissenting.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$750,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund to Provide Funds for Replacements and Reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.**

Bill No. 4291, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.925.50, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*September 3, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, September 16, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, consideration was postponed until Monday, October 7, 1946.

### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

#### Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor and Powell Streets.

Bill No. 1716, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 837 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled: "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 22, 1941, by amending Section 837 thereof to read as follows:

Section 837. The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Market and Stockton Streets shall be 15 feet.

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the northerly side of, between Stockton and Powell Streets, shall be 15 feet.*

The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the southerly side of, between Stockton and Powell Streets, shall be abolished.

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the northerly side of, between Powell and Mason Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the southerly side of, between Powell and Mason Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Mason and Taylor Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue, shall be 10 feet.

August 27, 1945—*Consideration continued to September 17, 1945.*

Tuesday, September 11, 1945—*On motion of Supervisor MacPhee, consideration of the foregoing bill, heretofore set for Monday, September 17, 1945, was postponed to Monday, September 24, 1945.*

September 24, 1945—*Continued until the fourth Monday in September, 1946 (September 23, 1946.)*

September 23, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, September 30, 1946.*

### Discussion.

Mr. Sylvester J. McAtee, attorney, representing opponents of the foregoing bill, explained the reasons for the opposition.

Supervisor Christopher stated that inasmuch as there were some members of the Board of Supervisors who were entirely ignorant of the circumstances surrounding the entire matter, that it should be re-referred to Streets Committee for further hearing, and he would so move. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved as a substitute motion that the matter remain on the Calendar for the period of six months. Motion failed for want of a second.

Supervisor Lewis held that the matter should be considered as a part of a coordinated plan for the improvement of San Francisco's transportation, parking and pedestrian problem, and not as an isolated matter.

After further brief discussion, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved as a substitute motion that the matter remain on the Calendar for the period of two weeks, and be set as a special order of business for Monday, October 14, 1946, at 3:00 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Repealing Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), Concerning Formula for Determining the Amount of Compensation of Certain Employees.

Proposal No. 5990, Resolution No. 5873 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 5666 (Series of 1939), which provides formula for determining the amount of compensation of employees with permanent status while on intermittent service and temporary employees who occupy positions for which compensation has been fixed at a monthly rate, be and it is hereby repealed.

*September 3, 1946—Consideration postponed until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

*September 23, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, September 30, 1946.*

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.

Proposal No. 6037, Resolution No. 5888 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, decreases, increases, discontinuances, denials, rescissions, and other transactions, effective July 1, August 1, August 23, September 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for Month of October, 1946.

Proposal No. 6088, Resolution No. 5889 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, for the

month of October, 1946, including increases, decreases, and other transactions, be and they are hereby approved, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to transmit this approval to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6082, Resolution No. 5885 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, The Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated September 30, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of October and November, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Determination of Liability of Responsible Relatives of Recipients of Old Age Security Aid.**

Proposal No. 6086, Resolution No. 5887 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the responsible relatives of the recipients of Old Age Security Aid, listed in the report of the Public Welfare Department to the Board of Supervisors, dated September 24, 1946, are able to contribute each month to the said recipients of Old Age Security Aid the amounts stated in said report; that said determination is made upon the basis of the Relatives Contribution Scale set forth in Division III, Chapter 1, of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California; and the City Attorney is hereby requested and authorized to commence legal proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 2224 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, against the responsible relatives who refuse to assume the obligation of making the monthly contribution to the recipients of Old Age Security Aid in accordance with the determination of their liability as made on this date by the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Resolution Relative to Workmen's Compensation Insurance for Registered Volunteer "Disaster Service Workers"**

Proposal No. 5993, Resolution No. 5874 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Section 1599.1 of the Military and Veterans' Code, Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session, provides:

"The California State Disaster Council shall establish by rule and regulation various classes of disaster service workers and the scope of the duties of each class. The California State Disaster Council shall also adopt rules and regulations prescribing the manner in which disaster service workers of each class are to be registered. All such rules and regulations shall be designed to facilitate the paying of workmen's compensation," and

Whereas, the California State Disaster Council on April 4, 1946, adopted rules and regulations establishing classes of disaster service workers, the scope of duties of each class and the manner of registration of such volunteer workers; and

Whereas, Section 1599.2 of the Military and Veterans Code, Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session, provides:

"Any disaster council which both agrees to follow the rules and regulations established by the California State Disaster Council pursuant to the provisions of Section 1599.1 of this code and substantially complies with such rules and regulations shall be certified by the California State Disaster Council. Upon making such certification, and not before, the disaster council becomes an accredited disaster council," and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has registered and will hereafter register volunteer Disaster Service Workers; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco by Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. 4045 (Series of 1939), has created a San Francisco Disaster Council and has directed that Council to comply with the regulations of the California State Disaster Council; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco desires to create an "accredited Disaster Council" organization in order that injured Disaster Service Workers registered with it may benefit by the provisions of Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session; now,

Therefore, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby agrees to follow the rules and regulations established by the California State Disaster Council under date of April 4, 1946, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1599.1 of the Military and Veterans' Code, Chapter 104, Statutes 1946, 1st Extraordinary Session.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby instructed to send two certified copies hereof to the California State Disaster Council.

Approved by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

#### Land Purchase—McLaren Park.

Proposal No. 6064, Resolution No. 5876 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Edwin T. Miller and Mildred T. Miller, or the legal owner, to Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 6027 and Lots 12 and 13 in Assessor's Block 6027, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$950 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.



Recommended by the Park Department.  
 Recommended by the Director of Property.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

#### Land Purchase—McLaren Park.

Proposal No. 6065, Resolution No. 5877 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Salarac Land Company, a corporation, or the legal owner, to Lots 5 and 5-B in Assessor's Block 6027, Lots 2 and 7 in Assessor's Block 6028, Lot 7-A in Assessor's Block 6030, and Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 6066, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$1,550 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.  
 Recommended by the Director of Property.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

#### Land Purchase—McLaren Park.

Proposal No. 6067, Resolution No. 5878 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Park Department that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 612.600.03:

John Reshatoff, Lot 13 in Assessor's Block 6065. . . . .	\$125
Karna Elsa Storm, et al., Lot 11 in Assessor's Block 6067. . . . .	600
Ferdinand W. Behrens, Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 6132. . . . .	150

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.  
 Recommended by the Director of Property.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

**Authorizing Quitclaim Deed of the Closed Portion of Knights Place to Charles A. Smith et ux.**

Proposal No. 6068, Resolution No. 5879 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on September 22, 1941, this Board adopted Resolution No. 2120 (Series of 1939), closing certain portions of Knights Place; and

Whereas, Charles A. Smith and Margaret E. Smith, the owners of Lot 26-T in Assessor's Block 6968, San Francisco, California, have requested a quitclaim deed from the City and County of San Francisco to the part of a closed portion of Knights Place, included within said lot; and

Whereas, the Department of Public Works has recommended the granting of said request; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute the necessary quitclaim deed to said Charles A. Smith and Margaret E. Smith, his wife, or their successors in interest.

Said part of Knights Place included within said lot is that certain real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. More particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Junior Terrace distant thereon 29 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly termination of Junior Terrace as shown on map thereof filed January 16, 1942, in Map Book O at pages 59 and 60, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at a right angle northwesterly 15 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 34 feet, more or less, to an intersection with the northeasterly line, projected northwesterly, of property now or formerly owned by Charles A. Smith and Margaret E. Smith, his wife; thence southeasterly along aforesaid property line a distance of 15 feet, more or less, to a point where aforesaid property line intersects the southeasterly line of Junior Terrace projected northeasterly; thence southwesterly along last mentioned line 34 feet, more or less, to point of beginning.

Being a portion of Knights Place as closed and abandoned by resolution No. 2120 (Series of 1939) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted September 22, 1941, and approved by the Mayor on September 24, 1941.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

**Confirming Sale of Certain Land in Assessor's Block 2122-A, Golden Gate Heights, to Louis Epp, et ux.**

Proposal No. 6069, Resolution No. 5880 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 2643, Bill No. 2573 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on September 17, 1946,

to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

All that portion of Lot 6 in Block 2122-A, as per map of "Golden Gate Heights," recorded in Book "J" of Maps at pages 30 to 38 in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of said Block 2122-A with the southerly line of said lot 6; running thence northerly along said line of Block 2122-A, a distance of 242.500 feet to the northerly line of said lot 6; thence westerly along said northerly lot line 91.612 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet; thence deflecting 18° 30' 00" to the right and running southwesterly 39.54 feet; thence deflecting 71° 30' 00" to the right and running westerly 95 feet, more or less, to the easterly curved line of Funston Avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line of Funston Avenue 70.825 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of said lot 6; thence easterly and radially to said curved line of Funston Avenue along said southerly lot line 97.000 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 43° 11' 07" to the right and continuing southeasterly along said southerly lot line 34.237 feet to an angle point therein; thence deflecting 35° 09' 48" to the left and continuing easterly along said southerly lot line 73.000 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Louis Epp bid the sum of \$6,400 for said property; and

Whereas, said sum of \$6,400 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$5,500; and

Whereas, the sum of \$640 has been paid to the City as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Education have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Louis Epp and Gertrude Epp, his wife, or their assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon the receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

Confirming Sale of Lot 16 in Assessor's Block 7147 to Tim Miralda, et ux.

Proposal No. 6072, Resolution No. 5881 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 3913, Bill No. 4147 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids would be received by him at 9:50 a. m. Thursday, September 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described

City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Sagamore Street (as widened) and the easterly line of Capitol Avenue; running thence easterly along said line of Sagamore Street 75 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 75 feet to the easterly line of Capitol Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Capitol Avenue 60 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block "B" Railroad Homestead Association.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Tim Miralda and Helen P. Miralda, his wife, as the highest bidders offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$2,750; and

Whereas, said sum of \$2,750 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property. The amount of said appraisal being \$2,500; and

Whereas, said parties have paid the City a deposit of \$275 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, The Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Tim Miralda and Helen P. Miralda, his wife, or their assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

Confirming Sale of Lot 20 in Assessor's Block 2376 to Earle A. Whicher, et ux.

Proposal No. 6073, Resolution No. 5882 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3915, Bill No. 4149 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him at 9:40 a.m. Thursday, September 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Taraval Street and the easterly line of Forty-eighth Avenue; running thence northerly along said line of Forty-eighth Avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Forty-seventh Avenue; thence southerly along said line of Forty-seventh Avenue 100 feet to the northerly line of Taraval Street; and thence westerly along said northerly line of Taraval Street 240 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 1149.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement Earle A. Whicher and Martha R. Whicher as the highest bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$19,800; and

Whereas, said sum of \$19,800 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$12,000; and

Whereas, said parties have paid the City a deposit of \$1,980 as a deposit in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Earle A. Whicher and Martha R. Whicher, his wife or their assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

**Confirming Sale of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1709 to MacArthur Building Company, a Co-Partnership.**

Proposal No. 6074, Resolution No. 5883 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3914, Bill No. 4148 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on September 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Forty-first Avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lincoln Way (formerly "H" Street); running thence southerly along said westerly line of Forty-first Avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 75 feet; and thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the said westerly line of Forty-first Avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block No. 632.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement MacArthur Building Company, a co-partnership, as the highest bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$4,425 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$4,425 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$3,000; and

Whereas, MacArthur Building Company has paid to the City a deposit of \$450 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a

municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to MacArthur Building Company, a co-partnership, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Land and Easements in San Mateo County, Required for Sunset Supply Line From Baden to Lake Merced Ranch.**

Proposal No. 6080, Resolution No. 5884 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California:

Parcel "A." Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, also known as El Camino Real, with the common boundary line between that certain tract conveyed by Abbey Land and Improvement Company to the Cypress Abbey Company by deed dated August 31, 1934, and recorded October 5, 1934, at page 271 in Book 633 of Official Records, San Mateo County records, and that certain tract conveyed by the Estate of Patrick J. Burns to William E. Burns et al., by deed dated December 31, 1934, and recorded January 3, 1935, at page 300 in Book 640 of Official Records, San Mateo County records; thence north  $38^{\circ} 27'$  west 19.00 feet, south  $0^{\circ} 51' 13''$  east 113.1 feet and north  $51^{\circ} 33'$  east 69.00 feet to a point in the said westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence along said boundary north  $38^{\circ} 27'$  west 70.60 feet to the point of commencement; Containing 0.071 of an acre.

Parcel 1. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 50 feet in width lying 15 feet easterly, and 35 feet westerly measured at right angles from the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at a point in the westerly boundary of that certain parcel of land, containing 150 acres, conveyed by the Spring Valley Water Company to the Lake Merced Golf and Country Club by deed dated April 20, 1929, and recorded June 4, 1929, in Book 426 of Official Records of San Mateo County at page 7, said point being distant along said westerly boundary north  $0^{\circ} 03' 00''$  east 317.70 feet from the southwest corner of said parcel, and being also at the intersection of said westerly boundary with the northerly boundary of the S.S. White Tract as delineated on that certain map entitled "A Portion of the S.S. White Tract," dated September 30, 1871, and recorded October 19, 1871, at page 21, in Volume E of Maps, San Mateo County Records; run-

ning thence south 27° 32' 30" east 360.00 feet, and south 43° 49' 30" east 207.66 feet to a point in Garden Lane, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Tract 535 Garden Village Subdivision Number 4," recorded November 6, 1941, at page 2, in Volume 24 of Maps, San Mateo County Records; said point being distant 21 feet southerly from the northerly property line of said Garden Lane and distant 91.10 feet easterly from the westerly end of said Garden Lane; running thence south 38° 32' 00" east 187.50 feet, south 53° 55' 00" east 199.71 feet, and south 62° 36' 45" east 421.50 feet to a point in Eighty-seventh Street, as delineated on that certain map entitled "The Seventy-Five Dollar Lot Homestead Association," recorded October 3, 1870, at page 63, in Volume E of Maps, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant 9.15 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of said Eighty-Seventh Street and distant 586.19 feet westerly from the center line of Edgeworth Avenue as delineated on the same map; running thence south 23° 59' 00" east 277.44 feet and south 50° 12' 30" east 358.76 feet to a point in Eighty Ninth Street as delineated on said map entitled "The Seventy Five Dollar Lot Homestead Association," said point being distant 15.00 feet southerly from the northerly boundary of said Eighty Ninth Street and distant 199.32 feet westerly from the above mentioned center line of Edgeworth Avenue; running thence north 89° 50' 15" east 388.94 feet, and south 41° 53' 00" east 362.02 feet to a point in Ninetieth Street as delineated on said map entitled "The Seventy Five Dollar Lot Homestead Association," said point being distant 35.00 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of said Ninetieth Street and distant 230.08 feet westerly from the center line of Sullivan Avenue as delineated on the same map; running thence north 89° 44' 45" east 395.07 feet, south 0° 13' 15" east 492.07 feet and south 45° 14' 15" east 4.24 feet to a point in the southerly boundary of Block V of said "Seventy Five Dollar Lot Homestead Association Subdivision," said point being distant along said southerly boundary north 89° 44' 00" east 138.00 feet from the southwest corner of said Block V; thence continuing south 45° 14' 15" east 21.23 feet, thence north 89° 44' 00" east 69.53 feet to a point in the extension, northerly, of the easterly boundary of Block 20, as delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of the School House Homestead Association," recorded July 10, 1872, at page 61, Volume C of Maps, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant northerly along said boundary and the northerly extension thereof, 634.70 feet from the southeasterly corner of said Block 20; running thence south 45° 16' 00" east 14.14 feet and north 89° 44' 00" east 333.50 feet to a point in Dunks Street as delineated on said map entitled "Map of the Lands of the School House Homestead Association," said point being distant 15.00 feet easterly from the center line of Dunks Street and distant 25.00 feet southerly from the northerly boundary of Ninety Second Street; running thence south 0° 09' 45" east 660.70 feet, south 11° 09' 00" west 25.49 feet, and south 0° 09' 45" east 401.11 feet to a point, said point being in the southerly end of Dunks Street and distant 10.00 feet easterly from the center line of Dunks Street; thence continuing south 0° 09' 45" east 8.22 feet, thence south 68° 04' 00" east 136.02 feet to a point in San Pedro Avenue, as delineated on said map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Schoolhouse Homestead Association," said point being distant northwesterly 15.00 feet from the southeasterly property line of San Pedro

Avenue; running thence south 43° 19' 15" west 263.05 feet and south 0° 41' 00" west 47.21 feet to a point in the northeasterly boundary of that certain parcel of land containing 0.55 of an acre, conveyed by John Frederick Burgin to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated June 10, 1907, and recorded June 20, 1907, at page 45, Volume 141 of Deeds, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said boundary south 38° 41' 45" east 25.17 feet from the northeasterly corner of said parcel; Excepting the following: Those portions which lie in Lots 25 and 51, Block XI, Lot 62, Block XII, and Lot 31, Block V, of the above mentioned "Seventy-Five Dollar Lot Homestead Association Subdivision," that portion in Lot 24, Block XI of the above mentioned "Seventy Five Dollar Lot Homestead Association Subdivision" lying southwesterly from an extension northwesterly of the southwesterly boundary of that portion of the strip bearing south 23° 59' 00" east; all of that portion in Lot 10, Block 20 of the above mentioned "School House Homestead Association Subdivision" excepting a strip of land 10.00 feet in width adjoining and parallel with the northerly boundary of said Lot 10 and extending 71.60 feet westerly from the easterly boundary of said Lot 10; Containing 6.119 acres of which 3.596 acres lie in dedicated streets and Junipero Serra Boulevard (Joint Highway District No. 10).

The northwesterly end of said strip being bounded by the northerly boundary of the above mentioned S.S. White Tract and the westerly boundary of the above mentioned lands of the Lake Merced Golf and Country Club, and the southerly end of said strip by the southerly property line of A Street and the northeasterly boundary of the above mentioned 0.55 acre tract conveyed by John Frederick Burgin to the Spring Valley Water Company.

Parcel 2. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 50 feet in width lying 15 feet northerly and northeasterly and 35 feet southerly and southwesterly measured at right angles from the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at a point in the southeasterly boundary of San Pedro Avenue as said avenue is delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of the School House Homestead Association," as recorded July 10, 1872, at page 61 in Volume C of Maps, San Mateo County Records, which boundary bears south 43° 04' west, said point being distant northeasterly along said boundary 48.12 feet from the southwesterly corner of Lot 3 Block 10 as delineated on said map entitled "Maps of the Lands of the School House Homestead Association"; thence from said point of commencement running parallel to and distant 35 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of said Lot 3, north 89° 43' 30" east 331.11 feet to a point 15 feet distant southwesterly measured at right angles from the southwesterly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way; thence parallel to and 15 feet distant from said southwesterly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 2929.82 feet, the tangent to said curve at the point of intersection having a bearing of south



31° 22' 00" east, a distance of 196.43 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of "A" Street as said street is delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of the City Extension Homestead Association," recorded October 15, 1870, at page 44 in Book D of Maps, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said northerly boundary south 89° 43' 30" west 18.25 feet from the intersection of said northerly boundary with the westerly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way; thence continuing parallel to and 15 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 2929.82 feet a distance of 703.11 feet; thence continuing parallel to and 15 feet distant southwesterly from the said southwesterly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way south 48° 45' 00" east 1096.60 feet to a point in the westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, also known as El Camino Real, said point being distant along said westerly boundary south 8° 53' 00" east 23.40 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the above mentioned southwesterly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way;

The northwesterly end of said strip being the southeasterly boundary of above mentioned San Pedro Avenue, and the southeasterly end being the above mentioned westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; Containing 2.695 acres.

Parcel 3. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 50 feet in width lying 10 feet northeasterly and 40 feet southwesterly measured at right angles from the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at a point in Lot 14, Block 25 as delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the Lands of the City Extension Homestead Association," recorded October 15, 1870 at page 44 in Book D of Maps, San Mateo County Records, said point being in the southwesterly boundary of Parcel 2 as described above, and being distant along said boundary north 48° 45' 00" west 15.60 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, said point also being 14.00 feet distant westerly at right angles from said westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 at a point which is distant 61.28 feet southerly from the point where said westerly boundary intersects the southwesterly boundary of the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way; thence from said point of commencement running parallel to and 14.00 feet distant southwesterly from the above mentioned westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 south 8° 53' 00" east 157.83 feet; thence south 11° 32' 30" east 100.00 feet, south 14° 12' 00" east 100.00 feet, south 15° 59' 30" east 100.00 feet, south 31° 19' 30" east 100.00 feet, south 35° 10' 45" east 96.10 feet, and south 36° 51' 00" east 61.34 feet to a point 14.00 feet distant southwesterly measured at right angles from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing parallel to and 14.00 feet distant southwesterly from southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 south 38° 27' 00" east 173.03 feet to a point in the common boundary between that certain tract conveyed by the Colma Land Association to the

Masonic Cemetery Association by deed dated March 28, 1934 and recorded April 4, 1934 at page 312 in Book 616 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, and that certain tract conveyed by the Cypress Abbey Company to the Doxsee Company by deed dated April 4, 1939 and recorded April 5, 1939 at page 290 in Book 833 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said boundary southwesterly 14.12 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the above mentioned southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing south  $38^{\circ} 27' 00''$  east 280.98 feet, south  $33^{\circ} 16' 30''$  east 44.22 feet, south  $38^{\circ} 27' 00''$  east 521.80 feet, and south  $47^{\circ} 24' 00''$  east 4.78 feet to a point in the southeasterly boundary of the above mentioned tract conveyed by the Cypress Abbey Company to the Doxsee Company, said boundary also being the northwesterly boundary of Villa Avenue as said avenue is delineated on that certain map entitled "Map of the Property of the Villa Homestead Association," recorded January 27, 1874 at page 52 in Volume C of Maps, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said southeasterly boundary southwesterly 17.26 feet from the intersection of said southeasterly boundary with the above mentioned southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing south  $47^{\circ} 24' 00''$  east 44.34 feet to a point hereinafter referred to as point "A";

The northwesterly end of said strip being the southwesterly boundary of Parcel 2 as described above, and the southeasterly end of said strip being a line parallel to and 44.00 feet distant southeasterly from the above described southeasterly boundary of the tract conveyed by the Cypress Abbey Company to the Doxsee Company; Containing 2.007 acres.

Parcel 4. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 20 feet in width lying 10 feet measured at right angles on each side of the following described line and extensions thereto;

Commencing at point "A" as described in Parcel 3 above, and running thence south  $47^{\circ} 24' 00''$  east 2.39 feet to a point 10.00 feet distant southwesterly measured at right angles from the above mentioned southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from said southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 south  $38^{\circ} 27' 00''$  east 477.68 feet; thence continuing parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 10,072 feet a distance of 95.00 feet to a point hereinafter referred to as point "B";

Excepting therefrom that portion contained in that certain 0.071 acre tract described as Parcel "A" herein;

The northwesterly end of said strip being the southeasterly end of above described Parcel 3, and the southeasterly end being a line perpendicular to the tangent to the above described curve at point "B"; Containing 0.264 of an acre.

Parcel 5. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of

pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 30 feet in width lying 10 feet northeasterly and 40 feet southwesterly measured at right angles from the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at point "B" as described in Parcel 4 above and running parallel to and 10.00 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 10,072 feet a distance of 224.24 feet to a point in the northwesterly boundary of that particular portion of Lot 19, as delineated on the above mentioned map entitled "Map of the Property of the Villa Homestead Association," described in Judgment of Condemnation, Town of Lawndale versus Burns dated July 11, 1929 and recorded July 11, 1929 at page 108 in Book 428 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant southwesterly along said boundary 10.01 feet from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, said point hereinafter referred to as point "C";

The northwesterly end of said strip being the southeasterly end of the above described Parcel 4 and the southwesterly extension thereof, and the southeasterly end of said strip being the above mentioned northwesterly boundary of the above mentioned portion of Lot 19, Villa Homestead Association; Containing 0.258 of an acre.

Parcel 6. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 20 feet in width lying 10 feet measured at right angles on each side of the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at point "C," as described in Parcel 5 above, and running parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 10,072 feet a distance of 559.71 feet; thence continuing parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, south 43° 27' 00" east 472.51 feet to a point in the northwesterly boundary of Collins Avenue, as said avenue is delineated on the above mentioned map entitled "Map of the Lands of the Villa Homestead Association," said point being distant along said boundary southwesterly 10.01 feet from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, said point hereinafter referred to as point "D";

The northwesterly end of said strip being the southeasterly end of the above described Parcel 5, and the southeasterly end being the northwesterly boundary of above mentioned Collins Avenue; Containing 0.474 of an acre.

Parcel 7. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 50 feet in width lying 10 feet northeasterly and 40 feet southwesterly measured at right angles from the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at a point in the above mentioned northwesterly boundary of Collins Avenue, said point being point "D" as described in Parcel 6 above, and running thence parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 south  $43^{\circ} 27' 00''$  east 56.15 feet; thence south  $83^{\circ} 27' 00''$  east 11.31 feet to a point 10 feet distant southwesterly measured at right angles from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence running parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 south  $43^{\circ} 27' 00''$  east 576.14 feet; thence continuing parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 on the arc of a curve to the right of radius 936 feet a distance of 339.02 feet; thence south  $45^{\circ} 50' 05''$  west 35.19 feet, and south  $40^{\circ} 22' 10''$  east 90.66 feet to a point 10 feet distant southwesterly measured at right angles from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence parallel to and 10 feet distant southwesterly from the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101 on the arc of a curve to the right of radius 936 feet a distance of 170.03 feet; thence continuing parallel to and 10 feet distant westerly from the westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, south  $6^{\circ} 13' 00''$  east 91.65 feet; thence north  $83^{\circ} 47' 30''$  east 118.00 feet to a point in the easterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, said point being distant northerly along said boundary 55.75 feet from a monument at highway station 160+79.11 marking the beginning of a curve to the left; thence continuing north  $83^{\circ} 47' 30''$  east 10.00 feet; thence south  $35^{\circ} 28' 30''$  east 595.35 feet to a point in the common boundary between that certain 2.45 acre tract conveyed by the Estate of Walter Lewis Arata to Julia Arata and Bertha Arata by deed dated October 25, 1944 and recorded December 2, 1944 at page 399 in Book 1147 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, and that certain 1.338 acre tract conveyed by Ethel Vaccari to Elmer J. Vaccari by deed dated September 8, 1941 and recorded September 10, 1941 at page 17 in Book 986 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said boundary northeasterly 170.80 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the northeasterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing south  $35^{\circ} 28' 30''$  east 21.30 feet and north  $54^{\circ} 31' 30''$  east 86.74 feet to a point;

The northerly end of said strip being the northwesterly boundary of above mentioned Collins Avenue and the southerly end being a line perpendicular to the above described line at the last mentioned point; Containing 2.503 acres.

Parcel 8. A right of way easement to lay, relay, construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, patrol, repair, renew, replace, remove, increase and/or change the number and/or size of pipes, pipe lines, conduits, and/or connections, appurtenances and appliances for the conveyance, distribution, supply and/or sale of water, in, on, along and across a strip of land 50 feet in width lying 10 feet southwesterly and 40 feet northeasterly measured at right angles from the following described line and extensions thereto:

Commencing at a point in the southeasterly boundary of Parcel 7 as described above, said point being distant southwesterly along said boundary 40 feet from the end of said Parcel 7; running thence south  $35^{\circ} 28' 30''$  east 145.23 feet to a point in the common boundary between that certain 0.274 acre tract conveyed by P. D. Mullaney to Edmund J. Mullaney by deed dated March 8, 1927 and recorded October 16, 1933 at page 185 in Book 604 of Official Records, San

Mateo County Records, and that certain 2.01 acre tract conveyed by Sara A. Whelan to Frank Malloy by deed dated June 2, 1933 and recorded June 8, 1933 at page 78 in Book 597 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said common boundary northeasterly 189.92 feet from the intersection of said common boundary with the northeasterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing south  $35^{\circ} 28' 30''$  east 1105.82 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 10.070 feet a distance of 464.28 feet; thence south  $38^{\circ} 07' 00''$  east 1390.98 feet, and south  $15^{\circ} 14' 00''$  west 10.79 feet to a point in the northeasterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101, said point being distant along said boundary southeasterly 112.57 feet from a monument at Highway Station 203+10.10 marking the beginning of a curve to the right; thence continuing south  $15^{\circ} 14' 00''$  west 115.12 feet; thence south  $70^{\circ} 08' 00''$  east 133.87 feet and south  $38^{\circ} 07' 00''$  east 587.14 feet; thence south  $6^{\circ} 53' 00''$  west 141.44 feet and south  $38^{\circ} 07' 00''$  east 722.17 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 3200.00 feet a distance of 138.52 feet to a point in the common boundary between that certain 47.28 acre tract conveyed by Miller and Lux Incorporated to J. F. Barrett and James L. Casey by deed dated April 15, 1930 and recorded May 15, 1930 at page 98 in Book 480 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, and that certain tract conveyed by the California Pacific Title Insurance Company to Buri-Buri Homes Incorporated by deed dated December 20, 1945 and recorded December 21, 1945 at page 173 in Book 1229 of Official Records, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said common boundary southwesterly 233.14 feet from the intersection of said common boundary with the southwesterly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing on the arc of a curve to the left of radius 3200.00 feet a distance of 875.17 feet; thence south  $56^{\circ} 16' 00''$  east 132.00 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right of radius 335.00 feet a distance of 141.30 feet; thence south  $32^{\circ} 06' 00''$  east 110.50 feet and north  $81^{\circ} 25' 30''$  east 304.21 feet; thence south  $56^{\circ} 16' 00''$  east 92.60 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right of radius 2930.00 feet a distance of 402.29 feet; thence south  $48^{\circ} 24' 00''$  east 744.65 feet; thence on the arc of a curve to the right of radius 2930.00 feet a distance of 449.93 feet to a point in the common boundary between the above mentioned tract conveyed by the California Pacific Title Insurance Company to Buri-Buri Homes Incorporated and the lands of the California Pacific Title Insurance Company, said point being distant along said common boundary south  $81^{\circ} 48' 35''$  west 83.19 feet from the intersection of said boundary with the westerly boundary of State Highway U. S. 101; thence continuing on the arc of a curve to the right of radius 2930.00 feet a distance of 669.57 feet; thence south  $26^{\circ} 30' 30''$  east 273.12 feet to a point in the northerly boundary of that certain 0.08 acre tract conveyed by the Baden Company to the Spring Valley Water Company by deed dated May 23, 1907 and recorded August 27, 1907 at page 425 in Book 135 of Deeds, San Mateo County Records, said point being distant along said northerly boundary south  $62^{\circ} 45' 00''$  west 61.29 feet from the northeasterly corner of said 0.08 acre tract;

The northwesterly end of said strip being the southeasterly boundary of above described Parcel 7, and the southeasterly end being the northerly boundary of the above mentioned 0.08 acre tract; containing 10.571 acres.

The above described property is required by said City and County of San Francisco for a public use and purpose,

to-wit: For the construction, maintenance and use of a series of aqueduct pipe lines for the purpose of conveying water from its reservoirs in San Mateo County, California, to the City and County of San Francisco for the use of said City and County and its inhabitants. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said Parcel "A" and that a right of way easement for said purposes be taken to said Parcels 1 to 8 inclusive, subject to such reservations and conditions with respect to said Parcels 1 to 8 inclusive as may be necessary and proper to secure to the present owners of said property the privilege of crossing over the same and to construct and maintain over and across said Parcels 1 to 8 inclusive roads, streets, overhead power lines, telephone lines, telegraph lines, also sewers, water pipes, gas pipes, and other underground utilities; provided, however, that the present owners shall not use said Parcels 1 to 8 inclusive or permit the same to be used for any purpose or in any manner which will interfere with, damage, or endanger in any way any aqueduct, pipe lines or other structures of the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The City Attorney is further authorized to consent to the incorporation in any decree of condemnation which may be entered in said proceedings such stipulations or conditions for the protection of the rights of the present owners of said Parcels 1 to 8 inclusive to be condemned in the matter of crossing over the same and maintaining roads and other structures over and across the same and using such parts thereof as may be temporarily unoccupied by structures proposed to be constructed thereon by the City and County of San Francisco as the Court may find to be meet and proper in each case.

The cost of said property shall be paid from Water Extension Fund Appropriation No. 66.971.59 in an amount not to exceed \$125,000.00, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

Consent to Quitclaim of Oil Well From Seaboard Oil Company to Bishop Oil Company.

Proposal No. 6085, Resolution No. 5886 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 3259, Series of 1939, adopted by this Board on March 22, 1943, and approved by the Mayor on March 27, 1943, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor, entered into a certain oil and gas lease with Bishop Oil Company, a corporation, as Lessee, dated April 1, 1943, covering the top 1500 feet of Section 21 and the top 1500 feet of the Northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 28, T.28S., R.28E., M.D.B. & M., Kern County California; and

Whereas, the above mentioned lease was made subject to a certain oil and gas lease previously assigned to and now held by Seaboard Oil Company of Delaware, a corporation, as Lessee, as to all of said land except the top 1500 feet thereof; and

Whereas, by agreement dated August 13, 1946, the Seaboard Oil Company of Delaware quitclaimed all of its interest in a certain oil well on the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of said Section 28, (known as Fuhrman No. 1 Well) to the Bishop Oil Company, subject to approval by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is the intention of the Bishop Oil Company to perforate the casing now in said well and attempt to develop the same as a producer from the formation lying above the 1500 foot level; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor, does hereby consent to said agreement and quitclaim on the condition that said well shall be considered a part of said lease dated April 1, 1943.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Preparation and Printing of Arguments in Favor of Several Charter Amendments and Repealing a Series of Resolutions Heretofore Adopted Relating to Same Subject Matter.**

Proposal No. 6089, Resolution No. 5890 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Section 183 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize the preparation and printing of arguments favoring approval by the electorate of Charter Amendment No. 1, relating to Basis of Standardization of Compensation of Certain Employees; Charter Amendment No. 2, relating to Supervisors' Salaries; Charter Amendment No. 3, relating to Retirement of Miscellaneous Officers and Employees; Charter Amendment No. 4, relating to Fire and Police Salaries and Working Schedules; Charter Amendment No. 5, relating to Permits and Inspections; Charter Amendment No. 6, relating to Leaves of Absence; Charter Amendment No. 7, relating to Allowances to Dependents of Members of Fire and Police Departments killed in Line of Duty and relating to Salvage Corps Military Leave Provisions; Charter Amendment No. 8, relating to Officers Subject to Salary Standardization; Charter Amendment No. 9, relating to Number, Compensation and Meetings of Supervisors; Charter Amendment No. 11, relating to Budget Estimates and Adoption of the Budget and Appropriation Ordinance, Charter Amendment No. 14, relating to Public Works and Purchasing Contracts, and Charter Amendment No. 15, relating to Retirement of Elective Officers, on the ballot for the election of November 5, 1946, and the Clerk of the Board is hereby authorized to requisition the Purchaser of Supplies for the necessary printing; provided, however, that funds are available for such purpose; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and is hereby authorized and directed to include copies of the aforementioned arguments in the sample ballots to be mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on November 5, 1946; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolutions Nos. 5836, 5847, 5851, 5867 and 5870 are hereby rescinded.

## Amendment.

At the suggestion by the Chief Administrative Officer, and pursuant to motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, the foregoing proposal was amended to include the preparation and printing of argument in favor of Charter Amendment No. 14, relating to Public Works and Purchasing Contracts.

Thereupon, the proposal, as amended, and reading as above, was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

## Passed for Second Reading.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Leonard B. McRae and Legal Action on Said Claim for the sum of Three Hundred Twenty-five Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$325.80).**

Bill No. 4324, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Leonard B. McRae and legal action on said claim for the sum of three hundred twenty-five dollars and eighty cents (\$325.80).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended, and the Board of Fire Commissioners having approved, the settlement of the claim of Leonard B. McRae and legal action on said claim instituted by action No. 204218 in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, wherein said Leonard B. McRae is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco is defendant, for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiff as the result of an auto accident occurring on the 22d day of November, 1945, at the intersection of Geary Boulevard and 25th Avenue, San Francisco, California, by the payment to plaintiff by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of Three Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$325.80), and said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claim and action by said payment to said Leonard B. McRae, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of Three Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$325.80) in favor of Leonard B. McRae.

Recommended and approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form and payment recommended by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Water Department, by Changing Salary Schedule of General Manager and Chief Engineer from \$1,000 to \$1,250, Retroactive to July 1, 1946.**

Bill No. 4316, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882. (Series of 1939), Section 73 Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department, Executive, by amending the salary schedule of Class U44



General Manager and Chief Engineer from \$1,000 to \$1,250 retroactive to July 1, 1946.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 73 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT—EXECUTIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$185-230
2	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	240
3	1	U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer . . . . .	1,250*

\*Compensation schedule over rate fixed by Salary Standardization.

Ordinance by virtue of Superior Court judgment (Case No. 339,417).

Section 2. This ordinance is hereby made retroactive so as to become effective as of July 1, 1946, to provide salary in accordance with judgment rendered by Superior Court in case No. 339,417.

Approved as to funds available (appropriation 666.110.00) by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mancuso reported that the Finance Committee had recommended the foregoing item because it had been told it had no choice in the matter. The Committee recommendation did not necessarily mean that he, personally, was in favor of the matter.

After considerable discussion as to the right to waive the statute of limitations, Supervisor Colman stated that the majority of the Board did not want the City and County to evade paying her just debts by hiding behind the statute of limitations. The courts have decided that Mr. Eckart has a just claim against the City and County. That claim should be paid.

Supervisor MacPhee pointed out that the discussion was not to the question, which was the new compensation retroactive to July 1, 1946. However, he thought Supervisor Colman's point of view was wrong. He believed the legislative body should protect the taxpayers with every means at its disposal. The protection was in not waiving the statute of limitations.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Superior Court, by Deleting Class Number and by Adding Two Additional Senior Clerk-Stenographers.**

Bill No. 4336, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), \*Section 24 Superior Court, by deleting those class numbers presently included to designate employments in said section; and by increasing the number of employments under item 7 from 2 to 4 Senior Clerk-Stenographers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), \*Section 24 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**\*Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	18		Judges . . . . .	(c) \$583.33
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	(c) 700
3	3		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner . . . . .	(c) 350
5	1		Secretary-Attendant Grand Jury . . . . .	385-460
6	7		Court Interpreter (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
7	4		Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
8	4		Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
9	1		Senior Clerk-Typist . . . . .	230-290
9.1	1		Probate Investigator . . . . .	500
9.2	18		Court Reporter . . . . .	(c) 400
10			Court Reporter, Pro Tempore . (c) \$20.00 per day plus transcriptions when necessary.	

\*Included for convenience of Civil Service Commission and Controller for purpose of checking payrolls.

Not subject to classification by Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Creating San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund in Amount of \$2,500. Providing for Maintenance and Use Therefor; Repealing Legislation Establishing Revolving Funds in Amounts of \$200 and \$500 for Same Department.

Bill No. 4337, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Creating San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund; providing for manner of its maintenance and use; repealing Bill No. 162, Ordinance No. 15.051 and Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 1489.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund, in the amount of \$2,500, for the purpose of providing change funds and making expenditures which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn by the Controller upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. All expenditures from said San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be made in accordance with rules and regulations of the Public Utilities Commission and of the Controller.

Section 2. The San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be established as follows:

(a) Cash Change Funds, as may be authorized by the Public Utilities Commission, shall be established for the purpose of providing and making change in connection with the operations of the San Francisco Airport.

(b) Petty Cash Funds, as may be authorized by the Public Utilities Commission, shall be established for the purpose of making direct

petty cash payments of expenditures in accordance with procedure prescribed by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller.

(c) The balance of said San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be maintained in such bank or banks as may be designated by the Public Utilities Commission, and disbursement therefrom shall be made, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1 by checks signed by a representative or representatives designated by the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 3. The Manager of Utilities shall cause a full, true and correct account to be kept of all monies received for or disbursed from said revolving fund, and shall, at least once during each month after the establishment of said fund, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with proper vouchers supporting said disbursements and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, the Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of said revolving fund for the aggregate amount of said disbursements.

Section 4. Expenditures from the San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be made only for such items as there are funds legally available for reimbursement to said Revolving Fund.

Section 5. Bill No. 162, Ordinance No. 15.051 and Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 1489, establishing the San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund in the amounts of \$200.00 and \$500.00, respectively, are hereby repealed.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Manager of Utilities.

Certified as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 to provide funds for a revolving fund for the San Francisco Airport.

Bill No. 4344, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 to provide funds for a revolving fund for the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated from the funds heretofore provided by Bill No. 162, Ordinance No. 15.051, and Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 1489, and the sum of \$2,000 from Appropriation No. 664.901.00, to provide funds for a revolving fund for the San Francisco Airport.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available (Subject to approval of Bill No. 4337, Ordinance No. . . .) by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 701.**

Bill No. 4338, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 701.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Post Street, distant thereon 191 feet and 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Fillmore Street; running thence easterly along said line of Post Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 309.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Creating a Fund to Be Known as Social Service Trust Fund, and Authorizing Withdrawal of Balance in Crocker First National Bank and Prescribing Procedure for the Operation Thereof.**

Bill No. 4339, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Creating a fund to be known as Social Service Trust Fund, and authorizing withdrawal of balance in Crocker First National Bank and prescribing procedure for the operation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. 1. There is hereby created a Social Service Trust Fund to consist of the sum of \$110.26 now on deposit with Crocker First National Bank to the credit of San Francisco Hospital Social Service Fund and all other moneys that may be received hereafter designated for the same purpose.

2. That Dr. T. E. Albers, Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital be hereby authorized to withdraw the balance of \$110.26 deposited in Crocker First National Bank and deposit same in the Treasury to the credit of Social Service Trust Fund.

3. This fund shall be used exclusively for such things as may be for the general welfare of patients of San Francisco Hospital which are not provided for them by other appropriations.

4. The procedure of administering San Francisco Hospital Social Service Fund shall conform to provisions of the Charter, the annual appropriation ordinances and the procurement procedure prescribed jointly by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller.

5. All expenditures from such fund shall be made upon the recommendation of the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital, subject to the approval of the Director of Public Health and Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Controller.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$3,505 From General Fund Compensation Reserve for Compensation of Position, Supervisors of Payrolls at \$360-430 Per Month Which Position Is Created; Abolishing Position Chief Clerk at Same Salary Range, in Office of Controller.**

Bill No. 4340, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,505 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B56 Supervisor of Payrolls at \$360-430 per month in the Controller's Office, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B68 Chief Clerk at \$360-430 per month in the same office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,505 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 660.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B56 Supervisor of Payrolls at \$360-430 per month in the Controller's Office, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B68 Chief Clerk at \$360-430 per month in the Controller's Office is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Controller by Deleting Position of Chief Clerk and Adding Position, Supervisor of Payrolls, at Same Salary Schedule.**

Bill No. 4315, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 67.1 Controller (continued) by deleting item 12 1 B68 Chief Clerk at \$360-430; and by adding new item 12 1 B56 Supervisor of Payrolls at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 67.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67.1. **CONTROLLER** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
12	1	B56	Supervisor of Payrolls	\$360-430
12.1	1	B210	Office Assistant	140-175
13	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) at rate of	140-175
14	9	B222	General Clerk	185-230
14.1	1	B222	General Clerk	(k 229
15	3	B228	Senior Clerk	230-290
16	4	B234	Head Clerk	275-345

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$2,159.58 From Surplus in Unappropriated Balance of 1931 Parks and Squares Bond Fund for Rehabilitation of Golden Gate Park Panhandle Driveway.

Bill No. 4342, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,159.58 from the surplus existing in the unappropriated balance of the 1931 Parks and Squares Bond Fund to provide funds for the rehabilitation of the Golden Gate Park Panhandle Driveway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,159.58 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the 1931 Parks and Squares Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 88.000.01, to provide funds for the rehabilitation of the Golden Gate Park Panhandle driveway.

Recommended by the Superintendent, Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Board of Park Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

Appropriating \$13,209 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Compensation of 7 Fingerprint Technicians at \$222(s) Per Month in Police Department; Abolishing Positions of 7 Policemen at \$225 Per Month.

Bill No. 4343, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$13,209 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technicians at \$222 (s) per month in the Police Department, which positions are created; abolishing the positions of 7 Q2 policemen at \$225 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$13,209 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660,199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 609,110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technicians at \$222 (s) per month in the Police Department, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. The positions of 7 Q2 Policemen at \$225 per month in the Police Department are hereby abolished.

- Recommended by the Chief of Police.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Police Commission.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, by Eliminating 7 Policemen at 225-250 and by Adding 7 Fingerprint Technicians at \$185-230.

Bill No. 4284, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.11 Police Department (continued) Bureau of Inspectors, by decreasing the number of employments under item 23 from 10 to 3 Q2 policeman at \$225-250; and by adding item 28.1 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technician at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.11 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 11.1 **POLICE DEPARTMENT** (Continued)  
**BUREAU OF INSPECTORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
18.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$185-230
19	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
20	1		Captain of Inspectors . . . . .	(b) 550
21	95		Inspectors . . . . .	(b) 300
22	21	Q2	Policeman (Assistant Inspector),	
			1st year . . . . .	(b) 225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b) 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b) 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b) 250
23	3	Q2	Policeman, 1st year . . . . .	(b) 225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b) 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b) 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b) 250
24	3	Q20	Policewoman, 1st year . . . . .	(b) 225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b) 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b) 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b) 250
25	2	Q50	Sergeant (Assistant Inspector) . . . . .	(b) 290
26	10	Q60	Lieutenant . . . . .	(b) 325
27	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department . . . . .	(b) 275
28	1	Q63	Criminologist . . . . .	(b) 415
28.1	7	Q65	Fingerprint Technician . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Companion Bill to the Two Foregoing Items. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, to Permit 7 Fingerprint Technicians to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4314, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.12 Police Department by adding 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technicians to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours a week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.12 is hereby amended as follows:

**Section 1.12. POLICE**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
B6 Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	8
B310 Tabulating Machine Operator . . . . .	4	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	3	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	3	8
B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	2	4
B454 Telephone Operator . . . . .	14	8
B512 General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	7	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	9	8
Q25 Inspector of Motor Vehicles . . . . .	1	8
Q28 Range Master . . . . .	1	8
Q65 <b>Fingerprint Technician . . . . .</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$1,572.50 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 Per Month, Public Welfare Department, Which Position Is Created; Abolishing Position General Clerk-Stenographer at Same Salary in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4345, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,572.50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.-199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,572.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appro-



priation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 in the Public Welfare Department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission, Resolution of August 29, 1946.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance to Provide for 1 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 and Eliminating Position of 1 General Clerk-Stenographer at Same Salary.**

Bill No. 4283, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 66 Public Welfare Department, by decreasing the number of employments under item 7 from 38 to 37 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 29 to 30 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 66 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$210-260
2	1	B25	Business Manager . . . . .	385-460
3	3	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
4	5	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
4.1	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	(k) 230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
6	1	B239	Statistician . . . . .	250-315
7	37	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> . . . . .	185-230
9	1	B419.1	Secretary, Public Welfare Commission . . . . .	250-315
10	3	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
11	1	B510	Braille Typist . . . . .	185-230
12	30	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> . . . . .	185-230
12.1	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	(k) 230
13	2	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist . . . . .	230-290
14	4	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
15	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	195-230
16	2	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of . . . . .	460
18	85	T157	Social Service Worker . . . . .	200-245
19	13	T160	Senior Social Service Worker . . . . .	250-315
20	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare . . . . .	550-660
21	1	T165	District Supervisor . . . . .	360-430

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$2,000 From Surplus in Recreation Fund Compensation Reserve for Payment of overtime to Monthly Employees of Recreation Department.**

Bill No. 4346, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 613.199.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Recreation Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 613.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.111.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Recreation Department.

Recommended by the Superintendent, Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Grayce Cocklin and Legal Action on Said Claim for the Sum of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars.**

Bill No. 4317, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939) as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Grayce Cocklin and Legal Action on said claim for the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended, and the Department of Public Works having approved, the settlement of the claim of Grayce Cocklin and legal action on said claim instituted by Action No. 342421 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein said Grayce Cocklin is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco is defendant, for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiff as the result of a fall occurring on the fourth day of August, 1944, at or near the intersection of Montgomery and Pine Streets, San Francisco, California, by the payment to said plaintiff by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars, and said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claim and action by said payment to said Grayce Cocklin, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars in favor of Grayce Cocklin.

Recommended and approved by the Department of Public Works.

Approved as to form and payment recommended by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

## Discussion.

After explanation by the Chief Administrative Officer of the foregoing matter, Supervisor Mancuso stated that it appeared to him that there was not a question of clear responsibility. That was the reason for the recommendation for a compromise.

Supervisor Colman said that the way juries have been acting recently, it seemed to him that \$500 was a very reasonable compromise.

Supervisor MacPhee requested that the matter be re-referred to committee.

Supervisor Lewis felt it to be a mistake to handle such matters in the way that the Board had been handling them. He believed money would be saved to the taxpayers, if in such cases the recommendation of the City Attorney were accepted. The matter should be settled. He was opposed to postponement.

Mr. Leipsic, of the City Attorney's office, addressed the Board briefly, reporting the facts in the case and stating why the proposed compromise was recommended.

Thereupon, the roll was called, and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

## Passed for Second Reading.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

**Appropriating \$250,000 From Surplus in Appropriation, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund for Surveys, Preliminary Engineering and Preparation of Plans and Specifications in Connection With Construction of a Third Bay Division Pipeline From Irvington Portal To Pulgas Tunnel.**

Bill No. 4325, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$250,000 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions & Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to provide funds in the Water Department for surveys, preliminary engineering and preparation of plans and specifications in connection with the construction of a third bay division pipe line from Irvington Portal to Pulgas Tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$250,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.957.00, to provide funds in the Water Department for surveys, preliminary engineering and preparation of plans and specifications in connection with the construction of a third bay division pipe line from Irvington Portal to Pulgas Tunnel.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

## Discussion.

Mr. Nelson Eckart, at the request of Supervisor Mancuso, explained the urgency of the situation, and the reason for the requested appro-

priation of \$250,000. San Francisco is obligated to furnish water not only to San Francisco itself, but to neighboring communities. 115,000,000 gallons of water per day is now being used; that is more than our present capacity to bring water into the peninsula.

After further brief remarks, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Sullivan.

#### Memorializing Navy Department to Consider Plan to Permit Motorists to Use a Portion of Yerba Buena Island as an Observation Point.

Proposal No. 6032, Resolution No. 5875 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it would be desirable for visitors to San Francisco, as well as residents of both sides of San Francisco Bay, to be able to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island for an observation post for the purpose of viewing the ships entering and leaving the harbor, the San Francisco skyline and the beauty of San Francisco Bay, both by day and night; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the United States Navy Department to give serious consideration to a plan that will permit motorists to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island, in San Francisco Bay, as an observation point; and, be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to James Forrestal, Secretary of Navy, Senator William F. Knowland, Senator Sheridan Downey, Representative Richard J. Welch and Representative Franck R. Havenner.

*September 23, 1946, Consideration continued until September 30, 1946.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:

#### Regulating and Licensing the Taking of Photographs of Persons in Public Places.

Bill No. 3730, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, providing procedure for regulating and licensing the taking of photographs of persons in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, and providing license taxes therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, to read as follows:

**SEC. 124. Photographers—Public Places. (a) Definitions.**

As used in this section, the following words shall have the following respective meanings:

“Photographer” shall mean every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of taking photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

“Solicitor” shall mean every person acting as servant, agent or employee of a photographer, as defined herein, who solicits the taking or actually takes photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

The aforesaid definitions shall not include a “Street Photographer” as defined in Section 130 of this Article, nor photographers employed by newspapers or other similar publications while engaged in the scope of their employment.

(b) **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in or carry on, or to maintain or conduct, or cause to be engaged in, carried on, maintained or conducted, the business of photographer or to act as a solicitor without having first secured a permit so to do from the Chief of Police and a license therefor from the Tax Collector.

(c) **Application for Permit.** Every person requiring a permit as provided for in this section shall make written application to the Chief of Police for such a permit on forms provided by the Police Department. Said application shall be accompanied by fingerprints of the applicant, shall contain all information deemed relevant by the Chief of Police, and for a permit as photographer, shall contain in addition thereto the name, business or occupation, and resident address of each person financially interested in such business. For a permit as solicitor, such application shall be first authorized in writing by the photographer engaging, employing or hiring such person.

(d) **Investigation—Issuance or Denial of Permit—Expiration Date.** Upon receipt of said application the Chief of Police shall conduct such investigation as he may deem proper as to the character and morals of the applicant and the character of the business to be conducted. The Chief of Police may deny said application when, in his opinion, good cause exists therefor. If the Chief of Police approves the granting of said permit, he may issue a permit to said applicant, which permit shall be serially numbered and shall expire on the last day of the calendar quarter year in which issued.

(e) **Permit Forwarded to Tax Collector.** When any permit is issued under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall cause said permit to be forwarded to the office of the Tax Collector for delivery to the permittee upon the payment of the license tax hereinafter set forth.

(f) **License Tax.** Every holder of a permit as herein provided shall pay to the Tax Collector a license tax as follows:

Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars per quarter for each Photographer license, and

Five (\$5.00) Dollars per quarter for each Solicitor license employed.

License taxes paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

The licensee shall issue to each solicitor employed a badge of such wording, design and material as the Chief of Police shall authorize. Said badge shall be worn on the person by the solicitor for whom it was issued, in a conspicuous place for the public to see, at all times when said person is engaged in taking such photographs or soliciting the taking of same. It shall be unlawful for any other person to wear or otherwise display said badge.

(g) **Renewal of Permit.** Renewal of the permit shall be in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 23 of Article 1, Part III, of this Code.

(h) **Revocation of Permit—Rules and Regulations.** The Chief of Police may revoke any permit issued hereunder when the permittee is violating, or attempting to violate, any law of the State of California, any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, any provision of this section, or the rules and regulations issued by the Chief of Police governing the conduct or operations of the permittee. Written notice of such revocation shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations, consistent with the provisions of this section, as he may deem necessary to govern the conduct or operations of photographers or solicitors, as herein defined.

(i) **Permit and License Not Exemption From Any Other Provisions of Code.** The issuance of a permit or license under the provisions of this section shall not exempt the permittee or licensee from any other provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code or any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco requiring a permit or license or otherwise regulating the taking, or soliciting the taking, of photographs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*September 23, 1946, consideration continued until September 30, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, *consideration was continued until October 14, 1946.*

Adopted.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal From the Decision of the City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on the Northwesterly Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street From Second Residential District to Commercial District.**

Proposal No. 6090, Resolution No. 5891 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3129, dated August 8, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the northwesterly corner of 19th Avenue and Santiago Street from

Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby set for two o'clock, P.M., Monday, October 7, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**Appropriating \$750, Park Department, for Monument to  
U.S.S. San Francisco.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 4349, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to provide funds in the Park Department for the purpose of preserving a section of the bridge of the Cruiser "San Francisco" and mounting it on an appropriate base to be placed in a public building as a monument.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to the credit of Park Department Appropriation No. 612.500.99, to provide funds for the purpose of preserving a section of the bridge of the Cruiser "San Francisco" and mounting it on an appropriate base attractively designed, thereby giving this original section of the bridge permanent monument status. This monument will be placed in a public building for the benefit of the people.

Recommended by the Park Superintendent.

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee, not appearing on the Calendar, was taken up:

**Endorsing Application of Pony Express Stages for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Bus Transportation Service Between Los Angeles and San Francisco, and Recommending That Certificate Be Granted by State Railroad Commission.**

Proposal No. 5970, Resolution No. 5872 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is keenly interested in the improvement of transportation

facilities between the industrial and business centers of San Francisco and Los Angeles; and

Whereas, there is now pending before the Railroad Commission of the State of California application No. 26888 of Pony Express Stages for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate a motor bus transportation service on U. S. Coast Highway No. 101 between San Francisco and Los Angeles in competition with an existing rail and bus line; and

Whereas, it is deemed to be in the interests of the City and County of San Francisco to foster and support fair and reasonable competition between transportation companies wherever they may operate; and

Whereas, it has been represented to this Board of Supervisors that applicant Pony Express Stages offers a moderately reduced fare for the transportation of passengers between Los Angeles and San Francisco under the existing fare, and in addition proposes to establish night coach sleeper bus service three times nightly between these two large business centers via the Coast Route U. S. Highway 101; and

Whereas, it has been further represented that the service of Pony Express Stages will augment and supplement the existing services on the Coast Route and thus promote and stimulate fair and reasonable competition both in services and in passenger fares; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorses the application of Pony Express Stages, No. 26888, and recommends that a certificate of public convenience and necessity be granted said applicant by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, to operate a bus line between Los Angeles and San Francisco on U. S. Highway No. 101.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

*Adopted.*

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Requesting Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Observance of American Education Week.**

Proposal No. 6100, Resolution No. 5893 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for the Twenty-sixth Annual Observance of American Education Week, November 10 to 16, inclusive, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Welcoming the American Legion to San Francisco.**

Supervisor Christopher, on behalf of Supervisor John J. Sullivan, presented:

Proposal No. 6099, Resolution No. 5892 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is currently playing host to the 28th Annual Convention of the American Legion; and

Whereas, it is estimated that close to 150,000 delegates, non-delegates and members of Legionnaire families are here for the great conclave; and



Whereas, although much time will be devoted to music, gaiety and parades, there still remains much serious business, dealing with such pressing issues as national defense, child welfare, foreign relations, labor relations, rehabilitation and other problems of similar magnitude; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, duly cognizant of the grave importance that must be attached to the questions before the Convention, joins with San Francisco's citizenry, in extending the hand of welcome to the American Legion and expressing the sincere hope that its stay in our city will be a happy and memorable one.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Inviting American Toll Bridge Association to Hold 1947 Meeting in San Francisco.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6101, Resolution No. 5894 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the American Toll Bridge Association will hold its first postwar meeting in Cincinnati, Ohio, on October 7 and 8, 1946; and

Whereas, this association is composed of eminent bridge engineers and administrators of toll bridges, who are prominently known throughout the United States; and

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, in which the City and County of San Francisco is a participant, is a member of the association and will be represented at the coming meeting by its general manager, Mr. James E. Ricketts; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco and its citizens would welcome the opportunity to be host to the American Toll Bridge Association at its 1947 session, and extend to its members the hospitality of this City by the Golden Gate with its two world famous bridges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco extend a cordial invitation to the members of the American Toll Bridge Association to hold its 1947 meeting in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be appropriately prepared and transmitted to the president of the American Toll Bridge Association at its 1946 meeting in Cincinnati with the greeting and sincere good wishes to the membership for a very successful meeting in Cincinnati.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Acclaiming Action of Ronald Smith in Leading to Apprehension of Alleged Murderers.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6102, Resolution No. 5895 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on September 3, 1946, Ronald Smith, a 14-year-old school boy, after hearing shots, saw two men, with guns in their hands, run from a used car lot on Market Street; and

Whereas, without thought of personal safety, Ronald Smith chased these men through various side streets and alleys until he saw them enter an automobile; and

Whereas, with a keen presence of mind Ronald Smith took down the license number of the automobile and transmitted the information to the Police Department, which information resulted in the capture of the persons involved in the shooting; and

Whereas, this brave and courageous act on the part of Ronald Smith is a laudable one and worthy of public approbation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the People of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby publicly acclaim the action of Ronald Smith which led to the capture of the persons involved in the shooting of Joseph Klein; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to send a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to Ronald Smith.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

#### Declaring Official Policy With Regard to Market Street.

Supervisors MacPhee and Mead presented:

Proposal No. 6103, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, no official plan for the disposition of the intolerable four-car track system on Market Street has been adopted or approved by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors or the Mayor of San Francisco; and

Whereas, appropriation of funds for temporary or permanent improvements should be based on an approved and official over-all plan to insure maximum protection of public funds and full understanding of public policy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does by the passage of this resolution, and the Mayor does by his approval hereto declare that the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco relating to Market Street shall be as follows:

1. Immediate elimination of the two outer tracks on Market Street, to be replaced by electric buses.

2. Continuation of the two center tracks for street car service pending a study of the possibility of the complete elimination of all car tracks on Market Street.

3. The City Planning Commission shall commence at once a comprehensive study in cooperation with other city departments of the feasibility of installing a system of underpasses under Market Street as well as all other plans to alleviate congestion and improve mass transit service.

4. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors shall approve an appropriation in a reasonable amount to effectuate such a study by the City Planning Commission.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Requesting the Library Commission to Assemble Bibliography Relating to the Cruiser "U.S.S. San Francisco."

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6104, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, in the course of the war against Japan recently concluded there was fought on November 12 to 15, 1942, the famous and now historic Battle of the Solomons, in which the U.S.S. San Francisco participated with honor and distinction; and

Whereas, the heroic record of the U.S.S. San Francisco in the said battle has brought just and enduring fame to its daring and courageous Commander, Rear Admiral Daniel Judson Callaghan, and also to its gallant and equally courageous crew; and

Whereas, this historic event has made its contribution toward establishing the name of San Francisco as a symbol of and an inspiration for courage, determination, fortitude, and success in a struggle against great odds and almost insurmountable handicaps; and

Whereas, it is fitting that the people of the City and County of San Francisco should undertake a worthy program to preserve the glorious memory of the U.S.S. San Francisco, and the events in which she participated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in addition to such other fitting memorial as may be determined upon by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, the Library Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be requested to assemble at the main library in the Civic Center as complete a bibliography as may be possible to obtain, from official government sources, the public prints and from private persons, including members of the crew, and the officers of the U.S.S. San Francisco, with particular emphasis upon its participation in the Battle of the Solomons, and including biographies of the officers and crew members, and such additional personal letters and narratives from members of the crew, the officers, and other reliable sources as may enable future historians and research students to assemble in a comprehensive and dependable manner all of the facts and incidents relating to the historic event aforementioned.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### **Accepting Gift of Portions of Navigating Bridge of the "U.S.S. San Francisco" for Preservation as a Permanent Monument.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Proposal No. 6105, Resolution No. 5896 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 3110 (Series of 1939), his Honor, the Mayor, was requested to appoint a citizens' committee to act in conjunction with the Art Commission for the purpose of arranging the construction of a permanent monument commemorating the heroic performance of the cruiser "U.S.S. San Francisco" and her gallant crew in the Battle of the Solomons during the early stages of World War II; and

Whereas, the United States Navy Department has offered to the City and County of San Francisco a portion of the outboard sections of the navigating bridge of the "U.S.S. San Francisco" for utilization in the construction of said monument; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby officially accept for and on behalf of the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, or such other department of said City and County as may be subsequently designated by this Board, said sections of the navigating bridge of the "U.S.S. San Francisco" for preservation and incorporation in a permanent monument which will be erected in a public place in said City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Honorable James Forrestal, Secretary of the Navy, as an expression of the gratitude felt by the people of the City and County of San Francisco for said gift.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Hearing Re Recent Excessive Damage Awards in Personal Injury  
Actions.**

Supervisor Christopher moved that Mr. Elmer Delaney, attorney, be invited to appear before the Board of Supervisors to tell the members how he could convince a jury to award damages of \$105,000 in a personal injury action, and further, to advise of the factors underlying the three recent major judgments rendered against the City and County in the aggregate amount of \$300,000 in personal injury actions. Amendment suggested by Supervisor Lewis, and accepted by Supervisor Christopher, to include the names of attorneys Cyril Appel and Melvin Belli.

Motion referred to Judiciary Committee. Clerk to notify all members of Board when hearing is to be held.

Supervisor Mancuso suggested that the Manager of Utilities and the City Attorney be consulted before the requested presentation is made.

**Request for Opinion From City Attorney as to Salaries of Personnel  
of Fire Prevention Bureau.**

Supervisor Mancuso requested the Clerk to obtain an opinion from the City Attorney as to whether the men connected with the Fire Prevention Bureau could be classified as Inspectors, and whether their salaries can be set up by salary standardization.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 4:55 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 12, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 7, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 7, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor MacPhee excused from attendance.

Supervisor Gallagher was excused from attendance at 6:10 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 26, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications as follows were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From San Francisco Veterans Housing Committee, requesting assistance in program for provision of housing facilities for veterans.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From S. J. McAtee, requesting Board to postpone consideration of proposed cutting of sidewalk widths on Post Street.

*Matter ordered placed on Board Calendar for October 21 and removed from Calendar for October 14; Clerk to so notify interested parties.*

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, moved that the request by Mr. McAtee be granted that the matter of Post Street widening, heretofore set as a special order of business for Monday, October 14, 1946, be postponed for one week and be made a special order of business for Monday, October 21, 1946, at 3:00 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—7.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan—3.

From George Skaller, thanking Board for its resolution of condolence on the occasion of his wife's death.

*Ordered acknowledged and filed.*

From State Department of Veterans Affairs, requesting reports concerning veterans in public institutions.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the San Francisco Bay Area Council, Inc., urging development of county recreational plans so as to qualify for State assistance in development of waterfront areas.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Outer Richmond District Association, urging support of program for restoration of Sutro Heights Park.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Southwest Airways Company, transmitting notice of intention to serve regularly San Francisco, through Mills Field.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From Jack C. Holmes, urging removal of auxiliary fire house from playground at Eighteenth and Nineteenth Avenues between California and Clement Streets.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

From Dr. J. Duvaras, D. C., suggesting passage of an ordinance to prevent smoking in public conveyances and elevators and at lunch counters.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the County Welfare Directors Association, inviting participation in annual conference, San Diego, October 23-25.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the Chief Administrative Officer, advising of plans for financing operations and maintenance of Farmers' Market.

*Referred to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.*

From the State Railroad Commission, acknowledging receipt of resolution supporting petition of American Buslines.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Controller, transmitting summary of issuance and disposition of traffic citations, August, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Council for Civic Unity of San Francisco, requesting adoption of resolution officially designating October 20th as "Fair Play Day."

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Manufacturers and Wholesalers Association of San Francisco, thanking Board for cooperation shown the Fashion Press of America who were recently guests of the association in San Francisco.

*Ordered filed.*

From Dr. Frank C. Eastman, M.D., urging disapproval of City Planning Commission's action denying permission to rezone at Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the Misses Eleanor and Evangeline Gray, urging Board to sustain City Planning Commission's action in refusing permission to rezone property on Union Street between Broderick and Baker Streets.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the City Attorney, transmitting opinion on the definition of the term "laundry" as used in zoning ordinances.

*Ordered considered with matter on Calendar.*

From the Director of Public Welfare, reporting on caseloads and expenditures for non-resident cases during August, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*



**Presentation of Guests.**

During the day's proceedings, Supervisor McMurray presented to the members of the Board, Senator James Boyle, who had served in the State Senate for a period of six years.

The President presented to the Board State Assemblyman Wollenberg and U. S. Senator Knowland. Senator Knowland addressed the Board briefly, expressing his pleasure at being able to be home and being able to visit the Board of Supervisors. He stated that he would be glad to do anything possible to help in the solution of San Francisco's problems.

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.****Commission Overruled.****Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission in denying application to rezone property located on the northwesterly corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

**Discussion.**

Mr. Anthony J. Wiechers, attorney, appeared before the Board on behalf of appellant, Dr. Eastman, presented written argument in support of the application of his client to rezone property at the northwesterly corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street.

Mr. Elwood Gill, representing the City Planning Commission, stated to the Board the reasons prompting the decision of the City Planning Commission to deny the application to rezone.

Colonel Skeggs, of the State Department of Public Works, opposed the rezoning. The route should be reserved for through traffic and to accommodate traffic in that part of the city, and should be protected for such purpose.

Mr. Ralph Wadsworth, City Engineer, also opposed rezoning.

Supervisor Christopher favored overruling the City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Colman believed that the City Planning Commission had well demonstrated its point and its decision should be upheld by the Board of Supervisors. He would vote to sustain the City Planning Commission.

**City Planning Commission Overruled.**

Thereupon, the Clerk presented the following:

**Disapproving Action of the City Planning Commission by Its Resolution No. 3129, Dated August 8, 1946, Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on the Northwesterly Corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street From Second Residential District to Commercial District.**

Proposal No. 6123, Resolution No. 5897 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3129, dated August 8, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the northwesterly corner of Nineteenth Avenue and Santiago Street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, J. Joseph Sullivan—2.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

## Commission Sustained.

## Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission in denying application to rezone property located on the west line of Broderick Street and the south line of Union Street from Second Residential District to First Residential District.

September 30, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, October 7, 1946.

## Discussion.

Mr. Eustace Cullinan represented appellant. He outlined at length the reasons for the appeal. Out of 118 property owners affected, he stated, 95 have signed the petition to overrule the decision of the City Planning Commission; three are opposed to the rezoning; the rest of the property owners are not available at the present time.

Mr. Charles Christian, Mrs. Laurie, Mrs. Mott, Mrs. Beals, Mr. Haywood and Mr. Jerone, all interested property owners or residents, opposed the rezoning and urged that the City Planning Commission be not sustained.

Mr. Walsh, Mrs. Eleanor Gray and Mrs. Hull requested the Board not to overrule the Commission.

Supervisor Colman pointed out that in this case application for rezoning was made by neighbors of the owners of property affected, and not by the owners themselves. The property has been Second Residential for some 25 years, and should remain so. As Second Residential property there are certain rights which the owners would lose, if the property were rezoned. He could not be a party to taking away those rights. He would vote to sustain the Commission.

Supervisor McMurray also stated he would vote to sustain the City Planning Commission.

Supervisor Lewis announced himself in favor of the rezoning.

## Commission Sustained.

Thereupon, the Clerk presented the following:

**Disapproving Decision of the City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on the West Line of Broderick and the South Line of Union Street From Second Residential District to First Residential District.**

Proposal No. 6124, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3128, dated August 8, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the west line of Broderick Street and the south line of Union Street from Second Residential District to First Residential District, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused Adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer—6.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following, from Finance Committee with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up:

**Appropriating the Sum of \$750,000 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund to Provide Funds for Replacements and Reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.**

Bill No. 4291, Ordinance No. 4056 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds of the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665,925,50, to provide funds for replacements and reconstruction in the Municipal Railway—Market Street Extension.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to unencumbered balance available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*September 3, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, September 16, 1946.*

*September 30, 1946—Consideration postponed until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

**Discussion.**

After brief discussion on the question of reconstruction of tracks on Market Street, the Chair reported on previous action of the Board on the foregoing item. Sometime ago, he stated, the foregoing appropriation was a part of a total appropriation of \$1,500,000. At the time of that consideration, the question was divided, but through inadvertency, it was stated that the matter was considered seriatim. Subsequently, the City Attorney ruled that the Board could not consider the matter seriatim. Four separate ordinances were presented to simplify the matter. Three ordinances have been passed and the bill now under consideration is the fourth one.

Supervisor Mead moved to amend the foregoing bill by deleting the \$750,000 and inserting in lieu thereof the amount of \$340,000.

Motion failed for want of a second.

Supervisor Lewis moved for division of the question, on items as specified by the Manager of Utilities.

Thereupon, the Clerk read the division, and action was had as follows:

4 Coin Counting Machines ..... \$12,000

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

10 Adding Machines ..... \$3,000

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

2 Calculating Machines ..... \$1,500

*Approved by the following vote:*

*Ayes:* Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

*Absent:* Supervisor MacPhee—1.

2 Adding Machines..... \$600

*Approved by the following vote:*

*Ayes:* Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

*Absent:* Supervisor MacPhee—1.

11 Typewriters ..... \$1,400

*Approved by the following vote:*

*Ayes:* Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

*Absent:* Supervisor MacPhee—1.

2 21,000-lb. G. V. Wt. Trucks .....\$12,000

*Approved by the following vote:*

*Ayes:* Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

*Absent:* Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Replacement of tracks on Mission Street, Eighth Street to Otis Street, and Fourteenth Street to Sixteenth Street ..... \$155,000

*Approved by the following vote:*

*Ayes:* Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

*Absent:* Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Replacement of inner tracks on Market Street.....\$410,000

#### Discussion.

Mr. James Turner, in reply to questioning by Supervisor Lewis, announced that the Public Utilities Commission could maintain the tracks instead of replacing them. That has been done for several years. Large sums of money have already been spent on that track, and during the next two years the Commission will spend more than \$410,000 to maintain it. The \$410,000 requested is to cover the worst sections of the track. The program is very definite and has been mentioned many times to the Board. The Commission is following the Newton plan. It is intended to use the inner tracks for street cars; that is, for the K, L, M and N lines, high volume, long distance lines. The inner tracks will be used for many years. The only thing to stop their use will be a subway. It is intended to remove the outer tracks as soon as buses can be put on the secondary lines. The official master plan, about which Supervisor Lewis had spoken, approves the Newton plan.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing item was *approved by the following vote:*

*Ayes:* Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

*Noes:* Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

*Absent:* Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Replacement of Ladder Tracks in Geneva carhouse and yard ..... \$30,000

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Retieing right-of-way in Golden Gate Park, Lincoln Way to Fulton Street ..... \$20,000

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Wrecking Sutro Baths Terminal Building, constructing new platform and waiting room ..... \$8,000

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Reconstruction and replacement of shop, storeroom, office, washroom and gasoline dispensing facilities at Twenty-fourth and Utah garage ..... \$50,000

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

To provide a sum for reconstruction and replacements to cover the cost of unforeseen requirements ..... \$46,500

Approved by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Mr. Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, advised the Board that inasmuch as nothing had been deleted from the bill, the proper action would be to vote on the entire bill as it was presented.

Thereupon, the roll was called, and the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating \$3,375 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Compensation of One Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$375 Per Month, in Purchasing Department.**

Bill No. 4319, Ordinance No. 4066 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,375 out of the surplus existing in the

General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of one Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$375 per month, in the Purchasing Department, which position is created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,375 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of one Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$375 per month, in the Purchasing Department, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau to Provide for One Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau, at \$315-375 Per Month, and Changing Class Number of Item 42, from B310.2 to B310.3.**

Bill No. 4285, Ordinance No. 4055 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 Purchasing Department—Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by amending the class number of item 42 from B310.2 Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau to B310.3; and by adding item 41.1 1 B310.2 Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau at \$315-375.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 37.3 PURCHASING DEPARTMENT — TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
41	1	B310.1	Senior Tabulating Machine Operator	\$240-290
41.1	1	B310.2	Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau	315-375
42	1	B310.3	Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau	315-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$18,050 From Appropriation for Grattan Land to Provide for Purchase of Site for Playground for Miraloma District.**

Bill No. 4318, Ordinance No. 4065 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$18,050 from Appropriation No.

613.600.11, Grattan Land, to provide funds for the purchase of site for playground for the Miraloma District.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$18,050 is hereby appropriated from Appropriation No. 613.600.11, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.600.13, to provide funds for the purchase of site for playground for the Miraloma District.

Recommended by the Superintendent of Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$350 From Surplus in Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, to Provide for Purchase of Spray Gun Compressor for Use in Maintenance of Trucks and Equipment in Bureau of Street Repair.**

Bill No. 4320, Ordinance No. 4067 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$350 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.996.15, overhead reserve, Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, to provide funds for the purchase of spray gun compressor for use in maintenance of trucks and equipment in the Bureau of Street Repair.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$350 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 645.996.15, Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Street Repair, Department of Public Works, to the credit of Appropriation No. 645.400.00, to provide funds for the purchase of spray gun compressor for use in maintenance of trucks and equipment in the Bureau of Street Repair.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$225 From Surplus in Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Building Repair, to Provide for Purchase of Terrazzo Stair Grinder and Skillsaw for Interdepartmental Service Performed by Bureau of Building Repair.**

Bill No. 4321, Ordinance No. 4068 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$225 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 638.996.15, Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works, to provide funds for the pur-

chase of terrazzo stair grinder and skillsaw for use in the Interdepartmental Service performed by the Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$225 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 638.996.15, Overhead Reserve, Bureau of Building Repair, to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.400.00, to provide funds for the purchase of terrazzo stair grinder and skillsaw for use in interdepartmental service performed by the Bureau of Building Repair, Department of Public Works.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against F. A. Archibald Company and Pacific Indemnity Company in the Amount of \$250.**

Bill No. 4332, Ordinance No. 4073 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against F. A. Archibald Company and Pacific Indemnity Company in the amount of \$250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended and the City Attorney having approved the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against F. A. Archibald Company and Pacific Indemnity Company, being recovery of loss sustained by said City and County on account of personal injuries received by Charles Radford on the 2nd day of April, 1946, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of the employment of said Charles Radford as a member of the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco and having been sustained when the said Charles Radford stumbled on some rocks along side of an excavation ditch in front of the Mission Police Station which ditch was being constructed by the F. A. Archibald Company, the loss to said City and County to date being \$510.02, including salary paid to said Charles Radford while absent from his employment and the cost of medical and hospital services provided; and the said F. A. Archibald Company and the Pacific Indemnity Company, its insurer, having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the amount of \$250.00, the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim for said amount of \$250.00.

Approved as to form and settlement approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City & County Employees' Retirement System.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.



Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 1.35, Public Utilities Commission, to Provide for Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.

Bill No. 4304, Ordinance No. 4061 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 Public Utilities Commission, by adding Class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.35. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

	Classification		No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1	Chauffeur .....	1	8
San Francisco	B4	Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Airport	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	1	8
	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	1	4
	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
	C102	Janitress .....	2	8
	C104	Janitor .....	4	8
	C107	Working Foreman Janitor ...	1	8
	O58	Gardener .....	1	8
	F60	<b>Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations .....</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
Hetch Hetchy	O1	Chauffeur .....	1	8
Water Supply,	B4	Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
Utilities	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
Engineering				
Water Supply,	B222	General Clerk .....	1	8
Power Operative	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .	2	4
	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	1	4
	C104	Janitor .....	1	8
Inter-Intra-	O58	Gardener .....	1	8
departmental	O60	Sub-Foreman Gardener ....	1	8
(as needed)				

Funds Available (Appropriation 664.110.00) by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 1.7.3 Executive and Administrative Positions (Continued) by Deleting Class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations Therefrom.

Bill No. 4305, Ordinance No. 4062 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7.3 executive and administrative positions (continued), by deleting class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.7.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.7.3. **EXECUTIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS**  
(Continued.)

Class No. and Title

B108	Cashier A
B120	Director of Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office
B169	County Clerk
B173	Public Administrator
B180	Administrative Assistant, Board of Education
B368	Chief Assistant Purchaser of Supplies
B374	Purchaser of Supplies
C4	Superintendent of Auditorium
E8	Chief Electrical Inspector
E116	Superintendent of Plant
F1	Manager of Utilities
F2	Director of Public Works
F4	Assistant City Engineer
F9	Manager and Chief Engineer, Hetch Hetchy Bureau
F10	City Engineer
F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations
F62	Manager of Airport Department
F75	Director of Bureau of Accident Prevention, Public Utilities Commission
F108	Architect
F112	City Architect
F220	General Superintendent of Streets
F366	Chief, Department of Electricity
F372	Manager and Chief Engineer, Bureau of Light, Heat and Power
F408	Public Health Engineer
F412	Senior Engineer
F414	General Superintendent of Track and Roadway, Municipal Railway
F520	Consulting Sanitary Engineer
F526	Chief Water Purification Engineer
F527	Superintendent Sewage Treatment Plant
F560	Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection
F706	Chief Valuation Engineer
F800	City Planning Engineer
F801	Senior City Planner
F802	Master Plan Architect
F810	Associate City Planner

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 1.37, Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor, by Adding Class F58 Supervisor of Airport Services Thereto.**

Bill No. 4306, Ordinance No. 4063 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37 exceptions to normal work schedules (48-hour work week

for specified classifications) for which extra compensation is authorized and provision therefor, by adding Class F58 Supervisor of Airport Services to list of classes included therein.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.37 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.37. **Exceptions to Normal Work Schedules (48-Hour Work Week for Specified Classifications) for Which Extra Compensation Is Authorized and Provision Therefor:** Appointing officers may require all occupants of positions allocated to the classifications specified in this section to work tours of duty of eight hours on six days of the week, or a total work week of 48 hours. Occupants of such positions who regularly work tours of duty of eight hours six days per week shall be compensated for such sixth day of work at straight time, that is, twenty per cent of the compensation for a normal work schedule. Exceptions to the normal work schedule as provided in this section shall not be authorized by appointing officers unless funds for the compensation thereof are provided.

Class No. and Title

C152 Watchman  
 C180 Gallery Attendant  
 C182 Assistant Head Gallery Attendant  
 C184 Head Gallery Attendant  
 D52 Jail Matron  
 D54 Head Jail Matron  
 D60 Jailer  
 D64 Captain of Watch  
 D102 Writ Server  
 E52 Fire Dispatcher  
 E120 Governorman  
 E122 Power House Operator  
 E124 Senior Power House Operator  
 E128 Superintendent of Power House  
 F50 Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport  
 F51 Airport Attendant  
 F52 Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport  
 F54 Airport Guard  
 F58 **Supervisor of Airport Services**  
 I 2 Kitchen Helper  
 I 6 Pastry Cook  
 I 7 Baker  
 I 10 Cook's Assistant  
 I 11 Griddle Cook  
 I 12 Cook  
 I 14 Junior Chef  
 I 16 Chef  
 I 52 Counter Attendant  
 I 54 Waitress  
 I 56 Waiter  
 I 58 Dining Room Steward  
 I 60 Housekeeper  
 I 106 Morgue Attendant  
 I 112 Supervisor, Ambulatory Inmates  
 I 116 Orderly  
 I 120 Senior Orderly  
 I 122 House Mother  
 I 152 Flatwork Ironer  
 I 154 Laundress  
 I 156 Starcher

## Class No. and Title

I 158	Sorter
I 164	Marker and Distributor
I 166	Wringerman
I 167	Tumblerman
I 170	Washer
I 172	Head Washer
I 174	Superintendent of Laundry, Laguna Honda Home
I 178	Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital
I 204	Porter
I 206	Porter Sub-Foreman
I 208	Porter Foreman
I 210	Head Porter
N4	Coroner's Investigator
O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver
O52	Farmer
O158	Motor Boat Operator
O166.1	Junior Operating Engineer
O168.1	Operating Engineer
O304	Hydrantman-Gateman
O308	Assistant Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman
O310	Foreman Hydrantman-Gateman
P2	Emergency Hospital Steward
P3	Senior Emergency Hospital Steward
P102	Registered Nurse
P104	Head Nurse
P111	Night Supervisor
P111.1	Night Supervisor, Hassler Health Home
P204	Anaesthetist
P208	Operating Room Nurse
R101	Camp Assistant
R111	Lifeguard Watchman
R130	Foreman, Recreational Activities
S56	Special Instructor, Municipal Railway
S60	Instructor, Municipal Railway
S110	Inspector, Municipal Railway
S114	Claims Investigator
S120	Day Dispatcher
S124	Supervisor of Schedules
T35	Group Supervisor
U130	Reservoir Keeper
U212	Ranger
U213	Special Agent
U227	General Maintenance Foreman
U230	Maintenance Foreman
W106	Rides Attendant
W150	Aquarium Attendant
W152	Aquatic Collector
Y42	Chief Installer
Y44	Senior Museum Technician
Y46	Museum Technician
Y106	Aquatic Biologist's Assistant

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available (Appropriation No. 664.110.00) by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Appropriating \$7,030 From Surplus in Airport Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Creation of Positions, 4 Airport Attendants at \$185-230 Per Month, at San Francisco Airport; Also Abolishing Positions of 4 Airport Guards at \$230 Per Month in Same Department.

Bill No. 4328, Ordinance No. 4070 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$7,030 out of the surplus existing in the Airport Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 664.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of four F51 airport attendants at \$185-\$230 per month in the San Francisco Airport, which positions are created: abolishing the positions of four F54 airport guards at \$230 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,030 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Airport Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 664.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 664.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of four F51 airport attendants at \$185-\$230 per month in the San Francisco Airport, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. The positions of four F54 airport guards at \$230 per month in the San Francisco Airport are hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Airport, to Provide for Four Additional Airport Attendants at \$185-230 Per Month; Deleting Four Airport Guards at \$230: Amending Salary Schedule, Supervisor of Airport Services From (t 350 to \$275-345); Amending Salary Schedule for Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations From (t 400 to \$350-420).

Bill No. 4263, Ordinance No. 4052 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 70 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT, by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 14 to 18 F51 Airport Attendant at \$185-230; and by deleting item 7.1 4 F54 Airport Guard at (t 230, and by amending salary schedule of class F58 Supervisor of Airport Services from (t 350 to \$275-345; and by amending salary schedule for Class F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations from (t 400 to \$350-420.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 70 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 70. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
0.01	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
0.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
1	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
1.1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
1.2	4	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
2	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
2.1	4	C102	Janitress .....	145-180
3	4	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
4	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
5	1	F50	Maintenance Chief, San Francisco Airport .....	275-345
6	18	F51	Airport Attendant .....	185-230
7	4	F52	Crew Chief, San Francisco Airport	210-260
7.2	1	F58	Supervisor Airport Services ... (t	275-345
7.3	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations .....	(t 350-420
8	1	F61	Superintendent of Airport Operations .....	435-520
9	1	F62	Manager, Airport Department ...	833.33
10	1	F410d	Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)..	435-520
11	1	O58	Gardener .....	150-200

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$4,930 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Creation of Positions, 2 Assistant District Supervisors, Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Department of Public Health, at \$A290-345; Abolishing Positions 2 Market and Food Inspectors at \$230-290 in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4333, Ordinance No. 4074 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,930 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the Compensation of two N53 Assistant District Supervisors at \$290-\$345 per month in the Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Dept. of Public Health, which positions are created: abolishing the positions of two N56 Market and Food Inspectors at \$230-\$290 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,930 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.110.11 to provide funds for the compensation of two N53 Assistant District Supervisors at \$290-\$345 per month in the Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Department of Public Health, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. The positions of two N56 Market and Food Inspectors at \$230-\$290 per month are hereby abolished in the Bureau of Food and Sanitary Inspection, Department of Public Health.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Funds Available by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, Bureau of Inspection, to Provide for Two Additional Assistant District Supervisors at \$290-345 and by Decreasing by 2 the Number of Market and Food Inspectors.**

Bill No. 4302, Ordinance No. 4060 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 55a5 Department of Public Health-Bureau of Inspection (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 11 from 2 to 4 N53 Assistant District Supervisor at \$290-345, and by decreasing the number of employments under item 13 from 33 to 31 Market and Food Inspector at \$230-290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 55a5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 55a5. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
BUREAU OF INSPECTION (Continued)**

**DIVISION 2**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
9	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . .	\$185-230
9.1	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
10	1	F408	Public Health Engineer . . . . .	400-480
11	4	N53	<b>Assistant District Supervisor . . . . .</b>	<b>290-345</b>
12	4	N54	District Supervisor . . . . .	345-405
13	31	N56	<b>Market and Food Inspector . . . . .</b>	<b>230-290</b>
13.1	2	N60	<b>Abattoir Inspector . . . . .</b>	<b>230-290</b>
13.2	1	N70	Chief Food and Sanitary Inspector	385-460
14	16	N204	Housing and Industrial Inspector	230-290

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Fire Department, to Provide for 25 Additional Firemen.**

Bill No. 4308, Ordinance No. 4064 (Series of 1939), as follows:

A companion bill to the foregoing item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Fire Department, to provide for 25 additional firemen.

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 Fire Department (continued), by increasing the number of employments under Item 8 from 990 to 1015 H2 Firemen at \$225-250.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 12.1 **FIRE DEPARTMENT** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
8	1015	H2	Fireman, 1st year.....	(b) \$225
			2nd year .....	(b) 233.33
			3rd year .....	(b) 241.66
			4th year .....	(b) 250
9	34	H10	Chief's Operator .....	(b) 275
10	3	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines .....	(b) 275
11	124	H20	Lieutenant .....	(b) 300
11.1	*2	H20	Lieutenant .....	(b) 300
12	73	H30	Captain .....	(b) 325
13	26	H40	Battalion Chief .....	(b) 425
14	1	H42	Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation .....	460-550
15	1	H44	Supervising Inspector, Bureau of Fire Investigation .....	355-425
16	7	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer .....	(b) 500
17	1	H152	Inspector of Fire Department Apparatus .....	240-300
18	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of .	460

\*Funds provided for 9 months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 16, 1946—Consideration continued until September 24, 1946.

**Amendment Proposed.**

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved to amend by providing for 15 additional firemen instead of 25 additional firemen.

The roll was called and the motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso—2.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Thereupon, the roll was again called and the foregoing bill was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray, Sullivan.

**Accepting Roadways of Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues Between Vicente and Wawona Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4292, Ordinance No. 4057 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-third Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4293, Ordinance No. 4058 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-third Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Forty-third Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Girard Street Between Ordway and Ward Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4298, Ordinance No. 4059 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Girard Street between Ordway Street and Ward Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition

throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Girard Street between Ordway Street and Ward Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Ordering the Improvement of Pacheco Street Between Fortieth Avenue and 82 Feet 6 Inches West and Extending City Aid in the Amount Necessary to Legalize the Assessment and Making Appropriation Therefor.**

Bill No. 4322, Ordinance No. 4069 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of Pacheco Street between 40th Avenue and 82' 6" west by grading to official line and sub-grade, appropriating \$1100.00 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 23, 1946 having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Pacheco Street between 40th Avenue and 82' 6" west, by grading to the official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

Item No.            Item

1. Asphaltic Concrete on Rock sub-base Pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Unarmored Concrete Curb.

Block 2094, Lot 13-B; and Block 2160, Lot 1;

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows: being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the

City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$1100.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the allotted balance in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of App. 648.906.03 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Improving of Wawona Street Between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, Including Crossing of Wawona Street With Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues; Extending City Aid in Amount Necessary to Legalize and Equalize the Assessment.**

Bill No. 4329, Ordinance No. 4071 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

On Wawona Street between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street with Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade; appropriating \$1,700 to legalize and equalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, August 30, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respec-

tively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Wawona Street between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, including the crossings of Wawona Street with Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Grading (Excavation).
2.	Grading (Fill).
3.	15-inch V.C.P. Sewer.
4.	12-inch V.C.P. Sewer.
5.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer.
6.	10-inch V.C.P. Culvert.
7.	Brick Manhole, Complete.
8.	Brick Catchbasins, Complete.
9.	15x6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches.
10.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers.
11.	Unarmored Concrete Curb.
12.	Asphaltic Concrete on Rock Sub-base Pavement.
13.	Two-Course Concrete Sidewalk.
14.	Water Services, Long.
15.	Water Services, Short.
16.	Water Main.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively, as:

Block 2454, Lots 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26;  
Blocks 2455, Lots 13, 14, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40;

Block 2456, Lots 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40;

Block 2509, Lots 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and 26-B;

Block 2510, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5-A, 5-B, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 14-A, 14-B and 15; and

Block 2511, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11 and 12;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the abovementioned improvement.

Section 2. The sum of \$1700.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appro. 648.906.04 for the purpose of extending city aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Improvement of Portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues  
Between Quintara and Rivera Streets by Grading to Official Line  
and Sub-Grade.

Bill No. 4330, Ordinance No. 4072 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Portions of Thirty-Ninth and Fortieth Avenues between Quintara and Rivera Streets by grading to official line and sub-grade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 16, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) annual installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of 39th and 40th Avenues between Quintara and Rivera Streets, by grading to the official line and sub-grade and by the construction of the following items:

1. Asphaltic concrete on rock sub-base pavement, consisting of a 6-inch compacted rock sub-base, a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base, and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Unarmored concrete curb.
3. 6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.
4. Water Services, long.
5. Water Services, short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 2178, Lot 2 and Block 2179, Lots 9, 10, 11-b and 17-a being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the abovementioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Public Health and Welfare Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Christopher, Sullivan.

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Section 150, Article 3, Chapter V, Part II, Relative to Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions by Increasing the Age of Physically Defective and Physically Handicapped Persons Eligible for Admission From Eighteen Years to Twenty-one Years; and by Adding Paragraph 4 to Subdivision K, to Provide for the Hospitalization and Medical and Surgical Care of Any Authorized and Assigned Volunteer at San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home or Hassler Health Home Who Suffers Injury While Serving as a Volunteer at Said Institutions.

Bill No. 4270, Ordinance No. 4053 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code, Section 150, Article 3, Chapter V, Part II, relative to admission to San Francisco Hospital and allied institutions by increasing the age of physically defective and physically handicapped persons eligible for admission from eighteen years to twenty-one years; and by adding Paragraph 4 to Subdivision K, to provide for the hospitalization and medical and surgical care of any authorized and assigned volunteer at San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home or Hassler Health Home who suffers injury while serving as a volunteer at said institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 150, Article 3, Chapter V, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 150. Admission to San Francisco Hospital and Allied Institutions.** There shall be admitted to the San Francisco Hospital, including the Isolation Division and the Hassler Health Home, the following:

(a) An indigent sick person of the City and County of San Francisco who possesses the required residence qualifications, upon application and after investigation and approval by the Director of Public Health;

(b) A psychopath, narcotic addict or habitual inebriate temporarily in custody;

(c) A physically defective and physically handicapped person under the age of twenty-one (21) years when the parents or guardian of such person are not financially able to secure proper care or treatment and when such person's admission and treatment has been duly authorized in the manner provided by law;

(d) A prisoner confined to the City or County Jail who requires medical or surgical treatment necessitating hospitalization where such treatment cannot be furnished or supplied at such jail when any court of the City and County shall have ordered the removal of such prisoner to the City and County hospital (and said prisoner elects not to furnish such treatment at his own expense);

(e) A dependent, or partially dependent, poor sick person, who possesses the required residential qualifications;

(f) A person in need of immediate hospitalization on account of accident or sudden sickness or injury or by reason of sickness or injury caused by or arising in a sudden public emergency or calamity or disaster;

(g) A person in the active stages of tuberculosis in wards established for the treatment of such persons;

(h) A person to be quarantined or isolated in the City and County hospital with a contagious, communicable or infectious disease;

(i) An expectant mother who is unable to pay for her care and the cost of her maintenance (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of her residence);

(j) An indigent sick or dependent poor person from another county which lacks the proper facilities for the caring of such patients (and care shall be paid by and be a proper charge against the county of which said person is a resident);

(k) 1. A City and County employee who is judged by the retirement board to have suffered an injury arising out of and in the course of his employment by the City and County, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

2. During the war the United States of America is now engaged in, San Francisco civilian defense volunteer members who are judged by the retirement board to have suffered an injury arising out of and in the course of performance of duties in connection with San Francisco civilian defense, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury;

3. American Red Cross nurses aides who are judged by the retirement board to have suffered injury while actually serving as volunteer assistants at San Francisco hospital, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure and relieve the effects of such injury.

4. Any authorized volunteer assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home and assisting in the care and treatment of patients in any of the said institutions, who is judged by the retirement board to have suffered injury while actually serving as a volunteer at any of the said institutions, when hospitalization is reasonably required to cure or relieve the effects of such injury.

(l) A person sent by the immigration authorities of the United States Government (under such conditions as may be contracted for between the Director of Public Health and the United States Government);

(m) Provided, nothing in this section shall be construed as restraining the director of public health from obeying or carrying out or giving effect to any law that may exist or be hereafter passed, relating to the hospitalization of patients in county hospitals which may affect the San Francisco Hospital, including the isolation division and the Hassler Health Home.

(n) During the war in which the United States is now engaged, and for six months thereafter, a wife or minor child under one year of age of a non-commissioned member of the United States Armed Forces when the City and County is reimbursed from funds appropriated by Congress for the hospitalization and care of such person.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Amending Section 266, Article 3 (Employees Retirement System), Part I of the San Francisco Municipal Code, to Provide for the Hospitalization and Medical and Surgical Treatment Required by Any Authorized Volunteer Assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home Injured While Serving as a Volunteer at Said Institutions.

Bill No. 4271, Ordinance No. 4054 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 266, Article 3 (Employees Retirement System), Part I of the San Francisco Municipal Code, to provide for the Hospitalization and Medical and Surgical Treatment required by any Authorized Volunteer assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home injured while serving as a Volunteer at said institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Sec. 266, Article 3 (Employees Retirement System) Part I, San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 266. Medical and Hospital Service.** Hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment required under the said Compensation Insurance and Safety Law, shall be furnished at the San Francisco Hospital to every employee judged by the Retirement Board to have been injured in the performance of duty, said hospital to be reimbursed for such services and supplies by the Retirement Board from contributions by the city, provided that at all times the charges for such services and supplies shall be in accordance with an agreement to be made and revised from time to time between the Retirement Board and the Department of Public Health. The Retirement Board shall have authority, however, to arrange for hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment at other hospitals, and shall have the sole authority and responsibility to provide medical and surgical treatment for all injured employees, regardless of where hospitalized; provided that, if hospitalization be at the San Francisco Hospital, physicians and surgeons to render such medical and surgical treatment shall be selected from the staff of said hospital. Each employee shall have the right, however, to provide at his own expense, such consulting or attending physicians as he may desire, in addition to physicians furnished by the Retirement Board, provided that, if such consulting or attending physicians are to render any service at the San Francisco Hospital, they shall be selected from the staff of said hospital. The provisions of this section shall apply to San Francisco Civilian Defense volunteer members who are judged by the Retirement Board to have suffered injuries arising out of and in the course of performance of duties in connection with San Francisco Civilian Defense during the war the United States of America is now engaged in and to American Red Cross Nurses Aides who are judged by the Retirement Board to have suffered injuries while actually serving as volunteer assistants at San Francisco Hospital and to any authorized volunteer assigned by the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, Laguna Honda Home, or Hassler Health Home, and assisting in the care



*and treatment of patients in any of said institutions, who is judged by the retirement board to have suffered injury while actually serving as a volunteer to any of said institutions when hospitalization and medical and surgical treatment are reasonably required in the judgment of the retirement board to cure and relieve the effects of such injuries.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

**Confirming Sale of Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 6203 to Carl Gellert.**

Proposal No. 6092, Resolution No. 5900 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3912, Bill No. 4146 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him at 10 a. m. Thursday, September 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Campbell Avenue with the southeasterly line of Rutland Street (formerly Beta Street); running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Campbell Avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet to the southwesterly line of Tucker Avenue; thence at a right angle northwesterly and along said southwesterly line of Tucker Avenue 75 feet to the southeasterly line of Rutland Street; thence at a right angle southwesterly and along said southeasterly line of Rutland Street 200 feet to the northeasterly line of Campbell Avenue and the point of beginning.

Being Lots Nos. 1, 2, 58, 59 and 60, in Block 54, of Reis Tract, as per map of same filed in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in Liber 1 of Maps, at pages 241 and 242.

Whereas, In response to said advertisement Carl Gellert as the highest bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$5,250 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$5,250 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$3,750; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$525 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Carl Gellert or his assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Land Purchases—Library Sites.

Proposal No. 6093, Resolution No. 5901 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Library Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for Branch Libraries and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 614,600.00:

Anthony J. Molinari, Lots 48 and 49 in Assessor's Block 3115 . . . . .	\$5,050
Raymond Verili and Rita Verili, Lot 9A in Assessor's Block 4068 . . . . .	1,600
John Bisio and Adeline Bisio, Westerly 25 feet of Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 4068 . . . . .	1,600

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Library Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Confirming Sale of Lot 42 in Assessor's Block 6309 to Murray Norton, et ux.

Proposal No. 6094, Resolution No. 5902 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3911, Bill No. 4145 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him at 10:20 a. m. Thursday, September 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the Southeasterly line of Peabody Street, distant thereon 309 feet and 10 inches southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Sunnydale Avenue; running thence southwesterly along said line of Peabody Street 87 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle southeasterly 105

feet and 8 inches; thence at a right angle northeasterly 87 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 105 feet and 8 inches to the point of beginning.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Murray Norton and Annie G. Norton, his wife, as the only bidders offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,387 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,387 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,387; and

Whereas, said parties have paid the City a deposit of \$150 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Murray Norton and Annie G. Norton, his wife, or their assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Confirming Sale of Lot 21 in Assessor's Block 6171 to George P. Belcher.**

Proposal No. 6095, Resolution No. 5903 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3896, Bill No. 4122 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him at 10:10 a. m. Thursday, September 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Brussels Street, distant thereon 185 feet and 2 inches southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Ordway Street; running thence northwesterly along said line of Brussels Street 85 feet and 2 inches; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet and 9 inches to the northerly line of Paul Reservation Homestead Association; thence westerly along said northerly line of Paul Reservation Homestead Association 121 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 7, Block 26, of Paul Tract Homestead Association recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco on May 16, 1871, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 49.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, George P. Belcher as the only bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,000 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$1,000; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$1,000 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Education have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to George P. Belcher or his assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Confirming Sale of Portion of Assessor's Block 12 to Monaco Restaurant, Inc.

Proposal No. 6097, Resolution No. 5904 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3897, Bill No. 4146 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him at 10 a. m. Thursday, September 26, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Jefferson Street, distant thereon 150 feet easterly from the easterly line of Jones Street; running thence easterly along said line of Jefferson Street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 50 feet; and thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being part of 50 Vara Block No. 201.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Monaco Restaurant, Inc., a corporation, as the highest bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$37,500 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$37,500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$15,000; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$3,750 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Monaco Restaurant, Inc., a corporation, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 6098, Resolution No. 5905 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| 1. California Pac. Title Ins., Lot 13, Block 510, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. .... | \$ 83.32 |
| 2. Alice A. Cammack, Lot 33, Block 1260, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....          | 36.23    |
| 3. Chas E. Greninger, Lot 78, Block 3576, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46.....          | 399.23   |

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6106, Resolution No. 5906 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including aid denials, new applications, increases, suspensions and other transactions, effective August 1, September 1, and October 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6107, Resolution No. 5907 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated October 7, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of October and November, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Confirming Sale of Water Department, Alameda County, Parcel 52 to Schuckl & Co., Inc.**

Proposal No. 6108, Resolution No. 5908 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3970, Bill No. 4166 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on Tuesday, October 1, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly limits of the Town of Niles with the southwesterly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company, leading from Niles to San Jose, and distant along the said easterly limits 182.1 feet from the center of said Southern Pacific Company's track; and running thence south 42° 38' east, 317.31 feet; thence leaving said line south 56° 15' west 194.24 feet to said easterly limits of the Town of Niles; thence along said last line north 9° 20' west 349.56 feet to the point of commencement.

Containing 0.699 acre, more or less.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Alameda Creek appertaining to said land.

Whereas, In response to said advertisement, Schuckl & Co., Inc., a corporation as the only bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$500; and

Whereas, said sum of \$500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$500; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$100 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Schuckl & Co., Inc., a corporation, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Cancellation of Taxes on Real Property Owned by the City and County of San Francisco or the San Francisco Unified School District.**

Proposal No. 6109, Resolution No. 5909 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller in his capacity as County Auditor and the Assessor be and they are hereby authorized and directed to cancel all taxes, assessments, penalties, interest, costs and sales affecting those certain lots and improvements thereon, now owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, or the San Francisco Unified School District, and located within the following blocks, San Francisco, California, as per the 1945 Block Books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco:

769, 819, 963, 2389, 4197-4162, 3722, 4675, 5090, 5477, 5479, 5482, 5483, 5614, 5621, 5624, 5630, 5841, 5842, 6064, 6101, 6103, 6567, 6754-B, 6955, 7124 and 7125.

Also Lot 9-A in Block 2659, as per the 1937 Block Books of the Assessor.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form and consent by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Authorizing Renewal of Lease of Space in Building at 1254 Market Street for the Recreation Department.**

Proposal No. 6110, Resolution No. 5910 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation as Lessee be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a Renewal of Lease with Kohler & Chase, a California corporation, as Lessor of the second floor in that certain building located at 1254 Market Street, San Francisco, California.

Said lease shall be on a month-to-month basis beginning October 1, 1946, and ending December 31, 1946, at a rental of \$300 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of Lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Accepting Gift to the Retirement System Fund of the Residue of the Estate of George Washington Byrnes.**

Proposal No. 6111, Resolution No. 5911 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, George Washington Byrnes died on or about April 27, 1945; and

Whereas, for several years immediately prior to his death George Washington Byrnes had been receiving a pension allowance from the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System; and

Whereas, by his last will and testament George Washington Byrnes left the residue of his estate to the Retirement System Fund in the following language, to-wit: "I give, devise and bequeath all of the rest, residue and remainder of my estate, of whatsoever kind and character and wherever situated, unto the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, of San Francisco, California, to reimburse said System, wholly or in part, for its payment to me of a retirement allowance, or pension. While this allowance was granted to me free of any condition as to repayment, I prefer to consider it in the nature of a loan, to be refunded if and when possible. I make this bequest directly to the Retirement System Fund and not to the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco." Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for and on behalf of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, does hereby accept said gift of George Washington Byrnes to the Retirement System Fund as so generously bequeathed to the fund by the deceased.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**Appropriating \$1,425 From Surplus in Water Revenue Compensation Reserve to Provide for Compensation of Watchman, at \$150-190 Per Month, Which Position Is Created.**

Bill No. 4327, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,425 out of the surplus existing in the water revenue compensation reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 C152 Watchman at \$150-190 per month, which position is created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,425 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 C152 Watchman at \$150-190 per month, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.



**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, Millbrae Station, to Provide for an Additional Watchman at \$150-190 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4262, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 75 Public Utilities Commission—Millbrae Station, by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 1 to 2 C152 Watchman at \$150-190.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 75 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MILLBRAE STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	\$230-290
2	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
6	2	C152	<b>Watchman</b> . . . . .	150-190
6.1	1	C152	Watchman . . . . . (k	190
7	1	I 12	Cook . . . . .	175-210
7.1	1	I 60	Housekeeper (part time) at rate of	145-185
8	1	O60.1	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	240-275
9	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	8.50 day
9.1	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . . (k	8.50 day
10	1	U228	Meterman, Country . . . . .	210-260
11	1	U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula Division . . . . .	335-405
12	1	U246	Superintendent, Peninsula Division	460-550

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$3,240 From Surplus in Water Revenue Compensation Reserve Fund to Provide for Creation of Position of Assistant Engineer, Civil, in Water Department at \$360-430 Per Month; Abolishing Position of Junior Engineer, Civil at \$255-320 Per Month in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4341, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,240 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 F406c Assistant Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$360-430 per month in the Water Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 F401b Junior Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$255-320 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,240 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 F406c, Assistant Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$360-430 per month in the Water Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 F401b Junior Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$255-320 per month in the Water Department, is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Water Department, by Deleting Therefrom 1 Position, Junior Engineer, Civil, at \$255-320 and Adding 1 Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$360-430.**

Bill No. 4307, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.5, Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department (Continued) by decreasing the number of employments under item 28 from 2 to 1 F401b Junior Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$255-320; and by adding item 28.01 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 74.5. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT  
(Continued)**

**CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—GENERAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	\$230-290
25.1	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
27	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
27.1	1	F100	Junior Draftsman . . . . .	210-260
27.2	1	F102c	Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities)	260-320
28	1	F401b	<b>Junior Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)</b> . . . . .	255-320
28.01	1	F406c	<b>Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)</b> . . . . .	360-430
28.1	2	J4	Laborer . . . . .	8.50 day
29	9	O58	Gardener . . . . .	150-200
30	1	O60.1	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	240-275
30.1	8	U120	Gateman . . . . .	12.90 day
31	4	U130	Reservoir Keeper . . . . .	185-230

Section 74.5. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
 SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT  
 (Continued)

CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—GENERAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
33	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution . . . . .	435-520
34	1	U144	Superintendent, City Distribution	530-635

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$185.50 From Surplus in Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund for Compensation of Senior Clerk on 5½-Day Week, Funds for Which Are Now Provided on a 5-Day Week.**

Bill No. 4347, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$195.50 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B228 Senior Clerk in the Municipal Railway on a 5½-day week, funds for which are now provided on a 5-day week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$195.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.110.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B228 Senior Clerk in the Municipal Railway on a 5½-day week, funds for which are now provided on a 5-day week.

- Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway to Permit Senior Clerk to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week; Decreasing the Work Week of Head Clerk From 44 Hours to 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4303, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35a, Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway, by increasing the number of B228 Senior Clerk authorized to work 4 hours in excess of 40 from 4 to 5; and by decreasing the number of B234 Head Clerk from 4 to 3.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35a is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.35a. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B4 Bookkeeper .....	8	4
B6 Senior Bookkeeper .....	2	4
B10 Accountant .....	3	4
B102 Teller .....	4	8
B103 Cashier C .....	1	8
B210 Office Assistant .....	4	4
B222 General Clerk .....	15	8
B222 General Clerk .....	51	4
B228 Senior Clerk .....	5	4
B228 Senior Clerk (Shops).....	1	8
B234 Head Clerk .....	3	4
B239 Statistician .....	1	4
B308a Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)....	16	4
B308b Calculating Machine Operator (rotary) .....	2	4
B309 Key Punch Operator .....	3	4
B310 Tabulating Machine Operator .....	8	4
B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine and Key Punch Operator .	2	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer .	26	4
B454 Telephone Operator .....	1	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	18	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
B516 Senior Clerk-Typist .....	3	4
C52 Elevator Operator .....	2	8
C104 Janitor .....	All	4
C104.1 Car Cleaner .....	All	4
C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	1	4
C107 Working Foreman Janitor... All		4
C107.1 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	All	4
C202 Window Cleaner .....	2	4
Supervisor Traffic Checkers .	1	4
F654 Traffic Checker .....	5	4
Superintendent of Traffic....	1	4
Sick Leave Investigator.....	1	8
M72 Bus Dispatcher .....	1	8
O173 Superintendent of Cable Machinery .....	1	4
S115 Senior Claims Investigator..	2	4
S122 Senior Inspector .....	1	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 83.1, Board of Education, Non-Certificated Employees by Eliminating 2 Positions, Janitress, at \$145-180; Adding 2 Positions Working Foreman Janitor, at \$195-230; Adding 1 Position, Operating Engineer at \$290.

Bill No. 4351, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by decreasing the number of employments under item 26 from 135 to 133 C102 Janitress at \$145-180; by increasing the number of employments under item 32 from 23 to 25 C107 Working Foreman Janitor at \$195-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 48 from 18 to 19 O168.1 Operating Engineer at \$290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 83.1. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings . . . . .	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant . . . . .	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) . . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) . . . . .	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. . . . .	185-230
19	145	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
20	64	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
21	*37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
26	133	C102	Janitress . . . . .	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of . . . . .	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor . . . . . (k	186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of . . . . .	155-195
32	25	C107	Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors . . . . .	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors . . . . .	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of . . . . .	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of . . . . .	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of . . . . .	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman . . . . .	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman . . . . . (k	230

Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of...	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
48	19	O168.1	<b>Operating Engineer</b> .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

- 55 Trucks (as needed) at rates established by Purchaser's contract.

\*To serve during school year only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Final Passage.

Appropriating \$800 From Emergency Reserve Funds for Rental of Four Private Automobiles for Four Outside Building Inspectors, Bureau of Building Inspection, for Period September to December, 1946, Both Months Inclusive; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4348, Ordinance No. 4075 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$800 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide additional funds for the rental of four private automobiles for four outside building inspectors in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the period September to December, 1946, both months inclusive; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$800 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.203.39, to provide funds for the rental of four private automobiles for outside building inspectors in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works, for the period September to December, 1946, both months inclusive.

This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordi-

nance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: An appropriation of \$400 was provided by the 1946-1947 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for auto rental for four private automobiles pending the arrival of four new automobiles purchased for the use of outside building inspectors in the Bureau of Building Inspection, Department of Public Works. The Purchaser of Supplies advises that the new automobiles will not be delivered before January 1, 1947. It is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of the Bureau of Building Inspection that funds be made available for auto rentals for these outside building inspectors for the above-mentioned period.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Appropriating \$15,000 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve for Employment of Temporary Personnel in Office of Registrar of voters in Connection With General Election to be Held November 5, 1946; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4350, Ordinance No. 4076 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$15,000 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide additional funds for the employment of temporary personnel in the office of Registrar of Voters in connection with General Election to be held November 5, 1946; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 629.120.00, to provide additional funds for the employment of temporary personnel in the Office of the Registrar of Voters in connection with General Election to be held November 5, 1946. These additional funds are made necessary due to increased registration of voters, large number of propositions on the ballot and that the amount appropriated by the 1946-1947 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for temporary salaries in the Office of the Registrar of Voters did not take into consideration the 15 per cent salary increase granted clerical workers.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance being passed forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: It is immediately necessary in order to comply with time limitations established by law and for the uninterrupted operation of the Office of Registrar of Voters that funds be made available for the employment of additional temporary personnel required in conducting the General Election to be held November 5, 1946.

Recommended by the Director of Finance and Records.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

September 30, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher. Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Allotment of Funds—Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that, in accordance with the provisions of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the request to the Controller for the allotment of \$2,000 from the unallotted balance of Appropriation No. 601.298.00, Legislative Expense—Board of Supervisors.

*No objection, and motion carried.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, Supervisor Mancuso dissenting, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

**Waiving Statute of Limitations in Connection With Action Filed by Dion R. Holm, Attorney for the San Francisco Water Department, to Determine His Right to Additional Salary.**

Proposal No. 6053, Resolution No. 5898 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Waiving statute of limitations in connection with action filed by Dion R. Holm, attorney for the San Francisco Water Department, to determine his right to additional salary.

Whereas, Dion R. Holm did on the 13th day of September, 1946, file an action against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of certain moneys claimed to be due him as and for salary in Superior Court proceeding No. 357476; and

Whereas, there is some question with regard to whether the statute of limitations applies; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission did on the 16th day of September, 1946, adopt its Resolution No. 7685, requesting this board to pass a resolution waiving the statute of limitations in the case of Holm v. the City and County above described, which suit is based on facts similar to those in the recent suit instituted by Nelson A. Eckart, General Manager and Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Water Department, in which action the statute of limitations was waived by Resolution No. 4979 (Series of 1939), of this board; now, therefore, as a matter of fairness and justice, it is hereby

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco does hereby waive the statute of limitations in the action of Holm v. City and County, and the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed and instructed not to plead the statute of limitations in said action.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso objected to the foregoing proposal, stating that he felt that waiving the statute of limitations in a case like this



was making a gift of public funds, which the Supervisors had no right to do.

Supervisor Colman held that the question to determine was whether the people of San Francisco wanted to hide behind a technicality and deprive a man of money that is due him. He did not think so.

Supervisor Christopher agreed with Supervisor Colman. However, he felt that the question should be decided in court, by outside counsel.

Supervisor Mancuso also believed the case should be tried by outside counsel. He did not think it right for the City Attorney's office to defend an action of this sort.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$69,160.59 From Surplus in Appropriation, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, for Payment of Judgment, Dated August 20, 1946, Nelson A. Eckart v. City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 339,417.

Bill No. 4326, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$69,160.59 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to provide funds for payment of judgment, dated August 20, 1946, Nelson A. Eckart v. City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 339,417.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$69,160.59 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.804.00, to provide funds for payment of judgment, dated August 20, 1946, Nelson A. Eckart v. City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 339,417.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray, Sullivan.

Intention to Close Charlestown Place From a Line 137 Feet Six Inches Northwesterly From the Northwesterly Line of Harrison Street to Its Northwesterly Termination.

Proposal No. 6071, Resolution No. 5899 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon Charlestown Place from a line 137' 6" northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Harrison Street to its northwesterly termination situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Reference is made to a map on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco showing the portion of Charlestown Place to be closed and abandoned.

The closing and abandonment of said Charlestown Place shall be done and be made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 28th day of October, 1946, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

Before the final closing of Charlestown Place the abutting property owners shall pay the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of \$100 to defray the cost of advertising and other expenses incidental to said closing.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of Charlestown Place in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Tabled.

The following, from Judiciary Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso.

**Requesting Grand Jury to Conduct Investigation of Farmers' Market.**

Proposal No. 5956, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco to conduct an investigation into all phases of the conduct, management and operations of the Farmers' Market, with a view to the correction of any irregularities which may be found to exist, and, further, with the object of filing indictments against any person or persons directly or indirectly connected with said Farmers' Market, including the members of this Board of Supervisors with particular reference to the assertion made by Supervisor Mead on July 29, 1946, to the effect that "money is talking and moneyed people are talking," should such investigation indicate that the lodging of criminal charges is warranted.

Supervisor Colman, following a brief discussion of the foregoing proposal, to which he objected, moved that same be tabled.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, McMurray, Mead—4.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Colman, Mead.

**Amending City Planning Code to Permit Establishments for Hand Ironing, Employing Not More Than 5 Persons, in Commercial Districts.**

Bill No. 4297, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5, Article I, Chapter II (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to zoning regulations in commercial districts, by adding thereto a provision permitting establishments for hand ironing, of not more than five (5) employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5, Article I, Chapter II (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 5. Commercial District.** In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered, which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

(a) Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof;

(b) Bakeries employing more than five (5) persons;

(c) Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments;

(d) Bottling works;

(e) Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel;

(f) Warehouse and storage houses;

(g) Marble, granite, stone or monumental works;

(h) Contractors' plant or storage yard;

(i) Cooperage;

(j) Laundry;

(k) Lumber yard;

(l) Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District;

(m) Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building;

(n) Provided, further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper;

2. Light Industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park;

3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges;

4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be

conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department;

5. *Establishments for hand ironing only and not employing more than five (5) employees.*

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 of this Article shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Provided, further, that the restrictions herein provided shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9 of this Article in so far as existing non-conforming uses are concerned.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

September 10, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

September 23, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

#### Opinion From the City Attorney.

The Clerk presented and read an opinion from the City Attorney, stating that in the absence of any definition by the Board of Supervisors in the City Planning Code of the term "laundry" he did not believe it to be within his province to attempt a comprehensive definition of the term "laundry" to describe all possible situations which might be covered by the term. The City Attorney advised further that the Board of Supervisors had the power to adopt a reasonable definition of the term "laundry" to cover any operations intended to be permitted or restricted.

#### Discussion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman declared there was nothing he could do but accept the opinion of the City Attorney. He thought it was good zoning, as proposed by the legislation before the Board.

Mr. Allison Scoffield, representing the Chinese Hand Laundry Association, urged passage.

Mr. Matthew Dooley stated that after hearing the opinion of the City Attorney, and in view of the nature of the legislation, his people had no objections to it.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Re-reference to Committee.

The following recommendations of Police Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, Christopher, MacPhee.

**Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of San Francisco Municipal Code to Change Title of Section From Automobile Supply Station to Gasoline Supply Station, and to Provide for the Performance of Additional Services.**

Bill No. 4334, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the licensing of automobile supply stations, by amending the title thereof to read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and providing for the performance of additional services as set forth in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 93 Gasoline Supply Stations.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating a gasoline supply station under a permit from the Fire Department, shall pay a license fee of Six dollars and Twenty-Five Cents (\$6.25) per quarter for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, servicing or adjusting motor vehicle brakes, or making certain repairs, adjustments or replacements to motor vehicles upon the premises of a gasoline supply station as provided for in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of this Code, shall pay an additional license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Monday, September 23, 1946—Consideration continued until October 7, 1946.*

At the request of Supervisor McMurray the foregoing bill was re-referred to Police Committee.

**Amending Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Pertaining to Services Permitted by Gasoline Supply Stations, by Providing That Such Stations May Make Certain Additional Adjustments to and Renew or Replace Specified Parts of Motor Vehicles.**

Bill No. 4335, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 366, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to services permitted by gasoline supply stations, by providing that such stations may make certain additional adjustments to and renew or replace specified parts of motor vehicles.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Sec. 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 336. Services Permitted.** The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles, the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, the removing, cleaning, adjusting and replacing of spark plugs, the renewing of distributor points and replacing distributor, the renewing of water hoses and fan belts, the adjusting of carburetors, the replacing of condensers and coils, the removal and replacement of fuel pumps, the blowing out of gasoline lines, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries, shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, radiators or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor propelled vessels shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station; provided, however, that no automobile or motor vehicle shall be permitted to be stored or parked within twenty (20)

feet of the gasoline dispensing units except while being serviced with gasoline, oil, air and water, and battery testing; and provided further that storage or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles for other than the above stated services, shall be prohibited unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the fire department as required elsewhere in this Municipal Code for automobile parking stations; and provided that where such automobile parking station is operated in conjunction with or immediately adjacent to a gasoline supply station that portion of the fence required for automobile parking stations which would separate the automobile parking station from the gasoline supply station may be omitted, and any entrance or exit of the gasoline supply station may be used as the entrance or exit of the automobile parking station.

All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department may make regarding the same.

All automobiles shall be spaced and parked in a manner approved by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Monday, September 23, 1946—Consideration continued until October 7, 1946.*

On motion by Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, the foregoing bill was *re-referred to Police Committee.*

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Redwood Empire Association Convention.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

That authorization be and is hereby given such members of the Board of Supervisors, who so desire, to attend and represent said Board at the annual convention of the Redwood Empire Association to be held in Lake County, California, on October 10, 11 and 12, 1946, at which time legislative matters affecting the City and County of San Francisco will be discussed.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Edward T. Haas, Member of the Park Commission.**

Proposal No. 6117, Resolution No. 5912 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Edward T. Haas, member of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period from October 17, 1946, to November 4, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee—2.

### Commending Community Chest Campaign.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6118, Resolution No. 5913 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Community Chest has launched its annual campaign to raise funds to aid the needy of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Community Chest, through the funds collected in this campaign, maintains 70 Community Chest health and welfare agencies, including children's institutions, foster homes, day nurseries, help to the aged and handicapped and health education; and

Whereas, in an effort to stop juvenile delinquency, the Community Chest has established neighborhood and community centers and organizations for boys and girls, which consist of clubrooms, workshops and organized programs; and

Whereas, with the cessation of the fighting on the battlefronts, changing conditions create new problems and the needs of our returning veterans and their dependents are of primary importance; and

Whereas, the work of the men and women who are endeavoring to make this campaign a success is laudable and worthy of public commendation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly commend the men and women who have given their time and effort to make the present Community Chest campaign a success and does hereby urge each resident of San Francisco to contribute to the fullest of his ability to do and to proudly wear the "Red Feather" to show that he has contributed to this worthy cause.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, McMur-ray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee—2.

### Commending Those Responsible for Successful Benefit Show for Benefit for Families of Those Firemen Killed in the Hotel Herbert Conflagration.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6119, Resolution No. 5914 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, through the combined efforts of the theatre industry and various unions, trades and individuals, the benefit for the families of the firemen who were killed in the Herbert Hotel fire realized a profit of \$24,150; and

Whereas, all of the people concerned in making this affair a successful one gladly donated their services; and

Whereas, such action by the interested parties is laudable and worthy of public approbation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, appreciating the time and effort put forth by the theatre industry, various unions, trades and individuals, in making the benefit for the families of the firemen killed in the Herbert Hotel fire the success that it was, does hereby publicly commend them for their work; and be it

Futher Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to forward a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to the theatre industry and various unions and trades who contributed their services.

### Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved to amend by including therein the name of Supervisor Christopher.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan suggested that "outside of the resolution," the Board commend Supervisor Christopher for his efforts in assuring the successful benefit.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Thereupon, the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee—2.

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange Permanent Exhibit to Commemorate Signing of United Nations Charter in San Francisco.**

Supervisors Colman and Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6120, Resolution No. 5915 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it has ever been deemed fitting and proper that an event of significance in mankind's advance toward a higher civilization be commemorated with a suitable memorial; and

Whereas, San Francisco is known throughout the world as the birthplace of the United Nations, organized here only last year; and

Whereas, visitors to our city are interested in seeing the buildings where the United Nations organization was created, and would be interested in viewing mementoes of that historic occasion; and

Whereas, the gathering of documents and other things remindful and illustrative of the first United Nations meeting and their assembly into a permanent exhibit would enhance the prestige of San Francisco and be of perpetual service to students of international history; and

Whereas, no agency has been officially charged with responsibility for undertaking such a community enterprise; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, the Mayor, be and hereby is requested to appoint a citizens' committee to assemble a permanent exhibit as heretofore outlined, to arrange for or suggest a place for said exhibit to be housed, to recommend a policy to govern the care and use of said exhibit, and to propose any other means it may conclude are feasible and desirable for sustaining interest in and contributing to the future study of the writing and the signing of the United Nations Charter in San Francisco.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee—2.

**Providing for Night Meetings of Board of Supervisors.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 6121, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, many people are working during the day and are unable to attend the meetings of the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the regular meetings of the Board of Supervisors shall be held at night upon such date and commencing at such hour as shall be fixed by the Rules Committee in a resolution subsequently to be adopted by this Board.

*Referred to Rules Committee.*



**Outside Counsel to Defend the City and County in Action Brought  
by Dion R. Holm Against the City and County.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented proposal requesting the City Attorney to employ outside counsel to defend action being brought by Dion R. Holm against the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:35 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 18, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco.







Monday, October 14, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 14, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Christopher was excused from attendance at 4:40 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 3, 1946, was considered read and approved.

Leave of Absence Granted His Honor, the Mayor, and Appointment of Supervisor Dan Gallagher as Acting Mayor.

The following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:

October 14, 1946.

The Honorable, the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I hereby request leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California from Saturday, October 19, to Wednesday, October 23, 1946, both dates inclusive, for the purpose of attending the Catholic Conference on Industrial Problems, in Portland, Oregon.

I am asking the Honorable Dan Gallagher to act as Mayor during my absence.

Sincerely,

R. D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

cc: Hon. Dan Gallagher.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor.

Thereupon, the Clerk presented the following:

Proposal No. 6138, Resolution No. 5932 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence from Saturday, October 19, 1946, to Wednesday, October 23, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead—4.

### Presentation of Guests.

Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, at the request of President Dan Gallagher, presented to the Board, General Howard Smith, in charge of the public health service in the Philippines. General Smith addressed the Board at some length, reporting on conditions in the Orient, and on the efforts being made to prevent the spread of disease. He paid high tribute to the cooperation he had received from Dr. Geiger.

During the day's proceedings, the President presented to the Board, Senator Collier, from Siskiyou County, California.

### Privilege of the Floor.

On motion by Supervisor Christopher, Mr. Leonard H. Riave, representing San Francisco Veterans' Housing Committee, was granted the privilege of the floor. Mr. Riave discussed briefly the immediate need for housing for returned veterans. He also urged the Board of Supervisors to provide space, possibly in the Hospitality House, for the committee to conduct its campaign for housing. Mr. Riave protested nonessential building at this time.

### Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From Redwood Empire Supervisors Unit, announcing meeting October 17th, Sacramento, in connection with Black Point-Sears Point Cut-offs.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From San Francisco Business Men's Garden Club, urging that the Palace of Fine Arts be set aside for a cultural center.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the League of California Cities, enclosing opinion of Attorney General relative to assessment procedure on property sold under contract of sale by the Veterans' Welfare Board.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Mrs. Olive W. Swerrie, urging passage of an ordinance which will prohibit smoking in public conveyances.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Presiding Judge, Municipal Court, submitting monthly report for September, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Mrs. Thomas L. Mulvey, thanking Board for its resolution expressing sympathy on the death of her husband.

*Ordered filed.*

From Arthur A. Newhouse, thanking Board for its resolution expressing sympathy on the death of his brother.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Civil Service Commission, renewing recommendation that position of Actuarial Statistician, Retirement System, be exempted from residential qualifications as set forth in the Charter.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Community Chest, asking enactment of legislation which will allow Department of Public Welfare to grant assistance to non-residents during interim period while action by the Supervisors is pending.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the State Reconstruction and Reemployment Commission,



commending Board for its action in directing preparation of a Master Airport Plan for San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the City Attorney, recommending settlement of suits filed against the City as a result of the V-J Day riots.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Mayor, transmitting circular entitled, "A Proposal for Equalizing Property Taxes Through a State-wide System of Assessment."

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Alta California Inc., announcing meeting of board of directors, Marysville Hotel, Marysville, October 25th.

*Motion carried authorizing representation.*

From the Assessor, transmitting Assessor's Clerical Error List for the 1946-47 Assessment Roll.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From a number of signators, petition asking repeal of legislation which prohibits parking on Taylor Street from Market Street to Post Street between 4:00 and 6:00 o'clock p. m.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From the Director of Public Welfare, submitting report on non-resident cases of caseloads and expenditures for September.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Waterfront Employers' Association, transmitting the fourth in a series of articles in connection with labor conditions on the waterfront.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Thomas Lipton Company presented by Supervisor Meyer, requesting permission to install and maintain a spur track.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Leonard B. McRae and Legal Action on Said Claim for the sum of Three Hundred Twenty-five Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$325.80).**

Bill No. 4324, Ordinance No. 4084 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Leonard B. McRae and legal action on said claim for the sum of three hundred twenty-five dollars and eighty cents (\$325.80).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended, and the Board of Fire Commissioners having approved, the settlement of the claim of Leonard B. McRae and legal action on said claim instituted by action No. 204218 in the Municipal Court of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, wherein said Leonard B. McRae is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco is defendant, for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiff as the result of an auto accident occurring on the 22d day of November, 1945, at the intersection of Geary Boulevard and 25th Avenue, San Francisco, California, by the payment to plaintiff by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of Three Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars

and Eighty Cents (\$325.80), and said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claim and action by said payment to said Leonard B. McRae, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of Three Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars and Eighty Cents (\$325.80) in favor of Leonard B. McRae.

Recommended and approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form and payment recommended by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Water Department, by Changing Salary Schedule of General Manager and Chief Engineer from \$1,000 to \$1,250, Retroactive to July 1, 1946.

Bill No. 4316, Ordinance No. 4082 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882. (Series of 1939), Section 73 Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department, Executive, by amending the salary schedule of Class U44 General Manager and Chief Engineer from \$1,000 to \$1,250 retroactive to July 1, 1946.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 73 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT—EXECUTIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	\$185-230
2	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
3	1	U44	General Manager and Chief Engineer .....	1,250*

\*Compensation schedule over rate fixed by Salary Standardization.

Ordinance by virtue of Superior Court judgment (Case No. 339,417).

Section 2. This ordinance is hereby made retroactive so as to become effective as of July 1, 1946, to provide salary in accordance with judgment rendered by Superior Court in case No. 339,417.

Approved as to funds available (appropriation 666.110.00) by the Controller.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Amending Salary Ordinance, Superior Court, by Deleting Class Number and by Adding Two Additional Senior Clerk-Stenographers.

Bill No. 4336, Ordinance No. 4086 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939),

\*Section 24 Superior Court, by deleting those class numbers presently included to designate employments in said section; and by increasing the number of employments under item 7 from 2 to 4 Senior Clerk-Stenographers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), \*Section 24 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**\*Section 24. SUPERIOR COURT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	18		Judges . . . . .	(c \$583.33
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	(c 700
3	3		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner . . . . .	(c 350
5	1		Secretary-Attendant Grand Jury . . . . .	385-460
6	7		Court Interpreter (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
7	4		Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
8	4		Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
9	1		Senior Clerk-Typist . . . . .	230-290
9.1	1		Probate Investigator . . . . .	500
9.2	18		Court Reporter . . . . .	(c 400
10			Court Reporter, Pro Tempore. . . . .	(c \$20.00 per day plus transcriptions when necessary.

\*Included for convenience of Civil Service Commission and Controller for purpose of checking payrolls.

Not subject to classification by Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Creating San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund in Amount of \$2,500. Providing for Maintenance and Use Therefor; Repealing Legislation Establishing Revolving Funds in Amounts of \$200 and \$500 for Same Department.**

Bill No. 4337, Ordinance No. 4087 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Creating San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund; providing for manner of its maintenance and use; repealing Bill No. 162, Ordinance No. 15.051 and Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 1489.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created a San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund, in the amount of \$2,500, for the purpose of providing change funds and making expenditures which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn by the Controller upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco. All expenditures from said San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be made in accordance with rules and regulations of the Public Utilities Commission and of the Controller.

Section 2. The San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be established as follows:

(a) Cash Change Funds, as may be authorized by the Public Utili-

ties Commission, shall be established for the purpose of providing and making change in connection with the operations of the San Francisco Airport.

(b) Petty Cash Funds, as may be authorized by the Public Utilities Commission, shall be established for the purpose of making direct petty cash payments of expenditures in accordance with procedure prescribed by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller.

(c) The balance of said San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be maintained in such bank or banks as may be designated by the Public Utilities Commission, and disbursement therefrom shall be made, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1 by checks signed by a representative or representatives designated by the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 3. The Manager of Utilities shall cause a full, true and correct account to be kept of all monies received for or disbursed from said revolving fund, and shall, at least once during each month after the establishment of said fund, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with proper vouchers supporting said disbursements and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, the Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of said revolving fund for the aggregate amount of said disbursements.

Section 4. Expenditures from the San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund shall be made only for such items as there are funds legally available for reimbursement to said Revolving Fund.

Section 5. Bill No. 162, Ordinance No. 15.051 and Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 1489, establishing the San Francisco Airport Revolving Fund in the amounts of \$200.00 and \$500.00, respectively, are hereby repealed.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Manager of Utilities.

Certified as to funds available by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 to provide funds for a revolving fund for the San Francisco Airport.

Bill No. 4344, Ordinance No. 4093 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 to provide funds for a revolving fund for the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated from the funds heretofore provided by Bill No. 162, Ordinance No. 15.051, and Bill No. 1542, Ordinance No. 1489, and the sum of \$2,000 from Appropriation No. 664.901.00, to provide funds for a revolving fund for the San Francisco Airport.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available (Subject to approval of Bill No. 4337, Ordinance No. 4087) by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 701.**

Bill No. 4338, Ordinance No. 4088 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 701.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Post Street, distant thereon 191 feet and 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Fillmore Street; running thence easterly along said line of Post Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 309.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Creating a Fund to Be Known as Social Service Trust Fund, and Authorizing Withdrawal of Balance in Crocker First National Bank and Prescribing Procedure for the Operation Thereof.**

Bill No. 4339, Ordinance No. 4089 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Creating a fund to be known as Social Service Trust Fund, and authorizing withdrawal of balance in Crocker First National Bank and prescribing procedure for the operation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. 1. There is hereby created a Social Service Trust Fund to consist of the sum of \$110.26 now on deposit with Crocker First National Bank to the credit of San Francisco Hospital Social Service Fund and all other moneys that may be received hereafter designated for the same purpose.

2. That Dr. T. E. Albers, Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital be hereby authorized to withdraw the balance of \$110.26 deposited in Crocker First National Bank and deposit same in the Treasury to the credit of Social Service Trust Fund.

3. This fund shall be used exclusively for such things as may be

for the general welfare of patients of San Francisco Hospital which are not provided for them by other appropriations.

4. The procedure of administering San Francisco Hospital Social Service Fund shall conform to provisions of the Charter, the annual appropriation ordinances and the procurement procedure prescribed jointly by the Purchaser of Supplies and the Controller.

5. All expenditures from such fund shall be made upon the recommendation of the Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital, subject to the approval of the Director of Public Health and Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Controller.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$3,505 From General Fund Compensation Reserve for Compensation of Position, Supervisors of Payrolls at \$360-430 Per Month Which Position Is Created; Abolishing Position Chief Clerk at Same Salary Range, in Office of Controller.**

Bill No. 4340, Ordinance No. 4090 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,505 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B56 Supervisor of Payrolls at \$360-430 per month in the Controller's Office, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B68 Chief Clerk at \$360-430 per month in the same office.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,505 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 660.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B56 Supervisor of Payrolls at \$360-430 per month in the Controller's Office, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B68 Chief Clerk at \$360-430 per month in the Controller's Office is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Controller by Deleting Position of Chief Clerk and Adding Position, Supervisor of Payrolls, at Same Salary Schedule.**

Bill No. 4315, Ordinance No. 4081 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939),

Section 67.1 Controller (continued) by deleting item 12 1 B68 Chief Clerk at \$360-430; and by adding new item 12 1 B56 Supervisor of Payrolls at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 67.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67.1. **CONTROLLER** (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
12	1	B56	<b>Supervisor of Payrolls</b> . . . . .	\$360-430
12.1	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
13	2	B210	Office Assistant (part time) at rate of . . . . .	140-175
14	9	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
14.1	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	(k 229
15	3	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
16	4	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$2,159.58 From Surplus in Unappropriated Balance of 1931 Parks and Squares Bond Fund for Rehabilitation of Golden Gate Park Panhandle Driveway.**

Bill No. 4342, Ordinance No. 4091 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,159.58 from the surplus existing in the unappropriated balance of the 1931 Parks and Squares Bond Fund to provide funds for the rehabilitation of the Golden Gate Park Panhandle Driveway.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,159.58 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the 1931 Parks and Squares Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 88.000.01, to provide funds for the rehabilitation of the Golden Gate Park Panhandle driveway.

Recommended by the Superintendent, Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Board of Park Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$13,209 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for Compensation of 7 Fingerprint Technicians at \$222(s) Per Month in Police Department; Abolishing Positions of 7 Policemen at \$225 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4343, Ordinance No. 4092 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$13,209 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00,

to provide funds for the compensation of 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technicians at \$222 (s) per month in the Police Department, which positions are created; abolishing the positions of 7 Q2 policemen at \$225 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$13,209 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 609.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technicians at \$222 (s) per month in the Police Department, which positions are hereby created.

Section 2. The positions of 7 Q2 Policemen at \$225 per month in the Police Department are hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Chief of Police.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Police Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, by Eliminating 7 Policemen at 225-250 and by Adding 7 Fingerprint Technicians at \$185-230.**

Bill No. 4284, Ordinance No. 4079 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.11 Police Department (continued) Bureau of Inspectors, by decreasing the number of employments under item 23 from 10 to 3 Q2 policeman at \$225-250; and by adding item 28.1 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technician at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.11 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 11.1 POLICE DEPARTMENT (Continued)**  
**BUREAU OF INSPECTORS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
18.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$185-230
19	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
20	1		Captain of Inspectors . . . . .	(b 550
21	95		Inspectors . . . . .	(b 300
22	21	Q2	Policeman (Assistant Inspector),	
			1st year . . . . .	(b 225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b 250
23	3	Q2	<b>Policeman, 1st year</b> . . . . .	(b 225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b 250
24	3	Q20	<b>Policewoman, 1st year</b> . . . . .	(b 225
			2nd year . . . . .	(b 233.33
			3rd year . . . . .	(b 241.66
			4th year . . . . .	(b 250



Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
25	2	Q50	Sergeant (Assistant Inspector) ..	(b 290
26	10	Q60	Lieutenant .....	(b 325
27	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	(b 275
28	1	Q63	Criminologist .....	(b 415
28.1	7	Q65	<b>Fingerprint Technician</b> .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Companion Bill to the Two Foregoing Items. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, to Permit 7 Fingerprint Technicians to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4314, Ordinance No. 4080 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.12 Police Department by adding 7 Q65 Fingerprint Technicians to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours a week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 1.12 is hereby amended as follows:

**Section 1.12. POLICE**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B4 Bookkeeper .....	1	4
B6 Senior Bookeeper .....	1	8
B310 Tabulating Machine Operator	4	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	3	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	3	8
B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	2	4
B454 Telephone Operator .....	14	8
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	7	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	9	8
Q25 Inspector of Motor Vehicles.	1	8
Q28 Range Master .....	1	8
Q65 <b>Fingerprint Technician</b> .....	7	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$1,572.50 From Surplus in General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide for General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 Per Month, Public Welfare Department, Which Position Is Created; Abolishing Position General Clerk-Stenographer at Same Salary in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4345, Ordinance No. 4094 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,572.50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.-199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General

Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,572.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230 in the Public Welfare Department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission, Resolution of August 29, 1946.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance to Provide for 1 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 and Eliminating Position of 1 General Clerk-Stenographer at Same Salary.**

Bill No. 4283, Ordinance No. 4078 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 66 Public Welfare Department, by decreasing the number of employments under item 7 from 38 to 37 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 29 to 30 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 66 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
2	1	B25	Business Manager .....	385-460
3	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
4	5	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
4.1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	(k 230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
6	1	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
7	37	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> .....	185-230
9	1	B419.1	Secretary, Public Welfare Commission....	250-315
10	3	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	1	B510	Braille Typist .....	185-230
12	30	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
12.1	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	(k 230
13	2	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290
14	4	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
15	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor.....	195-230

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	2	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of . . .	460
18	85	T157	Social Service Worker . . . . .	200-245
19	13	T160	Senior Social Service Worker . . . . .	250-315
20	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare . . . . .	550-660
21	1	T165	District Supervisor . . . . .	360-430

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$2,000 From Surplus in Recreation Fund Compensation Reserve for Payment of overtime to Monthly Employees of Recreation Department.**

Bill No. 4346, Ordinance No. 4095 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,000 out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 613.199.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Recreation Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Recreation Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 613.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.111.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Recreation Department.

Recommended by the Superintendent, Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Grayce Cocklin and Legal Action on Said Claim for the Sum of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars.**

Bill No. 4317, Ordinance No. 4083 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Grayce Cocklin and Legal Action on said claim for the sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended, and the Department of Public Works having approved, the settlement of the claim of Grayce Cocklin and legal action on said claim instituted by Action No. 342421 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein said Grayce Cocklin is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco is defendant, for the recovery of damages sustained by plaintiff as the result of a fall occurring on the fourth day of August, 1944, at or near the intersection of Montgomery and Pine Streets, San Francisco, California, by the payment to said plaintiff by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of Five Hundred

(\$500.00) Dollars, and said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby directed to settle said claim and action by said payment to said Grayce Cocklin, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for said sum of Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars in favor of Grayce Cocklin.

Recommended and approved by the Department of Public Works. Approved as to form and payment recommended by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Final Passage.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

Appropriating \$250,000 From Surplus in Appropriation, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund for Surveys, Preliminary Engineering and Preparation of Plans and Specifications in Connection With Construction of a Third Bay Division Pipeline From Irvington Portal To Pulgas Tunnel.

Bill No. 4325, Ordinance No. 4085 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$250,000 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions & Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to provide funds in the Water Department for surveys, preliminary engineering and preparation of plans and specifications in connection with the construction of a third bay division pipe line from Irvington Portal to Pulgas Tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$250,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 66.957.00, to provide funds in the Water Department for surveys, preliminary engineering and preparation of plans and specifications in connection with the construction of a third bay division pipe line from Irvington Portal to Pulgas Tunnel.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

**Confirming Sale of Portion of Assessor's Block 12 to Title Insurance and Guaranty Co.**

Proposal No. 6096, Resolution No. 5919 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3897, Bill No. 4126 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him at 10 a. m. Thursday, Sept. 26, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Jefferson Street with the easterly line of Jones Street; running thence easterly along said line of Jefferson Street 150 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 150 feet to the easterly line of Jones Street; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Jones Street 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being part of 50 Vara Block No. 201.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, A. Parente as the highest bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$82,000; and

Whereas, said sum of \$82,000 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property, the amount of said appraisal being \$70,000; and

Whereas, A Parente has paid the City a deposit of \$8,200 in connection with this transaction, and said party has requested that the property be conveyed to the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the United States of America.**

Proposal No. 6112, Resolution No. 5920 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Cancellation of taxes—property acquired by the United States of America.

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all taxes for the years 1932, 1933, 1934, 1935, 1936 and 1938 with respect

to Lot No. 38, 40-A of Block 7201, which taxes became a lien on the first Monday in March of said years; all taxes for the years 1932, 1933, 1934, 1935, 1936, 1937, 1938 and 1939 of Lot No. 36, Block 7201, which taxes became a lien on the first Monday of March of said years.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.**

Proposal No. 6113, Resolution No. 5921 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following parties or the legal owners, to certain real property situated in San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58:

Peninsula Title Guaranty Company, a corporation. . . . \$1,800  
Lots 1, 2, and 3 in Block 1 and Lots 1, 4, and 5 in  
Block 2 as designated on "Map of Marino Vista Park  
Situated in San Mateo Co."

Joseph J. O'Connor. . . . . 320  
Lot 7 in Block 3 as designated on "Map of Marino  
Vista Park Situated in San Mateo Co."

The above amounts in the total sum of \$2,120 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5441 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcels of land, the Controller is authorized to release said sum from his previous certification and make said sum available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Cancellation of Taxes on State Property.**

Proposal No. 6114, Resolution No. 5922 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller in his capacity as County Auditor, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the

real property taxes for the year 1945-46 on the following properties which were sold to the State June 29, 1946, for delinquent taxes:

<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>
1	3742
1	3758
4	3976
8	3976
18	3976
26	3976
5	4157
29	4202
4	4215
7	4215
9	4215
11	4215
16	4215
20	4215
21	4215
23	4215
14	4261

Said property has been acquired by the State of California subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1945.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Verified by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Land Purchase—Market Street and Portola Drive, Project No. 39.**

Proposal No. 6115, Resolution No. 5923 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, Calif., required for Market Street and Portola Drive, Project No. 39, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from appropriation No. 677.923.58:

Jennie L. Rigdon .....	\$575
Lots 1 and 2 in Assessor's Block 2894.	
Gussie Stolz Soher et al. ....	350
Lot 3 in Assessor's Block 2894.	

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

The sum of \$925 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under resolution No. 5652 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through Eminent Domain proceedings, and in as much as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to said property, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under said resolution No. 5652, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.  
 Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee, in discussing the foregoing proposal, stated that he had no objection to the proposal. The land purchase was for the upper portion of the Market Street-Portola Drive project, against which there was no opposition. However, there was opposition against the lower portion of the project. He believed the people affected should receive an explanation of the project. Possibly, if that were done, there might not be any opposition.

The Chief Administrative Officer, referring to the lower portion of the project, stated that could not be intelligently discussed at the present time. He thought the matter should be set for special hearing, either in committee, or in the Board.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, moved that a hearing on the lower portion of the Market Street-Portola Drive project be set for Monday, October 28, 1946, at 3:00 p. m., and that the Board ask the Chief Administrative Officer to have his engineers present to explain the project.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Land Purchase—Persia Avenue Extension.

Proposal No. 6116, Resolution No. 5924 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Assunta Risetto, or the legal owner, to the following described land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the extension of Persia Avenue, and that the sum of \$6,000 be paid for said land as hereinafter provided:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Ruth Street with the northwesterly line of Mission Street, and running thence northeasterly along said line of Mission Street 52.125 feet to the southwesterly line of the lands now or formerly owned by G. Colicchia and Vitina Colicchia; thence deflecting  $94^{\circ} 40' 30''$  to the left and running northwesterly on last named line 64.016 feet; thence deflecting  $146^{\circ} 38' 29''$  to the left and running southeasterly 67.778 feet; thence southeasterly, southerly and southwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 8.000 feet, a central angle of  $146^{\circ} 38' 29''$ , a distance of 20.475 feet to tangency with the northeasterly line of Ruth Street; thence southeasterly on said line of Ruth Street 7.555 feet to the northwesterly line of Mission Street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 8 and 9, Assessor's Block 6955.

The above mentioned sum of \$6,000 shall be paid from the money on deposit with the County Clerk of San Francisco in connection



with that certain Superior Court Action entitled City and County of San Francisco vs. G. Colicchia et al., No. 349756.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### **Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6125, Resolution No. 5925 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated October 14, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of October and November, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### **Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6126, Resolution No. 5926 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, decreases, discontinuances, increases and other transactions, effective July 1, August 1, September 1, and October 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare Department recommendations for care of Needy Aged Persons in County Hospitals, and for discontinuance of such care, as provided under Section 2160.7 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, State of California, effective as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare Department recommendations for care of Needy Blind in County Hospitals, as provided under Section 3044.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, State of California, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be

and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Release of Lien Recorded in Connection With Medical Care Furnished by the San Francisco Hospital to Arthur Jackson.**

Proposal No. 6127, Resolution No. 5927 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on August 7, 1946, a lien was recorded against the real property situate at 1441 Post Street, said lien being recorded in Volume 4462, at Page 404, Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, and executed pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance 18.013 by Arthur Jackson to secure reimbursement for medical care furnished to said Arthur Jackson by the San Francisco Hospital; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Health has certified to this Board of Supervisors that the claim of the City and County of San Francisco for said medical care has been satisfied by payment in full of San Francisco Hospital bill No. 19477; and

Whereas, Said Arthur Jackson, on payment of the debt secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of said lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Approval of Warrants, Islais Creek Reclamation District.**

Proposal No. 6128, Resolution No. 5916 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 938 to Antonio Silvani - Louise Silvani, for . . . .	\$556.19
No. 939 to San Francisco Chronicle, for . . . . .	14.72
No. 940 to Buckley & Curtin, for . . . . .	32.29
No. 941 to M. H. Levy, for . . . . .	7.60

payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**Appropriating \$2,220 to Provide for Creation of Position of General Clerk-Stenographer, at \$185 Per Month, in Bureau of School Inspection, Medical, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4225, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,220 out of the surplus existing in

Appropriation No. 650.267.01 (Medical Service & Care of Crippled Children) to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185 per month in the Department of Public Health (Bureau of School Inspection, Medical), which position is established.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,220 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 650.267.01 (Medical Service & Care of Crippled Children), to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.110.08, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185 per month in the Department of Public Health (Bureau of School Inspection, Medical), which position is hereby established.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, School Inspection, Medical.**

Bill No. 4226, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), section 55 Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 53.1 from 1 to 2 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
53.1	2	B408	<b>General Clerk-Stenographer</b> . . . . .	\$185-230
53.2	1	L208	Nutritionist . . . . .	230-260
54	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) as rate of . . . . .	275-345
55	2	L364	Physician Specialist . . . . .	520
56	12	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	520
56.1	1	L368	Director, Bureau of Child Hygiene. . . . .	550-660
57	2	L602	Audiometer Technician . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Appropriating \$2,150 to Provide for Creation of Position of 1 Foreman of Recreational Activities at \$264 (s) in Park Department.

Bill No. 4358, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,150 out of the surplus existing in the Park Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 612.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 R130 Foreman of Recreational Activities at \$264 (s) per month in the Park Department, which position is created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,150 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Park Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 612.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 612,110.03, to provide funds for the compensation of 1R130 Foreman of Recreational Activities at \$264 (s) per month in the Park Department, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Superintendent, Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Park Department.**

Bill No. 4367, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 14b, Park Department—Personal Services—Revenue Division—Commissary Units, by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 3 to 4 R130 Foreman, Recreational Activities.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 14b is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 14b. **PARK DEPARTMENT—PERSONAL SERVICES—REVENUE DIVISION—COMMISSARY UNITS**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE MONEYS—**The following positions are in the Revenue Division and predicated on receipts from said divisions. The employments are not established as continuing positions but “as needed” when services are required and funds from receipts are available.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	\$185-230
2	2	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
3	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	150-190
4	2	J70	Hostler . . . . .	9.00 day
5	1	R24	Supervisor of Restaurants and Playgrounds . . . . .	275-345
6	4	R130	<b>Foreman, Recreational Activities..</b>	<b>175-220</b>

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Appropriating the Sum of \$344.22 to Compensate Los Angeles County for Hospital Care Rendered to Citizens of the City and County of San Francisco Pursuant to Provisions of Section 2200, Welfare & Institutions Code.

Bill No. 4359, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$344.22 from the Unappropriated Balance of the General Fund 1945-1946 to provide funds to compensate Los Angeles County for hospital care rendered to citizens of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to provisions of Section 2200, Welfare & Institutions Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$344.22 is hereby appropriated from the Unappropriated Balance of the General Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Department of Public Health:

Appropriation  
No.

350.200.02 — Department of Public Health, Central Office, Admin. . . . .	\$283.32
450.200.02 — Department of Public Health, Central Office, Admin. . . . .	60.90

to provide funds to compensate Los Angeles County for hospital care rendered to citizens of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to provisions of Section 2200, Welfare & Institutions Code.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Appropriating the Sum of \$36,000 for the Purchase and Installation of Six Hydraulic Lifts for Servicing of Motor Coaches at Twenty-fourth Street Garage.

Bill No. 4360, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$36,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds, Municipal Railway, to provide funds for the purchase and installation of six hydraulic lifts for servicing of motor coaches at Twenty-fourth Street Garage.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$36,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds, Municipal Railway, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.500.00, to provide

funds for the purchase and installation of six hydraulic lifts for the servicing of motor coaches at the Twenty-fourth Street Garage.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Execute Necessary Project Statements and Memoranda of Agreement Covering Installation of Traffic Signals, Channelization of Traffic and Installation of Safety Lights, and to Transmit Them to the District Engineer, State Department of Public Works.**

Bill No. 4361, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordinance authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer to execute necessary project statements and memoranda of agreement covering installation of traffic signals, channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights, projects payable from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, for and on behalf of the City & County of San Francisco, and transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Chief Administrative Officer is hereby authorized to execute the necessary project statements and memoranda of agreement covering the following projects, payable from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works:

Nineteenth Avenue and Park-Presidio Boulevard—installation of traffic signals.

Bayshore Boulevard at Oakdale Avenue—installation of traffic signals.

Bayshore Boulevard, County Line-Third Street—installation of traffic signals.

Lombard Street—Richardson Avenue—installation of traffic signals.

Portola Drive, Evelyn Way—Twin Peaks Boulevard—Channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights.

Portola Drive—Junipero Serra, intersection of above highways—channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Appropriating \$69,950 for Installation of Traffic Signals, Channelization of Traffic by Constructing Traffic Island, and Installation of Safety Lights.**

Bill No. 4362, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$69,950 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to provide funds for the installation of traffic signals and channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$69,950 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts and for the purposes recited:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Amount</i>
677.915.00—	Nineteenth Avenue and Park—Presidio Boulevard —Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	\$ 9,000
677.927.00—	Bayshore Boulevard at Oakdale Avenue—Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	1,550
677.928.00—	Bayshore Boulevard, County Line—Third Street—Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	23,000
677.929.00—	Lombard Street — Richardson Avenue — Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	14,900
677.930.00—	Portola Drive, Evelyn Way—Twin Peaks Boulevard—Channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights . . . . .	12,000
677.932.00 —	Portola Drive — Junipero Serra, Intersection of above highways—Channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights . . . . .	9,500

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$1,295 for Temporary Employment of 1 Senior Museum Technician at \$185 Per Month in the de Young Museum.**

Bill No. 4366, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,295 out of the surplus existing in the de Young Museum Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 618.199.00, to provide funds for the temporary employment of 1 Y44 Senior Museum Technician at \$185 per month in the de Young Museum.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,295 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the de Young Museum Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 618.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation

No. 618.120.00, to provide funds for the temporary employment of 1 Y44 Senior Museum Technician at \$185 per month in the de Young Museum, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Director of the de Young Museum.

Approved by the Board of Trustees of the de Young Museum.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Amending Ordinance No. 4045, Establishing San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps to Provide That All Ordinances and Parts of Ordinances Relating to Civilian Defense in San Francisco, Which Are Not Specifically Repealed by Said Ordinance, Shall Remain in Effect and Shall be Applicable to the San Francisco Disaster Council and to the San Francisco Disaster Corps.**

Bill No. 4368, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. 4045, entitled "An ordinance establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and providing for various civilian protection and service agencies thereunder; enumerating certain powers and duties and conferring additional powers and duties on certain municipal officers and employees in connection with civilian protection and relief from disaster, by adding a new section to said ordinance to be known as Section 11, providing that all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to civilian defense in San Francisco, which are not specifically repealed by said Ordinance No. 4045, shall remain in effect and shall be applicable to the San Francisco Disaster Council and to the San Francisco Disaster Corps created hereunder."

Section 1. Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. 4045, entitled as aforesaid, is hereby amended by adding an additional section thereto to be designated as Section 11, to read as follows, to-wit:

Section 11. All ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to Civilian Defense in San Francisco, which are not specifically repealed by this ordinance, shall remain in effect and shall be applicable to the San Francisco Disaster Council and to the San Francisco Disaster Corps created hereunder.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Final Passage.**

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance by Providing for Method of Calculation of Pay of Temporary and Non-Civil Service Employees of the Registrar of Voters for Work on Election Day. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4369, Ordinance No. 4096 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Bill No. 4101, Ordinance No. 3882, commonly called the annual salary ordinance, by adding Section 2.4.2 thereto and providing for the method of calculation of pay of tempo-



rary and non-civil service employees of the Registrar of Voters for work on election day. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 3882, Bill No. 4101 is hereby amended by adding Section 2.4.2 thereto to read as follows:

**Section 2.4.2. Calculation of Compensation for Temporary and Non-Civil Service Employees of the Registrar of Voters for Work on Election Day.** Regardless of the provisions of Section 2.4 hereof, or any other section of the annual salary ordinance, employees of the office of the Registrar of Voters who are under temporary or emergency appointment in entrance classifications, shall not be paid premium pay, or an extra day's pay, for work on Election Day, but shall be paid for such service at the regular rate of pay specified in the salary standardization schedules for the respective classifications under which such temporary or emergency appointees are engaged.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, to-wit: to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the office of the Registrar of Voters.

Recommended by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Lakeview Avenue Between Jules and Ashton Avenue.**

Proposal No. 6070, Resolution No. 5917 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named street, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 24947 of the Director of Public Works dated September 18, 1946, making written recommendation of such action, filed with said Board September 20, 1946, to-wit:

*Lakeview Avenue*

Jules Avenue westerly line produced . . . . .	456.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
75 feet westerly from Jules Avenue . . . . .	467.00
Ashton Avenue easterly line produced . . . . .	499.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Lakeview Avenue, between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Chronicle is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Kempton Avenue Between Alemany Boulevard and a Line at Right Angles to Kempton Avenue, Westerly Line, 315.62 Feet Northerly Therefrom.**

Proposal No. 6091, Resolution No. 5918 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 24958 of the Director of Public Works dated September 20, 1946, making written recommendation of such action, filed with said Board September 23, 1946, to-wit:

*Kempton Avenue*

- On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 315.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard..... 209.00
- On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 215.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard..... 216.87
- On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 165.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard..... 219.97
- On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 115.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard ..... 221.40
- Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.
- On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 24.44 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard..... 222.50
- At a point on the northerly line of Alemany Boulevard on a line 10 feet perpendicular to Kempton Avenue westerly line ..... 222.45
- On Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, and 315.62 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Chronicle is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

## Passed for Second Reading.

Creating Underground District No. 119, Geary Street and Geary Boulevard, From East Line of Broderick Street to the East Line of Masonic Avenue.

Bill No. 4364, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code by creating and adding thereto an additional underground district, Geary Street and Boulevard from the east line of Broderick Street to the east line of Masonic Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement of the Anzavista Tract designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 119:

Geary Street, between the east line of Broderick Street and the east line of Presidio Avenue; and

Geary Boulevard, between the east line of Presidio Avenue and the east line of Masonic Avenue.

Recommended by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bancroft Avenue, From Mendell to Third Streets, as Follows: On Northeasterly Side, From 15 Feet to 10 Feet; on Southwesterly Side, From 15 Feet to 12 Feet.

Bill No. 4363, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Three Hundred and Fifty-two (352) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office October 3, 1946, by amending Section Three Hundred Fifty-two (352) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 352:

The width of sidewalks on Bancroft Avenue between Quint and Phelps Streets shall be fifteen (15) feet.

*The width of sidewalk on Bancroft Avenue the northeasterly side of, between Mendell and Third Streets shall be ten (10) feet.*

*The width of sidewalk on Bancroft Avenue the southwesterly side of, between Mendell and Third Streets shall be twelve (12) feet.*

Recommended by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Repealing Bill 4020, Ordinance 3791 (Series of 1939), Ordering the Improvement of Portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets and Appropriating \$1,100 to Legalize the Assessment.

Bill No. 4370, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Repealing Bill 4020, Ordinance 3791 (Series of 1939), ordering the improvement of portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues between Pacheco and Quintara Streets and appropriating \$1,100 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4020, Ordinance 3791 (Series of 1939), ordering the improvement of portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues between Pacheco and Quintara Streets; appropriating \$1,100 to legalize the assessment, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Joseph J. Sullivan, MacPhee.

Declaring Official Policy of the City and County of San Francisco Relating to Market Street Transportation.

Proposal No. 6103, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, no official plan for the disposition of the intolerable four-car track system on Market Street has been adopted or approved by the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, appropriation of funds for temporary or permanent improvements should be based on an approved and official over-all plan to insure maximum protection of public funds and full understanding of public policy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does by the adoption of this resolution, and the Mayor does by his approval affixed hereto, declare that the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco relating to Market Street shall be as follows:

1. Immediate elimination of the two outer tracks on Market Street, streetcar operation thereon to be replaced by bus service.
2. Continuation of the two center tracks for streetcar service pending a study of the possibility of completely eliminating all car tracks on Market Street.

3. Commencement at once by the City Planning Commission, in cooperation with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Manager of Utilities, of (a) a comprehensive study of the feasibility of installing a system of underpasses under Market Street, and (b) the coordination of all other plans to alleviate congestion and improve mass transit service.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee, in explaining the foregoing proposal, stated that it was pointed out by the Manager of Utilities that paragraphs 1 and 2 of the "Resolve" were part of the Newton Report. Paragraph 3 was the part requiring consideration by the Board. It was felt that paragraph 3 would provide for a comprehensive study. It was important, Supervisor MacPhee continued, that the Board establish a policy with respect to Market Street. The San Francisco C.I.O. Council has asked that the Board of Supervisors re-refer this proposal to committee for a further study, stating that no public hearing was held. A public hearing was held. The Market Street Association was represented at that hearing. The Manager of Utilities, the Chief Administrative Officer and others were present.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he was definitely in favor of the type of legislation proposed, but he felt it was a mistake to outline by resolution, certain improvements that should be made in an over-all plan, and particularly to pick out an isolated improvement, although it might be a most important improvement such as Market Street. He was in agreement with the second "whereas" of the proposal. The "Resolve," however, did not cover the over-all plan as discussed in the "whereas," but it deals only with Market Street. There is conflict, Supervisor Lewis stated, between the Master Plan and the Newton Plan. He did not agree that there was an unquestioned policy on Market Street because of the conflict between those two plans. He was opposed, and would continue to be opposed to the expenditure of funds for any improvements which he thought were a part of any unrelated plan. Departments and commissions should present an over-all plan for submission. He would vote against the foregoing proposal at this time.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher moved for re-reference to committee with instructions to report the matter back at the Board's next meeting. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor MacPhee opposed the motion, stating that he thought the matter had had all the study necessary.

Supervisor Lewis then proposed that the proposal remain on the Calendar of the Board.

The Manager of Utilities stated that he had no objection to the proposal.

Mr. McRobbie, representing the San Francisco C.I.O. Council, declared there were many interested parties who were not at any meetings held on this particular plan. He thought the matter should be sent back to committee for further study. He requested that San Francisco C.I.O. Council, Local 250, Transportation Workers be notified if the matter should be returned to committee.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee failed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

After further brief discussion, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, moved that the proposal remain on the Calendar for a period of two weeks.

Supervisor MacPhee announced that he would not oppose a two weeks' postponement. However, he urged that whatever policy was established, it would be established by as large a majority as possible.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman added to his motion, that further consideration be made a special order of business at 2:30 p. m. on Monday, October 28, 1946. Amendment accepted by Supervisor Lewis.

The roll was then called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Police Committee was taken up:

#### Regulating and Licensing the Taking of Photographs of Persons in Public Places.

Bill No. 3730, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, providing procedure for regulating and licensing the taking of photographs of persons in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, and providing license taxes therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, to read as follows:

#### SEC. 124. Photographers—Public Places. (a) Definitions.

As used in this section, the following words shall have the following respective meanings:

"Photographer" shall mean every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of taking photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

"Solicitor" shall mean every person acting as servant, agent or employee of a photographer, as defined herein, who solicits the taking or actually takes photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

The aforesaid definitions shall not include a "Street Photographer" as defined in Section 130 of this Article, nor photographers employed by newspapers or other similar publications while engaged in the scope of their employment.

(b) **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in or carry on, or to maintain or conduct, or cause to be engaged in, carried on, maintained or conducted, the business of photographer or to act as a solicitor without having first secured a permit so

to do from the Chief of Police and a license therefor from the Tax Collector.

(c) **Application for Permit.** Every person requiring a permit as provided for in this section shall make written application to the Chief of Police for such a permit on forms provided by the Police Department. Said application shall be accompanied by fingerprints of the applicant, shall contain all information deemed relevant by the Chief of Police, and for a permit as photographer, shall contain in addition thereto the name, business or occupation, and resident address of each person financially interested in such business. For a permit as solicitor, such application shall be first authorized in writing by the photographer engaging, employing or hiring such person.

(d) **Investigation—Issuance or Denial of Permit—Expiration Date.** Upon receipt of said application the Chief of Police shall conduct such investigation as he may deem proper as to the character and morals of the applicant and the character of the business to be conducted. The Chief of Police may deny said application when, in his opinion, good cause exists therefor. If the Chief of Police approves the granting of said permit, he may issue a permit to said applicant, which permit shall be serially numbered and shall expire on the last day of the calendar quarter year in which issued.

(e) **Permit Forwarded to Tax Collector.** When any permit is issued under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall cause said permit to be forwarded to the office of the Tax Collector for delivery to the permittee upon the payment of the license tax hereinafter set forth.

(f) **License Tax.** Every holder of a permit as herein provided shall pay to the Tax Collector a license tax as follows:

Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars per quarter for each Photographer license, and

Five (\$5.00) Dollars per quarter for each Solicitor license employed.

License taxes paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

The licensee shall issue to each solicitor employed a badge of such wording, design and material as the Chief of Police shall authorize. Said badge shall be worn on the person by the solicitor for whom it was issued, in a conspicuous place for the public to see, at all times when said person is engaged in taking such photographs or soliciting the taking of same. It shall be unlawful for any other person to wear or otherwise display said badge.

(g) **Renewal of Permit.** Renewal of the permit shall be in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 23 of Article 1, Part III, of this Code.

(h) **Revocation of Permit—Rules and Regulations.** The Chief of Police may revoke any permit issued hereunder when the permittee is violating, or attempting to violate, any law of the State of California, any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, any provision of this section, or the rules and regulations issued by the Chief of Police governing the conduct or operations of the permittee. Written notice of such revocation shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations, consistent with the provisions of this section, as he may deem necessary to

govern the conduct or operations of photographers or solicitors, as herein defined.

(i) **Permit and License Not Exemption From Any Other Provisions of Code.** The issuance of a permit or license under the provisions of this section shall not exempt the permittee or licensee from any other provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code or any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco requiring a permit or license or otherwise regulating the taking, or soliciting the taking, of photographs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Sept. 23, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 30, 1946.*

Sept. 30, 1946—*Consideration continued until October 14, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Mr. Walter Duane, attorney, in discussing the foregoing bill, stated that his clients had no objection to regulation of itinerant solicitors for photographs. They did object to regular employees, working in a regularly established place of business, being singled out from other employees in restaurants or night clubs for regulation.

Deputy Chief of Police Riordan agreed with the interpretation placed upon the bill by Supervisor Lewis, that both employer and employee must get permits, make applications and be fingerprinted.

Supervisor McMurray reported to the Board that the proposed legislation was proposed at the request of the Chief of Police.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that the words in subsection (b) of Section 124, "or to act as a solicitor" be deleted. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Deputy Chief Riordan told the Board that if those words were deleted, the Police Department did not want the ordinance. He agreed that the need for the proposed regulation was not so pressing as it was a couple of years ago. However, the need did exist. It was the practice of the Police Department to require finger prints of every application for a permit of any kind. Finger printing was one method of ascertaining an applicant's past history. A good man or woman need never fear finger printing; in fact, they should be proud of their finger prints.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso—2.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Mr. Dave Nathanson, who has girls working in various hotels and other places, opposed the finger printing of his employees. He had no objection to being fingerprinted himself. His girls, though, were very high class, and they would not subject themselves to finger printing.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:



Leave of Absence—Curtis E. Warren, Superintendent of Schools.

Proposal No. 6129, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Section 363 of the Education Code, Curtis E. Warren, Superintendent of Schools, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period commencing October 14 to 23, 1946, for the purpose of attending a meeting of Superintendents of Schools in Cincinnati, Ohio.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Action Rescinded.**

Subsequently during the proceedings, it was noted that in the foregoing proposal, the words "with permission to leave the State," had been omitted.

Following the advice of Mr. Dion R. Holm, Assistant City Attorney, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that the Board rescind its action, whereby Proposal No. 6129 had been adopted.

*No objection, and action rescinded.*

Thereupon, upon the suggestion by Mr. Holm that the proposal granting permission to the Superintendent of Schools be rewritten in language similar to the proposal immediately following.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman presented the following proposal, as a substitute for the proposal appearing on the Calendar, and moved adoption thereof. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Leave of Absence—Curtis E. Warren, Superintendent of Schools.

Proposal No. 6129, Resolution No. 5928 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Curtis E. Warren, Superintendent of Schools, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period commencing October 14 to 23, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Leave of Absence—Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, Member of the Board of Education.

Proposal No. 6130, Resolution No. 5929 (Series of 1939), as follows:

In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Lloyd W. Dinkelspiel, a member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, beginning October 17, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

Leave of Absence—Honorable W. I. Kohnke, Member of the Public Utilities Commission.

Proposal No. 6131, Resolution No. 5930 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable W. I. Kohnke, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period commencing October 15 to October 25, 1946, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Lloyd E. Wilson, President of the Park Commission.**

Proposal No. 6132, Resolution No. 5931 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Lloyd E. Wilson, President of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period commencing October 20 to October 25, 1946, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Leave of Absence—Mr. Thomas C. Howe, Jr., Director of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.**

Proposal No. 6139, Resolution No. 5933 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Thomas C. Howe, Jr., Director of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period October 20 to November 20, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Final Passage.**

**Appropriating \$750, for Memorial to U.S.S. San Francisco.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 4349, Ordinance No. 4077 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$750 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to provide funds in the Park Department for the purpose of preserving a section of the bridge of the Cruiser "San Francisco" and mounting it on an appropriate base to be placed in a public building as a monument.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$750 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to the credit of Park Department Appropriation No. 612.500.99, to provide funds for the purpose of preserving a section of the bridge of the Cruiser "San Francisco" and mounting it on an appropriate base attractively designed, thereby giving this original section of the bridge permanent monument status. This

monument will be placed in a public building for the benefit of the people.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

#### Alta California Inc.—Meeting of Board of Directors.

Supervisor Meyer, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the President of the Board of Supervisors appoint a member of said Board to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the meeting of the board of directors of Alta California Inc. to be held at Marysville on Friday, October 25, 1946, at which meeting legislative matters affecting the City and County will be discussed; provided, funds for such purpose are available.

*No objection*, and motion *carried*.

#### Expression of Sympathy to Mayor William O'Dwyer, of New York City.

Supervisor Lewis, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Clerk express, by letter or telegram, to Honorable William O'Dwyer, Mayor of New York City, the Board's sympathy on the death of his wife.

Motion *unanimously carried*.

#### Proposed Lot Size Legislation.

Supervisor Colman presented, for reference to committee, draft of a proposed ordinance regulating the subdivision of land and the use of lots for dwelling purposes.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

#### Underpass at San Francisco Airport.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6140, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, with the enlargement of the San Francisco Airport and the increasing number of airplanes arriving at and leaving the Airport, the traffic situation has been aggravated due to the lack of means for ingress and egress; and

Whereas, although this condition will be corrected when the Bayshore Freeway is constructed, at the present time the lives of the employees and passengers are being endangered by the hazardous condition that exists; and

Whereas, a good solution to this problem would be the construction of an underpass at the entrance to the San Francisco Airport; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the State Department of Public Works to give serious consideration to the immediate construction of an underpass at the San Francisco Airport to provide an accessible means of ingress and egress for those people who desire to use the Airport; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Director of the State Department of Public Works, the Assemblymen from San Francisco and the Legislative Representative of the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

#### Underground Garages.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6141, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the traffic situation in San Francisco is in a most deplor-

able state and unless remedial steps are forthcoming, conditions will prove unbearable; and

Whereas, the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee of the Board of Supervisors has held hearings on the question of constructing underground garages; and

Whereas, at said hearings it was stated as the policy of the committee that private capital would be preferred for such construction and public funds reverted to only if private capital failed to show interest for such projects; and

Whereas, the Park Commission has been asked to study the practicability of such construction under several pieces of park property, notably under the Civic Center, St. Mary's Square, Portsmouth Square and Huntington Park; and

Whereas, it has come to the attention of this Board that the Park Commission has called for bids for the construction of an underground garage under St. Mary's Square and that but one bid was received; and

Whereas, such apathy on the part of private capital is certainly not conducive to the alleviation of the presently snarled traffic picture; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does respectfully request the Park Department, the Department of Public Works, the Public Utilities Commission, the Controller and such other departments as are interested in the subject-matter, to study the advisability and feasibility of constructing underground garages and to devise ways and means of financing such projects.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

**In Memoriam—General Joseph W. Stilwell.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6142, Resolution No. 5934 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the heart of the nation has been saddened by the untimely death of one of its greatest military leaders, General Joseph W. Stilwell, commanding general of the Sixth Army; and

Whereas, "Uncle Joe," as he was affectionately called by his fellow soldiers, though great in victory was even greater in defeat, when, in the Burma campaign, with a "rag-tag, bob-tailed outfit" he fought a retreating and delaying battle against a crack Japanese army, thereby giving the Allies vital time for the defense of Australia; and

Whereas, little can be added to the paeans of praise and the accolades heaped by all the nations of the world on General Joseph W. Stilwell, who contributed in incalculable measure to the victories of the Allied Forces against the Japanese; and

Whereas, the memory of General Joseph W. Stilwell will ever be enshrined in the hearts and minds of men throughout the world who cherish liberty and its defenders; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, noting with profound sorrow the passing of General Joseph W. Stilwell, does declare that when its meeting is adjourned this day, it is out of respect to the beloved memory of General Joseph W. Stilwell; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be and he is hereby directed to forward a suitable engrossed copy of this resolution to the family of the late General Joseph W. Stilwell.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Congratulating Various Agencies for Efforts in Making the American Legion Convention a Success.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6143, Resolution No. 5935 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did, on August 5, 1946, adopt a resolution congratulating the police officers of the San Francisco Police Department for the splendid services rendered by them during the National Convention of the Ancient Arabic Order of Nobles of the Mystic Shrine; and

Whereas, since the adoption of said resolution the National Convention of the American Legion was held in San Francisco, which convention brought within our gates thousands of delegates and visitors; and

Whereas, the Police Department was again called upon to cancel days off and extend its hours of duty so that our visiting guests may be afforded every facility to enjoy themselves in our hospitable city; and

Whereas, United States Army and Navy authorities did specially assign members of their respective forces to work with the Police Department; and

Whereas, the National Convention Bureau Committee of the American Legion, under the chairmanship of Edward J. Sharkey, did also organize a Service Law and Order Committee, composed of war veterans, to assist visiting delegates and otherwise afford said delegates every facility in making their stay pleasant; and

Whereas, such courteous and efficient supervision of a great national convention by the Police Department, the Army, the Navy, and the American Legion does enhance the reputation of San Francisco and does definitely insure its place as a leading convention city; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby tenders its sincere congratulations to the men of the units hereinbefore mentioned and said Board of Supervisors directs its Clerk to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Police Commission, to the Secretary of War, to the Secretary of the Navy, and to Mr. Edward J. Sharkey, chairman of the National Convention Bureau Committee of the American Legion.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Christopher, Mead—2.

**Condemning Mock Trial and Unjust Conviction of Archbishop Stepinac in Yugoslavia.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Proposal No. 6144, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the great majority of people in this war-weary world are shocked and alarmed, so soon after their glorious fight for the preservation of freedom and democracy, to contemplate the threat to nullification of their sacrifices and efforts through such tyrannical and oppressive measures as have recently been invoked by the Yugoslavian Government (which, itself, in the immediate past, was allied with the forces consolidated against the destroyers of human rights), particularly in connection with the persecution of Archbishop Stepinac, instigated upon the flimsiest of pretexts, and by a government which did not even exist at the time of the alleged infractions; and

Whereas, the principal charges which resulted in the "conviction" of Archbishop Stepinac and his sentence to sixteen years at hard labor consist in the allegations that Archbishop Stepinac was responsible for and guilty of "enforced conversions," which, to say the least, is a contradiction in terms, and that he, the Archbishop, had collaborated with various agencies, the activities of which, presumably, were inimical to the present government; and

Whereas, complete and authoritative refutation of the first charge is made by His Holiness Pope Pius XII, titular head of the Roman Catholic Church; and

Whereas, the second of the charges referred to is best answered in the words of Archbishop Stepinac, when addressing himself to Marshal Tito, he said: "Tell me, who was my authority in 1941? Was it the Simovic government who put in a king illegally against the Constitution? Was it the treacherous London exiled government? Was my authority Mihailovich who wasn't known at that time? Or was this government my authority, which didn't even exist then?" Addressing himself further to Marshal Tito, Archbishop Stepinac continued: "From May 8, 1945, you were my authority and not before that. From that day on you had the right to ask me for my behaviour and I can go, with a clear conscience, to the other world"; and

Whereas, the actions of Marshal Tito and the Yugoslavian government are unfair, undemocratic and inimical to those principles which the Nations of this World stand pledged to defend and foster; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, with other agencies, public and private, throughout the United States and the World, joins in condemning the mock trial and unjust conviction of Archbishop Stepinac and, through President Truman, to urge the intervention of the State Department for the release from unjust servitude and the complete vindication of Archbishop Stepinac; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to His Excellency, the President of the United States, to Honorable James F. Byrnes, Secretary of State, to Senators Downey and Knowland and to Congressmen Welch and Havenner, with the request that they invoke their efforts for the accomplishment of the purposes of this resolution.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**In Memoriam—John E. Foley.**

Supervisor McMurray presented:

Proposal No. 6145, Resolution No. 5936 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Almighty has summoned to eternal rest John E. Foley, assistant vice-president of the Bank of America; and

Whereas, in addition to his long and honorable service with the Bank of America, John E. Foley played an active and prominent role in San Francisco's fraternal movements; and

Whereas, the thousands who were privileged to know and love John E. Foley will join his grieving family in deeply mourning the passing of one whose sterling qualities endeared him to all; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, noting with a deep sense of loss the passing of John E. Foley, does adjourn its meeting this day out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to forward to the late Mr. Foley's bereaved widow, Mrs. Augusta C. Foley, and to his daughters, Marjorie C. Foley and Barbara Foley, suitably engrossed copies of this resolution as an expres-

sion of the heartfelt sympathy and condolence extended by the members of the Board of Supervisors.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Excused From Attendance.**

Supervisor Meyer was excused from attendance at the meeting of October 21, 1946.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:05 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

•  
Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 18, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,













Monday, October 21, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 21, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan was noted present at 3:45 p. m.

Supervisor Meyer excused from attendance.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 10, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From the Judicial Council of the State of California, urging defeat of Amendment No. 5, the proposed court of tax appeals.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Central Council of Civic Clubs, thanking Board for cooperation in making possible the Sunset Community Recreation Center.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Senator Sheridan Downey, Congressman Richard J. Welch, and Congressman Franck R. Havenner, advising that they are taking affirmative action in connection with Board's request that a portion of Yerba Buena Island be opened to the public as an observation post.

*Ordered filed.*

From the City Attorney, opinion on the subject of regulation by ordinance of classification and salaries of personnel of Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee; copy to be sent to Fire Commission.*

From the Civil Service Commission, recommendation that two positions of D66 Superintendent of Jail be placed on a 48-hour week regular work schedule.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Civil Service Association, endorsing charter amendments Nos. 2 and 9, increasing compensation of members of Board of Supervisors.

*Ordered filed; Clerk to send letter of thanks in accordance with request of Supervisor Mancuso.*

From the Civil Service Commission, monthly employees' overtime reports for months of July and August, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the East and West of Castro Street Improvement Club, opposing any appropriation toward a freeway proposed to be built across Twin Peaks, and urging construction of a vehicular tunnel through Twin Peaks.

*Acknowledge, and hold for Special Order, Monday, October 28.*

From the California Mission Trails Association, Ltd., announcing Eleventh Annual Convention, Santa Cruz, November 17-19, 1946.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Chas. T. Kreling, thanking Board for its resolution adopted on the occasion of his retirement from the City's service.

*Ordered filed.*

From the State Reconstruction and Reemployment Commission, report on construction contracts awarded in California, January 1 to August 31, 1946.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From the Serra Club of San Francisco, endorsing resolution protesting the imprisonment of Archbishop Stepinac of Yugoslavia.

*Resolution adopted.*

From the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, listing dates when appointments of members of Board of Directors expire.

*Resolutions (5) adopted.*

From the Tax Collector, enclosing copy of the quarterly report of the activities of the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Presented by Supervisor Lewis: From the Central Council of Civic Clubs, endorsing proposal pertaining to formulation of a committee on traffic and transportation by the Mayor.

*Referred to Joint Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee.*

From Mr. Russell A. Powell, representing San Francisco Committee for Proposition 11, calling attention to use of billboards by anonymous persons for display of matter of a subversive nature.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**Appointment of Supervisor Jesse C. Colman as Acting Mayor.**

The following letter from his Honor, the Mayor, was presented by the Clerk and read to the Board:

October 17, 1946.

The Honorable, the Board of Supervisors,  
City and County of San Francisco,  
City Hall, San Francisco 2.

Gentlemen:

I hereby am requesting a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for thirty days from October 25, 1946, for the purpose of going to New York in connection with the San Francisco Bay Area's bid for the permanent headquarters of the United Nations.

It is not likely that I will be gone such a great length of time, but I feel it to be practical to ask for this leave in the event that my present estimates are wrong. This request is of course in line with Resolution No. 5838, passed by your Honorable Board on September



16, 1946. I also am announcing my intentions of appointing the Honorable Jesse C. Colman to be Acting Mayor during this absence.

Sincerely,

R. D. LAPHAM, Mayor.

cc: Hon. Jesse C. Colman, Supervisor.

**SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.**

**Consideration Postponed.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:  
**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Post Street Between Taylor and Powell Streets.**

Bill No. 1716, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section 837 thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled: "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office December 22, 1941, by amending Section 837 thereof to read as follows:

Section 837. The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Market and Stockton Streets shall be 15 feet.

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the northerly side of, between Stockton and Powell Streets, shall be 15 feet.*

The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the southerly side of, between Stockton and Powell Streets, shall be abolished.

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the northerly side of, between Powell and Mason Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, the southerly side of, between Powell and Mason Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

*The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Mason and Taylor Streets, shall be 10 feet.*

The width of sidewalks on Post Street, between Taylor Street and Van Ness Avenue, shall be 10 feet.

August 27, 1945—*Consideration continued to September 17, 1945.*

Tuesday, September 11, 1945—*On motion of Supervisor MacPhee, consideration of the foregoing bill, heretofore set for Monday, September 17, 1945, was postponed to Monday, September 24, 1945.*

September 24, 1945—*Continued until the fourth Monday in September, 1946 (September 23, 1946).*

September 23, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, September 30, 1946.*

September 30, 1946—*Consideration postponed, and made a special order of business for Monday, October 14, 1946, at 3 p. m.*

October 7, 1946—*On motion by Supervisor Colman, consideration, which had heretofore been set for Monday, October 14, 1946, at 3 p. m. was postponed until Monday, October 21, 1946, at 3 p. m.*

**Discussion.**

Mr. Sylvester McAtee, representing groups of opponents to the widening of Post Street, requested postponement for six months, inasmuch as the post-war planning recommendation for the handling of over-all traffic and transportation in San Francisco had never come to the Board in such manner to enable the Board to act on it. This problem should be taken up as a part of the larger plan that will

ultimately have to be adopted. The original advocates of the measure have raised no objection to the requested continuance.

Mr. George Skaller did not object to the requested postponement.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, moved that further consideration be postponed for six months.

Supervisor Mead objected to further postponement. The matter has been postponed since 1938, he declared. It was not part of the post-war plan, but it was a pre-war program that should have been completed before the war. In his opinion, the request for this additional postponement was not justified.

Supervisor Colman urged that postponement be granted. The question with which the Board is concerned is not past postponements, but whether the widening of Post Street is necessary now, or whether it could wait for another six months. He believed the merchants did not want the Post Street widening at all, and at the end of the six months' postponement would ask for another postponement. The Police Department reports now that they have solved their problem and, therefore, the widening of Post Street is not necessary for that particular purpose. It is, therefore, unwise for the City to go to the expense of widening Post Street at this time, and it is not fair to compel the property owners to go to that unnecessary expense. In view of these conditions, he could see no harm in agreeing to the requested postponement, and he would so vote.

Mr. Skaller again addressed the Board, stating that the Civic League of Improvement Clubs had not changed its position, but believed today, as it had believed before, that the Post Street bottleneck must be broken. The agreement to the requested postponement was made only in the spirit of fairness to the opponents.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to postpone for six months was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

#### Statement by Mr. George Skaller on Charter Amendments.

Immediately following the foregoing action, Mr. George Skaller asked permission, which was granted, to make a statement concerning the stand by the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, on two proposed charter amendments.

Mr. Skaller announced that because of the past attitude of the voters, not only in San Francisco, but in other political subdivisions of the State, of refusing to approve necessary and just salary increases for their elected officials, the Civic League of Improvement Clubs had endorsed Charter Amendment No. 9, allowing the State Legislature to fix the salaries of the Supervisors.

Mr. Skaller also called attention to a statement printed in the San Francisco News, Friday, October 18, 1946, quoting the Mayor as saying he was opposed to a minimum fine of 2.00 for certain violations of the Traffic Code. He desired to call to the Mayor's attention the incorrectness of the article, purporting to quote the Mayor.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating \$1,425 From Surplus in Water Revenue Compensation Reserve to Provide for Compensation of Watchman, at \$150-190 Per Month, Which Position Is Created.**

Bill No. 4327, Ordinance No. 4102 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,425 out of the surplus existing in the water revenue compensation reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 C152 Watchman at \$150-190 per month, which position is created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,425 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 C152 Watchman at \$150-190 per month, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, Millbrae Station, to Provide for an Additional Watchman at \$150-190 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4262, Ordinance No. 4097 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 75 Public Utilities Commission—Millbrae Station, by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 1 to 2 C152 Watchman at \$150-190.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 75 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MILLBRAE STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	\$230-290
2	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
4	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
6	2	C152	<b>Watchman</b> . . . . .	150-190
6.1	1	C152	Watchman . . . . . (k	190
7	1	I 12	Cook . . . . .	175-210
7.1	1	I 60	Housekeeper (part time) at rate of	145-185
8	1	O60.1	Foreman Gardener . . . . .	240-275
9	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . .	8.50 day
9.1	1	U206	Water Department Worker . . . . . (k	8.50 day

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
10	1	U228	Meterman, Country . . . . .	210-260
11	1	U236	Assistant Superintendent, Peninsula Division . . . . .	335-405
12	1	U246	Superintendent, Peninsula Division	460-550

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Appropriating \$3,240 From Surplus in Water Revenue Compensation Reserve Fund to Provide for Creation of Position of Assistant Engineer, Civil, in Water Department at \$360-430 Per Month; Abolishing Position of Junior Engineer, Civil at \$255-320 Per Month in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4341, Ordinance No. 4103 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,240 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 F406c Assistant Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$360-430 per month in the Water Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 F401b Junior Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$255-320 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,240 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 F406c, Assistant Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$360-430 per month in the Water Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 F401b Junior Engineer, Civil, at the rate of \$255-320 per month in the Water Department, is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Water Department, by Deleting Therefrom 1 Position, Junior Engineer, Civil, at \$255-320 and Adding 1 Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$360-430.**

Bill No. 4307, Ordinance No. 4100 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.5, Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department (Continued) by decreasing the number of employments under

item 28 from 2 to 1 F401b Junior Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$255-320; and by adding item 28.01 1 F406c Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities) at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 74.5. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT  
(Continued)**

**CITY DISTRIBUTION DIVISION—GENERAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	\$230-290
25.1	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
27	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
27.1	1	F100	Junior Draftsman .....	210-260
27.2	1	F102c	Draftsman (Civil, Public Utilities)	260-320
28	1	F401b	<b>Junior Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)</b> .....	255-320
28.01	1	F406c	<b>Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Utilities)</b> .....	360-430
28.1	2	J4	Laborer .....	8.50 day
29	9	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
30	1	O60.1	Foreman Gardener .....	240-275
30.1	8	U120	Gateman .....	12.90 day
31	4	U130	Reservoir Keeper .....	185-230
33	1	U142	Assistant Superintendent, City Distribution .....	435-520
34	1	U144	Superintendent, City Distribution.	530-635

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Appropriating \$185.50 From Surplus in Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund for Compensation of Senior Clerk on 5½-Day Week, Funds for Which Are Now Provided on a 5-Day Week.**

Bill No. 4347, Ordinance No. 4104 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$195.50 out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B228 Senior Clerk in the Municipal Railway on a 5½-day week, funds for which are now provided on a 5-day week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$195.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Municipal Railway Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 665.199.99, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.110.99, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B228 Senior Clerk in the Municipal Railway on a 5½-day week, funds for which are now provided on a 5-day week.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway to Permit Senior Clerk to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week; Decreasing the Work Week of Head Clerk From 44 Hours to 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4303, Ordinance No. 4099 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35a, Public Utilities Commission—Municipal Railway, by increasing the number of B228 Senior Clerk authorized to work 4 hours in excess of 40 from 4 to 5; and by decreasing the number of B234 Head Clerk from 4 to 3.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35a is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.35a. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B4 Bookkeeper .....	8	4
B6 Senior Bookkeeper .....	2	4
B10 Accountant .....	3	4
B102 Teller .....	4	8
B103 Cashier C .....	1	8
B210 Office Assistant .....	4	4
B222 General Clerk .....	15	8
B222 General Clerk .....	51	4
B228 Senior Clerk .....	5	4
B228 Senior Clerk (Shops).....	1	8
B234 Head Clerk .....	3	4
B239 Statistician .....	1	4
B308a Calculating Machine Operator (key drive)....	16	4
B308b Calculating Machine Operator (rotary) .....	2	4
B309 Key Punch Operator .....	3	4
B310 Tabulating Machine Operator .....	8	4
B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine and Key Punch Operator .	2	4
B408 General Clerk-Stenographer .	26	4
B454 Telephone Operator .....	1	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	18	4
B512 General Clerk-Typist .....	1	8
B516 Senior Clerk-Typist .....	3	4
C52 Elevator Operator .....	2	8
C104 Janitor .....	All	4
C104.1 Car Cleaner .....	All	4
C105 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	1	4

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
C107 Working Foreman Janitor...	All	4
C107.1 Working Foreman Car Cleaner .....	All	4
C202 Window Cleaner .....	2	4
Supervisor Traffic Checkers.	1	4
F654 Traffic Checker .....	5	4
Superintendent of Traffic....	1	4
Sick Leave Investigator.....	1	8
M72 Bus Dispatcher .....	1	8
O173 Superintendent of Cable Machinery .....	1	4
S115 Senior Claims Investigator..	2	4
S122 Senior Inspector .....	1	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

Amending Salary Ordinance, Section 83.1, Board of Education, Non-Certificated Employees by Eliminating 2 Positions, Janitress, at \$145-180; Adding 2 Positions Working Foreman Janitor, at \$195-230; Adding 1 Position, Operating Engineer at \$290.

Bill No. 4351, Ordinance No. 4105 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by decreasing the number of employments under item 26 from 135 to 133 C102 Janitress at \$145-180; by increasing the number of employments under item 32 from 23 to 25 C107 Working Foreman Janitor at \$195-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 48 from 18 to 19 O168.1 Operating Engineer at \$290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 83.1. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings.....	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) .....	160-200

Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. . . . .	185-230
19	145	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
20	64	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
21	*37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
26	133	C102	<b>Janitress</b> . . . . .	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of . . . . .	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor . . . . . (k	186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of . . . . .	155-195
32	25	C107	<b>Working Foreman Janitor</b> . . . . .	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors . . . . .	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors . . . . .	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of . . . . .	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of . . . . .	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of . . . . .	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman . . . . .	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman . . . . . (k	230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman . . . . .	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of . . . . .	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur . . . . .	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener . . . . .	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds . . . . .	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator . . . . .	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker . . . . .	12.12 day
48	19	O168.1	<b>Operating Engineer</b> . . . . .	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of . . . . .	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer . . . . .	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

55 Trucks (as needed) at rates estab-  
lished by Purchaser's contract.

\*To serve during school year only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mac-  
Phee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.



**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, Supervisor Mancuso dissenting, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Appropriating \$69,160.59 From Surplus in Appropriation, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, for Payment of Judgment, Dated August 20, 1946, Nelson A. Eckart v. City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 339,417.**

Bill No. 4326, Ordinance No. 4101 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$69,160.59 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to provide funds for payment of judgment, dated August 20, 1946, Nelson A. Eckart v. City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 339,417.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$69,160.59 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.500.00, Additions and Betterments, Water Revenue Operating Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.804.00, to provide funds for payment of judgment, dated August 20, 1946, Nelson A. Eckart v. City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Case No. 339,417.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

No: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso—2.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Colman, Mead.

**Amending City Planning Code to Permit Establishments for Hand Ironing, Employing Not More Than 5 Persons, in Commercial Districts.**

Bill No. 4297, Ordinance No. 4098 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 5, Article I, Chapter II (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to zoning regulations in commercial districts, by adding thereto a provision permitting establishments for hand ironing, of not more than five (5) employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 5, Article I, Chapter II (City Planning Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 5. Commercial District.** In a Commercial District no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered, which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any of the following specified trades, industries and uses:

(a) Automobile repair shop, unless conducted in connection with a public garage and as part thereof;

(b) Bakeries employing more than five (5) persons;

(c) Blacksmith or horseshoeing establishments;

(d) Bottling works;

(e) Carting, express or hauling yard or storage yard other than for fuel;

(f) Warehouse and storage houses;

(g) Marble, granite, stone or monumental works;

(h) Contractors' plant or storage yard;

(i) Cooperage;

(j) Laundry;

(k) Lumber yard;

(l) Uses excluded from the Light Industrial District;

(m) Any kind of manufacturing other than manufacturing clearly incidental to a retail business conducted on the premises or light manufacturing conducted on any floor above the ground floor of a building;

(n) Provided, further, however, there may be maintained in a Commercial District the following:

1. Printing shops and the business of publishing a newspaper;

2. Light Industries clearly incidental to the operation of an amusement park;

3. Electric sub-stations and telephone exchanges;

4. Public garages and gasoline service stations may be conducted in a Commercial District only under permits granted by the Fire Department;

5. *Establishments for hand ironing only and not employing more than five (5) employees.*

No uses permitted by Sections 3 and 4 of this Article shall be excluded from the Commercial District.

Provided, further, that the restrictions herein provided shall be subject to the provisions of Section 9 of this Article in so far as existing non-conforming uses are concerned.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*September 10, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, September 23, 1946.*

*September 23, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, October 7, 1946.*

*Finally Passed by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

## NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

Requesting Library Commission to Assemble in Main Library, a Bibliography of U. S. S. San Francisco.

Proposal No. 6104, Resolution No. 5938 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, in the course of the war against Japan recently con-

cluded there was fought on November 12th to the 15th, 1942, the famous and now historic Battle of the Solomons, in which the U.S.S. San Francisco participated with honor and distinction; and

Whereas, the heroic record of the U.S.S. San Francisco in the said battle has brought just and enduring fame to its daring and courageous Commander, Rear Admiral Daniel Judson Callaghan, and also to its gallant and equally courageous crew; and

Whereas, This historic event has made its contribution toward establishing the name of San Francisco as a symbol of and an inspiration for courage, determination, fortitude, and success in a struggle against great odds and almost insurmountable handicaps; and

Whereas, it is fitting that the people of the City and County of San Francisco should undertake a worthy program to preserve the glorious memory of the U.S.S. San Francisco, and the events in which she participated; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in addition to such other fitting memorial as may be determined upon by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, The Library Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be requested to assemble at the main library in the Civic Center as complete a bibliography as may be possible to obtain, from official government sources, the public prints and from private persons, including members of the crew, and the officers of the U.S.S. San Francisco, with particular emphasis upon its participation in the Battle of the Solomons, and including biographies of the officers and crew members, and such additional personal letters and narratives from members of the crew, the officers, and other reliable sources as may enable future historians and research students to assemble in a comprehensive and dependable manner all of the facts and incidents relating to the historic event aforementioned.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

#### **Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Real Property Required for a Branch Library Site.**

Proposal No. 6134, Resolution No. 5939 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Geneva Avenue distant thereon 75.337 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Paris Street; running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Geneva Avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 100 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Crocker Amazon Tract Block 7.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to wit: for Public Library purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The cost of said real property shall be paid from Appropriation No. 614.600.00 in an amount not to exceed \$4,500, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Library Commission.

Approved as to \$4,500 available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Easements in Stanislaus County Required for Hetch Hetchy Transmission Lines.**

Proposal No. 6135, Resolution No. 5940 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as the City, a right of way easement to suspend such wires over cables as the City shall from time to time elect, from poles or towers located on adjacent lands of the City, and to replace, maintain and use said wires or cables for the transmission and distribution of electricity of the following described land situated in the northeast  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 7, T. 3 S., R. 9E., M.D.B. & M., County of Stanislaus, State of California:

Beginning at the most westerly corner of the parcel of land (herein called parcel No. 667) conveyed by Frank Bavaster and Helen Bavaster to City and County of San Francisco by deed dated August 11, 1924, recorded August 11, 1924, in Book 77, page 207, Official Records of Stanislaus County; said point of beginning is also the east corner of a parcel of land (herein called parcel No. 903) formerly owned by Sarah McLernon Christianson and Robert Christianson, conveyed by Stanislaus Land and Abstract Company to City and County of San Francisco by deed dated December 28, 1934, recorded December 29, 1934, in Book 553, page 61, Official Records of Stanislaus County; running thence along the boundary line between said parcel No. 667 and lands of the grantor N.  $69^{\circ} 55' 45''$  E. 242 feet, more or less, to a point from which the center of steel tower No. 408 of the City's electric transmission line bears S.  $20^{\circ} 04' 15''$  E. 20 feet, more or less; thence S.  $75^{\circ} 08' W.$  317 feet, more or less, to a point in the boundary line between said parcel No. 903 and lands of the grantor; thence along the last mentioned boundary line S.  $88^{\circ} 46' 10''$  E. 79 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Also the right to set a temporary pole with guys on the above described land and the right to temporarily suspend wires between said pole and the steel towers located on the adjoining City-owned land.

The above described easements are required by said City and County of San Francisco for a public use and purpose to wit: For the construction, maintenance and use of transmission lines for the purpose of conveying electricity from its Hetch Hetchy electric transmission lines to the Modesto Irrigation District's electric power system. It is necessary that an easement be taken for said purposes.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interest therein or claims thereto for the con-

demnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The cost of said easements shall be paid from Appropriation No. 90.600.68 in an amount not to exceed \$100, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to \$100 available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

#### Land Purchase—Stanley Street Parkway.

Proposal No. 6137, Resolution No. 5941 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from James De Martini, or the legal owner, to Lots 23, 24, and 25 in Assessor's Block 7117, San Francisco, California, required for Stanley Street Parkway, and that the sum of \$1,000 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 648.911.58.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

#### Authorizing Agreement With Southern Pacific Company Regarding Construction of Sewer—St. Charles Avenue.

Proposal No. 6146, Resolution No. 5942 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Chief Administrative Officer on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a license agreement with the Southern Pacific Company giving the City the right to construct, reconstruct, maintain and operate an 18-inch sewer pipe beneath said company's railroad tracks, required for the St. Charles Avenue Storm Sewer; be it

Further Resolved, that the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10) be paid to the Southern Pacific Company in consideration for said agreement, payable from Appropriation No. 540.214.51.

The form of said agreement shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Authorizing the Compromise and Settlement of Claim of Daniel D. Tofanelli in the Sum of One Hundred Seventy-Seven Dollars and Twenty-Five Cents (\$177.25).**

Proposal No. 6147, Resolution No. 5943 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the compromise and settlement of claim of Daniel D. Tofanelli in the sum of one hundred seventy-seven dollars and twenty-five cents (\$177.25).

Whereas, on the 13th day of April, 1946, a police car, license E 24197, operated by a police officer of the San Francisco Police Department, while operating west over and upon the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, collided with the rear of the automobile of Daniel D. Tofanelli, causing damage thereto, and by reason thereof a claim has been filed in the sum of One Hundred Seventy-Seven Dollars and Twenty-Five Cents (\$177.25) damages; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the City Attorney that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement of compromise in the said matter; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to settle said claim by the payment of One Hundred Seventy Seven Dollars and Twenty-Five Cents (\$177.25) to the said Daniel D. Tofanelli, and the Controller is hereby authorized to draw his warrant in favor of said Daniel D. Tofanelli in said sum, there being no litigation pending.

Recommended and approved by the Police Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form and payment recommended by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6148, Resolution No. 5944 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated October 21, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of October and November, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare De-

partment determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Exempting Position of Actuarial Statistician, Retirement System,  
From Residence Requirements of the Charter.**

Proposal No. 6149, Resolution No. 5945 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 7 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, upon the recommendation of the Retirement Board and the Mayor, and with the approval of the Civil Service Commission, the position of Actuarial Statistician in the Retirement System be and it is hereby declared exempt from the residence requirements of said Section 7 of the Charter.

Recommended by the Retirement Board.

Recommended by the Mayor.

**Discussion.**

Mr. Harry Albert, representing the Civil Service Commission, reported on the necessity for waiving residential requirements, as recommended by the Civil Service Commission. He read the requirements heretofore set up in the "scope" circular.

Supervisor McMurray suggested that someone in San Francisco might have acquired the necessary knowledge and ability to fill the position, without having a college degree, which was one of the necessary qualifications set up by the Civil Service Commission.

Supervisor Colman urged adoption of the resolution to waive charter residential requirements. He believed that the best man available should be secured to fill the position, regardless of his residence.

Supervisor Mead opposed adoption of the proposed resolution.

Mr. Ralph Nelson advised the Board that it was imperative that someone be found to fill the necessary position. He did not believe that the qualifications could be lowered. Nor did he agree with the thought expressed by Supervisor McMurray that someone, not a college graduate, but with knowledge gained only through experience, could satisfactorily fill the position.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, McMurray, Mead—3.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Cancellation of Taxes Due to Erroneous Assessments.**

Proposal No. 6154, Resolution No. 5946 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the request of the Assessor, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, and with the written consent of the City Attorney, the Controller be and he is hereby ordered to cancel the taxes on the following described assessments which were made erroneously and due to clerical error, by reason of failure to grant the full amount of veteran exemption:

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Assessment</i>
1945-46	13	6623	150
1945-46	14	6623	100
1945-46	17	6623	100

Consent by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

Re-reference to Committee.

**Authorizing Correction of Clerical Errors in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll.**

Proposal No. 6150, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the recommendation of the Assessor, and in accordance with the provisions of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834 and 4835 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, and with the written consent of the City Attorney, the Board of Supervisors does hereby consent to and authorize the correction, by the Controller, of clerical errors in the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco, so that the entries therein to be corrected shall read as set forth in the Assessor's Clerical Error List for the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll, dated October 9, 1946, and filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on October 10, 1946.

On motion by Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, the foregoing proposal was *re-referred to Finance Committee*.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Appropriating \$434 for Payment of Holiday Overtime, Department of Electricity.**

Bill No. 4371, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$434 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00 to provide funds for the payment of Holiday time in the Department of Electricity.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$434 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 649.112.03, to provide funds for the payment of Holiday time in the Department of Electricity.

Recommended by the Chief of the Department of Electricity.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Lot on Southwesterly Line of Second Street, 30 Feet Southeasterly From Natoma Street.**

Bill No. 4376, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 17 in Assessor's Block 3722.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that



public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Second Street, distant thereon 30 feet Southeasterly from the South-easterly line of Natoma Street; running thence southeasterly and along said southeasterly line of Second Street 25 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 80 feet; thence at right angles Northwesterly 25 feet; thence at right angles North-easterly 80 feet to the southwesterly line of Second street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of 100 Vara Lot No. 31.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Appropriating \$1,480 for Creation of 1 Position, General Clerk-Typist, in Public Welfare Department; Abolishing 1 Position, General Clerk, in Same Department, at Same Salary.**

Bill No. 4377, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,480 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,480 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 in the Public Welfare Department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance,  
Public Welfare Department.**

Bill No. 4353, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 66, Public Welfare Department, by decreasing the number of employments under item 4 from 5 to 4 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 30 to 31 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 66, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 66. PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$210-260
2	1	B25	Business Manager . . . . .	385-460
3	3	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
4	4	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> . . . . .	185-230
4.1	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	(k) 230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
6	1	B239	Statistician . . . . .	250-315
7	37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
9	1	B419.1	Secretary, Public Welfare Commission . . . . .	250-315
10	3	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
11	1	B510	Braille Typist . . . . .	185-230
12	31	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> . . . . .	185-230
12.1	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	(k) 230
13	2	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist . . . . .	230-290
14	4	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
15	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor . . . . .	195-230
16	2	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of . . . . .	460
18	85	T157	Social Service Worker . . . . .	200-245
19	13	T160	Senior Social Service Worker . . . . .	250-315
20	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare . . . . .	550-660
21	1	T165	District Supervisor . . . . .	360-430

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Carrie Nelander and Legal  
Action on Said Claim for the Sum of \$1,000.**

Bill No. 4379, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Carrie Nelander and legal action on said claim for the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000) Dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Police Commissioners having recommended, and the City Attorney having approved, the settlement of the claim of Carrie Nelander and legal action on said claim instituted by action No. 338130 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein said Carrie Nelander is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco is

defendant, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by plaintiff as the result of an accident occurring on the 2d day of December, 1944, in front of Richmond Police Station, San Francisco, California, by the payment to plaintiff by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000) Dollars, and said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said claim and action by said payment to said Carrie Nelander, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for the said sum of One Thousand (\$1,000) Dollars in favor of said Carrie Nelander, being in full satisfaction and dismissal of said action.

Recommended and approved by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Settlement recommended, and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

#### Adopted.

The following, from Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, was taken up:

**Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission in Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on the Easterly Line of Nineteenth Avenue and Rivera From Second Residential District to Commercial District.**

Proposal No. 6155, Resolution No. 5947 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3145, dated September 5, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue, distant thereon 82 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera Street from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby set for Monday, October 28, 1946, at 2 p. m.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Regulating Subdivision of Land and Use of Lots for Dwelling Purposes.

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was presented by the Clerk:

Bill No. 4375, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding Section 99 thereto, relating to the subdivision of land and the use of lots for dwelling purposes; by amending Section 100, of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, relating to

building permits and by amending Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, to include reference to Section 99 as added by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 99, reading as follows:

**SEC. 99. (a) New Subdivisions—Lot Areas.** In all areas hereafter subdivided, where a subdivision map is to be filed for approval, all lot lines shall be shown on said map, and such lots shall conform in dimension to the neighborhood pattern as determined by the City Planning Commission, but no such lot shall have a width less than 33 feet. No such subdivision shall be approved which does not comply with the provisions of this section.

**(b) New Subdivisions—Lot Coverage.** No dwelling shall be constructed upon a lot in a new subdivision for which a map has been approved in accordance with the provisions of this section, which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 25 feet in depth. The provision of open spaces between dwellings on adjacent lots is optional, but where such side yards are provided, the distance between dwellings shall be not less than 6 feet.

**(c) Resubdivision.** Except as provided in Subdivisions (d) and (e) hereof, in any area previously subdivided no lot or land unit shall be established and indicated upon a map or maps filed for record which has an area less than 2500 square feet, or a width less than 25 feet, and no building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

**(d) Corner Lots—First Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a First Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, can be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 70 feet; provided, however, that where the City Planning Commission finds that existing conditions do not permit the establishment of the minimum depth of 70 feet such areas can be resubdivided into lots having a street frontage of not less than 25 feet and a depth not less than 57.5 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 75 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

**(e) Corner Lots—Second Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a Second Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, may be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 57.6 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which provides an open rear yard of a depth less than set forth in the table given in Section 15682 of Article II, Chapter 7 of the California State Housing Act.

**(f) Lots Now of Record Excepted.** Wherever a map showing subdivided lots is of record in the office of the Recorder or the office of the Assessor at the time of the enactment of this section, any lot as shown having dimensions less than those required by this section may, nevertheless, be used as the site for a dwelling, provided that the requirements as to coverage and rear yards of Subdivision (d) shall apply thereto.

**(g) Variances.** The City Planning Commission may, upon application, grant variances from any of the provisions of this section, after public notice and hearings, if it is of the opinion that special circumstances exist in the particular case, and that unnecessary

hardship would result from the strict interpretation and enforcement of such provision. The procedure governing such applications, and the granting or denial of such variances, shall be the same as that prescribed by ordinance for zoning changes.

Section 2. Section 100 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 100. Building Permits.** Building permits for the erection or alteration of any building or structure, shall be issued by the Central Permit Bureau only after approval by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation and the City Planning Commission and shall not be issued contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 or *Section 99* of Article 4 of this Chapter.

Each application for a building permit hereafter filed with the Central Permit Bureau shall be accompanied by a statement as to the use of the building to be constructed or altered on blanks to be furnished by the Central Permit Bureau. On each application there shall be shown an accurate block plan of the location of the building on the lot drawn to a scale of sixteen (16) feet to one (1) inch.

Section 3. Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, are hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 101 Interpretation—Purpose.** In interpreting and applying the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article, they shall be held to be the minimum requirements adopted for the promotion of the public health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare. It is not intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article to repeal, abrogate, annul or in any way to impair or interfere with any existing provision of law or ordinance or any rules, regulations or permits previously adopted or issued or which shall be adopted or issued pursuant to the law relating to the use of buildings or premises; nor is it intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article to interfere with or abrogate or annul any easement, covenant or other agreements between parties; provided, however, that where Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article imposes a greater restriction upon the use of buildings or premises than is imposed or required by such existing provisions of law or ordinance or by such rules, regulations or permits or by such easements, covenants or agreements, the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article shall control.

**SEC. 102. Enforcement.** It shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works, Department of Public Health, Police Department, Fire Department and Department of Electricity to enforce the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article.

Recommended by the City Planning Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Consideration postponed until Monday, October 28, 1946.*

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor.**

Proposal No. 6156, Resolution No. 5948 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor, is hereby granted a leave of absence for thirty days from October 25, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Electing Daniel F. Del Carlo as Director of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6159, Resolution No. 5949 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby elect Daniel F. Del Carlo as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for a term of four (4) years, commencing December 24, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Electing John P. McLaughlin as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6160, Resolution No. 5950 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby elect John P. McLaughlin as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for a term of four (4) years, commencing December 24, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Electing Edward Kenney as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6161, Resolution No. 5951 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby elect Edward Kenney as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for a term of four (4) years, commencing December 24, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Electing Richard J. Welch as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6162, Resolution No. 5952 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby elect Richard J. Welch as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for a term of four (4) years, commencing December 24, 1946.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Electing Gerald P. Haggerty as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6163, Resolution No. 5953 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby elect Gerald P. Haggerty as a director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for a term of four (4) years, commencing November 25, 1946.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of the County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

**Condemning Conviction of Archbishop Stepinac in Yugoslavia, and Urging His Release and Vindication.**

Proposal No. 6144, Resolution No. 5954 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the great majority of people in this war-weary world are shocked and alarmed, so soon after their glorious fight for the preservation of freedom and democracy, to contemplate the threat to nullification of their sacrifices and efforts through such tyrannical and oppressive measures as have recently been invoked by the Yugoslavian Government (which, itself, in the immediate past, was allied with the forces consolidated against the destroyers of human rights), particularly in connection with the persecution of Archbishop Stepinac, instigated upon the flimsiest of pretexts, and by a government which did not even exist at the time of the alleged infractions; and

Whereas, the principal charges which resulted in the "conviction" of Archbishop Stepinac and his sentence to sixteen years at hard labor consist in the allegations that Archbishop Stepinac was responsible for and guilty of "enforced conversions," which, to say the least, is a contradiction in terms, and that he, the Archbishop, had collaborated with various agencies, the activities of which, presumably, were inimical to the present government; and

Whereas, complete and authoritative refutation of the first charge is made by His Holiness Pope Pius XII, titular head of the Roman Catholic Church; and

Whereas, the second of the charges referred to is best answered in the words of Archbishop Stepinac, when addressing himself to Marshal Tito, he said: "Tell me, who was my authority in 1941? Was it the Simovic government who put in a king illegally against the Constitution? Was it the treacherous London exiled government? Was my authority Mihailovich who wasn't known at that time? Or was this government my authority, which didn't even exist then?" Addressing himself further to Marshal Tito, Archbishop Stepinac continued: "From May 8, 1945, you were my authority and not before that. From that day on you had the right to ask me for my behaviour and I can go, with a clear conscience, to the other world"; and

Whereas, the actions of Marshal Tito and the Yugoslavian government are unfair, undemocratic and inimical to those principles which

the Nations of this World stand pledged to defend and foster; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, with other agencies, public and private, throughout the United States and the World, joins in condemning the mock trial and unjust conviction of Archbishop Stepinac and, through President Truman, urges the intervention of the State Department for the release from unjust servitude and the complete vindication of Archbishop Stepinac; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to His Excellency, the President of the United States, to Honorable James F. Byrnes, Secretary of State, to Senators Downey and Knowland and to Congressmen Welch and Havenner, with the request that they invoke their efforts for the accomplishment of the purposes of this resolution.

#### Discussion.

Mr. J. F. Marias, on being granted the privilege of the floor, read and presented a written statement of the reasons prompting him, and the members of the Serra Club of San Francisco, of which he was president, to endorse the foregoing proposal and to urge its adoption by the Board.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he would like to see the foregoing proposal re-referred to committee; he was not familiar with it.

Supervisor Colman questioned the Board's right to act on such a proposal. He agreed with the sentiments expressed, but he believed that the matter should be handled by the United States State Department. For that reason he would vote "No."

Supervisor Mead felt that the Board as a whole, or the members of the Board individually had the right to express an opinion regardless of whom it pleases or displeases.

Supervisor MacPhee expressed his views, saying that he was in sympathy with the contents of the resolution, but he felt it was a matter that the Board of Supervisors, as a group, could not legislate on. If he thought that legislation by the Board of Supervisors would grant this priest his freedom he would be present night and day to accomplish that purpose. Personally, he was glad to express his opinion, as he had done in the past. He would vote "No" on the proposal, not because he was opposed to it, but because he was opposed to the principle of the Board considering such matters.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee—2.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

#### Authorizing Sale and Transfer of Dormitory Buildings in the Civic Center Plaza.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6164, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 5507 (Series of 1939), Dormitories G, H, and K in the Civic Center Plaza were declared surplus, and by Resolution No. 5707 (Series of 1939), Dormitories A, B, C, D and J were declared surplus; and

Whereas, the Chief Probation Officer has requested the transfer of Dormitories A and K in said Civic Center Plaza to be moved to the Log Cabin Ranch School for utilization as a single men's dormitory and as building space for shop courses; now, therefore, be it



Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer that Dormitories A and K be transferred to the Juvenile Court Department and that the Director of Property be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction dormitory buildings located in the Civic Center Plaza and known as B, C, D, G, H, and J. The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan—2.

**Requesting Issuance of Postage Stamp to Commemorate the Centennial of the Discovery of Gold in California.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6165, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, January 24, 1948, will be the centennial of the discovery of gold in California by James Wilson Marshall; and

Whereas, it was the discovery of gold that was the primary cause of the exodus of people from the East to California, thus making California eligible to become a State in the Union; and

Whereas, such a monumental event should, on the 100th anniversary, be commemorated, not only by the people of the State of California but by the citizenry of the United States; and

Whereas, one fitting and proper memorial of this occasion would be the issuance of an appropriate postage stamp; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Robert Hannegan, Postmaster General, to give consideration to the issuance of an appropriate postage stamp, in January, 1948, to commemorate the centennial of the discovery of gold in California; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Robert Hannegan, Postmaster General, and to the members of the California delegation in Congress.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**Requesting Postmaster General to Issue Postage Stamp to Commemorate the Centennial of California's Admission Into the Union.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6166, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on September 9, 1950, California will celebrate the centennial of its Admission to the Union; and

Whereas, this auspicious occasion should receive recognition and acclaim throughout the United States; and

Whereas, a means of commemorating this memorable date would be by the issuance of a special postage stamp; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Robert Hannegan, Postmaster General, to give consideration to the issuance of a special postage stamp, sometime in 1950, within reasonable time to appropriately commemorate the centennial of California's Admission to the Union, September 9, 1950; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Robert Hannegan, Postmaster General, and to Senator William F.

Knowland, Senator Sheridan Downey, Representative Richard J. Welch and Representative Franck R. Havenner.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**Petitioning Congress to Issue 50-cent Coins Commemorating California's Gold Rush Centennial.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6167, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, during 1949, California will celebrate its Gold Rush centennial; and

Whereas, it was during California's Great Gold Rush in 1849 that the influx of thousands of settlers and prospectors, most of whom came through the Port of San Francisco, started California's rapid growth and caused its early admittance to the Union; and

Whereas, the year 1949 will mark a glorious page in the history of colorful California, which should be commemorated by appropriate action by the Congress of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in regular meeting assembled, does hereby petition the Congress of the United States to adopt the necessary legislation authorizing the proper department of the Government of the United States to coin and issue special 50-cent coins bearing an imprint and inscription which will appropriately commemorate the centennial of California's Gold Rush; and be it

Further Resolved, That Honorable W. F. Knowland and Sheridan Downey, United States Senators from California, be and they are hereby respectfully requested to prepare and introduce the legislation necessary to accomplish the purposes of this resolution.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Unveiling of Plaque Commemorating the Blessing of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge by Pope Pius XII.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6168, Resolution No. 5959 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on October 28, 1936, San Francisco was signally honored by a visit from his eminence Eugene Cardinal Pacelli, now Pope Pius; and

Whereas, one of the outstanding events of his visit was his blessing of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge on October 28, 1936; and

Whereas, on Sunday, October 27, 1946, a plaque in commemoration of that event will be unveiled on the bridge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the unveiling ceremonies; said Committee to number among its members, his Honor the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, the President of the Board of Supervisors, former Mayor Angelo J. Rossi and his Honor, the Mayor of Oakland.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Requesting Mayor to Establish an Organization for Formulation of an Over-all Plan of Transportation and Traffic.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 6169, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco has a problem of providing as soon as possible:

1. Adequate and safe facilities for the pedestrian within the business district;
2. A modern transit service from all outlying areas of the City;
3. Adequate suburban transit facilities, including satisfactory terminals coordinating with local transit and vehicular facilities;
4. Safe and convenient access to the business district for motor vehicles;
5. Provision for off-street parking;
6. Provision for merchandise deliveries; and

Whereas, over the past years many plans and surveys have been prepared at considerable expense and presented to city officials, departments and commissions concerning the above transportation and traffic problems; and

Whereas, the outstanding need in San Francisco is an analysis of all existing data, plans and possibilities relating to traffic and transportation and the consideration of all practical methods of improving transportation facilities and the determination of the most practical solution and of the manner in which the over-all plan may be financed and to include all of these complex matters in one comprehensive analysis; and

Whereas, it is imperative that these problems be given simultaneous consideration rather than proceeding on a program of isolated and unrelated improvements; and

Whereas, the various departments and commissions of the City and County of San Francisco have given to them under the Charter exclusive jurisdiction of the particular transportation and traffic matters within their respective control; and

Whereas, because of lack of time and adequate funds, the analysis of transportation facilities of the Master Plan did not consider the many details essential to a complete solution of the problem and the time allotted did not permit complete analysis of all data, comparisons of various plans and discussions of details; and

Whereas, the Master Plan admits that it does not definitely recommend a comprehensive plan of facilities as evidenced by the following language of the plan:

"The Planning Commission is not prepared to recommend definitely a comprehensive plan of facilities essential to the continued development of the Central Business District. In the above, it has been indicated that the problem is complex. It is certain that the solution depends on providing safe and rapid transit services and, at the same time, on providing convenient access to the district, for those who, for any reason, prefer to use their private automobiles. A complete investigation of all alternates is required to establish what can be accomplished by the various plans and the cost of each";

And Whereas, there is a conflict between the Newton Plan and the Master Plan, as evidenced by the following language of the Master Plan:

"The Newton Plan for the improvement of transportation facilities proposed the removal of the two outside car tracks

from Market Street and the addition of a lane of trolley coaches along each curbing.

"Before it is adopted, *even as a temporary expedient*, this proposal should receive complete analysis. It may be questioned if the plan affords an improvement over existing conditions. It would force street car passengers to cross two vehicular lanes. It fails to provide additional vehicular capacities during peak hours. Driving between a lane of buses or a lane of street cars would probably add to vehicular accidents."

And Whereas, the Master Plan recommends a complete investigation of the Market Street problem by the following language from the Plan:

"The Planning Commission . . . endorses generally the proposal of the Citizens' Post War Committee for a complete investigation of the Market Street problem."

And whereas, there is an immediate need for all city departments to work together with responsible citizens of the City and County of San Francisco as a unit to consider the over-all plan of traffic and transportation; and

Whereas, no substantial capital expenditures should be made in the future for any isolated improvement on a major scale for transportation or traffic until a definite decision for an over-all plan be made; and

Whereas, the most satisfactory plan will be one developed by the combined efforts of the various city departments and commissions of San Francisco that are charged with the responsibility of the improvement and policy of traffic and transportation; and

Whereas, the Citizens' Post War Committee has recommended that the City Planning Commission and the City Departments involved proceed to cooperate in making a final study and in said report state, as follows:

"The problem will not be solved alone by such individual projects as (1) extensions of streets across Market Street, (2) overhead or underground cross streets, (3) development of parallel or other relief streets, (4) surface improvements on Market Street, or (5) traffic control devices on Market Street. . . ."

"With these thoughts in mind all plans for the removal of tracks and resurfacing of Market Street should be thoroughly scrutinized and evaluated so that they will fit into the ultimate program. No other related street improvements should be pursued unless they are calculated to synchronize with the ultimate development. . . ."

"The City has already made a number of studies at considerable cost for the solution of the problem presented by Market Street. This Committee does not recommend just another study, which might be done possibly for \$300,000. It does recommend a final study, together with the development of engineering and working plans, and for that reason has allocated \$3,000,000 for the purpose. It further recommends that the City Planning Commission and other City Departments involved be directed to proceed to cooperate in the immediate solution of this problem. Furthermore, we recommend that as soon as a report is made acceptable to the City officials, the Board of Supervisors take steps to finance and complete the project."

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor establish an organization for the formulation of an over-all plan of transportation and traffic with its

estimated costs and method for financing the same and that public or private hearings be held at the discretion of the organization so formed; and be it

Further Resolved, That said organization be composed of the Mayor, three members of the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief of Police, the Public Utilities Commission, the Planning Commission, the Park Commission, the Police Commission, the Controller, the Director of Public Works, the Director of Public Utilities, the City Engineer and seven (7) citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors shall select the names of the three members who shall be members of said committee. That said organization shall name its own chairman and formulate its own plans of procedure; and be it

Further Resolved, That the plan so adopted by the said organization shall be offered to the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco as soon as possible, to be financed by the type of bond issue determined by said organization and such submission to the general public will be made at the election immediately following the last hearing date of the organization; and be it

Further Resolved, That the organization shall meet at least once a week until its business has been completed. The name of the organization so created shall be known as the Coordinating Council for the Transportation and Traffic for the City and County of San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee.*

#### Elevated Highway and Central Parking Loop Project.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6170, Resolution No. 5955 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is in urgent need of additional facilities for the off-street parking of automobiles, the present shortage of which seriously threatens the economic stability of the central business district; and

Whereas, preliminary studies indicate that there may be considerable merit in the proposal for a series of privately constructed garages directly connected to an elevated highway loop joining the Bay Bridge and the proposed Bayshore Freeway and passing through the middle of a series of blocks between Mission and Howard Streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be, and it is hereby, requested to continue its studies of this proposal, with special reference to the general location and arrangement of the related public and private facilities involved, the relation of the proposal to the master plan, and its probable effects upon traffic congestion and upon the economic development and well-being of the City and County, and to report upon these matters to this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be, and is hereby requested through the Chief Administrative Officer, Thomas A. Brooks, to cooperate with the Planning Commission in the study of this proposal, and, particularly, to make preliminary estimates of the costs and probable revenues involved, sufficient to indicate whether such a project is economically feasible, and to report upon these matters to this Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**In Memoriam—Charles J. Janigian.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6171, Resolution No. 5956 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has learned with deep regret of the passing of Mr. Charles J. Janigian, active and highly respected member of the San Francisco legal fraternity; and

Whereas, the many who were privileged to know and love Charles J. Janigian will join his grieving family in deeply mourning the passing of one whose sterling qualities endeared him to all; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, noting with a sense of keen loss the passing of Charles J. Janigian, does adjourn its meeting this day out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to tender a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to Mr. and Mrs. Jasper Janigian, parents of the departed, as an expression of the profound sorrow felt by the members of the Board of Supervisors at the untimely passing of Charles J. Janigian.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

**Permanent Headquarters for the United Nations.**

Supervisor MacPhee called attention to the renewed efforts to attempt to have the San Francisco bay area selected as the permanent headquarters of the United Nations, and suggested that the Board of Supervisors should be represented at the coming session in New York at which that question of permanent headquarters will be considered.

Supervisor Lewis joined with Supervisor MacPhee with his thought that the Board of Supervisors should be represented at said meeting.

Thereupon, after brief discussion, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the President of the Board, together with another member of the Board whom the President might designate, be authorized to represent the Board of Supervisors at the coming session of the United Nations in New York.

Supervisor Christopher agreed that Supervisor Gallagher would make a fine representative of the Board of Supervisors. He felt, though, since there must be cooperation between the Mayor and any representatives of the Board, the Mayor should be permitted to appoint those Supervisors whose aid he desired. He did not believe two representatives were necessary; Supervisor Gallagher would be sufficient.

Supervisor Gallagher disagreed with Supervisor Christopher. He believed two members of the Board should go to New York, subject, of course, to the approval by the Mayor. If the Mayor does not desire any one, that would be all right, too.

Supervisors Mead, Lewis and Colman expressed themselves in favor of sending two representatives to the session of the United Nations.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that the authorization should be in the form of a motion. The Mayor, if he did not approve, would, of course, veto that resolution.

Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee presented the following:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors and one member of the Board of Supervisors to be appointed by the President of the Board, shall represent the City and County of San Francisco at the United Nations Conference in New York City.

Thereupon, the roll was called, as follows:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso—2.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Meyer—2.

The Chair ruled that inasmuch as a resolution requires unanimous consent for adoption on the day of its introduction and without reference to committee, the foregoing resolution had been *refused adoption*.

Supervisor Mead declared that if the Board could not agree on such a matter among themselves, he doubted very much if it would be successful in bringing the United Nations to San Francisco.

Subsequently during the proceedings, the following was presented by Supervisor MacPhee:

**Appointment of President of the Board and One Member of the Board to Be Appointed by the President, to Represent San Francisco at United Nations Conference in New York City.**

Proposal No. 6172, Resolution No. 5937 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors and one member of the Board of Supervisors, appointed by the President of the Board, shall represent the City and County of San Francisco at the United Nations Conference in New York City.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Meyer—2.

**Congratulating the Examiner and the Hearst Newspapers for Continued Conduct of the Annual Hearst Newspapers National American History Awards and Urging All San Francisco Senior High School Students to Participate.**

Supervisor John J. Sullivan presented:

Proposal No. 6173, Resolution No. 5957 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Examiner has announced the Fourth Annual Hearst Newspapers National American History Awards; and

Whereas, the encouragement of the study of American history is a genuine service to America in indoctrinating its youth in the lessons and traditions of our forebears; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors extends its congratulations to the Examiner and the Hearst newspapers for continued conduct of this patriotic and educational enterprise; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors urge the participation of all San Francisco senior high school students in the National American History Awards program which offers valuable prizes and welcome re-emphasis on the glorious record of America's past.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Meyer—2.

**In Memoriam—Neil Tilden Duffy.**

Supervisor John J. Sullivan presented:

Proposal No. 6174, Resolution No. 5958 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors learns with sincere regret of the passing of Neil Tilden Duffy; and

Whereas, Neil Tilden Duffy, a native San Franciscan, was one of San Francisco's most prominent citizens, being a former deputy sheriff and, at the time of his death, holding the position of legal advertising representative of the Daily Commercial News as well as membership in the Democratic County Committee; and

Whereas, the passing of Neil Tilden Duffy will be long and deeply mourned by his countless friends who will cherish their memories of his well-spent sojourn in this world; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, noting with profound regret and a keen sense of civic loss the passing of Neil Tilden Duffy, does adjourn its meeting this day out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to forward to Mrs. C. T. Magill, sister of the departed, a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution as an expression of the deep sympathy and heartfelt condolence felt by the members of the Board of Supervisors at the passing of the late Neil Tilden Duffy.

*Unanimously adopted by rising vote.*

#### Notice of Appointments.

President Dan Gallagher announced that pursuant to terms of Ordinance No. 4008 (Series of 1939) he had appointed Supervisor MacPhee to serve as a member of the San Francisco Coordinating Council for Youth Welfare.

President Gallagher announced that pursuant to terms of Proposal No. 6172, he had appointed Supervisor Lewis to serve on delegation representing the Board of Supervisors at the United Nations Conference, New York City, to aid in the endeavor to secure the naming of a site in San Francisco or the San Francisco bay area as the permanent headquarters for the United Nations.

#### Statement by Mr. Russell Powell on State Proposition No. 11.

Mr. Russell Powell, on being granted the privilege of the floor, on motion by Supervisor Christopher, called attention to a number of "anonymous billboards of a subversive nature in various parts of San Francisco" in opposition to State Proposition No. 11. He expressed the belief that unsigned billboards were illegal in San Francisco and urged an investigation by the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Powell presented a written statement of his remarks, which statement included the names of many representative organizations and citizens supporting the State proposition.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:05 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.



Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 25, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,







Monday, October 28, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 28, 1946,  
2:00 p .m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Quorum present.

## Appointment of President Pro Tem.

Supervisor Colman moved, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, that Supervisor MacPhee be appointed President Pro Tem. *No objection and so ordered.*

## Communications.

From the City Attorney, opinion re positions of County Superintendent of Schools, District Superintendent of Schools, and Secretary of the Board of Education.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the California Mission Trails Assn., Ltd., submitting program for Eleventh Annual Convention, Santa Cruz, November 17-20.

*Clerk to poll members of Board to determine who will attend.*

From the Bay Area Committee for Maritime Unity, requesting permission to explain its position in connection with the maritime strike.

**Address by Representative of the Committee for Maritime Unity.**

The privilege of the floor was granted to Mr. Paul Schnur of the C.I.O., who in turn introduced Edward Whelan of the Maritime Union, who outlined to the members of the Board the obstacles that blocked the path to peace in the maritime dispute, and stated that it was the duty of the Board of Supervisors to lend what assistance it could toward reaching a peaceful settlement of the strike which has caused so much damage to the City.

The Chair inquired of the members of the County, State and National Affairs Committee when it could meet on this vital issue and it was decided that the Committee should meet on Friday, November 1, 1946, at 2:00 p. m., and that all interested parties to the dispute were to be notified.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Lawton Parent-Teacher Assn., requesting installation of stop signs or stop signals on Noriega Street.

*Referred to Police Committee; copy to be sent to Police Commission with request for comments.*

From the C. C. Thomas Navy Post No. 244, American Legion, urging development of a marine view park on City-owned land between Chestnut, Francisco, Hyde and Larkin streets.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee; Clerk to advise Park Commission that we have received said communication, and request information from the Commission.*

From Governor Earl Warren, requesting extension of courtesies to officers and crew of the Danish State Training Ship "Danmark," which will visit San Francisco from December 19 to December 26, 1946.

*Ordered referred to the Mayor.*

From the San Francisco Yacht Harbor Assn., urging study and development of small boat harbors in and adjacent to San Francisco.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Request of Supervisor Mancuso.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that the Board procure from the City Attorney an opinion as to the meaning and intent of the words "two-thirds vote," contained in the second paragraph of Section 117 of the Charter, and whether this particular language meant two-thirds vote of the members present or of the entire membership of the Board.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Communications—Cont'd.

From the Mayor, announcing that commencing October 25, 1946, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman is appointed as Acting Mayor.

*Ordered filed.*

From the County Supervisors Assn. of California, announcing meeting of board of directors on November 15, 1946, Sacramento Hotel.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Waterfront Employers Assn. of the Pacific Coast, report No. 6 on the state of negotiations between maritime unions and the shipping industry.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Mayor, returning disapproved Proposal No. 6144, protesting action of Yugoslavian Government in conviction of Archbishop Stepinac.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Public Defender, transmitting copy of his annual report for fiscal year 1945-1946.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Treasurer, monthly cash account for period ending September 30, 1946.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Controller, monthly report of appropriations for the three months ended September 30, 1946.

*Ordered filed.*

From John F. Forbes & Co., enclosing report covering examination of the accounts of the Controller for fiscal year ended June 30, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Streets Committee, reporting on extension of Portola Drive-Market Street Freeway or construction of vehicular tunnel through Twin Peaks.

*Ordered filed; Chief Administrative Officer to submit report.*

From the Director of Public Works, recommending amendment to Bill No. 4364, underground district on Geary Street.

*Amendment approved and bill passed for second reading.*

From Alta California, Inc., transmitting copy of minutes of board of directors' meeting at Marysville, Friday, October 25.



*Ordered filed; copy of enclosed resolution urging circulation of gold coins to be forwarded to Supervisor Lewis.*

Referred to Streets Committee.

**Hearing on Closing of Charlestown Place.**

Hearing of all persons interested in or objecting to closing and abandonment of Charlestown Place, from a line 137'6" northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Harrison Street to its northwesterly termination, pursuant to Resolution No. 5899.

The Chair suggested that this matter be referred to the Streets Committee, to be considered at its meeting on Wednesday, October 30, 1946, at 4:00 p. m. *No objection and so ordered.*

The Chair further suggested that the Clerk be instructed to place this matter on the Calendar for the next meeting of the Board, Monday, November 4, 1946, and made a special order of business for 2:30 p. m. *No objection and so ordered.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.**

**Hearing of Protests Against Costs and Assessments for the Improvement of Portions of Forty-third Avenue, Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.**

There being no protestants against the above proposed action relative to costs and assessments for the improvement of portions of Forty-third Avenue, between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, *the assessments were confirmed and the matter ordered referred to the Department of Public Works.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**

**Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Nineteenth Avenue and Rivera Street.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3145, denying application to rezone property located on easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue, 82 feet southerly from Rivera Street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that consideration of the above matter be postponed to Monday, November 4, 1946, at 2:30 p. m. *No objection and so ordered.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

**Declaring Official Policy of the City and County of San Francisco Relating to Market Street Transportation.**

Proposal No. 6103, Resolution No. 5960 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, no official plan for the disposition of the intolerable four-car track system on Market Street has been adopted or approved by the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, appropriation of funds for temporary or permanent improvements should be based on an approved and official over-all plan to insure maximum protection of public funds and full understanding of public policy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does by the adoption of this resolution, and the Mayor does by his approval affixed hereto, declare that the official policy of the City and County of San Francisco relating to Market Street shall be as follows:

1. Immediate elimination of the two outer tracks on Market Street, streetcar operation thereon to be replaced by bus service.
2. Continuation of the two center tracks for streetcar service pending a study of the possibility of completely eliminating all car tracks on Market Street.
3. Commencement at once by the City Planning Commission, in cooperation with the Chief Administrative Officer and the Manager of Utilities, of (a) a comprehensive study of the feasibility of installing a system of underpasses under Market Street, and (b) the coordination of all other plans to alleviate congestion and improve mass transit service.

On motion by Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, *consideration was postponed until Monday, October 28, 1946, and made a special order of business at 2:30 P. M.*

#### Discussion.

The Clerk informed the Board of the desire of Supervisor Lewis that consideration of the above matter be postponed until such time as his proposal, along similar lines, now in the Judiciary and Public Utilities Committee, can be considered by the Board at the same time.

Whereupon Supervisor Colman moved, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, that consideration of Proposal No. 6103 be postponed for two weeks.

#### Against Postponement.

Supervisor Mead informed the Board that he objected to any postponement of the consideration of this matter, because of its utmost importance and further that in the past, occasion had arisen when consideration was given to more than one proposal along similar lines, nothing was accomplished.

Mr. James H. Turner, Manager of Utilities, informed the Board that he had appeared before the committee and had recommended several changes in the wording of the proposal and that he definitely recommended the adoption of the proposal.

#### Roll Call on Postponement.

Whereupon the roll was called on postponement of the consideration of Proposal No. 6103 for two weeks and the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Hearing of Interested Parties.

Mr. Henry Steingart, representing the C.I.O., stated that the purpose of the resolution would be to hasten some very necessary changes on Market Street, particularly the elimination of the two outer tracks. Mr. Steingart suggested that the Public Utilities Commission take the public into its confidence, that it divulge to the public its plans for improving the transportation system and to tell the people what buses are available.

Mr. Andrew J. Gallagher, representing the Southern Promotion Association, informed the Board that he had been, while serving as Supervisor, opposed to the construction of four tracks on Market Street; that such an arrangement had resulted in many deaths and injuries.

Speaking on the subject of underpasses, Mr. Gallagher stated that the City years ago had plans and specifications for an experimental

underpass in the vicinity of Market, New Montgomery and Post, but that the only one constructed was one located at the intersection of Bayshore and Third Streets, which proved very unpopular due to the number of nuisances committed.

Mr. Gallagher concluded that he believed that the construction of an underpass at Market and New Montgomery would prove a successful experiment in relieving traffic congestion and would find favor with the people.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he is for the proposal; however, the City has many plans on hand, dating back to the McClintock Report and that nothing has come of them, although many thousands of dollars had been spent in their preparation, and expressed the sincere hope that something would come of the proposal under consideration.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that subdivision 3 be amended by deleting therefrom the words "the feasibility of installing a system of underpasses under." Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

After some discussion, Supervisor Mancuso withdrew his motion, none of the members of the Board objecting.

#### Adopted.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6103 was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

Chief Administrative Officer to explain the lower portion of the Market Street-Portola Drive Project.

#### Report from the Streets Committee.

The Clerk read a report from the Streets Committee, wherein it was stated that at the meeting of the Streets Committee on Wednesday, August 21, 1946, the Committee considered communications from the Eureka Valley Promotion Association, Central Council of Civic Clubs, and the Parkside District Improvement Club, protesting the extension of the Portola Drive-Market Street Freeway from Clipper Street Extension to Seventeenth and Market Streets and proposing that the construction of a vehicular tunnel through Twin Peaks be substituted for said freeway. In view of the fact that the people representing the above-mentioned associations did not have with them any plans or specifications available, showing the location and the cost of the tunnel and further, that the Department of Public Works was not prepared at the time to present facts and figures on the freeway, it was the Committee's recommendation that both sides present their case to the Board of Supervisors, bringing with them all available and pertinent data on the subject.

#### Discussion.

The Chair called upon the Chief Administrative Officer for comment on the present status of the freeway and Mr. Brooks said that he welcomed the opportunity to outline what the Department of Public Works has attempted to do up to the present time; that the project was approved by the Mayor's Post-war Planning Committee and by the City Planning Commission; that a tunnel has been considered, along with a low level and high level road; that the Engineer-

ing Department was here today to explain what has been done to date and that the Department was perfectly willing to change its mind in event that facts and figures demonstrate the feasibility and practicability of so doing.

Whereupon the Chief Administrative Officer called upon Mr. Ralph G. Wadsworth, City Engineer, to explain to the Board and to the attending assemblage the status of the project to date. Mr. Wadsworth gave a very comprehensive talk and answered questions of the several Supervisors and representatives of the improvement clubs concerned.

It developed that the entire cost of the freeway from Seventeenth and Castro to St. Francis Circle would amount to approximately \$6,500,000, including the cost of the land, and that the cost of the section from Ord Street to O'Shaughnessy Boulevard would amount to approximately \$4,467,000. In both cases, the purchase price includes the necessary rights of way. Estimated on the basis of annual cost to the City, including interest on the investment, depreciation, operating and maintenance costs and loss of tax revenue, this would amount to around \$316,000 per year.

#### Opposition to the Freeway.

Supervisor Christopher expressed opposition to the project, contending that the City should unsnarl the traffic condition that exists from Twin Peaks down to Second and Market.

Supervisor Mead stated that it was his opinion that the construction of the tunnel would be the answer to the traffic congestion that now engulfs the area affected.

City Engineer Wadsworth informed the Board that his department has been studying the tunnel idea and that if a tunnel were constructed in lieu of the freeway, that such a project would be approximately 5000 feet long with a 700-foot viaduct, and costing around \$18,000,000. However, engineering surveys have shown that a tunnel, approximately 3700 feet long, with two separate tubes, would cost in the neighborhood of \$13,000,000, and that about 85 homes would have to be removed to make way for the tunnel project. If the freeway project were to be built, the loss to the City in taxes would amount to \$32,770 per year and if the tunnel project were constructed, the loss to the City would amount to \$25,400 per year.

#### Statements in Favor of the Tunnel.

Mrs. Ruth Pownell, president of the Eureka Valley Promotion Association, was accorded the privilege of calling upon certain organizations' representatives for a brief talk on the advantages of constructing a tunnel. Those who were privileged to speak were:

Mr. Russell Powell, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs; Mr. Carroll Newburgh, representing the Parkside District Improvement Club; Mrs. Mary E. Svistgaard, secretary of the Eureka Valley Promotion Association; Assemblyman Edward Gaffney; Mrs. Anne Scanlon, and J. Spagna, chairman of the Traffic Committee for the Eureka Valley Promotion Association.

#### Closing Remarks by Chief Administrative Officer.

The Chief Administrative Officer, Hon. Thomas A. Brooks, assured the members of the Board and the assemblage that his department would continue study on the proposed projects and that when all the plans involved were completed, they will be presented to the Board for its consideration.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating \$2,220 to Provide for Creation of Position of General Clerk-Stenographer, at \$185 Per Month, in Bureau of School Inspection, Medical, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4225, Ordinance No. 4107 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,220 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 650.267.01 (Medical Service & Care of Crippled Children) to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185 per month in the Department of Public Health (Bureau of School Inspection, Medical), which position is established.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,220 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 650.267.01 (Medical Service & Care of Crippled Children), to the credit of Appropriation No. 650.110.08, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185 per month in the Department of Public Health (Bureau of School Inspection, Medical), which position is hereby established.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mead—3.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Health, School Inspection, Medical.**

Bill No. 4226, Ordinance No. 4108 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), section 55 Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 53.1 from 1 to 2 B408 General Clerk-Stenographer at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

**SCHOOL INSPECTION—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
53.1	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	\$185-230
53.2	1	L208	Nutritionist . . . . .	230-260
54	1	L252	Optometrist (part time) as rate of .	275-345
55	2	L364	Physician Specialist . . . . .	520
56	12	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	520
56.1	1	L368	Director, Bureau of Child Hygiene .	550-660
57	2	L602	Audiometer Technician . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mead—3.

**Appropriating \$2,150 to Provide for Creation of Position of 1 Foreman of Recreational Activities at \$264 (s) in Park Department.**

Bill No. 4358, Ordinance No. 4109 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,150 out of the surplus existing in the Park Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 612.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 R130 Foreman of Recreational Activities at \$264 (s) per month in the Park Department, which position is created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,150 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the Park Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 612.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 612,110.03, to provide funds for the compensation of 1R130 Foreman of Recreational Activities at \$264 (s) per month in the Park Department, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Superintendent, Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Park Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mead—3.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Park Department.**

Bill No. 4367, Ordinance No. 4116 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 14b, Park Department—Personal Services—Revenue Division—Commissary Units, by increasing the number of employments under item 6 from 3 to 4 R130 Foreman, Recreational Activities.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 14b is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 14b. PARK DEPARTMENT—PERSONAL SERVICES—REVENUE DIVISION—COMMISSARY UNITS**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE MONEYS**—The following positions are in the Revenue Division and predicated on receipts from said divisions. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds from receipts are available.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$185-230
2	2	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
3	1	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
4	2	J70	Hostler .....	9.00 day
5	1	R24	Supervisor of Restaurants and Playgrounds .....	275-345
6	4	R130	<b>Foreman, Recreational Activities..</b>	<b>175-220</b>

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mead—3.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$344.22 to Compensate Los Angeles County for Hospital Care Rendered to Citizens of the City and County of San Francisco Pursuant to Provisions of Section 2200, Welfare & Institutions Code.**

Bill No. 4359, Ordinance No. 4110 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$344.22 from the Unappropriated Balance of the General Fund 1945-1946 to provide funds to compensate Los Angeles County for hospital care rendered to citizens of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to provisions of Section 2200, Welfare & Institutions Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$344.22 is hereby appropriated from the Unappropriated Balance of the General Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Department of Public Health:

*Appropriation*  
*No.*

350.200.02 — Department of Public Health, Central Office, Admin. ....	\$283.32
450.200.02 — Department of Public Health, Central Office, Admin. ....	60.90

to provide funds to compensate Los Angeles County for hospital care rendered to citizens of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to provisions of Section 2200, Welfare & Institutions Code.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mead—3.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$36,000 for the Purchase and Installation of Six Hydraulic Lifts for Servicing of Motor Coaches at Twenty-fourth Street Garage.**

Bill No. 4360, Ordinance No. 4111 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$36,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds, Municipal Railway, to provide funds for the purchase and installation of six hydraulic lifts for servicing of motor coaches at Twenty-fourth Street Garage.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$36,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of Funds, Municipal Railway, to the credit of Appropriation No. 665.500.00, to provide

funds for the purchase and installation of six hydraulic lifts for the servicing of motor coaches at the Twenty-fourth Street Garage.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Execute Necessary Project Statements and Memoranda of Agreement Covering Installation of Traffic Signals, Channelization of Traffic and Installation of Safety Lights, and to Transmit Them to the District Engineer, State Department of Public Works.**

Bill No. 4361, Ordinance No. 4112 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordinance authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer to execute necessary project statements and memoranda of agreement covering installation of traffic signals, channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights, projects payable from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, for and on behalf of the City & County of San Francisco, and transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Chief Administrative Officer is hereby authorized to execute the necessary project statements and memoranda of agreement covering the following projects, payable from the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works:

Nineteenth Avenue and Park-Presidio Boulevard—installation of traffic signals.

Bayshore Boulevard at Oakdale Avenue—installation of traffic signals.

Bayshore Boulevard, County Line-Third Street—installation of traffic signals.

Lombard Street—Richardson Avenue—installation of traffic signals.

Portola Drive, Evelyn Way—Twin Peaks Boulevard—Channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights.

Portola Drive—Junipero Serra, intersection of above highways—channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.



**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Appropriating \$69,950 for Installation of Traffic Signals, Channelization of Traffic by Constructing Traffic Island, and Installation of Safety Lights.**

Bill No. 4362, Ordinance No. 4113 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$69,950 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to provide funds for the installation of traffic signals and channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$69,950 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund to the credit of the following appropriations in the amounts and for the purposes recited:

<i>Appropriation No.</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Amount</i>
677.915.00—	Nineteenth Avenue and Park-Presidio Boulevard —Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	\$ 9,000
677.927.00—	Bayshore Boulevard at Oakdale Avenue—Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	1,550
677.928.00—	Bayshore Boulevard, County Line—Third Street— Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	23,000
677.929.00—	Lombard Street — Richardson Avenue — Installation of traffic signals (½ cost) . . . . .	14,900
677.930.00—	Portola Drive, Evelyn Way—Twin Peaks Boulevard— Channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights . . . . .	12,000
677.932.00 —	Portola Drive — Junipero Serra, Intersection of above highways—Channelization of traffic by constructing traffic islands and installing safety lights . . . . .	9,500

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$1,295 for Temporary Employment of 1 Senior Museum Technician at \$185 Per Month in the de Young Museum.**

Bill No. 4366, Ordinance No. 4115 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,295 out of the surplus existing in the de Young Museum Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 618.199.00, to provide funds for the temporary employment of 1 Y44 Senior Museum Technician at \$185 per month in the de Young Museum.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,295 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the de Young Museum Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 618.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation

No. 618.120.00, to provide funds for the temporary employment of 1 Y44 Senior Museum Technician at \$185 per month in the de Young Museum, which position is hereby created.

Recommended by the Director of the de Young Museum.

Approved by the Board of Trustees of the de Young Museum.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Amending Ordinance No. 4045, Establishing San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps to Provide That All Ordinances and Parts of Ordinances Relating to Civilian Defense in San Francisco, Which Are Not Specifically Repealed by Said Ordinance, Shall Remain in Effect and Shall be Applicable to the San Francisco Disaster Council and to the San Francisco Disaster Corps.**

Bill No. 4368, Ordinance No. 4117 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. 4045, entitled "An ordinance establishing a San Francisco Disaster Council and Disaster Corps and providing for various civilian protection and service agencies thereunder; enumerating certain powers and duties and conferring additional powers and duties on certain municipal officers and employees in connection with civilian protection and relief from disaster, by adding a new section to said ordinance to be known as Section 11, providing that all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to civilian defense in San Francisco, which are not specifically repealed by said Ordinance No. 4045, shall remain in effect and shall be applicable to the San Francisco Disaster Council and to the San Francisco Disaster Corps created hereunder."

Section 1. Bill No. 4286, Ordinance No. 4045, entitled as aforesaid, is hereby amended by adding an additional section thereto to be designated as Section 11, to read as follows, to-wit:

Section 11. All ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to Civilian Defense in San Francisco, which are not specifically repealed by this ordinance, shall remain in effect and shall be applicable to the San Francisco Disaster Council and to the San Francisco Disaster Corps created hereunder.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

**Creating Underground District No. 119, Geary Street and Geary Boulevard, From East Line of Broderick Street to the East Line of Masonic Avenue.**

Bill No. 4364, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code by creating and adding thereto an addi-

tional underground district, Geary Street and Boulevard from the east line of Broderick Street to the east line of Masonic Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement of the Anzavista Tract designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 119:

Geary Street, between the east line of Broderick Street and the east line of Presidio Avenue; and

Geary Boulevard, between the east line of Presidio Avenue and the east line of Masonic Avenue.

Recommended by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Amendment.

The Clerk read an order from the Department of Public Works, recommending that Bill No. 4364 be amended by inserting the word "Divisadero" in lieu of "Broderick" so that the sentence would now read: "Geary Street, between the east line of Divisadero Street and the east line of Presidio Avenue."

No objection and Bill 4364 was thereupon *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Bancroft Avenue, From Mendell to Third Streets, as Follows: On Northeasterly Side, From 15 Feet to 10 Feet; on Southwesterly Side, From 15 Feet to 12 Feet.**

Bill No. 4363, Ordinance No. 4114 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Three Hundred and Fifty-two (352) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office October 3, 1946, by amending Section Three Hundred Fifty-two (352) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 352:

The width of sidewalks on Bancroft Avenue between Quint and Phelps Streets shall be fifteen (15) feet.

*The width of sidewalk on Bancroft Avenue the northeasterly side of, between Mendell and Third Streets shall be ten (10) feet.*

*The width of sidewalk on Bancroft Avenue the southwesterly side of, between Mendell and Third Streets shall be twelve (12) feet.*

Recommended by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Repealing Bill 4020, Ordinance 3791 (Series of 1939), Ordering the Improvement of Portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues Between Pacheco and Quintara Streets and Appropriating \$1,100 to Legalize the Assessment.**

Bill No. 4370, Ordinance No. 4118 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Repealing Bill 4020, Ordinance 3791 (Series of 1939), ordering the improvement of portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues between Pacheco and Quintara Streets and appropriating \$1,100 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4020, Ordinance 3791 (Series of 1939), ordering the improvement of portions of Thirty-ninth and Fortieth Avenues between Pacheco and Quintara Streets; appropriating \$1,100 to legalize the assessment, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Police Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

#### Regulating and Licensing the Taking of Photographs of Persons in Public Places.

Bill No. 3730, Ordinance No. 4106 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, providing procedure for regulating and licensing the taking of photographs of persons in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, and providing license taxes therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section numbered 124, to read as follows:

**SEC. 124. Photographers—Public Places. (a) Definitions.** As used in this section, the following words shall have the following respective meanings:

“Photographer” shall mean every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of taking photographs of

human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

"Solicitor" shall mean every person acting as servant, agent or employee of a photographer, as defined herein, who solicits the taking or actually takes photographs of human beings in a public place or any place open to the public for any purpose, except as an established photographic studio, upon an agreement or understanding that money or other lawful consideration will be paid for the said taking.

The aforesaid definitions shall not include a "Street Photographer" as defined in Section 130 of this Article, nor photographers employed by newspapers or other similar publications while engaged in the scope of their employment.

(b) **Permit Required.** It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to engage in or carry on, or to maintain or conduct, or cause to be engaged in, carried on, maintained or conducted, the business of photographer or to act as a solicitor without having first secured a permit so to do from the Chief of Police and a license therefor from the Tax Collector.

(c) **Application for Permit.** Every person requiring a permit as provided for in this section shall make written application to the Chief of Police for such a permit on forms provided by the Police Department. Said application shall be accompanied by fingerprints of the applicant, shall contain all information deemed relevant by the Chief of Police, and for a permit as photographer, shall contain in addition thereto the name, business or occupation, and resident address of each person financially interested in such business. For a permit as solicitor, such application shall be first authorized in writing by the photographer engaging, employing or hiring such person.

(d) **Investigation—Issuance or Denial of Permit—Expiration Date.** Upon receipt of said application the Chief of Police shall conduct such investigation as he may deem proper as to the character and morals of the applicant and the character of the business to be conducted. The Chief of Police may deny said application when, in his opinion, good cause exists therefor. If the Chief of Police approves the granting of said permit, he may issue a permit to said applicant, which permit shall be serially numbered and shall expire on the last day of the calendar quarter year in which issued.

(e) **Permit Forwarded to Tax Collector.** When any permit is issued under the provisions of this section, the Chief of Police shall cause said permit to be forwarded to the office of the Tax Collector for delivery to the permittee upon the payment of the license tax hereinafter set forth.

(f) **License Tax.** Every holder of a permit as herein provided shall pay to the Tax Collector a license tax as follows:

Twenty-five (\$25.00) Dollars per quarter for each Photographer license, and

Five (\$5.00) Dollars per quarter for each Solicitor license employed.

License taxes paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

The licensee shall issue to each solicitor employed a badge of such wording, design and material as the Chief of Police

shall authorize. Said badge shall be worn on the person by the solicitor for whom it was issued, in a conspicuous place for the public to see, at all times when said person is engaged in taking such photographs or soliciting the taking of same. It shall be unlawful for any other person to wear or otherwise display said badge.

(g) **Renewal of Permit.** Renewal of the permit shall be in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 23 of Article 1, Part III, of this Code.

(h) **Revocation of Permit—Rules and Regulations.** The Chief of Police may revoke any permit issued hereunder when the permittee is violating, or attempting to violate, any law of the State of California, any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, any provision of this section, or the rules and regulations issued by the Chief of Police governing the conduct or operations of the permittee. Written notice of such revocation shall be forwarded by the Chief of Police to the Tax Collector.

The Chief of Police is hereby authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations, consistent with the provisions of this section, as he may deem necessary to govern the conduct or operations of photographers or solicitors, as herein defined.

(i) **Permit and License Not Exemption From Any Other Provisions of Code.** The issuance of a permit or license under the provisions of this section shall not exempt the permittee or licensee from any other provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code or any ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco requiring a permit or license or otherwise regulating the taking, or soliciting the taking, of photographs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Sept. 23, 1946—*Consideration continued until September 30, 1946.*

Sept. 30, 1946—*Consideration continued until October 14, 1946.*

*Finally Passed by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Adopted.

The following, from the Finance Committee, were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Mancuso.

**Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the United States of America.**

Proposal No. 6136, Resolution No. 5961 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all real property taxes for the years 1930-31, 1931-32, 1932-33, 1933-34, 1934-35, 1935-36, 1936-37, which became a lien on the first Monday in March in each of the aforementioned years on Lot 15, Block 4672.

Said property has been acquired by the United States of America.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 6151, Resolution No. 5962 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

1. S. F. Federal Savings & Loan Assn., Lot 22, Block 1650, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	\$53.61
2. Alpha Kappa Kappa, Lot 19, Block 1761, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	73.38
3. Title Ins. & Guar. Co., Lot 4, Block 2151, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	11.94
4. Title Ins. & Guar. Co., Lot 5, Block 2151, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	11.94
5. Title Ins. & Guar. Co., Lot 2A, Block 2348, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	58.93
6. Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., Lot 27A, Block 2964A, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	23.67
7. Lorice E. Heidt, Lot 73, Block 3619, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	82.95
8. Prudential Ins. Co of America, Lot 1 Block 5872, first and second installments, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	78.24
9. Mrs. Dorothy O. Ohliger, unsec. pers. prop. C-237, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	4.83
10. Mrs. D. Ehrenberg, unsec. pers. prop. D-2024, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	2.42

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

1. Mrs. Sari I. Gauntlett, through incorrect spelling of name penal assessment was levied against deponent, resulting in overpayment of tax. . . . .	\$ 4.83
--	---------

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Land Purchase—Islais Creek Sewage Plant.**

Proposal No. 6152, Resolution No. 5963 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from the following party, or the legal owner, to certain property situated in San Francisco, California, required for a sludge treatment plant near Islais Creek, and that the sum set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 81.028.58.2:

Mary Devincenzi . . . . .	\$2,750
Lots 12 and 13 in Assessor's Block 5270.	

The sum of \$2,750 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 4744 (Series of 1939), for

the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described property, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purposes herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 4744, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

**The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.**

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the State of California for Bayshore Freeway.**

Proposal No. 6177, Resolution No. 5968 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the second installment of real property taxes for the year 1945-46 which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1945, and both installments of the 1946-47 taxes which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1946, on the following described real property:

<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>
4012	2, 4, 11, 13, 19, 20
4011	18, 19, 20, 20b, 21
4139	5c, 8a
4202	6, 8, 17, 20, 22, 32
4157	15, 30
4093	33, 35, 42
4027	1, 24, 26
3976	1, 27
4261	1, 2, 7, 24, 25
4215	2, 5, 13, 20

Said property has been acquired by the State of California.

Description verified by the Controller.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Correcting Resolution No. 5854 (Series of 1939), Regarding Sale of Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 3507.**

Proposal No. 6179, Resolution No. 5969 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on September 23, 1946, this Board adopted Resolution No. 5854 (Series of 1939), confirming the sale of certain City-owned



land known as Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 3507, San Francisco, which resolution was approved by the Mayor on September 24, 1946; and

Whereas, said resolution incorrectly stated that the balance of the purchase price shall be paid within 60 days after approval thereof;

Now, Therefore, Said time limit is hereby corrected to provide that the balance of said purchase price shall be paid on or before January 6, 1947.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6175, Resolution No. 5966 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, including aid denials, new applications, increases, decreases, rescissions discontinuances and other transactions, effective July 1, August 1, September 1 and October 1, 1946, or as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for Month of November, 1946.**

Proposal No. 6176, Resolution No. 5967 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing the names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, for the month of November, 1946, including increases and decreases, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6180, Resolution No. 5970 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated October 28, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been

granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of November and December, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Bureau of Building Repair, to Set Up a New Item, Janitor (Part-Time) at Rate of \$155-195.**

Bill No. 4381, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 43, Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair (Continued), by adding new item 17.1 1 C104 Janitor (part-time) at rate of \$155-195

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 43, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 43. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR** (Continued)

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1		A52	Hodcarrier . . . . .	\$ 12.60 day
2		A56	Bricklayer . . . . .	16.40 day
3	1	A62	Tile Setter . . . . .	14.40 day
4	20	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	14.00 day
5	2	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
6	7	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper . . . . .	13.00 day
7	5	A204	Cement Finisher . . . . .	14.00 day
9	3	A252	Glazier . . . . .	12.68 day
10	1	A254	Foreman Glazier . . . . .	13.68 day
11	2	A302	Locksmith . . . . .	14.00 day
11.1	1	A302	Locksmith . . . . . (h 327	
12	30	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
13	4	A357	Foreman Painter . . . . .	15.00 day
14	2	A392	Plasterer . . . . .	16.00 day
15	26	A404	Plumber . . . . .	15.00 day
16	13	A456	Sheet Metal Worker . . . . .	14.00 day
17	10	A504	Steamfitter . . . . .	15.00 day
17.1	1	C104	<b>Janitor (part-time)</b> . . . . .	155-195
18	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	150-190
19	13	E108	Electrician . . . . .	15.00 day
19.1	1	E108	Electrician . . . . . (h 350	

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19.2	2	E108.1	Foreman Electrician . . . . .	16.00 day
20	2	J4	Laborer . . . . .	8.50 day
21			Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.	

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$1,152 to Provide for Creation of Position Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155, in San Francisco Hospital; Abolishing Position of Laundress at \$125-160 Per Month in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4383, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,152 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 I-152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 per month in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 I-154 Laundress at \$125-160 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,152 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00 to provide funds for the compensation of 1 I-152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 per month in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 I-154 Laundress at \$125-160 per month in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
Approved by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital.**

Bill No. 4355, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a, Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 29 from 9 to 10 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 30 from 7 to 6 I 154 Laundress at \$125-160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 58a. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	80	I 2	Kitchen Helper .....	\$120-155
17	1	I 6	Pastry Cook .....	175-210
18	8	I 10	Cook's Assistant .....	135-165
19	7	I 12	Cook .....	175-210
20	1	I 14	Junior Chef .....	210-230
21	1	I 16	Chef .....	230-250
22	12	I 54	Waitress or I 56 Waiter .....	120-155
24			Inmate Help, not over .....	50
25	4	I 106	Morgue Attendant .....	150-190
26	142	I 116	Orderly .....	130-165
27	4	I 120	Senior Orderly .....	150-190
28	2	I 122	House Mother .....	145-185
29	10	I 152	<b>Flatwork Ironer</b> .....	120-155
30	6	I 154	<b>Laundress</b> .....	125-160
30.1	1	I 156	Starcher .....	125-160
32	1	I 158	Sorter .....	140-175
33	1	I 164	Marker and Distributor .....	140-175
34	1	I 166	Wringerman .....	160-200
37	1	I 172	Head Washer .....	195-245
38	1	I 178	Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital .....	275-345
39	96	I 204	Porter .....	125-160
40	10	I 206	Porter Sub-Foreman .....	140-175
41	3	I 208	Porter Foreman .....	145-185
42	1	I 210	Head Porter .....	165-205
43	6	I 254	Seamstress .....	140-175
44	1	I 256	Head Seamstress .....	165-205

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$2,512.50 to Provide for Position Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405, in Juvenile Court; Abolish Position of Probation Officer at \$220-275 Per Month, in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4384, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,512.50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of one T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405 per month in the Juvenile Court, which position is created; abolishing the position of one T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,512.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 623.110.00 to provide funds for the compensation of one T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405 per month in the Juvenile Court.

Section 2. The position of one T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405 per month is hereby created in the Juvenile Court; the position of one T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

- Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Juvenile Probation Committee.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved by the Judge of the Juvenile Court.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

- Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.
- Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Juvenile Court.**

Bill No. 4365, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 26, Juvenile Court (Probation Office) by decreasing the number of employments under item 13 from 47 to 46 T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275; and by adding item 14.1 1 T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 26, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 26. JUVENILE COURT (Probation Office)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$210-260
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
3	1	B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court . . . . .	360-430
4	2	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
5	1	B239	Statistician . . . . .	250-315
6	13	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
8	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
9	11	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
9.1	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist . . . . .	230-290
10	2	L364	Physician, Specialist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	520
11	3	L404	Psychologist . . . . .	200-250
12	1	L406	Senior Psychologist . . . . .	250-300
13	46	T56	<b>Probation Officer</b> . . . . .	220-275
13.1	2	T57	Psychiatric Social Service Worker . . . . .	220-275
14	6	T60	Senior Probation Officer . . . . .	275-335
14.1	1	T62	<b>Supervisor of Probation Services</b> . . . . .	335-405
15	1		Referee (part time) (c . . . . .	350
16	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer . . . . .	585-700
17			Hearing Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

17.1	1	T56	Probation Officer . . . . .	220-275
------	---	-----	-----------------------------	---------

- Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Sale of Portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532.**

Bill No. 4385, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1.** In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston Avenue distant thereon 274 feet  $9\frac{5}{8}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary Boulevard; running thence southerly along said line of Funston Avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of 12th Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 99 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 160 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 1 foot  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Funston Avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of outside land Block No. 272.

**Section 2.** The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Final Passage.**

**Appropriating \$3,000 From Emergency Reserve Fund to Compensation of Superior Court Judges From Other Counties, Presiding in Extra Session Courts in City and County of San Francisco. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4382, Ordinance No. 4119 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Superior Court for the compensation of Superior Court Judges from other counties of the State presiding in extra session courts of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Section 67B, Code of Civil Procedure; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

**Section 1.** The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No.

621,900.00, to provide funds for the compensation of Superior Court Judges from other counties of the State presiding in extra session courts of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Section 67B, Code of Civil Procedure.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Funds for the compensation of these judges must be furnished in accordance with the foregoing provisions of State law, which will provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Courts. The amount heretofore appropriated for the purpose is insufficient, and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Secretary, Jury Commissioner, Superior Court.

Approved by the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$75,000 for Payments to Be Made Under the Federal War Services Assistance Program. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4388, Ordinance No. 4120 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$75,000 out of the accrued revenues of the General Fund (Federal War Services and Assistance) to provide funds for payments to be made under the Federal War Services and Assistance Program; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$75,000 is hereby appropriated out of the accrued revenues of the general fund (Federal War Services and Assistance), to the credit of Appropriation No. 656,840.07, to provide funds for payments to be made under the Federal War Services and Assistance Program.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized to make such advances from this appropriation as may be necessary.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance being made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to the insufficiency of funds heretofore provided it is immediately necessary that additional funds be provided so that the Public Welfare Department may proceed without interruption to render aid to persons eligible therefor under the provisions of the Federal War Services and Assistance Program.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray, Sullivan.

**Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Linda Vista Steps.**

Proposal No. 6157, Resolution No. 5964 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires and that is is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon portions of Linda Vista Steps between Geneva Avenue and Chicago Way situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

All that portion of Linda Vista Steps lying between the southwesterly line of Geneva Avenue and the northeasterly line of Rolph Street as said Steps, Avenue, and Street are delineated and so designated on that certain map entitled, "Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2," filed March 17, 1914, in Liber "H" of Maps at pages 14 to 20, inclusive, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting therefrom two parcels of land 10.00 feet in width, described as follows:

Parcel No. 1. Beginning at a point in the said southwesterly line of Geneva Avenue, distant therein S. 75° 34' E. 35.00 feet from the intersection thereof with the northeasterly line of Lot 19 in Block 6418, as said lot and block are shown on the map hereinbefore referred to; running thence from said point of beginning S. 14° 26' W. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the northeasterly line of said Rolph Street; thence S. 75° 34' E. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet; thence N. 14° 26' E. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the said southwesterly line of Geneva Avenue; thence N. 75° 34' W. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel No. 2. A strip of land 10 feet in width the same being the extension across said Linda Vista Steps of the 10-foot sewer easement shown in Blocks 6396 and 6418 of above-mentioned map.

All that portion of Linda Vista Steps lying between the southwesterly line of Rolph Street and the northeasterly line of Chicago Way (formerly Vista Way) as said Steps, Street, and Way are delineated and so designated on that certain map entitled "Crocker-Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2," filed March 17, 1914, in Liber "H" of Maps at pages 14 to 20, inclusive, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, excepting therefrom a strip of land 10.00 feet in width, described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the said southwesterly line of Rolph Street, distant therein S. 75° 34' E. 38.34 feet from the intersection thereof with the northeasterly line of Lot 12 in Block 6419 as said lot and block are shown on the map hereinbefore referred to; running thence from said point of beginning S. 14° 26' W. a distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the northeasterly line of said Chicago Way; thence S. 75° 34' E. along said last-named line a distance of 10.00 feet; thence N. 14° 26' E. at distance of 200.00 feet to a point in the said southwesterly line of Rolph Street; thence N. 75° 34' W. along said last named line a distance of 10.00 feet to the point of beginning.

The closing and abandonment of said portions of Linda Vista Steps shall be made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions



of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California and notice is hereby given that on the 25th day of November, 1946, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

Before the final closing of said portions of Linda Vista Steps, M. J. King, the owner of the abutting property on both sides of Linda Vista Steps, shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) to defray the costs of advertising and other expenses incidental to said closing.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of portions of Linda Vista Steps in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 5843 (Series of 1939) be and it is hereby rescinded.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### **Intention to Close and Abandon Portion of St. Joseph's Avenue.**

Proposal No. 6158, Resolution No. 5965 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of St. Joseph's Avenue described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of St. Joseph's Avenue distant thereon 56.25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary Street and running thence southerly 183.29 feet; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right whose tangent deflects  $100^{\circ} 10' 20''$  to the right from the preceding course with a radius of 215 feet a central angle of  $13^{\circ} 58' 49''$  a distance of 52.460 feet to the westerly line of St. Joseph's Avenue as established by the closing and abandoning of a portion of St. Joseph's Avenue by resolution No. 5514 (Series of 1939) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, adopted May 27, 1946; thence deflecting  $65^{\circ} 50' 51''$  to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northerly along said line of St. Joseph's Avenue 167.849 feet to a point in a line parallel with and distant 56.25 feet measured at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Geary Street; thence at a right angle easterly along said parallel line 50 feet to the easterly line of St. Joseph's Avenue and the point of beginning. Said St. Joseph's Avenue being situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Reference is made to a map on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco showing the portion of St. Joseph's Avenue to be closed and abandoned.

The closing and abandonment of said portion of St. Joseph's Avenue shall be done and be made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 25th of November, 1946, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of a portion of St. Joseph's Avenue in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law; and, be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 5844 (Series of 1939) be and it is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Colman, Mead, Christopher.

Regulating Subdivision of Land and Use of Lots for Dwelling Purposes.

Bill No. 4375, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding Section 99 thereto, relating to the subdivision of land and the use of lots for dwelling purposes; by amending Section 100, of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, relating to building permits and by amending Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, to include reference to Section 99 as added by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 99, reading as follows:

**SEC. 99. (a) New Subdivisions—Lot Areas.** In all areas hereafter subdivided, where a subdivision map is to be filed for approval, all lot lines shall be shown on said map, and such lots shall conform in dimension to the neighborhood pattern as determined by the City Planning Commission, but no such lot shall have a width less than 33 feet. No such subdivision shall be approved which does not comply with the provisions of this section.

**(b) New Subdivisions—Lot Coverage.** No dwelling shall be constructed upon a lot in a new subdivision for which a map has been approved in accordance with the provisions of this section, which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 25 feet in depth. The provision of open spaces between dwellings on adjacent lots is optional, but where such side yards are provided, the distance between dwellings shall be not less than 6 feet.

**(c) Resubdivision.** Except as provided in Subdivisions (d) and (e) hereof, in any area previously subdivided no lot or land unit shall be established and indicated upon a map or maps filed for record which has an area less than 2500 square feet, or a width less than 25 feet, and no building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

**(d) Corner Lots—First Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a First Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from

such corner, can be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 70 feet; provided, however, that where the City Planning Commission finds that existing conditions do not permit the establishment of the minimum depth of 70 feet such areas can be resubdivided into lots having a street frontage of not less than 25 feet and a depth not less than 57.5 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 75 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

(e) **Corner Lots—Second Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a Second Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, may be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 57.6 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which provides an open rear yard of a depth less than set forth in the table given in Section 15682 of Article II, Chapter 7 of the California State Housing Act.

(f) **Lots Now of Record Excepted.** Wherever a map showing subdivided lots is of record in the office of the Recorder or the office of the Assessor at the time of the enactment of this section, any lot as shown having dimensions less than those required by this section may, nevertheless, be used as the site for a dwelling, provided that the requirements as to coverage and rear yards of Subdivision (d) shall apply thereto.

(g) **Variances.** The City Planning Commission may, upon application, grant variances from any of the provisions of this section, after public notice and hearings, if it is of the opinion that special circumstances exist in the particular case, and that unnecessary hardship would result from the strict interpretation and enforcement of such provision. The procedure governing such applications, and the granting or denial of such variances, shall be the same as that prescribed by ordinance for zoning changes.

Section 2. Section 100 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 100. Building Permits.** Building permits for the erection or alteration of any building or structure, shall be issued by the Central Permit Bureau only after approval by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation and the City Planning Commission and shall not be issued contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 or Section 99 of Article 4 of this Chapter.

Each application for a building permit hereafter filed with the Central Permit Bureau shall be accompanied by a statement as to the use of the building to be constructed or altered on blanks to be furnished by the Central Permit Bureau. On each application there shall be shown an accurate block plan of the location of the building on the lot drawn to a scale of sixteen (16) feet to one (1) inch.

Section 3. Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, are hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 101. Interpretation—Purpose.** In interpreting and applying the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article, they shall be held to be the minimum requirements adopted for the promotion of the public health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare. It is not intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article to repeal, abrogate, annul or in any way to impair or interfere with any existing provision of law or ordinance or any rules, regulations or permits previously adopted or issued or which shall be adopted or issued pursuant to the law relating to the use of buildings or premises; nor is it intended by

Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article to interfere with or abrogate or annul any easement, covenant or other agreements between parties; provided, however, that where Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article imposes a greater restriction upon the use of buildings or premises than is imposed or required by such existing provisions of law or ordinance or by such rules, regulations or permits or by such easements, covenants or agreements, the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article shall control.

**SEC. 102. Enforcement.** It shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works, Department of Public Health, Police Department, Fire Department and Department of Electricity to enforce the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article.

Recommended by the City Planning Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Fixing Date of Hearing of Objections to Correction of Clerical Errors in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll Increasing Tax, and Requiring Notice to Assesseees.**

Proposal No. 6182, Resolution No. 5971 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Assessor has recommended, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834 and 4836 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, with the written consent of the City Attorney, that certain clerical errors in the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco be corrected so that the entries therein to be corrected shall read as set forth in the Assessor's Clerical Error List for the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll, dated October 9, 1946, as follows:

Vol.	Blk.	Lot	Total	Land	Imp.
3	349	7	54,540	37,040	17,500
4	614	16	10,380	3,780	6,600
16	2106	21	2,270	370	1,900
24	3605	6	920	570	350
26	3758	4	31,420	14,070	17,350

And Whereas, said corrections will in each instance increase the amount of taxes due; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Monday, November 4, 1946, at 3:00 p. m., is hereby set as the time at which the assesseees affected thereby may be heard thereon by the Board of Supervisors, and the Clerk of said Board is hereby directed to give such assesseees at least five days' notice of such hearing and of the time and place thereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray—3.

**Authorizing Correction of Clerical Errors in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll.**

Proposal No. 6183, Resolution No. 5972 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the recommendation of the Assessor, and in accordance with the provisions of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834

and 4835 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, and with the written consent of the City Attorney, the Board of Supervisors does hereby consent to and authorize the correction, by the Controller, of clerical errors in the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco, so that the entires therein to be corrected shall read as herein below:

Volume	Block	Lot	Total	Land	Improvement	Personal Property	Exemption
2	176	16	\$62,490	\$42,990	\$19,500	.....	.....
2	247	20	1,880	2,880	.....	.....	\$1,000
2	301	17	145,760	15,760	130,000	.....	.....
4	629	21	4,270	4,370	900	.....	1,000
4	686	20	1,050	1,050	1,000	.....	1,000
11	1577	25	4,650	850	4,500	300	1,000
11	1603	12	1,480	780	1,700	.....	1,000
11	1604	24	5,045	1,520	4,000	525	1,000
12	1622	16	1,000	850	1,150	.....	1,000
12	1662	7	1,465	850	1,350	25	760
12	1671	7N	4,500	1,900	2,600	.....	.....
13	1715	1B	1,300	600	1,700	.....	1,000
13	1727	7	3,540	750	3,300	.....	510
14	1862A	7	1,180	580	1,600	.....	1,000
15	1901	27	1,030	430	1,600	.....	1,000
15	1925	8A	1,410	810	1,600	.....	1,000
15	2003	49	670	320	1,200	150	1,000
15	2007	6A	720	320	1,400	.....	1,000
16	2063	15	1,110	510	1,600	.....	1,000
16	2069	3	1,100	400	1,700	.....	1,000
16	2069	4	1,530	400	1,900	.....	770
16	2097	15C	Nil	260	.....	450	710
16	2106	20	1,480	480	2,000	.....	1,000
16	2126	19	865	630	1,100	.....	865
16	2143	21	Nil	Nil	Nil	.....	.....
16	2172	16	720	270	1,450	.....	1,000
17	2302	15A	450	250	1,200	.....	1,000
17	2340	6A	870	370	1,500	.....	1,000
17	2373	2	320	320	Nil	.....	.....
17	2374	39	480	280	1,200	.....	1,000
18	2416	1-I	1,360	660	1,700	.....	1,000
18	2476	54	Nil	.....	.....	.....	2,000
18	2541	7	1,760	700	2,000	60	1,000
19	2696	26	710	810	900	.....	1,000
19	2709	44	2,930	280	3,500	150	1,000
21	2983	8	1,370	670	1,700	.....	1,000
21	2983	27A & 28	1,240	540	1,700	.....	1,000
21	3094	9	Nil	250	350	45	645
22	3198	17	720	470	1,250	.....	1,000
24	3585	12	3,440	1,350	2,600	490	1,000
27	4146	32	200	750	450	.....	1,000
27	4217	8	Nil	300	200	.....	500
28	4336	19	400	800	600	.....	1,000
29	4652	13	Nil	150	.....	.....	150
32	5325	4	Nil	300	100	.....	400
32	5337	13	870	270	1,600	.....	1,000
33	5481	5A	Nil	Nil	Nil	.....	.....
33	5481	8	Nil	Nil	.....	.....	.....
35	5862A	6	1,235	350	1,500	.....	615
35	5900	36	920	320	1,600	.....	1,000
38	6332	25	1,160	260	1,900	.....	1,000
38	6354	20	1,400	400	2,000	.....	1,000
39	6533	8	1,280	1,280	1,000	.....	1,000
39	6562	25	Nil	250	300	.....	550
40	6589	2	930	230	1,700	.....	1,000

Volume	Block	Lot	Total	Land	Improvement	Personal Property	Exemption
40	6621	38	\$700	\$200	\$1,500	.....	\$1,000
40	6623	13 & 14	Nil	500	.....	.....	500
40	6623	17	Nil	200	..	.....	200
40	6660	27	2,980	3,130	1,350	.....	1,500
41	6731	23	Nil	210	100	50	360
42	6916	2	575	1,040	....	.....	465
42	6991	8	840	380	1,300	.....	840
43	7048	21 & 22	595	790	400	.....	595
43	7090	46 & 47	425	300	200	.....	75
44	7142	31	600	300	1,300	.....	1,000
44	7161	28	620	320	1,300	.....	1,000
44	7207	11	2,320	720	2,600	.....	1,000
44	7208	6A	2,800	900	2,900	.....	1,000
44	7229	12	2,910	1,110	2,800	.....	1,000
44	7247	4	1,100	400	1,700	.....	1,000

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray—3.

#### Out of Order.

Supervisor Mead moved the suspension of the rules for the purpose of considering a proposal he desired to submit. *No objection* and Supervisor Mead presented the following:

Proposal No. 6189, Resolution No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the members of the Bufano Sculpture Committee of the American Legion Frederick G. Bunch Post No. 116 have met at the City corporation yards adjoining Laguna Honda Home in the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the statuary and work in progress of Beniamino Bufano stored at these yards has already been subjected to vicious vandalism; and

Whereas, the statuary and work of the aforesaid Bufano are in a completely unprotected condition and subject to further vandalism; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the remains of Beniamino Bufano's completed and uncompleted works be found and the condition ascertained; that steps be taken immediately by this Board of Supervisors to safeguard such of Bufano's works as still remain and to fix responsibility for maintaining such safeguards; that action be taken to determine the responsibility for failure to safeguard Bufano's works; that inquiry into the vandalism of these works be instituted and facts developed to make prosecution of the vandals possible; that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco take immediate steps to have a city agency, in cooperation with Bufano, act at once to save all salvageable molds and uncompleted works in stone; and that investigation be made to ascertain the person or persons responsible for the removal of Bufano's works from the City Hall basement to the corporation yards.

*Referred to the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

#### Off Calendar.

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was presented by the Clerk:

**Granting Permission Revocable at the Will of the Board of Supervisors to the Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company to Construct, Maintain and Operate a Loading Platform in Greenwich Street.**

Proposal No. 6185, Resolution No. 5974 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company, owners of the property at the southwest corner of Greenwich and Sansome Streets, to construct, maintain and operate a concrete loading platform on the southerly sidewalk area of Greenwich Street from Sansome Street westerly 79 feet 6 inches.

Provided, however, that concrete steps shall be constructed from the sidewalk at the westerly line of Sansome Street westerly, to provide access for pedestrians.

Further Provided, that the plans and specifications for said platform shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works before commencing any construction work. All work shall be done in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

Further Provided, that this permit is granted subject to the following conditions:

1. The Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company, its successors or assigns in interest to said property, shall assume all responsibility and liability for the maintenance of the installation of the platform and will indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, actions and causes of action which may be made against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for the injury or death of any person or for the damage of any property resulting from the installation, maintenance or operation of said platform.

2. Within thirty (30) days after the revocation or abandonment of this permit, the Merchants Ice and Cold Storage Company, its successors or assigns in interest to said property, shall remove or cause to be removed, all materials used for, or in connection with, said platform.

3. This permit is granted revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Leaves of Absence.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were presented by the Clerk:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Alan L. Lowrey, Fire Commissioner.**

Proposal No. 6184, Resolution No. 5973 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alan L. Lowrey, Fire Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of November 7 to December 4, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Leaves of Absence—Supervisors Dan Gallagher and Marvin E. Lewis.

Proposal No. 6186, Resolution No. 5975 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Dan Gallagher, president of the Board of Supervisors, and Honorable Marvin E. Lewis, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, beginning October 26, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Requesting the Board of Education to Investigate Feasibility of Constructing a Senior High School in Bernal Heights District.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6187, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, that district south and east of Mission Street, comprising Visitacion Valley, Hunters Point, Bay View, Potrero Hills and others has had unprecedented growth during the war and post-war years, due to housing projects, its topography and desirability for industrial sites; and

Whereas, the many thousands of children of high school age are compelled to travel on congested street cars for long distances to attend Mission and Balboa High schools, which schools are already crowded in excess of their capacities; and

Whereas, the building of a senior high school in that territory stated would alleviate, to some extent, the hazardous traffic congestion by eliminating high school students' travel to and from school, and would concurrently afford redress to a district which now contains the largest child per family population in San Francisco; and

Whereas, the City owns over 21½ acres of land upon the summit of the beautiful Bernal Heights, surrounded by Mission Street on the west, Bayshore Boulevard on the east, and Army Street, making an ideal location for a senior high school; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors respectfully suggest to the Board of Education that they investigate said site and prepare costs and other data to have same immediately available, and that they be asked to instigate, at the earliest possible date, a program for the building of said senior high school.

*Referred to the Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

The Clerk was instructed to transmit copy of the above proposal to the Board of Education.

Requesting the Public Utilities Commission to Give Consideration to the Feasibility of Issuing School Tickets to Those Students Attending School in San Francisco Who Are Over 18 Years of Age.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6188, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, with the termination of the war, many of our ex-service-men are returning to high school to continue their education; and



Whereas, due to the fact that these students are over 18 years of age they are not permitted to use school tickets while going to and from school; and

Whereas, it is unfair to these students to compel them to purchase tokens at 3 for 25 cents while other scholars are permitted to purchase school tickets at 16 rides for 50 cents; and

Whereas, it is within the power of the Public Utilities Commission to permit the issuance of school tickets to those persons over 18 years of age who are attending school in San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully petition the Public Utilities Commission to give consideration to the feasibility of the issuance of school tickets to those students who, although over 18 years of age, are attending school in San Francisco.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

The Clerk was instructed to transmit copy of the above proposal to the Public Utilities Commission.

#### Statement by Supervisor Christopher on Prevention of Street Car Accidents.

Supervisor Christopher, speaking on the vital matter of attempting to devise ways and means of curbing the increase in street car accidents, informed the Board that it was his understanding that a Mr. Joseph Hanlon, chairman of the Safety Committee of the old Market Street Railway, for many years had an enviable record of keeping the accident rate on that line at a very low level, and this information should be transmitted to the Public Utilities Commission as a possible aid in checking the mounting toll of street car accidents on the Municipal line, along with certain correspondence pertaining to the subject matter.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Meetings of Committees.

Supervisor Meyer called a meeting of the Streets Committee for Wednesday, October 30, 1946, at 4:00 p. m.

Supervisor Sullivan called a meeting of the Education, Parks and Recreation Committee for Friday, November 1, 1946, at 3:00 p. m.

#### Communication Referred to Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan presented a communication, with resolution attached, adopted by the board of directors of Alta California, Inc., on October 26, 1946, urging that gold coins be made available for general circulation as a medium of exchange.

The Chair ordered that the correspondence be transmitted to Supervisor Lewis.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5:20 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Acting Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 25, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Acting Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.  
City and County of San Francisco.





Monday, November 4, 1946  
Thursday, November 7, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 4, 1946,  
2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor MacPhee presiding, on motion by Supervisor Colman.

Supervisors Gallagher and Lewis on leave of absence.

Supervisor Colman was excused from attendance at 4:25 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 16, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as noted:

From the City Attorney, in reply to query from Clerk of the Board as to interpretation of Section 117 of the Charter, as to whether "two-thirds" had reference to the entire membership of the Board or of the members present.

*Over to Thursday, November 7, 1946, 2:00 p. m.*

Acknowledgment from Mayor William O'Dwyer of New York City, of the expression of sympathy extended by the Board to him on the death of his wife.

*Ordered filed.*

Telegram from Arthur T. Hare, secretary, Hospital Workers Union Local 250, urging that premiums for insurance taken by City officials be returned to those officers who had heretofore paid such premiums.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Zado Goldenberg, condemning use of parking meters.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

Negotiations and Arbitrations Reports No. 7 and No. 8 on the present maritime strike, from the Waterfront Employers Association.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the San Francisco Center, California League of Women Voters, commending the Board of Supervisors and John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board, for the splendid manner in which the charter amendments were printed.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Navy Department, acknowledging receipt of Resolution No. 5875, requesting the Navy Department to permit motorists to use a portion of Yerba Buena Island as an observation point.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the War Department, notification of public hearing on the modification of harbor lines, San Francisco Bay.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Potrero Women's Club, requesting that space be allotted for a club room for its meetings.

*Referred to the Library Commission with request that they reply to it.*

From Mrs. Catherine E. Rice, protesting charge for moving of sewer.

*Referred to Department of Public Works.*

From Fink & Keyston, appealing from action of City Planning Commission, in denying application to rezone property from First Residential District to Commercial District.

*Made a Special Order of Business, Tuesday, November 12, 1946, 3:00 p. m., and Clerk to contact City Attorney's Office on legality of this procedure.*

From the Controller, summary of issuance and disposition of traffic citations—September, 1946.

*Ordered filed.*

From the American Legion, Department of California, presenting Resolution 37, endorsing the Federal Airport Program.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Patrick H. Keenan, protesting payment in connection with reduction of sidewalk widths and widening of Guerrero Street.

*Referred to the Department of Public Works.*

From the Controller, annual report, City and County of San Francisco, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Communications from Congressman Richard J. Welch, Senators Sheridan Downey and William F. Knowland, acknowledging receipt of copy of Resolution No. 5875, requesting the Navy Department to permit use of portion of Yerba Buena Island by motorists as an observation point.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Supervisor Mancuso, recommendation of the Finance Committee, intra-fund transfer of \$1,600 from Board of Supervisors Legislative Expense to Contractual Services.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Refused Adoption.

#### Settlement of Maritime Strike.

During the day's proceedings, and immediately following first Roll Call, Supervisor John J. Sullivan requested that there be taken up, out of order, the following recommendation of the County, State and National Affairs Committee.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Proposal No. 6213, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an agreement has been reached between East and Gulf Coast ship operators and the Marine Engineers Beneficial Association, ending the maritime strike on those coasts and returning all men involved to work in the maritime industry; and

Whereas, the acceptance of that agreement by the members of the Pacific American Shipowners Association and the Marine Engineers



on the West Coast would immediately settle the strike between those parties and return the men involved to work; and

Whereas, a tentative agreement has been reached between the Waterfront Employers Association of the Pacific Coast and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, and on the only remaining issue the ILWU has offered, upon the signing of the tentative agreement between the Waterfront Employers Association and the ILWU to return to work immediately and further negotiate, or mediate, or arbitrate any remaining issues, which would immediately end this strike; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors go on record as requesting the Waterfront Employers Association and the Pacific American Shipowners Association to settle the maritime strike by accepting the contract agreed upon between the East and Gulf Coast Operators and the Marine Engineers, which has been put in effect on all Maritime Commission ships on the East and Gulf Coasts, and which the Maritime Commission states may be put into effect on the West Coast; and be it

Further Resolved, That they immediately sign a tentative agreement reached by the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union and the Waterfront Employers Association in order to settle the strike; and be it

Further Resolved, That in the interests of public welfare and to keep the Port of San Francisco operating, that the remaining issues be immediately referred to further negotiations, mediations or arbitrations, thereby settling the present maritime strike.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Germain Balkey, president, Longshoremen's Union; Mr. Walter Stich, chairman of Marine Engineers' Strike Committee; and Mr. Paul Schnur, representing the C.I.O. and speaking on behalf of the striking unions, all urged adoption of the foregoing proposal.

Captain May, of the Masters, Mates and Pilots of America, affiliated with the American Federation of Labor; Mr. John O'Connell, and Mr. Flannigan, also of the American Federation of Labor, opposed the adoption of the proposal.

Supervisor Mead announced that he would have no objection to asking all parties to the strike to get together and settle their differences. However, the proposal as presented asks the West Coast to accept conditions on the East Coast. He could not vote for such a proposal.

Supervisor Colman declared that he could not see how the Board of Supervisors could come to an intelligent, constructive and fair decision on such a question without hearing from all parties interested in the matter. It is not a function of the Board to make a recommendation in such matter. He was convinced that the passage of the proposal would not help at all. He would vote against adoption.

Supervisor Mancuso announced that all representative groups were notified of the committee hearing on this matter. He urged adoption.

Supervisor Christopher read to the Board a portion of a letter received from the Mayor of New York City, reporting the creation of a Division of Labor Relations in that city, and expressed the view that New York City has taken cognizance of the fact that strikes are the concern of communities. Had the American Federation of Labor been present at the committee hearing, he would vote for the adoption of the proposal; however, he felt they and other opponents should be given another opportunity.

Thereupon, Supervisor Christopher moved that the entire matter be re-referred to committee for the purpose of again inviting all

parties to express their views, and that the matter be on the Calendar for the next meeting of the Board. Motion seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

After further brief argument, the roll was called and the motion to re-refer to committee *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, McMurray—2.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Thereupon, the roll was again called and the foregoing proposal was *Refused Adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

##### Assessment Confirmed.

#### Hearing of Protests—Assessment for Improvement of Portions of Girard Street, Between Ordway and Ward Streets.

Board of Supervisors to hear protests, if any, of all persons interested in the following described work done or in the assessment, diagram or warrant for pay of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby: Improvement of portions of Girard Street, between Ordway and Ward Streets, by the construction of paving, etc., by E. J. Treacy, as described in Declaration of Intention, Order No. 23357, passed December 26, 1945, of the Department of Public Works.

No protests having been made, assessment was *confirmed* and the Clerk was directed so to notify the Director of Public Works.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:00 P. M.

##### Consideration Continued.

#### Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Nineteenth Avenue and Rivera Street.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3145, denying application to rezone property located on easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue, 82 feet southerly from Rivera Street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Continued from October 28, 1946.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, *consideration was continued until Thursday, November 7, 1946, at 2:00 p. m.*

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

#### Declaration of Intention to Close Charlestown Place, Confirmed.

##### Hearing on Closing of Charlestown Place.

Hearing of all persons interested in or objecting to closing and abandonment of Charlestown Place, from a line 137' 6" northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Harrison Street to its northwesterly termination, pursuant to Resolution No. 5899.

Continued from October 28, 1946.

### Consideration.

A Mr. Harband, property owner, who had heretofore objected to the proposed closing of Charlestown Place, addressed the Board, saying that he had withdrawn his objection, but he desired the record to state that he would oppose a subsequent closing, if such should be proposed. He would oppose the creation of an alley 25 feet by 37 feet 6 inches.

Thereupon, no objections having been made, the declaration of intention to close Charlestown Place was *confirmed* and the Clerk was directed so to notify the Director of Public Works.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

#### Hearing of Objections to Correction of Clerical Errors in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll, Pursuant to Resolution No. 5971 (Series of 1939).

Hearing before the Board of Supervisors of all persons objecting to correction of clerical errors in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll as recommended by the Assessor in accordance with the provisions of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834 and 4836 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California.

#### Discussion.

Mr. Lewis Hendrick, property owner, stated that the correction of assessment on his property would increase the amount of taxes by \$173. He did not object to the increased assessment, for he agreed that it was correct, but he desired, if possible, the increased assessment would not be made effective during the current year. He had purchased the property believing that the assessment was correct.

Mr. Walter Allen, from the Assessor's Office, reported that the statement made by Mr. Hendrick was correct, but that the Assessor had no alternative but to correct the assessment when the error was discovered.

After further brief discussion by Supervisor Colman, who pointed out that it was evident that the Board of Supervisors had no right not to authorize the correction of an error, Mr. Hendrick withdrew his objection.

The Clerk reported that the Assessor had requested permission to withdraw from the list of clerical errors, property designated as Block 3758, Lot 4.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

The Clerk reported, also, that a request had been made to postpone for one week, consideration of Block 3605, Lot 5.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Thereupon, the following proposal was presented:

#### Authorizing Correction of Clerical Errors in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll.

Proposal No. 6211, Resolution No. 5992 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the recommendation of the Assessor, in accordance with the provision of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834 and 4836 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, with the written consent of the City Attorney, and after hearing set by Resolution No. 5971 (Series of 1939), at which the assessees affected by the corrections recommended were given an opportunity to be heard thereon and to present objections thereto, after being given five days' notice of such hearing, and after consideration thereof, the Board of Supervisors does hereby consent to, authorize and direct the correction, by the Controller, of clerical errors in the 1946-1947

Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco, so that the entries therein to be corrected shall read as follows:

Volume	Block	Lot	Total	Land	Improvement
3	349	7	\$54,540	\$37,040	\$17,500
4	614	16	10,380	3,780	6,600
16	2106	21	2,270	370	1,900

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

#### Appropriating \$434 for Payment of Holiday Overtime, Department of Electricity.

Bill No. 4371, Ordinance No. 4122 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$434 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00 to provide funds for the payment of Holiday time in the Department of Electricity.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$434 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 649.112.03, to provide funds for the payment of Holiday time in the Department of Electricity.

Recommended by the Chief of the Department of Electricity.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Authorizing Sale of Lot on Southwesterly Line of Second Street, 30 Feet Southeasterly From Natoma Street.

Bill No. 4376, Ordinance No. 4123 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of Lot 17 in Assessor's Block 3722.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Second Street, distant thereon 30 feet Southeasterly from the South-

easterly line of Natoma Street; running thence southeasterly and along said southeasterly line of Second Street 25 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly 80 feet; thence at right angles Northwesterly 25 feet; thence at right angles North-easterly 80 feet to the southwesterly line of Second street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of 100 Vara Lot No. 31.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$1,480 for Creation of 1 Position, General Clerk-Typist, in Public Welfare Department; Abolishing 1 Position, General Clerk, in Same Department, at Same Salary.**

Bill No. 4377, Ordinance No. 4124 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,480 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,480 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230 per month in the Public Welfare Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B222 General Clerk at \$185-230 in the Public Welfare Department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mc-Murray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, Public Welfare Department.**

Bill No. 4353, Ordinance No. 4121 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 66, Public Welfare Department, by decreasing the number of employments under item 4 from 5 to 4 B222 General Clerk at \$185-

230; and by increasing the number of employments under item 12 from 30 to 31 B512 General Clerk-Typist at \$185-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 66, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 66. **PUBLIC WELFARE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
2	1	B25	Business Manager .....	385-460
3	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
4	4	B222	<b>General Clerk</b> .....	185-230
4.1	1	B222	General Clerk .....	(k) 230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
6	1	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
7	37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
9	1	B419.1	Secretary, Public Welfare Commission .....	250-315
10	3	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	1	B510	Braille Typist .....	185-230
12	31	B512	<b>General Clerk-Typist</b> .....	185-230
12.1	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	(k) 230
13	2	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290
14	4	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
15	1	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
16	2	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of .....	460
18	85	T157	Social Service Worker .....	200-245
19	13	T160	Senior Social Service Worker .....	250-315
20	1	T163	Director of Public Welfare .....	550-660
21	1	T165	District Supervisor .....	360-430

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of Carrie Nelander and Legal Action on Said Claim for the Sum of \$1,000.**

Bill No. 4379, Ordinance No. 4125 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of Carrie Nelander and legal action on said claim for the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000) Dollars.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Police Commissioners having recommended, and the City Attorney having approved, the settlement of the claim of Carrie Nelander and legal action on said claim instituted by action No. 338130 in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, wherein said Carrie Nelander is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco is defendant, for the recovery of damages for personal injuries sustained by plaintiff as the result of an accident occurring on the 2d day of December, 1944, in front of Richmond Police Station, San Francisco, California, by the payment to plaintiff by said City and County of San Francisco of the sum of One Thousand (\$1,000) Dollars, and said plaintiff having agreed to accept said sum, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle said claim and action by said payment to

said Carrie Nelander, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for the said sum of One Thousand (\$1,000) Dollars in favor of said Carrie Nelander, being in full satisfaction and dismissal of said action.

Recommended and approved by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Settlement recommended, and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the State of California for Bayshore Freeway.

Proposal No. 6178, Resolution No. 5978 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel both installments of the 1946-47 real property taxes which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1946, on the following described property:

<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>
4012	1, 8
4157	10, 23, 25
4139	2, 19, 24
4261	6
4262	4, 15, 16, 31
4279	2, 3
3976	1a, 21, 25
3959	3a
4261	9
4279	4, 7, 8
4027	3, 15, 16, 21, 28, 29, 30
4093	31, 32, 34, 39, 41, 43
4280	15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 23
4026	2, 4
3975	1k
4215	19
4011	21b

Said property has been acquired by the State of California.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Determination of Liability of Responsible Relatives of Recipients  
of Old Age Security Aid.**

Proposal No. 6190, Resolution No. 5980 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the responsible relatives of the recipients of Old Age Security aid, listed in the report of the Public Welfare Department to the Board of Supervisors, dated October 29, 1946, are able to contribute each month to the said recipients of Old Age Security Aid the amounts stated in said report; that said determination is made upon the basis of the Relatives Contribution Scale set forth in Division III, Chapter 1, of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California; and the City Attorney is hereby requested and authorized to commence legal proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 2224 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, against the responsible relatives who refuse to assume the obligation of making the monthly contribution to the recipients of Old Age Security Aid in accordance with the determination of their liability as made on this date by the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-  
Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6191, Resolution No. 5981 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated November 4, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of November and December, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare  
Department.**

Proposal No. 6192, Resolution No. 5982 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including aid denials, new applications, increases, decreases and suspensions, effective September 1, October 1 and November 1, 1946, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.



Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Authorizing Lease of Certain Space at 1212 Powell Street for the North Beach Health Center.

Proposal No. 6193, Resolution No. 5983 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written lease with Chang Ping and Doo Shee as lessor of certain ground floor space in the building located at 1212 Powell Street, San Francisco, California, required for the North Beach Health Center.

This lease shall be for a period of one year beginning November 1, 1946, at a rental of \$50 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

The City shall have the right to renew said lease for an additional period of one year at a rental of \$50 per month.

The City Attorney shall approve the form of said lease.

Approved by the Director of Health.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$3,600 From General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Compensation for One Physician Specialist, Part-Time, and One Public Health Nurse, Department of Public Health.

Bill No. 4391, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,600 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L364 Physician Specialist, part time, at the rate of \$520 per month in the Bureau of Child Welfare-Medical, Department of Public Health, and 1 P52 Public Health Nurse at \$190-230 per month in the Bureau of Field Nursing-Other, Department of Public Health, which positions are created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,600 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of the following appropriations in the Department of Public Health for the purposes recited:

Appropriation  
Number

650.110.10—Compensation for 1 L364 Physician Specialist, part time, at the rate of \$520 per month in the Bureau of Child Welfare-Medical. . . . .	\$2,080
650.110.19—Compensation for 1 P52 Public Health Nurse at \$190-230 per month in the Bureau of Field Nursing-Other. . . . .	1,520

Section 2. The positions of 1 L364 Physician Specialist, part time, at the rate of \$520 per month, in the Bureau of Child Welfare-Medical Department of Public Health, and 1 P52 Public Health Nurse in the Bureau of Field Nursing-Other, Department of Public Health are hereby created.

- Recommended by the Director of Public Health.
- Approved by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.  
 Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Central Office, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4354. Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55a.2, Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 79 from 29 to 30 P52 Public Health Nurse at \$190-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55a.2 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 55a.2. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
 CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
 FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
78.1	1	N204	Housing and Industrial Inspector	\$230-290
79	30	P52	Public Health Nurse	190-230
80	2	P54	Supervisor, Public Health Nursing	230-290
81	4	I 204	Porter	125-160

- Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.  
 Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to Bill 4391. Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Central Office, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4357, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55.2, Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 63 from 6 to 7 L364 Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of \$520.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55.2, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 55.2. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**  
**CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
62	2	L364	Physician Specialist .....	\$520
63	7	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of .....	520

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$3,920 From the General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Funds for One Specialist Physician in the Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4400, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,920 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L364 Physician Specialist at \$520 per month in the Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health, which position is created:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,920 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No.660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 655.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L364 Phvsician at \$520 per month in the Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health, which position is hereby created:

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4352, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 62.1, Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home (Continued) by increasing the number of employments under item 16 from 1 to 2 L364 Physician Specialist at \$520.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 62.1, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 62.1. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
9	16	I 204	Porter .....	\$125-160
10	1	I 254	Seamstress .....	140-175
11	3	J4	Laborer .....	(h) 198.50
13	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician .....	175-220
14	1	L156	Dentist (part time) at rate of.....	325-390
14.1	1	L202	Dietitian .....	185-230
15	2	L352	Interne .....	100
15.1	1	L363	Superintendent. Hassler Health Home.....	460-550
16	2	L364	<b>Physician Specialist</b> .....	520
16.1	2	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of .....	520
17	1	O1	Chauffeur, Flat Rack Truck....	(h) 228.50
18	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds...	210-260
19	1	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
20	10	P102	Registered Nurse .....	165-200
21	5	P104	Head Nurse .....	200-240
22	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing .....	240-290
23			Inmate Help, not over.....	50

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Compromise of Claim, Nina M. and B. W. Durkee in Sum of \$600.**

Bill No. 4402, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee in the amount of \$600.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended, and the City Attorney having approved, the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee, being recovery of loss to said city and county on account of personal injuries sustained by Eugene A. Garren on the 17th day of March, 1945, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of the employment of said Eugene A. Garren as a motorman on a municipal railway street car which was struck by an automobile operated by Nina M. Durkee and owned by Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee, the loss to said city and county to date being \$725.63 including compensation paid while said Eugene A. Garren was absent from his employment and the cost of medical services provided; and the said Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the amount of \$600, the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim for the said amount of \$600.

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Settlement approved and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$2,796.50 From the General Fund Compensation Reserve for Temporary Replacement of One Horticulturist Inspector Temporarily Transferred to the Farmers' Market and for One Horticulturist Inspector Employed on a Six-Day Week Basis.**

Bill No. 4404, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,796.50 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00 to provide funds for the temporary replacement of one N154 Horticultural Inspector in the Agricultural Inspection Department whose services are being transferred temporarily to the Farmers' Market; and to provide funds for one N154 Horticultural Inspector on a six-day week funds which are now provided for a five-and-a-half-day week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,796.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of the following appropriations:

*Appropriation*  
*No.*

658.110.00—Permanent Salaries . . . . .	\$ 246.50
658.120.00—Temporary Salaries . . . . .	2,550.00

to provide funds for the compensation of one N154 Horticultural Inspector required to replace one N154 Horticultural Inspector in the Agricultural Inspection Department whose services are being transferred temporarily to the Farmers' Market; also to provide funds for one N154 Horticultural Inspector on a six-day week funds which are now provided for a five-and-a-half-day week.

Recommended by the Horticultural Commissioner.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Action Rescinded.**

At the request by Supervisor Mead, who desired an explanation of the foregoing matter, there being no objection, the foregoing action was *rescinded*.

Thereupon, after explanation by the Chief Administrative Officer, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Horticultural Commission.**

Bill No. 4403, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.31, Horticultural Commission, by reducing the number of N154 Horticultural Inspector authorized to work 4 hours in excess of 40 from 3 to 2; and by adding 1 N154 Horticultural Inspector for 8 hours in excess of 40 hours.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.31, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.31. Horticultural Commission**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B230 Market Master . . . . .	1	8
N154 Horticultural Inspector . . . . .	2	4
N154 Horticultural Inspector . . . . .	1	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Emergency Relief to Dependent Non-Residents.**

Bill No. 4406, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Bill No. 123, Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939), entitled, "Providing for the Granting of Emergency Relief to Dependent Non-Residents of the City and County of San Francisco, Defining Certain Terms Used in This Ordinance, Determining the Amount and Character of Relief Which May Be Granted to Dependent Non-Residents and Authorizing the Public Welfare Commission to Administer Said Relief and to Make Rules and Regulations Regarding the Granting of Said Relief and to Incur on Behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the Cost of Transporting Non-Resident Dependent Indigents to Another County, Another State, a Territory of the United States, or a Foreign Country," by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 6, which provides for immediate granting of emergency relief to dependent non-residents for a period of not exceeding fifteen (15) days when such dependent non-residents make re-application for assistance after having received emergency relief at some previous time.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 123, Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 6, reading as follows:

Section 6. The Director of Public Welfare may, without the approval of the Board of Supervisors, grant emergency relief to dependent non-residents as in this ordinance provided for a period of not exceeding fifteen (15) days when such dependent non-residents make re-application for assistance after having already received emergency relief at some previous time for the maximum period of time for which the Public Welfare Commission is empowered to grant

such emergency relief as provided in Section 3 of this ordinance and when immediate need for assistance is determined; provided that the Director of Public Welfare shall make a report to the Public Welfare Commission at its meeting following the granting of said relief of any relief so granted; and provided further that the Director of Public Welfare shall, immediately upon the granting of said relief, initiate a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the necessity for the extension of the period of granting of emergency relief as under Section 3 of this ordinance provided, and, if the Board of Supervisors disapproves such extension of the period of relief, no further relief shall be granted.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Final Passage.

Appropriating the Sum of \$11,500 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Fire Department for Drydocking and Repairing of Fire Boats; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4398, Ordinance No. 4126 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$11,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Fire Department for drydocking and repairing of Fire Boats; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$11,500 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.219.10, to provide funds in Fire Department for drydocking and repairing of Fire Boats.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The purpose for which the above amount is being appropriated concerns the welfare and safety of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. Drydocking and repairing of two Fire Boats is necessary due to the poor condition of the equipment and the continued use without repairing would impair the efficiency of the Fire Boats. The amount allowed by the 1946-47 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance is insufficient to cover the additional repair work required and there are no other funds for the purpose.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$775 From the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds to Establish Health Center at 1676 Newcomb Avenue; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4399, Ordinance No. 4127 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$775 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Department of Public Health for work necessary to establish Health Center in the former Bav View Police Station at 1676 Newcomb Avenue; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$775 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 650,900.19 to provide funds in the Department of Public Health to establish a Health Center in the former Bay View Police Station at 1676 Newcomb Avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Established health centers in this area are so located and so crowded that many families in the locality cannot be served. It is necessary for the health and welfare of mothers and babies that the new well baby center be established and that these funds be provided in order that this former police station be painted and generally repaired. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$3,000 From the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Milk for Undernourished Children; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4401, Ordinance No. 4128 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Department of Public Health for the furnishing of milk to undernourished school children; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 650,350.18 to provide funds in the Department of Public Health for the furnishing of milk to undernourished school children.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to increased cost of milk purchased by the



Department of Public Health for undernourished school children the amount allowed by the 1946-47 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance is insufficient to meet the needs for the balance of the fiscal year. The additional appropriation herein requested is necessary to the health and welfare of the school children who have been certified as needing the milk. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Adopted.

The following, from Streets Committee, were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Meyer.

Approving Map of Subdivision of a Portion of Assessor's Block 7065 Dedicating Same as an Open Public Street.

Proposal No. 6133, Resolution No. 5976 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of Subdivision of a Portion of Assessor's Block 7065, San Francisco, Calif.," composed of two sheets approved the 9th day of October, 1946, by Department of Public Works Order No. 25-062 be and the same is hereby approved and adopted as the official subdivision map of a portion of Assessor's Block 7065.

Further Resolved, That the parcel of land designated thereon as Fredson Court not previously dedicated is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be an open public street dedicated to public use to be known by the name of Fredson Court.

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco that certain deed from Fred H. Thorinson and Evelyn Thorinson, his wife, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all the land comprising Fredson Court as above referred to and shown on said map.

Further Resolved, That the certain certified check in the sum of Two Hundred Ninety-six and 68/100 Dollars (\$296.68) conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown on said map, but not yet payable, be and the same is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of Two Hundred Ninety-six and 68/100 Dollars (\$296.68) being the amount of taxes estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Approving Map of Anzavista, Accepting Deed to Streets and Declaring Same to Be Open Public Streets.**

Proposal No. 6153, Resolution No. 5977 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled, "Map of Anzavista, San Francisco, Calif.," composed of two sheets approved the 16th day of October, 1946, by Department of Public Works Order 25-104 be and the same is hereby adopted as the official map of Anzavista; and

Further Resolved, That the parcels of land delineated and designated thereon as St. Joseph's Avenue, Lyon Street, O'Farrell Street, Ellis Street, Anzavista Avenue, Nido Avenue, Vega Street, Barcelona Avenue, Encanto Avenue, Fortuna Avenue, Baker Street, Arbol Lane, Pinar Lane, and Sonora Lane, within the boundaries of said map, not previously dedicated are hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be open public streets dedicated to public use to be known by the names as shown thereon; and

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco that certain deed from Mayfair Heights Corporation granting to the City and County of San Francisco all the land comprising St. Joseph's Avenue, Lyon Street, O'Farrell Street, Ellis Street, Anzavista Avenue, Nido Avenue, Vega Street, Barcelona Avenue, Encanto Avenue, Fortuna Avenue, Baker Street, Arbol Lane, Pinar Lane, and Sonora Lane, as above referred to and as shown on said map; and

Further Resolved, That the certain certified check in the sum of Twelve Thousand Four Hundred Twenty-seven and 83/100 Dollars, (\$12,427.83) made payable to the City and County of San Francisco conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are, at the time of filing of said map a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown on said map, but not yet payable, be and the same is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of Twelve Thousand Four Hundred Twenty-seven and 83/100 Dollars (\$12,427.83) being the amount of taxes estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Granting a Revocable Permit to Safeway Stores Incorporated to Erect Gates on Davidson Avenue Between Mendell Street and Newhall Street.**

Proposal No. 6181, Resolution No. 5979 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Safeway Stores Incorporated is operating a slaughter house on the southwesterly corner of Davidson Avenue and Mendell Street, and a livestock corral on the northeasterly side of Davidson Avenue northwesterly from Mendell Street; and

Whereas, it is necessary in order to confine the livestock when being driven across Davidson Avenue from the corrals to the slaughterhouse, that swinging gates be erected on the northeasterly and southwesterly curb lines of Davidson Avenue to provide a passageway of approximately 30 feet in width; and

Whereas, the passageway will be used two times daily, once in the morning and once in the afternoon for ten-minute periods each at which time the street will be closed to traffic; and

Whereas, the owners of property on Davidson Avenue, between Mendell and Newhall Streets, which is unimproved, have informed Safeway Stores Incorporated that they have no objection to the erection of the gates and the closing of the street for two ten-minute periods daily; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby granted to Safeway Stores Incorporated to erect swinging gates, the posts for which are to be set on the north-easterly and southwesterly curb lines of Davidson Avenue approximately 130 feet and 160 feet northwesterly from Mendell Street;

Provided, However, That the Safeway Stores Incorporated, its successors or assigns, shall not close Davidson Avenue more than two times daily and for not more than ten minutes for each closing; and

Further Provided, That this permit is granted pursuant to the agreement of Safeway Stores Incorporated that they will assume all responsibility and liability for the maintenance of the installation of the gates and will indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, actions, and causes of action which may be made against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for the injury or death of any person, or for the damage of any property resulting from the installation, maintenance or operation of the gates; and

Further Provided, That the Board of Supervisors reserves the right to revoke this permit at any time after 30 days' notice; and

Further Provided, That upon revocation or abandonment of this permit, Safeway Stores Incorporated, its successors or assigns in interest shall within 10 days after revocation remove or cause to be removed without cost or obligation to the City and County of San Francisco all material and obstructions of any kind placed in connection with the installation granted by this permit.

- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Recommended by the Director of Public Works.
- Approved as to description by the City Engineer.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Forty-Fifth Avenue  
Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.**

Proposal No. 6194, Resolution No. 5984 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 25159 of the Director of Public Works dated October 25, 1946, making written recommendation of such action, filed with said Board October 29, 1946, to-wit:

*Forty-fifth Avenue.*

Ulloa Street .....	35.50 feet
183.33 southerly from Ulloa Street .....	37.25 feet
Easterly line of at Vicente Street .....	34.00 feet
(The same being the present official grade)	
Westerly line of at Vicente Street .....	33.00 feet
(The same being the present official grade)	

*Forty-fifth Avenue—Continued*

On Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa and Vicente Streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

These proceedings are instituted in accordance with the "Change of Grade Act of 1909." The San Francisco Chronicle is hereby designated as the newspaper in which this resolution shall be published.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

Accepting Road of Sawyer Street Between Leland and Visitacion Avenues, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4373, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Sawyer Street between Leland Avenue and Visitacion Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

The roadway of Sawyer Street between Leland Avenue and Visitacion Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Accepting the Roadways of Hahn Street, Sawyer Street and Sunrise Way.

Bill No. 4374, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Hahn Street between Visitacion Avenue and Sunrise Way, including the crossings of Visitacion Avenue and Sunnysdale Avenue and the intersections of Blythdale Avenue and Sunrise Way; Sawyer Street between Sunnysdale Avenue and Velasco Avenue, including the crossing of Sunrise Way; Sunrise Way between Sawyer Street and its westerly termination, west of Hahn Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

The roadway of Hahn Street between Visitacion Avenue and Sunrise Way, including the crossings of Visitacion Avenue and Sunnydale Avenue and the intersections of Blythdale Avenue and Sunrise Way; Sawyer Street between Sunnydale Avenue and Velasco Avenue, including the crossing of Sunrise Way; Sunrise Way between Sawyer Street and its westerly termination, west of Hahn Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Improving Portions of Dublin Street Between Persia and Russia Avenues and Extending City Aid.**

Bill No. 4378, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of portions of Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Avenues and appropriating \$2,100 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 4, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of DUBLIN STREET between Persia and Russia Avenues by grading to the official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Class "E" concrete pavement.
2.	Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
3.	Unarmored concrete curb.
4.	6-inch V.C.P. side sewers.
5.	Water services, long.
6.	Water services, short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 6095, Lots 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, and 10; and Block 6096, Lot 3;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$2,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation 648.906.01 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against the appropriation and the excess money will revert to "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Recommended by the Director of Public Works.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jessie Street Between Ninth Street and a Line Parallel With and 280 Feet Southwesterly Therefrom at 2 Feet 6 Inches.**

Bill No. 4387, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ninety-two, fixing sidewalk widths on Jessie Street between Ninth Street and a line parallel with and 280 feet southwesterly therefrom at 2 feet 6 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office October 17, 1946, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ninety-two (1292) to read as follows:

Section 1292. The width of sidewalks on Jessie Street between Ninth Street and a line parallel with and 280 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be two feet six inches.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Recommended by the Director of Public Works.  
 Recommended by the City Engineer.  
 Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhec, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Improving Portions of Girard Street Between Mansell and Ordway Streets and Extending City Aid.**

Bill No. 4392, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of portions of Girard Street between Mansell and Ordway Streets and appropriating \$1,100 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of Girard Street between Mansell and Ordway Streets by the construction of the following items.

*Item No.*

*Item*

1. Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Class "E" concrete pavement.
3. Unarmored concrete curb.
4. 6-inch V.C.P side sewers.
5. Water services, long.
6. Water services, short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 6157 Lots 13-A, 15-A, 15-B, and 17; and  
 Block 6158, Lots 1, 2, 3, 3-A, and 4;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the

City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$1,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation 648.906.05 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against the appropriation and the excess money will revert to "Reserve for City Aid."

- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Recommended by the Director of Public Works.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

- Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.
- Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Establishing Grades on Kempton Avenue Between Alemany Boulevard and a Line at Right Angles to Kempton Avenue Westerly Line 315.62 Feet Northerly Therefrom.**

Bill No. 4393, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing grades on Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to Kempton Avenue, westerly line, 315.62 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to Kempton Avenue, westerly line, 315.62 feet northerly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City datum as herein-after stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office September 23, 1946, to-wit:

<i>Kempton Avenue</i>	<i>feet</i>
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 315.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	209.00
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 215.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	216.87
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 165.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	219.97
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 115.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	221.40
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 24.44 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	222.50
At a point on the northerly line of Alemany Boulevard on a line 10 feet perpendicular to Kempton Avenue westerly line. . . . .	222.45



*Kempton Avenue—Continued*

On Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, and 315.62 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Establishment of Grades on Thirty-Ninth Avenue Between Yorba Street and Sloat Boulevard.**

Bill No. 4394, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing grades on Thirty-ninth Avenue between Yorba Street and Sloat Boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Thirty-ninth Avenue between Yorba Street and Sloat Boulevard, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office October 25, 1946:

<i>Thirty-ninth Avenue</i>	<i>feet</i>
Yorba Street (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	72.50
50 feet southerly from Yorba Street . . . . .	73.00
Easterly line of 12 feet southerly from Sloat Boulevard northerly line . . . . .	72.15
Westerly line of 12 feet southerly from Sloat Boulevard northerly line . . . . .	69.55

On Thirty-ninth Avenue between Yorba Street and points on the easterly and westerly lines of 12 feet southerly from Sloat Boulevard northerly line be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Accepting Roadway of Pacheco Street Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, Including the Crossing of Pacheco Street and Forty-second Avenue, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4395, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Pacheco Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Pacheco Street and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the

City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Pacheco Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Pacheco Street and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-first Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets, Forty-second Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets, Rivera Street Between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4396, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-first Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street; Forty-second Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street; Rivera Street between Forty-first Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-first Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street; Forty-second Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street; Rivera Street between Forty-first Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-Fourth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4397, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order

by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso.

**Amending the Police Code by Regulating the Riding, Driving and Leading of Horses in Public Places for Purposes Other Than Business and Providing a Penalty for Violation Thereof.**

Bill No. 4405, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1, Article 7, Chapter VIII (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 490, regulating the riding, driving and leading of horses in public places for purposes other than business, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 7, Chapter VIII (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 490 reading as follows:

**SEC. 490. Regulating Riding of Horses in Public Places.**

(a) *Use and Place Designated.* No person shall ride, drive or lead a horse unattached to a vehicle, for any purpose other than in the pursuit of a business or occupation, parade, show or similar exhibition for which a permit has been obtained under the provisions of this Code, in any public place in the City and County of San Francisco, except as hereinafter set forth in this section:

- (1) Upon unoccupied portions of the public beaches;
- (2) Upon bridle trails and other equestrian paths designated for such purpose under the provisions of the Charter and Municipal Code of said city and county;
- (3) Upon public roads, streets or highways when necessarily used for the sole purpose of entering or leaving such bridle trails, equestrian paths, or public beaches;
- (4) Upon public roads, streets or highways when necessarily used in proceeding directly to or from a parade, show or similar exhibition, as hereinabove set forth, when engaged as a participant therein.

(b) *Manner of Use.* No person shall ride, drive or lead a horse as provided in subdivision (a) of this section:

- (1) At a pace faster than a slow canter when passing any horse proceeding in the same direction, or at a pace faster than a walk when passing any horse standing or proceeding in the opposite direction;
- (2) At a pace faster than a walk upon any pavement or crossing;
- (3) At a pace faster than is reasonable or prudent having due regard for the traffic on, and the surface and width of, the ground being traversed, and in no event at a rate of speed which endangers the

safety of persons, animals or property at any other time or place permitted in this section;

(4) While intoxicated.

(c) *Two or More Riders.* Two or more persons shall not ride any such horse simultaneously.

(d) *Necessary Control.* Any police or humane officer may demand custody of any such horse in the event the person having charge thereof fails or refuses to exercise control necessary for the safety of such horse, rider or any other person, animal or property. Such horse so taken into custody may be returned to its stable or impounded as if running at large. Refusal of any such person to exercise such control shall constitute a violation of this section.

(e) *Enforcement.* The Chief of Police and humane officers duly appointed by the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals shall enforce the provisions of this section.

(f) *Penalty.* Each and every act which is a violation of any of the provisions of this section shall be deemed a misdemeanor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Amendments.

After explanation of the foregoing legislation by Supervisor MacPhee, and by Mr. Charles Friedrichs, of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Supervisor MacPhee called attention to the foregoing amendments, and moved approval thereof:

Amendment to paragraph (1), subdivision (a) by substituting the following language in lieu of the language as originally presented:

(1) At a pace faster than a slow canter when passing any horse proceeding in the same direction, or at a pace faster than a walk when passing any horse standing or proceeding in the opposite direction;

Amending subdivision (d) by adding thereto the following language:

Refusal of any such person to exercise such control shall constitute a violation of this section.

*No objection, and amendments approved.*

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing legislation, as amended, and reading as above, was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Police Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Christopher.

Police Department Authorized and Directed to Install Stop Signs at the Northwest and Southeast corners of Twenty-fifth Avenue and California Street.

Proposal No. 6195, Resolution No. 5985 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of the Vehicle Code of the State of California, the intersection hereinafter named shall be designated as a "stop" intersection:

Northwest and southeast corners of Twenty-fifth Avenue and California Street (stopping northbound and southbound traffic on Twenty-fifth Avenue);

and be it further

Resolved, That the Police Department of the City and County of

San Francisco be and it is hereby authorized and directed to cause "stop" signs to be installed at the location designated hereinabove.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Police Department Authorized and directed to Install Stop Signs at the Northwest and Southeast Corners of Pacific Avenue and Webster Street.**

Proposal No. 6196, Resolution No. 5986 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of the Vehicle Code of the State of California, the intersection hereinafter named shall be designated as a "stop" intersection:

Northwest and southeast corners of Pacific Avenue and Webster Street (stopping northbound and southbound traffic on Webster Street);

and be it further

Resolved, That the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco be and it is hereby authorized and directed to cause "stop" signs to be installed at the location designated hereinabove.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Amending Resolution No. 4934 (Series of 1939), "Traffic Regulations—Parking Prohibited Day or Night," by Adding Thereto Victoria Street, Between Ocean Avenue and Urbano Drive.**

Proposal No. 6197, Resolution No. 5987 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Article 3, Section 32 of Bill 863, Ordinance 890 (Series of 1939), Traffic Code, the following parking limitations be adopted:

**Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, Day or Night.**

It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading, and delivering of passengers or materials, during any hour of the day or night, on the following streets:

Adair Street.

Beach Street, both sides, Stockton to Jones Street for duration of war.

Bernal Avenue, west side, from the south line of Brook Street to the east line of Diamond Street, and on the east side of Bernal Avenue from the south line of Brook Street to a point 500 feet northerly along the curb from the point of intersection of the easterly curb line of Diamond Street and the westerly curb line of Bernal Avenue.

Brosnan Place, north side, between Valencia and Guerrero Streets.

Bush Street, south side, between Market and Battery Streets (for U. S. Army vehicles only).

Chesley Street, west side.

Clinton Street, south side, from Valencia to Dolores Streets.

Clinton Park Street (this side), south side of Clinton Park Street between Guerrero and Valencia Streets.

Columbia Square, east side, between Folsom and Harrison Streets.

Edith Street, between Greenwich and Lombard Streets.

- Eighteenth Street, north side, between Illinois and Third Streets.  
Ewer Place.
- First Street, west side, between Mission and Minna Streets, a distance of 160 feet.  
Frank Place.
- Geary Street, south side, from the west property line of Presidio Avenue, to the east property line of 2686 Geary Street.
- Grant Avenue, east side, between Bush Street and Broadway.
- Grove Street, north side, between Van Ness Avenue and Franklin Street.
- Hoff Street, east side, between 16th and 17th Streets.
- Huston Street.
- Illinois Street, west side, between Eighteenth and Nineteenth Streets.
- Illinois Street, west side, between Mariposa and Eighteenth Streets.  
Ivy Street.
- Jessie Street, north side, between First and Ninth Streets.
- Jessie Street, south side, between Fourth and Fifth Streets.
- Jones Street, west side, between Green and Union Streets.
- Kearny Street, from Broadway to Vallejo Street.
- Lexington Avenue, east side, between Sycamore Avenue and 21st Street.
- Lilac Street, between 25th and 26th Streets.
- Lower Junipero Serra Boulevard, Woodacre Drive to Ocean Avenue.  
Malvino Place.
- Mariposa Street, south side, between Illinois and Third Streets.
- Mason Street, west side, between Bush and Pine Streets.
- Mission Street, north side, from east line of Seventh Street to the east line of Post Office Building, a distance of 326 feet.
- Mountain Spring Avenue, north side, westerly for a distance of 150 feet from Glenbrook Avenue.
- Natoma Street, both sides, between Fremont and First Streets.
- Natoma Street, north side, between 10th and 11th Streets.
- Nineteenth Street, north side, between Illinois and Third Streets.
- Oregon Street, south side, between The Embarcadero and Drumm Street.
- Presidio Avenue, west side, from Post Street to Geary Boulevard.
- Rondell Place, east side, between 16th and 17th Streets.
- San Carlos Avenue, east side, between Sycamore Avenue and 21st Street.
- Sixteenth Street, first block easterly from Illinois Street.
- Sixteenth Street, south side, west of Castro Street, to end of paved street.
- Stevenson Street, north side, between First and Ninth Streets.
- Stevenson Street, south side, from the east line of Seventh Street to the east line of the Post Office Building, a distance of 326 feet.
- Sycamore Avenue, south side, between Mission and Valencia Streets.
- Sea Cliff Avenue, south side, westerly from the intersection of El Camino Del Mar.
- Taylor Street, east side, between Pine and California Streets.
- Third Street Viaduct, from Alameda Street to Mariposa Street.
- Twentieth Street, south side, from Illinois to Massachusetts Street.
- Victoria Street, between Ocean Avenue and Urbano Drive.**
- Woodacre Drive, west side, Ocean Avenue to Lower Junipero Serra Boulevard.
- Yerba Buena Street, east side, between Sacramento and Clay Streets.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

## Passed for Second Reading.

## Amending Fire Code by Permitting Certain Minor Automotive Repairs by Gasoline Supply Stations.

Bill No. 4335, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to services permitted by gasoline supply stations, by providing that such stations may make certain minor repairs, adjustments or replacements to motor vehicles and providing that equipment shall be properly housed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 336. Services Permitted.** The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles, the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the adjusting of fan belt tension, the removal and installation of lamp globes, the focusing of head lamps by adjustment only, cleaning and adjusting of spark plugs, installation of new spark plugs, removal and installation of oil filter or filtering element, installation of new wind shield wiper blades, removal and cleaning of air filters, removal and installation of radiator hose without removal of radiator or water pump, removal and installation of battery or battery cables, replenishing brake fluid in brake supply tank, cleaning and adjusting distributor points, adjusting of carburetor, installation of new fan belts, increasing or decreasing generator charging rate by adjustment, and miscellaneous minor servicing and adjusting, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries shall be permitted upon any premises primarily used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor-propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, provided, however, that no automobile or motor vehicle shall be permitted to be stored or parked within twenty (20) feet of the gasoline dispensing units except while being serviced with gasoline, oil, air and water, and battery testing; and provided further that the storage or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles for other than the above stated services, shall be prohibited unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the Fire Department as required elsewhere in this Municipal Code for automobile parking stations; and provided that where such automobile parking station is operated in conjunction with or immediately adjacent to a gasoline supply station that portion of the fence required for automobile parking stations which would separate the automobile parking station from the gasoline supply station may be omitted, and any entrance or exit of the gasoline supply station may be used as the entrance or exit of the automobile parking station.

All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department may make regarding the same.

All automobiles shall be spaced and parked in a manner approved by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department.

It shall be a violation of this section for any gasoline supply station to display or cause to be displayed a sign or signs in or upon the

premises of a gasoline supply station stating that any services other than those authorized hereunder, or to advertise, are or will be performed upon said premises unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the Fire Department as required by law.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Amendments.

Supervisor Christopher called attention to minor desirable emendments to the foregoing legislation, as follows:

Insert in the fourth line of the title, between the words "certain" and "repairs," the word "minor."

Add to the title, the following words, "as provided herein."

Delete the word "general" in the 17th line of Section 336.

*No objection*, and the foregoing amendments *approved*.

Supervisors Mead and Colman requested the definition of the term "minor" in the phrase "minor repairs."

Supervisor Christopher explained that "minor repairs" were those specifically set forth in the bill. All repairs not specifically set forth were prohibited.

Mr. Walker Peddicord advised the Board that the amendments to the title were not necessary; they would not actually appear in the Code. Section 336, only, would be inserted in the Code. He called special attention to the phrase "as provided herein," which, he stated, should not appear in the bill.

Thereupon, upon suggestion by the Chair, action of the Board was rescinded, insofar as approval of the phrase "as provided herein" was concerned.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill, as amended and reading as above, was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by Changing the Title Thereof to Read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and Providing for the Performance of Additional Services as Set Forth in Section 336 of the Fire Code.**

Bill No. 4334, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the licensing of automobile supply stations, by amending the title thereof to read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and providing for the performance of additional services as set forth in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 93. Gasoline Supply Stations.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating a gasoline supply station under a permit from the Fire Department, shall pay a license fee of Six Dollars and Twenty-five Cents (\$6.25) per quarter for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor



vehicles, or performing additional services as provided for in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of this Code, shall pay an additional license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor, the Mayor, were taken up:

Leave of Absence—Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.

Proposal No. 6198, Resolution No. 5988 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, that Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks commencing November 12, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Leave of Absence—Beniamino Bufano, a Member of the Art Commission.

Proposal No. 6199, Resolution No. 5989 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Acting Mayor, Beniamino Bufano, a member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week commencing Thursday, October 31, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Leave of Absence—Honorable John F. Fixa, Member of the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Proposal No. 6210, Resolution No. 5991 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John F. Fixa, a member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing November 11, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Intra-fund Transfer—Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that, in accordance with the provisions of the annual appropriation ordinance and the charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the request to the Controller for the

intra-fund transfer of \$1,600 from Appropriation No. 601.298.00 (Legislative Expense—Board of Supervisors) to Appropriation 601.-200.00 (Contractual Services—Board of Supervisors) to reimburse said appropriation for expenses advanced for the purpose of endeavoring to obtain U. N. headquarters for San Francisco.

*No objection and motion carried.*

**Endorsing Certain Principles in the Chamber of Commerce Recommendations for the Solution of San Francisco's Traffic Problems.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6208, Resolution No. 5990 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce has proposed plans for immediate assistance in clearing traffic congestion in the downtown area; and

Whereas, the Chamber of Commerce and its committee on traffic are to be complimented on their efforts to assist in this problem; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the following principles contained in the Chamber of Commerce recommendations and does hereby declare the policy of the Board of Supervisors to be as follows:

1. The Planning Commission, in cooperation with the Police Department, shall study and recommend to the Board of Supervisors such one-way streets as are feasible and shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors further elimination of left-hand turns in Zone 1.
2. The Police Department shall continue its policy of stringent enforcement of existing traffic laws and ordinances with special emphasis on parking regulations.
3. Stockton Street car line shall be extended across Market Street.
4. The Board of Supervisors does hereby urge all holiday shoppers to shop between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. and to leave automobiles at home during that period.
5. Modern synchronized traffic signal system.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

**Requesting That War Assets Administration Dispose of Certain Land in Assessor's Block 19 to the City and County of San Francisco for Municipal Railway Purposes.**

The Clerk presented the following recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission:

Proposal No. 6209, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, that application is hereby made to the War Assets Administration, Real Property Division, San Francisco, California, requesting that the following described Federal owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be held for disposal to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as a priority holder:

All of Block 19 as per the current Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco and which is designated on the plot plan of the War Assets Administration's schedule as North Beach Block 11, bounded on the north by Beach Street,

on the east by Stockton Street, on the south by North Point Street and on the west by Powell Street; size 412' 6" x 275', containing 2.6 acres.

In connection with this application, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and states:

1. That the applicant is willing to pay the sum of \$113,500 for said land.

2. That said City and County is extremely desirous, and is in urgent need of said real property for an essential and vital public purpose, to wit: for Municipal Railway purposes. Said property is of vital necessity to said Municipal Railway system for use as a storage area, repair shop, garage and dispatch location for buses operated by said Municipal Railway system. Said property, if used by said municipality, and when utilized for said purposes, will greatly facilitate the operation of said buses in that it is at a convenient and efficient location, is not in a residential area and therefore its use for such purposes will not be objected to by surrounding property owners as would be the case were said facilities to be placed at a residential neighborhood. Said property is at present unimproved and consequently affords adequate and economical opportunity for development for said purposes.

3. That the applicant will require approximately 120 days from date hereof to obtain and authorize the required funds.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Recommending and Urging Daily Reading of the Bible, Particularly  
Between Thanksgiving and Christmas.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6212, Resolution No. 5995 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, appropriately, the period between Thanksgiving and Christmas has been designated by the ecclesiastical and by agencies of many of the recognized religious denominations, as a particular time during which it is urged that there be universal resort to and meditation upon the Holy Bible; and

Whereas, initiated by the American Bible Society and the National Sponsoring Committee, plans have been perfected, pursuant to which it is hoped more thoroughly to cement the spiritual ties among and between vast numbers of our civilian and military population at home and abroad, through the expedient of simultaneous concentration upon the precepts and tenets ordained by the Supreme Being as revealed in several passages selected from the Scriptures and suggested for especial consideration by authorities in various creeds; and

Whereas, it is proper and desirable that the people of the City and County of San Francisco should join with their fellow-men throughout this nation and the world in this inspiring endeavor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the people of the City and County of San Francisco be and are hereby respectfully urged to participate in the plan for daily reading of and reflection upon the Holy Bible, especially between Thanksgiving and Christmas and devoutly to petition God for solace and comfort to the bereaved and wounded, for a lasting peace and for tranquillity and true progress in the affairs, spiritual and otherwise, of the nation and the world; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens whose function and duty it shall be to assist in the dissemination of information relative to this worthy endeavor and to encourage participation of San Francisco citizens therein in accordance with the schedule of daily readings prepared by the sponsoring organizations.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

#### Municipal Railway Deficit.

Supervisor Christopher commented on a recent news story reporting a Municipal Railway deficit. It was unfortunate that such story should appear just before the election. He did not believe the conditions were fully explained.

#### Labor Relations Committee.

Supervisor Christopher again referred to the Labor Relations Division recently created in New York. For the purpose of settling labor difficulties, he thought San Francisco should have some sort of Labor Relations Committee functioning at all times, and that the Mayor should appoint a committee for such purpose and maintaining amicable relations between labor and employer, and to forestall strikes.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:50 p. m., pursuant to motion by Supervisor Mancuso, made previously during the day's proceedings, recessed to reconvene on Thursday, November 7, 1946.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

## THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, November 7, 1946—2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess.

**CALLING THE ROLL.**

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Supervisor MacPhee presiding, on motion by Supervisor John J. Sullivan.

Supervisors Gallagher and Lewis on leave of absence, on official business.

**Hearing of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission,  
Nineteenth Avenue and Rivera Street.**

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 3145, denying application to rezone property located on easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue, 82 feet southerly from Rivera Street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

October 28, 1946—Continued to November 4, 1946.

November 4, 1946—Continued to November 7, 1946.

**Discussion.**

The Clerk presented and read an opinion from the City Attorney, in which he advised that the two-thirds vote required to overrule a decision of the City Planning Commission meant a two-thirds vote of the entire membership of the Board, or eight votes.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso questioned the City Attorney as to the status of the current hearing.

Mr. Walker Peddicord, Assistant City Attorney, read and explained Section 117 of the Charter, after which he advised that the current hearing must be decided without further postponements, otherwise the Board would lose jurisdiction, and the decision of the City Planning Commission would stand.

Mr. Peddicord, in reply to further questioning by Supervisor Christopher, reiterated his advice that there could be no further postponement of consideration.

Thereupon, Mr. Carl Gellert, president of Atlas Realty Company, appellant, addressed the Board, setting forth the purpose for which the property was to be used, if the requested rezoning were granted.

Mr. Milton W. Morris, of the Associated Home Builders of San Francisco, also urged the requested rezoning be approved.

Mr. Gill, representing the City Planning Commission, explained the reasons which prompted that commission to deny the rezoning.

Mr. Vensano, Director of Public Works; Col. Skeggs, representing the State Highway Commission, and Mr. Spencer, of the California State Automobile Association, opposed the rezoning and stated at length the reasons for their opposition.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, former member of the City Planning Commission, and Mr. Michel Weill, president of that Commission, also explained the Commission's views with regard to rezoning, and the reasons that prompted the denial.

Supervisor Colman opposed the rezoning.

### Refused Adoption.

Disapproving Action of the City Planning Commission by Its Resolution No. 3145 Dated September 5, 1946, Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on Easterly Line of Nineteenth Avenue, Distant Thereon 82 Feet Southerly From Southerly Line of Rivera Street, From Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Thereupon, the following proposal was taken up:

Proposal No. 6219, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3145, dated September 5, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue, distant thereon 82 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera Street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

*Refused Adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—4.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote had been announced, Supervisor Mead changed his vote from "Aye" to "No" and moved for reconsideration on Monday, November 18, 1946. Motion seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan.

The vote then stood:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

### Point of Order.

Supervisor Colman raised a point of order, stating that on advice the Board must decide the matter without further delay.

The Chair ruled the point of order not well taken. A Supervisor has the right to move for reconsideration. The court will ultimately have to decide on the point, and it would be well to have that ultimate decision.

Supervisor Christopher held that reconsideration must be had at the next meeting of the Board, and requested a ruling on that point.

Mr. Peddicord advised the Board that in his opinion there could be no further delay. When there is a limited time in which the Board has power to act, it has no power later either to consider or to reconsider a matter. The rules of the Board state that reconsideration cannot be had before the next meeting of the Board.

Supervisor Mancuso disagreed with the ruling of the City Attorney. He held that the hearing did not start until November 7, the current meeting. Monday, November 18th, would be the eleventh day from the beginning of the hearing. Since, however, the tenth day would be Sunday, the Board would have the right to act on the next day.

Supervisor Mancuso then announced that he was seconding the motion to reconsider due to the fact that there was not a full membership of the Board present and accordingly the applicant had not had a hearing before the full membership of the Board as required by the provisions of the charter.

Requesting the Mayor to Proclaim Week of November 17-23, 1946,  
as "Traffic Safety Week."

Supervisor McMurray presented:

Proposal No. 6217, Resolution No. 5993 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to proclaim the week of November 17 to November 23, 1946, inclusive, as "Traffic Safety Week."

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Authorizing the Registrar of Voters to Canvass the Votes Cast at  
the General Election, Tuesday, November 5, 1946.

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Proposal No. 6218, Resolution No. 5994 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Section 7921 of the Elections Code of the State of California, the Registrar of Voters is hereby authorized and directed to canvass the votes cast at the General Election held on Tuesday, November 5, 1946, said canvass to be conducted in the City Hall commencing at 10 a. m., Tuesday, November 12, 1946.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis—2.

Requesting City Planning Commission to Consider Sub-classification of Present Zoning Classifications in Order to Relax Restrictions in Certain Instances.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6220, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the zoning classifications of the City and County of San Francisco, namely, First Residential, Second Residential, Commercial, Light Industrial and Unrestricted, appear to be inadequate for the purpose of properly segregating the respective classifications of structures; and

Whereas, oftentimes a structure, office or business establishment now classified as Commercial can well be placed in a Second Residential Zone, provided same conforms to acceptable architectural standards; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be respectfully requested to consider the sub-classification of said present zoning classifications into sub-class A, B, and C, in order to permit in certain cases at the discretion of the City Planning Commission, the building of structures, or conduct of a business, which although it is not in accord with present zoning, nevertheless, if granted, will not jeopardize or lessen the current values, and will have the effect of placing in certain cases, commercial enterprises in Second Residential zones where the building of said structure, or conduct of said business will not conflict seriously with current surroundings, provided the architectural design of the zone is maintained.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:30 p. m., adjourned.


JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.


Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 2, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.











Tuesday, November 12, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, November 12, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor Lewis on leave of absence, on official business.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 20, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

Communications, as follows, were presented, read by the Clerk, and acted on as follows:

From the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, calling the Board's attention to misleading or inaccurate statements in four ballot arguments, endorsing Charter Amendments Nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From San Francisco State College, asking revocation of a recent order of the Public Utilities Commission making it no longer possible for students to purchase street car tickets at a reduced rate.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee. Clerk to acknowledge and to inform interested party of meeting of the Committee.*

From Eneas J. Kane, Public Service Director, Mayor's office, attaching proposed model ordinance to assist the veterans' emergency housing program by restricting the issuance of building permits to construction undertaken pursuant to the Veterans' Emergency Housing Act of 1946.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From the United States Conference of Mayors, calling attention of the Annual Conference of the United States Conference of Mayors, scheduled to be held in the Hotel Statler, January 20-22, 1947.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Amalgamated Association of Street, Electric Railway and Motor Coach Employees of America, Division 1380, expressing gratitude of the Board's support given to Charter Amendment No. 1.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Redwood Empire Association, resolution of appreciation of Board's cooperation with the Association.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Redwood Empire Association, informing the Board of the Highway Commission meeting in Sacramento on Thursday, November 21st.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the California Mission Trails Association, Ltd., inviting the Board to attend convention to be held at Santa Cruz, November 17, 18 and 19.

*Poll taken and four members stated they would attend. Communication referred to the County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Mayor's Public Service Director, transmitting proposal authorizing agreement with the Housing Authority for acquiring, developing, administering and removing approximately 120 temporary housing units at Hunters Point.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Mayor's Public Service Director, requesting Board to explore use of federal, state and local funds for provision of 2000 additional temporary converted family dwellings for veterans.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Chief Administrative Officer, recommending establishment by ordinance of revised patient-day rates for San Francisco Hospital, Hassler Health Home and Laguna Honda Home.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Assessor, transmitting affidavit claiming refund of personal property taxes by the Coca Cola Co. in sum of \$1,477.30.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the North Coast County Water District, notice of proposed assessment for installation of a new water system in Sharp Park.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Carmen's Union, requesting adjustments made possible by Charter Amendment No. 1 and requesting that hearing be held in the near future to adjust existing inequalities.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

#### Consideration Continued.

Hearing of appeal by Fink and Keystone, from action of City Planning Commission in denying application to rezone property on Twin Peaks from First Residential District to Commercial District.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk presented and read an opinion from the City Attorney, advising as to Mr. Fink's right in filing an appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, and outlining the procedure necessary in filing an appeal.

Mr. Fink stated that he had not secured signatures of the owners of property affected by the requested rezoning. Conferences have shown, he stated, that that was impossible. He suggested that the matter be continued for a further period and set for a date certain. He desired to make a further showing before the Board. Mr. Fink held that the City Attorney had not given a complete opinion; he had not touched on the phase of the matter in which he was interested. He then requested the Board to ask for a further opinion from the City Attorney as to the right of the Board to rezone property which the City Planning Commission has refused to rezone. By the Board's rezoning, no signatures are required.



Thereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, moved that an opinion, along the lines suggested by Mr. Fink, be requested from the City Attorney, and that further consideration of the matter be postponed for one week, or until Monday, November 18, 1946.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

### SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

#### Consideration Continued.

Recommendation of Finance Committee.

#### Authorizing Correction of Clerical Error in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll.

Proposal No. 6215, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the recommendation of the Assessor, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834 and 4836 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, with the written consent of the City Attorney, and after hearing set by Resolution No. 5971 (Series of 1939), which hearing was regularly continued by the Board of Supervisors to 2 p. m. of November 12, 1946, at which the assessee affected by the correction recommended, was given an opportunity to be heard thereon and to present objection thereto, after being given five days' notice of such hearing, and after consideration thereof, the Board of Supervisors does hereby consent to, authorize and direct the correction by the Controller, of the clerical error in the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco, so that the entry therein to be corrected shall read as follows:

Volume 23, Block 3605, Lot 6, Total \$920, Land \$570, Improvements \$350.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Note: Consideration of the foregoing listed property was continued from Monday, November 4, 1946.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead stated that he understood the same assessed valuation had been placed on the property under consideration for about twenty years, and he would like to know the reason for the sudden change.

Mr. Walter Allen reported that the increase in taxes would be about \$11. The assessment on the improvements had been increased from \$150 to \$350 to put the property in line with comparable homes.

Mr. Del Carlo stated that he was not acting as legal representative of the owner of the premises, but was merely appearing for him, as an old friend, at his request. The owner was unable to appear before the Board. However, the owner could be present at the next meeting, and it might be well if the matter could be continued for one week.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mead, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that further consideration be postponed until Monday, November 18, 1946.

No objection, and motion carried.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

## Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Bureau of Building Repair, to Set Up a New Item, Janitor (Part-Time) at Rate of \$155-195.**

Bill No. 4381, Ordinance No. 4132 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 43, Department of Public Works—Bureau of Building Repair (Continued), by adding new item 17.1 C104 Janitor (part-time) at rate of \$155-195

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 43, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—  
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR (Continued)**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

## INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1		A52	Hodcarrier .....	\$ 12.60 day
2		A56	Bricklayer .....	16.40 day
3	1	A62	Tile Setter .....	14.40 day
4	20	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
5	2	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
6	7	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper .....	13.00 day
7	5	A204	Cement Finisher .....	14.00 day
9	3	A252	Glazier .....	12.68 day
10	1	A254	Foreman Glazier .....	13.68 day
11	2	A302	Locksmith .....	14.00 day
11.1	1	A302	Locksmith .....	(h 327
12	30	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
13	4	A357	Foreman Painter .....	15.00 day
14	2	A392	Plasterer .....	16.00 day
15	26	A404	Plumber .....	15.00 day
16	13	A456	Sheet Metal Worker .....	14.00 day
17	10	A504	Steamfitter .....	15.00 day
17.1	1	C104	<b>Janitor (part-time)</b> .....	155-195
18	1	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
19	13	E108	Electrician .....	15.00 day
19.1	1	E108	Electrician .....	(h 350
19.2	2	E108.1	Foreman Electrician .....	16.00 day
20	2	J4	Laborer .....	8.50 day
21			Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.	

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Appropriating \$1,152 to Provide for Creation of Position Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155, in San Francisco Hospital; Abolishing Position of Laundress at \$125-160 Per Month in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4383, Ordinance No. 4133 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,152 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 I-152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 per month in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 I-154 Laundress at \$125-160 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,152 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00 to provide funds for the compensation of 1 I-152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155 per month in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 I-154 Laundress at \$125-160 per month in the San Francisco Hospital, Department of Public Health is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital.**

Bill No. 4355, Ordinance No. 4129 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a, Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 29 from 9 to 10 I 152 Flatwork Ironer at \$120-155; and by decreasing the number of employments under item 30 from 7 to 6 I 154 Laundress at \$125-160.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58a, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16	80	I 2	Kitchen Helper .....	\$120-155
17	1	I 6	Pastry Cook .....	175-210
18	8	I 10	Cook's Assistant .....	135-165
19	7	I 12	Cook .....	175-210
20	1	I 14	Junior Chef .....	210-230
21	1	I 16	Chef .....	230-250

Section 58a. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
22	12	I 54	Waitress or I 56 Waiter.....	120-155
24	.		Inmate Help, not over.....	50
25	4	I 106	Morgue Attendant .....	150-190
26	142	I 116	Orderly .....	130-165
27	4	I 120	Senior Orderly .....	150-190
28	2	I 122	House Mother .....	145-185
29	10	I 152	<b>Flatwork Ironer</b> .....	120-155
30	6	I 154	<b>Laundress</b> .....	125-160
30.1	1	I 156	Starcher .....	125-160
32	1	I 158	Sorter .....	140-175
33	1	I 164	Marker and Distributor .....	140-175
34	1	I 166	Wringerman .....	160-200
37	1	I 172	Head Washer .....	195-245
38	1	I 178	Superintendent of Laundry, San Francisco Hospital .....	275-345
39	96	I 204	Porter .....	125-160
40	10	I 206	Porter Sub-Foreman .....	140-175
41	3	I 208	Porter Foreman .....	145-185
42	1	I 210	Head Porter .....	165-205
43	6	I 254	Seamstress .....	140-175
44	1	I 256	Head Seamstress .....	165-205

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Appropriating \$2,512.50 to Provide for Position Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405, in Juvenile Court; Abolish Position of Probation Officer at \$220-275 Per Month, in Same Department.**

Bill No. 4384, Ordinance No. 4134 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,512.50 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of one T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405 per month in the Juvenile Court, which position is created; abolishing the position of one T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,512.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 623.110.00 to provide funds for the compensation of one T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405 per month in the Juvenile Court.

Section 2. The position of one T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405 per month is hereby created in the Juvenile Court; the position of one T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Juvenile Probation Committee.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Judge of the Juvenile Court.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**A Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Juvenile Court.**

Bill No. 4365, Ordinance No. 4131 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 26, Juvenile Court (Probation Office) by decreasing the number of employments under item 13 from 47 to 46 T56 Probation Officer at \$220-275; and by adding item 14.1 1 T62 Supervisor of Probation Services at \$335-405.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 26, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 26. JUVENILE COURT (Probation Office)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
2	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
3	1	B35	Administrative Assistant, Juvenile Court .....	360-430
4	2	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
5	1	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
6	13	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
7	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
8	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
9	11	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
9.1	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290
10	2	L364	Physician, Specialist (part time) at rate of .....	520
11	3	L404	Psychologist .....	200-250
12	1	L406	Senior Psychologist .....	250-300
13	46	T56	<b>Probation Officer</b> .....	220-275
13.1	2	T57	Psychiatric Social Service Worker .....	220-275
14	6	T60	Senior Probation Officer .....	275-335
14.1	1	T62	<b>Supervisor of Probation Services</b> .....	335-405
15	1		Referee (part time) .....	(c) 350
16	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer .....	585-700
17			Hearing Reporter (as needed) \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

17.1 1 T56 Probation Officer .....

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532.**

Bill No. 4385, Ordinance No. 4135 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston Avenue distant thereon 274 feet  $9\frac{5}{8}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary Boulevard; running thence southerly along said line of Funston Avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of 12th Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 99 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 160 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 1 foot  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Funston Avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of outside land Block No. 272.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Creating Underground District No. 119, Geary Street and Geary Boulevard, Between Divisadero Street and Masonic Avenue.**

Bill No. 4364, Ordinance No. 4130 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by creating and adding thereto an additional underground district, Geary Street and Boulevard, from the east line of Divisadero Street to the east line of Masonic Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 251, Article 6, Chapter III, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by creating and adding thereto an additional district in which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after the permanent improvement of the Anzavista Tract designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 119:

Geary Street between the east line of Divisadero Street and the east line of Presidio Avenue; and

Geary Boulevard, between the east line of Presidio Avenue and the east line of Masonic Avenue.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Regulating Subdivision of Land and Use of Lots for Dwelling Purposes.**

Bill No. 4375, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding Section 99 thereto, relating to the subdivision of land and the use of lots for dwelling purposes; by amending Section 100, of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, relating to building permits and by amending Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, to include reference to Section 99 as added by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 99, reading as follows:

**SEC. 99. (a) New Subdivisions—Lot Areas.** In all areas hereafter subdivided, where a subdivision map is to be filed for approval, all lot lines shall be shown on said map, and such lots shall conform in dimension to the neighborhood pattern as determined by the City Planning Commission. Where a neighborhood pattern does not exist, lots shall have a width of not less than 33 feet. No subdivision shall be approved which does not comply with the provisions of this section.

**(b) New Subdivisions—Lot Coverage.** No dwelling shall be constructed upon a lot in a new subdivision for which a map has been approved in accordance with the provisions of this section, which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 25 feet in depth. The provision of open spaces between dwellings on adjacent lots is optional, but where such side yards are provided, the distance between dwellings shall be not less than 6 feet.

**(c) Resubdivision.** Except as provided in Subdivisions (d) and (e) hereof, in any area previously subdivided no lot or land unit shall be established and indicated upon a map or maps filed for record which has an area less than 2500 square feet, or a width less than 25 feet, and no building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

**(d) Corner Lots—First Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a First Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, can be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 70 feet; provided, however, that where the City Planning Commission finds that existing conditions do not permit the establishment of the minimum depth of 70 feet such areas can be resubdivided into lots having a street frontage of not less than 25 feet and a depth not less than 57.5 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 75 per

cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

(e) **Corner Lots—Second Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a Second Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, may be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 57.6 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which provides an open rear yard of a depth less than set forth in the table given in Section 15682 of Article II, Chapter 7 of the California State Housing Act.

(f) **Lots Now of Record Excepted.** Wherever a map showing subdivided lots is of record in the office of the Recorder or the office of the Assessor at the time of the enactment of this section, any lot as shown having dimensions less than those required by this section may, nevertheless, be used as the site for a dwelling, provided that the requirements as to coverage and rear yards of Subdivision (d) shall apply thereto.

(g) **Variances.** The City Planning Commission may, upon application, grant variances from any of the provisions of this section, after public notice and hearings, if it is of the opinion that special circumstances exist in the particular case, and that unnecessary hardship would result from the strict interpretation and enforcement of such provision. The procedure governing such applications, and the granting or denial of such variances, shall be the same as that prescribed by ordinance for zoning changes.

Section 2. Section 100 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 100. Building Permits.** Building permits for the erection or alteration of any building or structure, shall be issued by the Central Permit Bureau only after approval by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation and the City Planning Commission and shall not be issued contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 or *Section 99* of Article 4 of this Chapter.

Each application for a building permit hereafter filed with the Central Permit Bureau shall be accompanied by a statement as to the use of the building to be constructed or altered on blanks to be furnished by the Central Permit Bureau. On each application there shall be shown an accurate block plan of the location of the building on the lot drawn to a scale of sixteen (16) feet to one (1) inch.

Section 3. Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, are hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 101. Interpretation—Purpose.** In interpreting and applying the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article, they shall be held to be the minimum requirements adopted for the promotion of the public health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare. It is not intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article to repeal, abrogate, annul or in any way to impair or interfere with any existing provision of law or ordinance or any rules, regulations or permits previously adopted or issued or which shall be adopted or issued pursuant to the law relating to the use of buildings or premises; nor is it intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article to interfere with or abrogate or annul any easement, covenant or other agreements between parties; provided, however, that where Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article imposes a greater restriction upon the use of buildings or premises than is imposed or required by such existing provisions of law or ordinance or by such



rules, regulations or permits or by such easements, covenants or agreements, the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99 and 100* of this Article shall control.

**SEC. 102. Enforcement.** It shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works, Department of Public Health, Police Department, Fire Department and Department of Electricity to enforce the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99 and 100* of this Article.

Recommended by the City Planning Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Monday, October 21, 1946—Consideration postponed until Monday, October 28, 1946.*

#### Amendment.

The Clerk presented and read communication from Bay Counties Civil Engineers and Land Surveyors Association, Inc., submitting for consideration and approval a corrected draft of Section 99 (a) for the purpose of removing ambiguities and satisfying the purposes of the ordinance, reading as follows:

In all areas hereafter subdivided, where a subdivision map is to be filed for approval, and all lot lines shall be shown on said map, and such lots shall conform in dimension to the neighborhood pattern as determined by the City Planning Commission. Where a neighborhood pattern does not exist, lots shall have a width of not less than 33 feet. No subdivision shall be approved which does not comply with the provisions of this ordinance.

Supervisor Colman announced that the proposed amendment met with the approval of the City Planning Commission, the builders, and the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, and moved approval thereof by the Board. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

*No objection, and amendment approved.*

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, moved that Bill No. 4375, as amended, and reading as above, be Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

##### Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

##### Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 6200, Resolution No. 5996 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation accept a deed from Daniel George Semmens, or the legal owner, to Lot 5 in Block 3 as designated on "Map of Marino Vista Park situated in San Mateo County," San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$300 be paid for such property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58.

The above amount of \$300 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5441 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcel of land, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Cancellation of Sale to State for Delinquent Taxes, Block 180,  
Lot 34.**

Proposal No. 6201, Resolution No. 5997 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported that on June 29, 1946, Lot 34, Block 180, was sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1945; and

Whereas, this sale should be cancelled as taxes had been paid but through error had not been credited; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has consented; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and he is hereby authorized to cancel the sale and penalties in conformity with Section 4991 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

Recommended by the Tax Collector.

Verified by the Controller.

Consented to and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 6202, Resolution No. 5998 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Ray T. Burke, or the legal owner, to Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 6141, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$300 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Land Purchase—McLaren Park.

Proposal No. 6203, Resolution No. 5999 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Annie J. Rock, or the legal owners, to Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 6030, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$600 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Confirming Sale of Water Department, Alameda County Parcel 52 to Schuckl & Co., Inc., and Repeal of Resolution No. 5908 (Series of 1939).

Proposal No. 6205, Resolution No. 6000 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3970. Bill No. 4166 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on Tuesday, October 1, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

Commencing at the intersection of the easterly limits of the Town of Niles with the southwesterly line of the former State highway from Niles to Mission San Jose, distant along said easterly limits 182.1 feet southerly from the center of the Southern Pacific Company's tract; and running thence along said southwesterly line and its southwesterly extension south  $42^{\circ} 38'$  east, 317.31 feet; thence leaving said line south  $56^{\circ} 15'$  west, 194.24 feet to said easterly limits of the Town of Niles; thence along said last mentioned line north  $9^{\circ} 20'$  west, 349.56 feet to the point of commencement; containing 0.699 acre more or less and being Parcel No. 52, Alameda County Lands, as described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Alameda County March 3, 1930, in Liber 2350 of Official Records at page 1.

Excepting and reserving unto the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, all water or water diversion rights on Alameda Creek appertaining to said land.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Schuckl & Co., Inc., a corporation, as the only bidder offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$500; and

Whereas, said sum of \$500 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property as made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$100 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Public Utilities Commission have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Schuckl & Co., Inc., a corporation, or its assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within sixty days after approval of this resolution. Resolution No. 5908 (Series of 1939), adopted by this board on October 7, 1946, is hereby repealed.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6206, Resolution No. 6001 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind and Aid to Needy Children, including aid denials, new applications, discontinuances, new recommendations, increases and other transactions, effective October 1 and November 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6207, Resolution No. 6002 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated November 12, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of November and December, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Consideration Postponed.

**Requesting That War Assets Administration Dispose of Certain Land in Assessor's Block 19 to the City and County of San Francisco for Municipal Railway Purposes.**

Proposal No. 609, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows.

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, that application is hereby made to the War Assets Administration, Real Property Division, San Francisco, California, requesting that the following described Federal owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be held for disposal to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as a priority holder:

All of Block 19 as per the current Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco and which is designated on the plot plan of the War Assets Administration's schedule as North Beach Block 11, bounded on the north by Beach Street, on the east by Stockton Street, on the south by North Point Street and on the west by Powell Street; size 412' 6" x 275', containing 2.6 acres.

In connection with this application, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and states:

1. That the applicant is willing to pay the sum of \$113,500 for said land.
2. That said City and County is extremely desirous, and is in urgent need of said real property for an essential and vital public purpose, to wit: for Municipal Railway purposes. Said property is of vital necessity to said Municipal Railway system for use as a storage area, repair shop, garage and dispatch location for buses operated by said Municipal Railway system. Said property, if used by said municipality, and when utilized for said purposes, will greatly facilitate the operation of said busses in that it is at a convenient and efficient location, is not in a residential area and therefore its use for such purposes will not be objected to by surrounding property owners as would be the case were said facilities to be placed in a residential neighborhood. Said property is at present unimproved and consequently affords adequate and economical opportunity for development for said purposes.
3. That the applicant will require approximately 120 days from date hereof to obtain and authorize the required funds.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

On motion by Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, *consideration was postponed until Monday, November 18, 1946.*

**Adopted.**

**Settlement of Claim, United States of America, for Damage to S. S. John Mitchell, Damaged by Collision With Fireboat Dennis T. Sullivan, in Amount of \$1,826.50.**

Proposal No. 6214, Resolution No. 6003 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing settlement of claim of the United States of America, War Shipping Administration, through its general agent Black Diamond Steamship Corp., by Williams Dimond and Co., Agent, Owner of S.S. John Mitchell, by payment of the sum of Eighteen Hundred Twenty Six Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,826.50).

Whereas, on July 25, 1946, the Steamship John Mitchell, while berthed at Pier 24, was damaged when fire-boat Dennis T. Sullivan (of the San Francisco Fire Department) collided with the same and

Whereas, by reason thereof, claimant has filed a claim against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of Eighteen Hundred Twenty-Six Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,826.50); and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the City Attorney that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco, and has entered into an agreement and compromise in settlement of all claims for said damages against said City and County in the sum of Eighteen Hundred Twenty-Six Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,826.50); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is authorized and directed to fully compromise and settle said claim by the payment of Eighteen Hundred and Twenty-Six Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,826.50) to said United States of America, War Shipping Administration, through its general agent Black Diamond Steamship Corp., by Williams Dimond and Co., agent, and the Controller is hereby requested and authorized to draw his warrant in favor of said United States of America, War Shipping Administration, through its general agent Black Diamond Steamship Corp., by Williams Dimond and Co., Agent, in said sum of Eighteen Hundred Twenty-six Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$1,826.50), in full payment thereof, there being no litigation pending.

Recommended and approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Re-reference to Committee.**

**Requesting Conveyance of Property of the United States Government, on Which the Palace of Fine Arts Is Situated, to the City and County of San Francisco.**

Proposal No. 6216, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of an Act of Congress approved March 3, 1925 (45 Stats. 1129), the Acting Secretary of War executed a deed on the 3d day of June, 1927, granting and conveying to the City and County of San Francisco 9.93 acres of land, more or less, which formerly comprised a portion of the military reservation of The Presidio of San Francisco on which the Palace of Fine Arts is located, said grant reserving to the United States the right to take exclusive

possession of said land and all improvements thereon, without the payment of any compensation therefor, in the event of war or any other great national emergency, in consideration of which grant the City and County of San Francisco granted to the War Department the right to construct, maintain and operate a spur track between Fort Mason and The Presidio of San Francisco; and

Whereas, under date of February 19, 1941, the Acting Secretary of War gave notice to the City and County of San Francisco that "in view of the present emergency the above referred to property is required for governmental purposes, and pursuant to the provisions of the above referred to act of Congress and deed of conveyance, you are notified that the United States of America through the Commanding General, San Francisco Port of Embarkation, Fort Mason, California, hereby takes exclusive possession of said land and all improvements thereon for the period of said emergency"; and

Whereas, under date of August 8, 1941, the Acting Secretary of War notified the City and County of San Francisco that only the Palace of Fine Arts Building was required for military purposes, and accordingly, subject to all the provisions and conditions of said Act of Congress and deed, the United States of America relinquished the land, exclusive of that occupied by the Palace of Fine Arts, and exclusive of said Palace, which was repossessed by virtue of said letter dated February 19, 1941; and

Whereas, the United States of America has continued and is continuing to hold, occupy and use said Palace of Fine Arts and the land occupied thereby; and

Whereas, since the Palace of Fine Arts was acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, said City and County has spent \$595,-622.50 on improvements and restoration with materials similar to the original construction; and

Whereas, it is estimated that reconstruction of said Palace of Fine Arts by the use of concrete or some other permanent form of construction would cost in the neighborhood of \$600,000; and

Whereas, the existence of the reservation of the right to re-entry in said grant, which in effect restricts the right to free, unobstructed and continuous possession of said property, is highly inimical to the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco as it precludes the execution of plans for the restoration, rehabilitation and use of said property, and militates against a sound municipal policy of expending public funds for such purposes as will result in continuing, uninterrupted benefit to the citizens of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby respectfully petition and urge the Congress of the United States to take such action as will effectuate conveyance to the City and County by the United States of America, of said 9.93 acres of land mentioned hereinabove, free of any condition or reservation so that said City and County will have a fee simple absolute title thereto, said unconditional grant to be executed and take effect as soon as practicable subsequent to the time the United States of America relinquishes its possession of the premises; and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded immediately to Senators Downey and Knowland, and to Congressmen Welch and Havenner, with the earnest request of this Board of Supervisors that they exert their best efforts in obtaining favorable action to the end that the request herein contained be granted.

On motion by Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, the foregoing proposal was re-referred to Finance Committee.

## Passed for Second Reading.

## Appropriating \$15,800 for Purchase of Land Required for Fire Department Purposes.

Bill No. 4407, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$15,800 from the unappropriated balance in the Fire Department Reserve for land purchases to provide sufficient funds for the purchase of a lot required for fire department purposes, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,800 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance in the Fire Department Reserve for land purchases to the credit of Appropriation No. 91.600.10 for the purpose of purchasing a lot located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth and Folsom Streets, San Francisco, required for Fire Department purposes, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Acting Mayor.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Appropriating \$1,315 for Creation of Position, Calculating Machine Operator, San Francisco Hospital, at \$185-230 Per month; Abolishing Position of Key Punch Operator at \$160-200 Per Month in Same Department.

Bill No. 4411, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,315 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B308a Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230 per month in the Department of Public Health, San Francisco Hospital; which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B309b Key Punch Operator at \$160-200 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,315 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B308a Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230 per month in the Department of Public Health, San Francisco Hospital, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B309b Key Punch Operator at \$160-200 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.



*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital.

Bill No. 4390, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital, by adding item 5.01 1 B308a Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230 (Key Drive); and by decreasing the number of employments under item 5.1 from 2 to 1 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 58. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	\$210-260
1.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
1.2	1	B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative), San Francisco Hospital	350-420
1.3	1	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
2	11	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
3	2	B222	General Clerk (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
4.1	*1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
5	2	B239	Statistician . . . . .	250-315
5.01	1	B308a	<b>Calculating Machine Operator</b> (key drive) . . . . .	185-230
5.1	1	B309b	<b>Key Punch Operator</b> (numerical) . . . . .	160-200
6	11	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
9	1	B454	Telephone Operator (relief) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
12	11	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
13	5	C152	Watchman . . . . .	150-190
14	2	E108	Electrician . . . . . (i	382.50

\*Funds provided for 2½ months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Appropriating \$2,062.50 for Creation of Position, Head Clerk, at \$275-345 Per Month in Water Department; Abolishing Position of Senior Clerk, at \$230-290 Per Month, in Same Department.

Bill No. 4412, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,062.50 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B234 Head Clerk at \$275-345 per month in the Water Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,062.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existin<sup>g</sup> in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B234 Head Clerk at \$275-345 per month in the Water Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290 per month in the Water Department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Water Department.

Bill No. 4386, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.3, Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department (Continued), Water Sales Division—Collections, by deleting Item 17 1 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290; and by increasing the number of employments under item 18 from 1 to 2 B234 Head Clerk at \$275-345.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.3, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 74.3. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**  
(Continued)

**WATER SALES DIVISION—COLLECTIONS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
15.1	1	B68	Chief Clerk . . . . .	\$360-430
16	33	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
18	2	B234	<b>Head Clerk</b> . . . . .	275-345
19	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
20	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Appropriating \$450 for Purchase of 1 Ozacoupler for Reproduction Bureau, Purchasing Department.**

Bill No. 4414, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$450 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 633.995.00 (Reproduction Bureau, Purchasing Department) to provide funds for the purchase of 1 Ozacoupler for the Reproduction Bureau of the Purchasing Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$450 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 633.995.00 (Reproduction Bureau, Purchasing Department), to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.400.33-3, to provide funds for the purchase of 1 Ozacoupler for the Reproduction Bureau of the Purchasing Department.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Final Passage.

**Appropriating \$10,000 From Emergency Reserve, for Temporary Employment in Recorder's Office. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4408, Ordinance No. 4136 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$10,000 out of the surplus existing in the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide additional funds for temporary employment in the Recorder's Office for the balance of the current fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$10,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 630.120.00, to provide additional funds in the Recorder's office for the employment of temporary typists and clerks for the balance of the current fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of the emergency being: The heavy flow of normal recording work, plus the extraordinary demands by discharged veterans for recording and certified copies, has created a backlog of work. The appropriation herein requested will dispose of the large accumulation of un-

copied work and enable the Recorder to give prompt and uninterrupted service to these veterans and to the public.

Recommended by the Director of Finance and Records.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Appropriating \$7,000 From Emergency Reserve, for Fuel Oil Requirements for Balance of the Fiscal Year. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4409, Ordinance No. 4137 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$7,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Repair, for fuel oil requirements for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 638.321.00, to provide funds for the purchase of fuel oil for the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Building Repair.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to the increase in price of 41 cents per barrel for fuel oil purchased by the Bureau of Building Repair, over the amounts allowed by the 1946-47 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance, the funds provided are insufficient. The additional appropriation herein requested is necessary to provide for the uninterrupted operation of this bureau of the Department of Public Works. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Appropriating \$2,500 From Emergency Reserve Fund, for Truck Tires, Bureau of Street Cleaning. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4410, Ordinance No. 4138 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Department of Public Works, Bureau

of Street Cleaning, for truck tires; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.301.42 to provide funds in the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Cleaning, for purchase of truck tires.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Funds heretofore provided for tires are insufficient and it is necessary to purchase new truck tires in lieu of recapping. These tires are essential to the uninterrupted operation of the Street Cleaning Bureau, Department of Public Works, because tires that have been recapped several times must now be discarded. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### California Mission Trails Association, Ltd.

Supervisor Sullivan, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, moved that authorization be and is hereby granted such members of the Board of Supervisors, who so desire, to attend and represent said Board at the annual convention of California Mission Trails Association, Ltd., to be held at Santa Cruz on November 17, 18, 19 and 20, 1946, at which time legislative matters affecting the City and County of San Francisco will be discussed; provided, funds for such purpose are available.

*No objection and motion carried.*

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

##### Settlement of Maritime Strike.

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Proposal No. 6230, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an agreement has been reached between the east and Gulf Coast ship operators and the Marine Engineers Beneficial Association, accepted by the U. S. Maritime Commission, which ended the maritime strike on those coasts and returned all men involved to work in the maritime industry; and

Whereas, the acceptance of an agreement, which contains comparable conditions already established in the industry, by the members of the Pacific American Shipowners Association and the Marine Engineers on the West Coast would immediately settle the strike between those parties and return the men involved to work; and

Whereas, a tentative agreement has been reached between the Waterfront Employers Association of the Pacific Coast and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, and the signing of this agreement would immediately return all the men to work and end the strike; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors go on record as requesting the Waterfront Employers Association and the Pacific American Shipowners Association to settle the maritime strike by accepting a contract which would establish parity on a national basis in the industry, and which conditions have been already accepted by at least 75 per cent of the operators in the industry and has been put into effect on all Government owned ships by the Maritime Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That they immediately sign a tentative agreement reached by the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union and the Waterfront Employers Association in order to settle the strike; and be it

Further Resolved, That in the interest of public welfare and to keep the port of San Francisco operating, that the remaining issues be immediately referred to further negotiations, mediations or arbitrations, thereby settling the present maritime strike.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso, after presenting the foregoing proposal, announced that because of changes in the strike situation, it had been requested that the Board again consider matter and attempt to obtain a settlement thereof.

Thereupon, Supervisor Mancuso moved that the Board rescind its action taken on November 4, 1946, whereby it had refused adoption to a previous proposal dealing with the same matter. Motion seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Supervisor Colman objected to rescinding action, stating that there was no need for such action. His views were the same, and he did not care to vote to rescind action.

Supervisor Mancuso, in reply to inquiry by Supervisor Mead, as to notification of interested parties, stated that an attempt had been made to notify Mr. Flannigan and Mr. O'Connell and request them to be present at the Board meeting.

The Clerk stated, in reply to questioning by Supervisor MacPhee, that as far as he knew the shipowners had not been notified that the matter was again to be before the Board.

The Chair suggested that consideration be postponed until representatives of the American Federation of Labor could arrive for the hearing.

Supervisor MacPhee held that as far as he was concerned, the foregoing proposal was a new matter, and should be referred to committee.

Supervisor MacPhee, with the consent of his second, withdrew his motion temporarily.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Mancuso renewed his motion that the Board rescind action previously taken whereby it had refused to adopt, at the meeting of November 4, 1946, a previous proposal dealing with the same matter. If the Board should rescind its previous action, he intended to offer amendments thereto. Motion again seconded by Supervisor Christopher.

Supervisor Colman again expressed his objections to rescinding the action previously taken.

Supervisor MacPhee again stated that the proposal presented was an entirely different one, and as such, it should be heard in committee. He was opposed to the motion to rescind action.

Supervisor Mead reported that representatives of the American Federation of Labor were unable to appear before the Board on such notice. However, their position was the same as on November 4, 1946.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to rescind action failed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Subsequently during the proceedings, Supervisor Mancuso again presented the same proposal.

Mr. Balkey, who had urged adoption of a similiar proposal on November 4, 1946, again addressed the Board and urged adoption of the proposal just presented.

Supervisor Mancuso moved for suspension of the rules for the purpose of immediate consideration of the proposal.

Supervisor Mead declared that he could not be guided by statements of certain people on a matter of such importance. He wanted to know just what labor was giving away, if anything. He wanted a better understanding of the situation. He did not want to vote against straightening out the matter, but there were limits as to how far the Board should go in the matter.

Supervisor Christopher announced that he was in agreement with the views expressed by Supervisor Mead at the previous meeting. Now, there has been a substantial difference made in the resolution. He urged adoption thereof.

Supervisor Mead stated that he could not vote for the proposal, as presented. However, if the first "Resolve" were deleted, he would vote for it.

Supervisor Colman pointed out that the subject matter concerned three parties: the C.I.O., the A.F.L., and the operators. Only one group was present. The matter should go back to committee for a full and complete hearing.

Supervisor Mead announced that he would not oppose suspension of the rules. However, he was opposed to the proposal itself.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the motion to suspend the rules failed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, J. Joseph Sullivan—4.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Thereupon, the Chair *referred the proposal to the County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

In the absence of the chairman of the County, State and National Affairs Committee, Supervisor Lewis, a meeting of the committee was set for Monday, November 18, 1946, at 1:00 p. m.

#### Request for Opinion From City Attorney.

Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that an opinion be requested from the City Attorney as to the right of the Board to adopt a resolution on the day of its presentation without the unanimous consent of the Board.

*No objection, and so ordered.*

Leave of Absence—Miss Florentine Schage, Member of the  
Art Commission.

The Clerk presented the following recommendations of the Acting Mayor:

Proposal No. 6224, Resolution No. 6005 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Acting Mayor, Miss Florentine Schage, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing November 7, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee—2.

Leave of Absence—Edward Sharkey, Managing Director of the  
War Memorial Building.

Proposal No. 6225, Resolution No. 6006 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Acting Mayor, Mr. Edward Sharkey, Managing Director of the War Memorial Building, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period November 15 to November 29, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee—2.

Accepting Gift of Battle Flag of the U.S.S. San Francisco for  
Preservation as a Memorial and Transferring Custody of Portion  
of Navigation Bridge of U.S.S. San Francisco From the Park  
Commission to the Trustees of the California Palace of the Legion  
of Honor.

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6223, Resolution No. 6004 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the United States Navy Department has offered to the City and County of San Francisco the battle flag of the cruiser U.S.S. San Francisco as a memorial to the gallantry of that ship and her crew in the battle of the Solomon Islands; and

Whereas, by Resolution 5896 (Series of 1939) this Board of Supervisors accepted on behalf of the Park Commission a portion of the navigating bridge of that ship from the Navy Department for utilization in the construction of a monument memorializing the role of the U.S.S. San Francisco in the battle of the Solomon Islands; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that this Board of Supervisors does hereby officially accept for and on behalf of the trustees of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor the battle flag of the U.S.S. San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the portion of the bridge previously accepted for and on behalf of the Park Commission be, and hereby is, transferred to the custody of the trustees of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor to be used in conjunction with the battle flag of the ship in the construction of a suitable memorial to the U.S.S. San Francisco and her crew.



*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee—2.

#### Policy Re Permanent Location for United Nations.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6227, Resolution No. 6007 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as a matter of policy, approves and ratifies the action of his Honor Mayor Roger D. Lapham in offering to convey title, without cost, to three square miles of unimproved real property under the control of the Public Utilities Commission in San Mateo County adjacent to Crystal Springs Lakes, to the United Nations to be used by that organization as its permanent location; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board will do everything within its power, that it may legally do, to aid in the conveyance above described.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Commending Students of Log Cabin Ranch for Entry in Grand National Livestock Exposition.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6228, Resolution No. 6008 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, for many years San Francisco has had no official entry in the cattle exhibit at the Grand National Livestock Exposition; and

Whereas, this Exposition is recognized nationally as the foremost display of livestock in the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco will be officially represented in November, 1946, with a display of two registered Holstein bulls by the students of Log Cabin Ranch; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby commend the students of Log Cabin Ranch and wishes them every success in the competition for the awards.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Endorsing Campaign to Raise Funds for the Archbishop Hanna Center for Boys.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6229, Resolution No. 6009 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the late Most Reverend Edward J. Hanna, who for twenty years was the Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, left behind him the memory and heritage of a notable career devoted not only to the spiritual welfare of this City but also to the ardent and able furtherance of the interests of San Francisco's youth; and

Whereas, the work to which Archbishop Hanna made such valuable contribution, and for which he will ever be enshrined in the hearts of San Franciscans regardless of race or creed, has been and is continuing to be carried on faithfully and energetically by civic groups whose humanitarian objectives merit the enthusiastic support of the entire community; and

Whereas, the need for facilities which will adequately provide care for underprivileged children is constantly with us and is heightened at the present time by a situation under which more homeless, neglected and unwanted children reside in California than at any time in the past; and

Whereas, the Campaign Committee of the Archbishop Hanna Center for Boys is currently broadcasting an appeal to the generosity of San Franciscans for contributions to its building fund, for the purpose of carrying on its charitable, selfless and civic-minded program looking to the assistance of youngsters who through no fault of their own find themselves without the advantages enjoyed by the average child; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, recognizing the importance and urgency of the appeal being made by the Campaign Committee of the Archbishop Hanna Center for Boys for contributions to its building fund, does hereby wholeheartedly and unreservedly endorse the campaign being prosecuted in furtherance thereof, and does hereby earnestly urge and solicit all citizens of San Francisco to give their generous support thereto.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Judiciary Committee to Make Recommendations Concerning New Charter.

Supervisors Christopher and McMurray presented:

Proposal No. 6226, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, although a new Charter for the City and County of San Francisco was adopted in 1931, which Charter was presumed and intended to reconcile conflicts, clarify ambiguities and provide for the operation of governmental functions on a progressive and efficient basis; and

Whereas, since the ratification of that Charter in 1931, there have been submitted to the electorate 135 proposed amendments thereto, 90 of which have been ratified by the electorate; and

Whereas, despite the numerous amendments made thereto the provisions of the Charter are in many respects ambiguous and confusing and are found unavailable to cope with changed times, philosophies and modern methods of government; and

Whereas, there appears a necessity for a new Charter, concise in its terms, free from conflicts and ambiguities and modern in the philosophy of government which it prescribes; and

Whereas, Section 8 of the Constitution provides alternate methods for framing a proposed Charter—either by the election of freeholders, or by the legislative body or its authorized agent; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby expresses as its considered opinion that there is necessity for a new Charter and

does hereby direct its Judiciary Committee to consider the matter and, within thirty days, to report to this Board its recommendation as to which method should be pursued to effect its preparation for submission to the electorate.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**National Livestock Exposition.**

Supervisor Colman called attention to the National Livestock Exposition, which will be held at the "Cow Palace," beginning November 15, 1946. The exposition will be one of the most important held, and he urged that all members attend, if possible.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:45 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 2, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

•



Monday, November 18, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 18, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS POSTPONED.

Supervisor Lewis stated that in view of the fact that he had not had the opportunity of examining the Journals of Proceedings for the weeks of September 23 and September 30, 1946, he desired that approval of such journals be postponed one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## Communications.

From the Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting bill and proposal concerning installation and operation of parking meters.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From the City Attorney, opinion on the subject of "Board of Supervisors, adoption of resolutions on date of presentation."

*Referred to Rules Committee; copy to be sent each member of the Board.*

From the Superintendent of Schools, reporting on request to investigate establishment of senior high school on Bernal Heights.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From Fredrik S. Waiss, requesting removal of restriction, placed by Resolution 22,269, against installation of a doorway in the rear of the building at 66 Page Street, where it contacts Lily Street.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From St. Mary's Park Improvement Club, requesting installation of traffic signals at Mission Street and Richland Avenue.

*Referred to Police Committee; acknowledge.*

From the Civil Service Commission, transmitting list of employees working within, but residing outside, the City and County.

*Referred to Finance Committee; copy to be sent each member of Board.*

From the City Planning Commission, requesting approval of establishment of set-back lines on both sides of Victoria Street from Shields Street to Sargent Street.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From the Municipal Court, monthly report for October, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Waterfront Employers Ass'n, Report No. 9 on state of negotiations between maritime unions and the shipping industry.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Controller, monthly report of appropriations for the four months ended October 31, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Chamber of Commerce, concerning proposed request to War Assets Administration to dispose of certain land in Block 19 to the City and County for Municipal Railway purposes.

*Ordered considered with Calendar matter.*

From the City Attorney, opinion on the subject of "appeal from decision of Planning Commission on rezoning application, method of bringing before Board of Supervisors.

*Ordered considered with Calendar matter.*

#### Reconsideration.

Disapproving Action of the City Planning Commission by Its Resolution No. 3145 Dated September 5, 1946, Denying Application to Rezone Property Located on Easterly Line of Nineteenth Avenue, Distant Thereon 82 Feet Southerly From Southerly Line of Rivera Street From Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Proposal No. 6219, Resolution No. 6020 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3145, dated September 5, 1946, denying application to rezone property located on the easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue, distant thereon 82 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera Street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Refused adoption by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, McPhee, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—4.

Absent: Supervisors Galligher, Lewis—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote had been announced, Supervisor Mead changed his vote from "Aye" to "No" and moved for reconsideration on Monday, November 18, 1946. Motion seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan.

The vote then stood:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Mancuso, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead informed the Board that he was asking for reconsideration because of the fact that there were a number of enterprises on Nineteenth Avenue, some non-conforming use and others by reason of the Board's sanction; that the applicant in question desired to construct a modern and beautiful office building to cost in the neighborhood of one hundred thousand dollars and to provide off-street parking as well, and which certainly would not create a traffic hazard on Nineteenth Avenue. Furthermore, the applicant, the Atlas Realty Company, represented by Carl Gellert, was a responsible firm and worthy of every possible consideration by the Board.



### Point of Order.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan rose to a point of order, informing the Board that he believed the Board had no jurisdiction over the matter due to lapse of time as specified in Section 117 of the Charter. Whereupon the Chair ruled that his point of order was not well taken.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher stated that the City Planning Commission had approved the construction of a garage in the area under consideration and certainly the building of a modern office building should merit the serious attention of the Board.

Supervisor Colman requested permission of the Chair to interrogate Mr. Dion Holm of the City Attorney's office with respect to the legality of the pending proceedings, to which the Chair assented.

### Point of Order.

Supervisor McMurray rose to a point of order, inquiring if it was necessary to ask the City Attorney's office for an opinion on a matter of reconsideration pending before the Board. Whereupon the Chair ruled that the point of order was not well taken.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Colman asked Mr. Dion Holm of the City Attorney's office if the Board still had jurisdiction over a matter wherein the Board had sustained the ruling of the City Planning Commission in denying application to rezone property located on the easterly line of Nineteenth Avenue distant thereon 82 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera Street from Second Residential District to Commercial District, and further, in view of the fact that the time limit specified in Section 117 of the Charter had elapsed.

### Point of Order.

Supervisor McMurray rose to a point of order, stating that he believed that the City Attorney's opinion should not be forthcoming until after the matter of reconsideration had been settled.

Whereupon the Chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

### City Attorney's Opinion.

Mr. Dion Holm informed the Board that it was his understanding that the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission had been perfected within the 30-day period and then a date was set for a hearing. Hearing was had on the matter and the Board voted five to four, thus upholding the City Planning Commission. Mr. Holm continued with the statement that Section 117 of the Charter provided that the Board's action on the appeal must be completed within the time limits prescribed and proceeded to read Section 117 of the Charter wherein the Board's action must be completed within ten days of the date set for hearing the appeal, after which the Board had no further jurisdiction in the matter.

Supervisor Mead stated that during his tenure in office as a Supervisor, he had seen instances during budget deliberations where, owing to the insufficiency of time within which to complete the budget, the clock was automatically stopped, thus permitting the budget studies to be completed, and saw no reason why the same principle could not be applied in this rezoning matter; that regardless of the opinion as expressed by Mr. Holm, he was going to ask the Board to adopt the motion to reconsider and then ask that the Board override the City Planning Commission, so that the Atlas Realty Company could take the matter into court for adjudication.

Supervisor Colman explained that he was voting against reconsideration for the reason that the applicant had been given every

possible consideration; that he would be the last person to take any right away from the applicant; that the applicant had been granted a week's postponement; after which it was pointed out that since two of the members of the Board were absent, a further extension of three days was allowed and then the hearing was had, at which time the City Planning Commission was sustained by a vote of five to four, and whether or not the two absentee members were present and voted against the Commission the result would have been the same because there were four votes sustaining the City Planning Commission.

#### Roll Call on Reconsideration.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Mead's motion for reconsideration and it *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, J. Joseph Sullivan—2.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

#### Discussion on Proposal No. 6219.

Supervisor Mead reiterated the fact that the Atlas Realty Company intended to construct a modern and beautiful office building on the site proposed to be rezoned and to provide for off-street parking; that regardless of the statements made by Col. Skeggs, Engineer for the State of California; Mr. H. C. Vensano, Director of the Department of Public Works, and by the City Planning Commission, such a rezoning would not interfere with the flow of traffic. Mr. Mead continued, by stating that the Hallawell Seed Company occupied a prominent spot on Nineteenth Avenue and that traffic was certainly not being interrupted there. He claimed that the members of the Board were fearful of a bugaboo that didn't exist, and concluded by saying that the organization in question which intended to spend in the neighborhood of one hundred thousand dollars should be encouraged to do so and that the members of the Board should vote to override the City Planning Commission.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mancuso asked the privilege of the floor for Mr. Carl Gellert, representing the Atlas Realty Company, the applicant in the zoning matter under discussion. There was no objection.

Mr. Gellert pointed out that the heavy traffic congestion occurred in the morning and in the evening and that his office would be open 9 to 5 with Saturday and Sunday closing; that if his firm constructed residences on the site in question there would be from 22 to 44 automobiles and if apartment houses were constructed there would be at least one hundred machines, considerably more than those that would be involved if the office building were permitted to be constructed.

Mr. Elwood Gill, zoning examiner for the City Planning Commission, stated that the Commission, in denying this application, was attempting to curb grouping in commercial areas.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that because the City Attorney had given an opinion, this was no reason why the Board should not reconsider the matter before it, and the matter should have a court test. The Board should vote to override the City Planning Commission and have the courts decide the matter. Mr. Mancuso continued by stating that he felt the Courts would uphold the Board's action in overriding the City Planning Commission because of the fact there were but nine members present when the matter was first heard.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that the City Planning Commission exceeded its authority when it granted the permit for the construc-

tion of the garage near the site under discussion and that the Commission should grant the application now being considered by the Board.

Mr. Kent, Acting Director of Planning, while agreeing with the suggestion made that the zoning ordinance should be amended, stated that if the application in question is granted, a dangerous precedent would be established, calling attention to remarks made at a previous meeting of the Board on this matter by Mr. Vensano, Director of the Department of Public Works, and Col. Skeggs, Engineer for the State of California, relative to the traffic hazard on Nineteenth Avenue.

Supervisor Mead, in closing, stated that while he agreed with Supervisor Colman's statement that the Board must look out for one of San Francisco's needs, the necessity of more homes, the Board must also consider the needs of the employers who employ people; that the City Attorney can render an opinion in any manner he so desires and that while he does not question the sincerity of the City Attorney, still the pending matter was of such importance that the City Planning Commission should be overruled and the matter given a court test and in that way determine once and for all just exactly what the limitations of the Board of Supervisors were in matters of this kind as well as the limitations of the City Planning Commission.

#### Roll Call on Proposal 6219.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6219 was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, J. Joseph Sullivan—2.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

Hearing of appeal by Fink and Keystone, from action of City Planning Commission in denying application to rezone property on Twin Peaks from First Residential to Commercial District.

*November 12, 1946—Consideration continued to November 18, 1946.*

The Clerk read an opinion from the City Attorney's office in which it was stated that since the appellants did not obtain the necessary 20 per cent of the signatures of the property owners in the affected area, there was no appeal before the Board; and further, with reference to Mr. Fink's second method "a straight-out request to the Board of Supervisors to rezone the property," the City Attorney pointed out that neither the Charter nor any ordinance provided a procedure whereby a property owner may apply for a zoning or rezoning of property other than by application to the City Planning Commission as outlined in the first paragraph of Section 117 of the Charter.

Mr. Fink, one of the appellants, informed the Board that he was not a property owner but a lessee of City-owned property and that in his opinion he does have the right of appeal to the Board.

Tabled.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, that the matter be tabled.

Whereupon the roll was called and the appeal of Fink and Keystone from the decision of the City Planning Commission was *ordered tabled* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Mead, Meyer—3.

## SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

**Authorizing Correction of Clerical Error in 1946-1947 Assessment Roll.**

Proposal No. 6215, Resolution No. 6010 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the recommendation of the Assessor, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 4831, 4832, 4834 and 4836 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, with the written consent of the City Attorney, and after hearing set by Resolution No. 5971 (Series of 1939), which hearing was regularly continued by the Board of Supervisors to 2 p. m. of November 12, 1946, at which the assessee affected by the correction recommended, was given an opportunity to be heard thereon and to present objection thereto, after being given five days' notice of such hearing, and after consideration thereof, the Board of Supervisors does hereby consent to, authorize and direct the correction by the Controller, of the clerical error in the 1946-1947 Assessment Roll of the City and County of San Francisco, so that the entry therein to be corrected shall read as follows:

Volume 24, Block 3605, Lot 6, Total \$920, Land \$570, Improvements \$350.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Note: Consideration of the foregoing listed property was continued from Monday, November 4, 1946.

*November 12, 1946—Consideration continued to November 18, 1946.*

This matter was continued from November 12, 1946, on the request of Mr. Del Carlo, representing the party affected by the correction of clerical error in the 1946-47 Assessment Rolls; however, no one appeared and the proposal was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Taken Up Out of Order.**

Recommendation of Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Requesting the War Assets Administration to Reclassify 39.82 Acres of Surplus Industrial Federal Owned Land in San Mateo County, California, to Airport Usage, and That Title Thereto Be Transferred to the City and County of San Francisco for Expansion of the San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 6238, Resolution No. 6017 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, that application is hereby made, to the War Assets Administration, requesting and urging that the following described property, situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and adjacent to the northerly boundary of the San Francisco Airport, which property is owned by the United States of America, and presently declared surplus (Industrial) by the War Assets Administration, be reclassified surplus (Airport); and that title thereto be transferred to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to enable the proper expansion and development program of the San Francisco Airport now under construction:

Portion of Tide Land Lots 27, 29, 30 and 31, and of Belle Air Island, in Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. & M., in San Mateo County, California, more particularly described as, BEGINNING at a point which bears north 70 feet and east 1815.96 feet from the corner common to Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34 in said Township, said point of beginning being the most westerly corner of Parcel 4 described in that certain Judgment had in the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of California, Southern Division, recorded December 8, 1942, in Book 1043 of Official Records of San Mateo County at page 454; thence along the boundaries of said Parcel 4, south 25° 27' 30" east, parallel with and distant 14.5 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of the original Bayshore Highway, 22.15 feet; east 864.83 feet; and north 20 feet to a point in the southerly line of Parcel 1 described in said Judgment; thence along the southerly line of Parcels 1 and 5 described in said Judgment, east 1708.24 feet; thence along the southeasterly line of said Parcel 5, north 4° 30' east, 367.33 feet and north 20° 15' east to a point in the north line of said Tide Land Lot 31, which line is 1320 feet north of the south line of said Section 27; thence west, along the north line of said Tide Land Lots 31 and 30, crossing Belle Air Island, and along the north line of said Tide Land Lot 27, to a point in the southwesterly line of Parcel 2 described in said Judgment; thence south 25° 27' 30" east, along said southwesterly line, to the point of beginning. Containing an area of 39.82 acres.

In connection with this application, the Board of Supervisors hereby requests and declares:

1. That the United States of America donate said land to the City and County of San Francisco, to be used for Municipal airport purposes.

2. That said City and County is extremely desirous, and is in urgent need of said real property for an essential and vital public purpose, to-wit: For Municipal airport purposes. Said property is adjacent to the northerly boundary of the San Francisco Airport and is of vital necessity for the proper expansion and development program of the San Francisco Airport now under course of construction. Said property, if acquired by said municipality and when utilized for said purpose, will greatly facilitate the operation of the San Francisco Airport in that it is at a convenient and efficient location and the area requested will enlarge the airport so that aircraft of the largest known construction may take off and land at said airport.

3. That the existing San Francisco Airport was leased by the City and County of San Francisco to the United States of America during World War II, at a rental of \$1.00 per year, and was of vital strategic importance in the prosecution of the war. That in the event of future national emergencies, a larger San Francisco Airport will be of greater military value.

Approved by the Airport Manager.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Supervisor MacPhee asked the privilege of the floor for Mr. B. M. Doolin, Superintendent of the San Francisco Airport. There was no objection to this request.

Mr. Doolin stated that the public Utilities Commission was desirous of acquiring title to a piece of land 39.82 acres in size, located north of the present airport boundary and which was formerly part of the Bel-Air shipyards during the war; that it was intended to ask the War Assets Administration to reclassify this parcel of land so that title may be transferred to the City and County of San Francisco. Such

an act would mean a saving to the City of approximately \$250,000 if said land had to be purchased.

Mr. Doolin continued, stating that there was a provision in the federal law whereby such lands could be transferred without cost if it was to be used for airport purposes and he expressed the conviction that there would be no trouble in having the title transferred without cost to the City.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Proposal 6238 was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Appropriating \$3,600 From General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Compensation for One Physician Specialist, Part-Time, and One Public Health Nurse, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4391, Ordinance No. 4146 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,600 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L364 Physician Specialist, part time, at the rate of \$520 per month in the Bureau of Child Welfare-Medical, Department of Public Health, and 1 P52 Public Health Nurse at \$190-230 per month in the Bureau of Field Nursing-Other, Department of Public Health, which positions are created.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,600 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of the following appropriations in the Department of Public Health for the purposes recited:

*Appropriation  
Number*

650.110.10—Compensation for 1 L364 Physician Specialist, part time, at the rate of \$520 per month in the Bureau of Child Welfare-Medical. . . . .	\$2,080
650.110.19—Compensation for 1 P52 Public Health Nurse at \$190-230 per month in the Bureau of Field Nursing-Other. . . . .	1,520

Section 2. The positions of 1 L364 Physician Specialist, part time, at the rate of \$520 per month, in the Bureau of Child Welfare-Medical Department of Public Health, and 1 P52 Public Health Nurse in the Bureau of Field Nursing-Other, Department of Public Health are hereby created.

- Recommended by the Director of Public Health.
- Approved by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.  
Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Central Office, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4354, Ordinance No. 4140 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55a.2, Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 79 from 29 to 30 P52 Public Health Nurse at \$190-230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55a.2 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55a.2. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
FIELD NURSING, OTHER**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
78.1	1	N204	Housing and Industrial Inspector	\$230-290
79	30	P52	<b>Public Health Nurse</b>	190-230
80	2	P54	Supervisor, Public Health Nursing	230-290
81	4	I 204	Porter	125-160

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

**A Companion Bill to Bill 4391. Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Central Office, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4357, Ordinance No. 4141 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55.2, Department of Public Health—Central Office (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 63 from 6 to 7 L364 Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of \$520.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 55.2, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 55.2. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)  
CHILD WELFARE—MEDICAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
62	2	L364	Physician Specialist	\$520
63	7	L364	<b>Physician Specialist (part time)</b> at rate of	520

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

**Appropriating \$3,920 From the General Fund Compensation Reserve to Provide Funds for One Specialist Physician in the Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4400, Ordinance No. 4153 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,920 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L364 Physician Specialist at \$520 per month in the Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health, which position is created:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,920 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No.660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 655.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 L364 Phvician at \$520 per month in the Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health, which position is hereby created:

Recommended by the Director of Public Health.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Hassler Health Home, Department of Public Health.**

Bill No. 4352, Ordinance No. 4139 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 62.1, Department of Public Health—Hassler Health Home (Continued) by increasing the number of employments under item 16 from 1 to 2 L364 Physician Specialist at \$520.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 62.1, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 62.1. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
9	16	I 204	Porter .....	\$125-160
10	1	I 254	Seamstress .....	140-175
11	3	J4	Laborer .....	(h) 198.50
13	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician .....	175-220
14	1	L156	Dentist (part time) at rate of.....	325-390
14.1	1	L202	Dietitian .....	185-230
15	2	L352	Interne .....	100
15.1	1	L363	Superintendent. Hassler Health Home.....	460-550
16	2	L364	Physician Specialist .....	520



Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
16.1	2	L364	Physician Specialist (part time) at rate of . . . . .	520
17	1	O1	Chauffeur, Flat Rack Truck . . . (h	228.50
18	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds . .	210-260
19	1	O58	Gardener . . . . .	150-200
20	10	P102	Registered Nurse . . . . .	165-200
21	5	P104	Head Nurse . . . . .	200-240
22	1	P112	Superintendent of Nursing . . . . .	240-290
23			Inmate Help, not over . . . . .	50

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

**Compromise of Claim, Nina M. and B. W. Durkee in Sum of \$600.**

Bill No. 4402, Ordinance No. 4154 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee in the amount of \$600.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended, and the City Attorney having approved, the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee, being recovery of loss to said city and county on account of personal injuries sustained by Eugene A. Garren on the 17th day of March, 1945, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of the employment of said Eugene A. Garren as a motorman on a municipal railway street car which was struck by an automobile operated by Nina M. Durkee and owned by Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee, the loss to said city and county to date being \$725.63 including compensation paid while said Eugene A. Garren was absent from his employment and the cost of medical services provided; and the said Nina M. Durkee and B. W. Durkee having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the amount of \$600, the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim for the said amount of \$600.

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Settlement approved and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

**Appropriating \$2,796.50 From the General Fund Compensation Reserve for Temporary Replacement of One Horticulturist Inspector Temporarily Transferred to the Farmers' Market and for One Horticulturist Inspector Employed on a Six-Day Week Basis.**

Bill No. 4404, Ordinance No. 4156 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,796.50 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00

to provide funds for the temporary replacement of one N154 Horticultural Inspector in the Agricultural Inspection Department whose services are being transferred temporarily to the Farmers' Market; and to provide funds for one N154 Horticultural Inspector on a six-day week funds which are now provided for a five-and-a-half-day week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,796.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of the following appropriations:

*Appropriation*  
*No.*

658.110.00—Permanent Salaries ..... \$ 246.50  
658.120.00—Temporary Salaries ..... 2,550.00

to provide funds for the compensation of one N154 Horticultural Inspector required to replace one N154 Horticultural Inspector in the Agricultural Inspection Department whose services are being transferred temporarily to the Farmers' Market; also to provide funds for one N154 Horticultural Inspector on a six-day week funds which are now provided for a five-and-a-half-day week.

Recommended by the Horticultural Commissioner.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**A Companion Bill to the Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Horticultural Commission.**

Bill No. 4403, Ordinance No. 4155 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.31, Horticultural Commission, by reducing the number of N154 Horticultural Inspector authorized to work 4 hours in excess of 40 from 3 to 2; and by adding 1 N154 Horticultural Inspector for 8 hours in excess of 40 hours.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.31, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.31. Horticultural Commission**

Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
B230 Market Master .....	1	8
N154 Horticultural Inspector .....	2	4
N154 Horticultural Inspector .....	1	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Emergency Relief to Dependent Non-Residents.**

Bill No. 4406, Ordinance No. 4158 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Bill No. 123, Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939), entitled, "Providing for the Granting of Emergency Relief to Dependent Non-Residents of the City and County of San Francisco, Defining Certain Terms Used in This Ordinance, Determining the Amount and Character of Relief Which May Be Granted to Dependent Non-Residents and Authorizing the Public Welfare Commission to Administer Said Relief and to Make Rules and Regulations Regarding the Granting of Said Relief and to Incur on Behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the Cost of Transporting Non-Resident Dependent Indigents to Another County, Another State, a Territory of the United States, or a Foreign Country," by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 6, which provides for immediate granting of emergency relief to dependent non-residents for a period of not exceeding fifteen (15) days when such dependent non-residents make re-application for assistance after having received emergency relief at some previous time.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill No. 123, Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 6, reading as follows:

Section 6. The Director of Public Welfare may, without the approval of the Board of Supervisors, grant emergency relief to dependent non-residents as in this ordinance provided for a period of not exceeding fifteen (15) days when such dependent non-residents make re-application for assistance after having already received emergency relief at some previous time for the maximum period of time for which the Public Welfare Commission is empowered to grant such emergency relief as provided in Section 3 of this ordinance and when immediate need for assistance is determined; provided that the Director of Public Welfare shall make a report to the Public Welfare Commission at its meeting following the granting of said relief of any relief so granted; and provided further that the Director of Public Welfare shall, immediately upon the granting of said relief, initiate a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the necessity for the extension of the period of granting of emergency relief as under Section 3 of this ordinance provided, and, if the Board of Supervisors disapproves such extension of the period of relief, no further relief shall be granted.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Accepting Road of Sawyer Street Between Leland and Visitacion Avenues, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4373, Ordinance No. 4142 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Sawyer Street between Leland Avenue and Visitacion Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

The roadway of Sawyer Street between Leland Avenue and Visitacion Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Accepting the Roadways of Hahn Street, Sawyer Street and Sunrise Way.

Bill No. 4374, Ordinance No. 4143 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Hahn Street between Visitacion Avenue and Sunrise Way, including the crossings of Visitacion Avenue and Sunnysdale Avenue and the intersections of Blythdale Avenue and Sunrise Way; Sawyer Street between Sunnysdale Avenue and Velasco Avenue, including the crossing of Sunrise Way; Sunrise Way between Sawyer Street and its westerly termination, west of Hahn Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

The roadway of Hahn Street between Visitacion Avenue and Sunrise Way, including the crossings of Visitacion Avenue and Sunnysdale Avenue and the intersections of Blythdale Avenue and Sunrise Way; Sawyer Street between Sunnysdale Avenue and Velasco Avenue, including the crossing of Sunrise Way; Sunrise Way between Sawyer Street and its westerly termination, west of Hahn Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Improving Portions of Dublin Street Between Persia and Russia Avenues and Extending City Aid.

Bill No. 4378, Ordinance No. 4144 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of portions of Dublin Street between Persia and Russia Avenues and appropriating \$2,100 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 4, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of DUBLIN STREET between Persia and Russia Avenues by grading to the official line and sub-grade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	Class "E" concrete pavement.
2.	Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
3.	Unarmored concrete curb.
4.	6-inch V.C.P. side sewers.
5.	Water services, long.
6.	Water services, short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 6095, Lots 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, and 10; and Block 6096, Lot 3;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$2,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation 648.906.01 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against the appropriation and the excess money will revert to "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Jessie Street Between Ninth Street and a Line Parallel With and 280 Feet Southwesterly Therefrom at 2 Feet 6 Inches.**

Bill No. 4387, Ordinance No. 4145 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ninety-two, fixing sidewalk widths on Jessie Street between Ninth Street and a line parallel with and 280 feet southwesterly therefrom at 2 feet 6 inches.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office October 17, 1946, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Twelve Hundred and Ninety-two (1292) to read as follows:

Section 1292. The width of sidewalks on Jessie Street between Ninth Street and a line parallel with and 280 feet southwesterly therefrom shall be two feet six inches.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Improving Portions of Girard Street Between Mansell and Ordway Streets and Extending City Aid.**

Bill No. 4392, Ordinance No. 4147 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Improvement of portions of Girard Street between Mansell and Ordway Streets and appropriating \$1,100 to legalize the assessment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San

Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of portions of Girard Street between Mansell and Ordway Streets by the construction of the following items.

*Item No.*

*Item*

1. Asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 4-inch asphaltic concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
2. Class "E" concrete pavement.
3. Unarmored concrete curb.
4. 6-inch V.C.P side sewers.
5. Water services, long.
6. Water services, short.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Block 6157 Lots 13-A, 15-A, 15-B, and 17; and

Block 6158, Lots 1, 2, 3, 3-A, and 4;

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$1,100 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surplus existing in the "Reserve for City Aid" to the credit of Appropriation 648.906.05 for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter.

This amount is based on estimated contract quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against the appropriation and the excess money will revert to "Reserve for City Aid."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Establishing Grades on Kempton Avenue Between Alemany Boulevard and a Line at Right Angles to Kempton Avenue Westerly Line 315.62 Feet Northerly Therefrom.**

Bill No. 4393, Ordinance No. 4148 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing grades on Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to Kempton Avenue, westerly line, 315.62 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to Kempton Avenue, westerly line, 315.62 feet northerly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office September 23, 1946, to-wit:

<i>Kempton Avenue</i>	<i>feet</i>
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 315.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	209.00
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 215.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	216.87
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 165.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	219.97
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 115.62 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	221.40
(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)	
On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 24.44 feet northerly from Alemany Boulevard . . . . .	222.50
At a point on the northerly line of Alemany Boulevard on a line 10 feet perpendicular to Kempton Avenue westerly line. . . . .	222.45
On Kempton Avenue between Alemany Boulevard and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, and 315.62 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.	

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Establishment of Grades on Thirty-Ninth Avenue Between Yorba Street and Sloat Boulevard.**

Bill No. 4394, Ordinance No. 4149 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing grades on Thirty-ninth Avenue between Yorba Street and Sloat Boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Thirty-ninth Avenue between Yorba Street and Sloat Boulevard, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office October 25, 1946:



<i>Thirty-ninth Avenue</i>	<i>feet</i>
Yorba Street (the same being the present official grade) . . . . .	72.50
50 feet southerly from Yorba Street . . . . .	73.00
Easterly line of 12 feet southerly from Sloat Boulevard northerly line . . . . .	72.15
Westerly line of 12 feet southerly from Sloat Boulevard northerly line . . . . .	69.55
On Thirty-ninth Avenue between Yorba Street and points on the easterly and westerly lines of 12 feet southerly from Sloat Boulevard northerly line be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.	

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Pacheco Street Between Forty-second and Forty-third Avenues, Including the Crossing of Pacheco Street and Forty-second Avenue, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4395, Ordinance No. 4150 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Pacheco Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Pacheco Street and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Pacheco Street between Forty-second Avenue and Forty-third Avenue, including the crossing of Pacheco Street and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-first Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets, Forty-second Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets, Rivera Street Between Forty-first and Forty-second Avenues, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4396, Ordinance No. 4151 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-first Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street; Forty-second Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street; Rivera Street between Forty-first Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-first Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street;  
Forty-second Avenue between Rivera Street and Santiago Street;  
Rivera Street between Forty-first Avenue and Forty-second Avenue, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-Fourth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4397, Ordinance No. 4152 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Forty-fourth Avenue between Vicente and Wawona Streets, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Judiciary Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

**Amending the Police Code by Regulating the Riding, Driving and Leading of Horses in Public Places for Purposes Other Than Business and Providing a Penalty for Violation Thereof.**

Bill No. 4405, Ordinance No. 4157 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 1, Article 7, Chapter VIII (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 490, regulating the riding, driving and leading of horses in public places for purposes other than business, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 7, Chapter VIII (Police Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 490 reading as follows:

**SEC. 490. Regulating Riding of Horses in Public Places.**

(a) *Use and Place Designated.* No person shall ride, drive or lead a horse unattached to a vehicle, for any purpose other than in the pursuit of a business or occupation, parade, show or similar exhibition for which a permit has been obtained under the provisions of this Code, in any public place in the City and County of San Francisco, except as hereinafter set forth in this section:

- (1) Upon unoccupied portions of the public beaches;
- (2) Upon bridle trails and other equestrian paths designated for such purpose under the provisions of the Charter and Municipal Code of said city and county;
- (3) Upon public roads, streets or highways when necessarily used for the sole purpose of entering or leaving such bridle trails, equestrian paths, or public beaches;
- (4) Upon public roads, streets or highways when necessarily used in proceeding directly to or from a parade, show or similar exhibition, as hereinabove set forth, when engaged as a participant therein.

(b) *Manner of Use.* No person shall ride, drive or lead a horse as provided in subdivision (a) of this section:

- (1) At a pace faster than a slow canter when passing any horse proceeding in the same direction, or at a pace faster than a walk when passing any horse standing or proceeding in the opposite direction;
- (2) At a pace faster than a walk upon any pavement or crossing;
- (3) At a pace faster than is reasonable or prudent having due regard for the traffic on, and the surface and width of, the ground being traversed, and in no event at a rate of speed which endangers the safety of persons, animals or property at any other time or place permitted in this section;
- (4) While intoxicated.

(c) *Two or More Riders.* Two or more persons shall not ride any such horse simultaneously.

(d) *Necessary Control.* Any police or humane officer may demand custody of any such horse in the event the person having charge thereof fails or refuses to exercise control necessary for the safety of such horse, rider or any other person, animal or property. Such horse so taken into custody may be returned to its stable or impounded as if running at large. Refusal of any such person to exercise such control shall constitute a violation of this section.

(e) *Enforcement.* The Chief of Police and humane officers duly appointed by the San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals shall enforce the provisions of this section.

(f) *Penalty.* Each and every act which is a violation of any of the provisions of this section shall be deemed a misdemeanor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

## Consideration Continued.

The following recommendations of Police Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

**Amending Fire Code by Permitting Certain Minor Automotive Repairs by Gasoline Supply Stations.**

Bill No. 4335, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to services permitted by gasoline supply stations, by providing that such stations may make certain minor repairs, adjustments or replacements to motor vehicles and providing that equipment shall be properly housed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 336. Services Permitted.** The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles, the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the adjusting of fan belt tension, the removal and installation of lamp globes, the focusing of head lamps by adjustment only, cleaning and adjusting of spark plugs, installation of new spark plugs, removal and installation of oil filter or filtering element, installation of new wind shield wiper blades, removal and cleaning of air filters, removal and installation of radiator hose without removal of radiator or water pump, removal and installation of battery or battery cables, replenishing brake fluid in brake supply tank, cleaning and adjusting distributor points, adjusting of carburetor, installation of new fan belts, increasing or decreasing generator charging rate by adjustment, and miscellaneous minor servicing and adjusting, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries shall be permitted upon any premises primarily used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor-propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, provided, however, that no automobile or motor vehicle shall be permitted to be stored or parked within twenty (20) feet of the gasoline dispensing units except while being serviced with gasoline, oil, air and water, and battery testing; and provided further that the storage or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles for other than the above stated services, shall be prohibited unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the Fire Department as required elsewhere in this Municipal Code for automobile parking stations; and provided that where such automobile parking station is operated in conjunction with or immediately adjacent to a gasoline supply station that portion of the fence required for automobile parking stations which would separate the automobile parking station from the gasoline supply station may be omitted, and any entrance or exit of the gasoline supply station may be used as the entrance or exit of the automobile parking station.

All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department may make regarding the same.

All automobiles shall be spaced and parked in a manner approved

by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department.

It shall be a violation of this section for any gasoline supply station to display or cause to be displayed a sign or signs in or upon the premises of a gasoline supply station stating that any services other than those authorized hereunder, or to advertise, are or will be performed upon said premises unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the Fire Department as required by law.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Amendment.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that Bill No. 4335 be amended by inserting in the middle of the paragraph under "Section 336—Services Permitted," before the words "but no" the word "general", and then following with the words "repairs or reconditioning," etc., the phrase then to read "but no general repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, etc." Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Privilege of the floor was granted to Mr. Al Brandhofer, representing the Allied Industries, Inc., who told the Board members that it was just a week ago that the Board had deleted the word "general" from the measure.

#### Substitute Motion.

Supervisor Christopher moved as a substitute motion that the matter be referred to the Police Committee for further study. Motion seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor Mead expressed opposition to reference to committee as well as to the enactment of the proposed measure because the passage of such legislation would make a lot of junkyards out of the service stations.

#### Withdrawal of Motion.

Supervisor MacPhee informed the Board that he was withdrawing his motion, with the consent of his second. Supervisor Colman acquiesced in the withdrawal.

#### Continuance.

Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, that consideration of Bill No. 4335 be continued one week. *No objection and so ordered.*

Whereupon the roll was called and consideration of Bill 4335 was *ordered continued for one week* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by Changing the Title Thereof to Read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and Providing for the Performance of Additional Services as Set Forth in Section 336 of the Fire Code.

Bill No. 4334, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the licensing of automobile supply stations, by amending the title thereof to read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and providing for the performance of additional services as set forth in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 93. Gasoline Supply Stations.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating a gasoline supply station under a permit from the Fire Department, shall pay a license fee of Six Dollars and Twenty-five Cents (\$6.25) per quarter for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, or performing additional services as provided for in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of this Code, shall pay an additional license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, that consideration of Bill No. 4334 be continued one week.

Whereupon the roll was called and consideration of Bill No. 4334 was *continued one week* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 6221, Resolution No. 6011 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

- 1. R. H. Dunphy, Lot 8, Block 1603, first installment \$24.15, second installment \$24.15, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . \$48.30
- 2. Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., Lot 27, Block 2914, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . . 18.35
- 3. City Title Insurance Co., Lot 30, Block 1921, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . . 46.85

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Authorizing Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Mathias A. Blomquist and Amanda Blomquist.

Proposal No. 6231, Resolution No. 6012 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Mathias A. Blomquist and Amanda Blomquist, receiving aid from the City and County of San

Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Mathias A. Blomquist and Amanda Blomquist; and

Whereas, said Mathias A. Blomquist and Amanda Blomquist, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Authorizing Release of Lien Recorded in Connection With Medical Care Furnished by the San Francisco Hospital to Theodore Richter.**

Proposal No. 6232, Resolution No. 6013 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, a lien on real property was created by the recordation of an instrument in Volume 4547 at Page 28, Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, which instrument was executed by Theodore Richter to secure reimbursement for medical care furnished by the San Francisco Hospital; and

Whereas, said Theodore Richter, upon payment of the debt secured by said lien, as represented by San Francisco Hospital bill No. 19745, is entitled to receive a release of said lien; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in consideration of the payment of \$400, being the full amount secured by said lien, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of said lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6233, Resolution No. 6014 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated November 18, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of November and December, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Providing for the Issuance of \$8,500,000 Principal Amount of "City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945," Designated "Series C" (Being Part of an Issue of \$20,000,000 Authorized at an Election Held in the City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 1945), Prescribing the Date and Form of Said Bonds, and of the Coupons to Be Attached Thereto; Fixing the Maximum Rate of Interest on Said Bonds, and Prescribing the Maturities Thereof; Authorizing the Execution of Said Bonds and Coupons; and Providing for the Levy of a Tax to Pay the Principal and Interest Thereof.

Proposal No. 6235, Resolution No. 6015 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, all the requirements of law have been complied with in calling and holding the special bond election which was consolidated with the general election held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 6th day of November, 1945, at which said special bond election there was submitted to the qualified voters of said City and County the proposition of incurring and creating a bonded indebtedness of said City and County for the purposes and in the amount hereinafter stated; and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County duly and regularly canvassed the returns of said election and did as the result of such canvass determine and declare, and do hereby certify, that said proposition received at said special bond election the affirmative vote of more than two-thirds of all of the voters voting thereon, and that said proposition was thereby carried and adopted by said election, and the issuance of all of said bonds by said City and County was duly authorized; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 5098 (Series of 1939) passed and adopted November 26, 1945, entitled "Providing for the issuance of \$5,000,000 principal amount of 'City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945', designated 'Series A' (being part of an issue of \$20,000,000 authorized at an election held in the City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 1945), prescribing the date and form of said bonds, and of the coupons to be attached thereto; fixing the maximum rate of interest on said bonds, and prescribing the maturities thereof; authorizing the execution of said bonds and coupons; and providing for the levy of a tax to pay the principal and interest thereof.", duly authorized the issuance of said bonds, and authorized the same to be issued in one or more series or divisions and further provided for the issuance of \$5,000,000 principal amount of bonds of Series A of said authorized issue; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 5618 (Series of 1939), passed and adopted June 24, 1946, entitled "Providing for the issuance of \$2,000,000 principal amount of 'City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945,' designated 'Series B' (being part of an issue of \$20,000,000 authorized at an election held in the City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 1945), prescribing the date and form of said bonds, and of the coupons to be attached thereto; fixing the maximum rate of interest on said bonds, and prescribing the maturities thereof; authorizing the execution of said bonds and coupons; and providing for the levy of a tax to pay the principal and interest thereof," duly authorized the issuance of said bonds, and further provided for the issuance of \$2,000,000 principal amount of bonds of Series B of said authorized issue; and

Whereas, it is desirable at this time to provide for the issuance of additional bonds of said authorized issue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That all acts, conditions and things, required by law to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of said additional bonds of said authorized issue, have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as re-



quired by law, and said City and County of San Francisco is now authorized pursuant to each and every requirement of law to incur indebtedness in the manner and form as in this resolution provided.

Section 2. \$8,500,000 principal amount of said City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, are hereby authorized to be issued and sold and shall be designated "Series C" of said authorized issue. Said bonds of Series C of said authorized issue shall be negotiable in form and of the character known as serial, and shall be 8,500 in number, numbered consecutively from C1 to C8500, both inclusive, of the denomination of \$1,000 each. All of said bonds shall bear interest from their date until paid at the rate or rates designated by the Board of Supervisors at the time of the sale of said bonds but not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually. Such interest shall be evidenced by coupons attached to each bond and each of said coupons shall represent six months' interest on the bond to which it is attached. Both the principal of and interest on said bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or, at the option of the holder thereof, at the office of its Fiscal Agency in the City and State of New York. Said Series C bonds shall be dated December 15, 1946 (which is hereby fixed and determined to be the date of the issue of such Series C bonds), and shall mature and be payable in consecutive numerical order, from lower to higher, as follows:

\$250,000 principal amount of Series C bonds shall mature and be payable on December 15 in each of the years 1948 and 1949;

\$500,000 principal amount of Series C bonds shall mature and be payable on December 15 in each of the years 1950, 1951 and 1952;

\$1,000,000 principal amount of Series C bonds shall mature and be payable on December 15, 1953;

\$1,750,000 principal amount of Series C bonds shall mature and be payable on December 15 in each of the years 1954 and 1955;

\$2,000,000 principal amount of Series C bonds shall mature and be payable on December 15, 1956.

Said interest coupons shall be payable on the 15th day of June and the 15th day of December in each year until and at the respective dates of maturity of said bonds (the first coupon on each bond representing interest from December 15, 1946, to June 15, 1947).

Section 3. The bonds of Series C of said City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, herein authorized to be issued and sold, shall be substantially in the form prescribed by said Resolution No. 5098 (Series of 1939), except for such variations as may be necessary to designate the date of said bonds, the series, number, maturities and interest rates thereof, and said bonds shall be executed, sold and delivered, the proceeds thereof deposited, and the principal and interest thereof be payable from taxes, all in the manner and as otherwise provided in said Resolution No. 5098 (Series of 1939), which is hereby incorporated herein and by reference made a part hereof.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect from and after its passage and approval.

Requested by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Directing Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Advertise Notice of Sale of \$8,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series C.

Proposal No. 6236, Resolution No. 6016 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has duly authorized the issuance of \$8,500,000 principal amount of City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series C; now, therefore, be it

Resolved By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise once in the official newspaper a notice of sale that on December 16, 1946, this Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of said bonds, such notice to be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE

\$8,500,000 City and County of San Francisco  
Airport Bonds—1945, Series C

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that sealed proposals will be received and opened at the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in the City Hall, Civic Center, San Francisco 2, California, on

MONDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1946

at the hour of 10:00 A. M. (P. S. T.) for the purchase of bonds of said City and County, more particularly described below.

ISSUE: \$8,500,000, consisting of 8,500 bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each numbered C1 to C8500 inclusive, all dated December 15, 1946, and designated "Series C". Said Airport Bonds—1945, Series C, are part of an issue of \$20,000,000 aggregate principal amount authorized at an election held in said City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 1945, whereof \$5,000,000 principal amount, designated Series A, and \$2,000,000 principal amount, designated Series B, are now issued and outstanding.

The remaining bonds of said authorized issue may be sold hereafter when and as determined by the Board of Supervisors.

INTEREST RATE: Maximum six (6) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, June 15th and December 15th, in each year. Bidders must specify the rate or rates of interest which the issue of bonds hereby offered for sale shall bear. Bidders will be permitted to bid different rates of interest and to split rates irrespective of the maturities of said bonds. The interest rate stated in the bid must be in a multiple of one-quarter of one per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

MATURITIES: Series C bonds mature serially in consecutive numerical order, from lower to higher, on December 15 in each of the years 1948 to 1956, both inclusive, without option of prior payment, as follows:

\$ 250,000 in each of the years 1948 and 1949  
500,000 in each of the years 1950-1951 and 1952  
1,000,000 in the year 1953  
1,750,000 in each of the years 1954 and 1955  
2,000,000 in the year 1956

PAYMENT: Both principal and interest of said bonds are payable in lawful money of the United States of America, at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or, at holder's option, at the Fiscal Agency of said City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York.

**PURPOSE OF ISSUE:** Authorized by more than two-thirds vote of the electors voting at an election on November 6, 1945, for the acquisition, construction and completion of the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County.

**SECURITY:** Said bonds are general obligations of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Board of Supervisors thereof has power and is obligated to levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of said bonds and the interest thereon upon all property within said City and County of San Francisco subject to taxation by said City and County (except certain intangible personal property, which is taxable at limited rates) without limitation of rate or amount.

**TAX EXEMPT STATUS:** In the event that prior to the delivery of the bonds the income received by private holders from bonds of the same type and character shall be declared to be taxable under any Federal Income Tax Laws, either by the terms of such laws or by ruling of a Federal Income Tax authority or official which is followed by the Bureau of Internal Revenue, or by decision of any federal court, the successful bidder may, at his option, prior to the tender of said bonds by the City and County, be relieved of his obligation under the contract to purchase the bonds and in such case the deposit accompanying his bid will be returned.

**LEGAL OPINION:** The legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Dahlouist, Neff, Brown & Herrington, approving the validity of said bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without charge.

Bids must be made on official bid forms supplied by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. All bids must be unconditional; for all, but not less than all, of the bonds; and for not less than their par value and accrued interest thereon. Each bid, together with bidder's check, must be inclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and endorsed, "Proposal for City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series C." With each bid must be submitted a certified check or cashier's check for \$10,000, drawn on a bank or trust company authorized to transact and transacting business in the State of California, payable to the order of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, to secure the City and County from any loss resulting from the failure of the bidder to comply with the terms of his bid. In addition bidders are requested (but not required) to supply an estimate of the total net interest cost to the City and County on the basis of their respective bids, which shall be considered as informative only and not binding on either the bidder or the City and County. Checks of the unsuccessful bidders will be returned upon the award of the bonds. No interest will be paid upon the deposit made by any successful bidder.

The bonds will be awarded to the highest and best bidder, considering the interest rate or rates specified and the premium offered, if any. The highest bid will be determined by deducting the amount of the premium bid (if any) from the total amount of interest which the City and County would be required to pay from January 15, 1947, to the respective maturity dates of such bonds at the coupon rate or rates specified in the bid, and the award will be made on the basis of the lowest net interest cost to the City and County. The lowest net interest cost shall be computed between the dates aforesaid according to standard bond interest tables. The purchaser must pay accrued interest from the date of the bonds to the date of delivery. The City and County of San Francisco reserves the right, in its discretion, to reject any and all bids and to waive any irregularity or informality in any bid.

The Board of Supervisors will take action awarding the bonds or rejecting all bids not later than 4:00 P. M. (P. S. T.) on December 16, 1946. Delivery of said bonds will be made to the successful

bidder at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, in San Francisco, California, as soon as practicable. The successful bidder shall have the right, at his option, to cancel the contract of purchase if the City and County shall fail to tender the bonds for delivery on or before February 15, 1947, and in such event the successful bidder shall be entitled to the return of the deposit accompanying his bid. The cost of printing the bonds will be borne by the City and County of San Francisco.

Information relative to the financial condition of the City and County of San Francisco will be furnished to any bidder upon request.

There is no controversy or litigation pending or threatened concerning the validity of the above issue, the corporate existence of the City and County, or the title of the officers to their respective offices.

Dated: November 20, 1946.

JOHN R. McGRATH

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
of the City and County of San  
Francisco, State of California.

Section 2. The clerk of the Board of Supervisors is further authorized and directed to mail Notice of Sale of said bonds to the State Treasurer and to the Department of Finance of the State of California, at Sacramento, California.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Requesting That War Assets Administration Dispose of Certain Land in Assessor's Block 19 to the City and County of San Francisco for Municipal Railway Purposes.**

Proposal No. 6209, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, that application is hereby made to the War Assets Administration, Real Property Division, San Francisco, California, requesting that the following described Federal owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be held for disposal to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as a priority holder:

All of Block 19 as per the current Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco and which is designated on the plot plan of the War Assets Administration's schedule as North Beach Block 11, bounded on the north by Beach Street, on the east by Stockton Street, on the south by North Point Street and on the west by Powell Street; size 412' 6" x 275'; containing 2.6 acres.

In connection with this application, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and states:

1. That the applicant is willing to pay the sum of \$113,500 for said land.

2. That said City and County is extremely desirous, and is in urgent need of said real property for an essential and vital public purpose, to wit: for Municipal Railway purposes. Said property is of vital necessity to said Municipal Railway system for use as a storage area, repair shop, garage and dispatch location for buses operated by said Municipal Railway

system. Said property, if used by said municipality, and when utilized for said purposes, will greatly facilitate the operation of said buses in that it is at a convenient and efficient location, is not in a residential area and therefore its use for such purposes will not be objected to by surrounding property owners as would be the case were said facilities to be placed at a residential neighborhood. Said property is at present unimproved and consequently affords adequate and economical opportunity for development for said purposes.

3. That the applicant will require approximately 120 days from date hereof to obtain and authorize the required funds.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

November 12, 1946—*Consideration continued until Monday, November 18, 1946.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was granted to Mr. G. L. Fox of the Industrial Division of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, who explained that the area in question should be devoted exclusively to industrial activities; that his department had contacted several manufacturing firms who expressed an interest in the area, but who first wanted to see what disposition was made of it.

#### Postponement—Special Order.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan moved that consideration of Proposal No. 6209 be continued one week. Seconded by Supervisor MacPhee.

Supervisor MacPhee proposed an amendment to the motion by requesting that consideration of the above-mentioned proposal be made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m., Monday, November 25, *No objection and so ordered.*

#### Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$12,000 for Dismantling, Transporting to and Erection at Log Cabin Ranch School, of Two One-Story Buildings Now Located at the Civic Center.

Bill No. 4415, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$12,000 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 624.500.01, Improvements, Log Cabin Ranch School, to provide funds for dismantling, transporting and erection of two one-story buildings now located in the Civic Center.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 624.500.01, Improvements, Log Cabin Ranch School, to the credit of Appropriation No. 624.500.01, to provide funds for dismantling, transporting and erection of two one-story buildings now located in the Civic Center.

Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Judge of the Juvenile Court.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, to Permit  
One Janitor to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4416, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35, Public Utilities Commission, by adding 1 C104 Janitor to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 1.35. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1 Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
San Francisco	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
Airport	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	1	8
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	1	4
	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
	C102 Janitress . . . . .	2	8
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	4	8
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor ..	1	8
	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
Airport	F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations . . . . .	1	4
Hetch Hetchy	O1 Chauffeur . . . . .	1	8
Water Supply,	B4 Bookkeeper . . . . .	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	2	4
Utilities	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
Engineering			
Water Supply,	B222 General Clerk . . . . .	1	8
Power Operative	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	2	4
	B512 General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1	4
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	1	4
Inter-Intra-	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
departmental (as needed)	O60 Sub-Foreman Gardener ....	1	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Board of Education, Non-Certificated  
Employees to Provide for 1 Typewriter Repairman at \$250-300  
Per Month.**

Bill No. 4417, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by adding item 47.1, 1 O130 Typewriter Repairman at \$250-300.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 83.1. **BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings.....	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) .....	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator...	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. ....	185-230
19	145	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
20	64	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
21	*37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
26	133	C102	Janitress .....	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of...	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor .....	(k 186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of....	155-195
32	25	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors .....	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors ..	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of..	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of.....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of..	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
47.1	1	O130	<b>Typewriter-Repairman</b> .....	250-300
48	19	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

55			Trucks (as needed) at rates established by Purchaser's contract.	
----	--	--	--	--

\*To serve during school year only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau, to Provide for One Janitor at \$155-195 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4418, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71, Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau, by adding item 15.01, 1 C104 Janitor at \$155-195.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER AND UTILITIES ENGINEERING BUREAU**

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	A106	Building Inspector . . . . .	\$280-340
2	3	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	(g 318
4	2	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
4.1	1	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	210-260
9	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
13	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
15	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
15.01	1	C104	<b>Janitor</b> . . . . .	155-195
15.1	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	150-190
15.2	1	E107	Power House Electrician . . . . .	15.00 day
16	7	E150	Lineman's Helper . . . . .	10.80 day
19	13	E154	Lineman . . . . .	15.00 day
20	3	E160	Foreman Lineman . . . . .	16.00 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.



Appropriating \$3,900 for Payment of Sales Tax Liability on Sales Made by Purchaser of Supplies from October 1, 1941, to September 30, 1944, as Determined by State Board of Equalization.

Bill No. 4419, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,900 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to provide funds for payment to State of California of City's sales tax liability on sales made by the Purchaser of Supplies from October 1, 1941, to September 30, 1944, as determined by the State Board of Equalization.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,900 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to the credit of Public Trust Account No. 992, State of California Sales Tax Appropriation, to provide funds for payment to State of California of City's sales tax liability on sales made by the Purchaser of Supplies from October 1, 1941, to September 30, 1944, as determined by the State Board of Equalization.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

Appropriating \$928 for Compensation of Operating Engineer, Part Time, War Memorial, to Replace Full Time Operating Engineers. Full Time Operating Engineers to Be Placed on Five-Day Week Instead of Six-Day Week.

Bill No. 4420, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$928 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.199.00, War Memorial Compensation Reserve, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O168.1, Operating Engineer, part-time, which position is established on the basis of 2 days per week and will place the full-time operating engineers of the War Memorial on a 5-day week instead of a 6-day week as at present.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$928 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.199.00, War Memorial Reserve, to the credit of Appropriation No. 615.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O168.1 Operating Engineer, part-time, at the rate of \$290 per month, which position is hereby established on the basis of 2 days per week. The establishment of this position will place the full-time operating engineers of the War Memorial on a 5-day week instead of a 6-day week as at present.

Recommended by the Managing Director, War Memorial.

Approved by the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance,  
War Memorial.**

Bill No. 4356, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 18, War Memorial, by adding item 12.1, 1 O168.1 Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of \$290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 18, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial . . . . .	\$300-375
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	550-660
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
4	2	C52	Elevator Operator . . . . .	150-190
5	19	C104	Janitor . . . . .	155-195
6	1	C108	Foreman Janitor . . . . .	230-240
7	6	C152	Watchman . . . . .	150-190
7.1	1	C152	Watchman . . . . .	(k) 186
8	1	C202	Window Cleaner . . . . .	195-230
9	1	E108	Electrician . . . . .	(i) 382.50
10	1	E109	Stage Electrician . . . . .	15.00 day
11	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic . . . . .	(i) 358.50
12	2	O168.1	Operating Engineer . . . . .	290
12.1	1	O168.1	<b>Operating Engineer</b> (part time), at rate of . . . . .	290
13	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer . . . . .	360
14	1	A165	Stage Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
15	1	C252	Opera House Attendant (part time) as needed . . . . .	.75 hr.
<b>AS NEEDED</b>				
16	1	A170	Stage Property Man . . . . .	15.00 day
17	1	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Amending San Francisco Municipal Code With Respect to Licens-  
ing, Regulating, etc., Bicycles.**

Bill No. 4421, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Article 6, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by amending Sections 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 407, 408, 409, 410 and 415 thereof and reenacting Sections 406, 411, 412, 413 and 414 thereof, relating to bicycles, the use, licensing, registration and transfer thereof, for enforcement of said article and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 6, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended, by amending Sections 400, 401, 402, 403,

404, 405, 407, 408, 409, 410 and 415 thereof and reenacting Sections 406, 411, 412, 413 and 414 thereof, to read as follows:

## ARTICLE 6

## BICYCLES

- SEC. 400. Definitions.  
 SEC. 401. License required—Exception.  
 SEC. 402. Application.  
 SEC. 403. Issuance of license—Fee.  
 SEC. 404. License tags—Owner to affix on bicycle.  
 SEC. 405. Registration cards.  
 SEC. 406. Transfer of license.  
 SEC. 407. Transfer fee—Duplicate license fee.  
 SEC. 408. License period.  
 SEC. 409. No refunding of fees.  
 SEC. 410. Frame serial numbers—Unlawful act.  
 SEC. 411. Dismantling.  
 SEC. 412. Enforcement.  
 SEC. 413. Rules and regulations to be adopted.  
 SEC. 414. Violations, a misdemeanor.  
 SEC. 415. Effective date.

NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

SEC. 400. **Definitions.** As used in this Article, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them:

(a) **Bicycle.** A vehicle having two (2) wheels set tandem, propelled by human power applied through pedals, and designed for seating and carrying one or more persons, the number being determined by the number of seats built onto the vehicle by the manufacturer thereof, *except sidewalk model bicycles with wheel diameter of less than 20 inches over all, including tires.*

(b) **Person.** Any person, firm, corporation, company or association.

(c) **Owner.** That person, firm, corporation, company or association in whose name the title of the bicycle is vested.

[(d) **Rental Agency.** Any person, firm, corporation, company or association engaged in the business of offering for rental or renting a bicycle for use by the public, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business.]

(d) **Operator.** That person who is actually engaged in the act of propelling, guiding or handling the bicycle at any given time.

SEC. 401. **License Required—Exception.** It shall be unlawful for any person [or rental agency] to operate or use or permit to be operated or used any bicycle on the streets and highways of the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining from the Tax Collector a license therefor, [and] unless such bicycle is properly registered and tagged. Provided, however, that an owner of a bicycle having a valid license attached to same, which license was issued by a municipality other than the City and County of San Francisco, may use or operate such bicycle in the City and County of San Francisco during the period of time said license is valid without being required to obtain a license as provided for in this Article; and provided further, that this exception shall not apply to any bicycle owned or operated [by a rental agency or] by a resident of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 402. **Application.** Application for a license shall be made to the Tax Collector in writing upon blanks provided by him, which shall be signed by the applicant and contain the name, [date of birth, physical description,] and address of the owner, [together with] a

[complete] description of the bicycle and such other information as the Tax Collector or the Chief of Police may require. [The application of a rental agency shall contain the name and address of the agency, the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, and the number and make of the bicycles proposed to be rented, together with the frame numbers of such bicycles.]

SEC. 403. **Issuance of License—Fee.** Upon receipt by the Tax Collector of the application hereinbefore provided and the payment of a license fee of *Fifty (50c) Cents [a year]* for each bicycle therein set forth, the Tax Collector shall issue the applicant a license tag with a sealing device and a registration card for each such bicycle, together with a receipt for the fee paid.

SEC. 404. **License Tag—Owner to Affix on Bicycle.** The license tag issued as a part of the license shall be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, and each tag shall [clearly show the year for which issued,] have stamped thereon the letters "SFBL," and shall be consecutively numbered. [License tags for use by rental agencies shall, in addition to the above requirements, have the serial number thereon prefixed by the letter "R."] It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a bicycle subject to the provisions of this Article to operate or use or permit to be operated or used any such bicycle on the streets or highways of the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license tag to said bicycle by means of the accompanying seal and securely fastening said license tag to the [either] end of the frame of the bicycle [in such a manner as to prevent the tag from swinging] immediately beneath the seat. Such license tag shall be maintained free from foreign materials and in a condition to be clearly legible.

SEC. 405. **Registration Cards.** Registration cards shall be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, shall be in [quintuplicate] quadruplicate, serially numbered, and shall contain the name, [date of birth, physical description,] and address of the owner, [together with] the number of the license tag issued, the manufacturer's trade name of the bicycle and its frame number, and such other information from the application for a license as the Police Chief shall require, and shall be provided with a blank space for the signature of the licensee. [Registration cards issued rental agencies shall be serially numbered, and shall contain the name and address of the agency, the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, the number of the license tag issued, the manufacturer's trade name of the bicycle and its frame number, and shall be provided with a blank space for the signature of the licensee.] The owner or operator of a licensed bicycle [on the streets or highways of the City and County of San Francisco] shall keep available the registration card for such bicycle and shall produce such card for inspection whenever it may be demanded by a police officer or a deputy [license] tax collector. The Tax Collector shall forward to the Chief of Police [the duplicate and triplicate] two copies of each registration card within twenty-four (24) hours after issuing same; [the quadruplicate] one copy shall be retained by the Tax Collector for his records [and the quintuplicate copy shall be mailed by the Tax Collector to the licensee within thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date of the license as a notice for renewal.]

SEC. 406. **Transfer of License.** It shall be the duty of every person who sells or transfers ownership of a licensed bicycle to report such sale or transfer within ten (10) days thereafter by returning to the Tax Collector the registration card issued to such person as licensee thereof, together with the name and address of the person to whom said bicycle was sold or transferred. It shall be the duty of the purchaser or transferee of such bicycle to apply to the Tax Collector for a transfer of registration therefor within ten (10) days after said sale or transfer.

**SEC. 407. Transfer Fee—Duplicate License Fee.** For each transfer of ownership of a licensed bicycle or for the issuing of a duplicate license tag or registration card for a lost or destroyed tag or card, the Tax Collector shall collect a fee of [Fifty (50c)] *twenty-five* Cents (25c).

**SEC. 408. License Period.** All bicycle licenses, including tags and registration cards, issued under the provisions of this Article shall be *valid and in effect for the life of the bicycle for which each is issued* [date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one (1) year from the aforesaid date. Before issuing a license, the Tax Collector shall collect from the owner thereof, if he has failed to obtain such license in the month of January, or in case of failure to obtain a transfer of registration within the time specified, a penalty of Twenty-five (25c) Cents per month or fraction thereof that such owner is delinquent in the payment of the fee; provided, that such owner is delinquent in the payment of the fee; provided, that where the Tax Collector has good and sufficient evidence that the applicant has not used the bicycle prior to the date when application is made, no penalty shall be imposed in such instances; and further, provided, that the monetary penalty for non-payment of the license fee shall not be collected by the Tax Collector for the first six (6) months of the calendar year 1943.]

**SEC. 409. No [Prorating or] Refunding of Fees.** Fees paid under the provisions of this Article shall not be [prorated or] refunded.

**SEC. 410. Frame Serial Numbers—Unlawful Act.** Every licensed bicycle shall have a manufacturer's serial number stamped on its frame or, if such serial number is not on said frame or has been destroyed, mutilated or obliterated, or if such serial number is illegible or insufficient for identification purposes, the owner of said bicycle shall have stamped on its frame *by the Police Department* a number for identification purposes. It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully or maliciously remove, destroy, mutilate or alter the number of any bicycle frame.

**SEC. 411. Dismantling.** Within ten (10) days after any bicycle licensed hereunder shall have been dismantled and taken out of operation, such information shall be reported to the Tax Collector by the owner of such bicycle.

**SEC. 412. Enforcement.** The Chief of Police shall enforce the provisions of this Article and may suspend or revoke any license issued thereunder for any violation thereof, or of any of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco or provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code relating to street traffic insofar as the same are applicable, and may impound any unlicensed or improperly licensed bicycle. Any bicycle that has been so impounded and not redeemed within thirty (30) days from the date of impounding may be stored by the Chief of Police and any storage charges therefor shall be a lien and charge against said bicycle and shall be paid before such bicycle is released to the person entitled thereto. The action of the Chief of Police as to any of the matters herein referred to shall be conclusive and final. No license shall be issued to or for any person who has had a license revoked until the expiration of one (1) year from the date of revocation. The revocation or suspension of a license or the impounding of a bicycle may be in addition to other penalties provided hereunder.

**SEC. 413. Rules and Regulations to Be Adopted.** The Chief of Police and the Tax Collector are authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding bicycles as will enable the Chief of Police and the Tax Collector to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this Article.

**SEC. 414. Violations, a Misdemeanor.** It shall be unlawful for any person to violate any provision or fail to comply with any of the

requirements of this Article. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of this Article shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished as provided for in this Code.

**SEC. 415. Effective Date.** The effective date of this Article as amended is hereby made the first day of [February, 1943] January, 1947.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

**Postponement.**

Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, that consideration of Bill 4421 be continued one week. *No objection and so ordered.*

**Appropriating \$3,500 to Provide Funds for Extras in Excess of 10 Per Cent of Contract for Installation of Traffic Signal System on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue.**

Bill No. 4424, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,500 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 951.913.51 (State Highway Trust Fund, Lombard Street, Extras, Contract) to provide funds for extras in excess of 10 per cent of the contract awarded in connection with the installation of a traffic signal system on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue, in accordance with Section 3 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance No. 9.0871.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 951.913.51 (State Highway Trust Fund, Lombard Street, Extras, Contract) to the credit of Appropriation No. 951.913.02 (Contract, Abbett Electric Co.—Installation of a traffic signal system on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue from Van Ness Avenue to Lyon Street), to provide funds for extras in excess of 10 per cent of the contract awarded in connection with the installation of a traffic signal system on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue, in accordance with Section 3 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance. This contract was awarded on a unit cost price and the excess is due to the construction of additional traffic islands as ordered by the State Highway Commission.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

**Final Passage.**

**Appropriating \$3,290 From Emergency Reserve for Installation of Required Safeguards in High Pressure Pumping Station No. 1. An Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4423, Ordinance No. 4159 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,290 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Fire Department for the purpose of installing certain required safeguards in the premises of the High

Pressure Pumping Station No. 1, located at 698 Second Street, i.e., fabricating and installing catwalks, toe boards and ladders over four boilers and for furnishing and installing the necessary pipe railings, etc.; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,290 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.-900.00, Fire Department, Services of Other Departments, to provide funds for the purpose of installing certain required safeguards in the premises of the High Pressure Pumping Station No. 1, located at 698 Second Street, i.e., fabricating and installing catwalks, toe boards and ladders over four boilers and for furnishing and installing the necessary pipe railings, etc.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Necessity for compliance with the directorate of the California State Division of Industrial Safety that the Fire Commission take the necessary steps in accordance with State law to safeguard High Pressure Pumping Station No. 1 of the San Francisco Fire Department by installing certain required safeguards at said pumping station. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Acting Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Meyer—1.

#### Supervisors Excused.

Supervisors McMurray, Mead and John J. Sullivan requested to be excused.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, was presented by the Clerk:

Leave of Absence—Edward T. Haas, Member of the Park Commission.

Proposal No. 6239, Resolution No. 6018 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Acting Mayor, Honorable Edward T. Haas, a member of the Park Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence from Monday, November 18, to Thursday, November 21, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

## Revised Parking Meter Ordinance.

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4425, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The word "vehicle" as used herein shall mean any device in, upon or by which any person or property is or may be transported upon a street or highway, except those operated upon rails or tracks, or vehicles operated by any special permit issued by the City and County of San Francisco, or any department thereof.

The word "park" or "parking" when used herein shall mean the standing of a vehicle, whether occupied or not, provided that vehicles stopping or stopped temporarily for the purpose of loading or unloading passengers or merchandise shall not be deemed to be parked in so long as the process or loading or unloading continues, provided that the Police Department may regulate the time that any vehicle shall remain temporarily stopped for the purpose of loading or unloading merchandise.

The words "parking meter" when used herein shall mean any device which, when the recording dial thereof is set in motion by *or immediately following* the deposit of any coin or equivalent thereof, shall register the time that any vehicle is parked adjacent to said parking meter.

The words "loading zone" when used herein shall mean a space or section of the curb set aside for the exclusive use of loading and unloading persons, supplies and merchandise.

Section 2. The Police Commission of the City of County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to establish parking meter zones, each of which zones shall be of sufficient size to permit the parking of only one vehicle, on any street on which time limitations have heretofore been, or may hereafter be, provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, and to place upon the sidewalks adjacent to said parking zone **[such device or devices] parking meters [as the Commission shall deem proper]** which will, upon the deposit of a **[five-cent] coin or coins, [lawful money of the United States]**, set the mechanical equipment of said *parking meter* in motion, *or permit it to be set in motion*, so that said *parking meter* will accurately measure, in minutes, the period of time during which said vehicle may *legally* park in said parking meter zone **[without violating the ordinance or ordinances regulating parking upon the street on which said parking meter zone is established]**. Said parking meter shall also be constructed and mechanically equipped so that the same will upon expiration of the *said* period of time, **[commencing with the deposit of said five-cent coin and ending with the expiration of the period of time that the parking of a vehicle is, by ordinance, permitted in the block in which said parking meter space is situated,]** display a flag, sign or signal which will indicate that the permitted time for the parking of said vehicle **[as provided by the ordinance regulating parking in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated]** has expired, *and that said vehicle is thereafter illegally parked.*

Section 3. No person shall park, or stop, any vehicle in any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, except as permitted by this ordinance, without *immediately* depositing in the parking meter adjacent to said **[space] zone** a **[five-cent] coin or coins**, lawful money of the United States, *unless said parking meter indicates at the time such vehicle is parked that an unused portion remains of the period for which a coin or coins was or were previously deposited;* nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain stopped or parked in any parking meter zone beyond the time permitted by ordinance for the parking of vehicles **[in the block in which] where**



said parking meter zone is situated [without depositing in said parking meter an additional five-cent coin, and each time that said person parking said vehicle shall deposit said coin in said parking meter, his right to continue to permit said vehicle to remain parked in said space shall be extended for the period during which the parking of vehicles may be permitted in the block in which said parking meter zone is situated] or during any time when said parking meter indicates that no portion remains of the period for which the last previous coin or coins was or were deposited, except that a vehicle may be parked and remain parked in a parking meter zone without the deposit of any coin in the parking meter adjacent thereto during hours when unlimited or unrestricted parking is permitted by ordinance in the block in which said zone is situated.

Section 4. [Whenever any person shall drive or conduct any vehicle into any parking meter zone, as established under authority of this ordinance, or to which any parking meter is adjacent, or for which any parking meter has been set, erected or established, to remain stopped for any purpose except to receive or discharge passengers or merchandise as permitted by this ordinance, or within the hours during which free parking is permitted by this ordinance, he shall forthwith deposit in said meter a five-cent coin, lawful money of the United States, and on the deposit of said coin said vehicle may remain stopped or parked in said space for the period of time permitted by the ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating the parking of vehicles in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.]

*The denomination of the coin or coins that shall be deposited in parking meters, the parking time allowed following deposit of such coins and the hours during which such deposits are required shall be as fixed from time to time by resolution of the Board of Supervisors for the areas in which parking meters are now or are hereafter installed.*

Section 5. [The time allowed by ordinance of this Board for parking any vehicle in any parking meter zone may be extended by the deposit of an additional five-cent coin in said parking meter, which said deposit shall entitle the owner, driver or operator of said vehicle to remain legally parked in said parking meter zone for an additional period not to exceed the parking limit fixed by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated.

[No person shall stop or park any vehicle in said parking meter zone, except as permitted by this ordinance, without depositing said five-cent coin, lawful money of the United States, in said parking meter immediately adjacent to said zone, and no person shall permit any vehicle to remain parked in said parking meter zone beyond the period of time permitted by the ordinance regulating parking in the block within which said parking meter zone is situated without depositing in said parking meter the additional five-cent coin as hereinbefore provided for.]

*Parking meters shall be installed, maintained and repaired by the Department of Electricity except as provided in Section 14 hereof.*

Section 6. The Police Commission shall have full power and authority to allot and [indicate] cause to be indicated by suitable lines, or other means of indication the space within which any vehicle must be stopped or parked on any street or block on which parking meters are installed, as well as to select the particular part of the curb or sidewalk adjacent to said parking meter zone on which said parking meter shall be installed [and said Commission may provide for and indicate by suitable signs the hours when it will be permissible to stop or park vehicles in any block where parking meter zones are established without depositing said five-cent coin in said parking meter adjacent to said parking space. Said Commission is also au-

thorized to establish such loading zones for the loading or unloading of passengers or merchandise in any block as may be necessary for the convenience of the occupants of said block and to regulate the use of said loading zone.]

Section 7. It shall be unlawful to deposit or cause to be deposited in any parking meter any slug, device or substitute for a [five-cent] coin of the United States.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful for any unauthorized person to deface, injure, tamper with, open or wilfully break, destroy or impair the usefulness of any parking meter installed under the terms of this ordinance.

Section 9. The [five-cent] coins required to be deposited as provided herein are hereby levied as police regulation and inspection fees to cover the cost of inspection and regulation involved in the inspection, installation, operation, control and use of the parking spaces and parking meters described herein and involved in checking up and regulating the parking of vehicles in the parking meter zones created hereby, as well as for the regulation of traffic.

Section 10. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished for the first offense by a fine not to exceed fifty (\$50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than five (5) days; for a second offense within a period of one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed one hundred (\$100.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment; for a third and each additional offense committed within one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed three hundred (\$300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 11. If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 12. Any ordinance inconsistent with any of the terms and provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed, provided, however, that such repeal shall be only to the extent of such inconsistency, and in all other respects this ordinance shall be cumulative of other ordinances regulating and governing the subject matter covered by this ordinance.

Section 13. [All coins deposited in any parking meter shall be collected each day by members of the Police Department, and shall be deposited in the treasury of the City and County as required by Charter.]

*Coins deposited in parking meters shall be collected by the Tax Collector and deposited in the City and County treasury.*

Section 14. It shall be proper for the Police Commission to enter into such contracts through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies for the furnishing and installation of such number of parking meters as it shall deem proper, whenever sufficient funds shall be provided for said purpose, or said Police Commission may through said Purchaser of Supplies enter into a contract or contracts with any person, firm or corporation for the furnishing or installation of said parking meters upon the basis that the person, firm or corporation installing or furnishing the same shall be paid the cost thereof from the coins deposited in said meters, in which latter event the title to said meters

shall remain in the person, firm or corporation furnishing the same until the purchase price of said meters is paid.

Section 15. No parking meter shall be installed by said Police Department, or under its direction, unless the person, firm or corporation furnishing said parking meter shall agree to hold and save the City, its officers and employees harmless from all claims for damages of every kind and nature, arising from, or incident to, any claims or demand for any infringement of any patent or copyright covering or alleged to cover any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance and refund and pay on demand to the City or to any of its officers or employees any and all amounts which the said City or any of its officers and employees may expend in defending or prosecuting any litigation incident to any alleged patent or copyright infringement on any of said meters so installed.

Section 16. The Controller shall provide the method for accounting for all moneys taken from said parking meters.

Section 17. The Board of Supervisors reserve the right to repeal or amend this ordinance at will, and no person, firm or corporation shall have or acquire any right to maintain on the streets of San Francisco any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance beyond the effective period of this ordinance.

. *Referred to Police Committee.*

#### **Fixing Rates for Parking.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6237, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Be It Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 11,0218, as amended, the deposit of coins in parking meters and the periods of time to which such deposits shall entitle the depositors to use vehicle parking zone space and the hours during which it shall be necessary to deposit coins in parking meters on various streets shall be as follows:

#### *Polk Street Business Area.*

On both sides of Polk Street from the northerly line of Post Street to the southerly line of Green Street; and between Larkin Street and Van Ness Avenue on both sides of Sutter Street, Bush Street, Pine Street, California Street, Sacramento Street, Clay Street, and Washington Street, from 8 a. m. to 6 p. m.:

Five cents for 20 minutes.

Ten cents for 40 minutes.

Fifteen cents for 1 hour.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Adopted.**

**Commending John C. Neubauer, Executive Director of the San Francisco Boys' Club for the Past Thirty-one Years, for His Achievement in Building That Organization.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6240, Resolution No. 6019 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, John C. Neubauer, executive director of the San Francisco Boys' Club for the past thirty-one years, has signified his intention of resigning from said post effective January 31, 1947; and

Whereas, Mr. Neubauer's singular achievement in building the San Francisco Boys' Club, commencing with his association with it in 1915, from a group comprising fifty-eight members with meager assets of \$600, to a wide-flung and nationally recognized organization serving 2500 boys each year at its two local branches and at its Camp Marwedel in Mendocino County, is one of not only immeas-

urable value to the community, but one which represents the highest personal tribute to Mr. Neubauer's genius in the field of youth welfare; and

Whereas, in addition to faithfully and ably discharging his various demanding duties locally, involving not only direction of the Boys' Club but service as war emergency chairman for all Pacific Coast camps, chairman of San Francisco's disaster relief program, and general chairman of the Community Chest drive, Mr. Neubauer has found time in which to gain national recognition through his activities as national campaign chairman and member of the board of Boys' Clubs of America, and finance chairman of the National Conference of Social Work; and

Whereas, the retirement of Mr. Neubauer will result in a distinct detriment to the civic life of San Francisco, representing as it does the loss of one whose energies, personality and farsighted firm purpose have furnished a burning spark to the character-building work of the City's youth welfare program; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in grateful recognition of his outstanding career of public service, takes this opportunity on the occasion of his well-merited retirement to express to John C. Neubauer its sincere commendation and heartiest congratulations; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to have prepared and presented to Mr. John C. Neubauer a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution as a token of the high esteem and regard in which he is held by all the citizens of San Francisco, with the Board's expressed wish that he may enjoy many years of happiness and success in the pursuits which he may follow.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan—7.

Absent: Supervisors McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—4.

#### **Doctors' Liability Insurance.**

Supervisor Christopher called the Board's attention to the fact that the doctors employed by the City were paying their own liability insurance premiums, a situation which should not obtain. Supervisor Christopher told the Board members that he had the verbal assurance of Mr. T. A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, that a remedy was forthcoming. However, he suggested that legislation be enacted in order to insure the proper relief for these doctors.

*No Board action taken.*

**Referred to Committee.**

Supervisor Christopher presented Bill No. 4426, providing revised provisions for establishment and maintenance of public laundries and washhouses.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

#### **Statement of Supervisor Lewis Regarding Trip East and on Portola Pageant in San Francisco.**

Supervisor Lewis thanked the members of the Board for their kindness in permitting him to make the trip East with Supervisor Gallagher in an endeavor to prevail upon the UNO to establish their permanent headquarters in San Francisco.

Supervisor Lewis called attention to the fact that the Board had adopted a resolution some time in August and which was duly approved by the Mayor, requesting his Honor to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the purpose of putting on the Portola Pageant in San Francisco, but that nothing had been done in the matter. Mr. Lewis

expressed the fear that if a committee is not appointed soon San Francisco would be left out of the Centennial Program.

Mayor Lapham, in replying to the statement made by Supervisor Lewis, stated that such a Portola Pageant should properly have the support of those business men who would benefit by such a pageant. The Mayor said that before he left for the East he had a talk with one of the leading men in the retail industry, with a view of obtaining the sentiment of the downtown business area, which area would primarily benefit from such a pageant. The Mayor concluded by saying that he would try to reach the interested parties again.

#### Statement by the Mayor on Trip East.

Mayor Lapham rendered a detailed report on his trip East, stating that when he arrived in New York he was not at all certain that the site matter would be brought up, but thanks to the United States delegation, Flushing Meadows, New York, and the San Francisco Bay area were included for consideration as possible sites. Subsequent action included other areas in the United States.

Mayor Lapham continued, stating that a committee of fifteen delegates were scheduled to arrive in the Bay area next Sunday afternoon or evening, bringing with them a technical staff of engineers, architects and planners, together with interpreters and secretariat. The Mayor expressed appreciation of the fine work done by Belford Brown of the Haas Committee, and that he, the Mayor, was now trying to determine just how that committee intended to proceed.

The Mayor concluded by saying that the visiting committee will be shown the Crystal Lakes area, extended all courtesies possible and given whatever assistance that might be necessary to help them arrive at a solution of the problem, that of choosing a suitable site for the UNO.

#### Postponement of Consideration of Request of James Wilson of Street Railway Union, Local 1380, That Board Hold Hearing With Respect to Certain Existing Inequalities.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that it was the desire of Carmen's Local 1380, A. F. L., that the Finance Committee meeting on adjustments necessitated by passage of Charter Amendment No. 1 be held on December 4th instead of on November 27th.

The Clerk was instructed to write to the interested parties and inform them that Supervisor Mancuso had to attend a meeting of the State Chamber of Commerce on December 4th and to invite them to get in touch with Supervisor Mancuso as to the date on which he will hold the meeting.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Expressing Best Wishes to Samuel H. Holton for His Speedy Recovery.

Supervisor MacPhee informed the Board that Samuel H. Holton, an attache of the Clerk's office for many years, was ill and requested that the Board extend its sincere wishes to him for his speedy recovery.

*Carried unanimously.*

#### Street Car Tickets.

Supervisor MacPhee informed the Board that the Judiciary Committee at its meeting on Wednesday would consider the advisability of requesting the Public Utilities Commission to sell tickets to school children at reduced rates.

#### New Building Code.

Supervisor MacPhee told the Board members that the new Building Code would probably be ready for the Board's consideration in about three weeks; that the committee worked diligently on this most im-

portant piece of legislation and that there remained but two or three issues yet to be settled.

#### Master Plan for the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor MacPhee asked that the Master Plan be brought before the Board two weeks hence for consideration, and placed on the official Board calendar.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Progress Report on Urban Redevelopment.

Supervisor MacPhee requested that a progress report on the Urban Redevelopment Plan be forthcoming.

Supervisor Colman, Chairman of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, rendered a progress report, stating that the committee was awaiting word from the City Planning Commission in reply to a query concerning which portion of the City, not to exceed twenty square blocks, it deemed to be the number one spot for urban redevelopment.

#### Preservation of Cable Car as San Francisco Landmark.

Supervisor Mancuso moved privilege of the floor to a Mrs. Barnett, a citizen of San Francisco, who suggested that San Francisco preserve one of its older cable cars as one of San Francisco's landmarks.

*Matter referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### Report of Meeting of Board of Directors of County Supervisors Association of California Held in Sacramento on November 14 and 15, 1946.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he would render a report of the meeting of the Board of Directors of the County Supervisors Association of California held in Sacramento on November 14 and 15, 1946, at the next meeting of the Board.

#### State Highway Commission Meeting.

Supervisor McMurray presented the following motion:

It is moved that authorization be and is hereby granted the members of the Streets and Highways Committee of the Board to Supervisors to attend and represent said Board at the meeting of the Redwood Empire Association and the State Highway Commission to be held at Sacramento on November 21, 1946, at which time highway matters affecting the City and County of San Francisco will be discussed; provided, funds for such purpose are available.

Supervisor Colman moved, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, that the above-mentioned motion be adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—5.

#### Meeting of Public Utilities Committee.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan called a meeting of the Public Utilities Committee for Thursday, November 21, 1946, at 3:30 p. m.

#### Request of Clerk That Board Consider Certain Policies Affecting the Clerk's Office.

Mr. John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Office of the Board of Supervisors, requested that the Board members meet with him at their

convenience in order to discuss and adopt certain policies affecting his office.

The Board to recess sometime during the next meeting of the Board to give consideration to the matters suggested by the Clerk.

**ADJOURNMENT.**

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors adjourned at the hour of 5:10 p. m.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 9, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.





Monday, November 25, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 25, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Quorum present.

## City Attorney Requested to Have Representative at Board Meetings.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, that the City Attorney be requested to have a representative attend all meetings of the Board of Supervisors.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## Communications.

From 307 signators, protesting against removal of "K" street car service from Brighton and Grafton Avenues.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From Robert W. Cetchen, putting City on notice that it will be held liable for any damage to his property resulting from flooding caused by inadequate sewers.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the Recreation Commission, transmitting appraisal reports on Crystal Palace Baths property and improvements.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Jefferson-Lafayette Improvement Club, inviting attendance at its meeting November 26, 1946, at 8:30 p. m.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Library Commission, advising that a bibliography is now being assembled on the "U.S.S. San Francisco" per Board's request.

*Ordered filed.*

From the State Department of Public Health, insisting on submission of plans to abate San Francisco's present method of disposing of sewage in the raw state and securing suitable sewage treatment.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Waterfront Employers Association, report No. 10 on state of negotiations between maritime unions and the shipping industry.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Treasurer, monthly cash account, period ending October 31, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### Closing and Abandoning Portions of Linda Vista Steps Between Geneva Avenue and Chicago Way.

Hearing of all persons interested in or objecting to proposed closing and abandonment of portions of Linda Vista Steps between Geneva Avenue and Chicago Way, pursuant to Resolution No. 5964 (Series of 1939), adopted October 28, 1946.

There being no protestants, the Chair ordered the matter *referred to the Department of Public Works.*

### Closing and Abandoning a Portion of St. Joseph's Avenue.

Hearing of all persons interested in or objecting to proposed closing and abandonment of a portion of St. Joseph's Avenue, pursuant to Resolution No. 5965 (Series of 1939), adopted on October 28, 1946.

There being no protestants, the Chair ordered the matter *referred to the Department of Public Works.*

### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

**Requesting That War Assets Administration Dispose of Certain Land in Assessor's Block 19 to the City and County of San Francisco for Municipal Railway Purposes.**

Proposal No. 6209, Resolution No. 6022 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and the Director of Property, that application is hereby made to the War Assets Administration, Real Property Division, San Francisco, California, requesting that the following described Federal owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, be held for disposal to the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as a priority holder:

All of Block 19 as per the current Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco and which is designated on the plot plan of the War Assets Administration's schedule as North Beach Block 11, bounded on the north by Beach Street, on the east by Stockton Street, on the south by North Point Street and on the west by Powell Street; size 412' 6" x 275', containing 2.6 acres.

In connection with this application, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and states:

1. That the applicant is willing to pay the sum of \$113,500 for said land.

2. That said City and County is extremely desirous, and is in urgent need of said real property for an essential and vital public purpose, to wit: for Municipal Railway purposes. Said property is of vital necessity to said Municipal Railway system for use as a storage area, repair shop, garage and dispatch location for buses operated by said Municipal Railway system. Said property, if used by said municipality, and when utilized for said purposes, will greatly facilitate the operation of said buses in that it is at a convenient and efficient location, is not in a residential area and therefore its use for such purposes will not be objected to by surrounding property owners as would be the case were said facilities to be placed in a residential neighborhood. Said property is at present unimproved and consequently affords adequate

and economical opportunity for development for said purposes.

3. That the applicant will require approximately 120 days from date hereof to obtain and authorize the required funds.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*November 12, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, November 18, 1946.*

*November 18, 1946—Consideration continued until Monday, November 25, 1946.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The Chair extended the privilege of the floor to Mr. G. L. Fox, manager of the industrial department of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, who informed the members of the Board that the Chamber was greatly concerned with respect to the area's possible use for industrial purposes; that the Federal Government has declared the area as surplus property and referred it to the War Assets Administration for disposal; that there was a definite trend to absorb potential industrial sites for municipal governmental purposes; that the City had some time ago acquired area near the present site in question for a sewage disposal plant and had acquired another area of 12¼ acres for another sewage disposal plant.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis stated that the people on Fisherman's Wharf were greatly desirous of having the tracks removed and that the existing condition was injurious to their business; that the County, State and National Affairs Committee had conducted a hearing some months ago at which property owners and other interested parties on Fisherman's Wharf appeared and at which meeting a proposal was considered requesting the City Attorney, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Public Works to take such action as would render necessary and proper accessibility to Fisherman's Wharf, and that the matter, according to his understanding, was in the hands of the War Surplus Administration.

Supervisor Lewis continued, stating that it was his understanding that the Chamber of Commerce was opposed to the idea of having the tracks removed and wanted to know the Chamber's attitude on this phase of the matter.

Mr. Fox, in reply to Mr. Lewis' query, stated that there were 12¼ acres now occupied by tracks and that the Federal Government was going to remove the tracks located on Beach and North Point Streets, however, that there were certain tracks on North Point and Jefferson Streets which were being operated and will continue to be operated.

Mr. Fox continued by stating that at the present time Powell, Taylor, Jones and Mason are closed and that it is the hope of the Chamber that plans may be worked out to reopen at least Taylor Street as a means of access across the area and thus serve Fisherman's Wharf.

Supervisor MacPhee informed the Board that the Public Utilities Commission had a tough job trying to find lands for its accommodations and that the Board would make a mistake by not adopting the resolution now before the Board.

Mr. James H. Turner, Manager of Utilities, informed the Board that the Commission needed land and of necessity had to go in the industrial areas so as not to disturb a populated neighborhood; that

the Public Utilities Commission had encountered all sorts of difficulties with residents and that the Commission was now in controversy with the residents and property owners on Ocean Avenue and Phelan Avenue with regard to the construction of a bus garage and did not know whether the City would be successful.

Mr. Fox asked the Board that in the future, when matters affecting industrial sites are considered, the Chamber be given the opportunity of looking into the matter.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Proposal No. 6209 was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyers, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee—2.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

#### Passed for Second Reading.

#### Appropriating \$15,800 for Purchase of Land Required for Fire Department Purposes.

Bill No. 4407, Ordinance No. 4165 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$15,800 from the unappropriated balance in the Fire Department Reserve for land purchases to provide sufficient funds for the purchase of a lot required for fire department purposes, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$15,800 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance in the Fire Department Reserve for land purchases to the credit of Appropriation No. 91.600.10 for the purpose of purchasing a lot located at the southwest corner of Nineteenth and Folsom Streets, San Francisco, required for Fire Department purposes, and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Acting Mayor.

Approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Appropriating \$1,315 for Creation of Position, Calculating Machine Operator, San Francisco Hospital, at \$185-230 Per month; Abolishing Position of Key Punch Operator at \$160-200 Per Month in Same Department.

Bill No. 4411, Ordinance No. 4166 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,315 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B308a Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230 per month in the Department of Public

Health, San Francisco Hospital; which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B309b Key Punch Operator at \$160-200 per month in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,315 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 653.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B308a Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230 per month in the Department of Public Health, San Francisco Hospital, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B309b Key Punch Operator at \$160-200 per month in the same department is hereby abolished.

- Recommended by the Director of Public Health.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved by the Civil Service Commission.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Acting Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
 Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Hospital.**

Bill No. 4390, Ordinance No. 4164 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, Department of Public Health—San Francisco Hospital, by adding item 5.01 1 B308a Calculating Machine Operator at \$185-230 (Key Drive); and by decreasing the number of employments under item 5.1 from 2 to 1 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 58, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—  
 SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B4	Bookkeeper .....	\$210-260
1.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
1.2	1	B37	Assistant Superintendent (Administrative), San Francisco Hospital	350-420
1.3	1	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
2	11	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
3	2	B222	General Clerk (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
4	2	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
4.1	*1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
5	2	B239	Statistician .....	250-315
5.01	1	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
5.1	1	B309b	<b>Key Punch Operator</b> (numerical) .....	160-200
6	11	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
7	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
9	1	B454	Telephone Operator (relief) at rate of .....	185-230
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
12	11	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
13	5	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
14	2	E108	Electrician .....	(i) 382.50

\*Funds provided for 2½ months only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriating \$2,062.50 for Creation of Position, Head Clerk, at \$275-345 Per Month in Water Department; Abolishing Position of Senior Clerk, at \$230-290 Per Month, in Same Department.

Bill No. 4412, Ordinance No. 4167 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,062.50 out of the surplus existing in the Water Revenue Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B234 Head Clerk at \$275-345 per month in the Water Department, which position is created; abolishing the position of 1 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290 in the same department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,062.50 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existin<sup>g</sup> in the Water Revenue Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 666.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 B234 Head Clerk at \$275-345 per month in the Water Department, which position is hereby created.

Section 2. The position of 1 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290 per month in the Water Department is hereby abolished.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.



**Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, San Francisco Water Department.**

Bill No. 4386, Ordinance No. 4163 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.3, Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department (Continued), Water Sales Division—Collections, by deleting Item 17 1 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290; and by increasing the number of employments under item 18 from 1 to 2 B234 Head Clerk at \$275-345.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 74.3, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 74.3. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT  
(Continued)**

**WATER SALES DIVISION—COLLECTIONS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
15.1	1	B68	Chief Clerk .....	\$360-430
16	33	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
18	2	B234	<b>Head Clerk</b> .....	275-345
19	2	B408	General Clerk—Stenographer .....	185-230
20	2	B512	General Clerk—Typist .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Appropriating \$450 for Purchase of 1 Ozacoupler for Reproduction Bureau, Purchasing Department.**

Bill No. 4414, Ordinance No. 4168 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$450 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 633.995.00 (Reproduction Bureau, Purchasing Department) to provide funds for the purchase of 1 Ozacoupler for the Reproduction Bureau of the Purchasing Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$450 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 633.995.00 (Reproduction Bureau, Purchasing Department), to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.400.33-3, to provide funds for the purchase of 1 Ozacoupler for the Reproduction Bureau of the Purchasing Department.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

#### Regulating Subdivision of Land and Use of Lots for Dwelling Purposes.

Bill No. 4375, Ordinance No. 4162 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding Section 99 thereto, relating to the subdivision of land and the use of lots for dwelling purposes; by amending Section 100, of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, relating to building permits and by amending Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, to include reference to Section 99 as added by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding a new section to be known as Section 99, reading as follows:

**SEC. 99. (a) New Subdivisions—Lot Areas.** In all areas hereafter subdivided, where a subdivision map is to be filed for approval, all lot lines shall be shown on said map, and such lots shall conform in dimension to the neighborhood pattern as determined by the City Planning Commission. Where a neighborhood pattern does not exist, lots shall have a width of not less than 33 feet. No subdivision shall be approved which does not comply with the provisions of this section.

**(b) New Subdivisions—Lot Coverage.** No dwelling shall be constructed upon a lot in a new subdivision for which a map has been approved in accordance with the provisions of this section, which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 25 feet in depth. The provision of open spaces between dwellings on adjacent lots is optional, but where such side yards are provided, the distance between dwellings shall be not less than 6 feet.

**(c) Resubdivision.** Except as provided in Subdivisions (d) and (e) hereof, in any area previously subdivided no lot or land unit shall be established and indicated upon a map or maps filed for record which has an area less than 2500 square feet, or a width less than 25 feet, and no building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 65 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

**(d) Corner Lots—First Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a First Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, can be resubdivided into lots having a minimum width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 70 feet; provided, however, that where the City Planning Commission finds that existing conditions do not permit the establishment of the minimum depth of 70 feet such areas can be resubdivided into lots having a street frontage of not less than 25 feet and a depth not less than 57.5 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which will cover more than 75 per cent of the lot area, or which provides for an open rear yard less than 15 feet in depth.

**(e) Corner Lots—Second Residential Districts.** In any area previously subdivided in a Second Residential District, the area lying within 100 feet of the corner of a block, measured along each street from such corner, may be resubdivided into lots having a minimum

width of 25 feet and a minimum depth of 57.6 feet. No building shall be constructed upon any such lot which provides an open rear yard of a depth less than set forth in the table given in Section 15682 of Article II, Chapter 7 of the California State Housing Act.

(f) **Lots Now of Record Excepted.** Wherever a map showing subdivided lots is of record in the office of the Recorder or the office of the Assessor at the time of the enactment of this section, any lot as shown having dimensions less than those required by this section may, nevertheless, be used as the site for a dwelling, provided that the requirements as to coverage and rear yards of Subdivision (d) shall apply thereto.

(g) **Variances.** The City Planning Commission may, upon application, grant variances from any of the provisions of this section, after public notice and hearings, if it is of the opinion that special circumstances exist in the particular case, and that unnecessary hardship would result from the strict interpretation and enforcement of such provision. The procedure governing such applications, and the granting or denial of such variances, shall be the same as that prescribed by ordinance for zoning changes.

Section 2. Section 100 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 100. Building Permits.** Building permits for the erection or alteration of any building or structure, shall be issued by the Central Permit Bureau only after approval by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation and the City Planning Commission and shall not be issued contrary to the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 or *Section 99* of Article 4 of this Chapter.

Each application for a building permit hereafter filed with the Central Permit Bureau shall be accompanied by a statement as to the use of the building to be constructed or altered on blanks to be furnished by the Central Permit Bureau. On each application there shall be shown an accurate block plan of the location of the building on the lot drawn to a scale of sixteen (16) feet to one (1) inch.

Section 3. Sections 101 and 102 of Article 4, Chapter II, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, are hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 101. Interpretation—Purpose.** In interpreting and applying the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article, they shall be held to be the minimum requirements adopted for the promotion of the public health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare. It is not intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1 of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article to repeal, abrogate, annul or in any way to impair or interfere with any existing provision of law or ordinance or any rules, regulations or permits previously adopted or issued or which shall be adopted or issued pursuant to the law relating to the use of buildings or premises; nor is it intended by Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article to interfere with or abrogate or annul any easement, covenant or other agreements between parties; provided, however, that where Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article imposes a greater restriction upon the use of buildings or premises than is imposed or required by such existing provisions of law or ordinance or by such rules, regulations or permits or by such easements, covenants or agreements, the provisions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and *Sections 99* and 100 of this Article shall control.

**SEC. 102. Enforcement.** It shall be the duty of the Department of Public Works, Department of Public Health, Police Department, Fire Department and Department of Electricity to enforce the pro-

visions of Sections 1 to 14, inclusive, of Article 1, of this Chapter and Sections 99 and 100 of this Article.

Recommended by the City Planning Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Monday, October 21, 1946—Consideration postponed until Monday, October 28, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Police Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

#### Amending Fire Code by Permitting Certain Minor Automotive Repairs by Gasoline Supply Stations.

Bill No. 4335, Ordinance No. 4161 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to services permitted by gasoline supply stations, by providing that such stations may make certain minor repairs, adjustments or replacements to motor vehicles and providing that equipment shall be properly housed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV (Fire Code), Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 336. Services Permitted.** The sale of lubricating oils, greases, tires, batteries and other accessories, the cleaning, oiling and greasing of automobiles, the minor servicing and adjusting of brakes and electrical equipment, and the adjusting of fan belt tension, the removal and installation of lamp globes, the focusing of head lamps by adjustment only, cleaning and adjusting of spark plugs, installation of new spark plugs, removal and installation of oil filter or filtering element, installation of new wind shield wiper blades, removal and cleaning of air filters, removal and installation of radiator hose without removal of radiator or water pump, removal and installation of battery or battery cables, replenishing brake fluid in brake supply tank, cleaning and adjusting distributor points, adjusting of carburetor, installation of new fan belts, increasing or decreasing generator charging rate by adjustment, and miscellaneous minor servicing and adjusting, and the servicing and repairing of tires and batteries shall be permitted upon any premises primarily used as a gasoline supply station, but no repairs or reconditioning of the chassis, motors, engines, bodies, or fenders of automobiles, motor vehicles, motor boats, launches or other motor-propelled vessels, shall be permitted thereon. The storage, keeping or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles shall be permitted upon any premises used as a gasoline supply station, provided, however, that no automobile or motor vehicle shall be permitted to be stored or parked within twenty (20) feet of the gasoline dispensing units except while being serviced with gasoline, oil, air and water, and battery testing; and provided further that the storage or parking of automobiles or motor vehicles for other than the above stated services, shall be prohibited unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the Fire Department as required elsewhere in this Municipal Code for automobile parking stations; and provided that where such automobile parking station is

operated in conjunction with or immediately adjacent to a gasoline supply station that portion of the fence required for automobile parking stations which would separate the automobile parking station from the gasoline supply station may be omitted, and any entrance or exit of the gasoline supply station may be used as the entrance or exit of the automobile parking station.

All equipment for the washing of automobiles shall be properly housed and said washing conducted in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department may make regarding the same.

All automobiles shall be spaced and parked in a manner approved by the Chief of the Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation of the San Francisco Fire Department.

It shall be a violation of this section for any gasoline supply station to display or cause to be displayed a sign or signs in or upon the premises of a gasoline supply station stating that any services other than those authorized hereunder, or to advertise, are or will be performed upon said premises unless pursuant to a permit obtained from the Fire Department as required by law.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

November 18, 1946—*Consideration continued to November 25, 1946.*

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mead moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Clayton W. Horn, attorney for the Service Stations, who stated that he had discussed Bill No. 4335 with his clients and it was decided to withdraw all opposition to the pending measure as now constituted.

#### Objection to Passage.

Supervisor Mead objected to the passage of Bill No. 4335 on the grounds that its enactment would make a garage out of every service station and that he did not desire to bring about such a condition.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Bill No. 4335 was *Finally* passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by Changing the Title Thereof to Read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and Providing for the Performance of Additional Services as Set Forth in Section 336 of the Fire Code.**

Bill No. 4334, Ordinance No. 4160 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, pertaining to the licensing of automobile supply stations, by amending the title thereof to read "Gasoline Supply Stations," and providing for the performance of additional services as set forth in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 93, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 93. Gasoline Supply Stations.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating a gasoline supply station under a permit from the Fire Department,

shall pay a license fee of Six Dollars and Twenty-five Cents (\$6.25) per quarter for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, or performing additional services as provided for in Section 336, Article 10, Chapter IV, Part II, of this Code, shall pay an additional license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

November 18, 1946—*Consideration continued to November 25, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Adopted.

Present: Supervisor Mancuso.

The following from Finance Committee were taken up:

**Authorizing the Mayor to Negotiate With the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Acquiring, Developing, Administering and Disposing Approximately One Hundred Twenty (120) Temporary Housing Units at Hunter's Point.**

Proposal No. 6234, Resolution No. 6023 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there exists in the City and County of San Francisco an acute shortage of temporary housing for veterans and their families and families of servicemen as a result of war-time conditions; and

Whereas, certain dormitories at Hunter's Point operated by the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco are being closed and said dormitories, if converted, would furnish approximately One Hundred Twenty (120) units for the housing of veterans and families of servicemen; and

Whereas, in the interest of public peace, health and safety the City and County of San Francisco has determined that it is necessary to furnish the assistance required in order to obtain needed temporary housing for veterans and families of servicemen; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into negotiations, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purposes of acquiring, developing, administering and disposition of approximately One Hundred Twenty (120) emergency housing units at Hunter's Point for veterans and families of servicemen in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 29 of the Fifty-sixth (First Extraordinary) Session of the Legislature of the State of California and amendments thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That any agreement resulting from such negotiations shall provide that applicants for housing in the aforesaid units shall be housed according to their seniority on one master waiting list, without regard to race, color or creed.

Recommended and approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

## Discussion.

Mr. Eneas Kane, Public Service Director in the Mayor's office, informed the Board that it had passed, some months ago, a resolution authorizing the Mayor to negotiate with the San Francisco Housing Authority for the purpose of acquiring some two thousand temporarily converted housing units for veterans and their families; that negotiations were carried out by the Mayor and ultimately an agency agreement was entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the Housing Authority, whereby the Housing Authority made application to the United States Federal Agency and was allowed to act as administrative agent for the City and County of San Francisco in the handling of the applications and selection of tenants and in the management of the entire project, which was believed to comprise 2000 units; and that the latest development was that the Federal Public Housing Agency could not make available to this area the entire 2000 units, but did make available some 1263 units.

Mr. Kane continued by saying that the proposal before the Board would enable the Mayor to enter into negotiations with the San Francisco Housing Authority for the purpose of providing additional housing units; that the financing for the original 2000 units and the allotment of 1263 units was done on a City, State and federal basis; that the federal agency now has informed the City that they have no funds with which to complete the agreement and that the City and state will have to take care of the financing; that the cost of converting the dormitories at Hunter's Point will be some \$33,000; that it will be necessary for the City to appropriate \$36,000 as its share on a 90-10 basis arrangement with the state and the state would provide a sum in excess of \$300,000.

Mr. Kane concluded by stating that the effect of the proposals before the Board—namely, Proposal 6234 and Proposal 6255—was merely one of exploration and determination.

Supervisor MacPhee asserted that while he had no objection to public housing, he believed that private enterprise was the logical agency to construct the 2000 units in question and the only reason private capital was not doing so was due to lack of material.

The Mayor stated to the members of the Board that the measure before it was merely exploratory and while he did not like the idea of temporary housing, the fact remained that such construction was a necessity in view of existing conditions.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Proposal No. 6234 was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing the Mayor to Negotiate With the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the Purpose of Acquiring, Developing, Administering and Disposing of 2,000 Additional Temporary Converted Family Dwellings for Veterans and Families of Servicemen in Said City and County.**

Proposal No. 6255, Resolution No. 6033 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there exists in the City and County of San Francisco an acute shortage of temporary housing for veterans and their families and families of servicemen as a result of war-time conditions; and

Whereas, in the interest of public peace, health and safety the City and County of San Francisco has determined that it is necessary to furnish the assistance required in order to obtain needed temporary

housing for veterans and families of servicemen; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to enter into negotiations, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the Housing Authority of the City and County of San Francisco for the purposes of acquiring, developing, administering and disposing of 2,000 additional temporary converted family dwellings for veterans and families of servicemen in said City and County, in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 29 of the Fifty-sixth (First Extraordinary) Session of the Legislature of the State of California and amendments thereto; and be it

Further Resolved, That any agreement resulting from such negotiations shall provide that applicants for housing in the aforesaid units shall be housed according to their seniority on one master waiting list, without regard to race, color or creed.

Recommended and approved by the Mayor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Amendment Defeated.

Supervisor Sullivan moved that the "Further Resolved" as outlined in Proposal No. 6255 be deleted. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, MacPhee, Mancuso, J. Joseph Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—6.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan moved, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, that the words in the "Further Resolved" in Proposal No. 6255, reading as follows: "according to their seniority on one master waiting list," be deleted.

#### Motion Withdrawn.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan, with the consent of his second, withdrew his motion.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Whereupon, the roll was called and Proposal No. 6255 was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the State of California for Bayshore Freeway.

Proposal No. 6242, Resolution No. 6024 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney, and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel the second installment of the real property taxes on the land for the year 1945-46 which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1945, and both installments of the 1946-47 taxes which became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1946, on the following described property:



<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>	1946-7 <i>Taxes on Land</i>	1945-6 <i>Taxes on Land</i>	1945-6 <i>Penalty on Land</i>	1945-6 <i>Costs on Land</i>
4011	21a	\$42.18	\$18.35	\$0.55	\$0.50
3976	16	48.84			
4139	8	23.32	10.14	0.30	0.50
4139	20	22.20			

Said land has been acquired by the State of California, the improvements having been retained by the owners.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Acceptance of Quitclaim Deed From Oceanic Oil Company and Harry H. Magee to Certain Land in Kern County, California.**

Proposal No. 6243, Resolution No. 6025 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Resolution No. 3767 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on January 3, 1944, and approved by the Mayor on January 4, 1944, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as lessor, entered into a certain oil and gas lease, dated February 1, 1944, with Oceanic Oil Company, a corporation, as lessee, of a certain City-owned 40-acre tract of land in Section 22, T. 29 S., R. 21 E., M. D. B. & M., Kern County, California; and

Whereas, subsequently, the Oceanic Oil Company assigned to Independent Exploration Company a one-half interest in and to said lease and, at a later date, Independent Exploration Company assigned its one-half interest in lease to Harry H. Magee; and

Whereas, the lessees have drilled and completed two oil wells on subject property, the last well having been completed on May 11, 1946; and

Whereas, under the terms of said lease, it was incumbent upon the lessees to either drill another well on the land on or before November 11, 1946, or to quitclaim to the City and County of San Francisco the undrilled portion of said 40-acre tract; and

Whereas, the lessees advised the Director of Property that they did not wish to drill any additional wells on the leased property and have delivered to the Director of Property a fully executed quitclaim deed dated November 5, 1946, relinquishing to the City the northerly 20 acres of the east one-half of the west one-half of the northwest one-quarter of said Section 22; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property, that the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept the above mentioned quitclaim deed. The Director of Property shall record said deed in the Office of the County Recorder, Kern County, California.

It is understood that the lessees are retaining their leasehold interest in and to the balance of said 40-acre tract of land.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Leona Senn.**

Proposal No. 6244, Resolution No. 6026 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Leona Senn, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the County of Madera, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County of San Francisco on real property belonging to said Leona Senn; and

Whereas, said Leona Senn, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Refund to Shell Oil Company of Its Contribution for the Victory Garden Service.**

Proposal No. 6245, Resolution No. 6027 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Bill No. 2817, Ordinance No. 2678 (Series of 1939), his Honor the Mayor accepted gifts of money and merchandise to be used for the purpose of the Victory Garden Service and as prizes in contests and exhibitions for amateur victory gardeners in San Francisco; and

Whereas, said ordinance provided that all merchandise or orders for merchandise received shall be held in trust by his Honor the Mayor, as Commander of the Citizens Service Corps, and used for the purpose for which given; and

Whereas, a public-spirited contribution was made by the Shell Oil Company in the amount of \$500 to be used in purchasing prizes for Victory Gardens, of which amount \$387.50 was spent for such purpose; and

Whereas, the Victory Garden Service has been discontinued and there remains a balance of \$112.50, representing the unused portion of their total contribution of \$500; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be and he is hereby authorized to make refund to the Shell Oil Company in the amount of \$112.50, representing the unused balance of their contribution for prizes for Victory Gardens, with an expression of the grateful appreciation of the City and County of San Francisco for said Company's generous and public-spirited gesture.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6247, Resolution No. 6028 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with letter dated November 19, 1946, from the Director of said Depart-

ment, and containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, including aid denials, new applications, increases, discontinuances, and other transactions, effective June 1, August 1, September 1, October 1, and November 1, 1946, or as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for  
Month of December, 1946.**

Proposal No. 6248, Resolution No. 6029 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with letter dated November 19, 1946, from the Director of said Department, and containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, for the month of December, 1946, including increases and decreases, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-  
Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6250, Resolution No. 6030 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated November 25, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of December, 1946, and January, 1947, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 6252, Resolution No. 6031 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

1. Lillie Wolf, fiscal year 1945-46, Lots 8 and 9, Block 712, 1st installment: paid \$170.21; tax \$152.15 . . . . .	\$ 18.06
2. Henry Stern, fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 67A, Block 1270, 1st installment \$34.05; 2nd installment \$34.05 . . . . .	68.10
3. City Title Insurance Co., fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 16, Block 2444, 2nd installment . . . . .	15.70
4. Lillie Wolf, fiscal year 1945-46, Lot 2 (½ interest), Block 3731, 1st installment \$174.73; 2nd installment \$174.73 . . . . .	349.46
5. H. B Wiley, fiscal year 1946-47, Lot 16, Block 3787, 1st installment: paid \$103.85; tax \$22.20 . . . . .	81.65
6. V. Pardini, fiscal year 1945-56, Lot 1, Block 6146, 1st installment \$181, second installment \$1.81 . . . . .	3.62
7. Oregon-Nevada-California Fast Freight Inc., fiscal year 1946-47, unsecured personal property, bill E1238 . . . . .	193.78

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

1. Eva M. Mehegan, Overcharge on redemption 5/20/46 29/2439. . . . .	2.78
2. Andrew M. Thorell, Redemption March 27, 1946, Lot 4, Block 7050, overcharge. . . . .	47.22

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.****Authorizing Compromise of Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd.**

Bill No. 4427, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended, and the City Attorney having approved the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd, being recovery of loss by said City and County of San Francisco on account of personal injuries sustained by Pauletta Baugh on the 29th day of July, 1945, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of the employment of said Pauletta Baugh as motorette on a Municipal Railway streetcar of the City and County of San Francisco when said streetcar was struck by the automobile operated by Norma Lloyd and owned by Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd at Church and Sixteenth Streets in the City and County of San Francisco, the loss to said City and County being \$346.15 including compensation paid while said Pauletta Baugh was absent from her employment and the cost of medical and hospital services provided; and the said Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the amount of \$175, the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim for said amount of \$175.

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Settlement approved and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Appropriating \$900 Contractual Services, Steinhart Aquarium, and \$415.60 From General Fund Compensation Reserve to Increase Salary Rates for Personnel to Conform to Rates Paid Civil Service Employees.

Bill No. 4428, Ordinance No . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$900 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 619.200.00, Contractual Services, Steinhart Aquarium, and the sum of \$415.60 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds to increase salary rates for personnel employed in the Steinhart Aquarium on a contractual basis so as to bring their salaries in line with rates paid civil service employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$900 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 619.200.00, Contractual Services, Steinhart Aquarium, and the sum of \$415.60 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, to the credit of Appropriation No. 619.200.00, to provide funds for the purpose of increasing salary rates for the following personnel employed at the Steinhart Aquarium on a contractual basis in order to bring their salaries in line with rates paid civil service employees:

	Monthly Salary Now Provided	Proposed Monthly Salary	Additional Amount Required 7 Months
1 General Clerk-Stenographer, p. t.	\$ 70.50	\$79	\$ 59.50
1 Senior Clerk-Stenographer, p. t.	75.00	79	28.00
1 Dressing Room Maid, p. t.		75¢ hr. 80¢ hr.	19.60
1 Gallery Attendant, p. t.	62.00	64-68	34.00
1 Senior Librarian, p. t.	54.00	60-62.50	54.50
1 Director, Steinhart Aquarium	250.00	275	175.00
1 Curator, Aquatic Biology	310.00	355-375	415.00
2 Aquatic Biologic Assistants: 1 @ 240 (a @ 270-283			530.00
1 @ 250 (			
Total			<u>\$1,315.60</u>

Recommended by the Director, Steinhart Aquarium.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

## Final Passage.

Appropriating \$3,716.25 From Surplus, Water Revenue, for Modification of SFWD Contract 437—Harvesting Sunol Orchard Walnut Crop, Which Modification Is in Excess of 10 Per Cent of Estimated Contract Price; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4429, Ordinance No. 4169 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,716.25 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00, Surplus, Water Revenue, to provide additional funds in the Water Department for modification of SFWD Contract No. 437—Harvesting Sunol Orchard Walnut Crop, which modification is in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,716.25 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 66.990.00, Surplus, Water Revenue, to the Credit of Appropriation No. 666.200.00-1, to provide additional funds in the Water Department for modification of SFWD Contract No. 437—Harvesting Sunol Orchard Walnut Crop, which modification is in excess of 10 per cent of the certified estimated contract price.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Immediate necessity for harvesting an additional 25 tons of walnuts to safeguard the property of the City and County of San Francisco and to minimize loss of revenues to said City and County.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

## Passed for Second Reading.

Appropriating \$2,500 From Water Revenue Fund for Employment of Special Counsel in That Action Pending in Superior Court Entitled: Holm v. City & County of San Francisco.

Bill No. 4430, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 out of the surplus in Appropriation No. 666.990.00, Surplus, Water Revenue Fund, to provide funds for the employment of special counsel, pursuant to the provisions of Section 126 of the Charter, for the specific purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco in the trial of that certain action pending in the local Superior Court entitled: Holm v. City and County of San Francisco, No. 357476.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666,990.00, Surplus, Water Revenue Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666,266.00, to provide funds for the employment of special counsel, pursuant to the provisions of Section 126 of the Charter, for the specific purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco in the trial of that certain action pending in the local Superior Court entitled: Holm v. City and County of San Francisco, No. 357476.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk read copy of a resolution adopted by the Public Utilities Commission, wherein that honorable body retained the services of Attorney-at-Law Marshall Leahy to defend the City's interests in the suit entitled: *Holm v. City and County of San Francisco*, pending in Superior Court; that the total amount to be expended in defending the City's interest was not to exceed \$2,500.

Supervisor Lewis objected to the retention of Mr. Leahy on the grounds that he felt that an outside attorney should have been employed, not one who a short time ago was employed in the District Attorney's office; that an attorney who has had no connection whatever with the City would not cause criticism, which might occur in the present case.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he was inclined to agree with Supervisor Lewis' views, that an outside attorney should have been obtained and that there were many attorneys who would have been glad to handle the case.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he knew of at least half a dozen attorneys who would have been happy to take the case and not charge the City over a thousand dollars.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that the Board erred in adopting the practice of waiving the statute of limitations and in giving passage to the legislation under consideration they would be opening the door for future requests of City employees for the privilege of such waiving.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Bill No. 4430 was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—7.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis—3.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

**Amending Part I, Article 1 of the Municipal Code, Authorizing Library Department to Become Member of the San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association.**

Bill No. 4436, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Part I, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 20, authorizing the Library Department to become a member of the San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association; providing for payment of annual expenses of said membership.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Part I, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 20, reading as follows:

Sec. 20. Library Department Authorized to Become Member of San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association. It being for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Library Department thereof become a member of the San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association, for and on behalf of said City and County, said Library Department is therefore authorized and directed to join said Association and to represent said City and County therein. The annual expense of said membership shall be allowed and paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Referred to Committee.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

Amending San Francisco Municipal Code With Respect to Licensing, Regulating, etc., Bicycles.

Bill No. 4421, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Article 6, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by amending Sections 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 407, 408, 409, 410 and 415 thereof and reenacting Sections 406, 411, 412, 413 and 414 thereof, relating to bicycles, the use, licensing, registration and transfer thereof, for enforcement of said article and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 6, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended, by amending Sections 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 407, 408, 409, 410 and 415 thereof and reenacting Sections 406, 411, 412, 413 and 414 thereof, to read as follows:

## ARTICLE 6

### BICYCLES

- SEC. 400. Definitions.
- SEC. 401. License required—Exception.
- SEC. 402. Application.
- SEC. 403. Issuance of license—Fee.
- SEC. 404. License tags—Owner to affix on bicycle.
- SEC. 405. Registration cards.
- SEC. 406. Transfer of license.
- SEC. 407. Transfer fee—Duplicate license fee.
- SEC. 408. License period.
- SEC. 409. No refunding of fees.
- SEC. 410. Frame serial numbers—Unlawful act.
- SEC. 411. Dismantling.
- SEC. 412. Enforcement.
- SEC. 413. Rules and regulations to be adopted.
- SEC. 414. Violations, a misdemeanor.
- SEC. 415. Effective date.



NOTE—*Italics* indicates amendments; **blackface** in brackets [ ] indicates deletions.

SEC. 400. **Definitions.** As used in this Article, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them:

(a) **Bicycle.** A vehicle having two (2) wheels set tandem, propelled by human power applied through pedals, and designed for seating and carrying one or more persons, the number being determined by the number of seats built onto the vehicle by the manufacturer thereof, *except sidewalk model bicycles with wheel diameter of less than 20 inches over all, including tires.*

(b) **Person.** Any person, firm, corporation, company or association.

(c) **Owner.** That person, firm, corporation, company or association in whose name the title of the bicycle is vested.

[**(d) Rental Agency.** Any person, firm, corporation, company or association engaged in the business of offering for rental or renting a bicycle for use by the public, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business.]

(d) **Operator.** That person who is actually engaged in the act of propelling, guiding or handling the bicycle at any given time.

SEC. 401. **License Required—Exception.** It shall be unlawful for any person [or rental agency] to operate or use or permit to be operated or used any bicycle on the streets and highways of the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining from the Tax Collector a license therefor, [and] unless such bicycle is properly registered and tagged. Provided, however, that an owner of a bicycle having a valid license attached to same, which license was issued by a municipality other than the City and County of San Francisco, may use or operate such bicycle in the City and County of San Francisco during the period of time said license is valid without being required to obtain a license as provided for in this Article; and provided further, that this exception shall not apply to any bicycle owned or operated [by a rental agency or] by a resident of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 402. **Application.** Application for a license shall be made to the Tax Collector in writing upon blanks provided by him, which shall be signed by the applicant and contain the name, [date of birth, physical description,] and address of the owner, [together with] a [complete] description of the bicycle *and such other information as the Tax Collector or the Chief of Police may require.* [The application of a rental agency shall contain the name and address of the agency, the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, and the number and make of the bicycles proposed to be rented, together with the frame numbers of such bicycles.]

SEC. 403. **Issuance of License—Fee.** Upon receipt by the Tax Collector of the application hereinbefore provided and the payment of a license fee of *Fifty (50c) Cents* [a year] for each bicycle therein set forth, the Tax Collector shall issue the applicant a license tag with a sealing device and a registration card for each such bicycle, together with a receipt for the fee paid.

SEC. 404. **License Tag—Owner to Affix on Bicycle.** The license tag issued as a part of the license shall be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, and each tag shall [clearly show the year for which issued,] have stamped thereon the letters "SFBL," and shall be consecutively numbered. [License tags for use by rental agencies shall, in addition to the above requirements, have the serial number thereon prefixed by the letter "R."] It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a bicycle subject to the provisions of this Article to operate or use or permit to be operated

or used any such bicycle on the streets or highways of the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license tag to said bicycle by means of the accompanying seal and securely fastening said license tag to *the* [either] end of the frame of the bicycle [in such a manner as to prevent the tag from swinging] *immediately beneath the seat*. Such license tag shall be maintained free from foreign materials and in a condition to be clearly legible.

**SEC. 405. Registration Cards.** Registration cards shall be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, shall be in [quintuplicate] *quadruplicate*, serially numbered, and shall contain the name, [date of birth, physical description,] and address of the owner, [together with] the number of the license tag issued, the manufacturer's trade name of the bicycle and its frame number, *and such other information from the application for a license as the Police Chief shall require*, and shall be provided with a blank space for the signature of the licensee. [Registration cards issued rental agencies shall be serially numbered, and shall contain the name and address of the agency, the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, the number of the license tag issued, the manufacturer's trade name of the bicycle and its frame number, and shall be provided with a blank space for the signature of the licensee.] The owner or operator of a *licensed* bicycle [on the streets or highways of the City and County of San Francisco] shall keep available the registration card for such bicycle and shall produce such card for inspection whenever it may be demanded by a police officer or a deputy [license] tax collector. The Tax Collector shall forward to the Chief of Police [the duplicate and triplicate] *two* copies of each registration card within twenty-four (24) hours after issuing same; [the quadruplicate] *one* copy shall be retained by the Tax Collector for his records [and the quintuplicate copy shall be mailed by the Tax Collector to the licensee within thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date of the license as a notice for renewal.]

**SEC. 406. Transfer of License.** It shall be the duty of every person who sells or transfers ownership of a licensed bicycle to report such sale or transfer within ten (10) days thereafter by returning to the Tax Collector the registration card issued to such person as licensee thereof, together with the name and address of the person to whom said bicycle was sold or transferred. It shall be the duty of the purchaser or transferee of such bicycle to apply to the Tax Collector for a transfer of registration therefor within ten (10) days after said sale or transfer.

**SEC. 407. Transfer Fee—Duplicate License Fee.** For each transfer of ownership of a licensed bicycle or for the issuing of a duplicate license tag or registration card for a lost or destroyed tag or card, the Tax Collector shall collect a fee of [Fifty (50c)] *twenty-five* Cents (25c).

**SEC. 408. License Period.** All bicycle licenses, including tags and registration cards, issued under the provisions of this Article shall be *valid and in effect for the life of the bicycle for which each is issued* [date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one (1) year from the aforesaid date. Before issuing a license, the Tax Collector shall collect from the owner thereof, if he has failed to obtain such license in the month of January, or in case of failure to obtain a transfer of registration within the time specified, a penalty of Twenty-five (25c) Cents per month or fraction thereof that such owner is delinquent in the payment of the fee; provided, that such owner is delinquent in the payment of the fee; provided, that where the Tax Collector has good and sufficient evidence that the applicant has not used the bicycle prior to the date when application is made, no penalty shall be imposed in such instances; and further, provided, that the monetary penalty for non-payment of the license fee shall not be collected by the Tax Collector for the first six (6) months of the calendar year 1943.]

SEC. 409. **No [Prorating or] Refunding of Fees.** Fees paid under the provisions of this Article shall not be [prorated or] refunded.

SEC. 410. **Frame Serial Numbers—Unlawful Act.** Every licensed bicycle shall have a manufacturer's serial number stamped on its frame or, if such serial number is not on said frame or has been destroyed, mutilated or obliterated, or if such serial number is illegible or insufficient for identification purposes, the owner of said bicycle shall have stamped on its frame *by the Police Department* a number for identification purposes. It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully or maliciously remove, destroy, mutilate or alter the number of any bicycle frame.

SEC. 411. **Dismantling.** Within ten (10) days after any bicycle licensed hereunder shall have been dismantled and taken out of operation, such information shall be reported to the Tax Collector by the owner of such bicycle.

SEC. 412. **Enforcement.** The Chief of Police shall enforce the provisions of this Article and may suspend or revoke any license issued thereunder for any violation thereof, or of any of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco or provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code relating to street traffic insofar as the same are applicable, and may impound any unlicensed or improperly licensed bicycle. Any bicycle that has been so impounded and not redeemed within thirty (30) days from the date of impounding may be stored by the Chief of Police and any storage charges therefor shall be a lien and charge against said bicycle and shall be paid before such bicycle is released to the person entitled thereto. The action of the Chief of Police as to any of the matters herein referred to shall be conclusive and final. No license shall be issued to or for any person who has had a license revoked until the expiration of one (1) year from the date of revocation. The revocation or suspension of a license or the impounding of a bicycle may be in addition to other penalties provided hereunder.

SEC. 413. **Rules and Regulations to Be Adopted.** The Chief of Police and the Tax Collector are authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding bicycles as will enable the Chief of Police and the Tax Collector to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this Article.

SEC. 414. **Violations, a Misdemeanor.** It shall be unlawful for any person to violate any provision or fail to comply with any of the requirements of this Article. Any person violating any of the provisions or failing to comply with any of the mandatory requirements of this Article shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished as provided for in this Code.

SEC. 415. **Effective Date.** The effective date of this Article *as amended* is hereby made the first day of [February, 1943] January, 1947.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

November 18, 1946—*Consideration continued to November 25, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

Lt. Meehan of the San Francisco Police Department informed the Board that it was the desire of the Department to either retain the present bicycle ordinance calling for the annual renewal fee or that no legislation be enacted on the subject.

Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, stated that the proposed legislation had the recommendation of the Tax Collector; that he did not know of the objection of the Police Department, and that in view of such objection, he suggested that the matter be referred to committee.

Referred to Committee.

Whereupon, Supervisor MacPhee, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that Bill No. 4421 be referred to Finance Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Adopted.

The following from Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Meyer.

Approving Map of Valerton Court, Accepting a Deed for Street Area and Dedicating Same as an Open Public Street.

Proposal No. 6204, Resolution No. 6021 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain map entitled "Map of Valerton Court, San Francisco, Cal." composed of one sheet approved the 1st day of November, 1946, by Department of Public Works Order No. 25227 be and the same is hereby adopted as the official subdivision map of Valerton Court; and

Further Resolved, That the parcel of land delineated and designated thereon as Valerton Court within the boundaries of said subdivision not previously dedicated is hereby accepted on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and declared to be an open public street dedicated to public use to be known by the name of Valerton Court; and

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby accept on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco that certain deed from Thomas Valerga and Hazel Valerga, his wife, Albert H. Elkington and Marion F. Elkington, his wife, granting to the City and County of San Francisco all the land comprising Valerton Court as above referred to and as shown on said map; and

Further Resolved, That the certain certified check in the amount of one hundred eighty-eight and 70/100 dollars (\$188.70) made payable to the City and County of San Francisco conditioned for the payment of all taxes or special assessments collected as taxes which are at the time of filing said map a lien against the land or any part thereof as shown on said map, but not yet payable, is hereby approved and accepted, the sum of \$188.70 being the amount of said taxes as estimated by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Closing and Abandoning Charlestown Place From a Line 137 Feet 6 Inches Northwesterly From the Northwesterly Line of Harrison Street to Its Northwesterly Termination.

Proposal No. 6253, Resolution No. 6032 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on the 7th day of October, 1946, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly adopted Resolution No. 5899 (Series of 1939), being a resolution of intention to close

Charlestown Place, which resolution was approved October 9, 1946, and being in words and figures as follows:

Whereas, the Clerk of this board did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution and said Department of Public Works did upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of 10 days in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said closing and abandonment to be done as described in said Resolution No. 5899; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said closing and abandonment; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Charlestown Place between a line 137.50 feet northwesterly from Harrison Street and its northwesterly termination be, and is hereby closed and abandoned.

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Recorder and to the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco and that said Recorder and said Director of Public Works are hereby instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Referred to Committee.

#### Intention to Close and Abandon Tovar Avenue Between the County Line and the Shore Line.

Proposal No. 6254, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon Tovar Avenue commencing at the County Line of the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo and running thence northwesterly to the Shore Line at ordinary high tide, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

The closing and abandonment of said Tovar Avenue shall be done and be made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 16th day of December, 1946, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

Before the final closing of Tovar Avenue the abutting property owners shall pay the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of \$100 to defray the cost of advertising and other expenses incidental to said closing.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of Tovar Avenue in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law.

Mr. C. V. Patterson, Engineer for the Department of Public Works and representing Mr. H. C. Vensano, Director, stated that the depart-

ment objected to the proposed closing and abandonment of Tovar Avenue.

Whereupon, Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, that Proposal No. 6254 be referred to Streets Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Passed for Second Reading.

Granting Spur Track Permit to Alchar California Corporation.

Bill No. 4413, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a spur track and loading platform in Bancroft Avenue between Mendell Street and Third Street and repealing Ordinance No. 4039 (Series of 1939).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a spur track in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue, the center line of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of Southern Pacific Company existing drill track in Mendell Street said point being distant 30 feet, more or less, from the intersection of said center line with the prolongation of the southerly line of Armstrong Avenue; thence diverging from said existing track through a No. 7 turnout in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street for a distance of 62 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing along and across Mendell Street in a southeasterly direction on a tangent for a distance of 105 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street and along and across Bancroft Avenue, on a curve concave to the left, having a radius of 249.22 feet for a distance of 228 feet, more or less, to a point in Bancroft Avenue, said point being distant 19.25 feet, measured at right angles in a northerly direction from the southerly line of Bancroft Avenue; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along Bancroft Avenue on a tangent parallel to said southerly line of Bancroft Avenue for a distance of 469 feet, more or less, to a point 45 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Third Street and the end of proposed track.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (New Series), now codified as Sections 555 to 570 inclusive of Article 11, Chapter 10, Part 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all provisions and conditions contained in said sections are hereby made a part of this permit as if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. The Alchar California Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night light arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Bureau of Light, Heat, and Power of the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 4. All work shall be done to the satisfaction and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works, and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. Permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a loading platform 12 feet wide in the southwesterly sidewalk area of Bancroft Avenue extending from a point 50 feet, more or less, northwesterly from Third Street northwesterly 400 feet, more or less.

Section 6. Plans and specifications for said platform shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works before beginning construction and all work shall be done in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. The Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless against loss or expense caused by accident or damage to persons or property resulting from or in consequence of the construction of said platform.

Section 8. Upon revocation or abandonment of the permit for the above platform, the Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall remove or cause to be removed, without cost or obligation to the City and County of San Francisco, all material used for or in connection with said platform.

Section 9. Ordinance No. 4039 (Series of 1939), approved September 19, 1946, granting permission to Alchar California Corporation to construct, maintain, and operate a spur in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue and a loading platform in Bancroft Avenue between Mendell Street and Third Street is hereby repealed.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Changing and Establishing Grades on Portions of O'Farrell Street and St. Joseph's Avenue.

Bill No. 4431, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell Street between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and fifty feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 23rd day of September, 1946, by Resolution No. 5846 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled, "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell St. between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and 50 feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street"; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said

resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Grades at the points and to the elevations above City base are hereby changed and established as shown on that certain diagram approved September 23, 1946, by Resolution No. 5846 (Series of 1939) entitled, "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell Street between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and 50 feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street."

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Improvement of Lakeview Avenue Between Ashton and Jules Avenues, Including Intersection of Lakeview Avenue and Jules Avenue, by Grading.**

Bill No. 4432, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

On Lakeview Avenue between Ashton and Jules Avenues including the intersection of Lakeview Avenue and Jules Avenue, by grading to official line and subgrade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

Lakeview Avenue between Ashton and Jules Avenues including the intersection of Lakeview Avenue and Jules Avenue, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:



Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (Excavation)
2.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer
3.	10-inch V.C.P. Culvert
4.	Brick Manholes, Complete
5.	Brick Catchbasins, Complete
6.	8 x 6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches
7.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers
8.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
9.	Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
10.	Class "E" Concrete Pavement
11.	Two-course Concrete Sidewalk
12.	Water Services, Long
13.	Water Services, Short

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 7012, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26; Block 7013, Lots 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34; Block 7054, Lots 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51 and 52.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Accepting Roadways of Various Streets.

Bill No. 4433, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Thirty-sixth Avenue between Noriega and Ortega Streets; Forty-third Avenue between Quintara and Rivera Streets; Rivera Street between Forty-third Avenue and Forty-fourth Avenue, including the crossings of Rivera Street and Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Thirty-sixth Avenue between Noriega and Ortega Streets; Forty-third Avenue between Quintara and Rivera Streets; Rivera Street between Forty-third Avenue and Forty-fourth Avenue, including the crossings of Rivera Street and Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-fifth Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4434, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Agreement With Kraft Foods Company Regarding Construction of a Concrete Enclosure Around the City's Sewer West of Newhall Street.**

Bill No. 4435, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing agreement with Kraft Foods Company regarding construction of a concrete enclosure around the City's sewer west of Newhall Street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Kraft Foods Company in substantially the following form:

"This agreement, made as of the . . . day of . . . , 1946, by and between Kraft Foods Company, a Delaware corporation, hereinafter for convenience called 'Kraft,' and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter for convenience called 'City,' witnesseth:

"Whereas, Kraft is the owner of a certain parcel of real property located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

"Beginning at the point of intersection of the present northwesterly line of Newhall Street and the northeasterly line of Empire Lane; running thence northeasterly along said line of Newhall Street 298.135 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 404.16 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 295.34 feet, a distance of 72.16 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly belonging to the Estate of Frank H. Gardiner, deceased; thence south 15° 25' 16" west along the last mentioned boundary line 7.06 feet to an angle point therein; thence continuing along

said northwesterly boundary line south 15° 26' 09" west 106.514 feet to an angle point therein; thence continuing along said northwesterly boundary line south 15° 23' 19" west 106.546 feet to the northeasterly line of Egbert Avenue; thence southeasterly along said line of Egbert Avenue 262.262 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Empire Lane; thence southeasterly along said line of Empire Lane 224.918 feet to the point of beginning.

"Containing 130,635 square feet, more or less; and

"Whereas, the City is the owner of a subsurface easement for a 5' 9" diameter concrete sewer, the center line of which is located along the center line of Donner Avenue produced, which sewer passes through said real estate; and

"Whereas, Kraft desires further to improve its said real property by the construction thereon of a factory building for the processing of food, which building will be located over the sewer, but cannot safely proceed with said construction and the substantial investment involved unless it is assured that the City will perform its duty of keeping the sewer in repair and exercise its right of access for that purpose in such a manner as will not damage the proposed building or interfere with the operations to be conducted therein; and

"Whereas, the City is unwilling to permit the construction of said building in any manner which will not permit a reasonable means of access to the sewer for the purpose of inspecting it and making repairs thereto; and

"Whereas, the City, through the Department of Public Works, and Kraft have collaborated in the preparation of the plan for that part of said building that is adjacent to the sewer, which in the opinion of each of the parties hereto will permit reasonable use by Kraft of its property and reasonable access to the sewer by the City, which plan provides for the construction by Kraft of a concrete enclosure of the sewer so designed and located as to seal the sewer off from the building and to leave sufficient free space between the concrete enclosure and the sewer to permit the City to inspect and repair the sewer without damaging the building and to enter from outside the building for such purpose; and

"Whereas, the parties desire formally to approve said plan and to clarify and make more definite their rights in the enjoyment of their respective properties.

"Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

"1. The plan for the construction of that part of said building which is adjacent to the sewer, does permit reasonable enjoyment by Kraft of its property and reasonable access to the sewer by the City for the purpose of inspecting or repairing the sewer, and is hereby approved.

"2. Kraft shall construct that part of said building which is adjacent to the sewer, in accordance with said plan.

"3. If in the construction of the building the existing concrete sewer should be broken or damaged, the same shall be repaired promptly by the City, and the cost of such repairs shall be paid to the City by Kraft upon demand.

"4. At or before the time when the sewer is uncovered in the construction of said building, the City shall (a) at its own expense, make any repairs to the sewer which are necessary to place it in a safe and sanitary condition, and (b) at Kraft's expense, seal up the manhole located in the proposed building site and place a manhole in Newhall Street.

"5. In making any inspection of or repairs to the sewer after construction of the building is commenced or completed, the City shall not, without permission of Kraft or its successors, permit its em-

ployees or anyone engaged in making such inspection or repairs to enter the sewer or the enclosure thereof through the building, but access to the sewer for said purposes shall be had from the outside of the building only, through the sewer or the said concrete enclosure of the same.

"6. Any repairs to the sewer made by the City, or for or on its behalf, after construction of said building is commenced or is completed, shall be done in such manner as will not injure or damage the proposed building or any part thereof, including its foundations and the said concrete enclosure of the sewer. Provided, however, that the City may remove the passageway or passageways over the sewer through the enclosure during any major repairs of the sewer; provided further, that during such repairs it shall keep the openings to the adjacent basement properly sealed to prevent the passage of odors or dust, and upon completion of the work restore the passageways to their original condition, all at the expense of the City.

"7. The provisions hereof relating to repairs also shall be construed to cover replacements to the extent the City has the right to make replacements under its existing easement.

"8. Any right which the City may have under its said easement, which is inconsistent with any of the foregoing provisions, is no longer required for public use and is hereby released and quitclaimed to Kraft."

"In witness whereof, the parties hereto have caused this instrument to be duly executed the day and year first above written."

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up:

Leave of Absence—Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor.

Proposal No. 6259, Resolution No. 6034 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Honorable Roger D. Lapham, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence from Thursday, November 28, 1946, to Monday, December 23, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—MONDAY, DECEMBER 2, 1946—2:30 P. M.

Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor Mead, that a representative of the Public Utilities Commission appear before the Board of Supervisors at its meeting on Monday, December 2, 1946,

2:30 p. m., for the purpose of divulging what action is contemplated being taken to rehabilitate the Municipal Railway.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**In Memoriam—Andrew Kenny.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6260, Resolution No. 6038 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has called to his eternal reward Andrew Kenny, Chief Personal Property Appraiser for the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the untimely passing of Andrew Kenny ends an honorable career of twenty years as an employee in the Assessor's Office, during which time he gave unstintingly and unselfishly of his time and energy in the interest of the City he loved so well; and

Whereas, with the death of Andrew Kenny the City loses a loyal and faithful employee who had endeared himself to all with whom he came in contact; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when the Board of Supervisors adjourns this day it does so out of respect to the memory of the late Andrew Kenny; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to forward a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to Mrs. Anna Theresa Kenny, widow of the late Andrew Kenny, as an expression of the deep sympathy that is felt by this Board of Supervisors at the death of Andrew Kenny.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Meeting of County, State and National Affairs Committee to Discuss Proposed Legislation That May Be Submitted to the Legislature When It Convenes Early in 1947.**

President of the Board, Dan Gallagher, called the Board's attention to the necessity of the County, State and National Affairs Committee meeting at an early date to consider any and all legislation that required the attention of the Legislature when it convened early in January, 1947.

Supervisor Lewis, Chairman of the Committee, called a meeting for Friday, November 29, 1946, at 2:30 p. m. and Clerk requested to communicate with interested departments and individuals.

**Meeting of Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee.**

Supervisor Lewis called a meeting of the Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee for Tuesday, December 3, 1946, at 2:00 p. m. to consider Proposal No. 6169, requesting the Mayor to appoint an organization to formulate an over-all plan of transportation and traffic.

**Requesting Police Department to Investigate Matter of Illegal Parking on Macondray Street.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 6261, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the residents of Macondray Street find it practically impossible to obtain ingress and egress to the street because of the number of trucks and passenger vehicles that are parked there; and

Whereas, although Macondray Street is an unaccepted street, one of the property owners at his own expense constructed a concrete driveway leading into his garage, but has difficulty in using it because most of the time it is blocked by parked machines; and

Whereas, because of the lack of garages in the area surrounding Macondray Street, the people use this street on which to park their cars; and

Whereas, this situation should be investigated and every effort should be made to correct it; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully request the Police Department and the Department of Public Works to investigate the condition complained of with a view to the end that it might be corrected.

*Referred to the Streets Committee.*

#### Publicizing Danger From Careless Handling of Christmas Tree Lights.

Supervisor MacPhee called attention of the Board to the many injuries and deaths that have occurred due to careless handling of Christmas tree lights and suggested that the Bureau of Fire Prevention publicize the possible danger therefrom, and that the Board so direct the attention of the Bureau to this matter.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### In Memoriam—John F. Calverley.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6262, Resolution No. 6039 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God, in His wisdom, has seen fit to take from this life John F. Calverley, former president of the Southern Council of Civic Clubs; and

Whereas, in the years of his association with the Southern Council of Civic Clubs, John F. Calverley gave much of his time and effort for the improvement and betterment of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the untimely death of John F. Calverley has saddened his host of friends who knew him and loved him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns this day it does so out of respect to the memory of the late John F. Calverley; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to forward a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to Mrs. Chrissie Calverley, widow of the late John F. Calverley, Mrs. Kathleen Godfrey, Mrs. Dorothy Clooman and John Calverley, Jr., as an expression of the keen sense of loss that is felt by this Board of Supervisors at the passing of John F. Calverley.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### In Memoriam—Timothy L. Pflueger.

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Proposal No. 6263, Resolution No. 6035 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has called to his eternal rest Mr. Timothy L. Pflueger, noted San Francisco building architect and one of the City's most active patrons of the arts; and

Whereas, Mr. Pflueger, starting as an office boy, advanced himself until he became a partner in the firm of Miller and Pflueger, which

firm prepared the architectural plans for most of the major building construction in San Francisco, including the 450 Sutter Building, the Stock Exchange Building and the Union Square Garage; and

Whereas, notwithstanding the heavy demand on his time, Mr. Pflueger devoted himself to the advancement of art in San Francisco and was vice-president of the San Francisco Museum of Art and former president and board member of the San Francisco Art Museum; and

Whereas, the passing of Mr. Pflueger will be mourned by his legion of friends but his benevolence will forever be enshrined in their memory; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, noting with profound regret and a keen sense of civic loss the passing of Timothy L. Pflueger, does adjourn its meeting this day out of respect to his beloved memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to forward a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution to Dr. Otto Pflueger, William Pflueger, Paul Pflueger and Milton Pflueger as an expression of the deep sympathy and heartfelt condolence felt by this Board of Supervisors at the passing of the late Timothy L. Pflueger.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Clerk Directed to Request City Attorney to Prepare Legislation.**

Supervisor Mancuso asked that the Clerk of the Board request the City Attorney's office to prepare an ordinance which would protect doctors employed by the City from damage suits.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Meeting in Chambers on Tuesday, December 3, 1946, Conducted by Chamber of Commerce, Regarding Federally-owned Lands.**

Supervisor Mancuso informed the Board that there would be a meeting, conducted under the auspices of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday, December 3, 1946, at which Senator Taylor of Utah and Senator Cordon of Oregon would be present, to hear testimony looking to possible relief to the several counties in which the United States Government held lands and on which no taxes to the respective counties were being paid. Mr. Mancuso invited the members of the Board to attend, as well as those departments and officials interested in the matter.

**Report of Board of Directors, County Supervisors Association.**

Supervisor Mancuso read the recommendations of Legislative, Tax and County and City Affairs Committee to the Board of Directors of the County Supervisors Association on the need for additional revenues and the broadening of the counties' tax base, and asked that copies of such report be sent to each member of the Board.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Consideration by Board of Sources of Revenue.**

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he would bring the matter of additional sources of revenue before the Finance Committee at its coming Wednesday meeting and instructed the Clerk to place the matter on the Finance Committee calendar.

### No Increase in Capital Expenditures in 1947-48 Budget.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that in so far as he was concerned, he would not approve increases in capital expenditures except through the medium of bond issues.

#### Requesting Director of Property to Furnish Board of Supervisors With Itemized List of All Properties Owned by City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor McMurray presented:

Proposal No. 6264, Resolution No. 6036 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Properties be and he is hereby requested to prepare and submit to this Board of Supervisors a complete itemized list of all properties owned by the City and County of San Francisco, including those held in the name or used by the Board of Education; the purposes for which these properties are being used; the size and the approximate valuation as of November 15, 1946.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### In Memoriam—Thomas D. Daly.

Supervisors McMurray and John J. Sullivan presented:

Proposal No. 6265, Resolution No. 6037 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Thomas D. Daly, retired Inspector of the San Francisco Police Department, and former attache of the Mayor's office, has been summoned by Almighty God to his eternal reward; and

Whereas, Thomas D. Daly, a native San Franciscan, typified the highest standard of public employee, both in the able and conscientious performance of his police duties during the forty-one years he served on the force until his retirement in 1944, and in the cheerful and intelligent discharge of his assignments as attache in the service of Mayors Schmitz, Rolph and Rossi; and

Whereas, the many San Franciscans who were privileged to know Thomas D. Daly during his lifetime will miss him and sadly mourn his passing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, noting with keen regret the passing of Thomas D. Daly, does adjourn its meeting this day out of respect to his memory; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to forward suitably engrossed copies of this resolution to the bereaved children who survive Thomas D. Daly, as an expression of the Board's deep sorrow and heartfelt condolence.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 5:25 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.




Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 16, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.







Monday, December 2, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 2, 1946

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, December 2, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Quorum present.

## Communications.

From Judge Twain Michelsen, urging that action be taken with respect to amending Section 60 of the Traffic Code.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From Father Flanagan's Boys' Home, Inc., soliciting contribution to its expansion program.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Redwood Empire Association, transmitting copies of correspondence relating to proposed Roosevelt Memorial Redwood Forest Bill, HR 6201.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From Grace Perego, protesting against passage of ordinance establishing minimum lot widths of thirty-three feet.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From the Chief, Division of Fire Prevention and Investigation, transmitting copies of circulars containing fire precautions to be taken in and about the home during the Christmas holidays.

*Ordered referred to Supervisor MacPhee.*

From the Shoreline Planning Association, giving notice of annual meeting, Long Beach, December 6 and 7, 1946.

*Ordered filed.*

## SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Supervisor Christopher, in the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, November 25th, moved, seconded by Supervisor Mead, that a representative of the Public Utilities Commission appear before the Board on Monday, December 2, 1946, at 2:30 p. m., and inform the Board what action is being contemplated with respect to the rehabilitation of the Municipal Railway.

## Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher stated that it was not his intention of asking Mr. Turner to come before the Board with the view of embarrassing him but it had been his experience to ask certain departments for information and he did not obtain the data desired; that he was

very anxious to find out just where the City was going in so far as transportation was concerned; that since his request of last week that a representative of the Public Utilities Commission appear before the Board, he has heard that the Commission was contemplating a bond issue for rehabilitation but questioned that this information was sufficient. What was desired was information as to just what the Public Utilities Commission contemplated doing with respect to reconstructing the dilapidated railway. Was the Commission following the Newton Plan, the Master Plan or the Public Utilities Plan or any of the many plans that had been formulated in the past? Would like to hear from Mr. Turner just what is contemplated by the proposed bond issue and when was it to be issued.

Supervisor Christopher summed up his statement with the remark that he did not desire to embarrass Mr. Turner but would like to know just where the City stood on the matter of transportation.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was extended to Mr. Turner, Manager of Public Utilities, who informed the Board that the report carried by the papers regarding the proposed bond issue was correct; that a proposed bond issue of some \$20,000,000 was contemplated being submitted to the electors at the May election; that the recent election approving pay raises for railway personnel took a considerable amount of money that was previously allocated to the improvement of the transportation system. Some sums of money were still available but in order to do a good job of modernization a bond issue was necessary.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he did not consider the proposed bond issue as the only prime consideration; that following the Newton Plan was not the proper solution of the City's transportation ills; that it was conceived at a time when the City was guessing at her future population; it simply meant changing from old cars to new cars. In following the Newton Plan he believed that the City was making a great mistake; that while a bond issue is being proposed, still there hasn't been any major program for the rehabilitation of the street car system. If the City intended to spend 20 or 25 million dollars, he would like to see a clear and concise program of how we are going to spend it.

Supervisor Lewis told the Board that he supported everything said by Supervisor Christopher and that this matter was a most important one. He felt that the Board had a direct responsibility to the people and that the responsibility did not pertain only to the inconsequential things but to the big things as well. That the Board had not run away from this problem because, unfortunately, the Charter provided that the Board was not permitted to outline any decisions with respect to policy to the Public Utilities Commission; that tomorrow afternoon the Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee would meet to consider a proposal calling for the adoption of all overall transportation plan for San Francisco.

Supervisor Lewis further called the Board's attention to the fact that the Public Utilities Commission had turned its back completely on the Master Plan when the Commission proposed to put a line of buses on the outside and street cars on the inside on Market Street, notwithstanding the Master Plan's contention that such a procedure would increase traffic hazards; that before the Board approved any bond issues, it should know where the City was going with respect to transportation, off-street parking, subways, etc.

Mr. Turner stated that when he mentioned the Newton Plan, he meant a modified form of the plan; that the Newton Plan was predicated on population trends; that the modified Newton Plan fitted the modern thinking of all transit operators in the United States, that



street cars, electric trolley coaches and gasoline coaches are definite segments of the plan, thus providing the best possible service at reasonable cost; however, the plan would be revised to meet situations as they appeared.

Mr. Turner concluded his statement by saying that the data for the bond issue was being assembled and would be submitted to the Board when compiled and that discussion of the bond issue at this time, with its many ramifications, would not be timely.

Supervisor Lewis then told Mr. Turner that the Master Plan contemplated construction of subways in different parts of the City and that eventually there would not be a single car or car track on Market Street.

Mr. Turner, in reply, stated that it was not up to the Board of Supervisors or to the Public Utilities Commission to decide the need for subways and that the Commission had a day-to-day problem of transporting the people as quickly and as efficiently as possible and Market Street must be used.

Supervisor Lewis asked Mr. Turner if the Public Utilities Commission had any solution of the Market Street problem, to which Mr. Turner replied that a recommendation would be discussed that afternoon and it involved the modernization of the mass transportation system and the keeping of two tracks on Market Street.

Supervisor Lewis asked Mr. Turner if he thought the people should not be given an opportunity to vote on the question of subways to which Mr. Turner replied that he believed the matter could be submitted to the people at an election.

Supervisor Lewis asked Mr. Turner if consideration was being given to routing street cars one way on Geary and Sutter Streets, to which Mr. Turner replied that the matter was being given very detailed study.

President of the Board Dan Gallagher asked Mr. Turner if underpasses were considered being constructed on Market Street and on Third Street, to which Mr. Turner replied that the question of underpasses was under consideration.

Supervisor Mancuso asked Mr. Turner if he proposed to set up a Transportation Commission to study the existing problems to which Mr. Turner replied that he did not propose to.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that the Public Utilities Commission should have requested a bond issue and then if the funds derived from such bond issue were insufficient, an increase in fare should have been asked. Further, the Commission should have set up a Transportation System.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor MacPhee moved the privilege of the floor to Mr. Carroll Newburgh. There were no objections.

Mr. Newburgh informed the Board that the Central Council of Civic Clubs years ago had pointed out to the Board members and to the Public Utilities Commission of the difficult task, if the Market Street Railway were purchased, of keeping it going.

Mr. Newburgh directed a query to Mr. Turner if at any time the Public Utilities Commission had been approached by any group with the intention of having the transportation system run by private enterprise, to which Mr. Turner replied that there had been no offers made.

President of the Board Gallagher asked Mr. Turner if an increase in car fare was being contemplated, to which Mr. Turner replied that he would not recommend a fare increase and that he believed the

limit had been reached in so far as the fare increases were concerned and that if they were increased, the railway would lose riders, who in turn would use their cars, thus adding to the traffic congestion.

At this point Mr. Turner was excused.

#### Consideration of Master Plan for the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor MacPhee, in the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, November 18, 1946, requested that the Master Plan for the City and County of San Francisco be withdrawn from committee and considered by the Board at its meeting on Monday, December 2, 1946.

No objection and so ordered.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he had asked that the matter of the Master Plan be brought before the Board for the purpose of taking action on it.

Supervisor Colman, Chairman of the Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, stated that there were many things in the Master Plan that may never be used and therefore not a proper guide. He made mention of the Citizens' Master Plan, which had a great deal of merit. Supervisor Colman continued by stating that Mr. Kent, Acting Director of Planning, informed him that the City Planning Commission was engaged in further study and would, within a reasonable time, have some recommendation that will come before the Board with respect to changes or amendments to the Master Plan.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was accorded Mr. Kent, Acting Director of Planning, who informed the Board that in his opinion as well as in the opinion of the City Planning Commission, the Board should not adopt the Master Plan; that the concept of the Master Plan was merely to serve as a guide. From the Master Plan specific sections such as those dealing with streets, traffic and the like will come before the Board and will become proper measure for its consideration, and that this procedure was being followed throughout the United States.

Supervisor Lewis said that efforts were being made to duck the Master Plan; that he remembered originally when they intended to bring in the Master Plan before the Board for its approval; then the City Planning Commission came in and said it was not necessary for the Board to adopt it; that the City Planning Commission had the power alone to adopt the Master Plan and that they had adopted it; that the Board could not consider urban redevelopment until such a plan was adopted and according to the records the Master Plan was now the officially adopted plan of the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Lewis continued by stating that he had read the three volumes and could answer questions reasonably intelligently and hoped and trusted that the Board would do everything in its power to carry out the program on urban redevelopment.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he was wondering if the Board could adopt some sort of policy with reference to the Master Plan; that if it was the business of the Board to determine policy, let the Board do so; if the plan was not submitted to the Board for policy, why was it submitted at all? If the Board wanted a city with a policy indicating just where it wanted to travel, the Board should adopt the Master Plan.

Mr. Kent, Acting Director of Planning, stated that the Master Plan was adopted by the City Planning Commission last December and is

composed of three documents. An effort is being made to consider the overall needs of the City. One section of the Master Plan has to do with transportation. The final official Transportation Master Plan which will come before the Board for approval and adoption was now being developed.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was accorded Mrs. Mary Qvistgaard, secretary of the Eureka Valley Promotion Association. She informed the Board that her organization had been giving study to the Master Plan and Urban Redevelopment and that it was opposed to the proposed Market Street Freeway.

Supervisor Lewis informed the members of the Board that under the Charter the City Planning Commission had the exclusive right to make, prepare and adopt the Master Plan and that under the Urban Redevelopment Act the City Planning Commission did adopt the Master Plan and that under the circumstances, there was nothing for the Board to do.

#### Tabled.

Whereupon Supervisor Christopher moved that the matter of consideration of the Master Plan be tabled, seconded by Supervisor Colman.

The roll was then called:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—5.

Before the vote on the foregoing roll call was announced, Supervisor Lewis changed his vote from "Aye" to "No" and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board.

#### Motion to Reconsider Overruled.

Whereupon the Chair informed Supervisor Lewis that his motion was not in order because he was not voting with the prevailing side.

#### Motion to Rescind.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, that the previous action taken by the Board in tabling the Master Plan matter, be rescinded.

Supervisor Lewis informed the Board that he did not desire to see the urban redevelopment section of the Master Plan tabled.

Supervisor Colman assured Supervisor Lewis that his committee would follow through on the urban redevelopment section of the Master Plan.

#### Motion to Rescind Withdrawn.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that with the consent of his second he would withdraw the motion to rescind. *No objection and so ordered.*

In concluding the discussion on this subject, Supervisor MacPhee asserted that the Board should adopt some procedure with respect to the Master Plan; that the Board should either adopt it, amend it or reject it.

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

##### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead.

**Appropriating \$12,000 for Dismantling, Transporting to and Erection at Log Cabin Ranch School, of Two One-Story Buildings Now Located at the Civic Center.**

Bill No. 4415, Ordinance No. 4171 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$12,000 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 624.500.01, Improvements, Log Cabin Ranch School, to provide funds for dismantling, transporting and erection of two one-story buildings now located in the Civic Center.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,000 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 624.500.01, Improvements, Log Cabin Ranch School, to the credit of Appropriation No. 624.500.01, to provide funds for dismantling, transporting and erection of two one-story buildings now located in the Civic Center.

Recommended by the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Judge of the Juvenile Court.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, to Permit One Janitor to Work in Excess of 40 Hours Per Week.**

Bill No. 4416, Ordinance No. 4172 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35, Public Utilities Commission, by adding 1 C104 Janitor to list of employments authorized to work in excess of 40 hours per week.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 1.35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1.35. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
General Office	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
San Francisco	B4 Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Airport	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	1	8
	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	1	4
	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
	C102 Janitress .....	2	8
	C104 Janitor . .....	4	8
	C107 Working Foreman Janitor ..	1	8
	O58 Gardener .....	1	8
Airport	F60 Assistant Superintendent of Airport Operations .....	1	4
Hetch Hetchy	O1 Chauffeur .....	1	8
Water Supply,	B4 Bookkeeper .....	1	4
Power and Utilities,	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	2	4
Utilities	B412 Senior Clerk-Stenographer..	1	4
Engineering			
Water Supply,	B222 General Clerk .....	1	8
Power Operative	B408 General Clerk-Stenographer.	2	4

	Classification	No. Positions	No. Hours
	B512 General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	1	4
	C104 Janitor . . . . .	1	4
Inter-Intra-departmental (as needed)	O58 Gardener . . . . .	1	8
	O60 Sub-Foreman Gardener . . . . .	1	8

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

**Amending Salary Ordinance, Board of Education, Non-Certificated Employees to Provide for 1 Typewriter Repairman at \$250-300 Per Month.**

Bill No. 4417, Ordinance No. 4173 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by adding item 47.1, 1 O130 Typewriter Repairman at \$250-300.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings . . . . .	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant . . . . .	360-430
10	3	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) . . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) . . . . .	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper . . . . .	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. . . . .	185-230
19	145	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
20	64	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
21	*37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
26	133	C102	Janitress . . . . .	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of . . . . .	145-180

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
29	224	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor .....	(k) 186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of.....	155-195
32	25	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors .....	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors ..	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of..	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of.....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k) 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of...	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
47.1	1	O130	<b>Typewriter-Repairman</b> .....	250-300
48	19	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

**TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL**

55 Trucks (as needed) at rates established by Purchaser's contract.

\*To serve during school year only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

Amending Salary Ordinance, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau, to Provide for One Janitor at \$155-195 Per Month.

Bill No. 4418, Ordinance No. 4174 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71, Public Utilities Commission—Hetch Hetchy Water Supply, Power and Utilities Engineering Bureau, by adding item 15.01, 1 C104 Janitor at \$155-195.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 71, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY WATER SUPPLY, POWER AND UTILITIES ENGINEERING BUREAU**

These positions are paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	A106	Building Inspector .....	\$280-340
2	3	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	(g 318
4	2	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
4.1	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
9	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
13	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
13.1	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
15	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
15.01	1	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
15.1	1	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
15.2	1	E107	Power House Electrician .....	15.00 day
16	7	E150	Lineman's Helper .....	10.80 day
19	13	E154	Lineman .....	15.00 day
20	3	E160	Foreman Lineman .....	16.00 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

Appropriating \$3,900 for Payment of Sales Tax Liability on Sales Made by Purchaser of Supplies from October 1, 1941, to September 30, 1944, as Determined by State Board of Equalization.

Bill No. 4419, Ordinance No. 4175 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,900 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500,000.00, to provide funds for payment to State of California of City's sales tax liability on sales made by the Purchaser of Supplies from October 1, 1941, to September 30, 1944, as determined by the State Board of Equalization.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,900 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500,000.00, to the credit of Public Trust Account No. 992, State of California Sales Tax Appropriation, to provide funds for payment to State of California of City's sales tax liability on sales made by the Purchaser of Supplies from October 1, 1941, to September 30, 1944, as determined by the State Board of Equalization.

Recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$928 for Compensation of Operating Engineer, Part Time, War Memorial, to Replace Full Time Operating Engineers. Full Time Operating Engineers to Be Placed on Five-Day Week Instead of Six-Day Week.**

Bill No. 4420, Ordinance No. 4176 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$928 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.199.00, War Memorial Compensation Reserve, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O168.1, Operating Engineer, part-time, which position is established on the basis of 2 days per week and will place the full-time operating engineers of the War Memorial on a 5-day week instead of a 6-day week as at present.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$928 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 615.199.00, War Memorial Reserve, to the credit of Appropriation No. 615.110.00, to provide funds for the compensation of 1 O168.1 Operating Engineer, part-time, at the rate of \$290 per month, which position is hereby established on the basis of 2 days per week. The establishment of this position will place the full-time operating engineers of the War Memorial on a 5-day week instead of a 6-day week as at present.

Recommended by the Managing Director, War Memorial.

Approved by the Board of Trustees of the War Memorial.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Companion Bill to Foregoing Item. Amending Salary Ordinance, War Memorial.**

Bill No. 4356, Ordinance No. 4170 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 18, War Memorial, by adding item 12.1, 1 O168.1 Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of \$290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 18, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 18. WAR MEMORIAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial .....	\$300-375
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial .....	550-660
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
4	2	C52	Elevator Operator .....	150-190
5	19	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
6	1	C108	Foreman Janitor .....	230-240
7	6	C152	Watchman .....	150-190
7.1	1	C152	Watchman .....	(k 186



Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
8	1	C202	Window Cleaner .....	195-230
9	1	E108	Electrician .....	(i) 382.50
10	1	E109	Stage Electrician .....	15.00 day
11	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic .....	(i) 358.50
12	2	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
12.1	1	O168.1	<b>Operating Engineer</b> (part time), at rate of .....	290
13	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
14	1	A165	Stage Carpenter .....	15.00 day
15	1	C252	Opera House Attendant (part time) as needed.....	.75 hr.
AS NEEDED				
16	1	A170	Stage Property Man .....	15.00 day
17	1	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—3.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Appropriating \$3,500 to Provide Funds for Extras in Excess of 10 Per Cent of Contract for Installation of Traffic Signal System on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue.**

Bill No. 4424, Ordinance No. 4177 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,500 out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 951.913.51 (State Highway Trust Fund, Lombard Street, Extras, Contract) to provide funds for extras in excess of 10 per cent of the contract awarded in connection with the installation of a traffic signal system on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue, in accordance with Section 3 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance No. 9.0871.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 951.913.51 (State Highway Trust Fund, Lombard Street, Extras, Contract) to the credit of Appropriation No. 951.913.02 (Contract, Abbett Electric Co.—Installation of a traffic signal system on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue from Van Ness Avenue to Lyon Street), to provide funds for extras in excess of 10 per cent of the contract awarded in connection with the installation of a traffic signal system on Lombard Street and Richardson Avenue, in accordance with Section 3 of the Contract Procedure Ordinance. This contract was awarded on a unit cost price and the excess is due to the construction of additional traffic islands as ordered by the State Highway Commission.

- Recommended by the Director of Public Works.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—3.

## NEW BUSINESS.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead, Lewis.

**Petitioning the Congress to Convey to the City and County of San Francisco Fee Simple Title to Land Now Occupied by Palace of Fine Arts.**

Proposal No. 6216, Resolution No. 6040 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of an Act of Congress approved March 3, 1925 (45 Stat. 1129), the Acting Secretary of War executed a deed on the 3rd day of June, 1927, granting and conveying to the City and County of San Francisco 9.93 acres of land, more or less, which formerly comprised a portion of the military reservation of The Presidio of San Francisco on which the Palace of Fine Arts is located, said grant reserving to the United States the right to take exclusive possession of said land and all improvements thereon, without the payment of any compensation therefor, in the event of war or any other great national emergency, in consideration of which grant the City and County of San Francisco granted to the War Department the right to construct, maintain and operate a spur track between Fort Mason and The Presidio of San Francisco; and

Whereas, under date of February 19, 1941, the Acting Secretary of War gave notice to the City and County of San Francisco that "in view of the present emergency the above referred to property is required for governmental purposes, and pursuant to the provisions of the above referred to act of Congress and deed of conveyance, you are notified that the United States of America through the Commanding General, San Francisco Port of Embarkation, Fort Mason, California, hereby takes exclusive possession of said land and all improvements thereon for the period of said emergency"; and

Whereas, under date of August 8, 1941, the Acting Secretary of War notified the City and County of San Francisco that only the Palace of Fine Arts Building was required for military purposes, and accordingly, subject to all the provisions and conditions of said Act of Congress and deed, the United States of America relinquished the land, exclusive of that occupied by the Palace of Fine Arts, and exclusive of said Palace, which was repossessed by virtue of said letter dated February 19, 1941; and

Whereas, the United States of America has continued and is continuing to hold, occupy and use said Palace of Fine Arts and the land occupied thereby; and

Whereas, since the Palace of Fine Arts was acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, said City and County has spent \$595,622.50 on improvements and restoration with materials similar to the original construction; and

Whereas, it is estimated that reconstruction of said Palace of Fine Arts by the use of concrete or some other permanent form of construction would cost in the neighborhood of \$600,000; and

Whereas, the existence of the reservation of the right of re-entry in said grant, which in effect restricts the right to free, unobstructed and continuous possession of said property, is highly inimical to the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco as it precludes the execution of plans for the restoration, rehabilitation and use of said property, and militates against a sound municipal policy of expending public funds for such purposes as will result in continuing, uninterrupted benefit to the citizens of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby respectfully petition and urge the Congress of the United States to take such action as will effectuate conveyance to the City and County by the United States of America, of said 9.93 acres of land mentioned hereinabove, free of any condition or reservation so that said City and County will have a fee simple absolute title thereto, said unconditional grant to be executed and take effect as soon as practicable subsequent to the time the United States of America relinquishes its possession of the premises; and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded immediately to Senators Downey and Knowland, and to Congressmen Welch and Havenner, with the earnest request of this Board of Supervisors that they exert their best efforts in obtaining favorable action to the end that the request herein contained be granted.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—3.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Land in Stanislaus County and Repeal of Resolution No. 5940 (Series of 1939).**

Proposal No. 6251, Resolution No. 6041 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the County of Stanislaus, State of California:

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of the County Road known as Carver Road, distant thereon north  $0^{\circ} 38'$  west, 206.3 feet from the northwesterly line of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way, said point of beginning being the northwest corner of that certain tract of land conveyed to Stanislaus Land and Abstract Company, a corporation, by Sarah McLernon Christianson (formerly known as Sarah McLernon) and Robert Christianson, her husband, by deed dated December 14, 1923, recorded December 21, 1923 in Book 47 at page 457, Official Records of Stanislaus County; thence along the northerly boundary of said tract South  $88^{\circ} 46' 10''$  east 535.5 feet to the northwesterly line of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way; thence along last named line north  $69^{\circ} 55' 45''$  east 243.00 feet; thence south  $84^{\circ} 37' 18''$  west 767.00 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Section 7, Township 3 South, Range 9 East, M. D. B. & M., and containing 0.543 acre.

The above described land is required by said City and County of San Francisco for public use and purpose, to wit: for the construction, maintenance, and use of transmission lines for the purpose of conveying electricity from its Hetch Hetchy electric transmission lines to the Modesto Irrigation District's electric power system. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land, subject to provisions hereof.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The City Attorney is further authorized to consent to the incorporation in any decree of condemnation which may be entered in said proceedings, such stipulations or conditions for the protection of the rights of the owners of said land to be met and proper in each case.

The cost of said land is to be paid from Appropriation No. 92.500.58 in an amount not to exceed \$750 unless an additional authorization is secured. Resolution No. 5940 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on October 21, 1946, is hereby repealed.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Harry D. Burgess.

Proposal No. 6256, Resolution No. 6042 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Harry D. Burgess, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the County of San Luis Obispo, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County of San Francisco on real property belonging to said Harry D. Burgess; and

Whereas, said Harry D. Burgess, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 6257, Resolution No. 6043 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Pacific Portland Cement Company, a corporation, or the legal owner, to the following described real property situated in San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$13,792 be paid for such property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58.

Parcel 1. The south  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the south  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Section 1; Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

Parcel 2. That portion of Sections 2 and 11 in Township 4 South, Range 5 West, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, described as follows:

Beginning at the corner common to Sections 1, 2, 11 and 12; thence South, along the line between Sections 11 and 12, a distance of 500 feet; thence leaving said line and running West to the Southwest line of Tide Land Survey No. 66; thence along said Southwest line North  $60^{\circ} 45'$  West, to the South line of said Section 2; thence North  $28^{\circ} 45'$  West 4.56

chains to the southeasterly line of land described in Deed from Caroline Sharp Estate Company, a corporation, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, dated March 3, 1943 and recorded March 5, 1943 in Book 1056 of Official Records of San Mateo County at page 54; thence along said line, North 34° 45' East 19.47 chains to the Northwest corner of the Southeast ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 2; thence East 20 chains to the line dividing Sections 1 and 2; thence along said line South 20 chains to the point of beginning.

Containing a total area of 229.86 acres more or less.

The above amount of \$13,792 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5441 (Series of 1939) for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcels of land, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Authorizing Controller to Draw His Warrant in the Sum of \$67.50 for the Payment of Lost Interest Coupons Attached to Spring Valley Bonds Numbers 34453 to 34455, Inclusive.**

Proposal No. 6267, Resolution No. 6045 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, proof has been made to the Board of Supervisors that Siegfried Giesmar was the owner of the aforesaid Spring Valley Bonds Numbers 34453 to 34455 inclusive, and that on the 1st day of January, 1946, there became due on said bonds the sum of \$67.50 as evidenced by coupons attached thereto, and that after the said 1st day of January, 1946, said Siegfried Giesmar detached said coupons from said bonds and deposited the same with the Miami Beach First National Bank at Miami Beach, Florida, for collection, the face value of said coupons being the sum of \$22.50 each; the said Miami Beach First National Bank after receiving said coupons forwarded the same to the National City Bank of New York, the fiscal agent of the City and County of San Francisco in New York, but said coupons were never received by said National City Bank of New York, and that said coupons have been lost, stolen or destroyed, and cannot now be found; and said coupons cannot now be delivered to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, and a stop-payment has been placed with the Treasurer against the payment of said coupons, and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does now declare that said coupons have been lost or destroyed within the meaning of the Act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled:

“An Act to Provide for the Issuance of Duplicate of Bonds, Warrants and Other Evidences of Indebtedness of Counties

and Municipalities and other Corporations as Set Forth in Chapter 824, Statutes of 1923”;

And Whereas, said Miami Beach First National Bank of Miami Beach, Florida, has made application to this Board of Supervisors for the payment of the amount of said coupons without the presentation thereof, and has offered to guarantee and indemnify the City and County of San Francisco and all officials thereof against any loss or damage of any kind or character, arising out of any subsequent presentation, demand or payment of the said coupons; and

Whereas, in view of the small amount due on said coupons, it would be uneconomical to have coupons issued in lieu thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Controller of said City and County is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant for the payment of said coupons in the amount of \$67.50 in favor of the Miami Beach First National Bank of Miami Beach, Florida, upon consideration that said Miami Beach First National Bank deliver to said Controller a satisfactory guarantee indemnifying the City and County of San Francisco and all officers thereof against any loss or damage of any kind or character arising out of any subsequent presentation, demand or payment of said coupons.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Lucy Podesta.**

Proposal No. 6268, Resolution No. 6046 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Lucy Podesta, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Lucy Podesta; and

Whereas, said Lucy Podesta, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Authorizing Acquisition by Eminent Domain Proceedings of Certain Real Property Adjoining Twin Peaks Boulevard.**

Proposal No. 6269, Resolution No. 6047 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Parcel 1. Beginning at the northeasterly corner of the tract known as the J. H. Collamore Tract as shown on “Map of Twin Peaks Boulevard” filed January 11, 1919 in Map

Book "H" at page 110, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being also the northwesterly corner of the property of the City and County of San Francisco as acquired from Wells Fargo and Company by deed recorded August 17, 1915 in Book 892 of deeds at page 160 Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, running thence westerly along the common boundary between the City and County of San Francisco and the J. H. Collamore Tract as shown on said map 205.00 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 110 feet; thence deflecting  $25^{\circ} 00'$  to the left and running southeasterly 225.00 feet, thence deflecting  $7^{\circ} 43'$  to the left and running southeasterly 203.356 feet to the westerly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco as acquired by above mentioned deed; thence deflecting  $147^{\circ} 17'$  to the left and running northerly along last named boundary 97.244 feet to the most southerly corner of the northerly parcel of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from Victor Grothwell et al by deed recorded October 27, 1915 in Book 885 of deeds at page 208 Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northwesterly northerly and northeasterly along the boundary of last named parcel along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to a line deflecting  $39^{\circ} 04' 03''$  to the left from the preceding course with a radius of 191.24 feet, central angle  $15^{\circ} 06' 57''$  a distance of 50.453 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 24.56 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding course along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 160.91 feet, central angle of  $47^{\circ} 30'$  a distance of 133.399 feet to a point of compound curve; thence northwesterly, northerly and northeasterly along said compound curve with a radius of 130.00 feet, central angle of  $90^{\circ} 00'$  a distance of 204.204 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 8.202 feet to the most northerly corner of last named parcel; thence deflecting  $83^{\circ} 19'$  to the left and running northerly along the common boundary of the City and County of San Francisco and the J. H. Collamore Tract 81.896 feet to the northeasterly corner of said Collamore Tract and the point of beginning.

Containing 0.981 acres.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the common boundary between the property of the City and County of San Francisco and the J. H. Collamore Tract as shown on Map of Twin Peaks Boulevard hereinbefore referred to in the description of Parcel No. 1 distant thereon 575.014 feet southerly from the northeasterly corner of said J. H. Collamore Tract; thence deflecting  $39^{\circ} 48'$  to the right from the southerly bearing of said common boundary and running southwesterly 117.00 feet; thence deflecting  $21^{\circ} 27'$  to the right and running southwesterly 197.00 feet; thence deflecting  $77^{\circ} 26'$  to the left and running southeasterly 160.00 feet; thence deflecting  $35^{\circ} 43'$  to the right and running southwesterly 150.00 feet; thence deflecting  $43^{\circ} 58'$  to the right and running southwesterly 177.00 feet; thence deflecting  $39^{\circ} 22' 01''$  to the left and running southwesterly 260.879 feet; thence deflecting  $28^{\circ} 32' 59''$  to the left and running southeasterly 45.00 feet to the common boundary between J. H. Collamore and Mary L. Craig as shown on above mentioned map; thence at a right angle northeasterly along last mentioned boundary 95.00 feet to the southwesterly corner of the southerly parcel of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from Victor Grothwell et al by

deed hereinbefore referred to in the description of Parcel 1; thence deflecting  $66^{\circ} 46' 30''$  to the left from the preceding course and running northeasterly along the boundary of last named parcel 43.820 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding curve with a radius of 266.54 feet, central angle  $36^{\circ} 00' 30''$  a distance of 167.511 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 93.13 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve with a radius of 176.59 feet a central angle of  $16^{\circ} 10' 11''$  a distance of 49.836 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right whose tangent deflects  $47^{\circ} 05' 41''$  to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve with a radius of 130.77 feet a central angle of  $27^{\circ} 15' 52''$  a distance of 62.227 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 75.50 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 389.24 feet a central angle of  $17^{\circ} 20'$  a distance of 117.754 feet to a point of reverse curve; thence northeasterly along said reverse curve with a radius of 234.02 feet a central angle of  $52^{\circ} 00'$  a distance of 212.390 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 61.742 feet to the westerly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco as shown on above mentioned map; thence deflecting  $53^{\circ} 29'$  to the left and running northerly along last named boundary 106.104 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.102 acres.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the common corner between the properties of Mary L. Craig and J. H. Collamore the same being on the southwesterly line of the property acquired for Twin Peaks Boulevard and as shown on "Map of Twin Peaks Boulevard" filed January 11, 1919 in Map Book "H" at page 110 Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco and running thence westerly along the common boundary between Mary L. Craig and J. H. Collamore as shown on said map 424.492 feet to the easterly boundary of the southerly parcel of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from Victor Grothwell et al by deed recorded October 27, 1915 in Book 885 of deeds at page 208 Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco thence deflecting  $113^{\circ} 13' 30''$  to the right and running northeasterly following the boundary of the parcel so acquired 5.20 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 176.54 feet a central angle of  $36^{\circ} 00' 30''$  a distance of 110.949 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 93.13 feet; thence northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 131.72 feet a central angle of  $19^{\circ} 13' 17''$  a distance of 44.189 feet; thence southeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects  $50^{\circ} 25' 18''$  to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve with a radius of 130.77 feet a central angle of  $25^{\circ} 18' 25''$  a distance of 57.760 feet; thence southeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 128.70 feet; thence southeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 198.03 feet a central angle of  $9^{\circ} 09' 34''$  a distance of 31.658 feet to the westerly boundary of the property of Wells Fargo and Company as shown on above mentioned map; thence deflecting  $72^{\circ} 00' 34''$  to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named boundary and its southerly production 92.506 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 1.00 acre.



Parcel 4. Beginning at the common corner between the properties of Mary L. Craig and J. H. Collamore the same being on the southwesterly line of the property acquired for Twin Peaks Boulevard and as shown on Map of Twin Peaks Boulevard as hereinbefore referred to in the description of Parcel 1 and running thence westerly along the common boundary of Mary L. Craig and J. H. Collamore as shown on said map 451.696 feet to the southeasterly line of Twin Peaks Boulevard as shown on said map south of J. H. Collamore property; thence deflecting  $66^{\circ} 46' 30''$  to the left and running southwesterly along said line of Twin Peaks Boulevard 162.752 feet; thence southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 248.73 feet a central angle of  $25^{\circ} 59'$  a distance of 112.80 feet; thence southerly tangent to the preceding curve 28.20 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 161.37 feet a central angle of  $39^{\circ} 59' 33''$  a distance of 112.63 feet to a point of compound curve; thence southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along the arc of said compound curve with a radius of 56.83 feet a central angle of  $75^{\circ} 58' 28''$  a distance of 75.36 feet to a point of compound curve; thence northeasterly along the arc of said compound curve with a radius of 250.65 feet a central angle of  $25^{\circ} 00'$  a distance of 109.37 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 336.89 feet; thence northeasterly, easterly and southeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 110.01 feet a central angle of  $87^{\circ} 05' 37''$  a distance of 152.02 feet to the southwesterly boundary of the property acquired for Twin Peaks Boulevard; thence deflecting  $136^{\circ} 57' 07''$  to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northwesterly along last named boundary 31.82 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.50 acres.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the common boundary between the lands of Wells Fargo and Company and the lands of the City and County of San Francisco known as Twin Peaks Reservoir Site distant thereon 421.518 feet easterly from the easterly boundary of the J. H. Collamore Tract as shown on Map of Twin Peaks Boulevard filed January 11, 1919 in Map Book H at page 110 Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco said point of beginning being also the easterly extremity of the closing course of the 10.51 acre parcel acquired from Wells Fargo and Company by deed recorded August 17, 1915 in Book 892 of Deeds at page 160 Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence said easterly along said common boundary 90 feet; thence deflecting  $68^{\circ} 00' 20''$  to the right and running southeasterly 240.00 feet; thence deflecting  $59^{\circ} 00' 00''$  to the right and running southwesterly 163.00 feet; thence deflecting  $18^{\circ} 30' 00''$  to the left and running southwesterly 187.00 feet; thence deflecting  $48^{\circ} 00' 00''$  to the left and running southeasterly 300.00 feet; thence deflecting  $39^{\circ} 30' 00''$  to the right and running southwesterly 212.00 feet; thence deflecting  $23^{\circ} 00' 00''$  to the right and running southwesterly 137.00 feet; thence deflecting  $37^{\circ} 30'$  to the left and running southwesterly 120.00 feet; thence deflecting  $8^{\circ} 29' 12''$  to the left and running southwesterly 131.394 feet to a point on the northeasterly boundary of the 3.23 acre parcel as acquired by the City and County of San Francisco from Wells Fargo and Company by the above mentioned deed; thence northwesterly along last mentioned boundary on the

arc of a curve to the right whose tangent deflects  $89^{\circ} 51' 48''$  to the right from the preceding course with a radius of 153.92 feet a central angle of  $19^{\circ} 00'$  a distance of 51.042 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 150.011 feet to the common boundary between Wells Fargo and Company and J. H. Collamore as per above mentioned Map; thence deflecting  $40^{\circ} 09' 00''$  to the right and running northwesterly on last named boundary 46.564 feet to the southeasterly boundary of the 10.51 acre parcel acquired from Wells Fargo and Company as above mentioned; thence in a general northeasterly direction following the boundary of said 10.51 acre parcel on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects  $117^{\circ} 59' 26''$  to the right from the preceding course with a radius of 198.03 feet a central angle of  $54^{\circ} 50' 26''$  a distance of 189.544 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 225.81 feet; thence northeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding curve with a radius of 238.80 feet a central angle of  $33^{\circ} 00' 00''$  a distance of 137.539 feet to a point of compound curve; thence northwesterly on the arc of said compound curve with a radius of 215.21 feet a central angle of  $38^{\circ} 00'$  a distance of 142.733 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 105.48 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 87.84 feet a central angle of  $46^{\circ} 00' 00''$  a distance of 70.522 feet to a point of compound curve thence northeasterly on said compound curve with a radius of 163.66 feet a central angle of  $32^{\circ} 00' 00''$  a distance of 91.405 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve 176.62 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 191.68 feet a central angle of  $54^{\circ} 00' 00''$  a distance of 180.654 feet to a point of compound curve; thence northwesterly on said compound curve with a radius of 322.00 feet a central angle of  $27^{\circ} 38' 40''$  a distance of 155.361 feet; thence northwesterly tangent to the preceding curve 16.708 feet to the common boundary between Wells Fargo and Company and the City and County of San Francisco and the point of beginning.

Containing 2.013 acres.

The above described property is required by said City and County of San Francisco for a public use and purpose, to-wit: For the construction, maintenance and use of Twin Peaks Boulevard and for preserving the view therefrom. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said property.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said real property and any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

The City Attorney is further authorized to consent to the incorporation in any decree of condemnation which may be entered in said proceedings such stipulations or conditions for the protection of the rights of the present owners of said Parcels to be condemned in the matter of crossing over the same and maintaining roads and other structures over and across the same as the Court may find to be meet and proper in each case.

The cost of said property shall be paid from Appropriation No. 648.965.58 in an amount not to exceed \$5,000.00, unless an additional authorization is secured.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Mary King.**

Proposal No. 6270, Resolution No. 6048 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Mary King, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the County Clerk of the County of Dallas, State of Texas, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County of San Francisco on real property belonging to said Mary King; and

Whereas, said Mary King, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, is entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6271, Resolution No. 6049 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with letter dated November 26, 1946, from the Director of said Department, and containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, aid denials, increases and suspensions, effective October 1, November 1 and December 1, 1946, be and they are hereby approved; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Agreement With Eleanor Hughes Shields, as Executrix of Estate of Thomas M. Hughes, Deceased.**

Proposal No. 6272, Resolution No. 6050 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25094 (New Series) of this Board accepted a certain deed dated February 16, 1926, from Thomas M. Hughes, to certain property, including an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the Grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the Grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the Grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1946, by Resolution No. 5103 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on November 26, 1945; and

Whereas, Eleanor Hughes Shields has been appointed Executrix under the Last Will and Testament of Thomas M. Hughes, deceased; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended a further extension of said time limit to October 1, 1947; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with said Executrix, dated as of October 1, 1946, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1947, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except only as expressly modified by said agreement.

It is understood that the execution of said agreement on the part of the City shall not be construed as a waiver by the City of any legal defenses the City may have to any cause of action hereafter filed that it may assert by reason of the death of said Thomas M. Hughes.

Approved by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### **Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6273, Resolution No. 6051 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated December 2, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of December, 1946, and January, 1947, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing the Mayor to Make Application to the Federal Works Administrator for a Loan of Plan Preparation Funds for the Proposed West Sunset Civic Center Playground Grounds and West Sunset Recreation Center.**

Proposal No. 6274, Resolution No. 6052 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Act of the Congress of the United States, known as Title 5 of the War Mobilization and Reconversion Act of 1944, and Public Law 269 of the Seventy-ninth Congress, approved December 28, 1945, authorizing the Federal Works Administrator to make advances of funds to non-federal Public Agencies to assist in the plan preparation of their public works; and

Whereas, the said Acts of Congress allow states and political subdivisions thereof to borrow plan preparation moneys without interest for the purpose of planning proposed public works; and

Whereas, said Acts of Congress provide that the payment of this loan shall be made only if, as, and when actual construction starts on the proposed work; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco plan and reasonably expect to construct the herein described public works within the near future; and

Whereas, these public works are known as the West Sunset Civic Center Playground Grounds, and West Sunset Recreation Center; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, Roger D. Lapham, is hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Works Agency for and on behalf of said City and County, for a loan amounting to Twenty-four Thousand Six Hundred and Forty Dollars (\$24,640) for the purposes and under the conditions described above.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mead stated that he did not want the portion having to do with the construction of a museum on Corona Heights incorporated in the proposal now under consideration but that it should be treated as a separate proposal.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he did not consider the proposed site as the proper location because of being far from the center of population and from schools.

Supervisor Mead replied to Mr. Mancuso's statement by saying that while he appreciated the economy-mindedness of the Supervisor, it should not be at the expense of the children of San Francisco.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

The privilege of the floor was accorded to Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department, who told the Board members that the Recreation Department considered purchasing the site in 1936 and actually purchased it in 1940; that the plans for the site were approved and now the Commission was asking that it be allowed to borrow money from the Federal Government; that the site was an ideal one and available to all forms of transportation and that playgrounds were located nearby.

Supervisor MacPhee suggested to Miss Randall that a proposal covering the Corona Heights Museum be referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor Lewis informed the Board that the Finance Committee was not the place to consider policy, but dollars and cents, and asked the President of the Board that the rules of the Board be adhered to and that no matter be sent to the Finance Committee until policy has been determined.

## Point of Order.

Supervisor Lewis rose to a point of order, stating that the matter of the museum was not a proper one for the Board to consider at this time.

The Chair ruled that the point of order was well taken.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6274 was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Christopher—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway, by Amending the Class Numbers of Key Punch Operator, Tabulating Machine Operator and Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.**

Bill No. 4437, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, by amending the class number for item 12.2 from B309c Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand to B309a Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand; by amending the class number for item 12.3 from B310b Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand to B310a Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand, and by amending the class number for item 12.4 from B310.1d Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand to B310.1a Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 72 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	25	A154	Carpenter . . . . .	\$ 14.00 day
1.1	1	A156	Patternmaker . . . . .	13.60 day
1.2	2	A160	Foreman Carpenter . . . . .	15.00 day
1.3	1	A252	Glazier . . . . .	12.68 day
2	2	A354	Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
3	16	A364	Car and Auto Painter . . . . .	14.00 day
3.1	1	A366	Foreman Car and Auto Painter . . . . .	15.00 day
4	1	A370	General Foreman, Car and Auto Paint Shop . . . . . (g 339	
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper . . . . .	210-260
6	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper . . . . .	260-315
7	3	B10	Accountant . . . . .	315-375
8	1	B14	Senior Accountant . . . . .	385-460
8.1	2	B68	Chief Clerk . . . . .	360-430
8.2	4	B102	Teller . . . . .	230-290
8.3	1	B103	Cashier C . . . . .	230-290
8.4	4	B210	Office Assistant . . . . .	140-175
10	65	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
10.1	7	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
11	3	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
12	16	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) . . . . .	185-230
12.2	3	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand . . . . .</b>	160-200
12.3	8	B310a	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand . . . . .</b>	190-240

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
12.4	1	B310.1a	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand</b> . . . . .	240-290
12.41	1		*Senior Tabulating Machine Operator . . . . .	240
12.5	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	230-290
13	35	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
13.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of . . . . .	185-230
13.2	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
14	9	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
14.1	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator . . . . .	230-290
15	24	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
15.1	4	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist . . . . .	230-290

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Consideration Postponed.

**Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Health Service System, by Adding "IBM" After the Words "Tabulating Machine Operator" and "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."**

Bill No. 4439, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 86a, HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM, by amending the class title for item 6 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator," and by amending the class title for item 7 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 86a is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 86a. HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Medical Director . . . . .	(e \$600
2	1	B79	Secretary . . . . .	335-405
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
5	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
6	2	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	190-240
7	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	240-290
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
11	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
11.1	1	C104	Janitor (part time), at rate of . . . . .	155-195
12	2	L70	Physiotherapist . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

## Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor MacPhee, that consideration of Bills Nos. 4439, 4440, 4441, 4442 and 4443 be postponed one week and that a report be rendered by the Civil Service Commission explaining the items in question.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, by Adding "IBM" to Class Title of Tabulating Machine Operator.

Bill No. 4440, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 11, POLICE DEPARTMENT, by amending the class title for item 8 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 11 is hereby amended to read as follows:

## Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3		Commissioner .....	(b) \$100
2	1		Chief of Police .....	(b) 750
3	1		Deputy, Chief of Police.....	(b) 625
4	1		Property Clerk .....	(b) 415
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	(b) 250
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
7	1		Department Secretary .....	(b) 500
8	4	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
10	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
11	2	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
12			Hearing Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 day plus transcription...	
13	14	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
14	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
15	1		Director of Criminal Information	(b) 425
16	1		Director of Personnel .....	(b) 425
17	1		Director of Special Services ...	(b) 325
18	1		Secretary, Police Commission (Captain) .....	(b) 415

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by Adding "IBM" to Class Title of Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.

Bill No. 4441, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU, by amending the class title for item 41 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:



**Section 37.3 PURCHASING DEPARTMENT — TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
41	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> .....	\$240-290
41.1	1	B310.2	Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau..	315-375
42	1	B310.3	Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau .....	315-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Assessor, by Adding "IBM" to Class Titles of Key Punch Operator, Tabulating Machine Operator, and Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.**

Bill No. 4442, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 5, ASSESSOR, by amending class number and title for item 9.1 from B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) to B309 Key Punch Operator, IBM; by amending class title for item 10 by adding letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator"; and by amending the class title for item 11 from B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine Operator and Key Punch Operator to B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Assessor .....	(b) \$666.66
2	1	B99	Confidential Secretary to Assessor	315-375
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office .....	385-460
4	9	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
6	1	B100	Supervisor, Real Property Records, Assessor's Office .....	360-430
7	1	B101	Supervisor, Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office.....	275-345
8	1	B235	Director of Service .....	275-345
9	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman .....	230-290
9.1	4	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> .....	160-200
10	6	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
11	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> .....	240-290
12	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
13	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
14	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
16	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
17	2	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) .....	260-320
18	2	F100	Junior Draftsman .....	210-260

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by Deleting 2 Key Punch Operators (Numerical) and Adding 2 Key Punch Operators, IBM, and Adding "IBM" to Class Titles of Key Punch Operators, Tabulating Machine Operators and Part-time Employments.

Bill No. 4443, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 43 from 1 to 3, and by amending the class number and title from B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) to B309 Key Punch Operator, IBM; by deleting item 43.1, 2 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200; and by amending the class title for item 44 and 44.1 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator", and item 41.1 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator (part time)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.4. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued)**

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	3	B309	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	\$160-200
44	9	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
44.1	1	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> (part-time) . . . . .	190-240
45	3	B325	Blueprinter . . . . .	185-230
46	2	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .	185-230
47	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	230-290
47.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Finally Passed.

Appropriating \$3,850, Retirement System, for Plans and Specifications and Office Conveniences in Connection With Use of Building at 460 McAllister Street; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4445, Ordinance No. 4178 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,850 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide additional funds to cover cost of plans and specifications required in connection with contract for improvements to building at 460 McAllister Street to be used as offices for the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, as well as to provide funds for the cost of necessary shelving, supply lockers and minor office conveniences; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,850 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 932.-500.00-6, to provide additional funds to cover cost of plans and specifications required in connection with contract for improvements to building at 460 McAllister Street to be used as offices for the San

Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, as well as to provide funds for the costs of necessary shelving, supply lockers and minor office conveniences.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The offices occupied by the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System on the second floor of the City Hall are totally inadequate to meet the requirements of this department. The approval of this appropriation will provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Retirement System by providing the additional funds necessary to complete the improvement of the premises at 460 McAllister Street for occupancy as its offices. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Secretary, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Appropriating \$5,000, Public Works Department, for Installation, Maintenance and Repair of Traffic Directional Signs.**

Bill No. 4446, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund to provide additional funds for installation, maintenance and repair of Traffic Directional Signs, as requested by the Police Department, for the balance of the current fiscal year. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose being insufficient, and no other funds available therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 647.903.06, to provide additional funds for installation, maintenance and repair of Traffic Directional Signs, as requested by the Police Department, for the balance of the current fiscal year. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose are insufficient, and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

## Finally Passed.

Appropriating \$20,029.65, Controller, for Payment of Judgments and Claims for Remainder of Fiscal Year; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4447, Ordinance No. 4179 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$20,029.65 from the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Controller's office for the payment of judgments and claims for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$20,029.65 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Controller's office to meet requirements for the payment of judgments and claims for the balance of the fiscal year:

*Appropriation**Number*

660.804.01—Judgments .....	\$15,029.65
660.804.02—Claims .....	5,000.00

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The payment of judgments and claims recommended for payment by the City Attorney and approved by the Board of Supervisors is a required function of the Controller's office. The amount provided for these purposes by the 1946-1947 Budget and Annual Appropriation Ordinance is insufficient to meet requirements for the balance of the fiscal year, due principally to claims for damages during V-J Day riots August 14, 15, 16, 1945, in the amount of \$20,039.53, which were compromised and settled for \$15,029.65. For the uninterrupted operation of the City Attorney's Office and the Controller's Office it is immediately necessary that the amount hereinabove requested be appropriated in order that payment be made of judgments and said claims.

Recommended by the Controller.

Funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Appropriating \$20,000, Chief Administrative Officer, for Alterations to Hospitality House to Accommodate Offices of City Planning Commission, Board of Permit Appeal and Art Commission; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4448, Ordinance No. 4180 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$20,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the purpose of making alterations to the Hospitality House to accommodate the offices of the City Planning Commission, the Board of Permit Appeals and the Art Commission, in accordance with plans prepared by the City Architect; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$20,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 626.-500.79-62, to provide funds for the purpose of making alterations to the Hospitality House to accommodate the offices of the City Planning Commission, the Board of Permit Appeals and the Art Commission, in accordance with plans prepared by the City Architect.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Overcrowding in the City Hall offices due to inadequate office space necessitates the removal of the offices of the City Planning Commission, the Board of Permit Appeals, and the Art Commission, to the Hospitality House so as to allow sufficient office space for the offices of the Traffic Engineering Bureau, Public Utilities Commission, Chief Administrative Officer and the Mayor, and to provide for the uninterrupted operation of the aforementioned offices. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Explanation by Chief Administrative Officer.

Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, informed the members of the Board that the Mayor had been pressing him for more room for Administrative Assistant and that it was intended to house the City Planning Commission, the Art Commission and the Board of Permit Appeals in the Hospitality House.

Supervisor Lewis called the Board's attention to the fact that the proposed housing of the aforementioned City departments was on the site of the proposed new Courts Building, to which Mr. Brooks replied that the construction of the new Courts Building would not take place for several years and that there was much congestion in the City Hall at the present time that had to be taken care of.

President of the Board Dan Gallagher asked the Chief Administrative Officer for his consideration of the Board's request for a room in order to have ready accessibility to the Board's stored records, and Mr. Brooks stated that he would give the request his consideration.

Whereupon the roll was called and Bill No. 4448 was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor Christopher—1.

#### Adopted.

The following Recommendation of Finance Committee (with Supervisor Lewis dissenting), was taken up:

#### Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the United States of America.

Proposal No. 6266, Resolution No. 6044 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California that the Controller, in his capacity as County

Auditor, be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all real property taxes for the years 1944-1945, 1945-1946, 1946-1947, which became a lien on the first Monday in March of 1944, 1945 and 1946 respectively, on the following described property:

Assessor's Lot 6, Assessor's Block 348.

Said property was acquired by the United States of America subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1943.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Sale and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer—2.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following, from Finance Committee with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up:

#### Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1625 Market Street for Recreation Department.

Proposal No. 6246, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with The Steam Fitters Union, Local 590, as Lessors, of the ground floor space known as No. 1625 Market Street, San Francisco.

This lease to be for a period of one year beginning January 1, 1947, and ending December 31, 1947, at a rental of \$250 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

The City shall have the right to renew said lease from year to year for a total additional period of four years, at a rental of \$250 per month.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Mead indicated to the Board that it was his understanding that the location referred to in Proposal No. 6246 was not the best that could be secured.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was extended to Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department, who stated that the location was the best that the department had been able to get and that it was centrally located.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that the site was a poor place for children to go and that it was his understanding that there were school facilities available which the Recreation Department had not made an attempt to procure. Mr. Mancuso continued by stating that it

was his further understanding that there was a considerable duplication of activities and the fact that labor unions and other groups use the facilities at 1625 Market Street certainly was not conducive to the best interests of the children and could not understand how Miss Randall could have selected such a location.

Miss Randall again reiterated that the site in question was the ideal one and centrally located; that she had tried to obtain use of the Hospitality House and the facilities of the High School of Commerce but to no avail. That the proposed site would be used for orchestration for children 12 years of age and up.

Supervisor Colman stated that he heard much praise for the Recreation Department and had occasion to witness exhibitions of music sponsored by the Recreation Department and that he was compelled to trust the judgment of that department in the selection of the proper site.

#### Motion to Refer Defeated.

Supervisor Lewis moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that Proposal No. 6246 be referred to the Education, Parks and Recreation Committee. The roll was called and the motion to refer *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray—4.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Discussion Continued.

Supervisor McMurray stated that the location proposed was a very bad one, that he did not propose to sit as a member of the Board of Supervisors and vote for passage of legislation such as this; that it didn't make sense and that it was a waste of the people's money.

Supervisor Lewis asserted that he had attended the concerts in the Sigmund Stern Grove and found them excellent; however, he could not understand the indifference existing between City departments; that the greater part of the budget was for the educational system; that the Recreation Commission was getting away from its main function, that of promoting activities for children, and that he was for an overall plan whereby money could be expended wisely in carrying out a recreational program for San Francisco.

Supervisor Sullivan expressed the opinion that the proposed site was for business and should not be used for orchestral or symphony work.

#### Consideration Postponed Two Weeks.

Whereupon Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that consideration of Proposal No. 6246 be postponed for two weeks and that the Clerk ascertain from the Director of Property and the Board of Education if sites under their particular jurisdiction could be made available to take care of the proposed needs of the Recreation Department.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

(Supervisor Lewis voting "No.")

**Providing for Licensing of Certain Businesses, Occupations and Callings.**

Bill No. 4084, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto the following sections providing for licensing certain businesses, occupations and callings and the collection of license taxes therefor: Section 84.1 Mercantile Agencies, Section 85 Agents, Real Estate, Section 87 Assayers, Section 101 Brokers, Custom House, Section 102 Barber Shops, Section 103 Bootblack Stands, Section 104 Brokers, Merchandise, Section 105 Brokers, Stock, Section 113 Employment Offices, Section 114 Examiners of Title, Section 117 House Cleaning, Section 121 Laundry Offices, Section 142 Operas in Exposition Auditorium, Section 146 Transfer and Draying Companies, Section 148 Occupations, Section 150 Warehouses, Section 151 Water Filter Companies, Section 153 Stage Line Agencies, Section 154 Dyeing and Cleaning Offices, Section 155 Money Lenders, Section 157 Dealers in Stocks and Bonds, Section 186 Outdoor Advertising Defined, Section 187 Unlicensed Advertising Prohibited, Section 188 License Fees, Time Payable, Section 189 Data to be Furnished to Tax Collector, Section 190 License Fees, Amount of, Section 191 Issuance of License; and providing for a saving clause.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following sections: Section 84.1 Mercantile Agencies, Section 85 Agents, Real Estate, Section 87 Assayers, Section 101 Brokers, Custom House, Section 102 Barber Shops, Section 103 Bootblack Stands, Section 104 Brokers, Merchandise, Section 105, Brokers, Stock, Section 113 Employment Offices, Section 114 Examiners of Title, Section 117 House Cleaning, Section 121 Laundry Offices, Section 142 Operas in Exposition Auditorium, Section 146 Transfer and Draying Companies, Section 148 Occupations, Section 150 Warehouses, Section 151 Water Filter Companies, Section 153 Stage Line Agencies, Section 154 Dyeing and Cleaning Offices, Section 155 Money Lenders, Section 157 Dealers in Stocks and Bonds, Section 186 Outdoor Advertising Defined, Section 187 Unlicensed Advertising Prohibited, Section 188 License Fees, Time Payable, Section 189 Data to be Furnished to Tax Collector, Section 190 License Fees, Amount of, Section 191 Issuance of License; to read as follows:

**SEC. 84.1. Mercantile Agencies.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any mercantile or collection agency or commercial bureau, and all collection agents, shall pay a license as follows:

Those whose gross receipts do not exceed Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross receipts exceed Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars but are less than Seventy-Five Hundred (\$7,500.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross receipts exceed Seventy-Five Hundred (\$7,500.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license of Sixty (\$60.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 85. Agents, Real Estate.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling real estate or houses or collecting rents, shall be deemed a real estate agent or house broker.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling real estate or houses, or collecting rents, shall pay a license fee as follows:



(1) Those whose commissions or fees are less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars per quarter;

(2) Those whose commissions or fees are less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars and not less than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars per quarter;

(3) Those whose commissions or fees are less than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 87. Assayers.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of assaying, smelting or refining ores or precious metals shall pay a license fee as follows:

Those whose gross commissions and percentages amount to more than Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross commissions and percentages amount to less than Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 101. Brokers, Custom House.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business known as custom house or internal revenue broker shall pay a license fee as follows:

Those whose gross commissions or profits are less than Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross commissions or profits are not more than Fifteen Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars, and not less than Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross commissions or profits are over Fifteen Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Forty (\$40.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 102. Barber Shops.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting, maintaining or carrying on a barber shop shall pay a license fee of Seventy-five (75¢) Cents per quarter for each and every barber chair in said shop.

**SEC. 103. Bootblack Stands.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting, maintaining or carrying on a bootblack stand shall pay a license of Seventy-five (75¢) Cents per quarter for each chair installed in said stand.

**SEC. 104. Brokers, Merchandise.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling meats, provisions, produce, goods, wares or merchandise, wines or distilled liquors, drugs or medicines, jewelry or wares or precious metals, on commission as broker for the owner or consignee thereof, shall pay a license fee as follows:

(1) Those whose gross commissions or gross profits amount to Fifty Thousand (\$50,000.00) Dollars or more per quarter, shall pay a license fee of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars per quarter;

(2) Those whose gross commissions or gross profits amount to Twenty Thousand (\$20,000.00) Dollars or more, and less than Fifty Thousand (\$50,000.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license fee of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars per quarter;

(3) Those whose gross commissions or gross profits amount to under Twenty Thousand (\$20,000.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 105. Brokers, Stock.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling mining stocks, bonds, state, county or municipal stocks or bonds, or stocks of incorporated companies or evidences of indebtedness of private persons or of

incorporated companies, as a broker on commission, shall pay a license fee as follows:

(1) Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars per quarter;

(2) Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars, and not less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twenty-Two (\$22.00) Dollars per quarter;

(3) Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars, and not less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Thirty-Two (\$32.00) Dollars per quarter;

(4) Those whose commissions or gross profits are Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license fee of Fifty-Two (\$52.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 113. Employment Offices.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting an employment office shall pay a license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 114. Examiners of Title.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of a searcher of records, making abstracts of title or examiners of title, shall pay a license fee as follows:

Those doing business to the gross amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those doing a business of the gross amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter and not more than Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars per quarter, Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those doing business of the gross amount of Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars per quarter and not more than Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Seventy-Five (\$75.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those doing business of the gross amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter or over, One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 117. House Cleaning.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of house cleaning or window cleaning and employing help in the business, shall pay a license fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter for each place of business.

**SEC. 121. Laundry Offices.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any place or office for the collection or distribution of garments, fabrics, blankets or clothing, washed or to be washed, shall pay for each such place or office a license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 142. Operas in Exposition Auditorium.** Every person, firm or corporation holding or giving an opera in the Exposition Auditorium shall pay a license fee of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars for each day.

**SEC. 146. Transfer and Draying Companies.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise from place to place and using more than one (1) vehicle, whether drawn by horses, propelled by motors or used as a trailer, shall pay a license fee of Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$7.50) per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise from place to place and using only one (1) vehicle, whether drawn by horses, propelled by motors, or

used as a trailer, shall pay a license fee of Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$2.50) per quarter.

**SEC. 148. Occupations.** Every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing, or carrying on or engaging in any of the businesses, professions or occupations hereinafter in this section enumerated, the annual gross receipts of which business amount to less than Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars, Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

\$ 3,000.00 and less than	\$ 5,000.00,	\$ 4.50 per quarter
5,000.00 and less than	7,500.00,	6.00 per quarter
7,500.00 and less than	10,000.00,	7.50 per quarter
10,000.00 and less than	15,000.00,	11.25 per quarter
15,000.00 and less than	20,000.00,	15.00 per quarter
20,000.00 and less than	25,000.00,	18.75 per quarter
25,000.00 and less than	30,000.00,	22.50 per quarter
30,000.00 and less than	40,000.00,	30.00 per quarter
40,000.00 and less than	50,000.00,	37.50 per quarter
50,000.00 and less than	60,000.00,	45.00 per quarter
60,000.00 and less than	70,000.00,	52.50 per quarter
70,000.00 and less than	80,000.00,	60.00 per quarter
80,000.00 and less than	90,000.00,	67.50 per quarter
90,000.00 and less than	100,000.00,	75.00 per quarter
100,000.00 and less than	150,000.00,	112.50 per quarter
150,000.00 and over,		125.00 per quarter

The license fee provided for in this section shall be paid by every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaged in any of the following businesses, professions or occupations:

Advertising counsel, appraiser, architect, attorney-at-law, auditor, accountant, bail bond brokers, chiropractist, chiropractor, civil, electrical or mechanical engineer, dentist, designer or illustrator, show card writer, drugless practitioner, geologist, hairdressing and manicuring parlor, interpreter, insurance adjuster, landscape gardener, lapidary, midwife, naturopath, optician, optometrist, oculist, osteopath or osteopathist, physician, surgeon, veterinary.

No license shall be issued under the provisions of this section to any person to practice as a physician and surgeon, osteopath, naturopath, drugless practitioner, chiropractor, chiropodist, midwife or veterinary, medicine, or any branch thereof, or to practice hairdressing or manicuring in the City and County of San Francisco, unless such person makes affidavit that he possesses an unexpired and unrevoked license entitling the applicant to practice, from duly authorized State Board of Chiropractors, California State Board of Veterinarians, California State Board of Cosmetology, or from any other State Board granting certificates to practice the professions or employments enumerated in this section.

The Tax Collector shall, before issuing any license to engage in the business or occupation of a veterinary under the provisions of this section, require every applicant therefor to make affidavit that he is the person named in the license issued by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

That nothing in this section contained shall be deemed or construed as applying to any person engaged in any of the professions or occupations hereinbefore enumerated, solely as an employee of any other persons, firm or corporation, conducting, managing or carrying on any such business, occupation or profession in the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 150. Warehouses.** Every person, firm or corporation conducting a warehouse business, or engaged in the business of storing

goods, wares or merchandise on any premises, shall pay an annual license fee, as follows:

Where the net area of whose warehouse operations amount to less than one thousand (1,000) square feet, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars:

1,000 to 25,000 square feet.....	\$ 60.00
25,001 to 50,000 square feet.....	100.00
50,001 to 75,000 square feet.....	140.00
75,001 to 100,000 square feet.....	175.00
100,001 to 200,000 square feet.....	200.00
200,001 to 300,000 square feet.....	225.00
300,001 to 400,000 square feet.....	250.00
400,001 and over square feet.....	300.00

**SEC. 151. Water Filter Companies.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of selling or hiring or leasing or renting water filters shall pay a license fee of Five (\$5.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 153. Stage Line Agencies.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any stage line agency for horse or motor vehicles shall pay a license fee of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 154. Dyeing and Cleaning Offices.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting and maintaining an office where wearing apparel and clothes are received to be dyed or cleaned and distributed therefrom shall pay a quarterly license fee based upon the number of employees, as follows:

Where two (2) or less are employed the quarterly license fee shall be Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter;

Where more than two (2) people are employed the license fee shall be Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 155. Money Lenders.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business or occupation of lending money on or purchasing notes, time, wages or salary of laborers, clerks or other wage earners or other persons or negotiating such as third party brokers or agents, whether the same is earned or unearned or in lending money on chattel mortgages or on goods, wares and chattels, and whether said business is conducted in an office or otherwise, shall pay a license fee of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars per quarter.

Provided, however, that a permit to engage in such business must first be procured from the Police Department and presented to the Tax Collector before the latter may issue the license provided for in this section.

**SEC. 157. Dealers in Stocks and Bonds.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling mining stocks, bonds, State, County or Municipal stocks or bonds or stocks of incorporated companies, directly or on margin, shall pay a license fee, as follows:

Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars and not less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twenty-Two (\$22.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars and not less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Thirty-Two (\$32.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose commissions or gross profits are Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license fee of Fifty-Two (\$52.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 186. Outdoor Advertising Defined.** The term "outdoor advertising" as used in Section 187 of this Article is hereby defined to be advertising on any board, fence or structure, or the placing thereon of any poster, bill, printing, painting, device or any advertising matter of any kind whatsoever, and the pasting, posting, painting, printing, nailing or tacking or otherwise fastening of any handbill, card, banner, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind upon any property or place.

**SEC. 187. Unlicensed Advertising Prohibited.** No person, firm or corporation shall engage in or carry on the business or occupation of billposting, advertising sign painting or outdoor advertising or maintaining billboards as defined in Section 1665 of Charter I of Part II of the Municipal Code, without paying the license fee provided for in Section 190 of this Article.

**SEC. 188. License Fees, Time Payable.** The license fee imposed by Section 190 of this Article shall be payable every quarter year and the amount thereof shall be determined by the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required. The term "quarter year" as used in this section and Sections 189 to 191, inclusive of this Article, shall be the three (3) months following the first day of January, April, July and October.

**SEC. 189. Data to Be Furnished to Tax Collector.** Within ten (10) days after the first day of each quarter year, every person, firm or corporation of whom the license fee provided in Section 190 of this Article is required, shall file a written application giving the name and address of fixed place of business of applicant with the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco for the issuance to the applicant of a "Bill Poster and Outdoor Advertising License" and shall accompany said application with a written statement truthfully showing the amount of business done for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year, as measured by the gross earnings for such period from the business or occupation, described in Section 187 of this Article, of the applicant.

**SEC. 190. License Fees, Amount of.** Within twenty (20) days after the first day of every quarter year every person, firm or corporation specified in Section 187 of this Article shall pay to the Tax Collector a license fee, as follows:

#### CLASSIFICATION A

When the amount of the business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be less than One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Seventy-Five (\$75.00) Dollars.

#### CLASSIFICATION B

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, but less than Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Ninety (\$90.00) Dollars.

## CLASSIFICATION C

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars, but less than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be One Hundred Twelve Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$112.50).

## CLASSIFICATION D

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, but less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be One Hundred Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars.

## CLASSIFICATION E

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars, but less than Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Two Hundred and Twenty-Five (\$225.00) Dollars.

## CLASSIFICATION F

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Three Hundred Seventy-Five (\$375.00) Dollars.

If, however, prior to the first day of the quarter year for which the "Bill Poster and Outdoor Advertising License" is applied for, the applicant therefor has not engaged in the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, the amount of the license fee shall be One Hundred Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars for the first quarter or fraction thereof that such applicant shall engage in such business or occupation, payable upon his engaging in such business or occupation. Thereafter such person, firm or corporation shall pay a license fee in accordance with the classification set out; but in case there remain, at the time of the issuance of such license, less than two (2) months of the quarter year in and during which such license is paid, then said license fee shall cover the period of the remainder of said quarter year and of the quarter year next succeeding.

**SEC. 191. Issuance of License.** Upon the payment of the license fee in Section 190 of this Article provided, the Tax Collector shall issue to the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee a license to be known as the "Bill Poster and Outdoor Advertising License" and such payment shall entitle the holder to engage in and carry on the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article for the period for which such payment was made.

**Section 2. Saving Clause.** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision

shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

**Motion to Postpone—Special Order.**

Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, that consideration of Bills Nos. 4084, 4085 and 4086 be postponed two weeks and made a Special Order for 3:30 p. m.

Whereupon the roll was called and consideration of the aforementioned bills was postponed to December 16, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Amending Provisions of Municipal Code Relating to Licensing of Scavenger Vehicles.**

Bill No. 4085, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 140, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to licensing of scavenger vehicles, by changing the basis of license from ownership to operation; eliminating horse drawn vehicles; providing for hearings before the Director of Public Health for violations; providing for the expiration date of licenses and that the fees therefor shall not be prorated or refunded.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 140, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 140. Scavenger Vehicles.** Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving on the public streets of the City and County of San Francisco any vehicle used for the purpose of removing or collecting garbage, house refuse, butcher's offal, putrid animal or vegetable matter, ashes or refuse of any character, shall pay a license fee, as follows:

For each auto truck or vehicle capable of transporting one (1) ton or less, Five (\$5.00) Dollars per annum.

For each auto truck or vehicle capable of transporting more than one (1) ton, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per annum.

The metallic plate issued as a part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size each plate shall be nine (9) inches by two and one-half (2½) inches and shall be so perforated as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle license plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least five-eighths (⅝) inches by three-eighths (⅜) inches and all numbers eight-eighths (8/8) inches by five-eighths (⅝) inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving any scavenger vehicle as above described subject to this license to permit an expired vehicle

license plate to remain on any vehicle after December thirty-first of each calendar year. No substitute for this license shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plates on any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this section.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving any scavenger vehicle as above described to paint thereon or affix thereon, or cause or permit to be used or painted or affixed thereon any number or number plate except the one assigned and issued by the Tax Collector and the one issued as a permit by the Department of Public Health.

The person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving each vehicle used or intended to be used for the purposes hereinabove specified shall obtain a permit as required from the Department of Public Health, and shall have the words "Scavenger Vehicle" painted on both sides of such vehicle in letters not less than four (4) inches in height. This permit shall be renewed annually between the first day of January and the thirty-first day of January of each succeeding year.

When any person, firm or corporation having a license under the provisions of this section shall, after due and proper hearing by the Director of Public Health, be found guilty of violating any sanitary law, ordinance or rule of the Department of Public Health relative to the collection, removal or disposition of the materials or substances hereinabove enumerated, the Director of Public Health shall have the power to revoke the permit and the license so issued, and such person, firm or corporation, before again resuming business, must make application as a new applicant and procure a new license and permit.

All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall expire on the last day of the calendar year in which issued. License fees paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

*December 2, 1946—Consideration postponed to December 16, 1946.*

**Amending Provisions of Municipal Code Relating to Licensing of Vehicles Used for Commercial Purposes.**

Bill No. 4086, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 147, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to licensing of vehicles used for commercial purposes, by changing the basis of license from ownership to operation; eliminating horse drawn vehicles; exempting transit vehicles; providing for the expiration date of licenses and that the fees therefor shall not be prorated or refunded.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 147, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 147. Commercial Vehicles.** Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving for commercial purposes on the public streets of the City and County of San Francisco any truck, motorcycle or other vehicle, propelled by motor or used as a trailer, and used for the purpose of transporting goods, wares or merchandise, shall pay a license fee therefor, as follows:

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting less than three-fourths ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) of a ton, Six (\$6.00) Dollars per annum;

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer



capable of transporting three-fourths ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) of a ton and less than two (2) tons, Seven (\$7.00) Dollars per annum;

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting two (2) tons and less than three (3) tons, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per annum;

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting three (3) tons or over, Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars per annum;

For each motorcycle or tricycle, Three (\$3.00) Dollars per annum.

All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall expire on the last day of the calendar year in which issued. License fees paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded. Provided, however, that any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving for commercial purposes any hereinabove described vehicle which is only occasionally operated within or through the City and County of San Francisco from an outside county or state shall be exempt from the provisions of this section.

The metallic plate issued as a part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) inches, and shall be so perforated as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle license plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least five eighths ( $\frac{5}{8}$ ) inches by three-eighths ( $\frac{3}{8}$ ) inches and all numbers eight-eighths ( $\frac{8}{8}$ ) inches by five eighths ( $\frac{5}{8}$ ) inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving a vehicle subject to this license to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December thirty-first of each calendar year. No substitute for this license plate shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plate in any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this section.

*December 2, 1946—Consideration postponed to December 16, 1946.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Edward T. Haas, Park Commissioner.**

Proposal No. 6275, Resolution No. 6053 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Edward T. Haas, Park Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of December 9 to 14, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Washington I. Kohnke, Member of the Public Utilities Commission.**

Proposal No. 6276, Resolution No. 6054 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Washington I. Kohnke, member of the

Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of November 26, 1946, through December 5, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Approval of Journals Postponed.

Approval of Journals for September 23, 30, October 7, 14, 21 and 28 was postponed one week.

#### Report of the County, State and National Affairs Committee.

##### Motion.

That legislation be prepared for presentation to the 1947 Session of the State Legislature having for its purpose a prohibition against the dumping of garbage at sea.

Adopted by County, State and National Affairs Committee on November 29, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, Meyer, John J. Sullivan.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray.

Supervisor Lewis moved adoption of the motion, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan.

Whereupon the roll was called and the above motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

##### Motion.

That the Board of Supervisors agrees that the Controller, together with the Legislative Representative, should be vested with power to sit with committees of the State Legislature on Public Education and Highways and enter into agreements with those committees so far as financial matters are concerned affecting the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by County, State and National Affairs Committee on November 29, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, John J. Sullivan.

No: Supervisor Mancuso.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray.

##### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso objected to the adoption of the motion, stating that it would tend to grant powers to dictate the financial policy of the City and County of San Francisco and that if the Board favored such action it was making a very serious mistake.

President of the Board Dan Gallagher stated that he believed the Controller and the Legislative Representative should be vested with authority; that San Francisco has not been receiving the subventions properly due her in many cases and in the present case a program is being worked out so that with the aid of Mr. Ross we will be able to get a little more.

##### Motion to Amend.

Whereupon Supervisor Mancuso moved that the motion be changed

to read: “. . . urge that the Controller go to Sacramento for the purpose of advising the Legislative Representative, our Senators and our Assemblymen as to finances when these matters come before the Legislature.”

The motion *failed* for want of a second.

#### Motion to Defer Action.

Whereupon President of the Board Dan Gallagher moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that the matter remain on the Calendar for a period of one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Motion.

That the Board of Supervisors requests the Legislative Representative to prepare for presentation to the 1947 Session of the State Legislature, a bill to provide for State subvention to San Francisco for construction and/or operation of Juvenile Detention, Welfare and Probation facilities and the administration thereof.

Adopted by County, State and National Affairs Committee on November 29, 1946.

Ayes: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, Meyer, John J. Sullivan.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray.

Supervisor Lewis moved, seconded by the President of the Board, Dan Gallagher, that the foregoing motion be adopted.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

**Memorializing Federal Housing Administration to Grant Building Priorities to San Francisco Citizens Evicted From Their Homes Because of the Building of Freeways.**

Supervisor Lewis presented:

Proposal No. 6283, Resolution No. 6055 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there has existed in San Francisco for the past several years a very acute housing shortage due to the influx of population during the war and because of the many veterans who have returned since the Armistice; and

Whereas, this condition will become more acute due to the eviction of hundreds of families, resulting from the construction of freeways in certain sections in this city; and

Whereas, it is vitally essential that steps be taken to provide measures of relief to these families, who through no fault of theirs, will be rendered homeless and will be unable to find living accommodations; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize the Federal Housing Administration through the Civilian Production Administration to grant building material priorities to such of those citizens of San Francisco as now find themselves being evicted from their homes because of the building of freeways and whose intention and desire it is to again build homes; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Federal Housing Administration, Washington, D. C.; to Wilson W. Wyatt, Administrator, National Housing Agencies; to Senators

Downey and Knowland and Representatives Welch and Havenner with the request that they lend their support to effect the granting of the priorities in this resolution requested.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Approving Canvass of Votes Cast at General Election Held  
Tuesday, November 5, 1946.

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6284, Resolution No. 6056 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, a General Election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 5, 1946; and

Whereas, the vote and returns from said General Election have been duly canvassed and the results thereof duly ascertained; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That as to the volume on file in the office of the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Statement of Votes, General Election, November 5, 1946," bearing the identifying letters, "BQ," that section of said volume now containing a statement of the vote cast at the General Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 5, 1946, be and the same is hereby approved and the same shall constitute the record of the official canvass of the votes cast at said General Election.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

**President of the Board Excused From Meeting.**

President of the Board Dan Gallagher asked that he be excused. *No objection and so ordered.*

Supervisor Gallagher excused at 6:20 p. m.

**Recommendations of His Honor the Mayor.**

The following recommendations of his Honor the Mayor were taken up:

Leave of Absence—Honorable Edward D. Keil, President of the Art Commission.

Proposal No. 6285, Resolution No. 6057 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Edward D. Keil, President of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, starting November 30, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors MacPhee, McMurray—2.

Leave of Absence—Honorable William Coffman,  
Recreation Commissioner.

Proposal No. 6286, Resolution No. 6058 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable William Coffman, Recreation Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing November 28 and ending December 7, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee, McMurray—3.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$1,140 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Recreation Department for the Repair of Boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse; an Emergency Ordinance.**

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4450, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,140 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Recreation Department for the repair of boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,140 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.213.00, Repairs to Public Buildings, Recreation Department, to provide funds for the repair of boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The repair of this boiler, which supplies steam heat and hot water for a large fieldhouse in use both day and night, is immediately necessary for the protection of life and property of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. This fieldhouse serves a large neighborhood community and the gymnasium is also used for boys and girls inter-playground activity. This expenditure could not be anticipated for budget purposes as the condition of the boiler was discovered on a periodic survey made by the insurance company which carries the boiler insurance. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Superintendent of the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Appropriating the Sum of \$12,655.51 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Office of the Board of Supervisors for Official Printing Requirements for the Balance of the Fiscal year; an Emergency Ordinance.**

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4451, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$12,655.51 out of the Emergency Re-

serve Fund to provide funds in the office of the Board of Supervisors for official printing requirements for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,655.51 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.-234.01, Official Printing, Board of Supervisors, to provide funds for official printing requirements for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The approval of this ordinance will provide funds for the balance of the fiscal year for official printing, as required by law, and is necessary to the uninterrupted operation of the Board of Supervisors. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose will be insufficient and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

An Ordinance Adding a New Section to Article 2, Part I, of the Municipal Code, Relating to Approval of Arguments by Board of Supervisors.

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4452, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 2, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto a new section numbered 38, relating to the approval by the Board of Supervisors of printed arguments authorized by it regarding measures submitted by it to the electorate.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section numbered 38, as follows:

**SEC. 38. Approval of Printed Arguments Authorized by Board for Measures Submitted to Electorate.** Any printed argument authorized by the Board of Supervisors to be mailed to the electorate by the Registrar of Voters for or against any measure submitted to the electorate by the Board of Supervisors shall be approved by it by resolution. The full text of the argument authorized shall be stated in the resolution. Such resolution may be adopted by the Board of Supervisors by six or more affirmative votes, and shall be adopted, if possible, at the meeting at which the related measure is ordered submitted to the electorate. No argument stating or purporting to be authorized by the Board of Supervisors shall be accepted or mailed to the electorate by the Registrar of Voters, unless it is approved as provided in this section.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Legislation Called From Committee.

Supervisor Christopher requested that Proposal No. 6222 (Series of 1939), be withdrawn from Committee on County, State and Na-

tional Affairs and presented to the Board for its consideration at its meeting on Monday, December 9, 1946.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Street Signs.

Supervisor Lewis informed the Board that he had received a letter from the Director of Public Works with respect to action taken by that department in making the street signs more legible.

No action taken.

#### Reply From State Department Regarding Status of Archbishop Stepinac.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he had received a communication from the State Department regarding the incarceration of Archbishop Stepinac to the effect that the United States Government was making such moves at its disposal to impress upon the Yugoslavian Government the necessity of adhering to the basic humanitarian principles of human conduct.

No action taken.

#### Preparation of Charter Amendment Regarding Proposed Supervisorial Changes.

Supervisor Mancuso asked that the Clerk communicate with the City Attorney's office for the purpose of having a Charter amendment prepared, cutting the number of Supervisors to seven, making the position a full-time one at \$10,000 per year, holding regular meetings twice a week, Mondays and Wednesdays at 2:00 p.m., and devoting the remainder of the week attending to matters affecting the general public and department heads.

*No objection to the request and so ordered.*

#### Urging Citizens of San Francisco to Contribute Discarded Clothing for the Relief of Stricken Families of Europe.

Supervisors Gallagher and MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6287, Resolution No. 6059 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, a national "Clothe the War Stricken" drive has been commenced in San Francisco on the 1st day of December, 1946, by the War Relief Services; and

Whereas, the objective of the drive is to obtain clothing for distribution without regard to race or creed among the destitute war stricken families of Europe and the Far East who otherwise will suffer inestimable hardship and privation; and

Whereas, the national quota is eight million garments and the San Francisco quota is 200,000 garments; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Fire Houses and Catholic Churches will serve as depots; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the citizens of San Francisco are urged to scour attic, basement and closet to find and contribute discarded or worn articles of clothing and pairs of shoes for distribution among destitute war stricken families in Europe and the Far East and to deliver said articles to the nearest Fire House or Catholic Church.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, Mancuso, McMur-ray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Gallagher, MacPhee—2.

#### Board Members to Meet With Clerk of the Board to Discuss Policies Affecting the Conduct of the Office.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that the Board members meet with the Clerk of the Board next Monday, December 9, 1946, at 1 o'clock p.m.

for the purpose of discussing policies affecting the conduct of the Clerk's office.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Meetings.

By Supervisor Colman: Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, Friday, December 6, 2:00 p. m.

By Supervisor Mancuso: Finance Committee, Wednesday, December 4, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

Hearing at 2:30 p.m. on matter submitted by Mr. Wilson of the Car-men's Union.

By Supervisor McMurray: Police Committee, Wednesday, December 4, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:45 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 23, 1946.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.



Monday, December 9, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 9, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

## Communications.

From the Civil Service Commission, requesting transmission of certain legislation to the Legislature in time for consideration at its next session.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Civil Service Association, the A. F. L. Council of City Employees, and the San Francisco City and County Employees Local No. 747, favoring proposed amendment to the State law which will place Municipal Court employees under the rules and regulations of the Civil Service Commission.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Controller, summary of issuance and disposition of traffic citations for October, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the State Department of Industrial Relations, transmitting copies of certain Safety Orders and Resolutions.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the County Supervisors Association, copy of California Legislature pamphlet entitled "A Proposed System of Highway Financing for the State of California."

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the Mayor's Public Service Director, reporting on negotiations in the matter of additional emergency housing for San Francisco veterans.

*Ordered filed; copies given to all members of the Board.*

From the Civil Service Commission, reporting on necessity for changing class titles for operators of tabulating equipment.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Waterfront Employers Association, concluding report, No. 11, on Pacific Coast maritime tie-up from October 1 to November 23, 1946.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Manager of Utilities, reporting on the proposed Monorail system of transportation.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Potrero District Coordinating Council, requesting support for construction of Branch Library at Twentieth and Connecticut Streets.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

From the Central Council of Civic Clubs, opposing establishment of a children's Recreational Center at 1625 Market Street.

*Consideration postponed for one week.*

From the Confidential Secretary to the Mayor, announcing meeting of the Peninsula Division, League of California Cities, Wednesday, December 11th, in San Jose.

*Poll of the Board taken; Clerk to transmit results of poll to writer.*

From the County Supervisors Association, announcing emergency meeting of Board of Directors, Los Angeles, December 18th.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Director of Public Welfare, report of non-resident cases and assistance for the month of October, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Chief Administrative Officer, reporting on complaints relative to relocation of side sewer traps in Guerrero Street.

*Referred to Streets Committee; complainants to be notified.*

From the City Planning Commission, advising that it will be prepared in a few days to propose a sound program whereby the coordination of City agencies could be obtained and the answers to San Francisco's baffling transportation problems could be learned.

*Consideration postponed for two weeks; copy of letter to be sent each member of the Board.*

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis stated that he was certainly glad to hear that there had been no coordinated action by departments relative to the over-all plan and that two weeks from today the Board would consider a proposal requesting the Mayor to appoint a Coordinating Council looking to the formulation of an overall transportation and traffic plan. Supervisor Lewis asked that the individual members of the City Planning Commission as well as other members of other commissions interested be requested to be present at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors two weeks from today.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Consideration of Communication from Francis McCarty, Attorney for Hospital and Institutional Workers Union, Local 250, A. F. L.

The Clerk read a communication from Francis McCarty, attorney for the Hospital and Institutional Workers Union, Local 250, A. F. L., requesting that the City and County of San Francisco create self-insurance to protect officers and employees in the Department of Public Health.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Christopher stated that this matter had been originally presented by him some time ago and referred to the Finance Committee and that he was assured by Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, that the matter would be taken care of pending enactment of the necessary legislation.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that the matter had been referred to the City Attorney's office for preparation of legislation and he had been informed that legislation could not legally be had on the subject.

Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, informed the Board that the subject-matter was brought up due to the can-

cellation of the insurance policy for the Director of Public Health and that for the Acting Superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital, Dr. T. E. Albers; that the carrier was of the opinion that only Drs. Geiger and Albers were covered by the policy, whereas it was subsequently developed that the policy covered all the employees under the jurisdiction of Drs. Geiger and Albers, and accordingly withdrew the policy. Mr. Brooks continued by saying that there was only one source from which the City could purchase insurance and that from a company in London; that he had been in touch with the agent of the company last Friday and was given the assurance that all information was forwarded to London and would receive a reply by the end of this week as to just what the carrier will charge for the issuance of such a policy.

Supervisor Christopher added that if the Charter does not permit of such legislation then a means must be found of doing it legally; that the men involved are quite apprehensive and their apprehension is well taken, and would recommend that measures be taken to self-insure themselves if the London carrier did not see fit to insure the parties involved.

The communication from Francis McCarty, attorney, was referred to the *Public Health and Welfare Committee*.

**Consideration of Communication from the Carmen's Union, Division 1380, A. F. L., Requesting Discussion of Charter Amendment Adopted by the People Relating to Municipal Railway Employees.**

The Clerk read letter from the Carmen's Union, Division 1380, A. F. L., requesting discussion of the application of the Charter amendment approved at the November election affecting Municipal Railway employees.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mancuso told the members that the Board had referred this matter to the Finance Committee some time ago and the matter was discussed last Friday, but no quorum was present, and that in all fairness he believed that the Board should hear the matter; that several parties had made special trips for this meeting and that as a matter of courtesy this matter should be heard by the Board today.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that he agreed with the views expressed by Supervisor Mancuso; that Supervisor Mancuso attempted to hold a meeting but was unable to do so; that while this matter was not a proper one for the Board to consider at this time, still in deference to the gentlemen who came to San Francisco for the express purpose of making their presentation, they should be heard and then the matter should be referred to the Finance Committee, where other interested citizens could be heard and a recommendation brought into the Board.

President of the Board Dan Gallagher agreed that the plan outlined by Supervisor MacPhee was the proper procedure.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

The privilege of the floor was moved by Supervisor Mancuso for Mr. E. L. Oliver, representing the international as well as the local union covering street, electric railway and motor coach employees.

Mr. Oliver informed the Board members that it was most important that the problem of labor relations on the Municipal Railway be solved at the earliest possible moment; that many problems existed such as grievances, the necessity of arriving at proper rates for auxiliary services, such as accident reporting, travel time and the like; that there were two problems confronting the City and the unions in an endeavor to arrive at an amicable solution with the

minimum of friction: one, whether or not the Charter permitted any adjustments of wage schedules other than the basic hourly wage, and two, if permitted under the Charter, how is the City and the employees going to determine the type of adjustments to be made in the future; that the Charter provides for adjustments in compensations and if the City had authority to make payments for auxiliary services up to now, it followed that the City had authority to correct and modify them and, in addition, make payments for other services not specifically paid for; that the two highest rates in the State of California should be used as a basis for adjustment and that this policy was very important with respect to uniformity.

Mr. Oliver continued by saying that the Civil Service Commission, in analyzing this problem, will have to take into consideration payment for auxiliary services and that specific procedure be set up to apply to these services rendered by employees; that the Civil Service Commission hold a hearing, preferably in January, at which time pertinent data relative to payments being made for auxiliary services by other railway companies in the State of California would be submitted to the Commission. That steps be taken to eliminate the danger of unrest that exists on the Municipal Railway; that much study should be given the problems of assignment of work, basis for compensation, schedules of operation, problems of safety and sanitation, matters of discipline, problems arising out of the handling of grievances, all these being prolific sources of unrest. The City should take advantage of the experience of industry within the last half century in that the problems of labor relations are very important; that the union in this matter has been serving for more than 53 years and has been following the policy of meeting all problems by arbitration.

Mr. Oliver concluded by asserting that in considering the various phases of the labor relations problem the City should ask: "Is grievance machinery desirable? Is such machinery possible under City or State law?" We do feel that the principle is sound and that it can be done under the City Charter. What the union wants to do is to meet the City more than half-way in the adjustment of problems that exist with respect to payment for auxiliary services and in the handling of grievances. If the Board does refer this matter to the Civil Service Commission, Mr. Oliver asked that he or the union be given enough time to prepare factual data for submission to that body.

#### Reference to Committee.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that, after listening to Mr. Oliver, he was of the opinion the matter should be considered by the Civil Service Commission and the Public Utilities Commission.

Supervisor Lewis registered an objection to the matter being referred to the Finance Committee by reason of the rules which state that matters of policy, not determined, should not go to the Finance Committee.

*The Chair referred the matter to the Finance Committee.*

#### Re Medical Examinations for Appointment to Police and Fire Departments.

Communication from the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the American Legion, the Disabled American Veterans, the Rehabilitation Counselor of the American Legion, the San Francisco Firefighters Post No. 97 of the American Legion, Charles H. Nelson, and Francis Scott, protesting disqualifications for medical reasons in connection with examinations for appointment to Police and Fire Departments.

The Clerk read a resolution submitted by the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the American Legion and the Disabled American Veterans

requesting the Board of Supervisors to appoint a committee whose purpose it is to appear before the meeting of the Civil Service Commission at its December 11th meeting for the purpose of determining in their own minds the justice of protests filed with the Civil Service Commission regarding a number of rejections due to physical and medical reasons.

#### Privilege of the Floor—Discussion.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. M. C. Hermann, representing the Veterans of Foreign Wars.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Mr. Hermann urged that the Board adopt the resolution and appoint a committee for the purpose of attending the meeting to be held by the Civil Service Commission on Wednesday, December 11, 1946, and determining, if possible, if injustice has been done to the several applicants for the Police and Fire Departments in connection with their physical rejection.

Supervisor Colman asked for a statement by the Civil Service Commission's representative, and Otto Sues of the Commission's staff informed the Board members that the Civil Service Commission had set up definite conditions in the scope circulars for the examinations; that the protests on the medical examination had not as yet been heard by the Commission but would undoubtedly be heard at the coming Wednesday meeting; and that it has been the practice of the Civil Service Commission to accept the statements of the medical examiners and if the Board feels that any good can come of their attending the meeting of the Commission, the Commission would be glad to have them.

Supervisor MacPhee pointed out that if the Board decided to refer the matter to the Judiciary Committee, he, as one member, would be glad to attend the meeting, but that he would object to the suspension of the rules for the purpose of considering the resolution.

#### Suspension of the Rules.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan moved the suspension of the rules for the purpose of considering the resolution. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

#### Motion Lost.

Supervisor Colman moved that the "whereases" in the resolution be stricken.

*Motion lost for want of a second.*

At this point Otto Sues, representing the Civil Service Commission, interposed, stating that the physical examinations were continuing and that it would take at least two weeks to finish the job, and that there may be further protests.

#### Suspension of the Rules Carried.

The roll was thereupon called on Supervisor John J. Sullivan's motion to suspend the rules and it *carried* by the following vote:

**Ayes**—Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Motion to Amend.

Supervisor Christopher moved as an amendment that "it be the consensus of opinion that no list be adopted until report has been made by the Civil Service Commission to the full Board"

Motion seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan.

At this point the President of the Board told the Board members that should the Board adopt the resolution it would have to go to the Mayor for his signature and before the Mayor could sign it, the meeting of the Civil Service Commission would be over, and suggested that the proper way to handle this matter should be by motion to the effect "that the President appoint a committee to attend the hearing before the Civil Service Commission and attempt to decide in their own minds, etc."

Whereupon, Supervisor John J. Sullivan, acting upon the suggestion of the President, moved accordingly. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor John J. Sullivan thereupon withdrew the resolution that had heretofore been before the Board and the Chair ruled that Supervisor John J. Sullivan's motion was now properly before the Board.

#### Motion Amended.

Supervisor Christopher moved as an amendment, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, that "it be the sense of this Board that the Civil Service Commission be respectfully requested not to adopt the lists until a full report has been made to this Board."

Supervisor Colman informed the Board that he would vote against the amendment on the ground that it had the effect of telling the Commission what or what not to do.

#### Roll Call on Amendment.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the motion to amend *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso—3.

#### Roll Call on Motion as Amended.

The entire motion now read:

"That the President of the Board of Supervisors appoint a committee of Supervisors to attend the Civil Service Commission meeting of December 11th to observe and report to the Board in connection with those protests registered against the action of the Commission rejecting certain applicants in medical examinations prerequisite to eligibility on lists for appointment to the Fire and Police Departments, and that it be the sense of this Board that the Civil Service Commission be respectfully requested not to adopt the lists until a full report has been made to this Board."

The roll was called on the motion as amended and it *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Appointment of Committee.

The President of the Board, Dan Gallagher, appointed the members of the Judiciary Committee, composed of Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis and Mancuso as well as Supervisors John J. Sullivan and J. Joseph Sullivan as the committee to attend the meeting of the Civil Service Commission on Wednesday, December 11, 1946.

Communication From Acting Mayor Hon. Chester R. MacPhee, Urging Appropriation for Repair of Boiler at the Glen Park Fieldhouse.

The Clerk read a communication from the Acting Mayor, Hon. Chester R. MacPhee, urging that appropriation of \$1,140 be passed



by the Board for the replacement of a boiler at the Glen Park Fieldhouse

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis stated that it was his opinion that the matter was an emergency and should be considered at this time.

Supervisor Mancuso, Chairman of the Finance Committee, took exception, saying that he did not consider the request an emergency as construed by the Charter.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that the matter was submitted by Mayor Lapham before he went East and due to the fact that he was not acquainted with the circumstances he took it upon himself to make an investigation of the Glen Park Fieldhouse. He found that the boiler had been condemned by the insurance company and accordingly no heat was available. The boiler had been disassembled and as a result parts were strewn all over the place. The temperature at 10 a. m. was found to be 46 degrees and that no one should be expected to use the premises under those conditions. Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department, had informed him that she would be compelled to close the premises unless heat were made available.

Supervisor Mead stated that while he respected the economy-mindedness of Supervisor Mancuso, he certainly did not at the expense of the people, and whether anybody agreed with him or not, it was his belief that the existing condition constituted an emergency and one that should be promptly remedied.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Mancuso rose to a point of order, stating that the matter was not before the Board at the present time.

The Chair ruled that the point of order was well taken.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that if Supervisors Mead and Lewis signed the proposal, it could then be considered by the Board.

The Chair *referred the matter to the Finance Committee.*

#### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Finally Passed.

The following from Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Mancuso.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd.**

Bill No. 4427, Ordinance No. 4183 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended, and the City Attorney having approved the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd, being recovery of loss by said City and County of San Francisco on account of personal injuries sustained by Pauletta Baugh on the 29th day of July, 1945, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of the employment of said Pauletta Baugh as motorette on a Municipal Railway streetcar of the City and County of San Francisco when said streetcar was struck by the automobile operated by Norma Lloyd and owned by Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd at

Church and Sixteenth Streets in the City and County of San Francisco, the loss to said City and County being \$346.15 including compensation paid while said Pauletta Baugh was absent from her employment and the cost of medical and hospital services provided; and the said Norma Lloyd and Raymond Lloyd having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the amount of \$175, the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim for said amount of \$175.

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Settlement approved and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$900 Contractual Services, Steinhart Aquarium, and \$415.60 From General Fund Compensation Reserve to Increase Salary Rates for Personnel to Conform to Rates Paid Civil Service Employees.**

Bill No. 4428, Ordinance No. 4184 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$900 from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 619.200.00, Contractual Services, Steinhart Aquarium, and the sum of \$415.60 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds to increase salary rates for personnel employed in the Steinhart Aquarium on a contractual basis so as to bring their salaries in line with rates paid civil service employees.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$900 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 619.200.00, Contractual Services, Steinhart Aquarium, and the sum of \$415.60 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, to the credit of Appropriation No. 619.200.00, to provide funds for the purpose of increasing salary rates for the following personnel employed at the Steinhart Aquarium on a contractual basis in order to bring their salaries in line with rates paid civil service employees:

	Monthly Salary Now Provided	Proposed Monthly Salary	Additional Amount Required 7 Months
1 General Clerk-Stenographer, p. t.	\$ 70.50	\$79	\$ 59.50
1 Senior Clerk-Stenographer, p. t.	75.00	79	28.00
1 Dressing Room Maid, p. t.	75¢ hr.	80¢ hr.	19.60
1 Gallery Attendant, p. t.	62.00	64-68	34.00
1 Senior Librarian, p. t.	54.00	60-62.50	54.50
1 Director, Steinhart Aquarium	250.00	275	175.00
1 Curator, Aquatic Biology	310.00	355-375	415.00
2 Aquatic Biologic Assistants: 1 @ 240 (a @ 270-283			530.00
1 @ 250 (			
<b>Total</b> .....			<b>\$1,315.60</b>

Recommended by the Director, Steinhart Aquarium.  
 Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.  
Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Appropriating \$2,500 From Water Revenue Fund for Employment of Special Counsel in That Action Pending in Superior Court Entitled: Holm v. City & County of San Francisco.**

Bill No. 4430, Ordinance No. 4185 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$2,500 out of the surplus in Appropriation No. 666.990.00, Surplus, Water Revenue Fund, to provide funds for the employment of special counsel, pursuant to the provisions of Section 126 of the Charter, for the specific purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco in the trial of that certain action pending in the local Superior Court entitled: Holm v. City and County of San Francisco, No. 357476.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$2,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in Appropriation No. 666.990.00, Surplus, Water Revenue Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 666.266.00, to provide funds for the employment of special counsel, pursuant to the provisions of Section 126 of the Charter, for the specific purpose of representing the City and County of San Francisco in the trial of that certain action pending in the local Superior Court entitled: Holm v. City and County of San Francisco, No. 357476.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray—4.

Absent: Supervisor Mead—1.

**Amending Part I, Article 1 of the Municipal Code, Authorizing Library Department to Become Member of the San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association.**

Bill No. 4436, Ordinance No. 4191 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Part I, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 20, authorizing the Library Department to become a member of the San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association; providing for payment of annual expenses of said membership.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Part I, Article 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated Section 20, reading as follows:

Sec. 20. Library Department Authorized to Become Member of San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association. It being for the interest and benefit of the City and County of San Francisco that the Library Department thereof become a member of the San Francisco Chapter, American Marketing Association, for and on behalf of said City and County, said Library Department is therefore

authorized and directed to join said Association and to represent said City and County therein. The annual expense of said membership shall be allowed and paid out of such funds as may be annually appropriated or set aside for such purpose.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

#### Final Passage.

The following from Streets Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Meyer.

#### Granting Spur Track Permit to Alchar California Corporation.

Bill No. 4413, Ordinance No. 4182 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Granting permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a spur track and loading platform in Bancroft Avenue between Mendell Street and Third Street and repealing Ordinance No. 4039 (Series of 1939).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the recommendation of the Director of Public Works permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a spur track in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue, the center line of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of Southern Pacific Company existing drill track in Mendell Street said point being distant 30 feet, more or less, from the intersection of said center line with the prolongation of the southerly line of Armstrong Avenue; thence diverging from said existing track through a No. 7 turnout in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street for a distance of 62 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing along and across Mendell Street in a southeasterly direction on a tangent for a distance of 105 feet, more or less, to a point; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along and across Mendell Street and along and across Bancroft Avenue, on a curve concave to the left, having a radius of 249.22 feet for a distance of 228 feet, more or less, to a point in Bancroft Avenue, said point being distant 19.25 feet, measured at right angles in a northerly direction from the southerly line of Bancroft Avenue; thence continuing in a southeasterly direction along Bancroft Avenue on a tangent parallel to said southerly line of Bancroft Avenue for a distance of 469 feet, more or less, to a point 45 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Third Street and the end of proposed track.

Section 2. Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Section 114 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Ordinance 69 (New Series), now codified as Sections 555 to 570 inclusive of Article 11, Chapter 10, Part 2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code and all provisions and conditions contained in said sections are hereby made a part of this permit as if they were specifically set forth herein.

Section 3. The Alchar California Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night light arc lamps to be placed where directed by

the Bureau of Light, Heat, and Power of the Public Utilities Commission.

Section 4. All work shall be done to the satisfaction and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works, and any interference with the natural drainage must be corrected to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Section 5. Permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Alchar California Corporation to construct, operate, and maintain a loading platform 12 feet wide in the southwesterly sidewalk area of Bancroft Avenue extending from a point 50 feet, more or less, northwesterly from Third Street northwesterly 400 feet, more or less.

Section 6. Plans and specifications for said platform shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works before beginning construction and all work shall be done in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. The Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless against loss or expense caused by accident or damage to persons or property resulting from or in consequence of the construction of said platform.

Section 8. Upon revocation or abandonment of the permit for the above platform, the Alchar California Corporation, its successors or assigns in interest, shall remove or cause to be removed, without cost or obligation to the City and County of San Francisco, all material used for or in connection with said platform.

Section 9. Ordinance No. 4039 (Series of 1939), approved September 19, 1946, granting permission to Alchar California Corporation to construct, maintain, and operate a spur in Mendell Street and Bancroft Avenue and a loading platform in Bancroft Avenue between Mendell Street and Third Street is hereby repealed.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

#### Changing and Establishing Grades on Portions of O'Farrell Street and St. Joseph's Avenue.

Bill No 4431, Ordinance No. 4186 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing the official grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell Street between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and fifty feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 23rd day of September, 1946, by Resolution No. 5846 (Series of 1939) declare its intention to change and establish the grades in accordance with that certain diagram entitled, "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell St. between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of

Broderick Street and 50 feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street"; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Grades at the points and to the elevations above City base are hereby changed and established as shown on that certain diagram approved September 23, 1946, by Resolution No. 5846 (Series of 1939) entitled, "Grade map showing the proposed change and establishment of official grades on O'Farrell Street between the west line of St. Joseph's Avenue and a line parallel with the east line of Broderick Street and 50 feet easterly therefrom, and on St. Joseph's Avenue between the north line of O'Farrell Street and the south line of Ellis Street."

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

**Improvement of Lakeview Avenue Between Ashton and Jules Avenues, Including Intersection of Lakeview Avenue and Jules Avenue, by Grading.**

Bill No. 4432, Ordinance No. 4187 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

On Lakeview Avenue between Ashton and Jules Avenues including the intersection of Lakeview Avenue and Jules Avenue, by grading to official line and subgrade.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 15, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of

payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

Lakeview Avenue between Ashton and Jules Avenues including the intersection of Lakeview Avenue and Jules Avenue, by grading to official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

Item No.	Item
1.	Grading (Excavation)
2.	8-inch V.C.P. Sewer
3.	10-inch V.C.P. Culvert
4.	Brick Manholes, Complete
5.	Brick Catchbasins, Complete
6.	8 x 6-inch V.C.P. "Y" Branches
7.	6-inch V.C.P. Side Sewers
8.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
9.	Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
10.	Class "E" Concrete Pavement
11.	Two-course Concrete Sidewalk
12.	Water Services, Long
13.	Water Services, Short

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as:

Block 7012, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26; Block 7013, Lots 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34; Block 7054, Lots 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51 and 52.

All being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

#### Accepting Roadways of Various Streets.

Bill No. 4433, Ordinance No. 4188 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Thirty-sixth Avenue between Noriega and Ortega Streets; Forty-third Avenue between Quintara and Rivera Streets; Rivera Street between Forty-third Avenue and Forty-fourth Avenue, including the crossings of Rivera Street and Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Thirty-sixth Avenue between Noriega and Ortega Streets; Forty-third Avenue between Quintara and Rivera Streets; Rivera Street between Forty-third Avenue and Forty-fourth Avenue, including the

crossings of Rivera Street and Forty-third and Forty-fourth Avenues, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

**Accepting Roadway of Forty-fifth Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4434, Ordinance No. 4189 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit: Forty-fifth Avenue between Ulloa Street and Vicente Street, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Lewis, Mead—2.

**Authorizing Agreement With Kraft Foods Company Regarding Construction of a Concrete Enclosure Around the City's Sewer West of Newhall Street.**

Bill No. 4435, Ordinance No. 4190 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing agreement with Kraft Foods Company regarding construction of a concrete enclosure around the City's sewer west of Newhall Street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a written agreement with Kraft Foods Company in substantially the following form:

"This agreement, made as of the . . . . day of . . . . ., 1946, by and between Kraft Foods Company, a Delaware corporation, hereinafter for convenience called 'Kraft,' and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter for convenience called 'City,' witnesseth:

"Whereas, Kraft is the owner of a certain parcel of real property located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows:

"Beginning at the point of intersection of the present north-westerly line of Newhall Street and the northeasterly line of



Empire Lane; running thence northeasterly along said line of Newhall Street 298.135 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 404.16 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 295.34 feet, a distance of 72.16 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly belonging to the Estate of Frank H. Gardiner, deceased; thence south 15° 25' 16" west along the last mentioned boundary line 7.06 feet to an angle point therein; thence continuing along said northwesterly boundary line south 15° 26' 09" west 106.514 feet to an angle point therein; thence continuing along said northwesterly boundary line south 15° 23' 19" west 106.546 feet to the northeasterly line of Egbert Avenue; thence southeasterly along said line of Egbert Avenue 262.262 feet to its intersection with the northeasterly line of Empire Lane; thence southeasterly along said line of Empire Lane 224.918 feet to the point of beginning.

"Containing 130,635 square feet, more or less; and

"Whereas, the City is the owner of a subsurface easement for a 5' 9" diameter concrete sewer, the center line of which is located along the center line of Donner Avenue produced, which sewer passes through said real estate; and

"Whereas, Kraft desires further to improve its said real property by the construction thereon of a factory building for the processing of food, which building will be located over the sewer, but cannot safely proceed with said construction and the substantial investment involved unless it is assured that the City will perform its duty of keeping the sewer in repair and exercise its right of access for that purpose in such a manner as will not damage the proposed building or interfere with the operations to be conducted therein; and

"Whereas, the City is unwilling to permit the construction of said building in any manner which will not permit a reasonable means of access to the sewer for the purpose of inspecting it and making repairs thereto; and

"Whereas, the City, through the Department of Public Works, and Kraft have collaborated in the preparation of the plan for that part of said building that is adjacent to the sewer, which in the opinion of each of the parties hereto will permit reasonable use by Kraft of its property and reasonable access to the sewer by the City, which plan provides for the construction by Kraft of a concrete enclosure of the sewer so designed and located as to seal the sewer off from the building and to leave sufficient free space between the concrete enclosure and the sewer to permit the City to inspect and repair the sewer without damaging the building and to enter from outside the building for such purpose; and

"Whereas, the parties desire formally to approve said plan and to clarify and make more definite their rights in the enjoyment of their respective properties.

"Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained, it is agreed as follows:

"1. The plan for the construction of that part of said building which is adjacent to the sewer, does permit reasonable enjoyment by Kraft of its property and reasonable access to the sewer by the City for the purpose of inspecting or repairing the sewer, and is hereby approved.

"2. Kraft shall construct that part of said building which is adjacent to the sewer, in accordance with said plan.

"3. If in the construction of the building the existing concrete sewer should be broken or damaged, the same shall be repaired promptly by the City, and the cost of such repairs shall be paid to the City by Kraft upon demand.

"4. At or before the time when the sewer is uncovered in the construction of said building, the City shall (a) at its own expense, make any repairs to the sewer which are necessary to place it in a safe and sanitary condition, and (b) at Kraft's expense, seal up the manhole located in the proposed building site and place a manhole in Newhall Street.

"5. In making any inspection of or repairs to the sewer after construction of the building is commenced or completed, the City shall not, without permission of Kraft or its successors, permit its employees or anyone engaged in making such inspection or repairs to enter the sewer or the enclosure thereof through the building, but access to the sewer for said purposes shall be had from the outside of the building only, through the sewer or the said concrete enclosure of the same.

"6. Any repairs to the sewer made by the City, or for or on its behalf, after construction of said building is commenced or is completed, shall be done in such manner as will not injure or damage the proposed building or any part thereof, including its foundations and the said concrete enclosure of the sewer. Provided, however, that the City may remove the passageway or passageways over the sewer through the enclosure during any major repairs of the sewer; provided further, that during such repairs it shall keep the openings to the adjacent basement properly sealed to prevent the passage of odors or dust, and upon completion of the work restore the passageways to their original condition, all at the expense of the City.

"7. The provisions hereof relating to repairs also shall be construed to cover replacements to the extent the City has the right to make replacements under its existing easement.

"8. Any right which the City may have under its said easement, which is inconsistent with any of the foregoing provisions, is no longer required for public use and is hereby released and quitclaimed to Kraft."

"In witness whereof, the parties hereto have caused this instrument to be duly executed the day and year first above written."

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Consideration Continued.

The following recommendations of County, State and National Affairs Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, Meyer, Sullivan.

Supervisor Mancuso voting "No."

#### MOTION

That the Board of Supervisors agrees that the Controller together with the Legislative Representative, should be vested with power to sit with committees at the State Legislature on Public Education and Highways and enter into agreements with those committees as far as financial matters are concerned affecting the City and County of San Francisco.

President of the Board Gallagher moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that consideration of the foregoing be continued to December 9, 1946. *No objection and so ordered.*

*Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

President of the Board, Dan Gallagher, stated that if the Board did not adopt the motion, it would be a waste of time for our legislative representative to present plans; that it is the intent of the motion that Mr. Ross and Mr. Cleary work out the fine points of the proposed legislation and then so apprise the representatives in the State Legislature.

Supervisor Mancuso asked the City Attorney's representative, Mr. Walker Peddicord, if any agreement that either Mr. Cleary or Mr. Ross would enter into with any committee at Sacramento concerning finances, would be binding upon the City and County of San Francisco under the authority delegated by the motion now before the Board.

Mr. Peddicord informed the Board that the Board could not delegate authority to enter into contract; that matters to be considered before the committees were of a legislative nature and therefore could not be delegated in this instance.

Supervisor MacPhee asserted that his understanding of the matter was that Mr. Ross would be requested to go to these meetings, sit down with the committee and give them the benefit of his counsel and to sit down with Mr. Cleary and attend all of the meetings wherever possible, and give us the result of his findings so that the Board may reach a decision in the matter.

Supervisor Meyer termed the motion a good one, stating that Mr. Ross' services would prove invaluable in matters where monies are apportioned by the Legislature to the counties.

#### Substitute Motion.

Supervisor Lewis moved as a substitute motion "That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby vested with power to confer with the legislative representatives of San Francisco and to advise them as to legislation concerning financial matters that the City and County of San Francisco desires the legislators to present, provided there is not enough time for the Board of Supervisors to give such advice." Motion seconded by Supervisor Colman.

#### Amendment to Substitute Motion.

Supervisor Mead moved as an amendment to the substitute motion "That the Controller be requested to advise and cooperate with the legislative representative of the City and County of San Francisco and with the San Francisco delegation to the Legislature in appearances before the Committee on Public Education and Highways on such matters as affect the finances of the City and County of San Francisco."

Supervisor Lewis stated that he had no objection to Mr. Mead's suggested amendment.

Supervisor Lewis stated that the original purpose of this motion was because of the fact that the Board could not meet quickly enough to be able to guide the Board's representatives. It was not enough for Mr. Ross to confer with the committees; the Controller wanted the power to make decisions so in the motion power to make decisions was given by the Board to Mr. Ross as to matters of policy and finances affecting the City and County of San Francisco, provided there wasn't enough time for this Board to meet to give advice.

Thereupon Supervisor Lewis, with the consent of his second, moved deletion of the following: "provided there is not enough time for the Board of Supervisors to give such advice," as contained in the substitute motion offered by him.

#### Roll Call on Amendment to Substitute Motion.

Whereupon the roll was called and the amendment to the substitute motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—5.

#### Reference to Committee.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that the matter be referred to the Finance Committee. Seconded by Supervisor Meyer.

Whereupon the roll was called and the motion as amended was *referred to the Finance Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

Noes: Supervisors Colman, Lewis, McMurray—3.

#### NEW BUSINESS.

#### Passed for Second Reading.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Health Service System, by Adding "IBM" After the Words "Tabulating Machine Operator" and "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Bill No. 4439, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 86a, HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM, by amending the class title for item 6 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator," and by amending the class title for item 7 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 86a is hereby amended to read as follows:

#### Section 86a. HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Medical Director . . . . .	(e \$600
2	1	B79	Secretary . . . . .	335-405
3	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
5	1	B234	Head Clerk . . . . .	275-345
6	2	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
7	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	240-290
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stographer . . . . .	185-230
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
11	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
11.1	1	C104	Janitor (part time), at rate of .....	155-195
12	2	L70	Physiotherapist .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, by Adding "IBM" to Class Title of Tabulating Machine Operator.

Bill No. 4440, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 11, POLICE DEPARTMENT, by amending the class title for item 8 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 11 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 11. POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3		Commissioner .....	(b) \$100
2	1		Chief of Police .....	(b) 750
3	1		Deputy, Chief of Police .....	(b) 625
4	1		Property Clerk .....	(b) 415
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	(b) 250
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
7	1		Department Secretary .....	(b) 500
8	4	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> .....	190-240
10	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
11	2	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
12			Hearing Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 day plus transcription...	
13	14	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
14	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
15	1		Director of Criminal Information .....	(b) 425
16	1		Director of Personnel .....	(b) 425
17	1		Director of Special Services ..	(b) 325
18	1		Secretary, Police Commission (Captain) .....	(b) 415

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by Adding "IBM" to Class Title of Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.

Bill No. 4441, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU, by amending the class title for item 41 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.3 **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT — TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
41	1	B310.1	Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM . . . . .	\$240-290
41.1	1	B310.2	Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau..	315-375
42	1	B310.3	Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau . . . . .	315-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Assessor, by Adding "IBM" to Class Titles of Key Punch Operator, Tabulating Machine Operator, and Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.

Bill No. 4442, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 5, ASSESSOR, by amending class number and title for item 9.1 from B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) to B309 Key Punch Operator, IBM; by amending class title for item 10 by adding letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator"; and by amending the class title for item 11 from B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine Operator and Key Punch Operator to B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. **ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	(b) \$666.66
2	1	B99	Confidential Secretary to Assessor	315-375
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office . . . . .	385-460
4	9	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
6	1	B100	Supervisor, Real Property Records, Assessor's Office . . . . .	360-430

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
7	1	B101	Supervisor, Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office . . . . .	275-345
8	1	B235	Director of Service . . . . .	275-345
9	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman . . . . .	230-290
9.1	4	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	160-200
10	6	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	190-240
11	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	240-290
12	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	230-290
13	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
14	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
16	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
17	2	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) . . . . .	260-320
18	2	F100	Junior Draftsman . . . . .	210-260

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by Deleting 2 Key Punch Operators (Numerical) and Adding 2 Key Punch Operators, IBM, and Adding "IBM" to Class Titles of Key Punch Operators, Tabulating Machine Operators and Part-time Employments.

Bill No. 4443, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 43 from 1 to 3, and by amending the class number and title from B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) to B309 Key Punch Operator, IBM; by deleting item 43.1, 2 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200; and by amending the class title for item 44 and 44.1 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator", and item 41.1 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator (part time)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 37.4. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued)**  
**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	3	B309	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	\$160-200
44	9	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	190-240
44.1	1	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM (part-time)</b> . . . . .	190-240
45	3	B325	Blueprinter . . . . .	185-230
46	2	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .	185-230
47	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	230-290
47.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Controller, by Deleting 4 Key punch Operators (Alphabetical) and by Adding 4 Key Punch Operators IBM and by Changing Class Numbers and Titles of Key Punch Operators and Tabulating Machine Operators.**

Bill No. 4438, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67.2, CONTROLLER, by increasing the number of employments under item 21 from 1 to 5, and by amending the class number and title by deleting the "b" from the class number and by deleting the word (Numerical), and substituting the letters "IBM"; by deleting item 20.1, 4 B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) at \$160-200; and by amending the title for item 22 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67.2 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 67.2. CONTROLLER (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
17	4	B301	Payroll Machine Operator . . . . .	\$185-230
18	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
19	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	230-260
20	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (keydrive) . . . . .	185-230
21	5	B309	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	160-200
22	1	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	190-240
23	6	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
24	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	230-290

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Adopted.**

**Fixing Patient-Day Rates for Services Furnished by City and County Institutions.**

Proposal No. 6282, Resolution No. 6061 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the recommendations of the Chief Administrative Officer and the Controller, and in accordance with the Provisions of Bill No. 1000, Ordinance No. 18.012, and Bill No. 1014, Ordinance No. 18.013, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby determine and fix the proper and rea-



sonable amounts to be charged to persons when said persons by themselves or through relatives are legally obligated and able to pay in whole or in part for institutional care provided by said City and County, said amounts, on a patient-day basis, to be as follows:

**SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Main Division .....	\$ 9.33
Tuberculosis Division .....	6.67
Isolation Division .....	6.59

**HASSLER HEALTH HOME** ..... 4.83

**LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Inmates .....	1.09
Hospital Patients .....	2.82

**ALL INSTITUTIONS**

Blood Donor's Fee (when blood is purchased) .....	25.00
Special Nurse (when required) .....	Prevailing Rates

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Mead inquired if the daily patient-day rate of \$9.33 for the San Francisco Hospital wasn't nearly the rate charged by private hospitals.

Chief Administrative Officer, Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, informed Supervisor Mead that the rate of \$9.33 not only provided for the room but the doctor's services as well.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6282 was *Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Adopted.**

The following, from Finance Committee, were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Mancuso.

**Land Purchase—McLaren Park.**

Proposal No. 6281, Resolution No. 6060 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Park Department, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Frances M. Musso, or the legal owner, to Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 6133, San Francisco, California, required for the proposed McLaren Park, and that the sum of \$400 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

- Recommended by the Park Department.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Recommended by the Director of Property.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Confirming Sale of Lot 29 in Assessor's Block 701 to Mildred Nunes.**

Proposal No. 6288, Resolution No. 6062 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 4088, Bill No. 4338 (Series of 1939) the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on November 27, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Post Street, distant thereon 191 feet and 3 inches easterly from the easterly line of Fillmore Street; running thence easterly along said line of Post Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 137 feet and 6 inches to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Western Addition Block No. 309.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Mildred Nunes, as the only bidder, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$7,350 cash, regardless of the absence of a McEnerney Decree; and

Whereas, said sum of \$7,350 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$735 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Fire Commissioners have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Mildred Nunes or her assignee. The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price, which shall be paid within 60 days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6290, Resolution No. 6063 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with letter dated December 3, 1946, from the Director of said Department, and containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy children, including rescissions, new applications, discontinuances, new recommendations, increases, and other transactions, effective October 1 and December 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with said

letter dated December 3, 1946, for care of Needy Aged Persons in County Hospitals, and for discontinuances of such care, as provided under Section 2160.7 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, State of California, effective as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with said letter dated December 3, 1946, for Care of Needy Blind in County Hospitals, and for discontinuances of such care, as provided under Section 3044.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, State of California, effective as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to  
Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6291, Resolution No. 6064 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated December 9, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of December, 1946, and January, 1946, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Temporary Transfer of Funds Pending Receipt of  
Second Installment of Taxes for Fiscal Year 1946-1947.**

Proposal No. 6292, Resolution No. 6065 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the first day of January, 1947 such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, and the San Francisco Unified School District, from the first day of January, 1947, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1946-1947 is collected, or is delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed eighty-five per cent (85%) of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced in the funds from which the same were transferred on or before May 15, 1947; and before any other obligation of said City and County is met from such taxes.

Recommended by the Treasurer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

**Amending Section 245, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Relating to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.**

Bill No. 4444, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 245, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 245, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 245. Certification to Controller of Amount of Benefits. Ratification of Contributions. Assets Therefrom Retained as Reserve.** During each fiscal year the Retirement Board shall certify to the Controller the amount of benefits not provided by members' contributions and paid during said year by the Retirement System on account of service rendered on and after January 8, 1932, by persons as members of the System under Sections 166 and 169 of the Charter, and the Controller shall charge an equal amount to the appropriation of the Retirement System for that year. Contributions made by the City and County to meet said benefits paid prior to July 1, 1946, are hereby ratified and confirmed, and the accounts of the Retirement System shall be adjusted accordingly as of June 30, 1946. Any assets growing out of said contributions made by the City and County on account of such benefits, remaining unallocated after said adjustment, shall be retained by the Retirement System as a reserve against the obligation of the City and County on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

Recommended by the Retirement Board.

Recommended by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities—San Francisco Water Department, by Increasing Number of Senior Engineers (Civil) and Deleting Position of Senior Engineer at \$450.**

Bill No. 4454, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76a PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 1 to 3 F412b Senior Engineer (Civil) at \$530-635; and by deleting item 9.1 2 \*Senior Engineer at \$450.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76a is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 76a. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**  
(Continued)

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON  
REVENUE AND/OR BOND ISSUE MONEYS.**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$185-230
2	2	B327	Photostat Operator .....	185-230
3	3	F100	Junior Draftsman .....	210-260
4	6	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) .....	260-320
5	4	F104b	Senior Draftsman (Civil) .....	320-375
5.1	2		*Senior Draftsman .....	280
6.1	8	F401b	Junior Engineer (Civil) .....	255-320
7	4	F406c	Assistant Engineer (Civil).....	360-430
7.1	8		*Assistant Engineer .....	300
8	1	F410d	Engineer (Civil) .....	435-520
8.1	1		*Engineer .....	375
9	3	F412b	Senior Engineer (Civil) .....	530-635
10	12	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	230-290
11	8		*Field Assistant .....	250
12	8		*Assistant Chief Surveyor .....	275
13	8		*Chief Surveyor .....	325
14	8		*Surveyor .....	275
15	8		*Chief Inspector .....	325

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission when positions are to be filled.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Consideration Continued.

Appropriating the Sum of \$12,655.51 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Office of the Board of Supervisors for Official Printing Requirements for the Balance of the Fiscal year; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4451, Ordinance No . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$12,655.51 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the office of the Board of Supervisors for official printing requirements for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,655.51 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.-234.01, Official Printing, Board of Supervisors, to provide funds for official printing requirements for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which

this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The approval of this ordinance will provide funds for the balance of the fiscal year for official printing, as required by law, and is necessary to the uninterrupted operation of the Board of Supervisors. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose will be insufficient and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Request for Written Opinion

Supervisor Mancuso requested that the City Attorney render a written opinion as to the necessity for asking for an appropriation out of the Mayor's Emergency Reserve Fund in the form of an emergency ordinance merely because the money is coming from that fund. *No objection and so ordered.*

#### Motion for Continuance.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, that consideration of Bill No. 4451 be continued one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Consideration Continued.

Appropriating the Sum of \$7,400 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds for the Hire of Trucks for the Bureau of Street Cleaning and the Bureau of Sewer Repair of the Department of Public Works; an Emergency Ordinance.

Bill No. 4456, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$7,400 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the hire of trucks for the Bureau of Street Cleaning and the Bureau of Sewer Repair of the Department of Public Works; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,400 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Department of Public Works for the purposes recited:

#### Appropriation

##### Number

642.204.00—Hire of Auto Trucks, Bureau of Street Cleaning. . \$ 900.  
643.204.00—Hire of Auto Trucks, Bureau of Sewer Repair . . . 6,500.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Subsequent to compilation of budget estimates for the current fiscal year, the California State Railroad Commission increased rates for hired trucks leaving the above-mentioned appropriations short of the required amounts. The services of these trucks are essential to the continued operation of the Bureaus of Street Cleaning and Sewer Repair, Department of Public Works, interruption of which would constitute a hazard to public health.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Acting Mayor.

#### Explanation.

Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer: "This has to do with truck rates that are established by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, formerly known as the Railroad Commission. Subsequent to the time the department filed its budget, the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California increased the truck rates and this money is necessary to maintain uninterrupted service in the department."

#### Continuance.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, that consideration of Bill No. 4456 be continued one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following, from the Joint Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors J. Joseph Sullivan, Lewis, MacPhee, Meyer.

Requesting Mayor to Establish the Coordinating Council for the Transportation and Traffic for the City and County of San Francisco, for the Formulation of an Over-All Plan of Transportation and Traffic With Its Estimated Costs and Method for Financing the Same.

Proposal No. 6169, Resolution: No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows

Whereas, San Francisco has a problem of providing as soon as possible:

1. Adequate and safe facilities for the pedestrian within the business district;
2. A modern transit service from all outlying areas of the City;
3. Adequate suburban transit facilities, including satisfactory terminals coordinating with local transit and vehicular facilities;
4. Safe and convenient access to the business district for motor vehicles;
5. Provision for off-street parking;
6. Provision for merchandise deliveries; and

Whereas, over the past years many plans and surveys have been prepared at considerable expense and presented to city officials, departments and commissions concerning the above transportation and traffic problems; and

Whereas, the outstanding need in San Francisco is an analysis of all existing data, plans and possibilities relating to traffic and transportation and the consideration of all practical methods of improving transportation facilities and the determination of the most practical solution and of the manner in which the over-all plan may be financed and to include all of these complex matters in one comprehensive analysis; and

Whereas, it is imperative that these problems be given simultaneous consideration rather than proceeding on a program of isolated and unrelated improvements; and

Whereas, the various departments and commissions of the City and County of San Francisco have given to them under the Charter exclusive jurisdiction of the particular transportation and traffic matters within their respective control; and

Whereas, because of lack of time and adequate funds, the analysis of transportation facilities of the Master Plan did not consider the many details essential to a complete solution of the problem and the time allotted did not permit complete analysis of all data, comparisons of various plans and discussions of details; and

Whereas, the Master Plan admits that it does not definitely recommend a comprehensive plan of facilities as evidenced by the following language of the plan:

"The Planning Commission is not prepared to recommend definitely a comprehensive plan of facilities essential to the continued development of the Central Business District. In the above, it has been indicated that the problem is complex. It is certain that the solution depends on providing safe and rapid transit services and, at the same time, on providing convenient access to the district, for those who, for any reason, prefer to use their private automobiles. A complete investigation of all alternates is required to establish what can be accomplished by the various plans and the cost of each";

And Whereas, there is a conflict between the Newton Plan and the Master Plan, as evidenced by the following language of the Master Plan:

"The Newton Plan for the improvement of transportation facilities proposed the removal of the two outside car tracks from Market Street and the addition of a lane of trolley coaches along each curbing.

"Before it is adopted, *even as a temporary expedient*, this proposal should receive complete analysis. It may be questioned if the plan affords an improvement over existing conditions. It would force street car passengers to cross two vehicular lanes. It fails to provide additional vehicular capacities during peak hours. Driving between a lane of buses or a lane of street cars would probably add to vehicular accidents."

And Whereas, the Master Plan recommends a complete investigation of the Market Street problem by the following language from the Plan:

"The Planning Commission . . . endorses generally the proposal of the Citizens' Post War Committee for a complete investigation of the Market Street problem."

And whereas, there is an immediate need for all city departments to work together with responsible citizens of the City and County of San Francisco as a unit to consider the over-all plan of traffic and transportation; and

Whereas, no substantial capital expenditures should be made in the future for any isolated improvement on a major scale for transportation or traffic until a definite decision for an over-all plan be made; and

Whereas, the most satisfactory plan will be one developed by the combined efforts of the various city departments and commissions of San Francisco that are charged with the responsibility of the improvement and policy of traffic and transportation; and

Whereas, the Citizens' Post War Committee has recommended that the City Planning Commission and the City Departments involved proceed to cooperate in making a final study and in said report state, as follows:

"The problem will not be solved alone by such individual projects as (1) extensions of streets across Market Street, (2) overhead or underground cross streets, (3) development



of parallel or other relief streets, (4) surface improvements on Market Street, or (5) traffic control devices on Market Street. . . .”

“With these thoughts in mind all plans for the removal of tracks and resurfacing of Market Street should be thoroughly scrutinized and evaluated so that they will fit into the ultimate program. No other related street improvements should be pursued unless they are calculated to synchronize with the ultimate development. . . .”

“The City has already made a number of studies at considerable cost for the solution of the problem presented by Market Street. This Committee does not recommend just another study, which might be done possibly for \$300,000. It does recommend a final study, together with the development of engineering and working plans, and for that reason has allocated \$3,000,000 for the purpose. It further recommends that the City Planning Commission and other City Departments involved be directed to proceed to cooperate in the immediate solution of this problem. Furthermore, we recommend that as soon as a report is made acceptable to the City officials, the Board of Supervisors take steps to finance and complete the project.”

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor establish an organization for the formulation of an over-all plan of transportation and traffic with its estimated costs and method for financing the same and that public or private hearings be held at the discretion of the organization so formed; and be it

Further Resolved, That said organization be composed of the Mayor, three members of the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief of Police, the Public Utilities Commission, the Planning Commission, the Park Commission, the Police Commission, the Controller, the Director of Public Works, the Manager of Utilities, the City Engineer and seven (7) citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors shall select the names of the three members who shall be members of said committee. That said organization shall name its own chairman and formulate its own plans of procedure; and be it

Further Resolved, That the plan so adopted by the said organization shall be offered to the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco as soon as possible, to be financed by the type of bond issue determined by said organization and such submission to the general public will be made at the election immediately following the last hearing date of the organization; and be it

Further Resolved, That the organization shall meet at least once a week until its business has been completed. The name of the organization so created shall be known as the Coordinating Council for the Transportation and Traffic for the City and County of San Francisco.

Setting of Special Order—December 23, 1946, 2:30 P. M., to Be Considered by Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, that consideration of the above, Proposal No. 6169, entitled: “Requesting Mayor to Establish the Coordinating Council for the Transportation and Traffic for the City and County of San Francisco, for the Formulation of an Over-all Plan of Transportation and Traffic With Its Estimated Costs and Method for Financing the Same,” be postponed to December 23, 1946, 2:30 p. m., and considered by the Board sitting in Committee of the Whole.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following recommendations of Police Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, Christopher, MacPhee.

**An Ordinance Amending Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code), of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by Repealing Section 60 Thereof, Entitled: "All Night Parking Prohibited."**

Bill No. 4457, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code), of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by repealing Section 60 thereof, entitled: "All Night Parking Prohibited."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Adopted.**

**Police Department Authorized and Directed to Install Stop Signs at the Southwest and Northeast Corners of Ocean Avenue and Municipal Right of Way (Between Junipero Serra Boulevard and Nineteenth Avenue) and at the Northwest and Southeast Corners of Balboa Street and Tenth Avenue.**

Proposal No. 6293, Resolution No. 6066 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of the Vehicle Code of the State of California, the intersections hereinafter named be and they are hereby designated "stop" intersections:

Southwest and northeast corners of Ocean Avenue and Municipal Right-of-Way (between Junipero Serra Boulevard and 19th Avenue) and

Northwest and southeast corners of Balboa Street and 10th Avenue

and be it further

Resolved, That the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco be and it is hereby authorized and directed to cause stop signs to be installed at the locations designated hereinabove.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Consideration Postponed.**

The following, called from the Committee on County, State and National Affairs, was taken up:

In the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, December 2, 1946, Supervisor Christopher requested that Proposal No. 6222 be withdrawn from Committee and presented to the Board for its consideration on Monday, December 9, 1946.

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Act as Mediation and Arbitration Board in Local Labor Disputes.**

Proposal No. 6222, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the most crippling maritime strike in the history of our nation is in progress and has been in effect for a number of weeks, during which period no merchant ship has unloaded its cargo at any West Coast harbor, no merchant ship carrying a commercial cargo has sailed out of a West Coast port, vital imports needed for reconversion have been prevented from coming into the country, and shortages of needed materials have grown with each day; and

Whereas, past experience with such situations and conditions has conclusively demonstrated that the interests of all citizens of communities visited by such a strike must inevitably be adversely affected; and

Whereas, the interests and well-being of the community as a whole must at all times be considered paramount to the desires, aims and objectives of any minority group thereof; and

Whereas, in similar controversies, the American method of arbitration of disputes between employer and employee has been accepted as the only fair, sound and reasonable means of reaching a meeting of minds and an equitable settlement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to be composed of qualified representatives of the community, whose duty and purpose it shall be to act as a labor relations committee and a mediation and arbitration board, to the end that not only the current maritime strike, but also any other labor disputes and threatened or actual strikes and work-stoppages, which affect the welfare of the City and County of San Francisco, may be, by arbitration, quickly and equitably culminated.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Christopher pointed out that since the Mayor was absent from the city, he believed it would be advisable to postpone consideration of the matter for two weeks.

Accordingly, Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, that consideration of Proposal No. 6222 be continued for a period of two weeks.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Consideration of Finance Committee Report on Bill No. 4450, Appropriating the Sum of \$1,140 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Recreation Department for the Repair of Boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse; An Emergency Ordinance.**

Supervisors Lewis and Mead presented:

Bill No. 4450, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,140 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Recreation Department for the repair of boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,140 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.213.00, Repairs to Public Buildings, Recreation Department, to provide funds for the repair of boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by

which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The repair of this boiler, which supplies steam heat and hot water for a large fieldhouse in use both day and night, is immediately necessary for the protection of life and property of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. This fieldhouse serves a large neighborhood community and the gymnasium is also used for boys and girls inter-playground activity. This expenditure could not be anticipated for budget purposes as the condition of the boiler was discovered on a periodic survey made by the insurance company which carries the boiler insurance. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Superintendent of the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis stated that the question of personalities should not enter into this matter. The question before the Board is whether or not this is an emergency. We have a letter before us from the Acting Mayor, Hon. Chester R. MacPhee, and also his statement that he went out to see the condition of the boiler and, without any attempt at personalities, I feel that this matter is an emergency, and I feel it incumbent to send the bill before the Board.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that he did not approve of the procedure, that he did not call a meeting and the only time a member may call a meeting is when the chairman of the committee is not present; that the matter is now in committee and that no hearing has been held on it.

Supervisor Mancuso continued by asserting that he intended to know all about the matter and that it could be considered at the next meeting of the committee when all of the facts can be made known; that this procedure was just an extra facility the taxpayers were paying for; that the two members had no right to call a committee meeting when the chairman is present and available and has not called a committee meeting.

President of the Board Dan Gallagher asked Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department, when the boiler broke down.

Miss Randall stated the insurance adjusters made periodic inspections and on the last inspection trip, the adjusters instructed us to close the plant down.

#### Reference to Committee.

Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that the matter be referred to Finance Committee.

Whereupon the roll was called and the matter above referred to was referred to Finance Committee by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

No: Supervisor Meyer—1.

#### Supervisor Lewis Excused.

Supervisor Lewis asked to be excused. *No objection and so ordered.*  
Supervisor Lewis excused at 6:00 p. m.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,  
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR  
REPORTED ON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Adopted.

Requesting Opinion From Attorney-General of the United States if,  
Under Provisions of County Highway Act of 1945, San Francisco  
Will Receive Benefits as Provided by Public Law 521.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6302, Resolution No. 6067 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, under the provisions of Public Law 521, 78th Congress, there was made available for distribution to the States, to be expended upon secondary and feeder roads during the three-year period immediately following termination of the war, the sum of \$150,000,000, apportionment of which sum will make available to the State of California, for the purposes specified approximately the sum of \$15,000,000; and

Whereas, subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Public Law 521, 78th Congress, provides that there shall be made available the sum of \$150,000,000 for projects on the principal secondary and feeder roads, including farm-to-market roads, rural free delivery mail and public school bus routes, either outside of municipalities or inside of municipalities of less than five thousand population: provided that these funds shall be expended on a system of such roads selected by the State highway departments in cooperation with the county supervisors, county commissioners, or other appropriate local road officials and the Commissioner of Public Roads: provided further, that in any State having a population density of more than two hundred per square mile, as shown by the latest available Federal census, the said system may be selected by the State highway department with the approval of the Commissioner of Public Roads without regard to included municipal boundaries: provided further, that any of such funds for secondary and feeder roads which are apportioned to a State in which all public roads and highways are under the control and supervision of the State highway department may, if the State highway department and the Commissioner of Public Roads jointly agree that such funds are not needed for secondary and feeder roads, be expended for projects in such State on the Federal-aid highway system; and

Whereas, subdivision (c) of Section 3 of the Act referred to provides that there shall be made available the sum of \$125,000,000 for projects on the Federal-aid highway system in urban areas; and

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 521, there has been appropriated by the State of California, the sum of \$12,000,000 for expenditure upon roads within this State presumably in accordance with the conditions prescribed in Public Law 521; and

Whereas, Section 2 of the County Highway Aid Act of 1945 (State of California) provides in part as follows:

Section 2. As used in this Act, "county highway" means county highway as defined by Section 25 of the Streets and Highways Code, which is on the system of secondary and feeder roads as defined by the Federal-aid Highway Act of 1944.

Inasmuch as the funds available for construction of highways pursuant to the provisions of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of the Federal-aid Highway Act of 1944 may not be expended in any municipality of 5,000 or more population, "county" as used in this act does not include a city and county.

and

Whereas, it is contended that because of the provision immediately foregoing, there will not be apportioned to the City and County of San Francisco, by the State of California, that amount of money for road construction to which San Francisco is entitled and that the formula for distribution of the Federal-aid funds prescribed by the Legislature of the State of California does not accord with the intention or the letter of Public Act 521; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in order conclusively to resolve this question and to assure that the City and County of San Francisco shall receive full benefits under Public Law 521, 78th Congress, this Board of Supervisors does hereby address Congressman Richard J. Welch and does request that there be procured from the Attorney-General of the United States, an opinion indicating whether or not under the provisions of the County Highway Act of 1945, the City and County of San Francisco will receive all the benefits intended and prescribed by Public Act 521; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Congressman Richard J. Welch.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Inviting Republican Party to Hold Its 1948 National Convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6303, Resolution No. 6068 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the National Convention of the Republican Party will be held during the early part of 1948 for the purpose of selecting its candidates for the offices of President and Vice President of the United States; and

Whereas, San Francisco offers unexcelled advantages for the holding of national conventions, including ideal climatic conditions, and including the Civic Auditorium, which is one of the finest convention halls in the Nation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, acting in behalf of the citizenry of San Francisco, does hereby extend a cordial invitation to the Republican National Committee to designate San Francisco as the site for its 1948 National Convention, with the assurance that the delegates thereto will be accorded the fullest expression of San Francisco's hospitality and courtesy; and be it

Further Resolved, That suitable copies of this resolution be transmitted by the Clerk of the Board to Mr. Carroll Reece, National Committee Chairman, Republican Party, 1337 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D. C.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

#### Inviting Democratic Party to Hold Its 1948 National Convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6304, Resolution No. 6069 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the National Convention of the Democratic Party will be held during the early part of 1948 for the purpose of selecting its candidates for the offices of President and Vice President of the United States; and

Whereas, San Francisco offers unexcelled advantages for the holding of national conventions, including ideal climatic conditions, and including the Civic Auditorium, which is one of the finest convention halls in the nation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, acting in behalf of the citizenry of San Francisco, does hereby extend a cordial invitation to the Democratic National Committee to designate San Francisco as the site for its 1948 National Convention, with the assurance that the delegates thereto will be accorded the fullest expression of San Francisco's hospitality and courtesy; and be it

Further Resolved, That suitable copies of this resolution be transmitted by the Clerk of the Board to Mr. Robert R. Hannegan, Chairman of the Democratic National Committee, Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D. C.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Extending Greetings to American Farm Bureau Federation Now in Convention Assembled in San Francisco.**

Supervisor Colman presented:

Proposal No. 6305, Resolution No. 6070 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the American Farm Bureau Federation, one of the Nation's major farm organizations, is now holding its annual convention in San Francisco; and

Whereas, agriculture is recognized universally as the cornerstone of the Nation's economy; and

Whereas, California is the No. 1 agricultural state of the Nation, both in variety of production and value of product; and

Whereas, San Francisco, by its recognition of the importance of agriculture and cooperation with the farmer, has earned the title of "the city that serves agriculture"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that friendly greetings be and hereby are extended to the American Farm Bureau Federation, with the best wishes of San Francisco for a constructive and successful convention.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Lewis—1.

**Memorializing the President of the United States to Release Land in the Presidio for Residential Purposes.**

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6306, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco's physical location, which is for all practical purposes insular in nature, has had the effect of stringently lim-

iting the space available for construction of civilian housing facilities, the result of which has been the creation of a serious and ever-increasing housing shortage; and

Whereas, if San Francisco is to expand and continue to maintain its position, commercial and otherwise, which is vitally strategic to the welfare of the Nation, it is imperative that all such space within its confines as is not now devoted to some other necessary purpose and which is adaptable for the construction of dwelling places, be immediately made available for such purpose; and

Whereas, the Federal Government through its own experience is intimately familiar with the housing shortage which prevailed in San Francisco during World War II, and which is now more pronounced than ever, and with the deterrent effects which such inadequacy will produce in connection with any program of national effort; and

Whereas, The Presidio of San Francisco, long occupied and used by the United States Army, represents an ideal site, centrally located and of great natural beauty, for the development of a residential community which will provide ideal living accommodations for a great number of families and which will in large measure alleviate the housing shortage and seriously overcrowded conditions which now confront, and seriously menace the well-being of, the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the President of the United States, during the course of conducting preliminary negotiations for the selection of a permanent site for the United Nations organization, offered The Presidio to said organization for such purpose, and thereby in effect recognized and declared that The Presidio is no longer essential for military use; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, cognizant of the value to and need by the Nation for the fullest development of San Francisco's natural facilities for use both in peace and in time of national emergency, and aware of the necessity for such additional space and housing facilities as will permit such development, does hereby memorialize the President of the United States and does respectfully urge the immediate institution of such procedure as is necessary to provide for the relinquishment, and transfer to private ownership, of all that land lying within the confines of The Presidio of San Francisco which is not necessary for military purposes; and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be immediately sent to His Excellency the President of the United States, to Senator Sheridan Downey, to Senator William F. Knowland, to Congressman Richard J. Welch, and to Congressman Franck R. Havenner.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

#### City's Legislative Matters in Washington, D. C.

President of the Board, Dan Gallagher, requested that the Committee on County, State and National Affairs invite Mr. Tramutolo to appear before it and discuss ways and means of proceeding in Washington in connection with legislative matters in which the City and County is interested.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan Excused.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan requested that he be excused. There being no objection, he was excused at 6:25 p. m.

#### Study of Crystal Springs Site Offered by City to United Nations for Possible Residential Development Area.

Supervisor MacPhee asked that at the first opportunity consideration should be given to the possibility of developing the Crystal Springs area, originally offered by the City and County to the United Nations, as a residential area.



**Request That Consideration Be Given to Billing for Water on a Quarterly Basis Instead of on a Monthly Basis.**

Supervisor Mancuso requested that the Public Utilities Committee hold hearings with the Water Department and the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of studying the advisability of billing water consumers on a quarterly rather than on a monthly basis.

*Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Reference to Committee.

**Amending Municipal Code, "Parking Control" and "Curb Parking Regulations Specified."**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Bill No. 4466, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code by amending Section 32 thereof, "Parking Control" and by amending Section 38 thereof, "Curb Parking Regulations Specified" by reducing from five dollars (\$5.00) to two dollars (\$2.00) the minimum penalty prescribed in each of said sections for violation of the provisions thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 32, Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, "Parking Control" is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 32. Parking Control.** After a survey of parking conditions and a public hearing, the Board of Supervisors shall designate, by resolution, those streets or alleys upon which parking is prohibited or restricted and the time period applicable thereto, and those streets or alleys upon which stopping is prohibited.

The Police Commission shall erect, or cause to be erected, appropriate signs giving notice thereof.

Any person violating any of the class of resolutions now or hereafter in effect, which are referred to in the following subdivisions of this section, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be subject to the fine provided in that particular subdivision designating the class or type of violation:

(a) Resolution prohibiting stopping on any street or alley. Fine of not less than **five (\$5.00)** ~~two (\$2.00)~~ dollars, nor more than fifty (\$50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for a term not exceeding ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(b) Resolution prohibiting parking on any street or alley. Fine of not less than two (\$2.00) dollars, nor more than fifty (\$50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for a term not exceeding five (5) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(c) Resolution prohibiting parking on any street or alley for a longer period of time than permitted in said resolution. Fine of not less than one (\$1.00) dollar, nor more than fifty (\$50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for a term not exceeding five (5) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 2. Section 38, Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, "Curb Parking Regulations Specified," is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 38. Curb Parking Regulation Specified.** It shall be unlawful for any operator to disobey any official sign designating parking regulations, as established and maintained by the Police Commission in accordance with the provisions of this code or of the Vehicle

Code of the State of California, the nature of which regulation shall be fixed and determined by the following curb marking legend:

(a) Red shall indicate no stopping, standing or parking, day or night, whether the vehicle is attended or unattended, except that a bus or trolley coach may stop in a red zone marked and designated as a bus zone.

(b) Yellow shall indicate stopping only for the purpose of loading or unloading passengers or freight between the hours of 7 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. every day except Saturday afternoons, Sundays and holidays and in any such event the stopping shall be limited to a maximum period of three (3) minutes for passenger vehicles or thirty (30) minutes for commercial vehicles.

Passenger vehicles shall not be stopped in these zones under any circumstances between the hours specified except while the operator is in attendance.

Any person violating the provisions of this subdivision shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than [five (\$5.00)] two (\$2.00) dollars nor more than fifty (\$50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for a term not exceeding ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(c) White shall indicate stopping only for loading or unloading of passengers, day or night, and in any such event the stopping shall be limited to a maximum period of five (5) minutes. It shall be unlawful for any commercial vehicle to stop, stand or park in these zones. In the case of such zones in front of theaters, the regulation shall be applicable only during performances. In case of churches, these regulations shall be applicable only during services, and while persons are going to and leaving such services.

In any event, the regulation on these zones shall be inoperative during periods of time when the store, business place or establishment for which the zone is provided is closed.

(d) Green shall indicate parking areas for passenger vehicles, which parking shall be limited to a maximum period of ten (10) minutes and shall be applicable between 9 a. m. and 6 p. m. It shall be unlawful for any commercial vehicle to stop, stand or park in these zones during the hours specified.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent stopping when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic, or in compliance with the direction of a police officer, traffic control signal device or sign.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Amending Municipal Code, "Parking Vehicles on or Near Railroad Tracks—Penalty."**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Bill No. 4467, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code by amending Section 71 thereof, "Parking Vehicles on or Near Railroad Tracks," to provide a minimum penalty of two (\$2.00) dollars for violation of the provisions of said section; and repealing Section 72 of Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, "Parking Vehicles on or Near Railroad Tracks—Penalty."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 71, Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, "Parking Vehicles on or Near Railroad Tracks," is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 71. Parking Vehicles or or Near Railroad Tracks.** Except when such vehicle is attended by a licensed operator or chauffeur who is seated in the front seat and who can immediately move such vehicle in case of necessity, no person shall stop, park or leave standing any vehicle upon a railroad track, nor between any railroad track and a line parallel with and 7 feet 6 inches distant from the outer rail thereof.

Any person, firm or corporation violating any provision of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than two (\$2.00) dollars nor more than fifty (\$50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for a term of not less than five (5) days nor more than thirty (30) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 2. Section 72 of Article 3, Chapter XI, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, "Parking Vehicles on or Near Railroad Tracks—Penalty," is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Amending Section 216, Article 3, Chapter IX, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code by Reducing the Minimum Fine for Violation of Said Section From \$5.00 to \$2.00.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Bill No. 4468, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 216, Article 3, Chapter IX, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code by reducing the minimum fine for violation of said section from \$5.00 to \$2.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 216, Article 3, Chapter IX, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 216. Unlawful to Park Other Vehicle in Bus Zone—Penalty.** No person shall stop, stand or park any vehicle, other than a bus, in any bus zone or portion thereof, and any vehicle so parked is hereby declared to be a nuisance and a menace to the safe and proper regulation of vehicular and pedestrian traffic. The operator of any such vehicle, which is left unattended, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than [Five] Two Dollars [(\$5.00)] (\$2.00), nor more than Fifty Dollars (\$50.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a term not exceeding six months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Requesting Judiciary Committee to Make Recommenadtions Relative Submitting Charter Amendment Creating Transportation Commission.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Proposal No. 6307, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee of this Board be and is hereby requested to hold hearings and to make recommendation to this Board at as early a date as is possible, relative to the advisability of submitting to the electorate a Charter amendment to provide a Transportation Commission, separate and apart from the Public Utilities Commission.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

Appropriating the Sum of \$119,500 From the Unappropriated Balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the Credit of Appropriation Number 665.500.00 for the Purchase of Assessor's Block 19, Required for Municipal Railway Purposes and for Payment of Incidental Expenses.

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4469, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$119,500 from the unappropriated balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 665.500.00 for the purchase of Assessor's Block 19, required for Municipal Railway purposes and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$119,500 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 665.500.00 for the purchase of Assessor's Block 19, San Francisco, California, required for Municipal Railway purposes and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Approval of Journal.

Approval was given to the following Journals: May 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21 and 25; September 23, 30, October 7, 14, 28 and November 18.

#### Meetings.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee, Friday, December 27, 2 p. m.

Streets Committee, Wednesday, December 11, 4 p. m.

Public Health and Welfare Committee, Friday, December 13, 2 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6:45 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 6, 1947.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.











Vol. 41

No. 53

SAN FRANCISCO  
PUBLIC LIBRARY  
PERIODICAL DEPT

Monday, December 16, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1946—2:00 P.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 16, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee—2.

Supervisor MacPhee was recorded present at 2:25 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings for the meetings of November 4-7, 12 and 25, 1946, were considered read and approved.

## Communications.

From the Civil Aeronautics Board, release on the subject of classification and exemption of non-certificated air carriers.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From C. D. Sanford, offering to buy three acres south of Crystal Springs if it is for sale.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Foreman of the Grand Jury, petitioning for proper facilities to accommodate groups of disabled veterans attending athletic events at Kezar Stadium.

*Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.*

From the Waterfront Employers Association, two communications relative to working conditions and wage demands of maritime workers.

*Ordered filed.*

From Steamfitters & Helpers Local 590, endorsing plan of Veterans' Housing Committee.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Director of Public Welfare, requesting advice as to procedure which will be followed with respect to legislation granting categorical aids.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the California Mission Trails Association, urging support of movement to widen, improve and expand Route 101 and 101 Alternate to four-lane highways.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the Regional Service Committee, submitting a digest of rural editorial comment on San Francisco.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Fillmore Merchants & Improvement Association, requesting provision of better lighting on Fillmore Street, in next budget.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Lakeshore Park Improvement Club, urging support of plans for establishment of a shopping district in their community.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From the City Attorney, opinion as to whether appropriation from Emergency Reserve Fund must be passed by means of an emergency ordinance.

*Ordered filed; copy to be given each member of board.*

From Assemblyman Edward F. O'Day, copy of letter to the Manager of Utilities requesting restoration of transportation service on Brighton Avenue between Ocean and Grafton Avenues.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Redwood Empire Association, transmitting copy of correspondence regarding the express highways and trunk highways plan.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Municipal Court, monthly report for November, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Controller, monthly report of appropriations for the five months' period ending November 30, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the State Division of Beaches and Parks, transmitting copy of the preliminary California State Master Plan of Shoreline Development.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From the Civil Service Commission, reporting on certain protests of participants who have been rejected in the Police and Fire Department examinations.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

From Mrs. Laura Hurtel, advocating enactment of emergency legislation to repair boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse.

*Ordered considered with matter on calendar.*

From the Superintendent, Recreation Department, submitting report and prospectus on the proposed S. F. Junior Recreation Museum.

*Ordered considered with matter on calendar.*

From Miss Alice L. Cummings, endorsing proposed S. F. Junior Recreation Museum.

*Ordered considered with matter on calendar.*

#### Discussion on Underground Garages—Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor MacPhee moved that the privilege of the floor be permitted for Mr. Leonard Mosias for the purpose of informing the Board whether anything can be done to provide off-street parking.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Mr. Leonard Mosias, an architect, pointed out to the Board the urgent necessity for the formulation of an overall traffic plan; that the proposed second bridge construction as well as the contemplated extension and widening of the Bay Shore Highway will result in a heavier volume of traffic entering San Francisco and that an overall plan should be adopted to provide additional parking facilities in San Francisco. He had contacted the City Planning Commission and they had told him that they did not wish to take any action until the Mayor had appointed an advisory board, composed of the heads of departments, such as the City Planning Commission, the Public Utilities Commission and the Department of Public Works. That

Board should be a unified board so that all ideas could be consolidated and a coordinated program adopted. If the City Planning Commission does not possess the type of men to perform this job, it should go out and get them. The City Planning Commission should make a comprehensive study along technical lines; problems of parking, for instance, below Mission and Third and up to Sixth or Seventh Streets. Then there is the problem of people coming in from the Marina and from Marin County. Mr. Mosias concluded his presentation by stating that it was his recommendation that action be taken to start the ball rolling.

**Report from the Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service Committee,  
Re Hearing of Protests of Certain Veterans Who Have Applied  
for Positions in the Police and Fire Departments.**

Supervisor MacPhee, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, explained that the members of the Committee had attended the meeting of the Civil Service Commission on Wednesday, December 11th and that only one side of the controversy had been heard, namely the protests submitted by the protestants.

Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan pointed out that the Civil Service Commission contended the examinations were legally conducted and that certain cases of neurosis were to be reopened.

Supervisor Mead stated that it should be the Board's business to conduct a thorough examination in this matter; that as an example, a young man who had been employed on a limited tenure basis, was turned down for the reason that he was missing one tooth.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

Supervisor Mead moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. A. Long, representing the Fire Fighters Post of the American Legion, who stated that he was representing the rejectees of the Police and Fire Departments; that 90 per cent of the rejectees were veterans; that those who were rejected because of alleged high blood pressure should be reexamined because the excitement, tension and the long waiting period before they were actually examined, tended to increase the pressure, which otherwise would have been normal; that it was determined that 90 per cent of all neurotic cases could be cured in a period from 6 months to one year, and that the Secretary of the Civil Service Commission had stated that he, the medical examiner of the Civil Service Commission and the members thereof had decided that no protests would be allowed.

Mr. William L. Henderson, Personnel Director and Secretary of the Civil Service Commission emphatically denied that he issued any statement to the effect that the Commission would not consider protests, that those who desired to protest were told to do so in writing; that what he probably did say was that the Commission would be unwilling to overrule the findings of the medical examiners; that the Commission had reviewed all protests and had denied all with the exception of 11 cases which the medical board would review.

*No action was taken by Board.*

**SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.**

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, were taken up:

**Providing for Licensing of Certain Businesses, Occupations and Callings.**

Bill No. 4084, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by adding thereto the following sections providing for licensing

certain businesses, occupations and callings and the collection of license taxes therefor: Section 84.1 Mercantile Agencies, Section 85 Agents, Real Estate, Section 87 Assayers, Section 101 Brokers, Custom House, Section 102 Barber Shops, Section 103 Bootblack Stands, Section 104 Brokers, Merchandise, Section 105 Brokers, Stock, Section 113 Employment Offices, Section 114 Examiners of Title, Section 117 House Cleaning, Section 121 Laundry Offices, Section 142 Operas in Exposition Auditorium, Section 146 Transfer and Draying Companies, Section 148 Occupations, Section 150 Warehouses, Section 151 Water Filter Companies, Section 153 Stage Line Agencies, Section 154 Dyeing and Cleaning Offices, Section 155 Money Lenders, Section 157 Dealers in Stocks and Bonds, Section 186 Outdoor Advertising Defined, Section 187 Unlicensed Advertising Prohibited, Section 188 License Fees, Time Payable, Section 189 Data to be Furnished to Tax Collector, Section 190 License Fees, Amount of, Section 191 Issuance of License; and providing for a saving clause.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by adding thereto the following sections: Section 84.1 Mercantile Agencies, Section 85 Agents, Real Estate, Section 87 Assayers, Section 101 Brokers, Custom House, Section 102 Barber Shops, Section 103 Bootblack Stands, Section 104 Brokers, Merchandise, Section 105, Brokers, Stock, Section 113 Employment Offices, Section 114 Examiners of Title, Section 117 House Cleaning, Section 121 Laundry Offices, Section 142 Operas in Exposition Auditorium, Section 146 Transfer and Draying Companies, Section 148 Occupations, Section 150 Warehouses, Section 151 Water Filter Companies, Section 153 Stage Line Agencies, Section 154 Dyeing and Cleaning Offices, Section 155 Money Lenders, Section 157 Dealers in Stocks and Bonds, Section 186 Outdoor Advertising Defined, Section 187 Unlicensed Advertising Prohibited, Section 188 License Fees, Time Payable, Section 189 Data to be Furnished to Tax Collector, Section 190 License Fees, Amount of, Section 191 Issuance of License; to read as follows:

**SEC. 84.1. Mercantile Agencies.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any mercantile or collection agency or commercial bureau, and all collection agents, shall pay a license as follows:

Those whose gross receipts do not exceed Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross receipts exceed Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars but are less than Seventy-Five Hundred (\$7,500.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross receipts exceed Seventy-Five Hundred (\$7,500.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license of Sixty (\$60.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 85. Agents, Real Estate.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling real estate or houses or collecting rents, shall be deemed a real estate agent or house broker.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling real estate or houses, or collecting rents, shall pay a license fee as follows:

(1) Those whose commissions or fees are less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars per quarter;

(2) Those whose commissions or fees are less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars and not less than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars per quarter;

(3) Those whose commissions or fees are less than Five Thousand

(\$5,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 87. Assayers.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of assaying, smelting or refining ores or precious metals shall pay a license fee as follows:

Those whose gross commissions and percentages amount to more than Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross commissions and percentages amount to less than Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 101. Brokers, Custom House.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business known as custom house or internal revenue broker shall pay a license fee as follows:

Those whose gross commissions or profits are less than Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross commissions or profits are not more than Fifteen Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars, and not less than Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose gross commissions or profits are over Fifteen Hundred (\$1,500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Forty (\$40.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 102. Barber Shops.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting, maintaining or carrying on a barber shop shall pay a license fee of Seventy-five (75¢) Cents per quarter for each and every barber chair in said shop.

**SEC. 103. Bootblack Stands.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting, maintaining or carrying on a bootblack stand shall pay a license of Seventy-five (75¢) Cents per quarter for each chair installed in said stand.

**SEC. 104. Brokers, Merchandise.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling meats, provisions, produce, goods, wares or merchandise, wines or distilled liquors, drugs or medicines, jewelry or wares or precious metals, on commission as broker for the owner or consignee thereof, shall pay a license fee as follows:

(1) Those whose gross commissions or gross profits amount to Fifty Thousand (\$50,000.00) Dollars or more per quarter, shall pay a license fee of Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars per quarter;

(2) Those whose gross commissions or gross profits amount to Twenty Thousand (\$20,000.00) Dollars or more, and less than Fifty Thousand (\$50,000.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license fee of Thirty (\$30.00) Dollars per quarter;

(3) Those whose gross commissions or gross profits amount to under Twenty Thousand (\$20,000.00) Dollars per quarter, shall pay a license fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 105. Brokers, Stock.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling mining stocks, bonds, state, county or municipal stocks or bonds, or stocks of incorporated companies or evidences of indebtedness of private persons or of incorporated companies, as a broker on commission, shall pay a license fee as follows:

(1) Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars per quarter;

(2) Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twelve

Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars, and not less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twenty-Two (\$22.00) Dollars per quarter;

(3) Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars, and not less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Thirty-Two (\$32.00) Dollars per quarter;

(4) Those whose commissions or gross profits are Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license fee of Fifty-Two (\$52.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 113. Employment Offices.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting an employment office shall pay a license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 114. Examiners of Title.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of a searcher of records, making abstracts of title or examiners of title, shall pay a license fee as follows:

Those doing business to the gross amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those doing a business of the gross amount of Seven Hundred and Fifty (\$750.00) Dollars per quarter and not more than Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars per quarter, Fifty (\$50.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those doing business of the gross amount of Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars per quarter and not more than Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter, Seventy-Five (\$75.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those doing business of the gross amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars per quarter or over, One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 117. House Cleaning.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of house cleaning or window cleaning and employing help in the business, shall pay a license fee of Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter for each place of business.

**SEC. 121. Laundry Offices.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any place or office for the collection or distribution of garments, fabrics, blankets or clothing, washed or to be washed, shall pay for each such place or office a license fee of Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 142. Operas in Exposition Auditorium.** Every person, firm or corporation holding or giving an opera in the Exposition Auditorium shall pay a license fee of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars for each day.

**SEC. 146. Transfer and Draying Companies.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise from place to place and using more than one (1) vehicle, whether drawn by horses, propelled by motors or used as a trailer, shall pay a license fee of Seven Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$7.50) per quarter.

Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of transporting baggage or merchandise from place to place and using only one (1) vehicle, whether drawn by horses, propelled by motors, or used as a trailer, shall pay a license fee of Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$2.50) per quarter.

**SEC. 148. Occupations.** Every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing, or carrying on or engaging in any of the businesses, professions or occupations hereinafter in this section enumerated, the annual gross receipts of which business amount to less than Three Thousand (\$3,000.00) Dollars, Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter.



\$ 3,000.00 and less than	\$ 5,000.00,	\$ 4.50 per quarter
5,000.00 and less than	7,500.00,	6.00 per quarter
7,500.00 and less than	10,000.00,	7.50 per quarter
10,000.00 and less than	15,000.00,	11.25 per quarter
15,000.00 and less than	20,000.00,	15.00 per quarter
20,000.00 and less than	25,000.00,	18.75 per quarter
25,000.00 and less than	30,000.00,	22.50 per quarter
30,000.00 and less than	40,000.00,	30.00 per quarter
40,000.00 and less than	50,000.00,	37.50 per quarter
50,000.00 and less than	60,000.00,	45.00 per quarter
60,000.00 and less than	70,000.00,	52.50 per quarter
70,000.00 and less than	80,000.00,	60.00 per quarter
80,000.00 and less than	90,000.00,	67.50 per quarter
90,000.00 and less than	100,000.00,	75.00 per quarter
100,000.00 and less than	150,000.00,	112.50 per quarter
150,000.00 and over,		125.00 per quarter

The license fee provided for in this section shall be paid by every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaged in any of the following businesses, professions or occupations:

Advertising counsel, appraiser, architect, attorney-at-law, auditor, accountant, bail bond brokers, chiropodist, chiropractor, civil, electrical or mechanical engineer, dentist, designer or illustrator, show card writer, drugless practitioner, geologist, hairdressing and manicuring parlor, interpreter, insurance adjuster, landscape gardener, lapidary, midwife, naturopath, optician, optometrist, oculist, osteopath or osteopathist, physician, surgeon, veterinary.

No license shall be issued under the provisions of this section to any person to practice as a physician and surgeon, osteopath, naturopath, drugless practitioner, chiropractor, chiropodist, midwife or veterinary, medicine, or any branch thereof, or to practice hairdressing or manicuring in the City and County of San Francisco, unless such person makes affidavit that he possesses an unexpired and unrevoked license entitling the applicant to practice, from duly authorized State Board of Chiropractors, California State Board of Veterinarians, California State Board of Cosmetology, or from any other State Board granting certificates to practice the professions or employments enumerated in this section.

The Tax Collector shall, before issuing any license to engage in the business or occupation of a veterinary under the provisions of this section, require every applicant therefor to make affidavit that he is the person named in the license issued by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

That nothing in this section contained shall be deemed or construed as applying to any person engaged in any of the professions or occupations hereinbefore enumerated, solely as an employee of any other persons, firm or corporation, conducting, managing or carrying on any such business, occupation or profession in the City and County of San Francisco.

**SEC. 150. Warehouses.** Every person, firm or corporation conducting a warehouse business, or engaged in the business of storing goods, wares or merchandise on any premises, shall pay an annual license fee, as follows:

Where the net area of whose warehouse operations amount to less than one thousand (1,000) square feet, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars:

1,000 to 25,000 square feet.....	\$ 60.00
25,001 to 50,000 square feet.....	100.00
50,001 to 75,000 square feet.....	140.00
75,001 to 100,000 square feet.....	175.00

100,001 to 200,000 square feet.....	200.00
200,001 to 300,000 square feet.....	225.00
300,001 to 400,000 square feet.....	250.00
400,001 and over square feet.....	300.00

**SEC. 151. Water Filter Companies.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of selling or hiring or leasing or renting water filters shall pay a license fee of Five (\$5.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 153. Stage Line Agencies.** Every person, firm or corporation maintaining or conducting any stage line agency for horse or motor vehicles shall pay a license fee of Twenty (\$20.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 154. Dyeing and Cleaning Offices.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of conducting and maintaining an office where wearing apparel and clothes are received to be dyed or cleaned and distributed therefrom shall pay a quarterly license fee based upon the number of employees, as follows:

Where two (2) or less are employed the quarterly license fee shall be Three (\$3.00) Dollars per quarter;

Where more than two (2) people are employed the license fee shall be Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 155. Money Lenders.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business or occupation of lending money on or purchasing notes, time, wages or salary of laborers, clerks or other wage earners or other persons or negotiating such as third party brokers or agents, whether the same is earned or unearned or in lending money on chattel mortgages or on goods, wares and chattels, and whether said business is conducted in an office or otherwise, shall pay a license fee of One Hundred (\$100.00) Dollars per quarter.

Provided, however, that a permit to engage in such business must first be procured from the Police Department and presented to the Tax Collector before the latter may issue the license provided for in this section.

**SEC. 157. Dealers in Stocks and Bonds.** Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of buying or selling mining stocks, bonds, State, County or Municipal stocks or bonds or stocks of incorporated companies, directly or on margin, shall pay a license fee, as follows:

Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars and not less than Five Hundred (\$500.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Twenty-Two (\$22.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose commissions or gross profits are less than Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars and not less than Twelve Hundred and Fifty (\$1,250.00) Dollars per quarter shall pay a license fee of Thirty-Two (\$32.00) Dollars per quarter;

Those whose commissions or gross profits are Twenty-Five Hundred (\$2,500.00) Dollars or more per quarter shall pay a license fee of Fifty-Two (\$52.00) Dollars per quarter.

**SEC. 186. Outdoor Advertising Defined.** The term "outdoor advertising" as used in Section 187 of this Article is hereby defined to be advertising on any board, fence or structure, or the placing thereon of any poster, bill, printing, painting, device or any advertising matter of any kind whatsoever, and the pasting, posting, painting, printing, nailing or tacking or otherwise fastening of any handbill, card,

banner, sign, poster, advertisement or notice of any kind upon any property or place.

**SEC. 187. Unlicensed Advertising Prohibited.** No person, firm or corporation shall engage in or carry on the business or occupation of billposting, advertising sign painting or outdoor advertising or maintaining billboards as defined in Section 1665 of Charter I of Part II of the Municipal Code, without paying the license fee provided for in Section 190 of this Article.

**SEC. 188. License Fees, Time Payable.** The license fee imposed by Section 190 of this Article shall be payable every quarter year and the amount thereof shall be determined by the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required. The term "quarter year" as used in this section and Sections 189 to 191, inclusive of this Article, shall be the three (3) months following the first day of January, April, July and October.

**SEC. 189. Data to Be Furnished to Tax Collector.** Within ten (10) days after the first day of each quarter year, every person, firm or corporation of whom the license fee provided in Section 190 of this Article is required, shall file a written application giving the name and address of fixed place of business of applicant with the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco for the issuance to the applicant of a "Bill Poster and Outdoor Advertising License" and shall accompany said application with a written statement truthfully showing the amount of business done for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year, as measured by the gross earnings for such period from the business or occupation, described in Section 187 of this Article, of the applicant.

**SEC. 190. License Fees, Amount of.** Within twenty (20) days after the first day of every quarter year every person, firm or corporation specified in Section 187 of this Article shall pay to the Tax Collector a license fee, as follows:

#### CLASSIFICATION A

When the amount of the business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be less than One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Seventy-Five (\$75.00) Dollars.

#### CLASSIFICATION B

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than One Thousand (\$1,000.00) Dollars, but less than Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Ninety (\$90.00) Dollars.

#### CLASSIFICATION C

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Two Thousand (\$2,000.00) Dollars, but less than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be One Hundred Twelve Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$112.50).

**CLASSIFICATION D**

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Five Thousand (\$5,000.00) Dollars, but less than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be One Hundred Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars.

**CLASSIFICATION E**

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Ten Thousand (\$10,000.00) Dollars, but less than Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Two Hundred and Twenty-Five (\$225.00) Dollars.

**CLASSIFICATION F**

When the amount of business done, as measured by the gross earnings for the three (3) months preceding the first day of the quarter year from the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, of the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee and of whom the license fee is required, shall be more than Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars, the amount of the license fee per quarter shall be Three Hundred Seventy-Five (\$375.00) Dollars.

If, however, prior to the first day of the quarter year for which the "Bill Poster and Outdoor Advertising License" is applied for, the applicant therefor has not engaged in the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article, the amount of the license fee shall be One Hundred Fifty (\$150.00) Dollars for the first quarter or fraction thereof that such applicant shall engage in such business or occupation, payable upon his engaging in such business or occupation. Thereafter such person, firm or corporation shall pay a license fee in accordance with the classification set out; but in case there remain, at the time of the issuance of such license, less than two (2) months of the quarter year in and during which such license is paid, then said license fee shall cover the period of the remainder of said quarter year and of the quarter year next succeeding.

**SEC. 191. Issuance of License.** Upon the payment of the license fee in Section 190 of this Article provided, the Tax Collector shall issue to the person, firm or corporation paying the license fee a license to be known as the "Bill Poster and Outdoor Advertising License" and such payment shall entitle the holder to engage in and carry on the business or occupation described in Section 187 of this Article for the period for which such payment was made.

**Section 2. Saving Clause.** If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

The Clerk read a communication from the Society of Insurance Brokers, protesting reinstatement of license tax on insurance brokers.

## Discussion.

Supervisor Mead stated that undoubtedly many of the callings enumerated in the proposed bill would like to be heard and suggested that consideration of Bills Nos. 4084, 4085 and 4086 be continued until the first of the year.

## Opinion Requested from City Attorney.

Supervisor Mancuso asked that a written opinion be procured from the City Attorney with reference to legality of the city imposing license taxes on occupations already the subject of state taxation.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## Motion to Continue Consideration.

Supervisor Mead moved, seconded by Supervisor Mancuso, that consideration of Bills Nos. 4084, 4085, and 4086 be continued to January 13, 1947.

Whereupon the roll was called and consideration of the foregoing bills was continued to January 13, 1947:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, John J. Sullivan—2.

## Request for Estimate of Cost.

Supervisor Christopher, in connection with the foregoing items, requested that the Finance Committee submit estimates as to the cost of enforcement of the ordinances in question and the amount of revenue that will accrue therefrom.

*No objection and so ordered.*

## Amending Provisions of Municipal Code Relating to Licensing of Scavenger Vehicles.

Bill No. 4085, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 140, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to licensing of scavenger vehicles, by changing the basis of license from ownership to operation; eliminating horse drawn vehicles; providing for hearings before the Director of Public Health for violations; providing for the expiration date of licenses and that the fees therefor shall not be prorated or refunded.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 140, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 140. Scavenger Vehicles.** Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving on the public streets of the City and County of San Francisco any vehicle used for the purpose of removing or collecting garbage, house refuse, butcher's offal, putrid animal or vegetable matter, ashes or refuse of any character, shall pay a license fee, as follows:

For each auto truck or vehicle capable of transporting one (1) ton or less, Five (\$5.00) Dollars per annum.

For each auto truck or vehicle capable of transporting more than one (1) ton, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per annum.

The metallic plate issued as a part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal

to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size each plate shall be nine (9) inches by two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) inches and shall be so perforated as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle license plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least five-eighths ( $\frac{5}{8}$ ) inches by three-eighths ( $\frac{3}{8}$ ) inches and all numbers eight-eighths ( $\frac{8}{8}$ ) inches by five-eighths ( $\frac{5}{8}$ ) inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving any scavenger vehicle as above described subject to this license to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December thirty-first of each calendar year. No substitute for this license shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plates on any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this section.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving any scavenger vehicle as above described to paint thereon or affix thereon, or cause or permit to be used or painted or affixed thereon any number or number plate except the one assigned and issued by the Tax Collector and the one issued as a permit by the Department of Public Health.

The person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving each vehicle used or intended to be used for the purposes hereinabove specified shall obtain a permit as required from the Department of Public Health, and shall have the words "Scavenger Vehicle" painted on both sides of such vehicle in letters not less than four (4) inches in height. This permit shall be renewed annually between the first day of January and the thirty-first day of January of each succeeding year.

When any person, firm or corporation having a license under the provisions of this section shall, after due and proper hearing by the Director of Public Health, be found guilty of violating any sanitary law, ordinance or rule of the Department of Public Health relative to the collection, removal or disposition of the materials or substances hereinabove enumerated, the Director of Public Health shall have the power to revoke the permit and the license so issued, and such person, firm or corporation, before again resuming business, must make application as a new applicant and procure a new license and permit.

All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall expire on the last day of the calendar year in which issued. License fees paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to January 13, 1947.*

#### Amending Provisions of Municipal Code Relating to Licensing of Vehicles Used for Commercial Purposes.

Bill No. 4086, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 147, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to licensing of vehicles used for commercial purposes, by changing the basis of license from ownership to operation; eliminating horse drawn vehicles; exempting transit vehicles; providing for the expiration date of licenses and that the fees therefor shall not be prorated or refunded.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 147, Article 2, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 147. Commercial Vehicles.** Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving for commercial purposes on the public streets of the City and County of San Francisco any truck, motorcycle or other vehicle, propelled by motor or used as a trailer, and used for the purpose of transporting goods, wares or merchandise, shall pay a license fee therefor, as follows:

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting less than three-fourths ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) of a ton, Six (\$6.00) Dollars per annum;

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting three-fourths ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) of a ton and less than two (2) tons, Seven (\$7.00) Dollars per annum;

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting two (2) tons and less than three (3) tons, Ten (\$10.00) Dollars per annum;

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting three (3) tons or over, Twelve (\$12.00) Dollars per annum;

For each motorcycle or tricycle, Three (\$3.00) Dollars per annum.

All licenses issued under the provisions of this section shall expire on the last day of the calendar year in which issued. License fees paid under the provisions of this section shall not be prorated or refunded. Provided, however, that any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving for commercial purposes any hereinabove described vehicle which is only occasionally operated within or through the City and County of San Francisco from an outside county or state shall be exempt from the provisions of this section.

The metallic plate issued as a part of the license must be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe and each plate must clearly show the year for which it is issued. Provided, that it shall be in quality of material and workmanship equal to that of the license plate issued by the State Motor Vehicle Department; that in size, each plate shall be nine (9) inches by two and one-half ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ ) inches, and shall be so perforated as to make it attachable to the State Motor Vehicle license plate or fastened at the front of each motor-drawn vehicle. Provided, further, that all letters stamped or printed upon the face of the plate shall be at least five eighths ( $\frac{5}{8}$ ) inches by three-eighths ( $\frac{3}{8}$ ) inches and all numbers eight-eighths ( $\frac{8}{8}$ ) inches by five eighths ( $\frac{5}{8}$ ) inches in size.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating, running or driving a vehicle subject to this license to permit an expired vehicle license plate to remain on any vehicle after December thirty-first of each calendar year. No substitute for this license plate shall be permitted. It shall be unlawful to affix license plate in any other position on a vehicle than that authorized by this section.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 16, 1946—Consideration continued to January 13, 1947.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—4:00 P. M.

Sale of \$8,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series C.

Awarding of bid or rejecting all bids by Board of Supervisors not later than 4 p. m. (P. S. T.), December 16, 1946, at Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, for \$8,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series C, consisting of 8,500 bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each, numbered C1 to C8500, inclusive, all dated December 15, 1946, and designated "Series C." Said Airport Bonds—1945, Series C, are part of an issue

of \$20,000,000 aggregate principal amount authorized at an election held in said City and County of San Francisco on November 6, 1945.

**Selling City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945 Series C.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6323, Resolution No. 6091 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco heretofore duly authorized the issuance of \$8,500,000 principal amount of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to be known as "City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds—1945, Series C" (being part of an authorized issue of \$20,000,000 principal amount) consisting of 8,500 bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each, numbered from C1 to C8500, both inclusive, all dated December 15, 1946, and maturing in consecutive numerical order, from lower to higher, on December 15th in each of the years 1948 to 1956, both inclusive, without option of prior payment, as follows:

- \$ 250,000 in each of the years 1948 and 1949
- 500,000 in each of the years 1950, 1951 and 1952
- 1,000,000 in the year 1953
- 1,750,000 in each of the years 1954 and 1955
- 2,000,000 in the year 1956

to bear interest at a rate not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually on June 15th and December 15th in each year, and further duly authorized the sale of said bonds at public sale to the highest and best bidder therefor; and

Whereas, notice of the sale of said bonds has been duly given in the manner prescribed by said resolution authorizing the sale of said bonds and the following bids for said bonds were and are the only bids received by said Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Name of bidder	Net interest cost to city and county
Bank of America, N.T.&S.A. ....	\$869,076.00
Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc. ....	893,312.50
Blythe & Co. ....	900,925.00
First National Bank of Chicago ....	910,860.00
Bankers Trust Co. by R. H Moulton & Company . . .	948,125.00

Whereas, the said bid of Bank of America, N.T.&S.A. is the highest and best bid for said bonds, considering the interest rate specified and the premium offered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows, to-wit:

1. Said bid of Bank of America, N.T.&S.A. for \$8,500,000 par value of said bonds shall be, and is hereby accepted and the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to deliver said bonds to said purchaser thereof upon payment to said Treasurer of the said purchase price, to-wit: said par value thereof and a premium of \$5,019, together with accrued interest at the following rate:

Bond Numbers (all inclusive)	Interest Rate per Annum
C 1 to C1000 .....	two and one-half (2½)
C1001 to C7500 .....	one and one-quarter (1¼)
C7501 to C8500 .....	one and one-half (1½)

Said bonds shall bear interest at the said rate hereinabove set forth, payable semi-annually on June 15th and December 15th.

2. That all bids except the bid of Bank of America, N.T.&S.A. are hereby rejected and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby ordered and directed to return to the unsuccessful bidders their several checks accompanying their respective bids.



3. The Purchaser of Supplies of the City and County is directed to cause to be lithographed, printed or engraved a sufficient number of blank bonds and coupons of suitable quality, said bonds and coupons to show on their face that the same bear interest at the rate aforesaid, in accordance with instructions from the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Resolved further, That this resolution shall take effect from and after its passage and approval.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**

**Finally Passed**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed the Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead, Lewis.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Municipal Railway, by Amending the Class Numbers of Key Punch Operator, Tabulating Machine Operator and Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.**

Bill No. 4437, Ordinance No. 4192 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 72, **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**, by amending the class number for item 12.2 from B309c Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand to B309a Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand; by amending the class number for item 12.3 from B310b Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand to B310a Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand, and by amending the class number for item 12.4 from B310.1d Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand to B310.1a Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 72 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	25	A154	Carpenter .....	\$ 14.00 day
1.1	1	A156	Patternmaker .....	13.60 day
1.2	2	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
1.3	1	A252	Glazier .....	12.68 day
2	2	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
3	16	A364	Car and Auto Painter.....	14.00 day
3.1	1	A366	Foreman Car and Auto Painter....	15.00 day
4	1	A370	General Foreman, Car and Auto Paint Shop.... (g	339
5	7	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
7	3	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
8	1	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
8.1	2	B68	Chief Clerk .....	360-430
8.2	4	B102	Teller .....	230-290
8.3	1	B103	Cashier C .....	230-290
8.4	4	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
10	65	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
10.1	7	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
11	3	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
12	16	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230
12.2	3	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator, Remington Rand</b> .....	160-200
12.3	8	B310a	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand</b> .....	190-240
12.4	1	B310.1a	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, Remington Rand</b> .....	240-290
12.41	1		*Senior Tabulating Machine Operator .....	240
12.5	1	B330	Photographer .....	230-290
13	35	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
13.1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
13.2	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
14	9	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
14.1	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator .....	230-290
15	24	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
15.1	4	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist .....	230-290

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Appropriating \$5,000, Public Works Department, for Installation, Maintenance and Repair of Traffic Directional Signs.**

Bill No. 4446, Ordinance No. 4193 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,000 out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund to provide additional funds for installation, maintenance and repair of Traffic Directional Signs, as requested by the Police Department, for the balance of the current fiscal year. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose being insufficient, and no other funds available therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the Unappropriated Balance of the Special Road Improvement Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 647.903.06, to provide additional funds for installation, maintenance and repair of Traffic Directional Signs, as requested by the Police Department, for the balance of the current fiscal year. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose are insufficient, and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 6294, Resolution No. 6073 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and they are hereby authorized to be paid to the following, being refunds of payments of taxes as follows:

*From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| 1. Gridley Realty Co., Lot 24, Block 1619, second installment \$64.24, fiscal year 1945-46; Lot 3, Block 1675, second installment \$65.21, fiscal year 1945-46. ....                 | \$129.45 |
| 2. Real Estate Associates, Lot 6-A, Block 3709, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46.....   | 42.26    |
| 3. Francis H. Nerney, Lot 7, Block 6762, first and second installments \$6.87, fiscal year 1945-46; Lot 8, Block 6762, first and second installments \$6.87, fiscal year 1945-46.... | 13.74    |

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

- |  |         |
|--|---------|
| 1. Mrs. Irving Rubinchik—Personal property for year 1946 paid twice—Receipts Nos. 42361 and 44489.....   | \$ 1.21 |
| 2. Harry Goldring, Administrator for the estate of Leo Goldring—The assessed valuation resulting in above tax represents funds credited to estate of decedent after March 4, 1946; there were no taxable assets in the estate on the tax lien date; decedent was a soldier in the U. S. Army taken prisoner by the Japs, and on or about September 7, 1944, was aboard the Japanese ship loaded with American prisoners which was sunk by American torpedoes.... | 382.54  |
| 3. Oscar Eldman—Overpayment on redemption of lots 3 and 4, Block 6186, on November 26, 1946.....   | 3.34    |

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.**

Proposal No. 6295, Resolution No. 6074 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Arthur A. Newhouse, or

the legal owner, to the following described real property situated in San Mateo County, State of California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$8,000 be paid for such property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58 and the further consideration mentioned below:

Lots 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 in Block 1; Lots 41, 42, 43, 48, 49 and 50 in Block 2; Lots 38, 39, 40, 42, 43 and 44 in Block 3; all as designated on the Map entitled "North Millbrae, Subdivision No. 1, San Mateo County, California," which map was filed in the office of the Recorder of the County of San Mateo, State of California, on December 2, 1907, in Book 5 of Maps at page 49. Also all those portions of the following lots lying northeasterly of and adjacent to the southwesterly line of the parcel of land described below as Parcel A, which said Parcel A is a proposed street area.

Lot 27 in said Block 1; Lots 34, 51, and 52 in said Block 2; Lots 36, 37, 47 and 48 in said Block 3, and Lots 31 and 32 in Block 4 of the above described subdivision.

Together with all right, title and interest in and to those portions of Cypress Street, San Mateo Avenue, Alameda Street, Santa Clara Avenue, Santa Cruz Avenue, and San Benito Avenue lying northeasterly of the southwesterly line of said proposed street area referred to above and which area is described as follows:

Parcel A. All those portions of Blocks 1, 2, 3 and 4 and San Mateo Avenue, Santa Clara Avenue and Santa Cruz Avenue of North Millbrae Subdivision No. 1, San Mateo County, California, recorded December 2, 1907, in Map Book 5 at page 49, San Mateo County Records, lying within the area described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Cypress Street, distant thereon 22.25 feet northeasterly from the most northerly corner of Lot 25 in said Block 1; thence along said southeasterly line of Cypress Street North  $53^{\circ} 45'$  East, 51.73 feet; thence South  $51^{\circ} 06'$  East 652.93 feet more or less to a point on the southwesterly line of Alameda Street; thence along said southwesterly line of Alameda Street, South  $16^{\circ} 38' 30''$  East, 88.38 feet to a point distant 11.59 feet northwesterly along said southwesterly line of Alameda Street from the northwesterly line of San Benito Avenue, thence North  $51^{\circ} 06'$  West, 739.06 feet more or less to the point of beginning.

It is understood and agreed that as further consideration mentioned above, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, will do all things necessary and possible and will exert every effort to obtain a dedication of the proposed street area above described as Parcel "A."

In the event that the aforesaid proposed street shall not have been dedicated within one year from the date of the deed conveying the above described property to the City, the said City agrees that it will deed to Arthur A. Newhouse, without further cost or consideration, all of the land embraced by Parcel A as above described.

The amount of \$8,000 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5441 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the parcels described in this resolution, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to

proceed under Resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Land Purchase—Fire House Site.

Proposal No. 6296, Resolution No. 6075 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from M. Saroff or the legal owner of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 3594, San Francisco, California, required for a fire house site and that the sum of \$15,500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 91.600.10.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, San Francisco Fire Department.

Recommended by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller. (Per Ordinance No. 4165, Bill No. 4407, approved Nov. 26, 1946.)

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Authorizing Settlement of Claim of Frank Robinson, Jr., by Payment of the Sum of One Hundred Twenty-One and 31/100 (\$121.31) Dollars.**

Proposal No. 6297, Resolution No. 6076 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on the 12th day of September, 1946, the automobile of Frank Robinson, Jr., while parked on Taraval Street near Thirty-first Avenue, was struck by Department of Public Works truck; and

Whereas, by reason thereof claimant has filed a claim against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of One Hundred Thirty-five (\$135) Dollars damages; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of the City Attorney that there is liability on the part of the City and County of San Francisco and has entered into an agreement and compromise in settlement of said claim for said damages against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of One Hundred Twenty-one and 31/100 (\$121.31) Dollars; contingent upon the approval of the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to settle said claim by the payment of One Hundred Twenty-One and 31/100 (\$121.31) Dollars to said Frank Robinson, Jr., and the Controller is hereby requested and authorized to draw his warrant in favor of Frank Robinson, Jr., in the sum of One Hundred Twenty-One and 31/100 (\$121.31) Dollars in full payment thereof, there being no litigation pending.

Recommended and approved by the Director of the Department of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to settlement and approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Land Purchases—Sunset Community Center.**

Proposal No. 6298, Resolution No. 6077 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for the proposed Sunset Community Center, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 670.600.00:

George F. Devlin, Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 2158.....	\$ 800
Florence Delaney Weinholz, Lot 6 in Assessor's Block 2158. ....	800
Ernest J. Aviani, Lot 33 in Assessor's Block 2158.....	850
Grace E. Wentworth, Lot 19A in Assessor's Block 2157..	1,600
Charles L. and Annie R. Boggs, Lot 15 in Assessor's Block 2157. ....	1,600

After said real property has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco it is understood that title to an area within the boundaries of the proposed Sunset Community Center as described in Resolution No. 5824 (Series of 1939), equivalent to 3 Sunset blocks, shall be transferred to the San Francisco Unified School District to be used for public school purposes.

The sum of \$5,650 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under said Resolution No. 5824 for the acquisition of said real property through eminent domain proceedings and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described property the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under said resolution No. 5824, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

**Absent:** Supervisor Colman—1.

#### **Cancellation of Taxes Due to Erroneous Assessment.**

Proposal No. 6300, Resolution No. 6078 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the request of the Assessor, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, and with the written consent of the City Attorney, the Controller be and he is hereby ordered to cancel the taxes on the following described assessment which was made erroneously and due to clerical error, by reason of failure to grant veteran exemption for which proper application had been made:

Lot 9A, Block 6610, Volume 40, fiscal year 1946-47.....\$130

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

**Absent:** Supervisor Colman—1.

#### **Authorizing Acceptance of Gift to Board of Trustees of the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum by Rose F. Magnin.**

Proposal No. 6301, Resolution No. 6079 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the Board of Trustees of the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum is hereby authorized and directed to accept a gift of Four Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-five Dollars (\$4,355) from Rose F. Magnin for the purpose of acquiring a Louis XVI carved and painted Boiserie composed of doors, windows, mirrored panels and large panels surmounted by smaller frieze panels, representing cherubs in the manner of Clodion, etc.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

**Absent:** Supervisor Colman—1.

#### **Authorizing and Directing Library Commission to Make Space Available for Use of Students Enrolled in the Hastings College of the Law.**

Proposal No. 6308, Resolution No. 6080 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, registration at the Hastings College of the Law far exceeds that of past years, particularly by reason of the great number of veterans of World War II who, under the G. I. Bill of Rights, have elected to study law, and it becomes necessary to provide additional space for the college; and

Whereas, Section 4 (1877-8, Statutes 533) provides as follows: "There shall be set apart for the use of the Students of the college some room or suitable hall at the University, and the Board of Supervisors of the City of San Francisco is authorized to supply a suitable hall in the City of San Francisco for the students and Directors"; and

Whereas, a resolution was adopted by the Library Commission of the City and County of San Francisco on December 3, 1946, which

resolution reads as follows: "Resolved, That the Exhibit Room or Newspaper Room be made available to the Hastings College of the Law, but subject to the authority to do so by a resolution of the Board of Supervisors. Such use of the premises to be limited to morning sessions and subject to such further rules that may be prescribed by the Library Commission"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to Section 4 (1877-8, Statutes 533), this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and direct the Library Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to make available to the Hastings College of the Law such space as may be prescribed by said Commission, or may be agreed upon between the parties, for use of the students enrolled in the Hastings College of the Law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

No: Supervisor Lewis—1.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Land Purchases—Sunset Community Center.**

Proposal No. 6309, Resolution No. 6081 (Series of 1933), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for the proposed Sunset Community Center, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 670.600.00:

Sol Getz and Sons, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 2096,	
Lots 2 and 3 in Assessor's Block 2157.....	\$4,956.75
Lesley R. Jackson, Lots 1, 50, 27, and 28 in Assessor's	
Block 2158. ....	3,443.00
Laura H. Kaupert, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 2157....	3,000.00
Mary Russo, Lot 22 in Assessor's Block 2158.....	800.00

After said real property has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco it is understood that title to an area within the boundaries of the proposed Sunset Community Center as described in Resolution No. 5824 (Series of 1939), equivalent to 3 Sunset Blocks, shall be transferred to the San Francisco Unified School District to be used for public school purposes.

The sum of \$12,199.75 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under said Resolution No. 5824 for the acquisition of said real property through eminent domain proceedings and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described property the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under said Resolution No. 5824, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Board of Education.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.



*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

**Land Purchases—Sunset Community Center.**

Proposal No. 6310, Resolution No. 6082 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Board of Education, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the following named parties or the legal owners to certain real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for the proposed Sunset Community Center, and that the sums set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 670.600.00:

Frank Aragone, Lots 25 and 26 in Assessor's Block 2158.	\$1,650
Andrea Crosetti, Lots 47, 48 and 49 in Assessor's Block 2158. ....	2,400
Maria B. Dolliver, Lot 11 in Assessor's Block 2157.....	1,100
Antonio Faliano, Lot 46 in Assessor's Block 2158.....	800

After said real property has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco it is understood that title to an area within the boundaries of the proposed Sunset Community Center as described in Resolution No. 5824 (Series of 1939), equivalent to 3 Sunset blocks, shall be transferred to the San Francisco Unified School District to be used for public school purposes.

The sum of \$5,950 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under said Resolution No. 5824 for the acquisition of said real property through eminent domain proceedings and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described property the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under said Resolution No. 5824, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

- Recommended by the Board of Education.
- Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

**Release of Lien Filed Re Indigent Aid—Augustus Baaser and Catherine Baaser.**

Proposal No. 6311, Resolution No. 6083 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, an instrument executed by Augustus Baaser and Catherine Baaser, receiving aid from the City and County of San Francisco, has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said instrument created a lien in favor of the said City and County on real property belonging to said Augustus Baaser and Catherine Baaser; and

Whereas, said Augustus Baaser and Catherine Baaser, on payment of the debts secured by said lien, are entitled to receive a release thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, upon receipt of the full amount secured by any such lien, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to execute and deliver a release of any such lien.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to  
Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6312, Resolution No. 6084 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated December 16, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of December, 1946, and January, 1947, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

**Determination of Liability of Responsible Relatives of Recipients  
of Old Age Security Aid.**

Proposal No. 6313, Resolution No. 6085 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the responsible relatives of the recipients of Old Age Security Aid, listed in the report of the Public Welfare Department to the Board of Supervisors, dated December 10, 1946, are able to contribute each month to the said recipients of Old Age Security Aid the amounts stated in said report; that said determination is made upon the basis of the Relatives Contribution Scale set forth in Division III, Chapter 1, of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California; and the City Attorney is hereby requested and authorized to commence legal proceedings in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 2224 of the Welfare and Institutions Code of the State of California, against the responsible relatives who refuse to assume the obligation of making the monthly contribution to the recipients of Old Age Security Aid in accordance with the determination of their liability as made on this date by the Board of Supervisors.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Mead—2.

### Finally Passed.

*(Note: Supervisor Mancuso agreed in Committee to be bound by the City Attorney's opinion as to the existence of an emergency, as defined by the Charter, in connection with this measure. Supervisor Lewis is of the opinion that such an emergency exists.)*

**Appropriating the Sum of \$1,140 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Recreation Department for the Repair of Boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4450, Ordinance No. 4194 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$1,140 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Recreation Department for the repair of boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,140 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 613.213.00, Repairs to Public Buildings, Recreation Department, to provide funds for the repair of boiler at Glen Park Fieldhouse.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The repair of this boiler, which supplies steam heat and hot water for a large fieldhouse in use both day and night, is immediately necessary for the protection of life and property of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. This fieldhouse serves a large neighborhood community and the gymnasium is also used for boys and girls inter-playground activity. This expenditure could not be anticipated for budget purposes as the condition of the boiler was discovered on a periodic survey made by the insurance company which carries the boiler insurance. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

Recommended by the Superintendent of the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

### Discussion.

Supervisor Mancuso stated that in his opinion the purport of Bill No. 4450 did not constitute an emergency and therefore moved that the emergency clause contained therein be stricken.

Motion lost for want of a second.

The Clerk read an opinion from the City Attorney advising the Board in part: "that a bill providing an appropriation from the Emergency Reserve Fund is not required to be in the form of an emergency ordinance, that is, to contain a definition of the emergency for which the funds are appropriated, unless reference to committee and readings and votes at separate meetings are waived in accordance with the provisions of Section 13 of the Charter. If this is done, the bill must contain emergency provisions in accordance with that section; otherwise, it need not contain them. Whether the bill takes the usual course of committee action and two readings and votes of the Board at separate meetings or is passed without committee report and on first reading, it must receive on final passage 'the vote of three-fourths of the Board of Supervisors,' as required by section 79 of the Charter."

### Statement of the Controller.

Mr. Harry D. Ross, Controller, was permitted the privilege of the floor to explain the use of the word "emergency" and stated that "there were two types of emergencies: the first dealing with the use of the money itself for an emergency as defined in Sections 16 and 25 of the Charter and which requires a three-fourths vote; and the second, the necessity of the measure taking effect immediately as outlined in Section 16 of the Charter and there must be a recitation of why you are doing it."

Whereupon the roll was called and Bill No. 4450 was *Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

### Consideration Postponed.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$119,500 From the Unappropriated Balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the Credit of Appropriation Number 665,500.00 for the Purchase of Assessor's Block 19, Required for Municipal Railway Purposes and for Payment of Incidental Expenses.**

Bill No. 4469, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$119,500 from the unappropriated balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 665,500.00 for the purchase of Assessor's Block 19, required for Municipal Railway purposes and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$119,500 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 665,500.00 for the purchase of Assessor's Block 19, San Francisco, California, required for Municipal Railway purposes and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan, that consideration of Bill No. 4469, be continued one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

### Consideration Postponed.

The following, from Finance Committee, without recommendation, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

#### Land Purchase—Merced Playground—Parcel 2.

Proposal No. 6277, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 5686 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on July 15, 1946, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Pacific Coast Construction Company, or the legal owner, to the following described real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for Merced Playground:

Commencing at the most southerly corner of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Spring Valley Company, Ltd., a corporation, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, recorded December 23, 1938, in Book 3397 of Official Records, page 401, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence north  $33^{\circ} 41'$  west along the southwesterly boundary line of the parcel of land described in said deed, a distance of 114.161 feet; thence leaving said southwesterly boundary line and running north  $89^{\circ} 08' 09''$  west 147.078 feet to the true point of beginning of the parcel of land to be described; running thence south  $33^{\circ} 41'$  east 289.248 feet to a point; thence southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left the center of which bears south  $44^{\circ} 22' 03''$  east 527.50 feet from the last mentioned point, with a radius of 527.50 feet, a central angle of  $4^{\circ} 45' 20''$ , a distance of 43.783 feet; thence southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, with a radius of 672.50 feet, a central angle of  $17^{\circ} 05'$ , a distance of 200.513 feet; thence north  $33^{\circ} 41'$  west 476.291 feet to a point perpendicularly distant 310 feet southerly from the southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus Drive; thence north  $84^{\circ} 40' 11''$  east parallel with said southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus Drive 97.289 feet; thence south  $89^{\circ} 08' 09''$  east parallel with and perpendicularly distant 310 feet southerly from the southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus Drive, 188.604 feet to the true point of beginning.

Containing 2.1734 acres.

The sum of \$15,659.66 shall be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 613.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that consideration of Proposals Nos. 6277, 6278, 6279 and 6280, be continued one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Land Purchase—Yacht Harbor—Pacific Gas and Electric Company—Parcel No. 8.**

Proposal No. 6278, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 568 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on October 2, 1939, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, or the legal owner, to the following described land situated in San Francisco, California, required for Yacht Harbor:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Marina Boulevard, distant thereon 400 feet northwesterly from the westerly line of Buchanan Street; running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Marina Boulevard 245.383 feet to the southwest corner of that certain tract of land described in deed recorded February 5, 1945, in Liber 4203 at page 70, Official Records of San Francisco; thence easterly along the southerly boundary of said tract of land 129.832 feet to the northwest corner of that certain parcel of land described in deed recorded February 29, 1944, in

Liber 4062 at page 222, Official Records of San Francisco; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly boundary of last mentioned parcel 153 feet more or less to a line drawn perpendicular to the northeasterly line of Marina Boulevard through the point of commencement; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Assessor's Block 421.

The sum of \$26,777.78 shall be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

**Land Purchase—Yacht Harbor—Bates Estate Company—Parcel No. 8.**

Proposal No. 6279, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 868 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on March 11, 1940, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from the Bates Estate Company, or the legal owner, to the following described land situated in San Francisco, California, required for Yacht Harbor:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Buchanan Street, distant thereon 308.49 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lewis Street; thence running southerly along said line of Buchanan Street 102.83 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 280 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 102.83 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 280 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Assessor's Block No. 411.

The sum of \$14,411.89 shall be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

**Land Purchase—Fleishhacker Playfield—Parcel No. 12.**

Proposal No. 6280, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 1828, adopted by this Board on April 1, 1935, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the below named parties, or the legal owners, to the westerly 4.9661 acres of Lot 40 in Assessor's Block 7201, San Francisco, California, required for Fleishhacker Playfield, and that the total sum of \$19,616.10 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.01 as follows:

Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., 56/100 interest.	\$10,985.02
The Bank of California, N. A., 44/100 interest.	8,631.08

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

**Authorizing the Mayor to Make Application to the Federal Works Administrator for a Loan of Plan Preparation Funds for the Proposed Junior Museum.**

Proposal No. 6299, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Act of the Congress of the United States, known as Title 5 of the War Mobilization and Reconversion Act of 1944, and Public Law 269 of the Seventy-ninth Congress, approved December 28, 1945, authorizing the Federal Works Administrator to make advances of funds to non-federal Public Agencies to assist in the plan preparation of their public works; and

Whereas, the said Acts of Congress allow states and political subdivisions thereof to borrow plan preparation moneys without interest for the purpose of planning proposed public works; and

Whereas, said Acts of Congress provide that the payment of this loan shall be made only if, as, and when actual construction starts on the proposed work; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco plan and reasonably expect to construct the herein described public works within the near future; and

Whereas, this public work is known as the Junior Museum; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, Roger D. Lapham, is hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Works Agency for and on behalf of said City and County, for a loan amounting to twenty-four thousand seven hundred fifty-two dollars (\$24,752) for the purposes, and under the conditions described above.

Recommended by the Superintendent of the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

**Privilege of the Floor.**

The privilege of the floor was granted to the following people who spoke in favor of the proposed construction of the Junior Recreational Museum:

Mr. Kittredge, citizen, Corona Height District and teacher, Polytechnic High School.

Mr. Forrest E. Peterson, Juvenile Court and representative of San Francisco Master Plan for Youth Committee.

Mr. Elmer Gaetjen, Secretary of the San Francisco Coordinating Council.

Miss Schneider, Secretary, Group Work and Recreational Division of the San Francisco Community Chest.

Mr. M. J. Minkler of the San Francisco School Department.

A communication was read by the Clerk from the Ashbury Terrace Improvement Club.

**Discussion.**

Supervisor Lewis stated that what was needed was an overall plan for recreational facilities and that it was the duty of the Board to

consider policy and that this policy belonged in the Education, Parks and Recreation Committee of the Board.

Whereupon Supervisor Lewis moved that Proposal No. 6299 be amended to read: Add to the fourth "Whereas" after the words "the City and County of San Francisco" the words "is now considering the construction of a Junior Museum, and

"Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does not, by this resolution, commit itself to provide any funds for a Junior Museum at the time that the budget is under consideration." Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

#### Point of Order Overruled.

Supervisor Mead rose to a point of order, stating that Supervisor Lewis' motion did not relate to the proposal before the Board.

The Chair ruled that the point of order was not well taken.

Supervisor MacPhee asked Mr. Harman of the Recreation Department what effect the proposed amendment would have on the loan, to which Mr. Harman replied that since he was not a lawyer, he could not say.

Supervisor Meyer stated that passage of such an amendment would have the effect of killing the chances of the City getting the loan from the Federal Government.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was accorded Mrs. Mary Qvistgaard, Secretary of the Eureka Valley Promotion Association, who spoke on the subject.

#### Motion to Amend Lost.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Lewis' amendment and it *failed of adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—5.

Noes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—5.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor Mead moved, seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan, that the matter remain on the calendar one week.

Supervisor McMurray, moved as a substitute, that the matter be referred to the Education, Parks and Recreation Committee. Motion seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor McMurray, withdrew his motion. *No objection and so ordered.*

Supervisor Mancuso moved, as a substitute, that the matter be tabled; seconded by Supervisor McMurray.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Mancuso's substitute motion and it was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Mancuso, McMurray—4.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

The Chair announced that Supervisor Mead's motion to postpone consideration of Proposal No. 6299 for one week, was before the Board for action.

#### Point of Order.

Supervisor Lewis rose to a point of order, stating that Supervisor Mead's motion was not before the Board. However, the Chair ruled that the point of order was not well taken.



Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Mead's motion to postpone and it was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—5.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Motion on Reconsideration.

The roll was called on the adoption of Proposal No. 6299:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—5.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Before the result of the foregoing roll call was announced, Supervisor Mead changed his vote from "Aye" to "No" and moved reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board. Supervisor Mead's motion was seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan.

The vote then stood:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead—6.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Information Requested by Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor Lewis requested the following information from Miss Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department:

Exact figure on proposed bond issue.

Cost of unfinished playgrounds.

Cost of constructing playgrounds in districts where there are none at present.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following from Finance Committee, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up.

#### Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1625 Market Street for Recreation Department.

Proposal No. 6246, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with The Steam Fitters Union, Local 590, as Lessors, of the ground floor space known as No. 1625 Market Street, San Francisco.

This lease to be for a period of one year beginning January 1, 1947, and ending December 31, 1947, at a rental of \$250 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

The City shall have the right to renew said lease from year to year for a total additional period of four years, at a rental of \$250 per month.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 16, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

The Clerk read the following communications from organizations opposed to the proposed location:

Geary Highway Club; the Longfellow-Mt. Vernon Improvement Club; the Cabrillo Civic Club; the Balboa Terrace Homes Association; the Central Council of Civic Clubs; St. Francis Scott Key Parent-Teachers Association.

A communication from the San Francisco Center, California League of Women Voters, urged that a full hearing be given the matter.

Mr. Thomas A. Brooks, Chief Administrative Officer, informed the Board that the Director of Property had told him that there was no city property available in the vicinity of the proposed site, for the purposes as outlined by the Recreation Department.

Supervisor Mancuso again expressed his disapproval of the location, stating that he would vote against it unless other locations could be recommended.

#### Postponement.

Whereupon Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, that consideration of Proposal No. 6246 be continued to December 30th. The roll was called and *consideration was continued* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—8.

No: Supervisor Mead—1.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee—2.

#### Request of Supervisor Mancuso.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that a communication be directed to the State Board of Equalization, asking if they would permit the operation of a bar in the same confines in which recreational activity is conducted.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Finally Passed.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Mead, Lewis.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$12,655.51 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds in the Office of the Board of Supervisors for Official Printing Requirements for the Balance of the Fiscal year; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4451, Ordinance No. 4195 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$12,655.51 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the office of the Board of Supervisors for official printing requirements for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$12,655.51 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 633.-

234.01, Official Printing, Board of Supervisors, to provide funds for official printing requirements for the balance of the fiscal year.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The approval of this ordinance will provide funds for the balance of the fiscal year for official printing, as required by law, and is necessary to the uninterrupted operation of the Board of Supervisors. The funds heretofore provided for the purpose will be insufficient and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$7,400 Out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to Provide Funds for the Hire of Trucks for the Bureau of Street Cleaning and the Bureau of Sewer Repair of the Department of Public Works; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4456, Ordinance No. 4196 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$7,400 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds for the hire of trucks for the Bureau of Street Cleaning and the Bureau of Sewer Repair of the Department of Public Works; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,400 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the Department of Public Works for the purposes recited:

*Appropriation*

*Number*

642.204.00—Hire of Auto Trucks, Bureau of Street Cleaning... \$ 900.

643.204.00—Hire of Auto Trucks, Bureau of Sewer Repair... 6,500.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Subsequent to compilation of budget estimates for the current fiscal year, the California State Railroad Commission increased rates for hired trucks leaving the above-mentioned appropriations short of the required amounts. The services of these trucks are essential to the continued operation of the Bureaus of Street Cleaning and Sewer Repair, Department of Public Works, interruption of which would constitute a hazard to public health.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Consideration Postponed.

The following recommendation of Public Utilities Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Meyer.

**Petitioning Public Utilities Commission to Consider Issuance of Weekly Passes for Municipal Railway.**

Proposal No. 5443, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully petition the Public Utilities Commission and does request that at its earliest convenience the Commission give consideration to the issuance of weekly passes for transportation upon the facilities of the Municipal Railway at such price as will produce that amount which together with revenues produced from other scheduled rates is estimated to be the total revenue necessary for proper maintenance and adequate service in accordance with approved standards for the operation of street railway systems.

*April 29, 1946—Consideration continued until May 13, 1946.*

*May 13, 1946—Consideration continued until May 20, 1946.*

*May 20, 1946—Consideration continued until June 3, 1946.*

*June 3, 1946—Consideration continued until June 17, 1946.*

*June 17, 1946—Consideration continued until December 16, 1946.*

*December 16, 1946—Consideration continued to January 13, 1947.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of the Judiciary Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors MacPhee, Lewis, Mancuso.

**Authorizing and Directing the President of the Board of Supervisors to Appoint a Committee to Consider and Report on Questions of Policy Relative to Amendment of Charter.**

Proposal No. 6320, Resolution No. 6092 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized and directed to immediately appoint a committee, to be comprised of representative members of civic groups and the citizenry at large whose duty it shall be to consider questions of policy relative to amendment of the charter; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the committee to be appointed by the President of the Board of Supervisors pursuant to authority of this resolution be and it is hereby charged with the responsibility of reporting the progress of its work to the Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors at the expiration of each thirty-day period from the effective date of this resolution, with its final report and full recommendation to be made to said Board of Supervisors at the expiration of ninety days from the effective date hereof.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Streets Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

**Granting Permission Revocable at the Will of the Board of Supervisors to Fred C. Rose to Construct a Concrete Retaining Wall in Moraga Street.**

Proposal No. 6258, Resolution No. 6071 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, Fred C. Rose, owner of the property on the westerly side of Seventh Avenue from Moraga Street northerly 88 feet, more or less, is presently constructing homes on said property; and

Whereas, the slope of the ground on Moraga Street between Seventh Avenue and Eighth Avenue is approximately 50 per cent which eliminates the possibility of paving the same; and

Whereas, in order to provide protection to the garage entrance for the house being constructed at the northwest corner of Seventh Avenue and Moraga Street it is necessary that a concrete retaining wall 4 feet high be constructed for a distance of 4 feet into Moraga Street; and

Whereas, Fred C. Rose, owner of the property has agreed to assume all responsibility for accidents or damages resulting from the construction of said wall; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, permission revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted to Fred C. Rose to construct and maintain a concrete retaining wall 4 feet high, located approximately 35 feet westerly from the westerly line of Seventh Avenue and extending into Moraga Street from the northerly line thereof southerly 4 feet;

Provided, However, That this permit is granted subject to the agreement of Fred C. Rose that he will assume all responsibility and liability for the maintenance of the wall and will indemnify and hold the City and County of San Francisco harmless from and against any and all claims, demands, actions, and causes of action which may be made against the City and County of San Francisco for the recovery of damages for the injury or death of any person, or for damage of any property resulting from the construction or maintenance of said wall;

Further Provided, That if and when Fred C. Rose disposes of the property in connection with which the above mentioned wall is to be constructed, the deed transferring title to said property shall contain a clause to the same effect as the preceding provision of this permit.

This permit is granted subject to its revocation at the will of the Board of Supervisors. The permittee, his heirs or assigns, shall remove the wall without cost or expense to the City and County of San Francisco at such time as this permit is revoked or abandoned.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Intention to Close and Abandon a Portion of Quesada Avenue  
Between Lines Parallel With Quint Street and Distant Respec-  
tively 50 Feet and 400 Feet Southeasterly Therefrom.**

Proposal No. 6289, Resolution No. 6072 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires, and that it is the intention of this Board of Supervisors to close and abandon that portion of Quesada Avenue, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Quesada Avenue distant thereon 50 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Quint Street and running thence southeasterly along said line of Quesada Avenue 350 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 14.50 feet to a point in a line parallel with and distant 14.50 feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Quesada Avenue; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said parallel line 250 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 2.50 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 3 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 3 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 3 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 3 feet to the southwesterly line of Quesada Avenue and the point of beginning.

Reference is made to a map on file in the office of the Clerk, of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco showing the portion of Quesada Avenue to be closed and abandoned.

The closing and abandonment of said portion of Quesada Avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the General Laws of the State of California, and notice is hereby given that on the 6th day of January, 1947, this Board will hear all persons interested in or objecting to said closing and abandonment.

Before the final closing of a portion of Quesada Avenue, Fernando Nelson & Sons, the abutting property owners, shall pay the City and County of San Francisco, the sum of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) to defray the cost of advertising and other expenses incidental to said closing.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of portion of Quesada Avenue in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Chronicle, the official newspaper, as required by law.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Description approved by the City Engineer.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Police Department Authorized to Close to Traffic Ocean Avenue  
Between Junipero Serra Boulevard and Nineteenth Avenue.**

Proposal No. 6315, Resolution No. 6087 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That to permit the conduct of certain Christmas festivities for the children of the district, under the auspices of the Lakeside Merchants Association, the Police Department be and is hereby authorized to close to traffic, Ocean Avenue between Junipero Serra Boulevard and 19th Avenue during the hours from 7 P.M. to 9 P.M., on December 19, 1946.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Passed for Second Reading.**

**Accepting Roadway of Calgary Street, From Velasco Avenue Southerly to the County Line, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4459, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Calgary Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the county line, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Calgary Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County Line, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Pueblo Street, From Velasco Avenue Southerly to the County Line, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4460, Ordinance No. . . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Pueblo Street, from Velasco Avenue, southerly to the county line, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Pueblo Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County Line, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Velasco Avenue Between Castillo and Calgary Streets, Including the Intersections of Velasco Avenue With Castillo, Pueblo, Calgary and Sawyer Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4461, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Velasco Avenue between Castillo and Calgary Streets, including the intersections of Velasco Avenue with Castillo, Pueblo, Calgary and Sawyer Streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Velasco Avenue between Castillo and Calgary Streets, including the intersections of Velasco Avenue with Castillo, Pueblo, Calgary and Sawyer Streets, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Fredson Court From Huron Avenue to Its Southeasterly Termination, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4462, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Fredson Court from Huron Avenue to its southeasterly termination, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Fredson Court from Huron Avenue to its southeasterly termination, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.



*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Castillo Street, From Velasco Avenue Southerly to the County Line, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4463, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Castillo Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County line, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Castillo Street from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County line, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Lakeview Avenue Between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue.**

Bill No. 4464, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Lakeview Avenue between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 14th day of October, 1946, by Resolution No. 5917 (Series of 1939), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Lakeview Avenue between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and,

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and re-established as follows:

*Lakeview Avenue*

- Jules Avenue westerly line produced . . . . . 456.00  
(The same being the present official grade)
- 75 feet westerly from Jules Avenue . . . . . 467.00

Ashton Avenue easterly line produced ..... 499.00  
(The same being the present official grade)

On Lakeview Avenue, between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Accepting Roadway of Valerton Court From Cayuga Avenue to Its Easterly Termination, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4470, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Valerton Court from Cayuga Avenue to its easterly termination, including curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Valerton Court from Cayuga Avenue to its easterly termination, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Consideration Postponed.**

The following from Streets Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

**Improvement of Harvard Street Between Felton and Burrows Streets; Burrows Street Between Harvard and Oxford Streets, Including Crossings of Harvard Street With Felton and Burrows Streets; Extending City Aid in Amount Necessary to Legalize and Equalize the Assessment; Providing for Payment for Work to Be Performed in Front of City Property.**

Bill No. 4331, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the Assessment District, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

On Harvard Street between Felton and Burrows Streets; Burrows Street between Harvard and Oxford Streets, including the crossings of Harvard Street with Felton and Burrows Streets by grading to official line and grade; appropriating \$350 to legalize and equalize

the assessment and appropriating \$350 to provide funds for payment for work to be performed in front of City property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 30, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Harvard Street between Felton and Burrows Streets; Burrows Street between Harvard and Oxford Streets, including the crossings of Harvard Street with Felton and Burrows Streets by grading to official line and grade, including the following items:

1. Grading (excavation)
2. Grading (fill)

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of those certain lots delineated, designated, and numbered respectively as:

Block 5940, Lots 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22;

Block 5941, Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 13-A, and 14;

Block 5966, Lots 1, 1-A, 2, 2-A, 3, 4, 5, and 12;

Block 5967, Lots 4-C, 4-D, 4-E, 5, 5-A, 6, 7, 8, and 9;

Block 5996, Lots 1, 9, 10, 11 (City Prop.), 12 (City Prop.), 13 (City Prop.), and 14; and

Block 5997, Lot 1 (City Prop.)

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Section 2. The sum of \$700.00 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surpluses existing in the "Reserves for City Aid" and "Workin Front of City Property" to the credit of the following appropriations for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter and for the payment for work in front of city owned property (McLaren Park) as herein provided, and in the amounts indicated:

648.906.02	City Aid .....	\$350.00
648.916.01	Work Front City Property .....	350.00
		\$700.00

This amount is based on estimated quantities and when exact figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the "Reserves for City Aid" and "Work in Front of City Property."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

#### Discussion.

The Clerk read a communication from Herman J. Lehrbach, protesting the contemplated improvement as outlined in Bill No. 4331 aforementioned, stating that such improvement should not be made until the health menace in the locality is first removed.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor, upon the request of Supervisor Meyer, Chairman of the Streets Committee, was granted to the following:

The Rev. H. M. Hovagimian, Pastor of Arabat Evangelist Church and to Mrs. Louise Shemansky, the Rev. Hovagimian protesting the proposed improvement while Mrs. Shemansky claimed that she had already paid her share several times for the grading of the property.

Mr. Sherman Duckel, Engineer, stated that Mrs Shemansky's statement could not be true insofar as it applied to public proceedings, that she may have done the work by private contract.

#### Consideration Postponed.

Supervisor Meyer moved, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, that consideration of Bill No. 4331 be continued for three weeks.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Adopted.

The following recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Colman, Christopher.

#### Authorizing Purchaser of Supplies to Dispose of Plaster Molds of Sculptures Accepted by the City From the Works Progress Administration.

Proposal No. 6316, Resolution No. 6088 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County accepted from the Federal Art Project, Work Projects Administration, certain granite sculptures and also plaster molds of the following sculptures: Horse, Cat, Seal, Rabbit, Bear and Cubs; and

Whereas, said plaster molds were accepted merely for the reproduction of said works of art for the San Francisco Housing Authority, and otherwise were not desired by the City and County; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Housing Authority has obtained the loan of a number of the original sculptures and no longer is interested in their reproduction; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be and he is hereby authorized to dispose of the above mentioned plaster molds by public sale or otherwise, so that the City and County will no longer be responsible for their storage.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

The following, from Public Buildings Lands and City Planning Committee was taken up:

**Approving Action of the City Planning Commission in Establishing Setback Lines on Victoria Street, Both Sides, From Shields Street to Sargent Street.**

Proposal No. 6317 Resolution No. 6089 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution No. 3146, dated September 5, 1946, read as follows:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Resolution No. 3146

Whereas, Section 117 of the Charter provides that the City Planning Commission may, on its own motion, propose the establishment or change of building set-back lines, and

Whereas, under date of August 8, 1946, the City Planning Commission on its own motion, did institute proceedings to establish set-backs and abolish certain other set-backs of the hereinafter described property as set forth in Resolution No. 3127, passed August 8, 1946, and

Whereas, after due and legal notice first being given, a public hearing was held by the City Planning Commission on such change, which hearing was held on September 5, 1946, and

Whereas, after due consideration, the City Planning Commission deemed that such changes as proposed should be made. Now therefore be it

Resolved. That subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 117 of the Charter, the hereinafter described establishment of set-backs and abolishment of certain other set-backs be and made part of the official records of the City Planning Department.

On the east side of Victoria Street, 75' south of Shields the set-back to be 7', thence 25' south, the set-back to be 9', thence 25' south the set-back to be 10', thence 25' south the set-back to be 12', thence 25' south the set-back to be 14', thence 25' south the set-back to be 15', thence 425' south and to the north line of Sargent Street, the set-back to be 15'.

On the west side of Victoria Street 100' south of Shields Street, the set-back to be 5', thence south 25' the set-back to be 10', thence south 450' and to the north line of Sargent Street, the set-back to be 14'.

To abolish 10' set-back on the north side of Sargent Street from Victoria Street to 100' east of Victoria Street.

be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—9.

Absent: Supervisors Colman, Lewis—2.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of His Honor the Mayor, were taken up:

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Allan E. Charles, Civil Service Commissioner.**

Proposal No. 6314, Resolution No. 6080 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Acting Mayor, Honorable Allan E. Charles, Civil Service Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of December 14 to December 31, 1946, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**Leave of Absence—Honorable Thomas C. Howe, Jr., Director of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor.**

Proposal No. 6318, Resolution No. 6090 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Acting Mayor, Honorable Thomas C. Howe, Jr., Director of the California Palace of the Legion of Honor, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period of December 21, 1946 to January 5, 1947, both dates inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Referred to Committee.

**Recommending that All Officials, Departments and Commissions Hold Discussions of Any Matter of Public Interest and Concern in Public Sessions.**

Supervisor Christopher presented:

Proposal No. 6324, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Recommending that all officials, departments and commissions hold discussions of any matter of public interest and concern in public sessions.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Continuation of Discussion Re Management of Municipal Railway.**

Supervisor Lewis requested that the hearings conducted some time ago with respect to the management of the Municipal Railway, be resumed.

*Hearing to be resumed on February 3, 1947.*

## Reference to Committee.

Commending the City Attorney and Dion R. Holm for Endeavors to Secure for City the Sum of \$688,000, Residue of Moneys of Market Street Railway Company, Impounded by the State Railroad Commission.

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6325, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on March 26, 1946, the Board of Supervisors by Resolution 4603 (Series of 1939) authorized the City Attorney to file suit to collect the full amount of the residue of the moneys of the Market Street Railway that had been impounded by the California State Railroad Commission; and

Whereas, through the efforts of Dion R. Holm, Counsel for Public Utilities Commission, the City and County of San Francisco was successful in their suit in the lower courts; and

Whereas, this day the Supreme Court of the United States confirmed the decision of the lower courts and awarded San Francisco \$688,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved: That this Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby take this opportunity to publicly commend the City Attorney, and particularly Dion R. Holm, Counsel for Public Utilities for his successful endeavor to secure for the City and County of San Francisco the sum of \$688,000 that had been impounded by the California State Railroad Commission.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Regarding Creation of Additional Unified School Districts in San Francisco.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6326, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, it appears that it may be beneficial to the people of the City and County of San Francisco to create additional Unified School Districts within the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore,

Be It Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors extend an invitation to the Board of Education to meet together as soon as possible for the purpose of examining into the feasibility of creating an additional Unified School District or Districts within the City and County of San Francisco; be it

Further Resolved, That the president of this Board appoint a committee to meet with the Board of Education or a committee of said Board of Education for the aforesaid purpose.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint a Planning Authority to Insure Provision of Proper Off-Street Parking Facilities.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6327, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the State of California through recent acts has permitted municipalities to set up Planning Authorities to deal with off street parking matters; and

Whereas, the Planning Authority has the power to condemn land and submit bond issues to facilitate off street parking; and

Whereas, there is a vital need in San Francisco for off street park-

ing and it would be beneficial for the City and County of San Francisco to appoint such a Planning Authority; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby respectfully request the Mayor to appoint a Planning Authority which shall conduct a survey to determine what lands, if any, should be condemned and whether or not a bond issue should be floated to insure proper and sufficient off street parking in San Francisco.

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

**Endorsing Application of Pony Express Stages for Permission to Operate Bus Transportation Service Between Los Angeles and San Francisco.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6328, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows: Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is keenly interested in the improvement of transportation facilities between the industrial and business centers of San Francisco and Los Angeles, and

Whereas, there is now pending before the Interstate Commerce Commission, Application No. MC-50006 Sub. 11 of Pony Express Stages for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate a motor bus transportation service on U. S. Coast Highway No. 101 between San Francisco and Los Angeles in competition with an existing rail and bus line, and

Whereas, it is deemed to be in the interests of the City and County of San Francisco to foster and support fair and reasonable competition between transportation companies wherever they may operate, and

Whereas, it has been represented to the Board of Supervisors that applicant Pony Express Stages offers a moderately reduced fare for the transportation of passengers between Los Angeles and San Francisco under the existing fare, and in addition proposes to establish night coach sleeper bus service three times nightly between these two large business centers via the Coast Route U. S. Highway 101, and

Whereas, it has been further represented that the service of Pony Express Stages will augment and supplement the existing services on the Coast Route and thus promote and stimulate fair and reasonable competition both in services and in passenger fares, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorses the application of Pony Express Stages, No. MC-50006 Sub. 11, and recommends that a certificate of public convenience and necessity be granted said applicant by the Interstate Commerce Commission, to operate a bus line between Los Angeles and San Francisco on U. S. Highway No. 101.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

**Approving Airport Rates Recommended by the Public Utilities Commission.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6321, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors hereby approves Resolution No. 7829 of the Public Utilities Commission, adopted November 25, 1946, fixing Airport rates and reading as follows:

“Resolved, That pursuant to notice of intention to adopt schedule of rates and fares to be charged for use of the facilities of the San Francisco Airport, heretofore published as is required by the charter of the City and County of San Fran-



cisco, and after public hearing being held in accordance with the aforesaid notice, the schedule entitled 'San Francisco Airport Rates and Charges,' on file in the office of the Public Utilities Commission, Room 287, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same is hereby adopted:

"Be it further Resolved: That the said Schedule of San Francisco Airport Rates and Charges be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval as required by Section 130 of the Charter; the effective date for such rates and charges to be designated by the Public Utilities Commission following consideration by the Board of Supervisors."

Be it further Resolved, That the rates specified in that certain document entitled "Rates and Charges—San Francisco Airport" Part I to Part XVI inclusive, copies of which are on file with the Clerk of this board and in the office of the Public Utilities Commission be, and the same are hereby approved.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

Appropriating \$4,230, Fire Department, for 4 New Positions (Engineers).

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4471, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,230 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 Jr. Operating Engineer at \$232 per month (5-day week), 1 Operating Engineer at \$290 per month (5-day week), 1 Jr. Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$232 per month, 1 Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$290 per month, in the Fire Department, which positions are created in order to place all operating engineers of the Fire Department on a 5-day week, effective January 16, 1947, instead of a 6-day week as at present.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,230 is hereby appropriated out of the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.110.03, Permanent Salaries, Non-uniformed force, Fire Department, to provide funds for the compensation of the following positions in the Fire Department, which positions are hereby created:

1 Jr. Operating Engineer at \$232 per month (5-day week),  
 1 Operating Engineer at \$290 per month (5-day week),  
 1 Jr. Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$232 per month,  
 1 Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$290 per month.

Section 2. The creation of these additional positions will abolish the present six-day week at the Fire Department High Pressure Pumping Stations and place the five-day week in effect on January 16, 1947.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved by the Fire Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Appropriating \$5,000, Superior Court, for Payment of Outside Judges: an Emergency Ordinance.**

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4472, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Superior Court for the compensation of Superior Court Judges from other counties of the State presiding in extra session courts of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Section 67B, Code of Civil Procedure; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.900.00, to provide funds for the compensation of Superior Court Judges from other counties of the State presiding in extra session courts of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Section 67B, Code of Civil Procedure.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Funds for the compensation of these judges must be furnished in accordance with the foregoing provisions of State law, which will provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Courts. The amount heretofore appropriated for the purpose is insufficient, and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Secretary-Jury Commissioner, Superior Court.

Approved by the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Providing That Capital Improvements Be Financed from Revenues Accruing from Certain License Fees.**

Supervisor Mancuso presented:

Bill No. 4473, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing that proceeds from certain license fees shall be appropriated exclusively for capital expenditures and public improvements.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Parking-Meter Ordinance.**

Supervisor McMurray presented:

Bill No. 4474, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco to establish parking-meter zones; providing for the installation of parking meters so as to regulate the parking of vehicles on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the deposit of money in said meters to defray the cost of said meters, as well as the regulation of traffic by and through said meters; providing for the repair and maintenance of said parking meters; defining certain terms used herein; fixing a penalty for the violation of the ordinance, and repealing Ordinance No. 11.0218.

Section 1. The word "vehicle," as used herein, shall mean any device, in, upon, or by which any person or property is or may be propelled, moved, or drawn upon a highway, excepting a device moved by human power or used exclusively upon stationary rails or tracks.

The word "park," as used herein, shall mean the standing of a vehicle, except a licensed taxicab occupying a taxicab stand as authorized by the Chief of Police, whether occupied or not, otherwise than temporarily for the purpose of and while actually engaged in loading or unloading of freight.

The words "parking meter," when used herein, shall mean any device which, when the recording dial thereof is set in motion, or immediately following the deposit of any coin, shall register the time that any vehicle is parked adjacent to said parking meter.

Section 2. The Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to establish parking-meter zones, each of which zones shall be of sufficient size to permit the parking of one (1) vehicle only, on any street on which time limitations have heretofore been, or may hereafter be, provided by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors, and to place upon the sidewalk adjacent to said parking zone such device or devices as the said Commission shall deem proper which will, upon the deposit of a coin or coins, set the mechanical equipment of said device in motion, or permit the said mechanical equipment to be set in motion, so that the said device will accurately measure, in minutes, the period of time during which said vehicle may park in said parking-meter zone. Said parking meter shall be constructed and mechanically equipped so that the same will, upon the expiration of the period of time, commencing with the deposit of said coin or coins, and ending with the expiration of the period of time that the parking of a vehicle is, by resolution of the Board of Supervisors, permitted in said parking-meter zone as a result of said deposit of a coin or coins, display a flag, sign or signal which will indicate that the permitted time for the parking of said vehicle, has expired. The Board of Supervisors shall also indicate, by resolution, the period of time vehicles may be parked in the area or areas in which parking-meter zones may be established.

Section 3. No person shall park any vehicle in any parking-meter zone, as established under the authority of this ordinance, except as permitted by this ordinance, without immediately depositing in the parking meter adjacent to the said zone a coin or coins, lawful money of the United States, unless said parking meter indicates at the time such vehicle is parked that an unused portion remains of the period for which a coin or coins was or were previously deposited; nor shall any person permit any vehicle to remain parked in any parking-meter zone beyond the time permitted by ordinance or resolution for the parking of vehicles in the block in which said parking-meter zone is situated, or during any time when said parking meter indicates that no portion remains of the period for which the last previous coin or coins was or were deposited, except that a vehicle may be parked and remain parked in a parking-meter zone without the deposit of any coin in the parking meter adjacent thereto during hours when unlimited or unrestricted parking is permitted by ordinance in the block in which said zone is situated.

Section 4. The denomination of the coin or coins that shall be deposited in the parking meters, the parking time allowed following deposit of such coins, and the hours during which such deposits are required, shall be fixed from time to time by resolution of the Board of Supervisors for the area or areas in which parking meters are now or may hereafter be installed.

Section 5. The Police Commission shall, by resolution, designate the areas in which parking-meter zones are to be established. The

said Commission shall outline the general boundary of said area and shall forward a copy of said resolution to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors shall then consider the advisability of applying the provisions of section 4 of this ordinance to the said area set forth in the resolution of the Police Commission.

Section 6. Parking meters shall be installed, maintained and repaired by the Department of Electricity, except as provided in section 15 hereof.

Section 7. The Police Commission shall have full power and authority to allot and cause to be indicated by suitable lines or by other means of indication, the space within which any vehicle must be parked on any street or block on which parking meters are installed, as well as to select the particular part of the curb or sidewalk adjacent to the said parking-meter zone on which said parking meter shall be installed.

Section 8. It shall be unlawful to deposit or cause to be deposited in any parking meter any slug, device, or substitute for a coin of the United States.

Section 9. It shall be unlawful for any unauthorized person to deface, injure, tamper with, open or wilfully break, destroy or impair the usefulness of any parking meter installed under the terms of this ordinance.

Section 10. The coins required to be deposited as provided herein are hereby levied as police regulation and inspection fees to cover the cost of inspection and regulation involved in the inspection, installation, operation, control and use of the parking spaces and parking meters described herein and involved in checking up and regulating the parking of vehicles in the parking-meter zones created hereby, as well as for the regulation of traffic.

Section 11. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than two dollars (\$2.00) nor more than five dollars (\$5.00), or by imprisonment in the county jail for a term not exceeding five (5) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 12. If any section, sub-section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, sub-section, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, sub-sections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 13 Any ordinance inconsistent with any of the terms and provisions of this ordinance is hereby repealed, provided, however, that such repeal shall be only to the extent of such inconsistency and in all other respects this ordinance shall be cumulative of other ordinances regulating and governing the subject matter covered by this ordinance.

Section 14. Coins deposited in parking meters shall be collected by the Tax Collector and deposited in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 15. The Police Commission is hereby authorized to enter into such contracts through the agency of the Purchaser of Supplies for the furnishing and installation of such numbers of parking meters as it shall deem proper, whenever sufficient funds shall be provided for said purpose, or the said Police Commission may through said Purchaser of Supplies enter into a contract or contracts with any

person, firm or corporation for the furnishing or installation of said parking meters upon the basis that the person, firm or corporation installing or furnishing the same shall be paid the cost thereof from the coins deposited in said meters, in which latter event the title to said meters shall remain in the person, firm or corporation furnishing the same until the purchase price of said meters is paid.

Section 16. No parking meter shall be installed by said Police Department, or under its direction, unless the person, firm or corporation furnishing said parking meter shall agree to hold and save the city, its officers and employees harmless from all claims for damages of every kind and nature, arising from, or incident to, any claims or demand for any infringement of any patent or copyright covering or alleged to cover any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance and refund and pay on demand to the city or to any of its officers or employees any and all amounts which the said city or any of its officers and employees may expend in defending or prosecuting any litigation incident to any alleged patent or copyright infringement on any of said meters so installed.

Section 17. The Controller shall provide the method for accounting for all moneys taken from said parking meters.

Section 18. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to repeal or amend this ordinance at will, and no person, firm or corporation shall have or acquire any right to maintain on the streets of San Francisco any parking meter installed under authority of this ordinance beyond the effective period of this ordinance.

Section 19 Ordinance No. 11.0218, approved August 20, 1936, is hereby repealed.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

**Requesting Representative of Labor Council to Appear Before the Board of Supervisors to Discuss Resolution Adopted by the Council with Respect to the Solution of the Transportation Problem.**

Supervisor MacPhee requested that the Clerk address a communication to the San Francisco Labor Council, asking that they send a representative to attend the meeting of the Board on Monday, December 23, 1946, to explain contents of resolution adopted by the Council in which demands were made to the effect that the Supervisors stop "stalling" with respect to the solution of the transportation problem.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Memorandum to Be Forwarded to Don Cleary, Legislative Representative.**

The Clerk presented memorandum from Clyde Edmondson of the Redwood Empire Association to the Board of Supervisors relative to the meeting of the Collier Committee, Interim State Legislative Committee on Highways, Streets and Bridges to be held at 10 a.m., Saturday, December 21st, in Senate Chamber, State Capitol Building, Sacramento, California, to review draft of recommendations that will be submitted to the Legislature in January.

*Memorandum ordered referred to the Legislative Representative.*

**Introduction of Charter Amendment.**

Supervisor Mancuso introduced a charter amendment providing for a seven-member Board of Supervisors, to operate on a full-time basis at an annual salary of \$10,000.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

MONDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1946

Requesting Appearance of 2 Former Municipal Railway Employees,  
Before the Board.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that Messrs. Curley and Mitchell be invited to appear before the Board on Monday, December 23, 1946, and explain the reasons for their resignations.

*No objection and so ordered.*

MEETINGS.

County, State and National Affairs Committee, Tuesday, December 17, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

Streets Committee, Wednesday, December 18, 1946, 4:00 p. m.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 7:20 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 13, 1947.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.







Vol. 41

No. 54

Monday, December 23, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*  
THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY  
99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 23, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 23, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

Supervisor McMurray noted present at 2:30 p. m.

## APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 2, 1946, was considered read and approved.

## Communications.

From the Mayor, transmitting communications relative to his current veterans' converted housing program.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the S. F. Veterans Housing Committee, urging certain steps in furtherance of the veterans' housing program.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Board of Supervisors, Alameda County, inviting attendance at meeting on January 3d to discuss the problem of indigent aid.

*Clerk to notify each member of Board and also invite attendance of the Director of Public Welfare and the Controller.*

From the Mayor, transmitting data in connection with report of City Planning Commission entitled, "Planning for Planning—The Transportation Problem."

*Referred to Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.*

From W. Fink Mitchell, acknowledging invitation to appear before the Board to discuss his resignation from the Municipal Railway.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Civil Service Commission, proposing amendments to resolution fixing wage scales for private employment on public contracts.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the District Attorney, calling attention to inadequacy of present regulations with respect to fire prevention.

*Referred to Police Committee.*

From the Mayor, the Sisters of Charity, Barney Lenhart, and the Scavengers' Protective Association, expressing the season's greetings.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, transmitting report relative to construction of the proposed new northerly approach to the Golden Gate Bridge.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the County Supervisors Association, four communications concerning State Construction and Employment Act and the State-wide Survey of County Highway Needs.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the Civil Service Commission, transmitting report of salary survey ordered by resolution adopted by Board August 29, 1946.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From J. Rainer, inquiring as to whether eighty feet of unpaved street on Eighth Avenue facing Moraga Street is for sale by the City.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the Mayor, requesting that residence requirements for Fingerprint Technician be reduced from five years to one year.

*Referred to Finance Committee*

From the War Department, giving notice of application for extension of time in the matter of constructing a combined vehicular tube and bridge across S. F. Bay, and a bridge across Richardson Bay.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From Betty Wright et al., endorsing program to obtain release of land in Presidio for home sites.

*Ordered considered with matter on calendar.*

From Ed Hart et al., protesting wanton blowing of whistles by switch crews at Islais Creek U. S. Marine Corps Supply Depot.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Associated Sportsmen of California, asking that in any plans for use of land in The Presidio, construction of a suitable fishing pier at Bakers Beach be included.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Redwood Empire Supervisors Unit, announcing meeting at Sacramento, January 8, 1947, in connection with highway legislation.

*Referred to County, State and National Affairs Committee.*

From the County Clerk's Social Club, inviting attendance at annual Christmas party, December 23d.

*Ordered filed.*

From the S. F. Garage Owners' Association, Ltd., opposing repeal of the "All Night Parking" ordinance.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Eureka Valley Promotion Association, opposing proposed application to the Federal Government for planning and engineering funds for the contemplated Junior Museum.

*Ordered filed.*

Hearing of Mr. Hurley's Reasons for His Resignation from the Municipal Railway—*Referred to Committee.*

The matter with respect to hearing the reasons for Mr. Hurley's resignation from the Municipal Railway, was taken up.

#### Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor Mancuso moved the privilege of the floor for Mr. Hurley, who was present on invitation by the Clerk of the Board, acting on the request of Supervisor Mancuso made at the previous meeting of the Board.

Supervisor McMurray inquired of Supervisor Mancuso in what way could the Board be of assistance to Mr. Hurley, to which Supervisor Mancuso stated he did not know.

Whereupon Supervisor McMurray voiced an objection to hearing Mr. Hurley.

#### Suspension of the Rules.

Supervisor Mancuso, seconded by Supervisor Mead, moved that the rules be suspended for the purpose of hearing Mr. Hurley.

Supervisor Mancuso moved that Mr. Hurley be permitted to explain to the Board why he resigned from the Municipal Railway. Seconded by Supervisor Lewis.

#### Substitute Motion.

Supervisor MacPhee, moved as a substitute motion, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, that the matter be referred to the Public Utilities Committee where Mr. Hurley can present his case.

Whereupon the roll was called and the substitute motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, MacPhee, McMurray, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, John J. Sullivan—5.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that Mr. Hurley be invited to attend the meeting of the Public Utilities Committee next Friday, December 27, 1946, at 2:30 p. m.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

##### Re-reference to Committee.

The following, from the Joint Public Utilities and Judiciary Committee, without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors J. Joseph Sullivan, Lewis, MacPhee, Meyer.

Requesting Mayor to Establish the Coordinating Council for the Transportation and Traffic for the City and County of San Francisco, for the Formulation of an Over-All Plan of Transportation and Traffic With Its Estimated Costs and Method for Financing the Same.

Proposal No. 6169, Resolution: No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows

Whereas, San Francisco has a problem of providing as soon as possible:

1. Adequate and safe facilities for the pedestrian within the business district;
2. A modern transit service from all outlying areas of the City;
3. Adequate suburban transit facilities, including satisfactory terminals coordinating with local transit and vehicular facilities;
4. Safe and convenient access to the business district for motor vehicles;
5. Provision for off-street parking;
6. Provision for merchandise deliveries; and

Whereas, over the past years many plans and surveys have been prepared at considerable expense and presented to city officials, departments and commissions concerning the above transportation and traffic problems; and

Whereas, the outstanding need in San Francisco is an analysis of all existing data, plans and possibilities relating to traffic and trans-

portation and the consideration of all practical methods of improving transportation facilities and the determination of the most practical solution and of the manner in which the over-all plan may be financed and to include all of these complex matters in one comprehensive analysis; and

Whereas, it is imperative that these problems be given simultaneous consideration rather than proceeding on a program of isolated and unrelated improvements; and

Whereas, the various departments and commissions of the City and County of San Francisco have given to them under the Charter exclusive jurisdiction of the particular transportation and traffic matters within their respective control; and

Whereas, because of lack of time and adequate funds, the analysis of transportation facilities of the Master Plan did not consider the many details essential to a complete solution of the problem and the time allotted did not permit complete analysis of all data, comparisons of various plans and discussions of details; and

Whereas, the Master Plan admits that it does not definitely recommend a comprehensive plan of facilities as evidenced by the following language of the plan:

"The Planning Commission is not prepared to recommend definitely a comprehensive plan of facilities essential to the continued development of the Central Business District. In the above, it has been indicated that the problem is complex. It is certain that the solution depends on providing safe and rapid transit services and, at the same time, on providing convenient access to the district, for those who, for any reason, prefer to use their private automobiles. A complete investigation of all alternates is required to establish what can be accomplished by the various plans and the cost of each";

And Whereas, there is a conflict between the Newton Plan and the Master Plan, as evidenced by the following language of the Master Plan:

"The Newton Plan for the improvement of transportation facilities proposed the removal of the two outside car tracks from Market Street and the addition of a lane of trolley coaches along each curbing.

"Before it is adopted, *even as a temporary expedient*, this proposal should receive complete analysis. It may be questioned if the plan affords an improvement over existing conditions. It would force street car passengers to cross two vehicular lanes. It fails to provide additional vehicular capacities during peak hours. Driving between a lane of buses or a lane of street cars would probably add to vehicular accidents."

And Whereas, the Master Plan recommends a complete investigation of the Market Street problem by the following language from the Plan:

"The Planning Commission . . . endorses generally the proposal of the Citizens' Post War Committee for a complete investigation of the Market Street problem."

And whereas, there is an immediate need for all city departments to work together with responsible citizens of the City and County of San Francisco as a unit to consider the over-all plan of traffic and transportation; and

Whereas, no substantial capital expenditures should be made in the future for any isolated improvement on a major scale for transportation or traffic until a definite decision for an over-all plan be made; and

Whereas, the most satisfactory plan will be one developed by the combined efforts of the various city departments and commissions of San Francisco that are charged with the responsibility of the improvement and policy of traffic and transportation; and

Whereas, the Citizens' Post War Committee has recommended that the City Planning Commission and the City Departments involved proceed to cooperate in making a final study and in said report state, as follows:

"The problem will not be solved alone by such individual projects as (1) extensions of streets across Market Street, (2) overhead or underground cross streets, (3) development of parallel or other relief streets, (4) surface improvements on Market Street, or (5) traffic control devices on Market Street. . . ."

"With these thoughts in mind all plans for the removal of tracks and resurfacing of Market Street should be thoroughly scrutinized and evaluated so that they will fit into the ultimate program. No other related street improvements should be pursued unless they are calculated to synchronize with the ultimate development. . . ."

"The City has already made a number of studies at considerable cost for the solution of the problem presented by Market Street. This Committee does not recommend just another study, which might be done possibly for \$300,000. It does recommend a final study, together with the development of engineering and working plans, and for that reason has allocated \$3,000,000 for the purpose. It further recommends that the City Planning Commission and other City Departments involved be directed to proceed to cooperate in the immediate solution of this problem. Furthermore, we recommend that as soon as a report is made acceptable to the City officials, the Board of Supervisors take steps to finance and complete the project."

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor establish an organization for the formulation of an over-all plan of transportation and traffic with its estimated costs and method for financing the same and that public or private hearings be held at the discretion of the organization so formed; and be it

Further Resolved, That said organization be composed of the Mayor, three members of the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief of Police, the Public Utilities Commission, the Planning Commission, the Park Commission, the Police Commission, the Controller, the Director of Public Works, the Manager of Utilities, the City Engineer and seven (7) citizens of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors shall select the names of the three members who shall be members of said committee. That said organization shall name its own chairman and formulate its own plans of procedure; and be it

Further Resolved, That the plan so adopted by the said organization shall be offered to the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco as soon as possible, to be financed by the type of bond issue determined by said organization and such submission to the general public will be made at the election immediately following the last hearing date of the organization; and be it

Further Resolved, That the organization shall meet at least once a week until its business has been completed. The name of the organization so created shall be known as the Coordinating Council for the Transportation and Traffic for the City and County of San Francisco.

*December 9, 1946—Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

### Discussion.

Supervisor Lewis explained to the members of the Board the reason for his request that the Mayor establish a Coordinating Council for the purpose of formulating of an overall plan of traffic and transportation.

Supervisor Christopher stated that he was interested in getting people out of the downtown area in the shortest possible time.

Supervisor MacPhee asserted that he was in sympathy with the policy of Supervisor Lewis and that a lot of time had been put in on it and therefore deserving of a lot of credit. The San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce is also interested in this matter and had made many studies in conjunction with the City Planning Commission and in view of the communication from the Mayor's office to the Board, transmitting data in connection with report from the City Planning Commission entitled: "Planning for Planning—The Transportation Problem," the matter now under consideration should be returned to committee for the purpose of giving it further consideration and that the committee send in its recommendations to the Board.

### Re-reference to Committee.

Accordingly, Supervisor MacPhee moved, seconded by Supervisor Meyer, that Proposal No. 6169 be re-referred to the Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Judiciary.

Supervisor Lewis asserted that the matter had been before the Board for a long time and that it was about time that definite action was taken on it.

### Roll Call on Re-reference to Committee.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6169 was re-referred to the Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Judiciary, by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—5.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis, Mead.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Health Service System, by Adding "IBM" After the Words "Tabulating Machine Operator" and "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Bill No. 4439, Ordinance No. 4198 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 86a, HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM, by amending the class title for item 6 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator," and by amending the class title for item 7 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 86a is hereby amended to read as follows:



Section 86a. **HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Medical Director .....	(e) \$600
2	1	B79	Secretary .....	335-405
3	1	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
5	1	B234	Head Clerk .....	275-345
6	2	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
7	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> .....	240-290
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stographer .....	185-230
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
11	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
11.1	1	C104	Janitor (part time), at rate of .....	155-195
12	2	L70	Physiotherapist .....	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Police Department, by Adding "IBM" to Class Title of Tabulating Machine Operator.

Bill No. 4440, Ordinance No. 4199 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 11, POLICE DEPARTMENT, by amending the class title for item 8 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 11 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 11. **POLICE DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	3		Commissioner .....	(b) \$100
2	1		Chief of Police .....	(b) 750
3	1		Deputy, Chief of Police .....	(b) 625
4	1		Property Clerk .....	(b) 415
5	1		Police Surgeon .....	(b) 250
6	1	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6.1	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
7	1		Department Secretary .....	(b) 500
8	4	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
10	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
11	2	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
12			Hearing Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 day plus transcription ..	
13	14	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
14	8	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
15	1		Director of Criminal Information ..	(b) 425
16	1		Director of Personnel ..	(b) 425
17	1		Director of Special Services ..	(b) 325
18	1		Secretary, Police Commission (Captain) .....	(b) 415

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

**Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by Adding "IBM" to Class Title of Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.**

Bill No. 4441, Ordinance No. 4200 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU, by amending the class title for item 41 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Senior Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 37.3 PURCHASING DEPARTMENT — TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
41	1	B310.1	Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM	\$240-290
41.1	1	B310.2	Supervisor, Tabulating Bureau	315-375
42	1	B310.3	Supervisor, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau	315-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Assessor, by Adding "IBM" to Class Titles of Key Punch Operator, Tabulating Machine Operator, and Senior Tabulating Machine Operator.**

Bill No. 4442, Ordinance No. 4201 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 5, ASSESSOR, by amending class number and title for item 9.1 from B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) to B309 Key Punch Operator, IBM; by amending class title for item 10 by adding letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator"; and by amending the class title for item 11 from B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine Operator and Key Punch Operator to B310.1 Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882, (Series of 1939), Section 5 is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 5. ASSESSOR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1		Assessor . . . . .	(b \$666.66
2	1	B99	Confidential Secretary to Assessor	315-375
3	1	B120	Director, Accounts and Records, Assessor's Office . . . . .	385-460
4	9	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	185-230
5	1	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
6	1	B100	Supervisor, Real Property Records, Assessor's Office . . . . .	360-430
7	1	B101	Supervisor, Personal Property Records, Assessor's Office . . . . .	275-345
8	1	B235	Director of Service . . . . .	275-345
9	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman . . . . .	230-290
9.1	4	B309a	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	160-200
10	6	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	190-240
11	1	B310.1	<b>Senior Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	240-290
12	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	230-290
13	12	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
14	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	230-290
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator . . . . .	185-230
16	5	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
17	2	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) . . . . .	260-320
18	2	F100	Junior Draftsman . . . . .	210-260

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

**Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Purchasing Department, Tabulating and Reproduction Bureau, by Deleting 2 Key Punch Operators (Numerical) and Adding 2 Key Punch Operators, IBM, and Adding "IBM" to Class Titles of Key Punch Operators, Tabulating Machine Operators and Part-time Employments.**

Bill No. 4443, Ordinance No. 4202 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4, PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 43 from 1 to 3, and by amending the class number and title from B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) to B309 Key Punch Operator, IBM; by deleting item 43.1, 2 B309b Key Punch Operator (Numerical) at \$160-200; and by amending the class title for item 44 and 44.1 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator", and item 41.1 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator (part time)."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 37.4 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37.4. **PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—TABULATING AND REPRODUCTION BUREAU (Continued)**

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
43	3	B309	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	\$160-200
44	9	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
44.1	1	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b> (part-time) . . . . .	190-240
45	3	B325	Blueprinter . . . . .	185-230
46	2	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .	185-230
47	1	B330	Photographer . . . . .	230-290
47.1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

December 2, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 9, 1946.*

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance, Controller, by Deleting 4 Key punch Operators (Alphabetical) and by Adding 4 Key Punch Operators IBM and by Changing Class Numbers and Titles of Key Punch Operators and Tabulating Machine Operators.

Bill No. 4438, Ordinance No. 4197 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67.2, CONTROLLER, by increasing the number of employments under item 21 from 1 to 5, and by amending the class number and title by deleting the "b" from the class number and by deleting the word (Numerical), and substituting the letters "IBM"; by deleting item 20.1, 4 B309a Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) at \$160-200; and by amending the title for item 22 by adding the letters "IBM" after the words "Tabulating Machine Operator."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 67.2 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 67.2. **CONTROLLER (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
17	4	B301	Payroll Machine Operator . . . . .	\$185-230
18	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
19	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator . . . . .	230-260
20	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (keydrive) . . . . .	185-230
21	5	B309	<b>Key Punch Operator, IBM</b> . . . . .	160-200
22	1	B310	<b>Tabulating Machine Operator, IBM</b>	190-240
23	6	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	185-230
24	1	B312	Senior Bookkeeping Machine Operator . . . . .	230-290

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

## Final Passage.

The following, from Finance Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisor Mancuso.

**Amending Section 245, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Relating to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.**

Bill No. 4444, Ordinance No. 4203 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Section 245, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 245, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 245. Certification to Controller of Amount of Benefits. Ratification of Contributions. Assets Therefrom Retained as Reserve.** During each fiscal year the Retirement Board shall certify to the Controller the amount of benefits not provided by members' contributions and paid during said year by the Retirement System on account of service rendered on and after January 8, 1932, by persons as members of the System under Sections 166 and 169 of the Charter, and the Controller shall charge an equal amount to the appropriation of the Retirement System for that year. Contributions made by the City and County to meet said benefits paid prior to July 1, 1946, are hereby ratified and confirmed, and the accounts of the Retirement System shall be adjusted accordingly as of June 30, 1946. Any assets growing out of said contributions made by the City and County on account of such benefits, remaining unallocated after said adjustment, shall be retained by the Retirement System as a reserve against the obligation of the City and County on account of benefits that have been granted and on account of prior service of members.

Recommended by the Retirement Board.

Recommended by the Controller.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities—San Francisco Water Department, by Increasing Number of Senior Engineers (Civil) and Deleting Position of Senior Engineer at \$450.**

Bill No. 4454, Ordinance No. 4204 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76a PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 9 from 1 to 3 F412b Senior Engineer (Civil) at \$530-635; and by deleting item 9.1 2 \*Senior Engineer at \$450.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76a is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 76a. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**  
(Continued)

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON  
REVENUE AND/OR BOND ISSUE MONEYS.**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	\$185-230
2	2	B327	Photostat Operator .....	185-230
3	3	F100	Junior Draftsman .....	210-260
4	6	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) .....	260-320
5	4	F104b	Senior Draftsman (Civil) .....	320-375
5.1	2		*Senior Draftsman .....	280
6.1	8	F401b	Junior Engineer (Civil) .....	255-320
7	4	F406c	Assistant Engineer (Civil).....	360-430
7.1	8		*Assistant Engineer .....	300
8	1	F410d	Engineer (Civil) .....	435-520
8.1	1		*Engineer .....	375
9	3	F412b	Senior Engineer (Civil) .....	530-635
10	12	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant .....	230-290
11	8		*Field Assistant .....	250
12	8		*Assistant Chief Surveyor .....	275
13	8		*Chief Surveyor .....	325
14	8		*Surveyor .....	275
15	8		*Chief Inspector .....	325

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission when positions are to be filed.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Finally Passed by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor McMurray—1.

**Final Passage.**

The following recommendation of Police Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors McMurray, Christopher, MacPhee.

**An Ordinance Amending Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code), of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by Repealing Section 60 Thereof, Entitled: "All Night Parking Prohibited."**

Bill No. 4457, Ordinance No. 4205 (Series of 1939), as follows:

An ordinance amending Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code), of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by repealing Section 60 thereof, entitled: "All Night Parking Prohibited."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

### NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

#### Land Purchase—Merced Playground—Parcel 2.

Proposal No. 6277, Resolution No. 6093 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 5686 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on July 15, 1946, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Pacific Coast Construction Company, or the legal owner, to the following described real property situated in San Francisco, California, required for Merced Playground:

Commencing at the most southerly corner of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Spring Valley Company, Ltd., a corporation, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, recorded December 23, 1938, in Book 3397 of Official Records, page 401, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence north 33° 41' west along the southwesterly boundary line of the parcel of land described in said deed, a distance of 114.161 feet; thence leaving said southwesterly boundary line and running north 89° 08' 09" west 147.078 feet to the true point of beginning of the parcel of land to be described; running thence south 33° 41' east 289.248 feet to a point; thence southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left the center of which bears south 44° 22' 03" east 527.50 feet from the last mentioned point, with a radius of 527.50 feet, a central angle of 4° 45' 20", a distance of 43.783 feet; thence southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, with a radius of 672.50 feet, a central angle of 17° 05', a distance of 200.513 feet; thence north 33° 41' west 476.291 feet to a point perpendicularly distant 310 feet southerly from the southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus Drive; thence north 84° 40' 11" east parallel with said southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus Drive 97.289 feet; thence south 89° 08' 09" east parallel with and perpendicularly distant 310 feet southerly from the southerly tangent line of Eucalyptus Drive, 188.604 feet to the true point of beginning.

Containing 2.1734 acres.

The sum of \$15,659.66 shall be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 613.600.03.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Land Purchase—Yacht Harbor—Pacific Gas and Electric Company—Parcel No. 8.**

Proposal No. 6278, Resolution No. 6094 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 568 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on October 2, 1939, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, or the legal owner, to the following described land situated in San Francisco, California, required for Yacht Harbor:

Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of Marina Boulevard, distant thereon 400 feet northwesterly from the westerly line of Buchanan Street; running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Marina Boulevard 245.383 feet to the southwest corner of that certain tract of land described in deed recorded February 5, 1945, in Liber 4203 at page 70, Official Records of San Francisco; thence easterly along the southerly boundary of said tract of land 129.832 feet to the northwest corner of that certain parcel of land described in deed recorded February 29, 1944, in Liber 4062 at page 222, Official Records of San Francisco; thence southeasterly along the southwesterly boundary of last mentioned parcel 153 feet more or less to a line drawn perpendicular to the northeasterly line of Marina Boulevard through the point of commencement; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Assessor's Block 421.

The sum of \$26,777.78 shall be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*December 16, 1946—Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Land Purchase—Yacht Harbor—Bates Estate Company—Parcel No. 8.**

Proposal No. 6279, Resolution No. 6095 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 868 (Series of 1939), adopted by this Board on March 11, 1940, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from the Bates Estate Company, or the legal owner, to the following described land situated in San Francisco, California, required for Yacht Harbor:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Buchanan Street, distant thereon 308.49 feet southerly from the southerly line of Lewis Street; thence running southerly along said



line of Buchanan Street 102.83 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 280 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 102.83 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 280 feet to the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Assessor's Block No. 411.

The sum of \$14,411.89 shall be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.04.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Land Purchase—Fleishhacker Playfield—Parcel No. 12.

Proposal No. 6280, Resolution No. 6096 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Park Department and pursuant to Resolution No. 1828, adopted by this Board on April 1, 1935, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the below named parties, or the legal owners, to the westerly 4.9661 acres of Lot 40 in Assessor's Block 7201, San Francisco, California, required for Fleishhacker Playfield, and that the total sum of \$19,616.10 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 612.600.01 as follows:

. Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., 56/100 interest. \$10,985.02

The Bank of California, N. A., 44/100 interest. . . . 8,631.08

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Park Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Authorizing the Mayor to Make Application to the Federal Works Administrator for a Loan of Plan Preparation Funds for the Proposed Junior Museum.

Proposal No. 6299, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Act of the Congress of the United States, known as Title 5 of the War Mobilization and Reconversion Act of 1944, and Public Law 269 of the Seventy-ninth Congress, approved December 28, 1945, authorizing the Federal Works Administrator to make advances of funds to non-federal Public Agencies to assist in the plan preparation of their public works; and

Whereas, the said Acts of Congress allow states and political subdivisions thereof to borrow plan preparation moneys without interest for the purpose of planning proposed public works; and

Whereas, said Acts of Congress provide that the payment of this

loan shall be made only if, as, and when actual construction starts on the proposed work; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco plan and reasonably expect to construct the herein described public works within the near future; and

Whereas, this public work is known as the Junior Museum; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, Roger D. Lapham, is hereby authorized to make application to the Federal Works Agency for and on behalf of said City and County, for a loan amounting to twenty-four thousand seven hundred fifty-two dollars (\$24,752) for the purposes, and under the conditions described above.

Recommended by the Superintendent of the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Recreation Commission.

December 16, 1946.

Question on adoption:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—5.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

Before the result of the foregoing roll call was announced, Supervisor Mead changed his vote from "Aye" to "No" and moved reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board. Supervisor Mead's motion was seconded by Supervisor J. Joseph Sullivan.

The vote then stood:

Ayes: Supervisors MacPhee, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—4.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead—6.

Absent: Supervisor Colman—1.

#### Motion on Reconsideration.

Supervisor Mead stated that he did not feel an explanation of the above matter should be indulged in at this time and expressed the hope that the Board members would see fit to adopt the motion to reconsider so that the Recreation Department could secure the \$24,000 loan from the Federal Government; furthermore, there is the assurance that in the event that the construction of the building failed to materialize, there was no obligation on the part of the city to repay the money.

Supervisors Lewis and Christopher expressed opposition to the motion to reconsider.

Whereupon the roll was called and the motion to reconsider *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray—5.

#### Discussion.

Supervisor MacPhee stated that it was the opinion of the Recreation Department that this project would serve all areas in San Francisco and would play an important part in combatting the effects of juvenile delinquency; that the Recreation Department hopes to float a bond issue to take care of all the recreational wants of the city and that no harm would ensue if the loan from the Federal Government were requested.

### Privilege of the Floor.

Miss Randall, Superintendent of the Recreation Department, was accorded the privilege of the floor. She reiterated former statements that after much consideration, the Corona Heights site was selected because of its central location; that there would also be a neighborhood playground and that the location is very accessible to Market Street and to the other outlying districts. Furthermore, no other land in this vicinity was available.

Mr. Kittredge, who spoke on this matter at a previous meeting of the Board, was also accorded the privilege of the floor and expressed the hope that the loan would be requested from the Federal Government.

Mr. T. J. Kent, Jr., Acting Director of Planning for the City Planning Commission, stated that the Commission had studied the proposed project and it has its endorsement.

Mrs. Ruth Pownall, President of the Eureka Valley Promotion Association, expressed opposition to the project.

Supervisor McMurray reiterated his opposition, stating that any other location would have his blessing.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6299 *failed of adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Colman, MacPhee, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—5.

Noes: Supervisors, Christopher, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, John J. Sullivan—6.

### Passage for Second Reading.

The following, recommendation of Finance Committee, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Mancuso, Lewis.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$119,500 From the Unappropriated Balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the Credit of Appropriation Number 665.500.00 for the Purchase of Assessor's Block 19, Required for Municipal Railway Purposes and for Payment of Incidental Expenses.**

Bill No. 4469, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$119,500 from the unappropriated balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 665.500.00 for the purchase of Assessor's Block 19, required for Municipal Railway purposes and for payment of incidental expenses.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$119,500 is hereby appropriated from the unappropriated balance in the Municipal Railway Land Purchase Fund to the credit of Appropriation Number 665.500.00 for the purchase of Assessor's Block 19, San Francisco, California, required for Municipal Railway purposes and for payment of incidental expenses.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

December 16, 1946—*Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Adopted.**

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Mead.

**Requesting Tax Collector to Postpone Deeding Property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District and Extending Time for Redemption.**

Proposal No. 6319, Resolution No. 6098 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Tax Collector to postpone the deeding of property in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District as provided for under Resolution No. 2798, and that the time after which the Tax Collector shall deed property acquired by reason of the failure to pay Sunset Tunnel Assessments be and it is hereby extended to and including the 6th day of January, 1948; and be it

Further Resolved, That any person or persons, firms or corporations owing assessments in Sunset Tunnel Assessment District shall have to and including the 6th day of January, 1948, within which to pay said assessments, with interest and penalties provided for under the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Land and Easement Purchases, Irvington Pump Station, Alameda County, California.**

Proposal No. 6322, Resolution No. 6099 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offers on file in the Office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept deeds from the below named parties or the legal owners to certain land and easements situated in Alameda County, California, required for the proposed Irvington Pump Station, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 90.600.66:

Joseph F. Garcia, et ux. . . . . \$1,166

Parcel 1. A portion of that certain 66.64 acre tract of land described in deed recorded May 11, 1945, in Book 4686 at page 445, Official Records of Alameda County, containing 2.2 acres more or less.

Parcel 2. A Right of Way Easement for a road and pole line over a certain portion of said 66.64 acre tract, adjoining the westerly side of the Western Pacific Railroad Company Right of Way.

William A. Enos, et ux. . . . . \$140

A Right of Way Easement for a road and pole line over a portion of Block 11 and Plat "C," as per map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," adjoining the westerly side of the Western Pacific Railroad Company Right of Way.

Frank D. Freitas, D. A. Costa, and Vera Costa, his wife. . . \$127

A Right of Way Easement for a road and pole line over Blocks 6, 7, and 9 and a portion of Third, Fourth, Fifth, and "C" Streets, as per map entitled "Subdivision of the Bond

Tract, Irvington, Alameda County, California," adjoining the westerly boundary of the Western Pacific Railroad Company Right of Way.

The total amount of the \$1,433 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5764 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings and, inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described real property, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 5764, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6329, Resolution No. 6100 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated December 23, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of December, 1946, and January, 1947, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Refunds—Erroneous Payments of Taxes.**

Proposal No. 6330, Resolution No. 6101 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 5096 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to refund the following amounts of taxes heretofore paid to the persons, firms or corporations indicated opposite said amounts for the reasons herein set forth:

*Paid More Than Once—From Appropriation No. .05—Duplicate Tax Fund*

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| 1. Kachadoor Mooradian, Lot 30, Block 489, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46. ....  | \$453.54 |
| 2. F. Arthur Hammersmith, Lot 5, Block 502, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46. .... | 26.56    |

3. Doris L. Mancini, Lot 15, Block 997, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	103.93
4. Central Calif. Conference Assn. of Seventh Day Adventist, Lot 8, Block 1076, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46..	266.62
5. Ruth K. Byrnes, Lot 21C, Block 1679, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	63.93
6. State Home Builders, Inc., Lot 28A, Block 1786, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	77.76
7. Vestina P. Smith, Lot 30, Block 2047, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	20.76
8. Northern Counties Title Ins. Co., Lot 39, Block 2151 second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	39.36
9. A. F. Headman, Lot 48, Block 3564, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	35.98
10. Mrs. T. Daldas, Lot 82, Block 3726, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	54.34
11. Nicholas Valente, Lot 23, Block 3756, second installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	29.46
12. Angelo Rizzoli, Lot 12, Block 5017, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46 . . . . .	55.06
Lot 12, Block 5017, both installments, fiscal year 1944-45.	53.46
Lot 12, Block 5017, both installments, fiscal year 1943-44.	49.70
13. John Santucci, Lot 18A-B, Block 5255, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	20.53
14. Carmelo Bocchieri, Lot 26, Block 5723, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46. . . . .	35.74
15. Angelo Salinero, Lots 12-13, Block 6759, second installment, fiscal year 1944-45. ....	14.07
16. F. C. Britton, Lot 21A, Block 7094, both installments, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	23.55
17. J. H. Vides, Lot 4, Block 7160, first installment, fiscal year 1945-46. ....	18.11

*Erroneously or Illegally Collected:*

*Taxes Refunded Fund—Appropriation No. 60.969.00*

1. David Dodds—Through clerical error was charged for \$800 instead of \$80, less household exemption \$100. ....\$38.85

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Funds available and description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor John J. Sullivan—1.

**Passage for Second Reading.**

**Amending San Francisco Municipal Code With Respect to Licensing, Regulating, etc., Bicycles.**

Bill No. 4421, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Article 6, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by amending Sections 400, 404, 405, 408, 410, 412 and 413, thereof, relating to bicycles, the use, licensing, registration and transfer thereof, for enforcement of said article and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Article 6, Part III, of the San Francisco Municipal Code,

is hereby amended, by amending Sections 400, 404, 405, 408, 410, 412 and 413, thereof, to read as follows:

**SEC. 400. Definitions.** As used in this Article, the following words and phrases shall have the meaning respectively ascribed to them:

(a) **Bicycle.** A vehicle having two (2) wheels set tandem, propelled by human power applied through pedals, and designed for seating and carrying one or more persons, the number being determined by the number of seats built onto the vehicle by the manufacturer thereof, *except sidewalk model bicycles with wheel diameter of less than 20 inches over all, including tires.*

(b) **Person.** Any person, firm, corporation, company or association.

(c) **Owner.** That person, firm, corporation, company or association in whose name the title of the bicycle is vested.

[**(d) Rental Agency.** Any person, firm, corporation, company or association engaged in the business of offering for rental or renting a bicycle for use by the public, either exclusively or in conjunction with some other business.]

(d) **Operator.** That person who is actually engaged in the act of propelling, guiding or handling the bicycle at any given time.

**SEC. 404. License Tags—Owner to Affix on Bicycle.** The license tag issued as a part of the license shall be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, and each tag shall clearly show the year for which issued, have stamped thereon the letters "SFBL," and shall be consecutively numbered. [**License tags for use by rental agencies shall, in addition to the above requirements, have the serial number thereon prefixed by the letter "R".**] It shall be unlawful for any owner or operator of a bicycle subject to the provisions of this Article to operate or use or permit to be operated or used any such bicycle on the streets or highways of the City and County of San Francisco without first affixing such license tag to said bicycle by means of the accompanying seal and securely fastening said license tag to either end of the frame of the bicycle in such a manner as to prevent the tag from swinging. Such license tag shall be maintained free from foreign materials so as to be clearly legible and said license tag shall remain, during the license year, affixed to the bicycle for which first obtained.

**SEC 405. Registration Cards.** Registration cards shall be of such design, color and material as the Tax Collector shall prescribe, shall be in quintuplicate, serially numbered, and shall contain the name, date of birth, physical description, and address of the owner, together with the number of license tag issued, the manufacturer's trade name of the bicycle and its frame number, and shall be provided with a blank space for the signature of the licensee. [**Registration cards issued rental agencies shall be serially numbered, and shall contain the name and address of the agency, the name of the owner or owners, manager or managing conductors thereof, the number of the license tag issued, the manufacturer's trade name of the bicycle and its frame number, and shall be provided with a blank space for the signature of the licensee.**] The owner or operator of a bicycle on the streets or highways of the City and County of San Francisco shall keep available the registration card for such bicycle and shall produce such card for inspection whenever it may be demanded by a police officer or a deputy license collector. The Tax Collector shall forward to the Chief of Police the duplicate and triplicate copies of each registration card within twenty-four (24) hours after issuing same; the quadruplicate copy shall be retained by the Tax Collector for his records; and the quintuplicate copy shall be mailed by the Tax Collector to the licensee within thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date of the license as a notice for renewal.

**SEC. 408. License Period—Penalty.** All bicycle licenses, including tags and registration cards, issued under the provisions of this Article shall date from the first day of January of each year and shall be issued for one (1) year from the aforesaid date. [Before issuing a license, the Tax Collector shall collect from the owner thereof, if he has failed to obtain such license in the month of January, or in case of failure to obtain a transfer of registration within the time specified, a penalty of Twenty-five (25c) Cents per month or fraction thereof that such owner is delinquent in the payment of the fee; provided, that such owner is delinquent in the payment of the fee; provided, that where the Tax Collector has good and sufficient evidence that the applicant has not used the bicycle prior to the date when application is made, no penalty shall be imposed in such instances; and further, provided, that the monetary penalty for non-payment of the license fee shall not be collected by the Tax Collector for the first six (6) months of the calendar year of 1943.]

**SEC. 410. Frame Serial Numbers—Unlawful Act.** Every licensed bicycle shall have a manufacturer's serial number stamped on its frame or, if such serial number is not on said frame or has been destroyed, mutilated or obliterated, or if such serial number is illegible or insufficient for identification purposes, the owner of said bicycle shall have stamped on its frame by the Police Department a number for identification purposes. It shall be unlawful for any person to wilfully or maliciously remove, destroy, mutilate or alter the number of any bicycle frame.

**SEC. 412. Enforcement.** The Chief of Police shall enforce the provisions of this Article and may suspend or revoke any license issued thereunder for any violation thereof, or of any of the ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco or provisions of the San Francisco Municipal Code relating to street traffic insofar as the same are applicable, and may impound any unlicensed or improperly licensed bicycle. Any bicycle that has been so impounded and not redeemed within thirty (30) days from the date of impounding may be stored by the Chief of Police and any storage charges therefor shall be a lien and charge against said bicycle and shall be paid before such bicycle is released to the person entitled thereto. The action of the Chief of Police as to any of the matters herein referred to shall be conclusive and final. No license shall be issued to or for any person who has had a license revoked until the expiration of one (1) year from the date of revocation. The revocation or suspension of a license or the impounding of a bicycle may be in addition to other penalties provided hereunder. *The Tax Collector shall not be the enforcement officer under the provisions of this Article.*

**SEC. 413. Rules and Regulations to Be Adopted.** The Chief of Police [and the Tax Collector are] is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce such rules and regulations regarding bicycles as will enable the Chief of Police [and the Tax Collector] to enforce and carry out the meaning and intent of this Article.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing the Acceptance of One Dollar (\$1) for Certain Streets Which Have Been Acquired by the United States of America.**

Bill No. 4458, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing the acceptance of One Dollar (\$1) for certain streets which have been acquired by the United States of America.



Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco has been served as a defendant in an action to condemn certain lands in said City and County of San Francisco, which action is now pending in the District Court of the United States in and for the Northern District of California, Southern Division, entitled therein "United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Certain Land in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, Philbrook W. Holmes, City of San Francisco, et al." Number 22600-S; and

Section 2. In said action the United States of America is taking the fee title to certain street areas of the City and County of San Francisco and has agreed to pay the sum of One Dollar (\$1) for the taking of such street areas.

Section 3. The City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed and authorized to enter into a stipulation for the entry of judgment and payment of compensation set forth above for the taking of the street areas owned by the said City and County of San Francisco in the above entitled action.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director, Department of Public Works.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

**Authorizing Lease of Certain City-Owned Land at the Corner of  
Portola Drive and Woodside Avenue.**

Bill No. 4474, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing lease of certain City-owned land at the corner of Portola Drive and Woodside Avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rental, the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Portola Drive with the northeasterly line of Woodside Avenue and running thence easterly along said line of Portola Drive 189.026 feet; thence deflecting 144° 00' to the left and running northwesterly 215.172 feet; thence deflecting 76° 50' 38" to the left and running southwesterly 120.871 feet more or less to a point on the said northeasterly line of Woodside Avenue; distant thereon northwesterly 90 feet from the point of commencement; thence southeasterly along said line of Woodside Avenue 90 feet to the point of commencement.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

**Authorizing Sale of Portion of Newark-San Lorenzo Pipe Line  
Right of Way in Alameda County.**

Bill No. 4475, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing sale of portion of Newark-San Lorenzo pipe line right of way in Alameda County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that public interest and necessity demands the sale of all right, title, and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in and to the following described real property situated in the County of Alameda, State of California:

A strip of land 40 feet in width—6 feet of which lies adjacent to and Easterly from, and 34 feet of which lies adjacent to and Westerly from the following described line:

Beginning at a point which bears North 62° 36' 15" East 21.28 feet from the point of intersection of the centerline of Via Media with the Northwesterly line of Tract No. 802 a map of which is filed in the office of the Recorder of the County of Alameda in Book 12 of Maps at pages 58, 59, and 61; thence from said point of beginning South 27° 23' 45" East 857.17 feet; thence South 8° 22' 20" West 712.61 feet; thence South 4° 26' 40" East 109.54 feet to a point on the center line of Bockman Road distant thereon South 82° 21' 20" West 3.42 feet from its intersection with the center line of Via Media; said Bockman Road and Via Media being as shown upon the map of Tract No. 768 filed in the office of the Recorder of the County of Alameda in Book 12 of Maps at pages 44 and 45.

Together with all appurtenant rights of access over the adjoining real property of which said strip of land was originally a part.

Being those certain right of way easements over the parcels of land described in the following instruments recorded in the Official Records of Alameda County, California:

Frank S. Furtado, instrument, deed; date, April 25, 1931; recorded, May 9, 1931, book 2610, page 156.

Carlos E. Chibanti, et ux., instrument, reconveyance; date, June 4, 1932; recorded, June 14, 1932, book 2802, page 470.

Ida C. Krusi, et vir., instrument, deed; date, January 28, 1931; recorded, February 3, 1931, book 2505 page 408.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to receive tenders at public auction subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Section 92 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved by the General Manager and Chief Engineer, San Francisco Water Department.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor Mancuso—1.

**Appropriating \$3,000, City Attorney's Office, for Expenses of Deputy City Attorney Attending Regular Sessions of State Legislature Commencing January 6, 1947.**

Bill No. 4479, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$3,000 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to provide funds in the City Attorney's Office for the purpose of meeting expenses of a deputy city attorney while at Sacramento during the regular session of the State Legislature commencing January 6, 1947, assisting legislative representative.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$3,000 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Reserve for Adjustment, Appropriation No. 500.000.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 604.201.01, to provide funds for the purpose of meeting expenses of a deputy city attorney while in Sacramento during the regular session of the State Legislature commencing January 6, 1947, in order to advise and assist the Legislative Representative of the City and County of San Francisco attending said session and to study all bills introduced for the purpose of ascertaining whether said bills legally affect the interests of the City and County. The expenses required were not included in the annual budget of the City Attorney's Office.

Recommended by the City Attorney.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Final Passage.**

**Appropriating \$5,000 From the Emergency Reserve Fund to Compensate Superior Court Judges From Other Counties Presiding in Extra Session in Local Courts; an Emergency measure.**

Bill No. 4472, Ordinance No. 4206 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds in the Superior Court for the compensation of Superior Court Judges from other counties of the State presiding in extra session courts of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Section 67B, Code of Civil Procedure; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,000 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 621.900.00, to provide funds for the compensation of Superior Court Judges from other counties of the State presiding in extra session courts of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Section 67B, Code of Civil Procedure.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Funds for the compensation of these judges must be furnished in accordance with the foregoing provisions of State law,

which will provide for the uninterrupted operation of the Superior Courts. The amount heretofore appropriated for the purpose is insufficient, and there are no other funds available therefor.

Recommended by the Secretary-Jury Commissioner, Superior Court.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Re-reference to Committee.

The following matter, called from the Committee on County, State and National Affairs, was taken up:

In the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Monday, December 2, 1946, Supervisor Christopher requested that Proposal No. 6222 be withdrawn from Committee and presented to the Board for its consideration on Monday, December 9, 1946.

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Act as Mediation and Arbitration Board in Local Labor Disputes.**

Proposal No. 6222, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the most crippling maritime strike in the history of our nation is in progress and has been in effect for a number of weeks, during which period no merchant ship has unloaded its cargo at any West Coast harbor, no merchant ship carrying a commercial cargo has sailed out of a West Coast port, vital imports needed for reconversion have been prevented from coming into the country, and shortages of needed materials have grown with each day; and

Whereas, past experience with such situations and conditions has conclusively demonstrated that the interests of all citizens of communities visited by such a strike must inevitably be adversely affected; and

Whereas, the interests and well-being of the community as a whole must at all times be considered paramount to the desires, aims and objectives of any minority group thereof; and

Whereas, in similar controversies, the American method of arbitration of disputes between employer and employee has been accepted as the only fair, sound and reasonable means of reaching a meeting of minds and an equitable settlement; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to be composed of qualified representatives of the community, whose duty and purpose it shall be to act as a labor relations committee and a mediation and arbitration board, to the end that not only the current maritime strike, but also any other labor disputes and threatened or actual strikes and work-stoppages, which affect the welfare of the City and County of San Francisco, may be, by arbitration, quickly and equitably culminated.

*December 9, 1946—Consideration continued to December 23, 1946.*

Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor McMurray, that Proposal No. 6222 be re-referred to the County, State and National Affairs Committee.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Adopted.**

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee, was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, McMurray, Meyer, Sullivan.

**Memorializing the President of the United States to Relinquish Certain Land in Presidio Not Suitable for Military Purposes to Private Ownership to Meet Housing Needs.**

Proposal No. 6306, Resolution No. 6097 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco's physical location, which is for all practical purposes insular in nature, has had the effect of stringently limiting the space available for construction of civilian housing facilities, the result of which has been the creation of a serious and ever-increasing housing shortage; and

Whereas, if San Francisco is to expand and continue to maintain its position, commercial and otherwise, which is vitally strategic to the welfare of the Nation, it is imperative that all such space within its confines as is not now devoted to some other necessary purpose and which is adaptable for the construction of dwelling places, be immediately made available for such purpose; and

Whereas, the Federal Government through its own experience is intimately familiar with the housing shortage which prevailed in San Francisco during World War II, and which is now more pronounced than ever, and with the deterrent effects which such inadequacy will produce in connection with any program of national effort; and

Whereas, the Presidio of San Francisco, long occupied and used by the United States Army, represents an ideal site, centrally located and of great natural beauty, for the development of a residential community which will provide ideal living accommodations for a great number of families and which will in large measure alleviate the housing shortage and seriously overcrowded conditions which now confronts, and seriously menaces the well-being of, the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the President of the United States, during the course of conducting preliminary negotiations for the selection of a permanent site for the United Nations organization, offered the Presidio to said organization for such purpose, and thereby in effect recognized and declared that the Presidio is no longer essential for military use; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, cognizant of the value to and need by the Nation for the fullest development of San Francisco's natural facilities for use both in peace and in time of national emergency, and aware of the necessity for such additional space and housing facilities as will permit such development, does hereby memorialize the President of the United States and does respectfully urge the immediate institution of such procedure as is necessary to provide for the relinquishment, and transfer to private ownership, of all that land lying within the confines of the Presidio of San Francisco which is not necessary for military purposes; and, be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be immediately sent to His Excellency the President of the United States, to Senator Sheridan Downey, to Senator William F. Knowland, to Congressman Richard J. Welch, and to Congressman Franck R. Havenner.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Re-referred to Committee.**

The following recommendation of County, State and National Affairs Committee was taken up:

Present: Supervisors Lewis, Meyer, Sullivan.

**Urging California's Delegation in Congress to Oppose H. R. 6201,  
Known as the Roosevelt Memorial Redwood Forest Bill.**

Proposal No. 6331, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in Congress the Helen Gahagan Douglas Forest Bill, No. H. R. 6201, also known as the Roosevelt Memorial Redwood Forest Bill; and

Whereas, among other objects, this bill proposes to place under control of the Federal Government practically the whole of California's Coastal Redwood belt covering areas aggregating five hundred square miles, and provides for an appropriation by Congress in the amount of \$125,000,000 from an already overburdened Treasury to carry out the purposes of the act; and

Whereas, under the guise of creating a memorial, this bill is in fact, an attempt to nationalize the source of raw material of the Redwood Industry, thus rendering impracticable the continued operation of many existing mills and creating immeasurable economic loss and serious weakening of the local government; and

Whereas, there are sixty thousand acres of redwood lands in California now dedicated to park purposes; and

Whereas, the California Forest Practices Act guarantees the orderly, economical and scientific exploitation of the timber lands described in the bill through selective logging, fire prevention rules, inspections and preservation measures; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors cognizant of the harm that would inure to the several Counties of California affected by this bill, hereby expresses its opposition to the enactment of Bill No. H. R. 6201; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to Senators Downey and Knowland and Congressmen Havenner and Welch, in order that they may lend their full support in opposing this bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution also be sent to the Board of Supervisors of Humboldt County, California.

Supervisor Christopher moved, seconded by Supervisor Colman, that the above matter be put over for a period of two weeks.

Supervisor McMurray moved, as a substitute, seconded by Supervisor Colman, that the matter be re-referred to Committee.

Whereupon the roll was called and Proposal No. 6331 was re-referred to the County, State and National Affairs Committee by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, MacPhee, McMurray, J. Joseph Sullivan—6.

Noes: Supervisors Lewis, Mancuso, Mead, Meyer, John J. Sullivan—5.

**Adopted.**

The following, recommendations of Streets Committee, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, John J. Sullivan.

**Urging State Highway Commission to Widen, Improve and Expand  
Route 101 and 101 Alternate to Four-Lane Highways.**

Proposal No. 6332, Resolution No. 6102 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the highway traffic between the northern and southern

portions of California has been and continues to be increased by the rapid influx of population within the State and the increasing number of motor vehicles; and

Whereas, all sections of the State are vitally interested in and affected by the aforementioned transportation facilities; and

Whereas, the existing primary roads between the two sections of the State, and particularly U. S. No. 101 and 101 Alternate are today totally inadequate to care for existing traffic, not to mention potential increases in population; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby goes on record urging the State Highway Commission to use every facility at its command to widen, improve and expand Route 101 and 101 Alternate to four-lane highways at the earliest possible opportunity; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the San Francisco delegation in the Assembly and to the Legislative Representative of the City and County of San Francisco, with request that they energetically support this program.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

#### Passage for Second Reading.

#### Ordering Performance of Certain Street Work and Approving Assessment Districts on Bella Vista Way, Avoca Alley, Myra Way and Omar Way.

Bill No. 4476, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same. On Bella Vista Way between Sequoia Way and Avoca Alley; Avoca Alley Between Bella Vista Way and Myra Way; Myra Way between Omar Way and Avoca Alley; Omar Way from Myra Way easterly to the existing pavement, including the intersections of Bella Vista Way and Dorcas Way; Bella Vista Way and Rockdale Drive; Myra Way and Omar Way and Myra Way and Thirty-First Street, by Grading to the official line and subgrade, and appropriating \$6,700 to legalize and equalize the assessment and \$6,500 for work in front of City property.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 4, 1946, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in Ten (10) installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeed-

ing installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bella Vista Way between Sequoia Way and Avoca Alley; Avoca Alley between Bella Vista Way and Myra Way; Myra Way between Omar Way and Avoca Alley; Omar Way from Myra Way easterly to the existing pavement, including the intersections of Bella Vista Way and Dorcas Way; Bella Vista Way and Rockdale Drive; Myra Way and Omar Way and Myra Way and Thirty-First Street, by grading to the official line and subgrade, and by the construction of the following items:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Item</i>
1.	15-inch V. C. P. Sewer
2.	12-inch V. C. P. Sewer
3.	8-inch V. C. P. Sewer
4.	10-inch V. C. P. Culvert
5.	Brick Manholes, complete
6.	Brick Catchbasins, complete
7.	15 x 6-inch V. C. P. "Y" Branches
8.	12 x 6-inch V. C. P. "Y" Branches
9.	8 x 6-inch V. C. P. "Y" Branches
10.	6-inch V. C. P. Side Sewers
11.	Unarmored Concrete Curb
12.	6-inch Class "E" Concrete Pavement
13.	Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
14.	Alteration of existing improvements
15.	Water services, long
16.	Water services, short
17.	Water mains
18.	Street lighting system, complete

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

- Block 2955-B, Lot 1 (City property)
- Block 2956-A, Lots 12, 13, 14 and 15
- Block 2961, Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19
- Block 2962-A, Lot 1
- Block 2963-A Lot 1 (City property)
- Block 2963-B, Lot 1
- Block 2998, Lot 1
- Block 2999-A, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9

being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned improvement.

The foregoing described lots of land are contained within and constitute the extent of the district benefited by said contemplated work or improvement, and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, and reference to the same is hereby made for the description of such district.

Section 2. The sum of \$13,200 is hereby appropriated and set aside from the surpluses existing in the reserves for "City Aid" and "Work in Front of City Property" to the credit of the following appropriations for the purpose of extending City Aid necessary to legalize and equalize the assessment as provided in Section 111 of the Charter and the payment for work in front of City Property (Recreation Department) as herein provided, and in the amounts indicated:

648.906.07—City Aid . . . . .	\$6,700
648.916.03—Work in Front of City Property . . . . .	6,500

\$13,200

These amounts are based on estimated quantities and when exact



figures are determined, the actual amount will be applied against this appropriation and the excess money will revert to the reserves for "City Aid" and "Work in Front of City Property."

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Acting Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Forty-Fifth Avenue Between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.**

Bill No. 4477, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Forty-Fifth Avenue between Ulloa and Vicente Streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 4th day of November, 1946, by Resolution No. 5984 (Series of 1939), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Forty-Fifth Avenue between Ulloa and Vicente Streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as herein-after stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

**FORTY-FIFTH AVENUE**

Ulloa Street . . . . .	35.50 feet
183.33 southerly from Ulloa street . . . . .	37.25 feet
Easterly line of at Vicente Street . . . . .	34.00 feet
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of at Vicente Street . . . . .	33.00 feet
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Forty-Fifth Avenue, between Ulloa and Vicente Streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**Establishing Grades on Forty-Fourth Avenue Between Wawona Street and Points on the Easterly and Westerly Curb Lines Respectively 209.18 Feet and 193.83 Feet Southerly Therefrom.**

Bill No. 4478, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Establishing grades on Forty-Fourth Avenue between Wawona Street and points on the easterly and westerly curb lines respectively 209.18 feet and 193.83 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Forty-Fourth Avenue between Wawona Street and points on the easterly and westerly curb lines respectively 209.18 feet and 193.83 feet southerly therefrom, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City datum as hereinafter stated, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office December 13, 1946:

**FORTY-FOURTH AVENUE**

Easterly line of, at Wawona Street .....	44.71
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Westerly line of, at Wawona Street .....	44.00
(The same being the present official grade.)	
15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 209.18 feet southerly from Wawona Street .....	42.33
15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 193.83 feet southerly from Wawona Street .....	40.45

On Forty-Fourth Avenue between Wawona Street and points on the easterly and westerly curb lines respectively 209.18 feet and 193.83 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

Absent: Supervisor MacPhee—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Reference to Committee.

Authorizing Compromise of Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4484, Ordinance No. .... (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen in the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended and the City Attorney having approved the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen being recovery of loss sustained by the City and County of San Francisco on account of personal injuries suffered by Patrick Hussey on December 6, 1945, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of his employment as a street cleaner for the Department

of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco when the automobile of Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen collided with an automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco in which the said Patrick Hussey was riding at the intersection of Green and Laguna Streets in the City and County of San Francisco, the loss to said City and County of San Francisco to date being \$1,083.38 including compensation paid while said Patrick Hussey was absent from his employment and the cost of medical services provided; and the said Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500), the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim of the City and County of San Francisco for said sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Approved as to form and settlement approved by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Appropriating the Sum of \$500 Out of the Surplus Existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to Provide Funds for the Payment of Overtime to Monthly Employees of the Public Welfare Department.

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4485, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Public Welfare Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.111.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Public Welfare Department.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Amending Article 3, Part I of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by Amending Section 255, Sub-section C, Subdivisions (i) and (ii) Thereof, Relating to Disability Retirement Benefits, Increasing Certain Benefits Thereof and Adding a New Provision Limiting the Disability Retirement Allowance to the Nearest Service Retirement Allowance.

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4486, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Amending Article 3, Part I of the San Francisco Municipal Code, by amending Section 255, Sub-section C, Subdivisions (i) and (ii) thereof, relating to disability retirement benefits, increasing certain

benefits thereof and adding a new provision limiting the disability retirement allowance to the nearest service retirement allowance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivisions (i) and (ii) of sub-section C of section 255, Article 3, Part I, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to allowances at disability retirement, are hereby amended to read as follows:

**SEC. 255. Allowances at Disability Retirement.** Upon retirement for disability as provided in the next preceding Section 254 of this Article, a member who has qualified for retirement for service under the provisions of Section 252 of this Article, except the provisions of such section permitting retirement below the normal retirement age after thirty (30) years of continuous service, shall receive a service retirement allowance as provided in said Section 252 of this Article and shall be considered as a service retirement, except as provided in sub-section (b) of this section; otherwise said member

(a) If he be a member under Section 166 or 169 of the Charter, shall receive a retirement allowance as provided in such sections for members, at January 8, 1932, of the Police and Fire Departments, respectively, upon disability retirement as distinguished from service retirement and death. Such retirement allowance shall be provided by the accumulated contributions credited to the member's individual account and by the city's contributions on account of prior service, and on account of service as a member of the system, in proportions as determined by the Retirement Board;

(b) If he be a member under Section 168 or 171 of the Charter, and if his disability, in the opinion of the Retirement Board, is the result of bodily injury received in the performance of duty, shall receive

(1) an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) a pension purchased by contributions of the City, which, together with the annuity provided by his accumulated normal contributions, shall make his total retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, equal to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of his final compensation. If said member shall have been continuously incapacitated for the performance of any duties from the date of, and shall die as a result of said injury, while receiving said retirement allowance, then said retirement allowance shall be continued, after his death, to his surviving wife to whom said member was married prior to sustaining said injury, to continue as long as she shall live or until her remarriage; or if there be no widow, or if the widow die before any child of such deceased member shall have attained the age of sixteen (16) years then to his child or children under said age collectively, to continue until every child dies or attains said age. A member qualifying for continuation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph shall not be subject to the provisions of this section referring to service retirement.

(c) If he be a member under Section 168 or 171 of this Charter, and if his disability, in the opinion of the Retirement Board, is not the result of bodily injury received in the performance of duty, or if he be a member under any other charter provisions, he shall receive

(1) an annuity which shall be the actuarial equivalent of his accumulated contributions at the time of his retirement; and

(2) a pension purchased by the contributions of the City, which together with the annuity provided by his accumulated normal contributions shall make the retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by his accumulated additional contributions, equal to

(i) [one and one-fourth ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ )] one and one-half ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ ) per cent of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service credited to him, if such retirement allowance exceeds [one-fourth ( $\frac{1}{4}$ )] one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of his final compensation; otherwise,

(ii) [one and one-fourth ( $1\frac{1}{4}$ )] one and one-half ( $1\frac{1}{2}$ ) per cent of his final compensation multiplied by the number of years of city-service which would be creditable to him were his city-service to continue until attainment by him of age of sixty-two (62), but such retirement allowance shall not exceed [one-fourth ( $\frac{1}{4}$ )] one-third ( $\frac{1}{3}$ ) of such final compensation. In the calculation of a retirement allowance under this paragraph in the case of a member having credit for more than one (1) class of service, that is, service as a teacher in the day schools, as a teacher in the evening schools or as an employee in any other position, separate retirement allowances shall be calculated, in the manner prescribed, for each class of service, the final compensation in each case being that for the respective class of service; provided that the final compensation upon which the minimum total retirement allowance is calculated in such case shall be based on the compensation earnable by the member in the classes of service rendered by him during the ten years immediately preceding his retirement.

*In no event, however, shall the pension provided by the contributions of the city be more than sufficient to make the disability retirement allowance, exclusive of the annuity provided by accumulated additional contributions, exceed the service retirement allowance, exclusive of any annuity provided by accumulated additional contributions, receivable by the member, should he retire at the lowest age at which he would be eligible for service retirement.*

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the United States of America.

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6338, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all taxes for 1945-1946 which said 1945-1946 taxes became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1945, and for 1946-1947, which said 1946-1947 taxes became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1946, on the following described property:

Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, Block 4649A.

Lot 12, Block 4668.

Said property was acquired by the United States of America subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1945.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

#### Confirming Sale of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4002 to Kenneth A. Sullivan Et Ux.

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6339, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3976, Bill 4217, Series of 1939, the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper

that bids or offers would be received by him on December 19, 1946, to sell at public auction the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Texas Street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Mariposa Street; running thence southerly along said line of Texas Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 269.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Kenneth A. Sullivan and Mary Ann Sullivan, his wife, as the only bidders, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,750; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,750 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property as made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said parties have paid the City a deposit of \$175 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Fire Commissioners have recommended the sale of said land.

Now, therefore, Be It Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be It Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Kenneth A. Sullivan and Mary Ann Sullivan, his wife, or their assignee.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within 60 days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

**Confirming Sale of Portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532 to  
Harry Krieger.**

The Clerk presented:

Proposal No. 6340, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 4135, Bill 4385, Series of 1939, the Director of Property advertised in the Official Newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on December 19, 1946, to sell, at public auction, the following described City owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

A portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532, particularly described as, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston Avenue distant thereon 274 feet  $9\frac{3}{8}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary Blvd.; running thence southerly along said line of Funston Avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of 12th Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 99 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 160 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 1 foot  $2\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet

to the easterly line of Funston Avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of outside land Block No. 272.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Harry Krieger, as the highest bidder, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$37,750 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$37,750 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$4,000 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Education have recommended the sale of said land.

Now, therefore, Be it Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted.

Be It Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Harry Krieger or his assignee.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within 60 days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint a Citizens' Committee to Cooperate with the City Planning Commission in the Preparation of Land Use Plan for Lands Within the Confines of the Presidio.

Supervisors Colman and MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6343, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has this day adopted a resolution requesting the Federal Agency to make available the Presidio in San Francisco for residential and recreational purposes; and

Whereas, in order that this area may be utilized to the fullest extent of its possibilities a definite program should be formulated for its development; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, Mayor Lapham, is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a citizens' committee to cooperate with the City Planning Commission and to use all means at its disposal to further a program and to prepare a land use plan for the properties within the confines of the Presidio.

*Referred to Public Buildings Committee.*

Adopted.

Requesting the Mayor and the Controller to Make Immediately Available to Members of the Police and Fire Departments, Upon Ratification by State Legislature, Those Increments Included in the Charter Amendments Adopted by the People on November 5, 1946.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Proposal No. 6341, Resolution No. 6103 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That upon ratification of the Charter amendments ap-

proved by the electorate at the election held on November 5, 1946, it is respectfully urged that the Mayor and the Controller institute proceedings to make immediately available those salary increments and other benefits authorized and approved by the People of the City and County of San Francisco for the members of the Fire and Police Departments.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Recommendation of His Honor the Mayor.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, was taken up:

Leave of Absence—Honorable Edward S. Moore, Member of the Art Commission.

Proposal No. 6342, Resolution No. 6104 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Edward S. Moore, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing December 24, 1946, with permission to leave the State.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Request of Supervisor Lewis.

Supervisor Lewis requested that a representative of the Public Utilities Commission appear before the Board on next Monday, December 30, to discuss the possibility of selling the land in the vicinity of Crystal Springs which was offered as a permanent site to the United Nations.

#### Request of Supervisor Mancuso.

Supervisor Mancuso requested that in connection with the Board of Education's pledge at the time that the Sunset Community Center was authorized, to the effect that it would release certain other parcels of land in the Sunset District for development as home sites, the Clerk address a letter to the Board of Education to inquire when such release will be made.

#### Notification of Meeting of Finance Committee.

The Clerk was directed to notify the Home Owners Association and Associated Home Builders of meeting of Finance Committee, scheduled for January 8, 1947, at which time the matter of veterans' housing will be discussed.

#### SPECIAL ORDER—MONDAY, JANUARY 6, 1947, 3:00 P. M.

Supervisor MacPhee requested that Monday, January 6, 1947, 3:00 p. m., be set aside as the time to consider the proposed New Building Code.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### MEETINGS.

Police Committee, Friday, December 27, 1946, 2:30 p. m.

Public Utilities Committee, Friday, December 27, 1946, 2:30 p. m.

Finance Committee will resume its regular weekly meeting on Wednesday, January 8, 1947, at 3:30 p. m.



ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 5:30 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 20, 1947.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.







Vol. 41

No. 55

Monday, December 30, 1946

# Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



*Printed by*

**THE RECORDER PRINTING & PUBLISHING COMPANY**

99 South Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, 3



# JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 1946—2:00 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 30, 1946, 2:00 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

## CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Quorum present.

President Dan Gallagher presiding.

## Communications.

From the Library Commission, reporting on request of Potrero Women's Club for meeting space in the new library in that district. *Referred to Education, Park and Recreation Committee.*

From the Lafayette Club, Inc., requesting restoration of No. 9 street car operation on Valencia Street.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the Travelers Aid Society of S. F., advising that due to lack of funds it is temporarily withdrawing from the S. F. Airport.

*Ordered filed.*

From Wm. E. Guyman & Associates, requesting endorsement of application of Travel Line Tours for permission to operate two new tourist buses in S. F.

*Referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

From the County Supervisors Association, bill for membership dues for 1947.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the Waterfront Employers Association, report on conditions in connection with coastwise and intercoastal shipping.

*Ordered filed.*

From the Eureka District Merchants Association, opposing plan for construction of the Market-Portola Freeway.

*Referred to Streets Committee.*

From the Treasurer, monthly cash account for period ending Nov. 30.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

From the County Supervisors Association, transmitting report of the California Adoption Survey Committee, dated November, 1946.

*Referred to Public Health and Welfare Committee.*

From the Mayor, reporting on necessity for creation of additional position of Senior Clerk in Department of Public Works (Inter-departmental).

*Ordered considered with matter on calendar.*

From the Mayor, reporting on veterans' housing program.  
*Referred to Finance Committee.*

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

#### Final Passage.

The following recommendations of Streets Committee, heretofore Passed for Second Reading, were taken up:

Present: Supervisors Meyer, McMurray.

#### Accepting Roadway of Calgary Street, From Velasco Avenue Southerly to the County Line, Including the Curbs.

Bill No. 4459, Ordinance No. 4207 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Calgary Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the county line, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Calgary Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County Line, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Accepting Roadway of Pueblo Street, From Velasco Avenue Southerly to the County Line, Including the Curbs.

Bill No 4460, Ordinance No. 4208 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Pueblo Street, from Velasco Avenue, southerly to the county line, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Pueblo Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County Line, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.



**Accepting Roadway of Velasco Avenue Between Castillo and Calgary Streets, Including the Intersections of Velasco Avenue With Castillo, Pueblo, Calgary and Sawyer Streets, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4461, Ordinance No. 4209 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Velasco Avenue between Castillo and Calgary Streets, including the intersections of Velasco Avenue with Castillo, Pueblo, Calgary and Sawyer Streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Velasco Avenue between Castillo and Calgary Streets, including the intersections of Velasco Avenue with Castillo, Pueblo, Calgary and Sawyer Streets, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Accepting Roadway of Fredson Court From Huron Avenue to Its Southeasterly Termination, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4462, Ordinance No. 4210 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Fredson Court from Huron Avenue to its southeasterly termination, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Fredson Court from Huron Avenue to its southeasterly termination, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Accepting Roadway of Castillo Street, From Velasco Avenue Southerly to the County Line, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4463, Ordinance No. 4211 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Castillo Street, from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County line, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Castillo Street from Velasco Avenue southerly to the County line, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Changing and Establishing Grades on Lakeview Avenue Between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue.**

Bill No. 4464, Ordinance No. 4212 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Changing and establishing grades on Lakeview Avenue between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 14th day of October, 1946, by Resolution No. 5917 (Series of 1939), declare its intention to change and establish the grades on Lakeview Avenue between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for two days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said Resolution of Intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and,

Whereas, more than thirty days have elapsed since the first publication of said Resolution of Intention; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and re-established as follows:

*Lakeview Avenue*

Jules Avenue westerly line produced .....	456.00
(The same being the present official grade)	
75 feet westerly from Jules Avenue .....	467.00
Ashton Avenue easterly line produced .....	499.00
(The same being the present official grade)	

On Lakeview Avenue, between Jules Avenue and Ashton Avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Accepting Roadway of Valerton Court From Cayuga Avenue to Its Easterly Termination, Including the Curbs.**

Bill No. 4470, Ordinance No. 4213 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Valerton Court from Cayuga Avenue to its easterly termination, including curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Valerton Court from Cayuga Avenue to its easterly termination, including the curbs.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**NEW BUSINESS.**

*Adopted.*

The following, from Finance Committee, were taken up:

**Cancelling Erroneous and Duplicate Assessments in Accordance With Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.**

Proposal No. 6241, Resolution No. 6105 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor and with the consent of the City Attorney, pursuant to the provisions of Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, the 1946-47 assessments listed on the schedules entitled "(a) Erroneous Assessments, Property Removed or Firm out of Business Prior to Assessment Date; (b) Duplicate Assessments," which schedules are dated November 12, 1946, and have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby cancelled.

Dated: November 12, 1946.

Approved and cancellation consented to by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Assessor.

Approved by the Chief Assistant Assessor.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Land Purchase—Farmers' Market.**

Proposal No. 6333, Resolution No. 6109 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from David E. Davis to Lots 1 and 30 in Assessor's Block 5731, San Francisco, required for the

proposed Farmers' Market, and that the sum of \$525 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 658.600.50.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Land Purchase—Farmers' Market.

Proposal No. 6634, Resolution No. 6110 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Fernando Nelson & Sons to Lots 2 and 29 in Assessor's Block 5731, San Francisco, required for the proposed Farmers' Market, and that the sum of \$525 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 658.600.50.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Property.

Recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Land Purchase—San Francisco Airport.

Proposal No. 6335, Resolution No. 6111 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property and the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from Esther Urquhart Kanters, formerly Esther L. Urquhart, or the legal owner, to Lots 45 and 46 in Block 3 as designated on the map entitled "North Millbrae Subdivision No. 1, San Mateo County, California," San Mateo County, California, required for the San Francisco Airport, and that the sum of \$500 be paid for such property from Appropriation No. 96.900.58.

The above amount of \$500 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 5441 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described parcel of land, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purpose herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 5441, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Recommended by the Manager of Public Utilities.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Cancellation of Taxes on City Property

Proposal No. 6336, Resolution No. 6112 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel both installments of the 1946-47 taxes on Lot 27 in Assessor's Block 39 and the second installment of the 1946-1947 taxes on Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 34, San Francisco.

Said land is now owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and was acquired for the proposed North Point Sewage Treatment Plant.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Form approved and consent by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Cancellation of Taxes—Property Acquired by the United States of America.

Proposal No. 6338, Resolution No. 6113 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the consent of the City Attorney and pursuant to Section 4986 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California, that the Controller, in his capacity as County Auditor, be and he is hereby authorized and directed to cancel all taxes for 1945-1946 which said 1945-1946 taxes became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1945, and for 1946-1947, which said 1946-1947 taxes became a lien on the first Monday in March, 1946, on the following described property:

Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, Block 4649A.

Lot 12, Block 4668.

Said property was acquired by the United States of America subsequent to the first Monday in March, 1945.

Approved as to form and cancellation recommended by the City Attorney.

Description verified by the Controller.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Confirming Sale of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4002 to Kenneth A. Sullivan et ux.

Proposal No. 6339, Resolution No. 6114 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 3976, Bill 4217 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the official newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on December 19, 1946,

to sell at public auction the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Texas Street, distant thereon 185 feet southerly from the southerly line of Mariposa Street; running thence southerly along said line of Texas Street 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 269.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Kenneth A. Sullivan and Mary Ann Sullivan, his wife, as the only bidders, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$1,750; and

Whereas, said sum of \$1,750 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property as made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said parties have paid the City a deposit of \$175 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Fire Commissioners have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said real property to Kenneth A. Sullivan and Mary Ann Sullivan, his wife, or their assignee.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantees upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within 60 days after approval of this resolution.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Confirming Sale of Portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532 to Harry Krieger.**

Proposal No. 6340, Resolution No. 6115 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, pursuant to Ordinance No. 4135, Bill 4385 (Series of 1939), the Director of Property advertised in the Official Newspaper that bids or offers would be received by him on December 19, 1946, to sell, at public auction, the following described City-owned real property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

A portion of Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 1532, particularly described as, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston Avenue distant thereon 274 feet 9 $\frac{5}{8}$  inches southerly from the southerly line of Geary Boulevard; running thence southerly along said line of Funston Avenue 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 2 $\frac{3}{8}$  inches, thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twelfth Avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along last named line 99 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 160 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 1 foot 2 $\frac{3}{8}$  inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Funston Avenue and the point of commencement.

Being part of outside land Block No. 272.

Whereas, in response to said advertisement, Harry Krieger, as the highest bidder, offered to purchase said land for the sum of \$37,750 cash; and

Whereas, said sum of \$37,750 is more than 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of said property made by the Director of Property; and

Whereas, said party has paid the City a deposit of \$4,000 in connection with this transaction; and

Whereas, the Director of Property and the Board of Education have recommended the sale of said land; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said offer be and is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said land to Harry Krieger or his assignee.

The Director of Property shall deliver said deed to the grantee upon receipt of the balance of the purchase price which shall be paid within 60 days after approval of this Resolution.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### Land Purchase—Islais Creek Sewage Plant.

Proposal No. 6344, Resolution No. 6117 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the written offer on file in the office of the Director of Property, and the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, accept a deed from the following party, or the legal owner, to certain property situated in San Francisco, California, required for a sludge treatment plant near Islais Creek, and that the sum set forth below be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 81.028.58.2:

Mary Abbeyleix Peira .....	\$17,081.86
Lots 8 to 12 inclusive in Assessor's Block 5304.	
Lots 18 to 22 inclusive in Assessor's Block 5304.	

The sum of \$17,081.86 required for the purpose of this resolution was previously certified under Resolution No. 4744 (Series of 1939), for the acquisition of said property through eminent domain proceedings, and inasmuch as it now appears that such proceedings will not be necessary with respect to the above described property, the Controller is authorized to release this amount from his previous certification and make said amount available for the purposes herein set forth. In the event it should become necessary to proceed under Resolution No. 4744, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustment of funds.

The City Attorney shall examine and approve the title to said property.

Recommended by the Director of Public Works.

Recommended by the Assistant Director of Property.

Approved as to description by the City Engineer.

Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Approval of Supplemental Recommendations, Public Welfare Department.**

Proposal No. 6345, Resolution No. 6118 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the supplemental recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with letter dated December 23, 1946, from the Director of said Department, and containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, including new applications, aid denials, rescissions, decreases, increases, discontinuances, and other transactions, effective October 1, November 1 and December 1, 1946, and as noted, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Approval of Recommendations, Public Welfare Department, for Month of January, 1947.**

Proposal No. 6346, Resolution No. 6119 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the recommendations of the Public Welfare Department, transmitted to the Board of Supervisors with letter dated December 23, 1946, from the Director of said Department, and containing names and amounts to be paid as Old Age Security Aid, Aid to Needy Blind, and Aid to Needy Children, for the month of January, 1947, including increases and decreases, be and they are hereby approved; and, be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby directed to transmit the foregoing approvals to the Controller.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Extension of Granting of Emergency Relief to Non-Resident Indigents.**

Proposal No. 6347, Resolution No. 6120 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Public Welfare Department has transmitted to this Board of Supervisors a list, dated December 30, 1946, of persons who have been found to be dependent non-residents of the City and County of San Francisco and to whom emergency assistance has been granted in accordance with Ordinance No. 121 (Series of 1939); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to request of the Public Welfare Department, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize an extension of indigent aid for the months of January and February, 1947, to persons named in the aforesaid list, provided the Public Welfare



Department determines that they continue to be eligible for and in need of such assistance.

*Adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Consideration Postponed.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering, by Adding Position of B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290.

Bill No. 4453, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 46, Department of Public Works, Bureau of Engineering (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under item 20.2 from 1 to 2 B228 Senior Clerk at \$230-290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 46, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 46. **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**  
**BUREAU OF ENGINEERING** (Continued)  
 INTERDEPARTMENTAL  
 EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND  
 BOND ISSUE MONEYS

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
20.1	1	B222	General Clerk . . . . .	\$185-230
20.2	2	B228	Senior Clerk . . . . .	230-290
22	7	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer . . . . .	185-230
23	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	185-230
24	7	F100	Junior Draftsman . . . . .	210-260
25	23	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) . . . . .	260-320
25.1	4	F102b	Draftsman (Structural) . . . . .	260-320
25.2	5	F102e	Draftsman (Electrical) . . . . .	260-320
25.3	5	F102f	Draftsman (Mechanical) . . . . .	260-320
25.4	2	F102a	Draftsman (Architectural) . . . . .	260-320
26	25	F104a	Senior Draftsman (Civil, Public Works) . . . . .	320-375
26.1	4	F104d	Senior Draftsman (Electrical) . . . . .	320-375
26.2	4	F104e	Senior Draftsman (Mechanical) . . . . .	320-375
27.1	1	F108	Architect . . . . .	415-500
28	31	F401c	Junior Engineer (Civil, Public Works) . . . . .	255-320
29	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector . . . . .	300-375
30	27	F406b	Assistant Engineer (Civil, Public Works) . . . . .	360-430
30.1	5	F406d	Assistant Engineer (Electrical, Public Works) . . . . .	360-430
30.2	5	F406e	Assistant Engineer (Mechanical, Public Works) . . . . .	360-430
31	9	F410c	Engineer (Civil, Public Works) . . . . .	435-520
31.1	1	F410a	Engineer (Electrical) . . . . .	435-520
31.2	1	F410f	Engineer (Mechanical) . . . . .	435-520
32	8	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .	230-290
33	4	F610	Chief of Party . . . . .	300-375

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

President of the Board Dan Gallagher moved that consideration of Bill No. 4453 be continued one week.

*No objection and so ordered.*

Passed for Second Reading.

**Appropriating \$4,230 to Compensate Certain Employments in the Fire Department.**

Bill No. 4471, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,230 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve to provide funds for the compensation of 1 Jr. Operating Engineer at \$232 per month (5-day week), 1 Operating Engineer at \$290 per month (5-day week), 1 Jr. Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$232 per month, 1 Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$290 per month, in the Fire Department, which positions are created in order to place all operating engineers of the Fire Department on a 5-day week, effective January 16, 1947, instead of a 6-day week as at present.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,230 is hereby appropriated out of the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 610.110.03, Permanent Salaries, non-uniformed force, Fire Department, to provide funds for the compensation of the following positions in the Fire Department, which positions are hereby created:

- 1 Jr. Operating Engineer at \$232 per month (5-day week),
- 1 Operating Engineer at \$290 per month (5-day week),
- 1 Jr. Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$232 per month.
- 1 Operating Engineer, part time (2 days per week), at rate of \$290 per month.

Section 2. The creation of these additional positions will abolish the present six-day week at the Fire Department High Pressure Pumping Stations and place the five-day week in effect on January 16, 1947.

Recommended by the Chief Engineer, Fire Department.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Fire Commission.

Approved by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Amending Annual Salary Ordinance. A Companion Measure to the Foregoing.**

Bill No. 4483, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101. Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.2, Fire Department (Continued), by increasing the number of employments under Item 20 from 7 to 8 O166.1 Junior Operating Engineer at \$232; by adding new item 20.1 O166.1 Junior Operating

Engineer (Part Time) at rate of \$232; by increasing the number of employments under item 21 from 5 to 6 O168.1 Operating Engineer at \$290; and by adding new item 21.1 1 O168.1 Operating Engineer (Part Time) at rate of \$290.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 12.2, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 12.2. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
19	3	J4	Laborer .....	\$ 8.50 day
20	8	O166.1	Junior Operating Engineer .....	232
20.1	1	O166.1	Junior Operating Engineer (part time) .....	232
21	6	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
21.1	1	O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time)...	290
22	2	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
23	12	O304	Hydrantman Gateman .....	205-260
23.1	1	O308	Assistant Foreman Hydrantman Gateman .....	260-275
24	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman...	275-290
25	1	U112	Pipe Caulker .....	12.40 day

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

An Amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by Amending the Salary Schedule for Item 47.1 1 O130 Typewriter Repairman From \$250-300 to \$300.

Bill No. 4481, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, Board of Education—Non-Certificated Employees, by amending the salary schedule for Item 47.1 1 O130 Typewriter Repairman from \$250-300 to \$300.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 83.1, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 83.1. BOARD OF EDUCATION—  
NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	1	A6	Supervisor of Maintenance and Repair of School Buildings.....	\$435-520
2	6	A154	Carpenter .....	14.00 day
3	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter .....	15.00 day
4	3	A354	Painter .....	14.00 day
5	12	B4	Bookkeeper .....	210-260
6	7	B6	Senior Bookkeeper .....	260-315
6.1	1	B10	Accountant .....	315-375
7	2	B14	Senior Accountant .....	385-460
9	1	B180	Administrative Assistant .....	360-430

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
10	3	B210	Office Assistant .....	140-175
11	2	B222	General Clerk .....	185-230
12	1	B228	Senior Clerk .....	230-290
13	7	B308a	Calculating Machine Operator (key drive) .....	185-230
13.1	1	B309a	Key Punch Operator (Alphabetical) .....	160-200
14	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator...	185-230
16	1	B354	General Storekeeper .....	230-290
17	1	B380	Armorer, R.O.T.C. ....	185-230
19	145	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
20	64	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time) at rate of .....	185-230
21	*37	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer .....	185-230
22	5	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer .....	230-290
23	5	B454	Telephone Operator .....	185-230
25	17	B512	General Clerk-Typist .....	185-230
26	133	C102	Janitress .....	145-180
27	6	C102	Janitress (part time) at rate of...	145-180
29	224	C104	Janitor .....	155-195
29.1	1	C104	Janitor .....	(k) 186
30	7	C104	Janitor (part time) at rate of....	155-195
32	25	C107	Working Foreman Janitor .....	195-230
33	1	C110	Supervisor of Janitors .....	255-320
33.1	1	C111	Assistant Supervisor of Janitors ..	190-240
34	1	C152	Watchman (part time) at rate of..	150-190
35	4	I 12	Cook (part time) at rate of.....	175-210
37	3	I 2	Kitchen Helper (part time) at rate of .....	120-155
38	20	J78	Stockman .....	185-230
39	1	J78	Stockman .....	(k) 230
40	1	J80	Foreman Stockman .....	230-265
41	1	L360	Physician (part time) at rate of...	460
42	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	240
43	1	O1	Chauffeur .....	9.78 day
44	13	O58	Gardener .....	150-200
45	1	O61	Supervisor of Grounds .....	275-345
46	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator .....	230-290
47	2	O122	Window Shade Worker .....	12.12 day
47.1	1	O130	<b>Typewriter-Repairman</b> .....	300
48	19	O168.1	Operating Engineer .....	290
49		O168.1	Operating Engineer (part time) at rate of .....	290
50	1	O172	Chief Operating Engineer .....	360
50.1	1	Y51	Ceramist (part time) at the rate of \$25 per firing.	
51			Referees and Umpires, \$1 to \$3 per game (as needed).	
52			Laboratory Attendant (as needed)	.75 hr.
54			Part time employment as needed at pro rata of rates fixed in Salary Standardization Ordinance.	

#### TRUCK RENTAL—CONTRACTUAL

55 Trucks (as needed) at rates established by Purchaser's contract.

\*To serve during school year only.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance, Public Utilities Commission, Water Department, by Deleting Position of Assistant Engineer at \$300 and Adding Position of Assistant Engineer (Architectural) at \$360-430.

Bill No. 4482, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

An amendment to Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76a, Public Utilities Commission—San Francisco Water Department (Continued), by decreasing the number of employments under Item 7.1 from 8 to 7 \*Assistant Engineer at \$300; and by adding new Item 7.01 1 F406a Assistant Engineer (Architectural) at \$360-430.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1, Bill 4101, Ordinance 3882 (Series of 1939), Section 76a, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 76a. **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—  
SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**  
(Continued)

**INTERDEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON  
REVENUE AND/OR BOND ISSUE MONEYS.**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class-Title	Compensation Schedules
1	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist . . . . .	\$185-230
2	2	B327	Photostat Operator . . . . .	185-230
3	3	F100	Junior Draftsman . . . . .	210-260
4	6	F102c	Draftsman (Civil) . . . . .	260-320
5	4	F104b	Senior Draftsman (Civil) . . . . .	320-375
5.1	2		*Senior Draftsman . . . . .	280
6.1	8	F401b	Junior Engineer (Civil) . . . . .	255-320
7	4	F406c	Assistant Engineer (Civil) . . . . .	360-430
7.01	1	F406a	Assistant Engineer (Architectural) . . . . .	360-430
7.1	7		*Assistant Engineer . . . . .	300
8	1	F410d	Engineer (Civil) . . . . .	435-520
8.1	1		*Engineer . . . . .	375
9	3	F412b	Senior Engineer (Civil) . . . . .	530-635
10	12	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant . . . . .	230-290
11	8		*Field Assistant . . . . .	250
12	8		*Assistant Chief Surveyor . . . . .	275
13	8		*Chief Surveyor . . . . .	325
14	8		*Surveyor . . . . .	275
15	8		*Chief Inspector . . . . .	325

\*Subject to classification by Civil Service Commission when positions are to be filled.

Approved as to classification by the Civil Service Commission.  
Approved by the Personnel Director and Secretary.  
Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Passed for Second Reading by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Authorizing Compromise of Claim of the City and County of San Francisco Against Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).**

Bill No. 4484, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Authorizing compromise of claim of the City and County of San Francisco against Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Retirement Board having recommended and the City Attorney having approved the settlement and compromise of the claim in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and against Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen being recovery of loss sustained by the City and County of San Francisco on account of personal injuries suffered by Patrick Hussey on December 6, 1945, said personal injuries having arisen out of and in the course of his employment as a street cleaner for the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco when the automobile of Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen collided with an automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco in which the said Patrick Hussey was riding at the intersection of Green and Laguna Streets in the City and County of San Francisco, the loss to said City and County of San Francisco to date being \$1,083.38 including compensation paid while said Patrick Hussey was absent from his employment and the cost of medical services provided; and the said Mervyn Cowen and Helene Cowen having offered to pay in full settlement of the city's claim the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500), the Retirement Board and the City Attorney are hereby ordered and authorized to settle and compromise said claim of the City and County of San Francisco for said sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500).

Recommended by the Retirement Board, San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System.

Approved as to form and settlement approved by the City Attorney.

*Passed for Second Reading* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Consideration Postponed.**

**Appropriating \$500 for Payment of Overtime to Monthly Employees of the Public Welfare Department.**

Bill No. 4485, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$500 out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Public Welfare Department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, Appropriation No. 660.199.00, to the credit of Appropriation No. 656.111.00, to provide funds for the payment of overtime to monthly employees of the Public Welfare Department.

Recommended by the Director of Public Welfare.

Approved by the Public Welfare Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.  
 Approved as to funds available by the Controller.  
 Approved by the Mayor.

Upon motion duly made and seconded, and there being no objection, *consideration of Bill No. 4485 was postponed to January 6, 1947.*

The following, from Finance Committee, with recommendation "Do Not Pass," was taken up:

**Authorizing Lease of Space in Building at 1625 Market Street for Recreation Department.**

Proposal No. 6246, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Recreation Department, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessee, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute a lease with The Steam Fitters Union, Local 590, as Lessors, of the ground floor space known as No. 1625 Market Street, San Francisco.

This lease to be for a period of one year beginning January 1, 1947, and ending December 31, 1947, at a rental of \$250 per month, subject to certification as to funds by the Controller pursuant to Section 86 of the Charter.

The City shall have the right to renew said lease from year to year for a total additional period of four years, at a rental of \$250 per month.

Said premises are required by the Recreation Department.

The form of lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Recreation Department.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

*December 2, 1946—Consideration continued to December 16, 1946.*

*December 16, 1946—Consideration continued to December 30, 1946.*

#### Discussion.

The Clerk read a communication from George Reilly, member of the State Board of Equalization, answering inquiry relative to continuance of on-sale liquor license at 1625 Market Street, the proposed site for the Recreation Department's activities.

#### Motion to Continue Consideration.

Supervisor Mead moved, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, that consideration of Proposal No. 6246, be continued to January 6, 1947.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### Request of Supervisor Mancuso.

Upon request of Supervisor Mancuso, the President directed the Clerk to communicate with the State Board of Equalization inquiring "whether or not under the rules of the State Board of Equalization they granted permits for the operation of a bar where premises are occupied by children in the same building and whether or not if the premises were just used by the Recreation Commission and a request were made for a permit for a bar, whether the request would be granted."

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED BY A COMMITTEE.

Appropriating \$8,500,000 from 1945 San Francisco Airport Fund to Provide Funds for Expenditure and Certification of Contracts for Additions and Betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

The Clerk presented:

Bill No. 4487, Ordinance No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$8,500,000 from the unappropriated balance of the 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund for the purpose of providing funds for expenditures and certification of contracts in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$8,500,000 is hereby appropriated from the Unappropriated Balance of the 1945 San Francisco Airport Bond Fund, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.000.00, to provide funds for expenditures and certification of contracts in connection with additions and betterments of the San Francisco Airport.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

Approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Approved as to accrued funds available by the Controller.

Approved by the Mayor.

*Referred to Finance Committee.*

The following recommendations of Finance Committee were taken up:

Appropriating \$5,400 from Emergency Reserve Fund, Various Services in County Clerk's Office.

Bill No. 4489, Ordinance No. 4214 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,400 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund to provide funds to meet requirements in the County Clerk's Office for the payment of temporary salaries, overtime to monthly employees, and the purchase of stationery, etc., for the balance of the fiscal year; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,400 is hereby appropriated out of the Emergency Reserve Fund, to the credit of the following appropriations of the County Clerk's office to meet requirements for the balance of the fiscal year:

Appropriation

Number

631.120.00 Personal Services—Temporary Salaries . . . \$3,450

631.111.00 Personal Services—Overtime payments to  
monthly employees . . . . . 400

633.371.31 Stationery, office supplies, etc. . . . . 1,550

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Adequate provision for the following requirements for the balance of the fiscal year is necessary to the uninterrupted operation of the County Clerk's Office: the employment of two addi-



tional courtroom clerks due to the assignment of two judges from outside counties to the Superior Court; the payment of overtime to courtroom clerks doing jury duty after 5 p.m. and rendering services in the Marriage License Bureau after 5 p.m.; photostat paper and legal forms due to an unanticipated increase in these items after the 1946-1947 budget of the County Clerk's office was adopted. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

- Recommended by the Director, Department of Finance and Records.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating \$4,500 from Emergency Reserve and \$700 from General Fund Compensation Reserve to Meet Requirements in Office of Registrar of Voters; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4490, Ordinance No. 4215 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$4,500 from the Emergency Reserve Fund, and the sum of \$700 from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, to provide funds for the purpose of meeting requirements in the office of the Registrar of Voters for Contractual Services, Overtime, and Materials and Supplies; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,500 is hereby appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund, and the sum of \$700 is hereby appropriated from the surplus existing in the General Fund Compensation Reserve, to the credit of the following appropriations in the Office of the Registrar of Voters for the purposes recited:

Approp. No.

629.111.00 Overtime . . . . . \$ 700  
 (Appropriation insufficient due to large registration of voters, higher salary rates)

629.200.00 Contractual Services . . . . . 4,000  
 (Unanticipated high costs during fiscal year for delivery services, printing of pamphlets, forms, envelopes, sample ballots; additional requirements postal cards, affidavits, etc.)

629.300.00 Materials and Supplies . . . . . 500  
 (Additional requirements for voting machine parts. It is necessary to have voting machines in proper order for Spring election on bonds or charter amendments.)

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates these funds being provided from the Emergency Reserve Fund and this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: Due to increased costs over the amounts provided by the 1946-1947 Budget and Appropriation Ordinances the funds appropriated for the above purposes are insufficient for the Registrar of Voters to comply with the mandates of State law requiring him to notify voters of the cancellations of their registrations, to register voters, and to make preparations, including the repair and maintenance of voting machines.

nance of voting machines, for the next election required by law. There are no other funds available to meet these requirements.

- Recommended by the Director, Department of Finance and Records.
- Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Appropriating the Sum of \$5,500 Out of the Surplus Existing in the Accrued Revenues of the Park Fund to Provide Funds for Overtime Allowances and for Holiday Pay for Employees of the Park Department Required to Work on Holidays; an Emergency Ordinance.**

Bill No. 4491, Ordinance No. 4216 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,500 out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Park Fund to provide funds for overtime allowances and for holiday pay for employees of the Park Department required to work on holidays; an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,500 is hereby appropriated out of the surplus existing in the accrued revenues of the Park Fund to the credit of the following appropriations of the Park Department:

Approp. No

612.111.01	Overtime, General Division, Park Department . . . . .	\$2,000
612.111.02	Overtime, Zoo Division, Park Department . . . . .	1,000
612.111.03	Overtime, Commissary Division, Park Department . . . . .	2,500

to provide funds required for overtime allowances and for holiday pay for employees of the Park Department required to work on holidays.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being: The budget request of the Park Department for overtime and holiday pay was reduced by one-half for the fiscal year 1946-1947. The amount now available in the appropriation is inadequate to meet requirements for overtime allowances and holiday pay for employees required to work on holidays in order to maintain operations in the General, Zoo and Commissary Divisions of the Park Department on a 7-day per week schedule for the balance of the fiscal year. There are no other funds available for the purpose.

- Recommended by the Superintendent, Park Department.
- Approved by the Park Commission.
- Approved as to form by the City Attorney.
- Approved as to funds available by the Controller.
- Approved by the Mayor.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

## Adopted.

The following recommendations of the Public Utilities Committee were taken up:

**Approving Rates for San Francisco Airport.**

Proposal No. 6321, Resolution No. 6106 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approves Resolution No. 7829 of the Public Utilities Commission, adopted November 25, 1946, fixing Airport rates and reading as follows:

“Resolved, That pursuant to notice of intention to adopt schedule of rates and fares to be charged for use of the facilities of the San Francisco Airport, heretofore published as is required by the charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and after public hearing being held in accordance with the aforesaid notice, the schedule entitled ‘San Francisco Airport Rates and Charges,’ on file in the office of the Public Utilities Commission, Room 287, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same is hereby adopted:

“Be it further Resolved, That the said Schedule of San Francisco Airport Rates and Charges be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval as required by Section 130 of the Charter; the effective date for such rates and charges to be designated by the Public Utilities Commission following consideration by the Board of Supervisors.”

Be it further Resolved, That the rates specified in that certain document entitled “Rates and Charges—San Francisco Airport” Part I to Part XVI inclusive, copies of which are on file with the Clerk of this board and in the office of the Public Utilities Commission be, and the same are hereby approved.

Approved as to form by the City Attorney.

Recommended by the Manager of Utilities.

*Finally Passed* by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Commending City Attorney and Dion R. Holm, Counsel for Public Utilities for His Successful Endeavor in Securing \$688,000 Previously Impounded by State R. R. Commission.**

Proposal No. 6325, Resolution No. 6107 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, on March 26, 1946, the Board of Supervisors by Resolution 4605 (Series of 1939) authorized the City Attorney to file suit to collect the full amount of the residue of the moneys of the Market Street Railway that had been impounded by the California State Railroad Commission; and

Whereas, through the efforts of Dion R. Holm, Counsel for Public Utilities Commission, the City and County of San Francisco was successful in their suit in the lower courts; and

Whereas, the Supreme Court of the United States has confirmed the decision of the lower courts and awarded San Francisco \$688,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, does hereby take this opportunity to publicly commend the City Attorney, and particularly Dion R. Holm, Counsel for Public Utilities, for his successful endeavor to secure for the City

and County of San Francisco the sum of \$688,000 that had been impounded by the California State Railroad Commission.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—10.

**No:** Supervisor Mancuso—1.

**Endorsing Application of Pony Express Stages for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Bus Transportation Service Between Los Angeles and San Francisco and Recommending That Certificate Be Granted by the Interstate Commerce Commission.**

Proposal No. 6328, Resolution No. 6108 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is keenly interested in the improvement of transportation facilities between the industrial and business centers of San Francisco and Los Angeles; and

Whereas, there is now pending before the Interstate Commerce Commission, Application No. MC-50006 Sub. 11 of Pony Express Stages for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate a motor bus transportation service on U. S. Coast Highway No. 101 between San Francisco and Los Angeles in competition with an existing rail and bus line; and

Whereas, it is deemed to be in the interests of the City and County of San Francisco to foster and support fair and reasonable competition between transportation companies wherever they may operate; and

Whereas, it has been represented to the Board of Supervisors that applicant Pony Express Stages offers a moderately reduced fare for the transportation of passengers between Los Angeles and San Francisco under the existing fare, and in addition proposes to establish night coach sleeper bus service three times nightly between these two large business centers via the Coast Route U. S. Highway 101; and

Whereas, it has been further represented that the service of Pony Express Stages will augment and supplement the existing services on the Coast Route and thus promote and stimulate fair and reasonable competition both in services and in passenger fares; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby endorses the application of Pony Express Stages, No. MC-50006 Sub. 11, and recommends that a certificate of public convenience and necessity be granted said applicant by the Interstate Commerce Commission, to operate a bus line between Los Angeles and San Francisco on U. S. Highway No. 101.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

**Ayes:** Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

The following recommendation of the Public Buildings Committee was taken up:

**Requesting His Honor the Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Cooperate with City Planning Commission in Preparation of Land Use Plan for Area Within The Presidio.**

Proposal No. 6343, Resolution No. 6116 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has, on Dec. 23, 1946, adopted

a resolution requesting the Federal Agency to make available The Presidio in San Francisco for residential and recreational purposes; and

Whereas, in order that this area may be utilized to the fullest extent of its possibilities a definite program should be formulated for its development; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor, Mayor Lapham, is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a citizens' committee to cooperate with the City Planning Commission and to use all means at its disposal to further a program and to prepare a land use plan for the properties within the confines of The Presidio.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

#### **Intra-Fund Transfer—Board of Supervisors**

Supervisor Mancuso moved that, in accordance with the provisions of the annual appropriation ordinance and the charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the request to the Controller for the intra-fund transfer of \$1,500 from Appropriation No. 601.500.00 (Improvements—Board of Supervisors) to Appropriation No. 633.400.01 (Equipment—Board of Supervisors) to provide funds for the purchase of necessary equipment for use in the office of the Board.

Seconded by Supervisor John J. Sullivan.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### **Setting of Special Order for Monday, January 13, 1947, at 2:30 p. m.**

Supervisor Lewis requested that a discussion of the possibility of selling that land in the vicinity of Crystal Springs heretofore proposed and offered as a permanent site for the facilities of the United Nations, be made a Special Order of business at 2:30 p. m., on Monday, January 13, 1947, and that the Clerk communicate with the Public Utilities Commission and request them to have a representative present at that time.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### **Authorizing President of the Board to Go to Sacramento to Submit Charter Amendments to Legislature for Ratification.**

Supervisor Colman moved, seconded by Supervisor Lewis, that the Hon. Dan Gallagher, President of the Board of Supervisors, be authorized to go to Sacramento to submit charter amendments to the Legislature for ratification.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### **Expressing Appreciation to Congressman Richard J. Welch for His Wire Commending the Board of Supervisors on Their Interest in a Second Bay Bridge Crossing.**

Supervisor Lewis presented the following telegram from Congressman Richard J. Welch:

"Recalling the splendid cooperation of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the active part taken by the committee of which you are chairman I invite your attention to the article appearing in the San Francisco News of December twentieth with reference to a second bay crossing emanating from the State Department of Public Works which sets forth the type and location of such a crossing it desires stop This coming from Engineer Purcell's organization clearly indi-

cates a state of mind diametrically in opposition to the best interests the crossing will serve as declared by every interest representing San Francisco in testimony before the joint Army Board investigating this matter stop This and other uncalled for attempts to influence the government engineers should not be permitted to go unchallenged."

Supervisor Lewis moved that the Board send a telegram to Congressman Welch, thanking him for his alertness in keeping in touch with the situation in connection with the proposed second Bay crossing and for keeping the Board informed thereof, and also reiterating San Francisco's position in favor of a crossing between the present bridge and Hunters Point which will bring transcontinental trains into San Francisco; further, that copies of Congressman Welch's wire to Supervisor Lewis, and of the Board's reply, be forwarded to State Engineer, Charles Purcell for his information. Motion seconded by Supervisor Mancuso.

*No objection and so ordered.*

**Urging Federal Government That OPA Rent Restrictions Be Eliminated in Multiple Family Units.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6354, Resolution No. . . . (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, housing for our citizens is still one of the most important problems facing our country today; and

Whereas, many veterans and other citizens would prefer to rent rather than buy a home at this time; and

Whereas, private capital should be encouraged to build additional rental units thereby providing additional housing and creating a possible chain of rental units; and

Whereas, construction of multiple family dwelling units are not now being undertaken by private capital due to OPA rental restrictions on new rental units; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors cognizant of the need for providing additional multiple family units does hereby respectfully urge and recommend to the President of the United States, the Senate and Congress and to the Federal agencies involved, that OPA rent restrictions be eliminated only on new multiple family units being built for tenant occupancy.

*Referred to Judiciary Committee.*

**Endorsing Campaign of Mount Zion Hospital Appeal Committee, and Urging Citizenry to Give Generous Support Thereto.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6355, Resolution No. 6121 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, the Mount Zion Hospital Appeal Committee is currently conducting a fund-raising drive for a projected Mount Zion Medical Center, and has announced that its campaign to obtain \$3,250,000 in contributions will be extended into January, 1947; and

Whereas, it is contemplated that among the many new and progressive facilities to be offered by the proposed Center there will be the Bay Region's first private unit for hospitalization of psychiatric patients which will be available to all San Francisco doctors, and the work of the free psychiatric clinic, now used by the Juvenile Court and certain State departments, will be expanded; and

Whereas, the need for this new San Francisco medical institution is great, and the necessity for supporting the current fund drive is one which commends itself to all public-spirited citizens of the community; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, recognizing the importance and urgency of the appeal being made by the Mount Zion Hospital Appeal Committee for contributions, does hereby wholeheartedly and unreservedly endorse the campaign being prosecuted in furtherance thereof, and does hereby earnestly urge and solicit all citizens of San Francisco to give their generous financial support thereto.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

**Memorializing Federal, State and City Agencies to Take Steps Looking to Completion of Veterans' Housing Projects in San Francisco.**

Supervisor MacPhee presented:

Proposal No. 6356, Resolution No. 6122 (Series of 1939), as follows:

Whereas, two hundred six veterans' emergency housing dwellings in the Crocker Amazon and Hunters Point projects have been purportedly completed and are now awaiting acceptance by the San Francisco Housing Authority; and

Whereas, the Federal Public Housing Authority has notified all parties to the contracts that though these units do not meet the minimum requirements of the existing contracts they are completed within the ability of the Federal government to furnish funds; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Housing Authority claims that to accept these dwellings in their present condition would increase maintenance costs, resulting in operating losses which can be obtained only by additional appropriations from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Chief Administrative Officer to survey these purportedly completed dwellings and report on their condition as soon as possible to the end that this Board of Supervisors and the Mayor and the State of California be advised as to current livability, possible life of interior walls as they now exist, possible danger to structures because of lack of drainage and absence of skirting on a three-year occupancy potential; and be it further

Resolved, That the San Francisco Housing Authority is hereby requested to seek a revision of the operating budget for these structures which is a part of the original contract by reference, to the end that a sufficient amount be added to said operating budget as to make up anticipated deficit due to increased maintenance; and be it further

Resolved, That this Board memorialize the President of the United States and Congress to seek inclusion in any deficiency appropriation that may be forthcoming in the next session of Congress sufficient sums to complete these units and the remainder of our 1,202 housing unit program in conformity with standards in existence at the time of the original agreement on a retroactive basis; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the President; to Senators Downey and Knowland and to Congressmen Havenner and Welch; and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be also forwarded to the Governor of the State of California, the State Director of Reconstruction and Reemployment Commission and the Director of Finance of the State of California with the urgent request that cognizance be taken and proper action be instituted to the end that the purposes of this resolution will be effected.

*Adopted by the following vote:*

Ayes: Supervisors Christopher, Colman, Gallagher, Lewis, MacPhee, Mancuso, McMurray, Mead, Meyer, J. Joseph Sullivan, John J. Sullivan—11.

Requesting Information from the Public Utilities Commission on the  
"Newton" Plan.

Supervisor Mancuso moved, seconded by Supervisor Christopher, that the Clerk direct a letter to the Public Utilities Commission inquiring whether or not they have requested, or intended to request, Mr. Newton to submit a supplement to his report on transportation problems, the so-called "Newton Plan," which supplement would be intended to cover modifications made necessary by changed conditions in the community.

*No objection and so ordered.*

#### MEETING.

Streets Committee, Wednesday, January 8, 1947, 4:00 p. m.

#### ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 5:00 p. m., adjourned.

JOHN R. McGRATH, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 27, 1947.

I, John R. McGrath, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date hereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN R. McGRATH,  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

















