

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

WELSH TRADITIONS IN LAYAMON'S "BRUT."

Most careful students of the metrical chronicle written by the English priest Layamon, son of Leovenath, agree that it embodies here and there bits of Welsh tradition which its author, who dwelt near the border of Wales, either heard directly from his Welshspeaking neighbors or got at second-hand from his English parishioners, among whom legends of Welsh origin were doubtless Sir Frederic Madden, the learned editor of Layamon's Brut, says: "That Layamon was indebted to Welsh traditions, not recorded in Geoffrey of Monmouth, or in Wace, is scarcely to be questioned." Ten Brink, in his History of English Literature,2 remarks: "Some of Layamon's interpolations can have been derived from traditions clinging to places not far distant from the poet's home," and Wülker, in another History of English Literature, declares: "[Layamon] wohnte dicht an der Grenze von Wales und scheint von dort manche Sage gehört zu haben, die er in seiner Dichtung verwertete."

Though professed students of Layamon have agreed that the additions he has made to his French original sometimes contain Welsh traditions, advocates of the theory that the legends of Arthur were developed in Brittany have neglected the English chronicle and have failed to observe its important bearing on the question in dispute. To call attention to the neglected importance of Layamon for the vexed question of the development of the Arthurian legend is the object of the following pages.

Layamon, writing about the year 1205, in the main translated the Norman-French chronicle of Wace, which was written in 1155, but he expanded its 15,300 lines to 32,250. Part of this great expansion is due to Layamon's love for detailed description

¹ Layamon's Brut, London, 1847, Vol. I, p. xvi. MADDEN's text is used throughout this article.

² KENNEDY'S translation (1889), Vol. I, p. 190.

³ Gesch. der englischen Litt., Leipzig, 1896, p. 81.

So MADDEN, Brut, Vol. I, pp. xix and xiii.

and comes from his own fancy. I quote, for example, Merlin's splendid prophecy of Arthur's greatness:

So long as is eternity he shall never die; while the world standeth his glory shall last; all shall bow to him that dwell in Britain; of him shall gleemen sing; of his breast noble poets shall eat; of his blood shall warriors be drunk; from his eyes shall fly fiery embers; each finger of his hand shall be a sharp steel brand; stone walls shall before him tumble; barons give way and their standards fall;

the account of how the youthful Arthur received the news of his succession to the kingship:²

He sat very still; for a while he was wan and exceeding pale of hue; for a while he was red and was moved at heart;

the ghastly details of the slaughter made by Childric:3

All the good wives they sticked with knives; all the maidens they killed with murder; and all the learned men they laid on burning coals; all the domestics they killed with clubs. They felled the castles; the land they ravaged; the churches they burned down; grief was among the folk; the sucking infants they drowned in the water;

the fantastic description of Loch Lomond:

Nikers dwell there; there is a play of elves in the hideous pool.

Especially noteworthy are Layamon's accounts of hunting and of the sea. His description of a fox hunt⁵ is too long to quote, but observe three splendid lines about the voyage of Cadwalon:⁶

Both were calm; the sea and the sun; The wind and the wide ocean; both together. The flood bare the ships; minstrels there sang.

All this is developed from the bald statement in Wace that Cadwalon put his ships to sea.

So clever is Layamon in transforming a brief hint, dropped by Wace, into a vivid picture, that a feeling might arise in one's mind that perhaps Layamon invented all his additions to Wace, the more important as well as the mere expansions of his original. To dispel this doubt, one has but to see how closely most of the noteworthy additions made by Layamon are connected with Wales and with Welsh tradition.

 1 Vss. 18848-69.
 3 Vss. 20961-74.
 5 Vss. 20840-70.

 2 Vss. 19887-91.
 4 Vss. 21746-8.
 6 Vss. 30610-15.

Layamon adds to Wace's account of Queen Judon the remark that she was put to death by drowning. Madden has pointed out that this is in agreement with Welsh tradition.¹ Welsh legend has it that Queen Judon was sewed up in a sack and drowned in the Thames. Layamon puts into the mouth of Merlin the explicit prophecy, "Arthur shall come again to the help of the Britons." This is not in Wace, but as Madden has again noted,² is in accord with Welsh tradition. The case is similar with Layamon's change of the name of Arthur's last battlefield from Camblan to Camelford.³ Layamon's circumstantial account of the arms and dress of Irish warriors, Madden has shown,⁴ agrees exactly with descriptions given by Giraldus Cambrensis and by Froissart. Evidently Layamon's statements regarding Celtic matters are not spun out of his own fancy.

In an article entitled, "The Round Table before Wace," In have called attention to the way in which Layamon sometimes changes Wace's proper names to make them accord with Welsh forms: Genievre becomes Wenhauer (Welsh Gwenhwyfar); Hoel becomes Howel (Welsh Howel); Holdin becomes Howeldin, as if Layamon were attempting a Welsh etymology for it; Guenelande in the "Round Table" passage becomes Winet-londe, showing apparently that Layamon understood it as the name of Gwynedd or North Wales; Hiresgas, Layamon changes to the sufficiently Celtic looking Riwaddlan. Wace's Cadval, Layamon changes to Cadwadlan. To this list let me add two names, Gille Callæt, a Pict, and Gille Caor, a king in Ireland, which are new in Layamon. They mean respectively "prudent gillie" and "mighty gillie," and seem to have come straight out of Celtic folk-tales.

Layamon always presents the Welsh in a favorable light. A good example occurs at the end of his history. Wace's statement that the Welsh are all changed and degenerated from the

¹ Vs. 4033, and the note, Vol. III, p. 321.

² Vss. 28650, 28651, and the note, Vol. III, p. 412.

³ Vol. III, p. 408. ⁴ Vol. III, p. 366.

⁵ Studies and Notes in Philology and Literature, Vol. VII (1900), p. 189.

⁶ Vs. 22788. 7 Vs. 13564. 8 Vs. 10061

⁹ Cf. Gilla Decair (slothful gillie), O'Grady, Silva Gadelica, Vol. I, pp. 257 ff.; Gille Glas (gray gillie), Campbell, Popular Tales of the West Highlands, Vol. I, pp. 102 ff., etc.

nobility, the honor, and the manners of their ancestors, he alters to: "The Britons moved to Welshland, and lived in their laws and their popular manners, and yet they dwell there as they shall do evermore."

With a presumption of Welsh influence on Layamon established by this mass of cumulative evidence I go on to several new points which, if they can be maintained, make very definite the connection between Layamon and Welsh tradition.

Layamon tells us that Carric, the last Welsh king to rule over any considerable part of what is now England, was derisively called by his Anglo-Saxon enemies *Kinric*. The passages in question are as follows:

Carric took this kingdom and with sorrow dwelt therein; a strong knight was Carric, but he was not prosperous because foreigners destroyed all his nation. This king was a noble British man; derision and contempt men threw on him; they renounced the name of Carric and called him Kinric, and yet in many books, men so write his name. People began to abase him, people hated him, and sang contemptuous songs of the odious king. Then began war over all this country; and Saxon men sailed to this land and took their station beyond the Humber and the king began to live in exile wide over this nation; hateful he was to all folk that looked on him.2.... The Saxon men sent messengers to Carrie the king and said that they would make peace with him; they would prefer to obey Carric rather than another.3.... And Carric believed all their falsehood and granted them peace. Then was Carric betrayed by their craft. Carric has ever since been called Kinric. All with contemptuous words the king they derided. Carric believed the Saxon men's words.4 The Saxon men assembled forces innumerable in the land, and marched toward Carric the king of this kingdom; and ever they sang with contempt of Kinric the king. Carric gathered his Britons⁵ [he was defeated]. As many of his wretched folk as could fled out of the country. Some went to Wales, some to Cornwall, some to Neustrie that now is called Normandy; some fled beyond sea to Brittany and dwelt afterwards in the land called Armorica.⁶

No one has hitherto commented on this incident, or attempted to explain what derisive force there is in the name *Kinric*. Carric, which in Welsh means a rock, is a sufficiently dignified name. I venture to suppose that *Kinric* is an English tran-

```
<sup>1</sup> Vss, 32226 ff. <sup>3</sup> Vss, 28992-7. <sup>5</sup> Vss, 29081-8. <sup>2</sup> Vss, 28858-83. <sup>4</sup> Vss, 29012-23, <sup>6</sup> Vss, 29143-52.
```

scription of *Cymraeg*, and is the name that the Anglo-Saxons applied to Carric when they refused to have him any longer for king over them. He is *Cymraeg*, they said, "a Welshman," and they called him "Welsh" in derision.²

Layamon has added to Wace's mention of Arthur's coat-of-mail the information that it was made "by an elvish smith who was named Wygar, the witty wright." Madden thought that this name Wygar was a corrupted form for Weland, the well known Germanic smith-god. It is likely that Weland has in this passage been substituted for Gofan (Irish Gobban), the Celtic smith-god who corresponds roughly to the classic Vulcan and the Germanic Weland. In Irish and Welsh, wonderful arms are regularly said to be the work of Gobban. He would therefore be the natural artificer of Arthur's magic accoutrements. English

¹ Cynric was a royal name among the Anglo-Saxons at the time of Arthur. Cf. Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, years 495 ff. May not the transcription of the spoken word Cymraeg have got confused with this well-known name?

²Compare what happens in our schools. A German lad gets the nickname of "Dutch," or a Norwegian that of "Norsk." The opprobrious name, it will be noticed, is given in the form peculiar to the language of the person ridiculed (cf. Cymraeg), not in its English form.

³ Vss. 21131-4. MADDEN's translation.

⁴ Vol. III, p. 376. Madden's view has not hitherto been assailed (cf. Binz, Beitr., Vol. XX, p. 187). I am indebted to Professor F. G. Hubbard for calling my attention to the extreme difficulty of maintaining with Madden that Wygar is merely a corrupted form for Weland. Professor Hubbard, adopting Madden's translation, suggests as a more probable origin for the form the name of Widia, or Wudga, Weland's son (cf. Grein-Wülcker, Bib. der angelsäch. Poesie, Vol. I, pp. 6, 12), probably confused with that of the father in popular story. Professor Kittredge, who has very kindly looked over the proof-sheets of these pages, suggests a translation of the passage entirely different from Madden's. Layamon says:

Text A. "he wes ihatē Wygar þe witeze wurhte." Text B. "he was i-hote Wigar pe wittye wrohte."

Professor Kittredge proposes to translate: "It [the coat-of-mail or burny] was named Wygar which Witeze wrought." Wygar would then be the Anglo-Saxon wigheard (battle hard), an appropriate name for the burny. Witeze would stand for the Anglo-Saxon Widia, the name of Weland's son. Wideze, the regular Middle-English equivalent for Widi(y) a, may have passed into the form Witeze through popular etymology, or scribal error, influenced by the well known word witeze (prophet) which occurs repeatedly in Layamon. (The form witty e of text B is found elsewhere in that text, meaning "prophet;" one is not obliged, however, to explain text B in harmony with text A, for the scribe of B may have misunderstood A.) Professor Kittredge by this translation avoids several difficulties. Witeze is probably not "witty," for, as he observes, words of the kind do not in Layamon end in -eze, but in simple -i. "Smith" is, of course, not the most natural meaning of the word wurhte.

⁵ Cf. Guest's Mabinogion, ed. Nutt (London, 1902), pp. 122 and 67; Windisch, Irische Texte, Vol. I, p. 319; Keating, History of Ireland (Irish Texts Society, Vol. IV), p. 219. With reference to the substitution of Weland for a Celtic smith Professor Kittredge compares the way in which Alfred translates "Ubi nunc fidelis ossa Fabricii manent" (Boeth. II, metr. 7), taking Fabricius as faber: "Hwær synt nū þæs foremæran and þæs wīsan goldsmiðes bān Wēlondes?" (ed. Sedgefield, chap. xix, ll. 15, 16). Cf. also the Vita Merlini ascribed to Geoffrey: "pocula quae sculpsit Guielandus in urbe Sigeni" (vs. 235).

narrators may easily have substituted Weland or Wudia for the unfamiliar Gofan of Welsh legend.

Indeed we find in Layamon what is perhaps distinct evidence that the arms of Arthur were at first said to be the work of Gofan. Some verses beyond the passage just quoted Layamon has another occasion to mention Arthur's spear and he declares that it "was made in Caermarthen by a smith called Griffin." It had once, he adds, belonged to King Uther.² All the belongings of Uther were in the beginning undoubtedly magical, like the Round Table which would seat sixteen hundred men and more, and yet Arthur could carry it with him wherever he rode, or like the sword Caliburn (Excalibur). This smith, added by Layamon to Wace's narrative, ought then to be possessed of magical powers. No one has hitherto explained his name. It is hard to imagine any reason for his being named after the fabulous griffin of classic antiquity. An extract recently published by Professor R. H. Fletcher, from the Polistorie del Eglise de Christ de Caunterbyre, an inedited chronicle extending to the year 1313, makes perfectly clear that the name of the Welsh smith Gofan or Govan, in slightly distorted form, passed into general Arthurian tradition. The Polistorie says that Gawain's sword bore an inscription declaring it to be the work of Gaban.⁵ The passage is of great interest because it shows the survival of an hitherto unnoticed bit of Celtic folk-lore in a late Arthurian legend. It makes easy the supposition that Gofan, through some intermediate form like Gaban, got changed by an English writer to Griffin.6

Professor Fletcher notes the similarity of the figure of Gaban to Layamon's Wygar and Griffin, but he has not perceived the identity of Gaban with the Welsh Gofan.

 6 Students of mediæval literature will see no difficulty in supposing that Griffin, a form that has meaning in old English, was derived by some Englishman from the to him incomprehensible Gofan. An adventitious r is particularly likely to creep in. I need only cite the

¹It is important to note that the assumption of a reference in the passage from Layamon to the Germanic Weland is in no way essential to my proposed identification in the following paragraph of Griffin with Gofan. Wygar may be left unexplained without impairing conclusions about Griffin.

² Vss. 23781-6. ³ Vss. 22911-22. ⁴ "Made in Avalon with magic craft," vss. 21137-40. ⁵ Pub. of the Mod. Lang. Assoc. of America, Vol. XVIII (1903), p. 90. The inscription is said to read:

[&]quot;Jeo su forte trenchaunte e dure.
gaban me fist. per mult graunt cure.
xiii. anns auoyt ihesu crist.
kaunt galan (original reading gaban) metrempa e fist."

The distortion of Cymraeg to Kinric assumed above could have occurred only under the conditions of oral transmission. This agrees with historical requirements. The English warriors who applied the term Cymraeg to the Welsh king cannot be supposed to have read the word, but only to have heard it pronounced. On the other hand, the distortion of Gofan to Griffin could only occur in written transmission. Layamon evidently drew from English tales about Arthur, founded on earlier Welsh tradition and handed down, in part at least, in writing. opinion has been already expressed by Ferdinand Lot in a review of my article referred to above. Lot's words,1 "Comme il est totalement impossible que Layamon connût la phonétique du vieux-gallois, il faut qu'il ait puisé son récit à une source d'origine celtique et à une source écrite," accord exactly with the idea that Layamon's Griffin, the smith of Caermarthen in Wales, is a distorted survival of the Welsh Gofan.

Layamon gives to Arthur's helmet a particular name, "Goswhit." Madden has conjectured that this is a traditional name, and must be explained as the translation of a Welsh epithet. I believe that I have found curious evidence that such is really the case. In Kulhwch and Olwen of the Red Book of Hergest, a Welsh tale which is so archaic in character that it is admitted on all hands to represent genuine Welsh tradition, we have the names of a number of Arthur's magic belongings. These names, it must be observed, almost invariably contain the meaning "white." Pridwen (the name of Arthur's ship) means "white form." Wynebgwrthucher (Arthur's shield) means "night gain-

well known variants: Guingalet, Gringalet; Guingamore, Gringamore; Gifflet, Grifflet. Cf. Schofield, Pub. of Mod. Lang. Assoc., Vol. XV (1900), p. 143. It is fair to add that the well-known Welsh name Griffith, "ruddy," might give rise by corruption to the form Griffin. Griffith, however, seems not specially applicable to a smith.

¹ Le Moyen Age, Vol. VI (1892), pp. 115, 116. Cf. the opinion of Gaston Paris, Romania, Vol. XXIX (1900), p. 634, though this review is not explicit on the question of written sources.
² Vs. 21147.

³ Vol. III, p. 377. The text of Layamon indicates, I think, clearly enough that he understood "Goose-white" to be the translation of a Welsh epithet. In the next line, after the account of the helmet, he describes Arthur's shield, and adds: "Its name was in British called Pridwen," implying, of course, that the name of the helmet had not been given in British (Welsh), but in English.

⁴ Cf. ZIMMER, Gött. gel. Anz. (1890), p. 524.

⁵ Guest's Mabinogion, ed. Nutt, p. 106 (for Pridwen cf. p. 142).

sayer." Carnwenhau (Arthur's dagger) means "white haft." Ehangwen¹ (Arthur's hall) means "broad white," and even Gwenhwyfar (Arthur's wife) means "white enchantress." Surely it is no mere coincidence that Layamon's name for Arthur's helmet is "Goose-white." Probably all the belongings of the Celtic Other World had whiteness or luminosity attributed to them.² The name Goswhit occurs nowhere else, but I do not see how one can doubt but that it goes back to Welsh tradition. The coincidences between Layamon and Welsh tradition form a mass of cumulative evidence, the combined weight of which is almost irresistible.

Students of Arthurian romance have hitherto neglected Layamon. It was perhaps natural that they should. Layamon wrote about 1205, probably fifty years after traditions about Arthur were widely popular in France. At first thought it seems impossible that his chronicle could throw light on the history of the early development of the Arthurian legend. His additions to Wace might come, apparently, from the French romances. This, however, is not the case. Layamon lived in the wild borderland between Wales and England. The situation was evidently too remote for him to be acquainted with the romances current at Paris and London. Names like Goswhit have not passed through any French intermediary. The Round Table incident, with its archaic features of a combat with knives at a royal feast, and of the brutal punishment of nose cutting, is not from any chivalric French source.3 It betrays its origin by its connection with Gwynedd or North Wales' and with Cornwall, whence the workman who made the Round Table is said to have come.

Layamon's additions to Wace, especially the account of Arthur's

¹ Op. cit., p. 110.

² In the Revue Celtique, Vol. XXII (1901), pp. 339 ff., I have shown that Manannán, the Celtic sea-god and lord of the Other World, was almost certainly known by the epithet Barintus, "white-haired" or "white-topped." It is important to add that Manannán has in Irish legend a marvelous steed, Enbarr, "foam of the water" (evidently a personification of the crest of a storm wave).—Joyce, Old Celtic Romances, p. 38, from the Book of Lecan compiled about 1416; and a helmet Cannbarr that glittered with dazzling brightness, op. cit., p. 49.

³ Vss. 22737-974; cf. "The Round Table before Wace," referred to above.

⁴ Professor Kittredge first pointed out to me the identity of Layamon's "Winet-lond" (vs. 22788) and Gwynedd.

departure to Argante the queen¹ (perhaps a corruption for Morgan, the fay), and the Round Table story, the longest and most splendid of all, prove that the Welsh had a romantic Arthur about whom tales and legends were clustered. These additions made by Layamon are fatal to any theory which assumes that the Arthur stories were developed exclusively in Brittany, and that the Welsh knew only a heroic, not a romantic, Arthur. It is with the hope of calling attention to the importance of Layamon that these pages have been written. Layamon's Brut shows that at least some Arthur stories were developed in Wales, and passed directly from Welsh into English.

ARTHUR C. L. BROWN.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN.

¹ Vss. 28610-51.