qHV 7245 •A44 1936

# JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS

1936



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS



## U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DANIEL C. ROPER, SECRETARY

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

WILLIAM LANE AUSTIN, DIRECTOR



## JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS 1936

Statistics Relating to the Disposition of Defendants in Criminal Cases Definitely Before Trial Courts of General Criminal Jurisdiction in 30 States

PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF DR. LEON E. TRUESDELL Chief Statistician for Population

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1938



#### CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
tandada traction of entrine   function	1
Scope of judicial criminal statistics	2
Matheda of collection	
Limitations of the statistics	4
Analysis of deta in 1936 report	5
Analysis of data in 1936 report	5
Offenses reported	6
Total number of cases reported (table 1)	6
Offense classification (table 2)	7
Procedural outcome	9
Disposition of cases Itables 3 and 4)	9
Eliminations without conviction (tables 5 to 7)	10
Convictions (tables 8 and 9)	11
Convictions (tables 8 and 3)	11
Convictions for lesser offenses	12
Trials (tables 10 and 11)	13
Disposition of defendants, by offense (tables 12 to 15)	14
Court and jury trials	16
Outcome of triels (tables 16 to 18)	16
Offenses tried (tables 19 to 21)	18
Olighted with ( decide is so bi)	-
Sentence or treatment	- 20
Sentences imposed on convicted offenders (tables 22 to 24)	
Sentences imposed on convicted direnders (tables 22 to 24)	20
Santences imposed, by offense (tables 25 and 26)	22
Summary and conclusions	24
Detailed tables	25
Appendix	83
***	
SUMMARY TABLES	
Table	
1. Defendents disposed of by courts of general jurisdiction who were charged with major offenses	h-re
States: 1936	0
2. Percent distribution of major offense groups in 30 States; median percent, inter-quartile r	ange
of percents, end total range of percents shown for 30 States taken individually: 1936	8
3. Summary of procedural disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile range	
5. Dummary of procedurat disposition in 50 States, and median percent and inter-quartite range	for
the Statee taken individually: 1936	9
the Statee taken individually: 1936	9
the States taken individually: 1936 4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936	9 9
the States taken individually: 1936	9 9 and
the States taken individually: 1936	9 9 and
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury,
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quertile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury,
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury, 11
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936	and 10 11 ury, 11 ange 12
the States taken individually: 1936- 4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936- 5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936- 6. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936- 7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936- 8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936- 9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936	and 10 11 ury, 11 ange 12 12
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury, 11 ange 12 13
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 ll ury, 11 ange 12 13 14
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quertile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 ll urry, 11 ange 12 13 14
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quertile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 ll urry, 11 ange 12 13 14
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury, 12 12 14 14
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury, 11 ange 12 13 14 15 15
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 ll urry, 12 ange 12 13 14 15 16
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 urry, 12 12 13 14 15 16 cted
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and and 10 li
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 urry, 11 ange 12 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16
the States taken individually: 1936	9 and and 10 ll urry, 11 ange 12 13 14 15 15 16 cted 17 urt,
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936	9 and 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  18. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 9. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-	9 and and 10 line 12 line 12 line 12 line 12 line 13 line 15 line 15 line 16 line 17 line 17 line 18 line 17 line 18 l
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  18. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 9. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-	9 and and 10 line 12 line 12 line 12 line 12 line 13 line 15 line 15 line 16 line 17 line 17 line 18 line 17 line 18 l
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  6. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or court trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendants convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendants empleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendants tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  18. Defendants acquitted and convicted by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-	9 9 and 10 11 urry, 11 ange 12 12 14 14 15 16 16 17 urry, 15 16 17 urry, 17 in 8 18 18 18 18 18
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936	9 and 10 11 ury, 11 ange 12 12 12 13 14 15 15 15 16 16 16 17 17 in 8 18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendants convicted, by offenss, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendants who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendants resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendants acquitted and convicted by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and down of court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendants acquitted and convicted by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court (jury waived cases).	9 and 10 11 ury, 11 ange 12 12 14 15 16 cted 16 17 urt, 17 in 8 18 19 urt, 19 urt,
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  6. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or court trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendants convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendants even pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendants tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-	9 and 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for State taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  18. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendents found guilty and sentenced, by sentence or treatment, in 30 States: 1936-  22. Defendents found guilty and sentenced, by sentence or treatment, in 30 States: 1936-	9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 15 16 cted 17 urrt, 17 in 8 19 urrt, 19 urrt, 19 urrt, 19 21
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  6. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1935-  9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or court trial, by States: 1936	9 and 9 and 10 11 ury, 12 13 14 15 16 sted 17 urt, 17 in 8 18 19 urt, 19 urt, 19 21 21
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whoee cases were diemissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  18. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court jury wa	9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 15 16 teted 17 urt, 17 in 8 8 19 urt, 19
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendants convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendants who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendants articled by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the on in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendants acquitted and convicted by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  22. Defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  23. Defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  24. Defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by Offense, in 30 States: 1936-  25. Defendants sentenced to Stat	9 and 9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 14 14 16 17 in 8 19 19 19 19 21 22 23
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whoee cases were diemissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  18. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court jury waived cases), by offense, selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents acquitted and convicted by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court jury wa	9 and 9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 14 14 16 17 in 8 19 19 19 19 21 22 23
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through ples of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offenss, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendents exculting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  23. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  24. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  25. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by offense, in	9 and 9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 14 14 16 17 in 8 19 19 19 19 21 22 23
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendants eliminated without conviction and defendants convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendants not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendants convicted through plea of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendants convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendants who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendants articled by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the on in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendants acquitted and convicted by jury and defendants acquitted and convicted by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  22. Defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  23. Defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  24. Defendants sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by Offense, in 30 States: 1936-  25. Defendants sentenced to Stat	9 and 9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 14 14 16 17 in 8 19 19 19 19 21 22 23
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through ples of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offenss, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendents exculting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  23. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  24. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  25. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by offense, in	9 and 9 and 10 11 ange 12 13 14 14 14 16 17 in 8 19 19 19 19 21 22 23
the States taken individually: 1936-  4. Defendents eliminated without conviction and defendents convicted, by States: 1936-  5. Eliminations without conviction by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percents inter-quartile range for States taken individually: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted whose cases were dismissed, by States: 1936-  7. Defendents not convicted who were acquitted either by jury or by the court after waiver of j by States: 1936-  8. Convictions by method of disposition in 30 States, and median percent and inter-quartile r for States taken individually: 1936-  9. Defendents convicted through ples of guilty and by jury or sourt trial, by States: 1936-  10. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), by States: 1936-  11. Cases tried by jury, or by the court (jury waived cases), and convicted, by States: 1936-  12. Defendents convicted, by offenss, in 30 States: 1936-  13. Defendents who pleaded guilty, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  14. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury or by the court, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  15. Defendents tried by jury or by the court and convicted, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  16. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  17. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), in 8 selected States: 1936-  19. Dispositions resulting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court in 8 selected States: 1936-  20. Trials by jury and by the court (jury waived cases), by offense, in 8 selected States: 1936-  21. Defendents exculting in trial by jury and defendents acquitted and convicted by the court selected States: 1936-  22. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  23. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by States: 1936-  24. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by offense, in 30 States: 1936-  25. Defendents sentenced to State prisons and reformatories, by offense, in	9 and 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11

IV CONTENTS

Table	Page
28. Parcant distribution, by offense, of defendants charged with major criminal offenses and disposed	
of by courts of general jurisdiction, by States: 1936	
29. Defendants in criminal cases disposed of by courts of general jurisdiction in 30 States, by pro-	
cedural outcome and offense: 1936	
of general jurisdiction in 30 States, by offense: 1936	
31. Defendants in criminal cases disposed of by courts of general jurisdiction, by procedural outcome	
and offense, by States: 1936	
32. Percent distribution, by procedural outcome, of defendants in criminal cases disposed of by courts	
33. Defendants found guilty and sentenced by courts of general jurisdiction, by offense, by Statss:	
34. Percent distribution, by offense, of defendants found guilty of major criminal offenses and	55
sentenced by courts of general jurisdiction, by States: 1936	
35. Defendants found guilty and sentenced by courts of general jurisdiction in 30 States, by sentence	
and offense: 1936	
36. Percent distribution, by sentence, of defendants found guilty and sentenced by courts of general jurisdiction in 30 States, by offense: 1936	
37. Defendants found milty and sentenced by courts of general jurisdiction, by sentence and offense	59
37. Defendants found guilty and sentenced by courte of general jurisdiction, by sentence and offense, by States: 1936	60
38. Percent distribution, by sentence, of defendants found guilty and sentenced by courts of general	
jurisdiction, by offense, by States: 1936	78
ATOMANY	
APPENDIX	
I. Offense classification	83
II. Number and type of court furnishing 1936 reports, by State	
TTT State oppositions for the sell-seller of 1000 Telledel Out-to-1 Chattain	

### JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS, 19361

#### INTRODUCTION

ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE.—It is widely recognized that the administration of the criminal law in the United States is in need of a great deal of improvement. Defects and weaknesses in the law enforcement machinery are constantly being pointed out, and nearly every interested person has his own particular proposals for reform. Unfortunately, there is everything but agreement to be found in the multitude of suggestions concerning what should be done to make the administration of criminal justice more effective. One of the principal reasons for this lack of agreement is the fact that no adequate compilation of knowledge concerning the operation of the criminal law machinery is available that can serve as the basis for a common understanding and approach to the crime situation.

"No great nation in the western world is struggling with a crime problem more complicated than ours and none is poorer in a statistical knowledge of that problem than the United States." 2/ The almost complete lack of adequate statistics of crime and criminal justice in this country is no accident. When the Federal Constitution was written, the general police powers were left to the States. Each State has developed its own criminal law and procedure and has provided its own officials and agencies for the enforcement of its criminal law. As a consequence, there are today 50 different bodies of criminal law in this country and the same number of systems of law enforcement—the 48 States, the District of Columbia, and the Federal Government. While these 50 separate and independent criminal law systems are basically similar in the content of the law, in procedure, and in general methods of administration, nevertheless they differ widely in a great many details such as court organization, the particular jurisdiction of courts and administrative agencies, the definition of specific offenses, and the penalties provided for their violation.

Functionally, the administration of criminal justice may be divided into three parts. The first function in enforcing the criminal law is the investigation of alleged crimes and the apprehension of those persons who committed them. This function is the primary responsibility of police agencies. The second function of law enforcement is the prosecution and trial of those who have been apprehended and charged with crime and the final determination of their guilt. Prosecutors, grand juries, and the courts themselves perform this function. The third main division of criminal administration is that of the punishment or treatment of those found guilty of crime. Prisons, probation officials, and parole officials carry out this last function. In every State there are a large number of agencies actively engaged in the performance of each of these three main functions.

Historically, law enforcement in America has been, for the most part, a local affair. The responsibility for investigating crime and apprehending criminals has rested with local police units which have jurisdiction to act only within the limits of their own district, city, or county. Under the American court system, the local courts have almost complete independence in administrative matters. The principal control over their activities is the power of the higher courts to review their judgments and this power can only be exercised where an aggrieved party appeals.

<sup>1/</sup> This report was prepared under the general supervision of Dr. Leon E. Truesdell, Chief Statistician for Epulation, assisted by Ronald H. Beattie, who supervised the collection and tabulation of the data and wrote the text for the report.

The fact that there are 50 different independent systems of criminal law and procedure and that each of these is administered by hundreds of agencies, most of them responsible only to local units of government, operating without unified control, and often actually competing with each other, indicates something of the difficulty that is faced in an attempt to obtain an accurate and representative picture of law enforcement in this country.

The chief sources of information concerning either crime or criminals are the records and activities of the agencies engaged in administering criminal justice. During the past two decades the American public has become more and more conscious of the fact that crime is a national problem and not a local one, and that in order to work out a better unification of enforcement activities within States and provide a basis for cooperation among States there would have to be developed some systematic method of obtaining reliable information on crime for the whole country. Three Federal agencies are now engaged in the work of collecting criminal statistics on a national basis. The Federal Bureau of Investigation, of the Department of Justice, is working in the field of police statistics and receives reports of offenses reported to the police and persons arrested from police agencies all over the country. The Bureau of Prisons, of the Department of Justice, collects statistics on the work of the Federal courts in criminal cases and the work of Federal correctional agencies. The Bureau of the Census makes two annual collections of criminal statisticsone covering the work of State courts which dispose of criminal cases and the other accounting for prisoners admitted to and discharged from State prisons and reformatories.

SCOPE OF JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS.—The term "judicial criminal statistics" would seem to cover all statistical information concerning the prosecution and trial of offenders charged with crime. However, the statistics that have been developed up to the present time have been limited chiefly to the disposition of criminal offenders by trial courts of general criminal jurisdiction.

Nearly every State has two types of courts with original jurisdiction, in addition to appellate courts that handle only cases on appeal. There are inferior courts or courts of limited jurisdiction, such as justices of the peace, and police, municipal, and magistrates' courts, which have power to dispose of minor criminal offenses and to hold preliminary examinations in the cases of those persons charged with serious offenses. There are courts of general jurisdiction which have power to try and finally dispose of all serious offenses and such minor offenses as are not within the jurisdiction of the limited courts. In general, minor courts dispose of misdemeanors, while courts of general jurisdiction dispose of felonies. As felonies are of more importance than misdemeanors from the standpoint of public interest; as there is usually only one court of general jurisdiction in each county, while there may be many minor courts of different types; and as the early experimentation carried on in the field of judicial criminal statistics was confined to the work of courts of general jurisdiction, the present collection of statistics by the Census Bureau is limited to the criminal work of the trial courts having jurisdiction to dispose of felony cases.

It is hoped that it will soon be possible to develop the collection of judicial statistics to a point where they will at least account for all action taken on felony cases, from the time such a charge is filed in a magistrate's court for preliminary examination to the final disposition of the charge in the general trial court. Such statistics would add to the present knowledge of felony cases considerable information concerning the disposition of felony offenders at the preliminary examination and also would supply complete data covering the work of grand juries in felony cases.

This is the fifth year that the judicial criminal statistics collection has been made by the Bureau of the Census. The first collection, in 1932, included reports from 16 States; 24 States furnished information for 1933; 27 for 1934; 30 for 1935; and 30 for 1936, the year covered by the present report.

INTRODUCTION

METHODS OF COLLECTION.—The judicial statistics collected by the Census Bureau are obtained by means of the voluntary cooperation of the court clerks and other officials in the various States. Two uniform tally sheets are furnished to each clerk of court; one relates to the procedural disposition of all cases and the other to the sentences imposed on convicted offenders. A State official or other responsible person supervises the collection of the data for the Census Bureau in each State, distributing the forms to the clerks of court, collecting them when they have been filled out, and examining and approving the reports before forwarding them to Washington.

Under these circumstances, the accuracy of the data reported necessarily depends on the amount of care with which the clerks of court fill out the tally sheets in accordance with the instructions furnished them. The fact that the instructions are necessarily general in order to apply to so many different jurisdictions, that most court clerks are exceedingly busy officials, very frequently carrying on their regular duties without sufficient clerical assistance, and that they have to perform this extra work of preparing statistical reports without additional compensation or assistance, means that they neither can nor will always make the effort to fill out the sheets with the care desired. Despite the inconvenience and difficulties which clerks of court face, a surprisingly large number do spend a great deal of effort in filling out the tally sheets.

Until very recent years, the work of the courts has not been thought of in terms of business or administrative activity. There are no standard methods or forms for keeping court records. The form and content of most court dockets or registers has been evolved during the course of years, primarily for reference purposes. They are not uniform from county to county or from court to court. They are not always uniform within the same county and court, as a change in clerks may result in changes in the amount of detail recorded in their books. Consequently, the attempt to superimpose some kind of uniform accounting system on a varied set of records that were not designed to be used for statistical purposes creates a very difficult problem at the start. To page through a criminal register or docket carefully and tally a year of court dispositions, classifying them properly as to offense and disposition and ruling out duplications, is a formidable task. Many difficult problems are encountered in preparing these reports which would try the patience of even the most cooperative court clerk. Frequently some point of information is obscure in the register and the clerk must examine the judgment roll or original papers to clear the point involved. Seldom is there any other count or summary of court dispositions available with which to compare the tally made on the census schedules. Even where another summary is available it will not be in the same terms or units as the census reports. Further, if errors have been made in the process of tallying, there is no way to check them or eliminate them except to repeat the entire process.

It is impossible for statistics of court disposition which are furnished under these circumstances to have the accuracy expected in business accounting reports. On the other hand, there is good reason to believe that these court statistics do provide a fairly representative picture of the general practices followed by the courts in disposing of criminal defendants.

Some experimentation is being carried on with another method of collecting criminal court statistics. Individual case reports are made out by the clerks of court on each case filed and disposed of by their court. The classification and tabulation of these reports is done for the whole State by a central statistical agency, insuring uniform classification and interpretation of the data reported from all counties. This method is far more flexible than the tally sheet method, as it makes possible a much more intensive analysis of the data collected and, further, can quite easily be adapted to the particular record-keeping routines used by the different clerks of court. As considerably more work is required to analyze, tabulate, and summarize reports collected under this individual report method, it will be difficult for an agency like the Bureau of the Census to undertake the collection, tabulation, and analysis

necessary under such a system for a large number of States. It is hoped that the leading States of this country will establish their own statistical bureaus. No great strides forward can be made in the matter of developing an accounting system for criminal court statistics except through the establishment of such State bureaus. A State agency will be able to work out a statistical system that fits the particular law, procedure, and practice of the State and can make much more detailed analyses of the statistics gathered than is possible by a national agency dealing with all the States.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STATISTICS. - The statistics published in this report, for the most part, account for the work of courts of general jurisdiction in the disposition of criminal cases. There are wide differences to be found from State to State in the jurisdiction possessed by the general trial courts. It will be noted in appendix II of this report that the statistics were collected from several different kinds of courts and occasionally from two or three types of courts within a single State. While each State usually has a single type of court of general jurisdiction, some States have given special jurisdiction to courts which otherwise would be classified as courts of limited jurisdiction, in order to dispose of serious criminal offenders. In Vermont, for instance, the county courts are the general jurisdiction courts of the State and have complete power to dispose of felony cases. However, the municipal courts in that State have been granted the power to finally dispose of felony cases where the defendant pleads guilty. As most of the felony cases are disposed of by pleas of guilty in the municipal courts, it was necessary to collect statistics from both these types of courts in Vermont to obtain a complete picture of the disposition of felony cases in that State.

There is a great deal of unevenness in the amount of jurisdiction that the general trial courts may possess even within the same State. These courts have essentially a residual jurisdiction; that is, they handle and dispose of all types of cases that are not specifically given over to some other court for disposal, although in some States courts of general jurisdiction have concurrent jurisdiction with minor courts over misdemeanors. Frequently in a metropolitan county the court having general criminal jurisdiction will handle only felony cases, because the authority to dispose of misdemeanor cases has been granted exclusively to the municipal court of the main city in the county. In the same State, however, courts having general criminal jurisdiction in the rural counties will handle not only felony cases but all the more important misdemeanor cases that are not disposed of by local justices of the peace. There are, therefore, real difficulties of comparison that are encountered in the analysis of these statistics. The same technique that was used in the 1935 report of limiting the summary and analysis of the State material to major offenses is again used in this report covering 1936 dispositions. It is felt that this method offsets to a large extent the lack of comparability due to variations in the type of cases handled by the reporting courts.

The statistics analyzed in this report cover only those cases which survived the earlier stages in prosecution procedure and which were disposed of in a trial court of general criminal jurisdiction or a court which had jurisdiction to dispose of felony cases. These statistics do not cover the disposition of felony cases on preliminary examination or by the grand jury. They show only what happened to defendants prosecuted in the felony trial courts and make it possible to answer such questions as the following: How many cases were eliminated without conviction and by what method? How many defendants were tried by jury, or by the court without a jury, and with what results? How many defendants were convicted? Did most convictions result from pleas of guilty or from trial? What types of sentence were imposed on the convicted offenders?

Statistics, no matter how complete, cannot furnish all the information desired concerning the operation of criminal justice in the courts. Statistics record phenomena; they do not explain them. They reveal the existence of certain situations or relationships, but a detailed study of individual and typical situations is almost essential to secure an understanding of the whole.

INTRODUCTION 5

Statistics of this type are analogous to systems of accounting in the business and commercial world. Accounting practice has devised standard forms for summarizing and analyzing the books and records of a business concern. From these summaries and analyses, managers will secure an accurate picture of the condition of the business. They will be able to determine the changes which have taken place and obtain a basis for predicting the trends of the future. Business accounting does not explain everything that it reveals, but it does show at what point losses and inefficiency occur and under whose responsibility. In the same way criminal statistics should furnish the information which would serve as the basis for change and improvement. Criminal statistics will reveal differences in the policies and practices of the various courts and officials. Such information is essential before the differences can be explained or before any judgment may be made as to what policies or practices are the best. In fact, in law enforcement and court administration, it is not until statistical methods have revealed certain practices and procedures that the public is even aware that they exist.

ANALYSIS OF DATA IN 1936 REPORT.—This report presents a summary of the investigation for 1936, the basic figures for which were issued in rotaprinted sheets as they became available for each State.

The 1936 cases were reported on the tally sheets under 26 offense classifications. In the individual State summaries published for the 1936 statistics, these 26 classifications were reduced to 23 by consolidating some minor offense groups in which very few cases were being reported. Some of the offense groups, such as murder, robbery, etc., are clearly classifications which are made up almost exclusively of felonies or serious offenses, Other classifications, such as gambling, disorderly conduct, vagrancy, etc., are almost as uniformly made up of minor offenses or misdemeanors. In selecting the offense groups which would represent most consistently the felony cases, the criterion used was the relative proportion of convicted defendants in each offense group who were sentenced to a State prison or reformatory. The usual definition of a felony is "an offense which is punishable by death or imprisonment in the State prison." Not all felonies, of course, result in such punishment, but unless an offense group shows some punishment of this nature there is a serious question as to whether it should be a major offense group. The following 15 offense groups make up the major offenses which are the basis of the analysis in this report. In every instance over 10 percent of the defendants convicted for these offenses received sentences to prison or reformatory. They are as follows:

Murder Larceny, except auto theft Rape

Manslaughter Auto theft Commercialized vice

Robbery Embezzlement and fraud Other sex offenses

Aggravated assault Stolen property Violating drug laws

Burglary Forgery Carrying weapons, etc.

The 8 remaining offense groups were excluded from the major offense list as in every instance, except "all other offenses," considerably less than 10 percent of the defendants convicted of these offenses received prison sentences. They are as follows:

Minor assault

Nonsupport or neglect

Violating liquor laws

Driving while intoxicated

Other motor vehicle laws

Disorderly conduct and vagrancy

Gambling

All other offenses

On the basis of the 15 major offense groups selected, the statistics reported from the 30 States covering 1936 dispositions will be analyzed in 4 sections, as follows:

Offenses reported
Procedural outcome—the disposition of defendants
Court and jury trials in selected States
Punishment or treatment imposed by the court

#### OFFENSES REPORTED

TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REPORTED.—The total number of defendants disposed of in the 30 States covered in the 1936 collection was 136,234. The number of defendants included in the 15 major offense classifications was 75,682. In 1935 statistics were collected from the same 30 States as in 1936. In 1935 there was a total of 158,434 defendants disposed of in the courts, of which 84,437 were charged with major offenses. The 1936 figures show a decrease of 14 percent in the total number of defendants reported and a decrease of 10.4 percent in the number charged with major offenses.

There was, however, a decrease in the reporting areas in 1936 over 1935. A number of counties reported in 1936 that had not reported the previous year but even more counties that had reported in 1935 failed to report in 1936. The net decrease in reporting areas (in terms of the 1930 census of population) was 2.2 percent. This decrease is so much less than the decrease observed in the number of cases reported that there is no doubt that there was a real decrease in the number of criminal cases handled by the courts in 1936. The decrease in volume of cases handled was fairly widespread. Taking into account the small shifts that occurred in reporting areas for some of the States, it was found that 25 States showed a decrease in the number of major offenses reported in 1936 over 1935 and only 5 States showed an increase.

TABLE 1.—DEFENDANTS DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION WHO WERE CHARGED WITH MAJOR OFFENSES, BY STATES: 1936

STATE	All defend- ents	DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH MAJOR OFFENSES		STATE	All defend- ents	DEFENI CHARGEI MAJOR OI	HTIW C
		Number	Percent		91108	Number	Percent
Thirty States	136,234	75,682	55.6	New Hampshire	656	414	63.1
F				Montana	893	563	63.0
Illinois	2,591	2,001	Weshington	2,426	1,501	61.9	
Colorado	1,624		Wyoming	441	273	61.9	
Celifornie		7,214	81.7	New Jersey	9,543	5,836	61.2
Messechusetts	3,704	2,891	78.1	Kansas	3,113	1,842	59.2
Rhode Island	735	560	76,2	Nebraske	1,893	1,098	58.0
District of Columbia	2,518	1,846	73.3	Iowa	2,550	1,352	53.0
Minnesote	2,690	1,911	71.0	Pennsylvanie	42,531	22,067	51.9
Idaho	633	449	70.9	Indiena	9,626	4,985	51.8
Arizona	1,314	912	69.4	South Dakota	1.000	512	51.2
Oregon	1,516	1,045	68.9	Connecticut	1,882	950	50.5
Michigen	3,957	2,624	66.3	North Dakota	678	330	48.7
Ohio	8,738	5,732	65.6	Maine	1,039	440	42.3
Utah	449	291	64.8	Wisconsin	14,511	3.005	20.7
New Mexico	1,342	867	64.6	Vermont	2,811	377	13.4

The tremendous variation that occurs among the States in the proportion of defendants charged with major offenses out of the total number of defendants reported is observed in table 1. In Illinois 91.7 percent of the defendants reported were charged with major offenses. In Vermont, at the other extreme, only 13.4 percent of the total defendants were so charged. The chief cause of these great variations is the differing criminal jurisdiction of the reporting courts from State to State. For instance, in addition to the courts of general criminal jurisdiction, reports were received from courts of limited jurisdiction from the States of Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and Vermont, as these latter courts had powers of disposing of certain felony cases. Another factor that enters into the variations observed in table 1 is the selection made in determining the major offense classifications. Kansas and Iowa reported relatively large numbers of violations of liquor offenses. As liquor violations were excluded from the major offense groups, such exclusion operated to reduce the relative number of major offenses in Kansas and Iowa much more than in those States reporting very few liquor violations. Still another factor that has some influence in the observed variations is the varying jurisdiction exercised within a State by the courts. The criminal court of Cook County (the equivalent of the circuit court

in other Illinois counties) handles almost exclusively felony cases. The circuit courts of the other counties of Illinois handle not only felony cases but a large number of misdemeanors. The fact that the cases reported from Cook County made up nearly two-thirds of all cases reported from Illinois means that a very high proportion of major offenses would be expected in the returns from that State.

It is quite apparent that the number of defendants disposed of by courts of general criminal jurisdiction offers no basis for comparing States on the amount of crime existing in those States. Consequently, there would be no justification in computing per capita ratios of the defendants reported by the general trial courts.

As has already been pointed out, the collection of judicial criminal statistics at present is confined to the final disposition by the courts of the more serious criminal cases. No part of the handling of criminal cases in the preliminary stages of prosecution appears in these figures. Defendants arrested and charged with felonies may be eliminated from further consideration at the preliminary examination before a magistrate or the charge may there be reduced to a misdemeanor and finally disposed of in a minor court. Defendants that are bound over to the grand jury at preliminary examination may be discharged by failure of the grand jury to bring in an indictment against them. Consequently, those defendants who do appear in the general trial court are a selected group who have already passed through one or two weeding-out processes and are not, in any sense, representative of the total number of crimes committed within a State or of the total number of defendants arrested and charged.

OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION.—The offenses are reported on the tally sheets in accordance with a standard classification of criminal offenses adopted in 1932 for use in the Bureau of the Census and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. This classification has 26 divisions. The instructions to the clerks of court describing the offenses which are included in each of the 26 groups are reprinted as appendix I of this report.

It is not easy to classify criminal offenses even though there is a standard classification for this purpose. The line of demarcation between the various offense groupings is often far from clear and this, added to the fact that many offenses are defined quite differently in the various States and that the choice of selecting the classification must be made in each instance by the clerk who fills out the tally sheet, makes it impossible to insure absolute uniformity in the classification of offenses.

A discussion of the difficulties and problems involved in the classification of offenses appeared in the annual report of judicial criminal statistics covering the year 1935. The reader is referred to this discussion for illustrations of the difficulties involved.

The percentage distribution of the various kinds of offenses making up the total reported by a State is always of particular interest. The type of offense may have a direct bearing on the difficulty with which a defendant is convicted. Illustrations of this will be observed in some of the following tables which compare conviction rates on the basis of offense.

Table 2 shows the offense distribution of the 75,682 defendants charged with major offenses in the 30 States.

The same method of presenting this material that was used in the 1935 report is used for the 1936 data. The first columns in the table show the number and percentage distribution, by offense, for all cases from the 30 States combined. The next three columns show the percentage distribution of offenses in terms of median, 2/ inter-quartile, 3/ and total ranges of percent, based on the 30 States taken separately and considered as equal units. Both of these measures are useful for a complete understanding of the distribution, by offense.

<sup>2/</sup> The median percentage of the 30 States taken individually will be half way between the percentage of the fifteenth and sixteenth States, when the States are errenged in order of magnitude of percentages. 3/ The inter-quartile range covers the middle half of the cases or States of which the median is the center. It accounts for all cases between the one-fourth mark and the three-fourthe mark on the scale of States arranged in order of magnitude. For convenience, the inter-quartile range as shown on these tables of the 30 States is the range between the percentages of the eighth State and the twenty-third State.

TABLE 2.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF MAJOR OFFENSE GROUPS IN 30 STATES; MEDIAN PERCENT, INTER-QUARTILE RANGE OF PERCENTS, AND TOTAL RANGE OF PERCENTS SHOWN FOR 30 STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY: 1936

	30 STATES	COMBINED	PERCENT OF ALL CONVICTIONS IN STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY			
OFFENSE	Number	Percent	Median	Inter- quertile range	Total renge	
Total defendants	75,682	100.0				
1. Mirder 2. Manslaughter 3. Robbery 4. Aggraveted assault 5. Burglary 6. Larceny, except auto theft 7. Auto theft 9. Stolen property 10. Forgery 11. Rape 12. Comercialized vice 13. Other sex offenses 14. Violating drug laws 15. Carrying weepons, etc.	1,569 6,267 6,843 13,922 15,476 5,467 1,665 4,551 3,219 968 4,778 933	1.8 2.1 8.3 9.0 18.4 7.2 8.7 2.2 6.0 4.3 1.3 6.3 1.2 2.8	1.9 1.7 6.0 5.4 21.2 23.3 7.0 8.4 1.8 6.8 4.5 0.5 5.0 0.3	0.9-2.2 1.2-2.4 4.5-7.3 3.5-8.0 15.6-24.7 17.9-29.3 6.2-9.9 1.2-2.5 4.8-11.2 3.3-6.0 0.2-0.9 2.1-9.0 0.1-0.7	0.0- 5.8 0.3- 4.4 0.3-26.5 0.3-16.7 9.9-44.6 3.6-44.8 1.8-17.0 3.5-14.3 0.2- 4.4 1.0-19.4 0.0-10.6 0.0- 2.6 0.8-22.8 0.0- 8.9 0.0- 5.1	
Selected combinations of offense groups  Homicide (1, 2)	29,181 8,965	3.9 38.6 11.8 63.0	3.5 40.4 9.9 73.0	2.8- 5.3 33.6-45.2 7.5-15.2 62.3-75.7	0.6- 9.1 26.7-60.8 2.8-28.3 53.8-79.6	

The combined data from the 30 States are representative of the total distribution of all cases handled. Some States, however, contributed an exceedingly large proportion of the total. Thus 5 of the 30 States—California, Indiana, New Jersey, Ohio, and Pennsylvania—together account for over 60 percent of the total cases reported, although they make up only one-sixth of the reporting States. Consequently, the combined data of the 30 States are more representative of the offense distribution of these 5 States than of the 30.

Each State is a separate and distinct unit in the administration of criminal justice. Each has its own set of laws and of courts. If they are not treated separately in these statistics, the identity and particular practices of the less populous States will be completely submerged and lost sight of in the total figures for all States. The 5 States mentioned above contribute 60 percent of the total. The States of New Hampshire, North Dakota, Utah, Vermont, and Wyoming happen to report the smallest number of defendants charged with major offenses. These 5 States contributed only 2.2 percent of the total. Taking the median values of the 30 separate States gives a measure which better typifies the variation that occurs among these States than does the value found in the 30 States combined. The inter-quartile range of percents in the same way shows the upper and lower limits of that half of the States that are grouped nearest around the median.

Table 2 shows the general make-up of the felony business handled by the criminal courts. Burglary and larceny clearly account for the largest part of this business. Certain combinations of offense groups are shown in the lower portion of table 2. In general, it appears that between 3 and 4 percent of all major offenses are made up of homicides, that about 40 percent of the cases were classified as some form of larceny, and that around 10 percent of the total were made up of sex offenses. If the forgery and burglary groups are combined with the 4 larceny groups there is a resulting classification that includes most of the offenses usually considered "offenses against property" Sixty-three percent of all defendants were charged with property offenses while the median percentage for the 30 States was 73 percent.

The last column of the table shows the entire range of percentages for the 30 States taken separately in each offense group. The range in robbery is particularly striking, being from 0.3 percent in one State to 26.5 percent in

another. However, the inter-quartile range indicates that the proportion of robbery cases does not vary as greatly as the total range would indicate.

#### PROCEDURAL OUTCOME

DISPOSITION OF CASES.—All criminal cases finally disposed of by the courts fall into one of two primary classifications of procedural outcome. The defendants are either convicted of a criminal offense or they are not convicted. A conviction results when the guilt of the defendant has been legally established. If the prosecution fails to establish the guilt of a defendant, he is discharged from any further liability under the charge, whether or not there was positive evidence of his innocence. Table 3 shows that of the 75,682 defendants charged with major offenses and disposed of in the 30 States during 1936, 73.8 percent were convicted and 26.2 percent were eliminated through failure to convict.

TABLE 3.—SUMMARY OF PROCEDURAL DISPOSITION IN 30 STATES, AND MEDIAN PERCENT AND INTER-QUARTILE RANGE FOR THE STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY: 1936

TO AMERICAN TRANSPORTATION	30 STATES	COMBINED	PERCENT OF ALL CONVICTIONS IN STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALL		
PROCEDURAL DISPOSITION	Number	Percent	Median	Inter-quartila range	
Total defendants disposed of	75,682	100.0			
Eliminated without conviction	19,809 55,873	26.2 73.8	25.1 75.0	17.1-29.5 70.5-82.9	

The median percentage of convictions for the 30 States taken separately was 75 percent and the middle half of these States showed a proportion of convictions that ranged between 70.5 and 82.9 percent. In other words, 23 out of the 30 States had a conviction percentage of 70.5 or more and 8 of the States had a conviction percentage of 82.9 or more.

Table 4 shows the number and percentage of the defendants who were eliminated without conviction and who were convicted, by States, in decreasing order of percentage of elimination.

TABLE 4.—DEFENDANTS ELIMINATED WITHOUT CONVICTION AND DEFENDANTS CONVICTED, BY STATES: 1936

STATE	All defendants	DISPOSED O CONVIC		COMA	CTED
	disposed of	Number	Percent	Number	Parcent
Indiana	4,985	2,085	41.8	2,900	58.2
New Maxico		319	36.8	548	63.2
Illinois		800	33.7	1.576	66.3
Utah		95	32.6	196	67.4
Colorado		451	31.8	968	68.2
Pannsylvenia		6,727	30.5	15,340	69.5
Arizona		270	29.6	642	70.4
Montana	563	166	29.5	397	70.4
Town	1.352	366	29.5	986	70.5
Meine	440	118	26.8	322	73.2
Maine	440	119	20.5	322	73.2
District of Columbia	1,846	490	26.5	1,356	73.5
Ohio	5,732	1,515	26.4	4,217	73.6
Wyoming	273	71	26.0	202	74.0
Vermont	377	96	25,5	281	74.5
Kaneas	1,842	464	25,2	1,378	74.8
New Jarsay	5,836	1,455	24.9	4,381	75.1
Wisconsin	3,005	670	22.3	2,335	77.7
Washington	1,501	315	21.0	1,186	79.0
Massachusetts	2,891	578	20.0	2,313	80.0
California	7,214	1,300	18.0	5,914	82.0
North Dakota	330	59	17.9	271	82.1
Nabraska	1,098	190	17.3	908	82.7
Idaho	449	77	17.1	372	82.9
New Hampshire	414	70	16.9	344	83,1
Michigan	2,624	424	16.2	2,200	83.8
Connecticut	950	152	16.0	798	84.0
Oragon	1,045	162	15.5	883	84.5
South Dakota		71	13.9	441	86.1
Minnesote	1,911	231	12.1	1.680	87.9
Rhode Island	560	22	3.9	538	96.1

There are a variety of factors that may influence the proportion of defendants convicted or eliminated without conviction in the trial courts of any State. The relative number of felony cases eliminated in the earlier stages of prosecution will have a pronounced effect on the number which will be eliminated in the trial court stage. The ability of prosecutors, the individual differences among judges, and the general attitude of the community towards certain offenses, all will have some effect on the relative proportion of defendants convicted and not convicted. Another factor which undoubtedly affects the figures presented in this report is the disparity in classification that invariably occurs where hundreds of different clerks of court make out the original reports. While duplications of defendants who were charged with two or more offenses were supposed to be eliminated from the tally, it is recognized that under the present system of court records it is an almost impossible task for a clerk to identify every duplication and make the proper allowance for it. Some clerks have entered as cases disposed of without conviction those cases which were not formally dismissed but were just abandoned by the prosecutor; others have not counted these cases at all. Some clerks, despite instructions to the contrary, have included defendants "no billed" by the grand jury among dismissed cases.

The differences among the States in the proportion of cases convicted or eliminated as shown in table 4 should not be used as final evidence of differences in prosecution practice. No judgment can be made concerning the responsibility for eliminations without a considerable knowledge of the earlier stages of procedure. The statistics shown in table 4 have the value of indicating what was reported by the 30 States, in suggesting that wide differences do exist among them, and in encouraging further research to determine what factors may be responsible for such wide variations.

ELIMINATIONS WITHOUT CONVICTION.—Defendants who are eliminated without conviction by the trial courts may be classified into four groups: Those in which the charges have been formally dismissed; those who were acquitted by the court after waiver of jury trial; those who were acquitted by the jury; and those who received some other no-penalty disposition. The latter classification includes a variety of miscellaneous dispositions, none of which are numerically important, such as, committed to mental hospital, released by writ of habeas corpus, transferred to another court, etc.

Table 5 shows the 19,809 defendants eliminated without conviction distributed according to the type of elimination.

TABLE 5.—ELIMINATIONS WITHOUT CONVICTION BY METHOD OF DISPOSITION IN 30 STATES, AND MEDIAN PERCENTS AND INTER-QUARTILE RANGE FOR STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY: 1936

METHOD OF DISPOSITION	30	STATES COME	PERCENT OF ALL ELIMINATION IN STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY 1/		
melhou of Discosition	Number	Percent of all dis- positions	Percent of all elim- inations	Median	Inter-quartile range
Total eliminations	19,809	26.2	100.0		
Dismissed	12,107 1,088 5,609 1,005	16.0 1.4 7.4 1.3	61.1 5.5 28.3 5.1	76.7 2.6 13.9 5.2	67.8-80.6 0.5- 7.8 9.2-18,9 2.5- 8.0

1/ The percentage values in this section of the table are actually based on 22 States. These are the States that had a total number of 100 or more cases eliminated. Percentages are not used where the base is less than 100 cases.

The most common type of elimination without conviction is the dismissal of the charges against the defendant. Dismissals accounted for 16 percent of all dispositions and for 61.1 percent of all eliminations. The median percentage of dismissals of all eliminations among the 30 States taken individually was 76.7.

Approximately one-third of all eliminations resulted from a trial by either court or jury. However, the median percentages for the 30 States in the two types of elimination by trial were: Acquitted by the court, 2.6 percent and acquitted by jury, 13.9 percent. These figures show very clearly that relatively few defendants are eliminated through the process of trial.

Table 6 shows, by States, the number and percentage of dismissals in the total number of defendants eliminated. These percentages ranged from 89.8 in Maine to 39.8 percent in Pennsylvania.

TABLE 6 DEFENDANTS	NOT CO	NVICTED	WHOSE	CASES	WERE	DISMISSED.	BY	STATES:	1936

STATE 1/	Disposed of	DISMISSALS		STATE 1/	Disposed	DISMISSALS	
SIRIE 1	without conviction	Number Percent		without conviction	Number	Percent	
Maine	118	106	89.8	Colorado	451	343	76.1
Iowa	366	366 327 89.3	89.3	Oregon	162	123	75.9
Indiana	2,085	1,779	85.3	Minnesota	231	168	72.7
New Mexico	319	266	83.4	Illinois	800	555	69.4
Connecticut	152	126	83.0	Michigan	424	292	68.9
Kansas	464	374	80.6	Wisconsin	670	454	67.8
Arizona	270	217	80.4	Weshington	315	199	63.2
District of Columbia	490	392	80.0	New Jarsey	1,455	844	58.0
Montana	166	131	78.9	Celifornia	1,300	702	54.0
Nabraska	190	147	77.4	Massachusetts	578	312	54.0
Ohio	1,515	1,171	77.3	Pennsylvania	6,727	2,674	39.8

<sup>1/</sup> States not shown where total number is less than 100.

Table 7 shows the number and percentage of defendants acquitted by jury or court in the total number disposed of without conviction. The percentages ranged from 57.8 in Pennsylvania to 7.6 in Maine.

TABLE 7. — DEFENDANTS NOT CONVICTED WHO WERE ACQUITTED EITHER BY JURY OR BY THE COURT AFTER WAIVER OF JURY, BY STATES: 1936

STATE <u>1</u> /	Disposed of without	BY JU	ITTED RY OR COURT	STATE <u>1</u> /	Disposed of without		ACQUITTED BY JURY OR BY THE COURT	
	conviction	Number	Parcent		conviction	Number	Percent	
Pennsylvania	6,727	3,891	57.8	Weshington	315	49	15.6	
Massachusetts	578	266	46.0	Colorado	451	69	15.3	
New Jersey	1,455	55 561 38.6 New Mexico		New Mexico	319	47	14.7	
California	1,300	465	35.8	Kansas	464	63	13.6	
Michigen	424	97	22.9	Arizona	270	36	13.3	
Illinois	800	181	22.6	Montana	166	22	13.3	
Oregon	162	35	21.6	Connecticut	152	19	12.5	
Minnesots	231	47	20.3	Wisconsin	670	83	12.4	
District of Columbia	490	98	20.0	Indiana	2,085	248	11.9	
Nebraska	190	38	20.0	Iowa	366	28	7.7	
Ohio	1,515	249 16.4		Maine	118	9	7.6	

<sup>1/</sup> States not shown where total number is less than 100.

CONVICTIONS.—There are three methods of procedure by which a defendant may be convicted of a criminal offense. He may plead guilty to the offense, thereby being convicted on his own confession of guilt. If, however, he pleads not guilty to the offense, then the issue of whether or not he was guilty must be tried either by a jury or by the court after waiver of jury trial. In such a trial the verdict of the jury or the finding of the court will determine the issue of guilt. Table 8 shows the 55,873 convictions of defendants charged with major offenses distributed according to method of conviction.

It is very obvious that the most common method of conviction is through a plea of guilty. Over three-fourths of all defendants convicted pleaded guilty. Considering the States individually, the median percentage of those pleading guilty was 86.5 and the inter-quartile range shows that in three-fourths of the reporting States, 83 percent or more of those convicted pleaded guilty and in one-fourth of the States, the percentage was 91 or greater.

TABLE 8.—CONVICTIONS BY METHOD OF DISPOSITION IN 30 STATES, AND MEDIAN PERCENT AND INTER-QUARTILE RANGE FOR STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY: 1936

MODILION OF DISTORYMAN	30	STATES COMB	INED	IN STA	ALL CONVICTIONS TES TAKEN IDUALLY
METHOD OF DISPOSITION	Number	Percent of all dis- positions	Percent of ell convictions	Median	Inter-quertile range
Total convictions	55,873	73.8	100.0		
Plee of guilty	43,185 3,130 9,558	57.1 4.1 12.6	77.3 5.6 17.1	86.5 2.4 9.7	83.1-91.1 0.7- 6.7 5.2-12.6

Table 9 shows the States in order of percentage of convictions which resulted from pleas of guilty, and also the percentages convicted by trial. These data show very distinctly the well-known fact that a relatively small number of defendants are convicted by means of trial.

TABLE 9. — DEFENDANTS CONVICTED THROUGH PLEA OF GUILTY AND BY JURY OR COURT TRIAL, BY STATES: 1936

STATE	All	PLEA OF	GUILTY	CONVICTED BY COURT OR JURY	
	CONVICTIONS	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Rhode Island	538	534	99.3	4	0.7
South Dakote		419	95.0	22	5.0
Minnesota		1,586	94.4	94	5.6
Iowa		925	93.8	61	6.2
Wyoming		187	92.6	15	7.4
Oregon		809	91.6	74	8,4
Connecticut		730	91.5	68	8.5
Vermont		256	91.1	25	8,9
Maine	322	289	89.8	33	10.2
Idaho		327	87.9	45	12.1
North Dakota	271	238	87.6	33	12.2
Wisconsin	2,335	2,049	87.8	286	12.2
Kanses	1,378	1,205	87.4	173	12.6
Montana	397	347	87.4	50	12.6
Nebraeks	908	787	86.7	121	13.3
Coloredo	968	834	86.2	134	13.8
Messachusetts		1,981	85.6	332	14.4
Washington		1.015	85.6	171	14.4
Oh10		3,550	84.2	667	15.8
New Mexico		461	84.1	87	15.9
Arizona		537	83.6	105	16.4
New Hampshire		286	83.1	58	16.9
New Jersey		3,640	83.1	741	16.9
Michigan		1,821	82.8	379	17.2
District of Columbia		1,118	82.4	238	17.6
California		4,839	81.8	1.075	18.2
Indiana		2,310	79.7	590	20.3
Utah		139	70.9	57	29.1
			59.0	6,292	41.0
Pennsylvenie		9,048		658	41.0
Illinois	1,576	318	58.2	628	41.8

CONVICTIONS FOR LESSER OFFENSES.—In most of the crime surveys that have been made and in some of the earlier reports of this series, a distinction has been made between defendants convicted for the offense charged and the defendants convicted for a lesser offense. This distinction was intended to afford some measure of the reduction in charges and thus indicate to a degree the amount of bargaining or compromise that was carried on in the prosecution of defendants charged with felonies.

It has been found that the classification of convictions by offense charged or lesser offense under the tally sheet method used in the gathering of these statistics gave very unreliable results, and no attempt is being made at the present time to obtain statistics that will show this division.

As a matter of fact, less importance can be attached to such a distinction than was the case a few years ago. There is a current tendency to give the courts much greater latitude in selecting the type of punishment which may be imposed after conviction for a given offense. The result is that the list of

offenses is increasing for which a conviction of the crime charged may result in a sentence to prison, or the granting of probation, or the imposition of a jail term, or a fine. It therefore becomes unnecessary to reduce the charge against the defendant and have him convicted of a lesser offense in order to impose a relatively light sentence on him.

TRIALS.—Mention has already been made of the fact that the great majority of defendants charged with major offenses are disposed of by administrative means and not by trial. While the public trial of a person charged with a criminal offense is not of as great importance in the administration of criminal justice as people generally believe, there is no doubt that the use of such trials will always be necessary for the satisfactory disposition of a substantial number of criminal cases. Certainly, in every case in which a defendant denies his guilt and the prosecutor is confident that he has evidence to prove his guilt, there will have to be a trial.

In recent years there has been a considerable extension of the privilege of waiving jury trials in many States. There are certain definite advantages from the standpoint of the efficient administration of criminal justice in the practice of waiving jury trials. The cost of the trial is much less and there is usually a much speedier disposition of cases where jury trial is waived.

The number of cases tried, while accounting for a relatively small proportion of the dispositions of criminal cases, nevertheless, is of considerable importance. These cases account for all prosecutions in which the defendant denied his guilt of the offense charged and yet in which the prosecutor considered the evidence of guilt against the defendant sufficiently strong to bring him to trial.

As there are great variations to be found among the States in the types of cases where a waiver of the jury trial is allowed, it becomes very difficult to make any comparison between court and jury trials. Some States allow a waiver of jury trial in any type of offense; others allow such waiver in all but capital offenses or in all but murder prosecutions; other States limit the waiver of jury trial to a much smaller group of felonies; and in still other States waiver of a jury trial is not allowed at all in a felony prosecution. The result is that there can be no justifiable comparison made among the 30 States on the basis of the distinction between court trials and jury trials. The third division of this analysis offers such a comparison for a limited number of States in which the possibility of jury waiver was similar from State to State. The next two tables and tables 14 and 15 are limited to all trials reported. The total figures are comparable from State to State, for they include all of the cases coming before the courts in which there was a trial on the issue of the guilt of the defendant.

Table 10 shows, by States, the number and proportion of cases disposed of which were tried either by court or by jury.

TABLE 10.—CASES TRIED BY JURY, OR BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES), BY STATES: 1936

STATE	All dis-	TRIED	DANTS BY JURY HE COURT	STATE	All dis-	DEFENDANTS TRIED BY JURY OR BY THE COURT	
	positions	Number	Percent		positiona	Number	Percent
Pennsylvania	22,067	10,183	46.1	Nebraska	1,098	159	14.5
Illinois	2,376	839	35.3	Colorado		203	14.3
Utah	291	85	29.2	Kansas	1,842	236	12.8
New Jersey	5,836	1,302	22.3	Montana	563	72	12.8
Celifornie	7,214	1,540	21.3	Wisconsin	3,005	369	12.3
Massachusetts	2,891	598	20.7	North Dakota	330	38	11.5
District of Columbia	1,846	336	18,2	Oregon	1,045	109	10:4
Michigen	2,624	476	18.1	Maine	440	42	9.5
Indiana	4,985	838	16.8	Connecticut	950	87	9.2
New Hampshire	414	67	16.2	Vermont	377	32	8.5
Ohio	5,732	916	16.0	Wyoming	273	22	8.1
Arizona	912	141	15.5	South Dakota	512	40	7.8
New Mexico		134	15.5	Minnesote		141	7.4
Washington	1,501	220	14.7	Iowa	1.352	89	6.6
Idaho	449	65	14.5	Rhode Island	560	6	1.1

Of the total number of 75,682 defendants disposed of, there were 19,385 or 25.6 percent disposed of by trial. However, the median State showed a trial disposition percentage of 14.5 and the inter-quartile range was from 9.5 to 18.1 percent. This means that the great majority of felony cases in these States are disposed of by administrative means, rather than by trial. In 24 of these 30 States, more than four-fifths of all cases reported were disposed of by means other than trial. Of the 19,385 cases disposed of by trial in the 30 States, 12,688 or 65.5 percent resulted in a conviction.

Table 11 shows the 18 States with more than 100 cases disposed of by trial and the number and proportion convicted.

TABLE 11.—CASES TRIED BY JURY, OR BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES), AND CONVICTED, BY STATES: 1936

STATE <u>1</u> /	Defendents tried by jury or by			STATE 1/	Defendents tried by jury or by	DEFENDANTS CONVICTED	
	the court	Number	Parcent		the court	Number	Percent
Michigan	476	379	79.6	Indiene	838	590	70.4
Illinois	839	658	78.4	Celifornia	1,540	1,075	69.8
Weshington	220	171	77.7	Oregon	109	74	67.9
Wisconsin	369	286	77.5	Minneaota	141	94	66.7
Nabraska	159	121	76.1	Colorado	203	134	66.0
Arizona	141	105	74.5	New Mexico	134	87	64.9
Kansas	236	173	73.3	Pennsylvania	10,183	6,292	61.8
Ohio	916	667	72.8	New Jarsey	1,302	741	56.9
District of Columbia	336	238	70.8	Maasechusetta	598	332	55.5

<sup>1/</sup> States not shown where total number is less than 100.

The median of these States is 70.6 percent and the inter-quartile range is from 66 to 76.1 percent. The conclusion that more than two-thirds of the felony cases going to trial result in a conviction is substantiated by these figures.

DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS, BY OFFENSE.—The tables that have been analyzed up to this point have offered comparisons of the States based on the disposition of all types of offenses combined. The type of offense with which a defendant is charged has a great deal of influence on the ultimate disposition of the case. The four tables following show the cases distributed by offense groups and their procedural outcome. Table 12 shows the total number of defendants disposed of in the 30 States and the number and proportion convicted in each offense group.

TABLE 12. - DEFENDANTS CONVICTED, BY OFFENSE, IN 30 STATES: 1936

OFFENSE	Defend- ents die-	CONVICTED		OFFENSE	Defend- ents dia-	CONVICTED	
	posed of	Number	Percent		posed of	Number	Percent
Major offensas	75,682	55,873	73.8	Murder	1,354	878	64.8
				Stolen property		1,047	62.9
				Aggraveted assault		4,244	62.0
Burglary	13,922	11,794	84.7	Embezzlement end freud-	6,573	3,945	60.0
Violating drug laws	933	777	83.3	Manslaughter	1,569	790	50.4
Auto theft	5,467	4,442	81.3				
Forgary	4,551	3,652	80.2	Salacted combinations			
Larceny, except auto theft	15,476	11.804	76.3	of offense groups			
Robbery	6,267	4,733	75.5	Homicide (2 groups)	2,923	1,668	57.1
Cerrying weapons, etc	2,097	1,567	74.7	Larceny (4 groups)	29,181	21,238	72.8
Other sex offenses	4,778	3,364	70.4	Sex offenses (3 groups)	8,965	6,200	69.2
Commercialized vica	968	666	68.8	Offenses against prop-	7,000	.,	
Rape	3,219	2,170	67.4	erty (6 groups)	47,654	36,684	77.0

Burglary and violations of narcotic laws are the offense groups showing the highest proportion of conviction, while manslaughter shows the lowest percentage. The combination of offenses shown in the latter part of the table indicates

that 57.1 percent of all homicides disposed of resulted in conviction, 69.2 percent of sex offenses, and 77 percent of offenses against property. The relative standing of the various offense groups in this table is quite similar to that observed in the same analysis of the 1935 figures.

TABLE	13 DEFENDANTS	WHO PLEADE	D GUILTY,	, BY OFFENSE	, IN 30	STATES: 1936	
-------	---------------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------	--------------	--

OFFENSE	Defend- ante		GUILTY	OFFENSE	Defend- ents con-	PLEA OF GUILTY	
	con- victed	Number	Percent		victed	Number	Percent
Major offenses	55,873	43,185	77.3	Rape Aggraveted esseult Mansleughter	2,170 4,244 790	1,405 2,355 436	64.7 55.5 55.2
Forgery	3,652	3,400	93.1	Commercialized vice	666	352	52.9
Burglery	11,794	10,233	86.8	Murder	878	378	43.1
Auto theft		3,800 645 9,729	85.5 83.0 82.4	Selected combinations of offense groups			
Embezzlement and fraud	3,945	3,039	77.0	Homicide (2 groups)	1,668	814	48.8
Stolen property	1,047	769	73.4	Larceny (4 groups)		17,337	81.6
Other sex offenses	3,364	2,423	72.0	Sex offenses (3 groups)	6,200	4,180	67.4
Robbery	4,733	3,198	67.6	Offenses against property			
Cerrying weepons, etc	1,567	1,023	65.3	(6 groups)	36,684	30,970	84.4

Table 13 shows, by offense group, the proportion of defendants convicted whose guilt was established through a plea of guilty. Those types of crime which may be called offenses against the person show the lowest proportion of pleas of guilty—murder, manslaughter, aggravated assault, and rape. Forgery and burglary show the highest percentage of pleas of guilty. The first eight offense groups appear in the identical order that they did in the 1935 report and show very similar percentages.

TABLE 14. — DISPOSITIONS RESULTING IN TRIAL BY JURY OR BY THE COURT, BY OFFENSE,

IN 30 STATES: 1936

offense	Defend- DEFEND ants TRIED B dis- OR BY TH		BY JURY	OFFENSE	Defend- ents dis- posed	DEFENDANTS TRIED BY JURY OR BY THE COURT	
	of	Number	Percent		of	Number	Percent
Major offenses	75,682	19,385	25.6	Larceny, except auto theft Violating drug laws Auto theft	15,476 933 5,467	3,210 174 958	20.7 18.6 17.5
MurderCommercialized vice Mansleughter	1,354 968 1,569	698 460 732	51.6 47.5 46.7	Burglery	13,922	2,068 375	14.9
Aggravated asseult Carrying weepons, etc	6,843 2,097	3,114 822	45.5 39.2	Selected combinetions of offense groups	0.007	1 470	40.0
Rape	3,219 6,267 1,665	1,162 2,241 535	36.1 35.8 32.1	Homicide (2 groups) Larceny (4 groups) Sex offenses (3 groups)		1,430 6,164 2,997	48.9 21.1 33.4
Other sex offenses Embszzlement end fraud	4,778 6,573	1,375 1,461	28.8 22.2	Offenses against property (6 groups)	47,654	8,607	18.1

Table 14 shows the proportion of dispositions which resulted in a trial on the issue of guilt. Murder, as might be expected, shows the highest proportion of cases going to trial, while forgery shows the lowest. Nearly half of the defendants charged with homicide are tried. Approximately one-third of those charged with sex offenses are tried, but less than one-fifth of those charged with property offenses.

Table 15 shows the proportion of cases tried in each offense group which resulted in a conviction. There is a definite relationship to be observed between the conviction rate in cases tried and the willingness of defendants to go to trial, as indicated in table 14. Burglary and drug law violations, which in table 14 showed relatively low proportions of defendants tried, show the highest proportion of convictions on trial; while manslaughter, which showed a relatively high proportion of cases going to trial, shows the lowest conviction

rate on trial. Murder is an exception to this tendency. In table 14 murder showed the highest proportion of any offense group in defendants going to trial. Table 15 shows that it is third highest in conviction rate on trial.

TABLE 15. — DEFENDANTS TRIED BY JURY OR BY THE COURT AND CONVICTED, BY OFFENSE, IN 30 STATES: 1936

offense	Defend- ants tried by jury or	CONV	ICTED	OFFENSE	Defend- ants tried by jury or	CONVICTED	
	by the court	Number	Percent		by the court	Number	Percent
Major offenses	19,385	12,688	65.5	Lerceny, except euto theft Embezzlement end fraud	3,210 1,461	2,075	64.6 62.0
				Aggraveted esseult	3,114	1,889	60.7
Violating drug laws	174	132	75.9	Stolen property	535	278	52.0
Burglery	2,068	1,561	75.5	Mansleughter	732	354	48.4
Murder	698	500	71.6	Selected combinetions			
Robbery	2,241	1,535	68.5	of offense groups			
Other sex offenses	1,375	941	68.4		_		
Commercialized vice	460	314	68.3	Homicide (2 groups)	1,430	854	59.7
Forgery	375	252	67.2	Larceny (4 groups)	6,164	3,901	63.3
Auto theft	958	642	67.0	Sex offenses (3 groups)	2,997	2,020	67.4
Cerrying weepons, etc	822	544	66.2	Offenses against property			
Rape	1,162	765	65.8	(6 groups)	8,607	5,714	66.4

#### COURT AND JURY TRIALS

As a result of the widely differing provisions for waiver of jury trial in criminal cases which existed among the 30 reporting States, it was not possible to make a satisfactory comparison of cases tried by courts after waiver of jury with those tried by the jury for all of the States. A comparative analysis is offered at this point of the two kinds of trial procedure, based on the data from those States in which it appeared that waiver of jury was permitted in all, or nearly all, felony cases. In selecting the States for this particular analysis, a further consideration taken into account was the number of cases disposed of by trial. Eight of the 30 States were selected for this purpose, as waiver of jury trials was generally permitted in these States and a relatively large number of trials was reported. These 8 States are: California, Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

OUTCOME OF TRIALS.—Table 16 shows the total number of defendants charged with major offenses, the number and percentage disposed of by jury trial, and the number and percentage disposed of by court trial.

TABLE 16. — DISPOSITIONS RESULTING IN TRIAL BY JURY AND BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES),

IN 8 SELECTED STATES: 1936

STATE	Defendents disposed	DEFENDANT BY		DEFENDANTS TRIED BY THE COURT	
<u> </u>	of	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Totel	34,663	3,623	10.5	3,255	9.4
California	7,214 2,376 4,985 2,891 2,624 5,836 5,732 3,005	984 306 222 489 367 599 528	13.6 12.9 4.5 16.9 14.0 10.3 9.2	556 533 616 109 109 703 388 241	7.7 22.4 12.4 3.8 4.2 12.0 6.8 8.0

The table shows that 10.5 percent of the total defendants disposed of in the 8 States were tried by jury and 9.4 percent by the court. The respective percentages for these two types of trial vary greatly among the States.

Although fewer persons were tried on the issue of guilt in Indiana than in several of the other States, there were nearly three times as many defendants in that State tried by court as by jury. There were proportionately twice as many court trials reported from Illinois and Wisconsin as jury trials. On the other hand, California showed nearly twice as many jury trials as court trials and Massachusetts and Michigan showed the least use of waiver of jury:

Table 17 shows the number and percentage of the defendants tried, who were tried by jury and by the court after waiver of jury.

TABLE 17.—TRIALS BY JURY AND BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES),
IN 8 SELECTED STATES: 1936

STATE	Defend- ents	DEFENDANT BY J		DEFENDANTS TRIED BY THE COURT	
	tried	Numbe r	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	6,878	3,623	52.7	3,255	47.3
California	839 838 598 476 1,302	984 306 222 489 367 599 528 128	63.9 36.5 26.5 81.8 77.1 46.0 57.6 34.7	556 533 616 109 109 703 388 241	36.1 63.5 73.5 18.2 22.9 54.0 42.4 65.3

The same general relationships between the States that were observed in table 16 will be seen in table 17. Indiana shows the highest percentage of court trials, Massachusetts the lowest. These two tables indicate that there is little consistency to be found among those States where waiver is allowed in felony cases, in the relative frequency with which jury trials are waived; the ratio of jury trials to court trials being 4 to 1 in Massachusetts at one extreme, and 1 to 3 in Indiana at the other.

Table 18 shows the disposition of the defendants tried by court and by jury in the 8 selected States.

TABLE 18. — DEFENDANTS ACQUITTED AND CONVICTED BY JURY AND DEFENDANTS ACQUITTED AND CONVICTED BY THE COURT, IN 8 SELECTED STATES: 1936

		DEFENDAN	TS TRIED	BY JURY		DEFENDANTS TRIED BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES)					
STATE	Total	Acquitted		Conv	Convicted		Acquitted		Convicted		
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Total	Number	Parcent	Number	Percent	
Total	3,623	1,247	34.4	2,376	65.6	3,255	903	27.7	2,352	72.3	
California	984 306 222 489 367 599 528	311 108 75 221 80 272 139 41	31.6 35.3 33.8 45.2 21.8 45.4 26.3 32.0	673 198 147 268 287 327 389 87	68.4 64.7 66.2 54.8 78.2 54.6 73.7 68.0	556 533 616 109 109 703 388 241	154 73 173 45 17 289 110	27.7 13.7 28.1 41.3 15.6 41.1 28.4 17.4	402 460 443 64 92 414 278	72.3 86.3 71.9 58.7 84.4 58.9 71.6 82.6	

The data shown in table 18 certainly do not suggest any relationship between the willingness of defendants to waive jury trial and the relative chances of conviction by court or jury trial. The 3 States in table 17 that showed the highest proportion of jury trials waived were Illinois, Indiana, and Wisconsin, and yet 2 of these, Illinois and Wisconsin, showed the highest proportion of

convictions after waiver. Every State but Ohio shows a higher proportion of convictions resulting from court trials than from jury trials and in Ohio the difference is very small. There seems to be no reason found in the relative number of acquittals and convictions for the fact, shown in table 16, that Massachusetts and Michigan defendants make little use of waiver of jury trial. Massachusetts shows the second highest acquittal rate for the 8 States in jury trials and the highest rate in court trials. On the other hand, Michigan shows the lowest acquittal rate in jury trials and the second lowest rate in court trials.

OFFENSES TRIED.—The total number of defendants tried for the 8 States combined is analyzed in the next three tables on the basis of offense. Table 19 shows, by offense, the total defendants disposed of and the number and proportion of jury trials and of court trials.

TABLE 19. — DISPOSITIONS RESULTING IN TRIAL BY JURY AND BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES), BY OFFENSE, IN 8 SELECTED STATES: 1936

OFFENSE	Defend- ents dis-	DEFENDANT BY J		DEFENDANT BY THE	
	posed of	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Major offenses	34,663	3,623	10.5	3,255	9.4
Murder	746	291	39.0	69	9,2
Manslaughter	712	240	33.7	80	11.2
Robbery	3,382	591	17.5	405	12.0
Aggravated assault		416	16.9	424	17.2
Burglary		487	6,8	477	6.7
Larceny, except auto theft		347	6.0	471	8.2
Auto theft	3,127	144	4.6	294	9.4
Embezzlement and fraud	2,970	166	5,6	218	7.3
Stolen property	727	118	16.2	84	11.6
Forgery	2,161	92	4.3	84	3.9
Rape	1,668	303	18.2	211	12.6
Commercialized vice	270	26	9.6	51	18.9
Other sex offenses	1,873	285	15.2	242	12.9
Violating drug laws	743	52	7.0	66	8,9
Carrying weepons, etc	905	65	7.2	79	8.7
Selected combinations of offense groups					
Homicide (2 groups)	1,458	531	36.4	149	10.2
Larceny (4 groups)	12,564	775	6.2	1,067	9.5
Sex offenses (3 groups)	3,811	614	16.1	504	13.2
Offenses against property (6 groups)	21,897	1,354	6.2	1,628	7.4

Defendants who were charged with murder or manslaughter were disposed of by trial in greater proportions than defendants charged with other offenses. Trials in such cases are most apt to be jury trials, rather than court trials. The ratio of jury trials to court trials is 4 to 1 for murder and 3 to 1 for manslaughter. The offense group which shows the greatest number of court trials as compared to jury trials is auto theft. There are 2 court trials to every jury trial in these cases. In general, it appears that there are actually more offenses against property tried by the court than by the jury.

Table 20 shows the number and percent of total defendants tried, who were tried by jury and by the court after waiver of jury, by offense.

As was noted in table 19, the highest proportion of trials by jury is in murder and the next highest in manslaughter, while the lowest proportion of jury trials is in auto theft cases. The ratio of jury trials to court trials is over 3 to 1 in homicide cases, is about 11 to 9 in sex cases, but is 9 to 11 in offenses against property.

TABLE 20.—TRIALS BY JURY AND BY THE COURT (JURY WAIVED CASES), BY OFFENSE,
IN 8 SELECTED STATES: 1936

OFFENSE	Defend- ents tried	DEFENDANT BY J		DEFENDANT BY THE		
	by jury or by the court	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Major offenses	6,878	3,623	52.7	3,255	47.3	
Murder	360	291	80.8	69	19.2	
Manslaughter		240	75.0	80	25.0	
Robberv		591	59.3	405	40.7	
Aggravated asseult	840	416	49.5	424	50.5	
Burglary	964	487	50.5	477	49.5	
Larceny, except auto theft	818	347	42.4	471	57.6	
Auto theft	438	144	32.9	294	67.1	
Embezzlement and fraud	384	166	43.2	218	56.8	
Stolen property	202	118	58.4	84	41.6	
Forgery	176	92	52.3	84	47.7	
Rape	514	303	58.9	211	41.1	
Commercialized vice	77	26	33.8	51	66,2	
Other sex offenses	527	285	54.1	242	45.9	
Violating drug laws	118	52	44.1	66	55.9	
Cerrying weapons, etc	144	65	45.1	79	54.9	
Selected combinations of offense groups						
Homicide (2 groups)	680	531	78.1	149	21.9	
Larceny (4 groups)	1,842	775	42.1	1,067	57.9	
Sex offenses (3 groups)	1,118	614	54.9	504	45,1	
Offenses against property (6 groups)	2,982	1,354	45,4	1,628	54.6	

Table 21 shows the number and percent of defendants acquitted and convicted by jury trial and by court trial, by offense.

TABLE 21. — DEFENDANTS ACQUITTED AND CONVICTED BY JURY AND DEFENDANTS ACQUITTED AND CONVICTED BY THE COURT, BY OFFENSE, IN 8 SELECTED STATES: 1936

						DEFE	NDANTS TR	TED BY	THE COL	יויאו
	Di	EFENDANTS	TRIED	BY JURY		32111	(JURY WA			
offense		Acquitted		Convic	ted		Acquitted		Convicted	
	Totel	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Total	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per-
Major offenses	3,623	1,247	34.4	2,376	65.6	3,255	903	27.7	2,352	72,3
Murder	291	73	25.1	218	74.9	69	15	21.7	54	78.3
Mansleughter	240	123	51.3	117	48,8	80	33	41.3	47	58.8
Robbery	591	188	31.8	403	68.2	405	115	28.4	290	71.6
Aggravated essault	416	143	34.4	273	65.6	424	138	32.5	286	67.5
Burglary	487	138	28.3	349	71.7	477	84	17.6	393	82.4
Larceny, except auto theft	347	136	39.2	211 81	60.8 56.3	471 294	127 69	27.0	344 225	73.0
Auto theft	144 166	63	43.8	106	63.9	218	55	25.2	163	74.8
Stolen property	118	60	50.8	58	49.2	84	39	46.4	45	53.6
Forgery	92	28	30.4	64	69.6	84	24	28.6	60	71.4
Rape	303	102	33.7	201	66.3	211	75	35.5	136	64.5
Commercialized vice	26	5	19.2	21	80.8	51	17	33.3	34	66.7
Other sex offenses	285	97	34.0	188	66.0	242	69	28.5	173	71.5
Violating drug laws	52	11	21.2	41	78.8	66	18	27.3	48	72.7
Carrying weapons, etc	65	20	30.8	45	69.2	79	25	31.6	54	68.4
Selected combinations of offense groups										
Homicide (2 groups)	531	196	36.9	335	63.1	149	48	32.2	101	67.8
Larceny (4 groups)	775	319	41,2	456	58.8	1,067	290	27.2	777	72.8
Sex offenses (3 groups)	614	204	33.2	410	66.8	504	161	31.9	343	68.1
Offenses egainst property (6 groups)	1,354	485	35.8	869	64.2	1,628	398	24.4	1,230	75.6

Court trials resulted in conviction in 72.3 percent of the cases in these 8 States, while 65.6 percent of the jury trials resulted in verdicts of guilty. This relationship of a slightly higher percentage of convictions by court than by jury is fairly constant throughout the offense groups. In 11 of the 15 groups, there is a higher proportion of convictions by court than by jury. The greatest difference occurs in auto theft cases where 76.5 percent of the court trials resulted in conviction and only 56.3 percent of the jury trials. The only 4 offense groups which showed relatively more jury convictions than court convictions were rape, commercialized vice, drug law violations, and carrying weapons. In the latter 3 groups the number of cases was quite small and relatively little significance can be attached to the percentage differences.

#### SENTENCE OR TREATMENT

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON CONVICTED OFFENDERS.—The term "conviction" is one that has been variously defined. In one sense, a defendant who has plead guilty to a criminal offense or who has been found guilty by jury verdict or by court trial would seem to be convicted. On the other hand, there is always the possibility, even after such a determination of guilt, that the plea or verdict may be set aside and the charge subsequently dismissed or retried. A "convicted offender," as used in these statistics, means a defendant who has been convicted by plea, court, or jury and who, in addition, has been sentenced to some form of punishment or treatment. The granting of probation to a defendant by the court, whether or not judgment is finally imposed, is considered to be a sentence. Because there are relatively few appeals taken by defendants convicted in courts of general jurisdiction and because reversals of judgment on appeal occur in very few of the cases appealed, such proceedings after judgment have so little effect in changing the original judgment or sentence that they have been ignored in these statistics.

The penalty that may be imposed on a convicted offender is prescribed by the law of the State for every offense. Penalties are usually stated in terms of minimum and maximum limits of imprisonment or fine. The authority to pronounce judgment and to select the sentence or treatment that will be imposed on a convicted offender generally rests in the hands of the court. In some States, juries have been given the power of determining the sentence in those cases where the defendant is found guilty by a jury and in a good many States the jury has the power of selecting the sentence in cases of defendants found guilty of murder. There is considerable variation from State to State in the manner in which a court is authorized to pronounce sentence of imprisonment. In some States, the court fixes a definite term of imprisonment within the minimum and maximum limits allowed by law. In other States, the court may fix a minimum and a maximum term within the limits allowed by law for the particular offense or may fix the minimum term only, the maximum being the upper limit prescribed by the law. In still other States, the judge merely sentences the defendant to prison for the term prescribed by law, the length of the term being fixed at a subsequent time by a sentencing board. In several jurisdictions, defendants are committed to prison for not longer than the maximum term, subject to earlier release by a parole board.

Judges of the trial courts still have rather broad powers in selecting the type of punishment to be imposed on a convicted offender, despite the fact that their power to set the length of a prison sentence has been considerably limited in recent years through the adoption of the indeterminate sentence. In many States, courts have the discretion of imposing a judgment other than a prison sentence in a large number of felony offenses. The defendant may be placed on probation or may be given a jail sentence or a fine. As might be expected, the wide discretion of the courts in the selection of sentences makes for rather wide variations in the sentences imposed by different judges for the same offense and also for considerable variation in the sentencing practices of a single judge over a period of time.

Table 22 shows the distribution of defendants convicted and sentenced, by the type of sentence or treatment imposed.

TABLE 22. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED, BY SENTENCE OR TREATMENT,
IN 30 STATES: 1936

SENTENCE OR TREATMENT	30 STATES	COMBINED	PERCENT OF ALL CONVIC- TIONS IN STATES TAKEN INDIVIDUALLY			
	Number	Parcent	Median *	Inter-quartile		
Defendents senteaced	55,431	100.0				
Prisons and reformatories	1/20,094 17,223 14,196 3,195 723	36.3 31.1 25.6 5.8 1.3	52.6 26.3 15.2 2.6 0.7	33.7-61.9 20.8-35.5 11.5-20.9 1.5- 4.4 0.3- 1.8		

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 63 death sentences.

A total of 36.3 percent of the defendants received a prison or a reformatory sentence; 31.1 percent were given probation or a suspended sentence; 25.6 percent received jail sentences; and 5.8 percent were fined. A better indication of the use of the various methods of sentence or treatment than the percentage distribution for all States combined is the percent of the median State when the 30 States are taken separately. These medians indicate that the usual proportion of sentences to prison or reformatory among those defendants convicted of major offenses was slightly over 50 percent. Probation was granted in the typical State to about one-fourth of the defendants convicted and approximately 15 percent were given jail sentences. The distribution of those convicted according to sentence imposed shown in table 22 is almost identical with the distribution shown in the similar table published in the 1935 report.

TABLE 23. - DEFENDANTS SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES, BY STATES: 1936

STATE	Defend- ents sen-	SENTENO STATE PRI REFORMATO	GUA SHOE	STATE	Defand- ants	SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES 1/		
	tenced	Number	Perceat		teaced	Number	Percent	
Thirty States	55,431	20,094	36.3	Ideho	372	199	53.5	
				Indiana	2,898	1,494	51.6	
Montana	387	296	76.5	Michigan	2,189	1,109	50.7	
Kansas	1.371	1,015	74.0	Vermont	281	134	47.7	
Colorado	965	658	68.2	Minnesota	1,664	789	47.4	
Washington	1,170	764	65.3	Ohio	4,040	1,897	47.0	
District of Columbia		830	62.9	Iowa	983	410	41.7	
Illinois	1,577	990	62.8	New Jarsey	4.352	1,528	35.1	
Utah	190	113	62.1	Connecticut	793	264	33.7	
Wyoming	202	125	61.9	Maina	320	105	32.8	
South Dakota	441	271	61.5	Massachusatts	2,313	715	30.9	
Arizona	636	390	61.3	New Hampsbire	344	106	30.8	
Nebraska	907	553	61.0	Wisconein	2,330	717	30.8	
New Mexico	547	325	59.4	California	5,814	1,613	27.7	
North Dakota	275	158	57.5	Rhode Island	538	116	21.6	
Oragon	882	492	55.8	Pennsylvania	15,340	1,913	12.5	

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 63 sentenced to death penalty.

The proportion of defendants sentenced to prison ranged from 76.5 percent in Montana to 12.5 percent in Pennsylvania. Again the similarity of the 1936 distribution to the 1935 is very apparent. In 1935 the range of percents was from 72.3 in Montana to 12.4 in Pennsylvania. For the most part, all of the remaining 28 States showed a proportion of prison sentences for 1936 that was very similar to that shown for 1935 and there were very few changes in the relative order of their position listed in the corresponding tables for the two years.

One reason for the exceedingly low percentage of prison sentences reported by Pennsylvania is that there is a much larger proportion of misdemeanors

included in the 15 major offense groups in that State than will be found in most other States. This is illustrated in the percentage of convictions in these major offense groups which resulted in jail sentence or fine. Fifty-eight percent of all convictions in Pennsylvania were so sentenced but no other State showed more than 41 percent jail and fine sentences and in most of the States the proportion was less than 25 percent. For some reason, probably closely connected with the jurisdiction of the reporting courts, the limitation of the analysis to major offense groups did not eliminate minor offenses from the Pennsylvania figures to the extent that it did in the other States.

TABLE 24. — DEFENDANTS PLACED ON PROBÂTION OR GIVEN SUSPENDED SENTENCE, BY STATES: 1936

STATE	Defend- ants san- tenced	PLACE PROBATI GIVEN SU SENTE	ON OR SPENDED	STATE	Defend- ants sen- tanced	PLACED ON PROBATION OR GIVEN SUSPENDED SENTENCE		
		Number	Percent			Number	Percent	
Thirty States		17,223	31.1	New Mexico	547 783 372	150 197 90	27.4 25.2 24.2	
Rhode Island Massachusatts		354 1,021	65.B 44.l	Iowa	983	229	23.3	
New Jarsey		1,021	43.1	Colorado	965 1,320	21 6 285	22.4	
New Hampshire		147	42.7	South Dakota	,	95	21.5	
Maine	320	129	40.3	Vermont		59	21.0	
Michigan		835	38.1 36.7	Nebreske		189	20.8	
Wisconsin		855 1,433	35.5	Utah	190	36	18.9	
California		2,010	34.6	Illinois	1,577	287	18.2	
Minnesote		573	34.4	Washington	1,170	202	17.3	
Arizona	636	192	30.2	Montene		58	15.0	
Oregon		266 30.2		Kansas		1,48	14.4	
Pennsylvania		4,362	28,4	Wyoming		28	13.9	
Indiana	2,898	821	28.3	North Dakota	275	32	11.6	

Table 24 shows, by States, the defendants who were placed on probation or given a suspended sentence. It has been found impossible after several years' experience to distinguish satisfactorily between these two types of sentence; hence they have been combined into one group. Defendants who were reported in this group usually were not imprisoned but were immediately released at the time of disposition and returned to society. Those placed on probation were required to observe certain conditions during their probationary period and those whose sentence was merely suspended were subjected to the threat of the sentence being executed if they misbehaved. The cases which were reported from Massachusetts as being convicted and placed on file have been included in this analysis in the probation and suspended sentence group.

Rhode Island reported 65.8 percent of all convictions as resulting in probation or a suspended sentence, while North Dakota, at the other extreme, reported only 11.6 percent receiving this type of treatment.

SENTENCES IMPOSED, BY OFFENSE.—Table 25 shows the number and percent of total defendants convicted who were sentenced to prison or reformatory, by offense group.

It is, of course, to be expected that nearly all persons convicted of murder would receive either a capital sentence or at least a prison sentence. Of the 730 defendants sentenced on murder charges, 63 were given death sentences and 626 prison sentences. Thus, these sentences account for 94.4 percent of the murder convictions. Robbery convictions resulted in prison sentences in 62.1 percent of the cases. On the whole, the distribution of prison sentences by offense in the 1936 figures closely approximate the distribution which was observed in the 1935 data. However, the proportion of major offenders sentenced to prison decreased slightly in 1936—36.3 percent as compared to 38 percent for 1935.

TABLE 25.—DEFENDANTS SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES, BY OFFENSE,
IN 30 STATES: 1936

OFFENSE	De- fend- ants	SENTENC STATE PRI REFORMA	CINA ENOS	offense	De- fend- ants sen-	STATE PRI	SENTENCED TO STATE PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES	
	sen- tenced	Number	Percent	t		Number	Percent	
Major offenses	55,431	<u>1</u> /20,094	36.3	Aggravated asseult Violeting drug laws		886 144	22.2	
				Stolen property	1,165	208	17.9	
Murder	730	1/689	94.4	Commercialized vice		100	15.0	
Robbery	4,569	2,837	62.1	Cerrying weepons, etc	1,574	196	12.5	
Manslaughter	898	405	45.1	Selected combinations				
Burglary	11,557	5,038	43.6	of offense groups				
Rape	2,127	917	43.1					
Forgery	3,649	1,490	40.8	Homicide (2 groups)	1,628	1,094	67.2	
Auto theft	4,439	1,691	38.1	Larceny (4 groups)		6,552	30.4	
Larceny, sucept auto theft	11,980	3,729	31.1	Sex offenses (3 groups)-	6,148	1,857	30.2	
Other sex offsnsss	3,356	840	25.0	Offenses egainst prop-				
Embezzlement and fraud	3,959	924	23.3	erty (6 groups)	36,749	13,080	35.6	

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 63 sentenced to death penelty.

There was a noticeable change in relative number of sentences to prison in 3 of the offense groups between 1936 and 1935. Those convicted of rape showed 5 percent less prison sentences in 1936 than the previous year; those convicted of forgery, 4.9 percent less; and those convicted of commercialized vice, 6.2 percent less. In the combinations of offense groups shown in the latter part of the table, it will be seen that sex offenses showed 30.2 percent sentenced to State prison or reformatory. This is exactly 4 percent less than the same group showed in 1935, and with the fairly large number of cases involved each year would seem to indicate that there was a small but definite decrease in the use of prison sentences in these offenses and a consequent increase in the use of other types of punishment.

TABLE 26. — DEFENDANTS SENTENCED TO PROBATION OR SUSPENDED SENTENCE, BY OFFENSE, IN 30 STATES: 1936

offense	Defend- ants sen- tanced	sen-		offense	Defend- ents sen- tenced	PLACED ON PROBA TION OR GIVEN SUSPENDED SENTENCE		
		Number	Percent			Number	Percent	
Major offenses	55,431	17,223	31.1	Rape Manslaughter Commercialized Vice	2,127 898 665	509 204 134	23.9 22.7 20.2	
Stolen property	1,165	478	41.0	Robbery	4,569	720	15.8	
Embezzlement and fraud		1,545	39.0	Murder	730	6	0.8	
Auto theft	4,439	1,662	37.4	Selected combinations				
Forgery	3,649	1,325	36.3	of offense groups				
Burglary	11,557	3,911	33.8					
Larceny, sucept auto theft		3,998	33,4	Homicide (2 groups)	1,628	210	12.9	
Carrying weapons, stc		512	32.5	Larceny (4 groups)	21,543	7,683	35.7	
Violating drug laws	776	235	30.3	Sex offenses (3 groups)-	6,148	1,521	24.7	
Aggravated assault	3,987	1,106	27.7	Offenses against prop-				
Other sex offenses	3,356	878	26.2	srty (6 groups)	36,749	12,919	35.2	

Table 26 shows, by offense, the defendants that received a sentence of probation or a suspended sentence. Only 6 defendants out of 730, or less than 1 percent, who were convicted on murder charges, were granted probation. As might be expected, robbery was the next offense group showing the least proportion of convictions resulting in probation. It is quite clear that probation and suspended sentence are used most frequently for those convicted of the offenses under larceny (35.7), much less frequently for sex offenses (24.7 percent),

and least for homicide (12.9 percent). In this comparison, however, homicide is not a satisfactory grouping, inasmuch as the difference is exceedingly large between murder, with less than 1 percent of the defendants sentenced receiving probation, and manslaughter, with 22.7 percent receiving probation.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

There has been no perceptible change in the disposition and sentencing practices of the courts in the 30 reporting States between 1935 and 1936. In 1936, 75 percent of all cases disposed of resulted in convictions. In 1935 this percentage was 74.4. In 1936 the median State of the 30 showed 86.5 percent of all convictions resulting from pleas of guilty. In 1935 the median State showed a similar percentage of 85.4. In 1936 the median State showed 52.6 percent of all convictions resulted in prison sentences. In 1935 this same percentage was 51.3.

A great deal of variation was observed among the 30 States in nearly every comparison presented. While this was due in part to differences in the comparative jurisdiction of the reporting courts, differences in administrative practices and the relative efficiency of agencies enforcing the criminal law undoubtedly accounted for much of the variation. It must be remembered, also, that the same type of variation which is observed among States also exists among the counties and courts within a State to as great and sometimes to even a greater degree. There are differences between the jurisdiction of like courts in the urban and rural areas of the same State. Individual judges vary markedly in their viewpoints and practices. Prosecutors, who probably have the most important role in that function of the administration of criminal justice which determines the guilt of those charged with crime, individually have widely differing concepts of their responsibility in law enforcement and vary extensively in the administrative methods and procedures they use in carrying out their duties. All of this results in an extremely diverse and uncoordinated administration of criminal justice, not only from State to State but from county to county within a State. Because of the limitations which exist in the present method of collecting judicial statistics, and because of the differences which exist between counties and States that are not capable of statistical measurement, the statistics presented in this report must, of necessity, be interpreted with extreme caution.

Procedural outcome for the typical State in 1936 may be summarized as follows: From 70 to 80 percent of all defendants prosecuted were convicted. About three-fourths of those eliminated without conviction were eliminated through dismissal of the charges against them. Pleas of guilty accounted for between 80 and 90 percent of all convictions. Only one-fourth of the defendants prosecuted in the trial courts were disposed of by means of trial. Of the defendants convicted and sentenced, approximately one-half received sentences to the State prison or reformatory and about one-fourth were given probation or a suspended sentence.

#### DETAILED TABLES

The following 12 tables show the complete detail of all cases reported by the 30 States for 1936. For the most part, the tables cover reports from courts of general jurisdiction handling criminal cases. They also cover reports from courts of limited jurisdiction in some States where such courts have power to dispose of felony cases. Because of this and the further fact that in several States courts of general jurisdiction handle a large number of "minor" criminal offenses, comparisons between States in these tables should be made with extreme caution. In appendix II will be found the number and kind of courts in each State which furnished the information included in these tables.

No separate returns of cases coming under the "embezzlement and fraud" grouping were received from Massachusetts. These cases are included in the "larceny, except auto theft" group for Massachusetts in every table where that State appears.

TABLE 27. — DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936

OFFENSE	30 Sta		Ari- zona			Colo- rado	Con- nect cut		Distri of Columb	Id	aho	Illi		
All offenses	136	,234	1,314	8,	330	1,624	1,8	32	2,5	18	633	2,59	9,62	2,550
Major offenses, total-	75	682	912	7,	214	1,419	9	50	1,8	46	449	2,37	6 4,98	1,352
Murder	6,	354 569 267 843 922	48 16 64 133 217		148 151 601 560 360	70 14 95 73 307		14 42 69 25	3	34 24 95 97 94	10 15 28 26 112	63	54 5 50 29 31 27	8 8 8 73 5 67
Larceny, except euto theft- Auto theft	5, 6,	476 467 573 665 551	163 16 71 11 76	!	575 721 518 108 894	363 78 187 26 90	5	36 32 56 24	2	50 52 75 42 06	94 11 28 13 87	25 22 17 2	7 44 1 65 8 11	4 98 4 153 7 27
Rapa	4,	219 968 778 933 097	54 8 31 3		431 7 370 641 129	91 23 2	2] 2]	33 19 17 11		16 16 19 26	25			9 9 5 74 4 3
Other offenses:						ŀ								
Minor assault Nonsupport or neglect Violating liquor lews Driving while intoxicated- Other motor vehicle lews Disorderly conduct and	8, 7,	935 182 769 897 559	42 69 23 93 38		72 236 34 367 269	23 37 17 6 5	4	31 13 57 18 55		12 32 5	12 14 23 16 66		9 86 7 79 4 43	9 127 9 351 6 405
VagrencyGemblingAll other offenses	3,	824 563 823	27 10 100		6 25 607	19 9 89	12 10 22	8		 08 15	15 5 33	1 12		9 43
	1	li.						- 1						
	Kan- ses	Main	Mes chi set	u-	Mich- igan	- Minn		- 1	Ne- bras- ka	New Hamp- shire		ew rsey	New Mexico	North Dakota
All offenses	ses	Main	e ch	u-		sot	a ter	ie	bras-	Hamp-	Je:			
All offenses	3,113		set set	u- ts	igan	, 2,69	0 89	ne 93	bres- ke	Hamp- shire	Je:	rsey	Mexico	Dakota
	3,113	1,03	e ch set  9 3,' 0 2,8 9 7 4 0	u- ts 704	igan 3,957	2,69 1,91 1,91 2,8 9 5	1 56 4 1 4 2 1 8 3	93 .2 .9 .9	bres- ka	Hamp- shira 656	Je:	rsey ,543	Mexico	Dakota 678
Major offenses, total Murder	3,113 1,842 60 13 108 90	1,03° 44 1,03° 1,0	9 3, 0 2, 3 9 7 7 4 4 0 0 6 6 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 3	704 891 13 29 302 154	3,957 2,624 51 87 178	2,69 1,91 2,29 3,99 5,00 28 68 17 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 56 4 1 42 1 8 3 2 11 77 18 77 2 3 3	93 33 2 9 9 9 4 8	1,893 1,098 10 21 82 25	656 414 	Je:	,543 ,836 75 125 511 721	1,342 867 30 16 43 145	330 7 3 15 20
Major offenses, total Murder	3,113 1,842 60 13 108 90 402 522 134 170 34	1,03° 444 10 13 77 36 42 20	e ch set  9 3,' 0 2,8 9 7 4 0 6 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	704 891 13 29 302 154 918 584 308	3,957 2,624 51 87 178 139 600 478 269 152	sot	1 56 4 1 4 2 1 88 7 2 1 18 7 2 3 3 2 0 5 1 4 9 2 2 3 11	93 2 9 9 9 4 8 4 0 4 5 6 2 1 9	1,893  1,098  10 21 82 25 279 244 77 79 37	656 414	Je:	,543 ,836 75 125 511 721 ,172 910 375 504 188	1,342 867 30 16 43 145 86 271 26 85	7 3 15 20 50 85 16 33 1
Major offenses, total	3,113 1,842 60 13 108 90 402 522 134 206 68 6 6 14	1,03 44 1,13 7,7 3,4 4,8 2,2 2,2 5,5	e ch set  9 3,' 0 2,8 9 7 4 0 6 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	704 891 13 29 3302 154 9918 584 29 20 214 2	3,957 2,624 51 87 179 139 600 478 40 119 151 141 255 151 151	sot	1 56 4 1 4 2 1 88 7 2 1 18 7 2 3 3 2 0 5 1 4 9 2 2 3 11	33 29 99 44 80 40 40 40 41 99 44	bres- ke  1,893  1,098  10 21 82 25 279  244 77 79 37 145 24 28 21 2	Hamp-shire 656 414 7 11 113 100 22 59 3 16 27 2 40	Je:	,543 ,836 ,75 125 511 ,172 910 375 504 188 180 194 144 523 16	1,342 867 30 16 43 145 86 271 26 85 14 58	7 3 15 20 50 85 11 30 25 1
Major offenses, total—  Murder———————————————————————————————————	3,113 1,842 60 13 108 90 402 522 134 206 68 6 6 14	1,03 44 1,13 7,7 3,4 4,8 2,2 2,2 5,5	e e chi set  9 9 3, 1 0 0 2, 3 1 0 0 0 2, 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	704 891 13 29 3302 154 9918 584 29 20 214 2	3,957 2,624 51 87 179 139 600 478 40 119 151 141 255 151 151	Sot	1 56 1 56 1 56 1 56 1 56 1 56 1 1 56 1 1 56 1 1 1 56 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	93 33 29 94 40 45 66 21 94 66 05 15	bres- ke  1,893  1,098  10 21 82 25 279  244 77 79 37 145 24 28 21 2	Hamp-shire 656 414 7 11 113 100 22 59 3 16 27 2 40	9 5	,543 ,836 ,75 125 511 ,172 910 375 504 188 180 194 144 523 16	1,342 867 30 16 43 145 86 271 26 85 14 58	7 3 15 20 50 85 11 30 25 1
Major offenses, total—  Murder———————————————————————————————————	3,113 1,842 60 13 108 90 402 522 134 206 68 6 6 14 13 2	1,03 44 1.1 1.1 1.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 5.6 	e chi set  9 3,  0 2,4  0 2,4  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	704 891 13 29 302 154 918 107 29 214 2 82 2 147	1gan 3,957 2,622 51 877 1335 600 477 266 155 151 14 253 181 78	Sot   Sot	1 564 1 1 564 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	33 2 9 9 4 8 4 0 4 5 6 2 1 9 4 6 0 5 1 5 6 6 0	1,893  1,098  10 21 82 25 279  244 77 79 37 145 24 28 21 2 24	656  414	9 5	75,543 ,836 75,125,511,72 910,375,504,188,188,180,194,189,1634,167,198	1,342 867 300 166 433 1455 866 271 266 855 144 588 399 44 188 111 21	7 3 15 20 50 85 16 33 3 1 30 35

TABLE 27.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

OFFENSE	Ohio	Oregon	Pannsyl- vania	Rhode Island	South Dakota	Utah	Vermont	Wesh- ington	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
All offenses	8,738	1,516	42,531	735	1,000	449	2,811	2,426	14,511	441
Major offenses, total-	5,732	1,045	22,067	560	512	291	377	1,501	3,005	273
Murder	193	11	209	3	7	6	1	29	22	10
Manslaughter		18	532	14	7	9	1	56	52	1
Robbery	728	49	1,587	21	19	21	1	66	134	13
Aggrevated assault		86	3,213	45	21	20	1	52	121	24
Burglary		163	2,788	250	96	69	50	324	456	46
	_ ′		'							
Larceny, except auto theft	676	281	4,969	20	166	60	169	483	720	80
Auto thaft		42	990	95	15	10	26	98	249	11
Embazzlement and fraud	646	103	2,075	35	43	15	30	52	325	26
Stolen property	94	46	585	1	6	8	4	5	45	6
Forgery	351	152	661	8	72	32	18	164	204	36
Rape	156	34	792		29	8	25	46	223	12
Commercialized vice	12	3	567	8		1	3	11	61	
Other sex offenses	110	52	1,978	43	25	29	43	98	361	5
Violating drug laws	50	1	91	2	1	3		2	5	1
Carrying weapons, etc		4	1,030	15	5			15	27	2
Other offenses:										
Minor assault	263	38	2,262	22	26	14	2	101	224	17
Nonsupport or naglect	695	54	3,946	6	25	17	36	35	529	4
Violating liquor laws	887	18	2,097	1	98	49	57	66	335	5
Driving while intoxicated-	332	44	3,998	43	158	13	150	118	559	46
Other motor vehicle laws	209	24	1,848	28	28	27	558	220	4,007	1
Disorderly conduct and										
vagrancy	73	13	256	36	16	13	1,416	88	4,576	14
Gambling	122	133	2,180	6	9	3	26	60	59	4
All other offensea	425	147	3,877	33	128	22	189	237	1,217	77

TABLE 28.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH MAJOR CRIMINAL OFFENSES AND DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1936

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

OFFENSE	30 State		Ari- zona	Cal:		Colo- rado	1	Con- necti- cut	Distr of Colum	1	daho	Illi		Iowa
Major offenses, percent	100	0.0	100.0	100.	.0 ]	100.0		100.0	) 10	00.0 1	00.0	100.	0 100.0	100.0
Murder	8	1.8 2.1 3.3 9.0 3.4	5.3 1.8 7.0 14.6 23.8	2. 2. 8. 7.	3 8	4.9 1.0 6.7 5.1 21.6		1.5 4.4 7.3 2.0 25.3	1 3 2 5 3	1.8 1.3 21.4 .0.7	2.2 3.3 6.2 5.8 24.9	5. 2. 26. 3. 20.	3 1.2 5 6.0 4 5.5	0.6 5.4 5.0
Larceny, except auto theft Auto theft Embezzlement and fraud Stolen property Forgery	7 8 2	7.2 3.7 2.2 5.0	17.9 1.8 7.8 1.2 8.3	8. 10. 7. 1. 12.	.0	25.6 5.5 13.2 1.8 6.3		9.1 9.5 5.5 2.5	7 ]	3.7 4.1 2.3	18.7 2.4 6.2 2.9 19.4	10. 9. 7. 1. 4.	6 8.9 2 13.1 2 2.3	7.2
Rape	6	1.3 1.3 1.3 1.2 2.8	5.9 0.9 3.4 0.3 0.1	6. 0. 5. 8.	1 -	1.6		3.5 2.0 22.8 1.2	3		5.6	4.	5 0.4 5 2.3 - 0.3	0.7 5.5
	Kan- sas	Maine	Mass: chu sett	- Mi	ich- gan	Min so		Mon- tana		New Hamp shir	-   Te	lew ersey	New Mexico	North Dakota
Major offenses, percent	100.0	100.0	100	.0 10	0,0	100	.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 1	.00.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	3.3 0.7 5.9 4.9 21.8	2.0 1.6 3.2 2.3 30.9	10	.0 .4 .3	1.9 3.3 6.8 5.3	1 4	.7 .3 .8 .0	2.1 1.6 3.4 6.0 21.0	1.9 1 7.5 2.3	1. 2. 2.	7 7 7	1.3 2.1 8.8 12.4 20.1	3.5 1.8 5.0 16.7 9.9	2.1 0.9 4.5 6.1 15.2
Larceny, except auto theft Auto theft Emhezzlement and fraud Stolen property Forgery	7.3	18.0 7.7 9.3 1.8 5.2		.7 1	8.2 0.3 5.8 1.5 4.5	8	.9 .3 .0 .7	32.7 3.6 6.0 9.9	7.0	5. 14.	3 3 7	15.6 6.4 8.6 3.2 3.1	31.3 3.0 9.8 1.6 6.7	25.8 4.8 10.0 0.3 9.1
Rape	3.7 0.3 0.8 0.7 0.1	5.0 0.7 12.3	0 2	.8	5.8 0.5 9.6 0.6 3.0	0 3 0	.3	7.5 0.2 1.6 2.5	2.6	9.	5 7 -	3.3 2.5 9.0 0.3 3.4	4.5 0.5 2.1 1.3 2.4	7.6 0.3 2.7
	Ohio	Ore	gon	Penn- syl- vania	Rho Isl	de and		uth cota	Utah	Ver- mont		ash- gton	Wis- consin	Wyo- ming
Major offenses,parcent	100.0	100	0.0	100.0	10	0.0	10	0,0	100.0	100.0	) 1	.00.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	3.4 2.7 12.7 7.3 24.7		1.1 1.7 4.7 3.2 5.6	0.9 2.4 7.2 14.6 12.6		0.5 2.5 3.8 8.0 4.6	1	1.4 1.4 3.7 4.1	2.1 3.1 7.2 6.9 23.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 13.0	3 3	1.9 3.7 4.4 3.5 21.6	0.7 1.7 4.5 4.0 15.2	3.7 0.4 4.8 8.8 16.8
Larceny, except auto theft Auto theft Embezzlement and fraud Stolen property Forgery	11.8 9.3 11.3 1.6 6.1		5.9 4.0 9.9 4.4 4.5	22.5 4.5 9.4 2.7 3.0	1	3.6 7.0 6.3 0.2 1.4		32.4 2.9 8.4 1.2	20.6 3.4 5.2 2.7 11.0	44.8 6.9 8.0 1.2 4.8		32.2 6.5 3.5 0.3 10.9	24.0 8.3 10.8 1.5 6.8	29.3 4.0 9.5 2.2 13.2
Rape	2.7 0.2 1.9 0.9 3.3		3.3 0.3 5.0 0.1	3.6 2.6 9.0 0.4 4.7		1.4 7.7 0.4 2.7		5.7 4.9 0.2 1.0	2.7 0.3 10.0 1.0	6.6 0.8 12.7	3	3.1 0.7 6.5 0.1 1.0	7.4 2.0 12.0 0.2 0.9	1.8 0.4 0.7

TABLE 29.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION IN 30 STATES, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE: 1936

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	All	All		ajor :	Mu	ırdər	Man- alaug ter	h-	Rob- bery		Aggra- vated assault	Burg- lary	Lar- csny, except auto theft
Total defendants disposed of	136,2	34	75	,682	1,354		1,569		6,26	7	6,843	13,922	15,476
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	24,38 2,19 9,4	37,995 24,388 2,193 9,462 1,952		,809 ,107 ,088 ,609 ,005		476 211 17 181 67	3	779 368 48 330 33	1,53 78 12 58	4 0	2,599 1,299 163 1,062	1,438 93 414	3,672 2,370 175 960 167
Convicted	76,6	98,239 76,637 8,061 13,541		55,873 43,185 3,130 9,558		878 378 65 435	4	90 36 65 89	4,73 3,19 31 1,22	3	4,244 2,355 331 1,558	10,233	11,804 9,729 564 1,511
	Auto theft			- Stole property		For- gery	Rape		Comme ializ vice	ed	Other sex of- fenses	Vio- lating drug laws	Carry- ing weap- ons, stc.
Total defendents disposad of	5,467	6,573		1,6	65	4,551	3,219		968		4,778	933	2,097
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	1,025 646 71 245 63		2,628 1,962 76 479 111	3	18 44 52 05 17	899 726 29 94 50	31	97 35	1	302 30 27 19 26	1,414 885 86 348 95	107 18 24	530 240 28 250 12
Convicted	4,442 3,800 250 392		3,945 3,039 223 683	1	47 69 08 70	3,652 3,400 78 174		05	3	666 63 251	3,364 2,423 244 697	645	1,567 1,023 73 471
	Minor assaul	t	Non- suppor or negleo	t la	io- ting quo: aws	wh r int	ving ile oxi- ted	Oth mot vehi	icle	cor	sor- erly iduct and grancy	Gambling	All other of- fenses
Total defendants disposed of	4,93	5	8,18	32 7	,76	9 7	,897	8,	8,559		7,824	3,563	11,823
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	56	3	2,86 2,51 13	.4 1 34 '1	,59 ,88 8 49 13	1 4 3	,721 727 92 848 54		,676 ,038 300 246 92		765 622 61 14 68	1,222 480 8 703 31	5,034 3,486 285 909 354
Convicted- Plea guilty	1,84 31	569 68 2,624 1,849 313 462			,17 ,48 24 44	8 4 6 4	,176 ,915 318 943		,883 ,145 521 217		7,059 6,744 268 47	2,341 1,555 76 710	6,789 5,015 -773 1,001

72795 0-38--3

TABLE 30.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME, OF DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION IN 30 STATES, BY OFFENSE: 1936

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME  All offenses offenses warder slaugh ter Aggravated assault large.	Lar- ceny, excapt auto theft
Total defendants disposed of 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction 27.9 26.2 35.2 49.6 24.5 38.0 15. Dismissed	3 15.3 7 1.1 6.2
Convicted	62.9
Auto theft and fraud Stolen erty For gery Rape Commer of latter are fraue and fraud Commer and fraud Rape Rape Rape Commer of latter are fenses laws	Carry- ing weap- ons, etc.
Total defendants disposed of 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction 18.7 40.0 37.1 19.8 32.6 31.2 29.6 16.0 18.5 11.4 18.5 11. Jury waived, acquitted by court 1.3 1.2 3.1 0.6 2.6 2.8 1.8 1. Acquitted by jury 4.5 7.3 12.3 2.1 9.7 12.3 7.3 2. Other no penalty dispositions 1.2 1.7 1.0 1.1 1.7 2.7 2.0 0.	11.4 1.3 11.9
Convicted	48.8
Minor assault or liquor intoxined laws cated laws of the laws cated laws of the laws cated laws wagrancy	All other of-
Total defendants disposed of 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction 46.8 35.0 33.4 21.8 19.6 9.8 34.   Dismissed	29.5
Convicted	42.4

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION,
BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936

BI FROCEDO					,	D1 .							
					ALL	MAJOR	OF	TENSE	s				
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	30 Stetes	Ariz	Celi	f.	Colo	. Con	n.	D. C	. Id	aho	111.	Ind.	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of	75,682	91:	7,2	14	1,41	9 9	50	1,84	6	449	2,376	4,985	1,352
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	12,107 1,088 5,609	270	1 3	02 54 11	6	3 1 5 4	52 26 18	9	2 6 2	77 53 3 17	800 555 73 108	2,085 1,779 173 75	366 327 2 26
Other no penelty dispositions	1,005	64:		33	96		7	1,35		372	64	2,900	986
Plea guiltyJury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	43,185 3,130 9,558	537	4,8		11'	4 7 7	30 60 8	1,11	8	327 10 35	918 460 198	2,310 443 147	925 16 45
				ALL	MAJO	R OFFE	NSE	S—Co	ntinu	.ed			
	Kans.	Maine	Mase.	Mi	ch.	Minn.	Mo	nt.	Nebr.	N.H	. N. J	N. Mex	N. Dak.
Totel defendents disposed of	1,842	440	2,891	2,	624	1,911		563 1	,098	414	5,83	36 86	7 330
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	464 374 2 61	118 106 	578 312 45 221		424 292 17 80	231 168 1 46		166 131 	190 147 8 30	70 54 	28	14 26	6 47
Other no penelty dispositions	27	3			35	16		13	5	7		50	6 7
Convicted	1,378 1,205 7 166	322 289  33	2,313 1,981 64 268	1,8		1,680 1,586 6 88		397 347 10 40	908 787 61 60	344 286 45 13	3,64	10 46 14	1 238 8 6
				ALL	MAJO	R OFFE	nse	S—Co	ntinu	ed			
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	1	R.I.	S. Dak.		Uteh	Vt.	W	ash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	5,732	1,045	22,06	7	560	51	2	291	37	7 1	,501	3,005	273
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	1,515 1,171 110 139	162 123 1	6,72 2,67 12 3,76	2 .	22		1 .8 .	95 61 	7	6 6 3 4	315 199 2 47	670 454 42 41	49 1
Acquitted by juryOther no penalty dispositions	95	4	16	2	3		5	6	1	.3	67	133	15
Convicted- Plee guilty	4,217 3,550 278 389	883 809 3 71	15,34 9,04 49 5,79	8 .	538 534  4			196 139 5 52		6 1 2 3	,186 ,015 8 163	2,335 2,049 199 87	187
						MUR	DER						
	29 States	Ariz.	Cali	f.	Colo	. Con	n.	D. C	. Id	aho	I11.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	1,354	4.6	1.	48	70	0	14	3	4	10	138	106	14
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	476 211 17 181 67	16		46 12 23	1		5 2 1 2		6  B	5 1 2	60 20 9 21	45 30 3 6 6	
Convicted	878 378 65 435	32 15	1	02 40 7 55	30	5 2 2	9 6 2 1	2	0	7	78 24 24 30	61 26 6 29	14 7 1 6

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS JN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

	AL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BI STATES: 1930—CONCINED												
					MURDET	-с	ontinu	ed					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kans.	Maine	Mess.	Mi ch.	Minr		Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex	N. Dak.	
Totel defendants disposed of	60	9	13	51	. ,	4	12	10		7	5 30	7	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court	21 10	1	6	2	:	- 1	7 2	2			.1 8	1	
Acquitted by juryOther no penalty dispositions-	9 2			2		2	5	2			6	1	
Convicted		8 4	7 2	42 15 3		2 7	5 2	8 2 1		3	9 12	2	
Jury verdict guilty	19	4	5	L		5	3	5		2	5 10	3	
		1	1		MURDER	-c	ontinu	ed					
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I	De		Utah	Vt.	We	ash.	Wis.	Wyo.	
Totel defendants disposed of	193	11	20	9	3	7	6		1	29	22	10	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	60 33 2 12		9	4	-	3 2  1				10 2	8 1 1	6 4	
Other no penelty dispositions	13 133	7	11	6	3	4	6		1	19	6 14	1 4	
Plee guilty	75 14 44	3	8	5	2	 	2 4		1	11	8 6	2	
					MANS	LAU	CHTER						
	30 Stetes	Ariz	. Celi	f. Co	10. C	onn.	. D.	c. Id	aho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowe	
Total defendants disposed of	1,569	1	6 1	51	14	42	2	24	15	54	58	8	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court	779 368 48		4	61 24 8	6 3 2	19	7	6 2	5	38 20 5	36 28 4	6 4	
Acquitted by juryOther no penelty dispositions	330 33		-	27	1 -		-	4	4	12	4	2	
Convicted	790 436 65 289		4	90 50 16 24	8 5  3	18	1	18 11  7	10 6  4	16 2 3 11	22 9 5 8	1	
				MAN	SLAUCH	rer-	-Cont	inued					
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn	. 1	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.	
Total defendants disposed of	13	7	29	87	2	4	9	21	7	12	5 16	3	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	2 1 1	3 2 1	13 3 1 9	28 10 3 13		6	4 3 1	12 6 	2 1 1	3	7 2		
Convicted Plea guilty Jury weived, court finde guilty Jury verdict guilty	11 7 4	4 3 1	16 8 4	59 32 6 21	1	3	5 1 4	9 4 1 4	5 3 1	4 3 1	2		

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

				MANS	LAUGHTER	Conti	nued			
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Uţah	Vt.	Wesh	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendents disposed of	156	18	532	14	7	9	1	56	52	1
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	52 25 4 21	9 6 3	327 150 9 161	2 1 1	2	1 3		22 9 1 7	19 6 1 3	1
Other no penelty dispositions Convicted Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	104 65 13 26	9 6	205 87 11 107	12 11 1	5 3	5 2	1 1	34 23 1 10	33 25 1	
,,				1	0					
		,,			ROBB	EKX				
	30 States	Ariz.	Celif	. Col	o. Conn	. D. C	. Idal	10 Ill.	Ind.	Iowa
Totel defendants disposed of	6,267	64	60	1	95 6	9 39	95 2	88 630	298	73
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	1,534 784 120 586 44	19	2	5 2	1 3	9 10	3	6 138 5 73 21 1 32 12	89 23 11	33 31  2
Convicted	4,733 3,198 313 1,222	44 1 1 4,733 45 35,198 35 313 1		1	54 5	8 27	06 2	1	129	40 37 3
				RO	BBERY—C	ontinue	ed			
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H. N.	J. N. Mex	N. Dak.
Total defendante disposed of	108	14	302	178	92	19	82	11 5	511 45	3 15
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury wedved, ecquitted by court Acquitted by jury	24 20  3	3 1	68 23 4 41	21 14 1 6	12 7 2	3 2	11 10	2 1	98 52 14 29	1
Other no penalty dispositions	1 84	11	234	157	3 80	16			3	
Plea guilty	75 9	7 4	187 1 46	102 7 48	73 1 6	12	63 2 6	8 3	358 33 25 30 3	- 2
	ROBBERY-Continued									
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pe.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	728	49	1,587	21	19	21	1	66	134	13
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	177 125 29 16	4 3 	451 94  357		1			11 7 4	18 10 1 4 3	1
Convicted	551 441 51 59	45 41 4	1,136 456 15 665	21		10	1	55 43  12	116 94 16 6	12 12

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI TROODBOILE	AL OUTCOME AND OFFENDE, BI STATES: 1930—CONCINCE												
					AGGRA	CETAVA	ASSAU	LT					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	30 States	Ariz.	Celi	r. c	010.	Conn	. D. (	. 1	deho	Ili.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants disposed of	6,843	133	5 5	60	73	2:	5 1	97	26	81	275	67	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	2,599 1,299 163 1,062 75	55 41 7 7		52 77 19 - 45	35 24  9 2		1	19 36 L3	9 4 1 4	45 28 2 11 4	146 109 29 6 2	30 26  2 2	
Convicted	4,244 2,355 331 1,558	78 53 1 24	2	08 64 67 77	38 22  16		8 13	18 13 2 -	17 10 	36 16 15 5	129 83 34 12	37 34 3	
			1	AGGRA	VATE	) ASSA	ULT-C	ontin	ued				
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich	. Mi	inn.	Mont.	Nebr	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.	
Total defendants disposed of	90	10	154	13	9	58	34	25	11	72	145	20	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	36 27  6 3	2 2	37 18  19			14 6 6 2	14 12 2	5	2	4		6 2	
Convicted	54 40  14	8 6 2	117 86 2 29	9	5 5 6	44 38 	20 15 1 4	20 14 1 5	8 7	47 33 11	73 61 10 44	12 9 1	
				AGGRA	VATEI	ASSA1	ULT—C	ontin	ued				
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.	1.	S. Dak.	Uteh	Vt	. W	esh.	Wis.	Wyo.	
Total defendants disposed of	416	86	3,21	3	45	21	20		1	52	121	24	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositiona	121 93 12 11 5	14 10 4	1,360 480 20 84'	6	5 3 1 1	7 4 3	4			16 9  7	32 20 3 2 7	14 12  1 1	
Convicted	295 209 35 51	72 62 	1,84' 698 31	5	40 40 	14 12 2	7		1	36 25 2 9	89 60 17 12	10 8	
		·				BURGL	ARY						
	30 States	Ariz.	Cali	f. c	olo.	Conn	. D. 0	. I	deho	111.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants disposed of	13,922	217	1,30	60	307	23	9 39	94	112	494	754	229	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	2,128 1,438 93 414 183	62 55 2 2 5		79 89 16 38 36	58 45  5 8	19	9 4	1 12 -	13 9 2 1	140 117 13 7 3	236 200 21 7 8	45 38 1 3 3	
Convicted	11,794 10,233 540 1,021	155 138 2 15	1,03		249 231 4 14	220 200 13	6 29		99 96 3	354 263 71 20	518 411 99 8	184 175 1 8	

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

			OI I DIVE		-	JIRID							
					BUF	GLARY-	-Conti	nued					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich	1.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr	N.H.	N	J.	N. Mex.	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	402	136	918	60	00	282	118	279	113	1,1	72	86	50
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, ecquitted by court Acquitted by jury	83 65 	15 14 	83 52 2 29	3	1	21 15 3	21 18	27 22  5	13 12 		26 81 16 25	28 23 1 4	5 5
Other no penalty dispositions	7 319	121	835	54	9	3 261	3 97	252	100	1,04	4	58	45
Plea guiltyJury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	277 2 40	116  5	763 4 68	49		251 1 9	89 5 3	225 16 11	86 12 2	99	58 48 40	48 1 9	1
					BUF	GLARY-	-Conti	nued					
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.	ı.	S. Dak.	Uta	h Vt	. W	ash.	W:	is.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	1,418	163	2,78	88 2	250	9	6 6	9	50	324		456	46
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	241 196 12	24	16	8	5		8 1 5 1	0	11 8 1 -	46 24		70 31 3	9 2
Acquitted by juryOther no penelty dispositions	20 13		1	.9			-	6	2	6 16		1 35	7
Convicted	1,177 1,027 70 80	137 122  15	1,85	5 2 6	45	8	6 4	0	39 37  2	278 241 1 36		386 351 29 6	37 36 
				LARC	ENY	, EXCE	PT AUT	THEF	r				
	30 Stetes	Ariz	. Celi	f.	colo	. Con	n. D.	c. I	daho	I11.	:	Ind.	Iowa
Totel defendants disposed of	15,476	16	3 5	75	36	3	86	250	84	257	1	,540	390
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	3,672 2,370 175 960		8 - 3	04 71 11 -		66	18 15 2	72 61 1 10	22 18 	98 84 3 2 9		519 453 38 15	57 46 1 6
Other no penelty dispositions Convicted Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	167 11,804 9,729 564	12	1 3	71 93 25		9 8	68 65 3	178 158	62 53 1	159 101 53	1.	,021 881 119 21	333 318 4 11
July verdict garroy													
	LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT—Continued										N		
	Kans.	Meine	Mass.	Mich		Minn.	Mont.	Nebr	N.H.	N.	J.	Mex.	Dak.
Total defendants dieposed of	522	79	584	47	+	687	184	244	-		10	271	85
Disposed of without conviction—— Dismissed———————————————————————————————————	94 78  12 4	28 27 	195 121 21 53	5	4 0 2 9 3	78 63 1 11 3	69 62  6 1	40 34 2 4	7 7 	;	54 92 35 20 7	85 69 5 10	9 6 3
Convicted Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	428 390 3 35	51 48 3	389 344 14 31			609 575 3 31	115 98 4 13	204 177 17 10	93 80 10 3	6	56 75 55 26	186 167  19	76 70 2 4

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI FROCEDURAL	AL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued												
			LARCE	INY, EX	CEPT AUT	O THEF	r—Cont	inued					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Oreg.	Pe.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wesh	. 1	Vis.	Wyo.		
Total defendants disposed of	676	281	4,969	20	166	60	169	48	3	720	80		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	194 148 11	45 30	1,234 459 34	) 1	22 15		38 33 2	7	5	151 119 6	21		
Acquitted by juryOther no penelty dispositions-	18	15	696	i	7		2		9	6 20	2 4		
Convicted	482 436 16	236 219 1	3,735 2,549 156	18	144		131 120 2	34		569 506 48	59 54 2		
Jury verdict guilty	30	16	1,030		6		9			15	3		
					AUTO T	HEFT							
	30 States	Ariz.	Celif	. Col	o. Conn	. D. 0	C. Ida	ho Il	1.	Ind.	Iowa		
Total defendants disposed of	5,467	16	72	1 '	78 9	2 25	52	11 2	27	444	98		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	1,025 646 71	5	5	9	4	5 4		1 :	46 32 5	148 126 13	26 25		
Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	245 63		1		2	-	8		5	5 4	1		
Convicted	4,442 3,800 250 392	11	57	2 (	72 8 59 8 3	3	54	10	81 93 79 9	296 248 45 3	72 69 1 2		
				AUTY	THEFT-	-Contin	nued						
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H. N	. J.	N. Mex.	N. Dak.		
Total defendants disposed of	134	34	308	269	177	20	77	22	375	26	16		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	23 18 1	13 13	64 34 6	23 19	15 12	1		2 2	67 41 20	4	1		
Acquitted by juryOther no penelty dispositions-	2		24	3	ĩ .				2				
Convicted	111 101 1 9	21 15 6	244 224 5 15	246 241 1 4	162 159 3	19	73 68 3 2	20 16 3 1	308 278 27 3	22 20 1 1	15		
				AUTO	THEFT-	-Contin	ued			<u></u>			
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pe.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Uteh	Vt.	Wash	. W	is.	Wyo.		
Total defendants disposed of	534	42	990	95	15	10	26	98	3	249	11		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	115 84 11 8	2	237 72 	1	2 2	6 3 	2	11	1	28 15 . 5	2 2		
Acquitted by jury			1			3		8		8			
Other no penelty dispositions-	12 419	40	753	94	13	4	24	78	3	221	9		

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI FROCEDORAL (	L OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued												
				EM	BEZZI	EMENT A	AND FRA	.UD					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	· 29 States	Ariz.	Celii	e. C	olo.	Conn.	D. C.	Ida	aho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants disposed of	6,573	7]	. 5:	18	187	56	75		28	171	654	153	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	2,628 1,962 76 479	13	. 7	74 5	96 89  5	6 4 1	37 26			75 63 1 5	441 412 13 6	68 62 5	
Other no penalty dispositions	111 3,945	58		8	2 91	1 50	38		2 26	6 96	10 213	1 85	
Plea guilty	3,039 223 683	57	.   1	79 17 28	84 1 6	49 1	34	}	22	38 52 6	172 33 8	78 3 4	
			EMI	BEZZL	ement	AND F	RAUD—C	onti	nued				
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich	. Mi	nn. M	ont. N	ebr.	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.	
Total defendants disposed of	170	41	(*)	15	2	153	34	79	59	50	4 85	33	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	87 76 1 7	23 22 		3:	4 1	16 11 5	9 5 1 3	26 16 2 7	22 19  2	2		14	
Convicted	83 69  14	18 18		11:	3 9 7	137	25 21 	53 44 1 8	37 30 7	30 24	8 63	17	
			EMI	BEZZL	EMENT	AND F	RAUD—C	onti	nued	-			
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.	ı.	S. Dak.	Utah	⊽t.e	We	ash.	Wis.	Wyo.	
Total defendants disposed of	646	103	2,075	5	35	43	15	30		52	325	26	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	270 243 4 13	17 16  1	845 446 16 362	5	2 1	8 3 2 2	4 3 1		5	22 11 3 8	155 139 2 4 10	9 6 1	
Convicted	376 343 16	86 86	1,230 675 41	5 :	33	35 33 1	11 10	2:	1	30 26	170 162 2	17 15	
					STO	LEN PR	OPERTY						
	30 States	Ariz.	Calif	e. c	olo,	Conn.	D. C.	Ide	aho	111.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants disposed of	1,665	11	. 10	08	26	24	42		13	28	117	27	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	618 344 52 205 17	2	2	34 23 6 4	13 11 2	7 7 	31 28		i	18 11 1 4 2	49 38 6 4	8 7 	
Convicted	1,047 769 108 170	8	3 5	74 55 12 -	13 12	17 15 2	11		11 7 4	10 4 4 2	68 45 14 9	19 17  2	

<sup>\*</sup> In Massachusette embezzlement and fraud cases are included in Larceny, except auto theft.

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BY PROCEDURAL (	STOLEN PROPERTY—Continued													
				STOLE	N PROP	ERT	Y—Con	tinued						
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minr	. 1	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.		
Total defendants disposed of	34	8	107	40	3	2	5	37	3	18	38 14	1		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	10 9  1	3 3	40 17 2 21	10 6 1 3		-	3 1 2	8 7  1		2	77 8 53 6 19 21 2 4			
Convicted- Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	24 19 1 4	5 5 	67 54 4 9	30 24 6	2	2 .	2	29 28  1	3		11 6 6 15 1	1		
				STOLE	N PROI	ERT	Y—Con	tinued	L					
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I		ik.	Utah	Vt.	We	ash.	Wis.	Wyo.		
Total defendants disposad of	94	46	58	15	1	6	8		4	5	45	6		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	41 31 5		13	31	1		1		1	2 1	10 8 2	2 2		
Convicted	53 42 3 8	43	20		-	6 3 1 2	5		3 3	3 1 2	35 31 2 2	4 4		
					1	ORG	ERY							
	30 States	Ariz	. Celi	if. Co	10.	onn	. D.	c. Id	laho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa		
Total defendants disposed of	4,551	. 7	6 8	394	90	13	3 1	06	87	114	270	151		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	94	i	3 1	79 49 8 12 10	34 28  2 4		1	11 9 1	6 5	73 69  2 2	118 108 3 4 3	43 40  3		
Convicted Plea guilty Jury waived, court finde guilty Jury vardict guilty	3,652 3,400 78 174	5   5		315 759 23 33	56 51 1 4	1:	2	95 87 8	81 77 4	41 37 4	152 129 16 7	108 104 2 2		
				F	ORGER	?C	ontinu	ad						
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Min	1.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N	N. Mex	N. Dak.		
Total defendants disposed of	206	23	29	119	1	90	56	145	16	18	30 58	3 30		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	3	7 7 	5 3 1 1	14		1 3	7 1  2 4	16 16	3 1  2		31 14 58 13 6 3 4	2		
Convicted	164 160 4	16 15 1	24 19 2 3	105 96 3 6	10	59 55 1 3	49 49	129 119 4 6	13 12 1	1	99 44 99 39 9 3	26		

TABLE 31. — DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BY PROCEDURAL (	AL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BI STATES: 1930—CONTINUED												
				FO	RCERY-	Continu	ed						
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.			
Total defendants disposed of	351	152	661	. В	7:	32	18	164	204	36			
Disposed of without conviction	100 87 3	19	120	)		4 8	5 4	20	28 18 3	2 2			
Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	5 5	2	46			- 3	1	2	7				
Convicted	251 239 4	133 130	54] 488	8 8			13	144	176 171 3	34 34			
Jury verdict guilty	8	3	48			4	1	12	2				
					RA	PE							
	29 States	Ariz	. Calif	. Col	o. Con	n. D.	C. Idai	ho Ill	Ind.	Iowa			
Total defendants disposed of	3,219	54	1 43	51	91 :	33	16	25 106	193	28			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	1,049 597 85 312 55	2:	1 4 - 1 1 2	05 19 .7	40 25 1 7	4 3 1	5 3	4 40 3 20 12 1 3	82 13 5	14 13			
Convicted	2,170 1,405 161 604	31 24	4 23 - 2			22		21 66 18 28 1 33 2 8	50 18	14 11 1 2			
					RAPE—C	ontinue	d						
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H. N.	J. N.	N. Dak.			
Totel defendants disposed of	68	22	214	151	101	42	24	27	194 39	35			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court	27	5 3	41 23 5	38 19 3	18 14	23 20	16 13	6 3	61 23 24 19 11	4			
Acquitted by juryOther no penelty dispositions	6 1	2	13	14	4	3	2	1 2	24	3			
Convicted	41 34  7	17 15 	173 130 17 26	113 82 6 25	83 <b>7</b> 5  8	19 15 4	8 7 1	21 16 1 4	98 10 9	23			
	RAPE—Continued												
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.			
Totel defendents disposed of	156	34	792		25	8	25	46	223	12			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	59 40	10	290 115		5		12	13	53 35	3 1			
Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	9 6 4	3	162 5			1	1 5	3 3	5 8 5	2			
Convicted	97 64 10 23	24 15 	502 182 15 305		24	1	13 9 4	33 21 	170 143 18	9 7 2			

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI PROCEDURAL C				,								
				cc	MME	RCIALI	ZED VI	CE				
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	25 States	Ariz.	Calif	. Co1	.0.	Conn.	D. C	. Id	aho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	968	8		7		19	1	.6		11	19	9
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	302 130 27 119 26	1		1 -		6 4 2		8		2 2	14 10 2 2	2
Convicted Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	666 352 63 251	7 5		6 2 3		13 6 7				9	5 1 3 1	77
			CO	MERCI	ALI	ZED VI	CE—Co	ntinu	ed			
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Micb.	Mi	nn. M	ont.	Nebr.	N.H.	. N. J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	6	3	2	14		9	1	28	2	14	14 4	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	4			4 3  1				15 10 4 	1  	3	55 3 36 3 13 5 1	
Convicted	2 1  1	3 2  1	2 1 1	10 5 2 3		6 5 	1	13 3 10	1 1	6	39 1 50 9 1	
			CO	MÆRCI	ALI	ZED VI	CE—Co	ntinu	be			
, i	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.		S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	W	ash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	12	3	567	8	3 -		1		3	11	61	
Dieposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury		11	158 27 4 110		-		1		-	3	15 11 1	
Other no penalty dispositions Convicted Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	9	2 2	409 181 11 217	8	3 -				2 2	8 7	46 37 6 3	
				TO	HER	SEX 0	FFENSE	s				
	30 States	Ariz.	Calif	. Col	.0.	Conn.	D. C	. Id	aho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	4,778	31	37	0	23	217	1	9	10	59	115	7,4
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	1,414 885 86 348 95	11 10	1 3	4 37 3 37	11 8  3	44 37 7		5  5	4	25 14 1 4 6	57 50 5 2	26
Convicted	3,364 2,423 244 697	20 16 4	18	19	12	173 160 13		9 8  1	6 6 	34 15 11 8	58 51 6 1	48 45 3

TABLE 31. — DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936 — Continued

BY PROCEDURAL C											
			(	OTHER S	EX OFFE	NSES-C	ontinu	ed.			,
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N. J.	N. Mex.	N. Dak.
Total defendents disposed of	14	54	82	253	72	9	21	40	523	18	25
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	4	15 11  2 2	12 7 1 4	53 39 2 7 5	14 9  4 1	3 2 1	5 4	8 5  2 1	144 77 33 31	11	6 4
Convicted Ples guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	10 8 2	39 35  4	70 49 9 12	200 151 16 33	58 55 3	6 51	16 13 2 1	32 23 9 	379 276 53 50	6	19 14  5
				OTHER :	EX OFFI	enses—(	Continu	ied			
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I	S. Dak	Utal	n Vt.	Wa	ish.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	110	52	1,97	8 4	3 2	25 21	9 4	18	98	361	5
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	36 26 3 3	7	23	9	-		9 3	1 1	27 19 1	75 36 11 9	1 1 
Convicted	74 46	44 37	1,30	08 4 05 4 40	1	19 1	4 3	31 27  4	71 59 1	286 239 35 12	4 4
				V	IOLATIN	G DRUG	LAWS				
	25 States	Ariz	c. Cali	if. Co	lo. Co	nn. D.	c. I	daho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	933	3	3 6	541	2	11	26 -			14	3
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	107 18 24			69 15 10						4 4	1
Convicted	777 645 7 52 80			39 38	2 2	8 7 1	16 -			10 7 3	2 2
			7	VIOLATI	NG DRUG	LAWS-	Contin	uad			
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nabr.	N.H.	. N. J	. N. Mex.	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	f 13		2	15	3	14	2		1	.6 11	1
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	5 1 1 1 - 1 3			3	1					6 3 5 3 1	
Convicted Plea guilty Jury waivad, court finds guilt Jury verdict guilty	8 4 y		2 2	12	2	13	2			0 8 7 7 1 1 2	1

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

	T TOOM														
			Λ	IOLATI	IG DRUG	LAWS-	-Con	tinus	1						
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak	. Ut	ah	Vt.	Wash	. Wis.	Wyo.				
Total defendants disposed of	50	1	9	1 2	2	1	3		:	2	5 1				
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	5 2 2		1	6		1 1	٠,			-	1				
Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions				1											
Convicted	45 40 4	1	7:	1	:						4 1				
					VINC B	FADONS	DVIII.								
		П		CARR	YING W	APUNS	, EI	1							
	24 States	Ariz	. Cali	f. Col	.o. Co	an. D	. c.	Idah	10 III	. Ind	. Iowa				
Total defendants disposed of	2,097		1 12	29		10 -			-	6 12	8 28				
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	530 240 28		1 :	21 14 4		=   =			  	2 4 2 4	0 6				
Acquitted by jury	250		-	3			 		-	-	1				
Plea guilty	1,567 1,023 73 471		- 8 - 1	08 38 10		9 -			-	4 8 1 6 2 1 1	8 20				
			CAF	RYING	WEAPONS	, ETC	.—c	ontinu	ed						
	Kans.	Maina	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont	. N	ebr N	.H. N.	J. N Me:					
Total defendants disposed of	2		147	78	17		6	24	3	198	21 9				
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed			14 5 2	16 14	4 4			-	1	29   1					
Acquitted by jury			133	2 62	13		- 1	1 -	2	7	1				
Plea guilty			113	58 3 1	12		5	18		124 13	9 5				
			CAR	RYING	WEAPONS	, ETC	.—C	ontinu	ed		<del></del>				
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Ute	ah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.				
Total defendants disposed of	192	4	1,030	15		5	-		15	27	2				
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	41 35 3	1 1	289 59 2	2		2	-		6 5 1	7 4					
Acquitted by jury	2		227			1	-   -			1					
Convicted	151 139 9 3	3 3 	741 305 14 422	13		3	-   -		9 81	20 19 1	1				

TABLE 31. — DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE BY STATES: 1936—Continued

					011				пстп	dea		
					MI	NOR AS	SSAULT					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	29 States	Ariz	. Cali	f. Co	lo.	Conn.	. D. (	c. Id	laho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	4,935	4	2	72	23	81			12	17	532	21
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	2,311 1,533 141 569	2	0 :	22 15  6	17 13 2 2	22 18 3	3		3 2	5 4 	317 285 21 7	10 9
Other no penalty dispositions	68					1			1	1	4	
Plea guilty	2,624 1,849 313 462	1	-	50 42 3 5	3	59 44 14 1	l		9	12	215 165 43 7	10
				MINOF	R AS	SAULT-	-Cont	inued				
	Kans.	Maina	Mass.	Mich.	Miı	nn. M	ont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N. :	J. N.	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	61	55	90	153		53	30	56	19	55	57 6	28
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waivad, acquitted by court		30 27	16 6 2	39 19 2		18	20 17	26 23	5 5	12	49 43 29 40 77	8
Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	5 3	2		16 2		3 2	2	2			32	
Convicted	27 23 1 3	25 20  5	74 61 2 11	114 82 11 21		35 27 1 -	10 6 4	30 16 8 6	14 10 4	8	08 23 12 13 33 3 13 3	17
				MINOR	ASS	ault-	-Conti	nued				
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	I	S. Oak.	Utah	Vt.	We	ısh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendante disposed of	263	38	2,262	2 22		26	14		2	101	224	17
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	110 98 3 5	14 11 3	1,126 609 25 468	3		3	6 6		1	39 32 1 1 5	91 82 5	10 9
Convicted	153 130 . 13	24 20 4	1,136 720 85 331	19		22 193	8 4 1 3		-	62 45 4 13	133 95 37 1	7 7
				NON	SUPP	ORT OF	R NEGL	ECT				
	30 States	Ariz.	Calif	. Col	0.	Conn.	D. C	. Id	aho	m.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	8,182	69	23	6	37	43	1	2	14	9	869	127
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	2,864 2,514 134 71 145	20 20	4	9	24 21  2 1	29 26 2 1		4	6 3 1 2	5 3 1	560 515 16 3 26	60 56 2 2
Convicted Plea guilty Jury waived, court finde guilty Jury verdict guilty	5,318 2,741 2,416 161	49 48 1	15	9 :	13	14 9 3 2	1	8 8	8 7 1	4 3 1	309 233 72 4	67 60 7

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

	AZ OCIONE AND OFFENDE, BI STATES: 1930—CONTINUED											
			ИС	NSUPPOI	RT OR NI	eglect—	-Conti	nued				
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.	
Total defendants disposed of	150	14	210	171	118	5	66	17	63	4 11	23	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	88 83 	10	82 75 6	62 60 1	24 18 	1	55 49	12	23 20 2	0 8	7	
Other no penalty dispositions	2 62	4	128	109	3 94	4	6	1 5		4	16	
Plea guilty	53	3	118 4 6	93 4 12	93	4	8 1 2	5	29 8	6 2	16	
			NC	NSUPPOF	T OR NE	GLECT-	Contir	nued				
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utab	Vt.	We	ash.	Wia.	Wyo.	
Total defendants disposed of	695	54	3,94	6 6	2	25 17	3	36	35	529	4	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed		12		5 3		9 7 7 7 1	נו	17	22	213 180 17	3	
Other no penalty dispositions	17 22		4	3		1		2	11	15		
Convicted	303 258 31 14	33	3,12 91 2,12 8	5 3	1	.6 10 .5 8 1	1	.9 .7 1	13 12 1	316 248 64 4	1	
				VIC	LATING	LIQUOR	LAWS					
	30 States	Ariz	. Cali	f. Col	o. Con	n. D.	C. Id	aho	111.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants disposed of	7,769	2	3	34	17	57 3	32	23	7	799	351	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	2,593 1,881 84 493			4 3	13	10 1	97 72  25	17 15  2	3 3	317 283 17	131 96 1	
Other no penalty dispositions	135 5,176	1		30	3	2	35		4	11 482	30 220	
Plea guilty	4,488 246 442		- 1	1		10	05 3 27	5	4	426 43 13	211	
			٨ı	OLATING	LIQUOR	LAWS—	Contin	ued				
	Kene.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr	N.H.	N. J.	N. Mex.	N. Dak.	
Total defendents disposed of	588	41	9	200	83	111	147	7	1,187	36	104	
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury walwad, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	297 259 4 22 12	19 19 	6 2 2 2	56 47 1 6	14 12  2	64 59  3 2	69 60  2 7	2 2	266 199 29 32	17	26 22 1 2	
Convicted	291 248 12 31	22 21 1	3 2 1	144 133 5 6	69 64 	47 43 1 3	78 59 13 6	5 4  1	921 839 48 34	18 17 1	78 - 73  5	

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

	VIOLATING LIQUOR LAWS—Continued												
			AIO	LATING	LIQUOR	LAWSC	continua	d					
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.			
Total defendante disposed of	887	18	2,097	1	98	49	57	66	335	5			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	239 208 6	2 2	610 241 14		22	12 9	15	37 28	108 58 9	4 4			
Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	8 17		349 6		2	3	5	2 7	14 27				
Convicted	648 616 22 10	16 16	1,487 1,178 63 246		76 73 1 2	37 14 14 9	42 35 	29 26 2	227 205 6 16	1			
Jury werdict guilty			240						10				
		11		DRIVI	NG WHILE	INTOXI	CATED						
	29 States	Ariz.	Calif	. Col	o. Conn	. D. 0	. Idah	10 Ill.	Ind.	Iowa			
Total defendants disposed of	7,897	93	36	7	6 4	8	]	.6 14	436	405			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	1,721 727 92	24	3	6 6 3		2		5 5 3 2	126 94 20	63 57			
Acquitted by juryOther no penalty dispositions	848 54	5		5		1		2 2	5 7	5			
Convicted Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	6,176 4,915 318 943	69 56 1	23		4 3 3 2 1	2		1 1	310 237 60 13	342 324 5 .13			
	<del></del>		DRIVI	NG WHI	LE INTOX	ICATED-	-Contin	nued	4				
	Kans.	Maine	Mase.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr 1	N.H. N.	J. N.	N. Dak.			
Total defendants disposed of	52	153	34	67	203	5	153	70	92 155	67			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	4	48 33 	4	19 12 1 6	12 9 1 2	2 1 1	11	6	27 29 4 23 23	7			
Other no penalty dispositions Convicted Plea guilty	28	105 91	30 25	48	191	3	7 103 80	29	65 126 22 123	59 57			
Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	6	5 9	5	6	9		19	_	43	_			
			DRIV	ING WHI	LE INTOX	ICATED	-Conti	nued					
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.			
Total defendante disposed of	332	44	3,998	3 . 43	158	13	150	118	559	46			
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	62 46 2	3	99: 21' 2:	7   1	. 12	2		27	22 17 4	8 5 1			
Acquitted by juryOther no penalty dispositions-			73	1				3	1	2			
Convicted Plea guilty Jury weived, court finde guilty		37	2,16	9 4:	139	8	128	68 4	537 482 47 8	38 31 7			
Jury verdict guilty	13	2	77	c	1 4	1	*						

72795 O—38——4

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

				OT	HER M	TOTOR	VEHIC	LE L	AWS				
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	30 States	Ariz	. Cali	f.	Colo.	Con	n. D	. c.	Idal	ho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	8,559	3	8 2	69	5	2:	55	5		66	29	297	45
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	1,676 1,038 300 246 92	1	2 - 3	72 39 10 15 8	2 1	1	43 16 17 - 4 6 -	5 4 1	:	10 8 1 1	13 7 4  2	148 127 10 2 9	12 12
Convictsd Plee guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	6,883 6,145 521 217	2:	3 1	97 51 23 23	3 2 1	:   ;				56 53 1 2	16 10 6	149 111 34 4	33 33 
			OTH	ER M	OTOR	VEHIC	LE LA	1S-(	Contir	nued	ì		
	Kans.	Maine	Mess.	Mic	h. M	inn.	Mont	. Ne	ebr. N	V.H.	N. J	N. Mex	N. Dak.
Totel defendente disposed of	16	90	152		66	57	1	5	70	45	4	:0 28	3 20
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	10 7 1 2	31 30  1	23 6  17		23 17 2 3 1	8 2 1 4		9	29 26 1 2	19 15  4	1	.6 12 10 .5 1	4
Convicted Plea guilty Jury weived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	6 6 	59 57 1 1	129 97 5 27		43 34 3 6	49 44 1 4	4	5 1 1 1		26 25 1	1	14 16 7 15 7	16
			отн	er m	OTOR '	VEHICI	LE LAV	rs—c	contin	ued	ı		
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R	.I.	S. Dak.	Uta	ıh.	Vt.	We	sh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	209	24	1,84	8	28	28	3 2	7	558	L	220	4,007	1
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	90 78 2 9	5 1	75 34 23 15 2	2	5 4	4 4 		2 7 4 1	34 29 1 3		66 31 20 15	103 87 1 3	
Convicted	119 103 11 5	18 15 3	1,09° 67: 31: 10°	2 B	23	24 21 2 1		5 5 -	524 521 		154 117 31 6	3,904 3,863 34 7	1 1 
			D	ISORI	DERLY	CONDU	CT AN	D VA	GRANC	Y			
	28 States	Ariz.	Celi	r. c	010.	Conn	. D.	c.	Idah	.0*	111.	Ind.	Iowe
Total defendants disposed of	7,824	27		6	19	12	0		1	5		569	31
Disposed of without conviction Dismiseed	765 622 61 14 68	15 13		-	13 13		3			3   -		145 112 22 2 2	6 6
Convicted	7,059 6,744 268 47	12 10 1		5	6 5 1	8 7	7 6			5 .		424 355 61 8	25 25

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI PROOBDORGE (	70 10 OM			E, DI	OIMIL				10111	ueu .		
			DISORDE	RLY CO	NDUCT A	ND V	AGRAN	icy—c	ontin	ued		
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Kans.	Meine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mon	nt.	Nebr	N.H.	N. J	·N.	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	44	82	17	208	9		6	95	8		5 39	23
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	27 25  2  17 15	22 21  1 60 59	3 3  14 13	35 24  11 173 167 3	5		5 5 5 1 1 1	44 37 4  3 51 36 15	1 1  7 6 1		4 15 3 14 1	4 1 4 5 15
Jury verdict guilty	2	1	1	3	1						-	
			DISORDE	RLY CO	NDUCT A	ND V	AGRAN	ICY—C	ontin	ued		
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I	S. Dak		Utah	∇t.	We	ish.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	73	13	25	6 3	6	16	13	1,41	6	88	4,576	14
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	30 29 1	3 3	1		-	9 9	5 4  1			30 23 1 6	81 50 13 2 16	4 4
Convicted Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	43 41 1	10	7	5 2 2 5	В	7 7	8 3  5	1,32	0.	58 49 9	4,495 4,398 92 5	10 8 2
					GAN	BLIN	G					
	30 States	Ariz	. Cali	f. co	10. Co	nn.	D. 0	c. Id	aho	I11.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	3,563	1	0	25	9	108	20	08	5	15	119	43
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	1,222 480 8		6 6	21 13	7 6 	3		09 91  18	1	6 5 	37 33 1	26 26
Other no penalty dispositions	31			4	2	105			4	9	3 82	17
Convicted	2,341 1,555 76 710		4	3	2	102		36	4	9	59 21 2	16 1
				G	AMBLING	-Co	ntin	Teg				
	Kane.	Maine	Mass.	Mi ch .	Minn.	Мо	nt.	Nebr	N.H.	N. J	N. Mex	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	25	7	2	14	27		70	25	9	22	6 1	2 2
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	14			2 2			30 30 	16 13 2 1	6 6	3	1	1
Convictsd	11 8 3	7 5 2	2 1 1	12			40 37 2	9 7 2	3 3	17	9 66 1	8 1 1 1 5 2

TABLE 31.—DEFENDANTS IN CRIMINAL CASES DISPOSED OF BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY PROCEDURAL OUTCOME AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

		~										
TROGUNITAL CURRENTS				GA	MBLING-	-Conti	nuad.	,			5 4-	•
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Uta	ıh '	Vt.	Wesl	h.	Wis.	Wyq.
Total defendents disposed of	122	133	2,18	0 6		9	3	26	6	50	59	4
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	16 1	45 36  9	663	7 4		-	3 2	10 5		15	10 5 1 2	4
Convicted	105 102	88 85 3	1,400 71' 10	3 2 7 2 6		9	-	16 16		45 36 8	49 37 11 1	
				AI	L OTHER	OFFEN	ISES					-
	30 States	Ariz	. Cali	r. Col	o. Con	n. D.	c.	Idah	0 1	11.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	11,823	10	0 60	07	89 2	20	115	3	3	124	1,020	175
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	5,034 3,486 285 909 354		3 12 - :			09 89 8 7 5	63 55 1 7		8	72 52 5 7 8	601 516 44 9 32	73 62 3 1 7
Convicted	6,789 5,015 773 1,001	3:	9 29	93 38	32	11 92 13 6	52 46 1 5			52 29 20 3	419 317 88 14	102 92 7 3
			I	ALL OTH	ER OFFE	nses—	Cont	inued				
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Net	br N	.н.	1. J	N. Max.	N. Dak.
Total defendante disposed of	335	157	299	454	229	87	18	B3	67	96	6 130	81
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury weived, ecquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	148 120  6 22	77 75  1 1	112 54 24 34	175 138 12 17 8	77 59  15 3	32 22 4 6		68	30 15 1 10 4	310 225 26 45 1-	5 68 6 6 5 9	34 21 1 2
Convicted	187 169 4 14	80 78 2	187 152 3 32	279 230 18 31	152 113 15 24	55 38 15 2	8	96 :	37 25 8 4	656 548 56	6 41 8 34 6 2	47 39 1 7
			-	LL OTH	ER OFFE	NSES—	Conti	inued			1	_
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak,	Uta	h V	/t.	Wash		Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposad of	425	147	3,877	33	12	8 2	2	189	23	37	1,217	77
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	214 179 10 15	41 30 2 9	1,780 1,002 104 612 62	4		3	8 4 3 1	53 41 8 4	7	9 1 6 9	378 258 17 16 87	32 22  10
Convicted	211 161 23 27	106 97 3 6	2,097 1,180 356 561	29		0	4 5 5 4	136 128 2 6			839 725 67 47	45 37 8

(States not shown where total number of ceses is less than 100. Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

(States not shown where total num								t snow				0.17
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME				AL	L MA	JOR O	ffens:	ES				
THOUSE COLUMN	30 States	Ariz.	Celi	colo	. G	onn.	D. C	. Ida	ho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposad of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 1	00.0	100.	0 100	.0 1	00.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	26.2	29.6	9.1	7 24.	2	16.0	26.	2   11	.8	33.7	41.8	27.1
Jury waived, ecquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	1.4 7.4 1.3	3.9	4.	3 4.	5	0.1	5.	0 3	.8	3.1 4.5 2.7	3.5 1.5 1.2	0.1 1.9 0.8
Convicted	73.8 57.1 4.1 12.6	70.4 58.9 0.8 10.9	67.3	58. 5 1.	8 '	84.0 76.8 6.3 0.8	73. 60. 0. 12.	6 72 2 2	.8	66.3 38.6 19.4 8.3	58.2 46.3 8.9 2.9	72.9 08.4 1.2 3.3
			1	ALL MAJ	OR O	FFENS	ES—C	ontinu	ed			
	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Min	n. M	ont.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J	N. Mex.	N. Dak.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0 1	00.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	25.2 20.3 0.1	26.8	20.0	16.2 11.1 0.6		.8	29.5	17.3 13.4 0.7	16.9	14.	5 30.7	14.2
Acquitted by juryOther no penalty dispositions-	3.3	2.0	7.6	3.0	2	.4	3.9	2.7	2.2		7 4.2	1.5
Convicted	74.8 65.4 0.4 9.0	73.2 65.7  7.5	80.0 68.5 2.2 9.3	83.6 69.4 3.5 10.9			70.5 61.6 1.8 7:1	82.7 71.7 5.6 5.5	83.1 69.1 10.9 3.1	62.	4 53.2	72.1
			,	ALL MAJ	OR O	FFENS	es—c	ontinu	ed			
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pe.	R. I.	s.	Dak.	Uta	h Vt	. W	esh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1	00.0	100.	0 100	.0 1	00.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	26.4 20.4 1.9 2.4 1.7	15.5 11.8 0.1 3.3 0.4	30.5 12.1 0.6 17.1 0.7	3.9 3.0 0.4 0.5		13.9 9.4  3.5 1.0	32. 21. 9. 2.	0 20 - 0 6 1		21.0 13.3 0.1 3.1 4.5	22.3 15.1 1.4 1.4 4.4	26.0 17.9 0.4 2.2 5.5
Convicted	73.6 61.9 4.8 6.8	84.5 77.4 0.3 6.8	69.5 41.0 2.3 26.3	96.1 95.4 0.7		86.1 81.8 0.4 3.9	67. 47. 1.	8 67 7 0	.5	79.0 67.6 0.5 10.9	77.7 68.2 6.6 2.9	74.0 68.5 1.1 4.4
			MURDI	SR SR			T		MANS	LAUGH	TER	
	Calif.	Ill.	Ind	. Ob	io	Pe	. с	elif.	N.	J.	Ohio	Pe.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100	.0 10	0.0	100	.0	100.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	31.1 8.1  15.5 7.4	14.5 6.5 15.5	5 28 5 2 2 5	.3 1 .8	7.1 1.0 6.2 6.7	21  23	.5	40.4 15.9 5.3 17.9	29 5 27	.6	33.3 16.0 2.6 13.5 1.3	61.5 28.2 1.7 30.3 1.3
Convicted	68.9 27.0 4.7 37.2	17.4	1 24 1 5	.5 3	8.9 8.9 7.3 2.8	13 2	.5	59.6 33.1 10.6 15.9	24	.0	66.7 41.7. 8.3 16.7	38.5 16.4 2.1 20.1

	,									
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME					ROBBI	ERY				
TROUBOILE COTOMB	Celif.	D.C.	111.	Ind	. Kar	ns. Me	ass. I	Mich.	N.J.	Ohio
Total defendents disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100	.0 100	0.0 10	0.00	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	9.2	30.6 26.3 0.8	21. 11. 3.	6 29.	9 18	3.5	7.6 1.3	11.8 7.9 0.6	19.2 10.2 2.7	24.3 17.2 4.0
Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	8.2 1.5	3.5	5.	9 0.	.7	0.9	13.6	3.4	5.7	2.2
Convicted	77.5 51.7 7.8 18.0	69.4 52.2  17.2	45. 17.	6 43. 9 10.	3 69	9.4	77.5 51.9 0.3 15.2	88.2 57.3 3.9 27.0	80.8 70.1 4.9 5.9	75.7 60.6 7.0 8.1
	ROBBERY	-Con.			AGG	RAVATEI	ASSAU	LT		
	Pa.	Wis.	Ariz.	Calif.	D. C.	Ind.	Mass.	Mich.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	28.4	13.4 7.5 0.7 3.0	41.4 30.8 	27.1 13.8 3.4 8.0	24.9 18.3 	53.1 39.6 10.5 2.2	24.0 11.7  12.3	31.7 20.1 2.2 6.5	9.7	57.9 51.7 1.4 2.8
Other no penalty dispositions		2,2	5.3	2.0		0.7		2.9	1.0	2.1
Convicted	71.6 28.7 0.9 41.9	86.6 70.1 11.9 4.5	58.6 39.8 0.8 18.0	72.9 47.1 12.0 13.8	75.1 57.4 1.0 16.8	46.9 30.2 12.4 4.4	76.0 55.8 1.3 18.8		43.0 15.3	42.1 30.3 1.4 10.3
		TED ASS	AULT-			BU	RGLARY			
	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.	Ariz.	Celif.	Colo.	Conn.	D. C.	Ideho	111.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	29.1 22.4 2.9 2.6 1.2	42.5 15.1 0.7 26.4 0.4	26.4 16.5 2.5 1.7 5.8	28.6 25.3 0.9 2.3	13.2 6.5 1.2 2.8 2.6	18.9 14.7 1.6 2.6	7.9 7.9	15.7 12.4 0.3 3.0	11.6 8.0 1.8 0.9	28.3 23.7 2.6 1.4 0.6
Convicted	70.9 50.2 8.4 12.3	57.5 21.6 1.0 34.9	73.6 49.6 14.0 9.9	71.4 63.6 0.9 6.9	86.8 76.0 4.0 6.8	81.1 75.2 1.3 4.6	92.1 86.2 5.4 0.4	84.3 74.1 0.3 9.9	85.7	71.7 53.2 14.4 4.0
				BURG	LARY-C	ontinue	d			
	Ind.	Iowa	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.H.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	31.3 26.5 2.8 0.9	19.7 16.6 0.4 1.3	20.6 16.2  2.7 1.7	11.0	9.0 5.7 0.2 3.2	9.7 6.2 0.2 1.8 1.5	7.4 5.3 1.1 1.1	17.8	9.7	11.5
Convicted- Plea guilty- Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	68.7 54.5 13.1	80.3 76.4 0.4	79.4 68.9 0.5	89.0 85.3	91.0 83.1 0.4	90.3 81.7 2.8	92.6 89.0 0.4	82.2 75.4 4.2	90.3 80.6 5.7	88.5 76.1 10.6
	1.1	3.5	10.0	3.7	7.4	5.8	3.2	2.5	3.9	1.8

	1000 1000 00 1002 02 0110 02-207										
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME		1	BURGLA	RY —Co	ntin	ued			LARCE	NY, EXCEP	T AUTO
11100350182 0010082	N. J.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.	I. W	ash.	Wis.	Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10	0.0 1	00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	10.8 6.9 1.4 2.1	17.0 13.8 0.8 1.4	16.0	14.4 6.0 0.1 7.6		2.0	7.4	15.4 6.8 0.7 0.2	25.8 23.3 	18.1 12.3 1.9 2.3	25.6 18.2  5.5
Other no penalty dispositions	0.3		84.0	0.7		8.0	4.9	7.7	74.2	1.6	1.9
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	81.7	72.4	74.8	66.5	9	8.0	74.4	77.0 6.4 1.3	68.1	68.3 4.3 9.2	65.8 2.2 6.3
			LARC	eny, e	XCEP	T AUTO	THEFI	Cont	tinued		
	D.C.	111.	Ind	. I	owa	Kan	s. N	ess.	liich.	Minn.	Mont.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100	.0 1	.00.0	100	.0 1	00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	28.8 24.4 0.4 4.0	32.7	33 29 2		14.6 11.8 0.3 1.5	14	.9	33.4 20.7 3.6 9.1	13.4 10.5 0.4	9.2	37.5 33.7
Other no penalty dispositions	~	3.5	0	.8	1.0	0		66.6	0.6	0.4	0.5
Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	63.2	39.3 20.6	57 7	.2	81.5 1.0 2.8	0	.7	58.9 2.4 5.3	77.4 2.9 6.3	83.7 0.4 4.5	53.3 2.2 7.1
			LARC	ENY, E	XCEP	T AUTO	THEFT	-Con	tinued		
	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J	. N.	Max.	Ohi	0 0	reg.	Pa.	S.Dak.	Vt.
Totel defendents disposed of	100.0	100.0	100	.0 ]	.00.0	100	.0 1	00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	16.4 13.9 0.8	7.0	10		31.4 25.5 1.8 3.7	21	.9	16.0 10.7 5.3	24.8 9.2 0.7	9.0	22.5 19.5 1.2 1.2
Other no penalty dispositions	83.6			.8	0.4		.5	84.0	75.2		77.5
Plea guilty	72.5	80.0	74		7.0	. 2	.5	77.9 0.4 5.7	51.3 3.1 20.7		71.0 1.2 5.3
	AUTO	Y,EXCEPT THEFT— inued					AUTO	THEF	r		
	Wash.	Wis.	Cali	f. D.	c.	I11.	Ind	Kan	s. Mas	s. Mich.	Minn.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100	0.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0 100	.0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Diamissed	20.1 15.5 1.9 2.7	16.5 0.8 0.8	8.	2   19 2   9   3	2.2	20.3 14.1 2.2 2.2 1.8	33.3 28.4 2.9 1.1	13.	.4 11 .7 1	.8 8.6 .0 7.3 .9 .8 1.3	6.8
Other no panelty dispositions	79.9 71.2	79.0	86.	3 77	.8	79.7	66.7	82.	.8 79	.2 91.4	91.5
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury vardict guilty		6.7	3.	7		34.8 4.0	10.1	. 0.	.7 ]	.6 0.4	1

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	AUI	O THEFT	T AND FR	AUD						
TROUBDUINE 00100mB	N. J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.	Celif.	Colo.	I11.	Ind.	Iowa	Kans.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	17.9	21.5	23.9	11.2	18.1				44.4	51.2
Dismissed	10.9	15.7	7.3	6.0 2.0	14.3				40.5	44.7
Acquitted by jury	1.1	1.5	16.6		1.4	2.7	2.9	0.9	3.3	4.1
Other no penalty dispositions	0.5	2.2	0.1	3.2	1.5	1.1	3.5	1.5	0.7	1.8
Convicted		78.5		88.8	81.9			32.6	55.6	48.8
Plea guilty	74.1	70.4	1.2	79.9	73.2				51.0	40.6
Jury verdict guilty	0.8	4.3	25.1	0.4	5.4				2.6	8.2
		L			<u> </u>		<u></u>		<u> </u>	
		EMBEZZ	LEMENT A	LID FRAU	D-Cont	inued		STOLE	N PROPE	RTY
	Mich.	Minn.	N. J.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	Wis.	Celif.	Ind.	Mass.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	25.7	10.5	38.9	41.8	16.5	40.7	47.7	31.5	41.9	37.4
Dismissed	22.4	7.2	26.6	37.6 0.6	15.5	21.5	42.8	21.3	32.5 5.1	15.9
Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	2.0	3.3	4.4	2.0	1.0	17.4	1.2	5.6 3.7	3.4	1.9
Other no penalty dispositions	0.7		2.2	1.5		1.0	3.1	0.9	0.9	
Convicted	74.3	89.5	61.1	58.2	83.5	59.3	52.3	68.5	58.1	62.6
Plee guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty	58.6 4.6	86.3	49.2	53.1 2.5	83.5	32.5	49.8	50.9	38.5	50.5
Jury warved, court linds guilty Jury verdict guilty	11.2	3.3	4.8	2.6		24.8	1.8	6.5	7.7	8.4
							<u> </u>			
	STOLEN	PROP-								
		PROP-				FORG	ERY			
			Calif.	D. C.	Ill.	FORG	Iowa	Kans.	Mich.	Minn.
Totel defendents disposed of	erty N.J.	Pe.	Calif.	+	-	Ind.	Iowa		Mich.	Minn.
Total defendants disposed of	N.J. 100.0	Pa. 100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Ind.	Iowa 100.0	100.0		
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J. 100.0	Pe. 100.0	100.0	0 100.0 3 10.4 5 8.5	100.0 64.0 60.5	Ind. 100.0	100.0 28.5 26.5	20.4	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury	N.J. 100.0 41.0 17.6 10.1	Pe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9	3 10.4 5 8.5 9 0.9 5 0.9	100.0 64.0 60.5	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5	100.0 28.5 26.5	20.4	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	N.J. 100.0 41.0 17.6	Pe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9	3 10.4 5 8.5 9 0.9 5 0.9	100.0	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5	100.0 28.5 26.5	20.4	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J.  100.0  41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1	Pa. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 60.7	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1	3 10.4 5 8.5 9 0.9 5 0.9 6 89.6	100.0 64.0 60.5  1.8 1.8	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5 1.1	100.0 28.5 26.5  71.5	20.4 18.9 1.5	100.0	100.0 11.1 8.9  0.5 1.6 88.9
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J. 100.0 41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1	Fe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2 25.4 60.7 35.4	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3	3 10.4 6 8.5 9 0.9 5 0.9 1	100.0 64.0 60.5  1.8 1.8	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5 1.1	100.0 28.5 26.5  2.0  71.5 68.9	20.4 18.9  1.5  79.6 77.7	100.0 11.8 11.8  88.2 80.7	100.0 11.1 8.9  0.5 1.6
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J.  100.0  41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1 59.0 47.9	Pe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2 23.4 9.4	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.1 91.2 84.9	3 10.4 6 8.5 0.9 0.9 1	100.0 64.0 60.5  1.8 1.8 36.0 32.5	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5 1.1 56.3 47.8	Towa 100.0 28.5 26.5 26.5 2.0 71.5 68.9 1.3	20.4 18.9  1.5  79.6 77.7	100.0	100.0 11.1 8.9 0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J. 100.0 41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1 59.0 47.9 3.2	Fe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2 4 9.4 9.4	8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7	0 100.0 3 10.4 5 5.5 9 0.9 5 0.9 6 82.1 7.5	100.0 64.0 60.5  1.8 1.8 36.0 32.5	Ind.  100.0  43.7  40.0  1.1  1.5  1.1  56.3  47.8  5.9	Towa 100.0 28.5 26.5 26.5 2.0 71.5 68.9 1.3	20.4 18.9  1.5  79.6 77.7  1.9	100.0 11.8 11.8  88.2 80.7 2.5	100.0 11.1 8.9 0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8 0.5
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J. 100.0 41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1 59.0 47.9 3.2 8.0	Pe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7	0 100.0 3 10.4 6 8.5 6 0.9 7 0.9 8 2.1 7 7.5	100.0 64.0 60.5  1.8 1.8 36.0 32.5  3.5	Ind.  100.0  43.7  40.0  1.1  1.5  1.1  56.3  47.8  5.9  2.6	100.0 28.5 26.5 2.0 71.5 68.9 1.3 1.3	100.0 20.4 18.9  1.5  79.6 77.7  1.9	100.0 11.8 11.8  68.2 80.7 2.5 5.0	100.0 11.1 8.9 -0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8 0.5 1.6
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J. 100.0 41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1 59.0 47.9 3.2 8.0	Pa.  Pa.  100.0  39.3  13.8  2.2  23.2  60.7  35.4  15.9	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7	0 100.00 3 10.45 5.50 0.99 5.09 7.50 82.1	100.0 64.0 60.5 	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5 6.3 47.8 5.9 2.6	Towa 100.0 28.5 26.5	20.4 18.9 	100.0  11.8 11.8 88.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAPE	100.0 11.1 8.9  0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8 0.5 1.6
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	N.J.  100.0  41.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1 59.0 47.9 3.2 8.0  Nebr.	Fa.  100.0  39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2  60.7 35.4 15.9	100.0 8.8 5.5. 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7 PORGER	0 100.00 3 10.46 5 8.55 9 0.9 0.9 0.9 2 89.69 82.1 7.5  WY—Cont Oreg.	100.0 64.0 60.5 1.8 36.0 32.5 3.5 inued Pa.	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5 1.1 56.3 47.8 5.9 2.6	Iowa 100.0 28.5 26.5 2.0 71.5 68.9 1.3 1.3	100.0 20.4 18.9  1.5  79.6 77.7  1.9	100.0  11.8 11.8 88.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAFE  Ill.	100.0 11.1 8.9 0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8 0.5 1.6
Disposed of without conviction—— Dismissed———————————————————————————————————	100.0 17.6 10.1 11.2 2.1 12.2 8.0 Nebr.	Pa.  Pa.  100.0  39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2  60.7 35.4 9.4 15.9  N. J.	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7 PORGER	0 100.00 8 10.46 8.55 9 0.9 8 0.9 7.5 0 82.1 0 0reg. 100.0	100.0 64.0 60.5 1.8 36.0 32.5 3.5 inued Pa. 100.0	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 56.3 47.8 5.9 2.6  Wesh.	Iowa   100.0   28.5   26.5   2.0   2.0   3.3   1.3   Wis.   100.0   13.7	100.0 20.4 18.9  1.5  1.9 Calif.	100.0  11.8 11.8 88.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAPE  Ill. 100.0	100.0 11.1 8.9 0.5 1.6 88.9 86.9 80.5 1.6 Ind.
Disposed of without conviction— Dismissed———————————————————————————————————	ERTY N.J. 100.00 41.00.11 17.66.10 11.22 47.9.3 3.22 8.00 Nebr. 100.0	Fa.  100.0  39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2  60.7 35.4 15.9	100.0 8.8 5.5. 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7 PORGER	0 100.0  3 10.4  5 5.5  6 0.9  7.5  2 89.6  82.1  0 7.5	100.0 64.0 60.5 1.8 36.0 32.5 3.5 inued Pa. 100.0 18.2 10.6 0.5	Ind. 100.0 43.7 40.0 1.1 1.5 1.1 56.3 47.8 5.9 2.6	Iowa 100.0 28.5 26.5 2.0 71.5 68.9 1.3 1.3	100.0 20.4 18.9  1.5  79.6 77.7  1.9	100.0  11.8 11.8 11.8 2.5 5.0  RAPE  III. 100.0  37.7 18.9 11.3	100.0 11.1 8.9  0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8 0.5 1.6 Ind. 100.0 53.4 42.5 6.7
Disposed of without conviction— Dismissed———————————————————————————————————	N.J.  100.0  41.00.0  41.01.11.11.2.11.11.2.11.11.2.11.11.2.11.11.	N. J.  100.0  100.0  39.3  13.8  2.2  23.2  60.7  35.4  9.4  15.9	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7 FORGER Ohio	0 100.00  3 10.46 5 8.59 6 0.99 6 82.1 7.5  Y—Cont  Oreg. 100.0	100.0  64.0 60.5 1.8 36.0 32.5 3.5  inued  Pa.  100.0  18.2 10.6 0.5 7.0	Ind., 100.0 43.7.4 40.0.0 1.1 1.5.6 47.8 5.9 2.6 Weah.	Towa 100.00 28.5 26.5 2.00 1.3 1.3 Wis. 100.0 13.7 8.8 1.5	100.0 20.4 18.9 79.6 77.7 1.9  Celif.  100.0	100.0  11.8 11.8 68.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAPE  III. 100.0  37.7 18.9 11.3 2.8	100.0 11.1 8.9 
Disposed of without conviction—— Dismissed———————————————————————————————————	N.J.  100.0 41.0.0 41.0.1 11.2.2 11.1 59.0 3.2.2 8.0  Nebr.	Pe. 100.0 39.3 13.8 2.2 23.2 23.2 1.7	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7 PORGEN	0 100.00  3 10.46 5 8.5 6 0.9 7 0.9 2 89.6 6 82.1 6 7.5   Oreg.  100.0  12.5 10.5 1.3 0.7	100.0  64.0 60.5 1.88 36.0 32.5 3.5  inued  Pa.  100.0  18.2 10.6 0.5 7.0 0.2	Ind. 100,00 43.7. 40.0. 1.1. 1.5. 56.3. 47.8. 5.9. 2.66	Towa 100.00 28.5 26.5 2.00 1.3 1.3 Wie. 100.00 13.7 8.8 1.5 3.4	100.0  20.4 18.9 79.6 77.7 1.9  Calif.  100.0  24.4 11.4 3.9 6.7 2.3	100.0  11.8 11.8 68.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAPE  Ill. 100.0  37.7 18.9 11.3 2.8 4.7	100.0 11.1 8,9  0.5 1.6 88.9 86.8 0.5 1.6 100.0 53.4 42.5 6.7 2.6 1.6
Disposed of without conviction— Dismissed— Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury— Other no penelty dispositions— Convicted— Plea guilty— Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty—  Total defendants disposed of Disposed of without conviction— Dismissed— Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury— Cther no penelty dispositions— Convicted————————————————————————————————————	N.J.  100.0  41.00.0  41.01.11.11.2.11.11.2.11.11.2.11.11.2.11.11.	N. J.  100.0  100.0  39.3  13.8  2.2  23.2  60.7  35.4  9.4  15.9	100.0 8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7 FORGER Ohio	0 100.00  3 10.46 5 8.59 6 0.99 6 82.1 7.5  Y—Cont  Oreg. 100.0	100.0  64.0 60.5 1.8 36.0 32.5 3.5  inued  Pa.  100.0  18.2 10.6 0.5 7.0	Ind., 100.0 43.7.4 40.0.0 1.1 1.5.6 47.8 5.9 2.6 Weah.	Towa 100.00 28.5 26.5 5-2.00 71.5 68.9 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	100.0 20.4 18.9  1.5  1.9 Celif. 100.0 24.4 11.4 3.9 6.7 75.6	100.0  11.8 11.8 88.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAPE  111.  100.0  37.7 18.9 11.3 2.8 4.7 62.3	100.0 11.1 8.9 
Disposed of without conviction—— Dismissed———————————————————————————————————	N.J.  100.0  41.0  17.6.6  10.1  11.2  2.1  59.0  Nebr.  100.0	N. J.  100.0  N. J.  100.0  N. J.  100.0	100.00  8.8 5.5 0.9 1.3 1.1 91.2 84.9 2.6 3.7  FORGER  0hio  100.0  28.5 24.8 0.9 1.4 1.4 71.5	0 100.00  8 10.4  8.5  0.9  0.9  0.9  0.9  0.9  0.9  0.9  0	100.0 64.0 60.5	Ind., 100.0 43.7. 40.0 1.1. 56.3. 47.8. 9. 2.6.	Towa 100.00 28.5 26.5 2.00 1.3 1.3 Wie. 100.00 13.7 8.8 1.5 3.4	100.0  20.4 18.9 79.6 77.7 1.9  Calif.  100.0  24.4 11.4 3.9 6.7 2.3	100.0  11.8 11.8 68.2 80.7 2.5 5.0  RAPE  Ill. 100.0  37.7 18.9 11.3 2.8 4.7	100.0  11.1 8.9

									-	
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME			RAPE	Contin	ued		,	COM	VIC	ALIZED E
PROCEDURAL OUTSONS	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	N.J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.	N.	7.	Pa.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court	19.2 10.7 2.3	25.2 12.6 2.0	17.8 13.9	31.4 12.4 5.7	37.8 25.6 5.8	14.5	23.8 15.7 2.2	2	8.2 5.0 9.0	27.9 4.8 0.7
Acquitted by juryOther no penalty dispositions	6.1	9.3 1.3	4.0	12.4	3.8 2.6	20.5	2.2	2	3.5	19.4 3.0
Convicted Ples guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	80.8 60.7 7.9 12.1	74.8 54.3 4.0 16.6	82.2 74.3  7.9	68.6 50.5 4.6 13.4	62.2 41.0 6.4 14.7		76.2 64.3 8.1 4.0	4	1.8 1.7 3.9 6.3	72.1 31.9 1.9 38.3
			OTH	er sex (	)FFENSES					OLATING UG LAWS
	Calif.	Conn.	Ind.	Mich.	N. J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.	C	alif.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	25.4 10.0 3.5 10.0 1.9	20.3	49.6 43.5 4.3 1.7	20.9 15.4 0.8 2.8 2.0	27.5 14.7 6.3 5.9 0.6	32.7 23.6 2.7 2.7 3.6	33.9 20.2 0.5 11.6	20. 10. 3. 2. 5.	0 0 5	15.0 10.8 2.3 1.6 0.3
Convicted	74.6 51.1 8.1 15.4	79.7 73.7 6.0	50.4 44.3 5.2 0.9	79.1 59.7 6.3 13.0	72.5 52.8 10.1 9.6	67.3 41.8 11.8 13.6	66.1 40.7 2.0 23.4	/9. 66. 9. 3.	7	85.0 73.0 6.1 5.9
		CAI	RRYING WE	EAPONS,	ETC.			MINOR	ASSA	LT.
	Calif.	Ind.	Mass.	N. J.	Ohio	Pa.	Ind	. 1	iich.	N. J.
Total dafendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100	.0 1	.00.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	2.3	34.4 31.3 3.1	9.5 3.4 1.4 4.8	25.8 14.6 7.6 3.5	18.2	5. 0. 22.	7 53 2 3 0 1	.6	25.5 12.4 1.3 10.5 1.3	44.7 23.2 13.8 5.7 2.0
Convicted	83.7 68.2	65.6 53.1 9.4 3.1	90.5 76.9 2.7 10.9	74.2 62.6 6.6 5.1	72.4	29.	6 31	.4	74.5 53.6 7.2 13.7	55.3 38.1 14.9 2.3
	MIN	DR ASSAU	LT-Cont	inued		NONSU	PPORT C	R NEG	ECT	
	Ohio	Pa.	Wash.	Wis.	Calif.	Ind.	Iow	ra I	(ans.	MAS9.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100	0.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	41.8 37.3 1.1 1.9	49.8 26.9 1.1 20.7	31.7	36.6	20.8	3 59. 1 1. 1 0.	3 44	. 6	58.7 55.3	35.7 2.9 0.5
Other no penalty dispositions-		1.1	5.0	1.8	0.8	3.	0 1	6	1.3	61.0
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	49.4	31.8 3.8 14.6	44.6 4.0	42.4 16.5	67.4	26.	8 47	5.5	35.3 3.3 2.7	

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	N	ONSUPPOR	T OR NE	ELECT—C	ontir	nued	VIOLA	TING LIQU	OR LAWS
TROUBOARD GOTOGRAD	Mich.	Minn.	N.J.	Ohio	Pa	a. Nis	. D.C.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	0.0 100	.0 100.	0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	36.3 35.1 0.6	20.3 15.3  2.5 2.5	37.5 31.5 3.3 2.1 0.6	56.4 49.5 1.3 2.4 3.2	18	3.1 34 1.4 3 0.4 0	.3 59 .0 51 .2 .2 7	8 35.4 2.1 5 0.8	0.3
Convicted Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	63.7 54.4 2.3 7.0	79.7 78.8 	62.5 46.7 13.6 2.2	43.6 37.1 4.5 2.0	23 53	9.1 59 3.2 46 3.9 12 3.0 0	.9 31.	6 53.3 9 5.4	60.1
			VIOLA	ING LIC	UOR I	AWS—Con	tinued		
	Kans.	Mich.	Mont.	Nebr.	N.:	J. N.De	k. Ohio	Pe.	Wis.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	0.0 100	.0 100.	.0 100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	50.5 44.0 0.7 3.7 2.0	28.0 23.5 0.5 3.0 1.0	57.7 53.2  2.7 1.8	46.9 40.8  1.4 4.8	16	3.4 1 2.7 1	.0 26. .2 23. .0 0. .9 0.	4 11.5 7 0.7 9 16.6	17.3 2.7 4.2
Convicted	49.5 42.2 2.0 5.3	72.0 66.5 2.5 3.0	42.3 38.7 0.9 2.7	53.1 40.1 8.8 4.1	70	1.0	.0 73. .2 69. 2. .8 1.	4 56.2 5 3.0	61.2
			DI	RIVING W	HILE	INTOXICA	TED		-
	Calif.	Ind.	Iows	Mai	ne	Minn.	Nebr.	N. Mex.	Ohio
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.	.0 10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	18.0 9.8 3.5 4.1 0.5	28.9 21.6 4.6 1.1 1.6	14.	.2	81.4 81.6 6.5 3.3	5.9 4.4 0.5 1.0	32.7 17.0 3.9 7.2 4.6	18.7 14.8  3.2 0.6	18.7 13.9 0.6 4.2
Convicted	82.0 64.0 10.1 7.9	71.1 54.4 13.8 3.0	80.	0 5	8.6 9.5 3.3 5.9	94.1 89.7 	67.3 52.3 12.4 2.6	81.3 78.7  2.6	81.3 73.5 3.9 3.9
	DRIVI	NG WHILE	INTOXIC	CATED—C	ontir	ıued	OTHER MO	TOR VEHIC	LE LAWS
	Pa.	S. Dak.	Vt.	Was	sh.	Wis.	Calif.	Conn.	Ind.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.	0 10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	24.8 5.4 0.5 18.3 0.5	8.9 7.6	8.	.7 2	51.4	3.9 3.0  0.7 0.2	26.8 14.5 3.7 5.6 3.0	56.1 45.5 6.7 1.6 2.4	49.8 42.8 3.4 0.7 3.0
Convicted Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	75.2 54.3 1.7 19.3	91.1 88.0 0.6 2.5	85.	.3 5	8.6 7.6 3.4 7.6	96.1 86.2 8.4 1.4	73.2 56.1 8.6 8.6	43.9 31.0 9.8 3.1	50.2 37.4 11.4 1.3

PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	0	THER MOT	OR VEHIC	LE LAWS	-Cont	lnued			RLY COND	UCT AND
PROCEDURAL OUTCOME	Mass.	Ohio	Pa.	Vt.	Wes	sh. W	ls.	Conn.	Ind.	Mich.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100	0.0 10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction Dismissed	3.9	37.3	18.5	5.	2 14	1.0	2.6	30.8 25.8	25.5 19.7	16.8
Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penelty dispositions	11.2	4.3	8.2	0.	5 9	9.1	0.1	2.5	3.9 0.4 1.6	5.3
Convicted	84.9	56.9	59.4	93.	9 70	0.0	97.4	69.2	74.5	83.2
Plea guilty Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty	3.3	5.3	17.2		- 14		0.8	5.0	10.7	80.3 1.4 1.4
		DERLY CO: ACRANCY-				(	AMBLI	ING		
	Pa.	∀t.	Wis.	Conn.	D. C.	Ind.	N. J	ohio	Oreg.	Pa.
Total defendants disposed of	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100.0	100.0	100.0
Disposed of without conviction	33.6	6.2 5.6	1.8	2.8	52.4 43.8	31.1	20.	0 13.	27.1	35.6
Jury waived, acquitted by court Acquitted by jury Other no penalty dispositions	1.2	0.2 0.1 0.3	0.3		8.7	2.5	0. 3. 2.	1		0.1 30.4 0.2
ConvictedPlea guilty	27.3	93.8 93.2	98.2 96.1	97.2 94.4	47.6 41.3	68.9 49.6	79. 73.	5 83.6	63.9	64.4
Jury waived, court finds guilty Jury verdict guilty		0.6	2.0	2.8	6.3	17.6	0. 5.			30.7

TABLE 33. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936

OFFENSE	30 States	Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa
All offenses	98,240	873	7,089	1,054	1,363	1,650	494	1,682	5,290	1,803
Major offenses, total	55,431	636	5,814	965	783	1,320	372	1,577	2,898	983
Murder	730	31	79	27	7	20	7	77	58	13
Manslaughter		9	107	17	19	18	10	16	25	3
Robberv		48	429	70	53	274	22	490	173	38
Aggraveted esseult		74	339	37	19	113	17	38	129	36
Burglery	11,557	151	1,132	247	221	332	99	346	517	185
Did glaif	11,00	101	1,100	~	~~~	002		0.10	01.	100
Larceny, except auto theft	11,980	121	527	270	72	178	62	169	1,021	322
Auto theft	4.439	11	622	72	86	195	10	181.	295	72
Embezzlement and fraud		58	428	91	49	38	26	96	214	90
Stolen property		9	86	13	17	11	11	10	68	24
Forgery		63	816	56	12	95	81	41	152	110
roigaly	0,043	00	010	"	1	50	01	***	100	110
Rape	2,127	31	317	51	24	11	21	65	89	14
Commercialized vice		7	6		13	7		9	5	8
Other sex offenses		20	273	12	171	9	6	35	58	45
Violating drug laws		3	544	2	8	19			10	2
Carrying weapons, etc	1,574		109		12			4	84	21
,,,,	-,									
Other offenses:										
Minor essault	2,974	25	117	7	69	35	9	11	216	13
Noneupport or neglect		49	175	13	13	8	8	4	310	65
Violating liquor laws		12	30	3	45	135	6	4	482	221
Driving while intoxicated		68	302	4	37		11	9	310	342
Other motor vehicle laws		25	192	3	117	1	56	15	149	32
Disorderly conduct and vegrancy		10	5	6	83		7		424	25
Gambling		4	4	4	105	99	4	9	82	17
All other offenses		44	450	49	111	52	21	53	419	105
322 4722 422000	0,000	**	100	1.0		0.0				

TABLE 33.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

						-						
OFFENSE	Kans.	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nabr.	N. 1	H. N	i. J.	N. Mex.	N. Dak.
All offenses	2,007	685	2,880	3,122	2,301	563	1,327	4	77 6	,934	798	522
Major offenses, total	1,371	320	2,313	2,189	1,664	387	907	3-	44 4	,352	547	275
Murder	33	5	7	40	11	3	7			54	21	4
Mansleughter	18	5	16	59	14	7	10		5	54	12	4
Robbery	78	11	234	156	72	16	71		9	400	34	15
Aggravated esseult	48 295	119	117 835	89 537	33 259	18 94	20 252	1	8 00 1	449 .,026	60 58	13 40
Larceny, except auto theft	452	53	389	420	618	112	204		93	772.	186	80
Auto theft	116	20	244	246	162	19	72		20	313	21	15
Embezzlement and fraud	85	18		113	139	25	53		37	309	63	17
Stolen property	24	5	67	30	26	4	29		3	118	7	1
Forgary	162	16	24	105	170	48	129		13	99	44	28
Repe	40	17	173	111	78	17	8	:	21	132	16	28
Commercialized vice	2	3	2	10	6	1	13	Ι.	1	87	1	
Other sex offenses	10	39	70	199 12	59 2	5	16	1	32	380	7 8	24
Violeting drug laws Carrying weepons, etc			133	62	15	13	21		2	10 149	9	5
Other offenses:												
Minor esseult	32	27	74	122	48	12	30		14	333	24	20
Nonsupport or neglect	62	4	128	109	94	4	11		5	396	3	17
Violeting liquor lews	291	22	3	144	70	47	78		4	923	18	80
Driving while intoxicated	28	105	30	47	190	3	102	:	36	65	128	57
Other motor vehicle laws	6	59	129	44	52	6	41		26	24	16	16
Disorderly conduct and vagrancy	20	61	14	173	5	ı	52	'	8	4	13	15
Gambling	11	7	2	12	26	40	9		3	181	8	1
All other offenses	186	80	187	282	152	63	97		37	650	41	41
						<u> </u>						
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R. I	. Dak		ah 1	7t.	Wash	. T	is.	₩yo.
All offenses	0h10 6,069	0reg.			* Dak	00	+	7t.	Wash		is.	₩yo. 305
All offenses					* Dak	., "	+					
Major offenses, total	6,069	1,222	28,83	2 68	Dak	37	299 2	,478 281	1,76	6 12	,835	305
Major offenses, total	6,069 4,040 58	1,222	28,83	2 68	Dak 3 8 8 4	41	299 2	,478 281	1,76	66 12	,835	305 202 4
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164	1,222 882 5	28,83 15,34	2 68 0 53 6 5 1	Dak 3 6	41	299 2 190 5 6	,478 281 1	1,76	66 12 00 2	,835 ,330 14 33	305 202 4
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164 459	1,222 882 5 11 45	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 2	Dak 3 8 8 4 2 2 1	41 4 5 18	299 2 190 5 6 15	,478 281 1 1	1,76 1,17	7 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	,835 ,330 14 33 116	305 202 4
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164	1,222 882 5	28,83 15,34	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 2 7 4	Dak 3 8 4 2 2 1 1	41	299 2 190 5 6	,478 281 1	1,76 1,17	7 7 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 33 32 32	,835 ,330 14 33	305 202 4
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164 459 208 1,079	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 2 7 4 7 24	Dak 3 8 8 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 5	41 45 518 14 87	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51	281 1 1 1 1 39	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26	7 2 2 3 3 3 3 2 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	,835 ,330 14 33 116 88 383	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164 459 208 1,079 529	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 2 7 7 44 7 24	Dak 3 8 4 2 2 1 1 5 8 1	41 4 5 18 14 87	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26	7 32 33 32 37 88	,835 ,330 14 33 116 88 383 569	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164 459 208 1,079	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 2 2 7 4 7 24 5 1 5 1	Dak 3 8 8 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 8 1 4	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131 24	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7	7 32 33 32 37 98 8 8	,330 14 33 116 88 383 569 221	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164 459 208 1,079 529 410	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 6 2 7 4 7 24 5 1 5 1 5 3 9 3 9 0 3	Dak 3 8 8 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 8 1 4	41 4 5 18 14 87	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7 3	7 32 33 32 37 88	,835 ,330 14 33 116 88 383 569	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079  529 410 374	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40 87	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 2 4 7 24 7 24 5 3 9 3 3 5	Dak 3 8 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 8 1 4 3 -	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131 24 21	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7 3	7 7 82 8 8 8 8 1 3	,835 ,330 14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 2366 40 87 44	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23	2 688 0 53 6 1 6 2 7 44 7 24 5 11 5 3 9 3 3 5 1	Dak  3 8  8 4  2 2 1 1 1 5 9 4 4 3 3 8	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35 6	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3	1,76 1,17 1 3 26 39 7 3	66 12 70 2 72 53 52 77 88 8 81 3 1	14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069 4,040 58 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144 251	1,222 882 5 111 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 6 5 2 7 4 7 24 5 5 3 9 9 5 5 1 1	Dak  3 8  4 22 21 11 5 8 1 4 3 8	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35 6 6 6 8	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 6	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13	1,76 1,17 1	66 12 70 2 732 33 32 77 88 88 31 3 11	,835 14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079 529 4100 374 144 251  90 68	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40 1,30	2 68 0 53 6 2 6 2 7 24 7 24 1 5 5 3 9 9 3 3 4	Dak  3 8  4 22 211 15 5 8 14 33 -8 11	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35 6 68	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13	1,76  1,17  1 3 5 3 26  39 7 3 14 2	0 2 2 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 11 3 3 11 7 7 8 8 2 2	1,835 1,330 14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46 285	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079  529 410 374 144 251  90 9 68 45	1,222 882 5 11 455 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24 2	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40 1,30 7	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 7 4 7 24 5 1 5 3 7 9 9 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dak  B 4  2 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 8 4 4 3 3 - 8 8 1 1 2 1 2 1 2	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 19	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 6 6 - 14 14	281 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 2 3 13	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7 3 14 2	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46 285 4	305 202 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 34
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079 529 4100 374 144 251  90 68	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40 1,30	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 7 4 7 24 5 1 5 2 7 4 7 24 1 5 1 1 2 9 4 5 5	Dak  B 4  2 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 8 4 4 3 3 - 8 8 1 1 2 1 2 1 2	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 19	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 6 6 - 14	,478 281 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7 3 14 2	0 2 2 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 11 3 3 11 7 7 8 8 2 2	1,835 1,330 14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46 285	305 202 4 
Major offenses, total Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079  529 410 374 144 251  90 9 68 45	1,222 882 5 11 455 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24 2	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40 1,30 7	2 68 0 53 6 5 1 7 4 7 24 5 1 5 3 7 9 9 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dak  B 4  2 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 8 4 4 3 3 - 8 8 1 1 2 1 2 1 2	41 4 5 18 14 87 45 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 19	299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 6 6 - 14	281 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 2 3 13	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7 3 14 2	20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46 285 4	305 202 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 34
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144 251 90 68 455 152	1,222 882 5 111 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24 2 2 44 1 3	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 40 1,30 7,4	2 688 0 53 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dak  B 4  2  2  1  1  5  8  1  4  3  -  8  -  9  9	41 4 5 18 14 45 13 35 6 68 24 3 3	190 299 2 190 5 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 6 6	281 1 1 1 1 1 3 9 131 24 21 3 13 2 31 13	1,76  1,17  1	0 2 2 2 2 2 9 9 8 8	14 33 116 88 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46 285 4 20	305 202 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 34 9 
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144 251 90 68 45 152	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 71 71 236 40 87 74 44 132 24 44 1 3	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40 0 1,30 7 74	2 688 0 53 6 5 1 6 6 2 7 4 7 24 7 24 5 1 5 1 6 7 6 7 7 4 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 9	Dak 3 6 6 4 4 2 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 8 8 8 8 1 1 2 9 9 3 3	41 4 5 18 14 14 15 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 3 222 16 6	2299 2 190 5 6 15 10 551 22 2 6 6 6	281 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 23 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	1,76 1,17 1 33 26 39 7 3 14 2 7	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	14 33 116 88 383 175 168 46 285 4 20 137 315	2022 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 4 34 9 7 1
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  58 164 459 208 1,079  529 410 374 144 251 90 9 68 455 152	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24 42 24 41 3	28,63 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,64 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 3,75 54 50 40 1,30 7 7 7 1,13 3,14 1,13 1,14 1,14 1,14	2 2 68 0 53 0 53 1 7 4 4 7 7 24 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dake 3 8 4 4 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 5 5 5 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 8 8 8 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 9 9 3 3 3 9 9 3 3 3	41 4 5 18 14 45 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 3 3 22 16 17 5	2299 2 190 5 6 15 10 10 51 3 39 4 11 7 7 222 6 6	281 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 2 3 13 13 2 13 13 14 21 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	1,76 1,17 1 3 5 3 26 39 7 3 144 2 7	2 2 2 2 2 9 8 8 3 3 9 9	14 33 116 88 383 569 221 172 36 175 168 46 285 4 20 137 315 227	2022 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 4 34 1 2 2
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144 251 90 68 45 152	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 71 71 236 40 87 74 44 132 24 44 1 3	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 50 40 0 1,30 7 74	2 2 68 0 53 0 53 1 7 4 4 7 7 24 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dake 3 8 4 4 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 5 5 5 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 8 8 8 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 9 9 3 3 3 9 9 3 3 3	41 4 5 18 14 14 15 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 3 222 16 6	2299 2 190 5 6 15 10 551 22 2 6 6 6	281 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 23 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	1,76 1,17 1 33 26 39 7 3 14 2 7	2 2 2 2 2 9 8 8 3 3 9 9	14 33 116 88 383 175 168 46 285 4 20 137 315	2022 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 4 34 9 7 1
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079  529 410 374 144 251  90 9 68 455 152  303 301 649 264	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24 42 24 43 38 16 39 18	28,833 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,64 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 35 54 1,30 7,7 7,7 4 1,13 3,12 1,48 2,38	2 2 68 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 66 1 2 4 2 4 2 4 0 55 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dak 3 8 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 8 8 4 4 3 3 3 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 3 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 3 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 3 8 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 3 8 8 8 4 4 4 3 3 3 8 8 8 8	41 4 5 18 14 14 5 13 35 6 6 8 8 24 19 3 22 16 75 5 4 4 2 2 4	299 2 190 5 5 6 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 2 13 10 38 11	281 1 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 2 31 13 2 31 12 42 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	1,766 1,177 1355 266 399 77 3 144 2 66 11 2 8 166	7.7 122 133 122 177 188 8 8 8 8 111 13 3 11 177 18 8 2 2 2 2 2 9 9 8 8 3 3 9 9 2 2 2 3 3	14,835 116,835 116,88 383,383 116,88 221,172 172,36 175 168,46 285 4,20 137,315 4,20 137,315 534,904	202 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 4 34 9 7 1 1 2 7 1 1 38 1
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144 251 90 68 45 152 303 301 649 264 119 58	1,222 882 5 11 45 717 236 40 87 44 132 24 13 25 38 16 39 18	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 355 54 50 40 1,30 7 74 1,13 3,12 1,48 3,00 1,13 1,14	2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 688 2 2 2 2	Dake 3 8 4 4 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 5 8 8 1 2 2 3 3 5 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	37 41 4 5 18 14 14 13 35 6 6 6 8 24  3  3  3  4 16 7 7	299 2 190 5 5 6 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 2 13 10 38 11	1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 13 2 31 13 2 11 24 12 15 27 329	1,76 1,17 1	0 2 2 7 7 12 2 3 3 2 2 2 7 7 18 8 8 8 11 1 3 3 1 1 1 17 7 8 8 2 2 2 2 9 9 4 4 9 9 9 4 9 9 9 4 9 9 9 9	14 33 116 88 383 126 175 168 44 20 137 3315 227 534 497	305 202 4 12 10 37 59 10 16 4 34 1 2 7 1 1 1 38
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079  529 410 374 144 251 90 9 68 455 152  303 301 649 264 119 58	1,222 882 5 11 45 71 137 236 40 87 44 132 24 42 2 44 1 3 3 8 16 39 18 10 88 89 18 10 89 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	28,833 15,34 111 201 1,13 1,64 2,38 3,73 75 54 50 40 1,33 3,12 1,48 3,00 1,13 3,12 1,48 1	2 2 68 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 66 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dak 3 8 8 4 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 5 5 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	41 4 5 18 14 45 13 35 6 6 6 8 24 3 3 22 16 175 44 24 7 9 9	299 2 190 5 6 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 2 6 6	281 1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 13 2 31 13 2 31 13 2 2 31 128 128 128 128 128	1,766 1,177 1 3 5 5 266 399 7 7 3 3 144 2 2 7 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 6 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0 2 2 7 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 5	14,835 116,885 116,88 221,172 36,175 168,46 45,285 4,20 137,315 227,534 ,904,497,49	202 4 
Major offenses, total  Murder	6,069  4,040  588 164 459 208 1,079 529 410 374 144 251 90 68 45 152 303 301 649 264 119 58	1,222 882 5 11 45 717 236 40 87 44 132 24 13 25 38 16 39 18	28,83 15,34 11 20 1,13 1,84 2,38 3,73 75 1,23 355 54 50 40 1,30 7 74 1,13 3,12 1,48 3,00 1,13 1,14	2 2 68 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 53 0 66 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dak 3 8 8 4 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 5 5 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	37 41 4 5 18 14 14 13 35 6 6 6 8 24  3  3  3  4 16 7 7	299 2 190 5 5 6 6 15 10 51 39 4 11 7 22 2 13 10 38 11	1 1 1 1 39 131 24 21 3 13 13 2 31 13 2 11 24 12 15 27 329	1,76 1,17 1	0 2 2 7 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 5	14 33 116 88 383 126 175 168 44 20 137 3315 227 534 497	202 4 

TABLE 34.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY OFFENSE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY OF MAJOR CRIMINAL OFFENSES AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY STATES: 1936

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

OFFENSE	30 States	Ariz.	Calif	e.	Colo.	Conn		D. C	. Ida	ho	111		Ind.	Iowa
Major offenses, total	100.0	100.0	100.	.0	100.0	100.	0	100.	0 100	.0	100.	.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	1.3 1.6 8.2 7.2 20.8	4.9 1.4 7.5 11.6 23.7	7.	.8	2.8 1.8 7.3 3.8 25.6	2. 6. 2.	4 8 4	1. 1. 20. 8.	4 2 8 5 6 4	.9	4. 1. 31. 2.	0 1 4	2.0 0.9 6.0 4.5	1.3 0.3 3.9 3.7 18.8
Larcent, except auto theft Embezzlament and fraud Stolen property	21.6 8.0 7.1 2.1 6.6	19.0 1.7 9.1 1.4 9.9	9 10 7	.1	28.0 7.5 9.4 1.3 5.8	9. 11. 6. 2.	0 3 2	13. 14. 2. 0.	8 2 9 7 8 3	.7	10. 11. 6. 0. 2.	5	35.2 10.2 7.4 2.3 5.2	32.8 7.3 9.2 2.4 11.2
Rapa	1.2	4.9 1.1 3.1 0.5	0 4 9	.5 .1 .7 .4	1.2	21.	7 8 0	0. 0. 1.	5 7 1 4	.6	2.	.1 .6 .2 .3	3.1 0.2 2.0 0.3 2.9	1.4 0.8 4.6 0.2 2.1
	Kans.	Maine	Maes.	Mi	ch. N	inn.	Mo	nt.	Nabr.	N.F	H. 1	N.J.	N. Max.	N. Dak.
Major offenses, total	100.0	100.0	100.0	10	0.0 1	.00.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.	.0 10	00.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	2.4 1.3 5.7 3.5 21.5	1.6 1.9 3.4 2.5 37.2	0.3 0.7 10.1 5.1 36.1		1.8 2.7 7.1 4.1	0.7 0.8 4.3 2.0 15.6		0.8 1.8 4.1 4.7 4.3	0.8 1.1 7.8 2.2 27.8		.5	1.2 1.2 9.2 10.3 23.6	2.2 6.2 11.0	1.5 5.5 4.7
Larcany, except auto theft Auto theft	6.2	16.6 6.3 5.6 1.6 5.0	16.8 10.5  2.9 1.0	1	9.2 1.2 5.2 1.4 4.8	37.1 9.7 8.4 1.6 10.2		8.9 4.9 6.5 1.0 2.4	22.5 7.9 5.8 3.2 14.2		.8	17.7 7.2 7.1 2.7 2.3	3.8	5.5 6.2 0.4
Rapa	2.9	5.3 0.9 12.2	7.5 0.1 3.0 0.1 5.8		5.1 0.5 9.1 0.5 2.8	4.7 0.4 3.5 0.1 0.9		4.4 0.3 1.3 3.4 1.3	0.9 1.4 1.8 0.2 2.3	9	.1 .3 .3	3.0 2.0 8.7 0.2 3.4	0.2	8.7
	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	F	. I.	S.Dak		Utal	n V	t.	Was	h.	Wis.	Wyo.
Major offenses, total	100.0	100.0	100.0	) 1	L00.0	100.	0	100	.0 100	0.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0
Murder	4.1 11.4 5.1	1.2 5.1 8.0	0.8 1.3 7.4 12.0 15.6	1 0	0.4 2.2 3.9 7.6 45.5	0. 1. 4. 3.	1 2	3 7,	.9	0.4	2 4 2	.5	0.6 1.4 5.0 3.8 16.4	5.9 5.0 18.3
Larceny, axcept auto theft Auto theft	9.3	4.5 9.9 5.0		3 -	3.3 17.5 6.1	32. 2. 7. 1.	9 4	5	.8	6.6 8.5 7.5 1.1	6 2 0	2.6	24.4 9.5 7.4 1.5 7.5	29.2 5.0 7.9 2.0 16.8
Rapa	1.7	0.2 5.0 0.1	8.5	5	1.5 7.6 0.4 2.4	4.	3		.4 1	4.6 0.7 1.0	6	2.3 0.7 5.2 0.2 0.8	7.2 2.0 12.2 0.2 0.9	2.0 0.5 1.0

TABLE 35. -- DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION
IN 30 STATES, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE: 1936

SENTENCE All 15 major offenses	Larceny,
ter sty assault 243	except auto theft
Totel defendants sentenced 98,240 55,431 730 898 4,569 3,987 11,557	11,980
Death penalty————————————————————————————————————	3,729 3,998 3,339 734 152 28
Auto theft and fraud Stolen gery fine erty fraud sex of drug fraud sex of drug fraud sex of drug fensee laws	Carrying weapons, etc.
Total defendants sentenced 4,439 3,959 1,165 3,649 2,127 665 3,356 776	1,574
Death penalty	196 512 681 181
Minor support leting while motor conduct and vagrancy	All other offenses
Total defendants sentenced 2,974 5,314 5,182 6,162 6,899 7,077 2,345	6,856
Death penalty————————————————————————————————————	1,019 2,168 1,425 1,942
11nquents only	261 41

TABLE 36.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION IN 30 STATES, BY OFFENSE: 1936

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

							-					
SENTENCE	All offense	15 me offer		Mur	der	Man- elaug ter	gh-	Rob-	. 1	Aggra- vated aseault	lerg-	Larceny, except euto theft
Total defendants sentenced	100.	0 10	0.0	10	0.0	100	0.0	100.	0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Death penalty	0. 22. 29. 25. 22.	4 1 0 1 0	0.1 36.1 31.1 25.6 5.8	8	8.6 5.8 0.8 4.5  0.1	28	5,1 2.7 3.4 3.2	62. 15. 20. 0.	1 8 4 5 5	22.3 27.5 41.6 7.9	7 33.8 5 19.2 9 1.3	31.1 33.4 27.9 6.1 1.3 0.2
	Auto theft				For- gery	Repe	Э	Comme ciali: vice	zed	Other sex of- fenses	Vio- lating drug lews	Carrying weapons, etc.
Total defendants sentenced	100.0	100.0	100	.0 1	00.0	100	.0	100	0.0	100.	0 100.0	100.0
Death penalty	38.1 37.4 20.0 2.4 2.0 0.1	23.3 39.0 27.9 9.0 0.6 0.1		.0	40.8 36.3 19.0 3*0 0.7 0.1	1	.1	3: 3:	5.0 0.2 3.5 1.0	25.1 26.1 25.1 23.	2 30.3 0 47.8 1 3.2	12.5 32.5 43.3 11.5
	Minor	Non- suppor or neglec	t la li	io- ting quor ews	whi		mc veh	her otor sicle	de cor	lsor- erly iduct and grancy	Gambling	All other offenses
Total defendents sentenced	100.0	100.	0 1	00.0	10	0.0	1	.00.0		100.0	100.0	100.0
Death penalty	6.4 34.5 37.1 21.4	32.	5	4.4 25.5 30.4 39.3		1.9 20.0 46.0		1.2 11.3 9.9 77.2		1.8 36.6 26.4 34.1	1.2 23.5 25.9 49.0	14.9 31.6 20.8 28.3
Institutions for juvenile de- linquente only Other	0.5			0.3		0.4		0.2		1.1	0.3	3.8 0.6

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936

	-	_	AND . O.						_					
						IA	L M	AJOR	OF	FENSES				
SENTENCE	30 State	as	Ariz.	Cal	if.	Col	Lo.	Conr	۱.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total dafendanta santancad	55,43	31	636	5,	814	9	65	78	3	1,320	372	1,577	2,898	983
Death penalty	20,03 17,22 14,19 3,19	23 96 95	3 387 192 40 11	1,	13 600 010 964 85	2	2 556 216 68 12	26 19 28	7	1 829 285 202 1	199 90 64	287 283 15	6 1,488 821 359 116	2 408 229 295 41
quents only	65	70	3		142		11		2	2	11		94	8
				1	AL	L MAJ	OR (	OFFEN	SE	S—Cont	inued			
	Kans	a.	Maine	Mas	18.	Mi ch	. 1	Minn.	1	Mont.	Nabr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Max.
Total defendants sentenced	1,37	1	320	2,3	13	2,18	9 :	1,664		387	907	344	4,352	547
Death penalty	1,01 19 12	8	105 129 69 16	1,0	1 14 21 31 46	1,10 83 16	5	789 573 243 58		296 58 13 6	553 189 104 40	106 147 81 8	1,524 1,874 767 126	1 324 150 48 16
quents onlyOther		5	<u>1</u>				-  -	1	-	14	21	2	55 2	4 4
	•				ALI	MAJ	OR C	FFEN	SES	S-Cont	inued			
	N. Dak.	Oh	io 01	•eg.	I	a.	R.I		S. ek	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	275	4,	040	882	15,	340	53	88	44]	190	281	1,170	2,330	202
Death penalty	158 32 55 6	1,	4 893 433 512 182	492 266 110 8	4, 6,	16 897 362 926 918	11 35 6		271 95 66	36	134 59 43	202	717 855 488	1 124 28 18 10
quents only	24		11 5	5		201 20		- 1			5	7	13 5	20
						-		MURD	ER				1	
	29 State	9	Ariz.	Cal	if.	Col	٥.	Conn		D. C.	Idaho	1111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendanta sentancad	73	0	31		79		27		7	20	7	77	58	13
Death penalty	62	3	3 27 1		13 65 1		22		- 1	1 19 	6	5 72 	6 49 1 1	2 11 
quenta onlyOther		1							- 1				1	

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI SENTENCE	ENGE AND OFFENSE, BI STATES. 1950—Continued											
					MUE	DER-	-Con	tinued				
SENTENCE	Kans.	Maine	Mass	9.	Mich.	Min	n.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	33	5		7	40		11	3	7		54	21
Death penalty	1 32			- 1	38		11	3	5 2		4 50	1 19 1
					MUE	DER-	-Con	tinued				
	N. Dak.	Ohio (	reg.	I	Pe. I	R.I.	S. Dak		n Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	4	58	5		116	2		4	5 1	17	14	4
Death penelty	4		5		26	2		4	5 1	1 16	14	1 3
					1	MANSL	AUGE	TTER				
	29 States	Ariz	Cal	if.	Colo	. co	nn.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	898		,	107	1'	,	19	18	10	16	25	3
Deeth penelty	405 204 255 29			58 36 13		·   -	8 2 9	14 1 2 1	77 11 22	3	18 4 1	3
					MANSI.	AUGHT	ER—	-Contin	ued	·		
	Kans.	Maine	Mas	э.	Mich.	Min	n.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	18	6		16	59		14	7	10	5	54	12
Death penalty	10	1 4			24 26 3 6		- 1	6 1	8 1 1	2 1 1 1	20 17 14 3	10 2
Other		L										

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

					MANS	LAUGHT	ERC	ontinu	.ed.				
SENTENCE	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	1	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.	
Total defendante sentenced	4	164	11		205	12	5	6	1	32	33		
Deeth penelty	1 3	91 39 30 4	9 2		36 39 120 7	5 2 5	3 1 1	4		11 10 11 	6		
						RC	BBERY						
	30 State	s Ari	z. Ce	lif.	Col	o. Co	nn.	D. C.	Idaho	m.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants sentenced	4,56	9	48	429		70	53	274	22	490	173	38	
Death penalty	2,83 72 93 2	0 3 2	38 8 1	295 77 31 1 25		58 8 3	44 5 4	206 35 32	15 4 3	391 41 56 2	138 22 2 2 	30 5	
				:	RO	BBERY-	-Cont	inued					
	Kens	. Main	е Ма	39.	Mi ch	. Min	n. M	ont.	Nebr.	и. н.	N. J.	N. Mex.	
Totel defendants sentenced	7	8 1	.1	234	15	6	72	16	71	9	400	34	
Death penelty	 7 	1	8 3	153 48 33	13 1	5 6	60	14	59 10 2	4 3 2	244 97 50 3	20 11 3	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			RO	BBERY-	-Cont	inued					
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	F	e.	R.I.	S. Dak.	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.	
Total defendants sentenced	15	459	45	1,	136	21	18	15	1	53	116	12	
Death penalty	10 2	342 98 18 1	34 6 4 		287 170 666 8	12 9	12 6	12 2 1	1	51 1 1	78 22 10 6		

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

						AGG	RAV	ATED A	SSAULT					
SENTENCE	30 States	s	Ariz.	Cel	lf.	Colo	).	Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa	
Total defendants sentenced	3,98	,	74	;	339	3	37	19	113	17	38	129	36	
Death penelty	886 1,100 1,659 310	6 9 6	40 25 5 3		87 37 212 2	2	6 8	6 1 10 2	62 23 28	13 3 1	12 7 17 2	53 23 33 19	8 10 13 4	
					AGO	RAVAT	TED .	ASSAUI	T-Con	inued				
	Kans. Mains		Mas	8.	Mich.	. M	inn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.		
Total defendants sentenced	4.	8	8	1	17	89	9	33	18	20	8	449	60	
Death penalty	1	30 2 11 4			25 47 42 3	32	3	14 12 5 2	12 2 2 2	14 1 3 2	1 3 4	121 176 133 16	25 19 6 8	
					AGO	RAVAT	TED	ASSAU	LT—Con	inued				
	N. Dak.	Oh	10 0:	reg.	I	e.	R.I	S Dal		Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.	
Total defendants sentenced	13		208	71	1	,847	4	1	14 10	1	32	88	10	
Death penalty	3 1 6 1 2		98 65 38 6	49 15 7	1,	58 545 022 215	1 2 2	11 21 9		2	166	15	3	
00/32		_											<u> </u>	
							E	BURGLA	RY			,		
	30 State	3	Ariz.	Cel	if.	Col	0.	conn.	D. C.	Idaho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowe	
Total defendants sentenced	11,55	7	151	1,	132	24	47	221	332	99	346	517	185	
Death panalty	5,03 3,91 2,21 14	8 1 9 5	108 41 1		314 380 393		11	92 58 70	233 56 42	53 19 19	206 78 62	292 146 35 11	89 44 48 4	
quents onlyOther	23				45		4	1		6		33		

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

<b></b>													
	BURGLARY—Continued												
SENTENCE	Kans	. Mai	nе	Mas	s,	Mi ch .	Mi	nn.	Mont.	Nebr.	И. Н.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	29	5 1	19	8	35	537	,	259	94	252	100	1,026	58
Death penelty	23	4	38 63	4	45 31	255 254	:	137 83	75 15	157	32 40	404 474	39 13
Locel jeils		1  3	18		59	26		36 2 1	3	31 8 12	26	127· 4	1 3
other													
						BUR	CLAR	Y—С	ontinue	d			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	01	eg.	1	Pe.	R.I.	S Da		h Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	40	1,079	L	137	2	,387	245		87 5	1 39	267	383	37
Death penalty	16 11 8	568 438 52		88 38 9		653 631 931	47 180 18				53	172 58	
Fine or costs only	5	8 52 12 -		2		73			1	- 3	3 4	2	9
					L	ARCENY	, EXC	EPT	AUTO T	HEFT			
	30 State:	Ar	iz.	Celi	lf.	Colo	. c	onn.	D. C.	Idaho	I11.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	11,980		121	5	527	27	0	72	178	62	169	1,021	322
Death penalty	3,729 3,998 3,339	3	62 40 16 2	2	134 230 119 28	17 6	8	13 21 32 6	93 55 30	32 13 10	52	450 316 186 29	111 84 119 7
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	152 28		1		16		2			3 4		28 12	_ 1
				LARC	ENY	, EXC	EPT A	UTO	THEFT-	-Contin	ued		
	Kans	Meir	ne l	Mass	3.	Mi ch .	Min	ın.	Mont.	Nebr.	и. н.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendents sentenced-	452	2 5	3	38	39	420	ε	518	112	204	93	772	186
Death penalty	305 79 59	5 2	7 29 2 5	19 12	7	181 155 46 38	1 1	.95 .93 .05 .25	75 24 3	105 57 36 4	25 41 23 4	209 386 -136 23	106 54 22 3
Institutions for juvenile delin- quents only			-		-				10	2		18	1

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI SENTENC.	ENOU AND OFFERIOD, DE STATES, 1900—Continued											
			LAF	RCENY	, EX	CEPT A	UTO 1	iheft—	Continu	ied		
SENTENCE	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	P	a.	R.I.	S. Dak	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	80	529	236	3,	735	18	14	5 39	131	398	569	59
Death penalty	47 5 21 2	169 155 155 47	109 78 41 8	1,	414 313 613 337	1 10 4 3		3 17 5 5	38 27 35 30	193 71 130 3	126 197 160 78	31 8 11 4
Other		3			6						3	
						AUTO	THE	FI				
	States		z. Cal	lif.	Col	o. Co	nn.	D. C.	Idaho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	4,43	9	11	622		72	86	195	10	181	295	72
Death penalty	1,691 1,662 888		5 5 1	126 272 163 26		45 15 10 2	26 33 27	98 64 33	4 5 1	75 49 56	170 78 24 4	35 18 16 2
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	8	8		35		- 1					19	1
					AUT	O THE	T—C	ontinue	d			
	Kens	. Main	e Mas	68.	Mich	. Mir	in.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	11	6 2	0 :	244	24	6 1	.62	19	72	20	313	21
Death penelty	1	6	4	94 110 39 1	113	0 3	71 76 9 6	12 4 3	47 18 4	8 11 1	112 143 56 2	10 6 5
Other												
					AUT	O THEF	T—C	ontinue	d		1	
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	P	a.	R.I.	S. Dak	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	15	410	40		753	94	1:	3 4	24	78	221	10
Death penalty	9 1 5	222 174 11 2	17 15 7		123 238 338 35	13 72 9			2	61 14 2 1		5 3

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

	EMBEZZIEMENT AND FRAUD													
SENTENCE		29 States		Calif.		Col	Colo.			D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	924 1,545 1,106 355		58	428			91	4	9	38	26	96	214	90
Death penelty			30 26 2	160			66 24		22 55 33 44	23 9 6	10 12 3	27 28	77 100 25 12	35 18 26 10
	EMBEZZLEMENT AND FRAUD—Continued													
	Kans.		Maine	Mass.		Mich	Mich. M		h	font.	Nebr.	И. Н.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	85		18	(*)		11	113		L	25	53	37	309	63
Death penalty	49 20 9		3 8 6			4	45 46 6 16		25 4 		22 23 3 4	6 22 9	64 177 45 21	29 26 4 3
quents onlyOther		-							-		1		1	1
	EMBEZZLEMENT AND FRAUD—Continued													
	N. Dak.	Ob	10 01	reg.	F	e.	R.		s.	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	17		374	87	1,	230		33	35	11	21	31	172	16
Death penelty and reformatories— Stete prisons and reformatories— Probetion or suspended sentence— Locel jails———————— Fine or costs only————————————————————————————————————	13 2 2 2			38 39 10		33 411 579 186 20		8 17 8 	21 7 6 1	3		20	80	13  1 1
	STOLEN PROPERTY													
	29 State	8	Ariz.	Cal	if.	Col	0.	Conn.		D. C.	Ídaho	m.	Ind.	Iowa
Totel defendante sentenced-	1,16	5	9		86		13	17		11	11	10	68	24
Death penalty	208 478 367 104		5 1 3	13 36 36			3 6 3	3 9 5	1	1 8 2	2 2 7	5 4 1	34 23 10 1	4 7 11 1
quents onlyOther		8			1		1							

<sup>\*</sup> In Massachusetts embezzlement and fraud cases are included in Larceny, except auto theft.

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BY SENTENC	E AND	OFFEN	SE,	BY S	rates:	: 193	6	-Conti	nued			
		1 1 1 1			STOLEN	PROPE	RTY	—Conti	nued			
SENTENCE	Kens	. Meir	ie k	ass.	Mi ch .	Min	n.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Totel defendents sentenced	2	4	5	67	30		26	4	29	3	118	7
Deeth penelty		3 5 5 1	1 3	9 42 11 5	11 12 5 2		7 9 7 3	1 3	16 5 2 5	2 1	18 65 26 7	4 2 1
				s	TOLEN	PROPE	RTY-	-Conti	nued			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg		Pe.	R.I.	S. Dal		h Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	1	144	4	4	355		_	6	7 3	3	36	4
Deeth penalty	1	16 57 62 9	1 2	1	140 144 57			2	3 1 1 3 1 1	3	5 15 6 10	1
						FOI	RGEF	RY				<u></u>
	30 States	Ari	z. C	alif.	Colo	. Con	an.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendante sentenced	3,64		63	816	5	6	12	95	81	41	152	110
Death penalty	1,490 1,325 693 110	3	31 31 1	155 417 233 1			2 3 7	51 23 21	40 27 11 2	24 16 1	86 50 12 3	49 23 33 4
					FOR	GERY-	-Cor	tinued	<u> </u>			
	Kans	Main	e M	ass.	Mich.	Min	Т	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Totel defendants sentenced	16	2 1	6	24	105	1'	70	48	129	13	99	44
Death penalty			_	13 10 1	58 39 7 1			42 6	98 21 7 1	7 5 1	16 47 34 1	34 7 2

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI SENTENC	E AND	OFFEN	or, bi	. 5T.	ATES	: Tas	06—0	ontin	uea			
					FO	RGERY-	Con	tinued				
SENTENCE	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	I	Pe.	R.I.	S. Dak	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	28	251	132	-	541	8	61	B 22	13	141	175	34
Death penalty	18 2 7	125 102 6 16	79 45 7		36 195 236 70	3 5			4	121 20	57 78 31 8	25 5  1 3
						F	APE					
	29 State	Ari	z. Cel	if.	Col	o. Co	nn.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	2,12	7	31	317		51	24	11	21	65	89	14
Death penelty		9 9	22 8 1	68 94 150		2	11 5 7	7 3 1	14 2 5	43 6 14 1	62 16 9 2	10 3 1
					1	RAPE—	Conti	inued				
	Kens	. Main	e Mas	ss.	Mi ch	. Min	n. 1	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	4	0 1	.7 ]	.73	11	1	78	17	8	21	132	16
Death penalty	3	8	1	61 72 40	7: 2: 1	7	44 23 7 4		8	17 3 1	57 52 11 9	14 2
					1	RAPE—	Conti	nued	•			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	P	æ.	R.I.	S. Dak	Utah	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	28	90	24		502		24	6	13	27	168	9
Death penelty	21 4 1	52 19 17 2	23		91 60 286 56		21	1 1	13	25 1 1	53 83 19 13	6 3
					_~_				L		L	

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BY SENTENC	u And	0111111	, , ,	1 31	AIBC		900-	Contir	nueu			
					co	MER	CIALI	ZED VIC	E			
SENTENCE	24 State	s Ari	Z. CE	alif.	Col	0.	Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	III.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	66	5	7	6			13	7		9	5	8
Death panalty	10 13 22 20	4   3   2	4	6			1 10 10	3 2 2		9	2 1 1 1 1	5 2 1
				COM	MERCL	ALIZ	ED VIC	E-Cont	inued			
	Kans	. Mains	Me	uss.	Mich	. M	inn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced		2 3	3	2	1	0	6	1	13	1	87	1
Death penalty		1 2	1	1		9	3	1	3 2 8	1	35 30 14 7	1
		1	-	COM	ERCL	ALIZ	ED VIC	E-Cont	inued			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Orag.	I	Pa.	R.I	S. Dak		Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced		9	2		409		8		2	8	46	
Death panalty		2 3 3 1	1		11 82 175 140		5		1 1	1 1 6		
		-			OT	ER S	SEX OF	Tenses				$\overline{}$
	30 States	Ariz	. Ca	lif.	Cold	. (	Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendante sentenced	3,356	2	0	273	3	12	171	9	6	35	58	45
Death penalty	840 878 840 775	3	-	105 73 92			29 40 84 18	3 4 2	3 2 1	25 4 6	33 11 10 4	16 9 15 5

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

				01	THER S	EX OF	FENS	SES—C	ont	inued			
SENTENCE	Kens	. Maine	Mas	ıs.	Mi ch	. Mi	nn.	Mont	. 1	Webr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced-	1	39		70	19	9	59		5	16	32	380	7
Death penelty		7 11 L 9 2 15		33 20 17 	9 7 2	в	30 19 5 5		5	5 1 9 1	3 14 12 3	127 144 79 26	5 1 1
				OT	HER S	EX OF	FENS	SES—C	onti	inued			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.		Pa.	R.I.	De		eh	Vt.	Wesh.	. Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	24	68	44	1	,308	41		19	14	31	72	285	5 4
Death penelty	13 3 5 3	42 10 8 8	22 7 14		41 281 329 646	12 27 1 1		16 2 1	6 7 1	13 12 2 3		89 38	1
					ΔIO	LATI	IG D	RUG LA	ws				
	23 States	Ariz	. Cal:	if.	Cold	o. Co	onn.	D. 0		Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	776		3 5	544		2	8	]	9			10	2
Death penelty	144 235 371 25		-   1	66 179 291 8		2	3 1 2 2		6 2 1			5 1 3 1	2
			1	/IO	LATING	DRUG	LA	WS—Co	nti:	nued		<b></b>	
	Kens.	Maine	Mess	3.	Mich	Min	n.	Mont.	N	ehr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced-	8			2	12		2	13		2		10	8
Death penelty	8			1	 7 4 		1	111 2		1		7 3	5 2 1
quents only Other				-			- 1		1				

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

					TT LL							
				VIO	LATI	IG DRUG	; LAV	VS-Con	tinued			
SENTENCE	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	,	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dal		Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	1	45	1		75	2				2	4	1
Death penalty	1	3 18 18 6	1		3 20 44 7	2				1 1		1
					CARR	YING W	EAPC	NS, ETC				
	22 State	s Ari	z. Ca	lif.	Col	. Cc	nn.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	1,57	4		109			12			4	84	21
Death penalty		6 2 1 2		20 18 55 16			7 3 2			3 1	19 29 7 29	2 6 10 3
				CARRY	ING	WEAPON	S, E	TC.—Co	ntinue	ì		
	Kans	. Main	е Ма	ss.	Mi ch	. Min	n.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced			-	133	6	2	15	5	21	2	149	9
Death penalty			-	14 43 59 17			3 4 7 1	1 4	5 6 3 7	1 1	40 63 42 4	3 4 1 1
				CARRY	ING	WEAPON	S, E	TC.—Co	ntinue	1		
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	P	e.	R.I.	S. Dak	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced:-	5	152	3		741	13		3		9	20	2
Death penalty	3 1	18 57 50 27	1 2		26 237 417 58	5 6 1		1		2 2 3 2	4 3 11 2	2
quents only Other					2							

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

							мп	NOR AS	SAULT T					-
SENTENCE		7		$\overline{}$		_			1	_		1	1	
	Stets	ss	Ariz.	Ce	lif.	Col	٥.	Conn.	D. C	•	Idaho	I11.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced-	2,97	74	25	5	117		7	69	3	5	9	11	216	1
Deeth penalty		- 1	3							-				
State prisons and reformatories Probetion or suspended sentence Local jails	1,02	25	12	:	29 75		2	1 10 39	2	7 7	1 7		10 68 73	
Fine or costs only Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	63	4			6 3		1	19		- .	1	7	65	
Other		5								-   -				
					1	INOR	AS	sault-	-Conti	nued	a a		1	
	Kans		Maine	Mas	ss.	Mich		Minn.	Mont.	Ne	ebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Totel defendants sentenced	3	2	27		74	12	2	48	12		30	14	339	24
Death penalty		-												
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails		3 4 5	6 7 6		36 28	2 5 2	0	8 13 14	3		3 7 12	3 6 2	26 215 84	8
Fine or costs onlyInstitutions for juvenile delin- quents only	1	0	8		10	2	В	13	6		8	3	13	
Other		-											1	
					N	INOR	AS	SAULT-	-Contin	iued	1			
	N. Dak.	Ob	io 0	reg.	I	Pa.	R.	I. S		ah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	20		303	25	1,	136	:	19	22 1	13		68	137	,
Death peneltyState prisons and reformatories	1		18	3		28			2	1		15		
Probation or suspended sentence Local jails	3 15 1		73 162 50	11 8 3		410 427 257		6 5	3 11 6	6 2		34 11		3
quents only Other						11 3		-		-			1	
						NONS	SUPI	PORT O	R NEGLE	ECT		1		
	30 State	9	Ariz.	Cal	if.	Cold		Conn.	D. C.	I	daho	III.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	5,31	4	49		175	,	13	13	8	3	8	4	310	65
Death penalty State prisons and reformatories Probetion or suspended sentence Local Jails	17: 1,72: 27:	8    9	2 44 1		2 123 48		3	 8 1	8	-	4	 2	18 236 39	45 2
Fins or costs only	3,11	8    4	2		1			3			2	2	17	12
Other	10			1			1	1			2			- 4

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BY SENTENCE	AND	OTTE	, בנט	. Б1	012	AIEO	, 15		COIICI	nueu			
				N	ONSU	IPPOR!	OR	NEGLI	ECT—Co	ntinued			
SENTENCE	Kans	Mai	ne	Mas	s.	Mi ch	. 14:	inn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	6	2	4	1	28	109	)	94	4	11	5	396	3
Death penalty	20	3 7		10		23		9 72 6 7	2 1 1 1	2 6 3	1 3 1	24 210 26 136	3
				N	onst	PPCR'	OR	NEGL	ECT—Co	ntinued			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	OI	reg.	I	Pa.	R.I	. Da		h Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	17	301		38	3	,120	:	3	16 1	0 19	13	315	1
Death penalty	1 3 4 9	31 194 23 50		2 24 6 6	2,	4 296 29 ,789		2		1 1 1 1 5 14 4 3 3	. 6	45	1
						VIO	LATI	NG LI	QUOR LA	ws.			
	29 State	s Ar	iz.	Cal	if.	Col		Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	I11.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	5,18	2	12		30		3	45	135	6	4	482	221
Death penalty	23 1,32 1,57 2,03	0 0 4 4 4	1 2 6 3		1 15 10 4		1 1 1	1 1 4 39	13	2 2	1 1 1	6 133 126 216	13 44 87 77
Other		9											
				7	IOL	ATING	LIQ	UOR L	AWS—C	ntinued	1		
	Kens	. Mai	ne	Mas	s.	Mich	. M	inn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced	29	1	22		3	14	4	70	47	78	4	923	18
Death penalty	4 7 15	7 2 5	7 13 2			2 4 1	9 -	6 13 51	1  20 26	9 19 49	1 2 1	30 389 299 203	1 1 6 9
quents only		1	- 1		- 1					1		2	1

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

	<u> </u>			****								
SENTENCE		·	T	V10	LATING	LIQUO	OR LA	WS-Col	tinued			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg	3•	Pa.	R.I.	S. Dak	. Utal	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced	80	649	1	16	1,487		7	5 38	3 42	29	227	1
Death penalty	8 14 49 9	2 88 127 432		7 3 6	8 380 442 645		1· 3 3	1 3 0 6 2 19	7 5 12 2	1 3 12 13	59 34	1
					DRIVI	NG WHI	LE I	NTOXICA	TED			1
	29 Stete	s Ari	z. C	celif	. Col	o. Co	onn.	D. C.	Idaho	mı.	Ind.	Icwa
Total defendants sentenced	6,16	2	68	30	2	4	37		11	9	310	342
Deeth penelty	12 1,23 2,83 1,94	0 5 2	1 66 1	14: 10: 4'	2 9 7	2 1 1 	1 2 17 17		1 1 9	3 4 2	53 95 142 20	12 69 164 97
			D	RIVI	NG WHI	LE INT	OXIC	ATED—C	ontinue	∍d.		
	Kans	. Meir	ie M	lass.	Mich	. Min	in.	Mont.	Nebr.	и. н.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Totel defendants sentenced	2	8 10	)5	30	4	7 1	.90	3	102	36	65	128
Death penelty————————————————————————————————————	1	6 2	8881	3 10 17	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 1	52 14 24	2	57 18 27	9 7 20	2 1 24 38	8 47 56 17
•			D	RIVI	G WHI	LE INT	oxic	ATED—C	ontinue	ed.		
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg	-	Pe.	R.I.	S. Dak	Uteh	Vt.	Wesh.	Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants eentenced	57	264	3	9 3	3,007	41	144	1 11	128	82	534	38
Deeth penelty	1 17 37 1	3 29 59 173	1	1 8	10 573 1,740 654	2  10 29	32 82 28	1 2 1 2 6 3	20 8 95	1 16 49 15	8 36 490	21 5 12
quents only Other	1			1	20 10							

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI SENTENC.	I AND	071	- ICNE	, 101	011	HIEO	. 1:		CONTIN	ueu			
					(	THER	TOM	OR VZI	HICLE LA	us			
SENTENCE	30 State	es	Ariz.	Cal	if.	Col	٥.	Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	6,89	99	25		192		3	117	1	56	15	149	3
Death penalty	76 68 5,32	33	4 9 3 9		8 108 65 11		3	20 93	1	3 8 43	10 1 4	10 47 26 65	1 1
				OT	HER	MOTO	R VE	HICLE	LAWS—C	ontinue	ad		
	Kans	. N	aine	Mas	s.	Mich	. M	inn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendents sentenced		6	59	1	.29	44	ı	52	6	41	26	24	1
Death penalty		2 4	6 4 49		 4 53 56 16	2:	1	1 14 13 24	1 1	10 17 14	1 11 4 10	7 9 8	
				OT	HER	MOTOR	? VEF	_	LAWS—C	ontinue	≱d.		
	N. Dak.	Ohi	0 01	eg.	P	a.	R.I.	. Dak	Utah	Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo
Total defendants sentenced	16	1	.19	18	1,	097	23	3 2	4 15	527	162	3,904	
meath penelty- tate prisons and reformatories- robetion or suspended sentence- ocal jails- ine or costs only- nstitutions for juvenile delinquents only-	6 8 2		15 23 12 69	1 3 7 7		10 317 282 475	15	5 1		9 3 22 493	1 40 37 84	66 47 3,780	
001e1													
					DISO	RDERI	Y CC	ONDUCT	AND VA	GRANCY			
	28 State	э	Ariz.	Cal	if.	Cold	. (	Conn.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced	7,07	7	10		5		6	83		7		424	2
eath penalty— tate prisons and reformatories— robation or suspended sentence— ocal jails————————————————————————————————————	12 2,59 1,86 2,41	6 2 5	1 9	1	3 2		1 3 2	16 31 36		4 3		3 140 127 153	1
ther	7						- 1					1	

TABLE 37. — DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

İ												
		DI	SORDE	RLY	CONDU	JCT AN	D VA	AGRANC	Y—Con	tinued		
Kans	. Mair	ne	Mass	. М	ich.	Minn	. 1	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
2	0 6	51	1.	1	173		5	1	52	8	4	13
	1 1 7 2 1 2	2 9 8 2 2	10	3 -	87 63 15 7		-   - 2   -	1	1 10 11 30	4 2 2	3 1	4
		DI	SORDE	TY (	ONDU	CT AN	D VA	GRANC	Y-Cont	inued		
N. Dak.	Ohio	Ore	eg.	Pa.	R	.1.	S. Dak.	Utal	h Vt.	Wash.	, Wis.	Wyo.
15	58		10	14	15	28	7		3 1,329	59	4,497	10
5 10	7 22 29		7 1 2	7	16 - 73 -	2	1		1 17 5 534 1 762	17 38	2,218	2 8
						GAMBI	ING	<u></u>		<u> </u>		
28 States	Ari	z.	Calif	. 0	colo.	Conr	1.	D. C.	Idaho	111.	Ind.	Iowa
2,345		4		4	4	10	)5	99	4	9	82	17
551 608 1,150		4		1 -	4		3 2	21 58 19 1	4	9	2 80	1 16
					GAMBI	LING—	Con	tinued	i			
Kens.	Main	е	Mess.	Mi	ch.	Minn.	М	ont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
11		7	2		12	26		40	9	3	181	8
		3	1		1 6 2 3	7 19				3	71 35 75	1  7
	N. Dak.  15  16  28  States  2,345  608 1,150  7  Kens.	20   6	DI  N. Ohio Or  15 58	DISORDEF  N. Dak. Ohio Oreg.  15 58 10	DISORDERLY OF Part of the part	20   61   14   173   173   173   173   174   175   1	DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND  N. Ohio Oreg. Pa. R.I. 1  15 58 10 145 28	DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND VA  DAK. Ohio Oreg. Pa. R.I. S. DAK. Ohio Oreg. Pa. R.I. Dak.  15 58 10 145 28 7  10 22 1 46 1  29 2 73 2 1  30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20   61   14   173   5   1	20   61   14   173   5   1   52	20   61   14   173   5   1   52   8	DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND VACRANCY—Continued

TABLE 37.—DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY SENTENCE AND OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

BI SENIENC			,,,	<i>D</i> 1	51	WI DE	. 15		-001101	nuea			
07/07/07						GA	MBLIN	G—C	ontinue	đ			
SENTENCE	N. Dak.	Ohio	Ore	eg.	I	e.	R.I.	S Dal		h Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo
Total defendants sentenced-	1	105		88	1,	,403	2		9	- 16	45	49	
Death penaltyState prisons and reformatories						2					1		
Probation or suspended sentence ccal jails fine or costs only Institutions for juvenile delin-	1	12 8 85				373 503 518	1 1		2	- 2		15	
quents only ther						7							
			-			AL	L OTH	ER OI	FENSES				-
	30 State	a Ar	iz.	Cal:	if.	Cole	o. C	onn.	D. C.	Idaho	Ill.	Ind.	Iowa
Total defendants sentanced	6,85	6	44		450	,	49	111	52	21	53	419	10
Death penalty	1,01 2,16 1,42 1,94	9 8 5	12 6 15		78 160 156 47	:	25 6 9	19 23 33 24	25 17 10	8 6 3 3	11	113 120 51 90	3: 2: 2:
nstitutions for juvenile delin- quents onlyther	26 4	1	1		7 2			12		1		44	
					ALL	OTH	R OF	ENSE	S—Con	inued			
	Kans	. Mair	ne	Mass	s.	Mi ch	. Mir	ın.	Mont.	Nebr.	N. H.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defenuants sentenced	18	6 8	30	18	87	282	2 1	152	63	97	37	650	4
eath penaltytate prisons and reformatoriesrobation or suspended sentenceocal jails	3 1 2	1 1	7 19 22	3	46 94 37	90	5	35 26 30	24	28 37 6	5 20 7	100 384 117	1
ine or costs only nstitutions for juvenile delin- quents only ther	11		32		10		.	61	24	5	5	17	1
					ALL	OTH	R OFF	PENSE	S—Con	inued			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Ore	g.	P	8.	R.I.	S. Dak		Vt.	Wash.	Wis.	Wyo
Total defendants sentenced	41	230	1	.06	2,	097	29	9	9 1	136	138	842	4
eath penalty	5 9 10 16	67 49 39 74		19 60 15 12		68 656 588 662	2 23 2 2	2	.9 .9 .9 .6 .5	19 7 11	29 29 33 46	66 219 121 411	1
nstitutions for juvenile delin- quents only ther	1	1				103 20				_	1	15 10	1

TABLE 38.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936

(States not shown where total number of cases is less than 100. Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

					ALL :	MAJOR (	)FFENSI	ES				
SENTENCE	30 States	Ariz.	Celi	r. (	colo.	Conn.	D. C	Id	eho	m.	Ind:	Iowa
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100	0.0	.00.0	100.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jails Fine or costs only Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	1/ 36.3 31.1 25.6 5.8	30.2	34 33 1		7.0 1.2	1/33.7 25.2 36.7 4.2	1/62.9 21.6 15.3 0.1	1'	3.5 4.2 7.2 0.8	1/62.8 18.2 17.9 1.0	28.3	1/41. 23. 30. 4.
ther	0.1					0.3			3.0		0.5	
		<del>-</del>		ALL N	AJOR (	FFENSE	S—Cor	tinue	ed			
	Kans.	Maine	Mas	s. M	lich.	Minn.	Mont,	Nel	or.	И. Н.	N. J.	N. Mex.
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100	.0 1	.00.0	100.0	100.0	100	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.
state prisons and reformatories robetion or suspended sentence ocal jeils line or costs only nestitutions for juvenile delin- quents only	1/ 74.0 14.4 9.3 1.8	40.3	23	.0	50.7 38.1 7.3 3.9	47.4 34.4 14.6 3.5	76.5 15.0 3.4 1.6	11	1.0 0.8 1.5 1.4	30.8 42.7 23.5 2.3	1/35.1 43.1 17.6 2.9	1/59. 27. 8. 2.
ther	0.4											0.
				ALL N	AJOR (	FFENSE	S—Con	tinue	∍d			
	N. Dak.	Ohio	Oreg.	Pe.	R.I	Da		ah	Vt.	Wesh.	. Wis.	Wyo.
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100.	0 100	.0 100	.0 10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.
tate prisons and reformatories- robation or suspended sentence- ocal jaile	57.5 11.6 20.0 2.2	1/47.0 35.5 12.7 4.5	55.8 30.2 12.5 0.9	1/12. 28. 45. 12.	4 65.	8 21 7 15	.5 18	.9 2	17.7 21.0 .5.3 .4.2		36.7	1/61. 13. 8. 5.
quents onlyther	8.7	0.3	0.6 0.1	0.			.5		1.8	0.6	0.6	9.
	MURDER	M	ANSLAU	CHTER					ROBI	BERY		
	Pa.	Calif	. Oh	10	Pa.	Cel	if.	D.C.	:	111.	Ind.	Mass
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.	10	0.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.0	) :	100.0	100.0	100.
tete prisons and reformatories- robation or suspended sentence- ocal jails	22.4	54.: 33.: 12.:	5 2	5.5 3.8 8.3 2.4	17.6 19.0 58.5 3.4	1	8.8 7.9 7.2 0.2 -	75.2 12.8 11.7	3	79.8 8.4 11.4 0.4	79.8 12.7 1.2	65. 20. 14.
nstitutions for juvenile delin-	0.9				1.5		5.8	0.4			5.8	

<sup>1/</sup> Death sentences included in figures for State prisons and reformatorise.

TABLE 38.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF CENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

(See note at head of this table)

	(56)	note	at neau	OI thi	s table	: )							
		ROBB	ERY—Co	ntinued		T		AGGRAV	ATED ASS	SAULT			
SENTENCE	Mich.	N. J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis	. Ca	lif.	D. C.	Ind.	Mass.	N. J.		
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100.	0 100.	0 10	00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jails- Fine or costs only- Institutions for juvenile delinquents only- Other	86.5 9.6 3.8	61.0 24.3 12.5 0.8		4 15. 9 58. 2 0.	0 19. 6 8. 7 5. 4	0 3	0.3	54.9 20.4 24.8	41.1 17.8 25.6 14.7	21.4 40.2 35.9 2.6	26.9 39.2 29.6 3.6		
		VATED				1	BURGLAI						
	Ohio	Pa.	Ariz.	Calif	Colo.	Coni	D.(	. 11	l. Ind.	Iowe	Kans.		
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	.0 100	.0 100	.0 100.0	100.0	100.0		
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jails	47.1 31.3 18.3 2.9	3.1 29.5 55.3 11.6	71.5 27.2 0.7 0.7	27.7 33.6 34.7 	25.9 4.5 0.4	31.	2 16	9 22 17	.5 28.2 .9 6.8 2.1	23.8 25.9 2.2	78.0 14.9 5.8 0.3		
		1		В	URGLARY	-Cont	inued						
	Maine	Mass.	Mich.	Minn.	Nebr.	N.H.	N.J	Ohio	Oreg.	Pa.	R.I.		
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails	31.9 52.9 15.1	29.3 51.6 19.0	47.5 47.3 4.8 0.4	52.9 32.0 13.9 0.8	12.3	40.0	12.4	40.6	27.7 6.6	26.4 39.0 4.0	19.2 73.5 7.3		
quents only				0.4	4.8	2.0							
	BURGI Conti				LARCE	NY, EX	CEPT A	UTO TE	EFT				
	Wash.	Wis.	Ariz.	Calif.	Colo.	D.C.	111.	Ind.	Iowa	Kans.	Mass.		
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspanded sentence- Local jeils	76.0 19.9 2.6	39.2 44.9 15.1 0.3	51.2 33.1 13.2 1.7	25.4 43.6 22.6 5.3	64.8 25.2 6.3 3.0	52.2 30.9 16.9	48.5 30.8 20.7	44.1 31.0 18.2 2.8	34.5 26.1 37.0 2.2	67.5 17.5 13.1 2.0	13.1 50.6 31.1 5.1		
quents only	1.5	0.5	0.8	3.0	0.7			2.7	0.3				

TABLE 38.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

(See note at head of this table)

			доши (												
GENTEN OF	LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT—Continued														
SENTENCE	Mich.	Minn.	Mont.	Nebr.	N	. J.	N. Mex.	Ohi	Oreg	Pe.	Dak.  100.0  50.3  24.1  23.4  1.4  1.4  0.7   100.0  45.9  46.8  5.3	Vt.			
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	.00.0	100.0	10	00.0	100.0	100.	100.	0 100.0	100.0	100.0			
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails	43.1 36.9 11.0 9.0	47.7 31.2 17.0 4.0	67.0 21.4 2.7	51.5 27.9 17.6 2.0	:	27.1 50.0 17.6 3.0	57.0 29.0 11.8 1.6	31. 29. 29.	3 33. 3 17.	1 35.2	24.1	29.0 20.6 26.7 22.9			
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only			8.9	1.0		2.3	0.5	0.	1	1.4		0.8			
		EFT-Con.				AUTO THEFT									
	Wesh.	Wis.	Calif	D.	c.	111	. 1	nd.	Kans.	Mass.	Mi ch.	Minn.			
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.	0 100	.0	100.	.0 10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jails	48.5 17.8 32.7 0.8	22.1 34.6 28.1 13.7	20. 43. 26. 4.	7 32	.3 .8 .9	41. 27. 30.	1 2	81.0 86.4 8.1 12.1 1.4		38.5 45.1 16.0 0.4	48.8 5.3	43.8 46.9 5.6 3.7			
quents onlyOther	0.3	0.9	5.	- 1		0.		6.4							
	AUTO	THEFT—	Contin	Continued				EMBEZZIEMENT AND FRAUD							
	N. J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis	٠	Celif	. 1	nd.	Mich.	Minn.	N. J.	Ohio			
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0	100.	0 10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jaila	35.8 45.7 17.9 0.6	54.1 42.4 2.7 0.5	16.3 31.6 44.9 4.6	46.	6	20. 37. 41. 0.	4 4	6.0 6.7 1.7 5.6	39.8 40.7 5.3 14.2	10.8 46.0 36.0 7.2	57.3 14.6	24.3 52.9 11.8 11.0			
quents onlyOther		0.2	2.3 0.3			0.									
		LEMENT UD—Con.	STOLEN PROPE			ERTY			F	ORGERY					
	Pa.	Wis.	N. J.	Ohi	٥	Pe.	Cel	if.	Ind.	Iowa	Kans.	Mich.			
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.	0	100.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jaila	2.7 33.4 47.1 15.1	16.9 46.5 19.8 16.9	15.3 55.1 22.0 5.9	39. 43. 6.	6 1 3	3.4 39.4 40.6 16.1	2	9.0 1.1 8.6 0.1	56.6 32.9 7.9 2.0	44.5 20.9 30.0 3.6	17.9 3.1 0.6	55.2 37.1 6.7 1.0			
Quents onlyOther	1.6 0.1		1.7		- 1	0.6		1.2		0.9					

TABLE 38.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

(Sea note at head of this teble)

	(500	1 1100	e at n	-au	OI C	nis t	'eDTa	,						_	
SENTENCE	FORGERY—Continued RAPE											RAPE			
SENTENCE	Minn.	Neb	r. 01	nio	Or	ag.	Pa	4	Wash.	Wi	s. (	Calif.	. Mass.	Mich.	
Total defendents sentenced-	100.0	100	.0 10	0.00	10	0.0	100	.0	100.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jails- Fine or costs only- Institutions for juvenile delin- quents only- Other-	44.7	0	.3 4	19.8 10.6 2.4 6.4 0.8	3-	9.8	36 43 12	.6	85.8		.6	21.5 29.7 47.3	35.3 41.6 23.1	65.8 24.3 9.9	
	RAPE—Continued (			COMMER- CIALIZED OTHER SE VICE						SEX	ex offenses				
	N. J.	Pe	. Wi	s.	1	Pa.	celif		Conn.		ch.	N. J.	Pa.	Wis.	
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100	.0 100	0.0		100.0	100	0.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jeils		12 57 11	18.1 31.5 12.0 49.4 57.0 11.3 11.2 7.7		2.7 20.0 42.8 34.2 0.2		33	1.1	17.0 23.4 49.1 10.5	39.2 11.6 2.0		33.4 37.9 20.8 6.8	3.1 21.5 25.2 49.4	28.1 27.0 31.2 13.3	
	VIOLATING DRUG LAWS CARRY					YING WEAPONS, ETC.						INOR A	SSAULT		
	Cali	f.	Calif	Ma	ss.	N. J	. (	Ohio	Pe.	C	elif.	Ind.	Mich.	N. J.	
Total defendants sentenced-	10	0.0	100.0	10	0.0	100.	0 10	0.00	100.0	) 10	0.00	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories- Probation or suspended sentence- Local jails	3 5	- 1	18.3 16.5 50.5 14.7	1:	0.5 2.3 4.4 2.8	26. 42. 28. 2.	3 3 3 7 3 7 3	11.8 37.5 32.9 17.8	32.0	3	3.4 24.8 54.1 5.1 2.6	4.6 31.5 33.8 30.1	18.9 41.0 17.2 23.0	7.7 63.4 24.8 3.8	
		R ASS	SAULT-		NONSUPPORT OR N						R NEG	TEGLECT			
	Ohio	Pe	. Wi	.8.	Cal	ır. I	nd.	Mas	s. Mi	eh.	N.J.	Ohio	Pa.	Wis.	
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100	.0 100	0.0	100	.0 10	0.0	100	.0 100	0.0	.00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jeils	5.9 24.1 53.5 16.5		.1 16 .6 10 .6 65	.3	1. 70. 27. 0.	3 7	5.8 6.1 2.6 5.5	7 78 14	.1 68	3.8	6.1 53.0 6.6 34.3	64.5 7.6 16.6	89.4	7.0 66.7 14.3 12.1	
Other				.7	0.	6 -						1.0	0.1		

TABLE 38.—PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, BY SENTENCE, OF DEFENDANTS FOUND GUILTY AND SENTENCED BY COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, BY OFFENSE, BY STATES: 1936—Continued

(See note at head of this table)

	VIOLATING LIQUOR LAWS													
SENTENCE											T			
	D. C.	Ind	I	BWO	Kans.		Mi ch	1. N.	J.	. Ohio		Pa.	Wis.	
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.	.0 1	00.0	100	.0	100.	0 10	0.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails	36.3 9.6 53.3	27. 26.	6	5.9 19.9 39.4	16 24 53	.7	20 32 11	6 4 8 3	3.3 2.1 2.4	1	0.3 3.6 9.6	0.5 25.6 29.7	7.9 26.0 15.0	
Fine or costs only Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	0.7	1		34.8	5	.5	34.	0 2	2.0	6	6.6	43.4	50.2	
Other					0	.3	1.	4	0.2			0.1	0.9	
	DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED													
	Calif.	Calif. Ind.			Maine	ne Minn.		Nebr.	ebr. Ne		Ohio	Pa.	S. Dak.	
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.	0 1	00.0	100.0	1	00.0	100.0	100	.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails	1.0 47.0 36.1 15.6	30. 45.	6 :	3.5 20.2 48.0 28.4	7.6 20.0 72.4		27.4 60.0 12.6	55.9 17.6 26.5	36 43	.3 .7 .8	1.1 11.0 22.3 65.5	19.1		
Institutions for juvenile delinquents only	0.3					1				- 1	0.			
		NG WHIL				D'	THER M	otor v	EHIC	LE L	AWS			
	∇t.	Wis	. C	alif.	Con	١.	Ind.	Ma	99.	Oh	io	Pa.	Vt.	
Total defendants sentanced-	100.	0 100	.0	100.0	100	0	100.	0 10	0.0	10	0.0	100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails Fine or costs only Institutions for juvenile delin-	3. 15. 6. 74.	6 1 3 6	.5 .7	4.2 56.3 33.9 5.7	3: 17: 79:	1	6. 31. 17. 43.	5 4: 4 4:	3.1 1.1 3.4 2.4	19	2.6 9.3 0.1 3.0	0.9 28.9 25.7 43.3	1.7 0.6 4.2 93.5	
quents onlyOther						- 1	0.					0.2		
0.	OTHER VEHICLE —Cont	E LAWS	DISOF	RDERL'S	COND	СТ	AND V	VAGRANCY GAMBLING						
	Wash.	Wis.	Ind.	. Mic	eh. I	Pa.	∀t.	Wis	. С	onn.	N.J	. Ohio	Pa.	
Total defendants sentenced-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	.0 100	0,0	100.0	100.0	) 10	00.0	100.	0 100.0	100.0	
State prisons and reformatories Probation or suspended sentence Local jails Fine or costs only Institutions for juvenile delin-	0.6 24.7 22.8 51.9	0.2 1.7 1.2 96.8	0.7 33.0 30.0 36.1	36	3.7 31 3.0 50	.2	0.7 1.3 40.2 57.3	0 · 4 49 · 3 21 · 6 27 · 2	3	2.9 1.9 95.2	39. 19. 41.	3 7.6	0.1 26.6 35.9 36.9	
quents onlyOther		0.1	0.2	2		-7	0.5	1.6					0.5	

## **APPENDIX**

### APPENDIX I

### OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION ADOPTED IN 1932 FOR USE IN THE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, AND THE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### 1-A. MURDER

Include all degrees of murder. Exclude assaults with intent to kill; exclude attempt to commit murder (see 3-A, Aggravated Assault).

### 1-B. MANSLAUGHTER

Include all degrees of manslaughter; exclude assaults with intent to kill and attempts at manslaughter (see 3-A, Aggravated Assault).

## 2. ROBBERY

Include all offenses in which property is taken from the person or immediate presence of another through means of force or violence or by putting in fear. Examples are robbery armed, highway robbery, bank robbery, holdups, etc. Include assaults with intent to rob; include attempts to commit robbery.

## 3-A. AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

Include assaults and attempted assaults which might well have resulted in severe bodily injury to the victim, or in death. For example, assault with intent to kill; poisoning; mayhem, maiming; assaults with a dangerous or deadly weapon; with explosives; obstructing railroads; assaults by shooting, stabbing, cutting, scalding, use of acids, and similar offenses. Exclude such assaults in connection with robbery, burglary, rape, or other specific offense.

# 3-B. OTHER ASSAULT

Include assaults and attempted assaults which are not of an aggravated nature and which accordingly do not belong in 3-A, Aggravated Assault. Examples are simple assault; assault and battery; intimidation; hazing; wife beating; pointing gun in jest; drawing dangerous weapon; resisting or obstructing an officer, unless under circumstances which place the offense under 3-A, Aggravated Assault.

## 4. BURGLARY-BREAKING OR ENTERING

Include all offenses wherein any building or structure is broken into or entered with the intention of committing a felony or any larceny therein at any time, either day or night. Include assaults with intent to commit burglary, and attempts to commit burglary. Exclude making, possessing, etc., burglars' tools. These offenses are placed in Class 15.

## 5-A. LARCENY, EXCEPT AUTO THEFT

Include offenses of stealing which are committed under circumstances not amounting to robbery or burglary. Examples are pocket picking, shoplifting, and other stealing of personal goods other than by force or violence or putting in fear. Include attempts to commit such offenses. Exclude auto theft (see 5-B, Auto Theft). Exclude fraudulent conversion of property entrusted, and obtaining by false pretenses (see 5-C, Embezzlement and Fraud).

### 5-B. AUTO THEFT

Include all offenses in which the vehicle of another is stolen, or is driven away and abandoned by someone not having lawful access thereto. Include attempts at auto theft.

## 5-C. EMBEZZLEMENT AND FRAUD

Include all offenses of fraudulent conversion, embezzlement, and obtaining money or property by false pretenses. For example, embezzlement, fraud, confidence game, fraudulent conversion, false pretense, gross fraud, cheating or swindling, check frauds, drawing checks without funds, fraudulent use of telegram or telephone messages, insurance frauds, use of false weights and measures, false advertising. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

## 5-D. STOLEN PROPERTY

Include buying, receiving, possessing, and attempting to buy, receive, or possess.

### 6. FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING

Include all offenses relating to the making, altering, uttering, or possessing, with intent to defraud, anything false which is made to appear as true. For example, altering or forging public and other records; making, altering, forging or counterfeiting bills, notes, drafts, tickets, checks, etc.; forging wills, deeds, notes, bonds, seals, trade-marks, etc.; possessing or uttering forged or counterfeited instruments; false signature with intent to defraud; possession, etc., of counterfeiting apparatus; using forged labels; selling goods with altered, forged, or counterfeited trade-marks. Include attempts.

# 7-A. RAPE

Include such offenses as rape; rape with consent; assault with intent to rape; etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

# 7-B. PROSTITUTION AND COMMERCIALIZED VICE

Include such offenses as prostitution, keeping bawdy or disorderly house or house of ill fame, pandering, procuring, transporting, or detaining women for immoral purposes, etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

# 7-C. OTHER SEX OFFENSES

Include such offenses as adultery, fornication, and lewd and lascivious cohabitation; buggery; incest; indecent exposure; indecent liberties; seductions; sodomy or crime against nature; etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses. Exclude violations of marriage laws; exclude also abortion and bastardy. These offenses are to be placed in Class 15.

### 8. VIOLATIONS OF NARCOTIC DRUG LAWS

Include all offenses relating to narcotic drugs; e.g., unlawful possession, sale, etc., of narcotics; keeping or frequenting opium dens; habitual users. Include attempts. Exclude violations of pure food and drug acts (see 15).

# 9. CARRYING, ETC., DEADLY WEAPONS

Include all regulatory offenses concerning weapons; e.g., manufacture, sale, or possession of deadly weapons, carrying deadly weapons; using, manufacturing, etc., silencers; furnishing deadly weapons to minors. Include all attempts.

APPENDIX 85

## 10. NONSUPPORT OR NEGLECT OF FAMILY OR CHILDREN

Include offenses of nonsupport, neglect, or abuse of family and children, such as desertion, abandonment, or nonsupport of wife or child.

# 11. VIOLATIONS OF LIQUOR LAWS

Include liquor law violations, such as illegal manufacturing, selling, transporting, furnishing, and possessing intoxicating liquor; maintaining unlawful drinking places; bootlegging; operating a still, etc. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses. Exclude driving while intoxicated (see 12-A). Exclude public intoxication and drunkenness (see 13-A).

# 12-A. DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

Include driving or operating any motor vehicle while drunk or under the influence of liquor or narcotics.

#### 12-B. VIOLATIONS OF ROAD AND DRIVING LAWS

Include violations of regulations with respect to handling of motor vehicle when in motion. Examples: Failure to obey traffic signal; failure to signal; improper speed; reckless driving; operating with unsafe equipment, etc. Exclude 12-A. Driving While Intoxicated.

### 12-C. PARKING VIOLATIONS

Include all violations of parking ordinances. The emphasis here is upon situations in which the car is not in motion.

### 12-D. OTHER VIOLATIONS OF MOTOR VEHICLE LAWS

Include offenses not covered in 12-A, 12-B, or 12-C. Examples: Improper license for car or driving; leaving scene of or failure to report accident; lack of title; obscured or defective markers; misrepresentation of ownership or license, etc. Exclude 5-B, Auto Theft.

# 13-A. DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND DRUNKENNESS

Include such offenses, or attempted offenses, as breach of peace; disturbing the peace; unlawful assembly; disguised or masked person; blasphemy, profanity, and obscene language; drunk and disorderly; drunkards; public intoxication. Exclude operating, while intoxicated, motor vehicle on highways (see 12-A).

### 13-B. VAGRANCY

Include such offenses as vagrancy; begging; loitering; vagabondage, etc.

# 14. GAMBLING

Include offenses of promoting permitting, or engaging in gambling. Examples are: Keeping gambling devices; common gambler; owning and frequenting a gambling resort; lotteries; gambling in any manner. Include attempts to commit any of these offenses.

72795 O-38----7

### 15. ALL OTHER OFFENSES

Include all offenses for which provision has not been made in Classes 1 to 14. inclusive. A few illustrations are: Violation of marriage laws, such as bigamy, abduction, and compelling to marry, marriage within prohibited degree, miscegenation, etc.; offenses contributing to juvenile delinquency (except as provided for in Classes 1 to 14, inclusive), such as employment in immoral vocations or practices, admitting minors to improper places, etc.; violations of fish and game laws; violations of Sunday laws; violations of labor and factory inspection laws; violations of health measures affecting pure foods and drugs, sanitation, quarantine, etc.; arson, bombing, and other malicious injury to property; trespass; violations of explosives regulations; improper operation of instruments of transportation (other than motor vehicles); blackmail and extortion; bribery; perjury and subornation of perjury; contempt of court; criminal anarchism or syndicalism; displaying red or black flag; rioting; kidnaping; abortion; bastardy; possession or sale of obscene literature; manufacture or possession of burglars' tools; unlawfully bringing weapons, liquor, or drugs into prisons or hospitals; discrimination; unfair competition; etc.

APPENDIX II

NUMBER AND TYPE OF COURT FURNISHING 1936 REPORTS, BY STATE

		TYPE OF COURT										
STATE	Counties in State	Dis- trict	Cir- cuit	Supe- rior	Common	Quarter sessions and oyer and terminer	County	Munic- ipal	Crim- inal	State population cov- ered by courts reporting in 1936		
Arizona	14 58 63 8	60		14 50  9	5					100.0 90.1 97.0 100.0 100.0		
IdahoIllinoisIndianaIowaKansas	44 102 92 99 105	44  64 105	32 88 	5					1 2	100.0 65.6 98.0 62.5 100.0		
Maine	14 83 87	87 55	73	8 14 						45.3 100.0 60.5 100.0 98.8		
Nebraske	93 10 21 31 53	91  29 44		10		21				98.3 100.0 100.0 92.1 77.4		
Ohio Oregon Penneylvanie Rhode Island South Dakota	88 36 67 5 <u>1</u> / 69		36  62	4	87	67				99.8 100.0 100.0 100.0 97.3		
Utah	29 14 39 71 23	28	69	38 2			11	7 10		98.3 64.4 99.5 99.2 92.5		

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 5 unorganized counties which, for judicial purposes, are attached to other counties.

### APPENDIX III

STATE SUPERVISORS FOR THE COLLECTION OF 1936 JUDICIAL CRIMINAL STATISTICS

Arlzona. -- Miss Gertrude M. Converse, Assistant Attorney General, Florence, Ariz.

California. — Fred A. Knoles, Statistician, Division of Criminal Identification and Investigation, Sacramento, Calif.

Colorado. - Charles H. Queary, Director, Legislative Reference Office, Denver, Colo.

Connecticut.—Richard H. Phillips, Secretary Connecticut Judicial Council, Hartford,

District of Columbia. - S. A. Andretta, Acting Administrative Assistant to the Attorney General, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.

Idaho. - Ariel L. Crowley, Office of the Attorney General, Boise, Idaho.

Illinois .- Milton H. Summers, Chicago Crime Commission, Chicago, Ill.

Indiana. — Bernard C. Gavit, Secretary, The Indiana Judicial Council, Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Ind.

Iowa. - J. S. Gladstone, Bureau of Identification, Department of Justice, Des Moines, Iowa.

Kanses .- Hon. J. C. Ruppenthal, Secretary, Judicial Council of Kanses Russell, Kans.

Maine. - Richard H. Armstrong, Assistant Attorney General, Biddeford, Maine.

Massachusetts. -- Arthur T. Lyman, Commissioner of Correction, Department of Correction, Boston, Mass.

Michigan. - Miss Helen Rounsville, Secretary, State Crime Commission, Lansing, Mich.

Minnesota. - M. C. Passolt, Superintendent, Bureau of Criminal Apprehension, St. Paul, Minn.

Montana. - Clarence Hanley, Office of the Attorney General, Helena, Mont.

Nebraska. - Miss Cleo Lee, Office of the Attorney General, Lincoln, Nebr.

New Hampshire. -- Relph W. Caswell, Investigator, Office of the Attorney General, Concord, N. H.

New Jersey. - H. Edward Toner, Secretary, Judicial Council of New Jersey, Newark, N. J.

New Mexico .- Fred J. Federici, Office of the Attorney General, Santa Fe, N. Mex.

North Dakota. - W. J. Austin, Office of Insurence Commissioner, Bismarck, N. Dak.

Ohio .- Robert P. Hall, Statisticien, Department of State, Columbus, Ohio.

Oregon. -W. L. Gosslin, Executive Department, Salem, Oreg.

Pennsylvania. - LeRoy C. Schaeffer, Statistician, Department of Welfare, Harrisburg, Pa.

Rhode Island. — Joseph H. Hagan, Division of Probation and Criminal Statistics, Department of Public Welfare, Providence, R. I.

South Dakota. - Clair Roddewig, Office of the Attorney General, Pierre, S. Dak.

Utah .- L. M. Cummings, Secretary, Utah State Bar, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Vermont. - T. C. Dale, Commissioner, Department of Public Welfare, Montpelier, Vt.

Washington .- Mrs. June Fowles, Office of the Attorney General, Olympia, Wash.

Wisconsin .- Bernett O. Odegard, Statistician, State Boerd of Control, Madison, Wis.

Wyoming. - William C. Snow, Assistant Attorney General, Cheyenne, Wyo.











