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## INTRODUCTION

The text of Shakespeare's King Richard II here reproduced in facsimile is that of a Quarto of 1598 , identified by Miss Henrietta C. Bartlett as belonging to an edition quite distinct from the one of that year already known. The original, which is believed to be unique, is preserved in the library of Mr. W. A. White of New York, by whose liberality it is now rendered available for study by any one interested in the bibliography of Shakespeare and the relations of the early Quartos and First Folio edition of his plays. The First Quarto of Richard $I I$ was printed in 1597, and is one of the rarest of the early editions, the only copies recorded being those in the Capell Collection at Trinity College, Cambridge, the Devonshire Collection, which passed last year into the possession of Mr. Huntington of New York, and the library of Mr. Alfred Huth, from which, under the terms of a right to claim any fifty books, generously conferred on the British Museum in his will, it passed to the national library in 1911 along with the, also very rare, first editions of King Richard III and The Merry Wives of Windsor.

The I 597 edition of Richard II was 'Printed by Valentine Simmes for Androw Wise', and, by a combination of carelessness and care on the part of the printer, each of the three extant copies of it may be called unique, leaves $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ recto, $\mathrm{Bi}^{2}$ verso, $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ verso, $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ recto, C r recto, C 2 verso, Di recto, I recto, $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ recto, each existing in two states, one of which is more correct than the other. ' Printing off' at the end of the sixteenth century being.
still a slow business, there was ample time for mistakes to be discovered, whether by chance or deliberate scrutiny, when only a part of the number of copies required had been worked, and the pressmen would then be told to stop until the error was corrected. ${ }^{1}$ Occasionally a printer might be conscientious enough to treat the sheet on which the error had been discovered as waste. But if many copies had been printed, this was expensive, and Valentine Sims (I prefer the shorter form of his name), who held no high rank in his craft, saved his money and only introduced the corrections into the copies which had still to be worked. All the copies of each sheet, 'corrected' and 'uncorrected', would then be hung up to dry, and when the drying was completed they would be taken down in any order and copies of the book made up with such proportions of corrected and uncorrected sheets as chance might dictate. So it comes about that the 'Devonshire' (now the Huntington) copy has two (A and D) of the uncorrected sheets and three corrected ( $B$, which shows ten out of a total of seventeen variants, C , and I ) ; the Huth (now the British Museum) copy has the corrected sheets ACD and the uncorrected B and I; the Capell has the four sheets A B CD uncorrected and only sheet I corrected. When the early Quartos were being reproduced under Dr. Furnivall's supervision in photolithography both the Huth copy

1 The co-existence of correct and incorrect readings in different copies of the same edition, might arise in an Elizabethan printing-house in a directly opposite way to that assumed in the present case, viz. by the pressman catching up individual letters with his inking balls, when he was inking one side of a sheet, and then replacing them wrongly. It is possible that one or more of the different readings in the First Quarto may have been caused in this way, but the majority are certainly due to correction of mistakes originally made by the compositor, and it is simpler to assume that all are of this kind.
(in 1888) and the Devonshire (in 1890) were reproduced, in order that students might see for themselves whether any more variants could be discovered.

The publisher of the 1597 Quarto of Richard II was Androw (or Andrew) Wise, who entered it as 'his copy' in the Register of the Stationers' Company on August 29 of that year. The object of this entry was to prevent any other member of the Company, which (save for a little competition from Oxford and Cambridge) controlled the whole English book trade, from printing or publishing a rival edition. It was usual for every book to be entered ' under the hands' or ' by appointment' of either one or both of the Wardens of the Stationers' Company, or of some important person (more especially the Archbishop of Canterbury or Bishop of London) entrusted with the task of censorship, or a deputy appointed by them. The Archbishop of Canterbury in regard to the Stationers' Company, acted as the external and extraordinary authority to whom was committed the duty of seeing that the domestic and ordinary authorities, in this case the Master and Wardens, managed the affairs of the Company in accordance with the terms of its charter. It is possible, though by no means clear, that when a book was entered under the hands of the wardens, the wardens were recognized as acting as the Archbishop's deputies. In any case, everything connected with printing and publishing was under the supervision of the Privy Council, to whom complaint might be made of any injury sustained, and whose powers were extremely wide. A company of business men had the strongest reasons for avoiding collision with such an authority, and it is quite plain from its whole history that the Company of Stationers was an especially dutiful and submissive body, ready to coerce any of its individual members rather than face collective disfavour.

## The First Quarto

All this is here recited as creating a strong presumption that such an entry as we have in this case

Andrew Wise. Entred for his Copie by appoyntment from master Warden Man The Tragedy of Richard the Second- vj ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}$
was not preluded by a disreputable transaction in which Wise filched a manuscript copy of the play with the aid of some dishonest confederate, or sent shorthand writers to the theatre to take down the speeches, as best they could, from the actors' mouths; but that he obtained his text honestly and straightforwardly by a money payment to the company of players known as the Lord Chamberlain's Servants, to which Shakespeare belonged, and to which he must have sold the rights in his play.

The view here stated is set forth at length in a monograph by the present writer on Sbakespeare Folios and Quartos (Methuen, 1909). Without repeating in extenso the arguments there used, it may be noted that a body of men who had a high official like the Lord Chamberlain as their protector could have had no reason whatever to submit to systematic and open pilfering by members of the Stationers' Company, and was indeed exactly the sort of body with whom the Company would be loath to become embroiled; that at two particular periods, about 1594 and again in 1600 , the number of plays entered on the Stationers' Register is so great as to preclude belief in their having been obtained from any other source than the only one which could supply them in quantities, i.e. the Companies of Players who owned them; that the texts of the plays regularly entered on the Stationers' Register, taking them as a class, are too good to have been pieced together from reports of shorthand writers or actors' ' parts' surreptitiously obtained;

[^0]and lastly, that there are sufficient plays not regularly entered on the Stationers' Register and with bad texts, some of them showing clear evidence of having been obtained from reporters, to account for the complaints of piracy by Thomas Heywood and for the reference to 'stolne and surreptitious' copies in Heminge and Condell's preface to the Folio of 1623, which has been quoted ad nauseam by later editors and uncritically applied to all the Quartos, including those of which the copyright was vested in I623 in some of the very men who were engaged in the production of the First Folio, and who are thus represented as discrediting their own property.

Holding the views thus indicated, the present writer has no doubt that Andrew Wise obtained his 'copy' in King Richard II quite honestly by purchase from the Lord Chamberlain's Servants, and there is also no room for doubt that Wise made a very good bargain, not only in this case, but in that of King Ricbard III, which he 'entered for his copy' in the following October. The omission of the author's name from the title-pages of both plays is good evidence that in 1597 Shakespeare's reputation was still not very great, and we may thus be pretty sure that permission to print them was obtained at quite small prices. On the other hand Richard II was reprinted in 1598 (twice), 1608, and 1615, and Richard III in 1598, 1602, 1605, 1612, and 1622. Both plays, together with The First Part of King Henry IV, and two other works, were transferred by Andrew Wise to Mathew Lawe on June 25, 1603, by the following entry in the Stationers' Register:

Mathew Lawe. Entred for his copies in full courte Holden this Day: These flyve copies folowynge
ii. $\mathrm{vj}^{\mathrm{d}}$.
viz.
iij Enterludes or playes
The ffirst is of Richard the .3 .

The second of Richard the .2.
The third of Henry the .4. the first part. all kinges. Item master Doctor Plafordes sermons
Item a thing of no man can be hurt but by hym self.
All whiche by consent of the Company are sett over to him from Andrew Wyse.
We now know that before thus transferring his copyright Wise had produced not two but three editions of King Richard II, and that this play is thus the only one of Shakespeare's which enjoyed the distinction of passing through three editions in less than two years.

The edition of 1598 now discovered differs on its title-page from that of the same year already recorded only by three small omissions in the imprint, the commas after 'Simmes' and 'churchyard' and the e in 'folde'. A mere title-page bibliographer might have been content to claim it on this ground as of a 'different issue' (a term often very vaguely used). Fortunately Miss Bartlett was of sterner stuff and did not rest until she had satisfied herself that it exhibited differences on every page, and that the text, in fact, was entirely reset.

The first question which confronts us is as to whether the two editions dated 1598 are independent texts, or whether one is printed from the other, and if so which is the earlier. That they are not independent texts is easily proved. In order not to prejudge the position of the newly discovered (or newly differentiated) Quarto we will call it W, after Mr. White, assigning the letter A to the Quarto of 1597, B to the already known Quarto of $1598, \mathrm{C}$ to that of $1608, D$ to that of 1615 , and $F$ to the Folio. To show that B and W are not independent it will suffice to quote a few palpable errors in which they agree as compared with A.

In I. i. 60 the 1597 edition (A) reads
I do defie him, and I fpit at him,
while both B and W omit the second ' I ', thus making the line unmetrical.

Similarly in i. iii. II7 A reads correctly
Sound trumpets, and fet forward Combatants:
whereas B and W both again spoil the metre by substituting 'forth ' for 'forward '.

In 1. I4I of the same scene Richard banishes Hereford Till twice fiue fummers haue enricht our fields:
$B$ and $W$ both substitute the singular 'field', which could not be used as a synonym for a kingdom.

In 1. iii. 234 we come upon another line metrical in A,
Thy fonne is banifht vpon good aduife,
unmetrical in B and W, which both substitute 'with' for 'vpon'.

Lastly (for there is no need to multiply examples in this preliminary examination) the well-known couplet (II. i. I2, I3),

The fetting Sunne, and Mufike at the clofe,
As the laft tafte of fweetes is fweeteft laft,
correctly printed in A , is spoilt in both B and W by the unhappy substitution of 'glofe' for 'clofe', one compositor having caught the word from the end of line 10 ('Than they whom youth and eafe haue taught to glofe') and the other, as we must believe, having blindly followed him. The odds against two compositors having made a series of errors of this kind independently are incalculably great, and we may take it as certain that $B$ and $W$ were not both derived directly from A, but that one must have been set up from the other.

Although it will not help us very much in determining
whether B or W was the earlier, we may now quote a few of the readings found in $W$ and not in $B$ which reappear in the Quartos of 1608 and 1615 (called here $C$ and $D$ ), and thereby prove that C was directly set up from W and not from B.

In 1. i. 24 on A2 verso, we find the foolish misprint ' in' for ' an' in the line

Adde in immortall title to your Crowne occurring first in W , and slavishly repeated by C and D .

In r . iii. 72, on B 2 verso, W , followed by C and D , has the line

To reach a victorie aboue my head, where A and B correctly read ' at 'instead of ' a'.

In r. iii. 86, on B 3 recto, W originates a palpable error by printing

There lies or dies true to King Richards throne, where A and B rightly read ' liues 'instead of ' lies'.

In I. iii. 187, W is responsible for the introduction of an equally unhappy mistake, reading

This louing tempeft of your home-bred hate, ' louing ' being a misprint for the 'lowring' of A and B.

For our last instance we may take a double-barrelled one from I. iv. $5-8$, where $W$ prints

King. And fay, what ftore of parting teares were fhed ?
Aum. Faith none for me, except the Northeaft winde,
Which then blew bitterly againft our face,
Awakt the fleepie rhewme,
the substitution of 'face' for 'faces' and of 'fleepie ' for 'fleeping' being in each case an obvious falling off from the correct readings given in A and followed by B.

On the other hand, in I. iii. 24I and II. i. 156 we have two cases in which W is followed by C in readings which
we can be sure are right, where B is wrong, but these have no evidential value because they can be explained in two different ways. These readings occur in two of the seventeen lines in which two variant readings, one correct, the other incorrect, are found in different copies of the First Quarto. In thirteen of these seventeen lines B has the corrected readings, in four the incorrect. Now W has all the thirteen corrected readings that $B$ has and two others, 'fought' for 'ought' in I. iii. 24 I and 'kernes' for 'kerne' in II. i. 156. If we regard these as corrections, they are both of the kind which we shall classify as 'obvious', i.e. which any one may make at any time without external authority; but if $W$ was printed direct from A, it may have been printed from a copy of A which had the two leaves, $\mathrm{C}_{I}$ recto and Di recto, on which these lines occur, in the corrected form. This is very unlikely, as W agrees with $\mathrm{B}^{1}$ in having the uncorrected reading 'With reuerence' for 'What reuerence' in I. iv. 27 on C 2 verso, and as this page would be printed with the same pull as Ci recto, we should have to suppose that the press was stopped twice for correction, during the printing of this sheet, instead of once. It is easier to suppose that the two simple corrections were made by W independently; but as an alternative explanation is possible, no argument can be founded on them.

Simply from the errors introduced by $W$ any one used to the examination of the sequence of Elizabethan editions will be left in little doubt as to its relations with B. But we have as yet no absolute proof. Our

[^1]simple dilemma, that either B was printed from W , or $W$ from $B$, has now become the more complex alternative that either (i) B was printed from $W$, with the correction of fairly numerous misprints, and C went back to the hypothetically earlier $W$, ignoring the corrections introduced by B ,
or (ii) W was printed from B , fairly numerous additional misprints being introduced in the process, and $C$ was printed from $W$, on this hypothesis its immediate chronological predecessor. It might easily have been necessary to base our preference for the second of these alternatives on the unlikelihood that whereas B of 1598 introduces many more new misprints than corrections into A of 1597 , and C of 1608 more new misprints than corrections into W of 1598 , and D of 1615 more new misprints than corrections into $C$ of 1608, as between the two editions of 1598 the process was reversed. Fortunately, however, we are saved from such an anticipation of the results of our examination of the relations of all the Quartos of King Richard II, by the existence of two passages in which it seems clear that a line correctly printed by A was first spoilt by B and then tinkered at by W.

The earlier of these instances is the last line of the passage i. i. 73-7 (on leaf A3 verso) :

If guiltie dread haue left thee fo much ftrength, As to take vp mine honours pawne, then ftowpe : By that, and all the rites of Knighthood elfe, Will I make good againft thee arme to arme, What I haue fpoke, or what thou canft deuife.
The last line scans, and that is about all that can be said for it. The only meaning which can be attached to it is that Bolingbroke was ready to make good not
only what he himself had said, but anything his adversary could devise, a readiness which would show an indifference to the subject of the quarrel no less complete than his confidence in his own strength. In A the line reads :

What I haue fpoke, or thou canft worfe deuife.
Even here Bolingbroke appears willing to fight to prove the truth of something which is not quite true, for if Mowbray gives a worse meaning to something he has spoken, he is apparently ready to make good not merely what he actually said, but the worse meaning which Mowbray might give it, in order, by distorting the accusation, to escape from it. This is not a reasonable attitude, but it supplies a better meaning than the other, and the position of 'worse' in the line is very Shakespearian. How did the line as it stands in A become the line as it stands in W? The answer seems clear: by way of the intermediate reading of B, which omitted 'worse', thus producing the unmetrical line,

What I haue fpoke or thou canft deuife.
which the printer's 'reader', when correcting the proofs of W, obviously botched by repeating 'what' before 'thou', thus saving the scansion, though with rather a wooden result. If this is so, B must clearly come between A and W , and W therefore must have been set up from it.

Our second passage is from ir. i. 17 sqq., and may most easily be set forth by starting with the text as given in A. John of Gaunt, in the fine speech beginning 'Oh but they fay, the tongues of dying men, Inforce attention like deepe harmony', has expressed his belief :

Though Richard my liues counfell would not heare,
My deaths fad tale may yet vndeafe his eare.

The Duke of York answers, according to A: No, it is ftopt with other flattering foundes, As praifes of whofe tafte the wife are found Lafciuious meeters, to whofe venome found The open eare of youth doth alwayes liften, Report of fafhions in proude Italie, etc.
Modern editors seem agreed that in the second of these lines 'found' is a misprint for 'fond', the ' $u$ ' easily creeping in from the compositor's eye being caught either by 'foundes' in the line above or by 'found ' in the line below, while that Shakespeare should have made three successive lines end in 'foundes', 'found', and ' found' is almost unthinkable. Adding either a comma or a semi-colon after 'fond', we then have York telling his brother that the king's ear is stopped with other sounds than good advice, flattering sounds such as praises, of the taste of which [even] wise men are fond, or lascivious metres, or talk of Italian fashions.

Already disfigured by the superfluous $u$ in 'found' and the omission of a comma, the unlucky line is further transformed in B by the initial ' $t$ ' and tied ' ft ' in ' tafte' changing places, the word being thus transformed into ' ftate', and the line reading :

As praifes of whofe ftate the wife are found.
The proof-reader of $B$ was content to let this pass, though what meaning he attached to it can hardly be guessed. What we find in $W$ is

No, it is ftopt with other flattering founds, As praifes of his ftate: then there are found Laiciuious Meeters, to whofe venom found The open eare of youth doth alwaies liften, \&c.
Taking 'found ' once more to be a misprint for ' fond ' we can interpret this as an assertion that Richard's ears
are occupied with listening to praises of his magnificence, and that besides these there are foolish wanton songs, \&c. In this form the line was repeated in the Quartos of 1608 and 1615 and the Folio of 1623, and with the correction of 'found ' into 'fond' it has been accepted by some modern editors. We may criticize the acceptance of such readings later on. For the moment all we need emphasize is that the reading of $W$ appears to be an attempt to put some meaning into the line as altered by the substitution of ' ftate' for ' tafte' by B, and is not directly derived from the text of A. Assuredly we cannot imagine any proof-reader with the line as printed by W before him changing it back into the form given in B. Therefore we can claim quite positively that $W$ must have been printed from B and not B from W, i.e. the Quarto in Mr. White's hands is the second edition of the two printed in 1598 , and takes third place in the complete sequence ABWCDF. ${ }^{1}$ This was the position assigned to it by Miss Henrietta Bartlett, and it is a pleasure to me to find myself in agreement with the discoverer, or identifier, of this edition.

The position of the newly discovered, or newly differentiated, Quarto being thus established, it might suffice for the purposes of this introduction to set forth the new readings in which it differs from $B$ and to follow the fate which befell them in the reprints of 1608 and 1615 and
${ }^{1}$ The foregoing argument may be called literary. If a typographical one be preferred it is offered by the changes in II. iii. 117. In A this reads quite clearly

You are my father, for me thinkes in you
I fee old Gaunt aliue.
In $B$ the $f$ in 'for' is so broken away that only a thin ridge representing part of the back is visible. In $W$ the $f$ is omitted, 'or' taking the place of 'for'. The omission of the $f$ in $W$ is thus explained by the compositor having overlooked the small fragment of one in B .
in the Folio of 1623 . But King Richard II was the first genuine play by Shakespeare to obrain the honour of print after having been duly entered in the Stationers' Register ; it was printed, as we now know, no fewer than five times in quarto; a passage of considerable length was introduced for the first time in the edition of 1608 , and the Quarto of 1615 is generally asserted to have been used, though with many corrections, in setting up the text of the Folio of 1623. In his previous essay, Sbakespeare Folios and Quartos, the present writer has pleaded that the merits of each Quarto text, and the character for honesty or dishonesty which may be assigned to it, should be considered separately, and judgement passed according to the evidence in each case. In that essay it seemed wiser to quote the independent textual verdicts of the editors of the Facsimile Quartos issued under the superintendence of Dr. Furnivall, than to put forward the results of a personal investigation, lest it should be suspected that the critical evidence was being marshalled so as to fit in as neatly as possible with pre-arranged bibliographical theories. But having put forward a purely bibliographical plea for a fairer treatment of the Quartos, it seems reasonable to see if any results can be obtained from a personal examination of all the editions of one of the plays first printed in quarto, and for the reasons given above King Richard $\overline{I I}$ seems the right play with which to start. This is offered as a plea in mitigation if any one is inclined to censure this introduction as needlessly elaborate.

It may perhaps be as well to preface our survey by a frank warning that not too much must be expected to result from it. There is a point of view, indeed, from which the occasion from which we start, the discovery of a new Shakespeare Quarto intermediate between two
earlier and two later ones, is a pure misfortune-another link in a useless chain, already too long. Unless it can be shown-and we can hold out no such hope-that the printer of such an intermediate edition had access to some manuscript text by which to correct the errors of his predecessors, we must own that the best he could try to do was by using his mother-wit in a rough and ready way as an editor to eliminate some of these errors, and himself to introduce as few new ones as possible. In other words, he could not substantially improve the text he was reprinting, and he might make it worse. Not only might he make it worse, but, as is already well known, and as our survey will make clear, he did make it worse. Why, then, trouble about him and his reprints ? The only texts which matter are those of the earliest Quarto, which must be derived, whether at first, second, or third hand, from the author's manuscript, and the text of the First Folio, which claims to have been based on the author's ' papers'. There is a considerable element of truth in the contention thus outlined. The only counterpleas which we can put forward are that the results for which we are seeking should be of use, not to the ordinary readers of Shakespeare, but to the rather numerous persons who undertake to edit his works, and secondly that in a modest way they may be found to possess a certain human and psychological interest of their own.

First, as regards the editor of Shakespeare, it is obviously his editorship's business to form some estimate of the textual value of the copy which was originally put into the printer's hands. Knowing that in his own case any copy which he sends to an average printer is usually returned to him in print with a very small percentage of errors, he is inclined to assume that because the text of an editio princeps is faulty, therefore the copy from which
it was printed must have been faulty also, therefore it was probably 'stolne and surreptitious', \&c., \&c., and therefore the text of the Folio is always to be preferred to it. As soon, however, as it becomes clear that an Elizabethan play could not be reprinted without the introduction of numerous fresh errors, it becomes clear also that an aggregate of first errors in an editio princeps, as long as it does not exceed the aggregate of additional errors first found in a reprint, allows us to believe that the manuscript from which the first edition was set up was textually very good indeed. The faultiness of the successive reprints thus becomes in a curiously inverted way a guarantee of the excellence of the manuscript which Andrew Wise was lucky enough to obtain.

A word may be interpolated here in extenuation of the inaccuracy of the Elizabethan printers in their dealings with plays. Let us renember that they worked in wooden houses in which the windows were very small and glazed with imperfectly transparent glass; that they worked long hours, probably at least eleven or twelve a day, which means not only that their powers of attention were often overstrained, but that much of their work must have been done by rushlight ; that they had to deal with a text which even when there is no suspicion of corruption often puzzles professors, and finally that, when they could get it, they drank ale for breakfast and ale for dinner and ale for supper. No doubt the ale was small, but its effects may have been cumulative, as we are so often told is the case with the arguments which set out to prove that in his younger days Viscount Verulam wrote the plays with which we are concerned. Surely a generation which drinks tea and coffee and has workshops with large windows and electric light, and an eight-hour day, and has never to deal with any copy in the least resembling
the plays of Shakespeare, should not be too forward in despising these poor men. Nevertheless the fact remains that they did make mistakes and made them in quantities.

Despite the disadvantages we have suggested, the printers of the quartos occasionally, by setting up half a page or more without any divergence from the copy which they were told to follow, showed that accuracy was not wholly beyond their reach. For the most part, however, even when they faithfully reproduced the words of their text, they allowed themselves a free hand in their dealings with three matters which modern editors, with much saving of trouble to themselves, but some loss to their readers, have treated as negligible, viz. Spelling, Punctuation, and the use of emphasis Capitals in the initial letter of substantives. Something must be said as to each of these, as they all have some bearing on the history of the text.

As regards Spelling, the reader who dislikes the subject, because, while aware that our present system is from every reasonable standpoint indefensible, he is yet determined not to face the difficulties involved in any change, will be glad to hear that it need not detain us long. In the line (土. iii. 127) printed in the First Quarto as ' And for our eies do hate the dire afpect ', the printer of $B$ changed ' eies' into ' eyes'; the printer of $W$ changed it back to ' eies'; in C it is once more ' eyes' ; in D it is again ' eies'; finally, in the Folio, the see-saw comes to ground upon 'eyes'. Not one printer had resisted the temptation to vary from his predecessor, and in words, mostly adverbs or adjectives, now uniformly ending in $-y$ there is a constant tendency to alternate between -y and -ie, as if merely for the pleasure of change. Variations of this kind may, of course, be written off. They are really negligible. At the opposite pole to these we find a few positive misspellings, such as 'formerly' for 'formally'
introduced by D into I. iii. 29, or ' percullist' for ' portcullist', introduced by C into i. iii. 167. These, like any other blunders, may be very useful for detective work, but are obviously not part of a system. There is no lack, however, of system in the spellings in these early quartos which modern editors are too often inclined to regard as merely eccentric or ignorant. Some of these spellings were due to the desire to preserve the old pronunciation when vowel-values were shifting, others to the desire to indicate what was believed to be the history of the word. We may regret both these desires and the changes to which they led, but no spelling which we find commonly adopted in other books of the day must be debited as an error against the individual printer who used it. It was no fault of his that rival phonetic devices for representing the same sound left their marks on different words, and that in many cases the battle as to what was to be the orthodox spelling of an individual word was not yet decided. It was often not so much lack of system as the clash of rival systems thatled him into trouble. Moreover, we have to reckon with a further system, which may be called dramatic or poetic, which especially affected past participles, but left its traces also elsewhere. A dramatist had good reason to avoid past participles in -ed where he did not mean the last syllable to be sounded. The risk of having his lines mispronounced was great, and he rushed by preference into strange forms, such as 'slucte' for 'sluiced', or 'ragde' for 'raged', which no printer would have invented. ${ }^{1}$ In the same way we occasionally

[^2]find the way to read a line indicated by the substitution of 'that's' for 'that is', or 'they'le' for ' they will', without any specially colloquial intention. But in all this there is nothing which at the present moment need detain us.

Punctuation is a much more important matter. If any reader of this introduction has not already mastered Mr. Percy Simpson's illuminating little tract, Shakespearian Punctuation (Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 191I, pp. 107. Price 5s.), he is strongly advised to procure it at once and study it heedfully. In his Introduction Mr. Simpson writes:

It is a common practice at the present day to treat the punctuation of seventeenth-century books as beneath serious notice; editors rarely allude to it, and if they do, they describe it as chaotic and warn the reader that they have been driven to abandon it. It seems to be imagined that the compositor peppered the pages promiscuously with any punctuation-marks that came to hand, and was lavish of commas because his stock of these was large. In other words, old printers-printers as a class-were grossly illiterate and careless ; the utmost that could be expected of them was that they should spell out their texts correctly; nobody troubled about punctuation, not even the 'Corrector', who is referred to occasionally, for praise or the reverse, by writers of the time.

With good reason Mr. Simpson asks: 'Is it on a priori grounds likely that printers were more ignorant than the majority of their fellow men? Could a human being endowed with reason serve an apprenticeship, work at the trade of printing all his life, and set up the type of book after book, without fathoming the inscrutable mystery of the comma and the full stop?' 'The fact is', he says, ' that English punctuation has radically changed in the last three hundred years. Modern punctuation is, or at any rate attempts to be, logical ; the earlier system was
mainly rhythmical.' Later on he tells us: 'There is a second important difference between the old and the new systems. Modern punctuation is uniform ; the old punctuation was quite the reverse. It was natural that in the earlier stages of printing usage should be less settled, and it was certainly convenient for the printer. For the poet it was something more : a flexible system of punctuation enabled him to express subtle differences of tone.'

Finally Mr. Simpson reveals the main object of his little treatise to be the vindication of the punctuation of the First Folio :
The punctuation, which is usually regarded as the weakest point in the printing of the Folio, I believe to be on the whole sound and reasonable. It will help to a higher appreciation of the merits of this famous text if its claim to be regarded as correct in an elementary point of typography can be conclusively established. I have attempted to marshal the evidence, and I venture to submit the issue to the judgement of scholars. Was there, or was there not, a system of punctuation which old printers used ? Can the differences of this system be classified, and proved step by step by an accumulation of instances? If so, we must do Isaac Jaggard and Edward Blount and their workmen the justice to believe that they knew how to print.

In his text Mr. Simpson proceeds to ' marshal the evidence' in forty-three sections, the character of which may be to some extent surmised from the headings of the first score of them :

Light stopping-Vocative followed but not preceded by a comma-Vocative without commas-Imperative without comma -Appositional phrase without comma-Comma marking a metrical pause-The emphasizing comma-Comma equivalent to a dash-Comma marking interrupted speech-Comma marking the logical subject-Comma marking off adverbial phrase or clause -Comma between accusative and dative-Comma between
object and complement-Comma before a noun clause-Comma before the 'defining' relative-Comma before 'as '-Comma before 'but', ( $=$ 'except ')-Comma before 'than'-Comma before 'and', with no comma after-Comma before 'or' and ' nor', with no comma after, \&c.

Mr. Simpson had one or two predecessors (notably Mr. A. E. Thiselton) in his pioneer work, but I owe my own conversion entirely to his little book, and it was originally my intention to work out the punctuation of the different editions of King Richard II in accordance with his headings. I found this, however, beyond my powers, and have come to think his method of exposition a little misleading, as suggesting a grammatical intention in the minds of Elizabethan or seventeenth-century punctuators with which I should be loth to credit them. Having acknowledged my indebtedness to Mr. Simpson I shall therefore deal with the problem in my own way, and he must not be held responsible for any shortcomings in my method of attacking it.
'Modern punctuation,' says Mr. Simpson, 'is, or at any rate attempts to be, logical ; the earlier system was mainly rhythmical.' In the plays of Shakespeare and in all the poetry of his day, rhythm, no doubt, played a very large part in determining punctuation; but if any one word has to be set up in opposition to ' logical' I would use a slightly larger term than 'rhythmical', and call the punctuation with which we are concerned 'rhetorical'. In the plays, wherever it becomes important, it might perhaps best be called 'dramatic'. To get at its underlying principle we may go back to the lessons of the schoolroom in which I learnt that, when a comma stopped the way, I must pause while I could count one; when a semi-colon, while I could count two; when a colon, thiee; when a full stop, four. Educational
formulas are long-lived, and it is possible that this simple rule of thumb, which made each stop simply and solely a measure of time, came down from Elizabethan days. It is certainly quite inapplicable to modern punctuation. Any one who read aloud and marked his stops like this would risk having things thrown at him. In reading aloud we ignore many of the stops with which grammarians have taught printers to pepper our pages. The stops may sometimes save us from mistaking the sense, but they give hardly any clue as to how a given passage should be 'taken', and it is precisely this which the punctuation of the First Folio attempted to do-and, at least occasionally, did.

The strength of Mr. Simpson's treatise is in his examples, and the example which effected my conversion was a line and a half from King Henry $V$ (v. i. 49 sq.), spoken by Pistoll as, in terror of Fluellen's cudgel, he begins to eat the leek. In the Folio it is printed, quite shamelessly,

> By this Leeke, I will moft horribly reuenge I eate and eate I fweare.

In the Globe Shakespeare there is a colon after 'reuenge' and a comma after the second 'eate'; but the Folio shows us Fluellen flourishing his cudgel, and how should he stop while he might count three after 'reuenge', or even one after 'eate', when the slightest pause might bring the cudgel on his head ? The absence of stops here can hardly be called rhythmical, but it is certainly dramatic, and it gives what is practically a stage direction, which is totally lacking in the modern rendering.

While I was pondering this section chance brought to me, at second hand, a delightful piece of Shakespearian punctuation of an opposite kind, in Mr. Anstey's Voces

Populi. A Hyde Park orator is giving his views on ministerial shortcomings, and by printing his observations as

The present Government Har. The most Abandoned! The most Degraded! The most Cowardly! The most Debased! The most Ber-lud-thirsty! Set. Of Sneakin' Ruffians. That hever disgraced the Title. Of so-called Yumanity. ${ }^{1}$
Mr. Anstey not only tells us exactly what his orator said, but exactly how he said it. Here, in fact, we have the First Folio punctuation in a nut-shell, emphasiscapitals and all.

It is not in every play that a Fluellen whirls his cudgel about the head of an unlucky Pistol, or that a speech has to be delivered with the energy proper to Hyde Park. We must be content, as a rule, and certainly in Richard II, to trace the influence of the dramatic form in such less striking instances as the special punctuation, sometimes abnormally light, sometimes abnormally heavy, which we find in long speeches. There is an element of convention in all dramatic art, but a good actor does not strain it needlessly, and if he is given a long speech to deliver he will not emphasize the improbability of the other man being content to remain silent by unduly prolonging his pauses. Hence the frequent substitution of the colon for a full stop even in unimpassioned speeches, and the use only of commas when in wrath, indignation, or entreaty, the torrent of words is in full flow. Hence, on the other hand, the greater significance of such full stops as occur. In other contexts the use of notably light stopping may occasionally denote an intentionally abrupt transition. On the other hand, dramatic speech being more emphatic than ordinary talk, we find commas which seem superfluous, or other stops which seem

[^3]needlessly heavy, inserted to indicate a pause, so that the words which follow may be clearly heard in their full value. Instances of this kind may be usefully classified on Mr. Simpson's method, but here we are only concerned in trying to distinguish between peculiarities, as we esteem them, which may be attributed to the dramatic form, and those which could be found also in non-dramatic Elizabethan prose.

At the other end of the scale to this mainly dramatic punctuation we have the reproduction, as on the disk of a gramophone, of the pauses, or absence of pauses, in ordinary talk. Our modern punctuation has left ordinary: talk altogether on one side, not without serious loss to its own usefulness. If systematization should always be accompanied by the critical revision which leads to improvement, nevertheless a systematization of the pauses in normal speech which leaves normal speech on one side, in order to cut up sentences so as to make them more easy to parse, has lost touch with reality. Some at least of the divergences from our modern ' logical' punctuation which Mr. Simpson puts to the credit of an Elizabethan 'rhythmical' system seem to the present writer to have nothing to do with rhythm. They represent actual modes of common speech, some of which an elocutionist, so far from treating as rhythmical, would denounce as slipshod. If a boy who sings out 'Come along Jack' does not pause before his friend's name, and we wish to indicate this, why should we be denied leave to omit a comma? On the other hand, although the number of words which it takes to express the subject of a verb may make no difference to it logically or grammatically, the Elizabethan custom of marking off the end of such an extended subject by the interposition of a comma before the verb answers to a real necessity of clear speech.

In the sentence just written such a comma would be placed between 'verb ' and ' answers', and any one who reads it aloud will find himself involuntarily making at that point the slight pause which a comma indicates.

Lastly the First Folio abounds with commas which are as purely rhythmical in their intention as the colons which divide every verse of the Prayer-book version of the Psalms into two halves, balancing each other. We find these most frequently at the end of lines, but very often at the internal rhythmical break which I am oldfashioned enough to call the caesura. We find them, not uniformly, but frequently, wherever they can be placed with advantage, or even without injury, to the rhetoric, and Mr . Simpson's classification of his wealth of examples according to the grammatical collocations which they override is useful, and (I think) only useful, as demonstrating that they have nothing to do with grammar. On the view here taken the punctuation with which we are dealing differs from that now in use by its closer adherence to the actualities of ordinary speech, and by accommodating itself unashamedly to the rhythmical, rhetorical, and dramatic necessities of the playhouse. It may fairly be regarded as a system, though, as Mr. Simpson rightly insists, a varying and flexible one. In so far, however, as it is really a system, it obviously leaves room for infringements of its own variable and flexible requirements, and there seems a gap in Mr. Simpson's argument when he advances at a leap from the demonstration that such a system existed to the conclusion that Isaac Jaggard and his workmen ${ }^{1 \text { ' }}$ knew how to print'. They certainly did not invent the system. To confine ourselves to Shakespeare texts, it is found full blown in the Heyes Quarto
${ }^{1}$ Blount, whom Mr. Simpson, following the imprint of the First Folio, couples with Jaggard, was not. a printer, but a publisher.
of the Merchant of Venice. Whether they are to be called good printers or bad in this respect thus depends, not on their mere adoption of it, but on the success with which they carried it out, though as against their hasty detractors Mr. Simpson is entitled to claim that he has rescued them from the worst imputation, that of having had no system at all. But Mr. Simpson says nothing as to their lapses, and until an advocatus diaboli has inquired into these and been defeated, his full case is not made out. The present apologist for the Quartos enters this caveat by no means unsympathetically, as though the system in this Quarto is the same as in the First Folio it will be seen that, when he would most like to prove care, this is so conspicuously absent that argument as to some interesting punctuations which the edition offers is hampered by a doubt as to how far they were deliberate.

In penitence for the length of these remarks on Punctuation we will content ourselves with noting as to the use of a capital or majuscule for the initial letter of substantives that this must be regarded as denoting some rhetorical stress or emphasis, and that we shall find the frequency of such emphasis capitals bearing some relation to the heaviness of the punctuation. The practice of contemporary actors may quite possibly have had some influence on it, but it seems clear that in this matter the printers were guided partly by the copy they had before them, partly by their own preferences.

These preliminary explanations as to spelling, punctuation, and initial capitals having been offered, we now approach the very difficult task of presenting the characteristics of the successive editions of King Richard II, so as to create an impression of each of these editions as a product of human minds and human hands doing their best, good or ill, with the material which was set before
them. We can prove in the case of three of these editions, two of 1598 and one of 1615 , that in each instance this material was exclusively a preceding edition, examples of which are available for examination. Our main business is to use the bibliographically ascertainable relation between copy and original in these cases to deduce from the state of the text of the First Quarto the probable quality of the material-the manuscript obtained from the players-from which the first printed text was derived, and in like manner to get some indication of the value of the new material, the manuscript of the so-called Deposition Scene, obtained in 1608, and again of what new material we are to suppose was available in 1623 . As our startingpoint it is necessary to form, and convey, as accurate an impression as possible of the positive value of the text of the First Quarto. To do this we must needs have recourse to some external and patently independent testimony. To set out ourselves to establish from the beginning the value of this text would involve the discussion of every letter in it which varies from the text of any other edition, and to deduce from such a discussion results sufficiently clear to command acceptance would be a heavy task even for an investigator free from all suspicion of bias. The present investigator tries assiduously to keep himself free from bias; but he is quite willing to have his statements regarded as those of an advocate for the goodness of the 'regularly entered' quarto texts, and to win his case, if he can, as an advocate, without assuming the rôle of a judge. It is therefore a great help and satisfaction that in the Cambridge edition of Shakespeare, first published in 1863-6 (the first volume edited by W. G. Clark and J. Glover, vols. ii-ix by W. G. Clark and W. A. Wright), and re-edited by Mr. Aldis Wright in 1891, there exists an entirely independent
critical text, the authority of which is certainly greater than that of any other, and that by bringing this text into our evidence we can materially simplify our task.

It may be well here to summarize the main features of the Cambridge Shakespeare. The division into Acts and Scenes follows that of the First Folio with some modifications. The old stage-directions, duly recorded in the foot-notes, are rehandled and supplemented with some freedom in order to make the action intelligible. The text is printed in modern spelling, with modern punctuation and the modern abstinence from emphasiscapitals at the beginnings of words. The line-arrangement, when it differs from that of the early editions, is also modern, the result mainly of the labours of the eighteenth-century commentators, to whom their respective suggestions are duly credited in the critical notes.

The text of our play, except in the so-called Deposition Scene which follows the First Folio, is based on that of the First Quarto (in which the Deposition Scene is omitted), but corrected, to the extent which we shall see, partly from the readings in the later Quartos and the four Folios, partly from the editorial conjectures of the commentators of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. Readings and conjectures not accepted in the text are recorded in the critical foot-notes, each being carefully credited to its original source. In these critical foot-notes no arguments are used, but at the end of the play there are some longer notes in which thirty-two passages are concisely discussed.

The Cambridge Shakespeare is, of course, not infallible. From the purely critical point of view it suffers slightly, but only slightly, from the opportunist eclecticism by which most other texts are far more deeply affected. But it is a very fine text to have been produced at a time
when eclecticism was still rampant, and the occasional yielding to the desire for an effective reading makes it all the more valuable as a witness on our side. No one can say that it disregards intelligibility. In a few cases, as we shall see, difficulties are left unsolved for lack of any satisfactory alternative reading or any probable emendation. But the appearance in it not only of alternative readings from the First Folio, but of at least a few which originated in the later Quartos, besides a very few avowed conjectures, gives us the better right to regard the retention of the text of the First Quarto in any passage as a deliberate judgement that nothing more satisfactory has been proposed.

Turning now to the First Quarto itself we find that this contains nine sheets, signed A-I, of four leaves, or eight pages apiece, and a half-sheet signed K , containing three printed pages, followed by a blank. Excluding the title, the blank page on the back of it, and the blank last page, we have in all seventy-three pages used for the text, a full page usually containing thirty-seven lines. What amount of alteration, excluding for the moment spelling, punctuation, and stage-directions, was necessary to bring this amount of printed matter to the standard of intelligibility demanded by the Cambridge editors? The question has both a quantitative and a qualitative aspect, and we must try to answer it in each respect. Of course, to summarize the state of the text of a play of Shakespeare's in terms of arithmetic is immensely difficult, and we only attempt the task as a rough aid to visualizing our problem. With this proviso we offer the answer that on an average one error has been discovered on every page of the First Quarto, and about once in every four pages some readjustment is needed in the line-arrangement. It must be added that, as shown by the notes, about once in
every four pages there isa word or aphrase which not merely one but most of the commentators have found difficult, but for which no satisfactory solution can be discovered.

Before proceeding further we must recall the very interesting and important fact already mentioned that in the three copies of the First Quarto still in existence nine pages exist in two different states, the one corrected, the other uncorrected. The distribution of the pages in the three copies has already been stated. In the coexistence of corrected and uncorrected pages in different copies of the same edition there is nothing unusual. It can be paralleled from many Elizabethan books, and could certainly be paralleled from many more were the zeal for collation now reserved for special rarities bestowed on a few score of ordinary works of the same period. In the case of Richard II, as the nine pages on which these variations occur are scattered over five sheets, we may guess that Valentine Sims had a trick of arriving late, or lingering unduly over his dinner, and the pressmen, in their anxiety to get on with their work, began printing off on several occasions before his arrival. When at last the corrector made his appearance the pressmen stopped work for a few minutes, while such additional corrections as he thought needed were made on the sheet they were printing. Such a method of procedure would be very characteristic of the mixture of carelessness and care which seems to have pervaded the Elizabethan printinghouses. The printers were quite anxious to do decent work, but they had to get on with their job, and while they would take the trouble to make corrections they could not hang about waiting for them.

The mistakes which were corrected in some copies and. left uncorrected in others are seventeen in number and. very various in kind. In the first of them we have one.
of the few really probable cases of a compositor having had his text read out to him, the line (I. i. I39) 'But ere I last receiude the Sacrament' appearing in the Devonshire and Capell copies with a preliminary 'Ah', which could hardly have crept in from any other cause than our English habit of making strange noises, now generally 'Er', between our sentences. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Many misprints which have been explained as arising from oral dictation more probably arose from the compositor keeping a sentence in his head and dictating it to himself. Any general habit of printing from dictation is extremely improbable, inasmuch as with only a slight saving of time to the compositor it would have involved the employment of an extra man, nineteen-twentieths of whose time would have been wasted, as a dictator can read quite twenty times as fast as a compositor can set up. Moreover, early pictures of printing-houses, in several of which we see the compositor working with his copy stuck up in front of him, further discount its likelihood. On the other hand, on a dark day, or when difficult copy was sent in, a master printer might easily have taken the manuscript himself to a window or candle and dictated for a few minutes.

While the reading with the interjected 'Ah' stands by itself, there are others of these seventeen mistakes which may possibly be best explained on the dictation theory. Thus in the second of the two lines (1. iii. 135-6)

With harfh refounding trumpets dreadfull bray,
And grating fhocke of wrathfull yron armes,
${ }^{1}$ Mr. F. J. Hall, Controller of the Oxford University Press, who has taken a very kind interest in the typographical questions dealt with in this Introduction, is reluctant to admit even this as an instance of dictation. He suggests that the pen and ink copyist may have begun the line with the intention of writing the word 'And', but when he got as far as 'An' he discovered his mistake, and put his pen through the 'An' in such a way as to make it look like ' $A h$ '.
the Huth and Capell copies repeat 'harsh resounding, where they ought to have printed 'wrathfull iron'. Possibly a dictator, as dictators have a way of doing, with mistaken kindness began to read line 135 over again when the compositor was setting up line 136, and thus ' harsh resounding' was printed twice over. In three other cases the question is one of an ' $s$ ' at the end of a word; ${ }^{1}$ in two. others of a letter inserted or omitted at the beginning. ${ }^{2}$ Other theorists will expect to find the compositor, instead of always setting up the successive letters of a linein their order, varying his work by picking out the letters. according to the arrangement of his composing box and occasionally clapping one or more on the wrong word. This may explain 'any' for ' $a$ ' in $v$. ii. 108 (Huth), taken in conjunction with ' $a$ ' for 'any' in the following
${ }^{1}$ In r. ii. 48 'butchers' for 'butcher' ; in I. iii. I33 'Draw' for 'Drawes'; in II. i. I56'kerne' for 'kernes'. The first of these is important because it supplies an exact parallel to another line left uncorrected. 'Butchers' for 'butcher's occurs in the line 'That it may enter butchers Mowbraies breaft'. In II. i. 124 the First. Quarto reads 'Oh fpare me not my brothers Edwards fonne', and we may fairly conclude that if the press-corrector had been alert he would have treated 'brothers' as he treated 'butchers' and docked. the final s .
${ }^{2}$ I. ii. 70 'cheere' for ' heare', I. iii. 241 'ought' for 'fought'. The reading 'cheere' is rather famous, because of Malone's vigorous defence of it, when 'heare' was only known as a later Quarto reading, its occurrence in the Devonshire copy of the First Quarto not having been noted The full passage is

Alacke and what fhall good olde Yorke there fee,
But empty lodgings and vnfurnifht wals,
Vnpeopled offices, vntrodden ftones,
And what heare there for welcome but my grones?
'There fee' in the first of these lines is so excellently complemented by 'heare there' in the fourth that there can be little doubt as to the correctness of the latter reading. Yet Malone made out quite a good case: for his 'And what cheer there for welcome but my grones?'.
line; ${ }^{1}$ possibly also 'emptines, hollownes' in 1. ii. 59 instead of 'emptie hollownes'. In two lines we have small words dropped out ('alas' from I. ii. 42 , the first ' his' from 1. iii. I08). In 'riuall hating ' for 'riuall-hating' (r. iii. 131) we have the less important omission of a hyphen, and in 'portculift' for 'portcullift', in I. iii. 167, a simple misspelling. The mistake 'with' for 'what' in I. iv. 27 may point to an original contraction ' $w$ t'. The remaining differences of reading are 'cruell woundes' for 'ciuill woundes' in 1. iii. I 28 (involving a change of only two letters), and ' this ' for ' thy' in v. iii. I26.

If these seventeen corrections had occurred in a first edition of a book by certain writers, Ben Jonson, for instance, or Herrick, we should need to inquire whether any of them could be due to the belated intervention of the author. Not even the most valiant defenders of the value of the First Quartos, as far as I am aware, has ever contended that Shakespeare revised the proof-sheets of any of his plays. To make out even a plausible case for such a revision would immediately place the text in question on a pinnacle far higher than I should dare to claim for the best that has come down to us. We must take it that the mistakes are ordinary mistakes due to the compositors misrendering their copy, and that: the corrections are ordinary corrections due to the printer's reader ${ }^{2}$ intervening belatedly. As such their interest is very great, as they-show us the kind of mistakes which not merely some Elizabethan printers chosen at haphazard, but the very men who were setting up the first edition of this play, were capable of making when
> ${ }^{1} \mathrm{He}$ is as like thee as a man may be, Not like to me, or any of my kinne.

2 The 'printer's reader' would probably be Sims himself. In his absence the compositor would have had to examine the proof himself.
left to themselves. To this point we shall return later on There is another which we must press home at once. Here are seventeen mistakes which the compositors made and the proof-reader tardily corrected. If instead of correcting them when part of the number of copies required of each sheet had been printed off he had not corrected them at all, though the reputation of the First Quarto would have suffered, the value of the manuscript on which that Quarto was based would have remained the same. Save in so far as they suggest that the writing of the manuscript was bad, or that it was crabbedly corrected, the seventeen blunders, far from discrediting the manuscript, bring vividly before us the ease with which errors were introduced in the process of printing and the extreme danger of assuming that the faultiness of a printed text involves a corresponding faultiness in the manuscript which it follows. ${ }^{1}$

We can express the errors corrected in copies of the First Quarto itself in the following table. ${ }^{2}$

Letters omitted

${ }^{1}$ Obviously the first business of the Cambridge editors was to follow the corrected pages in each case and eliminate the mistakes due to the uncorrected ones. As a matter of fact when the first Cambridge edition was issued the existence of the two 'states' of the sheets in question was not fully known; but in the revised edition of 1891 the process was completed, and from the numerical estimate of the sins of the First Quarto offered on p. 33, from the stand-point of the Cambridge text, these blunders which the printers of the Quarto themselves corrected were, of course, set aside.
${ }_{2}$ This omits 'portculift' for 'portcullift' in 1. iii. 167 as a matter of spelling with which we are not at present concerned; also 'riuall hating' for 'riuall-hating' in I. iii. I3I, as hyphens for our purpose may be classed with punctuation.

Letter added

| I. ii. 48 | reads | butchers | for | butcher. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. ii. 59 | reads | Letters substituted emptines | for | emptie. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I. ii. } 4^{2} \\ & \text { I. iii. } 108 \end{aligned}$ | reads | Words omitted then God | for | then alas. <br> his God. |
| I. i. 139 | reads | Word added Ah but | for | But. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I. ii. } 70 \\ & \text { r. iii. } 128 \\ & \text { I. iii. } 136 \\ & \text { I. iv. } 27 \end{aligned}$ | reads | Words substituted cheere cruell harfh refounding With | for | heare. <br> ciuill. <br> wrathfull yron. <br> What. |
| v. ii. 108 |  | any |  | a. |
| v. ii.: го9. v. iii. I26. |  | this |  | any. thy. |

Taking the Cambridge text as our standard we can express the First Quarto's uncorrected errors, other than of line-arrangement, speakers, spelling and punctuation, in the same way, adding in a fourth column a note of the edition in which the error was first corrected. ${ }^{1}$

Letters omitted (8)
$\begin{array}{lcc}\begin{array}{ll}\text { I. i. } 102 \\ \text { I. iii. } 180 & \text { reads taitour }{ }^{2} \\ \text { y'owe } & \text { for traitor } \\ \text { you owe }\end{array} & \text { corrected by } & \text { B. } \\ \text { F. }\end{array}$
${ }^{1}$ In this column B stands for the earlier edition of $\mathbf{1 5 9 8}$, W for the later, C and D for those of 1608 and $\mathbf{1 6 1 5 , F}$ for the Folio of $\mathbf{1 6 2 3}, \mathrm{F}^{2}$ for the second Folio, G for the Quarto of $1634, \mathrm{~F}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{4}$ for the third and fourth Folios, and Edd. for later editions.
${ }^{2}$ The mention of this misprint in a foot-note in the Cambridge edition should have carried with it at least eight others apparently omitted as not worth noticing: II. i. 200 ' lay' for ' fay'; ;iII. iii. 20 ' 'ftroug'st' for 'ftrongtt'; ini. iv. 48 'htah' for 'hath'; v.i. 3' wohfe' for ' whofe'; v. ii. 104 ' rhy' for 'thy'; v. iii. 49 ' writtng' for 'writing'; v. v. 33 'penur!e' for 'penurie'; v. v. 88 'prond' for 'proud '.

| I. iii. $239^{1}$ | reads had't | for had it | corrected by | Edd. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II. i. 257 | King | King's |  | Edd. |
| III. ii. 40. | bouldy | boldly |  | W. |
| v. iii. 75 | voice | voic'd |  | G. |
| v. iii. 122 | fets <br> through | fet'ft <br> thorough |  | Edd. | Letters transposed ( I )

iI. i. 278 reads Brittaine for Brittanie corrected by B. Letters added (1の)
I. iii. 222 reads nightes for night corrected by C.
I. iii. 254 returneft
I. iv. 20
II. i. 18.
iI. i. 124.

Coofens
found
return'ft
Coofen
F.
fond Edd.
brothers (so F)
ii. ii. 16
iI. ii. 3 I.
II. ii. 129
Ii. iii. 36
iII. ii. 29
iII. ii. 32.
iII. iv. 26
III. iv. 29
iv. i. 89
v. i. 37
v. i. 62
eyes
thought
that is
Herefords
heauens
fuccors
pines
yong
he is
fometimes
knoweft
brother
eye
B.
though
that's
Hereford W. heauen
fuccor
pins
yon
F.
B.
IV. Letters substituted ( 7 )
I. i. 152 reads gentleman for gentlemen corrected by F .

1. ii. 47
I. ii. 58
iI. i. 15
II. i. 102
iII. iii. 52
v. v. 27
fet
is
liues inraged tottered fet
fit
it
life's
incaged
tatter'd
fit
F.
B.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { he's } & \text { F. } \\ \text { fometime } & \text { W. }\end{array}$ know'ft B.

F4.
F.
W.
W.

Edd. Edd. F. B.

> V. Words omitted (I4)
I. i. 118 reads fcepters for my fcepters corrected by F .

1. iii. 172
fentence fentence then
1 The line is omitted in the Folio.
x. iv. 23 reads Bufhie for Bushy, Bagot here and Greene corrected by F G.

| 3. i. 48 | reads moate | for a moate | corrected by C . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i1. iii. 99 | lord | the lord | F |
| III. ii. 30 | elfe | elfe if | Edd. |
| miI. ii. I34. | this | this offence | F. |
| III. iii. I3. | brief | brief with you |  |
| III. iv. 57 | at | We at | Edd. |
| iv. i. 76 | bond | my bond | W. |
| av. i. 333 | the | and the | Edd. |
| v.i. 62 | He | And he | Edd. |
| จ. iii. 36 | May | I may | B. |
| v. iii. I44 | coufin | coufin, too, | G. |

VI. Words transposed (4)
iт. ii. xio reads diforderly thruft for thruft diforderly corrected by Edd.
II. ii. 138 reads Will the hatefull commons for The hatefull commons will corrected by Edd.
v. ii. 52 reads do thefe iufts and triumphs hold? for hold thofe iufts and triumphs? corrected by F .
v. iii. 135 reads I pardon him with all my heart for With all my heart
I pardon him corrected by Edd.
VII. Words added (2)
£. i. 162 reads obedience bids Obedience bids for Obedience bids corrected by Edd.
in. i. ni3 reads now not, not for now, not corrected by Edd.
VIII. Words substituted (17)
I. iii. 33 reads comes for com'ft corrected by $\mathbf{F}$.
I. iv. 53 reads Enter Bußbie with newes. for Enter Bushy. King. Bushy, what newes? corrected by F .
in. i. 177 reads a number for the number corrected by F .
II. i. 252
iI. iii. 123
iI. iii. 158
iII. iii. 119
III. iv. II
hath
in
have
of
Edd.
vnto
princeffe
griefe
to
a prince, is
joy
B.
B.
W. F.

Edd.

|  | reads two | for too | corrected by F . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III. iv. 80 | canft | cameft | B |
| Iv. i. 22 | them | him | W |
| v. i. 25 | throwne | ftricken | ${ }_{\text {B }}$ |
| v. i. 32 | the | thy | B. |
| v. i. 34 | the |  | B |
| v. ii.: 98 | there | their | F |
| v. iii. 106 | ftill | that | F. |
| v. vi. 47 | what | that |  |

The list is a pretty long one, but now let us compare it with a similar list for B , the earlier of the two editions. of 1598 , issued from the same printing office as the first edition, and very probably set up by the same compositors who had worked at the first a few months earlier. In this list I have only assigned to their authors the corrections made in the later Quartos and the First Folio. Two small errors which seem to occur only in the Capell copy ('gortes' for 'grotes' in v. v. 68, and the omission of ' it' in $v$ iii. 55) are left on one side, as not affecting. the whole edition.
I. Letters omitted (16)

| I. iii. I41 | reads field | for fields | corrected by F F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. iii. 237 | vrge | vrgde |  |
| I. iii. 266 | a | as |  |
| II. i. 201 | right | rightes |  |
| II. ii. 79 | care | cares |  |
| inf. i. 7 | death | deaths | F. |
| III. ii. xI | fauour | fauours |  |
| III. ii. 41 | his | this | F. |
| III. ii. I 138 | head | heads |  |
| Iv. i. 52 | take | taske |  |
| Iv. i. 138 | his | this |  |
| iv.i. 332 | hart | harts |  |
| v. i. 43 | griefe | griefes |  |
| v. i. 46 | simpathie | simpathize | F |
| v. v. 36 | king | ${ }^{\text {king'd }}$ | F. |
| v. vi. 43 | the thade | fhades |  |

II. Letters transposed (2)
iI. i 18 reads ftate for tafte iII. ii. 40 bloody for bouldy (boldly) corrected by Edd.
III. Letters added (12)

1. iii. 13 reads what's for what
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { x. iii. } 109 & \text { forwards } & \text { forward } \\ \text { i. iv. } 22 & \text { comes } & \text { come }\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { corrected by } \mathrm{F} 3 . \\ & \text { D. }\end{aligned}$
2. i. 243 (\& 245)
againft
gainft F.
3. i. 271
efpie
fpy
F.
II. ii. 26
iI. iii. 102
iII. ii. 53
iII. ii. 170
v. i. 74
v. iii. 17
v. iv. 4
eyes
thoufands
eye
F.
trembled
thoufand F .
walls
tremble $F$.
wall
betwixt twixt F.
commoneft commonft F.
friends friend
IV. Letters substituted (2)
$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { II. i. i2 } \\ \text { II. i. } 87 & \text { reads glofe } & \text { for clofe } & \text { I corrected by } \\ \underset{\text { F. }}{\text { F. }}\end{array}$
V. Words omitted (23)
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { 1. i. } 60 & \text { reads and } \\ \text { i. i. } 77 & \text { deuife }\end{array} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { for and I } \\ \text { worfe deuife }\end{gathered} \quad$ corrected by $\underset{\text { F. }}{\text { F. }}$
4. i. 88
flatter
flatter with
5. i. 127
tapt
6. i. 146
be
iI. iii. 3
in
iI. iii. 118
iI. iv. 15
father
iI. iv. 18
death
tapt out
F.
all be
F.
here in
F.
my father
F.
iII. iii. 27 the
iII. iii. 205
iiI. iv. $4^{2}$
III. iv. 107
heir
in
iv. i. 26 thou
vv. i. 117
any
v. i. 87
weep
v. ii. 2
ftory
death or fall
with the
are the
my heir F.
as in
as in
F.
in the $\quad \mathbf{F}$.
I fay thou that any F. weep thou $\stackrel{F}{\mathbf{F}}$. ftory of [f]
v. ii. 66 reads againft the for gainft the triumph
day
v. ii. 78
v. ii. 109
v. v. $4^{6}$
v. v. 50
v. vi. 8
triumph
my ,
me
difordered
made
Salifbury
by my (twice)
to me corrected by F .
a difordered
made me
Salifbury, Blunt
F.
VI. Words transposed (8)
II. ii. 53 reads yong fonne for fon yong
II. iii. 92
II. iv. 8
III. iii. 2 I
III. iv. 55
IV. i. II5
v. ii. 89
v. v. 27
more than why then more why
all are royally is
it is
I may not thou haue many
are all corro
is royally $\quad \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{F}}$.
ts royally
is it F.
may I
thou not
many haue
F. F. W。
II. i. 17I reads the noble for noble corrected by F.
II. i. 291
III. ii. II3
III. iii. 146
Iv. i. 41
IV. i. 122
v. i. 148
v. iii. 102
v. v. 32
v. vi. 43
and boyes
a king
line I
not here
and let
do come
a king
the shade
VIII. Words substituted (50)
7. i. 70 reads a for the corrected by G.
I. i. 87
8. i. 146
9. i. 189
10. i. 198
I. i. 202
I. iii. IOI
I. iii. 117
faid the
begger-face
life
you
thy
forth
boies
king
liue
here
let
come
king
shades
F.
F.
D.
$\mathrm{F}^{2}$ 。
F.
F.
${ }^{1}$ Salisbury, Spencer, Blunt.

| r. iii. 146 | reads vnto for | for to | corrected by F . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. iii. 233 | with | vpon | F. |
| I. iii. $240^{\text {¹ }}$ | would | fhould |  |
| I. iii. 303 | it | he |  |
| I. iv. 10 | your | our | F. |
| r1. i. 53 | in | for | F. |
| II. i. 92 | I | and |  |
| II. i. 95 | the | thy |  |
| iI. ii. 57 | the reft of the | al the reft |  |
| II. ii. 94 | I came came by and | y as I came by I |  |
| II. ii. 103 | two | no |  |
| II. ii. 139 | in | to |  |
| II. iii. 14 | that | which |  |
| II. iii. 77 | ghorious | gratious regent |  |
| II. iii. 112 | my | thy | F. |
| III. i. 15 | with | by |  |
| III. i. 18 | they | you | F. |
| III. i. 22 | While | Whilf |  |
| III. ii. 1 | you | they |  |
| III. ii. 142 | Ye ( $=$ yea) | I ( $=$ ay) |  |
| III. iii. $5^{6}$ | smoke | shock |  |
| III. iii. 166 | And | As | F. |
| III. iv. 24 | commeth | come |  |
| III. iv. 38 | that | which |  |
| III. iv. 50 | that | which |  |
| III. iv. 104 | drop | fall |  |
| Iv. i. 22 | my | them | (Whim) |
| Iv. i. 35 | that | which |  |
| Iv. i. 41 | the | that |  |
| Iv. i. 70 | mine | my | C. |
| Iv. i. 82 | of | at | F. |
| Iv. i. 98 | a | that | F. |
| Iv. i. 102 | fure | furely |  |
| v. i. 39 | my | thy |  |
| v. i. 95 | doubly | dumbly | F. |
| v. ii. II | while | whilft |  |
| v. iii. 16 | to | vnto | F. |
| The line is omitted in the Folio. |  |  |  |


| v. iii. 21 | reads fparkles | for fparks | corrected by F . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v. v. I | to | I may | F. |
| v. v. 14 | thy |  |  |
| v. v. 3 I | prion | flaunder |  |

The total number of errors introduced in this one edition amounts to no fewer than 123, or very nearly twice as many as those which have been detected in the First Quarto, and far more than the sins which can be attributed to the printers of that edition even if every difficulty which remains unsolved is to be laid at their door. Let us remember that this second edition was probably called for in a hurry; let us remember that the printer's reader, knowing that the compositors were setting up from print instead of from manuscript, may have given himself a holiday; let us make any other allowances that can reasonably be suggested: we cannot get away from the fact that in the face of this mass of new errors introduced in printing the second edition from the first, we cannot argue from the smaller number of errors in the first edition that the manuscript from which that edition was set up was in any respect imperfect. It may have been imperfect, and the printers may have printed from it with absolute fidelity, but with the evidence before us of the mass of errors introduced in reprinting the text in the same printing-house a few months later, the probability is all the other way.

In comparison with $B$, the text of the later of the two editions of 1598 here reproduced from Mr. White's copy is quite creditably correct. From my record of it I have omitted three examples of the kind of misprint which the Cambridge editors treated as negligible in the case of the First Quarto ('Knigthood' in I. i. 179 ; 'vengance' in I. ii. 8; 'iustie' for 'iustice' in 1. iii. 30), lest I should
be thought to be pressing too hardly on the later Quartos. On the other hand I have included 'vnpruind' for 'vnprund ' in inr. iv. 45, because after being corrected in C and D the misprint recurs in F .

Letters omitted (4)
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { I. iv. } 7 & \text { reads face } \\ \text { II. i. } 2 \text { 210 } & \text { land } \\ \text { II. } i i .117 & \text { or } \\ \text { v. i. } 35 & \text { beaft }\end{array}$
for faces
lands corrected by F .
for $F$.
beafts $F$.
Letters added (4)
$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { II. i. Io6 } & \text { reads they reach } & \text { for thy reach } & \text { corrected by C. } \\ \text { II. i. II5 } & \text { Ah } & \text { A } & \text { F. } \\ \text { III. ii. I35 } & \text { loue's } & \text { loue } & \text { 年 } \\ \text { III. iv. } 45 & \text { vnpruind } & \text { vnprund } & \text { F. }\end{array}$
Letters substituted (3)
I. i. 24 reads in for an corrected by F .
iII. ii. 26
v. iii. 63
rebellious
hald rebellions held (F had) Words omitted (3)
III. iii. 38
III. iv. 70
iv. i. 326
reads royall
Duke
Before
for moft royall
Before My Lo. before
Words added (3)

| I. i. 77 | reads what thou | for thou | corrected by | F. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v. v. 17 | fmall pofterne | pofterne |  |  |
| v. v. 36 | a King | king (Qr kingd) |  |  |

Words substituted (18)

| I. iii. 72 | reads a | for at | corrected by F. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I. iii. 86 | lies | liues | F. |
| I. iii. 87 | louing | lowring | F. |
| I. iii. 266 | foyle | foyle |  |
| I. iv. 8 | fleepie | fleeping |  |
| II. 1.10 | hath | haue |  |
| II. i. I8 | his | whofe | F. |
|  | then there | the wife |  |
|  |  |  |  |

II. ii. 3
III. i. 24
III. ii. $3 I^{1}$
III. ii. 67
III. ii. II6
III. ii. II7
III. iii. 17
III. iv. 52
iv. i. 145
halfe-harming life-harming (F. selfe-harming)
mine
would
my
browes
wo
your
puld
againft his
my
wil
me
bowes
[y]ewe
our
pluckt
againft this

This total of 35 new errors in $W$ compares very favourably indeed with the 123 in $B$, and is, as it should be, only a little over half of the number the Cambridge editors debit to the printers of A. But the printer employed in 1608 by Mathew Lawe did even better. Hitherto he has been held responsible for some thirty or more new errors introduced by his immediate predecessor. In the imprint he is named only by his initials, W. W., but these are known to stand for William White; and it is rather a pretty coincidence that the good workmanship of his office is now vindicated by the evidence of this facsimile from the library of a modern collector who bears the same name. As before, we omit from our formal summary a few printers' faults which have no significance (III. ii. I77, Kin for King; ini. iii. 120 , Gentlem for Gentleman ; 1. i. 147, Tpon for Vpon; 1. iii. 1, Kerford for Hereford ; 1. iii. 38, Morfolk for Norfolk; I. iii. II2, befend for defend; III. ii. 169 , lettle for little). Relieved of these the record stands $:{ }^{2}$

Letter omitted (I)
v. ii. 39 reads subiect for subiects corrected by F.

1 The line is omitted in the Folio.
${ }^{2}$ The 'Deposition Scene', as it does not occur in the first three Quartos, is excluded from our reckoning.

Letters added (3)

| inI. iii. 19 | reads | willes | for |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| will | will | corrected by |  |
| III. |  |  |  |
| Iii. I60 | F. |  |  |
| IV. i. 93 | weepeft | weepft | F. |
| Iefus | Iefu | F. |  |

Letters substituted (4)
3. iii. 167 reads percullift for portcullift

| v. i. 63 | will | wilt | corrected by F. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| v. ii. 46 | art | are | F. |
| v. iv. i | works | words | F. |

Words omitted (3)

Word added ( I )

1. i. 82 reads aliue, aliue for aliue corrected by F.

Words substituted (6)

| I. iii. 215 | reads breach | for breath | corrected by D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| iI. ii. 57 | reuolting | reuolted | . |
| II. ii. 69 | couetous | coufening | F. |
| III. iii. 12 | fhould | Would | F. |
| iII. iii. 168 | their | there | F. |

We come now to the edition of 1615 , the last of the Quartos which preceded the Folio of 1623. After eliminating a few misplaced letters which make only nonsense (v. v. 26, refnuge for refuge ; 1. i. 192, baee for bafe; i. iv. I, Humerle for Aumerle; ini. ii. 32, pooferred for profered ; v. iii. 56, nos for not), we find a record about as good as that of $W$.

Letters omitted (3)

| iii. 301 | reads Giue | for Giues | corrected by F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II. i. 195 | right | rights | F. |
| Iv. i. 329 | intent | intents | F. |

1 The Folio omits the line.

Letters added (3)
I. i. 67
iII. iii. 46 $\underset{\text { be drencht }}{\text { reads meant }} \begin{gathered}\text { be drench }\end{gathered} \quad$ corrected by F .
v. iii. 58 thee heart the heart F.

Letters substituted (6)
т. iii. 29 reads formerly for formally

| III. iii. 135 | you | yon | corrected by F. (yond) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| III. iv. 64 | line | fiue | F. |
| II. i. 145 | yon | you | F. |
| IV. i. 80 | heare | heard | F. |
| v. v. 45 | care | eare | F. |


| Words omitted (3) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III. iii. 98 | reads of | for of her | corrected by F. |
| v. i. 43 | good | good night | $\stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{F}}$. |
| v. vi. 3 | town | town of |  |

Words added (3)

1. iii. I26 reads hath beene for hath corrected by F.
II. ii. 136 will will I will I F.
iII. ii. 56
can cannot can
F。
Words substituted (20)

| I. i. 149 | reads your for | his | F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. i. 179 | and | or |  |
| 1. iii. 60 | gorgde | gored |  |
| I. iii. 94 | youth | mouth | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |
| I. iii. 215 | one | a | $\stackrel{\text { F. }}{ }$ |
| II. i. 60 | and | or | F. |
| II. i. $113{ }^{1}$ | nor | not |  |
| II. iii. 22 | whensoeuer | whencesoeuer | F. |
| II. iii. 56 | eftimation | eftimate | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {c }}$ |
| III. i. I3 | profeffion | pofferfion | F. |
| III. ii. 19 | my | thy | ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |
| III. ii. 107 | fhowers (W loue's) | fhore | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{\text { F }}$ |
| ini. ii. 135 | Ioue's (W loue's) |  | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{F}}$. |
| III. iii. 74 | the | thy | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{\text { F }}$ |

1 The Folio omits the line.

# Correction of Quarto Errors 

| v. v. 52 | reads there | for their | corrected by F. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F. 52 |  |  |  |
| v. 62 | hath | haue |  |
| v. v. 70 | euer | neuer |  |
| v. v. 99 | wer't | art |  |
| v. v. 106 | thine | thy |  |

Tables are dull reading, and I fear that those I have already offered will have been too much for most of my readers, but here is one more, a very short one, for which I ask attention. It summarizes the last column in the foregoing ones and shows how many of the errors introduced in each of the Quartos were corrected in the successive editions up to and including the First Folio.

New Errors
A. 69
B. 123
W. 35
C. 18
D. 38

First Corrected by
B. W. C. D.

14
$\begin{array}{ll}8 \frac{1}{2} & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ & 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rrr} & 24 \frac{1}{2} & 20 \\ 2 & 58 & 59 \\ & 15 & 18 \\ 1 & 14 & 1 \\ & 33 & 4\end{array}$

The most remarkable feature of this table is its tribute to the editorial work of the First Folio. As regards C and $D$, the editions of 1608 and 1615 , the success with which F intercepts in the one case all but one, in the other all but four, of the new errors in the lines it prints, seemed to me so extraordinary that I have been sorely tempted to offer another explanation. It will be remembered that before the discovery of Mr. White's unique edition 33 out of the 35 new errors which it introduced were necessarily debited to C , the edition of 1608 , which repeated them. As no fewer than 18 of these errors appear also in the First Folio, on the evidence available there was no escape from the conclusion that F must have been derived from $C$ either directly, or through $D$. As between C and D there was sufficient evidence to assign
the honour of having been used as 'copy' for the First Folio to D. In the preface to vol. iv of the Cambridge Shakespeare we are told of Richard II, 'the play, as given in the First Folio, was no doubt printed from a copy of Q 4', i.e. from the edition of 1615 , which has now become the Fifth Quarto, and to which we give the symbol D. In the introduction to the Facsimile of the First Quarto, Mr. P. A. Daniel adduced what he considered 'sufficient proof that the Folio version got to press through the medium of Q4'. With the discovery of W the problem is materially changed and the unusually complete success with which the First Folio succeeded in evading the new errors introduced by C and D would be very prettily explained if it could be shown that it was in fact set up from an edition in which these errors do not occur, to wit that which is here reproduced.

The chief evidence on which, before the discovery of W , the Folio text was held to be based on D rather than C was the recurrence in the Folio of the misspelling 'formerly' for 'formally' in I. iii. 29, and of the three variations 'euer' for 'neuer', 'wer't' for 'art', and ' thine' for 'thy' which come close together in v. v. 70 , 99, and 106. 'Formerly' for 'formally' is neatly balanced by the recurrence in the Folio of W's 'vnpruind' for ' vnprund' in ini. iv. 45 ('Her fruit trees all vnpruind, her hedges ruind ') after it had been corrected in $C$ and $D$, the one being a common misspelling and the other possibly suggested twice over by 'ruind' at the end of the line. The three variants of $D$ repeated in the Folio in v. v. come so suspiciously close together, that we may be reminded that the Fifth Quarto of Ricbard $I I I$ is said to have been printed from copy made up from the Fourth and Fifth, and be tempted to suppose the Folio set up from a copy of $W$ with the
last two leaves supplied from D. But the Folio follows D in reproducing in r. iii. 167 the curious misspelling ${ }^{1}$ 'percullift' for 'portcullift', which occurs in C but not in Mr. White's copy of W, and though, with the variants in different copies of $A$ before us, it cannot be denied that 'percullift' may have occurred in the copy of W followed by C, though not in Mr. White's, an hypothesis which makes such large assumptions is worthless. Despite 'vnpruind' and the suspiciously complete success with which the Folio eliminates the errors of C and $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{I}$ am not prepared to challenge the derivation of the Folio from the Quarto of 1615 , though the case on the other side is only a little less strong.

The second point which emerges from our tables is the extreme and pre-eminent badness of $B$, the Second Quarto, which according to the judgement of the Cambridge editors introduces no fewer than 123 new errors, and only corrects 14 out of some 69 already made, leaving 55 to stand. It is rather a lucky chance that the faultiest of the later Quartos is the second, since it is to
${ }^{1}$ Mr. Daniel (Preface to Facsimile of Devonshire Quarto, p. xv) adduces also the spellings 'Britaine' in II. i. 278 and 'Impresse' in IIt. i. 25, where the First Quarto has 'Brittaine' and 'impreese'; also 'more then' in II. iii. 92 where B W C have 'more than' and the First Quarto 'then more'. Some coincidences of this kind are certain to occur between any two editions, and these do not count for much. On the other hand, C and D in one place (r. i. 32) have the spelling ' appeallant' which (with omission of the second 1) is normal in the Folio, and D anticipates the Folio spelling 'kindred ' for the 'kinred' of the earlier quartos. But even if working from a text of 1598 a compositor in 1623 would naturally sometimes abandon the spelling of his copy for later forms already in use in 1655. The whole problem is made curiously difficult by the closeness with which $C$ follows $W$ save in emphasis capitals, punctuation, and a few spellings, and the fact that in these matters $D$ frequently reverts to W. On the other hand, the Folio has its own style and introduces some small difference in almost every line.
be feared that there has been an inclination to regard a 'second quarto' as only a little less important than a first, and thus the whole question of the value of the readings in these early Quartos, other than the first, is brought before us. As to this it would seem but common sense to make the textual value of any edition depend on the quality of the source from which it is derived, and the accuracy with which the readings of this source are reproduced. The source from which A was derived was plainly a manuscript text of the play, either Shakespeare's autograph, or some copy, at first or second hand, from this. Equally clearly, since it repeats some 55 of its blunders, the source from which B was derived was A, and we can therefore have no reason whatever for preferring any reading in B to any reading in A , unless either it is self-evidently right, or else there is some ground for supposing that the printer of $B$ had access for occasional consultation, either to the manuscript used by A, or else to some other independent source. Is there, as a fact, any ground for supposing this?

Of the fourteen readings of $B$ accepted by the Cambridge seven may fairly be considered self-evidently right. These are 'traitor' for 'taitour' in I. i. 102; 'it' for 'is' in r. ii. 58 (' griefe boundeth where is fals ') ; 'brother' for 'brothers' in II. i. I24 ('Oh fpare me not my brothers Edwards fonne'), any inclination to admit the double genitive as a grammatical eccentricity being discredited by the press-corrector's treatment of the similar phrase, 'butchers Mowbraies breaft' in I. ii. 48 (see supra, p. 36, and note) ; 'though ' for the first ' thought' in II. ii. 31 ('As thought on thinking on no thought I thinke'); 'cameft' or 'camft' for 'canft' in ini. iv. 80 (' how canft thou by this ill tidings ?'); 'their' for 'there' in v.ii. 98 ('And interchaungeably fet downe there hands');
'I may' for ' May' in v. iii. 36 ('Then giue me leaue that May turne the key '). If any one cares to argue for the double genitive in II. i. I24 it may be shunted into my second class with no harm to my argument. The other six are indubitably self-evidently right, and this justifies their introduction into the text, without inquiry as to the authority on which they are based.

Contrast with these the remaining seven, for which as conjectures in some cases much, in others a good deal less, may be said ; but for which it can hardly be claimed that they carry their own proof with them. The first of the seven substitutes 'Brittanie' for 'Brittaine' in the lines II. i. 277 sqq. printed in A as

Then thus, I haue from le Port Blan
A Bay in Brittaine receiude intelligence,
The change enables the Cambridge editors to rearrange the lines as

Then thus: I have from le Port Blanc, a bay In Brittany, received intelligence.
But when 'Brittaine' recurs in 1. 285 they print it as 'Bretagne', and the change to 'Brittanie' was rejected by the Quarto of 1615 and every subsequent seventeenthcentury edition. Whether an improvement or not, it can thus hardly be accepted as self-evidently right, nor is it a reading for which it is easy to suppose recourse being made to any independent authority.

In ir. iii. 123 sqq . the First Quarto reads
If that my coufin King be King in England,
It muft be granted I am duke of Lancafter:
Here B reads 'King of England', which leaves us puzzled as to how so common a phrase should have been abandoned by the printer of A for a much less usual one.

In II. iii. 158 ,
But fince I cannot, be it knowen vnto you, B substitutes 'to you' for 'vnto you', which seems to the present writer a needless tinkering of a quite inoffensive line.

In iif. iv. 29 sqq.
Go bind thou vp yong dangling Aphricokes, Which like vnruly children make their fire, Stoope with opprefsion of their prodigall weight. Giue fome fupportance to the bending twigs.
B reads 'yon' for 'yong', and probably from the idea that apricots weigh heavy when they are ripe rather than when young, the Cambridge editors accept the reading. But surely the picture of the new shoots, as yet only twigs, borne down by the weight of the young green fruit, is vivid enough to stand, and it is the word 'yong' that suggested the comparison of the fruit to 'vnruly children' in the next line. If 'yon' be thought better, it can hardly be called self-evidently right.

In v. i. 3I-4 the Cambridge editors admit two corrections by B. The Queen is reproaching her husband for his patience. 'The Lyon,' she tells him,

The Lyon dying thrufteth foorth his pawe, And woundes the earth if nothing elfe with rage, To be ore-powr'd, and wilt thou pupill-like Take the correction, mildly kiffe the rod, And fawne on Rage with bafe humilitie, Which art a Lion and the king of beafts.
In line $32, \mathrm{~B}$ reads ' thy ' for ' the', and thus originated the frequent misquotation of the line as a taunting imperative: 'Take thy correction mildly, kiss the rod.' The transference of the comma from before to after ' mildly' originated with the First Folio, and though also accepted by the Cambridge editors, needlessly aug-

# Doubtful Readings 

ments the idea fully conveyed in 'pupill-like'. Quoted as an imperative in a single line the rhythm is improved by the substitution of 'thy' for 'the'. But we must read the unabridged text
and wilt thou púpill-like
Take the correction, mildly kisse the rod...
and both the changes accepted by the Cambridge editors are open to grave objection.

In the last line $B$ reads ' a king of beafts' instead of 'the king of beafts', and this may seem to be supported by Richard's reply, which begins
a King of beafts indeed ; if aught but beafts,
I had been ftill a happie King of men . . .
Frankly, B's reading is an improvement, but if it had been proposed by an eighteenth-century editor it would hardly have won acceptance, and can we be in the least sure that the better phrase was what Shakespeare wrote?

The last of B 's readings accepted by the Cambridge editors is a syncopated spelling 'knowft' for 'knoweft' in a line (v. i. 62) which had to wait until Rowe's edition for a necessary emendation. The full passage reads in A,

> Northumberland, thou ladder wherewithall
> The mounting Bullingbrooke afcends my throne,
> The time fhall not be many houres of age
> More than it is, ere foule finne gathering head
> Shall breake into corruption, thou fhalt thinke,
> Though he diuide the realme and giue thee halfe,
> It is too little helping him to all.
> He fhall thinke that thou which knoweft the way
> To plant vnrightfull kings, wilt know againe,
> Being nere fo little vrgde another way,
> To plucke him headlong from the vfurped throne:

## Doubtful Readings

Rowe saw that both the flow of the sentence and the rhythm of line 62 would be improved by reading

## And he shall think that thou which know'ft the way,

and those who dislike the intrusion of an unaccented extra syllable will prefer 'knowft' to 'knoweft'. To those who hold this view the change suggests itself ; to those who delight in a free rhythm, it seems needless. Neither the one, nor the other, with examples of many such tinkerings before them, can imagine the printers of $B$ consulting a manuscript for authority to change 'knoweft' to 'knowft', when they were omitting the initial 'And ', which affects the line much more vitally.

It will be understood, I hope, that I have no wish to force my own opinion of these readings on my readers. I am only pointing out that on the one hand they are not self-evidently right, as the substitution of 'it fals' for 'is fals' is self-evidently right, and on the other hand it would be quite superfluous to postulate a new manuscript authority to account for their adoption by B. We cannot separate them from the other readings in that edition, $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ of which the Cambridge editors reject, a treatment much too sweeping if independent manuscript authority could be claimed for any single one of the new readings. Hence we are justified in concluding that, despite its having been printed within a few months of the first edition, no new reading in $B$ can be received except on the footing of an emendation, entitled to no greater consideration than would be extended to a conjecture by Rowe, or Pope.

When we turn to Mr. White's Quarto we find that it contains eight new corrections of mistakes, so regarded by the Cambridge editors, and the best part of a ninth, and that all, or perhaps all but one, of these carry their own evidence with them. Thus in II. i. 257 , where A reads
${ }^{6}$ The King growen banckrout like a broken man', W adds 's (i. e. 'is') to 'King', and thus gives the sentence its needed verb. On the other hand in II. iii. 36, where Northumberland is made to ask Percy, ${ }^{6}$ Haue you forgot the Duke of Herefords boy?', W knocks out the superfluous 's', and thus converts 'boy' into a vocative, and the Duke himself into the object of the question. In III. iii. 52 comes the doubtful phrase, 'this Castels tottered battlements,' where $W$ substitutes 'tattered'. The emendation gives an easier reading, and yet if Rowe had proposed it instead of the printer of an early Quarto (hitherto supposed to be C, through which it made its way into the First Folio), I think we should have had ' tottered ' explained as equivalent to 'made to totter', and considered a pleasing Shakespearian use. Later on in the scene (III. iii. II9) comes the half correction. Northumberland is vouching for Bolingbroke, and the First Quarto makes him say 'This fweares he, as he is princeffe iuft'. W, being quite sure of the sex of Bolingbroke and of the gender of 'princeffe', substituted 'a Prince', leaving it to the First Folio to add the necessary ' is' ('This fweares he, as he is a Prince, is iuft), which had previously been represented by the feminine termination of 'princeffe'.

We may note in passing that this example is another instance in this play of a misprint possibly due to a mistake in hearing (' princeffe' for 'prince is'), and that the fact that the printer of $W$ was only able to make half the correction instead of completing it, is tolerable proof that he had no higher authority at his elbow.

The remaining five corrections made by $W$ are all obvious, one of them being a mere matter of a convention in spelling which had not become hard and fast when the Quartos were printed.

In iv. i. 19-22, Aumerle, when Bagot accuses him, exclaims (in A)

Princes and noble Lords,
What anfwer fhall I make to this bafe man?
Shall I fo much difhonour my faire ftarres
On equall termes to giue them chafticement ?
The printer of W, perceiving that Aumerle could hardly propose to chastise the stars on a footing of equality, substituted a clearer reference to Bagot by changing 'them' to 'him'.

In lines $74-77$ of the same scene another fighting gentleman exclaims:

I dare meet Surry in a wildernes,
And fpit opon him whilft I fay, he lies, And lies, and lies: there is bond of faith, To tie thee to my frong correction.
The third of the four lines (76) halts, and W helps it by inserting ' my ' before 'bond'. The emendation is somewhat less than self-evidently right, because either 'the', which B supplied, or ' $a$ ' would be as good or better. But one of the three must needs be supplied.

In v. i. 37 Richard in A addresses his 'fometimes Queene', and the Cambridge editors follow W (as copied by C) in substituting the more modern form 'sometime'. To a modernizer the correction is self-evidently right, but as the Cambridge editors print 'thy sometimes brother's wife' in I. ii. 54 , and 'my sometimes royal master's face' in v. v. 75, their change here is either ill-considered or based on a theory that the occurrence of the later form in a third Quarto (they took it from the 4th, which till now ranked as the 3 rd) justified its introduction into the text in this instance, while its non-occurrence in a Quarto in the other two lines obliged them to reject it. Such a theory could only be maintained if it could be proved
that the printers of $W$ had access to a manuscript text, and surely neither this nor any other of the readings of $W$ which we are examining justifies such an assumption.

In v. iii. 75, when Bolingbroke hears the Duchess of York clamouring outside the door, he exclaims in A: 'What fhril voice fuppliant makes this eger crie?' W emends ' fhril voice' to ' fhrill voic'd', and we need not hesitate to accept the change as self-evidently right.

Lastly, in v. v. 27, Richard in A says of the stocks, ' many haue, and others must fet there', and W corrects 'fet' to 'fit'. The two words seem to have been vulgarly confused in Shakespeare's time, as 'lie' and 'lay' are at present. (In I. ii. 47 the First Quarto again reads ' fet' where the Cambridge editors accept 'fit', not quite so certainly as here, as the true reading.) The correction is thus little more than a matter of spelling, and brings the emendations in $W$ to rather a tame close.

Those in C, the Quarto of 1608 , always excepting the addition of the so-called Deposition Scene, are only two in number, ' night ' for ' nightes' in m. iii. 222 ('Shall be extint with age and endleffe nightes'), and 'a moate' for 'moate' in ii. i. 48 (' Or as moate defenfrue to a houfe '). Both these carry their own proof. In D, the Quarto of 1615 , there is not a single correction of a blunder of A's, though some forty-five of those noted as such by the Cambridge editors had as yet passed untinkered.

This closes our review of the history of the text of the First Quarto as successively reprinted in the four later Quartos issued before 1623. Of the original 69 errors, or what the Cambridge editors account as such, in this First Quarto, only 25 had been corrected, and no fewer than 214 new errors had been introduced, of which only nine were stopped on the way. We argue from this that the intermediate Quartos, and more especially the

Second (B), have no authority entitling them to correct the First unless the corrections are self-evidently right, and therefore submit that some eight or nine of the corrections embodied in the Cambridge text should not have been accepted, but that the text of the First Quarto should have been allowed to stand, and the total of its misdoings reduced accordingly. We have still to apply the same process to the text of the First Folio, inquiring whence it was derived and what probability there is that any additional authority was available for its preparation. But before passing on to this we have first to consider the problems raised by the additional 166 lines introduced in 1608 into the single scene which forms Act IV, and also the changes introduced in the later Quartos in punctuation and initial capitals.

Copies of the Quarto of 1608 are found bearing two different title-pages. The earlier of these (the order is self-evident) reads :
THE | Tragedie of King | Richard the fecond. | As it hath been publikely acted by the Right | Honourable the Lord Chamberlaine | his feruantes. | By William Sbake-ßeare. [White's device.] LONDON, | Printed by W. W. for Matbew Law, and are to be | fold at his fhop in Paules Church-yard, at | the figne of the Foxe. | 1608.

## The later :

THE | Tragedie of King | Richard the Second: | With new additions of the Parlia- | ment Sceane, and the depofing | of King Richard. | As it hath been lately acted by the Kinges Majesties feruantes, at the Globe. |By William Sbake-peare [White's device.] AT LONDON, Printed by W. W. for Mathew Law, and are to | be fold at his fhop in Paules Churchyard, | at the figne of the Foxe. | 1608.

Desire to advertise the 'new additions' was presumably one reason for the substitution of a new title
for that which followed the wording of the earlier Quartos. Perhaps equal weight was attached to informing book-buyers with short memories that the Lord Chamberlain's servants of the previous reign were now entitled to call themselves the King's Majesty's Servants, the play being thus invested with a semblance of royal sanction. Attention was also drawn to the fact that the play, known to be an old one, still held the stage, and no second-rate stage either, but that of the famous Globe Theatre. As to the 'new additions' themselves, specified as consisting ' of the Parliament Sceane, and the depofing of King Richard', most students who have read the text will, I think, agree that what is represented is not a deposing, but an abdication, and that it is very difficult indeed to conceive of any such scene having taken place in Parliament, i.e. in the House of Peers. Dramatically also, we are tempted to submit, the incident is not a success. The business with the looking-glass and the desired boon which turns into a request to be allowed to go away, if they move pity, also lessen respect, and with the parting with the Queen and the soliloquy at Pomfret Castle still to come, the Lord Chamberlain's servants in 1597 may not impossibly have thought that there was a danger of 'too much Richard' and cut the lines out in the acting version from which the First Quarto was printed. It may be heretical to suggest this (I do not claim to be the first heretic, if so), but some such considerations seem to me likely to have reinforced any fear that the passage might be viewed unfavourably at Court after the Pope had issued his Bull in 1596 declaring Elizabeth deposed. ${ }^{1}$ By 1608, however, Shakespeare had

[^4]become a much more important person than in 1597 , when it was apparently not thought worth while to print his name on a title-page. When, therefore, the play was revived, the omitted 166 lines were restored to their place, as 'new additions', and Mathew Lawe succeeded in incorporating them into his reprint. He may have done this either by buying a copy of the new lines from the Globe Company, or by suborning some one employed in the theatre to make a surreptitious copy, or by persuading some one employed in the theatre or sent to it for the purpose to take down the lines in shorthand, or to learn them by heart and dictate them to the printers. The text has too many omissions and too many mistakes in line arrangement to allow us to believe that it was obtained for cash from the King's servants as a body, or transcribed from an acting copy of the part, and the same reasons, with the added improbability of any one high in the hierarchy of the Globe playing traitor for the sake of the small sum Mathew Lawe would be likely to pay for an addition to a sixpenny play, forbids us to identify the 'some one employed in the theatre' with the actor who took Richard's part. The 'additions' may thus have been obtained from some subordinate person employed about the theatre, but were more probably procured by means of shorthand writers specially sent there for the purpose, the subsequent complaints of Heywood informing us of two such thefts 'by stenography ' about this time.

As regards punctuation there is a double tale to tell. In the set speeches the punctuation of the First Quarto, if we remember that it is dramatic and not grammatical, will be found sufficiently complete and intelligent to entitle us to believe that Shakespeare punctuated these portions of his own manuscript with some care, and that the Quarto reproduces this punctuation with very much
the same substantial fidelity that it reproduces the words of the text. Two examples of colons entirely supergrammatical, but very effective in the emphasis which the pauses they denote lend to the words which follow, may be cited as evidence of the essentially dramatic character of the punctuation.

In the Cambridge edition lines 1. i. 92-100 are thus printed :

Besides I say and will in battle prove, Or here or elsewhere to the furthest verge That ever was survey'd by English eye, That all the treasons for these eighteen years Complotted and contrived in this land Fetch from false Mowbray their first head and spring. Further I say, and further will maintain Upon his bad life to make all this good, That he did plot the Duke of Gloucester's death . . .
Plump at the end of 1.96 , separating 'treasons' from its verb, the Quarto inserts a colon, and the line ' Fetch from false Mowbray their first head and spring' comes rushing out after the pause with doubled effect. And at the end of this line, shade of Lindley Murray! there is no full stop-only a comma; for Bolingbroke will not give Mowbray a chance to interrupt him, but dashes on with his second accusation, with only an imperceptible pause. In the earlier lines, on the other hand, when he is preparing the way for his rush, Bolingbroke's measured tones are marked by two stops which the Cambridge editors omit, a comma after 'say ' in 1.92 , and another after 'here' in the next line. Grammatically a comma after 'here' should entail another after 'elsewhere', but dramatic punctuation sets no store on pairing its commas and usually omits either one or the other.

Our second instance of a colon emphasizing the words that follow it is from Richard's announcement (II. i.

159-62) of his intention to seize John of Gaunt's goods to pay for the Irish war. This is printed in the First Quarto:

And for thefe great affaires do aske fome charge,
Towards our afsiftance we doe feaze to vs:
The plate, coine, reuenewes, and moueables
Wherof our Vnckle Gaunt did ftand poffeft.
York's speech of protest against this confiscation ends with a full stop which is quite misleading when reproduced in a modern edition. We have, indeed, no means now of conveying its exact effect. A full stop with us means the end of a sentence. A full stop in a play of Shakespeare's means a pause of a certain length without anv necessary grammatical implication. York has been speaking for some twenty lines and has begun a comparison between the Black Prince and Richard, his unworthy son. Then come our lines (ri. i. 184 sqq.) : Oh Richard: Yorke is too far gone with griefe, Or elfe he neuer would compare betweene. King. Why Vnckle whats the matter ?
The sentence is not finished, for York breaks down, and the King, who has been paying no attention to him whatever, but has been walking round the room appraising the value of its contents, at the sound of his sob turns. round, and with his usual superficial good nature, exclaims, 'Why Vncle whats the matter ?' A dash after 'betweene' would show that York's sentence is unfinished, but a dash gives us no indication of the length of the pause, whereas by using supergrammatical stops, or no stop at all, Elizabethan punctuation can tell us all about it.

Full stops are very sparely used within a speech, because the pause they denoted was long enough to give the other man a chance to interrupt, and to avoid an impression of tameness on his part colons were preferred. In the opening speeches of Bolingbroke and Mowbray
full stops are only used as the speakers turn from addressing the King to denouncing each other. In Bolingbroke's second speech one comes (i. i. 72) at the end of the four lines during which he throws down his gage. He pauses for Mowbray to take it up; but Mowbray does not, and Bolingbroke continues contemptuously,

If guilty dread have left thee fo much ftrength, As to take vp mine honours pawn, then ftowpe, and hurries on :

By that, and all the rites of Knighthoode elfe,
Will I make good againft thee arme to arme,
What I have fpoke, or thou canft worfe deuife.
The next speech of Bolingbroke's has no internal full stop. That of Mowbray (I. i. 124-5I) has two ; the first after his exclamation 'Now fwallow downe that lie'; the second, when he turns from John of Gaunt to the King.

In the next scene the only internal full stops in a speech come in the long harangue of the Duchess of Gloucester. The first of them (at 1. 2I) tells us, I think, that she breaks down; the second preludes her final appeal:

> What fhall I faie ? to fafegard thine own life, The beft way is to venge my Glocefters death.

In the long third scene, comprising over three hundred lines, the first internal full stop comes at 1 . 68, when Bolingbroke turns to address his father; the second at 1. I22, dividing what are really two separate speeches by the King, before and after the flourish of trumpets; the third, at 1.270 , preludes a question; the fourth, in Gaunt's farewell to his son (1.280), once more, I think, suggests a struggle with emotion, which would lend added. point to the words which follow :

> Woe doth the heauier fit,
> Where it perceiues it is but faintly borne.

In Scene iv one internal full stop (1.22) marks a pause full of meaning after Richard's words as to Bolingbroke : He is our Coofens Coofin, but tis doubt, When time fhall call him home from banifhment, Whether our kinfman come to fee his friends.
The only other one (1.60) again comes at the close of a sinister sentence:

Now put it (God) in the Phyfitions mind,
To help him to his grave immediatly:
The lining of his coffers fhall make coates
To decke our fouldiers for thefe Irifh warres.
After which Richard turns to his favourites and bids them

Come gentlemen, lets all go vifite him, Pray God we may make hafte and come too late.
It would be wearisome to continue this examination through the other four acts of the play, but I think it will be found that what I have called an internal full stop in a speech has almost always some special dramatic significance, which in a modern play would be expressed by a stage direction.

The full stop being used thus sparingly, except at the end of a speech, and the semi-colon appearing but seldom, the work of internal punctuation falls almost entirely on the colon and the comma.

Instances have already been given of the need, where a colon is used when we should expect a lighter stop, of looking out for the reason. In another passage (III. ii. 4-II) we find it used, together with the unusual semicolon, in a way explained in the last of the lines here quoted. Richard is returned from Ireland and Aumerle asks him

How brookes your Grace the ayre After your late tofsing on the breaking feas ?

The King answers :
Needes muft I like it well, I weepe for ioy,
To ftand vpon my kingdome once againe :
Deere earth I do falute thee with my hand,
Though rebels wound thee with their horfes hoofes:
As a long parted mother with her childe
Playes fondly with her teares and fmiles in meeting;
So weeping, fmiling greete I thee my earth,
And do thee fauours with my royall hands;
Clearly he has sat down on a bank, and between these unrhymed couplets is caressing the earth. As a rule he is a rapid speaker, seldom needing a heavier stop than a comma, and the contrast to his usual style which we find in one speech (III. iii. I42, \&c.) is very marked:

What muft the King do now? muft he fubmit?
The King fhall do it : mult he be depofde ?
The king fhall be contented : muft he loofe
The name of King? a Gods name let it go:
Ile giue my iewels for a fet of Beades:
My gorgeous pallace for a hermitage :
My gay apparel for an almesmans gowne :
My figurde goblets for a difh of wood:
My fcepter for a Palmers walking ftaffe:
My fubiects for a paire of carued Saintes,
And my large kingdome for a little graue,
A little little graue, an obfcure graue,
Or Ile be buried in the Kings hie way,
Some way of common trade, where fubjects feete
May hourely trample on their foueraignes head;
For on my heart they treade now whilft I liue :
And buried once, why not vpon my head ?
It is impossible to believe that these definite instructions for the time at which these lines are to be taken, instructions which, if carried out, enhance so greatly the beauty of the passage, can have proceeded from any one but Shakespeare himself. The colourless punctuation
substituted for it in modern editions is a crime, only to be explained, like most crimes, by the fact that the editors did not know what they were doing.

Commas, when they seem to us superfluous, are usually rhythmical. Scores of them are put at the end of lines, merely to warn the actor that he must not run it hastily into the next, and the signals might well receive attention from many modern speakers of Shakespeare's verse, who struggle mechanically to get away from the line-endings. Where a comma takes the place of a heavier stop, it usually only means that the passage is to be taken lightly. Here and there it may have dramatic significance. Thus (I. iii. 97-9 ${ }^{1}$ ) when Richard bids farewell to Mowbray and orders the combat to begin, as it were in the same breath, there may be a suggestion of insincerity; but my impression is that Shakespeare paid little attention to punctuation except in what may be called the set speeches, and along with obviously careful stopping there is a good deal which is equally obviously careless, and many sins of omission. I am anxious, therefore, not to claim too much.

A detailed examination of the punctuation of the four subsequent Quartos would be tedious, and is fortunately not necessary, as the trend of the changes made can be expressed in general terms. While the punctuation used in ordinary books in Shakespeare's day was not the same as ours, it was much nearer to ours than the dramatic punctuation at examples of which we have just been looking. Even if the First Quarto had been fully punctuated throughout, the later ones would almost certainly have tended to revert to a more normal use of stops, and

> 1 Farewell (my Lord) fecurely I efpie, Vertue with Valour couched in thine eie, Order the trial Martiall, and beginne.
the fact that, except in the set speeches, the First Quarto is patently underpunctuated, offered a further encouragement to tinkering. Both in B and W we find this process at work : missing commas are supplied, and we find more full stops creeping into the set speeches. In C, the Quarto of 1608 , the tendency to heavier punctuation becomes very marked, and along with it we may note a no less marked increase in the emphasis capitals. These in the first three Quartos had been used only sparingly. In A only about a hundred different words are given an initial capital. Many of these have to do with royalty and its appurtenances (King, Queen, Highness, Sovereign, Majesty, Liege, Prince, Realm, Crown, Sceptre, Coronation), titles of honour (Peers, Lords, Duke, Grace, Knighthood, Knight, Ladies, Madam), professions and occupations (Clergy, Attorneys, Physician, Actor, Beggar, Gaoler), mental states lending themselves more or less to personification (Fear, Reverence, Patience, Sorrow, Grief, Envy, Rage, Shame, Cowardice, Despair, Virtue, Valour, Hope), and other ideas which may almost be said to claim capitals in their own right. The most important group of instances for our purpose is that formed by more or less ornamental or rhetorical phrases (furthest Verge, tongueless Caverns of the earth, the Falcon's flight, Author of my blood, Eagle-winged pride, blindfold Death, the Jewels that I love, the setting Sun and Music at the close, a tenement or pelting Farm, a Prophet's eye, redeem from broking Pawn the blemisht Crown, drinking: Oceans dry, a set of Beads, a Palmer's walking staff, a pair of carved Saints, unruly Jades, immaculate and silver Fountain), and the metaphorical use of Lions, Leopards, Spiders, Adder, Serpent, Pelican, Camel. There is hardly any use of capitals for maledictory emphasis, and what may be called haphazard capitals are very rare.

In $B$ and $W$ there is a slight tendency to reduce the capitals in ornamental phrases, and though a few new capitals are introduced there is no general increase on balance. ${ }^{1}$

In C, the Quarto of 1608 , we find a notable increase of emphasis capitals, not consistently maintained, but in the aggregate considerable and in some passages very marked, Thus the lines i. i. 87-9I are printed:

Bol. Looke what I fayd, my life fhall prooue it true, That Mowbray hath receiude eight thousand Nobles, In name of lendinges, for your Highneffe Souldiours: The which he hath detainde for leawd imployments, Like a falfe Traytour, and iniurious Villaine.
The First Quarto (we take no account of proper names) prints 'Lendings', apparently as we might use inverted commas, and 'Highnes', but uses lower case instead of the other four capitals.

Lines I. i. $177-85$ were printed in 1608 as:
The pureft treafure mortall times affoord, Is fpotleffe reputation, that away; ${ }^{2}$
Men are but guilded Loame or painted Clay :
A Iewell in a tenne times bard vp Cheft, Is a bold Spirit in a loyall Breaft.
Mine Honour is my life, both grow in one; Take Honour from me, and my life is done. Then (deare my Leige) mine Honour let me try, In that I liue, and for that will I die.
The First Quarto gives a capital (which C denies) to Reputation and also to Liege ; the other nine it prints in lower case.

1 It is perhaps well to point out that one reason for the moderation may have been that Valentine Sims had only a small stock of upper-case letters to use. This was certainly true in 1597 of his supply of the letter $T$, since we find him using varieties from two other founts.
${ }^{2}$ We are tempted to suppose that the comma and semi-colon in this line have changed places; but the semi-colon may represent a pause before a declamatory line. The First Quarto omits both stops.

Again, take lines ir. iii. 118-28 from Bolingbroke's very clever speech to that exasperating person 'good old Yorke '. In 1608 they were printed:

You are my Father, [f]or me thinkes in you
I fee old Gaunt aliue. Oh then Father,
Will you permit that I fhall ftand condemn'd
A wandering Vagabond, my rights and royalties
Pluckt from my Armes perforce, and giuen away
To vpitart Vnthrifts? wherefore was I borne ?
If that my Coofin King be King of England,
It muft be graunted I am Duke of Lancafter :
You haue a Sonne, Aumerle, my noble Coofin,
Had you firft died, and he been thus trod downe,
He fhould have found his Vnckle Gaunt a father,
To rouze his wronges, and chafe them to the Bay.
Except for proper names in these twelve lines the only capitals in the First Quarto are reserved for the word 'King'. In 1608 no fewer than eleven others were added.

The foregoing examples are selected, not average ones; but the increase in these emphasis capitals and also in the weight of the punctuation in 1608 , if not so great as they suggest, is still real and indisputable. It is perhaps worth mentioning that they are accompanied by a slightly broader spelling. Whether Master White's compositors made these changes out of their own heads or whether by any chance they were playgoers and reproduced in these ways new theatrical fashions, we must not inquire. As for the 1615 Quarto, this follows that of 1608 too closely to need separate examination, but on the whole reduces its capitals. As we shall see, the Folio of 1623 generally adopts the heavier style, and to the Folio we must now turn.

Turning our attention first of all to the Text, we start, as the result of our tables, with ample evidence that the Folio stands on altogether a higher plane than the later

## The First Folio

Quartos. It corrects exactly as many ( $24 \frac{1}{2}$ ) of the mistakes, i. e. the readings rejected as such by the Cambridge editors, of A as all the later Quartos put together, and whereas of the mistakes of $B$ the three later Quartos only set right six, the Folio corrects as many as 58. Of the mistakes of $W$ it corrects 15 ; of those introduced by C and $D$ no fewer than 47 out of 55 , while three others occur in lines which it omits. We have already noted the possibility that its seeming success as regards these last two Quartos may really have been due to its having been set up from W. Even if this were so, however, in the correction in the Folio of so many errors of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and W , we should have evidence of a real effort at revision as opposed to the haphazard corrections of the Quartos. On the other hand the revision as regards the errors of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and W , was very far from being complete. Some 20 mistakes of A were left untouched, 59 of $B$, and 18 of W , so that the old errors of these three Quartos left uncorrected amount to no fewer than 97. As the readings of A which the Cambridge editors reject amount only to 69 we start with the fact that, even if no new errors had been introduced by the printers of the First Folio, its text would still have been considerably more faulty than that of the First Quarto. Just, however, as the printers of the later Quartos had been unable to avoid introducing new mistakes, so the printers of the First Folio were unable to avoid introducing them.

In attempting an estimate of the number of new errors in the First Folio we have to proceed with some caution. If every reading in it which the Cambridge editors reject were to be reckoned as an error, the number would be very large, slightly exceeding the total of the original sins of B. To count all these rejected readings, however, as mistakes would be very unjust to the editors of the Folio,
precisely because they were the editors, not of a single play, but of all the plays of Shakespeare which they could find. As editors of a collected edition they had a right to adopt, and did adopt, a style of their own. How far they were consistent in this respect is a large question with which we are not here concerned. But we can see that in this play of King Richard II they made certain changes which may reasonably be regarded as within an editor's competence, and it is only fair to put these on one side.

One of the changes in the First Folio, the general substitution of the word 'Heaven' for 'God', stands by itself. King James considered the use of the word 'God' on the stage as irreverent, and the substitution of 'Heaven' being imposed on the players by external authority cannot be laid to their charge. Next in frequency to this come instances of letters being elided to mark the way in which the word should be pronounced. As to whether such elisions are good or bad opinions will differ. When I wrote Latin verses in the lower forms of my school I was taught to substitute apostrophes for elided syllables, but the appearance of these in my first copy of verses in the Sixth was treated as a reflection on the composition master's power of seeing how a line should be read. My own belief that 'elided' syllables should almost always be pronounced, but so lightly as not to interfere with the rhythm of the verse, makes me now resent elisions and contractions almost as keenly as he did, ${ }^{1}$ but not to the point of reckoning every indulgence
${ }^{1}$ On the day after I wrote this I took up Brontë Poems, edited by Mr. A. C. Benson, and found this stanza :

I saw her stand in the gallery long,
Watching those little children there
As they were playing the pillars 'mong,
And bounding down the marble stair
with the note to 'playing': 'A monosyllable. Emily Brontë so
in them as a mistake, more especially on the part of actors, to whom such finger-posts to the correct rhythm of a line were, of course, of great use. Thus we may rule out of our list of Folio 'errors' all such readings as ' liv'ft', 'breath'ft' (I. ii. 24), ' Thew'ft' (ib. 3I), ' go'ft', (ib. 45), 'com'ft' (r. iii. 33), ' he 's' (ib. 39), 'flatter'ft' (II. i. 90), 'thou'dft' for 'thou wouldst' (ib. 232), 'th'Exchequer' (II. iii. 65), 'prethee' for ' praythee' (III. ii. 20), 'em' for 'them' (ib. 2II), 'you'l' for ' you will' (III. iv. 90), 'what's' (v. ii. 73), 'is't' (ib. 76), 'she 's' (v. iii. 82), 'o'th' (v. v. 60), ' th' ' (ib. IOI), \&c. ; also ' knoweft' in III. ii. 36, where the Cambridge editors think it necessary to print ' knowft '. Rather than seem to be pressing the case against the First Folio I would also admit 'yond' for ' yon' in II. iii. 53, iII. iii. 26 and iII. iii. 135, as merely a matter of spelling. In the same way ' O ' or ' Oh ' for 'Ah' (II. i. 163, II. ii. 52), 'o' for ' $a$ ' (II. i. 25I) and 'ought' for 'aught' (v. ii. 53), also 'bond 'for ' band' in v. ii. 65 , can none of them be regarded as sins. We may also readily throw in 'mine' for 'my' in I. i. I91 and 'my' for 'mine' in v. ii. 78 in each case before 'honour', and ' thine' for ' thy' in I. iii. 14 and v. iii. 76.

Even when all these allowances are made ${ }^{1}$ the followinglist of readings rejected by the Cambridge editors amounts to just a hundred.
pronounced, it is plain, words like " being ", " doing ", " going"." In opposition to this I submit that 'playing' may be as small a fraction more than a monosyllable as you please, but it must be more; also that the line is perfectly easy to read with this additional fraction and also with the additional fraction involved in reading 'among' for ' 'mong', though I presume this latter form is due to Emily Brontë herself and not to Mr. Benson.
${ }^{1}$ As in the case of the Quartos we must add to them a certain number of negligenda, e.g. 'ueuer' for 'neuer' (土. iii. 183), 'Anmerle' for 'Aumerle' (r. iv. I), \&c.

Letters omitted (I2)

ェ. iii. 69
I. iii. I 86
I. iii. 302

1. iv. 15
II. i. IIo
II. ii. 27
II. ii. 99
II. iii. 6
II. iii. 35
III. iii. 93
III. iii. 202
v. v. 29

ェ. i. 77
I. ii. 8
I. iii. 17
I. iii. 86
I. iii. 128
II. iii. 145
III. iv. 24
III. iv. 69
v. ii. 58
v. ii. 109
reads earthy
or (reconcile)
euer
word
his
weepe
come
our
direction
ope
hand
misfortune
Letters added (IO)
reads fpoken
raigne
comes
Kings (Richards)
fwords
wrongs
comes
doubted
fees
nor
Letters substituted (5)
I. ii. 20
II. i. 109
II. i. II8
III. iii. 66
II. iii. 87
II. iii. 134
III. ii. 55
v. ii. 18
v. v. 17
leafes
vaded
were
chafing
tract
Words omitted (5)
reads nor vncle me for
And
from
one
needles
for earthly.
nor.
neuer.
words.
this.
weepes.
comes.
your. directions.
open.
hands.
misfortunes.
fpoke.
raine.
come.
King.
fword.
wrong.
come.
doubt.
fee.
or.
leaues. faded. wert. chafing. track.
nor vncle me no vacle.
And I. off from.
the one.
fmall needles.

## First Folio Errors

Words tiansposed (7)

1. 2. I37
II. i. 127
II. iii. 29
Iv. i. 9
Iv. i. 112
v. iii. 9
v. v. $5^{8}$

ェ. i. 57

1. 2. 73
1. i. 116
I. i. 157
2. i. 186
I. ii. I
I. ii. 23
I. ii. 43
3. iii. 20
I. iii. 28
I. iii. 55
I. iii. 71
4. iii. $7^{6}$
5. iii. 82
I. iii. 140
I. iii. 198
I. iii. 227
I. iv. 7
6. iv. 28
I. iv. 54
x. iv. 59
II. i. I2
II. i. 27
II. i. $5^{2}$
II. i. 202
II. ii. 3
reads doubly for doubled.
hath haue.
our (kingdoms)
time
down
Gloufters
mettle
to (defence).
his (fucceeding iffue)
placed
iuft
rigor
furnifh
amaz'd
death
this (realm)
fudden
grew
foules
verie
his (phyficians)
is (the clofe)
That
for (their birth)
his (letters patents)
felfe-harming
my.
month.
vp.
Woodftocks.
mettall.
and.
my.
plated.
right.
vigor.
furbifh.
aduerfe.
life.
the.
fullen.
blew.
fmiles.
grieuous.
the.
at.
Then.
by.
the.
did I.
Haft thou.
laft we. once it hath. fourth of that name.
beat... rob.
times : . . houres.
life-harming ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{C}$, and D read 'halfe-harming'.

| II. ii. 126 | reads | impoffible for | vnpoffible. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II. iii. 90 |  | thefe | thofe. |
| II. iii. 125 |  | kinfman | coufin. |
| III. ii. 35 |  | friends | power. |
| III. ii. 43 |  | lightning | light. |
| III. ii. 84 |  | fluggard | coward. |
| III. ii. 102 |  | Loffe(, Decay) | and. |
| III. ii. 139 |  | hand | wound. |
| III. ii. 178 |  | wail their prefent woes | fit and wail their woes. |
| III. ii. 203 |  | faction | party. |
| III. iii. $3^{6}$ |  | vpon | on. |
| III. iii. 91 |  | is | ftands. |
| III. iii. 127 |  | our felfe | our felues. |
| III. iii. 17 l |  | mock | laugh. |
| III. iv. 100 |  | this | thefe. |
| Iv. i. 33 |  | fympathize | fympathy. |
| Iv. i. 145 |  | reare | raife. |
| v. i. 44 |  | fall | tale. |
| v. i. 66 |  | friends | men. |
| v. i. 71 |  | ye | you |
| v. i. 78 |  | Queene | wife. |
| v. ii. 22 |  | Alas | Alac. |
| v. ii. 8 r |  | fonne | Aumerle. |
| v. iii. 14 |  | thofe | there. |
| v. iii. 2 I |  | dayes | yeares. |
| v. iii. $5^{\circ}$ |  | reafon | treafon. |
| v. iii. 63 |  | had | held. ${ }^{1}$ |
| v. iii. 93 |  | kneele | walk. |
| v. iv. 3 |  | Thofe | There. |
| v. v. 13-14 |  | faith . . faith | word. . .word |
| v. v. 33 |  | treafon makes | treafons make |
| v. v. 38 |  | am | be. |
| v. v. 46 |  | heare | check. |
| v. v. 56 |  | that | which. |
| v. vi. 8 |  | Salfbury, Spencer | Oxford, Salifbury. |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{C}$, and D read 'hald'.

If any one will take the trouble to examine these 100 readings rejected by the Cambridge editors he will see that one large group of them are obviously careless blunders, which must certainly be debited to the printers, and another large group petty tinkerings, sometimes giving a slightly easier reading, more often only a harmless alternative, but never one which strongly demands assent.

As examples of blunders we may cite ' doubly' for ' doubled ' (土. i. 57), 'placed' for 'plated' (I. iii. 28), 'earthy' for ' earthly, (r. iii. 69), 'rigor' for 'vigour' (I. iii. 7I), 'furnish' for 'furbish' (I. iii. 76), 'fudden for 'fullen' (r. iii. 227), 'grew' for 'blew' (i. iv. 7), ' foules' for 'fmiles' (I. iv. 28), ' is ' for ' at ' (II. i. I2, ' music at the clofe '), 'chafing' for 'chafing' (iI. i. II8), \&c.

As examples of unimportant alternatives we take ' time' for ' month' in I. i. 157 (' this is no month to bleed '), 'just' for 'right' in I. iii. 55, 'verie' for ' grieuous' in r. iv. 54 (' Olde Iohn of Gaunt is grieuous ficke '), ' his' for ' the 'in I. iv. 59 , ' kinfman' for 'coufin ' in II. iii. I25, 'friends' for 'power' in iII. ii. 35 (' great in fubstance and in power '), 'fluggard' for 'coward' in III. ii. 84 ('Awake thou coward Maiefty thou fleepest'), this being an improvement, ' loffe' for ' and ' in ini. ii. IO2 (' Crie woe, deftruction, ruin and decay '), 'hand ' for 'wound' in III. ii. I 39 ('Haue felt the worft of deathes deftroying wound '), where 'wound' rhymes with 'ground' and 'hand 'would clash with 'hands' in the previous line, 'faction' for 'party' in III. ii. 203, ' is ' for 'ftands, in III. iii. 9I (' for yon me thinkes he ftandes '), ' mock' for ' laugh' in III. iii. I7I, 'reare' for 'raife' in Iv. i, I45, 'fall' for ' tale' in v. i. 44 ('Tell thou the lamentable tale of me'), 'Queene' for 'wife' in v. i. 78, ' fonne' for 'Aumerle 'in v. ii. 8I, 'dayes ' for ' yeares' in v. iii. 2I,
'kneele' for 'walke' in v. iii. 93, 'faith . . . faith' for 'word . . . word' in v. v. I3 seq. (' fet the word it felf Againft the word '), 'am' for 'be' in v. v. 38 , ' heare' for 'check' in v. v. 46 ('To checke time broke in a difordered ftring '), ' that' for ' which' in v. v. 56.

Our first group answers to the printers' errors, 'all of which can be easily and certainly corrected', of Mr. Daniel's Introduction to the Facsimile of the Devonshire Quarto of 1597 (p. xvi) ; our second to his 'varying readings '. Here and there we may hesitate as to how a given reading should be classed. For instance, ' amaz'd' for 'aduerfe' in I. iii. 82 (' Of thy aduerfe pernitious enemy ') looks like a variant. Taking the line by itself it even looks like a good variant, as 'aduerfe' is rather an otiose epithet to apply to 'enemy'. But when we take the full sentence :

> And let thy blowes doubly redoubled Fall like amazing thunder on the caske Of thy aduerfe pernitious enemy
there seems at least a chance that the new reading ' amaz'd' may be merely a printer's error suggested by ${ }^{6}$ amazing' in the line above. The distinction, however, is generally easy to draw. On the other hand there are two variants which seem to stand clearly by themselves as (a) requiring some historical knowledge, and (b) being of a kind which any one who possessed this knowledge would have been almost sure to make if he had the chance. These are the substitution in I. ii. I ('Alas, the parte I had in Woodftockes blood ') of 'Gloufters' for 'Woodftockes', and in v. vi. 8 (' The heads of Oxford, Salisbury, Blunt, and Kent') of 'Salfbury, Spencer', for 'Oxford, Salisbury'. The first of these changes avoids the confusion which might be caused by speaking in this single instance of Thomas of Woodstock as 'Woodstock',
whereas elsewhere in the play he is invariably called by his title as (Duke of) Gloucester. In the second case a positive error is corrected, as Aubrey de Vere, Earl of Oxford, was not involved in the conspiracy in question, whereas 'Spencer', i. e. Thomas Despencer, was.

Mr. Daniel writes ( $p$. xviii) of these two readings :
These clearly are instances of revision, and to be adopted : and as I see no reason for placing the other variations ${ }^{1}$ of the folio text in any other position than that which is occupied by these I conclude that, unless otherwise discredited, all must be accepted, even though the object of the change may not be so apparent, or perhaps in our judgement so beneficial.
Elsewhere (p. xvii) he speaks of these changes as ' made at an early date,' 'when the play was first produced, or at any rate during the process of its settling down into. its position as an acting play', and as therefore being ' probably sanctioned, if not actually made, by the author himself'. He would, therefore, admit all the Folio variants into the text. The Cambridge editors, on the other hand, having an eye only to what Shakespeare wrote, as distinguished from what he may, or may not, have 'sanctioned', reject the greater number of them, including the two corrections just considered. There are, however, some twenty-four instances in which they have accepted readings first found in the Folio, and at these we must now look. Three of them are of the kind which we have treated as merely a matter of editorial practice. These are 'returnft' for 'returneft' (i. iii. 254), ' that's' for 'that is' (II. ii. I29), and 'he's' for ' he is' (Iv. i. 89). 'You owe' for the quite perverse ' y'owe', by which the First Quarto spoils the metre of r. iii. 180 ('Sweare by the duty that y'owe to God ') is a real correction, though one which needs no private ${ }^{1}$ i. e. variations as opposed to printers' errors. A. W. P.
information to account for it. The substitution of 'fit' for 'fet' in r. ii. 47 (' O fet my husbands wronges on Herefords fpeare '), and ' pinnes ' for ' pines' in ini. iv. 26 (' My wretchednes vnto a row of pines ${ }^{3}$ ) may be regarded at pleasure either as matters of spelling or as fairly important corrections. As already noted (p. 6I), the words 'fit' and ' fet' were liable to the same confusion as 'lie' and 'lay' are still, and the superiority of one over the other in this context is not striking. In the other case Pope was deceived by the reading 'pines' and emended the line into ' My wretchedness suits with a row of pines'; but it is probable that 'pines' was only a misspelling for 'pinnes' or 'pins' though a very unhappy one. In I. i. 152 ('Wrath kindled gentleman be ruled by me'), the correction 'gentlemen', and in ii. ii. I6

For Sorrowes eyes glazed with blinding teares Diuides one thing entire to many obiects the substitution of 'eye' for 'eyes' (as an alternative to substituting 'Diuide' for 'Diuides') were dictated by the context. In i. iv. 20 ('He is our Coofens Coofin') the Folio's change of 'Coofens' to Cofin', though accepted by the Cambridge editors, seems not beyond challenge. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Aumerle, who had ridden a little way with the banished Hereford, ostensibly as a mark of sympathy, has just said that if the word ' Farewell ' would have added years to Hereford's exile

He should have had a volume of farewels : But since it would not, he had none of me.
Now Richard, unless badly provoked, is always himself soft of speech, and it would seem quite in keeping with Shakespeare's presentment of him that he should treat Aumerle's outburst somewhat coldly. The Quarto makes him begin his reply:

He is our Coofens Coofin, but tis doubt,
When time fhall call him home from banifhment, Whether our kinfman come to fee his friends.
Aumerle is reminded with a touch of formality that Hereford is his

The one reading of the eleven involving the change of only a single letter, which seems to me at once certain and at the same time hardly to be reckoned as obvious, is the substitution of 'incaged ' for 'inraged ' in II. i. roz. The passage reads in the First Quarto :

A thoufand flatterers fit within thy Crowne, Whofe compaffe is no bigger than thy head, And yet inraged in fo fmall a verge, The wafte is no whit leffer than thy land.
It cannot be said that 'incaged' is an impossiblecorrection for the printer's reader to have evolved out of his inner consciousness and the context, but I do not personally feel justified in assuming that this was how it originated.

Passing from single letters to words we find the First Folio restoring an obviously needed ' my' in i. i. II8 ('Now by [my] fcepters awe I make a vowe'), and a hardly less obvious' then' in I. iii. 172 ('What is thy fentence [then] but speechleffe death ? '), and ' the' in iI. iii. 99 ('Were I but now [the] Lord of fuch hot youth'). In III. iii. I3, by adding 'with you' in antithesis to 'with him' it achieved the restoration to sense and rhythm of three lines which the First Quarto had printed as:

The time hath bin, would you haue beene fo briefe with him.
He would haue bin so briefe to fhorten you,
For taking fo the head your whole heads length.
This in the Folio reads
The time hath beene
Would you haue beene so briefe with him, he would Haue been fo briefe with you, to fhorten you, For taking fo the Head, your whole heads length.
own cousin, and there is an ironical suggestion of regret that in spite of this he may not be secalled. For Richard to call him 'our coufin' and ' our kinfman' in the same breath seems redundant.

Here also it seems fairly arguable, though not certain, that the acumen required is beyond what we have a right to assume in the editor of the Folio, and obliges us to presume some external help.

Two other instances in which the Cambridge editors admit Folio additions are somewhat less convincing. In I. iv. 23 seq.,

Our felfe and Bufhie
Obferued his courtfhip to the common people, the half line is filled out in the Folio so as to read

Our felfe and Buthy: heere Bagot and Greene.
This the Quarto of 1634 emended to
Our felfe, and Bufhy, Bagot here and Greene, which (omitting the comma after 'felfe ') the Cambridge editors accept. I confess this makes on me the same impression as the expansion by a certain Mr. Seymour of York's 'Tut, tut!' 'in ir. iii. 86 into 'Tut, tut, boy : go to!' in order in the same way to eke out a line. I do not believe that Shakespeare wrote either the one or the other. In III. ii. I34 I am again recalcitrant. The First Quarto prints this passage :

Three Iudaffes, each one thrife worfe then Iudas,
Would they make peace ? terrible hel,
Make war vpon their fpotted foules for this.
In the second line the words ' make peace ?' are a cry of rage which can only be adequately rendered by giving to each the time of a whole foot. The next two words are pronounced slowly, and after 'hel' there is a slight pause marked by the dramatic comma, and then the next line follows with a swift rush. Some one, however, as I think, whether actor, editor, or press-corrector, could only see that the middle line was short of two syllables, so supplied these from the opening words of the next,
and made good the loss by adding a pitifully weak word at the end, thus giving the Folio reading :

Would they make peace? terrible Hell make warre
Vpon their fpotted Soules for this Offence.
Despite the large H and S and O this is surely far weaker than the other, and I cannot believe that Shakespeare either wrote it or approved it.

As against these expansions we have in v. ii. 52 a curtailment. The full passage reads in the First Quarto:
rorke. Well, beare you wel in this new fpring of time, Left you be cropt before you come to prime. What newes from Oxford, do thefe iufts \& triumphs hold ?

Aum. For aught I know (my Lord) they do.
rorke. you will be there I know.
Aum. If God preuent not, I purpofe fo.
Torke. What feale is that that hangs without thy bofome? yea, lookft thou pale? let me fee the writing.
It will be noted that York's speech begins with a rhymed couplet rounding off the previous colloquy. Then come a long line and three short ones in which the dramatic tension and with it the dramatic rhythm are completely relaxed, to be resumed at full pressure when next Yorkspeaks. Now the Folio cut down the long line to What newes from Oxford? Hold thofe Iusts \& Triumphs? but leaves the three short lines untouched. The Cambridge editors accept both the curtailment and the refusal to tinker further. Rowe and Pope between them, on the other hand, botched the three short lines into two of the regulation length :

Aum. For aught I know they do.
rork.
You will be there.
Aum. If God prevent me not, I purpose so.
And if the Folio treatment of the long line is accepted,
it is hard to see why Rowe and Pope should not be allowed their way.

We have still to struggle with eight cases of wordsubstitution. Four of these are obvious, viz. ' comft' for 'comes' in I. iii. 33 ('Againft whom comes thou?'), 'the' for ' $a$ ' in II. i. 177 ('Accomplifht with a number of thy howers '), ' too' for ' two' in ini. iv. 34 (' Cut off the heads of two faft growing fpraies '), and ' fhall' for ' ftill' in v. iii. ro6 ('Our knees ftill kneele till to the ground they grow'), though I am not sure that the slight absurdity of this last line as it stands in the Quarto must not be debited to Shakespeare himself. In v. i. 25 the Cambridge editors accept 'ftricken' instead of 'throwne' ('Which our prophane houres heere haue throwne down ') presumably because strict metre requires a disyllable. Otherwise the change is on the same plane as a dozen or more others which they reject. In v. vi. 47 they accept ' that' for 'what' (' Come mourne with me, for what I do lament'), as no doubt Shakespeare did when he was told it was more elegant! Two notable improvements will complete our tale. In IIr. iii. II9 the First Quarto printed: 'This fweares he, as he is princeffe iust.' The new Quarto of 1598 changed 'princeffe' into 'a prince', and the Folio, by inserting ' is ', finally restored the line as 'This fweares he, as he is a Prince, is iuft '. Again, in I. iv. 53, where the Quarto has the stage direction Enter Bu/bie with newes, the Folio, after the direction Enter Bufhy, makes the King continue his speech with the words 'Bufhy, what newes?' I feel bound to acknowledge both these improvements as probably originating elsewhere than in Jaggard's printinghouse.

If we now consider the new readings in the First Folio. as a whole, both those which the Cambridge editors,
accept and those which they reject, as a result of this tedious survey we can divide them into classes, (i) obvious misprints ; (ii) readings which imply no judgement on what Shakespeare wrote, but are only concerned with the (elided or unelided) presentation of it ; (iii) corrections of patent errors in earlier editions; (iv) a number of small changes which seldom affect the general sense of the passage in which they occur; (v) a very few important readings, mostly clearly right, but which, whether right or wrong, we cannot assume to have been arrived at by the editor of the First Folio pondering on the text. Do the readings in these last two classes justify us in considering the text of the First Folio as representing a revision in any sense authoritative of the text printed in the First Quarto, and if so what is the authority on which that revision was based ?

We have so far concerned ourselves almost exclusively with the positive evidence bearing on the question. But there is an at least equally large body of negative evidence which must not be neglected. The principle of Economy forbids us to call in any authority vastly in excess of what can be shown to have been used. We must remember, of course, that the standard of accuracy and care in editing was very low. We must expect many things to have been overlooked which a modern editor would be severely blamed for overlooking; but if at a moment when the Folio editor was obviously exercising care he can be shown not to have consulted an authority which would have solved his difficulty we must be permitted to doubt whether the authority was there for him to consult.

The Folio text of Richard II being set up from a copy of the Quarto of 1615 , the fact already recorded that in over $\mathbf{I} 20$ instances it restores readings of the First Quarto
which had been perverted in 58 cases by errors introduced by $B$, in 15 by $W$, in 16 by $C$, and in 34 by $D$, proves that either a copy of the First Quarto (or of some other text with the same readings in these passages) must have been available for use in Jaggard's printing-house, where the Folio was set up, or else that the copy used of D must already have been corrected to this extent before it reached the printer. The negative evidence against a copy of the First Quarto having been thus available in Jaggard's house is strong. We have first the fact that while some 120 of its readings were restored, about 100 others were left in the state to which the subsequent Quartos had reduced them; and, secondly, we have a little handful of instances (I. i. 77 ; II. ii. 3 ; v. iii. 63 ) where we find the Folio editor wrestling with the bad readings he found in D and botching them as best he could, in a way which forbids us to suppose that he had a copy of the First Quarto at his elbow all the time. I. i. 77 reads in the First Quarto 'What I haue fpoke, or thou canft worfe deuife'. B spoilt it by omitting 'worfe'; W mended it by repeating 'what' before 'thou', and the Folio editor varied the botching by omitting this second 'what' and changing 'fpoke' to 'fpoken'. In iI. ii. 3, where the First and Second Quartos have the phrase 'life-harming heauines' the Folio reads 'felfe-harming', and this seems to have originated in a gallant attempt to improve on the absurd 'halfeharming' of the three later Quartos. So again, in v. iii. 63 , where the First and Second Quartos read 'held his current' and the Folio 'had his current', this very poor variant is clearly due to puzzlement caused by the three later Quartos spelling ' held ' as 'hald'. It is difficult to believe that these readings would have found their way into the Folio if its editor had had the First Quarto before him.

If we try to get out of this difficulty by supposing that the superior text used in correcting $D$ was not the First Quarto, but one in which the text, though better than D's, was already corrupted, we shall find that, except on the impossible supposition of a large number of bad readings having originated twice over independently, there is no moment at which such a text could have come into existence, having regard to the variety of bad readings originated by each of the later quartos which it would contain. We have also a slight piece of positive evidence that the text used in correcting $D$ was that of the First Quarto, because along with the 120 good readings at least one of its bad readings was restored, the double genitive 'my brothers Edwards fonne' in II. i. 124.

We have thus to suppose a copy of $D$, the Quarto of 1615, brought into Jaggard's printing-house already corrected, though by no means adequately, from a copy of the First Quarto. But we have found reason to admit that the text of his copy of the First Quarto must itself have been already corrected in a handful of places from some other authority, and we are now back at our question: What can this authority have been ?

Two further points of difference between the Quartos and the Folio of 1623 here come to our aid, both of them suggesting that the copy of the First Quarto used in correcting D was a playhouse copy which the Prompter had kept up to date in accordance with the changing practice of the theatre. The first of these, the drastic changes in the stage-directions, needs no labouring. The second, the omission from the First Folio of fifty lines taken from eight different places, which are duly printed in the Quartos, must be briefly examined. Mr. Daniel apparently regarded these omissions solely in the light of
a defect in the Folio ${ }^{1}$ atoned for by its superior version of the 'Deposition' scene. But if we examine these en masse we cannot attribute them (save perhaps in the case of two single lines) to mere carelessness. Some of them are ordinary 'cuts' made to prevent a scene or a speech from dragging; others surmount textual difficulties by the rough and ready method of excision. Their roughness forbids us to believe that they are editorial; they are, in fact, plainly theatrical. I here quote the omitted lines with their contexts, enclosing the actual omissions in brackets :

> I. iii. I29-33.

And for our eies do hate the dire afpect Of ciuill wounds plowd vp with neighbours fword, [And for we thinke the Egle-winged pride Of skie-afpiring and ambitious thoughts, With riuall-hating enuy fet on you To wake our peace, which in our Countries cradle Drawes the fweet infant breath of gentle fleepe] Which fo rouzde vp with boiftrous vntunde drummes, With harfh refounding trumpets dreadfull bray, And grating fhocke of wrathfull yron armes, Might from our quiet confines fright faire Peace, And make vs wade euen in our kinreds bloud: Therefore we banifh you, \&c.
This is surely a passage which Shakespeare can never have read over, or he would not have left the 'Peace' of line 137 to be frighted by the 'peace' of line I32. The omission of the five lines 129-33 leaves the sword which is to plow up civil wounds to be roused up by drums in rather an awkward manner, and it might have been better to sacrifice the following five lines as well. The
${ }^{1}$ His words are (Introduction, p. xviii) 'as a set off against its [the Folio's] fifty lines omissions we have its admittedly best version of the hundred and sixty-six lines of the "additions" which $Q^{0 s} I$ and 2 omit."
obvious difficulty is surmounted, though in so clumsy a manner as to make it incredible that the omission was either made or approved by Shakespeare himself.
I. iii. 239-42.

You vrgde me as a iudge, but I had rather, You would haue bid me argue like a father : [Oh had't beene a ftranger, not my child, To fmooth his fault I fhould haue beene more milde :
A partial flaunder fought I to auoide,
And in the fentence my owne life deftroyed :]
Alas, I lookt when fome of you fhould fay,
I was too ftrict to make mine owne away:
But you gaue leaue to my vnwilling tongue, Againft my will to do my felfe this wrong.
Can any one seriously contend that the passage does not gain dramatically by the omission of the bracketed lines, which add to its length much more than to its effect?
I. iii. 268-93.

Gaun. The fullen paffage of thy weary fteps, Efteeme as foyle wherein thou art to fet,
The pretious Iewell of thy home returne.
[Bul. Nay rather euery tedious ftride I make,
Will but remember me what a deale of world:
I wander from the Iewels that I loue.
Muft I not ferue a long apprentifhood,
To forreine paffages, and in the end,
Hauing my freedome, boaft of nothing elfe,
But that I was a iourneyman to griefe.
Gaun. All places that the eie of heauen vifits
Are to a wife man portes and happie hauens:
Teach thy neceffity to reafon thus,
There is no vertue like neceffity,
Thinke not the King did banifh thee,
But thou the King. Woe doth the heauier fit, Where it perceiues it is but faintly borne:
Go, fay I fent thee foorth to purchafe honour, And not the King exilde thee; or fuppose,

Deuouring peftilence hangs in our aire,
And thou art flying to a frefher clime :
Looke what thy foule holds deare, imagine it
To ly that way thou goeft, not whence thou comf:
Suppofe the finging birds mufitions,
The graffe whereon thou treadft, the prefence ftrowd,
The flowers, faire Ladies, and thy fteps, no more
Then a delightfull meafure or a dance,
For gnarling forrow hath leffe power to bite,
The man that mocks at it , and fets it light.]
Bul. Oh who can hold a fier in his hand,
By thinking on the frosty Caucasus, \&c.
Had these twenty-six lines perished we should have been the poorer, as they are very good rhetoric; but twenty-six lines of good rhetoric at the end of a very long scene may be much less good as drama, and whoever made the cut had a sound dramatic instinct. That the editor of the Folio should have made it on his own motion is in the highest degree unlikely.

$$
\text { ir. ii. } 77 .
$$

Greene. Here comes the Duke of Yorke.
Queene. With fignes of war about his aged necke,
Oh ful of carefull bufines are his lookes !
Vncle, for Gods fake fpeake comfortable wordes.
Korke. [Should I do fo I fhould bely my thoughts,]
Comfort's in heauen, and we are on the earth, \&c.
The omission of the intervening line brings 'comfort's in heauen' in more pointed antithesis to ' comfortable words'.

> inI. ii. 29-32.

Carl. Feare not my Lord, that power that made you king, Hath power to keepe you king in fpight of all,
[The meanes that heauens yeeld must be imbrac't
And not neglected. Elfe heauen would,
And we will not, heauens offer, we refufe,
The profered meanes of fuccors and redreffe.]

Aum. He meanes my Lo: that we are too remiffe, Whilst Bullingbrooke through our fecurity,
Growes ftrong and great in fubftance and in power.
This is an unfortunate omission, as by the removal of the four lines Aumerle is left without any foundation for the meaning which he attributes to the Bishop's speech. But until Pope inserted ' if ' between ' Elfe' and 'heauen' the meaning was very obscure, and the lines seem to have been impatiently struck out because of this. If this was done by the actor it suggests that the omission of ' if' (or such other word as would have mended the sense) may have been a slip in Shakespeare's own draft, otherwise the line should have been mendable from the actor's ' part'.

## iir. ii. 49.

So when this thiefe, this traitor Bullingbrooke, Who all this while hath reueld in the night,
[Whilft we were wandring with the Antipodes,]
Shall fee vs rifing in our throne the eaft.
Possibly the reference to the King's absence in Ireland as a wandering in the Antipodes was found misleading; possibly the omission, being only of a single line, was accidental.

Fitzwaters and Percy have thrown down their gages in challenge to Aumerle:

> rv. i. 52-9.
[Anotber L. I taske the earth to the like (forfworne Aumerle) And fpurre thee on with full as many lies As it may be hollowed in thy treacherous eare From finne to finne: there is my honors pawne Ingage it to the triall if thou dareft. Aum. Who fets me elfe ? by heauen Ile throwe at all, I haue a thoufand fpirites in one breaft To anfwer twenty thoufand fuch as you.]
Sur. My lord Fitzwater, I do remember well
The very time (Aumerle) and you did talke, \&c.

The phrase 'I taske the earth to the like' has provoked a shower of emendations; but probably the only reason for this omission was that the scene is a long one and it was thought that three challenges were enough-as indeed. they are.

$$
\text { v. iii. } 97 .
$$

Aum. Vnto my mothers prayers I bend my knee.
Yorke. Againft them both my true ioynts bended be,
[Ill maift thou thrive if thou graunt any grace.]
$D u$. Pleades he in earneft? looke vpon his face.
His eies do drop no teares, \&c.
This line, like int. ii. 49, may well have been omitted by accident, ${ }^{1}$ but the other six omissions, amounting to forty-eight lines, are all in accordance with dramatic exigencies, and along with the remodelling of the stage directions offer excellent evidence that the copy of the First Quarto by which that of 1615 was corrected was a playhouse copy from which the Prompter had scored out the lines omitted from the acting version, and inserted stage directions in accordance, probably, with the resources of the Company when the play was revived in 1608. Is it unreasonable to suppose that while doing this the Prompter did also a little more and brought the text of his copy of the First Quarto here and there into agreement with the lines as spoken by the actors, who would have been confused if they had been prompted with any other word than that which they were accustomed to use, whether this was wrong or right ? In a few cases, as we have seen, the word or words sabstituted for the reading of the Quarto were certainly right and seem

[^5]to need some super-editorial authority for their restitution, and this seems amply provided by our supposition. In a far larger number of lines, ${ }^{1}$ on the other hand, the substitution of one word for another of nearly equivalent meaning suggests imperfect memory or perhaps the actor's taste.

We are now, perhaps, in a position to set down the hypothesis, as to what happened from the moment when Shakespeare handed over his copy to the Players, which seems to raise the fewest difficulties and to obey most consistently the law of economy.

When a new play was accepted by a company of players it is evident that copies must have been made of the different parts, so that each actor could learn his own and know enough of those of the other actors to bring in his speeches at the right time.

A clean copy may have been made at the same time for the use of the Prompter, or (as appears to have been the case with the anonymous Second Mayden's Tragedy of 1611, and Massinger's Believe as you list of 1631) the author's own manuscript may have been taken for this purpose. To copy a play of this length would have occupied a scrivener some three or four days, and although the cost would not have been great, probably about five shillings, it is by no means certain that the Company incurred it, as with a single complete text and the actors' 'parts' they would be fairly secured against accident. Even if this cost were incurred, it seems probable, when we transport ourselves back to 1597 and forget the price which a single scene of a play in Shakespeare's autograph would be likely to fetch at the present time, that the clean copy made by
${ }^{x}$ Some deduction, however, must be made to allow for the probability that some of these substitutions were made by the compositor from trying to carry too many words in his head.
the scrivener would have been considered better worth keeping than the author's draft, and that thus, whether there was one complete copy or two, it was probably the one in Shakespeare's handwriting which (with the ${ }^{6}$ Deposition scene' cut out) reached the printer. Save the shock it may cause to our feelings to contemplate such an outrage, there is nothing, as far as I can see, that makes this improbable. It has been rather elaborately demonstrated that the number of errors which Valentine Sims introduced into the Second Quarto is so great that even if he had had an absolutely perfect manuscript to print from there is no reason to suppose that the First Quarto would have been any less incorrect than it is. On the other hand the fact that more than once we find a suggestion that Sims was obliged to help a compositor by dictating the text to him (see pp. $35 \mathrm{sq} ., 59$ ) suggests that the manuscript was probably not written in a specially clerkly hand. Finally, we really want to get rid of Shakespeare's autograph at the earliest possible moment, because if it remained in existence for any length of time so many people must be seriously blamed for not having made better use of it. There is indeed no subsequent occasion on which it can be brought into play, without a moral impossibility arising that it should only have been used to tinker one or two passages when there was a mistake on every page waiting to be corrected.

To these considerations there is one which is worth mentioning, though I put it forward with some diffidence, namely, that the very unequal and inconsistent punctuation which we find in the First Quarto is much more likely to have taken its origin from that of the author himself than from any which we can suppose a professional copyist to have been guilty of. The impression which a very close study of the play has made on me
(I mention it for what it is worth) is that Shakespeare wrote it at top speed, the words often coming to him as fast as he could set them down, and that some passages he could hardly have troubled himself to read over. ${ }^{1}$ Such a flow of ideas and words is not favourable to careful punctuation, and I believe that, in the manuscript which he handed over to the players, all but the most carefully written speeches were hardly punctuated at all. On the other hand, as we have seen (see p. 69), some at least of these set speeches are fully punctuated, and with a dramatic punctuation such as cannot reasonably be attributed to any one but the author. A professional copyist might have reproduced faithfully all the punctuation he found and added none of his own, but it is simpler to divide the responsibility for that found in the First Quarto between Shakespeare and the printer, without dragging in a third party. I am conscious, however, that to argue from anything so defaced with errors as the Quarto's punctuation is not without risk.

As soon as the First Quarto was printed its greater handiness and legibility would give it great advantage over any written text for the purposes of a prompt-copy, and if any written text then existed at the theatre there is every probability that it was destroyed. Some ten years later, when the play was revived and the 'Deposition' scene restored to the acting version, presumably by Shakespeare's wish, the text of this could have been obtained, if no copy of it had been specially preserved, from the original actors' 'parts'. ${ }^{2}$ With this addition

1 The worst instance of this is the confusing double reference to Peace in I. iii. I32 and I37, which seems to have led to five lines being cut out in despair of mending it.
${ }_{2}$ This supposes that the 'Deposition' scene was acted when the play was first staged, and cụt out in or before 1597 .
the First Quarto may have continued in use as a promptcopy right down to the time when the printing of the First Folio was undertaken. While being used as a prompt-copy, passages which for any reason were omitted in representation would naturally be scored out; hence the omission from the Folio text of forty-eight lines (besides two single lines which appear to have dropped out accidentally) duly printed by the First Quarto. While being used in this way it would furthermore be natural that the copy of the First Quarto should here and there be brought into agreement with any variation from its text which an actor systematically introduced; hence the few certain corrections of the First Quarto which appear in the Folio text, and the larger number of instances in which one word is substituted for another of nearly equivalent meaning.
Whether because a Folio would be inconveniently large to use as a prompt-copy, or for any other reason, it is probable, as we have seen, that the corrected First Quarto was not sent to the printer, but only placed at the disposal of whoever was intrusted with the task of preparing the historical section of the First Folio for the press. Possibly he even had to go to the theatre to consult it. In any case it is certain that the process of correcting a copy of the Quarto of 16 I 5 by the aid of the corrected First Quarto was very hastily and inadequately performed, and that this imperfectly corrected Quarto of 1615 was the sole authority by which Jaggard's presscorrector had to work, so that if he found anything in it which he thought wrong he had to botch as best he could. Moreover, although now and again we find him obviously taking pains in this way, we cannot regard him as very good at his proper job, as he passed a discreditable number of new mistakes introduced by his own compositors. For
these reasons we have no doubt that the text which an editor of Ricbard II ought to follow is not that of the First Folio, but that of the Quarto of 1597.

As regards how the text of the Quarto of 1597 should be modified when reproduced in a modern edition we have already given reasons for our contention that no variant should be accepted from any of the later Quartos, unless by a quite rigorous standard its correctness can be called self-evident. On the whole it is safest to apply the same test to the variants first found in the Folio of 1623, despite the possibility suggested in this introduction that any given variant may be a restoration of an original reading preserved in an actor's 'part' and transferred thence to the prompt-copy and so to the copy of the Quarto of 1615 in which corrections were made, and from this to the new text. In lines where both the Quarto and the Folio have possible readings it is simpler to believe that the reading of the Folio is due to a single substitution of a wrong for a right word, than that this substitution took place in I 597 and was corrected in the roundabout way indicated. In other words it seems safer to use the theory of a corrected prompt-copy rather as a means of explaining how the new readings which we are bound in any case to accept found their way into the First Folio than as a reason for accepting more variants from this source.

The theory here maintained as to the relations of the Quarto and the Folio texts of Richard II has points in common both with that of the Cambridge editors and with the view expressed by Mr. P. A. Daniel in his introduction to the Facsimile of the Devonshire copy of the Quarto of 1597. At the same time it differs substantially from both.

It agrees with the Cambridge editors in taking the text of the First Quarto as reproducing most accurately the
words Shakespeare wrote, and only regrets that they should have fallen below their own creed by borrowing six or seven unauthoritative readings from the Second Quarto and a few more from the Folio. It differs from the Cambridge editors, not only as to these readings, but also in regarding the First Quarto, not that of 16 I 5 , as the one taken by the Players as a prompt-copy, the Quarto of 1615 being hastily corrected by this.

It agrees with Mr. Daniel in his criticism of the Cambridge editors on this point, but differs strongly from his preference for the Folio text, and still more from the reasons on which that preference is based. These (see the quotations from his introduction on p. 82) seem to be trebly wrong (i) in the undue importance, as 'instances of revision', attached to the correction in the Folio of two historical errors which any man, woman or child with the necessary information could have set right ; (ii) in his refusal to admit any difference between these historical emendations and others in regard to which the decision, 'right ' or 'wrong', is entirely a matter of Shakespeare's art ; and (iii) in the rather wilful indifference as to the text Shakespeare first handed to the players, on the ground of our supposed possession of a later text rendered superior by its inclusion of variants which Shakespeare is credited with having introduced, or at least approved. Happy in his belief that the Folio text was thus authoritatively revised, Mr. Daniel apparently did not think it worth while to make any definite pronouncement or even to give much consideration as to whether the text Shakespeare originally handed to the players is or is not that of ' the First Quarto; or rather, at the moment when he should have considered this point he allowed himself to be hypnotized by the words 'stolne and surreptitious', which have been the undoing of so many Shakespeare editors.

In the theory here put forward the two historical corrections are disregarded as of no evidential value, but in view of the presence in the First Folio of three or four literary corrections which seem to demand a skill greater than can fairly be attributed to its editors the suggestion is offered that these may have been found already made in the copy of the First Quarto used as a prompt-copy. While it is thus admitted that the Folio may at haphazard contain some good readings, it seems impossible to accept its text as in any way edited or revised by Shakespeare himself, or under his supervision or authority, because, while it presents many of the characteristics of an edited text, the editing seems to represent the views and practice of the printing-house, possibly of the theatre, in 1623, rather than of Shakespeare himself. Such cuts as we find in I. iii. 129-33 and III. ii. 29-32 (see pp. 9I and 93) could not have been made by the author. Moreover, as compared with the First Quarto, there is a greatly increased heaviness in the punctuation and a multiplication of emphasis capitals which we can hardly attribute to the writer of Hamlet's advice to the players, 'Speak the speech I pray you as I pronounc'd it to you, trippingly on the tongue, but if you mouth it as many of our Players do, I had as lieve the towne cryer spoke my lines.' The First Folio, as already admitted, has a style of its own and a right to that style with which it is no part of my present business to quarrel. But that the text of the First Quarto more accurately represents what Shakespeare originally wrote, may even indeed have been set up from his autograph manuscript, and that the play itself never subsequently received any revision whatever from Shakespeare himself, seem to me among the most certain of propositions.

Alfred W. Pollard.
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## 

## Eater King Richard, Iohn of Gant, wirh other Nobles and Aitendants.

King Richard.
 Ide Tohn of Gaunt time honored Lancafter, Haft thou according to thy othe and bande isrueshe huther Henry Herforti chy boldíon. Heie to make good the builtrous late appeile Which hen our liture would not let where Ag inft the Duke of Norfolke, Thoras: Mowbray? Ganif. I hateryy Leige.
King. Telime moi oner, hat thou founded him
Ithe ppeale the Duke on ancent malice,
Or worthly as a gcod fubiect fliould
On fome knowne ground oftreacheric in him?
Gawn: As neare as I could fift him on that argumeat,
On fome an pane danger feene in him,
Aimde at your Highnaile, no inuete ate malic.
King. Then call them to our prefence faceto face, Andfrowning brow to brow our felues will heare,
The accufer and the accufed treely fpeake:
Hie fomackt are they both, and full of ire,
Io rage, deafe as the fea, haflie as fire.

## Enter Builinglrooke axd Mowbray.

Bulliwg. Many yeares of happy daies befsll My gratuous Souraigne,my mont loning Lieye:

Mowb. Each day ftill better others happineffe, Vntill the heauens enuying earths good happe, Adde in immortall tite to your Crowne. King. We thanke you both, yet one but flatters vs, As well appeareth by the caule you come, Namely to appeale each other of high treafon: Coofin of Hereford what dolt thou obiect Againft the Duke of Norfolke Thomas Mowbray.
Bub. Firft, heauen be the record to t⿴y \{peech, In the dewotion of a fubiects lone,
Tendering the precious fafetic of my Prince, And free from other misbegotten hate, Come I appellant to this princely prefence. Now Thomas Mowbray do I turne to thee,
And marke my greeting well:for what I pealee $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ body fhall make good ypon this earth, Oe my diune foule anfwer it in heauen:
Thou art a traitour and a mifereant;
Too good to be fo, and too bad to liue, Since the morefaire and criftallis the skie,
The vglier feeme the cloudes that in it flie.

- Once more, hhe more 10 aggrauate the note,

Wish a foule trai: ours name fuffe I thy throate,
And with (fo pleale my Soucraigne, ere I moue,
What my rong fipeakes, my right drawne f word may prove. Mowo- Let nót my colice wo:ds here accufe my zeale,
Tis not the triall of a womans war,
The biter clatriour of two eager tongues,
Can arbitrate this caufe betvixt vs twaine:
The bloudds hote that muft be coold for this,
Yet cas I not of fuds tame patience boaft, As to be hufhtand naughtat all to fay.
Fist the faire reuerence of your highneffe curbes me,
Fron giuing reines and fpurs to my free fpeech, Which elfe would pof vncillithad returnd, Thefe tearmes of treafon doubled downe his throat: Setcing afide his high blouds royaltie, And lethimbeno kinfman to my Leige,
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I do defic him, and fit at him,
Call bim a flaunderous coward and a villaine:
Which to maintaine, I would allow him ods,
And meete him, were I tide to runne afoose,
Euen to the frozen ridges of the Alpes,
Or any other ground inhabitable, Where euer Englifh man durff fet his foore. Meane time let this defend my loyaltie, By all my hopes moft fally doth be lie.
Bn!. Pale trembling coward, there 1 throw mag gage,
Difclaining heere the kinred of 2 King ,
Andlay afide my high blouds royaltie,
Which feare, not reuerence makes thec to except,
If guiltie dread haue left thee fo much ftrength,
As to take vp mine honours pawne, then fowpes
By that, and all the rites of Knighthood elfe,
Will I make good againft thee arme to arme,
What I haue fooke, or what thou canft deuife.
© Mow. I take is $\mathrm{vp}_{3}$ and by that fword I fweare,
Which geatly laide my Knigthood on my houlder,
Ile anfwer thee in any faire degrees
Or chiualrous defigne of knightly triall,
And when I mount, alive may Inot light,
If I be traitour or vniufly fighe.
King. What doth our Coofin lay to Mowbraies charge
It mult be great that can inherite vs,
So much as of athought of ill in hins.
Bul. Looke what I faid, my life fhall prooue it true,
Thas Mowbray lath receiude eight thouland nobles,
In name of lendings for your highneffe fouldiours,
The which he hatio detainde for lewd imploymenss,
Like a falfe traitour and iniurious villaine.
Befides 1 fay, and will in battaile proouse,
Or here, or elfe where to the furtheft Verge
That euer was Surueyed by Engliheie,
That all the treafons for thefe eighteene yeares,
Complotted and contriued in this land:
Eitcht fromfalfe Mowbray their firt head and fpring:
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## Further $\mathbb{C}$ Gy, and further will maintaine

V pon hes bad life to make all his good,
That he did plote the Duke of Glocefters death,
Suggelt his foone beleeuisg aciuerfaries,
And confequenly like a traitour coward,
Sluc ic out his innacent fonle through it reames of blous,
Which bloud, like facrificing Abels cries,
Euen from the conguel fie Caucrns of the earth,
To me for iuftice and rough chaftifement:
And by the glorious worth of my dircent,
This arme fhall dotit, or this hfe befpent.
King, How high a pitch his refolution foares,
Thomas of Norfolke what faift thou to this?
Mowb Oh iet niy foucraigne turne away his face,
Ans bid his eares a little while be deafe,
Till I hatur colde this flaunder ot his bloud, How God and good men hate fo foule a her. King. Mowbray impartiall are our eies and eares, Were he my brother, nay; my kingdomes heire,
As he is but my fathers brothers fonne, Now by fcepters awe I make a vow,
Such neighbour neerenes to our facred bloud
Should nothing priuiledge him, nor partialize
The viftooping fimencile of my vpright foule,
He is our hibieat Mowbray, fo att thou,
Free fpeech and feareleffe I to thee allow. Mowe. Thera Bullingbrooke as low as so thy heart,
Through the falle pallage of thy throat thou lieft,
$T$ hree parts of that reseipt I had for Callice,
Disburf If to his highnefle Souldiours,
The other part referu'de 1 by confent,
For that my foueraigne liege was in my debt, Vpon remainder of a deare account,
Since laff I went to France to fetch his Queene:
Now forallow downe that lie. For Glocelters death.
1 Ilew him not, but to mine owne difgrace
Neglected my fworne dutce in that câfe:
Foryou my noble Lord of Lancatter,

## King R ichard the frownd.

The honourable father to my foe,
Once did I lay an ambuhin for your life,
Atrefpafte that doth vexe my greesed foulze
Ah but ere 1 laft receiu de the facrament,
1 did confeffeit and exativy begd
Your graces pardon,and I hope I had it.
This is my fault, as for the reft appeald
It iflies from the rancour of a villaine, A tecreant and nof deyencrate evaitour,
Which in my telfe 1 boldly will defend, And enterchangeably hurle downe the gage,
Vpon this ouerweening traitours foote,
To prooue my felfe a loyall Genteman,
Even in the beft bloud chanberd in his bofome,
In bafte whereof moft hartuly I pray
Your highnefle to a fignne our criall day.
King. Wrath kindicd gentleman, be ruled by twe
Lets purge this choler without letting bloud.
This we prefcribe, though no Phiftion,
Deepe malice makes too deepe incifios, Forgee,forgiue, conclude, and be agreed,
Ous Doctors fay, this is no month to bleed:
Good Vnckie, let this end where it begunne,
Weele caline the Duke of Norfolke,you your Fonrie.
Gaunt. To be a make-peace fhall become my ageo
Throw downe (my fonne) the Duke of Norfolkes gige.
King. And Norfolike throw downe his.
Ganntr. When Harry, when?obedience bids,
Obedience bidil Ihoulid not bid againe.
King, Norfol: ethrow downe we bid, there is no boote.
Mow. My felfe I throw(dread foueralgne) at thy foote,
My life thou fhal conmaund, but not my thame,
The one my duticowes, but my faire namic
Defpight of death that liucs vpon my graue,
To dark honou:s vfe thou that tnothaue:
I aw difgrafte.impeeacht, and baffuid hicere,
Piert to the foule with Slaunders venomd fpare,
The which no balme can cure, but his heare bluud
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Which breathde this poyfon.
King. Rage mult be withftood,
Giue me his gage, Lions make Leopards tame.
Mawb. Yea, but not change his fpots, take but my fhames
And I refigne my gage my deare deare Lord.
The purett ereafure mortall times affoord,
Is fpoteffe repuration, that away,
Men are but guilded loame, or painted clay:
A jeweil in a tenne times bard vp cheft,
Is a bolde fpiritin a loyall breaft.
Mine honour is ny life, both grow in one,
Take honourfrom me, and my life is done.
Then(deare my Liege)mine honour let metry,
In that liue, and for that will I dy.
King. Coolin throw vp your gage, do you beginne.
Bul. O God defend my foule from fich deepe finne,
Shall I feeme Creft-fallen in my fathers fight?
Or with pale begger-face impeach my hight,
Before this out-darde daftard? Eremy tongue
Shall wound my honour with fuch feeble wrong,
Or found fo bafe a parlee, my secth thath icare,
The flauifh motiue of recanting feare,
And fpit it bleeding in his high difgrace,
Where fhame duth harbour, even in Mowbraies face.
King. We were not borne to fue, but to commaund,
Which fince we cannot do to make you friends,
Be readie as your life fhall anfwer it,
At Couentry vpon Saint Lambards day:
There fhall your fwords and launces arbitrace
The fwelling difference of your feted hate,
Since we cannot atone you, you Thall fee
Iuftice defigne the Victors chiualrie.
Lord Marhall,commaund our Officers at Armes,
Bereadie to direet thefe home allarmes.
Enis

> Enter Iobw of Ganst, wist the Divcheffe of Glocefter. Gaunt. Alas the part I had in Woodftocks bloud,
> Doth more follicise me then your exclaimes,

To ftirre againft the butclers of his life. But fince correction lieth in thofe hands, Which made the faul that we cannot correct, Put we our quarrell to the will of heauen, Who when they fee the houres ripe on earth, Will raine hot vengance on offenders heads. Dacheffe Findes brotherhood in thee no harper furte?
Hath loue in thy olde bloud no liuing fire?
Edwards feu:n fonnes, whiercof thy felfe art one,
Were as fenen viols of his facted bloud,
Or feuen faire branches fpringing from one roote:
Some of thofe feuen are dried by natures courfe,
Some of thofe branches by the deftinies cut:
But Thomas my deere Lord, my life my Gloceitert
One violl full of Edwards facred bloud,
One flourihing branch of his moft royall roote
Is crackt, and all the precious liquor fpilt;
Is hack downe, and his fummer leares all faded
By enuines hand, and murders blowdie axe.
Ah Gaunt, his bloud was thine, that bed, that wombe,
That mettall, that felfe mould, that farhioned thee
Made him a man: and though thou liueft and breatheft,
Yet art thou flaine in him, thou dolt confent
Infome large incafure to thy fathers death,
In that thoufect thy wretched brother dic,
Who was the modell of thy fathers life,
Call it not patience, Gaunt, it is difpaire,
In fuffering thus thy brother to beflaughtred,
Thou theweft the naked pathway to thy life,
Teaching fterne Murder how to butcher thee:
That which in meane men we intitle Patience.
Is pale colde Cowardice in noble breafts.
What hall I fayse fafegard thy ownelfe,
The beft way is to venge my Glocefters death.
Gaust Gods is the quarrell, for Gods fubftitute,
His deputie amnointed in his fighs;
Hath cauld his death, she which if wrongfully.
Lec heaueni cuenge, for I may neuer lift
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An angrie arme againf his minifter.
Duch. Where then alas may I complaine uny felfe?
Gaunt. To God the widdowes Champion and defence.
Duch. Why then I will, farewellolde Gaunt,
Thou gocft to Cauentry, there to beholde
Our Coofin Herford and fell Mowbray fight.
O fet my husbands wrongs on Herfords fpeare,
That it may enter butcher Mowbraies breaft.
Orifmisfortune miffe the firt carriere,
Be Mowbraies finnes fo heauie in his bofome,
That they may breake his foming courfers backe,
And throw the rider headlong in the lifts,
A caitiue recreant to ma Coofin Herford. Farewell olde Gaunt, thy fometimes brothers wife, With her companion griefe mult end hev life. Gaukt. Siffer fareweli, I mufl to Couentric: As much good flay with thee, as go with me. Duch. Yetone word more, griefe boundeth where it falless.
Not with the emptie hollowneffe, but weight:
I take my leaue before I haue begunne,
For forrow ends not when isfeemeth done: Commend me to my brother Edmund Yorke.
Lo this is all:nay yet depart not fo,
Though this be all, do not fo quickly goe.
Ihall remember more: Bid himgh what?
With all good fpecdeat Plafhie vifitme.
Alacke and what thall goodolde You ke therefee;
Puremptie lodgings and vnfurnifht walles,
Vnpeopled offices, virroddenfones,
And what heare there for welcome but my grones?
Therefore commend me, let him not cowe there,
To feeke out forrow that dwels eucrie where,
Defolated drolate will Hence and die:
The laf leaue of thee rakes my wesping eye. Exermis
Enter the Lord 2iacyßall and the Dule Aumerie. Mar. My Lord Aunerle, is Harry Herford armde? Almo. Yea atallpointersand longs to enter in.

King R ichardithe feconed.
Mar. The Duke of Norfolke fprightfully and bold, Staies but the fummons of the appellants thempet. Aumn Why then the Champions are prepard, and ftay For nothing but his Maieflies approarh.

The trumpets found, and aho King cuters with bis robles: whero they are fet, enter the Duke of Norfolke in armes defendant.
King. MarChall demaund of yonder Champion,
The caufe of his ariuall here in armes,
Aske him his name, and orderly proceede
To fweare himin the iultice of his caufe. Mar. InGods name and the Kings, fay who thourart, And why thou commeft thus knightly clad in armes? Againft what man thou comft, and what's thy quarrell, Speake truely on thy knighthood, and thy oths
As fo defend thee heauen and thy valour.
mow. My name is Thomas Mowbray, D. of Norfolkes
Whohither comeingaged by my oath,
(Which God defend a Knighe Rould violate)
Both to defend ay loyalice and truth,
To God,my King, and my fuccreding iffue,
Againt the Duke of Herford that appeales mee ${ }_{B}$
And by the grace of God, and this mine arme,
To prooue him in defending of my felfe,
Atraitour to my God, my King, and mc:
And as Itruly fight defendme heauch.

## The Trsmpets found, enter Duke of Herfor appellant in armour.

King. Marthall aske yonder Knight in armes,
Both who he is, and why he commeth hithes
Thus plased in habillements of war,
And formally according to ous law,
Depole him inshe iuftie of his caule.
mar. What is thy name, and wherefore somft thou hither:
Before King Richard in his royall hifts? Againft whome comes hou?and whats thy quarrells Speake like a true knight, fo defend the heauens.
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Bul. Harry of Herford,Lancafter, and Darbie Am I, who readic here do ftand in Armes,
"To proone by Gods grace, and my bodies valour
Inlifts, on Thomsas Mowbray Duke of Norfolke,
That he is a traitour foule and dangerous,
To God of heauen, King Richard, and to me:
And as I truely fight, defend me heauen.
mar. On paine of death no peifon be fo bolde
Or dating, hardie, as to touch the lists,
Except the Martiall and fuch officers
Appointed to direct thefe faire defignes.
Bul. Lord Martall,let me kiffe my Soueraignes harid,
And bow my knee before his Maieftie,
For Mowbray and my felfe arelike two men,
That vow a long and wearie pilgrinage.
Then let vs take a ceremonious leaue,
And louing farewell of our feuerallfrieisds.
Mar. The appellant in all durie grectes your bighneffe ${ }_{2}$.
And craues to kifle your hand and take his leaue.
King. We will delcend and folde him in our armes.
Coofin of Herford, as thy cauleis right,
So be thy fortunce in this royall fight:
Farewell my bloud, which if to day thoa faeze,
Lament we miay, but not reuenge thee dead. Bots. Olet no noble eieprophane a teare
Forme, if be gorde with Mowbesyes foeare:

- A: coñident as is the falcons flighe.

Againtt a bird,do I with Mowbray Gght.
My louing Lord I rake my leaue of you:
Of you (my noble coofin) Lord A umarle,
Not ficke although I haue to do with death,
Butiufie, yong, and cheerely drawing breath.
Loe.as at Eog lith feafts fo Iregreet
The daintieft laft, te make the end moft fweete.
Ohthou the earthly Author cf my bloud,
Whofe youthfull fpritin me regenerase,
Doth with a two folde vigour lift me vp,
Toreach a viltorie aboue ny head.

## King Richard the fecond.

Adde proofe vato mine armour with thy prayers,
And with thy bleffings fteele my launces point,
That itmiay enter Mowbrayes waxen coate,
And fürbih new the name of Iohn a Gaunt,
Euen in the luftie hauiour of his fonne.
Gaunt God in thy good caufe make thee profperous.
Be fwift like lightning in the execution,
And let thy blowes doubly redoubled,
Fall like amazing thunder on the caske
Of thy aduerfe pernitious enemie,
Rowle vp thy youthfull bloud, be valiant and liue.
Bal. Mine innocence and Saint Georgeto thriue.
Mow. How euer God or fortune caft my lotte,
There lies or dies true to King Richards throne,
A loyalliuft and vpright Genteman:
Neuer did captiue with a freer heart
Caft of his chaines of bondage, and embrace,
His golden vncontroled enfranchifement,
Morethen my dauncing foule doth cellebrate
This feaft of battle with mine aduerfarie.
Moft mightie Liege, and my companion Peeres,
Take from my mouth the wifh of happic yeares,
As genile and as iocund as to ieft
Gol to fight, truth hath a quiet breft.
King, Farewell(my Lord) fecurely I efpie,
Vertue with valour couched in thine eic,
Order the triall Martiall, and beginne.
M1art. Harric of Herforde, Lancafter, and Darbyy
Receise shy launce, and God defend thy right.
But. Strong as a tower in hope I cry, Amen.
Mart. Go beare this launce to Thomas D. of Norfolke.
Heveld. Harry of Herford, Lancafter, and Darby,
Stands heere, for God, his foueraigne, and himfelfe,
On paine to be found falfe and recreant,
To proue the Duke of Norfolke Thomas Mowbrays
A traitour to his God, his King, and him,
And dares him to fet forwards to the fight,
Herald. Hersitandeth Thomas Mowbray D. of Nexfolke,
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On paine to be found falfe and recreant Both to defend thimelfe, and to approue Henry of Herford, Lancafteryand Darby,
To God, his foueraigne,and to him difloyall,
Courageoufly, and with a free defire,
Attending but the fignill to begimne.
Mart. Sound trumpets, and fot forth Combataitss:
Stay, the King hath throwne bís warder dowise.
King. Let them lay by their helmets, and their Speares;
And both returne backe to their chaires ugaine:
Withdraw with ys and let the trumpets found,:
While we returne thefe Dukes what we decree.
Draw neere ạd lift
What with our counfell we haue done.)
For that our king domes eath hould not be foild
With that deere bloud which it hath foftereds.
And for ouveies do hate the dire-afpect
© 6 finill wounds plowd vp with neigh bours fword:
And for we thindethe Eagle winged pride
Of skie-afpiring and ambitious thoughts
With riuall-hating enuy fet on yous
To wake our peace,swhich in ourcountries cradle
Drawes the fweete infant breath of gentle fleepe,
Which for rouzd vp withboifterous, vntunde drummes,
With harfi refounding trumpets dreadfull bray,
And grating thock of weathfull yron armes,
Might from our quiet confines fright faire Peace,
And nakervs wademenimour hineds bloud.
Therefore we banihy you our territories:
You Coutin Herford vpon paine of life,
Till iwice fue fummers haue enicht. our field
Shall not regrcete ourfaire dominions,
Buttead tha fratager paths of banifhenent.
Bul. Your will be donejthis mult my comfortbe,
That Sunne that warmes you heere, fhall thisie on tne,
And thofe his goldes, beames pato you hecrelent,
Shall point onme, nad guild nay banibménot
King. Norfolke for the remaines a heauier doöne,

## King Richard the feconod

Which I with fome vawillingnes pronounce,
The flie flow houres fhall not determinate
The dateleffe limite of thy deere exile:
The hopeleffe word of neuer to teturne,
Breathe I againft thee, vpon paine of life.
Mowb. A heauie fentence, my moff foueraigne Liege;
And all vnlookt for from your Highnes mouth.
A derer merit, not fo deepe a maine;
As to be caff forth in the common ayre,
Hawe I deferued at your Highneffe hands:
The language I laue learnd thefef fortie yeares,
My natiuc Englih now I mull forgo,
And now my tongues vere is to me no more
Than an vnftringed violl or a harpe,
Or like a cunning inftrument carde vp,
Or being open,putinto his hands
That knowes no touch to tune the harmony.
Within my mouth you haue ingayld my tongue,
Doubly portculliff with my teech and lippess,
And dull vnfeeling barren ignorance
Is made my iayler to attend on me:-
I am too olise to fawne vpon a nurfe,
Too farre in yeeres to be a pupill now.
What is thy fentence but $f$ pechleffedeath,
Which robbes my tongue from breahing natiue breath? Kiig. It bootes thee not to be compaffionate,
Afier our fentence playning comes toolate,
Thow, Then shus I zurne mefrom my countries light.
To dwell in folemne fhades of endlcterenight, King. Returne againe and take an oth with thee,
Lay on our royall (word your banihth hands.
Sweare by the dutie thaty'owe to God
(Our part thercin we banih with your felues,)
To keepe the oath that we adminiffer:
You neuer hall, fo helpe you truth and God.
Embrace each others loue in bani/hmient,
Nor neuerlooke vpon each others face,
Nor neuer writeregreete,nox reconcile.
Thiss
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This loving tempeft of your home.bred hate, Nor neuer by aduffed purpofe meete, To plotte,contriuc, or complot any ill, Gainift vs, our flate, our fubiects,or our land. Bul. 1 fweare.
Mow. and I, to keepe all this.
Bul. Norfolke.fo fare as to mine enemie, By this tune, bad the King permitted vs,
One of our foules had wandred in the ayre, Banitht this frale fepulchre of our fieth, As now our fleh is banifht from this land. Confeffe thy trea, ons cre thou Hy the realme, Since shou haff far to go, beare not along The cioging burrhen of a gultie foule. mom. No Bullinbrooke, if cuer I were traitour, My name be blotted from the booke ofllfe, And Ifrom heauen banifht as from hence: But what thou art, God, thou, and I, do know, And all too foone(I feare)he King fhall rew: Fafewull (my Leige) now no way can I fray, Sate pack to England all the world's my way. King. Vncle, euen in the glaffes of thine cies,
Ifee thy grieued heart: thy fadafpect
Hath from the number of his baniht yeeres
Plucke foure away, fixfrozen winters fpent, Returne with weicome home from banifhment. Bul. How long a time lies in one hetle word? Foure lagging winters, and foure wanton fprings, Eudin a word, fuch is the breath of Kings.
Gakmo. It thanke my Liege, that in regard of me
He fhortens foure yeares of my fonnes exile,
But little vantage fhall I reape thercby:
For ere the fix yeares that he hath to fend
Can change their moones, and bring their times about,
My oile-dried lampe, and time bewafted light Shall be exinet with age and endieffe nights: My inch of taper will be bumne and done, And blindfolde Death hot let me fee my fonse.

King. Why Vackle,thou haft many yeeres to liue.
Gasnt. But not a minute (King) that thou canft giue.
Shorten my daies thou canft with fullen ferrow,
And placke nights from me, but not lend a morrow.
Thou canft helpe time to furrow me with age,
But foppe no wrinckle in his pilgrimage:
Thy word is currant with him, for my death,
But dead, thy kingdome cannot buy my breath.
King. Thy fonne is banifht with good aduife,
Whereto thy tongue, a party, verdiat gate,
Why at our iuftice feemft thou then to lowre?
Gaunt. Things fweete to taite, proue in digeition fowre.
You vrge me as a iudge, but I had rathes,
You would haue bid me argue like d\{atien.
Oh had't beene a itranger, not my chidd,
To fmooth his fault I would haue beene more milde:
A partiallflaunder fought Ito ayoyde,
And in the fentence my owne life deftroyde.
Alas, I lookt when fome of you hould fay,
I was too frift to make mine owne away:
But you gaue leaue to my vnwilling tongue,
Againft my will to do my feife this wrong.
King. Coofin farewell, and Vackel bid him fo,
Sixe yeeres we banifh him and he fhall ga.
Ars. Coofin farewell, vahat prefence muft not know,
From where you do remaine, flet paper how.
Mar. My Löd, no leaue take I, for I will ride;
As far as land will let me by your fide.
Gasnt. Oh to what purpofe doeft thou hoard hy words,
That thou returneft no greeting to thy friends?
Bull. I haue too few to take my leaue of you,
When the tongues office :hould be prodigall,
To breathe the abundane dolour of the heart.
Gaunt. Thy griefe is but thy abfence for a time,
Bul. Ioy abfent,griefe is prefenter that time.
Gaunt. What is fixe winters? they are quickly gone.
Bul. To men inioy, but griefe makēs one houre ten.
Genat. Call ita trauaile that thou takift for pleafere.
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But. My heart will figh when I mifcallit 10 ;
Which findes it an inforced pilgrimage.
Gewns. Thefullen paffage of thy wearic fteps,
Efterme' foyle wheiein thou art to fet,
The preciousjewell of thy bome returne.
Buh. Nay rather euerie tedious fride I makes.
Will but reromber me what a deale of world
I wander from the jewels that I loue.
Muft 1 not ferue along apprentihood
To forren paffages, and in the end,
Hauing my freedome, boaft of nothing elfe,
But that I was a iourneyman to griefe?
Gaums. All places that the eie of heauen vigits,
Are to a wife man ports and happy hauens.
Teach thy neceffitie to reafon thus,
There is no vertuelike neecffitio:
Thinke not the King did baniflathee,
But thou the King. Woe doth the heauier fit,
Where it perceiues it is but faintly borne:
Go, fayIffent thee forth to purchafe honour, And reative King exilde.thee;or fuppofe
Deuouring peftience hangs in our aire,
And thou artfiling to a frefher clime:
Looke what thy foule holds deere, iriagine it
Toly that way thou goeft, not whence lliou comf.
Suppofe the finging brids mufitions,
The graffe whereon thou tradif, the prefence ftrowde,
The flowres, faire Ladies; and thy fteps, no mote
Then a delightfull meafaire or a dance,
Forgnarling forrow hath.leffepower to bite
The man that mocks atit and fetsitight:
Buil. Oh who can hold a fire in hishand,
By thinking on thefrofly Caucafus?
Or cloy the hungry edge of appetite,
By bare imagination of afeaft?
Or wallow naked in December fnow,
By thinking on fantaltick fummers heat?
Ohno, the apprchienfion of the good.

## King R ichardeteteconed.

Giues but the greater fecling to the wotre:
Fellforrowes tooth doth neuer ranickle more
Then whenit bites, but lancheth not the foare.
Gaunt. Come come my fonne, Ile bring thee on thy way.
HadI thy youth and caufe, I would no flay.
Bul. Then Englands ground farewell, fwecte foile adiew, My mother and nay nurfe that beares mie ye.: Where ere' $I$ wander, boait of this I can, Though banifht, yeta truéborne Englifhinand Evevite

## Enier the King with Bufbet, Gr at one doore, amd the <br> Iord Awimarle at the othero:

King. We did obferue. Coofin Aurndile;
How farre brought you high Hereford on his way?
eAum. I brought high Herford, if you call him fo,
But to the next high way, and there Ileft him King. And fay, what fore of parting teares were hed? Aum, Faith nonefor me, except the Northealt winde,
Which then blew bitterly againft our face,
Awakt the fleepie rinewne;and fo by chance
Did grace our hollow parting witha teare.
King. What fad your coufin when you parted with him? Awno. Farewell, \& for my heart difdained that my tongue Should fo prophane the word that taught me craft,
To counterfaite opprefion of fuch griefe,
That words feemd buried in my forrewes graue:
Marry would the word Farewell haue leng thined houres,
And added yeeres to his fiort banifhment,
He fhould haue had a volume of farewels:
But finse it would not, he had none of me:
King. He is aur Coofens Cofir,but tis doube, When time ihall call bim home from banihment,
Whether our kinfmanicomes to fee his friends.
Our felfe and Bufhie,
Obferued his courthip to the common people,
How he did feeme ro diue into their hearts,
With humble and familiar curtefie,
With reuerence he did throw away on ilaues,
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Wooing poore crafffimen with the craft of fmiles, And patient vaderbearing of his fortune, As twere to banihh their affects with him, Off goes his bonnet to an oyfterwench, A brace of draymenbid God fpeede him well, And had the tribute of his fupple knee, With thanks my countrey men, my loning friends, As were our England in reuerfion his, And heour fubiects next degree in hope. Creene. Well, he is gone, and with him go thefe thoughts. Now for the rebels which ftand out in Ireland, Expedient mannage muft be made my liege, Ere further leyfure yeeld them further meanes For thcir aduantage, and your highneffelofe. King. We will our felfc in perton to this war, And for our coffers, with too great a court And liberall larges are growne fomewh thght, We are infortt to farme our royall Realme,
The reuenue whei eof fhall furnifh vs.
For our affaires in hand if that come thort, Our fubftitutes at home fhall haue blanke charters, Whereto, when they fhall know whatmen are rich, They fhall fublcribe them for large fummes of gold, And fend them aficr to fupply our wants, For we will make for Ireland prefently.

> Enter Bußbie woith serses.

Buß. OldIohn of Gaunt is grieuous ficke, my Lord, Sodamely taken, and hath fent poft hafte,
To intreate your Maieftie to vifithim.
King. Where lies he?
Bu扁. At Ely houle!
Kigg. Now putit(God)into the Phifitions mind,
To helpe him to his graue immediatly:
The lining of his coffers fhall soake coates,
To decke our Souldiours for thefe Irifh wars.
Come Gentlemen, lets all go vifit him,
Pray God we may make haft and come too late, Amen.

## King Ricbard the fecond.

Enter Iobn of Gaunt ficke, with the Duke of Yorke, Or. Gannt. Will the King,come that I may breathe my latt, In holfome counfell to his vnftaied youth?

> Yorke. Vex not your felfe, no ftriue not wh your breath,

For all in vaine comes counfell to his eare.
Gaunt. Oh,but they'fay, the tongues of dying men
Inforceattention like deepe harmony:
Where words are fcaree, they are feldome fpent in vaine,
For they breathe truth that breathe their words in paine.
He that no more mult fay, is hftened more
Then they whom youth and eafe hath taught to glofe.
More are mens ends markt then their liues before:
The fetring Sunne, and mufike at the glofe,
As the laft tafte of fweetes is fweeteft laft,
Writ in remembrance, more then things long paft.
Though Richard my liwes counfell would not heare,
My deaths fad tale may yet vndeafe his eare.
Torke. No, it is fopt with other flattering founds,
As praifes of his ftate : then there are found
Lafciuious Meeters, to whofe venom found
The open eare of youth doth alwaies liften.
Report of falhions in proude Italie,
Whofe manners fill our tardie apifa nation
Limps after in bafe imitation.
Where doth the world thruft foorth a vanitie,
So it be new, there's no refpect how vile,
That is not quickly buzdinto his eares?
Then all too late comes counfell to be heard,
Where will doth mutinie with wits regard.
Direct not him whofe way himfelfe will choofe,
Tis breath thou lackft, and that breath wilt thou loote. Gaunt. Me thinks I am a prophet new infipirde,
And thus expiring do foretell of him,
His raCh fierce blaze of riolcannot laft:
For violent fires foome burne ont themfeluts,
Small hooires laft long, but fodaine ftormes are fhort:
He tires betimes that fours too falt betimes.
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With eagerfeeding foode doth choke the feedet,
Light vanitie, jnfatiate cormorant.
Confuming meanes foone prayes vponitefife:
This royall throne of Kings, this Sceptred Mle.
This earth of Maieftie, this feate of $M$ ars,
This other Eden, demy Paradice,
This foretteffe built by nature for her felfe, A gainflinfection and the hand of War,
This happie breede of men,this little world,
This precious fone fet in the filuer fea,
Which ferues it in the office of a wall,
Or as moate defenfure to a houfe,
A Ag inft the enuic ofleffe happier lands:
This bleffed plotte, this earth,this realme, hhis England,
This nurfe, this teecuing wombe of royall Kings,
Feard by their breede, and famous by their birth,
Renowned in their deedes as far from home,
For chriftian fervice and true chiualrie,
As is the fepulchre in fubborne Iewry,
Of the worlds ranfome, bleffed Maties fonne:
This tand of fuch deere foules; this deare deare land,
Deare for her reputation through the world,
Is now learde out, I die pronouncing it,
Like to a tenement or pelting farme.
England bound in with the criumphant fea,
Whofe rockie fhoare beatesbacke the enuious fiege
Of waterie Neptune, is now bound in with hame,
With inkie blotes, and sotten parchment bonds.
That England that was wont to conquere others, Hath madea fhamefull conqueft of if felfes, Ah would the 'candall vaniah with mylife, How happy then were my enfuing death?
Torke. The King is come, deale mildly with his youth, For yong hot colits beingrag'de,do rage the more.

Enter the King and 2 थeenc, éc.
2 2uema. How fares our noble Vncle Lancafter? King: What comfort man: how if with aged Guunt?

## King Richard the fecondo

Gauns, O how that name befits my compofition, Old Gauntindeede, and gaunt in being old, Within me griefe hath kept a tedious taft. And who abftaines from meate that is not gaunt? For fleeping England long time haue I watcht, Watching breedes leanenefle, leàneneffe is all gaunt: The pleafure that fome fathers feede vpon, Is my ftrict faft, I meane my childrens lookes, And therein fafting haft thou made me gaunt. Gaunt am I for the graue, gaunt as a graue, Whofe hollow wombe inherites nought but bones. King. Can fick men play fo nicely with their names? Gaunt. No, miferie makes fport to mocke it felfe Since thou doft feeke to kill my name in me, O mocke my name(great King)to flatter thee. King. Should dying men flatter thofe that liue? Gaunt. No,no, men liuing flatter thofe that die. King Thou now a dying fayft chou flattereft me. Gaumt. Oh no, thou dieft though I the ficker be. King. I am in health, I breathe, I fee thee ill.
Gasnt. Now he that made me, knowes I fee shecill, Ill in my felfe to fee, and in thee, feeing ill, Thy death-bed is no leffer then the land, V Vherein thou lieft in reputation ficke, And thou too careleffe pacient as choul art, Commitft thy annoynted body to the cure Of thofe Phifitions that firft wounded thee: A thoufand flatterers fit within thy Crowne, VVhofe compafle is no bigger then thy head, And yet inraged in fo fmall a verge, The walte is no whitleffer then thy land: Oh had thy Grandfire with a Prophets eye, Seene how his fonnes fonne fhould deftroy his fonnes, From forth they reach he would haue laide thy fhame, Depofing thee before thou wert poffeft, V Vhich art polfeft now to depofe thy felfe. Why Coofin wert thou regent of the world, It werea hame tolet this land by leafe:
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But for thy world enioying but this land, Is it not more then thame to thame it fo?
Landlord of England art thou now not, not King,
Thy flate of law is bondflaue to the law,
And thou.
King. Ahlunatick leane-witted foole,
Prefuming on an agues priuiledge,
Darclt with thy frozen admonition
Make pale our cheeke, chafing the royall bloud With furie from his natiue refidence.
Now by ray feates right royall maieftic
Wert thou not brother to great Edwards fonne, This tongue that runnes fo roundly in thy head, Should runne thy head from thy vnreuercnt ©houlders. Gaunt. Oh fare me not my brother Edwards fonne,
For that I was his father Edwards fonne.
That bloud alreadie, like the Pellican,
Haft thou tapt and drunkenly carowf:
My brother Glocefter, plaine well meaning foule,
Whom faire befall in heauen mongt happy foules,
May be a prefident and witnes good:
That thou refpectt not filling Edwards bloud. Ioine with the prefent ficknes that 1 haue,
And thy vakindnes be like crooked age,
To crop at once a too long withered flower.
Liue in thy hame, but die not thame with thec:
Thefe words hereafte, thy tormentors be, Conuay me to my bed, then to my graue, Loue they to liue that loue and honour haue.

King. And let them die that age and fullens haue, For both haft thou, and both become the graue. Yorke. I do befeech your Maieftie, impute his wor's
To wayward ficklines and age in him:
He luacs you on my life, and holdes you deere,
As Harry Duke of Herford, were he here.
King. Right, you fay true, as Herfords loue, fo his,
As theirs, fo mine, and be as it is.

Nopth. My Liege, olde Gaunt commends him to your MaKing. What fayes he: (reftue. North. Nay nothing, all is faid:.
His tongue is now a ftringleffe inftrument, Words, life, and all, olde Lancafter hath fpent. Yorke. Be Yorke the next that muft be bankrout fo,
Though death be poore,it ends a mortall wo.
King. The ripeft fruit firt falles, and fo doth he,
His time is fpent, our pilgrimage muft be;
So much for that. Now for our Irih wars:
We mult fupplant thofe rough rugheaded kernes, Which liue like venome, where no venome effe,
But onely they have priviledge to liue.
And for thefe great affaires do aske fome charge,
Towards our affifance we do feaze to vs,
The plate,coine, reuenues, and moucables Whereof our Vnckle Gaunt did Itand poffeft. Torke. How long fhalli 1 be patient? ah how long.
Shall render dutic make me fuffer wrong? Not Glocefters death,nor Herefords banifhment
Nor Gaunts rebukes, nor Englands priuate wrongs,
Northe preuention of poore Bullingbrooke
About his marriage, nor my owne difgrace,
Hauc euer made mefower my patient cheeke,
Or bende one wrinckle on my foueraignes face:
I am the laft of the noble. Edwards fonnes,
Of whom thy fatherPince of Wales was firft.
In warre wasneuer Lion ragde more fierce,

- In peace was neuer gende lanbe more milde

Then was that yong and princely gentleman:
His face thou haft, for euen fo lookt he,
Accomplifht with a number of thy houres; But when he frowned, it was aganit the French, And not againft his friends: his noble hand Did win what he did fend, and fent net that Which lis triumphant fathers hand had wonne: His hands were guidtie of ronkinred lolouds: Busbloudy with the crigaies of his skinge 3f
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## Oh Richard ! Yorke is too farre gone with grisfe,

Or elfe he never would compare berweene.
King. Why Vnckle, whats the matter?
Torke. Ohimy liege, pardon me if you pleafe,
pe not, ! plaald, not to be pardoned, an contene with all:
Seche you to feize and gripe into your hands,
The rointlies and rights of babinhe Heceford?
1 srot Gaunt dead? and doth nor Herford l:we?
Was not Gaunt iufte and is not Harty truc?
Du not the one deferue to haue an heyre?
Is not his beyrea well deferving fonnc?
Take Herefords rights away, and take from time
His charters and lis cuftomanie rights;
Let not to morrow then enfue to day:
Be not thy f life; For bow art thou a King,
But by faire fequence and fucceffion?
New afore God, God forbid I Cay true,
If you doe wrongfilly feize Herfords right,
Call me the Lers patenes that he hath
By his attournies generall tofue
Hishiuery, and deny his offered homage.
Yon placke a thoulind dangers on yout heat,
You loie a thouf.nd well difpored hearts, And pricke my tender patience to thofe thoughts, Which honcur and alleageance cannot thishe.
King. Thinke what you will, we feize into otir hands.
Hus piate, his goods, his money and his land. Yorke. Ile not be by the while, my liege farewel!, What willinfue hereof, therc's nose can tell:
But by bad courles may be vnderftood,
That their euents can nicuer fall out good. Exis. Kimg. Go Duthis, to the Eaile of Wilthire fraighs, Bidhum repayre to vs to Ely houfe, To fee his bulimefle : to morrow next We will for lreland, and tris time I trow; And we creare in ablence of cur feifs, Our Vackle Yorke, Lord Gouernour of Engiand; For he is inft, snd alwayes loued vs well :

Come on our Queene, to morrow mult we patt, Be merry, for our time of flay is fhort.

Exemst King and Queene. Piontic: iou sto. North. Well Lords, the Duke of Lancafter is dead. Roffe. And luing too, for now his fonne is Duke. Willough. Barely in title, not in reusenewes. North. Richly in both, if iuftice had her right. Roffe, My heart is grear, but it muft breake with filence, Er't be disburdened with a liberall tongue.

Norsh. Nay freake thy mind, \& let him ne're fpeake more That fpeakes thy wordsagaine to doe thecharme.

Willongh. Tend's that thon wouldt fpeake to the D.of Het.
If if be fo, out with is boldly man,
(fords
Quicke is mine eare to heare of good towards him.
R.ffe. No good at all that I can doe for him:

Vnleffe you call it good to pittie him,
Bereft and gelded of his patrimony.
North. Now afore God t is Name, fuch wrongs are borne,
In him a royall Prince, and many mo
Of noble bloodin this declining land;
The king is not himfelfe, but bately led
By fiatterers, and what they will informe,
Meerely in hate againt any of vs all,
That will the King feaerely profecute,
Againdt vs, our liucs, our children, and our heires.
Noffe. The commons hath he pild with grienous :exes
And quite loft their heares. The Nobles hath he fin'd For ancient quarrels, and quise loft their hearts. Wrillongh. And dayly new exactions are deuifue:
As blanckes, bencvolences, and I wot not whit, But what a Godis name doth becone of this?

Wallough. Warshathnorwafted it, for warr'd he hath not, But balely yeelded vpen compromife,
That which his noble Auncefors atchiude with blowes; More hath he fpent in peace then they in wars.
Roffe. The Earic of Wilthire bath the Realne in Farme.
Willorgh. The King's growne bankrout like a broken man.
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North. Reproach and diffolution hangeth ouer hims.
Rofe. He hath not money for thefe Ialh wars,
His burthenous taxations notwith ftanding,
But by the robbing of the banifht Duke.
North. His noble kinhma moft degenerate King:
But Lords, we heare this fearnefull tempeft fing,
Yet feeke no fleleer to auoyde sheftorme.
Wefee the winde fit fore ypon out failes,
And yet we frike not, but fecurely perifh.
Roffe. We fee the verie wracke hat we mult fuffer,
And vniuoydedis the dinger now,
For fiffering fo the caufes of our wracke.
North. Nor Co, euen throught the hollow eies of death,
I, efpic life peering, but I dare not fay,
How neare the ndings of our comfort is.
Wil, Nay lecws hare thy thoughts as thou doft ours.
Roffe. Be confident to fpeake Northumberland;
We three are but thy felfes and fpeaking fo,
Thy words are but as thoughts, therefure be bold.
North. Then thus, I haue fromle Port Blan
A bay in Brittanie receiude intelligence,
That Harry Duke of Herforde, Rajnold L. Cobhag,
That late broke from he Duke of Exeter
His brother Archbifhop late of Canterbury,
Sir Thomas Erpingham, fir IohnRamforis
Sir lohn Norbery, fir Robert Waterton, \& Francis Coines,
All the e well furnifhed by the Duke of Britaine
With eight rall hips, three thoufand men of war,
Are making hither with all due expedience,
And hortly mearie to touch our Northern fhore:
Perhaps they had ere this, but that they tay
The firt deparing of the King for Ireland,
If then weibhall (hake off ourcountries nauif yoke,
Impe out our drowping countrics broken wing,
Redeme from broken pawne the blemifht Crowne,
Wipe off the duft that hides our fee piers guilt,
A ad nake high Mariftic looke like is felfe,
A way with ins in poft to Ravenfourgh:

> King Richardthe fecond.

But if you faint, as fearing to do fo, Stay, and be fecretand mydelfe will go.
Roffe. To horfe, to horíe, vrge doubts to them that feare. witlo. Hold out my horfe, and I will firtt be there.

Exeunt.
Enter the Queene, Bußbie, and Bagot.
Buff. Madam, your maieftie is too much fadde,
You promitt when you parted with the king,
Tolayafide halfe-barısing heauinéffe,
And entertaine a cheerefull difpofition.
2ueene. To pleafe the King I did, to pleafe my felfe
I cannot doo it, yet I know no caule Why 1 fhould welcome fuch a gueft as Griefe, Saue bidding fareweil to fo fweete a gueft, As ny fweete Richard: yet againe me thinkes Some vnborne forrow ripe in Fortunes wombe Is cumming towards me and my inward foule, With nothing trembles, at fome thing it grieues, More then with parting from my Lord the King.
Bu/b. Each fubftance of a griefe hath twentie thadowes, Which thewes like griefe it telfe, but is not fo: For Sorrowes eyes glazed with blinding teares, Diuides one thing entire to many obiects, Like perfectines, which rightly gazde vpon, Shew nothing but confufion, eyde awry, Diftinguifh forme: fo your fweete maieflie, I.ooking awry vpon your Lords departure, Find Chapes of griefe more then himfelfe to waile, Which lookt on as it is, is naught but hadowes Ofwhat it is not, chen thrice (gracious Queene) More then your Lords departure weep not, more is not feene, Or if it be, tis with falfe forrowes eyes,
Whach for things true, weepes things imaginarie.
Queese. Is may be fo, but yet my inward foule
Perfwades me it is otherwife : how ere it be,
I cannot but be fad : fo heauie fad, As though on thinking on no thought Ithinke, Makes tue with heauie nothing faint and Thrinke.
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Bupbic Tis nothing but conceite (my gratious Lady.)
2ueene Tis nothing lefle, conccit is ftllideriude From fome forefather Griefe, mine is not fo,
For nothing hath begot my fomething griefe,
Or fomething hath the nothing that I grieue,
Tis in reuerfion that I do pofleffe,
But what it is, that is not yet knowne, what
I cannot name, tis nameleffe wo I wot.
Greene God faue your Maieftie, and well met Gentiemen,
I hope the King is not yet fhipt for Ireland.
2 ueene Why hopeft thou Io?
For his defignes craue hafte, his hafte good hope:
Then wherefore dof thou hope he is not mipt?
Greene That he our hope might have retirde his power,
And driuen into defpaire an enemies hope,
Who ftrongly hath fet foocing in this land,
The banilht Bullingbrooke repeales himfelfe, And with vplifted armes is fafe ariude ar Rauenfpurgh. 2ueene Now Godin heauen forbid. Gresse Ah Madam tis too true, and that is worfe: The Lord Northumberland, his yong fonne H. Piercie, The Lords of Roffe, Beaumond, and Willoughby, With all their powes full friends arefled to hirs. Bufbice Why haue you not proclaimde Northumberland And the reft of the revolied faction, traitours? Gresse We haue, whereupon the Earle of Worcefter Hath broke his ftaffe, refignd his ftewardthip, And all the houfhold feruants fled with him to Bullingbrook
2ueene So Greene, thou art the midwife of my wo,
And Bullingbrooke, my forrowes difmall heire,
Now hath my foule brought forth her prodigie,
And I a gafping new dilinerd mother,
Haue woe to woe,forrow to forrow ioynd.
Bußbie Dippaire not Madam.
Queene Who hall hinder mac?
1 will difpaire and beatenmity,
With coufening Hope, he is a flatterer,
A parafite, a keeper backe of death,

## King Richardtbe fosend

Who gently would dillolue the bands oflife， Which falfe Hope lingers in extreâmity． Greene Heere comes the Duke of Yorke，岛年erse With fignes of war about his aged necke，
Oh full of carefull bufinefle are his lookes， Vncle for Gods fake foeake comfortable words． Yorke Should I do fo，I Chould bely my thoughts，
Comfort＇s in heauen，and we are on the earth，
Where nothing liues but croifes，care，and griefe．
Your husband he is gone to faue far off，
Whilf others come to make him loofe at home：
Hecre am I left to vnderprop his land，
Who weake with age cannotfupport ny fetfe．
Now comes the ficke houre that his furfet made，
Now thall he trie his friends that flatterd him．
Seriongmaa My Lord，your fonne was gone before I came。
Corke He was，why fo ，go all which way it will：
The nobles they arefled，the commons they are cold，
And will（l feare）reuolt on Herefords fide．
Sirra，get thee to Plathie to my fifter Gloceftero
Bid her fend me prefently a thoufand pound，
Hold take my ring．
Seraingmars My Lord， 1 had forgot to tell your Lordhip，
To day I cane by and called there，
But 1 fhall gieue you to report the reft．
Torke Whatiaknaue？
Sersimgnats An houre before I cane the Duthelle died．
Yorke God for hismercylw hat a tydenf woes
Comes rulhing on this wofull land at once？
I kinow not what to do：I would to God （Somy vntruth had not prouokt him to ii）
The King had cut of my head with my brothers．
What are there two pofts difpacht for Irsland？
How fall we do for money for thefe wars？
Come fifter，coofin I would fay，pray pardon me，
Go fellow，get thee home，prouide fome Carts，
And bring away the armour that as there．
Gentlemen，will you go mufter men？
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If I know how or which way to order thefe affaires,
Thus diforderly thruft into my bands,
Nouer belecue me: both are my kisfemen;
T'one is my Soueraigne, whom both my oath And dutie bids defind: iother againe Is my kinfeman, whom the King hath wrong'd, Whom confcience and my kindred bids to right. Well, fomewhat we mutt doe:come Coofin, Ile difpofe of you: Gentiemen, goe wufter vp your men, And mecte me prefently at Barckly:
Ihould to plathie too, but time veill riot permit: A.l is vnewen, and cuery thing is left at fisce and fenent.
 $B u$. Thewind firs faire for newes to goe for Ireland; But none returnes. For ws to leuie power Proporionable to the enchie, is all vapoffible. Greene Befides our irerenefle tothe King it loue, Is neere the hate of thofe toue not the King:
Bag. And that is the wantering Commons, for theirlous Lies in their purfes, and who fo empties them, By fo much fill s their hearts wioh deadly hate.
Bufh. Wherein the King ftands generally condemid?
Bage th udgement lie in them, hen fo doe wee, Becaufe we euer haue beene neere the King. Greene Well, I will for refuge flraight to Brift, Gafth, The Earle of Wilthire is already there. Buhb Thither will I with you for litcle office

Except like currs, wo teare vs adl in pieees;
Will you goe along with vs?
Bag. No, I willitodreland to his Maxefic:
Farewell, if hearts prefages bee eat vaine,
We hrse here part that.dere thall meeteragaine.
Bugh. Thats as Yorkethriues to beat backe' Bullingbrook.
Gresme Alaspoore Duke, the taske he undertakes,
Is numbring fands; anddrinking Oceans diry,
Where oniz on his fide tightes, thoufands willfic,
Farcivell at once; for once, for ath andeugs
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Buff，Well，we maymecte againe．
Bag．If fare meneucr．
Enter Hereford：Northumberland．
Bull．How farci it ny Lord to 录arckly now？
North．Belecue me noble Lord，
I am a frangerin Gloccfterthire，
Thee high wild hills and re gb vneuen ways，
Drawes out our mile：，and makes them wearifome，
And yet your fare difcourfe hath beene as fugar， Making the hard way fweete and del cable， But I bethirike me what a weary way，
From Rauenfourgh so Cothatl will be found，
In RIfe and willosgbby wanting your company，
Which I proteft hath very much beguile
The tedioufneffe and proceffe of wy tavel：
But theirs is Sweetened with the hope to have
The prefent benefice that I pofleffe，
And hope to coy is little leffe in ion，
Then hope inioyed ：by this the weary Lords Shall make their way feeme fort，as mine hath done，
By fight of what I have，your noble companies．
Bul．Of much leffe value is my company，
Then your good words．But who comics here？ Enter Harry Per／ze．
North．It is my Cone，yong Harry Perfy，
Sent from my brother Warcefter whencefocuer：
Harry，how fares your Vnckle？
H．Per．I had thought my Lord to have leaned his health
North：Why？is he not with the Queens？
H．Ter．No my good Lord，he hath forfooke the Court
Broken his ftaffe of office，and difperfe
The houfhold of the King．
North．What was his reafon？he was not fo refolude，
When lat we fake together．
H．Per．Because your Lordhip was proclaimed drayton
But he my Lord is gone io Rauenfpurgh，
To offer fernice to the Duke of Hereford，
And font me out r by Barchly so difcouer，
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What power the duke of Yorke had leuied there,
Then with diredions to repaire to Rautenfpurgh.
Nortb. Haie you forgot the duke of Hereford, boys
H, Fer. No my good Lord, for that is not forgot
Which ne're 1 did rensember, to my knowledge
Inerier in my life did looke on him.
North. Thenlearne to know him now, this is the Dukc.
HF, PSS. My gratious Lord, I tender you my feruice;
Such as it is, being tender, raw, and yong,
Which elder dayes thall ripen and confirme
Tomore approcued frruice and delert.
Bull. I thanke thee gente Percie, and be fure,
I count my felfe io wothing eife fo happy,
As is a fonle remembring my good friends:
And as my formne tipers with thy lowe,
It hiall be fill hy truc lones recompence,
My hart thic couenant makes, try hand thus feales io
Nortb. How farre is i to 3 ark key, and what flurre
Kcepes good oid Yorke there witli his men of warre?:

- 19.Per. Thereitandstice Caflle by yon tuft of trees,

Mann' withliree hundred men,as 1 haue heard:
And in it are the Loides of Torke, Barkeley and Seymor, None eife of name and noble eftimate.

Noor. Here come the Lords of R offe and whilloungbiy, Bloudy with fpuring, fiery red with hafie.

Buil. Wetcome my Lords, 1 wot your loue purfues
A banifht traitour: all my treafury
Is yet but yrifet thankes, which morcenicht, Shall be your lovic and labours recompence.

Roffe Your prefence makes vs inch,mof noble Lord.
Will. And farre furmounts sur labour to aitainc it.
Bull. Evermoreankes the Excheq̧ar of ihepoore,
Which till my infaniforture comes to yerres,
Stands for my boun:y : but who cones hedre?
Siorih It is iny Lord of Barkeley; a I g gueffe.
Barkeley. My Lord of Hereford, my meffage is to you:
Bull. My Lord, ny y aifvere is to Lanceffer,
And Iate conec to felie that name in Englaid,
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And I muff finde that title in your tongue, Before I make reply to aught you fay. Bark. Mukakerme not my lord, tis not my meaning,
To race one title of your honour out :
To you my Lord I come, what Lord you will,
From the mof glorious of this land,
The duke of Yorke, to know what prickes you on,
To take aduantage of the abfent time,
And frighe our natiue peace with felfe-borne armes?
Bull. 1 hall not neede tranfport my words by you,
Heere comes his Grace in perfors: My noble vnckle:
Torke Shew me hy bumble heare, and not thy knec,
Whofe duty is deceiseable and faile.
Buil. My gratous packle!
Yorke Tut, tut, grace me no grace, no vacle me no wacle,
Iam no traifors vncle, and that word Grace
In an vngratious mouth, is but prophane:
Why haue thofe banifht and forbidedenlegs
Darde once so touch a dult of Englands ground?
But more than why? why haue they darde to marck
Somany miles vpon her peacefull bofome,
Friting her pale facde villiges with varres
And oftentation of defpiied armes\%,
Comft thou becaule thannointed king is hence?
Why foolih boy, he king is laft bshinde,
And in my loyall bofome lies his power,
Were 1 but now Lord of fuch hote youth,
As when braue Gaunt thy facher, and my felfe, Refcued the blacke Prince that yong imars of mens, From forth the ranckes of many thoufands French,
Othen how quickely fhould this arme of mine,
Now prifoner to the palfey chaftue thee,
And minifter correction to thy fault
Thail. My grations vacie, let me know my faule,
On what condition flands it, and wherein?
Torke Euen in condicion of the worf degree,
In grofle rebellion, and decefted wealon:
Thou arta bamitheman, wad heere art come,
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Before the expiration of thy tinie,
In brauing armes againft my Soucraigne.
Puill. As I was banifht, I was bamint Hereford,
But as I conee, I come for Lancafter,
And noble Vnckle, I befeech your Grace,
Looke on my wrongs with an indifferent eye:
You are my father; or me thinks in you
Ifee old Gaunt ahue. Oh then father,
Will you permit that I hall fand condemn'd
: A wandering vagabond, my tights and royaltios
Plucke from my armes pertorce, and giuen away
To "vplart vathrifts? wherefore was i borne?
If that my Coafin King be King of England,
It mult be graunted I am Duke of Lancafter:
You haue a fonne. Aumerle; my noble Coofin,
Had you firft died, and he beene thus trod downe,
He chould hauefound his Vrickle Gaunt afa her,
To rowze his wrongs, and chafe them to the Bay.
I am denied to fue my liuerie heere, And yet my letters pattents give me leaue.
My fathers goods are all diftrain'd and fold, And thefe, and all, are all amiffe employed. What would you have me doe? I ama fubiect,
And I challcngelaw, Atturnies are densde me,
And therefore per fonatly I lay my claime:
Tomy inheritance of free defeent.
North. The noble Duke hith beene too much abufde.
Roffe. It ftands your Grace vpon, to doe him right.
willcugh. Bafe men by his endowments are made great.
Torke My Lords of England, let me tell you this:
I haue had feeling of nyy Coofins wiongs,
And laboured all I could to doe him right;
But in this kinde, to come th brauing armes;
Be his owne caruer, and cutte out his way;
To find out righi with wrongsit may not bet
And you that doe abette him in this kinde,
Cherithrebellion, and are rebo is all.
Neath. The noble Duke hath worne, his comming is

But for his owne, and for the right of that, We all haue frongly fwortte to gitue him ayde. And let him ne're fee ioy that breakes that oath.

Yorke Well,well, I fee the iffue of thefe armes,
$I$ cannot mend it, I muft needes confeffe, Becaufe my power is weake and all ill left: But if í could, by him that gaue melife,
I would attach you all, and make you foope
Vnto the foueraigne mercy of the King.
But fince I cannot, be it knowne to you,
I doe remaine as newter; fo fare you well,
Vnleffe you pleafe to enter in the Caftle,
And th re repofe you for this night.
Bul. An offer vnckle that we will accept,
But we muft winne your Grace to goe with vs
To Bristow Caftle, which they fay is held
By Bu/hy, Bagot, and their complices,
The caterpillers of the common-wealth,
Which 1 haue fworne to weede and plucke away.
Yorke It may be I will goe with you, but yec Ile pawfe,
For I am loath to breake our Countries lawest
Nor friends, nor foes, to me welcome you are,
Things paft redreffe, are now with me paft care.
Enter Earte of Salicbwry, anda Weicb Captaine.
Welch My Lord of Sutisbwry, we haue ftaide tenne dayes, And hardly kept our countrymen together, And yet we hiare no tidings from the King, Thersfore we will difperfe our felues, farewell.

Salif. Stay yet another day, thou trufty Welchman,
The King repofeth all his confidence in thee.
Welch Tis thought the King is dead, wee will not ftay,
The Bay trees in our Countrey all are witherd,
And Meteors fright the fixed ftarres of heaven,
The pale facde Moone lookes bloudy on the earth, A nd leane look't prophets whifper fearefull change, Rich men looke fadde, and ruffians daunce and leape, The one in feare so loofe what they enioy,
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The other to enioy by rage and war.
Thefe fignes fore-run the death of Kings. Farcwell, our Countrymen are gone and fled, As well affured Richard their King is dead. Sal. Ah Richard! with eyes of heauy mind,
I fee thy glory like a fhooting ftar,
Fall to the bafe earth from the firmament.
Thy funne fets, weeping in the lowly Weft,
Witneffing flomes to come, woe, and virreft:
Thy friendes are fled to watte apon thy foes,
And croffely to thy good all fortune goes.
Enter Duke of Hereford, Torke, Northumberiand,
Bufbie and Greene prifoners.
Buld, Bring forth there men.
Buthie and Greenc, I will no vexe your Coules, Since prefently your foules muft patt your bodies,
With too much vrging your perricious lives, For twere no charity y yet to wada your blood From off my handsy here in the view of men, I will vnfold fome caufes or your death, You haue mif-led a Prince, a royall King,
A bappy Gentemanin blood and lineaments.
By you vnhappied and disfigured cleane, You have in manner with your finfull houres, Made a diuorce betwixt his Quente and him, Broke she poffefion of a royall bed, Aind faynde the beutic of a fayre Queenes checkes, With teares dtawne from her eyes withyour foule wrongs, My felfe a Prince by fortune of my birth, Necre to the King in blood, and seere in loue, Till they did rake him mifintcrpret me, Haue foope my necke vader your iziuries, And fighd my Englifh breats in forrencloudes, Eaving the biter bread of banifherest, While you baue fedde vpon my fegniories,
Difparke my parks, andfelld my forreft woods, Frem mine owne wind owes torne my hounhold coate, Ractout my imprecfes leawing ne no figne,
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Saue mens opinions, and my liuing blood,
To flew the world 1 anta Genteman.
This and much more, much more then twice all this
Condemns you to the death: fee thens deliuered ouer
Toexecution and the hand of death.
Pufjie More wekome is the ftroke of death to me, Then Bullingbrooketo England, Lords farewell.
Greene My comfort is, thatheauen will ake our foules,
Atrd plague iniuftice with the paines of hell.
Bull. My Lord Northumberland feo them difpatche
Vnckle, you fay, the Queene is at your houfe, For Gods fake fairely lee her be increated,
Tell her, I fend to her my kinde commendst
Take feeciall care my greetings be deliuered.
Yorke A genteman of mine 1 haue dipatcht,
With letters of your loue to her at large.
Bull. Thanks (gentle Vnckle:)come Lords, away,
To fight with Glendor and his complices,
A while to worke, and after, holiday.

Exewnt.

Entertbe King; Aumerle, Carleil, © c.
King Barkloughly Caftle call you this at hand?
Aum. Yea my Lord, how brook's your Grace the ayre;
After your late tofling on the breaking Seas?
King Needes unuft I hke it well, I' weepe for ioyr.
To ftand vpon my kingdome once againe.
Deare earth, I doefalute thee with my hand,
Though rebels wound thee with their horfes hoofes:
As a long parted mother with her child,
Playes fondiy with her teares, andfmiles in meeting;
So weeping, frining, greete I thee way earth,
And do thee fauour with my royall handst
Feede not thy foueraignes foe, ny gentle earth,
Nor with thy fweetes comfort his rauenous fence,
But l fhy fiders, that fucke vp thy venome,
And heauy gated toads lie in their way,
Doing annoyance to the trecherous feete;
Which withviurping teps doe trample thees
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Yeelde ftinging netles tomine enemies: And when they from thy bofome placke aflower, Guarde it I pray thes witha lurking Adder, Whofe double fongue may with a mottall touch, Throù death vpon thy Soueraignes enemies;, Mocke not my fenfleffe soniuration Lords:
This earth fhall hinuce feeling, and thefe fones
Proeue armed fouldiers ere her natiue King Shall falter vnder foule rebellious armes. Carl. Feare not my Lord, that powre that made youking, Hath powre to keepe you king in fitic of all,
The rneanes that heauens yeeld muff be imbract, And not negtected. Elfe heauen would, And we would not; heawens offer, we refurfe The proffred meanes of fuccours and redreffe. Aum. He meanes, my Lord, that we are too remiffe, Whild Bullingbrooke; throughour fecurty, Growes ftrong and great in fubfance and in power. King Difcomforable coofin, knownt thou not,
That when the fearching cie of heauen is hid Behinde the glebe that haghts the lower world,
Then theeics and robbers range abroade vnfeene,
In purchers, and in outrage blovidy heerc. But when from vnder his terreftriallball, He fires the prowde tops of the eafferne pines, And dartes his light through euery guilty hole: Then murthers, treafons, and detefted fianes, The cloake of night being flucke fom off their backes, Stard bare and naked trembing at themfflues? So when this thiefe, this traitour Bulling brooke, Who all this while hath reueld in the night Whilt we were wandring with the Antipodes, Shallfee vs vifing in our throane the Eaft, His treafons will fit blufhing in his face, Not able to endure the fightof day, But eife affrighted.trembled at his finne, Not all the water in the rough sud: Sea, Can wath the balmeoff from amannoynted King.

Sing Richard the fecond.
The breath of worldly men cannot depore
The deputy elected by the Lord,
For cuery man that Bulling brooke hath preft,
Tolift fhrewd fteele agairift our golden crowne,
God for his $R$ hath in heavenly pay,
A glorious Angel a then if Angels.fight,
Weake men rouft fall,for heauen fill guardes the right.
${ }^{-}$King Welcome my Lo:how farre off lies your power?
Salifb: Nor neere nor farther off,my gratious Lo:
Than this weake arme; difcomfort guides my tongue, And bids me fpeake of nothing but Defpaire,
One day too late, I feare, my noble Lo:
Hath clouded all thy happy dayes on earth,
O call backe yefterday, bid Time returne, And thou Chalt haue twelue thoufand fighting men:
To day, to day, vnhappy day, too late,
Ouerthrowes thy ioyes, friends, fortune and thy ftate:
For all the Welchmen hearing thou wert dead,
Are gone to Bullingbrooke, difpert, and fled.
Aum. Comfors, my liege, why lookes your Grace fo pale?
King But mow the bloud of twenty thoufand men
Did triumph in my face, and they arefled:
And till fo much bloud thither ceme againe,
Haue I not reafon to looke pale and dead?
All foules that will be fafe, flie from my fide,
For Time hath fet a blot vpon my pride.
Aum. Comfort,my Liege,remember who you are.
King I had forgot my felfe, Am I not King?
A wake thou coward, Maieftie thou fleepeft,
Is not the Kings name twentie thoufand names?
Arme, anne, my name a puny fubiect frikes.
At thy great glory, looke not to the ground,
Yee fauourites of a King, are we not high?
High be our thoughrs, iknow my vacle Torke
Hath power enough to ferue our curne:but who comes heere? Enter Scroope.
Scroope More healh and happineffe betide my Liege,
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Then can my care tundẹ tongue deliner him.
King Mine eare is open,and $m$ y heart prepard,
The worf is worldiy toffe thou can P . ynfold.
Say, is my kingdome loft? why twas my care,
And what loffe is st to be rid of care?
Seriues Buliningrooke tobe as great as we?
Greater tie fhall not be:ifhe ferrue God,
Weele ferue him too, and be his fellow fo.
Reuok our fubiects? that we canniot mend,
They breake their faith to God as weil as vs:
Cry wo, deftruction, ruine, and dccay,
The worft is death, and death will have his day. Scroo. Glad am I, that your highenefic is fo armde,
To beare the tidings of calamitic,
Like an vnfeafonable formie day,
Which nake the filuer Riuers drowne thcir hoies.
As if the world were all difTolude to tcates,
So high abouc his limits fwels the rage

## Of Bulling brooke, coucring your fearefull land

With hard bright feede, and hearts harder then fieelc:
White beards have armd their thinse and hairelefle fcalps
Againt thy Maieftic:and boyes with womens voyces Striue to f peake bigge, and clap their female ioynts In ftife vnwildie armes: againft thy Crowne, Thy very beadfmen learne to bend their browes, Of double fatale wo againft thy flate. Yea diftaffe wonien mannage suftie billes: Againft thy feate both yong and old rebect. And all poes werfe then I haue power to tell. King Too well,too well hou telft a tale fo ill. Where is the Earle of Wilthire?where is Bagot? What is become of Bulhie? where is Greenc? That they haue lect the dangerous enemie Meafure our confines withfuch peace full feps. If we preuaile, their hicads fhall pay for it: I wartrant they haue made peace wish Bullingbrooke. Scro. Peace baue they made with him indsede my Lord. Kingo Ohvillaines, ivpers, daquidwvishiout redemption,

Dogs eafily wonae to fawne on any marn. Snakes in my heart bloud warmd, hat fting my hearts
Three Iudafles, each owe thrice worfe then ludas,
Would they malee peace? terrible hell
Make warre vpon their fpoted foules for this. Scro. Sweete lou:e's(I fee)changing: his property
Turnes to the fowreft and mold deadly hate.
A gaine vncurfe theit foules, their peace is made. With head and not with hands, thofe whom you curfe
Hauc felt the worft of deaths deftroying wound,
And lie full low grau'd in the hollow ground. Asks. Is Buinie, Greene, and the Earle of Wilthire dead? scro. Yea, all of them at Briftow loft their heads. Aum. Where is the Duke my father with his powe? King No matter where, of comfort no man fpeake.
Lets talke of graues, of wormes, and Epitaphs,
Make duft our paper, and with rainie eyes
Write forrow on the bofome of the earth.
Lets choofe executors and talke of wills:
And yet not fo, for what can we bequeath,
Save our depofed bodies to the ground?
Our lands, our liues, and all are Eullingbrookes,
And nothing can we call our owne, but death,
And that fmall modell of the barren earth,
Which ferues as pafte and couer to our bones.
For Gods fake let vs fit vpon the ground, And tell fad ftories of the death of Kings, How fome haue bene depofde, fome flainc in war, Some haunted by the ghofts they haue depofed, Some poyfoned by their wiues,fome fleeping kilds: All murthered:for within the hollow Crowne
That rounds the inortall temples of a King;
Kecpes death his court, and there the antique fits,
Scoffing his ftate, and grinning at his pompe,
Allowing him a breath, litele iceane,
To Monarchife, be feard, and kill with lookes,
Infufing him with felfe and vaine conceit,
As if this flefli which walles about our life,
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Were braffe impregnable s and humord thus, Comes at the laft, and with a little'pin,
Bores through his caftle walles, and farewell king. Couer your heades, and mocke not flefh and bloud, With folemn reuerence hrow away refpect,
Tradition, forme, and ceremonious dutie, For you haue but miftooke me all this while, $I$ liue with bread like you, feele want, Tafte griefe, neede friends:fubiected thus, How can you fay to mee I am a King?

Carl. My Lord, wifemen ne refit and waile their woes,
But prefently preuent the wates to waile, To feare the foe, fince feare oppreffeth ftrengti, Giues in your weakeneffe ftrength vnto your foe, And fo your follies fighe againlt your felte: Feare, and be flaine, no worfe can come to fight: And fight and die, is death deftroying death, Where fearing dying, payes death ferule breath. Awm. My father hath a power, inquire of hims, And learne to make a bodie of a limme.

Ki. Thou chidft me wel, prowd Bullinglrooke, I come
To change blowes with thee fer our day of doome:
This agew fit of feare is ouerblowne, An eafie taske it is to winne our owne.
Say Scroope, where lies our vncle with his power? Speake fweetely man; alchough thy lookes be fower. Scroope Men iudge by the complexion of the Skie, The ftate and inclination of the day,
So may you by my dull and heauie cie:
My tongu: hath but a heauier tale to fays,
I play the torturer by fmall and fmall;
To lengthen out the wort that muft be fpoken.
Your vncle Yorke is ioyn'd with Buling brooke,
And all your northerne Caftes ycelded $v p$,
And all your Southerne Gentemen in armes
Vpon his partie.
King Thouhaft fuid enough:
Benrew thee coofin which didtt leade me foorth
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Ofthat fweete way I was in to difpaire.
What fay you now? what comfort haue we now?
By heauen Ile hate him euerlaftingly,
That bids me be of comfort any more,
Go to Flint Cafte, there Ile pine away,
A King woes flaue fhall kingly wo obey:
That power I haue, difcharge, and let themgo
To eare the land that hath fome hope to grow:
For I have none, let noman feake againe
To alter this,for counfell is but vaine.
eAum. My Liege one word. King He does me double wrong,
That wounds me with the flatteries of his tongue:
Difcharge my followers, let thena hence away, From Richards night, to Bullingbrookes faire day.
Enter Bwl, Yorke, North.

Bul. So that by this intelligence we learne, The Welchmen are difpearlt, and Salisbury Is gone to meete the King, who lately landed With fome few priuate friends vpon this coaft. North. The newes is very faire and good my Lord, Richard not far from hence hath hid his head.
Torke It would befeeme the Lord Northumberland
To fay King Richardzalacke the heauie day,
When fuch a facred King thould hide his head.
North. Your Grace miltakes:onely to be briefe
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Yorke The time hath bin, would you haue bin\{o briefe with He would haue bene fo briefe to horten you, (him, For taking to the head, your whole heads length. Bul: Miftake not(Vackle)further then you hould. Yorke Takenot (good Coofin)further then you thould,
Leaft you miftake the heauens are ouer your heads,
Buld. I know it Vnckle, and oppofe not my felfe
Againft their will. But,who comes hecre?
Welcome Harry: what, will not this Caltle yeeld?
H. Percie The Cafte is royally mand my Lord.

Againft thy entrance.
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Bsfl. Royally, why it containes no King.
H.Per. Yes(nyy good Lord)
ks doth containe a King, King Richard hies Wishin the limits of yon lime and fone, And with him the Lord Aumerle, Lord Salisburie,
Sir Steephen Scroope, befides a Clergie man
Of holy reuerence, who I cannot learne.
Nortb. Oh belike it is the Bihhop of Carteil.
Bull. Noble Lords,
Go to the rude ribbes of that ancient Cante,
Through brafent trumpet fend the breath of parlee
Into his ruinde eares, and thus deliuer.
H. Bull. on both his knees, doth kififeking Richards hand,

And fends alleayeance and tue faith of heart
To his royall perfon:bither come
Euen at his feete, tolay my arnes and power:
Prouided, that my banifhment repeald,
And lands reftored againe be freely graunted,
If not, Ile vife the aduantage of my power,
And lay the fummers duft whh hhowres of blood,
Raindefrom the woundes of flaughtered Englihaien:
The which, how far off from the mind of Bullingbrooke
It is, fuch ciimfon tempeff fhould be drench
The freeh greene lap of faire king Richards land,
My flooping dutie tenderfy fhall thew.
Go fignifie as much, while here we march
Vpon the graffie carpet of this plaine;
Lets march without the noyfe of threatning drumme,
That from this Caftles tattered battements,
Our fayre appointments may be weil periufde.
Me thinkes king Richard and my felfe fhould meete
With noleffe terror then the Elements.
Of fire and water, when their thundring froke,
At meeting reares the cloudy cheekes of heauen.
Be he the fire, lle be the yeciding'water,
The rage be his, whilf on the earth I raigne
My water's on the carth, and not on him,
March on, and marke king Richard how he lookes.

Bul. See fee, king Richard doth himfelfe appeare,
As doth the bluffing difcontented Suane,
From out the fiery portall of the Eat,
When he perceives the envious clowdes are bent
To dime his glottic, and to taine the track Of his bright paffage to the Occident. Torke Yet looks he like a king, behold his eye, As bright as is the Eagles, lightens forth Controlling Mateftit; alack alack for woe, That any horme thould fane fo fire a flew. King We are amaze, and thus long have we flood, To watch the fearefull bending of thy knee,
Because we thought our felfe thy lawfull king: And if we be, how dare thy ioynts forget
To pay their awefull dutie to our prefence?
If we be not, hew vs the hand of God
That hath difmift vs from our ftewardihip;
Fer well we know, no hand of blood and bone:
Can gripe the facred handle of our Scepter,
Vnleffehe doe prophane, fteale, or vfurpe:
And though you think e that all as you have done,
Have tor ne their fouls, by turning them from vs,
And we are barren and bereft of friends:
Yet know, my miter God omnipotent,
Is muttering in his clowdes on our behalfe,
Armies of peftilence, and they fall trike
Your children yet vnborne, and vnbegot,
That lift your vaffaile hands againft my head,
And threat the glory of my precious Crowne.
Tell Bulling brooke, for yon me think es he ftands,
That every tide he makes upon my land,
Is dangerous treafon : he is come to open
The purple teftament of bleeding war:
But ere the crowns he looks for, hue in peace,
Ten thoufand bloody crownes of mothers fonnes,
Shallill become the flower of Englands face,
Change the complexion of her maid-palepeace,

## The Tragedite of

Tofcartetindignation, and bedew Her pattors graffe with faithfull Engliih bloud. North. The King of heauen forbid:our Lord tke King, Should fo with ciuill and vnciuill armes. Be rufht vpon. Thy thrife noble coofin. Harry Bullingbrooke doth humbly kiffe thy hand, And by the honourable tombe he fweares, That ftands vpon your royall grandfires bones, And by the royalties of both your blouds. Curents that fipring from one moft gratious head, And by the buried hand of warlike Gaunt, And by the worth and honor of himfelfe, Comprifing allt that tnay be fworne or faide, His comming hither hath no further fope,
Then for his lineall royalies, and to begge Infranchifement immedatcon his knees,
Which on thy royall partie granted once,
His glitering armes he will commend toruft,
His barbed tieeds to ftables, and hisheart
To faithfull feruice of your Maieftic.
This fweares he, as he is a Prince iuft,
And as I am a Genteman I credit hinn.
King Northumberland, fay thus: the King returnes,
His noble coofin is right welcome hither,
And all the number of his faire demaundes
Shall be accomplifht without contradiction,
With all the gracious vterance thou haft,
Speake to his gente hearing kinde commends.
King. We do debafe our felues Coofin, do wenot?
To looke fo poorcly and to fpeake fofaire?
Shall we call backe Northumberland, and ferrd
Defiance to the traitour, and fodie?
Aum. Nogood my Lord, lets fight with gentle words,
Till time lend friends, and friends sheir helpfull fwords,
Kng Oh God,oh God that ere this tongue of rmine,
That laid the fentence of dread banihment
On yon proud man fhould take it off againe,
Wich words offoothiOh that I were as grear,

## King R ichard the fecend.

As is my griefe, or lefler then my name!
Or that I could forget what I hauc beene!
Or notremember what I muf be now!
Swell it thou (prowde heart) Ile gine thee fcope to beate,
Since foes haue fcope to beate both thee and ne.
Awom. Northumberland comes backe from Bulling brook.
King What mult the King doe now? mult he fubmic?
The King thall doe it: muft he be deporde?
The King (hall be contented: mult he loofe
The name of a King? a Gods name let it goe:
Ile giue xyy jewels for a fet of Beades:
My gorgeous $P$ allace for a hermitage:
My gay apparell for an almel-mans gowne:
My figurde goblers for a difh of wood:
My Scepter for a Palmers walking ftaffe:
My fubiects for a payre of carued Saints,
And my large kingdome for a litile grauc,
A litcle little graue, an obfcure graue,
Orile be buried in the kings hie way,
Some way of common trade, where fubiects feete
May hourely trample on their Soueraignes head;
For on my heart they treade now whilft I hue:
And buried.once, why not vpon my head?
Aumerle, thou weepft(my tender- hearsed coofin)
Weele make foule weather with defpifed ceares;
Our fighs and they Thalllodge the Summer corne,
And make a dearth in this reuolung land:
Or fhall we play the wantons with our woes, And make fome prety match with theading teares,
And thus to drop then fill vpon one place,
Till they haue fretted vs a payce of graues Within the earth: and thercin layde; there lies
Two kinfemen digd their graues with weeping eyes?
Would not this ill dos well? well well $I$ fees?
I talke but idlely, and you laugh at me.
Moft mighty Prince, ny Lord Northumberiand,
Whatfaiesking Bulingbrooke, will his Mateftic
Giue Richard leaue to hue till Rishard die.

## The Trayedie of

You make a leg, and Bullingbrooke fares I.
North. My Lord, in the bare court he doth attend, To fpeake with you,may it pleafe you to come dowie. King. Downed, downe I come, like glittering Phaeton, Waning the manage of vnrulie lades.
In the bate court, bate court where Kings growe bale,
To come at traitors calls, and do them grace. In the bale court come downe : downe court, downe King, For night owes fhrecke where mounting lakes Could ing. Bull. What fates his maieftie? North. Sorrow and griffe of hart, Makes him feeake fondly like a frantike man:
Yet he is come.
Buhl. Stand all apart,
And thew fare duet to his Maieftic:
(be kreeles downs. My gracious Lord.
King. Fare cooler, you debate your princely knee, To make the bait earth proud with kiffing it : Me rather had my hart might feele your lowe, Then my vnpleafed ie fee your curtefie: Vp coofen vp, your hart is vp 1 knows,
Thus high at leaft, although your knee be lowe. Bull. My gracious Lord, 1 come but for mine owns. King. Your owne is yours, and I am yours and all. ButS. So far be mine, my molt redoubled Lord, As my true feruice hall deferue your lour. King. Well you deferue : they well deferue to hame, Thatknow the ftrong't and fureft way to get: Vncle give me your hades, nay dree your dies, Tares thew their louse, but want their remedies. Coolen, I am too young to be your Father, Though you are old enough to be heyre, What you will hate, tile give, and willing to: For doe we mut, what force will have vs doc: Set on:owards London, Cohen is it fo?

To driuse away the heauic thought of care?
Lady Madam weele play at bowles.
2 ueese Twill make me thinke the world is full of rubs, And that my fortune runs againlt the bias. Lady Madam wecle daunce.
2xeene My legs can keepe no meafure in delight, When my poore heart no meafure keepes in griefe:
Therefore no dauncing girle, fome other fport,
Lady Madam weele tel tales,
2 gee. Of forrow or of griefe?
Lady Ofeither Madame.
2 uec. Ofneither girle,
Forif of ioy, being altogither wanting,
It doth remember trie the more of forrow:
Orif of griefe, being altogither had,
It addes more forrow to my want of ioy:
For what I haue I neede not to repeate, And what I want it bootes not to somplaine:

Lady Miadamile fing.
Ques. Tis well that thou haft caufe,
But thou fhouldft pleafe me better wouldft thou weepe.
Lady I could weepe Madame, would it do you good.
2see. And I could fing would weeping do me good?
And neuer borrow any teare of thee,
But flay, here commeth the gardiners,
Lets flep into the fhadow of thefe trees,

Enter Gaydiners.

My wretchedneffe vito a row of pines.
They will talke of fate, for cuerie one doth fo,
Againft a change woe is fore-runne with woe.
Gard. Go bind thouvp yon dangling A pricocks, Which like vnrulie children make their fire
Stoope with oppreffion of their prodigall weight :
Giue fome fupportance to the bending twigs,
Gothou, and like an executioser
Cut off the heads of two faft growing fprayes,
That looke too loftie in our common-wealth:
All mult be euen in our gouernement.
You thusimployd, I will go roote away.

## The Iragectie of

The noyfome weedes that without proficfucke
The foiles fertility from wholefome flowers. Man. Why fhould we in the compatfe of a pale,
Keepelaw and forme, and due proportion,
Shewing in a model our firme eftat?,
When our fea walled garden, the whole land
Is full of weedes, her farreft flowers choikt vp,
Her fruit trees all vnpruind, her hedges ruind,
Her knots difordered, and her holefome heal bes
Swarming with caterpillers.
Gard. Hold thy peace,
He that hath fuffered this difordered fpring,
Hath now himfltfe mact with the fall of leafe:
The weedes that his broade fpreading leaues did heller,
That femde in eating him, to hold him vp,
Are puid ip, roote and all, by Bullingbrooke:
I meane the Earl of Wilthire, Buhaie, Greene.
Man. What are they dead?
Gard. They are,
And Bullingbro oke hath feizd the waftefull Kng .
Oh what pitie it is, that he had not fo trimde
And dref his land; as we this garden, at thene of ycere
Do wound the barke, the skinne of our f wite trees,
Ieft being ouer. prowd with fap and blood,
With too much riches it confound it fllfe.
Had he done fo, to great and growing men,
They might haue lude to beare, and he to tafte
Their frutes of dutie: fuperfluous branches We lop away that bearing boughes may line: Had he done fo, himelfe had bome the Crowne, Which wafte of sdie houres h hat quite throwne downé.

Man. What, thinke you the king hall be d pofed?
Gard. Depreff he is already, and depoofde
Tis doubr he will be. Letters came laft night
To a deare friend of the Duke of Yorks,
That tell b'acke tidings.
Qugen Oh : 1 am preft to death hrough want of fpeaking Thou old Adams likeneffe fes to dreffe this garden,

## King R iobard the fecond.

How dares thy harfh rude tongue found this vnplealing news?
What Eue? what ferpent hath fuggefted thee,
To make a fecond fall of curfed man?
Why dof thou fay King Richard is deporde?
Darft thou thou little better thing then earth
Diune his downefall? Say, where, when and how Camft thou by thi, ill tidings: fpeake thou wretch. Gard. Pardon me Madam, little ioy haue I
To breathe thefe newes,yct what I fay is true:
King Richard, he is in the mighty hold
Of Bullingbrooke:their fortunes both are weyde.
In your Lo. fcale is nothing but himfelfe,
And forne few vanties that make him light:
But in the ballance of great Bullingbrooke,
Befides hum'elfe, are all the Englifh Peeres, And with that oddes he weighes King Richard downe,
Poft you to London, and you will finde ir $f 0$,
If peake no more then euerie one doth know.
Queene Nimble Nifchance, that art fo light offoote,
Doth not thy embaffage belong to me,
And am I laft that knowes it? Oh tries thinkelt
To ferue me laft, that I may longeft keepe
Thy forrow in my breft : come Ladies, go
To meete at London Londons Sing in wo. What, was I bornc to this, that my fad looke, Should grace the triumph of great Bullingbrookes?
Gardner for telling me thefe newes of woe,
Pray God the plants thougraftit may neuer grow. Ex:ifo Gard. Poore Qye ne, fo that thyftate might beno worfe, I would my skill were fubiect to thy curfe:
Heere did the drop a teare, heere in this place
Ile fer a banke of Rew fow s hearbe of grace,
Row even for ruth heere fhotly (hall be feene,
In remembrance of a wecping Quecne.

Exemut.
Eater Bagot;

Bull. Callforth Bigot,
Now Bagot,treely fpeake thy minde,
What thou dolk know of noble Glocefters death, Who wrought it with the King, and who performde

## The Tridgedie of

The bloudic office of his timeleffe end. Bagot Thenfet before my face the Lord Aumerle. Buil. Coofin, ftand forth, and looke vpon that man. Bagot My. Lord Aumerle, I know your daring tongue Scornes to vnfay what once it hath deliuered: In that dead time whes Glocefters death was ploted, 1 heard you fay, is not my arme oflength,
That reachech from the reffull Englifh court Asfar as Callice to mine Vickles head? A mongft much other talke, that verie time $I$ heard you lay, that you had rather refure The offer of an hundred thoufand Crownes, Then Bullingbrookes returne to England,adding withall, How bleft this land would be inthis your Coofins deatho Aum. Prisces and noble Lords,
What anfwer hallil make to this bare man?
Shall I fo much difionour my faireffars, On equall termes to give him chafticement?
Either I muft, or haue mine honour foild With the àtrainder of his flaundzrous lips:
There is my gage, the tranuall feale of death,
That markes thee out for hell: thou licef, Andwill maintaine what thou haff faid is falfe, Int hy heart bloud, though being all too bafe To ftaine the temper of my knighty fword. Bitic Bagor,forbeare, thou fhate not take it vp. Aum. Excepting one, I would he were the beft In all this prefence that hath mooud me fo. Fite. If that thy valure fand on fimparthie, There is my gage Aumerle, in gage to thine; By that faire funne that fhewes me where thou fandf, I heard thee fay, and vantingly thou fpakftit, That thou weit caule ofnoble Glocefters death: If thou denieft it twentie times, thou lieft, And I will turne thy fallhood to thy heart, Whereit was forged with my rapiers point. eAnm. Thou darft not (coward) live I to fee the day. yitio. Now by my foule, I would it werthishoure.

## King Ricbard the focond.

2lmw. Fitzwaters, thou are damnd to hellfor this. L. Por. Aumerle, thoulieft, his honour is as true,

In this appeale, as thou art all vniuf, And that thou art fo, there I throw my gage, To proue it on thee to the extreameft poynt
Ofmortall breathing, feize it if thou dar'f. Axm And if I do not,may my hands rot off,
And neuer brandifh more reuengefull fteele
Ouer the glittering helmer of my foe.
Another I. I take the earth to the like(forfworne Aumerle,)
And fpur thee on with full as many lies,
As it may be hollowed in thy trechervus eare
From finne to finne:there is my honors pawne,
Ingage it to the tryall if thou darfo.
Asm. Who fets me elfe? by heauen Ile throw at all
I haue a thoufand firits in one breaft,
To anfwer twentie thoufand fuch as you. Swr. My Lord Fitzwater,I do remember well
The verie time Aumerle and you did talke. Fitz. Tis very true, your were in prefence then,
And you can witneffe with me this is true.
Sur. As falfe by heauen,as heauen it felfe is true.
Fitz. Surrie thoulicf.
Sur. Dihonourable boy, that ly fhally fo heauic on my fword
That it thall render vengance and reuenge,
Till thou the lie giuer, and that lie do lie
In earth as quiet as thy fathers fcull.
In proofe whereofitere is mine honours pawne,
Ingage is to the rryall if thou darfo.
Fitz. How fondly dof thou fpur a forward horfe,
IfI dare eate, or drinke, or breathe, or liue,
I dare meete Surry ina wildernefie,
And fipit vpon him whillt I fay he lies,
And lies, and lies: there is my bond of faith
To tie thee so my ftrong correction:
As. intend to thriue in this new world,
Aumerle is guiky of my true appealc.
Befides, I heard the banimed Norfolke fay,

## The Tragedie of:

That thou Aumerle didat fend two ofthy men,
To execute the noble Duke of Calice.
Aum. Some honeft chriftian truft mewith a gage,
That No:ffolke lies, heere doe I throwe downe this,
If he may be repeald to tric his honour.
Bull. Thefedifferences fhall all reft vnder gage;
Till Norffolke be repea!d, repeald he fhall be, And though mine enemy, reftord againe
To all his lands and figniories; when he is retura'd,
Againft Awmerle we will inforce his triall.
Carl. That honorable day fhall neuer be feenes
Many a time hath banifh Norffolke fought,
For Iefi Chrift in glorious chriftian field,
Streaming the enfigne of the chriftian Croffe,
Againft blacke Pagans, Turkes and Saracens,
And toild with workes of warre, retird himfelfe
To Italy, and chere at Venice gave
His body to a pleafant countries earth,
And his pure foule vato his captaine Chrift,
Vniter whofe colours he had fought fo long.
Butl. Why Bilhop, is Norfolke dead?
Cart. As fure as I liue, my Lord.
Bul. Sweete peace, conduct his fweete foule to the bofome
Of good olde Abrabams : Lords A ppellants,
Your differences fhall all reft vnder gage,
Till we afligne you to your dayes of triall. Enter Yorke.
Yorke Great Duke of Lancafter I come to thee,
From plume-pluckt Richard, who with willing foule
Adopts thee heire, and his high Scepter yeeldes,
To the poffeffios ofaty royall hand:
A fcend his throne, defcending now from him,
And long live Henry fourth of that name.
Bull. In'Gods name, lle afcend the regall throne. Carl. Mary God forbid.
Worlt in this royall prefence I may fpeake:
Yet beff befeeming me to fpeake ihe truth:
Would God any in this noble prefence,
Wesc enough noble to be vpright ludge

Of noble Richard: Then true noblenefte would
Learne him forbearance from fo foule a wrong.
What fubiect can give fentence on his King?
And who fits not here that is not Richards fubiect?
Theeues are not iudged, but they are by to heare,
Although apparant guilt be feene in them:
And hall the figure of Gods Maieftie,
His Captaine, fteward, depity, eleet,
Annointed, crowned, planted many yeeres,
Beiudg'd by fubiect and inferior breath, And he himfelfe not prefentr Oh forfend it God,
That in a Chriftian Climate foules refinde
Should hew fo hainous blacke obfcene a deed.
1 feake to fubiects, and a fubiect fpeakes,
Stird vp by God thus boldly for his King.
My Lord of Hereford here whom you call King,
Is a foule traitour to prowd Herefords King,
And if you crownehim, let me prophefie,
The blood of Englifh ihall manure the ground,
And future ages groane for his foule act,
Peace fhall goe fleepe with Tukes and infidels,
And in this feate of peace, tumultuous wars
Shall kin with kin, and kinde with kinde confound :
Diforder, horror, feare and mutiny,
Shall here inhabit, and this land be cald,
The field of Golgotha and dead mens skuls.
Ohif you rayfe this houfe againft his houfe,
It will the wofullef diuifion proue,
That euer fell vpon this curfed earth:
Preuent it, refilf it, and lee it nor be fo,
Left child, childs children crie againf you woe.
Nortb. Well haue you argued $\mathrm{Gir}_{\text {r }}$ and for your payses,
Of Capitall treafon, we arreft you here:
My Lord of Weftminfter, be it your charge,
To keepehim fafely till his day of triall.
Butl. Let it befo, and loe on wednefday next,
We folemnely proclanme our Coronation,
Lords beready all.

## The Trugedio of

Manct Weft. Abbot $A$ wofull Pageant haue we heere beheld. Carleil, Au.. Carl. The woe's to come, the children yet vaborme. merle. Shall feele this day as Charpe to them as thome. Aum. You holy Clergy men, is there no plot, To rid the realme of this pernitious blot? Abbot Before I freely fpeeake my minde hecrein, You thall not onely take the Sacrament, To bury mine intents, but allo to effect, What euer 1 hall happen to deuife: 1 fee your browes are full of difcontent, Your heart of forrow, and your eyes of teares: Come home with me to fupper, lle lay a plot, Shall hew vs all a merry day. Exeunt. 2 ueene This way the King will come, this is the way Encer Quene To Julins calars ill erected sower, with her attendants. To whore fint bofome my condemned Lord Is doomde a prifoner by prowde Bulling brooke. Heere let vs reft, if this rebellious earth Haue any refting for her true Kings Queene. enter Rich. But foft, but fee, or rather, doe not fee, My faire Rofe wither:yet looke vp, behold, That you in pitty may diflolue to deaw, And walh him frefh againe with true louc teares. Ah thou the modell where olde Troy did fand! Thou mappe of Honour, thou king $R$ ichards toombe, And not king lichard: thou moft beauteous Inne, Why fhould hard fauourd griefe be lodged in thee, When triumph is become an Alehoufegueft :

Rich. Ioyne not with griefe,faire woman, do not fo, To make my end toofudden, learne good foule, To thinke our former flate a bappy dreame, From which awakt, the trueth of what we are Shews vs but this: I amn fworne(brother fwecte) To grim Neceflitie, and he and I Will keepe a league till death. Hie thee to France, And cloifter thee in fome religious houfe :
Our holy liues mult winne anew worlds crowne, Which our prophane houres heere haue throwne downe.

And Madam, there is order tane for you, With all fwife foeede you mult away to France. King Northumberland, thou ladder wherewithall
The mounting Bubing brooke afcendes my hrone,
The time fhall not be many houres of age
More thenit is, ere foule finne gathering head
Shall breake into corruption, thou fhalt thinke,
Though he diuide the Realme, and giwe thee halfe,
It is too little, helping him to all:
He fhall thinke, that thou which knowft the way
To plast varighsfullkings, wilt know againe,

## The T'ragedie of

## Bciag nere fo little vrgd another way,

To pluck: him headlong from the vfurped throne.
The loue of wicked men conuerts to feare,
That feare to hate, and hate turnes one or both To worthy danger and deferued death.

North. My guile be on my head, and there an end: Take leane and part, for you muft part forthwith.

King Doubly durercs,(badde meri) you violate A twofold mariage, betwixt my Crowne and me. And then betwixt me and my maried wife. Let me vnliffe the oath betwixt thee and me: And yet not fo, for with a kilfe t'was made, Part vs Northumberland, I towards the North, Where Rivering cold and fickneffe pines the clime: My wife to France, from whence fer foorth in pompe, She came adorned bither like fweete May, Sent backe like Hollowmas, or thortft of day. Queene And mult we be diuided? mult we part? King I, hand from hand (my loue) and heart from heart. 2 weene Banifh vs both, and fend the King with me. King That were fome loue, but little policie. 2 Querne Then whither he goes, thither let me goe. King Sotwo togither weeping make one woe, Weepe for me in France, 1 for thee here, Ecter farre off then neere be nere the neerc: Goe coune thy way with fighes, I mine with groanes. Queene So longeft way thall haue the longeft moancs, King Twife for one ftep lle groane, the way being hort, And piece the way our with a heauie heart. Come, come, in woong forrow lets be briefe, Sunce wedding it, there is fuch length in griefe: One kiffe thall foppe our mouthes, and doubly part, Thus giue I mine, and thus take I thy heart: 2) To talke on me to keepe, and klil thy beat: So now thate mine owne againe, be gone, That I may ftriue to kill it with a groane,

Kang We make woe wanton with this fond defay,

## King Irichard the fecond.

Once more adew, the reft let forrow fay. exeust.
Du. My Lord, you told me you would tell theref,
When weeping made you breake the fory
Of our two Coofins comming into Lendon.
Yorke. Where did I leauc?
Dw. At that fad fop my Lord,
Where rude mifgouernd handes from windowes tops,
Threw duft and rubbith on King Richards head.
Yorke Then (as I fayd) the Duke great Bullingbrooke,
Mounted vpon a hote and fierie fieede,
Which his afpiring rider feemde to know,
With flow, but ftately pace kept on his courfe, While all tongues cride, God faue the Bulling brooke,
You would have thought the verie windowes foake:
So many greedie lookes of yong and old,
Through cafements darted their defiring eyes
Vpon his vifage, and that all the wals,
With painted imagery had fayd at once,
Iefu preferue the welcome Bulling brooke,
Whilt he from the one fide to the other turning
Bare-headed, bower then his prowd Gleeds necke Befpake them thus, I thanke you countrymen: And thus fill doing, thus he pafft along.

Dw. Alacke poore Richard, where rides be the whilf?
Torke As in a Theater the eyes of men,
After a wel graced Actor leaues the ftage, Areidly bent on him that enters next,
Thinking his prattle to be tedous:
Euen fo, or with much more contempt mens eyes
Did fcoule on gente Richard, no man cried, God faue hime
No ioyfull tongue gaue him lis welcome home,
But duft was throwne vpon his facred head,
Whi h with fuch gentle forrow he fhooke off,
His face fill combating with teares and fomiles,
The badges of his griefe and patience,
That had not God for fome ftrong purpofefteeld
The hearts of men, they mult perforce have meited?
And Barbarrine it Celfe haus pittied him:

## The Trugedic of

Butheauen hath a hand in thefe events,
To whofe hie will wee bound our calme contents,
To Bulingbrooke are we furorne fubiects now,
Whofe ftate and honour I for ay allow.
Ds. Here comes my fonne Aumerle.
Torke Aumerle that was,
But that is loft, for being Richards friend:
And Madam, you muft call him Rutland now: $I$ am in parliament pledege for his truth And latting featue to the newe made King.

Din. Welcome my fonne, who are the violet now That itrew the greene lappe of the new come fpring. Aum. Madam I know not, nor I greatly care not,
God knowes I had as lefe be none as one.
Yorke Well, beare you well in this new fpring of time,
Leaft you be cropt before you come to prime.
What newes from Oxford, do thefe iufts and triumphs hold?
Aum. For aught I know (my Lord) ihey do.
Yorke You will be there I know.
Aum. If God preuent not I purpere fo.
rorke What feale is that that hangs without thy bofome?
Yea,lookf thou pale ? let me fee the writing-
Aum. My Lord, tis nothing.
Torke No matter then who fee it,
I will be fatiffied, let me fee the writing.
Aums. I do befeech your grace to pardon we,
If is a materer of fmall confequence,
Which for fome reafons I would not haue feene.
Torke Which for fome reafons, fir I meane to fee.
Ifeare 1 feate.
Dis. What hould you feare?
Tis nothing but fome band that he is entred into Forgay apparrellagainf the triumph.

Torke Bound to himfelfe, what doth hee with a bond
That he is bound to. Wife, thou art a foole,
Boy, let me fee the writing.
Aums. I do befech you pardon me, 1 may not fhew'.
Torke I will be fatisfied, let me fee ir I fay:

King Ricbard the focound.
Torke Treafon,foule treafon, villaine, traitor, Haue. $^{\text {. }}$
Dn. What is the matter my Lord?
Torke Ho, who is within there? faddle myhore,
God for his mercy! what trechery is here?
Du. Why, what is it my Lord?
Torke Giue me my bootes I fay, faddle my horfe,
Now by mine honour, my life, n.y troth,
I will appeach the villaine.
Dw. What is the matter?
Torke Peace foolifh woman.
ma. I will not peace, what is the matter Aumerle :
Aum. Good mother be content, it is no more
Then my poore life mult anfwere.
Dr. Thy life anfwere ${ }^{\text {? }}$
Yorke Bring me my bootes, I will vnto the King.
Du. Strike him Aumerle, poore boy thou art amazd,
Hence villaine, neuer more come in my fight.
Torke Gise me my bootes I fay.
Du. Why Yorke what wilt thou do?
Wilt not thou hide the trefpaffe of thine owne?
Hane we more fonnes? or are we like to have?
Is not my teeming date drunke vp with time?
And wile thou plucke my faire fonne from mine age?
And robbe mee of happie mothers name,
Is he not like thee ? is he not thine owne?
Yorke Thoufond mad woman,
Wilt thou conceale this darke confpiracie?
A doozen of them here haue tane the facraments.
A nd interchangeably fet downe their hands,
To kill the King at Oxford.
Du. He fhall be none, weele keepe him here;
Then what is that to him?
Yorke Away fond woman; were he twentie times my fonne; I would appeach him.
Du. Hadt thou groand for him as I haue done,
Thou would ft be more pittufull :
But now I know thy minde, thou doft furpect
That I haue beene dinloyall to thy bed,
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And that he is a baftard, not thy fonne:
Swecte Yorke, iweete husband be not of that minde,
He is as like thee as a man may be,
Not hike me or any of my kinne,
And yet Iloue hirn.
Yorke Make way vnruly woman.
Exit.
Du. After Aumerle: mount thee vpon his horfe,
Spur, polt, and get before him to the King,
And beg thy pardon, ere he do accure thee,
Ilcroot be long behind, though 1 beold,
3 doubt not but to ride as falt as Yorke,
A nd neuer will I rife vp from the ground,
Till Bullang brooke haue pardoned chee, away, be gone.
Euter the king King Ho. Canno man tell me of my vathaftie fonne?
with bis nobl's Tis full three moneths fince I did fee him laft:
If any plague hang ouer vs tis hee,
1 would to God my Lords, he enight be found:
Inquire at London, monget the Tauernes there,
For there they fay, be daily doth frequent,
With vareftraned loofe companions,
Euen fuch (hey fay) as fland in narrow lanes,
And beate our watch, and robbeour paffengers,
Which he yong wanton and effeminate boy,
Takes on the point of honor to fupport fo diffolute a crew.
H. Percie My Lord,fome wo daies fince I faw the Prince,

And told him of thofe triumphs held at Oxford. King And what faid the gallant? Percie His anfwere was, he would to the fewes,
And from the commoneft creature plucke a gloue, And weare it as a favour, and with that He would vnhorfe the luftieft Challenger. *ing H. As diffolute as defperate, yct through both Ifec fome fparkles ofbetter hope, which elder yeares May happily bring forth. Bus who comes here?
Enter AuAnm. Where is the King?

King Withdrawe your felues, and leate va heerc atone:What is the mater with our coolin now?

Amm: For euer may my knees growe fo the earth, My tongue cleaue so my roofe within my mouth, Vnleffe a pardon ere I rife or fpeake.

King Intended, or committed, was this fault?
If on the firtt, how heynous ereit be,
To winne thy after loue, I pardon thee.
Aium. Then give me leaue that I may turne the key,
That no man enter till ny tale be done.
King Hane thy defire.
Toike My liege beware, looke to thy felfe,
Thou halt a traitor in thy prefence there.
King Villaine, lle make thee fafe. An. Stay thy reuengefull hand, thou haft no caufe tofeare. The Duke of rorke Open the doore, fecwre foole, hardy King,
Shall I for loue fpeake treafon so thy face?
Open the doore, or I wil breake it open.
King What is the matter vacle,fpeake,recouer breath, Tel vs, how neere is danger,
That we may arme vs to encounter it?
Torke Perufe this writing here, and thou Ghalt know,
The treafon that my hafte forbids me fhew.
Aum. Remember as thou read't, thy promile patt,
I doe repent me, reade not my name there,
My heart is not confederate with my hand.
Yorke It was(villaine)ere thy hand did fetit downe:
I tore is from the traitors bofome ( King )
Feare, and not loue, begets his penitence:
Forget to pitty him, left thy pitty proue
A ferpent, that wil fting thee to the heart.
King O heynous, ftrong, and bolde cenfpiracys
O loyall father of a treacherous fonne!
Thou fheere immaculate and filuer Fountaine,
From whence this ftreane through muddy paliages
Hath hald his current, and defilde himfelfe:
Thy oue:flow of good conuerts to bad,
And thy aboundant goodses thall excure
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This deadly blot in thy digreffing fonne.
Yor. Sóflatil my vertue, be his vices baude, And he fiall fend mine honour, with his thame, As thriftles fonnes, their fcraping Fathers gold: Mune hanour lues when his difhonour dies, Or my fhamde life in his difhonour lies: Thou kilt me in his life guing him breath, The traitor lives, the true man's put to death. $D u$. What ho,my Liege, for Gods fakelet me in.
King H. What frill voic'd fuppliant makes thes eger cris ?
Du. A woman, and chy aunt (great king) tisI,
Speake with me, pitie me, open che cioore,
A beggar begs thit neuer begd before.
King Our fene is altred from a ferious thing,
And now changde to the Begar and the King:
Aly dangerous coufin, let your mother in,
1 know hhe is come to pray for your fonle finne.
Yorke If thou do pardon whofocuer piay,
More finnes for this for iwenes prolpermay:
This feftred ioynt cut off, the reft relt found,
This let alone willall the reft confound.
Dr. Oh king, belecue not this hard-hearted man:
Loue louing not it felfe, none other can.
Yorke Thou frantike woman, what doft thou make here?
Shalit thy old dugs once more a craitor reare?
Du. Sweete Yorke be patient, heare me gentie Liege.
King H. Rurevpgeodaunt.
Diw. Not yet I thee befeech.
For euer will I walke vpon my knees,
And nenerfee day thit the happy fees,
Till thou giue ioy, untill thou bid me ioy,
By pardoning Rutland my tranfgreffing boy.
Aum. Vino my mothers prayers I bend my knee.
Yorke A gainft them both my crueioynts bendid be,
Ill mayff thou thriue if thou graune any grace.
Dr. Pleades he in earneft olooke, ypon his face.
His ejes do drop no teares, his prayers are in ieft,
His words do come from his nouth, ours from ourbreaft,

King. R outhardibe fecond.
He prayes but faintly, and would be denide, We pray with heart and foule, and at befide: H is weary ioynts would gladly rife hkow, Our knees fill kneele till to the ground they grow: His prayers are fill of falle hypocrifie, Ours of true zeale and deepe integritie: Our prayers do out-pray his, then let them haue That mercy which true prayer ought to haue. King Good Aunt ftand vp.
Du. Nay, do not fay, ftand vp;
Say pardon firft, and afierwards ftand $v p$, And if I were thy nurfe thy tongue to teach, Pardon thould be the firft word of thy fpeech: I neuer longd to heare a word till now, Say pardon king, let pitieteachithee how:
The word is thort, but not fo thort as fweete, No word like pardon for kings mouthes fo meete. Yorke Speake itin French, King fay, Pardonne noy. Du. Doft thou reach pardon? pardon to deftroy:
Ah my fowre husband, my hard hearted Lord!
That fets the word it felfe againft the word;
Speake pardon as tis currant in our land,
The chopping French we do not vnderftand:
Thine cie begms to f peake, fee thy tongue there,
Orin thy pitcous heart, plant thou thine eare,
That hearing how our plaints and prayers doe pierce,
Pitie may mooue thee pardon to rehearfe.
King $H$. Good aunt ftand vp.
Dis. I doe not fueto fland.
Pardon is all the fute I haue in hand.
Kivg I pardon him as God Thall pardon me,
$D u$, O happy vantage of a knecling knee.
Yet am I Gicke for feare, fpeake it agaiae,
Twice faying pardon, doth not pardon twaine,
But makes one pardon ftrong.
King F. I pardon him with all ney heart,
Dut. A god on earth thou art.
King FF. But for our trufty brother in law and he Abbot,
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W th all the reft of that conforted crew, Deftru®tion frait hall dog them at the hectes. Good vncle, heip to order fcuerall'powers To Oxford, or where ere thefe craitours aze, They hilli not lue within this world If weare, But I will haue them, if I once know where. Vncle farcwell,and coofin adue, Your mother well hath prayed, and prooue youtrue. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Du. Come my olde fonne, I pray God make thee new. Exton Didft thou not marke the K, what wordshe fpake Haue I no fre:nd wilrid ine of thus liuing feare?
Was it not fo?
CMan. Thefe were his very wordes.

Exoust.
Maset Sir
Precce Exton \&c.

Exion Haue I no friend quoth he? he Spake is twice,
And vrgoge it twice sogether, did he not?
JJam. He did.
Extom And fpeaking it, he willlylookt on nee,
As who hould fay, I would thou wert the man,
That would dinorce this terror from my heart,
Meaning the King at Pomfret. Corue, lets go,
I am the Kings friend, and will rid his foe.
Enter Rio Rich. Ihaue beene Rudying how to compare
shard alone. This prifon where Iliue, vnto the world:
And forbecaufethe world is populous,
And heere is nota creature but my felfes
I cannot docit: yet lle hammer it out?
My braine lie proous the female to my foule,
My foule the father, and thefe two beget A generation of fill-breeding thoughts: And there fame thoughts people this little world,
In humowrs like tne people of this worid:
For no thought is contented : the better fort,
As thoughts of thengs dinuine are intermixt
Winh fcruples, ar 1 do fet the wordit felfe
Againft thy word, as thus: Come litele ones, and then againe,
It is as hard to conecas fer a Canmell
Torhreed che fimall pofterne of finall needles sye:
Thoughts iending to ambition they doe plot
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Vnlikely woonders : how thefe vaine weake nayles May zeare a paflage thorow the fintyribs Of this hard worid, my ragged prifon walles: And for they cannor die in their owne pride, Thoughts tending to conent, flater themfelues,
That they are not the firt of fortunes flaues, Nor fhallinot be the laft, like feely beggars Who fiting in the itockes, refuge their fiame,
That many haue, and others mult lit there.
And in this thought they finde a kinde of eare, Bearing their owne misfortunes on the backe Of fuch as haue before indurde the like. Thus play I in one prifon many people, And none contented; fometimes am Ia King, Then treafons make ine wifh my felfe a beggar, And fol am:then crufhing penurie
Periwades me I was better when a King,
Then am I a king againe, and by and by,
Thinke that I am vriningde by Bullingbrooke,
And ftrait am nothing. But what ere 1 be,
Nor 1 , nor any man, that but man is,
With nothing fhall be pleafde, till he be calde,
With being nothing. Muficke do lheare, the maficke plaies.
Ha ha, keepe time, how fowre fweete muficke is.
When sime is broke, and no proportion kep;;
So is itin the muficke of mens liues:
And here have 1 the daintine fle of eare
To checke time broke in difordered ftring:
But for the concord of my fate and tine,
Had not an eare to heare my true time broke,
I watted time, and now doth time wafte me:
For now hath time mads his numbring clocke;
My thoughts are minutes, and will fighes they iarre,
Therr watches on vnto mine eyes the outward watch
Whereto my finger like a didlles poyrt.
1s pointing fill, in de anfing then from teares.
Nuw fix, the found that tells what noure it is,
Are clanorous groanss, which itnke ypon my heare
Which is the beill; fofighes, and resces, and greanes,

## The Tragedie of

Shew miautes, times, and houres: but my time
Runnes pofting onin Bullingbrookes prowdioy, While 1 fand fooling heere his iacke of the clocke:
This mulicice maddes me, let it found no more, For though it hause holpe mad men to their wirts, In me it feemes it will make wife men mad: Yet bleffing on his heart that gines it me, For t'is a figne of loue : and lone to Richard, Is a firange brooch in this al hating world. Groome. Lailarnyall Pance.

The cheapelit of us is ten groats too deare. What ant thou, and how comeft thou hither, Where no mannetier comes but that lad dog, That brings me food to make misfortune hure? Groome. I was a poore groome of thy ftable King, When thou wert King : who trauelling to wards Yorke, With much adoe (at length) haue gotten leaue, To looke vpon my fometimes royall mafters face: Oh how it end my heart, when I beheld In London ftreetes that Coronation day, When Bullingbrooke rode on Roane Barbarie, That horfe, that thou fo often baft beftride, That horfe, that Ifo carefully hane dreft. Rich. Rode he on Barbarie, tell me gentle friend, How went he ander him?

Groome. So prowdly, as if he difdainde the ground. R ${ }^{\text {ich. }}$. So prowdthat Bullingbrooke was on his backc:
That lade hath eate bread from my royall hand;
This hand hath made him prowd with clapping him: Would he not fumble? would he not fall downe? Since pride mull haue a fall, and breake the necke Of that prowd man, that did wfurpe his backe?
Forgiuenes horfe, why doe I rayic on thee?
Sisce thou created to be awde by man,
Walt borne to beare, I was not made a horfe,
And yes I beare a burthen like an affe,
Spurrde, galld, and tyrde by iauncng Bullingbrooke. Kececr Fellow giue place; here is no longer flay.
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Ricb. If thou loue me, tis time thou wert away.
Groome What my tongue darés not, that ny heart Chal fay. Ewer one to Keeper My Lord, wilt pleafe you to fall to? Richard with
Rich. Tafte of it firft, as thou art wont to do. meat.
Keepar My Lord I darenor, fir Pierce of Exton,
Who lately came from the King, commands the contrary.
Rich. The diuell take Henry of Lancafter, and thee,
Patience is ftale, and I am weary of it.
Koeper Helpe, helpe, helpe.
Rich. How now, what meanes Death in this rude affauk? Villaine thy owne hand yeelds thy deaths inftrument, Goe thou and fill another roome in hell.
Rich. That hand hall burne in neuer qienching fire,
That ftaggers thus my perfon: Exton, thy fierce hand
Hath with the kings blood ftainci the kings owne land,
Mount, mount my foule, thy feate is vp on hie,
Whilf my groffe flefh finkes downeward here to die.
Extos As fill of valure, as of royall blood:
Both haue I filld, Oh would the deed were geod!
For now the diuell that cold me $I$ did well,
Saies that this deed is chronicled in hell:
This dead king tothe liuing king ile beare,
Take hence the reft, and giue them buriall here.
King Kind vnckle Yorke, he lateft newes we heare, Is, th.t the rebels hane confumed with fire
Our towne of Ciceter in Glocefterhhire: Whrer Balling-

But whether they be tane or flaine, we heare nots
Welcome my Lord, what is the newes?
North. Firf to thy facred flate winh I all happinefle,
Enter Noom
Then xt newes is, I have to London fent,
Here Exton Arolishim down.

The heads of Oxford, Salisburie, and Kent:
The manner of their taking may appeare
At large difcourfed in this paper here.
King We chanke thee gende Percie for thy paymes,
And to thy worth will adde right worthie gaines.
Fizz. My Lord, I bave from Oxford fent to London, Eister Lords
The heads of Broccas, and fir Benet Seely,
Fiv̌uaters.
Two of the dancerous conferted trai:ours,
That foughtat Oxford thy dire owerthrow,
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King Thy paines Fitz: Mall not be forgot, Right rioble is thy mert well I wot.
 sercic.

Enter Exton with the cotfirs

Percit The grand compirator Abbot of Wefleminster, With clogge of confcience and fowre melancholie, Hath yeelded vp his body to the graue:
But here is Caricil hiung, to abide
Thy kingly doome, and lentence of his pride.
King Carlecil, this is your doome,
Chufe out fome fecret place, fome renerend roome
More then thou haft, and with it ioy thy life,
So as thou hri 'tin peace, die free from ftrife:
For though mine enemy thou halt euce beene, High (parkes of honour in thee haue If fene.

Exton GrearKing, within this coffin I prefent Thy buried feare : heercin all breathlefle lies
The mightieft of thy greateft enemies, Richard of Burdessex, by me hither brought. King Exton, I thanke thee not, for thou haf wrought A deede of flug ght r with thy fatall hand, V pon my hezd, and ali this famous land. Exton Fiom your owne mouth, my Lo: did I this deede.
King They loue not poifon that do poifon neede,
Nor doe I thee, though I did wihh him dead,
1 hate the murtherer, loue him murthered:
The guilt of confcience take thou for thy labour, But neither my good word, nor princely fauour: With Caise goe wander through the fhade of nighe,
And neuer fhew thy head by day nor light.
I. olds, I proteft my foule is full of woe,

That bloud fhould frinckle ne to make sse grow:
Come mourne with me, for what I doc lament, And put on fullea blacke incontineat: Ile make a voyage to the Holy land, To wath this bloud off from my guilty hand. March fadly after, grace ny mournings heere, In weeping after this vatimely Beere.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The usual fee for registration.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The other page on which $B$ has the incorrect reading is $A_{4}$ recto (r. i. I39: 'Ah but' for ' But '). It was therefore set up from a copy of the First Quarto which had sheets $\cdot A C D$ uncorrected and the rest corrected.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand it was so unusual in verbs ending in 'er' with past participles in 'ered 'for the e to be pronounced both before and after r , that we find delivered, disordered, suffered, slaughtered, etc., printed out in full, where modern editors have thought fit to substitute deliver'd, disorder'd, suffer'd, slaughter'd, etc.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quoted in the Rev. Cyril. A. Alington's A Schoolmaster's Apology (Longmaris, 1914).

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Logically, to omit, because of the Pope's Bull, lines intended to enlist sympathy on the side of Richard, was absurd. But in times of political excitement logic counts for little.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ With these two probably accidental omissions from the Folio we may mention that of the two words 'My Lo.', i. e. 'My Lord,' which in modern editions form line iv. i. 326 , though the First Quarto runs them into the next line. This omission, however, originated in W.

