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## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

Ir has been my object, in drawing up the following Treatise, to furnish my students, and others, with a useful manual, in a department of classical literature to which sufficient attention is not paid in many of the country schools of Scotland.

In the first part of the work, I have endeavoured to state, with precision, the various rules which can be laid down for determining the quantity of Latin words; and in illustrating this part of my subject, I have proceeded upon a principle, the truth of which must be generally acknowledged, although, in so far as I am aware, it has never been acted upon in books devoted to this topic. Nothing is more certain, than that in every language, the pronunciation of many words is different at different epochs, and consequently, their quantity must be liable to change. We know that this took place in Greek; we know that this has taken place in English ; and we shall easily be satisfied upon investigation, that the same was the case in Latin also. Yet in the greater number of books upon Latin Prosody, we find no distinction made between the writers quoted as authorities, whatever may have been their age, the purity of their style, or the comparative value of the MSS. upon which the received texts are founded. Ennius and Lucilius, Lucretius and Catullus, Tibullus and Horace, Statius and Martial, Claudian and Ausonius, are all thrown together at random, while no clue is afforded to the young scholar, by the aid of which he may thread his way through the labyrinth, and judge correctly of the value of their respective testimonies. Hence the multitude of doubtful quantities with which his memory is burdened, many of them called doubtful, when the contending witnesses are Virgil and Martianus Capella, or Ovid and Sidonius Apollinaris. In every case I have taken as the rule, the practice of those poets who flourished during the golden age of Roman literature. I have not omitted to notice the variations from this standard, which are to be found in authors who wrote while the language still maintained some degree of purity; but I have never called the quantity of a syllable doubtful, when the practice of Virgil and his contemporaries is uniform ; and I have thought it quite unnecessary to encumber my pages with more than a few passing allusions to the trashy verses poured forth by the
mistaken zeal of the early Christians, which abound with the grossest solecisms, and are no more entitled to respect in matters of prosody, than the exercises of a blundering schoolboyin modern times.

But in addition to these, there are some poems, classical in the strictest sense, whose evidence cannot be received at all, or must, at least, be viewed with suspicion.

1. We can attach no importance, in controverted points, to those early bards of whom nothing has descended to us except short and mutilated fragments, such as Ennius, Naevius, Lucilius, and the like. It is well known that these scraps are all collected at secondhand, from the old grammarians and others, who cited them for the purpose of proving or illustrating particular points, which seldom have any reference to quantity. The quotations, it would appear, were frequently made from memory, and therefore subject to every kind of change and corruption in the first instance, in addition to the subsequent mutilations which they suffered in transcription, arising from the strange and uncouth dialect in which many of them were expressed.
2. The comic dramatists, Plautus and Terence, must also be excluded, and this for the best possible reason: we are still ignorant of the laws by which their verse is regulated, if indeed they did think it necessary to confine themselves within the limits of any well defined rules. Notwithstanding the labours of such men as Erasmus, Scaliger, Faber, Hare, Bentley, Hermann, and a host of others, the Latin comic metres are involved in the deepest obscurity, and the original text has, in numerous passages, been mercilessly mangled by the vain efforts of ingenious men, to force it into accordance with their systems. It is more than probable, that much time and talent have been wasted in seeking something which never can be found ; such at least is the conclusion at which we must arrive, if we adopt the opinion of Cicero, who was surely better qualified than we can be, to decide such a question. "At comicorum senarii propter similitudinem sermonis sic saepe sunt abiecti, ut nonnunquam vix in eis numerus et versus intelligi possit; quo est ad inveniendum difficilior in oratione numerus, quam in versibus."-Cicero Orat. LV., § 184.

We may remark, in addition, that the individuals comprehended in the above classes, flourished at a period when the Roman tongue was still in the process of formation, before the delicacies of its structure and pronunciation were fully established and recognized.
3. We can put no faith in those compositions which are known as the Tragedies of Seneca. Granting that they are really ancient, and this has been doubted by many able scholars, no one can tell who the Seneca was whose name they bear, or when he lived. It is certain that they are not all the work of the same person; it is
not easy to determine how many differęnt hąnds have been employed in making up the collection ; and it is impossible to fix the different periods at which they may have been severally produced.

It will be useful to the student to give a catalogue of the Latin Poets, with the date of the birth and death of each, where these particulars can be ascertained, and a statement of their relative value as metrical authorities.

| Livius Andronicus, | B.C. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flourished. } \\ 240 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Died. } \\ & 220 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naevius, . | - - | 235 | 201 |
| Ennius, | 239 | - | 169 |
| Plautus, | 227 | - | 184 |
| Caecilius, | . - | 179 | 168 |
| Afranius, | - - | 159 | - |
| Pacuvius, | 219 | 154 | 130 (?) |
| Terentius, | 195 | - | 159 |
| Accius, | 170 | 139 | alive 103 |
| Lucilius, | 148 | 121 | 103 |
| Lucretios, | 95 | - | 52 |
| Catullus, | 87 | - | 57 (?) |
| Virgilius, | 70 | - | 19 |
| Horatius, | 65 | - | 8 |
| Tibullus, | 59 (?) | - | 18 |
| Propertius, | 51 (?) | - | 15 |
| Ovidius, . - | 43 | - | A.C. 17 |

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Cornelius Gallus, } \\ \text { Pedo Albinovanus, } \\ \text { Publius Syrus, } \\ \text { Marcus Manilius, } \\ \text { Gratius Faliscus, } \\ \text { Aulus Sabinus, } \\ \text { Caesar Germanicus. }\end{array}\right.$

| Phedrus, | A.c. - | 48 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silius Italicus, | 25 | - | 100 |
| Persius, - | 34 | - | 63 |
| Lucanus, . | 38 | - | 65 |
| Juvenalis, | 38 | - | 119 |
| Martialis, | 40 | - | 101 |
| Petronius Arbiter, | - - | 61 | - |
| Valerius Flaccus, |  | 69 | 89 |
| Statius, - | 61 | - | 96 |
| Sulpitia, . | - - | 88 | - |


| Avienus, | Born. | Flourished. $160(374)$ | Died |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dionysius Cato, | - | 160 |  |
| Sererus Sammonicus, | - | - | 212 |
| Commodianus, | - | 265 |  |
| Nemesianus, | -- | 284 | - |
| Calpurnius, | - | 284 | - |
| Porphyrius, | - | 326 |  |
| Juvencus, . | - | 337 | - |
| Ausonius, | 309 | - | 394 |
| Falconia, . | - | 394 |  |
| Pruclentius, | 348 | - | 4... |
| Claudianus, | 365 (?) | 400 |  |
| Numatianus, | - | 416 |  |
| Paulinus, | 353 | - | 431 |
| Prosper Aquitanus, | - | - | 463 |
| Sedulius, - | - | 450 |  |
| Mamertus, | - | - | 474 |
| Sidonius Apollinaris, | 428 | - | 484 |
| Dracontius, | - | 456 | - |
| Martianus Capella, | - | 474 |  |
| Avitus, | - | 490 | - |
| Boëthius, | - | - | 524 |
| Venantius Fortunatus, | 530 | - | - |

In the above list, those who precede Lucretius must be thrown out of consideration altogether, for the reasons already explained. Lucretius himself, although inferior in genius to none of his successors, scarcely occupies the first rank in the estimation of the Prosodian. For it must be borne in mind that the author of the De Rerum Natura thought fit, like our own Spenser, to adopt io style much more antiquated than that in actual use among his contemporaries; and his poem may be said to exhibit the language in its transition state, at a period when much of the ancient roughness was removed; but when it had not yet received the last brilliant polish. Virgil, Horace, Tibullus, Propertius, and Ovid, are our great standards, yet even among these, slight differences may be perceived. The two former never admit the double $i$ in the genitive of nouns of the second declension in ium and ius, which is common in Ovid, and the shortening of final $o$ in verbs, which was afterwards extended to nouns and adverbs, first begins to appear in the immediate successors of Virgil. Of the above, Propertius is the least valuable, on account of the small number and imperfections of the MSS., which have, in many passages, baffled the acuteness of the most practised editors. Of Catullus, also, there are very few trustworthy MSS., and hence his text is in several passages either certainly corrupt, or, at best, doubtful.

Next follows a group of seven, all of little moment. The pieces attributed to Cornelius Gallus, and Pedo Albinovanus, are by most persons deemed spurious; those epistles which bear the name of Aulus Sabinus, and the fragments of paraphrases of Aratus, said to be by Germanicus, are, at best, doubtful: of Publius Syrus nothing remains but some detached apothegms; and as to the lines on hunting by Gratius Faliscus, we are altogether in the dark. The Consolatio ad Liviam, and the Dirae in Ibin, which are printed along with the works of Ovid, probably belong to this period, but the authors are unknown. Critics have not yet decided on the pretensions of the astronomical treatise of Manilius, if that be his name. Vossius, in one work, confidently asserts, that he flourished under the Einperor Theodosius: while, in another, published afterwards, he ranks him among the contemporaries of Virgil. This is the opinion of Bentley also ; but even if we admit the justness of his decision, which seems to be based on very feeble probabilities, the text is so full of corruptions and interpolations, that we can have little confidence in any conclusions founded upon it. The same remark may be applied to Phaedrus; the fables are now generally received as authentic, but the text is derived from one or two indifferent MSS., and is, consequently, in many places confused and unsatisfactory. With regard to those who come after, up to the end of the first century, we give it as a rule, that their authority may be admitted in points where we can obtain no information from purer sources, but must never be placed in competition with that of the great masters who went before. All the successors of Statius must be considered useless for our present purpose ; if we make any exception, it will be in favour of Calpurnius, Ausonius, and Claudian, the latter of whom is not more remarkable for the purity of his diction, than for the glittering affectation of his tawdry style.

In the examples adduced to prove the rules for long final syllables, wherever it was practicable, lines have been given in which the syllable in question is not Caesural. But this cannot be done in every case, without having recourse to indifferent writers, and if we find certain syllables, or classes of syllables, uniformly long, the legitimate inference is, that this is their proper quantity, though they may never happen to occur, except at the beginning of a foot.

In treating of Latin Versification I have endeavoured to explain concisely, the structure of all the different kinds of verse employed by the best poets, and their combinations with each other, without touching, however, on the measures of comedians, since these would have required discussions of great length, and of a nature quite unsuited to an elementary work. The rules which have been given
in each instance, are intended as a guide to modern composers, and have been deduced from the study of the most approved models: Virgil in Heroic Verse, Ovid in Elegiac, Horace in Lyrics. In this part of the work, especially in all that relates to the Elegiac Distich, and to the Alcaic and Sapphic Stanzas, I beg to acknowledge the great assistance which I have received from various admirable papers by one of the first scholars in England, Mr. Tate, formerly of Richmond School, now a canon of St. Paul's. I may take this opportunity of expressing the obligations which I owe, in various parts of this book, to the Aristarchus of Vossius; and I do this the more readily, because I have frequently met with passages transcribed verbatim from that invaluable Treatise, without any intimation being made of the source from whence they were derived.

## advertisement To The second edition.

My Manual of Latin Prosody having been out of print for several years, I have, at the earnest request of many eminent teachers, prepared a new edition. The work has been carefully revised throughout, and considerably enlarged; the Chapter on the History of the Latin Alphabet has been entirely rewritten, and a new Chapter has been added on Saturnian Verses.
W. R.

Geasgow College, 1st January, 1859.
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## PRELIMINARY REMARKS.

Grammar, or the art of using words properly, is usually divided into four branches :-

1. Orthography, by which we are taught the art of combining letters into syllables, and syllables into words.
2. Prosody, by which we are taught the rules of pronunciation and versification.
3. Etymology, by which we are taught the deduction of one word from another, and the various modifications by which the sense of the same word is diversified. ${ }^{1}$
4. Syntax, by which we are taught the art of combining words into sentences.

With the two latter, we have no immediate concern in the present treatise.

In regard to Orthography, it will be sufficient to state, that the Roman alphabet, in its most perfect shape, consisted of twenty-one characters, two of which, however, have a double power.

The Vowels (vocales) or open, free-coming sounds, were five in number, viz., A, E, I, O, V. To these Y is sometimes added, but it never properly belonged to the Latin alphabet: it was introduced at a late period, and was employed only in words transplanted directly from the Greek, as the representative of Upsilon.

The Consonants (consonantes), or sounds produced by compressing the organs of speech, were eighteen in number, viz. $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N, P, Q, $R, S, T, V, X$. Of these, X is called a double consonant, because it was equivalent to CS, GS, or KS. The double consonant Z, equivalent to DS, or SD, like Y, was not recognized as belonging to the Latin alphabet: it was introduced at a late period, and was employed only in words transplanted directly from the Greek, as the representative of $Z$ eta.

It will be observed that $I$ and $V$ are placed above among both

[^0]the vowels and the consonants. The fact is, that each of these characters has, in some words, the force of a vowel, and in others the force of a consonant.

Thus, IACIO is a word of three syllables, and was, probab: y , pronounced YACIO, the first I having the force of a consonart, and the second I the force of a vowel. It is common in those cases in which the Latin I has the force of a consonant to represent it by J (JACIO), but this character was altogether unknown to the Romans; and as the employment of it has frequently led to false views and statements, it is now generally rejected in printing classical texts.

Again, VOLVVNT is a word of two syllables; the first and the second V have the force of consonants, resembling, probably, in sound, our W ; while the third V is a vowel, corresponding, probably, to our U , as pronounced in the word full. Hence it is common to represent the Latin $V$ in those cases in which it has the force of a vowel by the rounded $U$; and although this form of the character nowhere appears on the older monuments of the language, the employment of it is convenient, and rather obviates confusion.

L, M, N, R, are called Liquids, or Semi-vowels, because their sound is more flowing and open than that of $B, C, D, F, G, K, P, Q$, T, V, which are named Mutes. S, and the double consonant X, are generally placed by themselves, and denominated Sibilants, or hissing consonants.

Again, consonants are classified according to the quantity and force of breath required to articulate them distinctly. Those which require most are called Aspirates, such are F, H, V; those which require less than the preceding are called Medials, such are B, D, G; those which require least are called Tenues or Thin consonants, such are $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}$.

Another classification of consonants is founded upon the consideration of what portions of the organs of speech are principally called into operation; those proceeding from the throat and back part of the palate are called Gutturals, and sometimes Palatals, such are $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}$; those produced chiefly by the action of the tongue upon the teeth are called Dentals, such are $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{N}$; those produced chiefly by the compression of the lips are called LabiaLs, such are B, F, M, P, V. Sometimes more minute distinctions are introduced: thus, some writers designate D, L, I (consonant), as palato-dentals; F as a labio-dental; R,L, as palato-gutturals. Indeed, since the consonants are formed in a regular progression advancing from the lower portion of the throat to the extremity of the lips, we might, if it were necessary or desirable, distinguish each letter by an epithet denoting the particular portion of the throat or mouth from which it issued.

The philological phenomena connected with these natural classifications are so numerous, remarkable, and important, that the student ought to make himself master of the relations represented in the following table :-

|  | Gutturals. | Dentals. | Labials. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspirates,........... | H. | I (consonant). | F, V. |
| Medials, .......... | G. | D. | B. |
| Tenues, .............. | C, K, Q. | T. | P. |
| Liquids, .............. | $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{L}$. | N. | M. |
| Sibilants,........... | S, X. | (Z). |  |

If we arrange the vowels in the order of formation, advancing from the throat towards the lips, they will stand thus,

$$
\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~A} O, \mathrm{~V},
$$

it being understood that we give to I the sound of $e e$ in the word feet, to E the sound of $a$ in fate, to A the sound of $a$ in father, to O the sound of $o$ in hope, and to V the sound of $u$ in full. According to the same principle, the liquids would follow each other thus,

$$
\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{M},
$$

$R$ being formed in the throat, $L$ on the palate, $N$ by the tongue and teeth, and $M$ by the lips.

We must remark that $H$ is seldom regarded by grammarians as a true letter, but merely as the mark of a strong breathing, and in Latin Prosody it exercises no power whatever either as a vowel or a consonant.

For remarks on the History of the Roman Alphabet, and of the changes and modifications which were introduced at different periods, see Appendix.
In what has been said above, we have used the expression "organs of speech," in the popular sense to denote the throat, tongue, palate, teeth, and lips. Those who wish to investigate scientifically the
mechanism by which articulate sounds are produced, and their relations to each other, will do well to study the chapter (Part III., § 3,) on "The Voice," in Sir John Herschel's celebrated treatise upon "Sound," and the authorities to which he refers. Some most curious and original inquiries into the Vowel Sounds, by Mr. Willis, will be found in the third volume of The Cambridge Philosophical Transactions.

Prosony ${ }^{1}$ comprehends, as we have seen above, the rules for pronunciation and versification.

In order that a word may be correctly pronounced, three things are required :

1. Each letter must be properly enunciated.
2. Each syllable must have its proper accent.
3. Each syllable must have its proper quantity.

Our knowledge of the pronunciation of Latin, in so far as the true sounds of the letters and the accentuation of the syllables is concerned, is, from the very nature of the subject, exceedingly imperfect. The little that we can ascertain with precision, or conjecture plausibly, will be found in the Appendix, followed by some remarks on the difference between Accent and Quantity. It is to Quantity that we must now devote our attention.

In pronouncing a word, the human voice has the power of dwelling upon any of the syllables of which it is composed, or of passing over them rapidly. The time during which we dwell upon a syllable, is called its Quantity.

A syllable upon which the voice rests, is called a Long Syllable, and is distinguished by the mark [ - ] placed over it.

A syllable over which the voice passes rapidly, is called a Short Syllable, and is distinguished by the mark ["] placed over it.

In a few words, the same syllable is found sometimes long and sometimes short, in which case its quantity is said to be doubtful.

In all that relates to versification, the time occupied in pronouncing a long syllable, is supposed to be just double of that occupied in pronouncing a short syllable, or in technical language,

A short syllable contains one time (tempus-mora), a long syllable two times.

Hence, all short syllables are considered equivalent in time, or isochronous to each other, and so also all long syllables. ${ }^{2}$

[^1]Upon this principle, in some kinds of verse, two short syllables are substituted for one long syllable, and vice versa, as will be explained more fully in the proper place.

By carefully examining the works of the Latin poets, and comparing them with the statements of the old grammarians, we are enabled to discover the laws by which their versification is regulated, and the quantity of the syllables in the different words which form the lines. Pursuing the investigation, we perceive that, with a very few exceptions, the quantity of the same syllable in the same words is always the same ; and by classifying those which are analogous, we arrive, by induction, at certain fixed principles, which can be embodied in rules applicable to a number of cases. In a great many other cases, however, we are unable to detect any fixed principle, and we must rest satisfied with saying, that we have the authority of the poets for making such syllables long or short. It must, of course, be understood, that we depend entirely upon the authority of the poets for the determination of quantities in every case; but, according to the usage of prosodians, those syllables only are said to be long or short, by authority, which cannot be reduced to rules. It is probable, that if we were better
when treating of pronunciation, were in the habit of discriminating with great nicety between the comparative length of syllables. They took into account, not merely the proportion of two to one in the time of syllables, but distinguished syllables of two times and a-half, of three times, and even more, ${ }^{1}$ and thus speak of syllables shorter than short, and longer than long. Dionysius of Halicarnassus, in order to exemplify this, produces ${ }^{2}$ the words $0 \dot{0} 0 \varsigma, \dot{\rho} 0 \delta 0 \varsigma, \tau \rho \circ \pi 0 \varsigma, \sigma \tau \rho \circ \emptyset \circ \rho ;$ in all these the first syllable is short; but he tells us that it is longer in poodos than in ojos, longer in $\tau \rho 0 \pi 05$ than in $\rho_{0} \delta 0 \rho$, and longer in $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \varrho 0 \varsigma$ than in $\tau \rho 0 \pi 05$.

Cicero probably indicates something of the same sort, though more obscurely, when he says, ${ }^{3}$
"Inclitus dicimus brevi prima litera, Insanus producta: Inhumanus brevi, Infelix longa."

Maximus Victorinus has the following curious passage : ${ }^{4}$
"In et con prepositiones aliquando corripiuntur : sequentibus $\varepsilon$ vel $f$ literis producuntur, ut instans, infidus : et ceteris omnibus corripiuntur, ut inconstans imprudens ${ }^{\text {T }}$

Although our northern organs are not endowed with sufficient flexioility to enable us to pronounce the words given by Dionysius in such a manner as to make the difference of quantity perceptible to the ear, yet Mr. Foster illustrates the subject well, by pointing out such words as folly, dowry, in each of which, the first syllable is long, but manifestly much longer in the latter than in the former. ${ }^{6}$

[^2]acquainted with the original forms of the language, we should be able to frame rules which would comprehend all syllables whatsoever : at least such is the inference we are led to draw from the few inscriptions of early date which have been preserved.--See Appendix.

## Rule 1.

All contracted syllables are long,
As-cōgo, contracted for coago or conaago, tibūcen, contracted for tibiacen or tibiicen, \&c.

## Examples.

Bis gravidos cögunt foetus, duo tempora messis. V. G. IV., 231. Cur vagus incedit tota tib̄̄̄cen in urbe. O. F. VI., 653.

## Remarks.

We shall have occasion to refer to this rule so frequently as we proceed, that it is unnecessary to enter into many details at present. It may be useful, however, to illustrate some common forms of contraction, especially those which we shall not be called upon to discuss hereafter.

1. The most simple contraction is that by which one of two concurring vowels is absorbed by the other, as,

2. $\frac{\pi}{d}$ is sometimes dropped when it stands between two vowels, as,

3. The letter $v$ is in like manner very frequently dropped when it stands between two vowels; as,
[^3]| bübus, | boibus, | bŏvřbus. ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bü̆cula, | boicula, | bŏvĭcula. |
| ̀̇ūnior, | iuenior, | iǔvĕnior, from iurvĕnis. |
| iūtum, | iuatum, | ǐ̌vatum, from iu้vo. |
| iümentum, | ixamentum, | iuvamentum, from iŭvo. |
| mōbilis, | moibilis, | movibilis, from mơveo. |
| mōmentum, | moimentum, | movimentum, from mŏveo. |
| mālo, | maolo, | mavolo, from mägis-volo. |
| nōnus, | noenus, | novenus, from nŏvem. |
| nüper, | noiter, | noviter, from nơvus. |
| disturbūt, | disturbait, | disturbavit. |
| $\bar{\imath} t,{ }^{2}$ | iul, | ivǐt. |
| obīt, | obirt, | obivit. |
| prūdens, | proidens, | prŏrǐdens. |

4. Other letters also are occasionally dropped in the same manner.

| dēnus, | deenus, | decenus, fro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{b \bar{g}$ ae, | biiugae, | from iŭgum. |
| \{quadrīgae, | quadrïugae, $\}$ | from iugum. |
| sūmo, | suemo, | susěmo. |

5. It sometimes happens, when two vowels concur in a compound word, that one of them is elided, or struck out altogether, in which case the quantity of the remaining vowel suffers no change, thus, in magnŏpere, compounded of magnō ŏpere, the o of magno is struck out altogether, and the $o$ in opere retains its natural quantity; so in semănimis, gravŏlens, suavǒlens, for semiănimis, graveolens, suaveölens. Many critics, to prevent confusion, always write these words in full-magno opere, semi animis, grave olens, suave olens, \&c., and under this shape we shall have occasion to notice them hereafter.
6. In a few words, the ancients seem either to have blended the two vowels into one, or to have struck out one of them at pleasure, and hence the quantity of such words is variable. Thus the participle, ambītus, from ambio, has the penultimate syllable long, because it is considered as a contraction for ambeitus, while ambutus, the substantive, has the same syllable short, because in this case the $e$ was supposed to be elided before the $i$. Some other examples,
[^4]Pasce greges procul hinc, ne, quacso, bubulce, Myronis Aes, veluti spirans, cum lŭbus exagites.

[^5]such as Dīanas and Dŭana, will be examined in the Remarks on the Rule for the Quantity of one Vowel before another.

## Rule II.

All diphthongs are long,
As- $a e, a i, a r, e i, e u, o e, o i$, in
 Orphē, m"्erens, prōnde and yi, in such Greek words as Harp $\bar{z} a$.

Exceptions.
b. The preposition prae, in composition, before a vowel, is usually short, as in prăeacutus, prăeeuns, prăeustus. ${ }^{1}$
c. There is one example in Statius, where it is lengthened, in prieiret. ${ }^{2}$
d. Ovid seems, on one occasion, to shorten the diphthong in Méootis, but it is made long by himself elsewhere, as well as by other poets.

> Examples.
a. Ultima Cum̄̄̄ei venit iam carminis $\overline{a e t a s}$. V. E. IV., 4.

Exit et in Mãas sacrum Florale Kalendas. O. Fr. IV., 947.
Fertur equis $\overline{a u r i g a ~ n e q u e ~} \overline{a u} d i t$ currus habenas. V. G. I., 514.
Dē̃nde satis fluvium inducit rivosque sequentes. V. G. I., 106.
Caucasiasque refert volucres furtumque Promethḕ. V. E. VI., 42,
In nēutram partem cultus miser. Hic neque servis. H.S. II., [ii., 66.
Illa, quis et me, inquit, miseram, et te perdidit, Orphēu. V. G. [IV., 494.
 Pröncle tona eloquio, solitum tibi, meaue timoris. V. A. XI., [383.
Sola novum dictuque nefas Harp $\bar{y} a$ Celaeno. V. L. III., 365.
Orithȳ̄a tuas, raptae soror Orithȳ̃ae. O. M. VII., 695.

[^6]b. Quas ubi viderunt prăĕ̆cutae cuspidis hastas. O. M. VII., 131.

Nec tota tamen ille prior prăeӗunte carina. V. N. V., 186.
Stipitibus duris agitur, sudibusve prăeustis. V. R. VII., 524.
c. Proemia cum vacuus domino prāeiret Arion. S. T. VI., 519.
d. Longior antiquis visa Măeotis hyems. ${ }^{1}$ O. T. III. xii., 2.

Regna Thoas habuit Māeotide clarus in ora. O. E. P. III. ii., 59. Responsis horrent divum, et Māeotia tellus. ${ }^{2}$ V. R. VI., 800. Quaque fretum torrens Māeotidas egerit undas. ${ }^{3}$ L. P. III., 277.

## Remarks.

It frequently happens that the diphthong of a simple word disappears in composition, and is represented by a single vowel. In this case the single vowel which represents the diphthong of the simple word is long; thus we have cāedo, concīdo; clāudo, inclūdo; $\overline{u e q u u s, ~ i n \bar{q} q u u s ; ~ q u \overline{a e r o, ~ i n q u \bar{r}} r \text {; and the like. }}$

Some grammarians erroneously rank the combinations $u \alpha, u e, u i$, $u 0, u u$, in which $u$ is followed by a vowel with which it coalesces so as to form only one syllable, among the diphthongs; but in these cases, $u$ seems to have been pronounced like our $w$ : thus, lingua (lingwa), ungue (ungwe), sanguis (sangwis), loquor (lokwor), equus (ekwus), have the quantity of their last syllables determined by the ordinary rules for the quantity of final syllables to be hereafter explained.

So also in monosyllables, quŭs (kwis), quŭd (kwid), quŏd (kwod), quĕ (lwe), \&c.

In some cases, it is true, these combinations are long, not because they form a diphthong, but because the vowel with which $u$ happens to be united is in itself long.

As-sū̄adet, sūetus (swādet, swētus). This is clearly proved by the fact, that these words sometimes appear as trisyllables in the older poets, in which case the $u$, considered as a vowel, is short, the a and $e$ are long, sŭüdet, sŭētus.

So in the monosyllables, quī (kwi), hūuc (hwick), quō (kwo), \&c.
Moreover, although the sound of $u$ in these cases was modified so as to resemble $w$, it was not regarded as having the force of a consonant in so far as prosody was concerned: thus, ăqua, nĕqueo, ăquila, lŏquor, ĕquus, pronounced akwa, nekweo, akwila, lokwor, ekwus, have all the first syllable short; but if $u$ were here regarded

[^7]as a consonant, these syllables must all have been long, as will be seen from the next Rule (III). In reality the combination $q u$ was regarded as a single letter, as we shall point out in the Remarks on the History of the Roman Alphabet.-See Appendix. ${ }^{1}$

In several words $u$, and the vowel by which it is followed, always form distinct syllables, as sǔŭ, süēs, sǔis, süōs, sŭŭs, \&c.

It not unfrequently happens, that the same combination of vowels, in the same part of the same word, is considered by the poets sometimes as a diphthong, and sometimes as two distinct syllables. Thus, we find, Olyssei, Achillei, in some passages, where they must be pronounced Ulyssē, Achillē; in others, where they must read Ulyssei, A chilleï, \&c. These, and all similar cases, will be discussed hereafter, under the heads of Poetical License, Diceresis, \&c.

## Rule III.

A vowel before two or more consonants, or a double consonant in the same word, is long, as rēspēxit, and, in this case, the vowel is said to be long by Position.

1. This rule is applicable when one of the consonants is at the end of a word, and the other at the beginning of the following word. Thus, in the line-

Libertas, quae sera tamen respexit inertem. V. E. I., 28.
The first $e$ in respexit is long, because it is followed by the two consonants $s p$ in the same word: the second $e$ is long, because it is followed by $x$, a double consonant, in the same word; and the $e$ in tamen is long because it is followed by the consonant $n$, which ends the word, and by the consonant $r$, which begins the next word.
2. The letter $h$ is not considered a consonant (I.) ${ }^{2}$ Thus, in ưdhuc, the first syllable is short, as,
Oro, siquis $\breve{d} d h u c$ precibus locus exue mentem. V. $\mathbb{E}$. IV., 319.

> And, in like manner,

Tempora quae messor quae curvus aratör haberet. V. E. III., 42.
Although the word arator ends with a consonant, yet the last syllable remains short before $h$, at the beginning of the next word.

[^8]3. A short vowel at the end of a word, when followed by a word beginning with $s c, s p, s q, s t$, is rarely, if ever, allowed to remain short, in serious compositions, by the poets who flourished after the time of Lucretius, but they generally avoid, with care, such a collocation. ${ }^{1}$
4. The quantity of a short vowel at the end of a word is not affected by any other combination of consonants at the beginning of the following word, except in the writings of Catullus, who, in three instances, lengthens a short vowel before a mute, and a liquid at the beginning of the following word (III.) Thus,
Propontidä trucemve Ponticum sinum. (Iamb. Trim.) C. IV., 9. Et inde tot per impotentiā freta. (Iamb. Trim.) C. IV., 18. Habebat uncti, et ultimā Britannia. (Iamb. Trim.) C. XXIX., 4.

In each of these cases, the $a$ at the end of Propontida, impotentia, ultimia, which ought to be short (see below, Rules for the Quantity of Final Syllables), is lengthened before $t r, f r, B r$, at the beginning of the words following. ${ }^{2}$

To which we may add an example from Ausonius:
Unde per Ioniae populos et nomen Achaeum,
Versā Graia manus, centum se effudit in urbes. A. Urb. X., 8.
${ }^{1}$ See the Appendix for a full discussion of this much contested point.
${ }^{2}$ Vossius, who quotes these passages (Aristarch. II., c. xv.), adds ancther, Exspiretque foras in apertā promtaque coeli. L. VI., 818.

But, on good MS. authority, the reading is now changed to
Exspiretque foras in apertum promptaque cœli.
Another example is sometimes given from the Atys of Catullus, Patria o meā creatrix ! patria o mea genetrix. C. LXIIII., 50.

But that poem, from the peculiar nature of the metre, cannot be received as evidence. (See Monthly Review, vol. xxv., p. 13.) We find also

Jam bellaria adore $\bar{a}$ pluebant, (Phalaecian.) S. S. I., vi. 10.
But we may attribute to Caesura, in this case, the lengthening of the final $a$ in adorea.

To the same head many refer such lines as
Lappaequē tribulique interque nitentia culta. V. G. I., 153.
But as que is occasionally made long before a word beginning with a single consonant, it is better to explain all by the application of a single principle. (Sce below, under Caesura.)

## Exceptions to the General Rule of Position.

A vowel naturally short ${ }^{1}$ when followed by a mute, and either of the liquids, $R, L$, in the same syllable, may remain short,
a. Thus we find tenēbris and tenĕbris, volūcris and volŭcris, flägrans and flăgrans, pharētra and pharĕtra, rētro and rĕtro, pātris and pătris, sŭcro and sācro, \&c. So also rēflexus and rĕflexus, pōples and pơples, -Atlas and `Atlas, dūplex and dŭplex, \&c. (IV.)
b. In a few words taken directly from the Greek, a vowel is allowed to remain short before a mute, and either of the two other liquids $m, n$; hence we have Técmessa and Tĕcmessa, cȳcnus and cy̆cnus, Prōcne and Prŏcne, $\bar{c} c h n e u m o n ~ a n d ~ и ̆ c h n e u-~$ mon, dāphne and dŭphne, Therāpnaeus and Therăpnaeus, and some others, chiefly proper names.
c. Ausonius, following a license rarely indulged in by the Greeks, has shortened a vowel before the two liquids, $m$ and $n$, in Clytĕmnestra.
d. Martial, apparently without any justification, has allowed a vowel to remain short before two mutes in smarăgdos.
e. But in all cases where a vowel is naturally long, it clearly world be absurd to suppose that it would be shortened by being placed before a mute and liquid, hence, since we have uniformly māter, we have always mātrem, so crēber crēbris, salūber salübre, \&c.

## Examples.

a. $\{$ Saevit et in lucem Stygiis emissa tenēbris. V. G. III., 551.
$\{$ Nocte premunt, quod iam tenĕbris et sole cadente. V. G. III., 401. Et primo similis volŭcri, mox vera volūcris. O. M. XIII., 607.
\{Flägrantesque dei vultus, simulataque verba. V. A. I., 710.
\{ Nos pavida trepidare metu crinemque flăgrantem. V. AI.II.,685.

[^9]$\{$ Virginibus Tyriis mos est gestare pharètram. V. R. I., 336. $\{$ Succinctam pharětra et maculosae tegmine lyncis. V. A. I., 323.
\{ Amnis et Hadriacas rétro fugit Aufidus undas. V. R. XI., 405. Abduxere rétro longe capita ardua ab ictu. V. $\mathcal{H} . \mathrm{V} ., 428$.
Natum ante ora pütris, pātrem qui obtruncat ad aras. V. $X$. [JI., 663.
Sive săcro pavi sedive sub arbore sācra. O. F. IV., 749.
\{ Et rêflexa prope in summo fluitare colore. L. IV., 444.
\{ Impavidos illam tereti cervice réflexam. V. A. VIII., 633.
\{ Aut Placideiani contento pōplite miror. II. S. II., vii., 97.
\{ Brachia palpebraeque cadunt, pŏplitesque cubanti. L. IV., 953.
\{ Id metuens solidis pomaria clauserat -Atlas. O. M. IV., 645.
$\{$ Tempus `Atla veniet tua quo spoliabitur auro. O. M. IV., 643.
\{ Latonaeque genus dūplex Ianumque bĭfrontem. V. A. XII., 198.
\{ Baccatum, et dưplicem gemmis auroque coronam. V. Wi. I., 655.
b. Forma captivae dominum Tĕcmessae. (Sapphic.) H. O. II.,iv., 6. Multa Dircaeum levat aura cȳcnum. (Sapphic.) H. O. IV.,ii., 25. Donatura cy̆cni si libeat sonum. (Choriamb.) H. O. IV., iii., 20. $\{$ Ulterius iusto, Prōcnen ita velle ferebat. O. M. VI., 470.
$\{$ Ad mandata Prŏcnes, et agit sua vota sub illis. O. M. V., i., 468. Delectat Marium si perniciosus ăchneumon. M. VII., lxxxvii., 5 . Et baccis redimita dăphne, tremulaeque cupressus. P. A. c. 131. ${ }^{1}$
\{ Prima Therāpnaeo feci de sanguine florem. O. F. V., 223.
Non umquam adfirmat Therăpnaeis Ilion armis. S. P. XIII., 43. ${ }^{2}$
c. Vindicem adulterii cum Clytëmnestra necet. A. E. H. I., 4.
d. Sardonychas, smŭrăgdos, adamantas, iaspidas uno. M. V., xi., 1.

e. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Nec Linus, huic mäter quamvis, atque huic pater adsit. V.E.IV.,56. } \\ \text { Incipe, parve puer, risu cognoscere mätrem. V. E. IV., } 60 .\end{array}\right.$
\{ Incipe, parve puer, risu cognoscere mätrem. V. E. IV., 60.
Subtrahiturque solum, tum crëber anhelitus artus. V. L.V., 199.
${ }^{1}$ This is the example given by Vossius, and I am unable to adduce one from any better authority of daphne, with the first short.
${ }^{2}$ Silius makes the second in Therapnaeis short again in VIII., 414, but long in Ther äpne, VI., 303.-See, with regard to the shortening of syllables in such positions, the notes in Burman's ed. of Ovid, Met. VI., 46, and XIII., 430.-See also Vossius Aristarch. II., c. 16.

Ilicibus crëbris sacra nemus accubet umbra. V. G. III., 334.
Utque facis coeptis, Phoebe salüber ades. O. R. A., 704.
Idque mihi factum saepe salūbre fuit. O. R. A., 316 .

## Remarks.

I. Without entering into the question agitated among the ancient grammarians, with regard to claims of $h$ to be considered a letter, we may observe'generally, that it is not recognized as such in Latin Prosody, and exercises no influence whatever on the quantity of words, either taken hy themselves or when combined with others, in the formation of a verse. ${ }^{1}$ A word beginning with $h$, followed by a vowel, is, in practice, always supposed actually to begin with the vowel in question, and when $h$ is placed between two vowels, or combined with consonants, it in no way affects the laws by which they would be regulated if it were altogether removed. Thus, homo, honor, humanus, anhelans, inhonestus, Phoebus, Phlegethon, and the like, are the same, as far as quantity is concerned, as if they were written, omo, onor, umanus, anelans, inonestus, Poebus, Plegeton. This was at one time doubted; but all those cases in which $h$ appeared to have the force of a consonant, are now explained upon a different principle.
Thus when we read in Virgil,
Ille latus niveum molli fultū̄s hyacintho. V. E. VI., 53.
And,
Ille comam mollis iam tondebät hyacirithi. V. G. IV., 137.
The short final syllables in fultus and tondebat are lengthened, not by Position, but by the Caesural Pause, as will be fully explained hereafter. In the later poets, however, such as Ausonius and some of the Christian writers, $h$ has occasionally the force of a consonant, thus-
Tertī̄s horum mihi non magister. (Sapphic.) A. Pref. VIII., 10. Sedibus et domibus natum inhhabitare necesse est. Iuvenc. I., 301. (Saa Voss. Aristarch. II., c. 15.)
II. We sometimes find an addition to the Rule for Position expressed in the following terms:-"The letter J has, in uncom-

[^10]pounded words, the force of a double consonant: thus, in such words as cüjus, hüjus, ējus, mäjor, pējor, the vowel is always long; but this does not apply to the compounds b̆̌jugus, quadrüjugus, and the like." We have already pointed out in the Preliminary Remarks that the character $j$ was altogether unknown to the ancients, but that the letter $i$ exercised a double function, being sometimes purely a vowel, and sometimes a consonant, answering very nearly to our $y$. The character $j$ was introduced in modern times, into those words where $i$ had the power of a consonant, and therefore, of itself, when not followed by another consonant, could not lengthen a short vowel. But the fact is, that the words in question were originally all written with a double $i$, cuiius, huiius, eiius, peiius, maiius, \&c., and were, doubtless, pronounced cui-yus (cwi-yus), hui-yus (hwi-yus), ei-yus, pei-yus, mai-yus, the first syllable was therefore long in each; and when the first of the two is was dropped (a process which we shall find took place in a multitude of words), the proper quantity of the syllable was retained, and the remaining $i$, having the force of $y$, was in after times written as a $j$. This, of course, has no influence on such compounds as bijugus (bi-iugus), \&c., which have the first short, nor does it account for the first being long in reiicio. That word, properly written, is re-iicio, pronounced reeyicio. But a word of this form, that is, one in which three short syllables follow each other consecutively, cannot enter into a Dactylic verse, and, therefore, the poets, as will be explained below under Poetical Licenses, took peculiar liberties with such combinations. So also amŭcio is a compound of $a m$ or $a m b e$ and iacio, and would properly be written amiicio, and pronounced am-yicio; but one of the $i$ 's is dropped, and it becomes amício.

The student will do well to consult on this subject, Priscian, lib. I., cap. de numero literarum apud veteres, pp. 544, 545, ed. Putsch.; and also lib. X., cap. de vocativo singulari secundae declinationis, pr. 739, 740.
III. There can be no doubt that Catullus had the Greek poets in his mind when he lengthened a short final vowel before a mute, and the liquid $r$, at the beginning of the next word. But it is singular that he should, in every case, have used this license in Iambic trimeters, since it is carefully avoided by the Attic writers, although common in Homer, \&c. See Porson on Eurip. Orest., 64; Erfurdt on Soph. Aj., 1120.

Catullus elsewhere leaves a syllable short at the end of words, before a more harsh combination of mute and liquid.

Quaeque Anconă Cnidumque arundinosam. (Phalaecian.) C.
IV. This kind of position has been named by the grammarians,
weak position (debilis positio). We must carefully attend to the three conditions expressed in the rule, in order that the vowel may remain short.

1. The vowel must be naturally short.
2. The liquid $r$ or $l$ must follow the mute.
3. They must both be in the same syllable. ${ }^{1}$

But evch when these alt all inhilled, much cantion is necessary when words which fall under this rule are employed in modern compositions. We ought to ascertain the practice of the ancients in each particular case, and scrupulously adhere to the example set by them; especially, when we cannot determine the natural quantity of the vowel, we must never shorten it without express authority. Thus, the first, in migro, is perhaps always long, except once in Manilius, ${ }^{2}$ as is the first in latro, except once in a suspicious line in Phaedrus, ${ }^{3}$ neither of whom are satisfactory authorities. It is much safer, in general, to lengthen a vowel before a mute and a liquid, but we have no example of the penult being made long in genĕtrix, to which, perhaps, we may add multitplex, for although the following line occurs twice in Iucretius:-

Multïplexque loci spatium transcurrere eodem. L. II., 162, and [IV., 208.
a great number of the best MSS. in both cases have multurplicis, and it is not found elsewhere with the second long.

We may, however, be too fastidious in these matters; thus, we are sometimes told, that the penult is generally short in ludicra, and long in lugübres; this is true, but we need never scruple to use ludīcra and lugŭbris, when we can adduce such unexceptionable authority as
Huc illuc. Neque enim levia aut ludīcra petuntur. V. A. XII., [764.
Tam cari capitis. Praecipe lugưbres. (Choriamb.) H. O. I., xxiv., 2.
It has been remarked, too, that Virgil and Ovid, for the most part, shorten the first syllable in lacrima, but that it is common in Horace. The reason is obvious, and does not arise from any preference for the short quantity. Virgil and Ovid generally employ this word in the plural, and we have upwards of 200 examples of

[^11]lacrimxe, lacrimis, lacrimabilis, lacrimosus, lacrimari, lacrimans, in their works; now, not one of these, with the exception of lacrimae, could stand in their verse, except with the first short, and to make even lacrimae admissible, the diphthong must be elided. In Horace we find, lācrĭmă, Od. IV., i., 34 ; Ep. I., xvii., 60, \&c., and also lăcrǐma, Od. II., vi., 23 ; lăcrĭmis, Od. III., vii., 8, \&c.

## Rule IV.

A vowel before another vowel, or before a diphthong, or before h followed by a vowel, in the same word, is short, provided the two vowels, or the vowel and diphthong, form separate syllables,

As-füit, Tyrŭi, tenŭere, tinĕae, věho.

## Exceptions.

a. The penult of the old form of the genitive of the first declension is long, as terräi, autäi, pictä $i$.
b. The penult of the genitive and dative of nouns of the fifth declension is long, as diēi.
But it is common in fidēi or fidĕi, and rēei or rĕi, and found short only in spĕi. (I.)
c. The penult in genitives in ius is common; we find illius and illüus, ipsīus or ipsǐus, istīus or isť̆us, nullīus or nullŭus, totīus or totūus, ullīus or ullŭus, uñ̃us or unv̆us; but atīus has the penult always long. There is no good authority for alterĭus or utrǔus, with the penult long, and none to determine the quantity in solius. (II.)
d. The first syllable in fio and its tenses is long, except in those where $r$ is found, for we have füeret, füeri, \&c. (III.)
e. $\alpha$ and $e$ are long in words ending in àius and ëius, when each vowel is pronounced distinctly; thus, C $\dot{\bar{a} i u s, ~ V e ̀ i u s, ~ P o m p e ̈ e ~} i$ (vocative), and the like. (IV.)
f. The first syllable in Dāana or Dŭana (V.), ōhe or ơhe (VI.), is common, it is long in èheu (VII.), and in $\because=T 0$ (the daughter of Inachus). ${ }^{\circ}$ Io, the interjection, follows the general rule. ${ }^{1}$ (VIII.)

[^12]g. By far the largest class of exceptions consists of words taken directly from the Greek. These are, for the most part, proper names; they cannot be reduced to rule, and a knowledge of them must, therefore, be acquired by practice. Thus we have $\bar{a} e r$, cycnēus, dīus, $A$ enēas, Dēiphobus, Trōes, Medēa, and a host of others. (IX.)

## Examples.

Urbs antiqua füit, Tyrŭi tenŭere coloni. V. SE. I., 12.
Aut dirum tinĕae genus, aut invisa Minervae. V. G. IV., 246. Classe vĕho mecum, fama super aethera vectus. V. AE. I., 379.
a. Terräque solum subigentes cimus ad ortus. L. I., 213. Autüi in medio libabant pocula Bacchi. V. 屈. III., 354. Dives equûm, dives pictäi vestis et auri. V. A. IX., 26.
6. Nunc adeo, melior quoniam pars acta diēi. V. A. IX., 156.
\{ Nec jacere indu manus via qua munita fidēi. L. V., 103. Tantum habet et fidĕer. Iures licet et Samothracum. I. III., Quis morum fidëique modus? nunquamne virili. S. S. I., ii., Et fidĕi rarum foedus pancisque tributum. Man. II., 605.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Praeterea rēi quae corpora mittere possit. L. I., } 689 . \\ \text { Curtae nescio quid semper abest rěi. }{ }^{2} \text { (Choriamb.) } \\ \hline \text { H. O. III., } \\ \text { [xxiv., 64. }\end{array}\right.$
c. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Posthabita coluisse Samo; hic illŭus arma. V. AE. I., } 16 . \\ \text { Tu faciem illĩus noctem non amplius unam. V. A.I., } 683 .\end{array}\right.$
\{ Ipsǐus Anchisae longaevi hoc munus habebis. V. A. V., 535.
\{ Nunc ultro ad cincres ipsīus et ossa parentis. V. LE. V., 55.
$\begin{cases}\text { Sancta ad vos anima, atque istřus inscia culpae. } V . \text { 应. XII., } \\ & {[648 .}\end{cases}$
Istīus tibi sit surda sine arte lyra. P. IV., v., 56.
$\{$ Nulľ̆us addictus iurare in verba magistri. H. E. I., i., 14.
$\{$ Non te nullĩus exercent numinis irae. V. G. IV., 453.
$\{$ Verum totz̆us ut lacus putidaeque paludis. C. XVII., 10.
\{Magnanimosque duces totīusque ordine gentis. V. G. IV., 4.
${ }^{1}$ Rei is used as a monosyllable also; e. $g .$, Lucret. IV., 886.
$\{$ Non habet in nobis ullürs ires locum. O. T. V., vi., 34.
$\{$ Adiicias; nec te ullīus violentia vincat. V. A. XI., 354.
$\{$ Unưus ob noxam et furias Aiacis Oilei. V. TK. I., 41.
Navibus, infandum, amissis unīus ob iram. V. A.E. I., 251.
Heu magnum alterius frustra spectabis acervum. V. G. I., 158.
Fastidiret olus qui me notat. Utrǐus horum. H. E. L, xvii., 15. Docte sermones utriusque linguae. (Sapphic.) H. O. III., viii., 5.
d. Omnia $\operatorname{iam}$ fũent, fũeri quae posse negabam, O.T. I., viii., 7. Fizet enim subito sus horridus, atraque tigris. V. G. IV., 407. Anchises, füret vento mora nequa ferenti. V. AK. III., 473.
e. Cinna est Cäüừs, is sibi paravit. (Phalcecian.) C. X., 30. Cä̃̈üs ut fiat, Iulius et Proculus. M. XI., xxxvi., 8. Quod peto da, CCä̈, non peto consilium. M. II., xxx., 6. Emtum plus minus asse Cäïäno. (Phalaecian.) S. S. IV., ix., 22. Accipe, Pompēi, deductum carmen ab illo. O. E. P. IV., i., 1. Forte super portae dux Vè̀̈üüs adstitit arcem. P.IV., x. 31.
f. $\{$ Exercet Dīana choros; quam mille secutae. V. 有. I., 499. $\{$ Constiterunt, sylva alta Iovis lucusve Dǔanae. V. $\mathbb{E}$. III., 681. Ingerere. Huc appelle. Trecentos inseris! ohe. H.S. I., v., 12. Importunus amat laudari donec obhe iam. H. S. II., v., 96. =Ohe iam satis est, ơhe, libelle. (Phalaecian.) M. IV., xci, 1. Ferreus est, êheu, quisquis in urbe manet. T. II., iii., 2.
g. Si nigrum obscuro comprenderit àèra cornu. V. G. I., 428. Et cycnēa mele Phoebaeaque daedala chordeis. L. II., 505. Italides quas ipsa decus sibi dīa Camilla. V. TE. XI., 657. Aenēas scopulum interea conscendit et omnem. V. AT. I., 180. Dēiphobum vidit lacerum crudeliter ora. V. AE. VI., 495. Egressi optata potiuntur Trōes arena. V. AR. I., 172.
Ne pueros coram populo Medēa trucidet. H. A. P., 185.

## Remarks.

I. With regard to the doubtful quantity of the penult in fideis,
rei, \&c., it seems probable that the original form of these words, and others belonging to this declension, was nom. fideis, reis, genit. fidē-is, rē-is, and afterwards dropping the $s$ [as took place also in nouns now classed under the first and second declensions (see $A p$ pendix on Ancient Form of the Declensions)] became fideii, reii. In corroboration of this, we find that the best MSS. of Lucretius have rēiri, in Lib. I., 689 ; VI., 392, 918. See Gifanii Conlectanea; fidē̃i occurs in an hexameter quoted by Cicero in his Treatise de Senectute,

## Ille vir haut magna cum re sed plenu' fidēei.

And after the lapse of many ages, it re-appears in the writings of the Christian poets, Paullinus Nolanus ${ }^{1}$ and Venantius Fortunatus. ${ }^{2}$ See Vossius Aristarch. II., c. xiii.

The $i$ of the diphthong being dropped in the process of time, the word either retained its proper quantity, as diei in Virgil, or became subject to the general rule, as rĕi in Horace. The student ought to remark, that the examples of fidĕi all occur in writers of the lower age, with whom it is very common. The quantity of spĕi seems to rest upon Seneca, no very stable foundation. Its form would exclude it from Dactylic verse. Exactly in the same way, we may account for the long penult in autāi, terräi, the original form of these words being aulais, terrais (or, perhaps, aulaes, terraes), genit. aulai-is, terrai-is (or aulaeis, terraeis), the $s$ being dropped, they became aut $\bar{a}-i$, terr $\overline{a r}-i$, and dropping one of the two concurring $i$ 's, aut $\bar{a}-i$, terr $\bar{a}-i$, the quantity of the diphthong ai being retained. These forms, aul $\dot{\alpha} i, \& c$. , were introduced by the poets, after the time of Lucretius, very rarely, and for ornament only; and thus did not undergo the same change in quantity as fidĕi and rĕi.-See Appendix on Ancient Form of the Declensions.
II. The genitives nullīus ipsīus, \&c., had the penult always long in prose, as we learn from Quintilian. Hence they were in all likelihood once written nullēus ipsē̃us, and when the $e$ of the diphthong was dropped, they preserved their proper quantity in prose, although the poets took advantage of the circumstance of the $i$ being followed by a vowel, to bring them, when it suited their purpose, under the general rule.
III. Fio also would anciently be written fēro, and would have the first syllable long in all the tenses without distinction. Some of the parts, however, of these tenses, in which $r$ occurs, could not have

[^13]been used at all in Dactylic verse, if the first syllable had been always long; thus, fïĕrēs, fïĕrēnt, cannot stand in any place of a Dactylic verse, and not even fïerrem, fïerri, without an elision; hence, when the $e$ of the diphthong was dropped, the writers of heroic verse introduced this change into the quantity of those tenses where it was most necessary, preserving the proper and original quantity in the rest. This opinion receives much support from the fact, that the comic writers who lived before the prosody of the language was very accurately defined, and whose verse required no such modification of these words, constantly used fieret, \&c., with the first long, ${ }^{1}$ e. g.,

Iniurium 'st nam si esset unde id fïerret. Terent. Ad. I., ii., 26.
While in the works of the Christian poets, such as Prudentius, Arator, Tertullianus, \&c., not only the first syllable in fierem, \&c., but in fio, \&c., also is made short, e. g.,
Iamque tuus fïerri mandas, fıo Cyprianus alter. (Dactyl. Hept. Archil.) [Prud. Perist. XIII., 59.
The account given by Vossius of this matter, which does not appear very satisfactory, is founded upon a passage in Priscian; they imagine that the imperfect subjunctive was fḕrem, which, by transposing the vowels and separating the diphthong into distinct syllables, became frĕrem. See Voss. Aristarch. II., c. xiii.; but it would seem rather to have been feierem, which, by dropping one of the vowels of the diphthong, a process exceedingly common, became fi-erem. ${ }^{2}$
IV. We have the express testimony of Priscian, ${ }^{3}$ that such words as Pompeius, Vulteius, Caius, were written with a double $i$ in all the oldest MSS., Pompeiius, Vulteiius, Caiius; and in the same way from Veii, we should have Veiius. This sufficiently accounts for the quantity of the first syllable in these and such words. Hence, the vocatives in the passages quoted above are in reality $C \overline{a \imath}-i$, Pomp $\bar{u}-i$, and this last undergoes another contraction in Horace into Pomper, as in like manner Vultē-i becomes Vultē.
Pomp $\bar{\imath}$ meorum prime sodalium. (Alcaic Hendecasyll.)H.O.II., vii.,. Durus ait, Vultē, nimis attentusque videris. H. E. I., vii., 91.

Doctor Carey, in his Latin Prosody, seems to be wrong in ranking Graius along with Caius, as a trisyllable with the first long, as it is always a dissyllable in good writers. The only authority adduced by him is,

[^14]Illa domus princeps Troiani Grüia belli. Manil. IV., 686.
But here we have to remark, that the MSS. in this passage are in the utmost confusion, that this and the two preceding lines are considered by Bentley and other commentators as altogether spurious, that many MSS. have Gratia, from which some have made out Graecia, but where Graia was found we cannot tell. In Bentley's edition it stands,

Princeps illa domus Troiani Graecia belli.
While Scaliger approves of
Princeps illa domus Troiani maxima belli.
Manilius uses the word Graius very often in other passages, and always as a dissyllable.

We may take this opportunity of noticing the quantity of different parts of the verb aio, which will exemplify the apparent anomalies introduced, by dropping one of two concurring $i$ s. The word was originally written aiio, ${ }^{1}$ and doubtless pronounced $\overline{a r}-y o$. One of the $i$ s being dropped, the $a$ and remaining $i$ sometimes formed a diphthong, as in the original form, and sometimes two short
 the other hand, ŭ̌s, ăǔt, e. g.,
Servus; Habes pretium loris non ureris $\overline{a r}$. H. E. I., xvi., 47.
Plebs eris; at pueri ludentes, Rex eris, àunt. H. E. i., 59.
Felicem! āebam tacitus. Quum quidlibet ille. H. S. ix., 12.
Non sum moechus, ăǔs. Neque ego, hercule, fur, ubi vasa. H. S. [II., vii., 73.
Nil ät esse prius, melius nil coelibe vita. H. E. I., i., 88.
V. The double quantity in Diana is very easily explained. According to the ancient Italian mythology, the deities were generally paired, male and female; thus there was Ianus, or Sol, who seems to be equivalent to the ' $\mathrm{H} \lambda \iota o s$ of the Greeks, and Iana or Luna, whom the Romans in later times chose to identify with 'A $\begin{gathered}\text { rsucc. }\end{gathered}$ Diana is a contraction for Dea Iana, who was thus made into Dēana: the $e$ of the diphthong being dropped, gave rise, as in the numerous instances explained above, to the double quantity of Diana, since it could be brought under the general principle of one vowel before another.-On Ianus and Iana, consult Varro, R. R. I., 37; Macrob. Saturnal. I., c. 9.
VI. Ohe, the interjection follows its primitive $O$, which, since it

[^15]cannot be elided, is made either long or short when it falls before a vowel. See below, article Elision.
VII. We generally find classed under the exceptions to this rule the word Eheu, which is said to have the first long. The existence of the word is very doubtful. Wherever it occurs, it is in all probability a corruption of Her, Heu (the $\phi \varepsilon v, \phi \varepsilon v$, of the Greeks). Heu, Heu was abbreviated by the transcribers into Heheu, which is common in the MSS., and hence arose Eheu. See Muson. Burman. and Heyne, on Virg. Ecl. II., 58; and Ecl. III., 100. ${ }^{1}$
VIII. In all common books of Prosody the quantity of the first syllable is said to be doubtful, both in io, the interjection, and Io, the daughter of Inachus; but it is always short in the former, and always long in the latter.

Clamat, 九̌o matres, audite, ubi quaeque Latinae. V. W. VII., 400.
Clamat, 九̌॰ coniux, quocumque in cardine mundi. S. IV., 779.
And so repeatedly in Ovid, Tibullus, Martial, ${ }^{2}$ Silius, \&c. Doctor Carey, who supposed that it was common, quotes

> Quaque ferebatur ductor Sidonius, $\bar{\imath} o$ Conclamant. S. XIV., 516 .

But Heinsius, who is followed by Ruperti, upon MSS. authority, restored the true reading:-

Parte alia Perseus (puppem hanc Tiberinus agebat) Quaque vehebatur Crantor Sidonius Io Concurrunt.
Where Perseus and Io are the names of the two ships.
As to Io, the beloved of Jove-
Ad levem clypeum sublatis cornibus ${ }^{-}$Io. V. WE. VII., 789.
Constiterat quocumque modo spectabat ad -Io
Ante oculos ${ }^{-I o \text {, quamvis aversus habebat. O.M. I., } 628 . ~}$
And so repeatedly. To which are opposed
Quae tibi causa fugae, quid $\asymp$ Io, freta longa pererras. O.H. XIV.,103. Quem memor a sacris nunc quoque pellit ${ }^{\smile}$ Io. Ibis, 624.

But in the first of these, one MS. gives, instead of quid $I o$, the
${ }^{1}$ See also Burman.ad Anthol. Lat., tom. i., p. 579 ; tom. ii., p. 528.
${ }^{2}$ By a rare license, io seems to be contracted into a monosyllable in
Clamant ecce mei, io Saturnalia, versus. M. XI., ii. 5.
words vel quid; while another has quae, dic, freta, either of which is a good reading; the second of the above passages is from the Ibis, a poem, the authenticity of which is dubious, and the text notoriously corrupt; some of the best editors have adopted in this particular line, the correction of Heinsius, and substituted Ion for $I 0$.

The following collection of examples from writers of the Augustan age will probably satisfy the student:-
${ }^{\star}$ Io (interjection). Virg. AL. VII., 400. Hor. Od. IV., ii., 49, 50. S. I., iii., 7. A. P., 460. Tibull. I., i., 24 ; II., iv., 6 ; v., $83,118$. Ovid. Her. V., 118. Amor. I., ii., 34 ; vii., 38. A.A. II., 1, bis., III., 742. Trist. IV., ii., 51, 52. Fast. IV., 447. Met. III., 442, 713, 728 ; IV., 512 ; V., 625, bis.
-Io (daughter of Inachus). Virg. A. VII., 789. Hor. A. P., 124. Prop. II., xxviii., 17 ; xxx., 29 ; xxxiii., 7. Ovid. Amor. I., iii., 21 ; II., ii., 45 ; xix., 29. A. A. I., 323. Met. I., 584,588, 628, 629.

In Greek, also, this word is always ${ }^{-} \mathrm{I} \omega$.
IX. Although it is impossible to give any general rule for the quantity of one vowel before another in Greek words, we shall be much aided if we, in each case, refer to the original language. We shall then find that some are long, because they are written with the long vowels, $\eta$, $\omega$, or with a diphthong.

Thus we can at once determine the quantity of such words as Dëiphobus, Trōes, cycnēus, Medēa, Alexandrīa, if we recollect that they appear in Greek as $\Delta \eta \iota \phi \circ \beta$ os, T T $\omega \in s, \kappa v \kappa \nu \varepsilon \iota o \varsigma, ~ M \eta \delta \varepsilon \iota a$, 'A $\lambda \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \varepsilon \iota a$; but, on the other hand, in such as $\bar{a} e r$, dīus, Arīon, ('aŋ,$\delta_{1}{ }^{\circ}$, 'A $\rho \iota \omega v$, ) we have nothing to guide us but a knowledge of Greek Prosody.

A gain, we frequently find the quantity vary in words taken from the Greek, because they appear in the original language under a double forat, which often depends on the dialect used by the poet; thus, Conopēum and Conopĕum, ${ }^{1}$ because we have in Greek, к $\omega \nu \omega$ $\pi \iota o v$ and $\kappa \omega \nu \omega \pi \varepsilon \iota o v ;{ }^{2}$-Eous and ${ }^{\smile}$ Eous, in Greek $\grave{\eta} \varphi s^{3}$ and
 Nerěides, from N $\eta \rho \eta i \delta \varepsilon \varsigma^{7}$ or N $\eta \varrho \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon ;^{8}$ Rhēa or Rhĕa, from

[^16]Peıa ${ }^{1}$ or Pєŋ; ${ }^{2}$ Daedatēus, Daedalĕus, Dactalus, from the triple $\Delta a \iota \delta a \lambda \varepsilon \iota \rho,{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \Delta a \iota \delta a \lambda \varepsilon o s,{ }^{4} \Delta a \iota \delta a \lambda o s,{ }^{5}$ \&c.

So, in the accusatives of Greek nouns in $\varepsilon v \varsigma$, we find sometimes Anthĕa, Orphĕa, Protĕa ('A $\nu \theta \varepsilon \alpha$, ' $\rho \rho \phi \varepsilon \alpha$, П $\rho \omega \tau \varepsilon \alpha$ ), according to the common dialect; or Idomenēa, Ilionēa ('I $\delta o \mu s \nu \eta a, ~ ' I \lambda \iota o \nu \eta a), ~$ according to the Ionic. ${ }^{6}$

Some words would appear to differ in quantity from their archetypes, thus, in Latin, we have chorēa and chorěa, while in Greek we find $\chi o \rho \varepsilon \iota a$ alone; also platěa, which is the feminine $a^{d}-$ jective $\pi \lambda a \tau \varepsilon \iota \alpha$; but we may fairly conclude, that the Romans were in possession of authorities unknown to us. As the above forms ought to be impressed upon the memory, we shall give the authorities for all our assertions.

## Examples.

(Ut testudineo tibi, Lentule, conopēo. I. VI., 80.
Sol adspicit conopĕum (Iamb. Dim.) H. E. IX., 16.
Foedaque Tarpeio conopĕa tendere saxo. P. III., xi., 45.
$\left\{{ }^{-}\right.$Eoasque domos Arabum pictosque Gelonos. V. G. II., 115.
\{ Aut quum sole novo terras irrorat ${ }^{`}$ Eous. V. AE. I., 288.
Praebeat hospitio saeva Malēa suo. P. III., xix., 8 .
Nec timeam vestros, curva Malēa, sinus. O. A. II., xvi., 24. Ionioque mari Malĕaeque sequacibus undis. V. AE. V., 193.
Qua formidatum Malĕae spumantis in auras. S. T., II., 33.
\{ Discedunt, placidisque natant Nerē̆dĕs undis. O. M. XIII., 899.
$\left\{\right.$ Nērē̆üdum Phorcique chorus Panopeaque virgo. ${ }^{7}$ V. L. V., 240.
(Collis Aventini silva quem Rhēa sacerdos. V. LE. VII., 659. Saepe Rhĕa questa est toties foecunda, nec umquam. ${ }^{8}$ O. F. IV.,
[201.
(Iule ceratis ope Daedatēa. (Sapphic). H. O. IV., ii., 2.
Daedalĕum lino cum duce rexit iter. P. II., xiv., 8.
Et munire favos et daedăla fingere tecta. V. G. IV., 179.

[^17]Prospectum late pelago petit. Anthĕa si quem. V. A. I., 181. At non Chionides Eumolpus in Orphĕa talis.' O. E. P. III., iii., 41. Quo teneam vultus mutantem Protéa nodo. H. E. I., i, 90. Idomenēa ducem, desertaque litora Cretae. V. W. III., 122.
Ilionēa petit dextra laevaque Serestum. V. A. I., 611.

\{ Istos qui in platĕa modo huc modo illuc. (Phalaecian.) C. XV., 7. $\left\{\right.$ Purae sunt platëae, nihil ut meditantibus obstet. ${ }^{1}$ H. E. II.,ii.,71.
One or two words deserve particular notice, as they have given rise to some controversy.

Academīa ought to have the penult considered long.
Inque Academāa umbrifera nitidoque Lycaeo. Cicero de Divin. Lib. [I., 13.
Atque Academīue celebratam nomine villam. Laur. Tullius. ${ }^{2}$
Which decide the question in so far as the practice of the golden age of Roman literature is concerned.

To these are opposed only,
In Latium spretis Academǔa migrat Athenis. C. de Cons. M. I., 94. Obviet et quanquam totis $A$ cademŭa sectis. Sid. $A p . \mathrm{XV}$., 120.

It is always long in the Greek authors, ${ }^{3}$ e. $g$.,
 [Tetram. Cat). Aristoph. Nub., 1001.
 [Ap. Athen. Lib. II., p. 228, ed. Schweigh.

## Orion. In some grammars, Orion is said to have the second

[^18]syllable common; but it is always long in every good Latin writer, ${ }^{1}$ although it is common in Greek. On the other hand, the first syllable is common in Latin, but always long in Greek; and the third syllable, in the oblique cases, is common in Latin, and long in Greek. ${ }^{2}$
Armatumque auro circumspicit =Oriōna. V. AE. III., 517. Cum subito adsurgens fluctu nimbosus `Orion. V. AL. I., 535. Aut Helicen iubeo, strictumve -Orionnis ensem. O. M. VIII., 207.

Catullus uses a different form, -Oărion.
Proximus Hydrochoi fulgeret - Oărı̌on. C. LXVI., 94.
Gēryon. Scheller in his grammar says, that the second syllable in Geryon is common. It is always short,
Gery̆one extincto, Tirynthius attigit arva. V. A. VII., 662.
So also Lucret. V., 28. Virg. A. VIII., 202. Hor. Od. II., xiv., 8. Prop. III., xxii., 9. Ovid. Her. IX., 92. Silius I., 277; III., 422 ; XIII., 201. Sidonius Apollinaris, indeed, makes one of his numerous false quantities in this word, when he says, Nulla tamen fuso prior est Gerȳone pugna. S. A. XIII., 13.

But a few lines farther on (v., 19) he has Gēry̆ŏnes nos esse puta monstrumque tributum.

## Rule V .

Derivatives follow the quantity of the words from which they are formed.

1. This rule applies strictly to the modifications which words undergo in declension, comparison, and conjugation, in so far as those syllables are concerned which are not affected by the inflexions.

Thus, since the first syllable in ăriēs is short in the nominative,
${ }^{1}$ In the Erythraean index to Virgil, we find quoted,
Debilis -Oriönis dextram minitatur inermem. Claud. Prob. et Olyb., 28.
But in all modern edd. it stands,
Debilis -Orion dextram miratur inermem.
${ }^{2}$ In Greek, we find ${ }^{~}{ }^{-} \Omega \rho \iota \omega \nu \alpha$, Hom. II., $\sigma^{\prime} ., 488$. ' $\Omega \varrho \check{\omega} \omega \nu$, Eurip. Ion., 1150 ; and in Callim. II. III., 265 , the form borrowed by Catullus, ' $\Omega a \rho / \omega \nu$. Possibly the original shape of the word in Greek was 'Ovgcov, which gave rise to the legend, this was made into ' $\Omega_{\rho}(\omega \nu$; and the Latins, by dropping the $\nu$, got ' $\mathrm{O} \rho \iota \omega$, with the first short. See Ovid. Fasti. V., 535.
it remains short in ărietis, ărieti, \&c., since its form does not change with the inflexions; but the same cannot be said of the last syllable, which is long in the nominative, although in the oblique cases the corresponding syllable is short, ariëtis, ariëti, \&c. In like manner monosyllables retain in the genitive and oblique cases, when formed regularly, the quantity of the nominative, e. g., sōl, solis; vēr, vēris; für, füris; vı̆r, vı̆ri. ${ }^{1}$

So also from mītis comes mītior, from dūrus, dūrior, dūrissimus, \&c. ${ }^{2}$

In like manner, the first syllable in lëgo being short, it remains short in all the tenses which are formed from the present, as lĕgebam, lĕgam, lĕgerem, \&c. ; and, on the other hand, the first syllable in the preterite lēgi being long, it will be long in lēgeram, lēgerim, legissem, \&c., and all other parts of the verb formed from the preterite. ${ }^{3}$
2. The rule applies to all words which are clearly and distinctly formed from other words, by the addition of certain terminations or suffixes, according to well established analogy.

and this will be found to hold good generally.
But when two words are merely connected together by derivation from a common root, we cannot, even when they resemble each other in structure, with any certainty infer that the quantity of the corresponding syllables will be the same; for, although this

[^19]happens much oftener than otherwise, yet the exceptions are too numerous to admit of the principle being broadly stated.

Some of these exceptions deserve particular attention.
Several kindred verbs which have two forms, one active and the other neuter, or which differ otherwise in meaning, differ also in quantity.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { plācare-plăcere. } \\
& \text { sēdare-sëdere-sīdere, sēdes. } \\
& \text { lēgare-lĕgere. } \\
& \text { dücare-dücere. } \\
& \text { läbare-lābi. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Not that such distinctions are by any means universal, for we have clārare and clārere, rīgare and rīgere, fŭgare and fügere, ĭăcĕre and $\grave{a} c \bar{c}$ re, \&c.

Observe the following:-
( līquitur.
<lŭquare, lĭquet, lăquesco, lŭquefacio.
(lïquens, līquor, līquidus, or lüquens, lı̈quor, lïquidus.
Words which differ in meaning, but which are spelt in the same way, often differ in quantity, which arose possibly from the pronunciation being purposely varied, so as to prevent confusion, so,

| dū̀cis | from dūco, | dŭcis from dux. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rēēis (rēgius, rēgalis) | - rex, | rĕgis - régo (rēgula.) |
| lēgis | - lex, | lĕgis - lĕgo. |
| vōces | - vox, | vơces ${ }^{1}$ - voco. |

Upon the same principle we may explain sĕdes the verb and sēdes the substantive, the short quantity reappearing in sĕdile. So also we have $\bar{\imath} d e m$ in the nom. masculine, and $\check{\text { a dem }}$ in the nom. neuter, the original form of these words having been probably isdem and iddem. The word suspı̆cio, in common with aspı̆cio, conspйcio, despǔcio, \&c., has the antepenultimate syllable short, but suspïcio the substantive has the antepenultimate long in Martial-

Oblinitur si qua est minimae suspīcio rimae. XI., xlv., 5.
Edŭco of the first conjugation has the penult short, while edrüco and all the other compounds of $d \bar{u} c o$ which retain the conjugation of the simple verb retain its quantity.

We suljoin a few words which are apparently connected etymo-

[^20]logically, but which exhibit variations in the quantity of their corresponding syllables, and this list the attentive student will easily enlarge: ${ }^{1}$ -
$\bar{a} c e r-a ̆ c e r b u s$, alăcer, ăcesco, ăcetum, ̆̆cidus.
āreo-ărista, ărena.
сы̆ma-cōmo.
dīcere-dĭcax.
fär-färina.
hŏmo-hūmanus.
hŭmus, hŭmilis, hŭmare-hūmidus, hūmens, hümesco.
iŭgum-iūgerum, īūgis.
lăteo-lāterna.
lux,l̄̄̄cis, lüceo, lūmen-lŭcerna.
măcer, тйсео, măcies-mācero.
möles, mōlior-mölestus.
nōtus-nŏta, nŏto, nötabilis.
persöno-persōna.
quăter-quätuor.
săgax-sāga, praesāgio, praesägrum.
sǒleo-sölennis, sölers.
sŏpor, sŏporus, sŏporifer, sŏporo-sōpio, söpitus, but semisŏpitus."
stips, stĭpis-stīpo, stīpendium.
tëgo, tĕges-tēgula.
tŏt, tǒtidem, tơties-tōtus.
vādo-vădum, vădosus.
$\dot{\tilde{\imath}} d e s$, fidelis, fídeliter, perfídus, perfidia.
fìdo, fïdus, fìducia, inf $\dot{\imath} d u s, ~ \& c$.
vox, vōcis, vōcalis, vōciferor.
vŏco, vŏcabulum, avŏco, revŏco, \&c.
In many cases where the etymological connection is unquestionable, variations in quantity admit of easy explanation. Thus, for - Odium we must look to the obsolete present "Odio, not to the preterite ōdi; flŭo gives flŭvius, but we find flūvidus in Lucretius, which
 contraction of fluvimen, as sēmen (sĕro) is of sevimen, and exāmer of exagimen from ăgo. Lastly, glŏmero has uniformly the first syllable

[^21]short, which is certainly at variance with the quantity of glömus in the Lucretian line-
Nam, si tantumdem est in lanae glomere, quantum. L. I., 354.
But, on the other hand, we read in Hor. Ep. I., xiii., 14, Ut vinosa glŏmus furtivae Pyrrhia lanae.

Therefore, if the readings are correct, we must conclude in this, and similar cases, that the ancients themselves were not agreed as to the true pronunciation of the word in question.

The foregoing rules are frequently termed General Rules, because some of them apply to all the syllables in a word without distinction, and others, to all except the last. 'Those which follow are more limited in their character, and, for the most part, refer to one syllable only. Those regarding compound words, ought, strictly speaking, to be placed among the general rules, but practically it will be found more convenient th discuss them after we have become acquainted with the saws wnick reculate the quantity of final syllables.

## RULES

民UANTITY OF FINAL SYLLABLES.

## I. MONOSYLLABLES.

> Rule VI.
> Monosyllables are long,
> As- $\bar{a}, n \bar{e}, s \bar{\imath}, p r \overline{0}, t \bar{u}, s i \bar{c}, q u \bar{u} n, p \bar{a} r, v \bar{e} r, f \bar{u} s, v \bar{a} s(v a s i s), p \bar{e} s, v \bar{c}, \bar{o} s$, (oris), tūs, \&̌. (I.)

## Exceptions.

a. Monosyllables ending in $b, d, l, t$, are short, as süb, sĕd, vĕl, ět.

But sāl and sool follow the general rule.
b. The following monosyllables are short:-

The enclitic particles quĕ, vĕ, nĕ (interrogative), cĕ, tě, \&c., which are attached to the end of words, as in virumquĕ, rapidivĕ, tantanĕ, hoscĕ, tutĕ, \&c.' (II.)
c. To these add, fŭc, něc, ăn, ̌̌n, fǔr, pĕrr, těr, v̌̌r, c̛̆r, qǔ̆s (nomina-

d. Hic, the pronoun, is found short, but is generally long.

Examples.
Ipsius ante oculos ingens $\bar{a}$ vertice pontus. V. TE. I., 114.
${ }^{1}$ We ought perhaps to class with these, the demonstrative enclitic, $c i$, as it appears in hunccinne, hiscŏne, and the like.

Multorum, ignosces, alias loquar. Huncč̆nĕ solem. H. S. I., ix., 73.
Hiscĭne versiculis sperasti posse dolores. H. S. I., ii., 109.
${ }^{2}$ Quis (dative or ablative) for queis or quibus, is long.
Qūs angusta malis cum moenia vexarentur. C. LXIV., 80.
Qūs ante ora patrum Troiae sub moenibus altis. V. $\mathcal{W}$. I., 95 .

Non metus officio nē tē certasse priorem．V．X．I．，气84． Quem sī fata virum servant sī vescitur aura．V．雨．I．， 546. Prō molli viola prō purpureo narcisso．V．E．V．， 38. Concilias，$t \bar{\imath}$ das epulis accumbere divom．V．$X$ ．I．， 75. Sīc oculos，sīc ille manus，sīc ora ferebat．V．X．III．， 490. Imperium sine fine dedi，quin aspera Iuno．V．厌．I．， 279. Ludere $p \bar{a} r$ impar equitare in arundine longa．H．S．II．，iii．， 248, Hic vēr assiduum atque alienis mensibus aestas．V．G．II．， 149. Invidia est？et nos fās extera quaerere regna．V．AX．IV．， 350. Sincerum cupimus vās incrustare．Probus quis．H．S．I．，iii．， 56. ${ }^{2}$＇ēs etiam et camuris hirtae sub cornibus aures．V．G．III．， 55. －Os humerosque deo similis nanque ipsa decoram．V．X．I．， 589. Angulus iste feret piper et tūs ocius uva．H．E．I．，xiv．， 23.
a．At si non fuerit tellus foecunda sưb ipsum．V．G．I．， 67. Multi ante occasum Maiae coepere sěd illos．V．G．I．， 225. Prima věl auctumni sub frigora cum rapidus sol．V．G．II．， 321. Exit ĕt obducto late tenet omnia limo．V．G．I．， 116. Non săl oxygarumve caseusve．（Phalaecian．）S．S．IV．，ix．， 36. $S a ̈ l$ ，oleum，panis，mel，piper，herba，novem．${ }^{1}$ A．Ep．LXXXVI．， 2. Per duodena regit mundi söl aureus astra．V．G．I．， 232.
b．Arma virumquĕ cano Troiae qui primus ab oris．V．AX．I．， 1. Ne tenues pluviae rapidivĕ potentia solis．V．G．I．， 92. Tantanĕ vos generis tenuit fiducia nostri．V．AR．I．， 132. Hinc omnis pendet Lucilius hoscĕ secutus．H．S．I．，iv．， 6. Nullo praemisso，de rebus tutĕ loquaris．P．III．，xiv．， 25.
c．Haec $f a ̆ c$ et exiguo tempore liber eris．O．A．II．，ii．， 40 ． Incidit；ast alii subeunt，nec saxa，nĕc ullum．V．AE．II．， 467. Qui genus？unde domo？pacemne huc fertis，ăn arma．V． $\mathcal{E}$ ．
［VIII．， 114.

[^22]Deucalion vacuum lapides iactavit in orbem. V. G. I., 62.
Vade age, et ingentem factis fěr ad aethera Troiam. V. XX. III.,
[426.
Ipse tĕr adducta circum caput egit habena. V. $\mathbb{E}$. IX. 587.
Effoetos cinerem immundum iactare pĕr agros. V. G. I., 81.
Hic vir, hic est, tibi quem promitti saepius audis. V. 压. VI.,
[792.
Molle cơr ad timidas sic habet ille preces. O. T. V., viii., 28.
Aptemus : dolus an virtus quŭs in hoste requirat? V. X. II., 390.
Apta quadrigis equa: te bis Afro. (Sapph). II. O. II., xvi., 35.
Vestrum praetor, ǐs intestabilis et sacer esto. H. S. II., iii., 181.
Quisquis ĕs, haud credo invisus coelestibus, auras. V. X. I., 387.

## Remarks.

I. Në, the interrogative, is always attached to other words as an enclitic. In ordinary conversation it was abbreviated by dropping the $e$, even before a consonant. Thus, in the dramatic writers we find constantly the forms, viden', ain', satin', and the like, for videsne, aisne, satisne, where, it will be observed, the $s$ also is dropped so as to make interrogations more short and sharp.
II. Vas, vadis, a surety, is, in many books on Prosody, said to be short, but it does not occur in the nominative in any passage which decides the quantity.
$O s$, Ossis, a bone, appears to be short, from its compound ex̆̌s, which will be noticed below. We have also the testimony of Augustinus de Grammatica, p. 1980, ed. Putsch., who tells us that os, oris, is long ; os, ossis, short.
III. Fac. Vossius says that fac is always long, and cites

Hoc fac Armenios, haec est Danaeia Persis. O. A. A. I., 225.
Durius incedit, fac ambulet, omne papillae. O. R. A., 337.
But Heinsius, upon unexceptionable MS. authority, restored in the first,

Hoc facito Armenios, haec est Danaeia Persis.
And in the second,
Durius incedit, füc inambulet, omne papillae.
In almost all cases where fac is followed by a vowel, the MSS. vary between fac and face; the attempt of Vossius to establish a
distinction in the quantity of these words, seems unworthy of the usual good sense of that excellent grammarian. ${ }^{1}$

Vir and cor are frequently said to be common; the former on the authority of
De grege nunc tibi $v i \bar{r}$, et de grege natus habendus. O. M. I., 660.
Which is now corrected, and stands,
De grege nunc tibi vir, nunc de grege natus habendus.
The latter, also on the authority of Ovid :-
Molle meum levibus cör est violabile telis. O. H. XV., 79.
But the best editors have adopted
Molle meum levibusque corr est violabile telis.
Bis. The quantity of bis has been considered doubtful.
In the Aldine ed. of Manilius, we find, IV., 451,
Bis undena nocens, et bis duodena nocentes.
Which seems to be a misprint for bisque; at least, the latter is adopted, without remark, by all modern editors. Vossius quotes

Bis aether, bis terra dedit, confusaque rursus. C. G., 61.
But all edd. and MSS. which I have ever met with give His aether, his terra dedit, \&c.
Cis is usually ranked among short monosyllables; but I do not remember any passage which decides its quantity.
$E s$, from edo, is said to follow the general rule; but authorities are wanting.
III. Hic and hoc deserve particular notice.

Hic, the adverb, is always long; as,
Huc pater, O Lenaee, tuis hāc omnia plenis. V. G. II., 4.
IIf, the pronoun, is short in the two following lines from Virgil:-
Solus hic inflexit sensus animumque labantem. V. 庆. IV., 22. Hic vir hic est, tibi quem promitti saepius audis. V. AE. IV., 792. and in the poem entitled Laurdes Herculis, sometimes erroneously ascribed to Claudian,

Illi unum ferro: geminos hic inermis et unus.
${ }^{1}$ With regard no Fac, see Heins. and Burman. on Ovid. Heroid. II., 98 ; and Voss. Aristarch. II., 29.

But is in most cases long, as in
Haec finis Priami fatorum, hīc exitus illum. V. .E. II., 554.
With regard to Hoc, no example can be quoted, except from the comic writers in which it is found short; or from the collection of the Anthology, which, for the most part, cannot be regarded as any authority; but it is often long, as,
Dicendum tamen est, $h o \bar{c}$ est, mihi crede, quod aegra. O.II. XX., 109. Hoc deus et vates, hōc et mea carmina dicunt. O. II. XXI., 235.

These are the facts; the opinions expressed by the old grammarians respecting the quantity of these words differ widely from each other. Velius Longus and Priscian seem to think that lic and hoc are both naturally short, and that in all passages where they are found long they ought to be written hicc, hoce, and considered as abbreviations of hicce, hocce. ${ }^{1}$
Terentianus Maurus, Marius Victorinus, Probus, Charisius, and Martianus Capella, on the other hand, assert that in these words $c$ has the same force in pronunciation as a double consonant; that, consequently, hic and hoc ought always to be long, and that Virgil was guilty of an inaccuracy in changing the pronunciation and quantity of $h i c$, in the two passages cited above.
Vossius says that hoc is used short in the nominative and vocative; but he is unable to bring any better authority than that of two anonymous poets in the collections:-

Et vos $h \check{c} c$ ipsum quod moriamur invitat, Propter $\hbar \check{h c}$, atque aliis donis des cuncta roganti. ${ }^{2}$
See Priscian, p. 958. Velius Longus, p. 2219. Marius Victorinus, p. 2471. Probus, p. 1390. Charisius, pp. 4, 5. Terentianus Maurus, v. 1657. Martianus Capella, lib. iii. Voss. Aristarch., lib. ii., c. 29. Classical Journal, vol. ix., p. 339.

Si quă, ne quă, num quă, are abbreviations for si aliqua, ne aliqua, num aliqua, ought to be written in one word siquй, nequă, nuтquй, and will then fall under the next rule.

[^23]
## QUANTITY OF FINAL SYLLABLES.

## II. POLYSYLLABLES.

## FINALA.

## Rule VII.

A final is short,
As-navită, musă, almă, Hectoră, nomină, \&c.
Exceptions.
A final is long in the following cases:-
a. In the ablative singular of nouns of the first declension, as in picturā inani, altā mente, \&c. (I.)
b. In vocatives of the first and third declensions from nominatives in as, as-Aeneā, Pallā, Atlā.

But vocatives in $a$ from nominatives in es, follow the general rule, as-Orestă, Polydectă, Thyestă, Aeetă. (II.)1
c. In the imperative of the first conjugation, as praemonstra $\bar{a}$, conservā. (III).
d. In all undeclined words,

As-circā, citrā, contr $\bar{a}$, extrā, frustrā, infrā, iuxtā, suprā, ultr $\bar{a}$, anteà, posteà, postillā, praetereà, proptereā, the numerals as trigintā, \&c.

But eiŭ, itŭ, quĭu, follow the general rule, and also alphŭ, betŭ -the names of letters. (IV.)
${ }^{1}$ These are all Greek forms.

## Examples.

Navită tum stellis numeros et nomină fecit. V. G. I., 137. Musŭ, mihi causas memora, quo numine laeso. V. A. I., 8 . Liber et almŭ Ceres, vestro si munere terram. V. G. I., 7. Hectoris hic magni fuerat comes, Hectoră circum. V. JI. VI., 166.
a. Sic ait atque animum picturā pascit inani. V. RE. I., 464. Exciderant animo; manet altā mente repostum. V. 庆. I., 26.
b. Aeneã, vigila, et velis immitte rudentes. V. A. X., 229. Teque iuvat, Pallā; sed bellis acer Halesus. V. 出. X., 411. Tempus, Atlă, veniet tua quo spoliabitur auro. O. M. IV., 643. Fecerunt Furiae, tristis Orestă, tuae. O. T. I., v., 22. Te tamen, O parvae rector, Polydectă, Seriphi. O. M. V., 242. Tereos, aut coenam, crude Thyestă, tuam. M. IV., xlix., 4. Amplexus, Aeetă, dares fletusque videres. V. F. VIII., 11.
c. Incorrupta mei conservā foedera lecti. P. IV., iii, 79. Currenti spatium praemonstrā, callida Musa. L. VI., 93.
d. Circà mite solum Tiburis et moenia Catili. (Choriamb.) II. O. I., [xviii, 2.
Dextera diriguit, nec citrū mota, nec ultra. O. M. V., 186.
Contrā non ulla est oleis cultura neque illae. V. G. II., 420.
Laudet ametque domi, premat extrā limen iniquus. H. E. I., [xix., 36.
Irrustrā nam scopulis surdior Icari. (Choriamb.) H. O. III., [viii., 21.
Infrā Lucili censum ingeniumque tamen me. H. S. II., i., 75.
Imperio accitos alta intrū limina cogit. V. $A$., XI., 235.
Ut iuxtā genitorem adstat Lavinia virgo. V. AK. VII., 72.
Frigidus est etiam fons, suprā quem sita saepe. L. VI., 880.
Quos alios muros, quae iam ultrā moenia habetis. V. $\mathbb{E}$. IX., 782.

Ubi iste, post Phaselus, anteā fuit. (Iamb. Trim.) C. IV., 10. Petti, nihil me, sicut anteā, iuvat. (Iamb. Trim.) H. E. XI.,1. Intereā magno misceri murmure pontum. V. $\mathbb{E}$. I., 124. Multaque praetereā Laurentis praemia pugnae. V. A. XI., 78.
Praeclaram mundi naturam, proptereāque. L. V., 157.
Nec sibi postillā metuebant talia verba. C. LXXXIV., 9.
Mentula habet [instar] trigintā iugera prati. C. CXV., 1.
Et numquam visis trigintā clara mamillis. ${ }^{1}$ I.S. XII., 74.
Ferret ad aurigerae caput arboris, Eiă per ipsum. V.F. VIII., 110.
Incolimus; sed vos, si fert ită corde voluntas. V. SE. VI., 675.
Sed quiŭ non aliter vires dabit omnibus aequas. V. G. II., 286.
Quod alphŭ dixi, Codre, paenulatorum. (Scazon.) M.V.,xxvi., 1.
Dicas licebit belă me togatorum. (Scazon.) M. V., xxvi., 4.

## Remarks.

I. The ablative singular of the first declension is long, because it is a contraction ; the original form of the ablative of penna was penna-e, contracted pennâ, and so in all others.-See Appendix on the Original Form of the Declensions.
II. The Romans here follow the example of the Greeks, from whose poets they borrowed all these proper names; thus we have

III. Here again we have a contraction, $a m \bar{a}$ is contracted for ama-e, just as docē is contracted for doce-e.-See Appendix on the Conjugations.
IV. I consider circā, citrā, contrā, extrā, frustrū, infira, intr $\bar{a}$, iuxt $\bar{u}$, supr $\bar{u}$, ultr $\bar{u}$, to be imperatives of verbs of the first conjugation, of which frustro, intro, supero (cont. supro), are still in use, and thus we can satisfactorily account for the long quantity of the last syllable.

It is not easy to give an explanation of anteā, postē̄, intereā, praetereā, postillā, as these at first sight appear to be compounds of the prepositions ante, post, inter, praeter, with the accusatives plural of is and ille, in which case the last syllable ought undoubtedly to be short. An ingenious writer in the Classical Journal (Mr. Carson of the Edinburgh High School, I believe) has endeavoured

[^24]to show, that ea and illa in these words are in the ablative case; but I feel disposed to agree with the author of a very able article in the Journal of Education, vol. i., p. 106, who supposes them to be formed from ante eam, post eam, \&c., the correlatives antequam, postquam, still retaining the final letter.

Contra is said to have the last syllable sometimes short, upon the following authorities :-
Contrăque Lethaei quassare silentia rami. V. F. VIII., 34.
Which is the reading of the Junt. and Ald. edd., but all the good MSS. give the reading now universally received-
Cunctaque Lethaei quassare silentia rami.
We find also,
Contră iacet cancer patulam distentus in alvum. Man. II., 253.
The MSS., however, vary, and from the reading as it stands in the oldest of them, Bentley, with great ingenuity, makes out,
Strata iacent, cancer patulam distentus in alvum.
But a writer like Manilius, whose age is uncertain, and whose text in so many places is hopelessly corrupt, cannot be received as an authority in a matter of this sort.

Lastly, we have a scrap from some ancient anonymous poet, Quis pater, aut cognatu' volet nos contră tueri. ${ }^{1}$
Which is worth nothing. But when we come down to Ausonius, it forms one in the long catalogue of barbarisms common among the writers of that period.
Saepe mora est quotiens contră parem dubites. A. P. I., 16, Praef. [Epig.
Poste $\bar{a}$. It may be difficult to adduce a satisfactory example of this word, without having recourse to the comic writers; but there is no reason to suppose that it differed in quantity from ante $\bar{a}$. We find, indeed,
Posteă mirabar cur non sine litibus esset. O. Fr. I., 165.
But the difficulty is easily avoided by taking it as two separate words, Post ea, or by pronouncing it as a dissyllable, Postea. (See

[^25]Contră carinantes, verba atque obscena profatus.
below, under Poetical Licenses.) As to posteăquam, cited from Victorinus, it is utterly unworthy of notice.

Postilla. A better example of this word is given in the Port Royal Grammar.
Hypsipyle nullos postillā sensit amores. P. I., xv., 19.
But in this line, postilla is a conjectural emendation of G. Fabricius, which has not been generally received.

Triginta. Many prosodians in their love for doubtful quantities, assert that the final $a$ in the numerals is either long or short. In support of this position, they bring forward
Ter trigintă quadrum partes per sidera reddant. Man. II., 322.
Even if we admit the evidence of Manilius, his testimony will avail but little in this case. In all the oldest MSS. of the classics, numbers were expressed by marks, not by words ; hence, when the transcriber found LXXXX. in his copy, he ignorantly rendered it by Ter triginta, instead of Nongentae. (See Bentley's note.) This is rendered still more probable by the circumstance, that Triginta occurs six lines lower down, with its true quantity.
Trigintū duplicat partes, pars tertia deerit.
With regard to quinquagintŭ, we have,
Mutua quod nobis ter quinquagintă dedisti. M. III., xl., 1.
But several MSS. give quinquagenŭ. Again, Sexagintă teras cum limina mane senator. M. XII., xxvi, 1.

In which passage Sexagena is probably the true reading. See Voss. Aristarch.-Schrevil. not. ad loc.

We have Sexagintā in Martial VII., ix., 1. Septuagintă is found in the Anthology (IV., 283, 314), and Ausonius shortens Nonagintü.
Nonagintă dies et quatuor et medium sol. A. Ecl. IV., 1.
Quiŭ. Notwithstanding the frequent occurrence of this word in the best writers, by whom the last syllable is uniformly made short, ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Carey pronounces it doubtful, on the suspicious authority of a single line in Phaedrus.

$$
\text { Ego primam tollo nominor quī̄ leo. P. I., v., } 7 .
$$

[^26]But almost all editors agree in considering the line corrupt, and most of them read

Ego primam tollo quoniam nominor leo.
In Ausonius indeed we have qui $\bar{a}$,
Sed quiā nostro docuere in aevo. (Sapph.) A. Prof. VIII., 7.
See also Plaut. Bacch. IV., iv., 29.
Sóme except from the imperatives of the first conjugation, Puta, used parenthetically, as in
Hoc, pută, non iustum est, illud male, rectius illud. P.S. IV., 9.
To which we may add, Mart. IX., xcvi., 5, and XI., xcv., 2 ; but in all these instances many MSS. give puto, which makes the matter doubtful.

We find in most editions of Catullus,
Istos commodă, nam volo ad Serapin. (Phalaecian.) C. X., 26.
The line, however, is generally supposed to be corrupt,-although the learned are not agreed as to the emendation which ought to be adopted.

## FINAL E.

## Rule VIII.

E final is short,
As-exemplarĕ, bonĕ, foederĕ, Calpĕ,1 Praenestĕ, illĕ, verterĕ, sinĕ, impunĕ, ritĕ, \&c.

## Exceptions.

E final is long in the following cases:-
a. In words of the first and fifth declensions, and in adverbs derived from the latter,

As-Aeglē, crambè, Thisbē, Nymphē, Melpomenē, Alcidē, Actoridē, diē, fidē, famē, quarē, hodiē, \&c. (I.)
b. In contracted plural cases of the third declension, in words transplanted from the Greek,

[^27]As-cetē, melē, pelayē, tempē, \&c.; ; i. e., $\kappa \eta \tau \varepsilon a, \mu \varepsilon \lambda s a, \pi \varepsilon \lambda a \gamma s a$, $\tau \varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon a$, contracted $\kappa \eta \tau \eta, \mu \varepsilon \lambda \eta, \pi \varepsilon \lambda a \gamma \eta, \tau \varepsilon \mu \pi \eta$.
c. In the second person singular of the imperative of the second conjugation, as-gaudē, salvē, valē. (II.)

But cave has the last syllable either long or short. (III.)
$\tilde{u}_{\text {. }}$ In adverbs formed from adjectives of the first and second declension, as-probē, latē, longē.

But benĕ, malĕ, infernĕ, supernĕ, follow the general rule. (IV.)
e. In the adverbs fermē, ferē, ohe

Temere is not found in any good writer except bofore a word beginning with a vowel. (V.)

## Examples.

Exemplarĕ. dare et vestigia notitiāi. L. II., 123.
Consulis, o bonĕ rex : cuncti se scire fatentur. V. 廊. XI., 344.
Des, pater, et pacem hanc aeterno foederě iungas. V. RI. XI., $3 \check{6} 6$.
Aequora transiliet, sed longe Calpĕ relicta. I.S. XIV., 279.
Dum tu declamas Romae Praenestĕ relegi. H. E. I., ii., 2.
Illĕ mihi ante alios fortunatusque laborum. V. K. XI., 416.
Verterĕ, Maecenas, ulmisque adiungerĕ vites. V. G. I., 2.
Queis sinĕ nec potuerĕ seri nee surgerě messes. V. G. I., 161.
Et saepe alterius ramos impunĕ videmus. V. G. II., 32.
Ergo ritĕ suum Baccho dicemus honorem. V. G. II., 393.
a. Aeglē Naiadum pulcherrima, iamque videnti. V. E. VI., 21. Occidit miseros crambē repetita magistros. I.S. VII., 154.
Saepe ut constiterant, hinc Thisbē, Pyramus illinc. O. M. IV., 71. Daphnidis Idaei quem Nymphē pellicis ira. O. M. II., 77. Quem tu Melpomenē semel. (Choriamb.) H. O. IV., iii., 1. Te precor, Alcidè, coeptis ingentibus adsis. V. S. X., 461. Quantus in Aeacidē, A ctoridēque fuit. O. E. P. II., iv., 22. Libra diē somnique paris ubi fecerit horas. V. G. I., 208. Forte diē solemnem illo rex Arcas honorem. V. A. VIII., 102.

Effare ; iussas cum fudè poenas luam. (Iamb. Trim.) II. E. [XVII., 37.
Amissis, ut fama, apibus morboque famèque. V. G. IV., 318.
Quarē per divos oratus uterque penates. H.S. II., iii., 176.
Muneribus servos corrumpam, non hodiē si. H. S. I., ix., 57.
Quae mens est hodiē, cur eadem non puero fuit. (Choriamb.) H. O. [IV., x., 7.
b. Dum cetē ponto innabunt, dum sidera coelo. S. VII., 476. At Musaea melè per chordas organicei quae. L. II., 412. At pelagē multa et late substrata videmus. L. VI., 620.
Tempē quae sylvae cingunt super impendentes. C. LIV., xiv., 287
c. Gardè, quod nulla est aeque formosa, doleres. P. III., viii., 35 . Salvè magna parens frugum Saturnia tellus. V. G. II., 173. Valē, Sabine, iam valete formosi. (Scazon.) V. C. VII., 7. Imperiosa trahit Proserpina vive valēque. IH. S. II., v., 110.
(Cavē, Cavè! namque in malos asperrimus. (Iamb. Trim.)
$\{$ Lucum ligna? cavē ne portus occupet alter. H.E.I., vi., 32. Tu cavĕ ne minuas, tu ne maius faciasid. H.S. II., iii., 177 . ( Neu, cavĕ, defendas, quamvis mordebere dictis. O. T. I., i., 25.
d. Suffenus iste, Vare, quem probē nosti. (Scazon.) C. XXII., 1. Directaeque acies et latē fluctuat omnis. V. G. II., 281. Aequora transiliet, sed longē Calpe relicta. I. XIV., 279. Si benĕ quid de te merui, fuit aut tibi quidquam. V. S. IV., 317. Insequitur, cumulosque ruit malĕ pinguis arenae. V. G. I., 105. Ne tibi sit fraudi quod nos infernĕ videmus. L. VI., 187. Remorum recta est, et recta supernĕ guberna. L. IV., 440.
e. Iamque ferē sicco subductae littore puppes. V. 庆. III., 135. Vina ferē dulces oluerunt mane Camoenae. H. E. I., xix., 5. Rarus enim fermē sensus communis in illa. I. S. VIII., 73. Mobilis nt varia est fermē natura malorum. I.S. XIII., 236. Importunus amat laudari donec ohē iam. H. S. II., v., 96.

## Remarks.

I. In all such words as $A$ eglē, $T h i s b e \bar{e}, ~ N y m p h e ̄, ~ M e l p o m e n e ̄, ~ A l c i d e ̄, ~$, Actoride, the $e$ is long, because it represents the Greek $\eta$, as it does also in cetē, melè, pelagē, Tempē.

But these words, which are transplanted without change from the Greek, must not be confounded with those which, although Greek in their origin, are altered in such a manner as to be declined after the Latin model, and consequently adopt the quantity of Latin words: thus we have Achilles declined regularly as a noun of the third, and therefore Achillë in the ablative has the last short.

Et tumidas proavo fregit Achillĕl domos. P. IV., xi., 40. and so in similar instances.

The $e$ is long in genitives and ablatives of the fifth, because it is a contracted syllable, as may be seen by referring to the Appendix on the Original Form of the Declensions.
II. In like manner $e$ is long in the second person singular of the imperative of the second conjugation, because that also is a contracted syllable, salve being contracted for salve-e, \&c.-See Appendix on the Conjugations.
III. With regard to the double quantity in cavč or cavé, the most simple explanation is that given by Vossius II., c. xxv., who supposes that anciently two forms of the verb were in use, one belonging to the second, and the other to the third conjugation, just as we find both fervo and ferveo, fulgo and fulgeo, oleo and olo, ${ }^{2}$ \&c.

Besides cavē or cavĕ, we find it frequently asserted, that vale, vide, responde, salve, have the last syllable common; but it will be seen that there is little evidence to prove this.

Vale occurs very frequently in Virgil, Horace, and Ovid, and always long except in the following line,

Idque quod ignoti faciunt valĕ dicere saltem. O. T. I., viii., 21. but it is manifest that vale here, if separated from dicere, cannot be looked upon as an ordinary imperative, and cannot, from the manner in which it is employed, be regarded as subject to the common laws of quantity. In other passages, where vale is combined with dico, it is long, as Ovid, Met. XI., 460; XIII., 948; F. III., 563; Trist. I., iii., 57.

In addition to the above instance we have,

[^28]Et longum, formose, valē, valĕ, inquit, Iolla. V. E. III., 79.
Verba locus; dictoque, valē, valĕ, inquit, et Echo. O. M. III., 501.
In these and like passages, the shortening of the $e$ in vale is caused by the hiatus, as will be explained hereafter in the proper place, and is quite independent of the proper quantity of the syllable.

Vide. The supposition that the last syllable in vide is sometimes shortened, rests upon

Auriculas, vidësis, ne maiorum tibi forte. P. S. I., 108.
where videsis is a colloquial phrase, pronounced quickly and sharply as one word; and upon the insecure foundation of a line in Phaedrus, Vidĕ ne dolone collum compungam tibi. (Iamb. Trim.) P. III., vi., 3 .
This is certainly the reading of the MSS., such as they are, and is defended by Bentley, who refers to the above passage in Persius, and to Terent. Adelph. IV., ii., 11. Burman reads

Vidè dolone ne collum pungam tibi.
The Bipont editors give
Vidè dolone collum ne pungam tibi
In addition to the above,
Incumbens Odrussa mero : vidĕ lata comantem. V. F. V., 595.
but many of the oldest edd., and some MSS., have viden'alta, which has been adopted by Heinsius, Burman, and all the best modern editors. To conclude,
Hoc vidĕ ne rursum levitatis crimine damnes. C.D.IV., xxv., 2 .
but these apothegms, which go under the name of Cato, are now uiniversally considered spurious.

Responde,
Si , quando veniet, dicet: respondĕ Poeta. M. III., iv., 7.
The oldest edd., however, and MSS. vary, many having respondeto. Respondëre, indeed, is found in Manilius, but there too the reading is doubtful.

Salve,
Lector, salvě; taces dissimulasque, vale. MI. XI., cviii., 4.
This reading is defended by Vossius, but the Bipont and other
standard editions have solve, which is preferable in every point of view. ${ }^{1}$

The following is a list of the examples commonly quoted to prove that $e$ is occasionally shortened in the second person singular of imperatives of the second conjugation. Several of these we have examined above, and it will be a useful exercise for the student to examine the remainder.

Vidě. Phaedr. III., vi., 3. Cato Distich. IV., 25. On Viděn, see Burm. ad Val. Flace., V., 595. Husck. ad Tibull. II., i., 25. Vidësis. Pers. S. I., 108. Cavĕ. Catull. LXI., 151; see Heindorf. ad Hor. Satt. II., iii., 38, 177. Epp. I., iii., 19. Manĕ. Catull. X., 27. Favĕ. Ov. Amm. II., xiii., 21. Grat. 462. Valë. Ov. Trist. I., viii., 21. Havě. Ov. Amm. II., vi., 62. Miscě. Anthol. Lat. V., 135, 18. Extorquĕ. Prudent. Peristeph. V., 60. Percensě. Prudent. Hamart., 624. Respondĕ. Mart. III., iv., 7. Salvĕ. Mart. XI., cviii., 4.
IV. Ausonius has internĕ.

Distinctas internĕ vias mirere deorum. A. U. XIV., 14.
Implicitum quam te nostris internĕ medullis. A.E. V., 21.
and we find in him the barbarisms-
Quum vere obiurgas, sic inimicĕ iuvas
Quum falso landas tunc et amicĕ noces. A. S. S. S. Thiales.
V. Fere has the last short in the later writers, e. g.,

Nam tecum ferĕ totus ero quocumque recedam. A. Ep. CV., 5. It is said to be short in the comic writers also, see Terent. Heaut. I., i., 70.

## FINAL .

## Rule IX.

I final is long,
As-frumentī, Iccī, scribendī, nullī, orb̄̄̀, fallacī, narravī, notī, laetarī̀, arcerī, describū, partirī, utū, \&c.

[^29]
## Exceptions.

$I$ final is short in the following cases:-
a. In all nouns transplanted from the Greek, which in the original have $\iota$ short; as-Minoiď̆, Phyllidŭ, Daphň̆, Parŭ, Adonй, Amaryllŭ, Chloř̆, Sidonй, Cecrop̌, \&c., and in Latin words declined according to the Greek model, such as Tibř̌; but this, of course, does not apply to such words as Danaī, Simṑ, where $i$ represents a diphthong, these being in the original $\Delta a \nu a o t$, $\Sigma \mu$ оєь. (I.)
b. In niš̌ and quaš̌. (II.)
c. I final is doubtful in mihi, tibi, sibi, $i b i, u b i$. (III.)
d. In the older poets, the $s$ in short final is, is sometimes dropped before a word beginning with a consonant, in which case the $i$ is short.

Examples.
Paullatim et sulcis frumentī quaereret herbam. V. G. I., 134. Iccī beatis nunc Arabum invides. (Alcaic Hendec.) $\begin{gathered}\text { H. O. I., } \\ \text { [xxxix., } 1 .\end{gathered}$
Garrulus atque piger scribendī ferre laborem. H. S. I., iv., 12.
Nullı̄ cura fuit externos quaerere divos. P. IV., i., 17.
Pectora, terrarum qui in orbī sancta tuetur. L. V., 75.
Nec fraus te incolumem fallacī perferet Auno. V. AI. XI., 717.
Ah quoties iuvenum narravī potus amores. O. H. XVI., 241.
Nol̄̃ nobilibus, nolı̄ te offerre beatis. P. II., xxiv., 49.
Hanc quisquam lacrymis laetarī credit amantum. O. A. III., x., 15.
Concilio possent arcerī tempore iniquo. L. I., 184.
Si quis erat dignus describī quod malus aut fur. H. S. I., iv., 3.
Nec signare quidem aut partirī limite campum. V. G. I., 126.
Miscet numen utī Graecia Castoris. (Choriamb.) H. O. IV., v., 34.
a. Morte ferox Theseus, qualem Minoidı̆ luctum. C. LXIV., 248. Phylliď̆ Demophoon patria dimittit ab urbe. ${ }^{1}$ Sab. Ep. II., 1.

[^30]Insere, Daphnt, piros carpent tua poma nepotes. V. E. IX., 50.
Dux Pař̌ Priamide, damno formose tuorum. O. H. XIII., 43.
Ferret, Adonŭ, fui? Nec grates immemor egit. O. M. X., 682.
Mirabar quid moesta deos, A maryllì vocares. V. E. I., 37.
Et te Chlorı̌ decet, filia rectius. (Choriamb.) H. O. III., xv., 8. Sidonǐ, sic fueras adspicienda Iovi. O. F. V., 610.
Impia funeribus, Cecrop乞̆ terra, tuis. O. H. X., 100.
Haud procul a ripis, advena Tibrŭ, tuis. O. F. III., 524.
b. Nec veni, nis fata locum sedemque dedissent. V. E. XI., 112. Sed quas冗̆ naufragieis magneis multeisque coorteis. L. II., 553. Quid quaš̆ natali cum poscit munera libo. O. A. A. I., 429.
c. (Non mihl̆ si linguae centum sint oraque centum. V. G. II., 43. Tros Tyriusque miȟ̃ nullo discrimine agetur. V. A. I., 574. Quare monendus es mihī, bone Egnati. (Šcazon.) C. XXXIX., 9.
> (Haud obscura cadens mittet tibzr signa Bootes. V. G. I., 229. Cuncta tibū Cererem pubes agrestis adoret. V. G. I., 343. Quare refectus maximas tib̄̄̆ grates. (Scazon.) C. XLIV., 16. Tiibīque pallor luteus. (Iamb. Dim.) H. E. X., 16.

(Tam sib̌̆ tum curvis male temperat unda carinis. V. G. I., 360. Quod quisque minxit hoc solet sib̄̄ mane. (Scazon.) C.
[XXXIX., 18. Quanto quisque sib̄̄ plura negaverit. (Choriamb.) H. O. III., [xvi., 21.

Nosque ub̌̌ primus equis oriens adflavit anhelis. ${ }^{1}$ V. G. I., 250. Instar veris enim vultus $u b \bar{\imath}$ tuus. (Choriamb.) H. O. IV., v., 6. Delos $u b \bar{\imath}$ nunc, Phoebe, tua est, ub̌̃ Delphica Pytho. T. II., [iii, 26.
d. Te nunc sancta precor Venus et genetrix patrín nostri. E. A. [I., frag. 9.
At fixus nostris tu dabř supplicium. C. CXVI., 8.

[^31]
## Remarks.

I. Some Greek nouns in the dative contain three short syllables in succession, as, Thĕť̃ď, Părŭď̆, Tyndărǔd乞, and consequently could not find a place in Dactylic verse, without the elision of the last syllable, if it retained its proper quantity. Such syllables are frequently lengthened in the poets by the force of Caesura, as will be explained hereafter in the chapter on Caesura. Thus we find,
Tum Thetid $\bar{\imath}$ pater ipse iugandum Pelea sensit. C. LXIV., 21. Dulcior ignis erat Parid̄̀ cum Graia per arma. P . III., viii., 29. Tyndarid̄̀ poterat gaudia ferre suae. P. III., viii., 30 .
Et Zephyris Glaucoque bovem, Thetid̄que iuvencam. V.F. I., 190. Quam Thetid̄̃ longinqua dies Glaucoque repostam. V. F. II., 286.

To which add,
Thetidĩ. C. LXIV., 337. O. H. XX., 60. M. XI., 221. E. 433. Paridī. O. H. VIII., 22; XIII., 74; XVI., 161. R. A. 711. Capyĩ. O. F.IV., 45.
II. Nisi, according to Dr. Carey, has the last sometimes long, and he gives an example,

His parvus (Lechiae nisīvetarent). (Phalaecian.) S. S. IV., iii., 59.
He ought to have mentioned at the same time, that the MSS. are in this place hopelessly corrupt; that the line, as given by him, is a conjectural emendation, and that scarcely two editors read the passage in the same way; the Bipont has
His parvus, Lecheo nihil vetante.
We have, it is true, in Sidonius Apollinaris,
Sint tantum penitusque nisī nihil esse probentur. C. XV., 104.
but if such an authority were worth anything, we might here plead the force of the Caesural pause.

Quasi, also, is said to have the last doubtful on the authority, Proinde quasī fieri nequeat, quod pugnat uterque. L. V., 728. Et devicta quasĩ, cogatur ferre patique. L. II., 291.

But in the first of these, the best MSS. give,
Proinde quasi id fieri nequeat, quod pugnat uterque.
And in the second, the lengthening of the $i$ may fairly be attri-
buted to the force of the Caesura, especially since we find quasi twice in Lucretius IV., 1011, and VI., 972.
III. The compounds of $i b i$, $u b i$, and uti, deserve particular attention, as the practice of the poets seems to be singularly capricious. $\overline{l b i}$, as we have seen, has the last common, but in alib $\bar{\imath}$, $i b \bar{z} d e m$, the $i$ is never found short, ${ }^{1}$ e. $g$.,
Nec tam praesentes alibī cognoscere divos. V. E. I., 42.
Crebra ferit: demissae aures, incertus ibīdem. ${ }^{1}$ V. G. III., 500.
Ubi also has the last common, but in necub̆, sicub̆̆, ub̆̆nam, ubuvis, the $i$ is always short; in ubique it is always long: while in ubicunque it is doubtful, e. g.,
Sicub̌ magna Iovis antiquo robore quercus. V. G. III., 332.
Necubŭ suppressus pereat gener. O bene rapta. L. P. X., 958.
Non ubüvis coramve quibuslibet. In medio qui. H. S. I., iv., 74.
Victoresque cadunt Danai: crudelis ub̄̄que. V. AT. II., 368.
(Clamat, Io matres, audite ubǐcunque ${ }^{2}$ Latinae. V. KI. VII., 400. $\{$ Servor ubīcunque est, uni mea gaudia servo. O. M. VII., 736. Te, Dea, munificam gentes ub̌̌cunque ${ }^{3}$ loquuntur. O. A. III., x., 5 .
In $u t \bar{\imath}$ the $i$ is always long, so also in veluti ; but it is always found short in sicuť̆, utïnam, utique, e. g.,
Sicutŭ quadrupedum cum primeis esse videmus. L. II., 537.
O utĭnam tunc cum Lacedaemona classe petebat. O. H. I., v.
The doubtful quantity in mihi, tibi, sibi, ibi, ubi, \&c., and the consequent variations in their compounds, may perhaps be accounted for in the following manner. These words originally ended in the diphthong ei, mihei, tibei, sibei, ibei, ubei, and under this shape they are frequently found in inscriptions and MSS., especially those of Lucretius. One of the vowels of the diphthong being dropped, which, as we have already seen, frequently took place, they would sometimes appear as milhe, tibe, sibe, \&c., and sometimes as miki, tibi, sibi, \&c. ; in the former case, final $e$ being short in Latin words, except under particular circumstances, the last syllable would be made short by the poets; in the latter case, final $i$ being long in
> ${ }^{1}$ Ilŭdem perhaps occurs in the comic writers,
> Quid quod dedisti scortis? llülem una traho. P. T. II., iv., 10.

${ }^{2}$ Heyne reads here ubiquaeque.
${ }^{3}$ We have ub̆̌cunque in $H . O$. III., xvii., 13 , and H. S. I., ii., 62.

Latin words, the syllable would retain its original quantity, as it probably always did in prose.

## FINALO.

## Rule X.

0 final is always long in datives and ablatives of the second declension; in adverbs derived from them; in words transplanted from the Greek, which have $\omega$ in the original, and in all other cases not specified in the following paragraphs: thus we have praeceptō, verbō, priscō, magnō ; tut̄̄, crebrō, verō, consultō ; Sapphō ( $\Sigma a \pi \phi \omega$ ), Argō ('A $\rho \gamma \omega$ ), Cliō (K $\lambda \varepsilon \omega)$ ); Iō (interjection), ultrō, \& \&c.
a. $O$ final in nominatives of the third declension is, with very few exceptions, long in the writers of the Augustan age, and their predecessors,' as, ${ }^{1}$ magō, virg $\overline{0}$. (I.)

In proper names, however, of the third declension, $o$ final is common even in the best writers, in whom we find, Polliú, Scipiö, Curiŭ, Virrŭ, and the like.
b. O final in verbs is very rarely shortened by writers of the Augustan age, and their predecessors, except in scǐ̆, nesciü, putŭ, vol̆, which are, for the most part, used parenthetically. (II.)
c. $O$ final in the gerund (III.), and in the following words :-ambo, ergō, ideō, immō, porrō, postremō, quandō, serō, verō, is perhaps never found short except in writers posterior to the Augustan age. (IV.)
d. $O$ final is always short in the following words, in good writers :-
 modŏ, postmod̆̆, quomodŏ, tantummodŏ. ${ }^{2}$ (V.)

## Examples.

Nec tamen huic nimium praeceptō credere tutum est. O. R.A., 349.

[^32]Audisti coram nec verbō parcius absens. II. E. I., vii., 38. Priscō si credis, Maecenas docte, Cratino. H. E. I., xix., 1. Vim subitam tolerare, ita magnō turbidus imbri. L. I., 287. Tutō res teneras effert in luminis oras. L. I., 180. Tempora nec numera, nec crebrō respice Romam. O. R. A., 223. Non manifesta tamen; cum verō sustulit acre. O. M. XV., 579. Extenuantis eas consultō; ridiculum acri. H. S. I., x., 14. Sapphō puellis de popularibus. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. II., xiii., 25. Argō funestas pressa bibisset aquas. O. A. II., xi., 6. Cliōque et curvae scita Thalia lyrae. O. F. V., 54. Iō triumphe, tu moraris aureos. (Iamb. Trim.) H. E. IX., 21. Ultrō contemtus rogat, et peccasse fatetur. P. II., xxv., 19.
a. Quorum quantula pars sit imagō dicere nemo est. L. IV., 175. Victa iacet pietas; et virgō caede madentes. O. M. I., 149. Et consulenti Polliŭ curiae. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. II., i., 14. Contiguus poni, Scipiŏ magne, tibi. O. A. A. III., 410. Curiō ${ }^{1}$ legitimis nunc Fornacalia verbis. O. F. II., 527. Si quoi, Virrŏ, bono sacer alarum obstitit bircus. C. LXXI., 1.
b. Sed tamen estō iam quantovis oris honore. L. IV., 1167. $A g \overline{0}$, meum quod non es ulta peccatum. (Scazon.) C. XLIV., 17. Interea mixtis lustrabō Maenala Nymphis. V. E. X., 55. Laudō manentem si celeres quatit. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. III., [xxix., 53.
Me servasse fidem, si fallō, vipera nostris. P. IV., vii., 53 . Ipse mihi Mavors, commendō moenia, dixit. O. F. VI., 53. Nunc sciŏ quid sit amor, duris in cotibus illum. V. E. VIII., 43. Hoc sat erit, sciơ me Danais e classibus unum. V. W. III., 602. Nesciü, sed fieri sentio, et excrucior. C. LXXXV., 2.
Hic mihi nesciö quod trepido male numen amicum. V. A. II.,

At, putǒ, sic urbis misero est erepta voluptas. O. E. P.I., viii., 39. Nam quasdam volŏ cogitationes. (Phalaecian.) C. XXXV., 5. ${ }^{1}$ c. Frigidus in pratis cantandō rumpitur anguis. V. E. VIII., 71. Et voluisse mori, et moriendō ponere sensus. O.T. I., iii., 99. Plurimus hic aeger moritur vigilandŭ, sed illum. I. III., 232. Quae nosti meditandŏ velis inolescere menti. A. E. CXLI., 2.
〔 $A m b \bar{o}$ florentes aetatibus, Arcades ambo. V. E. VII., 4. Ambō propositum peragunt iter urbis aventes. H. S. II., vi., 99. Matronae péccantis in ambō iusta potestas. H. S. II., vii., 62. $A m b \check{c}$ pii, carique $a m b \bar{o}$; nequeam ipse priorem. S. T. VI., 374. Amplius; amb̆̆ truces, ambo abscessere minantes. ${ }^{2}$ V. F. VII., [653.
Ergō non hyemes illam, non flabra neque imbres. V. G. II., 293. Ergō Quinctilium perpetuus sopor. (Choriamb.) H.O.I.,xxiv., 5. Ergō velocem potuit domuisse puellam. P. I., i., 15.
Ergō, dum Stygio sub terris gurgite labor. O. M. V., 504. Ergŏ pari voto gessisti bella iuventus. L. P. IX., 256.
Impune ergŏ mihi recitaverit ille togratas. I. I., 3.
Sed tamen esse tuus dicitur, ergŏ potest. M. I., xv., 6.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ac ne me foliis } i d e \bar{o} \text { brevioribus ornes. }{ }^{3} \text { II. E. I., xix., } 26 . \\ \text { An ideŏ tantum veneras ut exires. (Scazon.) M. I., i., } 4 .\end{array}\right.$
§ Frustra ? immō magno cum pretio atque malo. C. LXXVII., 2. Adeo bene emit? inquis : immŏ non solvit. (Scazon.) M. VIII., [x., 3. Vendere, nil debet, foenerat immŏ magis. M. I., lxxxvi., 4. Sed dicam vobis vos porrō dicite multis. ${ }^{4}$ C. LXVIII., 45. Atque anima est animae proporrō totius ipsa. L. III., 276. Multos porrŏ vides, quos saepe elusus, ad ipsum. I. S. XI., 9. Spirat adhuc pinguisque meo, tu porrŏ sequeris. S. T'. VII., [546.
Et Scauros et Fabricios postremŏ severos. I. S. XI., 91.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Chommoda dicebat si quandō commoda vellet. C.LXXXIV.,1. } \\ \text { Frigidus agricolam si quandō continet imber. V. G. I., 259. } \\ \text { Festorum herboso colitur si quandŏ theatro. I. S. III., } 173 .\end{array}\right.$

[^33]${ }^{4}$ Porro is the Greek $\pi 0$ p $p \omega$.
[Heu serō revocatur amor serōque iuventus. T. I., viii., 41. Serō respicitur tellus, ubi fune soluto. O. A. II., xi., 23. Haec animo ante tubas. Galeatum serŏ duelli. I. S. I., 169, Serŏ dedit poenas. Discerpi noxia mater. M. V. lxvii., 5. Serŏ memor thalami, moestae solatia morti. S. T. I., 596.
(Hic verō victus genitor se tollit ad auras. ${ }^{1}$ V. AL. II., 699. Tu potior, Thebane, queri, nos verŏ volentes. S. T. II., 187. Quod petimus, $\sin$ verŏ preces et dicta superbus. V. F. V., 322.
d. Quicquid praecipies esto brevis, ut citŏ dicta. H. A. P., 335. Nec citŏ credideris, quantum citŏ credere laedat. O. A. A. III., [685.
(Consule Pompeio primum duŏ, Cinna, solebant. C. CXIII., 1. Et nobis idem Alcimedon duŏ pocula fecit. V. E. III., 44. Vel duŏ, vel nemo, turpe et miserabile, quare. P. I., 3.
Saepe egŏ, quum flavis messorem induceret arvis. V. G. I., 316.


Remarks.
I. Homŏ is found short in Catullus, nemŏ and ler in Ovid, mentið in the Satires of Horace; but it was not until the age of Lucan that the practice of shortening o final in nouns of the third declension became general; in his writings we find cardŭ, pulmŏ,

[^34]tirŏ, turb̆̆, \&c.; and in Martial and his contemporaries it is perhaps oftener short than long.

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Nemōne in tanto potuit populo esse, Iuventi, C. LXXXI., } 1 .\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\right.$ Silenus quamvis nemŏ vocaret adest. ${ }^{1}$ O.F. VI., 324.
Quí? non est homŏ bellus? inquies ; est. ${ }^{2}$ (Phalaecian.)C. XXIV.,7.
$\{$ Nunc lĕ̆, nunc arbor, nunc erit hirtus aper. O. A. A. I., 762.
\{ Gaetulusve leō, frangere persequor. (Choriamb.) H. O. I., xxiii., 10.
Lividus et mordax videor tibi ? mentiŏ siqua. H.S. I., iv., 93.
Cardŏ tenet Tethyn, vetitae transcurrere densos. L. P.IV., 73.
Aeris alternos angustat pulmŏ meatus. L. P. IV., 327.
Tirŏ rudis, specta poenas, et disce ferire. L. P. V., 363.
Turbŏ rapax, fragilemque super volitantia malum. L. P. V., 595.
II. No example occurs in Lucretius, in Virgil, or in the Odes of Horace, of the final $o$ in a verb being left short, except in scio and nescio; which, as well as putŏ, volŏ, roğ, credŏ, do not form real exceptions, for these words were either used parenthetically, or in colloquial formulæ enunciated rapidly; we find indeed,

Spondeŏ digna tuis ingentibus omnia coeptis. V. DI. IX., 296.
But the celebrated Mediceo-Laurent. MS. has Spondē, and even if we insist with Heyne in reading Spondeo, I have but little doubt that it was pronounced as a dissyllable, Spondyō. In like manner, it is not impossible that the two last syllables in scio and nescio, the latter of which two verbs occurs so frequently in the parenthetic phrases, nescio quis, nescio quid, nescio qui, \&c., may have been thrown together, and the words pronounced skyo and nesk-yo; this, however, will not arply to the example,
Curtae nesciŏ quid semper abest rei. (Choriamb.) H. III., xxiv., 64. where nescio must be a trisyllable.

The shortening of the final $o$ in verbs is very rare in Catullus, in Tibullus, in Propertius, and in Ovid; it gradually becomes more common in the writers who follow them, and when we come down to the age of Statius and Martial it is to be found in every page. The following, it is believed, is nearly a complete collection of the examples that can be found in the Roman poets who flourished before the reign of Tiberius:-

[^35]Verbs ending in short 0.
Catullus. Volŏ, VI., 15; XVII., 8, 23 ; XXXV., 5.
Tibullus. Desinǒ, II., vi., 41.
Nesció, I., vi., 55.
Horatius. Veť, S. I., i., 104. Dixerǒ, S. I., iv., 104.
Ě̆, S. I., vi., 119.
Volǒ, S. I., ix., 17.
Propertius. Volơ, II., x., 9. Findð, III., ix., 35. Nesciơ, I., iv., 7. Ovidius. $\quad A d d \delta$, H., VI., 73.

Rogŏ, H. XI., 127 (probably interpolated).
Petŏ, H. XII., 197 ; XVI., 35 ; A. A. II., 10 ; T. I., ii., [77 ; M. VI., 352.
Rependŭ, H. XV., 32. Dabŏ, H. XVII., 260.
Desinơ, H. XVIII., 203.
Negŏ, A. I., x., 64. Vol̆̆, A. II., v., 54.
Oderð̛, A. III., xi., 35 (interpolated).
Tolló, A. III., ii., 26.
Amŏ, A. III., xiv., 39 ; R. A., 648.
Conferŏ, E. P. I., i., 25.
Credơ, E. P. I., vii., 56 (parenthetically).
Canč, E. P. III., ix., 35. Estö, T. IV., iii., 72.
Eř̆, T. IV., х., 130.
Putö, occurs about thirty-three times.
The student will do well to consult the learned annotations of Lennep. on Ov. Ep. XV., 32, who gives most of the above examples; he omits, however, add $\check{0}$, estö ; and when he asserts that no instances are to be found either in the Fasti or Metamorphoses, except nesciö and putö, he has overlooked petǒ in Met. VI., 352; he also neglects the same verb in Trist. I., ii., 77.

As to the practice of the later poets, take the following line:Prandeŏ, potŏ, cano, ludo, lavŏ, coenŏ, quiesco. Anthol. Lat. III., [Ep. lix.
For the opinions of the old grammarians, see Charisius, pp. 5, 6 ; Diomedes, p. 430; Marius Victorinus, p. 2472.
III. Scholars seem now very generally to agree in the doctrine here laid down on the quantity of the final $o$ in gerunds. Two passages are sometimes quoted against it,
Aufer et ipse meum pariter medicand̆̈ dolorem. T. III., vi., 3.
which is found in some MSS. Heyne, supported by others, gives medicande, but probably neither is the true reading. The second passage is,

Fortunam vultus fassa tegendŏ suos. O. II. IX., 126.

The MSS. are in great confusion here, and the line is universally allowed to be corrupt. Many of the MSS. have tegente.

Those who desire further discussion on this subject may consult Valerius Probus, p. 1388; Broukhusius on the above line from Tibullus; Heinsius on that from Ovid; Burman. Anthol. Lat. tom. I., p. 298, II., p. 722; the notes of Perizonius on the Minerva of Sanctius; Wagner on the Elegy to Messala, \&c.

For other examples of the gerund with o long, extra Caesuram, see Lucret. I., 399; II., 1059, 1108; III., 490, 706, 961, 1103, 1100 (V., 1170; VI., 693); IV., 641, 705, 1098; VI., 686. Catull. LXIV., 268. Propert. I., i., 9; iv., 1; II., xxiv. 31 (IV., ix., 9). Ov. Her. VII., 129. A. A. II., 197, 217. T. I., iii., 99; IV., vii., 25. E. P. III., v., 11; IV., v., 17. Fast. III., 307; V., 299. Met. I., 496, 547 ; VI., 425 ; VIII., 878 ; X., 582, 602 ; XI., 107 ; XIII., 374 ; XV., $380,434 . \quad$ V. E. VIII., 71, 86. G. III., 65 . Ж. VI., 660, 847 ; VII., 182. Those within brackets are participles in dus.

In later writers the practice of shortening ofinal in the gerund is common, e. g.,
Plurimus hic aeger moritur vigilandŭ sed illum. I. S., III., 232.
IV. There is such a want of precision in the rules commonly laid down regarding these words, that it will be necessary to say a few words regarding some of them.

Ergo. A foolish distinction is made by some ancient, ${ }^{1}$ and almost all modern grammarians, between ergo signifying on account of, and ergo signifying therefore, as if the two meanings were not the same, the word being ejp $\varphi$, , the dative of the Greek noun. They say, moreover, that the final syllable is long when the word means $\chi a \rho \iota v$, and short when it is equivalent to ouv. In reality it is always long in the best writers. Doctor Carey, indeed, in his Prosody, quotes Virgil against this,

> Ergŏ metu capiti Scylla est inimica paterno;
but he forgets to mention that this example is taken from the Ciris, 386, which few suppose to have been the work of Virgil, and which is notorious for the impurity of its text. Heyne's remark on the above line is "Iterum inepti monachi acumen ex margine illatum, sed valde obtusum. Metus saltem disertius erat exponendus. Barthius non male emendat Ergo tum capiti. Puto tamen interpolatorem scripsisse. Ergo iterum capiti. Hoc idem Heinsius coniicit."

In the Gradus we find,
Ergüne solicitae tu causa, pecunia, vitae es. P. III., vii., 1.
${ }^{1}$ Marius Victorinus, and Festus.

But the best MSS. and editions give,
Ergō solicitae tu causa, pecunia, vitae es.
There is one passage in Ovid where it is short, according to the received reading,

Votis ergŏ meis alii rediture redîsti. O. H. V., 59.
But there may perhaps be some corruption here, since he lengthens it uniformly elsewhere. (Sea below.)

The following are examples of Ergö, extra Caesuram, in addition to those already given from Virgil :-
Lucretius, I., 73, 365, 446, 527, 539, 620, 963 ; II., 20, 495, 519, 625 ; III., 143, 176, 456, 667 ; IV., 82, 160, 544, $562,609,950$; V., 261, 1086, 1135, 1185; VI., 180, 1245.

Tibullus, III., ii., 9 ; ir., 75 ; vi., 51.
Horatius, Od. I., xxiv., 5. Epod. XVII., 27 ; S. I., x., 7 : II., iii., 192.

Propertius, I., i., 15 ; II., viii., 13 ; III., iii., 29 ; vii., 1 ; xx., 25 ; xxiii., 1.

Ovidius, Her. XXI., 31. Amor. I., vi., 21 ; ix., 31 ; xv., 31. Nux., 53,149 . Trist. I., vi., 17 ; II., 543 ; III., vii., 19, 31 ; x., 77 ; xi., 63 ; IV., x., 115 ; V., viii., 33. E. P. I., ii., 129 ; II., xi., 19; III., vii., 7 ; IV., xvi., 47. Fast. I., 451. Met. II., 105; V., 504 ; X., 437 ; XI., 224; XV., 173.

To this array of authorities nothing is opposed except the line quoted above. Another apparent exception used to stand in Trist. I., i., 87 , but the passage is now corrected.

Immo is usually ranked among those which have the o always short. It is, however, long in the passage quoted from Catullus, but in Caesura. It is found six times in Virgil, always at the beginning of a line, and always before a word beginning with a vowel. I am not aware of any example before the age of Martial, where it appears with the final vowel short.

Postremo. I do not remember any passage which determines the quantity of the final vowel in postremo, except that quoted from Juvenal; but since it is evidently the ablative of the adjective postremus, there can be little doubt that the earlier writers would lengthen it as well as the other adverbs belonging to the same class.

Quando. The compounds of quando differ from each other in quantity.

Aliquando, like the simple quando, has the o long in the earlier, and common in the later writers, e. g.,

Orbatura patres aliquandō fulmina ponat. O. M. II., 391.
Et bene, dic neutrum, dic aliquarid̆̈ male. M. X., xlvi., 2.
Quandōque and Quandōcunque have the o uniformly long; in Quandöquidem it is always short.

Indignor quandöque bonus dormitat Homerus. H. A. P., 359.
Quandōcunque precor nostro placata parenti. O. T. III., i., 57.
Dicite, quandüquidem in molli consedimus herba. V. E. III., 55.
Ego is said to have the final o common. The fact is, that there are many hundred instances in writers of all ages in which ego is found with the last short, and three or four at most in decent metrical authorities where it is found long; but even here in every case, if I mistake not, under suspicious circumstances. (See next page.) Hunc egō, iuvenes, locum villulamque palustrem. CXIX., i. [(Priapeian.)
Sed quid egō revoco haec? omen revocantis abesto. ${ }^{1}$ O. H. XIII., 135. Tum supplex Iuno, neque egō mutare laborans. ${ }^{2}$ S. XVII., 357.

Ausonius, indeed, uses egō frequently with the last long, extra Caesuram, as may be seen by referring to the examples quoted by Vossius, Arist. II., c. 27. See also Broukhusius on Propert. I., viii., 31 ; IV., ii., 3 ; and Drakenborch on the above passage from Silius Italicus.

Modo, the adverb, in like manner, is found with the final syllable short in a multitude of passages, but it is very difficult (unless indeed we have recourse to Seneca and such authors) to find an example of it long, even in Caesura; there is one in Lucretius. Una modō, caussas abeundi quaerat honestas. L. IV., 1177.

It is long by position, in
Hoc quid putemus esse ? qui modō scurra. (Scazon.) C. XXII., 12.
Catullus seems, however, to lengthen the last syllable in quomodo, Iam Bithynia quomodō se haberet. (Phalaecian.) C. X., 7.

Care must be taken not to confound modo, the adverk, with

[^36]modo, the dative, or ablative of the substantive, which has the final $o$ always long. Indeed, it is not improbable that the necessity of distinguishing these two words produced a difference in their pronunciation, and therefore in their quantity.

It may serve to set at rest the question with regard to the quantity of the final $o$ in ego and modo (the adverb), if I state, that I have marked 532 examples of egŏ with the $o$ short in Ovid alone, 91 in Propertius, 90 in Horace, 64 or 65 in Virgil, 53 in Tibullus, 27 in Catullus, and 5 in Lucretius, in all 862 ; while in the same authors I have been unable to find more, with the $o$ long, than the two quoted above: one of these from a poem, which although often placed among the works of Catullus, is found in no MS. of that author, and is now left out by the best editors; the other from Ovid, in a line where the MSS. afford half a dozen different readings. I am aware that other examples are to be found in old editions, but they have all disappeared upon a careful examination of the MSS., e. g., Prop. I., viii., 31; IV., ii., 3, \&c.

Such being the evidence, I feel justified in reversing the judgment pronounced by Broukhusius, Drakenborch, and Ruperti, and in laying down the rule as given above.

With regard to modŏ (the adverb), I have marked 363 examples in Ovid, 48 in Propertius, 22 in Horace, 13 in Virgil, 6 in Catullus, 2 in Lucretius; in all of these (454) the final $o$ is short; against which there is one in Lucretius, where it is lengthened in Caesura. The same holds good of its compounds, with the single exception given above from Catullus.

FINAL U.

## Rule XI.

## U final is long,

As-cornū, metū, partū, Panth $\bar{u}$, vitatū, diū.
Exception.
Indŭ, the old form of in, and nenŭ for non, both Lucretian words, have the $u$ short.

## Examples.

Cornū decorum, leniter atterens. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. II., xix., 30. Parce metū Cytherea, manent immota tuorum. V. AE. I., 257.

Eumenidesque satae, tum purtū terra nefando. V. G. I., 278.
Quo res summa loco, Panthū, quam prendimus arcem. V. A.II., 322.
Aiebat; sapiens vitatū quidque petitu. H.S. I., iv., 115.
Servatura diū parem. (Choriamb.) H. O. IV., xiii., 24.
Nec iacere indhŭ manus, via qua munita fidei. L. V., 103.
Nenŭ queunt rapidei contra constare leones. . L. IV., 714.

## Remarlks.

$U$ in the dative and ablative of the fourth declension, is a contraction; thus, in the above examples, metu is for metui, and partu for partue; the quantity of $u$ in the nom. acc. and voc. of neuter nouns, was supposed by Diomedes and some other ancient grammarians to be short. It is difficult to find examples to set the question at rest; some produce
Praeterea lumen per cornū transit, et imber. L. II., 388.
But the last editions have cornum.
The $u$ in Panthu represents the diphthong ov in the original Greek word.

Diu is the ablative of dius, an old form of dies; this is clear from the common phrase, diu noctuque.

In the older poets, the $s$ in short final $u s$ is frequently dropped before a word beginning with a consonant, in which case the $u$ is of course short, e. g.,
Versibü' quos olim Fauni vatesque canebant. E. A. VII., frag. 220.

FINAL Y.

## Rule XII.

Y final is short,
As-moly̆, Chely̆, Coty̆, Tiphy̆.
Examples.
Moly vocant superi, nigra radice tenetur. O. M. XIV., 292. Cedamus, Chely̆, iam repone cantus. (Phalaecian.) S. S. IV., iii., 119. O Coty, progenies digna parente tuo. O. E. P. II., ix., 38. Ars tua, Tiphy, iacet si non sit in aequore fluctus. O. T. IV., iii., 77 .

## FINAL C.

## Rule XIII.

C final is long,
As-illī, illūc.
$C$ final is short in doněe.
Exception.
Examples.
Illīc, officiant laetis ne frugibus herbae. V. G. I., 69.
Ionios fluctus postquam illūc Arrius isset. C. LXXXIV., 11.
Donĕc eris felix multos numerabis amicos. O. T. I., ix., 5.
Remarks.
Illic and illuc, used adverbially, seem to be the same word as illoc, the ablative of illic, the old form of ille.
Adhucc is ad hoc, and falls under the rule for monosyllables in composition.

Donec is an abbreviation of doniccum, which occurs often in Plautus, and donicum is clearly an adjective in the neuter gender.

## FINAL D.

## Rule XIV.

D final is short, As-alid, illŭd.

Examples.
Sic alüd ex alio peperit discordia tristis. L. V., 1304.
Nec sopor illüd erat, sed coram agnoscere vultus. V. AR. III., 173.

## FINAL L.

Rule XV.
L final is short,
As-Hannibŭl, semèl, nihŭl, procǔl.

## Examples.

Hannibŭl, et stantes Collina turre mariti. I. S. VI., 291. Quum semĕl haeserunt arvis aurasque tulerunt. V. G. II., 422. Versando terram experti, nihŭl improbus anser. V. G. I., 119. Arboris acclinis trunco, procŭl aerea ramis. V. AF. X., 835.

Remarks.
We must attribute to the force of Caesura the lengthening of the final syllable in nihil, in the two following examples :-
In superis opis esse $n i h \bar{l} l$, at in aedibus ingeus. O. M. VII., 644. Morte nihīl opus est, nēl Icariotide tela. O. E. P. III., i., 113.
$N i l$ is long, being a contraction. (See above, Rule I.)

## FINAL M.

M final, in the poets of the Augustan age, and their successors, is always elided before a vowel; but in the older writers, when not cut off, it is short both in monosyllables and polysyllables, e. g.,
Vomerĕm, atque loceis avertit seminis ictum. ${ }^{1}$ L. IV., 1268. Nam quod fluvidüm est, e levibus atque rotundis. L. II., 466. Sed dŭm abest quod avemus, id exsuperare videtur. L. III., 1095.

The quantity of final $m$ appears also in the compounds circŭmago, сігсйтео.

Circŭmagi, quemdam volo visere non tibi notum. H. S. I., ix., 17. Cuius non hederae circŭmiere caput. ${ }^{2}$ P. II., v., 26.

## FINAL N.

## Rule XVI.

N final is short,
As-agmĕn, forsităn, tamĕn, vidĕn'.

[^37]
## Exceptions.

The only exceptions are in words transplanted from the Greek, in which regard must always be paid to their quantity in the original.
a. $A n$ is long in nominatives masculine, as-Paeãn (Пatā $)$, Tit $\bar{a} n$ ( $\mathrm{T} \tau \tau \bar{a} \nu$ ).
b. $A n$ is long in the accusative of the first declension from a long nominative; and, vice versa, thus,

Aeneān ('Aıvєıā̀), from Aeneās ('Acvєıūs), but Maiän from Maiă, Cyllẵn from Cyllă, \&cc. (II.)
c. $E n$ is always long, since it represents the Greek $\eta \nu$, as in Hymēn
 ( $\mathrm{M} v \sigma \tau \eta v), ~ \& c$.
d. In and $y n$ are short in such words as commonly occur, Daphninn ( $\Delta u \phi \nu \iota \nu$ ), Thyrš̌n ( $\Theta v \rho \sigma \check{1})$ ), Capy̆n, chely̆n ( $\chi \varepsilon \lambda \breve{\nu} \nu$ ), Ity̆n ('I $\tau \breve{v} \nu$ ), but would be long in delphĩn ( $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi i \nu$ ), Salamin ( $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu \bar{\imath} \nu$ ), Phorcȳn (Фоюкӣv). (III.)
e. On is short when it represents ov; it is long when it represents $\omega \nu$ : thus Delŏn ( $\Delta \eta \lambda o v$ ), Cyprŏn (Kva $\rho \circ v$ ), Troilŏnn (T $\rho o i \lambda o v$ ), Iliŏn ('İıov), but Acrōn ('Aкowv), Tritōn (T $\rho \iota \tau \omega v$ ), Babylön ( $\mathrm{B} a \beta v \lambda \omega v$ ), Chalybōn ( $\mathrm{X} \alpha \nu \nu \beta \omega \nu$ ), \&c. (IV.)

## Examples.

Explicuit legio et campo stetit agmĕn aperto. V. G. II., 280.
Forsitün et scrobibus quae sint fastigia quaeras. V. G. II., 288
Sed taměn alternis facilis labor, arida tantum. V. G. I., 79.
Virgo adest, vidĕn' ut faces. (Glycon.). C. LXI., 77.
Educet. Vidèn' ut geminae stant vertice cristae. V. W., VI., 780.
a. Dicite Io Paeãn, et Io bis dicite Paean. O. A. A. II., 1.

Quantum si culmos Titãn incalfacit udos. O. F. IV., 919.
b. Sic memorat, simul Aeneān in regia ducit. V. A., I., 631.

Maiăn et Electran Taygetenque Iovi. O. F. IV., 174.
Me Tenedon Chrysenque et Cyllăn Apollinis urbes. O. M. XIII.,
c. Hymèn, O Hymenaee Hymēn ades, O Hymenaee. C. LXXII., 5. Non attagēn Ionicus. (Iambic Dimeter.) H. E. II., 54.
Troiamque et Anchisēn et almae. (Alc. Enn.) H. O. IV., xv., 31. Mystēn ademtum : nec tibi vespero. (Alc. Hendec.) H.O.II.,ix., 10. Thracèn, ac pede barbaro. (Choriambic.) H. O. III.,xxv., 11.
d. Thryš̌n et attritis Daphnin arundinibus. P. II., xxxiv., 68. Aut Capy̆n aut celsis in puppibus arma Caici. V. A. I., 183. Sed chely̆n et vittas et amantes tempora laurus. S. S. IV., vi., 98. Tantaque nox animi est, Ity̆n huc arcessite, dixit. O. M. VI., 652.
e. Natalemque, mares, Delơn Apollinis. (Choriamb.) II.O. I.,xxi., 10. Sperne dilectam Cyprŏn, et vocantis. (Sapphic.) II. O. I., xxx., 2. Troilŏn aut Phrygiae sorores. (Alc. Dec.) H. O. II., ix., 16. Iunone Divis, Iliơn, Ilion. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. III., iii., 18. Acrön Herculeus Caenina ductor ab arce. P. IV., x., 9. Prosequitur cantu Tritōn omnesque marinae. P. IV., vi., 61. Nec Babylön aestum nec frigora Pontus habebit. O.E.P.II.,iv., 27.
Iupiter! ut Chalybon omne genus pereat. C. LXVI., 48.

## Remarks.

I. Vidën' deserves particular notice, because it is a colloquial form of vidēsne; the change in quantity resulted, in all probability, from its being employed as a sharp, short interrogation. So Satin' for Satisne, is very common in the comic writers.
II. There is some doubt with regard to the accusative in an from short $a$ in the nominative, since some examples occur in which it is made long. In all of these, however, the syllable is in Caesura, and we may therefore safely pronounce it to be naturally short, e. g.,

Qui legis Electrän et egentem mentis Orestem. O. T. II., 395.
So also Andromedān. Ov. Met. IV., 756.
But Orithyiän, Ov. Met. VI., 707. Ossŭn, Prop. II., i., 19 ; Ov. Fast. I., 307. Iphigeniün, Ov. E. P. III., ii., 62, \&c.
III. By Caesura, also, we must account for the lengthening of the final syllable in Tethyn, by Martial and Silius.

Et viridem Tethy̆n, Oceanumque patrem. M. X., xliv., 2.
Intima ab occasu Tethÿn impellit et ortu. S. XVII., 244.
IV. Care must be taken not to confound Orion (' $\Omega \rho \iota \omega \nu$ ), and Oriön, the Greek accusative of Oriǒs ('O $\rho \varepsilon \iota o s$ ).

> Depressitque duos, Brotean et Oriön, Orio Mater erat Mycale, \&c. O.M. XII., 262.

The later Latin poets make constant blunders in words borrowed from the Greek, which in the original end in $\omega \nu$, thus:-

Dum daemŏn invictum Dei. (Iambic Dimeter.) P. P. II., 505. Hic chalcedön hebes perfunditur ex hyacinthi. P. Psych., 857.
But daemon, chalcedon, are $\delta a \mu \mu \nu, \chi^{a} \lambda \kappa \eta \delta \omega \nu$, and therefore must have the on long.

## FINAL R.

## Rule XVII.

$R$ final is short,
As-calcür, audiär, oleastěr, itěr, gloriër, supěr, calơr, acriơr, contemplatür, querör, turtür, robür, caeditür, calcentŭr, eluctabitür, \&c.

Exception.
a. R final is long in words transplanted from the Greek, which in the original end in $\eta \rho$, and increase in the genitive, as-aér
 кјатทооя), \&c.

But patěr, matèr ( $\pi a \tau \eta \rho-\pi a \tau \rho o \varsigma-\mu \eta \tau \eta \rho-\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \varsigma) .{ }^{1}$
Remark also Hectür, Nestorr, Castör, from 'Ект $\omega \rho$, N $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \omega \rho$, Kaбт $\omega \rho$.

Celtiber has the last long in Catullus, and short in Martial. Wherever the simple Iber occurs, it has the last long.

## Examples.

Crescit et immensum gloria calcăr habet. O. E. P. IV., ii., 36. Trans ego tellurem, trans latas audiür undas. O. T. IV., ix., 23.

[^38]Infelix superat foliis oleastër amaris. V. G. II., 314.
Angustum formica terens itěrr, et bibit ingens. V. G. I., 380.
Fratre magis, dubito, gloriër, anne viro. O.F. VI., 28.
Saeva sedens supèr arma et centum vinctus äenis. V. A. I., 29 Ј.
Seu plures calör ille vias et caeca relaxat. V. G. I., 89.
Acriör, aut Boreae penetrabile frigus adurat. V. G. I., 93.
Contemplatorr item quum nux se plurima sylvis. V. G. I., 187.
Sed memŏr unde abii, querŏr, O iocunde sodalis. O. E. P. I., viii., 25.
Nec gemere àeria cessabit turtŭr ab ulmo. V. E. I., 59.
Vomis et inflexi primum grave robǔr aratri. V. G. I., 162.
Caeditưr et tilia ante iugo levis altaque fagus. V. G. I., 173.
Ad plenum calcentŭr, aqua eluctabitŭr omnis. V. G. II., 244.
a. Aēr, a tergo quasi provehat atque propellat. L. VI., 1025. Aèr, et longi volvent Titana labores. L. P. I., 90. Inde mare, inde aēr, inde aethēr ignifer ipse. L. V., 499. Summus inaurato cratēr erat asper acantho. O. M. XIII., 701. Est mihi namque domi patěr, est iniusta noverca. V. E. III., 33. Non iam matër alit tellus viresque ministrat. V. E. XI., 71. Hectör erat: tum colla iugo candentia pressos. O. M. XII., 77. Cum sic Nestơr ait, vestro fuit unicus aevo. O. M. XII., 169. Infamis Helenae Castör offensus vice. (Tamb. Trim.) II. E. [XVII., 42. Nunc Celtibēr es, Celtiberia in terra. (Scazon.) C. XXXIX., 17. Ducit ad auriferas quod me Salo Celtibër oras. M. X., xx., 1. Si tibi durus Ibēr, aut si tibi terga dedisset. L. P. VI., 255. Omnis Ibēr, omnis rapidi fera Gallia turmis. S. I., 656.

FINAL AS.

## Rule XVIII.

## As final is long,

As-terr $\bar{\alpha} s, v e s t r \bar{\alpha} s$, tempestās, aetās, tractās, debeās, ced̄̄$s, ~ v e n i e b u ̄ s . ~$

## Exceptions.

As final is short in the following cases:-
a. In the nominative singular of words transplanted from the Greek, which make $a \delta o s$ in the genitive, and in Latin words formed upon their model, as-Pallăs ( $\Pi$ a $\left.\lambda \lambda_{\varsigma}-\breve{a} \delta o s\right)$, Arcăs
 But Pallas-antis, Calchas-antis, and the like, follow the general rule.
b. In the accusative plural of nouns of the third declension, transplanted from the Greek, when this case retains its Greek
 ( $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi เ v a ̆ \varsigma), ~ С у с l o p a ̆ s ~(K v \kappa \lambda \omega \pi a ̆ \varsigma), ~ \& c . ~$
c. In anăs, a duck.

## Examples.

Turbabat coelo, nunc terrās ordine longo. V. A. I., 395.
Vesträs, Eure, domos; illa se iactat in aula. V. AT. I., 140.
Forte sua Libycis tempestās appulit oris. V. A. I., 377.
Acriter elatrem, pretium aetäs altera sordet. H.E. I., xviii., 18. Tractūs, et incedis per ignes. (Alc. Enneas.) H. O. II., i., 7. Quid debeās, O Roma, Neronibus. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. IV.,iv., 37. $C e d \overline{a s s}$; obsequio plurima vincit amor. T'. I., iv., 40.
Dure, quid ad miseros veniebās exsulis annos. O. T. III., xiii., 3.
a. Quum Pallăs usto vertit iram ab Ilio. (Iamb. Trim.) H. E. X., 13.

Forte die solennem illo rex Arcăs honorem. V. W. VIII., 102.
Transeat Hectoreum Peliŭs hasta latus. O. H. III., 126.
Concinit Ismarium Dauliăs ales Ityn. O. H. XV., 153.
Non illas lites Appiăs ipsa probat. O. R. A., 660.
Tela manusque sinit: Hinc Pallās instat et urget. V. AE. X., 433.
Quam postquam reddit Calchäs ope tutus Achillis. O. R. A., 473.
b. Permixtos herŏ̆s, et ipse videbitur illis. V. E. IV., 16.

Lampadŭs igniferis manibus retinentia dextreis. L. II., 25.

Orpheus in sylvis, inter delphinăs Arion. V E. VIII., 56.
Exsulat, Aetnaeos vidit Cyclopăs Ulixes. V. AE. XI., 263.
c. Et pictis anăs enotata pennis. (Phalaccian.) P. A., frag. ${ }^{1}$

## Remarks.

As is long in the accusative plural, because it is a contraction for aes, thus terras, vestras, were anciently terra-es, vestra-es, or perhaps rather terra-eis, vestra-eis.
$A s$ in the nominative of the third declension was long by position, in the older form of the language, thus, tempestas, voluntas, and the like, were tempestats, voluntats, and the $t$ which was dropped in the nominative, reappears in the genitives tempestatis, voluntatis.

The as in tractas and the like, is a contraction for $a-i s$, \&c., as may be seen by referring to the Appendix on the Conjugations.

With respect to Greek words, when adopted without change, the Latins generally adhere to the quantity attributed to them by the Greek poets.

We find Xiphias, a sword-fish, with the last syllable long in Caesura.

Ac durus Xiphiūs, ictu non mitior ensis. O. Hal., frag. 97.

## FINAL ES.

## Rute XIX.

Es final is long,
As-sedēs, seriès, stirpēs, fortès, vidès, pulsēs, essēs, ponēs, iurarēs, \&c. (I.)

## Exceptions.

Es final is short in the following cases:-
a. In the nominative singular of nouns of the third declension, which increase with a short penult in the genitive, as-Alĕs-


But ariès, abiēs, Cerēs, pariès, and the compounds of pes, ${ }^{2}$ follow the general rule.
b. In words transplanted from the Greek, which in the original end in $\varepsilon s$ : to this class belong-
${ }^{1}$ This is the example given by Vossius, and I am unable to produce one from a less exceptionable authority.
${ }^{2}$ See below "Monosyllables in Composition," for the compounds of pēs which have the last long, and the compounds of ěs which have the last short, as in pot厄̌s, aděs, \&c.

Neuters singular, where the Latir es represents the Greek
 and nominatives and vocatives plural under like circumstances, as $A$ tlantidĕs ('A $\lambda \lambda a v \tau \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ), Arcadĕs ('A $\rho \kappa a \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ), Troĕs (T $\rho \omega \varepsilon \varsigma)$ ), Troadĕs (T $\rho \omega a \delta \varepsilon \varsigma)$, \&c.

But where the Latin es represents the Greek $\eta \mathrm{s}$, it is, of course, long as in Alcidēs, Brontēs, Palamedès, from 'A $\lambda \kappa \varepsilon \delta \delta \bar{\jmath}$,

c. In penĕs.

## Examples.

Sedès Atlanteusque finis. (Alc. Enneas.) H. O. I., xxxiv., 11. Annorum seriēs et fuga temporum. (Choriamb.) H. O. III., xxx., 5. Deposuit sulcis, hic stirpēs obruit arvo. V. G. II., 24. Fortēs invertant tauri, glebasque iacentes. V. G. I., 65. Vidēs ut alta stet nive candidum. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. I., ix., 1. Iratis precibus, tu pulsēs omne quod obstat. H. S. II., vi., 30. Essēs Ionii facta puella maris. P. II., xxvi., 14. Ponēs iambis, sive flamma. (Alc. Enneas.) H. O. I., xvi., 3. Boeotum in crasso iurarēs aere natum. H. E. II., i., 244.
a. Namque volans rubra fulvus Iovis alĕs in aethra. V. 庆. XII., [247. Talem divěs arat Capua et vicina Vesevo. V. G. II., 224. Immo age, et a prima dic, hospěs, origine nobis. V. A. I., 753. Myrmidonum Dolopumve aut duri milĕs Ulixi. V. AE. II., 7. Acer, anhelanti similis, quem praepĕs ab Idâ. V. F.. V., 254. Urit enim lini campum segĕs urit avenae. V. G. I., 77.
b. Creditur, ipse ariēs etiam nunc vellera siccat. V. E. III., 95. Populus in fluviis, abiēs in montibus altis. V. E. VII., 66. Flava Cerēs alto nequidquam spectat Olympo. V. G. I., 96. Nutrit rura Cerēs almaque Faustitas. (Choriamb.) II. O. IV., [v., 18. Hic farta premitur angulo Cerēes omni. (Scazon.) M. III., lviii., 6. Votiva pariēs indicat uvida. (Choriamb.) H. O. I., v., 14.

Barbiton hic pariēs habebit. (Alc. Decas.) H. O. III., xxvi., 4. Scribendi cacoethĕs et aegro in corde senescit. I. S. VII., 52.
Ante tibi Eoae Atlantidĕs abscondantur. V. G. I., 221.
Ambo florentes aetatibus Arcadĕs ambo. V. E. VII., 4.
Egressi optata potiuntur Troĕs arena. V. A. I., 172.
At procul in sola secretae Troaděs acta. V. E. V., 613.
Alcidēs aderat, taurosque hac victor agebat. V. X. VIII., 203. Brontēs ${ }^{1}$ et Steropēs Acmonidesque solent. O. F. IV., 288.
Mallet et infelix Palamedēs esse relictus. O. M. XIII., 56.
c. Quem penĕs arbitrium est, et ius, et norma loquendi. H.A.P.72.

Me peněs est unum vasti custodia mundi. O. F. I., 119.

## Remarks.

I. With regard to long es in the indicative present of the second conjugation, which is a contraction for $e-i s$,-see Remarks on the Conjugations in the Appendix.
II. Martianus Capella, Lib. III., cap. de Nomine, makes a sad blunder with regard to these words : he says,
"ES terminatus in Graecis nominibus brevis est, ut Anchisěs," on which Vossius remarks, "Sed locutus Capella ex sensu sui seculi, quo ea etiam quae Graece in $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ desinunt corripiebant, ut Thales, Lyristes, Ganymedes.
 Alcaeo potior Lyristěs ipso. (Phalaecian.) Sid. VIII., ep. xi., 25. Aut tradat Ganymedĕs ipse nectar. (Phalaecian.) Caelius Firmian.
[Symp.
Some, however, read in the above passage from Ausonius, Thales sed, $\bar{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma v a \pi a \rho a \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \tau \eta$, protulit.

FINAL IS.

Rule $X X$.
Is final is short,
As-Vomŭs, ruř̌s, inutiľ̆s, Tantaľ̆s, Sarmať̌s, terreb̆s, maǧ̌s.
${ }^{1}$ Some edd. have Brontesque.

## Exceptions.

Is final is long in the following cases :-
a. In plural cases in is, as-rugīs, terrīs, nobūs, vobīs, illīs, amaris; qual̄̄s, humilīs (accusatives plural). (I.)
b. In the second person singular present indicative of verbs of the fourth conjugation, as-sentīs, persentīs, fastidīs. (II.)
c. In the second person singular of the present subjunctive, asadsīs, possīs, malīs, nolis, velīs. (III.)
d. In nouns of the third declension increasing with a long penult in the genitive, as-Samnīs-ītis, Salamīs-īnis, Quirīs-itīs. ${ }^{1}$ (IV.)
e. In the adverbs, gratīs, ingratīs, forīs. (V.)
$f$. In Greek nouns which have the termination Jong in the original, as Simoīs ( $\Sigma$ ı $\mu$ osıs).
With regard to the second person singular of the future perfect and subjunctive perfect, see Remarks (VI.)

> Examples.

Vomı̆s et inflexi primum grave robur aratri. V. G. I., 162.
Et sonitu terrebŭs aves, et ruř̌s opaci. V. G. I., 156.
Excoquitur vitium atque exsudat inutiľ̆s humor. V. G. I., 88.
Aut ego Tantalidae Tantalı̆s uxor ero. O. H. VIII., 122.
Sarmatǐs est tellus quam mea vota petunt. O. T. I., ii., 82.
Seu durat magŭs et venas adstringit hiantes. V. G. I., 91.
a. Rug冗̄s et instanti senectae. (Alc. Enneas.) II. O. II., xiv., 3.

Secernunt coelumque a terrīs omne retentant. L. II., 729.
$N o b i ̄ s$ est ratio, solis lunaeque meatus. L. I., 129.
Abstulit omne Phaon quod vobīs ante placebat. O. H. XV., 203.
Pinguia concipiunt, sive illīs omne per ignem. V. G. I., 87.
Strymoniaeque grues et amarīs intuba fibris. V. G. I., 120.
Qualīs Eurotae progignunt flumina myrtos. C. LXIV., 89.
Vix humilīs apibus casias roremque ministrat. V. G. II., 213.

[^39]b. Sentīs, ac veluti stet volucris dies. (Choriamb.) H. O. III., [xxviii., 6.
Naturam rerum, ac persentīs utilitatem. L. IV., 25.
Pocula, num esuriens fastidīs omnia praeter. H. S. I., ii., 115.
c. $A d s i s \mathrm{O}$ Tegeaee favens oleaeque Minerva. V. G. I., 18.

Pcssīs et magnam morbi deponere partem. ${ }^{1}$ H. E. I., i., 35.
Me quoque velle velīs, anne coactus amem. O. A. III., xi., 50.
d. Samnīs in ludo ac rudibus caussis satis asper. Lucil. ap. Cic. de [Orat. III.
e. Gratīs anhelans, multo agendo nil agens. (Iamb. Trim.) Phaed. [II., v., 3.
Effugere haud potis est, ingratīs haeret et angit. L. III., 1082. Ne biberis diluta, foris est promus et atrum. H. S. II., ii., 16.
f. Hic tibi sit Simoüs, haec mea castra puta. O. A. A. II., 134.

## Remarks.

I. Plural cases in es and is were anciently written with the diphthong ei, forteis, stirpeis, illeis, amareis, which accounts for the long quantity, and for the double form of the accusative plural of the third declension, which is sometimes is and sometimes es, according as the $e$ or the $i$ of the diphthong was dropped.
II. The second person singular of the present indicative of the fourth conjugation is contracted, sentis, audis, \&c., are for senti-is, audi-is, \&c.

The Christian poets make constant false quantities in these verbs, e. g.,

## Non tu

Pervenŭs ad Christum, sed Christus pervenit ad te. Sed. Op. Pasch. [IV.
Esuris ad faciem, saturamque cadavere iusti. Ar. Hist. Ap. II. Nescĭs amare deum. Ar. Hist. Ap. II.

In the Erythraean index to Virgil, we find quoted, Nescrs an exciderint mecum loca, venimus illuc. O. H. XII., 71.

[^40]Which is found in some old editions. The true reading is, Nostin' an exciderint mecum loca? venimus illuc.
III. The best MSS. of Juvenal read in S. V., 10,

Tam ieiuna fames? quum posš̌s honestius illic.
But almost all editors agree in thinking that the correction possit (sc. aliquis) is indispensable.
IV. Samnis would originally be Samnits in the nominative.
V. Gratis and ingratis are contracted datives for gratiis, ingratiis, which are found in the open form in the comic writers. Forisis is the ablative of forc, a door, the same as foris of the third declension.

We must consider the quantity of the termination ris in the indicative future perfect and subjunctive perfect, as common. Almost all the examples in which it is found long are in Caesura; but there is at least one instance in the Alcaics of Horace, which cannot be explained upon this principle.

Si ture placârīs et horna. (Alc. Enneas.) H. O. III., xxiii., 3.
As doubts have been expressed upon this subject by some prosodians, a number of references are given below, which will enable the student to form a judgment for himself :-

## Ris Short.

Abscesseris, ${ }^{1}$ L. I., 409.
Acceperis, H. S. II., iii., 67. Accesseris, V. Ж. III., 441. Adveneris, V. .... I., 388. Coeperis, H. S. II., iii., 126. E. I., xx., 12.

Correxeris, О. T. V., xiii., 13.
Decusseris, P. IV., i., 141.
Detorseris, H. S. II., ii., 55.
Dixeris, H. S. I., iv., 41 ; II., iii., 220 ; II., vi., 39. A. P., 47. Duraveris, H. S. II., iv., 72. Egeris, O. E. P. IV., iv., 39. Impleveris, O. T. II., 323. Iusseris, C. XXXII., 4. Iuveris, V. Æ. X., 33; H. S. II., iv., 91.

Moveris, P. II., xxx., 33.
Permiseris, O. E. P. III., vi., 57.
Perveneris, H. E. I., xiii., 11.
Piaveris, P. III., x., 19.
Promiseris, P. IV., v., 33.
Reseraveris, O. E. P. IV., iv., 23.
Respexeris, V. E. VIII., 102.
Ruperis, H. S. II., iii., 319.
Scripseris, H. A. P., 387.
Senseris, O. A. A. I., 716.
Severis, H. O. I., xviii., 1.
Suspexeris, V. G. IV., 59.
Veneris, O. A. I., iv., 13. R. A., 506.

Videris, V. G. III., 465 ; IV., 414.
Vitaveris, H. S. II., ii., 54.
Vocaveris, V. G. I., 157.

Ris Long.

Abfueris, O. R. A., 247.
Abstuleris, O. A. I., viii., 101.
Audieris, O. M. X., 560. H. S. II., v., 101.

Biberis, *O. A. I., iv., 32.
Cognoris, L. VI., 534.
Contuleris, O. E. P. IV., x., 21.
Credideris, O. H. XXI., 189.
Dederis, *P. II., xv., 50. O. A. II., ii., 16. A. A. I., 447 ; II., ii., 337. R. A., 671. *T. V., v., 40; V., xiii, 9. F. I., 17; VI., 215. H. O. IV., vii., 20. Fueris, O. A. A. III., 661. *F. II., 674. H. E. I., vi., 40.

Miscueris, H. S. II., ii., 74.
Noris, *O. T. IV., x., 2. *F. I., 116.

Nescieris, O. H. VII., 53. *A. A. I., 222.

Perdideris, O. M. xv., 94.
Poteris, *O. A. A. I., 370.
Praestiteris, O. A. I., viii., 105. R. A., 635.

Quaesieris, O. M. XIII., 756.
Reddideris, O. A. I., iv., 31.
Respueris, T. IV., i., 8.
Steteris, O. H. X., 126.
Tentaris, O. A. A. I., 389.

In those passages which are marked with an asterisk, the ris is found long in the division of the Pentameter.

## FINAL OS.

## Rule XXI.

Os final is long,
As-cuistōs, ventōs, iactatōs.
Exceptions.
Os final is short in the following cases :-
c. In words transplanted from the Greek, which have os in the original, as-epŏs, lotŏs, Samŏs, Chiŏs, Rhoď̌s, Phasidðs, Tethyŏs,


But those words in which the Latin os represents the Greek $\omega \varsigma$, retain their original quantity, as-herōs, Minōs, from $\dot{\eta} \rho \omega \varsigma$, Mıvшs.
b. In Compŭs and Exǒs.

Examples.
Custōs, amatorem trecentae. (Alc. Enneas.) H. O. III., iv., 79.

Ventōs et varium coeli praediscere morem. V. G. I., 51. His accensa super, iactatōs aequore toto. V. AE. I., 29.
a. Facta canit, pede ter percusso, forte epŏs acer. H. S. I., x., 43. Terret, et horrendo lotŏs adunca sono. O. F. IV., 190. Romae laudetur Samơs et Chiŏs, et Rhodơs absens. H. E. I., xi.,

Phasid̆̆s ad fluctus et fines Aeetaeos. C. LXIV., 3. T'etlyy̆s has neptes Oceanique senis. O. F. V., 168.
Herōs Aesonius potitur, spolioque superbus. O. M. VII., 156. In dubio est. Doleo quod Minōs hostis amanti est. O. M. VIII., [45.
b. Insequere et voti postmodo compŏs eris. O. A. A. I., 486.

Exŏs et exsanguis tumidos perfluctuat artus. L. III., 721.

## Remarks.

The os in custos, and such words, is long, because the nominative was originally custods, the $d$ which was dropped reappearing in the genitive custodis.
$O s$, in the accusative plural of the second declension, is a contraction for oes or oeis, ventos, iactatos, having been originally ventoeis, iactatocis.-See Appendix on the Declensions.

## FINAL US.

## Rule XXII.

Us final is short,
As-taurŭs, tempŭs, cultư̆s, improbŭs, solibǔ̆s,saltibŭs, rebǔs, quibǔs, priǔs, scindimǔs, intŭs, \&c.

## Exceptions.

Us final is long in the following cases:-
a. In the genitive singular, and in the nominative, accusative, and vocative plural of nouns of the fourth declension, asluctūs, sensūs, saltūs. (I.)
b. In nominatives of the third declension, increasing with long $\bar{u}$ in the genitive, as-tellu$s$, virtūs, palūs. (II.)
c. In words transplanted from the Greek, in which us represents ovs of the original, as-Panthus (חav0ovs), Amathus ('A $\quad$ a 0 ovs), Mantus (Mavzovs, contracted for Mavioos), \&c.

## Examples.

Taurrŭs, et adverso cedens Canis occidit astro. V. G. I., 218.
Tempŭs humo tegere et iamdudum incumbere aratris. V. G. I., 213.
Conveniat, quae cura boum, qui cultưs habendo. V. G. I., 3.
Pulverulenta coquat maturis solibŭs aestas. V. G. I., 66.
Saltibǔs, in vacuis pascant et plena secundum. V. G. III, 143.
Improbŭs, et duris urgens in rebŭs egestas. V. G. I., 146.
Quam quibŭs in patriam ventosa per aequora vectis. V.G. I., 206.
At priǔs ignotum ferro quam scindimŭs aequor. V. G. I., 50.
Rursŭs in obliquum verso perrumpit aratro. V. G. I., 98.
a. Scilicet immunis si luctūs una fuisset. Epic. 55.

Sensüs ante ipsam genitam naturam animantis. L. II., 937.
Saltūs, et saturi petito longinqua Tarenti. V. G. II., 197.
b. Tellū̄s in longas est patefacta vias. T. I., iii., 36.

Et modo, Caesar, avum, quem virtūs addidit astris. O. E. P. [IV., viii., 63.
Atque hinc vasta palüs hinc ardua moenia cingunt. V. W. XII., [745. Dis iuranda palūs, oculis incognita nostris. O. M. II., 46.
c. Panthūs, Othryades, arcis Phoebique sacerdos. V. XI. II., 319. Est Amathūs, est celsa mihi Paphus atque Cythera. V. AI. X., 51. Fatidicae Mantūs et Tusci filius amnis. V. AE. X., 199.

## Remarls.

I. $U_{s}$ in the genitive of the fourth declension is a contraction for uis, and in the nominative, accusative, and vocative plural for ues or ueis, and therefore in both cases long.
II. The original form of the nominative in salus was saluts; in tellus it was tellurs; in palus, paluds; which may account for the last syllable remaining long, when one of the consonants was dropped.

Horace, very unaccountably, shortens the us in palus,
Regis opus, sterilisque diu palüs aptaque remis. H. A. P., 65.
See the observations of the commentators, ancient and modern, upon the passage.

We may at first sight be astonished by
Pölypŭs an gravis hirsutis cubet hircus in alis. H. E. XII., 5.
Delectant, veluti Balbinum pōlypǔs Hagnae. II. S. I., iii., 40.
Pölypŭs haeret, et hac eludit retia fraude. O. H. F. 31.
Since polypus seems to be the Greek $\pi 0 \lambda v \pi \overline{0} \bar{c}$. But the Dorians made use of a form, $\pi \omega \lambda \nu \pi \sigma$, as we find in Athenaeus, Lib. VII., who quotes from Simonides, $\pi \omega \lambda v \pi o v \delta_{\iota} \zeta_{\eta \mu \varepsilon \nu o s, ~ a n d ~}^{\text {a }}$ a line of Archestratus-

See Voss. Arist. II., c. 36.
So the Greeks used both Oioinous-odos and Oioirtos-ov.

## FINAL YS.

## Rule XXIII.

Ys final is short,
As-Capy̌s, Dicty̆s, Liby̆s, Tethy̆s, Tiphy̆s.
Examples.
Sed Capy̆s ante fuit ; regnum Tiberinus ab illis. O. M. XIV., 614. Dicty̆s ait; quo non alias conscendere summas. O. M. III., 615. Hoc Liby̆s, hoc flavus, prorae tutela, Melanthus. O. M. III., 617. Tethy̆s et extremo saepe recepta loco est. O.F.V., 22. Tiphy̆s et Automedon dicar amoris ego. O.A.A.I., 6.

## Remarks.

In the Halieutics we find Chrysophrys in Caesura.
Chrysophrȳs imitata decus, tum corporis umbrae. O. H. F., 111.
In Senec. Oedip. 644, Erinnȳs seems to be a contraction for Erinnyas.

## FINAL T.

## Rule XXIV.

T final is short,
As-imperŭt, movět, elič̆t, audǐ̌t, capǔt.
a. There are no real exceptions to this rule, but some contracted words, ending in $t$, have the last syllable long, according to Rule I.
Thus, disturbāt for disturbavit, $\bar{t} t$ for iit or ivit, petīt for petiit, obīt for obiut, \&c.

There are some other apparent exceptions, which will be noticed in the Chapter on Caesura.

Examples.
Exercetque frequens tellurem, atque imperăt arvis. V. G. I., 99.
Hinc movĕt Euphrates, illic Germania bellum. V. G. I., 509.
Elič̌t illa cadens raucum per levia murmur. V. G. I., 109. Audiit et si quem tellus extrema refuso. V. A. VII., 225. Nunc capǔt obiectare fretis nunc currere in undas. V. G. I., 386.
a. Disturbât urbeis, et terrae motus obortus. L. VI., 587.

Dum trepidant $\hat{\imath} t$ hasta Tago per tempus utrumque. ${ }^{1}$ V. $\mathbb{E}$. [IX., 418.
Sceptra Palatini sedemque petît Evandri. ${ }^{2}$ V. T. IX., 9. DIagnus civis obît et formidatus Othoni. I. S. VI., 559.

[^41]
## on the

## QUANTITY OF COMPOUND WORDS.

## I. MONOSYLLABLES IN COMPOSITION,

## Rule XXV.

The general rule is, that monosyllables, in composition, retain therr natural quantity. It will be convenient to consider the different cases separately.
A. When the monosyllable forms the first part of the compound word.

## 1. Monosyllabic Prepositions in Composition.

The prepositions $\breve{a} b, \breve{a} d, \check{\partial} b$, $\check{ }$ n, perr, sŭb, which are short, retain their quantity in composition before a vowel.

The prepositions $a, e$, de, which are long, retain their quantity in composition before a consonant; but before a vowel de follows the general Rule IV., and is short, while $e$ before a vowel becomes ex.

As-übigo, ădoro, ̌̆neo, übambulo, pĕredo, sübigo; àvreto, dēpono, èludo, but déosculor, dëhisco.
$O b$, in composition, sometimes drops the $b$ before a consonant, in which case the o remains short, as in umitto. ${ }^{1}$

Trans frequently drops the two last letters in composition, but preserves its proper quantity, as in trāno (transno), träduco (transduco), trado (transdo), \&c.

Pro will be considered separately.

## Examples.

Nubilaque induco, ventos ăbigoque vocoque. O. M. VII., 202. Bella gero: et quisquam numen Iunonis $\breve{a} d o r e t . \quad$ V. AK. I., 48. Prima leves ïneunt siquando praelia Parthi. V. G. IV., 314. Ille quidem totam fremebundus öbambulat Aetnam. O. M. XIV.,188.

[^42]Longa dies molli saxa pěredit aqua. T. I., iv., 18.
Arvina pingui, sübiguntque in cote secures. V. A. VII., 627.
Quo regnum Italiae Libycas āverteret oras. V. L. IV., 106.
-Avia tum resonant avibus virgulta canoris. V. G. II., 328.
De grege non ausim quidquam dèponere tecum. V. E. III,, 32.
Increpuit malis morsuque èlusus inani est. V. X. XII., 755.
Hos amplectitur, hos dĕosculatur. (Phalaecian.) M. VIII., lxxxi., 5.
Sed mihi vel tellus optem prius ima dèhiscat. V. L. IV., 24.
Pleraque differat et praesens in tempus ǒmittat. H. A. P. 44.
Illa fretus agit ventos, et turbida trānat. V. AX., IV., 245.
Atque satas alio vidi träducere messes. V. E. VIII., 99.
Trādit equum comiti, paribusque resistit in armis. V. E. XI., 710.

## Pro in Composition.

Pro is, as we have already seen, long in its simple form, and in Latin compounds it usually retains this quantity before a consonant; as in prōdo, précudo, prōcursus, prōcureus, \&c. Before a vowel it follows the general Rule IV., as in prolhibeo.

But in words transplanted from the Greek, where it represents $\pi \rho o$, the vowel in the original being short, remains so, as in
 $\kappa v \omega \nu$ ), but Proppoetides (Пן $\Pi \pi о \iota \tau \iota \varepsilon \varsigma)$.

There are, moreover, some Latin words in which it is uniformly short, viz.; the compounds of cello, fanum, fari, fateri, festus, fugio, fundo, fundus, nepos, neptis, torvus; as-prŏcello, prưcella, prơfari, prơfano, prŏfanus, prưfiteri, prŏfestus, prơfugio, prưfugus, pröfundo, pröfundus, prönepos, prŏneptis, prŏtervus, prötervitas, to which add pröficiscor, prơfectus, prŏfecto.

The following have the pro doubtful-propago (both noun and verb), propino. To which some, without sufficient grounds, add prōcumbo, prōcuro, prōpello, which have the first always long in the best writers, and pröfari, prơfundo, in which it is always short.-See Remarks.

## Examples.

Prōdere voce sua quemquam aut opponere morti. V. E. II., 127.
Maturare datur, durum prōcudit arator. V. G. I., 261.
Prōcursu rapido, coniectis eminus hastis. V. A. XII., 711.
Exoritur prōcurva ingens per littora fletus. V. A. V., 765.

Permittit patria? hospitio prǒhibemur arenae. V. ©. I., 540.
Caucasiasque refert volucres furtumque Prŏmethei. V. E. VI., 42. Fas quoque ab ore freti longaeque Prŏpontidos undis. O. T. III., [xii., 41.
Ostendit ignem, iam Prǒcyon furit. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. III., [xxix., 18.
Sunt tamen obscaenae Venerem Prōpoetides ausae. O. M. X., 238. Turbinis immanem vim provomit atque prǒcellat. L. VI., 447. Talia iactanti stridens Aquilone pröcella. V. AE. I., 102. Tum breviter Dido, vultum demissa, prơfatur. V. LE. I., 561. Scilicet omne sacrum mors importuna prŏfanat. O. A. III., ix., 19. Adventante Dea, procul, o procul este prŏfani. V. A. VI., 258. Nos etiam veros parce prơfitemur amores. O. A. A. II., 639. Nosque et prơfestis lucibus et sacris. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. IV., xv., 25. Sed trepidus prơfugit chelas et spicula Phoebus. Col. X., 56.
Italiam, fato prơfugus, Lavinia venit. V. . स. I., 2.
Deûm prơfundit ante templa sanguinem. (Iamb. Trim.) C. XX., 15. Vix ea, quum lacrimas oculis Iuturna prơfudit. V. A. XII., 154. Complentur vallesque cavae saltusque prơfundi. V. G. II., 391. Est Neptunus avus, prơnepos ego regis aquarum. O.M. X., 607. Iam reliqua ex amitis, patruelis nulla, prŏneptis. P. S. VI., 53. Thersites etiam per me haud impune, prŏtervis. O. M. XIII., 233. Urit grata prötervitas. (Choriamb.) H. O. I., xix., 7. Ut prŭficiscentem docui te saepe diuque. H. E. I., xiii., 1. Hinc illum Corythi Tyrrhena ab sede prơfectum. V. A. VII., 209. Tum memorat, ne vero, hospes, ne quaere prơfecto. V. 工凡. VIII., 532. Sylvarumque aliae pressos prōpaginis arcus. V. G. II., 26.
Sed truncis oleae melius, pröpagine vites. V. G. II., 63.
Sit Romana potens Itala virtute prŏpago. V. X. XII., 827.
Prōpagare genus possit vitamque tueri. L. I., 196.
Ut prōpagando possint procudere secla. L. V., 848.
Ecficis ut cupide generatim secla prơpagent. L. I., 21.

Nec potuisse prŏpagando procudere prolem. L. V., 8 55.
Imperet aeternum, et populis seclisque prŏpaget. S. II., 52.
Hi prōpagandi ruerant pro limite regni. C. de L. St. I., 373.
Crystallinisque myrrhinisque pröpinat. (Scazon.) M. III.,1xxxii., 25.
Praestare iussi, nutibus prŏpinamas. (Scazon.) M. III., lxxxii., 31.
Hiscere tamquam habeas tria nomina: Quando prŏpinat. I.S. V., 127.

## Remarks.

Propontis. Doctor Carey says, "Manilius IV., 439 [680 is the true reference], by a bold violation of Greek quantity, has made the prō long in Propontidos."

Aequora, et extremum Prōpontidos Hellespontum.
He ought, however, to have added, that both Scaliger and Bentley agree in rejecting this line as altogether spurious. Manilius uses Propontis in two other passages of the same book, in both cases with the true quantity.

Truditur invitum, faucesque Prŏpontidos arctas. M. IV., 617.
Illum etiam venerata colit vicina Prŏpontis. M. IV., 749.
Profari. Doering, in his edition of Catullus, has admitted
Talia prơfantes quondam felicia Pelei.
Carmine divino cecinerunt omine Parcae. C. LXIV., 383.
But the true reading, as given by all the best MSS., is praefantes. Profantes is an emendation first proposed by Passeratius in his Commentary, published in 1608, and is quite unnecessary.

Prơficiscor, Prơfectus, Prơfecto. Observe that Pröficio and its tenses, \&c., have the pro long, according to the rule; as,

Pröfeci, extrema moriens tamen adloquor hora. V. E. VIII., 20.
Prōpino or Pröpino. The doubtful quantity of the pro in this case may have arisen from its being treated sometimes as a Latin, and sometimes as a Greek word. The Greek form, $\pi \rho \circ \pi \iota \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$, is used by Martial, V., lxxviii., 3.

Non deerunt tibi, si soles $\pi \rho o \pi \iota \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu$. (Phalaecian.)
In addition to the examples quoted above, we may refer to prōpinat, Mart. I., lxix., 3; prōpinavit, VIII., vi., 13; prōpinas, X., xlix., 3; prōpinabis, XII., lxxiv., 9 ; prŏpinas, II., xv., 1; prŏpinabit, VI., xliv., 6.

Prōcumbo. The idea that the first syllable in procumbo was sometimes short, originated in the line.

Brachia palpebraeque cadunt, poplitesque pröcumbunt. L. IV., 953. As it stood in most edd. before the time of Wakefield; but that editor, supported by all the MSS., restored-

Brachia palpebraeque cadunt, poplitesque cubanti
Saepe tama submittuntur, vireisque resolvunt.
Prōcumbo occurs very frequently in Virgil, Ovid, and other poets, and uniformly with the pro long.

Prönepōs. Sidonius Apollinaris makes a double false quantity in this word.
Cernat et in proavo sibimet quod prōnepŏs optet. Sid. Ap. Carm. [XI., 133.
Pröcuro. As examples of Procuro with the first long, we may take
Pröcurate, viri, et pugnam sperate parati. V. 庆. IX., 158.
Haec ego prōcurare et idoneus imperor et non. ${ }^{1}$ H. E. I., v., 21.
Si pröcurare vis ostentum, rustice. (Iamb. Trim.) Phaed. III., iii., 16.
Haud secus ac stabulis prōcurans otia pastor. S. VI., 329.
To which add Mart. V., lxi., 9, prōcuratorem.
On the other side, three examples are quoted, in which the pro is short, but in every one of these the reading is uncertain; they are, Ipse prŏcuravi ne possent saeva nocere. T. I., v., 13. v., L., Ipse ego curavi.

Inde prŏcurator nimium quoque multa prơcurat. O. A. A. I., 587. v., l., propinator......propinat.

Risit, et, his, inquit facito mea tela pröcures. O. F. III., 343. 3 MSS. Repellas. 1 MS. Reponas. From which it appears, that the evidence is in favour of including procuro under the general rule.

Procello. In some of the older editions of Lucretius, in v., 310, we find,

Nec sanctum numen fati prōcellere fineis.
But all the best editors have adopted protollere. Also in Propertius III., viii., 3 , Broukhusius upon the authority of one MS. reads,

Dum furibunda mero mensam pröcellis, et in me.
But all the rest have prōpellis.

[^43]Propago. It will be seen that two passages are quoted above from Virgil, in which the pro in the noun propago is long. In both of these, propago is used in its primitive sense, of the sucker or layer of a tree or shrub; in all other places, and it occurs frequently in the poets, it is employed in the figurative sense of progeny, race, stock, and has the first syllable uniformly short, e. g., Lucret. I., 43 ; IV., 999 ; V., 1026. Virg. .Æ. XII., 827. Ovid. Met. I., 160 ; II., 38; XI., 312. Am. III., vi., 65. Fast. III., 157. Manil. I., 793. Silius II., 8. Pers. II., 72. Val. Flace. VI., 547 ; V., 126. Stat. S. II., i., 85 ; II., iii., 39 ; IV., iv., 81 . Theb. V., 278; VI., 327.

Propello is used by Lucretius twice with the pro short,
Est procul a tergo quae provehat atque prŏpellat. L. IV., 195. Aer a tergo quasi provehat atque prŏpellat. L. VI., 1025.

In other passages of the same writer it occurs with the pro long, and so it is uniformly found in the best authorities, e. g.,
Percussa est, exin corpus prōpellit et icit. L. III., 161.
To this add, Lucret. III., 163; IV., 287 ; V., 487; VI., 1027. Hor. Od. IV., iv., 6. S. I., ii., 6. Propert. II., xxix., 11; xxix., 39 ; III., xxi., 11 ; xxii., 11. Ovid. Met. VIII., 340, 593. Heroid. VI., 67 ; XXI., 42. Trist. I., x., 33. Silius V., 53 ; VII., 101, 530 ; XIV., 13; XV., 559; XVI., 570 ; XVII., 96 . Lucan III., 1; V., 430. Val. Flacc. I., 494; IV., 311 ; VI., 385. Stat. S. I., i., 21 ; v., 48. Theb. I., 43; VII., 237, 348; XI., $261,443$.

Observe also, that the two examples in Lucretius may be brought to accord with the rest, by the very simple change of atque into et, a change which we shall have less hesitation in making, when we remember, that in ancient MSS., both these words are expressed by the same abbreviation.

Prŏfundo, proffudi, proffusus, are ${ }^{\star}$ uniformly found with the first short, except in one line of Catullus,
Has postquam moesto pröfudit pectore voces. C. LXIV., 202.
To which we oppose Catullus himself,
Deum prơfundit ante templa sanguinem. (Iamỏ. Trim.) C. XX.,15. and a long array of authorities, $e . g$.,

Lucret. I., 89 ; III., 953 ; IV., 541, 932, 1032 ; V., 226, 571 , 766, 1374; VI., 6, 210, 212, 401, 744. Virg. Æ. XII., 154. Prop. II., xxvi., 50. Ovid. Met. VII., 91 ; VIII., 764; IX., 679; XI., 418. Heroid. VIII., 63; XI., 81. Fast. VI., 605 . T. IV., i., 95 . E. P. I., ix., 53. Sab. Ep. I., 77. Manil. II., 8, 875. Silius IV., 376; VI., 252 ; XI., 68. Val. Flacc. III., 3 ; VI., 106.

Martial VIII., xxxviii., 11. Stat. S. I., vi., 11; II., i., 31; III., i., 91. Theb. III., 150; IX., 48.

Under these circumstances we can scarcely avoid concluding, that some corruption lurks in the text of Catullus in the above line.

At all events, it is impossible to agree with Dr. Carey, in supposing that pro was in reality always doubtful, and lengthened or shortened as might suit the convenience of the poets. Since we find so many words in which it is uniformly long, a few in which it is always short, and not above two or three at most in which it is doubtful, such an hypothesis must be pronounced extravagant.
2. Inseparable prepositions and other particles, \&ec., in Composition.

Of the inseparable prepositions, $d i$ and se, to which we may add re, signifying small, are long,

As-dïgressus, sēduco, vēcors, vēsanus.
Dis appears to be short, judging from divimo, anciently disimo or dis-moo, and disertus, which is the participle of dissero, one of the $s$ 's being dropped.
$R e$ is short, as-rĕquiro, rêfero.
But rēfert, the impersonal verb, has the first long.-See Remarks.
$N e$ (negative), and $S i$, which are long as monosyllables, are long also in composition, as-nēve, nēdum, nēqua, nēquam, nēquidquam, sīqua, sīve, \&c.
But nĕcesse, nĕfas, nĕfandus, nĕfarius, nĕfastus, nĕque, nĕqueo, siquidem, have the first short.
Něc has the first short, and retains its quantity in nĕcopinus. Nēcubi has the first long, but this word is probably a compound, not of nec and $u b i$, but of $n \bar{e}$ and alicubi, and so also sicubi of sic and alicubi.
$B \breve{s}$ is short, and $b i$, representing $b i s$, is short in all the regular compounds, such as břceps, b̌̌color, b̌̌cornis, b̌̆dens, b̆̆ferus, bümestris, Ђйpennis, bŭpes, bŭremis, \&c.; but bīduum, ${ }^{1}$ bìmus, and bīnus, into which bis seems to enter, have the first long.

In like manner Trř, representing tris, is short in all the regular compounds, tricorpor, tridens, trǐlix, trifidus, tríformis, trịpes, trïremis, \&c.; but trīduum, trīmus, trīnus, Trīnacria, trīginta, trīgesimus, have the first long.

Quā gives quāpropter, quäre, quātenus.
Quo gives quöcirca, quōcunque, quöminus, quōmodo, \&c., but observe that quŏque, signifying also, has the first always short; its connection, however, with $q u \bar{o}$ may be only apparent. ${ }^{2}$

[^44]Although, as we have seen above, $h \bar{o} c$ is always long, $h o \check{d i e}$, which is evidently a compound of hoc die, has the first uniformly short, and so hodiernus.

## Examples.

Nec minus Andromache dīgressu moesta supremo. V. LX. III., 482. Et quum frigida mors anima sēduxerit artus. V. N. IV., 385. Suadet enim vēsana fames, manditque trahitque. V. S. IX., 340. Expugnare caput, scribet mala carmina vēcors. H. S. II., v., 74. Quatuor in medio dǐrimit plaga solis iniqui. V. A. VII., 227. Foecundi calices quem non fecere disertum. H. E. I., v., 19. Haud dubitanda rêfer, Corythum terrasque requirat. V. AL. III., [170.
Praeterea iam nec mutari pabula rêfert. V. G. III., 548.
\{ Quid tamen hoc rëfert, si se pro classe Pelasga.
\{ Arma tulisse rêfert contra Troasque Iovemque. O. M. XIII., 268.
Nē fugite hospitium nève ignorate Latinos. V. A. VII., 202. Nēdum sermonum stet honos et gratia vivax. H. A. P., 69. Nēqua mora ignaros, ubi primum vellere signa. V. SE. XI., 19. Nēquidquam lucis Hecate praefecit Avernis. V. CH. VI., 118. Arma viri? Nēquam et cessator Davus, ut ipse. H. S. II., vii., 100. Siqua fata sinant, iam tum tenditque fovetque. V. A. I., 18. Sīve quis Antilochum narrabat ab Hectore victum. O. H. I., 15. Semina quum porro distent differre něcesse est. L. II., 725. Ausi omnes immane nĕfas, auroque potiti. V. ©. VI., 624. Hoc caput, O cives, haec belli summa nëfandi. V. . . XII., 572. Aut humana palam coquat exta nêfarius Atreus. H. A. P., 186. Ille et nĕfasto te posuit die. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. II., xiii., 1. Quos nĕque Tydides nec Larissaeus Achilles. V. .x. II., 197.
 Quae mihi ventura est, šqquidem ventura, senectus. O. A.,III.,vii., 17.
Nocte gravem somno nĕcopina perdere morte. O. M. I., 226.
Et něcopinanti Mors ad caput adstitit ante. L. III., 971.
Nēcubi suppressus pereat gener. U bene rapta. L. P. IX., 1057.

Iane $b \breve{c} c e p s$, anni tacite labentis origo. O. F. I., 65.
Iam liber et positis břcolor membrana capillis. P. S. III., 10. Sarcula nunc durusque břdens et vomer aduncus. O. F. IV., 927.
Extaque de porca cruda bŭmestre tenet. O. F. VI., 158.
Inque domo bīmus conspicietur honor. O. E. P.IV., ix., 64.
Bīna manu lato crispans hastilia ferro. V. A. I., 317.
Gorgones Harpyiaeque et forma tricorporis umbrae. V.,F.VI.,289.
Loricam consertam hamis auroque trilicem. V. E. III., 467.
Nauseat ac locuples quem ducit priva tř̌remis. H. E. I., i., 93.
Si totus tibi triduo legatur. (Phalaec.) M. II., vi., 12.
Quae velut latis equa trima campis. (Sapph.) H. O. III., xi., 9.
Quae trīno iuvenis foro tonabas. (Phalaec.) S. S. IV., ix., 15.
T'rinacria fines Italos mittere relicta. V. AE. III., 440.
Triginta capitum fetus enixa iacebit. V. E. III., 391.
Quätenus et non est in caro coniuge felix. O. T. V., v., 21.
Qū̄circa capere ante dolis et cingere flamma. V. L. I., 673.
Praecipites metus acer agit quōcunque rudentes. V. X. III., 682.
Te qư̆que, magna Pales, et te memorande canemus. V. G. III., 1 .
Dicet ubi est hơdie quae Lyra fulsit heri. O. F. II., 76.
Quis scit an adiiciat hơdiernae tempora summae. H. O. IV., vii., 17.

## Remarks.

Refert. The impersonal verb is not a real exception to the rule, as it must not be considered as a compound of re, the inseparable preposition, and fero, but of re or rei, the ablative or dative of res, and fero, which will account in a satisfactory manner for the quantity. Such was the opinion of the celebrated grammarian Verrius, as Festus tells us, and it is probably correct. This doctrine, however, has been called in question by some critics. See Scheller's Lexicon, in verb.-Perizonius ad Sanct. Min. III., v., 3, \&c.

Nĕqueo, nĕfas, \&c. In order to account for the first syllable in these words being short, it has been supposed that they are compounds, not of $n \bar{e}$, but of nëc, and that the consonant being dropped, the $e$ retains its natural quantity.
в. When the monosyllable forms the latter part of the compound word.

In this case, also, monosyllables retain their natural quantity without reference to the general rules for final syllables.

Thus, from rē, pār, pès, sīs, vīs, we have quarè, impārr, dispār, tripēs, quadrupēs, sonipēs, adsīs, possīs, quamvīs, quivīs; while from v̌r, we have semivirr; from ès, \&c., adĕs, potěs, \&c.

The few exceptions to this rule, such as quaš̌, niš, from $s i$, have been already noticed under the rules for Final Syllables.

## Examples.

Quarè per divos oratus uterque penates. II. S. II., iii., 176. Ludere pār impār, equitare in arundine longa. H.S. II., iii., 248. Bruttius haud dispär animorum unaque iuventus. S. VIII., 570. Omnia magna loquens,modo sit mihi mensa tripēes et. II. S. I., iii.,13. Stat sonipēs ac frena ferox spumantia mandit. V. JJ. IV., 135. Tollit se arrectum quadrupēs et calcibus auras. V. X. X., 892. Adsīs O Tegeaee favens oleaeque Minerva. V. G. I., 18. Possīs et magnam morbi deponere partem. HT.E.I., i., 35. Quamois ista mihi mors est inhonesta futura. P. II., viii., 27. Semivir et tactis subito mollescat in undis. O.M.IV., 386. Tuque $a d e ́ s$ inceptumque una decurre laborem. V. G. II., 39. Vix unum potěs infelix requiescere mensem. P. II., iii., 3.

## Remarks.

The later Latin poets make frequent false quantities in the use of monosyllables in composition; thus we have,
Qui bipěs, et quadrupes foret, et tripěs, omniasolus. Aus. Eid. XI., 39. Quicquid dispŭr habet: cumulum discretio carpit. Prud. Ham., 26. Succumbit Phrygio: coitus fuit impăr utrique. Prud. C.S. I., 168.

Praepěs must not be confounded with the compounds of pes, with which it has no connection.

Possis appears with the last short in
Tam ieiuna fames quum posšss honestius illic. I.S. V., 10.
Which is the reading of many MSS.; others give posses, poscis, \&c. Ruperti conjectures pol sit; the most simple, and therefore the best emendation seems to be possit, which the construction admits.--See Excursus on the passage in the edition of Ruperti.

## II．POLYSYLLABLES IN COMPOSITION．

## Rule XXVI．

Polysyllables in composition retain their natural quantity when they undergo no change of form．

Thus cơlo，cơquo，which have the first syllable short in the simple form，preserve the same quantity in the corresponding syllable when compounded with re，and become recolo，rec⿱̆quo．

So also intër，which has the last syllable short，and eo，which has the first short，have the corresponding syllables short when com－ pounded into one word，intěr＇čo．

So antē and féro make antëféro，intrō and düco make intrōdū̃co， ludच̄，lucrī（the genitives of ludus，lucrum），with măgister and fücio， make ludīmăgister，lucrīfücio，and so generally，the rule being very extensive in its application．

Even when a vowel is changed in the composition，or when one of the vowels of a diphthong is dropped，and the other changed，it seldom causes any variation in the quantity．
 concìdo，incīdo，occīdo；căno，conč̆no；clāudo，inclūdo；tubă and lyră with cāno，give tubücen，lyriceen，but tibia and cano，tib̄̄̄cen， because contracted for tibiacen，and examples might in this way be multiplied to any extent．

It not unfrequently happens，that $s$ is dropped，in composition， before a consonant，in which case the preceding vowel retains its proper quantity．

Thus，from omnĭs and potens，we get omn⿱口potens，from sem̌̌s， semĭvir，semŭsupinus，\＆c．

Even when greater changes take place in the constituent parts of the compound，we can generally infer the quantity of the resulting word，by considering carefully the manner in which they have been united．

Thus，when we perceive that ilucet and scilücet are made up of ìre licet，and scīre licet，we conclude that the first syllable in each word ought to be long，and the second short；so pridtuē and quotīdüe，the first being contracted for priore dǐe，the latter made up of quot̄ and dīès；vĕnēfĭcus from věnēnum and făcio，and so on．Proceeding upon these principles，we shall seldom be led astray in the quantity of compound words，provided we refrain from indulging in fanciful etymologies．

## Exceptions．

We may notice a few words in which the principles explained above seem to fail．

From Sōpitus we have Semisŏpitus.
Vinclaque sōpitas addit in arcta manus. O. F. III., 306.
Thesea pressuras semisŏpita manus. O. H. X., 10.
Purpureo iacuit semisŏpita toro. O. A. I., xiv., 20.
Some MSS. give semisupina in the latter, and the best editors now agree in substituting this word in both passages for semisopita.

From dīco we have causiď̌cus, fatiď̌cus, maledǐcus, veridǐcus.

- iūro - deiëro, peièro, but periūro.
- nōtus - agnittus, cognïtus.
- nūbo - innŭba, pronüba.

We may find it difficult to account for the quantity of the second in cornücen-

Qui vix cornčcines exaudiet atque tubarum. I.S. X., 214.
from cornū and cano, but multümodis need occasion no embarrassment; for, although used adverbially by Lucretius-

Verum semina mulť̆modis immixta latere. I., 886.
the word must not be considered as a compound formed directly from multis modis; but as the ablative of multümodus, in which, as in multiccolor, multifidus, multüloquus, \&c., the second is short, as we should expect it to be.

We have already noticed ambitus and ambitus, and the compounds of $i b i$, ubi, and quando.

It will be necessary to examine particularly certain verbs compounded with facio, since prosodians have hazarded rash assertions concerning them. It is not unfrequently stated that the $e$ in calefacio, labefacio, patefacio, and all similar verbs, is common, being lengthened or shortened in each according to the caprice of the poets. We shall endeavour to show that this syllable is almost uniformly short in words belonging to this class; why it is so cannot be easily explained from etymology, since we should have expected it to have been always short in some, and always long in others.
I. In calĕfacio, calĕfacto, labĕfacio, labĕfacto, madĕfacio, paveĕfacio, rubĕfacio, stupëfacio, tremĕfacio, tumĕfacio, the syllable in question is, I believe, without controversy, uniformly short.
Hicc' ubi percaluit calĕfecitque omnia circum. L. VI., 687. Subiecit rubor et calĕfacta per ora cucurrit. V. AE. XII., 66.
Et labëfactat eos unde omnia credita pendent. L. I., 695.
Multa gemens magnoque animum labĕfactus amore. V. A. IV., 395.

Idque ubi vi multa partem labĕfecit in omnem. O. M. III., 70. Alta Polyxenia madëfient caede sepulcra. C. LXIV., 369. Fusus humum viridesque super madĕfecerat herbas. V. A. V., 330. Delicuit terramque suo madëfecit odore. O. M. IV., 253.
Ast ego vicino pavéfacta sub aequore mergor. O. M. XIII., 878.
Corpus et exiguo rubëfecit sanguine setas. O. M. VIII., 383. Vixque Atlantiadum rubĕfecerat ora sororum. S. XVI., 137. lbat et ingenti motu stupĕfactus aquarum. V. G. IV., 365. Fonte bibis spectasque tuam stupĕfacta figuram. O. H. XIV., 97. Annuit et totum nutu tremêfecit Olympum. V. $\mathbb{E}$. IX., 106. Crederis, infelix, scuticae tremĕffactus habenis. O. H. IX., 81. Num me laetitia tumĕfactum fallis inani. $P$. III., vi., 3 . Extentam tumêfecit humum, seu spiritus oris. O. M. XV., 303.
II. The only verbs in which any doubt exists regarding the quantity of the $e$, are, patefacio, putrefacio, tepefacio, and liquefacio.

1. Patefacio has the second syllable short in most authors,

Quos ubi tempore maturo patĕfecerat aetas. L. V., 807.
Quom confluxerunt patéfit quodcumque creatur. L. I., 178.
Is clausum lato patêfecit limite campum. C. LXVIII., 67.
Laxat claustra Sinon, illas patêffactus ad auras. V. A. II., 259. Et vacuam pătěfeceit aulam. (Alc. Decas.) H. O. IV., xiv., 36.

To these add, Patëfecit, Prop. I., iii., 33. Ov. Met. I., 284; II., 112, 819; III., 104; IV., 185. Patêfecerat, Ov. Met. IX., 794. Patěfiant, Prop. III., xx., 29. Patëfacta, Lucret. I., 10; IV., 895. Prop. I., xvi., 1; Tibull. I., iii., 36 ; 0v. Met. IX., 314 (al. pavefacta.) Patëfactum, Lucret. V., 596. Patĕfactis,"Lucret. IV., 991.

On the other hand, we can produce two passages only in which the $e$ is lengthened, both from Lucretius,

Atque patēfecit, quas ante obsederat ater. L. IV., 346.
Caussa patēfiet quae ferri pelliceat vim. ${ }^{1} \quad$ L. VI., 1000.
But in the latter of these two, some MSS. give Caussa palam fiet, which gives us the key to the true reading in the former also, viz.,
${ }^{1}$ To these may be added, a line quoted from Ennius by Isidorus of Seville, Inde patëfecit radiis rota candida coelum;
but I have already expressed my opinion of the value of such secondhand scraps.
atque palam fiet. Few can object to a correction so simple, when they recollect that palam facere aliquid is one of the commonest phrases in Latin, and is used by Lucretius himself in II., 566 ,

Quorum utrumque palam fieri manifesta docet res.
2. Putrefacio is not a common word,

Et tamen haec quom sunt quasi pūtrē̄facta per imbres. L. II., 898. Sunt qui, cum clauso pütrĕfacta est spina sepulcro. O. M. XV., 389.
3. Tepefacio, with one solitary exception, has the $e$ always short. At tepéfacta tamen veniat commixta calore. L. VI., 322. Frigida deserto tepĕfecit membra cubili. C. LXVIII., 29. Faucibus ad limum radii tepĕfacta coquebant. V. G. IV., 428. In matris iugulo ferrum tepêfecit acutum. H. S. II., iii., 136. Sanguine Tlepolemus Lyciam tepĕfecerat hastam. ${ }^{1}$ O. II. I., 19.

But
Alta tepēfaciet permixta flumina caede. C. LXIV., 361.
Here it must be observed, that tepefaciet contains four short syllables in succession, and consequently would, under ordinary circumstances, be altogether inadmissible into Dactylic verse. We shall point out, in a subsequent Chapter (on Poetic Licenses), that the poets in such a case, compelled by necessity as it were, sometimes lengthened a syllable naturally short; the writers of the Augustan age, however, very rarely indulge in such a license, except in the case of a proper name, and hence they used those tenses only of tepefacio, which could stand in their verse without their having recourse to an expedient so violent.

Precisely in the same manner we can account for the variation in the quantity of
4. Liquefacio, which, like the others, has the $e$ generally short. Tum penetrabat eos posse haec liquĕfacta vapore. L. V., 1261. Flammarumque globos liquĕfactaque volvere saxa. V. G. I., 473. Sic mea perpetuis liquĕfunt pectora curis. ${ }^{2}$ O. E. P. I., ii., 57.

[^45]On the other hand,
Omentum in flamma pingue liquéfaciens. C. XC., 6. Thura liquēfaciunt, ${ }^{1}$ inductaque cornibus aram. O. M. VII., 161. The $e$ being lengthened, because in no other way could either liquefaciens or liquefaciunt be admitted into the verse. It must not be concealed, however, that Ovid, in one passage, if the text be correct, lengthens the $e$ in liquéfactis, where no such license is requisite, probably in consequence of his having already lengthened it in a former part of the poem.
Tabe liquéfactis, tendens ad sidera palmas. O. M. IX., 175.
III. We find expergēfacta, expergēfacti, expergēfactum, Lucret. II., 413 ; IV., 996 ; V., 1207, and confervēfacit, VI., 353, but not in poets of the Augustan age.
IV. Some words are erroneously classed with these, which are made up, not of a verb, but of a noun, an adverb, or some other part of speech compounded with facio.

Thus, cinĕfacio, from cinĕrem and facio; rarēfacio, from rarè and facio, not from rarere,
as can be easily proved, since Lucretius uses the two words separately.

Collaxat rarēque facit lateramina vasi. L. VI., 233.
The etymology of vacéfio is doubtful.
It appears, then, that instead of the practice of the poets being variable in all these words, as is commonly asserted, there is no variation at all in any except four; that in one of these four, the difficulty is removed by various readings; that in two of the others, one example only, contrary to the common practice, can be produced in each, neither of them from writers of the Augustan age; and that the variation in the last verb, and also in one of the others, can be accounted for upon a principle which we know holds good in words of a different description.

[^46]
## QUANTITY OF SOME PARTS OF CERTAIN VERBS.

## REDUPLICATING PRETERITES.

$\qquad$

## Rule XXVII.

Reduplicating preterites have the first two syllables short, As-cĕčldi (from cado), cěcŭni, dŭdŭci, \&c.
The rule does not, of course, apply to the second syllable when it is long by position, as in momördi, cucūrri, peperci, and the like.

Exceptions.
a. Cecidi from caedo, and pepēdi from p $\bar{e} d o$, have the second long.

Examples.
Inter cunctantes cĕčưdit moribunda ministros. V. G. III., 488.
Et veterem in limo ranae céč̌nere querelam. V. G. I., 378.
Mox dưdŭci curvo nulla subesse tholo. O. F. VI., 296.
a. Pyrrhumque et ingentem cecīdit. (Alc. Enneas.) H. O. III., [vi., 35.
Nam, displosa sonat quantum vesica, pĕpēdi. H. S. I., viii., 46.

## Remark.

This rule would be more correctly expressed by stating, that reduplicating preterites have the first syllable short, while the second follows the quantity of the verbal root. The number of exceptions, however, is so small, that it is perhaps more convenient in practice to preserve it in the form given above.

## DISSYLLABIC PRETERITES.

## Rule XXVIII.

Preterites of two syllables, their compounds, and the tenses formed from them, have the first syllable long,

As-vīdi, vēni, fōvi, fūgi; while in the present tense, vídeo, věnio, fưveo, fügio, have the first short. (I.)

This rule does not, of course, interfere with general rule for the quantity of one vowel before another : and we have, therefore, әйo, rŭi, \&c.

## Exceptions.

a. Seven dissyllabic preterites and their compounds have the first syllable short; viz., bǔbi, dĕdi, fŭdi (from findo), scĭdi (from scindo), stěti, stı̆ti, tŭli. (II.)

Examples.
Ut vĩdi, ut perii, ut me malus abstulit error. V. E. VIII., 41.
Respexit tamen et longo post tempore vēnit. V. E. I., 30.
Vipera delituit coelumque exterrita fügit. V. G. III., 417. Fōvit humum ; cape saxa manu, cape robora pastor. V. G. III., 420.
a. Lūsisti satis, ēdisti satis, atque bǔbisti. H. E. II., ii., 214.

Hic mihi responsum primus dĕdit ille petenti. V. E. I., 45.
Demersa exitio ; diffidit ${ }^{1}$ urbium. (Choriambic.) H.O. III., xvi.,13.
Gaudia florentesque manu sč̌dit Atropos annos. S. S. III., iii., 127.
Hesperium Siculo latus abscĭdit, ${ }^{2}$ arvaque et urbes. V. WE. III., 418.
Explicuit legio, et campo stĕtit agmen aperto. V. G. II., 280.
Constŭtit atque oculis Phrygia agmina circumspexit. V. DE. II.,68.
Cui mater media sese tǔlit obvia sylva. V. A. I., 314.

## Remarks.

I. The best etymologists are of opinion, that those verbs which change a short vowel in the root or present tense into a long vowel in the preterite had originally a reduplication. Thus, pango,

[^47]or rather pago, makes pĕpĕgi, but compingo makes compē̈i, and this proves the analogy of the two forms. ${ }^{1}$

According to this view, we should have

| Věnio, | věvěni, | $v e ̆ E ̆ n i$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lĕgo, | lêlĕgi, | lĕĕgi, |
| Fugio, | füfưgi, | füŭgi, |
| \&c. | \&c. | \&c. |

This remark does not apply to such preterites as lūsi, rīsi, \&c., from lūdo, rìdeo, \&c.: the preterite in these words was formed by the insertion of $s$, ludsi, ridsi, the $d$ being afterwards dropped for the sake of euphony.
II. The seven dissyllabic preterites enumerated above in reality belong to the last rule, since they are all reduplicating preterites, some of which have dropped the first syllable, instead of contracting the first two into one.

Tuli and scidi were anciently tetüli and sciscīdi, the former occurs frequently in Plautus ${ }^{2}$ and Catullus, ${ }^{3}$ the latter is found in Ennius, Accius, Naevius, and Afranius, as quoted by Priscian, p. 890.

So $f \imath d i$ would be $f \check{y} \mathfrak{u} d i$ from $f^{\imath} d o$. We find that in the time of Priscian, grammarians were at variance with regard to the true form of the preterite in this word. See Prisc., p. 890.

Bibi is an actual reduplication from bio, the same as the Greek $\pi t \omega$. Bibo, in the present, arose from the digammatized form $\pi / f i \omega$.

So also stéti and stititi are different forms of the reduplication of sto, as are dĕdi and ď̌di of do.

## DISSYLLABIC SUPINES.

## Rule XXIX.

Supines ${ }^{4}$ of two syllables, and the parts of the verb derived from them, have the first syllable long; the corresponding syllable is long in the compounds also; as-vīsu, vīsus, vīsurus, lūsum; perōsus, \&c.

## Exceptions.

$\boldsymbol{a}$. In the following dissyllabic supines, the first syllable is short: -cìtum from cieo (I.); dätum from do, ťtum from eo, Ť̌tum from

[^48]lino, quettum from queo (II.); rŭtum from reor, rưtum from rŭo (III.); sŭtum from sero, situm from sino, to which we may add fitum from fưo, whence futurus. The quantity of these words is preserved in their derivatives and compounds, except amb̄̈tum from ambio, which has been already noticed. (IV.)

With regard to statum, see Remarks. (V.)

## Examples.

In brevia et syrtes urget, miserabile vīsu. V. Ж. I., 111.
Lenaeos, ea vīsa salus morientibus una. V. G. III., 510.
Nascitur et casus abies vīsura marinos. V. G. II., 68.
Lūsum it Maecenas dormitum ego Virgiliusque. H. S. I., v., 48. Insontes peperere manu lucemque perōsi. V. $\mathcal{L}$. VI., 435.
a. Puppes sinistrorsum cǐtae. (Iamb. Dim.) H.E. IX., 20.

Intrâro, gentique meae dŭta moenia cernam. V. A. III., 501.
Nec repentis $九$ tum quoiusviscumque animantis. L. III., 389.
In te fingebam violentos Troas íturos. O. H. I., 13.
Ardentes auro et paribus litta corpora guttis. ${ }^{1}$ V. G. IV., 99.
Nos abiisse răti et vento petiisse Mycenas. V. LI. II., 25.
Impulerat torrens, arbustaque dirüta ripis. V. A. X., 363.
Aut Ida in magna radicibus erưta pinus. V. A. V., 449.
Saxa tulit penitus discussis prorǔta muris. L. P. IX., 490.
Deinde sütis fluvium inducit rivosque sequentes. • V. G. I., 106.
Aurum irrepertum et sic melius situm. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. [III., iii., 49.
Quid sit füturum cras, fuge quaerere, et. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. I., [ix., 13.
Fluctibus ambitae fuerant Antissa Pharosque. O. M. XV., 287.

## Remarks.

I. There are two verbs belonging to difierent conjugations, which make citum in the supine.

[^49]Cio-civi-citum-cire, of the fourth.
Cǐeo-cǐevi-četum (and dropping the e), cittum-cière of the second.
From this circumstance much variation takes place in the quantity of the compounds.
Citus and č̌to scarcely occur with the first long.
Accitus is alone in use, accitus not being found in any good author.
Imperio accitos, alta intra limina cogit. V. W. XI., 235.
Concitus is the form employed by the best writers, but Concitus is not without authority.

Deserit inceptum, atque immani concětus ira. V. W. IX., 694.
Inde ruunt toto concitta pericula mundo. L. P. V., 597.
Terga ferens, coit e sparso concita mapali. V. F. II., 460.
Excitus and excitus are used indifferently.
Qui bello excïti reges, quae quemque secutae. V. $\mathcal{L}$. VII., 642.
Nec fruitur somno vigilantibus exč̌ta curis. O. M. II., 779.
Incïtus, not incitus.
Principio venti vis verberat incitta pontum. L. I., 272.
Poplite subsidens, apicem tamen incitta summum. V. $\mathcal{E}$. XII., 492.
Percitus, not percïtus. ${ }^{1}$
Multimodis volitent aeterno perčta motu. L. II., 1055.
II. Qǔtum is said to be short by Priscian, p. 867, being ranked by him along with litum and ütum. Vossius quotes
Nam quum, compressa est gnata, forma in tenebris nosci non quüta est. [Ter. Hec. IV., i., 57.
III. Rutum appears in the law phrase ruta caesa, its quantity is decided by its compounds, dirütus, crütus, prorǔtus, \&c. The primitive verb was conjugated ruo, rui, ruitum, ruere, ${ }^{2}$ the $u i$ in the supine being pronounced as one short syllable, rwitum, and hence dirwitum, erwitum, \&c. The $i$ was subsequently dropped altogether.
IV. The student must remember, that although the participle is ambitus, yet the substantive is ambïtus.

Et properantis aquae per amoenos ambitus agros. H. A.P., 17.
See remarks on these words under Rule I.

[^50]V. There is some doubt with regard to the quantity of statum. Priscian, p. 863, says, that it ought to have the first long, and accordingly we find stātura, constātura, obstātura, Praestātura, in Lucan, Martial, Statius, and Claudian, while the derivatives, stătim, stătus, both substantive and adjective, stătio, stător, are used by Catullus, Ovid, and others, with the first short.
Hinc acies stätura ducum; Caesarne senatus. L.P. II., 566. Tunc res immenso placuit stātura labore. L. P. III., 381. Constātura fides superum, ferale per urbem. L. P. II., 17. Constätura fuit Megalensis purpura centum. M. X., xli., 5. Quae sic orsa prior, spesne obstātura Pelasgis. S. T. VII., 247. Praestātura novas vires incendia poscit. C. Eid. I., 47. Verum si quid ages stătim iubeto. (Phalaecian.) C. XXXII., 9. Ducite, et omnis eat verum stătus iste mearum. O. M. VII., 509. Maximus indicit, nec stăta sacra facit. O. F. II., 528. Tempus idem stător aedis habet, quam Romulus olim. O. F. VI., [793.
Nunc tantum sinus et stătio male fida carinis. V. AE. II., 23.
This variation seems to arise from the difference of quantity in statum and stitum, as we see exemplified in praesť̌tum and praestätum, which are both attached to praesto as its supines.-See Voss. Aristarch. II., c. 22, who has collected most of the examples given above.-See also the notes of Barthius and Burman. on the passage quoted from Claudian.

## POLYSYLLABIC PRETERITES AND SUPINES.

## Rule XXX.

> Preterites and supines of three or more syllables, retain in their first syllable the quantity of the first syllable of the present tense of the verb from which they are formed. Thus, lĕvo, lĕvav̌̆, lëvatum; müto, mütavi, mūtatum, \&c.

## Examples.

Detrudent naves scopulo, lĕvat ipse tridenti. V. A. I., 145.
Ter sese attollens cubitoque adnixa lĕvavit. V. $\mathscr{H}$. IV., 690.

Iussa sequar? quiane auxilio iuvat ante lĕvatos. V. A. IV., 538.
Debilitat viris animi mütatque vigorem. V. AK. IX., 611.
Mütavere vias et Iupiter uvidus austris. V. G. I., 418.
Hei mihi qualis erat! quantum mütatus ab illo. V. A. II., 274.

## Remarks.

The following words are usually quoted as having the first syllable short in the preterite and supine, although long in the present:-

Pơsui, pŏsitum, from pōno; gěnui, gěnitum, from gīgno; pŏtui from pössum; sǒlutum from sōlvo; vǒlutum from völvo; but these are only apparent, not real exceptions.

Posui cannot be from pono, which is a late form of the present, but from poso; we find also posivi, which must come from posio. Genui, genitum, are not from gigno but gĕno, which is used by Lucretius, III., 798.

Totum posse extra corpus durare gĕnique.
See also a quotation from Varro in Priscian, p. 898. It occurs also as in the R. R. of the same author, II., c. 6.

Whatever root potui may come from, it certainly has no more connection with pos sum than fui has with sum.

Solutum and volutum do not come from solvo and volvo, where $v$ is a consonant, but from solǔo and volǔo, the former of which is used by Catullus, Ovid, and Horace, as we shall see below, under the heads of Archaisms and Diaeresis.

We have already stated in the Preface, that we should not discuss the rules for the quantity of the increment in different parts of the verb, since the learner ought always to acquire this knowledge by the ear, when making himself master of the conjugations. There are, however, one or two controverted points, which it may be proper to notice in this place.

1. The quantity of the penult of the third person plural of the indicative perfect is in most cases long; as-amaverunt, docuērunt, legèrunt, audièrunt; but in the best editions of Virgil we find

Matri longa decem tulĕrunt fastidia menses. V.E. IV., 61.
Obstupui stetĕruntque comae et vox faucibus haesit. V.LI. III.,48. And in Horace,

Virgilio annuĕrunt gaudentes rure Camoenae. IH. S. I., x., 45.
Di tibi divitias dedĕrunt artemque fruendi. H.E. I., iv., 7.
Besides many instances in other poets.

Now, in the greater number of the examples quoted, variations exist in the MSS., many of them exhibiting, instead of the indicative perfect, either the indicative pluperfect or thesubjunctive perfect. Thus, in the line quoted from the Eclogues, we find three readings in the MSS., tulerunt, tulerant, tulerint. Hence some scholars contend that in every passage where the indicative perfect is found with a short penult, an error exists in the text, and that one of the two above mentioned tenses ought to be substituted ; while others, going into the opposite extreme, would remove the latter tenses, where they have hitherto stood unquestioned, and introduce the indicative perfect as more appropriate.

Of course it is impossible, in a matter of this sort, to come to any positive conclusion; for, in consequence of the manner in which the poets use these tenses in passages with regard to which no controversy exists, they may be very frequently exchanged for each other, without materially affecting the sense. But all who examine with care the different examples adduced, will, it is believed, acknowledge, that in not a few of these the indicative perfect cannot be struck out without great violence ; nor can we fail to perceive, that a transcriber, when copying a MS., if he came to such a quantity as tulërunt or stetĕrunt, which he might consider anomalous, would be much more likely to change it to tulerint or tulerant than to transform one of these into tulĕrunt, in violation of all ordinary rules. The student may examine the following list, collected from

Lucretius, Virgil, Tibullus, Horace, Propertius, and Ovid :-

## Lucretius.

Institërunt, I., 407.
Prodidĕrunt, III., 86.
Transtulèrunt, III., 135.
Occidèrunt, III., 1041.
Exciërunt, IV., 41.
Dedërunt, IV., 45, 975 ; VI., 4.
Desiërunt, IV., 403.
Decidërunt, V., 194.
Constitërunt, V., 416.
Fuěrunt, V., 676, 876.
Dididërunt, VI., 2.
Inciděrunt, VI., 1174.

## Virgilius.

Tulërunt, E. IV., 61.
Stetërunt, Æ. II., 774 ; III., 48 ; X., 334.
Constitërunt, Æ. III., 681.

## Tibullus.

Profuĕrunt, II., iii., 12. Dedĕrunt, IV., v., 4.

## Horatius.

Annuёrunt, S. I., х., 45. Dedërunt, E. I., iv., 7. Vertěrunt, E. IX., 17.

## Propertius.

Contulërunt, II., iii., 25. Stetërunt, II., viii., 10. Condidërunt, III., xi., 67. Fuĕrunt, IV., v., 69. Excidërunt, IV., vii., 15.

## Ovidius.

Praebuěrunt, H. II., 142 ; A. I., xiv., 25.

> Quaesiërunt, H. V., 136. Excidèrunt, H. XII., 71. Expulèrunt, H. xiv., 72. Molliërunt, A. II., i., 22. Terruërunt, A. III., v., 2. Fuĕrunt, A. A. III., 405. Profuĕrunt, R. A., 263. $\{T$ 'exuěrunt, E. P. I., iii., 30 ; conj. of Heins. \}

Contigĕrunt, F. I., 592.
Vagièrunt, F. II., 405.
Horruěrunt, F. II., 502. Annuĕrunt, F. II., 597. Audièrunt, F. III., 65. Paruĕrunt, M. IV., 225. Defuĕrunt, M. VI., 585. Abstulěrunt, M. VI., 617. Abfuèrunt, M. X., 58.
2. The quantity of the penult of the first and second persons plural of the indicative future perfect, and the subjunctive perfect.

As far as the future perfect is concerned, the quantity must be pronounced doubtful.

Quas ob res ubi viderimus nil posse creari. L. I., 156.
Dein cum millia multa fecerīmus. (Phalaecian.) C. V., 10.
Videř̌tis stellas illic ubi circulus axem. O. M. II., 516.
Haec ubi dixerǐtis, servet sua dona, rogate. O. E. P. IV., v., 45.
Accepisse simul, vitam dederītis in unda. O. M. VI., 357.
Et maris Ionii transierītis aquas. O. E. P. IV., v., 6.
Consulis, ut limen contigerītis, erit. O. E. P. IV., v., 16. To these we may add dederïtis, from Enn. ap. Cic. De Off., I., 12.

We ought to remark that dederitis, transieritis, contigeritis, could not stand in Dactylic verse at all, unless with the penult long.

With regard to the subjunctive perfect, it is frequently impossible to distinguish it from the future perfect, since in very many cases where the one is employed, a very slight modification of the sense would render the use of the other equally appropriate.

The only example discovered by prosodians where this tense undoubtedly occurs in such a position as to determine its quantity, is

Namque ut supremam falsa inter gaudia noctem.
Egerimus nosti ; et nimium meminisse necesse est. V. A. VI., [514.

The old grammarians are at variance upon these points. Diomedes ${ }^{1}$ and Agroetius ${ }^{2}$ assert, that the penult of rimus and ritis, in the future perfect, is long, and in the subjunctive perfect short, while Probus ${ }^{3}$ affirms that the syllable is long in both tenses; and both Probus ${ }^{4}$ and Servius ${ }^{5}$ expressly declare, that the penult of

[^51]egerimus, in the passage quoted above, was shortened by Virgil, "metri necessitate." See Voss. Aristarch. II., c. 21.

Before proceeding to the second part of this work, which will be devoted to the subject of Versification, and will contain an account of the different kinds of verse employed by the Latin writers, it is necessary that we should explain some modifications which words undergo, both in quantity and form, when combined together in metrical systems. These may be arranged under the heads of-
I. Caesura. II. Elision. III. The Quantity of the Last Syllable in a Verse. IV. Synapheia. V. Poetical Licenses, which will include an account of what are called Grammáaical Figures.

## I. CAESURA.

When the last syllable of a word remains over, after the completion of a foot, that syllable is called a Caesural syllable, in consequence of being separated, or cut off, as it were, from the rest of the word, in scanning the verse.

Now when this Cacsura or cutting off takes place, the voice rests or dwells upon the syllable in question, when repeating the line in proper cadence, and hence the name Caesura is frequently given to this pause and stress of the voice, and the syllable itself thus cut off is also sometimes termed a Caesura.

Thus in the line
Silvestr | em tenu | i mus | am meditaris avena,
the syllables em, $i, a m$, are all Caesural, and the verse is said to have three Caesuras.

In Dactylic Hexameters the Caesuras in different parts of the verse are distinguished from each other by names which point out the situation of the syllables upon which they fall.

Thus, a Caesura at the beginning of the second foot, is called a Triemimeris, or Triemimeral Caesura ( $\tau \rho \iota \varsigma-\eta \mu \iota-\mu s \rho \circ s$ ), because it falls on the third half-foot; at the beginning of the third foot a Penthemimeris ( $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon-\hat{\eta} \mu t-\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ ), or Semiquinaria, because it falls on the fifth half-foot; at the beginning of the fourth foot a Hepthemimeris ( $\varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha-i j \mu t-\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$, or Semiseptinaria, because it falls on the seventh half-foot; and at the beginning of the fifth foot. Enneemimeris ( $\varepsilon \nu \nu \varepsilon a-\hat{\eta} \mu \iota-\mu \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma)$, because it falls on the ninth halffoot.

The line quoted above affords examples of the Triemimeris,
the Penthemimeris, and the Hepthemimeris; and in the following :-

> Funere | as rapuere fac | es, luc | et via longo,
we have the Triemimeris, Hepthemimeris, and Enneemimeris.
Sometimes. though rarely, the first syllable of the sixth foot is Caesural, as in

Tum variae eludunt pestes saepe exigu | us mus,
which, according to the same system, would be called the Hendecemimeris, since it falls on the eleventh half-foot.

The term Caesura, however, is sometimes used both by ancient and modern writers upon Prosody, in a sense somewhat different, being employed to indicate the division of a verse, caused by arranging the component parts in such a manner that the position of a particular syllable shall always correspond with the end of a word.

Thus, we are told by some, that the best Caesura in the Dactylic Hexameter is after the Penthemimeris, meaning that the end of the fifth half-foot ought to coincide with the end of a word, thus forming a $\tau 0 \mu \eta$, or Incisio, or Caesura, in the line-as

> Dic mihi Damoeta || cuium pecus? an Meliiboei $\}$
> Aeternam moriens $\|$ famam Caieta dedisti.

So also in a Choriambic Tetrameter, such asMaecenas atavis || edite regibus,
when it is said that the Caesura ought to take place at the end of the first Choriambus, it is meant that the termination of the first Choriambus, or in other words, of the second foot in the verse, ought always to coincide with the termination of a word.

In order to prevent confusion, we shall use the term Caesura, as equivalent to Caesural syllable, meaning the last syllable in a word, when it remains over after the completion of a foot, upon which syllable the voice is required to rest, in order to mark the measure of a verse; and we shall employ the term, division of the verse, to indicate, that the termination of a particular foot, or part of a foot, coincides with the termination of a word.

In this manner, if we wish to express that the first syllable of the third foot of a Dactylic Hexameter ought to be the last syllable of a word, we may either say that

There ought to be a Caesura at the beginning of the third foot; or,
There ought to be a division of the verse at the end of the fifth half-foot.

The rules for the proper position of the Caesura being different in different kinds of verse, will be fully explained when we treat of each kind of verse separately. There is, however, one fact connected with this part of the subject, which properly falls under our consideration at present.

A final syllable, naturally short, is occasionally lengthened when it is Caesural. Thus-

Pectoribūs inhians spirantia consulit exta. V. N. IV., 64. Nostrorum obruimür, oriturque miserrima caedes. V. A. II., 411. Dona dehinc auro graviä sectoque elephanto. V. W. III., 464.

Where the naturally short final ŭs in pectoribǔs, ür in obruimŭr, ̆̆ in graviă, ht in canitt are lengthened by the Caesural pause.

Nor is this license confined to Heroic verse: we find it exercised, though more sparingly, both in Elegiacs and Lyrics. Thus, in the second line of the elegiac distich-
Quicquid agat, sanguūs est tamen illa tuus. T. I., vi., 66.
Vinceris, aut vincīs, haec in amore rota est. P. II., viii, 8.
In liquidum redü̈̈t aethera Martis equis. $O . R . A ., 6$.
In Lyrics,
Si non perirē̈t immiserabilis. ${ }^{1}$ (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. III., v., 17. Caeca timēt aliunde fata. (Alc. Dec.) H. O. II., xiii., 16. Perrupīt Acheronta Herculeus labor. (Choriamb.) II. O. I., iii., 36. Si fiğ̀t adamantinos. (Choriamb.) H. O. III., xxiv., 5. Angulus ridēt, ubi non Hymetto. (Sapphic.) H. O. II., vi., 14. Quo non dignior has subīt habenas. (Phalaecian.) S. S. IV., iii., [130.
In the last passage subit may possibly be a contraction for subiit.
The only manner in which we can account for this license is by supposing, as we hinted above, that the ancients in reciting their verses, were in the habit of dwelling upon a Caesural syllable, and thus double time being allowed for enunciating this syllable, it could be artificially lengthened, although short under ordinary circumstances.

The student may examine at his leisure the following collection of short syllables, lengthened by the Caesural pause. Those to

[^52]which an asterisk（＊）is prefixed，are lengthened in the division of the Dactylic Pentameter；those to which an obelus（ $\dagger$ ）is annexed， are doubtful examples，in consequence of various readings．

Amalthea，T．II．，v．， 67. Electra，P．II．，xiv．，5；O．F． IV．， 177.
Gela，V．Æ．III．， 702.
Hypermnestra，O．H．XIV．，1，129．
Phaedra，O．A．A．I．，511；R．A．， 743.

Rhea，O．F．IV．， 201.
Tarpeia，P．IV．，iv．， 29.
Gravia，V．A．III．， 464.
Bacche，H．S．I．，iii．， 7.
Nihil，O．E．P．III．，i．，113；M． VII．， 644.
Procul，V．Æ．VIII．， 98.
Rhodon，O．M．VII．， 365.
Inter，P．II．，xxi．， 31.
Pater，V．Æ．XI．，469；XII．， 13；V． 521.
Puer，V．E．IX．， 66.
Super，V．Æ．VI．， 254.
Amor，V．E．X．， 69 ；（压．X．，
872 ；）XI．，323；XII．， 668.
Dolor，V．Æ．XII．， 422.
Domitor，V．Æ．XII．， 550.
Labor，V．G．III．， 118.
Melior，V．G．IV．， 92.
Numitor，V．压．VI．， 768.
Pavor，V．Ж．II．， 369.
Ebur，V．A．XII．， 68.
Hyadas，O．F．III．， 105.
Pleiadas，V．G．I．， 138.
Cinis，Epic．， 163.
Fratris，＊O．H．XVII．，228．$\dagger$
Infamis，＊T．II．，iv．，38．$\dagger$
Iovis，V．G．III．， 332.
Languentis，V．Ж．XI．， 69.
Operis，＊O．H．XVII．， $256 . \dagger$
Pecoris，T．II．，i．， $58 . \dagger$
Pulvis，V．Æ．I．， 478.
Sanguis，L．IV．， 1046 ；VI．，
1200；O．M．X．，459；XII．，

127；＊T．I．，vi．，66；＊O．F． VI．，488；V．Ж．X．，487； L．P．II．，338；VII．， 636 ；X．， 127；V．F．III．，234；S．X．， 23.

Vallis，V．太．XI．， 522.
Tethys，V．G．I．， 31.
Androgeus，V．A．II．， 371.
Auctus，C．LXVI．， 11.
Casus，V．Æ．III．， 504.
Domus，V．爪．II．， 563.
Euryalus，V．Æ．V．， 337.
Fagus，V．G．II．， 71.
Fultus，V．E．VI．， 53.
Genius，T．II．，ii．，5．$\dagger$
Gravidus，V．G．II．， 5.
Invalidus，V．G．III．， 189.
Lupus，O．M．XI．，366．$\dagger$
Laurus，O．M．XV．， 634.
Manus，V．．．XII．， 232.
Myrtus，О．M．X．， 98.
Nemus，V．Ж．III．， 112.
Nullius，V．G．IV．， 453.
Pectoribus，V．Æ．IV．， 64.
Profugus，V．A．X．， 720.
Quartus，O．F．IV．， $677 . \dagger$
Taenarius，O．M．II．， 247.
Caput，V．Æ．X．， 394.
Ferar，O．M．VII．， 61.
Trahor，T．I．，x．， 13.
Alloquitur，V．Æ．IV．， 222.
Datur，V．Æ．V．， 284.
Ingreditur，V．G．，III．， 76.
Iactetur，V．Ж．I．， 668.
Obruimur，V．Æ．II．， 411.
Oratis，V．A．XI．， 111.
Scribis，H．S．II．，iii．， 1.
Vincis，＊P．II．，viii．， 8.
Fatigamus，V．Æ．IX．， 610.
Negabimus，O．M．XIV．， 250.

Aberat, V. E. I., 39.
Agit, H. S. IL., iii., 260.
Amittebat, V. A. V., 853.
Canit, V. Æ. VII., 398.
Condiderit, H. S. II., i., 82.
Dabat, V. Æ. X., 383.
Defendit, H. S. I., iv., 82.
Despexit, C. LXIV., 20.
Enituit, V. G. II., 211.
Erat, H. S. II., ii., 47 ; V. Æ. VII., 174.

Erit, V. E. III., 97 ; 凡. XII., 883.

Facit, V. E. VII., 23.
Figit, H. O. III., xxiv., 5.
Fuit, P. IV., i., 17.
Impediit, O. M. XII., 392.
Manet, H. O. I., xiii., 6.
Occubuit, O. H. IX., 141.
Perivet, H. O. III., v., 17.
Perrupit, H. O. I., iii., 36.
Peteret, V. A. I., 651.
Poteret, O. M. IX., 405.
Prosiliit, O. M. VI., 658.
Ridet, H. O. II., vi., 14.
Riguit, O. F. II., 341.
Sinit, V. A. X., 433.
Solet, O. M. III., 184.
Soleat, H. S. I., v., 90.
Stabat, V. Æ. XII., 772.
Timet, H. O. II., xiii., 16.
Tondebat, V. G. IV., 137.
Velit, H. S. II., iii, 187.
Videt, V. 乍. I., 308.
Vivat, O. T. V., vii., 23.†
Petit, O. T. I., x., 25 ; F. I., 109 ; M. V., 460.

Petiit, P. I., x., 23; O. A. III., v., 30 ; M. II., 567 ; IX., 611 ; XIII., 444; V. Æ. X., 67.

Abiit, O. H. XV., 173; F. III., 474; M. IV., 711; XI., 14; XV., 111.

Adiit, O. M. IV., 317; VIII., 870; IX., 610 ; X., 15 ; XV., 63; *E. P. I., iii., 74.
Interiit, O. M. III., 546.9
Periit, O. M., III., viii., 17; M. XIV., 618. Epic. 235; *H. XIX., 128; *T. III., xiv., 36; *IV., iii., 68; *E. P. IV., xii., 44.

Praeteriit, O. A. A. III., 63, *64; M. XIV., 101.
Rediit, O. H. VI., 31; XIII., 29 ; A. A. III., 707 ; F. III., 333; V., 515; M. XIII., 958; XIV., 519, 766 ; Ep. Sab. II., 93 ; ${ }^{\circ}$ O. R. A., 6.
Subiit, O. M. I., 114 ; VII., 170; *E. P. I., iv., 46; V. ж. VIII., 363; H. S. I., ix., 21.

Que, O. M. I., 193; III., 530; IV., 10 ; V., 484; VII., 225, 265; VIII., 526 ; X., 262; XI., 17, 36, 290; XIII., 257, 258 ; V. E. IV., 51 ; G. I., 153, 164, 352 (371); G. III., 385; G. IV., 222, 336; ... III., 91 ; IV., 146 ; VII., 186 ; IX., 767 ; XII., 89, 181, 363, 443.

The following line is sometimes quoted as an example of the Greek dative plural in si being lengthened by Caesura:-

Lemniasī gladios in mea fata dabo. O. A. A. 670.
But here we ought probably to add the $\nu \bar{\varepsilon} \phi \varepsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o \nu$, and write Lemniasin, just as we find Charisin, Dryasin, Hamadryasin, Thyniasin, in Prop. IV., i., 75 ; I., xx., 12, 32, 34.

## II. ELISION.

I. In Latin verse, when a word ends with a vowel, a diphthong, or the letter m , and the following word begins with a vowel, a diphthong, or the letter h , then the last syllable of the word so ending with a vowel, a diphthong, or the letter m , is elided, that is to say, is struck out altogether, and not considered as forming a part of the verse.

Thus, in the line,
Intremuere undae, penitusque exterrita tellus. V. WR. III., 673. the last syllable in the word intremuere, and the last syllable in penitusque, are elided, and as far as the metre is concerned, thrown out of consideration, the line being supposed to stand thus-

Intremuer' undae, penitusqu' exterrita tellus.
In like manner in the lines,
Huc sese trepida Aeneae fugientis imago. V. At. X., 656.
Consulite in medium et rebus succurrite fessis. V. A. XI., 335 .
Exercent colles atque horum asperrima pascunt. V. A. XI., 319. the $a$ in trepida, the $e$ in consulite and atque, the um in medium and horum, are all elided, and the lines must be read-

Huc sese trepid' Aeneae fugientis imago.
Consulit' in medi' et rebus succurrite fessis.
-Exercent colles atqu' hor' asperrima pascunt.
In the above examples, the vowels elided are naturally short, but long vowels also are subject to the same law, as-

Hoc fletu concuss $(i)$ animi moestusque per omnes. V. AH. IX., 498. And diphthongs, as-

Concurrunt Tyrrhen(ae) acies, atque omnibus uni. V. A. X., 691. And monosyllables-

Ne vero, ne m(e) ad tales impellite pugnas. V. XI. XI., 278.
Incipit haec, quidt $(a m)$ egregium si femina forti. V. AN. XI., 705.
Iam varias pelagi volucres et qu(ae) Asia circum. V. G. I., 383.
II. In addition to the elisions mentioned above, the earlier Latin poets were in the habit of frequently eliding the letter $s$, in words
ending in $\breve{\imath}$ s and $\check{u} s$, when followed by a word beginning with a consonant, and thus permitting the vowel, which would otherwise have been long by position, to remain short; thus-

Te nunc sancta precor Venus, et genetrix patrǐ(s) nostri. Enn. [Ann. I., frag. 9.
Vērsĭbŭ(s) quos olim Fauni Vatesque canebant. Enn. Ann. VII.,
[frag. 221.
Ut quasi transactis saepe omnйbǔ(s) rebŭ(s) profundant. L. IV., [1032.
At fixus nostris tu dăbǔ(s) supplicium. C. CXVI., 8.
III. We may notice here a peculiar species of elision or abbreviation, not unfrequently employed in short quick questions, by which the vowel is dropped in the interrogative particle ne, before a consonant, thus-

Ten' provincia narrat esse bellam? (Phalaecian.) C. XLIII., 6 . Vidistin' toto sonitus procurrere coelo ? P. II., xvi., 49.
Hectoris Andromache Pyrrhin' connubia servas? V. E. III., 319.
Men' moveat cimex Pantilius? aut cruciet quod. H. S. I., x., 78.
So we find tanton' me, V. Æ. X., 668 ; tanton' placuit, XII., 503 ; mortalin' decuit, XII., 797 ; talin' possum, XII., 874 ; tun' sanus, H. S. II., iii., 128 ; men' vivo, 152 ; ten' lapides, iv., 83 ; tun' fletus, O. T. V., i., 56 ; but some read here simply $t u$.

We have already remarked under the rules for final N , the form vidĕn 'ut, where $s$ is dropped before $n$; both this and the last mentioned abbreviation are combined in the colloquial phrases, viden' faces, vin' tu for videsne, visne.

Nostra verba. Viden'? faces. C. LXI., 98.
Tempore dicam; hodie tricesima sabbata, vin' tu. H. S. I., ix., 69.
These expressions, as might be expected, are very common in the comic writers.

## Exceptions.

a. Interjections and exclamations, such as, ah, herr, $O$, ai ai, $i o{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ \&c., are not subject to the law of elision.
Ah ego ne possim tanta videre mala. T. III., iv., 82.
Heu ubi mollities pectoris illa tui. O. A. III., viii., 18.

[^53]0 utinam tunc cum Lacedaemona classe petebat. O. H. I., 5. Flumina amem sylvasque inglorius. $O$ ubi campi. V. G. II., 486. O pater, 0 hominum divomque aeterna potestas. V. A. X., 18. Ipse suos gemitus foliis inscribit, et ai ai. O. M. X., 215. Et bis, io Arethusa, io A rethusa, vocavit. O. M. V., 625.
b. Elision is sometimes, though rarely, neglected in the case of a long vowel or diphthong.
Et succus pecorī, et lac subducitur agnis. V. E. III., 6.
Stant et iuniperī et castaneae hirsutae. V. E. VII., 53.
Ossibus et capitī inhumato. (Dactyl. Tetram.) H. O. I., xxviii., 24.
This happens most frequently in the case of proper names-
Amphion Dircaeus in Actaeō Aracyntho. V. E. II., 24.
Et celer Ismenos cum Phocaicō Erymantho. O. M. II., 244.
Iam Daedaleō ocior Icaro. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. II., xx., 13. [Bentley reads tutior for ocior.]
Et Esquilināē alites. (Iambic Dimeter.) H. E. V., 100.
But observe, that where elision is neglected, the long vowel or diphthong is usually a Caesural syllable, and retains its proper quantity, as in the above examples; or if not a Caesural syllable, it is made short before the succeeding vowel, as-

Un(0) in lectulŏ, eruditul(i) ambo. (Phalaecian.) C. LVII., 7.
Credimus? an quй amant ipsi sibi somnia fingunt. V.E.VIII., 108.
Nomen et arma locum servant, tĕ amice nequivi V. RE. VI., 507.
Et longum formose valē ralĕ inquit, Iola. V. E., III., 79.
Insulăe Ioni(o) in magno quas dira Celaeno. V. XE. III., 211.
Implerunt montes, flerunt Rhodopeï̆е arces. V. G. IV., 461.
Even the interjection $O$ is shortened in this way when not the first syllable of a foot-

Te Corydon `O Alexi, trahit sua quemque voluptas. V. E. II., 65.
The only exception in Virgil to this remark is in the line,
Glaucō et Panopeae et Inoo Melicertae. V. G. I., 437.
where the $o$ in Glauco is not elided, and is allowed to remain long, though not in Caesura; but this is a line, in all probability, transplanted without change from some of the Greek poets, who are much less strict in these matiers than the Latins.
c. Very rarely a short vowel is left unelided. This happens twice only in Virgil, and in both cases there is a long pause in the sense after the word ending with the short vowel, so that in repeating the line the effect would not be disagreeable-
Addam cerea prună: honos erit huic quoque pomo. V. E. II., 53. Et vera incessu patuit Deă, Ille ubi matrem. ${ }^{1}$ V. LE. I., 405.
$d$. The elision of $m$ is in like manner sometimes neglected, especially by the older poets, and in this case the syllable ending in $m$, when not Caesural, is short-
Nam quod flüvidüm est, e levibus atque rotundis. L. II., 466. Sed dŭm abest quod avemus, id exsuperare videtur. L. III., 1095. O me felicēm! O nox mihi candida! et 0 tu. P. II., xv., 1 .

But there is no example of this in Virgil, Horace, or Ovid, except, perhaps,
Quam laudas pluma ? cocto nŭm adest honor idem. H. S. II., ii., 28. which is probably the true reading, although many editors prefer
${ }^{1}$ So in
Iam virum expertae, malĕ ominatis. H. O. III., xiv., 11.
This is the received reading; but half of the MSS. have nominatis, and there is also much difficulty with regard to the first part of the line. The probability seems to be that there is some error lurking in the text. We find also,

0 factum malě! $O$ miselle passer. (Phalaecian.) C. III., 16.
where there is a pause in the sense to give force to the exclamation; there is, however, much confusion in the MSS., many of them, according to Bentley (Hor. Od. III., xiv., 11), have Bonum factum male bonus ille passer, out of which different editors have moulded different readings, according to their fancy. I may remark, that several examples of the license here spoken of are to be found in the older editions of the Latin poets; but most of them have been corrected upon a careful examination and collation of MSS. Thus Jahn, on Hor. Od. III., xiv., quotes-

Certa loquor, sed nulla fides, nequé Ilia quondam. P. III., xiii., 61.
At tu, Catulle, obstinatus obdura. (Scazon.) C. VIII., 19.
But these passages now appear in the best editions-
Certa loquor, sed nulla fides, neque enim Ilia quondam.
and
At tu, Catulle destinatus obdura.

Quam laudas pluma? coctove num adest honor idem.
Vossius quotes,
In manibusque Iovēm et cum Iove fulmen habebam. O. A. II., i., 15 .
But the reading received is,
In manibus nimbos, et cum Iove fulmen habenti.
Another instance is sometimes given from
Haec eadēm ant(e) ill(am) impun(e) et Lesbia fecit P. II., [xxxii., 45.
Which is probably erroneous, since three MSS. have
Haec eadem ante illam iam impune et Lesbia fecit.
The omission of elision is technically termed an Hiatus.

## III. ON THE QUANTITY OF THE LAST SYLLABLE IN THE VERSE.

The last syllable of every verse is common; that is to say, its quantity is independent of the laws by which the verse is regulated, and may be long or short in each case at the discretion of the poet.
Thus the laws of Dactylic Hexameters require that the two last syllables should be long; but in the three following consecutive lines,
Posthabita coluisse Samo. Hic illius arma, Hic currus fuit: hoc regnum dea gentibus esse Si-qua fata sinant, iam tum tenditque fovetque. V. AE. I., 16. we perceive that each line ends with a syllable naturally short, but which is considered long in virtue of its situation.

Similarly, the laws of Sapphic verse require that each line should end with a long syllable, followed by a short one, yet in

Unico gaudens mulier marito Prodeat iustis operata Divis Et soror clari ducis et decorae. H. O. III., xiv., 5.
each line is terminated by a long syllable, which is here considered short.

Nor is a vowel, a diphthong, nor a syllable ending in $m$, at the termination of a line elided before a vowel at the beginning of the next; thus, in the first of the above Hexameters, the $a$ in arma is not influenced by the circumstance that the next line begins with hic, and this is the general rule. Sometimes, howéver, two consecutive lines are connected in scansion, in which case the connection is termed-

## IV. SYNAPHEIA.

Tactemur, doceas. Ignari hominumque locorumq(ue)
Erramus, vento huc et vastis fluctibus acti. V. F.. I., 332.
here the que at the end of the first line is elided before erramus, and does not form a part of the verse. In like manner in

Aut dulcis musti Vulcano decoquit 7umor(em)
Et foliis undam tepidi despumat aheni. G. I., 295.
the last syllable of humorem is elided before et at the beginning of the following line. ${ }^{1}$

So also in lyrical compositions,
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Cur facunda parum decor }(0) \\ \text { Inter verba cadit lingua silentio. }\end{array}\right.$

Choriambic.
H. O. IV., i., 35 .
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Dissidens plebi numero beator (um })\end{array}\right.$
\{ Eximit virtus, populumque falsis.

H. 0. IV. ii., 22.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sors exitura et nos in cetern(um) } \\ \text { Exsilium impositura cymbae. }\end{array}\right.$
\}Alcaic.
H. O. II., iii., 27.

Another species of Synapheia consists in dividing a word between two consecutive lines; this may be done without violence when the two members of a compound are separated, as -
\{ Litibus implicitum, mirabor si sciet inter-
$\{$ Noscere mendacem verumque beatus amicum. H. A. P. 424.
$\{$ Potet acetum: age, si et stramentis incubet unde-
\{ Octoginta annos natus, \&c. H. S. II., iii., 117.
See also I. S. I., ii., 62 (iv., 96 ), ix., 51 ; II., iii., 179. Ep. II., ii., 188. A. P. 290.

The division is more harsh in the following examples:-
Gallicum Rhenum, horribilesque ult- $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { imosque Britannos. }\end{array}\right\}$ Sapphic.
C. XI., 11.

Out of twenty-one instances of Synapheia which occur in Virgil, in seventeen que is the word affected; in three others the words are horrid (a), G. II., $69, \operatorname{Salfur}(a)$, G. IIL., 449, and Latinor (um), 'E.E VII., 160, the remaining one is quoted above.

> Labitur ripa, Iove non $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { probante } u x \text { - } \\ \text { orius amnis. }\end{array}\right\}$ Sapphic.
> H. O. I., ii., 19.

In all the above mentioned cases, Synapheia must be regarded as a license seldom resorted to by good writers. In two kinds of verse, however, Synapheia is imperative, that is to say, the lines are scanned continuously without a break, until we reach a full stop. The last syllable in each is not common, nor can a hiatus be admitted between the end of one line and the beginning of the next. These two kinds of verse are the Anapaestic Dimeter and the Ionic a minore. Of the first we have no specimen extant in any good Latin writer, since it appears only in Seneca, Ausonius, and the later poets. Of the latter, Horace affords an excellent example, which will be noticed in the proper place. The rule of Synapheia is carefully observed by the Greek tragedians in their Anapaestic systems, and, as far as our authorities go, by the Latins also. Bentley was the discoverer of this law, and the student will find the matter fully discussed in the Dissertation on the Epistles of Phalaris, cap. iii.

## v. POETICAL LICENSES.

The Latin poets, when composing particular kinds of verse, frequently encountered, in ordinary and necessary words, combinations of syllables which could not find admission into the measure which they had selected. Hence they took certain liberties with the pronunciation of these refractory syllables, and altered it in such a way as to adapt them to their purpose. Occasionally, but more rarely, words which might have been introduced without change, were subjected to a similar process, to suit the convenience of the author. Obsolete forms, also, were revived, for the sake of avoiding a difficulty, or simply as ornaments of style.

Hence arose what may with propriety be termed Poetical Licenses. Of these there are several varieties, and a multitude of learned names have been invented by grammarians to distinguish them from each other. We have Synaeresis, Synecphonesis, Synezesis, Episynaloepha, Syncope, Diaeresis, Systole, Diastole, Apocope, Epenthesis, Paragoge, Tmesis, and a host of others. There would be no great harm in these expressions, if it was always distinctly explained that they are merely the names of classified facts, provided the classification was formed upon just principles. But from the loose manner in which writers upon these subjects frequently express themselves, the inexperienced student is apt to suppose that they are cabalistic formulæ, by means of which the ancient writers could conjure letters and syllables in and out of their proper places at
pleasure. He is led to think that they are not merely names, but explanations of difficulties, and is frequently contented to conceal his ignorance under the cloak of a hard word. Thus, perhaps, when reading Virgil, he finds a syllable made long, which, according to his rules, or to ordinary practice, ought to be short. He turns to his metrical key, and is informed that in the passage in question the syllable is made long by Diastole; with this account of the matter, he probably rests satisfied. But if he takes the trouble to inquire what Diastole means, he will find that " Diastole is the lengthening of a syllable naturally short." To tell him, therefore, that the syllable is made long by Diastole, is to say that it is long because it is long, or rather, because it is naturally short!

But more than this, very many of these terms are objectionable, because, even when their import is correctly understood, they convey false notions of etymology and grammar ; they are in several instances not the names of facts; no such thing is to be found as an example of Prosthesis, or Epenthesis, or Paragoge, or Tmesis, in the sense in which they are commonly used; and by learning such phrases, the young scholar at once wastes his time, burdens his memory, and is led astray from the true path.

In what follows we shall endeavour to put these matters in a right point of view ; to discuss the different remarkable forms which present themselves in the classical poets; to account for their origin; to arrange them under their proper heads; and to define their limits. We shall conclude by enumerating the grammatical terms alluded to above, explaining their significations, and pointing out those cases where they inculcate false views.

It was observed that certain combinations of syllables cannot be admitted into particular kinds of verse. Dactylic verse chiefly demands our attention, because a very large proportion of the whole of the extant works of the Roman poets is written in this measure; but in the course of our remarks, we shall not omit to notice the poetical licenses employed in lyrical strains.

In Dactylic verse, then, no word is admissible which contains a short syllable between two long ones; as in vīncǔlīs, $\overline{a u} r e ̌ \imath ̄ s, ~ \bar{a} l v e ̄ a ̄ r i a, ~$
 the verse without an elision of the final long vowel, which is often inconvenient or dissonant.

In the same way, no word, the first or middle part of which consists of three or more consecutive short syllables, can find a place in Dactylic verse ; such as-ŭriěte, păř̌ĕťbus, tĕnŭŭa, Prĭămŭdes, sēmühŏmünes.

Hence, towards words of this kind the poets are obliged to use a little violence, in order that they may mould them to their purpose,

This is usually done by throwing two syllables together and pronouncing them as one. We shall proceed to notice the most important cases included in this, the first and most extensive class of poetical licenses.

## Class I.

When two vowels, which properly form separate syllables, are thrown together into one.
a. $\overline{e a}$. aurēa, cerē, alvēaria, respondēamus; anteācti, antēacta, antēectum, antēacto, antēactos, antēactis, antēambulo, antehac, \&c.
b. $\bar{e}$. aerē, aranē, baltē, ferrē, Pomp $\bar{e}$, aurēs; antērre, antērrent; antērs, (antēet), ${ }^{1}$ \&c.
c. $\overline{e o}$. alv $\overline{e O}$, aurē, laqu $\bar{e}$.
d. $\bar{\sim} \cdot \overline{\text {. }}$ vindemūator, Form $\bar{a} n o{ }^{2}$
e. $\bar{\imath}$. connub̄̄$s$, denarīs, Paeon $\bar{\imath} s, T$ Ten $\bar{\imath} s$.
f. $\overline{\imath 0}$. connub $\bar{\imath}$, Idomen $\bar{\imath} s$.
g. $\overline{u x}$. In feriuss, promontor $\overline{u \quad} m$, Ant $\overline{u \quad} m$; omn $\bar{u} m$, and other genitives in ium; as-mens $\bar{u} m$, caelestг̄иm, lacrymant $\bar{u} m$, ruent $\bar{u} m$, \&c.
h. $\bar{o}$. cō̄tuerint, cōperiant, cōperuisse.

We may, if we please, suppose that an actual elision takes place in such compound words as antēacta, antēambulo, antēhac, antēre, and that they were pronounced, when necessary, ant'acta, ant ambulo, ant'hac, ant'ire, \&c.
k. In many words compounded with semi followed by a vowel, such as semianimus, semianimis, semiadapertus, semiermis, semihians, semihomo, semiustus, semiambustus, it is necessary, in Dactylic verse, to perform an elision of the same kind, and to pronounce them sem'animus, sem'animis, sem'adapertus, sem'ermis, sem'hians, sem'homo, sem'ustus, sem'ambustus, \&c. ${ }^{3}$

[^54]Genitives in ium are frequently written without inserting the $i$, as-parentüm, serpentüm mensum, \&c.; the poets, however, use the open form also when it can be conveniently introduced, as-parentium, serpentium, \&c. ; nor must it be supposed that the contraction is purely a poetical license, since it is found in the best MSS. of prose authors.

The above mentioned changes are all introduced, either from necessity, or to avoid harsh elisions; but similar liberties are sometimes talen when there is no such plea to justify them.

亿. Words which contain 7 between two vowels, occasionally drop the $h$ and contract the two vowels into one syllable: thus we have vĕhĕmens, ${ }^{1}$ vĕhĕmenti, věhěmenter, vĕhĕmentius, and also, vēmens, vēmenti, vèmenter, so prehensi and prensi, ${ }^{2}$ dĕhinc and d̄̄̄nc, ň̆hŭlum and nīlum, mŭhi and mī, prohibeat, pronounced prōbeat, \&c.
m. More violent than these are, ostrēe, $\overline{e a d e m, ~ \overline{e o d e m, ~} \overline{\text { caedem, }} \overline{\text { eos }} d e m \text {, }}$
 patr $\bar{u}$, flī$t$ tant, pronounced as dissyllables; precant $\bar{u}, \bar{a} \bar{z} z y g e s$, dṻllica, prāeoptarit, as trisyllables; prōut, a monosyllable; all of which might be, and most of them often are, employed without being contracted.
n. Again, some words are often erroneously classed with the above, which in the best writers uniformly appear under a contracted form. Thus, in those tenses of the verb desum, where a double $e$ occurs, the two vowels are always, or almost always, blended into one syllable ; thus, dteesse, dēest, dēerat, d्̄ēro, dēerit, dēerunt, dēessem, ${ }^{3}$ to which we may add a similar combination, dēerarunt, dēeraverat.
o. So also dē̄̀nde, dē̄nceps, prōnde, $\bar{\imath} d e m, \bar{u} \bar{s} d e m$, are always dis-
${ }^{1}$ e. $g$., věhěmens, L. VI., 516 ; vēnens, C. L. 21 ; H. E. II., ii., 120.
věhĕmenti, L. VI., 310; vēmenti, L. III., 153.
věhĕmenter, L. VI., 516 ; vēmenter, If., 1023 ; IV., 821.
${ }^{2}$ But in many parts of the compounds, as-comprendere, comprenderit, deprensis, the contracted form alone is admissible in Dantylis verse.
${ }^{3}$ These words, however frequently occur in passages where it is not necessary to suppose a contraction, e. g., О. HI X., 37 ; XV., 111. M. III., 268; X., 88. V. G. II., 233, \&c. In some edd. of Statius we find,

Harmoniem, nullisque déest sua fabnla mensis. S. T. VIII., 236.
But some MSS. omit the que, others give quin deest, others deerat, \&c.
syllables in the best authors; dein, quoad, $i i, i i s$, Dii, Diis, ${ }^{1}$ cui, huic, are always monosyllables. In these cases, therefore, we may reasonably conclude, that the contraction expressed the ordinary pronunciation of the word, and was not the result of any poetical license.-See Remarks.

## Examples.

a. Auriă composuit sponda, mediamque locavit. V. AI. I., 698. Abdiderint furtim terris, et imagine cerea. H. S. I., viii., 43.
Seu lento fuerint alvē̈ria vimine texta. V.G. IV., 34.
Quid respondē̃mus nisi iustam intendere litem. L. III., 693.
Cum memor antēactos semper dolor admonet annos. T. IV., [i., 189.
Dixit et antēactis veluti male crederet, hastam. O. M. XII., 115. Anteámbulones et togatulos inter. (Scazon.) M. X., lxxiv., 3. Antehac nefas depromere Caecubum. (Alc. Hendec.) H.O. I.,
b. Centum aerē̄ claudunt vectes aeternaque ferri. V. WI. VII., 609. Nec nebulam noctu, neque aranē tenuia fila. L. III., 384.
Exanimem, rapiens immania pondera baltê. V. A. X., 496.
Fervēque Eumenidum thalami et discordia demens. V. EL. VI., [280.
Pompḕ meorum prime sodalium. H. O. II., vii., 5.
Atria, dependent lychni laquearibus aurē̄s. V. AE. I., 726.
Qui candore nives antēirent cursibus auras. V. A. XII., 84.
Testa diu; quod si cessas aut strenuus antē̃s. H. E. I., ii., 70.
c. Deturbat, laxatque foros, simul accipit alvē. V. AE. VI., 412.

Vulcanum alloquitur, thalamoque haec coniugis aurē. V. A. [VIII., 372.
${ }^{1}$ Dii and Diis occur very often, and are always monosyllables. When the poets wish to have a dissyllable, they write Dei, Deis, which are often confounded in the MSS. with the former, e. g.,

$$
\text { Neque ulla vota litoralibus Deis. C. IV., } 22 .
$$

Where some MSS. and many printed copies give Diis. Dei, Deis do not occur is Virgil nor in Horace.

Pavidumque leporem et advenam laqū̄̄ gruem. (Iamb. Trim.) [H. E. II., 35.
d. Vindemūator, et invictus, cui saepe viator. H. S. I., vii., 30 . Formīano saltu non falso Mentula dives. C. CXIV., 1.
 Denarī̄s tibi quinque Martialem. (Phalaecian.) M. I., cxviii, 17. Paeon̄̄̄s ${ }^{1}$ revocatum herbis et amore Dianae. V. Ж. VII., 769. Puniceis ibant evincti tempora taenī̄s. V. LX. V., 269.
f. Connub̄̄̄ iungam stabili propriamque dicabo. V. E. I., 73. Idomenīosne petam montes? at gurgite in alto. C. LXIV., 178.
g. Priusque coelum sidet inferūs mari. (Iamb. Trim.) H. E. [V., 79.
Inde legit Capreas promontoriumque ${ }^{2}$ Minervae. O. M.XV.,709. Et tellus Circaea et spissi litoris Antūum. ${ }^{3}$ O. M. XV., 718.
 Cum tua sint cedantque tibi confinia mensium. O. F. V., 187.
Coelestūm matrem concava puppis habet. O.F. IV., 276.
Exclusi, ante oculos lacrymantūmque ora parentum. V. AX. [XI., 886.
h. Cōoperiant maria ac terras immensa superne. L. VI., 491.

Per terras amneis atque oppida c(̄operuisse. L. V., 343.
Tandem cooluerint ${ }^{5}$ ea quae coniecta repente. L. II., 1060.
k. Semianimesque micant digiti ferrumque retractant. V. A. X., 396. Languida semianimo cum corpore membra videres. L. VI., 1267. Obliquum capiat semiadaperta latus. O. A. I., vi., 4.
Semiermemque manum sternendam obiecerat hosti. S. XII., 467. Semihiante labello. (Glyconian.) C. LXI., 220.

[^55]Semihominis Caci facies quam dira tenebat. V. At. VIII., 194. Haec inter Lapithas et semihomines Centauros. O. M. XII., 536. Fama est Enceladi semiustum fulmine corpus. V. DE. III., 578. Semiambusta iacet nullo discrimine passim. S. II., 681.
Z. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Transit equum cursu, frenisque adversa prěhensis. V. AH. XI., } \\ {[719 .}\end{array}\right.$ (Ingentes tollent animos prensique negabunt. V. G. III., 207. $\{$ Cerrici subnecte dehinc ubi libera colla. V. G. III., 167. \{ Eurum ad se Zephyrumque vocat, dēhinc talia fatur. V. 庆. [I., 131. Nam sive est aliquid quod prohibeat efficiatque. L. I., 976.
m. Sudando, pinguem vitiis albumque neque ostrea. IH. S. II., ii., 21.
 Hoc $\bar{e} d e m$ ferro stillet uterque cruor. P. II., viii., 26. Uvescunt, $\overline{e a e} d e m$ dispansae in sole liquescunt. L. I., 307. Eosdem habuit secum quibus est elata capillos.

Eosdem oculos; lateri vestis adusta fuit. P. IV., vii., 7.
Quod sitis exurat miseros atque arida torrēat. L. III., 930.
Pondera quantum in se est $d \bar{e} r s u m$ deducere pugnent. L. II., 205. At neque sērsum oculei, neque nareis, nec manus ipsa. L. III., [631.
Weorsus item sapor oris habet vim, sērsus odores. L. IV., 495. Bis patriae cecidere manus; quin protinus omn̄̄̄. V. A. VI., 33. $\{$ Nec supera caput eiusdem cecidisse vūetam. L. III., 386. $\left\{\right.$ Qui sudor vretis, ${ }^{1}$ et quam malus undique membris. $H$. $E$. [XII., 7.
Et qui nunc nulli, maxima turba Gab̄̄$;=$ Et. P. IV., i., 34.
Denique coelesti sumus omnes semine orvūndi. L. II., 991.
Nocturnique canum gemitus, ubi lumina patr $\bar{u}=$ Effugit. S. T. [IV., 429.
Per malos volgata trabeisque trementia fü̈ttant. ${ }^{2}$ L. IV., 75. Praeferimus manibus vittas et verba precantia. $=$ Et. V. AX. [VII., 237.

[^56]Iazyges et Colchi, Metereaque turba Getaeque. ${ }^{1}$ O. T. II., 191.
Lanigerae pecudes, et equorum d亠uellica proles. L. II., 661.
Omnibus his Thesei dulcem prāeoptarit amorem. C. LXIV., 120. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pasco libatis dapibus. Prōut cuique libido est. H. S. II., vi., } \\ {[67 .}\end{array}\right.$ (Sed tamen adspiceres vellem prŏŭt ipse rogabas. O. II. XXI., [227.
n. Talibus in rebus communi dēesse saluti. L. I., 44.

Dēest iam terra fugae: pelagus Troiamne petemus. V. S. X., 378.
Divitis uber agri Troiaeve opulentia deêrit. V. W. VII., 262.
Dēerarunt passim motus ab sensibus omneis. L. III., 873.
Vir gregis ipse caper dēeraverat, atque ego Daphnin. V. E. [VII., 7.
o. Dēnde satis fluvium inducit rivosque sequentes. V. G. I., 106.

Redde age quae dēnceps risisti, Vibidius dum. H.S. II., viii., 80. Prō̃nde tona eloquio solitum tibi meque timoris. V. AE. XI., 383.
Iidem eadem possunt horam durare probantes. H. E. I., i., 82.
Non ut porticibus, sic iudiciis fruar $\bar{\imath} s d e m$. H. E. I., i., 71. Dēn mille altera, dē̃n secunda centum. (Phalaecian.) C. V., 8. Unguibus et pugnis dḕn fustibus, atque ita porro. ${ }^{2}$ H. S. I., iii., [101
$Q \overline{L_{0}} d$ licet ac possis reperire, inolentis olivi. ${ }^{3}$ L. II., 850.
Haeredes voluit. $Q \bar{u} \overline{o a} d$ vixit credidit ingens. II. S. II., iii., 91.

## Remarks.

It is believed, that what has been said with regard to dein, deinde, deinceps, proinde, cui, huic, will be found to be correct, notwithstanding the vague assertions to the contrary in ordinary works on prosody.

In addition to the example of dein given above, the student may refer to C. V., 9, 10; P. III., x., 15; IV., viii., 83; H. S. I., iii., 101. But in Paulinus Nolanus, and writers of that age and stamp, it is found as a dissyllable with the first short.

[^57]Deinde is found upwards of thirty times in Virgil alone, and always a dissyllable, but in such writers as Prudentius and Sidonius, Eva columba fuit tunc candida, nigra dë̈nde. Prud. Dip. I., 1. Nec qui consimili dëinde casu. (Phalaecian.) Sid. Ap. IX., 272.

Proin occurs but seldom except in the comic writers; we have, indeed,
Prơin, viàor, hunc deum vereberis. (Iamb. Trim.) ${ }^{2}$ C. XX., 16. The reading proin, is, however, disputed, and it must be remembered, that the above line occurs in a poem which is inserted among the works of Catullus, but of which the authenticity is very doubtful.

Proinde is used twice by Virgil, and in a multitude of passages by Lucretius, who, in common with all good writers, make it a dissyllable.

With regard to huic and cui, the facts are these:-In all the purer Latin poets (the dramatists are of course excluded here as elsewhere), a multitude of passages occur in which huic and cui must be scanned as monosyllables, and in no case is it necessary to consider them as dissyllables. Hence, the legitimate inference seems to be that which we have drawn. As far as the writers of the Augustan age are concerned, there is no controversy; but when we descend a little lower, we find quoted,
Laetus hư̌ic dono videas dare tura nepotes. S. S. I., i., 107.
Falsus hüic pennas et cornua sumeret aethrae. S. S. I., ii., 135.
But a number of MSS. give laetius in the former, and falsas in the latter, and in some of the best editions, the lines stand,

> Huic laetus...
> Huic falsus...

In Ausonius, however, we have an unquestionable example-
Nomen hüūc ioculare datum, cute fusca quod olim. A. Par. V., 3. Four examples of cŭ̆ are adduced from

Sed norunt cui serviant leones. ${ }^{2}$ M. I., cv., 22.
Drusorum cui contigere barbae. M. VIII., lii., 3 .
Collatus cui Gallus est Priapus. M. XI., lxxii., 2.
Et credit cui Portumila dives. M. XII., xlix., 3 .

[^58]But these by no means decide the question, even with regard to the age of Martial.

It will be observed, and the coincidence is remarkable, that in each of these lines, cui forms the latter half of the second foot of a Phalaecian Hendecasyllabic; but Catullus in one of his pieces (LV.) written in this measure, introduces a Spondee several times in this place; it is not, therefore, more violent to suppose that Martial imitated this in the above lines, than to decide that he departed from the practice of his predecessors and contemporaries in making cui a dissyllable. Unquestionable examples of cuür are to be found in Ausonius, who sometimes makes both syllables short, and sometimes the first short and the second long, e. g.,

Fabulae fingunt cŭ̆ Luna somnos. (Sapphic.) A. Ephem. 15.
Cĩ̄̆que vigiles luminum. (Iamb. Dim. Acat.) A. Epp. XV., 59.
But let us see how Prudentius deals with the word :-
Sanguine pasta cui cedit avis. (Dactyl. Trim. Hypercat.) Prud. [Cath. 1II., 167.
Where cui is a short monosyllable.
Assignare deos proprios sua cü̆que iura. Prud. Ham. 105.
Where $c \bar{u} \check{\imath}$ is a trochee.
Puer O cü̃ trinam pater. (Iamb. Dim.) Prud. Cath. XII., 67.
Where $c u \bar{u}$ is an iambus.
And yet we sometimes find Prudentius gravely quoted as an authority in Latin Prosody!

## Class II.

$I$ is sometimes considered as a consonant, and in these cases had, it is probable, the sound of the English $y$ in young, yes, \&c. - See Preliminary Remarks and Appendix on the Latin Alphabet. ${ }^{1}$

 the first syllable being considered long by position.
b. Upon the same principle, although without the plea of absolute necessity, we find flưvororum in a passage in Virgil, where it must be pronounced flüvyōrum, and Nasidieni in Horace, as Nasìdyeni; abyegni, abyegnae, in Propertius, de.

[^59]c. So also we find the following combinations:-

Paeōnium in, Stellio et, Prinč̌pium huc, Consĭlium et ; in lines where they must be enunciated, Paeony'in, Stelly'et, Princīpy'huc, Consīly'et.

## Examples.

a. Aedificant sectaque intexunt abiete costas. V. $X$. ${ }_{\text {,II., }} 16$. Abietibus iuvenes patriis et montibus aequos. V. A. IX., 674. Custodes sufferre valent. Labat ariete crebro. V. AT. II., 492. Arietat in portas et duros obiice postes. ${ }^{1}$ V. AI. XI., 890. Haerent parietibus scalae postesque sub ipsos. V. A. II., 442.
b. Fluviorum rex Eridanus camposque per omnes. V. G. I., 482. Paeōnium in morem senior succinctus amictu. V. W. XII., 401. Stellio et lucifugis congesta cubilia blattis. V. G. IV., 243.
Vos lene consilium et datis et dato. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. III.,
[iv., 41.
Hinc omne principium huc refer exitum. (Alc. Hendec.) H. О. [III., vi., 6.
$\{$ Aut vigila aut dormi, Nāš̆dйene, tibi. M. VII., liv., 8.
$\left\{\right.$ Ut Nasidieni iuvit te coena beati. ${ }^{2}$ H. S. II., viii., 1.
(Nam quis equo pulsas ăbhegno nosceret arces. P. III., i., 25.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Induit abiegnae cornua falsa bovis. P. III., xix., } 12 . \\ \text { Láeserat ábrêdnit venter apértus equi. P. IV., i., } 42 .\end{array}\right.$
Láeserat|ábrêgnif vènter apértus equi. P. IV., i., 42.

## Class III.

In the same manner the poets took advantage of the double power of $\mathrm{V},{ }^{3}$ and made it a consonant in words where such a change was necessary or convenient.

 \&c. ; genva, currvum, fortvitus, pitvita, \&c.
${ }^{1}$ But what are we to make of the following line?
Apparet, aut celsum crebris arietibus urbis. S. T. II., 492.
which seems to be the reading of all the MSS. Nor is the matter mended by introducing arietatibus, as Gronovius and others propose; for if the $i$ be pronounced like a $y_{0}$ then the first syllable is necessarily long by position.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ See also H. S. II., viii., 75, 84. L. IX., 790, has Nāšidưum.
${ }^{3}$ See Preliminary Remarks and Appendix on Roman Alphabet.
b. By combining the processes described in this and the preceding class, tënư̌ōre is made into tēnv-yöre.

## Examples.

a. Velleraque ut foliis depectant tenuia Seres. V. G. II., 121.

Qua neque mobilius quidquam neque tenuius exstat. L. III., 244. Tenuis ubi argilla et dumosis calculus arvis. V. G. II., 180.
Genua labant, vastos quatit aeger anhelitus artus. V. AE. V., 432 Per campos pascuntur equi; quae gratia curruum. V. CE. VI., 653. $\{$ Nec fortṻtum spernere cespitem. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O.II.,xv., 17.
$\left\{\right.$ Non quasi fortuitus sed ventorum rabie sed. ${ }^{1}$ I. S. XIII., 225. $\{$ Mucusque et mala pittư̌uta nasi. (Phalaecian.) C. XXIII., 17. \{ Praecipue sanus nisi quum pituita molesta est. H. E.I.,i., 108.
b. Sperne coli tenuiore lyra, vaǵa cingitur astris. S. S. I., iv., 36.

Ortus et instantem cornu tenuiore videbat. ${ }^{3}$ S. T. XII., 2.

## Class IV.

In the above classes, the syllables in question consist of two vowels following each other, and the pronunciation alone of the word, and not the form, is affected. In that which we are about to notice, a vowel is thrown out of the word altogether. To this class belong such words as äspèrīs, äspërō, călüdūor, cīrcǔlōs, gubernā-



 cŭlum, vincülo, vïncülŭ, vincư̆l̄̆s, vīncư̆̄̄rum, \&c., which are changed into aspro, aspris, caldior, circlos, gubernaclum, \&c., lamna, lardo, maniplus, \&c., oraclum, \&c., perichum, \&c., puertiae, saeclum, \&c., spectaclum, spiclorum, unversum, valdius, vinclum, \&c.

It ought to be observed, that some of the above nouns are inadmissible into Dactylic verse in their proper shape, as mănŭpǔlus, which could never find a place; while others, although unserviceable in some cases, as perīcülū, vīncüliss, yet might be used in others, as in periculum, vinculum, with elision; in pericula, vinculd, without elision. But the change being once introduced, was ex-

[^60]tended to all the cases, and the poets make use of pericla, vincla, \&c., as freely as of pericula, vincula, \&c.

Nor do nouns alone undergo this change, but several verbs also, with their participles, are modified in a similar manner; thuscŭlĕfucit, căl̆̆făcienda, incălĕfŭcit, rěcălĕfăcit, rěcălĕfăce, become calfacit, calfacienda, incalfacit, recalfacit, recalface, \&c.; and the change being once introduced, we find calefecit made calfecit, although not absolutely necessary; in like manner rĕpŏsǐtus, répŏsĭtor, become repostus, repostor, and the same takes place without necessity in pono and many of its compounds, as-posto, posta, compostus, disposta, exposta, imposta, opposta, praeposta, supposta, \&c.; so also copulata becomes coplata; pōrrŏgēns, pṑrrăḡ̄, pōrrŭgı̆té, become porgens, porgi, porgite; per-rego becomes pergo; surrigo becomes surgo; surrip surpuit, surpite, surpere, \&c.

All of these forms are not, however, by any means peculiar to the poets; vincla, for example, is found in Cicero (Ep. Fam. XVI., 18.) Quintilian (I., c., 6) says, that calfacit was more common in his time than calefacit; from the contracted periclum, we have the verb periclitor, and so on.

## Examples.

Improvisum aspris veluti qui sentibus anguem. V. AL. II., 379. Caldior est? acres inter numeratur. Opinor. H. S. I., iii., 53. Ac primum laxos tenui de vimine circlos. V. G. III., 166.
Pertica suspensos portabat longa maniplos
Unde maniplaris nomina miles habet. O.F. III., 117.
Oraclum Iovis inter aestuosi. (Phalaecian.) C. VII., 5.
Vota metu duplicant matres propiusque periclis. V. AK. VIII., 556.
Huc illuc vinclorum immensa volumina versat. V. A. V., 408.
Aut humilem grato calfacit igne focum. O.F. IV., 698.

Fac timeat de te timidamque recalface mentem. O. A. A. II., 445.
Templorum positor, templorum sancte repostor. O. F. II., 63.
Exciderant animo, manet alta mente repostum. V. AF. I., 26.
Cingite fronde comas et pocula porgite dextris. V. AE. VIII., 274.
Atque ea prima duci porgens carchesia Graio. V. F. II., 656.
Quae me surpuerat mihi. (Choriambic.) H. O. IV., xiii., 20.
Quiddam magnum addens, unum me surpite morti. H. S. II., iii.,

The student who wishes to pursue this part of the subject farther， is referred to the following collection of examples：－

Aspro，Pallad．de insit．，67．Gubernaclum，Lucret．IV．，905； Virg．Æ．VI．，349．Gubernaclo，Virg．坐．V．，176，859．Lamina， Virg．G．I．，143．Lamnae，Hor．Od．II．，ii．，2；Val．Flacc．I．， 123. Lardo，Hor．S．II．，vi．Manipli，Virg．太．XI．，870；Silius IV．， 316．Maniplos，Virg．G．I．，400．Maniplis，Virg．Ж．XI．，463； Val．Flace．V．， 592 ；Catull．XIX．，2；Virg．G．III．，297．Oraclum， Catull．I．XIV．，327．Oracla，Ov．Met．I．，321．Periclum，Lucret． VI．， 430 ；Virg．Æ．II．，709，\＆c．Pericli，Lucret．II．，5；Ov．A． A．II．，247，\＆c．Periclo，Lucret．I．，581；Prop．I．xv．，3，\＆c． Pericla，Lucret．III．，776；Hor．S．I．，ii．，40，\＆c．Periclis，Lucret． I．，60；Virg．庼．II．，751，\＆c．Puertiae，Hor．Od．I．，xxxvi．， 8. Saechum，Catull．XLIII．，8．Saecli，Catull．XIV．，23；Ov．Met． VIII．，97，\＆c．Saeclo，Virg．E．IV．，52．Saecla，Lucret．I．， 21 ； Virg．E．IV．，46，\＆c．Saeclorum，Catull．LXIV．，22；Virg．E．IV．， 5．Saeclis，Catull．LXVIII．，43；Virg．Ж．VIII．，508；Ov．Amor． II．，vi．，36．Soldum，Hor．S．II．，v．， $65 . \quad$ Soldo，Hor．S．I．，ii．， 113. Spectaclum，Prop．IV．，viii．，21，56．Spiclorum，Lucret．III．，199．† Unversum，Lucret．IV．，263．Valdius，Hor．Ep．I．，ix．，6；A．P． 321．Vinclum，Lucret．III．，598．Vinclo，Virg．A．IV．，16；VIII．， 203；Ov．Met．IX．， 549 ；XI．，252．Vincla，vinclis，passim．

Calfacienda，Ov．A．A．II．，214．Incalfacit，Ov．Met．XV．，735； Fast．IV．，919．Recalfecit，Ov．Met．VIII．，444．Repostum，Hor． Epod．IX．，1．Reposto，Virg．Ж．XI．，149．Reposta，Lucret．I．， 36 ； III．，347．Repostae，Virg．G．III．，527．Repostos，Virg．Æ．VI．， 655．Posta，Lucret．I．，1058，\＆c．Posto，Lucret．III．，884．Com－ postus，Virg．Æ．．I．，249．Disposta，Lucret．I．， 47 ；II．， 644 Exposta， Virg．Ж．X．，694．Imposta，Lucret．V．，544；Virg．Æ．IX．， 716 ； Prop．IV．，ii．，29．Opposta，Lucret．IV．，151．Praeposta，Lucret VI．，998．Supposta，Virg．左．VI．，24．Coplata，Lucret．VI．， 1087 Porgebat，Silius IX．，458．Porgit，Stat．S．II．，i．，205．Porgi，Stat． Theb．VIII．，755．Porge，Auson．Eidyl．IV．，37．Surpere，Lucret． II．，314．Surpuit，Plaut．Capt．Prol．8；III．，v．，102；V．，iv．， 14.

## Class V．

Compounds of Iacio sometimes drop the first $i$ ．
Thus，for abiicit，abiici，we find aubuccit，üb̆̌ci． adiicit，adiici，－ăď̌cit，ădでci．
eiicit，－ēcit（dissyl．） iniicit，－ŭnǔcit． obiicis，obiicit，－ŏbǔcis，abbŭcit． reiicit，reïce，－rē̃cit，rễce（dissyl．） subiicit，subiici，－süb̆̌cit，süb̆ci．

It is doubtful, however, whether we ought to consider this, strictly speaking, a poetical license. It would appear that adicio, conicio, coicio, were ancient forms. See Parei Lếx. Crit.-Aul. Gell. xvi., c. 7. Subicio, subicit, subiciunt, frequently occur in good MSS. of prose authors. See Noris. in Cenotaph. Pis. Dissert. IV., c. 4. Aulus Gellius in the passage quoted above, blames Laberius for using coicior, on the ground that it was "obsoletum aut ex sordidiore usu vulgi depromptum."

It may be remarked, moreover, that with the exception of reice in Virgil, and a doubtful abici in Ovid, none of the examples quoted below are from writers in the Augustan age.

## Examples.

Turpe putas abici, quia sit miserandus,amicum. ${ }^{1}$ O.E.P.II.,iii., 37.
Hunc abicit saeva dignum veraque Charybdi. I. S. XV., 17.
Nil adicit penso Lachesis, fusosque sororum. M. IV., liv., 9.
Si quid nostra tuis adicit vexatio rebus. ${ }^{2}$ M. X. lxxxii., 1.
Sidera proclamatque adici cervicibus Atlas, S. T. VII., 4.
Nec radicitus e vita se tollit et eicit. L. III., 890.
Eicit enim sulcum recta regione viaque. L. IV., 1268.
Murice suspirans inicit velamina et auro. S. X., 571.
Cur obicis Magno tumulum, manesque vagantes. L. P. VIII., 796.
Pompeiumque deis obicit, quam parca Catonis. L. P. IX., 188.
Cur annos obicis ? pugnae cur arguor impar? C. IV., Cons. Hon., [365.
Tityre pascentes a flumine reice capellas. V. E. III., 96 .
Tela manu reicitque canes in vulnus hiantes. S. T. IV., 574.
Corporibus struitur, reicitque cadavera fumans. S. VIII., 671.
Iungentum fata, et subici iubet ocius ignes. S. XIII., 298.
His acuit stimulis, subicitque haud mollia dicta. S. I., 113.

## Class VI.

There are a few words, chiefly proper names, which many poets, from the nature of their theme, were obliged to use, but which

[^61]could not be transformed, so as to suit their purpose, by any of the above devices. Thus, the first syllable in Priamus, Priameèius, Prïamēis, $\smile$ Arabs, $\smile$ Arabus, is short, and therefore ought to be short in Priümǔdes, Aräbüa; but rather than forego the use of these words in Dactylic verse, the Romans followed the example of the Greeks, and lengthened the first syllable.

In like manner we have Š̌cǔlus, but Sīcèlìdes, and therefore Sĩcèľs.
Along with these, -Itadlưa, from `Italus, is usually classed, but erroneously; for we find in the best writers Italus, with the first syllable sometimes long, and sometimes short: and so the Greeks
 the first uniformly long.

In imitation of the Greeks, also, the Latins make the first in $\checkmark$ Asia, the substantive, short, but lengthen it in the adjectives -Asius and -Asis.
So also, Macědo, Macēdonia, from the double forms, Maks $\delta \omega \nu$,


In the same way we can explain the apparent anomaly in the quantity of `Tōnes, ‘Tōnia, `Tōnus, ‘Tōnis, 'Tōnicus, `Tōniacus, and -Tŏnius. In the Greek words, after which the first six of the above are formed, the second letter is $\omega$; but in the last, o:-
" Nota tamen, si de gente Graeca sermo est, semper hoc nomen scribi per $\omega$ : sed si de mari Ionio, semper per 'O $\mu$ кюооv."-Damm. Lex. Pind. voc. I $\omega v$.

The form 'Iovtos or Iünŭus, being adopted, the first syllable was necessarily lengthened in Dactylic verse.

So, also, we must account for the variations in Šcōnus, Sīcănus, ${ }^{1}$ Sīcŭnĭus, Sīcănŭa, Sīcănǔs; for we find in Greek, ट̌九«ăv $\omega v$ and

Sicilia does not, as far as I recollect, occur in any good metrical authority: it is found in Plautus; but in a position which decides nothing with regard to the quantity of the first syllable :-

Totam Siciliam devoraturum insulam. Plaut. Rud. II., vi., 59.

## Examples.

 'O $v$ हiठo
 Hic Priamus, quamquam in media iam morte tenetur. V. X. O felix una ante alias Prüaméia virgo. V. K. III., 321. [II., 533. Haec tamen audierat; Priameida viderat ipsam. O.A.A.II., 405. Priamiden Helenum Graias regnare per urbes. V. A. III., 295.
${ }^{1}$ Although we find Sicănus in Silius Italicus, I do not remember any example in the Latin poets of Sicănus. Virgil has invariably Sicānus.

 [210.
 Ast ubi digressum Š̆culae te admoverit orae. V. AK. III., 410. Nunc tibi Sícelides veniunt nova praeda puellae.
Quid mihi cum Lesbo? Sīcelis esse volo. O. H. XV., 51.



Addam urbes ¿Asiae domitas pulsumque Niphatem. V. G.
III., 30.

Iam super Europen sublimes et ${ }^{-} A$ sida, terras. O.M. V., 648.
Iam varias pelagi volucres et quae "Asia circum.
Dulcibus in stagnis rimantur prata Caystri. V. G. I., 383.

 [Anthol. II., v., 61.




Prodimur atque ${ }^{`}$ Italis longe disiungimur oris. V. A. I., 252. Et saepe Hesperiam saepe -Itala regna vocare. V. W. III., [185. Ibitis ${ }^{-}$Italiam portasque intrare licebit. V. AK. III., 254. -Italiae dominaeque Romae. (Alc. Dec.) H. O. IV., xiv., 44. Excepit lacrymas, -Italis ora genis. O. E. P. II., iii., 384. -Italides quas ipsa decus sibi dia Camilla. V. X. XI., 658.

[^62]
 - diffidit urbium $=$ Portas vir Macędo et subruit aemulos. [(Choriamb.) H. O. III., xvi., 13. Cum tibi sacrato Macèdon servetur in antro. L. P. VIII., 694. Qui clypeo galeaque Macēdoniaque sarissa. ${ }^{1}$ O. M. XII., 466.
Proxima Bithynos, solem quae condit 'Iōnas. Cl. in E. II., 239. Nec Latium norat quae praebet 'Iōnia dives. O. F. VI., 175. Etquot[ ['Iona]tulit, vetus et quot Achaia formas. P.II., xxviii., 53. Iōnidesve vel Mycenaeae nurus. (Iamb. Trim.) Senec. T., 365. Motus doceri gaudet ${ }^{\text {Tōnicos. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. IIIL, vi., } 21 .}$ Inter "Iōniacas calathum tenuisse puellas. O. H. IX., 73 . Nosse quot -Iŏnüi veniant ad littora fluctus. V. G. II., 108.

 Undique conveniunt Teucri mixtique Š̌cani. V. X. V., 293. Sīcüna procumbit pubes, hic Hernica turma. S. X., 313. Sĩcünĭo praetenta sinu jacet insula contra. V. A. III., 692. Plurima quae flammas Sícănis Aetna vomit. Ibis. 600.

## Class VII.

Certain words compounded with $R e$, lengthen the first syllable, although $R e$ is naturally short.

Thus we find,


To these must be added the three preterites, rèperit, rèpulit, rètulit, and the tenses formed from them, rēpereris, rēpererit; rèpuleris, rèpulerint; rètuleram, rètulerat; rètuleris, rêtulerit, \&c.

It may be urged that such words as religio, reliquiae, recidere, could not find a place in Dactylic verse, unless the first syllable were made long; but although this is true in so far as these word's are concerned, it will by no means apply to relatus, remotus, reducit, \&c., which are generally found with the first short; nor will it explain the quantity of reperit, rēpulit, rètulit, which is invariable.

Some scholars, following the old grammarians, content themselves with doubling the consonant after re, in all cases where that syllable

[^63]is long, and write relligio, relliquiae, redduco, remmotus, \&c.; but this, at best, is but an evasion of the difficulty.

A more plausible explanation is grounded upon the supposition that the ancient form of re was red, as it appears in reddo; for it cannot be said here that the $d$ is inserted for the sake of euphony, as may be urged in regard to such words as redeo, redimo, and the like. In this way, the original form of refero, recido, removeo, reduco, \&c., was redfero, redcido, redmoveo, redduco, \&c. ; and although the $d$ was afterwards dropped, the poets, especially the earlier ones, considered themselves entitled to make use of either form, as best suited their convenience.

This may be the true account of the matter in most instances, but it certainly does not apply to the preterites, reperit, repulit, retulit, which ought always to be written with the consonant doubled, repperit, reppulit, rettulit, being in reality contractions for the reduplications, repeperit, repepulit, retetulit. This is the account given by Priscian (p. 905), with regard to repperit, and it applies equally to the two others.

We have said that the quantity of the first syllable in these is invariably long; and such will be found to be the case in all good authorities. ${ }^{1}$

> Examples.

Rēligio vetuit segeti praetendere sepem. V. G. I., 270.
Neu populum antiqua sub rēligione tueri. V. AX. II., 188.
Rēliquiae motus vitalis vincere saepe. L. II., 955.
At neque rēcidere ad nihilum res posse, neque autem. L. I., 857.
In quem rēcidimus quicquid mortale creamur. O. M. X., 18.
\{ Id rursum coeli rēlatum templa receptant. L. II., 1001.
\{ Eius in adversa tanto plus parte rêlatus. L. V., 685.
$\{$ Percipe, nam certe penitus rēmota videtur. L. IV., 271.
\{ Tam procul esse magis res quaeque rěmota videtur. L. IV., 254.
${ }^{1}$ To prevent embarrassment to the young scholar, I may add, that in some editions of Catullus, he will find

Si reditum rětulisset is, aut in tempore longo, et. C. LXVI., 35.
But the reading now received by the best editors is,
Si reditum tetulisset is haud in tempore longo, et.
He may also find in Orid,
Quaeque feros répuli doctis medicatibus ignes. H. XII., 165.
Corrected by Heinsius, on good MS. authority,
Quaeque feros pepuli doctis medicatibus ignes.
(Rēducit Venus et rēductum daedala tellus. L. I., 229. Mittunt et crebras rēducunt naribus auras. L. IV., 994. Aut redit a nobis Aurora diemque rĕducit. V. G. I., 249. Sunt alii quos ipse via sibi rēperit usus.' V. G. II., 22.
Pleias, et Oceani spretos pede rēpulit amnes. V. G. IV., 233.
Abstulit, et media tellurem rēpulit unda. O. M. XV., 292.
Rētulit et priscos docuit celebrare Latinos. V. AX. V., 598.
Feroque viso rētulit retro pedem. (Iamb. Trim.) Phaed. II., i., 6.
Rètuleris pannum, refer et sine vivat ineptus. H. E. I., xvii., 32.
For other examples-
Rēligionum, Lucret. IV., 7. Rēligiosa, Virg. A.. II., 365. Rēligio, rëligione passim. Rēliquias, Lucret. III., 656; IV., 977 ; VI., 826. Rēliquiarum, I., 1102. Rēducere, Lucret. V., 1336. Riēĕ̃̀dere, Lucret. I., 1062; V., 281. Rēè̌̌dat, Ov. Met. VI., 212. Rēč̌̌dit, Prop. IV., viii., 44 ; Ov. Her. XIV., 46 ; R. A. 611 ; Met. X., 180.
N.B. Rēeìdo must not be confounded with rěcīdo, which has the first always short, e. g., Ov. Amor. II., iii., 3 ; Met. XIII., 766. Reperit the preterite not to be confounded with rĕperit the present tense, which occurs frequently, e. g., Prop. III., xxiii., 17 ; Ov. R. A. 95; Virg. G. IV., 443; and so rĕperitur, Ov. Met. X., 377; XV., 795. So rëperire, rëpertus, \&c., but rēpereris, Ov. A. A. II., 719. Rēpererit, Catul. LXXIX., 4.

Reètulit passim. Rètuleram, Ov. Met. VII., 790. Rētulerat, Ov. Her. I., 38. Rētulerit, Tibull. I., vii., 62; Prop. III., xxiii., 21.

Rēpulit passim. Rēpuleris, Ov. Her. XX., 177. Rēpulerint, Ov. Met. VII., 735; but rëpellit, rěpulsus, \&c.

## Class VIII.-Archatsiss.

We now proceed to consider another class of poetical licenses, namely, Archaisms, or antiquated forms, which were occasionally introduced either for convenience or ornament.
a. Among those employed for convenience, was the old contracted dative of the fourth declension in $u$ instead of $u i$; the latter it was manifestly the interest of the writers of Dactylic verse to avoid, inasmuch as it presented, in many words, the unmanageable combination of a short syllable between two long ones. Accordingly, we find amplexu, partu, venatu, versu, victu, visu, \&c., for amplexxu, partui, venatui, versui, victui,
visui, \&c., and also manu for manui, although in this last the open form is equally serviceable with the contracted one.
b. The open form of the imperfect indicative of the fourth conjugation, in many verbs, was inadmissible for the same reason, and hence the contracted form, which is common in the dramatists and earlier writers, was occasionally introduced, as-accibant, audibant, largibar, lenibant, mollibat, \&c., for acciebant, audiebant, largiebar, leniebant, molliebat, \&c.
c. We may place among the Archaisms introduced for the sake of ornament-
Genitives of the first declension in $\ddot{a}$, as-terraï, frugiferiä, aquäi, ferär, pictüi, which occur in every page of Lucretius, in whose time they may possibly not have been quite obsolete, but auläi, auräi, pictäi, in Virgil, are certainly Archaisms.

So also Achilli, Oronti, Achati, are old genitives from Achilles, Orontes, Achates, and many such are found even in Cicero. To the same class belong die for diei, fide for fidei; lenibunt, the ancient future of the fourth conjugation, instead of the modern lenient; siet for sit; tetulit, tetulisset, for tulit, tulisset, \&c.

Nor must we omit to mention recepso for recepero, and iusso for iussero, the shape under which the future perfect appears so frequently in Plautus and his predecessors.

Along with these, we ought to rank the ancient form of the infinitive passive in ier, which occurs perpetually in the dramatic writers, very frequently in Lucretius, and is introduced occasionally by the poets of the Augustan age: thus in Catullus we have citarier, compararier, componier, iungier. In Virgil, farier, immiscorier, accingier, admittier, defendier. In Horace, laudarier, sectarier, mercarier, curarier, torquerier, faterier, avellier, labier, spargier. In Ovid, scitarier. In Propertius, torquerier, \&c.
d. In the Roman alphabet, the character V discharged the double duty of the consonant $v$ and the vowel $u$. It appears to have been anciently considered a vowel in many words in which it afterwards acted the part of a consonant, and the poets occasionally employ the open form, especially in the verb solvo and its compounds.
Thus, Lucretius ${ }^{1}$ has süemus, süeti, süerit, süerint, süesse, sü̈dent, reliqüas, reliqüo, although $u a$ and $u e$ in these words is almost uniformly a single syllable in other poets. Catullus ${ }^{2}$ has soluit, soliuint, dissolüo, evolüam, pervolüent.

[^64]Tibullus, ${ }^{1}$ dissoluenda, dissoluirsse, soliurss.
Horace, ${ }^{2}$ siliuae, sü̈ttae, milü̈.
Propertius, ${ }^{3}$ evolüuss.
Ovid, ${ }^{4}$ dissolüantur, evolüisse, involïissè, exsolüisse, persolüere, persoliénda, milüus.

Lucan and Silius, Slievos, \&c.
Some prosodians class with these relangürt, in such lines as -
Cum bene pertaesum est animoque relanguit ardor. O. A.II., ix., 27. Imposito fratri moribunda relangriit ore. O. M. VI., 291.

But this is a bad example, for in relanguit, the perfect tense of relanguesco, the vowels $u$ and $i$ always form separate syllables.

Examples.
a. ${ }^{5}$ Nec tamen hanc possis oculorum subdere visu. L. V., 102.

Namque aliae victu invigilant, et foedere pacto. V. G. IV., 158.
Alternae facilis cedere lympha manu. P. I., xi., 12.
b. ${ }^{6}$ Palmas, horrifereis accibant vocibus Orcum. L. V., 994.

Audibant eadem haec leniter et leviter. C. LXXXIV., 8.
Lenibat dictis animum, lacrymasque ciebat. V. A. VI., 46.
Vellera mollibat nebulas aequantia tractu. O. M. VI., 21.
c. Libra die somnique pares ubi fecerit horas. V. G. I., 208.

Constantis iuvenem fule (so the best MSS.) H. O. III., vii., 4.
Prodiderit commissa fide, sponsumve negarit. ${ }^{7}$ H. S. I., iii., 95.
Lenibunt tacito vulnera nostra situ. P. III., xxi., 32.
Vivere cum sensu, nulla quom in parte siet mens. L. III., 102.
Animo aestuante rursum reditum ad vada tetulit. C. LXIII., 47.
${ }^{1}$ I., vii., 2, 40 ; X., 62; IV., v., 16.
${ }^{2}$ Epod. XIII., 2 ; S. I., viii., 17; Epod. XVI., 32.
${ }^{3}$ I., vii., 16.
${ }^{4}$ Trist. IV., viii., 18; II. Her. IX., 86; Fast. IV., 534; V., 330; Epic. 370 ; Fast. III., 794, 808.
${ }^{5}$ So Metu, Virg. Æ. I., 257. Adspectu, VI., 465. Venatu, VII., 747; IX., 605. Amplexu, VI., 698. Concubitu, G. IV., 198. Curru, E. V., 29. Manu, Prop. II., i., 66. Partu, I., xiii., 30. Amplexu, II., xxvi., 49.
${ }^{6}$ So Quibat, Lucret. I., 94. Scibat, V., 932. Hauribant, V., 1323. Poenibat, VI., 1239. Scibant, Catull. LXVIII; 85. Custodibant, LXIV., 320. Nutribat, Virg. A.. XII., 572. Nutribant, VII., 48'. Vestibat, VIII., 160. Redimibat, X., 538. Largibar, Frop. I., iii., 25. Operibat, III., xiii., 35. Audibam, Ov. Her. XIV., 36. Feribant, Fast. IV., 795. Molibar, Met. II., 582.
${ }^{7}$ So fide genitive, Ov. Met. VII., 727.

Si reditum tetulisset is haud in tempore longo et. C. LXVI., 35.
... Si nefaria scripta $=$ Sexti recepso..... C. XLIV., 19.
Caetera, qua iusso, manus mecum inferat arma. ${ }^{1}$ V. I. XI., 467.
d. Appellare süemus et haec eadem usurpare. L. I., 55.

Atque alios alii inrident Veneremque süadent. L. IV., 1153.
Numquam id rēliqüö ${ }^{2}$ reparari tempore posset. L. I., 561.
Pristina vota novo munere dissolüo. C. LXVI., 38.
Condita quin veri pectoris evolüam. C. LXVI., 74.
Pectora laetitia dissoliënda dedit. T. I., vii., 40.
Sit satis ornatus dissolürse comae. T. I., x., 62.
Nivesque deducunt Iovem; nunc mare nunc siliae. (Elegiam.) [H.E. XIII., 2.
Postumio Laenas persolüere mihi. O. F. V., 330.
We may conclude this part of the subject, by noticing three different kinds of contraction, many of which, although not peculiar to the poets, and seldom absolutely necessary, yet occur much more frequently in their writings than in prose.

1. The first of these is the contraction of the genitive plural in orum and arum into um, the $r$ being dropped, and the two vowels thrown into one. Thus we constantly find deûm, divûm, Argivûm, Danaûm, Pelasgûm, numerûm, superîm, virùm, \&c.; for deorum, divorum, \&c.; and also agricolîm, caelicolûm, Aeneadîm, Dardanidûm, Graiugenim, \&c. ; for agricolarum, caelicolarum, Aeneadarum, Dardanidarum, Graiugenarum, \&c. ${ }^{3}$
2. The second is the very common contraction, by which $v$ is dropped in the preterite, and the tenses derived from it, and the vowels which it separates thrown together. This, however, does

[^65]not take place in all the persons without distinction; thus, the $v$ is never dropped in the first persons singular and plural of the preterite in avi, and scarcely in the third singular. ${ }^{1}$ The following are specimens of the cases which occur most frequently:-

## Preterite in avi.

Amasti, servastis, rogarunt, peccaram, narraras, optarat, nudarant, pararim, probaris, tentarit, violarint, captassem, intrasses, levasset, pertolerassent, peccaro, vocasse.

## Preterite in evi.

Flesti, flerunt, consueras, implerat, consuerant, impleris, insuerit, suerint (L. IV., 304), implessem, implesset, adolesse, cresse (L. III., 683), concresse (O. M. VII., 416).

Preterites in ivi.
Impedii, perii, petisti, peristi (tristi, C. LXVI., 30), impediit, transiit, audistis, quaesierunt, petiere, rediere, quaesieram, transieram, audieras, sopierat, exierat, mollierant, prodierant, desierim, (contrivis, O. M. F., 89), audieris, scierit, exierit, quierint, ierint, finissem, perissem, scisses, perisses, nequisset, perisset, sepelissent, issent, saevisse, petiisse, petisse, adiisse, adisse, periisse, perisse, \&c.

## Preterite in ovi.

Commorunt, admoram, promorat, remorant, commorit, admorint, summosses, promosset, nosti, nostis, norunt, noram, noras, norat, norant, norim, noris, norit, norint, nossem, nosses, nosset, nossent, nosse.
3. The third contraction which we shall mention is found so often in the comic writers, that we may suppose that it was common in ordinary conversation. It consists in throwing out the syllable is, from the middle of the second persons singular and plural of the preterite, and from various parts of the tenses derived from the preterite. Thus, dixti for dixisti, accesstis for accessistis, extinxem for extinxissem, vixet for vixisset, erepsemus for erepsissemus, abstraxe for abstraxisse, \&c. The following examples, selected from Lucretius, Catullus, Virgil, Tibullus, Horace, Propertius, and Ovid, will enable the student to understand any similar forms which he may encounter in the course of his reading:-

Consumsti, Prop. I., iii., 37. Direxti, Virg. 太. VI., 57. Dixti, Ov. Her. XI., 59. Duxti, Catull. XCI., 9; Prop. I., iii., 27.

[^66]Evasti, Hor. S. II., vii., 68. Extinxti, Virg. Æ. IV., 682. Misti, Catull. XIV., 14. Percusti, Hor. S. II., iii., 273. Promisti, Catull. CX., 3. Subrepsti, Catull. LXXVII., 3. Extinxem, Virg. Æ. IV., 606. Confluxet, Lucret. I., 986. Vixet, Virg. Ж. XI., 118. Erepsemus, Hor. S. I., v., 79. Abstraxe, Lucret. III., 650. (Cesse, Lucret. I., 1104.) (Consumse, Lucret. I., 334.) Divisse, H. S. II., iii., 169. Protraxe, Lucret. V., 1158. Promisse, Catull. CX., 5. (Recesse, Lucret. III, 69.) Surrexe, Hor. S. I., ix., 73.

We now proceed to explain the various terms, usually called Grammatical Figures; and we shall endeavour to point out the cases in which these words, as they are commonly employed, tend to mislead the student.

1. Syraloepha. ${ }^{1}$ The elision of a vowel or diphthong, at the end of one word, before a vowel or diphthong, at the beginning of the word following. (See above, p. 110.) Among the ancient grammarians, Quintil. I., c. $\check{5}$; IX., c. 4. Charis., p. 249. Diomed., p. 437. Donat. de Sch., p. 1772.
2. Ecthlipsis. ${ }^{2}$ The elision of $m$, and the vowel preceding it, at the end of a word, before a vowel or diphthong, at the beginning of the word following. (See above, p. 110.) Charis., p. 249. Diomed., p. 436. Donat. de Sch., p. 1772.
3. Episynaloepha. ${ }^{3}$ The elision of a vowel in the middle of a word, before another vowel, as-ant'ire, sem'ermis, and the like. (See above, p. 118, k.) Charis., p. 249. Diomed., p. 437.
4. Synaeresis. ${ }^{4}$ The contraction of two vowels into one, when neither of them is absorbed by the other, as-ferrē, alvē. (See above, p. 118, a.) Quintil. I., c. 5., tells us, the Latin term for Synaeresis and Synaloepha was Complexio. Donat. de Sch., p. 1772.
5. Synizesis. ${ }^{5}$ The same as Synaeresis. See Servius on Virg. Æ. I., 702.
6. Synecphonesis. ${ }^{6}$ The same as the two former. See Victorin., p. 2510.
7. Syncope. ${ }^{7}$ Dropping a letter or syllable out of a word, as in

[^67]vinclum, divum, orasse, extinxem, \&c. (See above, p. 139.) Cicero, Orat. c. 45 , et seq. Charis., p. 248. Diomed., p. 436.
8. Diaeresis ${ }^{1}$ is defined to be "the dividing of one syllable into two." But it is a mistake to suppose that the poets ever assumed the power of stretching out words, although they sometimes contracted them. The examples usually quoted of this "figure" are -aulüi for aulae, dissoliendla for dissolvenda, and the like. But we have already shown (p. 136, d.) that these, and all similar forms, were not invented and introduced by the poets, but are Archaisms, which were adopted by them for the sake of convenience or ornament.
To Diaeresis, writers upon Prosody generally refer the double form, under which certain words appear in poetry. Thus we find eleyëia, Cytherëia, Pelopëius, of five syllables, and elegēa, Cytherē̃a, Pelopēus, ${ }^{2}$ of four; Pleiades a quadrisyllable, and Plē̃ades a trisyllable; Plëias a trisyllable, and Ptēas a dissyllable; and so on. It will be found, however, that this apparent irregularity is by no means the result of caprice. These are all Greek words, and both forms are found in the language from which they were transplanted into Latin. The variety is caused in the above, and most other examples, by the circumstance that the Attic dialect uses the diphthong $\varepsilon \iota$, where the Ionic has the dissyllabic combination $\eta \ell$. The older Greeks and Ionians would have said $\varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\eta} \iota \eta, \mathrm{K} v \theta$ spìi $\quad$,



## Examples.

Flebilis, indignos, Elegë̈a, solve capillos. O. A. III., ix., 3 .
Quas inter vultu petulans elegē̃a propinquat. S. S. I., ii., ¿. Invocat Hippomenes, Cytherë̈a, comprecor, ausis. O. M. X., 640. Parce metu, Cythereīa; manent immota tuorum. V. IT. I., 257. Quid quod avus nobis idem Pelopëius Atreus? O. H. VIII., 27. Eumenidum vidit vultus Pelopē̃us Orestes. L. P. VII., 778.

[^68]Hic pro supposita virgo Pelopeia cerva. O. T. IV., iv., 67. Infamis stupro stat Pelopēa domus. P. III., xix., 20.
Plë̈adum spisso cur coit imbre chorus. P. III., v., 36 .
Plē̃ades incipiunt humeros relevare paternos. O. F. IV., 169.
Plëias enixa est, letoque det imperat Argum. O. M. I., 670.
Plēas, et Oceani spretas pede repulit amnes. V. G. IV., 233.
In like manner we find-
 [O. M. II., 545 ; VIII., 365.
 [O. M. XV., 492. F. III., 460.
 [e. g., O. A. A. II., 431. A. I., xiv., 21. M. X̌I., 92.
 [Prol. 14. P. II., xxx., 3.
Of the last two, the former does not occur in the Latin poets, except in the passage referred to in Persius-
 [XII., 73.
Trō̆cs, however, occurs only in the last quoted line, and the reading is doubtful.

If we include feminine forms, the varieties are more numerous. Thus, from Pelops ( $\Pi \Sigma \lambda \circ \psi$ ) we have Pelopêius (quinquesyllable), Pelcpē̃us or Pelopēus, Pelopǔus, Pelopeìas (quinquesyllable), and Pelopēis; of these Pelopưus is found in Seneca only (Agam. VII., 165), but the Greek Пह $\lambda$ otıos occurs in the Ion of Euripides (1591). ${ }^{1}$

The Romans had probably direct authority in the Greek writers

[^69]for every variety of this kind which they exhibit, for we can scarcely produce any instance of a word purely Latin which takes the double shape. I find two quoted by Doctor Carey in his Prosody, p. 181. The first he adduces is Vè̀us and VeiusVincere tum Vē̃os posse laboris erat. P. IV., x., 24.
Forte super portae dux Veius adstitit arcem. P. IV., x., 31.
But Veios and Veius in these lines are distinct words, not different forms of the same word. Veios is the regular accusative plural of the substantive Veii, the name of the town, while Veius (which would be more properly written Veiius), is the adjective formed from Veii, and is equivalent to Veiens, which occurs in the same elegy. The second is Aquilē̃a and Aquilëia-
Hic Aquilē̃a decens celsis caput inserit astris. Avienus. Nec non cum Venetis Aquilëia perfurit armis. S. VIII., 606.
But the reading in Silius is corrupt, Ruperti, supported by almost all the MSS., has restored

Nec non cum Venetis Aquilē̃a superfluit armis.
We have, it is true, Tiberë̈a, ${ }^{2}$ but never Tiberēa; Pompè̃us is always a trisyllable; so is Caius, till we get down to the brazen age, when it is made a dissyllable.
Languentem C̄̄̄um moriturum dixerat olim. Aus. Ep. LXXV., 1. (And twice again in the same epigram), and this will be found to hold good generally.

Certain variations which take place with regard to the number of syllables in the different cases of proper names ending in eus, such as Perseus, Peleus, Theseus, Prometheus, Phineus, \&c., where the Latin eus represents the Greek suc, are sometimes referred to

[^70]Et tu Ledaeo felix Aquilḕa Timavo. Mart. Ep. IV., xxv., 5.
Ausonius, too, who is better than Avienus, ranks it in the catalogue of illustrious cities-

Nona inter claras Aquileia cieberis urbes. Aus. Nob. Urb. VII., 2.
That the student may form some idea of the value of Avienus as a metrical authority, we shall give a line or two from his worthless translation of a dull original -

> Usque in saxosi Pachy̆ni iuga, plurimus inde,
> Sestōs atque Aby̆dos parvo sale discernuntur.
${ }^{2}$ S. S. IIL, iii., 66.

Duaeresis, and erroneous statements are so common with regard to these words, that it will be proper to state briefly, in this place, the practice of the best authorities.

They are declined as follows, some cases admitting both the Greek and the Latin form :-

> Nom. Orpheus.
> Gen. Orphei, vel Orpheos.
> Dat. Orpheo, vel Orphei.
> Acc. Orphea.
> Voc. Orpheu. Ablat. Orpheo.

With regard to these, observe,

1. In the nominative, eus must uniformly be pronounced as one syllable.
2. In the genitive, $e i$ is usually one syllable, since it was more convenient under that shape in Dactylic verse, but in lyric strains, ëi may form two syllables.
ĕŏs is generally, perhaps always, to be scanned as two short syllables.
3. eo in the dative and ablative, is commonly pronounced as one syllable, but may be taken as two in lyrics.
$e i$ in the dative is rare, but it is probable that it was always a monosyllable.
4. In the accusative, ea is sometimes pronounced as one long syllable, sometimes as two short syllables, and sometimes, though more rarely, as a long and short $\bar{e} \breve{a}$, in which last case it represents the Ionic $\eta$ a.
5. In the vocative, $e u$ is uniformly a monosyllable.
6. Care must be taken to distinguish the substantives, Theseus
 the adjectives formed from them, Thesēus ( $\because \eta \sigma=\iota \circ$ ), Promethēus ( $\varrho \circ \mu \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma)$, Lyncēus ( $\Lambda v \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \iota \circ \varsigma)$, \&c., and also from those substantives which end in eus in Latin, but in slos in Greek, as Alphēus ( $\mathrm{A} \lambda \phi \varepsilon \iota \circ$ ), Penēus (Пףेєıos).

## Examples.

Magna luis commissa, tibi has miserabilis Orpheus. V. G. IV., 454. Surgimus, et primus, quae te vecordia Theseus. O. M. XII., 227. Sed quid T'yphöēs et validus Mimas. (Alc. Hendec.) H. О. III., [iv., 53.
Caucasiasque refert volucres furtumque Prometlē̄. V. E. VI., 42. Aversumque diem mensis furialibus Atrē̃. O. A. III., xii., 39.

Stellis honorem tectaque Penthĕé. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. II., xix., 14. Impia nec poena Penthěos umbra vacet. O. T. V., iii., 40.
Nyctěos Antiopen accubuisse Lyco. P. III., xv., 14.
Inarime Iovis imperio imposta Typhöē. V. , E. IX., 716.
Degeneras, scelus est pietas in coniuge Tereo. O. M. VI., 635.
Non sic Haemonio Salmonida mixtus Enipē. P. I., xiii., 21.
Quod si Threicio blandius Orphěo. (Choriamb.) H. O. I., xxiv., 13.
Orphei Calliopea, Lino formosus Apollo. V. E. IV., 57.
Inferias Orphē̃ Lethaea papavera mittes. V. G. IV., 545.
Inferias Orphḕ mittit lucumque revisit. V. G. IV., 553.
Nec tantum Rhodope mirantur et Ismarus Orphēa. V. E. VI., 30.
Nec quo centimanum deiecerat igne Typhoēa. O. M. III., 303.
Quas quoties proflat spirare Typhöĕă credas. O. F. I., 573.
Orphĕă sylvae. (Adonic.) H. O. I., xii., 8 .
Narrat pene datum Pelĕă Tartaro. (Choriambic.) II. O. III., vii.,
[17.
Ilionē̆ petit dextra, laevaque Serestum. V. R. I., 611.
Idomenē̆̆ ducem desertaque litora Cretae. V. LE. III., 122.
Ore fugant maculas, Halcyonē̆̈̆ vocant. O. M. F., 78.
Illa, quis et me, inquit, miseram, et te perdidit, Orpher. V.G.IV., 494.
Discernunt avidi; non ego te candide Bassareu. (Choriambic.) [H. O. I., xviii., 11.
Gnossia, Thesẽae quondam periuria linguae. T. III., vi., 39.
Lecta Prometheëis dividit herba iugis. P. I., xii., 10.
Tendit, et Orphēa nequicquam voce vocatur. O. M. X., 3.
Graia Caphareàm ${ }^{1}$ currere puppis aquam. O. T. V., vii., 36.
Quo properas Arethusa? suis Alphēŭs ab undis. O. M. V., 509.
Confestim Penēos adest, viridantia Tempe. C. LXIV., 285.

## Remarks.

The assertion that eus in the nominative of these words is uniformly a monosyllable, is sonetimes disputed.

[^71]In the Lexicon of Facciolati, two examples are given of Orphě̆us, from Virg. Culex, 116, 268, in the fifth foot of an Hexameter. These are, however, worthless, both on account of the poem in which they occur, and also, because in the first, many MSS. and old editions give Horridus instead of Orpheus, while the second is by all commentators pronounced to be hopelessly corrupt.

Doctor Carey, in his Prosody, quotes two other instances-

1. Et finitur in Andromeda quam Persĕŭs armis. Man. I., 357.

But Bentley justly considers the whole of the latter part of this line, and the first half of the next, spurious, while Scaliger reads "Perseos armus."
2. Ut albulus columbus aut Adonëus.' (Iamb. Trim.) C. XXIX., 9.

On which I have two remarks-

1. The word Adoneus is not in any MS., but is a conjectural emendation by Statius.
2. Even if we admit $A$ doneus, it proves nothing, since it must be considered as purely a Latin word, no such form as 'A $\delta \omega v \varepsilon v_{S}$ being found in the Greek poets, who always use 'A $\delta \omega \nu$ vs.

A far better emendation of the line is that of Muretus and Heinsius-

## Ut albulus columbulus Dioneus.

Much confusion has arisen with regard to the words Achilles and Ulysses, in consequence of their appearing under a double form. From the Latin nominative Achilles, we have Achillis, Achilli, Achillem, Achille, and so for Ulysses.

But we have also Achillei and Ulyssei in the genitive from the nominätives Achilleus, Ulysseus, ${ }^{1}$ which represent the Greek 'A $\chi \perp \lambda$ $\lambda_{\varepsilon v s,}$ 'O $\delta v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v s$, and also Achillea in the accusative. ${ }^{2}$ According to the observations made above, Achillei and Ulyssei will be trisyllables in Dactylic verse, and quadrisyllables in Lyrics, and accordingly we find-
> $\{$ Foedavitque comas et, tanti corpus Achillē. P. II., ix.. 13. $\{$ Matronisque Phrygum classis $A$ chillĕi. (Choriamb.) H.O. I., xv., 34.

[^72]$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Digni, rewigium vitiosum Ithacensis Ulyssē̃. H. E. I., vi., } 63 . \\ \text { Neritiasque domos, regnum fallacis Ulyssē. O. M. XIII., 712. }\end{array}\right.$ Nec cursus duplicis per mare Ulyssěi. (Choriamb.) H. O. I., vi., 7.
So Achillëi, Hor. Epod. XVII., 14. 'Ulyssē̃, Hor. Ep. I., vii., 40 ; Ov. Met. XIV., 159, 671. Ulyssëi, Hor. Epod. XVI., 62 ; XVII., 16.

Some editors, in all passages where these words occur as trisyllables, write Achilli, Ulyxi, which are old forms of the genitives Achillis, Ulixis.-(See Appendix on the Declensions.) We find Achillĕ̛̆ in

Terribilem iusto transegit Achillea ferro. L. P. X., 523.
9. Systole" is defined to be "the shortening of a syllable which, from its natural quantity, or from position, ought to be long." Charis., p. 249 ; Diomed., p. 437.
10. Diastole ${ }^{2}$ or Ectasis $^{3}$ is defined to be "the lengthening of a syllable naturally short." Charis., p. 249 ; Diomed., p. 436 ; Servius on Virgil, Æ. X., 473.

By the manner in which grammarians frequently use these terms, we might be led to imagine that the poets could lengthen or shorten. syllables according to the suggestions of their own caprice. But if this were admitted, it is manifest that there would at once be an end to prosody-that no certain rules could ever be established respecting quantity. The principles upon which some apparent anomalies may be explained, have been already developed in our remarks upon Poetical Licenses, page 116. But the extreme caution which the ancients observed in this respect, and the close restrictions by which they were confined, are made sufficiently evident by a passage in Ovid, and another in Martial, which are appropriately introduced by the authors of the Port Royal Latin Grammar, in support of some very sensible remarks on this subject contained in that work. In the first of these, ${ }^{4}$ Ovid, writing to Tuticanus, makes an apology for not having said anything in his praise, because the word Tuticanus, which has the second vowel short between two long, cannot have a place in the verse; in the second, ${ }^{5}$ Martial excuses himself for not having inserted the word Earinus, because it consists of four short. To these they might have added

[^73]the expression of Horace, who, when describing his journey from Rome to Brundusium, in the enumeration of towns through which they passed, omits Equotuticum or Equotutium, with the notice-

Mansuri oppidulo, quod versu dicere non est. H.S. I., v., 87.
We shall now proceed to mention the different forms which are commonly referred to Systole and Diastole.
a. Under Systole we find ranked the shortening of the penultimate syllable, in the third person plural of preterites, such asExciërunt, Dedërunt, Tulěrunt, Stetërunt, \&c. The origin of the double quantity in these cases is still a matter of controversy, and the reading of very many of the passages in which they occur is disputed; but we have already discussed this subject so fully, that it is unnecessary to dwell upon it here.
b. To Systole many refer such words as Orion, Eous, in which one of the syllables is sometimes long and sometimes short; but we have pointed out that this arises from a double form in the original Greek, in these and all similar instances.
c. To Systole also is assigned the quantity of ăperio, ̆mmitto, hüdie, ${ }^{1}$ and the like, which being, it is said, compounded of ad-pario, ob-mitto, hoc-die, \&c., ought to have the first long. Without stopping to discuss the accuracy of the derivation in the first of these, it is sufficient to observe, that the quantity of the above and similar words is invariable, and must therefore have been the result of the ordinary pronunciation, and not of poetical license.

The same may be said of Viden' for Videsne, where we might have expected the final syllable to be long, since it is long in vidēs, but it is uniformly short in vidën', as well as in satin', ain', in which the $s$ is elided after a short vowel. The reason probably is, that these forms were always used in sharp, short interrogations, pronounced so rapidly that the voice was not permitted to pause upon any of the syllables.
d. Under Systole are placed those compounds of Iacio which drop the $i$. (See above, p. 129.)
e. To Diastole, again, is attributed the lengthening of the first syllable in -Italia, Priamides, :Arabia, \&c., from 'Italus,

[^74]Priamus, ${ }^{-}$Arabs. These, too, have been passed under review, and the principle on which their quantity depends explained. (See p. 130.)
f. The lengthening of the first syllable in certain compounds of $R \breve{e}$ is called a Diastole. This we have attempted to account for above. (See p. 133.)
g. We have just stated, in reference to Systole, that when we find a syllable invariably short, we have no right to consider that it is the result of a poetical license, although it may be contrary to the ideas we have formed of analogy. And, in general, when words apparently proceeding from the same root differ from each other in quantity, we may, perhaps, not be always able to detect the cause ${ }_{j}$ but if the practice of the best writers is uniform in each particular case, it is a mere veil for ignorance to call their transgression of the laws which we ourselves have laid down for them, a poetical figure. Thus, although quăter, quăterni, have the first always short, ${ }^{1}$ it is absurd, because good writers make the first in quätuor always long, ${ }^{2}$ to say that this is a Diastole. A similar want of correspondence exists in stips, stĭpis, stīpo, and stīpendium, ${ }^{3}$ and many others, several of which have been mentioned under the proper head. It is worth noticing, that in ancient monuments quatuor often appears engraved quattuor, which seems to indicate the pronunciation;
${ }^{1}$ e. g., Hor. Od. I., xxxi., 13. S. I., iv., 86 ; II., iii., 1. Virg. G. II., 399, \&c.
${ }^{2}$ It will perhaps be said, that quatuor has not the first syllable always long, for we find-

Cedunt ter quătuor de coelo corpora sancta. Enn. Ann. I., frag. 50.
Iamque fere quatuor
Enn. Ann. II., frag. 122.
Gradibus propinquis in quatuordecim sedes. (Iamb. Trim.) Aus. S. S. Cleob. 5.
But these passages, bad as the authorities are, prove nothing. In each of them we may pronounce quatuor as a dissyllable, quatvor; and the Iambics of Ausonius do not reject a spondee in the even places, as in line 10 of the same poem-

$$
\text { Et nostēr quīdam } \mu, r_{1} \delta \varepsilon \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \nu, \text { huc pertinet. }
$$

${ }^{3}$ Qui sť̈pe mel sumpta dulcius esse putes. O. F. I., 192.
Stippant, et liquido distendunt nectare cellas. V. G. IV., 164.
Indomito nec dira ferens stīpendia tauro. C. LXIV., 173.
Stipant and stipendium would have been refractory words, if the first syllable had been short after $s t$, the second syllable being long. Vossius and others account for the quantity of the first syllable in stipendium, by supposing it to be a contraction for stipipendium. If this be true, then it ought always to be written stippendium; and so it is often found in MSS.
and stippendium is found in the oldest MSS., although less weight is to be attached to these, in such cases, than to an inscription.
h. The only case in which we can use such terms as Systole and Diastole with any propriety, is when we find the quantity of a word vary in different parts of the works of the same writer, or of writers who lived about the same period, without our being able to account for the variations upon any general principle. Examples of this are very rare, but we may call the attention of the student to one or two remarkable instances.
In Lucretius we find līquor and lĭquor; līquidus and lŭquidus; and in Virgil, līquens and löquens.

Pondus utei saxi, calor ignis, līquor aquai. L. I., 454.
Sicut amaracini blandum stactaeque lüquorem. L. II., 847.
Crassaque conveniant lŭquideis et l̄̄quida crasseis. L. IV., 1255.
Quales áèriae līquentia flumina circum. V. सF. IX., 679.
Porriciam in fluctus et vina löquentia fundam. V. 丑. V., 238.
There is much confusion in the quantity of the first syllable of words proceeding from this root; but in the best writers, lŭquor (the noun), ľ̆quidus, ľ̆quo, lŭquatus, lüquet, ľ̆quesco, ľ̆quefacio, lŭquefio, have the first short; while luquor-eris has the first long; hence liquens, if supposed to come from lüqueo, whence lüquet, will have the first short; and if from lequor-eris, will have the first long.

Vacillo. This word has the first syllable short in
Et ramosa tamen quom venteis pulsa văcillans. L. V., 1095.
So also văcillat, L. V., 1235; and văcillant, VI., 575.
But in the same Lucretius we find
Tum quasi vācillans, primum consurgit, et omneis. L. III., 504.
Where some have ingeniously proposed to substitute talipedans for vacillans, supposing that the latter word had been placed in the margin by some transcriber, as an explanation of the former, and in the process of time found its way into the text.-See Festus in voc. Talipedare.

There is a remarkable discrepancy in the quantity of the word quotidianus, as it appears in the writings of Catullus and Martial -

Coniugis in culpa flagravit quōtйdそ̆ana. C. LXVIII., 139.
Cultus sindone non quŭt̃̃dǐana. (Phalaecian.) M. XI., i., 2.

This may perhaps be explained by supposing that the true quantity of the word was qưotídüannus, that being inadmissible into Dactylic verse under this shape, the second syllable was lengthened by Catullus, as in liquëfaciens, \&c. (see p. 95), while Martial, to adapt it to his purposê, pronounced it quotīdyana, according to the principle explained above, under Poetical Licenses,

## Class II.

It is singular that Horace should differ from Martial and Juvenal as to the quantity of the word Vaticanus, which must have been in constant use-

## Redderet laudes tibi Vatǔcani

Montis imago. (Sapphic.) H. O. I., xx., 7.
In Vatücanis ${ }^{1}$ condita musta cadis. M. I., xix., 2.
Et Vaticano fragiles de monte patellas. I. S. VI., 344.
and still more remarkable that he should be inconsistent with himself in the pronunciation of the name of his own province-
Me fabulosae Volture in -Apulo
Nutricis extra limen `Apuliae. ${ }^{9}$ (Alc. Hendec.) II. O. III., iv., 9. Incipit ex illo montes :Apulia notos. H. S. I., v., 77.
Tyrrhenum omne tuis et mare 乞̌Apulicum. (Choriamb.) H. О. [III., xxiv., 4.

SApulus ${ }^{3}$ is found also in Od. I., xxxiii., 7 ; III., v., 9 ; xvi., 26 ; Epod. III., 42; S. II., i., 38; and has the first invariably long. Apulia occurs in Epod. III., 16, but in a part of the verse which does not decide the quantity of the first syllable.
11. Prosthesis ${ }^{4}$ we find thus explained: "To the beginning of certain words the poets were in the habit of affixing a letter, particularly in the case of these four-Narus, Navus, Natus, Naviter, for which they said, Gnarus, Gnavus, Gnatus, Gnaviter:"

It is a pity that no hint is here given of their object in making such a very useless addition. Any one who for a moment considers the compounds ignarus, ignavus, cognatus, will at once perceive that gnarus, gnavus, gnatus, are the original forms, which were softened down into navus, narus, natus. To which we may

[^75]add, that gnaruris, which occurs in Plautus, never drops the $g$, the evident connection between gnatus and $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu a \iota$, and the probable affinity of gnavus to $\kappa \nu a \omega$, or $\gamma \nu a \pi \tau \omega$.

With equal folly we find the old reduplicated preterites tetuli, sciscidi, \&c., accounted for by "Prosthesis."
12. Epenthesis. "Epenthesis is the insertion of a letter or syllable into the body of a word, as Pluvi, Fuvi, Annuvi, Genuvi (all in Ennius) to lengthen the short u of Plui, Fui, Annui, Genui."

This is another curious inversion. If we study the etymological formation of the Latin verb, we soon discover that, in a very large class, the perfect tense is distinguished by the addition of $v$, to what Bopp calls the crude form ; ' we shall also readily perceive that the $v$ is frequently dropped, as in Amasti, amarunt, audieram, \&c. We shall then have no difficulty in recognizing the true old perfects of pluo, fuo, \&c., in pluvi, fuvi, \&c.; and, as might be expected, they are found in the very earliest specimens of the language, and scarcely, if ever, appear after the time of Plautus. It would be just as reasonable to assert, that a $v$ had been inserted in audiveram or abivi, in order to lengthen the short $i$ of audii and abii, as to advance the same proposition with regard to pluvi, fuvi, and the rest. Moreover, we have the express testimony of Priscian, that preterites in $u i$ had the $u$ long in the oldest writers, especially those derived from the present in uo, as eruo, eruii; arguo, arguii; annuo, annuii; and he quotes from Ennius-

## Annüit sese mecum decernere ferro.

In all of which it is clear that the long quantity of the $u$ pointed out the recent disappearance of the $v$. Consult also on this point Voss. Aristarch. II., c. xiii., at the end.

We are sometimes gravely told that navita is by Epenthesis, for nauta, and induperator for imperator; but these and such errors are too palpable to deserve contradiction. So also Mavors for Mars.
13. Parogoge." "Paragoge adds a letter or syllable at the end, as-Amarier, Docerier, Legier, Audirier, for the infinitives Amari, Doceri, Legi, Audiri."

Here, again, an old form which occurs half-a-dozen times in every page of Plautus and the earlier writers, and which is now and then introduced, for the sake of ornament, by Virgil and his contemporaries, is mistaken for a Poetical or Grammatical Figure." (See above, p. 136.)

[^76]14. T'mesis. ${ }^{1}$ "Tmesis is the separation of a word into two, for the purpose of inserting another word between the separated parts." As examples of it, we find
Nunc age Averna tibi quae sint loca cumque lacusque. L. VI., 738. Conlaxat, rareque facit lateramina vasis. L. VI., 233. Talis Hyperboreo Septem subiecta trioni. V. G. III., 381. Et multo nebulae circum dea fudit amictu. V. E. I. I., 412.
In which the words quaecumque, rarefacit, septemtrio, circumfudit, are supposed to be cut up, and their members spread over the line. Here, once more, the real process is inverted. In the earlier forms of the language many words were used separately, which, in the process of time, were compounded together ; and hence, just as we should expect, these separations are much more frequent in Lucretius and the older writers, than in those who succeeded them ; ${ }^{2}$ but even Cicero, in prose, says-" Quod iudicium cumque subierat damnabatur."
15. Diplasiasmus ${ }^{3}$ is the name given to the expedient of doubling a consonant, in such words as redducere, reccidere, relligio. (See above, p. 133.)
16. Apocope. ${ }^{4}$ When a letter or a syllable is dropped at the end of a word, it is called Apocope. There is no harm in applying this to the quick colloquial interrogations, Viden, ain, satin, \&cc., as they stand even before a consonant for videsne, aisne, satisne, dc. ; but it is going rather too far to say, that in
Disiectare solet magnum mare transtra, guberna. L. II., 553. guberna is put by apocope for gubernacula.
17. Syncope ${ }^{5}$ is the dropping of a letter or syllable in the middle of a word. (See above, p. 127.)
18. Aphaeresis ${ }^{6}$ is the taking away of a letter or syllable from the beginning of a word.

As the term is generally used it is quite imaginary. Thus, Servius on Virg. A. I., 546, says, that temnitis arma is by aphaeresis for contemnitis; and again on line 669, tela Typhoéa temnis, he makes the same remark.

[^77]19. Metathesis. " "Metathesis is a transposition of letters, as Evandre, ${ }^{2}$ Thymbre, ${ }^{3}$ for Evander, Thymber." But even the grammarians who give this definition allow ${ }^{4}$ that these vocatives are from the nominatives Evandrus, Thymbrus, of which the former is in common use. ${ }^{5}$ In fact, all the nouns of the second declension in er, are abbreviated words; gener, puer, were originally generus, puerus, or rather generos, pueros, and we still find the vocative puere frequently in Plautus. ${ }^{6}$ To call $i$ prae a metathesis for praei is an absurdity too obvious to deserve notice. ${ }^{7}$
20. Antithesis." "Antithesis takes place when one letter is put for another, as-volnus, voltis, volgus, for vulnus, vultis, vulgus; inclutus, optumus, maxumus, for inclitus, optimus, maximus," \&c. But these are merely old methods of spelling these words; they are found under this shape in a multitude of monuments, some of them of a late date, and certainly many of them were not antiquated even in the age of Augustus. But this has little or no connection with our subject, and belongs rather to a general hristory of the rise and progress of the language, than to a treatise on Prosody.

${ }^{2}$ E. g., Virg. 压. XI., $55 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Ibid. X., 394.
${ }^{4}$ E. g., Scheller in his Grammar, and others. ${ }^{5}$ E. g., E.E. VIII., 100.
${ }^{6}$ E. g., Asin. V. ii., 41 ; Merc. V., ii., 71, 89 ; Truc. II., vi., 54 ; and many other passages.
${ }^{7}$ To this figure some refer the word Crocodilus, which has the first short in Yuven. XV., 2, and long in Phaedrus I., xxiv., 5, 6; and Martial III., xciii., 7, whence most editors in those passages read corcodilus, since the Greeks seem to have said
 xaptspos, and as we use indifferently frith and firth. Compare also the Latin Trabs, Taberna. Similar changes will be found in almost all languages, but they were not introduced by the poets.
${ }^{8}$ 'A $\nu \tau \iota \theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma$, a substitution; from div $\tau \iota$ and $\tau 6 \theta n \mu \epsilon$.

## VERSIFICATION．

A METRICAL FOOT is a combination of two，three，or four syl－ lables．

Different names have been given to the different metrical feet， according to the quantity and arrangement of the syllables of which they are composed．

## TABLE OF METRICAL FEET．

## I．Dissyllabic Feet．

－Pyrrhichius，consisting of two short syllables，．．．．．．．．．．as，Căsŭ．
——Spondaeus，＂＂two long，．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Rēgēs．
——Trochaeus，\＃$\quad, \quad$ a long and a short，．．．．．．．．．Rōmă．
－Iambus，$\quad, \quad$ ，a short and a long，．．．．．．．．．Părēns．

## II．Trisyllabic Feet．

乙 し Tribrachys，consisting of three short，．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．as，${ }^{\text {，Animă．}}$
———Molossus，„，，three long，． Rōmān̄̄．
ーし Dactylus，$\quad, \quad$, a long and two short，．．．．．．．Cārmiñă．
－－Anapaestus，，
$\smile$ — Amphibrachys，
99
———Amphimacer，＂，
$\smile — — B a c c h i u s, \quad "$
—— Antibacchius， ＂a short and two long，．．．．．．Cătōnēs． two short and a long，．．．．．．．P乞̆pŭlōs．
a short，a long，a short，．．．
¿mīcŭ． a long，a short，a long，．．．．Vincŭlīs． ，two long and a short，．．．．．．Cāntārĕ．

## III．Quadrisyllabic Feet．

These are，in fact，permutations of the dissyllabic feet，taken two and two．
$\smile \smile \smile \smile$ Proceleusmaticus，or Double Pyrrhichius，．．．．as－Hăbliŏr．
————Dispondaeus，or Double Spondaeus，．．．．．．．．Māēcēnātēs．
—｀し— Choriambus，a Trochaeus and Iambus，Rōmŭľ̆d̄e．
〔——— Antispastus，an Iambus and Trochaeus，Cly̆tēmnēstră．
－—しーDiiambus，
or Double Iambus，．．．．．．．．．．．．
Cörinthut．
———— Ditrochaeus，or Double T＇rochaeus，．．．．．．．．Dīmìcārĕ．
——し〕Ionicus a maiore，a Spondaeusand Pyrrhichius，Lāvīnăă．
$\smile \smile — — I o n i c u s$ a minore，a PyrrhichiusandSpondaeus，Diōmēdēs．
$\smile$ ——— Epitritus primus，Iambus and Spondaeus，Vēnēnāt̄̄s． －- －Epitritussecundus，Trochaeus and Spondacus，
———— Epitritus tertius，
——— - Epitritus quartus， －$\smile \smile$ Paeonius primus， Spondaeus and Iambus， Cōnditōrḕs． Spondacus and Trochaeus， $\smile$－$\smile$ Paconius secundus， ｀しーし Paeonius tertius， Trochaeus and Pyrrhichius， Hērō̈cī． －Invītāmŭs． cǐlŭus． －Pyrrhichiusand Trochaeus，Mĕnëdēmŭs． $\smile \smile \smile —$ Paeonius quartus，Pyrrhichius and Iambus，Prŏfŭǧ̌̈ns．

Some of the old grammarians have given names to the permuta－ tions of dissyllabic and trisyllabic feet，which form feet of five syllables，amounting in number to thirty－two，to the permutations of trisyllabic feet among each other，which form feet of six syllables， amounting in number to sixty－three，and so on；but these are of no practical utility．

Feet consisting of four，or a greater number of syllables，are called compound feet．

## Remarks．

＂Pes vocatur，sive quia in percussione metrica pedis pulsus ponitur tolliturque；seu quia，ut nos pedibus ingredimur atque progredimur，ita et versus per hos pedes metricos procedit et scandit．＂－Marius Victorinus，p． 2485.

Pyrrhichius．So called from the martial Pyrrhic dance （ $\pi v \rho \dot{\rho} \rho(\chi \eta$ ），which was performed in quick time．Athenaeus，Lib．

 $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \nu, \kappa \alpha \iota$ єic $\tau о$ ，ijт $\tau \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu$－

$$
\Phi \varepsilon v \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu, \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu, \mu \eta \delta \text { aidsıб日aı какоvs вivaı. }
$$

For other derivations and further illustrations，see Terentianus Maurus，v．，1358，p． 2412 ；Diomedes，p． 472 ；Marius Plotius， p． 2624 ；Schol．Hephaest．，p．157，ed．Gaisford，and the enor－ mous mass of learning collected in the notes to Terentianus

Maurus, in the edition of Santenius and Lennep. Trai. ad Rhenum, 1825.

The Pyrrhichius was also called $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu \omega \nu$ (the leader), because it ranked first among metrical feet; $\Delta \iota \beta \rho a \chi v s$, which the Latins rendered by Bibrevis; Пapıaرßos "quod minus habeat unum tempus ab Iambo: $\pi a \rho a$ enim Graeci minus dicunt."-Marius Victorinus, p. 2489.

Trochaeus. From $\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega$, to run; or $\tau \rho \circ \chi o s$, a wheel; in consequence of the tripping character which it communicated to the verses in which it prevailed. ${ }^{1}$ It was also called by the Greeks, xopsıos ( $\chi$ opos, a dance), and by the Latins, Chorius or Choraeus. ${ }^{2}$

The names Choraeus and Trochaeus were given to the Tribrachys also. (See below).

Iambus. The origin of this word is uncertain. Most of the old grammarians,' unable to suggest any plausible deriration, have recourse to a mythical legend, which represents Iambe as the name of a damsel, the slave of Eleusinian Celeus, who amused Ceres by dance and song when mourning the loss of her daughter.

Two other persons of this name are mentioned by the Scholiast on Hephaestio. ${ }^{*}$

Spondaeus. From $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta$, a libation, because it was much used•in the slow, solemu chaunt, which accompanied a sacrifice. ${ }^{4}$

 Diomedes ${ }^{7}$ mentions several other names of this foot, as Thasius, Brachysyllabus, Triorcheos, Pygmon. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

Molossus. So called, according to the Scholiast on Hephaestio, ${ }^{9}$ from Molossus, son of Pyrrhus and Andromache, who repeated

[^78]hymns in which this was the prevailing foot at the shrine of Dodona in Epirus. Others say that it was named from the Molossi in Epirus, who used it in their war songs, which comes to the same thing. ${ }^{1}$ Diomedes mentions several other names by which it was known, as Vortrmnus, Extensipes, Hippius, Chanius (Chaonius?)

Dactylus. From $\delta a k \tau u \lambda o s$, a finger, because each finger consists of one long joint and two short ones. ${ }^{2}$

Anapaestus. "Dictus $\pi a \rho a \tau o$ ávatatetv, катa $\tau о$ áva $\alpha a \lambda \iota \imath$ ávтıкоvєıv $\pi \rho \circ \varrho$ тоv $\Delta a \kappa \tau v \lambda o \nu$; quia recurrendo repercutiens Dactylum sono reciproco obloquitur ei per antistrophen."-Diomed., p. 475. Hence called àvтı $\delta a \kappa \tau v \lambda$ os by the Greeks, ${ }^{3}$ and Retroactus by the Latins."

Amphibrachys. From à $\mu \phi \iota$, about, and $\beta \rho \boldsymbol{u} \chi \boldsymbol{v}$, short. A long syllable embraced by two short ones. Called also Amphibrevis.

Amphinacer. From à $\mu \phi \ell$, about, and $\mu a \kappa \rho o s$, long. A short syllable embraced by two long ones. This foot is also very frequently termed Creticus, because it resembled in time the blows struck by the Corybantes on their brazen shields (graviter, breviter, graviter), to drown the cries of infant Jove, when they feared lest these should reach the ears of Saturn. ${ }^{5}$

Baccuius. So named from being frequently introduced in the songs of the Bacchanals. ${ }^{6}$

Antibaechius or Palmbacchius. (à $\nu \tau \iota, \pi a \lambda \iota \nu$ ); so called, because it is the Bacchius inverted.

A good deal of confusion exists among the old grammarians with regard to these two feet, since many of them, and among these Terentianus Maurus, give the name of Bacchius to two long syllables followed by a short one ( - ) , and of Antibacchius, to the reverse ( - ). Quintilian ${ }^{7}$ mentions this difference of definition; that which is given in the text rests upon the authority of Diomedes, ${ }^{8}$ and is generally adopted.
Diomedes ${ }^{9}$ gives us other names of the Bacchius, Oenotrius, Tripodius, Sultans, and adds that the Greeks call it Pariambus, an

[^79]appellation which, we have seen above, was bestowed on the Pyrrhichius also.
The Palimbacchius he calls likewise, Latius, Saturnius, Proponticus, Thessalus.

Proceleusmaticus. From кє $\lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \iota$ or $\kappa \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \mu a$, the word of command given by the ballet-master in double quick time, to accelerate the step. ${ }^{1}$

Antispastus. From ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \iota$ and $\sigma \pi a \omega$, to draw. Two long. syllables separated or drawn asunder by two short ones. ${ }^{2}$

Ionicus, a maiore, a minore.
"Tonici ab Ione inventore suo dicti." ${ }^{3}$
Epitritus, primus, secundus, \&c., i. e., three long syllables and a short one in addition ( $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \iota \tau o \nu) .{ }^{*}$

Paeon, primus, secundus, \&c.
"Paeones a Paeone poeta nomen inditum possederunt." ${ }^{5}$
A Verse is a combination of metrical feet, arranged according to a given law.
To Scan a verse, is to separate it into the feet of which it is composed.

Verses are divided into classes, which are named from the foot which prevails in each, or of which they were originally chiefly composed. Those classes which will principally occupy our attention in what follows, are-

1. Dactylic verse.
2. Choriambie.
3. Anapaestic.
4. Ionic.
5. Iambic.
6. Trochaic.

Metre, in the general acceptation of the word, signifies a combination of verses, belonging to the same or to different classes, which succeed each other in fixed order. When we speak of Dactylic metre, Iambic metre, \&c., the word metre is synonymous with verse. A metre, in the technical and restricted sense, signifies eithera single foot in a verse, or a combination of two consecutive feet, according to circumstances.

[^80]In Dactylic，Bacchiac，and Cretic verses，and in verses scanned by double feet，$a$ metre signifies a single foot．

In Anapaestic，Iambic，and Trochaic verses，a metre signifies a combination of two consecutive feet．

A combination of two consecutive feet is sometimes termed Dipode（ঠ̊ぇтоסıa），and sometimes a Syzygy（ $\sigma v \zeta v y \iota a$.

Two－and－a－half consecutive feet are termed a Penthemime （ $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon-\eta \eta_{\mu} \mu-\mu \varepsilon \rho о \underline{\text { ．}}$ ）

The different classes of verse are subdivided into genera，accord ing to the number of metres which they contain．

Those verses which contain six metres，are called Hexameter．

| － | － | five | － | Pentameter． |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| － | － | four | － | Three |
| － | － | Trimeteter． |  |  |
| － | － | two | － | Dimeter． |
|  | one | － | Monometer． |  |

From what has been said above，it will be seen that a Dactylic， a Choriambic，or an Ionic Tetrameter，contains four feet，while an Iambic，an Anapaestic，or a Trochaic Tetrameter contains eight，and so for the rest．

Moreover，a verse may or may not contain an exact number of metres，and hence it is necessary to have terms to distinguish these different species．

When a verse contains the exact number of metres denoted by the name of its genus，it is called Acatalectic（áката入үктоц，com－ plete，entire）．

Thus，when we speak of an Iambic Trimeter Acatalectic，we mean to indicate an Iambic verse which contains exactly three metres or six feet，neither more nor less．

When a verse contains one syllable less than ought to be con－ tained in the number of metres denoted by the name of its genus， it is called Catalectic（ката入 $\eta к \tau о$ ，imperfect，deficient）．

Thus，when we speak of a Trochaic Tetrameter Catalectic，we mean to indicate a Trochaic verse，which contains four metres or eight feet，wanting one syllable．

When two syllables are wanting，the verse is said to be Brachy－


When there is one syllable over and above the number of metres denoted by the name of the genus，it is called Hypercatalectic


Hence，the complete name of every verse consists of three terms： the first denotes the Class，the second the Genus，the third the Species；sometimes an additional qualification is added to mark a

Variety, as in the epithet Scazon (lame, halting), applied to distinguish a variety of the Iambic Trimeter Acatalectic, or Miurus, to a variety of the Dactylic Hexameter Acatalectic.

It ought to be observed, that many different species of verse have received names from the authors by whom they were chiefly employed, or from the subjects to which they were principally devoted.

Thus, a species of Choriambic verse is called Sapphic, because it appears in two of the most celebrated fragments of the Lesbian poetess ; so we have Phalaecian, Alcaean, Archilochian, and many others, called from different Greek bards with whom they were favourite measures. Again, the Dactylic Hexameter Acatalectic is frequently entitled simply Heroic verse, or the Heroic Hexameter, because it was the verse chosen by the Epic writers of Greece and Rome: the Galliambic derived its appellation from the Galli or priests of Cybele, who are said to have composed in it their sacred songs; in the Priapean, odes were written to the tutelary deity of gardens, and so on.

## I. Dactylic Verses.

The only feet admissible in Dactylic Verses are the Dactyl and the Spondee. We may remind the student that in Dactylic Verses a single foot constitutes a metre, and consequently the terms Hexameter, Pentameter, \&c., express the number of feet contained in each of the different genera. We shall begin with the most important member of this class.

## a. Dactylic Hexameter Acatalectic.

The Dactylic or Heroic Hexameter was considered to be the most ancient as well as the most dignified form of verse. According to the tradition of the Greeks, it was made known to men by Phemonoe, the first priestess of Delphic Apollo, who, when inspired by the god, was wont to chaunt his oracles in this measure. It must have been cultivated at a period far beyond the records of authentic history, since it appears in its most perfect shape in the poems of Homer. Introduced into Latium by Ennius, who first discarded the rude Saturnian strains of his predecessors, it was universally adopted both by the Greeks and Romans, as the proper medium for epic themes, and was also commonly employed in didactic and satiric compositions. Virgil is considered the model of this species of verse, among the Latins, and any remarks which we may make on the delicacies of its structure, must be understood to apply neither to the satirists, who aimed at rendering their lines
as familiar and homely as possible, nor to such writers as Lucretius, the refractory nature of whose subject demanded greater latitude.

1. The Dactylic Hexameter consists, as its name imports, of six feet; in the first four places, Dactyls or Spondees may be used at pleasure; the fifth foot is usually a Dactyl, the sixth invariably a Spondee, as represented in the following scheme :-

2. With regard to the comparative number of Dactyls and Spondees which ought to constitute a line, or the order in which they ought to succeed each other, no positive rule can be laid down. Generally speaking, the line is more smooth and flowing, when it contains a number of Dactyls; but the great aim of the composer ought to be to vary the arrangement of the constituent parts of the verse, in such a manner as to avoid uniformity and monotony, taking care, however, never to sacrifice the harmony of the measure, although even this is done occasionally, and probably not without design, for the sake of contrast, by the best writers. We not unfrequently find lines, in which all the feet, except the last, are Dactyls, as -
Dulcis et alta quies placidaeque simillima morti. V. W. VI., 522.
Obiicit, ille fame rabida tria guttura pandens. V. AF. VI., 421. and on the other hand, others where all except the fifth are Spondees,
Qui bello exciti reges quae quemque secutae. V. 底. VII., 642.
Post hos insignem palma per gramina currum. V. WU. VII., 655.
But for the most part, they are interspersed more equally.
3. We have said, that the fifth foot is usually a Dactyl; in some cases, though rarely, a Spondee is found in this place, in which case the line is called a Spondaic Line. Thus-
Vos ego saepe meo, vos carmine compellabo. C. LXIV., 24.
Cara deum soboles magnum Iovis incrementum. V. E. IV., 49.
In Spondaic lines, the fourth foot is usually a Dactyl, as in the two examples quoted above, not uniformly, however, as
Saxa per et scopulos et depressas convalles. V. G. III., 276.
Aut leves ocreas lento ducunt argento. V. XI. VII., 634.
[^81]The older poets do not scruple to use lines containing Spondees alone，as－

Olli respondet rex Albäi longäi．Enn．F．Ann．I．
Cives Romani tunc facti sunt Campani．Enn．F．Incert．
An coelum nobis natura ultro corruptum．L．VI．， 1134.
Quis te lenirem nobis neu conarere．C．CXVI．， 3.
In Spondaic lines，the last word is usually a quadrisyllable，as－
Ante tibi Eoae Atlantides abscondantur．${ }^{1}$ V．G．I．， 221.
But to this rule also there are not a few exceptions，as in the two lines quoted above from Virgil，ending in the trisyllables convalles and argento，and in others given below．${ }^{2}$ More remarkable than these are the following，where a monosyllable closes the verse－
Cum sociis natoque Penatibus et magnis dis．V．LE．III．， 12.
Cum Patribus，Populoque，Penatibus et magnis dis．V．W．VIII．， ［679．
Spondaic lines are much more common in the Greek than in the best Latin poets；there are some twenty－eight of this description in Virgil，while in a single piece of Catullus（LXIV．），${ }^{3}$ who formed his verses upon the Greek model，we find a greater number．${ }^{4}$

## Caesura in Dactylic Hexameters．

4．The melody of the Hexameter depends in a great measure on the position of the Caesura．

We have already seen when treating of Caesura in general，that in Dactylic Hexameters there may be a Caesura at the beginning of the second，third，fourth，fifth，or even sixth foot．

The last two are to be avoided altogether； of the rest，the Caesura at the beginning of the third foot，or Penthemimeral Caesura，is that which，above all others，tends to give smoothness and rhythm to the line，and consequently is found in the great majority of instances，either by itself，as－

[^82]Classica iamque sonant || it bello tessera signum. V. A. VII., 637. Or combined with others, as-
Ad nos vix tenuis || famae \|| perlabitur aura. V. AT. VII., 646. Insignis || reserat || stridentia limina consul. V. A. VII., 613. Sunt geminae || belli || portae || sic nomine dicunt. V. A. VII., 607. Next in merit to the Penthemimeral, is the Hepthemimeral, which is sometimes found alone, as-
Litora deseruere latet \|| sub classibus aequor. V. A. IV., 582.
Sometimes combined with the Triemimeral, as -
Quo perii || superimponas. || Abolere nefandi. V. AR. IV., 497.
More rarely the Triemimeral is found alone, as-
Incipiunt || agitata tumescere et aridus altis. V. G. I., 357.
When the Hepthemimeral or the Triemimeral alone occur in a line, there is commonly a Trochaic Caesura ${ }^{1}$ in the third foot, as in the last example, and in that quoted from V. A. IV., 582.
${ }^{1}$ When the first two syllables of a Dactyl are the last two syllables of a word, they form what has been denominated a Trochaic Caesura; thus in the lines-

> Litora deseru | ere latet sub classibus aequor
> Incipiunt agit | ata tum | escer' et aridus altis,
ere, ata, and escer' form Trochaic Caesuras.
The Trochaic Caesura is very pleasing in the third foot, and communicates great smoothness and softness to the line. When two Trochaic Caesuras are employed in succession, they confer a sort of elastic and bounding character on the verse, as in the celebrated

The Greeks, sometimes, to answer a particular purpose, have five of these following each other, as in-
$\Pi_{0 \lambda \lambda \alpha} \delta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \propto \nu \tau \alpha, \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \varepsilon, \delta о \chi \mu ь \alpha \tau \cdot \hat{\jmath} \lambda \theta 0 \nu$.
But in Latin, under ordinary circumstances, such a line as the following would be quite inadmissible-

Sole cadente iuvencus aratra reliquit in arvo.
See Herman. D. M. E. II., c. 26.
When the fourth foot is a Dactyl, and ends with a word, the line is said to have the Bucolic Incision, or roun, this being a favourite division of the verse with the Greek pastoral poets. It is not affected by Virgil in his Bucolics, but is found not unfiequently elsewhere, e. g.,

Continuo ventis surgentibus \| aut freta ponti. V. G. I., 356.
Sanguineae, clypeoque micantia \|f fulmina mittunt. V. AE. IV., 733.

Or we have a monosyllable at the beginning of the third foot, as Nec Saturnius haec \| oculis \| pater adspicit aequis. V. A. IV., [372.
Et cum frigida mors || anima || seduxerit artus. V. $\mathbb{E}$. IV., 385. Coniugium || vocat, hoc \| praetexit nomine culpam. V. A. IV., [172.
A few lines are found which have the Trochaic Caesura alone, asSpargens humida mella || soporiferumque papaver. V. AE. IV., 486.

Or combined with a bad Caesura at the beginning of the fifth foot, as-

Per connubia nostra || per inceptos || hymenaeos. V. A. IV., 316. Rarely the Caesuras are monosyllabic,
Sidera, tum si quod \| non aequo foedere amantes. V. A. IV., 520. Nam quid dissimulo, aut \| quae me ad \| maiora reservo. V. $E$. [IV., 368.
Ardet inexcita, Ausonia, atque || immobilis ante. V. A. VII., 623.
In the last two, the elisions may give a sort of pause to the voice.
Lines which are altogether destitute of Caesura, are little better than prose, such as we find in Ennius, where each word of the first four forms a foot,

Sparsis hastis longis campus splendet et horret.
Or such as the following-
Poeni pervortentes-omnia circumcursant. ${ }^{1}$
5. Even when the Caesura is observed, we ought to avoid any pause which will have the effect of dividing the line into two equal parts, like that just quoted; thus we must not imitate,
Montibus audiri fragor-aut resonantia longe. ${ }^{2}$ V. G. I., 358. Pulverulentus equis furit-omnes arma requirunt. V. AE. VII., [625.
But the result is still worse with a Spondee in the third place.
This applies, however, only when there is a pause in the sense, at the end of the third foot, for if the word which closes the third

[^83]foot be a monosyllable, or even a dissyllable closely connected with the following words, the effect is not bad, as -
Sustulerat vetitisque $a d \|$ Troiam miserat armis. V. $x$. IX., 547. Tollitur; invadunt, et $\|$ fossas aggere complent. V. $\mathbb{L}$. IX., 567. Ne castris iungant, certa \|| est sententia Turno. V. . .4. X., 240. Frigidus Arcadibus coit $\|$ in praecordia sanguis. V. LX. X., 452.

## The Last Word in a Dactylic Hexameter.

6. Next to the position of the Caesura, the arrangement of the words at the close of the verse is of the greatest importance.

The concluding word is for the most part a dissyllable or a trisyllable; and these form the most appropriate endings.

A quadrisyllable is scarcely ever found at the end of a. Virgilian Hexameter, except in the case of a proper name, or, which is nearly the same thing, the name of a plant, an animal, a metal, or the like; as-
Aut Tmaros, aut Rhodope, aut extremi Garamantes. V. E. VIII., [44.
Pergama quum peteret inconcessosque Hymenaeos. V. AJ. I., 651. Aeriae quercus aut coniferae cyparissi. V. AF. III., 680.
Altera candenti perfecta nitens elephanto. V. W. VI., 896. Ipse dehine, auro squalentem alboque orichalco. V. S. XII., 87.

In the following, however, the quadrisyllable does not belong to this class :-
Et nunc ille Paris cum semiviro comitatu. V. A. IV., 215. Lamentis gemituque et femineo ululatus V. $\mathbb{L}$. IV., 667.

Besides the above, we have in Virgil,
Aracyntho, E. II., 24. Meliboei, E. III., 1; A. III., 401. Hyacinthus, \&c., E. III., 63; VI., 53; G. IV., 137 ; Æ. XI., 69. Melicertae, G. I., 437. Cyparissis, G.II., 84. Elephanto, G. III., 26; Ж. III., 464. Hymenaei, \&c., G. III., 60; IV., 516; Ж. IV., 99, 316; VI., 623; VII., 344, 35̄8, 398; XI., 217, 355 . Scylaceum, A.'. III., 553. Agathyrsi, Ж. IV., 146. Ululatu, N. IX., 477. Erymantho, \&c., 友. V., 448; VI., 803. Terebintho, Æ. X., 136. Panaceam, 厌. XII., 419. Peridiae, Æ. XII., 515.

A word of five syllables at the end of a line, although less unpleasing to the ear than a quadrisyllable, is still more uncommon. We find it chiefly in proper names.

Fagina, coelatum divini opus Alcimedontis. T. E. III., 37.
Quarum, quae fandi doctissima, Cymodocea. V. IE. X., 225.

More rarely in ordinary words；as－
Dant sónitu ingenti，perfractaque quadrupedantum．V．W．XI．，
［614．
Parietibus textum coecis iter，ancipitemque．V．灰．V．， 589.
Besides the above，we have in Virgil，
Alphesiboeus，E．VIII．，62．Deiopea，G．IV．，343．Hippocoontis，
 393，601．Laodamia，Æ．VI．，447．Thersilochumque，Æ工．VI．， 483；XII．，363．Aeoliamque，Ж．VIII．， 416.

7．When there is any considerable pause at the end of the fifth foot，the sixth foot ought to consist of two monosyllables，or of a repeated word，in order to give more force to the Spondee．
Nunc te marmoreum pro tempore fecimus ：at tu．V．E．VII．， 35.
At Boreae de parte trucis quum fulminat，et quum．V．G．I．， 370.
Incipiunt sylvae quum primum surgere，quumque．V．E．VI．， 39. Ipsi tela regent per viscera Caesaris，ipsi．L．P．VII．， 350.

For other examples，see V．E．V．，83；IX．，48．G．I．，80，223； III．，24，133，358，428．不．II．， 217 ；III．，151，695；IV．， 541 ； V．，372，624，713；VI．，117，466；VII．，790；XI．，164，170， 429 ； XII．，48，360，526．－L．P．IV．，587；VI．， 700.

Although there are some apparent violations of this law，yet upon examination it will be found，that in each case there is a strong emphasis on the last word，as in the line quoted by Herman． D．M．E．Lib．II．，c．xxvi．－

Ingentem remis Centaurum promovet，ille
Instat aquae，\＆c．
See also V．Æ．．，III．，219；IV．，593．－L．III．，33，287 ；IV．， 214.

Even when there is no considerable pause at the end of the fifth foot，we sometimes find two monosyllables，and the effect is not inharmonious；e．g．，

Explorare labor，mihi iussa capessere fas est．V．A．I．， 77.
Praccipitant curae，turbataque funere mens est．V．．T．XI．， 3.
See also V．G．II．， 103 ；III．，484；IV．，84．Ж．II．，163；IV．， 224；VII．，310，708；VIII．，400；IX．，491；X．，9，231；XI．，16； XII．，231， 565.
8. A single monosyllable is rarely found in Virgil, at the end $c$ ? a line, and seems to be introduced for the sake of variety only; e. g., Et me Phoebus amat, Phoebo sua semper apued me. V. E. III., 62. Unus qui nobis cunctando restituit rem. V. E. VI., 847.
Quae vigilanda viris, vel quum ruit imbriferum ver. ${ }^{1}$ V. G. I., 313.
Observe, however, that est is frequently found at the end of a line, when preceded by a dissyllable or trisyllable, which suffers elision-
Ad quem tum Iuno supplex his vocibus usa est. V. WE. I., 64
Ac veluti magno in populo quam saepe coorta est. V. A. I., 148.
There are at least seventy-nine examples of this in Virgil.
9 . When the last word is a dissyllable, and the word immediately before it is also a dissyllable, which does not suffer elision, then the last word but two ought to be a monosyllable, as-
Dum memor ipse mei, dum spiritus hos regit artus. V. E. IV., 336. "Tum consanguineus leti sopor, et mala mentis. V. A. VI., 278.

There are but few lines in Virgil such as the following,
Nec rapit immensos orbes per humum neque tanto. Immittit, sonuere undae, rapidum super amnem. S. XI., 562.
To which add, Æ. V., 731; X., 302, 400, 440, 442, 471; XI., 143.
10. If the end of the second foot coincides with the end of a word, the second foot ought to be a Dactyl, as -
Aut aliquis latet $\|$ error, equo ne credite, Teucri. V. NE. II., 48.
Inde toro pater \| A eneas sic orsus ab alto. V. $\mathbb{L}$. II., 2.
Unless the last word of the second foot be a monosyllable, as -
Et quorum pars $\|$ magna fui, quis talia fando. V. EE. II., 6.
11. If the sense of one line is carried on and concluded in the first word of the line following, the first foot in the second line ought to be a Dactyl, as -
Nunc age Dardaniam prolem quae deinde sequatur
Gloria, \&c. $\mathbb{L}$.
VI., 756.

[^84]Sylvius Aeneas pariter pietate vel armis Egregius, \&c.

$$
V . \text { L. VI., } 763 .
$$

There are not many exceptions to this rule; we find, howeverUt cymbae instabiles, fluctu iactante, saburram Tollunt, \&c.
V. G. IV., 195.

Hoc primum, nec si miserum fortuna Sinonem Finxit, vanum etiam, \&c.
V. AE. II., 79.

Sometimes this is done, apparently for the sake of emphasis, as in Vox quoque per lucos vulgo exaudita silentes Ingens.
V. G. I., 476.

With regard to elisions, we may repeat that
12. A short vowel may be cut off before another short vowel, which will retain its quantity, or before a long vowel or a diphthong, which of course remain long, as-
Bis conatus erat casus effingere in auro. V. R. VI., 32.
Adforet atque una Phoebi Triviaeque sacerdos. V. W. VI., 35.
Si nunc se nobis ille aureus arbore ramus. V. . T. VI., 187.
Also, a long vowel or a diphthong may be cut off before another long vowel or a diphthong, as-
Contra elata mari respondet Gnosia tellus. V. X. VI., 23.
Tendebantque manus ripae ulterioris amore. V. E. VI., 314.
Even a long vowel or a diphthong may be cut off before a short vowel, the latter remaining short, as -
Hoc fletu concussi animi moestusque per omnes. V. AE. IX., 498. Concurrunt Tyrrhenae acies, atque omnibus uni. V. L. X., 691.
but this is, comparatively, rare.
With regard to the number of elisions, no certain rule can be laid down. In modern compositions it is better to avoid employing them very frequently, especially in monosyllabic words. A monosyllable, when elided at the beginning of a line, produces a very awkward effect, as -
Si ad vitulam spectas, nihil est, quod pocula laudes. V. E. III., 48.
13. There are a considerable number of examples in Virgil of the lengthening of a short syllable by the force of the Caesural pause, as may be seen by referring to the list given in pages 108, 109; there are also a few instances of hiatus, especially in the case of
proper names; but these and all similar licenses should be scrupulously avoided in modern compositions.
14. It is proper to remark also, that Virgil never uses the open form of the genitive in nouns of the second declension which end in ium or ius; we have pecul̂̂, tugur̂̂, ot̂̂, Capitol̂̀, Mezent̂̂, \&c., never peculii, tugurii, otii, Capitolii, Mezentii ; this remark applies to all the writings of Horace also, and it cannot be the result of accident, since the open form would in many cases be much more convenient. The double $i$ is found occasionally in Propertius, and is very common in Ovid. For a full discussion of this critical canon, see the notes to Dawes's Miscellanea Critic, p. 28, ed. Kidd.
15. Some of the old grammarians were of opinion that not only the Dactyl and the Spondee were admissible in Heroic verse, but that the Proceleusmatic, the Anapaest, and the Cretic were sometimes introduced. As examples of these, they quoted from Virgil such lines as

> Păriěť̌ | busque prem | unt arct $\dagger$ is et | quatuor addunt Flư̌̌̌̄ō | rum rex | Eridan | us camp | osque per | omnes -Insưlae | Ioni | o in magn | o quas | dira Celaeno. |

But we have already pointed out, in the chapters on Poetical Licenses and Elision, the manner in which these difficulties must be explained. It appears probable, however, from some lines of Ennius, if any reliance can be placed on such scraps, that he occasionally indulged in liberties of this kind. Thus, we find among his remains,

Căрйťb̆и | nutantes pinus rectosque cupressos
Mĕlănūr | um, turdum, merulamque, umbramque marinam.

## b. Dactylic Pentameter Acatalectic.

This species of verse was so called in consequence of the manner in which it was scanned by some of the old grammarians, who viewed it as consisting of two Dactyls or Spondees, followed by a Spondee and two Anapaests, according to the following scheme :-


Frigidid | ūs glăcǐ | è pēct | ǔs ămānt | iss ěrāt Nīl mǐhĭ | rêscrīb | às àt | tăměn īps | ě věnī Lässā | rêt v̌dŭ | às pēnd | ưlă tēl | ă mănūs | Flē̄ām | sūccēss | ū pōs | sĕ cărē | rĕ dơlōs.
See Quintil. IX., c. 4 ; Terent. Maur. v., 2421.

Hephaestio, however, who has been followed by almost all modern scholars, considers it as composed of two Dactylic Penthemimers, or, in other words, two Dactylic Trimeters Catalectic joined together. According to this,

1. The first two feet may be either Dactyls or Spondees, they are followed by a Caesural syllable, then two Dactyls, and another Caesural syllable; thus,


Frīgĭdǐ | ūs glăcǐ | ē || pēctŭs ăm | ăntǐs ĕr | āt |
Nīl mǐhı̆ | rēscrīb | ās || āttăměn | īpsec věn |ī |
Lāssā | rêt vidŭ | ās || pēndŭlă | tēlă măn | ūs .
Flēbām | sūccēss | ū || pōssē căr | ērĕ dưl | ōs. |
That this is the proper view to take of its structure seems certain, from the fact that a division of the verse takes place invariably at the end of the fifth half foot, as well in the Greek as in the Latin writers. ${ }^{1}$

Ovid is considered the model of this species of verse among the Romans, and the wonderful smoothness and melody of his compositions are the result of close attention to a number of minute observances, which were altogether neglected by the Greeks and by their imitators, Catullus, Tibullus, and Propertius.

Following the example of Ovid, the following points deserve particular notice :-
2. The first Caesura ought always to be strictly the last syllable in a word, and not rendered so by elision, as in the following lines from Catullus :-

Quam veniens una atque || altera rursus hyems. C. LXVIII., 82. Troia virum et virtutum || omnium acerba cinis. C. LXVIII., 90. Nec desistere amare || omnia si facias. C. LXXV., 8.
3. If the first Caesural syllable be a monosyllable, which ought not to happen frequently, it must be preceded by a long monosyllable, or by a word of the same time, i. e., a word consisting of two short syllables, e. g.,

[^85]Et mihi si non vis || parcere, parce meis. O. H. IV., 162.
Tu dominus, tu vir $\|$ tu mihi frater eras. O. H. III., 52.
Nulla tibi sinĕ me \|| gaudia facta, neges. O. H. III., 112.
Praeterito măgĭs est || iste pudendus amor. O. H. V., 44.
An exception to this rule is made when the monosyllable is est, and the word before it suffers elision; such lines as the following are not uncommon:-
Litteraque invisa est, || hac mea parte tibi. O. II. XVIII., 202. Quo nisi consilio est || usa puella tuo. O. A. A. II., 368.

But such as the following are very rare in Ovid :Sed sic inter nos \|| ut latuisse velint. O. A. A. II., 612. Quod licet inter vos || nomen habete meum. O. T. V., iii., 58. Iustaque quamris est, $\|$ sit minor ira dei. O. E. P. II., viii., 76 . Quaere suburbana hic || sit mihi terra locum. O. T. III., vi., 38.
4. The last word of a Dactylic Pentameter is, in the great majority of instances, a dissyllable in Ovid.

We occasionally find est in this place, preceded by a dissyllable which suffers elision-

Hic est cuius amans hospita capta dolo est. O. II. II., 74.
Nec repetor; cessas, iraque lenta tua est. O. H. III., 22.
More rarely two monosyllables,
Praemia si studio consequor ista, sat est. O.T. V., vii., 68.
But such a line as the following must be considered altogether unworthy of imitation :-
Omnis an in magnos culpa deos scelus est. O. E. P. I., vi., 26.
5. The trisyllabic ending, although very common in the Greek poets, in Catullus, \&ce., may be said to be altogether excluded from the Ovidian Pentameter; we find one example only in his earlicr works, and five others in the Epistles from Pontus, which together with the Tristia, were composed while the poet was plunged in the deepest despondency, and bear tokens of less accurate revision than his other productions-

Quae tamen externis danda forent generis. O. H. XIV., 62.
To which add faciet, E. P. I., i., 66. Liceat, viii., 40. Recitent, III., v., 40. Videor, vi., 46. Tegeret, IV., ix., 26.
6. The quadrisyllabic ending is likewise very uncommon except in the Tristia and Epistles from Pontus; we have, however, two or three examples in his other works-

Unda simul miserum vitaque deseruit. O. H. XIX., 202.
Et circumfusis invia fluminibus. O. F. V., 582.
Cantabat moestis tibia funeribus. O. F. VI., 660.
To which add, Ausoniae, T. I., iii., 6. Italia, iv., 20. Cyaneas, x., 34. Imperii, II., 232. Historiae, 416. Exsequiue, III., v., 40. Barbariae, ix., 2. Barbaria, x., 4. Posteritas, IV., x., 2. Obsequium, V., vi., 30. Perlegere, E. P. II., ii., 6. Imperium, 72. Dalmatiae, 78. Articulis, iii., 18. Ingenium, v., 26. Alcinoi, ix., 42. Adspiciant, III., i., 166. Alcinoo, IV., ii., 10. Anticyra, iii., 54. Officio, v., 24. Alterius, vi., 6. Auxilium, 14. Oechalia, viii., 62. Utilitas, ix., 48. Danubium, 80. Imperii, xiii., 28. Ingeniis, 46. Invenies, xiv., 4. Ingenio, 18. Imposuit, 56. Auxilium, xv., 26.
7. The quinquesyllabic ending is still more rare than the quad-risyllabic-

Lis est cum forma magna pudicitice. O. H. XVI., 288.
Nec sedeo duris torva superciliis. O. H. XVII., 16.
To which add, Adulterii, T. II., 212. Ericthonium, 294. Adulterium est, 430. Adulteria, 514. Amicitiae, IV., v., 24. Patrocinium, E. P. I., ii., 70. Ericthonius, II., ix., 20. Amicitia, IV., iii., 12. Amicitiae, xiii., 44.
8. As to the kind of words which conclude the line, they ought to possess some emphasis. They are usually nouns substantive, the personal and possessive pronouns, or verbs. Adjectives do not often occur in this place, adverbs still more rarely, and less frequently than either, the present participle active. ${ }^{1}$
9. We may further observe, that elisions should be resorted to sparingly, especially in the second half of the verse, where they are by no means harmonious. They may be allowed in the first of the two Dactyls, as-

Ultimus est aliqua decipere arte labor. O. H. XII., 50.
Incipis, incipiet desinere esse mea. O. A. II., xix., 48.
But when they fall on the second Dactyl, the melody of the line is destroyed, e. g.,

[^86]Quis scit an haec saevas tigridas insula habet. O. H. X., 86.
10. At the beginning of the verse, it is better to have a Dactyl followed by a Spondee than the reverse, as may be seen in ${ }^{1}$

> Vix Priamus tanti totaque Troia fuit. O.H. I., 4.
> Res est solliciti plena timoris amor. $\quad$ O. H. I., 12.

But this is not accurately observed.
11. Although in this species of verse the last syllable of the line is common, yet a short vowel ought to be avoided; and such will be found to be the general usage of Ovid. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, of the two following lines, the first is the more pleasing-
Dummodo quas findam corpore dentur aqu(̄e. O. H. XVIII., 146. Cumque mea fiunt turbida mente fretŭ. O. H. XVIII., 172.

But the exceptions are far too numerous to allow us to lay this down as a positive rule.
12. Dactylic Pentameters are never found in a system by themselves in the classic authors (unless seven lines in Ausonius can be taken as an exception), but always in combination with Hexameters. Hexameters and Pentameters, placed alternately, constitute what is named the Elegiac Distich; so called, it would seem, from having been originally employed in mournful strains; but the original inventor of the measure was unknown even in the days of Horace-

> Versibus impariter iunctis querimonia primum, Post etiam inclusa est voti sententia compos; Quis autem exiguos elegos emiserit auctor
> Grammatici certant et adhuc sub iudice lis est.

Its province was, however, in process of time, much extended. It was used by the Greeks in hymns, epigrams, and even war songs; and by the Romans in epigrams, epistles, and all kinds of amatory poetry.
13. With regard to the structure of the Hexameter, when combined with the Pentameter, little need be said in addition to the general observations already made, except that all the canons laid down in the last section ought to be observed with still greater strictness than in ordinary Heroics, the utmost grace and smoothness being the olject to be attained in Elegiac compositions, rather than variety, dignity, or force.

Upon this principle, all inharmonious elisions, lengthening of short syllables by Caesura, monosyllabic, quadrisyllabic, and quin-

[^87]${ }^{2}$ Ibid.
quesyllabic terminations, Spondaic lines, and licenses of every description, should be avoided with care, and the utmost pains taken to render the verse smooth and flowing, by employing none but the best Caesuras.
14. The rule laid down with regard to Hexameters, that, if the sense of one line be carried forward, and terminated in the first word of the next line, then the first foot of the second line ought to be a Dactyl, applies equally to the Hexameter, when followed by the Pentameter. Thus we seldom find in Ovid such a couplet as-
\{ Inde duae pariter, visu mirabile, palmae
$\{$ Surgunt, ex illis altera maior erat. O. F. III., 31.
But generally,
\{ Semisepulta virum curvis feriuntur aratris
Ossa, ruinosas occulit herba domus. O. H. I., 55.
\{ Nos Pylon, antiqui Neleia Nestoris arva Misimus, incerta est fama remissa Pylo. O. H. I., 63.
15. Finally, it is to be remarked, that each sentence ought to be included within a couplet. If the sense be continued beyond a couplet, which does not often happen, then it should be completed at the end of the second couplet, and never be permitted to extend beyond these limits, nor stop short in the middle of a couplet. ${ }^{1}$

## c. Dactylic Tetrameter Acatalectic,

Consists, as the name implies, of four feet. The first.two may be either Dactyls or Spondees; the third a Dactyl, rarely a Spondee; the fourth invariably a Spondee; thus-


Being in fact the same with the last four feet of the Heroic Hexameter.

It is used three times by Horace, in combination with the Heroic Hexameter, in Od. I., vii. and xxviii., and Epod. XII.-

[^88]Laudabunt alii claram Rhodon et Mitylenen Aūt Epheson bimarisve Corinthī
In the following line a Spondee is found in the third foot, preceded by a Dactyl, answering to the Spondaic line in the Hexameter:-

Te maris et terrae numeroque carentis arenae.
Mensorem cohibēnt, -Archyta. H. O. I., xxviii., 1.
Horace has generally a Caesura at the beginning of the second or third foot, as in-

Unde potest || tibi defluat aequo. H. O. I., xxviii., 28.
Tempora testatus || nihil ultra. II. O. I., xxviii., 12.
It is, however, altogether omitted in
Teque piacula nulla resolvent. II. O. I., xxviii., 34.
And is monosyllabic in
Iudice te $\|$ non sordidus auctor. H. O. I., xxviii., 14.
Plurimus in || Iunonis honorem. H. O. I., vii., 8.
The structure of the lines in Epod. XII. is much less regular, in consequence of the style and tone of that piece.

## d. Dactylic Trimeter Hypercatalectic,

Consists of three Dactyls and a syllable.
—— 1 - -1 - $-\cup 1 \cong$
Ausonius will afford an example-
Parva etiam fuit Idalia,
Nomine praedita quae Paphiae,
Et speciem meruit Veneris,
Quae genita est mihi paene soror,
Filia nam fuit haec amitae,
Quam celebrat sub honore pio,
Naenia carmine funereo,
Auson. Parent. XXVIII.
See also Epig. LXXVIII.
A variety of this measure is found in Boethius, which admits of a Spondee in the first two places.

> e. Dactylic Trimeter with a Base,

Consists of two Dactyls followed by a Spondee, with a Bas, i. e.,
one long, or two short syllables, prefixed to the beginning of the line-

$$
\smile \smile|-\smile \smile|-\smile-\mid-\simeq
$$

Ausonius will afford an example. In the following quotation, the first line is an Iambic Trimeter, the rest are the verses in question :-

> Nec Herculanum genitum germana mea, Mǒdŭl | ām̌̆ně | naenĭă | trīstī, Tăč̌t | ūm sǐne hŏn |. ōrĕ rě | līnquāt, Sŭpĕr | īndơlě | cūǔs ăd | ūlti, Māgn | ae bǒnă | cōpiă | lāudīs.

Auson. Parent. XVII., 1.

## f. Dactylic Trimeter Catalectic, ${ }^{1}$

Otherwise called the Lesser Archilochian, is a Dactylic Penthemimer, and identical with the latter half of the Dactylic Pentameter, being composed of two Dactyls and a Caesural syllable. Spondees are not introduced by Horace-


Arboribusque comae.
Horace uses this verse in Od. IV., vii., alternately with the Dactylic Hexameter-

Diffugere nives redeunt iam gramina campis
Arboribusque comae
Mutat terra vices et decrescentia ripas
Flumina praetereunt.

## g. Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic,

Otherwise called Adonic, consists of a Dactyl followed by a Spondee-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - - | - - } \\
& \text { Tērruǔt | ūrbēm } \\
& \text { Vīsěré | mōntēs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is usually subjoined to three Epichoriambic verses, thus constituting what is called the Sapphic Stanza, which will be particularly described hereafter.

[^89]
## II. Choriambic Verses.

The Choriambic verses chiefly used by the Latin poets, are of four kinds, the construction of which is exceedingly simple and easily explained.

The first foot is a Spondee, the last an Iambus (in one species, a catalectic syllable), and between these, one, two, or three Choriambi are interposed. We shall consider them in succession.

## a. Choriambic Tetrameter Acatalectic,

Otherwise called the Greater Asclepiadean, is composed of a Spondee, three Choriambi, an Iambus-


Tū nē | quaesǐerīs, || scīrě nĕfās, || quēm mǐhǐ quēm | tǐbī. Finem | dî dederint | Leuconoe || nec Babylon | ios. Tenta | ris numeros. || Ut melius || quidquid erit, | pati! H. O. I., [xi., 1.
In Horace, Od. I., xi., xviii. ; IV., x., consist solely of this measure. The first and the second Choriambus ought each to end with a word, as in the above examples. This rule has been transgressed once only by Horace, and this single exception is in a word compounded with a preposition-
Arcan | ique fides || prodiga per || lucidior | vitro. I., xviii., 16.
This species of Choriambic verse is used once by Catullus. Carm. XXX.

Alphene immemor, atque || unanimis || false sodalibus !
He does not, however, regularly observe both the divisions of the verse noticed above, but he has no line without one or other of these pauses-

> Nec facta impia fallacum hominum || coelicolis placent. 4.
> Certe tute iubebas animam || tradere, inique, me. 7.
> Si tu oblitus est, at || Di meminerunt, meminit Fides. 11.
> Quae te ut poeniteat || postmodo facti faciet tui. 12.

## b. Choriambic Trimeter Acatalectic,

Otherwise called the Lesser Asclepiadean, is composed of a Spondee, two Choriambi, an Iambus-

$$
--1-\sim \sim-11-\sim \sim-1 \smile=
$$

Maecēn | ās ǎtăvīs | ēdǐtě rēg | ǐbūs
0 et $\mid$ praesidium_et | dulce decus | meum. I., i, 1.
In Horace, Od. I., i. ; III., xxx. ; IV., viii., consist solely of this measure; it is found in several odes, combined with other species of Choriambic verses, as will be noticed below.

The first Choriambus ought always to end with a word, as in the above examples. This rule has been twice transgressed by Horace; in one of the instances, however, in a word compounded with a preposition, and in the other, in a proper name-

Dum flagrantia de | torquet ad oscula. II., xii., 25.
Non stipendia Carth | aginis impiae. ${ }^{1}$ IV., viii., 17.
In the following lines, a short syllable is lengthened in the division of the verse :-
Quam si quidquid arāt || impiger Appulus. ${ }^{2}$ III., xvi., 26. Certa sede manēt, || humor et in genas. I., xiii, 6.

In the following, the same takes place in the Caesural syllable at the beginning of the first Choriambus:-

Perrupūt Acheronta Herculeus labor. I., iii., 36.

## c. Choriambic Dimeter Acatalectic,

Otherwise called Glyconian, is, as it appears in Horace, composed of a Spondee, a Choriambus, an Tambus-


Sic te | Diva potens | Cypri. H. O. I., iii., 1. Dianae sumus in fide. C. XXXIV., 1.
This species of Choriambic verse is not used in a system by itself, in the works either of Horace or Catullus, but in combination with other species of Choriambic verse, as will be noticed below.

In many editions of Horace, we twice find a Trochee in the first place instead of a Spondee, viz, in I., xv., 24-

Tēucěr et Sthenelus sciens,
Where the best copies now have-
Teucer, te Sthenelus sciens.
And again in I., xv., 36-
Ignis Iliacas domus.
${ }^{1}$ See Bentley's note on this line.
${ }^{2}$ Some read here non piger.

For which has been substituted-
Ignis Pergameas domus.
Catullus, however, frequently uses a Trochee in the first placeRūsť̌ca agricolae bonis. XXXIV., 19.
Cīngĕ tempora floribus. LXI., 6 .
And also an Iambus-
Pư̈llae et pueri integri. XXXIV., 2.
In the following line, Horace lengthens a short final syllable by virtue of a Caesural pause-

Si figät adamantinos. H. O. III., xxiv., 5 .

## d. Choriambic Dimeter Catalectic,

Otherwise called Pherecratean, as it appears in Horace, is composed of a Spondee, a Choriambus, a Catalectic syllable-
$--1 — \smile-1 \cong$
Grātō \| Pȳrrrhă sŭb āntr | ō. H. O. I., v., 3.
Non abs | condis amor | em. C. LXI., 205.
This kind of verse, like the last, is not found in a system by itself, in the works either of Horace or Catullus.

In Horace, the first foot is invariably a Spondee.
In Catullus, although a Spondee is sometimes employed in the first foot, as in the line quoted above, a Trochee is far more common, ${ }^{\text {l }}$ as-
-Amnŭumque sonantum. XXXIV., 12.
Dīctü lumine Luna. XXXIV., 16.
Tēctă frugibus exples. XXXIV., 20.
An Iambus also sometimes occurs, as-
Püellaeque canamus. XXXIV., 4.
Hy̆mèn O Hymenaee. LXI., 40, 50.

[^90]And in one instance a Spondee is found in the second place instead of a Choriambus-

## Nutriunt humore. LXI., 25.

This species of verse, as it appears in Horace, is by some considered to be a Dactylic Trimeter Acatalectic, and is scanned-

$$
\overline{\text { Grātō }}|\underset{\text { Pȳrrhă sŭb }}{\smile}| \overline{\text { àntrō. }}
$$

Combinations of the Four last mentioned species of Verse with each other.
Horace has three combinations.

1. A distich formed by placing the Glyconian (c) and Lesser Asclepiadean (b) alternately-

Sic te Diva potens Cypri
Sic fratres Helenae lucida sidera
Ventorumque regat pater
Obstrictis aliis praeter Iapyga. I., iii., 1.
In this are composed Od. I., iii., xiii., xix., xxxvi. ; III., ix., xv., xix., xxiv., xxv., xxviii.; IV., i., iii.
2. A stanza of four lines, the first three Lesser Asclepiadean (b), the fourth Glyconian (c)-

Scriberis Vario fortis et hostium
Victor, Maeonii carminis alite, Quam rem cunque ferox navibus aut equis Miles te duce gesserit. I., VI., 1.
In this are composed Od. I., vi., xv., xxiv., xxxiii.; II., xii.; III., X., xvi. ; IV., v., xii.
3. A stanza of four lines, the first two Lesser Asclepiadean (b), followed by a Pherecratean (d), and concluded by a Glyconian (c)-

Quis multa gracilis te puer in rosa
Perfusus liquidis urguet odoribus
Grato Pyrrha sub antro
Cui flavam religas comam. I., v., 1.
In this are composed Od. I., v., xiv., xxi., xxiii. ; III., vii., xiii.; IV., xiii.

Catullus has two combinations.
4. A stanza of four lines, the first three Glyconian (c), the fourth Pherecratean (d)-

Dianae sumus in fide Puellae, et pueri integri, Dianam, pueri integri,

Puellaeque canamus.
In this is composed Carm. XXXIV
5. A stanza of five lines, the first four Glyconian (c), the fifth Pherecratean (d)-

Collis O Heliconei Cultor, Uraniae genus, Qui rapis teneram ad virum Virginem, O Hymenaes, Hymen, Hymen, O Hymenaee.
In this is composed Carm. LXI., the celebrated Epithalamium of Julia and Manlius.

In addition to the four species of Choriambic Verse noticed above, there are three varieties which it will be necessary to describe. Of these, the most important is the

## e. Epichoriambic Trimeter Catalectic, ${ }^{1}$

Otherwise called the Lesser Sapphic. It is a variety of Choriam-
${ }^{1}$ When the preposition Epi ( $\varepsilon$ ह $\pi \ell$, in addition to), is prefixed to the word which marks the class to which a verse belongs, it points out that the variety in question
bic Trimeter Catalectic, and is composed of the second Epitrite, a Choriambus, a Bacchius-

$$
-\simeq--1-\smile-1 \smile-\simeq
$$

Iām sătīs tērr | ìs nĭv̌̆s āt | quě dīrae. H. O. I., ii., 1.
Cäesaris vis | ens monument | a magni. | C. XI., 10.

1. In practice, however, it is more convenient to consider it as composed of a Trochee, a Spondee, a Dactyl, two Trochees-

$$
-\vee 1--1-\smile-1-\cup 1-E
$$

Iām săt | ìs tērr | ìs nĭv̌̌s | àtquĕ | dirae Caesar | is vis | ens monu | menta | magni.
2. Horace invariably has a Spondee in the second place; while Catullus, imitating the example of the Greeks, admits a Trochee-

Seū Săc | ās săg | ittifer | osque | Parthos. XI., 6.
3. Horace generally makes the first syllable of the Dactyl Cae-sural-

Pindarum quisquis || studet aemulari. IV., ii., 1.
Sanguinem per quos || cecidere iusta. IV., ii., 14.
4. More rarely, the first two syllables of the Dactyl close a word, thus forming what we termed, when treating of Dactylic Hexameters, a Trochaic Caesura-

Laurea donandus || Apollinari. IV., ii., 9.
Pinus aut impulsa || cupressus Euro. IV., vi., 10.
Horace, however, seems to have changed his opinion with regard to this pause. In the first three books of the Odes it occurs but seldom, e. g., I., x., 1, xii., 1, xxv., 11, xxx., 1; II., vi., 11; while in Book IV. it happens eleven times in Odes ii. and vi., four times in xi., and twelve times in the Carmen Saeculare.

The form

## Nuntium curvaeque lyrae parentem,

where the Enclitic que forms the second syllable of the Dactyl, occurs twice only in the first three books, viz., I., x., 6,18 , while in the fourth book it is found four times in Ode ii., once in Ode vi., and seven times in the Carmen Saeculare.
admits some feet which do not properily belong to the class, as in the above instance, where the Choriambus is united with an Epitrite and a Bacchius.

Once only is the Dactyl included in one word-
Quam Iocus circumvolat et Cupido. I., ii., 34.
Where the difficulty may be removed by separating the preposition from the verb with which it is compounded, just as in Ode II., xvi., 33-

> Te greges centum Siculaeque circum Mugiunt vaccae, \&c.

The Caesura takes place sometimes with elision, as III., xxvii., 10 -

> Imbrium divina || avis imminentum.
5. In one instance Horace lengthens a short syllable in the Caesura-

Angulus ridēt || ubi non Hymetto. II., vi., $1 \dot{4}$.
6. Catullus, following the Greeks, neglects this Caesura altogether, e. g.,

Seu Sacas sagittiferosque Parthos. XI., 6.
Ultimi flos praetereunte postquam. XI., 22.
We may now proceed to explain the construction of the

## Sapphic Stanza,

So called from the two celebrated fragments of the gifted Sappho.
7. The Sapphic Stanza is composed of three Lesser Sapphic verses, such as have just been described; to which is subjoined an Adoric or Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic (see above, p. 177.) Horace is considered as our model, and according to his usage we shall have the following scheme :-


Iam satis terris nivis atque dirae
Grandinis misit pater, et rubente
Dextera sacras iaculatus arces
Terruit urbem.
8. In this stanza a close connection subsists between the third and fourth lines, ${ }^{1}$ and hence Horace four times divides a word between them:-

[^91]Labitur ripa Iove non probante ux--orius amnis. I., ii., 19.
Thracio bacchante magis sub inter--lunia vento. I., xxv., 11.
Grosphe, non gemmis, neque purpura ven-
-ale neque auro. II., xvi., 7.
Pendulum zona bene te secuta e-
-lidere collum. III., xxvii., 59.
In the second and fourth of the above examples, the license, if it is to be considered as such, may be justified by separating the prepositions. In the last many editors read laedere.

So Catullus-
Gallicum Rhenum horribilisque ultim--osque Britannos. XI., 11.
This division of a word is confined to the third and fourth verses; no example being found of such a division at the termination of the first, second, or fourth.
9. Elision sometimes takes place between the second and third, and the third and fourth lines. Thus in Horace-

| \{ 2. Dissidens plebi numero beator(um) <br> \{3. Eximit virtus, \&c. | II., ii., 18. |
| :---: | :---: |
| \{ 2. Mugiunt vaccae, tibi tollit hinnit(um) |  |
| \{3. Apta quadrigis equa, \&c. | II., xvi., 34. |
| 2. Plorat, et vires animumque mores(que) |  |
| 3. Aureos educit in astra, nigro(que) |  |
| (4. Invidet Orco. | IV., ii., 22. |
| 3. Romulae genti date remque prolem(que) |  |
| $4 . \quad$ Et decus omn | C. S., 47. |

The following is a strange example of elision between the first and second lines:-
\{ Pindarum quisquis studet aemulari
$\left\{(I) u l e\right.$, ceratis ope Daedalea. ${ }^{1} \quad$ V., ii., 1.
In Catullus we find-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { 2. Qui illius culpa cecidit; velut prat }(i) \\
\text { 3. Ultimi flos, \&ce. }
\end{array} \text { XI., } 22 .\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { 3. Nullum amans vere sed identidem omni(um) } \\
\text { Ilia rumpens. }
\end{array} \text { XI., } 19 .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

[^92]10．Elisions of this kind are not，however，necessary；thus we find a hiatus between the third and fourth in

Neve te nostris vitiis iniquum
Ocior aura．II．O．I．，ii．， $47 .^{1}$
Between the first and second ${ }^{2}$－
Sive mutata iuvenem figura
Ales，in terris，\＆c．$\quad$ II．O．I．，ii．， 41.
Between the second and third ${ }^{3}$－
Aut super Pindo gelidove in Haemo
Unde vocalem temere insecutae
Orphea silvae．H．O．I．，xii．， 6.
In this stanza are composed－
Catull．XI．，LI．Hor：Od．，I．，ii．，x．，xii．，xx．，xxii．，xxv．，xxx．， xxxii．，xxxviii．；II．，ii．，iv．，vi．，viii．，x．，xvi．；III．，viii．，xi．，xiv．， xviii．，xx．，xxii．，xxvii．；IV．，ii．，vi．，xi．

Carmen Saeculare．

> f. Epichoriambic Tetrameter Catalectic,

Otherwise called the Greater Sapphic，is composed of
The second Epitrite，two Choriambi，a Bacchius－


Tē Dēōs ơr｜ō Sy̆bărīn｜cūr prơpĕrās｜ămāndō．
Being the Lesser Sapphic，with the addition of a Choriambus in the third place．

The first syllable of the first Choriambus ought to be Caesural， and there ought to be a division of the verse after the first Choriam－ bus．${ }^{4}$

## g．Aristophanic Epichoriambic Dimeter Catalectic，

Is composed of
A Choriambus and a Bacchius．
ーレーー｜－—
Lȳdiŭ dīc｜păr ōmnēs．

[^93]The two last mentioned varieties of Choriambic verse are found once only in the Latin classics, in Hor. Od. I., viii., in which piece a distich is formed by placing ( $g$.) and ( $f$.) alternately-

## Lydia dic per omnes

Te Deos oro, Sybarin cur properas amando
Perdere? cur apricum
Oderit campum, patiens pulveris atque solis.

## III. Anapaestic Verses.

In this class of verses, the feet admissible without restriction, are the Anapaest, the Spondee, and the Dactyl. No specimen of Anapaestic verse is extant in the purer Latin classics, and therefore it will not be necessary for us to dwell long upon the subject.

The species most in use among the Greek tragedians, was the Anapaestic Dimeter Acatalectic, which is frequently found in systems interspersed with the Anapaestic Monometer Acatalectic, and these systems are usually closed by an Anapaestic Dimeter Catalectic, otherwise called a Paroomiac, it having been a favourite vehicle for Proverbs ( $\pi$ a $\rho о \iota \mu u)$ ) the Paroemiac is usually immediately preceded by a Monometer.

In writing systems of this nature in Latin, if we wish to follow the Greek model, attention must be paid to the following points :-

1. The last syllable of each verse is not common, but is subject to the ordinary laws of Prosody, unless at the end of a sentence, or any considerable pause in the sense. (See above, under Synapheia.)
2. Each Dipode ought to end with a word. ${ }^{1}$
3. Dactyls ought to be employed sparingly in Latin Anapaests; when introduced, they ought to form the first foot in the Dipode, and ought to be followed by a Spondee in preference to an Anapaest.
4. The third foot of the Paroemiac must always be an Anapaest.

We shall give a specimen of each of these three species, premising that Seneca does not follow the example of the Greeks in closing a system of Dimeters by a Paroemiac.
a. Anapaestic Dimeter Acatalectic.


Indus gelidum potat Araxem Albim Persae, Rhenumque bibunt.

[^94]Venient annis saecula seris Quibus Oceanus vincula rerum Laxet，et ingens pateat tellus Tethysque novos detegat orbes Nec sit terris ultima Thule．${ }^{1}$

Seneca，Medea．， 373.
The following are from Claudian－
Solitas galea fulgere comas
Stilicho molli necte corona．
Cessent litui，saevumque procul
Martem felix taeda releget．
Claud．in Nupt．Hon．et Mar．Fesc．
b．Anapaestic Dimeter Catalectic or Paroemiac．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ־ーー } \mid \text { ־ー— }|\smile \smile-|- \\
& \text { Venient cito saecula, cum iam } \\
& \text { Socius calor ossa revisat } \\
& \text { Animataque sanguine vivo } \\
& \text { Habitacula pristina gestet. } \\
& \text { Prudent. Cathem. Hymn X., 37. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Prudentius does not admit a Dactyl，and uses a Spondee in the first place only．Boethius is more lax，as may be seen in the following：－

Qui se volet esse potentem
Animos domit ille feroces
Nec victa libidine colla
Foedis submittat habenis．
Etenim licet Indica longe
Tellus tua iura tremiscat
Et serviat ultima Thule
Tamen atras pellere curas，
Miserasque fugare querelas
Non posse，potentia non est．

[^95]c. Anapaestic Monometer Acatalectic.
$$
\stackrel{-\simeq}{-\simeq-\simeq \mid}|-\simeq|
$$

Take as a specimen-
O flos iuvenum
Spes laeta patris
Nec certa tuae
Data res patriae
Rhetor Alethi.
Auson. Profess. VI., 1.
In Seneca it is often mixed up with the Dimeter Acatalectic, e. g.:

Arcus victor, pace relata, Phoebe, relaxa,
Humeroque graves levibus telis
Pone pharetras: resonetque manu
Pulsa citata vocale chelys
Nil acre velim.
Agam. 322.

## IV. Ionic Verses

Are divided into Ionic a maiore and Ionic a minore verses, according to the prevailing foot.

Ionic a Maiore Verses.
Of these the most celebrated is the

## Ionic a maiore Tetrameter Brachycatalectic,

Otherwise called Sotadean, from Sotades, a Thracian who lampooned Ptolemy Philadelphus. In its pure state, it consists of three Ionic a maiore feet, followed by a Spondee-

$$
\text { Tūtō mărīs | î̄ās v̌̆dět } \mid \text { ē līttơrě | nāuta }
$$

Several of these Sotadean verses are to be found in the remains of the Greek poets, and they have been carefully analyzed by Hermann. In Latin, a short fragment of Ennius, and a few irregular lines in Martial and Petronius Arbiter, are the only specimens of
the measure, except such as are met with in Plautus. ${ }^{1}$ The Ionics a maiore of Martial, and these are but two lines, have the proper foot in the first two places, and a Ditrochaeus in the third, followed by a Spondee-
Hās cūm gěmĭn | à cōmpědĕ | dēdĭcāt căt | ēnās Sātūrně, tĭ | bī Zō̃llŭs, | ānnưlōs prǐ | ōrēs. Ep. III., xxix.

Of the ten lines in Petronius Arbiter, c. 132, some are formed upon the above model, as-

Nāmque īllă mět | $\bar{u}$ frīgǐdĭ | ōr rĭgēntě | bruma Tēr cōrrǐpŭī tērrǐbǐlēm mănū bĭpēnnēm.
In others, the second long syllable of the Ditrochaeus is resolved. in to two short-

Fērrūm tĭmŭ | ī quōd trĕpĭd | ō măl | ě dăbăt | ūsum
Nēc iām pưtěr | ām quōd mǒdŏ | cōnfĭc | ěrĕ lĭb | ēbat.
In another, this resolution is combined with the resolution of the first long syllable of the line-

- Ită nōn pŏtŭ | ī sūpplĭcĭ | ō căp | ŭt ăpěr | īre. ${ }^{2}$


## Ionic a minore Verses.

We have one example of this class in Horace, Od. III., xii., which is composed of a series of pure Ionic a minore verses. These are differently arranged by different editors, but are usually considered to be a system of Tetrameters Acatalectic.

In these no foot is admitted except the Ionic a minore; and the lines are connected together by the law of Synapheia, being scanned continuously until we reach a full stop-


Miserarum est neque amori dare ludum, neque dulci
Mala vino lavere; aut exanimari metuentes
Patruae verbera linguae. Tibi qualum Cythereae
Puer ales, tibi telas, operosaeque Minervae
Studium aufert, Neobule, Liparaei nitor Hebri.

## V. Iambic Verses

Derived their name from the Iambus, of which foot they were originally composed, to the exclusion of all others. Afterwards, in

[^96]order to vary the rhythm, and diminish the labour of the poet, a Spondee was allowed in the odd places of the verse, the Iambus alone still occupying the even places. ${ }^{1}$ In process of time, sundry modifications were introduced. In the even places, the long syllable of the Iambus was resolved into two short ones, and thus the Tribrach, which is isochronous with the Iambus, gained admission. In the odd places, by resolving the first long syllable of the Spondee, an Anapaest was formed; and by resolving the second syllable, a Dactyl. Thus, eventually, all these feet were employed in Iambic measures, subject to certain restrictions, to be hereafter specified. Iambic verse is said to have been invented, or at least perfected, by Archilochus, who made it a vehicle for lampoons against a faithless mistress-

## Archilochum rabies proprio armavit iambo;

and specimens of Tambic invective are still to be found in the Epodes of Horace. It was, however, soon appropriated to more noble purposes, and one species was selected by the dramatic writers, as suited above all others for their dialogue. This is the

## a. Iambic Trimeter Acatalectic,

Otherwise called the Senarius, because it consists of six feet.
This measure was brought to the highest perfection by the Greek tragedians. The laws by which it was regulated have been examined with uncommon care by modern scholars; and in this country, Porson and Elmsley have investigated their principles with so much acuteness and accuracy, that the subject may be said to be exhausted.

In Latin our models are Catullus and Horace : the first has left four poems in this species of verse, viz., those which are numbered IV. [XX.], ${ }^{2}$ XXIX., LII., of which the first three are written in pure Iambics, that is, Iambics in which there is no admixture of

> ² Syllaba longa brevi subiecta rocatur Iambus, Pes citus; unde etiam Trimetris accescere iussit Nomen Iambeis, cum senos redderet ictus Primus ad extremum similis sibi: non ita pridem, Pardior ut paullo graviorque veniret ad aures, Spondeos stabiles in iura paterna recepit, Commodus et patiens; non ut de sede secunda Cederet, aut quarta, socialiter. Hic et in Accî Nobilibus trimetris apparet rarus, et Ennî.
> In scenam missus magno cum pondere versus,
> Aut operae celeris nimium curanue carentis,
> Aut ignoratae premit artis crimine turpi. H. H. P. 251.
${ }^{2}$ The authenticity of this piece is very doubtful.

Spondees or resolved feet; while the last consisting of four lines only, contains Spondees in some of the odd places. Horace uses the Iambic Trimeter in a system by itself, in a poem of eighty-one lines (Epod. XVII.), and in several other Odes combined with other kinds of verse. Let us take, then, the canons established with reference to the Greek tragedians, which will be found to apply almost without change or qualification to Catullus and Horace.

1. An Iambus is admitted in every place, which may be resolved into a Tribrach in every place, except the last, where there must be invariably an Iambus; a Spondee may be used in the first, third, and fifth places, which in the first and third may be resolved into a Dactyl, and in the first into an Anapaest. In the case of a proper name, however, an Anapaest is admissible in any place except the last, provided it be included within the limits of a single word. The scheme of the verse will then stand thus-


Take the following specimens:-

1. Pure Iambic. Es impudicus et vorax et aleo. ${ }^{1}$
2. Spondee in 1 \& 3. Per consulatum peierat Vatinius. ${ }^{2}$
3. Spondee, $1,3,5$, Unxere matres Iliae addictum feris. ${ }^{3}$
4. $\{$ Tribrach in $1, \&\}$ Spondee alius ardor aut puellae candidae. ${ }^{4}$
5. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Tribrach in } 2 \& 4, \\ \text { Spondee, } 1 \& 3 .\end{array}\right\}$ Vectabor humeris tunc ego inimicis eques. ${ }^{5}$
6. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Tribrach in } 3, \\ \text { Spondee in 5. }\end{array}\right\}$ Libet iacere modo sub antiqua ilice. ${ }^{6}$
7. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Dactyl in 1, } \\ \text { Spondee in } 3 \text { \& 5. }\end{array}\right\}$ Aut amite levi rara tendit retia.?
8. $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\text { Dactyl in 3, } \\ \text { Spondee in } 1 \& 5 .\end{array}\right\}$ Quo, quo, scelesti, ruitis aut cur dexteris. ${ }^{8}$
9. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Anapaest in 1, } \\ \text { Spondee in } 3 \text { \& } 5 .\end{array}\right\}$ Positosque vernas ditis examen domus ${ }^{9}$

When a longo syllable is resolved in Iambic verse, the two short syllables which result must both be in the same word. Thus, in
${ }^{1}$ Catull. XXIX., 11.

- XI., 27. ${ }^{5}$ XVII., 74.
${ }^{2}$ LII., 3.
${ }^{3}$ Hor. Epod. XVII., 11.
${ }^{6}$ II., 23. ${ }^{7}$ II., 33. ${ }^{8}$ VII., $1 . \quad{ }^{\circ}$ II., 65.
the two following lines, where the long syllable of the Iambus is resolved into two short, and forms a Tribrach, the second and third syllables of the Tribrach are both in the same word-

Aut herbă lăpăthi prata amantis, et gravi. H. E. II., 57. Quod si pudică mül̄̌er in partem iuvet. H. E. II., 39.

So also, since the two short syllables of the Dactyl arise from the resolution of the second long syllable in the Spondee, these must both be in the same word-

Aüt ămŭte levi rara tendit retia. H. E. II., 33 .
Quo, quo, scelestī, rǔŭtis aut cur dexteris. H. E. VII., 1.
The Tribrach, where it appears in Horace, is always divided between two words, as in the examples above; when the Dactyl is not divided between two words, it always forms the first part of a word, as in

Dēř̆pĕre Lunam vocibus possim meis. H. E. XVII., 78.
The Anapaest in the first place is always included within a word-

Positosque vernas ditis examen domus.
Observe, that resolved feet ought not to concur, as they do in the following lines:-
Păvǐdūmquě lĕpŏrem et advenam laquē̃ gruem. H. E. II., 3 . Cānı̌dŭă brěvǐbus implicata viperis. H. E. V., 15.
-Alĭťbus atquě cănĭbŭs hŏmĭcidam Hectorem. H. E. XVII., 12. Vēctābơr hŭměrīs tūnc ĕgo ŭnĭmīcīs ěqūes. H. E. XVII., 74.

With regard to the number of resolved feet which may be interspersed, it is difficult to lay down any rule; but since their introduction was originally a license, they ought to be sparingly employed. There are altogether 311 Iambic Trimeters in Horace, and 31 instances of resolved feet, ${ }^{1}$ thus allowing one in every ten lines.

[^97]Without restricting ourselves to this precise number, we ought never, in modern compositions, to have more than one resolved foot in a line, and $a$ fortiori ought carefully to avoid such a concurrence of resolved feet as we have pointed out above. Spondees, of course, are not considered as resolved feet, and may be used without limitation in their proper places.

Horace never has a Tribrach in the fifth.
2. Caesura. In every Iambic Trimeter, the first syllable of the third foot ought to be Caesural, or if the Penthemimeral Caesura be wanting, then it must have the Hepthemimeral-
( Ait fuisse || navium celerrimus. C. IV., 2.
Rhodumve nobilem \| horridamve Thraciam. C. IV., 8.
Defixa coelo || devocare sidera. H. E. XVII., 5.
Cave! Cave namque || in malos asperrimus. II. E. VI., 11.
(Neque ullius natantis || impetum trabis. C. IV., 3.
Propontida trucemve || Ponticum sinum. C. IV., 9.
Exsucta uti medulla et \| aridum iecur. H. E. V., 37.
The Penthemimeral Caesura may be monosyllabic.
Quid nos? quibus, te, || vita, si superstite. IF. E. I., 5.
Libenter hoc et \| omne militabitur. II. E. I., 23.
Feremus, et te \| vel per Alpium iuga. H. E. I., 11.
Satis superque \| me benignitas tua. H. E. I., 31 .
There is no instance in Catullus of the total omission of the Caesura, and two only in Horace, viz. :-

Ut assidens implumibus pullis avis. H. E. I., 19.
Quod si meis inaestuat praecordiis. H. E. XI., 15.
In the last, the disagreeable effect is diminished by the preposition in, which may be separated in pronunciation from the verb with which it is compounded, as indeed may be urged in favour of implumibus also.

We find one example of what is called the quasi Caesura, that is, an elision which gives a sort of pause to the voice, instead of a Caesura-
Parentibusque || abominatus Hannibal. H. E. XVI., 8.
But such lines are by no means harmonious.
3. Porsonic Pause. Porson, in his celebrated preface to the Hecuba, asserted that the following rule was always observed by the Greek tragedians:-
"When an Iambic Trimeter ends in a trisyllable or a quasi-
trisyllable, ${ }^{1}$ preceded by a word of two or more syllables, then the fifth foot must be an Iambus or a Tribrach."

There is no exception to this law in Catullus, whose Iambic Trimeters are, as we have stated above, almost all pure, but it is constantly violated by Horace, in those Odes in which Iambic Trimeters are combined with other kinds of verse, e. g.:-

Pecusve Calabris ante sidhēs fêrvidum. H. E. I., 27.
Nec ut superna villa candèns Tūsculi. H. E. I., 29.
Libet iacere modo sub antiqua ilice. H. E. II., 23.
While in Epod. XVII., where these form a system by themselves, it is but once neglected-

Alitibus atque canibus homicidam Hēctorem. 12.

## Choliambus or Scazon,

Called also Hipponactean, is a variety of the Senarius. It differs from it in this, that while the Senarius has invariably an Iambus in the sixth place, the Scazon has invariably a Spondee in the sixth place, and an Iambus in the fifth. In all other respects they are the same. Catullus is our model, who uses this measure seven times. C. VIII., XXII., XXXI., XXXVII., XXXIX., XLIV., LIX. He rarely indulges in resolved feet, although they now and then occur-

Quem non ǐn ăl̆qua re videre Suffenum. C. XXII., 19.
Vidistis ipsō roŭpère de rogo coenam. C. LIX., 3.
In Doering's edition of Catullus we find in XXXVII., 11, the line-

Puella nam mea quae meo sinu fugit.


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ A quasi-trisyllable is a dissyllable preceded or followed by a monosyllable, which is more closely connected with it in construction than with the other word with which it is in immediate contact; in like manner, three monosyllables closely connected in the same way, may be considered a quasi-trisyllable. Thus, in the lines-


Aut herba lapathi prata amantis, et gravi Malvae salubres corpori.

## Incoctus herbis me pepellit? an malas <br> Canidia tractavit dapes.

Et gravi, an malas, are quasi-trisyllables, while in
Ingrata misero vita ducenda est, in hoc.
Est in hoc cannot be considered a quasi-trisyllable, because est is more nearly connected with ducenda than with in.
with an Anapaest in the third place, a license unknown to Catullus. Doering inserts this in the face of a host of MSS., which give the far more elegant reading-

Puella nam me quae meo sinu fugit.
The following may be taken as a specimen of this measure:-
Peninsularum, Sirmio, insularumque
Ocelle, quascunque in liquentibus stagnis
Marique vasto fert uterque Neptunus !
Quam te libenter, quamque laetus, inviso !
Vix mi ipse credens, Thyniam atque Bithynos
Liquisse campos, et videre te in tuto.
O quid solutis est beatius curis. C. XXXI.

## Comic Iambic Trimeter.

Although it is impossible, without great violence to the text, to reduce to rule all the verses of Plautus and Terence, yet a considerable number of the lines which occur in the ordinary dialogue may be scanned, by considering them to be Iambic T'rimeters Acatalectic, which admit an Lambus, a Tribrach, a Spondee, a Dactyl, or an Anapaest, in every place except the last, which must always be filled by an Iambus. Such are the Comic Trimeters of the Greeks, and such is the measure in which the Fables of Phaedrus, and sundry pieces in Ausonius are composed. Thus, for example, in the following line, we have a Spondee in every place except the last :-

Nec ullo pacto laedi posset condita. Phaed. II., vi., 6.
In the following a Dactyl in the fourth and fifth :-
Feles cavernam nacta in media pepererat. Phaed. II., iv., 2.
In the following an Anapaest in the fourth :-
Rex urbis eius experiendi gratia. Phaed. I., xiv., 6 . \&c., \&c., \&c.

We may conclude these remarks by quoting the passage in Priscian, in which he gives his opinion with regard to comic verses ${ }^{1}$ :-
"Cum non solum Terentius, sed etiam Plautus, Ennius, Acciusque et Naevius atque Pacuvius Turpiliusque, et omnes tam. tragoediae quam comoediae veteris Latinae scriptores eodem metri modo Iambici sint usi, ut omnibus in locis indifferenter ponerent

[^98]quinque pedes; id est, iambum, vel tribrachum, vel anapaestum, vel dactylum, vel spondaeum, absque postremo loco, in quo vel iambum vel pyrrhichium omnino posuisse inveniuntur; miror quosdam vel abnegare esse in Terentii comoediis metra, vel ea quasi arcana quaedam, et ab omnibus doctis semota, sibi solis esse cognita, confirmare."-Priscian. de Vers. Com., p. 1319.

## b. Iambic Trimeter Catalectic

Consists of five feet and a syllable, and, as it appears in Horace, admits an Iambus in every place, which in the second may be resolved into a Tribrach; and a Spondee in the first and third; according to the following scheme :-

Měā | rěnīd | ět īn | dơmō | lăcūn | ar. H. O. II., xviii., 2. Iām tē | prěmēt | nōx fāb | ŭlae | quĕ mān | es. II. O. I., iv., 16. Rēgūm | quĕ pǔĕr | ìs nēc | sătēll | ěs ōr | ci. H. O. II., xviii., 34.

Horace does not use this verse in a system by itself, but twice inr. combination with others.

In Od. I., iv., it is placed alternately with the Greater Archilochian (the constitution of which will be explained below), and in Od. II., xviii., it is placed alternately with a Trochaic Dimeter Catalectic, which will likewise be described in its proper place.

## c. Iambic Dimeter Acatalectic

Consists of four feet. As it appears in Horace, it admits an Iambus in every place, which in the second may be resolved into a Tribrach; and a Spondee in the first and third, which in the first may be resolved into a Dactyl-
${ }^{\bullet}$ Inārs | ǐt aest | ūōs | īūs. H. E. III., 18.
Vēl hoed | ŭs ē | rēptūs | lŭpō. H. E. II., 60.
Imbres nivesque comparat. H.E. II., 30.
Videre properantes domum. H. E. II., 62.
Ast ego vicissim risero. H. E. XV., 24.

Horace uses this measure twelve times.
In Epod. I.........X., it is placed alternately with the Senarius-
Mala soluta exit navis alite Ferens olentem Maevium
Ut horridis utrumque verberes latus, Auster, memento, fluctibus, \&c. Epod. X., 1.
In Epod. XIV. and XV., it is placed alternately with the Heroic Hexameter-

Mollis inertia cur tantam diffuderit imis
Oblivionem sensibus,
Pocula Lethaeos ut si ducentia somnos
Arente fame traxerim. Epod. XIV., 1.

## d. Iambic Dimeter Hypercatalectic

Is the name given to the verse which forms the third line in the Alcaic Stanza.

According to the usage of Horace, the first foot may be either a Spondee or an Iambus, but is generally a Spondee, the second foot an Iambus, the third invariably a Spondee, and the fourth an Iambus, followed by a syllable, according to the following scheme :-

$$
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Sȳlvae | lăbōr | āntēs | gělū | que. H. I., ix., 3.
Dēprōmĕ quādrīmūm Săbīna. H. I., ix., 7.
Pŭēr quĭs ēx aūlā căpillis. H. I., xxix., 7 .
We can scarcely consider it as a regular Iambic verse, since it excludes the proper foot altogether from one of four places, and rarely admits it into another; but it must be remarked, that the practice of Horace differs in this respect from that of Alcaeus, who uniformly has an Iambus in the third foot. This verse is sometimes called the Alcaic Enneasyllabic, and will be fully discussed hereafter, when we describe the celebrated stanza, of which it forms a constituent part.

## e. Iambic Dimeter Catalectic

Is not found in any of the purer Latin classics, but deserves notice, because it is the measure employed in the graceful songs of the Pseudo-Anacreon. We have one or two short specimens in fragments attributed to Petronius Arbiter. It appears to have
admitted, in the first place, an Iambus, a Spondee, or an Anapaest, and in the later writers a Tribrach also; the second and third feet are Iambi, followed by a Catalectic syllable-


> Mēmphītǐdēs pŭelllae
> Sācrīs Děūm părātae
> Tīnctūs colore noctis
> Mănū pŭēr lŏquāci
> Agyptias choreas. Pet. Arb. frag. II.
> Trĭplĭcī vǐdēs ŭt ōrtu Trîviae rŏtētŭr īgnis Vŏlŭcrīquě Phoebŭs āxe Răpǐdūm pěrērrět ōrbem. Id. frag. VI.

We have another and somewhat longer example in Claudian, in which each verse invariably begins with an Anapaest, as in the last quoted Fragment of Petronius. The lines in Claudian are not in a system by themselves, but form part of a stanza of five lines. The first three are Anacreontics, the fourth is a pure Choriambic Dimeter, and the fifth a Trochaic Dimeter Brachycatalectic, composed of a Dactyl, followed by two Trochees-

> Age cuncta nuptiali
> Redimita vere tellus
> Celebra toros heriles.
> -Omně němūs | cūm flŭvī̆s. -Omnĕ căn | àt prŏ | fūndum.

Claud. in Nupt. Hon. et Mar. Fescen.
These last two lines are again found combined in Auson. Eidyll. VII., C. 2, and Sept. Sap. Sent. VI.

Ruddiman and many other prosodians consider these two as forming a mixed verse of one line, under the title of ChoriambicoTrochaic Tetrameter Brachycatalectic.

## f. Iambic Tetrameter Catalectic

Is a great favourite with Aristophanes, and is found in many passages of the Roman comedians. The only specimen of it in a pure shape in the Latin classics is a short poem by Catullus, C. XXV.

As it appears in this piece, it is precisely the same with the Iambic Trimeter Catalectic, with an Amphibrachys ( $-\checkmark$ ) or Bacchius ( ——) added after the sixth foot-
${ }^{`}$ Inēpt | ĕ quae | pălām | sơlēs || hăbē | rě tān | quam ăvit | ă Quae nūnc | tǔīs | ăb ūng | uĭbūs || rěglūt | inna ēt | rěmīttě Cūm dē | vìā | mŭliěr | ăvēs || ōstēnd | ǐt ōs | čtāntēs. ${ }^{1}$
In one line we have a Spondee in the seventh place-
${ }^{\prime}$ Inūst | ă tūrp | ǐtēr | tǐbī || flageell | ă cōn | scribill | ent.
Observe, that there is uniformly a division of the verse at the end of the fourth foot.

## VI. Trochaic Verses.

Trochaic verses, like Iambic, originally admitted that foot only from which they take their name. They are so little used by the Roman poets, except in dramatic compositions, that we have not sufficient data to draw up a code of laws. In all probability, however, they followed, in their Tetrameters at least, the practice of the Greek tragedians, with whom this was a favourite measure.

## a. Trochaic Tetrameter Catalectic

Consists of seven feet and a Catalectic syllable.
In all places the Trochee is the proper foot, which may in every case be resolved into a Tribrach.

In the even places, i. e., the 2d, 4th, and 6th, in addition to the Trochee, a Spondee is admissible, which may be resolved into an Anapaest ; in the case of a proper name, a Dactyl is admissible in any place, except the fourth and seventh-


Crās ăm | êt quī | nūnquam ăm | āvīt || quīque ăm | āvīt crās | ăm | êt.
The division of the verse takes place after the fourth foot, which, according to the practice of the Greek tragedians, must always end with a word.

The following lines will serve as a specimen of this verse. They

[^99]are taken from the Pervigilium Veneris, a charming little poem, containing ninety-three lines, by some attributed to Catullus, but generally believed to be the production of a poet of the second or third century. It is unfortunately very corrupt, notwithstanding the labours of Pithous, by whom it was first published, in 1577, of Lipsius, Dousa, Weitzius, Salmasius, Scriverius, Ios. Scaliger, and many others who have exercised their ingenuity in improving the text :-

Cras amet, qui nunquam amavit, quique amavit cras amet
Ver novum, ver iam canorum, vere natus Orbis est.
Vere concordant amores, vere nubunt alites,
Et nemus comam resolvit de maritis imbribus.
In the same measure is the following pretty epigram :-
Quando ponebam novellas arbores mali et piri
Cortici summae notavi nomen ardoris mei.
Nulla fit exinde finis vel quies cupidinis:
Crescit arbor, gliscit arbor, ramus implet literas.
Burman. Anthol. Lat. I., p. 687.
We have a short epigram by Ausonius, in which the Trochaic Tetrameter Catalectic is placed alternately with the Senarias-

Ore pulchro, et ore muto, scire vis quae sim? Volo.
Imago Rufi rhetoris Pictavici.
Diceret sed ipse vellem, rhetor hoc mî. Non potest.
Cur? ipse rhetor est imago imaginis.
Auson. Ep. LI.

## b. Trochaic Dimeter Catalectic,

as found in Horace, consists of three Trochees, and a Catalectic syllable. No resolved feet are admitted-


Nōn ěbūr něque aurěūm.
It occurs once only, Od. II., xviii., placed alternately with an Iambic Trimeter Catalectic.

Non ebur neque aureum
Mea renidet in domo lacunar
Non trabes Hymettiae
Premunt columnas ultima recisas
Africa: neque Attali
Ignotus haeres regiam occupavi.

## VII. Mixed Verses.

This name may be applied to those verses in which two verses belonging to different classes are united, so as to form a single line, all the syllables of which are subject to the ordinary laws of prosody and versification.

Take as an example the following line, the first part of which is a Dactylic Tetrameter Acatalectic, and the second a pure Trochaic Dimeter Brachycatalectic-


Or the following, which is made up of an Iambic Penthemimer, followed by a pure Dactylic Dimeter-
$\underbrace{\text { Vides }}_{\text {Iambic Penth. }}|\underbrace{\text { ut al }}_{\text {Dactylic Dim. Acat. }}|-\mid$ ta

Among mixed verses those deserving especial notice are, first,

## Logaoedic Verses,

which are formed by adding any number of Trochees to any Dactylic verse. They receive their name from doyos, discourse, and $\dot{\alpha} o \iota \delta \eta$, song, because Dactylic verse is the lofty language of poetry, while the Trochaic approaches more nearly to ordinary discourse.

Of Logaoedic verses we may describe the

## a. Greater Archilochian,

which is composed of a Dactylic Tetrameter Acatalectic followed by a pure Trochaic Dimeter Brachycatalectic. The first three feet may be either Dactyls or Spondees; the fourth is always a Dactyl-the last three Trochees-


Solvitur acris hyems grata vice | veris et Favoni.
The first syllable of the third foot ought to be Caesural, and the fourth foot ought to end with a word.

Horace uses this species of verse once in Od. I., iv., where it is placed elternately with an Iambic Trimeter Catalectic ${ }^{1}$ -

Solvitur acris hyems grata vice veris et Favoni
Trahuntque siccas machinae carinas
Ac neque iam stabulis gaudet pecus et arator igni
Nec prata canis albicant pruinis.

## b. Alcaic Decasyllabic,

Composed of a pure Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic, followed by a pure Trochaic Monometer Acatalectic-


Flūmĭnă | cōnstĭtěr | īnt ăc | ūto. Dact. Dim. Acat. + Troch. Mon. Acat.

This forms the fourth line in the celebrated Alcaic or Horatian Stanza, of which we shall treat at large hereafter.

## c. Phalaccian Hendecasyllabic,"

As it appears in the later Latin poets, Martial, Statius, \&c., is composed of a Spondee, a Dactyl, and three Trochees-


Quōi dōn | ō lĕpǐd | ūm nơv | ūm ľ̆b | êllum. C. I., i.
Dactyl. Dim. Acat. + Troch. Dim. Brachycat.
Catullus, however, with whom it is a favourite measure, uses a Trochee not unfrequently in the first place ${ }^{3}$ -
-A rida modo pumice expolitum. I., 2.
And sometimes an Iambus-
Mĕas esse aliquid putare nugas. I., 4.
${ }^{1}$ Some prosodians consider this also to be a mixed verse, made up of an Iambic Penthemimer and a Trochaic Dimeter Brachycatalectic, dividing it thus:-

$$
\text { Trahuntque siccas } \mid \text { machinae carinas. }
$$

$$
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$$

${ }^{2}$ This is considered by Hephaestio as an Antispastic Trimeter Catalectic, p. 56, ed. Gaisford.
${ }^{3}$ In the specimen from the pen of Plaalaecus himself, out of eight lines, three begin with a Trochee. See Brunck. Analect. I., 421.

In one line of a very irregular piece, we have a Tribrach in the first place, excused by the inevitable necessity of a proper nameCămĕrǔum mihi pessimae puellae. LV., 10.
In one instance, he admits a hiatus to shorten a long syllableUno in lectulŏ erudituli ambo. LVII., 7.
We find also a syllable elided at the end of a line-
Quaenam te mala mens, miselle Ravid(e) Agit, \&c. XL., 1.
But these licenses are avoided by later writers.

## d. Pseudo-Phalaecian

Is a variety of the former, used by Catullus in one short piece (LV.) along with the regular Phalaecian. It consists of two Spondees, followed by three Trochees -


Oramus si forte non molestum est. LV., 1.
Femellas omnes, amice, prendi. LV., 7.
Or of a Spondee followed by four Trochees-


Te in circo te in omnibus libellis. LV., 4. ${ }^{1}$
Catullus employs the Phalaecian Hendecasyllabic in I., II., III., V., VI., VII., IX., X., XII., XIII., XIV., XV., XVI., XXI., XXIII., XXIV., XXVI., XXVII., XXVIII., XXXII., XXXIII., XXXV., XXXVI., XXXVIII., XL., XLI., XLII., XLIII., XLV., XLVI., XLVII., XLVIII., XLIX., L., LIII., LIV., LVI., LVII., LVIII., and in LV. he uses it in combination with the Pseudo-Phalaecian.

## e. Choriambico-Trochaic Tetrameter Brachycatalectic.

We have already considered this as two separate verses, and described their structure when treating of the Iambic Dimeter Catalectic.
Among mixed verses, not Logaoedic, we reckon the

[^100]
## Alcaic Hendecasyllabic,

Composed of an Iambic Penthemimer, followed by a pure Dactylic Dimeter Acatalectic-

$$
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Dīssōlve frigus ligna super foco. H. I., ix., 5.
Vĭdēs, ut alta stet nive candidum. H. I., ix., 1 .
This forms the first two lines of the Alcaic Stanza. ${ }^{1}$
Having now described the Alcaic Hendecasyllabic; Alcaic Decasyllabic (page 203); and Alcaic Enneasyllabic (page 198), we now proceed to discuss the Alcaic Stanza, which is formed by their combination.

## The Alcaic Stanza

Consists of four lines, the first two are Alcaic Hendecasyllabics, the third an Alcaic Enneasyllabic, the fourth an Alcaic Decasyllabic, according to the following scheme :-


Nōn sī | trěcēn | īs || quōt quỡt ĕ | ūnt dǐes
${ }^{\text {Amĭc }}$ | ĕ plāc | ēs || illăcrǐm | ābĭlem
Plūtōn | ă tāur | īs, quī | tĕr āmpl \| ūm Gēry̆̆̆n | ēn Tǐty̌ | ōnquĕ | tristi.
It will be necessary to make a few remarks on each of the component parts in succession, taking Horace as our model.

First Two Lines of the Alcaic Stanza.

1. According to the above scheme, it will be seen that the first foot in each of the first two lines may be either a Spondee or an
${ }^{1}$ We have a system of these in Claudian, Nupt. Hon. Aug. et Mar.-

$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Princeps corusco sidere pulchrior, } \\ \text { Parthis sagittis tendere certior, } \\ \text { Lques Gelonis imperiosior } \\ \text { Quae digna mentis laus erit arduae? } \\ \text { Quae digna formae laus erit igneae? \&c. }\end{array}
$$

Tambus. Horace, however, gives a decided preference to the Spondaic commencement. Out of 634 Alcaic Hendecasyllabics extant in his works, eighteen only have an Iambus in the first place-that is, about one in thirty-five.

Once only do we find two lines in succession beginning with an Iambus-

Mĕtu Deorum continuit? quibus Pëpercit aris? O utinam nova. I., xxxv., 37.
2. The fifth syllable ought always to be Caesural ; as in

Non si trecen | is || quotquot eunt dies.
Horace directly violates this rule twice ${ }^{1}$ -
Mentemque lymphatam Mareotico. I., xxxvii., 14.
Spectandus in certamine Martio. IV., xiv., 17.
In three instances the Caesura falls upon a preposition in composition, which may be separated from the word with which it is united; but this is harsh, especially in the first of the following lines:-

Hostile aratrum exercitus insolens. I., xvi., 21.
Antehac nefas déepromere Caecubum. I., xxxvii., 5 .
Utrumque nostrum incredibili modo. II., xvii., 21.
There is no objection to an elision after the Caesura; as in
Regum timendorum in proprios greges. III., i., 5.
Magnum illa terrorem intulerat Iovi. III., iv., 49.
The Caesura may be monosyllabic-
Est ut viro vir latius ordinet. III., i., 9.
Aurum irrepertum et sic melius situm. III., iii., 49.
In pulverem, ex quo destituit Dens. III., iii., 21.
Horace three times lengthens a short syllable, by virtue of the Caesural pause-
${ }^{1}$ Doering introduces a third in his edition of Horace, Od. III., ii., $5:-$
Vitamque sub divo trepidis agat.

But all MSS. and former editions have
Vitamque sub divo et trepidis agat.
Alcaeus frequently neglects the Caesura.

Angustam, amice, pauperiem pati. III., ii., 1.
Si non periret immiserabilis. III., v., 17.
Non sumtuosa blandior hostia. III., xxiii., 18.
Critics have endeavoured, in various ways, to amend these lines. In the first, they would read amici or amicé; in the second, perirent; in the third, sumtuosa hostia, in the ablative.

A hiatus is found after the Caesural syllable in
Iam Daedaleo ocior Icaro. II., xx., 13.
where Bentley would read tutior, an emendation which he supports with even more than his wonted learning and ingenuity.

## Third Line of the Alcaic Stanza.

Particular attention must be paid to this line, since upon its construction the harmony of the stanza chiefly depends.

1. Although an Iambus is admissible in the first place, as in
${ }^{\bullet} A d$ armáa cessantes ad arma. I., xxxv., 15.
Rĕferre sermones Deorum, et. III., iii., 71.
yet out of the total number of lines in Horace (317), ten only, or about one in thirty-one, begin with an Iambus.
2. Observe that in Horace the third foot is invariably a Spondee, while in Alcaeus, so far as we can gather from his fragments, it is uniformly an Iambus.
3. With regard to the words used at the beginning of the line, we must observe-
a. That a quadrisyllabic word, scanned as such, is nowhere found at the beginning of a line.

Three instances occur of a quadrisyllable, at the beginning of a line, in which the last syllable is elided-

Robiginem aut dulces alumni. III., xxiii., 7.
Funalia et vectes et arcus. III., xxvi, 7.
Decurrere et votis pacisci. III., xxix., 59.
b. Very rarely, at the beginning of the line, is a monosyllable followed by a trisyllable, with which it is connected so closely as to form a quasi-quadrisyllable. This happens twice only in Horace-

Hunc Lesbio sacrare plectro. I., xxvi., 11. Sors exitura, et nos in aeternum. II., iii., 27.
In the latter the elision may seem to remove the objection. ${ }^{1}$
c. There must never be any pause in the sense after a dissyllable or trisyllable at the beginning of a line, nor after words so connected as to form naturally such a combination; ${ }^{2}$ thus, such lines as the following are objectionable:-

Perstate, certatimque laeti. ${ }^{3}$
Victrix ; triumphatosque sensus. ${ }^{4}$
Post fata; at aeternum virenti ${ }^{5}$

1. The rules to be observed, with regard to the end of the line, have been accurately defined by Doctor Burney. ${ }^{6}$

The third line of the Alcaic Stanza should not terminate with a trisyllable followed by an enclitic or other monosyllable, nor with a word of four or more syllables, and as seldom as possible with two dissyllables.

In the whole number of verses written by Horace, in the metre to which this canon refers, there is no example of a monosyllable at the end of a line following a word of more than two syllables, except in the case of elision.

There is one instance in which a monosyllable, not enclitic, closes the line, the word before not suffering elision but being a dissyllable-

Depone sub laura mea; nec. II., vii., 19.
In the following lines monosyllables, not enclitic, close the line, the word before suffering elision :-

Regumque matres barbarorum, et. I., xxxv., 11.
Incude diffingas retusum in. I., xxxv., 39.
Vulcanus, hinc matrona Iuno, et. III., iv., 59.
To which add, piorum et, II., xiii., 23 ; triremi, et, III., i., 39. Deorum, et, III., iii., 71, and vi., 3 ; arvum et, III., xxix., 7.

There are only three instances in Horace of quadrisyllables at the end of the third line of the Alcaic Stanza-

[^101]Regumque matres barbarorum, et. I., xxxv., 11.
Ab insolenti temperatum. II., iii., 3.
Nodo coerces viperino. II., xix., 19.
Horace ends this line with two dissyllables eight times-
Pones iambis, sive flamma. I., xvi., 4.
Alcaee, plectro dura navis. II., xiii., 27. ${ }^{1}$
To which add, necte flores, I., xxvi., 7. Posse rivos, I., xxix., 11. Grande munus, II., i., 11. Sive reges, II., xiv., 11. Parce, Liber., II., xix., 7. Atque truncis, II., xix., 11. All of these are in the first two books of the Odes.

Horace, in this part of the verse, never adds an enclitic to a monosyllable.

From what has bcen said above, it will appear that the Iambus and Catalectic syllable, which close the line, ought to be arranged according to one of the following varieties :-
I. In one trisyllabic word-

Deprome quadrimum Sabina. I., ix., 7.
Adpone nec dulces amores. I., ix., 15.
Deproeliantes nec cupressi. I., ix., 11.
II. A dissyllable followed by an enclitic-

Silvae laborantes geluque. I., ix., 3.
III. A dissyllable preceded by a monosyllable which may be enclitic-

Portare ventis quis sub arcto. I., xxvi., 3.
Morem verecundumque Bacchum. I., xxvii., 3.
IV. A dissyllable preceded by a short syllable at the end of a hyper-dissyllabic word-

Hunc Lesbio sacrare plectro. I., xxvi., 11.
Combining these remarks with those made with regard to the first part of the line, it will be seen that this verse will be most pleasing in its effect, when it is formed according to one of the four following models :-
${ }^{1}$ Taking in the following line-

> Dura fugae mala, dura belli,

we have eight dissyllables in succession.
A. The first and best is when the line consists of three trisyllabic words, as-

> Audita | Musarum | sacerdos
> Referre | sermones | deorum.

Or of words which unite naturally so as to form a similar combina-tion-

In parte | regnanto | beati
Nec sumit | aut ponit | secures
Excisus | Argivis | ter uxor.
B. Next in merit are those lines which have a quadrisyllable in the middle, a dissyllable at the end-

Si fractus | illabatur | orbis.
c. Or a quadrisyllable in the middle, and a trisyllable at the endVisam | pharetratos | Gelonos.
D. Such a form as the following is unobjectionable, although the rhythm is inferior to that of the preceding :-

Delenit | usus \| nec Falerna.
See Musae Cantabrigienses Pref.

## Fourth Line of the Alcaic Stanza.

1. Each foot must not be comprehended in a word. This never takes place in Horace.
2. In the greater number of lines there is a Cacsural syllable after the completion of the first Dactyl-

Insolit | os docuere nisus. IV., iv., 8.
Egit am | or dapis atque pugnae. IV., iv., 12.
Which may be a monosyllable-
Iupiter | in | Ganymede flavo. IV., iv., 4.
When this is neglected, we commonly find a Caesural syllable after the completion of the second Dactyl-

Tempus Amazoni | a securi. IV., iv., 20.
Which may be a monosyllable-
Fallere et effugere | est | triumphus. IV., iv., 52.

Rarely we find a Quasi-Caesura only, in one or other of these places-

> Vim stomach(o) adposuisse nostro. I., xvi., 16.
> Exsili(um) | impositura cymbae. II., iii., 28.
> Et Scythi(um) | inviolatus amnem. III., iv., 36.
> Sollicit(am) \| explicuere frontem. III., xxix., 16.
> Nominis Asdrubal(e) | interemto. IV., iv., 72.

But in the first four the prepositions may be separated from the words with which they are compounded; and in the last, the proper name is a sufficient excuse.

In the following lines, this Caesura is altogether neglected:-
Quae caret ora cruore nostro. II., i., 36 .
Pocula praetereunte lympha. II., xi., 20.
Hospitis ille venena Colcha, II., xiii., 8 .
Promere languidiora vina. III., xxi., 8.
In the following, the preposition in composition may stand for a monosyllabic Caesura:-

Levia personuere saxa. I., xvii., 12.
Porticus excipiebat Arcton. II., xv., 16.
Elision takes place twice in Horace between the third and fourth lines of the stanza-
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sors exitura et nos in aetern }(u m) \\ \text { Exsilium impositura cymbae. }\end{array}\right.$ II., iii., 27.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Cum pace delabentis Etrusc (um) } \\ \text { In mare, nunc lapides adesos. }\end{array}\right.$ III., xxix., 35.

But it ought to be remarked, that, although such an elision is to be regarded as a rare license, Horace carefully avoids terminating a line with a short vowel, when the next line begins with a vowel. The following are the only exceptions which I have observed in all the Alcaic Odes:-

Fias recantatis amica
Obprobriis, \&c. I., xvi., 27.
Di me tuentur, Dis pietas mea
Et musa cordi est, \&̌c. I., xvii., 13.

> Sparsisse nocturno cruore Hospitis. Ille venena Colcha Et quicquid, \&c. II., xiii., 7 .

Indeed, an Alcaic line does not often end with a short vowel, even when the next line begins with a consonant.

Horace employs this stanza in thirty-seven Odes, viz. :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Od. I., } 9,16,17,26,27,29,31,34,35,37 . \\
& \text { II., } 1,3,5,7,9,11,13,14,15,17,19,20 . \\
& \text { III., } 1,2,3,4,5,6,17,21,23,26,29 . \\
& \text { IV., } 4,9,14,15 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## VII. Asynartete ${ }^{1}$ Verses.

This name is given to those verses which, like Mixed Verses, consist of two verses of different classes, united into one line; but they differ from mixed verses, inasmuch as the component parts are not subject to the ordinary laws of Prosody and Versification, since the last syllable of the first member of the verse may be either long or short, just as if it was the final syllable of a separate line.

Of Asynartete verses we shall describe, first, the

## a. Elegiambic. ${ }^{2}$ No 1.

Which is composed of a pure Dactylic Penthemimer, followed by an Iambic Dimeter Acatalectic, according to the following scheme :-


Scribere versiculos | Amore percussum gravi. H..E. XI., 2. Inachia furere, | silvis honorem decutit. II. E. XI., 6.
Arguit et latere | petitus imo spiritus. H. E. XI., 10.
Libera consilia | nec contumeliae graves. H. E. XI., 26.
Fervidiore mero | arcana promorat loco. H. E. XI., 14.
Vincere mollitia, | amor Lycisci me tenet. H. E. XI., 24.

[^102]It will be observed, that in the second, third, and fourth of these lines, the short final syllables in furere, latere, consilia, are considered long, in virtue of their position at the end of the Dactylic Penthemimer, while in the fifth and sixth there is a hiatus between the two members of the verse.

There are in all fourteen lines belonging to this species of Elegiambic verse in Horace, and out of these the five given above exhibit irregularities. It is not used in a system by itself, but is placed alternately with the Iambic Senarian, in Epod. XI.

Petti, nihil me, sicut antea, iuvat
Scribere versiculos Amore percussum gravi.

## b. Elegiambic. No. 2,

Is the same with the preceding, except that the Iambic Dimeter is placed before the Dactylic Penthemimer, thus :-

> Iambic Dim. Acat. + Dactylic Penthem.


Tu vina Törquäto move $\mid$ consule pressă meo. H. E. XIII., 6.




It will be observed, that in the second, third, and fourth of these lines, the short final syllables in vice, pectora, flumina, are considered long, in virtue of their position at the end of the Iambic Dimeter.

There are in all nine lines belonging to this species of Elegiambic verse in Horace, and out of these, the three given above exhibit irregularities. It is not used in a system by itself, but is placed alternately with the Heroic Hexameter in Epod. XIII.

Horrida tempestas coelum contraxit et imbres
Nivesque deducunt Iovem; nunc mare, nunc siluae,
Threicio Aquilone sonant : rapiamus amici
Occasionem de die; dumque virent genua, \&c.

## c. Priapeian,

So called from being used in odes addressed to the god Priapus. It has received also the title of Stesichorean. By some it is classed
among Trochaic verses，by others among Dactylics，and by a third party，among Antispastics．But from the accurate description of it given by Marius Victorinus，p．2598，we are entitled to consider it an Asynartete．
＂Constat duobus metris，quorum prius est Glyconium octosylla－ bum，sequens Pherecration syllaba deminutum，ita tamen，ut novis－ sima Glyconii，id est，octava syllaba，longa sit，si natura brevi fuerit， velut．
＂Nereus ut caneret fata｜grato Pyrrha sub antro．
＂Igitur，quod hoc versu Priapi laudes plerique canendo prosecuti sunt，Priapeium metrum nuncuparunt．＂

This verse，then，is made up of a Glyconian（see p．179），followed by a Pherecratean（see p．180），the last syllable of the Glyconian being long or short，at pleasure，just as if it were at the end of a distinct line．

The Priapeian is used three times by Catullus，and the constitu－ ent parts are，of course，formed according to the model which he follows when he uses separately，the Glyconian and Pherecratean， each admitting a Trochee ${ }^{1}$ in the first place，as well as a Spondee， which is contrary to the practice of Horace．The scheme，therefore， is as follows：－

Glyconian＋Pherecratean．

$$
\text { ニー }|-\smile \smile-|\smile-| \text { ニー }|-\smile \smile-\mid-
$$

Tantumdem omnia sentiens \｜quam si nulla sit usquam．C．XVII．， ［20．
Quemdam municipem meum $\|$ de tuo volo ponte．C．XVII．，8．
Quercus arida rustica｜｜conformata securi．C．XIX．， 3.
Et salire paratum habes｜｜sed vereris inepta．C．XVII．， 2.
Nutrivi magis et maǧs \｜ut beata quotannis．C．XIX．， 4.
Pro queis omnia honoribǔs｜｜haec necesse Priapo．C．XIX．， 17.
It will be observed，that in the last two quoted verses，the final syllables in mağs and honoribǔs are accounted long by the license of the Asynartete．

Catullus employs these verses in a system in Carm．XVII．， XVIII．，XIX．，but the authenticity of the last is more than doubtful．

Observe also，that the two irregularities noticed above both occur

[^103]in this piece, and nothing similar is found in either of the two others, with the exception of the following line in XVII., 3 :-

Crura ponticuli adsulītantis, inredivivus.
Where adsulitantis is a most unhappy conjectural emendation due to Scaliger. The reading of Vossius, asculis stantis, is far better, since it does not confound the two members of the verse, but all the MSS. seem to have ex sulcis tantis, which is not intelligible. Lachman has adopted assulis.

## IX. Polyschematistic, or Anomalous Verses,

Are those whose composition is so irregular and variable, that they cannot be classed with propriety under any of the above heads. Among these, we may fairly place

## Galliambic Verse.

So called from the Galli, the priests of Cybele, by whom it was employed in their wild orgies. The only specimen of this verse extant is the magnificent poem of Catullus on Atys (LXIII.), which breathes the very spirit of the ancient dithyramb. In all probability the poets never intended to confine themselves by any very rigid laws in compositions of this nature, and therefore the critics who have so laboriously and so unsuccessfully endeavoured to determine with precision the structure of this metre, have been struggling with a shadow. The whole poem on Atys contains ninety-three lines only, and consequently, even granting that these were formed according to some acknowledged scheme, yet if it admitted numerous variations, as, from what we see, it must have done, the data we possess are not sufficient for the determination of the question.

According to Vulpius, it consists of six feet, of which
The first is generally an Anapaest, but sometimes a Spondeus or a Tribrachys.

The second generally an Iambus, rarely an Anapaest, a Tribrach, or a Dactyl.

The third generally an Tambus, rarely a Spondeus.
The fourth a Dactyl or Spondeus.
The fifth often a Dactyl, sometimes a Cretic, or Spondcus.
The sixth an Anapaest, and sometimes an Iambus preceded by a Cretic, according to the following scheme:-

Another scheme, given by a German translator of the poem, is as follows :-


We subjoin a few lines as a specimen :-
Egone a mea remota haec ferar in nemora domo?
Patria, bonis, amicis, genitoribus abero ?
Abero foro, palaestra, stadio et gymnasiis ?
Miser, ah miser, querendum est etiam atque etiam, anime. Quid enim genus figurae est, ego non quod habuerim?
Ego puber, ego adolescens, ego ephebus, ego puer,
Ego gymnasii fui flos, ego eram decus olei.
Mihi ianuae frequentes, mihi limina tepida,
Mihi floridis corollis redimita domus erat,
Linquendum ubi esset orto mihi sole cubiculum.

$$
\text { C. LXIII., } 58-C 7 .
$$

## On the Union of different kinds of Verse.

We have already noticed the combinations of different kinds of verse which are found in the purer Roman Classics ; but it is necessary to explain the technical terms which have been invented by grammarians for the purpose of classifying them.

In the first place, a poem receives the name of Monocolon, Dicolon, Tricolon, \&c., according to the number of different species of verse which it contains.

When a poem contains one species of verse only, it is called Mono-
 Aeneid of Virgil, the Satires and Epistles of Horace, the Metamorphoses of Ovid, are all examples of Carmina Monocola, since they consist of Hexameters alone; so also the first Ode of the first Book of the Odes of Horace is a Carmen Monocolon, since it is a system of Choriambic Trimeters, unbroken by any other species of verse ; and so on.

When a poem contains two species of verse, it is called Dicolon. The Fasti and Epistles of Ovid, the Elegies of Tibullus and Propertius, which are composed of Dactylic Hexameters and Dactylic Pentameters placed alternately, are Carmina Dicola, so also those Odes which are composed in the Sapphic Stanza: the third of the First Book of Horace, which contains two different species of Choriambic verse ; and a host of others.

When a poem contains three different species of verse, it is called Tricolon. Of this we have examples in the Alcaic Stanza, in

Horace, Ode I., v., which is composed of three different species of Choriambic verse ; and many others.

Another series of terms has been devised in order to point out the intervals after which the first species of verse used in any poem regularly recurs.

When the first species of verse recurs regularly after the second line, the poem receives the epithet of Distrophon.

Thus, poems composed in Elegiac verse are called Carmina Dicola Distropha; but a poem in the Sapphic Stanza, although Dicolon, is not Distrophon, because the first species does not recur regularly until after the fourth line.

When the first species of verse recurs after the third line, the poem receives the epithet Tristrophon, after the fourth line Tetrastrophion, and after the fifth line Pentastrophon.

According to this system, a poem written in the Sapphic Stanza is termed Carmen Dicolon Tetrastrophon, in the Alcaic Stanza, Carmen T'ricolon Tetrastrophon, while the Epithalamium of Julia and Manlius in Catullus (LIX.) is Dicolon Pentastrophon.

Observe, that this system of nomenclature is by no means perfect, as it does not point out the circumstances under which the first species of verse is repeated. Thus, in the Alcaic Stanza, the first two lines are in the same species of verse, the third and fourth are different from this and from each other ; the grammarians call a poem in this stanza Tricolon Tetrastrophon. But if a stanza of fourlines is arranged in such a manner that the first line is one species of verse, the second and the third different from the first, but the same with each other, and the fourth different from any of the preceding; or if the first and second are different from each other, the thild and fourth different from the two preceding, but the same with each other; then in either of these cases the poem must still be called Tricolon Tetrastrophon. So a poem in the Sapphic Stanza is called Dicolon Tetrastrophon; but if a stanza were composed containing one Sapphic line followed by three Adonics, the poem would still bear the same orpellation.

## APPENDIX.

## I.

## THE HISTORY OF THE LATIN ALPIAABET. ${ }^{1}$

As ancient tradition, which seems to have been received without suspicion, and transmitted without variation, by historians, philosophers, and pocts, ${ }^{2}$ declared that an Oriental settler (Cadmus) from Phocnicia, introduced the knowledge of letters into Greece. All Roman writers who have touched upon this topic agree, that the Greeks first imported an alphabet into Italy. The statement of Dionysius of Halicarnassus, ${ }^{3}$ who says that letters were brought by the Arcadians,-of Livy, ${ }^{4}$ who attributes this specially to Evander,--of Tacitus, ${ }^{5}$ who makes it the joint work of Evander and of Demaratus of Corinth, -and of Isidorus, ${ }^{6}$ who refers it to Carmenta-were, in all probability, derived from the tradition more accurately expressed by Pliny ${ }^{7}$ and Solinus, ${ }^{8}$ who relate that the alphabet was brotight into Latium by the Pelasgians, if we understand by Pelasgians, that ancient and widely diffused tribe, which was the common mother of the Greeks, and of the earliest civilized inhabitants of Ausonia.

There is, indeed, sufficient evidence, from the number and form of the characters, as they appear upon the most ancient monuments of the two countries, to prove that the old Greek and Roman alphabets were nearly if not altogether identical, and substantially the same with the Hebrew, the Phoenician, and the Samaritan alphabets. It may be proper to say a few words upon each of these separately.

## Hebrew Alpiabet.

The Hebrew alphabet, written in the square character, which is usually referred to as the standard of comparison when treating of the ancient

1 The student may consult with advantage the very elaborate Varronianus, by Dr. Donaldson (second edition, 1852), and the elegant treatise On the Alphabet, by Professor Key, of the London University.

A very complete collection of all the passages in the old grammarians connected with this topic is contained in the Grammatil der lateinischen Sprache of Schneider, Bd. I. \& II., Berlin, 1819, 1821.
${ }^{2}$ Herod. V., 58, 59 ; Plutarch. Symp. IX., prob. 3 ; Zenodotus, ap. Diog. Laert. in Vita Zenonis. See also, Plin. H. N. VII., 56; Clem. Alex. Strom. I., \&ec.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{3} \text { Antiq. Rom. I., c. } 3 .{ }_{7} \text { I., c. } 7 . \\
& { }^{\text {I. }} \text { N. VII., } \\
& 56,57,58 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Semitic dialects, as known to us, consists of twenty-two letters, with the names of which all are familiar, as they are employed in the Bible to distinguish the twenty-two sections of the CXIXth Psalm. They are arranged as follows:-


Some grammarians add a twenty-third, namely, Sin, but this is merely a Shin, or $S$, without the aspiration, and the character is the same, except that the point is placed over the left limb, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$; hence it is not usually regarded as a distinct letter.

It is confidently asserted in many works upon etymology, that the old Hebrew alphabet consisted of only fifteen, or according to others, of sixteen characters, and that Vau, Zain, Cheth, Theth, Samech, Tsadi, and Koph were added by degrees at different periods. But there is not the slightest historical foundation for this statement, and it appears to have been invented because the ancient Greek and Roman alphabets were supposed to have originally consisted of fifteen or sixteen letters; but this supposition, as we shall point out below, is itself at variance with all the trustworthy evidence to which we can appeal.

## Phoeniclan Alpifabet.

The Phoenician alphabet, believed by the ancients to have been the parent of all the European alphabets, is known to us only from a very limited number of inscriptions and coins, in many of which the legends cannot be deciphered with certainty. In several comparative tables of alphabets, the Phoenician characters corresponding to the Hebrew Vau, Zain, Theth, Samech, and $P e$ are wanting; but Gesenius ${ }^{1}$ has succeeded in establishing a complete correspondence between the Phoenician and Hebrew alphabets, with the exception, perhaps, of Zain, for which the Phoenican character cannot be identified with certainty. ${ }^{2}$

[^104]
## Old Hebrew and Samaritan Alphabets.

The Old Hebrew (sometimes called the Old Samaritan) alphabet is made known to us by coins exclusively, chiefly those of the Maccabacan dynasty. It seems to correspond very closely with the Phoenician and Hebrew alphabets, but the characters for Zain, Theth, and Samech have not been identified. The whole twenty-two letters are used regularly in the Samaritan of MSS.

## Greek Alphabet.

The alphabet employed by the Greeks during the highest period of their literature consisted of twenty-four letters-

$$
\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{I}, \Delta, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{H}, \Theta, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \Lambda, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \Xi, \mathrm{O}, \Pi, \mathrm{P}, \Sigma, \mathrm{~T}, \Upsilon, \Phi, \mathrm{X}, \Psi, \Omega
$$

The tradition, that letters were introduced into Greece from Phoenicia, is mentioned without an expression of suspicion by Herodotus, ${ }^{1}$ who designates alphabetical characters by the terms әраннкт © Cosybrnïa and
 have recorded that the Cadmean alphabet contained sixteen letters only-

$$
\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{\Gamma}, \Delta, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \Lambda, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{O}, \Pi, \mathrm{P}, \Sigma, \mathrm{~T}, \Upsilon
$$

and their statement was long received without question. Pliny, who alone enters into details, says that Palamedes, in the time of the Trojan war, added $\Theta, \Phi, \mathbf{X}, \Xi$, and that Simonides of Ceos introduced $\mathrm{H}, \Omega, \mathrm{Z}, \Psi$. He adds, that Aristotle maintained that the ancient number of letters was eighteen-

$$
\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \Lambda, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{O}, \Pi, \mathrm{P}, \Sigma, \mathrm{~T}, \Upsilon, \Phi
$$

and that $\Theta, \mathbf{X}$, were introduced not by Palamedes but by Epicharmus.
But it will not be difficult to show that these accounts, which, it will be observed, are conflicting, are altogether unworthy of credit.

1. The reference to Palamedes throws this portion of the statement of Pliny altogether beyond the pale of historical criticism.
2. No notice is here taken of two letters which, it is well known, belonged to the Greek alphabet at a very early period, although they subsequently fell into disuse-the Digamma and the Koppa, corresponding to the Hebrew Vau and Koph. Both of these are found on the oldest Greek inscriptions and coins ; both, when they ceased to be used in writing, were retained as marks of number-the Digamma as the mark of 6 , its proper place as corresponding to Vau, and Koppa as the mark of 90 , its proper place between $\Pi$ (80) and $P(100)$, and both appear in their proper places and under their ancient forms in the Latin alphabet as F and Q. Moreover, it is highly probable that the symbol called Sanpi, $\lambda$, used to designate 900 , was originally a letter, perhaps the Hebrew Tsadi; but this is merely a conjecture.
3. The character H was certainly not invented or introduced by Simo-

[^105]nides, for it occurs in inscriptions before his time. In these, however, it has the same force as among ourselves-that of a strong aspirate; and it is not improbable that Simonides may have first employed it to represent long $\bar{e}$.
4. $\Theta$, which corresponds in place to the Hebrew Theth or Teth, is found in the oldest inscriptions.
5. $\Phi$ and X , although probably invented by the Greeks, since they find no place in the Hebrew alphabet, are met with in all the oldest inscriptions, with the single exception of that one engraved on a portion of a Doric pillar brought from the island of Melos, known to scholars as the Columna Naniana, and now or lately preserved at Venice in the Palazzo Tiepolo. In this we read twice $\Pi \mathrm{H}$ for $\Phi$, and once KH for X ; but it must not be concealed that many competent judges have regarded this inscription as spurious.
6. The letters wanting in the oldest Attic inscriptions, that is, in those dating before the close of the Peloponesian war, are H with the force of long $\bar{e} ; \Omega$; and the double consonants $\Xi$ and $\Psi$. Eta and Omega had no distinguishing mark, but were written E, O; while and $\Psi$ were written each as two separate consonants, $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{\Sigma}$ and $\Phi \Sigma$. It does not follow, however, that the characters in question were absolutely unknown up to the close of the Peloponesian war; we can only assert that they were not admitted into public documents before that period.

Much new light has been thrown upon the whole question by the discovery, a few years ago, in a tomb at Cervetri, the ancient Caere, of a small black earthenware vase, now preserved in the Vatican in the Museo Gregoriano. Round the base of this vessel is an alphabet in very ancient characters; and round the body the consonants are coupled with the vowels in turn, so as to form a syllabarium or primer. The most experienced antiquarians and the most acute philologers have decided that this is the oldest monument in existence bearing upon the history of the Greek alphabet, and that there is every reason to believe that it is a relic of the earliest inhabitants of Agylla (afterwards Caere) which is uniformly represented by ancient writers to have been one of the most ancient cities in Italy, and to have been founded at a very remote epoch by Greeks or Pelasgians. The alphabet on the vase consists of twenty-five letters, in very archaic characters, ${ }^{1}$ arranged as follows:-

$$
\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} \Delta, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{H}, \Theta, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \Lambda, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \Xi, \mathrm{O}, \Pi, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{\Sigma}, \mathrm{~T}, \Upsilon, \mathrm{x}, \Phi, \Psi .
$$

It will be remarked that-

1. We here find the F, or Digamma or Vau, and the Q or Koph, both of which subsequently dropped out of the Greek alphabet, being retained as marks of number only, while both were preserved as letters in the Latin alphabet.
2. The long vowels, Eta and Omega, are both wanting, as in Latin.

[^106]3. The character $H$ here, as in other early Greek monuments, denotes merely a strong aspiration, the force which it retained in the Latin, and still holds in modern alphabets.
4. As far as arrangement is concerned, X is placed before $\Phi$.
5. The above alphabet, down to T, corresponds exactly in arrangement with the Hebrew, omitting Tsadi, and the four letters not belonging to the Hebrew alphabet, viz., $\Upsilon, \Phi, x, \Psi$, are all placed together, exactly as we should have expected, at the end.
The conclusion which we draw from the above remarks, and which seems almost irresistible, is, that the original Greek alphabet was absolutely identical with the Phoenician, Samaritan, and Hebrew; and we shall proceed to show that it was identical with the Latin also.

## Latin Alphabet.

The Latin alphabet, in the earliest form known to us, consisted of twenty letters:-

$$
\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{X} .
$$

The letter G was introduced at some date after b.c. 259 ; while Y and Z , which found a place only in Greek, or at least in foreign words, were not brought into general use until a still later period.

That X was regarded as the last letter in the Latin alphabet proper, is proved by the words of Quintilian (I., iv., 9) ... et nostrarum ultima X... and by the account given by Suetonius in his life of Augustus (c. 88) of the secret writing employed by that Emperor; while. Cicero (De N. D. II., 37) reckons the number of letters at twenty-one, including, of course, G.

Upon some of the letters it may be useful to make a few remarks. We shall begin with the cognate group of gutturals, C, G, K, Q; and, first, of

$$
\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G} .
$$

We have pointed out above that G is omitted in the earliest form of the Latin alphabet, and it will be observed that C occupies the place held by $\Gamma$ in the Greek alphabet. It seems certain that C originally had the sound of G , or rather, perhaps, a sound intermediate between G and K . When G was introduced it superseded C in all those words in which the Gamma sound predominated, while the character C was retained in those words in which it had the Kappa sound, and in process of time almost entirely superseded K .
That C had originally a Gamma sound, and that the character G was introduced at a comparatively late period, can be proved by satisfactory evidence.

1. Plutarch (Q. R. 51), when endeavouring to establish an etymological connection between the words parespos and macellum, observes that C (or K) and G are cognate letters, and that the Romans did not make use of G until a late period, it having been added to their alphabet by
 ${ }_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon_{0} \rho, y \tau 05$. This person is supposed to have been the freedman of Spurius Carvilius Ruga, celebrated as the first Roman who repudiated his wife, an event which Took place в.c. 235 -в.c. 227 . The statement that $G$ was
introduced at a comparatively recent epoch is to be found also in Festus, Quintilian, Ausonius, and many of the later grammarians.
2. The inscription on the base of the Duillian column, the earliest monument of the Latin language on which any reliance can be placed, was engraved, in all probability, soon after the event which it commemorates, that is, soon after в.c. 259 . Here we find no trace of G, but read -Leciones - Macistratos - Exfociont - (Pu)cnandod - Macis(tratu) - Cartaciniensis - Puc(nandod)-Cartaciniens . . . instead of Legiones, Magistratus, Exfugiunt, Pugnando, Cartaginiensis, \&c. Again, in the Senatus Consultum de Bacchanalibus, which belongs to в.с. 186, we find Magister-Magistratum-Promagistratuo-Figier-Gaoscier-Agro, \&c. ;-thus corroborating the evidence of Plutarch as to the time when $G$ was introduced.

On the other hand, in the epitaph on Scipio Barbatus, who was consul B.c. 298 , and must have died before b.c. 250 , we read the words Gnaivod -Prognatus-Subigit,-which would seem to contradict the assertion that $G$ was introduced as late as B.C. 237. But, in the first place, a doubt may arise as to the fact of the inscription having been correctly copied; for the difference between the form of $C$ and that of $G$, as the latter appears upon the older monuments, is very slight, and can scarcely be distinguished on the coarse peperino of which Scipio's tomb is composed. A slight flaw in the stone might thus be easily mistaken for the cross stroke of the G; and some editors actually give subicit for subigit; while Quintilian, as we shall see below, tells us that the praenomen Caius or Gaius was one of those words in which $\mathbf{C}$ was always retained, although pronounced like G. In the second place, even if we admit that the inscription has been accurately copied, there is another consideration which deserves serious attention. The epitaph on Lucius Scipio, the son of Barbatus, exhibits the language under a more archaic form than that upon his father, while the tomb of Barbatus is remarkable for its graceful shape and ornaments, displaying evidently the taste of a Greek artist. Hence we are led to the conclusion that both the tomb and the inscription may have been tributes paid to the memory of Barbatus a considerable period after the date of his decease.
3. Not only does the Latin C occupy the same place in the alphabet as the Greek $\Gamma$, but it is well known that in early Greek inscriptions the I has exactly the form of a semicircular C. Thus, on the oldest coins of Gela, Rhegium, and Agrigentum, we find the legends CEAAE-PECINON -AKPACAZ.

Even after G had been fairly established, the character C still lingered in some words. Thus in the praenomens Gaids and Gneus the G sound was quite distinct; but it was the usual, although not uniform practice, down to a late period, to employ the abbreviations C. and Cx., in preference to G. and Gn. So also Servius on Virgil (G. I., 194), tells us that the word amurca ( $\dot{\alpha}$, coprp), although written with a C, was pronounced amurga; and in the Praenestine Kalendar, preserved in the Vatican, we remark PRVCVM for FRVGVM. Moreover, in a few words, as far as we can trust existing MSS., C and G seem to have been employed indif-ferently-we have as good authority for gurgulio, vigesimus, trigesimusas for curculio, vicesimus, tricesimus; while on a set of consular denarii,
supposed to belong to a Gens Ogulnia, a Gens Carvilia, and a Gens Vergilia, we find the two former names sometimes as OCVL. CAR. and sometimes as OGVL. GAR.

It must be borne in mind that the Latin $\mathbf{C}$ was always sounded hard, as in the English word Cat, and never had the S sound, which we give to it in such words as certain and civil. In fact, the sound of $\mathbf{C}$ seems to have been identical with that of K ; and hence the Latin proper names Cicero and Caesar, which we pronounce as Sissero and Seesar, were written by
 the force of $S$ in certain words may be perhaps explained from the fact that the character C, which in the oldest Greek inscriptions represented Gamma, was frequently employed in Greek inscriptions of a late date to represent $\Sigma$. Thus the epithet $\Sigma_{\varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma \sigma 0 \varsigma}$ (Augustus), continually appears on Greek imperial coins under the abbreviated form CEB.

## K.

There seems to be no foundation whatever for an assertion to be found in two very late grammarians, Isidorus of Seville ${ }^{1}$ and Petrus Diaconus, ${ }^{2}$ that K was introduced into the Latin alphabet by a schoolmaster named Salvius or Sallustius. On the contrary, there is every reason to believe that K, which is found in the Hebrew, Phoenician, and oldest Greek alphabets, was one of the original constituents of the Latin alphabet also. At no period, however, does it seem to have been extensively employed, and it entered into those words only in which it was followed by the vowel A. ${ }^{3}$ After the introduction of $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{C}$ was completely identified in sound with K , which from that time forward almost disappeared from the language. Hence Quintilian, Ausonius, and the grammarians, speak of it as a superfluous letter, used only as a mark or abbreviation for a very few words, chiefly Kaput-Kalumnia-Kalendae-and the proper name Kaeso. Carthago seems to have been frequently written Karthago; but we read Cartacininensis on the Duillian column.

We find a few examples of K in inscriptions and on coins down to a late period-such as Arka-Dedikaverunt-Evokatus-ParkarumVolkano; and the names Kalenus and Palikanus on denarii of the Gens Fufia and Gens Lollia. These may probably be regarded justly as mistakes of the artizans, but they at the same time prove that the letter and its sound were not forgotten. On the other hand, the appearance of K in the words Karus, Karissimus, Karitas, \&c., is of such frequent recurrence, that it cannot be purely accidental ; and Velius Longus (p. 2218) says ... religiosi quidam (i.e., persons scrupulously precise in the matter of orthography) epistolis subscribunt Karissime per K et A....

The very rare cases in which K is followed by some letter other than $\mathbf{A}$ belong to words transplanted from the Greek-such as Klepsydrarius -Kristus,-or are evident blunders of the stone-cutters, as Kos. for Cos.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { I., } 4 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { p. } 1582 .
$$

${ }^{3}$ The only examples of $K$ in the older inscriptions are-1. In the epitaph on Cnaeus Scipio Hispanus, where we read SL. IVDIK, i. e., Stlitibus iudicandis, and, 2. In the S. C. regarding the Tiburtines, where the word KASTORVS, i. e., Castoris, occurs.

## Q.

We have seen that Q, or Koph, or Koppa, was a constituent of the Hebrew, Phoenician, and early Greek alphabets; and it seems from the first to have belonged to the Latin alphabet also. There is no foundation for the assertion made by some of the grammarians, that it was introduced at a late period, and was merely an abbreviation for the combination CV. ${ }^{1}$

Q, as we have already stated, disappeared at an early period from the words of the Greek language, and was retained merely as a mark to denote the number ninety.

On the other hand, Q was at all periods employed as a letter by the Romans, but only to a very limited extent. It was not used except when followed by the vowel $V$, and when $V$ was itself followed by another vowel, with which it coalesced, so as to form one syllable, producing a sound which, according to Quintilian, was entirely unknown in Greek, and not capable of being expressed in Greek characters. Of this we have examples in such words as Qua, Quae, Quaero, Queror, Quintus, Quoties, Quum, Equa, Inquiro, Liquor, Reliquus-in all of which Qu and the vowel following coalesce, so as to form one syllable, although the combination is not regarded as a diphthong, and in no way affects the natural quantity of the vowel following V. Thus Quěror and Quirrites are respectively a dissyllable and a trisyllable, with the first short. Inquīro is a trisyllable, with the second long.

We know that in those words in Greek into which $\mathbf{Q}$ originally entered, such as QOPIN@O $\Sigma$, it was eventually superseded by $K$, and it is probable that, when enunciated independently, it was not distinguishable in sound from C or K. There is no pretext, however, for terming it a superfluous letter in Latin, for it seems to have been always employed in preference to $\mathbf{C}$, in cases similar to those noticed above, when V was followed by a vowel with which it coalesced; whereas when V is preceded by C, and followed by a vowel, it does not coalesce with the latter, but forms a distinct sylla-ble-thus, it is correct to write reliquus-aqua-aequus; not relicus-acua -aecus; while, on the other hand, we must write acio-acütur; not aquo -aquitur. There are a few, and only a few, doubtful exceptions, in such words as cum (adv.) -cuius-cui-which certainly appear, towards the close of the republic, to have been used indifferently with, if not in preference to, the more ancient and correct forms-quom-quoius-quoi.

But since Q, when pronounced independently, was identical in sound with C or K , it was natural that persons not conversant with the strict rules of orthography should occasionally employ it erroneously instead of $\mathbf{C}$, especially before $V$, even when $V$ was not followed by another vowel. Hence Charisius (p. 83) thinks it necessary to warn his readers that they ought to write pecunia, and not pequnia; and we actually find this word

[^107]written with a Q in inscriptions (e. g., Orell., n. 745) ; so also Qurtius for Curtius (Orell., n. 3946), and Qulina for Culina (Orell., n. 3302). But these mistakes are not common, and there seems to have been rather a tendency to supersede Q, even in the regular combination Qu, by C. Thus Priscian (p. 560) gives Arquus-Coquus-Oquulus-Quur-Quumas archaic forms of Arcus-Cocus-Oculus-Cur-Cum: and in the S. C. de Bacchanalibus we have Oquoltod for Occulto. In several words Qu appears in the root, and is replaced by C in the derivative, as Sequor, Secundus; Torqueo, Torcular; Quatio, Concutio; Aliquis, Alicubi, Alicunde, \&c.; and, on the other hand, we occasionally find Qu in the derivative, while it has disappeared from the root, thus-Cunire, Inquinare ; Stercus, Sterquilinium; Colo, Inquilinus; Lacus, Laquear ; Quercus, Querquetulanus.

We may proceed to quote a few of the most important passages bearing upon the four letters which we have now discussed.

Festus, s. v. Prodigia, p. 229, ed. Müller-
Prodigia quod praedicunt futura, permutatione G literae, nam quae nunc C appellatur, ab antiquis G vocabatur.

And again, s. v. Orcum, p. 202-
Orcum quem dicimus, ait Verrius ab antiquis dictum Uragum quod et $V$ literae sonum per O efferebant: per C literae formam nihilominus $G$ usurpabant. See also $s$. vv. acetare, quincentum.

Auson. Eidyll. XII., 20 -

> Haec tribus in Latio tantum addita nominibus K, Praevaluit postquam Gammae vice functa prius C, Atque alium prae se titulum replicata dedit Q.

Plutarch, Q. R., 51-


And again, 56-

 ßळ入оут05.

The date assigned to this divorce varies from B.c. 235-b.c. 227. See Clinton on b.c. 231.

Quintil. I., vii., 28. . . . . Nam et Gaius C litera notatur, quae inversa 0 mulierem declarat: . . . . . nee Gneus eam literam in praenominis nota accipit qua sonat.

Diomed., p. 417.-G nova est consonans, in cuius locum C solebat apponi, hodieque cum Gaium notamus Caesarem, scribimus C. Caesarem. Comp. p. 420.

Mar. Victorin., p. 2469. .... C autem et nomen habuisse G et usum praestitisse, quod nunc Caius per C. Cneius per CN., quamvis utriusque syllabae sonus G exprimat, scribuntur.-And precisely to the same effect, Terent. Maur., p. 2402, 2410.

Again, Mar. Victorin., p. 2459, when speaking of G, says-Pro quo apud antiquos C poni solitum, ut, pro agro Gabino, Cabino; pro lege, lece; acna pro agna; auctio certe ab augendo dicta est; et numeri cum habeant C, ut ducenti, trecenti, sexcenti, G reliqui habent, ut quadringenti,
nongenti: [cum G] tertio [quoque] ordine, ut apud Graecos quoque, positum est C pro G, et suo loco K, post receptum C, supervacuum esse coepit. -In p. 2468, Marius Victorinus commits the mistake of supposing that the Latin G is the same with the Greek s, the symbol for the number 6 ; while in reality the character in question is merely one of the forms of the Digamma.

It will be observed that Marius Victorinus, in the passage quoted above, says that K became superfluous after the introduction of C . We shall find that the same opinion was held with regard both to K and Q by several of the grammarians who precede him.

Quintil. I., vii., 10.-Nam K quidem in nullis verbis utendum puto, nisi quae significat etiam ut sola ponatur. Hoc eo non omisi, quod quidam eam, quoties A sequatur, necessariam credunt: quum sit C litera, quae ad omnes vocales vim suam perferat.

Again, I., iv., 7.-At grammatici saltem omnes in hanc descendent rerum tenuitatem: desintne aliquae nobis necessariae literae . . . . . an rursus aliae redundent (praeter illam aspirationis notam, quae, si necessaria est, etiam contrariam sibi poscit) ut K quae et ipsa quorundam nominum nota est, et $Q$, cuius similis effectu specieque, nisi quod paulum a nostris obliquatur, Koppa, apud Graecos nunc tantum in numero manet: et nostrarum ultima $\mathbf{X}$, qua tamen carere potuimus, si non quaesissemus.

Again, XII., x., 30, when speaking of Q, he observes-Duras et illa syllabas facit, quae ad coniungendas demum subiectas sibi vocales est utilis, alias supervacua; ut equos hac et equum scribimus; quum etiam ipsae hae vocales duae efficiunt sonum, qualis apud Graecos nullus est, ideoque scribi illorum litteris non potest.

Diomed., p. 417, when describing the consonants-Ex his quibusdam supervacuae videntur K et Q , quod C litera harum locum possit implere, sed invenimus in Kalendis, et quibusdam similibus nominibus, quod K necessario scribitur: et quod secundum consuetudinem Q scribitur, cum in una eademque syllaba V litera antecedat, et habeat sibi adiunctam aliam vocalem, ut, Quirinus.

And again, p. $419-\mathrm{K}$ consonans muta supervacua, qua utimur quando A correpta sequitur, ut, Kalendae, Kaput, Kalumniae.

Priscian, I., p. 543.-K enim et Q quamvis figura et nomine videantur aliquam habere differentiam, cum C tamen eandem tam in sono vocum, quam in metro continent potestatem : et K quidem penitus supervacua est, nulla enim ratio videtur cur, A sequente, $K$ scribi debeat. Carthago enim et Caput, sive per $\mathbf{C}$ sive per K scribantur, nullam faciunt, nec in sono nec in potestate, eiusdem consonantis differentiam. Q vero propter nihil aliud scribenda videtur esse, nisi ut ostendat sequens V, ante alteram vocalem in eadem syllaba positam, perdere vim literae in metro.

Again, p. 544.-Auctoritas quoque tam Varronis quam Macri, teste Censorino, nee K nec Q neque H in numero adhibet literarum.

Terent.Scaur., p. 2252.-K quidam supervacuam esse literam iudicaverunt, quoniam vice illius fungi C satis posset, sed retenta est, ut quidam putant, quoniam notas quasdam significaret, ut Kesonem, ut Kaput, et Kalumniam et Kalendas. He adds, that the ancients never used this letter except when it was followed by A.

On K, Q, see also Val. Prob., p. 1486 ; Donat., p. 1737 ; Serg., p.

1828 ; Cledon., p. 1883 ; Mar. Victor., p. 1945 ; Vel. Long., p. 2218; Terent. Maur., p. 2400.

$$
\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{~V}
$$

The consonants F, V, deserve especial notice, since they are the representatives in Latin of a Greek letter, on which the researches and controversies of the learned have bestowed no small celebrity-the Aeolic Digamma.

No scholar now imagines that Latin was derived from the Aeolic dialect of Greek, and scarcely any one doubts that the Greek language, the Latin, and various branches of the Teutonic, had a common parent. Certain consonants existed in this ancient tongue, which, gradually, were either lost or modified in some of those which sprung from it, and among these was a strong, rough labial, which is still extant in many old Greek inscriptions and coins, which was unquestionably still in use, partially at least, in the time of Homer, but subsequently disappeared from almost all the dialects except that of the Aeolians, by whom it was both written and pronounced long after it had been dropped by the other Greeks, except as a mark of number.

From this circumstance, the later grammarians, who supposed it to be peculiar to this dialect, attached to it the epithet of Aeolic, while they gave it the name of "Digamma," from its form, which is that of two Gammas, one placed above the other, $F$.

Its proper appellation is Vau, the name which it has in the Hebrew alphabet, and which it bore among the Aeolians.-(See Priscian, p. 545.).

There is a well known passage in Dionysius of Halicarnassus, ${ }^{1}$ where it is described as a Gamma with two horizontal lines joined to the perpendicular.
 $\pi \lambda$ certals.

So also Agnaeus Cornutus, quoted by Cassiodorus ${ }^{2}$ -
Est quaedam litera in F literae speciem figurata, quae digamma nominatur quae duos apices ex Gamma litera habere videtur.

This description completely corresponds with its appearance upon ancient monuments, where it is for the most part found under the shape $F$, or $F,{ }^{3}$ thus presenting a complete type of the Roman F .

The fact that many of the forms of the original language, especially those connected with this letter, were preserved in the Aeolic dialect and also in Latin, gave rise to the erroncous opinion alluded to above, that the latter was derived from the former. This circumstance also renders Latin of great use to the Greek scholar, by enabling him to enlarge with certainty the scanty list of words once written with the Digamma, which he can collect from ancient monuments; while, at the same time, it is in the highest degree interesting to the Latin philologer, by enabling him to trace, distinctly, the connection between the two languages, in many cases where the ordinary rules of etymology would have afforded little assistance.

We shall now proceed to point out the different aspects which the Digamma assumes in Latin, adding a few examples in each case, the number of which may be easily increased by the intelligent student.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { A. R. I., } 20 .
$$

${ }^{2}$ For this and its other shapes, see Boeckh, Corpus Inscrip. Graec.

1. The Digamma is represented by F in several Latin words, while it has totally disappeared from the corresponding Greek ones.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\rho} n \gamma y v \mu, \text { or } \dot{\rho} \alpha \gamma \omega \text {, is the same with } \text { Frango. }^{1} \text { - Frigeo. }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. In many cases where the Digamma was attenuated into one of the softer labials, $\pi, \beta, \varphi$, we find in Latin the old rough F.

3. But the Digamma appears in Latin most frequently as the consonant V. a. At the beginning of a word.


| Later Greek <br> عìb, <br> ยу $\tau \varepsilon \rho \alpha$, <br> єб $\pi \varepsilon \rho 0 ร$, <br> $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau<\alpha$, <br> $\dot{\jmath} \rho$, <br> 6, <br> 601, <br> oixos, <br> oivos, |
| :---: |

b. In the middle of a word.

| $\alpha i F_{\omega} \nu$, ¿Fopyos, <br> A $\chi \alpha_{6} F_{0}$, $\delta_{1}$ Fo; <br> ${ }_{2 \lambda} \propto F_{l s}$, ขaF Fus, © Fis, <br> $i \lambda F n$, <br> ら Foy, |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



Latin.
Video.
Venter. Vesperus. Vesta. Ver. Vis. Viola, Vicus. Vinum.
aeVum.
aVernus.
Achivi.
diVus.
claVis.
naVis. oVis.
silVa.
oVum.
${ }^{1}$ Fenv̌̌s was used by the Aeolian Alcaeus, according to Trypho. Thiersch, G. G. § CLII.
c. Both at the beginning and in the middle.

4. It is sometimes found under the still softer form of B.

$$
\pi t F \omega, \quad \pi i \omega, \quad \text { biBo. }
$$

We are told ${ }^{1}$ that the ancient forms of Hordeum, Hoedus, Hircus, Hariolus,
were Fordeum, Foedus, Fircus, Fariolus,
which is analogous to what took place in Greek, where we see the Digamma passing into the aspirate, in such words as $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho 05, \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \alpha,{ }^{\text {' }}$ E入sun.

Several words occur in Homer in which the Digamma appears to be assumed or omitted according to the convenience of the poet. ${ }^{2}$ Of this we find an apt illustration in Latin, where

| Cupivi $\quad$ and Cupii, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Petivi | $\ldots$ | Petii, |
| Audiverant | $\ldots$ | Audierant, |
| Amaverunt | ... | (Amaérunt) Amarunt, |

and the like, were in use at the same time, the V being retained or rejected at pleasure.

We have thus seen that when the Digamma disappeared from the more highly cultivated dialects of Greek, traces of its former presence remained in the softer sounds of $\varphi, \pi, \beta$, while in other cases it vanished totally, or left its shadow only in an aspirate.

It not unfrequently passed also into the vowel $\Upsilon$.
Thus, $\quad \beta 005$, Boos, which in Latin is bos, bovis,
was manifestly $\beta_{0} F_{s}, \beta_{0} F_{05}$, the Latin losing all trace of the Digamma in the nominative, and recovering it in the genitive, while exactly the reverse takes place in the Greek.

So the $v$ in $\mathrm{A} \nless \downarrow \lambda \lambda \varepsilon v_{s}$, O 0 ข $\sigma \sigma \varepsilon v_{s}$, is the remnant of the Digamma which is lost in Achilles, Ulysses.

Now, precisely the same changes took place internally in the Latin language itself, the consonant V passing frequently into the vowel V. Thus we have-

Faveo, favitor, fautor;
Lavo, lavatus, lautus; Navis, navita, nauta;
and this fact is particularly valuable, as it serves to explain the poetical licenses (which have been noticed in the body of this work, under the head of Archaisms), by which silvae, solvunt, evolvam, pervolvent, \&c., are scanned as siluae, soluunt, cvoluam, pervoluent, \&c.

The Digamma, under its proper form, was always a consonant: so was the Roraan F. But the Roman character V discharged the functions of

[^108]two distinct letters, a consonant and a vowel ; when a consonant, it represents the Digamma-when a vowel, it corresponds to our own U.

Agnaeus Cornutus, in the compilation of Cassiodorus, Putsch., 2282.Nos hodie V literam in duarum literarum potestatem coegimus; nam modo pro digamma scribitur, modo pro vocali. Vocalis est cum ipsa per se est. Hoc enim cum caeteris quoque vocalibus patitur. Si cum alia vocali, digamma est, quae est consonans.

The Emperor Claudius endeavoured to reform his native language by restricting $V$ to the discharge of its duties as a vowel, and restoring the discarded Digamma in the form of an inverted F, to supply the place of V as a consonant.

This is noticed by Quintilian I., c. 7, when treating of this subject.Nec inutiliter Claudius Aeolicam illam ad hos usus \& literam adiecerat.

And Priscian, p. 545, ed. Putsch.-V vero loco consonantis posita eandem prorsus in omnibus vim habuit apud Latinos quam apud Aeoles digamma. Unde a plerisque ei nomen hoc datur, quod apud Aeoles habuit olim digamma, id est, Vau, ab ipsius voce profectum, teste Varrone et Didymo, qui id ei nomen esse ostendunt; pro quo Caesar hanc figuram ix scribere voluit; quod quamvis illi recte visum est, tamen consuetudo antiqua superavit.

Accordingly, we find an inscription engraved during the reign of Claudius, as follows:-

> TI. CLAVDIVS. DRVSI. F. CAISAR. AVG. GERMANICVS. PONT. MAX. TRIB. POT. $\overline{\text { VIII. }}$ IMP. $\overline{\text { XVI. COS. } \overline{\mathrm{IV}} .}$ CENSOR. P. P.
> AVCTIS. POPVLI. ROMANI. FINIBVS. POMERIVM. AMPLIAHIT. TERMINAHIT. QVE.

However, subsequent inscriptions confirm the assertion of Priscian, that the innovation was speedily abandoned, although we again find in an inscription of the reign of Vespasian, the word TERMINAHIT, although, inconsistently enough, in the same line we have ALVEI, where $V$ is a consonant.

## H,

Possesses the same force in the Latin alphabet as in our own-that of a strong aspiration. This was the force of the character in the early Greek alphabet also; but, as we have seen above, it was eventually employed to denote Eta, or long $\bar{e}$, the change, according to the commonly received account, having been introduced by Simonides of Ceos.

The ancient Romans employed the aspirate more sparingly than therr descendants-parcissime ea veteres usi sunt etiam in vocalibus, quum oedos ircosque dicebant-are the words of Quintilian (I., v., 20) ; and after the
use of the aspirate had become more common, it again fell out of use in the decline of the language, as we shall prove below. Hence it comes to pass that there are many words, both native and foreign, in which inscriptions and MSS. sometimes insert, and sometimes omit H, at the commencement of a word. Thus we find ave, have; arena, harena; olus, holus; aruspex, haruspex; erus, herus ; edera, hedera; ordeum, hordeum; arundo, harundo ; Etruria, Etruscus, Hetruria, Hetruscus; Adria, Hadria; Annibal, Amilcar, Asdrubal, Hannibal, Hamilcar, Hasdrubal; and many others. In some of these the pronunciation may have been always doubtful even among the best educated, as in the case of the word lumble in our own language; and in others H may have been written, but not pronounced, as in honest, honour, hour, humour, while in many the pronunciation may have varied at different epochs. That there was a tendency among Roman, as among English provincials, to misplace the aspirate, is evident from the words of Gellius (N. A., XV., 6)-rusticus fit sermo si aspires perperam; and what ridicule such blunders brought down upon the perpetrators may be seen from the well known epigram of Catullus (LXXXII.) :-

Chommoda dicebat, si quando commoda vellet Dicere, et insidias Arrius hinsidias.
Et tunc mirifice sperabat se esse locutum, Quom, quantum poterat, dixerat hinsidias.
Credo sic mater, sic Liber, avunculus eius, Sic maternus avus dixerat, atque avia.
Hoc misso in Syriam, requierunt omnibus aures, Audibant eadem haec leniter et leviter.
Nec sibi postilla metuebant talia verba: Quum subito adfertur nuntius horribilis:
Ionios fluctus, postquam illuc Arrius isset, Iam non Ionios esse, sed Hionios.
In many cases where H occurred in the middle of a word, it was dropped or retained at pleasure. Thus mihi and mi; nihil and nil; cohors and cors ${ }^{1}$ vehemens and vemens; prehendo and prendo; seem at one period to have been used indifferently. Agnaeus Cornutus, in the compilation of Cassiodorus, p. 2286-Vehemens et Vemens apud antiquos, et apud Ciceronem lego aeque Prehendo et Prendo, Hercule et Hercle, Nihil et Nil ; and Eutychius, in the same compilation, p. 2311, gives as examples of this usage-ut veho, traho; vexi traxi ; mihi, mi; nihil, nil; prehendo, prendo; vehemens, vemens; et similia. When Quintilian says (I., v., 21)-Inde durat ad nos usque vehementer et comprehendere et mili i-he seems to indicate that $h$ was commonly pronounced in these words in his time; but the fashion changed; for, at a later period, we read in Velius Longus ( p .2229 )

[^109]While chors, in the sense of a cohort, is common in inscriptions.
-Et de H litera quaeritur ... ... ut in his, vehemens, reprehendit, cum elegantiores et veementer dicunt, et reprendit secundum primam positionem, prendo enim dicimus non prehendo; and again, p. 2234-cum superius de aspiratione loquerer ostendi id quoque, illum sibi locum fecisse, cum alioquin non desideraretur ut in vehemente et reprehenso, cum veemens et reprensus sine aspiratione emendatius dicatur. That there was a general disposition in the decline of the language to drop the aspirate is sufficiently attested by Marius Victorinus (p. 2466), who, when speaking of the use of H in certain words, observes-Sed credo vos antiquitatem sequi, sed cum asperitas vetus illa paulatim ad elegantioris vitae sermonisque est limam perpolita, sic vos quoque has voces sine $H$ secundum consuetudinem nostri seculi scribite, -where there can be little doubt that, when the grammarian uses the term antiquitas, he does not refer to the earliest epoch of the language, when, as we have seen above, the H was very sparingly introduced, but to the age of Cicero and Augustus, which was abundantly ancient relatively to himself.

When Quintilian, as quoted above, says-Parcissime ea veteres usi sunt etiam in vocalibus; and when Cicero declares (Orator, XLVIII., § 160) -Quin ego ipse, quum scirem ita maiores locutos esse ut nusquam nisi in vocali aspiratione uterentur, loquebar sic ut, pulcros, Cetegos, triumpos, Kartaginem dicerem, aliquando, idque sero, convicio aurium quum extorta mihi veritas esset, usum loquendi populo concessi, sententiam mihi reser-vavi,-they mean that, according to ancient usage, $H$ was never employed except at the beginning of a syllable, and when followed by a vowel, as in honestus, inhonestus, nihil, and the like. Hence there are no characters in the Latin alphabet corresponding to the Greek $\Theta \Phi \mathbf{X}$, nor, properly speaking, were the combinations $c h, p h, r h, t h$, ever employed except in foreign words, epecially those transplanted directly from the Greek, such asCharta, Chirographum, Chlamys, Machina, Machaera, Schola; Phalerae, Pharmacopola, Philosophus, Asphodelus, Sphaera; Rheda, Rhetor, Pyrrhus, Parrhasius; Thronus, Thesaurus, Thyasus, Thyrsus, Asthma, Isthmus, Phaethon, Diphthongus, Erichthonius, and the like.

The natural disinclination of the Romans to an aspirate may be seen distinctly in numerous words which, although not transplanted directly from the Greek, were derived from a common source. Thus we have«i $\gamma \chi \omega$, ango; עє $\varphi \varepsilon \lambda n$, nebula; $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \omega$, ambo; $\sigma \chi \iota\} \omega$, scindo; $\lambda о \gamma \chi n$, lancea;
 ¢и $\lambda \lambda о \nu$, folium; $\emptyset_{\varepsilon \rho \omega, ~ f e r o, ~ \& c . ~}{ }^{1}$

Even Greek proper names were at first metamorphosed, in order to get rid of the aspirate; thus Ennius uniformly used the forms Bruges and Burrus, instead of Phryges and Pyrrhus; ${ }^{2}$ and in the epitaph on Scipio (Seep. 249) we find Antioco instead of Antiochum.

Towards the close of the Republic, however, the use of the aspirated consonants became more common; not only were they restored to the foreign words adopted at an early period, such as Triumpus, Kartago, ${ }^{3}$ but were introduced into words where they were entirely out of place (erupit
${ }^{1}$ These and many other examples are given by Schneider.
${ }^{2}$ Cic. Orat., XLVIII., § 160 ; Quintil., I., iv., 15.
${ }^{3}$ To these we may, perhaps, add Brachium, which in an old inscription (Grut., p. 509), appears as Bracio.
nimius usus) such as pulcher, sepulchrum, chorona, lachryma, chenturiones, praechones, and, what seems strange, even into some Roman proper names, such as Gracchus, Cethegus, Orchivius, Matho, Otho, Chaepio-which were anciently written Graccus, Cetegus, Mato, Oto, Caepio. Some of these new forms Cicero, as he tells us, found himself compelled to adopt, while others he steadily rejected. ${ }^{1}$

## I (J).

The character $I$, in the Latin alphabet, possesses, like $V$, a double power: it is not only a vowel, but, in certain words, when standing at the beginning of a syllable, before a vowel, it has the force of a consonant. Thus Iovis is uniformly a dissyllable, and Iulius is uniformly a trisyllable; in both of these I acts as a consonant, and is regarded as such in prosody; Thus in-

Ante Iovem nulli subigebant arva coloni. V. G. I., 125,
the syllables Ante Iov form a Dactyl, without any hiatus: and in-
Aut ut erunt patres in Iulia templa vocati. O. E. P. IV., v., 21.
the syllable $i n$, which is naturally short, is lengthened by position before $I$.
Nothing similar to this takes place in Greek where I is always simply a vowel; but we find an analogy in our own language in the case of $Y$, which acts as a vowel in such words as type, symptom; and as a consonant in such words as yard, year, young, beyond; and just as we cannot distinguish Y, a vowel, from Y, a consonant, by the eye, so the Romans had no mark to distinguish I, when used as a vowel, from I, when used as a consonant. The character J, now frequently employed to represent I, when used as a consonant, was, as we have stated in the Preliminary Remarks, entirely unknown to the Romans, and ought never to find a place in the text of the Classics.

The use of $I$ as a consonant is limited.

1. We find it at the beginning of certain simple words, and it enters into their direct derivatives and compounds. The list is not long:-

Iaceo and Iacio, Iaculum, \&c., Abiicio, De-iicio, Con-iicio, \&c.

## Iam.

Ianus, Iana, Ianua, Ianitor, \&c.
Iecur.
Ieiunus.
Iento, Ientaculum.
Iocus, Iocor, \&c.
Iovis, Iuglans (i. e., lovis-glans).
Iuba.
Iubar.
Iubeo, Iussum, \&c.
Iuverna.
Iubilo.
Iucundus.

Iudaea, Iudaei, Iudaicus, \&c.
Ius, Iuro, Iustus, Iustinus, Iudex, Iudicium, \&c.
lugurtha.
Iungo, Iugum, Iugis, Iuncus, \&c., Bi-iugus, Quadri-iugus, \&c.
Iulius, Iulianus, \&c.
Iunius.
Iuno (i. e., Iovino).
Iuppiter (i. e., Iovis-pater).
Iurgium.
Iuvo, Iuvenis, Iunix, Iuvenalis, \&c.
Iuturna.
Iuxta.
2. I has the force of a consonant in the middle of a few simple words. Thus, eius, cuius, huius, maior, peior, maius, peius, which are commonly written ejus, cujus, hujus, major, pejor, majus, pejus, are all dissyllables, pronounced e-yus, cu-yus, hu-yus, ma-yor, pe-yor, ma-yus, pe-yus-of which the ancient orthography was ei-ius, cui-ius, hui-ius, mai-ior, pei-ior, mai-ius, pei-ius.
3. I has the force of a consonant in the middle of a very few words of doubtful etymology; thus we have Baiulo, Baiulus, Ieiunus-commonly written Bajulo, Bajulus, Jejunus. Ejulo is clearly ei-ulo, ulo being the root of ululo; ejero and pejero are certainly derived from luro.

The use of I with the force of a consonant was, as we have stated above, altogether unknown to the Greeks, and hence the Romans never gave to I a consonantal power in words transplanted directly from the Greekthus, Iacchus, Ialysus, Ianbus, Iapyx, Iason, Iasonides, Iaspis, have the same number of syllables in Latin as in the corresponding Greek words, ${ }^{'} \mathrm{I} \alpha \varkappa \chi 0$, ' ${ }^{\prime}$

There is an apparent exception to this principle in the Ovidian line (Met. V., 111)-

Tu quoque, Iapeiide, non hos adhibendus in usus,
where not only has the I at the beginning of Iapetide the force of a consonant, so as to save the elision of the final vowel in quoque, but it forms one long syllable with the short vowel which follows it. This, however, falls under the Poetical License already explained in p. 118; for it will be observed that the word -Iăpétidēs could not find a place in a Dactylic line unless the regular pronunciation were modified. Elsewhere we have uni-
 ขiòns) as a heptasyllable-

> Coeumque -Iăpĕtumque creat saevumque Typhoea. V. G. I., 279. -Iăpĕtīonides Atlas fuit, ultima tellus. O.M. IV., 630.

It must be remarked, however, that although the foreign word Iudacus must, in all probability, have passed into Latin through the Greek 'Iovò coos, we find that the initial I in Iudaea, Iudaeus, Iudaicus, has invariably the force of a consonant.

Incerti Iudaea dei, mollisque Sophene. L. P. II., 593.
Persuadere cupit-credat Iudaeus Apella. H. S. I., v., 100.
Iudaicum ediscunt et servant et metuunt ius. I. S. XIV., 101.
If the character $J$ were always used strictly to indicate those cases in which I has the force of a consonant, there could be no greater objection to its use than to that of the rounded U ; but it has, in many cases, given rise to confusion. Thus, we are frequently told that the first syllable in ejus, major, pejor, and the like, is long because in these and similar words j has the power of a double consonant-the truth being, that in these words, according to the ancient orthography, the first syllable was a diphthong; while the introduction of J into such words as Troja, Trojanus, Achaja, Ajax, is a positive blunder. This will be seen at once, if we consider the
various forms of these words in Greek, most of which were adopted in Latin.

Thus we have in Greek Tpos $\alpha$, where os is a diphthong, and hence the division of syllables in Latin is Troi-a, Troi-anus, Troi-ugena, in which also oi is a diphthong-the pronunciation being Troi-a, Troi-anus, Troi-ugena-not Tro-ya, Tro-yanus, Tro-yugena; again, we have in
 Tpaïa $\delta \varepsilon$; and in Latin, Trōs, Trōĕs, Trōus, Trṑus, Trōicus, Trṑădes.

 syllable of the word was sometimes written as a diphthong at, and sometimes simply as a long $\alpha$. The latter form was preferred in Latin, for we
 but also Achāeus, and the digammatized form Achīvi.

Lastly, the name of the son of Telamon, and of the son of Peleus, is always written in Greek as 'As $\alpha_{5}$, the first syllable being a diphthong, and must be divided in Latin Ai-ax, and not $A-y a x .{ }^{1}$

## Examples.

Ilium et omnis humo fumat Neptunia Troia. ${ }^{2}$ V. A. III., 3.
Troianas ut opes et lamentabile regnum. V. R. II., 4.
Troiugena, interpres divom, qui numina Phoebi. V. F. III., 359.
Huius Ericthonius, Tros est generatus ab illo. O. F. IV., 33.
Tros, ait, Aenea, cessas, neque enim ante dehiscent. V. R. VI., 52.
Egressi optata potiuntur Troes arena. V. AE. I., 176.
${ }^{1}$ There is a passage in Velius Longus (p. 2219) which might lead one to suppose that the proper name Aiax was pronounced Ai-yax, and, therefore, might be correctly written with a double I, as Aïax-Et in plerisque Cicero videtur auditu emensus scriptionem qui et Aiiacem et Maïam per duo $i i$ scribenda existimavit-but I can scarcely doubt either that the text is faulty, or that the memory of the grammarian failed him, for we read in Quintilian (I., iv., 11)-Sciat enim Ciceroni placuisse Aiio Mairamque geminata I scribere-and hence, it is probable that Longus was quoting from Quintilian, and not directly from Cicero, and that Aiiax was by mistake substituted for Aiio. We cannot decide the question positivelv, for the remark does not occur in any extant work of Cicero.

Velius Longus tells us in the same passage, that some grammarians considered that Troiia ought to be written with a double $i$, and that such persons wrote coniiicit with three $i$ 's-misapprehensions which prove how little the true doctrine, with regard to I, was understood by these persons.
${ }^{2}$ Tgoice and Troia are invariably dissyllables in the best Greek and Roman poets. The only opposing example of which I am aware in Greek is to be found in Soph. Ai., 1190, where some edd. have $\mathrm{T}_{\rho}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ia}$, a trisyllable, but the reading is doubtful; while in Latin, Tröa occurs nowhere as a trisyllable, until we come down to the (socalled) Tragedies of Seneca, which cannot be received as authorities, e. g.,

$$
\text { Misit infestos Tröiae ruinis. (Sapph.) Senec. Tro., } 824 .
$$



Troaque Peliacae sternebat cuspidis ictu. O. M. XII., 74.
Tröius Aeneas Libycis ereptus ab undis. V. E. I., 600.
Ne careant summa Troica bella manu. O. E. P. II., xx., 14.
Ne mihi Polydamas et Troiädes Labeonem. P. S. I., 4.

O miserae, quas non manus, inquit, Achdia ${ }^{2}$ bello. V. A. V., 623.
Parcius Andromachen vexavit Achäia ${ }^{3}$ victrix. O. H. VIII., 11.
Post certas hiemes uret Achaicus $^{2}$ (sc. ignis). (Choriamb.) H. O. I., xv., 35 .
Per tot et Haemonias et per tot Achdidas urbes. O. M. V., 306.
Imperiumque peti totius Achdidos addit. O. M. VII., 504.
Inter Achäädas longe pulcherrima matres. O. H. III., 71.
Atthide tentantur gressus, oculique in Achaeis (sc. finibus.) L. VI., 1113.
Nec pudor obstabit, non possum ferre, Quirites, Graecam urbem, quamvis quota portio faecis Achaei. I. S. III., 61.
Quidquid delirant reges plectuntur Achivi. H. E. I., ii., 14.

Ne quisquam Aiacem possit superare nisi Aiax. O. M. XIII., 389.
Unius ob noxam et furias Aiacis Oilei. V. TE. I., 41.
It is from the erroneous employment of $J$ in the above, and similar cases, that we now write in English such barbarisms as Trojan, Ajax, Jason, Jasper, giving to $J$, in these and in other Latin words, such as Jove, Jury, Jejune, the hard, dental, hissing sound, which we believe to have been unknown to Greeks and Romans alike.

## R, S.

That in the earlier forms of the language S found a place in many words in which it was eventually superseded by $R$, is proved by the most satisfactory evidence. Thus Varro : ${ }^{4}$-In multis verbis, in quo antiqui dicebant S, postea dictum R ; and gives as examples, foedesum for foederum, plusima for plurima, meliosem for meliorem, asenam for arenam, ianitos for ianitor, and adds that Camena was originally Casmena, and then Carmena, the $r$ being ultimately dropped. In like manner Quintilian : ${ }^{5}$-nam ut Valesii et Fusii in Valerios Furiosque venerunt, ita arbos, labos, vapos etiam et

[^110]clamos et lases aetatis fuerunt; and Livy says ${ }^{1}$ - Furios Fusios scripsere quidam; and again ${ }^{2}$-consules creat L: Lucretium Tricipitinum et T. Veturium Geminum, sive ille Vetusius fuit. Additional examples may be collected from Festus and the grammarians. ${ }^{3}$ The forms of the language, moreover, during the period of greatest refinement, would alone be sufficient to establish the fact in question without any external testimony; for arbos, labos, honos, lepos, kept their ground side byside with arbor, labor, honor, and lepor; ${ }^{4}$ and traces of the same are to be found in the inflections of many nouns and verbs; thus, the genitives aeris, maris, moris, come from the nominatives aes, mas, mos; gero gives gessi, gestum; haurio gives hausi, haustum; haereo gives haesi; uro gives ussi, ustum; quaero and quaeso were obviously originally the same.

But while we freely acknowledge the prevalence of $S$ in ancient times, we shall scarcely be disposed to admit the assertion of Pomponius in the Digest, that the letter R was first introduced by the celebrated Appius Claudius Caecus. It will be observed that, in all the examples quoted, the change takes place in the middle or at the end of a word, never at the beginning; and we should have great difficulty in believing, even upon much stronger evidence, that Rome and the Romans were for four centuries and a-half called Soma and Somani without any classical writer giving a hint of so remarkable a transformation.

The words of Pomponius (Digest, I, ii., 2, § 36) are as follows:-
Idem Appius Claudius, qui videtur ab hoc processisse, R literam invenit, ut pro Valesiis, Valeriis essent et pro Fusiis, Furiis.-He had previously mentioned that this Appius constructed the Appian Way, and was the author of Actiones, and a work, De Usurpationibus.

After carefully considering the above passage, we are constrained to adopt one or other of the following conclusions:-

1. That Pomponius made the assertion through pure ignorance; or,
2. That, although he has expressed himself carelessly, he intended merely to state that Appius Claudius introduced the letter $R$ into the names Valesii, Fusii, which thenceforward were written Valerii, Furii. Schneider brings forward, in corroboration of this view, a passage from Cicero (Epp. ad Fam. IX., 21):

Sed tamen, mi Paete, qui tibi venit in mentem negare, Papirium quemquam umquam nisi plebeium fuisse? Fuerunt enim patricii minorum gentium, quorum princeps L. Papirius Mugillanus, qui Censor cum L. Sempronio Atratino fuit, quum antea Consul cum eodem fuisset, annis post Romam conditam cCCXII. Sed tunc Papisii dicebamini. Post hunc tredecim fuerunt sella curuli ante L. Papirium Crassum, qui primum Papisius est vocari desitus. Is Dictator, cum L. Papirio Cursore magistro equitum factus est, annis post Roman conditam ccccxv., \&c.

From this we learn-1. That the Papirii were originally called Papisii. 2. That the change of spelling from Papisii to Papirii took place in the
${ }^{1}$ III., 4.
${ }^{2}$ III., 8.
${ }^{3}$ Vel. Long., pp. 2230, 2233, 2238; Terent. Scaur., pp. 2252, 2253, 2258.
${ }^{4}$ Many etymologists maintain that these and similar words were originally arbors, labors, honors, lepors; and so aers, mars, mors, for aes, mas, mos.
person of L. Papirius Crassus, who, be it observed, was contemporary with the Appius Claudius spoken of by Pomponius; and hence we infer that it was at this epoch that the introduction of $r$ into the names Papisii, Valesii, Fusii took place; but had R been before entirely unknown, Cicero would scarcely have failed to notice a circumstance so curious as the introduction of a new letter.

## X.

X , as we have already pointed out (p. 223), was the last letter in the Latin alphabet proper; but the assertions of Isidorus (I., 4), and Petrus Diaconus (p. 1582), that it was not introduced until the age of Augustus, are altogether erroneous; for it is found in all the most ancient monuments of the language-the Duillian column-the epitaphs on the Scipios-the S. C. de Bacchanalibus-and it must have been included in the twenty-one letters of Cicero. ${ }^{1}$ Priscian suggests (p.540), that its place at the end of the alphabet proves that it was an addition to the original number of letters, for $\boldsymbol{E}$, the corresponding character in Greek, stands before O, and the same holds good of the Hebrew Samech. But we must receive this suggestion with caution; for, according to the same argument, G ought to be the last letter of all, for it certainly was not adopted until after the admission of $X$.

It will be observed that the character $\mathbf{X}$ does not correspond in form with the Greek $\Xi$, to which it is equivalent, but with the Greek aspirate, Chi; but this apparent discrepancy is in reality a proof of the identity of the two alphabets at a remote period; for, in the older Greek inscriptions, $\xi$ appears under the forms $-\mathcal{F},+, \times$, while Chi was originally written $\downarrow$ 。

When Quintilian says-(I., iv., 9)-et nostrarum ultima X, qua tamen carere potuimus si non quaesissemus-he means that X is a double consonant; that is, an abbreviated form of two letters combined, rather than an independent letter; and this is the opinion expressed by nearly all the old grammarians. Thus Maximus Victorinus, p. 1945:-Ante X literam, quae postea in compendium inventa est, rex per $g s$, item pix per cs veteres scribebant. There can be no doubt that, in the great majority of cases, $\mathbf{X}$ stands for CS or GS, as is abundantly evident in such words as dux (ducis), duxit (duco), felix (felicis), lex (legis), rex (regis), auxit (augeo). In some cases the origin of $\mathbf{X}$ is not so obvious, as in fluo, fluxi; struo, struxi; veho, vexi; but the dormant $c$ reappears in fluctus, structor, vector. In other words, however, X must represent different combinations. Thus, in nitor, nixus s. nisus, it seems to be equivalent to ts (the Hebrew Tsadi), in Ulixes (Ulysses, 'O${ }^{\prime} \nu \sigma \sigma \varepsilon v_{5}$ ), it is equivalent to double $s$, and so proximus may be prossimus or propsimus for propissimus. It is more difficult to explain nix, nivis; but as cases occur in which $c$ and $v$ are interchanged, we may compare nics, nivis, with vivo, vicsi, and with nico, and nicto, which give conniveo, connixi.

It being established that X is generally equivalent to CS or GS, it is not surprising that we should occasionally find in inscriptions such

[^111]redundancies as IUNCXIT, CONIUNCX, UCXOR, UXSOR, SAXSUM, VIXSIT, and the like, and that in certain compounds the orthography should have remained doubtful, as in exul, exilio, exilium, and exsul, exsilio, exsilium; expecto and exspecto; exto and exsto, and the like.

## Y, Z .

Y and Z, as stated in the Preliminary Remarks, were always regarded as purely Greek letters, and not as constituents of the Latin alphabet. When Cicero reckons the number of letters at twenty-one, he manifestly excludes Y and Z ; while Quintilian, as we have seen, designates X as nostrarum ultima; and in another place (I., iv., 7), he speaks in the following terms of the question which had arisen among grammarians with regard to the imperfections of the Latin alphabet-desintne aliquae nobis necessariae literae, non quum Graeca scribimus, tum enim ab iisdem duas mutuamur-the two letters here indicated being Y and Z .

Y and Z , then, are employed exclusively in words taken directly from the Greek, and adopted into the Latin language after it had been fully developed as a distinct tongue, and in some foreign words which became known to the Romans through the medium of Greek, the greater number of the words in each of these classes being proper names.

It is impossible to determine the precise period at which these two letters came into common use; but it is natural to suppose that this would take place at the period when a knowledge of Greek literature was beginning to be widely diffused among persons of education.

First, with regard to Y.-Y was employed to represent the Greek $\Upsilon$, under the circumstances described above. Thus it is introduced with propriety into such foreign words as $\operatorname{Satyri}$ ( $\Sigma \alpha \tau \nu \rho o b$, the woodland deities) Thynnus ( $\odot v y \nu 0 s)-Z e p h y r u s ~(Z \varepsilon \varphi v \rho o s)-Z a c y n t h u s ~(Z \propto x \nu \nu \theta o s)-C y r u s$ (Kथŋos)-Cambyses (K $\alpha \mu \beta v \sigma n s$ ); but in words which are found both in Greek and Latin, in consequence of being derived from the common parent of both, the Greek $\Upsilon$ appears sometimes as $V$, sometimes as $I$, and
 appear as duo, fuga, gubernator; $\sigma \tau v \lambda o s, ~ i \lambda \lambda$, as stilus, silva; $\sim \lambda \nu \omega$ is recognized in clueo, cliens, inclitus; $\partial \alpha x$ кev, in lacrima or lacruma; ע上
 rate to write stylus, sylva, inclytus, lacryma; but it is a positive barbarism to write Satyra instead of Satura or Satira, and to transform the Roman proper name Sulla into Sylla.

It is certain that Y was not employed in the time of Ennius, who, as Cicero tells us, wrote Burrus and Bruges, ${ }^{1}$ adding, that these words were written in his day Pyrrhus and Phryges, by the aid of two Greek letters ( $\varphi$ and $\nu$ )-a remark which sufficiently disproves the statement of Isidorus (I., 4) and Petrus Diaconus (p. 1582), that Y was not written until the age of Augustus. In consequence of the introduction of Y at a comparatively late period, we find that $u$ is retained in a few Greek proper names, with which the Romans became acquainted at an early epoch in their

[^112]history. Thus the name of the Greek colony in Campania is uniformly written Cumae, not Cymae ; and Cornutus (ap. Cassiod., p. 2286) considers that it is more correct in the text of the older writers to use Suria and Suracusae than Syria and Syracusae, the forms which ultimately prevailed.

In like manner Z, the representative of the Greek Zeta, is employed in words which passed directly from Greek into Latin, such as Zona (弓шun),

 we have no certain evidence as to the exact period when it came into use, it was probably introduced at the same time, and under the same circumstances as $Y$, and, like $Y$, was not written by the very earliest authors, such as Accius. Thus Marius Victorinus, p. 2456-Accius . . . . . . nec Z literam nec Y in libro suo retulit.

We may conclude this portion of our subject by noticing

## The Etruscan Alphabet.

The number of inscriptions in Etruscan characters is so limited, and the process of deciphering them is, in some instances, so uncertain, that much doubt might have prevailed upon this subject, had not a small cup, now in the possession of Prince Borghese, been discovered in a tomb at Bomarzo, bearing on it an inscription which proved to be an Etruscan alphabet, written from right to left, in the Etruscan character. This, represented in Roman letters, runs as follows:-

## A, C, E, F, Z, H, TH, I, L, M, N, P, S, R, S, T, V, TH, CH, PH.

It will be observed that, on comparing this with the Roman alphabet-

1. The vowel O , and the consonants $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{X}$, are altogether wanting.
2. S , and the aspirate TH , occur twice, with distinct characters, which, however, seem to be freely interchanged in inscriptions. It is not impossible that one of the two S's may have been aspirated, and thus they would correspond with the Hebrew Sin and Shin.
3. The F, Digamma, or Vau, occupies the fourth place, B and D being omitted.

## II.

ANCIENT INSCRIPTIONS WHICH ILLUSTRATE THE HISTORY OF THE LATIN ALPHABET, AND THE EARLIER FORMS OF THE LANGUAGE.

## HYMN OF THE FRATRES ARVALES.

The oldest specimen of the Latin language is a hymn or litany chaunted on high festivals by the Fratres Arvales, a corporation of priests, instituted

[^113]in a very remote age, and maintained in full vigour until the middle of the third century of our era, the emperors themselves having been frequently, it would appear, chosen members of the college. In the year 1778, the workmen employed in forming the foundation of the Sacristy of St. Peter's at Rome, dug up a long inscription containing numerous details with regard to the Acta, or proceedings of this body, commencing with the admission of Drusus, son of the Emperor Tiberius, into the fraternity. In a subsequent portion of the inscription we find a record of the admission of the Emperor Elagabalus, and minute particulars of the ceremonies performed at a great solemnity celebrated on the 29th of May. We are told that the priests, after having offered sacrifice in the grove of the Dea Dia, returned to the temple, and various rites having been completed..... Deas unguentaverunt, et aedes clusae, et omnes foris exierunt. Ibi sacerdotes, clusi, saccincti, libellis asceptis, carmen descindentes tripodaverunt in verba haec-
enoslasesIvvate
enoslasesIvvateenoslasesIvvatenevelvaervemarm asinSin.CVrrereinpleoresnevelvervemarmar
. NSINCVRREREINPLEORISNEVELVERVEMARMAR.SERSINCVRR EREINPLEORISSATVR.FVREREMARSLIMEN
..ESTABERBERSATVR.FVFEREMARSLIMENSALISTABERBER.S ATVR.FVFEREMARSLIMENSALLSCABERBER
...VNISALTERNEIADVOCAPITCONCTOSSEMVNISALTERNEIAD FOCAPITCONCTOSSIMVNISALTERNIPADVOCAPITT
.... OSENOSMARMORIVVATOENOSMARMORIVVATOENOSmAMO RIVVATOTRIVMPETRIVMPETRIVMPETRIVMMPE post tripodationem deinde signo dato publici introier. et libellos receperunt. ${ }^{1}$

It is evident that the above form of prayer is merely a curiosity, and cannot be regarded as throwing much, if any, light upon the history of the language. It was probably handed down for generations by oral tradition, and, as it gradually became unintelligible to those who employed it, would undergo all manner of alterations and corruptions. Moreover, the copy which we possess could not have been made earlier than A.D. 218, and seems to have been carelessly engraved. But with all these drawbacks, it has always been regarded with great interest by scholars, and great pains have been bestowed upon the arrangement and interpretation of the words.

[^114]Grotefend would divide and arrange thus-

> Ennós, Lases, iuváte! Néve lúerem, Mars, sins Incúrrere in pleóris! Sátur fúrere, Marmar Limén salis sta bérber! Sémunis altérnei Advócapit conctós! Ennós, Marmór, iuváto Triumpe, Triumpe!
which he explains-
Age, nos, Lares, iuvate! Neu luem, Mars, siris incurrere in plures (or, in flores)! Satur furere, Mavors! Lumen solis sta fervere! Semcnes alterni advocate cunctos! Age, nos, Mavors, iuvato. Triumpe, \&c.

Klausen arranges thus-

> E nos, Lases, iuvate
> Neve luerve, Marmar, sins incurrere in pleoris:
> Satur furere, Mars, limen sali, sta berber.
> Semunis alternei advocapit conctos
> E nos, Marmor, iuvato:
> Triumpe, triumpe, triumpe, triumpe, triumpe.

Which he explains-
Age, nos, Lares, iuvate. Neve, luem, Mars, sinas incurrere in plures: satur furere, Mars, pede pulsa limen, sta verbere : Semones alterni advocabite cunctos: Age, nos, Mars, iuvato. Triumpe, \&c.

The fragments of the Carmina Saliorum, of the Leges Regiae, of the first Lex Tribunicia, of the Leges XII. Tabularum, and of other public documents which have been preserved by Varro, Cicero, Livy, Festus, Aulus Gellius, and the grammarians, are, like the Litany of the Fratres Arvales, of little value in philological researches. The meaning, indeed, is, in most cases, quite intelligible, but they have passed through so many hands, and have been evidently altered and modified to such an extent, both by those who quoted originally, and by successive transcribers, that it is almost impossible to place any reliance upon them when investigating the early forms of the language. ${ }^{1}$

## INSCRIPTION ON THE BASE OF THE COLUMNA ROSTRATA.

The oldest monument of the Latin language available for critical purposes is the inscription engraved on the base of the Columna Rostrata, erected in the Forum, in honour of the naval victory achieved by Duillius, in the year B.c. 259. The tablet from which the following mutilated fragment is copied was dug up, in the year 1565 , by labourers who were making excavations at the bottom of the Capitoline hill, near the arch of Septimius Severus, and it is still preserved in the Museum of the Capitol.

[^115]We are told by Livy (XLII., 20) that the original pillar was overthrown from the foundation (tota ad imum) by lightning b.c. 172. It was probably restored forthwith, and appears to have been in existence as late as the time of Servius, the commentator on Virgil (see G. III., 29.) After carefully examining the tablet in the Capitoline Museum, I feel inclined to agree with those scholars who believe that this is not the original tablet, but a copy or restoration; but even if we admit this to be the case, it bas evidently been copied so carefully that the ancient forms have not been lost nor seriously modified :-


The following is the interpretation given by Ciacconius, the deficiencies being supplied by conjecture:-

Caius Duilius Marci filius consul adversum Carthaginienses in Sicilia rem gerens Egestanos cognatos populi Romani arctissima obsidione exemit; Legiones Carthaginienses omnes maximusque magistratus elephantis relictis novem castris effugerunt; Macellam munitam urbem pugnando cepit, inque eodem magistratu prospere rem navibus mari consul primus gessit; remigesque classesque navales primus ornavit paravitque diebus sexaginta, cumque eis navibus classes Punicas omnes paratasque summas copias Carthaginienses praesente maximo dictatore illorum in alto mari pugnando vicit, trigintaque naves cepit cum sociis, septirememque ducis,

[^116]quinqueremes triremesque naves viginti depressit. Aurum captum nummi. III. M. DCC. Argentum captum praeda nummi C. M. Grave captum aes ricies semel centena millia pondo. Triumphoque navali praeda populum Romanum donavit, captivos Carthaginienses ingenuos duxit ante currum, primusque consul de Siculis, classeque Carthaginiensium triumphavit. Earum rerum ergo Senatus Populusque Romanus ei hancee columnam posuit.

## EPITAPHS ON THE TOMBS OF THE SCIPIOS.

In the year 1616, a stone bearing an epitaph in honour of Lucius Cornelius Scipio, son of Scipio Barbatus (No. 3 of the following collection), was found at Rome, a short way inside of the modern Porta S. Sebastiano, and therefore outside of the ancient Porta Capena. This relic is now preserved in the Barberini Library. ${ }^{1}$ More than a century and a-half afterwards, in 1780 , workmen engaged near the same spot, in repairing some cellars attached to a small farm, discovered two subterranean chambers, one above the other, excavated in the tufo rock. The lower contained a sarcophagus of a very graceful form, ${ }^{2}$ and a number of sepulchral inscriptions; the tenor of which proved that this tomb was the burial place of the illustrious family of the Scipios, which was known, from the words of Livy, to have been situated in this locality. ${ }^{3}$ The sarcophagus and the various monumental tablets, composed of a volcanic stone known by the name of Peperino or Marmo Albano, were carefully collected and transferred to the Vatican, where they may now be seen. They immediately attracted the attention of the learned; they have been repeatedly copied and illustrated; and the most ancient among them are universally recognized as the most curious and valuable authorities for the earlier forms of the Latin language. Ennio Visconti published, in his Opere Varie, ${ }^{4}$ fac-similes of the whole, executed, he assures us, with the most minute accuracy (colla pì̀ minuta esatezza), and added an elaborate commentary. These fac-similes we have followed as the authority for our text.

## No. 1.

Epitaph on Lucius Cornelius Scipio Barbatus, who was Consul b.c. 298. He was the grandfather of the elder Africanus and of Asiaticus.

In front of the lid of the sarcophagus-

## CORNELIO CN. F. SCIPIO.

In front of the body of the sarcophagus, in four linesCORNELIVS. LVCIVS. SCIPIO. BARBATVS. GNAIVOD. PATRE PROGNATVS. FORTIS. VIR. SAPIENS. QVE-QVOIVS. FORMA. VIRTVTEI. PARISVMA

[^117]FVIT - CONSUL. CENSOR. AIDILIS. QVEI. FVIT. APVD. VOS TAVRASIA. CISAVNA

## SAMNIO. CEPIT - SVBIGIT. OMNE. LOVCANA. OPSIDESQVE. ABDOVCIT.

In line second, the plate of Visconti, which professes to be an exact representation of the tomb, gives Virtuter, as above; while Visconti, in his commentary, makes Virtute to be the reading on the monument-a proof that it is most difficult in these matters to arrive at " la più minuta esatezza."

We remark also that the inscription on the lid is altogether omitted by Orelli (No. 550), and that Visconti takes no notice of it in his commentary, although it is represented on his plate.

Another curious circumstance is that, upon a close inspection of the sarcophagus, it is evident that a line and nearly a-half, which originally formed the commencement of the inscription, have been chiselled out. It would be foolish to hazard a conjecture upon the cause of this.

It will be observed that four short horizontal marks ( - ) appear in the body of the inscription. It has been imagined that these were intended to indicate a division into (poetical?) lines, which would thus be distri-buted:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Cornelius Lucius Scipio Barbatus Gnaivod Patre Prognatus } \\
\text { Fortis Vir Sapiensque } \\
\text { Quoius Forma Virtutei Parisuma Fuit } \\
\text { Consol Censor Aidilis Quei Fuit Apud Vos } \\
\text { Taurasia Cisauna Samnio Cepit } \\
\text { Subigit Omne Loucana Opsidesque Abdoucit. }
\end{array}\right. \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The epitaph, written in the Latin of the Augustan age, would run thus:-

Cornelius Gnaei Filius Scipio.
Cornelius Lucius Scipio Barbatus, Gnaeo patre prognatus, fortis vir sapiensque, cuius forma virtuti parissima fuit, Consul, Censor, Aedilis, qui fuit apud vos: Taurasiam, Cisaunam, Samnio cepit, subigit omnem Lucaniam, obsidesque abducit.

## No. 2.

Epitaph on Cornelia, the daughter of Cneus, and wife of Hispallus :-

## AVLLA CORNELIA CNF HISPA.LI.

This was engraved on the wall immediately above the sarcophagus of Barbatus; and although we can tell nothing certain of the person to whom it refers, it is supposed, from the very archaic form of the characters, to be one of the oldest inscriptions of the series.

Here Visconti in his plate gives CNF, as represented above, but in his commentary he has GNF, and so Orelli-a discrepancy of some importance in a very old inscription. See above, p. 224.

No. 3.
Epitaph on Lucius Cornelius Scipio, son of Barbatus. He was Consu? B.c. 260 :-

CORNELIO L. F. SCIPIO
AIDILES. COSOL. CESOR
HONC. OINO. PLOIRVME. COSENTIONT. R ...
DVONORO. OPTVMO. FVISE. VIRO
LVCIOM. SCIPIONE. FILIOS. BARBATI CONSOL. CENSOR. AIDILIS. HIC. FVET. A ... HEC. CEPIT. CORSICA. ALERIAQVE. VRBE DEDET. TEMPESTATEBVS. AIDE. MERETO.

In the last line Orelli (No. 552) reads Merito.
Written in ordinary Latin, the above would run-
Cornelius L. F. Scipio, Aedilis, Consul, Censor.
Hunc unum plurimi consentiunt $\mathrm{R}^{1}$... Bonorum optimum fuisse virum Lucium Scipionem. Filius Barbati, Consul, Censor, Aedilis hic fuit a ${ }^{2}$... Hic cepit Corsicam Aleriamque urbem Dedit Tempestatibus aedem merito.
It is evident that the Latinity in this inscription bears a more archaic stamp than that in the epitaph on Barbatus. We have above (p. 224) pointed out that it is not improbable that the tomb and epitaph of Barbatus may belong to a period considerably later than his death, and therefore that the epitaph on his son may in reality be the oldest in the series.

> No. 4:

Epitaph on Publius Cornelius Scipio, son of the elder Africanus. He was Flamen Dialis, and became, by adoption, the father of the younger ^fricanus, who was, by blood, the son of Aemilius Paullus:-

> QVEI. APICE INSIGNE. DIALIS. FLAMINIS. GESISTEI MORS. PERFECIT (T)VA. VT. ESSENT. OMNIA
> BREVIA. HO(N)OS. FAMA. VIRTVS. QVE
> GLORIA. ATQV(E). INGENIVM. QVIBVS SEI
> IN. LONGA. LICV(I)SET. TIBE VTIER. VITA
> FACILE. FACTEI(S). SVPERASES. GLORIAM
> MAIORVM. QVA. RE. LVBENS. TE. IN GREMIV
> SCIPIO. RECIPIT. TERRA. PVBLI.
> PROGNATVM. PVBLIO. CORNELI

In line first Orelli (No. 558) has Apicem and Gessister, and in line sixth entirely omits the word facters.

In consequence of the tablet having been broken across from top to

[^118]bottom, the T in Tua, the N in Honos, the E in Atque, the I in Licuisset, and the S in Facteis, have been obliterated.

The above differs but little from ordinary Latin. We have Apice for Apicem, Gesistei for Gessisti, Sei for Si, Licuiset for Licuisset, Tibe for Tïbi, Utier for Uti, Facteis for Factis, Superases for Superasses, Gremiu for Gremium.

## No. 5.

Epitaph on a youth, Lucius Cornelius Scipio, supposed by some scholars to have been the son of Gnaeus Scipio Hispallus, who was Consul b.c. 176:-
L. CORNELIVS. CN F. CN. N. SCIPIO. MAGNA. SAPIENTIA MVLTASQVE. VIRTVTES. AETATE. QVOM. PARVA. POSIDET. HOC. SAXSVM. QVOIEI. VITA DEFECIT. NON HONOS. HONORE IS. HIC. SITVS QVEI. NVNQVAM VICTVS. EST. VIRTVTEI. ANNOS. GNATVS. XX. IS L . . . . . . . DATVS. NE. QVAIRATIS. HONORE QVEI. MINVS. SIT. MAND
In line sixth Orelli (No. 555) has L . . . . . Mandatus, and at the end of the inscription M . . merely.

Here we have Posidet for Possidet, Quoiei for Quoi or Cui, Quei for Qui, Virtutei for Virtute, Quairatis for Quaeratis.

No. 6.
Epitaph on Lucius, son of Scipio Asiaticus:-
L. CORNELI. L. F P N

SCIPIO. QVAIST
TR. MIL. ANNOS
GNATVS. XXXIII
MORTVOS. PATER
REGEM. ANTIOCO
SVBEGIT
Quaist. for Quaest., Mortuos for Mortuus, Antioco for Antiochum.

## No. 7.

Epitaph on Gnaeus Scipio Hispanus, supposed by some to have been the brother of the preceding:-

CN. CORNELIVS CN F SCIPIO. HISPANVS<br>PR. AID. CVR Q TR. MIL. II. X. VIR. SL. IVDIK. X.VIR. SACR. FAC<br>VIRTVTES GENERIS MIEIS MORIBVS ACCVMVLAVI<br>PROGENIEM GENVI FACTA PATRIS PETIEI<br>MAIORVM OPTENVI LAVDEM VT SIBEI ME ESSE CREATVM LAETENTVR STIRPEM NOBILITAVIT HONOR

In line third Orelli (No. 554) has SAC. FAC. Sl. Iudik. is for stlitibus (i. e., litibus), iudicandis.

$$
\text { No. } 8 .
$$

Epitaph on a son of the preceding:-
. . RNELIVS. L. F L. N
. . PIO. ASIAGENVS
COMATVS, ANNORV
GNATVS. XVI
No. 9.
Mutilated fragment.
. . . PIONEM
. . . O. ADVEIXEI

## The Senatus Consultum De Bacchanalibus.

The following curious document is the celebrated S. C. de Bacchanalibus, the history of which is to be found in Livy, Bk. XXXIX., 8-16. It was passed в.c. 186. The copy given below was found upon a bronze tablet, dug up in the southern part of the kingdom of Naples, in 1640, and now preserved in the Imperial Library at Vienna. I have carefully compared the text with a fac-simile of the original, inserted in the 7th volume of Drakenborch's Livy, and have preserved the distribution into lines:-

MARCIVS. L. F. S. POSTVMIVS. L. F. COS. SENATVM. CONSOLVERVIVT. IV. ${ }^{1}$ OCTOB. APVD. AEDEM.

DVELONAI. SC. ARF. M. CLAVDI. M. F. L. VALERI. P. F. Q. MINVCI. C. F. DE BACANALIBVS. QVEI. FOIDERATEI.

ESEIVT. ITA. EXDEICENDVM. CENSVERE. NEIQVIS. EORVM. SACANAL。 ${ }^{2}$ HABVISE. VELET. SEI. QVES.

ESENT. QVEI. SIBEI. DEICERENT. NECESVS. ESE. BACANAL. Habere. Eeis. Vtei. ad. Pr. Vrbanvm.

ROMAM. VENIRENT. DEQVE EEIS. REBVS. VBEI. EORVM VTRA. ${ }^{3}$ AVDITA. ESENT. VTEI. SENATVS.

NDSTER. ${ }^{4}$ DECERNERET. DVM. NE. MINVS. SENATORBVS. C. ADESENT. ... A. RES COSDLERETVR. ${ }^{5}$

[^119]bacas. Vir. NEQVIS. ADIESE. VELET. CEIVIS. ROMANVS. Neve. NOMINVS. Latin. NEVE. SOCIVM.

QVISQVAM. NISEI. PR. VRBANVM. ADIESENT. ISQVE. DE. SENATVOS. SENTENTIAD. DVM. NE.
minvs. SENatoribvs. C. adesent. qVOM. Ea. RES. COSOLeretvr. IOVSISENT. CENSVERE.
SACERDOS. NEQVIS. VIR. ESET. MAGISTER. NEQVE. VIR. NEQVE. MVLIER. QVISQVAM. ESET.
NEVE. PECVNIAM. QVISQVAM. EORVM. COMOINEM. . ABVISE. VELET. NEVE. MAGISTRATVM.
NEVE PROMAGISTRATVO. NEOVE.? VIrVM NEQUE MVLIEREM. QVIQVAM. FECISE. VELET.
NeVE. POSTHAC. Inter. SED. CONIOVRASE NEVE. COMVOVISE. NEVE. CONSPONDISE.
NEVE. CONPROMESISE. VELET. NEVE. QVISQVAM. FIDEM INTER. SED. DEDISE. VELET.
SACRA. IN. DQVOLTOD. ${ }^{2}$ NE. QVISQVAM. FECISE. VELET. NEVE. IN. POPLICOD. NEVE. IN.
PREIVATOD. NEVE. EXSTRAD. VRBEM. SACRA. QVISQVAM. FECISE. VELET. NISEI.

Pr. Vrbanvm. adieset. ISqVe. de. Senatvos. SentenTIAD. DVM. NE. MINVS.
SENATORIBVS. C. ADESENT. QVOM. EA. RES. COSOLERETVR. IOVSISENT. CENSVERE.
HOMINES. PLOVS. V. OINVORSEI. VIREI. ATQVE. MVLIERES. SACRA. NE. QVISQVAM.
FECISE. VELET. NEVE. INTER. IBEI. VIREI. PLOVS. DV OBVS. MVLIERIBVS. PLOVS. TRIBVS.
arfvise. Velent. nisel. DE. PR. Vrbani. Senatvosqve. sententiad. vtei. svprad.
SCRIPTVM EST. HAICE. VTEI. IN. CONVENTIONID. EXDEICATIS. NE. MINVS. TRINVM.
NOVNDINVM. SENATVOSQVE. SENTENTIAM. VTEI. SCIENTES. ESETIS. EORVM.
SENTENTIA. ITA. FVIT. SEI. QVES. ESENT. QVEI. ARVORSVM. EAD. FECISENT. QVAM. SVPRAD.

[^120]
## SCRIPTVM. EST, EEIS. REM. CAPVTALEM. FACIENDAM. CENSVERE. ATQVE. VTEI. <br> HOCE. IN. TABOLAM. AHENAM. INCEIDERETIS. ITA. SENATVS. AIQVOM. CENSVIT.

VTEIQVE. EAM. FIGIER. IOVBEATIS. VBEI. FACILVMED. GNOSCIER. POTISIT. ATQVE.
VTEI. EA. BACANALIA. SEI. QVA. SVNT. EXSTRAD. QVAM. SEI. QVID. IBEI. SACRI. EST.
ITA. VTEI. SVPRAD, SCRIPTVM. EST. IN. DIEBVS. X. QVIBVS VOBEIS. TABELAI. DATAI.

ERVNT. FACIATIS. VTEI. DISMOTA, SIENT. IN AGRO. TEVRANO.
(Q.) Marcius Lucii Filius, Spurius Postumius Lucii Filius, Consules, Senatum consuluerunt Nonis Octobris apud aedem Bellonae.
(Scribendo adfaerunt M. Claudius Marci Filius, Lucius Valerius Publii Filius, Q. Minucius Caii Filius.)

De Bacchanalibus qui foederati essent, ita edicendum censuere. Ne quis eorum Bacchanal habuisse velit. Si qui essent, qui sibi dicerent necesse esse Bacchanal habere, iis uti ad Praetorem Vrbanum Romam venirent, deque iis rebus ubi eorum verba audita essent, uti Senatus noster decerneret: dum ne minus Senatoribus centum adessent, quum ea res consuleretur.

Bacchas Vir ne quis adiise velit Civis Romanus, neve Nominis Latini, neve Sociorum quisquam, nisi Praetorem Vrbanum adiisent, isque de Senatus sententia, dum ne minus Senatoribus centum adessent, quum ea res consuleretur, iussissent, censuere.

Sacerdos nequis vir esset, Magister neque Vir neque Mulier quisquam esset, neve pecuniam quisquam eorum communem habuisse velit, neve magistratum, neve pro magistratu, neque virum, neque mulierem quisquam fecisse velit, neve posthac inter se coniurasse, neve convovisse, neve conspondisse, neque compromisisse velit, neve quisquam fidem inter se dedisse velit. Sacra in occulto ne quisquam fecisse velit, neve in publico neve in privato, neve extra urbem sacra quisquam fecisse velit, nisi Praetorem Vrbanum adiiset, isque de Senatus sententia, dum ne minus Senatoribus centum adessent, quum ea res consuleretur, iussissent, censuere.

Homines plus quinque universi viri atque mulieres sacra ne quisquam fecisse velit, neve interibi viri plus duobus, mulieribus plus tribus, adfuisse velint, nisi de Practoris Vrbani Senatusque sententia, uti supra scriptum est.

Haecce uti in Conventione edicatis ne minus trinum nundinum, Senatusque sententiam uti scientes essetis, eorum sententia ita fuit.

Si qui essent, qui adversum ea fecissent, quam supra scriptum est, iis rem capitalem faciendam censuere.

Atque nti hocce in tabulam ahenam incideretis, ita Senatus aequum censuit.

Vtique eam figi iubeatis, ubi facillime nosci possit.

Atque uti ea Bacchanalia siqua sunt, extra quam siquid ibi sacri est, ita uti supra scriptum est, in diebus decem quibus vobis tabellae datae erunt, faciatis uti dismota sient in agro Teurano.

## Senatus Consultum de Tiburtibus.

The following S.C. was found, we are told, inscribed upon a tablet of bronze, at Tivoli, in the sixteenth century. It was for a long period in the possession of the Barberini family, in whose library it was seen by Ficoroni and Visconti, in the eighteenth century, but is now lost.

It appears from the tenor of the document that, upon some occasion or other, doubts had been cast on the loyalty of the inhabitants of Tibur towards Rome, but that the Tiburtes had appeared before the Senate to justify themselves, and that the Senate passed this decree, expressing their full satisfaction with the statements of the Tiburtes, and the confidence which they reposed in their good faith.

Maffei ${ }^{1}$ expressed an opinion that the inscription was a forgery, but scholars in general have pronounced in its favour; and Visconti ${ }^{2}$ has supposed, with much probability, that it refers to occurrences which took place at the epoch of the Marsian war. Niebuhr, however, in his Roman History, ${ }^{3}$ would carry it back to the period of the second Samnite war (b.c. 305 ), in which case it would be undoubtedly "the oldest of all Roman documents." But while I feel the greatest respect and deference for the genius and learning of that illustrious philologist, I must look upon this as one of those rash and ill-considered assertions in which he was too apt to indulge. No one who studies the phraseology of this S. C. could reasonably suppose that it presented the language in an earlier stage than the inscription on the Duillian column, and the first two or three epitaphs on the Scipios; while, on the other hand, the forms which it exhibits correspond very closely with those which we find in three interesting relics, of undoubted authenticity, which belong to the last century of the Republic -the Decree Arbitral of Q. and M. Minucius Rufus on the boundary disputes between the Genuates and Viturii, pronounced in b.c. $117^{4}$; the fragments of the Lex Thoria Agraria ${ }^{5}$ passed in B.c. 111; and the fragments of the Lex Servilia de Repetundis, ${ }^{6}$ passed about b.c. 100 :-
L. CORNELIVS. CN. F. PR. SEN. CON. A. D. III. NONAS MAIAS. SVB. AEDE. KASTORVS.
SCR. ADF. A. MANLIVS. A. F. SEX. IVLIVS. L. POSTVMIVS. S. F.
QVOD. TEIBVRTES. V. F. QVIBVSQVE. DE. REBVS. VOS. PVRGA-
VISTIS. EA. SENATVS.
ANIMVM. ADVORTIT. ITA. VTEI. AEQVOM. FVIT. NOSQVE. EA
ITA. AVDIVERAMVS.
${ }^{1}$ Maffei, Art. Crit. Lapid., p. 344. ${ }^{2}$ Iconogr. Rom. I., p. 131, ed. Milan. 1818.
${ }^{3}$ Vol. iii., p. 264, Engl. Trans., 1842.
${ }^{4}$ See Orelli, No. 3121.
${ }^{5}$ Correctly edited for the first time by Rudorff, in the Zeitschrift fur geschichtliche Rechtswissenschaft, Band x., 1839.
${ }^{6}$ First correctly edited by Klenze, in a work published at Berlin, 4to, 1825, entitled, Fragmenta legis Serviliae repetundarum, \&c.

VT. vos. DEIXISTIS. VOBEIS. NONTIATA ESSE. EA. NOS. ANIMVM. NOSTRVM.

NON. IN. DOVCEBAMVS ITA. FACTA. ESSE. PROPTER. EA. QVOD. SCIBAMVS.
EA. VOS MERITO. NOSTRO. FACERE. NON. POTVISSE. NEQVE VOS DIGNOS. ESSE.

QVEI. FACERETIS. NEQVE. ID. VOBEIS NEQVE REI. POPLICAE. VOSTRAE.

OITILE. ESSE. FACERE. ET. POSTQVAM. VOSTRA. VERBA. SENATVS. AVDIVIT.

TANTO. MAGIS ANIMVM. NOSTRVM. INDOVCIMVS. ITA. VTEI. ANTE.

ARBITRABAMVR. DE. IEIS. REBVS. AF. VOBIS. PECCATVM. NON ESSE.

QVONQVE. DE. EIEIS. REBVS. SENATVEI. PVRGATI ESTIS. CREDIMVS. VOSQVE.

ANIMVM. VOSTRVM. INDOVCERE OPORTET. ITEM. VOS. POPVLO.

## ROMANO. PVRGATOS. FORE. ${ }^{1}$

Niebulr imagines that the L. Cornelius named above is no other than the Lucius Cornelius Scipio Barbatus, whose epitaph stands first among the monuments of the Scipios.

The decree of the Minucii, the Lex Thoria and the Lex Servilia, are too long for insertion; but we earnestly recommend them to the attention of the student. We shall conclude with a short "Dedicationis Formula," found at Capua, which helongs to the same period as the Lex Thoria and the Lex Servilia, and presents us with one or two remarkable forms (Orelli, No. 2487) :-

| N. PVMIDIVS | Q. F. | M. RAECIVS | Q. F. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. Cottivs | Q. F. | N. ARRIVS | M. F. |
| M. EPILIVS | M. F. | L. HEIOLEIVS | P. F. |
| C. ANTRACIVS | C. F. | C. TVCCIVS | C. F. |
| L. SEMPRONIVS | L. F. | Q. VIBIVS | M. F. |
| P. CICEREIVS | C. F. | M. VALERIVS | L. F. Z. M. ${ }^{2}$ |
| Heisce magistreis Venervs ioviae |  |  |  |
| MVRVM AEDIFICANDVM COIRAVERVNT |  |  |  |
| PED. CCLXX. ET LOIDOS FECERVNT |  |  |  |
| SER. SV | CIO | Lio cos. ${ }^{3}$ |  |

[^121]Where we observe Venervs, Coiravervnt, Loidos, for Veneris, Curaverunt ${ }_{7}$ Ludos.

## III.

## ON THE ANCIENT ORTHOGRAPHY, SO FAR AS QUANTITY IS CONCERNED.

One of the chief obstacles which embarrass a young scholar in acquiring a knowledge of Latin Prosody, and in composing Latin verse, is the difficulty of remembering the quantity of syllables, when it depends upon authority only, since these exceed in number those for which any rule can be laid down. But this difficulty would have had no existence, if cither the Romans who lived during the bright period of their literature had preserved the orthography of their rude ancestors, or if, on the other hand, the true pronunciation had been transmitted to us in all its purity. In the former case the quantity of all syllables would have been made known to us by the eye, and in the latter case by the ear.

But although the ancient method of writing disappeared almost immediately after the establishment of the language in a settled form, and although the wildest theorist can scarcely believe that he pronounces Latin as it was rolled forth by Cicero when he denounced the traitor in the Senate-house, or by Virgil when he recited his Georgics to Augustus ; ye it will be not merely interesting, but practically useful, briefly to state all that we know, or can safely infer upon these two topics; and first, as to the Ancient Orthography.

The old grammarians explicitly state, that in the earliest times long syllables were distinguished in writing, by doubling the vowel. Thus, Quintilian-
"Semivocales non geminare, diu fuit usitatissimi moris: atque e contrario, usque ad Accium et ultra, porrectas syllabas geminis, ut dixi, vocalibus scripsere." ${ }^{1}$ Again, Marius Victorinus-
"Naevius et Livius, cum longa syllaba scribenda esset, duas vocales ponebant, praeterquam quae in I literam inciderant, hanc enim per $\mathbf{E}$ et I scribebant." ${ }^{2}$

Lucilius seems to have been one of the enemies of this custom, for in his Satires ${ }^{3}$ he expresses his determination to reject the practice of doubling the vowels-

> A primum est; hinc incipiam, et quae nomina ab hoc sunt. A, primum longa et brevi' syllaba: nos tamen unum
> Hoc faciemus, et uno eodem, ut diximu', pacto Scribemus Pacem, Placide, Ianum, Aridum, Acetum.

That is, he will write Pacem, Ianum, Aridum, which have the A long, in the same manner as Placide and Acetum, which have the A short. The best commentary we can have upon these assertions of the grammarians,

$$
{ }^{1} \text { I., vii., 14, } \quad{ }^{2} \text { P., } 2456 . \quad{ }^{3} \text { Lib. IV., frag. } 1 .
$$

is to be found in ancient inscriptions, some of which were carved before these changes had been introduced, while in others the old forms were retained through ignorance or contempt of the new system. We shall take one or two specimens of the manner in which each vowel is found represented when its quantity is long.

1. A. We find in different inscripfons in the collection of Gruter aA; Paastores; ${ }^{2}$ Thraacvm; ${ }^{3}$ Vabrvs; ${ }^{4}$ Fafto $;^{5}$ for $\bar{a}$, pāstores, Thrācum, Vārus; Fāto: so also in Fabretti-Faato NaAtam; ${ }^{\text {e }}$ for fāto $n \bar{a} t a m$; and in some old MSS. of Horace, in Ep.I., iv., Vala is written Valla.
2. E. The double E is uncommon; we have, however, SEedes ${ }^{7}$ for sēdes, and Lipsius ${ }^{8}$ quotes from a coin Favstvs Feelix for Faustus Fēlix.

Long E is sometimes represented by the diphthong OE, as in Ephoebo ; ${ }^{9}$ Foelici; ${ }^{10}$ Proscoenium ; ${ }^{11}$ for ephēbus, fēlici, proscēnium.
3. I. It does not appear to have been the custom to double long I, but its quantity was expressed in writing in two different ways.
a. By increasing its length so as to make it overtop the other letters; thus, on the Duillian Tablet:-

PrImos; CartaciniencIé; MarId ; for primos, Carthaginiensìs, mari.
This was, in fact, merely an abbreviation for the double I, for which it repeatedly occurs even in the age of Augustus ; thus, on the Monumentum Ancyranum:-
MunicipIs; StipendIs ; ColonIs ; for municipiis, stipendiis, coloniis, \&c.
$b$. In the greater number of instances, long I was expressed by the diphthong EI; thus, on the Duillian Tablet:-

Lecioneis; Castreis; Claseis; for Legionis (acc. pl.), castris, classis (acc. pl.) ; so also on the tomb of Scipio Barbatus :-

Virtvtei; Qvei; for Virtutī, Quī. A great many examples will be found in Gruter, e. $g .$, Ibei $;{ }^{12}$ Vbei $;{ }^{13}$ Vtei $;{ }^{14}$ OPerei $;{ }^{15}$ Ceivis $;{ }^{16}$ eitvr; $;{ }^{17}$ IPSEIVS $;{ }^{18}$ DEICITO $;{ }^{19} \& c$. , for $i b \bar{i}, u b \bar{i}, u t \bar{\imath}$, operī, cīvis, $\bar{\imath} t u r, ~ i p s i ̄ u s, ~ d i ̄ c i t o, ~$ \&c.

We find this mode of spelling still in use in the age of Augustus. On the Mon. Ancyr. we observe, Qvadrigeis; Lavreis; for quadrigis, lauris; on the Cenotaphium C. Caesaris, Devicteis, for devictis, \&c.

Hence the constant confusion both in MSS. and printed copies of the classics, with respect to the nominatives and accusatives plural, masculine and feminine, of the third declension. The termination was originally eis, and from this sprang the two others in $\bar{u} s$ and $\bar{e} s$, according as E or I was dropped. In the best MSS. of Plautus, we find indifferently-

> Aedeis, Aedes, Aedis,

And both of the more recent forms often occur in the same inscription Thus, on the Mon. Ancyr. :-

Inferentis; Minoris; Cvrvlis; Plvris; Agentis, \&c., for infer-
${ }^{1}$ DCXXIX., lin. $29 .{ }^{2}$ CL., $7 . \quad{ }^{3}$ CCCCLXXX., $6 . \quad{ }_{8}$ CLXXI., 8. ${ }^{5}$ MXLVI., 6. ${ }^{6}$ Fabrett., 421. ${ }^{7}$ Grut., CLXXI., 8 . ${ }^{8}$ De rect. L. L. pron. ${ }^{9}$ Gruter, DCLXXXIX., 4. ${ }^{10}$ CCLXXIII., 6. ${ }^{11}$ CLXVIII., 10 . ${ }^{12}$ CCIV. ${ }^{13}$ CLXXI., 8. ${ }^{14}$ CCVI., 2. ${ }^{15}$ CCVI., 2. ${ }^{16}$ CCVI., 2. ${ }^{17}$ CLXXI., 8. ${ }^{28}$ DXLI., 7. ${ }^{19}$ CCVI., 2.
entes, minores, curules, plures, agentes; and, on the other hand, Fines; Gentes; Reges, in the ordinary shape.
4. O does not appear to have been doubled, at least we find no trace of it.
5. V. Long V is represented in various ways.
a. It is doubled in Mvvcius; ${ }^{1}$ Ivvs; ${ }^{2}$ Conventvvs; ${ }^{3}$ Domvvs ; arbitratvi ; ${ }^{5}$ peculatvv, ${ }^{6} \& c$., for Mūcius, ī̄s, conventūs, domūs, abitratū, peculatū, \&c.
b. More usually by the diphthong OV , which was the sound of long V , as we shall see in next section. Thus, on the tomb of Barbatus, Lovcava, Abdovcit; for Lūcaniam, abdūcit; so also Lovget; ${ }^{7}$ Iovsit; ${ }^{8}$ Iovserunt; ${ }^{9}$ Indovcebamus; ${ }^{10}$ Ob Iniovrias Iovdicatr, ${ }^{11} \& c$., for Lüget, iūssit, iūsserunt, indūcebamus, ob iniūrias, iūdicati, \&c.
$c$. The diphthong OI is found instead of long V ; thus, on the tomb of Lucius Scipio, son of Barbatus, Oino, Ploirvme, for ùnum, plūrimi; so also corravit $;{ }^{12}$ loidos; ${ }^{13}$ Mointcepieis ; ${ }^{14}$ Ortile ; ${ }^{15}$ for cūravit, lūdos, mūnicipiis, ūtile, \&c.
d. In like manner OE sometimes represents long V , as Oetantur; ${ }^{16}$ poentbitvr; ${ }^{17}$ coeravit; ${ }^{18}$ oetier; ${ }^{19}$ for $\bar{u}$ tantur, pünibitur, curravit, ūtier; and on the Duillian Tablet, poenicas for punicas.
In Plautus, all the best MSS. have Moenitum, ${ }^{20}$ Admoenire ${ }^{21}$ Admoenivi $;{ }^{22}$ for mūnitum, admūnire, \&c.; and the form moenia was always retained. So in Pomoerium we detect moerus, the ancient shape of murus, and examples of the same kind might be multiplied to a great extent.
After the method of doubling long vowels, or representing them by diphthongs, was abandoned by literary men, long vowels were distinguished by an Apex, that is a straight horizontal line placed above them; the mark which is still used in books on Prosody, to distinguish long syllables. Isidorus ${ }^{23}$ says -
"Inter figuras literarum et Apices veteres duxere. Est autem linea iacens super literam aequaliter ducta."
The apex was next discarded from general use, and attached to those words only which were spelt in the same manner, but differed from each other in meaning and quantity. Quintilian, ${ }^{24}$-"Ut longis syllabis omnibus apponere apicem ineptissimum est, quia plurimae, natura ipsa verbi quod scribitur, patent; sic interim necessarium, cum eadem litera alium atque alium intellectum, prout correpta vel producta est, facit; ut malus utrum arborem significet an hominem non bonum apice distinguitur. Palus aliud priore syllaba longa, aliud sequenti significat. Et cum eadem litera nominativo casu brevis, ablativo longa est, utrum sequamur, plerumque hac nota monendi sumus." So too, Terentius. Scaurus, ${ }^{25}$ - " Apices ibi poni debent ubi eisdem literis alia atque alia res significatur, ut Vēnit et Vênit ; Lēgit et Lĕgit."
Moreover, when the doubling of the vowel fell into disuse, another

expedient, different from the apex, was partially introduced to mark the quantity of long syllables. This was doubling the consonant (it was chiefly resorted to in the semi-vowels, L, M, N, R, S), which immediately followed the long vowel, in words such as summus, nummus, classis. That the object of those who introduced this practice was to remedy any inconvenience which might arise from the rejection of the double vowel, seems probable from the fact, that these two innovations were made almost at the same period. We have seen, from a passage in Quintilian, at the beginning of this section, that the doubling of the long vowel continued until the time of Accius; ${ }^{1}$ and Festus ${ }^{2}$ informs us that Ennius first introduced the double consonant:-
"Nomen Solitaurilia antiqua consuetudine per unum L enunciari non est mirum, quia nulla tunc geminabatur litera in scribenda; quam consuetudinem Ennius mutavisse fertur." Now, since Accius, in whose time the doubled vowels disappeared, was the immediate successor of Ennius, who invented the doubling of the consonant, the above inference seems fair. The statement that the double consonant was not known until the time of Ennius, is confirmed by the oldest inscriptions. Thus, on the Duillian Tablet,-

Claseis; Svmas; Nvmei; for classes, summas, nummi; so also on the tomb of scipio Barbatus, Parisvma for parissima; and on that of his son, Fuise for Fuisse, \&c.
In some words, such as Classis, Summus, Fuisse, the new mode of spelling became general, while in others it seems to have depended upon fashion, or the taste of the scribe. Hence we find MSS. constantly fluctuating between

> Numius and Nummus, anciently Novmos. Litus and Littus, - Leitvs. Litera and Littera, - Leitera.

And many others.

## IV.

## ON THE PRONUNCIATION OF LATIN.

Ir is almost unnecessary to observe, that everything connected with the pronunciation of a dead language must necessarily be involved in great obscurity, which, from the very nature of the subject, it is impossible entirely to dispel. Several of the old grammarians, indeed, who wrote at a period when Latin was still spoken over a large portion of the civilized world, have left us carefully worded descriptions of the manner in which the different letters ought to be enunciated, and many of these are, in themselves, highly ingenious. But to undertake to explain, in writing,

[^122]delicate distinctions of sound, is, in most cases, a task as hopeless as to endeavour to make a man born blind comprehend the gradations of colour in the rainbow. What information do we gain as to the true sounds of E and I, or their difference from each other, when we are told by Victorinus Afer that-

E, represso modice rictu oris, reductisque introrsum labiis effertur. I, semicluso ore impressisque sensim lingua dentibus vocem dabit.
And by Terentianus Maurus, that-
F quae sequitur vocula dissona est priori,
Quia deprimit altum modico tenore rictum,
Et lingua remotos premit hinc et hinc molares,
I porrigit ictum genuinos prope ad ipsos,
Minimumque renidet supero tenus labello.
And by Martianus Capella-
E spiritus facit linguâ paullo pressiore,
I spiritus prope dentibus pressis.
Any one who will take the trouble to make the experiment will find, that any of these, or all of them combined, agree perfectly with each of the modifications of sound which E and I assume in English words.

But although the direct testimony of the grammarians is of little use, yet their negative evidence is of great value. In no case do they attribute more than one sound to each consonant, or more than two to each vowel, which seems to prove that the Romans were strangers to those capricious variations and unaccountable anomalies, which render the correct pronunciation of English often perplexing to ourselves, and always hopeless to a foreigner. The two sounds usually assigned to each vowel are the long sound and the short sound. We have seen, in the last section, that long vowels were, in ancient times, distinguished from short vowels in writing, and this circumstance will aid us much in our attempts to determine their true pronunciation; but in so far as the short vowels are concerned, whether they differed in time only from the others, or whether the organs of speech were compressed in a peculiar manner in enunciating them, are points upon which we have no precise information; but since the long vowels were originally represented by simply repeating the short vowel, it would seem that the difference of time was the principal, if not the only distinction.

In addition to the light thrown upon this subject by the old grammarians, we derive considerable assistance from inscriptions. It is evident, from the numerous memorials of this description which have been preserved, that the ancient stone-cutters were a very illiterate race of men, since the most palpable errors in orthography perpetually occur. But when we find the same blunder frequently repeated in the same or similar words, or in letters in similar positions, it is a fair inference that they accommodated the spelling to the pronunciation, as we see happen every day among ourselves, in the works of common masons and itinerant sign-painters. Thus, when we find long V continually represented by OV, in inseriptions which were sculptured long after the custom of doubling the vowels had
fallen into disuse, we may safely conclude that it was sounded either like the English ou in mouse, or like the French ou in cour, that is, the English 00 in poor.

From modern Italian also we may learn something, and it will not be going too far to say, that in those particulars in which their pronunciation differs from that of the other nations of Europe, there is a presumption, at least, that they have derived this from their mighty ancestors.

The principal authors who have treated of this subject, areErasmus, Dialogus de Rectâ Linguce Graecae et Latinae Pronunciatione. Lipsius, De Recta Pronunciatione Linguae Latinae. Middleton, De Latinarum Literarum Pronunciatione Dissertatio.

The two former are elaborate treatises, containing much that is fanciful; the latter is brief and imperfect, and founded almost entirely on the orthography of ancient inscriptions. We shall endeavour to give the student, in a short compass, everything of importance that is known on this topic, leaving it to himself to follow out the inquiry by such analogies and conjectures as his ingenuity may suggest.

In beginning with the vowels, we may repeat, that the long vowels were clearly distinguished from the short ones at all times, in sound, and anciently in writing also.
A. There seems little doubt, that the sound of $A$, adopted by the English, in their pronunciation of Latin, who make it the same with the $a$ in mate, fate, \&c., is quite erroneous; while that used in Scotland and upon the Continent, where it is enunciated broad and full, as in Papa, is more nearly correct. The former will by no means accord with the concurrent testimony of the old grammarians, ${ }^{\text {i }}$ who all tell us, that it is to be uttered with the mouth wide open (rictu patulo), the tongue being suspended so as not to touch the teeth. It would appear to have been equivalent in certain foreign words to the English $a$ in all, since we find Archilavvs, ${ }^{2}$ Avromatorivs, ${ }^{3}$ for Archilaus, aromatorius.
That long A was distinguished from short A, by merely dwelling upon it for a greater length of time, seems probable, from the long quantity being indicated by merely repeating the A, as in Aa, paastores, ThraacVM, \&c., quoted in the last section.
E. Suidas quotes a line from the Greek comic poet Cratinus-

from which we can at once deduce the sound of the Greek $n$, since the pronunciation of a sheep is not liable to change. Now, in Greek words, transplanted into Latin, the general rule is, that the $n$ is represented by long $\bar{e}$, as in cetus (xntos), thèsaurus ( $\theta$ nocuvos), \&c.; and from this we conclude, that the sound of $\bar{e}$ was similar to that of $\hat{e}$ in the French béte. Thus it would appear, that the English, who give it the force of double $e$ in peevish, and the Scotch, who make it the same as the $a$ in pale, are equally wrong. If we take as an example the word sede, according to the former, it is seedee; according to the latter, sayday; while it ought to be

[^123]sêdê. If this be the true doctrine, it will lead us to the correct pronunciation of the diphthong $a e$, since we find it perpetually confounded in inscriptions with long $e$, and sometimes with short $e$ also. ThusLaetvm, ${ }^{1}$ optimae, ${ }^{2}$ Procnae, ${ }^{3}$ Pridiae, ${ }^{4}$ Qviaeti, ${ }^{5}$ diaebvs, ${ }^{6}$ \&c., for lētum, optimè, Procnē, pridiē, quiēti, diēbus, \&c. And also, praeCibvs, ${ }^{7}$ benae, ${ }^{8}$ CRIminae, ${ }^{9}$ extaervm, ${ }^{10}$ \&c., for prĕcibus, benĕ, criminĕ, extërum, \&c.
I. Having pointed out in the last section, that long $i$ was, for the most part, written in ancient times as the diphthong EI, we can have little difficulty in deciding that it should be considered as the same with the English $i$, in the words mite, might, sprite, indite ; and hence we ought to say, Teityre, Deico, Capteivi, and not Teetyre, Deeco, Capteevee, as we do in Scotland.

This is further confirmed by the manner in which the Greeks spell Roman names; thus, Antoninus, Faustina, become ANTתNEINOL, ФAケミTEINH, and reciprocally $\mathrm{N} \varepsilon i \lambda \mathrm{\lambda}$ s appears in Latin as Nìlus; while Cicero clearly intimates, Ep. IX., 22, that terni, bini, were pronounced ternei, binei.

I, in Latin, is used not only as a vowel, but also as a consonant. It seems clear from what we have already stated upon this subject (pp. 2, $14,15,235$ ), that $I$, when a consonant, corresponded in sound to our $Y$, in such words as youth, York, \&c.; and this is preserved in modern Italian, in which jeri, ajuto, major, are pronounced yeri, ai-yūto, maiy $\omega$ r.
O. O seems to have two proper sounds only in all languages, the long open O, as it appears in the English nō, bōne, stōne, the German brōt, the Italian bōno, and the closer sound, as in not, moral; both of these are distinctly described by Victorinus, as appertaining to the Latin O , which represents both the Omicron and the Omega of the Greeks. In English we have at least two additional sounds attached to this letter, which appear in love, move, \&c.

V (vowel). In the Menaechmi of Plautus, IV., ii., 87, we find the following lines:-
Men. Quis is Menaechmus est? Mu. Tu istic inquam. Men. Egone? Mu. Tu! Men. Quis arguit?
Mu. Egomet. Pe. Et ego: atque huic amicae detulisti Erotio. Men. Egon'dedi? Pe. Tu, tu istic inquam: vin' afferri noctuam. Quae Tu, Tu, usque dicat tibi?
i. e., Do you wish a night-owl to be brought which will keep repeating to you Tu, Tu ? Now we know that the night-owl's cry is Tou, Tou, ou being the same as the double 00 in boot, and this consequently fixes the sound of the Latin long V . In the last section we quoted some inscriptions in which OV was substituted for long V, which confirm what has been said above, although, in themselves, they would not have been sufficient to decide the

[^124]matter, as we might have supposed that OV was similar to the English diphthong in lout, clout, \&c.

We pointed out, in the same place, that long $V$ was sometimes represented by OI and OE, which seems to imply a connection between them in pronanciation.

But with regard to $V$ where it occurs short, or is lengthened by position only, we cannot speak with the same confidence. That a marked difference did exist between long $V$ and short $V$, is expressly stated by Varro. "Quidam reprehendunt quod Pluit et luit dicamus in praeterito et praesenti tempore. Fallantur. Nam est, ac putant, aliter, quod in praeterito V dicimus longam, Pluit et luit in praesenti breve." Short V frequently answers to the Greek $\Upsilon$, as in $\partial v \omega, d u o ; ~ \varphi v \gamma n, ~ f u g a ; ~ \Sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha_{5}$, Sulla; P $\omega \mu \nu$ дos, Romulus, \&c., and as Quintilian attributes to the latter a soft, sweet sound, it may have resembled the $u$ in mute, curate, or perhaps the French $u$ in nuages, pluviose.

But in addition to these, the principal sounds of $V$, we ought to remark, that it is constantly interchanged with $I$ and $O$, both in inscriptions and in the older forms of the language, Thus, on the Duillian Tablet, we have Navebos; Exfociont ; Primos; Consol; Captom, \&c., for navibus, exfugiunt, primus, consul, captum. Honc; consentiont; and several others on the tomb of Scipio. So also, contibernalis; ${ }^{1}$ Etriscys; ${ }^{2}$ for contubernalis, Etruscus, and on the Monum. Ancyr., Manibirs, Reciperatis, for manubiis, recuperatis. So, on the other hand, infvmo, ${ }^{3}$ svbi, ${ }^{4}$ stvpvlae, ${ }^{5}$ \&c., for infimus, sibi, stipulae; and Consvbrinvs, ${ }^{6}$ EPISTVLA, ${ }^{7}$ NVMENCLATVR, ${ }^{8}$ SACERDVS, ${ }^{9}$ \&c., for consobrinus, epistola, nomenclator, sacerdos, \&c. In every page of Plautus and the older writers, we have Volnus, Voltus, Volgus, Avos, Aequom, Salvos, \&c., and in the MSS. of writers belonging to all epochs, and inscriptions of all dates, there is a perpetual confusion between maximus and maxumus, proximus and proxumus, optimus and optumus, monimentum, monumentum, and munimentum, and many others.

As to the diphthongs, the method recommended by Erasmus seems to be founded upon just principles. He would pronounce each letter of the diphthong separately in the first place, giving the proper sound to each vowel, and then, repeating the word a second time, hurry over the syllable containing the diphthong, in such a manner as to run the two vowels together, without completely losing either. To practise this with success, however, it is necessary that we should, in each case, be acquainted with the true sound of the constituent parts.

We have already seen that, in all probability, ae differed but little from long $e$, and the same may be said of $a i$, which is, in a vast number of instances, substituted for $a e^{10}$ In like manner, we have pointed out the

[^125]connection which apparently exists between long V, OI, and OE, which is well exemplified in Coeravit, Coiravit, Cvravit; Oitile, oetile, Vtile; Moervs, Mvrvs, \&c.

But in addition to the union of $\bar{e}, a e, a i$, on the one hand, and $o i, o e, \bar{u}$, on the other, there is manifestly a close alliance between $o e$ and $\bar{e}$, as exemplified in ephoebus, foelix, and proscoenivm, for ephebus, felix, proscenium, and between oe and ae, in the irregularity of spelling in such words as coecus, coelebs, coena, moereo, \&c., which often appear as caecus, caelebs, caena, maereo, \&c.

The only doubt in regard to AV is whether we ought to say Awrum ( $a w$ as in $a w l$ ), or Owrum (ow as in owl). There is little evidence; but since we find AV occasionally used for long A, it would appear that the first of the above sounds is most likely to be correct.

All seem to agree in thinking that EI is the same as the $i$ in find, mind, \&c., while EU may either be the English eu in feud, or the French eu in jeu, that is, the Scotch ui in puir, muir (poor, moor).

H is easily dismissed. As it is merely the mark of a strong breathing, the only variation of sound which can arise must proceed from the comparative force of the aspirations. It appears from a passage in Cicero referred to in the last section, that the Romans at an early period never used it except before a vowel at the beginning of a word, and hence always said, pulcros, Cetegos, triumpos, Kartaginem. (See pp. 233, 234.)

In inscriptions we often find it omitted at the beginning of a word where it ought to appear, and, on the other hand, inserted where it ought not to find place. Thus, eres $;{ }^{1}$ iberna ${ }^{2}$ IC ; oc $;{ }^{3}$ omint; ${ }^{4}$ omvncio $;^{5}$ onestvs; ${ }^{6}$ oras ; ${ }^{7}$ vivs; ${ }^{8}$ vmanarvm, ${ }^{9}$ \&e., for heres, hiberna, hic, hoc, homini, homuncio, honestus, horus, huius, humanarum, \&c.; and, again, Hac, ${ }^{10}$ Haretirvsa, ${ }^{11}$ Heridanvs, ${ }^{12}$ Hornamentis, ${ }^{13}$ Hillyricvm, ${ }^{14}$ Horivndys, ${ }^{15}$ \&c., for ac, Arethusa, Eridanus, Illyricum, ornamentis, oriundus, \&c.

This may have arisen from the aspiration in certain cases being uncertain, as in our own language both 'Humble and 'umble, 'Hugh and 'ugh, \&c., are to be heard from the mouths of well-educated persons; or it may have originated in the impure dialect of stone-cutters, liable to the blunders so common among the lower orders in London and various parts of England. This is the defect which Catullus ridicules in his amusing Epigram. (See above, p. 233.)

That H was pronounced distinctly in the combination TH, appears from Cicero, who tells us that the sound of Otones is more pleasing than that of Othones; but whether they divided the T and H between two syllables, so as to make it Ot-hones, or used it like the Greek $\theta$ and our own th, we cannot tell, but the last seems the more probable opinion.
$\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{G}$. We have already pointed out that, in the original Latin alphabet, G alone of these four letters was wanting.

[^126]There seem to be sufficient grounds to conclude that the sound of C was always the same as that of the Greek Kappa and of our own K．As Latin is read in this country，we retain this pronunciation before the vowels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{V}$ ，and the diphthong AV，as in caput，color，curtus，causa，which we enunciate as if they were written Kaput，Kolor，Kurtus，Kausa．But before $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Y}$ ，and the diphthongs AE，EV，OE，we give to C the force of S：thus，Cedo，Cicero，Cincinnus，Cyrus，Caesar，coelum，are sounded by the English，Scotch，and French，as if they were written Sedo，Sisero，Sinsin－ nus，Syrus，Saesar，soelum．

There can be little doubt that this is erroneous，because－
a．The grammarians with one voice pronounce that C ，and K ，and Q ， possess the same power，and none of them give any hint that more than one sound was attached to C．We may quote again（see p．228）the testimony of Priscian，which is perfectly explicit，＂K et Q quamvis figura et nomine videantur aliquam habere cum $C$ differentiam，tamen eamdem tam in vocum sono quam in metro continent potestatem．＂So also Teron－ tianus Maurus－

K perspicuum est litera quod vacare possit
Et Q similis namque eadem vis in utrâque est Quia qui locus est primitus unde exoritur C．，\＆c．${ }^{1}$
b．It is highly improbable that no distinction should have been made in pronunciation between such words as cedo，sedo；cervus and servus；cella and sella；Cilicem and silicem；Cyrus and Syrus；and a multitude of others．It is still more unlikely that they would have pronounced the same letter differently in different parts of the same word； that they would have said kapio，sepi，kaptum，kapere；Dekumus and Decimus；have contracted dositum into doctum，and derived insestus from kastus．${ }^{2}$
c．Many Latin words written with a C，are taken directly from the Greek；and in these，C uniformly represents the Greek K，never $\Sigma$. Thus Cilicia is Kı入ıxเa；cincinnus is xbxbyos；cetus is xntos；coelum is
 $\tau \propto \varphi \in \nu$ ，\＆c．

Reciprocally，the Greeks，when they spell Latin words in their own letters，represent $c$ by $\%$ ．Thus，Kıxs९a⿱亠䒑 is Cicero；$\pi \rho \iota \gamma x \iota \pi \iota \alpha$ is prin－ cipia，\＆c．
d．We must not，however，omit to mention the opinion entertained by some eminent scholars，that the Italians have preserved the true old pronunciation of $c$ ，when followed by E or I ．By them $c e$ is sounded like che in cherry；and ci，like chi in chicane．According to this method，the two first syllables in Cicero resemble those in Chichester，i．e．， Chitchero．

This idea seems to receive some confirmation from the circumstance， that although $C$ ，before the vowels $A, U, O$ ，is often engraved as $K$ on

[^127]ancient inscriptions, ${ }^{1}$ yet we never find the same blunder when $\mathbf{C}$ precedes E or I; while, on the other hand, although K is never put for C before I, yet in the middle of a word, C is often substituted for T, when followed by I and another vowel. Thus, palacio, ${ }^{2}$ Quociescunque, ${ }^{3}$ CONSTANCIAE, ${ }^{4}$ CONDICIONEM, ${ }^{5}$ CONDICIO, ${ }^{6}$ SOLACIUM, ${ }^{7}$ \&c., for palatio, quotiescunque, constantia, conditionem, conditio, solatium; and the oldest MSS. and inscriptions vary between Fabritius and Fabricius, Domitius and Domicius, fecialis and fetialis, Martius and Marcius, Munatius and Munacius, Umbritius and Umbricius, and the like. Now, if T, in this position, have the force of TS, as many suppose, it follows, that C must have the same when similarly situated, which approaches very nearly to ch or $t c h$ of the Italians.

When C is preceded by S , and followed by E or I, the Italians pronounce the $s c$ like $s h$, which is imitated by the Scotch, in reading Latin, when they call scelus, scis, Scipio-shaylus, shiss, sheepio; while the English sink the $s$ altogether, and say, seelus, sis, Sippio. In this case, Greek analogy is our best guide. We ought to remember that sceptrum, scena, Sciron, are $\sigma x n \pi \tau \rho \circ \nu$, $\sigma x n \nu \eta, \Sigma x i \rho \omega \nu$, and that Scipio is always written $\Sigma_{\kappa} \pi \pi \leqslant \omega \nu$.
G. We have seen, that in the earlier form of the language, the place of $G$ was supplied by C; we may therefore assume, that they never differed very materially in sound, but that the Latin G was something between our own hard G in legation, and a pure K. How easily C and G are interchanged in different dialects, is well known to every philologer; and is familiar to all who remember the hig, hag, hog of Sir Hugh Evans. There is no reason to believe that $G$ was ever sounded soft by the Romans, as in the English magic, logic.

When $G$ is followed by $N$, in the middle of a word, as in magnus, the Italians pronounce the syllable as if the G were preceded by an $N$, and an I subjoined to the GN, softening down the combination in a manner which cannot easily be described. We may be inclined to think, that something of this sort took place in Latin, since we sometimes find the supplementary I, alluded to above, in inscriptions. Thus, for magnus, magna, magno, we find magnius, magnia, magnio, so abiegnieas for abiegnas, \&c. ${ }^{8}$. This, perhaps, as Middleton remarks, will explain the meaning of Cicero, ${ }^{9}$ when he says, "Noti erant, et navi, et nari, quibus cum in praeponi oporteret, dulcius visum est ignoti, ignavi, ignari, dicere." Now, it is not easy to see how ignotus, ignavus, \&c., pronounced according to our method, could be softer than innotus, innavus, although on the other supposition it is quite intelligible. ${ }^{10}$ We may observe, in passing,

[^128]that Cicero's remark, in so far as etymology is concerned, is not worthy of much attention.-(See above, p. 151.)
$F, V, B, P$. We have already pointed out, that $F$ and $V$ are the Latin representatives of the digamma, and that they are often attenuated into the cognate labials B and P. Indeed, these letters are so closely connected, that they were continually confounded in ancient as they are in modern times. Thus, B is put for V, when we read Bixit; ${ }^{1}$ Berna; ${ }^{2}$ Berecvada; ${ }^{3}$ Biolare; ${ }^{4}$ Sebervs; ${ }^{5}$ Serbvs, ${ }^{6}$ Probincta; ${ }^{7}$ Vnibersvs, ${ }^{8}$ \&c., for vixit, verna, verecunda, violare, severus, servus, provincia, universus; and reciprocally V for B , in acervo; ${ }^{9}$ Danyvivs; ${ }^{10}$ Devitvm; ${ }^{11}$ placavile, ${ }^{12}$ venemerenti*; for acerbo, Danubius, debitum, placabile, benemerenti; again, P stands for B in APSENS ; ${ }^{13}$ APsolvtvm; ${ }^{14}$ Apstvlit ; ${ }^{15}$ APSTINERE; ${ }^{16}$ OPSIDES ; ${ }^{17}$ OpTINIVT ; ${ }^{18}$ pleps, ${ }^{19}$ \&c., for absens, absolutum, abstulit, abstinere, obsides, obtinuit, plebs, \&c. F being the roughest of the group, seldom takes the place of the other three; but we have AF for $A b$ in the S. C. de Tïburtibus; and Nonius, p. 531, gives Sifilare as the old form of Sibilare, which the French have changed back again to Siffer. The interchange of B and P is thus mentioned by Quintilian,ut, quum dico Obtinuit, secundam enim B literam ratio poscit, aures magis sudiunt P. That this takes place in the best MSS., every one accustomed to consult them very well knows, and Lipsius refers in particular to the Florentine copy of the Pandects, one of the oldest in existence.

The same analogy holds in modern tongues; in German it is in many cases almost impossible to distinguish by the ear F from V ; and we are all familiar with the transformation by which very becomes bery in the mouth of a negro, and fery when articulated by the organs of a Welchman. But there is still another sound with which V is intimately allied, especially in our own provincial dialects; namely, that which we attribute to W., and this by very many scholars is supposed to have been the real sound of the Hebrew Vau, of the Greek digamma, and the Latin V. Dionysius of Malicarnassus, in the celebrated passage (A. R. I., c. 20), where he lescribes the digamma, explicitly declares, that it was the syllable ov written in a single letter, giving as an example, Ovะ入bc ( $F_{\varepsilon \lambda / \alpha}$ ), the Latin Velia. In corroboration of this, we find that in a great number of Latin proper names beginning with $V$, the Greeks of the Augustan age express this letter by ov; thus we have Ovapos, Ovadnocov, Ox for Varus, Valerium, Octavium, Virgilius; and there is no doubt that V has passed into W, in many words in German, Dutch, and English, as -

| Latin. | German. | Dutch. | English. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vinum, | Wein, | Wyn, | Wine. |
| Vallum, |  | Wall, | Wall. |
| Vicus, |  | Wyek, | Wick, or Wich. |

[^129]The last being frequently appended as a termination to the names of towns, as Keswick, Ipswich, \&c. On the other hand, we may remark that the Latin V is not uniformly turned into ov by the Greeks; we not only have Ovterinios, but also Bıgyintos, and also Bapjow;', Baぇrps, for Varro, Valere, and many others. Hence the conclusion that the Greeks had no sound in their. language corresponding exactly to the Roman V, but that it was something between $\mathrm{O} v$ and B .

That the Latin F had a very rough, hard, hissing sound is proved by Quintilian ${ }^{1}-N a m$ et illa quae est sexta nostrarum, paene non humana voce vel omnino non voce, potius inter discrimina dentium efflanda est: quae, etiam quum vocalem proxima accipit quassa quodammodo, utique quoties aliquam consonantem frangit, ut in hoc ipso frangit, multo fit horridior-and that this sound was quite distinct from that of the Greek $\Phi$ is evident from the story told by Quintilian ${ }^{2}$ of the ridicule cast by Cicero on a (Greek) witness who was unable to articulate correctly the first letter in the name Fundanius. This, however, must have held good with regard to the dialect of the highly educated only, since we find constantly in inscriptions, such blunders as Ampion, ${ }^{3}$ Bosforani, ${ }^{4}$ Fryx, ${ }^{5}$ Triumfator, ${ }^{6}$ for Amphion, Bosphorani, Phryx, Triumphator.

D, T. A natural alliance similar to that which unites $F, V, B, P$, subsists between D and T. Hence, Adqve, ${ }^{7}$ Adtamen, ${ }^{9}$ Limides, ${ }^{9}$ Liquid, ${ }^{10}$ Qvodannis, ${ }^{11}$ \&c., for atque, attamen, limites, liquit, quotannis, \&c., and reciprocally, ALIVT, ${ }^{12}$ ATFINES, ${ }^{13}$ APVT, ${ }^{14}$ ITCIRCO, ${ }^{15}$ \&c., for aliud, adfines, apud, idcirco, \&c.

The most important question connected with these letters, is the sound which ought to be attributed to $T$ when it occurs in the middle of a word before I, followed by another vowel. We have thought fit to give it the force of sh in Iustitia, Sapientia, Vitium, and the like, which are enunciated as if they were written Iustishia, Sapienshia, Vishium; while the Italians make it equivalent to their Z, that is, to $t s$ or $t d s$, saying Iustitsia, Sapientsia, Vitsium; and the Germans give it the simple sound of ' $I$ ' unchanged. Something may be urged on behalf of each of the last two.

The silence of all grammarians (with a single exception) with regard to any change in the force of $T$ when in this position, seems to favour the Germans.

The Italians, in addition to the claim which they urge, of having received the true pronunciation direct from their ancestors, refer to a certain obscure grammarian, named Papirius (see Ald. Orthog., p. 563), and to an inscription where Crescentsianus appears for Crescentianus.

It is important to observe, that the Greeks make no change in writing
 rtos, \&c., which is in favour of the Germans; and the English, moreover,

[^130]are not consistent，for when $S$ precedes $T$ ，they give to the latter its natu－ ral sound，as in tristior．

The question is still further embarrassed by the substitution of C for T ， and vice versa，in such words as Domicius，Palacium，Fabricius，Fecialis， which we alluded to when discussing the pronunciation of $\mathbf{C}$ ．

L，M，N，R，S．Concerning these，little need be said．Their sound is so uniform in modern languages that we are not led to suppose that we have departed widely from the practice of the ancients．The sound of $M$ at the end of a word must have been feeble，since it was almost always elided in verse before a vowel ；it is also frequently omitted by the stone－ cutters．Thus，in the inscription on the tomb of Scipio，one of the oldest which we possess，we find Oino，Dvonoro，Optvmo，Viro，Scipione， Corsica，Aleria，Vrbe，Aede，for unum，optumum，virum，Scipionem， Corsicam，Aleriam，urbem，aedem，and final M inserted only once，in the word Lvciom．

The same remark applies to S ，and perhaps still more forcibly，since in the earlier poets it is frequently elided，or at least not sounded，even before a consonant．－（See Chapter on Elision．）We cannot fail to remark the analogy in modern French，where S is seldom sounded at the end of a word．

X，which was adopted from the Greeks，was used merely as an abbrevia－ tion for CS or GS（qua carere potuissemus ni quaesissemus，Quint．I．， iv．9）．Hence，in those words where it occurs，we ought to attend to the root from which they spring；we ought to say ducs，facs，pertinacs，be－ cause $c$ is the radical letter，as we learn from the genitives ducis，facis， pertinacis；and on the other hand we should say，legs，regs，coniugs，and not lecs，recs，coniucs，because in these the radical letter is $g$ ．

Y，Z，being used in those words only which were transplanted directly from the Greek，do not demand much attention．We may observe that Z ought to be sounded very softly and nearly like S．Of Z and Y Quin－ tilian says－＂apud Graecos nullae dulcius sonant＂－an assertion which ill agrees with the hard dental $t d s$ ，generally considered equivalent to the former．This soft sound is illustrated by the Latin form of certain Greek words，thus：－

| А $\tau \tau$ ¢xıక゙ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | es | Atticisso． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Пथтрıらん | － | Patrisso． |
|  | － | Silicisso． |
| M $\alpha \zeta^{\circ}$ | － | Massa． |

V．

## ACCENT，QUANTITY，EMPHASIS；METRE，RHYTHM ；METRICAL ICTUS；ARSIS；THESIS．

Ir is not our intention to enter into any lengthened discussion with regard to these topics，upon which many volumes have been written to very little purpose；but merely to explain distinctly the meaning of the words，and thus to prevent the student from being embarrassed by the frequent occurrence of terms which he does not understand．

All sound depends upon certain tremulous movements or vibrations of the body which gives forth the sound, and the action of these vibrations upon the air, which conveys them to our organs of hearing. When two bodies ( A and B ) vibrate in such a manner as 'to produce distinct sounds, if A vibrates more frequently in a given time than B , then the sound produced by $\mathbf{A}$ is said to be higher or sharper than the sound produced by B; and, on the other hand, the sound produced by B is said to be lower, or graver, or flatter, than that produced by A. When sounds are produced by bodies performing the same number of vibrations in the same time, they are said to have the same pitch, or to be in unison; so that the pitch, which depends solely upon the frequency of the vibrations of the sounding body, must be carefully distinguished from the intensity or loudness of the sound, and also from its quality or fineness. ${ }^{1}$.The organs of speech, considered as a musical instrument, are capable of producing sounds of a considerable variety of pitch; and if we assume any given pitch as the standard of our ordinary articulation, it is manifest that, when we deviate from this, the pitch of our voice will be either sharper or graver, that is, in other words, will be either elevated or depressed.
But in pronouncing a word we may articulate each syllable in our ordinary pitch, or we may elevate or depress that pitch. Any elevation or depression of the ordinary pitch, in pronouncing a syllable, is called an Accent. When a syllable is pronounced in a pitch sharper or more elevated than our ordinary pitch, it is said to receive the Acute Accent. When a syllable is pronounced in a pitch graver or more depressed than our ordinary pitch, it is said to receive the Grave Accent; but when a syllable is said to be accented, without any qualification being added, the acute is always meant.

Quantity, again, relates simply to the length of time during which we dwell upon a syllable (see p.4), and has no necessary connection whatever with elevation or depression of pitch.
Much confusion has arisen from the circumstance, that, in English, long quantity is always, or almost always, accompanied by an elevation of pitch. Hence some have asserted that there is no such thing as quantity in English, or indeed, in any modern language-a position too absurd to deserve confutation, since no one can read five lines of English poetry without paying attention to the quantity of the syllables. In the celebrated controversy which took place about the middle of the last century, on Accent and Quantity, Doctor Gally altogether denied the existence of accent independent of quantity, and affirmed, that it was impossible, in any language, to accentuate a syllable without lengthening it. His opponent, Foster, succeeded completely in demonstrating, from first principles, that accent and quantity are in their nature entirely distinct, and that nothing would prevent a people endowed with flexible organs from giving effect to each separately; and this appears to have been done by the ancients, if any faith can be reposed in the concurrent testimony of

[^131]the grammarians. Indeed, the possibility of the thing is abundantly demonstrated by what we hear every day in our own provincial dialects; nor can any doubt be entertained on the subject, by any one who has ever caught the sound of such words as lē匕berty of cōnsciénce, uttered by a lowland Scotchman. Foster, however, went too far when he said that every language must have both accent and quantity. We have a signal instance to the contrary in French, where quantity is unknown. The correct pronunciation of that tongue can only be attained by abstaining from dwelling longer upon any one syllable than upon any other; and it is precisely this very peculiarity which renders it so difficult for us to enunciate it with accuracy. That, the French make great use of Accent is clearly perceptible, both in their ordinary conversation, and more especially in the declamations of their great actors and public speakers.

Emphasis is perfectly distinct both from Accent and Quantity, and signifies the comparative energy or fullness of the voice in pronouncing different syllables. "Two men with different voices, or with different exertions of nearly the same voice, may pronounce the words of the same sentence, with the same accent and quantity, observing the like proportion in the elevation and prolongation of the same syllables, and yet use a different spirit, the one speaking with emphasis, the other without it. An instance of two persons blowing the same notes on a flute, the one with more, the other with less breath, will perhaps set this distinction in a clearer light." Emphasis is not confined to single syllables, but may be employed in the enunciation of words, or sentences, or paragraphs.

As matter is said to have three dimensions, length, breadth, and height, or thickness, so, by a fanciful analogy, the same attributes have been applied to the human voice.
Quantity will represent Length.
Emphasis - $\quad$ - Breadth.
Accent $\quad$ - Height or Depth.
(See Priscian, p. 1286 ; Aristot. Poet. C. XX.)
Different fiom any of these, is the Metrical Accent or Ictus Metricus, the name given by grammarians to the stress which must be laid upon particular syllables in repeating verse, in order that the rhythm of the measure may be made perceptible to the ear.
In Dactylic verse, the Ictus falls upon the first syllable of the Dactyl and of the Spondee. In Iambic verse it will fall upon the long syllable of the Iambus, and on the second syllable of the Spondee; and in Trochaic verse, on the long syllable of the Trochee, and the first syllable of the Spondee.

When these feet are resolved, the Ictus still maintains its place; thus, in Iambic verse, since the Tribrach is formed by the resolution of the long syllable of the Iambus, the Ictus will fall on the second syllable of the Tribrach; the Dactyl being derived from the resolution of the second syllable of the Spondee, and the Ictus falling upon that syllable, the Dactyl will have it on the second syllable; when the Spondee is resolved into an Anapaest, the Anapaest has the Ictus on the last; on the other hand,

[^132]in Trochaic verse, the Ictus will fall upon the first syllable of the resolved feet in each case.

In all kinds of verse, the syllables upon which the Ictus falls are said to be in Arsi ( $\dot{\varrho} \rho_{0} 5$, an elevating), and the others to be in Thesi


Rhythm is a combination of sounds, arranged in such a manner as to fall gratefully upon the ear.

Metre is a combination of syllables, arranged in such a manner as to fall gratefully upon the ear, and at the same time, restricted both as to their quantity, and the order of their recurrence, by some fixed law.

It will be seen from the above definitions, that metre is connected with rhythm as a species with a genus.

All prose compositions ought to be rhythmical; that is to say, the words ought to be selected and disposed in such a manner as to produce a pleasing cadence; but they are not subject to any law which fixes the precise order in which long and short syllables are to follow each other, and the intervals at which similar combinations must uniformly recur. Moreover, rhythm may be produced without syllables at all, by sounds not articulate. The beating of hammers on an anvil, which is said to have been the origin of music, may be rhythmical ; the noise made by the galloping of a horse, by stamping with the feet, by clapping the hands, may produce rhythm. But metre cannot exist without articulate sounds, and the term is confined to syllables whose quantity, order, and number, are measured out according to an invariable standard.

## VI.

## ON THE QUANTITY OF A SHORT FINAL VOWEL BEFORE A WORD BEGINNING WITH $S C, S P, S Q, S T, \&$ \&

The quantity of a vowel naturally short, when it occurs at the end of a word, and the next word begins with S , followed by one or more consonants, has been a subject of keen controversy among metrical scholars; and different writers, after fully discussing the question, have arrived at opposite conclusions.

The principle that a vowel in this situation is always long, was first advanced by Terentianus Maurus, a grammarian whose age is uncertain, but who, in all probability, belongs to the latter part of the third century. Some have utterly denied the truth of the proposition, while others not only recognize it in its fullest extent, but would. extend the law to words beginning with the double consonants X and Z . The words of Terentianus, as they are found in his treatise, De Syllabis, v., 1058, are-

> Quae sibi tres tantum poterit subiungere mutas,
> Si quando SCutum, SPumas, vel STamina, dico.
> Haec sola efficiet, nudo ut remanente trochaeo,
> Spondeum geminae possint firmare sonorae.
> Exemplis, an prava sequar, vel recta, probabo,
> QuisquE SCire cupit, vel quisquE SCribere curat,

> AntE STare decet, cum dico, et separo verbum, AntE STesichorum vatem natura creavit: Ultima vocalis remanens finisque trochaei, Excipitur geminis quîs proximus exoritur pes, Quae, quamquam capite alterius verbi teneantur, Sufficiant retro vires, et tempus oportet, Consona quod debet geminata referre priori.

Although the phraseology in this passage is not very distinct, the meaning is manifestly that a Trochee, that is, a long syllable followed by a short one, will become a Spondee, or two long syllables when placed before such words as SCutum, SPumas, STamina, and he gives as examples Quisquë, with the last syllable long before SCire and SCribere, and Antē, before STare and Sresichorus.

A little lower down, v. 1073, he adds,-
IncipE si dicas, et SCire, aut SCribere iungas
Creticus efficitur.
On which Dawes, in his Miscellanea Critica, founded the following canon:-

The Latin poets, after the time of Lucretius, lengthened a naturally short vowel at the end of a word, when the following word begins with SC, SP, SQ, ST. But this is not observed by the Satirists, in whose compositions, which are sermoni propriora, such minute accuracy could not be expected. Miscell. Crit. p. 4.

And this is the canon which has given rise to so many disputes among the learned. It is clear, that the only way in which the question can ever be set at rest, is by giving a full and fair catalogue of all the authorities which bear upon the point, carefully noting the various readings which occur in the MSS. and oldest editions. These passages have been almost all collected in the notes, by Burgess and Kidd, to the Miscellanea Critica, and are to be found also in the first number of the Classical Journal. In the former work, they are so mixed with remarks upon various topics of classical criticism, that it is a task of considerable toil to disentangle them from the extraneous matter with which they are surrounded. In the Classical Journal, the various readings are not very fully nor very accurately detailed, and, therefore, it may be of some service to the student to present them here before his view, accompanied by all the information which may be necessary to insure a correct judgment. Before commencing the enumeration, two remarks are necessary.

1. The canon of Dawes is confined to the Latin poets who followed Lucretius, and expressly excludes the Satirists. It is essential to draw the attention of the student to this point, because it is a common practice to quote Ennius, Lucretius, and the Satires of Horace, without considering that they have nothing to do with the matter.
2. Proper names, in the use of which the poets have always very naturally allowed themselves considerable license, and the names of stones, trees, \&c., especially when such cannot be used at all in the metre without transgressing the rule, cannot be considered fair exceptions. This applies to such words as Smaragdus, Scamander, neither of which could
be employed in Hexameter verse at all, without a violation of our canon; and it is very well worthy of notice, that these words are frequently found in excellent MSS., both Latin and Greek, spelt without the S, Masardos, Maragdus; Kapavঠpos, Kamarder;' which would seem to indicate that it was, in certain cases, softened down in pronouncing them.

We shall now proceed with our catalogue, reviewing the works of the Latin poets in succession, marking, in the first place, those passages which seem to support the canon of Dawes; next those which seem to violate it; and, lastly, the examples of a short vowel at the end of a word, before $\mathbf{X}$ or $\mathbf{Z}$, with a view to settle this question also.

## Catullus.

Si potë stolidum repente excitare veternum. (Priapeian.) XVII., 24." Hoc quid putemus esse? qui modō scurra. (Scaz.) XXII., 12. ${ }^{3}$
Nec deprecor iam si nefariā scripta. (Scaz.) XLIV., 18.
Ut apud nivem et ferarum gelidā stabula forem. LXIII., $53 .{ }^{4}$
Nulla fugae ratio nullā spes, omnia muta. LXIV., 186.
Brixia, Cycnaeae suppositā speculae. LXVII., 32.5
'Testis erit magnis virtutibus und̆̆ Scamandri. LXIV., 358.

## Virgil.

Ferte citi ferrum, date telā, scandite muros. AX. IX., 37. ${ }^{6}$
Ponité: spes sibi quisque. Sed haec quam angusta videtis. RE. XI., 309.' Horridă squamosi volventis membra draconis. Culex. 194. ${ }^{8}$
Nec fuerat : niš̆ Scylla novo concepta furore. Ciris. 130.'
${ }^{2}$ See Dawes, Miscel. Crit., pp. 6-148. Ed. Kidd.
${ }^{2}$ Some old editions have si potest olidum.
${ }^{3}$ This is an unexceptionable instance. Modo, the adverb, always has the last short after the time of Lucretius. (See remarks on Final O, p. 60.)
${ }^{4}$ This is not worth much. It is from the Atys, a wild dithyrambic strain, the metre of which is not, in all probability, restrained by severe laws. (See remarks on Galliambic Verse, p. 215.)
${ }^{5}$ Some MSS. have suppositurn in specula ; supposita in specula. (See Doering's note.)
${ }^{6}$ Some MSS. have et scandite, others ascendite. Heyne suspects the line as it stands to be corrupt.
${ }^{7}$ The long pause after Ponite would make the shortening of the vowel appear not very objectionable even to the supporters of Dawes's canon. Heyne, however, seems to agree with Dawes and his commentators, in thinking that this line stopped at Ponite, and that the rest is an interpolation. (See his note.).
${ }^{8}$ The text here, and indeed throughout this poem, which is certainly not Virgil's, is very confused and corrupt. This line and the three which precede, are all considered spurious by Heyne.
${ }^{9}$ This line is generally considered corrupt, and is variously emended by different editors. Some MSS. give ni, and so Forbiger. The remark which we made on the Culex, applies equally to the Ciris.

Horace.
Nothing is to be found in the Lyries or Epistles of Horace either for or against the canon. ${ }^{1}$

## Tibullus.

Pro segetē spicas, pro grege ferre dapem. I., v., $28 .{ }^{2}$
O quantum est auri potius pereatquĕ smaragdi. I., i., 51.
O pereat quicumque legit viridesquĕ smaragdos. II., iv., 27

## Propertius.

Iura darē statuas inter et arma Mari. III., xi., 46. ${ }^{3}$
Brachiă spectavi sacris admorsa colubris. III., xi., 53.
Iam benĕ spondebant tunc omina, quod nihil illam. IV., i., 41.
Tu cavĕ spinosi rorida terga iugi. IV., iv., 48. ${ }^{4}$
Consuluitquĕ striges nostro de sanguine, et in me. IV., v., 17. ${ }^{5}$
Sed quascumque tibi vestes quoscumquĕ smaragdos. II., 16, 43.
Nunc ub̌ Scipiadae classes? ubi signa Camilli. III., xi., 67. ${ }^{6}$
Tuque $O$ Minoa venumdată, Scylla, figura. III., xix., 21. ${ }^{7}$

## Ovid.

Ista Mycenaea literă scripta manu. II. V., 2. ${ }^{8}$
Est in qua nostri literă scripta memor. H. V., 26. ${ }^{9}$
Carmină scripta mihi sunt nulla aut qualia cernis. T. V., xii., 35. ${ }^{10}$
${ }^{1}$ We find,
Levare tenta spiritu praecordia. (Iamb. Trim.) H. E. XVII., 2 .
But from the position of tenta in the line, it is impossible to tell whether the poet intended it to be long or short.
${ }^{2}$ Many MSS. and old editions, Segete et.
${ }^{3}$ This is the reading of many good MSS. and old editions. Kuinoel, however, and Hertzberg, have adopted another, also sanctioned by MSS.-

Iure dare et statuas inter et arma Mari.

[^133]Ne tamen ignoret quae sit sententiŭ scripto. H. XX., 213. ${ }^{1}$
Ergo mutetur nostri sententiă scripti. E. P. III., vii., 7. ${ }^{\text {² }}$
Ante focos olim longis considerĕ scamnis. " F. VI., 305. ${ }^{3}$
Quicquid ages igitur, magna spectaberě scena. E. P. III., i., 59.*
Oraque fontana fervidă spargit aqua. A. A. III., 726.5
Quod medio lentae fixum curvaminĕ spinae. M. III., $66 .{ }^{6}$
Manat, et exprimitur per densa foraminŭ spissus. M. XII., 438. ${ }^{\text { }}$
Addidit et fontes, immensaquĕ stagna lacusque. M. I., $38 .{ }^{\text {. }}$
Hennaeosque lacus et olentiŭ stagna Palici. E. P. II., x., $25 .{ }^{9}$
Antĕ stetit niveo lucet in ore rubor. A. III., iii., 6. ${ }^{10}$
Ante meos octlos tuă stat, tua semper imago est. E.P. II., iv., 7. ${ }^{\text {II }}$
Illa sonat raucum, quiddamque inamabilĕ stridet. A. A. III., 289. ${ }^{12}$
Ambiguus fuerit, modo vir, modo femină, Scython. M. IV., 280. ${ }^{13}$
Occidit illĕ Scinis, magnis male viribus usus. M. VII., $440 .{ }^{14}$
Foecundumque genus Maenae, Lamyrosquĕ Smarisque. Hal., 120. ${ }^{\text {5 }}$
In solio Phoebus, claris lucentĕ smaragdis. M. II., 24. ${ }^{16}$
Tu poteras virides pennis hebetarĕ smaragdos. A. II., vi., 21.
Dulichii Samiique, et quos tulit alta Zacynthos. H. I., 87 .
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Most of the older editions have scripto sententia quae sit.
${ }^{2}$ Three of the oldest editions have scripti sententia nostri.
${ }^{3}$ The Frankfort MS., and several of the oldest editions, have scamnis considere longis.
${ }^{4}$ Several MSS., scena spectabere magna.
${ }^{5}$ The great majority of MSS. have pulsat. Two have lavit.
${ }^{6}$ Many of the best MSS., lentae spinae curvamine fixum; and so the Bodleian and Cambridge MSS. and oldest editions. Others, medio spinae lentae curvamine fixum. One, longae, \&c.
${ }^{7}$ The common reading in the older editions is succus. A Bodleian MS., densus. Generally speaking, this line is very confused and corrupt in most MSS.
${ }^{8}$ The Bodleian MSS., one Camb. MS., and six of the oldest editions, have et stagnas ammensa.
${ }^{9}$ Many MSS. and two of the oldest editions have olentis.
${ }^{10}$ A Bodleian MS. and most of the older editions have fuit.
${ }^{11}$ Three of the oldest editions, Ante meos oculos praesto est tua semper imago; four MSS., praesens tua ; three MSS., visa est ; one MS., vera est.
${ }^{12}$ Stridet is a conjecture introduced by Heinsius. The MSS. and older editions have ridet.
${ }^{13}$ A Bodleian MS. and others, Sython.
${ }^{14}$ Sinis is now almost universally recognized as the true form, and is supported by MSS.
${ }^{15}$ Some write the name of this fish Meryx. Mentioned by Pliny, xxxii., last chapter.
${ }^{16}$ A Camb. MS. has maragdis.

Other examples will be found in some editions of Ovid; but since the passages in which they occur are now read differently in all the more esteemed texts of the poet, it is not necessary to give them at full length. Such were H. X., 106 ; A. A. III., 741 ; T. IV., ii., 20 ; E. P. I., v., 2 ; and III., ii., 34.

## Manilius. ${ }^{1}$

Sed teretem inclini mundum comitantiă spira. M. III., 364. ${ }^{2}$
Tertia formă stetit summo iam proxima coelo. M. III., 604. ${ }^{3}$

## Phaedrus.

Has nothing either for or against the canon. ${ }^{4}$

## Lucan.

Aut pretium; quippē stimulo fluctuque furoris. V., 118.
Tales fama canit tumidum super aequora Xerxen. II., 672. ${ }^{5}$

## Silius Italicus.

Immanē stridens agitur, crebroque coacta. IX., 575. ${ }^{6}$
Nequā spes fusos pacis vitaeque manere. XII., 209. ${ }^{7}$
Diversā spatio procul a certamine pugnae. XVII., $546 .{ }^{8}$
Mille Agathyrna dedit perflataquĕ Strogilos Austris. XIV., 259.*
Conditus excelso sacravit collĕ Zacynthos. I., 275.
Atque auxit quondam Laertia regnă Zacynthos. I., 290.
Armaque Dulichia proavis portată Zacyntho. II., 603.
${ }^{1}$ The period when Manilius wrote is still a matter of doubt ; but it will be seen that he is not a witness of any importance.
${ }^{2}$ Spira is a conjecture of Bentley for semper, the reading of all MSS. and previous editions.
${ }^{3}$ Almost all editions have fuit. Bentley has est et.
${ }^{4}$ Dr. Carey, who is a keen opponent of the canon, quotesNumque ubi strigandum est, et ubi currendum scio. Phaed.
He ought to have mentioned that strigandum is a conjectural emendation proposed by Gruterus, and also by Salmasius; but that all modern editors have agreed with Bentley in restoring the reading of the MSS., tricandum.
${ }^{5}$ The true reading here is Persen. (See Bentley and Heber on the passage.)
${ }^{6}$ This is the reading of the MSS. Immani, to agree with vulnere in the next line, is a conjecture of Livineius. (See Ruperti.)
${ }^{7}$ But we may divide nequa into two words, and consider $q u a$ as an adverb, in which case it will be naturally long.
${ }^{8}$ So Ruperti : others have diverso. Heinsius conjectured diversae to agree with pugnae.
${ }^{y}$ Ruperti adopts Trogilos. The editions before his have Strogilos, Strongylos, \&c. The MS§. exhibit Trogilos, Troglos, Troialos, \&c. (See his note on the passage.)

## Martial.

Ut dignā speculo fiat imago tuo. II., lxvis, $8{ }^{1}$
Quid gladium demens Romanā stringis in o̊ra. V., lxix., 3. ${ }^{2}$
Pexatus pulchre, rides meă Zoile trita. II., Iviii., 1.
Sidere percussa subito est tibŭ, Zoile, lingua. XI., lxxxv., 1.
Si tumeat, fiam tunc tib̌̌ zona brevis. XIV., cli., 2.

## Valerius Flaccus.

Vadit eques, densā spargens hastilia dextra. VI., 229. ${ }^{3}$

## Statius.

Praeceleres, agilē studium, et tenuissima virtus. T. VI., 551.
Sudor, ibi arcano florentes ignĕ smaragdos. T. II., 276. ${ }^{4}$
For the sake of curiosity we may give the examples in Seneca, Ausonius, and Claudian, although their testimony cannot throw any weight into either scale.

## Seneca.

Haec membră sparsim spargite, ac divellite. Phoen. 448. ${ }^{5}$
Tuosque manes quodquĕ stetit ante Ilion. Troad. 31. ${ }^{6}$
Enodĕ Zephyris pinus opponens latus. Oedip. 541.
Tranquillă Zephyri mollis afflatu tremit. Agam. 433.
Luteam vestem retinentĕ zona. (Anap. Dim.) Oedip. 421.
Secat obliquo tramilĕ zonas. (Anap. Dim.) Thyest. 845.
Trucis antră Zethi, nobilis Dircen aquae. Hercul. F. 916.

## Ausonius.

Lumbi sedendo, oculiquĕ spectando dolent. (Iamb. Trim.) S. S. Chilon. 1. Bruma gelu glacians iubarē spirat Capricorni. Eclogar. XV., 12.
${ }_{2}^{1}$ A very ancient MS. has dignior ut speculo.
${ }^{2}$ Romana is a conjectural emendation by Scriverius, for aliena; but this makes no difference in the quantity.
${ }^{3}$ Densa, however, may be the ablative, and so Burman marks it.
${ }^{4}$ In addition to these, Dr. Carey quotes-
Sed grates ago strictus : atque tanti est. (Hendecasyll.) S. S. IV., iii., 81. But he omits to mention that the reading adopted in the standard editions of Statius, and supported by the best MSS. and oldest printed copies, is -

> Et grates ago, servitusque tanti est.

Two others may be found in old copies, but are now corrected, viz., Theb. VII., 783 ; Achill. I., 348.
${ }^{5}$ But Lipsius from the best MSS. corrects passim.
${ }^{6}$ Lipsius found in an ancient MS., quo stetit stante Ilion.

Quod ius pontificum, quae foederă, stemma quod olim. Profess. XXII., 5. Librā Scorpius, Arcitenens, Capricornus et Urnam. Eclogar. III., 8.
Censor Aristarchus Normaquĕ Zenodoti. S. S. Pref. 12.
Esset Aristarchi tibi gloriă Zenodotique. Profess. XIII., 3.
Illustrant quintam Iovis aurea sideră zonam. Eclogar. V., 9.
Quin etiam cupio, iunctus quiă zelus amori est. Epig. LXXVII., 3.
Toxică zelotypo dedit uxor moecha marito. Epig. X., 1.
Sanxerit et Locris dederit quae iura Zaleucus. Profess. XXII., 11.

## Claudian.

Quisnam audet ferro leges imponerĕ stricto. In Ruf. II., 230. ${ }^{1}$
Africa. Rescissae vestes et spiceă sparsim.
Serta iacent. De Bell. Gildon., 136. ${ }^{2}$
The canon, as laid down by Dawes, expressly excludes Lucretius and the Satirists: but to show the contrast we shall give examples from these also :-

## Lucretics.

Und̆̈ sciat quid sit scire et nescire vicissim. IV., 476.
Liberă sponte sua cursus lustráre perenneis. V., 80.
Quidve superbiă, spurcitia, ac petulantia, quantas. V., 48.
Tenuĭ̆ sputa, minuta, croci contacta colore. VI., 1187.
Cederĕ squamigeris latices nitentibus aiunt. I., 373.
Indĕ statu, prior heic gestum mutasse videtur. IV., 774.
Sudent humore, et guttis manantibŭ' stillent. VI., 944.
Multo antiquius est quam lecti molliă strata. IV., 850.
Speluneasque velut, saxeis pendentibŭ' structas. VI., 195.
Inter curalium virides miscerĕ smaragdos. II., 805..
Scilicet, et grandeis viridi cum lucĕ smaragdei. IV., 1122.
Horace.
Proceros odisse lupos? quiă scilicet illis. S. II., ii., 36.
Linquimus, insani ridentes praemiă scribae. S. I., v., 35.

[^134]Contra alius nullam, nisi olente in fornicĕ stantem. I., ii., 30.
Velatumquĕ stolâ, mea quum conferbuit ira? I., ii., 71.
Quem malc̆ stultitia, et quemcumque inseitia veri. II., iii., 43.
Saepĕ stilum vertas, iterum, quae digna legi sint. I., x., 72.
Haec miȟ Stertinius sapientum octavus amico. II., iii., 296.
Si quod sit vitium, non fastidiré; Strabonem. I., iii., 44.

## Juvenal.

Occultā spolia, et plures de pace triumphos. VIII., 107. ${ }^{1}$
Gibbus et acre malum saepë stillantis ocelli. VI., 109. ${ }^{2}$
Ponerĕ zelotypo iuvenis praelatus Iarbae. V., 45.
Si tiľ zelotypae retegantur scrinia moechae. VI., 278.
Let us now calculate the result of this investigation.
In Catullus there are six examples in favour of the canon, but of these one is in the Atys, two are affected by various readings, one is in Caesura.

There is one violation, but this in the word Scamander; so that altogether Catullus affords two unexceptionable passages in favour of the canon.

Virgil has one example in favour, but the line is probably corrupt.
Three are quoted from his works against it, but they are all worthless.
Horace, in his Lyrics and Epistles, has nothing for or against.
Tibullus has one in favour, but it is in Caesura, and the reading is doubtful; he has two against, but both in smaragdus, which belongs to a class of words to which the rule was not intended to apply.

Propertius has one in favour, but the reading is disputed; he has seven against, but of these, two occur in lines probably corrupt; two are in proper names, one is in smaragdus, leaving a balance of two against the canon.

Ovid, at first sight, has eighteen examples against, and not one in favour of the canon; but it is very remarkable, that in seventeen of these the MSS. and oldest edd. exhibit various readings which remove the difficulty, even in the proper names, leaving a balance of one only against the canon, and that in a word which is found in several other passages to have been exchanged for the true reading.

Manilius presents two against, but both are worthless.
Silius has three in favour, in one of these the reading is disputed, another can be explained on a different principle; he has one against, but this is founded on a false reading, leaving a balance of one in favour of the canon.

Lucan, Phaedrus, Martial, and Valerius Flaccus, give no unexceptionable example on either side.

[^135]Statius has one in favour, but in Caesura; one against, but in the word smaragdus.

Seneca, Ausonius, and Claudian, need not be taken into account, but among them they only furnish one violation of the rule.
The account then will stand thus-

| Catullus, | . | : |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Propertius, | 2 in favour. |  |
| Ovid, | : | against. |
| Silius, | $:$ | 1 against (?) |

Let us remember, too, that of these, Catullus adhered more closely to the Greek writers in the construction of his verse than any of his countrymen, and that the text of Propertius is, generally speaking, very much mutilated. We shall then see that there is positively no evidence sufficient to establish or to overturn the canon.

But on the other hand, when we find no less than nine undoubted violations of it in Lucretius, and six in the Satires of Horace, and when we find that it is transgressed by modern Latin poets in almost every page of their compositions, we shall be fully justified in substituting the following rule for the canon of Dawes:-

The Roman poets of the Augustan age, and their successors, in serious compositions, carefully avoided placing a word ending with a short vowel before a word beginning with sc, sp, sq, st, and this collocation ought never to be introduced into modern Latin poetry.

With regard to a short vowel at the end of a word, before a word beginning with X or Z , there is no evidence whatsoever that it was ever lengthened; indeed, there is no evidence at all with regard to X , but this probably arises from the small number of words beginning with that letter. It will be seen from the above examples, that it is left short before Zacynthus, which could not otherwise have been placed in Dactylic verse, and also before such as zona, zelotypus, in writers of the silver age. With regard to Zacynthus, we know that it was often written with a simple $S$, Sacynthus, without the D , and Greek coins are still extant where the $\Delta$ is used


If any one wishes for still further information on the subject of this article, he may consult the Miscellanea Critica of Dawes, as edited by Burgess and Kidd; Zinzerlingii Criticorum Juvenilium Promulsis, which he will find quoted in Not. I. to Dr. Gaisford's edition of Hephaestio; the note of Lennep. on the passage in Terentianus Maurus, quoted above; Monthly Review for February and May, 1811; Classical Journal, vol. i., p. 71 and 233 ; vol. ii., p. 545 ; vol. ix., p. 341 ; vol. xii., p. 10 ; Vulpius on Tibullus, v., 28 ; Barthius on Claud. de Bell. Gildon., 136 : in addition to which the student will find many remarks on this topic, when consulting the commentaries on the passages quoted.

## VII.

## ON THE ANCIENT FORM OF THE DECLENSIONS.

Dr. Honter in the preface to his edition of Virgil, indicated the manner in which we might prove the identity of the five declensions of nouns, and the inquiry has since that time been followed out by many distinguished etymologists, both in this country and in (xermany ; particularly by Struve, in his treatise-Ueber die Lateinische Declination und Conjugation; and by Bopp, in his Vergleichende Grammatik. ${ }^{1}$ It does not fall within the limits of our plan to enter deeply into the discussion of a topic of this nature, in which much is necessarily either purely conjectural or deduced from intricate analogies; but we shall give the student a few hints which will serve to throw light on some of the rules laid down for the quantity of certain syllables.

1. The terminations of the cases in nouns ranked under the third declension, approach very nearly to the original form of inflection, and all the other declensions may be identified with the third. The terminations of the different cases were as follows:-

Singular.


## Plural.

Nom. EIS, and hence $\bar{e} s$ and $\bar{\imath} s$.
Gen. UM (rum?)
Dat. ibus (or ibis).
Acc. same as Nom.
Abl. same as Dat.

In neuters, the nom. and acc. were always the same, and in the plural these cases always ended in A.
2. When the noun ended in a vowel or any consonant, except $s$, the genitive was formed by adding is to the nominative. As-amator, ama-tor-IS.
3. When the noun ended in $s$, preceded by any consonant or vowel except $i$, then an $i$ was inserted between the final consonant and the preceding letter, as-labos, anciently labors, gen. laborIs; lex, i. e., legs, gen. legIs, \&c.
4. When the nominative ended in $i s$, then no change took place in the genitive.
5. All the other cases were formed by changing is of the genitive into the terminations arranged in the above table.
6. In process of time various changes were introduced; the final $s$ was dropped in many words; of two concurring vowels, one was elided or thrown out, the other retaining its proper quantity, or they were contracted into one long vowel, and thus the inflections became so much altered, that to a superficial observer no common bond of union was perceptible.

We shall illustrate these principles by taking a word from each of the five declensions, and pointing out the stages of transmutation, premising, however, that we have no intention of doing more than giving a general outline, without filling up the sketch.

[^136]
## First Declension.

The original termination of the nominative seems to have been ac, corresponding both in quantity and sound to $n$ or long $e$, the termination of the corresponding declension in Greek. We shall then have-

Singular.


Dat. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pennae-I, } \\ \text { Pennae, }\end{array}\right.$
Acc. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pennae-em, } \\ \text { Pennam, }\end{array}\right.$
Abl. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pennae- } \\ \text { Penna-e, }\end{array}\right.$ (Pennâ.

Plural.
Nom. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pennae-Es, } \\ \text { Pennaes, } \\ \text { Pennae, } \\ \text { Pen. }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pennae-um, } \\ \text { Pennā-um, } \\ \text { (Pennā-r-um.) }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$
Dat. (Pennae-ibus. and $\{$ Pennāibus, Pennabus,
Abl. Pennāis, Pennis,
Acc. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pennae-es, } \\ \text { Pennā-es, } \\ \text { Pennās. }\end{array}\right.$

## Remarks.

The form acs, as the termination of the genitive, is found in inscriptions; thus we have Livillafs ; ${ }^{1}$ Musaes; ${ }^{2}$ Suaes ; ${ }^{3}$ for Livillae, musae, suae, and several others in Gruter, while the termination in $\bar{a} i$ is common in the older peets, as we have already seen in the Chapter on Arehaisms. The termination in $s$ of the genitive is illustrated also by the form pater familias, for pater familiae.

The long quantity of the $a$ in the ablative is satisfactorily accounted for upon this system, and also the quantity of $\bar{a} s$ and $\bar{\imath} s$ in the dat. abl. and acc. plural.

The dative plural in abus was retained constantly in duabus, ambabus, and occasionally in filiabus, deabus, equabus, \&cc., and many more examples may be collected from inscriptions.

The most puzzling ease is the genitive plural; letters are frequently dropped, and syllables contracted, as a language becomes more polished, but the opposite process seldom takes place; and hence it becomes difficult to account for the appearance of $r$ here and in the second and fifth declensions. Hermann ${ }^{4}$ has given the most probable solution by supposing it to be the representative of the digamma. According to this view, the genitive plural of the first declension in Greek was originally afoy, afterwards $\alpha \omega \nu$, which is common in Homer, and finally $\omega \nu$.

In like manner, the dative singular may have originally ended in $i b i$, as in tibi, sibi, where $b$ was the representative of the digamma, which was afterwards dropped, and the two i's contracted into $\bar{i}$, as we find in the third, fourth, and fifth declensions. So also the dative plural in ibus or
ithis, dropped the digamma in most of those nouns which are referred to the first and second declensions, and retained it in others.

## Second Declension. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

The original termination of the nominative seems to have been os for masculine nouns, and om for neuter nouns.

Singular.

Nom. \begin{tabular}{l}
Servos, <br>
Gen. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Servo-IS, } \\
\text { Servo-i, } \\
\text { Servoi, Servi, }\end{array}\right.$ <br>
Dat. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Servo-I, } \\
\text { Servō, } \\
\text { Servo-EM, } \\
\text { Servom, Servum, } \\
\text { Servo-E, } \\
\text { Servō. }\end{array}\right.$

 

Acc.
\end{tabular}

Nom. Regnom,
Gen. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Regnom-1s, } \\ \text { Regno-is, } \\ \text { Regno-i, Regnoi, Regni, } \\ \text { \&c. }\end{array}\right.$


|  | Plural. |
| ---: | :--- |
| Nom. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Servo-EIS, } \\ \text { Servo-ei, Servoi, } \\ \text { Servī, }\end{array}\right.$ |
| Gen. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Servo-UM, } \\ (\text { Servor-um, }) \\ \text { Servûm, }\end{array}\right.$ |
| Dat. |  |
| and |  |
| Abl. |  |
| Servo-iBus, |  |
| Servo-is, |  |
| Servis, |  |
| Servo-Ers, |  |
| Servo-es, |  |
| Servōs. |  |







Nom. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Regnom-a, } \\ \text { Regno-a, } \\ \text { Regn-a, } \\ \text { \&c. }\end{array}\right.$
Nom. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Regnom-a, } \\ \text { Regno-a, } \\ \text { Regn-a }, \\ \text { \&c. }\end{array}\right.$

## Remarks.

In the Greek declension some of the cases are nearer by one step to the original type. Thus, in the genitive singular, and nominative plural, we have the diphthongs ov and ob in סov $\begin{gathered}\text { ov, } \text {, ounou, instead of the simple long }\end{gathered}$ $i$, and in the dative the $i$ which has disappeared altogether in servo is subscribed in $\delta о \cup \lambda \omega$.

The elision of $m$ in the middle of regnomis, will not appear extraordinary when we recollect that circumeo often appears as circueo.

## Third Declension.

We need give no scheme of the changes which took place in nouns which are ranked in the third declension, since they have retained the ancient form of the terminations almost without alteration.

The young scholar may perhaps be embarrassed, however, when he finds such words as iter, supellex, senex, which became in the genitive itineris, supellectilis, senis, but he will find upon perusing the older writers, that they used the nominatives itiner, supellectilis, and made the genitive of senex (i. e., senecs), according to our rule, senecis. Other apparent anomalies may be explained with equal facility.

Virgil, Cicero, and various authors of the Augustan age, write Achilli, Ulyssi, Pericli, \&c., as the genitives of Achilles, Clysses, Pericles, \&c., which has sadly confounded grammarians and commentators. But the
whole will be clearly understood by observing that the elisions and contractions used with regard to the nouns referred to the first and second declensions, were extended to these also:-

Nom. \begin{tabular}{l}
Achilles, <br>
Gen.

 

Achille-rs, <br>
Achille-i, <br>
Achilè, <br>
Achilli.
\end{tabular}

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ulysses, } \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ulysse-is, } \\ \text { Ulysse-i, } \\ \text { Ulyssei, } \\ \text { Ulyssi. }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$
Pericles,
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Pericle-1s, } \\ \text { Pericle-i, } \\ \text { Periclen, } \\ \text { Pericli. }\end{array}\right.$

We have already seen, when treating of poetical licenses, that Achillëi, Ulyssëi, and also Achillē,, Ulyssēe, are used by the poets, and these are just the intermediate stages between Achille-Is, Ulysse-Is, and Achilli, Ulyssi.

The original form of the termination of the nom. and acc. plural, in eis, accounts for the double shape which they assume in $\bar{\imath} s$ and $\bar{e} s$, both of which are long.

Fourth Declension.

Singular.
Nom. Fructus, Gen. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Fructu-Is, } \\ \text { Fructûs, }\end{array}\right.$ Dat. Fructu-I, Acc. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Fructu-Em, } \\ \text { Fructum, }\end{array}\right.$ Abl. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Fructu-e, } \\ \text { Fructû. }\end{array}\right.$

Plural.
Nom. $\{$ Fructu-EIS, and $\{$ Fructu-es, Acc. (Fructûs, Gen. Fructu-um, $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Dat. } \\ \text { and }\end{array}\right\}$ Fructu-ibus, and . Fruct-ibus, or Fructubus.

And this explains the long quantity of the final syllable in the genitive and ablative singular, and in the nominative, accusative, and vocative plural. The contractions are sometimes carried still farther, thus we frequently find $\bar{u}$ in the dative. (See p. 135, a.)

## Fifth Declension.

The original termination of the nominative in nouns ranked under this declension seems to have been aes, ae, being equivalent to long e. We still find draebus, \&c., upon inscriptions, as we have already had occasion to remark.

Singular.
Nom. Diaes, Diēs,
Gen. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Diae-IS, } \\ \text { Diae-i, } \\ \text { Diè },\end{array}\right.$
Dat. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Diae-I, } \\ \text { Diei, }\end{array}\right.$
Acc. $\{$ Diae-EM,
Abl. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Diae-E, } \\ \text { Dié. }\end{array}\right.$

The contraction of the genitive and dative singular was sometimes carried still farther, and hence we find die and fide, as the genitives of dies and fides, and fide as the dative of fides. (See p. 136, c.)

## VIII.

## ON THE ANCIENT FORM OF THE CONJUGATIONS.

Having proved the identity of the five declensions, we now proceed to point out that the four conjugations may be reduced to one; that is to say, the different inflections will be obtained by adding certain terminations to the verbal root, these terminations being the same, with some limitations, for all verbs whatsoever.

The verbal roots may either end in a consonant or in a vowel ; thus, leg is the verbal root of lego, ama of amo, doce of doceo, audi of audio, ru of ruo.

When the verbal root ends in a consonant or $u$, the addition of the terminations arranged in Tables 1 and 2, will give at once, with few exceptions, the different parts of the verb in their proper form.

When the verbal root ends in $a, e$, or $i$, then the vowel at the end of the verbal root frequently absorbs the short vowel at the beginning of the termination, and a long syllable is the result of the contraction; or, the initial vowel of the termination is elided by the final vowel of the verbal root, but this is comparatively rare. (See Remark 1.)

To exemplify this, let us take one or two of the terminations from Table 1 in the next page, and apply them to verbs belonging to the different conjugations. It will be seen that the terminations of the Indicative Present Active are,

Ind. Pres. Act. -o, -is, -it, -ĭmus, -ĭtis, -unt.

## Uncontracted Verbs.

\{ Leg-o, leg-ǐs, leg-it, leg-ǐmus, leg-ǐtis, leg-unt.
\{ Ru-o, ru-īs, ru-it, ru-imus, ru-ǐtis, ru-unt.

## Contracted Verbs.

\{ Ama-o, ama-ǐs, ama-it, ama-ĭmus, ama-ĭtis, ama-unt. Amō, amās, amat, amāmus, amātis, amant.
$\{$ Doce-o, doce-is, doce-it, doce-imus, doce-itis, doce-unt.
\{ Doceo, docēs, docet, docēmus, docētis, docent.
$\{$ Audi-o, audi-is, audi-it, audi-imus, audi-itis, audi-unt.
\{ Audio, audīs, audit, audīmus, audītis, audiunt.
In like manner we may take the
Subj. Imperf. Pass. -ěrer, -ěrēris, (e)-erētur, -ěrēmur, -eremĭni, -erentur.
$\{$ Leg-ěrer, leg-ěrēris (e), leg-ĕrētur, leg-ĕrēmur, leg-ĕreminni, leg-ĕrentur.
\{ Lu-ěrer, lu-êrēris (e), lu-ềrētur, lu-ěeēmur, lu-ëremĭni, lu-erentur.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ama-ěrer, ama-ěrēris (e), ama-ěrētur, ama-ěrēmur, ama-ěremini, ama- } \\ \text { [črentur. }\end{array}\right.$
Amārer, amārēris, amārētur, amārēmur, amāremini, amārentur.
$\{$ Doce-ěrer, doce-ërēris (e), doce-eretur, \&ce.
Docērer, docērēris, docērētur, \&c.
$\{$ Audi-črer, audi-ereris (e), audi-ereter, \&c.
Audirer, audī̄ēris (e), audïr̄̄tur, \&c.
The two following tables will give the complete scheme of the Latin verb:-
1.



$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ama- } \\ \text { Doce- } \\ \text { Audi- } \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ru- } \\ \text { Leg- }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$



Inf. Pres. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { - 九̌̌̌. } \\ \text {-érier, }, \text {-eri }(-e \mathrm{ei},-\mathrm{i} .)\end{array}\right.$
Partic. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text {-ens. } \\ \text {-itus. } \\ \text {-iturus. } \\ \text {-endus. }\end{array}\right.$
In the preterite of all verbs, the verbal root undergoes a modification; and it is to the verbal root, thus modified, that we must add the terminations of the preterite, pluperfect, \&c.

The preterite is formed in various ways, e. g., 一

1. By reduplication, as-morde-o, momord-i;
2. By lengthening the short vowel of the root, as $-l$ ľgo, $l \bar{e} g-i{ }_{j}^{2}$
3. By adding $s$ to the verbal root, as-rep-o, reps- $i$;
${ }^{1}$ But, as indicated, p. 97 , these were originally reduplications.
4. By adding $v$ or $u$ to the verbal root, as-ama-c, amav- $i$; doce- $o$, docev-i, doceu-i, docu-i ; audi-o, audiv-i; \&c.

$$
2 .
$$

When we have ascertained the termination of the preterite, the inflections of the different tenses connected with it are indicated by the following table:-

| f1. Momord- | , |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Lēg- | Ind. Plup. -ěram, -ĕras, -ěrat, -ĕramus, -ěratis, -ěrant. |
| 2. Reps- | Ind. Fut. Perf. -ěro, -ěris, -ĕrit, -ěrimus, -ěritis, -ěrint. |
| 4. Amav- | Subj. Perf. -ěrim, -ěris, -ěrit, -ěrimus, -ěriti |
| 5. Docu- | -isses, -isset, -issēmus, -issëtis, -iss |
|  | Inf. |

If, therefore, we divide the verbs into classes, we should make one class comprehend all the uncontracted verbs; and a second, all contracted verbs. The first will comprehend all those verbs whose verbal root ends in a consonant, or $u$; the second will comprehend all those verbs whose verbal roots end in $a, e, i$; the contractions in each being made upon the principle that the root vowel absorbs all others.

## Remarks.

I. We should have expected such words as amăt, docett, audut, to have had the final syllable long, since they are contractions for ama-it, doce-et, audi-it; but this anomaly may be accounted for in different ways. We may suppose that, in this case, elision and not contraction took place, the $i$ being dropped or thrown out altogether, instead of being absorbed by the final vowel of the verbal root. Or we may suppose that this syllable was really long in the earlier stages of the language, and afterwards became short in consequence of being assimilated in pronunciation to other words ending in $t$. The last mentioned opinion receives confirmation from the assertion of Martianus Capella, ${ }^{1}$ who says that this syllable in verbs is doubtful; and if any trust can be put in the fragments of some of the early poets, they afford evidence in favour of this doctrine; thus-

Cum socios nostros mandissēt impiu' Cyclops. Livius Andronicus. ${ }^{2}$
Omnis cura viris uter essēt Induperator. Ennius Ann. ${ }^{3}$
Infīt, O cives quae me fortuna ferox sit. Ennius Ann. ${ }^{4}$
We may observe also, that on referring to the list of short syllables lengthened by Caesura, in pp. 108, 109, it will be seen that a very great number of the examples are verbs in the third person singular.

[^137]II. The initial vowel in the termination ebam, ebar, of the indicative imperfect, was absorbed by the vowel of the verbal root in verbs referred to the first and second conjugations; thus ama-ebam, doce-ebam, became amābam, docēbam; it was left open, however, in verbs referred to the fourth conjugation, as audi-ebam, audiebam. In these also, however, it was anciently absorbed by the $i$ : many examples of this are to be found in Plautus and the older writers; and the contracted form being more convenient in Dactylic verse, was used occasionally by the poets of the Augustan age ; thus nutribat, vestibat, for nutriebat, vestiebat, \&c. Several instances will be found in page 136, $b$.
III. There is reason to believe that the termination of the future in ebo, which is preserved in verbs of the first and second conjugations only, was common to all. Nonius Marcellus quotes exsugebo ${ }^{1}$ from Plautus, and dicebo, ${ }^{2}$ vivebo, ${ }^{3}$ from Novius; a number of examples are still extant of this form in verbs of the fourth conjugation, e.. g., dormibo, Plaut. Trin. III., ii., 100 ; scibo, Asin. I., i., 13 ; Most. IV., iii., 5, \&c ; scibis, Cas. III., v., 22 ; audibis, Cap. III., iv., 87 ; servibit, Trin. II., ii., 27 ; reperibitur, Ep. I., ii., 48 ; and a great many others. Some of these were used as Archaisms, even by the later poets, as lenibunt in Propertius. (See p. 136, c, 137.)

The more recent form of the future in $a m$, es, et, \&c., adopted in verbs of the third and fourth conjugations, arose in all probability from the use of the subjunctive present instead of the future, the two tenses being closely allied in signification, and frequently interchanged in all languages.
IV. Three terminations are assigned in the scheme to the subjunctive present, that in $a m, a s, a t$, \&c., which was ultimately adopted in all verbs referred to the second, third, and fourth conjugations; that in em, es, et, adopted in verbs referred to the first conjugation; and finally, that in im, is, it, which is still found in sim, in velim, malim, nolim, \&c.; of this we discover many remnants in the older specimens of the language, and perhaps it was the original form. Thus, edim, comedim, edis, edit, edimus, comedint, are common in Plautus; and we find also temperint for temperent, carint for careant, effodint for effodiant, and very frequently duim, duis, duit, duint, perduint, \&c., from duo, and perduo, which are the same as do and perdo.
The student will obtain full information upon this and upon all other points connected with the Latin verb, in the treatise of Struve, alluded to above; and a very elegant work upon the same subject by Mr. Alexander Allen. ${ }^{4}$

## IX.

## ON VERBS WHICH APPEAR UNDER A DOUBLE FORM.

It sometimes happens, that from the same root two verbs have been formed bearing the same signification, but belonging, one to the contracted,

[^138]and the other to the uncontracted conjugation. Hence have arisen several apparent anomalies. We have already alluded to this circumstance in $p$. 45 (III.), and again in p. 100 (I.), when discussing the compounds of citus. We shall now give a list of the most important verbs belonging to this class, confining ourselves to those in which confusion seems to prevail with regard to quantity:-

## Clueo-ēre and Cluo-ěre.

Of these the former only is found in good writers, e.g.,-
(Atridae duo fratres cluent fecisse facinus maximum. Plaut. Bacch. IV., ix., 1. Quae post mihi clara et diu clueant, \&c. Plaut. Pseud. II., i., 17.
Per genteis Italas hominum quae clara cluëret. L. I., 120.
(Nec minus atque homines inter se clara cluēre. L. II., 351.
Magnae sed auctor qua cluo sententiae. A. S. S. C., 2.

## Ferveo-ēre and Fervo-ěre.

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Fervet opus, redolentque thymo fragrantia mella. V. G. IV., } 169 .\end{array}\right.$
$\{$ Fervet avaritia miseroque cupidine pectus. H.E. I., i., 33.
(Et fervent multo linguaque corque mero. O.F. II., 732.
「Fervit aqua et fervet; fervit nunc fervet ad annum. Lucilius. ${ }^{1}$
Fervĕre quom videas, belli simulacra cientis. L. II., 41.
Fervĕre, non illa quisquam me nocte per altum. V. G. I., 456.
Incipit et sicco fervĕre terra cane. $P$. II., xxviii., 4.
Stridëre apes utero et ruptis effervĕre costis. V. G.IV., 556.

## Fulgeo-ēre and Fulgo-ĕre.

(Fixa corona foret; sed nos quoque fulgēremus. C. LXVI., 61.
$\{$ Intaminatis fulget honoribus. (Alc. Hendec.) H. O. III., ii., 18.
Cum voluit, puro fulget in orbe dies. O. A. I., viii., 10.
「Fulgit item, nubeis ignis quom semina multa. L. VI., 159.
Fulgĕre quom coeli donavit plaga vapore. L. V., 1094.
$\{$ Proximus Hydrochöei fulgëret Oarion. C. LXVI., 94.
Illae autem paribus quas fulgĕre cernis in armis. V. A. VI., 827.
Fervere Leucaten, auroque effulgĕre fluctus. V. A. VIII., 677.
Ima viris, altas effulgĕre matribus aedes. C. de VI., Cons. Honov., 546.

## Scateo-ĕre and Scato-ěre.

Quae mi interbibere sola, si vino scatet. Plaut. Aul. III., vi., 22.
Amas, pol, misera: id tuus scatet animus, \&c. Plaut. Pers. II., i., 9.
The contracted form is common in Pliny. The uncontracted form occurs frequently in Lucretius,-

Nunc etiam scatit, et trepido terrore repleta est. L. V., 41.

[^139]Largifluum fontem scatěre, atque erumpere lumen. L. V., 597. Et scatěre illa foras in stuppam semina : quae quom. L. VI., 896. To these add scatit, VI., 891.

## Strideo-ēre and Strido-ĕre.

(Sanguine terra madet stridentque hastilibus aurae. ${ }^{1}$ V. A. XII., 691.
Quam segnis Scythicae stridēret arundinis aer. L. P. IX., 827.
(Cogaris, pressoque diu stridēre molari. I. S. V., 160.
〔Ut mare sollicitum stridit refluentibus undis. V. G. IV., 262.
$\{$ Stridĕre apes utero, et ruptis effervere costis. V. G. IV., 556.
$\{$ Stridëre secreta divisos aure susurros. H. S. II., viii., 78.
Nunc quoque contenti stridunt Aquilone rudentes. O. T. I., xi. 19.
Tergeo-ère and Tergo-ĕre.
[Lavari, aut fricari, aut tergeri, aut ornari. Plaut. Poen. I., ii., 10.
$\{$ Pars leves clypeos et spicula lucida tergent. V. AE. VII., 626.
Hoc potius quam gallina tergēre palatum. H. S., II., ii., 24.
Hic leve argentum, vasa aspera tergeat alter. I. S. XIV., 62.
Spissaque de nitidis tergit amoma comis. O. H. XXI., 166.
In the above passage from Virgil, many MSS. give tergunt, and this form is common in prose. Priscian notices both, p. 894.

We may also notice the double form,-

> Tueor-ēri and Tuor, tui,
although no confusion is likely to arise regarding their quantity:-
\{Nec nimio quoiquam posses ardore tueri. L. VI., 1162.
\{Talia dicentem iamdudum aversa tuetur. V. S. IV., 362.
(Nec validos aestus tuimur, nec frigora quimus. L. I., 301.
Denique iam tuĕre hoc circum supraque quod omne. L. V., 319.
Tuor, malasque furis arceo manus. C. XX., 5.
Nunc ego te infelix summum teneoque tuorque. Epic., 137.
Vestra tuor? sic vos extremo in fine ligavit. S. T. III., 152.
Contuimur miras simulacraque luce carentum. L. IV., 39.
Nam mihi infestos utero modo contuor enses. S. A. I., 131.
Cupo-ĕre and Cupio-řre.
(Intra fortunam qui cup̌̌s esse tuam. P. III., ix., 2.
Si quantum cupěrem possem quoque-Sed neque parvum. H. E. II.,
[i., 257.
Naturae primus portarum claustra cupīret. L. I., 72.
$\{$ Mars videt hanc, visamque cupit, potîturque cupitam. O. F. III., 21.

[^140]
## Orior of the third conj., and Orior-oriri.

All the parts of this verb, used by the poets, belong to the third or uncontracted conjugation, with the exception of the infinitive, which is always oriri. Oriretur is used by the best prose writers, as may be seen from the examples quoted in Scheller's Dictionary. In Lucilius and Lucretius we find the compound adoritur:-
> (Tu toties orĕris viridique in cespite vernas. O.M. X., 166. Nostrorum obruimur, oriturque miserrima caedes. V. A. II., 411.
> Nil orǐturum alias nil ortum tale fatentes. H. E. II., i., 17.
> Namque aliis aliud praestantius exorëretur. L. II., 507.
> Quod si de nihilo fierent, subito exorërentur. L. I., 181,
> Unde refert nobis victor quid possit orīri. L. I., 76.
> Conturbare animam potis est quicumque adoritur. Lucilius. ${ }^{1}$
> Commutare animum quiquomque adorītur et infit. L. III., 514.

## Potior-poti and Potior-iri.

(Sed quia multorum potǐtur primordio rerum. L. П., 652. Subnixus, rapta potittur, nos munera templis. V. A. IV.
Liber ut innuptae potĕretur flore novercae. C. LXIV., 403.
$\{$ Cum capite hoc Stygiae iam potërentur aquae. P. II., ix., 26. Tuque tuis armis, nos te potëremur, Achille. O. M. XIII., 130. Et captum potimur mundo nostrumque parentem. Manil. IV., 884.
(Fortis praegressis ut potěreris equis. A. E. H. XXXV., 4.
(Ille ferox solus solio sceptroque potitur. O. H. XIV., 113.
Non nasci esse bonum, natum aut cito morte potiri. A. Eid. XV., 56.
$\{$ Nec dissentit, eum mortis letique potĩtum. L. IV., 768.
Coniugio Acacidae Pyrrhi sceptrisque potītum. V. AE. III., 296.
Virgineumque Helicona petit, quo monte potitta. O. M. V., 254.
Observe that Potitur is much more common than potitur ; potitus, again, seems to have the penult always long in good writers.

We might increase the above list considerably, if we were to admit all the varieties of form which occur in the early dramatists; but this does not fall within the limits of our present undertaking.

## X.

## ON SATURNLAN VERSES. ${ }^{*}$

Although an examination of the Roman comic metres, and of the numerous complicated and much vexed questions connected with them,

[^141]does not fall within the limits of the present work, we can scarcely avoid saying a few words on the celebrated Saturnian Measure, which is generally believed to have been the national metre of the Romans, and of which scholars, especially of late years, have spoken with such confident familiarity, that the incautious might be led to imagine that all points connected with its form and structure are clear and well ascertained. Before proceeding to inquire how much we really know, it may be proper to state distinctly the popular belief upon the subject, that is, the idea entertained with regard to Saturnian Verses, or Saturnian Metre, by those who have not bestowed close and particular attention on the topic. This belief may be enunciated in two propositions :-

1. That Ennius was the first writer who imparted to his countrymen an accurate knowledge of Greek versification, and who obeyed in his productions the laws by which the different kinds of Greek verse, especially the Heroic Hexameter, were regulated.
2. That up to the time of Ennius, poetical feeling among the Romans was expressed in a measure purely national, denominated Versus Saturnius, or Numerus Saturnius; that this measure, although certainly rude, was subject to definite rules; and that the translation of the Odyssey by Livius, and the celebrated poem of Naevius, on the first Punic war, were composed in Saturnian Verse.

The first of the above propositions may be admitted without doubt or difficulty, but we must carefully examine the evidence adduced in support of the second. This evidence may be divided into two portions, each of which must be considered separately. These are-

1. The testimony of the classical writers, properly so called; and, 2. The testimony of the grammarians.

## 1. The Testimony of the Classical Writers.

The oldest writer who affords any available information is Cicero, who says in his Brutus XIX., § 71-

Quid? nostri veteres versus ubi sunt?

> Qunm neque Musarum scopulesque canebant Nuisquam superarat Nec dicti studiosus erat: . .

Saturnische Vers in Plautus, by C. H. Weise, Quedlingb., 1839 ; De Inscriptionibus quae ad numerum Saturnium referuntur, by G. T. Streuber, Turic., 1845; History of Rome, by Niebuhr, Engl. Trans. by Hare and Thirlwall, second ed., vol. i., pp. 253-257, and notes No. 684-688; vol. ii., p. 589, note No. 1297 ; Lectures on the History of Rome, by Niebuhr, translated from the German of Isler, by Dr. Schmitz, p. 11; or, as translated by Chepmell and Demmler, vol. i., p. 89; Varronianus, by Dr. Donaldson, second ed., p. 222, seqq. ; Preface to the Lays of Ancient Rome, by Lord Macaulay. See also Bentley's Dissertation on Phalaris, XI., p. 162, ed. 1817.

It will be observed, that one of the above essays is the joint production of two German scholars, who have, however, divided their task into two portions entirely distinct. Lersch undertakes to demolish the existing notions on Saturnian Verses; Düntzer to erect a new edifice. The former has, according to my views, been perfectly successful, and I agree in most of his canclusions; the attempt of the latter is, to my mind, an absolute failure.
ait ipse ( $s c$. Ennius) de se: nec mentitur in gloriando : sic enim sese res habet. Nam et Odyssea Latina est sic, tamquam opus aliquod Daedali, et Livianae fabulae non satis dignae quae iterum legantur.

Again, a little lower down, § 75-
utinam exstarent illa carmina, quae multis seculis ante suam aetatem in epulis esse cantita a singulis convivis de clarorum virorum laudibus, in Originibus scriptum reliquit Cato. Tamen, illius quem in Vatibus et Faunis enumerat Ennius, bellum Punicum, quasi Myronis opus, delectat. Sit Ennius sane, ut est certe, perfectior: qui, si illum, ut simulat, contemneret, non, omnia bella persequens, primum illud Punicum, acerrimum bellum, reliquisset. Sed ipse dicit cur id faciat
scripsere, inquit, alii rem Versibus . . . et luculente quidem scripserunt, etiamsi minus, quam tu, polite, \&c.

In the Orator. 41, § 171—Ergo Ennio licuit vetera contemnenti dicere,

## Versibus quos olim Fauni Vatesque canebant-

and he quotes the line yet again in De Divin. I., 50 ; indeed this line of Ennius seems to have made a great impression on his countrymen, for our next authority, Varro L. L. VII., 36, brings it up once more-

## Versibus quos olim Fauni Vatesque canebant,

and thus comments upon it:-Fauni dei Latinorum, ita ut Faunus et Fauna sit: hos versibus, quos vocant Saturnios, in silvestribus locis traditum est solitos fari futura. ${ }^{1}$

In Horace, Epp. II., i., 56-
Graecia capta ferum victorem cepit, et artes
Intulit agresti Latio: sic horridus ille
Defluxit numerus Saturnius, et grave virus
Munditiae pepulere, sed in longum tamen aevum
Manserunt hodieque manent vestigia ruris.
Lastly, Festus, who, we shall assume, here closely followed Verrius Flaccus, s. v. Saturnia, p. 325, ed. Müller-

Versus quoque antiquissimi, quibus Faunus fata cecinisse hominibus videtur, Saturnii appellantur: quibus et a Naevio bellum Punicum scriptum est, et a multis aliis plura composita sunt.

Let us recapitulate the statements made in the above passages, in so far as they bear upon the point at issue.

Cicero does not employ the word Saturnian, but speaks of those ancient Roman verses which the Fauns and Seers of the olden time were wont to sing, adding, that Ennius ranked his predecessor Naevius among these rude bards.

Varro, in explaining the line of Ennius, says, that the Seers and woodland Fauns were wont to prophesy versibus quos vocant Saturnios, but, as Lersch fairly remarks, although he speaks of Saturnian Verses, he says nothing of Saturnian Verse or Saturnian Metre.

[^142]Horace rejoices that Greek literature had banished horridus ille numerus Saturnius, where the expression numerus Saturnius does not necessarily imply one particular species of verse.

Festus tells us that Saturnii Versus were those most ancient strains in which Faunus appears to have given utterance to oracles, and in which the history of the first Punic war was written by Naevius.

Lastly, Virgil and Livy ${ }^{1}$ refer to the versus incomti, versus inconditi, versus incompositi, carmina incondita, \&c., i. e., rude extemporaneous strains, which rustics and soldiers used to pour forth on occasions of festivity and triumph.
Now, any one who considers these passages without having already formed a decided opinion on the subject, and who is therefore in no way disposed to force them into accordance with a preconceived theory, may fairly arrive at the conclusion adopted by Lersch, that the epithet Saturnius is employed as equivalent to primitive, or very ancient, and that the expressions Saturnii Versus, and Saturnius Numerus, were terms intended to indicate generally the rude effusions in which the old Italians found vent for their poetical feelings, and were not meant to designate any one particular species of metre, regulated by a definite Jaw.

## 2. The Testimony of the Grammarians.

Here the matter assumes a very different aspect. Three grammarians, Terentianus Maurus, Maximus Victorinus, and Atilius Fortunatianus, all included in the collection of Putschius, enter into minute details with regard to Saturnian Verse. Of these, Terentianus Maurus, whom many believe to have flourished in the early part of the second century, but who probably belongs to the end of the third, is a writer of considerable authority on metres; Maximus Victorinus is generally supposed to have taught as a rhetorician in the age of Constantine, although we cannot fix his epoch with any certainty, while Atilius Fortunatianus is usually set down as belonging to a much later period, although critics are by no means at one on this point. However, the question of the comparative ages of these two writers is of no importance in the present case, since they cannot be regarded as independent witnesses, for one has evidently copied from the other, and, indeed, transcribed whole sentences verbatim, while both seem, to a considerable extent, to have followed Terentianus. This being the case, we shall proceed, as before, to give their evidence in full, premising, for the information of the young scholar, that Terentianus, in treating of the different kinds of verse, employs, in each case, the very metre which be wishes to describe and illustrate.

[^143]Terentianus Maurus ${ }^{1}$ de Saturnio Carmine
Aptum videtur esse
Nunc hoc loco monere,
Quae sit figura versus,
Quem credidit vetustas
Tanquam Italis repertum
Saturnium vocandum.
Sed est origo Graeca
Illique metron istud
Certo modo dederunt ;
Nostrique mox poetae
Rudem sonum seeuti,
Ut quemque res ferebat,
Sic disparis figurae
Versus vagos locabant.
Post rectius probatum est,
Ut tale colon esset
Iunctum tribus trochaeis,
Ut si vocet Camenas-quis novem sorores
Et Naevio poetae-sic ferunt Metellos,
Cum saepe laederentur-esse comminatos:
Dabunt malum Metelli-Naevio poetae.
Dabunt malum Metelli-clauda pars dimetri;
Dabunt malum Metelli,
Adest celer Phaselus,
Memphitides puellae,
Tinctus colore noctis.
Post Naevio poetae-tres vides trochaeos;
Nam nil obest trochaeo-longa quod suprema est.

## Marius Victorinus ${ }^{2}$ de Saturnio Versu.

Et quoniam sub occasione versus huius se tempestiva etiam nobis alia suggerit species, consentaneum reor hoc loco dicere de natura et origine huius versus, cui prisca apud Latium aetas, tanquam Italo et indigenae, Saturnio sive Faunio nomen dedit, sed falluntur ; a Graecis enim varie et multiformiter inductus est, nec tantum a comicis, sed etiam a tragicis. Nostri autem antiqui usi sunt eo, non observata lege, nec uno genere custodito, sed praeterquam quod durissimos fecerunt, etiam alios longos, alios breviores inseruerunt, quorum est hic-

Turdis edacibus dolos comparas amice.
Item-
Ferunt pulcras creterras aureasque lepistas.
Et apud Naevium-
Novem Iovis concordes filiae sorores.
${ }^{2}$ V. 2497, p. 1439, ed. Putsch ; p. 115, ed. Lennep.
${ }^{2}$ F. 2586, ed. Futsch. ; Lib. III., cap. xviii., ed. Gaisford, whose text we have generally adopted. Victorinus has an incidental and not very intelligible remark on Saturnian Verse in p. 2591, ed. Putsch.; Lib. IV., cap. i., § 21, ed. Gaisford.

Videtur tamen e duorum versuum membris compositus, Dimetri et Quadrati. Constat autem pedibus sex et semipede. Nam primos tres pedes et semipedem habet ex parte prima Dimetri; reliquos vero tres pedes, qui sunt ultimi, habet a parte prima Quadrati tragici trochaici, ut-

## Cum victor Lemno classem Doricam appulisset.

Est autem duabus primis syllabis longior ab Hendecasyllabo, nam uno pede in capite Hendecasyllabi posito, Saturnius versus fiet: cuius exemplum Metelli proposuerunt de Naevio, aliquotiens ab eo lacessiti, ita-

## Malum dabunt Metelli Naevio poetae.

Nam Malum dabunt Metelli clauda pars Dimetri iambici est, dehinc Naevio poetae tribus Trochaeis constat, quod Phallicum ${ }^{1}$ vocamus: nec quicquam oberit Trochaeo, quod suprema longa est quod semper in metris indifferenter, sicut superius diximus, ponitur. Tres Iambos cum syllaba et tres Trochaeos. Ergo erit prima pars (id est, Malum dabunt Metelli) talis, qualis est-Adest celer Phaselus, item, Memphitides puellae; sequens (Naevio poetae) talis ut est-Bacche plaude Bacche. Sane ut in Decasyllabo, primus pes incertus est, ita ut in hoc duc primi pedes variantur-

## Iam nunc vocet Camoenas quis novem sorores,

qui ut terminus a Spondeo incipit. Quidam volunt hunc feriri sexies et recipere pedes septem, hoc est, Spondeum, Iambum, Pyrrhichium, Pariambum, Dactylum, Trochaeum, Anapaestum, e quibus est Thacomestus, et nasci a Trimetro Scazonte; alii vero omnes duodecim pedes admittere, neque semper eum, ut illi asserunt, nasci e Trimetro Scazonte. Unde afud omnes grammaticos super hoc adhuc non parva lis est.

## Atiluus Fortunatianus ${ }^{2}$ de Saturnio Versu.

De Saturnio versu dicendum est, quem nostri existimaverunt proprium esse Italicae regionis, sed falluntur. A Graecis enim varie et multis modis tractatus est, non solum a comicis, sed etiam a tragicis. Nostri autem antiqui (ut vere dicam, quod apparet) usi sunt eo, non observata lege, nec uno genere custodito inter se versus, sed praeterquam quod durissimos fecerunt, etiam alios breviores, alios longiores inseruerunt, ut vix invenerim apud Naevium, quos pro exemplo ponerem. Apud Euripidem et Callimachum inveni tale genus-

Turdis edacibus dolos comparas amice.
Apud Archilochum tale-
Quem non rationis egentem vicit Archimedes.
Et tertium genus-
Consulto producit eum quo sit impudentior.
Apud nostros autem in tabulis antiquis, quas triumphaturi duces in

[^144]Capitolio figebant victoriaeque suae titulum Saturniis versibus prosequebantur, talia reperi exempla. Ex Regilli tabula -

Duello magno dirimendo, regibus subigendis, qui est subsimilis ei, quem paulo ante posui-

Consulto producit eum quo sit impudentior.
In Acilii Glabrionis tabula-
Fundit fugat prosternit maximas legiones.
Apud poetam Naevium hos repperi idoneos-
Ferunt pulchras pateras aureas lepidas. ${ }^{1}$
Et alio loco-
Novem Iovis concordes filiae sorores.
Sed ex omnibus istis, qui sunt asperrimi et ad demonstrandum minime accommodati, optimus est, quem Metelli proposuerunt de Naevio, aliquoties ab eo versu lacessiti-

Malum dabunt Metelli Naevio poctae.
Hic enim Saturnius constat ex Hipponactei quadrati iambici posteriore commate et Phallico ${ }^{2}$ metro. Hipponactei quadrati exemplum-

Quid immerentibus noces? Quid invides amicis?
Nam Malum dabunt Metelli simile est illi-Quid invides amicis? Cui detracta syllaba prima facit Phallicum metrum-Invides amicis, ex quibus compositus est hic Saturnius, ut sit par huic-

Quid invides amicis? Invides amicis.
Hoc modo-
Malum dabunt Metelli Naevio poetae.
Again, p. 2698, ${ }^{3}$-Saturnio metro primum in Italia usi ; dictum autem a Saturnia urbe vetustissima Italiae. Et hic versus obscurus quibusdam videtur, quia passim et sine cura eo homines utebantur, maxime tamen triumphaturi in Capitolio tabulas huiusmodi versibus incidebant, id est sic-

Summas opes qui regum regias refregit.
Habet autem prima parte Iambicon dimetron catalecticon, in secunda Trochaicon dimetron brachycatalecticon, quod etIthyphallicum diximus, ut:

## Dabunt malum Metelli Naevio poetae.

Cetera [sc. metra] partim in Horatio recognosces, partim in archetypis auctorum libris, unde haec excerpsimus.

It will be seen that, while the authors of the above passages contradict

[^145]each other and themselves in many particulars, there are others in which they exhibit a close correspondence. They all seem to agree-

1. That there was a distinctly defined species of verse called Versus Saturnius or Metrum Saturnium.
2. That it was a mistake to assert that this was purely a national Italian measure, for that it was well known to the Greeks, and frequently employed by them.
3. 'That the Saturnian Metre in its proper form consisted of an Tambic Dimeter Catalectic, followed by three Trochees, according to the following scheme:-

$$
\approx=\mid \smile-1 \smile-1-11-\smile 1-\smile 1=\approx
$$

or, according to another view, which comes to the same thing, that it was an Iambic Trimeter Hypercatalectic, ${ }^{1}$ with a Spondee invariably in the fourth place. Terentianus indeed affirms that originally the Saturnian metre was simply an Iambic Dimeter Catalectic, such as-

## Dabunt malum Metelli,

and that the form in which the three Trochees were subjoined-

## Dabunt malum Metelli Naevio poetae

was of later invention.
4. That the early Roman poets roughly catching up the mere rhythm (rudem sonum secuti) composed lines in imitation which were not merely harsh but altogether irregular and of different lengths.

We may further remark, that Victorinus concludes his observations by admitting that great difference of opinion prevailed among grammarians with regard to. Saturnian Verse, that some alleged that seven different feet might be introduced, while others allowed any one of the twelve dissyllabic and trisyllabic feet to find a place.

Atilius curiously enough asserts that he could scarcely produce an example of Saturnian Verse from Naevius, although he afterwards quotes two, both of which are given by Victorinus.

The extreme uncertainty which prevailed with regard to the latitude allowed in the composition of this verse is sufficiently proved by the different specimens fabricated as examples by Atilius in imitation of various Greek measures.

If we refer to those grammarians who have mentioned the Saturnian measure in a more cursory manner, we shall not find our ideas become more clear, although most of them adopt the view of Terentianus. In the Fragmentum de Metris-ascribed in the collection of Putsch, to Censorinus —we read (p. 2727)- ${ }^{2}$

[^146]
## Numerus Saturnius-

Magnum numerum triumphat hostibus devictis.
Sunt qui hunc Archebolion vocant. Recipit pedem Spondeum, Iambum, Pyrrhichium, Chorium, Dactylum, Brachysyllabum, Anapaestum.

Plotius de Metris, p. $2650{ }^{1}$ - Ex hoc metro Trochaico scilicet et Iambico constat metrum Saturnium quod mixtum ideo nec inter species Iambicas posui nec inter Trochaicas. Constat autem ex Iambico dimetro catalectico Hipponactio amphicolo et tribus Trochaicis (l. Trochaeis), id est, Ithyphallico. Quo metro usi sunt Cyrides et Callimachus, et apud nos Naevius.

## De Metro Saturnio.

Saturnium compositum vel mixtum ex Iambico metro et Trochaico, Iambico dimetro amphicolo Hipponactio catalectico, et Trochaico dimetro brachycatalectico Ithyphallico, hoc est, tribus Trochaeis, composuit Naevius hoc modo-

> Ferunt pulchras creterras,
huc usque, Hipponactium amphicolum dimetrum catalecticum Iambicum est: nam quod sequitur Trochaicum dimetrum brachycatalectum Ithyphallicum, tale est-

> Aureas lepistas,
novissima syllaba indifferens. Totus versus sicFerunt pulchras creterras aureas lepistas;
et-

## Malum dabunt Metelli Naevio poetac;

et-

> Trahuntque siccas multas machinae carinas.

So also Mallius Theodorus de Metris (cap. v., § 12, ed. Gaisford)-
Metrum Iambicum Saturnium habet Iambicum tetrametrum colobon et tres trochaeos. Huius exemplum-

Merulae quod os vetustae mane dulce cantat;
where it is to be observed that he uses the term Tetrameter where other metrical writers employ Dimeter. (See above, p. 160). Lersch calls attention to the circumstance-which may, however, be accidental-that Mallius speaks of the Metrum Iambicum Saturnium, as if there were other Metra Saturnia, such as Metrum Anapaesticum Saturnium, and the like.

Exactly to the same effect are the words in the tract entitled, Ars de Centum Metris, which bears the name of Servius, p. $1825^{2}$ -

Saturnium constat dimetro Iambico catalectico et Ithyphallico, ut est hoc-

> Isis pererrat orbem crinibus profusis.

Servius, the commentator on Virgil, probably a person altogether different from the Servius just quoted, mentions Saturnian metre twice. In his note on Faunique pedem (G. I., 11), he quotes the passage from Varro given above; but his words, when expounding versibus incomptis ludunt (G.II.,385), are very remarkable :-id est, carminibus Saturnio metro compositis. Quod ad rhythmum solum vulgares componere consueverunt.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Cap. V., } \S 13,14 \text {, ed. Gaisford. } \quad{ }^{2} \text { Cap. IX., § 12, ed. Gaisford. }
$$

Acro, Porphyrio, and the scholiast of Cruquius, in their remarks on the passage in Horace, all agree in representing the Saturnius Numerus as the measure which the ancient Latins employed "sub rege Saturno"-thus throwing it back to the Mythic period.

Cicero, in the first Action against Verres (X., § 29), says, when addressing Metellus-Nam hoc Verrem dicere aiebant, te non fato ut ceteros ex vestra familia, sed opera sua consulem factum; on which we have a note of the scholiast who goes by the name of the Pseudo-Asconius (p. 140, ed. Orelli) :-

Dictum facete et contumeliose in Metellos antiquum Naevii est-
Fato Metelli Romae funt consules.
Cui tunc Metellus consul iratus versu responderat senario hypercatalecto, qui et Saturnius dicitur-

## Dabunt malum Metelli Naevio poetae.

De qua parodia subtiliter Cicero dixit-Te non fato ut ceteros ex vestra familia-where it will be observed that the line ascribed to Naevius is not a Saturnian Verse, but an Iambic Trimeter.

Lastly, Cicero, in his speech for Archias (XI., § 27)-Decimus quidem Brutus, summus vir et imperator, Accii, amicissimi sui, carminibus templorum ac monumentorum aditus exornavit suorum-on which we find the following note in the Scholia Bobiensia (p. 359, ed. Orelli):-Hic Brutus Gallaecus fuit cognomento ob res in Hispania non minus strenue quam feliciter gestas. Eius etiam nomini . . . . . ${ }^{1}$ poetae tragici exstat liber, cuius plurimos versus, quos Saturnios appellaverunt, vestibulo templi Martis superscripsit Brutus.

We may now pass on to the opinions of modern critics who, until a comparatively recent period, bestowed little attention on the matter; and, when they did advert to it, seem, generally speaking, to have acquiesced in the statements of Terentianus Maurus. Even the prince of English scholars, Bentley, had inquired so little into this subject, that he not only accepted the doctrine of Terentianus, that Saturnian Verse was borrowed from the Greek, but maintained that it was identical with the Asynartete Archilochian measure described by Hephaestio at the commencement of his xv. chapter (p. 91, ed. Gaisf.), consisting of an Anapaestic Dimeter Brachycatalectic followed by three Trochees, of which we have a specimen in its pure form in the lines-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Remeabat ab arce tyrannus | vultibus cruentis, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and with a Spondee in the first place in-
Quem non rationis egentem / vicit Archimedes, and even went so far as to assert-"The first that used Saturnian Verse among the Latins was Naevius, an old poet, before Ennius's time. ${ }^{1 / 2}$

Hermann, in his Elementa Doctrinae Metricae, published in the early part of the present century, entered much more fully into the question

[^147]than any of his predecessors. He held that the Romans derived this measure from the Etruscans, and that it was employed by the Roman poets before they had become acquainted with the literature of the Greeks. After reviewing the leading passages in the old grammarians, quoted above, he arrives at the conclusion that Saturnian metre, in its pure form, might be represented by the following scheme:-

which, it will be observed, exactly accords with the views of Terentianus. Hermann, however, admitted that the early bards employed it under a rude form-(netrum illud quum satis rude ab antiquis vatibus et poetis fusum esset)-and that the following licenses were indulged in freely :-

1. A Spondee was admitted in any place.
2. A Dactyl was admitted in any place, except the last.
3. The verse was Asynartete, that is, as already explained (p. 212), the last syllable of the first half of the verse might be long or short, at pleasure.
4. Not only was the verse Asynartete, but, contrary to the usage of the Greek poets in like cases, the last syllable of the first half of the line might, if considered long, be resolved. According to this view, the original scheme would be thus modified:-


He then proceeds to adjust, according to these views, the Chaunt of the Fratres Arvales (see above, p. 243); the Prophecies of Marcius (Liv. XXV., 12, Macrob. S. I., 17); the "Tabula Regilli" (Liv. XL., 52); and the prophecy brought from Delphi in the time of the war against Veii, (Liv. V., 16), which he supposes was translated into Saturnian Verse by some of the earlier writers of Roman history. It appears to me evident, however, that Hermann regarded his "restorations" and arrangements of these ancient documents merely as an exercise of ingenuity, and did not himself seriously believe, and expect others to believe, that he had actually succeeded in exhibiting them under their primitive shape. He then undertakes-what might have been regarded as a more hopeful task-to examine and adjust the scattered remains of Livius Andronicus and Naevius, who were unquestionably distinguished as writers of Saturnian Verse. But, after a great display of learning, acuteness, and dexterity, he has serious misgivings as to the real amount of success achieved; for he winds up by the acknowledgment-Nemo non videt, quam incerta dubitationisque plena res sit tam brevia, tamque corrupta fragmenta in numeros suos redigere.

Niebuhr, deeply impressed with the truth of his own theory, that the materials for the early history of Rome had been derived from a series of old national ballads, was indefatigable in his search for poetry of this description, and confidently propounded the somewhat startling doctrine,
that the epitaphs ${ }^{3}$ upon the tombs of the Scipios were ancient Naeniae, expressed in verse, and that this verse was, and could be no other than the ancient Saturnian. He proceeds to correct (?) the inscriptions, and to arrange them, so as to suit his own views. ${ }^{2}$ His idea was caught up, and his example was followed by many of the leading scholars of the age, who, carrying out the principles of their master, seized upon every scrap of old Latin which could anywhere be found, and strove to torture what had previously been regarded as plain, honest, vigorous prose, into a shadowy semblance of halting verse. We have seen that the Chaunt of the Fratres Arvales had been subjected to this process by Hermann; he was followed by Grotefend and Klausen, each of whom, as might have been anticipated, gave a metrical version different from that of Hermann and of each other; ${ }^{3}$ but this kind of absurdity reached a climax when the gibberish found in the MSS. of Varro (L. L. VII., § 26), as a fragment of the song of the Salii, and which stands in the edition of Müller as-

## Cozevlodoizeso; omnia vero adpatvla coemisse iamcvsianes dvo miscervies dva Ianvsve vet pos melios evmrectm . . . .

was gravely remodelled, so as to form what was considered to be an intelligible sentence, the words distributed into lines, the syllables properly accentuated, and the whole presented as an undoubted specimen of Saturnian Verse.

But although it has become the fashion to speak of various productions as couched in Saturnian Verse, as if this were an indisputable fact, few stop to inquire into the evidence for the numerous assumptions upon which this assertion rests, or to ascertain whether the scholars who use the term understand the same thing when they employ the same words. Let us then pursue the investigation, and for this purpose we shall confine ourselves to the epitaphs on the Scipios, because these have been pronounced, upon the
${ }^{1}$ That is Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, as given above, p. 246-249.
${ }^{2}$ These views varied at different periods of his life; for the arrangement presented in his first edition differs somewhat from that given in the third.
${ }^{3}$ To give one example of the discordant riews entertained by Niebuhr and some of his most distinguished followers, we may notice the inscription placed by T. Quinctius on the base of the statue of Jupiter, brought by him to Rome after the capture of Praeneste. The words upon this "Tabula," according to Livy (VI., 29), were-

Iupiter atque Divi omnes hoc dederunt ut T. Quinctius dictator oppida novems caperet.

Niebuhr, combining these with a passage in Festus (s.v. Trientem tertiunn, p. 363, cd. Müll.), thus reproduces them in a metrical form-

Iúppiter átquue Divi omnés hoc dedérunt Ut Titius Quinctius dictátor Románus Oppida Nóvem diebús novem cáperet.
But K. O. Müller adjusts them "paullo aliter," to use tlie words of a German critic-
Iovis atque divoe conctoe hoc dederunt Tito
Quinctio dictatori ut per dies novenos
Novem urbes capsit atque
Tum decumam Praenesten.
(See Gotting., Gelelinte Anzeigen, 1820, No. 138, p. 1376.)
highest authority, to be the most unquestionable specimens of Saturnian Verse.

1. The supposition of Niebuhr that these epitaphs are Naeniae, is not only unsupported by any evidence that such funeral songs were ever inscribed upon tombs, but is entirely, in the present case, at variance with all which we know regarding the ancient Roman dirges, which are represented as having been rude and frivolous wails, chaunted to the music of the flute by the hired mourning women (Praeficae)-a description altogether inapplicable to the simple dignity which characterize these epitaphs.
2. There is no external evidence that these epitaphs were written in any kind of verse. It is urged indeed by Niebuhr-
(1.) That the four short horizontal scores or hyphens, noticed above, as occurring in No. 1, indicate a division into lines; and-
(2.) That in the epitaph on Lucius and on the flamen, "there are as many lines as verses, which may be recognized with as much certainty from the great difference in the length of them, as the elegies on more recent monuments."

But as far as No. 1 is concerned, the first part of the inscription, upon this view, becomes entirely impracticable; for the words preceding the first ( - ) are,

## Cornmervs Lvcivs Scipio Barbatvs Gfarvod Patre Prognatvs Fortis Vir Sapiensqve-

which he is obliged to cut up into two lines, and at the same time has resorted to the strong measure of throwing out the word Patre altogether as an interpolation of the stone-cutter. Moreover, he does not in any way notice that a whole line and a-half of the inscription has been deliberately chiselled out at the commencement.

Again, if the sculptured lines in Nos. 3 and 4 indicate the division into verses, it is remarkable that, as far as No. 4 is concerned, neither Niebuhr himself, nor Müller, nor Hermann, have attempted to preserve these lines in their metrical arrangement.
3. It is, à priori, highly improbable, that if a metrical epitaph had been inscribed upon the tomb of the son of the elder Africanus (No. 4), Saturnian Verse would have been enployed. By this time Greek versification had been introduced, and was viewed with favour, while Ennius, who first freely employed Greek metres, and who spoke with undisguised contempt of the rude measures of his predecessors, was, as is well known, the chosen friend of the Scipios, and his remains were allowed to rest in their family sepulchre.
4. While many distinguished scholars have assumed that three of these inscriptions (Nos. 1, 3, 4) are in Saturnian metre, they all differ from each other as to the division into verses, and as to the mode in which these verses are to be scanned; and all are obliged to have recourse to changes in the text. Niebuhr arranges $1,3,4$, in lines, ${ }^{1}$ and gives some hints as to the prosody of particular words, but he does not indicate his

[^148]views with regard to the structure of the verse. Elsewhere ${ }^{1}$ he says that the real Saturnian Verse is quite different from that described by Terentianus, and that he intended to prove this in a distinct treatise. He then goes on to observe-"The pervading character of the Saturnian Verse is this, that it must consist of a fixed number of trisyllabic feet. Generally speaking, there are four of them, in which either Bacchics or Cretics interchange with Spondees. Sometimes the Cretics and sometimes the Bacchics predominate. When kept distinct, they have a very fine movement, but they are usually very much mingled together, so that it is difficult to make them out."
K. O. Müller has given an arrangement of No. 4 differing altogether from that of Niebuhr, and which he must therefore have scanned upon a different principle.

Dr. Donaldson, in his Varronianus, agrees nearly with Niebuhr in the arrangement of Nos. 1 and 3, but follows Müller in No. 4. His views, with regard to the metre, we shall give in his own words :-"It is, perhaps, not too much to say, that this metre, which may be defined in its pure form as a brace of Trochaic Tripodiae, preceded by an Anacrusis, is the most obvious and natural of all rhythmical intonations."

It is to be remarked, that the above account, as far as structure goes, is identical with that propounded by Terentianus and Hermann; according to the latter, the Saturnian Verse, in its pure form, is represented by the scheme-

$$
\smile-1 \smile-1 \smile-1 \smile 1 \mid-\smile 1-\smile 1-\cup 1
$$

According to Dr. Donaldson, by-

$$
\smile 1-\smile 1-\smile i-\smile 11-\smile 1-\smile 1-\smile 1
$$

which comes to the same thing, although the rhythm, in the two cases, would be different.

Lastly, Hermann, who, as observed above, has a chapter on Saturnian Verse in his Elementa Doctrinae Metricae, but who, in that work, makes no allusion to the epitaphs on the Scipios; in the second edition of his Epitome Doctrinae Metricae, published at Leipsic in 1844, not long before his death, ranks these inscriptions among the most indisputable examples (certissima) of this measure. It is true that he extends still farther the ample latitude granted in his original work to the early Roman bards, and in fact his words imply that they were completely unfettered by the laws of prosody, but he still clings to the notion that their lines might be connected with the Greek metrical system-Sic igitur composita fuerunt etiam Latinorum antiquissima carmina, ut aliquo quocumque modo numerum referrent Iambici dimetri catalectici, quem sequeretur Trochaicus dimeter brachycatalectus.

If any importance can be attached to a name in a matter so intricate and doubtful, the opinion of Hermann, who combined great critical sagacity with profound scholarship, and who, during a long life of study,

[^149]had directed his attention specially to ancient metres, is deserving of the greatest respect and deference. We shall therefore give the three $(1,3,4)$ inscriptions as arranged and accentuated by him, and, after having pointed out the violence to which we must resort before we can force them to accord "aliquo quocumque modo" with the standard set up by himself, we shall leave the reader to draw his own inference. It must be remembered, that whatever license we admit with regard to resolved feet, although we may allow a Spondee, a Dactyl, or a Tribrach, to be substituted for the proper foot in any place, even the last, we can never introduce a Trochee into the first half of the line, nor an Iambus into the second half, without violating at once the natural principles and the artificial rules recognized in the structure of Iambic and Trochaic Verses. We have numbered the lines of the three inscriptions continuously for convenience in referring to them-

## 1.

Epitaph on Scipio Barbatus, see above, p. 247.
(1.) Cornélius Lucius Scípio Barbatus
(2.) Gnaevó patre prognatus fórtis vir sapiensque
(3.) Cuiús forma virtuti párissuma fuit.
(4.) Consúl censor aedilis quí fuit apud vos.
(5.) Taurásiam Cesaunam Sámniumque cepit.
(6.) Subígit omnem Lucanam óbsidesque abducit.

## 8.

Epitaph on Lucius Scipio, son of Barbatus, see above, p. 248.
(7.) Hunc únum plurimi conséntiunt Romani
(8.) Romaé bonorum optumúm fuisse virum
(9.) Lucíum Scipionem filium Barbati,
(10.) Consúl censor aedilis híc fuit apud vos.
(11.) Hic cépit Corsicam Alériamque urbem.
(12.) Dedít dicavit Tempestátibus aedem merito.

$$
4 .
$$

Epitaph on Publius Scipio, Flamen Dialis, see above, p. 249.
(13.) $\ldots$..... qui ápicem insigne
(14.) Diális flaminis gessísti, mors perfecit,
(15.) Tuá ut essent omnia brévia, honos, fama,
(16.) Virtúsque gloria atque ingénium quibus si
(17.) In lónga licuisset tibi utier vita,
(18.) Facíle superasses glóriam maiorum
(19.) Quaré lubens te in gremium Scípio recepit
(20.) Terrá Publi prognatum Públio Corneli.
(1.) We must pronounce the praenomen Lucius in this and in (9.) Lucĩus.
(2,). We must lengthen the last syllable in patrĕ, and pronounce sapiens as a dissyllable, sapyens.
(3.) We must lengthen the last syllable in formă, we must lengthen the first and third syllables in părissüma, and write füvit-at least I see no other way of scanning the line.
(4.) We must lengthen the last syllable in censorr, and the last syllable in futt, or rather fuvit.
(5.) This line is unexceptionable, but it has been made so by adding the word que on conjecture.
(6.) We must lengthen the second syllable in subigit.
(7.) This line is unexceptionable, if we admit that the concluding word, Romani, has been correctly supplied.
(8.) To make up this line, a whole word, Romae, has been inserted without a shadow of authority; the um in bonorum is not elided; and the first syllable in v̌rum is made long.
(9.) Here, as in line (1.), the second syllable in Lučัum is lengthened.
(10.) The same remarks apply to this as to line (4.), with the addition, that the concluding words, apud vos, have been inserted upon conjecture.
(11.) The last syllable in Corsicam is not elided, and is considered long; and the que at the end of Aleria is also left without elision.
(12.) A whole word, dicavit, has been inserted here upon pure conjecture; and, even with this addition, the line appears to me most refractory.
(13.) There is not the slightest appearance in the original tablet of any portion of it having been broken off or obliterated; and it is obvious that nothing can be more unlikely than that a metrical inscription should have commenced in the middle of a line. It appears, moreover, from Hermann's accentuation, that he intended the first syllable of ăpicem to be regarded as long.
(14.) This line, picked out in this manner, is unexceptionable.
(15.) As I gather from Hermann's accentuation, he intends the last syllable in tuă to be long, and not to be elided: omnia to be pronounced as a dissyllable, omnya; the first syllable in brĕvia to be made long, and so also the first syllable in hơnos.
(16.) The second syllable in ingĕnium must be lengthened.
(17.) The first syllable in licuisset and in talli must be made long, and utier pronounced as a dissyllable, utyer.
(18.) Here the second syllable in facile, and the first in süperases, must be lengthened.
(19.) We may scan this line, either by admitting a Dactyl in the third place, or by pronouncing grëmĭum as a dissyllable, grēnyum.
(20.) The last syllable in terr $\breve{a}$ must be lengthened.

Upon reviewing the result of this examination, we may well ask whether Streuber was in jest when he exclaims-
"Quis est, qui nunc obloquatur Hermanno revocanti tam facile ad metrum vulgare versiculos, neglecto quidem accentu naturali, sed minime contorte, paucis tantum supplementis adiectis"-although a good deal is certainly inplied in the expression-neglecto quidem accentu naturali. He speaks more plainly, however, in another passage-

Quid enim mirum, si versificatores isti ex libidine hiatu utebantur, syllabas in arsi producebant, breves pro longis usurpabant, longas in breves solvebant, et alia huiuscemodi, cum antiquioribus poetis Romanis omnem prosodiae et artis metricae licentiam dandam esse omnes uno ore consentiunt.

Hermann himself admits almost as much-
Sic igitur composita fuerunt etiam Latinorum antiquissima carmina, ut aliquo quocumque modo numerum referrent Iambici dimetri catalectici, quem sequeretur Trochaicus dimeter brachycatalectus, nec iusta mensura syllabarum observata, neque hiatu vitato, nee nullis admissis elisionibus, aliquando etiam Tribracho vel Dactylo in Trochaei locum recepto, idque in ipso ultimo pede (p. 221, Epit. D. M.)
It is remarkable that it should not have occurred to writers upon this subject that, if we take a verse which, in its pure form, is supposed to consist of three Iambi and a Catalectic syllable, followed by three Trochees, and if we allow-

1. The substitution of a Spondee for the proper foot in any place, even the last;
2. The resolution of either of the long syllables of the Spondee in any place, even the last;
3. The Catalectic syllable to be long or short, or even, if considered long, to be resolved into two short ;
4. Elision to be admitted or dispensed with, as may suit our convenience;
5. A short vowel to be made long, when necessary;
6. A word to be inserted here and there upon a pinch-
there could be no difficulty in cutting up any page of Livy, Cicero, or Tacitus, into a system of unexceptionable Saturnians; and this is what Hermann, misled, probably, by Atilius Fortunatianus, has actually done in the case of the Tabula Regilli (Liv. XL.,52). ${ }^{1}$ Niebuhr himself, if we can trust to the reports published of his lectures, repudiated the notion that Saturnian Verse had any connection with Greek metres.
"The ancient Romans, before they adopted the Greek poetic system, made use of the Saturnian Verse. Horace says of it, -

## horridus ille

## Defluxit, rumerus Saturnius,

and several old grammarians have given accounts of it. Atilius Fortunatianus, and others among them, who knew nothing about its structure, stuck to a couple of verses which had been preserved, particularly to the following, in which, according to the views which then prevailed, a hypercatalectical Senarius makes its appearance-

## Malum dabunt Metelli Naevio poetae.

Terentianus Maurus, who belongs to the end of the third century, spoke of it when treating of the Anacreontic Verse, because the first division of the Saturnian bears some resemblance to it. But the real Saturnian Verse is quite a different one, which I intend shortly to prove in a detailed
${ }^{1}$ Hermann, in his larger work (1816), calls this-" Memorabile Saturnii carminis exemplum ;" but in the second ed. of his E'pitome (1844) fairly gives it up-" Abstineo manum a Tabula Regilli, quam Livius XL., 52, exhibuit, quoniam nimis corrupta scriptura est, quam ut sine melioribus libris videatur restitui posse."
treatise. It has many forms, and is altogether distinct from Greek metres. The Latin term for Rhythmus, which in later times only was applied to Greek metres, is Numeri. But the Greek metre is based on music and quantity; while in theirs, the Romans really counted, the syllables being little measured, or rather, not at all: a certain degree of rhythm was, however, kept: Our ancestors, in the same way, had no idea of short and long syllables in the Greek manner; and in the Old Latin Church hymns, likewise, short syllables are made long, and vice versa. Plautus and Terence, also, in their Iambic and Trochaic verses, really observed the rhythmical measure only, and not the quantity. This is the case with all northern people;" and then he goes on, as appears to me, with great inconsistency, to speak in the words quoted above (p. 304) of the Saturnian Verse as made up of Bacchics, Cretics, and Spondees,-thus carrying us back again to the idea of Greek metres.

What, then, are the conclusions which we are justified in forming with regard to this much perplexed question? They may be briefly stated as follows:-

1. That the Romans had national poetry, more or less rude, before they became acquainted with Greek literature, is indisputable.
2. That this poetry was expressed in what, in the age of Cicero, were called Saturnian Verses, seems to be equally certain.
3. There is no evidence, in so far as we can appeal to the testimony of the classical writers, to support the supposition that the terms Saturnii Versus, as used by Varro, and Saturnius Numerus, as employed by Horace, were confined to one single species of verse. On the contrary, it is highly probable that the old ballads of Rome, as of our own and other countries, were composed in a variety of measures. This opinion is distinctly expressed by Nicbuhr, who, when quoting what he regards as the "verses" of the Lex horrendi carminis found in Liv. I., 26-

> Duúmviri pérduelliónem iúdicent.
> Si a duúmviris provocárit
> Provocátióne certáto; ;
> Si víncent, caput óbnúbito;
> Infélici árbore réste suspéndito:
> Vérberato íntra vel éxtra pomoérum-
remarks, "Livy has here preserved a fragment of the poem, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ in the lyrical numbers of the old Roman verse," and adds in his note, "The description of the nature of the old Roman versification, and of the great variety of its lyrical metres, which continued in use down to the middle of the seventh century of the City, and were carried to a high pitch of perfec-tion-I reserve, until I publish a chapter of an ancient grammarian on the Saturnian Verse, which settles the question." ${ }^{\prime 2}$

[^150]4. Hence, even if we have plausible grounds for believing that some given specimen of old Latin is couched in Saturnian Verse, we have no right to take it for granted that it must be expressed in that one particular species of Saturnian Verse with which we suppose ourselves to be acquainted.
5. The grammarians of the Empire, having found one or two scattered lines ascribed to Naevius, which they conceived might be scanned according to the laws of Greek versification, and knowing that the great work of Naevius was written in Saturnian Verses, rushed to the conclusion that the Romans had borrowed the Saturnian measure from the Greeks, and that these lines exhibited the model upon which they were constructed. But the assertion was scarcely hazarded ere they found themselves involved in inextricable confusion. They had the greatest difficulty in finding examples, and such as could be procured proved altogether refractory. Hence the numerous inconsistent forms proposed by them for this Protean verse; hence their contradictions of each other and of themselves; hence the complaints of the rudeness of the early Roman bards, whose lines, sometimes too long and sometimes too short, could not be made to correspond with the imaginary standard set up; hence the extorted acknowledgment that the whole subject was involved in obscurity and doubt, ${ }^{7}$ and hence the desperate expedient of admitting all dissyllabic and trisyllabic feet without distinction. Indeed, Terentianus at the outset is obliged to allow, that the early Roman poets in copying the Greek measure could be regarded as having only rudem sonum secuti, that is, as having merely caught up a rough notion of the rhythm, an. admission which in reality amounts to an unconditional surrender of his position, since it implies that it was impossible to scan these ancient lines according to the received laws of prosody. Hence, whatever may be the character of Saturnian Verses, we must entirely dismiss the idea that they are in any way connected with or subject to the laws of the Greek metrical system.
6. We believe that the early Roman bards, in their Saturnian Verses, never advanced beyond the first stage in poetical composition, when lines are formed and modulated by the ear alone, which, in all nations, acknowledges the pleasing effect produced by certain cadences, and by the recurrence of certain combinations of sound at regular intervals. The second stage, in which these pleasing effects are analyzed, and the combinations which produce the most pleasing effects ascertained and defined, and rules deduced by observing which similar effects may be produced with certainty, they never reached; for as soon as their literature began to receive development, they adopted the metrical system of another country, that system which the Greeks had, in a long course of ages, and during centuries of high mental cultivation, elaborated and brought to perfection. What Quintilian says upon this matter is well worthy of being remembered (IX., iv., 114) :-

[^151]poema nemo dubitaverit imperito quodam initio fusum, et aurium mensura, et similiter decurrentium spatiorum observatione esse generatum; mox in eo repertos pedes . . . ante enim carmen ortum est quam observatio carminis, ideoque illud

## _- Fauni vatesque canebant.

To this we may add the remarkable observation of Servius in his note on the words Versibus incomtis, in Virg. G. II., 385 :-

Nec non Ausonii, Troia gens missa, coloni
Versibus incomtis ludunt risuque soluto.
"Id est carminibus Saturnio metro compositis, quod ad rhythmum solum componere vulgares consueverunt." It is curious that Hermann, after he had endeavoured to arrange the chaunt of the Fratres Arvales, should quote both of the above passages as applicable to the case he had been considering, and yet, with the truth before him, should go on pertinaciously struggling to force the prophecies of Marcius and historical inscriptions into accordance with the laws of Greek verse. In the second edition of his Epitome Doctrinae Metricae, in which he must be regarded as expressing the deliberate opinions formed during a long life of study, in addition to the passages already quoted, we find the following :-
"Quia veterrimi satis habuisse videntur, si versus aliquo modo his numeris (i.e., the Saturnian of Terentianus Maurus) similes esse viderentur. Pronuntiant illi verba sic, ut in quotidiano sermone consueverunt, includuntque etiam numero eo qui illius sermonis proprius est, hoc est vel iambico vel trochaico, idque sic, ut fere numerent magis syllabas quam ponderent." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
7. Since, therefore, all the most able scholars who have closely investigated the character of Saturnian Verse have been obliged-some of them with great reluctance-to acknowledge that it has no connection with Greek metres, and cannot, without manifest violence, be scanned according to the laws of Prosody observed by the later Roman poets, but depended for its effect upon the rhythm resulting from the pronunciation of a certain number of syllables in a certain cadence; since, moreover, we know absolutely nothing of the ordinary pronunciation and accentuation of Latin words independent of their quantity as deduced from the works of the poets; and since we possess no unquestionable specimen of an ancient composition in Saturnian measure, divided into lines, it seems to follow that we have no data whatever which might enable us to form an idea or express an opinion with regard to the nature of these primitive Italian rhythms.
8. It will be urged that we ought to make an exception in favour of that rhythm which results from pronouncing such a line as-

## Malúm dabúnt Metélli Náevió poétae,

according to the rules of quantity as observed in later times, and the
${ }^{1}$ If I understand the meaning of this expression aright, it is entirely incompatible with the idea of resolved feet, which result from "weighing" syllables instead of "counting" them, and therefore renders nugatory the system proposed by Hermann himself.
rather because the rhythm in question may fairly be said to be naturally pleasing. Lord Macaulay has pointed out that it is to be found in the poem of the "Cid," and in the lay of the Niebelungs, and that it may at once be recognized in our own nursery rhyme-

The Quéen was ín her chámber éating bréad and hóney;
but the evidence to prove that this was really one of the Saturnian rhythms is very feeble. It is a most suspicious circumstance that Atilius, who speaks as if he had consulted the work of Naevius for the express purpose, should state that the measures of that poet were so irregular that he could scarcely find any examples to suit his purpose, and eventually gives one, which is adduced by Victorinus also :-

Novem Iovis concordes filiae sorores;
and another, also found in Victorinus, but not ascribed by him to Nae-vius:-

Ferunt pulchras creterras aureas lepistas. ${ }^{1}$
It must appear very strange, that if the great work of Naevius was really composed in a rhythm which even "aliquo quocunque modo" resembled that described by Terentianus and his followers, the grammarian should have with difficulty (vix) been able to discover a single line which he could quote in illustration.

As to the favourite example-

## Malum dabunt Metelli Naevio poetae,

which certainly presents the Saturnian Verse of the grammarians in a polished and perfectly pure form, ${ }^{2}$ I quite agree with Lersch, in thinking that both it and the well-moulded comic Iambic Trimeter by which it is accompanied -

## Fato Metelli Romae fiunt consules,

belong to an age subsequent to that of Cicero, and were fabricated to explain his allusion (see above, p. 300) to the unlucky lampoon which cost Naevius so dear.

[^152]There still remains the epitaph on Naevius preserved by Aulus Gellius, who tells us that it was written by the poet himself-

> Mortalis immortalis flere si foret fas
> Flerent divae Camoenae Naevium poetam
> Itaque postquam est Orcino traditus thesauro
> Obliti sunt Romae loquier Latina lingua.

The difficulty in respect to these lines, supposing them to be genuine, is that they are too good. When we remember that Naevius was strictly the contemporary of Lucius Scipio, son of Barbatus, and compare the language as it appears in the above verses with that in the inscription on the tomb, we must feel convinced, either that the epitaph on Naevius was the work of a later hand, or that it had undergone so many changes before it assumed its present aspect, that it cannot be received in evidence. Indeed, the numerous variations in the MSS., both as to the words and their arrangement, prove that it must have been frequently tampered with.

## TABLE I .

Catalogue of the different species and varieties of verse found in the classical pobts, and of their combinations with each other.

A. Acatalectic ; B. Brachycatalectic ; C. Catalectic ; H. Hypercatalectic.

The small numbers refer to the pages where each species is described.

1. Dactylic Hexameter A,

| ii. Dactylic Fentameter A, | 170 |
| :--- | :--- |
| iii. Dactylic Tetrameter A, | 175 |

iv. Dactylic Trimeter C, $\quad 177$
v. Dactylic Trimeter H, $\quad 176$
vi. Dactylic Trimeter with a base, 176
vii. Dactylic Dimeter A, 177
viii. Choriambic Tetrameter A, 178
ix. Choriambic Trimeter A,

178
x. Choriambic Dimeter A, $\quad 179$
xi. Choriambic Dimeter C, $\quad 180$
xii. Epichoriambic Trimeter C, 182
xiii. Epichoriambic Tetrameter C, 186
xiv. Aristophanic Choriambic Dimeter C,

186
xv. Anapaestic Dimeter A, $\quad 187$
xvi. Anapaestic Dimeter C,

188
xvii. Anapaestic Monometer A, 189

- xviii. Ionic a maiore Tetrameter B,

189
xix. Ionic a minore Tetrameter A, 190

-     - xx. Iambic Trimeter A, 191
- xxi. Iambic Trimeter Scazon, 195
- xxii. Comic Iambic Trimeter,

196

- xxiii. Iambic Trimeter C,

197
xxiv. Iambic Dimeter A, ..... 197
xxv. Iambic Dimeter H, ..... 198
xxvi. Iambic Dimeter C, ..... 198
xxvii. Iambic Tetrameter C, ..... 199
xxviii. Trochaic Tetrameter C, ..... 200
xxix. Trochaic Dimeter C, ..... 201
Mixed Verses.
xxx. Greater Archilochian, ..... 202
xxxi. Alcaic Decasyllabic, ..... 203
xxxii. Phalaecian Hendecasyllabic, $203=$
xxxiii. Pseudo-Phalaecian, ..... 204
xxxiv. Choriambico-Trochaic Tetra-meter B,204
xxxv. Alcaic Hendecasyllabic, ..... 205
xxxvi. Alcaic Enneasyllabic, ..... 205
Asynartete Verses.
xxxvii. Elegiambic, No. 1, ..... 212
xxxviii. Elegiambic, No. 2, ..... 213
xxxix. Priapeian, ..... 213
Polyschematistic Verses.xl. Galliambic,215

## Combinations of the Above.

## 1. Carmina Dicola Distropka.

xli. Metrum Elegiacum, composed of i. and ii. xlii. Metrum Alcmanium primum, i. followed by iii. xliii. Metrum Archilochium primum, i. followed by iv. sliv. Metrum Pythiambicum primum, i. and xxiv.
xlv. Metrum Pythiambicum secundum, i. and xx.
xlvi. Metrum Archilochium secundum, i. and xxxviii.
xlvii. Metrum Alcmanium secundum, iii. and iv.
xlviii. Metrum Asclepiadeum secundum, x. and ix. ${ }^{1}$
xlix. Metrum Sapphicum maius, xiv. and xiii.

1. Metrum Anapaesticum Tragicum, xv. and xvii. (and sometimes xvi.) ${ }^{2}$
li. Metrum Iambicum secundum, xx. and xxiv.
lii. Metrum Archilochium tertium, $x x$. and xxxvii.
liii. Metrum Iambicum tertium, xxi. and xxiv.
liv. Metrum Trochaicum secundum, $x$ xviii. and $\times x .{ }^{3}$
lv. Metrum Trochaicum tertium, xxix. and xxiii.
lvi. Metrum Archilochium quartum, xxx. and xxiii.

## 2. Carmina Dicola Tristropha.

lvii. Metrum Anapaesticum secundum, xv.; xv.; and vii.

## 3. Carmina Dicola Tetrastropha.

lviii. Metrum Asclepiadeum tertium, ix.; ix.; ix.; and x.
lix. Metrum Glyconium, x. ; x. ; x. ; and xi.
lx. Metrum Sapphicum, xii.; xii.; xii.; and vii.
lxi. Metrum Trochaicum quartum, xxvi. ; xxvi. ; xxvi. ; and xxxiv. (See p. 199.)
4. Carmina Dicola Pentastropha.
lxii. Metrum Glyconium secundum, x.; x.; x. ; x.; and xi.

## 5. Carmina Tricola Tristropha.

Some rank in this class the metres of Hor. Epod. xi. and xiii. by considering the two members of the Asynartetes as separate verses. According to the usual arrangement, the former belongs to lii. and the latter to xlvi.

## 6. Carmina Tricola Tetrastropha.

lxiii. Metrum Asclepiadeum quartum, ix. ; ix. ; xi.; x.
lxiv. Metrum Alcaicum, xxxv.; xxxv.; xxxvi.; xxxi.

[^153]
## TABLE II.

Catalogue of the works of the Latin classic poets (excepting the dramatic writers), with references to Table I., pointing out thie metre in which rach piece is composed.

Lucreties, De rerum natura Libri sex,
Catullus, Carm. 1, 2, 3,
$5,6,7,9,10,12,13,14$, $15,16,21,23,24,26,27$,
$28,32,33,35,36,38,40$,
xxxii. $41,42,43,45,46,47,48$, $49,50,53,54,56,57,58$,

Carm. 55,
Carm. 4, 20, 29, 52,
xxxii.
and xxxiii.
xx.

Carm. 8, 22, 31, 37, 39, 44, $\} \quad$ xxi.
59,60,
Carm. 11, 51,
Carm. 17, 18, 19,
Carm. 25,
Carm. 30,
Carm. 34,
Carm. 61,
Carm. 62, 64,
Carm. 63, S'acliambic
Carm. 65...116,
The different pieces are numbered as
they stand in the edition of Doering.
Virgilius, Bucolica, Georgica,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Fneis; (Ciris), (Culex) } \\ \text { (Moretum), }\end{array}\right\}$
(Copa), (Catalect.), 1, 6, 9, $10,11,12,13,14$,
(Catalect.), 2, 7,
(Catalect.), $3,4,8$,
(Catalect.), 5 ,
Horatios, Satirae, Epistolae,
Ars Poetica,
Od. Lib i. 1 ; Lib. iii. 30 ; Lib. iv., 8 ,
Od. Lib. i. 2, 10, 12, 20, 22, 25, 50, 32, 38,
Od. Lib. ii. 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 16,
Od. Lib. iii. $8,11,14,18$, 20, 22, 27,
Od. Lib. iv. 2, 6, 11, Carmen Secul.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Od. Lib. i. } 3,13,19,36, \\ \text { Od. Lib. iii. } 9,15,19,24, \\ \text { 25, 28; Lib. iv., } 1,3,\end{array}\right\}$
Od. Lib. i. 4,
Od. Lib. i. $5,14,21,23 ;$ )
Od. Lib. iii. 7, 13 ; Lib. $\}$ 1xiii. iv. 13 ,

Od. Lib. i. 6, 15, 24, 33 ;
Od. Lib. ii. 12,
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${ }^{1}$ This little piece is composed in Pyrrize Verse, and consists of an unbroken succession of short syllables:-

## Et amita Veneria properiter obiit <br> Cui brevia mela modifica recino <br> Cinis uti placidula supera vigeat <br> Loca tacita celeripes adeat Erebi.

The first and fourth lines are Tetrameters Catalectic; the second and third, Tetrameters Brachycatalectic.

## INDEX.

| Page | Page | Bipes, ..................... 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A, final in ablatives of first | -, quantity of accusative | Bis, ............................ 32,35 |
| declension, .......... . 37 , 39 |  | $B i$ and $B i$ (in composition), 87 |
| - final in imperatives of | Anapaestic Dimeter, ...... 187 | Brachy catalectic, ........... 160 |
| first conjugation, ..37, 39, 42 - tual in inceclinable | Monometer,.... 189 | C, letter, .................. 223 |
| words . 37,39 | Anas, ................ ... 68 | c, final, ..................... 63 |
| final in vocative of Greek | Anchises, .................. 72 | Caesura,..... ..........105, 109 |
| nouns, ............... 37,39 |  | Caesura, lengthening of a |
| Abicgnus, .............. 125 | Anomalous Verses, ........ 215 | syllable by, .........107, 109 |
| Abies-Abiete-A bictibus, 125 | Antea, ................37,39 | Caesural syllable, .........105 |
| Abiicio-Abicio, ...........129 | Anteacta, \&c.,............. 118 | Caius, ...................17, 21 |
| Abscidit,................... 97 | Anteambulo, .............. 118 | Calefacio, .................. 92 |
| Abstraxe, ...............139, 140 | Antehac, .................. 118 | Calefacto, ................ 92 |
| Academia, ................ 26 | Anteire, \&c.,.............. 118 | Calpe, ................... 42 |
| Acatalectic, ................ 160 | Antithesis, ................ 154 |  |
| Accent, ................. 268 -271 | Antium, .................... 118 | Catalectic, ................. 160 |
| Accesstis, .................. 139 | Aperio, .................... 81 | Causidicus, ................. 92 |
| Accitus, .................... 100 | Aphaeresis, ................ ${ }^{53}$ | Cave, ...................... 45 |
| Achaia,................ ... 237 | Aросоре, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 153 | Ce (enclitic),....s. ........ 32 |
| Achaias, ................... 237 | Apulia, .................... 151 | Cecidi, .. ............. ...... 95 |
| Achaicus, ................. 237 | Apulicus, .................. 151 | Celtiber,.. ................ ${ }^{67}$ |
| Achaides, ................. 237 | Apulus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 151 | Cerea, .................... 118 |
| Achais, .................. . . 237 | Aquileia, .................. 143 | Cesse, .................... 140 |
| Achaius, .................. 237 | Arabs, ...................... 131 | Chalcedon, ................ ${ }^{67}$ |
| Achati, .................... ${ }^{136}$ | Arabia, ..................... 131 | Charisin, ................. 109 |
| Achille, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 45,146 | Arabus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Choliambus, ............... 195 |
| Achillei, ...........10, 146, 284 | Aranei,...................... 118 | Chorea, ................... 25 |
| Achilli, ............136, 146, 284 | Archaisms, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 135 | Choriambic Dimeter, ...... 179 |
| Achillis, .................. 146 | Archilochian, greater, .... 202 | Tetrameter, ... 178 |
| Achivi, .................... 237 | Argui, .................. 152 | Trimeter, |
| Adhuc, ....................6 63 | Aries-Arietis-Ariete, .... 125 |  |
| Adiicio-Adicio, ............. 129 | Arietat, $\qquad$ | meter, ...............17. 204 |
| Aerei, ......................... 118 | Arum, genitives in, con- |  |
| Agnitus, ................... 92 | tracted, . . . . . . . . . . . . 138 |  |
| $A i$ in the genitive of the first | Arvales Fratres, Hymn of, 243 | Chrysophrys, .............. 79 |
| declension, ........ 17, 20, 136 | As, final, .................. 68 | Ci (enclitic), .............. 32 |
| A iax, ...................... 237 | - final in Greek words..... 69 | Cinefacio, ................. 95 |
| Ain', ...................34, 148 | - final in accusative plural, 70 | Circumago, ................. 64 |
| Aio, ........................ 237 | - final in nominatives sin- | Circumeo, ................. 64 |
| Aio-Ais-Ait-Aiunt-Aie- | gular, , ................ 70 |  |
|  | - final in verbs, ......... 70 |  |
| Alcaic Decasyllabic,....... 203 | Asclepiadean, greater, .... 178 | Citum, .................... 98 |
| - Enneasyllabic, ...... 198 | Asclepiadean, lesser, ....... 178 | Citus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 100 |
| Stanza,........205, 212 | Asia, ....................... 131 | Clueo and Cluo, ........... 289 |
| A.libi, ..................... 51 | Asis, ........................ 131 | Clytemnestra, ............. 12 |
| Aliquando, ................ 60 | Asius, ..................... 131 | Cognitus, .................. 92 |
| Alius, .................... 17 | Aspirates, .. .............2, 3 | Columna Rostrata, ........ 244 |
| phabet, Latin, ........... 1 | Asynartete Verses, ........el2 | Commoda, ............... ${ }^{42}$ |
| Etruscan., ....... 242 | Aulai,...................17, 20 | Compos, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{76}$ |
| Greek,........... 221 | Aurea, .................... 118 | Compound feet, .......... 156 |
| Hebrew,......... 219 | Aureis, ...................... 118 | Comprehendere, .......... . 119 |
|  |  | Comprendere, ............. 119 |
| 219,255 | Authority, ............. ${ }^{5}$ | Concitus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 100 |
| nician,...... 220 | Avi,preterite in, contracted, 139 | Confervefacio, . . . . . . . . . . 9.5 |
| Samaritan,...... 221 |  | Confluxet, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 140 |
| Alterius, .................. 17 | Baltei, ..................... 118 | Conjugations, ancient form |
| Alvearia, ................... 118 | Bene, ...................... 43 | of,.................. 285,288 |
| Alven, .................... 118 | Bibi, ....................97, 98 | Connubiis, ................. 118 |
| Ambitum, .................. 98 | Biduum, ................... 87 | Connubio, ................. 118 |
| Ambitus, ....................7, 92 | Biiugus, ... ............... 15 | Conopeum, ................. ${ }^{24}$ |
| Ambo,..................52, 54 | Bimus, . ...... ............ 87 | Consili |
| ce, ..................43, 47 | Binus, | nsona |


| INDEX. |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pap | Page |
| onsonants, classification |  | Exos, ... |
| of, .....................2, 3 | Donec. $\qquad$ Doubtful syllables, defini63 | Expergefacio, ............. ${ }^{95}$ |
| Corstatura, ............... 101 |  | Extinxem, .................139, 13140 |
| Consumse, .................. 140 | Dryasin,............. ...... 109 | Extinxti, .................... 140 |
| Consumsti, ................ 139 | Ducis, ..................... 29 | Extorque, .................... 47 |
| Contra, .............37, 39,40 | Duellica,.................. 119 |  |
| Contracted syllables, ......6, 8 | Duillian Column, ........... 244 | F, letter, . . . . . . . . . . . . 2229 |
| Cor, ....................32, 35 | Dummodo,................ 52 |  |
| Corcodilus, ................ 154 | Duo,...................... 52 | Fatidicus, ................. 92 |
| Cornicen, .................. 92 | Duxti, ..................... 139 |  |
| Cornu, .................... 62 |  | Feet, Compound, .......... 156 |
| Credo, ................... 56 |  | - Dissyllabic, .......... 155 |
| Crocodilus, ................ 154 |  | - Metrical,Table of, 155, 156 |
| Cui, ....................... 124 | fifth declension, ......42, 45 | Quadrisyllabic, ...... 156 |
| Cuius, ……............... 15 |  | Fer, Trisyllabic, ............ 15.15 |
| Cupo and Cupio, ............ 290 <br> Curruum , ................. 126 |  |  |
| Curruum, .................... 126 | plurals, ............42, 43,45 | Fere, ....................43, 47 |
| Cycnus, ...................... 12 Cythereia, ..................... 142 | in Greek nouns, $42,43,45$ | Ferme, .................... 43 |
|  | 5 | Ferrei, .................... 118 |
| D, final, .................. 63 | ond conjugation, ..43, 45, 47 | Ferveo and Fervo, ......... 289 Fide, ..............136, 137 |
| Dactylic Dimeter, ........ 177 | Ea, Greek accusatives in,.. 21 | Fidei, ...................17, 18, 20 |
| - Hexameter, .. 162, 169 | Eadem, .................. 119 | Fides and its derivatives,.. 30 |
| Pentameter,.. 170, 175 | Eaedem, ................... 119 | Fidi, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .97, 98 |
| Tetrameter, ...,.. 175 | Earinus, .................. 147 | Fido and its derivatives, ... 33 |
| Trimeter, ....176, 177 | Ecthlipsis, ................... 140 | Fio and its tenses, ...17, 20, 21 |
|  |  | Fluitant, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 119 |
| Daedaleus,................. 25 | Ego, ................52, 60, 61 | Fluo, Fluvius, Fluvidus,.... 30 |
| Daedalus, .................. 25 | Eheu, ...................17, 23 | Fluviorum, .............. 125 |
| Daemon, ................... 67 | $E i$ in the genitive and dative | Foris, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 73,75 |
| Daphne, ................... 12 | of fifth declension, ....... 17 | Formiano, .................. 118 |
| 1)atum, $\ldots$.............. 98 |  | Fortuitus, ................. ${ }^{126}$ |
| Debilis Positio,......12, 15, 16 | Eiicio-eicio, . . . . . . . . . . . 129 | Fratres Arvales, Hymn of, .. 243 |
| Declensions, ancient form | Eius, ....................... 15 | Fulgeo and Fulgo, ........ 289 |
| of,...................281, 285 | Elegeia,................... 142 | Futum-Futurus, |
| Dedi, ...................97, 98 | Elegiac Distich, ........... 174 | Future perfect ind |
| Dedicationis Formula, .... 254 | Elegiambic, No. 1, ......... 212 |  |
| Deerrarunt, . . . . . . . . . . . 119 |  | Fuvi, ........................ 152 |
| Deerraverat,............... 119 | Elision, ...............110, 114 |  |
| Deest, Deesse, \&c.,......... 119 |  | G, letter, ................... 223 |
|  | Emphasis, ................. 270 | Gabii, ..................... 119 |
| Dei-Deis, ................. 120 | Enclitic particles, ........... 32 | Ganymedes, .............. 72 |
| Deiero,..................... 92 | Endo, .................... 52 | Genetrix, ................. 16 |
| 1)ein-Deinde, ........119,124 | Enneemimeris, ............ 105 | Genitum, ................... 102 |
| Deinc, .................... 119 | Eodem, ................. ... 119 | Genua, ..................... 126 |
| Denariis, .................. 118 | Eosdem, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 119 |  |
| Dentals, ..................... 2 , 3 | Eous, | Genuvi, ..................... 152 |
| Deorsum,. ............... 119 | Epenthesis, ............... 152 | Geryon, ................... ${ }^{27}$ |
| Deprehendere, ............. 119 | Epichoriambic Dimeter, .. 186 | Glomero, Glomus, ......30,31 |
| Deprendere,.. .............i19 | - Tetrameter, 186 | Glyconian, ................ 179 |
| 1) erivatives, $^{\text {quantity of, .. } 2 \%}$ | Trimeter, .. 182 |  |
| Di (in composition), ...... 87 | Episynaloepha,............ 140 | Grammar, divisions of, .... 1 |
| Diaeresis, .................114 | Epitaphs on the Scipios, .... 246 | Grammatical figures, 140-154 |
| Diana,..................17, 22 | Equotuticum, .............. 148 | Gratis, .................73, 75 |
| Diastole, ..........147, 148, 150 | Erepsemus, ...........139, 140 | Graveolens, ............. 7, 118 |
| Dicare-Dicere, .......... 29 | Ergo, ................ $52,58,59$ |  |
| Dicolon, ................... 216 | Erinnys, .................. 79 |  |
| Dle......................... 136 |  | H, letter, . . .................. 232 |
|  | Es, ........................... 32, 35 | power of, 3, 6, 10, 14 |
| Diffidit, ................. 97 | Es, tinal, ................. 70 |  |
| Digamma, ............221, 229 |  | between two vowels, .... 11 |
| Dii-Diis, ................ 120 | 70, 71, 72 | Hamadryasin, ............ 109 |
| Diphthongs, ................. 8 |  | Have, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 47 |
| Diplasiasmus, ................ 153 | nouns, $\ldots$............... 72 | Hector, ........................ 67 |
| Dipode, ${ }_{\text {Direxti, }}$.................... 160 | .... 72 | Hendecasyllabic, Pluae- |
| Direxti, ................... 139 | Esuris, ................... 74 |  |
| Dis (in composition), ...... 87 | Etymology, definitio |  |
| Dispar, .................... 90 | Eus, proper names ending | Hendecemimeris, . . . . . . . 106 |
| Dissoluo, \&c., ..........136, 137 | in, ..................143, 144 | Hepthemimeris, ........... 105 |
| Dissyllabic feet, ........... 155 | Evasti, ..................... 140 | Herculei, ................... 146 |
| Distrophon, | Evi, preterite in, contracted, 139 | Herculi, ................... 146 |
| Disturbat, .................. 80 | Evoluam, .................. 136 | Heroic Hexameter, ...162-169 |
| Diu, | Evoluisse, .................. 137 | Hiatus, ..................... 114 |
| Diuturnus,................. 148 | Examen, .................... 30 | Hic (prono |
| Division of a Verse, ...... 106 | Excitus, | $32,35$ |


| Hipponactean, Page | Ts, final Page | Metrical feet, table of, 155, $\begin{array}{r}\text { Page } \\ 156\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hipponactean, .............. 195 | Is, final, ..................... 72 | Metrical feet, table of, 155, 156 |
| Hoc, ....................... 35,36 | - in Greek nouns,.... 72 | Mi, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 119 |
| Hodie, ...................... 88 | -- in plural cases, ..72, 74 | Mihe, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 51 |
| Hodieruus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 88 | -_ in older poets, .... 48 | Mihi, .............. $48,56,119$ |
| Homo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 55.56 | -_- in verbs, ........72, 74 | Miluo, ....................... 137 |
| Huic, ....... ....... . . . . . . 124 | Istius, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17 | Misce, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 47 |
| Huius, ..................... 15 | It, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 80 | Misti, ..... . . . . . . . . . . . . . 140 |
| Hypercatalectic. ......... . . 160 | Ita,.. .......... .............. 37 | Miurus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 161 |
|  | Italia, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Mixed Verses, . . . . . . . . . . . 202 |
| I, letter, $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . .1,1235$ | Italides, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Modo, .............. . . 52, 60, 61 |
| --, double power of, 2, 125 | Italis, ........................ 131 | Monocolon, ................ . 216 |
| I, final, . ................ 47 | Italus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Monosyllables in composi- |
| in Greek nouns, ..48, 50 | Itum, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 98 | 81 |
| Greek in the dative of | Iudaea, ....................... 232 | $\qquad$ quantity of, 32 |
| Greek nouns, Iacio, compounds of..... 48,50 a | Iudaei, ...................... 236 | Multimodis, ................ 92 , 149 |
| Iacio, compounds of,....... 129 Iambic Dimeter, .....197, 198 | Iudaeus, ................... . . 2336 | Multiplex, ................. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ / |
| Tetrameter, ........ 199 | Ium, genitives in, ......118, 119 | Mutes,........................ |
| Trimeter, ....191-197 | Ius, genitives in, .......17, 20 | N, final, . . ................... 64 |
| Verses, comic,... 196 | Iusso, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 136 |  |
| Iapetides, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 230.236 | Ivi, preterite in, contracted,139 | Naiades, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 142 |
| Iapetionides,............... 236 | J, letter, .........2, 14, 15, 235 | Nais, .. ... .................... 142 |
| Iapetus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 236 |  | Nasidienus, ........ . . . . . . . 125 |
| Iazyges, .................... 119 | K, letter, ................. 225 | Ne (enclitic), ............32, 34 |
| $16 a m$, in the imperfect of the fourth conjuga- | Koppa, .......220, 221, 223, $2 \cdot 2$ | Ne (in composition), ........ 87 Nec, ........................ 82 |
| tion, ................136, 137 | L, final,..................... 63 | Nec (in composition), ....... 87 |
| Iber, .......................... 67 | Labare-Labi, ............. 29 | Necesse, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87 |
| Ibi, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 48, 51 | Labefacio, ...... . . . . . . . . . . 92 | Necopinus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87 |
| Ibidem, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 51 | Labefacto, .................. 92 | Necubi, ....................51, 87 |
| Iount, in the future of the | Labials, . . . . . . . . ........... 2,3 | Nefandus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87 |
| fourth conjugation, ...... 136 | Lacrima, ................... 16 | Nefarius, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 . 87 |
| Ichneumon, ............... 12 | Laque0, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 118 | Nefas, ................. . 87,89 |
| Ictus Metricus, . . . . . . . . . 270 | Last syllable in a verse, . . . 114 | Nefastus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87 |
| Idem, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 29 | Latium, ................... 98 | Nemo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 55.56 |
| Ideo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 52.54 | Legare-Legere, ......... . . 29 | Nenu, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 61 |
| Idomenios, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 118 | Legis, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 29 | Ne-qua, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 36 |
| Ier as the termination of the | Lemniasin, ................. 109 | Neque, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87 |
| infinitive passive, ..136, 152 | Leo,............. . . . . . . . 55, 56 | Nequeo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . 87 8, 89 |
| Iidem, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 119 | Letters, prommeiation of | Nereides, ......... ..24, 25, 142 |
| Iisdem, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 119 | the different Latin, 258-268 | Nereis, . ..................... 142 |
| Illic, .... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 63 | Liquare, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 29 | Nescio, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 52 . 56 |
| Illius, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 17 | Liquefacio, . $29,93,94,95,150$ | Nescis, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 74 |
| Illuc, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 63 | Liquefio,.................... 150 | Nestor, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 67 |
| Immo, ............. $52,54,59$ | Liquens, . . . . . . . . . . . . 29, 150 | Nihil, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6, 64 |
| Impar, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 90 | Liquesco, . . . . . . . . . . . . 29, 150 | Nihil and Nil, ... . . . . . . . . 119 |
| In, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 | Liquet, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 29,150 | Nil, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .6, 64 |
| Incitus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 100 | Liquids, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | Nisi, . ............... . $48,50,90$ |
| Indicative future perfect, | Liquidus, . . . . . . . . . . . 29 29, 150 | Nonaginta,......... . . . . . . 41 |
|  | Liquitur, ....... ........... 29 | Nullius, ................... . . 17 |
| - perfect, third | Liquo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 150 | Num-qua, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 36 |
| person plural,.......102, 104 | Liquor, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 29, 150 |  |
| Indu, ....................52, 56 | Litum, ................ 98 , 100 | 0, final, .................... 52 |
| Inferius, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 118 | Logaoedic Verses, .......... 202 | , in nominatives of |
| Inferne, ................... 43 | Long syllables, definition of, 4 | third declension, .. $52,55,56$ |
| Ingratis, . . . . . . . . . . . . 73 , 75 | Lyristes, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 72 | - in verbs, ......52, 56, 57 |
| Inimice, . . . . . . . . . . . . 43 , 47 |  | -- in gerunds, ... $52,57,58$ |
| Innuba, ...................... 92 | M, final, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 64 | Ob (in composition), ....... 8! |
| Inscriptions, ancient, ...... 242 | Macedo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Obilicio-Obicio, .......... 129 |
| Interea,..................37, 39 | Macedonia, ............... 131 | Ubit, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 80 |
| Interne, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 47 | Madefacio, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 92 | Obiltus, ............... . . 98, 99 |
| Involuo, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 137 | Maeotis, ................... 8 | Obstatura, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 101 |
| Io (interjection), .....17, 23, 24 | Magnopere, ..... . ......... 7 | Octo, ............... ..... 52 5 |
| - (proper name), ...17, 23, 24 | Maia, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 237 | Odi, Odium, .............. 30 |
| Iones, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Maior, .. ................. . . . . 15 | Ohe, ................17, 22, 43 |
| Ionia, . .................... 181 | Male, ...... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 43 | Omitto, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 81 |
| Ioniacus, ................... 131 | Malea,..................... 24 | Omnia, ... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 119 |
| Ionic Verses, . . . . . . . . . . . . 159 | Maledicus,......... ......... 92 | Omnipotens, ................ 91 |
| In a maiore Verses,..189, 190 | Mane, ...................... 47 | Omnium, .................. 118 |
| Ionicus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 131 | Medials, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 , 3 | Operio, ..................... 81 |
| Ionis, ..................... 131 | Mentio,.................. 55 5, 56 | Oronti, ..................... 136 |
| Ionius, ....................... 131 | Metathesis, ... .............. . 154 | Orion, $\ldots . . . . . . . . .26,27,67$ |
| Ionus, ....................... 131 | Metre, ..................... 271 | Orior, double form of, ...... 291 |
| Ipsius,..................... 17 | , deflnition of,...159, 160 | Oriundi, .. .................. 119 |
| Is, . . . ........................ 32 | Metrical Accent............. 270 | Orpheus, . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 146 |
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Os, ...........................32, 34
Os, final,................... 76 —— in accusative plural, Latin words, 77 -_ in Greek words, .... 76 Ovi, preterite in,contracted,139
$\qquad$
Paeonium, ...................... 126
Palatals, .......................2, 3
Palus,.........................77, 79
Paragoge, ..................... 152
Paridi, ........................ 50
Paries, ............................. 125
Patefacio, ...................... 93
Patrui, .......................... 119
Pavefacio, .................... 92
Pegaseius, ..................... 142
Pegaserse....................... 142
Peiero, ... » . ................. 92
Peior, ......................... 15
Pelopeius,. .................... 142
Pelopeus, ...................... 142
Pelopius, ....................... 142
Penes 72
Penthemimer, ................ 160
Penthemimeris, ............. 105
Pepedi, . ...................... 96
Per, .......................... 32
Percense,...................... 47
Percitus, ....................... 100
Percusti,......................... 140
Perfect indicative, third
person plural, .......102, 104
Pericli, ........................ 284
Perseus, ............................. 146
Persoluo, ..................... 137
Pervenis,...................... 74
Pervoluent, ................... 136
Phalaecian Hendecasylla-
halaecian Hendecasylla- ${ }_{\text {bic, ..................... } 203}$
Pherecratean,................. 180
Phoebeius, ...................... 142
Phoebeus, ..................... 142
Pituita,........................ 126
Placare--Placere,,.......... 29
Platea, ....................25. 26
Pleiades,....................... 142
Pleias, ......................... 142
Pluvi, .......................... 152
Poetical licenses, ........116, 139
Polypus, .................... 79
Polyschematistic Verses, .. 215
Polysyllables in composition, ........... .......... 91
Pompei, ....................... 118
Pompeius,.................17, 21
Porro,.......................52, 54
Positum, ....................... 102
Position,.................... 10
Possis, .....................75, 90
Postea,..................37, 39, 40
Postilla, .............. . 37, 39, 40
Postmodo, ................... 52
Postremo,...................52, 59
Posui, .......................... 102
Potior, duuble form of, .... 291
Potui, .......................... 102
Prae (in composition), ..... 8
Praeesse, .................... 8

Praeiret, ,.................... ${ }_{8}^{8}$
Praeoptare, .................. ${ }_{8}^{8}$
Praeoptarit, .................. 119
Praepes, ...................... 90
Praestatum,.................... 101
Praestatura,.................... 101
Praestitum, ...................... 101
Praeterea,.................. 37,39
Precantia,..................... . 119
Prehendo,...............119, 233
Prendo, .................. 119, 233
Preterites, dissyllabic,...... 97 polysyllabic,.... 101 reduplicating,.. 96
Priameis, .................... 131
Priameius,........................ 131
Priamides,...................... 131
Priamus, ....................... 131
Priapeian, .................... 213
Principium, .................... ${ }^{126}$
Pro (in composition), $81,82,87$
Procella, ...................... 82
Procello, ..................... 82,85
Procne, ....................... 12
Procumbo, ..............82, 84, 8.5
Procurator, .................. 85
Procuro, ..................... 82,85
Profarı, ...................... 82
Profanus, ..................... 82
Profari, .................... 82,84
Profecto, .................... 82,84
Profectus, .................. 82,84
Profestus, ..................... 82
Proficiscor, ................. 82,84
Profiter1, ..................... 82
Profudi, ...................... 86
Profugio, ..................... 82
Profugus, ................... 82
Profundo, ..................82, 86
Profundus, .................... $\delta 2$
Profusus, ........ . .......... . . . 86
Proin, ......................... 124
Proinde, ...............119, 124
Promisse, ..................... 140
Promisti, ..................... 140
Promontorium, ............. 118
Pronepos, ................. 82,85
Proneptis, .................... 82
Pronuba, ..................... 92
Pronunciation of Latin, 258-268
Propago, .................. 82,86
Propello, ................... 82,86
Propino,....................... 82 , 84
Propontis, ..................... 84
Prosody, definition of, .....1, 4
Prosthesis,.................... 151
Protervitas,.................. 82
Protervus, ..................... 82
Protraxe, ...................... 140
Prout, ........................... 1 19
Puta, .......................... 4.2
Puto, ....................... 52, , 56
Putrefacio, ................. 93,94
Q, letter, .................... 226
Qua (int composition),...... 87
Quadriiugus,................ 15
Quadrisyllabic feet, ......... 156
Quando, ...................52, 59
Quandocumque, ............ 60
Quandoque, ................. 60
Quandoquidem, ............. 60
Quantity,..................... 209
Quantity, definition of,...... 4
Quapropter, .................. 87
Quare, ......................... 87
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Quasi, .................. $48,50,90$
Quatenus, .................. 87
Quater, ....................... 149
Quatnor,................... .. 149
Que (enclitic), .............. 82
Quia, ........................ 37,41
Quiris, ........................ 73
Quis, .......................... 32
Quitum, ...................98, 100
Quo (in composition), ..... 87
Quocirca, .................... 87
Quocunque, .................. 87
Quominus, ................... 87
Quiomodo, ............. 52, 60, 87
Qucque, ....................... 87
Quotidianus, .................. 150
R, letter, ..................... 238
R, final, ............................ 67
Rarefacio, .................... 95
Ratum, ........................ 98
Re (in composition), ...... 87
Recepso, ....................... 1 . 16
Recesse, ...................... 140
Recidere-Recidimus,
$133,134,135$
Reducit - Reducunt -
Reducere, . . . . . . 133, 134, 185
Reperit, ............133, 134, 135
Refert, ......................87, 89
Regis,.......................... 29
Rei, .......................17, 20
Reiicio-reicio, .............. 129
Relanguit,.. ................. 137
Relatum, ...........133, 134, 135
Relicuas, ............... 136, 138
Relicuo, .................136, 138
Religio and its cases, 133, 134
Reliquiae and its cases, 133, 134
Remotum,..........133, 134, 135
Responde, . . . . . . . . ....... 45,46
Respondeamus, .............. 118
Repulit, .................13, 134, 135
Retulit, .............183, 134, 135
Rhea, ......................... $\Sigma_{1}$
Rhythm, ...................... 271
Ris final, in the indicative
future perfect, \&c.,...... 75
Rogo, ........................ 56
Rubefacio, ................... 92
Rutum, ....................... 98 , 109
S, letter, ................... 238
S final, dropped before a
word beginning with a
consonant, ....48, 62, 91, 268
Salamis, ..................... 7:3
Salve, .......................45, 46
Samnis, .. ................. 73, 75
Sapphic, greater, .............. 18 6
Sapphic, lesser, ...............182
Sapplic Stanza, .............. 184
Satin', ..................34, 66, 148
Saturnian Verse, ......... 292
$\mathcal{S c}, s p, s q$, $s t$, at the begin-
ning of a word, .......11, 271
Scan, to, definition, .......... 159
Scateo and Scato, ......... 3*9
Scazon, ..................161, 195
S. C. de Bacchanalibus, .... 250
S. C. de Tiburtibus, ......... 253

Scidi, ..................... 97, 98
Scio, . . . .................. 52, 56
Scipios, epitaphis on, ...... 246
Se (in composition), ....... 87
Sedare-Sedere, ............. 29
Sedes (noun and verb),
Page ..... 29
Sedile,
Semen ..... 30
Semi, ..... 118
Semianimls, ..... 7, 118
Semisopitus, ..... 92
Semivir ..... 9]
Semi-vowels,
191-195
Senarius,
119
119
Seorsus, ..... 119
Sero, ..... 52
Sexaginta, ..... 41
Si (in composition), ..... 87
Sibe,
48, 51
48, 51
Sibi,
Sibi,
2, 3
2, 3
Sicania, ..... 131
Sicanis, ..... 131
Sicanius,
131
Sicanus, ..... 131
Sicelis, ..... 131
Sicilia, ..... 131
Sicubi, ..... 1, 87
Sicuti, ..... 51
Siet, ..... 136
Siluae, ..... 137
Simois, ..... 73
Si-qua, ..... 36
Siquidem,
Smaragdus ..... 87
12
Solins,
Soluit, ..... 136
Solutum, ..... 102
Soluunt, ..... 136, 137
Sopitus, ..... 3
Spei, ..... 17, 20
Spondeo, ..... 56
Statim, ..... 101
Statio, ..... 101
Stator, ..... 101
Statum, ..... 101
Statura ..... 101
Status, ..... 101
Stellio, ..... 126
Stesichorean, ..... 213
Steti, ..... 97, 98
Stipendium, ..... 149
Stipo, ..... 149
Stiti, ..... 97, 98
Strideo and strido ..... 290
Stupefacio, ..... 92
Suadent ..... 136
Suadet
7, 118
Suaveolens,
129
129
Subiicio-Subicio,
75, 76
75, 76
Subjunctive perfect, ..... 75,76
Suemus, ................... 136
Suerit, \&a, ..... 136
Suetae, ..... 137
Sueti, \&c. ..... 136
Suetus, ..... 9
Suevos, ..... 137
Supines, dissyllabic, ..... 98
Superne ..... 101
Surrexe ..... 43
Suspicio ..... 29
Syllables, contracted, ..... 6,8
doubtful, defin. of,Syllables, Page- naturally short, ... 14, slort, definition of, 2
140
Synaeresis,
140
140
Synapheia, ..... 115
Syncope, ..... 140, 153
Synecphonesis, ..... 140
Synizesis, ..... 140
Syntax, definition of ..... 1
Systole, 147, J48, 150
T, final, ..... 80
Taeniis ..... 118
Talipedans, ..... 150
Tantummodo, ..... 52
Tecmessa, ..... 12
Temere, ..... 43
Tenues, ..... 2, 3
Tenuis and its cases, ..... 126
Tepefacio, ..... 93, 94
Ter,
290
rergeo and Tergo, ..... 17, 20
Tethyn, ..... 67
Tetrastrophon, ..... 217
Tetuli, ..... 99
Tetulit, ..... 136
Thales, ..... 72
Therapne-Therapnaeus, 12, 13
Thesis, ..... 271
Theseius, ..... 142
Theseus, ..... 142
Thetidi, ..... 50
Thyniasin, ..... 109
Tibe, ..... J1
Tibereia, ..... 143
Tibi, ..... 48, 5
Time, in Prosody
153
153
Tmesis, ..... 119
Totius, ..... 17
Trans (in composition) ..... 81
Tremefacio ..... 92
Tricolon, ..... 216
Triduum ..... 87
Triemimeris, ..... 105
Trigesimus, ..... 87
Triginta, ..... 4i,87
Trimus, ..... 87
Trinacria, ..... 87
Trinus, ..... 87
Tris and Tri (in composi- tion), ..... 87
Tristrophon, ..... 217
Trisyllabic feet ..... 155
Trochaic Dimeter ..... 201

- Tetrameter, ..... 200
Troes, ..... 200, 202
Troia, ..... 237
Troiades, ..... 237
Troianus, ..... 237
Troicus, ..... 237
Troiugena, ..... 237
Troius, ..... 142, 237
Tros, ..... 237
Trous, ..... 142, 237
Tueor and Tuor, ..... 290
Tuli, ..... 97, 98
Tumefacio, ..... 92
Tuticanus ..... 147
Tyndaridi, ..... 50
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BY


#### Abstract

SPENCER THOMSON, M.D., Edin., L.R.C.S. Thoroughly revised and brought down to the present state of Medical Science. With Appendix on the MANAGEMENT of the SICK-ROOM, and many Hints for the DIET and COMFORT of INVALIDS.


## From the Author's Prefatory Address.

"Without entering upon that difficult ground which correct professional knowledge, and educated judgment, can alone permit to be safely trodden, there is a wide and extensive field for exertion, and for usefulness, open to the unprofessional, in the kindly offices of a true DOMESTIC MEDICINE, the timely help and solace of a simple HOUSEHOLD SURGERY, or better still, in the watchful care more generally known as "SANITARY PRECAUTION," which tends rather to preserve health than to cure disease. "The touch of a gentle hand" will not be less gentle because guided by knowledge, nor will the safe domestic remedies be less anxiously or carefully administered. Life may be saved, suffering may always be alleviated. Even to the resident in the midst of civilization, the "KNOWLEDGE IS POWER" to do good; to the settler and the emigrant it is INVALUABLE.

I know well what is said by a few, about injuring the medical profession, by making the public their own doctors. Nothing will be so likely to make "long cases" as for the public to attempt any such folly; but people of moderate means-who, so far as medical attendance is concerned, are worse off than the pauper-will not call in and fee their medical adviser for every slight matter, and, in the absence of a little knowledge, will have recourse to the prescribing druggist, or to the patent quackery which flourishes upon ignorance, and upon the mystery with which some would invest their calling. And not patent quackery alone, but professional quackery also, is less likely to find footing under the roof of the intelligent man, who, to common sense and judgment, adds a little knowledge of the whys and wherefores of the treatment of himself and family. Against that knowledge which might aid a sufferer from accident, or in the emergency of sudden illness, no humane man could offer or receive an objection."
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# Charles Griffin \& Company's LIST OF PUBLICATIONS. 

## Religious Works.

## ALTAR OF THE HOUSEHOLD (The) ; a

 Series of Prayers and Selections from the Scriptures, for Domestic Worship, for every Morning and Evening in the Year. By the Rev. Dr. Harris, assisted by eminent Contributors, with an Introduction by the Rev. W. Lindsay Alexander, D.D. New Edition, entirely revised. Royal 4to, with Steel Frontispiece. Cloth, gilt edges, 22/- May also be had bound in the following styles: half-bound calf, marbled edges; and levant morocco, antique, gilt edges.** Also illustrated with a series of first-class Engravings on Steel, descriptive of the most important Events in the Old and New Testaments, at 6/extra.

## ANECDOTES (CYCLOPÆDIA of RELIGIOUS

 and MORAL). With an Introductory Essay by the Rev. George Cheever, D.D. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 3/6. Sixteenth Thousand.*** These Anecdotes relate to no trifling subjects; and they have been selected, not only for amusement, but for instruction. By those engaged in the tuition of the young, they will be found highly useful.
BIBLE HISTORY (A Manual of). By the Rev. J Wycliffe Gedge. Small 8vo. Cloth neat, 7 d.
"This small but very comprehensive Manual is much more than a mere summary of Bible History."-Church Sunday School Magazine.

## BUNYAN'S PILGRIM'S PROGRESS. With

 Expository Lectures by the Rev. Robert Maguire, Incumbent of St. Olave's, Southwark. With Steel Engravings. Imperial 8vo. Cloth gilt, ro/6. Second Edition.BUNYAN'S PILGRIM'S PROGRESS. With
Life and Notes, Experimental and Practical, by William Mason.
Printed in large type, and Illustrated with full-page Woodcuts. Crown
8vo. Bevelled boards, gilt, and gilt edges, $3 / 6$. Tenth Thousand.

## BUNYAN'S SELECT WORKS. With an Ori-

 ginal Sketch of the Author's Life and Times. Numerous Engravings. Tnu vols., super-royal 8vo. Cloth. $3^{6 /-}$ New F.dition.CHRISTIAN YEAR (The): Thoughts in Verse for the Sundays and Holy Days throughout the Year. With an original Memoir of the Rev. John Keble, by W. Temple, Portrait, and sixteen beautiful Engravings on Steel, after eminent Masters. In 4to, handsome cloth, bevelled, $12 / 6$; unique walnut boards, $21 /-;$ morocco antique, 25/- ; morocco extra, 30/-

ILLUSTRATIONS.

Morning .. .. after H. Howard, R.A.
Sunset .. .. " G. Barrett.
A Mountain Stream
A River Scene ..
A Mountain Lake
A Greek Temple..
A Village Church
, C. W.Radclyffe.
The Wayside Cross ", Tony Johannot.

The Old Mansion. .after C. W. Radclyffe. The Cathedral Choir Sunset(after Claude), Moonlight.. Pastoral Landscape Halt in the Desert Guardian Angels..
The Church Gate Fohn Bull.
CHRISTIAN YEAR (The). With Memoir of the Author by W. Temple, Portrait, and Eight Engravings on Steel, after eminent Masters. Small 8 vo , toned paper. Cloth gilt, $5 /-$; morocco, elegant, ro/6; unique malachite boards, $12 / 6$. New Edition.
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## CRUDEN'S COMPLETE CONCORDANCE TO

 THE OLD AND NEW TESTAMENTS, AND THE BOOKS CALLED APOCRYPHAL. Edited and corrected by William Youngman. With fine Portrait of Cruden. Imperial 8vo. Cloth, handsome gilt top, 7/6. New Edition.
## DR. DICK'S POPULAR WORKS.

DICK (Thos., LL.D., F.R.A.S.): CHRISTIAN PHILOSOPHER (The); or, The Connection of Science and Philosophy with Religion. Revised and enlarged. Illustrated with 150 Engravings on Wood. Crown 8vo, toned paper. Handsomely bound, with gilt edges, 5/- Twenty-eighth Edition.
DICK (Dr.): SIDEREAL HEAVENS (The). and other Subjects connected with Astronomy, as illustrative of the Character of the Deity, and of an Infinity of other Worlds. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, toned paper. Handsomely bound, with gilt edges, 5/New Edition.

# STANDARD BIBLICAL WORKS, By <br> THE REV. JOHN EADIE, D.D., LL.D., <br> Late Professor of Biblical Literature and Exegesis to the United Presbyterian Church, and Member of the New Testament Revision Com ${ }_{\xi}$ uny. 

This Series has been prepared, on an accurate and scientific basis, to afford sound and necessary aid to the Reader of Holy Scripture. The Four Volumes comprised in it form in themselves a Complete Library of Reference, Biblical and Ecclesiastical. Number of copies already issued, over a quarter of a million.

## I. EADIE (Rev. Prof.): BIBLICAL CYCLO-

 PÆDIA (A); or, Dictionary of Eastern Antiquities, Geography, Natural History, Sacred Annals and Biography. Theology, and Biblical Literature, illustrative of the Old and New Testaments. With Maps prepared expressly by Messrs. W. \& A. K. Johnston, many Engravings, and Lithographed Fac-simile of the recently-discovered Moabite Stone, with Translation of the Inscription. Large post $8 \mathrm{vo}, 700$ pages. Handsome cloth, $7 / 6$; half-bound calf, 10,6; murocco antique, $16 /-$ Twentieth Edition."We must regard this Bible Dictionary of Dr. Eadie's as decidedly the best adapted for popular use, and have always found it a reliabie authority. To the Clergy not possessed of large libraries, and to whom the price of books is important, we can cordially recommend the present volume."-Clerical fournal.

## II. EADIE (Rev. Prof.) : CRUDEN'S CONCORD-

ance to the holy scriptures. With a Portrait on Steel of Alexander Cruden, M.A., aid Introduction by the Rev. Dr. King. Post 8 vo. Cloth, $3 / 6$ : hali-bound calf, $6 / 6$; full calf, gilt edges, $8 / 6$; full morocco, gilt edges, 10/6. Forty-fourth Edition.
*** Dr. Eadie's has long and deservedly borne the reputation of being the COMPLETEST and BEST CONCORDANCE extant.
III. EADIE (Rev. Prof.) : CLASSIFIED BIBLE (The). An Analytical Concordance to the Holy Scriptures. Illustrated with Maps. Post $8 v o$. Cloth, bevelled, $8 / 6$; morocco, ${ }^{1} 7,6$. Fijth Edition.
*** The object of the Classified Bible is to present the entire Scriptures under certain distinct and exhaustive Heads or Topics It differs from an ordinary Concordance in that its arrangement depends not on words, but on subjects. The Reader will find, under Forty-two different Sections, what the Bible says in relation to Doctrine, Ethics, Antiquities, \&c. The Verses being printed in full, reference and comparison are greatly facilitated.
"We have only to add our unqualified commendation of a work of real excellence to every Biblical student."-Christian Times.
IV. EADIE (Rev. Prof.): ECCLESIASTICALCYCLOP ÆDIA (The); A'Dictionary of Christian Antiquities, Sects, Denominations, and Heresies; History of Dogmas, Rites, Sacraments, Ceremonies, \&c., Liturgies, Creeds, Confessions, Monastic and Religiots Orders, Modern Judaism, \&c. By the Rev. Prof. Eadie, assisted by the Rev. Dr. Hartwell Horne, Ven. Archdeacon Hale, Prof. McCaul, and other contributors. Post 8 vo. Cloth bevelled, $8 / 6$; morocco antique, ry/6. Sixth Edition.
"Our readers will n't need to be told that this is a 'comprehensive' work, and we may add that it is one which will be found useful and convenient to a large number of both clergy and laity."-English Churchman.

Rev. Prof. Eadie’s Works-(continued).

## V. EADIE (Rev. Prof.) : A DICTIONARY OF

 THE HOLY BIBLE; designed chiefly for the Use of Young Persons. From the larger work by Prof. Eadie. With Map and numerous Illustrations. Small 8 vo. Cloth, $2 / 6$; morocco, gilt edges, $7 / 6$. Seventeenth Edition."Parents and tutors will unanimously thank the author for this result of a labour of love."-Critic.
"A very good and useful compilation for youth."-Literary Gazette.
VI. EADIE (Rev. Prof.) : A COMMENTARY ON THE GREEK TEXT OF THE EPISTLE OF ST. PAUL TO THE EPHESIANS. Revised throughout and enlarged. Demy 8 vo . Cloth, I4/ Second Edition.
"This book is one of prodigious learning and research. The author seems to have read all, in every language, that has been written upon the Epistle. It is also a work of independent criticism, and casts much new light upon many passages."-Literary Gazette.
*** A Complete Prospectus of Dr. Eadie's Popular Works forwarded gratis and post-free on application.

HENRY (Matthew) : A COMMENTARY on the HOLY BIBLE. With Explanatory Notes. In 3 vols., super-royal 8vo. Strongly bound in cloth, 50/- New Edition.
fERBERT (George): THE POETICAL WORKS OF. With Memoir by J. Nichol, B.A., Oxon, Professor of English Literature in the University of Glasgow. Edited by Charles Cowden Clarke. Antique headings to each page. Small 8vo. Cloth and gold, $3 /-$; morocco antique, $8 /-$; malachite, $10 / 6$.

## KEBLE and HERBERT: THE CHRISTIAN

Year, by John Keble, with Memoir of the Author by W. Temple; and the temple, by George Herbert, with Memoir by Prof. Nichol. In one vol., 8vo, illustrated, cloth and gold, $7 / 6$.

KITTO (John, D.D., F.S.A.,) : The HOLY LAND: The Mountains, Valleys and Rivers of the Holy Land; being the Physical Geography of Palestine. With eight full-page Illustrations. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, 2/6. Tenth Thousand. New Edition.
"Contains within a small compass a body of most interesting and valuable information."

KITTO (John, D.D., F.S.A.): PICTORIAL SUNDAY BOOK (The). Containing nearly two thousand Illustrations on Steel and Wood, and a Series of Maps. Folio. Cloth gilt: $30 /=$ Seventy-third Thousand.

## PALEY (Archdeacon): NATURAL THEOLOGY;

 Or, the Evidences of the Existence and the Attributes of the Deity. With Illustrative Notes and Dissertations, by Henry, Lord Brougham, and Sir Charles Bell. Many Engravings. One vol., 16mo. Cloth, 4/-"When Lord Brougham's eloquence in the Senate shall have passed away, and his services as a statesman shall exist only in the free institutions which they have helped to secure, his discourse on Natural Theology will continue to inculcate imperishable truths, and fit the mind for the higher revelations which these truths are destined to foreshadow and confirm.-Edinburgh Review.

## PALEY(Archdeacon): NATURAL THEOLOGY,

 with Lord Brougham's Notes and DIALOGUES ON INSTINCT. Many Illustrations. Three vols., 16 mo . Cloth, $7 / 6$.** This Edition contains the whole of the Original Work, published at Two Guineas, with the exception of the Mathematical Dissertations.
RAGG (Rev. Thomas) : CREATION'S TESTIMONY TO ITS GOD: the Accordance of Science, Philosophy, and Revelation. A Manual of the Evidences of Natural and Revealed Religion; with especial reference to the Progress of Science and Advance of Knowledge. Revised and enlarged, with new Appendices on Evolution and the Conservation of Energy. Large crown 8vo. Handsome cloth, bevelled boards, 5/- Thirteenth Edition.

> "We are not a little pleased again to meet with the author of this volume in the new edition of his far-tamed work. Mr. Ragg is one of the few original writers of our time to whom justice is being done."-British Standard.
[This work has been pronounced "The book of the age," "The best popular Text Book of the Sciences," and "The only complete Manual of Religious Evidence Natural and Revealed."]

## RELIGIONS OF THE WORLD (The): Being

Confessions of Faith contributed by eminent Members of every Denomination of Christians, also of Mahometanism, the Parsee Religion, the Hindoo Religion, Mormonism, \&c., \&c., with a Harmony of the Christian Confessions of Faith by a Member of the Evangelical Alliance. Crown 8vo. Cloth bevelled, 3/6. New Edition.
$*_{*} *$ In this volume, each denomination, through some leading member, has expressed its own opinions. There is no book in the language on the same plan. All othtr works on the subject, being written by one individual, are necessarily one-sidec, incomplete, and unauthentic.

## SCOTT (Rev. Thomas) : A COMMENTARY ON

THE BIBLE; containing the Old and New Testaments according to the Authorised Version, with Practical Observations, copious Margin: 1 References, Indices, \&c. In 3 vols., royal 4to. Cloth, $63 /-$ New Edition.

## TIMBS (John, F.S.A., Author of "Things not Generally Known," \&c.) :

THOUGHTS FOR TIMES \& SEASONS. Selected and compiled by John Timbs. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth neat, i/- Second Edition.
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## Scientific Works.

## WORKS by WILLIAM AITKEN, M.D.,Edin., F.R.S.

Professor of Pathology in the Army Medical School, Examiner in Medicine for H.M.'s Army, Navy, and E.I. Medical Services, Corresponding Member of the Royal Imperial Society of Physicians of Vienna, of the Society of Medicine and Natural History of Dresden, and of the Imperial Society of Medicine of Constantinople.

## The SCIENCE and PRACTICE of MEDICINE.

In 2 vols., demy 8vo, cloth, with a Steel Plate, Map, and nearly 200 Woodcuts. Price 38/- Sixth Edition, thoroughly revised and enlarged.
From the amount of additional matter introduced, the two Volumes of the Sixth Edition are, in reality, equivalent to three; a special fount of type having been cast to enable the printer to preserve clearness without adding to the bulk of the work.
The Author has adopted throughout the New Nomenclature and followed the Order of Classification of Diseases published by the Royal College of Physicians in 1869 . The Diagrams, illustrative of the typical ranges of body-temperature in Febrile Diseases (which were given in the third edition of this work, in 1863, for the first time in a Text-book), have been, with few exceptions, re-drawn and cut upon a new model.
Additional Woodcuts have also been introduced, wherever it was thought that they would render the descriptions in the text more intelligible.
In short, no labour or expense has been spared to sustain the well-known reputation of this Work, as "the Representative Book of the Medical Science and Practice of the day."

## Opinions of the Press.

"The work is an admirable one, and adapted to the requirements of the Student, Professor, and Practitioner of Medicine........ Malignant Cholera is very fully discussed, and the reader will find a large amount of information not to be met with in other books, epitomised for him in this........The part on Medical Geography forms an admirable feature of the volumes......... We know of no work that contains so much, or such full and varied, information on all subjects connected with the Science and Practice of Medicine."-Lancet.
"The extraordinary merit of Dr. Aitken's work...... The author has unquestionably performed a service to the profession of the most valuable kind. The article on Cholera undoubtedly offers the most clear and satisfactory summary of our knowledge respecting that aisease which has yet appeared."-Practitioner.
"Altogether this voluminous treatise is a credit to its Author, its Publisher, and to English Physic......Affords an admirable and honest digest of the opinions and practice of the day...... Commends itself to us for sterling value, width of retrospect, and fairness of representation."-Medico-Chirurgical Review.
"The Standard Text-Book in the English language..... There is, perhaps, no work more indispensable for the Practitioner and Student."-Edin. Medical fournal.
"We can say, with perfect confidence, that no medical man in India should be without the Sixth Edition of Dr. Aitken's 'Science and Practice of Medicine.' We say the Sixth Edition, because it is full of new matter. The article on Cholera is by far the most complete, judicious, and learned summary of our knowledge respecting this disease which has yet appeared."-Indian Medical Gazette.

## Prof. Aitken's Works-(continued.)

AITKEN (William, M.D., F.R.S.) : OUTLINES OF THE SCIENCE AND PRACTICE OF MEDICINE. A TextBook for Students. Crown 8 vo . Cloth, bevelled, $\mathrm{x} 2 / 6$.
"So complete as to form a useful work ot reference. The natural history of the principal diseases, the diagnostic signs by which they may be distinguished, together with the therapeutic indications, are concisely and systematically given. The book cannot fail to become a popular one, and we cordially recommend it to the notice of teachers and students."-Lancet.
" Well-digested, clear, and we!1-written: the work of a man conversant with every detail of his subject, and a thorough master of the art of teaching.-British Medical Fournal.
"Students preparing for examinations will hail it as a perfect godsend for its con-ciseness."-A thenoeum.
"In respect of both the matter contained, and the manner in which it is conveyed, our examination has convinced us that nothing could be better...... We know of no summary of the use of Electricity as a means of diagnosis equal to that contained in the Section on Diseases of the Nervous System."-Medico-Chirurgical Review.

## AITKEN(William,M.D., F.R.S.): The GROWTH

 OF THE RECRUIT, and the Young Soldier, with a view to the Selection of "Growing Lads" and their Training. Second Edition in pretaration." This little work should be in the hands of all instructors of youth, and all employers of youthful labour."-Lancet.
AITKEN (William, .M.D, F.R.S.): OUTLINE FIGURES OF THE TRUNK OF THE HUMAN BODY. On which to indicate the areas of physical signs in the Clinical Diagnosis of Disease. For the use of Students and Practitioners of Medicine. r/6.

ANSTED (Prof., M.A., F.R.S.) : GEOLOGY: A TREATISE ON. (Circle of the Sciences). Crown 8vo. Cloth, $2 / 6$. ANSTED (Prof., M.A., F.R.S.) : NATURAL HISTORY OF THE INANIMATE CREATION, recorded in the Structure of the Earth, the Plants of the Field, and the Atmospheric Phenomena. With numerous Illustrations. Large post 8 vo . Cloth, $8 / 6$.
BAIRD (W., M.D., F.L.S., late of the British Museum):

THE STUDENT'S NATURAL HISTORY; a Dictionary of the Natural Sciences: Botany, Conchology, Entomology, Geology, Mineralogy, Palæontology, and Zoology. With a Zoological Chart, showing the Distribution and Range of Animal Life, and over two hundred and fifty Illustrations. Demy 8 vo . Cloth gilt, $10 / 6$.
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## NEW AND IMPORTANT WORK. A DICTIONARY OF HYGIENE AND PUBLiC health. By Alexander Wynter Blyth, M.R.C.S., F.C.S., etc., Analyst for the County of Devon, and Medical Officer of Health for the North Devon Combination of Sanitary Authorities. Medium $8 \mathrm{vo}, 672$ pp., cloth bevelled, with Map, Diagram, and I40 Illustrations, Price 28/-

## GENERAL CONTENTS.

The Work comprises over Seven Hundred Articles, embracing the following subjects:-
I.-Sanitary Chemistry: the Composition and Dietetic Value of Foods, with the latest Processes for the Detection of Adulterations.
II.-Sanitary Engineering: Sewage, Drainage, Storage of Water, Ventilation, Warming, etc.
III. - Sanitary Legislation : the whole of the PUBLIC HEALTH ACT, 1875, together with sections and portions of other Sanitary Statutes, (without alteration or abridgment, save in a few unimportant instances) in a form admitting of easy and rapid reference.
IV.-Epidemic and Epizootic Diseases : their History and Propagation, with the Measures for Disinfection.
V.-Hygiène-Military, Naval, Private, Public, School.
"The work now offered to the public aims at filling a vacant place in English sanitary literature, namely, that of a book of reference which, in one volume of convenient size, shall contain the information on sanitary topics at present only to be gathered from the perusal of many separate and distinct treatises. It is not intended solely and entirely for any particular class. Sanitation is imperial-it concerns every living unit of the State, and is of equal value to all. Therefore, although the special wants of the practical hygienist-the medical officer of health and public analyst-have naturally claimed the first place, and received the attention which their importance demands, the author has throughout endeavoured to render intelligible to non-professional readers also, every subject susceptible of such treat-ment."-Extract from Author's Preface.

## Opinions of the Press.

"The articles on Food and its Adulterations are good, the most recent methods of examination being given, and the chemical processes well described."-Lancet.
"A very important Treatise . . . an examination of its contents satisfies us that it is a work which should be highly appreciated."-Medico-Chirurgical Review.
"A work that must have entailed a vast amount of labour and research.
Will be found of extreme value to all who are specially interested in Sanitation. It is more than probable that it will become a Standard Work in Hygiene and Public Health."-Medical Times and Gazette.
"Mr. Blyth has ably filled a void in British Sanitary literature. This Standard Work .indispensable for all who are interested in Public-Health matters, and for all Public Libraries."-Public Health.
"Contains a great mass of information of easy reference . . . a compilation carefully made from the best sources. Many of the articles are very good."-Sanitary Record.
"We can cordially recommend it as a book of reference to all persons interested in Sanitation."-Indian Medical Gazette.
BLYTH (A. Wynter, M.R.C.S., F.C.S.) : A MANUAL OF FRACTICAL CHEMISTRY, applied to the Analysis of Foods and Detection of Poisons. Crown 8vo. (In Preparation).

## THE CIRCLE OF THE SCIENCES:

## A SERIES OF POPULAR TREATISES

ON THE NATURAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, And their Applications.

BY
Professors Owen, Ansted, Young and Tennant; Drs. Latham, Edward Smith, Scoffern, Bushnan and Bronner; Messrs. Mitchell, Twisden, Dallas, Gore, Imray, Martin, Sparling, and others.
Complete in nine volumes, illustrated with many thousand Engravings on Wood. Crown 8vo. Cloth lettered. 5/- each volume.

Vol. r.-ORGANIC NATURE.-Part I. Animal and Vegetable Physiology; the Skeleton and the Teeth; Varieties of the Human Race, by Professor Owen, Dr. Latham, and Dr. Bushnan.
Vol. 2.-ORGANIC NATURE.-Part II. Structural and Systematic Botany, and Natural History of the Animal Kingdom, Invertebrated Animals: by Dr. Edward Smith and William S. Dallas, F.L.S.
Vol. 3.-ORGANIC NATURE.-Part III. Natural History of the Animal Kingdom, Vertebrated Animals: by William S. Dallas, F.L.S.

Vol. 4.-INORGANIC NATURE.-Geology and Physical Geography Crystallography; Mineralogy ; Meteorology, and Atmospheric Phenomena, by Professor Ansted, Rev. W. Mitchell, M.A., Professor Tennant, and Dr. Scoffern.
Vol. 5.-PRACTICAL ASTRONOMY, NAVIGATION, AND NAUtical astronomy, by Hugh Breem, Greenwich Observatory, Professor Young, and E. J. Lowe, F.R.A.S.
Vol. 6.-ELEMENTARY CHEMISTRY.-The Imponderable Agents and Inorganic Bodies, by John Scoffern, M.D.
Vol. 7.-PRACTICAL CHEMISTRY.-Monographs on Electro-Metallurgy; the Photographic Art; Chemistry of Food and its Adulterations; and Artificial Light; by George Gore, Birmingham, John Scoffern, M.D., Dr. Edward Bronner, Bradford, Marcus Sparling, and John Martin.
Vol. 8.-MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE.-Philosophy of Arithmetic; Algebra and its Solutions; Plane Geometry; Logarithms; Plane and Spherical Trigonometry; Mensuration and Practical Geometry, with use of Instruments, by Prof. Young, Rev. J. F. Twisden, M.A., Sandhurst College, and Alexander Jardine, C.E.
Vol. 9.-MECHANICAL PHILOSOPHY.-The Properties of Matter, Elementary Statics ; Dynamics ; Hydrostatics; Hydrodynamics ; Pneumatics; Practical Mechanics; and the Steam Engine, by the Rev. Walter Mitchell. M.A., J. R. Young, and John Imray.

## THE CIRCLE OF THE SCIENCES,

In Separate Treatises. Cloth.

1. Ansted's Geology and Physical Geography ..... s. $d$.
2. Breem's Practical Astronomy ..... 26
3. Bronner and Scoffern's Chemistry of Food and Diet ..... I 6
4. Bushnan's Physiology of Animal and Vegetable Life ..... I 6
5. Gore's Theory and Practice of Electro-Deposition ..... I 6
6. Imray's Practical Mechanics ..... I 6
7. Jardine's Practical Geometry ..... 6
8. Latham's Varieties of the Human Species ..... 6
9. Mitchell \& Tennant's Crystallography \& Mineralogy ..... 30
ro. Mitchell's Properties of Matter and Elementary Statics ..... $\sigma$
ir. Owen's Principal Forms of the Skeleton and the Teeth. ..... 6
10. Scoffern's Chemistry of Light, Heat and Electricity ..... 30
11. Scoffern's Chemistry of the Inorganic Bodies ..... 30
12. Scoffern's Chemistry of Artificial Light ..... 6
13. Scoffern and Lowe's Practical Meteorology ..... 6
14. Smith's Introduction to Botany: Structural \& Systematic ..... 20
15. Twisden's Plane and Spherical Trigonometry ..... 6
16. Twisden on Logarithms. ..... o
17. Young's Elements of Algebra. ..... o
18. Young's Solutions of Questions in Algebra ..... 10
19. Young's Navigation and Nautical Astronomy ..... 6
20. Young's Plane Geometry ..... I 6
21. Young's Simple Arithmetic ..... I 0
22. Young's Elementary Dynamics ..... I 6
DALLAS (W. S., F.L.S.):

A POPULAR HISTORY OF THE ANIMAL CREATION: being a Systematic and Popular Description of the Habits, Structure and Classification of Animals. With coloured Frontispiece and many hundred Illustrations. Crown 8 vo. C.loth, 8/6. New Edition.

## DOUGLAS'S TELEGRAPH CONSTRUCTION.

Published with the Approval of the Director-General of Telegraphs in India. A MANUAL OF TELEGRAPH CONSTRUC-

TION : The Mechanical Elements of Electric Telegraph Engineering. For the use of Telegraph Engineers and others. By John Christie Douglas, Society of Telegraphic Engineers, East India Government Telegraph Department, \&c. With numerous Diagrams. Crown 8vo. Cloth, bevelled, $15 /-$ Second Edition, with Appendiccs and Copious Index, now ready.

## GENERAL CONTENTS.

Part I.-General Principles of Strength and Stability, comprising the Strength of Materials; the Distribution of Load and Stress in Telegraph Structures, such as Poles-simple, strutted, tied, stayed, coupled, and trussed; the Catenary, with application of its Formulæ to the cases of Wires and Cables; Theory of the Submersion of Cables, \&c.
Part II.-Properties and Applications of Materials, Operations, and Manipulation, including the Principles and Practice of, and Numerical Data for, designing Simple Structures, such as Poles of Iron and Wood; Iron and Wooden Masts-simple and compound; Specifications for Wire, \&c.; Soldering; Surveying; the Raising of Heavy Masts; Insulating Materials and their Applications, \&c.
Part III.-Telegraph Construction, Maintenance and Organisation, treating of the Application of the Information conveyed in Parts I and II. to the case of Combined Structures, including the Construction of Overground, Subterranean, and Subaqueous Lines; Office Fittings ; Estimating; Organisation, \&c.
"Mr. Douglas deserves the thanks of Telegraphic Engineers for the excellent ' Manual' now before us the subject is treated with great clearness and judgment . . . . grood practical information given in a clear, terse style."-Engineering.
" Mr. Douglas's work is, we believe, the first of its kind. . . . The author is evidently a practical Telegraphic Engineer. . . . The amount of information given is such as to render this volume a most useful guide to any one who may be engaged in any branch of Electric-Telegraph Engineering."-A thenaum.
"The book is calculated to be of great service to Telegraphic Engineers. the arrangement is so judicious that with the aid of the full table of contents, reference to any special point should be easy."-Iron.

## GRIFFIN (John Joseph, F.R.S.) :

CHEMICAL RECREATIONS: A Popular Manual of Experimental Chemistry. With 540 Engravings of Apparatus. Crown 4 to. Cloth. Tenth Edition.

Part I. Elementary Chemistry, price $2 /-$
Part II. The Chemistry of the Non-Metallic Elements, including a Comprehensive Course of Class Experiments, price $г$ о/ 6.
*Or, complete in one volume, cloth, gilt top, $12 / 6$

## LEARED (Arthur, M.D., F.R.C.P., Senior Physician to the Great Northern Hospital): <br> IMPERFECT DIGESTION: Its Causes and Treatment. Post 8 vo . Cloth, 4/6. Sixth Edition. <br> "It now constitutes about the best work on the subject."-Lancet. <br> " Dr. Leared has treated a most important subject in a practical spirit and popular manner."-Medical Times and Gazette. <br> "A useful manual of the subject upon which it treats, and we welcome it as an addition to our Medical Literature."-Dublin Quarterly Fournal of Medical Science.

MOFFITT (Staff-Assistant-Surgeon A., of the Royal Victoria Hospital, Netley) :

A MANUAL OF INSTRUCTION FOR ATTENDANTS ON THE SICK AND WOUNDED IN WAR. Published under the sanction of the National Society for Aid to the Sick and Wounded in War. With numerous Illustrations. Post 8vo. Cloth, 5/-
"A work by a practical and experienced author. After an explicit chapter on the Anatomy of the Human Body, directions are given concerning bandaging, dressing of sores, wounds, \&c., assistance to wounded on field of action, stretchers, mule litters, ambulance, transport, \&cc. All Dr. Moffitt's instructions are assisted by well executed illustrations."-Public Opinion.
"A well written volume. Technical language has been avoided as much as possible, and ample explanations are afforded on all matters on the uses and management of the Field Hospital Equipment of the British Army."-Standard.

## NAPIER (James, F.R.S.E., F.C.S.) :

A MANUAL OF ELECTRO-METALLURGY. With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo, cloth, 7/6. Fifth Edition, revised and enlarged.

## GENERAL CONTENTS.

I.-History of the Art.
II.-Description of Galvanic Batteries and their Respective Peculiarities.
iII.-Electrotype Processes.
IV.-Bronzing.
V.-Miscellaneous Applications of the Process of Coating with Copper.
VI.-Deposition of Metals upon one another.
ViI.-Electro-Plating.
ViII.-Electro-Gilding.
IX.-Results of Experiments on the Deposition of other Metals as Coatings.
X.-Theoretical Observations.
"A work that has become an established authority on Electro-Metallurgy, an art which has been of immense use to the Manufacturer in economising the quantity of the precious metals absonbed, and in extending the sale of Art Manufactures........... We can heartily commend the work as a valuable handbook on the subject on which it treats."-Fournal of Applied Science.
"The fact of Mr. Napier's Treatise having reached a FIFTH EDITION is good evidence of an appreciation of the Author's mode of treating his subject............ A very useful and practical little Manual."-Iron.
"The Fifth Edition has all the advantages of a new work, and of a proved and tried friend. Mr. Napier is well-known for the carefulness and accuracy with which he writes . . there is a thoroughness in the handling of the subject which is far from general in these days . . The work is one of those which, besides supplying first-class information, are calculated to inspire invention."-ferveller and Watchmaker.

## NAPIER (James, F.R.S.E., F.C.S.) :

A MANUAL OF THE ART OF DYEING AND DYEING RECEIPTS. Illustrated by Diagrams and Numerous Specimens of Dyed Cotton, Silk, and Woollen Fabrics. Demy 8vo., cloth, 21/-. Third Edition, thoroughly revised and greatly enlarged.

## GENERAL CONTENTS:

Part I.-HEAT AND LIGHT:
Their effects upon Colours, and the changes they produce in many Dyeing Operations.
Part II.-A CONCISE SYSTEM OF CHEMISTRY, with special reference to Dyeing :
Elements of Matter, their physical and chemical properties, producing in their combination the different Acids, Salts, \&c., in use in the Dye-House.

## Part III.-MORDANTS AND ALTERANTS:

Their composition, properties, and action in fixing Colours within the Fibre.
Part IV.-VEGETABLE MATTERS in use in the Dye-House:
rst. those containing Tannin, Indigo, \&c.; 2ndly, the various Dyewoods and Roots, as Logwood, Madder, Bark, \&ic.
Part V.-ANimal DYES:
Cochineal, Kerms, Lac, \&c.
Part VI.-COAL-TAR COLOURS:
Their Discovery, Manufacture, and Introduction to the DyeingArt, from the discovery of MAUVE to ALIZARIN.

## APPENDIX.-RECEIPTS FOR MANIPULATION :

Bleaching; Removing Stains and Dyes; Dyeing of different Colours upon Woollen, Silk, and Cotton Materials, with Patterns.
"The numerous Dyeing Receipts and the Chemical Information furnished will be exceedingly valuable to the Practical Dyer...................... Manual of necessary reference to all those who wish to master their trade, and keep pace with the scientific discoveries of the time."-Fournal of Applied Science.
"In this work Mr. Napier has done good service......being a Practical Dyer himself, he knows the wants of his confreres......the Article on Water is a very valuable one to the Practical Dyer, enabling him readily to detect impurities, and correct their action......The Article on Indigo is very exhaustive.......the Dyeing Receipts are very numerous, and well illustrated."-Textile Manufacturer.

PHILLIPS (John, M.A., F.R.S., F.G.S., late Professor of Geology at the University of Oxford).

A MANUAL OF GEOLOGY: Practical and Theoretical. Revised and Edited by Robert Etheridge, F.R.S., F.G.S., of the Museum of Practical Geology. (In Preparation).

## PHILLIPS (J. Arthur, M. Inst.C.E., F.C.S., F.G.S.,

 Ancien Elève de l'Ecole des Mines, Paris):ELEMENTS OF METALLURGY: A Practical Treatise on the Art of Extracting Metals from their Ores. With over two hundred Illustrations, many of which have been reduced from Working Drawings. Royal 8vo, 764 pages, cloth, 34/-

## GENERAL CONTENTS:

## I.-A Treatise on Fuels and Refractory Materials.

II.-A Description of the principal Metalliferous Minerals, with their Distribution.
III.-Statistics of the amount of each Metal annually produced throughout the World, obtained from official sources, or, where this has not been practicable, from authentic private information.
IV.-The Methods of Assaying the different Ores, together with the Processes of Metallurgical Treatment, comprising: Iron, Cobalt, Nickel, Aluminium, Copper, Tin, Antimony, Arsenic, Zinc, Mercury, Bismuth, Lead, Silver, Gold and Platinum.

[^158]
## PORTER : (Surgeon-Major J. H., Assistant-

 Professor of Military Surgery in the Army Medical School, Hon. Assoc. of the Order of St. John of Jerusalem):THE SURGEON'S POCKET-BOOK: An Essay on the Best Treatment of the Wounded in War; for which a Prize was awarded by Her Majesty the Empress of Germany. Specially adapted to the Public Medical Services. With numerous Illustrations, 16 mo , roan, $7 / 6$.
"Just such a work as has long been wanted, in which men placed in a novel position, can find out quickly what is best to be done. We strongly recommend it to every officer in the Public Medical Services."-Practitioner.
"A complete vade mecim to guide the military surgeon in the field."-British Medical fournal.
"A capital little book . . . of the greatest practical value. . ; A surgeon with this Manual in his pocket becomes a man of resource at once."-Westminster Review.

## SCIENTIFIC MANUALS

BY

## W. J. MACQUORN RANKINE, C.E., LL.D., F.R.S.,

Late Regius Professor of Civil Engineering in the University of Glasgow.
I.-RANKINE (Prof.): APPLIED MECHANICS (A Manual of) ; comprising the Principles of Statics and Cinematics, and Theory of Structures, Mechanism and Machines. With numerous Diagrams. Revised by E. F. Bamber, C.E. Crown $8 v o$. Cloth, $12 / 6$. Ninth Edition.
"Cannot fail to be adopted as a text-book........The whole of the information so admirably arranged that there is every facility for reference."-Mining fournal.
II.-RANKINE (Prof.): CIVIL ENGINEERING (A Manual of) ; comprising Engineering Surveys, Earthwork, Foundations, Masonry, Carpentry, Metal-work, Roads, Railways, Canals, Rivers, Water-works, Harbours, \&c. With numerous Tables and Illustrations. Revised by E. F. Bamber, C.E. Crown 8vo. Cloth, i6/Twelfth Edition.
"Far surpasses in merit every existing work of the kind. As a Manual for the hands of the professional Civil Engineer it is sufficient and unrivalled, and even when we say this we fall short of that high appreciation of Dr. Rankine's labours which we should like to express."-The Engineer.
III.-RANKINE (Prof.): MACHINERY AND MILL WORK (A Manual of); comprising the Geometry, Motions, Work, Strength, Construction, and Objects of Machines, \&c. Illustrated with nearly 300 Woodcuts. Revised by E. F. Bamber, C.E. Crown 8vo. Cloth, $12 / 6$. Third Edition.
"Professor Rankine's 'Manual of Machinery and Millwork' fully maintains the high reputation which he enjoys as a scientific author; higher praise it is difficult to award to any book. It cannot fail to be a lantern to the feet of every engineer." "The Engineer.
IV.-RANKINE (Prof.): The STEAM ENGINE and OTHER PRIME MOVERS (A Manual of). With Diagram of the Mechanical Properties of Steam, numerous Tables and Illustrations. Revised by E. F. Bamber, C.E. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 12/6. Eighth Edition.
V.-RANKINE (Prof.): USEFUL RULES and TABLES. For Architects, Builders, Carpenters, Coachbuilders, Engravers, Engineers, Founders, Mechanics, Shipbuilders, Surveyors, Wheelwrights, \&c. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 9/- Fifth Edition.
"Undoubtedly the most useful collection of engineering data hitherto produced."Mining 尹ournal.
VI.-RANKINE (Prof.): A MECHANICAL TEXT-BOOK. By Professor Macquorn Rankine \& E. F. Bamber, C.E. With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 9/- Second Edition.
"The work, as a whole, is very complete, and likely to prove invaluable for furnishing a useful and reliable outline of the subjects treated of." $-M i n i n g$ Journal.
** The mechanical text-book forms a simple Introduction to Prof. RANKINE'S SERIBS of MANUALS On ENGINEERING and MECHANICS

## SHELTON (W. Vincent, Foreman to the Imperial

 Ottoman Gun-Factories, Constantinople).THE MECHANIC'S GUIDE: A Hand-book for Engineers and Artizans. With Copious Tables and Valuable Recipes for Practical Use. Illustrated. Crown 8vo, cloth, $7 / 6$.

## GENERAL CONTENTS:

| Part I.-Arithmetic. | Part | V.-Wheel and Screw- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Part |  |  | Part III.-Mensuration. Part IV.-Velocities in Boring and Wheel-Gearing.

Part VI.-Miscellaneous Subjects.
Part Vil.-The Steam-Engine. Part VIII.-The Locomotive.
'The Mechanic's Guide will answer its purpose as completely as a whole selies of elaborate text-books."-Mining Fournal.
"Ought to have a place on the bookshelf of every mechanic."-Iron.
"Much instruction is here given without pedantry or pretension."-Builder.
"A sine quâ non to every practical Mechanic."-Railway Service Gazette.
*** This Work is specially intended for Self-Teachers, and places before the Reacer a concise and simple explanation of General Principles, together with Illustrations of their adaptation to Practical Purposes.

THOMSON (Spencer, M.D.,L.R.C.S., Edinburgh): A DICTIONARY of DOMESTIC MEDICINE and HOUSEHOLD SURGERY. Thoroughly revised and brought down to the present state of Medical Science. With an additional chapter on the Management of the Sick Room; and Hints for the Diet and Comfort of Invalids. Many Illustrations. Demy 8vo, 750 pages. Cloth, $8 / 6$. Thirteenth Edition.
"The best and safest book on Domestic Medicine and Household Surgery which has yet appeared."-London fournal of Medicine.
"Dr. Thomson has fully succeeded in conveying to the public a vast amount of useful professional knowledge."-Dublin Fournal of Medical Science.
"Worth its weight in gold to families and the clergy."-Oxford Herald.

## WYLDE (James, formerly Lecturer on Natural

 Philosophy at the Polytechnic):THE MAGIC OF SCIENCE: A Manual of Easy and Amusing Scientific Experiments. With Steel Portrait of Faraday and many hundred Engravings. Crown 8vo. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, 5/- Third Edition.
"Of priceless value to furnish work for idle hands during the holidays. A thousand mysteries of Modern Science are here unfolded. We learn how to make Oxygen Gas, how to construct a Galvanic Battery, how to gild a Medal by Electroplating, or to reproduce one by Electrotyping, how to make a Microscope or take a Photograph, while the elements of Mechanics are explained so simply and clearly that the most unmechanical of minds must understand them. Such a work is deserving of the highest praise."-The Graphic.
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## Educational Works.

## BRYCE (Archibald Hamilton, D.C.L., LL.D.,

 Senior Classical Moderator in the University of Dublin):Virgilit opera. Text from Heyne and Wagner. English Notes, original, and selected from the leading German, American and English Commentators. Illustrations from the antique. Complete in One Volume, fcap. 8vo., cloth, 6/- Twelfth Edition.

Or, in Three Parts :
Part I. Bucolics and Georgics .. .. $2 / 6$
Part II. The Æneid, Books I.-VI. .. .. $2 / 6$
Part III. The Æneid, Books VII.-XII. .. $2 / 6$
"Contains the pith of what has been written by the best scholars on the subject. ......The notes comprise everything that the student can want."-Athenæum.
"The most complete, as well as elegant and correct, edition of Virgil ever published in this country."-Educational Times.
"The best commentary on Virgil which a student can obtain."-Scotsman.

## COBBETT (William) : ENGLISH GRAMMAR

 in a Series of Letters, intended for the use of Schools and Young Persons in general. With an additional Chapter on Pronunciation, by the Author's Son, James Paul Cobbett. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, i/6. (The only correct and authorized Edition)."A new and cheapened edition of that most excellent of all English Grammars, William Cobbett's. It contains new copyright matter, as well as includes the equally amusing and instructive 'Six Lessons intended to prevent statesmen from writing in an awkward manner.'"-Atlas.

## COBBETT (William) : A FRENCH GRAMMAR.

Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, 3/6. Fifteenth Edition.
"' Cobbett's French Grammar' comes out with perennial freshness. There are few grammars equal to it for those who are learning, or desirous of learning, French without a teacher. The work is excellently arranged, and in the present edition we note certain careful and wise revisions of the text."-School Board Chronicle.
"Business men commencing the study of French will find this treatise one of the best aids......It is largely used on the Continent."-Midland Counties Herald.

## COBBETT (James Paul): A LATIN GRAMMAR. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, $2 /-$

COLERIDGE (Samuel Taylor) : A DISSERTATION ON THE SCIENCE OF METHOD. (Encyclopadia Metropolitana.) With a Synopsis. Crown 8vo. Cloth, $2 /-$ Ninth Edition.

## CRAIK'S ENGLISH LITERATURE.

 A COMPENDIOUS HISTORY OF ENGLISH LITERATURE AND OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE from the Norman Conquest. With numerous specimens. By George Lillie Craik, LL.D., late Professor of History and English Literature, Queen's College, Belfast. In two vols. Royal 8 vo . Handsomely bound in cloth, 25/-; full calf, gilt edges, 37/6. New Edition.> GENERAL CONTENTS. Introductory.
I.-The Norman Period-The Conquest.
II.-SEcond English-commonly called Semi-Saxon.
III.-Third English-Mixed, or Compound English.
IV.-Middle and Latter Part of the Seventeenth Century.
V.-The Century between the English Revolution and the French Revolution.
VI.-The Latter Part of the Fighteenth Century.

Vil.-The Nineteenth Century: (a) The Last Age of the Georges.
(b) The Victorian Age.

With numerous Excerpts and Specimens of Style.


#### Abstract

"Anyone who will take the trouble to ascertain the fact, will find how completely even our great poets and other writers of the last generation have already faded from the view of the present with the most numerous class of the educated and reading public. Scarcely anything is generally read except the publications of the day. YET nothing is more certain than that no true cultivation can be so acquired. This is the extreme case of that entire ignorance of history which has been affirmed, not with more point than truth, to leave a person always a child...................... "The present work combines the History of the Literature with the History of the Language. The scheme of the course and revolutions of the Language which is followed here is extremely simple, and resting not upon arbitrary, but upon natural or real distinctions, gives us the only view of the subject that can claim to be regarded as of a scientific character."-Extract from the Author's Preface. "Professor Craik's book going, as it does, through the whole history of the language, probably takes a place quite by itself. The great value of the book is its thorough comprehensiveness. It is always clear and straightforward, and deals not in theories but in facts."-Saturday Review.


## CRAIK (Prof.) : A MANUAL OF ENGLISH

LITERATURE, for the Use of Colleges, Schools and Civil Service Examinations. Selected from the larger work, by Dr. Craik. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 7/6. Seventh Edition.
"A Manual of English Literature from so experienced and well-read a scholar as Professor Craik needs no other recommendation than the mention of its existence."Spectator.
"This augmented effort will be, we doubt not, received with decided approbation by those who are entitled to judge, and studied with much profit by those who want to learn...... If our young readers will give healthy perusal to Dr. Craik's work, they will greatly benefit by the wide and sound views he has placed before them." Athenaum. HANDBOOK OF SPECIMENS OF LATIN AUTHORS (ProseWriters and Poets) from the Earliest Period to the Latest, chronologically arranged. Crown 8vo. (In Preparation).

## CRUTTWELL (Charles Thomas, M.A., Fellow

 of Merton College, Oxford; Head Master of Bradfield College):A HISTORY OF ROMAN LITERATURE, from the Earliest Period to the Times of the Antonines. With Chronological Tables and Test-Questions, for the Use of Students preparing for Examinations. Crown 8 vo ., Cloth, $8 / 6$. Second Edition.

> "Mr. CRUTTwELL has done a real service to all Students of the Latin Language and Literature. $\dot{\text { a }}$. Full of good scholarship and good criticism."-Athencum.
> "A most serviceable-indeed, indispensable-guide for the Student. general reader' will be both charmed and instructed."-Saturday Review.
> "The Author undertakes to make Latin Literature interesting, and he has succeeded. There is not a dull page in the volume." Academy.
> "The great merit of the work is its fulness and accuracy."-Guardian.
> "This etaborate and very careful work . in every respect of high merit. Nothing at all equal to it has hitherto been published in England."-British Quarterly Review.

## CURRIE (Joseph, formerly Head Classical Master

 of Glasgow Academy):horatil opera. Text from Orellius. English Notes, original, and selected from the best Commentators. Illustrations from the antique. Complete in One Volume, fcap. 8vo., cloth, 5/-

Or, in Two Parts :
Part I. Carmina .. .. .. 3/-
"The notes are excellent and exhaustive."-Quarterly fournal of Education.

## CURRIE (Joseph): EXTRACTS FROM

 CÆSAR'S COMMENTARIES; containing his description of Gaul, Britain and Germany. With Notes, Vocabulary, \&c. Adapted for Young Scholars. 18mo. Cloth, r/6. Fourth Edition.D'ORSEY (Rev. Alex. J. D., B.D., of Corpus Christi Coll., Cambridge, Lecturer at King's Coll., London) :

SPELLING BY DICTATION : Progressive Exercises in English Orthography, for Schools and Civil Service Examinations. 18mo. Cloth, r/- Fifteenth Thousand.
FLEMING (William, D.D., late Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Glasgow) :
the vocabulary of philosophy: Mental, Moral, and Metaphysical. With Quotations and References for the Use of Students. Revised andEdited by Henry Calderwood, Ll.D., Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh. Crown 8vo. Cloth bevelled, 1о/6. Third Edition, enlarged.
"An admirable book. . . In its present shape will be welcome, not only to Students, but to many who have long since passed out of the class of Students, popularly so calle I."-Scotsman.
"The additions "y the Editor bear in their clear, concise, vigorous expression the stamp of his powerful intellect, and thorough command of our language. More than ever, the work is now likely to have a prolonged and useful existence, and to facilitate the researches of those entering upon philosophic Studies."-Weekly Review.
"A valuable addition to a Student's Library."-Tablet.

McBURNEY (Isaiah, LL.D.) : EXTRACTS FROM OVID'S METAMORPHOSES. With Notes, Vocabulary, \&c. Adapted for Young Scholars. 18mo. Cloth, $\mathrm{I} / 6$. Third Edition.

## COBBIN'S MANGNALL:

MANGNALL'S HISTORICAL AND MISCELLaNEOUS QUESTIONS, for the Use of Young People. By Richmal Mangnall. Greatly enlarged and corrected, and continued to the present time. By Ingram Cobbin, M.A. 12mo. Cluth 4/-Forty-eighth Thousand. New Illustrated Edition.
MENTAL SCIENCE: SAMUEL TAYLOR COLERIDGE'S CELEBRATED ESSAY ON METHOD; Archbishop Whately's Treatises on Logic and Rhetoric. Crown 8 vo . Cloth, 5/- Tenth Edition.

## WORKS BY WILLIAM RAMSAY, M.A.,

Trinity College, Cambridge, late Professor of Humanity in the University of Glasgow.
A MANUAL OF ROMAN ANTIQUITIES. For the use of Advanced Students. With Map, 130 Engravings, and very copious Index. Revised and enlarged, with an additional Chapter on Roman Agriculture. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 8/6. Tenth Edition.

GENERAL CONTENTS.
I.-The Typography of Rome.
II.-The Origin of the Roman People; their Political and Social Organization; Religion; Kalendar; and Private Life.
III.-General Principles of the Roman Constitution; the Rights of Different Classes; the Roman Law ard Administration of Justice.
IV.-The Comitia ; Magistrates; the Senate.
V.-Military and Naval Affairs; Revenues; Weights and Measures; Coins, \&c.
VI.-Public Lands; Agrarian Laws; Agriculture, \&c.
"Comprises all the results of modern improved scholarship within a moderate
compass."-Athencum.
RAMSAY (Prof.) : AN ELEMENTARY MANUAL OF ROMAN ANTIQUITIES. Adapted for Junior Classes. With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 4/- Sixth Edition.
RAMSAY (Prof.) : A MANUAL OF LATIN PROSODY. Illustrated by Copious Examples and Critical Remarks. For the use of Advanced Students. Revised and greatly enlarged. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 5/- Sixth Edition.
"There is no other work on the subject worthy to compete with it."-Athencum.

> RAMSAY (Prof.) : AN ELEMENTTARY MANUAL OF, LATIN PROSODY. Adapted for Junior Classes. Crown 8vo. Cloth, $2 /-$

## THE SCHOOL BOARD READERS:

A New Series of Standard Reading Books. Edited by a former H.M. INSPECTOR of SCHOOLS.

Recommended by the London School Board. And adopted by many School Boards throughout the Country. ** AGGREGATE SALE, Igo,000 COPIES.

Elementary Reading Book, Part I.-Containing Lessons s. $d$. in all the Short Vowel Sounds. Demy 18mo., I6 pages. In stiff wrapper
Elementary Reading Boox, Part II.-Containing the Long Vowel Sounds and other Monosyllables. Demy 18mo, 48 pages. In stiff wrapper .

02
Standard I.-Containing Reading, Dictation, and Arithmetic. Demy 18mo, 96 pages. Neat cloth

- 4

Standard II.-Containing Reading, Dictation and Arithmetic. Demy 18mo, 128 pages. Neat cloth
Standard III.-Containing Reading, Dictation and Arithmetic. Fcap. 8vo, 160 pages. Neat cloth
Standard IV.-Containing Reading, Dictation and Arithmetic. Fcap. 8vo, 192 pages. Neat cloth
Standard V.-Containing Reading, Dictation and Arithmetic, with an Explanation of the Metric System and numerous Examples. Crown 8vo, 256 pages. Neat cloth
Standard VI.-Containing Selections from the best English Authors, chronologically arranged (Chaucer to Tennyson), Hints on Composition, and Lessons on Scientific Subjects. Crown $8 \mathrm{vo}, 320$ pages. Neat cloth .

20
Key to the Questions in Arithmetic, in two parts, each o 6

[^160]
# THE SCHOOL BOARD MANUALS: 

(On the Specific Subjects of the Revised Code). By a former H.M. INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS.

Editor of the "School Board Readers."
Recommended by the London School Board, and used in many Schools throughout the Country.

Price 6d. each in stiff wrapper ; cloth neat. 7 d.
I. ALGEBRA.-In this book, which is adapted to Standards IV., V. and VI., everything is explained (in accordance with the Pestalozzian system) upon first principles, and the examples are, as far as possible, taken from concrete numbers. Abundance of examples are given, graduated by easy stages.
II. ENGLISH HISTORY.-This book is exactly suited to the requirements of the Code for Standards IV., V. and VI. the chief events of importance being given in detail, and the general landmarks of history in brief. Copious Tables are added.
III. GEOGRAPHY.-Contains all that is necessary for passing in Standards IV., V. and VI.
IV. PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY.-Contents : Figure of the Earth -Mountain Systems-Ocean Currents-Atmospheric Phe-nomena-Trade Winds-Distribution of Plants, Animals, and Races of Men, \&c.
V. ANIMAL PHYSIOLOGY.-Contents: Classification of Ani-mals-the Human Skeleton-Bones, Muscles, Skin, Hair, and Nails-Digestion, Circulation, Respiration, Secretion, and Nu-trition-the Nervous System-the Senses. Illustrated by good Engravings.
VI. BIBLE HISTORY.-Contents: Names, Divisions, and History of the Bible-Analysis of the Old and New TestamentsGeography of Palestine-Tables of Measures, \&c.

> ** It is hoped that this book will prove serviceable in the study of the Scriptures. All controversial points have been carefully avoided.

[^161]SENIOR (Nassau William, M.A., late Professor of Political Economy in the University of Oxford):

A TREATISE ON POLITICAL ECONOMY; the Science which treats of the Nature, the Production, and the Distribution of Wealth. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 4/- Sixth Edition. (Encyclopadia Metropolitana)

THOMSON (James) : THE SEASONS. With an Introduction and Notes by Robert Bell, Editor of the "Annotated Series of British Poets." Foolscap 8vo. Cloth, i/6. Third Edition.
"An admirable introduction to the study of our English classics."
WHATELY (Archbishop) : A TREATISE ON LOGIC. With Synopsis and Index. Crown 8vo. Cloth, 3/- The Original Edition. (Encyclopadia Metropolitana).

WHATELY (Archbishop): A TREATISE ON RHETORIC. With Synopsis and Index. Crown 8vo. Cloth, $3 / 6$. The Original Edition. (Encyclopadia Metropolitana).

WYLDE (James) : A MANUAL OF MATHEMATICS, Pure and Applied. Including Arithmetic, Algebra, Geometry, Trigonometry (Plane and Spherical), Logarithms, Mensuration, \&c. Super-royal 8vo. Cloth, $10 / 6$.

[^162]
## Works in General Literature.

BELL (Robert, Editor of the "Annotated Series of British Poets.") :

GOLDEN LEAVES FROM THE WORKS OF THE POETS AND PAINTERS. Illustrated by Sixty-four superb Engravings on Steel, after Paintings by David Roberts, Stanfifld, Leslie, Stothard, Haydon, Cattermole, Nasmyth, Sir Thomas Lawrence, and many others, and engraved in the first style of Art by Finden, Greatbach, Lightfoot, \&c. 4to. Cloth gilt, $21 /-$; unique walnut binding, 30/-; morocco antique, 35/- Second Edition.
"' Golden Leaves' is by far the most important book of the season. The illustrations are really works of art, and the volume does credit to the arts of England."Saturday Review.
"The Poems are selected with taste and judgment."-Times.
"The engravings are from drawings by Stothard, Newton, Danby, Leslie, and Turner, and it is needless to say how charming are many of the above here given." Athenaum.

## CHRISTISON (John): A COMPLETE SYS-

 TEM OF INTEREST TABLES at $3,4,4 \frac{1}{2}$ and 5 per Cent.; Tables of Exchange or Commission, Piofit and Loss, Discount, Clothiers', Malt, Spirit and various other useful Tables. To which is prefixed the Mercantile Ready Reckoner, containing Reckoning Tables from one thirty-second part of a penny to one pound. Greatly enlarged. 12 mo . Bound in leather, 4/6. New Edition.
## THE WORKS OF WILLIAM COBBETT.

THE ONLY AUTHORIZED EDITIONS.
COBBETT (William): ADVICE TO YOUNG MEN and (incidentally) to Young Women, in the Middle and Higher Ranks of Life. In a series of Letters addressed to a Youth, a Bachelor, a Lover, a Husband, a Father, a Citizen, and a Subject. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, 2/6. New Edition. With admirable Portrait on Steel.

[^163]William Cobbett's Works-(continued).
COBBETT (Wm.) : EDUCATIONAL WORKS. (See page 19).

COBBETT (Wm): A LEGACY to LABOURERS; An Argument showing the Right of the Poor to Relief from the Land. With a Preface by the Author's Son, John M. Cobbett, late M.P for Oldham. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, I/6. New Edition.
"The book cannot be too much studied just now."-Nonconformist.
" Cobbett was, perhaps, the ablest Political writer England ever produced, and his influence as a Liberal thinker is felt to this day..........It is a real treat to read his strong, racy language."-Public Opinion.

## COBBETT (Wm.) : A LEGACY to PARSONS ;

 Or, Have the Clergy of the Established Church an Equitable Right to Tithes and Church Property? Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, 1/6. New Edition.[^164]
## COBBETT (Miss Anne) : THE ENGLISH

 HOUSEKEEPER; Or, Manual of Domestic Management. Containing Advice on the Conduct of Household Affairs, and Practical Instructions, intended for the Use of Young Ladies who undertake the superintendence of their own Housekeeping. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, $3 / 6$.
## COOK'S VOYAGES. VOYAGES ROUND

THE WORLD, by Captain Cook. Illustrated with Maps and numerous Engravings. Two vols. Super-royal 8vo. Cloth, 30/,

DALGAIRNS (Mrs.) : THE PRACTICE OF COOKERY, adapted to the business of Every-day Life. By Mrs. Dalgairns. The best book for Scotch dishes. About Fifty new Recipes have been added to the present Edition, but only such as the Author has had adequate means of ascertaining to be valuable. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, $3 / 6$. Sixteenth Edition.
"This is by far the most complete and truly practical work which has yet appeared on the subject. It will be found an infallible 'Cook's Companion,' and a treasure of great price to the mistress of a family."-Edinburgh Literary Fournal.

[^165]
## D'AUBIGNÉ (Dr. Merle) : HISTORY OF THE

 REFORMATION. With the Author's latest additions and a new Preface. Many Woodcuts, and Twelve Engravings on Steel, illustrative of the Life of Martin Luther, after Labouchère. In one large volume, demy 4to. Elegantly bound in cloth, 21/-"In this edition the principal actors and scenes in the great drama of the Sixteenth Century are brought vividly before the eye of the reader, by the skill of the artist and engraver."

## DONALDSON (Joseph, Sergeant in the 94th Scots

 Regiment):RECOLLECTIONS OF THE EVENTFUL LIFE OF A SOLDIER IN THE PENINSULA. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, $3 / 6$; gilt sides and edges, 4/- New Edition.

## EARTH DELINEATED WITH PEN AND

PENCIL (The): an Illustrated Record of Voyages, Travels, and Adventures all round the World. Illustrated with more than Two Hundred Engravings in the first style of Art, by the most eminent Artists, including several from the master pencil of Gustave Doré. Demy $4^{\text {to, }} 750$ pages. Very handsomely bound, 21/-

## MRS. ELLIS'S CELEBRATED WORKS

On the Influence and Character of Women.

## THE ENGLISHWOMAN'S LIBRARY:

A Series of Moral and Descriptive Works. By Mrs. Ellis. Small 8 vo., cloth, each volume, $2 / 6$; with gilt backs and edges, $3 /$ -
x.-THE WOMEN OF ENGLAND: Their Social Duties and Domestic Habits. Thirty-ninth Thousand.
2.-THE DAUGHTERS OF ENGLAND: Their Position in Society, Character, and Responsibilities. Twentieth Thousand.
3.-THE WIVES OF ENGLAND: Their Relative Daties, Domestic Influence, and Social Obligations. Eighteenth Thousand.
4.-THE MOTHERS OF ENGLAND : Their Influence and Responsibilities. Twentieth Thousand.
5.-FAMILY SECRETS; Or, Hints to make Home Happy. Three vols. Twenty-third Thousand.
6.-SUMMER AND WINTER IN THE PYRENEES. Tenth Thousand.
7.-TEMPER AND TEMPERAMENT; Or, Varieties of Character. Two vols. Tenth Thousand.
8.-PREVENTION BETTER THAN CURE; Or, The Moral Wants of the World we live in. Twelfth Thousand.
9.-HEARTS AND HOMES; Or, Social Distinctions. Three vols. Tenth Thousand.

## THE EMERALD SERIES OF STANDARD AUTHORS.

Illustrated by Engravings on Steel, after Stothard, Leslie, David Roberts, Stanfield, Sir Thomas Lawrence, Cattermole, \&c. Fcap. 8 vo. Cloth gilt.

[^166]BURNS' (Robert) SONGS AND BALLADS. With an Introduction on the Character and Genius of Burns. By Thomas Carlyle. Carefully printed in antique type, and illustrated with Portrait and beautiful Engravings on Steel. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/malachite, io/6. Second Thousand.

BYRON (Lord) : CHILDE HAROLD'S PILGRIMAGE. With Memoir by Professor Spalding. Illustrated with Portrait and Engravings on Steel by Greatbach, Miller, Lightfoot, \&c., from Paintings by Cattermole, Sir T. Lawrence, H. Howard, and Stothard. Beautifully printed on toned paper. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/-; malachite, ro/6. Third Thousand.
CAMPBELL (Thomas) : THE PLEASURES of hope. With Introductory Memoir by the Rev. Charles Rogers, LL.D., and several Poems never before published. Illustrated with Portrait and Steel Engravings. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/-; malachite, 10/6. Second Thousand.

## CHATTERTON'S (Thos.) POETICAL WORKS.

 With an Original Memoir by Frederick Martin, and Portrait. Beautifully illustrated on Steel, and elegantly printed. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/-; malachite, ro/6. Fourth Thousand.GOLDSMITH'S (Oliver) POETICAL WORKS. With Memoir by Professor Spalding. Exquisitely illustrated with Steel Engravings. Printed on superior toned paper. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/-; malachite, ro/6. New Edition. Seventh Thousand.
GRAY'S (Thomas) POETICAL WORKS. With
Life by the Rev. John Mitford, and Essay by the Earl of Carlisle. With Portrait and numerous Engravings on Steel and Wood. Elegantly printed on toned paper. Cloth, gilt edges, $5 /-$; malachite, $12 / 6$. Eton Edition, with the Latin Poems. Fifth Thousand.
HERBERT'S (George) POETICAL WORKS. With Memoir by J. Nichol, B.A., Oxon., Prof. of English Literature in the University of Glasgow. Edited by Charles Cowden Clarke. Antique headings to each page. Cloth, gilt edges, $3 /-$ malachite, $10 / 6$. Second Thousand.

The Emerald Series-(continued). KEBLE (Rev. John) : THE CHRISTIAN YEAR. With Memoir by W. Temple, Portrait, and Eight beautiful Engravings on Steel. Cloth, gilt edges, 5/-; morocco elegant, 1o/6; malachite, $12 / 6$.

## POE'S (Edgar Allan) COMPLETE POETICAL

 WORKS. Edited, with Memoir, by James Hannay. Full-page Illustrations after Wehnert, Weir, \&c. Toned paper. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/-; malachite, 10/6. Thirteenth Thousand.Other Volumes in preparation.

## FINDEN'S FINE ART WORKS.

BEAUTIES OF MOORE; being a Series of Portraits of his principal Female Characters, from Paintings by eminent Artists, engraved in the highest style of Art by Edward Finden, with a Memoir of the Poet, and descriptive letter-press. Folio. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, 42/-

## DRAWING-ROOM TABLE BOOK (The); a

 Series of 3x highly-finished Steel Engravings, with descriptive Tales by Mrs. S. C. Hall, Mary Howitt, and others. Folio. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, 2I/-GALLERY OF MODERN ART (The) ; a Series of 31 highly-finished Steel Engravings, with descriptive Tales by Mrs. S. C. Hall, Mary Howitt, and others. Folio. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, 21/-

FISHER'S READY RECKONER. The best in the world. r8mo. Bound, $\mathrm{r} / 6$. New Edition.,
GILMER'S INTEREST TABLES: Tables for Calculation of Interest, on any sum, for any number of days, at $\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}$, $2,2 \frac{1}{2}, 3,3 \frac{1}{2}, 4,4 \frac{1}{2}, 5$ and 6 per Cent. By Robert Gilmer. Corrected and enlarged. I2mo. Roan lettered, 5/- Sixth Edition.
GOLDSMITH'S (Oliver) COMPLETE POETical WORKS. With a Memoir by William Spalding, A.M., Professor of Logic and Rhetoric in the University of St. Andrew's. Portrait and numerous Illustrations on Steel and Wood. Fcap. 4to. Most elaborately gilt, cloth, 5/-

## GRAEME (Elliott) : BEETHOVEN : a Memoir.

 With Portrait, Essay (Quasi Fantasia) " on the Hundredth Anniversary of his Birth," and Remarks on the Piancforte Sonatas, with hints to Students. By Dr. Ferdinand Hiller, of Cologne. Crown 8vo. Cloth gilt, elegant, 5/- Second Edition, slightly enlarged." This elegant and interesting Memoir........The newest, prettiest, and most readable sketch of the immortal Master of Music."-Musical Standard.
"A gracious and pleasant Memorial of the Centenary."-Spectator.
"This delightful little book-concise, sympathetic, judicious."-Manchester Examiner.
" We can, without reservation, recommend it as the most trustworthy and the pleasantest Memoir of Beethoven published in England."-Observer.
"A most readable volume, which ought to find a place in the library of every admirer of the great Tone-Poet."-Edinburgh Daily Review.

## GRAEME (Elliott) : A NOVEL WITH TWO

 HEROES. In 2 vols., post 8 vo. Cloth, $2 \mathrm{I} /$ - Second Edition."A decided literary success."-Athenaum.
" Clever and amusing........above the average even of good novels.........free from sensationalism, but full of interest........touches the deeper chords of life .........delineation of character remarkably good."-Spectator.
"Superior in all respects to the common run of novels."-Daily News.
"A story of deep interest........The dramatic scenes are powerful almost. to painfulness in their intensity."-Scotsman.

## HOGARTH : The Works of William Hogarth, in

 a Series of One Hundred and Fifty Steel Engravings by the First Artists, with Descriptive Letterpress by the Rev. John Trusler, and Introductory Essay on the Genius of Hogarth, by James Hannay. Folio. Cloth, gilt edges, 52/6."The Philosopher who ever preached the sturdy English virtues which have made us what we are."
KNIGHT (Charles) : PICTORIAL GALLERY (The) OF THE USEFUL AND FINE ARTS. Illustrated by numerous beautiful Steel Engravings, and nearly Four Thousand Woodcuts. Two vols., folio. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, $42 /-$

## KNIGHT (Charles) : PICTORIAL MUSEUM

 (The) OF ANIMATED NATURE. Illustrated with Four Thousand Woodcuts. Two vols., folio. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, $35 /$ -
## MACKEY'S FREEMASONRY :

A LEXICON OF FREEMASONRY. Containing a Definition of its Communicable Terms, Notices of its History, Traditions, and Antiquities, and an Account of all the Rites and Mysteries of the Ancient World. By Albert G. Mackey, M.D., Secretary-General of the Supreme Council of the U.S., \&c. Handsomely bound in cloth, 5/Sixth Edition.

[^167]
## HENRY MAYHEW'S CELEBRATED WORK ON THE STREET-FOLK OF LONDON. LONDON LABOUR AND THE LONDON

POOR: A Cyclopædia of the Condition and Earnings of those that will work and those that cannot work. By Henry Mayhew. With many full-page Illustrations from Photographs. In three vols. Demy 8 vo . Cloth, $4 / 6$ each.
"Every page of the work is full of valuable information, laid down in so interesting a manner that the reader can never tire."-Illustrated News.
"Mr. Henry Mayhew's famous record of the habits, earnings, and sufferings of the London poor."-Lloyd's. Weekly London Newspaper.
"This remarkable book, in which Mr. Mayhew gave the better classes their first real insight into the habits, modes of livelihood, and current of thought of the London poor."-The Patriot.

## The Extra Volume.

## LONDON LABOUR AND THE LONDON

POOR: Those that will not work. Comprising the Non-workers, by Henry Mayhew; Prostitutes, by Bracebridge Hemyng; Thieves, by John Binny; Beggars, by Andrew Halliday. With an Introductory Essay on the Agencies at Present in Operation in the Metropolis for the Suppression of Crime and Vice, by the Rev. William Tuckniss, B.A., Chaplain to the Society for the Rescue of Young Women and Children. With Illustrations of Scenes and Localities. In one large vol. Royal 8vo. Cloth $10 / 6$.
"The work is full of interesting matter for the casual reader, while the philanthropist and the philosopher will find details of the greatest import."-City Press.

Companion Volume to the above.

## THE CRIMINAL PRISONS OF LONDON, and

Scenes of Prison Life. By Henry Mayhew and John Binny. Illustrated by nearly two hundred Engravings on Wood, principally from Photographs. In one large vol. Imperial 8 vo . Cloth, $\mathbf{1 o} / 6$.

Contents:-General View of London, its Population, Size and Contrasts-Professional London-Criminal London-Pentonville Prison -The Hulks at Woolwich-Millbank Prison-The Middlesex House of Detention-Coldbath Fields- The Middlesex House of Correction, Tothill Fields-The Surrey House of Correction, Wandsworth-Newgate -Horsemonger Lane-Clerkenwell.
"This volume concludes Mr. Henry Mayhew's account of his researches into the crime and poverty of London. The amount of labour of one kind or other, which the whole series of his publications represents, is something almost in-calculable."-Literary Budget.
${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ This celebrated Record of Investigations into the condition of the Poor of the Metropolis, undertaken from philanthropic motives by Mr. Henry Mayhew, first gave the wealthier classes of England some idea of the state of Heathenism, Degradation, and Misery, in which multitudes of their puorer brethren languished. His revelations created, at the time of their appearance, universal horror and excitement-that a nation, professedly Christian, should have in its midst a vast population, so sunk in ignorance, vice, and very hatred of Religion, was deemed incredible, until further examination established the truth of the statements advanced. The result is well known. The London of Mr. Mayhew will, happily, soon exist only in his pages. To those who would appreciate the efforts already made among the ranks which recruit our "dangerous" classes, and who would learn what yet remains to be done, the work will afford enlightenment, not unmingled with surprise.

MILLER (Thomas, Author of "Pleasures of a Country Life," \&c.) :

THE LANGUAGE OF FLOWERS. With Eight beautifully-coloured Floral Plates. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, gilt edges, 3/6. Fuurteenth Thousand.
" A book
In which thou wilt find many a lovely saying About the leaves and flowers."-Keats.

## MILLER (Thomas) : THE LANGUAGE OF

FLOWERS. Abridged from the larger work by Thomas Miller. With Coloured Frontispiece. Limp cloth, 6d. Cheap Edition. POE'S (Edgar Allan) COMPLETE POETICAL WORKS. Edited, with Memoir, by James Hannay. Full-page Illustrations after Wehnert, Weir, and others. In paper wrapper, Illustrated, $\mathrm{r}^{\prime} 6$.

## SHAKSPEARE : THE FAMILY. The Dramatic

Works of WILLJAM SHAKSPEARE, edited and expressly adapted for Home and School Use. By Thomas Bowdler, F.R.S. With Twelve beautiful Illustrations on Steel. Crown 8vo. Cloth gilt, 10/6; Morocco antique, 17/6. New Edition.
*** This unique Edition of the great dramatist is admirably suited for home use; while objectionable phrases have been expurgated, no rash liberties have been taken with the text.
"It is quite undeniable that there are many passages in Shakspeare which a father could not read aluud to his children-a brother to his sister-or a gentleman to a lady; and every one almost must have felt or witnessed the extreme awkwardness, and even distress, that arises from suddenly stumbling upon such expressions......... Those who recollect such scenes must all rejoice that Mr. Bowder has provided a security against their recurrence.....This purification has been accomplished with surprisingly little loss, either of weight or value; the base alloy in the pure metal of Shakspeare has been found to amount to an inconceivably small proportion
It has in general been found easy to extirpate the offensive expressions of our great poet without any injury to the context, or any visible scar or blank in the composition. They turn out to be not so much cankers in the flowers, as weeds that have sprung up by their side-not flaws in the metal, but impurities that have gathered on its surface-and, so far from being missed on their removal, the work generally appears more natural and harmonious without them."-Lord Feffrey in the Edinourgh Review.

## SIIAKSPEARE'S DRAMATIC \& POETICAL

WORKS. Revised from the Original Editions, with a Memoir and Essay on his Genius by Barry Cornwall. Also Annotations and Intruductory Remarks on his Plays, by R. H. Horne and other eminent writers. With numerous Woodcut Illustrations and Full-page Steel Engravings, by Kenny Meadows. Three vols., super-royal 8vo. Cloth gilt, 42/- Tenth Edition.
SHAKSPEARE'S WORKS. Edited by T. O. Halliwell, F.R.S., F.S.A. With Historical Introductions, Notes Explanatory and Critical, and a series of Portraits on Steel. Three vols., royal 8vo. Cloth gilt, 50/-

## THE STANDARD DICTIONARY OF QUOTATIONS.

## SOUTHGATE (Henry) : MANY THOUGHTS

OF MANY MINDS: being a Treasury of Reference, consisting of Selections from the Writings of the most celebrated Authors, compiled and analytically arranged by Henry Southgate. Toned paper, square 8vo. Cloth gilt, elegant, $\mathbf{1 2} / 6$; Library Edition, half Roxburgh, $14 /$-; morocco antique, 21/- Twenty-eighth Edition.
"The produce of years of research."-Examiner.
"Destined to take a high place among books of this class."-Notes and Queries.
" A treasure to every reader who may be fortunate enough to possess it." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ Englis/t Fournal of Education.
"The accumulation of treasures truly wonderful."-Morning Herald.

- This is a wondrous book."-Daily Neres.
" Worth its weight in gold to literary men."-Builder.


## SOUTHGATE (Henry) : MANY THOUGHTS

 OF MANY MINDS. Second Series. Square 8vo, toned paper. Cloth gilt, elegant, $12 / 6$; Library Edition, half Roxburgh, 14/-; morocco antique, 21/- Fifth Edition."We are not surprised that a Second Series of this work should have been called for. Preachers and Public Speakers will find that it has special uses for them."Edinburgh Davly Review.
"Fully sustains the deserved reputation of the First Series."-fohn Bull.

## THE SHILLING MANUALS.

 By JOHN TIMBS, F.S.A., Author of "The Curiosities of London," \&c.A Series of Hand-Books, containing Facts and Anecdotes interesting to all Readers. Fcap. 8vo. Neat cloth, one shilling each. Second Edition.
I.-CHARACTERISTICS OF EMINENT MEN. By John Timbs. Bound in neat cloth, price I/-
"It is impossible to dip into this booklet without finding something that is new or that will bear repeating."-Sheffield Daily Telegraph.
II.-CURIOSITIES OF ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE LIFE. By John Timbs. Neat cloth, price i/-
"Has the charm of freshness, besides containing much curious information."Sheffield Telegraph.
III.-ODDITIES OF HISTORY AND STRANGE STORIES FOR ALL CLASSES. Selected and compiled by John Timbs. Neat cloth, price I/-
"The reader is presented with many curious tit-bits of history, instructive and valuable in themselves........an interesting companion for spare minutes."Edinburgh Daily Review.
IV.-ONE THOUSAND DOMESTIC HINTS on the Choice of Provisions, Cookery and Housekeeping; New Inventions and Improvements, and various branches of Household Management. Written and compiled by John Timbs. Neat cloth, price I/-
"No money is better spent than what is laid out for domestic satisfaction."-Dr. fohnson.

## Timbs's Manuals-(continued).

V.-POPULAR SCIENCE. Recent Researches on the Sun, Moon, Stars, and Meteors; The Earth; Phenomena of Life, Sight and Sound; Inventions and Discoveries. Familiarly Illustrated. Written and compiled by John Timbs. Neat cloth, price $1 /-$
"A very useful little manual."-Shefield Daily Telegraph.
"Will be found abundant in much out of the way information on almost every conceivable topic, where the popular mind is for the most part in error."-Evening Standard.
VI.-THOUGHTS FOR TIMES AND SEASONS. Selected and compiled by John Timbs. Neat cloth, price $1 /-$
"Contains a very great amount and variety of out-of-the-way extracts from modern and old writings."-Mechanic's Magazine.
"In a neat and concise form, are brought together striking and beautiful passages from the works of the most eminent divines and moralists, and political and scientific writers of acknowledged ability."-Edinburgh Daily Review

## Opinions of the Press on the Series.

[^168]
## TIMBS (John, F.S.A.) : PLEASANT HALF-

 HOURS FOR THE FAMILY CIRCLE. Containing Popular Science, Thoughts for Times and Seasons, Oddities of History, Characteristics of Great Men, and Curiosities of Animal and Vegetable Life. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth gilt, and gilt edges, 5/- Second Edition."Contains a wealth of useful reading of the greatest possible variety."-Plymouth Mercury.

## VOICES OF THE YEAR (The); Or, the Poet's

Kalendar. Containing the choicest Pastorals in our Language. Profusely Illustrated by the best Artists. In bevelled boards, elaborately ornamented and gilt, $\mathbf{I} 2 / 6$.

## WANDERINGS IN EVERY CLIME: Or,

Voyages, Travels, and Adventures All Round the World. Edited by W. F. Ainsworth, F.R.G.S., F.S.A., \&c., and embellished with upwards of Two Hundred Illustrations by the first Artists, including several from the master pencil of Gustave Doré. Demy 4tn, 800 pages. Cloth and gold, bevelled boards, 2I/-

# MANY THOUGHTS OF MANY MIND 

A Treasury of Reference, consisting of Selections from the Writings of the mo Celebrated Authors. FIRST \& SECOND SERIES: Compiled \& Analytically Arrau

## By HENRY SOUTHGATE.

In Square 8vo., elegantly printed on toned paper. Presentation Edition, Cloth and Gold ... 12s. 6d. each volume Library Edition, Half Bound, Roxburgite -... 14s. $"$ Do.s Morocco Antique ... $\dagger$. Each Series is complete in itself, and sold separately.

"'MANY THOUGHTS," \&c., are evidently the prodace of years of research. We look up any subject under the sun, and are pretty sure to find something that has been said-generally well said-upon it."Examiner.
"Many beautiful examples of thought and style are to be found among the selections."-Leader.
"There can be little doubt that it is destined to take a high place among books of this class."-Notes and Queries.
"A treasure to every reader who may be fortunate enough to possess it.

Its perusal is like inhaling essences; we have the cream only of the great authors quoted. Here all are seeds or gems."-English Journal of Education.
"Mr. Southgate's reading will be found to extend over nearly the whole known field of literature, ancient and modern."-Gentleman's Magazine.
"Here is matter suited to all tastes, and illustrative of all opinions; morals, politics, philosophy, and solid information. We have no hesitation in pronouncing it one of the most important books of the season. Credit is due to the publishers for the elegance with which the work is got up, and for the extreme beauty and correctness of the typography."-Morning Chronicle.
"Of the numerous volumes of the kind, we do not remember having met with one in which the selection was more judicious, or the accumulation of treasures so truly wonderful."-Morning Herald.
"Mr. Southgate appears to have ransacked every nook and curner for gems of thought."-Allen's Indian Mail.
"The selection of the extracts has been made with taste, judgmert, and critical nicety."-Morning Post.
"r'his is a wondrous book, and contains a great many gems of thought."-Daily News.
"As a work of reference, it will be an acquisition to any man's library."-Publisher's Citircular.
"This volume contains more gems of thought, refined sentiments, noble axioms, and extractable sentences, than have ever before been bronght together in our language."-The Field.
"Will be found to be worth its weight in gold by literary men."-The Builder.
"All that the poet has described of the beautiful in nature and art; all the wit that has flashed from pregnant minds; all the axioms of experience, the collected wisdom of philosopher and sage, ar garnercd into one heap of useful and well-arranged instruction and amusenient."-The Erc.
"The mind of almost all nations and ages $C$ world is recorded here."-John Bull.
"This is not a law-book; but, departing fro usual practice, we notice it because it is lik be very useful to lawyers."-Law Times.
"The collection will prove a mine, rich and haustible, to those in search of a quotation." Journal.
"There is not, as we have reason to know, a trashy sentence in this volume. Open where we every page is laden with the wealth of profor thought, and all aglow with the loftiest inspirati genius. To take this book into our hands is like s down to a grand conversazione with the gr thinkers of all ages."-Star.
"The work of Mr. Southgate far outstrips all o. of its kind. To the clergyman, the author, the: and the essayist, 'Many Thoughts of Many 1 cannot fail to render almost incalculable servi Edinburgh Mercury.
"We have no hesitation whatever in describin Southgate's as the very best book of the class. is positively nothing of the kind in the languag will bear a moment's comparison with it."-Mane Weekly Advertiser.
"There is no mood in which we can take without deriving from it instruction, consolation amusement. We heartily thank Mr. Southgate book which we shall regard as one of our best f and companions."-Cambridge Chronicle.
" This work possesses the merit of being a $n$ ficent gift-book, appropriate to all times and sea a book calculated to be of use to the scholar divine, or the public man."-Freemason's Mag
"It is not so much a book as a library of $c$ tions."-Patriot.
"The quotations abound in that thought wh: the mainspring of mentalexercise."-LiverpoolCo
"For purposes of apposite quotation, it cann surpassed."-Bristol Times.
"It is impossible to pick out a single passa the work which does not, upon the face of it, $j$ its selection by its intrinsic merit."-Dorset Chre
"We are not surprised that a Second Series o work should have been called for. Mr. Sout has the catholic tastes desirable in a good $\mathbf{E}$ Preachers and public speakers will find that i special uses for them." -Edinburgh Duily Revieu
"The second Series fully sustains the des reputation of the First."-John Bull.
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Ramsay, William, 1806-1865. A Manual of Latin prosody. --
(1)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sce Grammar prefixed to Johnson's Dictionary.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe that $\Pi$ @oa $\omega \bar{\delta} ८ \alpha$ in Greek refers to the accent only in pronouncing a syllable. Thus Quintil. I. O. I., v. 22-Adhuc difficilior observatio est per tenores, quos quidem ab antiquis dictos tonores comperi, ut videlicet declinato a Graecis verbo, qui $\tau 0 \nu 0 u s$ dicunt, vel accentus, quas Graeci $\pi p 0 \sigma \nLeftarrow \delta / \alpha s$ vocant.
     -Longin. Proleg. in Hephaest. 6 ., p. 142, ed. Gaisf.

    Although this is true in versification, the ancient grammarians and rhetoricians,

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schol. in Hephæst., cap. I., p. 150, ed. Gaisf.
    ${ }^{2}$ Пєря $\Sigma_{\nu \nu Ө \varepsilon \sigma}{ }^{2} \omega_{s} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{\nu о} \mathrm{\mu} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{\nu}, \mathrm{c}. \mathrm{XV.}, \mathrm{p}. \mathrm{87}, \mathrm{cd}. \mathrm{Reiske}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Orator., cap. 48.
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Ars Grammatica, p. 1954, ed. Putsch.
    ${ }^{5}$ See also Aulus Gellius, II. 17, IV. 17, VI. 15, IX. 6.
    ${ }^{6}$ On Accent and Quantity, chap. II., where the topics above alluded to are discussed at length.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ambe was an ancient form of $a m b\left(\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \phi_{1}\right)$. See Varro, L. L. VII., c. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Indu, or Endo ( $\varepsilon \nu \overline{0} \circ \iota$ ), for in, is found both simply and in composition in Ennius, Lucretius, \&c.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Boum is the genit. pl. bovium, boium, pronounced bo-yum, and hence the quantity remains unchanged.

    Bübus has always the first long in good writers, but Ausonius shortens the syllable (Ep. LXII.):

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ Sce Rule for final T.

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ Diphthongs never occur before a vowel in Latin, except in the case of the preposition prae, and in Greek proper names in which they are long. Hence the present case seems to fall under the general principle of open vowels. See General Rule IV.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Vossius Aristarch. II., c. xv.-Praeesse is found in Sidonius Appollinaris Prāeoptare, in Martianus Capella, but such examples are, of course, worth nothing.See Vossius, as quoted above.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the reading of all the best MSS. The changes proposed are purely conjectural. See notes in Burman's edition.
    ${ }^{2}$ So also V. G. III., 349.
    ${ }^{3}$ So also II. 641, V. 441, VIII. 318 ; Prop. II. iii., 11. ; III. xi., 14.

[^8]:    ? The lengthening of a short vowel before $q u$ takes place only in the last age of Roman verse, e. g.,

    Suasisti, Venus, ecce, duas dyseros ut amarem, Odit utrāque: aliud da modo consilium. A. Ep. XCII., 1.
    and
    Frivola utrānue et utrāque nihil. Prud. Perist., III., 8.
    See Scalig. ad Ausen. Eep. XXCII. ; Burman ad Val. Flace. I., 681; and Priscian, p. 543.
    ${ }^{2}$ These numbers (I.), (II.), (III.), \&c., refer to the Remarks.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ A vowel or syllable is said to be naturally short, which is always found short in poetry when not affected by the rule of Position. Thus the last syllable in the word tamen is always short, except when followed by a word beginning with a consonant, and is therefore said to be naturally short. On the other hand, the word sic is always a long syllable, whether it is followed by a word beginning with a vowel or a consonant, and is thergfore said to be naturally long. So păter, which has the first syllable always short, is said to have its $a$ naturally short, while mäter, which has the first syllable always long, is said to have its a naturally long.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the opinions of the ancient grammarians regarding $h$, see Quintil. I., iv. 9, v. 19 ; Aul. Gell. II., 3. ; Charis., p. 238 ; Diomed., pp. 417, 419 ; Priscian, pp. 540, 543,547 ; Val. Prob., p. 1390 ; Asper., p. 1725 ; Donat., p. 1737 ; Max. Victor., p. 1945 ; Vel. Long., p. 2217 ; Terent. Maur., p. 2388 ; Mar. Vietor., pp. 2452,2455, 2469 , \&c. See also Burman ad Val. Flacc. VI., 152, and ad Anthol. Lat. VI., 51, tom. ii., p. 605. Huscke ad Tilull. II., i., 58. Santen. ad Terent. Maur., p. 389, seqq. ed. Trai. ad Rhen., 1825, 4 to.

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ Voss. Aristarch. II., cap. 16.
    ${ }^{2}$ III. 79.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~V} ., \mathrm{x} .7$. But the line is now corrected by transposing the words, and stands;
    Canem obiurgabat. Cui lātrans contra senex.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some rank among the exceptions to this rule ei, the dative of $i s$, which occurs as a dissyllable, with the first long, in Lucret. II., 1136 ; III., 555 ; V., 285, 753 ; VI., 674,796 . But in these, and all similar passages, it ought to be written eii, to point out that it is the dative connected with the old genitive eiius. See Remark II. on Rule III.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paullinus was Bishop of Nola, and flourished towards the end of the fourth and the beginning of the fifth centuries. He was the pupil and friend of Ausonius, and several poetical epistles addressed to him by the latter are still extant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Venantius Fortunatus, Bishon of Pictavium, flourished under the younger Justin, in the sixth century.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Port Royal Latin Grammar, Bk. X., Rule III.
    ${ }^{2}$ On the quantity of fio, see Donat. ad Terent. Adelph. I., ii. 26 ; Priscian IX., 4, 26.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bk. X., pp. 739, 740.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ So the word was written even by Cicero. Sce Quintil. I., iv., 11.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many critics, in this case, always write Conopium. See Bentley on Hor. Epod. IX., 16.
    ${ }^{2}$ For x $\omega \nu \omega \pi s / 0 \nu$ and $x \omega \nu \omega \pi / 0 \nu$, see Agath. Antholog. iii., 61; and Paul. Sil. Anthol. iii., 91, who are referred to in Maltby's Lexicon.
    ${ }^{3}$ E. g., Call. Ep. xxi., 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Soph. Elect., 18.
    ${ }^{7}$ Hom II., $\sigma$., 38.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hom. Od. 6., 80.
    ${ }^{6}$ Eurip. Orest., 356.
    ${ }^{8}$ Mosch. Id. $\beta ., 114$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Callim. H. I., 10 ; Apoll. Rhod. I., 1139.
    ${ }^{2}$ Callim. H. I., 21.
    ${ }^{3}$ Eurip. Frag. Eurysth. ix., 12.
    ${ }^{4}$ Hom. II., O., 195.
    ${ }^{5}$ Hom. II., ${ }^{2}$., 179.
    ${ }^{6}$ The prosody of such words will be discussed hereafter under Diceresis; and, at the same time, we shall have occasion to notice several others which appear in Latin under a double form, in consequence of their variations in Greek.
    ${ }^{7}$ We may, however, in this line, if we please, consider Nereidum as a trisyllable, and so Nnৎ $\varepsilon \Delta \delta \varepsilon_{5}$ in the passage from Moschus, referred to above.
    ${ }^{8}$ The persons spoken of in these two passages are different, but the name is the same; at all events, the double form in Greek is established by the passages in Callimachus and Apollonius, referred to above.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is nothing to set up against these authorities, except such as Prudentius, who uniformly makes it Platēa, e. g.,

    Nudus plătēas si per omnes cursitans. (Iamb. Trim). Prud. Perist. X., 164.
    So also Perist. II., 157; IV., 71; XII., 57 ; XIV., 49. Advers. Symmach. II., 1087.
    ${ }^{2}$ In a poem quoted by Pliny, XXXI., 3. He was the freedman of Caius Antistius Vetus, who became the possessor of the Academia of Cicero, after the death of the orator.
    ${ }^{3}$ So says Herman in his note on the passage of Aristophanes, quoted below. I do not much admire his way of scanning the line from Cicero, namely, making demia a dactyl, leaving the $a$ unelided before umbrifera.

    The whole question is discussed at length in the Clussical Journal, vol. si, p. 123.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe, however, that $l \bar{a} r, p \bar{a} r, p \bar{e} s, s \bar{a} l$, make in the genitive lăris, păris, pĕdis, sălis, but $p \bar{a} r$ in the older forms of the language had paris in the nominative, and the three others seem to have been originally lars or larts, peds (pedis), and sals (salsussalsugo), so that the naturally short vowels were lengthened by position, and something of the same kind happens in such words as māmma, offa, sīgnum, tīgnum, which give the diminutives mămilla, ơfella, š̆gillum, tĭgillum.
    ${ }^{2}$ But sĕcus gives sēcius.
    ${ }^{3}$ When we speak of certain tenses being formed from the present, and others from the preterite, these expressions are used in reference to the convenient arrangement adopted in most grammars; if we examine the matter more closely, we shall, of course, find that the preterite itself is formed from the present. The difference of quantity between the first syllable of the present and the first syllable of the preterite in the above and similar instances is easily accounted for, but we have nothing to do with that in the meantime.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ It can scarcely be said that the quantity in rēgis, lēgis, vōcis, arises from the original form of the nominative legs, regs, vocs, for that would apply equally to ducs, which gives dŭcis; the genitive in such words appears to have been formed by inserting $i$ before the final $s$ of the nominative. (See Appendix on the Declensions.)

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Let him be careful, however, to exclude all far-fetched and purely fanciful etymologies.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Rule XXVI. on Polysyllables in Composition.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are the authorities given by Vossius for the quantity of sal，and I am unable to add others from purer writers．

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zumpt says-" The nominative hic, and the neuter hoc, although the vowel is naturally short, are commonly used long, because the pronunciatice was hicc and hoce, as a compensation for the ancient form hice, hoce."
    ${ }^{2}$ This line now stands in the ed. of Meyer -
    Proque $h \bar{c} \mathrm{c}$ atque alieis donis des digna merenti.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Trigintä occurs in Virgil, A. I., 268 ; III., 391; viii., 44, but in all these the last syllable is in Caesura, and therefore I have preferred quoting the two examples given above.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a line quoted by Varro, L. L. Lib. VII., § 12, ed. Müll., when discussing the meanings of tueri. A quotation which immediately precedes it is from Ennius, whence this verse also is supposed to belong to that poet. See also a quotation from Ennius, ap. Serv. ad Virg. Aen. viii., 361, in which we find

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ In reading over the Latin poets, previous to editing the present work, I had the curiosity to mark how often quia occurred in the best writers with the last syllable short. The following is the result:-In Lucretius, 107 times; in Virgil, 7 or 8 times, besides quiănam, $\mathcal{A} .{ }^{\prime}$ 'V., 13, X., 6, and quiŭne, AF. IV., 538; in Horace, 34 times; in Tibullus, once; in Propertius 10 times; in Ovid, 125 times; but not once in any of these authors with the $a$ long.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calpe, in the example quoted from Juvenal on the next page, must be the ablative from a nominative, Calpes or Calpis, of the third declension, although in other passages (e. g., Plin. H. N. III., prooem.) the nominative Calpe is found, and is generally considered to belong to the first declension.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vossius makes a curious mistake here, in supposing that Achille is the vocative abbreviated for Achilleu, from a nominative Achilleus.

    2 For a full account of these verbs, see Struve, ueber die Lateinische Declination und Conjugation, p. 189.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is a long and learned note by Daumius, on the subject of shortening $e$ in imperatives of the second conjugation, in Artzenius's edition of the Disticha of Cato, p. 289.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some editions read,
    Hanc tibi Demophoon patria dimittit ab urbe.

[^31]:    ${ }^{2}$ Virgii uses $u b i$ upwards of forty times, but never lengthens the second syllable.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ In no case is the influence of time upon Latin Prosody more conspicuous than in the case of final $o$, the practice of the earlier and later ages being in marked opposition to each other. Diomedes asserts (p. 430) that the older Romans uniformly lengthened $o$ final.
    ${ }^{2}$ To these we may perhaps add endr, the old form of in.
    Quod genus endŏ mari Aradio fons dulcis aquai. L. VI., 891.
    But many editors, both here and elsewhere, always write indu.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Add to these, Catull. XVII., 3 and 23.
    ${ }^{2}$ These two quotations overturn the doctrine that ambo has the o always long when masculine, and short only when neuter.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ideo occurs three times in Virgil, viz., G. II., 96 ; III., 212; $X$ I. IV., 228; but it is unnecessary to quote these, since in Dactylic verse the last syllable must be long if not elided.

[^34]:    ${ }^{2}$ Vero occurs very frequently in Virgil; the o always long.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ To these add, Nemō, H. S. I., i., 1 ; ix., 45, both extra Caesuram.
    Nemŏ, O. A. I., viii., 43. T. II., 348. E. P. II., iii., 16. M. XV., 600.
    ${ }^{2}$ Add Hom), C. CXV., 8.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reading in this line is, however, much cisputa:l, and, if conect, is the only example in Ovid.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some of the best editions have,
    Tum supplex Iuno, neque ego haec mutare ladorans.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many of the best editions have Vomeris.
    ${ }^{2}$ Many editors write uniformly circueo, instead of circumeo.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ In no respect, however, could pater and mater be regarded as words transplanted from the Greek. They doubtless existed in that earlier tongue from which both Greek and Latin were offshoots.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ I cannot, however, quote any example of Salamis and Quiris which decides the quantity of the nominative.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adsis and possis, properly speaking, fall under the Rule for Monosyllables in Composition.

[^41]:    ${ }^{2}$ Heyne reads iit. ${ }^{3}$ See various readings.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some rank ad along with ob, giving ăperio as an example. The etymology, however, of ăperio and ŏperio is by no means certain.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have here a various reading, Haec egoque procurare; but the que is an interpolation by a later hand.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ I do not remember an authority for biduum, except in the comic writers, but Martial will be quoted below for triduum.
    ${ }^{2}$ Quöque, signifying and in order that, or and in what manner, has the first always long, e. g., Ovid M. XII., 174.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Add to these,
    Tepëfecerit, Ov. E. P. IV., v., 35. Tepĕfactus, Virg. G. IV., 308. Tepĕfacta, Lucret. VI., 322; Virg. Æ. IX., 333, 419 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Add to these,
    Liquĕfacta, V. G. IV., 36, 555 ; Ж. III., 576 ; Ov. Fast. IV., 545 ; Met. III., 486 ; XIII., 830 ; XIV., 431. Liquĕfacto, Virg. Ж. IX., 588.

    In some editions of Lucretius we find, VI., 966, Denique cera liquéfit in eius posta vapore;
    but the reading generally received is liquescit.

[^46]:    ${ }^{2}$ So the great majority of MSS., and not liquefiunt, as Heinsius has it.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not to be confounded with diffidit, the present tense of diffido, from fid $d$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Not to be confounded with abscīdit, from abscido (caedo).
    Illa comam laeva morienti abscïdit ephebo. L. P. VI., 563.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Pritchard On the Origin of the Celtic Nations, p. 151; Grimm, \&c.
    ${ }^{2}$ e. g., Rud. Frol. 68; Men. IV., ii., 25, 66; Amph. II., ii., 84, 168.
    ${ }^{3}$ See below, under "Archaisms."
    ${ }^{4}$ I would not be understood to mean, that I believe in the existence of the supine as part of the verb; but no inconvenience can arise in this place from using the term in its ordinary signification.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ The student must be careful not to confound oblitus from obliviscor, with oblitus from oblinno.

    Oblitusve sui est Ithacus äiscrimine tanto. V. X. III., 629.
    Divitiaeque peregrinae quibus oblitus actor. H. E. II., i., 204.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Yet percit is found,
    Nec minus irai fax numquam subdita percit.
    ${ }^{2}$ The earliest form was probably,

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. 331.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. 2267.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. 1412.
    ${ }^{4}$ P. 1434.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ad loc.

[^52]:    ${ }^{2}$ See some remarks by Mr. Tate. Class. Journal, vol. xxxi., p. 140.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Manifestly because these words, from their nature, must have a strong emphasis, and they would in most cases disappear altogether if they followed the rule.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here the contraction is manifestly not the result of any necessity.
    ${ }^{2}$ When the vowels $i a, i e, i i, i o, i u$, are thrown together into a single syllable, $i$ ought to be pronounced like $y$ at the beginning of an English word:-vindemyator, connubyis, connubyo, Ant-yum, \&c. See Class II.
    ${ }^{3}$ Among these some would place grav'olens and sauv'olens, but these ought to be considered as two distinct words, grave olens and suave olens.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Heyne's note.
    ${ }^{2}$ Authorities are wanting to decide the quantity of promontorium, but analogy leads us to suppose that the antepenult is naturally long.
    ${ }^{3}$ The following line begins with a vowel, but there is a full stop after Antium, which precludes Synapheia.
    ${ }^{4}$ Some MSS, have omni.
    'Some MSS, have coaluerint.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some modern editions have victis.
    ${ }^{2}$ MSS., fluitant, flutant, fluctant.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iazyx is a trisyllable in
    Ipse vides onerata ferox ut ducat ${ }^{`}$ Tazyx. O. E. P. IV., vii., 9.
    ${ }^{2}$ So Prop. III., x., 15; IV., viii., 83. ${ }^{3}$ So Lucret. V., 1212, 1432.

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ We find proin a monosyllable in
    Proin se quaeque parent: nec quo venentur amores. O. M. F. 27.
    ${ }^{2}$ In line 17 of this epigram, cui must be a monosyllable.

[^59]:    ${ }^{2}$ Consult Bentley on H. S. II,, viii., 1.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ See also Phaedr. II., iv., 4, förtüütus. Manil. I., 182, fortvitus.
    ${ }^{2}$ H. S. II., ii., 76. Pers. S. II., 57, pitvita.
    ${ }^{3}$ In both these examples, we may pronounce the word tĕnŭ-yore.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ The common reading is $a b i g i$; but it is a conjecture of Heinsius confessedly against the authority of the MSS.
    ${ }^{2}$ So adicit, Silius XVII., 529. See notes in edd. of Drakenborch and Ruperti, on I., 113, and VIII., 669.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Greek Iambics, however, we find ${ }^{`}$ A $\rho a ̆ \beta u ̆ a:-~$
    
    

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is obvious that the adjective Măcĕdŏňus could not find place in a Dactylic verse.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ I., 55 (302); IV., 370 ; II., 902 ; V., 54 ; IV., 304; V., 910 ; IV., 1150 ; I., 561; IV., 977 .
    ${ }^{2}$ II., 13; LXI., 53; LXVI., 38, 74; XCV., 6.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ So iusso, Silius VII., 175.
    ${ }^{2}$ Many editors write rēlicuo, rēlicuas, here and in Lucret. IV., 977. So also,

    Inter relicuas merces atque opsonia. Phaedr. III., iv., 2.
    And it will be observed, that when this form is employed in Dactylic verse, the first syllable of reliquius or reliciuis is necessarily lengthened, although naturally short.
    ${ }^{3}$ A writer in the Quarterly Review (vol. viii., p. 403, Dec., 1812), says, that this contraction is admitted in masculines only, objecting to the use of dirûm, telum, consilium. That it is sometimes employed in genitives which are feminine in form, appears from agricolûm (Lucret. IV., 590), caelicolûm (Catull. LXVIII., 138), besides Dardanidûm, Graiugenûm, \&c., in Virgil. As to neuters, we find in verse, aliûm (Lucret. I., 882 ; II., 911 ; but the reading is disputed in both, and cymbalûm, (Catull. LXIII. 21). In prose, Cicero has talentûm (Rab. Post. 8), oppidûm, \&c.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless Irritāt, disturbāt, Lucret. I., 71; VI., 586, are for irritavit and disturbavit;
    

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Suvaioo $\varphi n$, a besmearing-a mixing together; from $\sigma v y$ and $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \iota \mathcal{\omega} \omega$, I anoint with fat or oil.
    

    - $3^{3} \mathrm{E} \pi t \sigma \nu \nu \alpha \lambda c e \varphi n$, from $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi t-\sigma \nu j-\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \omega$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Suyainsots, a drawing together-a contraction; from ovy and ai@s , I take, seize.
    ${ }^{5}$ Suvajrors, a sitting, falling, or sinking together; from ouv and $i \zeta \omega$, I cause to sit, or seat myself.
    ${ }^{6}$ Euysuqwynts, the act of pronouncing (two vowels) jointly; from ovy-غ $x-$ $\Phi \omega y \varepsilon \omega$, I utter a sound.
    ${ }^{7} \Sigma_{\nu \gamma \kappa 0 \pi n}$, a cutting short; from $\sigma \nu y-x_{0} \pi \tau \omega$, I cut.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1} \Delta_{\ell \alpha \iota \rho \leqslant \sigma t 5}$, a separation-a taking or drawing asunder: from $\delta \iota<\varepsilon$ and $\alpha i \rho \varepsilon \omega$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Or as they are often, though inaccurately written in this case, elegia, Cytherea, Pelopeus, so also, Plias and Pliades. The MSS., it is true, vary very much in these and similar words; but we ought clearly to be guided by the Greek orthography. But whether we write ei, or simply $i$, the quantity of the syllable is always long. In some editions of Statius, indeed, we have

    Haec per et Aegaeas Hyadas, Plüŭdumque nivosum. S. S. I., iii., 95.
    But the reading now recognized is
    Haec per et Aegaeas hyemes Hyadumque nirosum.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ The two following do not, properly speaking, belong to the same class; but as they are met with very often, and under different forms, it may not be improper to notice them.

    Näis ( $\mathrm{N} \eta / \varsigma$ ), and Nä̃̆̆̆s ( $\mathrm{N} \alpha<\alpha_{\varsigma}$ ). Thus-
     O. A. A. I., 732. Năĭděs, O. M. II., 325. Năŭd̆̆s, O. M. VI., 453. But Nä̆ŭs, O. M. I., 691 . Nä̆ŭ ădes, O. M. XIV., 328. Nä̆ŭdum, O. M. IV., 304 ; H. O. III., xxv., 14.

    ## 

     Nērē̈děs, O. M. XİII., 899. But'Nêrê̌̌s, O. M. XI., 259. Nērě̃̌, O. M. XIII., 858. Nērĕ̌̆des, O. M. XIV., 264. Nērĕ̌̆dūm, H. O. III., xxviii., 10. (See above, p. 25.)

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ I know not why Avienus, who lived in the age of the younger Theodosius, has been selected as an authority, when a classical writer could have been found to answer the purpose.

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ We find Capharěa saxa, which arises from a double form of the adjective in Greek.

[^72]:    ${ }^{3}$ We read in Catullus, LV., 13, Herculei, as the genitive of HerculesSed te iam ferre Herculei labos est.
    The true reading is probably Herculi.
    ${ }^{2}$ We noticed above, p. 45 , the mistake of Vossius, arising from an erroneous reading, in supposing that Achille was the vocative in Prop. IV., xi., 40. We find Achille as the vocative in Ov . Met. XII., 608; XIII., 130; but in these and similar passages, Achillex ought to be substituted.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1} \Sigma \nu \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$, a drawing together-from $\sigma \nu \nu$ and $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$, I send; used in nautical phraseology in the sense of I take in sail.
    ${ }^{2} \Delta \sigma \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \lambda n$, a separation-a drawing out; from $\delta<\alpha$ and $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. For other grammatical meanings of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \lambda n$, see Diomed., p. 430, and Donat. on Terence, Eun. III., iii., 9.
    $3^{\prime} \mathrm{Ex} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\alpha} \mathrm{\sigma} 65$, a stretching out; from $\varepsilon$ '่ and $\tau \varepsilon \iota \nu \omega$, I stretch.
    ${ }^{4}$ E. P. IV., xii., $1 . \quad{ }^{\text {s }}$ IX., 12.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ So multimodis and diưturnus, which are falsely supposed to be compounds of multis modis, and diū.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ See also Mart. VI., xcii., 3 ; X., xlv., 5; XII., xlviii., 14.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bentley disputes the reading Apuliae, but receives no support from MSS.
    ${ }^{3}$ Several editors of Horace write the word uniformly Appulus, but such is not the form which it assumes in the oldest MSS.
    

[^76]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ As Ama-o, Ama-v-i; Audi-o, Audi-v-i, \&c.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ha¢ $\alpha \gamma \omega \gamma r$, a leading or bringing forward; in military phraseology, the act of extending the line; from $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$, I lead.

[^77]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ T $\mu$ nots, a cutting; from $\tau \xi \mu \nu \omega, I$ cut.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Herman, De Emend. Rat. Gr. Gr., p. 116.
    ${ }^{3} \Delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \sigma \mu 05$, a doubling; from $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$, I double, and that from $\delta / \pi \lambda, 005$, double.
    
    ${ }_{6}^{5} \Sigma_{\nu}{ }^{2} x_{0} \pi n$, a cutting up, or to pieces; from $\sigma \nu \nu$ and $x_{0} \pi \tau \omega$.
    ${ }^{6}$ 'A $\uparrow \alpha c \rho \leq \sigma t s$, a taking away; from $\alpha \pi 0$ and $\alpha i p \varepsilon \omega$.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Marius Victorinus, p. 2487 ; Schol. Hephaest., p. 158, ed. Gaisf. ; Plotius, p. 2625 ; Diomedes, p. 474.
    ${ }^{2}$ See notes on Terentianus Maurus, in the ed. of Lennep, p. 68, 69, 70, 71, 72.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. 158, ed. Gaisf. See also Plotius, p. 2625. Various other derivations may be found in Diomedes, p. 473, and in the notes to Terentianus Maurus, ed. Lennep., p. 65, et seq.
    ${ }^{4}$ Terent. Maur. v., 1394, p. 2413; Mar. Victorin., p. 2487; Diomed., p. 472 ; Schol. Hephaest. ed. Gaisf., p. 158 ; Aristid. Quintil., p. 37, who says, ס८\& $\tau 0$ है
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Schol. Hephaest. ed. Gaisf., p. 158.
    ${ }^{6}$ Quintil. IX., c. iv. ; Terent. Maur. v., 1446, p. 2414 ; Dionys. Hal. II. ©. O., p. 128.

    $$
    { }^{2} \text { P. } 475 . \quad{ }^{8} \text { See also Bassus, p. } 2666 . \quad{ }^{\circ} \text { P. } 158 .
    $$

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ Diomed., p. 475.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plotius, p. 2625 ; Cledonius, p. 1885; Mar. Victorin., p. 2488; Schol. Hephaest. ed. Gaisf., p. 158. For other derivations, see Diomedes, p. 474.
    ${ }^{3}$ Diomed. ut supra. ${ }^{4}$ Quintil. IX., c. iv. ${ }^{5}$ Plotius, p. 2625 ; Diomedes, p. 475.
    ${ }^{6}$ Mar. Victorin., p. $2488 . \quad{ }^{7}$ IX., c. iv. ${ }^{8}$ P. $475 .{ }^{9}$ Ibid.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plotius, p. 2628 ; Dionys. Hal. Antiq. Rom. Lib. VII., p. 476, ed. Reiske.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plotius, p. 2626.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ The double $\asymp$ is used here and elsewhere, merely to remind the reader, that the last syllable of the verse is common. (See p. 114.)

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ So incrementum，E．IV．，49．Centaurea，G．IV．，270．Orithyia，G．IV．，463；⿸丆⿰丨丶⿵⺆⿻二丨⿱⿴⿰⿱丶㇀⿱㇒丶亅㇒ ．XII．，83．Circumspexit，太．II．，68．Oriona，III．，517．Antennarum， 549. Intervallo，V．，320．Anchiseo，761．Pallanteum，VIII．，54，341．Intertextam， 167. Argileti， 345 Pallantea，IX．，196，241．Thermodontis，XI．， 659.
    ${ }^{2}$ So hirsute，E．VII．，53．Auctumno，G．II．，5．Aegaeo，E．III．，74．Antemnae， VII．，631．Anchisae，IX．，647．Evandro，XI．，31．Desertis，XII．， 863 ．In the first，second，third，and fourth of these lines，there is a hiatus in the fifth foot，and in the second a short vowel is lengthened by the pause in the same place．
    ${ }_{5}^{3}$ Containing 409 lines．${ }^{4}$ Twenty－nine，if I mistake not．
    ${ }^{5}$ See below，the remarks on the form of the last word in a Dactylic Hesameter．

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the badness of the Caesural pause in Lucretius, see Forbiger on I., 54.
    " Herman, however, seems to be half reconciled to this particular example.
    (See D. S. E., Lib. II., c. 26.)

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Other examples are G. I., 181, 247 ; II., 321; III., 255. A. I., 65, 105, 151 ; II., 170, 250, 355, 648; III., 375, 390; IV., 132, 314; V., 481, 638; VI., 346 ; VII., 592; VIII., 43, 83 ; IX., $320,532,723$; X., 2, 107, 228, 259, 361, 734, 743, 771, 802, 843, 864 ; XI., 373, 632 ; XII., 552, 851.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ No exception to this, even in Greek, except in a proper name.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ See on this head, and on all the topics connected with Dactylic Pentameters, the admirable remarks of Mr. Tate, which first appeared in the Classical Journal, and have since been printed in a separate form.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herm. D. M. E.; II., c. xxviii.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ At the beginning of T. IV., iii., we have eight lines in succession before we reach a period. But in this and similar examples, the sense and construction of each couplet is complete within itself.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ We might name it with equal. or, perhaps, greater propriety, Dactylic Dimeter Hypercatalectic.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have little doubt that the Trochee was originally the only foot admissible, in the first place, of this and the three above mentioned species of Choriambic verse. The Trochee at the beginning, and the Iambus at the end, would thus make up a complete Choriambus, - $\mid \smile$-, the two members of which were separated from each other by one, two, or three, interposed Choriambi -............. -. So in the Greek fragment of Alcaeus, from which Hor. C. I., xviii., is copied-

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ They may possibly have been originally considered as forming one line. (See Monthly Review, January, 1798, p. 45.)

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Yet we can scarcely pronounce the word Yule as has been suggested by some critics, for the Latin name Iulus is elsewhere uniformly a trisyllable representing the Greek iou

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ So also，I．，xii．，7；xxii．， 15.
    ${ }^{2}$ So also，I．，xii．， 25 ；II．，xvi．， 5 ；III．，xi．， 29.
    ${ }^{3}$ So also，I．，xxv．， 18 ；xxx．， 6 ；II．，iv．， 6 ；III．，xxvii．， 10.
    ${ }^{4}$ Herman．D．M．E．III．，c．，xvi．

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ This does not apply to the Paroemiac.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the celebrated pretended prediction of the discovery of America，which has by some unbelievers been put in competition with the prophecies of holy writ．See the admirable remarks of Bishop Horsley upon this subject，in Sermon XVII．The lines have，however，been very appropriately chosen by Mr．Washington Irving as the motto to his history of the Life of Columbus．

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aul. II., i., 30 ; III., ii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Those who wish for further information on this species of verse, may consult Terentianus Maurus, v., 2013; Ruddiman's Grammar ; and Herman, D. M. E. II., c. 37.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Viz., Epod. I., 27 ; II., 23, 33, 35 bis. 39, 57, 61, 65, 67; III., 17; V., 15 bis. 25 ; V., 49, 85, 91; VII., 1; X., 7, 19; XI., 27; XVII., 6, 12 ter. 42, 63, 65, 74 bis. 78.

    Of these, the Tribrach occurs 10 times in the second place, 4 times in the third, twice in the fourth, once in the first.

    The Dactyl, 9 times in the first place, 3 times in the third.
    The Anapaest, twice in the first place.

[^98]:    "This oplnion, however, differs from that expressed by Cicero, and quoted in the s.eface.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ The text of this line is, however, certainly corrupt.

[^100]:    - But this line ought, probably, to be scanned -

    T'in circ |o tě ĭn |omni | bus lib | ellis.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Preface to the Musce Cantabrigienses, Lond., 1810; and the remarks by Mr. Tate in Classical Journal, vol. xi., p. 352.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mus. Cantab. Pref.
    ${ }^{3}$ Vida.
    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$. Archius.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{6}$ Monthly Reviev, vol. xxv., p. 6.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ From $\alpha$ privative and $\sigma \nu \nu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \omega$, I joint together; hence $\alpha \sigma u \nu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \tau 0$ signifies, not jointed together.
    ${ }^{2}$ From Elegus and Iambus, because the first part of the line is the same with the latter half of the Dactylic Pentameter, and the second part is an Iambic verse.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not，however，an Iambus．

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ Scripturae Linguaeque Phoeniciae Monumenta; Lips. 1837, 3 parts in 4to.
    ${ }^{2}$ Since writing the above, I have been informed by my friend Mr. Weir, the accomplished Professor of Oriental Languages in the University of Glasgow, that in the important Phoenician inscription, discovered a few years ago at Sidon, after the above mentioned work of Gesenius was published, a character occurs several times which unquestionably corresponds with the Hebrew Zain, thus rendering the identification of the two alphabets complete.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ I., 58, 59.
    ${ }^{2}$ H. N. VII., 57.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sympos. IX., Qu. 3.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a minute description of the vase and a fac-simile of the inscriptions, see the very elaborate and interesting work by Mr. Dennis, entitled Cities and Cemeteries of Etruria, vol. ii., p. 53; and for a full discussion of the philological bearing of this monument, see the paper by Lepsius in the Annali of the Roman Archaeological Institute for 1836.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the most ancient form of r .

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Vel. Long., p. 2218, Terent. Maur., p. 2399. Hence Mar. Victor., p. 2452, calls Q a nova litera; but in p. 2468 he places it among the original sixteen Cadmean letters; and in p. 2459 expressly says, that it was not a letter of Roman invention. Isidorus (I., 4) goes so far in ignorance as to assert that $Q$ was to be found neither in Hebrew nor Greek.

[^108]:    ${ }^{2}$ Quintin I., c. 4 ; Terentius Scaurus, p. 2250 ; Velius Longus, p. 2230.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thiersch, G. G. § CLVIII.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is true that cohors is generally used to denote a company of men, and cors for a walled enclosure or court-yard; but this is not universal; for we find in Ovid, F., IV., 7-

    Abstulerat multas illa cohortis aves.
    And in Martial, VII., liii.-
    Non porcus, non cortis aves, non ova supersunt.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ This seems to be the only example in Latin poetry of the adjective Trōus, and the reading is doubtful, for many of the best MSS. have Totaque.
    ${ }^{2}$ In these and other passages, the MSS. vary between the form Achäus and Achä̈cus.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Forcellini we are told that the poets sometimes make Achäa a quadrisyllable. I do not remember any passage in which it is not a quadrisyllable.
    ${ }^{4}$ L. L. VII., § 26, ed. Müll.
    ${ }^{5}$ I. O. I., iv. 13.

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 228, and compare Cic. Orat. XLV., § 153; Varro L. L. VIII., 31.

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cic. Orat. XLVIII., § 160. Comp. Cornut. ap. Cassiod., p. 2286, and Donat. ad Terent. Hecyr. I., ii., 8.

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a full account of, and discussion upon this curious relic, see Dennis's Cities and Cemeteries of Etruria, vol. i., p. 225, and the Bulletini and Annali of the Archæological Institute of Rome for the years 1830, 1831, 1832, and 1834.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ The inscription in full was published by Marins in a work entitled, Gli Atti e Monumenti Dei Fratelli Arvali (Rom., 1795, 2 tom., 4to), in which the editor has collected every notice to be found in ancient writers or inscriptions with regard to the Fratres Arvales, the whole forming a remarkable monument of learning, industry, and ingenuity. See Orelli, C. I. L., No. 5054, and vol. i., p. 392 ; Grotefend, Ausfiihrliche Granmatik der lat. Spr., §176; Egger, Latini Sermonis Reliquiae, \&c., p. 68, Paris, 1843.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ The student will find most of the fragments here referred to collected and arranged in the useful and convenient compilation by Egger, entitled Latini Sermonis Vetustioris Reliquiae Selectae, 8vo, Paris, 1843.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ The different copies of this inscription taken by different scholars vary slightly, some inserting, and some omitting a letter here and there. In the copy given above nothing has been admitted which is not distinctly legible on the tablet as it now exists.

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ Maffei, Ant. Crit. Lapid., p. 449. Visconti, Opere Varie, I., p. 2; Milan, 1827.
    ${ }^{2}$ Almost every one is familiar with the shape of this monument, in consequence of the multitude of miniature copies which have, for many years past, been fabricated at Rome, and which are dispersed all over Europe and America.
    ${ }^{3}$ Liv. XXXVIII., 55, 56.
    ${ }^{4}$ Vol. i., pp. 1-70; ed. Milan, 1827.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ Supplied by conjecture Romani.
    ${ }^{2}$ So also apud vos.

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is carelessly engraved for N, i. e., NONIS. A similar slip is seen in the preceding word, CONSOLVERVIVT, for CONSOLVERVNT; and in the first word of line third, ESEIVT for ESENT. Such a mistake, however, is less startling in the original document, where the letters are represented by the combination of simple strokes without tips-thus, IV approaches very closely to N .
    ${ }^{2}$ SACANAL seems to be a blunder for BACANAL.
    ${ }^{3}$ VTRA is a blunder for VERBA.
    ${ }^{4}$ A blunder for NOSTER.
    ${ }^{5}$ A blunder for COSOLERETVR.

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ A blunder for NEQVE.
    2. A. blunder for OQVOLTOD, i. e., occulto.

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ The above copy is taken from the work of Visconti, cited above. He says"Voici la copie exacte del'inscription telle que je l'ai prise moi-même, en 1790, sur la tablette de bronze que je retrouvai parmi les restes du cabinet des princes Barberini, à Rome."
    ${ }^{2}$ The letters Z, M, are corrupt.
    ${ }^{3}$ i. e., B.c. 108.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is to say, did not fall into general disuse until then, for it is omitted in some words on the Duillian Tablet; but this may, perhaps, be attributed to the changes supposed to have been made upon that inscription, when it was copied.
    ${ }^{2}$ In voc. Solitaurilia.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vid. Martianus Capella; Terentianus Maurus; Victorinus Afer, and for the Greek a, Dionys. Hal.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gruter. DLXXXVI., 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ DCXXXVI., 7.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ DCLV., 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ DCCCCXCIII., 5.
    ${ }^{5}$ DLXIII., 7.
    ${ }^{8}$ DCCCCXLIV., 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ C. CCCLXXVIII., 4.
    ${ }^{6}$ DCLXXXVI., 1.
    ${ }^{8}$ DCCCCXCIII., 5.

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gruter. DXL, 9. ${ }^{2}$ CCCXLIX., 6. ${ }^{3}$ CCIV. ${ }^{4}$ DCCLXXVII., 3. ${ }^{5}$ CXXXVIII. ${ }^{6}$ MCVII., 1. ${ }^{7}$ LXI., 4. ${ }^{8}$ DCXXX., 5. ${ }^{9}$ XXXIV., 5.
    ${ }^{10}$ This is seen in ardilis (Grut. LXIX., 11, CXXIX., 3), \&c.; aire (LII., 12); Caisar (CIX., 7, CXCVI., 4, \&c.) Quaistores (LII., 12), for aedilis, aere, Caesar, quaestores, not to mention the double form of the genitive of the first declension in $a i$ and $a e$. We arrive at the same conclusion in remarking the uncertainty which prevails in the best MSS. between saeculum, paene, caeremonia, glaeba, \&c., and seculum, pene, ceremonia, gleba, \&c.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gruter. DXXI., 7. ; DXXVI., 7, \&c. ${ }^{2}$ CXXII., 1. ${ }^{3}$ DCXCVII., 2; MLIII., 11. ${ }^{4}$ DLXXXVIII., $9 .{ }^{5}$ CXXVIII., $1 .{ }^{6}$ CCCCXXVII., 1 ; CCCCLXV., 4.
    ${ }^{7}$ DCLXXXIV., $4 .{ }^{8}$ XIII., $17 .{ }^{9}$ CLIX., 6.
    ${ }^{10}$ Gruter. CCLXXXII., 4. ${ }^{11}$ DCCCCLXXVII., 10. ${ }^{12}$ LXII., 12 ; MXLV., 2.
    ${ }^{23}$ CCCCLI., 6. ${ }^{14}$ CCCXCVI., 1. ${ }^{15}$ DXXIX., 7.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ De Litt．， 204.
    ${ }^{2}$ See this followed out at greater length in Scheller＇s Grammar．

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ e. g., Arka (Gruter. DCLXXII., 1); Dedikavervxt (XXXVI., 9); evoratvs (DXXIX., 6); Kandidatvs (CCCLXXXI., 3); rara (CCCCXXXIV., 1); karus (CCCXXXIII., 9); Karissimus (CCCCLXXXIV., 3 ); farcer (LXXX., 5 ); and many others.
    > ${ }^{2}$ Grut. CCXXXII.
    > ${ }^{7} 421$, \&c.
    > ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. ${ }^{4}$ Fabrett., 103.
    > ${ }^{5} 169$.
    > ${ }^{6} 378$.
    > ${ }^{10}$ Compare the pronunciation of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \not \approx x \eta, \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha y \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0_{5}, \& \in$. , in Greek, which is established by inscriptions, where they appear as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \nu \eta$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \lambda 0 \mu s \nu \sigma_{5}$, with the observations of Victorinus, Lib. I. de Orthog. on agger, ancile, \&cc.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Grut. CCCVII., 8 ; CCCXXXIV., 2, and many others.
    ${ }^{2}$ XXXI., 9. ${ }^{3}$ MCX., 1. ${ }^{4}$ MLXII., $1 . \quad{ }^{5}$ CCC. ${ }^{6}$ CCCCVII., $8 . \quad{ }^{7}$ DXVIII., 4. ${ }^{8}$ CCCLXII., 1; MXCV., 7. ${ }^{9}$ MLII., 10. ${ }^{10}$ MCXXV., 1. ${ }^{11}$ CCCCXXXIX., 6. ${ }^{12}$ XCII., 11. * CCCXXV., 7 ; DCCLX., 10 ; DCCLXII., 10.
    ${ }^{13}$ LXI., 1 ; CCCCXXXVI., $3 . \quad{ }^{14}$ CLXXXV., 2; MLXXXIII., 8. ${ }^{15}$ CCCIV., 1 ; MLIV., 1 ;
    ${ }^{16}$ Cenotaph. Cai. Caes.
    ${ }^{17}$ Tomb of Scipio.
    ${ }^{13}$ Gruter. DII. $\quad{ }^{19}$ CCCLII., 1 ; CDXXXII., 8,9 ; CDLXVII., 2; CDXCIV.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ XII., x., 29. ${ }^{2}$ I., iv., 14. ${ }^{3}$ Grut. CXXVI. ${ }^{4}$ CCCLXXXIX., 7.
    ${ }^{5}$ CCCXXXIII., 9. ${ }^{6}$ CCLXXXV., 5 ; CCCLXX., 3.
    ${ }^{7}$ Gruter, CLII. 8 ; CLXXIX., 2 ; CCXLVI., 3, and many other places.
    ${ }^{8}$ CCCXCI., 5. ${ }^{9}$ CXCIX., 6. ${ }^{10}$ DCLXX., 5. ${ }^{11}$ CCXXVIII., 8.
    ${ }^{12}$ CCCVIII., 1.
    ${ }^{15}$ XXIII., 12.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note is synonymous with pitch; and although the word tone is often used in the same sense, it ought, strictly speaking, to be employed only to express that character of sound which we term quality, and which has no reference to the number of vibrations by which it is produced.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ Foster on Accent and Quantity, Cbap. I.

[^133]:    ${ }^{4}$ Cave is a conjectural emendation for cape, which appears in almost all the best MSS. and old editions. There are also many variations in other words of this line, but not in spinosi.
    ${ }^{5}$ Many MSS. have Consuluit striges; but the first syllable in striges is short. This looks as if there was some corruption in the text.
    ${ }^{6}$ Burgess would read, "Scipiadae, heu ubi nunc classes . . . ." But this seems to be purely conjectural.
    ${ }^{7}$ Two Harl. MSS. and the edition of 1472 have Sylla.
    ${ }^{8}$ Facta is the reading now generally received, on the authority of the best MSS.
    ${ }^{9}$ Many MSS. have facta. (See Burman on the passage.)
    ${ }^{10}$ Comparing this with the last two, we are naturally led to read facta. Consult Zinzerling. Diatrib. Burman conjectures coepta.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reading now adopted on unexceptionable MS. authority is-
    Quisnam audet leges vibrato imponere ferro.
    Some MSS. and old edd. have stricto leges imponere ferro. "One MS., leges stricta,
    ${ }^{2}$ The reading now received on the authority of MSS. and old edd. is passim.

[^135]:    Three MSS. have occulta et spolia.
    2 The reading adopted by Ruperti is semper.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ See also chapters VIII. and IX. in Dr. Donaldson's Varronianus.

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quoted by Vossius Aristarch. II., c. xxxiii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Quoted by Priscian, p. 817. In some copies the word appears as mandidisset, and in all probability this is not an Hexameter line at all, for it is generally believed that the Heroic measure was unknown in Latium before the time of Ennius.
    ${ }^{3}$ Quoted by Cic. de Div. I, c. 48. 4 Quoted by Priscian, p. 891.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. 479, ed. Mercer. In some editions of Plautus the reading is exsorbebo. Epid. II., ii., 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. 507. $\quad{ }^{3}$ P. 509. Analysis of Latin Verbs-1836.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quoted by Quintilian, I., vi., 8.

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this passage many of the best MSS. have stridunt, and it appears doubtful if the contracted form was ever used by the purer classics.

[^141]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quoted by Priscian, p. 880.
    ${ }^{2}$ The student who desires to enter fully into the discussion with regard to Saturnian Verses, may consult Hermann's Elementa Doctrinae Metricae, Cap. IX., lips., 1816, and his Epitome Doctrinae Metricae, Cap. IX., § 525, ed. sec., Lips., 1844; De Versu, quem vocart, Saturnio, by H. Düntzer and L. Lerseh, Bonn., 1838; Der

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Aurel. Vict. Orig. gent. Rom. 4, who has evidently followed this passage of Varro.

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ Virg. G. II., 385. Liv. IV., 20, 53 ; V., 49; X., 30. Comp. VII.. 2.

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ The common reading is Phalaecium.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. 2679, ed. Putsch.; Pars. I., cap. viii., ed. Gaisford.

[^145]:    1 There can be little doubt that lepistas is the true reading.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ The common reading is Phalaecio, and below Phalaecion.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pars II., cap. xxvii., ed. Gaisf ;rd.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ So Diomedes, p. 512,-Saturnium in honorem dei Naevius invenit addita una syllaba ad Iambicum versum sic

    ## Summas opes qui regum regias refregit,

    huic si demas ultimam syllabam, erit Iambicus, de quo saepe memoratum est. In p. 476 he says that the Palimbacchius was also named Latius and Saturnius.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cap. II. § 11, ed. Gaisford.

[^147]:    ' There is a small blank here in the MS., which Orelli proposes to fill up with the words dicatus Accii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dissertation upon Phalaris. XI., p. 162, ed. 1817.

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ History of Rome, vol. i., third edition, notes 684, 685, 686,687, pp. 253-255, of translations by Hare and Thirlwall, 1831.

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Niebuhr's Lectures on the Origin of the Early History of Rome, from the German of Dr. Isler, p. 11 of Dr. Schmitz's translation, and vol. $\mathbf{i}$., p. 89, of the translations by Chepmell and Demmler.

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ The epopee which, according to the supposition of Niebuhr, included Tullus, the story of the Horatii, and the destruction of Alba.
    "Niebuhr did not live to fulfil the promise given here and elsewhere. "The chapter of an ancient grammarian" here alluded to is understood to be the fragment of Charisius, afterwards published from the Neapolitan MS. by Schneidewin (Gotting., 1841), but which, after all, throws no new light upon the subject.

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ We must remind the reader of the expressions of Victorinus in the passage already quoted-Nostri antiqui usi sunt eo non observata lege nec uno genere custodito, sed praeterquam quod durissimos fecerunt, etiam alios longos, alios breviores inserue-runt-and his concluding words, Unde apud omnes grammaticos super hoc adhuc non parva lis est.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ So the line is given, and probably correctly, by Plotius, p. 2650. Victorinus presents it as-

    Ferunt pulchras creterras, aureasque lepistas;
    And Atilius-
    Ferunt pulcras pateras, aureas, lepidas;
    but neither of these forms would suit.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lord Macaulay says-" The most perfect Saturnian line which has been preserved was the work, not of a professional artist, but of an amateur." I wonder that this very circumstance did not excite his suspicions. Nothing could have been less in accordance with old Roman feelings than for the haughty Metelli to have engaged in a war of epigrams with their humble antagonist.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Metrum Asclepiadeum primum is ix., in a system by itself.
    2 Observe, however, that the Anapaestic Monometers and Paroemiacs do not recur at regular intervals.

    3 The Netrum Trochaicum primum is axviii., in a system by itself.

[^154]:    "The BEST and SAFEST book on Domestic Medicine and Household Surgery which has yet appeared."-London Fournal of Medicine.
    "Dr. Thomson has fully succeded in conveying to the public a vast amount of useful rofessional knowledge."-Dublin Fournal of Medical Science.
    "The best production of the kind we possess."-Christian Witness.
    "The amount of useful knowledge conveyed in this work is surprising."-Medical rimes and Gazette.
    " Worth its weight in gold to families and the clergy."-Oxford Herald.

[^155]:    **** The above are the only issues of the "Christian Year," with Memoir and Portrait of the Author. In ordering, Griffin's Editions should be specified.

[^156]:    "In a neat and concise form are brought together striking and beautiful passages from the works of the most eminent divines and moralists, and political and scientific writers of acknowledged ability."-Edinburgh Daily Review.

[^157]:    "The work is a very useful one, and will contribute, by its cheapness and comprehensiveness, to foster the extending taste for Natural Science."-Westminster Review.

[^158]:    "In this most useful and handsome volume Mr. Phillips has condensed a large amount of valuable practical knowledge...... We have not only the results of scientific inquiry most cautiously set forth, but the experiences of a thoroughly practical man, very clearly given."-A thenaum.
    "For twenty years the learned author, who might well have retired with honour on account of his acknowledged success and high character as an authority in Metallurgy, has been making notes, both as a Mining Engineer and a practical Metallurgist, and devoting the most valuable portion of his time to the accumulation of materials for this, his Masterpiece. There can be no possible doubt that 'Elements of Metallurgy' will be eagerly sought for by Students in Science and Art, as well as by Practical Workers in Metals......Two hundred and fifty pages are devoted exclusively to the Metallurgy of Iron, in which every process of manufacture is treated, and the latest improvements accurately detailed."-Colliery Guardian.
    "The value of this work is almost inestimable. Therecan be no question that the amount of time and labour bestowed on it is enormous..... There is certainly no Metallurgical Treatise in the language calculated to prove of such general utility to the Student really seeking sound practical information upon the subject, and none which gives greater evidence of the extensive metallurgical knowledge of its author." -Mining fournal.

[^159]:    "To those who need to be allured into the paths of natural science, by witnessing the wonderful results that can be produced by well-contrived experiments, we do not know that we could recommend a more useful volume."-A thenaum.

[^160]:    "The general conception is sourd, and the execution praiseworthy. The selections seem, on the whole, happily and judiciously made...... In the sixth and last volume we have an excellent chronological selection from our English classics........ By the time the scholar has mastered the Series, he ought to have a fairly suggestive knowledge of English literature. The treatise on composition is brief, but satisfactory; and the books generally are very much what we should desire."-Times.
    "The Series is decidedly one of the best that have yet appeared."-Athencum.
    "There are no better reading-books published. The advanced books are gems. The Series reflects great credit on both editor and publisher."-Educational Reporter. "The Series has been very carefully and judiciously prepared."-Examiner.
    "The choice of matter is excellent, and so are the method and style. We begin with wholesome stories, poems, and lessons on natural history, brightened with woodcuts; and we go on to matters of general information and useful knowledge in a very attractive shape, till we arrive at a point which ought to turn the pupil out into the world a good reader, a lover of good reading, and an intelligent member of society."Schcol Board Chronicle.
    ** Each book of this Series contains within itself all that is necessary to ${ }^{\text {fulfil the requirements of the Revised Code, viz.: Reading, Spelling and }}$ Dictation Lessons, together with Exercises in Arithmetic, for the whole year. The paper, type and binding are all that can be desired.

[^161]:    "These simple and well-graduated Manuals, adapted to the requirements of the New Code, are the most elementary of elementary works, and extremely cheap...... They are more useful, as practical guide-books, than most of the more expensive works."-Standard.
    "The Series will prove a very reliable and substantial aid to the teacher.
    The whole of the Manuals bear plain evidence of having been prepared by those thoroughly conversant practically with the work of teaching, and of having been revised by one able to judge of the effect of book-teaching by the crucial test ot its results. In the Bible History Manual all controversial points have been avoided, and, as a ground-work and help to the study of the Scriptures, it is to be warmly commended."-Western Daily Mercury.
    *** Specimen copies supplied to Teachers at Half the Published Price and Postage. A Complete Set of Readers and Manuals on receipt of P.O.O. for 6 s. id.

[^162]:    **Specimen Copies of all the Educational Works published by Messrs. Charles Griffin and Company may be seen at the Libraries of the College of Preceptors, South Kensington Museum, and Crystal Palace; also at the depots of the chief Educational Societies.

[^163]:    "Cobbett's great qualities were immense vigour, resource, energy, and courage, joined to a force of understanding, a degree of logical power, and above all a force of expression, which have rarely been equalled........ He was the most English of Englishmen."-Saturday Reviev.
    " With all its faults, Cobbett's style is a continual refreshment to the lover of ' English undefiled.'"-Pall Mall Gazette.
    COBBETT (William): COTTAGE ECONOMY. Containing information relative to the Brewing of Beer, Making of Bread, Keeping of Cows, Pigs, Bees, Poultry, \&c.; and relative to other matters deemed useful in conducting the affairs of a Poor Man's Family. New Edition, Revised by the Author's Son. Fcap. 8vo. Cloth, 2/6. Eighteenth Edition.

[^164]:    "The most powerful work of the greatest master of political controversy this country has ever produced."-Pall Mall Gazette.

[^165]:    "We consider we have reason strongly to recommend Mrs. Dalgairns' as an economical, useful, and practical system of cookery, adapted to the wants of all families, from the tradesman to the country gentleman."-Spectator.

[^166]:    ** Particular attention is requested to this very beautiful series. The delicacy of the engravings, the excellence of the typography, and the quaint antique head and tailpieces, render them the most beautiful volumes ever issued from the press of this country and now, unquestionably, the cheapest of their class.

[^167]:    "Of Mackey's Lexicon it would be impossible to speak in too high terms; suffice it to say, that in our opinion, it ought to be in the hands of every Mason who would thoroughly understand and master our noble Science.........No Masonic Lodge or Library should be without a copy of this most useful work."-Masonic News.

[^168]:    "It is difficult to determine which of these volumes is the most attractive. Will be found equally enjoyable on a railway journey, or by the fireside."-Mining fournal.
    "These additions to the Library, produced by Mr. Timbs' industry and ability, are useful, and in his pages many a hint and suggestion, and many a fact of importance, is stored up that would otherwise have been lost to the public."-Builder.
    "Capital little books of about a hundred pages each, wherein the indefatigable Author is seen at his best."-Mechanics' Magazine.
    "Extremely interesting volumes."-Evening Standard.
    "Amusing, instructive, and interesting.......As food for thought and pleasant reading, we can heartily recommend the 'Shilling Manuals.'"-Birmingham Daily Gazette.

