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## LETTER XII.

MY DEAR SON,
IT is the practice of many moralints, to decry the opinion of the world, and to reprefent it as fuch an affemblage of folly and inconfiftency, as to be utterly unworthy of the. regard of a rational being. My own fentiments are directly oppofite; for, were I to fpeak of this fame comprehenfive aggregate, I fhould fay, that whenever it hás a proper opportunity of Voi. III. B knowing

## [ 2 ]

knowing the merits of any queftion, its verdict is refpectable. It is hafty, rafh, and inclined to prejudge a caufe; but the principles upon which its judgment is generally awarded are wife, juft, and liberal. Indeed, I think it talks better than it acts: for its actions are fwayed by individual paffions; whereas the decifions of a collective body poffers the advantage of the difcernment and the reafon of the whole, while paffions are feldom contagious, except when we have a perfonal intereft in the cafe in difpute. Fools, indeed, adopt the popular opinion, for the fake of failing with the tiḍe; but a moderate underftanding, before it abfolutely fubmits to the injunctions of cuflom, will employ fome pains to invefligate the propriety of its decrees. For, though this degree of capacity is not calculited to make difcoveries, it is extremely well adapted to analyfe any

$$
[3]
$$

propofition that is fubmitted to its infpection. I do not pretend to calculate with mathematical accuracy; but I fuppofe that popular opinion, or, if you pleafe, the judgment of the world, may be thus fairly defined: wife men take the lead, and determine upon every given cafe. Men of plain fenfe imbibe and diffufe the opinions of the wife, becaufe they think them juft. Fools do the fame, either from their reverence to fafhion, or becaufe they have not capacity to Atrike out a novel idea.

Perhaps one reafon for my treating the opinion of the world with more deference than feveral excellent moralifts have done, may arife from my viewing it through a different medium. They who defpife its award, feem to have confufed the idea of the world at large with that of the depraved vicious part of it. 1 know that a knot of atheifts, B 2

## [4]

or a club of libertines, are extremely apt to call themfelves the world; and I know too, that unfortunate maniacs, in their fits of frenzy, fomehow or other connect themfelves with royalty. I fhould as foon admit the validity of one pretenfion, as that of the other. He whofe perverted judgment cannot difcover his duty to his God, and he who by his depraved conduct will not acknowledge his duty to man, are as much difjointed from the body of their fpecies, as the unhappy wretch whofe perverted imagination "fies more devils than "valt hell can hold." . They may term themfelves the world if they pleafe, and arrogate the praile due to fuperior wifdom and refpectability; and parrots and monkeys may call themfelves men and women, $b$ caufe they have fome fimilar faculties. But it would be as expedient to look for found fenfe and good morals, the

## [5]

the effentials of true judgment, in Bedlam, and Newgate, as in the haunts of thofe, who, after having fet out with defpifing general opinion, pretend to be its regulators; who have defied every reftraint, and then prefume to give law to their fellow- creatures.

I do not, however, feek for "The World" in a fuppofed affociation of the wife and good; becaufe I fear that fuch affociations are, generally fpeaking, fanciful, and favour more of the golden age than of any real picture of human nature. My world confifts, as I have before obferved, of wife men, people of plain fenfe, and fools. I exclude from it the totally (that is, the avorwedly) profane. People who pique themfelves upon being wifer than their fcllow-creatures; who glory in undoing what others have done, in defpifing all reftraints, and defying all cenfures, what-
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ever may be their íphere of life, do not deferve the epithet of men. They belong to another order of beings, who are not frail, but determinedly wicked. What that order is, I will leave them to decide; only obferving, that, fince many of them have been anxious to depofe the Prince of Darknefs from his infernal throne, they may, perhaps, have a confcioufnefs that there is no neceffity for a tempter, to excite actions of open rebellion againft the great Governor of Heaven and Earth.

I hould be grieved to my inmoft foul, if I thought that the above defcription was applicable to any large portion of my fellow-creatures. I am, on the contrary, perfuaded, that thefe determined defperadors are as contemptible in numbers as they are in character; and that their clamour is merely an artful cover to conceal their real infignificance. I

## [7]

am extremely unwilling that their blafphemous abfurdities fhould be deemed the collective voice of the human race, left they fhould draw away a whole fhoal of weak minds, who, with no worfe defign than that of being very much the fafhion, would find themfelves metamorphofed into complete villains.

Even fuppofing it were allowable to admit, that the moft depraved part of mankind fhould give law to the reft, merely becaufe they are the moft turbulent, it would not follow that it would be rigbt for us to fubfrribe to their opinions, becaufe they then become that " wicked and corrupt world" which we have engaged to renounce. Indeed, granting to general opinion its utmoft latitude of power, we may not allow that it can overthrow any known eftablifhed truth, either in fact or in morals. The moft civilized part of the world B 4 has,
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has, for many ages, agreed to acknowledge the veracity of the Chriftian revelation: It has been our unhappy lot to fee a nation publicly difclaim it, and glory in ftepping back to natural religion, as if they had made fome beneficial difcovery, in turning from a pure law to a grofs and obfcure one, and in Ahutting their eyes on the noon-day fun, while they worfhipped a ftar. Had you been in France when this dreadful alteration took place, general opinion would not have jufified your apoftacy, even fuppofing that it had been fufficiently univerfal to bave countenanced it; which, I truft, was far from the real cafe. Again, the earlier writers on the fubject of morals have taught us, that virtue confifts in kerping our paffions in fubjection; in improving our underftandings; in fulfilling the duties of that ftate of life in which we are placed; and in taking

## [ 9 ]

taking advantage of the difcoveries of paft ages, in order to render ourfelves wifer and better: A new fet of philofophifts, infatuated by a perverted tafte for novelty, have inverted théfe rules. - lnftead of fubduing our paffions, they advife us to ftrengthen them; for they fay, that no 'natural appetite can be criminal.' Actions, which were heretofore efteemed to be vicious, are pronounced to be proofs of virtue; fentiment is declared to be a better guide than principle; dif: tinction of rank, on which civil fociety: has been every where founded, is termed by thefe fages a cruel and unjuft tyranny; and, left former ages fhould rife and condemn us for fools, a fweeping claufe is added, which, without examination or exception, fets down all palt generations as abfolute dotards, who fuccef fively dozed away an ufelefs exiftence

$$
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in

## [ 10 ]

in merited navery and well deferved infamy.

The good fenfe of this nation, my, dear Boy, has hitherto happily refifted the fanaticifm of thefe wild unfupported notions. But, as the miffionaries who propound them are indefatigable in their endeavours to make converts, they may meet with fufficient fuccefs to enable their unblufhing effrontery to affirm, that the popular voice is on their fide, and thus feduce thofe weak minds, that paffively follow the multitude, to add to their nominal ftrength. Bur, were the corruption really as general as it was in Sodom, you muft imitate righteous Lot. No force of numbers can make that right which is pofitively wrong.

I have beftowed too much pains in proving, what is indeed felf-evident, that the decifions of the worthlefs part of our fpecies,

## [ 11]

fpecies, being formed upon falfe principles, are enticled to no regard. Error, inadvertence, haltinefs, and indolence, often occafion wrong decifions among people of decent character ; but, if your judges are in themfelves refpectable, I would not have you treat their opinions with contempt becaufe they are in fome degree erroneous, but endeavour to difcover the grounds on which they are formed. I am now fpeaking of that fort of judgment which all of us, at different times, pafs on each other's conduct; and I am fuppofing, that you know yourfelf to be blamed for fome particular action, or mode of behaviour. If candid and worthy people condemn you, I cannot advife you to be indifferent to their cenfures. Such careleffinefs would argut a-degree of pride highly improper in any man, and more efpecially fo in a young man. For, though the prevalent B 6 atyle

## [ 12 ]

ftyle of behavinur among the rifing gencration may feem to indicate the contrary, there is nothing fo prejudicial to the character of a perfon who is entering inte the world as opinionativenefs and felf-conceit. It is a mortal enemy to. every fpecies of improvement, keeping the mind in a fate of torpor, or I fhould rather fay of inebriety, and dijgufing people, who would otherwife have fele inclined to render the improvident coxcomb real acts of friendfhip: I feel happy in the idea that you have no natural tendency to this fault; and, in order to prevent you from acquiring it by imitation, let me frongly place before your eyes, as the beft inducements to right conduct, firt, religious principle, and, next, the efteem of the virtuous and the good. The cenfures of a perfon of this defcription cannot be unimportant; and, whenever they fall upon you, do not permit

## [ 13 ]

permit felf-love to treat them lightly, or to reprefent them as arifing from a prejudiced view of the fubject, from careleffnefs, or from ill-will. Confider that, though it is poffible for your judge to have been miftaken, it is equally poffible that you may have been wrong; not, perhaps, in fo great a degree as is fuppofed, yet fufficiently fo to be blameable. Inftead, therefore, of piquing yourfelf upon your fuppofed innate independence, recollect that obfervers are more likely to be difpaffionate and unprejudiced than yourfelf. Inveftigate your conduct, but do not try it by the fuggeftions of vanity. Ufe the fame rules which you would refer to in judging the conduct of another. If you find that you have acted wrong, endeavour at future amendment; and thus you will derive one great advantage from attending to the opinions

## [ 14 ]

of others,-I mean the correction of your own foibles.

But if, on revifion of your paft behaviour, you fhould find that you have done nothing wrong, you are at liberty to fuppofe that your cafe has been mifreprefented; and, in confequence, endeavour to have it fairly fated. It fo frequently happens that the beft and wifeft fall into miftakes, that your refpect for perfons who bear the effential mark of thofe qualities need not be diminifhed by knowing that they have judged ill. It is much more candid (much more chrittian-like I fhould fay) to form this conclufion, than to fuppofe that your cenfurers entertain any preconceived prejudice or inberent dinike againft you. I know that it is a cuftom with many people, to allow themfelves to fay, "I don't care what fuch perfons "r fay

## [ 15 ]

"fay of me, for I know they hate me." If this were truth, one might anfwer, " Either they are very deteftable peo" ple, or you deferve to be hated." As our religion teaches us not to bate others, fo, fanctioning the fecret impulfes of felf-love, it bids us avoid incurring the hatred of any one. What have you done to excite animofity? we may fairly proceed to inquire. Have you been froward, contentious, overbearing, envious, or oppreflive? Have you been guilty of defamation, of any act of revenge, or ill-will? Have you even been purpofely rude and negligent, or fo far inattentive as to tranfgrefs the eftablifhed forms of good-breeding? If you have, your enemy, indeed, may be culpable, but you are far from innocent. Do not complain of that rancour which your behaviour juftifies, and which a change in your conduct might happily remove.

## [ 16 ]

If you afk me, whether fuch a fentiment as fettled dinike can really exift, F muft anfwer, with great concern, that, either from envy at fome fuppofed preeminence, or from a naturally faturnine malevolentdifpofrion, irreconcileable enmity fometimes exifts in a hurnan breaft, and defies all the lavis of God and man. But dilpofitions fo truly diab, lical are ex-tremely rare. I have feldom mot with an inflance, in which unfavourable impref. fions did not arife from a miftaken idea of each other's character; from the exaggeration of that peftulent race of people, tale-bearers; from the fufpicion that the perfon in queftion harbours a bad opinion of us, which we are refolved to repay in kind; or from too little defire to pleafe, proceeding from a fimilar prejudice to that of which we complain. The amiable character of Sir Charles Grandifon uniformly fupports this idea of human nature ; and, whenever he is peftered

## [17]

peftered with the complaints of difpus tants, he conttantly refers them to " mif-"take-unhappy mifapprehenfion." In a comedy of Mrs. Inchbald's, a very humorous effect is produced, from one of the characters reconciling a fet of petulant beings, by perfuading them, feparately, that they all faid exceedingly handfome things of each other. I am convinced, that this fuggeftion is not merely the romantic whim of the comic mufe, but that it might be rendered extremely ferviceable in real life.

I have, however, wandered from the fubject which I was recommending to your confideration. Your character is now forming ; and a bold contempt for the opinions of others, with a confidence in felf-defert, gloffed by the alluring name of independence, has many charms in the eyes of young men. As a guard againft dangerous miftakes, as an induce-

$$
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ment to the acquifition of praifeworthy qualities, I recommend to you a deference to the opinions of others; and I entreat you to admit, with the moft gucrded caution, the popular aphorifm of, " the confcious mind is its own awful "world." It may be properly ufed by innocent victims fuffering under the gripe of tyranny, the tortures of perfecution, or the accufations of undeferved ignominy; but few of us are martyrs, or confeffors; and, ftrictly fpeaking, there are few defpots and perfecutors in the world. It is a very great fauit to apply to ordinary occafions, fentiments that only fuit peculiar uncommon circumftances. Many a love-fick girl has turned her father into a remorfelefs Bajazet, becaufe he interdicted her pure difinterefed attachment to her adorable Jemmy Jeffamy. Many a diftracted wife, without either the beauty, innocence, or fimplicity

## [ 19 ]

plicity of Defdemona, has really converted her liege lord into a raging Othello; not becaufe the entreated that Caffio might keep his place, but becaufe fhe refufed to difcharge the duties of her own. And, not to be partially fevere on my own fex, I have the honour of knowing fome very refpectable fathers, whom I fhould term uniformly prudent, and kind to their families; and yet, if you will believe the account which their fons give, the young Hopefuls are daily fuffering all the tortures of victims confined in the dungeons of the Inquifition. In the mercantile world, the ferv matters who confcientiounly difcharge their important truft, by keeping a watchful eye over their young charges, are alfo generally honoured with the appellative of Bahhaws, and hiftory is ranfacked for fome grim tyrant as a proper archetype in cruelty.

## [ 20 ]

I do not, however, wifh to deftroy alif felf-regard, or to check all enthufiafm. Moderation in every thing, is the principle by which I wifh to guide my advice; and I am aware, that, though enthufiafm and confidence are dangerous qualities, fome portion of them is requifite to form an energetic character. In writing to a young perfon, whofe puife beats high with generous fentiment, and who has not yet encountered thofe rude rebuffs from oppofing, interefts, that will certainly abate the full dependence on his own powers which the morn of life encourages, I run no hazard in laying a great ftrefs upon prudence, moderation, deference, and humility. I know that you will never urge thefe qualities into the extreme of timidity; but I do not know how far romantic paflions, and a fenfe of independence, may carry you.

## [ 21 ]

Learn to have a deference for others, and early acquire habits of proper fubordination. By this practice you will learn to think juftly, and you will know how to direct others, fhould Providence fo far change your fituation as to remove you from the ruled to the ruling fide. I am not fo abfurd as to fuppofe, that it is even poffible for you to rife to any bigh fation in life. Such ideas would be very improper for any parent to inculcate ; for they would create expectations that are not realifed above once in an age. In the inftance in which they fucceed, they are likely to form a defpot; and wherever they are defeated, a mifanthrope. What I allude to is, that moderate fuccefs which honefty and diligence generally obtain in the rank of life in which they are placed. Yet, even in refpect of this allorvable ambition, be not too fanguine of fuccefs. Fix

## [ 22 ]

your chief attention on the requifites neceflary to your advancement; and, when you have done this, leave the event to Heaven. Remember, that in every line of bufinefs yous mutt have many competitors, who ftart with you to gain the fame goal. Their talents and opportunities may, in every refpect, be equal to yours; and, if their exertions are greater, or their friends more defirous to promote their interefts, than yours are anxious to ferve you, fuccefs is the natural confequence; but do not let your fallure induce you to give up the purfuit in defpair. Endeavour, by your behaviour, to excite greater attention in the minds of thofe who can afilt you. And remember, that, fince Chriftianity teaches you to look to a future flate for retribution, thofe chaftifements which appear in the form of pecuniary difappointments are not marks of Divine difplea-

## [ 23 ]

difpleafure. It may be, that, at the time you made your laft effort for advancement, Providence forefaw that it would be to your advantage to continue in a low dependent ftation. Do not murmur 'at its decrees, but practife the virtues which that ftation prefcribes. Whenever a fair opening prefents itfelf, try again with firmnefs and refolution as to the means, with fubmifion as to the event. Never fuffer defpair to get poffeflion of you; it breaks the fpring of the foul, and not only deltroys your peace of mind, but actually annihilates thofe qualities which would induce others to aflift you, and thus leaves you deftitute when you are mot in want of friends. For pity, believe me, is a weak inefficient fentiment; it may fometimes prompt the liberal to beftow jpontaneous relief on a miferable object; but that fort of intereft which inclines the opu-

## [24]

lent or the powerful to thofe reiterated acts of kindnefs, or that active exertion, which is neceffary to raife any perfon to an advantageous fituation, muft flow from a perfuafion that the protegée poffeffes fome valuable quality, which will at a future period do credit to their difcerning recommendation. This, you will obferve, is afcribing generofity and friendfhip to felf-love, a principle which I have warmly reprobated; but I would no more èndeavour to eradicate felf-love than any other of the paffions, which were all implanted in our bofoms for wife and good purpofes, and are only culpable when, by improper indulgences, we fuffer them to deviate into criminal excefles. Self-love is an active invigorating principle; but when it degenerates into felfifhnefs, it becomes a mean degrading vice. In the inflance under confideration, I cannot fee that

## [ 25 ]

even chriftian charity, taken in its largeft and moft comprehenfive fenfe, can condemn fuch a due regard to our own character as would reftrain us from preffing the interefts of a perfon whom we know to be unequal to the ftation which he defires, either from fome natural defect, or from fome acquired difadvantage. Ambition is no recommendation in the eye of another, however felf-confidence may fecond its fuggeftions. From liftening to the delufions of the moft puerile vanity, we become ignorant pretenders in one ftage of life, and gloomy mifanthropes, or difcontented politicians, in another. The complaints that we hourly hear againf the injuftice of the world, the inequality of rank, the caprice of Fortune, the tyranny of civil governments, the pride and oppreffion of the great, and, in fhort, the whole train of grievances with which loud dsVor. III.

C
claimers

## [ 26 ]

claimers fwell their popular harangues, will; when traced to their true fource, bs found to proceed, in general, from difappointed arrogance; from detected knavery; from indolence, which wifhed to fatten on the earnings of induftry; or from diffipation, which folaced itfelf in the enjoyments of opulence without having fufficient energy to procure the decencies of competence. The following fentiments from Dr. Young are much oftener realifed than difproved:
"Look into thofe they call unfortunate,
"And, clofer view'd, you'll find they were unwife:
"Some flaw in their own cenduct lies beneath;
"A And 'twas the trick of fools to fave their credit, "Which brought another language into ufe."

Nothing can be a more unjuft picture of life than to fuppofe that knaves are generally moft fuccefsful, or that integrity is a hinderance to advancement. The truth is, that homefty is fo abfolutcly

## [ 27 ]

Iutely neceffiry in all fituations, that they
who have it not are compelled to affect it. Now; as it only belongs to Omnifcience to fearch the heart, it often happens, that the counterfeit may be fo well executed as not only to pafs for the true, but alfo to eclipfe it ; efpecially if genuine worth, will neglect to acquire that polifh which is the current coin of the world. If this negligence proceeds from inattention to general manners, or from total, ignorance of the importance, that is annexed to a proper mode of behaviour, the party is to be pitied; if, as it more frequently happens, it proceeds from a fupercilious difdain of thofe trifles on which the world affixes a high value, much cenfure mult be mixed with our regret. In either cafe, a failure of fulccefs cannot fairly be attributed to the corrupt habits of the world. The yorld has long fince de$\mathrm{C}_{2}$ cided,

## [ 28 ]

cided, that a knowledge of the art of pleafing fhall be a paffport to its favour; and can it be wondered, that it refufes to receive a fuppliant who does not come thus accredited? If we will adventure into a ftrange country without underftanding its language or cuftoms, we mult expect a thoufand perplexities and difappointments.

Why fhould merit expect that every one will take the trouble of piercing the rough fhell in which it wilfully incrufts itfelf? Why mould it carelefsly relinquifh its inherent rights, and fuffer fraud to reap advantages by borrowing the character that is naturally its own? A good man who does not wifh to appear amiable has, in reality, fo much fullennefs and pride, as muft confiderably tarnifh the luftre of his virtues. If it be his intention to pafs his days in privacy, fatisfied with the applaufe of his

## [ 29 ]

his own heart, and with the efteem of thofe who thoroughly know him, he cannot be taxed with inconfiftency; and we can only condemn him for robbing virtue of its due confideration. But if it be his aim to attract attention, and to acquire reputation or fortune, he muft not afcribe the mifcarriage that is likely to enfue to the world's undifcerning partiality, bue to his own inattention eo thofe fecondary qualities which, though leaft in intrinfic value, are firft feen by a common obferver. People in general have not difcernment, patience, or leifure enough to inveftigate deeply. A firt impreffion generally ftrikes; and we decide upon it, that fuch a one is a difagreeable man. "He has great "worth," obferves another: "He may," is our carelefs retort, while our attention immediately turns to fome more attractive object.

## [ 30 ]

It has, indeed, often happened, that real merit, even when deftitute of every external recommendation, has engaged the active friendhip of powerful patrons: but this has rarely been the cafe, unlefs this fame unpolifhed merit was gilded by the dazzling beam of genius. -It is very flattering to felf-love, to have an opportunity of introducing " a gem of pureft "ray ferene," or " a flower that has " wafted its fweetnefs on the defert air,". to the ficuation which it is well calculated to adorn. And the world feems to have come to a fort of tacit agreement to allow extraordinary abilities a licence to affume that Arcingenefs of behaviour, under the foft phrafe of originality, which it would reprobate as downright brutality in others. I can fcarcely allow even genius this licence: at leaft, I would fo circumfrribe it as to refufe every pert man of wit, and every pedantic man of logic,

## [ 3r ]

logic, the permiffion of fheltering his rudenefs under the excufe of abfence and elevation of mind. The privilege of dif; gufing Mould be granted by patent, and never allowed to above half a dozen contemporaries; by this means one might have a great chance to be fortungte enough never to meet with one of them.

I am not now fpeaking of negligences and inadvertencies; for it is but fair to infer, from the vivacity and abftraction incident to great parts, or to a vigorous purfuit of any object, that inattention to minutiæ may at times occur, without partaking of the infolent fuperiority of $d c-$ figned affront. I think that the latter is not, entitled to any excufe from the rank or talents of him who gives it, and that if can only be jufified by the impertinence or worthleffinefs of him who receives it. When fools or knaves intrude into that rank of fociety, or that place in conver. $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ fation,
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fation, for which they are evidently unfit, we are obliged to the fpirited champiors of the laws of decorum, who either banifhes them, or humbles them to their proper level. But a modeft man of fair character, who is folicitous to avoid offending, is, by every law of focial intercourfe, protected from infult ; and I muft repeat, that neither rank nor talents are an excufe to the perfon who gives it. But, in truth, rank and talent rarely give occafion for fuch complaints. Perfons poffeffed of thefe advantages have generally feen enough of the world to know, that infolence is incompatible with true dignity. The offence of which I am now fpeaking, ordinarily proceeds from two kinds of people, whom I cannot help reprobating as the pefts of colloquial comfort. The firt are thofe felf-fufficient coxcombs who are always looking at their owen excellencies; and the fecond
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are the Joan Blunts, who are as Jeduloufly attentive to your faults. The grand art of difgufting, which, notwithltanding our pretenfions to refinement, has been much improved by modern practice, is deeply fludied by thefe adepts; the former taking every opportunity of overwhelming you with their fuperiority, the latter watching you with the molt affiduous attention for no other purpofe than to pefter you with their advice. Each are, in fact, impoftors; the coxcomb pretending to be vesy well bred, and the friendly monitor to be very fincere ; while, in reality, there is neither politenefs nor fincerity in either of them. A wifh to overpower and confound you is widely different from the wifh of pleafing you. The former places you in a painful fituation, the latter: foothes and delights you. Nothing but the moft diffempered vanity could $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ unite
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unite thefe oppofte qualities ${ }^{\top}$. and no:thing but the mof "erroneous" judgment could thus hope to carry addmiration by ftorm. 'A coxcomb' mâay confufe a man of fuperior viderftanding, may gain the laugh againft him, and may (to run through a ftring of very genteel vulgarifins) hoax, bore; quiz, and badger him. But, at the very iñftant of triumph, the ridiculed party is neither confcious of defeat, nor fenfible of the fuperiority of his felf-created conqueror. He has, indeed, been filenceid by a torrent of words, and a few apifh tricks ; and fo he might have been had his opponent been either a parrot or a monkey. But filence is not conviction: contempt has been mutually excited; with this difference, that what the coxcomb only dffects on falfe premifes, is in the plain man's bofom well-founded and fincere.
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The humour of the times leans fo ftrongly to the fide of felf-importance, effrontery, and negligence, now denominated firit, proper affurance, and eafe, that the race of overbearing coxcombs are multiplying to an infinite extent. But their coufins, the Joan Blunts, are, on the contrary, on the decline : or rather, inftead of appearing in the fhape in which they flourihed half a century ago, continually giving you directions, and favouring you with the moft obliging, bécaufe unfoliciteds.advice; they now feem to aim more at your opinions, abounding in petty contradictions and unimportant arguments, in which either there is a difference without a difagreement, or the fubject contended for is, in reality, fo trivial and uninterefting, that the conteft cannot arife from the love of truth, but muft originate in the defire of victory. It is

C 6 impor-
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impoffible to fay how oftea the comfort of converfation has been facrificed to thefe petty difturbances, and a focial meeting, inftead of promoting harmony and efteem, has terminated in jealoufies and heart-burnings. Every one has returned home diffatisfied, and with a determination to prefer the tedium of their own fire-fides to the pain of affociating under the influence of the Demon of contention.

I am not fingular in affirming, that, next to morals, manners is a fubject highly worthy of your attention. The world at large; by which term, as I before obferved, I do not mean the diffipated fere, but the fober-minded many; has a right to determine the laws by which its commerce fhall be guided; and though you may not be able, at your prefent inexperienced age, to judge of the propriety of fome of its decifions, yet reft
fatisfied
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fatisfied, that whatever has been adopted by general confent is fanctioned by general expediency. Eftablifhed forms claim your concurrence; if not from that deference of opinion which fo well becomes youth, at leaft from that regard to the means of promoting your future advantage, without which ambition is but a meteor light. In preffing you to give the laws of politenefs "due honour and " obfervance," I am not obliquely invalidating your regard for the precepts of morality; for it is my wifh to imprint upon your mind, with the moit indelible marks, this facred truth, that the precepts of morality, as fanctioned by religion, are of eternal pre-eminent obligation, and paramount to all fuggeftions of temporal advantage. It muft be our trueft intereft to preferve the favour of God, fuperior to all allurements of pleafure; for, what fublunary pleafures
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pleafures can be put in competition with a happy eternity?

Surely thofe, who reprefent pure morals and amiable manners as incompatible, mutt have very confufed ideas of one or other of thefe qualities. I have fpoken of the amiablenefs of chriftian goodnefs; let us now examine a little the morality of politenefs.

It is urged by fome auttere cenfors, that the terms ufed in polise life have no fpecific meaning; and a very excellent fatire on the confequences of literally inter reting, them is given us in the letter from the Bantam ambaffador, which you may remember in the Spectator. But neither the coarfely nor the affectedly vulgar have any right to calt a fone at polifhed manners for this offence ; as it may be eafily proved, that their own expreffions are to, the full as vague and indefinite, and as inade-

## [ 39 ]

quadte to the real meaning which they in ftrictnefs wifh to convey. The fact is, that, whenever any phrafe gets fanctioned by general ufe, people underfand it in a circumfcribed manner. The fpeaker and the hearer have one idea of it, unlefs the latter is a flranger, and unufed to local cuttoms. I do not mean this as an apology for the $i_{i n f i n c e r i t y ~ o f ~ c o u r t l y ~}^{\text {a }}$ profeffions; but I am fure that infincerity is the fault of all converfation, and that we as often meet with it in the clown as in the courtier. The duplicity of the lower, ranks of fociety is flagrant and obvious; and I have often found it impoffible to convince them that there is any, guilt in a premeditated falfity. In the rank immediately above the poor, you may trace a ftrange tendency to exaggeration; not to that fpecies of the romantic which, embellifhed by wit and fancy, is affected with a profefled view
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to entertain you, without the fmalleft defign of impofing upon your belief; but long, dull, circumftantial ftories of wonders, and the wonderful, as impoffible as Autolicus's * taie of "the ufurer's "s wife who longed for carbonadoed "toads, or the filh who fung a ballad " forty thoufand fathom above water;" and, like his flories too, "witneffed by "five jultices of the peace, and feveral " honeft wives, who were prefent upon "the occafion." While the evening is thus occupied by facrificing truth on the shrine of dulnefs, is it poffible to fupprefs an occafional fmile at the cenfures which are incidentally thrown in againt infincerity? The moft arrant deceiver in the roll of courtly promifers does nos violate truth more than thefe time-confuming goflips. The former only aims to
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imprefs you with an idea of his fuperior urbanity; the latter is equally folicitous that you fhould efteem him to be a very extraordinary perfon, who has been particularly favoured by wonderful adventures and wonderful communications. If he is lefs fucceffful in gaining your admiration, he mult blame his own clumfy procefs, and not attack the more dexterous candidate, who has fet off on the fame principles with himfelf. I again repeat, that I am not vindicating falfehood by flating its univerfality. I am perfuaded, that a more guarded attention to plain fact, and more faithful delineation of our own minds in common converfation, is not only morally defirable, but would alfo prove extremely eligible on the fcore of expediency. I am corvinced, however, that the lower order of people have no right to accufe their fuperiors of being moft prone to duplicity. Even
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Even the criminal habits of falle honour produce a more guarded attention to truth, fince an evident falfehood cannot be uttered without rilk of perfonal danger. In this iuftance Satan appears to be divided againt himfelf. May it predict the ruin of his kingdom!

And, as falle honour thus brandihes the fword over the head of pofitive untrutks, the habits of fpeaking and chinking which are induced by a regular education guard againftexaggeration; which, in general, is the fault of illiterate, rah, inconfiderate people, who fall into it, not fo much from defign, as from a want of precifion in their ideas, and correctnefs in their expreftions. I know not whether I am authorifed to affign a better motive than fafhion to the flrict attention which is now paid to the eclucation of children, who are early accuftomed to invefligate the powers of their
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native langunge. Elegance in converfition inplics not only verfatility and copioulinets, but perficuity and appropriation; and no one call pretend to there qualities, withoue guarding againt the fault which I am reprobating. Befides, the diftinguifhing characteriftic of high-bred circles is indifference; and the more they deviate into abfurdity and vice, the more does this "furm" brous power" comprefs them with her ebon wand. Where it is grool breeding not to be firprifed at any thing, nor to admire any thing, no one has any temptation to deal in the marvellous. Fallehood here takes the thape of apathy; and, by checking the emotions of the heart, reduces the panfions to a morbid infenfibility of thofe exquifite modifications of innocent enjoyment, from which unvitiated minds receive fuch pure delight.
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light. You are aware that I am novz painting high life in its moft odious colours, in the act of indulging affected faftidioufnefs to that extreme, that, finding no relifh in pure and laudable pleafures, it feeks a refuge from ennui in guilt. Many writers of fiction, of the democratic fchool, are fond of exhibiting this moft degenerate ftate of manners; in which the mind, torpid to every ufeful purpofe, is only active in the caufe of vice. If thefe difgufting pictures of inverted reafon are only drawn to deter others from imitating the hideous originals, the defign is commendable, and the portrait may be fo far jutt, as to have many living originals; but if the reprefentation is "given as a true copy of the prevailing conduct of people of rank, I would proteft not only againft its inaccuracy, but againft the invidious
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invidious defign with which it is difo played. To annex, by a general cenfure, weaknefs of intellect, and depravity of conduct, to thofe who move in a fuperior fphere of life, tends to excite the dangerous fpirit of infubordination to buman laws and repining difcontent at divine injunctions. But, happily, we may appeal to facts to difprove the invidious affertion of flanderous malignity and defigning fiction. For, if the head were thus depraved and ftupified by nuggih inanity, could the body politic perform its functions with fuch vigour and promptitude? If fenfe and virtue were in reality fo unfahionable, would they retain that hold in fociety which they actually do? We too well know how rapidly every frippery abfurdity, that the great have fandioned by their practice, defcends to the lower orders; many of whom, by ingeniounly grafting them
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on their native foibles, exemplify this line in Pope:
"All that difgrac'd my betters meets in me."
Hafty obfervation and fhallow intellect catch at what is outré; and, perceiving that a fuperiority is affixed to the party who exhibits it, weakly fuppofe, that the diftinction which is really due to fome other part of the characier, is at-: tached to the foible which in reality derogates from its natural refpectability. We have known this abfurd fpirit of imitation go fo fare as to the adoption of perfonal defects, The fory of Alexander and his wry-necked courtiers is in every one's mouth; and my own recollection furnihhes me with an inftance of the infirmity of an eminent charater being generally copied, and it produced. a moft laughable effect. But would it be fair to infer, that all people of fafion
jig,
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erjig, amble, lifp, and nickname God's "creatures," becaufe a ferw, either through folly or misfortune, have fallen into fuch defects, which milliners and apprentices have taken up as "s the mode ?" Such imitation is the refult of that ignorant propenfity to ape. -their betters, which has.lately taken fuch poffeflion of the lower ranks of people; and in no inflance does this vicious imitation more ftrongly operate, than among thofe who, while they clamour moft loudly againt the vices and follies of the great, with the ufual inconfiftency that attends want of principle, are the firt to practife what they are the firf to condemn.

It is the character of true virtue never to court obfervation. She not only feeks privacy from her abhorrence of oftentation; but her actions, generally fpeaking, are of a retired private nature. The
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gamefter and the fpendthrift act in the public eye. The crimes of the libertine, and the tyranny of the oppreffor, are foon divulged, canvaffed, and cenfured. The fhame of the adultrefs is publifhed to all the world; and the diffipated female, plunged in the vortex of vanity, courts difcuffion, and has her win. But the patient wife, who meekly fuffers the moft cruel injuries, hides her wrongs from the world, and wihhes by a $j$ fckly fmile to cheat it into a belief that the is an ordinary character. The amiable mother, who devotes her attention to the care of her rifing family, and is engroffed by the interefting occupation, and happy in the confcientious difcharge of her duty, has neither the wifh nor the leifure to fly about the town, to condemn the conduat of Lady Rattle, who is never at home. In like manner, the affectionate hufband, the kind father,
the
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the good mafter, the laborious ftudent, the polihed cultivator of elegant arts, is contented to limit his renown to the bofom of his family. Extravagance proclaims its profufion, and wifhes to be thought a fad dog, or a carelefs creature ; but Cbarity muft not blow a trumpet when the diffributes alms, left fhe fhould lofe her eternal reward. Blafphemy, Indelicacy, and Prophanenefs, feek the public haunts of men, when they wifh to exhibit the wretched impofure which they call Wit; but Piety fhuts itfelf in its clofet, and then pours out its foul to its Maker. Without having recourfe to the general depravity of the great, or to that levelling humour which often, too often, induces us to attempt to degrade what is above us, do not the foregoing fuggeftions furnih a reafon why we fhould oftener hear of the crimes and follies than we do of the good actions of Vox. III. D our
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our fuperiors? efpecially if we confider that we are more apt to talk of what is fingular and eccentric, than of what is natural and general.

I have adopted it as a maxim well worthy of attention, that people who are belt informed are, generally, the mot amiable and deferving. This, like every other rule, is liable to exceptions; but it has oftener fet me right than mifled me. Wherever I have met with ignorance, I have mofly found vice. But I mult here define my idea of culpable ignorance; by which I would be underftood to mean the want of that ufeful neceffary knowledge which your rank and ftation requires that you thould poffels. Can we wonder when a young nobleman, either neglected in his education, or improperly. informed, early plunges into frenes of diffipation, and, before experience has fupplied him with practical wifdom, liftens to the fuggeftions of flattery,
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flatery, knowing that fortune has given him the means of gratifying his lawlefs paffions with unbounded indulgence? Mult he not be more than man if he can refirt fuch ftrong temptations? The humble walk of life in which we move furnihes numerous inftances of youth who, though educated in fober habits, accultomed to ft .it reftraint and limited views, have yet dared immediate ruin, rather than confine their lawlefs appetites. Thefe have no right to condemn their fuperiors for not obeying the precepts of confcience and religion, when they have themfelves broken the bounds which in early youth are more reftrictive. For, in defiance of temporal ruin, thefe latter have fougbt out and folicited temptation, burfting like lawlefs planets from their fpheres, and fubduing the force of prejudice, habitual control, and cuftom, in order to be undone.

D2 Far
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Far be it from me, my Child, to vindicate the vices of the great. I only exprefs what is both my beliefand hope, that the generality are not vicious; and I lament the inverted ambition which prompts their imitators to adopt what is vile and contemptible in them, and to neglect what is amiable and praifeworthy. This is to winnow corn with a defign of preferving the chaff, and to purify metals for the fake of the drofs. If we mutt all be men and women of fahion, let us not, in the name of common fenfe, reft content with pantaloons and munin drapery. Much lefs let us aim at imitating the gamefter, the debauchee, or the demirep. My Lord may ride races and fwear, and my Lady may game and intrigue ; but it is not gaming, fwearing, racing, or intriguing, that has figned the patent which has conftituted them Lords or Ladies. Unbappily for the world, their dignity is not forfeited
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feited by their vices: but let Jemmy Jumps and Mifs La Blonde practife thefe crimes with the greateft avidity, they mult continue Mifs La Blonde and Jemmy Jumps to the end of their exiftence.

The rage of being genteel is fo univerfal, that I am not Drawcanfir enough to attempt to check its progrefs. Let us then define, welcome, and adopt it. We are told, that it means politenefs, elegance in behaviour, civility, and gracefulnefs in mien. Let it by all means become "the univerfal paffion." Let every rank pique it telf upon acquiring it: Not, indeed, the particular modification which is better adapted to fome other walk in life; but the general principle of fuavity of manners, and agreeablenefs of addrefs. We fhould then be no more wearied by the tedious details of felf-importance; we fhould not
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be offended by overbearing infolence, nor teazed by petty detraction. The frarl of contradiztion would be foftened into the gentle check of diffident correcfion. We fhould no longer efteem a man well bred becaufe he elbows himfelf into the prefident's chair; and we fhould provide a lefs equivocal proof of our politenefs than the catalogue of our wardrobe.

We are grown exceffively refined, and pique ourfelves upon our fuperiority. Unqueftionably we live more luxuriouny and drefs more elegantly than our anceftors did. Our pleafures are of a more exquifite caft, and our language has adopted a more polifhed expreffion. But it is much to be doubted, whether manners have received equal improvement; at leaft whether their improvements have defcended to thofe orders who have rapidly fubfcribed to moft of
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the other alterations. We laugh at the plainnefs of our forefathers; but if we retain their vulgarity, and only drop their modefty, they might moft juftly laugh at us. It is a pity that frugality fhould be the only mark of a contrated mind which goes out of fafhion; and that we should efteem it a mark of our liberality to found good breeding in felfifinefs, inftead of benevolence.

I have fo much to fay, in praife of real politenefs, and fo much abufe to beftow on the mankifin puppet who would pals for her reprefentative, that I muft refume the fubject in my next packet. In the mean time believe me to be, $8 x$ c.
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## LETTER XIII.

## MY DEAR SON,

Is we define the term politenefs to mean the defire of pleafing others, it appears fo conneted with our duty, and with the moft amiable faculties of our minds, that it becomes an important and neceffary acquifition, cven in a religious or moral point of view. Confidered as our paffort to the efteem of the world, it is then eligible on principles of prudence; and if we view it as an appendage to the character of youth, it appears fo graceful, that a young man fcarcely feems to do himfelf juftice who does not try to give himfelf this advantage.
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The modification of this quality is, however, fubject to the opinion of the world; which, though it cannot make what is in itfelf right wrong, or wrong right, has yet fuil force and power to vary what is in its nature arbitrary, and deducible from no other rule than opinion. The ruffs, hoops, and highcrowned hats, of our anceftors, were not in themfelves ridiculous or becoming. It would have been right to have adopted them during their day, and would be as abfurd to refume them during the reign of dreffes diametrically oppofite. The grand end of clothing being anfwered, the adjuftments, amplifications, or curtailments of our apparel, "are all trifles light as air," and what no one fhould pique themfelves upon, either on account of fingularity or conformity, invention, or expence. Indeed, this latt circumftance often dege-

## [ 58 ]

nerates into a ruinous vice, the bane of family comfort, and the utter deftruction of promifing profpects. To imitate prudent people, of our own age, ftation, and fortune, is a fafe rule; and a happy one too, as it fhuts out a great deal of painful rivalry, which, contemptible as the object muft appear to a reflecting mind, has often proved fatal to peace-to female peace at leaft. You will not, my dear Son, fuppofe that I include drefs in my definition of politenefs, becaufe my rambling pen has ftumbled upon its genuine ufes and blameable perverfion. With many of our fecond-rate fahionables, however, it is not merely a part of good breeding, but the whole; "the Aaron's ferpent " which fwallows up the reft." And I have fo often known the defcription of a wellbred Lady commence with her cap, and terminate with the founce
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upon her petticoat, that it is not to be wondered at if my ideas are a little confufed upon thefe fubjects. I perfectly well recollect that period of my life when the paraphernalia of high fafhion attracted my eyes, and riveted my attention, till I thought the fair wearer the very model of grace and elegance: You mutt, therefore, excufe me if I take fome time to confider, that thefe latter qualities are (like politenefs itfelf) perfonal adjuncts, and that they cannot. pofibly be taken off, folded up, and put into a drawer, like an ornamental trimming. But to return.

I would entreat you to confider this quality of perpetual adherence to be " the one thing needful," which converts a graceful manner into a folid virtue. I have often acknowledged it to be a moft engaging feature of real politenefs, that no proud affumption of fu-
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periority depreffed the feelings of confcious fubordination, or pained diffidence, by an oftentatious difplay of the advantages of birch and fortune. I believe, that thofe who poffefs the former diftinction are lefs apt to fall into the fault of diftreffing the inferiors whom they admit to their fociety by the appearance of overbearing confequence, than thofe who, by induftry or good fortune, may have acquired a fudden influx of wealth. It feems as if pride in the bofom of the former, refined to a more "etherial temper" from a confcicufnefs of inherent fupremacy, refts defenfively upon its arms, and, like a generous maftiff, foorns to be roufed by petty infults; while wealch, a fnappifh cur, confcious of its weaknefs, and apt to take alarm from the confideration of being every way vulnerable, like poor Lady Tremor in "Such Things Are," is always afraid
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of having the paternal wig alluded to. I am rather inclined to fuppofe, that the difference which I have often had occafion Atrongly to remark, arifes from my favourite maxims of the advantages of early cultivation; for I have obferved, that wealth only made thofe purfe-proud whofe ideas were fordid and confined; whereas affluence, however unexpecied or immenfe, failed to make any change in thofe whofe minds had been corrected and enlarged by a judicious, valuable education, which is in fact an admirable preparative to enfure proprity of behaviour under every change of fortune.

Your humble lot, my dear Thomas, may occafinally expofe you to
.-" the whips and fcorns of time, " 'Th' oppreffor's wrong, the proud man's contumely,
" The infolence of office, and the fpurns
${ }^{56}$ That patient merit of th' unworthy takes."
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If you feel thefe indignities, treafure them in your memory, not to excite your fplenetic refentment againt thofe from whom they proceeded, for they may as often have been caufed by inadvertence, as by a defigh to infult you; but by the fmart of your own acute fenfibilities on fuch occafions, and by the obfervance which you would think it juft to require from others, regulate your own behaviour in every inftance in which you are " lord of the afcendant." The opportunities of exercifing fuch urbanity will not be unfrequent, if you recollect that every human being has a claim upon you for that fpecies of charity which confifts in courtefy ; and, by accuftoming yourfelf to practife it, you will acquire a habit which will diffufe an ineffable beauty over your whole deportment. From a complaifant manner of addrefs, it will expand into a
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general elegance of demeanour; and if you once lay it down as a rule, that all ranks have a claim upon you for courteous, civil treatment, manners will rife into morals; a grace will become a virtue ; and you will practife it, not merely in the eye of the world, to attract the praife of men, but from principle, and in your moft retired privacies.

The rule that I before gave you with refpect to erroneous faith, or relaxed morals, extends equally to defects in manners. Hate what is arrogant and overbearing, fo far as to avoid thofe faults yourfelf; but let chriftian charity teach you caution in affixing fuch opprobrious terms to the behaviour of others. It oftener happens, that the offence which we take at unpleaiant treatment proceeds more from our own irritability and keen obfervation, than from the intentional rudenefs of thofe from whom
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we think that we have received it. If we can excufe any incivility by referring it to negligence, it is the wifert way fo to do; becaule, if we fuppofe it to be defigned, we are fure to feel the moft acute pain, while thofe who diftrefs us have either forgotten the circumftance, or are rejoicing at having the power of torturing us. If the perfon who has wounded our feelings be either a friend, or one whofe efteem we are anxious to procure or preferve, and the circumftances of the offence will admit of it, I fhould recommend an early, cool, and refpectful explanation. Many a fincere attachment hath pined away under the zoithering influence of fufpicion, when mutual explicitnefs might have faved the moft fevere mutual heartache, and have preferved to each party the effential advantage of reciprocal good offices. This is one of the many evils which flow
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How from fale pride. We are all ready, in general terms, to acknowledge that we are weak, finful creatures; and yet few have the greatnefs of foul to be willing to confefs any particular inftance of error. Yet furely concefion, confidered as the property of fuch a being as man, may be faid to rank among his virtues.

If vou find your fufceptibility grow querulous, reftrain it, as you value your future peace. We fhould never dignify fretfulnefs by the name of feeling; for nothing can be more oppofite. The former is always occupied about itfelf, and is the molt difagreeable branch of the hateful family of felfifnefs. The latter is the fecret ftimulant. which inclines us to take a benevolent intereft in the wants of others. Fretfulneis is never excufable, but in thofe whofe fpirits are depreffed by a long feries of fufferins
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and forrows. In them we ought not only to pardon it, as the pitiable weaknefs of old age or calamity; but youth and happinefs ought to ufe its endeavours to divert the chagrin which fuch fituations generally excite. Inftead of which, we often fee the young lady, who could fcarcely bear to hear the complaints of her gouty grandfather in the morning, torment every inmate of the family by her wafpi/liness, if difappointed in her expectation of going to fome favourite amufement in the evening.

Your fex are particularly fevere upon women who fall into this fault; and, with a degree of harlh judgment which I long to call partial, they fuppofe that no degree of provocation in a man can juftify petulance in his domeftic partner. I do not pretend to excufe the weaknefs of my fex ; I own that we are many degrees
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degrees diftant from angels; and therefore the fuperior nature, who claims pre-eminence over us, is doubly bound not to lead his weak charge into temptation. Befides, our duller apprehenfions can more eafily comprehend example than precept; and if you lords of the creation would never be morofe and irafcible, we volatile beings might foon forget how to pout and frown. I feel a wayward inclination to enlarge upon this fubject ; and, as the difputatious humour is Atrong upon me, I might proceed to fay, that, like fecondary planets, we merely reflect the warm radiance of the primary orb on which we are dependents. But I will avoid what would be ufelefs to you in your prefent fituation, and will only defire you to remember in future, that wives, fifters, and daughters, are included in
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the lift of buman beings, and therefore have a claim to courtefy.

We have agreed that general civility is effential to politenefs, and have determined fretfulnefs to be as inimical to its nature as it is to the repofe of the bofom in which it is harboured. Now let us look a little at the prevailing fashion of eafe, or ratherinattention. The politenefs of the laft age had a good deal of officioufnets in it. I am told, that persple often knocked one another down in running to thut the door, and that in handing plates charged with the principal delicacy round the table, the molt lamentable mifidventures frequently hap. pened to Nan'sing china and brocade petticoats. While we fmile at the perplexed ideas which could confound being very troublefume with being very agreeable, and congratulate the polifhed freedom which
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which a jufter caft of thinking has introduced into our prefent manners, let us take care that our freedom continues to be polifhed. For, of the two extremes, it is better to be laughed at for a little overdoing in the way of civility, than incur cenfure for infolent negligence. The familiar nod, which young people have generally adopted, is certainly in itfelf aukward and ungraceful, and in point of application highly indecorous to any but their very intimate juvenile acquaintance. It is like another cultom, of calling their elders and fuperiors by their common names, without any appellation of refpect ; and they may both be confidered as ftriking features of that wide-extended evil of infubordination, which now requires the hand of every watchful guardian of our country's fafety to check its courfe. Perhaps thefe habits are merely adopted with a defign
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of hewing fafhionable breeding; and the beft way to prevent them would be by conftantly reprefenting them as what they unqueftionably are, the refult of no breeding at all. People of polifhed manners never fanction thefe cuftoms, unlefs in the cale of focial intimacy. The rules of ériquette, by which the great world is guided, prefcribe marked attention to fuperiors, and the condefcenfion to inferiors which mult enter into the compofition of real politenefs, precludes any ftyle of behaviour that is calculated to infure a painful fenfe of humiliation. A well-bred perfon treats you with attention, if not from tendernefs to your feeling, from refpect to his own character. I have fo often heard what was meant for eafe and freedom determined, by excellent judges of men and manners, to be fheer impudence, that I fhould tremble at the apprehenfion of
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your incurring this cenfure. The character of a civil, modeft, fenfible young man, is fo infinitely fuperior to this fe-cond-rate eafe, this bronze counterfeit, which would pars for real gentility, that it feems very injudicious to violate the feelings natural to the uncorrupted mind in order to be ridiculous,-I might have faid odious. You may gain the plaudit of the weak and ignorant, and with it the contempt of the wife and well-informed.

The true gentleman, indeed, appears to be the eafieft of all characters. But the graceful freedom, which is in him fo natural, is extremely difficult to be acquired. Whoever does any thing very well feems to do it without trouble : but this is a deception on our fenfes, which cannot pals if we confider that much previous pains, great labour, ftudy and application, mult precede apparent facility
cility of execution. What is neceffary in mechanical performances, is equally fo in the pleafing acquifition of perfonal grace. A rare and uncommon genius fometimes arifes, endowed with fufficient natural talents to deviate from the common track, and to hurry to the goal, aided by intuitive powers. In like manner, a few are born gentlemen; grace and agreeablenefs attend them from their childhood; and the frame of their mind and body is fo happily conflituted, that benerolent feelings ever find a pleafing vehicle in which they may difclofe themfelves to admiring obfervers. But, generally fpeaking, great attention to early habits; clofe obfervation of good models; frequent intercourfe with improving fociéty; good fenfe, with its conftant attendants, deference and reflection, are neceflary to form the exterior of the gentleman. To which, if we would complete
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complete the character, we mult add a good temper, and good principles. He will otherwife be capricious and inconfiftent; courtly with one, and tyrannical with another; a compound of felfinnefs, meannefs, and hypocrify; a time-ferving fycophant, but not a gentleman.

It is impofible for you to be in company with a perfon poffeffed of true politenefs, without feeling yourfelf foothed and gratified. The attentions which you receive put you in goodhumour with all around you; and, by obferving that even-handed propriety has dealt the fame diftinctions to others, you do not indulge the filly vanity of afcribing thofe attentions to your own defert, but to the complaifance of the perfon who beftows them. What you admire, endeavour to imitate: I do not mean the looks, words, or actions Vol. III.
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by which this engaging faculty was communicared; for you will then be either a mere machine or a mimic. I wifh you to remark the refult of the whole. Imprint the effect upon your mind, and nature will dictate the correfpondent figns with propriety.

Our belt authors have acknowledged, that no character is fo difficult to invent and fupport as that of a gentleman. It is, befide, fubject to fome variations. Sir Charles Grandifon is drawn at full length, and I fuppofe in the coftume of his time. His morals are fo excellent, that 1 know of no work of fiction which I would more ftrongly recommend to the Audy of a young man. But the nature of morals is unchangeable; and what was jult and wife in the days of Solomon is fo ftill. Manners allowably vary; and, in fpite of my admiration of Sir Charles, I would not advife you to adopt his
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his habit of making fine fpeeches, or to enter upon thope long declamations which would now be deemed unreafonably tirefome. Young Bevil, in Steele's comedy of the Confcious Lovers, is a true gentleman; and a character extremely well worthy the confideration of thofe who found their title to politenefs on brutal difrefpect to their parents, and infolence or inconfderation to their friends. Lovelace was not meant to exhibit the real gentleman, but a fair exterior covering a fatanic difpofition. Tom Jones was defigned for a libertine; he is an every-day common character; and the merit of the novel to which he gives name does not reft on the excellence of its hero. Goldfnith had no defign of drawing a gentleman in his Vicar of. Wakefield; his characters are too much marked with eccentricity. Sir William is a worthy humorif, and Mr. ThornE 2
hill
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hill is, what debauchees generally are, vulgar and foolifh. Succeeding writers feem to be more anxious to fketch ardent lovers than complete gentlemen. I do not recollect any very bappy attempt at this latter charater in any recent novel or comedy, unlefs it be Lord Orville in "Evelina." The tatte of the age now refembles the forced appetite of declining healch; every thing mult be very higho-feafoned and pungent. The vapid attention of moft of the readers of this clafs of writings cannot be excited by the charms of propriety, elegance, and undeviating rectitude. Nature mult either be caricatured by affectation, or diftorted by paffion. We are told, indeed, in the profpectus, that the hero is a complete gentleman; but we rarely meet with any other proof of it than this affurance.
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To return from this digrefion. Though it is exceedingly difficult to tell you how to make a gentleman, it is eafy to inform you how to unmake him; and the fureft way of doing fo is, by awkward partial imication. If your ftars fhould at any time afford you a glimple of a good inodel, I would have you contemplate it with the moft guarded attention. To know the minutice of a gentleman's behaviour, is valuable knowledge if well applied; but do not imitate his manner, unlefs you perceive that it is fo congenial to your own that it will appear to be the natural growth of your own character, not an ingrafted fcion. I know fome who efteem themfelves to be very well-bred people, be-caule they ufe on all occafions a cant phrafe; which, having once heard from the lips of a perfon of fathion, they fuppofe contains the myfterious potency E 3
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of a magic charm, transforming all who utter it into the very models of gentilicy. During the period that fine clothes conftituted good-breecing, I have known the Atripe or the cut of a waiftcoat metamorphofe the wearer into fuch an infufferable gentleman, that, for the fake of the comfort of the company, I have wifhed him buttoned up in one of his grandfather's leather doublets. The manner of twirling a cane, or lolling in a chair, has produced the fame imagirary transformation; and you know that a noble author has had the fagacity to make the manner of eating a tart the credential of a man of falhion. But, though the genteman will appear in trifles as frivolous as what I have been recounting, they do not form the confituent part of his character.

When the gentleman has adjutted his drefs by the prectpts of a good talte,
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and by a with to avoid fingularity, he is defirous to conceal the pains that he has taken with his external appearance; or, rather, his attention is immediately devoted to more generous and manly views: while the fop, after having caricatured his perfon, inceffantly labours to convince you that he is an Adonis. But I am now introdueing a non entity, an obfolete character, too much out of fahion to endanger imitation. It is more neceflary to warn you againft the " ीoven," who; with the " independent " fellow," and the " carelefs dog," are acquifitions in the line of gentlemen, for which we are unqueftionably indebted to modern improvers. To repeat their titles, is to condemn them; to imitate them, is to refign all fenfe of decorum, all inbred idcas of decency and propriety; to found a claim to gentility

E4 upon:
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upon fuch pretenfions, is to be deplorably !gnorant of life and manners.

Another confideration on manner, which, though abfolutely neceffary to render it commendable, is generally difregarded, arifes from its being appropriate, fuitable, and decorous. As the attendant circumftances determine the value of our actions, mifapplication mult make what is in iffelf praife worthy ridiculous or culpable. In manners, as in morals, general rules may be taid down; but good fenfe muft apply them to local ufe. Unlefs the faculty of jutgment exifts in the mind that receives inftruction, the labours of the preceptor are ineffectual ; for liberality borders fo near upon profulion, that while the rutor wifhes to inculcate the virtue, the injudicious pupil may think that he is recommending the vice. The greateft adep:
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adept in politenefs, in the preceding age, laboured hard to form a courtier, and produced a puppy ; and, indeed, what better could be expected from an artificial mechanical fyftem, which put the body in trammels, and neglected the heart; which gloffed and polifhed the exterior, and left the mind rough, uninformed, and unftable? It is to good fenfe acting naturally upon good principle, that we are to look for eftimable conduct and agreeable deportment.

As I recommend to you that defire of pleafing which is the effect of benevolence, I mult alfo entreat you to bound it by prudence, which is the fureft guard againft puppyifm, by teaching you to avoid every fpecies of affectation. With refpect to perfonal diftortions, though they are very difgufting and ridiculous, 1 am more inclined to pity than to cen-
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fure thore who have acquired them. They are generally affumed, as graces; while the judgment is immature ; and it afterwards becomes difficult, if not impoffible, to avoid them.' It is fo eafy to acquire unpleafant habits, and people are fuch bad judges of their own, that the checks of a judicious friend are highly valuable, efpecially at that period of life when our manner is to be formed. Let me here again caution you againft clofe imitation: it is, in fuct, "mimiery, and almoft always produces the fame effect. The affuned grace muft be thoroughly interwoven with the texture of the character, or it will appear like a patch inftead of an crnament.

Suitability includes another requifite; namely, that you fhould not be more of à gentle man than accords with your rank and fortune. The levelling principle,
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which is now fo induftrioufly diffeminated, counteracts this precaution; and you will hear a valt deal of abufe upon dull care, low-minded prudence, and drudging induftry. You will be told, that they are in their very nature infinitely inferior to liberality, generofity, tafte, fpirit, independence, vivacity, fire, and a great many other clever fellows, who I am apt to fufpect are Bow-ftreet runners in difguife; for I know that they generally conduct their affociates to the: fame fatal goal.

If you defire it, I will grant that it is, a pity, that a lad of great parts, numerous acquirements, fine feelings, and as many et ceteras as you pleafe, was not born a nobleman. I confefs I fee no reafon why you were not, except the will of Providence, the laws of your country, and the abfolute neceffity that E 6 the
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the humbler ftations in life fhould be more thickly peopled than the exalted. And as many thoufand young men pofo feffed of thefe pretenfions are in your predicament, I know of no remedies but induftry and refignation. For, if you were all to dafh in a high ftyle, the univerfe could not hold you. There mult be a profufion of aromatic flowers, to fupport the bees who live upon their fweets; and, what is more, the bees themfelves are not butterfies. They alfo have a province affigned them, and they muft labour in their vocation, or perih. Had you been born in a higher fphere, your duties would have been multiplied, or you would have been a worthlefs drone. If you find the care of a few talents difficult, do not murmur at your Lord for not having entrufted you with more. I am treating the fubject more feriouny
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ferioufly than I intended; but it proceeds from my earneft wih to fee you contented in your fituation, and performing its duties with cheerfulnefs: and, furely, refpect to the wife ap onntments of your Creator is the ftrongeft motive to induce you fo to do. If you do not feem to defpile your own lot in life, by affecting an unfuitable degree of importance, you will not give others a pretext to deride it. There is nothing contemptible in decent virtuous poverty; it is too facred to be ridiculed; unlefs, by a poor imitation of what you cannot fupport, you give others leave to fuppofe that you are afhamed of it. Have you any caufe to blufh at faying, "I cannot afford fuch an indulgence," unlefs you are confcious of ufing thofe expreffions with a view of extorting the defired pleafure from the liberality of thofe whom you addrefs? The purfe-proud worldling
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worldling and the empty-headed coxcomb may defpife you: and if contempt for any individual were a chriftian fentiment, you might retort it; but folace yourfelf with refecting, that the friendfhip of thofe who act on fuch narrow principles cannot be defirable.

I am not advifing you to trumpet your wants to the world; that were to lofe all virtuous dignity of character, and to affume the air of a mendicant. I wifh you to confine your wants within the bounds of your fortunes; and never to be afhamed of owning, that you dare not allow yourfelfeven an innocent gratification which you can ill afford. By exercifing a habit of prudent feif-denial, you will ftrengthen all your virtues; and the forbidden fruit, when it has been long avoided, will ceafe to be attractive. Befide the confiderations which are due to fortune, fome are juttly
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owing to rank; for, even allowing wealth to be equal, it is evident, that the gradations of fociety have a certain propriety of expence allotted to each order; which it is at leaft injudicious to outtep. I do not mean that the degree of expence hould be prefcribed and limited by fumptuary laws; I only mean, that it is prudent in every rank of fociety fo to conduct themfelves, as not to excite the ridicule or the envy of the degrees which are immediately above or below them. This opinion will draw on me, the bittereft invectives from all the immenfe hordes of fpirited young men whi, like Lenitive; fecretly "curfe the fhop," and whenever they go out of it banifh it from their minds, and, if pofible, from their manners. Nor am I tefs afraid that the lady of the houfe, their cit devant miftrefs, will be equally indig.
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nant, when the has lighted up her luftres and chandeliers, ftrung her wreaths of artificial flowers, fixed her card tables, prepared her lemonade, nay even engaged the circular gromm of the chamber, who is mafter of the ceremonies in that neighburhood, for the grand event of her " being at home;" fhould I, in my blunt way, affure her, that I hould confider her more in character when prefiding at the focial comforts of a friendly tea-table, or a family party. I almoft doubt, whecher the mafter of the manfion, notwithftanding the impending horrors of an appearance in the Gazette, would thank me for animadverting upon his tavern bill of fare; and I will own, that his lift of wines, and the furniture of his country villa, might tempt me to fay fomething more juft than agreeable, unlefs I fhould reAtrain myfelf by a recollection of the
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sext fubject which I propofe to difculs. I will difmifs this with an earneft entreaty, that, from the confideration of its not having been your own fault that you are not rich and great, you will never fall into the puerile vanity of wifhing to be thought fo. You mult immediately be found out, and then you will deferve the ridicule which cannot now faften upon your character. Adopt the manners of the gentleman, as far as civility, attention, propriety of expreffion, modeft eafe, and decent franknefs, indicate the gentleman. But ftop there; to imitate his expences is ruinous; to affect to do fo is contemptible.

There is a fault which intrudes into all clafles of fociety, and by the expulfion of which converfation would be infinitely improved: I mean, ill-nature; an error that we are all apt to fall into ;
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and yet it is an offence which we all keenly feel. The great offices of mutual affift. annce, ccmfort, advice, and fuppore, which man requires from his brother man, demand virtues of an active and enterprifing, I know not whether I mould be right in adding, of a fuperior caft. But good-humour is the current coin of life; an eafy comfortable quality, which we may familiarize by hourly practice; a feed of fpontaneous growth, which quickly produces its hundred-fold return. Though there are many vices more diabolical than ill-nature, yet it is againgt this, as againt Cain, that every man's hand is more particularly lifted: and no wonder; for it takes us by furprize, at the moment when we are leaft upon our guard. When, weary with bufinefs, haraffed by difappointments, or worn with care, we \{cek to repofe on the bofom of complacence,
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this teazing wafp difturbs and fings us. it purfues us to:our convivial haunts, where we affemble to pleafe and to be pleafed. It not only breaks this fundamental law of focial intercourfe, but introduces the moft painful, inftead of agreeable fenfations, and fends every perfon away comfortlefs and diffatisfied. One ill-tempered individual frequently has it in his power to intersupt the happinefs of a whole party; yet to do fo, is to exercife fuch a cruel fpirit of tyranny, that it feems extraordinary that we thould ever find ill temper except in unifon with the moft bateful qualities: and yet, fo great is the inconfiftency of human nature, and fo prevalent the force of habit, that we often find a four fplenetic humour vitiate the manners of the generous and the good. I sam inclined to hope that this motly
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proceeds from inconfideration; for, can goodnefs delight to give pain? Can generofity be indifferent to the diftrefs which it caufes? Indeed, you may generally trace the fpring whence this unpalatable cup is derived. If from confticutional haftinefs, peevifhnefs, bad habit, inattention, or any fource hort of malcuolence, no fooner do you exprefs the feelings of pain than the behaviour of the offending party changes, and he appears burt at his own conduct, and anxious to remove its ill effects. But the moment of your depreffion and extreme uneafinefs is that which Malevolence choofes, not only for triumph, but for a renewed attack. Her fcorpions have tafted blood, and they becone more furious. You will then have a leEture on ill-humour delivered by Ill-humour, defcanting on its own effects:
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effects: like the fagacious pedagogue, who whipped his boys till they cried, and then whipped them for crying.

I need not fay much to induce you to dillike a mode of conduct which all the world is agreed to reprobate as odious and deteftable, and which leaves to the wretched being who practifes it only one poor enjoyment; and that is, the pleafure of tormenting. There are various forms in which this leaven of frowardnefs contrives to corrupt the peace of fociety. Hauteur of demeanour, arrogance of expreflion, ftormy contention, overwhelming dogmatifm, irritable captioufnefs, petulance, calumniation, every thing that is invidious or derogatory, is an offence againit the rules of focial intercourfe; and let me add, what I trult will have more weight with you, a breach of the great law of charity, whofe influence is admirably defigned
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defigned to extend to all the minutia of manner, as well as to the important duties of forgiving injuries and relieving dittrefs.

Sheer malevolence is a vice of deep die, and cannot admit of palliation: I hope, for the honour of humanity, that it is not often to be met with. But with refipect to thofe lighter fhades of ill-humour which are too common, I would again obferve, that it is the molt improvident of all our frailties, fince it is fure to excite every one's dinike. Not from malice, but from a principle of felf-defence, or from a generous intereft in the wrongs of others, every one is inclined "to fhoot " out their arrows, even bitter words," againt thofe" who are froward and per"verfe in the land." It fometimes happers, sthat this inclination is over-ruled by the reftraints which, interefted deference will impofe, in cafe the perfon who
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who indulges in thefe unhappy propenfities enjoys thofe local advantages of rank, powsr, wealth, or influence of which others wifh to avail themfelves. But though ill-humour is thus fecured from contradiction; its fituation is to the full as unenviable; for it is nothing better than that of an eatern defpit, confined within the walls of his own palace, and furrounded by mutes and parafites. And if it will not obferve the rules which fociety impofes, how can it expect to be other than an alien and an outcat from the reft of mankind? Virtue rarely rifes to fuch fuperlative height; as entirely to facrifice its own comfort where duty does not require fuch felfimmolation. Agrceable talents will feek the fpot. where they may be agreeably exercifed. The pain of contention, and the harafs of petulance, are too oppreffive to be voluntarily endured. Nothing but
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but intereft, or commiferation, can induce people to relinquifh their comforts to promote the fatisfation of thofe who will not be fatisfed. And, let the Bafhaw of his own firefide pique himfelf, if he can, upon thofe affiduities which are extorted either by the fear of his power or the defire of his property, or elfe are the offering of pity to his forlorn condition. Sometimes, indeed; the good qualities which petulance has not quite fubdued may mingle, with this latter fentiment, refpect and efteem; but how unwife is that afperity which repreffes the generous feelings of affection!

I have already admitted, that there are extenuations to be pleaded in favour of ficknefs, age, and forrow. Calamity of every kind has a facred claim upon our good offices; and we muft not excufe our indifference to a fuffering
fellow-
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fellow-areature, becaufe their patience proves unequal to the conflict which they have to futtain: much lefs may we aggravate their poignant feelings by our neglect or feverity. I fhould call that perfon bafe, ungenerous; nay, I hould doubt his right to the name of Chriftian, who did not feel his refentment fubfide the moment his adverfary felt diftrefsIf in that fituation he could infult him. But let us leave the condemnation of fuch uncharitablenefs to the God who forbids it. Let me entreat you not to apply any of the obfervations which I have juft made on petulance, to that fort of wayward peevihnefs which is excited by misfortune. The depreffed mind fees every thing through a dark medium. It is not always envious at another's profperity, but it always feels. its own privations. Indeed, fretfulnefs is ofien fo intimately connected with bodily pain, Vol. III. F
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as to be deemed a fymptom of difeafe. Let not health and profperity enter the houfe of woe, to /berw its own advantages, but to relieve the gloom of the mournful manfion. They who can infult misfortune, by difplaying the flutter of affluence, or by goading the bofom of the fufferer with taunting advice, will fome time or other bitterly feel how facred are the claims of affliction. Remember thofe claims, as you would wifh your own to be remembered; and let not an unwillingnefs to fpend an uncomfortable hour induce you to pafs the doors of thofe to whom your company might give a tranfient relief. Bear with their complaints, as you would wifh others to bear with yours. Excufe their frailties, as you hope and expect your own offences to be forgiven.

Even that fpecies of ill-humour which, infead of being able to excufe itfelf by
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the plea of affiction, rather feems to want fome fevere trial to roufe it from the faftidioufnefs and felf-indulgence of profperity, may urge a jult apology for its exceffes, if it is wantonly provoked. I know many people, who exceffively enjoy the idea of teazing a perfon whom they choofe to call ill-tempered, yet who, in fact, is far lefs guilty of that fault than themfelves, though he may have fome peculiarity which, when attacked, fets all his angry pafions in a blaze. I will allow, that it is very inprudent to expofe, or even to preferve, an odd corner in our character: but I mult tell thofe bufy-bodies, who deem themfelves juftified in rubbing it fmooth, that ill-nature is not a palfive but an aEtive quality; that it confifts in tormenting others; and that, if they are the aggreffors, the charge retorts upon themfelves, and the plea of wit and hu-
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mour will not exculpate them. Indeed, there is generally more of hatred or malice than either of the above qualities in this fpecies of attack; and, inftead of entertaining the company, it often alarms them, unlefs they are compofed of that defcription of people who could enjoy the diverfions of a bear-baiting. This fort of warfare is never allowable, unlefs defigned to parry a blow which has been firft aimed at fome of the party. For the company then affembled may be termed a court that can only take cognizance of offences cornmitted under its immediate jurifdiction; and whoever comes into it with a determination to retaliate the provocations which they have formerly rectived from any of the perfons of whom it is compofed, is guilty of an offence againft the fupremacy of the court, and punifhable by ftatute. As I am convinced that
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that tempers are fooner injured than improved by irritation, I never will admit that the reputation of ill humour jultifies any one in commencing hoftilitits. While this quality lies dorment, we have mo more bufinefs to roufe it than to awakers a fleeping lion; for, though we may defend ourfelves with great addrefs, ytt our temerity difturbs the fpectarors, and we are juflly expofed both to danger and ridicule for provoking an unneceflary conteft.

Even good-temper itfelf, though fo generally approved, has almoft as many definitions as admirers. Among the populace, it in ftrictnefs means a pot of porter; for the perfon who gives them that cup of nepenthe becomes inftantly very good-natured. I have, known good-nature confift in a black fact, and wearing a wig the wrong fide before. A perfon, who talks till all the company
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are deaf, is moft inconceivally good-natured; and a young lady feldom receives a ticket for the Opera, but from " the deareft beft-tempered creature in "the world." With nany who are above the rank of the vulgar, good-nature appears in the flape of an excellent dinner, and a good choice of wines. Whoever ruins himfelf, through extravagance, is good-natured; but your beft-tempered people of all are thofe who are contented to live upon other perfons, and, provided their own wants are fupplied, have too much fweetne/s to care how, and too much gentlenefs to mind whether it is by the deprivations of others or not. I am fo partial to mirth and vivacity, that I can readily pardon its innocent extravagancies; but I mult not allow myfelf to give to it a title which belongs to a much nobler quality; and I am afraid, my dear Thomas, that a little
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hitle cool inveftigation muft deprive good-humour of many more of thefe pfeudo-votaries; for, if felfifhnefs may be permitted to determine on which fide the character preponderates, ill-nature may take one half of them, and folly the other. Be not terrified into an excefs, which difcretion or virtue difapproves, by the apprehended cenfure of being termed a crofs fellow. Good-humour may fometimes be indifcreet; but that is a blemifh in her character, not an advantage ; and as often as the falls into that error, the admits into her bolom an inmate that mult in time deftroy her placid graces; I mean remorie.

I believe you have read an elegant poem, by an eminent poet, in which temper is made to triumph over difappointment, detraction, and infolence. Temper has many other enemies; and, though I extremely difapprove of the
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conduct of thofe who fport with her feelings, fhe muft practife forbearance, or forfeit her crown. There is one peculiar expreffion of this graceful virtue which is eminently becoming to us all; and that is, conceffion. We generally fall into error through inadvertency, but we continue in it through obftinacy; the former is the fault of our nature, the latter of ourfelves. "To err is human;" this we all confefs, though few feem willing to own that acknowledging a fault indicates greatnefs of mind. Yet furely this conduct proves, that a perfon poffeffes fome valuable qualities which he is loath to put to the hazard of being eclipfed by one blemih; and therefore he haftens to obliterate the effects of his fault. We are fo liable to miftake and mifconception, that to own that we have acted under the influence of thofe qualities, is merely to fay that we are human
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creatures. To pretend to be exempt from an influence which our fellow-mortals hourly feel, betrays that fpecies of pride which is in reality folly. It is peculiarly improper in young perfons, who, from the want of that fecondary wifdom, experience, muft, without the leaft imputation of natural defect, oftener be miftaken, than people who have been more practifed in the way of life. As conceffion, therefore, is a moft graceful quality at your age; fo, for the fame reafon, confidence is difgulting: I do not mean confidence in your own capability, for I would wih a young man to feel as if his own exertions, when properly guided, would be equal to every enterprife; but I mean confidence in your own opinions. The former fpecies of confidence ftimulates induftry, quickens application, and encourages that generous fpirit of independence which F 5 makes
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makes him active and diligent in promoting his own interefts, inftead of throwing himfelf a liftlefs weight upon the fupport of his friends. The latter makes him difguting in company; and is fo far from indicating an enterprifing mind, that it is generally affociated with indolence : for, that hateful quality is founded upon fome fancied fuperiority which, in the poffeffor's opinion, exempts him from the univerfalobligation of ufefulnefs. Wits and geniufes (l do not mean of the true Attic race, but of that prolific brood who are more numerous than men of bufinefs) would be degraded by the jgnominious fervility of employment; and the ray of etherial fire which makes them fo much above the ordinary drudges by whom they are furrounded, gives them a claim to enjoy the privileges that are eminently due to fuperior utility.- Yet , as thofe only have a

$$
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right to take the lead whofe fervices are moft beneficial to the community, fo modefty and docility are the ufual attendants on true talents.

A contradictious overbearing humour is dijagreeable in advanced life; but in youth it is infupportable. The utmoft excufe that candour can make for it is, that it may proceed from the exuberance of youthful firits. I would readily pardon it on that ground, as I would every other boyifb abfurdity; but, though it is an enormity which grows more into practice every year, I cannot fay that I think the rifing generation is more lively than that was which has within my memory ftepped into manhood. I remember when youths of fifteen were very impatient to have the dinner ceremony conclude, that they might run away to cricket, or fome other athletic amufement. I now fee them regularly cut-in for the rubber,
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take their glafs in rotation, and, inftead of diffidently anfwering thofe who addrefs them, become the voice of the company, the felf-created judges who decide upon drefs, literature, politics, and religion. They are generally the only defcription of gentlemen who pay any attention to the ladies; through gratitude, I hope; for the ladies are very generally inclined to act the part of Mrs. Dangleclub, by bringing Mafter Marmozet out, and fhewing him to advantage. I am one of thofe "who " think the boys would be better at " fchool," than thus prematurely exh:biting themfelves in a character which they cannot fuftain; for I am confident, that whatever is gained in early knowledge of the world, is loft by forfeiting the grace and delicacy of youthful diffidence.

I have faid much in favour of deference, humility, and refpect to fuperiors ;
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riors ; and, left you fhould overftrain my meaning, let me obferve, that deference is not fervility; bumility is not meannefs; nor is refpect flattery. A very ordinary underftanding may readily diftinguifh between the modeft man and the fycophant. You will not deferve the latter epithet, by fhewing occafonal refpect to a perfon of depraved character. For the ftation of the man may demand that obfervance which, as an individual, his faults have forfeited. The common occurrences of the world may throw us into contact with a villain, whofe vices we deteft. But we are not authorized to fet up for reformers of others. Reproof from our lips would be powerlefs; and we have no right to let our fecret abhorrence fpeak in our frowns, nor to "bid difdain and fcorn "ride fparkling in our eyes." We mult leave the offender to the judgment of his God;
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God; all our bulinefs is, neither to encourage his crimes, nor to imitate his conduct. I am not apologifing for $i n$ timacies between vice and virtue; nothing can be more incongruous, nor more hazardous. I am fpeaking of a cafual intercourfe, which you cannot avoid, and in which, whatever be the character of the perfon with whom you have any dealings, you are bound to obferve the laws of decorum. But I truft, that the feelings of virtuous indignation, though fuppreffed by prudential confiderations, will be too ftrong to fuffer you in the fmalleft degree to extenuate vice, much lefs to pamper its depraved appetites with perverted praife. The youth who has blafted his own fortunes by idlenefs or extravagance may turn parafite. Nobler views are opened for the induftrious and deferving. He who is overbearing, dictatorial, and infolent in one circle,
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circle, often acts the part of a " time"ferving knave" in another.

I fhall not be guilty of any contradiction if I talk of manly modefly. It was a quality of which our anceftors were very fond, and which ftill ranks high in the opinion of the beft and wifett of our contemporaries. It may be defined by the old aphorifm, and termed the art of "refpecting ourfelves." We cannot be faid to do this when we plunge into vice, or when we abandon ourfelves to folly. Pride, like every other paffion, is capable of becoming a ufeful auxiliary in the caufe of virtue. I fpeak of it according to one of the definitions which our great philologit has given of it, " elevation, dignity, and generous ela"tion of heart ;" and in this fenfe it is not inapplicable to beings who are made capable of inheriting immortality. If
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it mean inordinate felf-efteem, it is abfurd; if infolent difdain of others, it is brutal. Againft thefe two defcriptions of pride I would earneftly warn you; the other I would wifh you to cherifh. The civil government of the flate, the laws of cultom, and the natural confequences of local fituation, have made a difference between you and your fellowcreatures, which, though artificial, muft be obferved in every cafe that does not violate a higher law. Whenever the awful obligations of confcience interfere, human inftitutions yield to a fuperior judicature. You owe no refpect, no obedience, no deference, to a brother mortal who afks from you undue fervices. Your nature is the fame as his, your foul is as valuable, and your hopes of a better country as firm and wellfounded. Caft your anchor on the Rock of ages; and doubt not that you will ride
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ride fecure amidft the temporary conflict of warring elements.

There is one circumflance that I have only curforily mentioned, but which deferves a litcle more confideration. I have faid that we fhould not fet up for reformers; and, indeed, it is a moft hazardous and dangerous attempt. I do not mean by this, that you fould fupprefs the generous indignation at atrocious guilt which is fo natural to an unvitiated mind; but you fhould not give way to a habit of indifcriminate cenfure, and you thould carefully avoid all petty detraction. Do not be fond of dietating to others. Reproof is one of the facred offices of friendfhip; and, if well performed, it is one of the moft advantageous. But it requires delicacy, addrefs, judgment, and, above all, tendernefs and privacy. When thefe circumftances accompany good advice,
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it mut be the fault of the advifed if he does not reap the mof beneficial confequences from the falutary counfel; when they are wanting, it may be doubtful whether we fought to gratify our vanity or our fpleen by our cenfures; but it is moft probable, that friendlinefs was not our motive.

There is a ftyle of reproof that is very powerful, which fubjects us to no imputation of fupercilioufnefs, and which often checks that eager purfuit of folly or vice which argument might inflame: I mean an expreffive filence, and the marked regular oppofition of wife meritorious conduct. Continue thus to declaim by action againft all evil-doers, and they will foon learn to wear at leaft the externals of decency in your company. And though, with refpect to my own character, I Mould think hypocrify as deteftable as guilt; yet, fince it is lefs. offenfive
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offenfive than open profanenefs, manners would gain fomething by the alteration.

I have not warned you againf thofe low degrading vices which fometimes attract the impetuous paffions of youth. You know your obligations to avoid them; and, young as you are, you mult have often feen the miferies to which they inevitably lead. There are alfo many virtues on which I have not expatiated, becaule I know that you are well acquainted with the great outline of your duty. My motive for felecting thofe on which I have enlarged has proceeded from obferving, that may erroneous notions on thofe points have been circulated with avidity; and that much faulty practice has arifen from miftaken ideas on fubjects extremely effential to the welfare of the rifing generation, whom I fincerely wifh to be boch refpeitable
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and bappy. But this confummation never can enfue from their adopting habits that are inimical to the flation which they hold in fociety.

I have long fince difcovered in your mind a very ufeful and valuable quality, which will prove your belt prefervative againft the contagion of bad example, and the poifon of bad principles; I mean common Senfe. Providence has marked its importance to the welfare of mankind by the liberality with which it has been diftributed: while genius, like all the rarer gifts of heaven, has been adminiftered with a Jparing hand, as an exquifite cordial of fovereign efficacy, but likely to be mifapplied. Without genius, very little improvement could have been made in the world; without common fenfe, no improvement could be permanent. As invention is the characteriftic of the former faculty, perfever-
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ance is a ftriking feature in the latter. Genius is generally too volatile and rapid to be accurate in its decifions: Inveftigation is admirably fuited to the talents of common fenfe Under the conduct of good principles, genius is a bleffing of the firlt importance ; without it, it becomes a curfe to the poffeffor, and to every one within its influence. If common fenfe cannot hope to rife to fuch proud pre-eminence, its errors are lefs glaring; and, indeed, it feldomer falls into miftake ; for general opinion is fo partial to genius, that an allowance is always made for its eccentricities; whereas common fenfe has only plain propriety to depend upon. I have heard it called a drone, a drudge, and feveral other degrading epithets. Were I to indulge myfelf in an allufion, I would call genius "s the high mettled racer," or the "bit ss of blood," which, though capable of
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vaft exertions, requires great care, and is continually out of condition; while common fenfe is the family hackney, that works harder, fares worfe, and is always well and ferviceable.

But, though the Promethean ray of genius is always ftolen from Jove, there is a willoo'-the-wifp fubftitute which dazzles and glitters in its fmall horizon, and thinks itfelf poffeffed of a pretty brilliancy. Parental partiality oftengives birth to this deceitful vapour, which generally ends in a quagmire. To drop the figurative fyle, many a poor lad, who might have looked refpectable behind a counter, is induced, by Mamma's indulgence and Papa's admiration, to fet up for a wit; and of courfe, being too great a perfonage for humble avocations, has become a burden upon that fociety which his fervices might have benefited. What a ftrange idea, that any one fhould fuppofe
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fuppofe himfelf exempted from the duties of life becaufe he has a more enlarged ability of fulfilling them! The power of real genius is generally exemplified in raifing itfelf from an inferior ftation to confideration and opulence; not by indolence, but by attivity ; not by fuppofing itfelf privileged to do notbing, but by applying its verfatile powers to every thing.

In the prefent ftate of fociety, every one feels himfelf fufficiently interefted by his own affairs; and it properly requires, that all thofe who are to be the founders of their own fortunes fhould, in a great degree, depend upon their own diligence. I truft that you will perfevere in exercifing fo commendable a quality. It is not only the fureft guide to competence, ${ }^{\text {t }}$ but the fafeft defence againft thofe temptations which are moft apt to feduce the youthful mind.
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But human nature requires fome degree of leifure and relaxation; the employment of that leifure, and the choice of that relaxation, are points of infinite importance. Your friends are fele\& and valuable. A numerous acquaintance is generally an inconvenience, often a misfortune. Public diverfions muft be very fparingly frequented; for their expence is ill-fuited to your limited fortune ; and an excefs in thofe pleafures would certainly feduce your mind from attention to your bufinefs, and might eventually injure your moral and religious feelings. Though I do not condemn them as in their nature criminal, I muft obferve that they are liable to two fpecies of abufe. Firft, if the reprefentation is in itfelf immoral; and fecondly, if a tafte for them is indulged at the expence of any pofitive duties. You may perqert them by fuffering them
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them to lead you into extravagance, or by allowing them to occupy your thoughts when they ought to be devoted to important concerns. This latter confideration is binding upon all, whatever their rank, wealth, or fituation may be. The amufements of life mult never become its employments. Extreme rigidnefs in abftaining from them may form an illiberal, morofe, unpleafant character; unbounded gratification muft conftitute a diffolute, felfih, unftable -one. In this, as in every other point, moderation is the end that we fhould aim at; and, to determine that moderation with refpect to the danger of exceff, I know of no better rule than to preferve perfect felf-poffeffion. When the love of pleafure has power to unhinge our minds, and to draw us into what we feel to be Vol. III. G blameables.
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blameable, it is plainly become our mafter, and felf-denial muft fubdue the

## zyrant.

I have already recommended hiftory, as one of the beft and moft improving fpecies of reading in which you can employ your leifure hours. Bue no fort of real knowledge, for which you feel an inclination, will be unfuitable; and in matters that depend upon tafte, I would wih you to decide for yourfelf. Only let me entreat you to have recourfe to thofe productions, which have received the ftamp of general approbation; and this will be more valuable, if it has been long awarded. There is fuch a thing as falhion in reading; and very inferior compofitions have owed a fhort-lived celebrity to its decifions. But the plaudits of caprice and whim cannot be permanent ; and the many, who join
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join in reiterating the praifes which fome very genteel people of their acquaintance have beftowed, will foon feek fome new idol, whom they will worfhip with as finçere and as tranfient an adoration; for this fort of repuation never ftands. the teft of time; it anfwers the pecuniary end of the publifher, and that is thought fufficient. Your little leifure will be too valuable to be thrown away; and, though all your acquaintance may be bufy in talking of fomething new, let me hope that you will be employed in fudying fomething old. I do not mean to infinuate, that there is nothing valuable in the works of our contemporaries; but I doubt the maturity of your judgment to make a proper felection; and I frave not fufficient refpect for the decifions of faifion to imagine that you will find it to be an able director.
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Greater dangers than that of lofing your time may arife from an infatiable eagernefs after new publications. We will confider what thofe dangers are in a few more Letters, which will terminate the plan that I prefcribed to myfelf for the prefent correfpondence. Believe me, with every good wifh, \&c.
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## 121 1 <br> LETTER XIV.

MY DEAR SON,
THough your active mode of life will leave you too little leifure to allow you to acquire a ftudious habit, yet that little, well hufbanded, will affit you in the cultivation of literary talte; which you will find to be a moft ufeful and pleafant companion in your journey through life; efpecially at that period when the animal fpirits begin to flag, when bodily exertion wearies the languid frame, and when the mind, if unaccuftomed to the pleafures of reflection, mult either be abforbed by ennui and peevifhnels, or depend for entertainment on the bounty of others; like
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honeft Dogberry, " moft willingly be" flowing all its tedioufnefs" on thofe who will protect it from its worft enemy, felf.

Though prudence fhould teach youth to lay up a competent flock of both intellectual and pecuniary wealth, for the increafed wants and imbecilities of age, the advantages of literary cultivation are not confined to that diftant period. A well-informed perfon poffeffes an indifputable puffport into good company, in the juft and fenfible eftimation of that phrafe. To him, folitude is fo far from being an inconvenience, that he never is more occupied than when alone; and he looks forward to the quiet enjoyment of a difengaged evening with the fame eager anticipation, as a fine lady. does to a rout "fo " charmingly crowded that there is no "danger
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" danger of its being voted comfort" able."

Nor is this generous independence, this fate retreat from licentious pleafure, this enviable felfenjoyment, the only advantage that may be derived from a purfuit of knowledge. Elegant and ufefut information, while it forms the mannets and improves the underftanding, is the bet mean, next to religious primciple, to correct the heart, to reftrain impetuous paffions, to fubdue irregularities of temper, to prevent fingularity either in our ideas or addrefs, and, in fine, to make us good and eftimable, as well as entertaining and agreeable. A perfon of an enlarged mind fees through a purer medium than his ignorant neighbour. He is not liable to fuch grofs mistakes, nor can he be fo foo deceived by the mifreprefertations of others. He is lefs agitated by ex-
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traordinary events, and is thus lefs fub. ject to the caprices of fortune. In adverfity, he difcovers refources; and a recolle tion of the trange viciffitudes that have happened to others, fupplies a confidence which is moft likely to refift defpair. In profperity, he poffeffes his foul in tranquillity; for a knowledge of the true ufe and fluctuating nature of wealth ferves as ballaft to keep his veffel fteady; while the light vacant mind, toffed about by every varying inclination, is continually veering towards fome new abfurdity, and exciting ridia cule and contempt, from a foolifh difplay of that confequence by which it is fo elated in its own eftimation, and fo degraded in that of others. In a word, this is one of the definitions of the phrale. in which I wifh you to be "quite the ", gentleman ;" for there is no fituation of life in which a perfon appears out
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of charater from being well informed.

You muft hot alk whether the good confequences which I have defcribed always proceed from the cultivation of the mind; for you know that there is in human nature a corrupt evil propenfity, which continually counteracts beneficial impulfes. We acknowledge this to be the cafe with refpect to Chriftianity; and if that holy law has not eradicated fin, human knowledge cannot be expected to banifh error. We muft not, in either cafe, night an eftio mable mean becaufe its confequences are not complete. The beft principles are moft likely to produce the beft actions; the beft information will probably occafion the moft prudent conduct.

One reafon for the cenfures which the bad behaviour of fome people of good education has brought on the cul.
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tivation of the mind proceeds from the want of clearly difcerning what a good education means. If it is fo entirely confined to the aim of becoming a good linguift, that, in afcertaining the deriva-; tion of words, the nature of things is wholly neglected, I will own that I do not fee how the underftanding, the temper, or the heart, can derive any advantage from an accumulation of mere verbal knowledge. Far be it from me to countenance the tenets of the new fchool, or to found wifdom on any other bafis than the firm durable fupport of found learning. We can only know what is taught • in any language by being ourfelves thoroughly verfed in the language in - which fuch information is contained. If we truft to the information of others, our intelligence is gained at fecond hand. This is certainly enough for all the purpofes of common life ; but then we never
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muft pretend to be adepts, nor mult we attach any weight to our own unfupported affertions, which entirely depend on the authority of thofe to whom we appeal. It is the common error of ignorance to depreciate the advantages that it does. not enjoy. I have too often felt the difadvantages that are annexed to a limited education, to boaft of that as an excellence which I lament as a misfortune. By railing at mere pedants, the caufe of learning is not injured; unlefs you term thofe pedants who are in fact too profoundly wife for your capacity to comprehend; by doing which you only expofe your own folly, and iscur the ridicule which you meant to beflow.

There is another fpecies of good education, or, as it is more generally termed, of being well informed; which, though lefs generally cenfured, is apt to lead to confequences far worfe than what is
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called logical lumber: I mean a feecies of reading which engenders a vicious enfeebling fenfibility, and a proud, or, I hould rather fay, a conceited felf-confidence, which ftores the imagination with falfe images and bewildering ideas, but leaves the mind uninformed, and the heart uncorrected.

Thefe bad effects, which are produced by only reading works of fiction, ufed to be chiefly confined to my fex; but, of late, a very genteel fet of male ftudents, wrapped in their dreffinggowns, by lolling on a fofa in red morocco nippers, with that formidable weapon againft ennui, a modern novel, in their hands, contrive to kill that monfter, Time. Thefe dear creatures hate idlenefs, and are exceedingly wellinformed; fo pray fay nothing againft lounging and ignorance. They are grear adepts in verbal fenfibility; but their
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their hearts are too well guarded by apathy to be in any danger of breaking; and we will leave them to their foft forrows. My prefent attention is directed to a higher order of beings, for whofe failings I feel the ftrong fympathy which correfpondent fufferings excite. I fpeak of thofe who, in the language of Warton, may complain of the Mufe,
"Who fill'd the foft ingenuous mind
" With many a feeling too refin'd,
"And rous'd to livelier pangs the wakeful fenfe " of woe."

To fuch I would recommend the experisuced comfort of religion, that grand corrective of human forrow, as defcribed in the fame fine poem :
-" The fame Power that wifely fends
"Life's fierceeft ills, indulgent lends
"Religion's golden shield to break th'embattled "foe".
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This fhield is indeed the only invulnerable defence that real fufceptibility can oppofe to neglect and misfortune. So bright is its radiance, that it may ferve for a mirror ton, and not only defend us from our enemies, but alfo reflect our own true likenefs. It will teach us to know whether the forrow that makes us bleed at every pore be real or imaginary; a certain unavoidable infliction of Providence, coming immediately from our Heavenly Father, or a fcourge which our own folly has belped to form. It will thew us, too, whether we have more caufe to complain of the neglect of the world, or of our own extravagant expectations; whether our friends have been ungrateful, deceitful, and inattentive, or whether our hopes of fuccefs refted on the infecure ground of felf-fuppofed defert. Thus, to change the metaphor, religion will nor only
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prove a cordial, but a corrective; a cure for prefent ills, and a prefervative from apprehended dangers.

I am now fpeaking of religion as applied to the heart; but let us beftow a little attention on religious knowledge, and we fhall find, that no other ftyle of information is fo likely to obviate the evils which are incident to exceffive irritable fenfibility. By occupying the mind with the moft grand and awful fubjects, we fhall avoid that anxious attentipn to trifles, which is fo likely to overwhelm it with petty cares. I am not here fpeaking of that affected delicacy and faftidioufneis which is merely feated in the imagination, but that which deeply interelts and penetrates the heart. I may allo obferve, that the fimple energetic beauties of the Scripture narratives would greatly conduce to amend 2 difordered judgment, and to correct a vitiated
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vitiated tafte. You may find in them all the chafte beauties of compofition ; and you may derive leffons of "moral " prudence," admirably adapted to the moft ufeful purpofes of common life, from the records of unqueftionable truth.

You have a grave ferious turn of thinking; and fome years ago your habits indicated a tendency to conftitutional melancholy, which I am perfuaded would have gained ground had you continued, in a retired fituation, under the watchful, anxious, anticipating care of parental tendernefs. It was happy for us all, that we had refolution enough to fend you from us, into thofe active buftling fcenes where neceffity requires and example ftimulates to exertion. Engaging in a conftant feries of employments is the fureft method of "combating the "foul fiend." To prevent thefe falu-
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tary effects from being counteraited in your retirements, I would advife you to devote your literary hours to the perufal either of fuch works as communicate folid information, or of fuch as abound in the playfulnefs of innocent humour. Thofe authors who powerfully excite ftrong feelings are unfafe reading for you; but there is no danger if their attempts, by being badly executed, deviate into extravagance : when that is the cafe I will own that they afford me an amulement which I much enjoy. I recollect having derived a great deal of this fort of entertainment from the Sorrows of Werter. I detefted its immoral, I might fay its impious, tendency; and the fory was too ill-contrived to intereft me. As for the fentiments, they generally flowed in the true ftyle of pathos; and I will leave you to judge of the incidents, when I
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tell you, that one of the letters conveys the important information, that Werter is gathering pears and Charlotte ftanding under the tree picking them up. But let me give you a little abftract of the flory. Werter, in great dejection for the lofs of fome lady, (whether fifter, wife, or miftrefs, never tranfpires,) arrives at a romantic retirement; and, feeing Charlotte in the act of cutting bread and butter for her brothers and fifters, he falls in love with her. She is then betrothed, and afterwards actually married to Albert; but that for a long time feems to fignify nothing to Werter. He takes no ftep to prevent the marriage, nor does he appear more mad after it has taken place than he was before. His attachment is much too refined to refemble that of any other perfon, and he fpends a whole niglit on his knees before a nofegay that had been.
given
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given him by Charlotte. The lady fees Werter's attachment, and does not reprefs it. Werter knows her engagements, yet determines to indulge it; and Albert continues to be a peaceable good creature, who is difpofed to let every thing happen as it will. All thefe characters are people of the greateft virtue. At laft Albert grows a little angry, and then Werter refolves to kill himfelf; and, as he takes this ftep to make Charlotte bappy, he contrives to receive the inftruments of death from her hands, unconfcious of the ufe for which he defigned them ; and, by his diftracted behaviour in a preceding interview, he impreffes her mind with the moft agonizing horror and remorfe. In hhort, that the work may preferve all due confifency, as it opened with Werter's. grieving for Eleanora's fifter, it clotes, as all the printhops tell you, with' Charlotte's
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lotte's weeping over his tomb. I read it many years ago, and I may have mifftated fome parriculars; but I can fwear to the captivating nices of bread and butter, and to the adoration of the nofegay. Such is this admired compofition, written to recommend an extravagant criminal attachment, and to juttify fuicide!

There is another work by the fame Author, but of the dramatic kind, which is faid to be extremely popular in his own country, though, notwithftanding the remarkable pains taken to introduce it, by early and repeated tranflations, it has not been thoroughly naturalized here. Indeed, as one of our reviews admitted, the plot " is rather "too ftrong for the Englih tafte." A Gentleman lives alternately with two Ladies, and feems doubtful which he prefers. They poffefs a valt deal of fentiment,
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timent, and a vaft deal of virtue: though certainly Cecilia, the wite, is "but a fquare-elbowed family-drudge,", as Mrs. Wolftonecraft would fay, compared with Stella, the miftrefs; and I agree with that author, in terming Cecilia's "‘ a very' cattifh fort of affecton." But, though far inferior in furrit and fentiment, I mult afcribe to her the moft virtue. For, feeing that a Werter fcene was likely to take place, fhe propofes to the frantic Ferdinand, that he, " the " angel," and herfelf, Mould all live together, in focial philanthropy and tender friendhip; and after a chorus of oh's and ah's the curtain drops. I think that this "charining compofition," as one of its tranflators terms it, would have the fame effect upon you, as the " lamentable tragedy of Pyramus and "Thifbe" had upon Philottrate in the
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Midfummer-night's Dream; which he fays, he mult confefs,
" Made his eyes water, but more merry tears
"The paffion of loud laughter never fied."
Though from prudential reafons, arifing from your own peculiar frame of mind, I would advife you to deal very fparingly with the true pathetic, I own that the dangers which are to be apprehended from over-exquifite feelings are light and trivial, compared with what may arife from the perufal of thofe books which endeavour to enflame the groffer appetites and irregular paffions. Even the more minute defcriptions of virtuous love cannot be perufed with perfect fafe$t y$, if the defcriber has not painted then from the reprefentations of a chafte hallowed imagination. Be ever careful of applying the highly-wrought vifionary refem-
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refemblance of "perfect, fair, and good," to the Dulcinea of your own creation; nor expect that the complete happinefs or the complete virtue which you will find in the enchanting narrative had ever any other foundation than in the imagination of the author. The ladies, trult me, are neither faints nor angels; they are not the objects of adoration, but of tendernefs and efteem. We afk not your homage, but your protection; we are your filends, you helpmates, and your companions; not your idols. The man who, fetting out with an extravagant idea of our fuperhuman excellence, firt addreffes us in the character of a "kneeling flave;" foon, as Thomfon obferves, becomes " a Tyrant." But again I am betrayed into an anachronifm, by my predilection for the manners that are "quite out;" and, in fpite of the affurances which I have received that the
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"age of chivalry is over," I am cautioning a beau of the nipeteenth century againtt the danger of entertaining an extravagant admiration of the fair fex. But indeed, Thomas, I am not fo ignorant as not to know, that a broad flare, a fupercilious tofs, every grimace that can indicate apathy or contempt, and every inattention that marks felf-admiration, are the methods by which the Narciffuffes of the day win the flexible hearts of our gentle nymphs; who, like air Echo, glide by the lade of their infenfible paramours, longing to repeat a tender tale; but being, like their unhappy prototype, unable to begin, are either doomed to eternal filence, or to repeat the vapid gratulations of egotijm. I think that the mania of both parties might be cured, by fhutting the gentleman up in folitary impriforment, bereft not only of his cook, valet,taylor, boot-maker, and opera
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glafs, but alfo of thofe auxiliaries, his dogs and horfes. Once a week, the enamoured belle fhould vifit him, and prefent him with a volume of Amadis de Gaul, or fome fimilar compofition; and I doubt not that if a few vifits did not awaken bis gratitude, they would completely cure ber attachment; for, folitude and reflection are dreadful enemies to the infatuation of a felf-inamorato ; and vanity and famenefs mult be wretched tête- $̀$-tête companions. The lady's attachment had probably no firmer foundation, than her having difcovered, in the courfe of her reading, that every beauty falls in love; and the therefore fitted her ready-made paffion to the favoured individual, not from any principle of felection, but becaufe he came moft in her way, was an immenfe pretty fellow, and cared for nobody. So great have been the revolutions of a few years, that
Vol. III.
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our Werters, inttead of kneeling to Charlotte's nofegay, will not touch their hats to Charlotte herfelf when the fmiling goddefs paffes them in propriâ perfonâ. Take care that I do not detect you in this fault, or expect me to fend you Sir Amadis by the firlt opportunity.

But to return from my digreffion. I Thall not enter upon the fubject of indelicate writings; to name them is to condemn them; and whenever genius ftoops to touch contamination, the tendency of the book degrades the writer, but the powers of the writer cannot dignify the book. Though Sterne may claim our commendation for humour and pathos, there are parts of his works that mult fix an eternal ftigma on his character as a Chriftian clergyman. To fpeak my own fentiments, I think that his writings have been too much extolled, and I
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hope that they are rapidly haftening to their merited difefteem. Except the ftory of Le Fevre, moft of his epifodes are exceptionable. That of Maria, I do not clearly underftand; and he feems to have rendered it obfcure by aiming at great fimplicity. He is praifed for his attention to nature. I think the whimfical analogy which he fuppofes, between his own face and that of the goat, never could have occurred to the imagination of a benevolent man while placed by the fide of an amiable interefting maniac, as he then fuppofes himfelf to be. 'To me this comparifon fmells of the lamp, and feems fuggefted by his determination of fupporting the character of a humourift. I have lately read his letters to Eliza, and hope that they are fuppofititious; for they appear to be very mean, confidered as compofitions; and with regard to their morality, they exprefs that moft dangerous and crimi-
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nal of all paffions, a violent attachment to a married woman. His apologits may fay that it was purely platonic ; but if that fort of affection ever was really felt, for one who has obferved its reAtraints, numbers have tranfgreffed its bounds; and the guilty many will either affect to be exculpated by the continence of the virtuous few, or they will excufe their crimes by pleading that their intentions were at firt equally innocent, and that they were, unwares furprized into guilt. If they are fincere penitents, they muft join me in execrating the abfurd idea, that a violent paffion between the oppofite fexes can fafely exift, if infuperable laws reftrain either of the parties from the poffibility of a legal union. No married woman of delicacy will permit any man, except her hufband, to addrefs her in more animated terms than thofe of refpect and efteem. No man of principle will prefume
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fume to exprefs more ardent fentiments, or indeed fuffer his heart to entertain them. Our language has no execration ftrong enough to reprobate the dreadful tendency of thofe publications which, by perfuading you that it is not in your power to fubdue your guilty paffions, transfer your crimes to your Creator, and make your nature culpable for the effects of your own mifrule. No precepts deferve to be more powerfully enforced, than thofe by which our Saviour fought to guard the firit emotions and impulfes of our hearts; If we correct them we are fafe; and to correct them is always in our power. If we indulge them, they may acquire an impetuofiry which we cannot refift, and thus in time they gain a complete afcendancy over us. But the reins were in our own hands, and it is our loofe difcipline that has enabled them to be rebels. That they are
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tijpofer to be fo, is the natural confequence of our frail, imperfect nature ; and the correcting vigilance which we are required to exercife, indicates the warfare and conflict that are infeparable from a preparatory ftate. But if our guiley paffions mult of neceffity gain the afcendant, we fhould not be men, but demons. I repeat thefe reflections, becaufe I wilh to imprint them deeply on your mind; and they lead me to the peculiar and diftinguihing feature of what is termed the new philofophy, and which confifts not merely in encouraging vice, but in terming it virtue.

I have been told, that the licentious writers who difgraced England during the immoral reign of King Charles the Second, indulged a grofs indelicacy of thought and exprefion which is now almof unknown; but I do not, for that fole reafon, think that they were more pernicious
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cious tian our prefent theorifts. I fhould deem them lefs fo to a well-difpofed mind, becaufe fuch poifon carries with it its antidote, fince it always excites a ftrong and immediate difgult in a virtuous bofom; whereas, unlefs the judgment be very found, and the memory amply ftored with well-digefted information, the bold affertions and delufive reprefentations of the new fchool will fo perplex a reader who is inexperienced in the wiles of controverly, that he will begin to doubt whether it is right and expedient for him to correct his paflions; or whether he fhould indulge their moft extravagant exceffes, under the idea that they are the fure guides to virtue; whether he owes any obligations to fociety, and to the clofe connexions of nature, or whether he is a free independent being, felf-impelled, felf-governed, and infulated from all around him; $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ whether
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whether our happinefs is to be derived from doing what we ought, or from doing what we pleafe? In fhort, without inmediately attempting to inflame the finful appetites and corrupt inclinations, the curb which fhould reftrain their fubfequent exceffes is entirely broken; and we are urged by principle to thofe very exceffes which from principle we were accuftomed to reprobate.

A new theory of morals is invented, correfponding with this leading idea, that "whatever is naturally found in man is morally right." Speculating upon this notion, the promulgators of this doctrine take care to prove, that all the corrupt propenfities which originate from this perverted habit of thinking are natural. By indulging thefe defires, they think they flall attain to the perfection of their nature ; and, as any precept, which tends to reftrain them muft be wrong, all human laws that fecure
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property are wrong alfo ; and inequality of condition is with them the caufe, not the effect, of human corruption. They hold, that our nature exilts in its utmoft purity among favages, and that civilization and focial intercourfe are curfes. Conformably to thefe ideas, fubjection is fervility, and authority tyranny; while liberty and independence are fuch indefeafible rights, that even between a parent and child, no fuch fentiments as authority and obedience fhould fubfilt. They fay, that the miferies of mankind do not proceed from divine fufferance, from general depravity, or from the fulfilment of the myfterious plans of Providence; but from the perverfe oppreffion of the great, who delight in the miferies of their fellow-creatures. They affirm, that all difficulties are naturally furmountable by man; and that difeale, and even death itfelf, may, in time, be found to $\mathrm{H}_{5}$
be
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be conquerable by human wifdom and precaution. Chaftity, with them, means only individuality of affection: while preference fubfifts, they fay, it cannot be violated; and, this preference being allowed to be transferable, they grant to chaftity all the latitude which the groffeft incontinence could defire. They fuppofe cafes, which either never could exitt, or which are highly improbable, whereby, from the preflure of contrary duties, an action in itfelf highly immoral becomes heroic and excellent; and thus the old doctrine of the Church of Rome is revived; and we are inftructed to do evil that good may come of it. A multiplicity of fictions are fabricated upon thefe principles, which are even avowed in the titles of the works. Allured by the charm of antithefis, our young readers fit down to the perufal of "The Pitiable Adultrefs,"
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"The Noble Lie," "Generous Re" venge," "Honeft Thieves," "The " Guiltlefs Parricide," "Errors of Vir" tue" "Amiable Indifcretions," "The "InnocentSlanderer,"DelicateAnger," and a thoufand other abfurdities. They alfo hold, that minds of a fuperior caft are a perfect law to themfelves, and fhould not fubmit to the illiberality of definite reftritions. Thefe are fome of the tenets in morals: in religion they are nearly fimilar; for they affirm, that chriftians have taken the character of their God from that of a tyrant who lays fnares for his creatures, and who delights in cruelty and adulation. Whoever believes that one creature was created with vicious inclinations, they fay, makes the Creator a demon. Benevolence is the only attribute which they feem willing to allow their Deity; and they evidently make him all good, that they may tranfgrefs
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with impunity. Some even doubt whether God is any thing more than nature ; and moft of them ufe thofe words indifcriminately. All affert, that religious worthip is a chimera, and prayer (you will fhudder at their definition) a felfinh blalphemous abfurdity, prefuppofing the unwillingness of the Almighty to relieve our wants, and founded on an illiberal wifh of Jupplanting our fellow-creatures. The few who believe in a world to come, will not allow their expectations of it to be modified by an idea of future rewards and punifhments; for they hold, that the only neceflity for our exifting after death is, to complete the knowledge which is now imperfect, and to gratify the defires which have not been fatiated. Of courfe, all modes of faith are alike unimportant, and a virtuous heart is all that the Deity requires of us. You will refer to their moral fyltem
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fyftem to know'their definition of virtue; and of courfe inquire, what the vice is which may not fhelter itfelf under that name. Indeed, with their notions of the Almighty, and of a future fate, it feems extraordinary that the term virtue fhould be retained. They are, however, fond of it; from which it appears probable, that their defigns in ufing it are to attract the unwary, to form a fort of rallying point, and to have always a convenient watch-word, to oppofe to tyranny, oppreflion, bigotry, prefcriptive right, prieftcraft, and, in a word, every thing that is contrary to the moit licentious and ungovernable infubordination.

Thefe dreadful principles, fo repugnant to truth, to experience, and to the general welfare of mankind, have been for thefe laft twenty years circulated with an avidity and fyftematic arrange-
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ment which plainly indicated a deep, well-digefted plan; a formed, compacted regular confiracy; not the defultory disjointed motions of unconnected individuals. This idea ftruck many people who obferved the amazing degree of intrepidity, coolnefs, and adroitnefs, with which this warfare againft our nobleft principles and beft hopes was carried on, maugre all the refiftance which it met with. For no fooner was it repreffed in one hlape, than it burft forth in another; if one affertion was filenced, for being too bold, the fame tenets were again fupported in more qualified terms. It has fince been proved by the publications of the Abbe Barruel, that a confpiracy againft Chriftianity, regular governments, and all focial inftitutions, actually exifts; and that it was firft formed by Voltaire, Diderot, and D'Alembert, who, with a degree of induitry
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duftry and fuccefs as aftonifhing as the diabolical malevolence which dictated their defign, diffeminated their opinions in an infidious fecret manner over France and Germany; infecting courts and colleges, cities and villages, princes, ftatefmen, profeffors, tradefmen, artizans, and peafants, with their dreadful doctrines. They diffeminated their opinions in all fhapes, from the maffy volumes of the French Encyclopedia, to the catch-penny fheet that is hawked through the obfcure village. They gave them every form; fometimes affailing facred truths with audacious ridicule; fometimes circulating fuch an invidious defence of religion and morality, as craftily weakened the principles which it pretended to fupport. Now wearing the dignified air of a fyftem of natural hiftory, and now affuming the fimfy garb of a romance. Sometimes 15 reviling
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reviling and execrating, fometimes mif. reprefenting and blafpheming the moft facred fubjects. In fbort, by every poffible means which perverted talent, and reftlefs malignity could devife, thefe impious confpirators carried on their deadly warfare ; not againft fuperftition, but againft Chriltianity; not againft a corrupted priefthood, but againft their Redeemer and their God; by attempting to eradicate from the human breaft the fundamental principles of piety and virtue.

Notwithfanding the apparent abfurdity of fuch a plan, its fuccefs in the two countries which were the principal fcenes of action has been awfully indifputable ; and its contaminating influence has been but too vifible in many other parts of the Continent. To the efforts of this widely-extended plot, the French revolution, and all its attendant
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attendant horrcrs, may be moft juftly afcribed; nor can all the efforts and fophiftry of thofe who in this kingdom covertly favour, or at leaft plaufibly excufe, the attempts of this infidel affociation, fuggeft any other caufe, which could be fufficiently efficacious to occafion, and to continue, fuch an univerfal change in the ideas, conduct, and manners of that tremendouly diftinguifhed nation. A publication of confiderable celebrity for the wit and ability with which it is conducted, but more than fuppected of leaning to the principles which I have reprobated, after attempting to deduce the French Revolution from the univerfal diffatisfaction of all ranks of people to the exifting fyftem of government, was at length compelled to acknowledge, "That the general " alienation from royalty was increafed os by that univerfal difbelief in revealed " religion

## [162.]

" religion which had been diffeminated " by the writings of infidels." And then, with apparent reluctance, interfperfed with contemptuous fmiles at the credulity and ftrange combinations of the Abbe Barruel, they make a conceffion, which is fo important that I muft tranfcribe it: "In the opinion of many, " the Abbé will be thought in fome in" ftances to have indulged his imagina" tion too much, and to have been " hafty in his conclufions; but, after "e every deduction is made on this account, " more than fufficient, both of bis fact "s and argument, will remain, to effablijb " bis affertion of the exiftence of an anti"cbrifian confpiracy. If every other " proof of this were wanting, the Let" ters of Voltaire, publifhed fince his " deceafe, places it beyond controverfy. "That correfpondence has difclofed "to the world the important fecret, " that
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"s that an alliance between bigotry and " infidelity may exift ; that the fame " boform may entertain the feemingly " incompatible principles of fanaticifm " and unbelief; that Deifm and Atheifm " have their zealots, as well as fuper"s ftition; and that, in the ardour of "propagating opinions, the modern "philofophers of France are not in"ferior to the miffionaries of the Va " tican, or the difciples of Calvin and "s Luther." I defire no clearer expofition of the inconfiftencies of this falle philofophy; and I think you can difcern the reafon why its fupporters are affociated with the difciples of Calvin and Luther. Unfortunately, a portion of this inconffency adheres to the very work from which I have made this extract. In a fublequent number, they condemn another volume of Barruel's Difcoveries, faying, that he brings forward
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ward each part with decrealing evidence; they, however acknowledge, "s that he "inconteftably and fatisfactorily proved "the confpiracy of the philofophers " againft the altar." Yet, in another article of that very number, they extol Condorcet, who was a principal confpirator, " as one of the moft diftin. "guifhed perfonages whom this age has "produced; whofe eminent talents, "fcience, eloquence, and philan"thropy, have given a lafting im"pulfe to the fortunes of the human " race." Left you fould excufe this panegyric, from an idea that their admiration of his eminence as a natural philofopher had produced a momentary forgetfulness of his diabolically-active infidelity, in an extract from his life, which is the work that they are reviewing, they tell us, that of his biographer owns he " pulhed matters too far, in his attempts
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"s to overthrow Chrittianity; for, admit"s ting the jufinefs of bissyjfem, it was more " prudent to confine within the circle of " the initiated thofe truths which are " dangerous to the mulcitude ; who can" not replace, by found principles, what " they would lofe of fear, of confola" tion, and of hope."

Can you, my dear Child, believe, that thefe reviewers could feel very indignant at a confpiracy which had for its avowed aim to undo all that Chrift and his apoitles had done; when their encomium on one of its chief agents is not limited by one little particle, intimating their regret that he had been active in fo nefarious an undertaking? Could they bonefly beftow on him this unqualified praife the moment after they had perufed the account of his life and writings? a life, terminated by fuicide; and by which, as France has with blood deplored,
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plored, a moft tremendous, but I will hope not a " lafting impulfe has been " given to the fortunes of the human " race;" writings, which even the licentious temerity of his atheiftical encomiatt owned were too rah and hypothetical. Surely this mode of reviewing partakes of the fpirit of the French encyclopediafts; who, in one part of their work, treated religious fubjects with guarded deference and refpect, in order that it might obtain admiffion into the libraries of well-intentioned people; and that their defigns might be better fecured from detection, every article that had a direct obvious reference to thefe topics was put into the hands of orthodox writers. But, as we learn from the correfpondence of Voltaire, care was taken to undo all that they did, in thore obfcure parts which had only a remote analogy to faith and morals.
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Many reafons concur, to induce us to receive the decifions of our literary cenfors with caution inftead of confidence. The orinciples which are profeffed in the preface and the profpectus are often but a covert for the principles that are really entertained. What thofe are, can only be difcovered by the moft watchful perfevering attention; and by that fort of examination of the publications reviewed, which few young people would have the opportunity or the patience to undertake. The poifon fo delicately prepared, and fo cautiounly adminiftered, finks unperceived into the foul; and its effects can only be counteracted by the powerful antidotes of folid information and good principles, early inftilled into the mind, and ftrengthened by a found difcriminating judgment. When you are thoroughly fenfible of the beauty of truth, you will not be feduced by falfehood.
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sood. When your opinions are founded upon premifes that you clearly underftand, you will neither be captivated by a flowing flyle, nor overawed by a bold affertion. You will weigh and compare; you will examine how their whole fyllem hangs tegether; you will fcrutinize the fabric which they wifh to erect, and, not haftily adopting an incongruous defign, of which you are only hewn a fmall part very trimly executed, you will be fenfible that it is eafy to cenfure and to pluck down, but very difficult to cone frruct, a noble edifice.

I do not mean to be invidious or particular in my remarks; but when we are poffeffed of incontrovertible proof, I mean the teftimony of Voltaire and his affociates, that reviews, magazines, and other productions of a fimilar nature, were fome of the mont fucceffful means by which the tenets of Jacobinifm, both
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both with refpect to government and religion, were propagated in France it is not being an alarmift to inquire whether thore engines, which recent experience has proved to be fo powerfully deffructive in a neighbouring kingdom, are directed to better purpofes here. Many of our milcellanies are avowedly boftile to our civil and religious eftablifhments. In fome I have feen fuch affertions, under the pretext of zeal for free diculfion, as I fhould have thought too impious to be tolerated in a Chriftian country. Others are more cautious, and affect to treat with decorum and refpect what their confciences cannot approve. Among thefe, you muft not look for a bold attack, but a fly infinuation. All here is gentlenefs, liberality, candour, moderation, impartiality, great regard for truth, and univerfal philanthropy. They will give you a fpecimen of the Vol. III.

I firft
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firft quality, by fpeaking of very immoral, nay even indelicate writers, in exceedingly complaifant and well-bred terms; admiring their wit and talents, and kindly lamenting their fcurrility. They beftow their liberality alfo on this portion of writers, who, they candidly bope, will rub off the little fpecks which obfcure their brightnefs in the next publication; and thus, like Puff in the Critic, they make their well turned cenfure the parent of a new edition. I could exemplify their moderation and impartiality by obferving, that the moft plaufible works on the fide of fchifm and republicanifm, I will not quite fay infidelity and anarchy, are felected, and fuffered to amplify their doctrines through fucceflive numbers; and if fome fiery champion of the eftablifhments fhould rufh, forth with more zeal than prudence, and lift up his leaden mace againft the demon
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demon of mifrule, he alfo is unfortunately dragged to the fore-ground, and baftinaded with the moft rigid impartiality: while, to fhew their moderation, any excellent valuable work of the fame tendency is confined to the humble limit of half a page; and what cannot be ridiculed is "damned with faint praife." Their regard to truth refts upon their own affertions. Certainly they muft beft know what they feel: were we to judge of it by what they fay, we might be tempted to call it problematical. I give full credit to their univerfal philanthropy; for, after long obfervation, I never did fee any inftance of its being counteracted by the narrow Jpirit of love for their country.

Without entering into the difputed queftion, whether the general interefts of literature have been promoted by the erection of a numerous hoft of heralds
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and purfuivants, who every month announce the pretenfions of the yet more numerous hoft of authors; and who not only arrange the lifts, but determine the merits, of the refpective combatants: even granting, that this kind of reading is very amufing to thofe whofe tafte is formed, and whofe opinions are fixed, I think the guardians of the rifing genesation mult agree with me, that there are many reafons why it is unfuitable for youth. Moft of the publications of which I have been treating are undertaken to ferve the purpofes of a party; and you will own, that an impartial partifan is as rare as " the phœnix, that "fole bird." I often think, that thefe tribunals owe much of the deference with which the public receives their fiat, to the very politic ufe of plural pronouns. "We are firmly of opinion," "It is our decided judgment," are
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phrafes that carry with them an imprefive authority, which poor fingular $I$ and me can never attain to. For many years, I never met with the above fentences without finding my fancy tranfport me into an extenfive library, crowded with black coats, large wigs, and green fpectacles. Each individual, holding in his hand the voluminous commentator on whom he had formed his judgment, while fipping his cup of tea, (the modern Helicon,) appeared in the act of pronouncing his oracular opinion on the impleaded author; while the moderator of the learned corps, collecting the fuffrages as the majority decided, either crowned the work with inmortal bays, or configned it to oblivion. Well might I, and every unfortunate wight in my fituation, tremble at an affemblage as formidable and invulnerable as that of the fecret tribunal ; but fince I have been
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enabled to take a peep behind the feenes, my terrors and my deference are confiderably diminifhed. For, alas! my dear Boy, thefe black coats, wigs, fpectacles, and commentators, are but "the bafelefs fabrics of a vifion." Number one always conflitutes counfel, jury, moderator, and judge; and we is only compofed of $I$ and myjelf. It is even whifpered, that truth and verity would oftener conduct us into the circumjsribed attic, than the Jpacious library, where you would meet with one folitary writer, glowing with rage and envy at a fucceffful competitor, and earning his Sunday dinner by a virulent abufe of the pamphlet which has been extolled by a brother reviewer, and impeded the circulation of his own. Or it may be, that the fex as well as the fcene may change ; for, the Gentleman's Magazine afferts it as a fact, that Mrs, Rudd, of mocking
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fhocking celebrity, the accomplice, if not the feducer, of the unfortunate Perreaus, and fo well known for her guilty depredations and flagitious conduct, gained her livelihood for many years by writing articles for reviews. The natural talents of this unhappy woman are faid to have been very confiderable ; but, allowing that fhe had received that degree of cultivation and improvement which would have fitted her to have been an arbitrefs of public tafte, furely her life prefented an indubitable argument againft her being a fit guardian of public morals. When fuch characters are per-mitted to be judges, we may anticipate the tenor of their decrees.

I do not mean from this inftance to infer, that the general conduct of thefe works is fubmitted to the direction of fuch regulators. If mean coadjutors are admitted into the lower departments, $I_{4}$
the
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the fcientific parts, from which the reputation of the undertaking is principally derived, are generally committed to the care of people of real talent and learning, whofe labours, may I not fay unfortunately, give refpectability to thofe dangerous opinions which they in fact difclaim; though, from contributing to the fupport of the mifcellany in which thefe dotrines appear, they indirectly countenance them, and affift their promulgation. In no inflance is the wellknown proverb more fully verified; for here, indeed, "evil communication cor"rupts good manners." The part of the work which the fcbolar would deem trivial, are thofe on which the general reader fixes his firft, if not fole attention; and no work can be fafe which contains in fome obfcure corner tenets that will ftagger the principles of the unlearned, or, rather, partially informed. Another
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Another reafon why I wifh you to have but little acquaintance with the cris tical department is, that this kind of reading is extremely apt to increafe that peremptory decifion and opinionativenefs which, although they are the frequent faults of youth, tend, perhaps more than any other, to eclipfe its natural graces. A young perfon deeply read in critiques, extracts, and beauties, can talk away upon any literary fubject, and is confidered, by all who do not know enough to defpife his ignorance, as a prodigy of erudition. There is great danger that, while he thus grafps at the fhadow of knowledge, he will lofe the fubftance; and the probability of this. evil will be increafed, hould the ardour " of young ambition" induce him to sommunicate his opinions to the world at large. For fome of our mifcellaneous publications alfo afford a gratifying af-
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fiftance:
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fiftance to the raptured fpeculatift, who wifhes to foar to the top of Parnaffus, by helping him to the feathers and the wax which are to fabricate his wings. Not only is the favoury regale fpread to charm your ravifhed tafte, but you are even invited to affift in preparing the repaft. Not half fo numerous, on the plains of Lilliput, crowded the tiny fquadrons yound the fleeping Gulliver, as iffue, at the call of Emulation, from the nurfery's unfolding doors, troops on troops of biping Jages. Skims the light pen over the paper's virgin purity, while papa rolls his eyeballs amazed, and mamma's chained tongue becomes motionlefs with rapture. Smooth glide the tuneful periods, tinkling with the wit of Ariftophanes, the learning of Ariftotle, the lightning force of Demofthenes, and Tully's fweet-toned oratory. Now they decide on themes of import high, not, gentle
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gentle reader, on the comparative merit of cricket or ninepins; but whether the laws of Solon or Lycurgus were beft fuited to promote general good: not whether apple-pye or plumb-pudding fhould have the preference; but whether the palm fhould be awarded to ancient or modern literature. Crowd your ranks, ye critics of antiquity; neither Quintilian nor Longinus fhall now have elbow-room; for the infantine philofophers of Britain demand a feat among your venerable worthes. Vail your bonnets, ye blind leginators, who could not, from the experience of ages, decide a point which our lads of fourteen know by intuition. May we not henceforthr predict, that debating clubs will fuper. fede the ufe of feminaries of edacation ; and that the bat and ball will drop from the hands which aim to grafp a come mander's truncheon? Nothing, my dear
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Son, but fublime imagery and numerous profe, could difplay fuch triumphs ; a far plainer ftyle may, however, narrate their confequences. Encouraging thefe puerile attempts is called exciting a fpirit of emulation; but emulation, like every other faculty, when it is not seftricted by knowledge, is dangerous; and I rather fufpect that this undertaking is one of the numerous ramifications of that fyftem of infubordination: which threatens deftruction to the virtues, the graces, and, let me add, to the happinefs of youth. I repeat the obfervations of a very excellent fcholar, by whofe fuperior judgment I am proud to be influenced, when I tell you, that thefe premature forced productions abfolutely enervate the powers of the mind from which they are permitted to fpring : and if thefe jejune efforts are fo unfortunate as to be approved, a fuppofition
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of extraordinary abilities feemingly fuperfedes the neceffity of future exertion; for, the end of education being the formation of a clear difcriminating judgment, when that end is obtained the finifhed man may ftep forth into the world. But the judgment is a faculty that flowly unfolds; and, by ftimulating it to an unnatural exertion, you debilitate its power, and infufe that falfe confidence which is a perpetual hindrance to its future melioration. When we recollect the ftate of our minds at an early period of life, we mult fee much caufeto feel grateful to the candour of our friends, who were not dijgufted with the puerility of our opinions, and the tenacity with which we fupported them; and we muft all confefs, that there was no occafion for the pride of authorhip, or the incentive of public praife, to increare Dur abfurdity. Yet, thirty years ago, the
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the prevailing caft of manners was not in favour of a felf-fufficient dictatorial young perfon; the powers of youth were repreffed, inftead of being forced into obfervation ; and critics and leginators of fourteen would have been deemed as portentous as an eclipfe or a comet.

Allowing emulation to be a proper fentiment for exciting youth to labour up the hill of learning with avidity, let it be directed to what it is poffible for them to attain; I mean the progreffive fleps; and let them not be taiught to run themfelves out of breath, by an imspolfible effort to gain the fummit. Let their efforts be confined to thofe elementary or mechanical parts of knowledge, in which their time of life will properly allow them to have made a proficiency; and fome real advantage may enfue from awakening an aptitude and celerity in the means of improvement, and

## [ 183 ]

and the rudiments of knowledge ; nor is there that hazard of inflaming felf-conceit, when thefe efforts are confined to what is fuitable and attainable. I will quit this fubject, only adding, as my own obfervation, that' if the declamatory fkill of which I have been treating, could be proved to be as beneficial as it really is injurious to the intellects, its effect upon the morals and the manners of young people mut be fo extremely prejudicial, that all judicious parents and guardians ougbt feadily to difcourage it. The fentiments which children fhould excite are, love, complacency, and tendernefs; the feelings which fhould glow in their own bofoms are, hilarity and general good-will. Their manners fhould be docile, unaffected, fportive, or, if you pleafe, carelefs and unobfervant, but by no means dictatorial and opinionated. A difcerning child is moftly fly
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and cunning; and an overbearing one is fo extremely difgufting, that the greateft portion of ability can hardly preponderate againft this material difadvantage, even in the eftimation of very candid people.

The fame obfervations (a little relaxed in favour of the jut claims of a period of life in which the mental powers have made a further advance towards maturity) may be extended to young people; who certainly are more agreeable in proportion as they longer retain the affectionate fenfibility, the fimplicity, vivacity, unfufpicious candour, amiable confidence, and all the fmiling graces of enchanting youth. Its image is fo attractive, fo delightful, that I cannot help venting my deteftation againft this Necromancer who threatens to rob me of one of my moft favourite pleafures: I mean the contemplation of fuch an ob-
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ject as I have juft defcribed. For this prefumptuous magician darkens with his fpells the radiant fun of life's early morn; and, inttead of the young perfon whom God and nature purpofed to be delighting and delighted, he prefents us with a cynical, dogmatical, fceptical, prepofterous, and in fact unhappy, becaufe vicious, being. Help me, my dear Thomas, to fome ftrong adjuration, by which I may exorcife the rifing hope and expectation of my country, and preferve them from the fatal influence of that "falfe Carle," who, affuming the name of philofophy, poifons even the facred fountain of inftruction.

Formerly, no danger was apprehended from a young man in your line of life acquiring a love of reading. Every parent took pains to encourage it in his fon; and " he is fafe at his books" was a common expreffion. Deiftical
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tenets were then enveloped in the thick pages of fome metaphyfical treatife; they were not infinuated into novels and pamphlets, and loweered to every capacity, or degree of leifure and information. It is not a new paradox to fay, that the tempter is never more dangerous, nor more active, than in the hours of our retirement and feclufion. Open indelicacy, and warm licentious defcriptions, though not the general characteriftics of our pernicious writings, are yet too frequent to juftify our pretenfions to purity ; and, perhaps, if all the paffages that fo offend were felected from the numerous compofitions of the prefent day, they woul 3 be found to equal in profigacy of fentiment the more grofs expreffions which occur in the writers of the age of Charles the Second. But the greateft danger to a young perfon arifes from that confufion of the names and
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nature of vice and virtue, which is a fingular and alarming characteriftic of the perverted moralifts of thefe times. The general tura of German dramas and novels is marked by this feature ; and it is a difinguifbing, though nicely concealed, trait of a well-written, but paradoxical and highly dangerous, work of Rouffeau's. In the new Eloifa you fee a woman, who is defcribed to be a model of perfettion in mind and heart, voluntarily, and almoft without temptation, fubmitting to proftitution; not from weaknefs, but from a paffion which is always defcribed to be the effect of overflowing purity, virtue, and honour. With a heart full of the image of her lover, the gives her polluted perfori to a hufband; who, knowing her previous mifconduct and prefent infatuation, perfeveres in demanding her from her father; perfuaded, that what is generally efteemed infamous
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in a woman was, in Julia, a mark of fuperior virtue. It is alfo virtue and prudence, and wifdom too, for this hufband to invite the lover of his wife to refide in his family, to leave them purpofely in the moft dangerous fituations, and to encourage that intercourfe which mult fan a flame that he knew to be unfubdued. After fome pleafing feenes of elegant retirement, and fome good leffons of domeftic conduct, introduced to perplex our judgments, and to conciliate our affection for vicious characters, Julia fucceeds in platonifing her own affections, and in making her tyrannical father, her romantic friend, and her phlegmatic hufband, as much attached to her lover as herfelf. She then dies, as inconfiftently as fhe lived. Her difeafe is a fever, which it is well known affects the intellects, and debilitates the frame more than any other difeafe; but the
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argues, dreffes, eats, fmiles, decorates ber apartments, and continues, on the whole, a moft entertaining companion. Rouffeau gives us to underftand that all this is pomble, and that it proceeds from determined ftrength of mind; and he calls it living to the laft. I fufpeef that he never was in the apartment of a dying perfon. His panegyrifts boldly affirm, that nothing ever equalled this feene, except the death of Alcefte in Euripides. I cannot judge of the merits of the comparifon; but I have heard the Grecian bard commended for his attention to nature; and if that praife be juft, the parallel mult fail in its moft friking point. I would wifh to have Rouffeau's defcription compared with the gradual decay, the natural Atruggles, the refigraed compofure of Richardion's Clariffa, whofe fhort interrupted exclamations fpeak to the heart; while Julia's long decla-
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declamations are Audied, forced, and artificial. But to be unnatural is a venial fault; I have fomething fronger to fuggett. She is reprefented as religious, and almoft verging to fanatical piery; yet, inftead of any death-bed devotions, fhe exprefsly affirms that prayer is then improper. No fatisfactory reafon is given for this affertion, for all her faculties are unimpaired; fhe only tells us, that, as fhe thali foon have nothing to do but with the Deity, the will dedicate the remnant of a life abforbed by pain to the fervice of thofe whom he taught her to love. Your unfufpecting mind will naturally fuppofe from this, that the meant to devote it to the moral and religious improvement of her family, to forme pious inftructions to the friend who was now to be their mother, or in fome efforts to remove the incredulity of her atheiftical hulband, whom the had jult
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juft hefore declared the would willingly convert at the expence of her life. Alas, my child! you know not the actions of rophiltical virtue. Julia, who had neither leifure nor faculties to addrefs the throne of mercy, enters into a long recapitulation of her hiftory ; in which, without any remorfe for her offence, fhe mentions the inconveniencies which refulted from her guilty paffion, and declares, " That Heaven directed her choice:" Heaven (I repeat the term) directed her to voluntary feduction; and " virtue " kept poffeffion of her foul" even after her connexion with St. Preux. You may fuppofe that the tears of penitence had effaced her crime; no fuch thing; like the firlt Eloife, fhe fometimes talks of fhame, but never as if fhe felt contrition. You may conclude that her paffion was now fubdued ; quite the reverfe; this paragon of virtue, this chafte,
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exemplary, prudent wife, writes to her lover on her death-bed, to tell him, " That the love which fhe fancied extin" guifhed, now expands, fupports her " when her ftrength fails, and cheers " her foul even in death. This fenti"ment, fhe declares, never fullied her " innocence; her virtue is unblemifhed, " and her love has left behind it no "remorfe. She glories in her paft con"duct; and fhe dies happy to purchafe " at the expence of her life the privilege " of loving him without a crime, and of "telling him fo once more." Thefe are the employmens which the dying faiut prefers to recommending her foul to heaven!

This woman is faid to be a Chriftian; but, mark! a Chriftian defcribed by the pen of an infidel. I need not revert to the ftory of her youthful life; the fpeaks thus in her laft moments;
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and fhe meets death, not only with hope, but with confidence and triumph. Her God, you will obferve, is a being wholly made up of benevolence, whom the cannot fear. St. Preux is, I think, confeffedly a deitt. He is a compound of fophifm and extravagance ; and he too is moft viciouly virtuous. Wolmar, the hufband, is a moft exemplary atheift, virtuous, prudent, wife, moderate, wifhing for convietion, yet unable to find it. Does the Deity ufually eftrange himfelf from fuch a character? Or rather, is not this defcription given with the moft infidious defign?

I will not trouble you with an examination of more of the nonentities that form the grotefque groupe of actors in this motley work. I do not wifh to excite your curiofity to read it, but to guard you againft being prepoffeffed in its favour by the extravagant and illm
VoL. III. K founded
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founded commendations of its admirers. Whenever you hear of the virtueand piety of Rouffeau, remember that he generally affixes to thofe words a different meaning from what our religion prefcribes. He deems virtue compatible with an indulgence of fenfual paffion. He fpeaks of the exercifes of devotion, in the perfon of his model of religious liberality, the Savoyard curate, thus:- "I exert my "faculties in the contemplation of the "divine effence. I am affected by his " beneficence; I praife him for his "s mercies; but I never fo far forget "myjelf as to pray." This Savoyard, a moft confcientious and exemplary Prieft of the chritian God, is a profefed deift. He adminitters every facred rite; he approaches the moft holy fanctuary; and he more than doubts of the divine commifion of Jefus of Nazareth. He is fully apprized of "the ufe of religion,

## [ 195 ]

" in being the confolation of the afflici" ed; the ufeful reftraint which checks "the indulgencies of the rich and "powerful; and the means of exciting " in our hearts remorfe from guilt and " hopes from virtue;" and he employs the advantages of protection and confidence, to infufe into the mind of an unprincipled immoral fugitive a portion of his own fcepticifm, as the means of refcuing him from depravity.

And why is this good prieft himfelf an unbeliever? "The majefty of the " fcriptures ftrike him with admiration. "He afks if fuch a compofition as the "g gofpel, fo fimple and fo fublime, "could be the work of man? or could " the facred perfonage, whofe hittory it "contains, be a mere man? If (he "goes on) the life and death of So"crates were thofe of a fage, the life "s and death of Jefus are thofe of a God; $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ " and
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" and the hiftory of Socrates, which no " one ever doubted, is not fo well at"tefted as that of Jefus Chrift." The oftenfible reafon for rejecting Chriftianity is worthy of the inconfiftency of Rouffeau, who profeffes to give us, in this account, the opinions of an intimate friend by which he had formed his own; and he affirms it to be a real narrative of the events of his early life. Our holy faith is incredible, becaufe it refts for its evidence on the teftimony of miracle and prophecy, and does not communicate itfelf to the foul of each individual in a fupernatural manner. That is to fay, he rejects miracles as inconceivable, yet requires that they fhould be multiplied in proportion to the number of human beings in the world. He thinks that we may be impofed upon by prophetic infpiration; and at the fame time infifts that every perfon fhould be a prophet.
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He owns that Chrifianity is the beit mean that can be devifed for regulating human conduct ; yet he tries to invalidate its authority, to oppofe its precepts, and to limit its diffufion. He, who on all other occafions is fuch a Atrenuous fupporter of freedom of thought and action, as the fource of virtue, requires, in this inftance, an overwbelming: teftimony which fhall bear down the underftanding. He builds his whole fyytem of education on the pofition, that knowledge fhould be derived from attentive inveftigation; and he rejects religion becaufe it does not act impulfively upon the foul, in fuch a manner as to preclude difcuffion.

But this is a mere pretence; the life of Rouffeau, and that of his clerical monitor, furnifhed a ftronger motive for denying the divine origin of the pure morality of the gofpel. This boafted
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man of virtue was a profeffed fenfualift. His writings are a compound of extravagance, contradiction, and inconfiftency; continually oppofing his own principles, and facrificing truth to paradox. It is affirmed, that his intellects. were deranged; if fo, we may pity the man, but ftill retain a horror of the writer; who, from the beauty of his ftyle, the decency of his expreflions, and the deceptious (I may almoft fay the impenetrable) fophiftry in which he guards his doctrines, may be efteemed one of the moft dangerous that the new fchool of philofophy has produced.

The German literature, which we are fo fond of importing, profecutes the fame defign with far inferior powers. We meet with the fame confufed identity of vice and virtue: A lover kills his miltrefs, rather than endanger his faith to a banditti whom he had even then
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then determined to defers. A daughter, from the love of virtue, refolves to abandon an aged coating father, with a lover whom the knows to be predengaged. A virtuous hufband feigns that he is profecuting a criminal amour, to quiet the conscience of a guilty wife. If there be a woman who has fubmited to proftitution, to patronize fuch a one is to protect innocence; and it is heroical to felect her for your wedded partner, because, having known vice, the will hereafter be virtuous from choice. "This diamond," fays brother Maurice, " is not left valuable becaufe it has be" longed to another." Is there a wild unnatural ruffian, he is a great foul who fcorns hypocrify. Such are the reprefentations of the fe dramatifts; but, whatever term they may apply to there actons, do you ever call them by their proper name of Sentimental wickedness. $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ Sent-
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Sentimental wickednefs is infinitely more dangerous than fenfual. Satan, when clad in a mild cherubic form, deceived "Uriel, the fharpeft-fighted "f firit of all in heaven," and obtained admifion into Paradife. In his own form he was foiled by the miniftering Seraph Abdiel, and he flood abafhed before the youthful Zephon. Vice formerly paid virtue homage, by affecting the' difguife of hypocrify; and when the wifhed to deceive, the affumed the tone, air, and drefs of her celeftial adverfary. But now, when tricked out in her own meretricious ornaments, and marked by her peculiar embiazonry, fhe performs her moft nefarious actions in the face of day; and boldly tells us, that fhe is not Vice, but Virtue. Happily, we have an unerring rule by which to form our judgments: "By their fruits ye ifhall " know them."

$$
[201]
$$

One letter has touched upon a very fmall part of this copions fubject. The mifreprefentations of infidelity and immorality are extended to fuch various topics, that fome will unavoidably efcape my cblervation; while I may too frequently allude to others from which I an molt anxious to fecure you. I' will hope for a fpeedy opportunity of again addrefing you, and in the mean time remain, \&cc.
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## LETTER XV.

MY DEAR THOMAS,
I DO not wonder at your expreffing aftonifhment and deteftation at the unprecedented and inexplicable fact of which 1 informed you in my laft letter. The anti-chriftian confpiracy was, indeed, fuch an infernal attempt to ruin the beft hopes and deareft interefts of mankind, by banifhing what yet remains of the divine principle of good, and converting the world into the abode of wretched, criminal, hopelefs beings, that, but for the "incontrovertible evidence" by which it is attefted, 1 fhould not expect you to acknowledge that it could exit. Its effects, however, upon the continent of Europe,
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Europe, have given it a molt tremendous celebrity; and though, from our infular fituation, the active vigilance of our rulers, and, above all, the interpofition of Providence, we have been left infelted by the baleful contagion than our neighbours; yet impious and anarchical principles have been diffeminated even among ourfelves, though evidently discountenanced by the general voice of the nation. Except in a few inftances of bold avowed attack, which, from being illegal, were repreffed by the ftrong arm of the law, the advice of D'Alembert, recommending cautious clandefine meafures, has been purfued in England; and the mot inconceivable pains have been taken to fubtilize the poifon, or, in the cant of the confpirators," to Alike and conceal the band. By thee means many have been tainted with thee doctrines, who would have
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fouddered at them in their undifguifed form.

I have already fpoken of that fophiftry by which vice is made to affume the name and language of virtue. But, as virtue itfelf, when urged beyond its due bound, neceffarily becomes a weaknefs, or a vice, our philofophers have endeavoured to beguile that moft amiable grace of the Chriftian character, I mean charity, when appearing in the lovely forms of candour and philanthropy, by urging them to unjufifiable extremes. With refpect to candour, it may be neceffary to obferve, that it is, with them, extended from perfons to opinions; and, from opinions, it again reverts to perfons. If a fpirit of intolerance, highly adverfe to the temporal interefts of our fellow-creaturos, prevailed two or three hundred years ago, the prefent times are no lefs remarkably (and I
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may fay, unfortunately) diftinguifhed by a fpirit of religious indifference, equally detrimental to the immortal concerns of our own fouls.

Two aphorifms, which I will felect from the writings of the ftrong advocates (I Mould rather fay the profeffed idolaters) of candour in religious matters, will hnew you that it is urged far beyond any good purpofe. For we are not merely told, that we have no right to afliet or harafs our fellow-crearures becaufe their belief is different from our own, or even to urge that belief as a reafon for witbbolding from them the kind affiftances of benevolence and good. will : to fay this, would be to teach the words of "found doctrine ;" but it is actually affirmed, that " religion is one " of the things in which mankind were " made to differ;" and this fentiment, illuftrated by a hiftory, is inferted in a
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work * exprefsly defigned for the inftruction of children, and which is attributed to writers who object to the early communication of difinct religious knowledge. The fory by which this maxim is enforced is not objectionable, it being an amplification of that predominating firit of brotherly love which our Lord exemplifies in the narrative of the Good Samaritar. But the inference is directly contrary to what our Saviour pofitively declares; who, fpeaking to the woman of Sa maria on the differences which fubfitted between her countrymen and the Jews, tells her, " Ye worhhip ye know " not what, but we know what we " worthip: for Salvation is of the Jews:" a proof that our Lord never meant we fhould infer, that the religious tenets
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of the prieft and Levite were not far preferable to thofe of the bumane Samaritan, though the focial virtues of the latter grearly predominated. I am at a lofs for any good reafon why this abitrufe, difputable, and therefore certainly highly improper, pofition fhould be obtruded on the attention of children. Will not indifference in religion creep on faft enough, unlefs it be inculcated in the nurfery?

The other fentiment which I propofe to notice is introduced as flowing from the lips of an Indian fage ; but the commendations which are beftowed upon it juttify me in giving it as the opinion of the writer* in whofe works I met with it. "To vilify the religion of an" other, is to fet at nought the pleafure " of the Almighty." When you have once perfuaded yourfelf that the Go-
*. Bellham's Hittory of George III.

## [ 208 ]

vernor of the Univerfe is indifferent as to the belief of his creatures, indifference in your own belief follows of courfe. If error and truth be alike in the fight of our Creator, either there is no fuch thing as truth, or you diveft him of his effential attributes. We may pardon, perhaps commend, the above expreflions from the mouth of a heathen; but no Chriftian can entertain them; for, if they are true, the Gofpels are falfe; our Lord yielded up his life, the apoftles preached, the martyrs fuffered, not to fulfil the pleafure of the Almighty, but to fet it at nougbt. For, certainly, the founders of our faith by every means in their power refifted, affailed, and vilified pagan fupertition; and, if our holy records be true, thefe their attempts were attefted by the moft extraordinary and unpreceiented marks of divine approbation. Did God, therefore, give
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this confirmation to actions difpleafing to him? or did the apoftes and martyrs accomplifh his will by converting the world from the worhip of dead idols, and teaching them to ferve the true and living God?

With refpect to the licence that is claimed for opinion, I may obferve, that our fentiments are not a matter of abfolute indifference to our fellores-creatures; for I act as my own principles dictate ; and if I believe myfelf to be free from religious, moral, and civil obligations, I cannot be a Jafe member of fociety. I may not, perhaps, have found a fit opportunity for putting my notions in practice; but if I avow them, if I endeavour to recommend them to others, I put mankind upon their guard; and, by the rule of felf-defence, they are jufified in ufing every precantionary meature which can fecure themfelves
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themfelves from the bad effects of my licentious ideas of independence and liberty. They mult not wait till the maniac has murdered her keeper before they put on my manacles. Preventive expedients breathe the very foul of wifdom; for they fecure the peace of my fellow citizens, and prevent me from increaling iny crimes. it is ridiculous for me to complain that I fuffer for opinion, and that opinion ought to be free. This liberty can only be granted (and that too in a limited fenfe) while our fentiments are confined to our own bofoms; for, even then, is not opinion thought? and, when thought proceeds to intention, do not we Chriftians acknowledge that it is accountable at the cribunal of God! When we communicate our ideas to the public, it is unqueftionable that they then partake of the nature of actions; and if they be in themfelves

## [211]

themfelves criminal, or if they tend to excite others to vice and diforder, they are juftly punifbable by human laws, and deferve the loud and general cenfure of mankind.

To fpeak of fuch notions, or of the people who acknowledge that they entertain them, in the fame terms as-we do of virtuous men, and right ineas, is not candour, but criminal indifference, arifing from a degree of careleffnefs that does not prove others to be right, but ourfelves to be wrong. All that candour requires of us in this cafe is, that, we Chould nor fuppofe the exiftence of latent principles, unlefs they are fairly deducible from thofe which are avowed, or from the gentral tenor of a perfon's conduct; and that we fhould refrain from charging all the culpable opinions of a fect or party upon every individual who arranges himfelf under its banners.

For
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For it is well known in all confpiracies, that the framers of the plot reveal their full defigros only to a few adepts; and this obfervation was remarkably exemplified in the antichriftian confpiracy. The numerous miffionaries who acted blindly under the influence of their leaders were mere zealots and novices, induced by the cry of religious and civil freedom, from fome local difguft at the government under which they lived, or perhaps from a real perfuafion that the welfare of mankind would be promoted by the adoption of the propofed reformation, to lend their aid to the completion of defigns from which they would have revolted with abhorrence if they had difcovered their entire drift.

It is the part of prudence, as well as of candour, to reftrain that indifcriminating cenfure which may convert a well-intentioned but miftaken tyro into a furious
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rious defperado; and when a perfon's conduct can be referred. to want of full information, it is rigbt to avoid giving it a worle confruction. But this is not juffifying the opinion itfelf; nor do we extenuate the guilt of the atrocious principle, by hoping that the confequences to which it tended were not in every inftance forefeen.

We have now urged candour as far as it can be extended with propriety in refpect to opinion; let us next confider it as itrelates to actions. Mittake, ignorance, or a fudden ftart of paffion, may be pleaded in excufe of an immoral deed. But then we hope that conceffion, and clearer information, may remove the two firlt caules of offence, and that penitence may efface the recollection of the latter. We are not authorized by our religion to offer any apology for wilful or deliberate crimes,
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which are openly avowed and determinately perfevered in. So far from it, we are commanded to abftain from fuch provocations, as we value our own falvation; to avoid the fociety of thofe who practife them, and refolutely to condemn all notorious finners. Such condut expreffes the very fpirit of Chriftianity; for, is it the temporal interefts only of our fellow-creatures for which we are to feel folicitude? are we to give our hungry enemy food, or drink when he is thirfty, and yet be carelefs and unaffected when we fee him plunge into perdition? are we not to reniember him in our prayers? and can we pray for his reformation if we do not perceive his guilt?

If you apply thefe principles to the candour and philanthropy which are now fo much in fafion, and fo artfully recommended to our admiration, you
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will perceive that they differ fo widely from the virtues which the apoftles inculcated, that they cannot be fruits of the fame tree. For, your compaffion is not folicited for thofe who are miftaken, or for thofe who repent: it is entreated "for " poor fuffering guilt" whenever it meets with its merited punifhment. When it is going on triumphantly, and annexing crime to crime, compafion muft then take another fhape. She may figl over the unavoidable miferies which great undertakings occafion; and as the author whom I have juft quoted adds, the mult then " hear, and bope that all is for the "beft." Let me juft obferve, that I have never feen thofe fpurious virtues of the Jacobinical fchool, philanthropy and fenfibility, better delineated than in the very fpirited lines which conclude the "Poetry of the Anti- Jacobin," and are insitled, "New Morality." If you bear there
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thefe defcriptions in your 'memory, you will meet with their exemplification in every work that inculcates the new philofoply.

I have often been furprifed at feeing the fafhion of extreme (or as I fhould rather fay of vicious) candour adopted by people whofe principles really were correct. If it be wrong to treat vice with levity, it is ftill worfe to extenuate its guilt. I recollect a friend of my own, of whofe rectitude I have had the moft convincing proofs, fpeaking in this foft apologetical manner of a crime which is alarmingly frequent in high life. A married man had a criminal connexion with the wife of another perfon. The guilt of the adultrel's was feverely reprobated; but, when her paramour was mentioned, it was obferved, "That the lady was fo " very attractive and charming, and " his own wife fo much the 'reverfe, " that
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"that really he was not mucb to " blame."

Innumerable plays, poens, and novels, are circulated to inculcate this idea. Some irreffitible temptation is always pleaded; and yet we are affured that all temptations may be reffed. Vice is defcribed as fo very amiable! and yet we are told that vice is hideous, that it difgults us at firft fight, and that it is only by looking at it that we become at firtt reconciled and then enamoured. The guilty are reprefented as very exemplary people in all other refpects, except as to one crime; but are we unacquainted with the fevere denunciations that are uttered againft every finful habit? and do we not know that fin, when perfifted in, has a natural tendency to corrupt and deprave the heart? Sometimes, indeed, they are defcribed as penitents, and reftored by that purifying Vol. III. L principle
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principle to fpotlefs innocence. But is the word penitence clearly undertood and well defined by the behaviour of thefe converts? are they forrowful for their paft tranfgreffions? do they feek to repair their fault? do they refolutely amend their lives? are they humble and contrite before the God whom they have offended? or rather, do they not, like Hamlet's Claudius, "s wifh "to be pardoned and retain the " offence?"

They who would thus commute with virtue, by paying her a little verbal reverence, while by their actions they confefs their alliance with her inexorable enemy, may be juftly faid "s to hold the " truth in unrighteoufnefs, to draw near "t with their lips, but in their hearts to " be far off;" and confequently, inftead of being in a ftate of reconciliation, they are the declared objects of "the " wrath
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"s wrath of God." But though Chriftianity rejects them while they are in this ftate, the fchool of Rouffeau will pronounce their apotheofis. To talk well, is fufficient there. The profeffors of his philofophy have a gauzy veil of fentiment, by the ufe of which they can give every crime an attractive lovely appearance; and to fufpend a vicious aflignation by performing an act of charity, or fulfilling a folemn engagement, fanctifies a character for life, and renders every fubfequent crime a proof of virtue. Thefe fciolifts have an army of fubterfuges, by which they confufe a plain underftanding, till, haraffed by continual fkirmifhes, and unaccuftomed to ambuhhed fight, it yields, not to fuperior ftrength, but to inceffant attack. Or elfe, betrayed by that bofomdeceiver who is continually affailing the beft of us, we are led to hope that what
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looks fo very amiable cannot be very wrong; and then, if we once deviate into the wilds of deifin, our captivity is confirmed. Whenever you feel your mind ftaggered by the apologies that are offered for vicious actions, or by the foft phrafes in which they are defcribed, do not entertain the idea that this is Chriftian charity; for the candour which that enjoins, does not extend to deliberate guilt: it can only offer its prayers for the reformation of thofe who glory or who perfft in their crimes. Every expreffion which denotes the lenity, compaffion, and forgiveners of the Almighty, is ftrictly confined to thofe who repent and endeavour to amend. This laft circumftance is of high importance ; for, though we may divide our time between finning and forrowing, yet, unlefs our forrow was fincere while it lafted, and our purpofed amendment pure from
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any fecret defign of returning to our old offences, fuch forrow, and fuch purpofed amendment, is nothing but hypocrify.

I have mentioned an earneft defre of reconciliation with God, as one of the genuine proofs of true repentance. Allow me to introduce the celebrated fong in the Stranger *, in proof that this mark

* The author has fubjoined the Song, that the reader may judge of her critique:
" I have a filent forrow here, " A grief I'll ne'er impart ;
" It breathes no figh, it fheds no tear, "But it confumes my heart.
"This cherih'd woe, this lov'd defpair, " My lot for ever be;
" So, my foul's lord, the pangs I bear " Be never known by thee.
" And when pale characters of death " Shall mark this alter'd cheek;
" When my poor wafted trembling breath " My life's laft hope would fpeak;
" I fhall not raife my eyes to Heaven, " Nor mercy ank for me;
" My foul defpairs to be forgiven; " Unpardon'd, love, by thee."

$$
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of Chriftian contrition is not only unneceffary, but abfolutely hoftile to the refined idea which German moralifts entertain of this expiating quality. Adelaide is fo far a penitent, that fhe abjures her paramour, and leads a reclufe life of folitude and virtue. You may be fure that fhe is very charitable, becaufe our $p_{\text {hilanthropifts never fail to apply the mif- }}$ apprebended text, " that charity covers "s a multitude of fins." Thefe ftanzas, which are fuppofed to be her compofition, are very humouroufly parodied in the "Poetry of the Anti-Jacobin," and are as remarkable for their inconfiftency as for their immorality. The firft contains the defcription of a very extraordinary and unufual paffion, "A forrow "that breathes no figh and fheds no tear, "but yet confumes the heart." This may be the German way of grieving, but in England it is otherwife; and I obferved
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that Mrs. Siddons, who may furely be deemed a good judge of the paffions, had recourfe to both fighs and tears to exprefs her own emotions, and to excite the fympathy of the audience, while fhe performed this character. The fecond ftanza rifes in the climax of abfurdity; a penitent will rather foel than cherifb woe; but the hope that ever accompanies true contrition mult exclude defpair, which is only the lot of the defperately wicked. I believe, that, were even thefe to exprefs their feelings, they would rather fay that they were a prey to it than that they love it. But why, in the name of the goddefs of Nonfenfe, does Adelaide propofe, by enduring thefe pangs, to comnute with her hufband's ignorance of them? She knew that he was a man of honour who had been driven francic by her guilt, and therefore the withes that he fhould never
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know her reformation. By what fophiftry, what refined perverfion of morals, can this idea be reconciled to truth and nature? .

I have nothing to fay to the poetical periphrafis in the third ftanza; but the fourth contains the very quinteffence of illumination and deifm. Neither the grammar nor the poetry are unexceptionable ; but the moral is what I attack. The pious, penitent, exemplary Adelaide choofes to die of this forrow that has no expreffion, without raifing her eyes to Heaven, or afking pardon, becaufe fhe has not been forgiven by her hufband; and the is defirous that her hufband fhould never know her remorfe, for fear he fhould forgive her. What an extraordinary penitent!

Another favourite method of perverting morals, which is but too fucceff-
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fully purfued, is by balancing duties in fuch a manner, that a very great crime fhall wear the afpect of a very great virtue. Among the amiable qualities that are cramped by oppofing duties, and contracted by refining explanations, none have fared worfe than poor gratitude, which is now fo completely diftorted that it is claffed with the family of vices. It was once deemed the nobleft mark of a generous foul; it was welcomed in every form, while every man, with Lear, execrated the " marble"hearted fiend," its oppofite. But fince, we have been told, that to ftrive, or even wifh, to return the favours that we have received argues not only a proud, but an unthankful mind; reftefs under obligations, we are confounded in. our opinions; the generous hefitate, while. the bare pretend to exalted virtue L5
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by purfuing the line of conduct which once fubjected them to reproach.

There is, befide this, another invidious refinement, by which the benefactor and the perfon benefited are made to change places; and the latter beftows, inftead of receiving, an obligation. A clafic of the new fchool affirms, " that fince, " by conferring a benefit, we relieve " ourfelves from an uneafy fenfation, " and enjoy a pleafant one, it is ob" viounly abfurd to require any future "acknowledgment from the parties " whom we affit." If I am not miftaken, this very gentleman is much hurt at the ill return which the world has made him for his difinterefted defign of illuminating it. Does not the philofopher remember his own tenets! has he forgotten the fublime generous pleafure which he enjoyed while pouring out his liberal
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liberal ftore of wifdom? Let him at leaft be confiftent, and rejoice that he has been felected, to feel, in his own perfon, that there is one vice which needed not the aid of his fophiftry to become prevalent. Shall he, who wifhed to annibilate gratitude, complain that the world is ungrateful?

Thefe fallacious principles are too abfurd for refutation; to expofe their abfurdity, I need only quote the original words of the authors by whom they are adopted. None but a depraved heart can have recourfe to fuch fubterfuges. As thefe ideas of gratitude, and of oppofing duties, were circulated in France, we need not wonder at thofe crimes which I moft earnefly hope will never be imitated by the Chriftian world. On the 18th of September 1.791, Phillipe, of the Jacobin club *, prefented to that
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fociety the heads of his father and mother, whom, as he boafted, he had with his own hand facrificed to his country; and for this act he was warmly applauded. Several wives, hufbands, and children, received what was termed bonourable mention from the National Affembly, for having denounced (or, as we fhould fay, betrayed) their refpective hufbands, wives, and parents. This is termed patriotion; and you will fhudder to hear that fuch deeds have been juftified, nay applauded, in England; and that too, by thofe very writers who frequently apply their verfatile proftituted pens to difparage and difcountenance that $p a$ triotijn which would tend to nerve a Briton's arm again!t regicides, atheifts, and perjured plunderers. Such, even according to the accounts of their own party, were the rulers of France at that period. An external decency of man-
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ner is affected by the prefent rulers: God grant that it may be more than a convenient vizard!

It has long been cultomary to beftow a confiderable fhare of praife on fome actions which have been immortalized by elegant defcriptions of clafic authors. Let us recollect, in this place, the arguments of Dr. Hey, " and applaud the " great actions of heathens, while that " applaufe ftimulates us to virtue ; but " let us expofe their imperfections when" ever their motives of action are op" pofed to our own higher and purer " principles."-" Timoleon, glorious in " his brother's blood!" and " he that "r did love Cæfar while he ftruck him !" might appear great and glorious to heathens; who certainly thought it right, not only to overcome the facred claims of nature, but even to do actions which they knew to be morally wrong, to promote
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mote the welfare of their country. But are not thefe admired deeds the unfubftantial offspring of the deceitful, uncultured, unmeliorated, tree of morality? and is it to bring forch no better fruit when it has been engrafted with the fcion of revelation? For eighteen hundred years it has been pruned, dreffed, and enriched, by the application of fertilizing juices; and, if the produce be not fuperior to the virtues of a Timoleon and a Brutus, may we not fear the immediate infliction of the awful fentence, " Cut it down: why cumbereth it the " ground?" Our principles prohibit us from doing evil, that good may come of it. We are not permitted to lift our hand againft the life of our fellow-creature, unlefs fanctioned by the command of lawful authority, or in felf-defence. No plea, no pretext can juftify affafination. By preferving this tenet in your mind,
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mind, you will know how to appreciate the value of thofe fplendid deeds which form the bafis of fo many works of fiction, and which probably ftimulated Charlotte Cordé to facrifice her own life in ridding France of one of its demagogues. Her murder of Marat has been much commended ; but furely the atrocious guilt of the man was more indijputable than the merit of that defperate action. We are told of " her angel " and avenging arm," which has given a new character and impulfe to her fex. Deifts may avenge, but they mult renounce their principles before we can ftyle them angels. Chriftians may, even in this life, make humble approaches to the purity of angels ; but then they muft not avenge. They mult leave it to Him who claims it as his peculiar right. " Vengeance is mine, and I will repay, " faith the Lord.".
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If you fhould afk me, " whether my " precautionary fuggeftions are meant to " infinuate that you fhould read no books " but thofe which are manifefly written " in the defence of religion, or thofe " which are ftrongly tinctured with " piety?" I would anfwer " By no " means." On the contrary, I think that amufement is lawful; that varied information is highly ferviceable; and that confining your ftudies within fuch limitations would be very unfuitable to your period of life, and might give an. enthufiaftic contracted bigotry to your character. If it had not become neceffary to counteract the wiles of our enemies, who have feized on the lighterkinds of literature, and made them the vehicles of their dreadful tenets, I would re.commend that books of amufement Mhould be kept clear from the fubject of religion. Solomon was not required
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to blend the worlhip of the fanctuary with the fettivals and dances of the " ivory palaces." But then thofe palaces fhould not have been devoted to " the worfhip of Milcom, the abomina" tion of the Moabites, or Aftaroth " the goddefs of the Zidonians." If a fenfe- of religion pervades the beart of the writer, nothing offenfeve to iss fpirit will appear even in thofe ligbt compofitions which are dedicated to mirth and hilarity. He will not recommend vice by placing it in an advantageous point of view. He will ufe no fophiftical arguments in its favour. He will not feek to inflame the criminal paffions. He will fpeak of the failings of virtuous characters, in the terms which they really deferve, as faults and blemifhes; and if he deals in fiction, he will take care that thofe errors fhall produce inconveniencies which may deter others from
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from fimilar practices. Above'all, he will abftain from infulting the honoured form of Religion, either by ridiculing her doctrines, her inftitutions, and her minifters, by fly infinuations and oblique farcafms, which tend to degrade her in the eftimation of the public, or by openiy avowing the principles of Deifm. This attention to decency, to morals, and to Chriftianity, is ftrictly required from all who profefs themfelves to be members of any Chrittian communion. Even fuppofing them to be declaredly boftile to the church of England, they are bound to obferve the rules of a fair, open, honourable warfare, or they convert religion into a mere ftalking-borfe of faction. The moft malignant of our enemies dare not affert, that the abufes of the eftablifhment are equal to the abufes of irreligion; and no one, who is not a fecret friend to infidelity, will infidi-
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infidioully try to undermine that worfhip which is ufually allowed to be the Atrongeft bulwark againft the common enemy. If they think that our church is corrupted, let thern prove it to be fo by arguments fuited to the gravity and importance of the propofition. But let them not feek fome obfcure corner, fome dark ambulh, from which to fhoot out their envenomed arrows. What better term can we afford to thofe mifcellaneous productions which avarice and indigence continually obtrude upon the public, than that they are the dens of fedition and blafphemy? They attract attention by an alluring title and fplendid embellifhments. By difcuffing thofe temporary fubjects which engrofs general converfation, they obtain an extenfive circulation. They flatter vanity, difcontent, and calumny, by affording a really admifion to thofe anonymous attemp:s
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tempts which the writers have enough remaining modefty to blufh to avoro; and, by enabling their readers to tatk of what other people talk, they are fuppofed to communicate a valt deal of information. The knowledge which they really contain confifts of fort extracts from different authors on various fub. jects, without any arrangement or connexion.

I have already obferved, that it is impoffible to form a candid judgment of any perfon's character, or of any hiftorical event, without fome degree of chronological and geographical knowledge. Dr. Hey feverely cenfures a very eminent writer of ecclefiaftical hiftory, for a boyifh flippancy degrading to the character of a fcholar, in trying all men by the ftandard of modern improvement; which method, he fays, " tends to weaken all habitual reverei:ce,
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" and to deftroy whatever is eftablith" ed." It is with this view that biographical anecdotes are retailed in the works of which I am fpeaking ; for they do not aim at giving you clear fair ideas of preceding ages. They are felected at random from any writer, or any period; and the intention of them is, to imprefs on your mind the rapacity, cruelty, incontinence, perfidy, and punihment of conquerors, tyrants, and bigots; or elfe to make you enamoured of the virtues and heroic deeds of patriots and demagogues. Thefe are retailed from author to author, till the laft recital lofes all refemblance to the original ftory. Facts are defcribed as certain which are in reality doubtful, and the moft ambiguous authority is fpoken of as indifputable, if it will caft a dark hue over fome perfon who belonged to the higher orders of mankind; and equally fpurious
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rious records are explored, to elevate ruffians and banditti into the rank of worthies. No matier how abfurd the anecdote, or how contemptible the teftimony; if it does but unfettle received opinions, the end will be anfwered, and it is circulated with avidity.

I know of no perfon who has met with feverer treatment from thefe forymongers than Alexander the Great; and it is a fingular circumftance that the very actions by which he fought to obtain divine honours have now almoft degraded him to the clafs of demons.
" Ill-weaved ambition, how much art thou fhrunk!"
Mr. Pope led the way, and in one fhort couplet "damn'd him to everlafting "fame" for a madman. Dr. Hawkefworth joined the cry, and, by his celebrated
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urared parallel between him and Bagfhot, made him greatly inferior to a murderer and a bigbreayman. Scarcely a writer has fince iffued from the democratic fchool, who has not hurled a dart againft the " great Emathian con" queror, who fpared the houfe of Pin" darus." Even in thofe books which are appropriated to the inftruction of children, poor Alexander is gibbeted, not for his pafionate exceffes; nor for his indulging in brutal inebriety, from which faults admirable morals might be deduced, that would prove of general utility; but for what no one below the rank of a fovereign prince can attempt to imitate him in, his extenfive conquetts. Thefe lovers of freedom have furely forgotten the rapacity and ambition which prompted the fovereigns of A fia to attempt the fubjection of Greece; and alfo that they perfevered during
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during a long feries of years to harafs that country by every art of fecret fraud or open force: or elfe they deny a principle which is efteemed neceffary to the prefervation of all ftates, I mean the right of carrying war into an enemy's country.

In early life, Alexander was a model of generofity, temperance, and fortitude. His achievements were wonderful and unprecedented. His paffions were violent; youth is naturally inconfiftent and unreflecting; and, at an age when moft men are debating what part to choofe in life, he fubdued the moft powerful ftates that then exifted. Thus circumftanced, he was naturally furrounded by parafites, and it was but too probable that he would liften to their adulation. Such was the event; he fpurned the falutary check of felf-controul; he gave full fway to his lawlefs
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appetites; and it is conjectured, that, intoxicated by profperity, flattery, and continual excels, there were moments in which he really believed himfulf to be the fon of Ammon. His religion impofed no reftraints upon his actions. His "fabled Sire" was the mof licentious of beings; the God Bacchus enjoined debauchery, and both he and Hercules had obtained their thrones on Olympus by having marched victorious through the world. No principle then exifted which tended to reprefs ambition or the love of conqueft. It was, indeed, held criminal in Greece to attempt to fubvert the independence of free countries ; but to carry fire and fword ayainft barbarizins and $f$ laves was efteemed, not only lawful, but meritorious. The exprefions which Alexander uttered in a moment of fevere conflict, "O "Athenians! how much do I fuffer, Vol. III. M 's to
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" to gain your commendations!" proves, that his conquefts were conformable to the fentiments of the moft enligbtened part of the heathen world.

The ftory of this hero and the Thracian robber, which feems to imply that his aetions were then efteemed unjutifiable, is, I am told, founded on an anecdote of a Pirate, who held fuch a converfation with Alexander; but that it is preferved by an author who wrote at too great a diftance from the times of which he treated, to give much authenticity to the communication. The actions of Alexander mult not be julged by that comparatively jufter mode of thinking which was introduced into the world a little previous to the birth of Chrift, and which might probably be derived from the diffufion of the Jewifh fcriptures among the Pagans. At the time when this hero flourilhed, Greece was almort
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almoft the only part of the world that had made much progrefs in mental cultivation. The Tyrians were merely merchants and mechanics, and the defpotifm and luxurious effeminacy of the Perfian government mult have retarded "the noble growth of thought" in that extenfive empire. It would be ftill more unjuft to try this renowned conqueror by chriftian principles; and, indeed, if many of his cenfurers were not callous to the charge of inconfiftency, they would feel no right to require that he mould be judged by them; for, though this exalted criminal ftands in that predicament of kinghip which is fo inexcufable in their eyes, the initiated fo. phit, whom I have lately defribed to you, mult not admit that actions which cannot be condemned by the light of nature may be by that of revelation; for M 2
that
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that would be to admit that revelation is a furer guide.

The real practice of that candour of which we bear fo much, requires us to judge of every action by the degree of light which the actor poffeffed ; and this rule obliges us to confefs, that, fo far from the martial enterprizes of Alexander exciting the cenfure of his contemporaries, or being by them efteemed culpable, they gained him univerfal admiration and éfteen. It is worthy of remark, that, while every petty fcribbler is nibbling at his character, or execrating him for having been the troubler of the world, the very part of his conduct on which they generally faften (I mean his Indian expedition) has procured him the commendation of two of our moof judicious and learned writers, Dr. Robertfon and Dr. Vincent, who fee,
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fee, in his attempt to explore " the " golden Cherfonefe," great political fagacity, and commendable patriotifm. The inconfiftency of his detractors is as remarkable as their malignity; for they vilify him for what they always affect to praife, a defire to promote commercial advantages. His march towards the Indus was rather a journcy of difeovery than of conqueft; and the refearches of his fleet, which coafted along the fhore, were directed to the fame purpofe. Both tended to promote an object well worthy the ambition of an enterprifing enlightened prince; namely, to open a communication between his own country and the rich nations of the ealt.

There are, indeed, parts of Alexandel's character which are extremely cenfurable, being contrary to the light of nature, and to the principles which he had imbibed in his early youth.
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Alexander at Perfepolis and Babylon, bears little refemblance to Alexander wifiting his royal captives, or confiding in his accufed phyfician. But let us not convert a ufeful leffon, capable of general moral improvement, into an engine of democracy. As a man, Alexander may read lectures to impetuous youth on the fubject of violent anger, intemperance, and vanity. As a prince, he is not likely to be imitated by any fovereign of thefe times; nor would be, in the prefent flate of fociety, afford either a fafe or a prafticable model. To conclude this fubject : as there are very few preceptors that are called upon to tell princes their duty, it would be more judicious, in writers who engage in the line of education, to adapt their inftructions to the fituation of the inftructed, by enlarging on the obligations of fubjects.
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I have been led into this digreffion from a defire of illuhrating my remarks by an example of the mifreprefentations of which I complain. But to return to the fubject of the mifcellanies before alluded to: If what they borrow be either in itfelf cenfurable, or perverted by being mifplaced, what is original is ftill more highly dangerous. I know that there are exceptions to the charges which I am going to produce; but the major part of thefe publications are in the hands of people who are either the initiated adepts, or the credulous dupes, of that pefilent fect which has corroded the happinefs, difurbed the tranquillity, and corrupted the virtue of Europe. Under the pretence of free difcufion, they introduce fubjects that hould never be treated in an irreverent, unkilful manner. By a dogmatical afiertion, or a light farcafm, they convey to the minds
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of their readers that doubtful perplexity, or fecret contempr, which may give a laking taint to their principles. Learning would, indeed, inftantly detect the fallacy of the fe bold affertions, and confideration would defeat the irelevant indecent farcarm; but hould we be juftified in expecting learning and confuteration among a clafs of readers where, in the common order of things, they are very feldom found? Our new moralits have founded the depths of the capacities which they addrefs; and therefore they do not attempt a chain of arguments, proofs, and deductions. They hazard what they call " bold truths:" the found of thefe words is impofing; and, aware that the biographical and hiftorical departments of the work will correfpond with the critical and didactic, by bringing the paffions of their readers into the flate which they defire, they dafh on,
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without either fear or wifdom. Voli-bility, and a gloffy tinkling fyle, are the perfections at which they aim. The conclufions are always the fame ; namely, that the higher orders of mankind. are either knaves or fools; that poverty, wifdom, and virtue are fynonymous; and that gradations in rank and fortune are unjuft, tyrannical inftitutions, contrived by the rapacity of the few, and injurious to the welfare of the many. Thefe tenets are fo continually enforced' by democratical writers, and are fo intimately combined with their falfe code of morals and perverted fyftem of religion, that I wifh to examine with minute circumfpection the foundation on which they are built.

The unfophifticated mind, that has been early accuftomed to form its ideas and to regulate its principles by the volume of revelation, fees nothing inexM 5
plicable
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plicable or infupportable in thofe different conditions of mankind which fo forely difcompofe the proud and turbulent fpirit of the falfe philofopher. A very moderate undertanding, enlightened by fcriptural knowledge, and under the guidance of an honeft heart, may perceive that ineoualities of rank or fortune are in reality defigned rather for general than individual happinefs; for, as a man's poffefions enlarge, his cares, his occupations, and his duties, neceffarily expand. Nay, as inequalities in the face of nature produce not only a more agreeable profpect, but alfo a greater extent of furface ; fo in the moral world it may be proved, that the different degrees of high and low, rich and poor, actually make room for a larger number of human beings to fubfint in comfort, than could be the cafe if all were on the fame level. If the poor are neceflary to

## [251]

the tich, the rich are no lefs fo to the poor. No great works, either of public or private utility, could be carried on unlefs they were fupported by an efficient capital; and, labour being the prer man's wealth, if there were no commercial exchange to fupply him with the neceflaries of life for the ufe of that labour, he would perin for want. If property were equalized in this kingdom, which is fo thickly inhabited, the rich would be reduced to penury; but the fituation of the poor would not be improved. The little field which, in a regular diftribution of landed property, would be the portion of each family, would not afford the pooreft man that fupply of neceffaries which it is now in his. power to procure by a diligent, induftrious, application to fome agriculcural or mechanical employment. Nay more, from the defective fort of hufbandry M 6 which
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which the paverty of fuch petty occupants would afford, the earth would befound infufficient to fupply even a bare fcanty fubfiftence to the numerous beings who depend upon ic for fupport. This would be fucceeded by the ineviratle ruin of all arts, manufactures, and. fcience, and would complete the deflruction of the moft flourifining nation; reducing it, from an enviable ftate of greatnefs and opulence, to weaknefs, mifery, and abfolute barbarifin; from which latter fate no nation ever could have emerged, but through the flow operation of different talents, unequally imparted, but all working, from the motive of felf-aggrandifement, to the promotion of public good. For, as no one has the capacity of executing every thing well, it follows, that each perfon, by appropriating his particular powers to fome efpecial end, may acquire comparative.
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rative excellence in his peculiar calling; and thus the public at large will reap that advantage from united attainments which it never could acquire from folitary individual efforts. As thefe confiderations fufficiently exhibit the advantages whicli refult to fociety from inequality of condition, fo they not only pruve the moral neceffity of thofe diftinctions of which our fophifts complain, but alfo a phy. fical neceffity for their continuance. The working hand muft be too much occupied in its laborious tafk, to obtain that improvement which would enable it to occupy the place of the ruling head. So likewife, as it is impoffible to prove that the diverfities of human intellect are owing to accidental or local caufes, it muft ever happen, that, even in ftates conftituted (if it were poffible) according to this new fyftem, inequalities either of natural talents, or in the judicious application
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plication of them, muft continually caufe variations in external fituation; and thus, at the clofe of every generation, a frefh diftribution of property muft take place.

But it is ridiculous to adduce arguments to difprove a fyitem that would annihilate order, fecurity, talents, knowledge, exertion, property, virtue and happinefs: a fyftem, happily, fo totally impracticable that it never had any exiftence but in the crude imaginations of thofe fophits who, under the pretence of a love to natural equity, do not really wifh to eradicate wealth and power, but to tronsfer them from their lawful poffeflors to themfelves. I have already told you, that Rouffeau's love of paradox induced him to fuppofe, that man, originally, exitted in a ftate of perfect favagijn, as he ftyles it, deftitute of any language, connexion, habitation, or clothing ;
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clothing; ignorant of the ufe of fire, or of any inftrument of defence; unconfcious even of his parents or children; his dormant powers (or, as I fhould rather fay, his future perfectibility) fo enveloped by unobferving unreflecting ignorance, as not to be in any one degree fuperior to, or diftinct from, his brother animals in the foreft. Nay, I think he feems to infinuate, that we were originally monkies; from which fpecies wehave improved, or rather perverted our natures, till we arrived at our prefent: ftate: for you will be fhocked to hear, that the wild enthufialt pronounces this being, whom he has thus fabricated, happy and innocent, compared with man in a flate of fociety. What muft be the heart of that man who could form fuch an idea of his fellow-creatures? But yous mult obferve, that at the time of adopting this notion Rouffeau chofe to place happinefs
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happinefs in perfect liberty and total in dolence, and to call apathy and negligence virtues. It is unneceffary to demonftrate the infupportable wretchednefs of the fate which is above defcribed : Bleffed be God! it never abfolutely exifted.

We have never met with any race of beings fo totally infulated and deflitute. Yet Europeans have frequently penetrated into countries which, from the appearances of natural productions, and from the fcanty portion of inhabitants, may be proved to have been but very recently inhabited. In this, as in every other inftance, actual examination confirms the teftimony of divine revelation, which not only gives a decided contradiction to Rouffeau's chimeras, but prefents us with an account of the firt formation of human fociety, and the early inequality of condition, which, befides
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the confirmation of divine authority, poffeffes that internal evidence of probability which arifes from coincidence with the deductions of experience and found political wifdom. The hittory of the creation, the fall, the corruption of mankind, the deluge, the reftoration of the human race, the building of Babel, the confufion of languages, the difperfion of the fons of Noah, and their formation into difinct focieties and nations, were difclofed by Mofes to the people of Ifrael; or rather, under the immediate influence of divine infuiration, which enabled him to difeern truth from fallehood, he cobleated the traditions which his councrymen had received from their patriarchal ancefors, and framed them into one narrative. I have already fpoken of the divine miffion of Moles; and I queftion, whether the hardieft infidel, who now talks of the abfurdity and improbability

$$
[258]
$$

of thefe ftories, would have fufpended his affent when he faw Sinai trembling and kindling under the prefence of the defcending Deity, and the favoured Mofes alcending the burning mountain in fafety, while the reft were reftrained from touching ir, at peril of their lives.

I need not recapitulate what I have faid refpecting the care that was taken to preferve the writings in which the above accounts are contained uncorrupted by fubfequent interpolations. I will only obferve in this place, that the believer is not called upon to prove the fimilarity of thefe events to what now paffes in the world; for we acknowledge that they belonged to that feecies of miracles which, for particular reafons, interrupts the general courfe of Providence, as the other does to that which fuppends the order of nature. But, fo far from confidering it improbable that the Almighty
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Almighty fhould thus interfere in the early periods of the world, we think it would have been more miraculous, or, to ufe their favourite terms, abfurd and improbable, that he fhould not. Whoever ferioully believes that the earth and its firft inhabitants were created by divine power, and confiders how weak and ignorant man mult be while deftitute of the experience and the difcoveries of previous generations, cannot doubt the probability that the Deity would, like a tender provident parent, frequently give his directing affiftance to his helplefs offspring : fometimes interpofing by extraordinary vifitations of judgment and mercy, fometimes fpeaking to the human heart by p rereptible infpirations; not merely communicating future promifes and threatenings, but actually inflicing punifhments and beflowing rewards, not folely upon individuals,
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duals, but upon the whole human race. Such, in the antediluvian world, was the preference fhewn to Abel, the curfe of Cain, the felection of Seth, and the tranीation of Enoch : all which circumftances were admirably calculated to imprefs on the human mind the idea of a Theocracy, or divine government, by Shewing the Almighty actually interpofing with acts of regal power.

Nor is there any injuftice in God's punifling the race of thofe criminals who notoriounly tranfgreffed his avowed will. We know that ân irreligious education generally tends to make people irreligious, and that depravity often runs through feveral generations, It is often affirmed, "That God will vifit the "fins of the fathers upon the children;" but it is never added, even if the children were innocent of their father's fins, In the race of Cain and Canaan, we
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know that the defcendants of thofe guilty men were notorioully wicked, audacious, and profane. If any of them efcaped the general contamination, we know, as well from natural perception as from revealed religion, that they would either be refcued from the impending punifhment in this life, or rewarded for their virtue in the next. The abfurdities and improbabilities with which deifts charge revelation arife from their own perverle ftyle of argument. They fay that the light of nature difcovers a future ftate; and yet, when they treat of the narrative part of fcripture, they always affect to confider the prefent life as if it was the liwit of human being. They talk of the bleffing of exiftence, they who by fuicide are continually proving that it is often an infupportable burden. They talk of the injuftice of the God of revelation, who, they fay, is defcribed as punifi-
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ing, torturing, and exterminating his creatures; and yet, when they bring forward their fyttem of morality, they fay that virtue is perfected by pain and conflict, and vice reproved by the natural evils which it occafions. They acknowledge (at leatt many of them do) that there muft be another life to remedy the inadequate difpenfation of good and evil which takes place in this. Why then do they doubt that the Creator of the univerfe, of whom they profefs to entertain fuch an exalted conception, will, in fome of thofe numberléfs worlds which were formed by his hand and are governed by his eye, beftow a full beatitude on thofe innocent victims whom the great prefcripts of his univerfal government either circumsiribed in their temporal exiftence, or rendered miferable by the infiction of fome general calamity, defigned as an admonitory
example
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example to the reft of their fpecies? Will not the brightnefs of an ever-during day compenfate for the cloud which hung over their morn of being? Can the fufferings of a tranfitory ftate inflict a wound which cannot be healed in immortality? Can the foul, during the few years of its imprifonment in its clay tenement, fuftain injuries that everlating happinefs cannot compenfate? Be juft, thou man of reafon! to thine own prnciples. Ceafe to tax revelation with giving an inconfiftent idea of the divine atrributes; or elle renounce that expeetation of futurity which thou fayeft nature and reafon have difcovered; but of which thou, indeed, deriveft thy knowledge from that revelation which thou ungratefully abufert.

Thefe reflections on the divine government hould be deeply imprinted upon our minds before we fpeculate on
the
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the formation of fociety, and the confequent divifion of mankind into rich and poor, fubjects and rulers. The illuftrations that the book of Genefis affords us in thefe particulars are extremely fimple and coricife; which circumftances may be efteemed internal marks of its great antiquity. We may conceive that man, at his firft creation, would poffers a greater degree of moral and phyfical perfection than of mental improvement or fcientific intelligence. We read of the invention of fome ufeful and elegant arts feven generations after Adam; and it is humbling to human pride to confider, that at this period the corruption of mankind had rifen to fuch a tremendous height, that the divine forbearance " would no longer ftrive with man." " Jabal was the father of fuch as dwell " in tents and have cattle; Jubal the ". father of thofe who handle the harp " and
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" and organ: Tuoal Cain an inftructer " of every artificer in brafs and iron; " with his fifter Naamhah." Thefe, the Mercury, Apollo, Vulcan, and Venus, as it is believed, of the new warld, perifhed, doubtlefs, in that awful defo. lation which annihilated the old. All that we know of the hiftory of the antediluvian world is comprifed in a few fhort chapters. Its cataftrophe proves that its manners were dreadfully depraved; and it is believed to have been generally idolatrous, with the fingle exception of the family of Noah. Its government is fuppofed to have been patriarchal, becaufe its chronology is determined, not by the rife of empires, nor the reigns of fovereigns, but by the lives of the heads of each generation that intervened between Adam and Noah. This form of government was preferved for fome generations after the deluge. It could only Vol. III.

N
be
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be falutary in that flate of fociety in which mankind were pure and fimple in their manners, and while a deep fenfe of religious awe and filial reverence preferved them from the grofs abufes which the mildnefs of parental auchority would want coercion to reftrain. Perhaps this may be one reafon for the enormous wickednefs of the antediluvians. We know, that the chofen people were never fo flagitious as when "there was no king " in Ifrael, but every man did.that " which was right in his own eyes;" and I cannor help obferving, that, notwithflanding the partiality which our fophifts affect for the patriarchal inftitutions, their contempt for religion, and avowed abjuration of parental authority, would render them very improper fubjects for this mode of government. But to return:

The
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The creation, the fall, and the deluge, make, as I have before obferved, a part of the traditionary records of almoft all nations. The building of Babel is plainly alluded to in the hiftory of the giants" war; and every diftinct nation that exifts on the face of the earth is a pofitive witnetis of the confufion of tongues, and the difperfion of the fons of Noah. I would wih you to compare the amuling but fanciful tales of heathen mythology with the plain dignified narrative of fripture. Here Pelion is not piled upon Olympus, nor does Jupiter launch his thunders againft the devaftating Titans. "Go to," fay the fons of men; " let us build us a city and a tower, and " let us make us a name, left we be " fcattered abroad upon the face of " the whole earth : And the Lord faid, " "Behold, the people is all one, and they "have all one language, and this they
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"begin to do; and now nothing will be " reftrained from them which they have " imagined to do. Goto; let us go " down, and there confound their lan"g guage, that they may not underfand "each other's fpeech." So the Lord " fcattered them abroad from thence "over the face of the whole earth." Such was the refult of the firft confpiracy of human pride, not to eradicate the knowledge of the Supreme Being from the face of the earth, but to counteract the purpofes of his moral government; for we may prefume, though the foriptures are filent upon the fubject, that this prefumptuous act of rebellion had been preceded by fome intimation, that it was the purpofe of the Almighty, that the fons of men fhould emigrate into diftant countries, and people the whole earth. Confounded by a miracle 6
which
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which difplayed divine puiffance and human weaknefs in the moft marked and appropriate manner, the rebellious tribes, who had harboured the impious defign of becoming indpendent of their Maker, forfook their native refidence in the plains of Armenia, and progreffively journeyed toward the regions which they were compelled to inhabit ; not folitarily, but in tribes and families; not ignorant of language, but each divifion feaking their peculiar dialect; not uninftructed in the ufe of fire, but acquainted with its advantages for many ages; not Arangers to " relations dear, " and all the charities of father, fon, ", and brother," but, from the circumftances of the government to which they had been hitherto accuftomed, taught to regard thefe facred ties with a Atrength of attachment which our different habits will hardly permit us to conceive. They
carried
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carried with them a traditionary knowledge of the true God, of the creation, and, above all, of that recent event the deluge. That awful infliction of divine wrath, and the defeat of their rebellious projects in the plains of Shinar, impreffed their minds with more fear than love of the Almighty; and hence we may account for the unworthy and horrible ideas which many nations entertained of the Deity. We have reafon to believe, that no future revelation was made to any of the human race, except to one branch of the favoured family of Shem. All, therefore, that the Heathen world retained of religion mult either be derived from the mere light of nature, or from the ufages and inftructions which Noah had imparted to his defcendants. As the general traditions of the corruption of the human race, the deluge, and the almoft univerfal ufe of
facrifices,
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facrifices, could not have been fuggefted by the deductions of reafon, we mult afcribe them to the latter fource.

With the information that I have already noted, thefe fugitives carried with them the knowledge of feveral ufefu! arts. Thofe which were invented immediately before the flood were, doubtlefs, preferved in the family of Noah. Agriculture was underftood and practifed, or why did the Holy Spirit promife a perpetuity of feed-time and harveft? We find too from a lamentable inflance of human frailty, that Noah was not only a hufbandman, but that he alfo planted a vineyard, and was acquainted with the ufe, or rather with the abufe, of wine. We know, and in this inftance alfo from the depravity of the world, that the difperfed tribes knew enough of mechanic arts to erect vaft
edifices;
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edifices; and, in two generations after, we hear of ancient Nineveh, Refen, and Calah, which were ftyled great' cities. The prefervation of their immediate progenitors in the ark muft have made them in fome degree acquainted with navigation; not indeed with that art fufficiently to have enabled them to explore the feas which feparated diftans regions, for this was a difcovery that took place at a late period; but they mult have been capable of conftructing velfels adequate to the purpofe of fording a river, or coafting round a fhore. What elfe they might underftand we cannot now afcertain ; but you muft difcover an immenfe difference between the real founders of the different nations of the earth, fetting out from the fpot which; was fignalized by their rebellion and humiliation, and travelling
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velling by llow degrees to their deftined refidence, and the aboriginal ourang-outangs of Rouffeau.

Inttead, therefore, of watting your time to inquire, whether we really went at firft upon all-fours, and how many ages might elapfe prior to our firft difcovering our power to communicate our thoughts by. fpeech; your mind may be more profitably exercifed in a moft ample field of gratifying difcuffion. You will concrive that fome of thefeemigrants, meeting with few difficulties, and foon acquiring a convenient habitation, improved and cultivated the arts which they poffeffed, and invented more: and you will trace in thefe the firft founders of the mighty Affyrian empire. You will fuppofe others, either from fome greater degree of depravity, or from fome local caufes, degenerated into the wildnefs of favage barbarifm. Y.ou $\mathrm{N}_{5}$
will.
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will difcover colonies of a peaceable gentle character extending over the vaft tract of India, impelled and guided by the hand of Providence, till they arrive at the eaftern extremity of Afia; where, for almoft immemorial ages, the Chinefe have boafted of aftonifhing perfection in mechanical arts, and a fyftem of policy rigorous and authoritative in. deed to a very great degree, but wife, well-compacted, and conducive to public good. At a far lefs diftance from the fpot which "cradled the human race," you will contemplate the Tartar hordes, and all the fierce Sarmatian tribes, finking into a fate of brutal ferocity, and favage privation of the comforts, and fometimes of the neceffaries of life; in which ficuation they have continued above three thoufand years. While naturalifts are puzzled to define the effect of climate, foil, habit, and various other local
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circumftances, I truft you will never ref in fuch explanations, but difcern, through the medium of fecond caufes, the guiding and regulating hand of God.

Not knowing where to point out a fubject that affords fuch fatisfactory, and, I might add, improving reflections, I will leave you to follow with your imagination the fathers of the human race, and the founders of empires, in their firt efforts at eftablifhing their refpective fettlements. Only take fcripture for your guide, and beware of indulying any chimeras on fubjects which have been plainly detailed in the facred volume. Where it no longer condefcends to inftruct us, we muft abide by human teftimony; where that alfo fails, we may with modeft diffidence cautioully enter on the wide fea of conjecture, which may N 6 lead
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Iead us to truth, but which oftener conducts us to error. How blind and rafh mult they be, who reject the evidence of infpiration, and, after all, cannot fabricate a plaufble fyttem to fupply its place!

I muft refume this fubject in my next Letter; till then, my dear child, adieu, \& .
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## LETTER XVI.

MY DEAR SON,
'T He prefent form of fociety, or, as it is moft invidioufly termed, the unjut inequality of condition, which at this time fublifts in the world, is fuch a favousite topic with our fyftem-xenders, that we muft beftow a confiderable degree of attention upon, it: though, indeed, the folly and impiety of their objections to it are notorious. The epithets, which I have juft ufed are not however, infeparable; for folly is not always fo abfurd as to become impiety. Give her a cap and bells, land let her flake her rattle at ,fubjects that are within ther narrow fphere, and we will not only laugh
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laugh at her, but with her. A goodhumoured, well-meaning, lively, filly perfon, is not only innocent, but almort a miable; for his good qualities excite affection, or, at lealt, foften contempt into tendernefs and pity. But when impiety lifts its voice againft its Maker, we know that this is folly in a diabolical form, and contempt increafes into dereftation.

- Such is the fentiment which I know you mult feel for that pretended conjeftural wifdom which affects to fet ưp againft revelation, not merely by openly denying its authenticity, but by fectetly fapping its foundation: The affertion, that all moral evils flow from the inequality of mankind, and" that this inequality proceeds' from the tyrannous ufurpations of the rich, the powerful, and "defigning, is afcribable to this foutce. If there be a truth plainly afo firmed
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firmed in fcripture, it is, that thefe inequalities are conformable to, and in confequence of, the will and declaration of our Divine Creator. And if there be a truth in morals, or an axiom in politics, which may be confided in, it is, that this very execrated inequality conduces to the improvement of our virtues, the confirmation of our fecurity, and, confequently, to the increafe of general happinefs.

I would not be fo mad as to attempt an argument with thofe who maintain that man was happier without clothes, fpeech, dwelling, or idea, fcampering about' the woods, and feeding upon berries and acorns, than he is now, in a well-regulated civilized fociety. I need not, 1 am fure, recall to youri mind the Atrong fenfations of pity and horror which you expreffed at reading in Commodore Byron's Narrative, fome years
ago,
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ago; the wretched fate of the inhabitants of Terra del Fuego; and yet thefe people, though the moft deffitute miferable favages on the face of the earth, had advanced far beyond the monkey ftate, and were too much perverted to be claffed with Rouffeau's happy denizens of nature:

I know that you conceive yourferf obliged to thofe who reclaimed our inand from its priftine barbarity; and you would be forry that Weifhaupt and his illuminati hould force you to return to the hairy tunic, and meandering fains of woad juice, which were the decorations of your anceftors. Our houfes, notwithftanding the enormous window-tax, are preferable to living in woods; and, though tithes are faid to be an abominable impofition; yet no iphilofopher can deny - that prieftcraft: appears now in a more tolexable fhape than when Stonehenge re-echoed.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
281
\end{array}\right]
$$

re-echoed the fhrieks of human vietints offered in dreadful facrifice to the Mo loch of Druidifm. My thoughts have hurried me away; let me retrace the clue of my argument.

One of the propofitions which are attempted to be eftablifhed, in order to annihilate the prefent ftate of things, is, that all men are born equal and free. Religion, law, policy, and experience, difprove this affertion. I have before obferved, that men are not born equal either in ftrength, health, underftanding, or in any phyfical, mental, or moral quality. We are all born dependent, firft on our Creator, next on our'natural parents, laftly on the fociety which extends its protection over our perfons and property. With refpect to this latter obligation, the fituation of an outlawe and exile, from whom its parent ftate withdraws its fupport, is, by the general voice
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voice of nature, confeffed to be moft deplorable and calamitous: how bitterly does the firft murderer bewail this part of his fentence! "My punifhment," fays the wretched vagabond, " is greater than "I can bear. Behold, thou haft driven " me this day from the face of the earth, " and from thy face fhall I be hid, and "I fhall be a fugitive in the earth." How often has the banifhed outcaft repeated this foul-harrowing lamentation! An exclufion from the comforts of fociety appears to be a deprivation which even innocence can hardly fupport. The feelings of the folitary inhabitant of a defert inand are delineated with admirable force by the mafterly pen of Cowper. Where is the heart that would not exclaim with his Alexander Selkirk,

> "Society, friendmip, and love,
> "Divinely implanted in men?"
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And yet thefe are the bleffings which we are required to abandon, for a ftubborn proud independence, neither fuited to our condition as fubjects nor as men. Should we much mittake if we afcribe this manifett perverfion of the generous love of freedom to a cold depravity of heart, and a fufpenfion of every noble, tender feeling in the bofom of thofe who would perfuade mankind to break the general bond of vital union, and to live for themfelves alone? Can their pretenfions to feeling and fympathy be any thing more than pretenfion, who defcribe human life as a perpetual conflict of ftormy paffions, oppofing interefts, and finifter defigns? Where did Rochefoucault learn his selfigh fplenetic fyftem, that induced him to defcribe human life as a ftate of contention, envy, and enmity, in which every hand is armed againtt the happy and the fortunate,
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tunate, and mifery becomes the orly paffport to affection. Thefe are, indeed, the conclufions which may be deduced from the infinuation that the great ones of the earch are the illegal, oppreffive, tyrannical tormentors of their fellow-creatures, and that the poor have a lawful right to all the enjoyments of affluence. Very different is the view that feripture prefents. We are there told, that " the rich and the poor meet " together, and that the Lord is the " maker of them all." The differences of ftation and enjoyment, which are infeparably attendant on the fyftem of human affairs, will not extend beyond the grave. :Such diftinctions will not be neceffary in our immortal flate, and that ftate is alike open to every human being.

I would not affect that ftyle of foical apathy which, infenfible to the fufict-
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ings and privations of the poor, confiders the general ftock of happinefs as diftributed with a regular even hand. I know, that in this world many fuffer much and enjoy little, while others are folacing themfelves with delight. I know the anxious perplexities of poverty; the. harafs of perpetual care; and the pangs of difeafe; nor could a thoufand philo-; fophers argue me out of my conviction, that wealth and power are real advantages. They are, in fact, entrufted talents; and, as fuch, their poffeffor muft give a AriEf account of them: They are neceffary in the prefent ftage of exiftence, and their cafual abuje is no argument againft their general expediency. If the poor man fuffers more than the rich, he incurs a lefs fearful refiponfibility. If he has lefs opportunity of enjoying the fublime pleafures of benevolence, his "powerlefs wif"" (to ufe the energetic words
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words of Johnfon) will be recorded. The thorny rough path which he treads has lefs of pleafure, but it has alfo lefs of danger. Goldfmith, in the pathetic fermon which is contained in the Vicar of Wakefield, argues, from the force of contraft, that Heaven will afford a more exquifite enjoyment to the poor and deftitute, than it will to the luxurious and happy. This fanciful idea dwells too much on the material delights of a splendid paradife; but there is fome juftice in the remark. However, without indulging any fentiment that might lead to an invidious comparion, the poor man has folid comfort in knowing, that the virtues of meeknefs, patience, indultry, refignation, and trult in Providence, which his fituation requires, will enfure him prefent peace and eternal happinefs. He has long been taught to confider the rich and powerful as his natural fupe-
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riors, and as entitled to the rank which they hold by the fanctions of divine and human laws. No envious heart-burnings, no dangerous difcontents, no machinations of fecret fraud, no defperate actions of open violence, will refult from this view of things. That Gofpel, which is his principal comfort while "s he tra"vels through a barren and thirfty " land," tells him, that thefe very fuperiors are intended by Providence to be his protectors and friends; and if they fail in their duty, they mult feverely anfwer for fuch neglect at the bar of his Heavenly Father, who will never turn away from the "cry of the poor def" titute."

Such are the alleviations which religion has introduced, to counterpoife the evils incident to a low ftation. I have already fhown the neceffity that there is for this inequality, and the advantages
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aḍvantages which refult from it. ns They admirably prove the, valt forefight and comprehenfive views of Providence, who makes even the failings of man conducive to general advantage. Avarice employs its accumulated fores in fome extenfive undertaking, its defign is, to increafe its own capital ; but by fo doing it contributes to the comforts and necerfities of thoufands. Luxury contrives a fcene of elegant enjoyment; difipation is the end at which itamen abue: it gives employment to all the bufy fons: of trade. Oftentation plans the magnificent edifice, and embellinhes his extenfive pleafure-grounds; but from the effect of his vanity,
"Health to himfelf, and to his infants bread, "The lab'rex béars.?

Even agriculture, the moft independent of all employments, courts the protection
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tion of opulence: no mechanical invention, no manufacture could fubfilt without it, and the fciences would droop but for its foftering care. Without the patronage and munificence of the great, no liberal art could obtain perfection; and, as nations always increafe in number when bleffed with a good government and equitable laws, a diverfity of employments mult. be invented and encouraged, as well to procure fubfiftence for the individual, as to prevent the general diforder which would refult from idlenefs, that fruitful parent of mifery and confufion.

Labour, my dear child, like all the otherchaltifements of Providence, carries with it a bleffring. Yet fome men have a perverfe dinike to occupation; and we fee too many evils incident to a flate of liftlefs indolence, to wifh that its fombrous influence fhould have the power Vol. III.

O
of
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of being extended over the moft numerous ofders of fociety. I have heard that it is a maxim in China, that if any one be idle in ore part of the kingdom, fon ebody mult farve in another; for, they fay, the general good requires that every member of foriety fhould be actively occupied. Nature not affording any spare fubfiftence for the maintenance of drones. There is much found wiflom in this maxim, and Chriftians ought to adopt it on higher principles.

Nothing is more abfurd, or more contradictory to good fenfe and experience, than the fuppofition, that a fate of inaction is a flate of enjoyment. Only look at all the idle people whom you know, and you will find them, whatever their exterior fituation may be, conftantly unhappy. You know that I am not fpeaking of thofe who dedicate their time to " lettered eafe," or to the cultivation of
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fome elegant accomplifhment; for I admire (I with I could fay that I do not envy) their judicious choice of occupation, and the bappy competence which has enabled them to make it. But are the minds of the bulk of mankind fo admirably conflituted as to be Safely entrufted with the privilege of leifure? What is the general holiday of the populace? may we not anfwer, Riot and inebriety? What is the retirement of the citizen? too commonly animal enjoyment, and mental imbecility. I will not include, in my lift of unhappy idlers, all thofe vainly bufy mortals who, having no affairs of their own to tranfact, kindly beftow their unwearied folicitude in fuperintending thofe of others; becaufe, though the utility of their employment is not fo indifputable, no packhorfe is fo laborioully employed; and they never can feel the infupportable weight of time, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ but
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but in a total dearth of fcandal, or in the utter impoffibility of forming a little fnug party; which events are, I know, of rare occurrence.

I would direct your atention to that very pitiable race of beings who, wanting energy to enlift in the corps of goffips, are employed during their whole lives in killing that monfter Time; and, though they moft effectually contrive to murder him day after day, yet they find the invincible monfter alive again, and their toil, in confequence, renewed every fucceeding morning. If thefe miferable objects mix in the polite plea= fures of the world, they go yawning from one public place to another, like Mr. Meadows in "Cecilia;" and, though they conftantly find every amufement " infufferably fatiguing," yet, with the fortitude of determined marryrs, they voluntarily endure it,
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father than be tormented with what is worfe, themfelves. If they exift in retirement, they become victims to every difeafe and every misfortune that they have ever heard of; the fure figns of all of which, their difordered imaginations find to be plainly difcernible in their own perfons. No wonder that the filence of their habitations is feldom interrupted by the found of cheerful voices. The manfion of ennui is literally a peft-houfe. Whoever enters it catches the difeafe. A fymptomatic pain in the foot of the vifited brings a correfpondent ache in the fhoulder of the vifitor; and the faucy ingratitude of fome fervant, whom the fluggard permitted to dupe him, gives rife to many a doleful narrative of fimilar ftratagems and fpoils, which banifhes every idea of comfort from the compaffionate quiet fet, that had affembled to
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amufe the poor valetudinarian. I have often been amufed by obferving, that even the determined volubility of a lady goffip, full charged with moft interefting intelligence, has been unable to refift the dolours of the houfe of fpleen; and though, like the fcold in the comedy of Amphitryon, the made a moft refolute defence, her tongue has been gradually charmed to filence by a narrative more foporific than the wand of Mercury. What a terrible blank has her countenance then exhibited!

You know that I am too apt to belong to the family of the Lack-a-dayficals; and I fufpect you of having fome confitutional alliance with the fame fraternity; but it is not idlenefs that enables either of us to tell the "fecrets of "the prifon-houfe." As to the cure of this (I hope in fome degree) bodily diforder, I perfectly agree with the poet,
[295]
poet, that nothing is fo falutary as air and exercife:
> "To cure the mind's wrong bias fpleen,
> " Some recommend the bowling-green;
> " Some hilly walks; all exercife.
> "Throw but a ftone, the giant dies."

Various are the devices which the idle of all ranks contrive, to get rid of themfeives; and many of their fchemes are as laborious and fatiguing as the daily tafk of the peafant. But as thefe are reforted to more to prevent than to occupy thought, the malady is ratber increafed than cured. From their conduct we may derive a moft importane advantage, and learn to thank Providence that employment is impofed upon the generality of mankind by an abfolute yet falutary neceffity. Toil fweetens repofe. Occupation renders leifure delightful. They who never
$\mathrm{O}_{4}$ know
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know what exertion means, lofe the moft exquilite part of enjoyment. So far from reft being the chief good of man, the configuration of his body, and the faculties of his mind, prove that he is formed for action. The two revelations which we have received from Heaven, and the tendency of all human laws, are not addreffed to flothfui drones, but to man in the ftate of exerting his natural powers. He is prefuppofed to be in astion, and the divine and the legiflator are alike folicitous to prevent him from doing what is evil, and to Rlimulate him to do what is right.

But though employment, either of the head or of the hands, is wholefome exercife, and alike invigorating to the underftanding and to the mufcular fy ftem, I am ready to allow, that excefive unremitting exertion muft be injurious. Yet habit, that grand alleviator of human ills, recon-
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ciles us to burdens which we at firf deemed infupportable. Do not fuppofe that a perfon's lot muft be miferable, becaufe you think that you could not fupport it. In this free country, very few people are forced to remain in employments contrary to their own determinations; and we daily meet with men who are attached to the molt laborious and dangerous; nay, it feems as if fuch occupations communicated the mof immpaffioned preference. I may inflance this, among fea-faring people, whofe " hair" breadth 'fcapes" endear them to an element which we land-lubbers deem fo very tremendous. You will in this place remember the Greenlander's relifh of train oil, and admire the mercy and the wifdom of that Power, who provides for the happinefs of all his creatures, even while he confults their improvement. How deteftable are thofe pre$\mathrm{O}_{5}$ tended.
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tended reafoners, who would deprive a fellow-creature of an innocent prejudice, or a falutary partiality, which conduces to his happinefs, or abates the ruggednefs of his path in life.

When you meet with a perfon diffatisfied with his condition, and aggravating the inconveniencies of his occupation, it is at leaf an even chance that his regret proceeds from natural indolence, and a defire to do nothing. Such a one readily clings to the fophifms of the new philofophy, and is extremely defirous of fuch a change in affairs as would enable him to fay, " Soul, take thy eafe; eat, "drink, and be merry." Miftaken Man! the change which is offenfibly propofed would, as I have before obferved, only impofe upon you a neceffity for harder labour, and a certain deprivation of your prefent comfort. But the alteration really intended would in-
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deed effect a complete tranfpofition, by removing your prefent fuperiors, and by making the defperate and the worthlefs lords over you. The idle cannot hope to rife. Thofe who want exertion mult always be dependent. Thore who now occupy eminent fations, owe their rank either to their own vigilance and talents, or to thofe of their anceftors; and ic will be difficule for their competitors to convince the world that they have a right to difplace them, unlefs they can produce a better title, than that they are very fpare, and are anxious to become full.

The defire of poffefing as large a portion of the good things of this world as we can acquire with a clear confcience is fo natural, that I fuppofe it to be one of the ideas which are implanted in our mind as ftimulants to honelt induftry. This fentiment, when fanctified by
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religion, and reftrained by benevolence from degenerating into worldly felfifhnefs, forms an amiable, ufeful, and exalted character. But honeft induftry is a virtue that is fadly out of fafhion. Our dafhing men of fieirit hate flow creeping ways of acquiring property. They muft Arike fome capital ftroke; fet fortune, integrity, happinefs, every valuable confideration, upon one chance; and either become great people, or, in their own language, notbing: I fhould ufe a different term. The fpirit of rah adventure is one of the features of the times, and is derived from that fatal fyftem which defpifes the bounds of propriety, ard laughs at the plain dictates of probity. Falfe ideas of property have given rife to a notion, refpecting credit, which militates againtt the juftice of the laws of England, and all our innate ideas of moral honefty. You know
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know that the creditor poffeffes a power over the perfon of his debtor; and if the latter cannot, or will not, difcharge his pecuniary obligations, he may confine him in prifon. All human inftitutions, my dear Thomas, admit of this principle. If the good of the individual and that of the gublic come in competition, the latter muft predominate. As far as we can judge of the plans of Providence, its general courfe is conducted by fimilar rules. Only in this it has a manifelt fuperiority over the finite wifdom of man, that it can render misfortune beneficial to the perfon who actually: fuffers it.

With refpect to the laws of our country in the inftance now under confideration, credit is the foul of a commercial nation; and, unlefs the lender had a legal claim to reftitution, or to the punifhment of thofe who refufe it, he
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would certainly withhold his pecuniary affiftance. The confequence would be, that, for one honeft man now lying in a gaol, perhaps ten induftrious families might be deprived of a loan which would have been the means of procuring thema decent fupport. For, be not fo far mifled by that falfe candour which I before reprobated, as to fuppofe that of the thoufands of debtors who are now languifhing in our prifons, the generality, the majority, or even a confiderable part, are compofed of men of integrity, the vittims of undeferved misfortune. They are principally compofed of the idle, the extravagant, and the unprincipled; a defcription of people who imagine themfelves licenfed to prey upon the public, who are diffatisfied with the allotment of Providence, and who had refolvto enjoy thofe indulgencies which they had no juft means to procure.

Among
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Among the number of truly pitiable captives, you will find many that have fallen victims to the above-named pefts of fociety, who difipated the earnings of honeft induftry in riot and extravagance, or impofed on the confidence of unfufpicious honefty, and then either involved their creditors in the fame fate with themfelves, or by flight or chicane transferred the punifhment which they themfelves deferved, to the dupes of their difhonefty. Nor can our reftrictive ftatutes prevent fuch abufes, which can only be remedied by individual regard to the dictates of morality. They cannot, however, be abrogated without caufing greater injuftice, or, to ufe a very fafhionable phrafe, more oppreffion and cruelcy.

A fevere, inexorable, rigid creditor, is not a common character in England; but the good-humoured, thoughtlefs, infinuating 。
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infinuating fpendthrift, or, as we might often juftly call him, the unprincipled fwindler, gains ground: I fhould, however, be forry to fee him authorized by law. Ever while you live atcend to this noble precept, Be juft before you pretend to be generous; and never join in applauding that perverfion of truth and morals which gives precedence to the latter quality. What you give mult be your own, or how can you be faid to give it? and what you owe is not your property, but your creditor's. What equivocal meannefs is there in the boafted literality of Charles Surface! Could no better man be found, to be fet in oppofition to a hypocrite? 'Tis plain that the new fchool is ill provided with worthies and heroes. The man who gives away the property which he has fraudulently procured has the difhonefty, withou: the courage, of a highwayman:
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and he who incurs a debt which he knows he cannot difcharge, lofes all pretenfions to the character of integrity, unlefs he feriounly applies himfelf, by the moft fedulous exertions and the moft rigid frugality, to repay the obligation.

I have often obferved, that our modern phiiofophers have no ftoical contempt for the advantages of wealth, power, or even rank. They are not, like Fabricius, enamoured of poverty; and the empty barrel of Diogenes would not allure them to choofe it for their refidence. They ftimulate the fovereign people to affert their fupremacy, and to difpoffers their prefent inafters, from the expectation that talent will then have fair play, and, the prefent privileged orders being difpoffeffed, new ones will be conftituted, who will immediately drive back his majefty the people into the ftate which he occupied previous to
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his tranfent exaltation. To promote their defign of felf-aggrandifement, they affirm that the poor have no intereft in the prefent order of things. In this favoured realm of England they have the Atrongeft intereft, I mean a conviction that while it lafts they flall never want a fubfiftence: Not a luxurious one indeed, for nature' does not produce food in fuch fuperabundance as to allow fuperfluities to many; but a fubffence proportioned to aEtual want. No preflure of public calamity will interrupt this fupply; and it is placed immediately within the reach of the aged, the infirm, the fick, the helplefs, and all who are unable to derive fupport from their own exertions. This is a real property, which is fecured to them by (Thall I fay) a mortgage of all the landed offates in the kingdom; and to this is added perfonal freedom and protection. Thefe united caufes render
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the fituation of the Britifh peafant happy and enviable to a degree unexampled in the hiftory of other nations.
Befide this certain and unalienable right to fupport, it is generally acknowledged, that a greater fhare of the gratuitous bounty " which wealth beftows " on want" falls to the fhare of the poor and needy of this kingdom, than in any other part of the world. Whether it proceeds from the principles of religion being better underftood, and more univerfally diffufed, or from a trait of national character called good-nature, I know not; but fo it is, that Englifh humanity, generofity; and liberality, are univerfally acknowledged, even by thofe who envy our fuperiority, or who affect to ridicule our eafy credulity and pliability. May we long preferve this honourable diftinction! The virtues which are afcribed to us are the virtues of angels,
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angels, the imputed errors are only the imperfections of men.

Let me recapitulate what I have faid. The poor in England have the fame civil rigbts with their fellow-citizens. The law makes no diftinction, for all are alike protected; the gofpel of Chrift makes none, for all are alike invited to happinefs. Labour is not a curfe, but employment is a bleffing. The welfare of the body politic requires a gradation of rank; bur thofe who are allotted to the humbler offices are not degraded by that defignation. On the concrary, there is not a more refpectable being than a fober, honeft, induftrious, religious poor man. In whatever obfcure corner he may exift, he is fure to attract efteem, and, generally fpeaking, friendly affiltance. Like the reft of his countrymen, he has a real property in the labour of his own hands; or, fhould that refource
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refource be inadequate to his wants, in parochial fupport; and it is moft probable that he may look to the farther aid of gratuitous bounty. Thefe fources will not, indeed, fupply him with luxuries, but he has been only taught to require neceffaries. Happy would it be, if thofe who have fufficient wealth to procure a fuperfluity of animal enjoyments would more generally liften to the voice of temperance, reafon, and religion, and, by a prudent, as well as a Cbrifian felf-denial, preferve themfelves from the torments of difeafe and felfreproach. But hould a fyftem of luxurious indulgence defcend to the lower orders of fociety, fhould they, infatuated by the infidious arts of thofe who pretend to pity their hard fare and few enjoyments, acquire a relifh for what they cannot honefly procure, the main fpring will be broken, and the machine
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muft ftop. Even if we efcaped iminediate deftruction by famine, the firm hardihood, the patience, the enterprizing activity, the mulcular force, and numberlefs other properties which are acquired in the fchool of plain fufficiency, would gradually difappear.
If we confider the characterifics of our Englifh noblemen, we fhall find reafon to acquiefce in the decifions of that wifdom which divided the nations of the earth into great and fmall. The middle ranks of fociety in this kingdom owe efpecial obligation to the efforts of a generous, high-fpirited, liberal arifocracy. I have already mentioned M. De Lolme's obfervation, to the honour of "the Barons of old," that they imparted to their dependents and vaffals the immunities and privileges which they obtained from the crown; meliorating the condition of others in proportion as their
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own was improved. The conduct of our nobles at the Revolution was the moft firm, dignified, and public-fpirited, that can be conceived; and the bill of rights was the genuine offspring of the fame virtue which procured the Great Charter from king John. If we turn our eyes to the prefent behaviour of our privileged orders, we fhall find them, generally fpeaking, the friends, the patrons, and the benefactors of their humble neighbours, the encouragers of ufeful and elegant arts, and the fupporters of their country's honour and independence. I wifh not to be thought a profeffed eulogitt; and I am willing to allow, that the condefcenfion and affability which moflly diftinguilh the manners of the great, may not always proceed from real benevolence, but from a defire of acquiring popularity, arifing from that neceffary attention to fubordi-
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nate claffes which our wife infitutions create, by making the fuffrages of the many effential to promote the ambitious views of the ferw. The fecret motive which prompts the affluent to give or to patronize, will make an effential difference in their own account when they appear before the judgment feat of God; but to thofe who receive their affiftance the benefit in either cafe is the fame; and by that benefit they are bound to blefs that admirable fyttem of legiflation, which, though it cannot purify the human heart, makes even its paffions and unruly appetites conducive to general good.

It is not my intention to convert my letters into political treatifes; and, though it is incumbent on you to form a clear and juft idea of the nature of the government under which you live, I feel myfelf incompetent to the tank of
being
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being your inftructor. I have already obferved, that a good idea of its component parts will be your beft prefervative from the agitating turmoil of local politics. Many valuablè treatifes are written on this fubject. I have derived much information from the work of De Lolme which I have juft mentioned. It is, indeed, a frong panegyric; but, as the author points out the reafons for his commendations, and as it is the production of a foreigner, I fee no reafon to charge the account with partiality. When you are well inftructed in the theory of politics, you will be more likely to attend to the practical part with cool$n e / s$, and you will be in lefs danger of being warped by the mifreprefentations of party.

Before I return to fpeak of the falle accufations which are urged againft the prefent ftate of things, you will perhaps

> Yow. III. P expect
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expect me to fay fomething of the fituation of the inferior ranks in foreign countries, efpecially in thofe which have been vifited by the revolutionary mania : but, as we home-bred Britons fee thofe events through a very uncertain medium, I wifh not to fpeak decidedly. The picture of France during the period of its old government, as exhibited in the different reprefentations of its confeffors and its deftroyers, is fo diffimilar that we know not where to find a trait of refemblance. With the one, it was almoft a' ftandard of perfection; with the other, a vile difgufting portrait of tyranny, oppreflion, and extortion. Each fucceffive democracy, however, which has been founded on its ruins bears unintentional evidence to the important truth, that it poff fled one advantage which the new conftitutionalifts cannot give to their refpective fyftems, I mean fability. It
feems
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feems to be the fundamental principle of every fucceeding faction to inveigh againt their predeceffors whom they have violently difplaced; and, if we: merely take their own accounts, more iniquity, corruption, perfidy, cruelty, and tyranny, have taken place in the laft ten years, than ever difgraced the: adminiftration of the whole houfe of Bourbon. Probably the conftitution, in that period had fome radical faults, and many acquired corruptions; " but the gradual introduction of better motives would have remedied thofe defects; and all future mal-adminiftration might have been temperately prevented by judicious reftrictions. But, though it may be difficult to form a correct notion of the old fyitem, it is eafy to have a juft idea of the new. A revolution which annihilated all rights, religion, and laws, which defpifed all prefcriptive forms, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \quad$ which
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which violated all contracts, which confounded all moral ideas, and difowned all obligation, mut have given too great a fhock to property, character, principle, and cultom, to be conducive to individual happinefs. Political importance is of little confequence to the commonalty. Their comforts depend on thofe domeftic arrangements which have been violer.tly interrupted by thefe tremendous and repeated changes.

One of the inconfiftencies into which our wild theoritts frequently fall is, the afcribing of the natural and phyfical evilis incident to the prefent condition of the human race, to the weaknefs or incapacity of our rulers; at the very inftant that they are endeavouring to aggravate thofe evils to an infupportable degree, by weakening the reftraint of authority, which is. the moft effential curb to licentioufnefs, and, confequently, the fureft
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preventive of extreme calamity. The reception which this abfurd and malignant accufation meets with from the public may ferve as a criterion to mark the progrefs of infidelity. A fincere believer will not hefitate to exculpate his governors from the charge of introducing wretchednefs and affliction into the earth. He remembers his own failings and provocations, and he looks to the correcing hand of the Almighty: For good and wife purpofes man is born to trial ; he is the heir to labour, forrow, difappointment, difeafe, and death. No fyitem of policy can counteract this decree in a general fenfe, farther than as a courfe of prudent conduct may moderate its effett in an individual inftance. All that a government can do is, to protect the governed from public foes, to reprefs inteftine difcord, to deter the vicious from committing crimes by P3 inflicting
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inflicting equitable punifhments, to preferve the property of peaceable citizens by intituting a vigilant police, to adminiter juftice with impartiality, to reward meritorinus ? tions with diferetion, and to confirm the fanctions of morality and religion.

Wherever the above requifites are to be frumd in any civil fociecy, a $m \times n$ by uniting bimfelf to it, by yielding obedience 10 its laws, ard by enjoying its protection, raifes himfelf to a degree of diffinction, happinefs, and fecurity, which be would ror have poffefied in a folitary individual ftate. But he neither becomes immortal, angelic, nor (to ufe terms better according with the phrafeology of our opponents) is he poffeffed of his full degree of inherent perfectibility. He ftill continues to be man, combating with all his own natural infirmities, with the elements and the feafons,
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[3: 9]
$$

fons, with all the vexations incident to that fortuitous concurrence of circumftances which fome call chance, and with what is the foreft evil of all, the follies; the miftakes, the prejudices, and the vices of his fellow-creatures. If he fhould call upon his king for help under thefe trials, his king can only anfwer, " Wherewith fhall I help thee? am I a " God ?"
" How fmall, of all that human hearts endure,
"That part which Kings can either caufe or " cure!"

Inftead of chimerical ufelefs refearches after a perfect form of government, which fhall remedy, or rather prevent, every misfortune, let us confider what terrible aggravations every misfortune muft receive, fuppofing we were disjoined from fociety; "s every man's hand lifted "s up againft us, and our hand againtt $\mathrm{P}_{4}$
" every
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"every man." Let us continually re. call to our remembrance all the endearing inftances of friendfhip, fympathy, and affiftance, which we have received from our fellow-creatures. We are inindebted to fociety for thefe bleffings; its inflitutions tend to infpire thofe fentiments of which we reap the advantage. Let us then ceafe to complain of the reftrictions which thofe inftitutions impofe, or of the contributions which they exact. They are not the effect of an arbitrary fyftem aiming at peculiar advantage; but the unavoidable confequences of a plan which is founded on the broad bafis of general good. To thefe laws we owe the acquifition, the accumulation, and the protection of our property. They promote and regulate focial intercourfe. They infure perfonal fafety, as much as human power can infure it. They facilitate the inter-
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change of commodities. They are the immediate fources to which we owe the poffefion of all our civil rights. Let us then confider them as a facred inheritance bequeathed to us by our anceftors, and let us never prefume to touch them but with a cautious and reverent hand.

You know my fentiments too well to fufpect that I want a due reverence for the bleffing of freedom; and you know that when I argue againft it I refer to the modern abuse of that noble appellation, and not to the acceptation in which it was held by our progenitors. I fpeak in condemnation of licentioufnefs: I honour lawful freedom. There was a time, in which kings, princes, and nobles confidered their fellow-creatures as born to be their flaves. In fome parts of the earth thefe defpotic principles are ftill retained; and wherever they prevail, the fituation of the human race is deplo-

$$
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rable, almoft as deplorable as where it groans under the lath of anarchy. I fee no danger in the ftrongett cenfure of defpots and tyrants, when that cenfure is clear, determinate, and pointed at real inftances of oppreffion. But why muft thefe fcourges of their fellowcreatures always be Kings? Can any cruelties exceed thofe which have been practifed by demagogues, pirates, outlaws, and, more than all, by the fovereign people itfelf, whenever it has thought proper to ftep forth and reize the reins of government? What horrible extravagancies, what mad inconfiftencies, what cruel acts of injuftice, are fure to mark the progrefs of every infurrection! I was going to produce many inftances which difgrace the page of our early hiftory; but we need not refer to that dark period, when man had fearcely emerged from the effects of the incurfion of the northern favages. You,
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my Child, have feen a ten years' infur: rection in France. The bloody details of the Irifh rebellion mult be freth in your memory. And we need not fearch the obfolete hiftories of Wat Tyler and Jack Straw for lamentable inftances of popular barbarity.

If we lived in times when the prevailing humour verged towards paffive obedience and fuperfition, our fophilts might pretend to the generous defiga of oppofing a deftructive torrent. I know they fay that this is the cafe; that arbitrary power is advancing with rapid ftrides; that manly freedom dares not lift up its voice ; and that the intolerant fpirit of bigotry and perfecution fitles all free inquiry. But they muft pardon me if I deem the circulation of their writings, and the impunity of their perfons, a pofitive contradiction to fuch unfupported declamation. I farther beg P 6 leave

## [ 324 ]

leave to refer to a work which was publifhed by their great apoftle Rouffeau about forty years ago, to fhew that the decline of governments, and confequently the diminution of coercive authority, has been long forefeen; and furely the dreadful changes which have fince taken place will juftify us in faying that it has been rapid. " 1 ho!d it impoffible," fays the author of Emilius, " that the "great monarchies of Europe can fub" fift much longer. They all affect sr magnificence and fplendor. Every "f flate that doth this is upon the decline. " I could give very particular and cogent "reafons for this affertion, but it may " not be proper, and, indeed, they are "but too obvious." I will not call this a prophecy, any more than I fhould call the conffefion of a houfebreaker a prediction. The confpiracy of which I have fo often fpoken had then made great progrefs;
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progrefs; and though a perfonal enmity fublifted between Voltaire and the Genevefe philofophift, they were coadjutors in that defign; the former chiefly levelling his fhafts againft religion, the latter againtt civil eftablifhments. What difpaffionate perfon can read the above extract without being ftruck with the fad cataftrophes which have fince taken place? And when he recollects that this man acknowledges that the prefent order of things depends on no firmer ground than opinion, how anxioufly muft every true Britifh patriot watch over the opinions that are imported into this country, left we fhould naturalize the fatal fyftem which will add our own to the falling monarcbies of Europe! How mean, how bafe muft thofe hirelings be, who, affecting terror at an imaginary danger, fhut their eyes to obvious and mperiding evils; and, while a torrent of irreligion
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irreligion and infubordination is burting upon us, endeavour to break down the only bound which can reftrain its fury! Are they not more fervile than the courtly parafites whom they reprobate? The adulation of the fycophant can only corrupt his patron, and it is meant to convert him into the dupe of knavery, not to make him leap down a precipice. But what is the tendency of thofe writings which teach the profligate, "s that the firt man who inclofed a field " and acquired property did an act " highly injurious to his fellow-crea" tures; that property is the fource of " injutice; and that all have an indifcri" minate right to the poffeffions of each " other *?"

You are now too well acquainted with the nature of democratic writers to ex-

* Thefe are the doctrines of Rouffeau and Weifhaupt, and of fome Englifimen.
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peet trutb in their affertions. It is their general practice to afperfe the lives of their fuperiors; and at the commencement of the French troubles a book appeared, which was intitled " The Crimes of Kings." At this period alfo, the faults of the unfortunate Antoinette were blazoned with malicious avidity, and, it is: now known, with unprincipled aggravation. Even the martyred Louis was infamounly defamed; and it feemed neceffary for that admirable prince to undergo the fevereft fufferings, in order to convince the world that he was a faint and a fage, inftead of a senfualift and a. fool. In England, the follies and crimes of which our nobility are guilty are circulated with eager pleafure. "Thefe are your fine " people! thefe are your great folks!" are terms which refound from the lips of the ignorant and the licentious. Sometimes
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times the difgraceful anecdote is as falfe as it fcandalous. But no one ftops to examine its probability. To repeat it gratifies cheir own bad paffions; and the general wifh is, that it may be true.

Were I permited to addrefs thofe who fill that envied, though in reality pitiable, ftation, on which the watchful eye of malignant detraction is continually fixed; could I hope that my warning voice had power to penetrate the clofe envelope of felf-indulgence, or to alarm the frigid foul of apathy; I would urge the profigate part of the great world, by the only motives which can have any weight with them, by their temporal interefts, by the value which they fet on their exalted rank, by their pride, their prejudices, their difdain of the canaille, in a word, by all the bad qualities which democrats afcribe to their whole order, I would adjure them to wrap their licentious
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licentious minds in the veil of exterior decency; to impofe a politic reftraint on their guilty paffions, to affect a regard for propriety; and, above all, warned by thofe fearful prefages which now fo remarkably prove, that " the Lord is " King, and that his judgments are heavy " upon the earth," let them abftain from contemning the altar, whither they may foon be forced to fly for fanctuary. To thofe who are awakened to ferious confideration by the awful figns of the times, I would addrefs the nobler motives of Chriftianity, entreating them to fanction, by their example and influence, the only fure curb of violent paffions and pernicious opinions. The exemplary few, who, like "burning and Shining " lights in a crooked and perverfe ge" neration," unmoved by ridicule and undeferved reproach, continue to fhew to their fellow-creatures the true ufe of
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wealth and power, may, with mine, command the prayers of every true patriot, that they may have grace to perfevere in their glorious courle.

I am not, I cannot be, an apologit for wickednefs. The higher the rank, and the greater the information, the more atrocious is the guilt. But have the moft depraved of our nobility equalled the infamy of thofe who term them "weak, artificial beings, that fhed the "feeds of falfe refinement, immorality, " and vanity ; who pafs along with ftupid " pomp and gothic grandeur, in bar" barous parade, rendering the progrefs " of civilization a curfe; whofe vices "and follies make us think that the " human race burt like a lawlefs planet "from its orbit, and that it neverwill "regain its proper fphere, till the pef"tiferous purple, and the proud ap" pendage of rank, are annihilated, and
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"r virtue and wifdom become the only "diftinctions of 'mankind"?" By whom think you are thefe obfervations made? by the virtuous and the wifé? No, my dear Son; they proceed from men ftained by murder and treafon, corrupted by perjury, opprefion, theft, and every fpecies of lawlefs violence: from women (I blufh while I own the difgraceful fact) who have caft off all the characterittics of their fex; who fpeak with contempt of every feminine virtue, who banifhpity and gentlenefs from their bofoms, and with unblufhing effrontery, glorying in their fhame, dare to talk of virtue while they practije the deeds of vice. Shall profefled courtezans, fhall they who publicly refide in criminal intimacy with the hurbands of others, fhall they who affirm that private and temporary engagements of fidelity are a fufficient fubtitute for the marriage-bond, fhall fuch
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fuch women fet up for legißators, moralifts, reformers, and inftructors of youth? Shall hufbands who publih their wives' irregularities to the world, and not only avow, but commend, the moft atrocious licentioufnefs in that intimate alliance which jealous bonour ufed to guard even from the foadow of fupicion; fhall people who exift by knavery and chicane, thall the moft profligate, impious, and deteftable of mankind, fet up for cenfors of a corrupt ariftocracy? "Ye fools! firft caft the beam out of "your own eyes, and then ye fhall "fee clearly to pluck out the mote " from your brother's eye." Mult not the moft hafty obferver fee the invidious motive of fuch declamation, even if experience furnifhed no clue to direct his difcernment? The morals of the French court during the old government were lamentably diffolute ; but fince that go-
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vernment bas been diffolved, immorality, which was previoully confined to the higher ranks, is now diffufed over all orders. It feemed like a peft attached to the property of the great, tainting all who fhared in their fpoils. I appeal to the accounts of Paris, as publifhed by the profeffed partizans of democracy, to vouch that every fpecies of vice, diffipation, luxury, and extravagance, has arrived at a height which was utterly unknown in former times. What a ftrange difgufting mixture ! the name of republicanifm, and the manners of the haram ; the fiercenefs of the Spartan, without his economy, felf-denial, temperance, and chaftity; the effeminacy of the Perfian, without his loyalty and fubordination. I fometimes bope that this unnatural mixture cannot be lafting.
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Let the conclufions which may be fairly drawn from the above reflections be ever prefent to your mind; parti. cularly when you are reading any highflown panegyric upon republican virtues. I do not fay that fuch virtues never exifted; but I fay that the patronizers of French politics have no pretence to them. France has been for fome years the feat of anarchy; fhe is now a military defpotifm. She has fwept away all the republics within her reach; and, inftead of the government that they loved and cherifhed, under which they profpered, and produced brave and virtuous citizens, fhe has fubdued them to her own iron yoke, planted mifery in the feat of happinefs, and made them fubfervient to all her capricious alterations. She has invented an order of things perfectly new, portentous, and terrific; the
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confequences of which can only be known to Him who " ruleth the earth, be the " people ever fo unquiet."

I will conclude my Letter with a few obfervations on the origin of government. The idea of the focial compact, on which Mr. Locke founds his fyttem, has been, in the opinion of the learned, fuccefffully combated by Dr. Gillies. We, who acknowledge the divine authority of the fcriptures, have a Jure guide to direct our refearches; and I conceive we ought firft to attend to what is there afferted in the way of lijfory, or enjoined in the ftyle of precept. It feems probable, that before the flood, and for fome generations after it, the patriarchal government prevailed. Probably, as population and iniquity increafed, that mild fyftem was found inadequate to reprefs public diforder. Unqueftionably the regal government fucceeded.
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fucceeded. But whether it was originally of divine or human inftitution cannot now be afcertained; though, moft probably, it was of the latter, fince the firft king that we hear of reigned after the difperfion of the nations, and he fprang from that branch of Noah's family which was not favoured with divine revelations. Scripture tells us, that " Nimrod began to be a mighty one in "the earth," and profane writers confider him as having been the founder of the Affyrian monarchy. It is certain, that in the time of Abraham, four hundred years after the flood, a king reigned in Egypt, and five kings in the land of Canaan. Thefe fovereigns were all defcended from Ham, the reprobated fon of Noah; but we muft not fuppofe this form of government peculiar to that degenerate family; for we find it alfo adopted in the line of Shem, four kings of
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of whofe race are mentioned, in the fourteenth of Genefis, as living in the time of Abraham; and that extraordinary perfon Melchifedec, who is ftyled "Prieft of the moft High God," was alfo entitled "King of Salem." The regal form of government has, therefore, the priority; and when duly equipoifed by an oppofite power, and reftrained from degenerating into defpotifm, it is generally the beft calculated to preferve the independence of a nation, to give ftability to public meafures, and fecurity to all ranks of people. It is leaft fubject to violent commotions; and, though it is not fo favourable to the fern virtues as a republic, it is better calculated to cherifh thofe which Chrillianity requires. Charity, gentlenefs, meeknefs, complacence, and contentednefs, generally fpring from an idea of perfonal fecurity, from the abfence of ambitious thoughts,
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\text { VOL. III. } \quad Q \quad \text { and }
$$

## [ $33^{8}$ ]

and from an early habit of obedience and fubordination.

For many ages the form of the Ifraelitifh government was very fingular, for it was a theocracy. God was the king who reigned over them. His vifble feat was between the cherubims who expanded their wings over the ark which contained the divine law (engraven on two tables of ftone, but not by human hands) that was delivered to Mofes. A peculiar glory befpoke his more imme. diate prefence, and miracles were the acts which announced his fovereign power. After many wonderful events, the Jews, who were a remarkably ftubborn difobedient people, grew weary of this form of government, and demanded a king; offending God, not by requiring fuch a ruler from the Almighty (for Mofes had exprefsly declared, that in procefs 'of time they fhould have one, pointing
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out his mode of election and folenn duties), but in not waiting his time, thus anticipating the divine pleafure, and rejecting the fupremacy of the Mof High. Yet the Almighty felected and confecrated their two firft fovereigns by the agency of the prophet Samuel. "He " upheld their monarchy," fays Mr. Bryant," "in an hereditary feries'; he "bleffed it and made it refpectable "among their neighbours. So far " from introducing idolatry, it was a "r remedy againft it in Judah; but in the " kingdom of Ifrael apoftate ufurpers "erected and patronized the worfhip " of the golden calves."

The republican form of government feems to have commenced in Greece. It certainiy poffeffes fome peculiar advantages; but if we confider the civil contefts which fubfifted among that people, and the ambitious conqueits Q2 and
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and violent domentic factions that baraffed the Roman ftate, we fhall find no reafon for fuppofing that it is beft calculated to promote individual bappinefs, or general tranquillity. Thefe difcufions are to us Britons rather curious than important. We are born the fubjects of a mixed monarchy, under which efta= blifhment we have long enjoyed fafety and profperity. We could not attempt to change the government, without facrificing much real good in the purfuit of a doubtful advantage. Our legal inftitutions guard the public fafety from fuch rafh defigns, by impofing the pains and penalties of treaton on all who adopt them. And whoever receives the Chriftian difpenfation as the will of God mult confefs, that it every where inculcates, both by precept and example, a peaceable and quiet fubmiffion to lawful aithority.
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With refpeet to the Britifh confitution : the right of the fubject to refift all violent infringement of the fundamental laws of the land was determined at the Revolution. At the fame time, the executive power was reftricted by fuch wife regulations, that we have reafon to hope no circumftances will ever arife, that would require you to examine your confcience, whether you may be juftified in having recourfe to the dreadful expedient of reffiftance. The tide of public opinion has long run ftrongly againtt the degrading doctrine of pallive obedience, and Rouffeau has taught us the irreffitible potency of public opinion. May God grant, that the machinations of our enemies, who now, as in Milton's days, " mean licence when they cry " liberty," may not hurry us into the dreadful whirlpool of anarchy!
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To conclude the fubject. The fame dauntlefs fpirit, the fame love of true freedom, the fame firm adherence to the principles of law and equity, which in former times urged our Hampden, Ruffel, Hallifax, Somers, Cavendifh, and many more illuttrious names, to check the bold ftrides of Fegal ufurpation, call upon every true Englifhman at this period to rally round the throne. Hoping that your foul will be always alive to the impreffions of generous motives, I forbear to urge the more felfin views of regard to your own fafety and happinefs. Adieu, my dear Child! Another Letter will conciude this diffure intervening correfpondence; and we will then return to our ordinary epiftolary communications. Believe me, \&c.
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## LETTER XVIT.

MY DEAR THOMAS,
$W^{\text {ere }}$ I to follow the peftiferous doctrines of the day through all their various ramifications, I fhould engage in a more than Herculean labour. I truft, however, that what I have done will affift your judgment fo far as to enable you analyfe any work which may fall into your hands fufficiently to judge of its general tendency.

Some of thefe doctrines are fo thamefully indecorous, that 1 own I cannot expofe them by particular reprehenfion, though I have met with them in tranflations, and in original works, actually fubfribed by a female name. AffectQ4. ing
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ing a fort of philofophical air, fubjects are introduced, and terms are ufed, which I am certain no decent woman would adopt even in the moft confidential intercourfe. My countrywomen were wont to be remarked for the delicacy of their manners; and the public confider them as the facred guardians of morals: need I urge them to mark fuch depraved members of the community by reprobation and avoidance?

Among the writers whofe extravagant doctrines have not only been publifhed in this country, but circulated with uncommon avidity, loaded with extravagant praife, transfufed into a thoufand fhapes, and infinuated into every recefs, the name of Mary Wolftonecrafthas obtained a lamentable diftinction. This unfortunate woman has terribly terminated her guilty career; terribly I fay, becaufe
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becaufe the account of her lait moments, though intentionally panegyrical, proves that the died as fhe lived; and her pofthumous writings fhew, that her foul was in the moft unfit ftate to meet her pure and holy Judge. From thofe writings I extract the following fentiments: " Who would dare coolly to maintain, "that it is jult to deprive a woman of " her rights of citizenfhip, and to treat "her as an outcaft of fociety, becaure "her revolting foul fpurns the tyranni" cal power of a hufband whom the can " neither love nor refpect, and fies to " the protection of a kindred mind? "This is one of thofe prejudices in the " prefent ftate of fociety which blaft "the promife of hope." I will make no other comment on this paffage than an earneft prayer, that neithe: you nor I may ever live to fee this prejudice removed!
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I am often forced to refer to France, becaufe in that unhappy country thefe dreadful doctrines have been reduced to practice. Kingcraft and prieftcraft have been annihilated ; and the marriage bond is there permitted to be broken, as whim, caprice, petty difguft, or any new attachment, determines the roving imagination to the defire of freedom, or of another engagement. The fituation of a married pair where fuch a law prevails muft be moft pitiable. Forbearance, tendernefs, confidence, efteem, and that lively participation of good or bad fortune, of forrow and joy, which the confcioufnefs of an infeparable union of interefts excites, mult be annihilated in that bofom which is continually agitated by the fear or the wifh of fepararicn. How great mult be the anxious jealoufy, the conftant apprehenfion of fond attachment; and the crucly, the
aggravato.
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aggravating indifference, the fcornful difdain of hatred, determined to provoke its defpijed partner to confent to the defired feparation! But there are objects fill more pitiable, I mean the iffue of fuch ill-omened unions. Our Maker, when he determined that the marriage bond fhould be indiffoluble, confidered not only the comfort of the prefent generation, but alfo the deareft interefts of the rifing one; and he determined not to leave the fortune, the welfare, and the inftruction of children, to caprice or cafual bounty. He appointed the exifing race of mankind to be the guardians of that which fhould Jucceed it, and by the facred tie of child. and parent connected times to come with times that are paft.

This leads me to make a few obfervations on the prevailing fathion of eduQ 6 cation.
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cation. I know not whether it be in confequence of a fettled defign, or purely accidental, but fince the time that the enemies of Chriftianity have been moft active, an unufual number of books on the formation of the manners of youth have iffued from the prefs. The attention of the public has been evidently excited by thefe productions, and a rage for education has univerfally prevailed. I fpeak from actual obfervation when I fay, that it has defcended to all ranks and conditions of life. Were this extraordinary attention paid to the rifing generation from good motives, were it conducted on found principles, and directed to beneficial ends, we might hope that it would produce the moft faluary effects. But if it be merely the whim of the moment, adopted becaufe Mrs. Such-a-one's children are fo infructed;
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if the principles which are inftilled are a guarded modification of thofe which have caufed fuch lamentable effects; if thofe are not infilled which are derived from thofe divine precepts that alone can infpire good conduct and real virtue ; if the attainments on which the greateft ftrefs is laid are in their nature fecondary, if not frivolous; and if, regardlefs of rank, fortune, and future profpects, every body is to be educated alike, I am afraid we fhall foon wifh to return to the days of the horn-book and the primer; when to read, write, and caft accounts, completed the boy; and the girl's attainments were confined to the routine of plain-work, the fampler, and the family receipt-book.

The days in which this fyftem prevailed were, as I have before obferved, days of economy, induftry, and fubordination. Is it not for the intereft of a nation,
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nation, that thefe fhould be the leading characteriftic virtues of the great mafs of the people? Is the univerfal diffufion of what are termed accomplinments neceffary, or even defirable, in a political point of view? Will they really tend to promote the comforts of the inferior orders? I do not mean the very poor, but of thofe ranks which now purfue them with fuch avidity, though it is evident that they cannot be purfued in future life without facrificing effential duties to unimportant elegancies. Prudence, induftry, and good management, form fome of the moft valuable traits in a matron's character, efpecially if the fill the middle walk of life. Her duty to her hurband, children, fervants, neighbours, and the poor, will prefent her with a feries of continual occupations; and they will leave her no other leifure than what the offices of religion, the regulation
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tion of her heart and temper, and the improvement of her mind, will neceffarily require.

If our young men in the fame line of life were intended to mount the tribune; to prefide in committees, to harangue in clubs, or to fpout in debating focieties, we would take care to make them fmatterers in politics, fluent, fpecious, and dogmatical. If chey were to act as porters to Purnaffers, to admit or reject candidates for literary fame, we would try to. make them expert in common-place cricicifm. If a knowledge of gaming in its various branches fitted them for the difcharge of the ducies of active life, they frould make their early debut at a gaming-iable. If good eating and good living were fynonymous, they fhould bebrcught up epicures. But what have any of thefe branches of knowledge to do with a lad who is to earn his fubfift-
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ence by a regular attendance in a fhop or a counting-houfe? Teach fuch a one what becomes his ftation. Let him learn the virtue of frugality, and not the vice of extravagance. As he is not intended to be a ftatefman nor a connoiffeur, direct his whole attention to found practicab knowledge.

The favourite aim of mort of our fyftem-makers, and the defign of many of our books on education, is, to force a fort of premature hot-bed plant, which will foon fprout, but never poffefs either vigour or hardinefs. This production is totally inconfiftent with the Britifh character, the virtues of which, though they ripen flowly, have Atability to refirt the ftorm. You have, doubtlefs, heard that Rouffeau, wrote on this fubjeet, and with his ufual inconfiftency condemned his treatife by averring his fcheme to be
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impraizicable: indeed, it fuppofes fuch a correfpondence of circumftances and contingencies as never could happen. Among many wild, immoral, and deittical notions, I will do him the juftice to fay that he has prefcribed fome good rules, and that he tried to frame a natural, manly, modeft character, a perfection which few of his workippers have attended to. He aimed at infpiring none but clear definite ideas; and this aim, feconded by his own fcepticifm, induced him to deny the propriety of giving children any ideas of God or religion. He would not permit them to gain the fmalleft knowledge of their Creator, till they arrived at fifteen or eighteen years of age ; and his reafon is, becaufe if you inculcate this belief fooner, they will be apt to confound the ideas of fenfible things with the Deity, which he calls idolatry. He had read the

## [ 354 ]

the fcriptures, and he muft have perceived that in them the Divine Spirit, condefcending to the weaknefs of man, continually defrribes the actions of God as performed by perfonal properties. His hand, his eye, his foottool, his throne, his chariot, his memory, his underfanding, and the like terms, continually occur. No well-informed Chriftian believes that thefe are really acdjuncts to his Maker; but very few can raile their thoughts to fuch a degree of abftraction as to conceive of him as he really is, boundlefs, immenfe, felfexiting, pervading all fpace, without form or fimilitude. If, therefore, we wait till we can form a juft metaphyfical idea of his nature, before we believe his exiftence or learn his will, the generality of the human race muft live without knowledge and expire without hope.
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Suppofe a child conceives of God, as he does of light or of wind, as fomething which exilts, but which he can neicher handle nor define: Rouffeau objects to thefe very fimilitudes, and yet we know that the Almighty has denoted his more immediate prefence by thefe figns. For ages the glorious Sbecbinab refted upon the ark of the covenant; a pillar of fire guided the Jews through the wildernefs; and an uncommon difplay of effulgence attended the promulgation of the law. We Chriltians owe our knowledge of the Gofpel " to the rufhing mighty reind. "s that filled the houfe in which the "A Apoftles were affembled," and which exprefsly defignated the communication of divine power.

It was Rouffeau's defign to make Emilius a deift ; and, confequently, he fought to keep him free from any prejudices in favour of our religion, till he
should,
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fhould be old enough to attend to the fophifms which would induce him to reject it. Deifts will aet wifely by imitating a mode of conduct which is founded in a prudent confcioufnefs of the weaknefs of their caufe. A perfon who has reached eighteen without any fenfe of obligation to his Maker, without paying him any homage, without referring to him in his words and adions, without any idea of divine omnifcience, of future rewards and punifhments, or, to furm up the whole, without even knowing that a God exifts, will never be in much danger of becoming a Chriftian: I queftion whether he even arrives at the decency of Deifm. He may conftantly fay to his initructor, " If thefe things " are fo, why did you fuffer me to re" main ignorant of them fo long? Why " did you not urge thefe powerful rees ftraints fooner? Why did you not " early

## [ 357 ]

"s early give me thofe habits of thinking " and acting which I cannot now ac" quire? In the book that you put into " my hand I read examples of youthful " piety; I find that children were em. " ployed in the fervice of the taber" nacle; I perceive that the author of " the new revelation commands that " they fhould be brought unto him, and " declares that of fuch is the kingdom " of Heaven. People are alfo com" mended as faithful fervants of God
" becaufe they inftructed their children " in his worfhip; and, under the pain " of incurring fevere penalties, they " are ftrictly enjoined to make them fa" miliar with his flatutes. Why then " have you neglected this moft import" ant duty, and trifled with my beft
" interefts? Muft I not furpect that you
" really think this boafted record of di-
" vine truth a forgery, and that you wifh
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"s to impofe it upon $m y$ belief in order " that it may reftrain $m y$ defires, now that " you find them inconvenient, though " you will not allow that it fhould regu"s late your own conduct?"

Our reformers have another motive, befide this deiftical craft, for wifhing to keep children ignorant of religion. They cannot open the Bible without finding all their tenets contradicted; efpecially thofe which relate to their doctrines refpecting our duty to our parents. For filial obedience is alfo deemed one of " the unhappy prejudices which blaft " the promife of hope." Children in this age are born independent beings; reftraint is unjuft, and correction is cruel. True, they are void of experience; but, as I have before obferved, it is great folly to try to direct them by the knowledge which we have acquired, for we have no right to expect that they
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will respect our difcoveries. If you fee a child climbing a dangerous height, if you cannot prevent him by removing the object out of his way, let him climb; if he does not tumble, he will learn agility; and if he does, and efcapes alive, the accident will make a deeper impreffion on him than your precepts could have done. At all events, fuch children are but exercifing their natural rights, and we had better let them perifh than bring them up flaves. This argument holds good for any act of mifchief which they may do to themfelves or others; and with refpect to inftruetion, as we cannot teach them any thing without infpiring them with prijudices, it is better that they fhould learn nothing at all. For hifory only prefents falfe views of things; no child can form a clear idea of Geography, or any fcience which fubftitutes images for realities; and the beft
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way of learning any liberal art is by not having the leaft inftruction in it; and then, perhaps, the child may blunder upon it, and become an original genius. The learned languages are determined to be pedantic abfurdity. And why? Unluckily, becaufe the claffic authors, though heathens, had a great deal of good fenfe, and enforce tenets diametrically oppofite to thofe of the new fchool. As for religion, 1 have fpoken of that already; but allow me to make a few extracts: "The moft abfurd pic" ture which folly can conceive is, that " of a dotard teaching a child his cate"chifm *." "The routine of divine " workhip, though cbildifh, is not only " an irkfome reftraint on youthful viva"city, but it has a fatal effect on mo" ralst." "The true fyttem is, that

* Rouffeau's Emilius.
$\dagger$ Wolltonecraft's Rights of Women.
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* the pupil fhould lead, and the mafter "foliow; the former preferving full li" berty as to the choice of books, anuufe" ments, and employments *."

So much for a private fyitem of education: but there is a fill better fcheme for a public one ; namely, that boys and girls of all conditions fhould be educated together, and learn, with very little diftinction, the fame things. As learning prefuppofes the idea of a mafter, one is allcwed; but he is to be without the powelt of laying down rules or enforcing punifhments, which are to be determined in all cafes by a jury of fcholars. Here the little hopefuls are to be taught the natural equality and future perfectibility of man; that is to fay, that they are independent beings, not accountable to parent, guardian, king, paftor, mafter, or any perfon in the world; that they

[^3]
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have a right to whatever they can procure, and may act, fpeak, and think as they pleafe. As to the perfectibility to which they are inftructed to afpire, the profeflors of this fyftem are not agreed what it means; fome deeming it the fummit of reiddom, others of virtue; and fome call it the power of procuring immortality. You pretty well know what they mean by wifdom and virtue ; but, as they hold that the prefent corrupt and degenerate ftate of fociety will not permit them to obtain abfolute periection in the fe points on earth, we mult therefore exitt after death, in order to complete the ends of our being. As ftrong hopes, however, are entertained that the prefent order of things will be inverted, the neceffity of another life will then ceafe, and the attention of their adepts is, in confequence, turned to the difcovering of a grand immortalizing elixir, which,
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which, being aided by regimen, and an entire compliance with the dictates of nature, may enable the human fpecies to live as long as they pleafe. What we are to do for food, room, and various other comforts, will be time enough to inquire about when this faid compofition Anall be completed. I ftrongly fufpect, that it will be like Don Quixote's balfam of Firebrafs, and that it will have no effect but upon the initiated knigbts of anarcly. Perhaps, as they are of opinion that, when mind becomes omnipotent over matter, we may exift without neep, it will alfo be found, at the fame glorious period, that eating was an unt neceffary vile invention derived from the gluttony of the privileged orders. You will fuipect that I exaggerate the abfurdity of thefe opinions ; on the contrary, I affure you that I have taken them from the moft admired writers on thefe R 2 fubjects,
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fubjects, and have merely put them into plain Englijb. You will meet with them trimmed, and glofled, and modified, in the qualifying pages of their gentle polifhed admirers. You fee the principles on which the new fyftem of education is founded, and will confider its claims to fuperfede that which was prefcribed by our anceftors, on which I expatiated in my fecond letter. If the portion of good fenfe of the refpective ages is to be determined by their comparative merits, where fhall we hide our lamentable inferiority?

With refpect to this contempt for prefcription, parental authority, experience, or even this infolent ridicule of hoary age, I defy them to fhew a nation or a period of time in which the voice of a father, an elder, a chief, or a prieft, was not lifened to with reverence. "The " government of China, that ancient " land
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is land of morals, where (to ufe the lari" guage of their apofle Voltaire) divine " laws were publifhed before Europe "could be faid to have cuftoms," is founded upon an extenfion of the patri-archal fyftem; and filial obedience and refpect to feniority are the principles which hold that vaft empire togecher. Even the Hotrentots, to whom our improvers were very partial from an erroneous idea that they were atheifts, confefs the above-named authorities, and treat their decifons with deference.

I have already mentioned the opinions of our anceftors; and, in fpise of the vapourinh cenfures of the fe fuperficial deelaimers, our anceftors deferve the epithets of brave and wise. 'Tis true, the parental authority was in general flrained fomewhat beyond its due bound; and, of the two paffions which fhould actuate the mind of a child, fear was made $\mathrm{R}_{3}$.
to
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to predominate. But, at that period, the univerfal turn of thinking tended $t 00$ mucb to fubjection; and, though I do not believe that the great were even then unprincipled, inhuman defpots, they certainly poffeffed a power dangerous to their own virtue and to the welfare of fociety. The change in the condition of the middle and inferior claffes of people, which has taken place within thefe three hundred years, is aftonihing; and the changes of manners have at leaft correfponded. When you recollect that fix generations have witneffed this revolution, which, though rapid, has been progreflive, you will fee no reafon to accufe elderly people of illnature, or exaggeration, fur frequencly ufing the obfervation, " that times are " much altered fince they were young." Moft unqueftionably they have alcered, from bare fufficiency to luxurious indulgence;
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dulgence; from general ignorance to univerfal information; from an almoft $/$ avifa dread to an almoft boundlefs freedom. In this change, the gradations of rank, the degrees of age and relationfhip, have been confounded. In no inftance is this difference more vifible than in the manners of young people to their feniors; efpecially of children to their parents. I am continually fhocked at the terms which 1 hear addrefed to fathers and mothers, fometimes impertinently familiar, at orhers audacioufly infolent or cruelly unkind. I afk my own heart, whether I had ever dared to utter them; and I blefs the memory of my revered parents, who taught me, by refpecting them, to know myfelf.

To you, my dear Son, I can with pleafure and with pride addrefs thefe refledtions, becaufe I am fure you will coincide with my fentiments on a fubject

## [368]

which tortures the bofom of many an unfortunate mother. Let love, confidence, and tendernefs glow in the filial heart; but never let them exclude gratitude, and that modified expreffion of fear which we term refpect. When the latter fenfations wholly ceafe, the former will not long remain. Familiarity ị one of the reigning foibles of the age. Stiffnefs changed to eafe ; this was fortunate; but, as we never know when we are well, like children we go on improving what we have done till we mar it. It is faid, that familiarity breeds contempt; and how fully is this proverb realized! for contempt is the predominant trait in the character of our fine gentleman. Whether he leans upon a coronet or on a counter, he feems only born to defpife others. I have no apology to offer for the fupercilious nobleman. His education, his extenfive views, the
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vaft intereft which he has at ftake, are fufficient to roufe him from the mean apathy of difdain, and excite his ambition to be what God and nature intended; not a felf-engroffed coxcomb, fcoffing at his fellow-citizens, but their active friend, their guardian, and their example.

For the imitative puppy who follows his Ateps, I offer the plea of ignorance, or, what is worfe, fuperficial information. Only acquainted with that ftyle of reading which I have fo ftrongly reprobated, can we wonder at his infolent manners? Can we be furprized at the general contempt of parental authority, when that authority is now fixed on the infirm balis, of inclination, and when thofe who refer it to a divine injunction are charged with feeking to erect a cruel tyrannous power? The influence of the parent is faid to
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ceafe with the wants of the child. Does gratitude, does even the uninitructed voice of nature, confirm this affertion, except in the inftance of the brute creation, which, being void of confcioufnefs, are not fufceptible of moral ties? But I forget; favages and brute beafts are propofed as models by which we are to improve.

I need not be diffufe in proving that parental authority is fupported by fcripture. The practice of the patriarchs, "the firft commandment with promife;" the folemn warnings of the prophets, who enforce the fovereign rule of the Deity by giving it a paternal claim; the repeated injunctions of the apofles, and, above all, the conduct and precepts of our bleffed Saviour, unanfwerably confirm the affertion, that no right can be plainer, more fully afcertained, nor more
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ftrenuoully enforced, than the rigbt of parents to honour and obedience from their children.

Oblerve the wife and beautiful fu'ordination which Providence has infticuted to avoid domeftic contention. Since it is impolfible that two diftinct, feparate, independent auchorities can fafely fubfiit in one family; if the wills of the hufband and wife point differently, fubmiffion is the prefcribed duty of the female; peace mult be preferved, and the mult yield. If man claims this fuperiority over his wedded partner from confiderations of mutual expediency, they both claim a higher power over their offspring, not from any fuperiority of nature, but of fituation, and for the future advantage of thofe over whom it is exercifed. We are born weak, helplefs, and deftitute ; our parents mult protect; fupport, and provide for us. We are born felf-
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willed and perverfe; and thefe qualities, fo far from being the feeds of perfectibility, are deemed in fcripture the produce of original depravity; and it is the declared duty of every parent to bow thefe rebel inclinations to the yoke of Chrift. We are born ignorant; our parents are again called upon to teach us knowledge, not merely confining their inftructions to our temporal fortunes, but fixing their eyes feadfaftly on that immortal part of our nature which we derive immediately from God. Thefe obligations are impofed on parents; and, as they tender their own falvation, they mutt fulfil them. If they are judicious, they will adapt their infructions to the different tempers and difpofitions of their children; treating an affectionate generous firit with kindnefs and confidence, fubduing the ftubborn by regular coercion; encouraging the timid, reftraining
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reftraining the audacious, but acting juflly, and therefore kindly, by all. They will confider too the fphere of life in which they will probably be called to move; and they will endeavour to inculcate fuch babits, and to beftow fuch accomplifbments and talents, as are fit and appropriate to their expected ftations. A courfe of inftruction thus directed, I call a good education. Happy are the children who receive it! Bleffed are the parents who beftow it! and furely that heart muft be cold to the noblef feelings of its nature, which confiders the long, watchful, inviolable attention, the care, the tender anxiety which fuch a courfe of fuperintendance implies, and then doubts whether it impofes a lafting obligation on the child who has been the object, of fuch folicitude. When the felfifh reafon of having his own neceffities fupplied ceafes to operate, the inviolable
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violable ties of gratitude mult impofe an endearing bond of the moft lively and lafting friendfhip, confidence, and love.

Yet fuppofing that a parent, either through negligence, miftake, or incapacity, does not perform his duty, a child is not therefore releafed from his obligations. Whenever he becomes acquainted with revelation, he will there meet with a pofitive (not a conditional) command " to honour his father and mother." Doubtlefs, the fame fentiments of confidence, preference, and affection cannot glow in the bofom of a child who has experienced parental neglect, prejudice, or cruelty, as warm the mind of him who has been ever accuftomed to judicious tendernefs and watchful care. But it is in this cafe that the morality of our religion, and that of the moderis fchool, difplays the full power of con$t r a f$; and while the former fhews itferf
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to be the offspring of the Father of light, the latter refers itfelf to (may I not fay) a Satanic original.

You muft remember, that our philofophifts, by giving full afcendancy to the paffions, deduce virtue not from principle, but from feeling. They fcorn the ties of duty, as cold, unimpreffive, and unfatisfactory. Virtue is with them an implufe of the heart, a kind of aximal fenfation, with which reflection has little to do. Apply thefe ideas to practice, and you will fee, that a man thus guided will, like Dryden's Zimori, be "every " thing by ftarts, and nothing long." Can we depend on the juttice, the benevolence, the fidelity, or the honour of a fellow-creature who meafures his performance of thofe duties, not by an undeviating ftandard, but by the humour which he finds himfelf to be in when our neceffities require his interference?

Suppofe
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Suppore that he has heard fomething to your difadvantage, what can prevent him from even acting unjufly by you? Suppofe that you require his aid at an inftant when fpleen; envy, anger, or any other evil propenfity, has gained poffeffion of his foul? His feelings are not in unifon with your wants; you cannot Arike the chord which will make them refponfive: and if he does not $f e e l$, he has no motive to affift you. Suppofe a hufband caufelefsly jealous of his wife (if he could, in-。 deed, afcribe fuch a weaknefs to one of our philofophers); no paffion is more violent, none has produced more tragical effects. Erafe from that man's mind all ideas of principle, and we fhall want no Iago to multiply feenes of domeftic diftrefs. Are notenvy and revenge paffions? Can any fentiments excite Atronger emotions than thefe do in the unhappy bofoms which are a prey to fuch tormenting
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feelings? and, if the impulfe of the moment is to be the guide of action, will not the Englifh change to the Italian character, and bravoes and affafins people our ftreets? What vice will you avoid, what virtue will you preferve, if you follow the meteor of fufceptibility? Feeling and fentiment (let me repeat it) are blind unfafe guides.

You, my dear Son, are advancing to an age in which the paffions are moft apt to prove dangerous rebels againt the fupremacy of reafon. To furrender yourfelf into their power, is to arm your moft violent enemies againft your peace, your virtue, and your happinefs. The time is fatt approaching when nothing but an awful, fieady, invigorating fenfe of duty can preferve you from the dangers and temptations to which the morning of life is efpecially expofed. That religion to which your eye has ever been directed
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directed will tell you, that virtue confifts in gaining a victory over violent palfions; that fuch victory is productive of a moft happy feif-poffefion; and that, befides avoiding the remorfefol pangs of guilt, it infures the favour and approbation of God. In this, he tells you, confifts the dignity of your being; and by thefe conflits you hall arpire to its full perfection. Her voice is confirmed by that of Nature, and by the fecret atteftations of your own hearr. Inclination indeed, when it correfponds with duty, makes an action plenfant, which, without ir, is only fatisfactory; buc firft confider how you ought to act, and by obferving that obligation you will frequently perceive that it correfponds with your defires. The chriftian ofener meet's with pleafure in the path of duty, than the libertine or the infidel can in their rebellious courfes.
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Our fophits tell us, that their fcheme of education is intended to eradicate all cvil propenfities, to induce good habits, and, by conftantly fimulating the palfions to proper ubjects, to make them infallible judges and wife directors. The refult of their cogitations has proved, that it is eafier to affirm the truth of a propofition, than to explain it by practice, or even by a plaufible theory. Their fyftems either in politics, morals, or education, cannot tend to eradicate evil propenfities, becaule they allow of no curb to reftrain them, nor any punifhment to fubdue them. No care, no vigilance, can guard even a cbild from temptations to rage, to hatred, to coveroufnefs, and to malice; and who that looks into the world can devife a fcheme of life which can preferve a man from thefe and many more feductions? Even the more amiable paffions
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are liable to the moft fatal and deftructive exceffes, ruinous to health, peace, fortune, and reputation. Yet thefe are what the new code of morals commands us to invigorate and ftrengthen. And how are we directed to fubdue what are there allowed to be erroneous propenfities? Why, we are to contemplate the charms of moral beauty; we are to ftudy the fitnefs of things; and a child is early to be taught to bow to the law of neceffity. Thefe abftract ideas are flated to be proper communications, even at that period when the intellect is not fufficiently expanded to admit the idea of the Creator; and it is by flimfy deductions from thefe metaphyfical notions, that the rejeEEers of revelation hope to form their pupils to virtue. Are they really fo ignorant of their own fpecies, as not to know that in the human heart wheat and tares grow indifcriminately; and that
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that they who love with the greateft cordiality are difpofed to bate with the utmof vehemence?

Let me feriouny recommend to all parents and guardians, who are not infetted by the mania of innovation, this folemn confideration: that education is intended to form immortal beings. Of courfe, if they leave out religion, they deprive it of its molt valuable part. And let me entreat them to return to the good old cuftom, and make their young charges well acquainted with that facred book, which, after explaining to us the caufe of human errors and crimes, fupplies the antidote for all our evils. Let them not be difcouraged from beginning early, and labouring hard, to make their children chrittians, by a falfe fear of infufing into their minds a corporeal idea of the Deity. The fymbols which he himfelf ules cannot be idolatrous.
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It is of the utmoft confequence that the babit of piety fhould be acquired in early life; but there is little danger to be apprehended from narrow conceptions of the nature of God, fince time and gradual improvement will correct them.

Suppofe that a child, from our defcription, forms an idea of a very powerful, ftrong, wife, good being who is prefent every where; and afcribes to this man what you tell him of God:May not this child be convinced that he receives his exiftence from this being; that he cannot do an evil action without his perceiving it ; that he muft do many things with a view of pleafing him ; that no evil can happen to him without his permifion; that all the good which he enjoys is derived from him, and that parents, mafters, $8 c c$. derive their authority from his ordinances? What prevents a child from underftanding thefe moral
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moral obligations, though he cannot conceive what a fpirit is like, nor form any clear ideas of omnifcience or omniprefence? Indeed, can any of us? But to procced. May not a child alfo be inftructed, that he will reap great advantages from obedience and docility, though he is now too young to be able to eftimate them; that children never were able to guide and inttruct themfelves; and that his teachers wanted the fame affiftance when young, and fubmitted to the fame reftrictions? May he not likewife be informed, that if he be very good (which goodnefs you may defcribe as confifting in all the amiable qualities that are proper to his age), though he muft die, yet after his death he will be happy with God in a better world? I will ftill go farther: let him alfo be taught that the Bible is a holy book dictated by God, and that Jefus Chrift, who
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who was God, came down to inftruct men by living with them. The doctrine of the atonement is, indeed, too abftrufe for a young child's conception; but even a pupil of feven years old muft, if it is not his inftructor's fault, derive great moral improvement; and a confiderable extenfion of intellectual knowledge, from the hiftorical narrative of our Saviour's life. And where is the abfurdity (I fpeak to Chrittians, not to Deifts) of children forming an early habit of addreffing their Maker night and morning; thanking him for his mercies, acknowledging their offences, and begging the divine protection for themfelves and their friends? Did I afk where was the abfurdity? Alas! I am adopting the cold apologetical ftyle of a midroay theorift, who halts between God and Mammon. Let fuch as neglect to infufe into their children's minds a habit of early
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piety remember, that, inftead of bringing them to Chrift, they withbold them from him. Let them remember, "that "praife is ordained to flow from the " mouths of babes and fucklings;" and that we cannot begin to fow the good feed too foon, nor too watchfully anticipate the vigilance of the enemy who will infallibly fcatter tares.

Let me alfo recommend one other' rule with refpect to education; which is, that children fhould be early habituated to ideas of dependence and fubjection : not on neceffity, as Rouffeau enjoins (for I difcard an unmeaning reference which can produce no effect), but on duty. Let them often hear fuch phrafes as, "You mult do fo becaufe it " is your duty;" or, "It is your duty not " to do fo ${ }^{\text {" }}$ " and add, as a comment, "Whatever is your duty, you will find "s to be your advantage; you are not Vor. III. S " old.
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" old enough to underftand laviv it will "s prove fo at prefent; but in time you "s will grow wifer, and better able to "fee the reafons of thefe inftructions." A teacher who has infpired a child with full confidence in his veracity will reap the advantage of fuch a mode of inftruc*tion. By premature reafoning we only fuggeft a falle confidence in a dormant quality.

I will here advert (though it is fomewhat irrelevant) to the great utility of illuftrating precept by example. The rifing generation has rectived confiderable benefit from the general ufe of little appofite inftructive hiftories of good and naughty children, conceived with judgment, and executed with fpirit; which very defervedly fupplant the fories of fairies and goblins, and fupply the juvenile library with really valuable literature. Example is certainly the bett means of initruction

$$
[387]
$$

influution at a volatile, thoughtlefs age; and they are little acquainted with the infant mind, who fuppofe that it can only be awakened by fenfible objects. Let me here again fuggeft how proper fcripture narratives are for the purpofe of inflruction; and I will clofe this fubject by repeating the obfervation, that a neglect of religious inftruction is a defect in modern education, which no vigilance, no extenfive views, can poffibly fupply. No one fcruples to teach children the elements of any fcience or accomplifhment in which it is propofed that they fhould be future proficients, at a time when their capacities are fo little unfolded that the memory alone is exercifed, and not the underfanding. I could inftance all foreign or dead languages, mufic, and arithmetic. The all-wife God, by recommending early

$$
\mathrm{S}_{2} \text { religious }
$$
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religious inftruction, enforces the principles which they purfue in all other inftances.

And now, my dear Son, let me conjure you to abhor and reject thofe falfe doctrines which have of late years affumed fuch confiftence, and fpoken out with. fuch unparalleled effrontery. May we not juftly fear, that the crying fin of infidelity, affifted in its progrefs by that cool, lukewarm neutrality into which piety has too generally declined, has awakened thofe alarming judgments with which the Almighty has recently vifited the earch? In this world, individuals muft fuffer with the community to which they belong; but in this be you a true patriot, and do not add to the heavy roll of your country's offences by your own premeditated .crimes. Into whatever folly or vice you may be betrayed, do

$$
\text { [ } 389 \text { ] }
$$

not, I conjure you, fin upon principle. Whatever views of earthly temporal happinefs you may blaft by youthful indifcretion, do not deprive yourfelf of your heavenly immortal inheritance, nor ever caft away the wretch's laft hope, repentance! As fure as you now exift, that impious fuggeftion of the moft terrible defpair, "the eternal heep of death," cannot but be a fallacy. Confciounne/s will for ever purfue you; and whatever guilt you incur here, you muft fulfer for hereafter.

The tenets which I have warmly reprobated are not, thanks be to God! generally adopted by my countrymen. The danger that refults from them confifts in their being artfully modified, and thruft upon the public attention in every form. It is impolfible to fay where they may not be concealed. In
S 3
a book
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a book defcribing the legiflation of a new colony, I met with an invidious fneer at the doetrine of a general refurrection. In a poem on harveft-home, the tithe fhock was introduced as the property of "the proud pampered paftor," and an object of envy to the " tattered " gleaner." Few novelifts or effayifts are free from this contagion; and the profpect which arifes from confidering the general tendency of periodical publications is yet more alarming. The friends of rational liberty tremble, and feek fecurity from anarchy in thole extenfions of prerogative which they would once have deemed illegal. The worhippers of religious truth fear to acknowledge that sight of free inquiry which is moft dear to their hearts, left they fhould fee it perverted by licentious impiety. The plain, honeft, uninformed citizen, fometimes
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times confounded, but never convinced, finds his path of conduct perplexed. Hè hears with aftonifhment of a new code of morals. He wonders what can be found out that is better than his Bible. He waits to fee what it will produce before he renounces his principles; and his aftonifhment changes to abhorrence at difcovering the enormous wickednefs of thofe who adopt it.

Two circumftances may excite the alarm of the enlightened ftatefman who ponders upon the afpect of the times. The doctrines of infubordination, and the habits of luxury, daily gain ground. Every order in fociety, gradually forcing itfelf into that immediately preceding, defpifes the Jeparating barrier; and, at the inftant that it difclaims fuperiority, acknowledges it by adopting the ex: travagancies, the follies, and the peS 4 culiar

## [ 392 ]

culiar modifications of vice, which marked the higher orders. Aware of the effects of this ruinous propenfity, I have endeavoured to give you a $j u f t$ idea of the ftation which you fill, and to make your mind and manners analogous to it. If I had addreffed a perfon in a more eminent fphere of life, I would have enlarged on the virtues and duties which are more peculiarly incumbent on rank and affluence. I am fearful that I have omitted many points which deferved your attention; and I have not always expreffed my ideas with the perfpicuity, precifion, and force with which I conceived them. The fincerity of my intentions has, however, been always the fame. My heart has been in the fubject, dictating the ardent wifh that you may grow up amiable, ingenuous, modeft, and diligent; pleafing in your
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carriage, affectionate in your difpofition; and correct in your conduct. With thefe ends in view, I have urged you to form your character, not on the impulfe of the moment, nor on variable capricious rules, the dictates of fafhion or of folly; but on firm unalterable principles, deduced from thofe confiderations which will preponderate after the lapfe of thoufands of ages.

To you and to your Brother I bequeath my labours: a pledge of my ftrong maternal attachment. I have enjoyed one heartfelt fatisfaction while engaged in this purfuit, which refults from the confcioufnefs of having difcharged my duty. Do you, my Children, add the exhilarating delight which will refult from my perceiving that you make the principles I have fo warmly recommended your rule of action; 10 and
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and I fhall then enjoy a reward far fuperior to any that fame or fortune can beftow.
, To you, my dear Thomas, ever prefent to me in mind, though diftant in perfon, I more peculiarly addrefs myfelf. The duty of an eldeft fon is in fome degree paternal. The younger branches always look up to him as a model; and the conduct of one often leads a whole family, by imitation, to vice or to virtue. Refolve then, with all the warm fincerity of youth, even in the fanctuary of God, and before his altar, that the fond affec. tion, the deference and efteem, with which you have infpired the hearts of your brothers, fhall not betray them into vice or folly. Ref,lve to be a comfort to the old age of thore parents who inftrucied and fupported your youth. By this folemn engagement you will take
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the moft certain method of infuring your own happinefs; and, that God may enable you to fulfil it! prays, from the fulnefs of her heart,

Your ever-affectionate Mother,
J. W.

THE END.
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