

To W. P. Garrison.

Leicester,

Aug. 13/67.

Dear Wendell,

220- Yrs. of yest. reached me at
noon to-day, finding me in the
grip of one of those headaches which
make me about good for nothing, -
but I will not forbear to pen a
few lines in reply to yours.

Briefly - my judgment is that,
beyond publishing your Father's note
on the subject, you are none of you
called upon to vindicate his course,
in opposition to what last week's
"Standard" contained. The fact of
his absence being stated, his own
letter is sufficient for the occasion,
until he shall have himself the
time & opportunity for any further
statement, if he deems one needful.

As to that letter - I do not know
where it is. I left it with the
Master in Chancery, as part of the case.

It was his request, & doubtless your
father's intent, that it should be
so placed. I have always
been sorry I did not take a copy.
Probably your father died; and
if so, Wm. may be able to find it.
But I will refer him to Mr. Podman
(the M. in Ch.) who can doubtless tell
him where the letter is.

The article in the "Standard", wh.
I first knew of through your note, is
truly an extraordinary one, - sophistical,
with ad captandem statements perv-
ading every part, ~~with~~ ^{and} enough of
the suppresis veri to taint its
whole argument & conclusion.

The money, on the part of W. P.,
C. K. W., &c; was asked for ^{at the Trustees' meeting} the
Standard; not for the Am. A. S.
Society, - which is a distinction to be
considered, because the Socy. might have
(as in F. Jackson's lifetime it did have)
other ways of influencing public opinion

them by the "Standard" - as by its agents,
its tracts, &c. In both these last G. J.
thoroughly believed. In the "Standard"
he did not believe: i.e. he did not
believe it right to use trust funds to
sustain a journal, however excellent,
which went before only a very small number
of subscribers, & they mostly already persuaded
of the principles of the Abolitionists. He was
emphatic, pronounced, on that point - even
to voting money for it, under protest, - I do
not mean formal, written protests (that was
not our way) - but with the most decided
statements, frequently, of his objections, &
^{aspiring up} that he yielded only in deference to the
judgment of his associates. On this subject,
Mr. Chapman can be referred to, as well
as E. Quincy, your father, & others

W. Phillips represents E. Jackson as
one of those who "wished all or a fair
share to be given to the Antislavery
Society." Mr. Jackson told me himself
that his own share was \$2000. to be

given to the "Standard" (not the Socy.), and that he was greatly astonished when y^r. Father made the motion for \$4200. to the "Standard". As Mr. Garrison did make that motion, he did not feel it was for him, he told me, to object.

Y^r. father & E. Quincy, strangely enough, did not recal F. Jackson's opposition to paying money to uphold the "Standard" at the Trustees' meeting referred to. Had I been there, I sh^d. have stated that fact as a very strong reason against giving one dollar of his money to the "Standard". Why was I not there? I was at home & the road badly blocked by snow; - more than that - the week previous I had told E. Jackson I would attend a Trustees' meeting any day but the next Monday; - that I could not then, on account of engagements at Leicester for the day & evening, - & begged him not to take that day, as I was bent upon attending. He said he w^d. take another day. Judge of my surprise on Saturday to receive a summons to attend a Trustees' meeting on Monday, and at 10 a.m. It was probably physically impossible for me to have been there. - unless I had started off that (Saturday) noon - such was the accumulation of snow on Sunday & Mond. morning. - E. Quincy & E. Jackson did not vote for the compromise. - They declined voting; but E. Q. did not protest.

My father told me the "Standard" was rejected, as the only reliable source of an Negro Suffrage. It is being omitted by Congress & the Nation. Left the Standard without any other voting in hand. Mr. Quincy's objection to the Standard was that it was a compromise. Mr. Quincy's objection to the Standard was that it was a compromise.