

of freedom. Indeed it seems to me that it is an duty to  
pursue such a course as shall constrain them to make  
some move in that direction. Nor can I see how the  
Buckner, Stone & Bacon can remain comfortable in their  
present position, in which they are leading all the time  
out of their minds to the ignoble object of turning ba-

*What kind of a bark will it be*  
*Bacon give us on "slavery?" - I have written to him*  
*to demand of him on all the difficulties it has with the*  
*abolitionists now regard of its private & personal*  
*character. If he is so wise as to do nothing in any*  
*of that kind -*



Rev. S. Hunt.  
Albany, N.Y. Mar. 14 / 46

Dr. A. A. Phelps.

118 Nassau St

New York

palopin for Slavery. And it seems to me that even if they do  
succeed to their own satisfaction in gaining the victory over you  
self & your abolition friends that they will find themselves very much  
in the situation of <sup>the</sup> victorious general who said that after such  
a victory would be his ruin. - Is it not possible to make  
them feel the uncomfortable of their position & so make them  
turn about a fight the other way? - I hope you will per-  
don this long letter. I am sure it has extended far beyond my de-  
sires when I began writing. - Your truly S. Hunt.

do poise & wait for some way in which, men that have long op-  
-mised abolitionism, can respectably & without too great a sacri-  
-fice of fidelity & consistency ~~exist~~ <sup>stand</sup> ~~against~~ <sup>with them</sup> the ac-  
-tuated institution. But the practical inquiry is - Men shall they  
-up? To Garrison? He has rendered himself justly obnoxious by  
-his ill concealed infidelity. To Leavitt? He has said too many  
-vicious things of them & they of him, to make such a step desir-  
-able, in their esteem. Besides they have always regarded & represented  
-him as a radical & his measure as impracticable. And yet in  
-politics and the state church, they would gladly throw off the de-  
-moralizing vassalage of Southern dictation & insolence. Could now  
-a some way of opposing Slavery be proposed, by which the ties of  
-the party "of organization" could be severed on the side of Liberty, in  
-order to <sup>it</sup> against it as heretofore, I think there would be less  
-danger in uniting the whole North against it in political  
-or ecclesiastical action upon the subject. We have just seen  
-what has been done in New Hampshire; and I think if the pro-  
-slavery men employed, in might with similar results in all the  
-Free States. Why not? If Mr. W. has gone against Slavery, what state  
-are we not? And altho' I have voted with the Liberty Party for the  
-last two years, yet it seems to me that abolitionists should  
-unite themselves willing, to co-operate with those of the Wing Party  
<sup>specially</sup> who are now trying to commit that Party against Slavery.  
-especially in New York, with reference to the coming conventions.  
-Indeed it seems to me that if the abolitionists would unite  
-themselves with the Wngs in relation to that measure, you  
-would soon have New York as permanently severed on  
-both sides of freedom. And so in the Church, I think that  
-it should be willing to co-operate with the great men in whatever  
-attempts they may be willing to make, properly on the side

than I had before was the fact that they are desirous and do p  
Prudentia Committee find themselves in a position when they may  
consult both sides - that even if they personally sympathized with  
the abolitionists & would be glad to do exactly right, yet there  
is a large body of D.D.'s & L.D.'s our rich men, who are bent upon  
opposing abolitionism as a kind of rabid intoleration, & who destr  
mish all arguments in its defense by an appeal to Garrison's "licen  
fidelity & Leanit." Loving & Third paragraph. This large class give  
a good deal of money - less a good deal of influence &c. &c. &c.  
and are certainly far more reluctant to admit adopt any pro  
concluding or assuming any position that shall drive them away  
off. I did not gather so I am confident that there is a con  
flictual deal too much of this solicitude - a great deal more than has  
been found in that Missionary Board which met in that little  
"upper room" in Jerusalem just before Pentecost. But it is a feature  
of the times, & exciting as it does only occurs in some measure to  
what in due time otherwise seems to us unaccountable.

4. There is another idea that that has impressed itself  
on my mind ~~more~~ recently, suggested by the develop  
ments of the times, is the increasing mellowness of the  
public mind, both in church and state upon the sub  
ject of Slavery. The high-handed course of the South during  
the past year or two has forced the subject upon the minds  
of men that a short time since were most bitter against  
all abolition movements. Poor Foreign improvements. Woe to  
such expusion - G. M. Clay's expusion, & above all that  
great giant ascendancy, the Annexation of Texas, have  
made many of our former conservatives uneasy in their  
longer regarded as the apologists of Slavery. Indeed it  
seems to me that the public mind is in a kind of equi-

could be & printed Memorials circulated in every church in N.E. - the  
Middle States - and even the West - until we got a roll as long as  
J. Quincy Adams one presented in Congress - and this could be presented  
before the Board at its next meeting. I think it would have a good  
effect. I would have the memorials drawn up with great care and inci-  
tiveness and of such a cast as should command the respect & con-  
sideration of all who are not radically corrupt & sold unto "slavery." In  
deed I was never more convinced of the absolute importance of bringing  
out into some tangible form the abolition feeling that now exists in the  
church, so that they may be compelled to see how much feeling there  
is upon the subject. The fact is that men are strongly reluctant  
to break up old associations - leave old organizations or embark in  
new ones especially when time & effort money are demanded and ob-  
ligation & <sup>if not</sup> ~~excuse~~ <sup>is</sup> to be incurred. The consequence is that, <sup>the contributions to</sup> an An-  
ti Slavery Board - and Conventions have been fair exponents of the real  
anti slavery influence of the church & community. Very, greater men  
may be willing to put forth efforts to bring old bodies right than  
to form & sustain new ones. I make these remarks, while yet  
personally I should be better satisfied to act with a new Board  
of Missions, than with the A.S. Board as now constituted. I believe  
then good would be accomplished by <sup>joining an other</sup> ~~an~~ association, before imposing a con-  
sideration, in which a portion of the church might and professing the  
Christian, could exert labor, thereby compelling all to associate thro' the  
present Board. But as a means of promoting anti-slavery, I  
think we should hew to the Board & compel them by the urgency,  
constancy & number of our monstrances to take right ground.  
The fate of Garrison & Co has made me afraid of complaisance-  
& compel him to regard it as the dearie resort  
3 Another thing that I have made to appreciate more

Audubon & Mr. Green I had very fr. conversation with them upon the general subject, and this conclusion & even my conclusion was that there was not that radical difference between "abolitionists" & the Board that some have supposed. I think that letter of Dr. Green - & that remarks of Dr. Audubon to which you refer are evidence when there lies the difficulty; & why do they hesitate to take the ground we are & suppose to be right? Perhaps I cannot answer these questions better, so far as I have any opinion upon them, than by giving several conclusions to which I was led by my intercourse & conversation with the sentiments and acts at No.

1. I think that the Leontines would be glad if they can rid of all Southern connection whatever. Indeed they told me that the South was doing the Board many more hurt than it ~~can~~ <sup>could do</sup> good. If the way was clear they would deem it a most happy riddance if they could absolve from all further connection with the territory south of Mason's & Dixon's line in relation both to Missions & Collections. —

2. They are very desirous as to the popular feeling; and would do much to satisfy the Anti-slavery sentiment now in existence. Indeed in consideration of the ~~real~~ <sup>only such</sup> amount of real anti-slavery <sup>feeling</sup>, of the existence of which they have evidence as is furnished by the small sums that are contributed to their missions that are avowedly Anti-slavery - the "Union" - "West India" &c. &c. - and the number attendance that has been given to meetings called for the purpose of considering the action that abolitionists ought to take upon the subject of Missions. I think they exhibit full as much solicitude as we could expect. And the conclusion to which I came from this manifestation of solicitude on their part was this; that we ought in some way <sup>to</sup> get an expression of the sentiment of the churches, & present it before them. If these

Natick Mar 14. 1846.

Rev & dear Sir

24

Your favor of 1. 7<sup>th</sup> was duly received. The inquiries you proposed are very naturally suggested by the contents of the Report of our Meeting at Holliston. I was surprised to hear the remarks just from Dr A. I asked him on the spot if he was willing to say that in print. He did not admit that he should and yet he was summing ready for me to publish what I have published in the Reformer & Puritan. Whether he would be willing to urge the Board to the adoption of the same sentiment, as you inquire; I remark that I hardly think he would. You ask if the Lecturers have really assumed to give instructions to the Board Missionary in advance of the Report of the Board. It would seem so; for during the time that David Green read in the Meeting sounded very differently ~~from~~ from the Report which he prepared for the meeting in Brooklyn; as Mr Leavitt who heard it will testify I presume. You inquire "Or is it a mere play upon terms - a new jingle of words with the old meaning?" - And you ask for "the real facts in the case - the behind the scene facts in the case." - These are hard questions; and question I feel little competent to answer. For I am by no means an admitted spectator behind the scenes. I am one of "those abolitionists" - and they are not the men that are admitted into the secret conclaves of our "popular" bodies. And yet I am far to confess that I came away from Holliston feeling better & more encouraged than I went. I "put up" with Dr