


AL.2.2006-102
c.2

LOWER BOW RIVER FISH POPULATION STATUS ASSESSMENT

- August 2000 -



RL&L

Environmental Services Ltd.

LOWER BOW RIVER FISH POPULATION STATUS ASSESSMENT

August 2000

Prepared for

ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT
Natural Resources Service
Fisheries and Wildlife Management Division
Bow Region
Strathmore, Alberta

by

RL&L ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD.

17312 - 106 Avenue
Edmonton, Alberta
T5S 1H9
Phone: (780) 483-3499
Fax: (780) 483-1574
edmonton@rll.ca

March 2001



Printed on
Recycled Paper

Cover Photo: A member of Alberta Environment collects a scale sample for ageing purposes from a Bow River brown trout.

Page 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

2.0 STUDY AREA 2

3.0 OBJECTIVES 3

4.0 METHODS 4

4.1 STUDY AREA 4

4.2 FISH CAPTURE METHODS 4

4.3 DATA COLLECTION 4

4.4 DATA ANALYSIS 4

5.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 5

6.0 SPECIES COMPOSITION AND AGE AND SEX ANALYSIS 6

6.1 SPECIES COMPOSITION 6

6.2 RELATIVE ABUNDANCE 6

7.0 LIFE HISTORY DATA 7

7.1 SIZE DISTRIBUTION 7

7.2 TOTAL LENGTH - TOTAL LENGTH RELATIONSHIPS 7

7.3 LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS 7

7.4 ANNUAL GROWTH 7

7.5 FISH HOBBY INDEX 7

8.0 POPULATION ESTIMATES 8

8.1 BROWN TROUT 8

8.2 WAGGON TROUT 8

8.3 MOUNTAIN WHITEFISH 8

8.4 FISH ABUNDANCE 8

9.0 LITERATURE CITED 9

APPENDIX A - Population Estimation 10

APPENDIX B - Growth and Life History Data 11

Suggested Citation: RL&L Environmental Services Ltd. 2001. Lower Bow River fish population status assessment - August 2000. Prepared for Alberta Environment. RL&L Report No. 855F: 30 p. + 3 app.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

RL&L Environmental Services Ltd. would like to thank Mr. Trevor Rhodes and Mr. Cam Wallman of Alberta Environment for initiating the project and for providing information and guidance during the study. We would also like to thank the staff of Alberta Environment for their contributions to the data collection. Funding was provided by the Alberta Conservation Association.

The following employees of RL&L Environmental Services Ltd. contributed to the collection of data and/or preparation of this report:

Corey Stefura	- Project Biologist, Author
Jack Patalas	- Report Editor
Jim Campbell	- Fisheries Biologist
Scott Morrison	- Fisheries Technician
Charlene Williamson	- Fisheries Technician
Chantal Pattenden	- Fisheries Technician
Mike Braeuer	- Fisheries Technician
Rob Stack	- Fisheries Technician

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page #
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 METHODS	2
2.1 STUDY AREA AND SAMPLE PERIOD	2
2.2 RIVER CONDITIONS	2
2.3 FISH CAPTURE AND ASSESSMENT	2
2.4 DATA ANALYSIS	4
2.4.1 Size-Classes	4
2.4.2 Catch Data	5
2.4.3 Life History	5
2.4.4 Population Estimates	5
3.0 RIVER CONDITIONS	7
4.0 SPECIES COMPOSITION AND RELATIVE ABUNDANCE	8
4.1 SPECIES COMPOSITION	8
4.2 RELATIVE ABUNDANCE	8
5.0 LIFE HISTORY DATA	13
5.1 SIZE DISTRIBUTION	13
5.2 FORK LENGTH - TOTAL LENGTH RELATIONSHIPS	13
5.3 LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS	16
5.4 AGE AND GROWTH	16
5.5 FISH INJURY INDICES	18
6.0 POPULATION ESTIMATES	20
6.1 BROWN TROUT	21
6.2 RAINBOW TROUT	24
6.3 MOUNTAIN WHITEFISH	24
6.4 FISH MOVEMENTS	27
7.0 LITERATURE CITED	30
APPENDIX A Raw Data for Captured Fish	
APPENDIX B CPUE and Life History Data	
APPENDIX C Population Estimate Data	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page #
Table 2.1	Fin marking procedures for identifying capture date and location during the Bow River fish population assessment, August 2000.	4
Table 3.1	Water temperature, conductivity, and mean daily discharge of the Bow River during the fish population assessment, August 2000.	7
Table 4.1	Sportfish species captured in the Bow River, August 2000.	8
Table 4.2	Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE expressed as fish/1000s) and standard deviation (SD) for sportfish captured in the Bow River in August 2000 compared to similar data from 1990-1992 (Courtney 1993) and 1999 (RL&L 2000).	10
Table 5.1	Number of injured fish and causes recorded during fish population assessment in the Bow River, August 2000.	18
Table 6.1	Number of fish marked and recaptured during fish population assessment in the Bow River, August 2000.	20
Table 6.2	Brown trout population estimates for the Bow River, August 2000.	21
Table 6.3	Rainbow trout population estimates for the Bow River, August 2000.	24
Table 6.4	Mountain whitefish population estimates for the Bow River, August 2000.	27
Table 6.5	Summary of fish movements out of the Bow River study area during fish population assessments, August 2000.	29

LIST OF FIGURES

Page #

Figure 2.1	Bow River Study Area, August 2000.	3
Figure 4.1	Relative abundance, expressed as catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.	9
Figure 4.2	Comparison of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) indices recorded in the Bow River during 1990-1992 (Courtney 1993), 1999 (RL&L 2000) and during the present study (August 2000).	11
Figure 5.1	Length frequency distribution of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish captured by boat electrofishing in the Bow River, August 2000.	14
Figure 5.2	Fork length-weight regressions and fork length-total length relationships for brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish in the Bow River, August 2000.	15
Figure 5.3	Age-length relationships for brown trout and rainbow trout captured in the Bow River, August 2000.	17
Figure 6.1	Comparison of brown trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Darroch method, 1982 to 2000.	22
Figure 6.2	Comparison of brown trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Null method, 1990 to 2000.	23
Figure 6.3	Comparison of rainbow trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Darroch method, 1982 to 2000.	25
Figure 6.4	Comparison of rainbow trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Null method, 1990 to 2000.	26
Figure 6.5	Distance moved by individual brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish between release and recapture locations in the Bow River, August 2000.	28

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Lower Bow River near Calgary (downstream of Bearspaw Dam) provides an excellent sport fishery for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), and mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*). Fisheries studies conducted during the early 1980's assessed the status of brown trout and rainbow trout populations immediately downstream of Calgary (Sosiak and Griffiths 1983; Sosiak 1984). Based on these studies, new fishing regulations requiring anglers to release all trout greater than 40 cm in length were implemented in the section of river between Highway 22X bridge and the Carseland Weir in 1983. This regulation change was designed to increase the number of large trout in the system. Monitoring studies were conducted between 1984 and 1992 (Sosiak et al. 1988; Fernet et al. 1988; Courtney and Fernet 1989, Courtney and Fernet 1990, Courtney and Fernet 1991; Helwig and Courtney 1993; Courtney 1993) to assess the effectiveness of these regulations.

In August 1999, Alberta Environment and RL&L Environmental Services Ltd. (RL&L) conducted a fisheries study to update the status of the sportfish populations in the Lower Bow River. The results of this study were compared with the previous data of the 1980's and early 1990's in RL&L (2000). Recognizing that the continuation of the monitoring program is necessary to assess the impact of fishing regulations and increasing recreational use on the Lower Bow River sportfish populations, Alberta Environment contracted RL&L to assist in a follow-up survey in August 2000. Similar to the objectives outlined in RL&L (2000), the main requirements of the present study were to:

- derive population estimates (with confidence limits) for different size-classes of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish;
- calculate catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) indices for these size-classes and species;
- determine life history data (length frequencies, length-weight regressions, condition factors, fork length to total length conversion factors, age-length relationships, injury indices, etc.) for the target species;
- identify possible changes in fish populations over time by comparing the current data to corresponding data from previous years; and,
- assess statistical assumptions inherent in mark/recapture methods (e.g., fish movement).

2.0 METHODS

2.1 STUDY AREA AND SAMPLE PERIOD

The study area was located on the Bow River in the City of Calgary (between Kms 49.5 and 53.5 downstream of the Bears paw Dam) and corresponded to the same 4-km river section assessed in 1999 (Figure 2.1). There are no major named tributaries to the Bow River within this river section. This section was further divided into four 1-km sub-sections to assess fish movements within the study area. The upstream and downstream boundaries of each section were geo-referenced (UTM - NAD27) using a Garmin 45 GPS unit. The mean width (m) and area (ha) of the study sections were measured from air photos (1:20 000 scale) taken on 17 July 1998. As reported in RL&L (2000), the total sampled area was approximately 36 ha within the 4-km study section. The study site was accessed using the boat launch at Fish Creek Provincial Park, located upstream of the Highway 22X bridge. The field sampling was conducted between 21 and 24 August 2000.

2.2 RIVER CONDITIONS

Water temperature (digital thermometer, ± 0.1 °C) and conductivity (Oakton TDSTestr3, $\pm 2\%$ FS) were measured in the Bow River within the study area each day during the study period. Discharge information for the Bow River at Calgary (Water Survey of Canada Station 05BH004) was obtained from the Forecasting Section of the Alberta Environment Water Sciences Branch.

2.3 FISH CAPTURE AND ASSESSMENT

Similar to the methods employed in 1999 (RLL 2000), fish sampling was conducted by members of RL&L and Alberta Environment, using two three-person crews and two jet-drive electrofishing boats. The boats used were a Smith-Root SR18 and a Roughneck Tunnelhull; each boat was equipped with a GPP 5.0 electrofisher unit and two fixed-boom anode arrays. The electrofisher units were set at a frequency of 30 Hz and a pulse width of 3.5 ms, yielding a total power output ranging from 3.5 to 5.0 A. These settings were determined to be the most efficient for capturing large fish without inducing injuries. Pulse widths of 60 Hz were not used as they may have resulted in a higher incidence of injury (Snyder 1995).

Sampling within each section was conducted along both banks of the river. To ensure optimum coverage, the boat operators manoeuvred the boats in a downstream direction. In areas where islands were encountered (Sections 2 and 3), sampling was conducted in the channel where sufficient depth and better fish habitat existed. Each section of the study area was sampled twice daily.




Figure 2.1

Bow River Study Area, August 2000.

The captured fish were retained in an on-board aerated holding tank prior to processing. Once sampling of a sub-section was completed, the fish were transferred into aerated tanks for processing by two crews on two additional boats.

All life history data (Appendix A, Table A1) were collected by Alberta Environment personnel. Fork length and total length of the captured fish were measured in millimetres (± 1 mm); weights were measured in grams (± 5 g), using a Pelouze Model PE10CN digital read-out scale. Life history information was collected from all fish, including recaptures. Most trout and mountain whitefish greater than 200 mm in fork length were tagged at the base of the dorsal fin with orange 'T-bar' anchor tags (Floy[®] Model FD-94). Smaller trout and mountain whitefish were marked by clipping the pectoral or pelvic fins. Different fins were clipped on each sampling day to identify the date of original capture (Table 2.1). In addition to the "date" clip, each small fish was marked on the caudal or adipose fin with a hole punch; the position of the punched hole indicated capture location (Table 2.1).

Table 2.1 Fin marking procedures for identifying capture date and location during the Bow River fish population assessment, August 2000.

Code	Capture Date	Fin Clip	Code	Capture Location	Fin Punch
A	21 August	Left pectoral fin	1	Section 1	Upper lobe of caudal
B	22 August	Right pectoral fin	2	Section 2	Middle of caudal
C	23 August	Left pelvic fin	3	Section 3	Lower lobe of caudal
D	24 August	Right pelvic fin	4	Section 4	Adipose clip

Scale samples were collected from representative individuals of each species. Otoliths (and associated scales) were also collected by Alberta Environment personnel on a subsequent inventory program, and were used to validate scale ageing. Otoliths were not taken during the population study to prevent biases in the marked cohort. Each fish was examined for evidence of external damage and injuries (e.g., hook damage from angling activities) prior to release. The severity of each injury was categorized as low, medium or high. All fish were released near shore at the mid-point of the corresponding capture sub-section in order to reduce possible biases associated with fish movements between sections.

2.4 DATA ANALYSIS

2.4.1 Size-Classes

The size-classes were based on the criteria developed by Courtney (1993) and those reported in RL&L (2000). The "large" size-classes corresponded to the size limits established by the current Alberta Fishing Regulations (i.e., maximum 40 cm total length for trout species and minimum 30 cm total length for mountain whitefish). The "medium" size-classes were consistent with those reported in Courtney (1993) and RL&L (2000). Based on the total length versus fork length regression equations (Courtney 1993), the "large" size-classes included brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish that were greater than 388, 380, and 280 mm in fork length, respectively. The difference in fork length between brown trout and rainbow trout is attributed to the deeper fork of the caudal fin in rainbow trout.

2.4.2 Catch Data

All CPUE calculations were based on brown trout, rainbow trout and mountain whitefish greater than 150 mm in fork length. Separate CPUE values for each species were calculated for each study section and for each size-class of fish. The CPUE indices were presented in this report as fish/km and fish/1000 s to allow comparisons with previous years data. Recaptured marked fish were included in the database used to generate CPUE values.

2.4.3 Life History

Fork length data were presented as length frequency histograms based on 10 mm size intervals. The relationship between fork length and total length was calculated using least squares regressions to allow conversions between the two measurement criteria. Relationships between length and weight were presented as length-weight regressions (log fork length vs log weight) and as Fulton's condition factor calculated according to Ricker (1975). The length and weight calculations included all fish captured for the first time; marked recaptures were excluded from the calculations as they would have biased the size characteristics of the populations.

Approximately 100 fish of each target species were aged. Fish were aged according to protocols outlined in Mackay et al. (1990). All ageing structures were read by a minimum of two qualified personnel. Magnified scale images were produced to facilitate the ageing process.

2.4.4 Population Estimates

The population estimates were calculated using the MARK software (White and Burnham 1999). This software is available at www.cnr.colostate.edu/%7egwhite/mark/mark.htm and contains the CAPTURE program used to calculate population estimates in 1990-1992. The fish data were summarized into mark-recapture events and fish encounter history files were generated according to procedures described in White and Burnham (1999). The encounter history files were subsequently grouped by species and size-classes. The population size analyses were based on eight sampling events. The output of the MARK software included an estimate of population size, standard error, coefficient of variation, upper and lower 95% confidence intervals, and capture probabilities for each tested group.

During the 1982-1988 period, population estimates of the Bow River fish were generated using the Darroch estimator (White et al. 1982). During the more recent studies (1990-1992 and 1999), population estimates were derived using the Null method (Otis et al. 1978) in addition to the Darroch estimator. Similarly, the present study utilized both the Darroch and Null methods to enable comparisons with previous data and to allow for a more complete assessment of population size changes over the past two decades.

Population estimates were generated separately for three size-classes of brown trout (150-250, 251-388, and >388 mm in fork length) and rainbow trout (150-250, 251-380, and >380 mm in fork length) to be consistent with the size-classes used in the 1990-1992 and 1999 population studies. Mountain whitefish population size in the study area was estimated for the first time in 1999; these estimates were generated for two size-classes (200-280 and

>280 mm in fork length). During the present study, mountain whitefish population estimates were calculated for three size-classes (150-199, 200-280, and >280 mm in fork length) to allow for more accurate determination of the size of the youngest cohorts.

In addition to generating estimates for separate size-classes, the recapture data were pooled for each species to estimate the population size of all size-classes combined. All population estimates are expressed as the number of fish/km and fish/ha to allow comparisons to previous studies.

Recaptured fish were assessed to determine the distances travelled between the original capture and recapture locations during subsequent sampling runs (infrequent recaptures of fish that were marked during the same sampling run were ignored). These calculations were used to assess movements of fish out of the study area. This determined the potential bias in the population estimates caused by a violation of the closure assumption inherent in mark-recapture methodologies. The movement data were summarized for all size-classes of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish; they were not calculated for individual size-classes because of limited sample sizes of recaptured fish. Movements of fish recaptured twice were considered as two separate events.

The encounter histories of fish that were fin clipped (i.e., not marked with a unique tag number) were generated by matching the clip code information and fish size data upon recapture to the original capture information.

3.0 RIVER CONDITIONS

Mean daily discharge of the Bow River in Calgary during the fish capture events in 2000 decreased from 89.2 m³/s on 21 August to 77.2 m³/s on 24 August (Alberta Environment, Water Sciences Branch). The flows in 2000 were lower compared to the long-term average flows during 21-24 August over a twenty-year period between 1975 and 1994 (Environment Canada 1996), and considerably lower than the conditions in 1999. Water temperatures in the Bow River during the study period (21 to 24 August 2000) ranged between 14.4 and 18.7°C, whereas water conductivity varied between 271 and 305 µS (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1 Water temperature, conductivity, and mean daily discharge of the Bow River during the fish population assessment, August 2000.

Date	Water Temperature (°C)	Conductivity (S)	Mean Daily Discharge (m ³ /s)	
			2000 ^a	1975 - 1994 ^b
21 August	14.8 - 17.9	275 - 296	89.3	107
22 August	14.4 - 18.6	273 - 293	84.8	105
23 August	15.7 - 18.7	271 - 291	79.7	106
24 August	16.5 - 18.0	291 - 305	77.2	103

^a preliminary data from Alberta Environment - Water Science Branch.

^b long-term (20-yr) average calculated from mean daily discharges (Environment Canada 1996).

During the 1975-1994 period, mean monthly flows of the Bow River in Calgary were highest in June (174 m³/s) and considerable lower in August (113 m³/s). Comparison of mean monthly flows in August during the years when previous population estimates in the Bow River were carried out indicated that higher than "normal" flows occurred in 1990, 1991 and 1999 (August means of 135, 158 m³/s and 177 m³/s, respectively), whereas lower than "normal" flows occurred in 1982-1985, 1988, 1992, and 2000 (August means ranged from 88 to 106 m³/s).

Daily flows during the present study were approximately 21% lower than the 'normal' flows during the corresponding dates between 1975 and 1994 (Table 3.1). This may have increased the sampling efficiency of the electrofishing operations by concentrating the fish in deeper holding areas. As a result of the reduced flows in 2000, water temperature and aquatic macrophyte abundance were higher than in 1999.

The mean width (m) and area (ha) of the study sections were measured from air photos (1:20 000 scale) taken on 17 July 1998. As reported in RL&L (2000), the total sampled area was approximately 36 ha within the 4-km study section.

4.0 SPECIES COMPOSITION AND RELATIVE ABUNDANCE

4.1 SPECIES COMPOSITION

In total, 1381 sportfish were captured during the August 2000 population study of the Bow River (Table 4.1). Mountain whitefish ($n=654$) was the predominant species in the catch, contributing almost half (47.4%) to the total catch. Rainbow trout ($n=441$) and brown trout ($n=278$) contributed 31.9% and 20.1%, respectively, to the total catch. Other sportfish species in the catch included burbot ($n=4$) and bull trout ($n=4$). Non-sportfish species (longnose sucker and white sucker) were frequently observed in the study area but were not enumerated during the study.

Table 4.1 Sportfish species captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Species		Number Captured ^a	Percent Composition
Mountain whitefish	<i>Prosopium williamsoni</i> (Girard)	654	47.4
Brown trout	<i>Salmo trutta</i> Linnaeus	278	20.1
Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Walbaum)	441	31.9
Bull trout	<i>Salvelinus confluentus</i> (Suckley)	4	0.3
Burbot	<i>Lota lota</i> (Linnaeus)	4	0.3
TOTAL		1381	100.0

^a Includes recaptured fish.

4.2 RELATIVE ABUNDANCE

Relative abundance data for each size-class of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish in the study area are summarized as catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) indices for each sampling day and study section (Appendix B, Figures B1 to B3). The overall CPUE values for all size-classes combined are presented in Figure 4.1. The sampling effort remained relatively constant during each sampling run (ranged from 4461 to 5848 seconds per 4-km run along both banks); therefore, CPUE indices are presented as number of fish captured per 1-km section sampled (both banks included). CPUE indices expressed as number of fish per 1000 seconds of electrofishing time are included in Appendix B, Tables B1 to B4.

In general, catch rates for each species did not vary greatly between study sections and between days. Catch rates for brown trout ranged between 3.5 and 14.5 fish/km (mean of 8.7 fish/km), regardless of sampling day or study section (Appendix B, Table B2). Catch rates for brown trout were highest in Section 1 during the first three days, but highest in Section 4 on the fourth day. Lower catch rates were frequently observed in Section 2. The catch rates in Section 1 decreased steadily over the four day period.




Figure 4.1 Relative abundance, expressed as catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish captured in the Bow River, August 2000 (note changes in y-axis scales).

Catch rates of rainbow trout were higher and less variable in 2000 (7.0 to 19.0 fish/km; mean of 13.8 fish/km; Appendix B, Table B3) than in 1999 (1.5 to 12.5 fish/km; mean of 5.9 fish/km; RL&L, 2000). The catch rates were similar between days. Catches in Section 4 were always highest and in Section 2 were frequently the lowest.

Mountain whitefish catch rates varied from 10.5 to 38.0 fish/km (mean of 20.4 fish/km). Mountain whitefish catch rates were generally highest in Section 3 and lowest in Section 2 (Appendix B, Table B4). Section 3 contains a rapid deep-run habitat complex, which may have provided more suitable mountain whitefish habitat than the other sections under the low flow conditions.

The overall mean catch rates in 2000 (all study sections and sampling days combined) are compared to the previous data from 1990 to 1999 in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.2. To be consistent with the units presented in Courtney (1993), the mean CPUE indices are presented as the number of fish captured per 1000 seconds of electrofishing effort. Standard deviations of the means are presented to describe daily variability in the catch rates.

Table 4.2 Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE expressed as fish/1000s) and standard deviation (SD) for sportfish captured in the Bow River in August 2000 compared to similar data from 1990-1992 (Courtney 1993) and 1999 (RL&L 2000).

Study Year	Parameter	Brown Trout Size-Class (mm FL)			Rainbow Trout Size-Class (mm FL)			Mountain Whitefish Size-Class (mm FL)		
		150-250	251-388	>388	150-250	251-380	>380	150-199	200-280	>280
2000	Mean	3.03	1.20	2.75	4.60	1.19	5.23	1.52	7.01	7.72
	SD	1.18	0.29	0.80	0.95	0.34	0.47	0.78	2.01	2.05
1999	Mean	3.36	1.11	5.41	0.34	0.40	4.79	-	10.26	4.80
	SD	0.14	0.45	1.03	0.23	0.20	0.62	-	1.84	2.60
1992	Mean	8.11	5.00	1.46	4.72	3.08	5.09	-	-	-
	SD	4.15	2.03	0.87	2.14	0.17	0.57	-	-	-
1991	Mean	9.33	1.92	0.48	0.43	2.10	2.82	-	-	-
1990	Mean	4.60	0.30	1.20	5.00	0.90	3.90	-	-	-

Catch rates of brown trout in the two smaller size-classes were comparable to those reported in 1999; however, the catch rates of the adult size-class (>388 mm size-class) were two times lower in 2000 than in 1999. CPUE values for the juvenile size-class (150-250 mm) remained considerably lower in 1999 and 2000 than those reported by Courtney (1993) in the early 1990's. Catch rates of the intermediate size class fell within the range of values presented by Courtney (1993) and RL&L (2000). The variability in rates may be related to changes in spawning success of individual cohorts or other environmental factors, such as flow regime.

Catch rates of juvenile rainbow trout (150-250 mm) were approximately thirteen times higher in 2000 than in 1999. A similar dramatic increase was observed in the catch rates between 1991 and 1992. The catch rate of rainbow trout in the intermediate size class (251-380 mm) also increased in 2000 compared to 1999. These differences may be




Figure 4.2 Comparison of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) indices recorded in the Bow River during 1990-1992 (Courtney 1993), 1999 (RL&L 2000), and during the present study. The histogram bars indicate mean CPUE values; the "T's" above the bars represent standard deviations of the means (note changes in y-axis scales).

attributed to changes in discharge levels in the Bow River during the sampling periods, as higher than normal flows experienced in 1999 may have resulted in lower capture efficiency for the smaller size-classes. The increase in catch rates of juvenile rainbow trout in 2000 may also be attributed to increased recruitment in 1999. Adult rainbow trout (>380 mm) were captured at approximately the same rate in 2000 as during the previous studies.

Mountain whitefish capture rates were lower in the intermediate size-class (200-280 mm) in 2000 compared to 1999, however, the opposite trend was noted for the large size-class (>280 mm). This suggested that the numerous 200-280 mm size class from 1999 contributed to the higher adult catches in 2000.

5.0 LIFE HISTORY DATA

5.1 SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Brown trout captured in the study area ($n=265$ excluding recaptured fish) ranged from 178 to 624 mm in fork length (Appendix B, Table B5). Similar to the catch in 1999, the length-frequency distribution exhibited two distinct size-classes (Figure 5.1). More than one-third (38.9%) of the catch was comprised of fish larger than 388 mm in fork length (i.e., fish protected by the current angling regulations). Juvenile fish between 178 and 279 mm in fork length were also well represented and contributed 54.8% to the total catch (Appendix B, Table B6). In contrast, fish between 280 and 388 mm were captured infrequently (6.4% of total catch). The length-frequency distribution in 2000 indicated more juvenile fish and fewer adults than in 1999; low numbers of fish in the intermediate size-class were observed during both years.

Rainbow trout ($n=411$) ranged from 158 to 590 mm in fork length (Appendix B, Table B5). The length-frequency distribution of rainbow trout indicated a bimodal distribution (Figure 5.1). Fish greater than 380 mm in fork length contributed much less to the total catch in 2000 (46.2%) than in 1999 (84.3%). These fish represented the size-class protected by the current regulations. The portion of the population in the 150 to 250 mm size-class was much higher in 2000 than in 1999 (42.8% and 6.5%, respectively). The rainbow trout size distribution in 2000 exhibited a high contribution of small fish (likely due to a strong 1999 cohort) and a minor contribution of fish in the 251 to 380 mm size class. A similar length-frequency distribution was recorded in 1990 (Courtney 1993).

Mountain whitefish ($n=613$) ranged between 155 and 465 mm in fork length (Appendix B, Table B5). The length-frequency distribution exhibited three distinct modes, which likely corresponded to age-classes (Figure 5.1). The most abundant size-class (155-239 mm) was dominated by yearling fish and contributed 43.3% to the total catch. Mountain whitefish larger than 280 mm in total length (i.e., fish legal for harvest under current regulations) contributed 47.3% to the total catch.

5.2 FORK LENGTH - TOTAL LENGTH RELATIONSHIPS

Relationships between fork length (FL) and total length (TL) were plotted for each of the target species to allow conversions between these two measurements methods (Figure 5.2). The regression equations were as follows:

Brown trout	$TL = 1.006 FL + 7.672$	$r^2 = 0.999$	$n=263$
Rainbow trout	$TL = 1.034 FL + 3.431$	$r^2 = 0.999$	$n=407$
Mountain whitefish	$TL = 1.061 FL + 3.112$	$r^2 = 0.998$	$n=613$




Figure 5.1 Length-frequency distribution of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish captured by boat electrofishing in the Bow River, August 2000.









Figure 5.2 Fork length-weight regressions and fork length-total length relationships for brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish in the Bow River, August 2000.

5.3 LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS

The relationships between fork length (FL) and weight (W) for brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish are illustrated in Figure 5.2. The calculated regression lines for each species were as follows:

Brown trout	$\log W = 2.952 \log FL - 4.779$	$r^2 = 0.993$	$n=265$
Rainbow trout	$\log W = 2.782 \log FL - 4.355$	$r^2 = 0.984$	$n=405$
Mountain whitefish	$\log W = 3.512 \log FL - 6.056$	$r^2 = 0.987$	$n=605$

Similar length-weight relationships for the trout species were recorded during the previous studies of the Bow River (Courtney 1993; RL&L 2000).

The mean condition factors for the three target species are presented in (Appendix B, Table B5). The mean condition factors for brown trout and mountain whitefish in the Bow River in 2000 were similar as in 1999. In contrast, adult rainbow trout (>380 mm fork length) were heavier at a given length in 2000 than in 1999 (mean condition factors of 1.17 and 1.12, respectively); this difference was statistically significant (t-test $p > 0.05$). As in 1999, condition factors were lower in the larger size-classes than the smaller size-classes for both brown trout and rainbow trout, whereas an opposite trend was recorded for mountain whitefish.

5.4 AGE AND GROWTH

A subsample of analysed ageing structures collected from sportfish in the Bow River during August 2000 consisted of otoliths and/or scales from brown trout ($n=97$), rainbow trout ($n=96$), and mountain whitefish ($n=102$). Age data for individual fish are included in Appendix B, Table B7. Age-length relationships for each species are summarized in Appendix B, Table B8 and plotted in Figure 5.3

Brown trout in the aged sample ranged from 1 to 8 years in age. Age 1 fish exhibited fast growth rates, attaining a mean length of 209 mm near the end of their second year of growth (i.e., late summer 2000). The small mode of the length-frequency distribution of the catch (Figure 5.1) was likely comprised of both Age 1 and Age 2 fish. Based on the aged sample, brown trout protected by the current angling regulations (i.e., fish larger than 388 mm in fork length) include fish of age 3 and older.

Rainbow trout in the aged sample ranged from 1 to 6 years in age (Figure 5.3). Wide variations in the size of Age 2 fish suggested the presence of distinct sub-populations (e.g., some of these fish may spend part of their life cycle in the lower reaches of the Highwood and Sheep rivers). Similar to brown trout, rainbow trout protected by the current angling regulations (i.e., fish larger than 380 mm in fork length) were mostly represented by fish of Age 3 and older.

Mountain whitefish ages ranged from 1 to 13 years in age (Figure 5.3). Growth rates were rapid until Age 2 and slower to Age 5. After Age 5, little growth occurred. Mountain whitefish protected by the current angling regulations (i.e., fish smaller than 280 mm in fork length) were mostly represented by fish of Age 2 and younger.




Figure 5.3 Age-length relationships for brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish captured in the Bow River, August 2000 (note changes in y-axis scales).

5.5 FISH INJURY INDICES

Data on the incidence of injuries in fish sampled in the Bow River were collected in 2000 to assess the effects of angling on fish health, and to compare with previous studies (Courtney 1993, RL&L 2000). Injury rates for all species in the small size-class were low (~10%; Appendix B, Table B9); however, they were higher than those reported in 1999 (<5%, both species). In the medium size-class, one-third of the rainbow trout were injured, but rates were low in brown trout and mountain whitefish (<7%). The majority of recorded injuries were associated with the larger size-classes of brown trout (21%), rainbow trout (45%), and mountain whitefish (10%) that were most vulnerable to angling pressure.

Hooking related injuries (jaw, gill, head, or eye; Table 5.1, Appendix B, Table B9) in 2000 accounted for more than 91% of all injuries recorded in large brown trout, rainbow trout, and 47% of large mountain whitefish injuries. The incidence of hook related injuries in the large rainbow trout was approximately double of those recorded in previous studies (1990-92, 1999 data). The second most common injury type in mountain whitefish and rainbow trout during the present study was attributed the electrical current applied during sampling. The severity indices recorded of injuries are summarized in Table 5.1 and in Appendix B, Table B9. Injuries due to hooking were frequently categorized as more severe than injuries caused by disease, predation and electrofishing.

Table 5.1 Number of injured fish and causes recorded during fish population assessment in the Bow River, August 2000.

	Brown Trout				Rainbow Trout				Mountain Whitefish				
	150-250	251-388	>388	Total	150-250	251-380	>380	Total	150-199	200-280	>280	Total	
Fish Examined	116	46	103	265	176	45	190	411	55	268	290	613	
Fish Injured	9	3	22	34	16	15	86	117	0	4	28	32	
<i>Injury Rate (%)</i>	7.8	6.5	21.4	12.8	9.1	33.3	45.3	28.5	0.0	1.5	9.7	5.2	
Total No. Injuries	11^a	3	23	37	18	17	99	134	0	4	28	32	
Cause/Severity^b													
Disease	L						1.0	0.8					
	M						1.0	0.8					
Total							2.0	1.5					
Electro-fishing	L				16.6		5.1	6.0		75.0	17.9	25.0	
	M										7.1	6.3	
	H										7.1	6.3	
Total					16.6		5.1	6.0		75.0	32.1	37.5	
Hooking	L	63.6	66.7	52.2	56.8	38.9	35.3	37.4	37.3		25.0	17.9	18.8
	M	18.2	33.3	26.1	24.3	22.2	17.7	16.2	17.2			21.4	18.8
	H	18.2		13.0	13.5	22.2	47.1	38.4	37.3			10.7	9.4
Total		100.0^c	100.0	91.3	94.6	83.3	100.0	91.9	91.8		25.0	50.0	46.9
Predation	L			4.3	2.7			1.0	0.7			14.3	12.5
	H			4.3	2.7							3.6	3.1
Total				8.7	5.4			1.0	0.7			17.9	15.6

^a Fish with multiple injuries are reported separately for each injury cause.

^b L = Low; M = Moderate; H = High.

^c Percentage of total number of injuries.

The overall incidence of injuries in 2000 was highest in rainbow trout (28.5%) and considerably lower in brown trout and mountain whitefish (12.8 and 5.2%, respectively). These injury rates were higher than those recorded in 1999 (23.0%, 6.2%, and 3.0% for rainbow trout, brown trout, and mountain whitefish, respectively; RL&L 2000). The increase in injury rates may be attributed to more intensive recording procedures during the present sampling program and/or increased angling pressure in the lower section of the Bow River.

6.0 POPULATION ESTIMATES

Brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish population estimates for the lower Bow River were calculated using both the Darroch estimator and the Null method (see Section 2.4.3). This allowed comparisons to the studies in 1982-1992 (Darroch estimator) and 1990-1992 (Null method). Both estimates were based on the same mark and recapture data (summarized in Table 6.1); they were calculated separately for each size-class and species. The detailed encounter histories generated from these data and used as input files for the MARK software are presented in Appendix C, Table C1.

Table 6.1 Number of fish marked and recaptured during fish population assessment in the Bow River, August 2000.

Species	Size-Class (mm FL)	Number of Fish Marked	Number of Recaptures	Recapture Rate (%)
Brown trout	150-250	116	4	3.4
	251-388	46	2	4.3
	>388	102	6	5.9
	Total	264	12	4.5
Rainbow trout	150-250	173	7	4.0
	251-380	44	2	4.5
	>380	189	20	10.6
	Total	406	29	7.1
Mountain whitefish	150-199	55	2	3.6
	200-280	268	14	5.2
	>280	276	21	7.6
	Total	599	37	5.4

In total, 264 brown trout, 406 rainbow trout, and 599 mountain whitefish were marked during the study. Recapture rates were similar between species and size-classes and ranged from 3.4% (brown trout 150-250 mm size-class) to 10.6% (rainbow trout >380 mm). Using all size-classes combined, the recapture rate was highest for rainbow trout (7.1% of marked fish), intermediate for mountain whitefish (5.4%), and lowest for brown trout (4.5%). The recapture rates for rainbow trout and mountain whitefish in 2000 were similar to those reported in 1999; however, the brown trout recapture rate in 2000 was approximately three times lower than in 1999 (12.1%; RL&L 2000). The reasons for this decrease in the brown trout recapture rate are unknown, but may be related to low flows and/or fish movements to other sections. The population estimates derived from the mark-recapture statistics are presented separately for each species in the following subsections.

6.1 BROWN TROUT

The population estimates for all size-classes of brown trout within the 4-km study section in the Bow River derived using both the Darroch and Null methods were very similar (2638 and 2696 fish, respectively; Table 6.2). The low recapture rate of 4.5% (Table 6.1) resulted in higher coefficients of variation (i.e., standard error as a percentage of the estimate) and wider 95% confidence intervals around the estimate than in previous studies. As in 1999, the brown trout population within the study section was primarily composed of juveniles between 150 and 250 mm and adults larger than 388 mm in fork length, with the intermediate size-class (251-388 mm) poorly represented. This bimodal distribution of the brown trout size-classes was also suggested by the length-frequency histogram (Figure 5.1).

Table 6.2 Brown trout population estimates for the Bow River, August 2000.

Method	Size-Class (mm FL)	Population Estimate	Standard Error	95% Conf. Int.		Capture Probability	Coefficient of Variation	Fish/km	Fish/ha
				Lower	Upper				
Darroch	150-250	1471	706	636	3655	-	48.0	368	40.3
	251-388	474	315	165	1598	-	66.5	119	13.0
	>388	807	310	412	1708	-	38.4	202	22.1
	All	2638	724	1588	4522	-	27.4	660	72.3
Null	150-250	1528	738	656	3816	0.0098	48.3	382	41.9
	251-388	480	323	165	1641	0.0125	67.3	120	13.1
	>388	814	315	414	1731	0.0166	38.7	204	22.3
	All	2696	747	1615	4646	0.0128	27.7	674	73.9

The 2000 brown trout population estimates are compared to the 1982-1992 and 1999 estimates (calculated by the Darroch method) in Figure 6.1 and to the 1990-1992 and 1999 estimates (calculated by the Null method) in Figure 6.2; the data used to generate these figures are summarized in Appendix C, Tables C2 and C3. Adult brown trout (>388 mm in fork length) appeared to have increased in abundance through the early 1980s and then decreased in the early 1990s. The current population estimate (202 fish/km) suggests that this size-class is nearly twice as abundant as the maximum estimate from previous years (117 fish/km in 1999; RL&L 2000). This increase was likely an artifact caused by lower recapture rates in 2000 relative to 1999 (5.9% and 14.8%, respectively). Contrary to the results of the population estimates, the CPUE values (Section 4.2) indicated a decrease in adult brown trout catch rates between 1999 and 2000. These differences may be related to a decrease in available holding habitat between 1999 and 2000 (i.e., due to reduced flows, large brown trout were moving out of the study area in search of suitable habitat).

The population estimates for the small and intermediate size-classes of brown trout (150-250 mm and 251-388 mm) indicated an increase in abundance in 2000 relative to 1999 by approximately three and four times, respectively. Although the magnitude of these increases in population size may be exaggerated due to lower recapture rates in 2000 compared to 1999, corresponding increases in CPUE values also suggest that juvenile brown trout did increase in abundance.

Brown trout





Figure 6.1 Comparison of brown trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Darroch method, 1982 to 2000 (note changes in y-axis scales).

Brown Trout




Figure 6.2 Comparison of brown trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Null method, 1990 to 2000 (note changes in y-axis scales).

6.2 RAINBOW TROUT

The 2000 population estimates for all size-classes of rainbow trout within the 4-km study section in the Bow River derived using both the Darroch and Null methods were very similar (2724 and 2735 fish, respectively, Table 6.3). Both estimates were considerably higher than those reported in 1999 (895 and 903, respectively, RL&L 2000). This increase was attributed mostly to the increased abundance of the small and intermediate size classes (150-380 mm), which were poorly represented in the catch in 1999. The current estimates indicate that the rainbow trout smaller than 380 mm in fork length contributed over 72% to the population within the study area; the corresponding proportion in 1999 was approximately 7%.

Table 6.3 Rainbow trout population estimates for the Bow River, August 2000.

Method	Size-Class (mm FL)	Population Estimate	Standard Error	95% Conf. Int.		Capture Probability	Coefficient of Variation	Fish/km	Fish/ha
				Lower	Upper				
Darroch	150-250	1903	673	1002	3782	-	35.4	476	52.1
	251-380	426	281	150	1429	-	66.0	107	11.7
	>380	893	182	618	1347	-	20.4	223	24.8
	All	2724	475	1965	3855	-	17.4	681	74.6
Null	150-250	1967	716	1018	3985	0.0114	36.4	492	53.9
	251-380	440	296	152	1503	0.0131	67.3	110	12.1
	>380	897	183	620	1355	0.0291	20.4	224	24.6
	All	2735	478	1972	3872	0.0199	17.5	684	74.9

The 2000 rainbow trout population estimates are compared to the 1982-1992 and 1999 estimates (calculated by the Darroch method) in Figure 6.3 and to the 1990-1992 and 1999 estimates (calculated by the Null method) in Figure 6.4; the data used to generate these figures are summarized in Appendix C, Tables C2 and C3. The population estimates of rainbow trout in the small and intermediate size-classes were substantially higher in 2000 than in 1999. In contrast, the population estimate for adults was only slightly higher in 2000 than in 1999. The increase in population levels of the small and intermediate size-classes may be due to successful recruitment in 1999 and/or increased capture efficiency for small fish due to reduced flows encountered during the present study (see Section 3.0). The increase in the number of rainbow trout for each size-class between 1999 and 2000 is also supported by the corresponding increase in CPUE values (Section 4.2). The population estimate for all size-classes (684 fish km), was within the range of population estimates recorded between 1990 and 1999 (298 to 1357 fish km).

6.3 MOUNTAIN WHITEFISH

The population estimates for all size-classes of mountain whitefish within the 4-km study section in the Bow River derived using both the Darroch and Null methods were very similar (1143 and 1154 fish, respectively; Table 6.4). The 95% confidence intervals around the estimate were relatively wide due to the low recapture rate of 5.4% (Table 6.1). The current population estimates indicated that fish in the intermediate size-class (200-280 mm) were more abundant than fish larger than 280 mm.

Rainbow trout





Figure 6.3 Comparison of rainbow trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Darroch method, 1982 to 2000.

Rainbow Trout




Figure 6.4 Comparison of rainbow trout population estimates (\pm 95% confidence intervals) calculated using the Null method, 1990 to 2000 (note changes in y-axis scales).

Table 6.4 Mountain whitefish population estimates for the Bow River, August 2000.

Method	Size-Class (mm FL)	Population Estimate	Standard Error	95% Conf. Int.		Capture Probability	Coefficient of Variation	Fish/km	Fish/ha
				Lower	Upper				
Darroch	150-199	660	441	224	2227	-	66.8	165	18.1
	200-280	2361	600	1476	3899	-	25.4	590	64.7
	>280	1729	352	1187	2595	-	20.4	432	47.4
	All	4572	712	3404	6228	-	15.6	1143	125.2
Null	150-199	682	463	228	2337	0.0104	67.9	171	18.7
	200-280	2404	614	1499	3978	0.0147	25.5	601	65.9
	>280	1755	359	1203	2639	0.0211	20.5	439	48.1
	All	4617	720	3436	6293	0.0172	15.6	1154	126.5

The 2000 mountain whitefish population estimates calculated using the Darroch estimator and the Null method are compared to those from 1999 (Appendix C, Tables C2 and C3). Mountain whitefish population estimates for the large size-class (>280 mm in fork length) were higher in 2000 than in 1999, but were lower for the intermediate size-class (200-280 mm in fork length). The small size-class (150-199 mm in fork length) was not evaluated in 1999. The increase in the large size-class abundance between 1999 and 2000 was likely due to the passage of a strong 1998 cohort. This cohort likely contributed to the high abundance of intermediate size-class identified in 1999 and the large size-class in 2000.

6.4 FISH MOVEMENTS

One of the assumptions of population estimates derived through the mark-recapture methodologies is closure. This is defined as absence of fish movements out of the study area during the study period (White et al. 1982). The closure assumption was violated during the present study because the upstream and downstream boundaries of the study area were open to fish movement (i.e., they could not be physically blocked due to the large size of the river). To determine the potential effects of this violation on population estimates, movement of individual fish out of the study area was assessed on the basis of distance travelled between each marking and recapture event for all recaptured fish (identified through tag numbers or fin clips that corresponded to capture locations).

The majority of brown trout (91.7%), rainbow trout (72.4%), and mountain whitefish (59.5%) were recaptured within the same section where they were originally marked and released (Figure 6.5). One of 12 recaptured brown trout (8.3%) moved 2 km upstream of its release location. Eight of 29 rainbow trout were recaptured one to three kilometres away from the release locations; the direction of these movements appeared random (five upstream and three downstream). In contrast mountain whitefish movements showed a downstream trend with 35% of recaptures occurring one to three kilometres downstream of the original capture locations. Of note was one mountain whitefish originally tagged in the Carseland Canal on 11 October 1999 (Orange Floy Tag No. 1081). This fish was recaptured in the canal during the Trout Unlimited fish rescue operations and released in the Bow River on 20 October 1999, and subsequently recaptured in the present study area on 22 August 2000.




Figure 6.5 Distance moved by individual brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish between release and recapture locations in the Bow River, August 2000.

The above movement results were obtained from fish that moved but remained within the study area (i.e., fish that moved out of the study area were not included in the calculations); therefore, they should be treated as indices of movement rather than direct estimates of movement. By assuming that the number of fish that leave the study area is proportional to the calculated movement indices of fish that remain within the study area, it was possible to estimate the number of fish that left the area during the study period (Table 6.5). In each study section, the number of fish that left the study area was based on the total number of fish marked in this section and the percent of fish that would have left as determined by the movement indices and the location of the section relative to the study area boundaries. For example, 8.3% of brown trout moved 2 km upstream from their release locations; therefore, this proportion was applied to the total number of fish marked in Sections 1 and 2 to calculate fish “escapes” through the upstream boundary (6 and 4 fish, respectively), but was not applied to fish marked in Section 3 because the upstream migrants could potentially be recaptured in Section 1 (still within the study area).

Table 6.5 Summary of fish movements out of the Bow River study area during fish population assessments, August 2000.

Section	Brown trout			Rainbow trout			Mountain whitefish		
	Total Marked	% Moved	Number Moved	Total Marked	% Moved	Number Moved	Total Marked	% Moved	Number Moved
1	75	8.3	6	92	17.2	16	136	5.4	7
2	53	8.3	4	70	6.9	5	101	8.1	8
3	57	0.0	0	107	6.9	7	232	16.2	38
4	79	0.0	0	137	10.3	14	130	35.1	46
Total	264		11	406		42	599		99
Mean % Moved	4.0			10.4			16.5		

Based on the above rationale, it was estimated that 4.0% of brown trout, 10.4% of rainbow trout, and 16.5% of mountain whitefish left the study area during the population assessment period. This out-migration would bias the population estimates upwards relative to the estimates that would have been obtained with total closure. However, because the previous studies reported similar indices of trout movement out of the study area during 1990-1999 (2 to 8% for brown trout, 6 to 13% for rainbow trout, 17% for mountain whitefish), the biases caused by out-migration were relatively constant. As such, they would have little effect on the overall trends in population estimates recorded during the past decade.

7.0 LITERATURE CITED

- Courtney, R.F., and D.A. Fernet. 1989. Bow River trout population studies, fall 1988. Unpublished Fish and Wildlife Report. Alberta Energy and Natural Resources.
- Courtney, R.F., and D.A. Fernet. 1990. A critical analysis of the Bow River trout population studies 1980-1988. Memo Report Prepared for Fish and Wildlife Division.
- Courtney, R.F., and D.A. Fernet. 1991. Bow River trout population studies, 1990. Unpublished Report for Fish and Wildlife Division, Alberta Forestry, Lands and Wildlife. 26 p.
- Courtney, R.F. 1993. Bow River trout population studies, 1992. Alberta Forestry, Lands and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Division.
- Environment Canada. 1996. HYDAT version 4.94 - Hydrology data base to 1995. CD-ROM developed by Climate and Water Products Division, Downsview, Ontario.
- Fernet, D.A., R.F. Courtney, and A.J. Sosiak. 1988. Bow River trout population studies, fall 1985. Unpublished Fish and Wildlife Report Alberta Energy and Natural Resources.
- Helwig, J.J., and R.F. Courtney. 1993. Bow River trout population studies, 1991. Unpublished Report for Fish and Wildlife Division, Alberta Forestry, Lands and Wildlife. 38 p.
- Otis, D.L., K.P. Burnham, G.C. White, and D.R. Anderson. 1978. Statistical inference from capture data on closed populations. Wildlife Monograph 62. 135 p.
- Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 191. Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa. 382 p.
- RL&L Environmental Services Ltd. 2000. Lower Bow River fish population status assessment - August 1999. Prepared for Alberta Environment. RL&L Report No. 769: 33 p. + 3 app.
- Snyder, D.E. 1995. Impacts of electrofishing on fish. Fisheries 20(1): 26-27.
- Sosiak, A.J., and W.E. Griffiths. 1983. Bow River trout population studies, fall 1980-1982. Alberta Energy and Natural Resources.
- Sosiak, A.J. 1984. Bow River trout population studies, fall 1983. Unpublished Fish and Wildlife Report Alberta Energy and Natural Resources.
- Sosiak, A.J., D.A. Fernet, and R.F. Courtney. 1988. Bow River trout population studies, fall 1984. Unpublished Fish and Wildlife Report. Alberta Energy and Natural Resources.
- White, G.C., D.R. Anderson, K.P. Burnham and D.L. Otis. 1982. Capture-recapture and removal methods for sampling closed populations. Publication LA-8787-NERP. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico. 235 pp.
- White, G.C. and K. P. Burnham. 1999. Program MARK: Survival estimation from populations of marked animals. Bird Study 46 Supplement, 120-138.

APPENDIX A

Raw Data for Captured Fish

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg: 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture		Clip @ Release		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yr)	Comments	
											Date	Sect.	Date	Sect.		Count ^c	Loc ^d				Sev ^e
4	21	1	1	S	BLTR	290	303	300		2904				00000000							
1	23	2	1	N	BLTR	250	262	170		3312				00000000							
3	24	1	2	N	BLTR	261	275	200		2613				00000000							
2	24	2	1	S	BLTR	250	262	135	X	3312				00000000							
24	21	1	1	N	BNTR	212	224	120		2702				10000000							
71	21	1	1	S	BNTR	232	240	150		2905				10000100							
111	21	1	1	S	BNTR	247	254	180		2905	N	A	1	10000000							
141	21	1	1	S	BNTR	258	268	255		2903				10000000							
340	21	1	1	S	BNTR	491	502	1445		2305				10000000							
262	21	1	1	S	BNTR	524	542	1895		2312				10000000							
125	21	1	2	N	BNTR	251	263	205		2705				10000000							
203	21	1	2	N	BNTR	418	431	1025		2703				10000000							
208	21	1	2	N	BNTR	429	442	1020		2706				10000000							
83	21	1	2	S	BNTR	236	246	140		2914				10000000							
224	21	1	2	S	BNTR	473	480	1460		2908				10000000							
228	21	1	2	S	BNTR	480	485	1365		2907				10000000							
255	21	1	2	S	BNTR	513	523	1560		2906				10000000							
181	21	1	3	N	BNTR	397	408	835		2707				10000000							
27	21	1	3	S	BNTR	212	221	125		2932	N	A	3	10000000							
118	21	1	3	S	BNTR	249	255	185		2931				10000100							
151	21	1	3	S	BNTR	265	277	240		2924				10000000							
159	21	1	3	S	BNTR	342	351	530		2924				10000000							
42	21	1	4	N	BNTR	222	235	145		2720	N	A	4	10000000							
210	21	1	4	N	BNTR	430	451	940		2710				10000000							
254	21	1	4	N	BNTR	512	530	1470		2711				10000000							
278	21	1	4	N	BNTR	571	580	1935		2717				10000000							
44	21	1	4	S	BNTR	223	231	170		2948				10000000							
94	21	1	4	S	BNTR	240	247	175		2946				10000000							
156	21	1	4	S	BNTR	283	291	280		2947				10000000							
160	21	1	4	S	BNTR	368	380	580		2679				10000000							
188	21	1	4	S	BNTR	402	412	800		2944				10000000							
193	21	1	4	S	BNTR	405	421	815		2943				10000000							
207	21	1	4	S	BNTR	428	433	765		2942				10000000							
256	21	1	4	S	BNTR	514	525	1930		2945				10000000							
5	21	2	1	N	BNTR	178	181	65		2736	N	A	1	01000000							
22	21	2	1	N	BNTR	209	214	120		2736				01000000							
41	21	2	1	N	BNTR	221	232	130		2729				01000000							
53	21	2	1	N	BNTR	227	235	1743		2743				01000000							
62	21	2	1	N	BNTR	228	234	170		2741				01000000							
60	21	2	1	N	BNTR	228	237	145		2739				01100000							
65	21	2	1	N	BNTR	230	241	155		2722				01000000							
85	21	2	1	N	BNTR	237	243	165		2726				01000000							
102	21	2	1	N	BNTR	245	254	190		2734				01000000							
174	21	2	1	N	BNTR	390	402	660		2732				01000000							
182	21	2	1	N	BNTR	397	405	725		2730				01000000							
216	21	2	1	N	BNTR	459	462	1240		2728				01000000							
15	21	2	1	S	BNTR	204	211	115		2973	N	A	1	01000000							
45	21	2	1	S	BNTR	223	230	135		2962				01000000							
95	21	2	1	S	BNTR	240	249	210		2967				01000000							
103	21	2	1	S	BNTR	245	254	170		2967				01000000							
104	21	2	1	S	BNTR	245	256	180		2967				01000000							

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Date	Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d			
175	21	2	1	S	BNTR	390	394	700		2952		01000000				3		
191	21	2	1	S	BNTR	404	419	890		2966		01000000				Scale		
197	21	2	1	S	BNTR	410	422	885		2953		01000000				Scale		
229	21	2	1	S	BNTR	480	490	1430		2963		01000000				Scale		
248	21	2	1	S	BNTR	505	516	1520		2964		00000000				Scale		
265	21	2	1	S	BNTR	530	2040					01000000						
34	21	2	2	N	BNTR	217	225	130		2757		01000000						
54	21	2	2	N	BNTR	227	234	140		2759		01000000						
66	21	2	2	N	BNTR	230	237	185		2756		01000000						
81	21	2	2	N	BNTR	235	245	185		2758		01000000	H	J	L			
131	21	2	2	N	BNTR	254	262	215		2755		01000000						
134	21	2	2	N	BNTR	255	264	210		2754		01000000						
274	21	2	2	N	BNTR	542	556	2005		2744		01000000						
8	21	2	2	S	BNTR	190	201	75				01000000						
70	21	2	2	S	BNTR	230	235	170		2988		01000000				Scale		
236	21	2	2	S	BNTR	485	502	1355		2091		01000000				Scale		
245	21	2	2	S	BNTR	494	500	1705		2587		01000000						Originally Tagged Last Year
21	21	2	3	N	BNTR	208	216	125		2771		01000000						Originally tagged last year
46	21	2	3	N	BNTR	224	230	130		2772		01000000	H	J	L			
117	21	2	3	N	BNTR	249	260	205		2773		01000000	H	J	M			
146	21	2	3	N	BNTR	260	271	220		2761		01000000	H	J	M			
187	21	2	3	N	BNTR	401	412	870		2763		01000000	H	J	M			
200	21	2	3	N	BNTR	412	418	915		2762		01000000	H	J	L			
39	21	2	3	S	BNTR	220	233	145				01000000						
89	21	2	3	S	BNTR	238	244	165		3044		01000000						
96	21	2	3	S	BNTR	240	248	205		3043		01000000						
101	21	2	3	S	BNTR	244	250	210		3039		01000000				Scale		
142	21	2	3	S	BNTR	258	266	245		3041		01000000				Scale		
157	21	2	3	S	BNTR	284	295	285		3036		01000000				Scale		
242	21	2	3	S	BNTR	492	510	1535		2993		01000000				Scale		
273	21	2	3	S	BNTR	540	544	2030		2995		01000001				Scale		Caudal Clip
279	21	2	3	S	BNTR	586	593	2220		3027		01000000				Scale		
55	21	2	4	N	BNTR	227	236	155		2778		01000000						
150	21	2	4	N	BNTR	265	277	255		2780		01000000						
78	21	2	4	N	BNTR	234	244	175		3065		01000000						
99	21	2	4	S	BNTR	242	250	170		3082		01000000						
122	21	2	4	S	BNTR	250	256	190		3068		01000000						
143	21	2	4	S	BNTR	259	265	210		3075		01000000						
149	21	2	4	S	BNTR	264	274	225		3069		01000000						
152	21	2	4	S	BNTR	265	270	235		3072		01000000						
204	21	2	4	S	BNTR	420	427	925		3050		01000000						
239	21	2	4	S	BNTR	490	500	1435		3045		01000000						
20	22	1	1	N	BNTR	208	219	120				01000000	H	J	L			Left Mandible Gone
61	22	1	1	N	BNTR	228	240	145		2736		00000000						
35	22	1	1	N	BNTR	218	239	135		2789		01000000						
36	22	1	1	N	BNTR	218	230	120		2800		01000000						
56	22	1	1	N	BNTR	227	240	155		2797		01000000						
67	22	1	1	N	BNTR	230	241	145		2795		01000000						
121	22	1	1	N	BNTR	250	261	220		2788		01000000						
140	22	1	1	N	BNTR	258	266	210		2791		01000000						
219	22	1	1	N	BNTR	468	471	1170		2250		01000000						
281	22	1	1	N	BNTR	624	631	2435		2786		01000000						
12	22	1	1	S	BNTR	203	213	90				01000000						

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg. - 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture		Clip @ Release		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yr)	Comments
											Date	Sect.	Date	Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d			
330	22	1	1	S	BNTR	480	489	1305		3096	N	N			001000000			Scale	4	
6	22	1	2	N	BNTR	180	186	70				B	2		001000000			Scale	1	LNDC in mouth
97	22	1	2	S	BNTR	240	246	170		3351					001000000			Scale	2	
136	22	1	2	S	BNTR	257	267	205		3100					001000000			Scale	2	
266	22	1	3	N	BNTR	531	534	2060		2823					001000000			Scale	3	
165	22	1	3	S	BNTR	380	381	740		3358					001000000			Scale	3	
176	22	1	3	S	BNTR	390	403	835		3359					001000000			Scale	3	
195	22	1	3	S	BNTR	405	415	775		3360					001000000			Scale	5	
234	22	1	3	S	BNTR	481	497	1305		3355					001000000			Scale	5	
238	22	1	3	S	BNTR	487	496	1560		3355					001000000			Scale	6	
259	22	1	3	S	BNTR	520	523	1895		3357					001000000	H	J	Scale	7	
269	22	1	3	S	BNTR	534	546	1810		3354					001000000			Scale	7	
271	22	1	4	S	BNTR	535	556	1705		3353					001000000			Scale	1	
68	22	1	4	S	BNTR	230	239	145		2836					001000000			Scale	1	
69	22	1	4	N	BNTR	230	238	165		2840					001000000			Scale	4	
179	22	1	4	N	BNTR	393	404	775		2828					001000000			Scale	4	
58	22	1	4	S	BNTR	227	235	160		3392					001000000			Scale	1	
88	22	1	4	S	BNTR	239	246	200		3388					001000000			Scale	1	
91	22	1	4	S	BNTR	257	267	210		3389					001000000			Scale	1	
117	22	1	4	S	BNTR	288	297	305		3390					001000000			Scale	1	
158	22	1	4	S	BNTR	437	445	1155		3386					001000000			Scale	1	
312	22	1	4	S	BNTR	508	525	1590		3387					001000000			Scale	1	
250	22	1	4	S	BNTR	191	197	100			N	N	B	1				Scale	1	
9	22	2	1	N	BNTR	202	209	105		2858					000100000			Scale	1	
11	22	2	1	N	BNTR	212	220	115		2843					000100000			Scale	1	
25	22	2	1	N	BNTR	233	240	165		2857					000100000			Scale	1	
76	22	2	1	N	BNTR	233	240	165		2857					000100000			Scale	1	
183	22	2	1	N	BNTR	397	404	850		2853					000100000			Scale	1	
215	22	2	1	N	BNTR	450	457	1070		2847					000101000			Scale	1	
63	22	2	1	S	BNTR	228	235	140		3409					000100000			Scale	1	
127	22	2	1	S	BNTR	251	258	185		3405					000100000			Scale	1	
163	22	2	1	S	BNTR	379	385	715		3399					000100000			Scale	1	
170	22	2	1	S	BNTR	384	395	715		3404					000100000			Scale	1	
178	22	2	1	S	BNTR	392	410	695		3406					000100000			Scale	1	
252	22	2	1	S	BNTR	510	532	1720		3402					000100000			Scale	1	
86	22	2	2	S	BNTR	237	244	175		2876					000100000			Scale	2	
112	22	2	2	N	BNTR	254	260	225		2874					000100000			Scale	2	
147	22	2	2	N	BNTR	261	269	250		2877					000100000			Scale	2	
218	22	2	2	N	BNTR	463	470	1335		2870					000100000			Scale	2	
227	22	2	2	N	BNTR	478	481	1370		2867					000100000	H	J	Scale	2	
14	22	2	2	S	BNTR	204	210	85		3429					000100000			Scale	2	
17	22	2	2	S	BNTR	205	215	100			N	N	B	2				Scale	2	
18	22	2	2	S	BNTR	205	210	115			N	N	B	2				Scale	2	
26	22	2	2	S	BNTR	212	222	115		3428					000100000			Scale	2	
93	22	2	2	S	BNTR	212	222	115		3430					000100000			Scale	2	
155	22	2	2	S	BNTR	239	249	215		3426					000100000			Scale	2	
190	22	2	2	S	BNTR	282	290	325		3425					000100000			Scale	2	
192	22	2	2	S	BNTR	403	420	820		3427					000100000			Scale	2	
225	22	2	2	S	BNTR	404	416	775		3423					000100000			Scale	2	
231	22	2	2	S	BNTR	475	494	1350		3424					000100000			Scale	2	
280	22	2	2	S	BNTR	480	485	1470		3425					000100000			Scale	2	
19	22	2	3	S	BNTR	600	603	2520		3421					000100000			Scale	2	
30	22	2	3	N	BNTR	207	212	125		2891					000100000			Scale	2	
55	22	2	3	N	BNTR	215	224	130		2886					000100000			Scale	2	

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg. - 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @:		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yr)	Comments	
											Capture Date	Release Date		Cause ^c	Loc ^d Sev ^e				
221	23	2	1	S	BNTR	470	484	1340		3253	N	N	00000100	H	J	L	5		
29	23	2	2	S	BNTR	213	222	125			N	C	2	00000100				6	Tag Loss # 3271,3272
123	23	2	2	S	BNTR	250	259	205		3268	N	C	2	00000100				6	
209	23	2	2	S	BNTR	507	514	1560		3273	N	C	2	00000100				6	
251	23	2	2	S	BNTR	510	515	1630		3269	N	C	2	00000100				3	Nose Tip.
206	23	2	3	N	BNTR	427	435	1080		3336	N	C	2	00000000	H	J	L		
119	23	2	3	S	BNTR	249	256		X	2932	N	C	3	00000000					
50	23	2	3	S	BNTR	225	232	145			N	C	3	00000100					
84	23	2	3	S	BNTR	236	245	190		3282	N	C	3	00000100					
148	23	2	3	S	BNTR	262	271	240		3280	N	C	3	00000100					
162	23	2	3	S	BNTR	371	386	635		3279	N	C	3	00000110	H	J	L		Left Mandible Gone
169	23	2	3	S	BNTR	384	400	745		3277	N	C	3	00000100	H	J	L		
194	23	2	3	S	BNTR	405	410	785		3276	N	C	3	00000101	H	J	L		
222	23	2	3	S	BNTR	471	474	1510		3277	N	C	3	00000101	H	J	L		
241	23	2	4	S	BNTR	491	508	1340	X	3234	N	C	3	00000000	H	J	L		Recap within day
126	23	2	4	S	BNTR	251	259	245		3297	N	C	3	00000100					
185	23	2	4	S	BNTR	400	410	830		3295	N	C	3	00000100					
267	23	2	4	S	BNTR	532	544	1795		3292	N	C	3	00000101					
282	23	2	4	S	BNTR			1805			N	C	3	00000000					Escaped - excluded from estimate
59	24	1	1	N	BNTR	228	238	145		2606	N	D	1	00000010					
317	24	1	1	N	BNTR	461	478	1315		2604	N	D	1	00000010					
7	24	1	1	S	BNTR	182	191	70			N	D	1	00000010	H	J	L		Left Eye Gone
196	24	1	2	S	BNTR	410	413	825		2406	N	D	2	00000010					
16	24	1	2	S	BNTR	204	212	100			N	D	2	00000010					
183	24	1	3	N	BNTR	399	412	845		2621	N	D	3	00000010					
201	24	1	3	N	BNTR	414	429	900		2651	N	D	3	00000000					
167	24	1	3	S	BNTR	381	395	715	X	3279	N	D	3	00000000	H	J	M		Right Maxillae.
107	24	1	3	S	BNTR	245	254	195			N	D	3	00000010					
344	24	1	3	S	BNTR	493	505	1505		2420	N	D	3	00000010					
258	24	1	3	S	BNTR	520	528	1685		2422	N	D	3	00000010					
28	24	1	4	S	BNTR	212	222	125			N	D	4	00000010					
38	24	1	4	S	BNTR	224	231	165			N	D	4	00000010					
40	24	1	4	S	BNTR	220	231	165			N	D	4	00000010					
48	24	1	4	S	BNTR	224	231	140			N	D	4	00000010					
52	24	1	4	S	BNTR	226	235	160			N	D	4	00000010					
88	24	1	4	S	BNTR	237	247	170			N	D	4	00000010					
90	24	1	4	S	BNTR	238	249	150			N	D	4	00000010					
113	24	1	4	S	BNTR	248	257	180		2533	N	D	4	00000010					
110	24	1	4	S	BNTR	253	259	195		2534	N	D	4	00000010					
133	24	1	4	S	BNTR	255	261	205		2532	N	D	4	00000010					
119	24	1	4	S	BNTR	258	270	215		2535	N	D	4	00000011					
180	24	1	4	S	BNTR	397	412	795		2531	N	D	4	00000010					
116	24	2	1	N	BNTR	249	261	175		2667	N	D	4	00000001					
51	24	2	1	S	BNTR	226	233	175		2503	N	D	4	00000001					
202	24	2	1	S	BNTR	415	430	915		2501	N	D	4	00000001					
383	24	2	1	S	BNTR	525	535	1900		2502	N	D	4	00000001					
308	24	2	2	S	BNTR	532	545	1745	X	3292	N	D	2	00000000	H	J	L		MALE Blind Eye
108	24	2	2	S	BNTR	245	253	195			N	D	2	00000001					
158	24	2	2	S	BNTR	251	260	205			N	D	2	00000001					
129	24	2	2	S	BNTR	252	265	210		2514	N	D	2	00000001					
166	24	2	2	S	BNTR	381	385	655		2510	N	D	2	00000001					
168	24	2	2	S	BNTR	382	391	660		2509	N	D	2	00000001					
229	24	2	3	N	BNTR	468	482	1190		2631	N	D	2	00000001					
276	24	2	3	S	BNTR	550	553	1985	X	2995	N	D	2	00000000					

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date	Clip @ Release Date	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
													Cause ^c	Loc ^d Ser ^e			
223	24	2	3	S	BNTR	472	480	1525	X	3276	N	D					00000000
75	24	2	3	S	BNTR	232	241	175			N	D	3				00000001
120	24	2	3	S	BNTR	250	260	245		2434	N						00000001
164	24	2	3	S	BNTR	380	391	750		2432	N						00000001
173	24	2	3	S	BNTR	390	403	755		2431	N						00000001
270	24	2	3	S	BNTR	535	545	1735		2430	N						00000001
23	24	2	4	N	BNTR	212	223	110		2639	N						00000001
98	24	2	4	N	BNTR	241	251	175		2638	N						00000001
145	24	2	4	S	BNTR	260	270	225	X	2535	N						00000000
199	24	2	4	S	BNTR	242	242	175	X	2556	N						00000000
82	24	2	4	S	BNTR	235	242	175			N						00000001
93	24	2	4	S	BNTR	239	247	185			N						00000001
112	24	2	4	S	BNTR	247	255	205			N						00000001
115	24	2	4	S	BNTR	248	255	205			N						00000001
138	24	2	4	S	BNTR	257	266	210			N						00000001
161	24	2	4	S	BNTR	370	387	680			N						00000001
260	24	2	4	S	BNTR	520	530	1800			N						00000001
264	24	2	4	S	BNTR	525	529	1625			N						00000001
275	24	2	4	S	BNTR	546	553	1930			N						00000001
286	23	2	1	S	BURB	640	630	1630			N						00000000
284	23	2	4	S	BURB	530	533	1000		2640	N						00000000
285	24	2	4	N	BURB	533	533	875			N						00000000
283	24	2	4	S	BURB	455	455	530			N						00000000
287	23	2	1	S	LNSC	514	1960	1960			N						00000000
519	21	1	1	N	MNWH	228	245	165			N	A	1				10000000
683	21	1	1	N	MNWH	305	330	500			N	A	1				10000000
290	21	1	1	S	MNWH	160	174	50			N	A	1				10000000
338	21	1	1	S	MNWH	195	211	85			N	A	1				10000000
727	21	1	2	S	MNWH	319	345	540			N	A	2				10010000
304	21	1	2	S	MNWH	178	194	80		2913	N	A	2				10000000
830	21	1	2	S	MNWH	395	421	1200			N	A	2				10000000
288	21	1	3	S	MNWH	155	169	40			N	A	3				10000000
298	21	1	3	N	MNWH	175	193	70			N	A	3				10000000
313	21	1	3	N	MNWH	183	200	75			N	A	3				10000000
304	21	1	3	N	MNWH	201	218	110			N	A	3				10000000
363	21	1	3	N	MNWH	209	225	125			N	A	3				10000000
400	21	1	3	N	MNWH	213	230	135			N	A	3				10000000
431	21	1	3	N	MNWH	214	232	145			N	A	3				10000000
436	21	1	3	N	MNWH	234	252	190			N	A	3				10000000
551	21	1	3	N	MNWH	239	255	220			N	A	3				10000000
569	21	1	3	N	MNWH	240	258	200			N	A	3				10000000
573	21	1	3	N	MNWH	264	283	250			N	A	3				10000000
594	21	1	3	N	MNWH	163	179	45			N	A	3				10000000
291	21	1	3	S	MNWH	163	179	45			N	A	3				10000000
302	21	1	3	S	MNWH	177	191	80			N	A	3				10000000
305	21	1	3	S	MNWH	178	190	70			N	A	3				10000000
332	21	1	3	S	MNWH	194	203	105			N	A	3				10000100
379	21	1	3	S	MNWH	204	222	135			N	A	3				10000000
438	21	1	3	S	MNWH	214	232	145			N	A	3				10000000
476	21	1	3	S	MNWH	221	240	140			N	A	3				10000001
643	21	1	3	S	MNWH	290	312	400		2925	N	A	3				10000000
664	21	1	3	S	MNWH	300	321	425		2917	N	A	3				10000000
732	21	1	3	S	MNWH	320	343	515		2919	N	A	3				10000000
740	21	1	3	S	MNWH	322	344	610		2923	N	A	3				10000000

Snum 303=305
Snum 332=331

Tag Lost

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
														Cause ^c	Loc ^d Sex ^e			
861	21	1	3	S	MNWH	407	437	1500		2922	N	A	10000000					
896	21	1	3	S	MNWH	422	442	1345		2916	N	A	10000000					
314	21	1	4	N	MNWH	184	199	65			N	A	10000000					
915	21	1	4	N	MNWH	430	455	1145		2716	N	A	11000000					
329	21	1	4	S	MNWH	190	205	100			N	A	10000000					
477	21	1	4	S	MNWH	221	237	160		2941	N	A	10000000					
342	21	2	1	N	MNWH	198	213	110			N	A	10000000					
382	21	2	1	N	MNWH	205	217	110			N	A	10000000					
440	21	2	1	N	MNWH	215	228	130		2740	N	A	10000000					
462	21	2	1	N	MNWH	220	235	160		2735	N	A	10000000					
550	21	2	1	N	MNWH	234	246	175		2733	N	A	10000000					
671	21	2	1	N	MNWH	301	317	470		2723	N	A	10000000					
817	21	2	1	N	MNWH	390	436	1185		2731	N	A	10000000					Hole in side
299	21	2	1	S	MNWH	175	187	70			N	A	10000000					
108	21	2	1	S	MNWH	180	195	90			N	A	10000000					
139	21	2	1	S	MNWH	195	210	90			N	A	10000000					
365	21	2	1	S	MNWH	202	222	120			N	A	10000000					
458	21	2	1	S	MNWH	219	235	140		2958	N	A	10000000					
481	21	2	1	S	MNWH	222	242	160		2972	N	A	10000000					
656	21	2	1	S	MNWH	296	321	390		2959	N	A	10000000					
795	21	2	1	S	MNWH	372	400	1120		2954	N	A	10000000					
872	21	2	1	S	MNWH	412	451	1270		2960	N	A	10000000					
902	21	2	1	S	MNWH	426	460	1475		2956	N	A	10000000					
135	21	2	2	S	MNWH	195	207	95		2955	N	A	10000000					
657	21	2	2	N	MNWH	297	316	450		2749	N	A	10000000					
675	21	2	2	N	MNWH	303	324	485		2752	N	A	10000000					
688	21	2	2	N	MNWH	309	321	490		2747	N	A	10000000					
762	21	2	2	N	MNWH	345	365	680		2750	N	A	10000000					
776	21	2	2	N	MNWH	360	381	775		2748	N	A	10000000					
833	21	2	2	N	MNWH	397	420	1115		2746	N	A	10000000					
836	21	2	2	N	MNWH	398	419	1220		2745	N	A	10000000					
417	21	2	2	S	MNWH	211	231	145		2987	N	A	10000000					
495	21	2	2	S	MNWH	224	240	160		2978	N	A	10000000					
531	21	2	2	S	MNWH	230	245	185		2986	N	A	10000000					
564	21	2	2	S	MNWH	237	254	220		2991	N	A	10000000					
617	21	2	2	S	MNWH	275	296	310		2989	N	A	10000000					
619	21	2	2	S	MNWH	289	315	415		2982	N	A	10000000					
665	21	2	2	S	MNWH	300	324	500		2985	N	A	00000000					Mortality
717	21	2	2	S	MNWH	315	337	550		2974	N	A	10000000					
718	21	2	2	S	MNWH	315	339	520		2980	N	A	10000000					
770	21	2	2	S	MNWH	354	384	785		2984	N	A	10000000					
789	21	2	2	S	MNWH	370	398	850		2983	N	A	10000000					
792	21	2	3	N	MNWH	165	175	40			N	A	10000000					
297	21	2	3	N	MNWH	174	183	50			N	A	10000000					
901	21	2	3	N	MNWH	177	184	70			N	A	10000000					
119	21	2	3	N	MNWH	187	207	80			N	A	10000000					
133	21	2	3	N	MNWH	198	210	90			N	A	10000000					
463	21	2	3	N	MNWH	220	235	145			N	A	10000000					
901	21	2	3	N	MNWH	223	235	145		2769	N	A	10000000					Squaw 491-492
883	21	2	3	N	MNWH	250	263	215		2768	N	A	10000000					
442	21	2	3	S	MNWH	290	310	370		2767	N	A	10000000					
179	21	2	3	S	MNWH	202	215	90			N	A	10000000					
169	21	2	3	S	MNWH	220	235	175			N	A	10000000					

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank #	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Fly Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Date	Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d			
506	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	225	240	165			N	N	A	3	01000000			
576	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	241	257	240		3038								
644	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	290	305	345		3037								
694	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	310	330	540		3035								
719	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	315	339	495		2999								
761	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	344	369	735		3031								
771	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	354	377	725		3033								
777	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	360	385	820		2994								
814	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	388	410	1185		3000								
885	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	416	446	1405		2997								
921	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	432	456	1395		2988								
932	21	2	3	S	MNVWH	441	470	1635		3026								Mortality
916	21	2	4	N	MNVWH	430	445	494		2996								
331	21	2	4	N	MNVWH	193	206	75	X	2716								Snum 332=331
296	21	2	4	N	MNVWH	170	182	60	X									
336	21	2	4	N	MNVWH	195	210	75										
366	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	202	218	115		3078								
375	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	204	220	110		3077								
376	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	204	220	100		3079								
435	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	214	225	120		3076								
456	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	218	233	145		3067								
500	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	225	244	140		3071								
501	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	225	242	145		3073								
527	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	229	240	180		3049								
532	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	230	248	180		3074								
596	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	265	276	150		3064								
710	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	270	291	310		3062								
744	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	314	333	605		3061								
755	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	323	346	425		3063								
831	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	339	361	655		3066								
930	21	2	4	S	MNVWH	395	420	1110		3047								
457	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	440	460	1585	X	3048								
487	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	219	238	150		2735								
513	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	223	238	130		2793								
570	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	227	241	160		2794								
593	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	240	257	205		2799								
708	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	263	285	290		2790								
716	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	313	336	515		2783								
878	22	1	1	N	MNVWH	315	334	510		2782								
346	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	415	441	1440		2787								
420	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	199	214	110										
478	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	211	225	130										
659	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	221	241	145										
676	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	297	320	450										
746	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	303	322	460										
870	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	326	350	600										
906	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	411	444	1405		3097								
925	22	1	1	S	MNVWH	427	465	1605		3092								
426	22	1	2	N	MNVWH	435	471	1410		3091								
615	22	1	2	N	MNVWH	213	222	100		3088								
616	22	1	2	N	MNVWH	275	286	320		2815								
616	22	1	2	N	MNVWH	275	292	300		2813								

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg. 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Fly Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Age (yrs)	Comments
											CapTURE	Release		Cause ^c	Sex ^c		
655	22	1	2	N	MNWH	296	307	430		2812		00101000			2		
680	22	1	2	N	MNWH	305	328	475		2808		00100000			2		
756	22	1	2	N	MNWH	340	368	700		2807		00100000			4		
799	22	1	2	N	MNWH	373	398	880		2804		00100000			3		
818	22	1	2	N	MNWH	390	428	1295		2803		00100010			6		
829	22	1	2	N	MNWH	395	422	1200		2801		00100000	H	J	L		
838	22	1	2	N	MNWH	399	435	1220		2802		00100000					
864	22	1	2	N	MNWH	410	439	1235		2805		00100000	H	J	M		
141	22	1	2	S	MNWH	197	215	105			N	00100000					
645	22	1	2	S	MNWH	290	300	350		3099		00100000			4	Originally Tagged at Carseland Canal	
647	22	1	2	S	MNWH	292	312	380		1081		00100000				Headgates	
120	22	1	3	N	MNWH	188	201	85			B	00100000			1		
167	22	1	3	N	MNWH	202	212	100			B	00100000					
195	22	1	3	N	MNWH	208	216	110			B	00100000					
196	22	1	3	N	MNWH	208	222	120			B	00100000			1		
405	22	1	3	N	MNWH	210	222	105		2822		00100000			1		
418	22	1	3	N	MNWH	211	225	140			B	00100000			1		
464	22	1	3	N	MNWH	220	235	155		2825		00100000			1		
751	22	1	3	N	MNWH	336	359	520		2819		00100000	H	J	L		
819	22	1	3	N	MNWH	390	410	1355		2818		00100000			2		
851	22	1	3	S	MNWH	404	426	1280		2820		00100000			5		
100	22	1	3	S	MNWH	175	190	65			N	00100000					
109	22	1	3	S	MNWH	181	195	70			N	00100000					
602	22	1	3	S	MNWH	268	288	270		3375		00100000					
700	22	1	3	S	MNWH	310	335	525		3376		00100000					
706	22	1	3	S	MNWH	312	334	500		3377		00100000					
857	22	1	3	S	MNWH	405	435	1310		3372		00100000					
860	22	1	3	S	MNWH	406	439	1195		3374		00100000					
875	22	1	3	S	MNWH	414	445	1315		3373		00100100					
931	22	1	3	S	MNWH	440	472	1420		3371		00100000					
946	22	1	3	S	MNWH	447	482	1600		3364		00100000					
986	22	1	4	N	MNWH	224	239	150	X	3073		00000000				Shum 344=370	
133	22	1	4	N	MNWH	198	211	105	X		A	00000000					
137	22	1	4	N	MNWH	189	200	70			A	00000000					
132	22	1	4	N	MNWH	189	206	120			A	00000000					
135	22	1	4	N	MNWH	190	201	80			A	00000000					
164	22	1	4	N	MNWH	201	214	75			A	00000000					
101	22	1	4	N	MNWH	209	220	120		2834		00100000					
127	22	1	4	N	MNWH	213	227	115		2831		00100000					
155	22	1	4	N	MNWH	218	234	115		2838		00100000					
134	22	1	4	N	MNWH	224	230	105		2829		00100000					
819	22	1	4	N	MNWH	399	424	1260		2833		00100000					
888	22	1	4	N	MNWH	418	444	1370		2826		00100000					
917	22	1	4	N	MNWH	430	464	1570		2835		00100000					
130	22	1	4	S	MNWH	445	476	1685		2830		00100000					
150	22	1	4	S	MNWH	204	223	135			N	00100000					
197	22	1	4	S	MNWH	208	229	120			N	00100000					
101	22	1	4	S	MNWH	209	225	155			N	00100000					
109	22	1	4	S	MNWH	210	227	160			N	00100000					
124	22	1	4	S	MNWH	212	232	155			N	00100000					
180	22	1	4	S	MNWH	223	240	180		3397		00100000					

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^a	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Capture Date	Release Date		Cause ^c	Loc ^d Sev ^e			
609	22	1	4	S	MNWH	270	292	300		3396			00100000					
635	22	1	4	S	MNWH	284	310	370		3393			00100000					
701	22	1	4	S	MNWH	310	325	420		3394			00100000					
707	22	1	4	S	MNWH	312	338	475		3395			00100000					
786	22	1	4	S	MNWH	365	396	805		3378			00100000					
879	22	2	1	N	MNWH	415	441	1460	X	2787			00000000	E	B	L		Recap within day
434	22	2	1	N	MNWH	214	230	130		2848			00010000					
497	22	2	1	N	MNWH	225	239	160		2852			00010000					
568	22	2	1	N	MNWH	239	255	185		2845			00010000					
820	22	2	1	N	MNWH	390	417	1020		2846			00010000					
842	22	2	1	N	MNWH	401	409	1075		2849			00010000	E	E	H		
866	22	2	1	N	MNWH	410	425	1555		2860			00010000					
871	22	2	1	N	MNWH	412	439	1425		2859			00010100	H	J	L	6	
352	22	2	1	S	MNWH	200	214	115			N	B	1					
421	22	2	1	S	MNWH	211	228	130			N	B	1					
423	22	2	1	S	MNWH	212	227	145		3415			00010000					
466	22	2	1	S	MNWH	220	236	145		3413			00010000					
541	22	2	1	S	MNWH	231	250	175		3420			00010000					
597	22	2	1	S	MNWH	265	282	260		3419			00010000					
603	22	2	1	S	MNWH	268	289	260		3416			00010000					
631	22	2	1	S	MNWH	281	307	380		3414			00010000					
650	22	2	1	S	MNWH	292	314	440		3417			00010001					
672	22	2	1	S	MNWH	301	326	565		3398			00010000					
691	22	2	1	S	MNWH	309	331	510		3418			00010000					
793	22	2	1	S	MNWH	371	400	910		3407			00010000					
441	22	2	2	N	MNWH	215	231	135		2875			00010000					
514	22	2	2	N	MNWH	227	239	175		2873			00010000					
723	22	2	2	N	MNWH	317	336	560		2864			00010000					
745	22	2	2	N	MNWH	325	344	585		2865			00010000					
754	22	2	2	N	MNWH	337	363	730		2865			00000000					Mortality tag 2868
853	22	2	2	N	MNWH	405	431	1110		2866			00010100	H	J	M	6	
862	22	2	2	N	MNWH	408	425	1340		2862			00010000					
867	22	2	2	N	MNWH	410	432	1355		2861			00010000					
451	22	2	2	S	MNWH	216	221	130	X	2815			00000000					
310	22	2	2	S	MNWH	182	200	90		2815		N	00010000					Recap within day
467	22	2	2	S	MNWH	220	238	155		3433		N	00010000					
490	22	2	2	S	MNWH	223	240	180		3432			00010000					
747	22	2	2	S	MNWH	327	352	620		3431			00010010					
326	22	2	3	N	MNWH	190	204	120		3103		N	00010000					
388	22	2	3	N	MNWH	206	218	135		2894		B	00010000					
398	22	2	3	N	MNWH	209	226	135		2894			00010000					
429	22	2	3	N	MNWH	213	230	140		2895			00010000					
428	22	2	3	N	MNWH	213	225	150		2892			00010100					
442	22	2	3	N	MNWH	215	231	160		2897			00010000					
488	22	2	3	N	MNWH	223	238	145		2882			00010000					
498	22	2	3	N	MNWH	225	245	130		2881			00010000					
515	22	2	3	N	MNWH	227	245	160		2885			00010000					
517	22	2	3	N	MNWH	228	243	170		2883			00010000					
525	22	2	3	N	MNWH	229	249	185		2889			00010000					
526	22	2	3	N	MNWH	229	246	185		2898			00010000					
653	22	2	3	N	MNWH	295	310	415		3101			00000000					Mortality Tag # 3101 dead,
743	22	2	3	N	MNWH	323	347	620		2888			00010000					
868	22	2	3	N	MNWH	410	430	1280		2880			00010000					

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Fly Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Age (yr)	Comments
											Clasp Date	Release Date		Cause ^c	Loc ^d		
294	22	2	3	S	MNWH	165	179	50			N	N	00010000				
353	22	2	3	S	MNWH	200	216	105			N	B	3				
381	22	2	3	S	MNWH	204	219	100			N	B	3				
410	22	2	3	S	MNWH	210	224	120			N	B	3				
507	22	2	3	S	MNWH	225	242	165			N	B	3				
565	22	2	3	S	MNWH	238	255	230		3458	N	B	3				
598	22	2	3	S	MNWH	265	284	310		3452	N	B	3				
604	22	2	3	S	MNWH	268	294	295		3451	N	B	3				
610	22	2	3	S	MNWH	270	291	325		3453	N	B	3				
637	22	2	3	S	MNWH	285	304	320		3459	N	B	3				
662	22	2	3	S	MNWH	299	320	400		3457	N	B	3				
668	22	2	3	S	MNWH	300	324	470		3450	N	B	3				
686	22	2	3	S	MNWH	307	333	495		3455	N	B	3				
692	22	2	3	S	MNWH	309	329	440		3456	N	B	3				
739	22	2	3	S	MNWH	321	344	530		3454	N	B	3				
741	22	2	3	S	MNWH	322	346	640		3448	N	B	3				
778	22	2	3	S	MNWH	360	386	830		3449	N	B	3				
811	22	2	3	S	MNWH	384	415	1070		3447	N	B	3				
844	22	2	3	S	MNWH	401	422	1250		3446	N	B	3				
858	22	2	3	S	MNWH	405	435	1190		3444	N	B	3				
911	22	2	3	S	MNWH	428	452	1225		3445	N	B	3				
917	22	2	3	S	MNWH	450	474	1590		3442	N	B	3				
939	22	2	3	S	MNWH	451	488	1775		3443	N	B	3				
316	22	2	4	N	MNWH	211	120	120	X	2834	A	2	00000000				Recap within day
658	22	2	4	N	MNWH	297	315	400	X	2954	B	4	00000000				Recap within day
595	22	2	4	N	MNWH	285	286	250	X	3062	B	4	00000000				Tagged at recapture. Snum = 727
733	22	2	4	N	MNWH	320	345	515	X	3106	B	4	00000000				Recap within day Snum 348=345=364
348	22	2	4	N	MNWH	200	215	105	X								
306	22	2	4	N	MNWH	180	193										
316	22	2	4	N	MNWH	185	195	65									
362	22	2	4	N	MNWH	201	211	100		3109							
306	22	2	4	N	MNWH	210	227	130		3110							
518	22	2	4	N	MNWH	228	244	155		3116							
543	22	2	4	N	MNWH	232	248	190		3120							
666	22	2	4	N	MNWH	300	320	405		3107							
373	22	2	4	S	MNWH	202	216	120			N	B	4	00010000			
311	22	2	4	S	MNWH	210	228	115			N	B	4	00010000			
360	22	2	4	S	MNWH	219	239	135			N	B	4	00010000			
376	22	2	4	S	MNWH	220	238	125			N	B	4	00010000			
373	22	2	4	S	MNWH	220	235	145			N	B	4	00010000			
592	22	2	4	S	MNWH	225	245	140		3475	N	B	4	00010000			
589	22	2	4	S	MNWH	259	280	245		3474	N	B	4	00010000			
307	23	1	1	N	MNWH	180	193	65				C	1	00001000	Scale	1	
633	23	1	1	N	MNWH	283	301	310		3121					Scale	2	
324	23	1	1	S	MNWH	182	196	165			N	C	1	00001000			
354	23	1	1	S	MNWH	189	205	70			N	C	1	00001000			
388	23	1	1	S	MNWH	205	226	115			N	C	1	00001000			
412	23	1	1	S	MNWH	210	225	100			N	C	1	00001000			
600	23	1	1	S	MNWH	266	289	260		3494	N	C	1	00001000	Scale	3	
812	23	1	1	S	MNWH	384	413	950		3492	N	C	1	00001000	Scale	4	
900	23	1	1	S	MNWH	455	491	1630		3493	N	C	1	00001000	Scale	5	
330	23	1	2	N	MNWH	204	223	110		3141					Scale	6	
334	23	1	2	N	MNWH	208	220	120		3142					Scale	7	

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Flay Tag #	Clip @ Capture		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Date	Sec.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d			
454	23	1	2	N	MNVW	217	235	135		3139		00001000			Scale	1		
544	23	1	2	N	MNVW	232	245	175		3137		00001000			Scale			
613	23	1	2	N	MNVW	274	293	285		3138		00001000			Scale			
711	23	1	2	N	MNVW	314	339	560		3136		00001000			Scale	4		
790	23	1	2	N	MNVW	370	393	1085		3134		00001000			Scale	6		
809	23	1	2	N	MNVW	384	408	1165		3135		00001001			Scale	7		
821	23	1	2	N	MNVW	390	417	985		3140		00001000			Scale	5		
854	23	1	2	N	MNVW	405	435	1475		3132		00001000			Scale	6		
909	23	1	2	S	MNVW	298	320	445	X	3133		00000000			Scale			
660	23	1	2	S	MNVW	213	232	145		2812		00001000			Scale			
430	23	1	2	S	MNVW	222	240	140		3209		00001000			Scale			
482	23	1	2	S	MNVW	232	242	150		3210		00001000			Scale	1		
545	23	1	2	S	MNVW	273	292	310		3202		00001000			Scale	2		
612	23	1	2	S	MNVW	306	328	515		3208		00001000			Scale			
685	23	1	2	S	MNVW	317	342	575			N	00001000			Scale	8		
724	23	1	2	S	MNVW	424	456	1565		3499		00001000			Scale			
900	23	1	2	S	MNVW	230	246	195		3153		00001000			Scale			
533	23	1	3	N	MNVW	235	252	170		3148		00001000			Scale			
554	23	1	3	N	MNVW	236	249	170		3159		00001000			Scale			
562	23	1	3	N	MNVW	240	258	180		3160		00001000			Scale			
571	23	1	3	N	MNVW	242	261	205		3154		00001000			Scale			
624	23	1	3	N	MNVW	279	301	380		3147		00001000			Scale			
625	23	1	3	N	MNVW	281	300	315		3150		00001000			Scale	2	Mortality	
630	23	1	3	N	MNVW	315	338	575		3158		00000000			Scale	4		
721	23	1	3	N	MNVW	320	342	535		3149		00001000			Scale			
734	23	1	3	N	MNVW	340	365	940		3162		00001000			Scale			
757	23	1	3	N	MNVW	370	395	910		3164		00001000			Scale			
791	23	1	3	N	MNVW	380	406	1075		3156		00001000			Scale			
806	23	1	3	N	MNVW	390	413	1005		3151		00001000			Scale			
822	23	1	3	N	MNVW	402	431	1210		3152		00000000	E	B	Scale		Mortality	
847	23	1	3	N	MNVW	405	435	1495		3155		00001000	E	L	Scale			
855	23	1	3	N	MNVW	431	462	1605				00001000			Scale			
920	23	1	3	S	MNVW	194	210	70			N	00001000			Scale			
333	23	1	3	S	MNVW	200	215	85			N	00001000			Scale			
354	23	1	3	S	MNVW	205	222	110			N	00001000			Scale			
386	23	1	3	S	MNVW	205	222	110			N	00001000			Scale			
390	23	1	3	S	MNVW	206	222	105			N	00001000			Scale			
391	23	1	3	S	MNVW	207	223	110			N	00001000			Scale			
404	23	1	3	S	MNVW	209	226	110			N	00001000			Scale			
485	23	1	3	S	MNVW	222	240	145			N	00001000			Scale			
508	23	1	3	S	MNVW	225	242	150			N	00001000			Scale			
536	23	1	3	S	MNVW	230	250	160			N	00001000			Scale			
555	23	1	3	S	MNVW	235	252	160		3228		00001000			Scale			
556	23	1	3	S	MNVW	235	252	165		3229		00001000			Scale			
578	23	1	3	S	MNVW	242	263	190		3221		00001000			Scale			
621	23	1	3	S	MNVW	277	300	370		3223		00001000			Scale	2		
623	23	1	3	S	MNVW	278	300	365		3222		00001000			Scale			
632	23	1	3	S	MNVW	282	302	305		3225		00001000			Scale			
697	23	1	3	S	MNVW	310	334	445		3226		00001000			Scale			
736	23	1	3	S	MNVW	320	340	555		3224		00001000			Scale			
735	23	1	3	S	MNVW	320	345	570		3219		00001010			Scale	3		
779	23	1	3	S	MNVW	361	388	835		3214		00001001			Scale			

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug. 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries		Age (yrs)	Comments
														Cause ^c	Loc ^d Sex ^e		
783	23	1	3	S	MNWH	363	385	865		3213			00001000			4	
800	23	1	3	S	MNWH	373	398	965		3218			00001000			4	
898	23	1	3	S	MNWH	424	449	1370		3216			00000000			4	Mortality - Male
910	23	1	3	S	MNWH	428	468	1400		3220			00001000			6	
923	23	1	3	S	MNWH	434	460	1520		3217			00001000				Smum 348=345=364
1345	23	1	4	N	MNWH	198	212	110	X		B	B,C	4				
1399	23	1	4	N	MNWH	209	225	135		3171			00001000				May not live
444	23	1	4	N	MNWH	215	236	145		3174			00001000				
452	23	1	4	N	MNWH	216	235	135		3168			00001000				
465	23	1	4	N	MNWH	220	245	150		3169			00001000				
552	23	1	4	N	MNWH	234	254	220					00001000				
572	23	1	4	N	MNWH	240	259	190		3190			00001000				
591	23	1	4	N	MNWH	260	277	270					00001000				
601	23	1	4	N	MNWH	267	286	275		3170			00001000				
695	23	1	4	N	MNWH	310	334	485		3167			00001000				
772	23	1	4	N	MNWH	357	382	660		3173			00001000				
782	23	1	4	N	MNWH	362	386	720		3177			00001000				
788	23	1	4	N	MNWH	365	388	965		3172			00001000				
890	23	1	4	N	MNWH	415	440	1515		3180			00001010				
891	23	1	4	N	MNWH	420	450	1535		3175			00001000				
413	23	1	4	S	MNWH	210	224	130			N	C	4				
537	23	1	4	S	MNWH	230	246	165			N	C	4				
558	23	1	4	S	MNWH	235	252	195			N	C	4				
683	23	1	4	S	MNWH	305	322	490		3241			00001000				
843	23	2	1	N	MNWH	400	432	1015	X	2866			00000000				Left maxillae Tag # 3197 destroyed
883	23	2	1	N	MNWH	205	222	120					00001000				
408	23	2	1	N	MNWH	220	237	125					00001000				
886	23	2	1	N	MNWH	255	278	235					00000100				
625	23	2	1	N	MNWH	279	302	420					00000100				
651	23	2	1	N	MNWH	294	318	405		3306			00000100				
751	23	2	1	N	MNWH	333	356	715		3304			00000100				
764	23	2	1	N	MNWH	346	374	900		3193			00000101				
397	23	2	1	N	MNWH	372	399	1065		3302			00000100				
802	23	2	1	N	MNWH	377	402	955		3195			00000100				
815	23	2	1	N	MNWH	388	413	970		3194			00000100				
849	23	2	1	N	MNWH	410	435	1390		3305			00000100				
881	23	2	1	N	MNWH	415	443	138E		3198			00000000				Mortality
912	23	2	1	N	MNWH	428	449	1160					00000100				Left maxillae Tag # 3196 destroyed Tagging gun broke No mark applied
913	23	2	1	N	MNWH	429	455	1555					00000000				Mortality
916	23	2	1	S	MNWH	430	456	1550					00000000				Mortality
306	23	2	1	S	MNWH	199	214	105	X		C	1	C	1			Recap within 99y Smum 365=347
155	23	2	1	S	MNWH	195	211	100			N	C	1				
156	23	2	1	S	MNWH	200	216	125			N	C	1				
156	23	2	1	S	MNWH	200	216	80			N	C	1				
422	23	2	1	S	MNWH	211	230	155			N	C	1				
432	23	2	1	S	MNWH	213	230	130			N	C	1				
439	23	2	1	S	MNWH	214	230	140			N	C	1				
509	23	2	1	S	MNWH	225	243	165			N	C	1				
511	23	2	1	S	MNWH	226	244	165			N	C	1				
516	23	2	1	S	MNWH	227	247	165			N	C	1				
553	23	2	1	S	MNWH	234	252	215			N	C	1				

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Fly Tag #	Clip @ Capture		Clip @ Release		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (Yrs)	Comments		
											Date	Sect.	Date	Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d				Sex ^e	
559	23	2	1	S	MNWH	235	252	185			N	N	C	1	00000100							
592	23	2	1	S	MNWH	260	281	280			N	N	C	1	00000100							
619	23	2	1	S	MNWH	276	298	370		3250					00000100							
698	23	2	1	S	MNWH	310	329	545		3249					00000100							
703	23	2	1	S	MNWH	311	334	510		3262					00000100							
918	23	2	1	S	MNWH	430	461	1405		3248					00000101							
926	23	2	1	S	MNWH	435	471	1615		3247					00000100							
327	23	2	2	N	MNWH	190	217	90					C	2	00000100							
634	23	2	2	N	MNWH	284	302	410		3322					00000100							
682	23	2	2	N	MNWH	305	330	495		3323					00000100							
752	23	2	2	N	MNWH	334	355	665		3321					00000100							
792	23	2	2	N	MNWH	371	399	1075		3320					00000100							
837	23	2	2	N	MNWH	398	424	1325		3319					00000100							
843	23	2	2	N	MNWH	401	424	1295		3315					00000100							
893	23	2	2	N	MNWH	420	450	1420		3316					00000100							
897	23	2	2	N	MNWH	423	451	1540		3318					00000100							
372	23	2	2	S	MNWH	202	219	115			N	N	C	2	00000100							
392	23	2	2	S	MNWH	207	225	145			N	N	C	2	00000100							
472	23	2	2	S	MNWH	220	238	150			N	N	C	2	00000100							
689	23	2	2	S	MNWH	309	334	520		3267					00000100							
787	23	2	2	S	MNWH	369	396	875		3264					00000110							
827	23	2	2	S	MNWH	394	419	1035		3265					00000100							
859	23	2	2	S	MNWH	406	434	1285		3266					00000100							
654	23	2	3	M	MNWH	295	317	435		3327	C				00000100							
865	23	2	3	N	MNWH	410	436	1400	X	2859					00000000						Right Jaw	
373	23	2	3	N	MNWH	203	217	120					C	3	00000100							
378	23	2	3	N	MNWH	204	219	100					C	3	00000100							
402	23	2	3	N	MNWH	209	227	130					C	3	00000100							
453	23	2	3	N	MNWH	216	234	135		3332					00000100							
459	23	2	3	N	MNWH	219	232	140					C	3	00000100							
534	23	2	3	N	MNWH	230	248	165		3333					00000100							
540	23	2	3	N	MNWH	231	251	185		3331					00000100							
582	23	2	3	N	MNWH	249	271	240		3326					00000100							
614	23	2	3	N	MNWH	274	295	325		3300					00000100							
648	23	2	3	N	MNWH	292	317	455		3303					00000100							
673	23	2	3	N	MNWH	302	329	485		3329					00000100							
780	23	2	3	N	MNWH	361	388	850		3328	C				00000100							
846	23	2	3	N	MNWH	402	427	1540	X	3325					00000100							
927	23	2	3	S	MNWH	435	469	1535		3317					00000000						Recap within day on subsequent run - excluded	
852	23	2	3	S	MNWH	404	444	1305	X	3373					00000000							
938	23	2	3	S	MNWH	451	480	1560	X	3442					00000000							
357	23	2	3	S	MNWH	200	212	105			N	N	C	3	00000100							
447	23	2	3	S	MNWH	215	232	155			N	N	C	3	00000100							
448	23	2	3	S	MNWH	215	231	145			N	N	C	3	00000100							
449	23	2	3	S	MNWH	215	221	135			N	N	C	3	00000100							
461	23	2	3	S	MNWH	219	237	165			N	N	C	3	00000100							
473	23	2	3	S	MNWH	220	235	140			N	N	C	3	00000100							
529	23	2	3	S	MNWH	229	245	190			N	N	C	3	00000100							
585	23	2	3	S	MNWH	254	275	210			N	N	C	3	00000100							
587	23	2	3	S	MNWH	256	274	245			N	N	C	3	00000100							
626	23	2	3	S	MNWH	279	297	305		3290					00000100							

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries Cause ^c Loc ^d Sex ^e	Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
646	23	2	3	S	MNWH	291	316	455		3291			00000100				Caudal Clip
690	23	2	3	S	MNWH	309	332	485		3287			00000100				Originally Tagged Last Year
763	23	2	3	S	MNWH	345	370	730		3288			00000100				
824	23	2	3	S	MNWH	392	421	955		2031			00000100				
856	23	2	3	S	MNWH	405	430	1135		3284			00000100				
882	23	2	3	S	MNWH	415	444	1195		3285			00000100				
892	23	2	3	S	MNWH	420	448	1450		3286			00000100				
567	23	2	4	N	MNWH	239	259	215	X	2799			00000000				All Fins Clipped Snum 303=305
308	23	2	4	N	MNWH	178	189	65	X				00000000				
123	23	2	4	N	MNWH	189	205	90				A 3	A,C 3,4				
349	23	2	4	N	MNWH	200	216	120				C 4	C 4				
407	23	2	4	N	MNWH	210	228	135				C 4	C 4				
419	23	2	4	N	MNWH	211	227	140				C 4	C 4				
649	23	2	4	N	MNWH	292	316	385		3347			00000100	E	B	M	
667	23	2	4	N	MNWH	300	323	420		3344			00000100				
699	23	2	4	N	MNWH	310	334	525		3345			00000100				
704	23	2	4	N	MNWH	311	338	560		3346			00000100				
712	23	2	4	N	MNWH	314	338	445		3340			00000100				
713	23	2	4	N	MNWH	314	337	570		3343			00000100				
808	23	2	4	N	MNWH	383	412	935		3342			00000100	H	J	H	Left Jaw Infected
895	23	2	4	N	MNWH	421	440	1170		3338			00000100				
903	23	2	4	N	MNWH	426	452	1750		3339			00000100				
908	23	2	4	S	MNWH	427	450	1430		3341			00000100				
443	23	2	4	S	MNWH	215	230	160	X	2892			00000000				
130	23	2	4	S	MNWH	190	210	110			N	C 4	C 4				
158	23	2	4	S	MNWH	200	215	135			N	C 4	C 4				
414	23	2	4	S	MNWH	210	225	135			N	C 4	C 4				
415	23	2	4	S	MNWH	210	225	120			N	C 4	C 4				
450	23	2	4	S	MNWH	215	232	155			N	C 4	C 4				
538	23	2	4	S	MNWH	230	246	195			N	C 4	C 4				
641	23	2	4	S	MNWH	232	250	190		3298			00000100				
720	23	2	4	S	MNWH	315	339	545		3299			00000100				
883	23	2	4	S	MNWH	415	445	1230		2803			00000000				
885	24	1	1	N	MNWH	397	424	1280	X				00000000				
530	24	1	1	N	MNWH	228	246	175					00000010				
640	24	1	1	N	MNWH	289	305	365					00000010				
762	24	1	1	N	MNWH	311	333	575		2605			00000010				
810	24	1	1	N	MNWH	384	415	1145		3349			00000010				
828	24	1	1	N	MNWH	395	422	1300		2603			00000010				
884	24	1	1	N	MNWH	415	443	1335		3350			00000010				
289	24	1	1	S	MNWH	159	173	45			N	D 1	D 1				
318	24	1	1	S	MNWH	186	201	85			N	D 1	D 1				
521	24	1	1	S	MNWH	228	248	165			N	D 1	D 1				
538	24	1	1	S	MNWH	232	248	185			N	D 1	D 1				
580	24	1	1	S	MNWH	248	265	235			N	D 1	D 1				
699	24	1	1	S	MNWH	265	283	280			N	D 1	D 1				
722	24	1	1	S	MNWH	316	340	525		2404			00000010				
765	24	1	1	S	MNWH	347	379	805		2401			00000010				
873	24	1	1	S	MNWH	413	444	1355		2405			00000010				
910	24	1	2	N	MNWH	330	356	640		2607			00000010				
960	24	1	2	N	MNWH	341	367	785		2608			00000010				
876	24	1	2	N	MNWH	415	441	1545		2610			00000010	H	J	M	
905	24	1	2	N	MNWH	427	457	1420		2609			00000010				

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg. ± 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture		Clip @ Release		Encounter	Injuries		Age (yrs)	Comments
											Date	Sec.	Date	Sec.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d		
737	24	1	2	S	MNWH	320	347	615	X	3431	N	N	D	2	000000000				
588	24	1	2	S	MNWH	258	280	255			N	N	D	2	000000010				
696	24	1	3	N	MNWH	310	335	520	X	3219	N	N	D	3	000000000				
798	24	1	3	N	MNWH	372	394	855	X	3264	N	N	D	3	000000000				
293	24	1	3	N	MNWH	165	179	45			N	N	D	3	000000010				
338	24	1	3	N	MNWH	190	203	95			N	N	D	3	000000010				
337	24	1	3	N	MNWH	195	207	85			N	N	D	3	000000010				
368	24	1	3	N	MNWH	202	218	120			N	N	D	3	000000010				
483	24	1	3	N	MNWH	222	240	160			N	N	D	3	000000010				
503	24	1	3	N	MNWH	225	243	155			N	N	D	3	000000010				
546	24	1	3	N	MNWH	232	250	205			N	N	D	3	000000010				
557	24	1	3	N	MNWH	235	255	180			N	N	D	3	000000010				
590	24	1	3	N	MNWH	259	278	250			N	N	D	3	000000010				
607	24	1	3	N	MNWH	270	292	275		2619	N	N	D	3	000000010				
620	24	1	3	N	MNWH	277	296	280		2653	N	N	D	3	000000010				
652	24	1	3	N	MNWH	295	315	425		2652	N	N	D	3	000000010				
663	24	1	3	N	MNWH	300	329	405		2615	N	N	D	3	000000010				
677	24	1	3	N	MNWH	304	328	405		2620	N	N	D	3	000000010				
725	24	1	3	N	MNWH	318	342	435		2618	N	N	D	3	000000010				
730	24	1	3	N	MNWH	320	344	575		2617	N	N	D	3	000000010				
775	24	1	3	N	MNWH	360	385	945		2616	N	N	D	3	000000010				
816	24	1	3	N	MNWH	390	416	1250		2614	N	N	D	3	000000010				
359	24	1	3	S	MNWH	200	215	100			N	N	D	3	000000010				
425	24	1	3	S	MNWH	212	230	135			N	N	D	3	000000010				
486	24	1	3	S	MNWH	222	235	150			N	N	D	3	000000011				
560	24	1	3	S	MNWH	235	252	205			N	N	D	3	000000010				
574	24	1	3	S	MNWH	240	254	195			N	N	D	3	000000010				
728	24	1	3	S	MNWH	302	321	445		2418	N	N	D	3	000000010				
742	24	1	3	S	MNWH	320	333	550		2410	N	N	D	3	000000010				
788	24	1	3	S	MNWH	323	343	565		2415	N	N	D	3	000000010				
801	24	1	3	S	MNWH	370	393	975		2417	N	N	D	3	000000010				
840	24	1	3	S	MNWH	377	407	1105		2416	N	N	D	3	000000010				
845	24	1	3	S	MNWH	400	430	1370		2414	N	N	D	3	000000010				
848	24	1	3	S	MNWH	402	431	1225		2411	N	N	D	3	000000010				
849	24	1	3	S	MNWH	403	435	1090		2413	N	N	D	3	000000010				
904	24	1	3	S	MNWH	427	460	1445		2412	N	N	D	3	000000010				
805	24	1	4	N	MNWH	379	402	930	X	3180	N	N	D	3	000000000				
935	24	1	4	N	MNWH	446	472	1610	X	3442	N	N	D	3	000000000				
369	24	1	4	N	MNWH	202	218	120	X		N	N	D	3,4	000000000				
350	24	1	4	N	MNWH	200	215	95			N	N	D	4	000000010				
512	24	1	4	N	MNWH	227	245	160		2656	N	N	D	4	000000010				
678	24	1	4	N	MNWH	305	330	470		2654	N	N	D	4	000000010				
890	24	1	4	N	MNWH	420	451	1300		2655	N	N	D	4	000000010				
393	24	1	4	S	MNWH	207	222	150	X		N	N	D	3,4	000000000				
312	24	1	4	S	MNWH	182	195	105			N	N	D	4	000000010				
539	24	1	4	S	MNWH	230	247	205			N	N	D	4	000000010				
899	24	2	1	N	MNWH	424	449	1325		3248	N	N	D	4	000000000				
445	24	2	1	N	MNWH	215	231	115			N	N	D	1	000000001				
528	24	2	1	N	MNWH	229	246	155			N	N	D	1	000000001				
579	24	2	1	N	MNWH	245	266	155			N	N	D	1	000000001				

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
														Cause ^c	Loc ^d Sec ^e			
605	24	2	1	N	MNWH	268	283	210				D	1					
622	24	2	1	N	MNWH	278	291	325		2662								
705	24	2	1	N	MNWH	312	337	530		2666				E	B			
715	24	2	1	N	MNWH	315	340	545		2665					L			
750	24	2	1	N	MNWH	330	353	575		2657								
766	24	2	1	N	MNWH	348	373	750		2661								
768	24	2	1	N	MNWH	351	375	760		2658								
769	24	2	1	N	MNWH	351	378	820		2664								
794	24	2	1	N	MNWH	371	392					D	1					
922	24	2	1	N	MNWH	433	461	1325		2659								
929	24	2	1	N	MNWH	440	470	1610		2660								
315	24	2	1	S	MNWH	184	198	95			N							
334	24	2	1	S	MNWH	194	211				N							
387	24	2	1	S	MNWH	205	222	125			N							
561	24	2	1	S	MNWH	235	242	195			N							
566	24	2	1	S	MNWH	238	256	185			N							
628	24	2	1	S	MNWH	280	302	355		2507								
714	24	2	1	S	MNWH	315	340	615		2550								
784	24	2	1	S	MNWH	364	399	960		2546								
813	24	2	1	S	MNWH	388	420	1405		2544								
831	24	2	1	S	MNWH	397	426	1225		2545								
874	24	2	1	S	MNWH	413	435	1260		2549								
886	24	2	1	S	MNWH	417	447	1540		2542								
887	24	2	1	S	MNWH	417	447	1475		2548								
928	24	2	1	S	MNWH	440	471	1615		2543								
832	24	2	2	N	MNWH	395	415	1065	X	3135								
351	24	2	2	N	MNWH	200	215	95				D	2					
408	24	2	2	N	MNWH	210	225	160				D	2					
532	24	2	2	N	MNWH	231	248	160				D	2					
609	24	2	2	N	MNWH	300	321	460				D	2					
679	24	2	2	N	MNWH	305	326	500		2672								
804	24	2	2	N	MNWH	379	398	880		2671								
826	24	2	2	S	MNWH	392	422	1380	X	2544								
398	24	2	2	S	MNWH	168	188	80										
660	24	2	2	S	MNWH	200	216	125				D	2					
174	24	2	2	S	MNWH	203	222	125				D	2					
179	24	2	2	S	MNWH	221	235	160				D	2					
192	24	2	2	S	MNWH	223	240	175				D	2					
516	24	2	2	S	MNWH	225	245	185				D	2					
684	24	2	2	S	MNWH	306	332	525		2515								
739	24	2	2	S	MNWH	320	344	560		2516								
825	24	2	2	S	MNWH	382	422	1245		2508								
199	24	2	3	N	MNWH	225	243	140	X	2925								
639	24	2	3	N	MNWH	280	299	315	X	3158								
758	24	2	3	N	MNWH	340	362	700	X	3193								
116	24	2	3	N	MNWH	215	232	130				D	3					
188	24	2	3	N	MNWH	206	220	100				D	3					
117	24	2	3	N	MNWH	214	231	120				D	3					
184	24	2	3	N	MNWH	222	240	140				D	3					
504	24	2	3	N	MNWH	225	241	140				D	3					
608	24	2	3	N	MNWH	225	245	155				D	3					
518	24	2	3	N	MNWH	233	251	165				D	3					
661	24	2	3	N	MNWH	299	319	525		2628								

Recap within day same run - excluded

System 4.16-4.16

Scale

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg - 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture		Clip @ Release		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments	
											Date	Sect.	Date	Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d				Sev ^e
670	24	2	3	N	MINWH	300	321	515						00000000						Mortality, tag # dead	
693	24	2	3	N	MINWH	310	335	560		2634				00000001							
731	24	2	3	N	MINWH	320	340	545		2627				00000001							
767	24	2	3	N	MINWH	350	372	735		2635				00000001							
893	24	2	3	N	MINWH	420	448	1555						00000000							Mortality, tag # dead
493	24	2	3	S	MINWH	223	242	170	X			A	3	AD	3						Smum 491=493
474	24	2	3	S	MINWH	220	236	175				N	N	D	3						
480	24	2	3	S	MINWH	221	237	180				N	N	D	3						
522	24	2	3	S	MINWH	228	243	195				N	N	D	3						
563	24	2	3	S	MINWH	236	255	210				N	N	D	3						
575	24	2	3	S	MINWH	240	256	230				N	N	D	3						
581	24	2	3	S	MINWH	248	264	235				N	N	D	3						
606	24	2	3	S	MINWH	268	289	285		2524		N	N	D	3						
618	24	2	3	S	MINWH	276	295	350		2435		N	N	D	3						
638	24	2	3	S	MINWH	288	305	385		2435		N	N	D	3						
687	24	2	3	S	MINWH	308	332	480		2521		N	N	D	3						
709	24	2	3	S	MINWH	314	338	585		2520		N	N	D	3						
726	24	2	3	S	MINWH	319	340	615		2522		N	N	D	3						
850	24	2	3	S	MINWH	403	428	1345		2519		N	N	D	3						
876	24	2	3	S	MINWH	415	445	1350		2523		N	N	D	3						
914	24	2	3	S	MINWH	430	461	1460		2517		N	N	D	3						
924	24	2	3	S	MINWH	435	467	1705		2518		N	N	D	3						
774	24	2	4	N	MINWH	359	385	805	X	3214		N	N	D	3						
636	24	2	4	N	MINWH	285	307	415	X	3417		N	N	D	3						
317	24	2	4	N	MINWH	185	203	70				N	N	D	3						
384	24	2	4	N	MINWH	205	222	115				N	N	D	3						
535	24	2	4	N	MINWH	230	251	185				N	N	D	3						
738	24	2	4	N	MINWH	320	346	660				N	N	D	3						
807	24	2	4	N	MINWH	382	406	925				N	N	D	3						
863	24	2	4	N	MINWH	408	436	1575				N	N	D	3						
889	24	2	4	N	MINWH	419	445	1425				N	N	D	3						
361	24	2	4	S	MINWH	200	216	100				N	N	D	3						
433	24	2	4	S	MINWH	213	232	160				N	N	D	3						
475	24	2	4	S	MINWH	220	236	160				N	N	D	3						
523	24	2	4	S	MINWH	228	245	185				N	N	D	3						
524	24	2	4	S	MINWH	228	245	185				N	N	D	3						
584	24	2	4	S	MINWH	251	270	260				N	N	D	3						
611	24	2	4	S	MINWH	272	283	330				N	N	D	3						
641	24	2	4	S	MINWH	289	312	395				N	N	D	3						
748	24	2	4	S	MINWH	328	351	650				N	N	D	3						
759	24	2	4	S	MINWH	340	367	670				N	N	D	3						
773	24	2	4	S	MINWH	358	382	820				N	N	D	3						
781	24	2	4	S	MINWH	361	390	925				N	N	D	3						
803	24	2	4	S	MINWH	378	401	875				N	N	D	3						
823	24	2	4	S	MINWH	390	422	1220				N	N	D	3						
901	24	2	4	S	MINWH	425	457	1495				N	N	D	3						
933	24	2	4	S	MINWH	445	480	1635				N	N	D	3						
1047	21	1	1	N	RNTR	220	231	135		2436		N	N	D	3						Mortality - Female
953	21	1	1	S	RNTR	178	191	80		2437		N	N	D	3						
1081	21	1	1	S	RNTR	230	244	165		2701		N	N	D	3						
1333	21	1	1	S	RNTR	484	506	1070		2902		N	N	D	3						
966	21	1	2	N	RNTR	185	195	95		2901		N	N	D	3						
																					Right Mandible Missing, Caudal Clip - Lower

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag ^c	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Capture Date	Release Date		Cause ^d	Loc ^e			
1174	21	1	2	N	RNTR	384	402	715		2704	N	N	10000000					
1025	21	1	2	S	RNTR	212	221	130			N	A	10000000					
1086	21	1	2	S	RNTR	231	241	145		2912	N	A	10000100					
1156	21	1	2	S	RNTR	335	350	500		2911	N	A	10000000					
1253	21	1	2	S	RNTR	440	456	1015		2909	N	A	10000000	D	G	L		Left Gill Cover Damaged
1303	21	1	2	S	RNTR	461	477	1055		2910	N	A	10000000					
951	21	1	2	S	RNTR	177	186	60		2708	N	A	11000000					
1233	21	1	3	N	RNTR	432	455	1040			N	A	10000000					
942	21	1	3	S	RNTR	158	167	55			N	A	10000000					
944	21	1	3	S	RNTR	164	170	65			N	A	10000000					
946	21	1	3	S	RNTR	170	179	70			N	A	10000000					
1010	21	1	3	S	RNTR	207	222	120			N	A	00000000					Not marked, excluded
1016	21	1	3	S	RNTR	210	220	130		2830	N	A	10000000					
1120	21	1	3	S	RNTR	245	254	195		2929	N	A	10000000					
1208	21	1	3	S	RNTR	418	430	820		2928	N	A	10000000					
1316	21	1	3	S	RNTR	486	501	1255		2927	N	A	10000000					
1375	21	1	3	S	RNTR	530	551	1665		2926	N	A	10001000					
1380	21	1	3	S	RNTR	570	597	1715		2918	N	A	10000000	H	J	L		Lower Jaw, Left Mandible Damage
1079	21	1	4	N	RNTR	230	240	175		2719	N	A	10000000					
1386	21	1	4	N	RNTR	400	422	775		2715	N	A	10000000	H	J	L		
1277	21	1	4	N	RNTR	451	475	935		2714	N	A	10000000	H	J	L		
1279	21	1	4	N	RNTR	452	460	1200		2713	N	A	10000000					
1288	21	1	4	N	RNTR	455	477	1130		2712	N	A	10000000	H	E	H		Escaped - included in estimate
1302	21	1	4	N	RNTR	461	485	1130		2709	N	A	10000000	H	J	L		
1344	21	1	4	N	RNTR	495	521	1310		2718	N	A	10000000	H	J	L		Small burn mark Shum 997=990
990	21	1	4	S	RNTR	199	205	80		2950	N	A	10000000					
992	21	1	4	S	RNTR	188	212	115			N	A	10000000					
1032	21	1	4	S	RNTR	213	221	145			N	A	10000000					
1056	21	1	4	S	RNTR	222	232	165		2949	N	A	10000000					
1065	21	1	4	S	RNTR	225	236	150		2940	N	A	10000000					
1345	21	1	4	S	RNTR	436	461	970		2934	N	A	10000000					
1297	21	1	4	S	RNTR	458	472	1180		2937	N	A	10000000					
1309	21	1	4	S	RNTR	465	486	1195		2939	N	A	10000000					
1310	21	1	4	S	RNTR	481	488	1540		2936	N	A	10000001					
1318	21	1	4	S	RNTR	488	511	1485		2935	N	A	10000000					
1356	21	1	4	S	RNTR	503	529	1505		2938	N	A	10010000					
1361	21	1	4	S	RNTR	508	527	1270		2933	N	A	10000000					
967	21	2	1	N	RNTR	187					N	A	00000000					Escaped - excluded from estimate
1048	21	2	1	N	RNTR	220	230	135		2742	N	A	01000000					
1075	21	2	1	N	RNTR	229	239	155		2738	N	A	01000000					
1103	21	2	1	N	RNTR	239	245	170		2737	N	A	01000000					
1106	21	2	1	N	RNTR	496	515	1450		2721	N	A	01010000	H	J	L		
1357	21	2	1	N	RNTR	505	520	1120		2727	N	A	01000000	H	J	H		
1368	21	2	1	N	RNTR	515	525	1380		2725	N	A	01000000					
982	21	2	1	S	RNTR	195	205	105		2724	N	A	01000000					
1095	21	2	1	S	RNTR	205	213	115			N	A	01000000					
1099	21	2	1	S	RNTR	206	216	120			N	A	01000000					
1098	21	2	1	S	RNTR	236	249	175		2968	N	A	01000000					
1104	21	2	1	S	RNTR	239	250	150		2970	N	A	01000000					
1115	21	2	1	S	RNTR	243	258	200		2965	N	A	01000000					
1358	21	2	1	S	RNTR	442	465	895			N	A	00000000					Escaped - excluded from estimate
1366	21	2	1	S	RNTR	512	537	1550		2971	N	A	01000000	H	J	L		Mandible Damage

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Capture Date	Release Date		Cause ^c	Loc ^d			
938	21	2	2	N	RNTR	181	194	70			A	2	01000000	H	J	L		
970	21	2	2	N	RNTR	190	198	95			A	2	01000000					
993	21	2	2	N	RNTR	200	209	110			A	2	01000000					
1183	21	2	2	N	RNTR	397	412	755		2753			01000000	H	E	H		
1349	21	2	2	N	RNTR	498	513	1405		2751			01000000	H	J	L		
943	21	2	2	S	RNTR	162	171	60			A	2	01000000					
994	21	2	2	S	RNTR	200	211	125			A	2	01000000					
1033	21	2	2	S	RNTR	213	221	125			A	2	01000000					
1050	21	2	2	S	RNTR	220	232	85		2977			01000000					
1095	21	2	2	S	RNTR	235	245	170		2990			01000000				2	
1136	21	2	2	S	RNTR	260	270	225		2992			01000000					
1178	21	2	2	S	RNTR	391	410	635		2981			01100000				3	
1212	21	2	2	S	RNTR	420	436	960		2979			01000000				3	
1236	21	2	2	S	RNTR	433	454	900		2975			01000000	H	J	L	4	Right Mandible Gone
987	21	2	2	S	RNTR	197	202	85			A	3	01000000					
1072	21	2	3	N	RNTR	228	244	160		2770			01000000					
1107	21	2	3	N	RNTR	240	252	215		2764			01001000					
1184	21	2	3	N	RNTR	397	415	630		2760			01000000	H	E	H		Mandible damage
1185	21	2	3	N	RNTR	397	411	950		2765			01000000					Missing mandible both sides.
1289	21	2	3	N	RNTR	455	475	960		2774			01000000	E	B	L		
1348	21	2	3	N	RNTR	497	510	1085		2766			01000000					Left Mandible Damaged
1239	21	2	3	S	RNTR	435	451	1110	X	2708			01000000	H	J	L		
972	21	2	3	S	RNTR	190	200	95			N	N	A	3	01000000			
974	21	2	3	S	RNTR	192	200	90			N	N	A	3	01000000			
1006	21	2	3	S	RNTR	205	215	150			N	N	A	3	01000000			
1026	21	2	3	S	RNTR	212	222	135			N	N	A	3	01000000			
1052	21	2	3	S	RNTR	220	230	135			N	N	A	3	01000000			
1082	21	2	3	S	RNTR	230	241	175		3042			01000100					
1131	21	2	3	S	RNTR	254	264	225		3040			01000000					
1176	21	2	3	S	RNTR	390	407	785		3034			01000000				3	Missing Left Mandible.
1237	21	2	3	S	RNTR	434	449	855		3029			01000000	H	J	L	4	
1291	21	2	3	S	RNTR	455	475	940		3030			01000001				5	
1300	21	2	3	S	RNTR	459	475	1200		3032			01000000					Caudal Clip
1327	21	2	3	S	RNTR	478	483	995		3028			00000000					Escaped - excluded from estimate
1070	21	2	4	N	RNTR	227	236	150		2777			01000000					
1167	21	2	4	N	RNTR	377	398	665		2775			01000000					
1235	21	2	4	N	RNTR	433	448	880		2779			01000000	H	J	M	3	
1360	21	2	4	N	RNTR	508	520	1405		2781			01000000	H	J	M	4	
1374	21	2	4	N	RNTR	530	550	1675		2776			01000000					
995	21	2	4	S	RNTR	200	210	110			N	N	A	4	01000100			Shum 999=995
1024	21	2	4	S	RNTR	212	220	130		3081			01000000					Short Gill Plate - Right Side
1083	21	2	4	S	RNTR	230	242	155		3080			01000000	H	G	L		
1134	21	2	4	S	RNTR	256	266	225		3070			01010000					
1137	21	2	4	S	RNTR	261	272	260		3058			01000000					
1157	21	2	4	S	RNTR	353	368	545		3060			01000000					
1221	21	2	4	S	RNTR	425	442	910		3052			01000000					
1230	21	2	4	S	RNTR	430	448	960		3057			01000000					
1250	21	2	4	S	RNTR	438	460	1030		3051			01000000					
1254	21	2	4	S	RNTR	440	452	855		3054			01000000					
1255	21	2	4	S	RNTR	440	450	935		3059			01000000					
1278	21	2	4	S	RNTR	451	460	1125		3046			01000000	H	E	H		HYBRID, Blind In Right Eye
1304	21	2	4	S	RNTR	461	480	1100		3056			01000000					

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries Cause ^c Loc ^d Sex ^e	Age (yr)	Comments	
1333	21	2	4	S	RNTR	472	496	1435		3053			01000000	H	J	L	Lower Jaw Damage
1651	22	1	1	N	RNTR	213	226	140		2796			01000000	H	J	M	
1064	22	1	1	N	RNTR	225	239	140		2900			00100000	H	J	M	
1170	22	1	1	N	RNTR	380	398	770		2784			00100000	H	J	M	
1262	22	1	1	N	RNTR	444	456	815		2785			00101000	H	J	M	
1285	22	1	1	N	RNTR	454	470	1070		2798			00100000	H	J	M	
1299	22	1	1	N	RNTR	459	475	985	X	2792			00100100	H	J	M	
1175	22	1	1	S	RNTR	388	412	630		2981			00000000				
1105	22	1	1	S	RNTR	239	246	190		3094			00100000				
1117	22	1	1	S	RNTR	244	253	205		3093			00100000				
1152	22	1	1	S	RNTR	324	337	345		3095			00100000				
1191	22	1	1	S	RNTR	407	429	820		3084			00100000	P	B	L	
1219	22	1	1	S	RNTR	423	441	845		3085			00100000				
1363	22	1	1	S	RNTR	508	525	1280		3083			00101010				
1049	22	1	2	N	RNTR	183	190	80		2817		B	00100000	H	E	H	
1071	22	1	2	N	RNTR	220	227	135		2814			00100000				
1132	22	1	2	N	RNTR	227	235	160		2816			00100000				
1169	22	1	2	N	RNTR	273	285	305		2816			00100000	H	E	M	
1265	22	1	2	N	RNTR	379	393	705		2809			00100000	H	E	H	
1283	22	1	2	N	RNTR	445	461	890		2806			00101000	H	E	H	
964	22	1	2	S	RNTR	453	472	1135		2811	N	N	00100000				
1331	22	1	2	S	RNTR	184	195	70		3098		B	00100000	H	J	L	Blind in left eye
952	22	1	2	S	RNTR	483	505	1040		2824		B	00100000				
963	22	1	3	N	RNTR	178	184	85		2821		B	00100000				
1013	22	1	3	N	RNTR	184	189	80					00100000				
1171	22	1	3	N	RNTR	209	218	120					00100000				
953	22	1	3	S	RNTR	380	393	515					00100000	H	E	H	
1015	22	1	3	S	RNTR	190	202	90					00100000				
1034	22	1	3	S	RNTR	210	217	125					00100000				
1116	22	1	3	S	RNTR	213	222	145					00100000				
1154	22	1	3	S	RNTR	243	256	185		3352			00100000				
1161	22	1	3	S	RNTR	329	341	465		3367			00100000	H	J	L	
1180	22	1	3	S	RNTR	364	380	600		3369			00100000				
1181	22	1	3	S	RNTR	392	412	745		3363			00100000				
1182	22	1	3	S	RNTR	394	412	710		3368			00100000				
1231	22	1	3	S	RNTR	395	412	795		3370			00100000				
1247	22	1	3	S	RNTR	431	449	965		3362			00100000				
1298	22	1	3	S	RNTR	436	452	995		3365			00100100				
1371	22	1	3	S	RNTR	458	481	1110		3366			00100000	H	J	L	
971	22	1	4	N	RNTR	190	200	105		3361		B	00100000				
1165	22	1	4	N	RNTR	375	389	680		2832			00100000	E	E	L	
1187	22	1	4	N	RNTR	405	422	495		2839			00100000	H	E	L	
1355	22	1	4	N	RNTR	502	518	1195		2827			00100000	H	E	H	Blind right eye into maxilla
1358	22	1	4	N	RNTR	505	520	1385		2837			00100000	H	J	L	
983	22	1	4	S	RNTR	195	205	90			N	N	00100000				
996	22	1	4	S	RNTR	200	210	120			N	N	00100000				
1100	22	1	4	S	RNTR	237	250	215		3391			00100000				
1201	22	1	4	S	RNTR	414	439	880		3382			00100000				
1202	22	1	4	S	RNTR	414	433	855		3384			00100000				
1203	22	1	4	S	RNTR	414	430	760		3385			00100100	H	J	M	
1215	22	1	4	S	RNTR	420	435	875		3380			00100000				
1301	22	1	4	S	RNTR	460	481	955		3383			00100000	H	J	L	

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg. - 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Capture Date Sect.	Release Date Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d Sev ^e			
1324	22	1	4	S	RNTR	477	498	1360		3379		001000000						
1353	22	1	4	S	RNTR	500	515	1575		3381		001000000						
1340	22	2	1	N	RNTR	493	499	1370	X	2721		000000000		H	J	L		Recap within day
1168	22	2	1	N	RNTR	379	395	775	X	2784		000000000		H	J	L		
1046	22	2	1	N	RNTR	219	226	135		2854		000100000		E	B	L		
1073	22	2	1	N	RNTR	228	238	155		2851		000100000		H	E	M		
1097	22	2	1	N	RNTR	236	244	185		2850		000100000		H	E	M		
1160	22	2	1	N	RNTR	359	374	535		2844		000100000		H	E	M		Missing mandible,
1207	22	2	1	N	RNTR	416	429	795		2842		000100000		H	E	H		Blind left eye, torn mandible
1263	22	2	1	N	RNTR	444	462	1245		2841		000100000						
1308	22	2	1	N	RNTR	465	480	1110		2855		000100000						
1328	22	2	1	N	RNTR	480	490	1325		2856		000100000						
1017	22	2	1	S	RNTR	210	220	145		3411		000100000						
1039	22	2	1	S	RNTR	215	223	140		3412		000100000						
1122	22	2	1	S	RNTR	245	257	185		3408		000100000						
1158	22	2	1	S	RNTR	356	367	550		3400		000100000						
1329	22	2	1	S	RNTR	480	510	1205		3403		000100000						
1011	22	2	2	N	RNTR	208	215	120		2878		000100000						Tag #3401-destroyed
1094	22	2	2	N	RNTR	235	244	190		2879		000100000		E	B	L	1	
1252	22	2	2	N	RNTR	440	460	1050		2872		000100000						
1274	22	2	2	N	RNTR	450	462	1025		2871		000101000						Blind in left eye
1296	22	2	2	N	RNTR	458	470	1060		2863		000100000		H	J	M	4	
1377	22	2	2	N	RNTR	539	555	1910		2869		000100000		H	J	M	5	
969	22	2	2	S	RNTR	189	197	85				000100000						
1036	22	2	2	S	RNTR	214	225	135				000100000						
1040	22	2	2	S	RNTR	215	226	190		3434		000100000						
1053	22	2	2	S	RNTR	220	230	155				000100000						
1089	22	2	2	S	RNTR	231	242	155		3435		000100000						
1096	22	2	2	S	RNTR	235	245	200		3437		000100000						
1129	22	2	2	S	RNTR	252	262	235		3436		000100000						
1216	22	2	2	S	RNTR	420	436	740		3422		000100000		H	E	H	6	
981	22	2	3	N	RNTR	195	205	100				000100000						
988	22	2	3	N	RNTR	197	204	100				000100000						
1001	22	2	3	N	RNTR	204	215	125		3102		000100000						
1003	22	2	3	N	RNTR	205	214	115		2884		000100000						
1004	22	2	3	N	RNTR	205	214	120		2893		000100000						
1035	22	2	3	N	RNTR	214	225	135		2899		000100000						
1080	22	2	3	N	RNTR	230	241	190		2887		000100000						
1149	22	2	3	N	RNTR	301	316	385		2890		000100000						
1226	22	2	3	N	RNTR	429	445	925		3105		000100000		H	J	H	2	
1376	22	2	3	N	RNTR	535	552	1620		2896		000100000		H	J	H	3	Blind in right eye
954	22	2	3	S	RNTR	178	208	65				000100000						
986	22	2	3	S	RNTR	196	203	110				000100000						
998	22	2	3	S	RNTR	201	210	105				000100000						
1007	22	2	3	S	RNTR	205	215	150				000100000						
1125	22	2	3	S	RNTR	251	262	200		3460		000100000						
1319	22	2	3	S	RNTR	470	492	1265		3439		000100000						
945	22	2	4	N	RNTR	166	180	40				000100000						
1188	22	2	4	N	RNTR	405	423	925		3111		000100000		H	J	H	3	
1240	22	2	4	N	RNTR	435	450	955		3112		000100000						
1310	22	2	4	N	RNTR	465	483	1295		3113		000100000		H	J	H	5	
1370	22	2	4	N	RNTR	520	535	2065		3114		000100000		H	J	H	4	Blind in left eye
1362	22	2	4	S	RNTR	508	530	1500	X	2938		000000000						

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date	Clip @ Release Date	Encounter	Injuries Cause ^c	Loc ^d	Sev ^e	Ageing Structure	Age (yr)	Comments
1112	22	2	4	S	RNTR	255	271	225	X	3070	N	B	000000000						
947	22	2	4	S	RNTR	173	180	65			N	B	000100000						
948	22	2	4	S	RNTR	174	185	70			N	B	000100000						
1008	22	2	4	S	RNTR	205	216	105			N	B	000100000						
1060	22	2	4	S	RNTR	223	232	155			N		000100000						
1078	22	2	4	S	RNTR	229	239	145			N		000100000						
1099	22	2	4	S	RNTR	236	246	185			N		000100000						
1111	22	2	4	S	RNTR	242	252	180			N		000100000						
1118	22	2	4	S	RNTR	244	252	200			N		000100000						
1173	22	2	4	S	RNTR	381	408	730			N		000110000						
1218	22	2	4	S	RNTR	422	441	1000			N		000100000						
1371	22	2	4	S	RNTR	447	461	1060			N		000100000						
1370	22	2	4	S	RNTR	447	467	945			N		000100100						
1387	22	2	4	S	RNTR	454	481	1145			N		000100000						
1312	22	2	4	S	RNTR	465	480	1175			N		000100000						
1320	22	2	4	S	RNTR	470	495	1180			N		000100000						
1321	22	2	4	S	RNTR	470	480	1190			N		000100000						
1329	23	1	1	N	RNTR	430	455	855	X		N		000000000						
1359	23	1	1	N	RNTR	505	522	1260	X		N		000000000						
1012	23	1	1	N	RNTR	208	218	120			N		000010000						
1051	23	1	1	N	RNTR	220	231	145			N		000010000						
1069	23	1	1	N	RNTR	226	241	160			N		000010000						
1199	23	1	1	S	RNTR	413	431	865			N	C	000010000						
955	23	1	1	S	RNTR	178	190	70			N		000010000						
1054	23	1	1	S	RNTR	221	233	175			N		000010000						
1147	23	1	1	S	RNTR	289	306	295			N		000010000						
1179	23	1	1	S	RNTR	391	405	840			N		000010000						
1196	23	1	1	S	RNTR	410	428	895			N		000010000						
1311	23	1	1	S	RNTR	465	490	1310			N		000010000						
1266	23	1	2	N	RNTR	445	470	835	X		N		000000000						
1372	23	1	2	N	RNTR	528	545	1615	X		N		000000000						
1112	23	1	2	N	RNTR	242	257	195			N		000010000						
1192	23	1	2	N	RNTR	407	431	1000			N		000010000						
1115	23	1	2	N	RNTR	469	495	1205			N		000010000						
960	23	1	2	S	RNTR	182	190	75			N	C	000010000						
1027	23	1	2	S	RNTR	212	223	125			N	C	000010100						Sum 1020=1027
1084	23	1	2	S	RNTR	230	243	145			N	C	000010000						
1108	23	1	3	N	RNTR	240	255	180	X		N		000000000						
1109	23	1	3	N	RNTR	240	253	185			N		000010010						
1268	23	1	3	N	RNTR	445	465	835			N		000010000						
1193	23	1	3	S	RNTR	408	427	580	X		N	C	000000000						
956	23	1	3	S	RNTR	178	188	50			N	C	000010000						
968	23	1	3	S	RNTR	188	197	75			N	C	000010000						
1088	23	1	3	S	RNTR	231	244	130			N		000010000						
1123	23	1	3	S	RNTR	248	257	185			N		000010000						
1135	23	1	3	S	RNTR	257	268	225			N		000010000						
1280	23	1	3	S	RNTR	452	471	1020			N		000010000						
1058	23	1	4	N	RNTR	223	236	150			N		000011000						Right Algal Eye, 1948810, 1948810, 1948810
1150	23	1	4	N	RNTR	306	322	360			N		000010090						
1155	23	1	4	N	RNTR	334	355	495			N		000010000						
1104	23	1	4	N	RNTR	408	430	765			N		000010090						Left eye
1390	23	1	4	N	RNTR	419	438	655			N		000010000						Left eye
1313	23	1	4	N	RNTR	420	446	840			N		000010000						

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^a	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries			Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments	
														Cause ^c	Loc ^b	Sev ^e				
1241	23	1	4	N	RNTR	435	458	940		3191			00001000	H	E	M		Left eye		
1246	23	1	4	N	RNTR	436	463	1090		3182			00001000	H	J	H		Both maxillae		
1332	23	1	4	N	RNTR	483	514	1415		3184			00001000	H	J	M				
1339	23	1	4	N	RNTR	489	507	1270		3179			00001000	H	J	M				
1341	23	1	4	N	RNTR	493	517	1395		3185			00001000	H	J	M		Left maxillae		
1342	23	1	4	N	RNTR	494	525	1280		3187			00001000	H	J	M		Left maxillae		
1172	23	1	4	S	RNTR	380	402	725	X	3467			00000000							
1059	23	1	4	S	RNTR	223	231	155		3237			00001000							
1061	23	1	4	S	RNTR	223	234	105		3243	N	C	4	00001000						
1066	23	1	4	S	RNTR	225	237	160		3236	N	C	4	00001000						
1075	23	1	4	S	RNTR	228	236	145			N	C	4	00001000						
1085	23	1	4	S	RNTR	230	240	130			N	C	4	00001000						
1121	23	1	4	S	RNTR	245	257	200		3242			00001000							
1140	23	1	4	S	RNTR	268	280	195		3244			00001000							
1222	23	1	4	S	RNTR	428	445	960		3238			00001000							
1110	23	2	1	N	RNTR	240	253	205		3311			00000100							
1128	23	2	1	N	RNTR	252	265	200		3313			00000100							
1166	23	2	1	N	RNTR	376	395	525		3310			00000100	H	H	H		Opercular, blind in right eye		
1281	23	2	1	N	RNTR	452	476	1200		3308			00000100	H	J	H		Torn bad		
1317	23	2	1	N	RNTR	470	495	1195		3307			00000100	H	J	H				
1318	23	2	1	S	RNTR	470	485	1270	X	3314			00000100	E	B	L				
1267	23	2	1	S	RNTR	445	470	1060		2792			00000000	H	E	L				
1037	23	2	1	S	RNTR	214	222	145			N	C	1	00000100						
1041	23	2	1	S	RNTR	215	225	130			N	C	1	00000100						
1127	23	2	1	S	RNTR	252	264	225		3258			00000100							
1153	23	2	1	S	RNTR	329	348	3257		3257			00000100	H	E	H		Hybrid (Cut-bow)		
1159	23	2	1	S	RNTR	357	365	515		3254			00000100							
1204	23	2	1	S	RNTR	415	444	880		3260			00000100							
1286	23	2	1	S	RNTR	454	468	1170		3259			00000100							
1294	23	2	1	S	RNTR	457	477	1170		3256			00000100							
1354	23	2	1	S	RNTR	501	521	1535		3255			00000100	H	J	L		Hybrid (Cut-bow)		
1378	23	2	1	S	RNTR	541	560	1105		3261			00000100	H	J	L		Skirmy Fish		
1243	23	2	2	N	RNTR	435	453	885		3324			00000100	H	J	L				
1014	23	2	2	S	RNTR	209	229	140			N	C	2	00000100						
1015	23	2	2	S	RNTR	209	216	135			N	C	2	00000100						
1019	23	2	2	S	RNTR	210	219	125			N	C	2	00000100						
1042	23	2	2	S	RNTR	215	224	185			N	C	2	00000100						
1256	23	2	2	S	RNTR	442	462	1040		3274			00000101							
1345	23	2	2	S	RNTR	495	520	1495		3275			00000100							
1244	23	2	3	N	RNTR	420	446	950		3334			00000100							
1244	23	2	3	N	RNTR	435	457	870		3335			00000100	H	J	L		Right Maxillae		
1365	23	2	3	N	RNTR	508	532	1500		3337			00000100							
1290	23	2	3	S	RNTR	455	472	1020	X	2871			00000000	H	J	L				
1074	23	2	3	S	RNTR	228	238	180	X	2912			00000000							
1087	23	2	3	S	RNTR	231	242	155	X	3042			00000000							
1275	23	2	3	S	RNTR	450	471	1010	X	3212			00000000	H	J	L		Eye Gone Rightside, HYBRID; Recap within day		
1238	23	2	3	S	RNTR	434	450	950	X	3365			00000000	H	J	L				
975	23	2	3	S	RNTR	192	202	100			N	C	3	00000100						
1038	23	2	3	S	RNTR	214	224	110			N	C	3	00000100						
1044	23	2	3	S	RNTR	216	226	150			N	C	3	00000100						
1091	23	2	3	S	RNTR	232	243	190			N	C	3	00000100						
1177	23	2	3	S	RNTR	390	405	780		3278			00000100							

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Aug-00)	Run	Section	Bank *	Species *	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip #		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
											Cap Date	Sec.		Release Date	Sec.			
1189	23	2	3	S	RNTR	406	422	900		3281			00000100					
1242	23	2	3	S	RNTR	435	448	900		3289			00000100					
1195	23	2	4	N	RNTR	409	427	755	X	3385			00000000					
1101	23	2	4	N	RNTR	238	253	180		3348		A	00000100					
999	23	2	4	S	RNTR	202	210	140	X			A	00000000					
965	23	2	4	S	RNTR	184	195	110				N	00000000					
1055	23	2	4	S	RNTR	192	202	120				N	00000100					
1076	23	2	4	S	RNTR	221	231	200				N	00000100					
1090	23	2	4	S	RNTR	228	235	215				N	00000100					
1111	23	2	4	S	RNTR	231	242	205				N	00000100					
1124	23	2	4	S	RNTR	240	249	215				N	00000100					
1126	23	2	4	S	RNTR	249	260	220				N	00000100					
1133	23	2	4	S	RNTR	251	262	250				N	00000100					
1144	23	2	4	S	RNTR	255	269	260				N	00000100					
1210	23	2	4	S	RNTR	275	285	330		3296			00000100					
1311	23	2	4	S	RNTR	419	435	940		3293			00000100					
949	24	1	1	N	RNTR	465	482	1265		3294			00000100					
1220	24	1	1	N	RNTR	175	185	75		2602		D	00000010					
1316	24	1	1	N	RNTR	424	446	910		2601			00000010					Minor Electro Burn
989	24	1	1	S	RNTR	470	490	1090					00000010					
1081	24	1	1	S	RNTR	197	210	115				N	00000010					
1334	24	1	1	S	RNTR	215	225	135				N	00000010					
1352	24	1	1	S	RNTR	485	505	1100		2402			00000010					
1022	24	1	2	N	RNTR	500	515	1260		3300			00000010					
1351	24	1	2	N	RNTR	211	225	85		2612			00000010					
1020	24	1	2	N	RNTR	500	524	1595		2611		C	00000010					
1036	24	1	2	S	RNTR	210	223	175	X				00000000					
1199	24	1	2	S	RNTR	213	245	165		2409			00000010					
1355	24	1	2	S	RNTR	245	264	215		2408			00000010					
1062	24	1	2	S	RNTR	450	475	1015		2407			00000010					
1351	24	1	3	N	RNTR	369	388	560		2622			00000010					
1350	24	1	3	N	RNTR	440	460	940		2625			00000010					
1369	24	1	3	N	RNTR	499	514	1380		2624			00000010					
963	24	1	3	S	RNTR	519	544	1490		2623			00000010					
984	24	1	3	S	RNTR	183	192	95				N	00000010					
1023	24	1	3	S	RNTR	195	203	100				N	00000010					
1352	24	1	3	S	RNTR	211	221	140		2419			00000010					
1359	24	1	3	S	RNTR	456	485	1260		2421			00000010					
1068	24	1	4	S	RNTR	555	578	1755	X	3083			00000000					
997	24	1	4	S	RNTR	508	525	1300	X	3461		A	00000000					
987	24	1	4	S	RNTR	442	460	930	X			N	00000000					
980	24	1	4	S	RNTR	200	211	100				N	00000010					
985	24	1	4	S	RNTR	178	190	60				N	00000010					
1093	24	1	4	S	RNTR	193	203	90				N	00000010					
1118	24	1	4	S	RNTR	204	222	130				N	00000010					
1163	24	1	4	S	RNTR	243	252	180		2536			00000010					
1164	24	1	4	S	RNTR	371	399	595					00000000					
1186	24	1	4	S	RNTR	375	399	725		2530			00000010					
1311	24	1	4	S	RNTR	407	426	860		2425			00000010					
1058	24	1	4	S	RNTR	420	432	985		2528			00000010					
1318	24	1	4	S	RNTR	430	447	975		2527			00000010					
1318	24	1	4	S	RNTR	437	452	980					00000010					

Snurn 999=995
Not marked, excluded

Minor Electro Burn

Right Maxillae
Snurn 1020=1027

Eye Deformed
Both Maxillae Gone

Left Maxillae Gone

Snurn 997=997

Escaped - excluded from estimate

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg-00)	Run	Section	Bank #	Species *	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @		Encounter	Injuries		Ageing Structure	Age (Yrs)	Comments
											Date	Sect.		Cause ^c	Loc ^d			
1307	24	1	4	S	RNTR	464	482			2526			00000010					
1326	24	1	4	S	RNTR	478	492	1040		2423			00000010					Right Jaw Badly Torn
1335	24	1	4	S	RNTR	486	503	1520		2424			00000010					
1322	24	2	1	N	RNTR	471	498	1490	X	2936			00000000					
1206	24	2	1	N	RNTR	416	432	975		2668			00000001					Left Jaw
1347	24	2	1	N	RNTR	497	524	1330		2669			00000001					Left Maxillae Gone
1373	24	2	1	N	RNTR	530	559	1415		2670			00000001					
1260	24	2	1	S	RNTR	443	463	1010	X	3274			00000000					
950	24	2	1	S	RNTR	176	184	75					00000001					
959	24	2	1	S	RNTR	181	190	100					00000001					
1000	24	2	1	S	RNTR	202	212	110					00000001					
1028	24	2	1	S	RNTR	212	222	130					00000001					
1062	24	2	1	S	RNTR	223	233	185					00000001					
1093	24	2	1	S	RNTR	235	246	190		2506			00000001					
1130	24	2	1	S	RNTR	253	263	235		2504			00000001					
1148	24	2	1	S	RNTR	293	310	305		2537			00000001					Blind Right Eye
1151	24	2	1	S	RNTR	320	338	375		2505			00000001					
1269	24	2	1	S	RNTR	447	463	1165		2540			00000001					Right Mandible
1273	24	2	1	S	RNTR	450	476	1060		2541			00000001					
1282	24	2	1	S	RNTR	453	463	1175		2539			00000001					
1293	24	2	1	S	RNTR	456	470	1270		2538			00000001					
1045	24	2	1	N	RNTR	219	231	160		2663			00000001					
1197	24	2	2	N	RNTR	411	430	845		2675			00000001					
1259	24	2	2	N	RNTR	443	468	1055		2674			00000001					
1282	24	2	2	N	RNTR	458	482	1335		2673			00000001					Upper Jaw
977	24	2	2	S	RNTR	192	200	105					00000001					
1102	24	2	2	S	RNTR	238	248	195					00000001					
1141	24	2	2	S	RNTR	270	282	255					00000001					
1225	24	2	2	S	RNTR	429	450	950		2511			00000001					
1106	24	2	3	N	RNTR	239	251	190	X	3163			00000000					
979	24	2	3	N	RNTR	193	203	65					00000001					
1092	24	2	3	N	RNTR	233	245	145		2632			00000001					Left Eye, Left Face Torn Off
1139	24	2	3	N	RNTR	262	276	270		2626			00000001					
1234	24	2	3	N	RNTR	433	455	975		2630			00000001					Left Maxillae
1264	24	2	3	N	RNTR	445	465	1150		2629			00000001					
1381	24	2	3	N	RNTR	590	619	2330		2146			00000001					Lost both mandible, originally tagged last year
1284	24	2	3	S	RNTR	453	472	970	X	3030			00000000					
978	24	2	3	S	RNTR	192	203	125					00000001					
1021	24	2	3	S	RNTR	210	220	155					00000001					
1029	24	2	3	S	RNTR	212	223	160					00000001					
1057	24	2	3	S	RNTR	222	234	160					00000001					
1067	24	2	3	S	RNTR	225	237	185					00000001					
1146	24	2	3	S	RNTR	289	303	360					00000001					
1200	24	2	3	S	RNTR	414	432	935		2433			00000001					
1224	24	2	3	S	RNTR	429	449	885		2428			00000001					
1227	24	2	3	S	RNTR	430	446	1095		2426			00000001					Right Mandible
1305	24	2	3	S	RNTR	462	480	1395		2525			00000001					
1343	24	2	3	S	RNTR	495	512	1585		2429			00000001					Left Mandible
1205	24	2	4	N	RNTR	415				2427			00000001					Left Maxillae Gone, Escaped - included in estimate
1232	24	2	4	N	RNTR	431	451	975					00000001					Left Maxillae Damaged
1249	24	2	4	N	RNTR	437	455						00000001					Right Maxillae Gone

Table A1 Raw data for fish captured during the Bow River fisheries assessment, 21 to 24 August 2000.

Sample Number	Date (Avg. - 00)	Run	Section	Bank ^a	Species ^b	Fork Length (mm)	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Recap	Floy Tag #	Clip @ Capture Date Sect.	Clip @ Release Date Sect.	Encounter	Injuries Cause ^c Loc ^d Sev ^e	Ageing Structure	Age (yrs)	Comments
1261	24	2	4	N	RNTR	444	466	1290		2637			000000001				
1306	24	2	4	N	RNTR	462	484	1310					000000001	H	J	H	Right Maxillae Gone
1337	24	2	4	N	RNTR	487	507	1020					000000001	H	E	H	Right Eye Gone; Right Maxillae
991	24	2	4	S	RNTR	198	206	150					000000001				
1063	24	2	4	S	RNTR	224	236	195					000000001				
1068	24	2	4	S	RNTR	225	235	160					000000001				
1138	24	2	4	S	RNTR	261	272	240					000000001				
1143	24	2	4	S	RNTR	273	287	300					000000001				
1145	24	2	4	S	RNTR	285	295	285					000000001				
1198	24	2	4	S	RNTR	412	428	920					000000001	H	J	L	Right Mandible
1217	24	2	4	S	RNTR	420	443	720					000000001			H	
1323	24	2	4	S	RNTR	428	444	1055					000000001	H	J	H	
1276	24	2	4	S	RNTR	450	464	815					000000001				Blind, Major Jaw
1314	24	2	4	S	RNTR	465	470	1240					000000001				
1325	24	2	4	S	RNTR	477	496	1295					000000001				

^a N = North, S = South

^b BR = Bull trout, RNTR = Brown trout, BRB = Barbel, LNSC = Longnose sucker, MNWH = Mountain whitefish, RNTR = Rainbow trout

^c D = Disease, E = Electrofishing, H = Handling, P = Predation

^d B = Body, F = Eye, J = Gill, H = Head, J = Jaw, I = Tail

^e L = Low, M = Medium, H = High

APPENDIX B

CPUE and Life History Data

Table B1. Summary of catch and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for the main sportfish species captured in Bow River, 21-24 August, 2000.

Sampling Day	Sampling Run	Study Section	Sampling Effort		Brown trout			Rainbow trout			Mountain whitefish			TOTAL		
			(m)	(e)	n	fish/km	fish/1000s	n	fish/km	fish/1000s	n	fish/km	fish/1000s	n	fish/km	fish/1000s
Day 1	1	1	1000	1104	6	6.00	5.43	4	4.00	3.62	4	4.00	3.62	14	14.00	12.66
		2	1000	1023	7	7.00	6.84	3	7.00	6.84	3	3.00	2.93	17	17.00	16.62
		3	1000	1156	5	5.00	4.33	12	12.00	10.38	24	24.00	20.76	41	41.00	35.47
		4	1000	1178	12	12.00	10.19	19	19.00	16.13	4	4.00	3.40	35	35.00	29.71
Run 1 Total			4000	4461	30	7.50	6.72	42	19.50	16.13	4	8.75	7.85	107	26.75	23.99
Day 2	2	1	1000	1341	23	23.00	17.15	16	16.00	11.93	17	17.00	12.68	56	56.00	41.76
		2	1000	1009	11	11.00	10.90	14	14.00	13.88	19	19.00	18.83	44	44.00	43.61
		3	1000	1281	15	15.00	11.71	21	21.00	16.39	24	24.00	18.74	60	60.00	46.84
		4	1000	1346	10	10.00	7.43	19	19.00	14.12	20	20.00	14.66	49	49.00	36.40
Run 2 Total			4000	4977	59	14.75	11.85	70	17.50	14.06	80	20.00	16.07	209	52.25	41.99
Day 1 Total		8000	9438	89	11.13	9.43	112	14.00	11.87	115	14.38	12.18	316	39.50	33.48	
Day 3	1	1	1000	1323	12	12.00	9.07	13	13.00	9.83	17	17.00	12.85	42	42.00	31.75
		2	1000	1128	3	3.00	2.66	9	9.00	7.98	14	14.00	12.41	26	26.00	23.05
		3	1000	1249	9	9.00	7.21	17	17.00	13.61	20	20.00	16.01	46	46.00	36.83
		4	1000	1234	9	9.00	6.96	15	15.00	11.59	26	26.00	20.09	50	50.00	38.64
Run 1 Total			4000	4994	33	8.25	6.61	54	13.50	10.81	77	19.25	15.42	164	41.00	32.84
Day 4	2	1	1000	1333	12	12.00	9.00	15	15.00	11.25	20	20.00	15.00	47	47.00	35.26
		2	1000	1037	16	16.00	15.43	14	14.00	13.50	13	13.00	12.54	43	43.00	41.47
		3	1000	1232	6	6.00	4.87	16	16.00	12.99	38	38.00	30.84	60	60.00	48.70
		4	1000	1292	14	14.00	10.84	23	23.00	17.80	19	19.00	14.71	56	56.00	43.34
Run 2 Total			4000	4894	48	12.00	9.81	68	17.00	13.89	90	22.50	18.39	206	51.50	42.09
Day 2 Total		8000	9888	81	10.13	8.19	122	15.25	12.34	167	20.88	16.89	370	46.25	37.42	
Day 3	1	1	1000	1313	12	12.00	9.14	12	12.00	9.14	9	9.00	6.85	33	33.00	25.13
		2	1000	1005	6	6.00	5.97	8	8.00	7.96	19	19.00	18.91	33	33.00	32.84
		3	1000	1289	3	3.00	2.36	10	10.00	7.88	41	41.00	32.31	54	54.00	42.55
		4	1000	1291	9	9.00	6.97	21	21.00	16.27	20	20.00	15.49	50	50.00	38.73
Run 1 Total			4000	4878	30	7.50	6.15	51	12.75	10.46	89	22.25	18.25	170	42.50	34.85
Day 4	2	1	1000	1529	7	7.00	4.58	17	17.00	11.12	34	34.00	22.24	58	58.00	37.93
		2	1000	1044	4	4.00	3.83	7	7.00	6.70	17	17.00	16.28	28	28.00	26.82
		3	1000	1322	9	9.00	6.81	15	15.00	11.35	35	35.00	26.48	59	59.00	44.63
		4	1000	1258	5	5.00	3.97	15	15.00	11.92	26	26.00	20.67	46	46.00	36.57
Run 2 Total			4000	5153	25	6.25	4.85	54	13.50	10.48	112	28.00	21.73	191	47.75	37.07
Day 3 Total		8000	10031	55	6.88	5.48	105	13.13	10.47	201	25.13	20.04	381	45.13	35.99	
Day 4	1	1	1000	1356	4	4.00	2.95	7	7.00	5.16	16	16.00	11.80	27	27.00	19.91
		2	1000	1001	1	1.00	1.00	6	6.00	5.99	6	6.00	5.99	13	13.00	12.99
		3	1000	1222	6	6.00	4.91	9	9.00	7.36	35	35.00	28.84	50	50.00	40.92
		4	1000	1313	11	11.00	8.38	17	17.00	12.95	10	10.00	7.62	38	38.00	28.94
Run 1 Total			4000	4892	22	5.50	4.50	39	9.75	7.97	67	18.75	13.70	128	32.00	26.17
Day 4	2	1	1000	1676	4	4.00	2.39	18	18.00	10.74	29	29.00	17.30	51	51.00	30.43
		2	1000	1162	6	6.00	5.16	8	8.00	6.88	17	17.00	14.63	31	31.00	26.68
		3	1000	1422	8	8.00	5.63	19	19.00	13.36	33	33.00	23.21	60	60.00	42.19
		4	1000	1588	13	13.00	8.19	18	18.00	11.34	25	25.00	18.74	56	56.00	35.26
Run 2 Total			4000	5848	31	7.75	5.30	63	15.75	10.77	104	26.00	17.78	198	48.50	33.86
Day 4 Total		8000	10740	53	6.63	4.93	102	12.75	9.50	171	21.38	15.92	326	40.75	29.35	
Section 1 Total (Days 1-4)			8000	10975	80	10.60	7.29	102	12.75	9.29	148	18.25	13.80	328	41.00	29.89
Section 2 Total (Days 1-4)			8000	8409	54	6.75	5.68	73	9.13	7.84	130	13.50	12.84	235	29.38	27.95
Section 3 Total (Days 1-4)			8000	10153	61	7.63	6.01	119	14.88	11.72	280	31.25	24.62	430	53.75	42.35
Section 4 Total (Days 1-4)			8000	10560	83	10.38	7.86	147	18.38	13.92	150	18.75	14.26	300	47.50	35.98
Grand Total			32000	40097	278	8.69	6.93	441	13.78	11.00	654	20.44	16.31	1373	42.91	34.24

NOTE: Fish of all sizes are included.

Table B2. Catch and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for three size-classes of brown trout captured in the Bow River, 21-24 August 2000.

Sampling Day	Study Section	Sampling Effort		150 - 250 mm Fork Length			251 - 388 mm Fork Length			>388 mm Fork Length			>149 mm Fork Length		
		(m)	(s)	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s
21-Aug-00	1	2000	2445	17	8.50	6.95	1	0.50	0.41	11	5.50	4.50	29	14.50	11.86
	2	2000	2032	7	3.50	3.44	3	1.50	1.48	8	4.00	3.94	18	9.00	8.86
	3	2000	2437	9	4.50	3.69	5	2.50	2.05	6	3.00	2.46	20	10.00	8.21
	4	2000	2524	7	3.50	2.77	6	3.00	2.38	9	4.50	3.57	22	11.00	8.72
Day 1 Total		8000	9438	40	5.00	4.24	15	1.88	1.59	34	4.25	3.60	89	11.13	9.43
22-Aug-00	1	2000	2656	13	6.50	4.89	4	2.00	1.51	7	3.50	2.64	24	12.00	9.04
	2	2000	2165	8	4.00	3.70	4	2.00	1.85	7	3.50	3.23	19	9.50	8.78
	3	2000	2481	4	2.00	1.61	1	0.50	0.40	10	5.00	4.03	15	7.50	6.05
	4	2000	2586	13	6.50	5.03	3	1.50	1.16	7	3.50	2.71	23	11.50	8.89
Day 2 Total		8000	9888	38	4.75	3.84	12	1.50	1.21	31	3.88	3.14	81	10.13	8.19
23-Aug-00	1	2000	2842	9	4.50	3.17	2	1.00	0.70	8	4.00	2.81	19	9.50	6.69
	2	2000	2049	4	2.00	1.95	0	0.00	0.00	6	3.00	2.93	10	5.00	4.88
	3	2000	2591	6	3.00	2.32	3	1.50	1.16	3	1.50	1.16	12	6.00	4.63
	4	2000	2549	1	0.50	0.39	4	2.00	1.57	8	4.00	3.14	13	6.50	5.10
Day 3 Total		8000	10031	20	2.50	1.99	9	1.13	0.90	25	3.13	2.49	54	6.75	5.38
24-Aug-00	1	2000	3032	4	2.00	1.32	0	0.00	0.00	4	2.00	1.32	8	4.00	2.64
	2	2000	2163	2	1.00	0.92	4	2.00	1.85	1	0.50	0.46	7	3.50	3.24
	3	2000	2644	3	1.50	1.13	2	1.00	0.76	9	4.50	3.40	14	7.00	5.30
	4	2000	2901	13	6.50	4.48	6	3.00	2.07	5	2.50	1.72	24	12.00	8.27
Day 4 Total		8000	10740	22	2.75	2.05	12	1.50	1.12	19	2.38	1.77	53	6.63	4.93
Section 1 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10975	43	5.38	3.92	7	0.88	0.64	30	3.75	2.73	80	10.00	7.29
Section 2 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	8409	21	2.63	2.50	11	1.38	1.31	22	2.75	2.62	54	6.75	6.42
Section 3 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10153	22	2.75	2.17	11	1.38	1.08	28	3.50	2.76	61	7.63	6.01
Section 4 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10560	34	4.25	3.22	19	2.38	1.80	29	3.63	2.75	82	10.25	7.77
Grand Total		32000	40097	120	3.75	2.99	48	1.50	1.20	109	3.41	2.72	277	8.66	6.91
CPUE		Mean ^a			3.75	3.03		1.50	1.20		3.41	2.75		8.66	6.98
		Standard deviation ^a			1.31	1.18		0.31	0.29		0.83	0.80		2.31	2.18

^a Means and standard deviations calculated using total CPUE values for each sampling day (n = 4).

Table B3. Catch and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for three size-classes of rainbow trout captured in the Bow River, 21-24 August 2000.

Sampling Day	Study Section	Sampling Effort		150 - 250 mm Fork Length			251 - 380 mm Fork Length			>380 mm Fork Length			>149 mm Fork Length		
		(m)	(s)	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s	n	fish/km	CPUE fish/1000s
21-Aug-00	1	2000	2445	13	6.50	5.32	0	0.00	0.00	7	3.50	2.86	20	10.00	8.18
	2	2000	2032	11	5.50	5.41	2	1.00	0.98	8	4.00	3.94	21	10.50	10.33
	3	2000	2437	16	8.00	6.57	1	0.50	0.41	15	7.50	6.16	32	16.00	13.13
	4	2000	2524	10	5.00	3.96	4	2.00	1.58	24	12.00	9.51	38	19.00	15.06
Day 1 Total		8000	9406	50	6.25	5.32	7	0.88	0.74	54	6.75	5.74	111	13.88	11.80
22-Aug-00	1	2000	2656	10	5.00	3.77	5	2.50	1.88	13	6.50	4.89	28	14.00	10.54
	2	2000	2165	12	6.00	5.54	3	1.50	1.39	8	4.00	3.70	23	11.50	10.62
	3	2000	2481	18	9.00	7.26	5	2.50	2.02	10	5.00	4.03	33	16.50	13.30
	4	2000	2586	13	6.50	5.03	2	1.00	0.77	23	11.50	8.89	38	19.00	14.69
Day 2 Total		8000	9888	53	6.63	5.36	15	1.88	1.52	54	6.75	5.46	122	15.25	12.34
23-Aug-00	1	2000	2842	8	4.00	2.81	6	3.00	2.11	15	7.50	5.28	29	14.50	10.20
	2	2000	2049	8	4.00	3.90	0	0.00	0.00	7	3.50	3.42	15	7.50	7.32
	3	2000	2591	12	6.00	4.63	1	0.50	0.39	12	6.00	4.63	25	12.50	9.65
	4	2000	2549	16	8.00	6.28	7	3.50	2.75	13	6.50	5.10	36	18.00	14.12
Day 3 Total		8000	10031	44	5.50	4.39	14	1.75	1.40	47	5.88	4.69	105	13.13	10.47
24-Aug-00	1	2000	3032	9	4.50	2.97	3	1.50	0.99	13	6.50	4.29	25	12.50	8.25
	2	2000	2163	7	3.50	3.24	1	0.50	0.46	6	3.00	2.77	14	7.00	6.47
	3	2000	2644	11	5.50	4.16	3	1.50	1.13	14	7.00	5.30	28	14.00	10.59
	4	2000	2901	9	4.50	3.10	5	2.50	1.72	21	10.50	7.24	35	17.50	12.06
Day 4 Total		8000	10740	36	4.50	3.35	12	1.50	1.12	54	6.75	5.03	102	12.75	9.50
Section 1 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10975	40	5.00	3.64	14	1.75	1.28	48	6.00	4.37	102	12.75	9.29
Section 2 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	8409	38	4.75	4.52	6	0.75	0.71	29	3.63	3.45	73	9.13	8.68
Section 3 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10153	57	7.13	5.61	10	1.25	0.98	51	6.38	5.02	118	14.75	11.62
Section 4 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10560	48	6.00	4.55	18	2.25	1.70	81	10.13	7.67	147	18.38	13.92
Grand Total		32000	40065	183	5.72	4.57	48	1.50	1.20	209	6.53	5.22	440	13.75	10.98
CPUE Mean ^a					5.72	4.60		1.50	1.19		6.53	5.23		13.75	11.03
Standard deviation ^a					0.94	0.95		0.44	0.34		0.44	0.47		1.10	1.29

^a Means and standard deviations calculated using total CPUE values for each sampling day (n = 4).

Table B4. Catch and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for three size-classes of mountain whitefish captured in the Bow River, 21-24 August 2000.

Sampling Day	Study Section	Sampling Effort		150 - 199 mm Fork Length			200 - 280 mm Fork Length			>280 mm Fork Length			>149 mm Fork Length		
		(m)	(s)	n	fish/km	fish/1000s	n	fish/km	fish/1000s	n	fish/km	fish/1000s	n	fish/km	fish/1000s
21-Aug-00	1	2000	2445	6	3.00	2.45	8	4.00	3.27	7	3.50	2.86	21	10.50	8.59
	2	2000	2032	2	1.00	0.98	5	2.50	2.46	15	7.50	7.38	22	11.00	10.83
	3	2000	2437	12	6.00	4.92	18	9.00	7.39	18	9.00	7.39	48	24.00	19.70
	4	2000	2524	5	2.50	1.98	12	6.00	4.75	7	3.50	2.77	24	12.00	9.51
Day 1 Total				8000	9438	25	3.13	2.65	4.56	47	5.88	4.98	115	14.38	12.18
22-Aug-00	1	2000	2656	1	0.50	0.38	17	8.50	6.40	19	9.50	7.15	37	18.50	13.93
	2	2000	2165	2	1.00	0.92	8	4.00	3.70	17	8.50	7.85	27	13.50	12.47
	3	2000	2481	5	2.50	2.02	26	13.00	10.48	27	13.50	10.88	58	29.00	23.38
	4	2000	2586	6	3.00	2.32	28	14.00	10.83	11	5.50	4.25	45	22.50	17.40
Day 2 Total				8000	9888	14	1.75	1.42	7.99	74	9.25	7.46	167	20.88	16.89
23-Aug-00	1	2000	2642	5	2.50	1.76	19	9.50	6.89	19	9.50	6.69	43	21.50	15.73
	2	2000	2049	1	0.50	0.49	12	6.00	5.86	23	11.50	11.22	36	18.00	17.57
	3	2000	2591	1	0.50	0.39	39	19.50	15.05	36	18.00	13.89	76	36.00	29.33
	4	2000	2549	4	2.00	1.57	22	11.00	8.63	20	10.00	7.85	46	23.00	18.05
Day 3 Total				8000	10031	11	1.38	1.10	9.17	98	12.25	9.77	201	25.13	20.04
24-Aug-00	1	2000	3032	4	2.00	1.32	14	7.00	4.62	27	13.50	8.91	45	22.50	14.84
	2	2000	2163	1	0.50	0.46	9	4.50	4.16	13	6.50	6.01	23	11.50	10.63
	3	2000	2644	3	1.50	1.13	31	15.50	11.72	34	17.00	12.86	68	34.00	25.72
	4	2000	2901	2	1.00	0.69	14	7.00	4.83	19	9.50	6.55	35	17.50	12.06
Day 4 Total				8000	10740	10	1.25	0.93	6.33	93	11.63	8.66	171	21.38	15.92
Section 1 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10975	16	2.00	1.46	58	7.25	5.28	72	9.00	6.56	146	18.25	13.30
Section 2 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	8409	6	0.75	0.71	34	4.25	4.04	68	8.50	8.09	108	13.50	12.84
Section 3 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10153	21	2.63	2.07	114	14.25	11.23	115	14.38	11.33	250	31.25	24.62
Section 4 Total (Days 1-4)		8000	10560	17	2.13	1.61	76	9.50	7.20	57	7.13	5.40	150	18.75	14.20
Grand Total				32000	40697	60	1.88	1.50	7.03	312	9.75	7.78	654	20.44	16.31
CPUE		Mean^a			1.88	1.52		8.81	7.01		9.75	7.72		20.44	16.26
		Standard deviation^a			0.86	0.78		2.60	2.01		2.89	2.05		4.46	3.23

^a Means and standard deviations calculated using total CPUE values for each sampling day (n = 4).

Table B5. Size statistics for brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Species	Size-Class (mm FL)	Fork Length (mm)			Weight (g)			Condition Factor					
		n	Mean	S.D. ¹	Range	n	Mean	S.D. ¹	Range	n	Mean	S.D. ¹	Range
Brown trout	150-250	116	227	16	178 - 250	116	154	36	65 - 245	116	1.29	0.12	1.00 - 1.57
	251-388	46	294	53	251 - 385	46	355	208	150 - 750	46	1.28	0.11	0.89 - 1.55
	>388	103	469	56	390 - 624	103	1320	443	660 - 2520	103	1.24	0.10	0.98 - 1.46
	All	265	333	119	178 - 624	265	642	618	65 - 2520	265	1.27	0.11	0.89 - 1.57
Rainbow trout	150-250	176	212	21	158 - 249	175	136	42	40 - 220	175	1.38	0.19	0.80 - 1.93
	251-380	45	308	49	251 - 380	44	393	169	195 - 770	44	1.30	0.16	0.94 - 1.59
	>380	190	455	39	381 - 590	186	1111	281	495 - 2330	186	1.17	0.14	0.70 - 1.52
	All	411	335	120	158 - 590	405	611	508	40 - 2330	405	1.27	0.20	0.70 - 1.93
Mountain whitefish	150-199	55	183	11	155 - 199	51	79	21	40 - 120	51	1.26	0.21	0.89 - 1.78
	200-280	268	228	22	200 - 280	266	174	65	75 - 420	266	1.42	0.17	0.81 - 1.93
	>280	290	361	51	281 - 465	288	921	423	305 - 1945	288	1.81	0.20	1.26 - 2.41
	All	613	287	81	155 - 465	605	522	482	40 - 1945	605	1.59	0.28	0.81 - 2.41

¹ S.D. = standard deviation

Table B6. Length-frequency (%) distribution of sport fish sampled in the Bow River, August 2000.

Fork Length Interval (mm)	Brown trout	Rainbow trout	Mountain whitefish	Bull trout	Burbot
70 - 79					
80 - 89					
90 - 99					
100 - 109					
110 - 119					
120 - 129					
130 - 139					
140 - 149					
150 - 159		0.2	0.3		
160 - 169		0.7	1.0		
170 - 179	0.4	2.9	1.5		
180 - 189	0.8	2.9	3.1		
190 - 199	0.8	5.6	3.1		
200 - 209	4.5	5.1	8.8		
210 - 219	5.7	7.3	8.5		
220 - 229	9.8	7.5	10.6		
230 - 239	10.6	6.3	6.4		
240 - 249	9.4	4.1	2.1		
250 - 259	9.4	2.4	1.3	33.3	
260 - 269	3.0	1.2	2.4	33.3	
270 - 279	0.4	1.0	3.4		
280 - 289	1.5	0.7	2.0		
290 - 299		0.2	3.1	33.3	
300 - 309		0.5	4.9		
310 - 319			5.5		
320 - 329		1.0	3.1		
330 - 339		0.5	1.1		
340 - 349	0.4		1.6		
350 - 359		1.0	1.1		
360 - 369	0.4	0.5	2.1		
370 - 379	1.1	1.5	2.6		
380 - 389	3.0	1.0	1.6		
390 - 399	4.5	2.4	3.4		
400 - 409	4.2	1.9	3.6		
410 - 419	2.6	3.6	3.9		
420 - 429	2.3	3.9	3.8		
430 - 439	1.1	5.1	2.0		
440 - 449	0.4	4.1	1.3		
450 - 459	0.8	6.3	0.5		25.0
460 - 469	1.5	3.6	0.2		
470 - 479	2.3	2.7			
480 - 489	4.2	2.9			
490 - 499	2.6	2.4			
500 - 509	1.5	2.9			
510 - 519	2.6	1.0			
520 - 529	2.6	0.5			
530 - 539	2.6	1.2			50.0
540 - 549	1.1	0.2			
550 - 559		0.2			
560 - 569					
570 - 579	0.8	0.2			
580 - 589	0.4				
590 - 599		0.2			
600 - 609	0.4				
610 - 619					
620 - 629	0.4				
630 - 639					
640 - 649					25.0
650 - 659					
660 - 669					
670 - 679					
680 - 689					
Sample Size	265	411	613	3	4

Table B7. Raw data for aged fish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Date Aug-00	Section	Species	FL (mm)	WT (g)	Sample # ^a	Structure ^b	Age (yrs)
22	2	BNTR	180	70		SC	1
23	1	BNTR	204	115	3130	SC	1
22	3	BNTR	207	125	3104	SC	1
22	1	BNTR	212	115	2843	SC	1
21	1	BNTR	223	135	2973	SC	1
22	4	BNTR	230	145	2836	SC	1
21	2	BNTR	190	75		SC	2
23	1	BNTR	200	95	3122	SC	2
24	4	BNTR	212	110	2639	SC	2
22	3	BNTR	215	130	2891	SC	2
23	1	BNTR	215	110	3129	SC	2
23	1	BNTR	216	130	3496	SC	2
22	1	BNTR	218	120	2800	SC	2
24	1	BNTR	226	175	2503	SC	2
22	1	BNTR	227	155	2797	SC	2
21	1	BNTR	228	170	2741	SC	2
21	1	BNTR	230	155	2722	SC	2
23	1	BNTR	232	165	3126	SC	2
23	1	BNTR	234	180	3125	SC	2
22	2	BNTR	237	175	2876	SC	2
21	1	BNTR	240	210	2961	SC	2
29	1	BNTR	241	125	B2	SO	2
21	3	BNTR	244	210	3039	SC	2
21	1	BNTR	245	180	2967	SC	2
23	2	BNTR	246	175	3205	SC	2
23	3	BNTR	248	180	3161	SC	2
24	1	BNTR	249	175	2667	SC	2
24	2	BNTR	252	210	2514	SC	2
22	2	BNTR	254	225	2874	SC	2
22	2	BNTR	257	205	3100	SC	2
22	1	BNTR	258	210	2791	SC	2
29	1	BNTR	260	185	B3	SO	2
22	2	BNTR	261	250	2877	SC	2
23	4	BNTR	265	265	3233	SC	2
30	2	BNTR	268	270	B9	SO	2
30	4	BNTR	281	320	B11	SO	2
30	6	BNTR	281	300	B13	SO	2
21	3	BNTR	284	285	3036	SC	2
23	4	BNTR	271	200	3245	SC	3
22	3	BNTR	380	740	3358	SC	3
24	3	BNTR	380	750	2432	SC	3
24	2	BNTR	382	660	2509	SC	3
23	1	BNTR	384	665	3486	SC	3
29	1	BNTR	384	680	B1	SO	3
23	4	BNTR	392	720	3240	SC	3
24	3	BNTR	399	845	2621	SC	3
23	1	BNTR	402	900	3251	SC	3
21	1	BNTR	404	890	2966	SC	3
30	1	BNTR	406	895	B6	SO	3
24	3	BNTR	414	900	2651	SC	3
24	1	BNTR	415	915	2501	SC	3
23	3	BNTR	427	1080	3336	SC	3
21	2	BNTR	429	1020	2706	SC	3

Table B7. Raw data for aged fish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Date Aug-00	Section	Species	FL (mm)	WT (g)	Sample # ^a	Structure ^b	Age (yrs)
23	2	BNTR	435	915	3203	SC	3
23	1	BNTR	385	700	3263	SC	4
22	4	BNTR	393	775	2828	SC	4
24	4	BNTR	397	795	2531	SC	4
30	4	BNTR	408	1090	B12	SO	4
24	1	BNTR	410	825	2406	SC	4
23	1	BNTR	429	1015	3252	SC	4
30	3	BNTR	438	1360	B10	SO	4
23	4	BNTR	440	1245	3239	SC	4
24	1	BNTR	461	1315	2604	SC	4
30	1	BNTR	461	1245	B5	SO	4
30	2	BNTR	462	1235	B7	SO	4
24	3	BNTR	468	1190	2631	SC	4
23	3	BNTR	471	1510	3276	SC	4
23	1	BNTR	476	1210	3309	SC	4
22	1	BNTR	480	1305	3096	SC	4
23	1	BNTR	480	1225	3488	SC	4
30	2	BNTR	480	1540	B8	SO	4
30	1	BNTR	480	1310	B4	SO	4
23	2	BNTR	500	1670	3146	SC	4
24	3	BNTR	390	755	2431	SC	5
23	1	BNTR	470	1340	3253	SC	5
21	1	BNTR	480	1430	2963	SC	5
22	3	BNTR	481	1305	3356	SC	5
23	2	BNTR	485	1295	3207	SC	5
22	3	BNTR	487	1560	3355	SC	5
21	3	BNTR	492	1535	2993	SC	5
23	4	BNTR	492	1370	3234	SC	5
24	3	BNTR	493	1505	2420	SC	5
24	3	BNTR	520	1685	2422	SC	5
21	1	BNTR	505	1520	2964	SC	6
23	2	BNTR	507	1560	3273	SC	6
23	2	BNTR	510	1630	3269	SC	6
23	4	BNTR	511	1630	3192	SC	6
21	4	BNTR	512	1470	2711	SC	6
22	3	BNTR	520	1895	3357	SC	6
23	2	BNTR	523	1615	3201	SC	6
23	4	BNTR	539	1845	3235	SC	6
21	3	BNTR	540	2030	2995	SC	6
21	3	BNTR	586	2220	3027	SC	6
23	1	BNTR	516	1470	3491	SC	7
24	1	BNTR	525	1900	2502	SC	7
22	3	BNTR	534	1810	3354	SC	7
23	1	BNTR	570	2115	3490	SC	8
30	1	MNWH	118	35	M30	SO	1
29	1	MNWH	150	45	M8	SO	1
29	4	MNWH	150	40	M20	SC	1
29	4	MNWH	155	50	M21	SO	1
23	1	MNWH	180	65		SC	1
21	1	MNWH	180	70		SC	1
22	3	MNWH	188	85		SC	1
21	1	MNWH	195	90		SC	1
22	1	MNWH	199	110		SC	1
22	4	MNWH	201	195		SC	1

Table B7. Raw data for aged fish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Date Aug-00	Section	Species	FL (mm)	WT (g)	Sample # ^a	Structure ^b	Age (yrs)
23	2	MNWH	204	110	3141	SC	1
22	3	MNWH	208	120		SC	1
22	3	MNWH	210	105	2822	SC	1
21	2	MNWH	211	145	2987	SC	1
23	2	MNWH	217	135	3139	SC	1
22	3	MNWH	220	155	2825	SC	1
22	4	MNWH	224	105	2838	SC	1
29	4	MNWH	225	180	M19	SO	1
21	2	MNWH	230	185	2986	SC	1
23	2	MNWH	232	150	3210	SC	1
29	3	MNWH	240	195	M18	SO	2
30	1	MNWH	252	240	M24	SO	2
23	1	MNWH	266	260	3494	SC	2
23	2	MNWH	273	310	3202	SC	2
21	2	MNWH	275	310	2989	SC	2
23	3	MNWH	278	365	3222	SC	2
23	3	MNWH	279	350	3150	SC	2
23	1	MNWH	283	310	3121	SC	2
21	2	MNWH	289	415	2982	SC	2
22	3	MNWH	295	415	3101	SC	2
22	2	MNWH	296	430	2812	SC	2
22	1	MNWH	303	460	3091	SC	2
22	2	MNWH	305	475	2807	SC	2
23	2	MNWH	306	515	3208	SC	2
21	2	MNWH	315	550	2974	SC	2
22	2	MNWH	317	560	2864	SC	2
21	2	MNWH	319	540		SC	2
22	2	MNWH	325	585	2865	SC	2
22	3	MNWH	336	520	2819	SC	2
30	1	MNWH	255	315	M23	SO	3
29	2	MNWH	272	335	M12	SO	3
22	2	MNWH	275	320	2810	SC	3
29	1	MNWH	277	320	M7	SO	3
29	1	MNWH	280	380	M6	SO	3
30	1	MNWH	290	375	M22	SO	3
30	1	MNWH	292	415	M28	SO	3
22	1	MNWH	297	450	3092	SC	3
21	2	MNWH	300	500	2985	SC	3
29	2	MNWH	315	535	M11	SO	3
29	3	MNWH	340	705	M17	SO	3
29	3	MNWH	342	770	M16	SO	3
30	1	MNWH	345	810	M29	SO	3
21	2	MNWH	354	785	2984	SC	3
21	3	MNWH	360	820	2994	SC	3
23	3	MNWH	361	835	3214	SC	3
22	2	MNWH	373	880	2804	SC	3
22	2	MNWH	290	350	3099	SC	4
23	3	MNWH	320	535	3149	SC	4
22	3	MNWH	323	620	2888	SC	4
22	1	MNWH	326	600	3090	SC	4
30	2	MNWH	330	590	M32	SO	4
22	2	MNWH	340	700	2808	SC	4
23	3	MNWH	363	865	3213	SC	4
29	3	MNWH	366	860	M15	SO	4

Table B7. Raw data for aged fish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Date Aug-00	Section	Species	FL (mm)	WT (g)	Sample # ^a	Structure ^b	Age (yrs)
23	2	MNWH	370	1085	3134	SC	4
21	2	MNWH	370	850	2983	SC	4
23	3	MNWH	373	965	3218	SC	4
22	4	MNWH	399	1260	2833	SC	5
22	3	MNWH	404	1280	2820	SC	5
23	2	MNWH	405	1475	3132	SC	5
29	1	MNWH	433	1590	M4	SO	5
30	1	MNWH	368	995	M27	SO	6
30	2	MNWH	378	925	M31	SO	6
29	1	MNWH	378	1135	M1	SC	6
24	2	MNWH	379	880	2671	SC	6
23	2	MNWH	384	1165	3135	SC	6
23	1	MNWH	384	950	3492	SC	6
22	2	MNWH	390	1295	2803	SC	6
30	1	MNWH	393	930	M26	SO	6
22	2	MNWH	405	1110	2866	SC	6
29	2	MNWH	407	1275	M10	SO	6
29	2	MNWH	410	1400	M9	SO	6
22	1	MNWH	412	1425	2859	SC	6
22	1	MNWH	415	1440	2787	SC	6
29	3	MNWH	415	1270	M14	SO	6
22	4	MNWH	418	1370	2826	SC	6
22	1	MNWH	427	1605	3086	SC	6
23	2	MNWH	428	1360	3133	SC	6
22	4	MNWH	430	1570	2835	SC	6
23	3	MNWH	434	1520	3217	SC	6
22	1	MNWH	435	1410	3088	SC	6
22	4	MNWH	445	1685	2830	SC	6
23	2	MNWH	390	985	3140	SC	7
29	1	MNWH	392	1090	M5	SO	7
22	2	MNWH	408	1340	2862	SC	7
22	1	MNWH	411	1405	3087	SC	7
29	1	MNWH	420	1370	M2	SO	7
30	1	MNWH	460	1855	M25	SO	7
29	1	MNWH	395	970	M3	SO	8
23	2	MNWH	424	1565	3499	SC	8
23	1	MNWH	455	1630	3493	SC	8
22	2	MNWH	410	1235	2805	SC	13
30	3	RNTR	155	50	R26	SO	1
29	4	RNTR	173	85	R9	SO	1
29	1	RNTR	176	75	R4	SO	1
21	3	RNTR	177	60		SC	1
22	2	RNTR	183	80		SC	1
30	1	RNTR	187	90	R18	SO	1
30	4	RNTR	190	105	R29	SO	1
22	3	RNTR	204	125	3102	SC	1
22	2	RNTR	208	120	2878	SC	1
22	3	RNTR	214	135	2899	SC	1
23	1	RNTR	220	145	3124	SC	1
22	3	RNTR	230	190	2887	SC	1
21	1	RNTR	195	105		SC	2
30	1	RNTR	195	110	R19	SO	2
30	3	RNTR	198	105	R25	SO	2
30	3	RNTR	212	145	R24	SO	2

Table B7. Raw data for aged fish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Date Aug-00	Section	Species	FL (mm)	WT (g)	Sample # ^a	Structure ^b	Age (yrs)
30	2	RNTR	226	165	R22	SO	2
30	4	RNTR	232	190	R28	SO	2
21	2	RNTR	235	170	2990	SC	2
21	1	RNTR	239	150	2970	SC	2
29	4	RNTR	240	210	R10	SO	2
22	1	RNTR	244	205	3093	SC	2
30	1	RNTR	245	220	R17	SO	2
23	3	RNTR	257	225	3231	SC	2
23	1	RNTR	289	295	3489	SC	2
30	4	RNTR	295	410	R27	SO	2
22	3	RNTR	301	385	2890	SC	2
30	3	RNTR	307	450	R23	SO	2
22	1	RNTR	324	345	3095	SC	2
29	3	RNTR	335	500	R7	SO	2
22	1	RNTR	380	770	2784	SC	2
21	4	RNTR	400	775	2715	SC	2
30	2	RNTR	344	605	R21	SO	3
22	1	RNTR	359	535	2844	SC	3
30	2	RNTR	360	645	R20	SO	3
30	1	RNTR	374	590	R16	SO	3
22	2	RNTR	379	705	2809	SC	3
30	5	RNTR	380	810	R30	SO	3
21	3	RNTR	390	785	3034	SC	3
23	1	RNTR	391	840	3487	SC	3
21	2	RNTR	391	635	2981	SC	3
29	2	RNTR	402	830	R5	SO	3
29	1	RNTR	402	860	R1	SO	3
22	4	RNTR	405	925	3111	SC	3
23	2	RNTR	407	1000	3145	SC	3
22	1	RNTR	407	820	3084	SC	3
23	1	RNTR	410	895	3485	SC	3
23	1	RNTR	413	865	3123	SC	3
29	1	RNTR	413	815	R3	SO	3
22	1	RNTR	416	795	2842	SC	3
21	2	RNTR	420	960	2979	SC	3
22	3	RNTR	429	925	3105	SC	3
21	4	RNTR	433	880	2779	SC	3
22	4	RNTR	435	955	3112	SC	3
22	1	RNTR	444	1245	2841	SC	3
21	4	RNTR	451	935	2714	SC	3
21	4	RNTR	452	1200	2713	SC	3
22	4	RNTR	405	495	2839	SC	4
29	4	RNTR	424	850	R13	SO	4
29	4	RNTR	430	1015	R11	SO	4
21	2	RNTR	433	900	2975	SC	4
21	3	RNTR	434	855	3029	SC	4
22	2	RNTR	440	1050	2872	SC	4
22	1	RNTR	444	815	2785	SC	4
29	1	RNTR	446	1010	R2	SO	4
22	2	RNTR	450	1025	2871	SC	4
22	2	RNTR	453	1135	2811	SC	4
22	1	RNTR	454	1070	2798	SC	4
23	2	RNTR	469	1205	3144	SC	4
21	4	RNTR	495	1310	2718	SC	4

Table B7. Raw data for aged fish captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Date Aug-00	Section	Species	FL (mm)	WT (g)	Sample # ^a	Structure ^b	Age (yrs)
21	1	RNTR	496	1450	2721	SC	4
21	4	RNTR	508	1405	2781	SC	4
21	1	RNTR	518	1570	2724	SC	4
22	4	RNTR	520	2065	3114	SC	4
22	1	RNTR	423	845	3085	SC	5
21	3	RNTR	432	1040	2708	SC	5
29	3	RNTR	435	1640	R8	SO	5
22	2	RNTR	445	890	2806	SC	5
30	1	RNTR	448	1045	R15	SO	5
21	3	RNTR	455	940	3030	SC	5
22	2	RNTR	458	1060	2863	SC	5
22	1	RNTR	459	985	2792	SC	5
21	3	RNTR	459	1200	3032	SC	5
21	4	RNTR	461	1130	2709	SC	5
22	4	RNTR	465	1295	3113	SC	5
23	1	RNTR	465	1310	3484	SC	5
29	4	RNTR	465	1130	R12	SO	5
29	4	RNTR	470	1225	R14	SO	5
22	2	RNTR	483	1040	3098	SC	5
22	4	RNTR	502	1195	2827	SC	5
22	4	RNTR	505	1385	2837	SC	5
29	2	RNTR	511	1350	R6	SO	5
21	4	RNTR	530	1675	2776	SC	5
22	3	RNTR	535	1620	2896	SC	5
22	3	RNTR	523	1630	3361	SC	6
22	2	RNTR	539	1910	2869	SC	6

^a Indicate either Floy tag number or Alberta Environment identification tag (e.g., R12). Blanks indicate fish which were fin clipped during the Bow River population estimate study.

^b SC = scale; SO = scale and otolith

Table B8. Age-specific fork lengths and weights of brown trout and rainbow trout captured in the Bow River, August 2000.

Species	Age (years)	Fork Length (mm)				Weight (g)			
		n	Mean	S.D. ^a	Range	n	Mean	S.D. ^a	Range
Brown trout (n = 97)	1	6	209	17	180 - 230	6	118	26	70 - 145
	2	32	241	23	190 - 284	32	185	60	75 - 320
	3	16	394	37	271 - 435	16	798	203	200 - 1080
	4	19	448	35	385 - 500	19	1187	267	700 - 1670
	5	10	479	34	390 - 520	10	1378	252	755 - 1685
	6	10	525	25	505 - 586	10	1742	246	1470 - 2220
	7	3	525	9	516 - 534	3	1727	227	1470 - 1900
	8	1	570	-	570 - 570	1	2115	-	2115 - 2115
Rainbow trout (n = 96)	1	12	193	22	155 - 230	12	105	40	50 - 190
	2	20	267	59	195 - 400	20	297	201	105 - 775
	3	25	404	28	344 - 452	25	842	170	535 - 1245
	4	17	460	35	405 - 520	17	1131	357	495 - 2065
	5	20	470	31	423 - 535	20	1200	242	845 - 1675
	6	2	531	11	523 - 539	2	1770	198	1630 - 1910
Mountain whitefish (n = 102)	1	20	195	31	118 - 232	20	109	49	35 - 195
	2	19	292	25	240 - 336	19	411	119	195 - 585
	3	17	313	38	255 - 373	17	562	217	315 - 880
	4	11	343	27	290 - 373	11	729	215	350 - 1085
	5	4	410	15	399 - 433	4	1401	159	1260 - 1590
	6	21	406	23	368 - 445	21	1272	241	880 - 1685
	7	6	414	26	390 - 460	6	1341	303	985 - 1855
	8	3	425	30	395 - 455	3	1388	364	970 - 1630
	13	1	410	-	410 - 410	1	1235	-	1235 - 1235

^a standard deviation

Table B9 Number of injured fish recorded during fish population assessment in the Bow River, August 2000.

Cause	Location	Severity (L/M/H) ^a	Brown Trout Size-Class (mm FL)			Rainbow Trout Size-Class (mm FL)			Mountain Whitefish Size-Class (mm FL)			Total			
			150-250	251-388	>388	Total	150-250	251-380	>380	Total	150-199		200-280	>280	Total
Disease	Fin	M				1			1						1
	Gill	L						1	1						1
Electrofishing	Subtotal							2	2						2
	Body	L				2		5	7			1		5	6
	Eye	M				1			1					1	1
		L													1
		M													1
		H													1
		H													1
	Gill	H													1
	Head	L												1	1
	Jaw	L												1	1
Hooking	Subtotal					3		5	8			3		9	12
	Eye	L		1		1		1	2						3
		M				2		2	5						5
		H		3		3		6	27					1	33
	Gill	L		1		1		1	1						2
	Head	H						2	2						2
	Jaw	L	6	2	11	5	5	37	47			1		5	6
		M	2	1	6	2	1	15	18					6	6
		H				1		20	21					2	2
	Subtotal		11	3	21	35	17	91	123			1		14	15
Predation	Body	L		1		1		1	1					3	5
		H												1	2
	Tail	L												1	1
	Subtotal			2		2		1	1					5	8
Fish with No Injuries			107	43	81	231	160	30	104	294	55	264	262	581	1106
Total Number of Injuries			11	3	23	37	18	17	99	134		4	28	32	203
Fish Examined^b			116	46	103	265	176	45	190	411	55	268	290	613	1289
% Injured^c			7.8	6.5	21.4	12.8	9.1	33.3	45.3	28.5	0.0	1.5	9.7	5.2	14.2

^a L = Low; M = Moderate; H = High

^b Fish with multiple injuries are reported separately for each injury type.

^c (Fish Examined-Fish with No Injuries)/Fish Examined*100




Figure B1 Relative abundance, expressed as catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), of brown trout size-classes in the Bow River, August 2000.

Rainbow Trout




Figure B2 Relative abundance, expressed as catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), of rainbow trout size-classes in the Bow River, August 2000.

Mountain Whitefish




Figure B3 Relative abundance, expressed as catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), of mountain whitefish size-classes in the Bow River, August 2000 (note changes in y-axis scales).

APPENDIX C

Population Estimate Data

Table C2.

Comparison of population estimates of brown trout and rainbow trout size-classes (1982-2000) and mountain whitefish size classes (1999-2000) in the Bow River calculated using the Darroch method.

Species	Year	>388/380/280 mm FL			Total		
		fish/km	95% CI		fish/km	95% CI	
			lower	upper		lower	upper
Brown trout	1982	30	16	44	352	246	410
	1983	61	5	128	693	469	916
	1984	65	28	98	339	253	426
	1985	111	60	157	378	263	493
	1988	115	61	160	583	454	686
	1990	52	23	82	503	309	698
	1991	40	17	64	571	487	655
	1992	69	11	139	528	375	681
	1999	117	84	175	267	199	370
	2000	202	103	427	660	397	1131
Rainbow trout	1982	91	65	117	165	121	208
	1983	151	101	201	486	357	616
	1984	98	62	133	1010	827	1192
	1985	189	139	239	576	486	667
	1988	217	177	258	539	451	625
	1990	86	67	105	359	287	432
	1991	121	92	151	292	224	361
	1992	368	220	518	966	741	1192
	1999	176	108	307	224	139	382
	2000	223	155	337	681	491	964
Mountain whitefish	1999	132	82	229	853	584	1273
	2000	432	297	649	1143	851	1557

Table C3. Comparison of population estimates of brown trout, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish size-classes in the Bow River calculated using the Null method, 1990-2000.

Species	Year	Small ^a			Intermediate			Large			Total ^b		
		fish/km	95% CI		fish/km	95% CI		fish/km	95% CI		fish/km	95% CI	
			lower	upper		lower	upper		lower	upper		lower	upper
Brown trout	1990	364	205	524	4	- ^b	- ^b	52	22	82	419	- ^c	- ^c
	1991	430	369	492	73	52	94	41	17	66	544	- ^c	- ^c
	1992	204	141	268	237	100	375	60	6	116	502	- ^c	- ^c
	1999	129	74	247	33	16	92	118	84	177	268	200	372
	2000	382	164	954	120	41	410	204	104	433	674	404	1162
	1990	337	208	468	31	12	51	87	68	107	455	- ^c	- ^c
Rainbow trout	1991	50	6	107	122	83	163	122	96	158	298	- ^c	- ^c
	1992	616	250	983	290	122	460	451	236	667	1357	- ^c	- ^c
	1999	11	4	52	3	- ^b	- ^b	178	9	311	226	140	386
	2000	492	255	996	110	38	376	224	155	339	684	493	968
Mountain whitefish	1999	- ^d	- ^d	- ^d	890	519	1571	136	84	237	865	591	1293
	2000	171	57	584	601	375	995	439	301	660 ^e	1154 ^e	859 ^e	1573

^a Brown trout: Small = 150-250 mm, Intermediate = 251-388 mm, Large = >388

Rainbow trout: Small = 150-250 mm, Intermediate = 251-380 mm, Large = >380

Mountain whitefish: Small = 150-199 mm, Intermediate = 200-280 mm, Large = >280

^b No recaptures were encountered; therefore population estimate was set at the total number of captured fish.

^c The 95% confidence intervals for the total brown trout and rainbow trout population estimates were not reported in Courtney (1993).

^d Size class not evaluated in 1999.

^e Includes small size class (150-199 mm FL) only in 2000.

LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES CANADA
Bibliothèque et Archives Canada



3 3286 53472444 4