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# CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERBARIUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY-CLIV 

## 1.-PUBLICATION OF JACQUIN'S ICONES PLANTARUM RARIORUM

By Bernice G. Schubert

The dates of publication of the three volumes of Jacquin's Icones Plantarum Rariorum apparently have never been thoroughly investigated. The dates given on the title-page for volume I which contains twenty pages of text and two hundred plates are 1781-1786; those for volume II, which contains twentytwo pages of text and two hundred fifty-four plates (numbered from 201-454), are 1786-1793; and for volume III, which contains twenty-four pages of text (including a list of the plates in the three volumes) and one hundred ninety-four plates (numbered from 455-648), are also 1786-1793.

The plants represented by plates in these three volumes were, for the most part, newly or very fully described in the Miscellanea ${ }^{1}$ or Collectanea ${ }^{2}$ by Jacquin, so that only brief diagnoses and synonymy were required as text for the Icones. The diagnoses are numbered and arranged in order, according to the Linnean system, and the plates are similarly numbered and bound. In some cases however, the generic or specific names or both, which appeared on the plates, were later changed in the text. The difficulty in ascertaining proper dates of publication for the plates lies in the fact that they were not issued in the

[^0]order in which they were bound, but rather in fascicles of twentyfive each, as they happened to be completed. It is necessary, therefore, to know the composition of each of the fascicles. In the case of volume I, I have been successful in finding numbered lists for the first four fascicles only. These appeared in a review of the fascicles in the Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen ${ }^{1}$, in which each of the one hundred names was followed by a plate-number (which did not agree with the plate-numbers in the bound volume indicating the order of the Linnean system). It seems natural, therefore, to assume that these numbers are an indication of the order in which the plates appeared in the fascicles, numbers 1-25 composing fascicle 1, 26-50 fascicle 2, $51-75$ fascicle 3 , and $76-100$ fascicle 4 . In the table which follows I have indicated these fascicle-numbers. Those names which do not have fascicle-numbers represent the remaining plates, those of fascicles $5-8$ which, according to two reviews, were issued together. Of the reviews studied, in addition to that of the Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen noted above, one, with an unnumbered list of plates, appeared in the Allgemeine Literatur-Zeitung, no. 230b for Wednesday, September 24, 1788; and the other, a complete review of the whole volume, with the text-list but slightly modified, and a detailed discussion, in the Magazin für Botanik ${ }^{2}$. The latter review contained the editors' statements that the first four fascicles had been received, one a year, beginning in 1781, whereas the last four had been received together, the previous Easter (i.e. April, 1787). Although both the reviewers of the last four fascicles give the dates of publication 1781-1786, as stated on the title-page, neither of their reviews was published before 1788 and Römer \& Usteri made it clear that their copy was not received until 1787. The date 1786 , for publication of fascicles $5-8$ and the text is therefore, still somewhat questionable.

Otto Kuntze ${ }^{3}$ questioned the dates of publication of volumes II and III, feeling certain that one volume must have been published after the other. Actually the four hundred forty-eight

[^1]plates of volumes II and III were issued in fascicles ${ }^{1}$ (as were the plates of volume I), in an order also quite unrelated to that in which they were bound. With the publication of the 16 th and last fascicle the text of volume II and volume III was issued, therefore the identical title-page dates are correct. Fortunately, lists of the plates which were included in each of the sixteen fascicles of the two volumes have been found and the fasciclenumbers are appended to the list of plates which follows.

In addition to the lists of plates (one for volume I and one for volumes II and III together), there follows a table listing reviewdates for the Miscellanea and Collectanea, a table with dates of

Dates of Reviews of the Miscellanea of Jacquin

| Vol. | Title-page <br> Date | G. G. A. Rev. ${ }^{2}$ <br> Date | Beckman Rev. ${ }^{3}$ <br> Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1778 | Apr. 8, 1780 <br> i. $232(1780)$ | x $^{3} .374(1779)$ |
| II | 1781 | June 17, 1782 <br> i. $588(1782)$ | xii².210 (1782) |

Dates of Reviews of the Collectanea of Jacquin

| Vol. | Title-page <br> Date | G. G. A. Rev. <br> Date | A. L.-Z. Rev. ${ }^{4}$ <br> Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1786 | Oct. 8, 1787 <br> iii. 1615 (1787) | no. 53, Mon., <br> Feb. 22, 1790 |
| II | 1788 | Sept. 5, 1789 <br> iii. 1429 (1789) | no. 53, Mon., <br> Feb. 22, 1790 |
| III | 1789 | Aug. 16, 1790 <br> ii. 1315 (1790) | no. 363, Sun., <br> Dec. 5, 1790 |
| IV | 1790 | Feb. 18, 1792 <br> i. 260 (1792) |  |
| (V) <br> Suppl. | 1796 |  | no. 144, Sat., <br> May 6, 1797 |

[^2]the reviews of the Icones and a series of notes compiled from statements of special interest made by the reviewers.

| Vol. | Fasc. | Mag. für Bot. Rev. date ${ }^{1}$ | Ann. der Bot. Rev. date ${ }^{2}$ | A. L.-Z. <br> Rev. date ${ }^{3}$ | G. G. A. Rev. date ${ }^{4}$ | Probable date of publication (based on reviewers' notices) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 |  |  |  | See under fasc. 4 | 1781 |
|  | 2 | , |  |  | See under fasc. 4 | 1782 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | See under <br> fasc. 4 | 1783 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | Aug. 19, 1784 <br> ii. 1329 (1784) | 1784 |
|  | 5 | See under text-list I |  | See under <br> fasc. 8 |  | 1787 (?) |
|  | 6 | See under text-list I |  | See under fasc. 8 |  | 1787 (?) |
|  | 7 | See under text-list I |  | See under fasc. 8 |  | 1787 (?) |
|  | 8 | See under text-list I |  | No. 230b Wed. Sept. 24, 1788 |  | 1787 (?) |
|  | Textlist I | $\begin{aligned} & i^{3} .42-62 \\ & (1788) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 1787 (?) |

${ }^{1}$ The journal to which references are given in column 1, the Magazin fur Botanik of Römer \& Usteri, was published in Zurich. The twelve numbers under joint editorship were followed in 1794 by the Neues Magazin für die Botanik, edited by Römer. The latter journal was carried on for but one year.

2 In 1791 the Neues Magazin für Botanik was superseded by a journal edited by Usteri and published in Leipzig, the Annalen der Botanik. With number seven of this journal a new series was begun, Neue Annalen der Botanik. The only change incurred seems to be the appearance of two title-pages, one bearing the number in the series of the Annalen and one, facing it, bearing the number in the series of the Neue Annalen. All our references are given to the old series; the corresponding numbers of the Neue Annalen being given here: Annalen xi=Neue Ann. v; Annalen $x i x=$ Neue Ann. xiii; Annalen $x x=$ Neue Ann. xiv; Annalen xxi = Neue Ann. xv.
${ }^{3}$ This column contains references to the Allgemeine Literature-Zeitung, published in Halle and Leipzig, a trade journal issued several times a week. The paper contained reviews, notices of publication, announcement of sales and other items of interest.
${ }^{4}$ References here cited are to the Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen which has been mentioned previously. The reviews in this work are arranged according to cities in which publication took place.

Dates of Reviews of the Icones Plantarium Rariorum of Jacquin-Cont.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { II \& } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ii}^{1} .61 \\ & (1788) \end{aligned}$ |  | No. 230b Wed. Sept. 24, 1788 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 3, } 1788 \\ & \text { i. } 704(1788) \end{aligned}$ | 1787 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | See under fasc. 3 |  |  | See under <br> fasc. 3 | 1789 |
|  | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iii9. } 92 \\ & (1790) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Oct. 3, 1789 <br> ii. 1600 (1789) | $1789\}^{\text {or } 1788}$ |
|  | 4 | See under fasc. 5 |  |  |  | 1789 |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{iV}^{11} .172 \\ & (1790) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 1789 |
|  | 6 |  | See under fasc. 7 |  |  | 1790 |
|  | 7 |  | i. 126 (1791) |  |  | 1790 |
|  | 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iii. } 216 \\ & (1792) \end{aligned}$ |  | Dec. 1, 1792 <br> ii. 1913 (1792) | 1792 |
|  | 9 |  | See under <br> fasc. 12 | See under fasc. 15 |  | 1792) |
|  | 10 |  | See under <br> fasc. 12 | See under fasc. 15 |  | $1792$ |
|  | 11 |  | See under fasc. 12 | See under fasc. 15 | See under <br> fasc. 12 | $1792$ |
|  | 12 |  | v. 110 (1793) | See under fasc. 15 | Sept. 20, 1794 <br> ii. 1503 (1794) | $1792$ |
|  | 13 |  | See under <br> fasc. 15 | See under fasc. 15 |  | 1794 |
|  | 14 |  | See under fasc. 15 | See under fasc. 15 |  | 1794 or 1793 |
|  | 15 |  | xi. 121 (1794) | No. 29 <br> Tues. Jan. <br> 26, 1796 |  | $1794$ |
|  | 16 |  | xix. 65 (1796) |  |  | 1795 |
|  | Textlist II |  | xx. 58 (1796) |  |  | 1795 |
|  | Textlist III |  | xxi. 72 (1797) |  |  | 1795 |

Notes on the Reviews of Volume I:-

1. Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen (ii. 1329 (1784)). -The editors apologize here for not previously mentioning this work of Jacquin which, according to them, began in 1781 and of which the fourth fascicle reached them in 1784. As noted on page 4 , a list with plate-numbers is given.
2. Allgemeine Literatur-Zeitung (no. 230b, Wednesday, September 24, 1788). -In this announcement of publication of fascicles $5-8$ of volume I, a list of plates is given. The date 1786 , printed without comment, was presumably taken from the fascicle-cover.
3. Magazin für Botanik (i3. 42-62 (1788)). -In this detailed review, part of which has already been discussed (on page 4) there is included the text-list, statement that 1781-1784 are the dates of publication for the first four fascicles, and the implication that 1787 is the proper date for the last four which were received together.

## Notes on the Reviews of Volumes II and III:-

1. Magazin für Botanik:
ii $^{1} .61$ (1788)-Fasc. 1-In this announcement of publication a list of the plants represented by the plates of the fascicle is given and the significant statement is made that the descriptions of and observations on the species will appear in the second volume of the Collectanea expected by the coming Easter. The date, 1787, presumably taken from the fascicle-cover, is given without comment.
iii ${ }^{9} .92$ (1790)-Fasc. 2 and 3-No comment is made on the date 1789 which is given for publication. The lists also appear without comment. Only 23 names are listed for fascicle 2, however. iv ${ }^{11} .172$ (1790)-Fasc. 4 and $\overline{5}$-No comment is made on the date, 1789 , given for publication, nor on the lists of plates presented.

## 2. Annalen der Botanik:

i. 126 (1791)-Fasc. 6 and $7-1790$ is the publication-date according to this announcement. A list of plates is given for each of the two fascicles.
iii. 216 (1792)-Fasc. 8-In this review 1790 is given as the date
of publication, according to the information presumably copied from the fascicle-cover; it is followed, however, by the editor's statement, in parentheses, "1792 ausgegeben". The editor also says that "according to a note on the cover of this fascicle the work is to be ended with about 100 more plates which are ready. These plates are still to be added to the second volume, and therefore it will be more important than the first". [Translation ours. ${ }^{1]}$ The plates in this fascicle are double-folio size.
v. 110 (1793)-Fasc. 9-12-A list of names of the twenty-five plants represented in each fascicle is given. The dates copied from the fascicle-covers are, for fascicle 9-1790; fascicle $10-$ 1791; fascicle 11-1791; fascicle 12-1792. The reviewer noted after these dates however, that, "each of the fascicles 25 plates strong (was issued at the end of the year 1792 and the beginning of 1793, at Wappler's [i. e. the publisher in Vienna])". The reviewer remarks too, that "the author has . . . changed his decision to terminate the work with the 12th fascicle; he will not do this until the 16 th fascicle so that the 2 nd and 3 rd volumes will then contain 200 plates as the first volume. The text of both volumes will appear with the final 16th fascicle, perhaps still in this year."
xi. 121 (1794)-Fasc. 13-15-The date 1793, from the fasciclecover, is here followed by the reviewer's statement "(1794 ausgegeben)". The lists appear without comment.
xix. 65 (1796) - Fasc. 16-The date 1794 from the fascicle-cover is followed by the statement: "with this fascicle, issued at the end of the year 1795 the costly and excellent work is completed. The text of the second and third volumes was issued simultaneously with it. The next number of the Annales will offer further details of this. In the meantime, there follows here the list of the plants represented in the 16th and last fascicle." Seventy-four names follow.

## 3. Allgemeine Literatur Zeitung:

No. 230b, Wednesday, September 24, 1788-Fasc. 1-The date and names given here agree with those in other reviews.
No. 29, Tuesday, January 26, 1796-Fasc. 9-15-The date 1793 is given for these seven fascicles, along with the lists.

[^3]
## 4. Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen:

i. 704 (1788)-Fasc. 1-The reviewer makes no comment here, simply presenting title, date and list.
iii. 1600 (1789)-Fasc. 2 and 3-It is here stated that these two fascicles appeared together in 1788. The names which follow are arranged in order according to the Linnean system and although Latin specific names are used the generic names are the German popular ones.
ii. 1913 (1792)-Fasc. 8-Only 1790 the date from the fasciclecover is given here. The reviewer indicates receipt of notice that the third volume may not be completed.
ii. 1503 (1794)—Fasc. 11 and 12 -The dates " $1791-1792$ " are given and followed by a statement regarding completion of the work with the 16 th fascicle [see under Ann. der Bot., v. 110 (1793)].

Species Represented in Volume I

| Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Misc. 3 |  | Agrostis tenacissima |
| 64 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Albuca abyssinica |
| 63 | Coll. 2 |  | Albuca altissima |
| 62 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Allium ramosum |
| 138 | Misc. 3 |  | Althaea narbonensis |
| 79 | Misc. 3 |  | Andromeda lucida |
| 103 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Anemone fragifera |
| 117 | Misc. 2 |  | Antirrhinum hirtum |
| 116 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Antirrhinum versicolor |
| 102 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Aquilegia viridiflora |
| 125 | Coll. 1 |  | Arabis ovirensis |
| 83 | Coll. 1 |  | Arenaria biflora |
| 188 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Aristolochia bilobata |
| 172 | Misc. 3 |  | Artemisia hispanica |
| 199 | Coll. 1 |  | Asplenium angustifolium |
| 152 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Astragalus asper |
| 153 | Misc. 3 |  | Astragalus hians |
| 154 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Astragalus leontinus |
| 155 | Misc. 1 | 1 | Astragalus uralensis |
| ${ }_{23}$ | Coll. 1 |  | Athamanta Matthioli Avena sterilis |
| 189 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Avena sterilis Axyris ceratoides |
| 60 | Misc. 2 | 4 | Bromelia humilis |
| 56 | Coll. 1 |  | Bupleurum petraeum |
| 168 | Misc. 3 |  | Cacalia laciniata |
| 166 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Carduus arabicus |
| 73 | Misc. 3 |  | Cassia chinensis |
| 74 72 | Misc. 3 |  | Cassia Crista |
| 71 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Cassia multiglandulosa Cassia ruscifolia |

Species Represented in Volume I-Cont.

| Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Misc. 3 |  | Cassia sennoides |
| 51 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Celosia procumbens |
| 178 | Misc. 3 |  | Centaurea Verutum |
| 171 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Chrysocoma dichotoma |
| 97 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Cistus canariensis |
| 95 | Misc. 3 |  | Cistus cretensis |
| 98 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Cistus foetidus |
| 99 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Cistus mutabilis |
| 96 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Cistus syriacus |
| 118 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Citharexylum villosum |
| 104 | Coll. 1 |  | Clematis angustifolia |
| 34 | Misc. 3 |  | Convolvulus floridus |
| 32 | Coll. 1 |  | Cortusa Matthioli |
| 1 | Coll. 1 |  | Costus arabicus |
| 128 | Misc. 2 | 4 | Crambe orientalis |
| 129 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Crambe Tataria |
| 164 | Misc. 3 |  | Crepis albida |
| 144 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Crotalaria caerulea |
| 194 | Misc. 3 |  | Croton glandulosum |
| 54 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Cynanchum extensum |
| 21 | Misc. 2 |  | Cynosurus caeruleus |
| 22 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Cynosurus domingensis |
| 20 | Misc. 2 |  | Cynosurus sphaerocephalus |
| 47 | Coll. 1. |  | Cyrilla racemiflora |
| 147 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Cytisus tener |
| 77 | Coll. 1 |  | Dais laurifolia |
| 101 | Coll. 1 |  | Delphinium urceolatum |
| 82 | Coll. 1 |  | Dianthus sylvestris |
| 29 | Misc. 3 |  | Diodia virginica |
| 145 | Misc. 3 |  | Dolichos Soja |
| 112 | Coll. 1 |  | Dracocephalum austriacum |
| 53 | Misc. 3 |  | Echites domingensis |
| 30 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Echium candicans |
| 48 | Act. helv. 9 | 2 | Elaeodendron orientale |
| 55 | Scop. Carn. 1 | 4 | Eryngium alpinum |
| 169 | Misc. 3 |  | Eupatorium scandens |
| 170 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Eupatorium syriacum |
| 89 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Euphorbia Characias |
| 85 | Misc. 3 |  | Euphorbia clava |
| 88 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Euphorbia diffusa |
| 87 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Euphorbia divaricata |
| 86 | Coll. 1 |  | Euphorbia linifolia. |
| 100 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Fothergilla Gardeni |
| 150 | Misc. 3 |  | Galega ochroleuca |
| 131 | Misc. 3 |  | Geranium glutinosum |
| 134 | Coll. 1 |  | Geranium macrorrhizum |
| 133 | Misc. 3 |  | Geranium revolutum |
| 132 | Misc. 3 |  | Geranium tetragonum |
| 93 | Misc. 3 |  | Geum aleppicum |
| 94 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Geum hybridum |
| 146 | Misc. 3 |  | Glycine caribaea |
| 69 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Haloragis alata |

Species Represented in Volume I-Cont.

| Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 143 | Coll. 1 |  | Hibiscus pentacarpos |
| 141 | Misc. 3 |  | Hibiscus praemorsus |
| 142 | Coll. 1 |  | Hibiscus virginicus |
| 163 | Misc. 3 |  | Hieracium saxatile |
| 149 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Hippocrepis balearica |
| 66 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Hyacinthus viridis |
| 165 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Hypochaeris helvetica |
| 114 | Misc. 3 |  | Hyptis capitata |
| 113 | Misc. 3 |  | Hyptis verticillata |
| 36 | Coll. 1 |  | Ipomoea hederacea |
| 35 | Coll. 2 |  | Ipomoea luteola |
| 192 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Juglans cinerea |
| 191 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Juglans nigra |
| ${ }^{61}$ | Act. helv. 9 | 1 | Lachenalia tricolor |
| 162 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Lactuca intybacea |
| 58 | Coll. 1 |  | Laserpitium Archangelica |
| 106 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Lavandula pinnata |
| 33 | Misc. 3 |  | Lisianthus glaucifolius |
| 37 84 | Misc. 3 | 2 | Lonicera tartarica |
| 84 140 | Coll. 1 |  | Lychnis grandiflora |
| 140 | Misc. 2 Misc. 3 | 1 | Malva balsamica |
| 109 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Marrubium astracanicum |
| 156 | Misc. 3 |  | Medicago carstiensis |
| 198 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Mimosa speciosa |
| 120 | Misc. 3 |  | Myagrum arborescens |
| 52 | Coll. 1 |  | Nerium coronarium |
| 167 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Onopordon acaule |
| 185 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Ophrys crucigera |
| 180 | Misc. 3 |  | Ophrys myodes |
| 182 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Orchis moravica |
| 181 | Misc. 3 |  | Orchis palustris |
| 183 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Orchis rubra |
| 12 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Panicum coloratum |
| 13 | Misc. 3 |  | Panicum maximum |
| 187 | Misc. 3 |  | Paspalum virgatum |
| 187 | $\xrightarrow{\text { Misc. }} \mathbf{}$ |  | Passiflora incarnata Passiflora rubra |
| 115 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Pedicularis rosea |
| 151 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Phaca alpina |
| 14 | Coll. 1 |  | Phleum asperum |
| 15 | Coll. 1 |  | Phleum schoenoides |
| 110 | Coll. 1 |  | Phlomis caribaea |
| 111 39 | Misc. 3 |  | Phlomis zeylanica |
| 39 38 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Physalis barbadensis |
| 38 193 | Misc. 3 |  | Physalis prostrata |
| 193 8 | Scop. Carn. 2 <br> Coll. 1 |  | Pinus Mughus Piper medium |
| 8 | Coll. 1 |  | Piper obtusifolium |
| 28 | Misc. 3 |  | Plantago aegyptiaca |

Species Represented in Volume I-Cont.

| Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Plantago Cornuti |
| 26 | Misc. 3 |  | Plantago maxima |
| 17 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Poa abyssinica |
| 19 | Misc. 2 |  | Poa disticha |
| 18 | Misc. 3 |  | Poa peruviana |
| 92 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Potentilla astracanica |
| 91 | Misc. 3 | 2 | Potentilla opaca |
| 90 | Coll. 1 |  | Prunus Chamaecerasus |
| 105 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Ranunculus canadensis |
| 78 | Journ. It. 2 | 4 | Rhododendron ponticum |
| 49 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Ribes petraeum |
| 195 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Ricinus inermis |
| 196 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Ricinus lividus |
| 148 | Misc. 3 |  | Robinia vesicaria |
| 25 | Misc. 3 |  | Rubia fruticosa |
| 119 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Ruellia patula |
| 67 | Misc. 3 |  | Rumex glaucus |
| 76 | Misc. 3 |  | Ruta legitima |
| 6 | Coll. 1 |  | Salvia abyssinica |
| 3 | Misc. 3 |  | Salvia serotina |
| 7 | Coll. 1 |  | Salvia spinosa |
| 4 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Salvia viridis |
| 5 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Salvia viscosa |
| 59 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Sambucus racemosa |
| 81 | Coll. 1 |  | Saxifraga petraea |
| 80 | Misc. 2 |  | Saxifraga stolonifera |
| 24 | Misc. 2 |  | Scabiosa monspeliensis |
| 10 | Coll. 1 |  | Schoenus umbellatus |
| 75 | Coll. 1 |  | Schotia speciosa |
| 65 | Misc. 3 |  | Scilla hyacinthoides |
| 176 | Act. helv. 9 | 1 | Sclerocarpus africanus |
| 160 | Misc. 8 | 4 | Scorzonera taraxacifolia |
| 174 | Misc. 2 |  | Senecio graminifolius |
| 136 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Sida atrosanguinea |
| 135 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Sida carpinifolia |
| 137 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Sida mauritiana |
| 127 | Misc. 3 | 3 | Sinapis millefolia |
| 124 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Sisymbrium hispanicum |
| 122 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Sisymbrium molle |
| 123 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Sisymbrium pannonicum |
| 41 | Misc. 3 |  | Solanum aculeatissimum |
| 43 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Solanum coccineum |
| 40 | Misc. 3 |  | Solanum corymbosum |
| 42 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Solanum fuscatum |
| 46 | Misc. 3 |  | Solanum lycioides |
| 45 | Misc. 3 |  | Solanum marginatum |
| 44 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Solanum stramonifolium |
| 161 | Misc. 3 |  | Sonchus fruticosus |
| 108 | Misc. 3 | 2 | Stachys canariensis |
| 107 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Stachys lanata |
| 68 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Stellera Passerina |
| 197 | Coll. 1 |  | Terminalia Catappa |

Species Represented in Volume I-Cont.

| Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 121 | Misc. 2 | 2 | Name |
| 31 | Misc. 3 |  | Thlaspi alliaceum |
| 190 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Tournefortia cymosa |
| 157 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Tragia involucrata |
| 159 | Misc. 3 |  | Tragopogon mutabilis |
| 158 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Tragopogon porrifolius |
| 200 | Coll. 1 |  | Tragopogon undulatus |
| 126 | Coll. 1 |  | Trichomanes canariense |
| 175 | Misc. 3 | 4 | Turritis hirsuta |
| 50 | Misc. 3 |  | Verbesina gigantea |
| 130 | Misc. 2 | 3 | Vitis vinifera |
| 2 | Misc. 2 | 1 | Waltheria indica |
| 173 | L. Suppl. p. 365 |  | Wulfenia carinthiaca |
| 177 | Misc. 3 |  | Zeranthemum fulgidum |

Species Represented in Volumes II and III

| Vol. no. | Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 620 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Acalypha alopecuroidea |
| III | 492 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Aconitum tauricum |
| III | 646 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Adiantum striatum |
| III | 564 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Aeschynomene bispinosa ${ }^{1}$ |
| II | 379 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Agave foetida |
| II | 378 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Agave virginica |
| II | 441 | Coll. 4 | 15 | Albuca aurea |
| II | 442 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Albuca caudata |
| II | 444 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Albuca flaccida |
| II | 443 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Albuca major |
| II | 440 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Albuca setosa |
| II | 439 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Albuca spiralis |
| II | 446 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Albuca viridiflora |
| II | 445 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Albuca viscosa |
| II | 365 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Allium illyricum |
| II | 366 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Allium striatum |
| II | 364 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Allium suaveolens |
| II | 202 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Alpinia comosa |
| III | 503 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Alyssum gemonense |
| II | 362 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Amaryllis longifolia |
| III | 465 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Andromeda coriacea ${ }^{2}$ |
| III | 630 | Coll. 1 | 6 | Andropogon distachyos |
| III | 631 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Andropogon undatus |
| II | 410 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Anthericum bipedunculatum |
| II | 415 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Anthericum exuviatum Anthericum filifolium |
| II | 412 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Anthericum filifolum |
| II | 409 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Anthericum hispidum |
| II | 408 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Anthericum latifolium |
| II | 413 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Anthericum longifolium |
| II | 404 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Anthericum longiscapum |

[^4]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pl} . \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Reference | Fasc. <br> no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 407 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Anthericum nutans |
| II | 418 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Anthericum physodes |
| II | 416 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Anthericum pilosum |
| II | 406 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Anthericum praemorsum |
| II | 405 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Anthericum pugioniforme |
| II | 417 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Anthericum pusillum |
| II | 403 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Anthericum rostratum |
| II | 419 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Anthericum subtrigynum |
| II | 411 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Anthericum undulatum |
| III | 499 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Antirrhinum parviflorum |
| III | 608 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Aristolochia barbata |
| III | 586 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Arnica glacialis |
| III | 613 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Arum helleborifolium |
| II | 343 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Asclepias citrifolia |
| III | 561 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Astragalus exscapus |
| III | 619 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Begonia dichotoma |
| III | 618 | Coll. 1 | 5 | Begonia minor |
| III | 644 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Blechnum occidentale |
| II | 314 | Coll. 3 | 4 | Borago zeylanica |
| II | 307 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Buddleja capitata |
| II | 351 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Bupleurum arborescens |
| III | 581 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Cacalia peucedanifolia |
| III | 580 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Cacalia villosa |
| III | 583 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Calea aspera |
| III | 596 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Calendula arborescens |
| III | 553 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Camellia japonica |
| II | 334 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Campanula Zoysii |
| III | 579 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Carduus acaulis |
| III | 615 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Carex hermaphrodita |
| III | 460 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Cassia polyphylla |
| III | 459 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Cassia sensitiva |
| II | 339 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Celosia virgata |
| III | 497 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Celsia linearis |
| II | 344 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Chenopodium caudatum |
| II | 345 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Chenopodium guineense |
| III | 629 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Cissampelos smilacina |
| III | 501 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Citharexylum erectum |
| II | 294 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Commelina longicaulis |
| II | 293 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Commelina mollis |
| II | 315 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Convolvulus crenatus |
| II | 316 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Convolvulus pentanthus |
| III | 585 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Conyza carolinensis |
| III | 595 | Coll. 5 | 12 | Coreopsis artemisiaefolia |
| III | 594 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Coreopsis limensis |
| III | 504 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Crambe filiformis |
| II | 352 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Crassula Umbella |
| II | 363 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Crinum tenellum |
| III | 621 | Coll. 1 | 5 | Croton punctatum |
| III | 622 | Coll. 5 | 14 |  |
| II | 447 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Cyanella orchidiformis |
| II | 342 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Cynanchum carolinense |

Species Represented in Voltumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 340 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Cynanchum monspeliacum |
| II | 341 | Coll. 1 | 1 | Cynanchum obliquum |
| II | 298 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Cyperus alternifolius |
| II | 299 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Cyperus distans |
| II | 297 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Cyperus longus |
| II | 296 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Cyperus tenuiflorus |
| II | 295 | Coll. 5 | 12 | Cyperus viscosus |
| III | 467 | Allion. pedem. no. 1545 | 16 | Dianthus atrorubens |
| III | 627 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Dioscorea triphylla |
| III | 626 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Dioscorea villosa |
| III | 559 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Dolichos acinaciformis |
| III | 560 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Dolichos gladiatus |
| III | 614 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Dorstenia Contrajerva |
| II | 448 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Dracaena terminalis |
| III | 612 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Dracontium lanceaefolium |
| II | 377 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Drimia ciliaris |
| II | 373 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Drimia elata |
| II | 375 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Drimia media |
| II | 374 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Drimia pusilla |
| II | 376 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Drimia undulata |
| III | 502 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Duranta Plumieri |
| II | 312 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Echium glaucophyllum |
| II | 305 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Elymus Hystrix |
| III | 605 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Epidendrum cochleatum |
| III | 604 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Epidendrum elongatum |
| II | 421 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Eriospermum lanceaefolium |
| II | 420 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Eriospermum latifolium |
| II | 422 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Eriospermum parvifolium |
| III | 509 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Erodium ribifolium |
| III | 508 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Erodium trilobatum |
| II | 347 | Coll. 1 | 5 | Eryngium aquaticum |
| II | 449 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Eucomis bifolia |
| III | 486 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Eugenia baruensis |
| III | 582 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Eupatorium myosotifolium |
| III | 481 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Euphorbia angulata |
| III | 480 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Euphorbia cyathophora ${ }^{1}$ |
| III | 482 | Coll. 2 | 5 | Euphor ia literata |
| III | 485 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Euphorbia nicaeensis |
| III | 479 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Euphorbia nudiflora |
| III | 477 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Euphorbia picta |
| III | 478 484 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Euphorbia pilulifera |
| III | 476 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Euphortia scordifolia |
| III | 483 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Euphorbia serrata |
| III | 554 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Fumaria acaulis . |
| II | 291 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Galaxia ovata |
| II | 292 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Galaxia plicata |
| III | 574 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Galega capensis |
| III | 575 576 | Coll. 2 | 11 | Galega cinerea |

[^5]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pl. } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 573 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Galega filiformis |
| III | 572 | Coll. 2 | 5 | Galega longifolia |
| III | 457 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Gaura fruticosa |
| III | 557 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Genista hispanica |
| III | 556 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Genista sericea |
| III | 546 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Geranium argenteum |
| II | 259 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus alatus |
| II | 256 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Gladiolus albidus |
| II | 269 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus anceps |
| II | 252 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Gladiolus angustus |
| II | 240 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Gladiolus bicolor |
| II | 249 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus brevifolius |
| II | 255 | Coll. 2 | 7 | Gladiolus carneus |
| II | 267 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Gladiolus crispus |
| II | 257 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Gladiolus cuspidatus |
| II | 268 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus fissifolius |
| II | 254 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus floribundus |
| II | 258 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus galeatus |
| II | 246 | Coll. 4 | 14 | Gladiolus gracilis |
| II | 236 | Coll. 2 | 7 | Gladiolus gramineus |
| II | 250 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus hirsutus |
| II | 242 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Gladiolus hyalinus |
| II | 234 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Gladiolus iridifolius |
| II | 235 |  | 16 | Gladiolus iridifolius var. |
| II | 232 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus laccatus |
| II | 262 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Gladiolus longiflorus |
| II | 263 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Gladiolus longiflorus var. |
| II | 230 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus Merianus |
| II | 231 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Gladiolus Merianus var. |
| II | 253 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus mucronatus |
| II | 237 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Gladiolus plicatus |
| II | 238 | Coll. 4 | 7 | Gladiolus plicatus var. angustifolius |
| II | 247 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus punctatus |
| II | 241 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Gladiolus refractus |
| II | 261 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Gladiolus roseus |
| II | 270 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus silenoides |
| II | 260 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Gladiolus striatus |
| II | 239 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Gladiolus sulphureus |
| II | 248 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Gladiolus tenellus |
| II | 243 | Coll. 4 | 13 | Gladiolus tristis var. |
| II | 244 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Gladiolus tristis var. |
| II | 245 | Coll. 4, no. 457 | 10 | Gladiolus tristis |
| II | 264 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Gladiolus tubatus |
| II | 265 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Gladiolus tubatus var. |
| II | 266 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Gladiolus tubiflorus |
| II | 229 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Gladiolus tubulosus |
| II | 251 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Gladiolus undulatus |
| II | 233 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Gladiolus Watsonius |
| II | 346 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Gomphrena brasiliensis |
| III | 591 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Gorteria asteroides |

Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 338 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Gronovia scandens |
| III | 461 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Guilandina Moringa |
| II | 335 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Hamellia chrysantha |
| III | 565 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Hedysarum gyrans |
| III | 568 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Hedysarum muricatum |
| III | 567 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Hedysarum pictum. |
| III | 566 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Hedysarum vespertilionis |
| III | 506 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Heliophila integrifolia |
| II | 453 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Helonias pumila |
| III | 551 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Hibiscus diversifolius |
| III | 550 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Hibiscus domingensis |
| III | 578 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Hieracium incarnatum |
| II | 309 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Hypecoum littorale |
| II | 371 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Hypoxis obliqua |
| II | 367 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Hypoxis plicata |
| II | 369 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Hypoxis serrata |
| II | 372 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Hypoxis sobolifera |
| II | 368 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Hypoxis stellata |
| II | 370 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Hypoxis villosa |
| II | 310 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Ilex ligustrina |
| III | 571 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Indigofera dendroides |
| III | 570 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Indigofera hendecaphylla |
| III | 569 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Indigofera hirsuta |
| II | 317 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Ipomoea angustifolia |
| II | 318 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Ipomoea leucantha |
| II | 319 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Ipomoea pentaphylla |
| II | 220 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Iris flavissima |
| II | 222 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Iris tricuspis |
| II | 221 | Coll. 3 | 15 | Iris tripetala |
| II | 223 | Coll. 2 | 10 | Iris virginica |
| II | 273 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ixia anemonaeflora |
| II | 279 | Coll. 4 | 15 | Ixia angusta ${ }^{1}$ |
| II | 271 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Ixia Bulbocodium |
| II | 272 | Coll. 4 | 15 | Ixia chloroleuca |
| II | 288 | Coll. 4 | 15 | Ixia corymbosa |
| II | 290 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Ixia cruciata |
| II | 276 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Ixia falcata |
| II | 289 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Ixia fenestrata |
| II | 274 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Ixia fragrans |
| II | 282 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ixia incarnata |
| II | 281 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ixia lancea |
| II | 278 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ixia leucantha |
| II | 275 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Ixia polystachya |
| II | 287 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Ixia punicea |
| II | 286 286 | Coll. 3 | 16 | Ixia purpurea |
| II | 286 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ixia purpurea var. |
| II | 285 | Coll. 3 | 15 | Ixia radiata |
| II | 277 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Ixia rubrocyanea |
| II | 283 | Coll. 4 | 7 | Ixia uniflora |
| II | 284 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Ixia villosa |

[^6]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 623 | Coll. 1 | 1 | Jatropha gossypifolia |
| II | 205 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Justicia bracteolata |
| II | 206 | Coll. 4 | 6 | Justicia caracasana |
| II | 204 | Coll. 3 | 12 | Justicia pulcherrima |
| III | 628 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Kiggelaria integrifolia |
| II | 300 | Coll. 4 | 7 | Kyllinga incompleta |
| II | 381 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Lachenalia angustifolia |
| II | 391 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Lachenalia glaucina |
| II | 382 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Lachenalia hyacinthoides |
| II | 401 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Lachenalia isopetala |
| II | 402 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Lachenalia lanceaefolia |
| II | 387 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Lachenalia liliflora |
| II | 395 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Lachenalia luteola |
| II | 392 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Lachenalia mediana |
| II | 390 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Lachenalia orchioides |
| II | 383 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Lachenalia orthopetala |
| II | 384 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Lachenalia patula |
| II | 400 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Lachenalia pendula |
| II | 397 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Lachenalia punctata |
| II | 393 | Coll. 5 | 12 | Lachenalia purpurea |
| II | 388 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Lachenalia purpurocoerulea |
| II | 385 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Lachenalia pusilla |
| II | 386 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Lachenalia pustulata |
| II | 396 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Lachenalia quadricolor |
| II | 398 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Lachenalia rubida |
| II | 399 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Lachenalia tigrina |
| II | 389 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Lachenalia unicolor |
| II | 394 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Lachenalia violacea |
| II | 349 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Laserpitium peucedanoides |
| III | 464 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Ledum latifolium |
| III | 588 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Leysera gnaphalodes |
| III | 602 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Limodorum altum ${ }^{1}$ |
| III | 603 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Limodorum diurnum |
| III | 463 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Limonia trifoliata |
| II | 353 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Linum africanum |
| II | 313 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Lithospermum tenuiflorum |
| III | 597 | Coll. 1 | 9 | Lobelia siphilitica |
| II | 203 | Coll. 5 | 13 | Lopezia mexicana |
| III | 549 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Malachra alceaefolia |
| III | 548 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Malachra fasciata |
| III | 470 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Malpighia coccigera |
| III | 469 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Malpighia glandulifera |
| II | 337 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Mangifera indica |
| III | 498 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Manulea tomentosa |
| II | 201 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Maranta lutea |
| II | 452 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Melanthium eucomoides |
| II | 451 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Melanthium junceum |
| II | 450 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Melanthium uniflorum |
| III | 507 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Melochia caraccasana |
| III | 487 | Coll. 2 |  | Mesembryanthemum cordifolium |
| III | 488 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Mesembryanthemum cuneifolium |

[^7]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | Pl. no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 489 | L. Sp. Pl. 698 | 2 | Mesembryanthemum pomeridianum |
| III | 632 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Mimosa caracasana |
| III | 633 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Mimosa portoricensis |
| II | 226 | Thunb. diss. no. 13 | 7 | Moraea collina |
| II | 227 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Moraea palmifolia |
| II | 225 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Moraea sordescens |
| II | 224 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Moraea vegeta |
| II | 228 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Moraea virgata |
| III | 617 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Morus mauritiana |
| II | 311 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Myginda Rhacoma |
| III | 625 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Myrica segregata |
| III | 601 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Neottia minor |
| III | 600 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Neottia speciosa |
| II | 321 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Ochrosia maculata |
| III | 495 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Ocymum gratissimum |
| III | 455 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Oenothera nocturna |
| III | 456 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Oenothera odorata |
| III | 598 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Orchis militaris |
| III | 599 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Orchis variegata |
| II | 423 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Ornithogalum caudatum |
| II | 435 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum coarctatum |
| II | 426 | Coll. 2 |  | Ornithogalum comosum |
| II | 428 | Coll. 3 | 4 | Ornithogalum conicum |
| II | 437 | Coll. 3 | 4 | Ornithogalum flavescens |
| II | 436 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum flavissimum |
| II | 429 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum fuscatum |
| II | 434 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum lacteum |
| II | 424 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Ornithogalum latifolium |
| II | 438 | Coll. 3 | 4 | Ornithogalum miniatum |
| II | 432 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum odoratum |
| II | 430 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum polyphyllum |
| II | 425 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Ornithogalum pyramidale |
| II | 433 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Ornithogalum secundum |
| II | 431 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Ornithogalum suaveolens |
| II | 427 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Ornithogalum tenellum |
| III | 472 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Oxalis multiflora ${ }^{1}$ |
| III | 473 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Oxalis polyphylla |
| III | 471 | Coll. 3 |  | Oxalis rubella |
| III | 634 | Coll. 4 | 16 | Panax aculeatum |
| II | 302 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Paspalum racemosum |
| III | 606 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Passiflora cuprea |
| III | 607 458 | Coll. 2 | 11 | Passiflora lutea |
| III | ${ }^{458}$ | Coll. 4 | 11 | Paullinia cauliflora Pavonia urens |
| III | 535 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Pelargonium anemonaefolium |
| III | 511 | Coll. 3 | 4 | Pelargonium astragalifolium ${ }^{2}$ |
| III | 543 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium balsameum |
| III | 513 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium barbatum |
| III | 531 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Pelargonium betonicum |

[^8]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pl} . \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 530 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium bullatum |
| III | 512 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium carneum |
| III | 529 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium caucalifolium |
| III | 523 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium chamaedryfolium |
| III | 519 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium ciliatum |
| III | 528 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium coriandrifolium |
| III | 526 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium coronopifolium |
| III | 539 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium cortusaefolium |
| III | 522 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Pelargonium daucoides |
| III | 520 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium depressum |
| III | 540 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium fuscatum |
| III | 545 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium hermanniaefolium |
| III | 516 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium heterophyllum |
| III | 536 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Pelargonium hirtum |
| III | 532 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium lacerum |
| III | 533 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium longicaule |
| III | 521 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium longiflorum |
| III | 518 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium longifolium |
| III | 514 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium melananthon |
| III | 534 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Pelargonium multicaule |
| III | 517 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium nervifolium |
| III | 525 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Pelargonium Oenotherae ${ }^{1}$ |
| III | 541 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium patulum |
| III | 510 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium rapaceum |
| III | 538 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Pelargonium ribifolium |
| III | 542 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Pelargonium scabrum ${ }^{2}$ |
| III | 544 | Coll. 5 | 12 | Pelargonium ternatum |
| III | 537 | Coll. 5 | 15 | Pelargonium tomentosum |
| III | 524 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Pelargonium trichostemon |
| III | 515 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Pelargonium triphyllum |
| III | 527 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Pelargonium violareum |
| III | 558 | Coll. 1 | 1 | Phaseolus semierectus |
| II | 301 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Phleum Gerardi |
| III | 616 | Coll. 2 | 8 | Phyllanthus speciosa |
| II | 333 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Phyteuma hemisphaerica |
| II | 210 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Piper aduncum |
| II | 218 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Piper blandum |
| II | 212 | Coll. 3 | 5 | Piper clusiaefolium |
| II | 214 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Piper cuneifolium |
| II | 213 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Piper magnoliaefolium |
| II | $\stackrel{215}{219}$ | Coll. 4 | 11 | Piper marginatum |
| II | 219 | Coll. ${ }^{\text {Coll }} 3$ | 7 | Piper pereskiaefolium Piper stellatum |
| II | 211 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Piper tuberculatum |
| II | 216 | Coll. 2 | 9 | Piper umbellatum |
| II | 306 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Plantago patagonica |
| II | 304 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Poa ciliaris |
| II | 303 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Poa sicula |
| II | 380 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Polyanthes pygmaea |
| III | 642 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Polypodium alpinum |
| III | 639 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Polypodium fraxinifolium |

[^9]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | Pl . no. | Reéerence | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 641 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Polypodium Hippocrepis |
| III | 640 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Polypodium molle |
| III | 643 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Polypodium multifidum |
| III | 637 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Polypodium phymatodes |
| III | 638 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Polypodium trifoliatum |
| III | 490 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Potentilla salisburgensis |
| III | 491 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Potentilla subacaulis |
| III | 609 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Pothos crassinervia |
| III | 611 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Pothos digitata ${ }^{1}$ |
| III | 610 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Pothos grandifolia |
| III | 562 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Psoralea bipedunculata |
| III | 563 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Psoralea phymatodes |
| III | 645 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Pteris caudata |
| III | 474 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Reseda fruticulosa |
| III | 475 | Coll. 1 | 2 | Reseda mediterranea |
| II | 336 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Rhamnus volubilis |
| III | 593 | Coll. 5 | 12 | Rudbeckia alata |
| III | 592 | Coll. 5 | 12 | Rudbeckia amplexifolia |
| II | 209 | Coll. 2 | 2 |  |
| II | 348 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Sanicula marylandica |
| III | 494 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Satureja rupestris |
| III | 466 | Coll. 1 | 9 | Saxifraga mutata |
| III | 496 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Selago fasciculata |
| III | 587 | Coll. 1 | 1 | Senecio rosmarinifolius |
| III | 547 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Sida palmata |
| III | 505 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Sisymbrium lippizense |
| II | 323 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Solanum aggregatum |
| II | 331 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Solanum carolinense |
| II | 322 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Solanum diphyllum |
| II | 324 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Solanum fugax |
| II | 328 | Coll. 4 | 10 | Solanum giganteum |
| II | 329 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Solanum lanceaefolium |
| II | 330 | Coll. 4 | 11 | Solanum Milleri |
| II | 326 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Solanum nodiflorum |
| II | 327 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Solanum peruvianum |
| II | 325 | Coll. 3 | 10 | Solanum stellatum |
| II | 332 | Coll. 2 | 1 | Solanum virginianum |
| II | 350 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Spananthe paniculata |
| III | 555 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Spartium decumbens ${ }^{2}$ |
| II | 308 | Coll. 3 | 11 | Spermacoce hirta |
| III | 584 | Coll. 3 | 9 | Spilanthus exasperata |
| III | 493 | Coll. 5 | 14 | Stachys rugosa |
| III | 468 | Coll. 3 | 6 | Stellaria bulbosa |
| II | 359 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Strumaria angustifolia |
| II | 361 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Strumaria filifolia ${ }^{3}$ |
| II | 356 <br> 358 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Strumaria linguaefolia |
| II | ${ }_{357}^{358}$ | Coll 5 | 16 | Strumaria rubella |
| II | 360 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Strumaria truncata Strumaria undulata |
| II | 320 | Coll. 4 | 12 | Tabernaemontana persicariaefolia |

[^10]Species Represented in Volumes II and III-Cont.

| Vol. no. | Pl. <br> no. | Reference | Fasc. no. | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 354 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Tradescantia erecta |
| II | 355 | Coll. 3 | 7 | Tradescantia multiflora |
| III | 577 | Coll. 2 | 3 | Tragopogon capensis |
| III | 648 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Tremella clavariaeformis |
| III | 647 | Coll. 2 | 6 | Tremella juniperina |
| III | 462 | Coll. 4 | 9 | Tribulus maximus |
| III | 624 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Trichosanthes foetidissima |
| II | 454 | Coll. 5 | 16 | Triglochin bulbosum |
| II | 207 | Coll. 2 | 4 | Verbena mutabilis |
| II | 208 | Coll. 2 | 2 | Verbena prismatica |
| III | 500 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Volkameria Kaempferi |
| III | 636 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Zamia angustifolia |
| III | 635 | Coll. 3 | 8 | Zamia integrifolia |
| III | 589 | Coll. 5 | 11 | Zinnia elegans |
| III | 590 | Coll. 5 | 11 | Zinnia tenuiflora |

2.-STUDIES IN THE BEGONIACEAE,-I.

By

Lyman B. Smith \& Bernice G. Schubert

## A-Miscellaneous Novelties

## (Plates I and II)

Begonia biserrata Lindl., var. glandulosa Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., ramis juvenilibus petiolis pedunculis pedicellis et ovariis glanduloso-setosis.

MEXICO: Guerrero: wet cliffs, near Acapulco, June 25, 1935, O. M. Clark 7223 (NY, type; phot. G).

Begonia (§ Begoniastrum) Ekmanii Houghton in herb., spec. nov., acaulis, humilis; rhizomate repente, gracili, $3-\frac{\mathrm{cm}}{}$. longo, stipulis densissime obtecto; foliis suborbicularibus vel reniformibus, basi cordatis, $18-22 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, grosse crenatis, utrinque sparsissime pilosis, petiolis gracilibus, $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, glabris, stipulis suborbicularibus, vix 3 mm . latis, lacerato-serratis, brunneis, membranaceis; pedunculo erecto, $6-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo, glabro; inflorescentiis 1 -2-floris; bracteis persistentibus, late obovatis, fimbriatis, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, brunneis, membranaceis; pedicellis gracillimis, $9-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; tepalis masculinis 4, albis, integris, exterioribus late ellipticis, obtusis, 10 mm . longis, interioribus angustis, paulo brevioribus; staminibus paucis,
columna insertis, antheris ellipticis quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis nullis; tepalis femineis 5 , subaequalibus, ellipticis, obtusis, 8 mm . longis; stylis basi multo connatis, breviter bifidis, ovario subgloboso, placentis bifidis; capsula inaequaliter 3 -alata, alis subtriangularibus. Tab. I.

CUBA: Oriente: on shaded rocks, Arroyo Bayajá, Sierra Maestra, south of Nagua, alt. 200-400 m., August 8, 1922, Ekman 14770 (NY, TYpe; phot. G).

Begonia Ekmanii is closely related to B. rotundifolia Lam. but differs in its smaller habit, white tepals with the inner staminate ones merely obtuse instead of emarginate and its small number of stamens.

Begonia (§ Begoniastrum) militaris Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., planta $18-21 \mathrm{~cm}$. alta; rhizomate repente, haud ultra 2 mm . diametro, plus minusve piloso internodiis brevibus sed distinctis; foliis peltatis, late ovatis vel ellipticis, abrupte acutis, $5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, integris vel levissime undulatis, supra glabris, subtus sparse pilosis, petiolis $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, gracilibus, pilosis, stipulis persistentibus, ad 1 cm . longis, anguste triangularibus, in seto acuminatis, brunneis; pedunculo folia superante; inflorescentia laxissime subsecundeque paniculata, glabra; bracteis mox deciduis, parvis, late ellipticis; pedicellis gracillimis, ad 8 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4, integris, exterioribus ellipticis, 6 mm . longis, roseis, interioribus lineari-obovatis; staminibus in columna brevi insertis, antheris obovatis, quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis ellipticis, ovarium subaequantibus; tepalis femineis 5 , anguste ellipticis, obtusis, integris, ad 7 mm . longis, exterioribus roseis; stylis 3 , breviter bifidis; ovario ellipsoideo, placentis bipartitis; capsulis 3-alatis, alis duabus parvis lunatis, tertia anguste triangulari patente, $14-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata. Tab. II.

GUATEMALA: Alta Verapaz: on banks and among rocks, Chamá, alt. 270 m., May 15, 1920, H. Johnson 178 (US, type; CM, isotype; phot. G).

In habit Begonia militaris resembles species of section Magnusia but its tepals place it in Begoniastrum. It is easily distinguished by its peltate leaves and one-sided inflorescence.

Begonia (§ Magnusia) plantaginea Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., rhizomate brevi; folijs dense aggregatis, laminis rectis asymmetricis, lanceolatis vel anguste ovatis, acuminatis, basi inaequaliter cordatis, $6-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, integris, carnosulis, supra glabris, subtus ad nervos pilosis, petiolis 4-14
cm. longis, dense ferrugineo-pilosis, stipulis persistentibus, anguste ovatis, setoso-acuminatis, $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris, brunneis, chartaceis; scapo gracili, 3-6 cm. longo, dense piloso; inflorescentia pauciflora, abbreviata, quam foliis multo breviori; bracteis deciduis, ovatis, 5 mm . longis, integris, brunneis, membranaceis; pedicellis gracillimis, ad 25 mm . longis; floribus albis; tepalis masculinis 2, late ellipticis, ad 12 mm . longis, integris; staminibus in columna brevi connatis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, late obtuso; bracteolis femineis ignotis, verisimiliter nullis; tepalis femineis 2, eis masculinis similibus sed minoribus; stylis 3 , alto connatis, breviter bifidis; ovario 3-loculato, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula perjuvenili solum cognita, verisimiliter deflexa, ellipsoidea, inaequaliter trialata, ala maxima ovata, obtusa, ad basin capsulae versus extensa, reliquis marginiformibus. Tab. I.

MEXICO: Chiapas: Finca Irlanda, May, 1914, Purpus で295 (G, type; Mo, US, isotypes).

In most respects Begonia plantaginea appears close to $B$. ludicra, but it has a definite if short staminal column and the leaves are never lobed.

Begonia (§ Begoniastrum) rhodochlamys Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov. e fragmentis solum cognita sed verisimiliter fere metralis, herbacea, monoica, omnino glabra, plus minusve glauca; caule simplici, fere recta; foliis valde asymmetricis, oblique ellipticis, palmate 7 -nerviis, paulo lobatis (tum venis primariis tum secundariis in lobis terminant), ad 17 cm . longis et 19 cm . latis, petiolis 7 cm . longis, stipulis mox deciduis, ignotis; pedunculis axillaribus, $2-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; inflorescentia laxe cymosa, pauciflora; bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, acutis, $15-22 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris, membranaceis, fulgide roseis; pedicellis 15-20 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4 , integris, roseis, exterioribus late ellipticis, obtusis, 15 mm . longis, interioribus angustioribus; staminibus numerosis, columna insertis, antheris late obovoideis, connectivo non producto; bracteolis femineis nullis; tepalis femineis 5, subaequalibus, anguste ellipticis, obtusis, 12 mm . longis, roseis; stylis 3, basi connatis, bifidis, stigmatibus spiraliter cinctis; ovario ellipsoideo, placentis bifidis; capsulis 3-alatis, alis duabus minoribus angustioribus, tertia ovata, ad 2 cm . lata. Tab. I.

MEXICO: Michoacan: Dist. Apatzingan, Rancho Viejo, alt. 650 m., Sept. 18, 1939, Hinton 15190 ( G , type).

Begonia rhodochlamys is notable for its large petaloid bracts which make the young inflorescences a uniformly brilliant rose.

The base of the plant is not known but it is probably tuberous as in B. gracilis and B. Palmeri to which it appears related.

Begonia (§ Casparya) Trapa Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., suffruticosa, $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$. alta (! Gehriger), ramis carnosis, mox glabris; foliis obliquis, asymmetricis, anguste ovatis vel lanceolatis, acuminatis, basi cordatis, ad 6 cm . longis, 2.5 cm . latis, duplicatoserratis, ciliatis, supra dissite hirsuta, viridibus, subtus pallidioribus, ad nervos hirsutis, petiolo $10-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, rufo-piloso, stipulis deciduis, lanceolatis, acuminatis, integris, $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; pedunculis axillaribus, $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; cymis paucifloris, $3-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. diametro, bracteis ellipticis, 8 mm . longis, apice fimbriatis, deciduis; pedicellis $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, rufo-pilosis; tepalis masculinis 4, exterioribus late ovatis, obtusis, apice minutissime fimbriatis, 11 mm . longis, albo-viridiscentibus, extus sparse hirsutis, apice maculis 3 vel 4 parvis viridibus ornatis, interioribus late obovatis, 8 mm . longis, albis; staminibus ultra 40, liberis, filamentis quam antheris oblongis brevioribus, connectivo valde producto, ovato; bracteolis femineis bracteis similibus sed angustioribus; tepalis femineis 5 , minute fimbriatis, 2 exterioribus suborbicularibus, ad 8 mm . Jongis, interioribus late obovatis, brevioribus; stylis 3, multiramosis; ovario 3-loculato, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula lata turbinata, fructum Trapae in animo revocans, 6 mm . longa, apice columna brevissima late conica aucta, aequaliter 3 -cornuta, cornubus parvis, obtusis, verisimiliter horizontaliter complanatis. Tab. I.

Venezuela: Merida: Mucurubá, Quebrada del Pueblo, by the water or hanging from shady rather moist slopes, 2600 m ., July 1, 1930, Gehriger 274 (CM, type; Mo, NY, isotypes; phot. G).

Begonia Trapa appears to be related to $B$. trispathulata (A. DC.) Warb. but is easily distinguished from that species by its small leaves, long peduncles, much produced staminal connective and small capsule-appendages. The pistillate flowers of $B$. trispathulata are not known.

Begonia uruapensis Sessé \& Moc., var. Rosei Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., inflorescentia puberula.

MEXICO: Durango: no further locality, 1310 m ., August 15, 1897, Rose 2297 (US, tYpe, NY, isotype; phot. G).

## B-Begonia of Sessé and Mociño

## (Plate II)

Through the courtesy of the Chicago Natural History Museum the material of Begonia of Sessé and Mociño ${ }^{1}$ has been made available for study by the authors. As stated in a previous paper on some of the Sessé and Mociño collections ${ }^{2}$, usually two specimens of each number exist, one of the Herbarium of the Madrid Botanic Garden, the other of the Chicago Museum. Unless otherwise specified all numbers listed are in both herbaria, and except in cases of mixed collections the herbaria will not be designated; where mixtures occur however, the herbarium of the Madrid Botanic Garden will be designated-(Mad.) and the Chicago (Field) Museum-(CM).

The following list is of the species of Begonia described by Sessé and Mociño; only one, B. uruapensis is now maintained; following each of the other names is the earlier name (of which it is a synonym) which must be taken up.
B. tuberosa, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 218 $(1896)=$ B. gracilis HBK., var. Martiana (Link \& Otto) A. DC.
B. syphillitica, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896) $[$ as B. syphilitica $]=$ B. monoptera Link \& Otto.
B. macrophylla, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 $(1896)=$ B. Barkeri Knowles \& Westc.
B. uruapensis, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 163 (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896).
B. palmata, Pl. Nov. Hisp. $162(1890)=$ B. biserrata Lindl.
B. peltata, Fl. Mex., ed. 2: $219(1896)=$ B. nelumbiifolia Schlecht. \& Cham.
B. repens, Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896) = B. glabra Aubl.
B. decandra, Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896) = B. decandra Pav. ex A. DC.

Identifications of all the specimens and both taxonomic and nomenclatural notes on the species are given here. For the sake of simplicity the species considered will be taken up in alphabetical order.

[^11]B. angustiloba A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4. xi. 126 (1859) ; Prod. xv ${ }^{1} .307$ (1864). B. dentata Pav. ex A. DC. l. c. in synon.

The specimen which is probably a portion of the type-collection of this species is number 4509 (Mad., and represented by a fragment at CM, their number 849973). Another collection, 4510 (composed of three sheets Mad. and one CM, their number 851084 ) is mixed. Although the material of 4509 and 4510 is all labeled $B$. palmata, only the specimens of one full sheet and portions of two others belong to that species which will be discussed under B. biserrata. The material here treated as $B$. angustiloba has been most frequently identified as $B$. bicolor S . Watson, a name which now must be reduced to synonymy.
B. Barkeri Knowles \& Westc. Fl. Cab. iii. 179, t. 135 (1840). B. megaphylla A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser 4. xi. 133 (1859); Prod. xvํ. 341 (1864). B. macrophylla Sessé \& Mociño, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 (1890) ; Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896), non Lam. Encyc. i. 394 (1783).

The specimens numbered 4501 compose a mixed collection and only the fragment consisting of one leaf in the Chicago Museum collection (their sheet no. 850010) is B. Barkeri (B. macrophylla Sessé \& Mociño). Material of number 4501 in the Madrid collection is another species ( $B$. uruapensis), and is considered below.
B. biserrata Lindl. in Journ. Hort. Soc. ii. 313 (1847). B. palmata Sessé \& Mociño, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 163 (1890), non Don Prod. Fl. Nepal. 223 (1825). B. palmaris A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4. xi. 126 (1859); Prod. $\mathrm{xv}^{1} .307$ (1864). B. palmata Pav. ex A. DC. l. c. in synon.

Material of this species is numbered 4510 (a mixed collection noted under $B$. angustiloba, of which one full sheet, Mad. and CM no. 851084 , p.p. belong here) and 4520.
B. decandra Pav. ex A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4. xi. 122 (1859) ; Prod. xvํ. 288 (1864); Sessé \& Mociño, Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896).

This species was said by Sessé and Mociño to come from Puerto Rico. DeCandolle (Prod.) reported it from Mexico with some doubt. O. E. Schulz (in Urb. Symb. Ant. vii. 9 (1911))
said: "Specimen originarium . . . sine dubio a Sessé et Mociño in Portorico nec a Pavon in Mexico est collectum". The type is in herb. Boissier. The material we have seen is numbered 4515, Mad.; CM, fragment only.
B. extranea Smith \& Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxvii. 27, tab. 2, fig. 16-18 (1939).

These specimens are labeled with an unpublished name which need not be taken up. The Madrid material numbered 4516 and labeled "21-8" [unpublished name] " 4516 " and the CM fragment (no. 850051) are that part of a large and mixed collection belonging here.
B. Glabra Aubl. Guian. ii. 916, t. 349 (1775). B. repens Sessé \& Mociño, Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896), non Lam. Dict. i. 394 (1783).

Material numbered 4512 (CM, 849972, fragments of 2 leaves) and another fragment, 4943, p.p. (CM, 847798; Mad. pocket material, p.p.) seems to be the only material of this species, but the identity is quite clear.
B. Gracilis HBK., var. Martiana (Link \& Otto) A. DC. Prod., xv¹. 309 (1864). B. tuberosa Sessé \& Mociño, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 [t. 427, ined.] (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 218 (1896), non Lam. Dict. i. 393 (1783). B. Martiana Link \& Otto, Ic. Pl. Rar. 49, t. 25 (1828).

Material of this variety, which is glabrous throughout, is numbered 4503, 4504 and 4505. On one of the Madrid sheets numbered 4503 there is a small specimen of $B$. gracilis, var. nervipilosa A. DC. (l. c.), obviously not included in the description of B. tuberosa.
B. heracleifolia Schlecht. \& Cham. in Linnaea v. 603 (1830). There is only one sheet of this material (Mad.), number 4511. It is labeled with a name never published by Sessé \& Mociño nor used for any plant of the New World. Although the name was used by Graham for an Old World species there seems no need to give it any status here.
B. manicata Brongn. ex Cels. in Journ. Jard. xi. 104 and 256, tab. s. n. (1842) [Ann. Fl. et Pom.]; l'Hort. Univ. iv. 33 (1843); Cels. ex Vis. Orto de Padov. 135 (1842).

Material of this species is numbered 4508. Although not so
scaly as some specimens there is no question of the identity of the material. A name not later published by Sessé \& Mociño ap pears on the labels but since it has been published and used for a South American species it will not be given here.
B. monophylla Pavon ex A. DC., Prod. xv ${ }^{1} .284$ (1864). B. unifolia Rose ex Trelease in Rept. Mo. Bot. Gard. xv. 80 (1904).

This species has been considered earlier ${ }^{1}$ and the assumption made then on the basis of study of the type-photograph is now confirmed by study of the material. There are two collections, one, number 4517 is labeled B. monophylla and the other, number 4518 which is identical with it, labeled with an unpublished name.
B. monoptera Link \& Otto, Ic. Pl. Rar. 27, t. 14 (1828). B. reniformis Pav. ex A. DC., Prod. xv ${ }^{1} .308$ (1864) in synon. B. syphillitica Sessé \& Mociño, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896) [as syphilitica].

Collection-number 4516 (Mad.) labeled " 1889 21.2" "Begonia Reniformis" is this species in small part; another sheet of the same number (Mad.) is also this species in small part. Of two specimens numbered 4516 (CM) one, (their no. 851104) is this species and another (their no. 851083) with "Begonia reniformis 1889 " is this species, excluding the pocket material. The Madrid specimen 4513, which is labeled B. reniformis also belongs here.
B. nelumbiffolia Schlecht. \& Cham. in Linnaea v. 604 (1830); A. DC. Prod. $\mathrm{xv}^{1} .343$ (1864). B. peltata Sessé \& Mociño. Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896) non Otto \& Dietr. Allgem. Gartenzeit. ix. 58 (1841), nec Hassk. in Hoev. \& DeVriese, Tijdschr. x. 133 (1843), nec A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4. xi. 138 (1859), nec Elmer, Leafl. Philipp. Bot. vii. 2556 (1915).

Number 4506 (Mad.) belongs here; also the fruiting material of 4943 (Mad.).
B. oaxacana A. DC., Prod. xy ${ }^{1} .312$ (1864).

This material, number 4519, labeled with an unpublished name, is fragmentary, but sufficient for identification here.
B. pustulata Liebm. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddel. [Mexic. Begon.] for 1852. 6 (1853).

[^12]Only one collection, consisting of a few leaves and a scrap of inflorescence in a pocket of 4943 (Mad.), belongs here.
B. relicta Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., e fragmentis solum cognita, herba cum caule simplice in speciem; caule striato glabroque; foliis oblique rectangulis cum tribus lateribus plerumque lobatis, basi cordatis, chartaceis, glabris undique, marginibus minutissime laxeque denticulatis et puberulentibus, cum venis praecipuis (plerumque 5) existitis palmate, diffunditur dichotome et in apicibus loborum terminantibus, $5-9.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $7-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; stipulis mox deciduis in speciem, nullis visis; petiolis tenuibus, striatulis, glabris, $3.5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; bracteis non visis; floribus masculinis cum 4 tepalis, duobus exterioribus orbiculato-ovatis cum apice late acuto et basi aliquatenus profunde lobato, marginibus denticulatis supra medium, circa 7 mm . longis et 8 mm . latis, duobus interioribus tenuissimis, acutis, circa 4.5 mm . longis et 1.5 mm . latis, staminibus numerosis, filamentis liberis, antheris orbiculatis, pedicellis $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; floribus femineis valde immaturis. Tab. II.

The type of the species and the only collection we have seen is Sessé, Mociño et al., no. 4507 (CM, no. 850042, Mad.). The CM sheet is labeled with a name not published by Sessé \& Mociño but later used by another author for a Brazilian species. Close study of the material has eliminated it from a place in any known Mexican species. Its closest relative seems to be $B$. extranea Smith \& Schubert, a member of the section Huszia.
B. tovarensis Kl. in Monatsb. Berl. Acad. 122 (1854) [March].

Material of collection 4516 (CM, no. 851083, p.p.; Mad. 2 sheets, p.p.) belongs to this species.
B. uruapensis Sessé \& Mociño, Pl. Nov. Hisp. 162 (1890); Fl. Mex. ed. 2: 219 (1896). B. asteroides Smith \& Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxvii. 30, t. 2, fig. 28-30 (1939).

One specimen numbered 4500 (Mad.) and one 4501 (Mad., excluding CM 850010 which is noted under B. Barkeri), both of which were identified as $B$. macrophylla, and two numbered 4502 are B. uruapensis, the only species of Sessé \& Mociño we have been able to maintain.

## 3.-STUDIES IN THE BROMELIACEAE-XIII

By Lyman B. Smith

(Plates III and IV)
Aechmea (Lamprococcus) brevicollis, spec. nov., acaulis, stolonibus elongatis procreans; foliis paucis, subdistiche imbricatis, utrinque adpresse albo-lepidotis, vaginis magnis, utriculum graciliter ovoideum formantibus, ovatis, ad 16 cm . longis, inermibus, laminis ligulatis, acutis, basi paulo angustatis, $12-25 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $16-26 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, planis, spinis patentibus $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis laxe armatis, exterioribus valde reductis; scapo gracili, in utriculo fere omnino occultato; scapi bracteis ellipticis, acutis, membranaceis; inflorescentia dense pauciramosa, 5 cm . longa; bracteis primariis lanceolatis, acutis, spicas superantibus, laxe serrulatis, albo-flocculosis; spicis distiche 5 -7-floris, flexuosis, paulo flocculosis; bracteis florigeris navicularibus, ad 7 mm . longis, quam ovariis multo brevioribus, minutissime apiculatis, integris, tenuibus; floribus sessilibus, suberectis, aureis (! L. Williams); sepalis late rotundatis, basi ad 1 mm . connatis, lobis ca. 2.5 mm . longis; petalis sublinearibus, obtusis cucullatisque, 10 mm . longis, basi ligulis binis fimbriatis auctis; ovario cylindrico, 10 mm. longo, tubo epigyno magno, placentis apicalibus, ovulis apiculatis. Tab. III, fig. 1, 2.

VENEZUELA: Amazonas: epiphytic, Maroa, Río Guainía, alt. 127 m ., Feb. 11, 1942, L. Williams 14267 (US, TYPE; phot. G); Cerro Yapacana, upper Río Orinoco, alt. ca. 100 m ., April, 1931, Holt \& Blake 728 (G, сотype).

COLOMBIA: Uaupes: dense forest, San Felipe, Rio Negro, alt. 100 m ., Jan. 29, 1930, Holt \& Gehriger 336 (US; phot. G, doubtfully referred here because of the poor condition of the inflorescence).

Aechmea brevicollis is most nearly related to Ae. corymbosa (Mart.) Mez, but differs in its much shorter sepals, well developed floral bracts and cylindrical ovary.

Billbergia amoena (Lodd.) Lindl. var. viridis, var. nov., petalis omnino viridibus.

BRAZIL: Espirito Santo: terrestrial in the shade, Santa Tereza, July 27, 1939, M. B. \& R. Foster 246 (G, тYPE).

Billbergia chlorantha, spec. nov., florifera 35 cm . alta (si inflorescentia pendula erigitur); foliis 3 dm . longis, utrinque
densissime adpresseque albido-lepidotis, pallide viridibus, pur-pureo-maculatis, vaginis ellipticis, quam laminis bene latioribus, laminis ligulatis, ultra 3 cm . latis, ad basin versus paulo angustatis, apice rotundatis breviter mucronatisque, spinis subrectis ad 2 mm . longis laxe armatis; scapo gracili, curvato, mox glabro; scapi bracteis erectis, amplis, imbricatis, ellipticis, apiculatis, vivis albidis (! Foster), dense adpresseque albo-lepidotis; inflorescentia paniculata, 17 cm . longa; bracteis primariis eis scapi similibus, magnis, flores ante anthesin occultantibus; ramis brevibus, 1-2-floris; bracteis florigeris suborbicularibus, apiculatis, haud 2 mm . longis; floribus sessilibus; sepalis oblongis, obtusis, paulo asymmetricis, 16 mm . longis; petalis linearibus, obtusis, 4 cm . longis, omnino albido-viridibus, basi ligulis binis fimbriatis auctis, stamina superantibus; staminibus liberis, pollinis granulis ellipsoideis, reticulatis, siccis sulcatis; ovario cylindrico, 15 mm . longo, tubo epigyno nullo sed lacunis tribus profunde infundibuliformibus inter carpella collocatis; placentis linearibus; ovulis caudatis. Tab. III, fig. 3-6.

BRAZIL: Espirito Santo: Santa Tereza, collected July 28, 1939, cultivated and flowering Jan. 1942, M.B.\&R. Foster 287 (G, TYPe).

In the shape of its inflorescence, Billbergia chlorantha closely resembles B. Sanderiana E. Morr., but it has minute floral bracts and wholly green petals. The caudate character of the ovules is rare in the genus and the presence of three pockets between the carpels instead of an epigynous tube is most unusual throughout the Bromeliaceae.

Billbergia leptopoda, spec. nov., florifera $3-4 \mathrm{dm}$. alta; foliis paucis, breviter tubuloso-rosulatis, interioribus 2-3 dm. longis, subtus lepidibus albis adpressis vestitis, pallido-maculatis, vaginis ellipticis, magnis, pallide purpureis, laminis ligulatis, acutis, $25-35 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, spinis ad 2 mm . longis laxe armatis; scapo erecto, gracili, glabro; scapi bracteis erectis et supremis imbricatis, lanceolatis, acutis, $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, roseis; inflorescentia simplicissima, erecta, pauciflora, laxa, glabra; rhachi gracillima, geniculata; bracteis florigeris eis scapi similibus, minimum ovarium superantibus; floribus divergentibus; pedicellis gracilibus, $\quad 5-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; sepalis anguste ellipticis, rotundatis minute apiculatisque, $18-21 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; petalis linearibus, apice
atro caeruleo excepto viridibus, $35-45 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, stamina superantibus, basi ligulis binis serratis auctis; pollinis granulis ellipsoideis, eporatis; ovario ellipsoideo, $13-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, fere laeve, tubo epigyno crateriforme, distincto, placentis linearibus, ovulis obtusis. Tab. III, fig. 7, 8.

BRAZIL: Espirito Santo: terrestrial and near the ground on tree trunks, Santa Tereza, alt. 765 m., July 28, 1939, M.B. \& R. Foster 304 (G, cotype); Minas Geraes: on shaded rocks, Gob. Valadores (Figueiro), by the Rio Doce, July 28, 1940, M.B. \& R. Foster 765 (G, TYPE).

This species is distinguished from the closely related Billbergia Lietzei E. Morr. by its spotted leaves and broadly rounded sepals.

Catopsis nutans (Sw.) Gris. var. robustior var. nov., scapo erecto vel suberecto, robustiore, ad 3 mm . diametro; scapi bracteis minus remotis vel aliquis paulo imbricatis.

GUATEMALA: Chiquimula: epiphyte, Cerro Brujo, in vicinity of Río Negro, below Montaña Montenegro, near village of Brujo, alt. 1500-2000 m., Nov. 1, 1939, Steyermark 30960 (Chicago Museum); Quezaltenango: along old road between Finca Pirineos and Patzulín, alt. $1200-1400 \mathrm{~m}$., Feb. 9, 1941, Standley 87157 (CM, TYPE; G); epiphyte, lower south-facing slopes of Volcán Santa María between Finca Pirineos and Los Positos, between Santa María de Jesús and Calahuaché, alt. 1300-1500 m., Jan. 8, 1940, Steyermark 33803 (CM).

Catopsis pedicellata, spec. nov., florifera ad 6 dm . alta; foliis multis, cyathiformi-rosulatis, ad 2 dm . longis et 45 mm . latis, subellipticis, cum vaginis vix distinctis, acutis vel subacutis apiculatisque, angustissime pallido-marginatis, glabris; scapo erecto, gracili; scapi bracteis ellipticis, acutis, erectis et supremis exceptis imbricatis; inflorescentia laxe tripinnatim paniculata, 19 cm . longa; bracteis primariis ovatis, acutis, parvis; ramis suberectis, laxe florigeris; bracteis florigeris late ovatis, pedicellos paulo superantibus; pedicellis gracilibus et valde distinctis, 1-1.5 mm. longis; sepalis late ellipticis, obtusis, subsymmetricis, 2.5 mm . longis, tenuibus; petalis 5 mm . longis, delapsis solum cognitis; staminibus ignotis; capsula gracili, acuta, $12-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa. Tab. IV, fig. 1.

GUATEMALA: Chiquimula: epiphytic in pine and oak woods, southern slope near top of pine ridge, Cerro Tixixí, 3-5 miles north of Jocotán, alt. 500-1500 m., Nov. 10, 1939, Steyermark 31641 (CM, TYPE; phot. G).

Catopsis pedicellata is unique in the genus in its slenderly pedicellate flowers.

Greigia Steyermarkii, spec. nov., terrestris; caule robusto, $1.5-2.4 \mathrm{~m}$. longo; foliis 1 m . et ultra longis, patentibus vel adscendentibus, vaginis anguste triangulari-ovatis, atro castaneis, extus membrana albida obtectis, intus subglabris, ad apicem versus spinis atris robustis ad 4 mm . longis subdense armatis, laminis linearibus, longe acuminatis, basi paulo constrictis canaliculatisque, ad 3 cm . latis, supra glabris, subtus albidolepidotis, spinis pallidis ad 1.5 mm . longis armatis; inflorescentia densa, pauciflora; bracteis exterioribus triangulari-ovatis, acuminatis, pungentibus, atro-castaneis, albido-lepidotis, grosse serratis; bracteis florigeris lanceolatis, acuminatis, integris, carinatis, pungentibus, 26 mm . longis, a sepalis superatis, albolepidotis, ad apicem versus castaneis; floribus 4 cm . longis; sepalis bracteis florigeris similibus, 22 mm . longis; petalis $30-32$ mm. longis, albis (! Steyermark); staminibus styloque inclusis. Tab. IV, fig. 2.

GUATEMALA: Zacapa: by little stream in cloud forest, upper slopes along Río Repollal to summit of mountain, alt. $2100-2400 \mathrm{~m}$., Jan. 12-13, 1942, Steyermark 42558 (CM, тype; phot. G).

In my key (Contrib. Gray Herb. xcviii. 8), this species comes out next to $G$. Macbrideana from which it differs in its shorter floral bracts and its sepals with a terminal rather than a subapical mucro.

Tillandsia brachycaulos Schlecht. var. multiflora, var. nov., robustior; spicis elongatis, sublaxis, ad 4 -floris. Tab. IV, fig. 3.

GUATEMALA: Zacapa: trail between Río Hondo and waterfall, alt. 250 400 m., Oct. 10, 1939, Steyermark 29465 (CM, TYPE; phot. G).

Tillandsia deflexa, spec. nov., acaulis; foliis crateriforme rosulatis, ad 8 dm . longis, vaginis ellipticis, viridibus, utrinque obscure punctulato-lepidotis, laminis ligulatis, acuminatis, 35 mm . latis, supra glabris, subtus punctulato-lepidotis; scapo deflexo, 4 dm . longo; scapi bracteis foliaceis, erectis, densissime imbricatis; inflorescentia laxe bipinnatim paniculata, 2 dm . longa, glabra; bracteis primariis inferioribus subfoliaceis, vaginis suis spicas aequantibus vel superantibus; spicis patentibus, lanceolatis, acutis, complanatis, 6-7 cm. longis, haud 2 cm . latis, ca. 6 -floris; bracteis florigeris erectis, dense imbricatis, lanceolatis, acutis, 5 cm . et ultra longis, acute carinatis, subcoriaceis, sub-
lucidis; floribus sessilibus; sepalis lineari-lanceolatis, acutis, 28 mm . longis, posticis alte connatis; petalis staminibusque ignotis; capsula sepala subaequanti. Tab. IV, fig. 4, 5.
GUATEMALA: San Marcos: above Finca El Porvenir, up Cerro de Mono, south-facing slopes of Volcán Tajumulco, alt. 1400-1700 m., Mar. 9, 1940, Steyermark 97990 (CM, TYPE; phot. G).

In its hanging lax inflorescence, Tillandsia deflexa is reminiscent of $T$. Standleyi, but it has nearly concolorous leaves with ligulate blades, larger floral bracts and connate posterior sepals.

Tillandsia fasciculata Sw. var. rotundata, var. nov., inflorescentia globosa; bracteis primariis suborbicularibus, apiculatis; spicis ovatis, complanatis, $4-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; bracteis florigeris cucullatis, ex sicco plus minusve rugosis. Tab. IV, fig. 6, 7.

GUATEMALA: Zacapa: San Lorenzo, alt. 1600 m., Jan. 24, 1942, Steyermark 43158 (G, CM); Huehuetenango: Carrizal, Aug. 17, 1942, Steyermark 50809 (CM, TYPE; phot. G).
hONDURAS: Comayagua: epiphytic in forest near El Achote, above the plains of Siguatepeque, alt. 1350 m., July 15, 1936, Yuncker, Dawson \& Youse 5897 (CM).

This variety is easily distinguished by its very short broad primary bracts and spikes.

Tillandsia Krukoffiana, spec. nov., e fragmentis solum cognita, verisimiliter bimetralis vel ultra; foliis verisimiliter rosulatis, ad 8 dm . longis, vaginis subellipticis, ca. 2 dm . longis, utrinque dense minuteque ferrugineo-lepidotis, laminis ligulatis, acutis vel acuminatis, 6 cm . latis, glabris; scapo ignoto; inflorescentia maxima, laxissime 3 -pinnatim paniculata; axibus gracilibus, glabris; bracteis primariis ovatis, acutis, 4 cm . longis, quam basibus sterilibus elongatis ramorum multo brevioribus; ramis patentibus, ad 35 cm . longis, basi bracteis sterilibus $1-5$ auctis; bracteis secundariis eis primariis similibus sed paulo minoribus, quam spicis subduplo brevioribus; spicis patentibus vel deflexis, anguste lanceolatis, acutis, complanatis, $45-65 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, $10-15$ mm . latis, subdense 5 - 7 -floris, basi bracteis sterilibus reductis binis auctis; bracteis florigeris imbricatis, ellipticis, acutis, ad apicem versus carinatis, ad 25 mm . longis, sepala bene superantibus, subchartaceis, valde nervatis, utrinque dissite obscureque lepidotis; floribus subsessilibus; sepalis ellipticis, 19 mm . longis, nervatis, glabris, posticis carinatis, ad 4 mm . connatis; petalis staminibusque ignotis. Tab. III, fig. 9-11.

BoLIVIA: La Paz: Prov. S. Yungas, basin of Rio Bopi, San Bartolome (near Calisaya), alt. 750-900 m., July, 1939, Krukoff 10503 ( (i, type; NY).

There can be little doubt that Tillandsia Krukoffiana belongs in the subgenus Allardtia, where its elongate branches and spreading to reflexed spikes immediately distinguish it.

Tillandsia ponderosa, spec. nov., verisimiliter acaulis, florifera 7-8 dm. alta; foliis inflorescentiam subaequantibus, vaginis magnis, ellipticis, flavo-viridibus vel purpureis, utrinque punctu-lato-lepidotis, laminis lineari-triangularibus, acuminatis, $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, utrinque lepidibus adpressis cinereis centro brunneis dense vestitis; scapo erecto, valido; scapi bracteis foliaceis, magnis, erectis, densissime imbricatis; inflorescentia densissime bipinnatim paniculata, ponderosa, late ellipsoidea vel subglobosa; bracteis primariis suberectis, subfoliaceis, vaginis suis suborbicularibus, quam spicis axillaribus bene brevioribus, laminis spicas inferiores superantibus; spicis breviter stipitatis, late lanceolatis, acutis, complanatis, ad 15 cm . longis, 4 cm . latis, ca. 8 -floris; bracteis florigeris erectis, dense imbricatis, ad 55 mm . longis, sepala superantibus, ellipticis, acutis, acute carinatis, leviter incurvatis, coriaceis, laevibus vel leviter nervatis, glabris, vivis rubris vel aureis; sepalis liberis, oblongis, late acutis, $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; petalis linearibus, purpureis; antheris exsertis. Tab. IV, fig. 8,9 .

GUATEMALA: El Progreso: hills north of Finca Piemonte, between Finca Piemonte and summit of Volcán Santa Luisa, alt. 2400-3333 m., Feb. 5, 1942, Steyermark 43524 (G, CM); Zacapa: pine forest, Sierra de las Minas, near summit of ridge, below Finca Alejandria, alt. 1700-2000 m., Oct. 12, 1939, Steyermark 29797 (CM, TYPE); upper slopes along Río Repollal to summit of mountain, Sierra de las Minas, alt. 2100-2400 m., Jan. 12-13, 1942, Steyermark 42570 (G, CM) ; Jalapa: epiphyte in cloud forest on top, Volcán Jumay, north of Jalapa, alt. $1300-2200 \mathrm{~m}$., Dec. 1, 1939, Steyermark 32318 (CM); Huehtetenango: on oak tree on upper slopes, La Sierra (Tujimach), across river from San Juan Atitán, Sierra de los Cuchumatanes, alt. 2500-2900 m., Sept. 8, 1942, Steyermark 51999 (G, CM).

Among North American species, Tillandsia ponderosa keys out to the vicinity of $T$. Bourgaei, but differs in its stouter inflorescence, larger glabrous spikes and free sepals as well as in several other obvious characters. Actually it is probably more nearly related to $T$. imperialis, although it fails to arrive there in the key because of the relatively short sheaths of its primary bracts.

Tillandsia tricolor Schlecht. \& Cham. var. picta, var. nov., foliorum vaginis plus minusve pallido-maculatis.

GUATEMALA: Alta Verapaz: on tree, along Río Polochic, above Tamahú, alt. ca. 1200 m., April 10, 1941, Standley 92046 (CM, TYPE).

Vriesia pycnantha, spec. nov., florifera $7-8 \mathrm{dm}$. alta; foliis cyathiformi-rosulatis, fere 6 dm . longis, vaginis ellipticis, ultra 10 cm . longis, basi atro-castanea excepta viridibus, lepidibus minutis brunneis dissite vestitis, laminis ligulatis, acutis apiculatisque, $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, planis, omnino viridibus, supra glabris, subtus minute obscureque lepidotis; scapo erecto, 1 cm . diametro; scapi bracteis erectis imbricatisque, foliaceis vel subfoliaceis; inflorescentia simplicissima, perdensa, oblongo-elliptica, complanata, $8-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa; rhachi recta, sulcata, rhachis internodiis 6 mm . longis vel minus; bracteis florigeris juvenilibus solum cognitis, latissime ovatis, subacutis apiculatisque, 35 mm . longis, ad apicem versus paulo carinatis, tenuibus, valde rugosis, dissite brunneo-lepidotis, pallido-viridibus; floribus nullo modo secundis; pedicellis $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, valde angulatis; sepalis late oblongo-ellipticis, paulo asymmetricis, truncatis vel paulo retusis, 18 mm . longis, basi carinatis, subcoriaceis, glabris, castaneis; petalis staminibusque ignotis; capsula graciliter ellipsoidea, 35 mm . longa, seminum coma pulchre rubro-brunnea. Tab. IV, fig. 10-12.

GUATEMALA: Quezaltenango: epiphytic, high barranco along Río Samalá between Santa Maria de Jesús and Calahuaché, alt. 1200-1300 m., Jan. 9, 1940, Steyermark 33902 (CM, TYPE; phot. G).

Its rugose floral bracts and simple inflorescence would appear to place Vriesia pycnantha among the species around $V$. gladioliflora, but it is unlike any of these in the delicate texture of its floral bracts and in the extreme density of its inflorescence with floral bracts 6 to 8 times as long as the internodes.

## Explanation of Plate III

Fig．1．Aechmea brevicollis L．B．Smith（L．Williams 14267），primary bract and spike $\times 1$ ．
2．Same，sepals $\times 1$ ．
3．Billbergia chlorantha L．B．Smith（Foster 287），section of inflorescence $\times 1 / 2$ ．
4．Same，sepal $\times 1$ ．
5．Same，longitudinal section of ovary $\times 1$ ．
6．Same，ovule $\times$ ca． 5 ．
7．Billbergia leptopoda L．B．Smith（Foster 765），habit $\times 1 / 2$ ．
8．Same，sepal $\times 1$ ．
9．Tillandsia Krukoffiana L．B．Smith（Krukoff 10503），branch of inflorescence $\times 1 / 5$ 。
10．Same，spike $\times 1 / 2$ ．
11．Same，sepals $\times 1$ ．

## Explanation of Plate IV

Fig．1．Catopsis pedicellata L．B．Smith（Steyermark 31641），old flower with capsule $\times 1$ ．
2．Greigia Steyermarkit L．B．Smith（Steyermark 42553），floral bract and flower $\times 1$ ．
3．Tillandsia brachycaulos Schlecht．var．multiflora L．B．Smith （Steyermark 29465），spike $\times 1$ ．
4．Tillandsia deflexa L．B．Smith（Steyermark 97390），primary bract and spike $\times 1 / 2$ ．
5．Same，posterior sepals $\times 1 / 2$ ．
6．Tillandsia fasciculata Sw．var．Rotundata L．B．Smith（Steyer－ mark 50809），inflorescence $\times 1 / 2$ ．
7．Same，sepal $\times 1 / 2$ ．
8．Tillandsia ponderosa L．B．Smith（Steyermark 29797），inflores－ cence $\times 1 / 2$ 。
9．$\quad$ Same，sepal $\times 1$ ．
10．Vriesia pycnantha L．B．Smith（Steyermark 33902），apex of leaf $\times 1 / 2$ 。
11．Same，inflorescence $\times 1 / 2$ ．
12．Same，old flower with capsule $\times 1$ ．

## 4．－TWO NEW SPECIES OF BOMAREA FROM PERU

César Vargas C．
（Plates V and VI）
My recent collecting and investigations into the flora of the Department of Cuzco，Peru，and in particular，a critical study of the genus Bomarea of the Amaryllidaceae have led me to propose new species from this region，two of which are the following：

1. Bomarea Herrerae, n. sp. tab. V, caule volubili, ca. 4 m . longo, crasso, glabro, angulari; foliis lanceolatis, subtus canopuberulentibus, ad 10 cm . longis et 2 cm . latis; radiis umbellae ca. 8 , bracteolatis, puberulis, $4-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; sepalis oblanceolatis, aureis, $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $1.2-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; petalis spathulatis, aureis, purpureo-maculatis, ad 1.8 cm . latis; ovario rufo-tomentuloso.

I am happy to dedicate this species to my colleague and former teacher Dr. Fortunato L. Herrera, as a tribute to his accomplishments in the Department of Botany at the University of Cuzco.

PERU: Cuzco: procede de la Cordillera de Tres Cruces, Paucartambo, 3600 m . alt., octubre de 1941, C. Vargas C., no. 2258 (type in Herb. Vargas, Univ. de Cuzco; isotypes in Herb. Gray. and U. S. Nat. Herb.).

This species is very close to Bomarea superba Herbert (Amaryllidaceae, 117, pl. 6, fig. 1 (1837)) for the leaf-shape of both species is similar, however, the leaves of B. Herrerae are not glabrous but pilose; also the two species resemble each other in perianth-color, but B. Herrerae has the petals spotted. There is, in addition, a difference in form and size of segments which I consider of specific importance.
2. B. densifolia, n. sp. tab. VI, caule erecto, crasso, non-nihil curvato in cacumine, glabro vel rufo-tomentuloso, folioso; foliis appressis, lanceolatis, ad 6 cm . longis, 1 cm . latis, glabris vel utrinque aureo-puberulentibus; radiis primariis umbellae ad 8, 1 - vel 2 -furcatis; bracteis ovato-lanceolatis, 3.5 cm . longis, 1.8 cm . latis; bracteolis oblongis, persistentibus; sepalis linearibus, oblongis, roseis, ca. 5 cm . longis, 1.2 cm . latis, nonnihil viriditinctis; petalis spathulatis sepalis subaequalibus, aureo- vel viridi-tinctis.

PERU: Cuzco: en la área de las ruinas antiguas, Puyupatamarca, Urubamba, $3200-3600 \mathrm{~m}$. alt., 28 de agosto de 1942, C. Vargas C., no. 2725 (type in Herb. Vargas, Univ. de Cuzco; isotypes in Herb. Gray, and U. S. Nat. Herb.).

No allied species in the flora of Peru has been found nor has study of the treatment of the Peruvian species of Bomarea by Killip (in Macbride, Fl. Peru, Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. 633-662 (1936)) revealed any close relatives to $B$. densifolia.


Begonia

Contrib. Gray Herb. CLIV.
Plate II.



Fig. 1-2, Aechmea brevicollis L. B. Sm.; 3-6, Billbergia chlobantha L. B. Sm.; 7-8, B. leptopoda L. B. Sm.; 9-11, Tillandsia Kirukoffiana L. B. Sm.

Contrib. Gray Herb. CliV.


Fig. 1, Catupsis pedicellata L. B. Sm.; 2, (ireigia 'teyermarkii L. B. Sm.; 3, Tillandsia brachycaulos Schlecht. var. multiflora L. B. Sm.; 4-5, T. deflexa L. B. Sm.; 6-7, 'T. fasciculata Sw. vat. rotundata L. B. Sm.; 8 9, T. ponderosa L. B. Sm.; 10-12, Vriesia pyčantha L. I3. Sm.


Bomarea Herrerae Vargas


Bomarea densifolia Vargas

## INDEX

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Acalypha alopecuroidea, 14
Aconitum tauricum, 14
Adiantum striatum, 14
Aechmea (Lamprococcus) brevicol-
lis, 32, 39, pl. III; corymbosa, 32
Aeschynomene bispinosa, 14; Sesban, 14
Agave foetida, 14; virginica, 14
Agrostis tenacissima, 10
Albuca abyssinica, 10; altissima, 10; aurea, 14; caudata, 14; flaccida, 14; major, 14; setosa, 14; spiralis, 14; viridiflora, 14; viscosa, 14
Allium illyricum, 14; ramosum, 10; striatum, 14; suaveolens, 14
Alpinia comosa, 14
Althaea narbonensis, 10
Alyssum gemonense, 14
Amaryllidaceae, 39
Amaryllis longifolia, 14
Andromeda coriacea, 14; lucida, 10; mariana, 14
Andropogon distachyos, 14; undatus, 14
Anemone fragifera, 10
Anthericum bipedunculatum, 14 ; exuviatum, 14 ; filifolium, 14; flexifolium, 14; hispidum, 14; latifolium, 14; longifolium, 14; longiscapum, 14; nutans, 15; physodes, 15; pilosum, 15; praemorsum, 15; pugioniforme, 15 ; pusillum, 15 ; rostratum, 15; subtrigynum, 15; undulatum, 15
Antirrhinum hirtum, 10; parviflorum, 15; versicolor, 10
Aquilegia viridiflora, 10
Arabis ovirensis, 10
Arenaria biflora, 10
Aristolochia barbata, 15; bilobata, 10
Arnica glacialis, 15
Artemisia hispanica, 10
Arum helleborifolium, 15
Asclepias citrifolia, 15
Asplenium angustifolium, 10
Astragalus asper, 10; exscapus, 15; hians, 10; leontinus, 10; uralensis, 10
Athamanta Matthioli, 10
Avena sterilis, 10
Axyris ceratoides, 10

Begonia § Begoniastrum, 24; § Magnusia, 24; angustiloba, 28; asteroides, 31 ; Barkeri, 27, 28, 31; bicolor, 28; biserrata, 27, 28, var. glandulosa, 23; decandra, 27, 28 ; dentata, 28 ; dichotoma, 15; (\$ Begoniastrum) Ekmanii, 23, 24, pl. I; extranea, 29, 31; glabra, 27, 29; gracilis, 26, var. Martiana, 27,29 , var. nervipilosa, 29; heracleifolia, 29; ludicra, 25 ; macrophylla, 27, 28, 31; manicata, 29; Martiana, 29; megaphylla, 28 ; (§ Begoniastrum) militaris, 24, pl. II; minor, 15; monophylla, 30; monoptera, 27, 30; nelumbiifolia, 27, 30; oaxacana, 30; palmaris, 28 ; palmata, 27, 28; Palmeri, 26; peltata, 27,30 ; ( $\$$ Magnusia) plantaginea, 24, 25, pl. I; pustulata, 30; relicta, 31, pl. II; (\$) Begoniastrum) rhodochlamys, 25, pl. I; reniformis, 30; repens, 27, 29; rotundifolia, 24; syphilitica, 27, 30; syphillitica, 27 , 30; tovarensis, 31 ; (\$ Casparya) Trapa, 26, pl. I; trispathulata, 26; tuberosa, 27, 29; unifolia, 30; uruapensis, $27,28,31$, var. Rosei, 26
Billbergia amoena, var. viridis, 32; chlorantha, 32, 33, 39, pl. III; leptopoda, 33, 39, pl. III; Lietzei, 34; Sanderiana, 33
Blechnum occidentale, 15
Bomarea, 39, 40; densifolia, 40, pl. VI; Herrerae, 40, pl. V; superba, 40
Borago zeylanica, 15
Bromelia humilis, 10
Bromeliaceae, 33
Buddleja capitata, 15
Bupleurum arborescens, 15; petraeum, 10

Cacalia laciniata, 10; peucedanifolia, 15; villosa, 15
Calea aspera, 15
Calendula arborescens, 15
Camellia japonica, 15
Campanula Zoysii, 15
Carduus acaulis, 15 ; arabicus, 10

Carex hermaphrodita, 15
Cassia chinensis, 10; Crista, 10; multiglandulosa, 10; polyphylla, 15; ruscifolia, 10; sennoides, 11; sensitiva, 15
Catopsis nutans, var. robustior, 34; pedicellata, 34, 39, pl. IV
Celosia procumbens, 11; virgata, 15
Celsia linearis, 15
Centaurea Verutum, 11
Chenopodium caudatum, 15; guineense, 15
Chrysocoma dichotoma, 11
Cissampelos smilacina, 15
Cistus canariensis, 11; cretensis, 11; foetidus, 11 ; mutabilis, 11 ; syriacus, 11
Citharexylum erectum, 15; villosum, 11
Clematis angustifolia, 11
Commelina longicaulis, 15; mollis, 15
Convolvulus crenatus, 15; floridus, 11; pentanthus, 15
Conyza carolinensis, 15
Coreopsis artemisiaefolia, 15; limensis, 15
Cortusa Matthioli, 11
Costus arabicus, 11
Crambe filiformis, 15; orientalis, 11; Tataria, 11
Crassula Umbella, 15
Crepis albida, 11
Crinum tenellum, 15
Crotalaria caerulea, 11
Croton glandulosum, 11; punctatum, 15; pungens, 15
Cyanella orchidiformis, 15
Cynanchum carolinense, 15; extensum, 11; monspeliacum, 16; obliquum, 16
Cynosurus caeruleus, 11; domingensis, 11; sphaerocephalus, 11
Cyperus alternifolius, 16 ; distans, 16; longus, 16; tenuiflorus, 16; viscosus, 16
Cyrilla racemiflora, 11
Cytisus tener, 11
Dais laurifolia, 11
Delphinium urceolatum, 11
Dianthus atrorubens, 16; sylvestris, 11
Diodia virginica, 11
Dioscorea triphylla, 16 ; villosa, 16
Dolichos acinaciformis, 16; gladiatus, 16; Soja, 11
Dorstenia Contrajerva, 16

Dracaena terminalis, 16
Dracocephalum austriacum, 11
Dracontium lanceaefolium, 16
Drimia ciliaris, 16; elata, 16; media, 16; pusilla, 16; undulata, 16
Duranta Plumieri, 16
Echites domingensis, 11
Echium candicans, 11; glaucophyllum, 16
Elaeodendron orientale, 11
Elymus Hystrix, 16
Epidendrum cochleatum, 16; elongatum, 16
Eriospermum lanceaefolium, 16; latifolium, 16; parvifolium, 16
Erodium ribifolium, 16; trilobatum, 16
Eryngium alpinum, 11; aquaticum, 16
Eucomis bifolia, 16
Eugenia baruensis, 16
Eupatorium myosotifolium, 16; scandens, 11 ; syriacum, 11
Euphorbia angulata, 16; Characias, 11; clava, 11 ; cyathophora, 16; diffusa, 11; divaricata, 11; heterophylla, 16; linifolia, 11; literata, 16; nicaeensis, 16; nudiflora, 16; picta, 16; pilulifera, 16; punicea, 16; scordifolia, 16; serrata, 16

Fothergilla Gardeni, 11
Fumaria acaulis, 16
Galaxia ovata, 16; plicata, 16
Galega capensis, 16; cinerea, 16; dubia, 16; filiformis, 17; longifolia, 17; ochroleuca, 11
Gaura fruticosa, 17
Genista hispanica, 17; humifusa, 22; sericea, 17
Geranium argenteum, 17; astragalifolium, 20 ; glutinosum, 11 ; macrorrhizum, 11; ovatum, 21 ; revolutum, 11 ; scabrum, 21; tetragonum, 11
Geum aleppicum, 11; hybridum, 11
Gladiolus alatus, 17; albidus, 17; anceps, 17; angustus, 17; bicolor, 17; brevifolius, 17; carneus, 17; erispus, 17 ; cuspidatus, 17 ; fissifolius, 17; floribundus, 17; galeatus, 17; gracilis, 17; gramineus, 17; hirsutus, 17; hyalinus, 17; iridifolius, 17, var. 17; laccatus, 17; longiflorus, 17, var. 17; Merianus, 17, var. 17; mucronatus, 17; plicatus, 17 , var. angustifolius, 17;
punctatus, 17 ; refractus, 17 ; roseus, 17; silenoides, 17 ; striatus, 17 ; sulphureus, 17; tenellus, 17 ; tristis, 17, var. 17; tubatus, 17 , var. 17 ; tubiflorus, 17 ; tubulosis, 17 ; undulatus, 17; Watsonius, 17
Glycine caribaea, 11
Gomphrena brasiliensis, 17
Gorteria asteroides, 17
Greigia Macbrideana, 35; Steyermarkii, 35, 39, pl. IV
Gronovia scandens, 18
Guilandina Moringa, 18
Haloragis alata, 11
Hamellia chrysantha, 18
Hedysarum gyrans, 18; muricatum, 18; pictum, 18; vespertilionis, 18
Heliophila integrifolia, 18
Helonias pumila, 18
Hibiscus diversifolius, 18; domingensis, 18; pentacarpos, 12 ; praemorsus, 12; virginicus, 12
Hieracium incarnatum, 18; saxatile, 12
Hippocrepis balearica, 12
Hyacinthus viridis, 12
Hypecoum littorale, 18
Hypochaeris helvetica, 12
Hypoxis obliqua, 18; plicata, 18; serrata, 18; sobolifera, 18; stellata, 18; villosa, 18
Hyptis capitata, 12; verticillata, 12
Ilex ligustrina, 18
Indigofera dendroides, 18; hendecaphylla, 18; hirsuta, 18
Ipomoea angustifolia, 18; hederacea, 12; leucantha, 18; luteola, 12; pentaphylla, 18
Iris flavissima, 18; tricuspis, 18; tripetala, 18; virginica, 18
Ixia anemonaeflora, 18; angusta, 18; Bulbocodium, 18; chloroleuca, 18; corymbosa, 18; cruciata, 18; falcata, 18; fenestrata, 18; fragrans, 18; incarnata, 18; lancea, 18; leucantha, 18 ; linearis, 18; polystachya, 18 ; punicea, 18 ; purpurea, 18, var. 18; radiata, 18; rubrocyanea, 18; secunda, 18 ; uniflora, 18; villosa, 18

Jatropha gossypifolia, 19
Juglans cinerea, 12; nigra, 12
Justicia bracteolata, 19; caracasana, 19; pulcherrima, 19

Kiggelaria integrifolia, 19
Kyllinga incompleta, 19
Lachenalia angustifolia, 19; glaucina, 19; hyacinthoides, 19; isopetala, 19; lanceaefolia, 19; liliflora, 19; luteola, 19; mediana, 19; orchioides, 19; orthopetala, 19; patula, 19; pendula, 19; punctata, 19; purpurea, 19; purpurocoerulea, 19; pusilla, 19; pustulata, 19; quadricolor, 19 ; rubida, 19 ; tigrina, 19 ; tricolor, 12; unicolor, 19; violacea, 19
Lactuca intybacea, 12
Laserpitium Archangelica, 12; peucedanoides, 19
Lavandula pinnata, 12
Ledum latifolium, 19
Leucojum strumosum, 22
Leysera gnaphalodes, 19
Limodorum altum, 19; diurnum, 19; tuberosum, 19
Limonia trifoliata, 19
Linum africanum, 19
Lisianthus glaucifolius, 12
Lithospermum tenuiflorum, 19
Lobelia siphilitica, 19
Lonicera tartarica, 12
Lopezia mexicana, 19
Lychnis grandiffora, 12
Malachra alceaefolia, 19; fasciata, 19
Malpighia coccigera, 19; glandulifera, 19
Malva balsamica, 12; scoparia, 12
Mangifera indica, 19
Manulea tomentosa, 19
Maranta lutea, 19
Marrubium astracanicum, 12
Medicago carstiensis, 12
Melanthium eucomoides, 19; junceum, 19; uniflorum, 19
Melochia caraccasana, 19
Mesembryanthemum cordifolium, 19; cuneifolium, 19; pomeridianum, 20
Mimosa caracasana, 20; portoricensis, 20; speciosa, 12
Moraea collina, 20; palmifolia, 20; sordescens, 20; vegeta, 20; virgata, 20
Morus mauritiana, 20
Myagrum arborescens, 12
Myginda Rhacoma, 20
Myrica segregata, 20
Neottia minor, 20; speciosa, 20
Nerium coronarium, 12

Ochrosia maculata, 20
Ocymum gratissimum, 20
Oenothera nocturna, 20; odorata, 20
Onopordon acaule, 12
Ophrys crucigera, 12; myodes, 12
Orchis mascula, 12; militaris, 20; moravica, 12; palustris, 12; rubra, 12; variegata, 20
Ornithogalum caudatum, 20; coarctatum, 20; comosum, 20; conicum, 20; flavescens, 20; flavissimum, 20; fuscatum, 20; lacteum, 20; latifolium, 20; miniatum, 20; odoratum, 20; polyphyllum, 20; pyramidale, 20; secundum, 20; suaveolens, 20; tenellum, 20
Osteospermum caeruleum, 12
Oxalis hirta, 20, var., 20; multiflora, 20; polyphylla, 20; rubella, 20, versicolor, 20

Panax aculeatum, 20
Panicum coloratum, 12; maximum, 12
Paspalum racemosum, 20; virgatum, 12
Passiflora cuprea, 20; incarnata, 12; lutea, 20; rubra, 12
Paullinia cauliffora, 20
Pavonia urens, 20
Pedicularis rosea, 12
Pelargonium anemonaefolium, 20; astragalifolium, 20; balsameum, 20; barbatum, 20; betonicum, 20; bullatum, 21; carneum, 21; caucalifolium, 21 ; chamaedryfolium, 21 ; ciliatum, 21; coriandrifolium, 21; coronopifolium, 21; cortusaefolium, 21; daucoides, 21; depressum, 21; tuscatum, 21; hermanniaefolium, 21; heterophyllum, 21; hirtum, 21; lacerum, 21; longicaule, 21 ; longiflorum, 21; longifolium, 21; melananthon, 21; multicaule, 21; nervifolium, 21; Oenotherae, 21; patulum, 21 ; rapaceum, 21; ribifolium, 21; scabrum, 21; ternatum, 21; tomentosum, 21; trichostemon, 21; triphyllum, 21; violareum, 21 Phaca alpina, 12
Phaseolus semierectus, 21
Phleum asperum, 12; Cerardi, 21; schoenoides, 12
Phlomis caribaea, 12; zeylanica, 12
Phyllanthus speciosa, 21
Physalis barbadensis, 12; prostrata, 12
Phyteuma hemisphaerica, 21

Pinus Mughus, 12
Piper aduncum, 21; blandum, 21; clusiaefolium, 21; cuneifolium, 21; magnoliaefolium, 21; marginatum, 21; medium, 12; obtusifolium, 12; pereskiaefolium, 21; stellatum, 21; tuberculatum, 21; umbellatum, 21
Plantago aegyptiaca, 12; Cornuti, 13; maxima, 13; patagonica, 21
Poa abyssinica, 13; ciliaris, 21; disticha, 13; peruviana, 13 ; sicula, 21
Polyanthes pygmaea, 21
Polypodium alpinum, 21; fraxinifolium, 21; Hippocrepis, 22; molle, 22; multifidum, 22; phymatodes, 22; trifoliatum, 22
Potentilla astracanica, 13; opaca, 13; salisburgensis, 22 ; subacaulis, 22
Pothos crassinervia, 22; digitata, 22; grandifolia, 22; palmata, 22
Prunus Chamaecerasus, 13
Psoralea bipedunculata, 22; phymatodes, 22
Pteris caudata, 22
Ranunculus canadensis, 13
Reseda fruticulosa, 22; mediterranea. 22
Rhamnus volubilis, 22
Rhododendron ponticum, 13
Ribes petraeum, 13
Ricinus inermis, 13 ; lividus, 13
Robinia vesicaria, 13
Rubia fruticosa, 13
Rudbeckia alata, 22; amplexifolia, 22
Ruellia patula, 13
Rumex glaucus, 13
Ruta legitima, 13
Salvia abyssinica, 13 ; pseudococcinea, 22 ; serotina, 13; spinosa, 13; viridis, 13 ; viscosa, 13
Sambucus racemosa, 13
Sanicula marylandica, 22
Satureja rupestris, 22
Saxifraga mutata, 22; petraea, 13; stolonifera, 13
Scabiosa monspeliensis, 13
Schoenus umbellatus, 13
Schotia speciosa, 13
Scilla hyacinthoides, 13
Sclerocarpus africanus, 13
Scorzonera taraxacifolia, 13
Selago fasciculata, 22
Senecio graminifolius, 13; rosmarinifolius, 22

Sida atrosanguinea, 13; carpinifolia, 13; mauritiana, 13; palmata, 22
Sinapis millefolia, 13
Sisymbrium hispanicum, 13; lippizense, 22; molle, 13; pannonicum, 13
Solanum aculeatissimum, 13; aggregatum, 22; carolinense, 22; coccineum, 13; corymbosum, 13; diphyllum, 22; fugax, 22; fuscatum, 13; giganteum, 22; lanceaefolium, 22; lycioides, 13; marginatum, 13; Milleri, 22; nodiflorum, 22; peruvianum, 22; stellatum, 22; stramonifolium, 13 ; virginianum, 22
Sonchus fruticosus, 13
Spananthe paniculata, 22
Spartium decumbens, 22
Spermacoce hirta, 22
Spilanthus exasperata, 22
Stachys canariensis, 13; lanata, 13; rugosa, 22
Stellaria bulbosa, 22
Stellera Passerina, 13
Strumaria angustifolia, 22; filifolia, 22; linguaefolia, 22; rubella, 22; truncata, 22; undulata, 22

Tabernaemontana persicariaefolia, 22
Terminalia Catappa, 13
Thlaspi alliaceum, 14
Tillandsia subg. Âllardtia, 37; Bourgaei, 37 ; brachycaulos, var. multiflora, 35, 39, pl. IV; deflexa, 35, 36, 39, pl. IV; fasciculata, var.
rotundata, 36,39 , pl. IV; imperialis, 37; Krukoffiana, 36, 37, 39, pl. III; ponderosa, 37, 39, pl. IV; Standleyi, 36; tricolor, var. picta, 38
Tournefortia cymosa, 14
Tradescantia erecta, 23; multiflora, 23
Tragia involucrata, 14
Tragopogon capensis, 23; mutabilis, 14; porrifolius, 14; undulatus, 14
Trapa, 26
Tremella clavariaeformis, 23; juniperina, 23
Tribulus maximus, 23
Trichomanes canariense, 14
Trichosanthes foetidissima, 23
Triglochin bulbosum, 23
Turritis hirsuta, 14
Verbena mutabilis, 23; prismatica, 23
Verbesina gigantea, 14
Vitis vinifera, 14
Volkameria Kaempferi, 23
Vriesia gladioliflora, 38; pyenantha, 38, 39, pl. IV

Waltheria indica, 14
Wulfenia carinthiaca, 14
Xeranthemum fulgidum, 14
Zamia angustifolia, 23; integrifolia, 23
Zinnia elegans, 23; tenuiflora, 23
Zoegea aleppica, 14
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## 1. STUDIES IN THE IRIDACEAE,-III.

The third paper of this series presents studies of several small groups of American Iridaceae, with miscellaneous notes and descriptions of novelties in groups currently under consideration. In addition to the material in the Cray Herbarium ( $C$ i), I have seen material from the following institutions: United States National Herbarium (US), ('hicago Natural History Museum (F), New York Botanical (iarden (NY), Missouri Botanical Garden (MBC), Philadelphia Academy of Sciences (P), and the Bebb Herbarium of the University of Oklahoma (O). To the administrative officers of these herbaria I am much indebted for their generosity in making this material available to me.
I. Three New Genera of Solth American Iridaceae.

Among the undetermined, or tentatively determined, specimens of South American Iridaceac in the Gray Herbarium, there are several which have puzzled me for some time, and which had, therefore, been set aside for concentrated study. Now that it has heen possible to study them in detail, the reason for the inability to place them in their proper categories is apparent. Without doing undue violence to generic concepts, they cannot be allocated to any genera recognized at the present time. Nor, indeed, do they seem referable to any known species. Consequently, with considerable reluctance, I am erecting three genera to contain the six species here described as new.
i. Cardenanthus, gen. nov. Herbae parvae, bulbosae, subacaulescentes. Folia pauca, anguste linearia. Inflorescentia subsessilis, spathae terminales, flores perbreve pedicellati. Flores basi infundibuliformes, tepala basi in tubo coalita, multo inaequalia, exteriora oblanceolato-spathulata, interiora reducta, angustissime lineari-subulata vel anguste lineari-oblanceolata. Staminum filamenta monadelpha; antherae in tubo sessiles rel subsessiles, ad styli ramos oppositae. Stylus filiformis, trifureatus, rami bifidi, canaliculati, apice stigmatosi. Capsula seminaque non visa.

Type-species: C. boliviensis.
The four species which constitute this new genus are, at present, unique in being the only bulbous American irids with a true perianth-tube. The only other New World irids with a true tube
are Iris, Phaiophleps, Solenomelus, and Chamelum, all of which have a rhizome or a subrhizomatous rootstock. With stamens opposite the style-arms, this genus would fall into either the cypelloid or the tigridioid group. The style, with its canaliculate bifid arms and apical stigmatose areas, is definitely not cypelloid, although the reduced inner tepals and the infundibuliform base of the flower suggest Mastigostyla, which also has a rudimentary perianth-tube. Consequently, C'ardenanthus may be placed in the tigridioid group.

The name is derived from that of the collector of the first material studied, the distinguished Bolivian botanist, Professor Martín Cárdenas, combined with the Creek word for flower.

## Key

a. Inner tepals glandular at base or apex of claw; perianth-tube 3-4 mm. long.
b. Inner tepals 5 mm . long, or less, the linear blade less than
0.5 mm . wide......................................... C. boliviensis
b. Inner tepals 10 mm . long, the narrowly oblanceolate blade 1.5 mm . wide....................................2. C. Shepardae
a. Inner tepals not glandular; perianth-tube not over 2 mm .
c. Inner tepals narrowly deltoid, to 3.5 mm . long, widest at the base ( 1 mm .)..............................3. C. tunariensis
c. Inner tepals narrowly oblanceolate, to 8 mm . long, widest above the middle ( 1 mm .)............................... 4. C. Venturii

1. Cardenanthus boliviensis, spec. nov. Herba bulbosa, subacaulescens (caule subterraneo $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$.). Bulbus ovoideus, ad 2 cm . altus, 1 cm . latus, tunicis brunneis, laevigatis. Folia basalia 0 ; folia caulina 2 , spathas subtendentia, inferius ad 9 cm . longum, 1 mm . latum, superius ad 4 cm . longum, 1 mm . latum, linearia, glabra. Spathae herbaceae, exterior $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, acuta, interior $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, obtusa, retusa, $2-3-\mathrm{fl}$., floribus subsessilibus. Ovarium ca. 3 mm . longum, ellipsoideum, glabrum. Flores coerulei, plus minusve infundibuliformes; perianthii tubus ad 4 mm . longus; tepala exteriora ad 1.4 cm . longa, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, ovata, acuminata, apice subobtusa; tepala interiora ad 5 mm . longa, linearia, basi tumescentia, glandulosa (?), parte tumescente 0.75 mm . longa, lamina ca. 0.33 mm . lata, non acuminata. Staminum columna 5 mm . longa, apice perianthii tubi inserta; antherae 5 mm . longae. Stylus ca. $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. longus; styli rami 3.5 mm . longi, semibifidi, parte stigmatosa ciliata. BOLIVIA: Potosí: Potosí, 4000 m . alt., January, 1932, M. Cärdenas, no. 124 (type, G).

Of this species, the type of the genus, there are no data available as to habitat, but it may, like the next, grow in sandy places.

Like its congeners, it has small flowers, and great care and patience are necessary for dissecting out the inner tepals and style in which the technical characters are found. So far as can be seen, the blade of the inner tepals is completely linear, with a blunt apex, a marked contrast to the other members of the genus.
2. C. Shepardae, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus, 1.5 cm . altus, $0.5-1 \mathrm{~cm}$. latus. Folia basalia 1-2, ad 4 cm . longa, 1 mm . lata, linearia, acuta, glabra; folium caulinum unicum, spathas subtendens, subspathiforme, ad 2.7 cm . longum, 1 mm . latum, subfalcatum. Caulis (plerumque subterraneus) $1-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus, simplex. Spathae herbaceae, exterior ad 2.2 cm . longa, acuminata, acuta, interior ad 2.5 cm . longa, in apicem obtusa, retusa, attenuata, 2 -fl., pedicelli anthesin ca. 4 mm . longi. Ovarium ca. 5 mm . longum, ellipsoideum, glabrum. Flores pallide purpurea; perianthii tubus 3.25 mm . longus; tepala exteriora ca. 1.5 cm . longa, ca. 4 mm . lata, oblanceolato-spathulata; tepala interiora ca. 1 cm . longa, 1.5 mm . lata, anguste oblanceolata, ad apicem unguis areola ovale glandulosa. Staminum columna ca. 1.1 cm . longa; antherae 4 mm . longae. Stylus columnam longitudine aequans; styli rami $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longi, bifidi paene ad basin. PERU: Puno: Huancané: Umuchi, in sandy places, 3125 m. alt., Dec. 10, 1919, Mrs. R. S. Shepard, no. 99 (Type, G).

Like C. boliviensis, this species has an apparently glandular tumescent spot on the claws of the inner tepals, but at the apex, instead of at the base, and the inner tepals are narrowly oblanceolate, instead of linear. The style-arms are more deeply bifid than is the case in C. boliviensis.
3. C. tunariensis, spec. nov. Planta subacaulescens; bulbus ovoideus, ad 2 cm . altus, ca. 1 cm . latus. Folium basale unicum, $7-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, 1 mm . latum; folium caulinum unicum, spathas subtendens, $4-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, 1 mm . latum, glabrum. Spathae herbaceae, exterior ad 3 cm . longa, acuta, acuminata, interior $1.7-2.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, subobtusa, 2-fl., pedicelli ad 1.3 cm . longi. Ovarium ellipsoideum, glabrum, 4 mm . longum. Flores coerulei vel purpurei; perianthii tubus ad 2 mm . longus; tepala exteriora 1 cm . longa, 4 mm . lata, oblanceolata; tepala interiora ad 3.5 mm . longa, ad basin 1 mm . lata, anguste lanceolato-deltoidea vel -subulata, acuta, acuminata, eglandulosa. Staminum columna 5 mm . longa; antherae $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longae, in tubo subsessiles, penicillato-apiculatae. Stylus columnam longitudine aequans; styli rami 1.5 mm . longi, bifidi paene ad basin. BOLIVIA: Cochabamba: near snow-line, Mt. Tunari, 1891, M. Bang, no. 1042 (type, G; COTYPES, MBG, US).

Unlike the preceding two species, C.tunariensis appears to lack the tumescent glandular areas on the inner tepals. These, moreover, are very distinct from those of $C$. boliviensis in being broadest at the base. The type-collection was cited in Mem. Torr. Club vi. 125 (1898) as Nemastylis nana S. Wats. This is a Mexican species, and its appearance in Bolivia would be most unlikely. It is clear from this identification that no serious attempt at dissection of the material could have been made, or, if it were, that the structures seen were completely misinterpreted.
4. C. Venturii, spec. nov. Planta subacaulescens; bulbus ovoideus, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. altus, 1-1.5 cm. latus. Folia basalia 1-2, ad 10 cm . longa, 1 mm . lata, linearia, glabra; folium caulinum unicum, spathas subtendens, ad 6.5 cm . longum, 1 mm . latum. C'aulis plerumque subterraneus, 1-2 cm. longus. Spathae herbaceae, ad 2.5 cm . longae, vel interior brevior et ohtusa, exterior acuta, 2 -fl., pedicelli ad 5 mm . longi anthesin. Ovarium ellipsoideum, glabrum, ca. 4 mm . longum. Flores coerulei; perianthii tubus 2 mm . longus; tepala exteriora ad 1.5 cm . longa, 3 mm . lata, oblanceolato-spathulata, subobtusa; tepala interiora 8 mm . longa, 1 mm . lata, lanceolato-linearia, acuta, nec glandulosa nec tumescentia. Staminum columna 7 mm . longa; antherae in tubo sessiles, 2.5 mm . longae. Stylus columnam longitudine aequans; styli rami 1.5 mm . longi, bifidi 0.5 mm . ARCENTINA: Jusur: Humahuaca: Cerro La Soledad, 3500 m . alt., January 25, 1928, S. Venturi, no. 9025 (тYpe, (; ; isotype, US).

Like $C$. Shepardae this species has narrowly oblanceolate inner tepals, but here these are neither glandular nor even tumescent on the claws. The style-arms are shorter, and bifid for only about one-third of their length instead of being bifid nearly to the base. No data are available as to habitat.
ii. Eurynotia, gen. nov. Planta bulbosa, caulescens; bulbus tunicatus, tunicis castaneis, laevigatis. Folia pauca, lanceolatolinearia. Inflorescentia terminalis, floribus longe pedicellatis. Flores textura crassa; tepala basi in tubo breve coalita, deinde campanulatus, laminae plus minusve patentes; tepala subequalia. Filamenta ad basin crassa, breve coalita deinde libera; stamina cum styli ramis alterna. Stylus crassus, quam partem coalitam filamentorum longus; styli rami percrassi, conduplicati, supra clavato-ampliati, supra medium latissimi, apice retusi, velutini, quam antheras breviores. Capsula seminaque ignota.

Type-species: E. Penlandii.
The name of this new genus is derived from the (rreek, eury-
notos, broad-shouldered, and has reference to the widening of the style-arms above the middle. In attempting to place this genus by any key, it might be traced to ('alydorea, but the points of difference are so marked that, despite its real relationship with that genus, it does not seem possible to include it in that group. The first point to be noted in examining it is the very thick texture of the flower, quite unlike the thin, delicate texture of Calydorea. In the second place, it has a short but definite, thick perianth-tube. The thick filaments are briefly united at the base and the stamens exceed the style-branches. In contrast with the thin, delicate style with thin, canaliculate branches in Calydorea, this plant has a short thick style, with the branches becoming very broad above the middle, conduplicate, and markedly velutinous at the retuse apex. Like the style, the branches are exceedingly thick. Without undue flippancy, the entire flower might be said to resemble a Calydorea with general elephantiasis.

Eurynotia Penlandii, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus, 2.5 cm . altus, 1.3 cm . latus, tunicae membranaceae. Folia basalia 2, lanceolato-linearia, basi et apice attenuata, ad 30 cm . longa, 5-8 mm . lata, glabra, plicata; folia caulina 2 , inferius 20 cm . longum, 8 mm . latum, superius reductum, plerumque vaginans, 5.5 cm . longum. Caulis simplex, teres, glaber, 40 cm . altus. Spathae herbaceae, $2.6-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longae, exterior quam interiorem brevior, ca. 5 -fl., pedicelli anthesi spathas aequantes. Ovarium oblongoellipsoideum, са. 4 mm . longum. Flores atroviolacei; tepala ad basin 2.5 mm . coalita in tubo crasso, exteriora ad 2 cm . longa, 1.1 cm . lata, ovato-obovata, obtusa (apiculata ?), interiora ca. 1.6 cm . longa, 8 mm . lata, ovato-obovata, apice rotundata, longe apiculata. Staminum filamenta 1.5 mm . ad basin coalita, supra libera et 2.5 mm . longa; antherae 4 mm . longae. Stylus brevem columnam longitudine aequans; styli rami percrassi, supra medium 2 mm . lati complanati, 5 mm . longi, quam antheras breviores. ECUAD()R: Prov. Imbabura: Lake Cuicocha, 3100 m . alt., May 28, 1939, (․ W. Penland \& R. H. Summers, no. 736 (түpe, G).

Some years ago, this specimen was determined (by me), with some doubt, as Nemastylis Pearcei Baker. At that time, the single flower was firmly pressed in wased paper, from which it could not be separated without serious injury. Since then, fortunately, the waxed paper has become detached from the flower and it has been possible, for the first time, to make a dis-
section, with the results given above. Although lacking in the elaborate details of the cypelloid style, the style of this plant is one of the most strikingly distinctive structures in American Iridaceae.
iii. Pseudotrimezia, gen. nov. Planta bulbosa, tunicis fibrosis; caulescens. Folia pauca, teretia vel subquadrangulata, filiformia. Spathae terminales, flores pedicellati. Tepala subaequalia. Stamina cum styli ramis alterna; filamenta libera, brevia. Stylus filiformis, deinde trifurcatus, rami simplices, canaliculati, apice stigmatosi. Capsula seminaque non visa.

Type-species: P. Barretoi.
The generic name has been given because of the resemblance which this plant has habitally to many of the species placed in Trimezia. This is especially true of the fibrous tunics of the bulb. However, in Trimezia the stamens are opposite the stylearms, the outer and inner tepals are markedly dissimilar in size and shape, and the stigmas are definitely transverse, being overtopped by two style-crests which are reduced to low cusps. In this new genus, the stamens seem to be alternate with the stylearms (numerous dissections were made to settle this point), the outer and inner tepals are subequal, or at least not markedly dissimilar in size and shape, and the entire (not even subbifid) style-arms have unquestionably apical, not transverse, stigmatic areas. Habitally, it is like many of the species of Trimezia, especially in the rootstock; in technical floral characters, it resembles Calydorea. Since it cannot be placed in either genus without too great distortion of generic concepts, it has finally seemed advisable to segregate it.

Pseudotrimezia Barretoi, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideo-conicus vel globosus, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latus, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. altus, tunicis fibrosis in collum longum productis. Caulis simplex, teres, glaber, 6-22 cm . longus. Folium basale unicum, ad 7 cm . longum (vel longius ?), filiforme vel subquadrangulatum; folia caulina 2 , anguste spathiformia, paene opposita, inferius longius, $1.3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, valde striata, $3-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. infra inflorescentiam. Spathae herbaceae, inaequales, interior longior, $8-16 \mathrm{~mm}$. longae, valde striatae, acutae vel obtusae, 2-3-fl., pedicelli spatham interiorem aequantes. Ovarium glabrum, subturbinatum, 1.5 mm . longum. Tepala subaequalia, obovato-spathulata, ad 1.6 cm . longa, 6 mm . lata, obtusa, lutea. Filamenta 1 mm . longa; antherae $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longae. Stylus 3.5 mm . longus; styli rami 1.5 mm . longi, apice papillosi. BRAZIL: Minas Geraes: Neco Motta, Diamantina,
campo logar humido, Nov. 4, 1937, Mello Barreto, no. 9441 (TYPE, G).

As has been said, there is no doubt in my mind of the generic distinctness of this plant. There is, however, some question as to whether it may not have been described before by Klatt in his treatment of the genus Lansbergia (now treated as a synonym of Trimezia) in Mart. Fl. Bras. iii (1). 525-527 (1871). Unfortunately, Klatt described his first five species as having the stylearms "stigmatibus bifidis", or "laciniis trifidis", which, if true, would exclude them from Pseudotrimezia. Having had some experience with Klatt's work on Iridaceae, and having seen specimens of two different genera on one herbarium sheet labelled by him as belonging to a third genus, I feel a natural reluctance to accept all his statements without carefully checking them against the types. If the types of the first five species described by him in Lansbergia have been destroyed at Berlin, it may be necessary to start $a b$ initio in this group. This new species, then, is described with the full realization that there is a strong possibility that it may be reduced to synonymy under an earlier name, when (or if) certain European types again become available.

The reasons given previously for excluding P. Barretoi from Trimezia serve also as a bar to the resurrection of Ennealophus N. E. Br. in Kew Bull. 1909: 361 to contain this species. This genus was described from living material, with the following statement concerning the style: "Stylus ad apicem trifidus; rami in cristas 3 oblongas fimbriato-dentatas divisi, duabus interioribus collateralibus erectis, tertia supra antheras patente, basi utrinque puncto stigmatico instructa." This description certainly cannot apply to the plant here under consideration, and it seems best to follow Diels, in Engl. \& Pr. Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 497 (1930), in considering Ennealophus a svnonym of Trimezia.

## II. The North American Species of Sphenostigma Baker

Recently, some determinative work on Mexican specimens of Sphenostigma Baker disclosed the fact that the styles and general floral characters of some of these plants seemed rather familiar. It soon became clear that the style of Sphenostigma longispathum (Herb.) Benth. \& Hook. f. is very similar to that of the monotypic
genus Salpingostylis Small. It also became clear that at least three distinct species were included under the too-inclusive name, S. longispathum. Consequently, it seems desirable to review this small group of plants.

The genus Sphenostigma was erected by Baker, in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 124 (1877), to contain the Brazilian plant originally described as Alophia Sellowiana Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 557 (1861-62). Since this was the only species transferred by Baker, it automatically became the type of the genus. On an earlier page of the same long paper, Baker had created the genus Cardiostigma (Baker, l. c. 102), to which he transferred the plant first described as Gelasine longispatha Herb. in Benth. Pl. Hartw. 53 (1840). As this, likewise, was the only species included in the new genus, it is the type. When Bentham and Hooker treated the Iridaceae in their Genera Plantarum, it was apparent that there were several South American species which belonged with S. Sellowianum, while only the one Mexican species of (ardiostigma was known. It was probably for this reason that, in uniting the two genera, they maintained Sphenostigma, reducing Cardiostigma to complete synonymy. Cardiostigma was treated as a section of Sphenostigma by Pax in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. ii (5). 149 (1888), and as a subgenus by Baker, Handbk. Irid. 105 (1892), and by Diels in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 473 (1930). In view of the rotate perianth, with the segments subequal, as contrasted with most of the South American species with two more or less dissimilar series of tepals, I am following Baker and Diels in considering the group as a subgenus. With the possible exception of one South American species, S. boliviense Baker, which Baker placed in the subgenus Gomphostigma because of the monadelphous filaments (see his Handbk. Irid. 105), but which has subequal tepals, the subgenus Cardiostigma is confined to North America, so far as our present knowledge goes.

## Synoptic Treatment

Sphenostigma, subgenus C'ardiostigma. Bulbous herbs; basal leaves 1-2, narrow, or broad and plicate; stem simple or sometimes few-branched, with $0-2$ cauline leaves, bearing terminal few-flowered spathes; flowers semi-cernuous, the perianth rotate, regular, lacking a perianth-tube, the tepals subequal;
filaments free or slightly united at the base, the anther-connective narrow; style becoming trumpet-shaped upwards, appearing unilateral, the stigmas almost sessile or on style-arms, these entire or partially bifid; capsule and seeds much as in Sphenostigma proper.-Baker, Handbk. Irid. 105 (1892); Diels in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 473 (1930). Cardiostigma Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 102 (1877). Sphenostigma, section Cardiostigma (Baker) Pax in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. ii (5). 149 (1888). Salpingostylis Small in Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxii. 161 (1931); syn. nov.

Type-species of the subgenus: $S$. longispathum (Herb.) Benth. \& Hook.f.

## Key

a. Cauline leaf subtending the spathes; style-arms present and entire, the upper portion of the style and the arms conspicuously puberulent, the cuneate stigmas coarsely severaltoothed, ciliate and puberulent; cauline and basal leaves glabrous.
b. Cauline leaf $12-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the blade $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide. . 1. S. longispathum
b. Cauline leaf $4-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the blade 1 mm . wide, or less.

1a. S. longispathum var. filiforme
a. Cauline leaf not subtending the spathes; style-arms present or absent, the style glabrous.
r. Cauline leaf (or leaves) large, 15-30 cm. long, $0.4-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, not reduced, coarsely short-ciliate on midribs and near margins; Mexico.
d. Cauline leaf inserted about $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. below the terminal inflorescence and exceeding it; one basal leaf exceeding inflorescence; true style-arms absent, the cuneatereniform stigmas sessile (or nearly so) on the style..2. S. mexicanum d. Cauline leaves below the middle of the stem, usually shorter than the inflorescence; style-arms deeply and broadly retuse, Y-shaped, minutely puberulent.
3. S. Hintonii
c. Cauline leaf (upper leaf, if 2 are present) reduced, $3-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, inserted $5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. below the inflorescence, its apex seldom exceeding the base of the inflorescence, glabrous; style-arms entire, glabrous, the reniformcuneate stigmas toothed and ciliate; Florida.
4. S. coelestinum

1. S. longispathum (Herb.) Benth. \& Hook. f. Bulb small, ovoid, to 1 cm . diam., the tunics dark-brown, membranous, firm. Basal leaf 1 , with occasionally a reduced ( 6 cm .) sheath below the true leaf, narrowly lanceolate, acute, glabrous, plicate, $22-32$ cm. long, (2-) $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, sheathing at the base. Stem simple, terete, glabrous, about $2.6-5.3 \mathrm{dm}$. long, mostly exceeding the basal leaf, bearing at the apex a spathiform cauline leaf, $12-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the narrowly lanceolate or linear blade $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the amplexicaul base surrounding the base of the true spathes. Spathes few-flowered (to 4), 5-8 cm. long, the outer one longer or shorter than the inner, the longer often acuminate, acute. Pedicels filiform, glabrous, shorter than the spathes. Ovary glabrous, oblong, about 3 mm . long. Tepals about 3 cm .
long, 1.6 cm . wide, obovate, blue or purple-blue. Filaments $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, united at the base for $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.; anthers oblong, $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Style about 1.5 cm . long, the entire style-arms and stigmas 3 mm . long, the upper portion of the style puberulent; stigmas cuneate, conspicuously ciliate and puberulous, coarsely and erosely toothed. Capsule and seeds not seen.-Gen. Pl. iii. 695 (1883) ; Baker, Handbk. Irid. 107 (1892). Gelasine longispatha Herb. in Benth. Pl. Hartw. 53 (1840). Botherbe longispatha (Herb.) Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 564 (1861-62). Calydorea longispatha (Herb.) Baker in Journ. Bot. xiv. 188 (1876). Cardiostigma longispatha (Herb.) Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 102 (1877). Specimens seen:-MEXICO: without definite locality, Ehrenberg, no. 782 (G); Guerrero: Taxco, July 12, 1937, Ruth Q. Abbott, no. 235 (G). Michoacán: Anganguio, in pascuis montanis, 1840, Hartweg, no. 403 (NY, isotype). Jalisco: mts. near Bolaños, 6000-8000 ft., 1935, R. M. Zingg, no. 21 (F).

In view of the great variation shown by specimens, in American herbaria, which have been determined as $S$. longispathum, it has been very fortunate to have an isotype available for comparison. The original description was of a plant 16 inches tall ( 17 inches to the base of the inflorescence on the isotype), lacking cauline leaves, "ebracteato", the simple stem exceeding the single acuminate leaf, which was $3 / 16$ of an inch wide ( $1 / 16$ on the isotype), with the "outer spathe" 4.5 inches long ( 4.25 inches on the isotype), acuminate. To this, Baker added, Handbk. Irid. 107 (1892), from an examination of the type, that the anthers were $\frac{1}{6}$ of an inch (about 4.2 mm .) long, and the filaments very short. What Herbert regarded as an outer spathe, I have interpreted as a cauline leaf immediately subtending the true spathes. Similar cases have been observed in some South American specimens of other genera of the Iridaceae. The style was described as exceeding the anthers, which it certainly does on the isotype, and the stigma as obtusely trilobed, which is correct. On the whole, Herbert's description, with Baker's amplifications, is so well-supported by the isotype, is so well-matched by such specimens as $A b b o t t$, no. 235 , and is at such variance with others, such as Hinton, nos. $4614,8010,13957$, and 13996, that I cannot regard the latter as conspecific with $s$. longispathum. One specimen seen, Zingg, no. 21, is included under the species with some hesitation. It consists of five broken-off flower-clusters, of which two are quite unlike the others in several ways. It is
possible that more complete material would result in at least varietal segregation.

1a. S. longispathum var. filiforme, var. nov. A S. longispatho folio radicale et folio caulino angustiore, longe acuminato, breviore, et spathae valva exteriore longe acuminato differt.

Stem filiform, 15-26 cm. long. Basal leaf $12-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-$ 1.5 mm . wide, long-acuminate; cauline leaf $4-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 1 mm . wide, the base more spathiform than in the species. Outer spathe $3.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, very narrow above the clasping base, less than 0.5 mm . wide, long-acuminate, much longer than the inner spathe. Tepals about the same size as in the species, but possibly a deeper blue. Filaments about 3 mm . long, united at the base for about 0.5 mm ., the anthers 5 mm . long. Style 1.1 cm . long, the style-arms and stigmas 4 mm . long, with the shape and puberulence of those of the species. Specimens seen:-MEXICO. México: Dist. Temascaltepec: Crucero Agua Blanca, 3170 m. alt., llano, Aug. 30, 1933, G. B. Hinton, no. 4614 (type, G). Nayarit (Tepic): between San Blascito and Aguacata, Aug. 5, 1897, J. N. Rose (US, no. 842737).

As indicated by the diagnosis, this is a much smaller and more slender plant than $S$. longispathum, so different in its slender stiffness that it is a temptation to regard it as specifically distinct; but I find no significant differences in the technical characters of the flowers to support such a course. Until more material, both of the species and of the variety, becomes available, it seems best to leave it in its present status.
2. S. mexicanum, spec. nov. Bulbus anguste ovoideus, ad 3 cm . longus, tunicis membranaceis atrobrunneis, supra in collum productis. Folia radicalia 2, inferius vagina, ca. 5 cm . longa, superius ad 30 cm . longum, ad 6 mm . latum, inflorescentiam excedens, nervo medio marginibusque breve et crasse ciliatis, plicatum, acuminatum; folium caulinum 1 , ad 24 cm . longum, ad 4 mm . latum, inflorescentiam excedens. Caulis simplex vel 1 -ramosus, teres, glaber; inflorescentiae terminales. Spatha exterior ad $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, longe acuminata, interior ad 4.5 cm . longa, acuta, pluriflora, pedicellis filiformibus, apice curvatis. Ovarium glabrum, clavatum, 6 mm . longum. Tepala ad 3 cm . longa, ca. 8 mm . lata, ovata, vel obovata, obtusa. Filamenta libera, ad 4 mm . longa; antherae 7 mm . longae, apice subbifidae. Stylus ad 1.6 cm . longus, antheras excedens; stigmata cuneatoreniformia (vel paene obcordata), papillosa, ciliata, apice retusa. Capsula seminaque non visa. MEXICO: MÉxico: Dist. Temascaltepec: Carboneras, Aug. 20, 1935, Hinton et al., no. 8010 (TYPE, G; isotypes in F, US, MBG, NY) ; Mina de Agua, 1990 m.,

Aug. 22, 1932, Ilinton, no. 1401 (F, NY, MB(i); Bejucos, 610 m., Aug. 24, 1932, IIinton, no. 1453 (F, LS, NY, MBC).

Superficially, S. mexicanum shows considerable similarity to S. longispathum (in fact, all material seen was distributed under that name), but there are several marked differences. The basal leaves are much longer, about equalling or even exceeding the inflorescence, and are broader. Below the inflorescence, about $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$., the cauline leaf, similar to the basal leaf, is inserted, while the cauline leaf of S'. longispathum immediately subtends the spathes. In S. mexicanum, the cauline leaf is conspicuously set along the midrib and on the ribs close to the margin with a short thick ciliation; this is present, but less conspicuous on the basal leaves. Most of the isotypes show the presence of an axillary branch, at different stages of growth, in the axil of the cauline leaf. The filaments are completely free, and the cuneatereniform, almost obcordate, stigmas are virtually sessile on the style, while the stigmas are much less conspicuously puberulent than in $s$. longispathum; true style-arms, such as are found in the first species, are not present.
3. S. Hintonii, spec. nov. Bulbus ignotus. Folia basalia 0 (?) ; folia caulina 2 , sub) medio inserta, distantia vel paene opposita, ad 30 cm . longa, ad $0.7-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. lata, plicata, sparse ciliata. ('aulis simplex, teres, glaber, ad 53 cm . longus; inflorescentia terminalis. Spatha exterior ad 5 cm . longa, breviter acuminata, interior ad 4 cm . longa, pluriflora; pedicelli filiformes, apice curvati. Ovarium glabrum, clavatum, 5 mm . longum. Tepala ad 3.3 cm . longa, 1.5 cm . lata, obovata, apice obtusa. Filamenta ad 4 mm . longa, basin 1 mm . coalita; antherae 7 mm . longae, apice subretusae. Stylus ad 1.5 cm . longus; styli rami 4 mm . longi, apice profunde lateque retusi, lobis 2 mm . longis, divergentibus, ciliatis, oblongo-spathulatis, antheras excedentibus. ('apsula matura seminaque non visa-—MEXICO: Michoactán: Dist. Coalcomán: Sierra Torrecillas, 2350 m . alt., in a pine forest, July 25, 1939, Hinton el al., no. 13996 (тype, ( ${ }^{\text {; }}$ isotypes, (S, NY) ; Sierra Naranjillo, 1300 m . alt., in oak woors, July 16, 1939, Hinton el al., no. 139957 ( 1, US, NY).

Like s. mexicamm, these collections were distributed as $\mathrm{s}^{\text {s. }}$ longispathum, but the very broad, long, and sometimes nearly opposite leaves, inserted below the middle or near the base of the stem, the apparent lack of basal leaves, and the lack of a longproduced cauline leaf near or subtending the spathes-all serve
to differentiate it from the other Mexican species. The leaves are less conspicuously short-ciliate than in the case of s. mexicanum, but the ciliation is present. In addition, there is the important technical difference that the three style-arms are deeply and broadly retuse for half their length, so that a Y is formed by each style-arm. The flowers, as noted by Mr. Hinton, are blue.
4. 'S. coelestinum (Bartr. ex Willd.), comb. nov. Bulb ovoid or globose, about 1.5 cm . in diam., the tunics very dark brown. Basal leaves 1-3, with occasionally a short, brownish, reduced sheath below them, shorter than the stem, linear, attenuate, acute, plicate, glabrous, sheathing at the base, $4-22 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; cauline leaf 1 (rarely 2), reduced somewhat, sheathing at the base, $2.5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, borne above the middle of the stem and 5-9 cm. below the inflorescence. Stem simple or rarely branched, terete, glabrous, $18-36 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. Spathes closely convolute, 1-2-flowered, the outer $2.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inner 4-5.5 cm. long, both acute. Pedicels filiform, glabrous, shorter than the spathes, apically curved at anthesis, erect in fruit. Ovary glabrous, oblong-clavate, about 3 mm . long. Flowers at most only slightly zygomorphic, the subequal tepals blue-purple or violet, with a white "eye," to 3.5 cm . long, 1.7 cm . wide, obovate, or cuneate-obovate, obtuse. Filaments free, about 4 mm . long; anthers about 5 mm . long, sagittate at the base, apically somewhat retuse. Style about 8 mm . long, glabrous; the entire style-arms and stigmas about 3 mm . long; stigmas reniform or reniform-orbicular, toothed, the teeth markedly ciliate. Capsule ellipsoid or obovoid-ellipsoid, 1.5-2 cm . long; seeds dark brown, angular, about 2.5 mm . long.-Ixia caelestina Bartr. Trav. (Philad. ed.) 155, t. 3 (1791), nomen illegitimum; ex Willd. Sp. Pl. i. 200 (1797), as I. coelestina, the spelling which must be used. Trichonema coelestinum (Bartr.) Sweet, Hort. Brit. (ed. 1) 399 (1827). Marica coelestina (Bartr.) Ker, Irid. Gen. 19 (1827), at least as to name. Nemastylis coelestina (Bartr.) Nutt. in Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. v. 157 (1835), as to name, but not as to Arkansas plants cited; Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 103 (1877); Baker, Handbk. Irid. 111 (1892). Beatonia coelestina (Bartr.) Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 567 (1861-62), as to name but not as to plant. Salpingostylis coelestina (Bartr.) small in Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxii. 161 (1931). Specimens seen:-UNITED s'TATES: Florida: without locality, Mary Treat, in 1877 (G), pine barrens, East Florida, April, 1876, Mary Treat (G); Rugel, no. 53 (MBG, US); Duval or Nassau Co.: near Thomas Creek, branch of the Nassau River, Herb. Philad. Acad., no. 706650 (P) ; (lay ('o.: Creen Cove Springs, Apr. 25, 1883,

Herb. Everhart (MBG); Flemming's Island, 7 miles south of Green Cove Springs, May, 1940, Katherine B. Canova (P); between Doctor's Inlet and Orange Park, Apr. 23, 1933, Mary W. Diddell (NY); rather dry flatwoods, Doctor's Inlet, May 21, 1940, W. A. Murrill (MBG), the same locality, June 15, 1939, Murrill (MBG) ; 6.5 miles northeast of Keystone Heights, May 12, 1939, Murrill (MBG); Baker Co.: flatwoods 1 mile north of Manning, May 19, 1940, Murrill (MBG); Bradford Co.: 2 miles north of Starke, June 11, 1931, J. K. Small (NY), 3 miles north of Starke, July 30, 1931, Small (NY); Union Co.: open flatwoods of longleaf pine, north of Raiford, June 2, 1940, Murrill (MBG); Putnam Co.: 4 miles north of Palatka on Jax Rd., June 4, 1940, Murrill (MBG) ; Palatka, April, 1897, C.S.Williamson (P); originally collected by Bartram west of Kanapaha Prairie, Alachua Co. (see Harper in Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. xxxiii (2). 210 [1943]).

From the synonymy given, it can be seen that this species has a long, and rather unfortunate, nomenclatural history. Discovered by William Bartram, and reasonably well-figured in his Travels, the name given by him is illegitimate. As Rickett has pointed out, in Rhodora, xlvi. 389-391 (1944), Bartram used a mixture of binomial and polynomial nomenclature, which is forbidden by the International Rules, so that even his binomials have to be treated as illegitimate. The earliest valid use of the same name which I have found is that of Willdenow, so that the basonym should be cited as Bartr. ex Willd. Since Dr. Small has reviewed the history of the plant in detail, in Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxi. 156-161 (1931), demonstrating that it is amply distinct from Ixia, Marica (now Neomarica), Nemastylis, and Beatonia (now placed in Tigridia), it seems unnecessary to repeat these details, especially since the synonymy given here has all the required bibliographic references. As a result of his studies, especially on living material, Small erected the genus Salpingostylis to contain the plant. At the time, it seemed a final disposition of the species.

In the introductory remarks to this study, the resemblance between the styles of Salp. coelestina and Sph. longispathum was noted. The excellent photograph of the former species, in Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxiv. 4 (1935), Small's figure showing the dissected parts of the flower, in his Man. Se. Fl. 326 (1933), in addition to a few well-pressed flowers available to me, in comparison with the Mexican material of Sphenostigma, leave no
doubt in my mind that Salpingostylis must become a synonym of Sphenostigma subgenus Cardiostigma. In both cases, the flowers are semicernuous, with nearly equal tepals, the filaments nearly or entirely free, the style (pendulous and appearing unilateral because of the carriage of the flower, with a subsequent effect of zygomorphy) becoming enlarged upwards ("trumpet-shaped", as Small says), and the reniform to cuneate or suborbicular stigmas spreading on short erect style-branches, if these latter are present. Consequently, it is with regret that the species is here transferred to the seventh genus in its nomenclatural history.

## III. The Genus Rigidella Lindl.

The necessity for identifying some material of Rigidella has shown that there is some confusion as to specific identities, for most of the material in our herbaria under the name $R$. flammea Lindl. is not that species. Furthermore, the most distinctive of the three species described has sometimes been treated as a synonym of $R$. flammea, an error apparently originated by J. G. Baker. To clarify the matter, this summary is presented.

The genus Rigidella Lindl., in Bot. Reg. xxvi. t. 16 (1840), was created to contain the plant therein named $R$. flammea Lindl. Due to lack of material and an unwillingness to dissect any of the few flowers then available (see Bot. Reg. xxvi. Misc. 35, no. 64), it was characterized at first as having only three large perianthsegments, a point corrected in the Miscellany just mentioned. There, Lindley noted that there were three inner segments about 8 mm . long, "yellowish orange, ovate, unguiculate, and erect, with the sides rolled inward," these being completely concealed by the convolute claws of the outer segments.

A year later, a second species, $R$. immaculata Herb., and, five years later, a third, $R$. orthantha Lem., were described, completing the list of species. These three, found in the mountains of southern Mexico and Guatemala, are, even today, rather uncommon in herbaria.

The principal features separating this genus from Tigridia are the cernuous flowers, the compact, campanulate cup formed by the claws of the outer segments in two of the species, the very small inner tepals, concealed by the claws of the outer series, or, if apparent, closely appressed against the staminal column, and
the dorsal appendage on each style-arm below the point of bifidness, in the type-species. This appears to be lacking in $R$. immaculata and $R$. orthantha. In its erect flowers, spreading outer tepals, and lack of the dorsal appendages on the style-arms, this last species tends to break down the distinction between the two genera, but its small erect inner tepals, closely appressed, are a reason for retaining it in Rigidella.

Rigidella Lindl. Rootstock a corm with thick membranous tunics. Basal leaves several, usually broad, plicate, glabrous, acute; cauline leaves several, similar to the basal leaves, or the upper reduced, equalling or exceeding the inflorescence. Stem simple or few-branched, the inflorescences borne terminally on the stem and branches. Spathes herbaceous, large, acute, 2-4flowered; pedicels filiform, or thicker, the flowers strongly cernuous or nearly erect. Perianth-tube absent, the tepals markedly dimorphic; the three outer usually connivent by their claws into a compact cup at the base, the blades strongly reflexed, or the outer tepals spreading, the inner tepals much smaller, completely concealed by the claws of the outer and glandular on the rentral surface of the blade, or, if apparent, as long as and appressed against the staminal column. Stamens opposite the style-arms: filaments long, united in a column; anthers linear, the connective about as broad as the narrow loculi. Style as long as the column; style-arms usually shorter than the anthers, bifid nearly to the base, with or without a dorsal appendage on each arm below the point of bifidness; stigmas terminal. Capsule oblong, trigonal, glabrous; seeds subglobose, or ovoid, the raphe and chalaza very conspicuous.-Bot. Reg. xxvi. t. 16, Misc. 35, no. 64 (1840); Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 134 (1877), Handbk. Irid. 70 (1892); Diels in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 497 (1930).

Type-Species: $R$. flammea Lindl.

## Key

a. Flowers cernuous; inner tepals short ( $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$.), concealed by the base of the outer tepals.
b. Leaves broad, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$.; outer tepals with numerous short purple lines at base of blade; style-arms with dorsal appendages........................................................
b. Leaves narrower, 1 cm.; tepals unmarked; dorsal appendages absent.
2. R. immarulata
a. Flowers erect at anthesis, or nearly son; inner tepals as long as the staminal column (to 2.7 cm ), not concealed; dorsal appendages absent 3. R. orthantha

1. Rigidella flammea Lindl. Corm ovoid, to nearly 4 cm . diam., the tunies membranous, dark-brown. Basal leaves $2(-3)$,
to 6 dm . long, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, subpetiolately narrowed at the base and gradually narrowed to the acute apex, strongly plicate; cauline leaves $1-2$ (or more if the stem is branched), resembling the basal leaves, to nearly 30 cm . long, and over 1 cm . wide. Stem simple or branched, bearing a pair of 4 -flowered spathes at each apex, to 6 dm . long, or longer. Spathes herbaccous, to 8 cm. long, the outer slightly longer than the inner; pedicels recurved at the apex at anthesis, erect in fruit. Ovary glabrous, oblong, to nearly 1 cm . long. Outer tepals scarlet or flame-color, the broad claws ( 1 cm . long and 8 mm . wide) narrowed slightly at the summit, connivent, forming a campanulate cup, the blades to 3 cm . long, 1.2 cm . wide, oblong-ovate, subobtuse, strongly reflexed, with short dark stripes or dots at the base; inner tepals, $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the claw 2 mm . long and the glandular blade ovatedeltoid, acute, $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and 4 mm . wide at the base, completely concealed. Staminal column about 3 cm . long; anthers 1 cm . long. Style a little longer than the staminal column; style-arms linear, to 1 cm . long (from slightly shorter than to equalling or slightly exceeding the anthers), bifid for 7 mm ., and bearing a dorsal appendage below the sinus in each style-arm. Capsule clavate-oblong, about 2.5 cm . long; seeds subglobose.Bot. Reg. xxvi. t. 16 (1840); Paxt. Mag. vii. 247 (1840) ; Sweet, Ornam. Fl. Gard. ii. t. 131 (1854); Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 135 (1877); Baker, Handbk. Irid. 70 (1892). Specimens seen:-MEXICO: Guerrero: Mina Dist.: Teotepec, 2900 m., oak and pine forest, July 15, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 14432 (G, US, NY).

Of all the material labelled $R$. flammea in our herbaria, this is the only collection which seems actually to be that species. The flowers are so badly damaged that it is impossible to determine if the basal dark marks are present on the blade of the tepals, but it can be assigned to this species with some confidence because of the size and shape of the inner tepals, and because of the appendages on the style-arms. These seem much longer than those shown in the original figure.
2. R. immaculata Herb. Corm ovoid, to 3.5 cm . long, 1.5-2 cm . wide, the tunics brown, membranous. Basal leaves $1-2$, to 30 cm . long, 1 cm . wide, narrowed above the sheathing base, lance-linear, tapering to the acute apex, strongly plicate; cauline leaves 2 (or more if the stem is branched), resembling the basal leaves, to 25 cm . long, $7-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide. Stem simple or branched, to 4-6 dm. tall, bearing 2-3-flowered spathes at the apices of axis and branches. Spathes herbaceous, usually narrow, acuminate, acute, $6-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the outer distinctly shorter than the inner; pedicels shorter than the spathes at anthesis. Outer tepals with
claws about 1.2 cm . long, forming a cup, the reflexed blades clear unmarked scarlet, oblong-ovate, to 2.5 cm . long, 1 cm . wide, subobtuse; inner tepals yellow, nearly as long as the claws of the outer, completely concealed, unguiculate, the blade almost sagittate at the base, ovate, long-acuminate. subobtuse or acutish. Staminal column to 2 cm . long; anthers to 6 mm . long. Style as long as the column; style-arms 3 mm . long, bifid for 2 mm ., dorsal appendages lacking. Capsule oblong, $2.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; seeds ovoid, 3 mm . long.-Bot. Reg. xxvii. t. 68 , Misc. 133 (1841); Fl. des Serres (Sér. I) v. t. 502 (1849), (Sér. II) xi. t. 2215 (1875); Sweet, Ornam. Fl. Gard. ii. t. 132 (1854); Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 135 (1877), Handbk. Irid. 70 (1892). Specimens seen:-MEXICO: OAXACA: Sierra de San Felipe, 10000 ft ., June 29 (fl.), Nov. 9 (fr.), 1894, Pringle, no. 4721 (G, NY, Phil, US, MBG). GUATEMALA: Huehuetenango: near Tunimá, Sierra de los Cuchumatanes, 3300-3500 m., July 6, 1942, Steyermark, no. 48256 (F); wet meadow along creek, 2.5 miles east of San Mateo Ixtatán, Sierra de los Cuchumatanes, 2500 m ., July 31, 1942, Steyermark, no. 49885 (F); Sierra de los Cuchumatanes, along road beyond La Pradera, km. 32, alt. ca. 3300 m ., in dense limestone Juniperus forest, Dec. 31, 1940, Standley, no. 81771 (F); near crossing of Rio San Juan Ixtán, east of San Rafael Pétzal, alt. ca. 1730 m ., open oak forest, Jan. 9, 1941, Standley, no. 83028 (F); Yalambohoch, Aug. 21, 1896, C. \& E. Seler, no. 3192 (G); valley above Todos los Santos, May 29, 1906, O.F. Cook, no. 49 (US); Todos los Santos, $3000-3500 \mathrm{~m}$., June 19, 1896, C.\& E. Seler, no. 2807 ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{US}$ ) ; Sacatepéquez: slopes of Volcán de Agua, above Santa María de Jesús, 2250-3000 m., pine forest, Feb. 11, 1939, Standley, no. 65283 (F); Volcán de Agua, Nov. 22, 1937, J. R. Johnston, no. 810 (F) ; Chimaltenango: Santa Elena, 2400-2700 m., July 17, 1933, Skutch, no. 436 (US) ; slopes of Volcán de Acatenango, above Las Calderas, 2700-2900 m., Jan. 3, 1939, Standley, no. 61879 (F).

Of the Guatemalan specimens cited, the four Standley collections are in very ripe fruit, and so their identity cannot be positively established, but I feel that they are probably this species, especially since the leaves are usually quite narrow.

Baker remarked (Handbk. Irid. 70) of this: "Perhaps not distinct from R. flammea . . . " There are several points of difference which leave no doubt in my mind as to its distinctness. Aside from the generally slighter aspect of the plants, the outer spathe is shorter than the inner, the pedicels are shorter than the spathes at anthesis, elongating as the fruit matures, the inner tepals are very different in shape, having a long bluntish acumen
to the blade instead of coming abruptly to an acute point, and the dorsal appendages of $R$. flammea are not present in any of the material examined. These points, I think, are quite enough to keep $R$. immaculata separate from $R$. flammea.
3. R. orthantha Lem. Corm ovoid, to 5 cm . high, 3 cm . wide, the tunics dark-brown, thick, tough. Basal leaves several, the lower short, the upper (or inner) as tall as the stem, the largest to $1.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, glabrous, strongly plicate, acute, narrowed at the base and apex; cauline leaves several, similar to the basal leaves, $25-35 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, sheathing at base, plicate, acute. Stem branched, each branch subtended by a cauline leaf, the main axis $4.5-7 \mathrm{dm}$. tall, terete, glabrous. Spathes herbaceous, the outer somewhat shorter than the inner, $6-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, acute, $4-6$-flowered; pedicels mostly well-exserted from the spathes at anthesis, glabrous. Outer tepals to 3.5-4.5 cm . long and the blade 1.4 cm . wide, oblong-obovate, obtuse or subacute, the broad claws at first forming a cup, the entire tepals ultimately spreading broadly, orange or orange-scarlet; inner tepals to 2.5 cm . long (as long as the staminal column), briefly unguiculate, the blade about $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, contracted below the middle, expanding into a lance-linear shape, acute, erect, appressed against the staminal column. Filaments united to the apex, to $2.5-2.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; anthers to 1.3 cm . long. Style about as long as the column; style-arms bifid nearly to the base, to 8 mm . long, dorsal appendages lacking. Capsule oblong, trigonous, to 3 cm . long; seeds ovoid, to 3 mm . long.-Fl. des Serres (Sér. I) i. 107 (1845) ; Paxt. Mag. xiv. 121 (1848) ; Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 135 (1877), Handbk. Irid. 70 (1892), as syn. of $R$. flammea. Specimens seen:-MEXICO: OAXACA: northwest slope of Mt. Zempoaltepec, 8000-10000 ft., July 10, 189t, E. W. Nelson, no. 662 (US); bleak summit of Cerro Zempoaltepetl, 2500-2900 m., May 25, 1939, R. E. Schultes, no. 506 (G); Cerro San Felipe, $3000 \mathrm{~m} .$, May 22, 1898, Gonzalez \& Conzatti, no. 705 ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{US}$ ) ; Yalina to Cuarentena, 2500 m., Feb. 26, 1919, B. P. Reko, no. 4001 (US) ; rich alluviums, Sierra de San Felipe, 8500-10000 ft., June 5 (fl.), Aug. 29 (fr.), 1894, Pringle, no. 4874 (G, US, NY, Phil, MBG). Chiapas: pine forest, July and August, in the "Terre froide", 1864-70, Ghiesbreght, no. 825 (G).

It was of this species that Baker remarked in 1892 (Handbk. Irid. 70): "apparently a mere form of $R$. flammea, with shorter stouter erect pedicels." (comparison of $R$. orthantha and $R$. flammea shows numerous and marked differences, which apparently had some weight with Baker in 1877, for he then kept the two apart. In R. orthantha, the flowers are erect or nearly so, as
opposed to cernuous. The outer tepals are spreading, with the bases not convolute into a cup, although in most pressed specimens they appear to be so. This is probably due to the stage at which they were collected, for the original description says of the broad claws, "d'abord dressés et enveloppant la base du double appareil sexuel . . . " In other words, they are at first contracted into a cup and ultimately spread as shown in the original figure and in Paxton, both plates being drawn from living material. In any case, whether the basal cup is present or not, the two species can be distinguished at a glance by the long, narrow, visible inner tepals of $R$. orthantha, as opposed to the short, hidden tepals of $R_{\text {e }}$ flammea. It is as unlike that species as possible, and should certainly be kept distinct, as Diels, in fact, has done, in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 497 (1930).

## IV. Notes on Mastigostyla Johnston

The genus Mastigostyla was erected by Johnston, in Contrib. Gray Herb. lxxxi. 85 (1928), to contain a Peruvian irid with a remarkable style-structure, M. cyrtophylla Johnston. Two years later, in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 498 (1930), Diels reduced the genus to synonymy under Cypella, transferring the single species to that genus. In this, he has been followed by Macbride, in Field Mus. Bot. xiii (part 1, no. 3). 716 (1936). After a re-examination of the type of $M$. cyrtophylla, I am unable to accept this disposition of the genus, a conclusion strengthened by a study of the type-photograph of the species here described as M. Hoppii, and of dissections from the other species also described as new.

In his discussion of the new genus, Johnston (l.c.) laid most stress on the wing-margined style-branches, each arm deeply bifid, each portion continued beyond the stigma in a flagellum. This is important, but it can, to some extent, be approximated in some undoubted material of Cypella from Argentina, Lossen, no. 543 , in which the flagelliform style-crests are about 5 mm . long. More important, to me, is the fact that in Mastigostyla the style-arms are bifid to a point well below the insertion of the stigmas, thus making it appear as if the stigmas were inserted above the base of the style-crests.

The type-species of the genus Cypella, C. Herberti (Lindl.) Herb., has extremely short stamen-filaments which are united for much of their length. Of the other species of the genus known to me, most have longer filaments, united for only a short distance at the base. Consequently, the appearance of a long (8-12 mm.) staminal column in Mastigostyla is important. Moreover, in the species of Cypella known to me, the anthers are attached by their apices to the style-arms just below the stigmas. In Mastigostyla, the anthers are free from the style.

Another point which is certainly worthy of consideration is the shape of the flower. That of Cypella is more or less crateriform, with at least the outer tepals spreading widely from a broad, shallow, basal cup. In Mastigostyla, a rudimentary perianthtube is present, the tepals then erect, so that their claws form a narrow infundibuliform base to the flower. Further, the inner tepals are much more reduced than is true in Cypella. On the basis of these characters, it seems to me, Mastigostyla should be retained as a distinct genus.

One additional point can be cleared up. In his original description, Johnston stated that he was unable to determine whether the stamens were opposite or alternate with the stylearms, tending to think the latter condition true. A flower from Weberbauer, no. 6838, which had not been too severely pressed was dissected. The style-arms and anthers were relatively undistorted and showed beyond question that the stamens were opposite the style-arms, not alternate with them.

Mastigostyla Cardenasii, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus, 2-2.5 cm . altus, 1.5 cm . diam., tunicae atrobrunneae, membranaceae, supra in collum productae. Folia basalia 1-2, 10-22 cm. longa, $0.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, lineari-attenuata, glabra; folium caulinum unicum, ramum subtendens, basi spathiforme caulem amplectens, ad 24 cm . longum, 2 mm . latum, acutum, glabrum, inflorescentiam terminalem excedens. Caulis 1 -ramosus ad basin, $8-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus (supra terram), teres, glaber. Spathae herbaceae, 3-4-fl., exterior basi marginibus $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. coalitis, $3.7-4.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, acuminata, interior marginibus latis papyraceis hyalinis, 4.24.8 cm . longa, acuta; pedicelli filiformes, quam spathas breviores. Ovarium ellipsoideo-obovoideum, glabrum, ca. 8 mm . longum. Tepala exteriora atrocoerulea, unguiculata, obovata, obtusa, ad 3 cm . longa, 1.6 cm . lata; tepala interiora reducta, ca. 8 mm . longa, 2 mm . lata, lanceolata. Staminum columna $8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$.
longa, filamenta supra libera $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.; antherae lineares, 6 mm . longae. Stylus ca. 1.2 cm . longus; styli rami et appendices saltem 1 cm . longi, bifidi saltem 5 mm ., longitudinus flagellorum incertus. Capsula seminaque non visa.-PERU: Cuzco: Saxaihuamán, 3400 m . alt., March, 1943, Martín Cárdenas, no. 2337 (TyPe, G).

In habit, this species is easily distinguished from both $M$ cyrtophylla and the next species to be described, M. Hoppii. The former is branched well above the base and the basal leaf is reduced to little more than a sheath; its anthers are sessile on the column. The latter is a much smaller plant, unbranched, with the compact inflorescence immediately subtended by two cauline leaves. Because of the scarcity of materials, I am unable to be positive about the inner tepals of M. Cardenasic; in the two flowers available to me, they appear to be considerably reduced. The style-crests became somewhat damaged in dissection, but the remnants indicate that they are shorter and broader than is the case in $M$. cyrtophylla, probably lacking the true flagellar development of that species. The new species is named for its collector, the distinguished Bolivian botanist, Professor Martín Cárdenas, who has sent many interesting Bolivian and Peruvian plants to the Gray Herbarium.
M. Hoppii, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus vel subglobosus, 1.5-2 cm . altus, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latus, tunicae atrobrunneae. Folium basale unicum, quam caulem brevius, $3.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, vaginante; folia caulina 2, inflorescentiam subtendens, inferius ad 18 cm . longum, basi spathiforme amplectens, lamina lineari-attenuata, acuta, glabra, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, folium superius simile, $2.5-7$ cm . longum, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latum. Caulis simplex, teres, glaber, $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus, inflorescentia terminalis, compacta. Spathae herbaceae, exterior ad 2 cm . longa, acuta, interior ad 2.5 cm . longa, obtusa, 2-4-fl., pedicelli quam spathas breviores. Ovarium oblongum, glabrum, ca. $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longum. Tepala exteriora violacea, ad 2.5 cm . longa, obovata, unguiculata, lamina ca. 8-10 mm . lata, obtusa; tepala interiora probabiliter reducta, quam exteriora valde minora. Staminum columna ad 1 cm . longa; styli rami et appendices saltem 7 mm . longi. Capsula oblongoellipsoidea, trigona, ca. 1 cm . longa; semina atrobrunnea, angulata, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa.-Cypella Hoppii Diels ex Macbride in Field Mus. Bot. xiii (part 1, no. 3). 717 (1936), without Latin diagnosis, and therefore invalid. Specimens seen:-PERU: Arequipa: Arequipa [before Dec. 1925], Hopp (type, Berlin, not seen; photo, G) ; open gravelly soil, above Arequipa, 2500-2600 m., Apr. 7-16, 1925, Pennell, no. 13173 (G).

This distinct little species has an unfortunate nomenclatural history. Named for the collector by Professor Diels, the binomial apparently was never published until Macbride did so in 1936, crediting it to Diels. Since there was no Latin diagnosis given, this binomial is invalid. Although the type has presumably been destroyed, there is an excellently clear photograph of it (Field Mus. Neg. 11094), from which measurements of some of the floral parts can be safely taken. The one point which is not certain to me is the size of the inner tepals; these may be similar to those of $M$. cyrtophylla, or they may be even more reduced. It is obvious from the photograph that the long stamen-filaments are united in a column and the anthers free from the style, so that there is no doubt as to the genus to which it belongs. The Pennell specimen cited here is in fruit, but its size, short stem, most of which is clearly subterranean, and the cauline leaves immediately subtending the spathes warrant assigning it to this species, rather than to $M$. cyrtophylla, under which it was cited by Johnston and by Macbride.
M. Johnstoni, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus, 1-2.5 cm. altus, 6-10 mm. diam., tunicae atrobrunneae, membranaceae. Folia basalia 0 , vel vagina unica reducta ad basin caulis; folium caulinum unicum, infra inflorescentiam 4-8 cm., lanceolato-lineare, basi attenuatum, acutum, plicatum, glabrum, saltem 15 cm . longum, $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latum. Caulis simplex, teres, glaber, $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus. Spathae herbaceae, exterior $3.4-4.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, acuminata, acuta, interior $3.2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, subobtusa, retusa, $1-3-\mathrm{fl}$., pedicelli $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longi. Ovarium ellipsoideum, glabrum, ad 8 mm . longum. Flores violacei; tepala exteriora saltem 1.8 cm . longa, longe unguiculata, lamina obovata (?), saltem 6 mm . lata, interiora valde reducta, $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, ca. 2 mm . lata, ovatooblanceolata, acuta, unguis perbrevis tumescens, fortasse glandulosus. Staminum columna 6 mm . longa; antherae 5 mm . longae, in columnam paene sessiles. Stylus columnam longitudine aequans; styli rami et appendices saltem 6 mm . longi, rami 3 mm . integri, deinde bifidi. Capsula matura seminaque non visa.-ARGENTINA: Tucumín: Dep. Chichigasta: Las Pavas, 3200 m . alt., December, 1926, S. Venturi, no. 4636 (type, G).

It gives me much pleasure to name this remarkable species, which so greatly extends the range of the genus, for Dr. I. M. Johnston who first recognized the generic distinctness of the original Peruvian species. From M. cyrtophylla and M. Cardenasii, M. Johnstoni is easily separated by its smaller flowers, with
ovate to oblanceolate inner tepals, narrower, longer, fewerflowered spathes, with the flowers long-pedicellate, and by the shorter stylar appendages. It is unlikely to be confused with the dwarf M. Hoppii, with most of its stem subterranean and its cauline leaves immediately subtending the spathes.

## Addendum

Just before this manuscript was sent to the printer, there was received from the United States National Herbarium a sheet of Cárdenas, no. 2491, collected at 3900 m. alt. at Ansaldo, Cochabamba, Bolivia. Although it bears an unpublished name in another genus, I can find nothing to separate it from the type of Mastigostyla Cardenasii from near Cuzco, Peru. The flowers of this example are beautifully preserved, and all important details can be ascertained without dissection, a most unusual state of affairs! The inner tepals are about 5 mm . long and 1 mm . wide, narrowly elliptic-lanceolate. There is a definite perianthtube, 2 mm . long. The style-arms and appendages (crests) are 1 cm . long, the bifid part being 5 mm . long. The stigmatic projections are clearly shown and are about 1.5 mm . long. The crests above the stigmas are about 2 mm . long, the earlier conjecture that they were "shorter and broader than is the case in M. cyrtophylla, probably lacking the true flagellar development of that species" being fully supported.

## V. A Revision of the North American Species of Nemastylis Nutt.

Even a cursory study of Nemastylis suffices to show that the genus, as currently interpreted, is an agglomeration of discordant elements. In fact, even in its origin, it was a mixture, at least nomenclaturally. When Nuttall described it, in 1835, he included two species which were truly congeneric, but, through an error, the first of these was incorrectly identified with and based nomenclaturally upon Ixia coelestina Bartr. ex Willd., although the plant actually described had nothing to do with that species. It has been shown in a previous section of this study that $I$. coelestina belongs in the genus sphenostigma, and the transfer has been made. Britton and Brown, Illust. Fl. (ed. 2) i. $5+1$ (1913), unfortunately selected $N$. coelestina as the type of the genus

Nemastylis. If their choice were to stand, the awkward situation would then be created of having the nomenclatural type of Nemastylis transferred to another genus. Fortunately, however, Britton and Brown's typification cannot stand. In Bot. Mag. lxvi. sub t. 3779 (1840), Herbert discussed the question of whether N. coelestina and N. acuta (Barton) Herb. were actually congeneric. The latter name, incidentally, was used by Herbert in place of $N$. geminiflora Nutt., his action being correct at that time. Expressing doubt of the propriety of placing the two species in the same genus, he then named $N$. acuta as "the type of the Genus", thereby antedating Britton and Brown by seventy-three years and preventing a nomenclatural upheaval which would follow, were the action of the later authors accepted without question.

To the original members of the genus, described by Nuttall in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. v. 157 (1835), two more were added in 1840 by Herbert (1. c.), N. purpurea and N. coelestina var. tenuis. In 1876, Baker erected the genus Chlamydostylus, in Journ. Bot. xiv. 185, with four species, one of which was South American, the others being C. tenuis (Herb.) Baker, C. multiflorus Baker, and $C$.cernuus Baker. The genus Chlamydostylus was reduced (as Chlamydostylis) to outright synonymy under Nemastylis by Bentham and Hooker, Gen. Pl. iii. 696 (1883), no transfers being actually made. The necessary transfers were made by Baker, Handbk. Irid. 112-114 (1892), who accepted the reduction but treated Chlamydostylis (for he accepted the correction of the spelling, as well) as a subgenus of Nemastylis, a course followed by subsequent workers. The canon established by Baker in 1892 included seventeen species. Since that year, nine more species have been described and several older names have been transferred to Nemastylis, some of these replacing names originally given in that genus.

The systematic position of the genus in the family has been accepted, relatively without question, for nearly seventy years. Baker, in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 74 (1877), assigned it to the group of genera including Calydorea and Eleutherine. Pax, in Engl. \& Pr. Nat. Pflanzfam. ii (5). 148 (1888), juggled genera to some extent, but continued to associate Nemastylis with Gelasine, Calydorea, and Cipura. Baker, in 1892, specifically included the
genus in the tribe Sisyrinchieae, defining the tribe, in part, by "Style-branches alternate with the anthers." This was accepted by Diels, in Engl. \& Pr. Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 469 (1930).

If this disposition of the genus is accepted, then many of the species which both Baker and Diels have assigned to Nemastylis cannot be keyed to it by either of their keys. This group includes (to name only North American species) N. Bequaertii Standl., N. brunnea S. Wats., N. latifolia Weatherby, N. Lehmannii Standl., N. multiflora (Baker) Baker, N. Seleriana Loes., $N$. silvestris Loes., N. triflora Herb., and N. versicolor S. Wats. All these species have the style-arms incompletely bifid, and any reasonably careful dissection shows that the anthers are opposite the incompletely bifid style-arms, not alternate with them. Thus, if Baker and Diels are followed, a considerable portion of the North American species would belong in another tribe, the Moraeeae.

Following this discovery, two questions naturally arose. 1: Are the style-arms of the remaining North American species completely or incompletely bifid? 2: Are the stamens really alternate with the style-arms in these species? Many dissections have been made, and apparently both questions must be answered in the negative. In some individuals of $N$. geminiflora, the division seems almost complete, but in others it is clearly not complete. In $N$. tenuis, $N$. floridana, $N$. caerulescens, and $N$. Nuttallii, for example, the style-arms are definitely not completely bifid in the individual flowers examined. It is this fact which first suggested a negative answer to the second question, for in these dissections, the incompletely bifid style-arms could be seen clasping the anthers. Undistorted flowers or bud-dissections show this beyond question, but still more evidence is available. The stamen-filaments in $N$. tenuis, for example, are united into a column. Favorable material, in which the color has been removed by boiling, shows the vascular system of the column and of the style. It is possible to tear a strip from the column by pulling an anther directly downward, thus disclosing the undivided portion of the style. In this, sometimes even by reflected light, a vascular strand can be seen opposite each stamen. This strand divides at the apex of the style, and the two parts pass into the two halves of the incompletely bifid style-arm clasping
the stamen. It seems to me that this evidence warrants the conclusion that Nemastylis does not belong in the Sisyrinchieae, but in the Moraeeae, being related to Alophia and Tigridia.

Closely connected with the problem of the systematic position of the genus in the family is the question of the circumscription of the genus. As a result of the present study, it has seemed desirable to return to the earlier conception of Nuttall, excluding a rather large proportion of the species which have been assigned to Nemastylis by later workers. These which are excluded will probably be transferred to Tigridia or to some segregate of that genus; no actual transfers will be made here for that would be incorrect before Tigridia and its allies are studied in detail. By thus limiting the concept of Nemastylis to the species with a flat, rotate perianth, the tepals being subequal, the style filiform and shorter than or rarely equal to the slender, subulate or canaliculate, divergent style-arms, the anthers with a narrow connective and coiling downwards from the apex with age, but exceeding the style-arms-by this means, a clear, well-defined, and homogeneous group is established. The excluded species have broadly campanulate to crateriform perianths, the tepals varying from subequal to markedly dimorphic, the style much longer than the style-arms, these often with tooth-like projections beneath or at the sinuses, the anther-connective usually broad, and the anthers seldom coiling downward from the apex.

## Synoptic Treatment

Nemastylis Nuttall. Herbaceous perennials, with an ovoid or subglobose bulb. Leaves linear to lance-attenuate, or lanceensiform, often plicately nerved. Stems simple or branched. Spathes herbaceous, 1-several-flowered. Ovary small, oblongellipsoid, subclavate, or subturbinate. Flowers erect or somewhat nutant, rotate, regular, fugacious, the tepals very briefly united at the base, equal or subequal. Stamens inserted on the tepals near the base, the filaments free or more or less united; the anthers longer than the filaments, with a narrow connective, coiling downward from the apex with maturity. Style equal to or usually shorter than the style-arms, the three style-arms bifid almost to the base, opposite the stamens; the stigmas apical, subcapitate or tufted, or sometimes minutely two-parted. Capsule more or less oblong-ellipsoid, opening by six deltoid teeth at the apex; seeds yellow- or dark-brown, irregularly closely pitted, about 2 mm . long, angular.-Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. v. 157
(1835). Nemostylis [variant spelling employed by] Herbert in Bot. Mag. Ixvi. sub t. 3779 (1840). Chlamydostylus Baker in Journ. Bot. xiv. 185 (1876).

Type-species: $N$. geminiflora Nutt.
A small genus confined to the New World; represented in the area under discussion by four species and four varieties. In view of the homogeneity of the group, no subgenera or sections are felt to be necessary.

## Key

a. Cauline leaves well-developed, $5-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; filaments free or only slightly connate at the base................1. N. geminiflora
a. Cauline leaves reduced, or if developed, narrow, 1-4 mm. wide; filaments wholly or partially united (except in no. 4c).
b. Filaments united in a column.
c. Fall-blooming; $4-15 \mathrm{dm}$. tall; inflorescence often complexly branched; Florida.
2. N. floridana
c. Spring- or summer-blooming; to 4 dm . tall; inflorescence simple or branched (not complexly).
d. Plant (2-) $3-4 \mathrm{dm}$. tall, almost never branched; Missouri, Arkansas, Oklahoma.
3. N. Nuttallii
d. Plant rarely over 3 dm . tall; Mexico.
e. Plant $15-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. tall; style-arms ca. $4 \mathrm{~mm} .$. ....... 4. N. tenuis
e. Plant 2-9 cm. tall; style-arms ca. 2-2.5 mm........4. 4 . N. tenuis var. nana
b. Filaments free, in part.
f. Filaments united $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$., less than half their length; tepals ca. 2 cm . long.
g. Tepals slightly puberulent internally at the base; filaments united $1-2 \mathrm{~mm} . . . . . . .$. .................4b. N. tenuis
var. cuerulescens
g. Tepals glabrous; filaments almust free...............4c. N. tenuis
f. Filaments united 3-4 mm., over half their length; tepals
ca. (2-) 3 cm . long
4d. N. tenuis var. Pringlei

1. Nemastylis geminiflora Nutt. Bulb broadly ovoid to subglobose, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, the brittle, membranous brown tunics prolonged upward into a collar around the base of the stem and leaves. Basal leaves $2-3,20-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, or longer, 3-6 mm. wide, glabrous, plicate, lance-linear or narrowly linearensiform; cauline leaves $2-3,15-35 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $5-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, at least one of them usually much exceeding the inflorescence, the upper one somewhat reduced. Total height of plant $12-46 \mathrm{~cm}$., the stem terete, glabrous, sometimes branched near the base, usually several-branched above the middle. Spathes herbaceous, unequal, the outer $2-4.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inner $3.5-4.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, (1-) 2-flowered, the pedicels filiform, glabrous, not well-exserted at anthesis. Ovary ovoid-turbinate, glabrous, to 4 mm . long. Tepals subequal, to 3 cm . long, 1.7 cm . wide, ovate-obovate, obtuse or acutish, blue (lighter at the base, giving the effect of
an "eye"). Filaments free or only slightly connate at the base, to 2.5 mm . long; anthers to 1.5 cm . long. Style to 3 mm . long; style-arms to 5 mm . long. Capsule 1.5-2 cm. long, well-exserted, oblong-turbinate; seeds angular, brown.-Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. v. 157 (1835) ; VanHoutte in Fl. des Serres (Sér. II) xi. 45, t. 2171 (1875). Ixia acuta Barton, Fl. N. Am. ii. 89, t. 66 (1822), not I. acuta Lichtenst. in Roem. \& Schult. (1817). Nemastylis acuta (Barton) Herb. in Bot. Mag. lxvi. sub t. 3779 (1840); Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 103 (1877), in Bot. Mag. cix. t. 6666 (1883), Handbk. Irid. 111 (1892); Small, Fl. Se. U. S. 292 (1903), in large part; Small in Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxii. 261-262, fig. 1 (1931); Small, Man. Se. Fl. 326 (1933); Dormon, Wild Fls. La. 27, t. 6 (1934). Beatonia coelestina (Bartr.) Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 567 (1861-62), as to plant in part, but not as to name. Gelasine? texana Herb. in Bot. Mag. lxvi. sub t. 3779 (1840). Calydorea texana (Herb.) Baker in Journ. Bot. xiv. 188 (1876); Handbk. Irid. 109 (1892). Representative material:-UNITED STATES: Missouri: Franklin Co.: Gray's Summit, May 15, 1926, J. M. Greenman, no. 4492 (MBG); Washington Co.: moist rocky hills, Cotosi, May-June, collector not named (F); St. Genevieve Co.: Bloomsdale, May 6, 1928, J. H. Kellogg, no. 1666 (MBG); Iron Co.: dry exposed hill, Areadia, April, 1900, C. Russell (MBG); Cass Co.: rocky slopes, Prairie, June, 1865, G. C. Broadhead (MBG). Arkansas: "from near Fort Smith on the Arkansas to the banks of Red River," Nuttall (type, P; isotype, NY); Red River, Nuttall (P); Hampstead Co.: sandy woods, Fulton, Apr. 22, 1914, E. J. Palmer, no. 5347 (MBG), same locality, Apr. 23, 1905, B. F. Bush, no. 2403 (G, MBG); Miller Co.: Texarkana, August, 1898, Heller (NY). Louisiana: Caddo Co.: Shreveport, Mrs. Lilian H. Trichel (NY, photo); Nachitoches Co.: Ashland, heavy clay soil, Apr. 16, 1930, Caroline Dormon (NY), dry woods, May, 1930, Dormon (NY); Nachitoches, upland woods, Apr. 24, 1915, E. J. Palmer, no. 7363 (MBG, P, US). Kansas: Greenwood Co.; May 1, 1879, G. C. Broadhead (MBG); Wilson Co., 1896, W. H. Haller (in Pl. Kansas), no. 828 (G, NY, MBG, US) ; Cowley Co., May, 1898, Mark White (MBG); Winfield, 1901, M. S. Carter (P); Sumner Co.: near Genda, May 1, 1887, T. Rassler (US). Окlahoma: Osage Co.: grassy prairie at Camp MeClintock, May 10, 1941, T. Johnson, no. 86 (O); Rogers Co.: Catoosa, May 8, 1895, B. F. Bush, no. 1166 (G, NY, MBG); Tulsa Co.: low marshy spot, rich black sandy loam, 4 miles southeast of Tulsa, on Katy R. R., May 10, 1941, H. A. Hawk, no. 13 (O); Creek Co.: Sapulpa, Apr. 29, 1895, B. F. Bush, no. 969 (MBG) ; clay soil prairie, 7 miles west of Depew, Apr. 29, 1939, U. T. Waterfall, no. 912 (NY); Okmulgee Co.: Okmulgee, Apr. 29, 1891, M. A. Carleton, no. 97 (US); Pittsburgh Co.,

May, 1935, J. E. McClary (O); Atoka Co.: Limestone Gap, Apr. 9-11, 1903, H. A. Pilsbry (P), prairies 10 miles north of Limestone Gap, Apr. 23, 1877, G. D. Butler, no. 11507 (MBG); Pushmataha Co.: lowland, Kiamichi Mts., Apr. 9, 1928, Phyllis Draper (O); Choctaw Co.: Fort Towsen, 1884, Dr. Edwards (NY) ; Bryan Co.: vicinity of Durant, 1931, W. L. Blain, no. 10 (U'S); Payne Co.: Stillwater, May 19, 1899, E. E. Bogue (MBG, P); Pottawatomie Co.: prairie east of Tecumseh, Apr. 22, 1932, E. D. Buckley, no. 67 (O); roadside, clay soil, Wanette, Apr. 25, 1936, M. Faulkner, no. 4 (O) ; Murray Co.: Platt National Park, Apr. 12, 1935, Merrill \& Hagan, no. 109 (US), May 8, 1935, Merrill, no. 337 (NY), Sulphur, Apr. 9, 1935, Merrill, no. 103 (O); Love Co.: prairie, along railroad track, near Mariette, Apr. 18, 1913, G. W. Stevens, no. 81 (G, MBG, NY); Caddo Co. ?: on the False Washita, between Ft. Cobb and Ft. Arbuckle, 1868, E. Palmer, no. 336 (US) ; Comanche Co.: vicinity of Ft. Sill, May 16, 1916, Mrs. J. Clemens, no. 11538 a (MBC). Texas: Fannin Co.: Bonham, May 1, 1896, Mrs. J. M. Milligan (US); Lamar Co.: 2.75 miles north of Paris, May 21, 1937, V. L. Cory, no. 23089 (G); Young Co.: rough stony hillside, 1 mile southwest of Alney, Apr. 1, 1938, W. L. McCart, no. 856 (NY); Collin Co.: along railroad track, 5 miles south of Celina, Apr. 14, 1939, McCart, no. 1562 (NY); Van Zandt Co.: Van Zandt, Apr'. 29 (no year given), J. B. Henderson, no. 5700 (US); Dallas Co.: rich prairies, near Dallas, May, June, Reverchon in Curtiss, no. 2861* (G, US, F, NY), same locality, Apr. 15, 1900, B. F. Bush, no. 603 (MBG, US, NY) ; Tarrant Co.: valley of the Trinity, near Fort Worth, Apr. 10, May 15, 1913, A. Ruth, no. 271 ( MBG ; other specimens of this number, with different dates, NY, US, F) ; Shackelford Co., Apr. 1, 1883, G. W. Holstein (P); Johnson Co.: prairies near Bushman, June 13, 1898, H. Eggert (MBG) ; Nacogdoches Co.: "Nagadoches", Dr. Leavenworth (NY); San Augustine Co.: San Augustine, (f. L. Crocket (US); Anderson Co.: grassy places near Long Lake, June 9, 1899, Eggert (MBG); Brown Co.: limestone barrens, Brownwood, Mar. 31, 1917, E. J. Palmer, no. 11431 (G, MBG, US, NY); McLennan Co.: Waco, L. Pace, no. 206 (MBG); Burnett Co., August, 1892, F. (r. Schaup (NY); Williamson Co.: dry woods, Georgetown, March, 1890, J. E. Bodin, no. 269 (US); Bell ('o.: low prairie near Holland, May 13, 1931, S. E. Wolff, no. 2920 (US) : Robertson Co.: Calvert, Mar. 27, 1886, F. J. H. Merrill (NY); Walker Co.: 14 miles southwest of Huntsville, Mar. 11, 1934, Cory, no. 7831 (G); Montgomery Co.: moist sand, Willis, Mar. 10, S. R. Warner, no. 15 (MBG); Washington Co., Miss Hobart (G) ; Travis Co.: Austin, 500 ft . alt., Apr. 19, 1930, (r. L. Fisher, no. 101 (F); near Barton Creek, Austin, Apr. 18, 1928, E. J. Palmer, no. 33409 (C); Austin, Apr. 8, 1922, Tharp, no.

2824 (US) ; Hays Co.: San Marcos, spring of 1898, S. W. Stanfield. (NY); Gillespie Co.: Big Branch, G. Jermy, no. 332 (MBG); 8 miles north of Fredericksburg, on Highway 16, Apr. 14, 1941, Innes \& Warnock, no. 776 (G); Comal Co.: New Braunfels, April, 1851, Lindheimer, no. 562 (G, MBG); Harris Co.: wet prairies, Houston, Apr. 12, 1872, E. Hall, no. 638 (G, F, MBG, NY, US); Galveston Co.: Galveston Bay, spring, 1834, Drummond, III, no. 415 (type of Gelasine? texana, not seen; photo, NY; isotypes, G, NY, P) ; Jackson Co.: prairie banks, Ganado, Mar. 6, 1916, E. J. Palmer, no. 9075 (US, MBG); Victoria Co.: prairies near Victoria, Apr. 6 \& 8, 1900, Eggert (MBG); Bexar ('o.: San Antonio, Apr. 29, 1911, Mr. \& Mrs. J. Clemens, no. 142 (MBG); prairies, San Antonio, March, 1884, Havard, no. 35 (G, US); Kleberg (Co.: Kingsville, Mar. 27, 1920, M. M. High, no. 77 (MBG). One specimen in the New York Botanical Garden, collected by Dr. I. Eights, has a label stating that it came from East Tennessee. No other material from Tennessee has been seen, and the correctness of the label may be questioned for the time being.
The earliest specific name for this plant, acuta, was, unfortunately, a later homonym in Ixia, in which it was first described, and since there is a valid synonym available, it is necessary to apply the binomial $N$. geminiflora to this species.

To the synonymy usually given, there have been added, with little hesitation, the names Gelasine ? texana Herb. and Calydorea texana (Herb.) Baker. Although the actual type of Herbert's species is at Kew, and has not been available to me, there is a photograph of it at the New York Botanical Garden, with a memorandum by Mr. N. Y. Sandwith, dealing with several questions raised by Dr. J. K. Small. In addition, four sheets of the type-number have been studied. When Herbert described his new species as a doubtful Gelasine, he stated at the beginning: "Nem. acutae affinis." The critical question is whether the style-arms are entire or bifid, and on this, too, Herbert hedged somewhat, by means of parentheses, saying "antheris stigma tenuiter et suberecte (ni fallor in sicco) trilobum superantibus." Concerning this matter, Mr. Sandwith stated, in the memorandum mentioned, after an examination of the type and an isotype at Kew, that of the three specimens on the type, one was damaged, a second seemed to have undivided style-arms, and the third unquestionably had divided style-arms, as did the isotype. He noted that the style-arms had the characteristic blue tips of

Nemastylis, and expressed serious doubt that the style-arms of the second specimen on the type were really simple. The photograph of the type is certainly $N$. geminiflora in habit. All four sheets of Drummond, no. 415, which I have studied are $N$. geminifora. In one flower only, there appear to be simple stylearms, but this flower shows evidence of damage to the style, and the anthers are disposed in such a way that they may very well cover portions of the style. Furthermore, on more than one occasion, in studying this species, I have felt that the style-arms were simple in some individuals, only to find, on dissection, that the branches were truly bifid, the portions having been concealed by the anthers.

Additional confusion was added by Engelmann and Gray, Pl. Lindh. 27 (1845), who endeavored in a footnote to distinguish Alophia from Herbertia, and who noted that "Under No. 415, we have Nemostylis acuta (geminiflora Nutt. Ixia acuta, Barton,) as well as Gelasine Texana. In the latter the filaments are certainly monadelphous, and the style has two or three short and simple lobes." One sheet of Drummond, no. 415 , in the Gray Herbarium, bears a single flower in a pocket labelled, by Gray, Gelasine Texana, a similar flower being found on the New York sheet of this number. The filaments of the flower in this pocket are monadelphous, but after patient dissection it was found that two style-arms had been concealed by the loculi of anthers, while the third had been drawn out of position and was adhering to the staminal column and part of an outer tepal. On straightening them, it was found that one arm was broken short, and the other two were bifid near the apex, while the inner tepals were much shorter, darker, and different in shape from the outer tepals. In other words, this single flower is Alophia Drummondii ${ }^{1}$. Incidentally, Herbert stated in the original

[^13]description: "Filamenta in sicco libera." Since Drummond, III, no. 414, is Alophia Drummondii, it is quite possible that some mixture occurred in sorting, as Engelmann and Gray suggested. In any case, the evidence seems to point most strongly to the suggestion that Herbert was misled by appearances, made no dissection, and redescribed $N$. geminifora in another genus. Baker annotated the type as Calydorea texana, but after experience with a number of Baker's types, which showed no sign of dissection when they were received (thus accounting for the fact that many of them were described in the wrong genera), I am not unduly impressed by his opinion that it belongs in ("alydorea. It is beyond question that all of Drummond, no. 415 , seen by me, with the exception of single flowers in pockets on two herbarium sheets, is $N$. geminiflora.
2. N. floridana Small. Bulb ovoid or globose, to 2.5 cm . high, the dark brown membranous tunics sometimes prolonged upward in a short collar around the base of the stem and radical leaves. Basal leaves about 3, lance-attenuate, shorter than the inflorescence, or about equalling it, to 11.4 dm . long, $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, plicate, glabrous; cauline leaves $2-4$, the longest to 60 cm . long, 7 mm . wide, linear to ensiform, plicate, the upper ones reduced progressively. Stem (below the inflorescence) $4-15 \mathrm{dm}$. (fide Small), terete, glabrous, few- to many-branched at the apex, in a loose inflorescence, the branches often filiform. Spathes 2-flowered, the outer $1.2-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inner about twice as long, closely convolute; pedicels glabrous, exserted at anthesis. Oavery glabrous, turbinate-clavate, about 4 mm . long. Tepals subequal, to 2 or 2.5 cm . long, nearly 1 cm . wide, obovate-elliptic, obtuse or subacute, concolorous or lighter at the base, violetpurple. Filaments united, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; anthers about 7-9 mm . long. Style 2 mm . long; style-arms 5 mm . long (sometimes longer). Capsule obovoid, to 1.3 cm . long; seeds light red-brown, 2 mm . long, angular, D-shaped, irregularly pitted.-Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxii. 266, fig. 2 (1931) ; Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxiv. 1-5, fig. 1 (1934); Man. Se. Fl. 326 (1933). Specimens seen:-UNITED STATES: Florida: Volusia ('o. : 12 miles east of New Smyrna, Oct. 9, 1934, Mary F. Baker (US); marshes 7 miles west of New Smyrna, Sept. 19, 1931, Small, Lord d. West (NY); near Ormond, Deland Road, Oct. 6, 1943, Eileen $H$. Butts (G); Hawkinsville, Sept. 2, 1910, S. C. Hood (MBG); Daytona, Aug. 18, 1896, H. J. Webber, no. 457 (MBG); Lake ('o. ?: along St. Johns River, on the ('heney-Dixie Highway, Sept. 24, 1927, Burger \& West (NY); Seminole Co.: low pine-
lands, Celery Delta, near Sanford, Oct. 1931, S. Rapp (NY); low pinelands, Sanford, Sept. 1931 (NY); Orange Co.: flatwoods in low places along State Road no. 8, about 2 miles from Ocean City, July 31 (fl.), Sept. (fr.), 1931, McFarlin, no. 6325 (NY); Brevard Co.: swamp, Okeechobee region, Sept. 10, 1903, Fredholm, no. 6001 (G) ; wet ground, Eau Gallie, Indian River, Sept. 18, 1896, A. H. Curtiss, no. 5777 (G, NY, US) ; wet hammock near Courtenay, Merritt Island, Sept. 18, 1931, J. K. Small (NY, US) ; Merritt Island, Sept. 18, 1931, Small, Lord \& West (NY) ; marshes west of Indian River City, Sept. 19, 1931, Small, Lord \& West (NY).

This interesting and long-unrecognized species has had its history well-told by Dr. Small in the papers referred to above. It is apparently endemic in the upper coastal region of eastern Florida, and is the only species of the genus to be found in that state. There is little chance that it will be confused with any other iridaceous plant in its native home, for it is a fall-bloomer, while the plant with which it has been confused in herbaria, Sphenostigma coelestinum (Bartr. ex Willd.) R. C. Foster, is a spring-bloomer.
3. N. Nuttallii Pickering in herb., nom. nov. Bulb ovoid to subglobose, about $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, the tunics dark brown to chestnut brown. Basal leaves $2-3$, the lowest the shortest, $15-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-3.5(-5) \mathrm{mm}$. wide, linear-ensiform, longacute, glabrous, the wider ones somewhat plicate, rarely equalling or exceeding the inflorescence; cauline leaves 2 , the lower 9-23 cm . long, to 4 mm . wide, sometimes exceeding the inflorescence, the upper reduced, $1.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, rarely with a branch in its axil. Stem simple, (20-)30-40 cm. tall, very infrequently 1 -branched above the middle, terete, glabrous, with the axis terminated by a 1- (rarely 2-) flowered pair of spathes. Spathes closely convolute, equalling or somewhat exceeding the pedicel at anthesis, glabrous, the outer $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inner $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. Ovary $4^{-5} \mathrm{~mm}$. long, glabrous, turbinate to subclavate. Tepals subequal, to 2 cm . long, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, ovate to obovate, rather obtuse, or subacute, blue, concolorous (?). Filaments $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, united in a column; anthers about 5 mm . long. Style 2 mm . long, usually slightly longer than the staminal column; stylearms 2 mm . long. Capsule more or less oblong-clavate, trigonous, 2 cm . long; seeds dark red-brown, closely pitted, angular, to 2 mm . long. - N. coelestina (Bartr. ex Willd.) Nutt. in Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc. v. 157 (1835), as to plant described, but not as to name; Small, Fl. Se. U. S. 292 (1903), in part; N. acuta ( $N$. geminifora) of authors probably includes some material of this species. Specimens seen:-United States: Missouri: Dallas

Co.: Niangua River, $1 / 2$ mile upstream from mouth of Donisenburg ('reek, 5 miles southwest of Long Lane, Aug. 5, 1937, Steyermark, no. 24244 (MBC, F); Laclede Co.: Highway 66, June 3, 1938, George Moore (F); Wright Co., without locality, June 25, 1888, F. Bush (US); headwaters of Bryant Creek, 2 miles southeast of Cedar Gap, July 28, 1937, Steyermark, no. 23726 (G, F, MBG) ; Webster Co.: along Niangua River, 2 miles southwest of Forkner's Hill, July 29, 1937, Steyermark, no. 23818 (MBG, F) ; Christian Co. : 4 miles east of Garrison, July 11, 1937, Steyermark, no. 23272 (MBG, F) ; Taney Co.: Swan, June 9, 1898, B. F. Bush, no. 182 (G, US, NY, F, MBG); Stone Co.: along Piney Creek, 4 miles southwest of Cape Fair, near the Barry Co. line, June 22, 1937, Steyermark, no. 22580 (MBG, F); Barry Co.: Eagle Rock, Aug. 14, 1905, B. F. Bush, no. 3240 (MBG); bluffs along King's River, north of Allen Ford, 4 miles southwest of Viola, June 21, 1937, Steyermark, no. 22559 (MBG, F); McDonald Co.: Anderson, July 24, 1892, B. F. Bush (MBG). Arkansas: without definite locality, but probably near the Red River, Nuttall (Type, P; tracing in G); near the Red River, Nuttall (P). Oklahoma: Muskogee Co.: without definite locality, E. L. Little, Jr., nos. 1745,1747 (O); Atoka Co.: Limestone Gap, June, 1875, G. D. Butter (MBG), same locality, May 22, 1877, G. D. Butler (MBG, F).

For some time, this species has passed in herbaria or in the literature either as $N$. coelestina or $N$. acuta. It has been shown (see Small in Journ. N. Y. Bot. Gard. xxxi. 155-161 (1931) and Section II of the present study) that the former plant is not a Nemastylis and that it is confined to Florida. From N. acuta, properly N. geminiflora, N. Nuttallii differs in its few, narrow leaves, its rarely-branched stem, with the upper cauline leaf bract-like, the usually smaller flowers with shorter styles and style-arms, the filaments united, and the rather longer and more oblong capsule, which is narrower in proportion to its length.

The existence of this species as an entity distinct from $N$. geminiflora had been suspected from a few specimens and from a tracing in the Gray Herbarium. Additional material confirmed this, and in the material borrowed from the Philadelphia Academy two Nuttall collections were found, one being that from which the tracing in the Gray Herbarium had been made. This bears the label, in Nuttall's hand, "N. *Nuttallii C. P. Ark. Nutt.", with an additional label in the hand of Sereno Watson, saying, "I do not know what this is. There is nothing like it
in herb. Gray, \& it seems to be undescribed." It is this specimen which has been selected as the type. Apparently, Nuttall realized that his material of Nemastylis included two distinct species, one of which Pickering named in his honor. Before publishing on his Arkansas material, however, Nuttall must have concluded that the plant named for him was conspecific with Ixia coelestina Bartr. ex Willd., for he suppressed Pickering's herbarium name. This supposition is supported by the second Nuttall specimen at Philadelphia, for this was originally labelled Ixia coelestina, with Nemastylis Nuttallii C. P. substituted. It would appear that Nuttall twice changed his mind as to the identity of his plant.

Few of the specimens seen, except those of Dr. J. A. Steyermark, have data as to habitat. From these exceptions, however, the following points may be noted: "under cedar on limestone glade" (no. 22559); "acid open slopes bordering cedar woods" (no. 22580); "limestone barren" (no. 23272); "cherty open slopes above limestone slopes" (no. 23726) ; "cherty open woods above limestone glade" (no. 23818); "in chert at zone of contact above limestone glade" (no. 24244). It is to be noted, too, that the Butler specimens from Oklahoma came from, or near, Limestone Cap. Possibly, Steyermark, no. 5305 (F, MBG), from Big Cedar Hollow, 4 miles south of Ocie, 6 miles southeast of Protem, Taney Co., Missouri, collected on cherty wooded slopes, below limestone glade and above limestone woods, Apr. 30, 1938, belongs to $N$. Nuttallii, but the specimens lack flowers and fruit; the leaves, however, resemble those of his no. 22580, which is assigned to this species.
4. N. Tenuis (Herb.) Baker. Bulb ovoid to subglobose, to 2.5 cm . high, 2 cm . wide, the castaneous or dark brown tunics prolonged upward in a collar around the base of the stem. Basal leaves 2-3, linear, filiform, acute, to 25 cm . long, $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, often scabrous on the margins and on the midrib; cauline leaf usually somewhat reduced, to $6-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. Stem $15-30$ cm . long, terete, glabrous, simple or few-branched. Spathes unequal, the outer $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, acute, the inner to 2.5 cm . long, 1 - or rarely 2 -3-flowered, the pedicels included at anthesis or slightly exserted. Ovary glabrous, ovoid or ellipsoid, to $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Tepals subequal, to 1.5 cm . long, $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide (occasionally much larger), obovate, obtuse, blue. Filaments entirely united, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; anthers $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, sometimes dis-
tinctly apiculate. Style as long as the column; style-arms to 4 mm . long. Capsule oblong-ellipsoid or turbinate, to 1.5 cm . long; seeds more or less pyriform, dark brown, 2 mm . long.Baker, Handbk. Irid. 112 (1892), incorrectly attributed to Benth. N. coelestina var. tenuis Herb. in Bot. Mag. lxvi. sub t. 3779 (1840). Chlamydostylus tenuis (Herb.) Baker in Journ. Bot. xiv. 185 (1876) ; Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 107 (1877). Material seen:-MEXICO: Chimuahua: near Colonia Garcia in the Sierra Madres, 7500 ft . alt., Townsend \& Barber, no. 255 ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MBG}, \mathrm{US}, \mathrm{NY}$ ) ; foothills of the Sierra Madre near Colonia Juarez, June, 1899, E.W. Nelson, no. 6164 (US, NY). Coahuila: Sierra de Santa Rosa, Hillcoat Mesa, west of Encantada Ranch, July 25, 1938, E. G. Marsh, no. 1467 (G). Nuevo Leon: Guajuco, March, 1880, E. Palmer, no. 2008 (G). Aguascalientes: in pascuis Aguas Calientes, 1839, Hartweg, no. 229 (isotypes, (i, NY). México: Valley of Mexico, near Guadelupe, Sept. 23, 1903, Rose \& Painter, no. 7297 (US; intermediate to var. nana); near Santa Fé, 1905, Rose \& Painter, no. 8663 (US); near Tlalnepantla, July 6, 1905, Rose, Painter \& Rose, no. 8413 (US). Puebla: vicinity of Puebla, Teponuchitla (?), July 16, 1910, Arsène (coll. F. Nicolas), no. 5309 (US). Jalisco: grassy slopes of the barranca of Guadalajara, 4500 ft . alt., July 31, 1902, Pringle, no. 11190 (G, US, MBC, NY) ; hills near Guadalajara, June 28, 1889, Pringle, no. 2916 ( $\mathbf{(})$; damp thin soil near Guadalajara, July 17, 1893, Pringle, no. 4462 (i, US, NY, MBC, P); near Guadalajara, Sept. 30, 1903, Rose \& Painter, no. 7460 (NY, US) ; road between Mesquitac and Monte Escobedo, Aug. 26, 1897, Rose, no. 2600 (US; intermediate to var. nana) ; in grassy openings, Rio Blanco, July, 1886, E. Palmer, no. 165 ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{US}, \mathrm{NY}$ ) ; Sierra de San Esteban, 5700 ft . alt., Sept. 28, 1908, Barnes \& Land, no. 179 (F). GUATEMALA: Dept. Santa Rosa: Santa Rosa, 3000 ft . alt., June, 1892, J. D. Smith, Pl. Guat. (leg. Heyde \& Lux), no. 3532 (US); Dept. Jalapa: dry rocky pine-clad hills, between Monjos and Jalapa, about 10 km . south of Jalapa, 1000 m . alt., Nov. 29, 1939, Steyermark, no. 32618 ( F ; in fruit, and determination not certain); mountains along road between Jalapa and San Pedro Pinula, 1400-1800 m. alt., Nov. 12, 1940, Standley, no. 77014 (F).

Consideration of the various species of Nemastylis (sensu stricto) which have been described from Mexico leaves the feeling that specific lines have been drawn with undue fineness. Since $N$. tenuis is the oldest binomial in the complex, that has been retained and three others have been reduced to varietal status under it. The lines of differentiation are by no means so sharp in all cases as might be desired, and in a sense these varieties are
perhaps better regarded as trends or tendencies. Even within what has been taken as $N$. tenuis in the restricted sense, there is still a high degree of polymorphism, the chief variations being in size of plant, size of flower, and degree of branching, but not in technical characters of style and stamens.

Two of these variations might be noted here. The first is a rather large-flowered form in which the tepals are much longer and wider (to $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long) than usual. This is found in Durango: vicinity of the city of Durango, Apr.-Nov. 1896, E. Palmer, no. 332 ( C , US, MBG, F) ; Otinapa, July 25-Aug. 5, 1906, E. Palmer, no. 428 (G, MBG, F, US). The second variant is one in which the branches are more or less fascicled in the axils of spathiform bracts. Here, too, however, the technical characters of style and stamens are unaltered. This is found in Nuevo Leon: near Monterey, Dr. Edwards (NY); Diente Canyon, mountains near Monterrey, July 18, 1933, C. H.\& M.T. Mueller, no. 5 ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{F}$ ) ; and in Tamaulipas: El Gavilan, vicinity of San José, 1700 ft . alt., July 1, 1930, H. H. Bartlett, no. 10005 (F, US).

4a. N. tenuis var. nana (S. Wats.), comb. nov. Bulb ovoid, to 1.5 cm . high, 1 cm . wide, the dark brown tunics somewhat prolonged upward in a collar around the base of the stem. Basal leaves $2-3,6-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, linear or linear-subulate, often exceeding the inflorescence, glabrous or coarsely scabrous on the midrib and margin, especially near the base; cauline leaf $1,6-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, usually much exceeding the inflorescence. Stem simple, or occasionally 1 -branched, $2-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, terete, glabrous, terminated by a 1 -flowered pair of spathes. Spathes usually closely convolute, unequal, the outer $1.3-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, gradually acuminate, the inner 1.7-2.3 cm . long, abruptly acute; pedicel filiform, included. Ovary to 5 mm . long, glabrous, oblong-ovoid. Tepals subequal, $1-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, narrowly oblong-lanceolate or obovate, obtuse, pale blue (sometimes darker ?). Filaments united, 1-2.5 mm . long; anthers $3.5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Style as long as the column; style-arms 2-2.5 (rarely 3) mm. long. Capsule oblong-ovoid, 6 mm . long; seeds dark brown, 1.5 mm . long, ovoid. - N. nana S . Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. xviii. 160 (1883); Baker, Handbk. Irid. 112 (1892). Material seen:-MEXICO: San Luis Potosí: in montibus Escabrillos, 1876, Schaffner, no. 539 ((i); region of San Luis Potosí, 22 N. Lat., $6000-8000 \mathrm{ft}$. alt., 1878 , Parry \& Palmer, no. 887 (type, (x). Hidalgo: between Pachuca and

Real del Monte, July 19, 1905, Rose, Painter \& Rose, no. 8064 (US). Tlaxcala: in sand near river, 13 km . northeast of Tlaxcala, 7800 ft . alt., July 20, 1942, J. N. Weaver, no. 815 (G); Cantadero, Aug. 3, 1901, Rose \& Hay, no. 5955 (US). México: Dist. Temascaltepec: Limones, in oak woods, 910 m . alt., Sept. 12, 1933, Hinton, no. 4741 (G, US, NY); Valley of Mexico, near Santa Fé, Aug. 23, 1903, Rose \& Painter, no. 6524 (US). Puebla: Nuria Atoyac, vicinity of Puebla, June 20, 1910, Arsìne (coll. F. Nicolas), no. 5202 (US). OAxaca: Cerro San Antonio, 1700 m. alt., June 26, 1906, Conzatti, no. 1402 (G).

In the original description, it is stated that the color of the flower is greenish-white, but unless some information was available then which is not available to me at present, it seems more probable that the color was a pale blue or bluish-white, and that the greenish tinge was produced in drying. Separation of this variety from $N$. tenuis is not altogether satisfactory, but in general the plants are quite dwarf, with much of the short stem underground, and most or all of the leaves exceeding the 1flowered inflorescence.

4b. N. tenvis var. caerulescens (Greenm.), comb. nov. Bulb buried 5-10 cm., ovoid to subglobose, $1.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, $1-2.5$ cm . wide, the dark brown tunics produced upward in a long collar around the base of the stem and leaves. Basal leaves several, the outermost reduced to sheaths, the 1 or 2 produced leaves $10-26 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, usually exceeding the inflorescence; cauline leaf reduced to a spathiform bract, 3-6.5 cm . long. Stem to 22 cm . long, sometimes simple, but usually branched above, terete, glabrous. Spathes unequal, the outer $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inner longer, 2 -flowered; pedicels not greatly exserted at anthesis. Ovary to 3 mm . long, turbinate-clavate, glabrous. Tepals somewhat unequal, the outer unguiculate, to 2 cm . long, 1 cm . wide, the inner to 1.8 cm . long, $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, ovate or obovate, rather obtuse, white or pale bluish-white, slightly puberulent within above the base. Filaments basally united for $1-1.5(-2) \mathrm{mm}$., the free portion $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; anthers 1 cm . long. Style $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; style-arms $3.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Capsule obovoid, $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; seeds angular, yellowbrown, finely and subregularly pitted, 2 mm . long. $-N$. caerulescens Greenm. in Proc. Am. Acad. xxxii. 296 (1897). Material seen:-MEXICO: Morelos: dry gravelly soil, at 5200 ft . alt., near Cuernavaca, June, 1896, Pringle, no. 6324 (type, G; NY, US, MBG, P) ; grassy slopes of barranca of Cuernavaca, June 18, 1904, Pringle, no. 13218 (G, F, US); Cuernavaca, July 7, 1900, C. C. Deam, no. 42 (G, F, US) ; Cuernavaca, May 27-30, 1899, Rose \& Hough, no. 4425 (US).

This variety, which is apparently narrowly endemic in the region of Cuernavaca, is more sharply defined than some of the other varieties of $N$. tenuis. It can easily be distinguished from the typical state by the fact that its filaments are free for more than half their length, and by the slight puberulence on the lower part of the inner surface of the tepals. It is distinctly shorter and often more branched than the two succeeding varieties of $N$.tenuis.

4c. N. tenuis var. Purpusii, var. nov. Bulbus ovoideus vel subglobosus, ad 2 cm . altus, 1.5 cm . latus. Folia basalia 1-2, (5-) 10-30 cm. longa, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, linearia, acuta, basi marginibus et nervo primario subscabridis vel glabris; folium caulinum unicum, $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum. Caulis $13-28 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus, simplex vel fere ramosus, glaber, teres. Spathae inaequales, interior longior; exterior 2-3.3 cm. longa, acuta vel acuminata, interior 2.3-3.8 cm. longa, acuta, 1- (raro 2-) fl., pedicellus plerumque anthesin exsertus. Ovarium glabrum, oblongo-ellipsoideum, ca. 4 mm . longum. Tepala subaequalia, ad 2 cm . longa, $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, oblongo-obovata, obtusa, pallide coerulea. Filamenta $2-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, basi libera vel 1 mm . coalita; antherae ca. 7 mm . longae. Stylus $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. longus; styli rami $4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longi. ('apsula seminaque non visa.- MEXICO: San Luis Potosí: Minas de San Rafael, Bargre, May, 1911, Purpus, no. 5396 (тype, G; MBG, NY, US, F) ; same locality, July, 1911, Purpus, no. 5723 (G, F, MBG, US, NY).

This is to be distinguished from var. caerulescens by the glabrous tepals and by its usually much greater height. It differs from var. Pringlei in its branching, which is almost always present, and by the nearly free filaments.

4 d. N. tenuis var. Pringlei (S. Wats.), comb. nov. Bulb ovoid or subglobose, ca. 1.5 cm . wide and $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, the tunics dark brown. Basal leaves 2-3, 6-28 cm. long, 1-2.5 mm. wide, linear, acute, coarsely scabrous on the midrib and margins, especially near the base; cauline leaf $1,6-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2(-3)$ mm . wide, scabrous like the basal leaves. Stem simple or occasionally 1-branched, $12-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, terete, glabrous. Spathes unequal, the outer to 2.5 cm . long, the inner to 4 cm . long, glabrous, 1- (rarely 2-) flowered, the pedicels shorter than the spathes at anthesis. Tepals subequal, to 3 cm . long, but usually about 2 cm ., to 9 mm . wide, the inner slightly shorter and narrower, oblong-ovate or oblanceolate, obtuse, pale blue. Filaments $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, united at the base for $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$.; anthers $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Style usually shorter than the staminal column; style-arms to 3 or 3.5 mm . long. Capsule oblong-ellipsoid, to
2.5 cm . long; mature seeds not seen.- $N$. Pringlei S. Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. xxiv. 85 (1889); Baker, Handbk. Irid. 112 (1892) ; Johnston in Journ. Arnold Arb. xxv. 80 (1944). Material seen:-UNITED STATES: Arizona: Cochise Co.: Huachuca Mts., 1882, Lemmon, no. 3110 (G); Garden Canyon, Huachuca Mts., 5900 ft. alt., Aug. 2, 1938, R. H. Peebles, no. 14072 (US). Texas: Jeff Davis Co.: rocky open ground in canyons, Davis Mts., June 12, 1926, E. J. Palmer, no. 30821 (MBG); upper Limpia Canyon, Davis Mts., 2100 m. alt., July 28, 1936, L. C. Hinckley (G). MEXICO: Сhinuahua: Majalca, 8500 ft . alt., H. LeSueur, no. Mex-151 (G, F) ; Culebra Mts., 8500 ft. alt., Aug. 18, 1936, LeSueur, no. 583 (F); Dist. San Nicolas, in clay, 24 miles east of Cuauhtémoc, 6800 ft . alt., July 27, 1937, Shreve, no. 8045 (F, US); gravelly plains near Cusihuiriachic, Aug. 27, 1887, Pringle, no. 1378 (G, NY, US, MBG, P). Coahuila (or Chifuafua ?): wet rocky hillside at El Tule, southern foothills of Sierra Hechiceros, about 24 km . north of Castillon, June 13, 1941, R. M. Stewart, no. 498 (G). Cult. MBG from Horsford's bulbs, June 25, 1891 (MBG no. 209546).

In the original description, the following statement occurs: "Collected by Mr. C. G. Pringle in 1887 (n. 1378) in the mountains of Chihuahua in fruit, and described from plants in flower at the Cambridge Botanic Garden in July, 1888." Unfortunately, a search in the (iray Herbarium for pressed specimens of these "plants in flower" has been unsuccessful, so that it must be concluded that there is no longer a type-specimen for $N$. Pringlei. Among the material received from the Missouri Botanical Garden, there was a cultivated specimen from Horsford's bulbs, Pringle himself having probably been the the original source. This apparently was photographed before it was prepared for the herbarium, for there is an excellent photograph, said to be natural size, corresponding to the specimen. If the supposition is correct that Horsford's material came from Pringle, then this specimen is the most nearly authentic material now available for the plant in flower, just as Pringle, no. 1378, is the standard for fruiting material.

## Excluded Species

Although the present treament includes only the North American species of Nemastylis, most of the South American species could be included in this list. It seems only too probable that a monograph of the South American species of the genus would
consist of the following statement: "There are no South American species of Nemastylis."
N. Bequaertii Standl. in Journ. Arnold Arb. xi. 47 (1930).
N. brunnea S. Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. xxv. 162 (1890).
N. coelestina (Bartr. ex Willd.) Nutt. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. v. 157 (1835), as to name but not as to plant, = Sphenostigma coelestinum (Bartr. ex Willd.) R. C. Foster.
N. Dugesii S. Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. xxiv. 86 (1889).
N. flava Robinson in Proc. Am. Acad. xxix. 323 (1894).
N. latifolia Weatherby in Proc. Am. Acad. xlv. 423 (1910).
N. Lehmannii Standl. in Field Mus. Bot. iv. 199 (1929).
N. multiflora (Baker) Baker, Handbk. Irid. 114 (1892).
N. punctata (Herb.) Hemsl. Biol. Centr.-Am. Bot. iii. 329 (1884).
N. purpurea Herb. in Bot. Mag. lxvi. sub t. 3779 (1840) $=$ Eustylis purpurea (Herb.) Engelm. \& Gray.
N. Seleriana Loes. in Verh. Bot. Ver. Brand. lviii. 137 (1917).
$N$. silvestris Loes. in Fedde, Repert. Spec. Nov. xvi. 200 (1919).
N. trichantha (Baker) Diels in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 473 (1930).
N. triflora Herb. in Benth. Pl. Hartw. 95 (1842).
$N$. versicolor S. Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. xxii. 456 (1887).

## VI. Miscellaneous Diagnoses and Notes

Cypella Rosei, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus vel subglobosus, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. altus, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. latus, tunicae atrobrunneae, sursum in collum brevem vix productae. Folia basalia 3-5, 2-3 exteriora brevia, ad 8 cm . longa, plerumque vaginantia, 1-2 interiora ad $16-31 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, $2.5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, glabra, plicata, lineari-attenuata; folia caulina $2,3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, plus minusve spathiformia. Caulis teres, vel vix sulcatus, glaber, $17-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus, 2-3ramosus, inflorescentiae terminales. Spathae herbaceae, convolutae, inaequales, exterior 2.2-3.1 cm. longa, interior $3-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, 1-2-fl., pedicelli filiformes, ad 3 cm . longi. Ovarium oblongum vel turbinatum, 4 mm . longum, glabrum. Tepala subinaequalia, exteriora longiora, ad 3 cm . longa, ca. 1 cm . lata, longe unguiculata, obovata, interiora paullo breviora et angustiora. Filamenta libera, ad 7 mm . longa; antherae oblongae, ad 7 mm . longae. Stylus 1 cm . longus; styli rami et cristae ca. 5 mm . longi, cristae lineari-petaloideae. Capsula seminaque non visa. MEXICO: Sinaloa: between Concepcion and Rosario, Aquizanca, July 5,1897, J. N. Rose, no. 1538 (TYpe, US, no. 300382 ); Rosario, July 23, 1897, Rose, no. 3256 (US).

Although this is the first published record of the occurrence of this genus in Mexico, its presence in that country had previously
been noted by Mr. C. V. Morton in his determinations of the Iridaceae collected by the late Mr. G. B. Hinton. Among his specimens there were several which proved to represent an undescribed species of Cypella. The present species differs from the unpublished one in having much larger, lighter-colored flowers. The style and style-arms and -crests, the anthers and filaments, likewise, are much longer.

Among some material of Mexican Nemastylis, one specimen proved, on dissection, to be a Cypella, which should probably be associated with the type of C. Rosei. The flower is slightly smaller, but in virtually every other respect, they are similar. Unfortunately, the style-arms became somewhat broken in dissection, but the style is about 1 cm . long, and the crests appear to have been at least 4 mm . long, so that the probability is strong that this specimen is $C$. Rosei. This example came from Guerrero: Mina dist.: Anonas, 300 m . alt., in wet sand, July 27, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9163 (G).

Cypella Herrerae Diels ined., spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus vel subglobosus, ad 2.5 cm . altus, 2 cm . diam. Folia basalia 1-2, linearia vel lanceolato-linearia, ad 4.8 dm . longa et $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, plerumque breviora et angustiora, glabra, acuta; folia caulina 1-2, $7-21 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, spathiformia, basi vaginantia, lamina lineari-attenuata, 1 mm . lata. Caulis simplex vel $1-2$ ramosus, teres, glaber, ad 50 cm . longus. Spathae herbaceae, ad 5 cm . longae, exterior acuminata, interior acuta, pluri-fl., pedicelli anthesin non exserti. Ovarium turbinato-clavatum, glabrum, 8 mm . longum. Tepala exteriora violacea, ovata vel obovata, subacuta, ad 4 cm . longa, 1.5 cm . lata; tepala interiora pallidiora, panduriformia, unguis latus apicem versus contractus, inter sinus luteo-barbata, lamina parva, tepalum totum ca. 3 cm . longum, 5 mm . latum. Filamenta 8 mm . longa; antherae 6 mm . longae. Stylus 1.5 cm . longus; styli rami et cristae 4 mm . longi. Capsula ellipsoideo-oblonga, ad 2 cm . longa; semina tenuia, brunnea, 2 mm . diam.-Diels ex Macbride in Field Mus. Bot. xiii (part 1, no. 3). 717 (1936), invalid because lacking Latin diagnosis. Specimens seen:-PERU: Cuzco: Sacsahuamán, 3500 m. alt., March, 1929, Herrera, no. 2348 (type, Berlin, not seen; photo, (4), 3600 m . alt., April, 1932, Herrera, no. 3504 (US); Sacsahuamán, above ("uzco, $3500-3600 \mathrm{~m}$. alt., Apr. 24, 1925, Pennell, no. 13570 (G, US); faldas del cerro del Recoleta, Cuzco, 3350 m . alt., March, 1927, Herrera, no. 1506 (G); Colina de Kénko, vicinity of Cuzco, March, 1937, César V'argas, no. 192 (C); Cuzco, 3000-3600 m. alt., July, 1935, Herrera (US, nos. 1190008 and 1190009).

Since this binomial has already appeared in print, it has seemed advisable to validate it by giving a Latin diagnosis. The type, which was at Berlin, has presumably been destroyed, but an excellent photograph (Field Mus. Neg. 11093) is available. Many of the details in the description given here have been drawn from the other specimens cited.

Calydorea guatemalensis (Standl.), comb, nov. Eleutherine guatemalensis Standl. in Field Mus. Bot. iv. 200 (1929).

In Eleutherine, the inflorescence appears pseudolateral, because it is usually somewhat deflexed and then ascending in the axil of a subtending cauline leaf. The integuments of the bulb are thick and purple or purple-brown on the exterior of each layer. The style-arms are more subulate than linear and are broadest at the middle or near it. In E. guatemalensis, however, the inflorescence is clearly terminal, with the nearest cauline leaf some distance below it on the stem. The tunics are thin, brown or blackish-brown. The style-arms are linear and are apparently of the same width throughout. These are characters of Calydorea, and accordingly the species is transferred to that genus.

Calidorea approximata, spec. nov. Cormus ovoideus, ad 1.5 cm . altus, ca. 1 cm . diam., tunicis membranaceis brunneis summo in collum brevem productis. Folia basalia 2-4, 3.5-14 cm . longa, $0.4^{-1} \mathrm{~mm}$. lata (plerumque quam 1 mm . minora), acuta, glabra; folium caulinum unicum, basem spatharum anplectens, $4-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, erectum vel paullum divergens, lamina lineari-attenuata, $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata. Caulis simplex, filiformis, glaber, $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus. Inflorescentia terminalis, spathae $1-\mathrm{fl} . ;$ spatha interior $1.4-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, exterior quam interiorem ad 8 mm . brevior, herbaceae, acutae, pedicelli filiformes, quam spathas anthesin breviores. Ovarium $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longum, oblongum, glabrum. Tepala subinaequalia, exteriora ad 1.5 cm . longa, 4 mm . lata, interiora ad 1 cm . longa, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, subobovata, obtusa, coerulea (?), basi pallidiora. Filamenta 2.5 mm . longa; antherae $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longae, senectute spiraliter tortae. Stylus 2.5 mm . longus; styli rami $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longi. ('apsula seminaque non risa. BOLIVIA: TariJa: Toldos, bei Bermejo, 1850 m . alt., Dec. 5, 1903, Ficbrig, no. 2344 (Type, G).

The type-collection, of which two sheets have been available, was distributed under the name Calydorea azurea Klatt. A photograph of the type of that species, Lorentz, no. 135, from

Concepcion del Uruguay, is before me. It shows two specimens, the left-hand one being about 25 cm . tall, with a simple stem, the basal leaves destroyed, and two cauline leaves present, the lower being 2 mm . wide, and the upper a much reduced bract-like leaf about 3.5 cm . below the inflorescence. The other specimen is larger, branched, with the hasal leaves at least 4 mm . wide, and the spathes 2 -flowered; the upper cauline leaf in this, too, is about 3.5 cm . below the inflorescence. It is obviously quite unlike the new species.

A later hand has annotated Fiebrig, no. 234t, as Calydorea campestris (Klatt) Baker. The original description of this species cited four Brazilian collections; the first of these, Sello, no. 4730 , from São Paulo, has been photographed as the type, and since it agrees reasonably well with the original description, it may be so accepted. It shows a plant very similar to the Fiebrig specimens, with the obvious difference that the cauline leaf is inserted on the stem about $1.5-2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. below the inflorescence. The photograph is so clear that measurements of some of the floral parts can be made and used in connection with the original description. The outer tepals are larger, $1.4-1.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the style about 1.5 mm . long, and the style-arms 3.5 mm . long. These are less striking differences than that of the location of the cauline leaf, but, taken in conjunction with that, they are, it seems to me, enough to warrant the description of a new species. It should be noted that the location of the cauline leaf contradicts the generic character given for Calydorea by Baker, Handbk. Irid. 108 (1892), a characterization supported by the type-species and all other species in the genus known to me. Nevertheless, the plant is unmistakably a Calydorea. The specific name given refers to the closeness of cauline leaf and spathes.

Calydorea xiphioides (Poepp.) Espinosa in Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. xxri. 18 (1922). Sisyrinchium xiphioides Kuntze ex Poepp. in Froriep, Notiz. (Ser. I) xxiii. 277 (1829), as syn. of S. grandiflorum Poepp.; Poepp. Fragm. 4 (1833), validated. As. grandiflorum Poepp. in Froriep, Notiz. (Ser. I) xxiii. 277 (1829), not (avanilles (1788). S. speciosum Hook. in Bot. Mag. lxiv. t. 3544 (1837). Calydorea speciosa (Hook.) Herb. in Bot. Reg. xxix. Misc. 85 (1843). Botherbe bulbosa Steud. ex Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 562 (1861-62).

Since this combination was published in a volume which, for some reason, appears non-existent in this country, it seems desirable to repeat it, as well as the next combination given here, with a complete synonymy. As a point of interest, it might be noted that of the three collections cited by Klatt under his Botherbe bulbosa, one was listed as "ab Besser no. 162." In the Bernhardi Herbarium, now incorporated in the Herbarium of the Missouri Botanical Garden, there is an old specimen (MBG, no. 209553) numbered 162, and annotated as follows: "Coll. Poeppig. Chili." This is a slender and unbranched example of C. xiphioides, and may well be the collection cited by Klatt, although possibly not the actual specimen.

Alophia Lahue (Mol.) Espinosa in Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. xxvi. 9 (1922). Ferraria Lahue Molina, Sagg. Stor. Hist. Chil. (ed. 2) 110 (1810).

Like the previous combination, this has been generally unknown, and for the same reason. In addition to that fact, it is worth mentioning here because the plant is usually identified as Alophia pulchella (Sweet) Benth. \& Hook. f. From that species it differs, however, in its smaller flowers, with very much smaller inner tepals, and in its smaller size, generally. With regard to the nomenclature of $A$. pulchella, this combination was actually made, not implied, by Bentham \& Hooker f., Gen. Pl. iii. 692 (1883), who wrote: "A. pulchella, nob., includit Herbertiam pulchellam, Sweet, et $H$. lineatam, Klatt." The combination was subsequently remade by Otto Kuntze, Rev. Cen. Pl. iii. 304 (1898), but this was superfluous, and he should not be cited as the author of the combination.

Sphenostigma Penlandianum, spec. nov. Bulbus ovoideus, ca. 2.5 cm . altus, 2 cm . latus, tunicis membranaceis, brunneis. Folium basale unicum, lineari-attenuatum, ad 36 cm . longum, 4 mm . latum, glabrum, plicatum, acutum; folia caulina 2 , inferius 21-35 (?) cm. longum, $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latum, superius reductum, $5-8$ cm . longum. Caulis simplex, teres, glaber, ad 42 cm . longus. Spathae herbaceae, $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longae, exterior quam interiorem brevior, ca. 7 -fl., pedicelli anthesi spathas aequantes. Ovarium 6 mm . longum, glabrum, ellipsoideo-clavatum. Flores violacei, tepala basi lutea, lamina cum macula alba coeruleo-marginata; tepala exteriora saltem 1.5 cm . longa (ad $2 \mathrm{~cm} . ?)$, ca. 1 cm . lata, obovata, obtusa; tepala interiora breviora, saltem 5 mm . lata, oblongo-cuneata, apiculata, apiculus 1 mm . longus, unguis
brevis cum macula cuneata, glandulosa. Staminum columna ca. 5 mm . longa; antherae in columna sessiles, 3 mm . longae. Stylus et columna aequilongi; styli rami et stigmata petaloidea, magna, late cordato-sagittata, lateribus involutis, multo laceratofimbriata, pars centralis apiculatus. Capsula seminaque non visa. ECUADOR: Prov. Bolívar: Hacienda Talahua, 3200 m . alt., May 1, 1939, C. W. Penland \& R. I. Summers, no. 604 (type, G).

This extremely interesting species, which belongs to the subgenus Comphostigma Baker, was originally determined, without adequate dissection, as Nemastylis Pearcei Baker ?. Having made the original misdetermination, I am glad to make amends by naming the plant for Professor Penland, who very kindly sent the material to the Gray Herbarium. Of the other two species in the subgenus, one, $S$. boliviense Baker, differs in having inner tepals of a very different shape, slightly apiculate (this detail being taken from an isotype in the Gray Herbarium), and in having relatively simple, cuneate-reniform style-arms; the second, S. Spruceanum, which is from Ecuador, is described as having very small inner tepals, the staminal column 3 mm . long, and the style-arms small, cuneate. The new species has exceedingly striking, large, petaloid, deeply lacerate-fimbriate, infolded stigmas, their appearance being so extraordinary that at first it was difficult to believe the plant could be a Sphenostigma.

Orthrosanthus chimboracensis var. exsertus, var. nov. A specie capsulis exsertis differt. MEXICO: Federal District: on the sides of ravines near Eslaba, May 27, 1904, Pringle, no. 8827 (TYPE, G; flowers and young fruit); shaded banks near Eslaba, 8000 ft . alt., Nov. 17, 1903, Pringle, no. 11707 (G; mature fruit). MÉxıco: Dist. Temascaltepec: Cumbre-Gavia, in pine forest, Jan. 19, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 8835 (G); Comunidad, Apr. 8, 1932, Hinton, no. 500 (G); same locality, in pine woods, 2480 m . alt., Mar. 17, 1933, Hinton, no. 3503 (G). Puebla : river banks below Honey Station, 5400 ft . alt., Apr. 25,1904 , Pringle, no. 13219 (G). Michoacán: Dist. Coalcomán: Sierra Torrecillas, pine forest, 2400 m . alt., Apr. 11, 1939, Hinton, no. 13702 (G); Barroloso, pine forest, 2300 m . alt., Aug. 12, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 15106 (G).

The genus Orthrosanthus is very close to Sisyrinchium, so close, in fact, that the chief characters separating them are the nearly or entirely free filaments and the capsules enclosed by the spathes in the former genus. This second point is that relied on by Diels
in his key in Engl. \& Prantl. Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xva. 470 (1930), and by Hutchinson, Fam. Fl. Pls. ii. 138 (1934), as well as by Baker, Handbk. Irid. 118 (1892), in his characterization of the genus Orthrosanthus. Consequently, it is rather striking to find this group of Mexican plants exhibiting, even in very young fruit, definitely exserted capsules. At the early stage, usually only one or two developing capsules are exserted, but in maturer stages most or all of the capsules are well exserted, thus helping to break down one of the most marked points of difference between Orthrosanthus and Sisyrinchium.

## Some Additions and Corrections to Previous Papers

In Contrib. Gray Herb. cxix. 79 (1937), in the last specimencitation under Iris verna L., the collector's name and number were inadvertently omitted. The specimen was E. J. Palmer, no. 38924 .

In my revision of Geissorhiza, in Contrib. Gray Herb. exxxr. (1941), I overlooked the fact that the basonyms of three binomials are later homonyms, and that, by Art. 69 of the International Rules, the binomials in question must be treated as new names, not as new combinations. The three names concerned are G. bicolor, G. monantha, and G. secunda.

Under the description of Geissorhiza Burchellii R. C. Foster in Contrib. Gray Herb. exxxy. 26 (1941), Schlechter, no. 2160, was designated as the type, and Burchell, no. 7322b, was cited, with the proviso that it might be "regarded as a co-type, if the localitydata can be ascertained." Now, thanks to Mrs. H. M. McKay's admirable researches, especially in Journ. S. Afr. Bot. ix (2). 27-78 (1943), it is possible to follow Burchell's routes and discover the necessary data. From p. 64 of the paper cited, it appears that no. 7322b was collected on Jan. 14, 1815, "in the ascent of the Craggy Peak in the Great Range at Swellendam," with a note by Mrs. McKay to the effect that Burchell's collect-ing-station was close to the Drostdy. In her previous publications of Burchell's South African notebooks, as well as in this paper, Mrs. McKay has performed a valuable service. A similar treatment of Burchell's South American material is equally desirable.

In the description of Geissorhiza Lewisae R. ('. Foster in Con-
trib. Gray Herb. cxxxv. 45 (1941), there was somehow omitted a statement that the species was named for Miss G. J. Lewis, who has done much valuable work on South African Iridaceae, the assumption being made that Miss Lewis and the "G. Lewis" who collected the type were the same.

## VII. Tentative Keys to the Indigenous American Genera

In earlier sections of this paper, I have described three new genera, reinstated a fourth, and transferred a fifth from one tribe to another, well-removed from its former position in the family. Consequently, it may be desirable to give a key to the indigenous American genera which will include these changes and additions. Two tentative keys are presented here; the Natural Key does not embody any final ideas on systematic arrangement, and both will undoubtedly be altered as further detailed studies are made. An attempt has been made, however, to use characters which hold, despite the occasional presence of aberrant species in some genera. Criticisms and suggestions for improvement from those who may use these keys will be welcomed.

To avoid misinterpretation, a brief statement defining the terms used here for parts of the style may be in order. The word style is used only for the undivided portion; in Solenomelus, alone, the entire style is undivided, with a single terminal capitate stigma. The term style-arms refers to the three primary divisions above the undivided style; these may be entire, or bifid in varying degrees. In most genera, the stigmas or stigmatic areas are apical, or apical and spreading laterally downward on the inner face of the entire or bifid style-arm. In six genera, however, e.g. Iris and Cypella, the stigmas are transverse, more or less horizontal tongues, lips, or flaps. They are then found at the base of the more or less petaloid style-crests which rise above them to a greater or less degree. In one genus, Mastigostyla, the style-arms are bifid below the stigmas, which are found at the base of the true style-crests. It should be noted, too, that such genera as Eustylis and the excluded species of Nemastylis are included, for the time being, under Tigridia, sensu lato.

## Natural Key

a. Stamens alternate with the style-arms (these absent in one genus).
b. Rootstock a rhizome or subrhizomatous.
c. Perianth-tube absent, the tepals nearly free, or a rudimentary tube present.
d. Tepals dimorphic, the inner series much longer than the outer.

Libertia
d. Tepals subequal, or the inner series shorer than the outer.
e. Filaments nearly free; flowers mostly short-pedicellate, the capsules not exserted (except in one Mexican variety); rhizome short, coarse.

Orthrosanthus
e. Filaments more or less connate; flowers long-pedicellate, the capsules exserted; rhizome short or almost entirely absent.
f. Spathes (1-)2-several-flowered; roots often tuber-ous-fasciculate; annual or perennial; North and South America, widespread.
f. Spathes 1 -flowered on very short stems; roots fibrous; low, densely tufted perennial; coastal Chile, Valdivia to Cape Horn.
c. Perianth-tube present.
g. Style-arms absent.

Solenomelus
g. Style-arms present.
h. Style-arms long, subulate; anthers versatile.

Phaiophleps
(Symphyostemon)
h. Style-arms merely short cusps; anthers not versatile. . . Chamelum b. Rootstock a bulb or corm.
i. Bulb- or corm-tunics membranous, not fibrous.
j. Style-arms narrowly linear-subulate or -canaliculate.
k. Filaments free.

1. Leaves very narrow, or, if broader, not strongly plicate: inflorescence not pseudolateral, or, if so, spathes 1-flowered; tunies castaneous or black-ish-brown, thin.
2. Leaves broad, strongly plicate; inflorescence pseudolateral; bulb-tunics purple or purple-brown, very thick.

Eleutherine

j. Style-arms not narrowly linear-subulate.
m . Inner tepals connivent; style-arms obovate, or elliptic, entire.

Cipura
m . Inner tepals not connivent.
n. Style long, often trumpet-shaped; style-arms and stigmas shorter than the style; perianth-tube absent.
.Sphenostigma
n. Style short, not trumpet-shaped; style-arms longer than the style; rudimentary perisuth-tube present. .

a. Stamens opposite the style-arms.
o. Rootstock a tunicated bulb or corm, or, at least, not rhizomatous.
p. Stigmatic areas apical, or lateral, descending from the apex, but not transverse; style-crests absent; tunics membranous.
q. Perianth-tube absent.
r. Tepals subequal, not apiculate, the flower rotate, not nutant............................................................ or
r. Tepals usually unequal, one or both series of ten
apiculate: if subequal, the flower narrowly or broadly campanulate or crateriform.
s. Tepals markedly unequal.
t . Inner tepals spreading, or at least not appressed against the staminal column.
u. Style-arms briefly bifid................................. Alophia
u. Style-arms deeply bifid.....................................
t. Inner tepals appressed against the staminal column.

Nemastylis
s. Tepals subequal, flower campanulate or crateri-
form, often, or usually, nutant............................ Cardenanthus
s. Tepals subequal, flower campanulate or crateri-
form, often, or usually, nutant............................ Cardenanthus Rigidella
q. Perianth-tube present.

Cardenanthus
p. Stigmas transverse, at the base of the style-crests.
v . Tunics fibrous.
w. Style-crests 2, reduced to small tubercles or cusps.... Trimezia
w. Style-crests 3, more or less lanceolate................. . . Neomarica
v. Tunics membranous.
$x$. Inner tepals much reduced; anthers free from stylearms; style-arms bifid below the stigmas........

o. Rootstock a rhizome.
y. Perianth-tube obsolete; stem strongly flattened, ancipitous or foliar; inner tepals more or less panduriform; anthers somewhat adherent to the angles of the style. . . . Neomarica
$y$. Perianth-tube present, often well-developed; stems not flattened, ancipitous, nor foliar; inner tepals not panduriform; anthers free from style-arms.

## Artificial Key

a. Rootstock neither a bulb nor a corm.
b. Perianth-tube absent.
c. Tepals unequal, the outer series shorter than the inner....... Libertia
c. Tepals subequal, or the outer series longer than the inner.
d. Style-arms entire; stigmas apical; stamens alternate with the style-arms.
e. Filaments nearly free: flowers mostly short-pedicellate, the capsules not exserted (except in one Mexican variety); rhizome short, coarse. . ........
e. Filaments more or less connate; flowers long-pedicellate, the capsules exserted; rhizome short or almost entirely absent.
f. Spathes (1-) 2-several-flowered; roots often tuber-ous-fasciculate; annual or perennial; North and South America, widespread.

Orthrosanthus

athes 1 -flowered on very short stems; roots fi-
brous; low, densely tufted perennial; coastal Chile, Valdivia to Cape Horn.
d. Style-arms with $2(-3)$ style-crests overtopping the transverse stigmas; stamens opposite the style-arms....Veomarica
b. Perianth-tube present, often well-developed.
g. Style entire

Solenomelus
g. Style-arms present.
h. Style-crests absent; stigmatic areas apical.
i. Style-arms long, subulate; anthers versatile. Phaiophleps
i. Style-arms short cusps; anthers not versatile ..... Chamelum
h. Style-crests present; stigmas transverse horizontal lips, or tongues, at base of crests. ..... Iris
a. Rootstock a bulb or corm.
j. Perianth-tube absent.
k. Tunics membranous.

1. Stigmatic areas apical or lateral downward from theapex, but not transverse; style-crests absent.
m . Stamens alternate with the style-arms.
n. Style-arms narrowly linear to subulate, entire, or
rarely briefly bifid at the apex.
o. Filaments free
p. Leaves very narrow, or, if broader, not strong-
ly plicate; inflorescence not pseudolateral, or, if so, spathes 1-flowered; tunics castane- ous or blackish-brown, thin.
2. Leaves broad, strongly plicate; inflorescence pseudolateral; tunics purple or purple- brown, very thick. Eleutherineo. Filaments united.Gelasine
n. Style-arms not narrowly linear-subulate.
q. Inner tepals connivent; style-arms obovate orelliptic, entire.
Cipura
q. Inner tepals not connivent; style-arms cuneate or reniform, sometimes retuse, usually erose and ciliate. Sphenostigma
m . Stamens opposite the style-arms.
r. Style-arms deeply divided.
s. Tepals subequal.
t. Flower rotate, not nutant. ..... Nemastylist. Flower campanulate, or crateriform, at leastat the base of the tepals, often nutant........Tigridias. Tepals markedly unequal.u. Inner tepals small, appressed against theRigidella
staminal column.
u. Inner tepals larger, spreading. ..... Tigridia
r. Style-arms briefly bifid at the apex. ..... Alophia
3. Stigmas transverse at base of style-crests. ..... Cypella
k. Tunics fibrous.
v. Style-crests reduced to tubercles or cusps. ..... Trimezia
v. Style-crests 3, more or less lanceolate. ..... Neomarica
j. Perianth-tube present.
w. Stigmas apical, or lateral downward from the apex; style-crests not present.
x. Style-arms simple. ..... Eurynotia
x. Style-arms divided ..... Cardenanthusw. Stigmas transverse, at base of style-crests; style-armsbifid below the stigmas; perianth-tube more or lessrudimentary for the size of the flower.Mastigostyla

## 2. A SYNOPSIS OF PHYSOSTEMON MART. \& ZUCC.

Physostemon Mart. \& Zucc. is a small genus of the Capparidaceae, containing seven annual species, ranging from Argentina to Mexico; one collection from Cuba has been seen, but the material is in such condition that it is still somewhat doubtful if this specimen actually belongs in this genus.

The following synopsis includes a key, the description of a new species, a new combination, synonymy, and occasional comments on necessary points.

In addition to the material in the Gray Herbarium (G), loans have been received from the following institutions: Chicago Natural History Museum (F), the United States National Herbarium (US), and the New York Botanical Garden (NY). To the administrative officers of these institutions I am much indebted for their kindness in making this material available to me.

Physostemon Mart. \& Zucc. in Flora, vii (1, Beil. 4). 139 (1824); Nov. Gen. \& Spec. i. 72 (1824); Schult. Syst. vii. 51 (1829) ; Bong. in Bull. Sc. Acad. Pétersb. i. 113 (1836); Benth. in Hook. Journ. Bot. iv. 99 (1841); Walp. Rep. i. 195 (1842); Eichl. in Mart. Fl. Bras. xiii (1). 243 (1865); Pax in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. iii (2). 224 (1891); Pax \& Hoffm. in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xviib. 221 (1936). Physostemum [variant spelling employed by] Bong. in Mém. Acad. Sc. Pétersb.v. t. 2 (1839). Cleome, section Physostemon (Mart. \& Zuce.) Benth. \& Hook. f. Gen. Pl. i. 105 (1862).

## Key

a. Capsules linear or linear-oblong.
b. Apophyses at apices of filaments, forming a collar around the anther-bases.

1. $P$.aureum
b. Apophyses not at apices of filaments; or, if so, not forming a collar around the anther-bases.
c. Leaves very narrowly linear, sessile....................2. P. guyanense
c. Leaves broader, lanceolate or linear-lanceolate, at least the lower ones petiolate.
2. P. lanceolatum
a. Capsules not linear.
d. Ovary and capsule glabrous; Mexico....................
d. Ovary and capsule puberulous or pubescent; South America.
e. Leaves narrow, linear-filiform. . . . . . . .
e. Leaves broad, elliptic-ovate or oblong.
f. Apophyses globose, at apices of filaments; leaves narrowing gradually to base of blade.........6. P. Hasslerianum
f. Apophyses pyriform, below apices of filaments; leaves abruptly rounded at base of blade.
3. P. rotundifolium
4. P. aureum, spec. nov. Annua, $14-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. alta; cauils simplex vel supra basin ramosus, ramis divergentibus, paullum angulatus, summo nudus vel minute bracteatus. Folia alterna, sessilia, linearia vel lineari-subulata, marginibus strigosis, ad 3 cm . longa, 0.5 mm . lata. Inflorescentia racemus terminalis, pauciflorus, flos infimus a ceteris remotus. Flores pedicellati, pedicellis $3-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; sepala lanceolato-ovata, acuta, marginibus ciliatis, ad 3 mm . longa; petala aurea, 2 superiora basi laminae limite semilunata aurantiaca, breviter unguiculata, oblongo-spathulata, obtusa, ad 6 mm . longa, 4 mm . lata. Stamina $6 ; 2$ longa, petala excedentia; 4 breviora filamentis apice in apophysin incrassatis. Ovarium sessile vel subsessile, glabrum; stylus longus (ad 4-5 mm.), paullum arcuatus, persistens; capsula lineari-oblonga, glabra, striata, $1-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa; semina $2-9$, reniformia, brunnea, transverse rugosa et aculeato-tuberculata, 2 mm . diam. Specimens seen:-MEXICO: (Xuerrero: Mina Dist.: Parotas Filo, llano, Aug. 31, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9408 (G, F) ; Placeres, 400 m . alt., grassy hill, Aug. 20, 1937, Hinton et al., no. 10537 (type, G; isotype, F). Michoacán: Zitácuaro Dist.: Tuzantla-Tiquicheo, 650 m . alt., dry hillside, Oct. 1, 1938, Hinton et al., no. 13297 (G, F). México: Temascaltepec Dist.: Coyuca-Querendas, July 12, 1934, Hinton et al., no. 6282 (G).

It is improbable that this species will be confused with $P$. guyanense (Aubl.) Malme. The inflorescences are terminal, and the larger flowers are a much brighter and deeper yellow. The greatest differences lie in the stamens; in $P$. guyanense the apophyses are simple globose swellings below the apices of the filaments; in $P$. aureum the apophyses are found at the apices, and consist of two gibbous collars more or less surrounding the bases of the anthers, each collar prolonged downward, on one side only, into a blunt swollen spur. From P. Hemsleyanum (Bullock) Foster, with broadly ovoid or almost rhomboid capsules, it is easily distinguished by its linear-oblong capsules, as well as by its stamens.

Of the material cited, nos. 10537, 9408, and 6282 were distributed as Cleome ephemera T. S. Brandg. Originally, it was my intention to consider Hinton, no. 13297, as the type, and material so labelled has been distributed; with the acquisition of more material, Hinton, no. 10537, was found to be better, and I am therefore designating it as the type.
2. P. guyanense (Aubl.) Malme in Bihang till K. Sv. Vet. Akad. Handl. xxiv (Afd. 3, no. 6). 26 (1898). Cleome guyanensis

Aubl. Pl. Guian. Fr. ii. 675, t. 273 (1775). P. guianense (Aubl.) Briq. in Ann. Conserv. \& Jard. Bot. Genève, xvii. 390 (1914). P. ambiguum Bong. in Bull. Sc. Acad. Pétersb. i. 115 (1836). P. intermedium Moric. Pl. Nouv. Amér. 62, t. 42 (1839). Cleome ephemera T. S. Brandg. in Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci. (ser. II) iii. 112 (1891). Specimens seen:-MEXICO: Baja California: San José del Cabo, Oct. 2, 1890, T. S. Brandegee (G; isotype of Cleome ephemera). Vera Cruz: Palmilla, July, 1920, Purpus, no. 8547 (G). Zacatecas: near San Juan Capistrano, Aug. 22, 1897, Rose, no. 2464 (G). Nayarit (Tepic): between Concepcion and Acaponeta, July 29, 1897, Rose, no. 1895 (G). Guerrero: Mina Dist.: Placeres Bejucos, 400 m . alt., wet llano, July 13, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9075 (G); Coyuca Dist.: Chacamerito, July 13, 1934, I inton et al., no. 6289 (G). Oaxaca: Picacho, July, 1914, Purpus, no. 7142 (G, F). CUBA: without locality, Wright, no. 1867 (G). ?. COLOMBIA: Llanuras de Nueva Huila, ca. 500 m., Dec. 1930, E. P. A. \& Duque, no. 680 (US). BRITISH GUIANA: Berbice, 1837, Schomburgk, no. 204 (G, US, F). BRAZIL: without locality, Burchell, no. 8316 (G), Herb. Hooker (NY); beach at Santarem, Traill, no. 17 (G); falls of the Madeira, Oct. 1886, Rusby, no. 1160 (G, US); Bahia: Serra Jacobina, 1839, Blanchet, no. 2717 (F) ; Ceará: Gardner, no. 2394 (G, US, NY); Matto Grosso: Cuyabá, Nov. 18, 1902, Malme, II, 2612 (G, F).

Within this widespread species, there seems to be little more than the usual size-variation. In no way can I distinguish the Mexican Cleome ephemera Brandg., of which I have seen an isotype, from South American material of $P$. guyanense. The single West Indian collection cited, Wright, no. 1867, is in such poor condition that its attribution to this species must be doubtful.
3. P. lanceolatum Mart. \& Zuce. in Flora, vii (1, Beil. 4). 139 (1824); Nov. Gen. \& Spec. i. 73, t. 45 (1824). Specimens seen:-BRAZIL: Rio Grande do Norte: Angicos, May 29-30, 1934, Swallen, no. 4729 (US); Ceará: in 1839, Gardner, no. 2395 (G, F, NY).

Molfino, in Physis, vii. 53 (1923), recorded a collection of $P$. lanceolatum from Argentina; Itacuararé to Apóstoles, in the southern part of the territory of Misiones, in February, 1922. From the description given, the identification seems correct. He also noted the range as being from the Guianas to Paraguay, but I have, as yet, seen no material from Paraguay.

In his treatment of this species in Mart. Fl. Bras. xiii (1). 244 (1865), Eichler cited, in synonymy, Cleome stenophylla Klotzsch
in R. Schomb. Reise, iii. 1164 (1848), this being a nomen nudum. Under C. stenophylla, Klotzsch cited Schomburgk, no. 740, from the Rio Pirara in British Guiana. This number was seen and cited by Eichler, with Schomburgk, no. 466, from Mt. Roraima, under an unnamed variety of $P$. lanceolatum, which he characterized as "foliis angustioribus, saepius margine revoluto subsetaceis." A sheet of no. 466 has been seen by me; it does not belong in Physostemon, since it lacks the apophyses on the stamens. The name Cleome stenophylla was validated by Urban, Symbol. Ant. iv. 251 (1905). Of the four collections cited by Urban, two sheets of Sintenis, no. 3314, from Puerto Rico, have been available to me. They appear to be conspecific with Schomburgk, no. 466, and with several other South American collections which I have seen. In its leaves, sessile ovary and capsule, general character of the flower, and general habit, Cleome stenophylla comes very close, indeed, to breaking down the generic distinction between Physostemon and the entireleaved species of Cleome.
4. P. Hemsleyanum (Bullock), comb. nov. Cleomella Hemsleyana Bullock in Kew Bull. 1936: 388. Cleome mexicana Hemsl. Diagn. Pl. Nov. pars alt. 20 (1879), and Biol. Centr.-Amer. Bot. i. 41 (1879), non Cleome mexicana (Sessé \& Moc.) D. Dietr. Syn. Pl. ii. 1068 (1840). Specimens seen:-MEXICO: Guerrero: Mina Dist.: Placeres, 400 m . alt., llano, July 18, 1936, II inton et al., no. $9110(\mathrm{G})$; rocky headlands in front of Hotel Mirador, Acapulco, 300-400 ft. alt., Aug. 21, 1935, L. H. McDaniels, no. 159 (F) ; vicinity of Acapulco, Oct. 1894-Mar. 1895, Palmer, no. 214 (G). Oaxaca: Oaxaca, April, 1840, Galeotti, no. 3194 (type, not seen; photo, F) ; Picacho-San Cieronimo, Oct. 1913, Purpus, no. 6856 (G).

On the basis of capsule-shape, Bullock transferred Cleome mexicana Hemsl., itself a later homonym, to Cleomella, where the presence of Cleomella mexicana Sessé \& Moc. ex DC. Prodr. i. 237 (1824) forced the renaming of the species. In making this transfer, it seems to me, Bullock overlooked two important points. The stamens of Cleomella are all exapophysate, and both ovary and capsule are long-stipitate. Before me there are a photograph of a sheet of the type-collection, (ialeolti, no. 3194, and a sheet of Palmer, no. 214, cited by Bullock as belonging to this species. It is clear from these two items that the ovary and
capsule of Cleome mexicana Hemsl. are sessile or very nearly so, certainly not long-stipitate. Flowers on Palmer, no. 214 (supporting Hemsley's amplified description in the Biol. Centr.Amer.) show at least two apophysate stamens. Consequently, since the capsule is not unlike that of Physostemon tenuifolium, Mart. \& Zucc., I regard the plant as belonging to that genus.

One further point arises. Briquet, in Ann. Conserv. \& Jard. Bot. Genève, xvii. 390 (1914), treated Cleome mexicana Hemsl. as conspecific with Cleomella medicaginea Turcz. in Bull. soc. nat. Mosc. xxvii (2). 313 (1854), making the combination Physostemon medicagineam (Turcz.) Briq. Examination of the original description of Cleomella medicaginea makes this union seem improbable. It was described as having compound leaves, the leaflets petiolulate, cuneate-obovate, retuse or emarginate; the ovary stipitate; the whole plant glabrous, and branched from the top; the bracts almost always trifoliolate; and the style as very briefly setuliform. In contrast, Cleome mexicana Hemsl. was described (the description being corroborated by the specimens here cited) as having simple, linear, mucronate-aculeate leaves; the ovary is shown by the specimens to be nearly sessile; the whole plant strigillose, and branched even from the base; the pedicels ebracteate; and the style long-filiform. What Turczaninow had, I do not know, but it seems quite impossible that it was related to the plant here treated as Physostemon Hemsleyanum.
5. P. tenuifolium Mart. \& Zucc. in Flora, vii (1, Beil. 4). 139 (1824) ; Nov. Gen. \& Spec. i. 73, t. 46 (1824). Specimens seen:-BRAZIL: Piauhy: Gardner, no. 2036 (G, US, F, NY).
6. P. Hasslerianum Chod. in Bull. Herb. Boiss. (sér. II) iii. 797 (1903). Specimens seen:-PARAGUAY: Sierra de Maracayú, Yerbales, Rio Capibary, Sept., Hassler, no. 4434 (isotypes, G, F) ; Caaguazu, near the Rio Yhú, October, 1905, Hassler, no. 9506 (G).
7. P. rotundifolium Mart. \& Zucc. in Flora, vii (1, Beil. 4). 139 (1824); Nov. Gen. \& Spec. i. 74, t. 47 (1824). Specimens seen:-BRAZIL: Bahia: Serra do Jacobina, 1839, Blanchet, no. 2710 (F, NY) ; Rio San Francisco, near Joazeiro, Martius, no. 2319 (type, not seen; photos in G, F); Alagoas: Gardner, no. 1239 (G, NY).

## Doubtful or Excluded Species

$P$. melanospermum incorrectly ascribed to $S$. Wats. by Pax \& Hoffm. in Engl. \& Pr. Nat. Pflanzfam. (ed. 2) xviib. 221 (1936). This was described by Watson, in Proc. Amer. Acad. xxi. 415 (1885), as Cleome (Physostemon) melanosperma, the type being Palmer, no. 94 (of his 1885 collections). I have examined this and find that it has compound leaves, a stipitate pod (the stipe being up to 6 mm . long), and all filaments lacking apophyses. Consequently, it seems incorrect to include it in Physostemon.
$P$. medicagineum (Turcz.) Briq. Reasons for the exclusion of this species are given in the discussion under $P$. Hemsleyanum.

## 3. THE REDISC'OVERY OF RIESENBAC'HIA PRESL

The genus Riesenbachia, described by Presl, Rel. Haenk. ii. 36, t. 54 (1831), from an incomplete specimen of Haenke's, stated to have been collected in Mexico, has, since that time, remained something of a mystery. In 1909, Rose, in C'ontrib) U. S. Nat. Herb. xii. 295, said of it: "This is one of the plants which should be carefully looked for by Mexican collectors." It is unlikely that the late Mr. G. B. Hinton "carefully looked for" it, or that he had even heard of it, but, at different times in Michoacán and Guerrero, he collected four numbers of an onagraceous plant which, it seems to me, must be Riesenbachia racemosa Presl, 1. e.

A difficulty arises from the fact that Presl described the monotypic genus with the phrase "Corolla nulla", a statement borne out by the details of his plate. Further, he described the calyx as "infundibuliformis corollinus", and his artist showed the calyx-lobes as large and somewhat petaloid, with one lobe larger than the others. No petaloid staminode was mentioned or figured. In every other respect, however, Presl's description and the details of the plate agree perfectly with the Hinton specimens, as well as with a sheet of Langlassé, no. 651 (which was found among the unnamed sheets of Lopezia in the Gray Herbarium). The correspondence is so great that terminal portions of the plants superimposed on the plate agreed in dimensions to within $1 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. in most cases. Structural details were the same.

It seems to me unlikely that there could be two genera in the small lopezioid group of the Onagraceae showing such identity of detail in capsule, seeds, calyx-tube, adnation of the style to two sides of the calyx-tube, thus bisecting it, pubescence, size, and annual habit, and differing only in the presence or absence of petals and the sterile staminode. Moreover, it was stated by C'ount von Sternberg in his preface to Presl's work (p. xi), that Haenke landed at Acapulco and, in November, 1791, travelled alone to Mexico ('ity, returning to Acapulco in December, 1791. Upon finding the locations for the Hinton collections, it was obvious that they had been obtained at low altitudes in the very region of (iuerrero that Haenke had to traverse twice on his journey to and from Mexico City, and were collected at the same time of year, November and December.

There remains only the question of the corolla and staminode. It is possible, of course, that Haenke secured an aberrant specimen which really lacked these parts, with a compensating enlargement of the calyx-lobes, but another explanation occurs to me. In making my own dissections, it was difficult (sometimes nearly impossible) to separate petals from calyx-lobes, so firmly were they matted together by pressure. Unless such a dissection were carefully done, it is quite understandable that the flowerstructure could be misinterpreted. When the petals adhere to the sepals in this manner, the effect of large, petaloid calyx-lobes is readily produced. I suggest that some such misinterpretation is what occurred and that, in view of the otherwise complete correspondence between the recent collections and Presl's description and figure, the diagnoses of the genus and species should be emended.

Riesenbachia Presl, emend. Calyx-lobes approximately equal. Corolla present, petals 4 ; sterile staminode present, petaloid.
R. racemosa Presl, emend. Calyx-lobes linear or lancelinear, 3 mm . long. Posterior petals linear-oblong to narrowly oblanceolate, 4 mm . long, 1 mm . wide, more or less obtuse, shortclawed, with an oblique, arcuate "gland" or tubercle at the base of the blade; anterior petals 4 mm . long, oborate-spatulate, short-clawed, the blade 2.5 mm . wide, obtuse. Sterile staminode with an obcordate blade 2.5 mm . long, with an 0.75 mm . apiculus at the apex; fertile stamen $t-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the filament $2.5-3$ mm ., thick, swollen at the middle, the anther 1.5 mm . long.

Style as long as the filament. The color of the flower is reported as purple or pink by Hinton, and as "rouge violacé" by Langlassé.

The following material has been seen:-MEXICO: Michoacán or Guerrero: Cajiniouilar, sol granitique, 300 m . alt., Nov. 19, 1898, Langlassé, no. 651. Michoacán: Coalcomán Dist.: Huizontla, 400 m . alt., in woods, Nov. 17, 1938, Hinton et al., no. 12596. Guerrero: Galeana Dist.: Atoyac, 240 m . alt., wooded hill, Hinton et al., no. 10974; Montes de Oca Dist.: Vallecitos, Dec. 10, 1937, Hinton et al., no. 11652; same locality, Nov. 29, 1937, Hinton et al., no. 11620. All specimens cited are in the Gray Herbarium.

## 4. A NOTE ON THE LOCALITY-DATA OF ECKLON AND ZEYHER'S AND DREGE'S SOUTH AFRICAN COLLECTIONS

Several years ago, while going over the material of some South African Iridaceae in the Gray Herbarium, I was puzzled by certain specimens, obviously old, bearing small printed labels, with the name of the species and a double number on each, but with no indication of collector or locality. On inquiry, it appeared that, for want of other information, these were known as "Sieber numbers." An investigation was begun which ultimately yielded results. Recently, among material of Micranthus borrowed from several other American herbaria, a few more of these specimens have been found. The sheets from two of these institutions bear special labels with the collectors' names, but locality-data are lacking. Consequently, it may be worthwhile to record my results, briefly.

In the first place, a comparison of the labels with those of known Sieber specimens showed that the type and paper used in printing were different from Sieber's, and no more time was spent on the hypothesis that these might be Sieber collections. Examination of more of our South African material brought to light many more of these unknowns, with one sheet whose label solved part of the problem immediately. This label read as follows: "Geissorhiza No. 218. E. Z. 70.10." It was obvious that the initials stood for two of the great South African collectors, Ecklon and Zeyher. On going through the specimens of

Geissorhiza cited by Baker in Fl. Cap. vi. 67-76 (1896), this number was found under $G$. foliosa Klatt, recorded as having been collected by Ecklon and Zeyher near Riet Kuil in Swellendam Division, Cape Province. Turning to the original description of (r. foliosa, in Linnaea, xxxiv. 658 (1865-66), it was found that Ecklon \& Zeyher, no. 218 was the type-collection, being the only one cited. However, Klatt gave the data as "Zwellendam, am Berge bei Puspasvallei." There, in this disagreement between Klatt and Baker, the matter rested for a time. Not long after, on receiving the Kew material of Geissorhiza, no example of this collection was found, although Baker (l. c.) indicated that he had seen one.

Shortly after this, the rest of the problem was solved. It was found that in Linnaea, xix. 583-589 (1847), J. F. Drège had given an explanation of the incomprehensible numbers, and a list of the localities represented by each. An addendum was given in Linnaea, xx. 258 (1847). Checking the number 70.10 in the list gave this result: "70. Zwellendam, am Berge bei Puspasvallei, 'oormansbosch, Duivelsbosch, und am Fluss Keurcboomrivier, $1000-4000^{\prime} . "$ The second part of the number, 10 , represents the month in which the collection was made, October.

Following this tabulation, Drège gave a comparison of Ecklon and Zeyher's collections with his own, Linnaea, xix. 599-680, continued in Linnaea, xx. 183-257. By means of the data on p. 222 of the second comparative article, the discrepancy between Baker and Klatt was cleared up. Baker, in addition to citing Ecklon \& Zeyher, no. 218, also cited Zeyher, no. 3961, from the same locality, Riet Kuil. Drège gave the following information: "E. Z. $218=$ Z. III, 3961 (124.10)." From the explanation of signs on p. 600 of the first comparative article, this is to be translated as follows: Ecklon and Zeyher, no. 218 is the same as Zeyher's no. 3961, of his third set, collected in October, along the banks of the Buffeljagdrivier, from Swellendam to Riet Kuil [for Zeyher, naturally, used the same numerical locality-code: see Linnaea, xix. 590]. That is, Baker became confused and applied 124.10 to Ecklon \& Zeyher, no. 218, when it belonged only to Zeyher, no. 3961, as Drège's explanation of the parentheses showed. From these lists and articles, it has been possible to secure reasonably cxact locality-data for any Ecklon and Zeyher specimen bearing the original label or an exact copy of it.

In American herbaria there are probably fewer Drège collections than there are of Ecklon and Zeyher, but several have recently been sent to me from the New York Botanical (iarden, and several dozen were found when the extra-New England collections of the Boston Society of Natural History were transferred to the Gray Herbarium in 1941. At the same time that the Ecklon and Zeyher investigation was going on, an attempt was being made to secure data for some Drège sheets which were already in the Gray Herbarium prior to 1941 . Obviously, since Baker had given data for most of his Drège citations in the Flora Capensis, the information existed somewhere. A fortunate accident, while checking an obscure reference, made the search brief, for it was discovered that Drège's plants had been enumerated, with an outline of the geographic areas recognized and the locality-symbols employed by him, by E. Meyer in a supplement to the second part of Flora for 1843. Much later, it was found that the system employed had been fully explained by Knoblauch in Notizbl. xi. 627-628 (1932). By using Meyer's index and outline, it has been possible to discover the localitydata for most of the Drège specimens which I have seen.

## 5. MISCELLANEOUS DIA(INOSLS AND TRANSFERS

Lopezia Hintoni, spec. nov. Planta 1.5 m . alta, caulis inferne tetragonus, dense longeque strigosus, inflorescentia glabrescens. Folia opposita, sessilia vel brevipetiolata, petioli ad 3 mm . longi, dense strigosi; laminae ovatae vel lanceolato-ellipticae, acutae, serratulae, utrinque dense et longe strigosae, ad 3.5 cm . longae et 1.8 cm . latae. Inflorescentia terminalis, laxe racemoso-paniculata, pedicelli filiformes, patento-adscendentes, glabri vel ad basin subpuberuli, anthesin $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longi; bracteae parvae, ad $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longae, lineares vel subulatae, apice strigosae. Ovarium glabrum; sepala glabra vel ad basin subsetulosa vel glandulosopuberula, ca. 8 mm . longa, 1.5 mm . lata, apice subcucullata; petala posteriora ad 1 cm . longa, spathulata, lamina 3 mm . lata, obtusa, unguis brevis et crassus, lamina basi subappendiculata super discos duos glandulosos; petala anteriora breviunguiculata (unguis ca. 2 mm . longus), lamina suborbicularis, suberenulata, ad 6 mm . longa; stamen sterile anguste oblanceolatum, 8 mm . longum; stamen fertile 6.5 mm . longum (anthera 2 mm . longa); stylus ca. 2 mm . longus; capsula glabra, globosa, ad 5 mm . longa, seminibus numerosis; semina immatura brunnea, dense
brevi-papillosa, 1 mm . longa.-MEXICO: Guerrero: Mina Dist.: Yesceros-Cruz Pacifica, 2550 m . alt., rocky slope in oak forest, Nov. 26, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 14902 (Type, G).

This species belongs to the small group which has been segregated by some workers as the genus Jehlia, as by Rose in ('ontrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xii. 297 (1907), Sprague \& Riley in Journ. Bot. lxii. 12-13 (1924), and Standley in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb, xxiii. 1075 (1924). From these species, L. Hintoni differs in its dense pubescence, and in its smaller and glabrous flowers with circular "glandular" patches at the base of the blade of the posterior petals.

When Professor Fernald considered the generic segregates of Helianthemum, in Rhodora, xliii. 609-614 (1941), he showed that these segregates rest upon characters which hardly hold for the American species he was dealing with, and therefore should be abandoned. There is one Mexican species, described in Halimium, which it becomes necessary to transfer to Helianthemum.

Helianthemum exaltatum (Rose \& Standl.), comb. nov. Halimium exaltatum Rose \& Standl. in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xxiii. 833 (1923). To the two Pringle collections cited in the original description, the following may be added: MEXICO: México: Dist. Temascaltepec: Pineda, dry hill, Jan. 22, 1933, Hinton, no. 3190 (G). Мıсноacán: Coalcomán Dist.: Coalcomán, in woods, 1000 m . alt., Feb. 8, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 12960 (G).

Cuphea michoacana, spec. nov. Planta annua, herbacea, ad 45 cm . alta. Caulis subglaber vel unifariter puberulus. Folia plerumque sessilia vel subsessilia, raro petiolis 2.5 mm . longis, anguste elliptica vel oblanceolata, 4-8 cm . longa, $8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, basi attenuata, apice acuminata, obtusa, supra atroviridia et persparse pilosa, margine strigulosa, subtus pallide argenteoviridia, nervi pilis longis paucis. Inflorescentia terminalis; pedicelli breves ( 2 mm .), puberulentes, bracteolae $3-4$ setae bulbosae. Calyx $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longus, lobus dorsalis productus, externe plerumque glaber, nervi inconspicue bulboso-setulosi, setulae viridescentes rel pallidae, appendices breves, rotundati, apicibus uncinatis inflexis; interne glaber. Petala dorsalia 2, ca. 2 mm . longa, lutea vel albido-lutea, obovata, obtusa; petala rentralia desunt. Stamina 11, inclusa, filamentis glabris. Ovarium magnum, glabrum, stylus glaber ovarium $1 / 3$ aequans, stigma capitatum; ovula $3-4(-5)$; discus angustus, deflexus, apice plus minusve incurvus; semina 2 mm . longa, minute scrobiculata, atrobrunnea, maculata, plerumque (2-) 3.-MEXICO: Michoa-

CÁN: Dist. Coalcomán: Puerto Karzamora, 1720 m . alt., Sept. 27, 1938, Hinton et al., no. 12268 (тype, G), and no. 12269 (G).

The sessile or subsessile leaves and the deflexed disc appear to place this species in Section Brachyandra, Subsection Micranthium, but the dorsal lobe of the calyx is so produced as to suggest Subsection Lophostomopsis. In that group, however, the leaves are petiolate and the disc suberect, although an exception is found in C. Ferrisiae Bacig., which has been placed in Lophostomopsis despite its decidedly deflexed disc. The type has the calyx brilliant red, with the throat yellowish green, while the other specimen cited has the calyx paler green, and leaves more attenuate at the base. The difference in color of the calyx may be due to the greater maturity of the flowers.

Cuphea vesiculigera, spec. nov. Herba annua, $15-45 \mathrm{~cm}$. alta. Caulis simplex vel ramosus, dense strigosus, pilis multo longioribus laxis rubro-purpureis intermixtis. Folia longe petiolata, petioli 7 11 mm . longi, laminae 2.5-8 cm. longae, $1.2-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latae, infimae lanceolatae vel aliquanto lanceolato-ovatae, superiores ovatae, supra remote strigulosae, pilis longis albis intermixtis, subtus dense strigulosae, pilis longioribus rigidis secundum nervos. Inflorescentia dense vel laxe racemoso-paniculata, bracteae parvae; pedicelli 1 mm . longi, strigulosi, bracteolae lanceolato-ovatae, 0.5 mm . longae, marginibus ciliatis. Calyx ad $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. longus, externe aliquanto strigulosus, pilis longis albis vel pallide rubris remotis ad costas; lobus dorsalis aliquanto productus; intus infra stamina dorsalia villosus, ceterum glaber; vesiculae infrastaminales 8. Petala dorsalia 2, longe unguiculata, ad $4.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, laminae ovatae vel suborbiculatae; petala ventralia ad 2 mm . longa, oblanceolata vel subspathulata. Stamina 11, inclusa, filamentis 4 sparse villosis. Ovarium, apice excepto, glabrum; stylus breviter pilosus, apice subbilobus, inclusus; ovula 3 ; discus parvus, suberectus; semina 2 mm . longa, exalata, minute scrobiculata.MEXICO: Guerrero: Dist. Montes de Oca: llano at Vallecitos, July 6, 1937, Hinton et al., no. 10570 (тype, G); Dist. Mina: Placeres, 400 m . alt., July 28, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9166 (G); Dist. Coyuca: Cutzamala Rancho, Aug. 13, 1925, Hinton et al., no. 8164 (G). México: Dist. Temascaltepec: Ixtapan, 1000 m . alt., Aug. 3, 1933, Hinton, no. 4478 (G).

This species belongs in Section Brachyandra, Subsection Lophostomopsis, since its calyx-length, slightly produced dorsal lobe, ovule-number, stamen-number, and suberect disc are quite characteristic of that subsection. From the other members of the subsection, it can be distinguished immediately by the
presence of infrastaminal vesicles, from which it takes its specific name.
('uphea pertenuis, spec. nov. Herba annua, $10-60 \mathrm{~cm}$. alta. Caulis simplex vel multiramosus, pilosulus vel strigulosus, setis plurimis purpureis bulbosis ornatus. Folia petiolata, petioli ad $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longi; laminae lanceolato-ovatae, inferiores basi cordatae vel subcordatae, superiores basi obtusae vel in petiolum abrupte contractae, acuminatae, ad 3 cm . longae, 1.5 cm . latae, utrinque strigulosae, subtus nervis paululum hispidulis. Inflorescentia terminalis, racemosa vel paniculata, bracteata; pedicelli ad 7 mm . longi, glabri, bracteolati, bracteolis parvis, ciliatis. Calyx $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longus, rotundato-calcaratus vel calcar subnullus, abrupte et valde in fauce dilatatus, hispidulus, pluribus setis purpureis interspersis; interne glaber. Petala dorsalia 2, $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, obovato-spathulata; petala ventralia $4,2.5$ mm . longa, cuneato-spathulata, omnia purpurea. Stamina 11, filamenta glabra, raro pilosula, perlonge exserta. Ovarium glabrum, magnum; stylus glaber, exsertus; ovula 8-10; discus minutus, subulatus, deflexus; semina vix 1 mm . longa, pallide brunnea.-MEXICO: MÉxico: Dist. Temascaltepec: Volcán, Nov. 5, 1932, Hinton, no. 2504 (G), same locality, in a barranca, Oct. 11, 1935, IIinton et al., no. 8545 (G); oak woods, TejupilcoSan José, Dec. 3, 1934, Hinton et al., no. 7083 (G); Tenayac, Nov. 17, 1933, Hinton, no. 5113 (G), same locality, Oct. 17, 1935, II inton et al., no. 8361 (G); Temascaltepec, 2790 m . alt., Dec. 14, 1932, Hinton, no. 2790 (द) . Michoacán: Dist. Coalcomán: woods, Coalcomán, 1000 m . alt., Dec. 31, 1938, Hinton et al., no. 12845 (G) ; Dist. Zitácuaro: in sparse oak forest, Zitá-cuaro-Guanoro, 1900 m . alt., Nov. 18, 1938, Hinton et al., no. 13460 (type, G). Guerrero: Mina Dist.: oak woods, Zihuagio, 1200 m. alt., Oct. 17, 1936, Hinton, no. 9717 (G).

It is strange that a species apparently as common as this should so long have gone undescribed, yet I can neither match it nor trace it to any species described. It is difficult, too, to place it in its proper position in the genus. In some respects this species seems to resemble $C$. delicatula T. S. Brandg., a species placed by Koehne (in his unpublished Atlas Lythracearum) in an unnamed subsection following Subsection Oidemation in Section Euandra. The two plants are annuals, with a very slight and fragile habit of growth, thus being distinguished at once from the shrubs and subshrubs, or rhizomatous perennial herbs, which make up the rest of the section. Yet the new species is quite distinct from $C$. delicatula. The calyx is basally slightly
gibbous, or rounded-spurred, the dise is small and almost absent, possibly actually absent in some individuals, there are 8 or more ovules, instead of 4 , with usually 5 maturing into seeds, and the stamens are very much exserted.

Cuphea Wrightil var. compacta, var. nov. A $C$. Wrightio inflorescentia dense compacta, foliis semper cordatis, staminum filamentis basi sparse villosis, differt.-MEXICO: Michoacán: Sierra Naranjillo, in oak forest, Aug. 22, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 15125 (type, G). Morelos: moist hillsides near Cuernavaca, 5000 ft ., July 26, 1896, Pringle, no. 6387 (G). México: Nepantla, August, 1903, Rose \& Painter, no. 6616 (G).

In general, the cordate leaves and densely compact inflorescences serve to distinguish the variety from the species, in which the flowers are more distantly scattered in a loose raceme, and in which the leaves are seldom more than subcordate at the base. Goldsmith, no. 95, from Hacienda San Bartolo, Teccoman, Colima ( C ), is more or less intermediate, with the inflorescence less compact than in the variety, but with truly cordate leaves.

Cuphea bracteata Hook. \& Arn. (1841) is a later homonym of C. bracteata Lag. (1811), and was renamed Parsonsia Arnottiana by Standley. I cannot find that this name has been transferred to Cuphea, and accordingly do so:

Cuphea Arnottiana (Standl.) comb. nov. Parsonsia Arnottiana Standl. in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xxiii. 1020 (1924). C. bracteata Hook. \& Arn. Bot. Beech. Voy. 289, 423 (1841), not Lagasca (1811).

Cuphea trichochila, spec. nov. Suffrutex vel suffruticulosus. Caulis brunneus vel rubro-brunneus, juventute unifariter puberulus, pilis paucis longioribus intermixtis, caules seniores glabrati. Folia sessilia, opposita, anguste oblongo-elliptica, $0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, $1-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, basi perattenuata, apice obtusa, margines remote ciliati, pilis longis. Inflorescentia terminalis, rhachis dense glanduloso-villosus; pedicelli ad 1 cm . longi, glandulosovillosi, bracteolae ca. 0.75 mm . longae, brunneo-setulosae. Calyx 2.5-3 cm. longus, glutinoso-hispidus et breviter strigulosus, lobi marginibus dense ciliati; interne alae dorsuales sparse villosulae, ceterum glaber. Petala desunt. Stamina 11, filamenta sparse villosa, 4 exserta ( 2 cum antheris abortis). Ovarium glabrum, ovula ca. 20 ; stylus glaber, demum longe exsertus; discus dorsualis, crassus, perpendiculariter deflexus,-MEXICO: Guerrero: Mina Dist.: barranca at Manchon, Sept. 26, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9583 (тype, G).

It is unfortunate that only a fragment of the original plant has been available, and that no details as to height are given on the label; but it is apparent that the species is rather shrubby and that it must have an appearance unlike that of any other shrubby species of Cuphea known to occur in Mexico. In Koehne's key to the genus, this falls into Section Melvilla, Subsection Erythrocalyx, series 3 , between $C$. Caeciliae Koehne and C. platycentra Lemaire, although it has no real resemblance to the latter. The specific name refers to the densely ciliate margin of the calyx and, although less accurately, to the hairy margin of the leaves.

Cuphea ornithoides, spec. nov. Suffrutex, ca. 1 m . altus. Caulis strigulosus et unifariter puberulus, pilis curvatis. Folia sessilia vel raro petiolata, petioli ad 2 mm . longi, utrinque strigulosa, $2.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, $5-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, folia inferiora lanceolata vel lanceolato-ovata, basi attenuata, apice acuminata, folia superiora non nunquam lineari-lanceolata. Inflorescentia foliata, flores interaxillares, pedicelli $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longi, unifariter puberuli, apice pilis paucis longis rubris, bracteolae lineari-subulatae, 1.25 mm . longae. Calyx totus ad 1.8 cm . longus, calcar conspicuum 7 mm . longum, rubescens, valde glanduloso-hirsutus, lobus dorsalis brevissimus; interne bicostatus, infra stamina glaber, in fauce supra stamina sparse villosus. Petala dorsalia 2, ad 1.2 cm. longa, breve unguiculata, lamina late oblongo-ovata vel oblongo-spathulata, obtusa, atropurpurea; petala ventralia 4, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, purpurea, subspathulata vel non nunquam linearisubulata. Stamina 11,4 exserta, filamenta plerumque sparse villosula. Ovarium glabrum; stylus glaber, breviter exsertus; ovula 10; discus suberectus vel subhorizontalis, apice paulo recurvatus.-MEXICO: Guerrero: Mina Dist.: Rio Frio, oak woods, 1300 m . alt., Sept. 17, 1937, Hinton et al., no. 10678 (type, G) ; Chiriagua-Rio Frio, 1760 m . alt., Nov. 19, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9859 (G) ; Pilas, pine forest, 1500 m . alt., Nov. 20, 1936, Hinton et al., no. 9863 (G).

Although I consider this species to belong to Section Diploptychia, Subsection Leioptychia, series 2, there is a definite resemblance, in the habit of the flower with its very much upturned spur, to C. avigera Robins. \& Seat., a member of Section Ornithocuphea. (". ornithoides is much larger, possesses a dise which is unlike that of the members of Ornithocuphea, and the leaves are basally attenuate or acute, instead of more or less cordateclasping.

Cuphea pulcherrima, spec. nov. Herba annua. Caulis $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$. altus, pilis appressis. Folia sessilia vel subsessilia, lanceolata, basi subobtusa, apice acuta, superne sparse hispidula, subtus densiora hispidula, praecipue nervis. Inflorescentia racemosa vel paniculata, pluriflora, pedicelli $3-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longi, divaricati, bracteolae deltoideo-subulatae, 0.5 mm . longae. Calyx totus ad $1.8-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longus, calcar rectum vel curvatum non nunquam 9-10 mm. longum, minute puberulus, pilis longioribus glandulosis rubris ornatus, calcar et area dorsalis rubescentes, lobi deltoideo-acuminati pallide rubro-purpurei, infra sinus pilis setosis albis comati; interne valde bialatus, in fauce supra stamina dense longi-villosus, squamulae luteae tuberculato-subulatae, paulo brevi-villosae. Petala dorsalia 2, valde reflexa, ad 7 mm . longa, 4 mm . lata, obovato-spathulata, unguis breve pubescens, nervus primarius superne pubescens; petala ventralia 4, ad 1 cm . longa, 7 mm . lata, obovata, pallide rubra vel pallide purpu ea. Stamina 11, triseriata, plerumque exserta, filamenta villosa. Ovarium glabrum, ovula (5-) 10 (raro 11); stylus glaber, paulo exsertus; discus longus, subulatus, calyci adnatus et in calcar projectus; semina 5-10, atrobrunnea, minute scrobiculata, nigromaculata, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa.-MEXICO: Guerrero: Mina Dist.: Campo Morado, steep slope in oak forest, 1600 m . alt., Nov. 13, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 14837 (type, G), same locality, edge of oak forest, 1620 m . alt., Feb. 1, 1938, Hinton et al., no. 11157 (G); Teotepec, oak forest, 1850 m . alt., Nov. 7, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 14813 (G); Chiriagua, 1600 m . alt., Nov. 18, 1936, Hinton, no. 9850 (G) ; Mesa Frijolar, Oct. 14, 1936, Hinton, no. 9691 (G); Petlacala, Montecito, cleared overgrown slope, 1880 m. alt., Dec. 18, 1937, Ynes Mexia, no. 8994 (G); Bravos Dist.: Pueblo Viejo, 1950 m. alt., Nov. 8, 1939, Hinton et al., no. 14820 (G) ; Adama Dist.: Achota, trail west of Suriana, 635 m. alt., Nov. 12, 1934, Mexia, no. 8808 (G).

A member of Section Ornithocuphea, this plant is obviously close to C. Hintoni Bullock, but can be distinguished from that species, as well as from $C$. avigera, by the fact that the two dorsal petals are distinctly smaller than the ventral petals and are strongly reflexed backwards. In addition, it has usually twice as many ovules as $C$. Hintoni, is lighter in color, and somewhat larger in size. The dorsal lobe of the calyx is much shorter than the others, giving an oblique mouth to the calyx, as in $C$. cyanea DC. and C. Hookeriana Walp. From C. avigera, it is further to be distinguished by the presence of a dise, and by the bracteoles on the pedicels.
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Alophia, 29, 34, 53, 54; Drummondiana, 34; Drummondii, 34, 35; Lahue, 48; pulchella, 48; Sellowiana, 10
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Ferraria Lahue, 48
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Gelasine, 27, 33, 52, 54; longispatha, 10, 12; ? texana, 31, 33, 34

Halimium, 65; exaltatum, 65
Helianthemum, 65; exaltatum, 65
Herbertia, 34; caerulea, 34; Drummondiana, 34; Drummondii, 34; lineata, 48; pulchella, 48; Watsoni. 34

Iridaceae, 3, 8-10, 12, 45, 51, 62; Three New Genera of South American, 3-9
Iris, 4, 51, 53, 54; brachystigma, 34; verna, 50
Ixia, 16; acuta Barton, 31, 33, 34; acuta Lichtenst., 31 ; caelestina, 15 ; coelestina, 15, 26, 38

Jehlia, 65
Lansbergia, 9
Libertia, 52, 53
Lopezia, 60; Hintoni, 64, 65
Marica, 16; coelestina, 15
Mastigostyla, 4, 22, 23, 51, 53, 54; Mastigostyla Johnston, Notes on, 22-26; Cardenasii, 23-26; cyrtophylla, 22, 24-26; Hoppii, 22, 24, 26; Johnstoni, 25
Micranthus, 62
Moraeeae, 28, 29

Nemastylis, $16,26,27-29,34,37-39$, $43,44,51,53,54$; Nemastylis Nutt., A Revision of the North American Species of, 26-44; acuta, 27, 31, 33, 36, 37; Bequaertii, 28, 44; brunnea, 28, 44; caerulescens, 28,41 ; coelestina, $15,26,27,36,37$, 44, var. tenuis, 27, 39; Dugesii, 44; flava, 44 ; floridana, $28,30,35$; geminiflora, 27, 28, 30, 33-37; latifolia, 28, 44; Lehmannii, 28, 44; multiflora, 28, 44; nana, 6, 40; Nuttallii, 28, 30, 36-38; Pearcei, 7, 49; Pringlei, 43; punctata, 44; purpurea, 27, 44; Seleriana, 28, 44; silvestris, 28,44 ; tenuis, $28,30,38-$ 42 , var. caerulescens, 30,41 , var. nana, 30, 40, var. Pringlei, 30, 42, var. Purpusii, 30, 42; trichantha, 44 ; triflora, 44 ; versicolor, 28, 44
Nemostylis, 30; acuta, 34
Neomarica, 16, 53, 54
Onagraceae, 61
Orthrosanthus, 49, 50, 52, 53; chimboracensis, var. exsertus, 49
Parsonsia Arnottiana, 68
Phaiophleps, 4, 52, 54
Physostemon, 55, 58, 60; Physostemon Mart. \& Zucc., A Synopsis of, 55-60; ambiguum, 57; aureum, 55,56 ; guianense, 57 ; guyanense, 55-57; Hasslerianum, 55, 59; Hemsleyanum, 55, 56, 58-60; intermedium, 57 ; lanceolatum, 55 , 57, 58; medicagineum, 59, 60; melanospermum, 60; rotundifolium, 55, 59; tenuifolium, 55, 59

Physostemum, 55

## Pseudotrimezia, 8, 9, 52; Barretoi,

 8. 9Riesenbachia, 60, 61; Riesenbachia Presl, The Rediscovery of, 60-62; racemosa, 60,61
Rigidella, 17, 18, 53, 54; Rigidella Lindl., The Genus, 17-22; flammea, 17-22; immaculata, 17-19, 21; orthantha, 17, 18, 21, 22

Salpingostylis, $10,11,16,17$; coelestina, 15, 16
Sisyrinchieae, 28, 29
Sisyrinchium, 49, 52, 53; grandiflorum, 47 ; speciosum, 47 ; xiphioides, 47
Solenomelus, 4, 51-53
Sphenostigma, $9-11,16,26,49,52$, 54; Sphenostigma Baker, The North American Species of, 9-17; sect. Cardiostigma, 11; subg. Cardiostigma, 10, 17; subg. Gomphostigma, 10,49 ; boliviense, 10,49 ; coelestinum, 11, 15, 36, 44; Hintonii, 11, 14; longispathum, $9-14,16$, var. filiforme, 11,13 ; mexicanum, 11, 13-15; Penlandianum, 48; Sellowianum, 10; Spruceanum, 49
Symphyostemon, 52
Tapeinia, 52, 53
Tigridia, 16, $17,29,51,53,54$
Trichonema coelestinum, 15
Trifurcia caerulea, 34
Trimezia, 8, 9, 53
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M. L. Fernald

(Plates 876-911)

## Part I. Two Visits to the Seward Forest in 1944

Dr. Alfred Akerman, Director of the Seward Forest, and Mr. J. B. Lewis, Naturalist of the Forest, most kindly renewing invitations for me to return for study of the local flora at seasons different from those when I had visited the area in October of 1942 and in April, 1943, I gladly returned on June 19, 1944, for a week of local exploration. Lewis had been saving his gasolinecoupons against our needs but, naturally, we could not go far from Triplett, although we did get one trip, specially seeking further limits of range of Asarum Lewisii Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 398, plates 774 and 775 (1943), as far as Poplar Creek, draining into the Roanoke in southwestern Brunswick County. We did not need to go far for interesting returns, for within the limits of Seward Forest and the immediate vicinity of Triplett there was plenty to occupy us. The fruit of Rubus was ripening and, since some of the species of this region of the outer Piedmont were quite unlike those I knew on the Coastal Plain, I made a point of securing a good series (and of testing the fruits). There

[^14]are several strikingly different species. Some of these will be discussed in Part II.

As we drove from the train, at Emporia, to the Seward Forest, Lewis said, "Don't forget to remind me to show you a strange Baptisia when we get to Philadelphia Church. It's the only colony I ever saw of it'". So, when we got to the locality we stopped and I promptly said "Baptisia tinctoria". "But the flowers are so small. What I know as Baptisia tinctoria has larger flowers and leaves". It is the typical Coastal Plain extreme, the plant separated by Small as B. Gibbesii from "Sandy woods, Coastal Plain, S. C." although, as I showed in Rhodora, xxxix. 414 (1937), B. Gibbesii is a close match for the type of B. tinctoria (L.) R. Br., while the common inland and northern plant, with which Lewis had been familiar, is the usually coarser B. tinctoria, var. crebra Fernald, 1. c. Since I had just sent for issue in Rhodora, xlvi. 281 (1944) a note by Dr. Robert Clausen, in which he expressed the opinion that "Var. crebra seems scarcely tangible", Lewis's unsolicited tribute to its worth was interesting. Certainly, nowhere else in the region have I seen anything so small-flowered and -fruited as the colony near Philadelphia Church.

This intrusion into the upland flora of the Piedmont in Brunswick and western Greensville Counties of plants more characteristic of the Coastal Plain was emphasized by me in my last paper on the Virginian work ${ }^{1}$; and now, again, we met at almost every turn a singular mixture of inland or upland species (sometimes specialties of the Appalachian Upland or of the Mississippi Basin) and those which are primarily on the Coastal Plain, although, naturally, only a few of the latter have intruded so far inland. In fact, the first plant I collected after getting into old clothes, the everywhere abundant representative of Rubus § Cuneifolii, was at once impressive on account of the 5 -foliolate leaves of the primocanes, with very narrow leaflets. To me it seems a close match for $R$. sejunctus, described by Bailey from material collected by Long and me near Branchville, 30 miles to the east and well out on the Coastal Plain in southern Southampton County-there also on Meherrin drainage.

[^15]Two stations, one of them within the Seward Forest, the other barely not, are specially noteworthy. The most distinctive is the little spring-fed and (originally) Sphagnum-carpeted Magnolia swamp, which is called the "Ram-hole" or "Ram-hole Swamp", because of the ram once installed for pumping the spring-water. I have previously noted it and in September it yielded more than its share of Coastal Plain specialties. In June, however, these were scarcely evident, the most notable plant then being a long-arching and doming blackberry such as I had never met, but which proved to be characteristic across the county, even to its southwestern corner, and eastward into Greensville County. Since it is so characteristic of the Seward Forest and its vicinity I shall describe and illustrate it (plates 890 and 891) in Part II and there take the liberty of naming it for the Director of the Forest, although, if he could discover it, he would doubtless prefer his name to be associated with a new tree! Further discussion of "Ram-hole Swamp" will be deferred until I record the September trip.

The area which in June had the greatest mixture of upland and Coastal Plain types was the "Moseley flat pineland", near Triplett. It was here that Lewis had found Cynoctonum sessilifolium (Walt.) J. F. Gmel., at the only known station north of the savannas of the Coastal Plain of North Carolina, and Hypericum setosum L., a characteristic southern species already known very locally from the Coastal Plain of Virginia, forty-five miles away. Here in June the most striking plant was a Fimbristylis, forming dense and tough hassocks, with many already fruiting tufts arising from bulbous bases crowded on the stout and short rhizomes. This was new to me (also to Virginia). Its identification has necessitated a prolonged study of its section of the genus, which will be detailed in Part II. Briefly, it is F. Drummondii Boeckl., described from New Orleans but found (though commonly misidentified) in pine or oak barrens, on sterile meadows, prairies, etc., from Florida to eastern Texas, northward, very locally, to Long Island, and, more generally, in the Interior to southern Michigan, Illinois and Missouri. With it, and giving further inland atmosphere to the spot, was Psoralea psoralioides

[^16](Walt.) Cory, var. eglandulosa (Ell.) F. L. Freeman, widely dispersed from interior Georgia to eastern Texas, northward to the upland of North Carolina and to Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Missouri and eastern Kansas, with its only previously known Virginian station in similar flat pineland at McKenney, 25 miles to the north, in Dinwiddie County. And with these two characteristically inland plants Juncus Longii Fernald in Rhodora, xxxix. 397, pl. 777, figs. 1-4 (1937), and J. scirpoides extended inland from the Coastal Plain, and the common Coastal Plain Scleria pauciflora formed loose tussocks. Near the margin of a small branch which borders this flat pineland I was puzzled by a very lax Carex with the flaccid culms loosely arching, so that the inflorescences lop to the ground. We had never had it in southeastern Virginia, but it proves to be the chiefly inland C. hirsutella Mackenzie. The "Moseley flat pineland" is an interesting tract.

The day we visited the Roanoke drainage in southwestern Brunswick County we drove directly to Ebony, where we made the first stop. Near there a bit of undisturbed swaley thicket looked promising. Here was the long-arching new Rubus of the "Ram-hole Swamp", 12 miles to the northeast; and when, another day, I got it in abundance near Brink in Greensville County, thus demonstrating its occurrence over a belt at least 20 miles across, it was evident that I was dealing with a true species, not merely a clone. The Rubus leaned out of a clump of the unusual form of Willow-Oak, Quercus Phellos, with the lower leaf-surfaces white with fine silk, forma intonsa Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 392 (1942), typical and abundant Q. Phellos having the leaves green and glabrous on both sides. And here, almost in Mecklenburg County and 120 miles inland from the coast, where true Juncus dichotomus occurs, was the very different species, which elsewhere pushes inland and which passes as a mere flat-leaved variety of that coastal species, J. dichotomus, var. platyphyllus Wiegand. Its specific claims will be discussed in Part II.

Poplar Creek, emptying into the Roanoke, has good bottomland woods, with an abundance of Acer floridanum and its very definite var. Longii Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 426, pl. 726 (1942), the latter previously known only from calcareous slopes to the
lower James in James City County, ninety miles away. Beneath them was a fruiting Aesculus. Without flowering material I balk at naming it. Geum canadense, var. brevipes Fernald in Rhodora, xxxix. 410, pl. 479, figs. 1-3 (1937), supposed to be endemic on the bottomlands of the Nottoway, forty-five miles to the northeast, in Sussex County, abounded. It presumably will be found along the Roanoke in North Carolina. So, likewise, will be Boltonia caroliniana (Walt.) Fernald in Rhodora, xlii. 487, pl. 642 (1940), of southeastern Virginia and the lower Santee Valley of South Carolina, for here, on Poplar Creek, it is almost in North Carolina and far inland from its center on the Coastal Plain.

The "Chamblis bigwoods" of the Seward Forest, a vast tract for which I learned in September to have a vast respect (having been lost there for three hours), had yielded on my two previous visits some choice and apparently isolated inland or montane plants, enumerated in the last Virginian paper: Panicum flexile, Polygala Senega, var. latifolia, and Zizia trifoliata, for instance. In June these woods were equally productive. Festuca paradoxa Desv. (F. Shortii), common in rich woods of the Coastal Plain, was here abundant, although, from the range given by Hitchoock and his map (chiefly from western North and South Carolina and northwestern Georgia to Iowa, Missouri, eastern Oklahoma and northeastern Texas), one would never guess it. Cypripedium Calceolus L., var. pubescens (Willd.) Correll, was frequent, as was the southern Sanicula Smallii Bicknell. Young shrubs of Nyssa produced puzzling atypical leaves and I stumbled upon a few straggling shrubs of Castanea neglecta Dode, supposed by some to be a hybrid of $C$. dentata and C. pumila, but here, as in calcareous woodlands farther east, where it occurs, C. pumila would be out of place and C. dentata of acid woodland would scarcely have thrived. But the great excitement was a knoll in rich woods bordering the swamp along Quarrel's Creek, a slope covered with abundant Sanicula Smallii, Carex oxylepis and other species of rich southern woodland. On this knoll the leaves of a low Circaea were of a pale yellowish green, the margins of the rounded-cordate blades undulate, the pedicels purplebased, the sepals somewhat villous on the back, the tiny fruits as in the northern C. canadensis Hill (C. intermedia Ehrh.).

Outside of Europe C. canadensis is known from the Gaspé Peninsula of eastern Quebec to Lake St. John, and south to Nova Scotia, southern Maine, southern New Hampshire, western Massachusetts and Connecticut, New York and upland West Virginia. Its associates are northern, not southern species. We as yet know the little plant of Seward Forest from only this one spot. Its characters, however, justify its separation from the northern plant which, superficially, it suggests. In Part II it will be described and illustrated (plate 896).

Two old clearings within the area of the "Chamblis bigwoods" are, like most such habitats, largly given over to brambles (Rubus). Two species here specially interested me, both of them doming and forming intricate mounds, with the long and coarse overarching canes eventually trailing at tip, as in the wideranging plant already noted, members of my § Tholiformes. These were both very different from each other and quite unlike anything I can find described. One of them was in the clearings about the old Chamblis place and also in the clearing near the old Taylor place, the other was noted only in the latter locality. The former of these two abounds near an old outhouse where, in June, a brood of young turkey-buzzards very unsociably ran to a corner and tried to hide from our gaze and where, in September, they still clung to the old home. Since most descriptive specific names in Rubus are preempted I shall, in Part II, name this very characteristic blackberry (plates 892 and 893) for the buzzards upon whose domain we rudely forced ourselves in collecting it. The other (plates 894 and 895) I am naming for the very antithesis of a buzzard, the generous and scholarly founder of the Seward Forest, Dr. Walter Seward.

I kept hearing of Quarrel's Creek and Pair's Store. These geographic names, coupled with Triplett (which our non-meddlesome government rules should be spelled "Triplet", in spite of the name of the original settler-on a tributary to Fontaine, not "Fountain", Creek), struck my whimsical sense of humor, for the combination of pairs, triplets and quarrels would intrigue even a dull imagination. So we went from Triplett to Pair's Store and thence followed down Quarrel's Creek to its junction with Fontaine Creek. Swaley open woods not far from Pair's Store looked interesting but, alas, most of the area had been
under the plow; elsewhere it had been burned. The only plant of note there was the white-flowered Polygala sanguinea, a form I had rarely seen. The bottomland woods had passed the interesting period of early spring and had not reached the autumnal phase which is always interesting, but at the margin of the bottomland I was delighted to come upon the first thicket of Amorpha fruticosa, but not the last, I had ever seen, for in a few days we found it along Fontaine Creek near Round Hill Church, also in Greensville County, but nearer the Fall Line.

On my first trip to Seward Forest we had gone to the Meherrin River at Westward Bridge (or Mill), south of Edgerton. The greatest excitement there was the discovery on the bottomland of Muhlenbergia glabriflora Scribn., previously known only from southwestern Indiana and Illinois to Texas. With this rather startling isolation in mind we returned to Westward Bridge. I had many times tried to cap one good discovery with another, but usually it hadn't worked. So I was prepared for the worst. Wallowing through the deep and retarding tangle on the bottom, I suddenly halted. The Tripsacum there didn't look right. Its slender staminate inflorescence had narrow and sharply acuminate glumes, whereas I remembered the glumes as broad and blunt. Three or four plants were taken "just in case", and this time luck was with me. I cannot separate the Meherrin River plant from an isotype and other Texan material of the recently described Tripsacum dactyloides, var. occidentale Cutler \& Anderson, the variety known to them only from the Davis and the Chisos Mountains in western Texas. When in doubt take a specimen!

Lewis and Dr. Akerman again saved up gasoline, and in September it seemed possible to get about a little. So, on September 11, I reached Seward Forest. This time we conserved all possible motive power for a final day, and our longest trip away from the Forest, until I had to return to Emporia to take the night-train home, was to the Meherrin at Westward Bridge. My arrival had broken the all-summer drouth ${ }^{1}$; consequently the muddy shore of the river, where I hoped for good things,

[^17]was drowned under more than opaque red-brown water. But the woods contained the very heavily pubescent Elephantopus carolinianus, forma vestitus Fernald, which we had known only from the bottomland of Adams Swamp, seventy miles to the east in Nansemond County; the mass of ordinary pink-flowered Polygonum pensylvanicum contained scattered plants with bright white flowers. The Pycnanthemum incanum certainly was not the northern plant with more or less divergent pubescence. Neither did it look like the southern var. Loomisii (Nutt.) Fernald, with the internodes and calyces densely canescent. It looked too glabrescent. At the risk of possibly overloading with material of the latter, already much collected farther east, I took a specimen. It is the extreme of $P$. incanum recently described as Pycnanthemum puberulum Grant \& Epling, its type from southwestern Georgia. At any rate, I got one specimen! But I took twenty sheets of the next plant of note. This is Dicliptera brachiata (Pursh) Spreng., a very definite member of the Acanthaceae. Some years ago Long and I made frequent visits to the intermittently drowned bottomland of the Meherrin just before it leaves Virginia, below Haley's Bridge (between southeastern Greensville and southwestern Southampton Counties), for in early summer we had there found young foliage of a strange member of the Acanthaceae. Repeated or longcontinued drownings delayed the identification until finally, in October, the water receded and we got the Dicliptera in flower and fruit. That has been the only station known in Virginia. Now, directly under the northern end of Westward Bridge, we have another.

Hoping that the once flooded but now fully overgrown bottom where the dam had gone out at old Clipper's Mill on Rattlesnake Creek, southwest of Triplett, would have some worth-while shore-vegetation, we tried there. For the most part the old bottom is a dense and very deep swale of Pilea, Boehmeria and their ilk, but here was an inland station, pretty well back from the Coastal Plain, of Rhynchospora corniculata, and in one area there is an Erianthus with peculiarly silvery and pale panicles. It didn't quite register; I had never met it growing, for it is $E$. alopecuroides, an inland species which we have not had on the Virginian Coastal Plain. Not far away, in a mossy bottom,

Dryopteris cristata, very local in southeastern Virginia, abounds, but, so far as I saw, that is the only specialty of note there.

Visiting the lower mile of Quarrel's Creek and again following down to its confluence with Fontaine Creek, we were amazed that the bottomland woods had none of the big Compositae we should have expected. However, as we entered the woods near the station of Amorpha fruticosa, we got into a tangle of Vitis cinerea, frequent farther down the Meherrin system on the Coastal Plain, although generally treated as western or very southern: "Centr. Ill. to Kan. and Tex."-Gray; "Indiana, southwestern Wisconsin, Illinois, Missouri, Kansas, Arkansas, Oklahoma, eastern Texas, Louisiana, Alabama, western Geor-gia"-Bailey, Gent. Herb. iii. 316. Farther down, where the often flooded bottoms by Quarrel's Creek merge with those of Fontaine Creek, we established some new inland extensions of Coastal Plain types, such as Scirpus divaricatus, Juncus repens and Ludwigia glandulosa. And farther up Quarrel's Creek, in the swamp where it flows through the "Chamblis bigwoods", I was delighted to find an inland colony of Cornus foemina Willd. (C. stricta Lam.). Searching near-by for mature fruit of the new Circaea (now completely dessicated and ruined by prolonged drouth), I was impressed by a nearly smooth creeping Desmodium, much smoother than $D$. rotundifolium with which it grows. It proves, according to Dr. Schubert, to be only the Coastal Plain $D$. lineatum, which, in former years, I had learned to pass without emotion. This station, very rich and damp woodland, is so unlike the relatively sterile and dry woods where I had known it that I was fooled. Beside it was another plant which registered with some doubt; so I took a couple of specimens. It is fortunate that I did so, for it is Polymnia Uvedalia, var. densipila Blake, described from Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas; also Bermuda. That was the last important collection in the "Chamblis bigwoods".
Lewis had announced, while I was in the swamp, that it was time to start home; but very soon he commented on the shouts, like those of a woman calling, from deep in the woods. He said it was a Barred Owl, and I suppose he was right. Nevertheless, when I came out of the swamp and whistled for my companion and guide, he was gone. My masculine shouts did not interest
him; and, reasoning that he had gone on ahead and had ascribed to me a greater degree of wood-craft than I possess, especially on a rainy and sunless day in a strange and extensive "bigwood", I followed broken plants and some remembered landmarks to what I thought the proper place to break out toward the waiting car, soon got tangled and twisted around in impenetrable briars and towering dog-fennel (Eupatorium capillifolium) ten feet high, and, taking again to the woods, made broad circles for three hours, until, finally, by sighting on tall trees and following a straight course, I came out, rather surprised at myself, at the car. After that I carried raisins as well as a compass in my hippocket! As we approached headquarters a truck, with the Director and a crew, had started out as a searching-party. The whole community soon had the story, but I insisted on pretending to wonder whether the womanish calls which had lured Lewis away were really those of an owl!

Dr. Akerman wanted us to see one of the eastern extensions of the Seward Forest, in the extreme eastern edge of Brunswick County, south of Ante. As we entered the dry pines the first herbaceous plant we noticed was the essentially glabrous Coastal Plain Tephrosia virginiana, var. glabra Nutt. Then we walked through acres and acres of Asarum Lewisii, forming broad and open carpets to the exclusion of anything else. This, the most extensive colony yet known, is in ordinary dry or dryish woods, largely of Loblolly Pine. Here, in the spring, we may be able to secure the unknown fruit, for so extensive a colony must spread largely by seed.

I could not leave Seward Forest without spending some hours in the little "Ram-hole Swamp", so near-by that we were apt to overlook it. We already knew it as the only station yet discovered in the Manual range for the southern square-stemmed Solidago salicina Ell., here isolated by 100 miles from the northernmost known station in North Carolina; also as an isolated inland station for the beautiful Lobelia glandulifera (Gray) Small (See Rhodora, xlv. 377 (1943)), the Lobelia delighting in just such spots on the Virginian Coastal Plain. Unfortunately, fire has ruined much of the sphagnous carpet and inevitable brambles are rapidly monopolizing the area, but enough of the original bog remains to maintain the Solidago and the Lobelia.

In September the Coastal Plain Helianthus angustifolius and Cirsium virginianum, including the cut-leaved forma revolutum (Small) Fernald, abound and here we got our most inland stations in southeastern Virginia for Rhynchospora globularis (Chapm.) Small, var. recognita Gale, Lycopus americanus, var. Longii Benner, typical Eupatorium hyssopifolium (See Rhodora, xliv. 459), Solidago rugosa, var. celtidifolia (Small) Fernald and Fuirena squarrosa (F. hispida Ell.). The latter was tangled in and rather overwhelmed by the dominating Coastal Plain Panicum lucidum and a very slender but long-since overripe Rhynchospora which must be collected earlier another season. These are not all. A problematic low shrub of some species of Pyrus, subg. Aronia, is quite like low and simple-stemmed shrubs from pine barrens and savanna of the southeastern Coastal Plain, its identity yet to be worked out; and some other puzzles, still awaiting study, were secured. One of them, the tiniest alder I know, fruiting shrubs only 2 to 3 feet high, with scattered simple and erect stems, mature leaves only 1 to 2 inches long and very small staminate aments, cones and fruits, is like similarly dwarf shrubs once collected by Long and me in a bushy sphagnous swamp, with Sarracenia flava and Lachnocaulon anceps, in Prince George County. This is so strikingly unlike other eastern Virginian alders, that I have dug out from hiding a study of the Swamp Alders of eastern America, a study begun nearly 40 years ago but several times shelved or pigeon-holed. This I am aiming to bring to a conclusion for publication in the near future. This little remnant of a springy and sphagnous bog is one of the unique and most interesting habitats in the Seward Forest. What a place it must have been before fire (Dr. Akerman's scrupulously avoided and most dreaded foe throughout the forest) got into the place!

At last it was time to leave. It had rained intermittently through five days out of seven and, of course, we got some of the downpour at the western border of the hurricane of the period. In early July of 1943 Long and I had discovered on the sandy beach of Whitefield's Millpond, southwest of Corinth in Southampton County, very young plants of an annual which closely simulated the southern and southwestern Eryngium prostratum, originally described from Arkansas. The material was too
young, but its fruit did not seem quite typical of E. prostratum, unknown within some hundreds of miles of southeastern Virginia. In October, 1943, after a week of downpour, following months of drouth, Akerman, Lewis and I visited Whitefield's Pond in search of ripe material. But the elements were not on our side. As I wrote in my last Virginian paper: "When we got to Sedley we were told that we could not get at Whitefield's Pond from the south, for the road was completely under water and the dam itself flooded. That sounded pretty bad, and when we reached Whitefield via Corinth, there was the overflowing pond extending back into the woods. The farmer living near-by told us that in the forty years he had lived there the water had never been so low as it was until the five-day rain came on. We could have wept. Locating a spot where the little Eryngium should be, I walked in to shoulder-depth (I was already drenched by rain), ducked and grabbed. Nothing but floating Utricularia and debris came up. The Eryngium still evades us"-Rhodora, xlv. 390 (1943).

That defeat had been rankling for two years; and when I reached Seward Forest I had urged that we use the accumulated gasoline with rigid economy, in order to try again on the last day, before I should take the night-train north from Emporia. So on Monday, the 18th, disappointed that Dr. Akerman must give up the trip with us, Lewis and I started for Whitefield's Pond. It still rained, so hard as seriously to obscure our vision, but we figured on getting to Whitefield by 9 in the morning and then having a full day for exploration. But Fate was still not wholly reconciled to our programme. On the way to Sedley, reached by a road full of unexpected angles and forkings, we were undecided which of two surfaced forks to take. Driving up to what in the rain looked like a filling station, we suddenly went bang! bang! A rear and a front wheel were down to their hubs in rotted tar pavement! The filling station had been deserted and we were alone on a deserted road. Luckily a friendly board-pile was soon discovered and eventually we pried ourselves out. It was afternoon when we got to Whitefield. Twenty feet of beach were still undrowned. The Eryngium, with sky-blue flowering and paler fruiting heads, made repent mats and by rapid work we secured a splendid type-series
(plates 897 and 898) before the early twilight. We had finally won! There was no time for exploration of the four miles of beach and marshy shore, but while uprooting the trailing branches of the undescribed Eryngium, I snatched a single plant of a Ludwigia which looked unfamiliar. It is; I can find nothing quite like it in the herbarium, but without fuller material I withhold further comment. The carpet of Polygonum bordering the outlet of Whitefield's Pond looked strange: with the very narrow (almost linear) leaves and thick finger-like panicles suggesting $P$. opelousanum Riddell, but the flowers deep pink, not greenish. A hastily snatched bunch had to suffice, but the plant proves to be a very definite new variety (plate 884) of $P$. hydropiperoides, represented in the Gray Herbarium by an old collection of Rugel's from Norfolk County; otherwise only from the region of Wilmington in southeastern North Carolina-a geographic segregation repeated by very many plants of the Coastal Plain of southeastern Virginia. Whitefield's Pond needs close study! The next morning, September 19, in a few short hours a regular cloud-burst precipitated 6 inches of rain over southeastern Virginia and caused disastrous floods. We had got the Eryngium; one day later we should have missed it.

Thus the two short visits to Seward Forest, with a total of 14 half-days or one week of field-work, brought their botanical returns in unexpected number, and it is possible to close off the very brief season of 1944 with a record of discovery not at all discreditable in view of the limitations. These results would have been impossible without the cordial and genuine hospitality and helpfulness of the Director, Staff and families of the Seward Forest. My gratitude to them all is very great.

## Part II. Technical Notes and Revisions

As usual in this series of papers, the more important rangeextensions are briefly assembled, even though already noted in the journal. Plants thought to be previously unrecorded from Virginia are indicated by an asterisk (*) and in all except the several technical studies, the names of collectors, Fernald \& Long, Fernald \& Lewis, etc., are omitted, the numbers sufficing. Since, for the most part, Lewis has a separate series of numbers,
plants collected by us both and of which only my own series of numbers are available are cited as Fernald (with Lewis), etc. Some studies which have resulted from earlier collections in the state by Mr. Bayard Long and me are included; a few plants, recently recorded by me elsewhere and new to Virginia, are briefly noted, that their records may be easily available; and the last discussion, although not growing immediately out of our field-work, is here included, since most of the plants discussed are Virginians. As for several years past, I am greatly indebted to Dr. Bernice G. Schubert for her skill in preparing the plates. The cost of engraving has been met through grants from the American Philosophical Society and from the Department of Biology of Harvard University.

Dryopteris cristata (L.) Gray. Brunswick Co.: bottomland woods near old Clipper's Mill, southwest of Triplett, no. 14,680 . Not recorded by Massey from Brunswick Co. See p. 101.

Festuca paradoxa Desv. Local range extended inland to Brunswick Co.: low woods along Meherrin River near Westward Bridge (or Mill), no. 14,554. Seen in other rich woodlands. See p. 97.

Vulpia Elliotea (Raf.), comb. nov. ?Festuca quadriflora Walt. Fl. Carol. 81 (1788), not Honkeny, Verz. Aller Gew. Teutschl. 268 (1782). F. monandra Ell. Sk. i. 170 (1816), in obs. on misapplied name $F$. myuros L., the full description being of the indigenous plant of S. C. Dasiola elliotea Raf. Neogen. 4 (1825). Festuca sciurea Nutt. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. n. s. v. 147 (1835). V. sciurea (Nutt.) Henrard in Blumea, ii. 323 (1937).

The earliest available name for this characteristic American (including Virginian) species is Dasiola Elliotea Raf. (1825), Rafinesque defining the new genus Dasiola with the single species D. Elliotea based on the very fully described Festuca monandra Elliott. Elliott's description is unequivocal; he called the plant the Old World F. myuros L., but said "I once considered this plant as distinct from the Linnaean F. myurus, and named it F. monandra; the description however of Lamarck renders it probable that it is the same: the only circumstances which still occasion any doubt, the hairy corolla and solitary filaments, are omitted in his description". The hairy "corolla" is distinctive of the native southern plant; and this comment, as well as Elliott's full account, leaves no question as to the identity
of his plant, therefore of Rafinesque's Dasiola Elliotea. Elliott's Festuca monandra can not be taken up. He published it only as a provisional name which he had himself abandoned.
V. octoflora (Walt.) Rydb., var. tenella (Willd.), comb. nov. Festuca tenella Willd. Sp. Pl. i. 419 (1797). F. octoflora, var. tenella (Willd.) Fernald in Rhodora, xxxiv. 209 (1932).
V. octoflora, var. glauca (Nutt.), comb. nov. Festuca tenella, ß. glauca Nutt. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc., ser. 2, v. 147 (1835). F. octoflora, var. glauca (Nutt.) Fernald, i. c. (1932).

It is difficult to understand why the genus Vulpia has not been generally taken up in America, except that Piper, in his North American Species of Festuca, Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. x. pt. 1 (1905), followed Hackel in treating it as Festuca, subg. Vulpia and Hitchoock and others have followed Piper. The two groups, true Festuca L. and Vulpia K. C. Gmelin, are very different in morphology and in geographic occurrence. Festuca is a genus of perennials, occurring in temperate regions of both northern and southern hemispheres and extending to the Arctic and to highalpine habitats. The florets open regularly and the plumose stigmas emerge from the sides of the lemmas; the 3 free anthers are exserted and, as we know, are so distinctive as to offer clear and diagnostic specific characters. The grain is ellipsoid or ovoid. In most species of true Festuca the 2nd glume is merely pointed, though sometimes awned, and the acute to blunt lemmas may be awnless or awned.

Vulpia, on the other hand, is a group chiefly of annuals, with the lower glume often greatly reduced, the upper one frequently awned, and the slender lemmas long-attenuate to long-awned. The florets do not open, but remain closed (cleistogamous) and are enlarged upward when the anther is mature, the 1 (rarely 3 ) included anther being appressed to the lemma or to the included stigmas and with nearly suppressed filament; and the linearcylindric grains are attenuate to each end. This characteristic group occurs in temperate Europe and the Mediterranean region (North Africa and southwestern Asia), in temperate (not frigid) North America and in western South America.

The fact that Hackel in his earlier work and in Engler \& Prantl merged Vulpia with Festuca is hardly sufficient ground for maintaining an artificial union. Hackel, likewise, merged other groups which, in Washington, have been officially segregated.

Thus, by Hackel, in his monumental Andropogoneae in DC. Mon. vi. (1889), Sorghum, Sorghastrum (Chrysopogon), including Rhaphis, Vetiveria, Cymbopogon, Hyparrhenia and Heteropogon, all maintained with us as genera, were merged into Andropogon; and just imagine how the ultraconservative and very accurate Hackel would have groaned at the segregation of Panicum as he conceived it; to him Digitaria, Trichachne, Brachiaria, Echinochloa, etc., were mere sections of Panicum. Since all or nearly all of his sections in Panicum and his subgenera in Andropogon (as well as in many other groups) are taken up in America as full genera, why discriminate against his Festuca, subg. Vulpia? In Europe and Africa nearly all, if not quite all, recent close students of the Gramineae regularly maintain Vulpia as a genus: Beck von Managetta, Rouy, Hegi, Lindman, Henrard and such sound and conservative British authorities on grasses as Bews, C. E. Hubbard and Vaughan. It seems reactionary to persist in merging Vulpia with Festuca ${ }^{1}$.

Eragrostis multicaulis Steud. Synop. Pl. Glum. i. 426 (1855). Glyceria airoides Steud. 1. c. 287 (1854), not Reichenb. (1827). E. pilosa, var. Damiensiana Bonnet in Naturaliste, iii. 412 (1881). E. pilosa, var. condensata Hackel in Allg. Bot. Zeitschr. vii. 13 (1901). E. peregrina Wiegand in Rhodora, xix. 95 (1917). E. Damiensiana (Bonnet) Thell. in Fedde, Repert. xxiv. 323 (1928).

I am indebted to Capt. Stanley J. Smith for calling my attention to the correct name for the ruderal annual which has rapidly spread in eastern North America and which is currently known as Eragrostis peregrina Wiegand. In their Grasses of Mauritius and Rodriguez, 43 (1940) the two distinguished English specialists on grasses, C. E. Hubbard and R. E. Vaughan, give the above bibliography of $E$. multicaulis, "Native of eastern Asia; introduced into Europe, America and Australia". Steudel originally described the species as Glyceria airoides from Japan but a year later, describing it under Eragrostis, he rightly gave a new specific name, since his earlier name, Glyceria airoides, was a later homonym. Now that we know $E$. multicaulis ( $E$. peregrina) to be introduced from eastern Asia, not indigenous, its behavior, suddenly appearing and then rapidly spreading, is easily understood. It well matches eastern Asiatic specimens.

[^18]Phleum pratense L., var. nodosum (L.) Schreb. Local range extended inland to Greensville Co.: roadside bordering sandy woods and thickets along Fontaine Creek, near Round Hill Church, no. 14,556.

Panicum agrostoides Spreng., var. Ramosius (Mohr) Fernald. Local range extended inland to western Greensville Co.: bottomland woods along Fontaine Creek, at mouth of Quarrel's Creek, no. 14,688.
P. roanokense Ashe. Range extended inland from Coastal Plain to western Greensville Co.: low woods, Mitchell's Millpond, west of Brink, no. 14,563 .

* Setaria Faberit Herrm. See Rhodora, xlvi. 57, 58 (1944). Abundantly naturalized in Roanoke and Botetourt Cos., C.E. Wood, Jr.

Erianthus alopecuroides (L.) Ell. Brunswick County: abundant in bottomland woods along Rattlesnake Creek, at old Clipper's Mill, southwest of Triplett, no. 14,690. Chiefly an inland species, here at our first station in the southeastern counties. See p. 100.

* Tripsacum dactyloides L., var. occidentale Cutler \& Anders. in Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. xxviii. 258 (1941). Brunswick County: border of low woods, Meherrin River at Westward Bridge (or Mill), no. 14,565. Halifax County: Lawson Creek, southwest of South Boston, June 21, 1938, Fosberg, no. 15,412. See p. 99 .

Recently distinguished as a supposed endemic of the Davis and the Chisos Mts. of western Texas, on account of the long and narrow acuminate glumes of the staminate spikelets. The plant at Westward Bridge is closely associated with Muhlenbergia glabriflora Scribn., there at its first known station east of the Mississippi Basin (southwestern Indiana and Illinois to Texas) see Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 379 and 385 (1943). It is a very close match for the TYPE-collection of var. occidentale (Moore \& Steyermark, no. 3092). Material from near Nashville, Tennessee, Gattinger, has even longer and narrower glumes and is comparable with coarse extremes of var. occidentale from Texas (Chisos Mts., Mueller, no. 7891 and Warnock, July 12, 1937, and Presidio Co., L. C. Hinckley, no. 1691).

The Identity of Cyperes virens Michx. (Plate 876, figs. $1-4)$. -In sorting the large accumulation of photographs of types assembled before the present war I have, rather naturally, been amazed to note that the type (Fig. 1, $\times 1 / 2$ ) of Cyperus virens Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 28 (1803), "Hab. in Carolina", is very
characteristic material of C. pseudovegetus Steud., Syn. Cyp. 24 (1855) and not at all the plant which Steudel and all his followers have erroneously called C.virens. C.virens (figs. 1 and 3 ) is a relatively slender plant, with culms $3-7.5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; leaves nearly equaling the culm and $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, not strongly spongy below; the ovate spikelets (fig. $4, \times 5$ ) very flat and soon, by spreading of the narrow scales, with slightly excurved mucronate tips (fig. 5), displaying the rachilla. The much coarser plant, erroneously passing as $C$. virens, has stout culms $0.5-1.2$ (in the tropics -1.8 ) m . high; its spongy-based leaves much shorter than the culms ("Folia culmo parum breviora"-Kükenthal) and $5-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; the slightly narrower spikelets relatively plump, with the broader and straight scales (FIG. 5, $\times 5$ ) rather closely imbricated at base, so that the rachilla remains partly hidden. Differences in the achenes and other characters not evident in the photograph of Michaux's type need not now concern us. The Michaux type (fig. $1, \times 1 / 2$, and fig. $2, \times 10$ ) is very evidently the same as C. pseudovegetus (figs. 3 and 4) and not at all the coarser and largely tropical plant. If Kükenthal's synonymy is safer to follow than his identifications of species (for Kunth's types should certainly have been available to him, even if inhibition and Germanic self-satisfaction kept him from seeing Michaux's in Paris), the coarser plant may perhaps be C. robustus Kunth, Enum. ii. 4 (1837). I have not yet checked that point, as it concerns a species as yet known only outside the area upon which I must chiefly concentrate. Michaux's C. virens was from Carolina. Of the slender species which perfectly matches his type there are before me 12 sheets from North Carolina, 9 from South Carolina, 16 from Virginia and others from Delaware, Maryland, the District of Columbia and New Jersey. Of the coarse tropical and subtropical species the northernmost specimen (the only one I have seen from the state) is from Washington County, on Albemarle Sound, in North Carolina (so near the Virginia line that I may yet have to settle the name!), with 5 sheets from river-swamps and tidal reaches of South Carolina. Michaux got the ubiquitous species of the Carolinas.

Cyperus (§ Umbellati) Plukenetii, sp. nov. (tab. 877), rhizomate subligneo crasso abbreviato; culmo subrigido scabropuberulo $0.3-1 \mathrm{~m}$. alto; foliis firmis scabris planis $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis
attenuatis; involucro $3-7$-phyllo, foliis quam radiis brevioribus scabris; radiis $4-12$, subrigidis scabris adscendentibus ad 2.5 dm . longis; spicis turbinato-obovoideis $1-2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; spiculis $75-$ 125 lineari-subulatis valde appresso-reflexis $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; squamis 4 vel 5 striatis terminale involuta firma subacerosa; acheniis linearibus $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis.-Dry or moist sands and rocks, Florida to Texas, north to New Jersey (possibly Long Island), southern Ohio and southeastern Missouri. Type: sandy pinelands, The Desert, Cape Henry, Virginia, July 28 and 29, 1934, Fernald \& Long, no. 3734 (in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.), distrib. as C. retrofractus (L.) Torr.

Cyperus Plukenetii, named for Leonard Plukenet (16411706), who originally described and illustrated it (our fig. 1) from Virginia, has been erroneously passing as C. retrofractus (L.) Torr., Fl. N. Y. ii. 344 (1843), Torrey's combination resting on the Scirpus retrofractus L. Sp. Pl. 70 (1753), our plate 878. Linnaeus, like Torrey and some others after him, confused two quite distinct species. His original account was very brief:
retrofractus. 17. SCIRPUS culmo triquetro, umbella simplici: spicarum flosculis retrofractis.
Cyperi genus indianam, panicula speciosa, spiculis propendentibus atris. Pluk. phyt. 415. f. 4. Habitat in Virginia.
As is so often the case, everyone since 1753 has taken the easier course. It was perfectly simple to turn to Plukenet and see his very characteristic figure (our fig. 1); it would have required more effort and considerable trouble to find out what Linnaeus actually had before him. If they had taken this trouble, it would have been evident that the Linnean type (plate 878, figs. 1 and 2) is not like the Plukenet plant, for Linnaeus had from Virginia a very characteristic specimen of Cyperus hystricinus Fernald in Rhodora, viii. 127 (1906), our plate 878, fig. 3. In plate 878 I show the type (figs. 1 and 2) of Scirpus retrofractus, $\times 1 / 3$ and $1 / 2$, from a photograph received from Mr. Savage. With it, $\times 1$, is an umbel from the type of Cyperus hystricinus ${ }^{1}$. That they are quite the same no one, who really understands Cyperus, can doubt.

True $C$. retrofractus ( $C$. hystricinus) differs in many characters from C. Plukenetii (C. retrofractus sensu Torrey, for the most

[^19]part, and most later authors, incl. Kükenthal in Engler, Pflanzenr. iv $^{20}$. fig. 56 (1935), the latter an excellent illustration of $C$. Plukenetii). The chief differences are as follows:
C. retrofractus: rhizome relatively slender and elongate, the corms (when more than 1) remote; culm smooth and glabrous; leaves smooth and glabrous, the basal $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; rays of umbel smooth, when fully mature mostly shorter than the smooth and narrow involucral leaves; spikes cylindric or cylindric-obovoid; spikelets golden brown, not pungent, soon loosely reflexed and promptly falling; achenes $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.
C. Plukenetir: rhizome stoutish, the corms (when more than 1) approximate; culm scabrous-puberulent; leaves harsh and pubescent, the basal 4-8 mm . wide; rays of umbel scabrous, when mature mostly much longer than the involucral leaves; spikes strongly turbinate-obovoid, tapering to acute obconic base; spikelets greenish, becoming drab or dull brown, pungent, soon tightly appressed-reflexed, long-persistent; achenes $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

If one takes Kükenthal's bibliography at its face value it will be found as unreliable for North American plants as his treatment of many of our species. Besides Scirpus retrofractus L. and the resultant combinations under Cyperus and Mariscus he gives, without the slightest indication of doubt, the synonym Mariscus pubescens Presl, Reliq. Haenk. i. 181 (1830). Now, Cyperus retrofractus sensu Kükenthal ( $C$. Plukenetii), with, to quote Kükenthal, involucral leaves (like the basal) "4-8 mm. lata plana", the "spicae obovato-turbinatae", "Spiculae omnes retroflexae", occurs from Florida to eastern Texas, north to New Jersey, southern Ohio and southeastern Missouri. Mariscus pubescens Presl was originally said to be from Monterey, California, its "Involucella setacea", the "Spicae cylindraceae", "Spiculae horizontales". Kükenthal has another guess coming, if he survives the war. He evidently accepted, without looking up the Presl plant or description, the entry in Index Kewensis, fasc. iii. 169 (1894) under Mariscus: "pubescens, J. \& C. Presl, Rel. Haenk. i. 181 = retrofractus". In the first fascicle, 697 (1893) the editor, Jackson, had entered the same plant as a maintained species of Cyperus: "pubescens, J. \& C. Presl, Rel. Haenk. i. 181-Calif.", thus making a new and superfluous name, for there was already a C. pubescens Steud. (1855) ${ }^{1}$.

Plate 876, figs. 1-4, Cyperus virens Michx.: fig. 1 , type, $\times 1 / 2$, photograph after Cintract; FIG. 2, spikelets, $\times 10$, from TYPE; FIG. 3 , inflorescences,

[^20]$\times 1 / 2$, of characteristic C. pseudovegetus Steud. from Accomac, Virginia, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 5225; Fig. 4, spikelet, $\times 5$, from no. 5225. Fig. 5, C. robustus Kunth (C. virens sensu Steudel and later auth., not Michx.): spikelet, $\times 5$, from Walterboro, Colleton Co., South Carolina, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 523.

Plate 877, Cyperus Plukenetii Fernald: fig. 1, Plukenet's figure of Cyperi genus indianam, etc. from Virginia, included by Linnaeus under his mixed Scirpus retrofractus; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 1$, of TYPE; FIG. 3, characteristic base, $\times 1$, from Cypress Bridge, Southampton Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 6040 .

Plate 878, C. retrofractus (L.) Torr. as to type: fig. 1 , type, $\times 1 / 3$, of Scirpus retrofractus L., courtesy of Mr. S. Savage; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 1 / 2$, of TYPe; fig. 3, inflorescence, $\times 1$, of type of $C$. hystricinus Fernald; fig. 4, characteristic rhizome, $\times 1$, from Joyner's Bridge, Isle of Wight Co., Virginia, Fernald, Griscom \& Long, no. 6528.
C. odoratus L. Sp. Pl. i. 46 (1753). C. ferax Richard in Act. Soc. Hist. Nat. Par. i. 106 (1792).-Frequent in saline and brackish marshes or on shores along the coast.

Since some have questioned the identity of these two species, a memorandum by Dandy in Exell, Cat. Vasc. Pl. So. Tomé, 360 (1944), is important to quote. Under C. odoratus L. he says:

This species is the true $C$. odoratus of Linnaeus, which was based on the Jamaican plant named Cyperus odoratus, panicula sparsa, spicis strigosioribus viridibus by Sloane, Cat. Pl. Ins. Jam. 35 (1696); Yoy. Jam. Nat. Hist. I. 116, t. 74, fig. I (1707). . . . The original specimen from which Sloane's figure was drawn is preserved in Herb. Sloane (vol. II. fol. 46) at the British Museum, and is identical with C. ferax Rich. There was no specimen of $C$. odoratus in the Linnean Herbarium in 1753, and the type of the species is Sloane's figure (since Linnaeus did not see the actual specimen). The name C. odoratus has been misapplied to $C$. polystachyos and other species.
C. tenuifolius (Steud.) Dandy in Exell, Cat. Vasc. Pl. So. Tomé, 363 (1944).-Seen along wet woodroads in the Seward Forest, but not collected; common farther east.
C. tenuifolius (Steud.) Dandy is the plant generally known as Kyllinga pumila Michx. (1803), the genus Kyllinga now very generally reduced to Cyperus. Its essential synonymy, as given by Dandy, is as follows:
C. tenuifolius (Steud.) Dandy, 1. c. (1944). Kyllinga pumila Michx. (1803), not C. pumilus L. (1756). K. elongata Kunth (1816), not C. elongatus Steud. (1855). K. caespitosa Nees (1842), not C. caespitosus Poir (1806). K. tenuifolia Steud. (1855). K. rigidula Steud. in part (1855), not C. rigidulus Vahl (1806). C. densicaespitosus Mattf. \& Kükenth. (1936).

* Fimbristylis Drummondi Boeckl. Brunswick County: damp openings in woods, "Moseley flat pineland", near Triplett, Fernald (with J. B. Lewis), no. 14,568. See p. 95.

Fimbristylis Drummondii has been variously confused with a number of other species, particularly with the tropical South American and very distinct $F$. spadicea (L.) Vahl and the halophilous North American $F$. castanea (Michx.) Vahl and $F$. caroliniana (Lam.) Fernald ( $=F$. puberula (Michx.) Vahl). $F$. castanea is the coarse and densely cespitose, rigid plant of salt marshes and saline shores, from the West Indies and Florida to Texas, extending northward on saline marshes to Long Island. Its coriaceous dark sheaths, rigid culms up to 1 m . tall, its lustrous and coriaceous broadly rounded scales and the castaneous broad-ovoid achenes clearly mark it. F. caroliniana (Lam.) Fernald in Rhodora, xlii. 246 (1940) is the same as $F$. puberula (Michx.) Vahl and its habit was clearly shown in Rhodora xxxvii. t. 388 (1935). It is contrasted with $F$. castanea by its small soft-based tufts, with paler sheaths, its prolonged and slender cord-like scaly stolons, its thinner and membranaceous scales, at least the outer ones puberulent, and the narrower and paler achene. It occurs on brackish or saline sands, flats or marshes and in dune-hollows along the coast from Florida to Texas, northward to New Jersey.

Fimbristylis Drummondii, on the other hand, is nonstoloniferous; its culm-bases are enlarged and bulbous and when fully developed it makes dense tussocks with the stout rhizome forking into thick crowns covered with the bulbous-based tufts. Too many specimens in herbaria, however, merely pulled off from the rhizome, fail to display this distinctive character and very young and first-fruiting plants often have only poorly developed rhizomes. They have, however, the bulbous bases which are characteristic, the puberulent scales and the pale achenes. This plant, which has recently been confused with the others, is a species of peats, sterile meadows, pine and oak barrens, and other acid habitats. It occurs from Florida to eastern Texas, northward to Virginia, southeastern Pennsylvania, the New Jersey pine barrens and the Hempstead Plains of Long Island; in the interior across Tennessee and Arkansas to southern Michigan, Illinois and Missouri. Whereas the halophilous F. castanea and $F$. caroliniana fruit from late July to October, the inland F. Drummondii is mature from May into July, the Virginia material, collected in June, being over-ripe. One other species of this
series should be noted, $F$. interior Britton in Britt. \& Br. Ill. Fl. ed. 2, i. 320, fig. 785 (1913), a species of the Great Plains, from east-central Nebraska to eastern Colorado, south to Texas. Somewhat resembling both $F$. caroliniana and $F$. Drummondii, the material has bulbous-based tufts of the latter but shows no tendency to produce subligneous rhizomes and occasionally it develops stolons suggesting those of the former species. Its scales, however, are firmer and glabrous or glabrescent and its achenes with many more longitudinal ribs than in the others. It seems to be a well defined campestrian species.

The correct application of the name Fimbristylis Drummondii needs clarification, for its author, Boeckeler, created a confusion regarding it, the effects of which still linger. Boeckeler published his first $F$. Drummondii in Flora, xli. 603 (1858), a plant with "basi valde bulboso-incrassato, bulbo (crassitie nucis Coryli minoris) vaginis . . . coreaceis. . . . obtecto
squamis . . . omnibus puberulis", etc. This species consisted of two varieties: " $\alpha$. minor; culmo subpedali, umbella subsimplici, spicis magis ovatis foliis superne scabris. Prope N. Orleans legit Drummond. (In hrb. ej. sub Nro. 416.)" and " $\beta$. major; culmis elatis (sesquipedalibus) ; spicis paulo majoribus subglobosis, involucellis squamisque glabrescentibus. Ad rio Brazas terrae Texanae legit Drummond". Since var. $\alpha$. minor was the first defined and since two sheets of Drummond's no. 416 from New Orleans before me have the puberulent scales as described in Boeckeler's full description I am taking these to be isotypes of $F$. Drummondii. His var. $\beta$. major seems to contradict his fuller description in having "squamis glabrescentibus". Boeckeler cited no number and, presumably, his type is now destroyed. If it came from the upper Brazos it might have been $F$. interior Britton; if from the tidal reaches of the lower Brazos it might have been the glabrous-scaled F. castanea (Michx.) Vahl, which abounds in coastwise Texas. The latter species does not have bulbous bases, however; but Boeckeler's "squamis glabrescentibus" is not easily reconciled with his "squamis omnibus puberulis" of his primary description of $F$. Drummondii. Although the identity of var. $\beta$. major can not now be settled, the identity of var. $\alpha$. minor is clear. It is the plant I am taking up as $F$. Drummondii.

In 1836 Torrey, treating the all-inclusive Isolepis, placed together in one series two species, $I$. capillaris, the tiny capillaryleaved annual now known as Bulbostylis capillaris, and the new I. Drummondii Torr. \& Hook. in Ann. Lyc. Nat. Hist. N. Y. iii. 350 (1836), this being a very tall plant, with firm "Culm 3 feet high . . . Spikes half an inch long . . . Scales closely appressed [coriaceous], smooth . . Hab. Texas, T. Drummond!", the authors stating that it has the habit of Fimbristylis spadicea. I have not seen the type but the description suggests $F$. castanea. Still another Drummond plant from Texas was described by Boeckeler, this his $F$. anomala in Flora, xliii. 242 (1860), "Caespitosa; radice valide fibrosa stolonifera; stolonibus tenuibus (crass. pennae corvinae) . . . culmo 1-2 pedali rigido . . . spicis 3-4 lin. longis 11/3 lin. latis squamis arcte imbricatis . . . , inferioribus puberulis Texas. Herb. Drummond. Nr. 445." This number, likewise, I have not seen. The point in bringing into the discussion Isolepis Drummondii, with culms "3 feet high" and smooth scales, and $F$. anomala, 1-2 feet high, stoloniferous, with lower scales puberulent, is that they both soon figured under another name, F. Drummondii (Torr. \& Hook.) Boeckeler in Linnaea, xxxvii. 21 (1871), based nomenclaturally upon Isolepis Drummondii Torr. \& Hook., with $F$. anomala cited as a synonym, this plant stoloniferous, the subsolitary culms $11 / 2-21 / 2$ feet high, the scales of the spikelet membranaceous-margined, "nitidulis".

Naturally, there can be no second valid Fimbristylis Drummondii (Torr. \& Hook.) Boeckl. (1871), in view of the earlier and different F. Drummondii Boeckl. (1858), which is the eastern species with bulbous-based tufts arising from stout caudices or hard rhizomes, with the outer scales of the spikelets puberulent. Whether $F$. anomala is an earlier name for $F$. interior I do not know; only examination of Boeckeler's type or of an unquestioned isotype can settle that. But for our plant the name $F$. Drummondii Boeckl. (1858) seems to be the correct one.

Scirpus polyphyllus Vahl. To the very few stations in the southeastern counties add one in Brunswick Co.: margin of Mill Creek, southwest of Ebony, no. 14,566.
S. divaricatus Ell. Local range extended inland to western Greensville Co.: bottomland woods along Quarrel's Creek below Pair's Store, no. 14,567 . See p. 101.

Fuirena squarrosa Michx. (F. hispida Ell.). Local range extended into the Piedmont in Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,692; culms weak and reclining. See p. 103.

Rhynchospora corniculata (Lam.) Gray. Range extended back into the Piedmont. Greensville Co.: bottomland woods along Fontaine Creek, at mouth of Quarrel's Creek, no. 14,694. Brunswick Co.: bottomland woods along Rattlesnake Creek, at old Clipper's Mill, southeast of Triplett, no. 14,693. See p. 100.
R. Globularis (Chapm.) Small, var. recognita Gale ( $R$. cymosa sensu Torr. and later auth., not Ell.). Local range extended from Coastal Plain inland to Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,569 ; damp openings in woods, Moseley flat pineland, near Triplett, nos. 14,570 and 14,571. See p. 103.

Scleria pauciflora Muhl. To the counties from which this species is known (see Rhodora, xxxix. 392) add Greensville Co.: swaley clearing along Quarrel's Creek, below Pair's Store, no. 14,573 . Also Brunswick Co.: damp woods along branch, east of Moseley flat pineland, near Triplett, no. 14,574. See p. 96.

Carex hirsutella Mackenzie. Brunswick County: woods, Moseley flat pineland, near Triplett, no. 14,581, our first station in the southeastern counties. Plant very lax, with flaccid, loosely divergent culms, the inflorescences lopping to the ground. See. p. 96.

Commelina virginica L. (C. hirtella Vahl). Ordinarily with erect flowering stems, the plants in bottomland woods along Meherrin River at Westward Bridge (or Mill) have them depressed or trailing (no. 14,695).

Since the summer had been one of unusual drouth and the Commelina was well above the level of the river in mid-September the trailing habit was, obviously, not induced, at least during this season, by drowning.

The Identity of Juncus tenuis (Plate 879).—Juncus tenuis was described by Willdenow, Sp. Pl. ii1 ${ }^{1}$. $214(1800)^{1}$, as follows:

> *23. JUNCUS tenuis W.
> J. culmo teretiusculo indiviso, foliis linearibus canaliculatis, corymbo terminali, calycinis foliolis acuminatis capsula triquetra obtusa longioribus. W.
> Schlanke Simse. W.

[^21]> Habitat in America boreali. ${ }^{1}$ \&(v. s.)
> Culmus dodrantalis teretiusculus subcompressus basi foliosus. Folia ut in praecedente. Flores ut in praecedente [i. e. J. bulbosus sensu Willd. incl. J. compressus Jacq. and $J$. Gerardi Loisel.] sed duplo majores et rami laterales corymbi majis elongati.
> Foliola calycina lancoolata acuminata capsula paulo longiora. Capsula triquetra truncato-obtusa. W.

Practically without exception the name Juncus tenuis was thereafter correctly applied to a widespread and very common species with drab or pale brown young sheaths, flattish (though on drying often inrolled) leaves with whitish scarious and friable margins to the sheaths, the elongate-oblong or lanceolate auricles thin and scarious or thin-hyaline, the inflorescence greatly overtopped by 2 or more flattish bracts, the flowers, in more open inflorescences, inclined to be grouped in 3 's, the perianth overtopping the oblong-ovoid capsule which is retuse at summit and partially 3 -locular. So firmly established was the name J. tenuis Willd. for this clear-cut and wide-ranging species (much of North America, Europe, Australia, New Zealand, etc.) that it came as a shock when, in 1929, the late Kenneth K. Mackenzie announced:
"Juncus tenuis Willd. (Sp. Pl. 2: 214. 1799), 'Habitat in America boreali,' is Juncus dichotomus Elliott (Bot. S. Carolina \& Georgia 1: 406. 1817), and is not the plant appearing as Juncus tenuis in our current manuals. Dr. Diels, Director of the Botanical Garden and Museum at Berlin, has very kindly sent me from Willdenow's material portions showing the leaf-blade, the mouth of the sheath, the inflorescence, and the seeds. The leaf-blade is not flattened, and the auricles are rounded cartilaginous and not conspicuously prolonged.
"I was brought to look into this matter by noting that Steudel (Syn. Pl. Glum. 2: 305. 1855) described Juncus tenuis Willd. as with 'vaginis adpressis ore nudis'. On the same page he described a new species Juncus germanorum ('J. tenuis Auctor. Germ.') as with 'vaginis laxiusculis ore in marginem membranaceum utrinque productis (ligulam mentientem)'. This last, of course, is a very accurate description of the plant appearing in our manuals as Juncus tenuis"-Mackenzie in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. lvi. 25 (1929).
That seemed to settle the matter and I promptly fell into the unintentional trap. In my search for the earliest name for Juncus tenuis of most authors I found, while in England, that the earliest available name (if $J$. tenuis Willd. is indeed only $J$.

[^22]dichotomus Ell.) seemed to be J. macer S. F. Gray, Nat. Arr. Brit. Pl. ii. 104 (1821). This decision I announced in Journ. Bot. lxviii. 366 (1930) and, most unfortunately, my interpretation has been generally accepted in America, Europe and Australia.

The difficulty seems to be, that Willdenow had mixed material. From Mackenzie's account the fragments sent to him were apparently from $J$. dichotomus Ell. That species (figs. 5-7), however, is strongly distinguished from $J$. macer ( $J$. tenuis of Rostkovius, Engelmann, Buchenau, Gray, Britton, etc.), figs. $1-4$, by many characters:
J. macer: (1) Tufts or tussocks relatively soft; young membranaceous sheaths drab or pale brown to greenish; (2) with whitish friable broad scarious margins; (3) the uninjured auricles lance-triangular to -oblong, scarious or thin-hyaline and much longer than broad; (4) leaf-blades flat or broadly canaliculate; (5) lower involucral bract and ordinarily 1 or 2 others much prolonged beyond the cyme; (6) cyme either compact or open and with elongate outer branches, each branch or branchlet (in the typical form) with 2-6 (commonly 3) approximate flowers; (7) prophylla thin or membranaceous, greenish or drab; (8) sepals much longer than capsule; (9) capsule retuse, 3 -locular; (10) anthers much shorter than filaments; etc.
J. DIchotomus Ell.: (1) Dense and hard tussocks with inner firm sheaths purple-tinged, the outer brown, (2) without friable margins; (3) short rounded auricles firm and cartilaginous; (4) leaf-blades filiform, merely slenderly channeled on upper side; (5) lower involucral bract shorter than or but slightly exceeding cyme, the others shorter; (6) cyme with flowers mostly secund and alternate along the branchlets; (7) prophylla coriaceous, pale to deeper-brown; (8) sepals and capsule subequal; (9) capsule rounded at summit, 1-locular; (10) anthers nearly equaling filaments.

Willdenow's original description, of course, has final significance. This was beautifully supplemented by the dissertation on Juncus by Rostkovius-De Iunco (1801)-for Rostkovius definitely states that his dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Medicine ${ }^{1}$ was based upon the material of Juncus in Willdenow's Herbarium: "Cum absoluto cursu academico de specimine inaugurali meditarer, inter varia argumenta suasu optimi Praeceptoris Clarissimi Wiledenowif, Professoris Historiae naturalis Berolini, e ditissimo Suo Herbario Iunci genus selegi, quod benevolentia Celeberrimorum Virorum Linkif, Mühl-

[^23]encergit, Stephanit, et Hoppil valde auctum evasit, spe fretus hocce tentamen Botanophilis haud ingratum fore".-Rostk. Iunc. Praefatio (1801). Not only did Rostkovius (his p. 24) evidently study the plant which Willdenow had described as J.tenuis; he gave a very detailed description of it and an illustration of the characteristic and wholly distinctive inflorescence (our plate 879, fig. 1). Here is the account by Rostkovius:

## 18. Iuncus tenuis. Tab. Nost. I. fig. 3.

I. culmo folioso simplici teretiusculo, foliis canaliculatis, corymbo terminali dichotomo foliis floralibus breviore, capsula oblongo obtusa petalis breviore.
I. culmo teretiusculo indiviso, foliis linearibus canaliculatis, corymbo terminali, calycinis foliolis acuminatis capsula triquetra obtusa longioribus. $S p . p l . e d . W .2$. p. 214.
I. foliolus minimus campestris et nemorensis Gron. virg. 152.

Gramen iunceum virginianum calyculis paleaceis bicorne Moris. hist. 3. p. 228. f. 8. †. 9. f. 15.
Gramen iunceum elatius pericarpiis ovatis americanum Pluk. alm. 179. t. 92. f. 9.

Habitat in America boreali. 2.
Culmus semipedalis vel pedalis erectus simplex teretiusculus basi foliosus.

Folia linearia canaliculata.
Corymbus terminalis dichotomus, ramis multifloris.
Folia floralia bina, sub corymbo, linearia canalicu-
lata, quorum alterum corymbo quadruplo longius, alterum
longitudine corymbi vel paulo longius.
Calyx bivalvis membranaceus, valvulis lanceolatis
acutis.
Corolla hexapetala, petalis lanceolatis acuminatis
margine membranaceis, interioribus parum brevioribus.
Capsula oblonga triquetra obtusa basi styli
persistentis coronata, trilocularis trivalvis polysperma, petalis brevior.

Similis praecedenti [J. bulbosus sensu Willd., i. e.
$J$. compressus and J. Gerardi], sed corymbo dichotomo paucifloro, petalis acuminatis capsula longioribus diversus. Flores fere ut in Iunco bufonio. Synonyma Iunci nodosi a Linnaeo adducta huc per.

Now if we make an analysis of the differential characters of Juncus tenuis, as originally dess ibed by Willdenow, almost immediately thereafter and ; great detail by Rostkovius from Willdenow's material, and by Engelmann, Buchenau, Wiegand and others who have maintained it in the sense of $J$. macer, (our figs. 1-4) and those of $J$. tenuis sensu Steudel and Mac-
kenzie and those of us who have supposed that they were right (i. e. J. dichotomus Ell.), our figs. 5-7, we get the following results. The index-numbers are those used in the contrasts given on p. 119 .

| (1) Habit | J. tenuis as defined by Willdenow and by Rostkovius from Willdenow's material | J. Dichotomus Elliott (J. tenuis sensu Steudel and Mackenzie) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No statement (Willd.) | "very small tufts" (Ell.) |
| (2) basal sheaths | No statement (Willd.) | No statement (Ell.) |
| (3) auricles | No statement (Willd.) | No statement (Ell.) |
| (4) basal leaves | linear, channeled (Willd., Rostk.); "readily distinguished . . . by its flat leaves, $\ldots$ only... on the margin slightly involute" (Engelm.); "lax, flat and soft, rarely slightly involute" (Wieg.); "folia . . . plana" (Buch.) |  |
| culms | nine inches high (Willd.); $1 / 2-$ 1 ft . (Rostk.); a few inches to 2 ft . (Engelm.) ; 2-6 dm., commonly spreading (Wieg.); 10-40 (rarely -90) cm. (Buch.) | $1-2 \mathrm{ft}$. (Ell.) ; 3-10 dm., stiff, erect (Wieg.); 20-80 cm., strict (Buch.) |
| (5) lower involucral bracts | 2, linear-canaliculate, 4 times as long as corymb (Rostk.); 2, rarely 3 , foliaceous, much exceeding inflorescence (Wieg.) 2 (rarely 1 or 3 ), frondose, "inflorescentia ... longe superata" (Buch.) | "One ... sometimes longer than the panicle, the others much shorter" (Ell.); "either longer or shorter" (Wieg.) "bractea infima frondosa inflorescentia nunc longior, nunc brevior" (Buch.) |
| (6) cyme | lateral branches much elongate (Willd.); "ramis multifloris" (Rostk.) ; fig. of Rostk. with flowers in 3's at tips of branches; flowers somewhat aggregated at ends of very unequal branches (Wieg.); open, mostly many-flowered, anthelate (Buch.) | dichotomus, flowers alternate and terminal (Ell.); anthelate, dense, rarely open (Buch.) |
| (7) prophylla | membranaceous (Rostk.) | coriaceous |
| (8) comparative length of sepals and capsule | longer than capsule (Willd.) "('apsula, . . . petalis brevior" (Rostk.); exceeding capsule (Engelm.); "capsule . . . shorter than the perianth" (Wieg.) "Fructus tepalis brevior" (Buch.) | "Capsule . . ., when mature, as long as the calyx" (Ell.); "capsule $3-78$ the length of the perianth" (Wieg.); "Fructus perigonium fere aequantia" (Buch.) |

> J. Tenurs as defined by Willdenow and by Rostkovius from Willdenow's material
J. Dichotomus Elliott
(J. tenuis sensu Steudel and Mackenzie)
(9) capsule

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { "Capsula triquetra truncato- "oval, nearly globose" (Ell.); } \\
\text { obtusa" (Willd.); "Capsula "subglobose, } \\
\text { oblonga triquetra obtusa...., but never } \\
\text { retuse ... the ripe pods as- } \\
\text { trilocularis" (Rostk.); retuse sume a mahogany color" } \\
\text { (Engelm.); "thin-walled, ob- (Engelm.); ""ovate-oblong, } \\
\text { tuse", "3-celled" (Wieg.) }
\end{array}
$$

From this summary of the characters recognized by the original authors and by the closest students of the group in the past (with length of stamens and some other characters not mentioned by Willdenow or Rostkovius omitted) it should be apparent that the plant which Willdenow and, after him, Rostkovius, redescribing the Willdenovian material, had before them was of the species which Kunth, E. Meyer, Engelmann, Gray, Wiegand, Britton, Buchenau and most others have regularly and correctly recognized as $J$. tenuis, the plant which, most unfortunately, I took up in 1930 as $J$. macer S. F. Gray. The plant called J. tenuis by Steudel in 1855 and by Mackenzie in 1929 was obviously not what Willdenow diagnosed and Rostkovius more fully described and illustrated. Whether it was contemporaneous in the Willdenow Herbarium with the material actually described by him we may never know. At any rate, we cannot accept it as the type of his very different species; it is obviously material of J. dichotomus Ell.

Confusions in the old and much handled herbaria are common and no specimen in them should be accepted as the type of the briefly described old species unless it agrees with the description. That seems axiomatic, but too many students overlook the necessity to eliminate the demonstrably extraneous or subsequently acquired specimens. In case of the Willdenow Herbarium, now tragically lost, to the incalculable detriment of our science, such confusions have been demonstrated. Thus, as pointed out by Weatherby in Contrib. Gray Herb. no. cxxiv. 19 (1939), various students were misled by a confusion regarding the type of Acrostichum lanuginosum Willd. Quite similarly in Rhodora, xxxv. 193-195 (1933), I showed that students had been taking the wrong plant as the type of Elymus striatus

Willd. Juncus tenuis seems to be another case in which early confusion of material crept in. At any rate, we may now, happily, come out of the misinterpretation which has recently prevailed and again use the name Juncus tenuis as Willdenow described it and as most botanists up to Mackenzie have correctly interpreted it. With this reinstatement of long-established and erroneously abandoned temporary usage the following combination becomes necessary:
*Juncus tenuis Willd., forma discretiflorus (F. J. Hermann), comb. nov. J. macer, forma discretiflorus F. J. Hermann in Rhodora, xl. 82 (1938).

Although Hermann had seen forma discretiflorus only from the southern third of Indiana, it is of wider range, south at least into Tennessee and eastward to New York, Pennsylvania and Virginia. The following Virginian material is much larger than Hermann's largest specimen ("ultimate branches of inflorescence frequently 7 cm . long"), for its longer branches have a length of 15 cm :

Sussex County: wooded bottomland, Jones Hole Swamp, west of Coddyshore, Fernald \& Long, no. 10,187.

Although, as noted by me in Journ. Bot. 1xviii. 365 (1930), the Michaux material of his Juncus bicornis, Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 191 (1803) "is without question . . . J. dichotomus", the earlier and often misinterpreted name of Michaux cannot be taken up to replace Elliott's later one. After his not too convincing diagnosis of $J$. bicornis Michaux confused matters by giving as an exact synonym " $J$. tenuis. Rostk. 24. t. 1. f. 3 ", the $J$. tenuis of Rostkovius being identical with and based upon $J$. tenuis Willd. (1800). By the present International Rules the name J. bicornis is, therefore, illegitimate, for Michaux was giving a new name and not taking up the valid earlier one as he should have done. ${ }^{1} J$. dichotomus Ell., therefore stands but

[^24]another plant which has been associated with it seems to be specifically distinct from it. It is discussed in the following notes.

Juncus platyphyllus (Wiegand), stat. nov. Plate 880. J. dichotomus Ell., var. platyphyllus Wiegand in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxx. 448 (1903). J.tenuis Willd., var. platyphyllus (Wiegand) Cory in Rhodora, xxxviii. 405 (1936). See p. 96.
losa (Michx.) Fernald in Rhodora, xvii. 164 (1915). Pteretis nodulosa (Michx.) Nieuwl. in Am. Mid. Nat. iv. 334 (1916). $P$. Struthiopteris, var. pensylvanica las pennsylvanica] (Willd.) Farwell in Rep. Mich. Acad. Sci. xxi. 346 (1920). S. Struthiopteris, var. pensylvanica (Willd.) Farw. in Am. Mid. Nat. xii. 252 (1931).
P. pensylvanica, forma pubescens (Terry), comb. nov. Struthiopteris germanicu, f. pubescens Terry in Clute in Fern Bull. xvi. 5 and 47 (1908), originally published by Clute as "Ostrich Fern var. pubescens". Onoclea Struthiopteris, var. pubescens (Terry) Clute, 1. c. (1908). S. pubescens (Terry) Clute in Fern Bull. xvi. 48 (1908). S. pensylvanica, f. pubescens (Terry) Clute, 1. c. (1908). Matteuccia pubescens (Terry) Clute, 1. c. (1908). M. Struthiopteris, f. pubescens and var. pubescens (Terry) Clute, 1. c. (1908). Pteretis nodulosa, f. pubescens (Terry) Fernald in Rhodora, xxxvii. 219 (1935). P. Struthiopteris, var. pensylvanica, subvar. pubescens (Terry) Clute in Am. Fern. Journ. xxxvii. 15 (1937).
P. pensylvanica, forma obtusilobata (Clute), comb. nov. Onoclea Struthiopteris. f. obtusilobata Clute in Fern. Bull. xviii. 111 (1910). Struthiopteris germanica, f. obtusilobata Clute, I. c. (1910). P. nodulosa, f. obtusilobata (Clute) Fernald in Rhodora, xxxvii. 219 (1935). I'. Struthiopteris, var. pensylvanica, subvar. obtusilobata (Clute) Farwell in Am. Fern Journ. xxvii. 15 (1937).
P. pensylvanica, forma foliacea (Farw.), comb. nov. $P$. Struthiopteris, var. pensylvanica, subvar. foliacea Farwell in Am. Fern Journ. xxvii. 15 (1937). I'. nodulosa, f. foliacea (Farw.) Broun, Index N. Am. Ferns, 150 (1938).

Unfortunately the combination Ptertis nodulosa is based upon an illegitimate name. When he published his Onoclea nodulosa Michaux gave what he thought two earlier synonyms for it. Although these do not apply to the plant he described, he nevertheless thought that they did. He should, therefore, have taken up the earlier specific name of the two. Both Swartz (1806) and Schkuhr (1809) repeated the supposed synonyms. Willdenow (1810) described his Struthiopteris pensylvanica from Muhlenberg material and gave no earlier name for it. His name is the first legitimate one for our plant.

Scirpus rubricosus, nom. nov. S. Etiophorum Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 33 (1803), as to plant described "spiculis copiosissimis, rufidulis, ovatis, omnibus distincte pedicellatis" and the "Hab. a Virginia ad Georgiam," not as to synonym, Eriophorum cyperinum L., cited.

Michaux well described the tall southeastern species (Florida to Tex., north to southeastern Massachusetts, Long Island, New Jersey, southeastern Pennsylvania, Maryland, West Virginia, Indiana and Illinois) with spikelets drooping on long pedicels and with bractlets, scales, etc., red-ochre in color (whence the new name); and his southern material, preserved at Paris, is unequivocal. He complicated matters, however, by giving the synonym Eriophorum cyperinum 1. If, as he thought, his species was the Linnean one he should have used the latter's specific name. S. cyperinus (L.) Kunth, however, is a relatively northern species with the spikelets sessile in glomerules (Newfoundland to Minnesota. south to upland North Carolina and to Oklahoma). When Willdenow described the inclusive S. thyrsiflorus Willd. Enum. Hort. Berol. 78 (1809) he cited as mere synonyms S. Eriophorum Michx. and Eriophorum cyperinum L. Here again he neglected to take up the earliest specific name and by the International Rules of 1935 his S. thyrsifforus is illegitimate.
S. rubricosus, forma praelongus (Fernald), comb. nov. S. Eriophorum, 1. praelongus Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 383 (1942).

Although Wiegand distinguished his var. platyphyllus from $J$. dichotomus merely by its "Leaves expanded and flat, otherwise as in the type", he and those who have seen only that character (less evident in dry foliage), overlooked several important points. $J$. dichotomus is a stiffly erect or ascending plant of the outer coastal strip, forming hard tussocks, with the inner firm sheaths purple-tinged, the outer ones brown. Its leaf-blades are stiff, filiform, or merely very slenderly channeled on the upper side, with short rounded firm and cartilaginous basal auricles (plate 879, fig.4). The lustrous hard perianth equals or but slightly exceeds the strongly lustrous brown obscurely 1-locular capsule (plate 879, fig. 6).

In J. platyphyllus (a hardly descriptive name), on the other hand, the small tufts are relatively soft, although the fresh inner sheaths are purple-tinged; the blades are flat (FIG. 2) or, on drying, merely inrolled; the auricles (fig. 2) are truncate or merely round-tipped, of firm-membranaceous texture and drab or fuscous, about as broad as long (these differing from the whitish scarious and friable lance-triangular or -oblong auricle of the green- to drab-sheathed $J$. tenuis Willd.); and the relatively soft perianth (FIG. 3) exceeds the paler-brown partially 3-locular capsule, in which the partitions extend half-way to the axis. Other characters in the bracteoles, seeds, etc. are good, but less obvious. Whereas $J$. dichotomus is strictly a coastwise species, J. platyphyllus extends far inland (to central Maine, western New York, the Piedmont of Pennsylvania, etc.). In Virginia it is common on the inner Coastal Plain and at least the outer Piedmont: to westernmost Brunswick Co., near the Mecklenberg line, 120 miles due west of False Cape, on the coast, where $J$. dichotomus prevails.

The collections (many more could have been made) of Juncus platyphyllus are the following from Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,585; damp thicket northeast of Ebony, no. 14,583.

Plate 879, figs. 1-4, Juncus tenuis Willd. (J. macer S. F. Gray): fig. 1, inflorescence, $\times 1$, of TYPE, after Rosthovius; FIG. 2 , characteristic inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Middletown, Rhode Island, July 4, 1909, E. F. Williams; fig. 3, summit of sheath, showing friable hyaline margin and thin, prolonged and easily shriveled auricle, $\times 5$, from Southington, Connecticut, L. Andrews, no. 189; fic. 4, mature fruits, $\times 6$, from Kinight's Island, North Hero, Ver-
mont, July 14, 1901, Ezra Brainerd. Figs. 5-7, J. dichotomus Ell. (J. tenuis sensu Steudel, Mackenzie and their followers, not Willd.): FIG. 5, summit of sheath and base of leaf, $\times 10$, from Angier, Hartnett Co., South Carolina, Godfrey, no. 4266 ; FIG. 6, inflorescence, $\times 1$, from no. 4266 ; FIG. 7 , fruit, $\times 6$, from no. 4266 .

Plate 880, J. platyphyllus (Wiegand) Fernald: fig. 1, inflorescence, $\times 1$, from near Burgess Station, Dinwiddie Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 10,185 ; FIG. 2 , summit of sheath and base of leaf, $\times 10$, from no. 10,185 ; FIG. 3 , capsules, $\times 6$, from no. 10,185.

A second Station for Juncus Griscomi.-Two species of Juncus § Genuini are among the rarest plants of the eastern United States. The famous J. gymnocarpus Coville has a few remote stations, the northernmost in a sphagnous swamp on top of Broad Mountain in Schuylkill Co., Pennsylvania, where it was discovered by the late Charles $E$. Smith, the species appropriately called J. Smithii Engelm., but, on account of the earlier use of that name, changed to J.gymnocarpus. The other is J. Griscomi Fernald in Rhodora, xxxviii. 401, pl. 445, figs. 1-4 (1936), suggesting a lax-flowered extreme of $J$. effusus L., but with the flowers mostly on filiform pedicels up to 1 cm . long, the capsules rounded to the summit and definitely beaked (instead of emarginate and beakless). This remarkable plant was discovered by Griscom and me by lucky chance. Botanizing on a terrifically hot June day in 1935, on Little Neck in Princess Anne County, Virginia, we were panting with thirst when we saw children emerge from the rich woods with pails of water. Quick to take the hint, we followed the foot-path and came to a spring-rill and mossy swale in the deciduous woods, the swale solidly occupied by the strange new Juncus. Search by my companions and me during eight seasons has failed to reveal another station for it.

At the meeting of the New England Botanical Club on the evening of June 2nd last, I showed these two famously rare species and urged the members to watch for them in New England or elsewhere. I little expected immediate results; but promptly on the morning of June 3, looking through the miscellaneous unidentified Junci at the Gray Herbarium, I was surprised and delighted to find a beautifully characteristic specimen of $J$. Griscomi, sent in unidentified and collected on June 20, 1922, by L. F. \& Fannie R. Randolph (no. 403) in "moist rich soil, Powhatan Swamp $1 / 2$ mile southwest of Five Forks, James City County", Virginia. As usual the Randolphs saw and prepared beautiful material of a great rarity.
J. repens Michx. Local range extended inland to western Greensville Co.: open muddy border of Fontaine Creek, near mouth of Quarrel's Creek, no. 14,696. See p. 101.
J. Longir Fernald. Local range extended inland from Coastal Plain to Brunswick Co.: damp openings in woods, scarce, Moseley flat pineland, near Triplett, no. 14,586, there associated with other Coastal Plain types, such as Hypericum setosum L. and Cynoctonum sessilifolium (see Rhodora, xlv. 374, 376, 453 and 457), as well as such essentially inland plants as Fimbristylis Drummondii (p. 95), Carex hirsutella (p.96) and Psoralea psoralioides, var. eglandulosa (p. 95).
J. scirpoides Lam. Local range extended back into the Piedmont in Brunswick Co.: with the last, no. 14,587. See p. 96.

Some Varieties and Forms of Juncus canadensis (Plates 881 and 882).-Even after the removal from the complex Juncus canadensis J. Gay, as conceived by Engelmann, of J. brachycephalus (Engelm.) Buchenau, J. brevicaudatus (Engelm.) Fernald and J. subcaudatus (Engelm.) Coville \& Blake, the remaining stiffly ascending $J$. canadensis is still a complex and highly variable plant. Generally, throughout its range, its capsule barely to but slightly exceeds the perianth and is gradually rounded at summit to a short and rather abrupt beak, but from southeastern Virginia to Georgia there occurs a very similar plant (plate 881, figs. 1-3), always with a large cyme ( $1-3.3$ dm . long and $5-16 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad), closely resembling large plants of typical $J$. canadensis ${ }^{1}$, but with prolonged capsule tapering gradually to summit, much as in the northern J. brevicaudatus and the extremely southern $J$. trigonocarpus. This constitutes a well defined geographic variety which I am calling
*Juncus canadensis J. Gay, var. euroauster, var. nov. (tab. 881, fig. 1-3), planta robusta $0.9-1.2 \mathrm{~m}$. alta, culmo ad basin $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro; cyma $1-3.3 \mathrm{dm}$. longa $5-16 \mathrm{~cm}$. alta; capitulis hemisphericis vel subglobosis multifloris distinctis vel paullo aggregatis; perianthiis $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; capsula acuta sensim attenuata valde exserta.- Southeastern Virginia to Georgia. Virginia: pool in sandy barrens, Cape Henry, Sept. 23, 1933, Fernald \& Griscom, no. 2811; sphagnous springy swales bordering Whiteoak Swamp, west of Elko Station, Henrico Co., Sept. 21, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 9294; moist argillaceous pineland about 2 miles east of Stony Creek, Oct. 11 and 12, 1933, Fernald \& Long, no. 9553 ; wet sandy and peaty shore, near entrance to Portsmouth Ditch, Lake Drummond, Great Dismal Swamp,

[^25]west of Wallaceton, Norfolk Co., Sept. 6, 1941, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,588 (type in Herb. Gray., isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); fresh reed-marsh and swale along Northwest River, near Northwest, Norfolk Co., Oct. 11, 1941, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,913; sphagnous bog about 1 mile northwest of Dahlia, Greensville Co., Sept. 18, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 9293. North Carolina: drainage-ditch near Sea Level, Cartaret Co., Sept. 1, 1938, Godfrey, no. 6505. South Carolina: without stated locality, M. A. Curtis; drainage-ditch, 15 miles northwest of Georgetown, Georgetown Co., Aug. 25, 1939, Godfrey \& Tryon, no. 1693. Georgia: swamp (at sea-level) Satella River, near Woodbine, Camden Co., Aug. 23, 1902, Harper, no. 1564.

In its slender, long-exserted and tapering capsule Juncus canadensis, var. euroauster suggests J. trigonocarpus Steud., but in all other characters, including the very large cyme, it belongs with $J$. canadensis.

Typically and through most of its range Juncus canadensis has a relatively short and apically rounded and abruptly shortbeaked capsule, and the perianth is only 2.5 -rarely 3.5 mm . long, but in the plant of Newfoundland, the northern regions of Quebec and locally southward into Nova Scotia and eastern Maine, rarely on Cape Cod, var. sparsiflorus Fernald (plate 881, figs. 4 and 5) in Rhodora, xxiii. 241 (1921), the perianth is $3.5-4$ mm . long, much as in the extreme southern var. euroauster. The remaining large series which passes as $J$. canadensis presents three rather striking forms-forms because, although sometimes more abundant in definite ecological conditions, they occur wholly within the broad range of typical $J$. canadensis. In order to show their distinctive characteristics I am indicating the varieties and forms in a key.
a. Capsule plump, gradually rounded at summit to the rather abrupt short beak. ...b.
b. Perianth 2.5-3.3 (rarely -3.5 ) mm . long; cyme (except in forma conglobatus), with spreading-ascending branches (rays) and branchlets, $0.4-3 \mathrm{dm}$. high. ...c.
c. Heads chiefly or wholly scattered in anthelate fashion along the branches of the open cyme; cyme $0.4-3 \mathrm{dm}$. high, with some elongate branches.
Heads densely 8 -20-flowered, hemispherical to sub-
globose ........................................adensis,
Heads turbinate to subhemispherical, 2 - 7 -flowered
Var. typicus, forma apertus.
c. Heads all or many densely crowded into irregular glom-
erules or masses, globose, many-flowered, the glomerules sessile or on short rays up to $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.

Var. typicus, forma conglobatus.
b. Perianth $3.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; cyme with stiffly erect branches and branchlets, 0.3-1.5 ( -2 ) dm. high............ Var. sparsiflorus.
a. Capsule slender, gradually attenuate to acute tip, longexserted; cyme open, 1-3.3 dm. high; glomerules hemispherical to subglobose, many-flowered; perianth $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

Var. euroauster.
J. canadensis J. Cay, var. typicus. Plate 882, figs. 1-3. $J$. canadensis J. Gay in Laharpe, Mon. Junc. 134 (1827), in large part (x); Engelm. Trans. St. Louis Acad. ii. 436 (1866-var. longicaudatus) and 474 (1868-var. longecaudatus); Coville in Britton \& Brown, Ill. Fl. i. 394, fig. 955 (1896); Fernald in Rhodora vi. 35 (1904) and xxxii. 83 et seq. (1930).-Widely distributed from southern Quebec and Ontario to Georgia, Tennessee and Louisiana.
*Forma apertus, f. nov. (tab. 882, fig. 4-6), cyma 1-3 dm. longa laxe aperta, capitulis remotis turbinatis vel subhemisphaericis 2-7-floris.-Scattered in the range of var. typicus. Nova Scotia: gravelly margin of brook, Sydney, Aug. 18, 1902, Fernald; roadside-pool, Yarmouth, June 22-29, 1901, Howe \& Lang, no. 131. Maine: Labrador Pond, Sumner, Aug. 9, 1890, J. C. Parlin. Massachusetts: sandy and cobbly beach of Seth's Pond, West Tisbury, Aug. 16, 1928, Fernald \& Fogg, no. 865. Rhode Island: edge of pond-hole, northwest shore of Block Island, Aug. 11, 1919, C. B. Graves. Connecticut: ponds, Wethersfield, Chas. Wright. New York: woody swale east of north end of Duck Lake, Conquest, Aug. 12, 1916, F. P. Metcalf, no. 6164. New Jersey: border of white-cedar swamp along Scotland Run, Malaga, Gloucester Co., Nov. 1, 1936, Bayard Long, no. 49,279 (type in Herb. Gray.). Virginia: quaking margin of pond-hole about 2 miles east of Bowling Green, Oct. 15, 1941, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,914; sandy swampy ground, Chisel's Run, west of Williamsburg, July 16, 1921, Grimes, no. 4040; sphagnous border of shallow pond-hole $1 / 2$ mile east of Centerville, James City Co., July 26, 1941, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,296. South Carolina: creek, 8 miles southeast of Columbia, Lexington Co., Aug. 8, 1939, Godfrey \& Tryon, no. 1346. GeorGIA: bushy place, south of Kennesaw Mt., Cobb Co., July 12, 1900, Harper, no. 995.
*Forma conglobatus, f. nov. (tab. 882, fig. 7), culmo stricto $2-10 \mathrm{dm}$. alto; cyma $1-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa; capitulis globosis multifloris in glomerulis subglobosis vel lobatis plerumque aggregatis, ramibus nullis vel ad $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis.-Through much of the area of var. typicus, especially concentrated near the Atlantic coast from southern Maine to Maryland. The following are selected from a large representation. Maine: brackish marsh, Winnegance Creek, Phippsburg, Aug. 23, 1909, Fernald, no. 1559. New Hampshire: marsh, Rye Beach, Aug. 18, 1886, W. Deane. Massachusetts: Plum Island, Essex Co., 1896, A. A. Eaton;

Kent's Island, Byfield, Aug. 18, 1904, J. H. Sears; Dorchester, Aug. 28, 1853, Wm. Boott; Sept. 3, 1882, C. W. Swan; damp open sandy soil near Kelly's Pond, West Dennis, Dennis, Aug. 10, 1918, Fernald \& Long, no. 16,544; cranberry bog near beach, Hyannis, Oct. 5, 1911, C. A. Weatherby, no. 2833 (type in Herb. Gray, isotype in Herb. New Engl. Bot. Cl.). Rhode Island: Cat Swamp, Providence, Sept. 4, 1892, J. F. Collins; Middletown, Sept. 13, 1908, E. F. Williams; dryish fresh to slightly brackish borders of marshes east of Trim's Pond and Great Salt Pond, Block Island, Aug. 20, 1913, Fernald \& Long, no. 9206; borders of brackish pools and salt marshes, vicinity of Watch Hill Pond, Westerly, Aug. 31, 1919, Weatherby \& Collins. Connecticut: wet meadow, East Windsor, Aug. 14, 1906, Bissell; moist field, Waterbury, Aug. 21, 1911, Blewitt, no. 510; fresh-water swamp near Saybrook Point, Saybrook, Sept. 7, 1908, Blewitt; edge of salt meadows, Fairfield, Aug. 20, 1909, Eames. New York: edge of salt marsh, Oceanside, Nassau Co., Sept. 20, 1917, House, no. 18; Westbury Prairie, Butler, Wayne Co., Oct. 5, 1916, Metcalf \& Wright. New Jersey: Hackensack Marshes, Sept., 1848, J. Carey (the specimen given by Engelmann an appropriate but unpublished formal name but one preoccupied in the specific category). Delaware: moist soil, Rehoboth, Sept. 6, 1908, Churchill: wet hollows in sand dunes, south of Bethany Beach and on Fenwick Island, Sussex Co., Aug. 28, 1936, Fogg, nos. 11,225 and 11,301. Maryland: wet sand, border of brackish marsh, north of Ocean City, Worcester Co., Sept. 12, 1936, Fogg, no. 11,429. Virginia: "Ram-hole Swamp," Seward Forest, Brunswick Co., Dec. 1, 1944, Lewis. North Carolina: marsh near Leechville, Hyde Co., Oct. 13, 1938, Godfrey \& White, no. 6852. South Carolina: wet ground near a spring, Aiken, Oct. 8, 1866, H. W. Ravenel in Engelm. Herb. Junc. Bor.-Am., no. 86. Georgia: shallow grassy pond, alt. 250 ft ., near Adams Park, Twiggs Co., Sept. 7, 1903, Harper, no. 1972. Michigan: wet ground, Hersen Island, mouth of St. Clair R., Sept. 17, 1908, C. K. Dodge, no. 39; drying mucky shore of Lake Sixteen, Black Lake State Forest, Presque Isle Co., Aug. 27, 1935, F. J. Hermann, no. 7010 (transitional). Wisconsin: St. Croix Co., 1861, T. J. Hale. Illinors: Englewood, South Chicago, Sept. 2, 1893, Churchill. Minnesota: Minneapolis 1861, T. J. Hale, moist sandy soil, shores of Moore Lake, Anaka Co., Oct. 3, 1927, Rosendahl, no. 5472, Sept. 6, 1936, Rosendahl \& Rydberg, no. 5124.

From its strong tendency along the Atlantic coast to abound at the upper borders of salt marshes forma conglobatus might be thought a good variety (and perhaps it is), but it also occurs in acid peats and sands and extends as far west as typical J. canadensis. Many specimens, difficult to place, occur, these making
every conceivable transition to the latter plant, with more open cyme, elongate rays and mostly scattered or anthelate heads. As noted in one case, Engelmann gave an appropriate but preoccupied formal name to this plant.

Var. sparsiflorus Fernald in Rhodora, xxxiii. 241 (1921). Plate 881, figs. 4 and 5.-Newfoundland to the Laurentide Mts. of Quebec, south to Nova Scotia and eastern Maine, rarely on Cape Cod, Mass.

Var. euroauster Fernald, supra. Plate 881, figs. 1-3.Southeastern Virginia to Georgia.

Plate 881, figs. 1-3, Juncus canadensis J. Gay, var. euroauster Fernald: fig. 1, portion of tYPE, $\times 1 / 2$; FIG. 2, portion of glomerule, $\times 10$, from type; fig. 3 , seeds, $\times 10$, from type. Figs. 4 and 5, var. sparsiflorus Fernald: fig. 4, inflorescence, $\times$ 1, from Quarry, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5129 ; FIG. 5 , glomerule, $\times 10$, from no. 5129 .

Plate 882, figs. 1-3, J. Canadensis, var. Typicus: fig. 1 , inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Iona Island, Hudson River, Rockland Co., New York, Muenscher \& Curtis, no. 5833; Fig. 2, flower, $\times 10$, from no. 5833; fig. 3, seeds, $\times 10$, from no. 5833. Figs. 4-6, forma Apertus Fernald, all figs. from type: fig. 4, inflorescence, $\times 112$; FIG. 5, glomerule, $\times 10$; Fig. 6, seeds, $\times 10$. Fig. 7, forma conglobatus Fernald: two inflorescences, $\times 1$, from type.
*Smilax Bona-nox L., var. exauriculata Fernald in RhodoRA, xlvi. 36 and 37 , t. 811 . fig. 3 (1944). Type from Norfolk, Reed?

Dioscorea batatas Dene. To the relatively few stations recorded add one in Brunswick Co.: climbing over bushes, dry thicket near old Taylor Place, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,593.

Cypripedium Calceolus L., var. pubescens (Willd.) Correll. To the few recorded stations in the southeastern counties add one in Brunswick Co.: rich woods, "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,594. See p. 97.

Quercus Phellos L., forma intonsa Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 392 (1942). To the two recorded Virginia stations add one in Brunswick Co.: damp thicket northeast of Ebony, no. 14,598. See p. 96.
Castanea neglecta Dode. To the few recorded stations add two in Brunswick Co.: rich woods "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,597; mixed woods, Seward Forest, southeast of Ante, no. 14,698.

Here, as in the more eastern counties, Castanea neglecta is a straggling or loosely branched shrub of rich woodland. We have never found it fruiting, nor have we found it with C. pumila nor in habitats where $C$. dentata might formerly have grown. See p. 97.

The Indigenous Variations of Ulmus americana.-Ulmus americana, the most wide-spread of the American species and the tree probably more generally recognized than any other by the layman in the East, is far from being a definite unit. As one collects material in foliage he promptly becomes aware of four different forms. These first came to my attention when, in late May, 1904, I visited my parents in central Maine, just as the fruit of the elms was dropping and the foliage well expanding. I then made collections to display the forms and have subsequently waited in vain for some of the specialists on trees to clarify the situation. In brief, Ulmus americana may have the leaves smooth or essentially smooth to touch on the upper surface, or the latter may be almost as harshly scabrous as in the Slippery Elm, U. rubra Muhl. (U. fulva Michx.) -see last notes in this paper. In each series the young branchlets may be pubescent or quite glabrous. In the flowering condition, obviously, these strongly marked extremes can hardly be recognized; in the foliage-material they are striking.

As early as 1789 Aiton, Hort. Kew. i. 319, 320 (1789) recognized varieties of Ulmus americana with scabrous or with smoothish leaves and Spach (1841) and Walpers (1852-53) took these up or augmented them; but so far as I can find, the actual type of Linnaeus has not been closely examined, to determine to which of the four variations it belongs. The photograph of it before me is wholly inconclusive. Nor can I get what I consider true geographic varieties in the species. Each of the variations appears throughout most or all of the broad range of the species, trees with scabrous or smooth leaves and with pubescent or glabrous new branchlets occurring, for example, in New England, while two or three of these trends are represented in the Gray Herbarium from Virginia, Ontario and Oklahoma. I am, therefore, treating them as forms, with the clear understanding that when the actual types of Linnaeus, Aiton and others can be studied some shifting in the application of the names may be required. It is better to have names by which the forms can be designated than to call them all one, without differentiation. As I see these forms they are as follows:

| Leaves smooth or smoothish above. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Young branchlets pubescent | Forma pendula |
| Young branchlets glabrous | Forma laevior |
| Leaves harshly scabrous above. |  |
| Young branchlets pubescent |  |
| Young branchlets glabrous | a interceden |

U. americana L., forma pendula (Ait.), stat. nov. $L^{\prime}$. americana $\gamma$. pendula Ait. Hort. Kew. i. 320 (1789); Willd. Sp. Pl. $1^{2}$. 1326 (1798) ; Spach in Am. Sci. Nat. Bot. sér. 2, xv. 364 (1841). U. americana, $\alpha$. glabra Walp. Annal. iii. 424 (1852-53), illegitimate substitute for var. pendula Spach.

The following Virginian specimen is clearly referable here. Henrico Co.: south shore of James R., Richmond, April 20, 1915, J. R. Churchill.
*Forma laevior, f. nov. foliis supra laevibus vel sublaevibus; ramulis novellis glabris.-Type: river-bank, Orono, Maine, May 30, 1904, Fernald (in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. New Engl. Bot. Club).

The following Virginian specimens are before me. Princess Anne Co.: Oceana, Fernald \& Long, nos. 3911 and 3912. Isle of Wight Co.: below Rushmere, $F$. \&. L. no. 13,325 .
*Forma alba (Ait.), stat. nov. U. americana, $\beta$. alba Ait. l. c. (1789); Willd. l. c. (1798). U. americana, $\beta$. scabra Spach, 1. c. (1841), illegitimate substitute for var. alba Ait.

The following Virginian specimen belongs here. New Kent Co.: Pamunkey R., southeast of White Horse, F. \& L., no. 11,560.
*Forma intercedens, f. nov., foliis supra scaberrimis; ramulis novellis glabris. Type: Franconia, New Hampshire, Sept. 15, 1910, G. G. Kennedy (Herb. Gray.).

The following Virginian specimen is characteristic. Fauquier Co.: Bull Run Mts., Allard, no. 1037.

Asarum Lewisif Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 398, plates 774 and 775 (1943). Range extended slightly to the northeast in Brunswick Co.: very abundant, forming extensive carpets in pine (Pinus Taeda) or mixed woods, even far removed from streams, Seward Forest, southeast of Ante, no. 14,699. Leaves varying from short-reniform (nearly twice as broad as long) through cordate-rotund or cordate-ovate outlines to subhastate or narrowly ovate. See p. 102.

So-called Rumex Britannica not a Virginian. (Plate 883).-In his Materia Medica, 59 (1749) Linnaeus, describing the Water Dock of northern Europe, Rumex IIydrolapathum Huds., stated that its root was known in pharmacy as "HERBAE BRITANNICAE Radix" and that it occurred in Europe:
177. RUMEX floribus hermaphroditis, valvulis integerrimis nudis, foliis cordato-lanceolatis. Fl. Suec. 292. $\beta$.

Lapathum aquaticum, folio cubitali. Boerh. pin. 116.
Loc: Europae nostrae paludes. Perennis, vulgaris.
Pharm: HERBAE BRITANNICAE Radix.-L. Mat. Med. 59 (1749).
Three years later, in Sp. Pl. 334 (1753) there appeared, immediately following Rumex verticillatus from Virginia, another reputed Virginian:

Britannica. 5. RUMEX floribus hermaphroditis: valvulis integerrimis: omnibus graniferis, foliis lanceolatis: vaginis obsoletis. Mat. med. 17.
Rumex aquatica, calycis foliolis omnibus aequalibus, radice exterius nigra vel flava. Cold. noveb. 83.
Lapathum foliis longis latis vix acuminatis costis caulibusque rubentibus, radice intus crocea. Gron. virg. 39. Habilat in Virginia. 4.
In praecedenti stipula cylindrica membranacea fere ad dimidium vaginans internodium, in hac vero non item, sed ut in Europaeis. Pedicelli in priori crassiores in hac capillares; prior magis spicata; hac magis paniculata. Plantam Gron. in Fl. virginica habui a Cl . Authore, quae non rubra erat caule aut costis.

The first reference, to "Mat. med. 17 ", was apparently meant for his no. $1 \dot{7} 7$, with the description somewhat altered but belonging to the European plant. The Colden plant, Rumex aquatica, etc. from New York was probably the American species which now passes as $R$. Britannica but the Clayton (Gronovian) material from Virginia was "something else again". Asa Gray, studying the Gronovian material reported as follows:

[^26]Flora Virginica, was:-"Lapathum foliis longis latis vix acuminatis, costis caulibusque rubentibus, radice intus crocea." That probably relates to the plant retained by Gronovius. And the specimen sent was perhaps Clayton's other species, viz.,-"Lapathum aquaticum foliis longis," \&c., which Linnaeus referred to $R$. verticillatus. As to the $R$. Britannica of Michaux, Pursh, and even Meisner, it is uncertain whether they had in view the plant called by me in the Manual by that name, but named by Professor Wood R. altissimus, or that which Wood and probably Pursh took for $R$. Britannica, and I named $R$. orbiculatus. The latter proves to be the Linnaean species, and must claim the name, unless that be regarded as a nomen falsum, in which case we must take up that of $R$. Claytoni $[i]$ Campdera, who may be presumed to have meant the Linnaean plant, although there is nothing in his character to certify it. A considerable difficulty in identifying the Linnaean species by the description grew out of the comparison in the species Plantarum with $R$. vericillatus, with which when in fruit it has little in common, except the slender pedicels. It should also be noticed that there is a transposition in the naming of the specimens in the Linnaean Herbarium, which, however, has been corrected by Smith.Gray, Proc. Am. Acad. viii. 399 (1872).

Gray referred to the fragment sent by Gronovius to Linnaeus as having " the fruit . . . not well developed, but the slender pedicels and the foliage show that it is the $R$. orbiculatus of the later edition of my Manual", while the specimen in the Clayton (Gronovian) collection seemed to him $R$. obtusifolius. Of the latter a photograph (our plate 883) before me is convincing; it is very characteristic $R$. obtusifolius, adventive from Europe. As to the bit preserved in the Linnean Herbarium Rechinger wrote:

The name $R$. Britannica is used here in the sense of Trelease and subsequent authors. The identity of this plant with Linnés $R$. Britannica is not clear to me. Earlier authors seem to have confused it with $R$. altissimus Wood. Perhaps it would be more cautious to use the name R. orbicularis [orbiculatus] Gray.

Mr. H. W. Pugsley of London kindly undertook to examine for me the specimen of $R$. Britannica in the Linné Herbarium. He wrote that the specimen deposited there under the name $R$. Britannica is not absolutely a type, because there is no evidence of the date at which it was inserted in the herbarium. It consists of a small branch with narrow leaves, without axillary branches. The fruiting pedicels are $7-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and the valves triangular, about 5 mm . long and broad. These characters for the most part seem not to agree with R. Britannica of authors.-Rechinger, North American Sipecies of Rumex, Field Mus. Nat. Hist. Bot. Ser. xvii. no. 1. 126 (1937).

It is not without significance that we do not know the subaquatic plant which regularly passes as Rumex Britannica so far
south as Virginia. The southernmost material in the Gray Herbarium from the Atlantic States is from northern New Jersey and northeastern Pennsylvania, although Mr. Long informs me that it reaches south, rarely, to southern New Jersey and southeastern Pennsylvania; Trelease said "south to New Jersey" and Rechinger cites nothing from south of northern New Jersey.

Since Rumex Britannica started out as a European species but, in spite of references to the latter in 1753, when the binomial was published, had its "Habitat in Virginia", and since the only fragment in the Linnean Herbarium is something else, while the Virginian specimen in Clayton's herbarium is the adventive $R$. obtusifolius L., it is difficult to see why $R$. Britannica is not an absolute nomen confusum. I must view it so and am taking up for our indigenous representative of the European $R$. Hydrolapathum the unequivocal R. orbiculatus Gray, Man. ed. 5: 420 (1867).

When he published the name Rumex orbiculatus for the northern subaquatic American species with orbicular or round-ovate to subcordate fruiting valves all grain-bearing, Gray took up for the mixed Virginian material the ill-begotten name R. Britannica and placed in its synonymy $R$. Claytonii Campdera, "which name is to be adopted if we reject that inconsiderately assigned by Linnaeus, who transferred the obscure Herba Britannica of the old writers to a Virginian species". Campdera, however, in publishing R. Claytonii Mon. Rumex, 99 (1819) with "Hab. ad rivulos Virginiae et Carolinae", started off with the Gronovian description of the red-veined $R$. obtusifolius L., which Gronovius had from Clayton, then the Colden reference to the aquatic plant of New York, then the description of the European plant in Materia Medica, etc., and described the valves as scarcely grainbearing (sepalis interioribus vix granulatis). Since our northern subaquatic species is very definite, in having the three valves all grain-bearing, Campdera's $R$. Claytonii was almost as confused a concept as was the original $R$. Britannica; and any one who is familiar with the "rivulos Virginiae et Carolinae" knows that the abundant plant at their margins is $R$. verticillatus L. Specimens of $R$. altissimus Wood, with which Gray in 1867 identified R. Claytonii, could be found by Trelease southward in the East only to the District of Columbia; while Rechinger saw Virginian
material only from the Potomac near Washington and none from farther south in Virginia or from the Carolinas. Within the area known to Clayton the only material of $R$. altissimus we know is a small patch recently and casually introduced into the yard of the Norfolk and Western Railroad at Petersburg. The Norfolk and Western did not exist in Clayton's time. R. Claytonii, then, is a hopelessly confused concept, the plant "ad rivulos Virginiae et Carolinae" being the earlier published $R$. verticillatus L.!

Four sheets in the Gray Herbarium were marked by Gray Rumex orbiculatus "Man. ed. 3", although actually in ed. 5. In ed. 3 he merely suggested that our plant, which he then called R. Hydrolapathum, var. americanus, was probably a distinct species. As type of $R$. orbiculatus I am designating a sheet from New Haven, Connecticut, coll. D. C. Eaton.
*Polygonum pensylvanicum L., forma albinum, f. nov., calycis albidis.-Wooded bottomland of Meherrin River at Westward Bridge, Brunswick Co., Virginia, Sept. 16, 1944, Fernald (with J. B. Lewis), no. 14,702 (тype in Herb. Gray.). An albino of typical $P$. pensylvanicum (with glandular peduncles). See p. 100.
*P. minus Huds., var. subcontinuum (Meisn.) Fernald in Rhodora, xix. 134 '(1917). Greensville Co.: roadside, Emporia, no. 14,600 . Not previously recorded, apparently, from south of Pennsylvania.
*P. hydropiperoides Michx., var. euronotorum, var. nov. (тab. 884), foliis anguste linearibus vel lanceolato-linearibus plerumque $6-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $4-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis longe attenuatis supra glabrescentibus vel sparsissime minuteque strigillosis subtus minute puberulis marginibus revolutis; ochreis strigosis ciliis vaginas subaequantibus; paniculis densis $5-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. crassis; ochreolis aretis supra turbinatis inferioribus ciliis $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, mediis ciliis $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; pedicellis vix exsertis; calyce fructifero anguste rhomboideo $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo achenio trigono arcte adpresso.-Southeastern Virginia and southeastern North Carolina. Virginia: Western Branch, Norfolk Co., August, 1840, Rugel, no. 113; moist sandy and peaty shore of Whitefield's Millpond, southwest of Corinth, Southampton Co., Sept. 18, 1944, Fernald, no. 14,701 (TyPe in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). North Carolina: along shore of lake at Lakeview, Moore Co., July 18, 1938, Godfrey, no. 5112; Greenfield Lake, Wilmington, New Hanover Co., June 29, 1938, Codfrey \& Wells, no. 4808 (in bud); drainage-ditch at Carolina Beach, New Hanover Co., July 18, 1938, Godfrey, no. 4656. See p. 105 .

Var. euronotorum (of southeast winds) is one of the very narrow-leaved extremes of Polygonum hydropiperoides. In foliage and in its dense panicle it simulates $P$. opelousanum Riddell, but its deep pink flowers with relatively slender calyces $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and completely investing the achene, place it clearly in the former species, the usually greener and plumper calyx of $P$. opelousanum being only $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and shorter than the achene.
$P$. hydropiperoides, var. euronotorum has the long cilia of the ochreolae (fig. 4) as in var. Bushianum Stanford in Rhodora, xxviii. 27 (1926) but that plant (plate 885, figs. 1-3) of Oklahoma, Kansas and possibly Missouri has broadly lanceolate leaves and the panicles (figs. 2 and 3) loose and open with very long-exserted pedicels. Occasionally typical $P$. hydropiperoides (plate 885, figs. 4-6) has as narrow leaves, but its panicles are more slender and the cilia of the ochreae $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long (fig. 4), those of the ochreolae $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. long (FIG. 6), the uninjured ochreae of var. euronotorum having cilia $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, those of the ochreolae $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. The local var. breviciliatum Fernald in Rhodora, xlii. 448 (1940), our plate 886, as yet known only from Dinwiddie Co., Virginia, has lanceolate leaves $1.5-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, the cilia of the ochreae only $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long (FIG. 2), those of the ochreolae (fig. 3) wanting or at most 0.4 mm . long, the panicle (figs. 1 and 3) slender and open, with long-exserted pedicels.

In its apparent concentration on the coastal plain of southeastern Virginia and in the region of Wilmington and adjacent southeastern North Carolina var. euronotorum joins a considerable group of plants of similarly bicentric ranges.
Plate 884, Polygonum hydropiperoides Michx., var. euronotorum Fernald, all figs. from TYPE: fig. 1, portion of plant, $\times 3 / 5$; FIG. 2, summit of ochrea, $\times 4$; FIG. 3, panicle, $\times 1$; FIG. 4, portion of panicle, to show ochreolae, $\times 10$.
Plate 885, figs. 1-3, P. hydropfperoides, var. Bushianum Stanford, all figs. from the TYPE, Bush, no. 509 from Sapulpa, Oklahoma: FIGs. 1 and 2, summit of plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, portion of panicle, to show ochreolae, $\times 10$. Figs. 4-6, typical P. Hydropiperoides: fig. 4 , ochrea, $\times 4$, from northwest of Raynor, Isle of Wight Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,330; Fig. 5, panicle $\times 1$, from no. 13,330 ; FIG. 6 , portion of panicle, to show ochreolae, $\times$ 10, from no. 13,330.

Plate 886, P. hydropiperoides, var. breviciliatum Fernald, all figs. from TYPE, south of Burgess Station, Dinwiddie Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 8698: FIG. 1, flowering tip, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, ochrea, $\times 4 ;$ FIG. 3, portion of panicle, showing ochreolae and distant flowers, $\times 10$.

Amaranthus graecizans L. Sp. Pl. 990 (1753). A. blitoides S. Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. vii. 273 (1877). Plate 887.

This is a disconcerting but apparently necessary change, for the name Amaranthus graecizans for the erect or bushy-branched A. albus L. Syst. ed. 10: 1268 (1759), the plant with elongate pungent bracts, has been erroneously used for the latter ever since Uline and Bray took it up in Bot. Gaz. xix. 316 (1894). Unfortunately they did not see even a photograph of the Linnean A. graecizans and, consequently, did not appreciate the significance of Linnaeus's contrast between the two when, in 1759, he published the second species of the pair as $A$. albus, a species with stiffish ascending whitish stems and prolonged subulate bracts, as contrasted with the prostrate purplish and slightly succulent stems and blunter and shorter bracts of A. graecizans L. (A. blitoides).

Amaranthus graecizans was known to Linnaeus only from the Clayton material from Virginia:

> graecizans. 5. AMARANTHUS floribus triandris conglomeratis axillaribus, folisis lanceolatis obtusis.
> Amaranthus floribus lateralibus congestis, foliis lanceolatis obtusis. Gron. virg. 116.
> Habitat in Virginia. $\odot$

A photograph, $\times 2 / 5$, of the Clayton plant, received from the British Museum of Natural History through Dr. John Ramsbottom, is shown as Plate 887, fig. 1; fig. 2 is the text at bottom of the sheet, slightly enlarged from that on the original photograph, for ease of reading. The last two words of the first line are, therefore, since the line is a long one, moved up above their original position. Beside these, fig. 3 shows a portion, $\times 1$, of one of the specimens (the type) marked by Watson $A$. blitoides. Since no type of Watson's species was indicated, I am now designating as its type a sheet from Ames, Iowa, sent by the late C.E. Bessey with the critical notes which evidently drew Watson's attention to it. Watson had originally identified the plant as A. Blitum L., but Bessey wrote: "Plant prostrate, spreading from a central root, resembling the habit of Portulaca oleracea, often with a reddish or purplish cast". Then, for full measure, "This plant with us never is ascending in growth, but is always prostrate". It was upon these characters and the "bracts nearly equal, ovate-oblong, shortly acuminate,
. little exceeding the . . . sepals" that Watson distinguished his $A$. blitoides from $A$. albus, of "usually erect diffusely branched habit . . .bracts subulate, rigid, pungently awned". Unfortunately, he seems to have overlooked A. graecizans L. (1753).

There is, however, no reason why we should not take up the latter name for $A$. blitoides, thus restoring $A$. albus L. for the stiffer species with slender spinescent bracts, which for more than half a century has wrongly passed as A. graecizans.

The name graecizans is not at once of obvious meaning. In his Flora Virginica, after giving the diagnosis, which was copied as well as altered by Linnaeus, Gronovius made a guess that the plant was "Amaranthus Graecus sylvestris angustifolius" of Tournefort. The specific name, then, is comparable to that of Galium circaezans L., meaning, approximately, simulating $A$. graecus.

The following transfer is necessitated:
Amaranthus albus L., var. pubescens (Uline \& Bray), comb. nov. A. graecizans, var. pubescens Uline \& Bray in Bot. Gaz. xix. 317 (1894). A. pubescens (Uline \& Bray) Rydb. in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxxix. 313 (1912).

Xanthorhiza simplicissima Marsh. To the relatively few recorded stations in the eastern counties add one in Greensville Co.: sandy woods and thickets along Fontaine Creek, near Round Hill Church, no. 14,605.

Menispermum canadense L. To the few recorded stations on the Coastal Plain add one in Greensville Co.: rich woods along Meherrin River, below Emporia, no. 14,606; very rank, the very shallowly to hardly lobed leaves 1.4 dm . broad and on petioles 2 dm . long.

Litsea aestivalis (L.), comb. nov. Laurus aestivalis L. Sp. Pl. 370 (1753). Laurus geniculata Walt. Fl. Carol. 133 (1788). Tetranthera geniculata (Walt.) Nees, Syst. Laurin. 567 (1836). Litsea geniculata (Walt.) Benth. \& Hook. f. Gen. Pl. iii. 162 (1883) and Nichols. Dict. Gard. ii. 287 (1885). Malapoenna geniculata (Walt.) Coult. in Mem. Torr. Bot. Cl. v. 164 (1894). Glabraria geniculata (Walt.) Britton in Britt. \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2, ii. 135, fig. 1970 (1913). Plate 888.

It is most astounding to note how generally Laurus aestivalis and L. Benzoin L. l. c. (1753) have been merged by authors as one species under the competitive generic names Lindera and Benzoin. As usual, Linnaeus was too keen a student to publish two species, one next the other and both received from Virginia, if they were
identical. His account in Species Plantarum was simple and clear:

| aestivalis. | 8. LAURUS foliis venosis oblongis acuminatis annuis, ramis supra-axillaribus. <br> Laurus foliis lanceolatis enervibus annuis. Gron. virg. 159. <br> Laurus foliis enervibus ovatis utrinque acutus. Gron. virg. 46. <br> Habitat in Virginia ad ripas rivulorum. b. Pedunculi hujus fructiferi colorati sunt. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benzoin. | 9. LAURUS foliis enerviis ovatis utrinque acutis integris annuis. Hort. Cliff. 154. Mat. med. 195. Gron. virg. 46. Roy. lugdb. 226. <br> Arbor virginiana, citreae vel limoni folio, Benzoinum fundens. Comm. hort. I. p. 189, t. 97. <br> Arbor virginiana, pishaminis folio, baccata Benzoinum redolens. Pluk. alm. 42, t. 139. f. 3. 4. <br> Habitat in Virginia. |

One must note, in the first place, the error of citing the same reference to Gronovius, p. 46 for both species and the further Linnean error of misquoting under the second species his own original and correct diagnosis in Hortus Cliffortianus, in which the leaves are described "foliis . . . obverse ovatis", i. e. obovate, not, as Linnaeus carelessly wrote in 1753, "ovatis", and the same error made in transcribing from Gronovius.

In the original and fuller account in Hortus Cliffortianus, Linnaeus gave a clear definition of our Spicebush, Lindera Benzoin (L.) Blume: "Involucrum sessile, tetraphyllum, corni simillimum, includens flosculis quinque petiolatas, longtiudine involucro", etc. The identity of the shrub of Virginia, with entire obovate leaves, called Laurus Benzoin, and having the involucrate inflorescences sessile and suggesting those of Cornus mas, can hardly be questioned and the photograph, sent me by Dr. Ramsbottom (our plate 889) of the Hortus Cliffortianus specimen, which must stand as the TYPE, is unequivocal.

Excluding from the account of Laurus aestivalis the reference to the obovate-leaved shrub of Virginia, erroneously cited under it as well as under $L$. Benzoin, for Gronovius had merely copied and cited the diagnosis given in Hortus Cliffortianus, we have left the real Laurus aestivalis. This was a shrub which had been
collected by Clayton and Clayton's own note, not reprinted by Linnaeus, was of real significance: "Lauro affinis aquatilis". This, added to the lanceolate or oblong leaves and the supraaxillary branching, clearly indicate the rare shrub of ponds and inundated swamps, Pond-spice, which Walter later described as Laurus geniculata. In this shrub the umbels, instead of being sessile along the main branches, are on short and scattered branchlets (our fig. 3, from Georgia). It is, then, exactly as it should be: the type of Laurus aestivalis in the Linnean Herbarium (our plate 888, figs. 1 and 2) is the same as Laurus geniculata Walt. The type has Linnaeus's annotation: species no. " 8 . aestivalis" and a further annotation (FIG. 2) giving Clayton's account, "Laurus affinis aquatilis", etc. Laurus aestivalis of Linnaeus obviously is not at all his L. Benzoin!

So far as we know Litsea aestivalis has not been found in Virginia since Pursh collected it in Southampton County. It should be sought at pond-margins.

Plate 888, Litsea aestivalis (L.) Fernald: fig. 1, type of Laurus aestivalis L., $\times 1 / 2$, courtesy of Mr. S. Savage; FIG. 2, Clayton's description from bottom of sheet, $\times 2 / 3$; FIG. 3, flowering branch, $\times 1$, of characteristic Litsea geniculata (Walt.) Benth. \& Hook. f., from Schreven Co., Georgia, Eyles, no. 6748, as Glabraria geniculata (Walt.) Britton.

Plate 889, Lindera Benzoin (L.) Blume: type, $\times 2 \frac{5}{5}$, of Laurus Benzoin L., courtesy of Dr. John Ramsbottom.
(To be continued)
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# BOTANICAL SPECIALTIES OF THE SEWARD FOREST AND ADJACENT AREAS OF SOUTHEASTERN VIRGINIA 

M. L. Fernald

(Continued from page 142)
Persea Borbonia (L.) Spreng., forma pubescens (Pursh), stat. nov. Laurus caroliniensis $\beta$. pubescens Pursh Fl. Am. Sept. i. 276 (1814). P. caroliniensis $\alpha$. Nees, Syst. Laur. 150 (1836). Tamala palustris Raf. Sylv. Tellur. 137 (1838). P. caroliniensis及. pubescens (Pursh) Meisn. in DC. Prodr. xv ${ }^{1} .51$ (1864). $P$. caroliniensis, var. palustris (Raf.) Chapm. Fl. So. U. S. 393 (1860). P. pubescens (Pursh) Sarg. Silva N. A. vii. 7, t. 302 (1895). Tamala pubescens (Pursh) Small, Fl. Se. U. S. ed. 2: 822 and 1375 (1913). P. palustris (Raf.) Sarg. in Bot. Gaz. lxvii. 229 (1919).

After closely watching, collecting, and intensively studying the Red Bay, as it occurs in eastern Virginia, I have abandoned the futile attempt to see two species or two varieties in the glabrous-leaved material and that with leaves densely pubescent beneath, and I cannot look upon them as anything but glabrous and pubescent forms of one species, P. Borbonia (L.) Spreng. The bibliography of the latter is
P. Borbonia (L.) Spreng. Syst. ii. 268 (1825). Laurus Borbonia L. Sp. Pl. 370 (1753). L. axillaris Lam. Encycl. iii. 453 (1789). L. caroliniensis Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 245 (1803). L. caroliniana Poir. in Lam. Encycl. Suppl. iii. 323 (1813). L. caroliniensis, $\alpha$. glabra Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. i. 276 (1814). P. caroliniensis (Michx.) Nees, Syst. Laur. 150 (1836). Tamala Borbonia (L.) Raf. Sylv. Tellur. 136 (1838). P. caroliniensis, $\alpha$. glabriuscula Meisn. in DC. Prodr. xv ${ }^{18} .51$ (1864). P. palustris, forma laevifolia Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 399 (1942).

Hoping against hope that, with most of our American herbarium material interned (or destroyed?) in Holland, there might be some erudite difference which I could not discover, I went so far in 1942 as to distinguish a glabrous-leaved form of the pubescent-leaved Persea palustris, although it already had a plethora of names. Now, having to dispose of Laurus axillaris Lam., a photograph of the type of which is before me, I have again sought characters aside from the superficial and very obvious and variable one of pubescence. In the first place,

Laurus axillaris Lam. is not, as indicated by Index Kewensis, Litsea geniculata (i. e. L. aestivalis supra); the type is a very immature branch of Persea Borbonia with very young (therefore short-peduncled) inflorescences. It was sent by Fraser from South Carolina and Lamarck merely suggested its relationship to the Litsea: "An Laurus geniculata Walt." In the second place, I can get nothing stable out of the supposed specific differences: $P$. Borbonia, according to Sargent's Silva, with "Peduncles short; leaves oblong or oblong-lanceolate, obscurely veined, glabrous; branchlets puberulous"; P. palustris (or pubescens) with "Peduncles elongated; leaves oval or lanceolate, conspicuously veined, tomentose on the lower surface; branchlets coated with tomentum".
Persea Borbonia, according to Sargent, with "Peduncles short; leaves oblong or oblong-lanceolate, obscurely veined", started in L., Hort. Cliff. 154 (1737), and by Linnaeus was described as having the peduncles long (pediculis longis), instead of "short", the leaves lanceolate, instead of "oblong or oblong-lanceolate" and the veins transverse (evident to Linnaeus)! The full account was:
3. Laurus foliis lanceolatis, nervis transversalibus, fructus calicibus baccatis.
Borbonia fructu oblongo nigro, calyce coccineo. Plum. gen. 4?
Laurus caroliniensis, foliis acuminatis, baccis coeruleis, pediculis longis rubris insidentibus. Catesb. ornith. 63, t. 63. Crescit in Carolina.
In Species Plantarum (1753), under Laurus Borbonia, the only changes were the dropping of the reference to Plumier, the adding of references to Gronovius, Virg. 46, and to Royen, and the change to "Habitat in Carolina, Virginia". According to Daydon Jackson there was no specimen in the Linnean Herbarium in 1753. We can, of course, not get at the Hortus Cliffortianus material now, but Catesby's plate is a definite, though much distorted representation, with petioles often much longer than in nature and obviously not differentiated by the artist from the "foot-stalks [peduncles] of two or three inches long". "These Trees . . . not common in Virginia, except in some places near the Sea. In Carolina . . . every where seen, particularly in low swampy lands." In the collections represented in the Gray Herbarium nearly glabrous-twigged and
-leaved branches may have peduncles only 1 cm . long or up to the length shown in Catesby's plate. Similarly, branches with densely velvety cortex and lower leaf-surfaces (merely taking the Virginian series) may have fruiting peduncles anywhere from $1.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. As forms the essentially glabrous and the heavily pubescent extremes are striking, but there are altogether too many transitional trees for them to be called geographic varieties and surely not different species. It is inconvenient that the very pubescent form is much commoner than the glabrescent type of the species. At least, in the material which has accumulated in the Gray Herbarium since the bulk of specimens was sent on loan to Utrecht, the heavily pubescent form outnumbers the other two to one.
*Magnolia virginiana L., var. australis Sargent in Bot. Gaz. Ixvii. 231 (1919). Range extended from southeastern North Carolina northward into southeastern Virginia. Dinwiddie Co.: along stream near Petersburg, May 13, 1935, E. Puette \& M. Ellyson. Princess Anne Co.: rich pine woods, Munden, Fernald \& Griscom, no. 4408, with note: "Trunk 1 ft . in diameter; trees 30 ft . high."

This southern large extreme with silky white pubescence on new branchlets, petioles and often the lower side of the leaf, was pronounced by Sargent a larger tree than glabrous true $M$. virginiana and "Swamps in the neighborhood of Wilmington, North Carolina, is the most northern station from which I have seen specimens of this tree". The Munden material is thoroughly typical; that from Petersburg even more heavily pubescent than any from Florida to Louisiana.

In the Gray Herbarium there is extreme material of var. australis from Tyrrell Co., North Carolina: south of Columbia, Godfrey, no. 3928. This station is on Albemarle Sound, only 40 miles south of Munden, whereas Wilmington is nearer 190 miles from Munden.
*Crataegus aestivalis (Walt.) T. \& G. Princess Anne Co.: low woods along Back Bay, Long Island, no. 10,671. The first from north of South Carolina.
C. flava Ait. Nansemond Co.: dry pine and oak woods about 2 miles southeast of Cleopus, no. 9578 (vegetative sprouts with characteristic glandular-margined stipules). Isle of Wight Co.: dry sandy woods northwest of Raynor, no. 14,339; border of dry sandy woods south of Zuni, no. 6818. Southampton Co.:
about Franklin, June, 1893, Heller, no. 978 as C. glandulosa Moench, the identification changed by Eggleston to C. flava; frequent on higher ridges, Franklin, Aug., 1908. Eggleston, no. 4011, with the note: "Only known Manual station". Greensville Co.: rich deciduous woods by Metcalf Branch, east of Emporia, no. 8293. Brunswick Co.: wooded swamp along Quarrel's Creek, "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,710.

Although included by Eggleston in Britton \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2, from southeastern Virginia, C. flava is given by Tidestrom in Small's Manual as coming north only to Georgia.

Geum canadense Jacq., var. brevipes Fernald in Rhodora, xxxix. 410, pl. 479, figs. 1-3 (1937). This characteristic plant, heretofore known only from the bottoms of the Nottoway, is now found along Roanoke drainage in southwestern Brunswick Co.: bottomland woods along Poplar Creek, southwest of Ebony, no. 14,619. See p. 97.

Rubus (§ Flagellares) connixus Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 273 , fig. 113 (1943). Range extended 45 miles southeastward from the type-locality (Keysville, Charlotte Co.) to eastern Brunswick Co.: trailing in argillaceous flatland along Sawmill Branch, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,617.

The specimens closely match Bailey's figure and his description, including (for most leaves) his "leaves of primocanes leaflets . . . not subcordate at base", although one leaf retained at the Gray Herbarium fits Bailey's contradictory key-character: "Odd or terminal leaflet of primocane leaves more or less cordate".
R. (§ Cuneifolii) sejunctus Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 201, fig. 205 (1943). To the original stations in Southampton Co. add one in Brunswick Co.: dry thicket, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,611 . Very abundant; seen over much of the region. See p. 94.
*Rubus (§ Tholiformes) Akermani, sp. nov. (tab. 890 et 891), valde arcuans deinde depressis cannis tholos formantibus, cannis vel ramibus ad $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$. longis apicibus prostratis rare radicantibus; primocannis simplicibus $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro glabris remote armatis; aculeis rectis horizontalis vel vix recurvatis subulatis $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis basi $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis; primocannae folis ternatis firmis supra strigoso-pilosis subtus dense tomentulosis; foliolis ovatis acuminatis duplicato serratis basi subrotundatis, foliolo terminali $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo $2.5-5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. lato, petiolulo armato $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo; floricannis intricate ramosis ramibus porrectis vel divergentibus rigidis; floricannae foliis ternatis, foliolis
ellipticis vel anguste cuneato-obovatis acutis vel obtusis subtus pilosis, foliolo terminali $1.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo; inflorescentiis perbrevibus corymbiformibus foliosis $1-4$-floris, bracteis trifoliolatis vel superne simplicibus quam pedicellis superantibus; pedicellis villosis plerumque inarmatis adscendentibus $0.7-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; calycis pilosis inarmatis segmentis deinde reflexis; fructibus ad 1.8 cm . diametro.-Brunswick and Greensville Counties, VirGinia: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, Brunswick Co., June 22, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis)¹, no. 14,614 (тype in Herb. Gray., isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.) ; damp thicket northeast of Ebony, Brunswick Co., June 21, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis), no. 14,613; dry woods near Mitchell's Millpond, west of Brink, Greensville Co., June 29, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis), no. 14,618.

Rubus Akermani, with which it is a great pleasure to associate the name of Alfred Akerman, Director of the Seward Forest, to whom I am under great obligation, is a relatively unique species. Its doming and long-arching habit is striking, as are the very leafy few-flowered and -fruited corymbs with the fruits mostly hidden among the leaves. It is much coarser than the species with slender and closely trailing floricanes (the Dewberries), and I am not able to place it definitely near any described species. See p. 95.
*R. (§ Tholiformes) cathartium, sp. nov. (tab. 892 et 893), valde arcuans cannis tholos altos formantibus, cannis vel ramibus ad 2.5 m . longis apicibus prostratis plus minusve radicantibus; primocannis simplicibus vel ramosis longe arcuatis flexuosis subteretibus glabris ad 7 mm . diametro armatis; aculeis oblique deltoideo-subulatis recurvatis vel unguiculatis $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis basi $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis; primocannae foliis imis ternatis mediis superioribusque quinatis submembranaceis supra strigoso-pilosis subtus piloso-tomentulosis pilis fulvescentibus; petiolo valde ungui-culato-armato; foliolis ovatis vel elliptico-ovalibus grosse dupli-cato-serratis acuminatis basi rotundatis, foliolo terminali 8-14 cm . longo $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. lato, petiolulo armato $1.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo; floricannis subsimplicibus subrigidibus; foliis membranaceis ternatis, petiolo piloso sparse stipitato-glanduloso setosisque, foliolis rhomboideo-oblongis acutis vel subacutis duplicato serratis $4-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; racemis corymbiformibus $1-7$-floris, bracteis imis ternatis supernis simplicibus quam pedicellis valde brevioribus; pedicellis erectis filiformibus pilosis plus minusve stipitato-glandulosis setosisque plerumque $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; calycis pilosis plus minusve glanduliferis deinde reflexis.-

[^27]Brunswick County, Virginia: dry thickets near old Taylor Place, Seward Forest, near Triplett, June 23, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis), no. 14,615 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); dry thicket, Old Chamblis Place, Seward Forest, June 20, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis), no. 14,612.

Rubus cathartium (cathartium, of buzzards, from Cathartes, the Turkey-buzzard ${ }^{1}$ because, in collecting the type (see p. 98), we seriously disturbed a brood of young buzzards whose home was in the shed near-by) is, like R. exsularis Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 386, fig. 175 (1943), "a rampageous very prickly woody grower making deep tangled mounds or heaps" (Bailey, p. 243), "a fearsome briar to handle" ${ }^{" 2}$ (his p. 388), and the pubescence, glandularity and prickles are similarly distributed. The pedicels in the New York $R$. exsularis are illustrated as much shorter than and overtopped by the leafy bracts. In $R$. cathartium they greatly overtop their subtending bracts, thus suggesting the inflorescences of $R$. fagellaris. From the latter $R$. cathartium is quickly distinguished by its coarse and doming habit, the densely velvety lower surfaces of the primocane-foliage, the broad-based prickles and the glandular inflorescence.
*R. (§ Tholfformes) Sewardianus, sp. nov. (tab. 894 et 895), valde arcuans cannis tholos formantibus, cannis vel ramibus ad 2 m . longis apicibus longe arcuatis; primocannis deinde divergente ramosis $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro glabris vel apice pilosis remote armatis; aculeis rectis horizontalis subulatis $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis basi $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis; primocannae foliis ternatis vel quinatis membranaceis supra remote strigosis subtus tomentulosis, petiolo unguiculato-armato superne piloso; foliolis ovatis acuminatis duplicato serrato-dentatis basi rotundatis, foliolo terminali $8-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo $5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. lato basi cordato, petiolulo piloso armato $2.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo; floricannis inextricabiliter divergenterque ramosis valde arcuato-depressis ramis unguiculato-aculeatis; floricannae folis ternatis, foliolis anguste elliptico-ovalibus acuminatis duplicato serratis $4-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis subtus minute pilosis; inflorescentiis breviter racemoso-corymbosis 2-8-floris;

[^28]bracteis imis ternatis, superne simplicibus parvis quam pedicellis; pedicellis divergenter adscendentibus $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis retrorse villosis plus minusve armatis; calycibus pilosis segmentis acuminatis deinde reflexis; fructibus $1.5-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. diametro.Brunswick County, Virginia: dry thicket near old Taylor Place, Seward Forest, near Triplett, June 23, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis), no. 14,616 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). See p. 98.

Rubus Sewardianus is named for the late Dr. Walter Seward of Triplett, Virginia, through whose munificence the University of Virginia received the original Seward Forest and its initial endowment. Not referable to any of the doming species accounted for by Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. part v (1943). Very striking on account of the divergently branched overarching canes, which, because of the long and intricate branching and the strong prickles, become almost inextricable. Notable also because the prickles of the primary axes of the primocanes and the floricanes are straight and horizontally divergent, those of the branches strongly unguiculate. The very broad leaflets of the primocane-foliage, with the terminal leaflet cordate, and the acuminate leaflets of the floricanes at once distinguish it from firmer-leaved R. Akermani. From $R$. cathartium it is quickly told by its chiefly 3 -foliolate leaves, those of the primocanes with low and relatively small dentation (rather than coarse and sharp serration), by the glandless petioles of the floricane-leaves and glandless pedicels and calyx, by the more compact inflorescence, and by the unusual disparity in the toothing of the leaves, those of the primocanes dentate, of the floricanes sharply serrate. The differences between prickles of primary and secondary axes and between toothing of primocane- and floricane-foliage are unusual features. R. Sewardianus, R. Akermani and R. cathartium are neighbors. No one would confuse them and, except for growthhabit, they are very different plants.

Plates 890 and 891, Rubus Akermani Fernald, all figs. from type. Plate 890, floricane: FIG. 1, portion of cane, bearing solitary fruits, $\times 1$; FIG. 2 , another cane with 3 -fruited spurs, $\times 1 / 3$; FIG. 3 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4, summit of pedicel and base of calyx, $\times 5$. Plate 891, primocane: fig. 1 , portion of cane and typical leaf, $\times 1$; FIGS. 2 and 3 , upper and lower leafsurfaces, $\times 10$.

Plates 892 and 893, Rubus cathartium Fernald, all figs. from type. Plate 892, floricane: Fig. 1, portion of cane in fruit, $\times 1$; FIG. 2 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 3 , summit of pedicel and base of calyx, $\times 5$. Plate 893, primocane: FIG. 1, two leaves, $\times 1 / 2$; FIG. 2, portion of cane, $\times 1$; FIGS. 3 and 4 , upper and lower leaf-surfaces, $\times 10$.

Plates 894 and 895, Rubus Sewardianus Fernald, all from type. Plate 894, all figs, $\times 1:$ fig. 1, primary axis of floricane, to show straight prickles; FIG. 2, upper fruiting branchlets; fig. 3, portion of lateral branch (showing unguiculate prickles) and of leafy branch. Plate 895, fig. 1, primocane and primocane-leaf, $\times 5 / 6$; fig. 2, lower, and Fig. 3, upper leaf-surface, $\times 10$; FIG. 4, summit of pedicel and calyx, $\times 5$.

Rosa multiflora Thunb. Well naturalized around Triplett, Brunswick Co.: no. 14,713.

Baptisia tinctoria (L.) R. Br., typical. Brunswick Co.: very local, seen only in thicket near Philadelphia Church, no. 14,621 . See p. 94 .

Tephrosia virginiana (L.) Pers., var. glabra Nutt.; see Rhodora, xlv. 452 (1943). Local range extended inland from the Coastal Plain to Brunswick Co.: dry pine woods, Seward Forest, southeast of Ante, no. 14,715. See p. 102.

Amorpha fruticosa L. Greensville Co.: thicket along Quarrel's Creek, below Pair's Store, no. 14,623; sandy woods and thickets along Fontaine Creek, near Round Hill Church, no. 14,624. Closely approaching the Coastal Plain. See p. 99.

Psoralea psoralioides (Walt.) Cory, var. eglandulosa (Ell.) F. L. Freeman. To the single recorded Virginian station, in Dinwiddie Co. (see Rhodora, xlv. 366 and 452) add one in Brunswick Co.: damp openings in woods, Moseley flat pineland, near Triplett, no. 14,630. See p. 95.

Desmodium lineatum (Michx.) DC. Local range extended inland to Brunswick Co.: rich low woods, "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,716. See p. 101.
*Polygala sanguinea L., forma albiflora (Wheelock) Millsp. Greensville Co.: swaley clearing along Quarrel's Creek, below Pair's Store, no. 14,632 . See p. 99.
*Cyrilla racemiflora L., var. subglobosa Fernald in Rhodora, xlvi. 46, t. 813, figs. 1 and 2 (1944). Known only from a wooded swamp along Mill Creek, north of Skipper's, Greensville Co.

The Identity of Mrchaux's Acer barbatum.-For many years the northern and montane Sugar-Maple, Acer saccharum Marsh., at least in part, was called A. barbatum Mich. Fl. Bor.Am. ii. 252 (1803). This name was used by Sargent, Silva, ii. 97 (1891), Sargent then including under it the northern SugarMaple, A. saccharum Marsh., the Black Sugar-Maple, A. nigrum Michx. f., the Southern Sugar-Maple or Sugartree, A. floridanum (Chapm.) Pax, the southwestern A. grandidentatum Nutt. and some others-an all-inclusive species later better understood and broken up by him (Man. Trees N. A.) into five species: A. saccharum with bark "becoming on large trunks $1 / 2^{\prime}-3 / 4$ ' thick and
broken into deep longitudinal furrows, . . . gray-brown", leaves pale beneath and "glabrous" (or in the var. Schneckii Rehd. of the Interior pubescent beneath), "calyx greenish yellow, hairy on the outer surface", fruit "glabrous", etc.; A. nigrum Michx. f. with bark "becoming on old trunks thick, deeply furrowed, and sometimes almost black", leaves "with a broad sinus usually more or less closed . . . , dull green on the upper surface, yellow-green and soft-pubescent . . . on the lower surface, . . . with drooping sides", "flowers yellow, . . calyx . . . pilose on the outer surface near the base", "fruit glabrous"; A. floridanum (Chapm.) Pax, with bark "thin, smooth, pale", leaves "at maturity pale and pubescent below", "rounded, truncate or slightly cordate at the broad base", calyx "ciliate on the margin with long pale hairs", fruit "villose until fully grown"; the shrubby green-leaved and light-barked $A$. leucoderme Small, with "calyx glabrous or slightly villose", "fruit villose . . . until nearly grown"; and the western $A$. grandidentatum Nutt.

When, in Rhodora, xliv. 359 and 360 and $426-428$, plates 725-727 (1942), I discussed the variations of Acer floridanum, I mistakenly assumed that the almost universal ${ }^{1}$ reduction by students of trees of $A$. barbatum Michx. to the glabrous-leaved northern and montane A. saccharum (or A. saccharophorum K. Koch) meant that they had settled that point, in my general ignorance of trees supposing it unnecessary to look up my notes made in 1903, when I studied the Michaux material. Michaux in his Flora Boreali-Americana showed clear understanding of our maples, and the specimens in his herbarium closely match his descriptions. Passing A. pensylvanicum, montanum, rubrum and Negundo, we have three species of his Flora to consider: $A$. saccharinum "L.", A. barbatum Michx. and A. eriocarpum Michx. As said, the material in the Michaux Herbarium at Paris definitely fits his descriptions.
A. eriocarpum was described: "foliis palmato-5-lobis, inaequaliter dentatis, subtus glabriusculis glaucisque; sinubus obtusis: floribus fertilibus subsessiliter conglomeratis. A. tomentosum. Hort. paris. OBS. Fructus junior lanosus; maturus

[^29]pubens, alis amplissimis, decussato-convergentibus". This is, as it should be from the description, the River-Maple, A. saccharinum L., not Wangenheim and others.

Acer saccharinum of Michaux (following Wangenheim) included the two northern Sugar-Maples, A. saccharum Marsh. in part (A. saccharophorum) and A. nigrum Michx. f. There are two sheets in the Michaux Herbarium, with the small labels probably interchanged by the post-Michauxian mounter. The labels read: "Rivière Sagney et tout le Canada" and "Kentucky, Ohio et Tenassee". One is, as my notes say, "the common Sugar Maple of New England and Quebec", i. e. A. saccharum. The other, the tree collected by Michaux on his trip down the Ohio, is, my notes say, "the best kind of A. nigrum". When we compare these, "HAB. a sinu Hudson ad Carolinam et Tennassée", with Michaux's "OBS. Habitus A. platanoidis. Folia modo, uti supra dicti, subtus glabella, modo pubentia", it is evident that the $A$. saccharinum of Michaux was both the glabrousleaved $A$. saccharum and the pubescent-leaved $A$. nigrum. That being the case and Michaux a remarkable observer, why should he describe the Sugar-Maple of the North all over again, in the very next paragraph, and why give the name $A$. barbatum (bearded) to the glabrous or essentially glabrous northern and montane tree which he so well knew?

The answer is, that Acer barbatum Michaux, as shown by his material, is A. floridanum (Chapm.) Pax (1886). A. barbatum "HAB. in Carolina" "foliis breviter trilobis" had the "flores pallido-viriduli", the "Calyces masc. intus densissima barba obsiti", whence the specific name. The calyces of A. floridanum are striking in anthesis on account of the long setiform beard projecting from the summit, the beard particularly conspicuous in the hermaphrodite flowers because it also covers the prolonging ovary. Now, more than 40 years late, I read in my notes on the Michaux material, examined when I had never heard of the pubescent-leaved southern A. floridanum (Chapm.) Pax with bearded calyx, these items: "A. barbatum, flowering branches of very pubescent A. saccharum (Sugar-Maple), nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, \& 5 . $6=$ leaves and 7 fruit of $A$. rubrum! ", the latter doubtless due to confusion during handling. ${ }^{1}$

[^30]In view of the general abundance in the Piedmont and Coastalplain areas of the Carolinas, thence to Florida and west to Texas (inland to southeastern Missouri), of this characteristic tree with thin and pale bark, leaves pale and pubescent beneath, and flowers bearded at summit, it would seem very strange if the tree were not separated until Chapman noted it in 1860 as a variety, and that its specific separation should have waited for Pax in 1886. Michaux knew it and gave it a descriptive name in 1803 !

This interpretation gains support from the known ranges of A. saccharum and A. floridanum in North Carolina. I quote from Coker and Totten, Trees of North Carolina, 79 (1916). Under $A$. saccharum, Sugar-Maple, they say: "plentiful in our mountain valleys and slopes . . . Ayers and Ashe remark that it is 'Common . . . above an elevation of 2000 feet on cold moist soil'", while A. floridanum, Southern Sugar-Maple, "takes its place in the Piedmont and coastal plain regions of this state and from thence southward". Michaux, with vast experience in the North, where $A$. saccharum (his $A$. saccharinum) grew on "Rivière Sagney et tout de Canada", but also, more broadly, "a sinu Hudson ad Carolinam et Tennassée", would not redescribe it in the very next paragraph, if he considered it identical with the more northern and upland trees just described, as a second species from Carolina, with copiously bearded calyxthroat and there collect specimens with flowering branches very pubescent. Such a tree from eastern Carolina, with its characteristically paler and smoother bark could hardly have been missed by him. While I regret the necessity to change, I feel that Acer barbatum should finally be recognized for what it is. I am, therefore, forced to the following transfers.

[^31]Acer barbatum Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 252 (1803), not Sargent and later auth. A. floridanum (Chapm.) Pax, var. villipes Rehder in Sargent, Trees and Shrubs, ii. 255 (1913). A. floridanum, forma villipes (Rehder) Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 426, t. 725 , fig. 3, and 727 , fig. 4 (1942).
A. barbatum, forma floridanum (Chapm.), stat. nov. $A$. saccharinum, var. floridanum Chapm. Fl. So. U. S. 81 (1860). A. floridanum (Chapm.) Pax in Engler, Bot. Jahrb. vii. 243 (1886). A. barbatum, var. floridanum (Chapm.) Sargent, Garden \& Forest, iv. 148 (1891) and Silva, ii. 100, t. xci. fig. 4 (1891); Fernald in Rhodora, l. c. t. 725, figs. 1 and 2, and 727, fig. 3 (1942).
A. barbatum, var. Longii (Fernald), comb. nov. A. floridanum, var. Longii Fernald in Rhodora, l. c. t. 726 (1942).
A. barbatum, var. Longii, forma platylobum (Fernald), comb. nov. A. floridanum, var. Longii, forma platylobum Fernald, l. c. t. 727, figs. 1 and 2 (1942).
To the Virginian records add the following from the Roanoke drainage.
A. barbatum Michx., forma floridanum (Chapm.) Fernald, supra. Brunswick Co.: bottomland woods along Poplar Creek, southwest of Ebony, no. 14,636. See p. 96.
A. barbatum, var. Longii (Fernald) Fernald, supra. With the last, no. 14,637. See p. 96.
Vitis cinerea Engelm. Local range extended inland to western Greensville Co.: bottomland woods along Quarrel's Creek, below Pair's Store, no. 14,723. See p. 101.

Viola Stoneana House. To the single record from southeastern Virginia (Princess Anne Co.) in Rhodora, xxxviii. 436 (1936) add the following. Norfolk Co.: rich deciduous wooded ridge above swamp, near Gertie, no. 14,201. Dinwiddie Co.: border of swampy woods southwest of Carson, no. 7542. Greensville Co.: rich deciduous woods by Metcalf Branch, east of Emporia, no. 9102; rich deciduous wooded slope by Three Creek, slightly above the "fall-line", northwest of Emporia, no. 11,872. Brunswick Co.: mixed woods, Seward Forest, south of Hobbs Store, no. 14,725 . Mecklenburg Co.: dry wooded ridge north of Roanoke River, near Goode's Ferry, no. 7115.

Other specimens, one from Stony Man Mountain, identified by Brainerd in 1914, coll. Steele \& Steele, no. 106, others from Alexandria Co., Steele, are in the Gray Herbarium. It is, therefore, a bit surprising to note the restricted range recorded by Brainerd in his Violets of North America, 21 (1921): "It is of limited range-moist woodlands New Jersey, eastern Pennsylvania to the vicinity of the District of Columbia". The Check-
list of Plants in the Washington-Baltimore Area (1941) does not mention it. Very slight effort would probably extend its range from southeastern Virginia into eastern North Carolina.
*Rhexia virginica L., var. septemnervia (Walt.) Pursh. Frequent on the Coastal Plain, all collections but the first by Fernald \& Long. James City Co.: Sphagnum-Magnolia swamp, 4 miles west of Williamsburg, Grimes, no. 4315. Norfolk Co.: wet peaty clearings in woods of Pinus serotina, south of Grassfield, no. 4063. Nansemond Co.: low sandy woods along Nansemond River, east of Cahoon Pond, northwest of Suffolk, no. 13,701; border of low woods northeast of Baines Hill School, no. 13,979 . Sussex Co.: border of wet woods, Coppahaunk Swamp, southeast of Waverly, no. 10,746; swampy woods north of Jarratt, no. 12,749. Prince George Co.: exsiccated argillaceous swale about 3 miles southeast of New Bohemia, nos. 6299 and 6842.

Rhexia virginica, var. septemnervia is the extremely coarse variation of the species, originally described by Walter, Fl. Carol. 130 (1788) with "caule 4 s. 5 -pedali". It is not only much taller than typical $R$. virginica; its aggregate of characters mark it as a good variety of the southern Coastal Plain, occurring from Florida to Louisiana, northward to southeastern Virginia, whereas the smaller typical $R$. virginica occurs from Nova Scotia to southern Ontario and Minnesota, thence south to Georgia, Alabama, Tennessee and Missouri, often ascending to high altitudes (up to 2300 ft . in North Carolina and Tennessee). I distinguish the two as follows
R. virginica (typical): stem 1-5 (exceptionally to 10) dm. high, 1-4.5 mm. thick toward base (excluding spongy tissue when present), its angles with wings 0.1 -rarely 1 mm . wide; larger leaves $0.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, those at base of inflorescence $0.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, their longer teeth $0.5-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers 1-50 (rarely -100 ).

Var. Septemnervia: stem ( $0.6-$ ) $0.8-1.6 \mathrm{~m}$. high, $5-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, its conspicuous thin wings 1-2 mm . wide; larger leaves $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, those at base of inflorescence $1.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, their longer teeth $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers 20-200 or more.

Ludwigia glandulosa Walt. Local range extended inland from Coastal Plain to western Greensville Co.: border of Mitchell's Millpond, west of Brink, no. 14,641; muddy margin of Fontaine Creek, at mouth of Quarrel's Creek, no. 14,727. See p. 101.
*Circaea canadensis Hill, var. virginiana, var. nov. (tab. 896, FIG. 1-4) rhizoma firma $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. crassa; foliis firmis cordatis undulato-dentatis; racemi rhachi pedicellisque valde
villosis, pedicellis ad basin purpurascentibus; sepalis dorso villosis.-Brunswick County, Virginia: rich woods, associated with Sanicula Smallii Bickn., "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, June 23, 1944, Fernald (and J. B. Lewis), no. 14,643 (type in Herb. Gray; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). See p. 97.

Circaea canadensis Hill (1756), as revived by me in Rhodora, xix. 86,87 (1917), is a plant of rich or alluvial woods; in America occurring from the Gaspé Peninsula to Lake St. John, Quebec, south to Nova Scotia, southern Maine, southern New Hampshire, western Massachusetts and Connecticut, New York and upland West Virginia. Although having the essential characters of the more northern plant, var. virginiana differs in some notable points: its rhizome is stiffer and thicker than in most of the northern material, though in occasional northern plants quite as stout; its leaves are of firmer texture than in most (but not all) of the northern series, the petiole relatively short, the definitely cordate blade (FIGS. 1 and 2) merely undulate-dentate (in typical C. canadensis (FIG. 5) the membranaceous longpetioled blade merely rounded or subcordate, rarely definitely cordate, at base, and coarsely sharp-dentate) ; in var. virginiana the bases of the pedicels (and sometimes the adjoining rachis) are conspicuously deep purple (in typical C. canadensis only faintly so); in var. virginiana the backs of the calyx-lobes are somewhat spreading-villous, in typical $C$. canadensis mostly glabrous. It may prove that the petals are shorter in var. virginiana, the material being too inadequate to warrant a definite assertion. The 2-locular fruits seem inseparable from those of C. canadensis.

Var. virginiana is geographically far removed from the typical northern (and Eurasian) plant. Its type-station is in rich woods at the base of a slope (alt. about 200 feet) in the Seward Forest, where, as stated, it is associated with unusually abundant and southern Sanicula Smallii (Florida to eastern Texas, north to southern Virginia, Tennessee and southeastern Missouri), other occupants (some of them scarce) of these rich woods including such southern species as Zizia trifoliata (Michx.) Fern. (Z. Bebbii (Coult. \& Rose) Britton), Ligusticum canadense (L.) Britt., Carex oxylepis Torr. \& Hook. and C. flaccosperma Dew., Polymnia Uvedalia, var. densipila Blake (Bermuda, and Missouri
to Louisiana and eastern Texas), etc. These are not the northern species with which typical Circaea canadensis is usually associated. Further collections, which will doubtless be made elsewhere in the South, may reveal other and stronger differences.

Plate 896, figs. 1-4, Circaea canadensis Hill, var. virginiana Fernald, all from type: fig. 1 , a plant, $\times 1 / 2$; Fig. 2 , leaf, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 3 , portion of inflorescence, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , fruit, $\times 10$. Fig. 5, typical C. canadensis: half of characteristic leaf, $\times 1$, from Frankfort, Maine, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,208.

Sanicula Smallif Bickn. To the few recorded Virginian stations add a prosperous one in Brunswick Co.: rich woods, "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,644. See p. 97.
*Erynglum prostratum Nutt., var. disjunctum, var. nov. (TAB. 897 , FIG. $1-3$, tab. 898 , Fig. 1), fructu plus minusve obconico $0.6-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo $0.5-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. lato.-Southampton Co., Virginia: moist sandy and peaty shore of Whitefield's Millpond, southwest of Corinth, July 7, 1943, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,375; Sept. 18, 1944, Fernald (with J. B. Lewis), nos. 14,728 (TYPe in Herb. Gray.; isotypes in Herb. Phil. Acad. and elsewhere), 14,729 and (in wet litter and humus under shrubs at upper border of beach) 14,730 . See p. 103 .

Eryngium prostratum Nutt. has the usually depressed-cupuliform or subglobose fruits (plate 897, fig. 4) $0.8-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad and mostly shorter ( $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. high or long), with the scattered papillae capitate or barely stalked. It occurs from northern Florida to eastern Texas, northward to southeastern South Carolina, southwestern Georgia, southwestern Kentucky and western Tennessee, southeastern Missouri and eastern Oklahoma. It thus has a wide Coastal Plain range, largely on the Gulf Coastal Plain and along the Mississippi Embayment. Var. disjunctum, removed by 360 miles from the northeastern known limit of typical $E$. prostratum (Cambahee River, southwest of Hendersonville, Colleton Co., South Carolina, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 2263), by about 500 miles from the nearest station (muddy shore of small pond near Flint River, Sumter Co., (Harper, no. 1047) in Georgia, and by 600 miles, with the Cumberland Plateau and the Appalachian Upland intervening, from the easternmost station in Kentucky (gravelly edge of small creek, 3 miles south of Murray, Calloway Co., Smith \& Hodgdon, no. 4149), shows a type of geographic affinity which we find in many plants of southeastern Virginia.

Superficially and in the great range of ecological variation in response to lack of or abundance of moisture and shade, the plant of Whitefield's Pond closely matches typical E. prostratum, but its fruits (plate 897, fig. 3) are usually more elongate, tending to obconic, usually narrower than in the typical form and with stipitate papillae. At the type-locality the plant is excessively variable. When discovered in early July, 1943, the loosely ascending stems were only $5-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. In midSeptember, 1944, they were prostrate, rooting at all but the lower nodes and up to 4.5 (rarely -6) dm. long. On open sand the basal leaf-blades ranged from $1.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $0.8-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the cauline leaves $2-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide (plate 897 , fig. 1). Nearer the water the leaves were thinner and larger, while in the loose litter and humus in the shade of the thicket the plants (plate 898, fig. 1) scarcely yet fruiting, had basal blades $4-7$ cm . long and $2-4.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the cauline leaves often nearly as broad.

The latest treatment of the genus with us seems to be the Synopsis of the North American Species of Eryngium by Mathias and Constance in Am. Midl. Nat. xxy. 361-387 (1941). There they assign our two prostrate species, E. prostratum Nutt. and E. Baldwini Spreng., the following ranges: E. prostratum, "Tennessee to Florida west to Missouri and Texas"; E. Baldwini "Southeastern Georgia to Florida". Much earlier, in 1888, Coulter \& Rose, Revis. N. Am. Umbelliferae, 102 (1888), cited E. prostratum from Georgia and Kentucky, and later, in their Monograph of the North American Umbelliferae, Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. vii. no. 1: 46 (1900), they also cited material of $E$. prostratum from Indian Territory (now Oklahoma). In the small representation in the Gray Herbarium E. prostratum is represented from South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas, as well as Virginia (var. disjunctum). It is too bad that the authors of the recent Synopsis, who (their p. 361) cite the Gray Herbarium as having lent material of the genus, did not check the representation there (ard evidently in other herbaria).

Although in their Monograph of 1900 Coulter \& Rose, l. c. 45 , assign the quite distinct Eryngium Baldwini (altered by them to
"baldwinii") the range "from Georgia and Florida to Louisiana and Missouri", they added the significant "although all the material we have seen is from Florida". The small series in the Gray Herbarium shows 3 numbers from Georgia, many from Florida, but none from farther west; but, in view of the many characters distinguishing E. Baldwini and E. prostratum, the only distinctions given in the recent Synopsis are bound to perplex the beginner:
> "Involucral bracts shorter than the heads; bractlets exceeding the fruit; fruit 1 mm . in diameter . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .39. E. Baldwini. Involucral bracts equalling the heads; bractlets shorter than the fruit; fruit 2 mm . in diameter. . . . . . ...........40. E. prostratum."

In attempting to identify plants by these characters one quickly finds the length of bracts very unstable but the length of bractlets very constant, while it is most difficult in E. prostratum to find any ripe fruits more than half the diameter here assigned them (see plate 897, fig. 4), unless, perchance, they referred to the persistent calyx-segments which cap the fruit. In $E$. prostratum, typical, the cauline leaves have dilated, flat and entire to coarsely toothed or cleft blades, in E. Baldwini (plate 899), to quote Coulter \& Rose, they are " 3 -parted (rarely entire or lobed), the divisions from lanceolate to filiform". In $E$. prostratum all but sometimes the uppermost bractlets are shorter than the flowers, so that the summits of the loosely spreadingascending perianth are evident, the bractlets, often appressed to the young flower, being coarsely trident-shaped, with the 2 lateral lobes broad, thus suggesting the bracts of Betula populifolia; the mature head is $3-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and subtended by an involucre of variable length, sometimes (but rarely) as prescribed, equaling the heads (plate 898, fig. 2), often (fig. 3) two thirds as long, again (FIG. 4) barely half as long, and sometimes (fig. 5) so short as searcely to equal half the diameter of the head! In E. Baldwini (plate 899), on the other hand, the bractlets are as stated by Mathias \& Constance, "exceeding the fruit", so that the erect and connivent calyx-segments are prevented from spreading. Incidentally the long bractlets are lance-attenuate and entire (not broadly 3 -lobed). The mature head (FIGS. 3-5) is $2-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, usually with very short involucres (fig. 3) but sometimes with them half as long as the
head (FIG. 4) or rarely quite as long (FIG. 5). The best character, in addition to the foliage and bractlets, is in the mature fruit. In $E$. prostratum the large calyx-segments, capping the fruits (plate 897, figs. 3 and 4), are divergent to only loosely ascending and the papillae on the body of the fruit are scattered. In E. Baldwini the persistent calyx-segments are erect and strongly connivent above (plate 899, FIG. 2) and the papillae are closely crowded.

Since the strongest specific characters of these two species have been previously so little clarified, it seems desirable to show them in the accompanying plates. It is also important to discuss the characteristic and strictly erect plant of the South which passes as Eryngium integrifolium Walt. Fl. Carol. 112 (1788). Taking the characters chiefly from Coulter \& Rose, Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. vii. 48, we get

Erect, 3 to 9 dm . high, branching above; leaves oblong or oblongobovate, the basal ones entire or crenately toothed [very rarely entire; Small says simply "serrate-crenate"], upper ones becoming sharply serrate or even laciniately toothed; bracts linear and entire or with 2 to 4 prickly teeth longer than the heads: bractlets equally 3 -cuspidate, longer than the flowers.

Now, taking the characters of $E$. prostratum chiefly from Coulter \& Rose, l. c. 45 , we get

Prostrate, diffusely branched; lower leaves oblong, entire, fewtoothed, or lobed at base, the upper ovate, few-toothed or entire, with some additional trifid ones; bractlets very small [broadly trifid above].

The reason for intruding Eryngium integrifolium into the discussion is, that Walter, in describing it, may have had E. prostratum Nutt. before him. Torrey \& Gray, uniting E. prostratum and $E$. Baldwini as a single species, referred $E$. integrifolium with doubt to the aggregate; and in other works, like Watson's Bibliographic Index and Index Kewensis, it has been similarly referred to $E$. prostratum as a doubtful earlier name, but not formally taken up, since no $E$. prostratum was actually known from South Carolina. Now, however, with good material of it known from near Hendersonville in Colleton Co., only about 45 miles from Charleston, Walter's species comes into the picture. Here is Walter's account
> integrifoli- caule procumbente ramoso; foliis radicalibus um 3 . rotundatis, integris, planis; foliis caulinis nervosis, ovato lanceolatis, apice serratis basi integris, serraturis subspinosis; foliis floralibus trifidis; paleis trifurcis; capitulis parvis caeruleis.

Obviously caule procumbente is far from good for the tall (up to 9 dm .) and erect so-called Eryngium integrifolium of recent treatments ( $E$. virgatum Lam.), but it is the striking habital character of E. prostratum. Caule ramoso would do for either (if the larger and somewhat forking plants of $E$. virgatum are considered). Foliis radicalibus rotundatis is as poor for one as for the other. Foliis integris is perfect for most material of the procumbent $E$. prostratum, not at all good for characteristic $E$. virgatum. Foliis caulinis nervosis, ovato-lanceolatis would do for either, while apice serratis basi integris is good for E. prostratum, not for $E$. virgatum; and serraturis subspinosis is perfect for $E$. virgatum. Foliis floralibus trifidis is good for E. virgatum, in which occasional involucral bracts are 3 -cleft; and paleis trifurcis is unquestionably good for the long 3 -cleft bractlets of the latter, the 3 -forked pales (bractlets) of E. prostratum being hidden in the head. Capitulis parvis caeruleis is all right for either. It is difficult to reconcile the procumbent stem, entire basal leaves and cauline leaves with entire bases and teeth only at apex, with E. virgatum. The other characters, when not shared by both species, are better for that than for E. prostratum. It is also difficult to imagine Walter confusing such very different species. When Coulter \& Rose, considered whether Walter's E. integrifolium might be either E. Baldwini or E. prostratum, they concluded: "But Walter's description is so meagre [mixed or confused would have been better], and the two species in question so variable, that there seems to be no way of positively determining which one of them is E. integrifolium Walter"-C. \& R., Revis. N. Am. Umbelliferae, 102 (1888). Soon thereafter, two distinguished botanists at the British Museum of Natural History, James Britten and Edmund G. Baker, took up the point:
E. integrifolium Walt. Fl. Carol. 112 (1788). Messrs. Coulter \& Rose . . . say that it "seems impossible to determine" this plant. The specimen in Walter's Herbarium, however, although fragmentary, is clearly identical with $E$. virgatum Lam. as was indeed correctly indicated by Sprengel (Syst. i. 870) in 1825. Walter's name must therefore
be substituted for Lamarck's.-Britten \& E. G. Baker, Journ. Bot. xxxviii. 244 (1900).

In view of the facts, that Walter's own herbarium is lost, that the series which John Fraser carried to England was many times handled ${ }^{1}$ and its specimens given to those who were specially interested, ${ }^{2}$ and that it had at least one change of lodging before it reached the British Museum, one can hardly escape the conviction that the specimen discussed by Britten \& Baker does not tell the whole story.

Plate 897, figs. 1-3, Eryngium prostratum Nutt., var. disjunctum Fernald: fig. 1 , portion of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2, head, $\times 5$, from type; fig. 3 , fruits, $\times 10$, from type. Fig. 4, typical E. prostratum: fruits, $\times 10$, from Saratoga, Mississippi, Tracy, no. 8631.

Plate 898, figs. 2-5, Eryngium prostratum Nutt., heads, $\times 5$ : fig. 2, from Chattahoochee River, Seminole Co.,.,Georgia, Eyles, no. 7081; Fig. 3, from Lake Charles, Louisiana, Allison, no. 210; FIG. 4, from Sapulpa, Oklahoma, Bush, no. 193; fig. 5, from southwest of Hendersonville, South Carolina, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 2263. Fig. 1, var. disjunctum Fernald; base of very large plant, $\times 1$, from type-station, Fernald, no. 14,730.

Plate 899, Eryngium Baldwini Spreng.: fig. 1, base and median node, $X$ 1, from Indian River, Florida, Curtis, no. 1002; FIG. 2, fruits, $\times 10$, from north of Waverly, Camden Co., Georgia, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 2259; fig. 3, head, $\times 5$, from no. 2259; fig. 4, head, $\times 5$, from Shell Island, Florida, Tracy, no. 7446; Fig. 5, head, $\times 5$, from Fort Myers, Florida, J. P. Standley, no. 108.

Cornus foemina Willd. (C. stricta Lam.). Range extended inland to Brunswick Co.: wooded swamp along Quarrel's Creek, "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,732 . See p. 101.

Nyssa sylvatica Marsh., var. biflora (Walt.) Sarg. Local range extended inland to Brunswick Co.: along Sawmill Branch, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,647.
N. sylvatica, var. caroliniana (Poir.) Fernald in Rhodora, xxxvii. 436, pl. 400 (1935). Local range extended to Brunswick Co.: "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,648.

Rhododendron canescens (Michx.) Sweet. Local range extended inland to Brunswick Co.: by small branch in woods, Seward Forest, east of Hobbs Store, no. 14,733.
*Leucothoe axillaris (Lam.) D. Don., var. ambigens, var. nov. (тab. 901), a var. typica differt foliis lanceolatis vel lanceo-lato-oblongis superna sensim angustatis acuminatis; racemorum

[^32]bracteis subrotundis obtusis; sepalis oblongo-ovatis obtusis.Swampy woods and clearings, southeastern Virginia to Florida. Virginia: by Lake Drummond, Great Dismal Swamp, Norfolk Co., April 8, 1939, J. T. Baldwin, Jr., no. 120; swampy woods, west end of Lake Drummond, Nansemond Co., Dec. 30, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 9683; border of pine woods near Benefit, Norfolk Co., May 7, 1935, Fernald \& Griscom, no. 4480; low woods, Adams Swamp, Nansemond Co., April 9, 1937, Fernald \& Long, no. 7124; Goodman Swamp, near St. Mary's Church, southwest of Whaleyville, Nansemond Co., Sept. 17, 1937, Fernald \& Long, no. 7565; wet woods and clearings, Great Dismal Swamp, southeast of Whitemarsh School, Nansemond Co., Oct. 12, 1929, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,600 (autumnal flowering); swampy thickets in sandy and peaty pine barrens, east of Cox Landing, south of South Quay, Nansemond Co., May 10, 1940, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,887 (TYPE in Herb. Gray.); swampy pine woods south of Yadkin, Norfolk County, April 21, 1942, Fernald Long \& Abbe, no. 14,213. North Carolina: low oak-pine woods, 8 miles south of Williamston, Martin Co., June 21, 1927, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 2373. South Carolina: locality not stated, type of Andromeda axillaris, $\beta$. Lam.; rich pine woods, Pine Island, Horry Co., April 9, 1932, Weatherby \& Griscom, no. 16,608. Florida: several sheets without further data, Chapman.

Leucothoe axillaris, var. ambigens is so named because of the extreme doubt, which, until after the war, cannot be removed, as to its exact identity. That it is an extreme variety of $L$. axillaris (Lam.) D. Don there can be no doubt, but whether it has one or more earlier names is the problem. The type of Andromeda axillaris Lam. Encycl. i. 157 (1783) is shown in plate 890, fig. 1. It is the extreme of the species with ovate, oval or ovate-oblong leaves abruptly short-tipped, the shrub which abounds on the Coastal Plain, from Florida to Louisiana, northward to North Carolina and, less characteristically and locally, into southeastern Virginia (swamp of Nottoway River, Smith's Ferry, Southampton Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 7935; swampy woods north of Whitemarsh School, Nansemond Co., $F . \& L .$, no. 10,764 ), both of the Virginia collections being transitional to var. ambigens.

There are two very similar species, Leucothoe axillaris of the Coastal Plain, and the shrub of the mountain-region which passes, perhaps incorrectly, as L. Catesbaei (Walt.) Gray (plate 902). L. axillaris, when it lives up to the type (plate 900, fig. 1) and to Gray's description in the Synoptical Flora,
"leaves from oval to oblong-lanceolate . . . , mostly with an abrupt acumination, serrulate mainly toward the apex," is easily distinguished because the bracts of the young raceme are broadly rounded and the broad ovate-oblong sepals blunt, whereas the mountain species which we call L. Catesbaei (plate 902) has "leaves ovate-lanceolate to lanceolate and tapering into a long and slender acumination, serrulate throughout" and the bracts are acute, the acutish sepals narrow. L. axillaris, var. ambigens has leaves which can easily be matched by those of the upland species and in some collections, such as Fernald \& Long, no. 7565 , sharp serrulations extend three-fourths to the base, more strongly than in much of $L$. "Catesbaei".

Now the difficulty is, that Walter could hardly have escaped seeing either typical Leucothoe axillaris or transitional forms or var. ambigens somewhere in the eastern Carolinas or Georgia, for either typical $L$. axillaris, the extreme described as $L$. platyphylla Small in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxviii. 290 (1901) (plate 900, Figs. 2 and 3), or intermediates or extreme var. ambigens are in his territory, as shown by abundant specimens. Nevertheless, the only evergreen Andromeda of this affinity described by Walter was his
A. Catesbaei 3. racemis ovatis axillaribus, corollis ventricoso tubulosis bracteatis; foliis alternis petiolatis, ovato-lanceolatis, serrulatis, crassis, perennantibus.-Walt. Fl. Carol. 137 (1788).
When, not realizing that the Coastal Plain species may also have ovate-lanceolate or narrower leaves "serrulatis", Gray examined Walter's type he merely made the memorandum that it " = A. spinulosa Pursh." But Pursh had some points scrambled, for he described A. axillaris, with a var. longifolia (foliis lineari-lanceolatis longissimis) from "the mountains of Virginia to Georgia", whereas the type of A. axillaris is of the shortestand broadest-leaved extreme of the Coastal Plain species! As to A. spinulosa Pursh, with A. Catesbaei cited as a synonym, his description seems to be that of the montane species, although he specifically assigned it to "Lower Carolina", the Coastal Plain region.

Since none of the original descriptions note any of the really distinctive characters, while those botanists who early applied or misapplied the names did not seem to understand them, we
are left with the problems as to the true identities of $A$ ndromeda Catesbaei Walt. and of A. spinulosa Pursh. It is not unreasonable to suppose that, when the types can be studied with the real specific differences in mind, we may have to reduce $A$. Catesbaei Walt. to the Coastal Plain species and to decide on the proper name for the montane one.

It is not without interest that Lamarck recognized two varieties of his Andromeda axillaris: the typical plant, "foliis ovatis", and $\beta$. "foliis lanceolatis", but he gave no name to the latter; the specimen, as shown by a photograph of it, being Leucothoe axillaris var. ambigens from South Carolina.

[^33]Vaccinium tenellum Ait. Range extended inland to Greensville Co.: sandy woods and thickets along Fontaine Creek, near Round Hill Church, no. 14,651.

Lysimachia lanceolata Walt. Very local in Brunswick Co.: border of rich woods, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,652.

Fraxinus caroliniana Mill., var. pubescens (M. A. Curtis) Fernald. Local range extended inland to western Brunswick Co.: bottomland woods along Poplar Creek, southwest of Ebony, no. 14,653 .

Ligustrum sinense Lour. To the records from more easterly counties add one from Greensville Co.: thicket by Meherrin River, below Emporia, no. 14,604.
*Phacelia fallax Fernald in Rhodora, xlvi. 51, t. 814 (1944). Known in Virginia only from Giles Co.: May, 1869, Canby.
*P. dubia (L.) Trel., var. interior Fernald in Rhodora, xlvi. 54, t. 816 , fig. 4 (1944). Alleghany Co.: dry roadside, Covington, Hunnewell, no. 4080.
*Heliotropium amplexicaule Vahl. Henrico County: waste ground, Richmond, May 11, 1884, J. R. Churchill as $H$. anchusaefolium Poir.; waste places and roadsides, Richmond, Fernald, Long \& Smart, no. 5904, as H. europaeum L. Dinwiddie County: waste ground and cinders of freight-yard of

Atlantic Coast Line Railroad, Petersburg, Fernald \& Long, no. 12,172, as H. europaeum.
H. amplexicaule Vahl, a decumbent perennial, with many soon forking branches, sessile or but short-petioled narrowly oblonglanceolate leaves and lilac flowers in at first dense cymes, has fruit very similar to that of $H$. indicum. It was formerly erroneously reported as $H$. europaeum, an annual with 4 -lobed (instead of 2-lobed) fruits and long-petioled elliptic leaves. The latter is before me from streets of Alexandria, Virginia, September 28, 1897, Steele. H. amplexicaule (with an often misleading name) is naturalized from South America.

Scutellaria parvula Michx., var. Leonardi (Epling), comb. nov. S. Leonardi Epling in Am. Journ. Bot. xxvi. 20 (1939). S. parvula, var. ambigua sensu Fernald in Rhodora, iii. 201 (1901), not S. ambigua Nutt. Gen. ii. 37 (1818). S. ambigua sensu Leonard in. Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xxii. 729 (1927), not Nutt. (1818).

When I identified this smoothish extreme of Scutellaria parvula as $S$. ambigua Nutt. I was apparently in error. Epling has stated that the Nuttall material at Kew, as well as the representation of it at the Philadelphia Academy, suggests a mixture of S. nervosa Pursh with S. parvula, var. Leonardi, only Epling, naturally, did not use the latter combination. He speaks of Leonard "who, in his careful and useful revision, recognized the specific distinctions clearly but, lacking access to the type, was misled as to the name." The "specific" distinctions are those of superficial pubescence and size and slight difference of shape of leaf-outline, points which, if treated as "specific", would break such a complex transcontinental series as S. epilobiifolia A. Hamilt. into many such "species". Yet Epling, in spite of a very real difference in the nutlets, throws the latter, not even as one of his "subspecies", back into the Old World S. galericulata L. As to the "specific distinctions clearly" "recognized" in Leonard's revision one finds himself in some perplexity. On p. 729 of his study, Leonard said under S. parvula: "Scutellaria parvula is closely related to S. ambigua, since both species have similar flowers and roots and resemble each other in habit. There are, however, certain striking differences. The stem of S. ambigua is glabrous, or, at most, roughened or finely puberulent on the angles, while its leaves are rather narrowly ovate or
more nearly lanceolate, strongly involute, and not exceeding 7 mm . in width. . . . Plants are not uncommon, however, in the ample material of the U.S. National Herbarium, which seem to be intermediate between the two species."
Remembering, then, that S. ambigua sensu Leonard is "strikingly" different from S. parvula because its "stem is glabrous, or, at most roughened or finely puberulent on the angles" and the leaves are "strongly involute", we turn to the discussion of the former at the bottom of the page and read: "Scutellaria ambigua is a well-marked species, readily distinguished from S. parvula by its minutely puberulent stem and more pointed leaves with revolute margins" (italics mine). Furthermore, although Nuttall, in describing S. ambigua, definitely said, "Нab. In dry and open forests, Ohio", Leonard says: "Type locality: Council Bluff on the Missouri." It is too bad that the name S. ambigua does not really belong here. Some such name is needed for the "specific distinctions clearly" "recognized" in these quotations.

As I understand the Nuttall type of Scutellaria ambigua, Dr. Pennell having kindly sent me the specimen at the Philadelphia Academy for study, it is a stiff and thick-leaved extreme of $S$. nervosa Pursh, with the larger cauline leaves unusually small and subentire or barely dentate, narrowly ovate and $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long by $8-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad. It is highly localized: on Linnaean Hill in the District of Columbia (steele in U. S. Nat. Herb.), somewhere in Ohio, presumably near the Ohio River (Nuttall's type), near Blue Licks in northern Kentucky (Short) and on limestone outcrops at Mascot, Tennessee (Billings, Cain \& Drew).

Typical Scutellaria nervosa is much more flexuous, with the leaves membranaceous, the larger median cauline ones broadly to narrowly ovate and dentate with several teeth on each margin, $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long by $1-3.25 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the young foliage abundantly strigose on the upper face. This plant is of broad range in the Piedmont region and Appalachian Upland (Pursh's type, which Dr. Pennell has kindly sent me for study, coming from Winchester, Virginia) and only slightly and exceptionally intruding into the lower or flatter marginal areas. In its narrower- and firmerleaved extremes it merges into S. ambigua. Superficially resembling typical s. nervosa is the plant of the outer Piedmont
and Atlantic Coastal Plain region, crossing westward mostly north of the Appalachian Upland, as far as Iowa and found at relatively low levels along the Mississippi Valley. This extreme, which has the upper surfaces of the leaves glabrous, was called to my attention by Mr. Bayard Long. It and typical $S$. nervosa are neighbors near Philadelphia and Washington and at some points along the Ohio River but the general avoidance of the Appalachian Upland of the plant with upper leaf-surface glabrous is so evident from the 118 sheets of specimens before me that I am looking upon $S$. nervosa as consisting of three geographic varieties as follows. For the use of the material in their care I am greatly indebted to Dr. Fred J. Seaver of the New York Botanical Garden, Dr. Francis W. Pennell and Mr. Bayard Long of the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, and Dr. William R. Maxon of the United States National Herbarium.

Scutellaria nervosa Pursh, var. typica. S. nervosa Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. 412 (1814) from "the banks of rivulets: Virginia", Winchester, in the mountains. S. gracilis Nutt. Gen. ii. 37 (1818). S. parviflora Raf. ex A. Hamilt. Mon. Scut. 37 (1832) as a syn. of S. gracilis Nutt., without diagnosis. [Epling, Univ. Calif. Pub. Bot. xx. no. 1. 20 (1942) gives as the first synonym of $S$. nervosa "S. teucrifolia J. E. Smith, in Rees Cycl. 32, No. 15, 1816; a synonym, based upon the preceding [S. nervosa]". But, if he had looked up Smith's publication of 1819, not 1816, he could hardly have made that statement, for as no. 6 Smith maintained S. nervosa, taking his account from Pursh; while his no. 15 , the new $S$. teucrifolia, was a segregation from the mixed S. integrifolia L. (1753), and he did not mention S. nervosa in his account of it. In fact, at the end of his discussion of S. teucrifolia. Smith explicitly said: "Mr. Pursh seems not to have recognized this plant. At least we can refer it to none of his species." See discussion under S. elliptica at end of this Contri-bution].-Eastern Pennsylvania to central Ohio, southern Indiana and southeastern Illinois, south in the Piedmont and among the mountains to western North Carolina and eastern Tennessee; also northwestern Louisiana.
*Var. calvifolia, var. nov., a var. typica recedit foliis supra glabris.-Northern New Jersey to southern Ontario, west to southeastern Iowa, s. on or near the Coastal Plain to Virginia, and in the interior to southern Ohio, southern Indiana and western Tennessee. The following are referred here. New Jersey: Little Falls, Aug. 22, 1889, (ioo. D. Hulst; rare at foot
of bluff in woods north of Weston's Mills below New Brunswick, Mackenzie, no. 7041; Lambertville, June 2, 1886, R. E. Schuh, May 28, 1921, Mackenzie; Princeton, 1883, J. E. Peters; along Crosswick's Creek, Bordentown, Long, no. 10,139. Pennsylvania: along Fast Swamp Creek, Milford Square, Long, no. 34,645; Telford, Benner, no. 4301/2; Rockhill, July, 1882, Fretz; West Rockhill, June 6, 1926, F. H. Strohm; near Quakertown, June 3, 1894, S. Broun; near Tinicum Creck, Ottsville, Long, no. 33,392; Palm, June 18, 1925, Mary H. Williams; Sumneytown, May 30, 1903, Albrecht Jahn; near Ridge Valley Creek, Finland, Lony, no. 24,787; Ivy Rock, May, 1894, S. Brown, May, 1906, Long; Byberry, Martindale; Manayunk, C. E. Smith and others; Fairmount Park, Philadelphia, C.E. Smith; banks of Schuylkill near Philadelphia, July, 1844, Thurber; ne. of Friedensburg, Wilkens, no. 5526; slope of Wagenhorst Hill, nw. of Kutztown, Wilkens, no. 5137; Conewago, May 28, 1889, Heller, Small, May 29, 1889, Small; limestone bluffs on Conestoga, above Lancaster, June 22, 1913, Long; Aspinwall, June 8, 1901, J. A. Shafer. Delaware: near Perry's Tavern, June 12, 1897, Canby. Maryland: Conowingo, May 30, 1907, Bartram, June 24, 1907, J. J. Carter. District of Columbia: Insane Asylum woods, in vicinis Washington, May 21, 1879, L. F. Ward, May 26, 1889 , Coville. Virginia: rich sandy and loamy wooded slopes and clearings along Appomattox River, just above the "fall-line", about 2 miles west of Petersburg, May 12, 1940, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,905 (type in Herb. Gray., isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). Ontario: near Kingsville, $\bar{J}$. Macoun, no. 54,679. Ohio: Florence, Erie (o., 8/7, 1897, Moseley; Muskingum, Herb. Schweinitz; Columbus, 1837, and 1840, Sullivant; "Fernbank"ad ripas fluminis Ohio, prope "North Bend", Short. Indiana: Seymour, Pennell, no. 11,751, Friesner, no. 16,774. Tennessee: woods along river, Clarksville, E. J. Palmer, no. 17,601; bank of Tennessee R., Rockport, Marger, no. 7893. Illinois: Tazewell Co., July, 1889, F. E. McDonald; Athens, Menard Co., 1861, E. Hall; Olney, Richland Co., E. J. Palmer, no. 15,585; Madison Co., June, 1877, Eggert; St. Clair Co., June, 1877, Eggert; near No. 13, Saline Co., Pepoon \& Barrett, no. 5154. Iowa: Cedar Creek, Stockport, E. W. Graves, no. 2052; (In the U. S. Nat. Herb. there is a sheet without locality on the original label, but with an annotation which seems to be "Knobs. Ia. Mohr lg. 1854." The specimens are of the strigose-leaved var. typica, such as one expects from the Knobs of Kentucky and Tennessee, but not from Iowa. The disparity in the two handwritings on the label suggests some error).

Var. ambigua (Nutt.), comb. nov. S. ambigua Nutt. Gen. ii. 37 (1818) not sensu Leonard in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xxii. 729 (1927). s. parvula, var. ambigua (Nutt.) Fernald in Rhodora,
iii. 201 (1901) as to basonym only.-See discussion on pp. 172 and 173.

Agastache nepetoides (L.) Ktze. Charles City Co.: old clearing in dry woods above Chickahominy River, Eagle Bottom, $F$. \& L., no. 11,724. Surry Co.: rich wooded ravine, northwest of Ingersoll, no. 11,723 ; rich alluvial thickets back of sand-beach of James River, below Sunken Meadow Beach, no. 8443.

These stations are here cited because, from the most recent map of ranges of Agastache, Lint \& Epling in Am. Mid. Nat. xxxiii. map 1, p. 213 (1945) one is likely to assume that the species is not in southeastern Virginia-the map showing an eastern boundary from near Washington southwestward across western Virginia, more than 200 miles west of Surry County.
*Glechoma hederacea L., forma acutiloba Neuman. The form with sharp-toothed leaves. Surry Co.: roadside bank about 3 miles southwest of Surry Courthouse, no. 13,127.
*Pycnanthemum monotrichum, sp. nov. (tab. 903), planta habitu $P$. aristato simillima; caule puberulo $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~m}$. alto ramulis elongatis deinde floriferis; foliis ovatis vel ovato-lanceolatis acuminatis viridibus serrato-dentatis, majoribus 4-5.5 cm. longis, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, venis lateralibus $5-8$-jugis venis superioribus supra medium laminae exortis; dentibus calycis lanceolatoaristatis subaequalibus $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, plerumque trichoma longum flexuosum multicellare infra apicem gerentibus.-Dry sandy woods and clearings, southeastern Virginia; northeast of Homeville, Sussex County, July 20, 1936, Fernald \& Long, no. 6380 (тype in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); Kilby, Nansemond County, September 11, 1935, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, nos. 5023, 5024 and 5025 ; all distributed as $P$. clinopodioides T. \& G.

As noted, Pycnanthemum monotrichum (from the usually solitary long trichomes near the tips of the calyx-teeth) was distributed as $P$. clinopodioides. That was on account of the long flexuous bristle just referred to; but its calyx is nearly regular, with essentially uniform teeth, all $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, whereas $P$. clinopodioides has the calyx definitely bilabiate, with the longer (lower) and merely acuminate (instead of aristate) teeth only $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, while its leaves are membranaceous, those of P. monotrichum subcoriaceous. Grant \& Epling have labelled all the specimens as a probable hybrid of $P$. hyssopifolium Benth. and P. Tullia Benth. (i. e. P. pycnanthemoides (Leavenw.) Fern., the name required by the International Rules but which Grant and Epling refuse to take up ${ }^{1}$ ). Just why it should be called such

[^34]a hybrid (see Grant \& Epling, Study of Pycnanthemum, Univ. (alif. Pub). Bot. xx. no. 3: 234 (1943)) I do not know and such a disposition of it would certainly be better supported if the plant grew within flying-distance for bees of $P$. pycnanthemoides! $P$. monotrichum occurs in acid sandy woods of the Coastal Plain, near where both $P$ '. hyssopifolium and $P$. setosum Nutt. (see note at end of discussion, p. 178) are found, but the only station in Virginia cited by (irant \& Epling for true P. pycnanthemoides (P. Tullia), on their p. 211, is in Patrick County at the base of the Blue Ridge, 150 miles west of the westernmost station for $P$. monotrichum, altogether too long a flight for the pollencarrying bee! ${ }^{1}$ Their most eastern station in North Carolina (Durham (ounty) is, to be sure, a little nearer, only 115 miles away, but even that would require a tremendous relay of bees to carry the necessary pollen-grain.

If $P$. monotrichum had been called a hybrid of $P$. pycnanthemoides, var. viridifolium. Fern. in Rhodora, xxxix. 445 (1937), our plate 904 , the relay of bees required would be less, but this plant, type from the inner Coastal Plain in Creensville County, Virginia, is treated by those authors as one of their so-called species, P'. viridifolium (Fern.) Grant \& Epling, 1. c. (1943), which, in spite of the type-station on the Coastal Plain, is said in their key (p. 203) to be "plants of the Appalachian Mountains from Virginia and West Virginia to Alabama", not a significant morphological character. But even so, $P$. pyenanthemoides, var. firidifolium (plate 904) is a plant of richer, basic to calcareous. soils and its nearest stations to $P$. monotrichum are too far away (18-35 miles) to make the guess very plausible. Incidentally, $P$. pyenanthemoides and its var. viridifolium would scarcely suggest themselves as related to $P$. monotrichum to those who know the plants. Besides the very large leaves and outer bracts and broad open inflorescences, with multicellular flexuous trichomes very numerous on calyx-teeth and inner bracts, $P$.

[^35]pycnanthemoides and its varicty have the caly strongly bilabiate; and, as originally illustrated and deseribed by Leavenworth, when he set up the genus Tullia with a single species, the corolla is very large for the genus, deep pink to purple and with the lateral lobes of the lower lip spreading-ascending. Unfamiliar with such striking field-characters, the recent monographers of Pycnanthemum made nothing of the large purple to deep pink corolla! In brief, $P$. monotrichum, with its nearly regular calyx, mostly solitary long trichomes on the aristate calyx-teeth, small whitish corollas, etc., shows no more influence of $P$. pycnanthemoides (or Tullia) than do $P$. hyssopifolium and $P$. setosum, i. e. none at all.
P. monotrichum seems to be a definite species of the sandy Coastal Plain. Although with broad foliage somewhat suggesting that of $P$. setosum, it differs in several characters from it. It is generally taller, its leaves are more ovate and acuminate, sharply serrate-dentate, its calyx-teeth $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and usually with a subterminal divergent long trichome, the calyx-teeth of $P$. setosum (Plate 905, fig. 4) $1.3-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and without the long trichome. In some characters $P$. monotrichum is as near $P$. hyssopifolium (plate 905, figs. 1-3), but that species is grayish in tone, not full green, commonly with many short and suppressed axillary branches, instead of elongate and finally flowering ones; its entire or subentire leaves are narrowly oblong to oblong-lancoolate or -linear, blunt, at most $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad and with the uppermost of the $3-5$ pairs of lateral veins arising at or below the middle of the blade (in $P$. monotrichum the serrate-dentate, ovate, acuminate leaves mostly $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the uppermost of the $5-8$ pairs of lateral veins borne well above the middle of the blade). In $P$. hyssopifolium the bristleless calyx-teeth are $2.3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; in $P$. monotrichum the usually bristle-tipped teeth only $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

The name Pycnanthemum setosum Nutt. in Journ. Acad. Phil. vii. 100 ( 18.34 ) must, under the International Rules, replace $P$. aristatum Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 8, t. 33) (1803). There is no question about the plant cleaty described and illustrated by Michaux but, unfortunately, he oited as: an unquestioned symonym of it Sepeta virgimien $L$. (17533). That being his interpretation, he should have used the eatlier specifie name, for thad
not then been used under Pycnanthemum. Under the International Rules the name $P$. aristatum is illegitimate.

[^36]Pycnanthemum umbratile (from the deep shade of its typestation) has been only once collected. The type, snatched at the end of the day, was found upon study to be unique in another sense. When we later returned for more of it, the road-machinery had done its work. Soil to the depth of several feet had been removed for use in construction of the neighboring 4-lane trunkroad and the station obliterated. The plant, obviously, will later be found elsewhere on the wooded bottomland where abound many other rather local species. I do not hesitate to describe the unicate, for it is very distinctive. By current treatments it would be placed with $P$. clinopodioides T. \& G. The distinctions are as follows. In P. clinopodioides (plate 907) the short curving hairs of the stem are mixed with longer spreading trichomes; in $P$. umbratile the long and spreading hairs are wanting. $P$. clinopodioides has the pale green leaves lanceacuminate, tapering gradually to the petiole and often with sharp serrations, with the veins beneath hirtellous, the primary blades only 1-2 cm. broad; $P$. umbratile has the full green leaves oval, bluntish, essentially entire, broadly rounded to the petiole, and barely puberulent on the midrib beneath, the larger blades 2.53.5 cm . broad. In $P$. clinopodioides the glomerules terminate the branches or are verticillastrate; in the type of $P$. umbratile there is a single terminal, open corymb. In $P$. clinopodioides the calyx-teeth are appendaged near their tips, like the inner bracts, by long, flexuous, divergent multicellular trichomes; in $P$. umbratile these long trichomes are lacking. Until fuller material is at hand distinctions in the flowers cannot safely be stated.
*P. incanum (L.) Michx., var. puberulum (Grant \& Epling), stat. nov. P. puberulum Grant \& Epling in Univ. Calif. Pub. Bot. xx. no. 3: 212 (1943). Described from Florida and Alabama, northward into North Carolina and West Virginia. Virginia now comes into the range. Brunswick Co.: rich wooded bluff by Meherrin River at Westward Bridge (or Mill), no. 14,738. See p. 100.

Plate 903, Pycnanthemum monotrichum Fernald, all figs. from the type: fig. 1, plant, $\times 1 / 2$; fig. 2, leaf, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , back of leaf, to show venation. $\times 4$; FIG. 4, portion of glomerule, to show flower, $\times 5$; FIG. 5 , portion of glomerule, to show bristles, $\times 10$; fig. 6 , calyx, $\times 10$.

Plate 904, P. pycnanthemoides (Leavenw.) Fernald, var. viridifolium Fernald: fig. 1, portion of inflorescence, $\times 1$, of topotype, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,130; FIG. 2, portion of glomerule, to show flower and bristle-tipped calyx-segments, $\times 8$, from no. 11,130.

Plate 905, figs. 1-3, P. hyssopifolium Benth.: figs. 1 and 2, foliage and inflorescence, $\times 1$, from southwest of Cypress Bridge, Southampton Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 6374; fig. 3, calyx, $\times 10$, from no. 6374. Fig. 4: P. setosum Nutt.: calyx, $\times 10$, from Forked River, New Jersey, Sept. 3, 1893, MacElwee.

Plate 906, P. umbratile Fernald, all figs. from type: fig. 1, summit of plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 2 , portion of stem, to show curving pubescence, $\times 10$; fig. 3, lower surface of leaf, to show pubescence, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , calyx,$\times 10$.

Plate 907, P. clinopodioides Torr. \& Gray: figs. 1 and 2, portions of plant, $\times 1$, from Rye, New York, Asa Gray; figs. 3, portion of stem, and 4, lower surface of leaf, to show pubescence, $\times 10$, from the standard specimen, New Jersey, 1841, Carey; fig. 5, calyx, $\times 10$, from Palisades, New Jersey, 1860, C. F. Austin.

Lycopus americanus Muhl., var. Longii Benner. Local range extended back into the outer Piedmont. Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,740. See p. 103.

Var. Longii has been accredited an inland occurrence about the Great Lakes. It is, however, apparently confined to the Atlantic Coastal Plain and its intrusions into the Piedmont. The plant of the Interior is

Lycopus americanus Muhl., var. scabrifolius, var. nov., internodiis supernis villosis, villis multicellulis; foliis bracteatis lineari-lanceolatis superne scabro-puncticulatis.-Southern Michigan to Illinois, south to Louisiana and Texas. Type: wet sandy prairie, Havana, Illinois, August 15, 1903, H. A. Gleason in Herb. Gray.

Var. scabrifolius, at least of northern Indiana, as well as northern Ohio plants which I have not seen, was originally included in the Coastal Plain L. americanus, var. Longii Benner in Bartonia, no. 16: 46 (1934). The latter plant, occurring from Long Island, New York, to eastern Virginia, has the upper
surfaces of the leaves smooth and not puncticulate. All the material 1 have seen from Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Oklahoma, Louisiana and Texas has the upper surfaces harsh with minute crowded point-like trichomes. It seems to be a more inland and western extreme. Whether the gap in the representation in the Gray Herbarium-between Indiana and Illinois at the north and Oklahoma and Louisiana at the south-is to be overcome by collections from western Kentucky, western Tennessee and Missouri, must depend upon further collecting.
Dicliptera brachiata (Pursh) Spreng. To the single recorded Virginian station (bottomland of Meherrin River at Haley's Bridge, Southampton Co.) add an extensive one about 30 miles inland (much more as the river flows) farther up the valley. Brunswick Co.: wooded bottomland of Meherrin River at Westward Bridge (or Mill), no. 14,741. See p. 100.
*Ruellia Purshiana Fernald in Rhodora, xlvii, 27, t. 845 and t. 846, fig. 3 (1945).-Stations cited in Frederick, Rockingham, Rockbridge, Botetourt, Washington, Roanoke, Amelia and Henrico Cos.
*R. Purshiana, forma claustroflora Fernald, 1. c. 29, t. 846, figs. 1 and 2 (1945).-Cited from Rockbridge Co.
*R. humilis Nutt. (typical). See Fernald, 1. c. 52 , tt. 854 and 855 (1945).-Cited from Giles Co.
*R. humilis, var. frondosa Fernald, 1. c. 54, t. 857 (1945).Cited from Shenandoah and Wythe Cos.
*R. humilis, var. calvescens Fernald, 1. c. 60, t. 860 (1945).Stations in Frederick and Shenandoah Cos.
*R. caroliniensis (Walt.) Steud., var. semicalva Fernald, 1. c. 73 , t. 864 (1945).-Type from Southampton Co.
R. caroliniensis, var. membranacea Fernald, 1. c. 76, tt. 865 and 866 (1945).-The common plant which has passed, erroneously, as $R$. parviflora, $R$. ciliosa or $R$. caroliniensis. Cited from Fairfax, Alexandria, North, Middlesex, Mathews, Gloucester, York, James City, Charles City, Princess Anne, Norfolk, Isle of Wight, Surry, Southampton, Sussex, Greensville, Dinwiddie, Amelia, Brunswick, Campbell, Bedford and Rockbridge Cos.
*R. caroliniensis, var. membranacea, forma hypopsila Fernald, l. c. 78, t. 867, figs. 1-3 (1945).-Recorded from Elizabeth City, Norfolk, Surry, Southampton and Sussex Cos.
*R. caroliniensis, var. membranacea, forma laevior Fernald, 1. c. 79, t. 868 (1945).-Recorded from Southampton, Greensville and Amelia Cos.
*R. caroliniensis, var. nanella Fernald, 1. c. 79, tt. 869 and 870, fig. 1 (1945).-Cited from Nansemond and Princess Anne Cos.
*R. caroliniensis, var. nanella, forma eciliata Fernald, l. c. 80 , t. 870, figs. 2-4 (1945).-Cited from Southampton and Sussex Cos.
*R. caroliniensis, var. cheloniformis Fernald, 1. c. 80 , tt. 871 and 872 (1945).-Recorded from Clarke, Northampton, Gloucester, Elizabeth City, James City, Princess Anne, Norfolk, Dinwiddie, Mecklenburg and Halifax Cos.
*R. caroliniensis, var. cheloniformis, forma candida, f. nov., corollis albidis.-Brunswick Co., Virginia: Triplett, 1945, J. B. Lewis (type in Herb. Gray.).
*R. caroliniensis, var. dentata (Nees) Fernald, 1. c. 83, tt. 874 and 875 (1945).-Cited from Fairfax, James City, Henrico, Princess Anne, Norfolk, Isle of Wight, Sussex, Halifax and Orange Cos.
(To be continued)
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BOTANICAL SPECIALTIES OF THE SEWARD FOREST AND ADJACENT AREAS OF SOUTHEASTERN VIRGINIA

## M. L. Fernald

(Continued from page 182)
Cephalanthus occidentalis L., var. pubescens Raf. To the previously cited stations, on the Coastal Plain, add an extensive one in the outer Piedmont. Greensville Co.: border of Mitchell's Millpond, west of Brink, no. 14,665; the dull or lustreless foliage, downy beneath, strongly contrasting with the bright green foliage of typical glabrous $C$. occidentalis.

Elephantopus carolinianus Willd., forma vestitus Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 458 (1942). To the single known station (in Nansemond Co.) add one in Brunswick Co.: woods along Meherrin River at Westward Bridge (or Mill), no. 14,742. See p. 100.

Eupatorium hyssopifolium L. (typical). See Rhodora, xliv. 459, pl. 737, fig. 1 (1942). Local range extended inland from the

Coastal Plain to Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,744. See p. 103.
E. cordigerum (Fernald), stat. nov. (plate 908). E. rotundifolium L. var. cordigerum Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 477 (1943).

At the time I published E. rotundifolium, var. cordigerum I was following the interpretation of Fernald \& Griscom in Rhodora, xxxvii. 180 and 181 (1935), in which all members of the rotundifolium series were merged as one variable species. In that paper, however, certain seemingly constant and morphologically significant characters were overlooked and too much weight was placed on the variable ones, like the occurrence of occasional alternate branching as opposed to predominantly opposite branching. No note was made of the very striking fact that in E. rotundifolium the principal cauline leaves (plate 909, figs. $1-3)$ have their bases straight, entire and subtruncate to broadly cuneate, with the toothing starting above this entire base; furthermore, it was not noted that in E. rotundifolium (plate 909 , figs. 2 and 3) the ascending and prolonged prominent lateral veins arise from the base of the midrib. In the other three species, E. verbenaefolium Michx. (1803) $=$ E. lanceolatum Muhl. ex Willd. (1804), our plate 910, E. pubescens Muhl. ex Willd. (1804), our plate 911, and E. cordigerum, plate 908, the principal leaves are toothed to the base and the elongating lateral veins tend to be united at base to the midrib, coming off from it well above its base. The uppermost leaves of $E$. verbenaefolium are greatly reduced, becoming narrowly lanceolate to linear and entire, while in the other three species they are more ovate and toothed. The involucre of $E$. verbenaefolium (plate 910, Fig. 4) is about 1 mm . shorter than in the others, the inner phyllaries rather abruptly tipped. Northeast of the upland region of Virginia and Kentucky E. verbenaefolium is primarily a coastwise plant, of acid peats and sands northeastward to southern New England. Reexamination of a photograph of Michaux's type (our plate 910, fig. 1) indicates that an error was made when, in 1935, it was stated as identifiable with $E$. pubescens (plate 911). In regard to earlier names of Walter which, perhaps, might be applicable to $E$. verbenaefolium, it is unsafe to take them up until his plants can be actually studied. Walter's diagnoses were very brief, he did not realize the com-
plexity of the genus in the Southeast, and his names could well belong to plants quite different from $E$. verbenaefolium.
E. pubescens, E. cordigerum and E. rotundifolium have the inner phyllaries attenuate to acute or slender tips. In E. pubescens the leaves are oblong to ovate, gradually rounded to base, the plant more general in the Piedmont area than E. verbenaefolium, occurring in moist or dry woods, thickets, etc., from Florida to Louisiana, northward to southern Maine, Massachusetts, southeastern New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, western Virginia and West Virginia.
E. rotundifolium, with characteristic straight and untoothed leaf-base, broadly deltoid-ovate to suborbicular rugose-veiny blades, with the prolonged ascending lower veins springing from the base of the midrib, characterizes siliceous, argillaceous or peaty soils from Florida to Texas, north only to Long Island, New Jersey, Maryland, Tennessee and Arkansas; while E. cordigerum is characteristic of river-marshes, swales and bogs of the Coastal Plain of southeastern Virginia and eastern North Carolina. Its strongly cordate-clasping leaves are unique in the group and their prolonged lateral veins are united to the midrib much higher than in the others, the type showing compound bases of the midrib up to 1.5 cm . long. In $E$. rotundifolium the inner phyllaries taper to slender pointed tips, in E. pubescens they are merely acuminate, but in $E$. cordigerum they are prolonged into long arching, linear, scarious appendages.

After several days of checking and rechecking the characters I feel that $E$. verbenaefolium, pubescens, cordigerum and rotundifolium, although some of them may hybridize, are quite as clear species as $E$. rugosum ( $E$. urticaefolium) and $E$. aromaticum, or as E. dubium (verticillatum), E. maculatum and $E$. fistulosum of the purpureum series.
Plate 908, Eupatorium cordigertm Fernald: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, base of leaf, to show fusing of lateral nerves and midrib, $\times 1 \frac{1}{2}$, from tYPe; FIG. 3 , involucre, $\times 10$, from Fernald, no. 14,502.
Plate 909, E. rotundifoliem L.: fig. 1, type (two stems), $\times 1 / 2$, photo. by B. L. Robinson; FIG. 2, characteristic leaves, to show subtruncate and entire base and basal lower lateral veins, $\times 1$, from south of Grassfield, Norfolk Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 4219; Fig. 3, base of leaf, to show venation, $\times 2$, from no. 4219; FIG. 4, involucre, $\times 10$, from no. 4219 .
Plate 910, E. verbenaefoluum Michx:: fig. 1 , type $\times 1 / 4$, photo. by B. L. Robinson; FIG. 2, characteristic foliage, $\times 1$, from Winterham, Amelia Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 9168; FiG. 3 , base of leaf, to show venation, $\times 2$, from no. 9168 ; FIG. 4 , involucre, $\times 10$, from no. 9168 .

Plate 911, E. pubescens Muhl.: fig. 1, type, $\times 1 / 4$, photo. by B. $L$. Robinson; fig. 2, median leaves, $\times 1$, from Auburn, New Jersey, Long, no. 18,060; FIG. 3, base of leaf, to show fusion of lower lateral veins and midrib, $\times 2$, from no. 18,060 ; Fig. 4 , involucre, $\times 10$, from no. 18,060 .
*E. aromaticum L., var. lacerum Gray.-Princess Anne County: rich woods, Virginia Beach, no. 5075 (distrib. as a hybrid). Sussex County: rich woods and bushy clearing north of Double Bridge, about 6 miles northwest of Jarratt, no. 11,452.

Typical thick-leaved Eupatorium aromaticum has the dark green leaves ovate, rounded to subtruncate at base, blunt and with blunt teeth. Var. lacerum, described from Florida, has the thinner, pale green, rhombic- or triangular-ovate, acuminate blades cuneate at base and sharply, sometimes lacerately, toothed, and on slender petioles. Transitions occur but the variety seems to be southern.
*E. sessilifolium L., var. Brittonianum Porter. Giles County: woods on dry shaly hillslope along New River, 1.5 miles south-southwest of Goodwin's Ferry, Fogg, no. 14,991.

Typical Eupatorium sessilifolium has relatively thin, lanceolate leaves, usually with rather prominent toothing. It is apparently frequent in calcareous areas in Virginia, where both vars. Brittonianum and Vaseyi (Porter) Fernald \& Griscom are found. The species consists of three somewhat pronounced varieties, though, like all true varieties, their characters merge. As stated, the leaves of true $E$. sessilifolium are relatively thin, lanceolate and commonly with prominent teeth. It is rare and mostly uncharacteristic in New England and most of New York, where var. Brittonianum is the usual variety, this plant having firmer to subcoriaceous ovate-lanceolate to ovate leaves, with the teeth relatively fine. Like typical $E$. sessilifolium its leaves are slenderly acuminate and the larger ones range from $0.8-1.8 \mathrm{dm}$. long. Measurements of the thin- and lanceolate-leaved series ( 25 nos.) gives a range in size of the largest leaves of $0.9-1.8 \mathrm{dm}$. long by $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, with an average of 14 cm . long and 2.5 cm . wide, five to six times as long as broad. Var. Brittonianum ( 45 sheets), an isotype of which is before me, gives a range of $0.8-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long by $3-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, with an average of 12.9 cm . long by 4.4 cm . wide, about three times as long as wide. That these proportions are significant is apparent from the rarity of typical $E$. sessilifolium or its absence in New England, interior New York, Wisconsin, Illinois and Missouri, and the tendency of
var. Brittonianum to follow the higher mountains to western North Carolina and eastern Kentucky.

Var. Vaseyi has the upper half of the stem puberulent or minutely pilose, sometimes glutinous, the duller green leaves oblong-ovate, merely acute or short-acuminate, the larger ones $4-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. long by $2.3-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad (about twice as long as broad) and scabrous beneath with minute puberulence. The type (U.S. Nat. Herb.) is quite characteristic of the narrower-leaved plants of this variety. It occurs in less calcareous habitats than the others, from southeastern Pennsylvania and eastern Maryland to West Virginia, south to eastern Virginia, North Carolina and eastern Tennessee. ${ }^{1}$

Solidago rugosa (Ait.), var. celtidifolia (Small) Fernald. Local range extended inland from Coastal Plain to Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,751. See p. 103.
*Haplopappus divaricatus (Nutt.) Gray. (Isopappus divaricatus (Nutt.) T. \& G.). Range extended northward into Brunswick Co.: fallow field, south of Seward Forest Headquarters, Triplett, Nov., 1944, Lewis.

A characteristic erect and late-flowering southern annual of dry open woods, clearings and fields, often weedy. In his Genus Haplopappus, 212, 213 (1928), Hall gave its range, from Florida to Texas, northward to South Carolina and Arkansas, and its height as " 2 to 7 dm ." It has been found, however, in North Carolina: old field, McCuller's, Wake Co., Oct. 12, 1937, Godfrey;

[^37]disturbed soil on sandhill, south of Aberdeen, Scotland Co., Godfrey, no. 6938; one of the Godfrey specimens 1 m ., the other 1.4 m . high. Mr. Lewis states that his plant was 6 ft . ( 1.8 m .) high. Small individuals from Georgia are only 1.5 dm . high. The species, like most annuals of disturbed soils, will evidently respond to the amount of nutrition.

Boltonia caroliniana (Walt.) Fernald in Rhodora, xlii. 487, pl. 642 (1940). Range extended inland to western Brunswick Co.: bottomland woods along Poplar Creek, southwest of Ebony, no. 14,668. See p. 97.

Boltonia caroliniana, known only from eastern South Carolina and southeastern Virginia, is here found along Roanoke drainage. It is presumably along the lower Roanoke River and its tributaries in North Carolina.
*Polymnia Uvedalia L., var. densipila Blake in Rhodora, xix. 48 (1917). Brunswick Co.: rich low woods (bordering swamp of Quarrel's Creek), "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,754 . See p. 101.

Differing from typical Polymnia Uvedalia ${ }^{1}$ and its var. floridana Blake in the very dense and essentially glandless pilosity of the branches of the inflorescence. Originally described from Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas; also from Bermuda. More recently extended northward in the Mississippi Basin to Missouri. Its seeming isolation in southeastern Virginia reminiscent of several other plants.

Helianthus angustifolius L. Noted (but not collected) at several places in Brunswick Co. See p. 103.

Eclipta prostrata (L.) L. E. alba (L.) Hassk.-Common in the southeastern counties.

Here entered in order to call attention to the correct name, as taken up by Exell in his Cat. Vasc. Pl. S. Tomé, 225 (1944). The earliest names (omitting later ones for this cosmopolitan species) as enumerated by Exell are

Verbesina alba L. Sp. Pl. ii. 902 (1753); V. prostrata L., 1. c. (1753). Eclipta prostrata (L.) L. Mant. Pl. Alt. 286 (1771). E. erecta L. l. c. (1771), nomen illegitimum. E. alba (L.) Hassk. Pl. Jav. Rarior. 528 (1848).

[^38]Since Verbesina alba L. and V. prostrata L. are considered conspecific and are of even date, the first of them taken up must stand. This is E. prostrata (L.) L. (1771).

Cirsium virginianum (L.) Michx. Range extended inland to Brunswick Co.: springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ramhole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, no. 14,757. Also noted in dry pine woods near by. See p. 103.
C. virginianum, forma revolutum (Small) Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 509 (1943). Brunswick Co.: with the last, no. 14,758. See p. 103.

Some Inconvenient Upheavals of Familiar Names and Author-Citations.-Tiring of trying to find even recognizable formal, not to say varietal, differences in some recently proposed "subspecies", I turned, for a let-up in the tension, to the problem of exact dates of issue of certain publications which I had found cited. These involved three works of nearly competing dates: Sprengel, Florae Halensis Tentamen Novum, with the date on the title-page 1806; Sprengel, Mantissa Prima Florae Halensis, with the title-page dated 1807; and Persoon, Synopsis, pars ii. dated 1807. Fortunately the date of publication of pp. 1-272 of vol. ii. of Persoon was established by Blake in Rhodora, xvii. 134, footnote (1915), he correctly stating that:

Although the second volume of Persoon's Synopsis is dated 1807, its. first section (pp. 1-272) was issued in the autumn of 1806, as is shown by a review in the Regensb. Bot. Zeit. v. 321 (21 Nov. 1806).
That pushes a large part of Persoon's 2nd volume into competition with other publications of 1806 . The resulting changes have not been checked.

My special purpose in the present notes is to draw attention to two other works, one of which seems to have been overlooked by the editors of Index Kewensis and by others who have followed that work in assigning many specific names to the wrong author. On May 30, 1807, Johann Friedrich Theodor Biehler issued his doctor's dissertation, printed in Halle. It was entitled Plantarum Novarum ex Herbario Sprengelii Centuriam . . . and it was reviewed in the Regensburg Botanische Zeitung for 15 October, 1807; in other words it was definitely published in late spring or summer of 1807 . Biehler having done a piece of descriptive work considered by Sprengel sufficient for his thesis,

Sprengel promptly absorbed it and as the second part of his own Mantissa Prima (pp. 27-58) put it out under his own name as Novarum Plantarum ex Herbario meo Centuria. The latter work, containing Biehler's unacknowledged descriptions and differently paged, did not come out in time to be reviewed in the Botanische Zeitung for 1807. Biehler's original publication was clearly the earlier of the two; yet all of the 100 species described are regularly cited from the second publication and Sprengel is as regularly and unjustifiably cited as the author. Some of the proposed species were described from garden plants, others from India, New Caledonia, New Zealand, St. Helena, The Caucasus, Cuban, Mongolia, etc., while a few were from North America, chiefly received from Muhlenberg. Since these, as well as the Old World species, have been regularly cited as of Sprengel, I am here noting such in our own flora as require the replacement of that author's name by Biehler's.

Scirpus lupulinus Biehler, Plant. Nov. Herb. Spreng. Cent. 4 (1807); Spreng. Mant. Prima Fl. Hal. 30 (1807). Generally identified with Cyperus filiculmis Vahl (1806).

Panicum pensylvanicum Biehler, l. c. 6 (1807); Spreng. l. c. 31 (1807). Not identified; type needs examination.
P. discolor Biehler, l. c. (1807); Spreng. l. c. (1807). Type needs critical examination.

Polypogon setosus Biehler, 1. c. 7 (1807); Spreng. l. c. (1807). Basis of Muhlenbergia setosa (Biehler) Trin. ex Jackson, Ind. Kew. iii. 209 (1894); Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 237, plates 755 and 756 (1943).

Agrostis clandestina Biehler, 1. c. 8 (1807); Spreng. 1. c. 32 (1807). Basis of Sporobolus clandestinus (Biehler) Hitchc. in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xii. 150 (1908).

Aira nitida Biehler, l. c. 8 (1807); Spreng. l. c. 32 (1807). Basis of Sphenopholis nitida (Biehler) Scribn. in Rhodora, viii. 144 (1906).
A. Pallens Biehler, 1. c. (1807); Spreng. l. c. (1807). Basis of Sphenopholis pallens (Biehler) Scribn. 1. c. 145 (1906).

Poa caroliniana Biehler, 1. c. 10 (1807); Spreng. 1. c. 33 (1807). Basis of Eragrostis caroliniana (Biehler) Scribn. in Mem. Torr. Bot. Cl. v. 49 (1894).

Although Hitchcock reduces Poa caroliniana to the synonymy of Eragrostis pectinacea (Michx.) Nees, emend., and illustrates the "linear" spikelets as $10-15$-flowered, the original description of $P$. caroliniana called for "spiculis lanceolatis . . . quinque-
floris". The "ligula abbreviata obtusa" of P. caroliniana does not well describe the long tuft of hairs at the orifice of the sheath in $E$. pectinacea. The type needs critical examination.

Festuca nutans Biehler, l. c. 10 (1807); Spreng. l. c. 34 (1807), not Moench (1794) and F. obtusa Biehler, l. c. 11 (1807); Spreng. l. c. (1807).

Since Festuca nutans of Sprengel (i.e. Biehler) has generally been referred to $F$. obtusa, it is noteworthy that both Biehler and Sprengel (neither of whom were "splitters") described them both "E. Pensylvania. Mühlenberg". Their $F$. nutans was strict, 3 feet high, with lanceolate leaves, the panicle erect but nodding at summit; the ovate-oblong, obtuse spikelets 5 -flowered, the oblong glumes muticous; the grain oblong. F. obtusa had decumbent, geniculate and weak culms and glaucous, linear leaves; the panicle "aequali" (evidently equaling the culm), flaccid, with few spikelets, these pedicellate, oblong and 3-flowered, the unequal glumes much smaller than the lemmas. In other words, $F$. obtusa was the familiar, weak and usually sprawling plant with usually 3 -flowered spikelets and soon diffuse panicle which correctly passes under that name (incorrectly as of Sprengel instead of Biehler) ; while for $F$. nutans Biehler gave a good description of $F$. paradoxa Desv. Opusc. 105 (1831) or $F$. Shortii Kunth ex Wood, Class-bk. 794 (1861), a species long known to grow in Muhlenberg's area, about Lancaster. The earlier $F$. nutans Moench (1794) invalidates F. nutans Biehler.

Epilobium coloratum Biehler. 1. c. 18 (1807); Spreng. l. c. 39 (1807); Muhl. ex Willd. Enum. Hort. Berol. 411 (1809).

Although Index Kewensis caught the Sprengel citation of 1807, it entered it as somehow secondary to that of Muhlenberg ex Willdenow (1809) and quite overlooked the earlier publication of Biehler, based on material sent by Muhlenberg to Sprengel. Biehler's detailed description in 12 lines is much more decisive than the 2-line diagnosis of Muhlenberg ex Willdenow. The easy-going faith in "authority" is illustrated by the regular taking up of E. coloratum as of Muhl. ex Willd. (1809), with the 1807 publication of the species by Sprengel (who absorbed it from the still earlier Biehler) as a secondary citation only. There seems to be no escape from writing E. coloratcm Biehler.

Scutellaria incana Biehler, 1. c. 25 (1807); Spreng. 1. c. 44 (1807).

Sprengel as the author must give way to Biehler. The plant was received from Muhlenberg as his S. pubescens Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 173 (1793), nomen nudum, but, published as a synonym of $S$. incana, it should have the following additional references: S. pubescens Muhl. ex Biehler, l. c. (1807) as synonym; Spreng. 1. c. (1807) as synonym.
S. elliptica Muhl. ex Biehler. 1. c. 26 (1807); Spreng. 1. c. 44 (1807); but originally and legitimately published by Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 173 (1793).
S. elliptica Muhl. (1793) must take the place of S. ovalifolia Pers. Syn. ii. 136 (1806), the S. pilosa Michx. (1803), not Hill (1768). In his American Species of Scutellaria, Univ. Calif. Pub. Bot. xx. no. 1: 86 (1942), Epling cited S. ovalifolia as of Persoon (1807) but, as noted on p. 197, the first section of Pers. Syn. ii. came out in 1806. Under his needless S. ovalifolia, subsp. mollis Epling, l. c. (based on the same type as S. ovalifolia) he cites the valid publication of S. elliptica as starting with Sprengel, 1. c., "probably based upon a specimen sent by Muhlenberg". Sprengel, literally copying from Biehler, left no "probably" in the matter since he definitely gave Muhlenberg as the author: "Sc. elliptica Mühlenb. in lit.", the plant "E. Pensylvania".

In the same treatment Epling cites as a synonym S. elliptica Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 173 (1793), "nomen nudum". Now, although most of the new names published by Muhlenberg in his Index Florae Lancastriensis, Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 157184 (1793) and in his Supplementum Indicis Florae Lancastriensis, 1. c. iv. 235-242 (1799) were merely nomina nuda, in a very few cases Muhlenberg based his species on plants collected by Clayton and described by Gronovius in his Flora Virginica, ed. 2 (1762) or described by Marshall or others. If the species of Linnaeus (or his contemporaries), based wholly on earlier polynomials of himself or others are valid, then, surely, Muhlenberg's binomials, given to clearly cited and earlier described plants of others are equally valid. In the case of Scutellaria elliptica Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 173 (1793) Muhlenberg was definite:

Scutellaria elliptica, Claytoni. 92. N. S.
That, expanded, is S. elliptica of Clayton (or Gronovius), Fl. Virg. ed. 2: 92 (1762). Turning to Gronovius (or Clayton) we
find on p. 92 only one species of Scutellaria, described in perfectly clear terms:

> SCUTELLARIA foliis ovatis, utrinque acutis, obtuse serratis. Scutellaria virginiana foliis dentatis. Moris. hist. III. p. 416. t. 19. f. 3.

> Cassida foliis Betonicae, flore ex albo \& violaceo variegato. Clayt. n. 758.

> OBS. Bracteae seu folia floralia parva, ovata integerrima, corollis dimidio breviora.

That is a perfectly good account of the common plant of eastern Virginia which has passed as Scutellarla pilosa Michx. and which has recently passed as S. ovalifolia Pers. Aside from the Clayton material, no. 758, Morison's Scutellaria virginiana foliis dentatis was cited. Morison's account and figure (Sect. 11, t. 19, fig. 23, miscited by Gronovius), were perfect, the latter showing the characteristic rhombic-oval leaves, and the description was adequate. Surely, when Muhlenberg based his $S$. elliptica upon such antecendent descriptions and figure he was not publishing a "nomen nudum". It may be inconvenient, but there seems to be perfectly sound reason for taking up

Scutellaria elliptica Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 173 (1793); ex Biehler, Plant. Nov. Herb. Spreng. Cent. 26 (1807); ex Spreng. Mant. Prim. F1. Hal. 44 (1807). S. integrifolia L. Sp. Pl. 599 (1753), excl. Gronovian citation. S. pilosa Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 11 (1803), not Hill (1768). S. ovalifolia Pers. Syn. ii. 136 (1806). S. nemorosa Raf. in Am. Mo. Mag. ser. 2. ii. 120 (1817). S. teucrifolia J. E. Sm. in Rees Cycl. xxxii. no. 15 (1819). S. pilosa, var. ovalifolia (Pers.) Benth. in DC. Prodr. xii. 423 (1848), nomenclaturally based on $S$. ovalifolia Pers. (which, according to Epling, 1. c. 86, was "based apparently upon Plukenet's figure" of a plant from Virginia, so that it is difficult to follow him in his statement that S. pilosa, var. ovaliolia (Pers.) Benth. had its "type collected in New Jersey near Princeton (N. Y. Bot. Gard.)". It is probable that the Princeton specimen which Bentham cited, not as a "type", is in the Bentham or the DeCandolle Herbarium). S. ovalifolia, subsp. mollis Epling, 1. c. 86 (1942).

The taking up of Scutellaria elliptica forces the following change:

Scutellaria elliptica Muhl., var. hirsuta (Short), comb. nov. S. hirsuta Short in Transylv. Jour. Med. viii. 582 (1836). S. pilosa Michx., var. hirsuta (Short) Gray; Syn. Fl. N. Am. ii¹.

379 (1878). S. ovalifolia Pers., subsp. hirsuta (Short) Epling, 1. c. 86 (1942). S. ovalifolia Pers., var. hirsuta (Short) Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 433 (1942).

It is in some ways fortunate that Scutellaria elliptica of Muhlenberg (1793) is so clearly applicable for we are thus saved from the complication started when Linnaeus became confused in publishing S. integrifolva L. Sp. Pl. 599 (1753). His account was as tangled as was his understanding of hosts of other American plants:
6. SCUTELLARIA foliis sessilibus ovatis: inferioribus integrifolia. obsolete serratis; superioribus integerrimis.
Scutellaria foliis integerrimis Gron. virg. 67.
Scutellaria caerulea virginiana, lamii aut potius teucrii folio, minor. Pluk. alm. 338. t. 313. f. 4.
Scutellaria, teucrii folio, marilandica. Raj. Suppl. 310. Habitat in Virginia, Canada.
This was immediately followed by
7. SCUTELLARIA foliis lanceolatis. Gron. virg. 167. hyssopifolia. Cassida mariana hyssopifolia. Pet. act. angl. Habitat in Virginia.
The diagnosis "foliis . . ovatis: inferioribus obsolete serratis", the Plukenet description and drawing and the Ray account all belong to the plant above discussed as S. elliptica, while the Gronovian account and the Clayton specimen (photograph, $\times 1$, before me) from which Linnaeus got the epithet integrifolia are of the plant currently so called; while the type of $S$. hyssopifolia (photograph, $\times 1$, before me) is only a narrowleaved individual of our familiar S. integrifolia. Neither element of the bipartite Linnean species is known from Canada!

Now, a complication might seem to arise from the treatment by J. E. Smith in Rees Cyclopaedia, xxxii. nos. 15 and 16 (1819). There Smith, possessor of the Linnean Herbarium, pointed out the original confusion, described as new S. teucrifolia, the ovateand obsoletely serrate-leaved element of the Linnean bipartite $S$. integrifolia (excluding the entire- and narrow-leaved plant of Gronovius), and concluded, regarding the name integrifolia, "This appellation, however, being erroneous, and having caused much confusion among subsequent botanists, is best laid aside, and we have preferred one taken from the very apt synonyms of Plukenet and Ray." And, forthwith, Smith reduced to S. hyssopifolia L. (1753) the Gronovian element, "S. foliis integer-
rimis", of the original $S$. integrifolia. On its surface, since $S$. hyssopifolia was of the same date as S. integrifolia, that would seem to dispose of the latter in the current sense, since it was reduced by Smith to S. hyssopifolia.

Further search, however, shows that Michaux, Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 12 (1803), had already restricted Scutellaria integrifolia to the plant with all but the lowest leaves "oblongis, integris" and clearly said under it: "S. hyssopifolia. L. hujusce varietas est". Aiton went further in Hort. Kew. ed. 2, iii. 428 (1811), there taking up S. integrifolia "foliis oblongis linearibusve obtusis integris. . . Linn. sp. pl. 836. (secundum synon. Gronovii; reliquis exclusis.)" and flatly citing $S$. hyssopifolia as an unequivocal synonym. We are, then, quite right in maintaining $S$. integrifolia as emended by Michaux and by Aiton. ${ }^{1}$

Turning again to Muhlenberg's new names published in his Index Florae Lancastriensis and its Supplement, most of them, as stated, are unquestioned nomina nuda. Some of them, like "Arabis integrifolia, ('layton 99. n. 745 ?", Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 174 (1793), must be left as they are because of the doubt expressed by Muhlenberg as to the identity. The following case, unfortunately, is clear.

Ulmus rubra Muhl. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. iii. 165 (1793).
Muhlenberg was renaming the perhaps misidentified C'lmus americana of Marshall:

Ulmus rubra. N. S. americana, Marshalli.
There is no question as to Ulmus americana Marshall, Arb. Am. 156 (1785). To the "larger" tree with "leaves smooth on the upper surface, of thinner texture and softer than those of the first kind [Marshall's $U$. americana]. The seedvessels . . . considerably smaller, end nicked or cleft, and ciliated or fringed on the margin" Marshall gave a new name, $U$. mollifolia. This, of course, was $l$ '. americana as commonly interpreted. Marshall's second species was

[^39]Ulmus americana. American rough leaved Elm-Tree, rises to the height of about thirty feet, . . . with a lightish coloured rough bark. The leaves are oblong, oval and sharp-pointed, somewhat unequally sawed at the edges, . . . very rough on their upper surface and hairy underneath. The flowers are produced thick upon the branches, upon short, collected footstalks; and are succeeded by oval, compressed, membranaceous seed-vessels, with entire margins.
That is certainly Slippery or Red Elm, Clmus fulva Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 172 (1803) the species which was later and independently described as U. rubra Michx. f., Hist. Arb. Am. iii. 278 , t. 6 (1813). The younger Michaux, although not accepting the name given by his father but preferring to give a new one, unwittingly used the carliest name for the species, for there seems no way to avoid taking up for $U$. fulva Michx. (1803) the much earlier U. rubra Muhl. (1793).


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Cyperds virens: fig. 1, type, $\times \frac{1}{12}$, after Cintract; fig. 2 , spikelets, $\times 10$, from fig. 1 ; FIf. 3, two inflorescences, $\times 1 / 2$, of $\bar{C}$. pseudnvegetus, FIG. 4 , spikelet, $\times 5$, from fig. 3
C. Robustus: fig. 5 , spikelet, $\times 5$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Cyperus Plukenetit: fic. 1, Plukenet's figure of a plant from Virginia; fif. 2. inflorescence of type, $\times 1$; FIg. 3, characteristic base, $\times 1$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
 florescence of TYPF, $X \frac{1}{2}$; fig. 3 , inflorescence, $X 1$, of type of $C^{\prime}$. hystricimus; fiti. 4. rhizome, $\times 1$


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Junces texuls: fig. 1, inflorescence, $\times 1$, of type, after Rosthovius; fig. 2, two inflorescences, $\times 2$, of $J$. macer; FIG. 3, sheath and auricle, $\times 5$; FIG. 4 , mature fruits, $\times 6$ J. pichotonus: Fig. 5 , summit of sheath and base of leaf, $\times 10 ;$ FIG. 6 , infloresence, $\times 1$; FIG. 7, fruits, $\times 6$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Juycus platyphyllus: fig. 1 . inflorescence, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , summit of sheath and base of leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 3. capsules, $\times 6$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Juncus canadensis, var. heuroauster: fig. 1. portion of type, $\times 1,2$ Fig. 2 , portion of glomerule, $\times 10$, from type; fig. 3 , seeds, $\times 10$, from type
$V$ Var, sparsiflores: fig. 4 , inflorescence, $\times 1$; Fig. 5 , glomerule, $\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Juncus canabensis, var. typides: pig. 1 , inflorescence, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , flower, $\times 10$, FIG. 3 , seeds, $\times 10$, $\times 1$. FIG 5 , stomerule $\times 10$ Forma apertes, all figs. from type: Fig. 4 , inforescence, $\times{ }_{1}{ }_{2} ;$ Fig. 5 , glomerule, $\times 10$ : Fig. 6 , seeds, $\times 10$

Forma conglobatus: fig. 7, two inflorescences, $X$ 1, from type


Rumex Britannica: the Clayton (Gronovian) specimen from Virginia, photo. from Dr. John Ram bittom = R. obtusifolius L.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Polygonum hydropiperoides, var. euronotordm, all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of plant, $\times 3 / 5$; FIG. 2 , summit of ochrea, $\times 4$; fig. 3 , panicle, $\times 1$; FIG. 4 , portion of panicle. showing ochreolae, $\times 10$


7hoto. 13. (B. Scmubert
Polygonum hydropiperoides (typical): fig. 4 , ochrea, $\times 4$; fig. 5 , panicle, $x^{\prime} 1$ FIti. fi, pertion of panicle, to show ochreolae, $\times 10$

Var. Bushinnum, allfigs. from type: Figs. 1 and 2 , summit of plant, $\times 1$; fici, 3, pontion of panicle, to show ochreolae, $\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Polymonta hydropipfroides, var. brevicillatum, all figs. from type: FIG. 1, flowering tip, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, ochrea, $\times 4 ;$ fig. 3, portion of panicle, to show ochreolae and distant flowers, $\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Amaranthus graecizans: fig. 1, type, $\times 2$, from photograph from Dr. John Ramsbottom; FIG. 2, text from bottom of sheet; FIG. 3 , portion of type, $\times 1$, of 4 . blitoides


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Litsea aestivalin: fig. 1 , type of Lacres abstivalis L., $\times{ }^{1}$, , courtesy of Mr. S. Savage; Fig. 2, Clayton's description from bottom of sheet; fus. 3 , flowering branch, $\times 1$, of Litsea geniculata (Walt.) Benth. \& Hook. f.

I.inidera benzoin: type, $X{ }^{2}{ }^{5}$, of Laurus Benzoin L., after photograph from 1)r. John Kumsbottom


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
 Fli, 2, portion with 3 -fruited spurs, $X^{1 / 3}$ : fig. 3. lower surface of leaf. $\times 10$ : fig. 4 . summit of pedicel and base of calyx, $\times \sqrt{5}$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Rube's Ahermani (primocane), all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of cane and typical leaf, $\times 1$; figs. 2 and 3, upper and lower leaf-surfaces, $\times 10$

## Rhodora



Photo. B. G. Schubert
Rebes cathartioy (floricame), all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of cane in fruit, $\times 1$ FII, 2, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; Fici. 3 , summit of pedicel and base of calyx, $\times \bar{\circ}$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Rebes (athartium (primocane), all figs, from typa: fig. 1, two leaves, $\times$ 1: fici. 2. portion of cane, $\times 1$ : figs. 3 and 4 , upper and lower leaf-surfaces. $\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Rubles sewardanus (floricane), all figs. $(\times 1$ ) from type: fig. 1 , primary axi-, whowing straight prickles; FIG. 2, upper fruiting branchlets; FIG. 3, portion of lateral branch, showing unguiculate prickles, and of leafy branch


Ihoto. B. G. Schubert.

Rrobe's Sewardianus, all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of primocane with leaf, $X$ abi FIfis. 2 and 3, lower and upper surfaces of primociane-leaf: fisi. 4, summit of pedicel and calyx, $\times 5$


I'hoto. B. G. Schubert.
Circaea canadensis: fig. 5 , half of leaf, $\times 1$
Yar. virginiana, all figis. from type: fig. 1, plant, $\times 1 / 2$; fig. 2 , leaf, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , portion of inflorescence, $\times 10$; FIG. 4 , fruit, $\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
ERyNGilm phostratum: fig. 4. fruits, $\times 10$
Var. disjunctum, all fign. from type: fif. 1, portion of plant, $X 1$; fici。 2 , head, $X$ a FIG. 3 , fruits, $\times 10$

Photo. B. G. sichubert.


Photo, B. G. Schubert.
 heads, $\times 5$, from different stations


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Letcothoe axildaris: fig. 1. type, $\times{ }^{1}$ ̌́, of Indromeda axillaris Lam., after ('intract: Fig. 2, flowering branch, $\times 1$, of $L$. platyphylla small; fis. 3, portion of inflorescence. to .how blunt bracts and calyx-segments, $\times 4$


I'hoto. B. G. Schubert
Ifeucothof axillaris, var. ambigens: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times 1$; fic. 2 , portion of inflorescence of type, $\times 4$

Jhote. B. Ci. Schubert.



Photo. B. G. Schubert.
 leaf, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 3 , venation of back of leaf. $\times 4 ;$ fin. 4 , flower, $\times \overline{0} ;$ fit. 5 , portion of glomerule, $\times 10 ;$ FIG. 6 , calyx,$\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
 purtion of inflorescence, $\times 1$; Fici. 2, portion of glomerule, with corolla, $\times$ is



Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Pycianthemum umbratile, all figs. from type: fig. 1 , summit of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , portion of stem, $\times 10$; Fig. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10 ;$ Fig. 4 , calyx, $\times 10$


I'hoto. B. CB. Schubert.
 of stem, $\times 10$ : fin 4 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; firi. $\overline{5}$, calyx. $\times 10$


[^40]Eupatorium cordigerum: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times 1$ : fig. 2, venation of lower leafsurface, $11 / 2$ : Flif. 3 , old involucre, $\times 10$


I'hoto. B. G. Schubert.
 leaves, $\times 1 ;$ Fig. 3, venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 2 ;$ Fig. 4 , involucre, $\times 10$


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Eipatorium verbenafolitim: fig. 1, type, $\times$ 1, after B. L. Robinson; fig. 2, foliage, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, lower veins of lower leaf-surface, $\times 2$; fig. 4, involucre, $\times 10$


Ihoto. B. G. Schubprt
 $\times 1$; figi, 3 , involurer $\times 10$; FIG. 4 , venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 2$

## I N D E X

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Acanthaceae, 100
Acer barbatum, 156-160, The Identity of Michaux's, 156, f. floridanum, 160, var. floridanum, 160, var. Longii, 160, f. platylobum, 160; dasycarpum, 159; eriocarpum, 157; floridanum, 96, 156-160, var. Longii, 96, 160, forma platylobum, 160 , f. villipes, 160 , var. villipes, 160; grandidentatum, 156, 157; leucoderme, 157; montanum, 157; Negundo, 157; nigrum, 156-158; pensylvanicum, 157; platanoides, 158; rubrum, $157-159$; saccharinum, 157 159, var. floridanum, 160 ; saccharophorum, 157,158 ; saccharum, 156-159, var. Schneckii, 157; spicatum, 159; tomentosum, 157
Acrostichum lanuginosum, 122
Aesculus, 97
Agastache, 176; nepetoides, 176
Agrostis clandestina, 198
Aira nitida, 198; pallens, 198
Alder, 103
Alders, Swamp, 103
Amaranthus albus, 139, 140, var. pubescens, 140; blitoides, 139, 140; Blitum, 139; floribus lateralibus congestis, etc., 139; graecizans, 139,140, pl. 887 , var. pubescens, 140; graecus, 140 ; pubescens, 140
Amorpha fruticosa, 99, 101, 156
Andromeda, 170; axillaris, $169-171$, B., 169, 171, var. longifolia, 170; Catesbaei, 170, 171 ; spinulosa, 170, 171
Andropogon, 108
Arabis integrifolia, 203
Arbor virginiana, citreae, etc., 141; virginiana, pishaminis, 141
Arctostaphylos, 177; Lva-ursi, 177
Asarum Lewisii, 93, 102, 133
Baptisia, 94; Gibbesii, 94; tinctoria, 94,156 , var. crebra, 94
Bay, Red, 149
Benzoin, 140
Betula populifolia, 165
Blackberry, 95, 98
Boehmeria, 100
Boltonia caroliniana, 97, 196
Borbonia fructu oblongo, etc., 150

Brachiaria, 108
Bulbostylis capillaris, 116
Carex, 96; flaccosperma, 162; hirsutella, 96, 117, 127; oxylepis, 97, 162
Cassida foliis Betonicae, etc., 201; mariana hyssopifolia, 202
Castanea dentata, 97, 131; neglecta, 97, 131 ; pumila, 97,131
Cephalanthus occidentalis, 191, var. pubescens, 191
Chrysopogon, 108
Circaea, 97, 101; canadensis, 97, 98, 162,163, pl. 896 , var. virginiana, 161-163, pl. 896; intermedia, 97
Cirsium virginianum, 103, 197, f. revolutum, 103, 197
Clethra, 177; alnifolia, 177
Commelina, 117; hirtella, 117; virginica, 117
Compositae, 101
Cornus foemina, 101, 168; mas, 141; stricta, 101, 168
Crataegus aestivalis, 151: flava, 151, 152; glandulosa, 152
Cymbopogon, 108
('ynoctonum sessilifolium, 95, 127
(yperi genus indianam, etr., 111, 113
Cyperus, 111-113; caespitosus, 113; densicaespitosus, 11:3; elongatus, 113; ferax, 113; filiculmis, 19s; hystricinus, 111,113 ; odoratus, 113; odoratus, panicula sarsa, etc., 11:3; Plukenetii, 110 11:3, pl. 877 ; polystachyos, 113 ; peudovegetus, 110, 11:3; pubescens, 112; pumilus, 113 ; retrofractus, 111 $113, \mathrm{pl} .978$; rigidulus, $11: 3$; robustus, 110 , 11:3; tenuifolius, 11:3; virens Miche., The Identity of, 109; virens, $109,110,112,113, \mathrm{pl}$. 876
Cyrilla racemiflora, var. subglobosa, 156
Cypripedium Calceolus, var. pubescens, 97,131

Dasiola, 106; Elliotea, 106, 107
Datura Stramonium, 119
Desmodium, 101; lineatum, 101, 156; rotundifolium, 101
Dewberries, 153
Dicliptera, 100; brachiata, 100, 181

Digitaria, 108
Dioscorea Batatas, 131
Dock, Water, 133
Dog-fennel, 102
Dryopteris cristata, 101, 106
Echinochloa, 108
Eclipta alba, 196; erecta, 196; prostrata, 196
Elephantopus carolinianus, f. vestitus, 100, 191
Elm, Red, 204; ${ }^{\text {L }}$ lippery, 132, 204
Elymus striatus, 122
Epilobium coloratum, 199
Eragrostis, 108; caroliniana, 198; Damiensiana, 108; multi aulis, 108; pectinacea, 198, 199; peregrina, 108; pilosa, var. condensata, 108, var. Damiensiana, 108
Erianthus, 100; alopecuroides, 100, 109
Eryngium, 104, 105; Baldwini, 164168, pl. 899; integrifolium, 166, $167^{\circ}$; prostratum, 103, 104, 163-168, pls. 897, 898, var. disjunctum, $163,164,16 \mathrm{~s}$, pls. 897,898 ; virgatum, 167
Eupatoria, 195
Eupatorium aromaticum, 193, 194, var. lacerum, 194; capillifolium, 102; cordigerum, 192, 193, pl. 908 ; dubium, 193, f. elutum, 195 ; fistulosum, 193; hyssopifolium, 103, 191; lanceolatum, 192, pl. 910 ; marulatum, 193, f. Faxoni, 195; prrfoliatum, var. cuneatum, 195; put exerns, 192 -194, pl. 911; purpureum, 193; resinosum, 195 , var. kentuckiense, 195; rotundifolium, 192,193, pl. 9009, var. cordigcrum, 192; rugrosum, 193; sessilifolium, 194, var. Brittonianum, 194,195, var. Vaseyi, 194, 195; urticaefolium, 193; verbenacfolium, 192, 193, pl. 910; verticillatum, 193

Festuca, 107; subg. Vulpia, 107, 108; monandra, 106, 107; myuros, 106; nutans, 199; obtusa, 199; octoflora, var. glauca, 107 , var. tenella, 107; paradoxa, 97, 106, 199; quadriflora, 106; sciurea, 106; shortii, 97,199 ; tenella, $10 \frac{7}{7}$, s. glatuca, $10 \frac{7}{7}$
Fimbrist 1 is, ! 95 ; anomala, 116 ; caroliniana, 114,115 ; castanea, 114 116; Drummondii, 95, 113-116, 127, \&. major, 115, a minor, 115; interior, 115,116 ; puberula, 114 ; spadicea, 114, 116

Fraxinus caroliniana, var. pubescens, 171
Fuirena hispida, 103, 117; squarrosa, 103, 117

Galium circaezans, 140
Geum canadense, var. brevipes, 97, 152
Glabraria geniculata, 140, 142
Glechoma hederacea, f. acutilol, a, 166
Glyceria airoides, 108
Gramineae, 108
Haplopappus divariratus, 195
Helianthus angustifolius, 103,196
Heliotropium amplexicaule, 171, 172; anchusacfolium, 171; europacum, 171, 172; indicum, 172
Heteropogon, 108
Hyparrhenia, 108
Hypericum setosum, 95, 127
Identity of Cyperus virens Michx. The, 109, pl. 876; of Juncus tenuis, The, 117-123; of Michaux's Acer barbatum, The, 156
Indigenous Variations of Ulmus americana, The, 132
Isolepis, 116; capillaris, 116; Drummondii, 116
Isopappus divaricatus, 195
Juncus, 119, 126; § Genuini, 126; bicornis, 123; brachycephalus, 127; brevicaudatus, 127; bufonius, 120; hulbosus, 118,120 ; canadensis, 127-1:30),'some'Varieties and Forms of, $122-1: 31$, pls. 881,882 ; var. euroauster, $127-129,1: 31, \mathrm{pl}$. 881, var. longecaudatus, 129, var. longicaudatus, 129, var. sparsiflorus, $128,129,1: 31$, pl. 881 , var. typicus, $128,129,1: 31$, pl. 882, f. apertus, $128,129,1: 31, \mathrm{pl} .882$, f. conglobatus, $128,129-131, \mathrm{pl}$. 882; compressus, 118, 120; dichotomus, $96,118,119,121-124,125$, $126, \mathrm{pl} .879$, var. platyphyllus, 96 , 124; effusus, 126; (ierardi, 118 , 120; germanorum, 118; (iriseomi, 126, A second station for, 126; gymnocarpus, 126 ; Longii, 96,127 ; macer, $119,120,122,125, \mathrm{f}$. discretiforus, 123; platyphyllus, 124 1'26, pl. 880; repens, 101, 127; scirpoides, 96,127 ; Smithii, 126; subcaudatus, 127 ; tenuis, $117-12.3$, 125, pl. 879 , f. discretiflorus, 123, var. platyphyllus, 124,125 ; trigonocarpus, 127, 128

Kyllinga, 113; caespitosa, 113; elongata, 113; pumila, 113; rigidula, 113; tenuifolia, 113

Lachnocaulon anceps, 103
Laurus aestivalis, $140-142,150$; axillaris, 149, 150; Benzoin, 140142; Borbonia, 149, 150; caroliniana, 149; caroliniensis, 149, $\alpha$. glabra, $149, \beta$. pubescens, 149 ; caroliniensis, foliis, etc., 150 ; foliis enervibus, 141; foliis lanceolatis, etc., 141,150 ; geniculata, 140,142 , 150
Lapathum aquaticum, folio, etc., 134, 135; foliis longis, etc., 134, 135
Leucothoe axillaris, 169.171 , pl. 900 , var. ambigens, $168-171$, pl. 901; Catesbaei, 169-171, pl. 902; platyphylla, 170, 171
Ligusticum canadense, 162
Ligustrum sinense, 171
Lindera, 140; Benzoin, 141, 142, pl. 889
Litsea, 150; aestivalis, 140, 142, pl. 888; geniculata, 140, 142, 150
Lobelia, 102; glandulifera, 102
Loblolly Pine, 102
Ludwigia, 105; glandulosa, 101, 161
Lycopus americanus, var. Longii, 103, 180, var. scabrifolius, 180
Lysimachia lanceolata, 171
Magnolia virginiana, 151, var. australis, 151
Malapornna geniculata, 140
Mariscus, 112; pubescens, 112
Menispermum canadense, 140
Muhlenbergia glabriflora, 99, 109; setosa, 198

Nepeta virginica, 178
Nyssa, 97 ; sylvatica, var. biflora, 168, var. caroliniana, 168

Panicum, 108; agrostoides, var. ramosius, 109; discolor, 198; flexile, 97 ; lucidum, 103; pensylvanicum, 198; roanokense, 109
Perseáa Borbonia, 149, 150, f. pubescens, 149; caroliniensis, 149, $\alpha$., 149, $\alpha$. glabriuscula, 149, var. palustris, $149, \beta$. pubescens, 149; palustris, 149, 150, f. laevifolia, 149; pubescens, 149, 150
Phacelia dubia, var. interior, 171; fallax, 171
Phleum pratense, var. nodosum, 109
Pilea, 100

Poa caroliniana, 198, 199
Polygala sanguinea, 99, f. albiflora, 156; Senega, var. latifolia, 97
Polygonum, 105; hydropiperoides, 105, 138, pl. 885, var. breviciliatum, 138, pl. 886, var. Bushianum, 138, pl. 885, var. euronotorum, 137, 138, pl. 884; minus, var. subcontinuum, 137; opelousanum, 105, 138; pensylvanicum, 100,137 , f. albinum, 137
Polymnia Uvedalia, var. densipila, 101, 162, 196, var. floridana, 196
Polypogon setosus, 198
Pond-spice, 142
Portulaca oleracea, 139
Psoralea psoralioides, var. eglandulosa, 95, 127, 156
Pyenanthemum, 178, 179; aristatum, 176, 178, 179; clinopodioides, 176, 179,180, pl. 907 ; hyssopifolium, 176-178, 180, pl. 905; incanum, 100 , var. Loomisii, 100 , var. puberulum, 180; monotrichum, 176-178, 180, pl. 903; puberulum, 100, 180; pyenanthemoides, 176 178, var. viridifolium, 177, 180, pl. 904; setosum, 177, 178, 180, pl. 905; Tullia, 176, 177; umbratile, 179, pl. 906; viridifolium, 177
Pyrus, subg. Aronia, 103
Quercus Phellos, 96, f. intonsa, 96, 131

Red Bav, 149; Elm, 204
Rhaphis, 108
Rhexia virgini`a, '61, var. septemnervia, 161
Rhododendron canescens, 168
Rhynchospora, 103; corniculata, 100, 117; cymosa, 117; glohularis, var. recognita, 10:3, 117
River-Maple, 15 s
Rowa multiflora, 156
Rubus, $93,96,98 ;$ (uneifolii, 94; §Tholiformes, 98 ; Akermani, 152, 153,155 , pls. 890,891 ; cathartium, 153-155, pls. 892, 893; connixus, 152; exsularis, 154; flagellaris, 154 ; sejunctus, 94,152 ; Sewardianus, 154156 , pls. 894, 895
Ruellia caroliniensis, 181, var. cheloniformis, 182, f. candida, 182, var. dentata, 182, var. membranacea, 181, f. hypopsila, 181, f. laevior, 181, var. nanella, 181, f. eciliata, 182, var. semicalva, 181;
ciliosa, 181; humilis, 181, var. calvescens, 181, var. frondosa, 181; parviflora, 181 ; Purs̊hiana, 181, f. claustroflora, 181

Rumex, 134; altissimus, 135-137; aquatica, 134; aquatica, calycis, etc., 134; Britannica, 134-136, not a Virginian, so-called, 133, pl. 883 ; Claytonii, 135-137; floribus hermaphroditis, etc., 134; Hydrolapathum, 133,136 , var. americanus, 137; obtusifolius, 134-136, pl. 883; orbicularis, 135; orbiculatus, 134137; verticillatus, 134-137.

Sanicula Smallii, 97, 162, 163
Sarracenia flava, 103
Scirpus divaricatus, 101, 116; lupulinus, 198; polyphyllus, 116; retrofractus, 111-113
Scleria pauciflora, 96, 117
Scutellaria, 201; ambigua, 172, 173, 175; caerulea virginiana, etc., 202 ; elliptica, 174, 200-202, var. hirsuta, 201; epilobiifolia, 172; foliis integerrimis, 202; foliis lanceolatis, 202; foliis ovatis, etc., 201; foliis sessilibus etc., 202; galericulata, 172; gracilis, 174; hirsuta, 201; hyssopifolia, 202, 20.3; incana, 199, 200; integrifolia, 174, 201-20.3, $\beta$. hysisopifolia, 20):3; Leonardi, 172; nemorosa, 201; nervosa, 172-174, var. ambigua, 175 , var. calvifolia, 174, var. typica, 174,175 ; ovalifolia, 200, 201, subsp. hirsuta, 202, var. hirsuta, 202, subsp. mollis, 200 , 201; parviflora, 174; parvula, 172, 173, var. ambigua, 172, 175, var. Leonardi, 172; pilosa, 200, 201, var. hirsuta, 201, var. ovalifolia, 201 ; pubescens, 200 ; teucrifolia, 174, 201, 202; teucrii folio, etc., 202; virginiana, etc., 201
second Station for Juncus Griscomi, 126
Netaria Faberii, 109
Slippery Elm, 132, 204
Smilax Bona-nox, var. exauriculata, 131
So-called Rumex Britannica not a Virginian, 133-137, pl. 88:3
solidago, 102 ; rugosa, var. celtidifolia, 103,195 ; salicina, 102

Some Inconvenient Upheavals of

* Familiar Names and Author-Citations, 197-204.
Sorghastrum, 108
Sorghum, 108
Sphenopholis nitida, 198; pallens, 198 Sporobolus clandestinus, 198
Sugar-Maple, 156, 158, 159; Black, 156; Northern, 158 ; Southern, 156, 159
Sugartree, 156
Swamp Alders, 103
Tamala Borbonia, 149; palustris, 149; pubescens, 149
Tephrosia virginiana, var. glabra, 102, 156
Tetranthera geniculata, 140
Trichachne, 108
Tripsacum, 99; dactyloides, var. occidentale, 99, 109
Tullia, 178
Turkey-buzzards, 98
Ulmus americana, 132, 203, 204, The Indigenous Variations of, 132, f. alba, 133, ₹. alba, 133, var. alba, 133, $\alpha$. glabra, 13.3, f. intercedens, 133, f. laevior, 133, f. pendula, 133, $\gamma$. pendula, 133 , var. pendula, 133, $\beta$. scabra, 133; fulva, 132, 204; mollifolia, 203; rubra, 132, 203, 204
Utricularia, 104
Uvedalia, 196
Vaccinium tenellum, 171
Varieties and Forms of Juncus canadensis, Some, $127-131$, pls. $881,88^{2}$
Verbesina alba, 196, 197; prostrata, 196, 197
Vetiveria, 108
Viola Stoneana, 160
Vitis cinerea, 101, 160
Vulpia, 107, 108; Elliotea, 106; octoflora, var. glauca, 107, var. tenella, 107; sciurea, 106

Water Dock, 133
Willow-Oak, 96
Xanthorhiza simplicissima, 140
Zizia Bebbii, 162; trifoliata, 97, 162
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M. L. Fernald

(Plates 912-962) ${ }^{1}$
During recent studies of four somewhat complex and often misinterpreted genera, as represented in northeastern America, the following notes have accumulated. Since the proper interpretation of many of the species and varieties is greatly aided by careful illustration, I have asked Dr. Bernice G. Schubert to prepare plates which show the essential characters, these derived chiefly from types, isotypes or other authentic specimens.

## I. KEY TO ANTENNARIA OF THE "MANUAL RANGE"

(Plates 912-958) ${ }^{2}$
From the time when its diversity and great interest first impressed our botanists in 1897 there was a concentration of our most active field-botanists upon this genus in the East for about thirty-five years. By the end of that period essentially all the wide-ranging species were apparently well collected and understood, although local or endemic species are still likely to be

[^42]found in unexplored areas of Newfoundland and perhaps of Gaspé. So thoroughly have these plants been studied and so clear-cut are their differential characters, ranges and flowering seasons that we more active explorers of the "effete East" thought that we had them well in hand. Beginning with the publication by Greene in May, 1897, of A. neglecta, Plates $924-$ 926, (and a few western species), the recognition of species in the region to be covered by the next "Manual" has proceeded until the differentiation by Stebbins in July, 1935, of a probable but tiny grandfather and grandmother of some of them, A. virginica (plate 937) of the ancient Appalachian Upland. So many morphological characters are found in habit, leaves, leaf-tips or appendages, pubescence, inflorescences, involucres and their phyllaries, receptacles, corollas, pappus and achenes, that keen students like the late B. L. Robinson, a life-long specialist on the Compositae, S. F. Blake, another sound and generally recognized specialist on the Compositae, and Ledyard Stebbins, outstanding morphologist and student of phylogeny, have clearly understood the differences; while some of us who have, through decades, had a tremendous experience with them in the field and the herbarium recognize the amazing stability of the essential characters. It is, then, at least surprising to be told by one "who blew in from the West" so recently that his field-experience with the plants of the "Manual range" as delimited by him (from Gaspé County, Quebec, to western Minnesota, south to southern Virginia, Kentucky and Missouri) must have been more limited than that of lifelong explorers of the area,-it is startling to be assured that in all this diverse area we have only "three fairly well-marked species" and that the segregations which have been recognized by a host of our best technical students and most observant amateurs are "too dependent on temporary whim, or at best on individual opinion, to justify specific recognition". ${ }^{1}$

Now it so happens that in the on-the-whole satisfactorily systematized series of eastern Antennaria (I can say nothing of the sort for the western species nor for the undifferentiated stacks in some other large herbaria) in the two collections before me, those of the Gray Herbarium and of the New England Botanical Club, there are approximately 2500 numbers or sheets of Anten-

[^43]naria from the "Manual range" (in my case including Newfoundland as well as Gaspé) ; and more than 700 of these were collected by myself or by my companions (Robinson, Wiegand, Collins, Pease, Long, Fogg, St. John, Stebbins, Weatherby or others) and me with such intelligence as the Lord vouchsafed us. It is, furthermore, amazing, if the recognition of our species is merely "dependent on temporary whim", that there should be, in case of all species in their own areas, essential unanimity in the identifications made by the 150 quite unwhimsical and lucid collectors and students of this vast series. I enumerate in the footnote ${ }^{1}$ only about half of these students, none of them toadies and most of them ready to disagree if they cannot concur, some of them always seeking an opportunity to do so.

Since some readers, in spite of the stated editorial policy, still assume that everything published in Rhodora has the editorial stamp of approval, I hasten to put on record the key which is prepared for the next edition of Gray's Manual. That work will include Newfoundland; consequently 10 species here appear (nos. $1,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,13$ and 14) which are outside the range covered by the projected Illustrated Flora. These are here included, although they were rather fully treated and illustrated in Rhodora, xxxv. 327-346, plates 263-268 (1933). Of the 22 species occurring from Gaspé Co., Quebec, to Minnesota and southward 8 are pontificated upon by Cronquist; the remaining 14 have not received his approval even as forms. Since, by his interpretation, they are presumable local whimsies I have asked Dr. Schubert to put concretely into plates some of the characters of most of those which have not previously been carefully illustrated. I am not at this time publishing the detailed drawings of them which have been prepared for the Manual.

It certainly would seem strange, if we have in the region from

[^44]Gaspé County westward and southward only three species, $A$. neglecta, plantaginifolia and solitaria, that two tiny plants, $A$. vexillifera (plate 913) and A. Peasei (plate 915), utterly unlike any of the three elect, should have taken the whim to isolate themselves near or above such arctic-alpine companions as Lycopodium alpinum L., Deschampsia atropurpurea (Wahlenb.) Scheele, Carex nardina Fries, C. rariflora (Wahlenb.) Sm., Juncus castaneus Sm., Luzula confusa Lindeb., Salix herbacea L., Betula glandulosa Michx., Oxyria digyna (L.) Hill, and others likewise never found with Antennaria neglecta of low altitudes much farther south. These being "small-leaved", they have to go, apparently, into Cronquist's hodgepodge " $A$. neglecta." True lowland A. neglecta (plates 924-926) has prolonged and nearly naked flagelliform stolons, the midrib of the basal leaves excurrent as a subulus, the inflorescence at first glomerulate but by elongation of the rachis (sometimes up to 1.5 dm . long) finally becoming spicate or racemose. As a result of the crazy whim by which, if the latest interpretation is valid, they isolated themselves on alpine and subalpine spots hundreds of miles away from the relatively southern true $A$. neglecta, they lost the flagelliform stolons and became compact, with crowded assurgent leafy offshoots, stubbed off the terminal subulus of the rosette-leaves (thus taking on a character of the large arctic-alpine series to which they really belong), and changed their inflorescences from ultimate racemes to mere unaspiring corymbs, and lost the characteristic papillae which occur on at least the young and undried achenes of $A$. neglecta, as well as of the other relatively southern species. In the latter character they belong with the great bulk of the Arctic and Eurasian series, ${ }^{2}$ not with the common species of the eastern United States.

If, furthermore, the latest interpretation of Antennaria is not

[^45]itself "too dependent on temporary whim" (as it seems to be) and is a sound and sensible treatment, we must also put $A$. subviscosa (plates 916 and 917) into all-inclusive $A$. neglecta, already defined, for, unlike the two alpine species just discussed, $A$. subviscosa has papillate achenes. If it is only $A$. neglecta in complete disguise it has been playing a great joke. A. neglecta has slender, lash-like stolons ending in tardily developed rosette-leaves, which are completely rotted off during the second year; its cauline leaves end in scarious appendages; its involucres are $6.5-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; its corollas $5-6.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; the style usually purple; the longer pappus-bristles $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Greatly excited, if the latest flash-interpretation is correct, by freeing itself from sex, which so generally characterizes $A$. neglecta and, therefore, by the theory involved, makes it a species, and getting into a sweeter soil than the sour and worn-out old fields and pastures where the relatively southern male and female $A$. neglecta persist in growing together, $A$. subviscosa must have taken a broad jump to the limestone walls which face north toward the vast lower River St. Lawrence and the Gulf, there finding new neighbors, Arctic, northern Cordilleran or North Pacific calcicolous specialties such as Woodsia glabella R. Br., Festuca saximontana Rydb., Calamagrostis purpurascens R. Br., Carex concinna R. Br., Cerastium beeringianum Cham. \& Schl., Arabis Holboellii Hornem., Saxifraga cespitosa L., Potentilla nivea L., etc. Landed there, among neighbors who could never know of its earlier sexual existence farther south, and now safely apomictic, it further shook off any supposed alliance with $A$. neglecta by taking on a suffruticose habit, the larger plants (plate 916) trailing out as long-lived mats a full meter across, with the crowded branches heavily invested with long-marcescent basal foliage, the latter without the subulus of $A$. neglecta, the cauline leaves merely mucronate or subulate-tipped, the corymb viscid with glandular secretions, the pale involucre only $5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, the corollas shrinking down to a length of only $3.8-4.3 \mathrm{~mm}$., the styles becoming diluted to a creamy tone, and the longer pappus-bristles shortening to only $4.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. On the larger mats the old branches carry for many years the ancient flowering stems, holding on as marcescent remnants. Who ever saw any of our other eastern Antennarias do that? In fact, no one who understands Antennaria would
guess that $A$. subviscosa belongs with or ever had anything to do with $A$. neglecta. That, however, is the only place for it if, in all the Manual range, we are given a choice of only "three fairly well-marked species".

These three species and many more from Gaspé or elsewhere in Canada and the northernmost states, as well as some from farther south, have real characters, as real as those of Polygonum viviparum L., Saxifraga cernua L. and a host of other sexless and morphologically definite plants; and by those who, happily, still believe the stable morphological characters of more importance than "large" or "small" leaves alone they will be maintained. Furthermore, if the recent student of the genus were consistently retaining as species and varieties of Antennaria only those which are bisexual, he would be at least logical; but he includes as a variety under his " $A$. neglecta", the strictly apomictic and tremendously isolated $A$. gaspensis, which differs from everything else he puts into $A$. neglecta in its receptacle being higher than broad (instead of broader than high), a character which in Eupatorium is considered subgeneric (or even generic). If his treatment of Antennaria is typical of what is to be expected for other groups in the new Illustrated Flora, it would seem that that work will be an abbreviated pocket-novel, the next Gray's Manual a family bible.

Key to Antennaria to be used in the next Gray's Manual
N. B.-The pistillate involucres only are intended in the measurements (unless otherwise specified); the counts and descriptions of upper cauline leaves refer to those below the inflorescence and exclude the bracteal leaves in the corymb.
a. Basal leaves erect, oblanceolate to elliptic-acuminate, 2-18 cm . long, similar to the cauline ones; involucres of pistillate heads deep-brown to blackish; achenes glabrous, not papillate.
Flowering stem with 4-10 leaves; pistillate corollas 3-4.3 mm . long; exserted tip of mature style three-fourths as long as corolla; longer pappus-bristles $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; phyllaries of staminate heads fuscous; staminate corollas $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long
A. eucosma.

Flowering stem with $6-12$ leaves; pistillate corollas $4.5-5.2$
mm . long; exserted tip of mature style at most one third length of corolla; longer pappus $9-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; phyllaries of staminate heads whitish; staminate corollas 4-5 mm . long
2. A. pulcherrima.
a. Basal leaves spreading, forming depressed rosettes, strongly contrasting in outline with the cauline leaves; plants humifuse to stoloniferous. . . .b.
b. Larger basal leaves only $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, blunt or only obscurely mucronulate, whitened above; flowering stems only $0.05-1.8 \mathrm{dm}$. high; only pistillate plants known, their involucres deep-brown to blackish or, if pale, at most 7 mm . high. ...c.
c. Cauline leaves $15-28$, very crowded (except in old individuals), the upper 7-20 with twisted scarious tips 2-3 mm . long; taller stems up to 4 (rarely -6) cm. high; involucre with 3-4 very unequal series of conspicuously imbricated phyllaries; achenes glabrous.
3. A. columnarts.
c. Cauline leaves $4-16$, only the upper $1-7$ with scarious tips; flowering stems mostly $4-18 \mathrm{~cm}$. high; involucres with phyllaries subequal or in 2 or 3 unequal series (or in 4-6 series in no. 10 , which has only $8-10$ remote cauline leaves, with 3-5 appendaged, and pale involucres).... d.
d. Involucres deep-brown to blackish; phyllaries subequal or in 2-3 unequal series. . . e.
$e$. Involucres with the lower half prolonged, green and viscid, the phyllaries closely and firmly appressed or agglutinated to form an ellipsoid-campanulate falsely gamophyllous cup $7-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corollas $5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes glabrous.
4. A. Foggii.
$e$. Involucres with loose and distinct phyllaries; corollas $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. . . $f$.
f. Phyllaries conspicuously unequal, in 3 series, the outer about half as long as the inner; corollas $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long
Cauline leaves 6-9, the 2 or 3 upper with unguiculate subulate tips $0.6-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; involucres $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, their outer and median phyllaries with scarious tips $1.2-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; style included or nearly so, subentire; achenes papillate, $1.1-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long
Cauline leaves $8-14$, the 4 or 5 upper with flat scarious tips 2-3.5 mm. long; involucres 7.5-9 mm . high, their outer and median phyllaries with scarious tips $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; style exserted, 2-cleft; achenes glabrous, 1.8 mm . long.............................................. nearly as long as the inner; corollas $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes glabrous. . .g.
g. Flowering stems at most 1.2 dm . high, with $5-8$ leaves; the 3-6 upper leaves with flag-like oblong-lanceolate flat tips.
Involucres $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, with squarrose pale brown phyllary-tips $1.3-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; upper 3 or 4 cauline leaves appendaged; corollas $4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes $1.2-1.4$ mm . long
7. A. cana.

Involucres $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, with ascending fulvous phyllary-tips rarely more than 1 mm . broad; upper 4-6 cauline leaves appendaged; corollas $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes $1.6-$ 1.8 mm . long.
g. Flowering stems slender, up to 18 cm . high, their $8^{-15}$ leaves mostly subulate-tipped,
only the uppermost with lance- or linearinvolute scarious tips; involucres $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, the outer ascending tips $1-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad
9. A. confusa.
d. Involucres milk-white or ochroleucous or pale brown; achenes glabrous. ....h.
$h$. Cauline leaves $5-10$; involucres pale brown or stramineous, sometimes roseate or greenish, $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corollas $3.7-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; mature pappus $4.5-$ 5 mm . long. . . . $i$.
i. Involucres of 4-6 series of conspicuously unequal phyllaries, stramineous to pale brown.....10. A. straminea.
i. Involucres of 2 or 3 series of subequal phyllaries.

Flowering stems 3-7 cm. high, not glandular; upper cauline leaves with oblong-lanceolate scarious appendages $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; involucres not glandular; achenes glabrous
not glandular, achenes glabrous................
upper cauline leaves with subulate or involute tips; involucres glandular-viscid at base; achenes papillose.
12. A. subviscosa.
$h$. Cauline leaves $9-15$; involucres milk-white or ochroleucous, $4.5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corollas $3-3.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; mature pappus $4-4.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.
b. Larger basal leaves mostly wider, $0.2-5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, usually distinctly apiculate or mucronate, green and glabrous to white-tomentose above; flowering stems, $0.4-5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; involucres whitish, greenish, pale brown or fulvous, 5-11 mm . high; staminate plants of some species known. . .j.
$j$. Rosette-leaves comparatively small, $0.2-2.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, with only the midrib prominent to the tip beneath, the lateral ribs short and evanescent.... $k$.
$k$. Middle and upper cauline leaves of pistillate plants terminated by a flat or merely inrolled scarious appendage. . . .l.
l. New rosette-leaves bright green and glabrous or promptly glabrate on the upper face.... $m$. $m$. Rosette-leaves spatulate to cuneate-oblanceolate or narrowly cuneate-obovate, scarcely petioled, rounded at tip or only subacute, terminated by a mucro less than 0.5 mm . long; heads 1-6; plants of Nfld. and e. Que.
$n$. Basal offshoots crowded, scarcely elongate; basal leaves $6-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; flowering stem $5-13 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, its longer leaves barely 1 cm . long; involucres brown, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corollas 4.5 mm . long; achenes glabrous. 14. A. Wiegandii.
$n$. Basal offshoots elongate, when well developed cord-like; basal leaves $1-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 4-12 mm . wide; flowering stem $0.5-3 \mathrm{dm}$. high, its longer leaves $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; involucres whitish, $7-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corollas $4.8-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes papillate.
Rosette-leaves broadly rounded at summit; lower and median cauline leaves obtuse, merely mucronate-tipped, the upper 1-3 with scarious appendages
15. A. spathulata.

Rosette-leaves subacute to round-tipped; all or all but the very lowermost cauline leaves ending in prolonged scarious appendages.
16. A. appendiculata.
$m$. Rosette-leaves oblanceolate to narrowly obovate, somewhat narrowed to acute tip and tapering to a subpetiolar base, tipped by a sharp mucro $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; heads $3-18$; plant of broad continental range; achenes (at least when young) papillate. ..........................17. A. canadensis.
l. New rosette-leaves grayish- or whitish-tomentulose
or -sericeous on upper face; achenes (at least when young) papillate.... o.
o. Rosette-leaves cuneate-oblanceolate, -obovate or -spatulate, mostly $1.5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $0.5-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the old ones becoming green with weathering; stolons filiform, lash-like or cord-like, elongate; heads crowded in a glomerule or becoming loosely racemose; corollas $5-6.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; continental plants, both staminate and pistillate.
Stolons flexuous and lash-like; rosette-leaves cuneate-oblanceolate to -spatulate, narrowed to a subpetiolar base, $0.5-1.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad; stems of pistillate plants elongating in fruit to $1.5-4 \mathrm{dm}$. high; pistillate heads in maturity becoming spicate to racemose; staminate involucres 4-6 mm . high; eastern species. .18. A. neglecta.
Stolons stiffer, cord-like; rosette-leaves narrowly cuneate-obovate, broad-based, $0.6-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad; stems of pistillate plants $0.4-2 \mathrm{dm}$. high; pistillate heads closely glomerulate; staminate involucres $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; Great Plain species.
19. A. campestris.
o. Rosette-leaves oblanceolate or narrowly obovate, acute or acutish, $0.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, strongly whitened; basal offshoots crowded, very short and assurgent, consisting chiefly of depressed rosettes; heads loosely corymbose (or solitary); corollas $4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate plant unknown; species of e. Que. and Nfld...20. A. gaspensis.
$k$. Middle and upper cauline leaves of pistillate plants blunt or with subulate or subulate-aristate tips (only those about the corymb with flat scarious appendages); achenes (at least when young) papillate ....p.
$p$. Stolons and basal offshoots short and assurgent, ending in depressed rosettes; rosette-leaves oblanceolate to broadly obovate, often with definite petioles. . . q.
q. Rosettes-leaves and cauline leaves all or nearly all with a naked terminal mucro or subulus; eastern and northeastern species.
Basal leaves oblanceolate or narrowly spatulateobovate, acute or subacute, scarcely petioled; flowering stem stiff, its $8-18$ leaves subapproximate and evenly spaced; corymb compact; involucres greenish or light brown only at base, with firm chartaceous milk-white to
creamy-brown blunt phyllaries mostly 1.4-2 mm . broad; pits of denuded receptacle narrower than the ridges
Basal leaves narrowly to broadly obovate, mostly rounded to tip, petioled; flowering stem usually becoming flexuous upon elongation, its $4-10(-14)$ leaves often becoming remote; pistillate involucres greenish-, purplish- or brown-tinged, their thin and usually scarious pale-tipped phyllaries $0.4-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; pits of old receptacle broader than ridges.
Plants all or chiefly all pistillate, these with stems $0.5-5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; rosette-leaves $1-5.5$ cm . long, $0.3-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide; lower cauline leaves $1.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 2-6 mm. broad; pistillate involucres $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, with $30-60$ or more phyllaries; florets $50-140$; corollas $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pits of receptacle deep; staminate involucre $5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; wide-ranging from Nfld. to n. Ont., S. to N. S., N. E., L. I., Va., Ind., Wisc. and Minn
22.

Plants about equally staminate and pistillate; the pistillate ones with very slender stems $0.6-2 \mathrm{dm}$. high; rosette-leaves $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $3-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; lower cauline leaves $1-1.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; pistillate involucre $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, with $25-35$ phyllaries; florets $40-70$; corollas $3.2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pits of receptacle shallow; staminate involucre $3.8-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; plant of Appalachian Upland, Va., W. Va. and Pa., very locally to w. Vt........................... 23.
A. neodioica.
A. virginica.
q. Rosette-leaves and all or nearly all the cauline leaves blunt, without an evident subulus; Great Plains species.
24. A. aprica.
$p$. Stolons elongate, lash- or cord-like, only tardily developing terminal rosettes; rosette-leaves cuneateoblanceolate to spatulate-obovate 25.
j. Rosette-leaves comparatively large, $0.7-5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, with 3-7 nerves somewhat prominent and prolonged beneath; achenes (at least when young) papillate...r.
$r$. Heads in corymbs; stolons assurgent and leafy or with assurgent leafy tips. . 8.
8. Pistillate involucres $7-11$ (rarely only 6 in no. 30 ) mm . high; central corollas $4.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes $1.3-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; mature pappus (longer bristles) 5.5-9 mm. long. . . $t$.
t. Rosette-leaves mostly subtruncate, abruptly constricted above the middle to a concave curve arching to the prolonged slenderly cuneate base; mature pappus $5.5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; local species of upper Great Lakes
26. A. Farwellii.
t. Rosette-leaves acutish or gradually rounded at summit, more gradually narrowed to the base; mature pappus 6-9 mm. long....u.
$u$. Blades of larger rosette-leaves $2.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long above the petiolar base, minutely canescent, or glabrous or glabrate; mature flowering
stems (pistillate) $1.5-5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; involucres
$7-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corollas $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; longer
pappus $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.
Rosette-leaves spatulate to narrowly spatu-
late-obovate, strongly rounded at summit,
closely canescent-tomentose above; heads
glomerulate or densely crowded; longer
mature pappus $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; stems gland-
less.
27. A. munda.
Rosette-leaves broadly obovate-spatulate to
obovate and subacute to suborbicular and
rounded above; heads densely to loosely
corymbed; longer mature pappus $6-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.
long; stems frequently glandular above.
New rosette-leaves permanently and closely
canescent-tomentulose above; stems and
involucres rarely purple; mature achenes
$1.3-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, densely papillose, their
longer pappus $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; summit of
plant glandless or glandular.
28. A. fallax.
New rosette-leaves bright green and glab-
rous or only lightly canescent and glab-
rate; stems and involucres frequently
purplish; mature achenes $1.6-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.
long, smooth or slightly papillose, their
longer pappus $7.5-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; summit
of stem usually glandular. ..........29. A. Parliniv.
u. Blades of larger rosette-leaves $1.5-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long,
strongly rounded, loosely tomentose above;
mature flowering stem (pistillate) 1.3-3.5 dm.
high, with stipitate glands at summit and in
the corymb; involucres $6-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; corol-
las $4.5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; longer pappus $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$.
long.
30. A. Brainerdii.
s. Pistillate involucres $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; central corollas
2.5-4.3 mm. long; achenes $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; mature
pappus $4-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; rosette-leaves oblanceo-
late, obovate or suborbicular, minutely canescent
above.
31. A. plantaginifolia.
r. Heads solitary; stolons filiform, lash-like, tardily pro-
ducing terminal rosettes of sessile to broad-petioled
obovate- to broadly oblong-spatulate leaves.....32. A. solitaria.

1. A. eucosma Fernald \& Wiegand in Rhodora, xiii. 23 (1911); Fernald, 1. c. xxxv. 330 (1933).-Straits of Belle Isle to Bay St. George, Nfld. Both staminate and pistillate. Fl. mid-July, Aug. Plate 912.
2. A. pulcherrima (Hook.) Greene, Pittonia, iii. 176 (1897). A. carpatica sensu Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 329 (1834), not Wahlenb. A. carpatica, $\gamma$. pulcherrima Hook. 1. c. (1834)--Anticosti I., Gaspé Co., Que.; w. Ungava to n. Alta., s. in Rocky Mts. Both staminate and pistillate. June-early Aug.
3. A. columnaris Fernald in Rhodora, xxxv. 331, t. 263 (1933). -Near St. John and Ingornachoix Bays, Nfld. Pistillate only. July, early Aug.
4. A. Foggit Fernald, 1. c. 332, t. 264 (1933).-Near St. John and Ingornachoix Bays, Nfld. Pistillate only. July, early Aug.
5. A. Bayardi Fernald, l. c. 333, t. 265 (1933).-Bonne Bay and Bay of Islands, Nfld. Pistillate only. July, early Aug.
6. A. brunnescens Fernald, 1. c. 336, t. 266 (1933).-Alpine crest, Mt. Killdevil, Bonne Bay, Nfld. Pistillate only. Aug.
7. A. cana (Fernald \& Wieg.) Fernald, 1. c. xviii. 236 (1916) and xxxv. 337, t. 267 (1933). Straits of Belle Isle to Bonne Bay (ascending to alpine areas), Nfld.; n. B. C. Pistillate only. July, early Aug.
8. A. vexillifera Fernald, l. c. xxvi. 99, t. 142, fig. 4 (1924), xxxv. 338 (1933). Nw. Nfld.; Shickshock Mts. (at $3500 \mathrm{ft}$. ). Gaspé Pen., Que. Pistillate only. July. Plate 913.
9. A. confusa Fernald, 1. c., t. 268 (fig. at left) (1933). V: Nfld. Pistillate only. July, Aug.
10. A. straminea Fernald, 1. c. xvi. 130 (1914), xxvi. 100, t. 145 , fig. 8 (1924) and xxxv. 340 (1933). N. and w. Nfld. Pistillate only. July, early Aug. Plate 914.
11. A. Peasei Fernald, l. c. xxvi. 101, t. 142, fig. 11 (1924). Alpine region, Shickshock Mts., Gaspé Pen., Que. Pistillate only. July. Plate 915.
12. A. subviscosa Fernald, 1. c. xvi. 131 (1914) and xxxv. 334 (1933). Rimouski Co. to Gaspé Co., Que. Late June, July. Pistillate only. Plates 916 and 917.
13. A. albicans Fernald, 1. c. xvi. 197 (1914), xxvi. 100, t. 145, fig. 6 (1924) and xxxv. 340 (1933). W. Nfld. Pistillate only. July, early Aug. Plate 918.
14. A. Wiegandi Fernald, l. c. xxviii. 238 (1926), xxxv. 240 (1933). W. Nfld. Pistillate only. July, early Aug. Plate 919.
15. A: spathulata Fernald, 1. c. xvi. 196 (1914), xxxv. 340 (1933). A. canadensis, var. spathulata Fernald, 1. c. 132 (1914). A. spathulata, var. continentis Fernald \& St. John in St. John, Bot. Exped. No. Shore Gulf St. Lawr. 55 (1922). Nfld. and St. P. et Miq.; Côte Nord, Anticosti and Lake Mistassini, Que. Pistillate only. Late June-early Aug. Plate 920.
16. A. appendiculata Fernald, 1. c. xxiii. 295 (1922). Nw. Nfld.; Anticosti and Gaspé Pen., Que.; w. Ungava. Pistillate only. Plate 921.
17. A. canadensis Greene, Pittonia, iii. 275 (1898). Var. Randii Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist., xxviii. 246 (1898). A. neglecta, var. Randii (Fernald) Cronquist in Rhodora, xlvii. 184 (1945). Gaspé Co., Que., to n. Man., s. to N. S., N. E., N. Y., mts. of Pa. and Va., n. Ind. and Mich. Pistillate chiefly; staminate plants comparatively rare. May-early July (-Aug. in mts.). Plates 922 and 923.
18. A. neglecta Greene, Pittonia, iii. 173 (1897). A. neglecta, var. neglecta (Greene) Cronquist in Rhodora, xlvii. 183 (1945). N. S. and Me. to s. Ont., s. to Va., W. Va., O., Ind., Mo. and Kans. Both staminate and pistillate. Late April-July. Plates 924-926.

Antennaria neglecta is the earliest-flowering species. In eastern Massachusetts (north of Cape Cod) its flowering period in normal seasons begins about April 20 and extends to May 20 ; that of $A$. plantaginifolia April 25-May 20; of A. canadensis, A. neodioica, A. petaloidea, A. Parlinii and A. fallax May 10-June 17; of $A$. munda May 20-June 15. When, watching for the coming of Spring, one finds the first expanded flowers of $A$. neglecta (exceptionally on April 1) he knows that A. plantaginifolia will soon follow, but that he must wait two or three weeks for the others and even a month for $A$. munda. These are not trifling differences nor "temporary whims", although the element of time is involved!
A. neglecta, forma simplex (Peck) Fernald, l. c. xxxviii. 230 (1936). A. simplex Peck, Bull. N. Y. State Mus. lxvii. Bot. vi. 33 (1906). Sporadic, Me. and N. Y. Plate 927.
19. A. campestris Rydberg in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiv. 304 (1897). No. B. C. to Man., s. to Okla., e. to Thunder Bay Distr., Ont., Mich. and Mo. Both staminate and pistillate. Late April-June. Plate 928.
20. A. gaspensis Fernald, 1. c. xxxy. 341, t. 268, plant at right (1933). A. neodioica, var. gaspensis Fernald in Ottawa Nat. xix. 156 (1905). A neglecta, var. gaspensis (Fernald) Cronquist, l. c. 184 (1945). W. Nfld.; Anticosti I. and e. Gaspé Pen., Que. Pistillate only. Late June-Aug.
21. A. rupicola Fernald in Rhodora, i. 74 (1899) and xxxv. 342 (1933). A. neodioica, var. rupicola Fernald, 1. c. xvi. 132 (1914). Nfld. and Anticosti to Magdalen Ids. and ne. Me.; L. Huron and L. Superior regions of Ont. and n. Mich. Pistillate only. June, July. Plates 929 and 930.
22. A. neodioica Greene, Pittonia, iii. 184 (1897).-Variable, with some pronounced varieties
a. Rosette-leaves 1-4 cm. long, $3-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; lower cauline leaves $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; involucres of pistillate heads greenish- or purple-tinged, with scarious tips; corollas $3.2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. ... $b$.
b. Rosette-leaves gray- or grayish-tomentose above . . . .c.
c. Flowering stems flexuous upon elongation, $1-5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; the 4-14 leaves becoming distant; rosette-leaves obovate, petioled; corymb open.

Outer and middle phyllaries of pistillate involucre obtuse to subacute Outer and middle phyllaries lance- to linear-attenuate.Var. attenuata.
c. Flowering stems stiffly erect, $0.5-2.5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; the 8-14
leaves subapproximate or imbricated; rosette-leaves oblanceolate or narrowly obovate, acutish, cuneate at base; corymb subglomerulate, globose or hemispherical Var. interjecta.
b. Rosette-leaves green and glabrous above, $3-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide;
flowering stem $1.5-5 \mathrm{dm}$. high..................... Var
a. Rosette-leaves $2-5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $0.7-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad; lower cauline
leaves 2-4 cm. long, $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, often overlapping;
flowering stems stoutish, $1.5-4.5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; corymb loose;
heads very full; involucres (except in shade) reddish, their
phyllaries with white petaloid tips; corollas $4.8-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. .Var. grandis.
Var. typica Fernald, 1. c. xxxv. 345 (1933). A. neodioica Greene, l. c. Nfld. to Ont., s. to N. S., N. E., inland Va., Ind., Wisc. and Minn. Staminate plants few and rare. May-early July. Plates 931 and 932.

Var. attenuata Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 248 (1898). A neglecta, var. attenuata (Fernald) Cronquist, l. c. (1945), as to basonym. Similar range. Staminate plant almost unknown. May-Aug. (northw.). Plate 933.

Var. interjecta Fernald in Rhodora, xxxv. 345 (1933). Gaspé and Rimouski Cos., Que.; n. shore, L. Sup., Ont., to e. Wisc. Staminate plant unknown. Mid-June-mid-July. Plate 934.

Var. chlorophylla Fernald, 1. c. xxiii. 296 (1922). Nfld. to Wisc., s. to N. S., N. E. and N. Y. Staminate plant unknown. May-Aug. Plate 935.

Var. grandis Fernald, 1. c. i. 73 (1899) and xxxv. 345 (1933). A. grandis (Fernald) House, Bull. N. Y. State Mus. no. 188: 60, 63 (1916), without indication of specific characters. N. S. and s. N. B. to s. Que., w. to Mich., s. to Mass. and N. Y. Staminate plant unknown. Late May-July. Plate 936.
23. A. virginica Stebbins in Rhodora, xxxvii. 230 (1935). Appalachian Upland, Va., W. Va. and Pa., rarely to w. Vt. Staminate and pistillate plants both abundant. April-June. Plate 937, figs. 1-10.

Var. argillicola Stebbins, l. c. 232 (1935). A neodioica, var. argillicola (Stebbins) Fernald, 1. c. xxxviii. 230 (1936). A. neglecta, var. argillicola (Stebbins) Cronquist, 1. c. (1945). W. Pa., e. W. Va. and w. Va. Plate 937, figs. 11 and 12.
24. A. aprica Greene, Pittonia, iii. 282 (1898). E. Man. to B. C., s. to w. Minn., Neb., N. M. and n. Mex. Both staminate and pistillate. May-July. Plates 938 and 939.
25. A. petaloidea Fernald, 1. c. i. 73 (1899). A. neodioica, var. petaloidea Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 245 (1898). Three geographic vars.

Flowering stems 1-3 (-4) dm. high during anthesis, their leaves at almost regularly decreasing intervals up to the inflorescence; rosette-leaves $1.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $0.5-1.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, rounded to acute at summit.
Phyllaries with whitish petaloid tips; rosette-leaves roundtipped to subacute, up to 1.7 cm . broad. ......A. petaloidea (typical).
Phyllaries scarious, lustrous, yellowish, long-attenuate; rosette-leaves acute, up to 1.2 cm . broad. . . . . . . . . . . . Var. scariosa.
Flowering stems $2-5 \mathrm{dm}$. high, nearly or quite without leaves for a distance of $0.7-1.7 \mathrm{dm}$. below the inflorescence; phyllaries white-tipped; rosette-leaves acute or acutish, mostly $3-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and up to 2.1 cm . broad. ........... Var. subcorymbosa.
A. petaloidea (typical). Rimouski Co., Que., to Thunder Bay Distr., Ont., s. to s. N. B., N. E., N. Y., mts. of Pa., and W. Va., Mich. and Wisc. Staminate plant very rare. Mid-Mayearly July. Plates 940 and 941.

Var. scariosa Fernald in Rhodora, i. 73 (1899). Var. modesta E. Nelson in Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. xxiii. 710 (1901). Local, centr. Me. to Vt. Staminate plant unknown. Late May, early June. Plate 942.

Var. subcorymbosa Fernald, l. c. xvi. 133 (1914) and xxxy. 344 (map) and 346 (1933). A. neglecta, var. subcorymbosa Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 246 (1898). E. Nfld. and Anticosti, Que., s., especially in coastwise areas, to se. Mass. Staminate plant unknown. June, early July. Plates 943 and 944.
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26. A. Farwellit Greene, Pittonia, iii. 347 (1898); Fernald in Rhodora, xxxviii. 230, t. 433, fig. 3 (1936). Very local, Bruce Pen., Ont., and Keweenaw Co., Mich. Staminate plant unknown. June, early July.
27. A. munda Fernald, 1. c. 229, t. 433, figs. 1 and 2 (1936). A occidentalis sensu Robinson \& Fernald in Gray, Man. ed. 7, 821, fig. 879 (1908), not Greene. Centr. Me. to Thunder Bay Distr., Ont., s. to Mass., Ct., N. Y., e. Va. (local), W. Va., n. Ind., Wisc. and Minn. Staminate plant very rare. Mid-May-mid-June.
28. A. fallax Greene, Pittonia, iii. 321 (1898); Fernald in Rhodora, i. 74 (1899). A. arnoglossa, var. ambigens Greene, Pittonia, iii. 320 (1898). A. Parlinii, var. ambigens (Greene) Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 244 (1898). A. ambigens (Greene) Fernald in Rhodora, i. 150 (1899). A. plantaginifolia, var. ambigens (Greene) Cronquist, 1. c. 183 (1945). Centr. Me. to s. Ont. and Minn., s. to Va., Tenn., Ark. and e. Tex. Staminate plants abundant southw. and westw., rare northeastw. April-July. Plates 945 and 946.

Var. calophylla (Greene) Fernald, l. c. xxxviii. 230 (1936). A. calophylla Greene, Pittonia, iii. 347 (1898). Ga. to Tex., n. to s. Md., Va., s. Mich., s. Ill. and Mo. Plates 947 and 948.
29. A. Parlinil Fernald in Gard. and For. x. 287 (1897), in Asa Gray Bull. v. 92, t. 2, figs. $1-5(1897)$ and in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 243 (1898). Western N. B. and se. Me. to s. Ont., s. to Ga., O., Ind., Ill. and Ia. Staminate plants freq. southw. and westw., rare northeastw. April-early June. Plates 949 and 950.

Var. arnoglossa (Greene) Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 244 (1898) ; Rhodora, i. 151 (1899). A. arnoglossa Greene, Pittonia, iii. 318 (1898). A. plantaginifolia, var. arnoglossa (Greene) Cronquist, l. c. (1945). Similar range, s. to N. C., Tenn. and Mo. Late March-early June. Plate 951.
30. A. Brainerdil Fernald in Rhodora, i. 153 (1899). Wcentr. Me. to Ont., s. to Ct., N. Y., mts. of Va., and n. Mich. Staminate plant unknown. Mid-May-mid-June. Plates 952 and 953.
31. A. plantaginifolia (L.) Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 330 (1834), as to basonym only; Greene, Pittonia, iii. 173 (1897). Gnaphalium plantaginifolium L. Sp. Pl. 850 (1753), typified by the Plukenet plant but excluding the Gronovian, which belongs to the next species. G. plantagineum L. Syst. ed. 12: 545 (1767), with same description, with phrases rearranged, as of his $G$. plantaginifolium (1753), therefore an illegitimate substitute. G. dioicum, ß. plantaginifolium (L.) Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 128 (1803) as to basonym and as to plant "corymbo", not as to plant "unifloro; flore manifeste majore". A. plantaginea (L.) R. Br. in Trans. Linn. Soc. xii. 123 (1818) ; Richardson in Append. Frankl. Narr. ed. 2: 758 -repr. 30 (1823)-Richardson often erroneously given as author of the combination $A$. plantaginifolia, including later auth., for instance Fernald in Asa Gray Bull. v. 92, t. 2, fig. 6 (1897), the fig. showing the Plukenet type, and in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 242 (1898). Disynanthus Raf. in Am. Mo. Mag. ii. 268 (1818), undefined generic name based on the confused Gnaphalium plantaginifolium L. Disynanthus plantagineus (L.) Raf. ex Jackson in synonymy in Ind. Kew. fasc. ii. 782 (1893), this illegitimate combination based on an illegitimate (substitute) name united with a nonvalid (undefined) generic name for a wholly mixed basic specific concept (great work!). A. decipiens Greene, Pittonia, iii. 278 (1898). Sw. Me. to Minn., s. to ('a., Ala. and Mo. Staminate and pistillate plants abundant. April-June. Plates 954 and 955. Passing into

Var. petiolata (Fernald) Heller, Muhlenbergia, i. 5 (1900). A. plantaginea, var. petiolata Fernald in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxviii. 242 (1898). Sw. Me. to e. N. Y., s., locally, to Va. Plate 956.
32. A. solitaria Rydb. in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiv. 304 (1897). Gnaphalium plantaginifolium L. Sp. Pl. 850 (1753) as to description in part and including the Gronovian Gnaphalium stolonibus reptatricibus longissimis, foliis ovatis, caule capitato (our plate 957). G. dioicum, ß. plantaginifolium (L.) Michx. Fl. Bor. Am. ii. 128 (1803) in part, the plant "caule breviore, unifloro; flore manifeste majore . . . in occidentalibus Alleghanis montibus". A. plantaginifolia, 3. monocephala Torr. \& Gray, Fl. ii. 431 (1843). Gnaph. monocephalum Carpenter ex Torr. \& Gray, 1. c. in synonymy (1843). A. monocephala (Torr. \& Gray) Greene, Pittonia, iii. 176 (1897), not DC. (1837). Md. and w. Pa. to Ind., s. to Ga., Ala. and La. Staminate and pistillate plants about equally abundant. April, May. Plates 957 and 958.

Although Antennaria solitaria was not at first specifically separated from the utterly different $A$. plantaginifolia, as typified by Plukenet's plant, it was well known to Clayton and Gronovius, as well as to Linnaeus. Those who are familiar with the plant could scarcely confuse it with anything else. Nevertheless, this was done until Michaux in 1803 commented on it as a variation but without formally separating it. Cronquist, in the most recent discussion of the genus (preceding the present one) concedes that the two species which were elements of the Linnean Gnaphalium plantaginifolium are really distinct species, A. solitaria, "the single-headed southern plant with certain [unstated] habital peculiarities". He admits just one more species in all our diversified area, A. neglecta, which, like $A$. solitaria, has flagelliform stolons. When, in forma simplex, A. neglecta puts its whole vigor into one exceptionally large head, as in Peck's original material (our plate 927) of what avail are the "certain habital peculiarities" without the technical morphological ones, especially when the rosette-leaves of $A$. neglecta are $1.5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and up to 1.3 cm . broad (plate 925), while those of A. solitaria are $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and, in the smaller specimens, down to only 1.5 cm . broad (plate 958, fig. 2)? The treatment of eastern North American Antennaria of two centuries ago was inadequate and confused. The latest student of the genus in our area, although not reuniting it with Gnaphalium, has otherwise got back essentially to the pre-Linnean stage. As Mary, Queen of Scots, is reputed to have said when her regal career was coming to its tragic conclusion, "In my end is my beginning".

I was once told, by one whose voluminous errors were too apparent, that I should not "knock" the assertive errors of men younger than myself; that I should expect them not to get many of their facts straight. When, however, a comparative beginner on our eastern flora urges me to see through the press his characterization, as "too dependent on temporary whim", of my species, which have been defined after intensive field- and herbariumstudy over a period of a third of a century, it is surely not unreasonable to expect him to show evidence of at least an elementary understanding of the very numerous characters of our eastern species. It must be assumed, apparently, that his very off-hand reduction of one of the few plants which fully satisfies his theoretical requirement (abundance of both sexes) for a species, Stebbins's presumably ancestral $A$. virginica of the ancient Appalachian Upland, and the reduction of or complete ignoring of my 11 described species from Gaspé County, Quebec, westward and southward - it must be assumed that, if he fails to uphold such a theoretically ideal species as $A$. virginica, he would similarly wipe out of consideration the embarrassing scores of species known, locally, from Newfoundland to Greenland and across boreal America and defined by Ostenfeld, Ekman, the Porsilds, Malte, Polunin and, obviously, myself. It is well to be forewarned of their impending doom and to prepare to lie down and meekly to watch them degraded!

In view of the actual situation in Antennaria and that in Hieracium, Cronquist's warning that "The chaotic condition which has been brought about in some European genera that also show well-developed apomixis, such as Hieracium, should give pause to those who have so multiplied our species", is worth a moment's consideration. There seems here to be an assumption that the behavior of apomicts in Antennaria and Hieracium (perhaps also Taraxacum) is comparable. It is, but certainly not identical nor very similar. Those who have lived and explored all their lives in eastern North America are painfully aware of the dominating aggressiveness and rampageous destructiveness, beginning in the late ' 70 's and expanding almost daily in the open season, of the small host of apomicts in Hieracium from the very modern flora of Europe, the various species known as King Devil, Devil's Paint-brush and other equally contemptu-
ous names. They also know that, except for occasional crossing of $H$. Gronovii or $H$. scabrum with $H$. venosum, our native Hieracia are relatively well-behaved. Similarly the mass of inextricable apomicts known as Taraxacum officinale Weber [I was in error when I identified them with $T$. palustre (Sm.) Blytt] are among the most aggressive of all our weeds; but how many of our eastern botanists know the strictly indigenous and morphologically very definite species of our area: T. phymatocarpum, T. ceratophorum, T. laurentianum. T. dumetorum or T. Longii? Very few, because they are conservative and local species which have to be sought; they do not intrude upon us like the more familiar apomicts of the genus or of Hieracium. Now, in Antennaria our nonapomictic species, A. virginica (plate 937), A. neglecta (plates 924-926), A. plantaginifolia (plates 954-956) and A. solitaria (plates 957 and 958), for example, are abundant and as nearly dominating as any members of the genus with us; but, as compared with the apomictic Pilosella group of Hieracium or the heteromorphic apomicts of Taraxacum officinale, they are shy and retiring amateurs. And, whereas the endless apomicts in European Hieracium and in the European Taraxacum officinale bunch are unrestrainedly aggressive, the unisexual (apomictic) Antennarias are local and relatively rare and usually highly selective as to habitat: A. columnaris, a species with remarkable individuality and with corollas only 4 mm . long, the achenes 1.2 mm . long, known only on the barrens at the base of Pointe Riche in Newfoundland, there associated with the utterly different A. Foggii, unique in having the outer phyllaries agglutinated and thus forming a falsely gamophyllous cup, the corollas $5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and the achenes 1.7 mm . long; $A$. vexillifera (plate 913), discussed on p. 224, one of the tiniest of species, with 4-6 of the cauline leaves terminated by broad pennant-like scarious appendages $1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, known only from an alpine barren in Gaspé and similar barrens in Newfoundland; A. subviscosa (plates 916 and 917), discussed on p. 225, forming dense carpetlike mats (with crowded trailing branches up to 5 dm . long and heavily covered with marcescent leaves) on the calcareous northfacing cliffs near the lower St. Lawrence from Rimouski Co. to Gaspé Co., Quebec, the upper nodes of the stem and the inflorescence viscid, the cauline leaves with subulate or involute (in-
stead of flat and pennant-like) tips, the viscid pale involucres often pink-tinged. Other chiefly pistillate but sometimes staminate species, like $A$. canadensis (plates 922 and 923), A. petaloidea (plates 940-944) and A. munda, occur over broader ranges; while species such as A. Parlinii (plates 949-951) and A. fallax (plates 945 and 946), abundantly bisexual southward or westward but wholly or chiefly pistillate northeastward, are as wide-spread as any. If there is a universal rule for apomicts, Hieracium, Taraxacum and Antennaria do not make it perfectly clear.

## Explanation of Plates 912-958

Plate 912. Antennaria eucosma Fernald, all figs. from type-series: FIGS. 1 and 2, a pistillate and a staminate plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, pistillate involucre, $\times 6$; FIG. 4, a single pistillate flower, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , pistillate corollas, $\times 10$; Fig. 6 , achene, $\times 10$.

Plate 913. A. vexillifera Fernald: fig. 1, pistillate plant, $\times 1$, from type-series; FIG. 2, basal rosette, $\times 5$, from Boat Harbor, Straits of Belle Isle, Newfoundland, Fernald, Wiegand \&' Long, no. 29,172; FIG. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from Cook Point, Pistolet Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Gilbert, no. 29,171 ; FIG. 4 , inflorescence, $\times 2$, from no. 29,171; FIG. 5 , involucre, $\times 6$, from TYPE; FIGS. 6 and 7, pistillate corollas and achenes, $\times 10$, from Eastern Point, St. John Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 2085.
Plate 914. A. straminea Fernald: figs. 1 and 2, two plants, $\times 1$, from TYPE-sheet; FIG. 3 , portion of basal rosette, $\times 5$, from TYPE; FIG. 4 , tips of two cauline leaves, $\times 5$, from St. John Island, St. John Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald, Wiegand, Long, Gibert \& Hotchkiss, no. 29,170; FIG. 5, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from no. 29,170 ; FIG. 6 , involucre, $\times 5$, from TYPE; FIGS. 7 and 8 , corolla and achenes, $\times 10$, from no. 29,170.
Plate 915. A. Peasei Fernald, all figs. from type: fig. 1, pistillate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2, basal rosette, $\times 5$; Fig. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; Fig. 4 , inflorescence, $\times 2$.
Plates 916 and 917. A. subviscosa Fernald: Plate 916, small portion of one large plant, $\times 2 / 5$, trailing down a limestone wall, Bic, Quebec, Fernald \& Collins, no. 1195, part of TYPE (note marcescent old flowering stems), after photo. by J. F. Collins. Plate 917, figs. 1 and 2 , two small plants, $\times 1$, from type-series; fig. 3, basal leaves, $\times 5$, from type; fig. 4, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from type; fig. 5 , mature inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Gros Morne, Gaspé Co., Quebec, Fernald \& Weatherby, no. 2475; FIG. 6, involucre, $\times 6$, from TYPE; Figs. 7 and 8, corollas, $\times 10$, and achenes, $\times 10$, from Cap Pleureuse, Gaspé Co., Quebec, Fernald, Weatherby \& Stebbins, no. 2474.
Plate 918 . A. albicans Fernald: fig. 1, group of plants, $\times 1$, from typesheet; fig. 2, basal rosettes, $\times 5$, from TYPE; FIG. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from Eastern Point, St. John Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 2083; FIG. 4, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from no. 2083; FIG. 5, pistillate flower, $\times 10$, from type; fig. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$, from type.
Plate 919. A. Wiegandii Fernald: fig. 1, plant and basal rosette, $\times 1$. from Type-sheet; Fig. 2, basal rosette, $\times 5$, from Eastern Point, St. John Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 2098; FIG. 3, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from type; Fig. 4, achenes, $\times 10$, from type.
Plate 920. A. spatholata Fernald: fig. 1, two plants, $\times 1$, from Table Mountain, Port-au-Port Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald \& St. John, no. 10,870; FIg. 2, base, to show repent habit, $\times 1$, from Pointe Riche, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 2109; FIG. 3, portion of rosette-leaf, $\times 5$, from no.

10,870; fig. 4, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Pointe Riche, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 4143 ; FIG. 5 , portion of involucre, $\times 5$, from no. 10,870 ; FIG. 6 , corollas, $\times 10$, from St. John Island, St. John Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald, Wiegand, Long, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, no. 29,183; FIG. 7 , achenes, $\times 10$, from no. 29,183.
Plate 921. A. appendiculata Fernald, all figs. from type: figs. 1 and 2, portions of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3, upper half of rosette-leaf, $\times 5$; fig. 4, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10 ;$ fig. 5 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$.
Plates 922 and 923 . A. canadensis Greene: Plate 922, figs. 1 and 2, pistillate plant, $\times 1$, from Franconia, New Hampshire, June 13, 1897, Edwin Faxon; FIG. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from same collection; FIG. 4, achenes, $\times 10$, from St. Ours, Co. de Richelieu, Quebec, Rolland-Germain, no. 43,516. Plate 923, Fig. 1, staminate plant, $\times 1$, from Ile Perrot, Quebec, Victorin, no. 28,256 ; FIG. 2, basal leaves, $\times 5$, from Manchester, Vermont, June 30, 1898, Mary A. Day; Fig. 3, corymb, $\times 2$, from Masardis, Maine, Fernald, no. 2363 ,

Plates 924-926. A. neglecta Greene: Plate 924, figs. 1 and 2, pistillate isotype, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , tip of cauline leaf from isotype; fig. 4, pistillate inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Menands, Albany Co., New York, May 24, 1916, House; FIG. 5, pistillate inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Brookland, D. C., May 2, 1900, Holm. Plate 925, figs. 1 and 2, broad-leaved plant, $\times 1$ 1, from Ardsley, Montgomery Co., Pennsylvania, May 17, 1909, B. Long; FIG. 3, pistillate inflorescence, $\times 2$, from southeast of Ligonier, Noble Co., Indiana, Deam, no. 27,459; FIG. 4 , achenes, $\times 10$, from Upland, Grant Co., Indiana, Deam, no. 15,779. Plate 926, fig. 1, staminate isotype; fig. 2, tip of cauline leaf, $\times$ 10 , from staminate isotype; FIG. 3, pistillate inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Vaughan, New York, June 1, 1916, Burnham; Fig. 4, pistillate corolla, $\times 10$, from no. 15,779.

Plate 927. A. neglecta, forma simplex (Peck) Fernald: isotype, $\times 1$.
Plate 928. A. campestris Rydb.: fig. 1, pistillate plant, $\times 1$, from Custer, South Dakota, Rydberg, no. 794 (PARATYPE-collection); FIG. 2 , staminate plant, $\times 1$, Deadwood, South Dakota, E. J. Palmer, no. 37,137; FIG. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from Charlot Pt., Lake Athabaska, Saskatchewan, Raup, no. 6079 ; FIG. 4 , corymb, $\times 2$, from no. 6079 ; fIG. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$, from Charlot Pt., Raup, no. 5283.
Plates 929 and 930 . A. rupicola Fernald: Plate 929, fig. 1, base of one of type-specimens, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , rosette-leaves, $\times 5$, from type; fig. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from type. Plate 930 , fig. 1 , flowering summit of same plant as in plate 929 , fig. 1 ; FIG. 2, corymb, $\times 2$, from TYPE; FIG. 3 , receptacle, $\times 10$, from Grand Falls, Newfoundland, Fernald, Wiegand, Bartram \& Darlington, no. 6344; FIG. 4, achenes, $\times 10$, from same station and same collectors, no. 6343.
Plates 931 and 932. A. neodioica Greene, var. typica Fernald: Plate 931, FIGS. 1,2 and 3 , portions of ISOTYPE, $\times 1$; FIG. 4 , tip of rosette-leaf, $\times 5$, from northeast of Wenksville, Adams Co., Pennsylvania, L. F. A. Tanger, no. 4383 ; fig. 5 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from isotype; fig. 6 , corymb, $\times 2$, from Mickleton, Gloucester Co., New Jersey, B. Long, no. 20,454; Fig. 7, portion of involucre, $\times 5$, from Isotype. Plate 932, figs. 1, 2 and 3, portions of staminate plants, $\times$ 1, from Frazer, Chester Co., Pennsylvania, May 7, 1910, Bartram; fig. 4, pistillate corolla, $\times 10$, from isotype; fig. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$, from isotype.

Plate 933. A. neodioica, var. attenuata Fernald: figs. 1 and 2, portions of Type, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from Orono, Maine, Fernald, no. 2356 (PARATYPE) ; FIG. 4, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from no. 2356; Fig. 5 , portion of involucre, $\times 5$, from Sangerville, Maine, July 7, 1897, Fernald (Paratype).
Plate 934. A. neodioica, var. interjecta Fernald, all figs. from type: FIG. 1, small plant and base and inflorescence of others, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, tips of rosette-leaves, $\times 5$; fic. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , corymb, $\times 2$; FIG. 5, corollas, $\times 10$; FIG. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$.
Plate 935. A. neodioica, var. chlorophylla Fernald, all figs. from TYPE: FIGS. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , tip of rosette-leaf, $\times 5$; FIG. 4, involucre, $\times 5$; FIG. 5 , corolla, $\times 10$.

Plate 936. A. neodioica, var. grandis Fernald: figs. 1 and 2, portions of a plant, $\times 1$, from South Ashburnham, Massachusetts, May 30, 1904, F. F. Forbes; fig. 3, two mature corymbs, $\times 1$, from Somesville, Maine, July 1, 1897, E. L. Rand; fig. 4, corollas, and fig. 5, achenes, from last collection.

Plate 937, figs 1-10. A. virginica Stebbins: figs. 1 and 2, pistillate, and 3 and 4 , staminate plants (TYPE) $\times 1$; FIG. 5 , rosette-leaf, $\times 5$, from TYPE; Fig. 6, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from East Furnace, Shenandoah Co., Virginia, Lena Artz, no. 4; FIG. 7, involucre, $\times 5$, from Hanging Rock, Hampshire Co., West Virginia, W. M. Frye, no. 4 (paratype); fig. 8 , receptacle, $\times 10$, from last no.; FIG. 9, pistillate corollas, $\times 10$, from no. 4 ; FIG. 10, achenes, $\times 10$, from no. 4.

Plate 937, figs. 11 and 12. A. virginica, var. argillicola Stebbins: fig. 1, base of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from type.

Plates 938 and 939. A. aprica Greene: Plate 938, fig. 1, pistillate plant and corymbs, $\times 1$, from near Deadwood, South Dakota, E. J. Palmer, no. 37,116 ; FIG. 2, pistillate corymb, $\times 2$, from Perham, Ottertail Co., Minnesota, May 31, 1912, Z. L. Chandonnet; FIG. 3, pistillate flower and corolla, $\times 10$, from Mouth of Qu'Appelle River, Manitoba, Wm. Herriot, Geol. Surv. Can., no. 72,845 ; fig. 4, achenes, $\times 10$, from no. 72,845. Plate 939, fig. 1, staminate plant, $\times 1$, from Valentine, Nebraska, June 30, 1891, J. M. Bates; FIG. 2, basal rosette, $\times 5$, from same specimen; FIG. 3, upper half of cauline leaf, $\times$ 10, from Perham, Minnesota, Chandonnet; FIG. 4, pistillate involucre, $\times 5$, from Herriot, no. 72,845.

Plates 940 and 941. A. petaloidea Fernald (typical): Plate 940, figs. 1,2 and 3, portions of TYPE, $\times 1$; FIG. 4 , base of plant, to show repent habit, $\times 1$, from Foxcroft, Maine, Fernald, no. 2390; fig. 5, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from type; fig. 6, half of corymb, $\times 2$, from type. Plate 941, fig. 1 , staminate plant, $\times 1$, from Harwich, Massachusetts, Fernald, no. 19,243; FIg. 2, tips of basal rosette, $\times 5$, from Milo, Maine, Sept. 2, 1897, Fernald; Fig. 3, pistillate involucre, $\times 5$, from TYPE; FIG. 4 , pistillate corolla, $\times 10$, from TYPE.

Plate 942. A. petaloidea, var. scariosa Fernald: figs. 1 and 2, portions of TYPE, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , corymb, $\times 2$, from a TYPE-specimen; FIG. 4, exceptionally lax corymb, $\times 2$, from TYPE-series; FIG. 5 , involucre, $\times 5$, from TYPE.

Plates 943 and 944. A. petaloidea, var. subcorymbosa Fernald: Plate 943 , figs. 1 and 2, portions of TYPE-series, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$, from TyPe; Fig. 4 , involucre, $\times 5$, from TYPE; FIG. 5 , pistillate corollas, $\times 10$, from near Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Fernald \& St. John, no. 11,205; fig. 6, achenes, $\times 10$, from no. 11,205. Plate 944, fig. 1, portions of two large inflorescences, Fig. 2, summit of inflorescence at right, $\times 1$, from Cemetery, Jordan Pond Road, Mt. Desert Island, Maine, June 4, 1901, E. L. Rand.

Plates 945 and 946. A. fallay Greene: Plate 945 , pistillate plant: figs. 1 and 2, base and summit of flowering plant, $\times 1$, from Chestnut Hill, Pennsylvania, May 3, 1889, Heller; FIG. 3, corymb, $\times 17 / 8$, from same collection; fig. 4, achenes, $\times 10$, from Ferrisburg, Vermont, Eggleston, no. 2645. Plate 946, FIG. 1, staminate plant, $\times 1$, from Agricultural College, Michigan, May 6, 1898, C. F. Wheeler; FIG. 2, involucre of pistillate head, $\times 6$, from Chevy Chase Lake, Maryland, Maxon \& Standley, no. 291; FIG. 3, pistillate corollas, $\times 10$, from Eggleston, no. 2645.

Plates 947 and 948. A. fallax, var. calophylla (Greene) Fernald: Plate 947 : pistillate plant: figs. 1 and 2, portions of base and summit, $\times 1$, of plant from Cape Girardeau, Missouri, E. J. Palmer, no. 39,081; Fig. 3, portion of involucre, $\times 6$, from same no. Plate 948 , fig. 1 , staminate plant, $\times 1$, an isotype from Cobden, Illinois, June 15, 1898, E. L. Greene; fig. 2, pistillate inflorescence, $\times 13 / 4$, from $E$. J. Palmer, no. 39,081 .

Plates 949 and 950. A. Parlinit Fernald: Plate 949, pistillate plant: FIGS. 1 and 2, base and summit, $\times 1$, of one of TYPE-specimens; FIG. 3 , summit of flowering stem, to show dark glands, $\times 10$, from Foxcroft, Maine, Fernald, no. 2340 ; FIG. 4 , corymb, $\times 3$, from TYPE; FIG. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$, from TOPO-
type, June 5, 1897, Parlin. Plate 950, figs. 1 and 2, staminate plant, $\times 1$, from type-locality, May 28, 1899, Parlin; fig. 3, portion of pistillate involucre, $\times 6$, from topotype; fig. 4 , pistillate corollas, $\times 10$, from topotype.

Plate 951. A. Parlinit, var. arnoglossa (Greene) Fernald: figs. 1 and 2, portion of base and summit, $\times 1$, of isotype; fig. 3 , involucre, $\times 6$, from ISOTYPE.

Plates 952 and 953. A. Brainerdii Fernald: Plate 952, from isotype, Barber's Meadow, Addison, Vermont, May 27, 1899: figs. 1 and 2, base and summit of plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, summit of stem, to show dark glands, $\times 10$; fig. 4, an inflorescence, $\times 6$; fig. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$. Plate 953, fig. 1, portion of base, showing unusually large leaves, $\times 1$, from Mt. Battie, Camden, Maine, July, 1902, G. G. Kennedy; Fig. 2, loose pubescence of upper surface of rosette-leaf, $\times 10$, from same specimen; fig. 3 , involucre, $\times 6$, from tYpe; FIG. 4 , corollas, $\times 10$, from TYPE.

Plates 954 and 955 . A. Plantaginifolia (L.) Hooker: Plate 954, pistillate plant: FIGS. 1 and 2, base and summit of plant from type-region, $\times 1$, west of Williamsburg, Virginia, Grimes, no. 2543; fig. 3, involucre, $\times 6$, from no. 2543 ; fig. 4 , corollas, $\times 10$, from no. 2543 . Plate 955 , fig. 1 , staminate plant from type-region, $\times 1$, from west of Lake Matoka, James City Co., Virginia, J. T. Baldwin, no. 204; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 6$, from Grimes, no. 2543 ; FIG. 3, achenes, $\times 10$, from no. 2543.

Plate 956. A. Plantaginifolia, var. petiolata (Fernald) Heller, all figs. from type-series: figs. 1 and 2, small fruiting plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , staminate plant, $\times 1$.

Plates 957 and 958. A. solitaria Rydberg: Plate 957, fig. 1, tracing, $\times 1$, of the Gronovian element, of Gnaphalium plantaginifolium L., "Gnaphalium stolonibus reptatricibus longissimis, foliis ovatis, caule capitatis. Gron. virg. $95^{\prime \prime}$, after B. L. Robinson; FIG. 2, one of the TYPE-specimens (pistillate), $\times 1$, of A. plantaginifolia, $\beta$. monocephala Torrey \& Gray (basis of A. solitaria), coll. Louisiana, Carpenter. Plate 958, fig. 1, average plant (staminate), $\times 1$, from Williamsburg, Virginia, Fernald, Long \& Abbe, no. 14,241; fig. 2, very small plant (staminate) $\times 1$, from Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Pease, no. 26,998: Fig. 3, achenes, $\times 10$, from north of Medora, Jackson Co., Indiana, Deam, no. 24,771.

## II. TRANSFERS IN AND ANIMADVERSIONS ON ARTEMISIA

Artemisia glauca Pall., var. dracunculina (S. Wats.), comb. nov. A. dracunculina S. Wats. in Proc. Am. Acad. xxiii. 279 (1888). A. Dracunculus L., subsp. dracunculina (S. Wats.) Hall \& Clements, Phylogen. Meth. in Taxon. 116 (1923). A. dracunculoides Pursh, var. dracunculina (S. Wats.) Blake in Journ. Wash. Acad. Sci. xxx. 472 (1940).

I get no satisfaction in trying to separate Artemisia dracunculoides Pursh (1814) from A. glauca Pallas (1804). At best they seem to be confluent forms of one species, the degree of pubescence or glabrousness and of glaucescence or greenness being most difficult to distinguish. Var. dracunculina is more tangible, with its loose inflorescence and nodding or pendulous longpedicelled heads. In the type the filiform pedicels are $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long (Watson said "2 to 4 lines"), in Hartman no. 778, also from

Chihuahua, up to 9 mm ., and extreme specimens, such as $J . H$. Oyster, no. 2 from Kansas, and Bush, no. 4121 from Greenwood, Missouri (distrib. as A. mexicana Willd.), have them (or the filiform minutely bracteate monocephalous branchlets) prolonged to $2-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.!

Some botanists, overlooking the fact that the name Artemisia glauca Pall. (1804) antedates A.dracunculoides Pursh (1814), are using the combination A. dracunculoides, var. glauca (Pall.) Munz, Man. So. Cal. Bot. 575 and 601 (1935).
A. ludoviciana Nutt., var. cuneata (Rydb.), stat. nov. A. cuneata Rydb. in N. Am. Fl. xxiv³. 269 (1916).
A. ludoviciana Nutt., var. Brittonii (Rydb.), stat. nov. $A$. Brittonii Rydb. in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxxii. 129 (1905).
A. ludoviciana Nutt., var. pabularis (Nelson), comb. nov. A. rhizomata Nelson, var. pabularis Nelson in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxvii. 34 (1900). A. pabularis (Nelson) Rydb. in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxxiii. 137 (1906).
A. ludoviciana Nutt., var. americana (Bess.), comb. nov. A. vulgaris L., var. americana Besser in Linnaea, xv. 105 (1841) in part.
A. ludoviciana Nutt., var. mexicana (Willd.), comb. nov. A. mexicana Willd. ex Spreng. Syst. iii. 490 (1828). A. indica Willd., var. mexicana (Willd.) Besser in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. iii. 56 (1834). A. vulgaris L., var. mexicana (Willd.) T. \& G. Fl. N. Am. ii. 421 (1843). A. vulgaris, subsp. mexicana (Willd.) Hall \& Clements, Phylogen. Meth. Tax. 80 (1923) in part.

I get no intellectual satisfaction from the treatment of Artemisia by Hall \& Clements. Although published under the sophisticated title "The Phylogenetic Method in Taxonomy", this treatment, it seems to me, does serious injury to sound taxonomy and its natural ally, sound phylogeny. My chief objection is, that fundamental characters in growth-habit, such as one would expect to be given real weight, were ignored or, apparently, not recognized. Under the single blanket-name, A. vulgaris, Hall \& Clements amassed plants of most diverse habit: species with rounded and deeply dissected leaves with stipule-like appendages at the base, others with comparable leaves but no appendages; species with strictly entire long-attenuate leaves, others with them variously dissected; species with heavy ligneous and nonstoloniferous crowns, others with herbaceous slender rhizomes and prolonged lash-like stolons; plants with densely tufted habit,
forming cespitose clumps, others with the stems scattered and arising from the tips of elongate stolons, thus forming loose colonies. It is as if one united as a single species all the members of Solidago with the panicle made up of secund branches: calling $S$. sempervirens the maritime fleshy-leaved and, because of its habitat, the large-headed phase; S. uniligulata (neglecta) a thinner-leaved and, because of its occurrence in eastern acid peat, a smaller-headed phase; S. missouriensis a phase developed on the western prairies and, on account of its crowded habitat, sending up only one flowering stem at a time and spreading by prolonged subterranean stolons; and so on through many species. That would be easy and hopelessly superficial; it would be neither sound taxonomy nor phylogeny nor sensible ecology. That Hall, whose work up to the Artemisia-period had been sound and free from vagaries and who understood the taxonomic significance of growth-forms when associated with other characters, should suddenly have coöperated in such a confused and unclarifying piece of work is at least amazing.

In attempting to get some workable mean between this treatment and the extreme splitting of Rydberg and to put the many pigeon-holes of undigested material in the Gray Herbarium into such order that it could be readily available I have been handicapped by lack of field-experience with most of the species; but in this case I have temporarily adopted the sophistry of a student of one technical field who, venturing into another with which he was not too familiar, wrote: "It is conceivable that one who is, in a way, an amateur may be more likely to appreciate the more salient features . . . than the specialist". ${ }^{1}$ At least the growth-habit, as shown by the few well collected specimens in the collections before ${ }^{2}$ me, is highly suggestive of real specific differentiation.
A. vulgaris L., the Eurasian species much naturalized in the northeastern States, Canada and Newfoundland, forms vase-like clumps arising from a thick but scarcely ligneous forking rhizome, only tardily sending out short stolons. It is tall, with glabrescent stems up to 2 m . high, and its large roundish-ovate to -obovate

[^46]leaves are green and glabrous or promptly glabrate above, deeply cleft, and bearing at the base of the petiole 4 to 8 obvious leafletor stipule-like appendages. It occurs with us as three varieties. Typical $A$. vulgaris has the leaves cleft to midrib into lacerate or cut-toothed lance-acuminate divisions, this plant found from Newfoundland to Ontario, south to Nova Scotia, New England, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, casually to Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin. Var. glabra Ledeb. is similar but with the narrowly lance-acuminate divisions of the primary leaves entire. It is local, from northwestern New England to Ontario, south to Connecticut, Ohio, Michigan and Kansas. Var. latiloba Ledeb. has thinner leaves, the principal ones less deeply cleft, the broadly obovate or rhombic terminal divisions and the oblanceolate to oblong lower ones and their few teeth blunt or merely acutish, the panicle but slightly developed. It is local in Quebec and New England. Only one other species of the few in the "Manual range" merged by Hall \& Clements with the Old World $A$. vulgaris has stipule-like appendages. This is
A. serrata Nutt., indigenous on bottoms, on prairies or in rich thickets from Wisconsin to North Dakota, south to Illinois, Missouri and Kansas. Hall \& Clements treat it as a subspecies of $A$. vulgaris, but its uncleft lance-attenuate sharply fine-serrate primary leaves are only $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, their basal appendages small and lance-subulate. I have not seen a base but it is evidently near the next species in which the base has been well collected.
A. Herriotir Rydb., found on plains, dry ridges and gravelly shores from Minnesota to northern Alberta and South Dakota. Rhizome stout, woody, without evident stolons; leaves linearattenuate, without basal appendages, entire or with few falcate lobes, the larger ones $1-2 \mathrm{dm}$. long (twice or thrice length of leaves of $A$. vulgaris) and only $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide (many times narrower than in A. vulgaris). Hall \& Clements get rid of this characteristic species of the northern Plains (east of the Rocky Mts.) by pushing it into the "the douglasiana form" of their $A$. vulgaris, subsp. heterophylla, i. e. A. Douglasiana Besser, a big species of the Pacific slope, from southern British Columbia to Lower California, with the rhizome or its branches long and creeping, suggesting thick rope, the "principal leaves oblanceolate or broadly elliptic in outline, somewhat spatulate,

5 or 10 cm . wide . . . all . . . tomentulose above" (H. \& C.). Naturally, to those who place A. serrata and A. Douglasiana in the Old World A. vulgaris, the Great Plain species, A. Herriotii, with strong woody base, narrowly longattenuate leaves glabrous above, and elongate (instead of campanulate) involucre 4-5 (instead of 3-4) mm. long, could make no appeal. But it looks like a real species and its base is similar to that of
A. longifolia Nutt., occurring on dry plains or in alkaline situations from western Ontario and Michigan to the Rocky Mts., a plant with hard woody bases (without stolons) branching into crowns up to 2 cm . thick, the many stems clustered, the very narrow linear-attenuate entire leaves gray-puberulent above, with revolute margins, the principal ones $3-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and mostly $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, etc.

When we come to Artemisia ludoviciana Nutt. the situation seems to be different. This is an aggressive and "weedy" species "varying all over the lot", all over the lot because it is loosely stoloniferous, the long and lash-like stolons enabling it to form loose colonies with more or less circular outline. It is this highly inconstant series which has spread eastward along railroads, roadsides and in litter to Quebec, the Maritime Provinces and the Atlantic States. The growth-habit of its base is very definite; its foliage, whether entire, falcately cleft or merely toothed, hopelessly indefinite. Yet Hall \& Clements toss them all into their all-inclusive $A$. vulgaris, not as one subspecies but as three: two of them, their A. vulgaris, subspp. ludoviciana and gnaphalodes, which differ only in that the former has the wool of the upper leaf-surface less permanent than in the latter, each being considered by them as equivalent in value (as subspecies) to true nonstoloniferous Eurasian A. vulgaris, the big A. Douglasiana of the Pacific Slope, the woody-based and nonstoloniferous more eastern campestrian A. longifolia, the campestrian A. serrata, and others quite as definite. The attempt, however, in view of the very real character of the rhizome and stolons, to keep A. gnaphalodes more than weakly varietally apart from typical A. ludoviciana has thus far proved hopeless. In fact, these two are really somewhat intermediate variations in a series which includes plants with upper leaf-surfaces lanate or others, with distinctive ranges, with them bright green and glabrous from the
first. In the limited area of Gray's Manual I am recognizing the following, all as varieties of $A$. ludoviciana which stands apart from A. serrata, Herriotii and longifolia in its loosely colonial habit, the slender cord-like rhizome freely stoloniferous, the stolons slender and elongate, the primary leaves either entire or variously lobed or cleft. Since the abundance as weeds in the East varies, I shall be glad of information regarding additional areas invaded by them.
$a$. Young leaves tomentose or lanate on upper as well as lower
surface . . . . b.
b. Pubescence of upper surfaces of primary and often rameal
leaves loosening and rather deciduous, the older leaves
becoming glabrate and bright green above; leaves entire
or some of the lower and median ones with falcate-lobed
margins. . . . . . . . . . . . ................ A. ludoviciana (typical),
b. Pubescence of upper as well as lower surfaces persistent,
the upper surface remaining whitish or gray....c.
c. Principal leaves lance-linear, lanceolate, oblong or ob-
lanceolate, entire or with marginal falcate teeth or
divisions, the blades soft and pliable, heavily tomen-
tose, many times longer than broad....d.
d. Leaves flat, mostly straight, ascending or spreading.
Blades lanceolate, acute or attenuate, longer ones
$5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, ascending; stem usually simple
below or to summit, without or more often with
short suppressed axillary branches......... Var. gnaphalodes,
Blades oblong, oblong-elliptic or oblong-oblanceo-
late, blunt or merely acutish, $2.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long,
loosely ascending or spreading; stems frequently
with loosely spreading or divergent elongate
branches.
Var. latifolia.
d. Leaves mostly plicate, widely spreading or recurving, often twisted, the longer ones $2.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; stem or its erect basal branches with suppressed axillary branchlets

Var. pabularis.
c. Principal leaves broadly oblong, with few coarse teeth around the summit, firm and thick, rather hard, only two to four times as long as broad; axillary branches short and suppressed

Var. Brittonii.
a. Young leaves glabrous (or only obscurely puberulent) and bright green above from the first, blades linear to lanceolate, entire or with long falcate lobes, they and the lobes attenuate
Panicle open and leafy, virgate or with virgate branches; involucre globose-hemispherical; stem usually covered with dense continuous felt

Var. americana.
Panicle dense, pyramidal; involucre cylindric or cylindricovoid; stem thinly tomentulose to puberulent, often glabrescent

Var. mexicana
A. ludoviciana, typical (A. vulgaris, subsp. ludoviciana (Nutt.) Hall \& Clements, in part).-Native of prairies and dry open soils or thin woodland, Michigan to Washington, south to Illinois, Arkansas, Texas and Mexico; spread eastward along railroads, roads, in waste ground, dooryards, grassland, ceme-
teries, etc., to New England, New York, New Jersey and Virginia.

Var. gnaphalodes (Nutt.) T. \& G. (A. gnaphalodes Nutt.; A. vulgaris, subsp. gnaphalodes (Nutt.) Hall \& Clements, in part). -Native of prairies, etc., southern Ontario and Michigan to southern British Columbia, south as in the preceding; naturalized eastward to Quebec, New England, New Jersey and Delaware.

Var. latifolia (Bess.) T. \& G. (A. Purshiana Bess., var. latifolia Bess.; A.vulgaris, subsp. gnaphalodes, in part, of Hall \& Clements).-Native from Manitoba and Minnesota to southern British Columbia, south to Iowa, Kansas and New Mexico; naturalized eastward to Quebec, New Brunswick and New Eng-land.-One of the more marked extremes of the species on account of its short and broad leaves, tendency to divergent branching and relatively loose tomentum. The following are characteristic: Quebec: Lac des Chênes, Rolland, no. 6121; Notre-Dame-de-la-Dore, Co. Lac-St.-Jean, Victorin et al., no. 30,517; L'Annonciation, Co. Labelle, Victorin et al., no. 384. New Brunswick: Fairville, Fernald, no. 2268. Maine: Portland, July 19, 1910, A. R. Stubbs; North Berwick, Sept. 1895, Parlin. New Hampshire: south of Cold River Station, Walpole, July, 1901, Blanchard. Massachusetts: Newbury, Aug. 7, 1899, Williams; Lee, Sept. 3, 1920, Hoffmann. Michigan: Keweenaw Co., Farwell, no. 427. Indiana: Kokomo, Aug. 28, 1942, C. M. Ek. Illinois: Chicago, Lansing, no. 2635. Maniтоba: Cedar Lake, Riding Mountain National Park, Scamman, no. 2967. Minnesota: Lake Vadnais, Rosendahl, no. 5180. Iowa: Estherville, Wolden, no. 1264. North Dakota: Leeds, Aug. 14, 1900, Lunell; Jamestown, O. A. Stevens, no. 302. South Dakota: Redfield, Brenckle, no. 40-74. Kansas: Ellis, July 21, 1935, Bondy. Saskatchewan: ex Hook. (isotype). Alberta: Rosedale, Moodie, no. 1183. Montana: Silver Bow, H. M. Hall, no. 11,492, in part. Wyoming: Upper Tongue R., Bighorn Mts., July 22, 1900, J. G. Jack. Nevada: Truckee Valley, W. W. Bailey, no. 640. British Columbia: Beavermouth, C.H. Shaw, no. 1153.

Var. pabularis (Nelson) Fernald, supra. Native from Manitoba and Minnesota to Oregon, south to Iowa, Nebraska and Colorado; adventive eastward to Michigan.-One of the most characteristic varieties on account of its longitudinally folded and recurving or arching leaves. The following are characteristic. Michigan: River Rouge, Farwell, no. 4375, in part. Minnesota: Brown's Valley, Sept., 1893, Sheldon; Muskoda, Ballard, no. 3120. Iowa: Iowa Falls, Aug. 1928, M. E. Peck; Estherville, Wolden, no. 1264a. North Dakota: Leeds, Aug. 20, 1900, Lunell, as A. longifolia; Fargo, Aug. 19, 1901, Waldron \& Manns. South Daкотa: Brookings, Sept. 1894, Thornber; Iriquois, Aug. 11, 1894, Thornber. Nebraska: Kennedy, Oct. 15,

1900, Bates; Hazel Creek, Fred Clements, no. 2917; Thedford, Rydberg, no. 1725. Wyoming: Creston, Nelson, no. 4426 (isotype). Colorado: Palmer Lake, Sept. 3, 1919, H. M. Hall. Oregon: Upper Klamath Lake, M. E. Peck, no. 9523.

Although Hall \& Clements call var. pabularis merely "A slender competition-form of A. vulgaris gnaphalodes" with "Leaves only 2 to 5 mm . wide", it seems to have won its competition and to grow successfully over a vast area.

Var. Brittonii (Rydb.) Fern., supra. A local extreme of Montana to Colorado, etc., casually adventive in Maine: about wool-waste, Sept. 10, 1895, Parlin.

Var. americana (Bess.) Fern., supra. Native from Alberta to Texas and northern Mexico; casually adventive in Massachusetts. The following, often confused with var. mexicana, are characteristic. Massachusetts: dry sandy field, not scarce, Clam Shell Bluff, Concord, Aug. 11, 1938, R. J. Eaton. Tennessee: Nashville, Gattinger. Kansas: Poola, Oyster. Texas: Lindheimer, fasc. iii. no. 442; Weathersford, Tracy, no. 8135; Graham, Reverchon, no. 3283; Tarrant Co., Ruth, no. 320; Briscoe, Cory, no. 17,314; Boat Springs, Chisos Mts., Cory, no. 7258. British North America: Richardson. Alberta: below McKay, Lower Athabasca River, Raup, no. 6001. IDAHO: 'Twilight Gulch, Macbride, no. 485, as A. atomifera Piper. Colorado: Engelmann Cañon, Clements \& Clements, no. 57; Norwood Hills, E. P. Walker, no. 455; Naturita, Payson, no. 590. New Mexico: Cloudcroft, E. D. Schulz, no. 308. Arizona: Marshall Gulch, Shreve, no. 5398; Mule Mts., Harrison \& Kearney, no. 6238.

Besser's original description of Artemisia vulgaris, var. americana (as americanum) was based primarily on a "specimen Hookerianum e Britt. N. America", the plant with laciniate leaves "supra glabris", $1 / 2$ inch [1 cm.] wide, with lanceolate laciniae $11 / 2-3$ lines wide, the virgate panicle with hemispherical heads. It seems to be the plant here called $A$. ludoviciana, var. americana, although Hall \& Clements place it in the very striking A. Tilesii Ledeb. (their A. vulgaris, subsp. Tilesii), a plant of eastern Siberia and Pacific America from Alaska to Oregon, etc., with "principal leaves ovate or broadly elliptic in outline,
$3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide", etc. I have seen no authentic material and my interpretation may be incorrect; but the general placing of these specimens in var. mexicana, simply because the leaves are bright green above and often slenderly dissected, overlooks the impor-
tant characters of the latter, the densely pyramidal panicle of cylindric-ovoid heads or, as Willdenow's original diagnosis said, "panicula pyramidali subfoliata, floribus ovatis subsessilibus bracteis tomentosis", such a plant as abounds in much of Mexico.

Var. mexicana (Willd.) Fern., supra. Mexico and Texas, extending northeastward to barrens and sands of Missouri. The following are typical. Missouri: Dodson, Bush, no. 7844; Courtney, Bush, no. 6509. Arkansas: Engelmann, isotype of the 2 d plant described by Besser as A.vulgaris, var. americana. Texas: Lindheimer, fasc. iii. nos. 442, 443, and 444; Polytechnic, Ruth, no. 320; Brown Co., Cory, no. 15,855. Mexico: Berlandier, no. 1253; Bourgeau, no. 832; Ghiesbrecht, no. 155; Hinton, no. 1847; Lyonnet, no. 435; Palmer, nos. 597, 600 and 602; Parry \& Palmer, nos. 530 and 531; Pringle, nos. 290, 7929, 8765, 9848 and 11,481; Schaffner, no. 277.

One other species which has spread into the Northeast is the very characteristic Artemisia Carruthii Wood (A. kansana Britton; included under their A. vulgaris, subsp. Wrightii (Gray) Hall \& Clements), with the somewhat ligneous crown producing abundant prolonged and often leafy-tipped stolons (in some specimens these freely forking and 3 dm . long), the short (1-5 cm . long) elliptic to oblanceolate leaves essentially all pinnately dissected to the midrib into narrowly linear or linear-filiform lobes only $3-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and $0.1-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad. Native on plains and in dry scrub from western Kansas and Colorado to western Texas, New Mexico and Arizona, this aggressive and vegetatively rapidly reproducing plant has come east. The following eastern specimens are before me. Rhode Island: Pawtucket, October, 1898, M. L. McCudden. Indiana: Miller's, October, 1898, Umbach. Missouri: Sheffield, Bush, nos. 1838 and 3333.

Allied to these and likely to wander eastward (especially since it is here cultivated as Silver-king Artemisia) is the characteristic Artemisia albula Wooton, of western Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, southern California and northern Mexico. Although this small-leaved and small-headed white or whitish plant was given no recognition by Hall \& Clements, except as a reduced "form" of their too inclusive A. vulgaris, subsp. gnaphalodes, they did see something in it: "but with distinctive habit, very narrow leaves, widely branched inflorescence, and exceptionally small heads, the involucres 3 mm . high". The "distinctive
habit" was unexplained, but A. albula forms dense or cespitose clumps and, instead of spreading as do A. ludoviciana and its many varieties (including gnaphalodes) by slender elongate stolons, its basal offshoots, as shown by material from careful collectors like Charles Wright, are assurgent or erect from the subligneous crown and with well developed leaves. This is a "distinctive habit" similar to that of the woody-based A. Michauxiana Bess. (A. discolor). That it was not noted by Hall \& Clements is natural. Of the 54 sheets of it in the Gray Herbarium 38 indicate no attempt to collect the characteristic base, most of them nipped-off bits without even the distinctive leaves of the main stem - the kind of rubbish which some think we must house in our limited space because, forsooth, these snips have numbers!; in such disgraceful witnesses to laziness the diagnostic characters are mostly lacking. These points were strongly emphasized by Dr. Merrill in his foreword to Johnston's most helpful and practical "The Preparation of Botanical Specimens for the Herbarium '", a pamphlet which should be carefully studied by all who attempt to make herbarium-specimens.

## III. SENECIO CONGESTUS

(Plates 959 and 960)
Senecio congestus (R. Br.) DC., var. palustris (L.), stat. nor. Cineraria palustris L. Sp. Pl. ed. 2, 1243 (1763). S. palustris (L.) Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 324 (1834), not Velloso (1827). S. tubicaulis Mansfeld in Fedde, Rep. Spec. Nov. xlviii. 264 (1940). Plate 959, figs. 3 and 4.
S. congestus, var. laceratus (Ledeb.), comb. nov. S. palustris, $\gamma$ laceratus Ledeb. Fl. Ross. ii. 648 (1845), excl. syn.
S. congestus, var. tonsus, var. nov. (тab. 960), habitu a var. palustre differt corymbo aperto vix lanato-villoso, pedicellis hirtellis sparse villosisque.-Alberta to Wisconsin and Minnesota. The following are characteristic. Alberta: Gov. Hay Camp district, Slave River, about $59^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ N., $111^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ W., Aug. 4, 1928, Raup, no. 3384; Reed's Portage, upper Embarras River, about $58^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ N., $111^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ W., Aug. 15, 1930, Raup, no. 3383. Maniтова: Clear Lake, alt. 2016 ft ., Riding Mountain National Park, Aug. 29-Sept. 2, 1941, Ścamman, no. 2970. Wisconsin: La Chapelle, July 16, 1897, L. S. Cheney, no. 7419 (type in Herb.

[^47]Gray.). Minnesota: sandy lake-shore, Detroit, June 20, 1909, H.F. Bergman.

Unfortunately the name Senecio palustris (L.) Hook. (1834) is a later homonym, excluded by S. palustris Velloso (1827). When Mansfeld published for it the new binomial S. tubicaulis in 1940 he evidently overlooked S. congestus (R. Br.) DC. Prodr. vi. 363 (1837), which rests upon Cineraria congesta R. Br. in Parry, 1st Voyage, App. 279 (1824), the arctic extreme with the densely congested corymb and the leares heavily villous-lanate, the plant treated as S. palustris, var. congestus ( R . Br.) Hook. by Hooker, l. c., by Ledebour, 1. c., and which in the Synoptical Flora, 1². 394 (1884) Cray placed under S. palustris (L.) Hook., with the comment: "C[ineraria] congesta, R. Br. in Parry, Voy., Richards., \& c., only an arctic and woolly condensed form, var. congesta, Hook.".

Typical arctic Senecio congestus (plate 959, figs. 1 and 2, from isotype) extends south at least to the southeastern coast of Labrador, but more generally across North America it is represented by var. palustris (plate 959, figs 3-4), which I cannot separate from the wide-ranging plant of Eurasia with an open corymb but with copious long villosity or wool on the expanding corymb and more or less permanent dense villi on the pedicels and involucre. This variety extends south with us to the Côte Nord, Quebec, the foot of James Bay, and northern Iowa.

Var. laceratus, described from western Alaska, is an extreme with all the leaves lacerate-pinnatifid.

Var. tonsus (sheared) has almost or quite lost the long and dense villous-tomentum. Its open corymb has merely hirtellous or short-pilose pedicels or the long villi very few and scattered (Plate 960). ${ }^{1}$

In plate 959 , fig. 1 is an tsotype, $\times 1$, of Senecio congestus; fig. 2 , summit of pedicel and base of involucre, $\times 10$, of isotype. Figs. 3 and 4, var. Palustris: fig. 3, inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Vartofte-A saka, Sweden, Aug., 1907, Karl I'igardt; fig. 4, summit of pedicel and base of involucre. $\times 10$, from same plant.

Plate 960 . S. congestus, var. tonsus: fig. 1 , inflorescence of type, $\times 1$; FIG. 2 , summit of pedicel and base of involucre, $\times 10$, from type.
(To be continued)

[^48]
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## CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERBARIUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY-NO. CLVII

M. L. Fernald<br>(Continued from page 25\%)

## IV. NOTES ON EASTERN AMERICAN LUZULA

(Plates 961 and 962)
Luzula sudetica (Willd.) DC., var. frigida (Buchenau), comb. nov. L. campestris (L.) DC., var. frigida Buchenau in Oesterr. Bot. Zeitschr. xlviii. 284 (1898). L. frigida (Buchenau) Samuelsson in Lindm. Svensk Fanerogamfl. 161 (1918). Plate 961, figs. $7-9$.

I am unable to separate specifically Luzula campestris, var. frigida or L. frigida and L. sudetica (Figs. 1-6). They have the same aspect and habit, dark perianths, castaneous to blackish capsules, and seeds only $1-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and tipped by a minute caruncle only $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Whereas true L. sudetica has the perianth $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, with the broadly lance-ovate sepals nearly equaled or exceeded by the capsule, var. frigida (Figs. 7-9), theoretically at least, has the perianth mostly longer, $2.2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, with the narrowly lance-attenuate and slender-tipped sepals clearly overtopping the capsule. The difficulty is that in too many specimens, often under the same number, transitions occur and separation becomes arbitrary. Typical $L$. sudetica extends southward in eastern America to Newfoundland and the Shickshock Mountains in the Gaspé Peninsula, Quebec. I refer the following relatively southern specimens to true L. sudetica:

Newfoundland: shelves and talus of diorite cliffs, Western Head, entrance to Bonne Bay, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1512, as L. campestris, var. alpina Gaudin; meadow near Frenchman's Cove, Bay of Islands, Mackenzie \& Griscom, no. 10,202, as Juncoides multiflorum (Ehrh.) Druce, var.; turfy slopes near the sea, Seal's Nest Island, Bay of Islands, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 180, as L. campestris, var. frigida.

The interpretation by Wiegand and me in Rhodora, xv. 42 (1913) of Luzula campestris var. frigida was a confused one, the plants of southern New Brunswick and eastern Maine being really quite different from the original Labrador material. We were misled by Buchenau's citation of Robinson \& Schrenk, no.

85, from St. John's, Newfoundland; but a rereading shows that the Robinson \& Schrenk plant was not considered by Buchenau as typical: "Ich lernte diese Form [var. frigida] zuerst aus Labrador kennen, wo sie mehrfach gesammelt wurde. Sehr ausgeprägt findet sie sich ferner auf . . . Alberta Etwas weniger characteristisch ist die Pflanze von St. Johns auf Neufundland (Robinson und Schrenk, Nr. 85)." The Labrador plant which closely matches Buchenau's description is, as stated, an extreme of $L$. sudetica with more slender and elongate perianth-segments. The southernmost stations of var. frigida are along and near the Straits of Belle Isle in

Newfoundland: margin of pond back of St. Anthony, E.C. Abbe, no. 201; turfy slopes of slaty hills, Little Quirpon, Fernald, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, no. 27,809; springy swale and turfy upper border of strand, Anse au Sauvages, Pistolet Bay, Fernald, Wiegand \& Long, no. 27,810; turfy limestone barrens, Cook Point, Pistolet Bay, Fernald \& Gilbert, no. 27,808; swamp, Flower Cove, July 28, 1920, M. E. Priest.

Much of the material heretofore misidentified with Luzula campestris var. frigida, including the Robinson \& Schrenk material doubtfully cited by Buchenau, belongs to L. multiflora (Retz.) Lejeune, var. fusconigra Čelak., at least sensu Samuelsson in Lindman, Svensk Fanerogamfl. 161 (1918). See plate 962, figs. 4 and 5. Its seed is decidedly not that of $L$. sudetica, but is characteristic of $L$. multiflora: $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, with a roundtipped bulbiform caruncle $0.4-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. From the common and wide-spread fulvous or paler L. multiflora it differs in its relatively narrow leaves, slender, stiff and low ( $1-4 \mathrm{dm}$.) stems, dark brown to fuscous sepals (with pale margins) and dark chestnut to blackish capsules. It is northern and relatively local with us. The following specimens have been seen (distributed as L. campestris, var. frigida unless noted) of what I take to be L. multiflora var. fusconigra.

Newfocndland: peaty limestone barrens about Flower C'ove, Straits of Belle Isle, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,504; boggy spots on the rocky crests, Twillingate, Notre Dame Bay, Fernald, Wiegand \& Bartram, no. 5169: dry turf, Old Perlican, Trinity Bay, G. S. Torrey, no. 38; dry open turfy slopes of sandstone and arenaceous slate hills back of Carbonear, Conception Bay, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5166 (dwarf, with unusually capitate inflorescences); rocky hills, St. John's, Robinson \& Schrenk, no.

85, as L. arcuata Meyer; by rill on seepy silicious slope of Joan Plains Hill, Bay Bulls, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, nos. 26,502 and 26,503 , as L. campestris, var. multiflora; Spreadeagle, June 30, 1893, Waghorne, as L. campestris; dry field near sea-level, Bay of Islands, Eames \& Godfrey, no. 5985. Quebec: Rivière du Loup, Pease, no. 2259, as L. campestris, var. multiflora. Prince Edward Island: damp clearing, Morell, Fernald \& St. John, no. 10,992, as L. camp., var. mult. Nova Scotia: wet peaty and rocky ground, Shag Harbor, Fernald, Bissell \& Linder, no. 20,727, as L. camp., var. mult. Maine: turf, Tenant's Harbor, Pease, no. 26,067; Isle au Haut, July 8 and 10, 1920, N. T. Kidder. New Hampshire: field, Wolfeboro, H. E. Sargent. Massachusetts: swamp, Nantucket Island, Bicknell, no. 260a, unidentified. New York: low mossy meadow in rather heavy mucky soil, alt. 1840 ft., Parker's (Montague), Lewis Co., Hotchkiss, no. 2321; heavy rather dry meadow-soil, alt. 1800 ft ., Rector (Montague), Lewis Co., Hotchkiss, no. 2323; heavy soil of meadow, alt. 1680 ft ., northeast of Mohawk Hill (West Turin), Lewis Co., Hotchkiss, no. 2274.

Some material, wrongly distributed as Luzula campestris, var. frigida, differs at once from $L$. multiflora and its var. fusconigra in the very condensed umbel, usually with several sessile or subsessile spikes, with or without stiff rays up to 3.5 cm . long, the pale perianth $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and greatly exceeding the capsule, the seeds only $1.5-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and with conically tapering caruncle. This is
L. multiflora (Retz.) Lejeune, var. acadiensis (Fernald), comb. nov. L. campestris, var. acadiensis Fernald in Rhodora, xix. 38 (1917). Originally described from Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick, var. acadiensis is now known from Newfoundland, the Gaspé Peninsula and southeastern Maine, as well. Plate 962, figs. 6-8.

Although often merged with Luzula campestris (L.) DC. the common species across North America is abundantly distinct. L. campestris is a low plant with seattered tufts of narrow and very silky leaves separated by slender rhizomes and stolons up to 3 cm . long, each tuft with a usually solitary decumbent to ascending flowering stem, bearing 2-6 subglobose spikes, all but the central spike on divergent to recurving rays; the anthers two to five times as long as the filaments. In North America it is apparently native in woods and openings of the Avalon Peninsula of Newfoundland, along with scores of other typical Europeans (Pedicularis sylvatica, Sieglingia decumbens, etc., etc.). In 1920
the late C. E. Robbins found it naturalized in a lawn at Wareham, Massachusetts. L. multiflora (plate 962, figs. 1-3), on the other hand, is densely cespitose, nonstoloniferous, with numerous erect (up to 9 dm . high) flowering stems, the anthers shorter than to about equaling the filaments.

The only other variety of Luzula multiflora in the "Manual range" is var. congesta (Thuill.) Koch, Syn. 734 (1837), based on Juncus congestus Thuill. Fl. Env. Paris, ed. 2, ii. 179 (1799). Var. congesta (plate 961, figs. 10-12) is frequent in

Newfoundland: Baccalieu Island, July, 1902, Sornborger (misidentified by Fernald \& Wiegand as L. campestris, var. comosa); Whitbourne, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5168 (a lax form with elongate rays, misidentified like the last); Murray's Pond, 1931, Agnes Ayre; Trepassey, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,505 (misidentified as L. campestris, var. frigida) ; Port Saunders Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3056 (misidentified like the last); Port aux Basques, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,500.

I am retaining the long familiar name Luzula multiflora but as starting with Juncus multiflorus Retzius, Fl. Scand. Prodr. ed. 2: 82 (1795), who first properly published it. Ordinarily, as in Index Kewensis, the writings of Ascherson \& Graebner and of Buchenau and others, the basic Juncus multiflorus is cited, to quote Ascherson \& Craebn. Syn. Mitteleur. Fl. ii ${ }^{2} .523$ (1904), as "Junc. multiflorus Ehrh. Calam. No. 127 (etwa 1791). Hoffm. Deutschl. Fl. I. 169 (1800)", with Juncus intermedius Thuill. Fl. Env. Paris, ed. 2: 178 (1799), J. liniger With. Syst. Arr. ed. 4, ii. 343 (1801) and J. erectus Pers. Syn. i. 386 (1805) as synonyms. So far as I can find the properly described Juncus multiflorus Retzius (1795) has usually come into the picture only as a negative element, for, according to Index Kewensis J. multiflorus Retz. " = capensis", i. e. J. capensis Thunb. Prod. Pl. Cap. 66 (1794). Just how, to use an American idiom, the original editors of Index Kewensis "got that way," unless a probable Luzula campestris got entered as Juncus capensis, is not clear. In fact, one soon learns to take the attempted identifications in the original volumes with much more than the conventional grain of salt; for, as in this case, every careful student of the Juncaceae or of the flora of The Cape of Cood Hope, Ernst Meyer, Buchenau, Baker (in Flora Capensis) and others, have regularly and rightly recognized Juncus capensis Thunb. as a true Juncus, with long
and very slender, linear-subulate, glabrous leaves, naked or scapose flowering stems, and very many muticous seeds about 0.6 mm . long. It is in no wise a Luzula, with flat leaves, leafy stems and 3 large carunculate seeds. In describing his Juncus multiflorus (1795) Retzius was not accounting for the flora of the Cape of Good Hope! His Florae Scandinaviae Prodromus was, to quote his title-page, an enumeration of the plants of Sweden, Lappland, Finland and Pomerania, as well as of Denmark, Norway, Holstein, Iceland and Greenland, a large enough task without dragging in the Antipodes (especially without any mention of them). Retzius had the usual northern European species of Juncus (J. acutus, conglomeratus, effusus, filiformis, trifidus and so on to $J$. biglumis and $J$. triglumis), followed by the species which constitute Luzula: J. vernalis or pilosus, J. parviflorus, J. maximus, J. multiflorus (as new), J. campestris and J. spicatus. His description was clear:

> 435*. J. multiflorus, foliis planis nudis, culmo basi folioso, corymbo subramoso, capitulis multifloris terminalibus axillaribusque. Juncus Hall. St. Helv. 1329? d) P. sylv.

To those who know Juncus capensis the "Foliis planis" and "culmo basi folioso", to say nothing of its Scandinavian occurrence, might have been suggestive! In fact, Buchenau in Das Pflanzenreich correctly cites $J$. multiflorus Retzius as identical with the reputed $J$. multiflorus Ehrh. and graciously notes it as "in Ind. Kew. errore calami $=J$. capensis dicitur"-one of the cases where the pen was mightier than the brain. Furthermore, it is clear that Retzius was not basing his Juncus multiflorus (1795) on a reputed $J$. multiflorus Ehrh. (1791-17931). Whether Ehrhart ever published such a species seems open to question. All the bibliographies, Index Kewensis, the citations by Buchenau and others, for instance, take the name back to Ehrhart, the former compendium saying, rather cryptically, under Juncus, "multiflorus, Ehrh. [C'alam.]. 127; ex Hoffm. Fl. Deutschl. i. 169." Hoffm. l. c. (1800), properly publishing $J$. multiflorus, ascribed it

[^49]to "ehrh. gram. n. 127 ", while Buchenau, in Engl. Pflanzenr. iv ${ }^{36} .91$ (1906), gives the more detailed " $J$. multiflorus Ehrh., Calam., Gram. et Tripet. exsicc. (ca. 1791)." With the aid of Miss Ruth D. Sanderson, Librarian of the Gray Herbarium, I have made a long and fruitless search for any published description by Ehrhart of J. multiflorus. Search of Pritzel's Thesaurus and other reliable bibliographies reveals no book by him entitled either "Calam." (the title in brackets given in Index Kewensis), "gram." (the title given by Hoffmann) nor even "('alam., Gram. et Tripet. exsicc.", as cited by Buchenau. In Ehrhart's Beiträge zur Naturkunde, vi. (1791-1793) the 8th article is "Index Calamariarum, Graminum et Tripetaloidearum Linn., quas in usum Botanophilorum collegit et exsiccavit Fridericus Ehrhart, Helveto-Bernas". This, pp. 80-84, consists merely of a list of names, without descriptions, of twelve decades of the Exsiccatae, ending with no. 120 and dated October, 1790. The names of plants of Linnaeus and others of earlier date are of species already published but throughout the list are several new names of Ehrhart, all nomina nuda and of no nomenclatural standing until taken up and defined by subsequent authors. To this group of original nomina nuda belong nos. 66, Juncus acutiflorus Ehrh., 76, J. obtusiflorus Ehrh., 85, J. glaucus Ehrh. and 86, J. setifolius Ehrh.; but there is no number 127, J. multiflorus, the twelfth decade ending, naturally, with no. 120. Until it is is shown to be otherwise, we must infer that decades of the exsiccatae following the 12 th may have been issued with names on the labels (including specimens numbered 127 and called J. multiflorus), but the first description of $J$. multiflorus as of Ehrhart was by Hoffmann in 1800. In the meantime, under that name arid without any reference to Ehrhart, Retzius in 1795 described the New Scandinavian species which he thought might be the same as a Swiss plant of Haller. Juncus multiflorus Retzius (1795) apparently has the right-of-way.

The error which Buchenau charitably called a slip of the pen, by which Index Kewensis identified Juncus multiflorus Retz. (1795) with the South African J. capensis Thunb. (1794), at once intrigued some, who promptly altered names without checking the fundamental data. Thus in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxxii. 610
(1905), Rydberg, accepting unquestioningly the "lapsus calami", published the new combination

Juncoides intermedium (Thuill.) Rydb.
Juncus intermedius Thuill. Fl. Env. Paris, ed. 2, 178. 1799.
Juncus multiflorus Ehrh.; Hoffm. Fl. Deutschl. [i. e. Deutschl. Fl.] ed. 2, 1: 169. 1800. Not J. multiflorus Retz. 1795.

Rydberg added, what seems to be the case, "The name Juncus multiflorus dates back as far as 1791, when Ehrhart issued his set of grasses, sedges, etc., but, as far as can be ascertained, it was never published for this plant before 1800, in the revised edition of Hoffmann's Flora". Promptly Professor Aven Nelson, apparently accepting Rydberg's copied statement that Juncus multiflorus Retz. is not the same as $J$. multiflorus Ehrh. ex Hoffm., published Luzula intermedia (Thuill.) A. Nels. in Coult. \& Nels. New Man. Bot. Centr. Rocky Mts. 109 (1909), he evidently not realizing that the identical combination, as a substitute for Juncus multiftorus, was published 84 years earlier: L. intermedia Spenner, Fl. Friburg. i. 178 (1825), with the synonym "Juncus multiflorus. Hoffm. germ. ed. 2". Incidentally two other species were named $L$. intermedia early enough to find entry in the original Index Kewensis.

In plate 961, figs. 1-6 are of Luzula sudetica (Willd.) DC.: fig. 1, inflorescence, $\times \mathbf{2}$, from Varmland Grännark, Sweden, June 17, 1918, Samuelsson; FIG. 2 , portion of spike, $\times 8$, from same plant; fig. 3 , seed, $\times 10$, from same plant; Fig. 4, inflorescence, $\times \mathbf{2}$, from Seal's Nest Island, Bay of Islands, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 180; Fig. 5, portion of spike, $\times 8$, from no. 180: fig. 6, seed, $\times 10$, from no. 180. Figs. 7-9, L. sudetica, var. frigida (Buchenau) Fernald: fig. 7, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Fullerton, Hudson Bay, lat. $63^{\circ} 57^{\prime}, J . M$. Macoun, no. 79,215 ; FIG. 8 , portion of spike, $\times 8$, from no. 79,215 ; fig. 9 , seed, $\times 10$, from no. $79,215$. Figs. 10-12, L. multiflora (Retz.) Lejeune, var. congesta (Thuill.) Koch: fig. 10, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Port Saunders, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3056; fig. 11, portion of spike, $\times 8$, from no. 3056 ; FIG. 12 , seed, $\times 10$, from no. 3056 .

Plate 962, figs. 1-3. L. multiflora (Retz.) Lejeune: Fig. 1, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Grindstone Island, Magdalen Islands, Quebec, Fernald et al., no. 7188 ; fig. 2, portion of spike, $\times 8$, from no. 7188 ; Fig. 3, seed, $\times 10$, from no. 7188. Figs. 4 and 5, L. multiflora, var. fusconigra C̆elak.: fig. 4, portion of inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Shag Harbor, Nova Scotia, Fernald, Bissell, \& Linder, no. 20,727: fig. $\overline{\text { E }}$, seed from no. 20,727. Figs. 6-8, L. milliflora, var. acadiensis Fernald: fig. 6, inflorescence, $\times 2$, from Windsor, Nova Scotia, Fernald, Bartram \& Long, no. 23,584; Fig. 7, portion of inflorescence, $\times 8$, from type; fig. 8 , seed, $\times 10$, from no. 23,584 .

PLATES


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Anthinibia efonama: figa. 1 and 2, pistillate and staminate plants, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , pistillate involuere, $X 6$; figi. $\downarrow$, pistillate flower, $\times 10$; FIG. $\overline{\text { E }}$, pistillate corollas, $\times 10$; FIG. 6, achene.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
 cauline leaf, $\times 10$; Fig. 4 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; FIf, $\quad \times$, involucre, $\times 0 ;$ FIG. 6 , corollas, $X 10$ : FIG. 7 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria stramineat figis. 1 and 2, plants, $\times 1$ : fig. 3 , portion of basal rosette, $\times 5$ : FIG. 4, tips of cauline leaves, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; FIG, 6 , involucre, $\times 5 ;$ FIG. 7 , corolla, $\times 10$; Fig. \& achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. (S. Schubert.

Antennaria Peasei: fig. 1 , plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2, basal rosette, $\times 5$; fig. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; FIG. 4 , inflorescence, $\times 2$.


Photo. J. F. Collins.
Antennaria subviscosa: small portion of large plant, $\times{ }_{2}^{2}$, trailing over limestone cliff.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antexiaria subviscosa: figs. 1 and 2 , small plants, $\times 1$; fig. 3, basal leaves, $\times 5$; fig. 4, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 5 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; fig. 6 , involucre, $\times 6 ;$ fig. 7 . corollas, $\times 10$; fig. 8 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria albicans: fig. 1, plants, $\times 1$; fig. 2, basal rosettes, $\times$ 5; fig. 3. tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4. inflorescence, $\times 2$; fig. 5 , pistillate flower, $\times 10$; fig. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria Wiegandii: fig. 1 , plant and a basal rosette, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , basal rosette, $\times 5$; fig. 3 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; fig. 4 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria spathulata: figs. 1 and 2, plants, $\times 1$; fig. 3, portion of rosette-leaf, $\times 5$; FIG. 4 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; FIG. 5 , portion of involucre, $\times 5 ;$ FIG. 6 , corollas, $\times 10$; FIG. 7 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria appendictlata: figs. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , summit of basal leaf, $\times \overline{5}$ : fig. 4 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; Fig. $\overline{5}$. corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria canabensis: figis. 1 and 2, pistillate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3, tip of calulime leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria canadensis: fig. 1 , staminate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , basal leaves, $\times 5$; fig. 3 , pistillate corymb, $\times 2$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antenmaria negleeta: ficis. 1 and 2 , pistillate plant, $\times 1$; fici. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; figs. 4 and 5 , pistillate inflorescences. $\times 2$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
 pistillate infloreseence. $\times 2$; fir. 4 , arhenes. $\times 10$.


Photo. B G. Schubert.
Antelvaria negiecta: fig. 1, aminate plant, $\times 1$ : fig. 2 , tip of canline leaf, $\times 2$; Fig. 3, upper half of mature pistillate raceme, $\times 2$ : Fis. 4 , pi-tillate corolia, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria campestris: fig. 1 , pistillate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , staminate plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$.



Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria rupicola: fig. 1, flowering summit of plant in plate $929, \times 1$; FIg. 2 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 3 , receptacle, $\times 10 ;$ Fig. 4 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria neodioica, var. typica: figs. 1,2 and 3 , portions of isotype, $\times 1$ : FIG. 4 , tips of rosette-leaves, $\times 5$; FIG. 5 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 6 , corymb, $\times 2$; FIG. 7 , portion of involucre, $\times 5$; Fig. 8 , receptacle, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria neoniorca, var. typica: figs. 1,2 and 3, portions of staminate plants, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , pistillate corolla, $\times 10$; fig. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Anteniaria neodioica, var. atteneata: figs. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$ : FIf. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 5 , portion of involucre, $\times 5$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antexnaria neodioica, var. interjecta: fig. 1, small plant and base and inflorescence of others, $\times 1$; fig. 2, tips of rosette-leaves, $\times 5$; Fig. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 5 , corollas, $\times 10$; FIG. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$.


[^50]Antennaria neobioica, var. chlorophylla: figs. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , tip of rosette-leaf, $\times 5$; fig. 4 , involucre, $\times 5$; fig. 5 , corolla, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria neodiolca, vaf. grandis: figis. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , two mature corymbs, $\times 1$; FIG. 4 , corollas, $\times 10 ;$ FIG. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria virginica: figs. 1 and 2 , pistillate plant, $\times 1$; figs. 3 and 4 , staminate plant. $\times 1$; fig. 5 , rosette-leaf, $\times \overline{5}$; FIg. 6 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 7 , involucre. $\times 5:$ fig. 8 , receptacle, $\times 10$; fig. 9 , pistillate corollas, $\times 10 ;$ fig. 10 , achenes, $\times 10$; A. virginica, var. argillicola: fig. 11, base of plant. $X 1$; fig. 12, tip of cauline leaf. $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria aprica: fig. 1, pistillate plant and corymb, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , corymb, $\times 2$; FIG. 3, pistillate corollas. $\times 10$; fig. 4 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria aprica: fig. 1, staminate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2; rosette-leaves, $\times 5$ : FIG. 3 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$. fig. 4 , involucre. $\times 5$.


I'hoto. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria petaloidea (typical): figs. 1,2 and 3 , portions of plant, $\times 1 ;$ fig. 4 , base of plant, $\times 1$; Fig. 5 , tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10:$ Fig. 6 , portion of corymb, $\times 2$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria petaloidea: fig. 1 , staminate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , tips of rosette-leaves, $\times 5$; FIG. 3, involucre, $\times 5$; FIG. 4, pistillate corolla, $\times 10$.


I'hoto. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria petaloidea, var. scariosa: figs. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 4, exceptionally lax corymb, $\times 1$; FIG. 5 , involucre, $\times$ 5.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria petaloidea, var. subcorymbosa: figs. 1 and 2 , portions of plant, $\times 1$; Fig. 3, tip of cauline leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , involucre, $\times 5$; FIG. 5 , corollas, $\times 10$; fig. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria petalomea, var. scbcorymbosa: portions of large inflorescence, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, the summit of inflorescence in fig. 1.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antenvaria fallax: figs. 1 and 2 , base and summit of pistillate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , corymb, $\times 2$; fig. 4 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria fallax: fig. 1, staminate plant, $\times 1$ : fig. 2, pistillate involucre, $\times 5$; FIG. 3, pistillate corollas, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria fallax, var. calophylla: figs. 1 and 2, portions of base and summit of pistillate plant, $\times 1$; Fig. 3, half of involucre, $\times \overline{5}$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria fallax, var. caluphylla: fig, 1 , staminate plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , pistillate corymb, $\times 2$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antexararia Parifint: ficis. 1 and 2 , base and summit of plant. $\times 1$; fig. 3 , summit of flowering stem, showing glands, $\times 10$; FIG. 4 , corymb, $\times 2$; FILi, हो, achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antenvaria Parlinit: figs. 1 and 2. base and summit of staminate plant. $\times 1$; FIg. 3, half a pistillate involucre, $\times 5$; Fif. 4 , pistillate corollas. $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria Branerdif: figs. 1 and 2, hase and summit of plant, $\times 1$ : fig. 3. summit of stem, showing glands, $\times 10 ;$ fig. 4 , corymb. $\times 2$; Fif. 5 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antencaria Branerdi: fig. 1, base of large-leaved extreme, $X$ 1; fic. 2, upper surface of rosette-leaf, showing long tomentum, $\times 10$; FIG 3 , involucre. $\times$ 5: FII. 4 corollas, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria plantaginifolia: figs. 1 and 2, base and summit of pistillate plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, involucre, $\times 5 ;$ FIG. 4, corollas, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria plantaginifolia: fig. 1, staminate plant. $\times 1$ : fig. 2. pistillate corymb, $\times 2$; FIG. 3 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria plantaginifolia, var. petiolata: figs. 1 and 2, small fruiting plant, $\times 1$; Fig. 3, staminate plant, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria solitaria: fig. 1, tracing by B. L. Robinson of the (ironovian plant included by Linnaeus in his Gnaphalium plantaginifolium: FIG. 2, one of Type-specimens, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Antennaria solitaria: fig. 1, average staminate plant, $X 1$; Fig. 2, small staminate plant, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 3 , achenes, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Senecio congestus: fig. 1 , isotype, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , summit of pedicel and base of involucre, $\times 10$.

Var. palusthis: fig. 3 , inflorescence, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , summit of pedicel and base of involucre, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Senecio congestus, var. tonsus: fig. 1 , inflorescence of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , summit of pedicel and base of involucre, $\times 10$.


## Photh. B. (i. Schubert.

Lezula sudetica: figis. 1 and 4 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; ficis. 2 and 5 . portions of spike, 8 ; Figs. 3 and 6 , seed, $\times 10$.
L. sidertica. var. Frigina: Fig. 7, inflorescence, $\times 2$; figi. 8 , portion of spike, $\times 8$ : Fig. 9, seed, $\times 10$.
i.. multiflora. var. congeata: fig. 10 , inflorencence, $\times 2$; fig. 11 , portion of spike, 8 ; FIG. 12, seed, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert.
Luzula multiflora: fig. 1 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; fig. 2 , portion of spike, $\times 8$; fig. 3 . seed, $\times 10$.
L. meltiflora, var. fusconifira: fig. 4 , portion of inforescence, $\times 2$, fif. $\overline{5}$, sped, $\times 10$.
L. multiflora, var. acadibisis: fig. 6 , inflorescence, $\times 2$; fig. 7 , portion of inflorescence, $\times 8$; fig. 8 , seed, $\times 10$.

## INDEX

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Antennaria albicans, 228, 232, 244, pl. 918; ambigens, 239; appendiculata, 224, 229, 232, 245, pl. 921; aprica, $230,234,246$, pls. 938,939 ; arnoglossa, 240 , var. ambigens, 239; Bayardi, 227, 232; Brainerdii, 231, '240, 247, pls. 952 , 953 ; brunnescens, 227, 232; calophylla, 240; campestris, $229,233,245$, pl. 928 ; cana, 227, 232; canadensis, 229, 232, 233, 244, 245, pls. 922, 923, var. Randii, 232, var. spathulata, 232; carpatica, 231, $\gamma$. pulcherrima, 231; columnaris, 227, 231, 24;; confusa, 228, 232; decipiens, 240; eucosma, 226, 231, 244, pl. 912; fallax, 231, 233, 239, 244, 246, pls. 945,946 , var. calophylla, 240,246 , pls. 947, 948; Farwellii, 230, 239; Foggii, 227, 2:32, 243; gaspensis, $224,226,229,233$; grandis, 234 ; monocephala, 241; munda, 2:31, 233, 239, 244; neglectal, 222, 224$226,229,233,241,243,245$, pls. 924-926, var. argillicola, 234, var. attenuata, 234 , var. gaspensis, 2333 , var. neglecta, 233, var. Randii, 232; neglecta, f. simplex, 233, 241, 245 , pl. 927, var. subcorymbosa, 235; neodioica, 230, 233, 234, var. argillicola, 234, var. attenuata, 234,245, pl. 933 , var. chlorophylla, 234,245 , pl. 935 , var. gaspensis, 233 , var. grandis, 234,246 , pl. 936, var. interjecta, 234,245, pl. 934 , var. petaloidea, 234, var. rupicola, 233, var. typica, 234, 245, pls. 931, 932; occidentalis, 239; Parlinii, $231,233,240,244,246$, pls. 949 , 950, var. ambigens, 239, var. arnoglossa, 240, 247, pl. 951; Peasei, 224, 228, 232, 244, pl. 915; petaloidea, $230,233-235,244,246$, pls. 940, 941, var. modesta, 235, var. seariosa, $2: 35,246$, pl. 942 , var. subcorymbosa, 235,246 , pls. 943 , 944 ; plantaginea, 240 ; plantaginifolia, $224,231,2333,240,241,243$, 247, pls. 954,955 , var. ambigens, 239 , var. arnoglossa, $240, \beta$. monocephala, 241, 247, var. petiolata, 240,247, pl. 956 ; pulcherrima, 226, 231 ; rupicola, $230,233,245$, pls.

929, 930; simplex, 233; solitaria, $224,231,241,243,247$, pls. 957, 958; spathulata, 228, 232, 244, pl. 920 , var. continentis, 232 ; straminea, 228, 232, 244, pl. 914; subviscosa, 224-226, 2'28, 232, 243, 244, pls. 916, 917 ; vexillifera, 224, $227,232,243,244$, pl. 913 ; virginica, $222,230,234,242,243,246$, pl. 937, var. argillicola, 234, 246, pl. 937; Wiegandii, 228, 232, 244, pl. 919
Artemisia albula, 255, 256; atomifera, 254; Brittonii, 248; Carruthii, 255; cuneata, 248; discolor, 256; Douglasiana, 250, 251; dracunculina, 247; dracunculoides, 247, 248, var. dracunculina, 247, var. glauca, 248; Dracunculus, subsp. dracunculina, 247; glauca, 247, 248, var. dracunculina, 247; gnaphalodes, 251, 253; Herriottii, 250)-252; indica, var. mexicana, 248; kansana, 255; longifolia, 251-253; ludoviciana, $251,252,256$, var. americana, $248,252,254$, var. Brittonii, $248,252,254$, var. cuneata, 248, var. gnaphalodes, 252, 253, 256 , var. latifolia, 252,253, var. mexicana, 248, 252, 254, 255, var. pabularis, 248, 252-254; mexicana, 248; Michauxiana, 256; pabularis, 248; Purshiana, var. latifolia, 253; rhizomata, var. pabularis, 248; Silver-king, 255; serrata, 250-252; Tilesii, 254; vulgaris, 248-251, var. americana, $248,254,255$, var. glabra, 250 , subsp. gnaphalodes, $251,253,255$; vulgaris gnaphalodes, 254 ; vulgaris, subsp, heterophylla, 250, var. latiloba, 250, subsp. ludoviciana, 251, 252, subsp. mexicana, 248 , subsp. Tilesii, 254, subsp. Wrightii, 255
Arabis Holboellii, 225

## Betula glandulosa, 224

(alamagrostis purpurascens, 225
Carex concinna, 225; nardina, 224; rariflora, 224
Cerastium beeringianum, 225

Cineraria congesta, 257; palustris, 256
Compositae, 222
Deschampsia atropurpurea, 224
Devil's Paint-brush, 242
Disynanthus, 240; plantagineus, 240
Eupatorium, 226
Festuca saximontana, 225
Ginaphalium, 241; dioicum, $\beta$. plantaginifolium, 240, 241 ; monocephalum, 241; plantagineum, 240; plantaginifolium, 240, 241, 247; stolonibus reptatricibus etc., 241, 247

Hieracium, 242-244; Pilosella group, 243; Gronovii, 243; scabrum, 243; venosum, 243

Juncaceae, 268
Juncoides intermedium, 271; multiflorum, var., 265
Juncus, '268, 269; acutiflorus, 270; acutus, 269; biglumis, 269; campestris, 269; capensis, 268-270; castaneus, 224; congestus, 268; conglomeratus, 269; effusus, 269 ; erectus, 268; filiformis, 269 ; glaucus, 270; intermedius, 268, 271; liniger, 268; maximus, 269 ; multiflorus, 268-271; obtusiflorus, 270; parviflorus, 269; pilosus, 269; setiflorus, 270; spicatus, 269; trifidus, 269; triglumis, 269; vernalis, 269

King Devil, 242
Luzula, 269; arcuata, 267; campestris, 267, 268, var. acadiensis, 267, 271, pl. 962, var. alpina, 265,
var. comosa, 268, var. frigida, 265-268, var. multiflora, 267 ; confusa, 224; frigida, 265; intermedia, 271; multiflora, 266-268, 271, pl. 962 var. acadiensis, 267, pl. 962 , var. congesta, 268,271 , pl. 961, var. fusconigra, $266,267,271$, pl. 962 ; sudetica, $265,266,271$, pl. 961 , var.
frigida, 265, 266, 271, pl. 961
Lycopodium alpinum, 224
Oxyria digyna, 224
Pedicularis sylvatica, 267
Polygonum viviparum, 226
Potentilla nivea, 225
Salix herbacea, 224
Saxifraga cernua, 226; cespitosa, 225
Senecio congestus, 256,257 , pls. 959 , 960 , var. laceratus, 256,257 , var. palustris, 256,257 , pl. 959 , var. tonsus, 256, 257, pl. 960; palustris, 256, 257, var. congestus, 257, र. laceratus, 256; Pseudo-Arnica, 257, f. Rollandii, 257, var. Rollandii, 257; Rollandii, 257; tubicaulis, 256, 257
Sieglingia decumbens, 267
Silver-king Artemisia, 255
Solidago, 249; missouriensis, 249; neglecta, 249; sempervirens, 249; uniligulata, 249

Taraxacum, 242, 244; ceratophorum, 243; dumetorum, '243; laurentianum, 243; Longii, 243; officinale, 243; palustre, 243 ; phymatocarpum, 243

Woodsia glabella, 225
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## CONTRIBLTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERBARICM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY-No. CLVIII

## A LIST OF THE VASCULAR PLANTs OF GRAND MANAN, CHARLOTTE COUNTY, NEW BRUNSWICK

## C. A. Weatherby and John Adams

Ever since Audubon, waiting at Eastport in 1833 for a ship to take him to Labrador, made his excursion to Grand Manan and wrote lyrically of its scenery, the island has been visited from time to time by a long succession of naturalists and has had several competent resident observers. Reports upon its birds, spiders, marine algae, marine invertebrates, mosses, etc., have appeared, but though some dozen taxonomists have made collections on the island, relatively little has been published on its vascular flora. There are scattered records in Fowler's lists of the plants of New Brunswick, in Vroom's list of Charlotte County plants and in the Bulletin of the Natural History Society of New Brunswick; and a list of 261 species, considerably less than half of those now known, was published by H. F. Perkins, assisted by A. M. Covert, in the St. Croix Courier in 1895. But there have been no recent or at all comprehensive studies of the island flora.

The flora of Grand Manan offers none of the surprises and the dramatically broken ranges which characterize that of western Nova Scotia, but there are at least two reasons why a record of it at this time is worth making. In the first place, as in all inhabited areas of New England and the Maritime Provinces, the plant population is already much changed in its composition from its original state by the activities of man and in all probability will continue to change. What we now set down will be, a hundred or even fifty years hence, an historical record of conditions which may then be greatly altered, either toward the sort of flora, consisting largely of hardy and adaptable weeds, which one finds in thickly settled communities or in areas devastated by fire or reckless lumbering, or toward conditions as they were before the white man arrived. The second reason is that the flora of Grand Manan is fairly representative of a natural phytogeographic region. This we shall discuss in more detail, but first some account of the physiography and geology of the island, as they may affect the vegetation, should be given.

## Physiography and Geology

Grand Manan is the largest of a group of islands, or miniature archipelago (all of which is included in this flora), situated at the entrance of the Bay of Fundy and belonging politically to the Province of New Brunswick in Canada. The main island is about six miles distant from West Quoddy Head in Maine, the most easterly point in the United States. Lying to the east of Grand Manan, which is a little over fifteen miles in length and approaches seven miles in greatest width, there are nineteen smaller islands of different size. Those attaining a length of one mile or more are: White Head, Ross, Inner Wood, Cheney, Long and Kent Islands. The first two are much larger than the others. White Head Island is about 2.5 miles long and at one point, a ledge of white quartzite, contains the highest elevation reached on the smaller islands, namely, 74 ft . above sea-level. Long Island attains nearly an equal altitude.

Geologically, the group of islands is the emersed portion of an isolated height (monadnock), an upfaulted block which once, when the present Continental Shelf was out of water, rose to a height of perhaps a thousand feet above the Triassic lowland which now forms the floor of the Bay of Fundy. The main island, the axis of which runs in a nearly north and south direction, shows a striking contrast between its eastern and western shores. On the eastern side, the coast-line is greatly indented and in few localities is there a greater elevation than 80 ft . Small beaches of sand are found at Flagg's Cove, Bancroft Point, Grand Harbour, Seal Cove and Deep Cove, and a much better developed one, nearly a mile long, separates Long Pond and Great Pond from the ocean. Small shingle and mud beaches are common. There is a brackish marsh at Whale Cove and a salt marsh of considerable size at Castalia. The human population is restricted almost entirely to the eastern region of the island.

On the western side, from North Head to Southern Head, there is an almost unbroken line of cliffs, varying mostly from 200 to 400 feet in height, the only important breaks in the coast-line being at Money Cove and at Dark Harbour, where there is an inlet about half a mile in length, closed by a bar of shingle.

The western half of the island is a plateau with a relatively gentle slope toward the east. It is cut, almost longitudinally, by two long valleys, one running from near Dark Harbour southeast to Grand Harbour, the other from near the west coast at Little Dark Harbour to Seal Cove. Both are occupied by large brooks. Several smaller streams empty into the sea on the east, some of them through steepsided ravines; a few, flowing in the opposite direction from the crest,
have carved short ravines in the western cliffs, as at Dark Harbour, Money Cove and Little Dark Harbour.

There are barrier-beach ponds, brackish or fresh, on several of the smaller islands and at Whale Cove, Great and Long Ponds on the main island. Depressions on the upland of the main island are occupied by some fifteen small ponds. Two of them have sandy, gravelly, or rocky shores; the others are for the most part surrounded by more or less well-developed sphagnous bog.

The two areas above noted correspond to two main geological formations, bounded by a line drawn from Whale Cove in the north to a point about one mile east of Seal Cove in the south. To the west of this line the rocks are volcanic in origin, basaltic in nature and date from the Triassic period. On the eastern side the formation is partly volcanic, partly sedimentary in nature and dates from Palaeozoic time (Precambrian or Cepper Silurian). This series includes all the smaller islands and also Campobello and Deer islands near by and is of the same age as and similar to considerable areas on the north shore of the Bay of Fundy. The nearest counterpart of the basalt seems to be the dyke running from Digby Neck to Cape Blomidon on the northern shore of Nova Scotia.

The physiographic features of the entire group of islands are doubtless correlated with their geological history. The older series of Palaeozoic rocks, having been so much longer exposed to the forces of denudation and erosion, now exhibit a greatly indented coast-line of low elevation, with occasional bluffs where the rock was presumably harder. The smaller islands were doubtless at one time united with the main island, but the sinking of the Continental Shelf and constant erosion by the waves eventually resulted in the development of channels, leaving detached masses of land which are the islands of today. It is still possible during very low tides to travel on foot to Ross Island, Cheney Island and White Head Island in succession, and between any two of them at every low tide. All the small islands lie within the 10 -fathom limit.

The rocks of both formations are slightly calcareous and the mineral soils derived from them (and most soils in Grand Manan seem to be so derived) are weakly alkaline. Tests at some fifteen different localities, made in 1927 with a Lamotte soil-testing set, gave uniformly neutral or circumneutral pH values $(6+$ to $7+$ ). The alkalinity, however, is not enough to inhibit the formation of highly acid upland peat and of sphagnum bogs. Most of the poorly drained depressions on the islands are occupied by such bogs. At Bald Heath (west of Woodward's Cove), at Ingalls Point and on White Head Island are raised bogs quite as well developed, though not as large, as the better known
ones of eastern Maine. The alkalinity, however, is sufficient to affect noticeably the composition of the flora, as compared with that of eastern Maine. Blueberries, for instance, though by no means rare, are definitely less common than on the mainland and occur in abundance only on leached soils and in the borders of sphagnum bogs. Epigaea repens, not uncommon in Washington County, Maine, is not known at all on Grand Manan. On the other hand, the at least mildly calcicolous Carex aurea is one of the common sedges on Grand Manan and rare on the mainland; and the island probably owes to its slightly calcareous soil the presence of such species as Malaxis monophyllos, Betula pumila and Clematis verticillaris.

## Glaciation

The geologist Chalmers in 1890 came to the conclusion that although Grand Manan did not entirely escape the Pleistocene ice, glaciation was light and a large part of the eastern slope was unglaciated. To the layman, the evidence is confusing. Outcrops of basalt on the central plateau show pitted and irregular surfaces not at all smoothed off and striated as are the summits of similar trap dykes in southern New England. There is very little gravel which might be of glacial origin. But there are striae, running much more easterly than those on Campobello and Deer Island, at low elevations along the eastern shore (Chalmers found them at Swallowtail, Deep Cove and at several places between North Head and Grand Harbour); transported boulders have also been reported there. If this were all, one might imagine local glaciation on the lower levels of the ancient monadnock; but there is a rounded granite boulder some eight feet in its longest diameter high up on the central ridge west of Whale Cove.

In any case, glaciation or the lack of it has left no visible imprint on the flora.

## Forests

The vegetational aspect of the main island has changed notably even since our first visit in 1926, almost wholly as the result of lumbering. To the former local-and harmless-cutting of young, slender trees for fish-weirs and of others for masts, firewood, etc. has been added extensive getting out of pulp-logs. (On our first visit, if one looked west from the main highway between Mark Hill and Southern Head, he would see, beyond the nearby farm-clearings, almost unbroken spruce. Ells, mapping the island in 1904, marked all the western third as "old growth." Now, of this well-established old forest there remain only small areas near Southern Head and on Kent

Island. Its place has been taken by a ragged cover of trees not worth the lumberman's trouble and by new growth, and the rather delicately adapted species of the spruce woods, such as Epilobium palustre (typical), Listera cordata and other small orchids, are correspondingly reduced in numbers.

The central plateau is still covered with a forest, or the relics of a forest, mainly of red and white spruce, fir, and yellow and white birch on the uplands and of black spruce and tamarack in the sphagnous hollows. White cedar (Thuja) is confined to the eastern slope in a strip extending from Whale Cove to near Grand Harbour. Here it formerly produced dense, pure stands; though most of these have been cut, it is still common, though mostly of small size. In general, the forest on the east slope becomes much more varied than that of the upland and the proportion of broad-leaved trees and shrubs, both as to individuals and species, increases markedly. Islands of broadleaf forest of beech and maple are also to be found in the sheltered ravines of the west coast and at the top of the cliffs there are areas of nearly pure birch. These latter, however, may be partly due to the cutting out of spruce.

Spruce and fir reproduce well after cutting. A nearly bare hillside west of Whale Cove has become, since our first visit, well covered with a young and vigorous forest of these trees. White pine and oak have not been so fortunate. The former once occurred in considerable quantity; it is now represented only by a few scattered, for the most part sickly, individuals. Northern red oak was once present in sufficient quantity to support a barrel-stave factory; we have seen only a very few trees, the largest about two feet in diameter near the base, attended by a few seedlings or suckers. There is one known hemlock on the island.

Present conditions seem to represent a second stage in the development of the flora, which has apparently undergone some radical changes in the course of a century. Abraham Gesner, who, as provincial geologist, visited the island in 1839, reported that "the mountainous district" was then "covered with a fine growth of beech, birch and maple" and that "formerly the lower lands produced an immense growth of pine and spruce but the large timber has been consumed by fire, the great destroyer of American forests." This is almost startlingly different from the present state of things. Accepting Gesner's data as correct, the distribution of woodland species has been reversed, the spruce now prevailingly on the higher, the broad-leaved trees on the lower levels, and questions as to the reason for the reversal arise. It could be inferred that the hardwood forest on the uplands had become established at some period when the
climate was warmer and more favorable than at present; that it was able to persist after a climatic change for the worse but, once destroyed by lumbering or otherwise, could not reproduce itself and gave place to the hardier and more adaptable spruce and fir. But this is far from an inevitable conclusion; other causes-for instance, the great fire which, a half-century ago, swept the northern part of the island and must have destroyed much of the then existing leaf-mold-may have been quite as potent, or more so.

## Phytogeography and Climate

However changed, the existing vegetation of Grand Manan is not without phytogeographic interest. In an excellent little paper published in 1869, the geologist G. F. Matthew pointed out the presence in southwestern New Brunswick and the adjacent coastal regions of Maine of a small group of boreal species not otherwise to be found so far south except at a considerable altitude. He listed the following which occur on Grand Manan: Rubus Chamaemorus, Solidago thyrsoidea (S. macrophylla), Senecio Pseudo-Arnica, Empetrum nigrum, Vaccinium Vitis-idaea and Euphrasia officinalis (E. americana, mainly). As "one very obvious cause" of their persistence (for they are presumably to be regarded as relics left behind in the northward march of boreal vegetation in post-glacial time) he mentioned "the abundance of cool sea-fogs in summer time and consequent low temperature and moist atmosphere." Further investigations, those of Kennedy, Fernald and Wiegand, and Knowlton, have served to confirm and extend Matthew's conclusions. No one has yet found a better explanation than his of the presence of these species and others of like range subsequently discovered about the mouth of the Bay of Fundy, and characteristic of the flora of the region.

Matthew gave the mean summer temperature at St. John as $58.1^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit and the average number of completely foggy days per month as 5.7. Later observations made from 1905 to 1912 at St. John by D. Leavitt Hutchinson and published in the Bulletin of the Natural History Society of New Brunswick, show Matthew's figures to be essentially correct. The number of foggy days, however, is from six to eleven in July and August. In Grand Manan, according to Pettingill, the mean summer temperature is $50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. on the smaller islands and somewhat higher on the main island, where maximum temperatures of $85^{\circ}$ or $90^{\circ}$ have been occasionally, but only occasionally, registered. There are few evenings when a fire is not pleasant. There is a definite difference between the north and south ends of the main island, the latter, where fogs are more frequent, being the cooler. This is reflected in the local occurrences of some of the more particular
species of plants, such as Solidago macrophylla and Iris setosa var. canadensis, which are confined to the outer islands or the southern extremity of the main island.

On Kent Island, where Bowdoin College maintained a scientific station for some time, the lowest temperature observed during the winter of $1936-37$ was $4.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Snowfall is moderate in amount.
The exact limits of the relatively boreal phytogeographic province to which Grand Manan belongs have not been worked out, but, taking political boundaries for convenience, it may be taken to include Washington County, Maine, Charlotte and St. John counties and the southwestern portion of Kings County (roughly, that part west of the 66th meridian) in New Brunswick. It amounts to a sort of floristic island, bounded west and north by the comparatively austral vegetation of the Penobscot Valley and the middle St. John Valley and cut into by the also rather austral valley of the St. Croix. ${ }^{1}$ It corresponds, with considerable extension east and west, to Ganong's Passamaquoddy physiographic province, and since the bay of that name lies nearly in its center, we may speak of its vegetation as the Passamaquoddy flora. In giving data as to the local distribution of individual species in the list we have also, for purposes of comparison, included the western counties of Nova Scotia, especially Digby and Annapolis, which also have a cool and foggy summer climate.

The Passamaquoddy vegetation is definitely of the Canadian type. That is, the forest has a large proportion of spruce and fir and the flora in general includes, along with many species of wide and generalized distribution, a noteworthy percentage of species ranging from Newfoundland to Minnesota or Manitoba and south to northern New Jersey and northeastern Pennsylvania. Some of them lack the westward extension to the Great Lakes region; others have a southern arm along the Appalachians; but all have their centers and the greater part of their ranges within the area covered by the Pleistocene glaciers. Of the 513 native species known in Grand Manan, approximately $60 \%$ have their northeastern limits in Newfoundland or Labrador. Fifty (about $9 \%$ ) reach Greenland; 163 (roughly $30 \%$ ) cross the continent from Greenland or Newfoundland to Alaska or British Columbia. One hundred (about $19 \%$ ) have the typical Canadian range as defined above. 142 (approximately $26 \%$ ) are predominantly southern, having their northeastern outposts in the Maritime Provinces and a considerable part of their ranges south of the glaciated regions.

The Passamaquoddy region, then, is noteworthy as a southwestward projection of a Canadian flora, reinforced by a few still more boreal

[^51]species. Because of its small area, Grand Manan has by no means all the Passamaquoddy species; but because of its insular position and the coldness of the water around it, ${ }^{2}$ it does harbor a good representation of the species which give the Passamaquoddy area its distinctive floristic tinge. Its phytogeographic interest centers in six small groups of species, as follows.
I. Boreal species, in New England (except northern Maine) chiefly montane, in the sense that they occur principally within Fenneman's White Mountain and Green Mountain physiographic sections, and commonly at relatively high altitudes; their distribution probably controlled by climatic factors.

Cystopteris fragilis (typical)
Dryopteris spinulosa var. americana Polystichum Braunii
Scirpus cespitosus var. callosus
Pyrus decora
Rubus Chamaemorus

Empetrum nigrum
Viola labradorica
Epilobium palustre (typical)
Solidago macrophylla
Aster foliaceus
Prenanthes trifoliolata var. nana
II. Relatively boreal species, somewhat isolated on Grand Manan, or with one or two stations in the Passamaquoddy area, otherwise not known nearer than the central Penobscot Valley or Aroostook County, Maine, and not primarily upland species in New England, their distribution probably controlled by a preference for calcareous soils. Of these, only Rhamnus alnifolia is known from western Nova Scotia.

Carex tenuiflora
Malaxis monophyllos

Betula pumila
Rhamnus alnifolia
III. Halophytes or maritime species, circumboreal or with ranges centering on the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and reaching their southwestern limit in our area or somewhat farther along the coast of Maine. These species are not known on the eastern coast of New Brunswick nor, except Iris setosa var. canadensis, on the inner part of the Bay of Fundy. They reach our area by way of Prince Edward Island or the Magdalen Islands, Cape Breton, and the outer coast of Nova Scotiaa distribution which suggests that they may have occupied the outer rim of the Continental Shelf which once carried the shore-line some 150 miles south and east of what is now Nova Scotia, and were not able to follow the receding shore when the shelf was submerged except at certain points, mostly those which required the least travel. Possibly they reached Grand Manan and the Maine coast by way of the

[^52]rather deep estuaries (now submarine) of the Gulf of Maine, which might have afforded them shore habitats when the Bay of Fundy was still dry land well back from the ocean.

Such conjecture, though intriguing, is not to be taken too seriously. The number of species concerned is too small and the distances too short, for safe generalization. The normal thinning out of species toward the limits of their range and possibly even accidents of collecting,' may account for the phenomena here reported. Nevertheless, when the thinning out falls into definite patterns in even a few species, that fact is suggestive and may well be put on record. ${ }^{4}$

Scirpus rufus var. neogaeus
Carex Mackenziei
Iris setosa var. canadensis

Sagina nodosa (typical)
Sedum Rosea
Senecio Pseudo-Arnica
IV. Maritime species, with northeastern limits in Cape Breton or Newfoundland, ranging south to Virginia or the Carolinas, which occur on the eastern coast of New Brunswick, but are not known from the inner Bay of Fundy.

Tillaea aquatica
Euphorbia polygonifolia Limosella subulata
V. Southern species, not maritime, which are known in Maine from the lower Penobscot Valley or Mt. Desert ${ }^{5}$, but not north or east of those points, and reach their eastern limits in Grand Manan or Nova Scotia-a range which suggests a migration-route along the Continental Shelf.

Carex Swanii
Ceratophyllum echinatum
Cardamine parviflora var. arenicola

Bartonia paniculata
Utricularia gibba
Houstonia caerulea
VI. Southern non-maritime species, reaching the upper Penolscot Valley, northeastern Maine, the middle St. John Valley, eastern New Brunswick (except Carex tincta), and in one case (Epilobium strictum) also Prince Edward Island and Cape Breton. Only Juncus Dudleyi reaches the mainland of Nova Scotia and that at a single

[^53]station. Its range and that of Clematis verticillaris may be controlled by soil-preference.

Carex tincta
Juncus Dudleyi

Clematis verticillaris
Epilobium strictum

We have made no attempt to list plant associations. That was to have been done, and we hope may still be done, by Prof. E. W. B. Chase. Those interested in such matters may get some information relating to Grand Manan from Klugh's paper (see the bibliography) and may construct some of the more obvious associations, such as those of peat-bogs, from the habitats given in the present list.

The following species are known in the Passamaquoddy area from Grand Manan only. Those marked with an asterisk occur in western Nova Scotia.

Polystichum Braunii
Botrychium angustisegmentum
*Potamogeton Oakesianus
*Potamogeton Berchtoldi var. tenuissimus
*Scirpus rufus
Carex tenuiflora
*Carex Swanii

Ceratophyllum echinatum
*Tillaea aquatica
*Euphorbia polygonifolia
*Bartonia paniculata
*Teucrium canadense
*Utricularia gibba
*Utricularia purpurea
*Senecio Pseudo-Arnica
*Polygonum punctatum

## Previous Collectors and Records

A. E. Verrille, 1859. Verrill, later a distinguished zoölogist and at the time of his visit to Grand Manan a student of Agassiz, went to the islands to collect sea-birds' eggs, for a study of embryos in various stages of development. Being also interested in botany, he collected a few plants, about a dozen species in all. Among them was Senecio Pseudo-Arnica, one of the most notable species of the islands. This was its first collection in the area then covered by Gray's Manual; it was duly recorded in the fifth edition of that work in 1867. Three specimens of Verrill's are at Yale University; the others are in Rothrock's herbarium.
J. T. Rothrock, 1861. Rothrock, later a well-known botanical explorer and professor at the University of Pennsylvania, was in 1861 a pupil of Asa Gray. He was well acquainted with Verrill and shared in the working up of some of the latter's botanical collections. He may have been stimulated to visit Grand Manan by Verrill's discovery of the Senecio. The list of species he collected shows that he must have been on the island for some time, probably from early summer to midsummer. His herbarium, including about 130 species from

Grand Manan, is at the Chicago Natural History Museum; a few Grand Manan duplicates are at the Gray Herbarium.
G. U. Hay, 1879-1895. Hay, an amateur botanist, for many years one of the leaders of the Natural History Society of New Brunswick, and a fairly energetic collector, made several visits to Grand Manan. His primary interest there was in marine algae, but he collected a few vascular plants. His specimens are at the New Brunswick Museum, with a few duplicates at the Gray Herbarium and perhaps elsewhere.
J. Vroom, 1880. Vroom was a school-teacher in Maine and later editor of the St. Croix Courier at St. Stephen. He was a keen amateur botanist; as above noted, he published in 1887 a carefully compiled list of the vascular plants of Charlotte County, New Brunswick. He seems to have visited Grand Manan in 1880. So far as we know, he made no very extensive collections anywhere; such specimens of his as we have seen are in the New Brunswick Museum and at the Gray Herbarium.
W. F. Ganong, 1888, 1889 (with Kingo Miyabe). Ganong, professor of botany at Smith College and an indefatigable investigator of almost everything relating to his native New Brunswick, noted several species from Grand Manan in his interleaved copy of Fowler's list, now preserved at the New Brunswick Museum. We have seen no specimens collected by him on the islands, though some at the Gray Herbarium labelled merely "Charlotte County" may have come from them.
J. R. Churchill, 1891. Churchill, for many years judge of one of the municipal courts at Boston, was an ardent amateur botanist. His ambition was to build up an herbarium which should include specimens of all the species in Gray's Manual, all collected by his own hands. In the course of a long life, he came fairly close to achieving this object. He spent parts of July and August on Grand Manan and collected 73 species. The first set of his specimens is at the Missouri Botanical Garden. A very full duplicate set is at the Gray Herbarium and smaller sets may be found in several American herbaria.
H. F. Perkins, about 1894. We have little information about Perkins. He seems to have lived at Grand Harbour for a time; he also resided at Blisscille, Sunbury County, New Brunswick. He was a member of the Committee on Botany of the Natural History Society of New Brunswick in 1896. His "Preliminary List of Plants found growing on Grand Manan Island" has already been mentioned. It is a bare enumeration of Latin and English names, arranged under families, practically without data as to habitat or anything else. No grasses or sedges are included. It suffers from numerous misprints,
no doubt due to newspaper compositors setting up unfamiliar Latin names from handwritten manuscript. Nevertheless, it is generally accurate and is a document of some importance for the botany of the islands. So far as we know, the only copy of it in existence is in the file of the St. Croix Courier at its office at St. Stephen. We have been courteously permitted to have three type-written copies of it made; these are deposited at the New Brunswick Museum, the Gray Herbarium and the library of the Geological Society at Ottawa.

Two specimens only collected by Perkins are at the New Brunswick Museum, one of them Senecio P'seudo-Arnica, which is not in his list.
A. B. Kleqh, 1909. Klugh, professor at Queen's L'niversity at Kingston, Ontario, spent the summer of 1909 in field work in Charlotte County. He published in 1912 a study of its plant associations, including several records from Grand Manan. We do not know the present location of his herbarium; some duplicates are at the Gray Herbarium and at the New Brunswick Museum.

Various other visitors have made small collections on Grand Manan, or have kept records of species seen. Prof. W. G. Farlow of Harvard University got a few specimens in 1902. Mr. S. N. F. Sanford of the Boston Society of Natural History collected 28 species in 1913; his specimens are in his private herbarium. Dr. C. B. Graves of New London, Connecticut, made a short visit in 1928 and compiled a list of 74 species which he observed, including a few not before recorded, but got no specimens. Mr. C. H. Knowlton was on the main island and Kent Island for a few days in 1934; his specimens, collected with the first author, are at the Gray Herbarium. Prof. E. W. B. Chase, of Wayne C'niversity, Detroit, spent a month in the islands in 1938 and made collections with a view to studying plant associations; her material has never been worked up. We have seen scattered specimens obtained by other collectors; these are noted in the body of the list.

In addition, Miss Marie R. Felix, long a summer resident, for some years kept a card catalogue of species noted by her and her associates. This is not backed by specimens, but contains many authentic and useful records.

Of the above, we have seen at least the critical material from the Rothrock and Verrill collections, such of Klugh's duplicates as are at the Gray Herbarium and the New Brunswick Museum and all of the other collections except Prof. Chase's.

The first-named author and his wife have, in the course of the years from 1926 to 1944 , made five visits of two to three weeks' and one of eight weeks' duration. They have covered all of the road-system of the main island, crossed it at three points where there are no roads
and visited all but three of the small ponds in the interior. They have also visited most of the outlying islands, in some cases repeatedly. The second author also covered the road-system thoroughly. The first set of the Weatherby collections is at the Gray Herbarium, with duplicates at the Canadian National Museum and the United States National Herbarium, and there are smaller sets at several other institutions: the Adams plants are at the Division of Botany, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa.

## The Map

The accompanying map is reproduced, by permission, from the Anna Buchanan Charles Memorial map of the Islands, prepared by her son, Buchanan Charles, and published under the auspices of the Grand Manan Historical Society. As here reduced, its scale is approximately 2.75 miles to the inch. The heary outline represents average high-tide level, the light line outside it, low-tide level. The stippled areas between are out of water at low tide. The numbered circles show the location of fish-weirs.
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In compiling the list which follows, we have attempted little original taxonomic investigation, for the most part following the classification now in use at the Gray Herbarium; we have, however, endeavored to keep abreast of contemporary work. We have kept the familiar Engler and Prantl sequence of families and genera, as in current manuals, except in the ferns and grasses, where an order believed to be more in accord with the lines of development in nature and accepted by most specialists in those groups, has been adopted. For species and varieties described or revived since the appearance of the seventh edition of Gray's Manual and for most new names, places of publication are given. Where names used in current manuals have been changed, the manual names are given as synonyms. Accepted names of native species are printed in bold-face type; those of introduced species and of varieties mentioned in the text in small capitals. This, it will be remembered, is the method of Gray's Manual and should be readily followed and understood. In order to save space, headings for families and genera have been omitted. It is expected that this list will be used in connection with current manuals from which any deficiencies in this respect can be easily made up.

Under each species the name of the person who first collected or recorded it on Grand Manan is given, in italics if a specimen of his collecting is extant, in roman if there is only a report. The italicized collector's names amount, of course, to a citation of their specimens; we have also cited our own collections under the more critical species, those of the second author, who did not number his specimens, by name, those of the first-named author and his associates by collectionnumber.

Varieties are, for the most part, mentioned in notes under their respective species, with a few words of description when they do not appear in current manuals. When, however, a species was originally described from Europe or some other more or less remote region, when its typical element does not occur in our area and the element which does has been separated as a variety, the varietal name is usually given in the heading, though we have not been wholly consistent in this respect. Some especially well marked varieties, often treated as species, are also given separate headings, even though the typical varieties of their species also occur in the Passamaquoddy area.

Only such English names are given as we know or believe to be in actual use in eastern North America. Local names are recorded when we know them; we have made no special effort to collect them. The orthography of compound English names has presented some difficulties. It is far from consistent in current manuals. Where it has been forced into consistency, as in Standardized Plant Names in which
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every compound is written as one word, it goes so far beyond accepted usage as to appear merely grotesque. We have endeavored to achieve some degree of consistency and some of consonance with usage (insofar as any articulate usage exists) by following a method suggested by Mr. Donald C. Peattie. The gist of this is that in English names in which the principal element is the name of a definite group of plants, such as oak and rose, and the other element an adjective, or noun in apposition, the two are treated as are the generic and specific elements in a Latin name and written as two words-e. g., red oak, sugar maple. All other names of more than one word are to be treated as compounds and written as one word or hyphenated-e. g., blueberry, wake-robin. In the first class, we have made an exception of "grass." This covers so wide and heterogeneous an aggregate and $\mathfrak{r}$ as been used so much for plants which are, botanically, not grasses at all, that we have preferred to treat it as a generalized term, like "weed" and "wort" and to write names of which it is a part as compounds. We believe that in so doing we approximate existing usage.
Most of the records here given are backed by specimens; some, of particularly well-known and unmistakable species, are not. We have, however, admitted only four species which we bave not actually seen and recognized in Grand Manan.

## LIST OF SPECIES

## Lycopodium lucidulum Michx. Club Moss.

Cool, moist woods: Eel Brook Lake; Third Pond; Little Dark Harbour.
The name "club moss" applies to all but one of the species of Lycopodium which occur on Grand Manan.

## Lycopodium annotinum $L$.

In cool woods and persistent in clearings: frequent. 5771. Var. Acrifolium Fernald, Rhodora, 17: 24 (1915), with entire leaves, occurs near Rich Pond. 7307.
Lycopodium clavatum L. "Creeping Jenny" (Bergen); some species, probably this, also called "Foxtail" at St. Andrews.
Rather dry woods and clearings: occasional.
The abundant spores of this and other species have been used, like talcum powder, to prevent chafing.
Lycopodium obscurum L., var. dendroideum (Michx.) D. C. Eaton. Dryish woods; rather frequent. Rothrock.
Lycopodium complanatum L., var. flabelliforme Fernald. Ground Pine; Ground Cedar.
Dry wood-margins and clearings: occasional, at least in the northern part of the main island and as far south as Spruce Hill.

Isoëtes Braunii Durieu. Quillwort.
Shallow water of Grand Harbour Brook at crossing of Dark Harbour road. 5626.

## Equisetum arvense L. Horsetail.

Various habitats: common. In shade the long-branched forma nemorosum (A. Br.) Klinge. Perkins' list.

For growth-forms of this species see Victorin in Contrib. Lab. Bot. Univ. Montréal, 9: 21-37; 111-118 (1927).
Equisetum sylvaticum L., var. pauciramosum Milde.
Damp, shaded places: common. Churchill.
Var. pauciramosum, with smooth branches, is the principal American representative of the species. The Grand Manan material belongs with the more luxuriant and freely branched phase distinguished as forma multiramosum Fernald and treated as a separate variety by Wherry. See Rhodora, 20: 129-131 (1918); Amer. Fern Journ. 27: 58 (1937).

A form bearing small cones on the branches, analogous to the European E. sylvaticum, forma polystachyum Milde, was collected by Rothrock (specimen in Gray Herbarium).

Botrychium multifidum (Gmel.) Rupr. Grape Fern. B. ternatum (Thunb.) Sw., var. rutaefolium (A. Br.) D. C. Eaton; B. matricariae Schrank.
Grassy places in old clearings and wood-roads: occasional. Perkins (as B. lunarioides; specimen in herb. New Brunswick Museum); 5546. This number was referred by Clausen, Mem. Torrey Bot. Club, 19: 29 (1938) to B. multifidum, var. silaifolium (Presl) Broun (B.ternatum, var. intermedium).

## Botrychium dissectum Spreng.

Grassy clearings: near Eel Brook Lake; Money Cove.
Here near its northeastern limit. Not known from Washington County, Maine, though occurring in western Nova Scotia and reported from Hampton, New Brunswick, by W. J. S. Myles in Bull. Nat. Hist. Soc. New Brunswick, 39: 345 (1911).

## Botrychium simplex Hitchcock.

Moist fields and old clearings: Whale Cove; valley of Seal Cove Brook.
The specimens from the former station (7266), with blade high up on the common stalk, are referable to var. Laxifolita Clausen, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club, $64: 277$, pl. 7 (1937). At the latter station, three plants were seen, one large and with ternately divided blade (forma compositcm (Lasch) Milde), the others smaller and simpler and referable to typical $B$. simplex. For an account of the grape ferns and adder's-tongues, see Clausen in Mem. Torrey Bot. Club, vol. 19 (1938). Varieties and forms are also treated in Amer. Fern Journ. 25: 47-51 (1935).

Botrychium matricariaefolium A. Br.
Dry clearing, Indian Beach trail. Perkins.
Botrychium angustisegmentum (Pease \& Moore) Fernald. B. lanceolatum of manuals in part.
Clearing near Eel Brook Lake.
The nearest known stations are in Annapolis County, Nova Scotia, and at Fredericton, New Brunswick. The species is not known in coastal Maine east of Lincoln County.

For the name, see Fernald in Rhodora, 17:87 (1918). Like the original authors, Clausen treats our plant as a subdivision of $B$. lanceolatum.

Ophioglossum vulgatum L., var. pseudopodum (Blake) Farwell. Adder's-tongue.
Moist or dry, usually grassy places: Second and Third Ponds; Swamp Road, North Head; southwest of Castalia; valley of Seal Cove Brook. In sphagnum: Mark Hill.

Var. pseudopodum, as defined by Fernald in Rhodora, 41: 494-498 (1939), includes all the adder's-tongue of northeastern North America. Clausen does not recognize it.
Osmunda regalis L., var. spectabilis (Willd.) A. Gray. Royal Fern.
Wet places: Eel Brook; Whale Cove swamp: Indian Beach and Money Cove trails; valley of Seal Cove Brook. Perkins.

For the variety, the North American representative of the species, see Rhodora, 32: 72 (1930).

Osmunda Claytoniana L. Interrupted Fern.
Moist thickets and clearings: occasional. Rothrock.
Osmunda cinnamomea L. Cinnamon Fern.
Low woods and clearings: frequent. Rothrock.
Woodsia ilvensis (L.) R. Br. Rusty Woodsia.
Exposed ledges: Whale Cove cliffs; near Eel Brook Lake. Perkins.
Cystopteris fragilis (L.) Bernh. Bladder Fern.
Cool or exposed habitats: western cliffs; Eel Brook ravine. Churchill; 5527 ; 5557 . Var. Mackayir Lawson occurs on shaded, rocky slopes at Money Cove and Little Dark Harbour. 5600.
C. fragilis (typical) is nearly cosmopolitan, occurring, in one form or another, in all parts of the world except Australia. It is here near its southern limit in coastal North America. In New England (except northern Maine), it is chiefly montane. It is not certainly known from western Nova Scotia. Var. Mackayii largely replaces it from Nova Scotia southwestward. See Rhodora, 36: 373 (1934).

Onoclea sensibilis L. Sensitive Fern.
Wet or moist open or somewhat shaded places: frequent. Perkins. Sensitive to frost, hence the name.

Dryopteris noveboracensis (L.) A. Cray. New York Fern.
Forming dense colonies in moist, chiefly mixed woods, and in clearings: North Head; Indian Beach and Money Cove trails; Castalia; David Watt Pond; Mark Hill.

Dryopteris Thelypteris (L.) A. (iray, var. pubescens (Lawson) A. R. Prince. Marsh Fern.
Marshes and wet woods: occasional. Perkins (with doubt).
Typical D. Thelypteris is European. For the variety (representative of the species in eastern North America) see Rhodora, $31: 34$ (1929) and Amer. Fern Journ. 26: 94 (1936).
Dryopteris Phegopteris (L.) C. Chr. Beech Fern. Phegopteris polypodioides Fée
Common in old woods and persistent on banks and in clearings. Rothrock.

Dryopteris disjuncta (Iedeb.) Morton. Oak Fern. D. Limnaeana C'. Chr. Phegopteris Dryopteris (L.) Fée.
Cold woods and persistent in clearings: frequent. Rothrock.
Christensen and other recent authors separate the four preceding species from Iryopteris as the genus Thelypteris. Others treat them as two genera, Thelypteris for the first two species, Phegopteris for the last two. Ching erects a separate genus for the oak fern under the name Gymnocarpium Newm.; Currania Copeland has also been used for it.

## Dryopteris marginalis (L.) A. Gray. Shield Fern. Wood Fern.

In considerable quantity in woods at Money Cove; occasional in dry, deciduous woods elsewhere. Rothrock.
Dryopteris cristata (L.) A. Gray.
Wet woods: frequent. Sanford.
$\times$ Dryopteris Boottii (Tuckerm.) Underw.
Moist woods: Money Cove trail; trail to Bald Heath. Perkins. Generally regarded as a hybrid of D. cristata and D. spinulosa, var. intermedia.
Dryopteris spinulosa (O. F. Muell.) Watt.
Wet or moist woods: rare. 5704.
Dryopteris spinulosa, var. americana (Fisch.) Fernald. Aspidium spinulosum, var. dilatutum, forma anadenium B. L. Robinson.
Abundant and luxuriant in moist, mossy old woods, preferring spruce where not too thick, and persistent, though stunted, in clearings. Once
the commonest fern of the islands, now reduced in numbers by the clearing of the woods. Rothrock; 5525.

Like Cystopteris fragilis, this is a chiefly northern fern, following the Maine coast southwest as far as Boothbay, but in New England (except northern Maine) chiefly montane.

Dryopteris spinulosa, var. intermedia (Muhl.) Underw. "Fancy Fern" of florists.
Moist, mossy woods: Money Cove ravine and rather rarely elsewhere. Forms intermediate between this and the preceding variety occur occasionally. For the varieties of $D$. spinulosa (often treated as species), see Amer. Fern Journ. 26: 65-69 (1936).

Polystichum Braunii (Spenner) Fée, var. Purshii Fernald. Holly Fern. Rich, deciduous woods: Money Cove.
Fredericton, New Brunswick ( J. Moser; specimen in New Brunswick Museum); otherwise not known nearer than Colchester County, Nova Scotia, Restigouche and Victoria Counties, New Brunswick, and Aroostook and Franklin Counties, Maine. One of northern species whose presence near sea-level in this latitude give the Passamaquoddy flora its distinctive character. For the variety (the American plant) see Rhodora, 30: 30 (1928).

Dennstaedtia punctilobula (Michx.) Moore. Hay-scented Fern. Pasture Fern. Dicksonia punctilobula (Michx.) A. Gray.
Dry, open woods and clearings: frequent. Perkins.
Athyrium thelypterioides (Michx.) Desv. Silvery Spleenwort. A. acrostichoides (Sw.) Diels (1899), not A. acrostichoideum Bory ex Mérat (1836).
Moist thickets: Eel Brook; Indian Beach trail; Stanley Brook; Dark Harbour road. Perkins. The species reaches its northeastward limit in Nova Scotia.

Athyrium angustum (Willd.) Presl. Lady Fern. Asplenium and Athyrium Filix-femina of manuals in part.
Moist, chiefly deciduous or mixed woods and clearings: frequent. Most of the specimens seen belong to the form distinguished as var. laurentianum Butters. Here they tend to be rather strongly cespitose, the stipes short and the blades conspicuously narrowed toward the base, thus approximating in appearance true A. Filix-femina of Europe (5548, 5549). The woodland phase, var. rubellum (Gilbert) Butters, has been seen at Whale Cove, at Dark Harbour and on Ross Island, and may be expected anywhere in moist, mixed or deciduous woods. For descriptions of the varieties recognized by Butters, see Rhodora, 19: 191ff. (1917) or Amer. Fern Journ. 26: 132-133 (1936).

Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn, var. latiusculum (Desv.) Underw. Bracken. Pteris aquilina of manuals in part.
Dry ground in open woods and clearings in the more acid soils: frequent. Rothrock. For the name, see Tryon in Rhodora, 43: 13, 41 (1941).
Polypodium virginianum L. Polypody. P. vulgare of manuals in part; regarded by Christensen as no more than a variety of that species.
On mossy, shaded rocks: Fish Head; Money Cove; White Head Island. See Rhodora, 24: 125-142 (1922).

Taxus canadensis Marsh. Ground Hemlock.
Mixed woods: Indian Beach; west of Castalia (Allan Moses).
Pinus Strobus L. White Pine.
Upland or low woods: formerly in considerable quantity (Allan Moses); now reduced by fire and cutting to scattered, at present usually sickly trees. Perkins.

Larix laricina (duRoi) Koch. Tamarack.
Usually in wet, often sphagnous woods: frequent. Rothrock.
Picea glauca (Moench) Voss. White Spruce. Cat Spruce. Skunk Spruce. P. canadensis (Mill.) BSP.
Upland woods: common; one of the dominant species in the island forest. Perkins.
In thin, pastured woods on Swallowtail occur two trees of a "snake" form, with all branches elongated and with very few branchlets (Ray Gilmore).
P. rubens Sarg. Red Spruce. $P$. rubra (duRoi) Link (1831), not $P$. rubra Dietr. (1824).
One of the common and dominant trees in upland woods.
Picea mariana (Mill.) BSP. Black Spruce.
Wetter and more acid woods and borders of sphagnum bogs: in these habitats common and replacing the red and white spruces. Perkins, as Abies nigra and probably including $P$. rubens. Usually the black spruce does not make a symmetrical growth; large trees can be recognized at a distance by their ragged outline.

Abies balsamea (L.) Mill. Balsam Fir.
Upland woods: common and one of the dominant trees. Rothrock.
Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carr. Hemlock.
One tree in ravine of Dock Brook (Allan Moses).

Thuja occidentalis L. Arbor-vitae. White Cedar.
Usually in wet woods on the east slope from Whale Cove to near Grand Harbour; formerly in dense, pure stands, now reduced to scattered, but still frequent, small trees. Rothrock.

Juniperus communis L., var. depressa Pursh. Juniper.
Open banks: common. Hay, as J. communis, var. alpina, in Bull. Nat. Hist. Soc. New Brunswick, 6: 82 (1887) and the same year in Vroom's list, with the determination questioned.
Juniperus horizontalis Moench.
Forming dense mats on cliff-summits and dry, stony banks elsewhere: common. Hay, 1879 (specimen in New Brunswick Museum).
$J$. horizontalis occurs in two forms, one with bright-green leaves (5621), the other with the leaves strongly glaucous (5620). There appear to be no other differences. The two forms often grow in adjacent patches contrasting strongly in color.

## Typha latifolia L. Cat-tail.

Wet, open places: occasional. Perkins.

## Typha angustifolia $L$.

Border of fresh or slightly brackish barrier-beach pond, Nantucket Island. 7335.

Nova Scotia is the northeastern limit for this species in North America; it has not been reported from New Brunswick.
Sparganium americanum Nutt. Bur Reed.
Shallow water: Eel Brook Lake; Rich Pond; David Watt Pond.
Sparganium chlorocarpum Rydb. S. diversifolium of manuals, not Graebn.
Slow-flowing water-course, trail to Bald Heath. 5761. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. acaule (Beeby) Fernald.

## Sparganium angustifolium Michx.

Often in relatively deep water: Whistle road; Wilson's Pond; White Head Island. $5746,6627,7040$.

## Sparganium minimum Fries.

Still water of Grand Harbour Brook at crossing of Dark Harbour Road. Rothrock; 5627. Not reported from southwestern New Brunswick.
Potamogeton natans L. Pondweed.
In water, often comparatively deep, of ponds: Third Pond. Perkins, with doubt.
Potamogeton Oakesianus Robbins.
Lily Pond (Bradford Cove Pond). 6632.

Though frequent in western Nova Scotia, not known from southwestern New Brunswick, nor in southern Maine east of Mt. Desert.
Potamogeton epihydrus Raf., var. Nuttallii (C. \& S.) Fernald.
Shallow water of ponds or slow-flowing streams: Eel Brook Lake; Ross Island; Miller Pond; near Mark Hill; Inner Wood Island.

Potamogeton gramineus L. P. heterophyllus Schreb.
Shallow water: Eel and Grand Harbour brooks. 6624, 5625, 7310. Not known from Washington County, Maine.

Potamogeton perfoliatus L., var. bupleuroides (Fern.) Farwell.
Slow-flowing, perhaps brackish, water of outlet of Great Pond.
Potamogeton Berchtoldi Fieber. P. pusillus of manuals, not L.
Great Pond; fragments washed up on shore. 7284. The material seen belongs with var. tenuissimus (Mert. \& Koch) Fernald.

Not otherwise known from the Passamaquoddy area, though ranging north to Newfoundland and south to Pennsylvania.

Ruppia maritima L. Ditch-grass.
Brackish pools: Indian Beach; Whale Cove; Castalia; Inner Wood Island. The Grand Manan plant is var. rostrata Agardh.
Zostera marina L. Eel-grass.
Shallow salt water: Dark Harbour; Nantucket Island.
Triglochin maritima L. Arrow-grass.
Saline marshes: common. Churchill.

## Triglochin palustris L.

Salt marshes: Castalia; Thoroughfare; Ross Island; Inner Wood Island; White Head Island. Perkins.

## Bromus ciliatus L. Brome-grass

Overgrown roadside, Back Road. The var. intonsus Fernald, Rhodora, 32: 70 (1930), with pubescent sheaths, occurs on the Money Cove trail.
Festuca elatior L. Meadow Fescue.
Grassland: Whale Cove, where doubtfully an escape; Seal Cove. Native of Eurasia.
Festuca rubra L. Fescue.
Open places and upper beaches: common, and very variable. Rothrock; 5491. Specimens with stiff, glaucous foliage, from Wood Island (6942) are referable to var. Juncea (Hack.) Richter.

Festuca capillata Lam.
Forming dense clumps in old woodroads in dry ground: Whale Cove; Back Road; west of Mark Hill. Native of Europe.

Puccinellia maritima (Huds.) Parl. Goose-grass.
Salt marshes: Castalia. 5538, 5539.
Puccinellia paupercula (Holm) Fern. \& Weath., var. alaskana (Scribn. \& Merr.) Fern. \& Weath. Rhodora, 18: 18 (1916).
Salt marshes: Castalia; Dark Harbour; Thoroughfare; White Head Island. 5503.

Glyceria canadensis (Michx.) Trin. Rattlesnake-grass.
Wet, open or somewhat shaded places: frequent.
Glyceria striata (Lam.) Hitchc. Fowl Meadow-grass. G. nervata (Willd.) Trin.
Wet places, both open and shaded: frequent.
Glyceria grandis S. Wats. Reed Meadow-grass.
Wet, open places: frequent. Forming large stands in ditches along the main road south of Woodward's Cove. Forma pallescens Fernald, Rhodora, 23: 231 (1921), with yellowish spikelets, occurs on Money Cove trail.

Glyceria Fernaldii (Hitche.) St. John.
Wet place in woods, east of Back Road. We have seen no specimens from southwestern New Brunswick.

Poa annua L. Spear-grass.
Weed in moist ground: North Head; Inner Wood Island; Kent Island. Native of Eurasia.
Poa compressa L. Wire-grass.
An occasional weed in dry ground. Rothrock. Native of Eurasia.
Poa pratensis L. Kentucky Blue-grass.
In various habitats and, as usual, of very various aspect: frequent. Especially noticeable is a phase occurring in subsaline habitats, where it forms a close turf with low culms and reddish-tinged spikelets. 7008. Introduced from Europe and now thoroughly naturalized.
Poa trivialis L. Rough-stalked Meadow-grass.
Wet place by spring, Whale Cove swamp and in ditches in North Head village. Native of Europe.
Poa palustris L. Fowl Meadow-grass.
Moist, open or shaded, ground: frequent or common. Rothrock.
Agropyron repens (L.) Beauv. Quack-grass. Quitch-grass.
Upper beaches and dry, open places generally: common. Forma aristatum (Schum.) Holmb., with awned lemmas, occurs on White Head Island.

Agropyron trachycaulum (Link) Steud., var. majus (Vasey) Fernald, Rhodora, 35: 171 (1933). A. caninum of manuals in part; A. pauciflorum (Schwein.) Hitchc.
On sea-cliffs: Whale Cove.
Elymus arenarius L., var. villosus E. Mey. Strand Wheat.
Beaches of sand or fine shingle: usually to be found wherever the upper part of such beaches does not abut on cliffs but forms relatively level areas above tide-level.

Elymus virginicus L. Terrell-grass.
Dark Harbour road (Adams; these specimens with lemmas, but not glumes, pubescent); a small colony at top of beach among Ammophila and Agropyron, Kent Island.

Hordeum subatum L. Squirrel-tail Grass.
A weed on upper beaches and roadsides: Castalia; Grand Harbour. Here probably adventive.

Deschampsia flexuosa (L.) Trin. Hair-grass.
Dry, open ground: frequent.
Danthonia spicata (L.) Beauv. White Oat-grass.
Dry, open places: frequent. Sanford. Var. pinetorum Piper, with obscurely veined glumes and basal leaves not curled (See Rhodora, 45: 242), occurs on the shaded bank of a stream under a trap ledge at Deep Cove. 6637. Another form, not yet identified, with open inflorescence, occurs rather frequently in clearings and wood-roads.

Calamagrostis canadensis (Michx.) Beauv. Blue-joint Grass.
Wet, open places: very common.
Ammophila breviligulata Fernald, Rhodora, 22: 71 (1920). Beachgrass. A. arenaria of manuals, not Link.
Sandy beaches: North Head; Castalia; Nantucket Island; Long Pond Beach. In large quantity where it occurs.
Agrostis palustris Huds. Creeping Bent. A. alba L., var. maritima (Lam.) Koch.
Borders of salt marshes and in wet places elsewhere: common.
Agrostis alba L. Redtop.
Grassland: common. At least partly introduced as a forage-grass.
Agrostis tenuis Sibth. Rhode Island Bent. A. alba var. vulgaris (With.) Thurb.
Grass-lands. Native of Europe, thoroughly naturalized.

Agrostis scabra Willd. Hair-grass. A. hyemalis of manuals, probably not Cornucopiae hyemalis Walt.
Wet or dry open places: frequent.
Agrostis perennans (Walt.) Tuckerm. Upland Bent.
Borders of woods and moist, shaded places generally : frequent. Most Grand Manan plants belong to var. aestivalis Vasey, with pedicels longer than the spikelets and divaricate panicle-branches.
Cinna latifolia (Trev.) Griseb. Wood Reed-grass.
Cool deciduous woods: Money Cove; Little Dark Harbour; Kent Island; Inner Wood Island.

Alopecurus geniculatus L. Marsh Foxtail.
Shallow fresh-water pools: White Head Island; Inner Wood Island. Abundant in a wet meadow at Woodward's Cove. Naturalized from Europe.

Muhlenbergia uniflora (Muhl.) Fern. Dropseed. Sporobolus uniflorus (Muhl.) Scribn. \& Merr.
Borders of sphagnum bogs and other wet, mossy places: east of Back Road; Ross Island; east branch of Seal Cove Brook; Red Point; Lily Pond.

Muhlenbergia setosa (Biehler) Trin., var. cinnoides (Link) Fern. Rhodora, 45: 231 (1943). M. racemosa of manuals in part.
Open, swampy places: North Head; Castalia; Dark Harbour road. Not known from western Nova Scotia.
Spartina pectinata Link. Cord-grass. S. Michauxiana Hitchc.
Wet places, both brackish and fresh: occasional.

## Spartina alterniflora Lois.

Salt marshes: Castalia; Thoroughfare; Ross Island.
Spartina patens (Ait.) Muhl.
Forming large colonies in salt marshes: Castalia; Priest Cove.
Hierochloe̊ odorata (L.) Wahlenb. Holy-grass. Sweet-grass.
Borders of salt marshes and open places, chiefly along the shore; probably occasional or frequent.

Anthoxanthum odoratum L. Sweet Vernal-grass.
Grass-land and along old cart-roads: occasional or frequent. Native of Europe.

Leersia oryzoides (L.) Sw. Cut-grass.
Swampy thicket: North Head.

Panicum boreale Nash. Panic-grass.
Clearings and roadsides in dry ground: frequent.
Panicum lanuginosum Ell., var. implicatum (Scribn.) Fernald.
Dry ground: common
Panicum Tuckermani Fernald, Rhodora, 21: 112 (1919).
Weed on gravelly, moist roadside: Whistle road near Eel Brook. Here near its eastern limit; we have seen no specimens from western Nova Scotia or elsewhere in New Brunswick.

Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) Beauv. Barnyard-grass.
Weed at North Head. Native of Europe.
Dulichium arundinaceum (L.) Britton.
Wet, open places: occasional.
Eleocharis parvula (R. \& S.) Link. Scirpus nanus Spreng.
Brackish marshes: Great Pond; White Head Island. For the name, see Svenson in Rhodora, 31: 168 (1929).

Eleocharis obtusa (Willd.) Schultes. Spike Rush.
Muddy margin of pool, southeast of Mark Hill.
Eleocharis palustris (L.) R. \& S.
Mucky pond-margins: frequent. Rothrock. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. ma.ror Sonder (var. vigens Bailey).

Eleocharis Smallii Britton, Torreya, 3: 23 (1903).
"Grand Manan, August, 1889, J. I. Northrop." Cited by Fernald \& Brackett, Rhodora, 31: 64 (1929); specimen probably at the New York Botanical Garden. We have not seen this species; but colonies passed, in the field, as E. palustris var. major may belong to it. Not known to us from the mainland of southwestern New Brunswick. ${ }^{6}$

Eleocharis halophila Fern. \& Brackett, Rhodora, 37: 395 (1935).
Borders of salt marshes: frequent. 5501, 5744.
Eleocharis elliptica Kunth. E. tenuis of manuals in part.
Pond- and brook-margins and in wet, open places: common. Rothrock; 5693.

[^54]Scirpus cespitosus L., var. callosus Bigelow. See Rhodora, 23: 24 (1921).

Sphagnum bogs: Bald Heath; Ingalls Head; Ross Island; David Watt Pond; Herring Cove Heath. 5695.
Scirpus hudsonianus (Michx.) Fern.
Wet, open, more or less sphagnous places: Whale Cove; Great Pond. Not known on the Maine coast east of Mt. Desert.
Scirpus subterminalis Torr.
Shallow water of ponds: Eel Brook Lake; Miller Pond.
Scirpus rufus (Huds.) Schrad., var. neogaeus Fern. Rhodora, 45: 287 (1943).
Salt marshes: Castalia; Priest Cove; Ross Island. Here at its southwestern limit; the nearest known stations are on the coast of northeastern New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and in Yarmouth County, Nova Scotia.
Scirpus americanus Pers. Sword-grass.
Brackish or fresh marshes: occasional. Churchill.
Scirpus validus Vahl, var. creber Fernald, Rhodora, 45: 283 (1943). Bulrush.
Marshes and pond-margins: Eel Brook Lake; Whale Cove; Ross Island; Lily Pond. Rothrock. Var. creber is the northern element of the species, which was originally described from West Indian specimens. Typical S. validus is not known north of Florida.

Scirpus acutus Muhl. S. occidentalis (S. Wats.) Chase.
Marshes: Lily Pond. 6629.
Scirpus maritimus L., var. Fernaldii (Bickn.) Beetle. See Rhodora, 45: 288 (1943).
Brackish margins of barrier-beach pond, Whale Cove. 5609, Adams. The Grand Manan plant belongs with forma agonus Fern., 1. c., with flattened achenes. We have seen no specimens from southwestern New Brunswick; however, Fowler's record of S. fluviatilis from St. Andrews almost certainly refers to this variety.
Scirpus paludosus A. Nels., var. atlanticus Fern. Rhodora, 45: 291 (1943).

Salt marshes: frequent. Churchill. Var. atlanticus is the east-coast representative of the species, originally described from alkaline situations in western North America.
Scirpus rubrotinctus Fern.
Open, marshy places: frequent. Churchill. Forma confertus (Fern.) Weath. occurs at Castalia. We have seen no specimens from south-
western New Brunswick, but the species is almost certainly to be found there.

Scirpus atrovirens Willd., var. georgianus (Harper) Fern.
Wet roadsides and open places: frequent. Hay, 1879 (specimen at New Brunswick Museum).

Scirpus cyperinus (L.) Kunth. Wool-grass.
Marshy, open places: frequent. Rothrock. The Grand Manan plant is var. pelius Fern. Forma condensatus (Fern.) Blake occurs at Whale Cove, north of the Thoroughfare, and near Miller Pond.

Scirpus pedicellatus Fern.
Open, marshy places: Back Road south of Dock Brook and probably elsewhere. 7331.

## Scirpus atrocinctus Fern.

Open, wet places: frequent. 5722.
Eriophorum spissum Fern. Hare's-tail. E. callitrix of Gray's Manual, not Chamisso.
Sphagnum bogs: Ohio Pond; Castalia; Ingalls Head; Little Round Pond; White Head Island; Bradford Cove; Inner Wood Island. Rothrock.
Eriophorum tenellum Nutt.' Cotton-grass.
Bogs and marshes: Second Pond; Ohio Pond; Ross Island; Ingalls Head; Seal Cove.

## Eriophorum angustifolium Roth.

Sphagnum bogs: Bald Heath; Ingalls Head; White Head Island; Little Round Pond; Lily Pond; Inner Wood Island; Kent Island. Rothroch.

Eriophorum virginicum L.
Bogs and marshes: frequent. Rothrock.
Rhynchospora fusca (L.) Ait. f. Beak Rush.
Sphagnum bogs: Eel Brook Lake; Lily Pond.
Rhynchospora alba (L.) Vahl.
Sphagnum bogs: east of Back Road; Bald Heath; Ingalls Head; Ross Island; David Watt Pond; Little Round Pond; Herring Cove Heath.
Cladium mariscoides (Muhl.) Torr. Twig Rush.
Marshes: Eel Brook Lake; David Watt Pond.
Carex scoparia Schkuhr. Sedge; this name applies to all species of Carex.
Moist, grassy places: frequent. Rothrock.

Carex projecta Mackenzie. C. tribuloides var. reducta Bailey.
Moist bank in open woods along Mill Brook west of Castalia. 7264. Here spreading by the rooting at the nodes of old culms of the previous year, prostrate on the ground. We have seen no specimens from points in New Brunswick nearer than Fredericton and Woodstock, but the species probably occurs in the southwestern counties.

## Carex Crawfordii Fern.

Moist, open places: common. 5550; Adams. Not known in Nova Scotia west of Annapolis and Queens counties.
Carex cumulata (Bailey) Mackenzie.
Along path in dry thicket near the Thoroughfare. 7318. This species, widely distributed in western Nova Scotia, reaches its northeastern limit on Prince Edward Island. We know it elsewhere in New Brunswick only from the eastern counties.

## Carex tincta Fern.

Dry old mowing-field, Whale Cove. 7235. We have seen no specimens from western Nova Scotia or southwestern New Brunswick. Fredericton and Woodstock are the nearest stations recorded in that province. The species is, however, found in eastern and northern Maine.
Carex tenera Dewey. C. straminea of Gray's Manual, not Willd.
Moist place in overgrown pasture, Whale Cove. 7269. Here near the northeastern limit of the species; we have seen no specimens from Nova Scotia or southwestern New Brunswick.
Carex hormathodes Fern.
Marshes; mostly at the inner edges of salt marshes, hut in fresh water: Castalia; Nantucket Island; Thoroughfare; near Mark Hill; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island; Kent Island. Klugh in 1909; 5541.

## Carex exilis Dewey.

Sphagnum bogs: Ross Island; Ingalls Head; David Watt Pond; Herring Cove Heath; Lily Pond. 5577 . It is curious that this species, which occurs in so many of the bogs on Grand Manan, in southwestern New Brunswick and in western Nova Scotia, is not known from the great peatbogs of eastern Maine.

## Carex angustior Mackenzie.

Wet, open or shaded places: common. One of the first species to occupy recent clearings in wet woods. 5522 .

## Carex cephalantha Bailey.

Brook-margins and wet places in the open or in light shade: occasional. 5696, 6930.

Carex canescens L., var. disjuncta Fern.
Wet, open or shaded places: frequent. Rothrock.
Carex brunnescens Poir., var. sphaerostachya (Dewey) Kükenth. See Rhodora, 28: 162 (1926).
Wet woods: frequent. $5526,6536$.
Carex tenuiflora Wahlenb.
Open marsh with low-growing vegetation, east end of Long Pond Beach. 7281. A boreal species, recorded from northern New Brunswick by Macoun, not otherwise known to us nearer than three stations in Aroostook and Penobscot counties, Maine.
Carex trisperma Dewey.
Moist, mossy woods; frequent. Var. Billingsir Knight is found in sphagnum bogs: Long Pond; White Head Island; David Watt Pond; Little Round Pond; Herring Cove Heath.
Carex Mackenziei Krecz. C. norvegica Willd. (1801), not Retz. (1779).
Brackish marshes: Great Pond; White Head Island. Reported from Whale Cove by Hay in Bull. Nat. Hist. Soc. New Brunswick, 6: 82 (1887) and from Grand Manan the same year in Vroom's List.
$\times$ Carex pseudohelvola Kihlm. C. canescens var. disjuncta $\times$ Mackenziei.
Brackish marsh, White Head Island. 5662, 5499.
Carex disperma Dewey. C. tenella Schkuhr (1801), not Thuill. (1799).
Wet, mossy woods: Castalia; south of Dark Harbour Road; east of Back Road. Churchill. Apparently not found in western Nova Scotia.

## Carex stipata Muhl.

Wet, open places: frequent. Rothrock.
Carex paleacea Wahlenb. C. maritima F. Muell. (1777), not Gunn. (1772).

Brackish, open places: occasional. Churchill.
Carex crinita Lam., var. gynandra (Schwein.) Schwein. \& Torr.
Brook-margins and wet or moist shaded places: frequent. Rothrock.
Carex nigra (L.) Reichard. C. Goodenowii J. Gay.
Brackish marshes: Fish Head trail; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island. 5513, 5582.
Carex Haydeni Dewey. C. stricta var. decora Bailey.
A single clump in rather wet place at edge of thicket, Whale Cove. 7286. The species is not known from Nova Scotia.

Another species of this group, perhaps. C. stricta, occurs at Southern Head, but the material at hand is insufficient for certain determination.

Carex aurea Nutt.
Grassy banks and thicket-borders, probably in neutral soil: frequent. Its orange-yellow perigynia make it a striking plant when in fruit. Presumably because of unfavorable soil-conditions, it is rare in eastern Maine and has not heen found in extreme western Nova Scotia; it occurs, however, on the trap and limestone formations in southwestern New Brunswick, and on North Mountain in Annapolis County, Nova Scotia (Roland).

## Carex pauciflora Lightf.

Sphagnum bogs: south of Woodward's Cove; Ross Island; Ingalls Head; Little Round Pond; Herring Cove Heath; Bradford Cove. Vroom's list.

Carex leptalea Wahlenb.
Wet, mossy woods: frequent.
Carex Buxbaumii Wahlenb. C. polygama Schkuhr (1801), not J. F. Gmel. (1791).
Moist, open ground on downs above sea-cliffs, Southern Head. 7296.
Carex Swanii (Fern.) Mackenzie.
Pasture among shrubs, Ross Island. 5780. This species reaches its northeastern limit in western Nova Scotia; except for the Ross Island station, it is not known in New Brunswick, nor in Maine east of Oxford and Knox counties.
Carex gracillima Schwein.
Mixed woods, recent clearings, and fence-rows: Whale Cove; Back Road. Klugh, 1912. Not known in the coastal counties of Maine east of Mt. Desert.
Carex communis Bailey.
Upland woods in the eastern part of the island: occasional. Probably in all adjacent areas, but not seen from southwestern New Brunswick.

Carex novae-angliae Schwein.
Dry woods and shaded banks: occasional or frequent. 5604.
Carex pallescens L., var. neogaea Fern. Rhodora, 44: 306 (1942). Moist, grassy places: frequent. Rothrock.

## Carex paupercula Michx.

Sphagnum bogs: Bald Heath; Herring Cove Heath; Ingalls Head; Ross Island; Cheney Island; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island; Kent Island. Churchill. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. irrigiA (Wahlenb.) Fern.

## Carex limosa L.

Margins and wetter portions of sphagnum bogs: Ingalls Head; Great Pond. Vroom's list. Not known from western Nova Scotia.
Carex leptonervia Fern.
Moist woodlands and clearings: occasional. 7024, 7031, 7240.
Carex conoidea schkuhr.
Open fields and moist, grassy places, chiefly on the east side of the island; common. Sanford.

## Carex flava $L$.

Open, moist ground: Whale Cove; east of Back Road; Dark Harbour; road to Bald Heath; Grand Harbour. Churchill.
Carex viridula Michx. C. Oederi var. pumila of Gray's Manual.
Wet, open places: common. Rothrock.
Carex arctata Boott.
Mixed woods: frequent. Rothrock.
Carex debilis Michx., var. Rudgei Bailey.
Moist, mixed or deciduous woods: occasional.
Carex scabrata Schwein.
Wet places in deep shade: Stanley Brook; ravine of Dock Brook.
Carex lasiocarpa Ehrh., var. americana Fern. ('. filiformis of manuals, not L.
Marshes: Eel Brook Lake; Ohio Pond. Hay, 1886.

## Carex lacustris Willd. C. riparia of manuals, not W. Curtis.

Rothrock; specimen in herb. Chicago Natural History Museum, not otherwise seen by us.

## Carex Pseudo-Cyperus L.

Marshes and along streams: occasional. Churchill.

## Carex lurida Wahlenb.

Wet, open or shaded places: occasional.

## Carex intumescens Rudge.

Moist deciduous woods: occasional. The Grand Manan material, or at least the greater part of it, is referable to var. Fernaldi Bailey.

## Carex folliculata $L$.

Moist, open places: Whale Cove. Vroom's list.

## Carex vesicaria L.

Rothrock; Churchill; not otherwise seen by us. The species is not reported from Nova Scotia.

Carex rostrata Ntokes, var. utriculata (Boott) Bailey.
Bogs and marshes: frequent. Rothrock; 5753, 6625.
Arisaema Stewardsonii Britton. Jack-in-the-pulpit. See Rhodora, 42: 247ff. (1940).
Wet woods; occasional. Felix' list; 7028, 5678. Not known to us from eastern Maine nor certainly from southwestern New Brunswick, though the A. triphyllum of Vroom's list, which he states is common, may be this.

Calla palustris L. Wild Calla.
Wet, mostly shaded, places: occasional or frequent. Rothrock.
Acorus Calamus L. Sweet-flag.
Marshes: Whale Cove; Castalia.
Eriocaulon septangulare With. Pipewort.
Shallow water of ponds, especially on sandy bottom: Eel Brook Lake; Second and Third Ponds; Dark Harbour road; David Watt Pond; Little Round Pond. Rothrock.

Pontederia cordata L. Pickerelweed. Said to be called "moose-ear" in New Brunswick (Bergen).
Shallow water of Third Pond. Perkins.
Juncus bufonius L. Rush.
Borders of salt marshes, wet roadsides and other wet, open places: common. Rothrock.

Juncus Gerardi Loisel.
Salt marshes: frequent.
Juncus tenuis Willd.
Open or shaded places in moist ground: frequent. A collection from Whale Cove (Adams) is var. Williamsir Fern. The name J. temuis is here used in the sense of current manuals.

Juncus Dudleyi Wiegand.
Wet, open places, probably in neutral soils: swamp at head of Whale Cove; Castalia; Back Road. Not known from southeastern Maine and recorded from one station only in Nova Scotia-North Mountain, Annapolis County, on the same trap formation as that of Grand Manan.
Juncus balticus Willd., var. littoralis Engelm.
Common in salt marshes; also occasionally in fresh-water marshes, as at junction of Back Road with south road from Castalia. Rothrock.

## Juncus filiformis L.

Wet, sphagnous places: Ingalls Head; White Head Island; Kent Island.

## Juncus effusus L.

Wet places in old fields and along streams: frequent. The Grand Manan inaterial belongs to var. solutus Fern. \& Wieg. (5634) and var. compactes Lej. \& Court. (5730). For the varieties, see Rhodora, 12: 81ff. (1910).
Juncus brevicaudatus (Engelm.) Fern.
Wet, open places and pond-margins: common. A viviparous specimen, probably of this species, was collected at Whale Cove by Hay in 1879.

Juncus canadensis J. Gay.
Wet, open places: road to Eel Brook Lake; North Head; Castalia.
Juncus pelocarpus Mey.
Pond-shores and wet places: frequent.

## Juncus militaris Bigel.

Shallow water: Eel Brook Lake; Second and Third Ponds; Great Pond. Churchill; 555:3. We have seen no specimens from elsewhere in New Brunswick.

## Juncus articulatus L.

Wet, open places, such as roadside ditches: frequent. Var. obtusatcs Engelm. has been collected at Deep Cove (5528) and also by Churchill, who gives no definite locality.
Luzula multiflora (Ehrh.) Lej.
Dry banks and open woods: frequent. 5547, 5555, 5734.

## Asparagus officinalis L.

Roadside and thicket: Whale Cove. Native of Europe.
Clintonia borealis (Ait.) Raf. Cow-tongue (Bergen).
Deep woods: occasional. Rothrock.
Smilacina racemosa (L.) Desf. False Solomon's-seal.
Dry woods: Dark Harbour trail. Perkins.

## Smilacina stellata (L.) Desf.

Dry, open or partly shaded places: occasional. The more common phase of the species on Cirand Manan is var. crassis Vict. Cont. Bot. Lab). UTiv. Montréal, 14: 16 (1929), with crowded, thick, somewhat clasping, oblong-ovate leaves. This occurs mostly in exposed habitats; typical $S$. stellata is less frequent and more often in sheltered or shady places. Neither is known from Washington County, Maine.

Smilacina trifolia (L.) Desf.
Wet, mossy woods and borders of sphagnum bogs: occasional. Rothrock.

Maianthemum canadense Desf. Wild Lily-of-the-valley.
Dry woods: frequent. Perkins.
Streptopus amplexifolius (L.) DC. Twisted-stalk.
Moist woods: Stanley Brook; Money Cove ravine; White Head Island; Kent Island. The Grand Manan plant is var. americanus Schult. Nee Fassett in Rhodora, 37: 97ff. (1935).

## Streptopus roseus Michx.

Moist woods: occasional. Perkins. The Grand Manan plant is var. perspectus Fassett.
Polygonatum pubescens Willd. Solomon's-seal. P. biflorum of manuals, not Walt.
Dry woods, in leaf-mold: occasional in the northern half of the main island, but always in small quantity.

## Medeola virginiana L. Indian Cucumber-root.

Remnants of old deciduous woods: Money Cove trail; Cedar sit.
Trillium erectum L. Wake-robin. Stinking Benjamin (Bergen).
Relic pockets of old deciduous woods: near Lel Brook Lake; along Stanley Brook. Perkins.
Trillium undulatum willd. Painted Trillium. Benjamin (Bergen). A single plant seen in moist woods near David Watt Pond. Perkins.
Iris versicolor L. Blue-flag.
Wet woods and marshes: common. Rothrock.
Iris setosa Pall., var. canadensis Foster.
Exposed places near the sea: Nantucket, Cheney and White Head Islands. Not known to us from southwestern New Brunswick and rare in western Nova Scotia, though on both the outer and inner coasts.
Sisyrinchium angustifolium Mill. Blue-eyed Crass.
Dry, open places: frequent. Perkins, as S. bermudiana.
Cypripedium acaule Ait. Moccasin-flower. Lady's-slipper. Nerveroot.
Mossy woods under arbor-vitae, Whale Cove; Money Cove (Felix); dry, open woods along Mill Brook. Rothrock. The Money Cove station could not be found again in 1941; at each of the two others, only a single individual was seen. The species is apparently well on the way to extinction on the island.

Habenaria hyperborea (L.) R. Br. Rein Orchis.
Wet woods and moist, open places: Whale Cove; Cedar St. 5616, 6621.
Habenaria dilatata (Pursh) A. Gray.
Moist, open places: swamp at head of Whale Cove; Back Road; Nantucket Island (Ganong, ms. note). Rothrock.

Habenaria clavellata (Michx.) Spreng.
Wet, mossy places, open or shaded: Whale Cove; Money Cove trail; Back Road; Woodward's Cove; Lily Pond. Rothrock.

Habenaria obtusata (Pursh) Richards.
Old, mossy woods; occasional, or locally common. Rothrock.
Habenaria lacera (Michx.) R. Br. Ragged Orchis.
Dry or moist grassy fields and old clearings: Indian Beach trail; Money Cove; trail to Miller Pond; Red Point.

## Habenaria psycodes (L.) Sw.

Wet, open place, Dark Harbour road. Perkins; he does not, however, record $H$. fimbriata and his listing may refer to that species.

Habenaria fimbriata (Ait.) R. Br. Purple Fringed Orchis.
Thickets and open woods in moist ground: occasional to locally frequent. Felix. At Red Point this species hybridizes with H. lacera and apparently back-crosses, so that a nearly complete series of intermediates between the parent species has been produced. Albinos occur on the Back Road.
Pogonia ophioglossoides (L.) Ker.
Sphagnum bogs: Bald Heath; Ingalls Head; David Watt Pond; Lily Pond. Perkins.
Calopogon pulchellus (Sw.) R. Br. Grass Pink.
Sphagnum bogs; often locally abundant: Bald Heath; Ingalls Head; Ross Island; "very abundant, Grand Harbour" (ms. note by W. F. Ganong). Rothrock. The color of the perianth varies from magenta to pale pink.

## Arethusa bulbosa L.

Sphagnum bogs: Ross Island; Herring Cove Heath. Verrill.
Spiranthes gracilis (Bigel.) Berk. Ladies'-tresses.
Dry, open ground in old fields woodroads, etc.: occasional.

## Spiranthes Romanzofflana Cham

Open, grassy places: frequent and deliciously fragrant. Perkins.

Goodyera repens (I.) R. Br., var. ophioides Fern. Rattlesnake Plantain.

Old, undisturbed, mossy woods under evergreens: Money Cove; Kent Island. 5592.

## Goodyera tesselata Lodd.

Old, mossy woods: Money Cove; Long Island; Dark Harbour; Bradford's Cove; Southern Head. 5590. A color-form with perianth strongly tinged with pink occurs at Money Cove.

In the Chicago Natural History Museum is a specimen of this species from the herbarium of H. N. Patterson labelled "Grand Menan ex coll. Wm. H. seaman, Washington, D. C., U. S. A. August". No year or other data are given. We have no other record of this collector.

The name Epipactis, applied to this genus in the seventh edition of ('ray's Manual, must now, by action of the last Botanical Congress, be employed for Serapias of the Manual, as has long been done in Europe.

Listera cordata (L.) R. Br. Twayblade.
Mossy, undisturbed old woods: Long Pond; Long Island; Dark Harbour; Ross Island; White Head Island; Southern Head; Kent Island.

Listera auriculata Wiegand.
In Miss Felix's list is a drawing of a specimen found by Heyward Scudder in the swamp at the head of Whale Cove, once a white-cedar swamp, which appears to represent this species. The plant has not been found again on (irand Manan; it has, however, heen collected on Deer Island (1/alte 723 in 1929) and at South Bay, near St. John (A. L. Warner in 1892; New Brunswick Museum). These collections from the Passamaquoddy area make it altogether probable that Miss Felix's record is correct. The species is not otherwise known to us nearer than Temiscouata Co., Quebec, and northern Maine.

## Corallorrhiza trifida Chatelain. Coral-root

Wet, mossy woods: Indian Beach trail; Whale Cove; Cedar St.; Back Road; south of Dark Harbour road. Felix.

## Corallorrhiza maculata Raf.

Humus in rather dense spruce or mixed woods: Whale Cove: Stanley Brook. Felix. In all the (irand Manan specimens seen, the whole plant is of a magenta-pink color and therefore referable to forma pryiceat (Bartlett)". Rafinesque's original description calls for a "yellowish" plant; this would indicate that the yellow forma flavida (Peck) Farwell is the typical phase of the species.

[^55]Malaxis monophyllos L. Adder's-mouth. Microstylis monophyllos (L.) Lindley.

Grassy hummocks in wet ground at edge of mowing-field, Henderson's Point. 7280. Not known from the coastal counties of Maine east of the Kennebec.

Malaxis unifolia Michx. Microstylis unifolia (Michx.) BSP.
Open, dry ground in old fields: occasional. Felix. A single individual with two leaves was found on the Back Road (7047)-forma Bifolia Moxley, Orch. Rev. 35 : 163 (1927).
Liparis Loeselii (L.) Richard. Twayblade.
Open, moist ground, often among grasses: Whale Cove; Cedar St.; Back Road; Castalia; Red Point. Rothrock.
Salix lucida Muhl. Shining Willow.
Wet thickets and open swamps: occasional. 7258. Found in fruit, just discharging seeds, at Flagg's Cove on July 5.
Salix pyrifolia Anders. S. balsamifera Barratt.
Wet or moist thickets: White Head Island. 5749.
Salix discolor Muhl. Pussy Willow.
Wet thickets: occasional or frequent. Rothrock; 5762, 5793.
Salix humilis Marsh.
Dry thickets: Indian Beach trail, and probably elsewhere. Perkins; 7274.

Salix Bebbiana Sarg. S. rostrata Richards., not Thuill.
Wet thickets: occasional. 7271. Variable in size and shape of leaves and degree of pubescence; sometimes becoming a small tree.
Populus tremuloides Michx. Aspen.
Dry clearings and roadsides: frequent in cut-over woods, at least in the northern part of the main island. Perkins.

## Populus grandidentata Michx.

Old clearings; mostly small trees. Occasional at least in the area from Eel Brook to the woods west of Castalia. Apparently first noted by Dr. Graves.

Populus Tacamahaca Mill. Balsam Poplar. P. balsamifera of manuals, not L.
Two small trees, the larger 6 in . in diameter, in second-growth woods near an old house-site on the Back Road south of Dock Brook. Perkins. The species is common as a planted tree.

Myrica Gale L. Sweet Gale.
Wet, open places: common. Perkins.
Myrica pensylvanica Loisel. Bayberry. M. caroliniensis of manuals, not Mill.
Dry upland fields: Eel Brook Lake; North Head.
Corylus cornuta Marsh. Beaked Hazel-nut. C. rostrata Ait.
Borders of dry woods: ridge west of North Head village; Back Road west of Woodward's Cove. Perkins.
Ostrya virginiana (Mill.) Koch. Hop Hornbeam.
Mixed woods: on east slope of central ridge, west of North Head village; north of Dock Brook (Edward Green). Perkins.

## Betula lutea Michx. Yellow Birch.

Common in the drier and more open woods. Often left by lumbermen when upland spruce is cut off and then forming open stands of large trees, as at Little Dark Harbour. Perkins.
Betula populifolia Marsh. Gray Birch.
Dry clearings: frequent.
Betula papyrifera Marsh. Paper, White or Canoe Birch.
Common in the drier and more open woods. Rothrock.
Betula pumila L. Swamp Birch.
Border of sphagnum bog, White Head Island. Collected on the Oromocto River, Sunbury County, New Brunswick by Hay in 1896; not otherwise known to us in or near the Passamaquoddy area.
Alnus crispa (Ait.) Pursh, var. mollis Fern. Green Alder.
Abundant on sea-cliffs and generally in the western and higher parts of the main island. Perkins (as A. viridis).

Alnus incana (L.) Moench. Speckled Alder.
Pastures and thickets in the eastern and southern parts of the main island; often locally abundant. Rothrock. Only that phase of the species with the leaves glaucous beneath (A. glauca Michx. f.) is found on Grand Manan. The English name refers to the conspicuous pale lenticels in the bark.

Fagus grandifolia Ehrh. Beech.
Dry woods: prohably occasional throughout the North Head-Grand Harbour lowland area. Perkins. There is a dense, pure stand (perhaps not natural) near the cemetery on the Back Road.
Quercus borealis Michx. f. Red Oak.
A few trees, one with trunk about 2 ft . in diameter and several smaller ones, on the east slope of the ridge west of Castalia. According to Mr.

Allan Moses, the species was once common enough in the northern part of the main island to be cut for timber and to support a barrel-factory. Perkins (as Q. rubra).

Humulus Lupulus L. Hop.
Roadside thicket, Back Road south of Dock Brook. Native of Eurasia.
Urtica procera Muhl. Nettle. U. gracilis of manuals, not Ait.
Weed in waste places and at top of beaches: Cheney Island; Green Islands; White Head Island; Kent Island. Probably the species recorded as U.gracilis in Perkins' list. Not seen from southeastern Maine.

Urtica gracilis Ait. U. Lyallii of manuals as to plant of eastern North America, not S. Wats.
Rich, moist deciduous woods: Money Cove; Inner Wood Island. We have seen no specimens from Nova Scotia or Washington County, Maine.

Arceuthobium pusillum Peck. Dwarf Mistletoe.
Parasitic on red and black spruce: between Second and Third Ponds; west of Castalia; Bald Heath; Mark Hill. We have seen no specimens from the mainland of New Brunswick or from Washington County, Maine; but, in view of the abundance of spruce in those areas, it seems hardly possible that the dwarf mistletoe does not occur there.
Rumex domesticus Hartm. Dock. R. Patientia of manuals in part. See Rechinger in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. 17: 100 (1937).
Churchill; no definite locality given. Native of Eurasia.

## Rumex Brittanica L. Great Water Dock.

Wet places: Whale Cove; Back Road; main road south of Woodward's Cove; White Head Island. Perkins (as $R$. orbiculatus). Not seen from western Nova Scotia.

Rumex crispus L. Yellow Dock.
An occasional weed: Back Road; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island. Native of Europe. The young leaves make acceptable greens.

## Rumex pallidus Bigel.

Sea-beaches: Whale Cove; Ross Island; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island. Churchill.

Rumex Acetosella L. Sheep Sorrel.
An occasional weed. Rothrock. Native of Europe.
Polygonum allocarpum Blake, Rhodora, 19: 234 (1917). Knotweed. Beaches: Nantucket Island; Long Pond; Kent Island. 6642.

## Polygonum aviculare L.

A weed in the settled parts of the island; probably frequent. Churchill.

Polygonum scabrum Moench. $P$. tomentosum Schrank.
Damp roadsides: North Head; Thoroughfare; Seal Cove. 6538.

## Polygonum amphibium L .

Shallow pools back of barrier-beaches: Whale Cove; Kent Island (Felix, 1928). 5787, 6681. Only the forma Hartwrightil (A. Gray) Blake has been seen from Grand Manan. It is not known from Nova Scotia.

Stanford, in Rhodora, 27: 157 (1925) separates the American element of this species as $P$. natans (Michx.) Eaton. The distinguishing characters given are, however, so slight that we have preferred the more conservative course. If the separation is to be made, it would seem, unless stronger differentiae can be found, more in accord with the facts as Michaux originally had it-P. amphibium var. natans.

Polygonum Hydropiper L. Smartweed; Water Pepper.
An occasional weed in moist ground. Perkins.
Polygonum punctatum Ell. Smartweed. P. acre HBK.
Borders of barrier-beach and other ponds: Rich Pond; Ross Island; Priest Cove; Long Pond; Nantucket Island; White Head Island; Kent Island. Perkins; 6537, 6663. Not known from Washington County, nor nearer than Fredericton in New Brunswick.

Polygonum Persicaria L. Lady's-thumb. Said by Mrs. Bergen to be called "heart's-ease" at Eastport and "black heart" at Lubec.
An occasional weed. Perkins. Native of Europe.
Polygonum sagittatum L. Tear-thumb.
Swamps: frequent. Rothrock.
Polygonum Convolvulus L. Black Bindweed.
An occasional weed: North Head; Ross Island. Native of Europe.

## Polygonum cilinode Michx.

A few individuals in an old clearing at Eel Brook Lake. They were of the dwarf, erect state which has been called var. erectum Peck.
Chenopodium album L. Pigweed. Lamb's-quarters.
Apparently not common as a garden weed; seen only at North Head and along Dark Harbour road. Often in considerable quantity on upper beaches, as at the Thoroughfare. Perkins. Native of Europe.
Atriplex patula L., var. hastata (L.) A. Gray. Orache.
Upper beaches and generally along shore: frequent. Perkins; 6638.
The very similar A. glabriuscula Edmonst. (see Rhodora, 23: 262 (1921)) has been found in western Nova Scotia (Yarmouth County) and in Washington County and very possibly occurs on Grand Manan, but has not yet been detected there.

Salicornia europaea L. Glasswort. Samphire.
Salt marshes: Castalia; Nantucket Island; Thoroughfare; Priest Cove. Perkins.
Salicornia europaea, var. pachystachya (Koch) Fern.
Shingle beach below high tide: Nantucket Island. 7339. With its very fleshy spikes and sprawling habit strikingly different in appearance from typical $S$. europaea, with which it grew, and remaining green after $S$. europaea had turned red. Not otherwise known from New Brunswick, nor from Nova Scotia. Klugh, Contrib. Canadian Biol. 1912: 27, reports it as "common throughout" the St. Croix area. But, since he does not mention typical $S$. europaea, which is common throughout, we suspect that he mistakenly referred all plants seen by him to the variety.
Suaeda maritima (L.) Dumort.
Salt marshes, upper beaches and similar saline habitats: frequent. Perkins; 7315.
S. maritima is glaucous-green and prostrate or decumbent. Another Suaeda, not yet identified, with the main axis, at least in young plants, erect, yellow-green and flowering later than S. maritima, occurs at the Thoroughfare. 7316.
Salsola Kalí L. Saltwort.
Sandy beaches: between Bancroft Point and Woodward's Cove (Felix); Nantucket Island. Verrill.

Amaranthus retroflexus L. Pigweed.
Weed in neglected dooryard at Whale Cove. Native of tropical America. Perkins.
Spergularia rubra (L.) J. \& C. Presl. Sand Spurrey.
Dry, open ground and crevices of rocks by the sea: North Head; Thoroughfare; Ingalls Head. Felix. Not seen from eastern Maine.
Spergularia marina (L.) Griseb.
Sandy and gravelly margin of salt marsh, Castalia. 7294, 7294a. Occurs here in glabrous and viscid-pubescent forms growing intermixed. Only smooth seeds seen.
Spergularia canadensis (Pers.) Don.
Salt marshes: Castalia; Thoroughfare; Seal Cove. Churchill; 5534. The $S$. media of Perkins' list is probably this.
Spergula arvensis L. Spurrey.
An occasional weed, sometimes locally abundant: North Head; Whale Cove; Castalia; Back Road; Red Point. Rothrock. Native of Europe.
Sagina procumbens L. Pearlwort.
Sea-cliffs and wet banks: occasional. Rothrock.

Sagina nodosa (L.) Fenzl.
Crevices and pockets of moist sea-cliffs: near the Whistle; east side of Whale Cove. Vroom's list. Here near its southern limit on the coast. Var. pubescens Mert. \& Koch (var. glandulosa (Bess.) Aschers.) occurs on shelves of dripping sea-cliffs on the west side of Whale Cove.

## Arenaria lateriflora L.

Open, usually somewhat moist, places: occasional. Perkins.
Arenaria peploides L., var. robusta Fern.
Forming dense colonies on sand and shingle beaches: Whale Cove; Castalia; Nantucket Island; Priest Cove; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island. Rothrock.

Stellaria calycantha (Ledeb.) Bong. S. borealis Bigel.
In shingle of upper beaches and along brook- and wood-margins: Castalia; White Head Island; Kent Island; Deep Cove; Southern Head. $5529,5751,5789$. Our material includes both var. isophylla Fern. and var. floribunda Fern., Rhodora, 16: 151 (1914), which here appear to be little, if anything, more than responses to conditions of growth.

## Stellaria humifusa Rottb.

Salt marshes: Castalia; Ross Island; White Head Island. 5535. The southwestern limit of the species on the east coast of North America is at Cranberry Island, Maine, near Mt. Desert. We have seen no specimens from Nova Scotia.

Stellaria graminea L. Stitchwort.
A common weed in grassy places. Native of Europe.
Stellaria media (L.) Cyrill. Chickweed.
A frequent weed in cultivated ground and waste places. Perkins. Native of Eurasia.
Cerastium vulgatum L. Mouse-ear Chickweed.
A frequent weed in waste and cultivated ground. Perkins (as C. viscosum). Native of Eurasia.
Silene noctiflora L. Catchfly.
Roadside weed: North Head. Felix. Native of Europe.
Silene Cuctbales Wibel. Bladder Campion. Maiden's-tears. is latifolia (Mill.) Britt. \& Rendle.
An occasional weed in open places. Felix. Native of Eurasia.
Portulaca oleracea l. Purslane.
A weed in gardens: North Head. Native of Europe.

Ceratophyllum echinatum A. Gray. Hornwort.
Great Pond; fragments washed up on shore. 7285. The species is here at its extreme northeastern limit; it is not otherwise known east or north of southwestern Maine. It is distinguishable from the more common (. demersum L. by the very narrow, entire segments of the leaves.

Nuphar variegatum Engelm. Spatter-dock. Cow Lily.
Shallow water: Eel Brook Lake; Ohio Pond; David Watt Pond; east branch of Seal Cove Brook. Perkins (as N. advena).
Nymphaea odorata L. Pond Lily.
Moderately deep water of ponds: Third Pond; Rich Pond; David Watt Pond; Miller Pond. Perkins. We have seen no specimens from Washington County, but the species probably occurs there.

Ranunculus trichophyllus Chaix. Water Crowfoot. $R$. aquatilis L. var. capillaceus DC.
Shallow, slow-flowing water of small brook: Back Road south of Dock Brook. Perkins' list; 7332. Because of its lightly rugose achenes, the Grand Manan material is best referred to var. calvescens W. B. Drew, Rhodora, 38: 32 (1936). However, as might be expected in a region where the ranges of typical $R$. trichophyllus and the variety overlap, our specimens are more or less intermediate, combining the smoothish achenes of the latter and the hispid receptacle of the former. We have seen no specimens from western Nova Scotia nor from southwestern New Brunswick; however, Vroom's record of $R$. aquatilis var. trichophyllus from St. Stephen very likely refers to our plant, which is frequent in near-by Washington County, where, also, typical $R$. trichophyllus is not known.
Ranunculus Cymbalaria Pursh. Sea-side Crowfoot.
Moist saline or subsaline habitats: frequent. Churchill.
Ranunculus repens L. Creeping Buttercup.
Abundantly naturalized and spreading in moist ground. Perkins; 5585. Native of Europe. The Grand Manan material is all var. villosus Lamotte, with spreading pubescence.

Ranunculus acris L. Buttercup.
A frequent weed in fields. Rothrock. Native of Europe.
Thalictrum polygamum Muhn. Meadow Rue. Quicksilver-weed.
Moist, open places: occasional or locally abundant, as in Whale Cove swamp. Rothrock. Pistillate flowers often purple-tinged, the staminate white with yellow anthers. Both the typical phase $(7312,7288)$ and var. hebecarpum Fern. (7288a) occur.
Clematis virginiana L. Virgin's-bower.
Roadside thickets: frequent. Perkins.

## Clematis verticillaris DC.

Rocky woods at top of Whale Cove cliffs. Felix; 7367. Not known to us from Nova Scotia or southeastern Maine.

Coptis groenlandica (Oeder) Fernald. (iold-thread. (. trifolia of manuals in part.
Old woods: occasional. Perkins.
Aquitegia vulgaris L. Columbine.
Escaped from cultivation in woods near the Whistle and freely in fields and even on sea-cliffs at Whale Cove. Dark purple, pale purple and lavender-pink color-forms occur there. Felix. Native of Europe.
Actaea rubra (Ait.) Willd. Red Baneberry.
Deciduous woods: south of the Whistle; Indian Beach; Money Cove; trail from Back Road to Woodward's Cove. Forma neglecta (Gillman) B. L. Robins., with white berries, occurs at Indian Beach (5558).

Actaea alba (L.) Mill. White Baneberry.
Deciduous woods: occasional. Rothrock. Forma rubrocarpa Killip with magenta berries, occurs at Money Cove (5598).
Corydalis sempervirens (L.) Pers.
Ledges south of Eel Brook Lake, 1925 (Felix; not seen by us).
Lepidicm densiflorum schrad. Pepper-grass. L. apetalum of manuals, not Willd.
A weed at North Head. Native of North America, but here probably introduced.
Capsella bursa-pastoris L. Shepherd's-purse.
A frequent weed. Perkins. Native of Europe.
Bunias orientalis L.
A few tall, branching plants in shade of a pin-cherry thicket at edge of mowing field, Whale Cove. Native of central and eastern Europe and Silberia. A rare weed in North America, so far as we know not previously reported from Canada.
Cakile edentula (Bigel.) Hook. Sea Rocket.
Saline habitats: common. Rothrock.
Raphanus Raphanistrum L. Wild Radish.
An occasional weed. Native of Europe.
Sisymbriem officinale (L.) S'oop. Hedge Mustard.
Weed on roadsides and in neglected dooryards, North Head. Felix. Native of Europe. The (irand Manan plant, like that of Nova Scotia (see Rhodora, 19: 265 (1917)), is typical s. officinale, with pubescent siliques.

Sisymbrium altissimum L. Tumble Mustard.
A weed at North Head. Native of Europe.
Erysimum cheiranthoides L. Worm-seed Mustard.
Waste ground: Whale Cove, North Head village; Dark Harbour road at City Camp. Felix. Here an introduced weed.
Rorippa islandica (Oeder ex Murr.) Borbás, var. hispida (Desf.) Butters \& Abbe. Marsh Cress. Radicula palustris var. hispida (Desf.) B. L. Robins.
Brook-margins and wet, open places: Back Road; Cheney Island; Inner Wood Island. Nasturtium palustre of Perkins' list is presumably this.
Barbarea vulgaris R. Br. Winter Cress.
Weed along water-runs in old pasture between Long Pond and Red Point. Native of Europe.
Cardamine parviflora L., var. arenicola (Britton) O. E. Schulz. Bitter Cress.
In shingle of upper beach, Long Island. 5790. Var. arenicola is the American representative of the species, typical C. parviflora being European. This and a single station in Washington County constitute its northeastern limit. For the name, see Rhodora, 29: 192 (1927).

## Cardamine pennsylvanica Muhl.

Brook-margins: Dock Brook; trail from Back Road to Woodward's Cove; north of Grand Harbour. 6650, 7237. A good substitute for water cress, but in too small quantity here to be of any importance for this purpose.

Sarracenia purpurea L. Pitcher-plant.
In sphagnum: David Watt Pond; Little Round Pond; Bald Heath; Cheney Island; Grand Harbour (Ganong, ms. note); Ingalls Head; Bradford Cove. Perkins; he calls it "Indian Pitcher." Mrs. Bergen states that it was called "fever-cup" on Grand Manan.

## Drosera rotundifolia L. Sundew.

Bogs: common. Perkins. Var. comosa Fern. occurs on Ross Island (6662).

Drosera intermedia Hayne. D. longifolia of manuals, scarcely of Linnaeus.
In sphagnum: Little Round Pond: Herring Cove Heath; Ross Island; Lily Pond. "North Head, Hay," Bull. Nat. Hist. Soc. N. B. 6: 80 (1887).

## Tillaea aquatica L.

Forming loose mats in mud overlying sand on margin of barrier-beach pond, Cheney Island. 7305. Not otherwise known from southwestern

New Brunswick nor from Maine east of the Penobscot; in Nova Scotia known from a single station in Shelburne County.

Sedum triphyllum (Haw.) S. F. Gray. Live-for-ever. S. purpureum Tausch.
Dry, open ground, Back Road. An escape from cultivation; native of Europe.
Sedum Rosea (L.) Scop. Rose-root.
One of the most common and characteristic plants of the sea-cliffs. Verrill; specimen in herb. Yale University.

Except for this species, the cliffs are, in general, disappointing to the botanizer. Because of active frost-erosion, they offer no very enduring habitats; and the rather uncertain footing which they do supply is utilized by such weedy species as yarrow, whiteweed and Solidago juncea.
Mitella nuda L. Mitrewort. Bishop's-cap.
Deep woods: Whale Cove (Felix' list); Cedar St.; Ross Island. Rothrock.

Ribes hirtellum Michx. Wild Gooseberry. R. oxyacanthoides of manuals, not L.
Thickets and old clearings: near Eel Brook Lake; Seal Cove Brook; Bradford Cove trail. Rothrock.
Ribes lacustre (Pers.) Poir. Swamp Currant.
Deep, mossy woods: frequent. Churchill.
Ribes glandulosum Grauer. Skunk Currant. R. prostratum L'Hér.
Deep, mossy woods: frequent. Rothrock.
Spiraea latifolia (Ait.) Borkh. Meadow-sweet.
Old fields, thickets and swamps: common. Perkins (as S. salicifolia).
Spiraea tomentosa L. Hardhack. Steeple-bush.
Open places: north end of main island; Inner Wood Island. Rothrock.
Pyrus melanocarpa (Michx.) Willd. Chokeberry.
Thickets and swamps: near Ohio Pond; Castalia; Back Road; Grand Harbour; Seal Cove. Rothrock.
Pyrus americana (Marsh.) DC. Mountain Ash. Rowan-tree.
Dry woods: occasional or frequent. Rothrock; 6941, 7043.
Pyrus decora (Sarg.) Hyland. Pyrus sitchensis of Gray's Manual, not (Roem.) Piper.
Dry, cut-over woods: Whale Cove; west of Woodward's Cove. 7044.

Amelanchier laevis Wiegand. Sugar Pear. A. canadensis of manuals, not (L.) Medic.
Open woods and clearings: occasional or frequent, at least in the northern part of the main island. Rothrock; 7232.
Amelanchier canadensis (I.) Medic. A. oblongifolia (T. \& G.) Roem. Det. by G. N. Jones.
Moist roadside thicket, southwest of Castalia, and probably elsewhere. 7029. By Wiegand's treatment this would probably be referable to $A$. intermedia Spach.

In the absence of flowering material, these two species of Amelanchier are by no means easy to determine. They are here separated as follows:
A. laevis: often a small tree; leaves ovate or oblong-ovate, relatively coarsely serrate.
A. canadensis: always a shrub; leaves oblong to elliptic-oblong, finely and shallowly serrate.

Crataegus flabellata (Spach) Kirchn. Hawthorn. Det. by E. J. Palmer.
Fence-rows and old fields: North Head village; main road at Dock Brook. 7292.

Fragaria virginiana Duchesne. Wild Strawberry.
Old fields and open places: frequent. Rothrock.
Fragaria vesca L., var. americana Porter.
Old fields and open woods: occasional. Felix.
Potentilla norvegica L. Cinquefoil. P.monspeliensis L.
Dry, open places: frequent or occasional. Perkins.
Potentilla argentea L. Silvery Cinquefoil.
Thin soil on trap ledges southeast of Eel Brook Lake; pasture, Fish Head (Felix, 1911).
Potentilla palustris (L.) Scop. Marsh Five-finger.
Swamps and marshes: Castalia; back of Long Pond beach; Woodward's Cove; White Head Island. Churchill.
Potentilla fruticosa I. Shrubby Cinquefoil.
Old fields and wet thickets: at the south end of the main island and as far north as Castalia; not observed at the north end. Rothrock.
Potentilla tridentata Ait. Three-toothed Cincuefoil.
Dry banks, rocky places and even hummocks at the margins of bogs. Rare on the main island: near Eel Brook Lake; above Rocky Corner. Reported from Ross Island (Felix' list, 1914); common on Cheney Island. Perkins.

Potentilla simplex Michx., var. calvescens Fern. Rhodora, 33: 189 (1931).

Weed on dry roadsides: common. Perkins (as P. canadensis),
Potentilla Egedii Wormsk., var. groenlandica (Tratt.) Polunin. Silverweed. $P$. Anserina of manuals in part.
Saline habitats; occasional to frequent. Perkins' list (as P. Anserina).
Filipendula Ulmaria (L.) Maxim. Queen-of-the-meadow.
Escaped from cultivation to fence-rows: Dark Harbour Road. Native of Europe.

Geum aleppicum Jacq., var. strictum (Ait.) Fern. Avens.
North-facing hillside, in small clearing, appearing as if introduced:
Dark Harbour. 7053.
Geum rivale L. Purple Avens.
Wet, open places: Bancroft Road; Back Road. Perkins.
Rubus idaeus L., var. canadensis Richards. Red Raspberry. See Rhodora, 21: 95-97 (1919).
Clearings and roadsides: common and in good years producing delicious fruit. A pioneer species in recent clearings. Perkins (as R. strigosus).
Rubus Chamaemorus L. Cloud-berry. Baked-apple-berry.
Sphagnum bogs: often locally abundant. The fruit is gathered by the island residents. A liking for it raw has to be acquired by most strangers; but it makes very good jam. Rothrock.
Rubus pubescens Raf. Dwarf Raspberry. R. triflorus Richards. Said by Mrs. Bergen to be called "mulberry" and "dewberry" in New Brunswick.
Wet, mixed woods: common. Rothrock.
Rubus hispidus L. Groundberry of Bailey.
Rather dry woods: Dark Harbour road and probably elsewhere. Hay in Bull. Nat. Hist. Soe. N. B. 6: 79 (1887). 7027. Var. obovalis Fern. occurs in sphagnum under bushes at Little Round Pond (7049).

## Rubus pudens Bailey.

Border of dry, old clearing in shade, Back Road. 7017. Known from Maine (Lincoln County) and probably New Brunswick and central Nova Scotia.

## Rubus adjacens Fern.

Roadsides, pastures and fields in dry ground, on the east slope of the main island. $5544,5563,7009,7021,7252$. The commonest trailer of the island; known also from the Maritime Provinces, Maine, New Hampshire, Verinont and Massachusetts.

## Rubus mananensis Bailey ${ }^{8}$.

Luxuriant trailer, supposedly rooting at tip, with dark green lustrous foliage, veins only lightly impressed; primocane axis $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, densely covered with reddish-brown setae and gland-tipped hairs; leaves of primocanes $3-5$-foliolate, glabrous on upper face, minutely puberulent on ribs and veins underneath, midrib aculeate underneath, margins sharply double-serrate, stipules long-linear; leaflets ovate to elliptic, sometimes somewhat obovate, terminal leaflet broad, usually subcordate at base, abruptly contracted at apex to a narrow point, $5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, about 4 cm . broad, lateral leaflets narrower and often more gradually pointed; petiole setose and glandular-hairy; inflorescence corymbiform, of a half-dozen or fewer flowers on setose or aciculate more or less glandular pedicels, a few solitary flowers in lower axils; fruit globular-oblong, manyseeded, about 1 cm . long, puberulent calyx-lobes caudate-pointed and divaricate.-Allied to R. alter Bailey: differs in primocane leaflets much smaller and not overlapping and much more closely serrate, floricane leaflets smaller, much more acute and sharply and finely serrate, setae less stiff and more hair-like, flower and fruit clusters less profuse and fewerflowered, pedicels less setose and less glandular, calyx-lobes more caudate, fruit smaller and less pulpy, drupelets relatively more numerous.

Clearings: opposite City Camp, Dark Harbour road; near the Thoroughfare. 7025, 7317. Known only from (irand Manan.-Plate II.

Prof. Bailey kindly allows us to publish the above description and illustration of his new species.

## Rubus Weatherbyi Bailey.

Margins of thickets in moist or dry ground: Dark Harbour road; west of Bald Heath. 5629,7241 , the former collection the type. So far, known only from Grand Manan.
Rubus canadensis L. High-bush Blackberry.
Moist or dry thickets and clearings; the common high-bush blackberry of the island. 7010, 7014. R. villosus of Perkins' list probably refers to this species, or the following, or both.

## Rubus allegheniensis Porter.

Forming thickets in dry ground. The common high-bush blackberry of most of New England, here frequent, but less so than the preceding.

[^56]

Rubus mananensis Bailey, $\times 1 / 2$.
Drawing by Miss Florence Mekeel. At the right, part of a primocane.

This and the six preceding species were determined by L. H. Bailey. It is probable that some of them, although noted and collected only at the stations listed, may be found in suitable habitats anywhere on the main island, particularly in the old cleared land on the east slope. All but the last two are trailers and here do not produce fruit of good quality. Most of the berries worth picking come from the two high-bush species. For descriptions and illustrations (except of $R$. mananensis, here described for the first time), see Bailey, Gentes Herbarum, vol. 5 (1941-43).
Rosa rugosa Thunb.
Forming a dense colony at top of beach, Nantucket Island. An escape from cultivation; native of eastern Asia.
Rosa nitida Willd. Wild Rose.
Wet thickets: frequent. 5578.

## Rosa virginiana Mill.

Forming thickets at margin of old field above the cliffs and along roadsides at Whale Cove and frequent elsewhere, at least in the northern part of the main island. Forms with leaves dull above (5701) and shining above (5702) grow intermingled.

The roses of Grand Manan are here somewhat arbitrarily assigned to the above two species on the following basis.
Stems bristly to inflorescence; spines not broad-based, slender and straight; leaflets elliptic-lanceolate, shining; tips of calyxlobes scarcely dilated, subfiliform

Rosa nitida.
Stems bristly in lower part only; spines broad-based, sometimes
curved; leaflets elliptic, dull or shining; elongate tips of calyx-
lobes conspicuously dilated, sometimes foliose and toothed. Rosa virginiana.
These distinctions are by no means wholly satisfactory; too many individuals fail to conform to the specifications. One gets the impression of two strains which, in the restricted area of the island, have interbred until their individuality is nearly lost.

## Prunus virginiana L. Choke Cherry.

Thickets in dry ground: frequent. Perkins.
Prunus pensylvanica L. f. Bird Cherry. Pin Cherry.
Clearings: frequent or common. Perkins.

## Trifolium pratense L. Red Clover.

Old fields and roadsides: occasional. Rothrock. Native of Europe. The Grand Manan plant is the cultivated phase, var. sativum (Mill.) Schreb), distinguished chiefly by its greater size. Nee Rhodora 45: 331 (1943).

Trifoluum repens L. White Clover.
Old fields and waste places: North Head. Perkins. Native of Eurasia.

Trifolium hybridum L. Alsike Clover.
Old fields, etc. Perkins. Native of Europe, often cultivated.
Trifolium agrarium L. Hop Clover.
Reported by Vroom and Perkins; seen by us only in a clearing at Eel Brook Lake. Native of Europe.

Trifolium procumbens L. Low Hop Clover.
A frequent roadside weed. Hay in Fowler's list (1880). Native of Europe.
Melilotus alba Desr. Sweet Clover.
Weed in dry ground: Whale Cove; North Head. Felix. Native of Europe.
Vicia angustifolia (L.) Reichard. Vetch.
Weed in a field, Whale Cove. Native of Europe.

## Vicia Cracca L.

Roadsides and fields: occasional or frequent.
Lathyrus japonicus Willd. Beach Pea. L. maritinus Bigel.
Beaches: occasional or frequent. Rothrock. Both var. glaber (Ser.)
Fern. and var. pellitus Fern. occur, the latter commonly, the former at the Thoroughfare. For the names, see Rhodora, 34: 177-187 (1932).
Lathyrus palustris L. Vetchling.
Borders of marshes: Long Pond; Cheney Island. Rothrock. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. pilosus (Cham.) Ledeb.

- Linum usitatissimum L. Flax.

Roadside, North Head; not persistent. Native of Europe.
Oxalis montana Raf. Wood Sorrel. O. Acetosella of manuals, not L. Old, mossy woods: frequent. Rothrock.
Oxalis europaea Jord. Sorrel.
Weed on roadsides and in fields: frequent. Perkins, probably (as 0 . stricta). In spite of its name, the species is a native of North America. Introduced into Europe, it was named by Jordan under the impression that it was indigenous there.
Var. Beshil (Small) Wiegand, with leaves pubescent on the upper surface and villous stems, occurs at Whale Cove (2236). It is found chiefly in the Mississippi Basin and is rare in eastern North America. We have seen eastern specimens only from Massachusetts.

## Geranium pratense L. Crane's-bill.

Escaped from cultivation to roadsides: Swallowtail; Castalia village. Native of Europe.

Geranium Robertianum L. Herb-Robert.
Whale Cove cliffs. Felix.
Euphorbia polygonifolia L. Spurge.
Sand beaches: Nantucket Island; Long Pond Beach; White Head Island. 5700 , 6676. Not known in Maine east of Knox County, nor on the mainland in southwestern New Brunswick. In Nova Scotia, so far as known to us, on the outer coast only and rare there.
Euphorbia Cyparissias L. Cypress Spurge. Napoleon's-crown. Irish Moss (Bergen).
An escape at Whale Cove. Felix. Native of Europe.
Callitriche palustris L. Water Starwort.
Shallow water of ponds and streams: frequent. $5524,5745$.
Empetrum nigrum L. Crowberry.
Open banks, ledges and borders of bogs: frequent to occasional. Rothrock.

Rhus typhina L. Staghorn Sumach.
Old fields and clearings: occasional at the north end of the main island. Hay, 1879.
Rhus radicans L. Poison Ivy. R. Toxicodendron of manuals in part, not L.
Thickets and clearings: fortunately not common and, in contrast with its aggressive behavior farther south, seen only in rather inaccessible places. The (trand Manan plant belongs with var. Rydbergir (Small) Rehder, which is not climbing and has the leaves approximate at the ends of the branches and the terminal leaflet abruptly acuminate. See Rhodora, 43: 590 (1941).
Ilex verticillata (L.) A. Gray. Black Alder. Winterberry.
Swampy thickets: Whale Cove; Money Cove trail; Back Road; David Watt Pond. Perkins; 5681. Var. tencifolia (Torr.) S. Wats. occurs at North Head and probably elsewhere (5792).
Nemopanthus mucronatus (L.) Trel. Mountain Holly.
Moist or wet thickets and borders of bogs: frequent or oceasional. Perkins' list.
Acer pensylvanicum L. Striped Maple. Moosewood.
Open woods: frequent. Perkins.
Acer spicatum L. Mountain Maple.
Open woods, especially on hillsides: frequent. Perkins' list.

Acer Saccharum Marsh. Rock Maple. Sugar Maple.
Woods: near Eel Brook; Stanley Brook; Dark Harbour road. Perkins, "very scarce."
There has been much discussion of the name of the sugar maple, and a plausible case has been made out for the conjecture that $A$. Saccharum is no more than a misprint for $A$. saccharinum of Linnaeus and that Marshall did not intend to propose a new name. It seems to us, however, better to accept what Marshall did rather than what we think he meant to do, and to avoid a change in the name generally used in recent manuals. There are changes enough which we have to make.

Acer rubrum L. Red Maple.
Open woods and cut-over land: frequent, mostly small trees. Perkins, "quite common."

Impatiens biflora Walt. Jewelweed. Snapweed.
Moist, shaded or open places: common. Perkins.
Rhamnus alnifolia L'Hér. Buckthorn.
Wet thickets: Whale Cove; Back Road; trail to Miller Pond; Seal Cove. Perkins. Known to us in Nova Scotia only in Cumberland County.
Malva moschata L. Musk Mallow.
Escaped from cultivation at North Head village. Native of Europe.
Hypericum perforatum L. Saint-John's-wort.
A weed in old fields: common. Perkins. Native of Europe.
Hypericum ellipticum Hook.
Open wet, places: Back Road; Dark Harbour; White Head Island; Seal Cove Brook. Perkins.
Hypericum boreale (Britton) Bicknell.
Pond-margins and wet, open places: North Head; Long Pond marsh; near Mark Hill. Felix; 7328. A collection from a swamp near Grand Harbour has the appearance of a hybrid between $H$. boreale and $H$. majus (6648).

Hypericum majus (A. Gray) Britton.
Open, wet places: North Head; Grand Harbour. 6534. Not known to us from Nova Scotia.

## Hypericum canadense L.

Open, wet places: frequent in the lower parts of the main island and on the outlying islands (5692). Rothrock. A form with cream-colored petals occurs on Cheney and White Head islands, and is the only form of the species seen on Ross Island ( 5747,6665 ). On the central ridge and in the higher parts of the main island generally, typical H.canadense is
largely replaced by var. magninsulare Weath. Rhodora, 30: 189 (1928), with lemon-yellow, acute and soon reflexed petals ( 5545 , Pl. Exsicc. Gray. 568). So far, the variety is known only from Grand Manan; its distribution and status remain uncertain because of the difficulty of recognizing it in herbarium material.

Hypericum virginicum L. Marsh Saint-John's-wort.
Wet, open places: frequent. Perkins; 7311. Theoretically, the more northern var. Fraseri (Spach) Fern. Rhodora, 38: 434 (1936), with oblong or elliptic, blunt sepals and short styles ( 1 mm . or less long), which is the prevailing phase of the species on the adjacent Maine coast, should not only occur on Grand Manan, but be the prevailing phase there also. All the material we have examined, however, belongs with typical $H$. virginicum.

Viola cucullata Ait. Violet. "Fighting-cocks"; New Brunswick (Bergen).
Shaded or open wet places: occasional. Rothrock. Var. microtitis Brainerd, Rhodora, 15: 112 (1913), with the auricles of the sepals short ( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long) and the upper surface of the leaves sparsely strigose, has been collected in deep shade of a spruce and fir thicket at Deep Cove (7019). So far as length of auricle is concerned, these specimens do not represent the extreme of the variety, which is here at its southeastern limit. Two sheets in the local collection at the New Brunswick Museum, from St. John (French) and Rothesay (A. L. Warner) are also referable to this variety.

## Viola septentrionalis Greene.

Upland woods: common. Churchill: 5682, 7239.

## Viola fimbriatula Smith.

Dry, open ground: Mill Brook; Back Road; Dark Harbour road.
Viola pallens (Banks) Brainerd. Sweet White Violet.
Wet places in woods and clearings: common. Rothrock.

## Viola primulifolia L.

Marshy place among Iris, Nantucket Island. Heyward Scudder in Felix' list (as V. lanceolata); 7341.

## Viola incognita Brainerd.

Deep woods: occasional or frequent. 5721. Var. Forbesir Brainerd, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club, 38: 8 (1911), with leaves glabrous except for scattered hairs on the upper surface, occurs in similar habitats at Third Pond and Pat's Cove. 5767, 6946.

Viola renifolia A. Gray, var. Brainerdii (Greene) Fern. Rhodora, 14: 88 (1912).
Deep, moist woods on the declivity at Indian Beach. 5556. This variety has glabrous foliage. Typical V.renifolia, with pubescent leaves, has not been observed in Grand Manan.

## Viola labradorica Schrank.

Woods in deep shade: Cliff trail, North Head (Felix' list); Cedar St.; Back Road; Spruce Hill; Mark Hill trail. 5683a. This may be the "V. canina var. sylvestris" of Perkins' list.

Epilobium angustifolium L. Fireweed.
Roadsides and clearings: frequent. Rothrock.

## Epilobium strictum Muhl. Willow-herb. E. molle Torr.

Open swamps: North Head; Castalia; Back Road. 5618. Not seen from western Nova Scotia or the mainland of southwestern New Brunswick.

Epilobium leptophyllum Raf. E. densum of recent manuals, not Raf.
Bogs: Mark Hill; Inner Wood Island. Klugh, 1912; 6938, 7038.

## Epilobium palustre L.

Old, mossy woods and bogs: Money Cove trail; Third Pond; Long Pond; White Head Island. 5507, 5595. Diminishing in quantity as the old woods are cut off. Var. grammadophyllitm Haussk., with linear-lanceolate or linear leaves tapering to the apex, occurs at North Head (Churchill). Var. oliganthum (Michx.) Fern. (var. monticola of Gray's Manual, not Haussk.), with linear, obtuse leaves, is frequent in old woods and bogs.
Epilobium glandulosum Lehm., var. adenocaulon (Haussk.) Fern. Rhodora, 20: 35 (1918).
Wet, open places: frequent and very variable in size and foliage. Rothrock.

Oenothera biennis L. Evening Primrose.
Dry, open places: occasional. Perkins.
Oenothera perennis L. Sundrops. Oe. pumila L.
Moist, open places: frequent. Rothrock.
Circaea alpina L. Enchanter's Nightshade.
Cool, moist woods: common. Perkins.
Myriophyllum tenellum Bigel. Water Milfoil.
On floating mats of vegetable debris: Eel Brook lake; Miller Pond. Churchill.

Hippuris vulgaris L. Mare's-tail.
In fresh or brackish water: chiefly on the southern part of the main island and the outlying islands.

Aralia hispida Vent. Bristly Sarsaparilla.
Clearings and rocky places in dry ground: observed at various places in the northern half of the main island and on Ross, White Head and Inner Wood islands. Rothrock.

Aralia nudicaulis L. Wild Sarsaparilla.
Dry, open deciduous woods: frequent. Rothrock.
Hydrocotyle americana L. Water Pennywort.
Moist, shaded places: occasional. Perkins.
Osmorhiza Claytoni (Michx.) Clarke. Sweet Cicely.
Mixed woods on east slope of central ridge, north of Dock Brook.
Cicuta maculata L. Musquash-root. Beaver-poison.
Swamp near North Head village; Dock Brook at Back Road. Felix. The root is very poisonous.
Cicuta bulbifera L. Water Hemlock.
Swampy margins of Great Pond. Perkins.
Carum Carui L. Caraway.
Roadsides and fields: very common at the north end of the main island. Native of Europe.

Ligusticum scothicum L. Lovage.
On sea-cliffs and shingle beaches: frequent. Rothrock.
Coelopleurum lucidum (L.) Fern. C. actaeifolium (Michx.) Coult. \& Rose.
On sea-cliffs and shingle beaches: occasional. Klugh, 1912.
Pastinaca sativa L. Parsnip.
A few plants by roadside, Back Road. Native of Europe.
Heracleum lanatum Michx. C'ow Parsnip.
Thickets and roadsides: occasional. Felix.
Cornus canadensis I. Bunchberry. "Pigeon-berry"; New Brunswick (Bergen).
Forming large, dense colonies in dry, open wood-margins and clearings: very common. Perkins. Leaves sometimes imperfectly verticillate (forma elongata Peck), or forming two whorls, or one whorl and a pair above. Forma purpurascens (Miyahe \& Tatewaki) Hara, with pink bracts, occurs on Ross Island (5778). "Double-flowered" forms, with
two or more whorls of white bracts, and forms with two or three heads clustered at the summit of the peduncle occur at North Head.

Cornus stolonifera Michx. Red-osier Dogwood.
Thickets: common. Churchill.
Cornus alternifolia L. f. Dogwood.
Open woods and wood-margins: occasional in the northern half of the main island, often becoming tree-like. Perkins.
Chimaphila umbellata (L.) Nutt., var. cisatlantica Blake, Rhodora, 19: 241 (1917). Pipsissewa.
Dry, open woods: North Head; Dark Harbour road.
Moneses uniflora (L.) A. Gray. One-flowered Pyrola.
Dry woods: occasional. Rothrock.

## Pyrola secunda L. Shinleaf.

Dry woods: Fish Head (Felix); Dark Harbour road; David Watt Pond; Mark Hill trail. Rothrock.

Pyrola virens Schweig. P. chlorantha Sw.
Dry woods: near Eel Brook Lake. Forma paucifolia Fern. Rhodora, 43: 167 (1941), with few, small leaves or almost leafless, occurs along Money Cove trail. Sanford. Only the form is known to us from Washington County.

Pyrola rotundifolia L., var. americana (Sweet) Fern.
Dry woods, Money Cove trail. Rothrock.
Monotropa uniflora L. Indian-pipe. Ghost-flower.
Deciduous woods: occasional. Perkins.
Monotropa Hypopitys L. Pinesap.
Woods: Indian Beach; Dark Harbour trail; Long Pond; Mark Hill trail; Kent Island. Rothrock.

Ledum groenlandicum Oeder. Labrador Tea.
Bogs and sphagnous woods: occasional. Perkins.
Rhododendron canadense (L.) BSP. Rhodora.
Bogs and thickets: occasional. Rothrock. Occurs with leaves strongly glaucous (5706) or dull green (forma viridifolilm Fern.; 5707), the two forms, as in the case of Juniperus horizontalis, growing side by side. The corolla varies in color from mauve to magenta-pink.
Kalmia angustifolia L. Lamb-kill. Sheep Laurel.
Bogs and clearings: frequent. Rothrock.

Kalmia polifolia Wang. Pale Laurel.
Sphagnum bogs: Bald Heath; David Watt Pond; Herring Cove Heath; Ingalls Head bog; Ross Island; White Head Island. Perkins, as $K$. glauca.

Andromeda glaucophylla Link. Bog Rosemary.
Sphagnum bog back of Grand Harbour.
Chamaedaphne calyculata (L.) Moench. Leather-leaf.
Bogs and bog-margins: Priest Cove; Long Pond; White Head Island; Miller Pond; Mark Hill trail; Red Point. Not observed in the northern part of the main island.

Gaultheria procumbens L. Checkerberry. "Ivy-herry"; New Brunswick (Bergen).
Dry, open woods: near Cedar St. (Oscar T. Locke and Margaret Donalda Watt) ; Ross Island (Allan Moses). Perkins.

Chiogenes hispidula (L.) T. \& G. Creeping Snowherry. Moxie Plum. Capillaire. Teaberry. Maidenhair.
Bogs and sphagnous woods: occasional. Rothrock.
Gaylussacia dumosa (Andr.) T. \& (f., var. Bigeloviana Fern. Rhodora, 13: 99 (1911). Dwarf Huckleberry.
Sphagnum bogs: Bald Heath; David Watt Pond; Little Round Pond; Ingalls Head bog; Priest Cove; Ross Island.

Gaylussacia baccata (Wang.) Koch. Huckleberry,
Borders of heaths and dry thickets: occasional. Perkins.
Vaccinium angustifolium Ait. Blueberry.
The typical narrow-leaved phase, as defined in Gray's Manual, occurs in sphagnous spruce woods on White Head Island (5755). The common low-bush blueberry ( I. pensylvanicum Lam., not Mill.; I. angustifolium, var. lacvifolium House) is found in considerahle quantity in clearings (Rothrock), though in no such abundance as in the coastal areas of Maine. The form with glaucous leaves and black berries (I. Brittomii Porter; I. pensylvanicum, var. nigrum of (Gray's Manual, not Wood) is occasional (5719). Still another form, with both leaves and berries glaucous, occurs in a clearing near Bald Heath (5760), where the glaucous- and greenleaved phases seem to merge.

Camp, in his recent treatment of the North American blueberries in Brittonia, 5: 230-2:37 (1945), recognizes three species in this group: l . angustifolium, [. Lamarckii Camp ( $\Gamma$. pensylvanicum Lam., not Mill.), and $\mathrm{V}^{\circ}$. Brittonii. I. angustifolium is redefined. Instead of a narrowleaved boreal phase, almost wholly montane as far south as New England, it becomes a wide-ranging species, extending as far south as Virginia, variable in leaf-form, and including the commercial blueberries of Maine
and early low-bush berries of New England generally. I. Brittonii corresponds to $V$. pensylvanicum, var. nigrum of Gray's Manual and would include the fourth form mentioned above. I. Lamarchii is a polyploid derivative of $V$. angustifolium.

We have had, of course, no opportunity to try out Camp's classification on the blueberries of Grand Manan, but look forward with pleasure to doing so, since there is in the islands no possibility of complicating hybrids. We can only say that we have observed no particularly large forms which might he referred to I'. Lamarchii. In view of Dr. Camp's remark that there is still much work to be done on the group, it may not be impertinent to add that the old-fashioned systematist, conscious that the characters of external morphology on which he has relied have proved, in general, really significant, may doubt if a difference in chromosomenumber which expresses itself only in a series of over-lapping measurements is, now, of any more taxonomic value than a difference in leaf-form, whatever its possibilities as a basis for future divergent evolution. That is one of the questions which modern cytotaxonomy must answer.
I. Brittonii is described as having a campanulate corolla as against a cylindraceous one in $V^{r}$. angustifolium. As a species, it will have to depend on that character. The glaucousness of the leaves is not only unstable in itself, as in numerous other groups such as Agropyron, Jumiperus horizontalis and rhodora, but quite fails to correlate with lack of glaucousness in the berries. All four possible combinations of green or glaucous leaves and black or glaucous berries occur in nature, not very uncommonly.
Vaccinium myrtilloides Michx. Sour-top Blueberry. I. canadense Kalm ex Richardson.
Dry clearings: central ridge on Money Cove trail; Ohio Pond; Back Road; near Bald Heath. Perkins. In this region, the species seems to prefer dry habitats.
Vaccinium Vitis-idaea L., var. minus Lodd. Mountain or Rock Cranberry. "Foxberry" of Perkins.
Dry banks and ledges: occasional. Rothrock. The berries make very good tart jelly.

## Vaccinium Oxycoccos L. Small or Marsh Cranberry.

Bogs and other sphagnous places: occasional. Perkins.
Vaccinium macrocarpon Ait. Cranberry.
Sphagnous places: occasional. Perkins.
Limonium Nashii Small. L. carolinianum of manuals, not Walt.
Marsh Rosemary.
Salt marshes: Castalia; Ross Island. Perkins, as Statice Limonium.
Lysimachia terrestris (L.) BSP. Swamp Loosestrife.
Wet, open places: common. Rothrock.

Lysimachia ciliata I. Loosestrife. Steironema ciliatum (L.) Raf.
Roadside ditches, Back Road. Known to us in the coastal areas of eastern Maine and in Nova scotia only at a single station each.

Trientalis borealis Raf. T. americana (Pers.) Pursh. Starflower.
Open, deciduous woods: occasional. Rothrock.
Glaux maritima L. Sea Milkwort.
Saline habitats: Nantucket Island; Castalia; Dark Harbour; Ross Island; White Head Island. Churchill; 5537. Var. obtusifolia Fern. occurs on a shingle beach on Nantucket Island.

Fraxinus americana L. White Ash.
Scattered trees in mixed woods in the northern half of the main island. Perkins. Two forms occur, one with glabrous leaf-rachis and nearly entire leaflets, the other with the leaf-rachis pubescent and the leaflets shallowly and coarsely crenate-serrate. The latter is var. JuglandiFolit (Lam.) Rehd. (cf. Rehder, Man. Cult. Trees and Shrul)s, ed. 2, 770 (1940)), probably better treated as a form. Particular attention is here called to it because, on account of its pubescence, it has not infrequently been misidentified as $F$. pennsylvanica.
Fraxinus nigra Marsh. Black Ash.
Wet woods southwest of Castalia. Perkins; 7033.
Bartonia paniculata (Michx.) B. L. Robins.
Sphagnum bog, Ross Island. 6669. This material approaches var. intermedia Fern. Rhodora, 23:287 (1922). We have seen no specimens of B. paniculata from any part of Maine excent York County, and none from New Brunswick. Var. intermedia is known only from Nova Scotia.

Menyanthes trifoliata L., var. minor Michx. Buckbean.
Wet, open places: Money Cove; Castalia; Little Round Pond; back of Long Pond Beach; Inner Wood Island. Rothrock:
Nymphoides cordatum (Ell.) Fern. Fairy Lily. N. lacunosum of manuals, not Villarsia lacunosa Vent.
Shallow water: Eel Brook Lake; Lily Pond. Felix.
Apocynum androsaemifolium L. Dogbane.
Dry thickets and roadsides: occasional.
Convolvulus sepium L. Morning-glory.
Fence-rows and thickets: occasional or locally common. Rothrock. Both var. commNis Tryon, Rhodora, 41: 419 (1939), with glabrous, hastate leaves (i.e. the basal lobes angled) and var. americants Sims (var. pubscens of manuals), with pubescent sagittate leaves (i. e. the hasal lohes rounded), occur, the latter, so far as observed, mostly near the shore.

Cuscuta Gronovii Willd. Dodder.
Thicket at edge of sphagnum bog near shore, Priest Cove. In Nova Scotia not recorded from the Fundy shore.

Myosotis laxa Lehm. Wild Forget-me-not.
Brook-margin, Deep Cove.
Mertensia maritima (L.) S. F. Gray. Sea Lungwort.
Forming large and conspicuous blue-green patches on sand and shingle beaches: Whale Cove; Castalia; Long Pond Beach; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island. Verrill.

Echium vulgare L. Blue-weed.
Weed near the Whistle and at Whale Cove. Felix. Native of Europe.
Teucrium canadense L. Wood Sage. T. canadense var. littorale (Bicknell) Fern.
Edge of thicket at top of beach, Ross Island. 6667. Perkins. Not known to us in Maine east of Cumberland County, nor on the mainland in southwestern New Brunswick.

Scutellaria epilobiifolia Hamilt. Skull-cap. S. galericulata of manuals, not L.
Wet places: frequent. Rothrock. An albino form at Miller Pond (Miss Felix).

Prunella vulgaris L. Heal-all.
Open, grassy places: frequent. Perkins. Both the typical phase with oblong leaves and var. lanceolata (Bart.) Fern. Rhodora, 15: 183 (1913), occur. The latter is native in North America, the former probably an immigrant from Europe.
Physostegia virginiana (L.) Benth. False Dragon-head.
On the dam of an artificial pond at edge of village, Grand Harbour. Presumably an escape from cultivation. Native of the central United States.

## Galeopsis Tetrahit L. Hemp Nettle.

A weed at Whale Cove. Churchill. The Grand Manan plant belongs with the small-flowered var. bifida (Boenn.) Lej. \& Court. See Rhodora, 12: 141 (1910).

Stachys palustris L. Hedge Nettle; Woundwort.
Moist, open places: near mouth of Eel Brook; Whale Cove; southwest of Castalia. Churchill; 5495. Native of Europe.
Hedeoma pulegioides (L.) Pers. American Pennyroyal.
Clearings and banks in dry ground: occasional. Hay in Bull. Nat. Hist. Soc. N. B. 6: 81 (1887).

Lycopus uniflorus Michx. Water Horehound.
Open or shaded wet places: common. Felix.
Lycopus americanus Muhl.
Wet places: frequent. Perkins.
Mentha arvensis L. Wild Mint.
Wet, open places; frequent. Perkins. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. villosa (Benth.) S. R. Stewart (var. canadensis (L.) Briq.). Forma glabrata (Benth.) S. R. Stewart, with glabrous stems and foliage, occurs in the ravine at Dark Harbour (5636). The dried leaves make a palatable herb tea.

Solanum Dulcamara L. Bittersweet Nightshade.
Moist thickets; occasional. Felix. Native of Europe.
Verbascum Thapsus L. Mullein.
Roadside, Whale Cove. Perkins. Native of Europe.
Linaria vulgaris L. Butter-and-eggs.
A roadside weed: North Head; Castalia. Perkins. Native of Europe.
Chelone glabra L. Turtle-head.
Roadside ditches: Cedar St.; Castalia; Back Road. Perkins.
Limosella subulata Ives. Mudwort. L. aquatica var. tenuifolia or $L$. tenuifolia of manuals, not Wolf.
Forming dense mats in mud overlying sand, strand of barrier-beach pond, Cheney Island. Not known in Maine east of Hancock County.
Veronica longifolia L. Speedwell.
Escaped to roadside, Cedar St. (iraves. Native of Europe.
Veronica americana Schwein. Brooklime.
Wet place by a cold run near a spring, upper edge of Whale Cove swamp; by brook in woods near Back Road west of Castalia. Churchill. Not known from Washington County.
Veronica officinalis L. Speedwell.
Open, deciduous woods and clearings: occasional. Felix.

## Veronica serpyllifolia L.

Grassy places, Whale Cove. Churchill.
Melampyrum lineare Desr. Cow Wheat.
Open, mixed woods: Back Road; Ross Island; White Head Island. Rothrock; 6671. Var. Americanum (Mich.) Beauverd, with linearlanceolate to lance-ovate leaves and toothed bracts, occurs near Woodward's Cove. The other collections from Grand Manan are more or less transitional to this variety and perhaps should all be referred to it.

Euphrasia Randii B. L. Robins. Eyebright.
Open, grassy places, especially along the sea-cliffs: occasional. Churchill; 5518, 6645. Corolla purplish with yellow eye and darker lines, the entire lobes of the lip nearly equal, the lateral ascending.

Euphrasia americana Wettst.
Open, grassy places: one of the commonest plants of the island. Churchill; 5512. Corolla white or somewhat suffused with purple, with dark purple lines and yellow throat; middle lobe of lip longer than the divergent lateral lobes.
Odontites rubra Gilib.
Rothrock; apparently not found since. A frequent weed along the Maine coast. Native of Europe.
Rhinanthus crista-galli L. Yellow-rattle.
Open, grassy places: frequent or common. Rothrock. Both the typical variety, with unspotted stems, golden-yellow corollas, and the teeth of the upper lip whitish (7242, 7256), and var. fallax (Wimm. \& Grab.) Druce, with black-lineolate stems, lemon-yellow corollas, and gray-purple teeth of the upper lip (7257), occur. The former is the more common in the northern part of the main island; only the variety has been observed in the southern part of the main island and on the outlying islands.
Utricularia geminiscapa Benj. Bladderwort. U. clandestina Nutt.
Shallow water at margin of Little Round Pond. Not known on the mainland in southwestern New Brunswick, and from a single station only east or north of Mt. Desert in Maine.

## Utricularia vulgaris L.

Pond on White Head Island.

## Utricularia gibba L.

On mats of vegetable debris, Miller Pond. Known in western Nova Scotia, where it reaches its northeastern limit, but not on the mainland of New Brunswick nor in Washington County.

## Utricularia intermedia Hayne.

Shallow water: Eel Brook Lake; Dark Harbour Brook; Long Pond; Miller Pond; Lily Pond.

## Utricularia purpurea Walt.

Fragments washed ashore, Miller Pond. 7233. In western Nova Scotia, but not known from the mainland of New Brunswick nor from Washington County.

## Utricularia cornuta Michx.

In wet sphagnum on pond-margins: David Watt Pond; Ross Island; Lily Pond. Perkins. Flowers delightfully fragrant.

Epifagus virginiana (L.) Bart. Beech-drops.
Parasitic on the roots of beeches: north of Eel Brook Lake; Back Road. Felix.

Orobanche unifiora L. Cancer-root.
Thicket south of the Whistle. (iraves. (). umiflora sens. strict. is not known from Washington Countr and is here near its northeastern limit. The more northern plant, included in the species in current manuals, has heen segregated as $O$. terrae-novae Fern. Rhodora, 28:235 (1926), or O. uniflora var. terrae-novae (Fern.) Achey.

Plantago major L. Plantain.
An occasional weed on roadsides and in waste places, sometimes along old lumber-roads in woods. Perkins. Grand Manan specimens are mostly small and pubescent.
Plantago juncoides Lam., var. decipiens (Barn.) Fern. Seaside Plantain.
Sea-cliffs; at Long Pond Beach in sand: common. Rothrock; 7276, 7283.

Plantago oliganthos R. \& S.
Nalt marsh, Castalia. 7301. For descriptions of the two seaside plantains, see Rhodora, 27: 98 (1925).
Galium Aparine L. Cleavers.
Perkins' list; Sanford; not seen by us.

## Galium boreale L.

Monist, grassy places: Whale Cove; Castalia. 5736. The (irand Manan plant helongs with var. intermedica DC., in which the fruit is covered with short, appressed or incurving hairs. For other varieties of $G$. boreale, see Rhodora, 30: 106 (1928).
Galium Mollugo L. Bedstraw.
One large and one small colony in old fields about Whale Cove. Felix. Native of Europe.

## Galium palustre L.

Roadside ditches and wet thickets: Back Road; White Head Island. 5741.

## Galium trifidum L.

Bogs and open, wet places: Whale Cove; Creat Pond; White Head Island. 5697. The specimens from White Head Island, from a brackish marsh, approach var. halophilum Fern. \& Wieg. (5504).
Galium tinctorium L. (i. Claytomi Michx.
Moist ground, open or shaded: occasional. 7320 .

Galium asprellum Michx. Rough Bedstraw.
Moist thickets: occasional. Perkins.
Galium trifiorum Michx. Sweet-scented Bedstraw.
Shaded places in deciduous or mixed woods: frequent. Rothrock.
Houstonia caerulea L. Bluets.
We have seen only a single individual in a rocky old field at Flagg's Cove, but the species was collected by Rothrock and is noted in both the Perkins and Felix lists, in the latter from the Back Road. No doubt it is much more common than our one station would indicate.

Diervilla Lonicera Mill. Bush Honeysuckle.
Dry thickets and wood-margins: near Eel Brook Lake (Felix); Whale Cove (Miss Jordan); Dark Harbour road.

Lonicera villosa (Michx.) R. \& S. Waterberry.
Wet thickets: occasional. Perkins. Var. calvesceens (Fern. \& Wieg.) Fern., Rhodora, 27: 5, 8 (1925), with puberulent young branches, occurs in the shrub-zone bordering a heath on White Head Island (5754); var. tonsa Fern. has been collected at Beech Hill (Adams). The berries are edible, resembling blueberries both in appearance and flavor.

Lonicera canadensis Marsh. Fly Honeysuckle.
Mixed woods: occasional, at least in the northern half of the main island. Perkins.

Linnaea borealis L., var. americana (Forbes) Rehd. Twin-flower.
Dry, open woods, banks and ledges: occasional. Rothrock.
Viburnum trilobum Marsh. High-bush Cranberry. I. Opulus L. var. americanum Ait.
Thickets and fence-rows: near the Whistle; Willow Farm. Felix. Not known to us from western Nova Scotia.

Viburnum cassinoides L. Withe-rod.
Mixed woods and thickets at the lower levels: frequent. (iraves.
Sambucus canadensis L. Elderberry.
Thickets: southwest of Castalia; near the Thoroughfare. Perkins.
Sambucus pubens Michx. Red-berried Elder. s. racemosa of manuals, not L.
On talus and in rocky places: occasional. Rothroch:
Echinocystis lubata (Michx.) T. \& (i. Wild Cucumber.
Often cultivated and occasionally escaped to fence-rows and rubbishheaps. Native in York and Kings counties, New Brunswick, but not known as an indigenous species on the island.

Campanula rapunculoides L. Bell-flower.
Escaped from cultivation near houses at Whale Cove. Native of Europe.

Campanula rotundifolia L. Harebell.
Sea-cliffs and ledges: common. An albino form (forma albiflora (G. Don) House) occurs on sea-cliffs south of the Whistle.

Lobelia inflata L. Indian Tobacco.
Roadsides and moist, open places: frequent. Perkins.
Lobelia Dortmanna L. Water Lobelia.
Shallow water: Eel Brook Lake; Third Pond; Ohio Pond; Lily Pond. Rothrock.

Eupatorium maculatum L. Joe-Pye-weed.
Moist thickets and low grounds: frequent. Perkins, as E. purpureum; 6618, 6619. A form with elongate, deeply serrate leaves occurs at North Head (6945).

Eupatorium perfoliatum L. Boneset. Thoroughwort.
Low, open places: occasional. Perkins.
Solidago bicolor L. Silver-rod.
Dry, old fields and uplands: near Eel Brook Lake; Indian Beach.
Solidago macrophylla Pursh. (Goldenrod.
Margins of old spruce woods: Bradford Cove; Kent Island. Found only at the southern end of the main island and on the adjacent outlying islands where there are old, undisturbed woods. Not known from western Nova Scotia.

## Solidago puberula Nutt.

Dry, open ground at wood-margins and on roadsides: Bancroft Road; Nantucket Island; road to Bald Heath; Dark Harbour road; Inner Wood Island.

Solidago sempervirens L. Seaside Goldenrod.
Upper beaches and margins of salt marshes: frequent. Perkins.
Solidago juncea Ait. Early Goldenrod.
Fields: common; also frequent on sea-cliffs, where it takes kindly to the recent habitats left by frost-erosion. Not known to us from western Nova Scotia.
Solidago uniligulata (DC.) Porter.
In sphagnum loogs and rarely in marshes: Whale Cove; David Watt Pond; Ross Island; Ingalls Head bog; White Head Island; Seal Cove Brook; Inner Wood Island; Lily Pond. Churchill.

## Solidago rugosa Mill.

Fence-rows and thickets: common. Perkins. Tar. villosa (Pursh) Fern. occurs on Ross Island (5777).

Solidago nemoralis Ait.
Old fields and dry, open places: frequent. Felix.

## Solidago canadensis L.

Clearings and dry old fields and roadsides: occasional to frequent in the northern half of the main island. Graves.

Solidago gigantea Ait. S. serotina var. gigantea (Ait.) A. Gray.
Moist places, open or in partial shade: near Eel Brook Lake; Whale Cove (where there are large colonies); Money Cove and Dark Harbour trails; near Ohio Pond; trail from Back Road to Woodward's Cove.

Solidago graminifolia (L.) Salisb.
Moist or dry open places: frequent. Felix. All the Grand Manan material examined belongs with var. Nuttaliiil (Greene) Fernald.

Aster macrophyllus L. Aster.
Characteristically dense colonies in wood-margins and along streams: near Eel Brook Lake; Dock Brook ravine. 7244, 7325.

## Aster radula Ait.

Borders of thickets: Money Cove trail; Bancroft Farm; Bradford Cove trail.

## Aster cordifolius L.

Edge of thicket in dry ground: Whale Cove.
Aster laterifiorus (L.) Britton.
Roadsides and margins of thickets: frequent. 5632, 6615. The Grand Manan plant is the more northern, typical phase of the species, as defined by Wiegand, Rhodora, 30: 172-177 (1928). An apparent hybrid with A. novi-belgii occurs at Castalia (6622).

## Aster paniculatus Lam.

Roadside ditch in moist ground, Castalia. 6694. The typical, narrowleaved plant of Wiegand's treatment, Rhodora, 35: 28-34 (1933). Perkins' list. The name A. paniculatus Lam. is a later homonym and definitely illegitimate, but is here retained because none of the rather numerous possible substitutes can at present be certainly identified.

## Aster junceus Ait.

Roadside in moist ground, Ross Island. 5781.

## Aster foliaceus Lindl.

Among bushes and on roadsides and margins of marshes: the commonest aster, except $A$. acuminatus, on the east side of the island. Rothrock.

It varies considerably in leaf-shape and in the degree of development of the outer phyllaries. The Rothrock specimen has ovate-lanceolate leaves and broad, though rather short, phyllaries. The narrow-leaved phase distinguished as var. sublinearis Eaton \& Griscom, Rhodora, 34: 14 (1932), was collected by Churchill.

## Aster novi-belgii L.

Wet, open places: frequent. Graves. The narrow-leaved phase often found in sphagnum, var. elodes A. Gray, occurs in a dry thicket on Ross Island (6664).

The lines of demarcation separating A. junceus, A. foliaceus and $A$. novi-belgii are by no means clear. The three species are here separated, unsatisfactorily, on the characters of the involucre. In A. novi-belgii the phyllaries are more or less squarrose, the outer ones not greatly dilated or elongate; the branches of the inflorescence commonly bear several reduced leaves or bracts. In A. foliaceus the phyllaries are not squarrose and the outer ones are leaf-like and more or less dilated and elongate; the inflorescence has reduced leaves at the points of branching only. A. junceus is similar, but the outer phyllaries are not dilated and are no longer than the inner, and the leaves are always linear.

The names A. novi-belgii and A. junceus are here used in the sense of current manuals. Dr. L. H. Shinners, who has studied this group of asters in two different areas (Wisconsin and Nova scotia), believes that the name A. junceus has been misapplied and perhaps should be ahandoned altogether as a nomen ambiguum. He takes up A. junciformis Rydb. for our species. From A. novi-belgii of the manuals he segregates the more northern element as A. Rolandii Shinners. At least some, and probably most of the (irand Manan material would be referable to that species. The specimens here recorded as A. novi-belgii var. clodes, however, seem to be a narrow-leaved phase, perhans ecological, of the more southern, typical, A. novi-belgii. See Amer. Midland Nat. 26: 411 (1941) and Rhodora, 45: 334ff. (1943).

## Aster puniceus L.

Moist thickets, Whale Cove. Large plants, 1-1.5 m. tall.

## Aster umbellatus Mill.

Moist, open places: common.

## Aster acuminatus Michx.

Dry mixed woods and clearings: the commonest aster of the island. Perkins' list.

## Aster nemoralis Ait.

In sphagnum: David Watt Pond; Ross Island; Ingalls Head bog; White Head Island; Inner Wood Island; Bradford Cove trail. Vroom's list. Var. Major Peck (var. Blakei Porter) occurs in moist ground at Rich Pond, on Ross Island and at Deep Cove (6635); regarded, quite
plausibly, by House and Shinners as a hybrid between A. acuminatus and $A$. nemoralis. If a hybrid, however, it is an exceptionally common cross, or self-perpetuating.
Erigeron strigosus Muhl. Daisy Fleabane. E. ramosus (Walt.) BSP., not Raf.
Wood-roads and clearings: occasional. Perkins; 6617, 6933.
Erigeron canadensis L. Horseweed. Butterweed.
Weed in recent clearing south of the Whistle.
Antennaria canadensis Greene. Pussy-toes.
Dry old fields and open places: frequent. 7230, 7243.
Antennaria neodioica Greene.
Dry old fields and open places: less frequent than the preceding, but much more variable. Wholly typical $A$. neodioica seems not to occur. Of the two collections here referred to it, no. 7262 is a shade-form producing unusually long stolons and with the upper surface of the new leaves nearly glabrous-in this respect approaching var. chlorophylla Fern.; and no. 7272 is a large state approaching var. grandis Fern. in size and perhaps better referred to it. No. 7263 , with relatively large leaves, loose inflorescence and reddish phyllaries with opaque white tips is good var. Grandis Fern. No. 7231, small-leaved and with hyaline, translucent phyllarytips is var. attenuata Fern.

For an account of these varieties, see Rhodora, 35: 345 (1933). Their local distribution on Grand Manan has not yet been worked out. Var. attenuata, however, also common on the adjacent Maine coast, appears to be the common plant of dry fields and banks; var. grandis and typical A. neodioica seem rather to seek wood-margins in light shade and to be less frequent.
Antennaria petaloidea Fern.
Rothrock; not seen by us.
Anaphalis margaritacea (L.) Benth. \& Hook. Pearly Everlasting.
Clearings and roadsides in dry ground: common and often forming dense colonies. Perkins. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. intercedens Hara. See Rhodora, $41: 391$ (1939).
Gnaphalium uliginosum L. Low Cudweed.
Moist, open places: occasional. Perkins.

## Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. Ragweed.

This hay-fever plant is fortunately not common on the island, though likely to spread if not controlled. It has been observed at North Head, at Castalia, and on Kent Island. The Grand Manan material belongs to the more common phase of the species, var. Elatior (L.) Descourtils.

Rudbeckia hirta L. Black-eyed-Susan. Yellow Daisy.
Fields: near Eel Brook Lake; Whale Cove; Back Road. Perkins; 5772. Native of the central United States, here an introduced weed. All Grand Manan specimens seen belong with var. sericea (T. V. Moore) Fern. Rhodora, 39: 457 (1937).
Bidens frondosa L. Beggar-ticks.
A weed in moist ground: occasional or frequent. Perkins.

## Bidens cernua L.

Wet, open places: Bancroft Road; Long Pond. Perkins; 6659, 6677. The specimens cited, with very short teeth on the leaf-margins, perhaps should be referred to var. integra Wiegand. See Rhodora, 24: 207 (1922)
Achillea Millefolium L. Yarrow.
A common weed of waste places; also well established on the sea-cliffs. Rothrock.
Matricaria maritima L., var. agrestis (Knaf) Wilmott. Wild Chamomile. $M$. inodora of manuals.
An occasional weed. Verrill. Native of Europe.
Matricaria matricarioides (Less.) Porter. Pineapple-weed. M. suaveolens (Pursh) Buchenau.
A common weed in moist, open places. Native of western North America. Felix. Gives off a pleasant, pineapple-like odor when crushed.
Chrysanthemum Leucanthemum L. Whiteweed. "Bull's-eye"; New Brunswick (Bergen).
An occasional weed and, like yarrow, established on shelves of the seacliffs. Perkins; 5485. Native of Europe. The Grand Manan plant is the typical phase of the species, not the var. pinnatifidum Lecoq \& Lamotte, the common plant southward.
Tanacetum vulgare L. Tansy.
A weed at North Head. Perkins. Native of Europe. Forma crispum (L.) Fern. occurs in an old clearing near Priest Cove.
Cotula coronopifolia L.
Forming a dense, tangled mat in brackish mud about fish-houses, White Head Island. A widely spread species, supposedly native of South Africa; known from only three localities in eastern North America.
Tussilago Farfara L. Colt's-foot.
Brook-margins and moist ground: near the Whistle; along Dock Brook; Castalia; Back Road; Red Point. Native of Europe.
Petasites palmatus (Ait.) A. Gray. Sweet Colt's-foot.
In spruce woods, Back Road.

Erechtites hieracifolia (L.) Raf. Fireweed.
Recent clearings, particularly in burned-over areas: Rocky Corner; Dock Brook; trail to Miller Pond. The Grand Manan plant belongs with var. intermedia Fern. Rhodora, 19: 27 (1917), characterized by broadbased leaves, the upper markedly smaller than the lower.

Senecio sylvaticus L. Groundsel.
Clearings, pastures and upper beaches: a frequent weed. Churchill. Native of Europe.
Senecio aureus L. Golden Ragwort.
Openings in wet, mossy woods, trail from Back Road to Woodward's Cove. The Grand Manan plant is, naturally, the more northern element of the species, var. intercursus Fern. Rhodora, 45:499 (1943). Typical S. aureus, as defined by Fernald, is not known north of Virginia.

Senecio Robbinsii Oakes. Ragwort.
Wet, open or shaded places: common.

## Senecio Pseudo-Arnica Less.

Bill's Island, Grand Harbour-a tiny bit of shingle, rising scarcely 15 ft. above tide-level; Green Islands, l'errill. A striking species, here at its extreme southwestern limit, with extraordinarily effective adaptations against loss of water. It is almost as difficult to dry as a cactus.

We have seen no other specimens from New Brunswick. In (iray's Manual, ed. 5,271 (1867), the species was reported from "Grand Manan island, off Maine" on the basis of Verrill's collection. The phrase "off Maine" is apparently responsible for the record from eastern Maine in the 7th edition of the Manual. We can find no evidence to support this record and suspect that the editors inadvertently interpreted "off Maine" as "in Maine."

Arctium minus (Hill) Bernh. Burdock.
A weed at Whale Cove. Graves. Native of Europe.
Echinops sphaerocephalus L. Globe Thistle.
Escaped from cultivation to a clearing, Whale Cove. Native of Europe.
Cirsicm velgare (Savi) Airy-Shaw. Bull Thistle. C. lanceolatum of manuals, not (L.) Hill.
Waste places: an occasional weed. Perkins. Native of Furope.
Cirsium muticum Nichx. Swamp Thistle.
Open woods and clearings: frequent. Rothrock.
Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop. Canada Thistle.
A frequent and unwelcome weed. Native of Europe. A whiteflowered form, forma albiflorum (Rand \& Redfield) R. Hoffm., occurs occasionally.

Centaurea Cyanus L. Bachelor's-button. Corn-flower.
Escaped from cultivation at North Head. Native of Europe.
Centaurea nigra L. Knapweed.
Roadside, North Head. Native of Europe.
Cichorium Intybus L. Chicory.
An uncommon weed: Whale Cove. Native of Europe.
Leontodon autumnalis L. Fall Dandelion.
A common weed. Perkins. Native of Europe. Both the typical phase of the species, with phyllaries merely arachnoid-pubescent, and var. pratensis Koch, with them blackish-pilose, occur, the latter more frequently.

Taraxacum officinale Weber. Dandelion.
Roadside weed at North Head and probably elsewhere. Perkins; 7255. Native of Europe.

## Taraxacum latilobum DC.

Crevices of sea-cliffs, moist, shaded places in clearings and on roadsides: occasional. 6933, 7247. A native species, very like T. officinale, the commonly introduced European dandelion. From it, T. latilobum is distinguished almost wholly by its achenes, which are tuberculate nearly or quite to the base; in $T$. officinale, they are muricate or tuberculate only in the upper part. The native species, at least on (irand Manan, is also of a more retiring disposition than the European immigrant, preferring moist and shaded habitats, and is not at all an aggressive weed. For a treatment of the dandelions of eastern North America, see Rhodora, 35: 319 (1933).

Taraxacum laevigatum Willd. Red-seeded Dandelion. T. erythrospermum Andrz.
Dry, open or shaded ground in clearings: vicinity of Eel Brook Lake; Back Road; Cheney Island. 7245, 7259. Readily recognized by its finely cut leaves, the horn-like appendages of its phyllaries, and its red achenes. In no. 7259 , the achenes are almost without murication. Native of Europe.

Sonchus arvensis L. Sow Thistle.
Roadsides, about fish-houses and in other waste places: oceasional. Graves. Native of Europe.

Sonchus asper (L.) Hill.
A weed at North Head. Perkins. Native of Europe.

Lactuca canadensis L. Wild Lettuce. L. canadensis var. integrifolia of manuals.
Old fields and pastures: Whale Cove; Dark Harbour road; Back Road. 5631. Var. longifolia (Michx.) Farwell (L. canadensis of manuals) occurs in a clearing near Back Road. 7045.
Prenanthes trifoliolata (Cass.) Fernald. Wood Lettuce. Call-of-theearth.
Open woods: occasional. Perkins, probably, as Nabalus albus. Plants of exposed summits of sea-cliffs and ledges near the ocean at Fish Head (5737) and on White Head Island are referable to var. nana (Bigel.) Fern., though not the extreme of the variety.

## Prenanthes altissima L.

Open woods: occasional.
Hieracium flagellare Willd. Mouse-ear Hawkweed. H. Pilosella L. var. viride Ser.

Roadsides and clearings, Whale Cove. 7253. Native of Europe.
Hieracium aurantiacum L. Devil's Paint-brush.
Fields at North Head and Grand Harbour. Felix. Native of Europe. A handsome but obnoxious weed, which it is hoped will not take possession of the hay-fields of the island, as it has done in large areas in northern New England.

Hieracium floribundum Wimm. \& Grab. King-devil.
A too frequent weed in old fields. Native of Europe.
Hieracium scabrum Michx. Hawkweed.
Dry, open ground: Indian Beach trail; road to Bald Heath; Dark Harbour.

## Hieracium canadense $L$.

Dry, open ground, Dark Harbour road. Perkins; 6656. The Grand Manan plant belongs with the more southern phase of the species, var. fascicllatum (Pursh) Fern. Rhodora, 45: 320 (1943). We have seen no specimens from western Nova Scotia.

## Doubtful and Unverified Records

These records include both such as have appeared in print-chiefly in Perkins' list-and others from the various manuscript lists which we have seen. In no instance have we seen specimens of the species concerned, either in herbaria or in the field. In most cases, this is the only reason why they are placed here rather than in the body of our list. Many in all probability did occur in the islands, either as fugitive weeds or as native species since exterminated or so rare that we have failed to find them again.

But in the absence of specimens there is always the chance of error; it has therefore seemed best to segregate these records from the better assured ones admitted to the list proper.

The name originally given is cited in each case, followed by that now in use, if it is different.

Aspidium acrostichoides (Michx.) Sw. Polystichum acrostichoides (Michx.) Schott. Christmas Fern.
Perkins. Probably correct; the species occurs in all adjacent areas.
Pinus resinosa Ait. Red Pine.
Perkins. Very likely correct, though the soils of Grand Manan are not such as the red pine prefers. There is much of it along the St. Croix River below St. Stephen (Vroom listed it as "abundant"), and it occurs in other nearby areas.

Eleocharis ovata (Roth) R. \& S.
"Scarce in marshes on Grand Manan and Campobello," Klugh, Contrib. Canadian Biol. 1912: 266. In all probability, this refers to E. obtusa, which Klugh does not list. The two are alike in general appearance and without examination of ripe achenes could easily be confused-as for a long time they were by most taxonomists. E. ovata is not known from the Passamaquoddy area.

## Carex silicea Olney.

"Common [on the rocky coasts of] Grand Manan" Klugh, 1. c. 270. Entirely probable. Although we have no definite record from southwestern New Brunswick, ( 4 silicea occurs on the outer coast of Nova Scotia and all along the coast of Maine. But we have not detected it on the beaches of Grand Manan.

Luzula pilosa Willd. L. acuminata Raf. L. saltuensis Fern. Wood Rush.
Perkins. The record could be correct; the species occurs in all adjacent areas. But Perkins does not list the common L. multifora (L. campestris of his time); it seems likely that there was some confusion.

Listera convallarioides (Sw.) Torr. Twayblade.
Perkins. Here again the record might be correct; Vroom reports the speceies from st. Andrews. But it is more probable that Perkins had $L$. cordata, which he does not list.

Salix candida Fluegge. Sage Willow.
Perkins. Probably an error; S. candida is not known nearer than northeastern New Brunswick and northern Maine. Perkins may have had some of the more pubescent forms of S. Bebbiana.

Comptonia peregrina (L.) Coult. Sweet Fern. Myrica asplenifolia L.
"Road to Watt Pond, 1914." Miss Felix's list. Very likely correct; the species has been collected at st. Andrews by Malte and occurs in all adjacent areas.
Betula lenta L. Black Birch.
Perkins. Improbable; Perkins may have had young B. lutea and been deceived by the checkerberry flavor which that species also has, though less strongly than $B$. lenta.

Blitum capitatum I.. ('henopodium capitatum (L.) Aschers. Strawberry Blite.
Possible; may have been a fugitive introduction.

## Mollugo verticillata L. Carpet-weed.

"Weed on Swamp Road", Felix. Probably correct. Native of tropical America. Plentiful along the railroad at St. Andrews (Adams).

## Agrostemma Githago L. Corn Cockle.

Felix. Probably a fugitive weed. Native of Europe.
Ranunculus Flammula L. Creeping Spearwort.
Perkins. Presumably this refers to what we now call $R$. reptans L. It is very likely to be correct; the species should be sought on pond-shores.

Neslia paniculata (L.) Desv. Ball Mustard.
Ciraves. Collected at it. Andrews by Malte; a rare weed in New England. May have appeared temporarily on Grand Manan. Native of Europe.

Brassica arvensis (L.) Ktze. B. Kaber (DC.) L. C. Wheeler, var. pinnatifida (Stokes) Wheeler. Charlock.
Graves. Very likely correct. Native of Eurasia.
Brassica nigra (L.) Koch. Black Mustard.
Hay; Felix. Very likely correct. Native of Eurasia.
Sedum acre L. Stonecrop.
"Church Hill, 1912", Felix. Very likely correct, though perhaps not really an escape.
Ribes florithom L'Hér. R. americanum Mill. Wild Black Currant.
Perkins. Very likely correct.
Ribes rubrum L. R. vulgare Lam. Red Currant.
Perkins. A probable escape from gardens.

Alchemilla pratensis F. W. Schmidt. Lady's-mantle.
"Roadside weed, Dock Brook Road, 1912". Felix. A common weed in western Nova Scotia, but not known to us in New Brunswick nor eastern Maine. Native of Europe.

Rosa cinnamomea L. Cinnamon Rose.
Graves. Native of Eurasia. We have seen this forming thickets near old houses, but apparently these are merely clones spreading from planted bushes, not truly escaped.

Viola adunca, var. glabra Brainerd, Rhodora 15: 109 (1913). I'. adunca Smith, forma glabra (Brainerd) G. N. Jones, Univ. Washington Pub. Biol. 5: 194 (1936).
"Grand Manan Island, J. Vroom, May 8, 1880." Brainerd, l. c. This record should be correct, but we have not seen the specimen cited, nor any material of the form from the Passamacquoddy area, though typical, pubescent $V^{\text {' }}$. adunca is not uncommon in Washington County. Possibly Brainerd's plant was the same as that which we treat as 1 . labradorica.

Epilobium coloratum Muhl. Willow-herb.
Perkins. Almost certainly refers to E. glandulosum var. adenocaulon, not separated in the earlier editions of ('ray's Manual, nor in Macoun's Catalogue.

Cornus circinata L'Hér. C'. rugosa Lam. Dogwood.
Perkins. Possible. Reported from st. Andrews by Troom, but not known to us from western Nova scotia nor southeastern Maine.

Convolvulus arvensis L. Bindweed.
Perkins; "Castalia, 1915", Felix. Probably correct, but the species not persistent. Native of Europe.
Lappula echinata Gilib. Stickseed.
"Weed in vegetable garden, Whale Cove". Felix. Collected by Malte at St. Andrews. Native of Europe.

Scutellaria lateriftora L. Mad-dog Skullcap.
Perkins. In all probability correct, though no one else seems to have seen it. It occurs in all adjacent areas.
Nepeta hederacea (L.) Trer. (ill-over-the-ground.
Perkins; Felix. A species which spreads readily from cultivation; records prohably correct. Native of Europe.
Mentha viridis L. M. spicata I . Spearmint.
Perkins.' Quite possible, though not common in adjacent areas. Native of Europe.

Solanum nigrum L. Nightshade.
Perkins. Vroom reports the species from St. Stephen and it has been collected in Washington County.

Datura Stramonium L. Jimson-weed.
"Road to Castalia, 1910". Felix. Reported by Vroom from St. Andrews and St Stephen. Native of tropical America, usually fugitive in the north.
Penstemon Digitalis Sweet. Beard-tongue.
Felix. Malte collected the species at St. Andrews. Native of the central United States.

Symphoricarpos racemosus Michx. S. albus L. Snowberry.
Perkins. This presumably refers to the cultivated S. albus var. laevigatus (Fern.) Blake; typical S. albus would be very improbable here. The variety occurs as an escape at several localities in Nova Scotia.

Viburnum Lentago L. Nannyberry.
Perkins. This almost certainly refers to the common V. cassinoides, which Perkins did not list.

## Solidago uliginosa Nutt.

Perkins. A possible species on Grand Manan, but Perkins probably had S. uniligulata, which he does not list.

Antennaria plantaginifolia (L.) Richards. Pussy-toes.
Perkins. In the manuals of Perkins' time, this name was used to cover all the Antennarias of northeastern North America. He may have had any one, or all, of the three species we recognize on Grand Manan. True A. plantaginifolia does not get nearer than southwestern Maine, except for a single collection from Mt. Desert.

Gnaphalium polycephalum Michx. G. obtusifolium L. Everlasting.
Perkins. Possible, but not very likely. Yet Perkins recorded correctly the species which he might have confused with this.
Bidens connata Muhl. Beggar's-ticks.
Perkins. Possible, but not likely. Perkins may have had some form of the variable B. cernua.

## Anthemis Cotula L. May-weed.

Perkins. Almost certainly this refers to Matricaria maritima (M. inodora), which Perkins does not list. The two species look alike; Perkins was not the only one to confuse them.

Senecio vulgaris L. Groundsel.
Perkins, Felix and Graves. This almost certainly refers to the common S. sylvaticus, not included in the manuals of Perkins' time and superficially so like $S$. vulgaris as to be readily confused with it.
Artemisia canadensis Michx. Wormwood.
Perkins. Very improbable and not like any species we know from the island. It is hard to guess what Perkins may have had.
Onopordum Acanthium L. Scotch Thistle.
Perkins. A possible escape from cultivation, not unlikely to persist.
Sonchus oleraceus L. Sow Thistle.
Perkins. Perfectly possible, but we have seen only S. asper on Grand Manan.
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## STATISTICAL SUMMARY

|  | Native |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Introduced |  |
| Varieties |  |  | Forms | Species |
| :---: |

Lycopodiaceae 4

Isoetaceae 1
Equisetaceae 7
1

Ophioglossaceae 6
Osmundaceae 3
Polypodiaceae 17
Taxaceae 1
Pinaceae 10
Typhaceae 2
Sparganiaceae 4
Potamogetonaceae 8
Juncaginaceae 2
Gramineae 33
Cyperaceae $\quad 77$
Araceae 3
Eriocaulaceae 1
Pontederiaceae 1
Juncaceae $\quad 13$
Liliaceae $\quad 11$
Iridaceae
11
Orchidaceae 22
Salicaceae 8
Myricaceae 2
Betulaceae 8
Fagaceae $\quad 2$
Urticaceae 3
Loranthaceae 1
Polygonaceae $\quad 10$
Chenopodiacear 4
Amaranthaceae
Caryophyllaceae 9
Portulacaceae
Ceratophyllaceae $\quad 1$
Nymphaeaceae 2
Ranunculaceae 8
Fumariaceae $\quad 1$
Cruciferae $\quad 5$
Sarraceniaceae $\quad 1$
Droseraceae 2
Crassulaceae $\quad 2$
Saxifragaceae 4
Rosaceae 33
11
Leguminosae 3
31

## STATISTICAL SCMMAR - Continued

|  | Spegits | Nitive: Vabieties | Forms | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Introduced } \\ & \text { Species } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linaceae |  |  |  | 1 |
| Oxalidaceae | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| (ieraniaceae | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| luphorbiaceae | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Callitrichaceae | 1 |  |  |  |
| Empetraceas | 1 |  |  |  |
| Anacardiaceae | 2 |  |  |  |
| Aquifoliaceae | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Aceraceat | 4 |  |  |  |
| Balsaminarear | 1 |  |  |  |
| IRhamnareate | 1 |  |  |  |
| Malvareare |  |  |  | 1 |
| Hypericareap | i | 1 |  | 1 |
| Violaceae | 8 | 1 |  |  |
| Onagrareae | 8 | 2 |  |  |
| Hatoragidaceae | 2 |  |  |  |
| Araliaceate | 2 |  |  |  |
| limbelliferae | 7 |  |  | 2 |
| Cornarear | 3 |  | 2 |  |
| Ericaceae | 22 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Plumbaginareae | 1 |  |  |  |
| Primulacear | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| Oleaceae | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Gentianaceae | 3 |  |  |  |
| Apoeryareate | 1 |  |  |  |
| Convolvulareae | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Boraginareae | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| Labiatae | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Solanareac |  |  |  | 1 |
| Scrophulariaceae | 9 | 2 |  | 4 |
| Lentibulariaceae | : |  |  |  |
| Orobanchaceae | 2 |  |  |  |
| Plantaginaceae | 3 |  |  |  |
| lubiaceae | 8 |  |  | 1 |
| ('aprifoliareas | 8 | 2 |  |  |
| Cumurbitaceae |  |  |  | 1 |
| ( 'ampanulacear | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Conbeliameae | 2 |  |  |  |
| Compositae | 48 | 8 |  | 23 |
|  | 513 | 44 | 1.5 | 89 |

In addition, there are one variety and one form among introduced Compositae, making the grand total of recognized groups 663. Further exploration may well increase this figure to 700 or more.

## Localities Not on the Map

Back Road-the inner road from Grand Harbour to Castalia.
Bald Heath-a large raised bog west of Woodward's Cove.
Cedar St.-the road running west from the main road just north of Castalia.

City Camp-an old lumber camp east of Dark Harbour.
Herring Cove Heath-a large bog in the interior between Little Round Pond and Dark Harbour.

Lily Pond-the same as Bradford Cove Pond of the map.
Second Pond-Little Lake on the map; the small pond just west of Eel Brook Lake.

Spruce Hill-a ridge northeast of Mark Hill.
Third Pond-small pond just above Indian Beach.
Willow Farm-at the end of the east-west road north of Beech Hill.


## I N DEX

New scientific names are printed in full-face type. To save space, compound English names are given but one entry. When they are written as two or more separate words, one of which (usually the last) is the name of a group of plants, they are indexed under the group-name, as the more important and as corresponding to the generic element in a Latin name. Thus, the birches are entered as "Birch, gray . . . ; white yellow" under the letter B, and will not be found under gray, white, or yellow. On the other hand, hyphenated English compounds and, of course, those written as one word, are indexed under their first member-"adder's-tongue" under A, "gold-thread" under G. This is essentially the method of standard dictionaries and should cause no difficulty.

Abies balsamea, 22; nigra, 22
Acer pensylvanicum, 55; rubrum, 56; saccharinum, 56; Saccharum, 56 ; spicatum, 55
Achillea Millefolium, 7.3
Acorus Calamus, 35
Actaea alba, 47, forma rubrocarpa, 47; rubra, 47 , forma neglecta, 47
Adder's-mouth, 40
Adder's-tongue, 19
Agropyron, 62; caninum, 26; pauciflorum, 26 ; repens, 25 , forma aristatum, 25 ; trachycaulum, var. majus, 26
Agrostemma Githago, 78
Agrostis alba, 26, var. maritima, 26, var. vulgaris, 26; hyemalis, 27 ; palustris, 26; perennans, 27 , var. aestivalis, 27 ; seabra, 27 ; tenuis, 26
Alchemilla pratensis, 79
Alder, black, 55 ; green 41 ; speckled, 41
Alnus crispa, var. mollis, 41 ; glauca, 41 ; incana, 41 ; viridis, 41
Alopecurus geniculatus, 27
Amaranthus retroflexus, 44
Ambrosia artemisiifolia, 72 , var. elatior, 72
Amelanchier canadensis, 50; intermedia, 50 ; laevis, 50 ; oblongifolia, 50
Ammophila arenaria, 26; breviligulata, 26
Anaphalis margaritacea, 72 , var. intercedens, 72
Andromeda glaucophylla, 61
Antennaria canadensis, 72 ; neodioica, 72 , var. attenuata, 72 , var. chlorophylla, 72, var. grandis, 72 ; petaloidea, 72 ; plantaginifolia, 80

Anthemis Cotula, 80
Anthoxanthum odoratum, 27
Apoeynum androsaemifolium, 63
Aquilegia vulgaris, 47
Aralia hispida, 59; nudicaulis, 59
Arbor-vitae, 23
Arceuthobium pusillum, 42
Arctium minus, 74
Arenaria lateriflora, 45; peploides, var. robusta, 45
Arethusa bulbosa, 38
Arisaema Stewardsonii, 35; triphyllum, 35
Arrow-grass, 24
Artemisia canadensis, 81
Ash, black, 63; mountain, 49; white, 63
Asparagus officinalis, 36
Aspen, 40
Aspidium acrostichoides, 77; spinulosum, var. dilatatum, forma anadenium, 20
Asplenium Filix-femina, 21
Aster, 70; acuminatus, 70, 71, 72; cordifolius, 70; foliaceus, 10, 70, 71, var. sublinearis, 71; junceus, 70, 71; junciformis, 71; lateriflorus, 70; macrophyllus, 70 ; nemoralis, 71,72 , var. Blakei, 71 , var. major, 71 ; novi-belgii, 70,71 , var. elodes, 71; paniculatus, 70; puniceus, 71 ; radula, 70 ; Rolandii, 71; umbellatus, 71
Athyrium acrostichoides, 21; acrostichoideum, 21; angustum, 21, var. laurentianum, 21, var. rubellum, 21; Filix-femina, 21; thelypterioides, 21
Atriplex glabriuscula, 43; patula, var. hastata, 43
Avens, 51 ; purple, 51

Bachelor's-button, 75
Baked-apple-berry, 51
Baneberry, red, 47; white, 47
Barbarea vulgaris, 48
Barnyard-grass, 28
Bartonia paniculata, 11, 12, 63, var. intermedia, 63
Bayberry, 41
Beach-grass, 26
Beard-tongue, 80
Beaver-poison, 59
Bedstraw, 67; rough, 68; sweetscented, 68
Beech, 7, 41
Beech-drops, 67
Beggar's-ticks, 73, 80
Bell-flower, 69
Benjamin, 37 ; stinking, 37
Bent, creeping, 26; Rhode Island, 26; upland, 26
Betula lenta, 78; lutea, 41, 78; papyrifera, 41; populifolia, 41; pumila, 6, 10, 41
Bidens cernua, 73, 80; connata, 80; frondosa, 73
Bindweed, 79; black, 43
Birch, black, 78; canoe, 41; gray, 41; paper, 41 ; swamp, 41; white, 7, 41; yellow, 7, 41
Bishop's-cap, 49
Blackberry, 51; high-bush, 52
Black-eyed-Susan, 73
Black-heart, 43
Bladderwort, 66
Blite, strawberry, 78
Blitum capitatum, 78
Blueberry, 6, 61 ; sour-top, 62
Blue-eyed-grass, 37
Blue flag, 37
Blue-grass, Kentucky, 25
Blue-oint-grass, 26
Blueweed, 64
Bluets, 68
Boneset, 69
Botrychium angustisegmentum, 12, 19; dissectum, 18; lanceolatum, 19; lunarioides, 18; Matricariae, 18; matricariaefolium, 19; multifidum, 18 , var. silaifolium, 18 ; simplex, 18 , var. laxifolium, 18 , forma compositum, 18; ternatum, var. intermedium, 18 , var. rutaefolium, 18
Bracken, 22
Brassica arvensis, 78; Kaber, var. pinnatifida, 78; nigra, 78
Brome-grass, 24
Bromus ciliatus, 24, var. intonsus, 24

Brooklime, 65
Buckbean, 63
Buckthorn, 56
Bull's-eye, 73
Bulrush, 29
Bunchberry, 59
Bunias orientalis, 47
Burdock, 74
Butter-and-eggs, 65
Buttercup, 46; creeping, 46
Butterweed, 72
Cakile edentula, 47
Calamagrostis canadensis, 26
Calla palustris, 35
Calla, wild, 35
Callitriche palustris, 55
Calopogon pulchellus, 38
Campanula rapunculoides, 69; rotundifolia, 69, forma albiflora, 69
Campion, bladder, 45
Cancer-root, 67
Capsella bursa-pastoris, 47
Caraway, 59
Cardamine parviflora, 48, var. arenicola, 11, 48; pennsylvanica, 48
Carex angustior, 31; arctata, 34; aurea, 6, 33; brunnescens, var. sphaerostachya, 32; Buxbaumii, 33; canescens, var. disjuncta, 32; cephalantha, 31 ; communis, 33 ; conoidea, 34; Crawfordii, 31; crinita, var. gynandra, 32; cumulata, 31 ; debilis, var. Rudgei, 34; disperma, 32 ; exilis, 31 ; filiformis, 34; flava, 34; folliculata, 34 ; Goodenovii, 32; gracillima, 33; Haydeni, 32; hormathodes, 31; intumescens, 34 , var. Fernaldii, 34; lacustris, 34; lasiocarpa, var. americana, 34; leptalea, 33; leptonervia, 34 ; limosa, 34; lurida, 34; Mackenziei, 11, 32; maritima, 32; nigra, 32; norvegica, 32; novae-angliae, 33; Oederi, var. pumila, 34 ; paleacea, 32 ; pallescens, var. neogaea, 33 ; pauciflora, 33; paupercula, 33, var. irrigua, 33; polygama, 3.3; projecta, 31; Pseudo-('yperus, 34; pseudohelvola, 32; riparia, 34; rostrata, var. utriculata, 35 ; scabrata, 34; scoparia, 30; silicea, 77; stipata, 32 ; stricta, 33 , var. decora, 32; straminea, 31; Swanii, 11, 12, 33; tenella, 32; tenera, 31; tenuiflora, $10,12,32$; tincta, 11, 12, 31 ; tribuloides, var. reducta,

31; trisperma, 32, var. Billingsii, 32; vesicaria, 35 ; viridula, 34
Carpet-weed, 78
Carum Carui, 59
Catchfly, 45
Cat-tail, 23
Cedar, ground, 17; white, 7, 23
Centaurea Cyanus, 75; nigra, 75
Cerastium viscosum, 45; vulgatum, 45
Ceratophyllum demersum, 46 ; echinatum, 11, 12, 46
Chamaedaphne calyculata, 61
Chamomile, wild, 73
Charlock, 78
Chase, E. W. B., 14
Checkerberry, 61
Chelone glabra, 65
Chenopodium album, 43; capitatum, 78
Cherry, bird, 53 ; choke, 53 ; pin, 53
Chickweed, 45; mouse-ear, 45
Chicory, 75
Chimaphila umbellata, var. cisatlantica, 60
Chiogenes hispidula, 61
Chokeberry, 49
Chrysanthemum Leucanthemum, 73, var. pinnatifidum, 73
Churchill, J. R., 13
Cicely, sweet, 59
Cichorium Intybus, 75
Cicuta bulbifera, 59; maculata, 59
Cinna latifolia, 27
Cinquefoil, 50 ; shrubby, 50 ; silvery, 50; three-toothed, 50
Circaea alpina, 58
Cirsium arvense, 74, forma albiflorum, 74; lanceolatum, 74; muticum, 74; vulgare, 74
Cladium mariscoides, 30
Cleavers, 67
Clematis verticillaris, 6, 12, 47; virginiana, 46
Clintonia borealis, 36
Cloud-berry, 51
Clover, alsike, 54; hop, 54; low hop, 54; red, 53; sweet, 54 ; white, 53
Coelopleurum actaeifolium, 59; lucidum, 59
Colt's-foot, 73; sweet, 73
Columbine, 47
Comptonia peregrina, 78
Convolvulus arvensis, 79 ; sepium, 63, var. americanus, 63, var. communis, 63 , var. pubescens, 63
Coptis groenlandica, 47; trifolia, 47
Corallorrhiza maculata, 39, forma
flavida, 39, forma punicea, 39, var. punicea, 39; trifida, 39
Coral-root, 39
Cord-grass, 27
Corn-cockle, 78
Cornflower, 75
Cornucopiae hyemalis, 27
Cornus alternifolia, 60; canadensis, 59, forma elongata, 59, forma purpurascens, 59; circinata, 79; rugosa, 79; stolonifera, 60
Corydalis sempervirens, 47
Corylus cornuta, 41; rostrata, 41
Cotula coronopifolia, 73
Cotton-grass, 30
Covert, A. M., 3
Cow-tongue, 36
Cranberry, 62; high-bush, 68; marsh, 62 ; mountain, 62 ; rock, 62; small, 62
Crane's-bill, 54
Crataegus flabellata, 50
Creeping Jenny, 17
Cress, bitter, 48; marsh, 48 ; winter, 48
Crowberry, 55
Crowfoot, seaside, 46; water, 46
Cucumber, wild, 68
Cucumber-root, Indian, 37
Cudweed, low, 72
Currania, 20
Currant, red, 78; skunk, 49; swamp, 49; wild black, 78
Cuscuta Gronovii, 64
Cut-grass, 27
Cypripedium acaule, 37
Cystopteris fragilis, $10,19,21$, var. Mackayii, 19

Daisy, yellow, 73
Dandelion, 75; fall, 75; red-seeded, 75
Danthonia spicata, 26, var. pinetorum, 26
Datura Stramonium, 80
Dennstaedtia punctilobula, 21
Deschampsia flexuosa, 26
Devil's-paintbrush, 76
Dewberry, 51
Dicksonia punctilobula, '21
Diervilla Loniceri, bs
Ditch-grass, 24
Dock, 42; great water, 42; yellow, 42
Dodder, 64
Dogbane, 63
Dogwood, 60, 79; red-osier, 60
Dragon-head, false, 64

Dropseed, 27
Drosera intermedia, 48; longifolia, 48; rotundifolia, 48, var. comosa, 48
Dryopteris Boottii, 20; cristata, 20, $\times$ spinulosa, var. intermedia, 20; disjuncta, 20; Linnaeana, 20; marginalis, 20; noveboracensis, 20; Phegopteris, 20; spinulosa, 20, var. americana, 10,20 , var. intermedia, 21; Thelypteris, 20, var. pubescens, 20
Dulichium arundinaceum, 28
Echinochloa crus-galli, 28
Echinocystis lobata, 68
Echinops sphaerocephalus, 74
Echium vulgare, 64
Eel-grass, 24
Elder, red-berried, 68
Elderberry, 68
Eleocharis elliptica, 28; halophila, 28; obtusa, 28, 77; ovata, 77; palustris, 28, var. major, 28, var. vigens, 28; parvula, 28; Smallii, 28; tenuis, 28
Elymus arenarius, var. villosus, 26; virginicus, 26
Empetrum nigrum, 8, 10, 55
Epifagus virginiana, 67
Epigaea repens, 6
Epilobium angustifolium, 58; coloratum, 79; densum, 58; glandulosum, var. adenocaulon, 58, 79; leptophyllum, 58; molle, 58; palustre, $6,10,58$; var. grammadophyllum, 58, var. monticola, 58, var. oliganthum, 58; strictum, 11, 12, 58
Equisetum arvense, 18, forma nemorosum, 18; sylvaticum, forma polystachyum, 18, var. pauciramosum, 18, forma multiramosum, 18
Erechtites hieracifolia, 74, var. intermedia, 74
Erigeron canadensis, 72; ramosus, 72; strigosus, 72
Eriocaulon septangulare, 35
Eriophorum angustifolium, 30; callitrix, 30; spissum, 30; tenellum, 30; virginicum, 30
Erysimum cheiranthoides, 48
Eupatorium maculatum, 69; perfoliatum, 69; purpureum, 69
Euphorbia Cyparissias, 55; polygonifolia, 11, 12, 55
Euphrasia americana, 8, 66; officinalis, 8; Randii, 66

Everlasting, 80; pearly, 72
Eyebright, 66
Fagus grandifolia, 41
Farlow, W. G., 14
Felix, Marie R., 14
Fern, beech, 20; bladder, 19; Christmas, 77; cinnamon, 19; fancy, 21; grape, 18; hay-scented, 21 ; holly, 21; interrupted, 19; lady, 21; marsh, 20; New York, 20; oak, 20; pasture, 21; royal, 19 ; sensitive, 20; shield, 20; sweet, 78; wood, 20
Fescue, meadow, 24
Festuca capillata, 24; elatior, 24; rubra, 24, var. juncea, 24
Fever-cup, 48
Fighting-cocks, 57
Filipendula Ulmaria, 51
Fir, 6; balsam, 22
Fireweed, 58, 74
Five-finger, marsh, 50
Flax, 54
Fleabane, daisy, 72
Forget-me-not, wild, 64
Foxberry, 62
Foxtail, 17; marsh, 27
Fragaria vesca, var. americana, 50; virginiana, 50
Fraxinus americana, 63, var. juglandifolia, 63; nigra, 63; pennsylvanica, 63

Gale, sweet, 41
Galeopsis Tetrahit, 64, var. bifida, 64
Galium Aparine, 67; asprellum, 68; boreale, 67 , var. intermedium, 67 ; Claytoni, 67; Mollugo, 67; palustre, 67; tinctorium, 67; trifidum, 67, var. halophilum, 67; triflorum, 68
Gall-of-the-earth, 76
Ganong, W. F., 13
Gaultheria procumbens, 61
Gaylussacia baccata, 61; dumosa, var. Bigeloviana, 61
Ceranium pratense, 54; Robertianum, 55
Gesner, A., 7
Geum aleppicum, var. strictum, 51; rivale, 51
Ghost-flower, 60
Gill-over-the-ground, 79
Glasswort, 44
Glaux maritima, 63, var. obtusifolia, 63
Glyceria canadensis, 25; Fernaldii,

25; grandis, 25, forma pallescens, 25 ; nervata, 25 ; striata, 25
Gnaphalium obtusifolium, 80; polycephalum, 80; uliginosum, 72
Goldenrod, 69; early, 69; seaside, 69
Gold-thread, 47
Goodyera repens, var. ophioides, 39; tesselata, 39
Gooseberry, wild, 49
Goose-grass, 25
Graves, C. B., 14
Groundsel, 51, 74, 81
Gymnocarpium, 20
Habenaria clavellata, 38; dilatata, 38; fimbriata, 38; hyperborea, 38; lacera, 38; obtusata, 38; psycodes, 38
Hair-grass, 26, 27
Hardhack, 49
Harebell, 69
Hare's-tail, 30
Hawkweed, 76; mouse-ear, 76
Hawthorn, 50
Hay, G. U., 13
Hazelnut, beaked, 41
Heal-all, 64
Heart's-ease, 43
Hedeoma pulegioides, 64
Hemlock, 7, 22; ground, 22; water, 59
Heracleum lanatum, 59
Herb-Robert, 55
Hieracium aurantiacum, 76; canadense, 76, var. fasciculatum, 76; flagellare, 76 ; floribundum, 76; Pilosella, var. viride, 76 ; scabrum, 76
Hierochloe odorata, 27
Hippuris vulgaris, 59
Holly, mountain, 55
Holy-grass, 27
Honeysuckle, bush, 68; fly, 68
Hop, 42
Hordeum jubatum, 26
Horehound, water, 65
Hornheam, hop, 41
Hornwort, 46
Horsetail, 18
Horseweed, 72
Houstonia caerulea, 11, 68
Huckleberry, 61; dwarf, 61
Humulus Lupulus, 42
Hydrocotyle americana, 59
Hypericum boreale, 56 ; canadense, 56, var. magninsulare, 57; ellipticum, 56 ; majus, 56 ; perforatum, 56; virginicum, 57, var. Fraseri, 57

Ilex verticillata, 55 , var. tenuifolia, 55
Impatiens biflora, 56
Indian-pipe, 60; -pitcher, 48
Iris setosa, var. canadensis, 9,10 , 11, 37 ; versicolor, 37
Isoetes Braunii, 18
Ivy, poison, 55
Ivy-berry, 61
Jack-in-the-pulpit, 35
Jewelweed, 56
Jimsonweed, 80
Joe-Pye-weed, 69
Juncus articulatus, 36, var. obtusatus, 36; balticus, var. littoralis, 35 ; brevicaudatus, 36 ; bufonius, 35; canadensis, 36; Dudleyi, 11, 12 , 35 ; effusus, 36 , var. compactus, 36, var. solutus, 36; filiformis, 36; Gerardi, 35; militaris, 36 ; pelocarpus, 36 ; tenuis, 35 , var. Williamsii, 35
Juniper, 23
Juniperus communis, var. alpina, 23, var. depressa, 23; horizontalis, 23, 60, 62

Kalmia angustifolia, 60; glauca, 61; polifolia, 61
Kentucky blue-grass, 25
King-devil, 76
Klugh, A. B., 14
Knapweed, 75
Knotweed, 42
Knowlton, C. H., 14
Labrador tea, 60
Lactuca canadensis, 76, var. integrifolia, 76, var. longifolia, 76
Ladies'-tresses, 38
Lady's-mantle, 79; -slipper, 37; -thumb, 43
Lambkill, 60
Lamb's-quarters, 43
Lappula echinata, 79
Larix laricina, 22
Lathyrus japonicus, 11, 54, var. glaber, 54 , var. pellitus, 54 ; maritimus, 54 ; palustris, 54 , var. pilosus, 54
Laurel, pale, 61 ; sheep, 60
Ieather-leaf, 61
Ledum groenlandicum, 60
Leersia oryzoides, 27
Leontodon autumnalis, 75, var. pratensis, 75
Lepidium apetalum, 47; densiflorum, 47

Lettuce, wild, 76; wood, 76
Ligusticum scothicum, 59
Lily, cow, 46 ; fairy, 63 ; pond, 46
Lily-of-the-valley, wild, 37
Limonium carolinianum, 62 ; Nashii, 62
Limosella aquatica, var. tenuifolia, 65; subulata, 11, 65; tenuifolia, 65
Linaria vulgaris, 65
Linnaea borealis, var. americana, 68
Linum usitatissimum, 54
Liparis Loeselii, 40
Listera auriculata, 39; convallarioides, 77 ; cordata, $6,39,77$
Live-forever, 49
Lobelia Dortmanna, 69; inflata, 69
Lobelia, water, 69
Lonicera canadensis, 68; villosa, 68, var. calvescens, 68 , var. tonsa, 68
Loosestrife, 6.3; swamp, 62
Lovage, 59
Lungwort, sea, 64
Luzula acuminata, 77; campestris, 77; multiflora, 36, 77; pilosa, 77; saltuensis, 77
Lycopodium annotinum, 17, var. acrifolium, 17; clavatum, 17; complanatum, var. flabelliforme, 17; lucidulum, 17; obscurum, var. dendroideum, 17
Lycopus americanus, 65; uniflorus, 65
Lysimachia ciliata, 63; terrestris, 62
Maianthemum canadense, 37
Maidenhair, 61
Maiden's-tears, 45
Malaxis monophyllos, 6, 10, 40; unifolia, 40 , forma bifolia, 40
Mallow, musk, 56
Malva moschata, 56
Maple, 7; mountain, 55; red, 56; rock, 56 ; striped, 55 ; sugar, 56
Mare's-tail, 59
Matricaria inodora, 73, 80; maritima, 80 , var. agrestis 73 ; matricarioides, 73 ; suaveolens, 73
Matthew, G. T., 8
Mayweed, 80
Meadow-grass, fowl, 25; reed, 25; rough-stalked, 25
Meadow-sweet, 49
Medeola virginiana, 37
Melampyrum lineare, 65, var. americanum, 65
Melilotus alba, 54
Mentha arvensis, 65, var. canadensis, 65, var. villosa, 65, forma
glabrata, 65; spicata, 79; viridis, 79
Menyanthes trifoliata, var. minor, 63
Mertensia maritima, 64
Microstylis monophyllos, 40; unifolia, 40
Milfoil, water, 58
Milkwort, sea, 63
Mint, wild, 65
Mistletoe, dwarf, 42
Mitella nuda, 49
Mitrewort, 49
Moccasin-flower, 37
Mollugo verticillata, 78
Moneses uniflora, 60
Monotropa Hypopitys, 60; uniflora, 60
Moose-ear, 35
Moosewood, 55
Morning-glory, 63
Moss, club, 17; Irish, 55
Mudwort, 65
Muhlenbergia racemosa, 27; setosa, var. cinnoides, 27; uniflora, 27
Mulberry, 51
Mullein, 65
Musquash-root 59
Mustard, ball, 78; black, 78; hedge, 47; tumble, 48; wormseed, 48
Myosotis laxa, 64
Myrica asplenifolia, 78; caroliniensis, 41 ; Gale, 41 ; pensylvanica, 41
Myriophyllum tenellum, 58
Nabalus albus, 76
Nanny-berry, 80
Napoleon's-crown, 55
Nasturtium palustre, 48
Nemopanthus mucronatus, 55
Nepeta hederacea, 79
Nerve-root, 37
Neslia paniculata, 78
Nettle, 42; hedge, 64; hemp, 64
Nightshade, 80 ; bittersweet, 65; enchanter's, 58
Northrop, J. I., 28
Nuphar advena, 46; variegatum, 46
Nymphaea odorata, 46
Nymphoides cordatum, 63; lacunosum, 63

Oak, red, 7, 41
Oat-grass, white, 26
Odontites rubra, 66
Oenothera biennis, 58 ; perennis, 58 ; pumila, 58

Onoclea sensibilis, 20
Onopordum Acanthium, 81
Ophioglossum vulgatum, var. pseudopodum, 19
Orache, 43
()rchis, purple-fringed, :3X; ragged, 38 ; rein, 38
()robanche terrae-novare, 67; uniflora, 67, var. terrae-novae, 67
()smorhiza C'laytoni, 59

Osmuda cinnamomea, 19 ; Claytoniana, 19; regalis, var. spectabilis, 19
Ostrya virginiana, 41
Oxalis Acetosella, 54; europaea, 54, var. Bushii, 54; montana, 54; stricta, 54

Panic-grass, 28
Panicum boreale, 28; lanuginosum, var. implicatum, 28; Tuckermani, 28
Parsnip, 58; cow, 58
Pastinaca sativa, 58
Pea, beach, 54
Pear, sugar, 50
Pearlwort, 44
Peattie, D. C., 17
Pennyroyal, American, 64
Pennywort, water, 59
Penstemon Digitalis, 80
Pepper, water, 43
Pepper-grass, 47
Perkins, H. F., 3, 13
Petasites palmatus, 73
Phegopteris Dryopteris, 20; polypodioides, 20
Physostegia virginiana, 64
Picea canadensis, 22; glauca, 22; mariana, 22 ; rubens, 22 ; rubra, 22
Pickerelweed, 35
Pigeon-berry, 59
Pigweed, 43
Pine, ground, 17; red, 77; white, 22
Pineapple-weed, 73
Pinesap, 60
Pink, grass, 38
Pinus resinosa, 77; Strobus, 22
Pipewort, 35
Pipsissewa, 60
Pitcher-plant, 48
Plantago juncoides, var. decipients, 67; major, 67; oliganthos, 67
Plantain, 67; rattlesnake, 39; seaside, 67
Plum, moxie, 61
Poa annua, 25; compressa, 25; palustris, 25 ; pratensis, 25 ; trivialis, 25

Pogonia ophioglossoides, 38
Poison Ivy, 55
Polygonatum biflorum, 37; pubescens, 37
Polygonum acre, 43; allocarpum, 42; amphibium, 43, forma Hartwrightii, 43, var. natans, 43 ; aviculare, 42; cilinode, 43, var. erectum, 43; C'onvolvulus, 43; Hydropiper, 43; natans, 43; Persicaria, 43; punctatum, 12, 43; sagittatum, 43 ; scabrum, 43 ; tomentosum, 43
Polypodium virginianum, 22; vulgare, '2'2
Polypody, 22
Polystichum acrostichoides, 77 ; Braunii, 10, 12, var. Purshii, 21
Pondweed, 23
Pontederia cordata, 35
Poplar, balsam, 40
Populus balsamifera, 40; grandidentata, 40; Tacamahaca, 40; tremuloides, 40
Portulaca oleracea, 45
Potamogeton Berchtoldi, 24, var. tenuissimus, 12,24 ; epihydrus, var. Nuttallii, 24 ; gramine us, 24 ; heterophyllus, 24; natans, 23; ()akesianus, 12, 23; perfoliatus, var. bupleuroides, 24 ; pusillus, 24
Potentilla Anserina, 51; argentea, 50; canadensis, 51; Egedii, var. groenlandica, 51; fruticosa, 50; monspeliensis, 50; norvegica, 50; palustris, 5() ; simplex, var. calvescens, 51 ; tridentata, 50
Prenanthes altissima, 76 ; trifoliolata, 76, var. nana, 10,76
Primrose, evening, 58
Prunella vulgaris, 64 , var. lancer(lata, 64
Prunus pensylvanica, 53; virginiana, 53
Pteridium aquilinum, var. latiusculum, $2 \cdot 2$
Pteris aquilina, 22
Puccinellia maritima, 25; paupercula, var. alaskana, '25
Purslane, 45
Pussy-toes, 72, 80
Prola chlorantha, 60; rotundifulia, var. americana, 60; secunda, 60; virens, 60 , forma pancifolia, 60
Pyrola, one-flowered, 60
Pyrus americana, 49; decora, 10, 49; melanocarpa, 49 ; sitchensis, 49

Quack-grass, 25

Queen-of-the-meadow, 51
Quercus borealis, 41 ; rubra, 42
Quicksilver-weed, 46
Quillwort, 18
Quitch-grass, 25
Radicula palustris, var. hispida, 48
Radish, wild, 47
Ragweed, 72
Ragwort, 74 ; golden, 74
Ranunculus acris, 46; aquatilis, var. capillaceus, 46, var. trichophyllus, 46; Cymbalaria, 10, 46; Flammula, 78; repens, 46, var. villosus, 46; reptans, 78; trichophyllus, 46 , var. calvescens, 46
Raphanus Raphanistrum, 47
Raspberry, dwarf, 51; red, 51
Rattlesnake-grass, 25
Redtop, 26
Reed, bur, 23
Reed-grass, wood, 27
Rhamnus alnifolia, 10, 56
Rhinanthus crista-galli, 66, var. fallax, 66
Rhododendron canadense, 60 , forma viridifolium, 60
Rhodora, 60, 62
Rhus radicans, 55, var. Rydbergii, 55; Toxicodendron, 55; typhina, 55
Rhynchospora alba, 30; fusea, 30
Ribes americanum, 78; floridum, 78; glandulosum, 49; hirtellum, 49; lacustre, 49; oxyacanthoides, 49; rubrum, 78; prostratum, 49; vulgare, 78
Rocket, sea, 47
Rorippa islandica, var. hispida, 48
Rosa cinnamomea, 79; nitida, 53; rugosa, 53 ; virginiana, 53
Rose, cinnamon, 79 ; wild, 53
Rose-root, 49
Rosemary, bog, 61 ; marsh, 62
Rothrock, J. T., 12
Rowan-tree, 49
Rubus adjacens, 51 ; allegheniensis, 52; alter, 52; canadensis, 52; ('hamaemorus, $8,10,51$; hispidus, 51 , var. ohovalis, 51 ; idaeus, var. canadensis, 51 ; mananensis, 52 ; pubescens, 51 ; pudens, 51 ; strigosus, 51 ; triflorus, 51 ; villosus, 52 ; Weatherbyi, 52
Rudbeckia hirta, 73, var. sericea, 73
Rue, meadow, 46
Rumex Acetosella, 42; Brittanica, 42 ; crispus, 42 ; domesticus, 42 ;
orbiculatus, 42; pallidus, 42; Patientia, 42
Ruppia maritima, 24, var. rostrata, 24
Rush, 35 ; beak, 30 ; spike, 28 ; twig, 30; wood, 77

Sage, wood, 64
Sagina nodosa, 11, 45, var. glandulosa, 45, var. pubescens, 45 ; procumbens, 44
St.--John's-wort, 56, marsh, 57
Salicornia europaea, 44, var. pachystachya, 44
Salix balsamifera, 40; Bebbiana, 40, 77; candida, 77; discolor, 40; humilis, 40; lucida, 40; pyrifolia, 40; rostrata, 40
Salsola Kali, 44
Saltwort, 44
Sambucus canadensis, 68; pubens, 68; racemosa, 68
Samphire, 44
Sanford, S. N. F., 14
Sarracenia purpurea, 48
Sarsaparilla, bristly, 59 ; wild, 59
scirpus acutus, 29; americanus, 29; atrocinctus, 30; atrovirens, var. georgianus, 30 ; cespitosus, var. callosus, 10, 29; cyperinus, 30, var. pelius, 30 , forma condensatus, 30; fluviatilis, 29; hudsonianus, 29; maritimus, var. Fernaldii, 29, forma agonus, 29; nanus, 28; occidentalis, 29 ; paludosus, var. atlanticus, 29; pedicellatus, 29; rubrotinctus, 29, forma confertus, 29; rufus, 12, var. neogaeus, 11, 29; subterminalis, 29; validus, 29 , var. creber, '29
Scutellaria epilobiifolia, 64; galericulata, 64; lateriflora, 79
Sedge, 30
Sedum acre, 78; purpureum, 49; Rosea, 11, 49; triphyllum, 49
Senecio aureus, 74 , var. intercursus, 74; Pseudo-Arnica, 8, 11, 12, 14, 74; Robbinsii, 74; sylvaticus, 74, 81 ; vulgaris, 81
Shepherd's-purse, 47
Shin-leaf, 59
Silene Cucubalus, 45; latifolia, 45; noctiflora, 45
Silver-rod, 69
Silverweed, 51
Sisymbrium altissimum, 48; officinale, 47

Sisyrinchium angustifolium, 37; Bermudiana, 37
Skull-cap, 64; mad-dog, 79
Smartweed, 43
Smilacina racemosa, 36 ; stellata, 36 , var. crassa, 36; trifolia, 37
Snapweed, 56
Snowberry, 80; creeping, 61
Solanum Dulcamara, 65; nigrum, 80
Solidago bicolor, 69; canadensis, 70 ; gigantea, 70; graminifolia, 70, var. Nuttallii, 70; juncea, 49, 69; macrophylla, 8, 9, 10, 69; nemoralis, 70; puberula, 69; rugosa, 70, var. villosa, 70; sempervirens, 69; serotina, var. gigantea, 70 ; thyrsoidea, 8 ; uliginosa, 80 ; uniligulata, 69, 80
Solomon's-seal, 37; false, 36
Sonchus arvensis, 75 ; asper, 75,81 ; oleraceus, 81
Sorrel, sheep. 42; wood, 54
Sparganium americanum, 23 ; angustifolium, 23; chlorocarpum, 23, var. acaule, 23 ; diversifolium, 23 ; minimum, 23
Spartina alterniflora, 27; Michauxiana, 27 ; patens, 27 ; pectinata, 27
Spatter-dock, 46
Spear-grass, 25
Spearmint, 79
Spearwort, creeping, 78
Speedwell, 65
Spergula arvensis, 44
Spergularia canadensis, 11, 44; marina, 44 ; media, 44; rubra, 44
Spiraea latifolia, 49; salicifolia, 49; tomentosa, 49
Spiranthes gracilis, 38; Romanzoffiana, 38
Spleenwort, silvery, 21
Sporobolus uniflorus, 27
Spruce, cat, 22; black, 7, 22; red, 7, 22; skunk, 22; white, 7,22
Spurge, 55 ; cypress, 55
Spurrey, 44; sand, 44
Squirrel-tail-grass, 26
Stachys palustris, 64
Starflower, 63
Starwort, water, 55
Statice Limonium, 62
Steeple-bush, 49
Steironema ciliatum, 63
Stellaria borealis, 45; calycantha, 45 , var. floribunda, 45 , var. isophylla, 45; graminea, 45; humifusa, 11, 45 ; media, 45
Ntickseed, 79

Stitchwort, 45
Stonecrop, 78
Strawberry, wild, 50
Streptopus amplexifolius, 37, var. americanus, 37; roseus, 37, var. perspectus, 37
Suaeda maritima, 44
Sumach, staghorn, 55
Sundew, 48
Sundrops, 58
Sweet flag, 35; -grass, 27
Sword-grass, 29
Symphoricarpus albus, 80, var. laevigatus, 80 ; racemosus, 80

Tanacetum vulgare, 73, forma crispum, 73
Tamarack, 7, 22
Tansy, 73
Taraxacum erythrospermum, 75; laevigatum, 75; latilobum, 75; officinale, 75
Taxus canadensis, 22
Teaberry, 61
Tear-thumb, 43
Terrell-grass, 26
Teucrium canadense, 12, 64, var. littorale, 64
Thalictrum polygamum, 46, var. hebecarpum, 46
Thelypteris, 20
Thistle, bull, 74; Canada, 74; globe, 74; Scotch, 81; sow, 75, 81; swamp, 74
Thoroughwort, 69
Thuja occidentalis, 6, 7, 23
Tillaea aquatica, 11, 12, 48
Tobacco, Indian, 69
Trientalis americana, 63; borealis, 63
Trifolium agrarium, 54; hybridum, 54; pratense, 53, var. sativum, 53 ; procumbens, 54 ; repens, 53
Triglochin maritima, 24; palustris, 24
Trillium erectum, 37 ; undulatum, 37
Trillium, painted, 37
Tsuga canadensis, 22
Turtle-head, 65
Tussilago Farfara, 73
Twayblade, 39, 40, 77
Twinflower, 68
Twisted-stalk, 37
Typha angustifolia, 23; latifolia, 23
Urtica gracilis, 42; Lyallii, 42; procera, 42
Utricularia clandestina, 66; cornuta,

66; geminiscapa, 66; gibba, 11, 12, 66 ; intermedia, 66 ; purpurea, 12 , 66; vulgaris, 66

Vaccinum angustifolium, 61, 62, var. laevifolium, 61 ; Brittonii, 62; canadense, 62; Lamarckii, 61, 62; macrocarpon, 62; myrtilloides, 62; Oxycoccos, 62; pensylvanicum, 61, var. nigrum, 62; Vitisidaea, 8 , var. minus, 62
Verbascum Thapsus, 65
Vernal-grass, sweet, 27
Veronica americana, 65; longifolia, 65 ; officinalis, 65; serpyllifolia, 65
Verrill, A. E., 12
Vetch, 54
Vetchling, 54
Viburnum cassinoides, 68, 80 ; Lentago, 80; Opulus, var. americanum, 68; trilobum, 68
Vicia angustifolia, 54; Cracca, 54
Villarsia lacunosa, 63
Viola adunca, 79, forma glabra, 79, var. glabra, 79; canina, var. sylvestris, 58; cucullata, 57, var. microtitis, 57; fimbriatula, 57 ; incognita, 57, var. Forbesii, 57;
labradorica, 10, 58, 79; lanceolata, 57; pallens, 57 ; primulifolia, 57; renifolia, 58, var. Brainerdii, 58;
septentrionalis, 57
Violet, 57; sweet white, 57
Virgin's-bower, 46
Vroom, J., 13
Wake-robin, 37
Waterberry, 68
Wheat, cow, 65 ; strand, 26
Whiteweed, 49, 73
Willow, pusey, 40; sage, 77; shining, 40
Willow-herb, 58, 79
Winterberry, 55
Wire-grass, 25
Withe-rod, 68
Woodsia ilvensis, 19
Woodsia, rusty, 19
Wool-grass, 30
Wormwood, 81
Woundwort, 64
Yarrow, 49, 73
Yellow-rattle, 66
Zostera marina, 24
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$\left(\right.$ Plates 963-989) ${ }^{1}$

## I. Notes on Betula in eastern North America

(Plates 963-975)
It has long been evident that the ultraconservative treatment of Betula, published by me in 1902, as Relationships of some American and Old World Birches ${ }^{2}$, can not be accepted, in view of the many characters of aments, their bracts and samaras then not understood. That sophomoric study, based on complete lack of understanding, well illustrates how an over-conservative treatment may be as far afield as are those which split beyond the normal divergencies in Nature. In a recent attempt to set in order the White Birches and the Dwarf Birches as they occur in the Gray's Manual range the names applied to our species and varieties have necessarily changed in several cases. The entire treatment can hardly be given here, but, in order to clarify the situation, the key to our members of Series Albae is here given.
a. Bark opaque, chalky- or ashy-white, close, the layers not
readily exfoliating; staminate ament usually 1 and, before
expanding, pointing stiffly forward; leaves glabrous or
merely glutinous on both sides, abruptly ending in pro-
longed tail-like tips (caudate); fruiting aments $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
long; their mature bracts nearly horizontally divergent,
crowded, $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, uniformly ashy-puberulent on
back.
a. Bark lustrous, creamy- or pinkish-white to warm-brown, in maturity often exfoliating or separating into layers; staminate aments 1 -few; leaves not prominently caudatetipped; fruiting aments (except in the dwarf shrubby no. 4) mostly larger; their bracts (except in the introduced no. 21 ascending, glabrous or pilose on back, the lobes ciliate ....b.
$b$. Samaras $3.5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, the wings broader than the achene; trees or coarse shrubs.

[^57]c. Leaves glabrous on both sides; young shoots glabrous or merely with resinous warts....d.
d. Trees with whitish bark; leaves deltoid-ovate, acuminate from broad base, those of fertile branches $3-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; staminate aments $4-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; lateral lobes of pistillate bracts divergent, larger than terminal lobe; species of low or intermediate altitudes.
Leaves of fertile branches $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, their cuneate to truncate bases entire; fruiting aments $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, their bracts divergent; introduced species ...2. B. pendula. Leaves of fertile branches $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, their rounded bases toothed except near petiole; fruiting aments $2.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, their bracts ascending; indigenous . . . . . ....................3. B. caerulea-grandis.

> d. Shrub with dark close bark; leaves ovate, merely acute to blunt, those of fruiting branches $1.5-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; staminate aments $1.5-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; lateral lobes of pistillate bracts ascending, scarcely broader than terminal lobe; subarctic-alpine species....................................
c. Leaves pubescent beneath, at least when young, or on veins or in their axils; young vegetative shoots pubescent or puberulent.
Buds lustrous with resin; leaves merely acute, those of fertile branches $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; mature fertile aments $1.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; introduced species
5. B. alba.

Buds scarcely resinous; leaves mostly acuminate, those of fertile branches $2.5-10.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; mature fertile aments $1.5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; indigenous....6. B. papyrifera.
b. Samaras $2-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, the wings scarcely to barely as broad as the achene; new sprouts pubescent; leaves elliptic, rhombic-oval or ovate; shrub with close dark bark
7. B. borealis.

1. B. populifolia Marsh. Arb. Am. 19 (1785). B. alba L., var. populifolia (Marsh.) Spach, Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 2, xv. 187 (1841).-Sterile dry to wet acid soils, Prince Edward Island to Laurentide region of Quebec, west to southern Ontario, south to Delaware, Pennsylvania, upland to Virginia, northern Ohio and northern Indiana.
B. POPULIFOLIA Marsh., forma incisifolia, f. nov., foliis lacerato-incisis, laciniis attenuatis plus minusve incisis.-Massachusetts: old field at border of woods, Auburndale, July 23, 1941, D. S. Correll (type in Herb. N. E. Bot. Club). Pennsylvania: along trail just north of highway, below the Pagoda, Mt. Penn, Berks Co., Aug. 14, 1943, a single young individual, Wherry. Illustrated as var. laciniata Loud. by Correll in Rhodora, xliv. plate 708 opp. p. 236 (1942).

Unfortunately the name Betula populifolia, var. laciniata (Lodd.) Loud., currently used for this "cut-leaved" form, is not a safe one to take up. The identity is too doubtful since Loudon based it on a nomen nudum which had been published by Conrad Loddiges and Sons, Nurserymen, in their 16th Catalogue
of Plants, 44 (1836). Loddiges and Sons merely had the name Betula laciniata in a list of hardy trees and shrubs cultivated by them. There was no description; consequently when Loudon, Arb. and Frut. Brit. iii. 1707 (1838), published B. populifolia, var. laciniata, "B. laciniata Lodd. Cat. ed. 1836, has large, smooth, shining, deeply cut leaves, and appears to us to belong to $B$. (a.) populifolia, rather than to $B$. alba", he based his combination on a nomen nudum. If he had omitted the citation of Loddiges' identical nomen which was further invalidated by the well described B. laciniata Ehrh. (1788), the case would be different. At least, if it be maintained that Loudon gave a sufficient diagnosis and thus validated the name, it is not at all certain what he had. It is safer to establish our indigenous form on surer ground.
2. B. pendula Roth, Tent. Fl. Germ. i. 405 (1788). B. alba L. Sp. Pl. 982 (1753), in part; Koch, Syn. 662 (1837). B. alba, ß. pendula Ait. Hort. Kew. iii. 336 (1789). B. verrucosa Ehrh. Beitr. vi. 98 (1791-1793).-Introduced from Europe; spread to roadsides, thickets, open woods, etc., Nova Scotia to Ontario, south to Pennsylvania, Michigan, Wisconsin and Iowa.

Forma dalecarlica (L. f.) Schneid. Handb. Laubholzk. i. 112 (1904). B. alba, $\beta$. dalecarlica L. f. Suppl. 416 (1781).—Similarly spreading from cultivation.

Those who treat this half of the mixed Betula alba L. as typical B. alba (for instance Beck von Mannagetta and Wilmott) go back only to Koch (1837) for their cue. Evidently they have overlooked the fact that Roth in 1788 had removed B. pendula, thus leaving the other species (B. pubescens Ehrh., 1791) to stand as true B. alba. See comments under our no. 5.
3. B. caerulea-grandis Blanchard, Betula, i. no. 1 (May 7, 1904); Fernald in Rhodora, xxiv. 171 (1922). B. caerulea Blanchard, var. grandis Blanchard in Vermont Phoenix for May 13, 1904 and Betula, i. no. 2 (May 13, 1904). B. caerulea, var. Blanchardi Sargent, Man. Trees. N. A. 202, fig. 168A (1905).Dry woods, Gaspé Peninsula to Montmorency Co., Quebec, south to Nova Scotia, northern New England and eastern New York.
$\times$ B. caerulea Blanchard, Betula, i. no. 1 (May 7, 1904); Sargent, Man. 201, fig. 168 (1903); Fernald in Rhodora, 1. c. 172 (1922) - A hybrid of no. 3 with no. 1, occasional where they are together.
4. B. minor (Tuckerm.), stat. nov. B. papyracea, var. minor Tuckerman in Am. Journ. Sci. xlv. 31 (1843). B. dahurica, $\beta$. americana Regel in DC. Prodr. xvi ${ }^{2}$. 175 (1868). B. alba, subsp. papyrifera, $\beta$. humilis Regel, l. c. 166 (1868), in small part only (i. e. B. papyracea, var. minor Tuckerm., the type of which is also the type of Regel's B. dahurica, var. americana!) B. papyrifera, var. minor (Tuckerm.) Wats. \& Coult. in Gray, Man. ed. 6, 472 (1889), at least in part. B. odorata, var. tortuosa sensu ${ }^{1}$ Fernald in Rhodora, iii. 173 (1901), not (Ledeb.) Lange. B. alba, var. minor (Tuckerm.) Fernald in Am. Journ. Sci. ser. 4. xiv. 179 (1902).-Acidic rocky barrens, peats and alpine summits, Labrador Peninsula, south to Newfoundland, Shickshock Mts., Gaspé Peninsula, and Laurentide Mts., Quebec, highest mountains of northern New England and northeastern New York, and shores of James Bay, Ontario. The following are characteristic. Labrador: head of pond, 30 miles west of Nain, Anatolak Bay, Potter \& Brierly, no. 2614; Anatolak, C. S. Sewall, no. 449; Hopedale, Aug., 1935, Agnes Ayre; granite hills, Salmon Bight, $53^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ N., $55^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ W., A. E. Porsild, no. 37, as $B$. papyrifera, var. cordifolia; Square Island, lat. $52^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, Aug. 16, 1882, J. A. Allen; large shrubs on upper crests and on gneiss plain, Blanc Sablon, Fernald \& Wiegand, nos. 3248 and 3249. Newfoundland: shrub $0.5-0.8 \mathrm{~m}$. high, turfy and rocky slopes of Cape Dégrat, Quirpon Island, Fernald \& Long, no. 28,071, erroneously distributed as $B$. microphylla Bunge; thickets, brooksides and ravines, western side of Quirpon I., Wiegand, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, no. 28,076 (as B. microphylla); quartzite one half mile south of Deer Pond, Highlands of St. John, Wiegand, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, no. 28,078 (as B. microphylla); erect, 1 m . or less high, peaty or turfy upper quartzite slopes, alt. 600-650 m., Killdevil, Bonne Bay, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1635 (as B. microphylla) ; diorite tableland, alt. about 550 m ., northern region of the Blomidon ("Blow-me-down") Mts., Bay of Islands, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 4263; diorite tableland, near Franchman's Cove, Bay of Islands, Criscom, no. 10,242; about 4 feet high, Riverview Camp, Grand Codroy R., Pease \& Edgerton, no. 27,113; damp thickets on hill southwest of Tilt Cove, Notre Dame Bay, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5307. Quebec: rocky hillsides, Vieux-Fort, Pontchartrain, Saguenay Co., St. John, no. 90,831 (as $B$. glandulosa); tundra, Ile Herbée, Archipel de VieuxFort, St. John, no. 90,832 (as B. glandulosa); sur les gneiss près des chutes, Natashquan, Côte-Nord, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 28,101 (as B. microphylla); Seven Islands, Saguenay Co., C. B. Robinson, nos. 864 and 867 ; plateau dénudé, Botanists' Dome, Montagne de la Table, Rousseau \& Fortier, no. 31,429; abondant près du sommet, Mt. Lyall, Gaspé Co., Victorin, Rolland \&

[^58]Jacques, no. 33,516 (as B. microphylla); parties sèches près des sommets, Mont Sterling, Gaspé Co., Victorin, Germain \& Jacques, no. 33,481 (as B. microphylla) ; rocky slopes and barrens, alt. 650-1100 m., Mt. Albert, Gaspé Co., Collins \& Fernald, no. 67 ; on hornblende schist, alt. $900-1060 \mathrm{~m}$. , north slope, Mt. Albert, Fernald \& Collins, nos. 214 and 529 (as B. microphylla); sur les schistes hornblendiques et les paragneiss, Mt. Albert, Victorin, Brunel, Rolland \& Rousseau, no. 17,598 (as B. pumila ?); bare hornblende schist near summit, about 1100 m . alt., Mt. Fortin, Matane Co., Fernald \& Pease, nos. 25,023 and 25,024 (as B. microphylla) ; Port à Pueis, below Cap à l'Aigle, J. Macoun, no. 68,776. Maine: summit of Mt. Katahdin, Aug., 1847, Aaron Young, Bot. Surv. Me., Aug. 25, 1847, George Thurber, Aug. 12, 1873, Scribner, Aug. 1874, Scribner (as B. glandulosa), Sept. 1898, E. D. Merrill (as B. glandulosa); small shrubs, summit of 1st North Peak, Mt. Katahdin, July 14, 1900, Fernald. New Hampshire: "In alpinis Mont. Alborum", Tuckerman (Isotype); White Mts., 1842, A. Gray, this and the preceding the types of $B$. dahurica, var. americana Regel; Alpine Garden, Mt. Washington, July 10, 1893, E. \& C. E. Faxon, June 26, 1898, E. F. Williams (as B. glandulosa), Aug. 5, 1901, Robinson, August 13, 1902, Pease, no. 445, July 31, 1926, Pease, no. 19,828; Oakes Gulf, Mt. Washington, July 4, 1878, Faxon (as B. glandulosa), July 8, 1895, Kennedy, Williams; Oakes Gulf, Eggleston, no. 2376 (as B. odorata, var. tortuosa); 5-mile post on Carriage Road, Mt. Washington, July 27, 1886, Faxon (as B. glandulosa), Greenman, no. 1088 (as B. glandulosa), Pease, no. 10,532; "Cape Horn", Mt. Washington, June 24, 1898, Williams, Robinson, no. 955; Lake of the Clouds, Mt. Washington, July 4, 1878, Faxon (as B. glandulosa), Pease, no. 446; near Duck Fall, Low \& Burbank Grant, Pease, no. 14,160; Nowell's Ridge, Low \& Burbank Grant, Pease, no. 12,316; Ice Gulch, Randolph, Pease, nos. 10,750 ("trees 2 ft . high"), 16,707; upper rocky slopes of Mt. Lafayette, St. John, no. 439. NEW York: summit of Mt. McIntyre, alt. 4800-5000 ft., House, no. 9488. Ontario: Hasey Island, Moose River, James Bay, D. Potter, no. 804. Plate 963; FIGS. 1-7.

Betula minor closely simulates the Arctic Eurasian and Greenland shrub, there passing as B. alba, var. tortuosa (Ledeb.) Schneider or B. odorata Bechst., var. tortuosa (Ledeb.) Lange or B. tortuosa Ledeb. (plate 963, fig. 11). That shrub, however, apparently an arctic extreme of B. alba, has the samaras elliptic to obovate (as long as or longer than broad) with wings about equaling the narrow achene. B. minor, on the other hand, has the broadly subreniform samaras definitely broader than long,
the wings as broad as or broader than the broadly elliptic achene. A great number of specimens (through my original sin) have been misidentified as the Siberian B. microphylla Bunge, but that poorly understood species seems to be unlike anything American (see discussion under $B$. borealis). As for its relationship to $B$. papyrifera, $B$. minor has, somewhat naturally, been inferred to be merely a dwarfed alpine or subarctic extreme of the tree of lower altitudes and more favorable climatic conditions. Examination of the two, however, brings out several important characters. In B. papyrifera (plates 964, 965 and 967-972) the vigorous young shoots are pubescent; in $B$. minor glabrous but often so gummy as to be mistaken for those of B. glandulosa. In B. papyrifera the expanding leaves are pubescent beneath, the mature ones with traces of pubescence beneath, at least in the axils of the veins. In B. papyrifera the bracts of the pistillate aments (except in vars. macrostachya and cordifolia) have broad and widely divergent lateral lobes; in $B$. minor the lateral and terminal lobes are of about the same breadth and porrect. Although the lateral lobes of $B$. papyrifera, vars. macrostachya (plate 968) and cordifolia (plate 970) are porrect, the bracts are much longer than in $B$. minor and the other characters sufficiently different: both with pubescent new shoots and young foliage, var. macrostachya with mature fruiting aments $1.3-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. thick, the samaras $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; var. cordifolia similar but with definitely cordate leaves; $B$. minor glabrous from the first, often gummy, with very short staminate and fruiting aments, the latter at most 9 mm . thick, and samaras averaging 4.6 mm . wide.
5. B. alba L. Sp. Pl. 982 (1753), in part; emend. Roth, Tent. Fl. Germ. i. 404 (1788); Schneid. Handb. Laubholzk. i. 116 (1904); Rendle \& Britten in Journ. Bot. xlv. 441 (1907). B. alba, a. vulgaris Ait. Hort. Kew. iii. 336 (1789). B. pubescens Ehrh. Beitr. v. 160 (1790), nomen only, vi. 98 (1793-on title page as 1791). B. tomentosa Reitter \& Abel, Beschr. und Abbild. Deutschl. selt. wild. Holz.-Art. 17, t. 15 (1803). -Introduced from Europe; naturalized on roadsides, in thickets and at borders of woods, Newfoundland to Pennsylvania, west to Michigan.

Although this characteristic European species is passing in this country as B. pubescens Ehrh. (1793) it is clear, I think, that
we should retain for it the name B. alba L. (1753), as emended by Roth (1788) and as taken up by Aiton (his var. vulgaris, as opposed to his var. $\beta$. pendula). Roth properly split the bipartite B. alba of Linnaeus in 1788 into what he considered true B. alba and the newly segregated $B$. pendula. Except to those who, following the very simple but also very doubtful Germanic practice of rejecting all Linnean names of European species if they included what are now considered two or more species, the case seems quite clear. B. alba in the sense of Roth, who first made the split, and of Aiton, who, the next year, split the species into its two primary elements (as varieties) was thus retained by those very keen students of nomenclature, Schneider in Vienna and Rendle \& Britten in London.

If we should apply to North American species of Linnaeus the Germanic idea of rejecting all of his names, which were used for two or more specific elements but which Linnaeus supposed to be conspecific and to both of which the original Linnaean name has been frequently applied, the havoc would be amazing and futile. An embarrassingly large number of the American species of Linnaeus, to say nothing of Old World species from the Orient, were hopeless confusions. Nevertheless, we try to typify them by singling out the element most definitely seeming to be what he primarily intended; or we accept the first clear breaking of the mixture into its primary elements. In case of Betula alba the bipartite species was clearly separated into its two primary elements by Roth in 1788. Unless someone earlier segregated them under different names, Roth's typification of $B$. alba should stand.

The name Betula tomentosa and that of one of its authors, Reitter (or Reiter) have made endless trouble for those who merely compile from others rather than check the original sources. Thus, from the statement in Dippel, Handb. Laubholzk. ii. 174 (1892), a work in which the illustrations (and apparently the bibliography), right or wrong, were copied from others, we find under B. alba, subsp. pubescens the following bibliography: "Bet. pubescens Ehrh. Beitr. z. Naturk. VI. S. 98. 1793.
Bet. tomentosa Reitter u. Abel Abbild. d. 100 wild. deutsch. Holzart. I. 17. 1790." If Dippel's bibliography were correct, the name $B$. tomentosa (" 1790 ") would obviously antedate $B$.
pubescens (1793). This, however, is not the case. It is simply one of the many errors which the incorrect citations of Reitter \& Abel have started.

In the first place, the name of the first of the two authors has been so misinterpreted that one wonders if later authors have ever taken the trouble to look up the books. Thus, in Index Kewensis he appears as Retz[ius]. Von Hayek, Fl. Steyerm. i. 105 (1908), swallowed without evident choking the predigested date, 1790 , and displaced $B$. pubescens (1793) by B. tomentosa "Reith et Abel"; and Schneider, Ill. Handb. Laubholzk. ii. 886 (1912) also said "Reith et Abel". Ascherson \& Graebner, Syn. iv. 398, got nearer the facts as to the first author but by omitting a period made the authors and the place of publication erroneously appear as "Reitt u. Abel Abb. 100 wild. Holzart. I. 17 (1790)." Even the very careful Bradley Bibliography called them Reiter \& Abel in vol. i. 370, but one looks in vain for them under Reiter in the Index, for there (vol. iv. 716) they are entered only under Reitter.

The author himself (or his editors, collaborators or publishers) was doubtful as to his own name. There were two quite different books by the pair of authors. In the citations by later authors these have been hopelessly confused. These books were

1. Abbildung der Hundert deutschen wilden Holz-Arten, etc. Stuttgart. 1790. With colored plates. The authors given as Reitter und Abel and the first author's name spelled in the dedication very definitely "Reitter". The somewhat altered second edition, with the dedication and much of the introductory matter omitted, the plates uncolored, came out in 1805. Here he appears as "Reiter".
2. Beschreibung und Abbildung der in Deutschland seltener wildwachsenden und einiger bereits naturalisirten Holz-Arten, etc. Stuttgart. 1803. The authors given as Reiter und Abel.

No. 1 alone was caught by Pritzel's Thesaurus. Since no. 2 was evidently unknown to Pritzel it must be very rare. I have, fortunately, been able to consult them both, as well as the 2nd edition of no. 1, at the Arnold Arboretum; and the Librarian, Mrs. Schwarten, kindly refers me to the biography of Johann Daniel Reitter in Hess, Lebensbilder, 287 (1885), the biographer there listing book no. 1, Abbild. Hundert deutsch. wild. HolzArt., but not no. 2, Beschreib. und Abbild. Deutschl. Holz-Art. Now, when both these works are examined it will be found that in no. 1, Abbild. Hundert deutsch. wild. Holz-Art., there is no

Betula tomentosa. The only true Birch there is on p. 7 (not 17), "XV. Kupfertafel. Die Birke. Wonnerbaum. Betula alba"; while plate 15 has merely the text "Betula alba. Die Birke". Dippel, von Hayek and others who have started B. tomentosa there have obviously been mistaken.

In work no. 2, Beschr. und Abbild. 17 (1803) there is a detailed account of Betula tomentosa, the "wohlriechende Birke" and t. 15 shows it in color, also as B. tomentosa. That, however, was in 1803, not in 1790, so that for those who maintain B. pubescens as a species the name $B$. tomentosa offers no competition. The rarity of Reitter \& Abel's Beschr. und Abbild. (1803) is further indicated by the absence of a reference to its plate 15 in Index Londinensis. Plate 15 of the Abbildung (1790) is there correctly cited under B. alba.
6. B. papyrifera Marsh. Arb. Am. 19 (1775). B. papyracea Ait. Hort. Kew. iii. 337 (1789). B. alba, ס. papyrifera Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. sér. 2, xv. 188 (1841); Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Sci. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 81-repr. Mon. Bet. 23-t. v. fig. 5-16 (1861). B. alba sensu Fernald in Am. Journ. Sci. ser. 4, xiv. 169 and 190, in small part (1902).-Highly variable; represented in eastern North America by the following varieties and forms,
a. Leaves merely rounded to tapering at base. ... $b$.
b. Bracts of pistillate aments 3 -lobed; peduncle usually shorter than fruiting ament; the latter 2.5-6.5 cm. long . . . .c.
c. Mature fertile bracts $3.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, with divergent lateral lobes; samaras $3.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad....d.
d. Branchlets spreading or ascending, not strongly drooping; leaves of fertile branches broadly ovate, mostly rounded at base; pistillate aments mostly solitary on the spurs....e.
e. Bark of trunks of fruiting trees (or shrubs) creamyto pinkish-white, very soon exfoliating. Leaves membranaceous to firm, hardly lustrous
B. papyrifera (typical).

Leaves thick and leathery, lustrous above. .... Forma coriacea.
e. Bark of fruiting trunks warm-brown, only on oldest bases with smooth outer brown layer exfoliating

Var. commutata.
d. Branchlets pendulous; leaves of fertile branches nar-
rowly ovate to ovate-lanceolate, only slightly rounded to gradually tapering to petiole; pistillate aments often in fascicles of $2-4$ on the spurs.

Var. pensilis.
c. Mature fertile bracts $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, with ascending lateral lobes; samaras $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; leaves ovate, with rounded bases; fruiting aments solitary or paired. Peduncles of fruiting aments $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, many times shorter than ament. ................... Var. macrostachya.
Peduncles 2-3 cm. long, one half to essentially as long as pendulous ament. Forma longipes.
b. Bracts unlobed or with merely rudimentary lateral lobes, elliptic-oblong; pistillate aments $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, about equaled by arched-recurving peduncle; leaves rhombicoval, dentate

Var. elobata.
$a$. Leaves definitely cordate at base; bracts of mature pistillate aments $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, mostly with ascending lobes; bark of mature trunks warm-brown to creamy-or pinkish-white Var. cordifolia.
B. papyrifera, typical-Woods, especially on slopes, Labrador to Alaska, south to Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, New England, New York, upland of Pennsylvania and West Virginia, northern Ohio, northern Indiana, northern Illinois, northern Iowa, South Dakota, etc. Plate 964.

Forma coriacea Fernald \& Wiegand in Rhodora, xxv. 209 (1923)-Dunes of Lake Ontario, New York.

Var. commutata (Regel), comb. nov. B. occidentalis Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 155 (1839) as to specimen from Scouler only, not as to other specimens and detailed description; sensu Lyall in Journ. Linn. Soc. vii. 134 (1864); sensu Sargent in Bot. Gaz. xxxi. 237 (1901); not Hook. l. c. as to detailed descr. (1839), nor Nutt. N. A. Syl. i. 23, pl. 7 (1853), nor S. Watson in Bot. King ReportU. S. Geol. Expl. 40th Parallel, v. 323, pl. xxxv (1871), nor Sargent, Sylva, ix. 65, pl. cccclv (1896), nor S. Wats. Bot. Calif. ii. 79 (1880). B. alba, subsp. occidentalis (Hook.) Regel, $\beta$. commutata Regel in Bull. Soc. Nat. Mose. xxxviii. 401 (1865)repr. as Bemerk. Gatt. Bet. Aln. 14, pl. 7, figs. 6-10 (1866) and in DC. Prodr. xvi ${ }^{2} .166$ (1868), as to TYPE from Sumass Prairie, Lyall. B. papyracea, var. occidentalis sensu Dippel, Handb. Laubholzk. 177 (1892). B. Lyalliana Koehne in Mitt. Deutsch. Dendr. Gesellsch. 1899: 53 (1899), nomen only. B. alba, forma occidentalis sensu Fernald in Am. Journ. Sci. ser. 4, xiv. 173 and 190 (1902), not B. occidentalis Hook. basonym. B. papyracea Lyalliana Koehne ex Schelle in Beisner, Schelle \& Zabel, Handb. Laubh.-Ben. 55 (1903). B. papyrifera, var. Lyalliana (Koehne) Schneid. Ill. Handb. Laubhk. i. 115 (1904), based on "B. occidentalis Lyall, in Jour. Lin. Soc. VII. 134. 1864, ex parte, non Hook." B. papyrifera, var. occidentalis sensu Sargent in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 63 (1919), not B. occidentalis Hook. basonym.Woodlands near the coast, Labrador to northeastern Massachusetts; western North America south to Oregon. Plate 965.

In 1902 I pointed out that the character of permanently close and dark bark, which Sargent (1901) took as the single specific character of the tall tree of the Pacific slope, "perhaps the largest of all birch-trees" (Sargent, 1. c. 238), breaks down in the West and that in the East trees, otherwise inseparable from B. papyrifera, may have the bark permanently quite as dark as in the tree
of Puget Sound and the lower Fraser River. Subsequently I have seen forests in Newfoundland and at the tip of the Gaspé Peninsula where the large trunks (up to 9 dm . in diameter) were covered with smooth deep-brown bark. In the oldest trees, however, the dark bark of the base of the trunk (up to 2 or 3 m .) will sometimes exfoliate and there leave perfectly characteristic exfoliating pale bark (plate 965, figs. 2 and 3) of typical $B$. papyrifera. One of the southernmost stations in the East seems to be on Cape Ann, large brown-barked shrubs loaded with fruit, near granite-quarries back of Bayview, Gloucester, where it was collected in August, 1944, by Miss Elizabeth Johnston. It might be thought that it was long ago recorded from Essex County, for the three specimens cited by Regel of his B. alba, subsp. occidentalis, $\beta$. commutata were from "Sumass Prairie (Lyall), Topsfield, Massachusetts (Asa Gray), Oregon (Lyall). The Topsfield specimen, labelled by Regel as above, was distributed by William Oakes as B. papyracea; Asa Gray merely sent it on loan to Regel. There is no note regarding the bark of the trunk; apparently Oakes did not see anything unusual in it. The Lyall specimen from Sumass Prairie is the type of var. commutata.

Hooker, Nuttall, Torrey, Sereno Watson and many other careful students of the past correctly understood Hooker's rather vivid description of Betula occidentalis. Unfortunately, however, Hooker originally complicated matters by first citing a specimen from "Straits of De Fuca. Dr. Scouler", although his description was, it seems to me and to several field-botanists who know both trees, based almost entirely on the characteristic shrub or small tree of the Rocky Mountain region, west to the drier slopes of British Columbia, Washington, Oregon and California, the species which Sargent, 1. c. 239 (1901) renamed B. fontinalis. These two trees are abundantly distinct but I am unable to follow Sargent's reasoning, except that in 1901 he was following the now abundantly discredited principle of neglecting, if it happened to disagree, the description and taking as type the first cited specimen, in this case the Scouler specimen from the Straits of Juan de Fuca. In doing so, however, he saw in Hooker's description more elements of that species than I can find and consequently set off the cordilleran $B$. fontinalis. He
stated that the specimens cited by Hooker came from three different trees:

First, Betula papyrifera Marsh
Second, the large tree which grows on the lower Fraser river, on the shores and islands of Puget sound, and on Vancouver island (plate 965, figs. 1 and 4-6). This tree has . . . pubescent branchlets, leaves pubescent on the lower surface, . Specimens of this tree, which is perhaps the largest of all birch-trees, were first gathered on the shores of the straits of Fuca by Dr. John S'couler The tree from the straits of Fuca appeared first in the description of Betula occidentalis which was evidently drawn principally from the specimen of that tree [italics mine], and must be considered the type of Hooker's species

Third, the half-shrubby dark-barked species . . . which ranges as far south as Colorado, Utah, and northern California. This plant was collected by Nuttall on the Sweetwater ... and was first described and figured by him as Betula occidentalis (Sylva I: 23. pl. 7). Torrey in the Botany of Fremont's Expedition repeats this error. This same species was also described and figured in King's Rep. (5: 323. pl. 35) as Betula occidentalis by Watson who repeated his error in the Botany of California, and it . . is described and figured as Betula occidentalis in my ninth volume of The Sylva of North America . . our tree, for which I now propose the name of Betula fontinalis.

Along with many others I have fallen into the trap and have followed Sargent in calling the cordilleran low tree or coarse shrub Betula fontinalis. This course, as already stated, ignores the very definite description given by Hooker:
3. B. occidentalis; ramis rufo-fuscis copiose resinoso-verrucosis, foliis late rhombeo-ovatis sublobatis grosse inciso-serratis sub lente appressohirsutulis V . nudis subtus pallidioribus epunctatis, nervis paucis remotis, amentis foem. lato-cylindraceis, squamis lobis ovato-oblongis lateralibus decurvo-falcatis intermedio longiore.

Hab. Straits of De Fuca. Dr. Scouler. Near springs on the west side of the Rocky Mountains. Douglas; and on the east side, from the mountains to Edmonton House. Drummond. One specimen is in the collection from the Arctic coast* (?) Dr. Richardson - This Birch does not agree with any described species, and it is probably confined to the west coast, and to the immediate vicinity of the Rocky Mountains, forming a low, small brush-wood, 6-10 feet high, and never exceeding a few inches in the diameter of its trunk. Mr. Drummond considered it to be the B. nigra, but its bark and leaves are quite different; [Then a statement of characters of $B$. nigra]. The main branches are erect, and somewhat virgate, clothed with a red-brown bark, a little inclining to purple, copiously sprinkled with resinous warts in all the specimens. Petioles $1 / 2$ to $3 / 4$ of an inch long, adult leaves $2-21 / 2$ inches, broadly ovato-rhomboid, rather acute than acuminate, of a harsh and dry but not thick texture, slightly lobed at the margin, and inciso-serrate, the serratures coarse and sharp, paler beneath, but never, either in the old or younger state, dotted. Male catkins resembling those of the preceding [B. papyrifera], 1-2 inches long.

[^59]In the two following paragraphs I have quoted the characters as described by Sargent, Man. 204, 205 and 207 (1905) and by Rydberg, Fl. Rky. Mts. 202-204 (1918) of B. occidentalis sensu Sargent (i. e. B. papyrifera, var. commutata) and B. fontinalis Sargent (i. e. B. occidentalis Hook.) ; and after each item Hooker's own description in italics. As Bateson used to say, a judicious advocate leaves the conclusion to flow quietly from the evidence.
B. occidentalis sensu Sargent (i. e. B. papyrifera, var. commutata). "A tree, $100^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$ high, with a trunk $3^{\circ}-4^{\circ}$ in diameter" (Sargent); "tree sometimes $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$. high" (Rydb.). Hоокer: "small brush-wood, 6-10 feet high, and never exceeding a few inches in the diameter of its trunk". The "branches often pendulous on old trees, . . . branchlets more or less glandular and coated with long pale hairs when they first appear, . . marked by numerous minute pale lenticels and pubescent or puberulous during their first winter and nearly destitute of glands" (Sargent); "at first pubescent or puberulent" (Rydb.) Hooker: "ramis . . . copiose resinoso-verrucosis",
"The main branches erect, and somewhat virgate, . . . copiously sprinkled with resinous warts on all the specimens". Leaves "ovate, acute, covered with dark reddish resinous viscid glands, and villous along the midribs and veins, with long white hairs often also in large persistent tufts in the axils of the primary veins, and at maturity thin and firm in texture, marked by the scars of the fallen glands, . . $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ long, . . . their petioles stout, glandular, at first tomentose, ultimately pubescent or puberulous, about $3 / 4$ " long" (Sargent). Hooker: "foliis late rhombeo-ovatis. . isub lente appresso-hirsutulis v. nudis subtus . . epunctatis" . . "Petioles $1 / 2$ to $3 / 4$ of an inch long, adult leaves $2-21 / 2$ inches, broadly ovato-rhomboid, rather acute than acuminate, of a harsh and dry but not thick lexture,
paler beneath, but never, either in the old or younger state, dotted". The "staminate aments . . becoming 3 ' 4 ' long" (Sargent). Hooker: "Male catkins . . . 1-2 inches long.'
B. occidentalis sensu Nuttall, Torrey, Sereno Watson and Sargent's Sylva (i. e. B. Fontinalis Sargent): "more commonly shrubby, with many thin spreading stems forming open clusters, $15^{\circ}-20^{\circ}$ high; often much lower, "and frequently crowded in almost impenetrable thickets" or more rarely "A tree, occasionally $30^{\circ}-40^{\circ}$ high, with a trunk $12^{\prime}-18^{\prime}$ in diameter" (Sargent); "tree occasionally $10-12 \mathrm{~m}$. high, often growing in clumps and shrub-like" (Rydb.). Hooker: "forming a low, small brush-wood, 6-10 feet high, and never exceeding a few inches in the diameter of its trunk." Branchlets "much rough-, ened at first by large lustrous resinous glands persistent until the second season" (Sargent); "twigs densely glandular-resiniferous", "not hairy" (Rydb.). Hooker: "ramis copiose resinoso-verrucosis", "branches . . copiously sprinkled with resinous warts in all the specimens". Leaves "broadly ovate, acute" with "abruptly wedge-shaped . . base, and sometimes slightly laciniately lobed, . pilose above, and covered by conspicuous resinous glands when they unfold, at maturity thin and firm, . . . $1^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ long, petioles . . . $13^{\prime}-1 /{ }^{\prime}$ long" (Sargent) ; "leaves broadly ovate, usually less than 4 cim. long" (Rydb.). Hooker: "foliis late rhombeo-ovatis sublohatis grosse inciso-serratis sub lente appresso-hirsutulis v. nudis subtus epunctatis", "petioles $\frac{1}{2}$ to $3 / 4$ of an inch long, adult leaves 2-2 $1 / 2$ inches, broarly ovato-rhomboid, rather acute than acuminate, of a harsh and dry but not not thick texture, slightly lobed at the margin, never, either in the old or younger state, dotted". Staminate "aments . . becoming $2^{\prime}-21 / 2^{\prime}$ long" (Sargent); "staminate aments 5-7 cm. long" (Rydb.). Hooker: "Male catkins . . . 1-2 inches long".

When we take into account the facts that plenty of mature branches of Betula occidentalis (fontinalis) have leaves down to $3 / 4$ inch long and petioles down to less than $1 / 4$ inch in length, while others (Koehne, Herb. Dendrol. no. 105; L. E. Smith, no. 759; Muenscher \& Maguire, no. 15,690; M. E. Peck, no. 9468; F. A. Walpole, no. 323; Eggleston, no. 21,998; St. John, no. 7655; show blades $2-21 / 2$ inches long, while in an extreme variety they may be up to 7 cm . long, it becomes quite clear that in most of his stated characters Hooker was accurately describing the relatively low and often shrubby species which Nuttall, Torrey, Watson and others understood as $B$. occidentalis and which Sargent, without any concrete diagnosis and without designation of type, called B. fontinalis.

Returning to Betula papyrifera, var. commutata, that name started as B. alba, subsp. occidentalis, var. $\beta$. commutata Regel in 1865, Regel defining his subsp. occidentalis, var. $\alpha$. typica "trunco humili, foliis inciso-sublobatis dentatisque" (i. e., following Hooker's original description), while his var. commutata was defined as follows:

- commutata (tab. 7, fig. 6-10); trunco elato, foliis duplicato-dentatis.Als B. papyracea und papyrifera im Herbarium Asa Grays und Boissiers.Wächst in Nord-amerika, Sumass Praierie (Lyall), Topsfield, Massachusets (Ass Gray), Oregon (Lyall).

Von der folgenden Unterart [papyrifera] nur durch die gespreizten oder zurück gekrümmten Seitenlappen der Schuppen des Fruchtzäpfchens verschieden.

All three sheets, including the type from Sumass Prairie are before me. In all evident characters they are quite like the tree of the Pacific slope which Sargent took as B. occidentalis and they are all easily matched in details by much eastern $B$. papyrifera. The tree of the Fraser River region, including Sumass Prairie, is with reasonable certainty the dark-barked variety, but the Topsfield specimen of William Oakes (not Asa Gray) is, as already explained (p.313) evidently from the pale-barked and generally commoner eastern B. papyrifera. In Lyall's account of "The Lower Fraser River district, which includes the Sumass and Chilukweyuk prairies and other low grounds to the westward of the Cascade Mountains-a moist region", Lyall, in Journ. Linn. Soc. Lond. vii. 131-135 (1864), enumerated from "The banks of the Lower Fraser River . . . Abies Douglasii,

Abies Menziesii, Abies Mertensiana, Thuja gigantea, . . . Acer macrophyllum", etc. and then "Betula occidentalis, Hook. (a tree growing to the height of 60 or 70 feet [compare Sargent's " $100^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$ ", also J. K. Henry's "A small or large tree"] and most common about the borders of the forest)". That material was the basis of var. commutata. When Schneider published his B. papyrifera, var. Lyalliana, citing the Lyall account above quoted and the synonym $B$. occidentalis sensu Sargent, not Hooker, he evidently overlooked the earlier name which had been based on the Lyall collections. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The reinstatement of Betula occidentalis Hook. necessitates the following new varietal name.
B. occidentalis Hook., var. fecunda, nom. nov. Betula, 3d. described tree in Piper \& Beattie, Fl. Palouse Reg. 55 (1901). B. Piperi Britton in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxxi. 165 (1904), as to description, not as to single collection cited. B. fontinalis, var. Piperi (Britton) Sargent in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 65 (1919), in part only. Plate 966.

In their Flora of the Palouse Region Piper \& Beattie, with well-understood hesitation, refrained from assigning guesswork names to the three birches of the area. Instead, they described the thgee in detail but without names. Their third tree was
"B. Graceful tree, $8-15 \mathrm{~m}$. tall, with drooping branches: hark dark bronze branchlets . . . very glandular; lea ves ovate, obtuse or acute at the base, shining green above, glandular on both surfaces. $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long
pistillate aments cylindrical: 5 cm . long, .5 cm . thick. often flexuous, mostly in twos, Springy hillsides near Almota."
This description was but slightly, though somewhat, changed by Britton, whose B. Piperi was the "tree . . . described by Professor Piper as attaining a height of 15 m . and being slender and graceful, with drooping branches" \&c., largely a rewriting of the Piper \& Beattic description above quoted. But, most unfortunately, the locality of the " (iraceful tree, $8-15 \mathrm{~m}$. tall, with drooping branches", "Springy hillsides near Almota". was not given. Instead. Britton cited only a single station: "Type collected by Professor C. V. Piper, July 9, 1901. nine miles south of Pullman, Washington", with the sad result that Piper himself, accopting for the tree which I am calling B. papyrifera, var. commutata Sargent's misidentification of it as B. occidentalis Hook., was forced to reduce B. Piperi to its synonymy. Piper's statement follows: "The name Betula piperi was meant by its author to apply to the third unnamed species in the Flora of the Palouse Region, but the specimen actually cited is the eastern Washington form of B. occidentalis Hook." - Piper, Fl. Wash.. Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xi. 218 (1906).

Var. fecunda (plate 966) is a remarkably definite variety of the western Betula occidentalis (fontinalis). In its pendulous branches with the tendency to fascicled and slender aments it is strikingly unlike the shrubby and virgate-branched $B$. occidentalis of Hooker's original description, in which the shorter aments are mostly solitary on the spurs. The latter has been so often illustrated that I am here showing only var. fecunda. Piper, puzzled by this beautiful tree, sent, unnamed, 14 sheets (under several numbers) to the (iray Herbarium. These were mostly misidentifled by me as the Asiatic B. microphylla. Under this misidentitication of mine Piper in his Flora of Washington, p. 219, wrote": "The Almota specimens form the basis for the third unnamed species in the Flora of the Palouse Region. This is a tall graceful tree with drooping branches, appearing very different from the ordinary form of $B$. microphylla, and probably distinct from it." As TYPE of var. fecunda I am designating Piper, no. 1642 in the Gray Herbarium.

Although the leaves of var. fecunda were described by Piper as $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. his material was all rather young. Material from slightly to the southwest. Columbia Co., St. John, Davison de Schcibe, no. 6939, has leaves $5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.

Var. pensilis, var. nov. (тАв. 967), ramulis pendulis; foliis angusto-ovatis vel ovato-lanceolatis basin versus plerumque angustatis vel vix rotundatis; amentis foemineis solitariis vel 2-4fasciculatis; bracteis $5.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, lobis lateralibus rhomboideis vel late oblongis divergentibus. B. alba var. glutinosa sensu Fernald in Am. Journ. Sci. ser. 4, xiv. 176 (1902), not Trautr.Locally abundant, Newfoundland to western Quebec, south to Nova Scotia, Maine, Massachusetts and northern New York. Newfoundland: high tableland, Holyrood, Aug. 1, 1931, Agnes M. Ayre; Buchan Junction, July 19, 1930, K. P. Jansson. Quebec: Rivière du Brick, Anticosti, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 27,773; thickets and borders of woods near mouth of Marsouin River, Gaspé Co., Fernald \& Pease, no. 25,017; head of l'Anse aux Bouleaux, Bic, Rimouski Co., July 6-10, 1905, Williams, Collins \& Fernald; Bic, July 17, 1905, J. R. Churchill; east side of Lac Tremblant, Terrebonne Co., July 21, 1922, Churchill; near Georgeville, Lake Memphremagog, Aug. 12, 1914, Churchill. Nova Scotia: "small tree 10 ft . high, branches drooping", banks of Lahave R., Bridgewater, J. G. Jack, no. 3510. Maine: tree by road to Leighton Pond, Pembroke, July 10, 1909, Fernald (type in Herb. Gray.) ; by Wassataquoik River between Roebar's and Dacy Dam, Piscatquis Co., July 17, 1900, Fernald. Massachusetts: large tree by Charles River, Newton Lower Falls, July 23, 1912, Wiegand. New York: banks of Cascade Lakes, Essex Co., House, no. 7640; mountain-side, alt. 1800 ft., near Minerva, Essex Co., House, no. 14,887; Stony Island 2, west end of Black Lake, St. Lawrence Co., Muenscher \& Maguire, no. 2168.

Var. pensilis is very striking, not only as a "weeping" birch but on account of the mostly acute-based leaves and the very abundant fruiting aments. In 8 of the sheets before me they are often clustered on the spurs in fascicles of 2-4.

Var. macrostachya, var. nov. (тab. 968, fig. 1-3), ramulis divergentibus vix pendulis; foliis ovatis basi rotundatis; amentis foemineis solitariis vel binis, maturis $3.5-5.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. crassis pedunculatis; pedunculis arcuato-recurvatis $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; bracteis $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, lobis lateralibus rhomboideis porrectis vel adscendentibus; samaris $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis.-Local, northern Newfoundland to Rimouski County, Quebec, south to Nova Scotia and northern Maine. Newfoundiand: rich thickets on lower slopes of Ha-Ha Mt., Ha-Ha Bay, Fernald, Wiegand, Long, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, no. 28,065; thickets and glades, slopes of Cape Dégrat, Quirpon Island, Straits of Belle Isle, Fernald \& Long, no. 28,067. Quebec: cold northerly calcareous walls of Grande Coupe, Percé, Caspé Co., Fernald \& Collins, no. 1000; bois près de la mer, Bic, Rimouski Co., Victorin \& Rolland, no. 49,461 . Nova Scotia: dry mixed woods, Hecta-
nooga, Digby Co., July 31, 1920, Long \& Linder, no. 21,007 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). Maine: in disintegrated volcanic rock, Haystack Mt., Aroostook Co., July 11, 1902, Williams, Collins \& Fernald.

In its very large aments, bracts and samaras var. macrostachya stands midway between typical Betula papyrifera and var. cordifolia. It is also intermediate in the tendency of its pistillate bracts to have the porrect lateral lobes of the latter, but sometimes nearly or quite horizontal as in the former. Its leaves are like those of typical $B$. papyrifera, without the cordate base so characteristic of var. cordifolia. Were it not for this transitional var. macrostachya, it would be reasonable to look upon var. cordifolia as a fairly distinct species, the status originally given it by Regel.

Var. macrostachya, forma longipes, f. nov. (tab. 968, fig. 4), pedunculis $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, amentis fructiferis pendulis.-Gaspé Peninsula, Quebec: woods, Malbaie, Gaspé Co., Pease, no. 6025 A , as var. cordifolia; mossy meadows and woods at 455 m . ( 1500 ft .) $-915 \mathrm{~m} .(3000 \mathrm{ft}$.) in the great basin [Fernald Basin] under the north slope of Mt. Logan, Matane Co., July 22, 1922, Fernald \& Pease, no. 25,019 (TYPE in Herb. Gray.).

Very striking in its long drooping peduncles often essentially as long as the pendulous aments.

Var. elobata (Fernald) Sargent in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 63 (1919). B. alba, var. elobata Fernald in Rhodora, xv. 169 (1913).-Known only from the type-locality in Quebec: crevices and talus of serpentine along Ruisseau à la Neige, Mt. Albert, Gaspé Co., Fernald \& Collins, no. 531. Plate 969.

It is not improbable that var. elobata, when mature fruiting material is secured, may prove to be an endemic species. In its subrhombic and dentate leaves, suggestive of those of $B$. nigra L., and in its very short pendulous pistillate aments with unlobed or only obsoletely lobed bracts, it is very distinct. Unfortunately, the material, collected in an alpine area and only slightly past anthesis in July, does not show mature samaras. The typecolony is near the head of one of the northwestern tributaries of Ruisseau à la Neige, as it abruptly descends the cañon-wall, not far below the serpentine tableland (alt. about 3500 ft .). Under it grow Polystichum mohrioides, var. scopulorum (D. C. Eaton) Fernald, in its only known area east of local stations in Idaho, while close-at-hand are the type-areas of the endemic or near-
endemic Salix chlorolepis Fernald, S. hebecarpa Fernald, Arenaria marcescens Fernald and Solidago chlorolepis Fernald, and endemic or disjunct varieties in Salix, Statice and Cnicus. It is important to secure the fruit of Betula papyrifera, var. elobata.

Var. cordifolia (Regel) Fernald in Rhodora, iii. 173 (1901), by inference only; Rehder, Man. Cult. Trees and Shrubs, 141 (1927). B. cordifolia Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 86 -repr. as Mon. Bet. 28, t. 12, figs. 29-36 (1861): B. alba, subsp. papyrifera, B. cordifolia (Regel) Regel in Bull. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xxxiii. 401 (1865)-repr. as Bemerk. Gatt. Bet. Aln. 14 (1866) and in DC. Prodr. xvi². 166 (1868). B. papyracea, a cordifolia (Regel) Dippel, Handb. Laubholzk. ii. 177 (1892). B. alba, var. cordifolia (Regel) Fernald in Am. Journ. Sci. ser. 4, xiv. 177 and 190 (1902). B. papyracea cordifolia (Regel) Scheele in Beisn., Scheele \& Zabel, Handb. Laubh. Benen. 55 (1903). B. papyrifera, var. communis, f. cordifolia (Regel) Schneid. Handb. Laubholzk. i. 115 (1904). - Labrador to Algoma District, Ontario, south to Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, New England (rare southward), northern New York, Michigan, Wisconsin and northern Iowa; high altitudes on Blue Ridge, North Carolina. Plate 970.

In its firm and definitely cordate leaves, its long bracts with mostly porrect lobes and its large samaras Betula papyrifera, var. cordifolia might merit the specific rank originally given it by Regel; but, as already noted, var. macrostachya, with leaves merely rounded and not cordate at base, exactly bridges the gap between it and typical $B$. papyrifera. With its very long bracts with mostly porrect (instead of horizontally divergent) lobes it is certainly a well marked geographic variety, which in the western half of the continent is replaced by var. subcordata (Rydb.) Sargent. Rare in southern New England and not known south of the Adirondack region in New York, this is the only variety of B. papyrifera known on the high mountains of North Carolina. In discussing its discovery and abundance at $5500-6200 \mathrm{ft}$. altitude, "in the spruce and balsam forest", "about 550 miles" south of its supposed southern limit (in Massachusetts and Connecticut), Ashe in Rhodora, xx. 63, 64 (1918) quoted various northern botanists, some of whom (Britton and Blanchard) regarded it a good species, others (Sargent and Burns, besides the present writer) recognizing intergradient trees; and be concluded: "The fact that the cordate [-leaved] form alone occurs in North Carolina, and that there its leaf-form is strongly marked and
without indication of variation-foliage was examined from more than 100 trees-would at least seem to give it excellent varietal if not specific characterization."

In his original publication of Betula cordifolia Regel cited it as in "Novaja Semlaja von Hr. de la Tylaie im Jahre 1826 gesammelte" and compared it with the Asiatic B. Ermani Chamisso, arguing for its specific separation since "dass B. Ermani bis jetzt aus Novaja Semlaja noch nicht bekannt ist". Bearing in mind that Novaja Semlaja is the Russian equivalent of Terre-neuve, where Bachelot de la Pylaie (not "Tylaie") spent so many years in botanizing, the intent is obvious. In fact, Regel got the typelocality straightened in his later treatments, where he correctly gave it as "Terra nova (de la Pylaie

A small-leaved northwestern variety of Betula papyrifera, which may be expected to cross the plains into Minnesota, has leaves in outline resembling those of $B$. pendula. This is


#### Abstract

B. papyrifera Marsh., var. humilis (Regel) Fernald \& Raup, comb. nov. B. alba, subsp. papyrifera, var. $\gamma$ humilis Regel in DC. Prodr. xvi. 166 (1868), in part (descr. and Bourgeau specimen from Saskatchewan). B. alaskana Sargent in Bot. Gaz. xxxi. 236 (1901), not Lesq. (1883). B. neoalaskana Sarg. in Journ. Arn. Arb. iii. 206 (1921). B. papyrifera, var. neoalaskana (Sarg.) Raup, Contrib. Arn. Arb. vi. 152 (1934). Plates 971 and 972.


Betula alba, subsp. papyrifera, $\gamma$. humilis was based primarily on a sheet in the Gray Herbarium, collected by Bourgeau in $1857-8$ in Saskatchewan ("Bords de la rivière Castor"). This sheet (our plate 971 , figs. 1-4) bears Regel's annotation. Although Regel followed this with citation of Parry and Hall \& Harbour specimens, which are of $B$. occidentalis Hook. ( $B$. fontinalis Sarg.) and the type of Tuckerman's B. papyracea, var. minor (B. minor) from the White Mts., his description, "folia juniora petiolique saepe pubescentia, . . . subtus ad nervos tantum pilosula. Samararum alae nucula usque triplo latiores", definitely applies to the Bourgeau sheet. It can not apply to $B$. minor, for the branchlets and leaves of that more eastern shrub are strictly glabrous and the wings of its samaras are never "nucula usque triplo latiores". Nor could the glabrate branches of Regel's "Ramuli glanduliferi v . juniores pubescentes, dein glabrati" apply to either B. minor or B. occi-
dentalis, both of which have glabrous branchlets; the "juniores pubescentes, dein glabrati" belongs also to the Bourgeau element. Since this Saskatchewan specimen, clearly labelled by Regel as his B. alba, subsp. papyrifera, var. humilis, agrees with his description in the more diagnostic characters, whereas the Rocky Mountain specimens (Parry and Hall \& Harbour) as well as the White Mountain one (Tuckerman) already had legitimate names, we see no way but to take up the name var. humilis for the Saskatchewan element primarily described.

There is a second sheet of Bourgeau's Saskatchewan material (1858) in the Gray Herbarium. This one (plate 972, fig. 1) has had a checkered career. In his Bemerkungen über die Gattungen Betula und Alnus, Bull. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xxxviii. 398 (1865)-repr. 11 (1866)-Regel published under the strictly Eurasian Betula alba, subsp. verrucosa, a var. resinifera, based exclusively on a Middendorf specimen from eastern Siberia. In DeCandolle's Prodromus, xvi². 164 (1868), however, although otherwise holding his $B$. alba, subsp. verrucosa strictly to Eurasia, he cited under var. resinifera a single North American specimen: "in America boreali-occidentali ad Saskatchevan (Palliser)". This specimen, Bourgeau, 1858, on the Palliser Expedition (Gray Herb.), was originally distributed as $B$. papyracea but it bears Regel's annotation as above. A portion of it is shown in plate 972, fig. 1. The significant point in connection with this second Bourgeau (Palliser) sheet is that Sargent, describing his $B$. alaskana, selected it as the first specimen to be cited under his new specific name: "Saskatchewan, E. Bourgeau, 1858 (in Herb. Gray) ; near Prince Albert in latitude 53, July 1876, John Macoun [our plate 971, fig. 5 and 972, figs. 2 and 3]; northwestward, reaching the Alaskan coast", etc. Of this Bourgeau specimen Sargent wrote: "The specimen in Herb. Gray collected by Bourgeau in flower on the Saskatchewan was referred by Regel (Bull. Mosc. 18: 398; DC. Prodr. 16²: 164) to his Betula alba, subspecies verrucosa $\delta$ resinifera". The fact that, in spite of Sargent's statement, Regel did not mention the Bourgeau specimen in his first publication (Bull. Mosc.) but only in the second (DC. Prodr.) is significant; otherwise it might be involved in the typification of his $B$. alba, subsp. verrucosa, var. resinifera which, fortunately, was based solely on the Middendorf material.

The name $B$. alba, subsp. papyrifera, var. humilis has the right-of-way.
7. B. borealis Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 2, xv. 196 (1841). B. pumila, $\gamma$. borealis (Spach) Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 113 -repr. Mon. Bet. 55, t. 13, figs. 38 and 39 (1861) and in DC. Prodr. xvi². 173 (1868). B. alba, var. carpatica sensu Fernald in Am. Journ. Sci. ser. 4, xiv. 179 and 190 (1902) in part only, not B. carpatica Wald. \& Kit. B. microphylla sensu Eames and sensu Fernald, as quoted by Eames, in RhodoRA, xi. 93 (1909), not Bunge.-Southern Labrador to James Bay, Ungava, south, chiefly on calcareous or magnesian soils to Newfoundland, Anticosti Island and Gaspé Peninsula, Quebec, and very rarely to Cape Breton and to northern Vermont.-Since this characteristic and very definite northeastern species has not been understood during the full century since Spach very clearly described it as "Legit cl. de Lapylaie, in insulâ Terrae-Novae" (this later rendered by Regel "von Herrn de la Tylaie in Novaja Semlaja gesammelt"), it is important to cite and illustrate good material (all, unless noted, distributed erroneously as B. microphylla Bunge). Labrador: common on many barrens and hillsides, Backway, off Lake Melville, R.H. Wetmore, no. 102,930. Newfoundland: 1 m . high, peaty limestone barrens, southern half of Burnt Cape, Pistolet Bay, Fernald \& Long, no. 28,070; cool springy glade, Burnt Cape, F. \& L., no. 28,075; limestone barrens on the Highlands northeast of Big Brook, Straits of Belle Isle, Fernald, Wiegand \& Hotchkiss, no. 28,072; shrubs 1-2 m. high, spruce woods and thickets bordering limestone barrens, Brig Bay, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,596; spruce woods and thickets, St. Barbe, F. L. \& D., no. 26,595; 1-2 m. high, thickets along East Brook, St. Barbe Bay, Wiegand \& Hotchkiss, no. 28,080; slaty gorge of brook below serpentine barrens above Woody Point, Bonne Bay, R. H. Kimball, no. 117; 1-2 ft. high, quartzite gravel and talus, Killdevil, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1636; gravelly beach, Middle Birchy Pond, Eastern Drainage of Humber R., Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3247 (as B. alba, var. carpatica) ; coarse shrub, southerly slopes of dry serpentine ridge, North Arm, Bay of Islands, Long \& Fogg, nos. 217 and 219; dry thicket on exposed slope at about 1650 ft. , Blow-me-down Mt., Eames \& Codfrey, no. 6033; serpentine and magnesian limestone barrens, northeastern base and slopes of Blomidon ("Blow-medown") Mts., Fernald \& Wiegand, nos. 3245 (as B. alba, var. carpatica) and 3246 ; large shrubs, dry limestone barrens, upper slopes and tablelands, alt. $200-300 \mathrm{~m}$., Table Mt., Port-à-Port Bay, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3250 (as B. alba, var. carpatica), also Fernald \& St. John, no. 10,827; coarse shrub, thickets on gneiss ledges along Grandy Brook, Distr. of Burgeo and La Poile,

Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 218; springy and boggy places in rivergravel, Gander R., Glenwood, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5308 (as $B$. alba, var. carpatica); gravelly river-bank, Glenwood, F.\& W., no. 5309 (as B. alba, var. carpatica). Quebec: 4 ft . high, rocky crest, Pointe au Maurier, Charnay, Saguenay Co., St. John, no. 90,385 ; granite hills, Mingan, St. John, no. 90,384; limestone sea-cliffs, Ile Ste. Généviève, Mingan Ids., St. John, no. 90,830; sur les rivages calcaires près du Lac Salé, Ile St.-Charles, Archipel de Mingan, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 18,881; bordant le sommet de l'escarpement, Ile Nue, Mingan, $V . \& R$., no. 24,728; rivages, Ile à la Chasse, Mingan, V. \& R., no. 24,740 (as B. glandulosa); wet places, Becscie R., Anticosti, Sept. 7, 1883, J. Macoun; le long des platières calcaires, Rivière à la Patate, Anticosti, Victorin, Rolland \& Louis-Marie, no. 21,726; à une douzaine de milles de l'embouchure, R. Jupiter, Anticosti, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 24,729 ; arborescent, sur le bord de la falaise boisée, le long du portage de la ligne, Sand-top, Anticosti, V. \& R., no. 27,775; crevices and talus of serpentine, Ruisseau à la Neige, Mt. Albert, Gaspé Co., Fernald \& Collins, no. 532; large shrub, steep clay banks of Matane R., Matane, Fernald \& Pease, no. 25,022; Rupert House, James Bay, D. Potter, no. 805. Nova Scotia: low thicket in bog on plateau north of Bay St. Lawrence, Victoria Co., Roland, no. 41,354 (as B. pumila). Vermont: rock-outcrop, shore of Fairfield Pond, alt. 550 ft ., Fairfield, Franklin Co., S. F. Blake, no. 3105 (as B. alba, var. minor) ; summit of Mt. Mansfield, July 2, 1897, Kennedy, Williams (as B. papyrifera, var. minor), July 23, 1901, T. O. Fuller (as B. papyrifera, var. minor). Plate 973.

Betula borealis was very fully and clearly described by Spach, whose description is worth repeating:
B. borealis Nob.-Legit cl. de Lapylaie, in insulâ TerraeNovae; forsàn varietas Betulae excelsae v. Betulae albae.

Arbor? vel frutex? Rami haud resinoso punctati: novelli tomentosi. Folia floralia 6-15 lineas longa, ovato-v. obovatov . lanceolato-v. oblongo-rhombea, acuta, subaequaliter serratodentata, basin versùs integerrima, brevè petiolata: juniora pubescentia; adulta subtùs glaucescentia, sparsè punctulata, reticulata, praeter nervos glabra. Stroboli subpollicares, erecti (?), brevè pedunculati, cylindracei, graciles; rachi gracili, ferè filiformi; squamis tricarpis cuneiformibus, subciliolatis, samaras obtegentibus, trilobis: lobis obtusis, aut subaequalibus, oblongis, nunc parallelis, nunc divergentibus, aut dissimilibus:
lateralibus subfalcatis, deflexis, terminali abbreviato, subovato.
Samarae ovatae v. suborbiculares, vix lineam latae, angustè alatae, squamis duplò brevioribus. (V.s. sp. in Herb. Mus. Par.)
The densely tomentulose pubescence of vigorous new shoots, usually without glandular atoms, the elliptic to somewhat
rhombic or ovate merely acute or acutish leaves more or less pubescent beneath, and the small samaras with the wings scarcely broader than the achene, clearly distinguish it from the other dwarf species of the Albae in the Northeast: B. minor. There is little to induce one who knows $B$. pumila to place it with that, as was finally done by Regel. The identification with the Siberian B. microphylla Bunge was a very crude mistake, quite as unclarifying as my reduction to the latter of the cordilleran North American B. occidentalis Hook. (B. fontinalis Sargent). ${ }^{1} \quad$ B. microphylla, as originally described and as represented by Altai material sent by Regel to Gray and perhaps isotypic (plate 963, figs. 8-10), as well as by more modern specimens, has the small obovate leaves with entire cuneate bases, the summit only coarsely dentate; its branchlets are covered with resinous warts and the wings of its samaras (plate 963 , FIG. 10) are as originally described by Bunge "semen longitudine et latitudine superantibus." In B. borealis the acute or acutish leaves are toothed to base, the branchlets rarely glutinous and the wings of the samara narrow. B. occidentalis ( $B$. fontinalis), although having broadly winged samaras and very gummy but glabrous branchlets and leaves, has the latter of firmer and heavier texture, more regularly serrulate or doubly serrate margins and usually an ovate outline and lingering pubescence on the upper surface. It does not well match true $B$. microphylla and is quite distinct from the eastern $B$. borealis and $B$. minor, the former with new branchlets heavily pubescent, and the samaras with very narrow wings, the latter glabrous from the first, with more slender fruiting aments and narrower samaras (2.5-5, av. $3.5, \mathrm{~mm}$. broad), whereas the western $B$. occidentalis has the aments thick and the samaras 4-6, av. 5.2 , mm. broad.

In Betula, ser. Humiles, two species need special discussion. The first is only doubtfully a member of this series, a tree of the mountains of western Virginia:
B. uber (Ashe), stat. nov. B. lenta, var. uber Ashe in RhodoRa, xx. 64 (1918). Plate 974, figs. 1-5.

It is most difficult to feel that the low tree (" $20-25 \mathrm{ft}$. high", according to Ashe's label) described by Ashe as a small-leaved

[^60]variety of Betula lenta has much, except aromatic bark, to do with that species. B. lenta (figs. 6 and 7) has cordate-ovate and long-acuminate leaves with fine and sharp serrulation and 10-20 pairs of veins impressed into the upper surface (a typical member of series Costatae). B. uber, on the other hand, as shown by isotypes at the Gray Herbarium and the Arnold Arboretum, has very short and broadly rounded, often nearly orbicular leaves with few coarse dentations and with only 3-6 pairs of veins not impressed above (characteristics of series Humiles). Furthermore, the pistillate aments are more slender than in B. lenta and the bracts end in low and broad lobes, those of $B$. lenta more elongate, with the middle lobe prolonged.

In describing his $B$. lenta, var. uber Ashe made no note of its size and he stated that the material, in young fruit and foliage, was collected on "Banks of Dickey Creek, Smyth County, Virginia, south of Rye Valley Station, January 14, 1914". The isotype deposited in the Gray Herbarium has Ashe's label, stating that the tree is "20-25 feet high" and that it was collected "At 2800 ft ., June [not January], 1914". It is very important to learn much more about $B$. uber,-whether it is shrubby, the range of variation of foliage, the characters of the staminate aments, and its abundance and range.
B. terrae-novae, sp. nov. (тab. 975, fig. 1-4), planta habitu B. nanae; ramis novellis tomentosis; foliis late cuneato-flabelliformibus coriaceis glabris valde reticulatis inciso-dentatis basin versus integerrimis; strobilis sessilibus $0.5-1 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; strobili squamis integerrimis vel subintegerrimis oblongis vel oblongolanceolatis vel oblongo-ovatis arcte adpressis apice subsquarrosis; nuculis ovoideis vel subrotundatis apteris margine incrassato.B. Michauxii Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 2, xv. 195 (1841), as to description, not as to Michaux plant, basis of the name. Apterocaryon Michauxii (Spach) Opiz in Lotos, v. 258 (1855), in part, not B. nana sensu Michx., basis of name. B. nana,.s Michauxii (Spach) Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 103 -repr. Mon. Bet. 45 (1861), excluding Michaux plant, source of name.-Bogs, tundra and peaty, acidic barrens, Newfoundland and adjacent southeastern Labrador Peninsula. Type from diorite tableland, altitude about 550 m ., northern region of the Blomidon ("Blow-me-down") Mts., Newfoundland, Aug. 22, 1910, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3271, as B. nana, var. Michauxii (in Herb. Gray.).

It is unfortunate that the name Betula Michauxii had so con-
fused a start. The tiny shrub of Newfoundland, southeastern Labrador and the extreme eastern end of the Côte Nord of Quebec is very distinct from the arctic B. nana (figs. 5-7) in its tomentose (instead of cinereous-puberulent) branchlets, its more flabelliform, more incised and more strongly reticulate leaves, and above all in simple instead of prominently 3 -lobed pistillate bracts and its thick-margined, instead of definitely winged samaras. Spach gave a good description of it in general, but his "Strobili 4-8 pollices [inches] longi" was most unfortunate for any American birch and emphatically for a dwarf with strobiles only $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long! For his B. Michauxii Spach set up the new section Apterocaryon, which was clearly based on Newfoundland material: "Nuculae apterae, margine incrassato, intus suberoso, cinctae.-Squamae strobilae semper 1-carpae, integerrimae, nuculis duplo angustiores", and this was taken up as the genus Apterocaryon (Spach) Opiz. Further to confuse matters Spach started his description of the Newfoundland shrub: "B. MichauxiI Nob.-Betula nana Michx.! Flor. Bor. Amer. (excl. syn.)" and gave the range "America borealis [derived from Michaux] et insula Terrae Novae [La Pylaie material at Paris, presumably]". The name B. Michauxii automatically belongs with the Michaux element which came from at least 650 miles farther west ("in sphagnosis, a sinu Hudsonis ad lacus Mistassins") than the western known limit of $B$. terrae-novae. Furthermore, the description of B. nana sensu Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. 180 (1803), nomenclatural type of $B$. Michauxii, was of something quite different: the shrub "glaberrima" (instead of with tomentose branchlets); "amenti squamis profunde 3-partitis, laciniis oblongis" (instead of entire or merely with obscurely undulate margin) ; "capsulis orbiculatis, subapteris" (instead of quite apteris). Just what Michaux got we cannot learn at the moment. His description suggests one of the dwarf and glabrous or glabrescent extremes of B. pumila L., such as var. renifolia Fernald, which abounds on much of the Labrador Peninsula and in Newfoundland and which, in exposed situations, may become a tiny depressed mat with round-obovate to reniform leaves down to 8 mm . long and either pubescent or glabrous. Michaux's plant was, obviously, not at all the characteristic little shrub of the Newfoundland barrens.

The fact that Regel confused Betula Michauxii, as a variety,
with $B$. nana carries little weight. At the same time he also reduced B. glandulosa Michx. to his all-inclusive B. nana, as he likewise included the utterly different $B$. borealis Spach (our plate 973).

## Explanation of Plates

Plate 963, figs. 1-7, Betula minor (Tuckerm.) Fernald: fig. 1, portion of TYPE, $\times 1$; FIG. 2 , fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Oakes Gulf, Mt. Washington, New Hampshire, Eggleston, no. 2676; FIG. 3 , staminate aments, $\times 1$, from Mt. Washington, New Hampshire, Greenman, no. 1087; fig. 4, lower surface of leaf, $\times 5$, from no. 2676 ; Fig. 5 , branchlet, $\times 10$, from no. $2676 ;$ fig. 6 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and fig. 7 , samara, $\times 4$, from no. 2676. Figs. 8-10, B. microphylla Bunge: Fig. 8 , fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from the Altai of Siberia, probably an isorype; Fig. 9 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and fig. 10 , samara, $\times 4$, from same specimen. Fig. 11, B. alba L., var. tortuosa (Ledeb.) Schneider: samara, $\times 4$, from Kingua Tunugdliarfik, Greenland, Aug. 17, 1888, Kolderup Rosenvinge.
Plate 964, B. papyrifera Marsh. (typical): fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Middlebury, Vermont, July 8, 1908, E. F. Williams; fig. 2, staminate aments, $\times 1$, from Winchester, Massachusetts, May 9, 1897, E. F. Williams; Fig. 3, tip of young shoot, $\times 5$, from Lac Ste.-Anne, Gaspé Co., Quebec, Victorin, Rolland \& Jacques, no. 33,476; FIG. 4, fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and FIG. 5 , samara $\times 4$, from Southport, Maine, Aug. 8, 1894, Fernald.
Plate 965, B. papyrifera, var. commutata (Regel) Fernald: fig. 1, portion, $\times 1$, of the Lyall specimen from "Cascade Mountains. 49 N. Lat.", Fig. 2, characteristic close bark, $\times 1$, from Percé, Quebec, July, 1905, Williams, Collins \& Fernald; FIG. 3, outer bark exfoliating, exposing whitish inner bark, $\times 1$, from base of same tree as in fig. 2; FIGs. 4 and 5 , fruiting bracts, $\times 4$, from the Lyall specimen; FIG. 6, samara, $\times 4$, from the Lyall specimen; FIG. 7 fruiting bract, $\times 4$, from Bayview, Gloucester, Massachusetts, Aug., 1944, Elizabeth Johnston; FIG. 8, samara, $\times 4$, from the same specimen as fig. 7 .
Plate 966, B. occidentalis Hook., var. fecunda Fernald: fig. 1, portion of TYPE, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, younger (flowering) branchlet, $\times 1$, from type-locality, May 11, 1901, Piper; FIG. 3, staminate aments, $\times 1$, from type-locality, April 27, 1925, Constance et al., no. 1043.
Plate 967, B. papyrifera, var. pensilis Fernald: fig. 1, portion, $\times 1$, of type; fig. 2 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and fig. 3 , samara, $\times 4$, from type; fig. 4 , younger branch, $\times 1$, from Bic, Quebec, July, 1905, Williams, Collins \& Fernald.
Plate 968, figs. 1-3, B. papyrifera, var. macrostachya Fernald: fig. 1, portion. $\times 1$, of TYPE; FIG. 2 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and FIG. 3, samara, $\times 4$, from type. Fig. 4, forma longipes Fernald: portion, $\times 1$, of type.
Plate 969, B. papyrifera, var. elobata (Fernald) Sargent: fig. 1, portion, $\times 1$, of TYPE; FIG. 2, immature samara embraced by bract, $\times 4$, from TYPE; FIG. 3 , young bracts, $\times 1$, from TYPE.
Plate 970, B. papyrifera, var. cordifolia (Regel) Fernald: fig. 1, portion, $\times 1$, of fruiting branch from Malbaie, Gaspé Co., Quebec, August 20, 1904, Collins, Fernald \& Pease; FIG. 2, tip of vigorous sprout, $\times 5$, from Roberval, Quebec, July 28, 1892, G. G. Kennedy; FIG. 3, fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and FIG. 4, samara, $\times 4$, from same specimen as fig. 1 .
Plates 971 and 972, B. Papyrifera, var. humilis (Regel) Fernald \& Raup. Plate 971: fig. 1, portion, $\times 1$, of type of $B$. alba L., subsp. papyrifera (Marsh.) Regel, var. humilis Regel, with Regel's identification; FIG. 2, lower surface of leaf (with scattered trichomes), $\times 10$, from TYPE; FIG. 3 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and FIG. 4 , samara, $\times 4$, from TYPE; FIG. 5 , fruiting tip, $\times 1$, of specimen from Prince Albert, Saskatchewan (Macoun, no. 12,952 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ), one of the 2 specimens cited by Sargent as his B. alaskana. PLate 972, FIG. 1, portions,
$\times 1$, of the Saskatchewan plant (Bourgeau, 1858), the first specimen cited by its author for B. alaskana Sargent: FIg. 2, fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and FIg. 3, samara, $\times 4$, of the Prince Albert material, Macoun, no. $12,952^{\text {a }}$; fig. 4, fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and fig. 5, samara, $\times 4$, from near Fairbanks, Alaska, Ynez Mexia, no. 2291.

Plate 973, B. borealis Spach: fig. 1, branches, $\times 1$, from Glenwood, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5308; Fig. 2, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Glenwood, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5309; FIG. 3, tip of young branch, $\times 5$, from no. 5309 ; Fig. 4 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and Fig. 5 , samara, $\times 4$, from base of Blomidon, Bay of Islands, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3246.

Plate 974, figs. 1-5, B. uber (Ashe) Fernald: fig. 1, portion, $\times 1$, of isotype in Herb. Arnold Arboretum; fig. 2, upper surface of leaf, $\times 2$, to show venation and toothing, from isotype; fig. 3, portion of lower surface of leaf, $\times 2$, from isotype; fig. 4 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and fig. 5 , samara, $\times 4$, from isotype. Figs. 6 and 7, B. lenta L.: fig. 6, portion of lower surface of leaf, $\times 1$, to show venation and toothing, from Jamaica Plain, Massachusetts, August 25, 1885, C. E. Faxon; Fig. 7, fruiting bract, $\times 4$, from same specimen.

Plate 975, figs. 1-4, B. terrae-novae Fernald: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times$ 1: fig. 2, tip of branchlet, $\times 5$, from Goose Pond, upper Humber River, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3272; FIG. 3, fruiting bracts, $\times 10$, and fig. 4, nutlet, $\times 10$, from type. Figs. 5-7, B. nana L.: fig. 5, tip of branchlet, $\times 5$, from Velmunden, Norway, July 23, 1909, Fr. Lange; fig. 6, fruiting bract, $\times 4$, and fig. 7 , samara, $\times 10$, from the Lange specimen.
(To be continued)

## CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERbARIUM OF harvard university-No. CLIX

M. L. Fernald

## II. Eastern North American Representatives of Alnus incana

(Plates 976-989)
In 1906, while he was a student with me, Dr. Harley H. Bartlett joined me in collecting at different stages of development through the season material of the Swamp Alders of northeastern Massachusetts, for it was quite apparent that the variations within this group were not satisfactorily disposed of merely by calling them all simply AInus incana and A. serrulata or rugosa. With the cooperation of the late Professor J. Franklin Collins in Rhode Island, we assembled many collections but their final identification was interrupted by Bartlett's finishing his studies at Cambridge and the mass of material was stored, with the hope that one of those eclectic students, who specialize on our trees and shrubs, to the exclusion of herbs, would be interested to clarify the situation. More than quarter of a century later, when he was studying with me, Dr. Ernst C. Abbe, working primarily on morphological problems in the Corylaceae (Betulaceae), made a fresh start on the problem and, although he was obliged to cut short this special work, he had, before he finished, assembled striking evidence that the shrub or small tree, which in North America passes as the Eurasian A. incana, really differs from that species in very many important characters. Following up Abbe's unfinished studies, I undertook to conclude the quest and a decade or more ago wrote the introduction to the present paper.

Interrupted by more immediately pressing matters, I likewise failed to bring the study to completion. Now, after these repeated interruptions, I am again endeavoring to set the group in such order as I can establish in it. Fortunately but somewhat unhappily, I am faced by vastly more numerous, though more satisfactorily made, collections to deal with than Bartlett and I had before us 39 years ago, for wherever I have been, in Newfoundland, eastern Canada, New England, New York, Michigan or Virginia, my companions and I have had our eyes open for variations of the Alders. The present paper cannot, therefore, be called a hasty and off-hand study.

The name Alnus incana for the common Swamp Alder of the Labrador Peninsula, Newfoundland, eastern Canada and the more northeastern United States (plates 977-982) has been so thoroughly established, especially since Edward Tuckerman in 1843 so identified the northern shrub or small tree with leaves glaucous beneath, that to those who are more influenced by longestablished usages than by precision its abandonment might seem mere iconoclasm. At the beginning, however, the name belonged strictly to a Eurasian tree and, of course, it must be retained for that variable but morphologically definite species. True Alnus incana (L.) Moench (plate 976) was so named because of the hoary (incanous) pubescence which so generally characterizes it; ordinarily its leaves are permanently quite gray with soft and velvety pilosity, as are the young branchlets and the axes of the inflorescences. The terminal lobes (figs. 5 and 6) of the bracts of the pistillate and fruiting aments (FIGS. 4 and 5) are depressed and slightly recurving or sometimes almost suppressed. This species is found in North America only in cultivation or where introduced from Europe, as formerly on the sandhills near Provincetown, at the tip of Cape Cod, where it was originally planted and was abundant as late as 1919 (Fernald \& Long, nos. $18,354,18,355$ and 18,360 ) but where, by 1944 , none of it seems to have persisted. ${ }^{1}$

[^61]The eastern North American shrub or (rarely) small bushy so-called tree (plates 977-982) which erroneously passes as Alnus incana is not truly incanous. Its new branchlets and the axes of its inflorescences, are, with rare exceptions, glabrous or only very sparsely pilose and very gummy, having, when dry, a crackled or subverrucose surface. The oval or ovate to roundelliptical and usually coarsely undulate or doubly toothed leaves are less pubescent or glabrous beneath or, if strongly pilose, with usually rufescent pubescence. The pale cross-veins (between the strong parallel ribs) are, in mature foliage, coarse and prominent beneath (plates 977 , fig. 2, 978, fig. 2, and 979, fig. 4), freely confluent and forming a conspicuously scalariform and rugose pattern, the veins in European A. incana (plate 976, fig. 2) being very slender and comparatively delicate. In the American species the pistillate aments are usually more numerous than in the European species; and the outer lobes of the summit of each bract of the pistillate cone are suberect or arching and prolonged (plates 977, fig. 3, and 978, figs. 3 and 5). That the so-called A. incana of North America is really very different from true Eurasian A. incana is quite obvious; but for clarity of discussion this American shrub, which for more than a century has erroneously passed with us as Old World A. incana, may be temporarily designated Species no. 1.

All the characters above noted are such as can be seen in a good herbarium. Others of equal significance are not often there displayed. Eurasian Alnus incana is a large shrub or, more often, a considerable tree, up to 35 or even to 85 feet high and with single erect trunks up to 3 feet in diameter, the cortex lustrous and whitish-gray. "In . . . Europe . . . in the south . . . sometimes attaining a height of seventy feet; it is the common Alder of Siberia and southeastern Asia [this

[^62]sometimes separated],
a stately tree fifty or sixty feet in height, with a trunk often two or three feet in diameter"Sargent, Silva, ix. 69, in footnote (1896). "Strauch oder bis 10 (25) m hoher Baum. . . . Rinde glatt, glänzend weissgrau" -Hegi, Ill. Fl. Mitt.-Eu. iii. 89, with illustration of the arborescent habit as fig. 483. "Arbre à écorce lisse, d'un gris blanc"Rouy, Fl. France, xii. 261 (1910); "meist 6 bis etwa 23 m hoch, in der Tracht der A. glutinosa ähnlich, aber meist niedriger, mit ziemlich dichter Krone. Stamm glatt mit hellgrauer Rinde"-Ascherson \& Graebner, Synop. Mitteleur. Fl. iv. 423 (1911). Certainly the North American shrub or bushy "tree", which for a century or more has passed as A. incana (our Species no. 1), does not have sufficiently erect or solitary trunks to rank as a real tree; otherwise it would have been included among the trees in such compendious works as Sargent's Silva and his Manual of the Trees of North America and in Britton's North American Trees, in none of which is it included. If a tree, it should also be in Sudworth's Check List of the Forest Trees of the United States. Rightly enough, however, A. incana is mentioned by Sudworth only in a footnote as "a shrub", "as it occurs in northeastern North America and United States" (Sudworth, p. 80). In a footnote Sargent, Silva, 1. c., refers to it (as A. incana) in the following terms: "In North America, where it is the common Alder of swamps and river-banks in the northeastern parts of the continent, forming dense shrubby thickets rarely more than ten or twelve feet high"; while F. A. Michaux, describing it as his $A$. glauca and comparing it with $A$. serrulata, said "c'est-à-dire qu'on en trouve souvent des individus qui ont de 18 à 20 pieds . . . de hauteur, sur environ 3 pouces ( 12 centim.) de diamètre". And surely the cortex of our northern shrub is never whitish gray, the color so consistently stated by Eurasian botanists for their A. incana. The thin cortex of ours is a warm purpleblack, purple-brown or gray-brown, with conspicuous elongate white lenticels (plate 980, fig. 2). "L'écorce qui couvre le tronc, ainsi que les branches secondaires, est d'une teinte brune trèsfoncée" (Michx. f. in describing his A.glauca); "bark gray brown with lighter horizontal markings" (Mathews, Field Book Am. Trees and Shrubs, 126). "A shrub 8-20 feet high; the stem sometimes $3-4$ inches in diameter, with a smooth brown bark"-

Torrey, Fl. N. Y. ii. 202 (1843). In fact, so dark is the bark that, when the younger Michaux published his Alnus glauca, with "foliis subrotundò-ellipticis, duplicatò-serratis, subtùs glaucis", he gave our shrub of "les Etats du New-Hampshire, Massachusetts et de Vermont" which has the foliage so "vert pâle et comme bleuâtre, ce qui les fait reconnoître au premier abord", the English name "BLACK ALDER"; whereas in Europe A. incana is frequently called "White" or "Gray Alder". Furthermore, in Europe witches' brooms (Hexenbesen) are frequent on $A$. incana, sometimes as many as 100 on a single tree; our darkbarked northeastern shrub, Professor Faull informs me, has never been known to produce them; and Professor Arthur Stanley Pease tells me that his students in Latin, familiar with the shrubbiness of alders in eastern North America, always have a great laugh as they read passages (at least 11 of them) by the Latin poets, telling of ships built of alder! Surely no argument beyond the mere facts and the plates is needed to show that we have been far. astray in calling our northern Swamp Alder the same as the Eurasian A. incana!

The only other indigenous Swamp Alder of temperate North America, excluding the quite definite autumn-flowering Alnus maritima (Marsh.) Muhl., is the generally more southern shrub (plate 983-989) with the white lenticels of the bark much smaller than in Species no. 1 or often very obscure (plate 985, fig. 5); the leaves of a generalized obovate type, mostly subcuneately narrowed (but sometimes more rounded) to base, usually with regularly or subuniformly fine-serrulate margins, with cross-veins beneath (plates 984, fig. 4, 985, fig. 4, 987, fig. 4 and 988, fig. 3) more delicate and less conspicuous, the lower leaf-surfaces ful-vous-green to reddish, glabrous, glabrate or reddish-pubescent; the axis of the pistillate inflorescence (plates 983, figs. 3 and 4, 985 , fig. 3,986 , fig. 3,988 , fig. 4 , and 989 , fig. 4) commonly with right angles or strongly geniculate bends. The outer terminal lobes of the cone-bract (plate 986, fig. 4) are low and broadly rounded. This shrub, the northern limits of which interlock with the southern outposts of Species no. 1, long passed correctly as A. serrulata (Ait.) Willd.; but, especially since Karl Koch in 1872, Coulter in 1894 and Sargent's Silva (1896), it has recently been incorrectly passing as A. rugosa (Du Roi) Sprengel. Since the
latter name must be considered in connection with Species no. 1, it will make for clarity, until the application of the various names is investigated, to designate the more southern shrub as Species No. 2.

Almost from the start, at least beginning with Willdenow in 1796, the names rugosa and serrulata, whether under Betula or Alnus, were hopelessly confused. Regel at last got them clearly separated but, depending chiefly on variable leaf-outline and -pubescence, without noting the striking differences of bark and inflorescences, he maintained them both as variations of one species. With the two eastern American species defined as Species nos. 1 and 2 and clearly shown in the plates, we may proceed to examine the specific names published for them, somewhat in chronological order, that we may settle their correct application. In so doing I am omitting the several nomina nuda of Steudel and others, undefined names which by various authors have been placed in the vague synonymy of one or another of the properly defined ones.

The first of these two American species defined was Betula Alnus (rugosa) Du Roi, Obs. Bot. p. xxxii (1771). The original diagnosis and discussion of the shrub growing in the botanic garden of Harbke near Brunswick was as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5. BETULAALNUS } \begin{array}{l}
\text { (rugosa) foliis mucronatis a- } \\
\text { cute serratis, subtus venoso- } \\
\text { rugosis. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Germ. Nordamerikanische Eller.
Habitat in America septentrionali.
Species horti Harbeccensis foliis ovatis mucronatis, acutius serratis et angustioribus, quam in B. Alno incana, viridibus glabris, subtus venis albidis rugosis. Rami tenues, cortice nigricante. E semine misso culta arbor in horto nondum adhuc floruit.

This was followed by the fuller account in Du Roi's detailed Die Harbkesche wilde Baumzucht, i. 112 (1771):
3. BETULA Alnus (rugosa) foliis mucronatis acute serratis, subtus venoso-rugosis.
The American Alder.
Aune d'Amerique septentrionale.
Die Nordamerikanische Eller.
Sie unterscheidet sich deutlich von den beiden vorigen, und ist hier aus Saamen gezogen, welcher aus Nordamerika geschickt worden ist.

Die Blätter erscheinen schmaler als bei den vorhergehenden, und in den mehresten an vier Zoll Länge und zwei Zoll Breite. Sie sind oval zugespizt, am Rande scharf und fein gezahnt, auf der oberen Fläche hell grün und glatt, und auf der unteren ebenfals hellgrün. Auf der lezteren lauft der Länge nach eine weissgrüne erhabene Ader hin, welche in schrägen Linien nach dem Rande aus etwas feinere Nebenäste Paarwise gegen einander über ausschicket, und aus diesen lezteren kleinen Adern gehet ein Gewebe noch kleinerer Adern heraus, die das Blatt etwas runzlicht bilden.

Die äussere Rinde ist dunkelgrau an alten Zweigen, an iungen aber grün.
Ehrhart, improving on the trinomial nomenclature of Du Roi, redescribed the shrub growing in the Harbke Garden as Betula rugosa (Du Roi) Ehrh. Beitr. iii. 21 (1788).
6. DieHaseleller.

Betula rugosa.
Betula gemmis elevatis, obtusis; foliis ovatis, acutis, repando-angulatis, serratis, nudis, superne glabris, subtus venoso-rugosis; racemis subtristrobilis, aphyllis.
Ihr Vaterland ist Nordamerika.
Die Plantage zu Herrnhausen, die Gärten zu Harbke, Destedt und mehrere haben sie.
Betula Alnus rugosa. Duroi baumz, v. i, p. 112.
Sprengel, too, in transferring the species to Alnus, in Syst. iii. 848 (1826), was equally clear:

> rugosa* 8. A $[$ lnus $]$ foliis basi rotundata ovato-oblongis acutis duplicato-denticulatis subtus rugulosis, axillis venarum villosis. Amer. bor.
but Sprengel made the serious mistake of suggesting identity with the Peruvian A. acuminata HBK.

From the original accounts of Du Roi, Ehrhart and Sprengel, then, it is clear that Alnus rugosa rests upon material cultivated in Germany and having dark or blackish bark, leaves ovate or oval, acutish, rounded at base, doubly toothed, green and glabrous or glabrescent beneath, a leaf which so resembles that of Corylus as to suggest to Ehrhart the name "Haseleller" (Hazel-Alder). These descriptions are so vivid for the common extreme of the shrub of northeastern America which has erroneously passed as the European A. incana, var. hypochlora Call. ${ }^{1}$, that it is doubly reassuring to see a photograph (our plate 979, fig. 1) of a speci-

[^63]men distributed by Ehrhart as his Betula rugosa and coming from the Harbke Garden. The photograph, for the use of which I am indebted to Professor Alfred Rehder and the Arnold Arboretum, was taken by Professor Rehder at the Botanical Museum at Berlin-Dahlem; and, since the destruction of that invaluable herbarium, it is a most fortunate photograph to have. The foliage shown is young first-year leaves and is closely matched by the leaves on young and vigorous sprouts of our greener-leaved so-called "A. incana, var. hypochlora". Surely no one, familiar with the obovate and usually cuneate-based leaf of $A$. serrulata, would think of matching the latter with the authentic foliage from the Harbke Garden. Neither would they call our A. serrulata "Hazel-Alder". That name is wholly appropriate for our shrub (Species no. 1) which has been passing as A. incana. A characteristic leaf was shown by Regel in his Monographia Betulacearum in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 165, t. xi. fig. 8 -repr. as Mon. Bet. 107 (1861)—of the shrub "in den Gärten Europas" and which Regel, with remarkable conservatism, called A. glutinosa, lusus rugosa! Regel in 1861 stated that the shrub was widely grown in the botanical gardens of Europe and he identified with it the A. hybrida of Alexander Braun in Reichenb. Ic. Fl. Germ. xii. 3, t. 630, fig. 1292 (1850), which had been found wild in various parts of Germany and in Bohemia. Such a shrub, from a wild habitat in Wittenberg, was distributed in Baenitz. Herb. Dendrol. no. 1214, as A. rugosa. This material, unlike the Ehrhart specimen, shows mature fruiting branches with the characteristic cones and the typical foliage of fruiting branches of our greener-leaved "A. incana". It is shown in our plate 977. Native American specimens, almost like it in every respect, are shown in plate 978.

Confusing as it may temporarily prove, there seems to be no escape from taking up for the North American shrub which passes as Alnus incana, our Species no. 1, its earliest name, A. rugosa (DuRoi) Spreng.

Chronologically, the names of Humphrey Marshall, Arb. Am. 20 (1785), have to be noted. The first, "Betula-Alnus glauca. Silver-leaved Alder" of "low marshy ground", had no diagnosis whatever but from its names may be inferred as being the common northern variety of $A$. rugosa, which reaches northeastern

Pennsylvania, a species which had already been described by DuRoi (1771) and which in 1813 F. A. Michaux properly described and illustrated, with no reference to Marshall, as A. glauca. Marshall's second species, "Betula-Alnus maritima, Sea-side-Alder", was sufficiently defined as to give an unquestioned basis for A. maritima (Marsh.) Nutt., a clear-cut autumnflowering species which we are not here discussing. His third had no good description, merely very brief and inconclusive comments. though geographically it was obviously intended for A. serrulata (Ait.) Willd., our Species no. 2. This was

> Betula-Alnus rubra. Common Alder.
> This grows very common in most parts of Pennsylvania.
> The leaves are broader than the other kinds and rough or wrinkled.
> This flowers in the spring, and perfects its seeds in the fall.

From its abundance in Pennsylvania Marshall's species, as said, should be some form of Alnus serrulata. The leaves "broader than the other kinds and rough or wrinkled" is inconclusive but there are plenty of broad-leaved variations of $A$. serrulata. Tuckerman interpreted it as unmistakable $A$. serrulata and described A. rubra (Marsh.) Tuckerm. in Am. Journ. Sci. xlv. 32 (1843), with leaves obovate and with Betula serrulata Ait. and A. serrulata (Ait.) Willd. as synonyms, Tuckerman giving the naïvely nationalistic explanation:

The name of our own botanist should have the priority: his description, though short [he might have said inconclusive], notices the most striking features of the species, and cannot be mistaken. The A. rubra of Bongard [1833], is many years later [than Betula-Alnus rubra]. Add to this, that Marshall's name is far more expressive and apt than that of Aiton [1789].

Nevertheless, Alnus rubra Bongard (1833), the Pacific North American species, has right of way and under present-day rules no other species can validly bear the same name, even though its name-bringing typonym was earlier. A. rubra (Marsh.) Tuckerm. (1843) is fortunately, in view of its vague origin, a later homonym.

The next name, chronologically, was Betula serrulata Aiton, Hort. Kew. iii. 338 (1789). Aiton's diagnosis was brief but its characterization of the leaf definite:

> serrulata. 11. B. pedunculis ramosis, foliis obovatis acutis; venis et axillis venarum subtus villosis, stipulis ovalibus obtusis. Notch'd-leaved Alder Tree. Nat. of Pensylvania. Cult. 1769, by Peter Collinson, Esq.

That Betula serrulata was our Species no. 2 (especially as shown in plate 983) is clear from the obovate, acute leaves; but, with wholly vague conceptions of our two species, European authors promptly produced confusion of names, like most botanists who study names to the exclusion of the plants! Thus, Willdenow, in his Berlinische Baumzucht, 45 (1796), took up Betula serrulata with Aiton's original diagnosis of 1789 and placed unquestioningly in its synonymy $B$. rugosa Ehrh. (1788), which went back to Du Roi's original publication of 1771 . And later, when he made the combination Alnus serrulata (Ait.) Willd. Sp. Pl. iv ${ }^{1}$. 336 (1805), Willdenow merged with this species, correctly described "foliis obovatis", the above discussed Betula rugosa "foliis ovatis . . . repando angulatis". Further augmented by the failure of André Michaux (1803) definitely to distinguish our two species, the mixing of the two, started by Willdenow in 1796, became general and, consequently, has resulted in the recent erroneous and highly uncritical application of the name A. rugosa to the abundantly different and usually more southern $A$. serrulata. Michaux's confusion of the two may be stated as follows: in his Flora Boreali-Americana, ii. 181 (1803) he described Betula rugosa (American "incana") as B. serrulata "foliis lato-ovalibus" and then added the

> Obs. Folia saepe obovalia, interdum subglanduloso-repanda, basi semper acuta,

the observation referring to the relatively southern $A$. serrulata. Michaux gave the range from "Pensylvania ad Carolinam", the specimen in his Herbarium at Paris, which I examined in 1903, being of the southern species. Somewhat surprisingly, André Michaux, who had explored eastern Canada as far north as Rupert River and west to Lake Ontario and who knew northern New England, gave no intimation in his Flora that there is any Alder of this group north of his "Pensylvania ad Carolinam". Having collected $A$. serrulata in that area, he possibly did not further feel any special interest in the group; at any rate,
the only Alder he noted from Canada in his Flora was Betula crispa Ait. More probably, however, northern material was lost before the writing of the Flora Boreali-Americana. It is fairly clear that Michaux recognized the northern species as distinct from the southern, for in his Journal-Journal of André Michaux. 1787-1796. with an Introduction and Notes, by Charles Sprague Sargent, Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. xxvi. no. 129 (1888)-he noted, among the plants seen on his trip up the Saguenay and across to Lake Mistassini, "Alnus glauca stipulis lanceolatis" (Sargent, 1. c. 75 , under "Le 15 " of August). To be sure, Sargent (1. c.) identified Michaux's Alnus glauca as Betula pumila, but Michaux knew the difference, for on the 19th of August on "la riv. ditte Mistassin", he specially noted Betula pumila. ${ }^{1}$
F. A. Michaux, the son, carried the confusion still further, describing A. serrulata "foliis duplicatò-serratis, ovalibus, acutis" ${ }^{2}$, stating that it is found in the Northern, Central and Southern States ("on la trouve aussi bien dans les États du Nord que dans ceux de Centre, du Sud et de l'Ouest"), and illustrating the round-based doubly serrate leaf of typical A. rugosa, already discussed. With such inauspicious beginnings, it is little to be wondered at that the correct applications of the names $A$. rugosa and $A$. serrulata have been hopelessly confused by those who have relied more upon "the books" than upon the morphological characters of the plants.

The next specific name to consider is Alnus glauca. Although the undefined name "Betula-Alnus glauca" had been used by Marshall in 1785, that publication was not cited by F. A. Michaux when he described and illustrated his own Alnus glauca, Michx. f. Hist. Arb. Forest. Am. Sept. iii. 322, t. 4, fig. 2 (1813). The diagnosis and figure are unequivocal, the former being

[^64]> ALnUS glauca, foliis subrotundò-ellipticis, duplicato-serratis, subtus glaucis,
the species said to be unknown in the South, very rare in the Middle States but abundant in New Hampshire, Vermont and Massachusetts ("Cette espèce d'Aulne qui ne se trouve pas dans les États du Sud, qui est assez rare dans ceux du Milieu, est, au contraire, plus multipliée dans les États du New-Hampshire, Massachusetts et de Vermont"). The description, figure and abundance in northern New England clearly indicate the common shrub of the North with gray or glaucous lower leaf-surfaces (plates 980 and 981), which erroneously and almost universally passes as the Old World A. incana, the only possible excuse for such an interpretation being the glaucous lower surface of the leaves. This familiar shrub is, of course, one of the extreme and usually most northern variations of $A$. rugosa.

In 1894, the late Dr. Britton collected on Staten Island fruiting material from a "large alder in swampy woods, . . . ; these were at the time referred to Alnus incana, though with doubt, inasmuch as the height of the tree seemed much too great for that species, and the large, strongly pointed leaves seemed also to be different from those of any specimens of incana that I had seen. The woods in which this tree grew were cut away soon after my collection was made, and, though a search was made in the vicinity for other plants, I was never able to find another specimen".-Britton in Torreya, iv. 124 (1904). Since, however, the late Eugene P. Bicknell subsequently found somewhat similar shrubs on Long Island, the Staten Island specimen was made the type of Alnus noveboracensis Britton in Torreya, l. c. (1904) our plate 995. It was more fully described and illustrated in Britton, N. Am. Trees, 264, fig. 224 (1908), but in Britton \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2, i. 613 (1913) it was noted after "A. rugosa", i. e. A. serrulata, with the justifiable comment: "It may be a race of this species". The latter disposition of it seems about right; it is an occasional and rather marked extreme in the broad range of A. serrulata, from Maine to Georgia, Tennessee and Louisiana. Unfortunately the type from Staten Island, which I have before me through the courtesy of Dr. Gleason, had been badly pressed, poorly mounted and seriously broken. It is, therefore, not a very good subject for illustration, but in plate 985 Dr. Schubert has cannily covered the most broken parts.

Numerous varietal names must be considered but, since they do not disturb the specific epithets which we must apply to our two native species, their discussion will be deferred until the varieties of the two species are defined.

As I understand our spring-flowering native Alders of this group they fall into the two species following.


1. A. Rugosa (Du Roi) Spreng. Syst. iii. 848 (1826).-The following varieties and forms are recognized.
a. Leaves green or fulvous, not glaucous, beneath

Lower surfaces of leaves glabrous or promptly glabrate, only the principal veins or their axils sometimes permanently pilose.

Var. typica.
Lower surfaces of leaves permanently soft-pilose or subvelutinous (to touch)................................. Erma Emersoniana.
a. Leaves glaucous or whitened beneath. . . .b.
b. Lower surfaces of leaves glabrous or promptly glabrate. Leaves ovate or oval to rounded-elliptic, with low toothing. . . . . .................................... Var. americana. Leaves narrowly elliptic to ovate-lanceolate, lacerate or jagged-toothed. . .. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Forma tomophylla.
b. Lower surfaces of leaves densely soft-pilose or subvelutinous (to touch)

Forma hypomalaca.
A. rugosa, var. typica H. Winkl. in Engler, Pflanzenr. iv ${ }^{61}$. 119 (1904), as to name of the type. Betula Alnus (rugosa) Du Roi, Obs. Bot. 31 (1771) and IIarkb. Wilde Baumz. i. 112 (1771). Betula rugosa (Du Roi) Ehrh. Beitr. iii. 21 (1788). A. serrulata sensu Michx. f. Hist. Arb. Forest. Am. Sept. iii. 320, fig. 1 (1813), not Willd. (1805). A. rugosa (Du Roi) Spreng. Syst.
iii. 848 (1826); Callier in Mitteil. Deutsche Dendr. Gesellsch. 1918: 114 (1918), in small part only (a bad mixture). A. latifolia Desf. Cat. Pl. Hort. Par. ed. 3: 352 (1829), nomen nudum, cited in synonymy of the next by Spach (1841). A. hybrida A. Br. ex Reichenb. Ic. Fl. Germ. xii. 3, t. 630, fig. 1292 (1850). $A$. serrulata, 3. macrophylla Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 2, xv. 206 (1841). A. macrophylla Desf. ex Spach, l. c. in synonymy (1841). A. autumnalis Hartig. Naturgesch. Forste, Kulturpfl. 337 (1850). A. glutinosa, ס. serrulata, lusus c. rugosa (Du Roi) Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 165, t. xi. figs. 8-10-repr. as Mon. Bet. 107 (1861). A. serrulata ß. rugosa (Du Roi) Regel in DC. Prodr. xvi². 188 (1868). A. glutinosa, var. autumnalis (Hartig) Ktze. Rev. Gen. ii. 638 (1891). A. incana, var. hypochlora sensu Fernald in Rhodora, xxiii. 257 (Feb. 27, 1922), not Callier (1918).-Low grounds, western Nova Scotia to northern Michigan, south to southern New England, locally to northern and eastern Pennsylvania and northern Indiana. The following, mostly distributed as A. incana and selected from more than 300 specimens before me, are characteristic. Nova Scotia: Cedar L., Digby Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 23,781 (as A. incana, var. hypochlora); Eel L., Yarmouth Co., F. \& L., no. 23,782 (as A. incana, var. hypochlora). Maine: Milford, Penobscot Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,474 (as A. incana, var. hypochlora); Rowe P., Pleasant Ridge, Somerset Co., Sept. 10, 1909, J. F. Collins; New Sharon, Franklin Co., July 23, 1904, Knowlton (as A. serrulata); Whitney P., Oxford, Oxford Co., July 12, 1914, Weatherby; Mud P., Greenwood, Oxf. Co., June 12, 1931, Bill, Eaton, Fernald, Griscom \& Hunnewell; Washington, Knox Co., J. G. Jack, no. 3398 (as A. incana, var. hypochlora); Isle au Haut, Knox Co., Aug. 11, 1918, Kidder (as A. incana, var. hypochlora), A. F. Hill, no. 1652; Waterville, Kennebec Co., July 5, 1904, Knowlton; Livermore, Androscoggin Co., 1879, Kate Furbish; Baldwin, Cumberland Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,476; Limington, York Co., F.\& L., no. 13,475; Cape Neddick, York Co., J. G. Jack, nos. 3388 and 3392. New Hampshire: Lebanon, Grafton Co., June 12, 1920, Fernald, Hunnewell and R. W. Blanchard; Hookset, Merrimac Co., Aug. 2, 1925, C. F. Batchelder; Durham, Strafford Co., Sept. 7, 1918, Knowlton: Derry, Rockingham Co., Aug. 25, 1917, C. F. Batchelder; Nashua, Hillsborough Co., Robinson, no. 800; Jaffrey, Cheshire Co., Robinson, no. 156. Vermont: Essex Junction, Chittenden Co., S. F. Blake, no. 2218; Middlebury, Addison Co., Sept. 25, 1880, Brainerd (as A. serrulata); West Rutland, Rutland Co., Eggleston, no. 3211; Townshend, Windham Co., June 2, 1912, L. A. Wheeler; Manchester, Bennington Co., M. A. Day, no. 163. Massachusetts: Emerson Point, Rockport, Essex Co., L. B. Smith \& R. G. Gates, no. 1009; West Manchester, Essex Co., June 7, 1913, F. T.

Hubbard; Plum Island, Essex Co., White \& St. John, nos. 528 and 543; Winchester, Middlesex Co., Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 7; West Cambridge, Mid. Co., F. \& B., no. 2; Concord, Mid. Co., July, 1857, E. S. Hoar; Boxboro, Mid. Co., Hubbard \& Torrey, no. 477; West Roxbury, Suffolk Co., April 9 and September 11, 1906, F. F. Forbes (as hybrid of A. incana and A. rugosa); Dorchester, Suf. Co., Sept. 23, 1919, Kidder, Brookline, Norfolk Co., March 19, Sept. 9 and Nov. 24, 1902, F. F. Forbes; Dedham, Norfolk Co., Sept. 8, 1895, E. F. Williams; Hanson, Plymouth Co., Knobloch, Smith \& Stebbins, no. 2562; North Tisbury, Martha's Vineyard, Dukes Co., Oct. 3, 1912, Bicknell; Copaum P., Nantucket, Nant. Co., June 8, 1908, Bicknell; Hardwick, Worcester Co., Aug. 9, 1935, C. F. Batchelder; Sutton, Worc. Co., Anderson, Smith \& Weatherby, no. 2446; Montague, Franklin Co., May 11, 1912, Wheeler \& Wiegand; Chicopee, Hampden Co., Murdoch \& Torrey, no. T 435; Smith's Ferry, Northampton, Hampden Co., Aug. 10, 1912, F. F. Forbes; Proven Mt., Agawam, Hampden Co., May 18, 1913, Knowlton \& White; North Adams, Berkshire Co., May 14, 1915, Knowlton; Lenox, Berks. Co., Aug. 24, 1902, Hoffmann; Centre P., Becket, Berks. Co., Sept. 22, 1904, Hoffmann; Mount Washington, Berks. Co., Sept. 10, 1915, Floyd. Rhode Island: Cumberland, Providence Co., May 30, 1911, Knowlton; East Providence, Prov. Co., J. F. Collins, no. 15,010. Connecticut: Middlebury, New Haven Co., April 25 and July 16, 1897, Shepardson; Oxford, N. H. Co., April 12, 1888 and Sept. 17, 1897, Harger. New York: Black Lake, St. Lawrence Co., Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,251; Canton, St. L. Co., Phelps, no. 373; Sandy Creek Township, Oswego Co., Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, nos. 14,249 and 14,250; Spruce P., Black Lake Forest, Orange Co., Raup, no. 7589; Taughannock Ravine, Ulysses, Tompkins Co., Eames \& Wiegand, no. 11,930. Pennsylvania: Kenney's P., e. of West Auburn, Susquehanna Co., Wahl, no. 489; 7 miles s. of Moscow, Lackawanna Co., Randolph \& Randolph, no. 57; Martic Forge, Lancaster Co., Aug. 16, 1914, J. F. Collins; Crawford Co., Dickey, no. 23. Michigan: Isle Royale, Keweenaw Co., Cooper, no. 7; Keweenaw Co. (without stated localities), Oct., 1904, Faruell (some as A. incana, var. americana, some as var. glauca); north of St. Ignace, Mackinac (co., Benner, no. 6715 . Indiana: south of Tamarack, Porter Co., Deam, no. 8064.-Spread from cultivation in Europe. Plates 977-979.

Var. typica, forma Emersoniana, f. nov. (tab. 979, fig. 4), foliis subtus piloso-tomentulosis, pilis plus minusve rufescentibus. - A. incana sensu Emerson, Trees and Shrubs in Mass. i. 251, with plate (1875), not (L.) Moench.-Differing from typical A. rugosa in having a permanently and usually densely pilosetomentulose lower surface, the pubescence mostly ferruginous.

Of essentially the same range but forming individual and constant large colonies. The following, selected from thrice as many sheets, are characteristic. Nova Scotia (all distrib. as A. incana, var. hypochlora): Lahave R., Bridgewater, Lunenburg Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 23,779; Wallace Lake, Italy Cross, Lun. Co., F. \& E., no. 23,780; Sloane L., Pleasant Valley, Yarmouth Co., Fernald, Bissell, Graves, Long \& Linder, no. 21,015. Mane: Fairfield, Somerset Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,472; Pembroke, Washington Co., Fernald, no. 1700; Burnham, Waldo Co., July 24, 1940, Knowlton; Nequasset L., Woolwich, Sagadahoc Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,477; Cape Elizabeth, Cumberland Co., Chamberlain, no. 682; Limington, York Co., Fernald \& Long, nos. $13,475,13,479$ and 13,480; Alfred, York Co., F. \& L., no. 13,478; Wells, York Co., F. \& L., no. 13,467; York Harbor, York Co., Aug., 1892, Bicknell (with unpublished new specific name). New Hampshire: Haverhill, Grafton Co., Fernald, no. 15,525; Mason, Hillsborough Co., Aug. 20, 1917, C. F. Batchelder; Dover, Strafford Co., Hodgdon, no. 2567; Hampton Falls, Rockingham Co., Sept. 10, 1916, C. F. Batchelder; Derry, Rock. Co., Aug. 15, 1926, Batchelder; Hinsdale, Cheshire Co., Aug. 23, 1919, Batchelder. Vermont: Milton, Chittenden Co., July 25, 1927, Knowlton; Hartford, Windsor Co., June 12, 1920, Eaton \& St. John; Wallingford, Rutland Co., May 30, 1907, Kennedy. Massachusetts: Lynnfield, Essex Co., Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 786; Round Pond, Tewksbury, Middlesex Co., April 14 and Oct. 14, 1906, M. L. Fernald \& H. H. Bartlett, nos. 14 (type in Herb. Gray.) and 18; Fresh Pond, Cambridge, Mid. Co., 1842 or 43 , Asa Gray (sheet sent to and identified by Regel as "Alnus serrulata Willd., ß. rugosa"); West Cambridge, Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 4; West Roxbury, Suffolk Co., March 25, April 5 and May 28, 1904, F. F. Forbes; Brookline, Norfolk Co., Oct. 11, 1914, F. F. Forbes; Milton, Norf. Co., March 26 and May 26, 1921, Kidder; Hanson, Plymouth Co., Aug. 30, 1941, Knowlton; Gunning P., Falmouth, Barnstable Co., Fernald, no. 578; Dennis P., Yarmouth, Sept. 19, 1913, Fernald \& Long, as A. noveboracensis; Lambert Cove, Martha's Vineyard, Dukes Co., Bicknell, no. 3432; Great P., Martha's Vineyard, Bicknell, no. 3143 (as A. noveboracensis); Nantucket, Bicknell, no. 3438; Leominster, Worcester Co., Fernald \& Bean, no. 14,017; Princeton, Wor. Co., July 22, 1913, Weatherby; Barre, Wor. Co., May 14, 1915, IIunnewell, Macbride \& Torrey; Northfield, Franklin Co., May 11, 1912, Fernald \& Floyd; Longmeadow, Hampden Co., May 18, 1913, Hill \& St. John; Chester, Hampd. Co., May 17, 1913, Weatherby \& Bean; Worthington, Hampshire Co., B. L. Robinson, no. 812; Adams, Berkshire Co., Knowlton \& Bean, no. 15,107. Rhode Island: Newport, N. Co., Mearns, no. 603. Connecticut: Woodstock, Windhąm Co., July 31, 1919, Weatherby;

Pomfret, Wind. Co., July 4, 1901, Driggs; Franklin, New London Co., Aug. 27 and Nov. 21, 1912, Woodward; Sprague, N. L. Co., Sept. 3, 1913, Woodward; Tyler P., Goshen, Litchfield Co., Weatherby, no. 3350. New York: Bear P., French Mt., Warren Co., June 9, 1920, Burnham; Long L., Hamilton Co., House, no. 10,172; Mud Pond, Oswego, O. Co., Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,246. Michigan: Douglas L., Cheboygan Co., Ehlers, no. 534. Indiana: Tremont, Porter Co., Sept. 9, 1920, D. C. Peattie.

The extreme with soft-pubescent lower leaf-surfaces, Alnus rugosa, forma Emersoniana, is named for that remarkably accurate and unexcelled student of Massachusetts trees and shrubs, George Barrell Emerson (1797-1881), author of the scholarly Report on the Trees and Shrubs in Massachusetts (2 vols., 1875), a famous and greatly honored educator, an intimate of Jacob Bigelow, adviser of Horace Mann, and one of the three trustees of the Arnold bequest which, as a result of his guidance, became the initial fund of the Arnold Arboretum. Emerson clearly understood and first discriminatingly stated the strong specific differences which separate the northern Alnus rugosa and the southern $A$. serrulata. These he accurately illustrated but, like every one of his period and up to the present, he did not get away from the conviction that our dark-barked shrub is identical with the whitish-barked tree of Europe. Although in Trees and Shrubs in Mass. i. 248 he definitely wrote "White Alder of Europe is a very beautiful tree, sometimes rising to the height of seventy feet", on p. 251 he began his very accurate account of "The Speckled Alder. A. incana, Willdenow", "easily distinguished by the brilliant, polished, reddish green color of its stem-bark", "speckled with conspicuous light gray dots", "The stem is usually eight or ten feet high and from one to three inches in diameter".

Emerson distinguished three variations of the Speckled Alder: (1) what he considered typical, with "leaves . . . broad oval, rounded or somewhat cordate at base . . . , doubly serrate or denticulate-serrate . . . smooth and conspicuously impressed at the veins and veinlets above; of a soft coriaceous texture; covered with abundant, soft, often ferruginous pubescence beneath, with the veins and veinlets strikingly prominent" (A. rugosa, forma Emersoniana) ; (2) A. glauca Michx.:
"A striking and very beautiful variety of the speckled alder, called the glaucous alder by the younger Michaux, is distinguished by the pale blue or glaucous color of the lower surface of the leaves. The pubescence is less abundant, but the veins and footstalk are often, as in the common form [i. e. A. rugosa, forma Emersoniana] of the tree, of a rusty color"; and (3) a series which Emerson considered "intermediate between the common [A. serrulata] and the glaucous alder . . . It differs from the common alder in its leaves being always acute and never obovate, and from the speckled, in having its leaves shining and free from down . . . The general aspect of this alder is similar to that of the speckled alder, differing in the greenness of the under surface of the leaves". Emerson's third variety was, apparently, a mixture of typical $A$. rugosa and the extreme of $A$. serrulata with subelliptic and round-based leaves.

Var. americana (Regel), comb. nov. A. incana, 3. americana Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 155-repr. as Mon. Bet. 97 (1861) ; H. Winkl. in Engler, Pflanzenr. iv ${ }^{61}$. 123 (1904). A. glauca Michx. f. Hist. Arb. Forest. Am. Sept. iii. 320, t. 4, fig. 2 (1813). A. incana, var. glauca (Michx. f.) Loudon, Arbor. Brit. iii. 1688 (1838) pro parte, excl. citation of Ait.; Gray, Man. ed. 2: 412 (1856); Callier in Mitteil. Deutsche Dendr. Gesellsch. 1918: 143 (1918) ${ }^{1}$, not Ait. Hort. Kew. ed. 2, v. 259 (1813), $A$. incana, $\alpha$. vulgaris Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 2, xi. 206 (1841) in small part only (A. glauca Michx. fil), excluding the synonyms "Alnus incana auctor", "Alnus undulata Pursh" (Pursh correctly giving $A$. undulata Willd. as a synonym of $A$. crispa Ait.) and "Foliis . . obovatis". A. incana sensu Tuckerm. in Am. Journ. Sci. xlv. 32 (1843) and most later Am. auth., not (L.) Moench. A. americana (Regel) Hort. ex K. Koch, Dendrol. ii ${ }^{1}$. 636 (1872).-Generally more northern in range, Labrador to Hudson Bay region and Saskatchewan, south to Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, uplands of Pennsylvania, Maryland and West Virginia, northern Ohio, northern Indiana, Wisconsin and northeastern Iowa. The following, selected from nearly 200 sheets before me, are characteristic. Labrador: Paradise R., Sandwich Bay (lat. $53^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$, long. $57^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ ), Harlow Bishop, no. 275. Newfoundland: Clarenville, July 30, 1938, Agnes M. Ayre; Quarry, Fernald \&

[^65]Wiegand, no. 5302; Grand Falls, F. \& W., no. 5301; near mouth of Badger Brook, Robinson \& Schrenk, no. 35; Little Red Indian Lake, $F$. \& W., no. 5300; Goose P., F. \& W., no. 3276; Winterhouse Brook, Bonne Bay, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1647; Summerside, Bay of Islands, F. \& W., no. 3277; Table Mt, Port-à-Port Bay, Fernald \& St. John, no. 10,828. Quebec: Natashquan R., Saguenay Co., July, Aug., 1912, C. W. Townsend; Piashtibaie, Sag. Co., St. John, no. 90,395; Seven Islands, Sag. Co., C. B. Robinson, no. 900; Douglastown, Gaspé Co., Aug. 22, 1904, Collins, Fernald \& Pease; R. Ste. Anne des Monts, Gaspé Co., Fernald \& Collins, no. 217; Bonaventure R., Bonav. Co., Aug. 4-8, 1904, C. F. \& P.; Matane, M. Co., Aug. 5, 1904, F. F. Forbes; Bic, Rimouski Co., Rousseau, no. 21,457; Cap-àl'Aigle, Charlevoix Co., J. Macoun, no. 68,768; Lac Tremblant, Terrebonne Co., July 23, 1922, Churchill; Black Lake, Megantic Co., Fernald \& Jackson, no. 12,076; Georgeville, Stanstead Co., Aug. 22, 1914, Churchill; East Main, E. Coast of James Bay, D. Potter, no. 265; Rupert House, E. Coast, Potter, no. 260. Magdalen Islands: Brion Island, St. John, no. 1847; Ile de l'Étang-du-Nord, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 9418; Grindstone I., Fernald, Bartram, Long \& St. John, no. 7310. New Brunswick: Kent Co., 1870, Fowler. Nova Scotia: Pottle's L., North Sydney, Cape Breton Co., Bissell \& Linder, no. 21,020; Glenbard, near James River Sta., Antigonish Co., Perry, Wetmore, Hicks \& Prince, no. 10,256; Musquodoboit Harbor, Halifax Co., Rousseau, no. 35,263; Deception L., Shelburne Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 23,777; Clyde River, Shelb. Co., J. G. Jack, no. 3454; Butler's L., Gavelton, Yarmouth Co., Fernald, Long \& Linder, no. 21,021; Lake Annis, Yarm. Co., Bissell, Pease \& Linder, no. 21,017; Journeay L., Weymouth, Digby Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 23,778. Maine: Fort Kent, Aroostook Co., Fernald, no. 2446; Masardis, Aroost. Co., Fernald, no. 2447; Orono, Penobscot Co., May 30, 1904, Fernald; Foxcroft, Piscataquis Co., Aug. 31, 1897, Fernald; St. John P., Township iv, Range 17, Somerset Co., St. John \& Nichols, no. 2272; Dead River, Som. Co., Fernald \& Strong, no. 409, in part; Chesterville, Franklin Co., Aug. 28, 1904, E. B. Chamberlain; Calais, Washington Co., Aug. 24, 1928, Knowlton; Roque Bluff, Wash. Co., July 31, 1918, Knowlton; Northfield, Wash. Co., Aug. 2, 1941, Knoulton; Nicatous L., Twp. 3, Hancock Co., Fassett, no. 2378; Seal Harbor, Hanc. Co., July 8, 1889, Redfield; Brooklin, Hanc. Co., A. F. Hill, no. 1051; Isle au Haut, Knox Co., Aug. 26, 1927, Kidder; Monhegan I., Lincoln Co., Aug., 1911, Kate Furbish; 'Brunswick, Cumberland Co., Aug. 26, 1910, Kate Furbish; Baldwin, Cumb. Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,470; North Berwick, York Co., Aug. 31, 1894, Parlin. New Hampshire: White Mountains, Tuckerman, labeled, "Alnus incana, Willd. A. glauca, Michx. f. species unica", with reference
to Tuckerman's treatment in Am. Jour. Sci. xlv. 32 (1845), this sheet marked by Regel A. incana 3. glauca; Lake Umbagog, Cambridge, Coös Co., Pease, no. 18,150; Pittsburg, Coos Co., Pease, no. 10,297; summit of Cape Horn, Northumberland Co., Coös Co., Pease, no. 16,451; Jackson, Carroll Co., Aug. 1895, E. W. Hervey; Bow, Merrimack Co., Sept. 21, 1930, G. M. Bryant; Hillsborough, H. Co., Sept. 2, 1921, C. F. Batchelder; New Hampton, Belknap Co., Sept. 5, 1904, F. F. Forbes; Richmond, Cheshire Co., Aug. 21, 1919, C. F. Batchelder; Cheshire Co., Robinson, no. 156. Vermont: Brunswick Springs, Essex Co., S. N. F. Sanford, no. 1083; Willoughby, Orleans Co., July, 1898, Kennedy: Worcester, Washington Co., Aug. 25, 1875, Blanchard; Charlotte, Chittenden Co., April 15 and Sept. 29, 1879, Pringle; Hartland, Windsor Co., J. G. Underwood, no. 3116. Massachusetts: Lexington, Middlesex Co., March 23 and May 20, 1931, L. B. Smith; Buckland, Franklin Co., April 11 and Aug. 19, 1904, F. F. Forbes; Worthington, Hampshire Co., B. L. Robinson, no. 507; Pittsfield, Berkshire Co., Aug. 5, 1915, Churchill. New York: Norfolk, St. Lawrence Co., Phelps, nos. 1139-1141; Selkirk, Oswego Co., Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,245; Canadice, Ontario Co., C.C. Thomas, no. 3926; Penn Yan, Gates Co., Sartwell (Sartwell Herb., Hamilton College, presumable duplicate of the type of A. incana $\beta$. americana Regel); western New York, Asa Gray, identified by Regel as A. incana, var. glauca. Pennsylvania: Little Mud P., e. of Porter's L., Pike Co., Fogg, no. 10,767; Pocono Plateau, Monroe Co., July, Aug., 1904, Harshberger. Maryland: s. of Finzel, Garrett Co., Aug. 15, 1936, Wherry. West Virginia: at 2500 ft . alt., e. of Gormannia, Grant Co., Svenson, no. 4439. Ontario: Lake Rosseau, Muskoka Co., W. F. Wright, no. 140; Moose River, James Bay, Nipissing Distr., David Potter, nos. 262-264; Sand Point, Algoma Distr., Taylor et al. no. 842; Batchawana R., Alg. Distr., Taylor et al. no. 839; Nipigon, Thunder Bay Distr., Jennings \& Daily, no. 481. Michigan: Baraga, B. Co., Fernald \& Pease, no. 3081. Ohio: Hiram, Portage Co., R. J. Webb, no. 1377. Indiana: Chesterton, Porter Co., Aug. 12, 1925, Churchill. Wisconsin: Kewaunee, K. Co., Aug. 2, 1902, Schuette; Brodhead, Green Co., Fassett, no. 12,931; Dayton, Green Co., Fassett, no. 13,990; Brown Co., 1880, Schuette. Minnesota: Sect. Nw.-Sw. 35, T. 144, R. 36, Clearwater Co., M. L. Grant, no. 3368; Cass L., Cass Co., Pammel, no. 5; Centre City, Chisago Co., July, 1892, B. C. Taylor; Bembridge, Pammel, no. 892: Iowa: Postville, Allamakee Co., June, 1914, Schultz, Pammel \& Orr; Bluffton, Winneshiek Co., March 28 and Sept. 16, 1903, Shimek; New Hampton, Chickasaw Co., Pammel, no. 475. Plates 980 and 981.

Var. americana, forma tomophylla (Fernald), comb. nov. A. incana, var. glauca, f. tomophylla Fernald in Rhodora, xvi. 56 (1914). A. incana, var. tomophylla (erroneously attributed to Fernald) by Rehder, Man. Cult. Trees and Shrubs, 147 (1927).-Local. Newfoundland: Norris Arm, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5303 (type). Maine: Hartford, Oxford Co., Aug., 1892, Parlin. Plate 982, fig. 4.

Var. americana, forma hypomalaca, f. nov. (tab. 982, fig. $1-3$ ), foliis subtus molliter persistenterque piloso-tomentulosis, pilis cinereis.-Local, often abundant, through much of the area of var. americana. Quebec: Pointe du Lac, St. Maurice Co., Aug. 2, 1923, Chamberlain \& Knowlton. New Brunswick: Shediac Cape, Westmoreland Co., July 3, 1914, F. T. Hubbard; Seal Cove Brook, Grand Manan, Charlotte Co., July 24, 1941, C. A. \& Una F. Weatherby, no. 7015 (Type in Herb. Gray.). Prince Edward Island: St. Charles, Kings Co., Fernald \& St. John, no. 11,030. Nova Scotia: Central Port Mouton, Fernald, Bissell, Graves, Long \& Linder, no. 21,019; Meteghan, Digby Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 21,016; Middleton, Annapolis Co., Fernald, Pease \& Long, no. 21,018. Maine: Houlton, Aroostook Co., Aug. 26, 1897, Fernald; Patten, Penobscot Co., Aug. 23, 1897, Fernald; Milford, Fernald \& Long, nos. 13,468 and 13,469; Fryeburg, Oxford Co., C. E. Faxon; Cutler, Washington Co., July 1, 1902, Kennedy et al.; Pembroke, Wash. Co., Fernald, no. 1699; Dedham, Hancock Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,465; Deer Isle, Hanc. Co., A. F. Hill, no. 2096; Atlantic, Swans Island, Hanc. Co., Hill, no. 2281; Rockport, Knox Co., Rossbach, no. 1207; Nequasset L., Woolwich, Sagadahoc Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,477; Leeds, Androscoggin Co., July 23, 1913, Knowlton; Falmouth, Cumberland Co., Chamberlain \& Bissell, no. 389; Limington, York Co., Fernald \& Long, nos. 13,479 and 13,480; Alfred, York Co., F. \& L., no. 13,466; Kennebunkport, York Co., Aug. 1929, C. A. Cheever. New Hampshire: Mt. Washington, Coös Co., July 16, 1891, Kennedy: Randolph, Coös Co., Pease, no. 11,179; Shelburne, Coüs Co., Pease, no. 11,133; Jackson, Carroll Co., July 12, 1883, C. W. Jenks; Warren, Grafton Co., July 24, 1908, E. F. Williams; Merrimack, Hillsborough Co., Aug. 11, 1917, C. F. Batchelder; Rindge, Cheshire Co., May 30, 1912, F.F. Forbes. Vermont: Stowe, Lamoille Co., July 27, 1884, C. W. Swan. Massachusetts: Round Pond, Tewksbury, Middlesex Co., Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 15; Beaver Brook Reservation, Mid. Co., May 26, 1894, G. L. Chandler; Brookline, Norfolk Co., Sept. 25, 1905, F. F. Forbes; Needham, Norf. Co., T. O. Fuller; Springfield, Hampden Co., June 17, 1913, Luman Andrews; Cheshire, Berkshire Co., July, 1912, E. J. Winslow; Sheffield, Berks. Co., July 24, 1912, Hoffmann. New York: Axton, Franklin Co., July 10,

1899, Rowlee, Wiegand \& Hastings; Conklingville, Saratoga Co., Fogg, no. 15,161. Ontario: Kokoko Bay, Timagami Region, Edgar \& Dorothy M. Anderson, no. 26,045B; Stokes Bay, Bruce Peninsula, Krotkov, no. 8948. Indiana: Dune Park, Porter Co., Greenman, no. 2683.

Alnus rugosa, vars. typica and americana are not mere forms, the former with green to rufescent lower leaf-surfaces, the latter with them glaucous, gray or cinereous. The latter is decidedly more northern in lange. I am indebted to Professor Rehder and Dr. A. C. Smith for the use of a Sartwell sheet from PennYan, New York, lent by the Herbarium of Hamilton College. This is presumably part of the original collection upon which Regel founded his A. incana, $\beta$. americana. The approximately 400 sheets showing foliage in the Gray Herbarium and the herbarium of the New England Botanical Club, when tabulated, give the following proportions (in percentages).

Labrador Peninsula, var. typica 0, var. amer. $100 \%$; Newfoundland, var. typica 0 , var. amer. 100; Quebec (south of Lab. Pen.), var. typica 0, var. amer. 100; New Brunswick, var. typica 0, var. amer. 100; Nova Scotia, var. typica 43, var. amer. 57; Northern Maine (northern tier of counties), var. typica 59, var. amer. 41; Southern Maine, var. typica 80, var. amer. 20; Coüs Co., New Hampshire, var. typica 5 , var. amer. 95 ; rest of New Hampshire, var. typica 65 , var. amer. 35 ; Vermont, var. typica, 62 , var. amer. 38 ; Massachusetts, var. typica 89 , var. amer. 11; Rhode Island, var. typica 100, var. amer. 0; Connecticut, var. typica 100 , var. amer. 0 .

The variations which I treat as forms show no such geographic concentrations; they are scattered throughout the range of the variety under which they are placed.
2. A. serrulata (Ait.) Willd. Sp. Pl. iv ${ }^{1} .336$ (1805).-The following varieties and forms are recognized.
a. Principal leaves definitely obovate, cuneate, or subcuneate to subacute at base; those of vigorous 1st. year's shoots obtuse or acute; those of fertile branches of 2nd. year one third to two thirds as broad as long.
Lower surfaces of mature leaves glabrous or strongly glabrescent.

Var. vulgaris. Lower surfaces of mature leaves permanently and densely pilose-tomentulose, plush-like to touch..... Forma noveboracensis.
a. Principal leaves broadly elliptic-obovate to broadly oblongelliptic or subrotund (though broadest at or above the middle), gradually rounded at base; those of fertile branches of 2nd. year mostly three fifths to nine tenths as broad as long. . . b.
b. Lower surfaces of mature leaves glabrous or strongly glabrescent.

# Leaves gradually rounded to subacute (or more rarely acute) at apex, mostly 6-15 cm. long; staminate aments $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long <br> Var. subelliptica 

Leaves broadly retuse or emarginate at apex, mostly $2-5$ cm . long; staminate aments 2 cm . long. ......... Forma emarginata.
b. Lower surfaces of mature leaves permanently and densely
pilose-tomentulose, plush-like to touch.
Large shrub or small tree; principal leaves 6-12 cm. long; mature cones 1-2 cm. long; staminate aments $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.
.Forma mollescens.
Dwarf shrub $0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$. high; principal leaves $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; mature cones $6-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate aments 1.3 -1.8
cm. loug.

Forma nanella.
A. serrulata, var. vulgaris Spach in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 2, Bot. xv. 206 (1841), in part ("Foliis . . lanceolatoobovatis, v. obovatis, v. oblongo-obovatis, saepius obtusis v. vix acuminatis, basi cuneatis"). Betula-Alnus rubra Marsh. Arb. Am. 20 (1785), presumably. Betula serrulata Ait. Hort. Kew. iii. 338 (1789); Willd. Berlin. Baumzucht, 45 (1796), at least as to citation of Ait. A. serrulata (Ait.) Willd. Sp. Pl. iv ${ }^{1} .336$ (1805). A. rubra (Marsh.) Tuckerm. in Am. Journ. Sci. xlv. 32 (1843), not Bong. (1833). A. glutinosa, ס. serrulata (Ait.) Regel in Nouv. Mém. Soc. Nat. Mosc. xiii. 164 , t. xi. fig. 7 -repr. as Mon. Bet. 106, t. xi. fig. 7 (1861), in part, incl. basonym. A. glutinosa, $\delta$. serrulata, lusus a. genuina Regel, l. c. fig. 6 (1861). A. glutinosa, ס. serrulata, lusus b. obtusifolia Regel, 1. c. fig. 7 (1861). A. serrulata, $\alpha$. genuina Regel in DC. Prodr. xvi ${ }^{2} .188$ (1868), in part. A. serrulata, ס. obtusifolia Regel, 1. c. (1868). A. rugosa sensu K. Koch, Dendrol. ii. 635 (1872) ; sensu Coulter in Mem. Torr. Bot. Cl. v. 131 (1894); sensu Sargent, Silva, ix. 69 (1896) and subseq. auth.; not Spreng. (1825). A. rugosa, var. serrulata (Ait.) H. Winkler in Engler, Pflanzenr. iv ${ }^{611} .120$ (1904). A. rugosa, var. obtusifolia (Regel) H. Winkler, I. c. (1904). A. serrulata pumila Dameker in Mitt. Deutsch. Dendr. Ges. 1909: 326 (1909).Northern Florida to Louisiana, north to southwestern Nova Scotia, central and southern Maine, southern New Hampshire, central Vermont, New York, West Virginia, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Missouri and southeastern Oklahoma. The following, selected from many hundreds of sheets, are characteristic. Nova Scotia: Ponhook L., Queen's Co., Weatherby, no. 6955: Cameron L., South Brookfield, Queen's Co., C. A. \& Una F. Weatherby, no. 7059. Maine: North P., Norway, Oxford Co., Pease, no. 24,100; near Jordan P., Mt. Desert I., Hancock Co., Stebbins, no. 235; Bristol, Lincoln Co., E. B. Chamberlain, no. 716; South Poland, Androscoggin Co., 1893, Kate Furbish; Wilson's Mill, Cumberland, C. Co., Chamberlain, Morris \& Ricker, no. 852; Limington, York Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,481; Cape Neddick, York Co., J. G. Jack, no. 3394. New Hampshire: Wild Goose P., Strafford, S. Co., Hodgdon \& Cham-
berlain, no. 2886; Nottingham, Rockingham Co., A. A. Eaton, no. 435; Danville, Rock. Co., Pease, no. 28,210; Manchester, Hillsborough Co., Oct. 2, 1896, F. W. Batchelder; Walpole, Cheshire Co., Fernald, no. 505; Sandy P., Richmond, Chesh. Co., Sept. 3, 1916, C. F. Batchelder. Vermont: L. St. Catherine, Wells, Rutland Co., Dodge \& Fassett, no. 822. Massachusetts: Andover, Essex Co., Pease, no. 3432; Ashby, Middlesex Co., May 30, 1914, Knowlton; Concord, Mid. Co., April 4 and July 20, 1858, E. S. Hoar; Wilmington, Mid. Co., Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 9; West Roxbury, Suffolk Co., Aug. 9 and Sept. 9, 1904, F. F. Forbes; Blue Hills Reserv., Aug. 11, 1895, E.F. Williams; Lakeville, Plymouth Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 9345; Prospect Hill P., Taunton, Bristol Co., F. C. Seymour, no. 4460; Brewster, Barnstable Co., Fernald, no. 16,684; Provincetown, Barns. Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 18,356; Chilmark, Martha's Vineyard, Dukes Co., F. C. Seymour, no. 1671; Harvard, Worcester Co., Aug. 6, 1916 and April 22, 1917, F. F. Forbes; Gill, Franklin Co., May 11, 1912, St. John \& Weatherby; Ware, Hamshire Co., Goodale, Potsubay \& St. John, no. 64,660; Stockbridge, Berkshire Co., Aug. 6, 1917, Hoffmann. Rhode Island: Lincoln, Providence Co., St. John, no. 894: Barrington, Bristol Co., May 20, 1911, E. J. Winslow; Warren, Bristol Co., July 25, 1919, Sanford; Prudence I., Newport Co., Sanford, no. 10,377; Richmond, Washington Co., Aug. 30, 1919, Fernald \& Collins; Hopkinton, Wash. Co., Sept. 1, 1919, Fernald, Woodward \& Collins. Connecticut: Woodstock, Windham Co., Weatherby, no. 4519; Franklin, New London Co., Oct. 4, 1913, Woodward; Tolland, T. Co., Weatherby, no. 5330; Tariffville, Hartford Co., May 17, 1913, Winslow \& Hill; North Guilford, New Haven Co., July 11, 1904, W. R. Dudley. New York: West Fort Ann, Washington Co., Aug. 17, 1913, Dobbin \& Burnham; Ballston L., Saratoga Co., Aug. 11, 1906, Burnham; Sutherland P., Black Lake Forest, Orange Co., Raup, no. 7746; Fishers Island, Suffolk Co., St. John, no. 2683; Sandy Creek Township, Oswego Co., Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,248; Ithaca, Tompkins Co., MacDaniels, no. 3928. New Jersey: Oradell, Bergen Co., April 16 and Oct. 8, 1905, Mackenzie; Denville, Morris Co., Aug. 13, 1905, Mackenzie; Vincetown, Burlington Co., Long, no. 11,091; Pleasantville, Atlantic Co., Tidestrom, no. 11,377; Friendshíp, Salem Co., Long, no. 51,606. Pennsylvania: Scotrun, Monroe Co., Aug., 1906, B. Long; Chester Co., Sharpless, no. 276; Smithfield Swamp, Lancaster Co., Aug. 30, 1889, Heller; Mifflinville, Columbia Co., Fogg, no. 14,537; Farrandsville, Clinton Co., Fogg, no. 11,516; Fayette Co., Dickey, nos. 21 and 205. Delaware: Cool Spring, Sussex Co., Larsen, no. 459. Maryland: Chesapeake City, Cecil Co., Tidestrom, no. 11,679; St. Mary's Co., Tidestrom, no. 5062. District of Columbia: Brookland, Oct. 15, 1898,

Holm; Terra Cotta, Aug. 18, 1915, Holm. West Virginia: Huttonsville, Randolph Co., Greenman, no. 330; Tygart Junction, Barbour Co., Greenman, no. 329; between Gilmer and Read, Gilmer Co., Greenman, no. 331. Virginia: se. of Alexandria, Fairfax Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 958; ne. of Mechanicsville, Louisa Co., Adams \& Wherry, no. 2228; Capital Landing Creek, York Co., Mentzel, no. 145; Oceana, Princess Anne Co., Fernald \& Long, nos. 3896 and 3897 ; eastern shore, Lake Drummond, Norfolk Co., J. Arthur Harris, no. C 18,233; Zuni, Isle of Wight Co., Fernald, Griscom \& Long, no. 6582; south of South Quay, Nansemond Co., F.\& L., no. 11,559; south of Franklin, Southampton Co., F. \& L., no. 8235; e. of Dan River, Halifax Co., Fosburg, no. 15,383; Hollins School, Roanoke Co., C. E. Wood, Jr., no. 5483; "Mts., Virg. 1843", Asa Gray (type of var. obtusifolia Regel, in Gray Herb.); Bane, Giles Co., Fogg, no. 14,714, as A. crispa; Peak Creek, Pulaski Co., at 2200 ft . alt., July 16, 1892, Small. North Carolina: Snow Hill, Greené Co., L. F. \& F.R.Randolph, no. 776; Clinton, Sampson Co., Godfrey, no. 5895; Biltmore, Buncombe Co., Bilt. Herb. no. $1240^{\text {b }}$; at 4000 ft . alt., Pisgah Forest, Transylvania Co., House, no. 4041 ; at 1700 ft . alt., Great Smoky Mts., Swain Co., July, 1891, Beardslee \& Kofoid. South Carolina: s. of Myrtle Beach, Horry Co., Weatherby \& Griscom, no. 16,511; Georgetown, G. Co., Godfrey \& Tryon, no. 988; Santee Canal, Ravenel (identified by Regel as his var. genuina); Summerville, Dorchester Co., B. L. Robinson, no. 114; se. of Elloree, Orangeburg Co., Godfrey \& Tryon, no. 1503. Georgia: se. of Ludowici, Long Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 962; s. of Cuthbert, Randolph Co., Harper, no. 1782. Florida: River Junction, Gadsden Co., Nash, no. 2590; Peters Creek, Clay Co., Small \& DeWinkeler, no. 9706. Indiana: s. of Chestnut Ridge, Jackson Co., Deam, no. 13,740. Kentucky: Keyser Creek, Boyd Co., Sept. 25, 1937, T. N. McCoy; Tygart's Creek, Carter Co., Oct. 16, 1937, E. L. Braun; "Fernbank-ad ripas fluminis Ohio, prope 'North Bend'", Short. Tennessee: Rugby, Morgan Co., Svenson, no. 4048; Sunbright, alt. 2200 ft ., Morgan Co., Svenson, no. 4117 ; n. of Manchester, Coffee Co., Svenson, no. 9256; Hollow Rock Jc., Carroll Co., Svenson, no. 374. Alabama: n. of Headland, Henry Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 964; Perdido, Baldwin Co., Blanton, no. 7087. Illinois: Pope Co., July 31, 1898, G. P. Clinton. Missouri: Jefferson Co., July, 1887, Eggert; Bismark, St. Francois Co., E. J. Palmer, no. 18,065; Monteer, Shannon Co., Bush, nos. 204 and 7852 . Arkansas: Kensett, White Co., Demaree, no. 8658; Siloam Springs, Benton Co., Demaree, no. 4626; Washington Co., Aug. 17, 1895, Blankinship; Howard Co., Demaree, no. 9734 ; Murfreesboro, Pike Co., Demaree, no. 9377 ; Locksburg, Sevier Co., Demaree, no. 9890. Louisiana: New Orleans, 1832, Drummond; n. of Kisatchie, Natchitoches Parish,
D. S. \& H. B. Correll, no. 9765. Oklahoma: Page, LeFlore Co., Stevens, no. 2619; Valliant, McCurtain Co., Demaree, no. 12,022 (appr. var. subelliptica). Plates 983 and 984.

Var. vulgaris, forma noveboracensis (Britton), stat. nov. A. noveboracensis Britton in Torreya, iv. 124 (1904) and N. Am. Trees, 264, fig. 224 (1908). A. rugosa, race?. Britton in Britt. \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2, i. 613 (1913).-Differing from typical var. vulgaris only in the persistent plush-like pubescence of the lower leaf-surfaces.-Scattered through the range, often abundant. Maine: Orono, Penobscot Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 13,473, as A. incana, var. hypochlora. Massachusetts: West Roxbury, April 5 and May 18, 1904, F. F. Forbes. Rhode Island: Washington P., Kent Co., May 24, 1914, Thos. Hope. New York: Grant City, Staten I., Aug. 5, 1894, Britton (Type of A. noveboracensis); Selkirk, Oswego Co., Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,247. New Jersey: South Amboy, Middlesex Co., Mackenzie, nơ. 1465. Virginia: Blackwater R., Princess Anne Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 3898; w. of Franklin, Southampton Co., F. \& L., no. 6583 ; se. of Branchville, South. Co., F. \& L., no. 10,231 ; n. of Skipper's, Greensville Co., $F$. \& L., no. 8693. South Carolina: M. A. Curtis. Georgia: s. of Athens, Clarke Co., Duncan \& Roland, no. 3877; Augusta, Richmond Co., Olney \& Metcalf, no. 91. Kentucky: Harlan Court House, Harlan Co., Kearney, no. 7; s. of Albany, Clinton Co., Smith \& Hodgdon, no. 3992. Tennessee: between Lexington and Natchez Trace Forest, Henderson Co., Svenson, no. 10,499. Louisiana: Hale (identified by Regel as his A. serrulata, var. genuina). Plate 985.

Var. subelliptica, var. nov. (тab. 986), foliis late ellipticoobovatis vel oblongo-ellipticis vel subrotundo-obovatis basin versus sensim rotundatis, subtus glabris vel glabratis; amentis masculis $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; strobilis maturis $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis.Georgia, north to southern New Hampshire, Massachusetts and New York. New Hampshire: Wheelwright P., Lee, Strafford Co., Hodgdon, no. 2576. Massachusetts: Rockport, Essex Co., L. B. Smith \& R.C. Gates, nos. 964 and 965; Round P., Tewksbury, Middlesex Co., Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 17; sandy swamp, Tewksbury, April 14 and October 14, 1906, Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 16 (TYPe in Herb. Gray.); Winchester, Mid. Co., $F$. \& B., nos. 8, 11 and 13; West Cambridge, Mid. Co., F. \& B., no. 3; Fresh Pond, Cambridge, Sept. 29, 1894, Robinson, also $F$. \& B., no. 1; Bedford, Mid. Co., Sept. 12, 1903, Knowlton; Needham, Norfolk Co., April 20 and July 3, 1883, T. O. Fuller; Bellingham, Norf. Co., Sept. 17, 1935, Ordway \& Sanford; Silver L., Kingston, Plymouth Co., Aug. 30, 1941, Knowlton; Wareham, Plym. Co., Sept. 18, 1925, Knowlton; Waquoit, Falmouth, Barnstable Co., R. A. Ware, no. 336; East Sandwich,

Barn. Co., Sept. 16, 1916, F. F. Forbes; Seward's P., West Barnstable, Barn. Co., S't. John \& White, no. 924; Great P., Centerville, Barn. Co., June 16, 1895, E. F. Williams; Walker P., Brewster, Barn. Co., Fernald, no. 16,681; Sheep P., Brewster, Fernald, no. 16,683; Cliff P., Brewster, Fernald \& Long, no. 16,685; Davis P., Greenwich, Hampshire Co., Pease, no. 20,353. Rhode Island: Limerock, Lincoln, Providence Co., Oct. 19, 1906, J. F. Collins; East Providence, Prov. Co., Oct. 17, 1906, Collins; Wash. P., Block Island, Newport Co., Fernald, Hunnewell \& Long, no. 9344. Connecticut: Coventry, Tolland Co., Aug., 1916, Weatherby \& Smith; Ladd Fool Bridge, Franklin, New London Co., Aug. 24 and Sept. 6, 1912, Woodward; Rainbow, Windsor, Hartford Co., Sept. 20, 1908, H. S. Clark; Southington, Hartford Co., L. Andrews, no. 182; Oxford, New Haven Co., April 16, 1888 and July 30, 1899, Harger. New York: Long L., Hamilton Co., House, no. 13,513; Ashokan, Ulster Co., Muenscher, no. 16,104; Glycerine Hollow, Black Lake Forest, Orange Co., Raup, nos. 7789 and 7792 ; Peconic R., Southampton, Suffolk Co., St. John, no. 2682: Renwick Flats, Ithaca, Tompkins Co., MacDaniels, no. 3927. Pennsylvania: near Kimbles, Pike Co., Fogg, no. 10,780; Allegheny Co., Dickey, no. 24. Virginia: n. of Keyesville, Charlotte Co., Fosberg, no. 15,531; se. of Whitemarsh School, Nansemond Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 11,558 (transitional) ; south of South Quay,Nans. Co., F. \& L., no. 10,611 . North Carolina: Parkville, Perquimans Co., L. F. \& F. R. Randolph, no. 682; Raleigh, Wake Co., Godfrey, no. 4957; Hamlet, Richmond Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 960. South Carolina: Pee Dee R. at Mars Bluff, Florence Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 961. Georgia: e. se. of Statesboro, Bulloch Co., July 5, 1936, Wherry.

Var. subelliptica forma emarginata, f. nov. (tab. 987). Frutex ad 1.5 m . alta; foliis subrotundo-obovatis $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $1.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis basin versus rotundatis apice late emarginatis paginis inferioribus glabratis; amentis masculis 2 cm . longis; strobilis maturis $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis.-Connecticut: open, rather sphagnous, swamp, Rainbow, Windsor, Hartford Co., Sept. 16, 1906 and April 6, 1907, C. H. Bissell \& C. A. Weatherby (Weatherby, no. 2031), type in Herb. Gray.

Var. SUBELLIPTICA, forma mollescens, f. nov. (TAB. 988). Frutex altus vel arbor fastigiata ad 8 m . alta; foliis ut in var. subelliptica $6-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis apice obtusis vel acutis basin versus rotundatis subtus dense persistenterque subvelutinis; strobilis maturis $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis.-Scattered through the range of the variety. New England: old specimen from "Nova Anglia", Oakes, identified by Regel as his var. genuina. Massachusetts: Plum Island, Essex Co., St. John, no. 837; Winchester, Middlesex Co., July, 1907, Knowlton; Sharon, Norfolk Co., s.' F. Poole,
no. 3; Barnstable, B. Co., Fernald \& Woodward, nos. 15,124 and 15,126; Sheep P., Brewster, Barn. Co., Fernald, no. 16,682; Seth's P., West Tisbury, Dukes Co., Fernald \& Fogg, no. 888; Brookfield, Worcester Co., Hill, St. John \& Torrey, no. T. 261. Connecticut: Thompson, Windham Co., June 11, 1922, Eaton, Fassett, Jack, Linder \& Peattie. New York: wet hollow, Riverhead, Southampton, Suffolk Co., July 25-Aug. 3, 1920, St. John, no. 2681 (type in Herb. Gray.). New Jersey: South Amboy, Middlesex Co., Mackenzie, no. 1906. Virginia: Little Neck, Princess Anne Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 3899. North Carolina: Raleigh, Wake Co., Godfrey, no. 4052; Gilson, Scotland Co., Godfrey, no. 5073.

Var. subelliptica, at the northern border of its range, has often been taken for a hybrid of Alnus serrulata (var. vulgaris) and $A$. rugosa (var. typica) and in outline of leaf it is suggestive of such an origin. It has, however, the characteristic bark, glutinous or gummy quality, branching of inflorescence and venation and serrulation of leaves of $A$. serrulata, not of $A$. rugosa. In southern New England and New York the two species meet, but farther south, from eastern Maryland to Georgia, no representative of the latter species is known.

Var. subelliptica, forma nanella, f. nov. (tab. 989), nana, $0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$. alta; foliis elliptico-obovatis $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, subtus subvelutinis; strobilis maturis $6-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis.-Virginia: dwarf shrubs with scattered simple stems only 5-8 mm. thick, springy sphagnous and argillaceous bog, Ram-hole Swamp, Seward Forest, near Triplett, Brunswick Co., June 22 and Sept. 13, 1944, Fernald (and Lewis), no. 14,596 (тצPe in Herb. Gray.); with Lachnocaulon anceps, Sarracenia flava, etc., bushy sphagnous swamp southeast of Petersburg, at head of Poo Run, Prince George Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 6167.

## Explanation of Plates

Plate 976, Alnus incana (L.) Moench.: fig. 1, leading shoot, with foliage and young aments, $\times 1$, from Breslau, Sept. 20, 1908, Ziesché; FIG. 2, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$, from Charlottenbrunn, Silesia, Baenitz, no. 1373; FIG. 3 , inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Möenlycke, April, 1890, Walter Unlemann, $\times 4$. Scand.: Fig. 4, mature cones, from Baenitz, no. 1373; FIG. 5, half a cone, $\times 4$, from Wurzburg, Fl. exsicc. Bavar., no. 56; FIG. 6, bract, $\times 10$, from Bohemia, July, 1887, Fopitze; Fig. 7, nutlet, $\times 10$, from same specimen as fig. 6 .

Plates 977 and 978 . A. rugosa (Du Roi) Spreng., var. TyPica H. Winkl. Plate 977: Fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, of shrub spread from cultivation in Europe, from Gehölze an der Lüneburger Eisenbahn nach Motrich, Wittenberge, Baenitz, Herb. Dendrol. no. 1214; fig. 2, venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 10$, from no. 1214 ; FIG. 3 , half a cone, $\times 4$, from no. 1214; FIG. 4 , nutlet, $\times 10$, from no. 1214. PLATE 978: FIG. 1 , fruting branch from Narrows Island. Black Lake, New York, Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,251; FIG. 2,
venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 10$, from no 14,251 ; FIG. 3 , portion of cone, $\times 4$, from no. 14,251 ; fig. 4 , achene, and fig. 5 , bract, $\times 10$, from no. 14,251 .

Plate 979, figs. 1-3, A. rugosa, var. typica: fig. 1, terminal leaves of vegetative sprout, $\times$ ca. $1 / 2$, from topotype, Harbke Garten, Ehrhart, no. 88; fig. 2, branches of strictly pistillate shrub, $\times 1$, from Townshend, Vermont, June 2, 1912, L. A. Wheeler; fig. 3, inflorescence, $\times 1$, from West Roxbury, Massachusetts, April 9, 1906, F.F. Forbes. Fig. 4, forma Emersoniana Fernald: lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$, from type.

Plates 980 and 981, A. rugosa, var. americana (Regel) Fernald. Plate 980: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Douglastown, Gaspé Co., Quebec, Aug. 22, 1904, Collins, Fernald \& Pease; fig. 2, bark, $\times 1$, from Rindge, New Hampshire, Sept. 8, 1917, C. F. Batchelder; FIG. 3, group of cones, $\times 4$, from same specimen as fig. 1. Plate 981: fig. 1, foliage and young aments of larger-leaved specimen, $\times 1$, from Hillsborough, New Hampshire, Sept. 3, 1921, C. F. Batchelder; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Buckland, Massachusetts, April 11, 1904, F. F. Forbes.

Plate 982, figs. 1-3, A. rugosa, var. americana, forma hypomalaca Fernald: fig. 1, foliage, $\times 1$, of type; rig. 2, foliage of vigorous sprout, $\times 1$, from Tewksbury, Massachusetts, Fernald \& Bartlett, no. 15; FIg. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$, from type. Fig. 4, var. americana, forma tomophylla Fernald; leaf, $\times 1$, from type.

Plates 983 and 984, A. serrulata (Ait.) Willd., var. vulgaris Spach. Plate 983, extreme with more acute leaves, "Foliis obovatis acutis", Aiton: FIG. 1, foliage of vigorous sprout-shoot, $\times 1$, from Newton, Massachusetts, Wm. Boott; FIG. 2, foliage and incipient inflorescence of fertile branch, $\times 1$, from Oceana, Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 3896; Fig. 3, inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Centerville, Massachusetts, April 18, 1897, E. F'. H'illiams; Fig. 4, old cones, $\times 1$, from Weymouth, New Jersey, Long, no. 25,358; FIG. 5, half a cone, $\times 4$, from Stoneham, Massachusetts, April 16, 1896, W. P. Rich; Fig. 6, nutlet, $\times 10$, from Long, no. 25,358. Plate 984, extreme with obtuse leaves; FIG. 1, TYPE, $\times 1$, of var. obtusifolia Regel; fig. 2, narrower leaf (approaching forma nanella), $\times 1$, from Richmond, Rhode Island, Aug. 20, 1919, Fernald \& Collins; FIG. 3, broadest-leaved extreme, approaching var. subelliptica, $\times 1$, from Wareham, Massachusetts, Sept. 18, 1928, C. H. Knowlton; FIG. 4, venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 10$, from same leaf as in fig. 2; Fig. 5 , young inflorescences of staminate shrub, $\times 1$, from south of South Quay, Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,559.

Plate 985, A. serrulata, var. vulgaris, forma noveboracensis (Britton) Fernald: fig. 1, TYPE, $\times 1 / 2$, of $A$. noveboracensis Britton; fig. 2, tip with incipient inflorescence, $\times 1 / 2$, from Selkirk, Oswego Co., New York, Fernald, Wiegand \& Eames, no. 14,247; Fig. 3, fruiting cones, $\times 1$, from no. 14,247; FIG. 4, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$, from no. 14,247 ; Fig. 5 , bark, $\times 1$, from Orono, Maine, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,473.

Plate 986, A. serrulata, var. subelliptica Fernald, all figs. from type: FIG. 1, foliage and incipient inflorescence, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , fruit, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , bract, $\times 10$; fig. 5 , nutlet, $\times 10$.

Plate 987, A. serrulata, var. subelliptica, forma emarginata Fernald, all figs. from type: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$; Fig. 2, largest leaves, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , flowering tip, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$.

Plate 988. A. serrulata, var. subelliptica, forma mollescens Fernald: FIG. 1, leaf and incipient inflorescence, $\times 1$, from type; Fig. 2 , old cones, $\times 1$, of type; Fig. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$, from TYPE; FIG. 4 , branch with unusually long cones, $\times 1$, from Little Neck, Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 3899.

Plate 989, A. serrulata, var. subklliptica, forma nanella Fernald, all figs. from TYpe: Figs. 1 and 2 , fruiting branches, $\times 1$; Fig. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10 ;$ FIg. 4 , nutlet, $\times 10$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Betula minor: fig. 1 , portion of type, $\times 1$; Fig. 2 , fruiting branch, $\times 1$; Fig 3 , staminate aments, $\times 1$; fig. 4, lower surface of leaf, $\times 5$; FIg. 5 , branchlet, $\times 10$; fig. 6, fruiting bract, $\times 4$; fici. 7 , samara, $\times 4$.
B. merophylla: fig. 8 , fruiting branch (probably of isotype), $\times 1$; fig. 9 , fruiting bract, $\times 4 ;$ FIG. 10, samara, $\times 4$.
B. Alba, var. тоRtuosa: FIG. 11 , samara, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula papyrifera: fig. 1 , fruiting branch, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , staminate aments, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , tip of young shoot, $\times \overline{5}$; FIG. 4, fruiting bract, $\times 4$; FIG. 5 , samara, $\times 4$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Betcla papyrifera, var. commutata: fig. 1, portion of Lyall's specimen, $\times 1$; fig. 2, characteristic close bark, $\times 1 ;$ FIG, 3 , exfoliating bark from base of old trunk, $\times 1 ;$ FIGs. 4,5 and 7 , fruiting bracts, $\times 4$; Flis. 6 and 8 , samaras, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula occidentalis, var. fecunda: fig. 1 , portion of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , flowering branchlet, $\times 1 ;$ fig. 3 , staminate aments, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula papyrifera, var. pensilis: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , fruiting bract, 4; FIG. 3, samara, $\times 4$; FIG. 4, branch with younger aments, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula papyrifera, var. macrostachya: fig. 1 , portion of type, $\times 1$; Fig. 2 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$; fig. 3 , samara, $\times 4$.

Var. MACROSTACHYA, forma LONGIPES: FIG. 4 , portion of TYPE, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula papyrifera, var. elubata: fig. 1 , portion of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , immature samara embraced by bract, $\times 4$; rig. 3 , immature bracts, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula papyrifera, var. cordifolia: fig. 1 , portion of fruiting branch, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , tip of vigorous sprout, $\times 5$; FIG. 3 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$; fig. 4 , samara, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula papyrifera, var. humilis: fig. 1, portion of branch and Regel's label, from TYPE, $X 1$; FIG. 2, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10 ;$ FIG. 3 , fruiting bract, $X 4$; FIG. 4 , samara, $\times 4$; FIG. 5 , fruiting tip, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert

Betcla papyrifera, var. humilis: fig. 1 , specimen, $\times 1$, cited by Sargent as his $B$ alaskana; FIGs. 2 and 4 , fruiting bracts, $\times 4$ : FIGS. 3 and 5, samaras, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula borealis: fig. 1, mature branchlets, $\times 1$; fig. 2, immature fruiting branch, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , tip of young branch, $\times 5 \cdot$ FIG. 4 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$; FIG. 5 , samara, $\times 4$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Betula uber: fig. 1 , fruiting branchlets from isotype, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , upper surface of half a leaf, $\times 2$, showing venation and toothing; FIG. 3, portion of lower surface of leaf, $\times 2$; fig. 4 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$; FIG. 5 , samara, $\times 4$.
B. lenta: fig. 6, lower surface of half a leaf, $\times 1$, to show venation and toothing; fig. 7, fruiting bract, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Betula terrae-xovae: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , tip of fruiting branchlet, 5 ; FIG. 3, two fruiting bracts, $\times 10$; FIG. 4 , nutlet, $\times 10$.
B. NANA: FIG. 5, tip of branchlet, $\times 5$; FIG. 6 , fruiting bract, $\times 4$; FIG. 7 , samara, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnus incana: fig. 1, shoot with foliage and young aments, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; FIG. 3 , inflorescence, $\times 1$; Fig; 4 , mature cones, $\times 1$; fig, 5 , half a cone, $\times 4$; figi. 6 , bract, $\times 10 ;$ fig. 7 , nutlet, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnes rugosa, var. typica: fig. 1, fruiting branch of the shrub cultivated and naturalized in Ciermany, derived, presumably, from the original specimen; fis, 2, venation of lower leaf-surface from same collection, $\dot{X}$ 10: FIG. 3, half a cone from same colleretion, $\times 4$;


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

AlNu's rugiosa, var. typica, native American shrub: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1 ;$ fig. 2 , venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 10$; FIG. 3 , portion of cone, $\times 4 ;$ FIG. 4 , achene, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , bract, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alaus rugosa, var. typica: fig. 1, terminal leaves of vegetative sprout of topotype, $\times 31 / 2$, after photo. by Professor Alfred Rehder; fig. 2, branches of strietly pistillate shrub,


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnus rugosa, var. americana: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , hark, $\times 1$; fig. 3 . cones, $\times 4$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnus rugosa, var. amebicana: fici. 1, foliage and young aments of large-leaved shrub, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 1$.


Ihoto. B. G. Schubert
Alves rugosa, vat. americana, forma hypomalaca: fig. 1 , foliage of type, $\times 1$; ${ }_{\text {FIG. }}$ 2, leaf of vigorous sprout, $\times 1$; Fig. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$.

Var. americaĩa, forma tomophylla: fig. 4, leaf of type, $\times 1$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Alnus serrulata, var. vulgaris, extreme with more acute leaves as in Aiton's type. "foliis obovatis acutis": FIG. 1, foliage of vigorous sprout, $\times 1$ : FIG. 2 , fertile branch, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , inflorescence, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , old cones, $\times 1$; FIG. 5 , half a cone, $\times 4 ;$ FIG. 6 , nutlet, $X$


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Alnus serrulata, var. vulgaris, extreme with obtuse leaves: fig. 1 , type, $\times 1$, of var. obtusifolia Regel; FIG. 2, narrow leaf, $\times 1$; FIg. 3 , broad leaf, $\times 1$; FIG. 4, venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , inflorescence of staminate shrub, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnus serrulata, var. vulgaris, forma noveboracensis: fig. 1 , type, $\times 1 / 2 ;$ fig .2 , tip of branchlet with incipient inflorescence, $\times 1 / 2$; FIG. 3, fruiting cones, $\times 1$; FIG. 4, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; Fig. 5 , bark, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnes serrelata, var. subelliptica, all figs. from type: fig. 1, foliage and incipient inflorescence, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, inflorescence, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 3 , cones, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 4 , fruiting bract, $\times$ $10 ;$ fig. 5 , nutlet, $\times 10$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Alnus serrulata, var. subelliptica, forma emarginata, all figs. from type: fig. 1 fruiting branch, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, largest leaves, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, flowering tip, $\times 1$; FIG. 4, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alnus serrulata, var. subelliptica, forma mollescens, figs. $1-3$ from type: fig. 1, leaf and incipient inflorescence, $\times 1$; FIG. 2 , old cones, $\times 1$; FIG. 3 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 4 , unusually long cones, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Alyus serbulata, var. subelliptica, forma nanfella, all figs. from type: figs. 1 and 2 , fruiting branches, $\times 1$; fig. 3, fruiting cones, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$; Fig. 4, nutlet, $\times 10$.

## I N D E X

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Abies Douglasii, 316; Menziesii, 317;
Mertensiana, 317
Acer macrophyllum, 317
Alder, American, 338 ; Black, 337; Common, 341; Glaucous, 350; European White, 335; Gray, 337; Sea-side, 341; Silver-leaved, 340; Speckled, 349, 350; Swamp, 333, 334, 337; White, 337, 349
Alder Tree, Notch'd-leaved, 342
Alisma Plantago, 343, var. Americana, 343; subulata, 343
American Alder, 338
Ammophila breviligulata, 334
Alnus acuminata, 339 ; americana, 350 ; autumnalis, 346; crispa, 350, 357; glauca, 336, 337, 341, 343, 344, 349-351; glauca stipulis lanceolatis, 343; glutinosa, 336, var. autumnalis, 346 , lusus rugosa, 340, c. serrulata, 355 , ò serrulata, lusus a. genuina, 355 , lusus b. obtusifolia, 355 , lusus c. rugosa, 346 ; hybrida, 340, 346; ineana, 3:3:3-3:37, 340, 344, 346, 347, 349-351, 360, pl. 976, var. americana, $347, \beta$. americana, 350, 352, 354, var. glauca, 347, 350, 352, 乃. glauca, 352, var. glauca, f. tomophylla, 353 , var. hypochlora, 339, 340, 346, 348, 358, var. tomophylla, 353, $\alpha$. vulgaris, 350 ; latifolia, 346; macrophylla, 346; maritima, 3:37, 341; noveboracensis, 344, 348, 358, 361 ; rubra, 341, 355; rugosa, 333, $337,339,340,342345,347,349$, 350, 355, 358, 360, var. americana, 345, 350, $35: 3,354,361$, pls. 980,981, f. hypomalaca, 345, 353, 361, pl. 982, f. tomophylla, $345,353,361$, pl. 982, var. obtusifolia, 355, var. serrulata, 355, var. typica, 345, 354, 360, 361, pls. 977-979, f. Emersoniana, 345, 347, $349,350,361$, pl. 979 ; serrulata, $3333,3336,3337,34(0-346,349$, $350,354,355,360$, var. genuina, 357-359, $\alpha$. genuina, 355, $\beta$. macrophylla, 346, var. obtusifolia, 357, 361, ड̀. obtusifolia, 355 , serrulata pumila, 355, $\beta$. rugosa, 346, 348, var. subelliptica, 355,358 -361, pl. 986, f. emarginata, 355, 359,

361, pl. 987, f. mollescens, 355, 359, 361, pl. 988, f. nanella, 355, 360, 361, pl. 989 , var. vulgaris, $354,355,358,360,361$, pls. 983 , 984, f. noveboracensis, 354,358 , 361 , pl. 985 ; undulata, 350
Apterocaryon Michauxii, 326
Arenaria marcescens, 320
Betula, 338; sect. Apterocaryon, 327; ser. Albae, 303, 325; ser. Costatae, 326 ; ser. Humiles, 325, 326; alba, $304,305,307-309,311,324$, var. carpatica, 3233,324 , var. cordifolia, $320, \beta$. dalecarlica, 305, var. elobata, 319, var. glutinosa, 318, var. minor, 306, 324; alba, f. occidentalis, 312, subsp. occidentalis, var. $\beta$. commutata, $316, \beta$. commutata, 312, 313, 316, var. $\alpha$ typica, 316 ; alba, $\delta$. papyrifera, 311 , subsp. papyrifera, $\beta$. cordifolia, 320, var. humilis, $322,32: 3,328, \beta$ humilis, 306, var. $\gamma$. humilis, 321 ; alba, $\beta$. pendula, 305, 309, var. populifolia, 304, subsp. pubescens, 309, var. tortuosa, 307, 328, pl. 963 , subsp. verrucosa, 322, a var. resinifera, 322 , var. resinifera, 322, 8. resinifera, 322; alba, var. vulgaris, 309 , a. vulgaris, 308; alaskana, 321, 322, 328, 329; Alnus incana, 3338; Alnus (rugosa), 338, 3339, 345; borealis, 304, 308, 323-325, 328, 329 , pl. 973 ; caerulea, var. Blanchardi, 305 , var. grandis, $305 ; \times$ caerulea, 305; caerulea-grandis, 304, 305; carpatica, 323; cordifolia, 320, 321 ; crispa, 343; dahurica, var. americana, 306,307 , $\beta$. americana, 306; Ermani, 321; excelsa, :324; fontinalis, 313-317, 321, 325, var. Piperi, 317; glandulosa, 306$308,324,325$; laciniata, 305 ; lenta, 326,329, pl. 974 , var. uber, 325 , 326; Lyalliana, 312; Michauxii, 326, :327; microphylla, 306-308, $317,32: 3,325,3225$, pl. 9633 ; minor, $304,306-308,321,325,328$, pl. $963 ;$ nana, 326328,329, pl. 975 , var. Michauxii, 326, ع. Michauxii, 326; neoalaskana, 321; nigra, 314, 319; occidentalis, $312-317,321,322$,

325, var. fecunda, 317, pl. 966, 328; odorata, var. tortuosa, 306, 307; papyracea, 311, 313, 316, 322; papyracea cordifolia, 320; papyracea a. cordifolia, 320; papyracea Lyalliana, 312; papyracea, var. minor, 306, 321, var. occidentalis, 312; papyrifera, 304, 308, 311-314, 316, 319-321, 328, pl. 964, var. communis, f. cordifolia, 320, var. commutata, 311, 312, 313, 315317, 328, pl. 965, var. cordifolia, $306,308,312,319,320,328$, pl. 970 ; papyrifera, f. coriacea, 311, 312, var. elobata, $312,319,320,328$, pl. 969 , var. humilis, 321,322 , 328 , pls. 971, 972 , var. Lyalliana, 312, 317, var. macrostachya, 308, 311, 318320,328 , pl. 968, f. longipes, $311,319,328$, pl. 968 , var. minor, 306, 324, var. neoalaskana, 321, var. occidentalis, 312, var. pensilis, 311, 318, 328, pl. 967, var. subcordata, 320; pendula, 304, 305, 309, 321, f. dalecarlica, 305; Piperi, 317 ; populifolia, 303305 , f. incisifolia, 304, var. laciniata, 304, 305; pubescens, 305, 308-311; pumila, 307, 324, 325, 327, 343, $\gamma$. borealis, 323, var. renifolia, 327; rugosa, 339, 340, 342, 345; serrulata, 341, 342, 355 ; terrae-novae, 326, 327, 329, pl. 975; tomentosa, 308-311; tortuosa, 307 , pl. 963 ; uber, $325,326,329$, pl. 974; verrucosa, 305
Betula-Alnus glauca, 340, 343; maritima, 341 ; rubra, 341,355
Birch, 314; Dwarf, 303; White, 303
Black Alder, 337
Broom, Scotch, 335

Cnicus, 320
Common Alder, 341
Corylaceae, 333
Corylus, 339
Dwarf Birch, 303
European White Alder, 335
Glaucous Alder, 350
Gray Alder, 337
Hazel-Alder, 339, 340
Lachnocaulon anceps, 360
Notch'd-leaved Alder Tree, 342
Pine, Pitch, 334, 335; Scotch, 335
Pinus rigida, 334
Pitch Pine, 334, 335
Polystichum mohrioides, var. scopulorum, 319

Sagittaria graminea, 343; subulata, 343
Salix, 320; chlorolepis, 320; hebecarpa, 320
Sarracenia flava, 360
Scotch Broom, 335; Pine, 335
Sea-side Alder, 341
Silver-leaved Alder, 340
Solidago chlorolepis, 320
Sparganium, 343; angustifolium, 34:3; minimum, 343; natans, 34:3
Speckled Alder, 349, 350
Statice, 320
Swamp Alder, 333, 334, 337
Thuja gigantea, 317
White Alder, 337, 349; Birch, 303
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## TECHNICAL STUDIES ON NORTH AMERICAN PLANTS

M. L. Fernald

(Plates 993-1020) ${ }^{1}$

## I. Some Species in Rafinesque's "Herbarium Rafinesquianum"

(Plates 993 and 994)
Dr. Merrill has asked me about the identities of some of the species published in the rare work of Rafinesque, his Herbarium Rafinesquianum (1833). Unlike too many of the publications of that highly variable and temperamental genius, this little book is carefully written, with logical discussions and with diagnoses of genera and species actually in hand, specimens of which were offered for sale. What a pity that we cannot now buy the series! Here are many well described novelties from many parts of North America, many of which have clear priority over the descriptions of others. For the most part their identification can safely be made only by those intimately familiar with the areas concerned: Texas, Oregon, etc.; but in checking on the region I best know it has been found that several of our long-familiar specific names must lapse, while some in other sections of the world are obviously later homonyms. In the following memoranda only those names about which I feel no doubt are noted; others of them must be considered by specialists on other floras.
Spiranthes lacera (Raf.) Raf. Herb. Raf. 44 (1833). Neottia lacera Raf. Fl. Ludovic. 171 (1817), nomen, and in Am. Month. Mag. Crit. Rev. ii. 206 (1818) with full description. Neottia gracilis, var. $\beta$. secunda Bigel. Fl. Bost. ed. 2: 322 (1824).
S. montana Raf. Herb. Raf. 45 (1833). S. ovalis Lindl. Gen. Sp. Orch. Pl. 466 (1840). S. cernua, var. parviflora Chapm. Fl. So. U. S., ed. 3: 448 (1897). Gyrostachys parviflora (Chapm.) Small, Fl. Se. U. S. 318 (1903). S. parviflora (Chapm.) Ames, Orchidaceae, fasc. 1: 137 (1905). Ibidium ovale (Lindl.) House

[^66]in Muhlenbergia, i. 128 (1906). I. parviflorum (Chapm.) Jennings in Ann. Carneg. Mus. iii. 485 (1906). Triorchis ovalis (Lindl.) Nieuwland in Am. Midl. Nat. iii. 123 (1913). S. Smallii Schlechter in Beih. Bot. Centralbl. xxxvii². 358 (1920).
S. tuberosa Raf. Herb. Raf. 45 (1833). S. Beckii Lindl. Gen. Sp. Orch. Pl. 472 (1840), at least as to descr. S. simplex Gray, Man. ed. 5: 506 (1867), not Griseb. Gyrostachys simplex (Gray) Ktze. Rev. Gen. ii. 664 (1891). S. Grayi Ames in Rhodora, vi. 44 (1904). Gyrostachys Grayi (Ames) Britton, Man. ed. 2: 300 (1905). Ibidium Beckii (Lindl.) House in Muhlenbergia, i. 128 (1906). Gyrostachys Beckii (Lindl.) W. Stone, Pl. So. N. J. 375 (1912). Triorchis Grayi (Ames) Nieuwland in Am. Midl. Nat. iii. 123 (1913). Triorchis Beckii (Lindl.) House in Am. Midl. Nat. iv. 206 (1920).

Unfortunately, the original description of Neottia lacera Raf. in Am. Month. Mag. Crit. Rev. ii. 206 (1818) did not get into Index Kewensis, although the other species, described in the same column of the identical page and directly preceding it, was there entered; and this first specific name of the pair, Neottia plantaginea Raf. l. c., was taken up by Torrey as the basis of Spiranthes plantaginea (Raf.) Torr. (1843) and, since Torrey had taken it up and it, therefore, got into reputable literature, it has been the nomenclatural basis of binomials by Britton, House and Nieuwland. But Neottia lacera, described with it and again in Herbarium Rafinesquianum, has been quite ignored, as have the other eight names under Spiranthes in the latter work. Nevertheless Spiranthes lacera, based on Neottia lacera (1818), was several years earlier than Neottia gracilis Bigelow, Fl. Bost. ed. 2: 322 (1824), the nomenclatural basis of S. gracilis (Bigelow) Beck, Bot. 333 (1833). Bigelow's original account was as follows:

[^67]Variety $\beta$. secunda. Spike unilateral, hardly twisted; flowers more slender. Perhaps a different species.-In Conway, New-Hampshire.July.

Rafinesque's original description in the American Monthly Magazine and Critical Review was

> 24. Neottia lacera Raf. Smooth radical leaves oblong obtuse flat, sapes, vainated, sheaths acute: spike slender, flowers one sided spiral nodding, bracteas longer than the ovary, labellum canaliculated reflexed obtuse laciniated, Obs. Detected in 1816, in the swampy woods, near Glen's Fall's, Lake George, and the Luzerne mountains, blossoming in July and August, flowers white, seape slender about one foot high, root palmated.

Rafinesque's second account, in his Herbarium Rafinesquianum (1833), was briefer but contained the synonym "N [i.e. S]. gracilis, Beck, 1833", which nomenclaturally rested on Neottia gracilis Bigel. and, incidentally, indicated the priority in 1833 of Beck over Herbarium Rafinesquianum. From this it would be natural to infer that Spiranthes gracilis must give way to $S$. lacera; but in this case Rafinesque "builded better than he knew", just as Jacob Bigelow did when he separated as "Perhaps a different species" the more slender-flowered plant of the White Mountains.

Spiranthes gracilis, as generally interpreted, consists of two quite different species: one relatively southern, the true $S$. gracilis, i. e. Neottia gracilis Bigelow; the other relatively northern, the Neottia lacera Raf. (1818) or N. gracilis, var. B. secunda Bigelow (1824), the S. lacera (Raf.) Raf. (1833). Study of all the material in the Gray Herbarium, the Ames Herbarium, the herbarium of the New England Botanical Club and that of the New York State Museum, which has been kindly placed at my disposal by Dr. House, brings out several striking differences. Some of these are shown in plates 993 and 994. They may be briefly stated as follows ${ }^{1}$ :

[^68]Spiranthes lacera (plate 993): basal leaves usually present at flowering time, though often wilted, submembranaceous, semi-translucent, the veins and veinlets clearly evident, the veinlets simple or subsimple, forming an obvious loose mesh; spike secund or with 1 -few spirals, the flowers distant in few elongate series; sepals and petals narrowly lanceolate to lance-linear, forming a slender tube $1-1.75$ (rarely -2.25 ), averaging 1.4 mm ., in diameter, much longer than thick and not strongly ringent; dilated summit of lip drooping, with a broad white border.-Very dry to moist acid open (rarely shaded) soil, Magdalen Islands to Manitoba, south to Nova Scotia, New England, Long Island, more rarely to southeastern Virginia, upland to North Carolina (up to 3300 ft .) and Tennessee, southern Ontario, Michigan, northern Illinois, Wisconsin and Minnesota. Flowering from June 15 to September 11 (average of 135 collections August 5).
S. gracilis (plate 994): basal leaves rarely present at flowering time (though occasionally on non-flowering younger plants), thick, opaque, the veinlets barely visible by strong transmitted light, more branched and forming an obscure but relatively fine mesh; spike strongly spiraling, the approximate flowers in many short secund series; tube of perianth more ringent, the bases of the broader sepals and petals forming a tube $1.5-2.5$, averaging 2 mm ., thick; white border of summit of lip narrower.-Dry to moist open soil, or in open woods and thickets, Florida to Texas, north to southwestern Maine, southeastern New Hampshire, central Vermont, southeastern, central and western New York, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Missouri and Oklahoma. Flowering late July to October (average of 110 collections September 2).

All material seen from Quebec, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, northern and central Maine and New Hampshire, northern Vermont, northeastern New York, Ontario, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota and Manitoba belongs to S. lacera. In eastern New York that plant abounds northward, being the only one of the two species found in the Adirondack region and near Lake George, from Clinton and St. Lawrence Counties to Saratoga, Fulton and Oneida Counties, while in Cattaraugus County to the west it occurs at an altitude of 2000 feet. It is the plant of shores and slopes near Lake George (our fig. 2) and is clearly the plant described by Rafinesque from there.

Spiranthes gracilis, of wide southern range, spreads northward at low altitudes to Cumberland County, Maine, Strafford and Hillsboro Counties, New Hampshire, southeastern Addison County, Vermont, and in eastern New York from Long Island northward to Albany County. In southern New England and southern and central New York both species occur. It is, therefore, specially illuminating to note the collector's data when they have placed them both on one sheet or under the same label. Thus, the late Charles W. Jenks, getting them both in Bedford, Massachusetts, and calling them both S. gracilis, noted them on his label and sheet as $a, b$ and $c ; a$ being flowering S. lacera collected July 26, $b$ fruiting material of the same collected August 24,
and $c$ young flowering material (the upper half of the spike in bud) of S. gracilis collected August 25. Another sheet from Massachusetts, from the herbarium of H. M. Ballou, has the two under one label, the slender-flowered S. lacera dated July 18, the flowering S. gracilis marked "Aug.". One other mixed sheet brings out the difference in flowering period. This is one of the late R. W. Woodward's beautiful sheets from Franklin, Connecticut, the label bearing the notes: "plants with basal leaves July 14", these being S. lacera in anthesis; "plants without basal leaves Aug. 11", these being S. gracilis, so young that the recurving budded tips have not straightened up.

Not only did Jacob Bigelow think that Spiranthes lacera (his Neottia gracilis, var. $\beta$. secunda) was "perhaps a different species"; Asa Gray, having material of it, probably from northern New York, was puzzled by it. His specimen resided for nearly a century in the Gray Herbarium, unnoticed in a pocket, pasted on a sheet of typical S. gracilis, but with a folded manuscript discussing its details and a significant "(?)" after the unsatisfactory name. Furthermore, I find that in both the Gray Herbarium and that of the New England Botanical Club a specialist on the Orchidaceae has recently separated out strikingly characteristic sheets of $S$. lacera and has annotated them as the very different $S$. Beckii; at least they did not seem to him to be $S$. gracilis!

There can be hardly a doubt of the identity of Spiranthes montana Raf. with the beautifully distinct $S$. ovalis Lindl. Rafinesque's description was brief but clear:
8. Sp. montana, Raf. Caule basi folioso, fol. radic. obl. cuneatisobtusis, caulinis lanc obt. spicis obl. dense spir. bract. obt. acum. fl. mediocris, labellum obl. obt. erosum.-Cumberland mts. pedal. disc. 1823.

This species (as $S$. ovalis) has been collected by my companions and me several times in Virginia. Its cuneate-oblong or oblanceate, obtuse or acutish lower leaves, its well developed cauline leaf or leaves and the thick and short spike, tapering when young but rounded at summit at maturity, are characteristic, as is the lip. Although local, the species is scattered in rich, preferably calcareous woods from Virginia across the Cumberland Mts. and Plateau of Kentucky to bluffs of southern Indiana and to Mis-
souri, south to northern Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana and eastern Texas. Dr. E. Lucy Braun records it from three counties of Kentucky in the Cumberland area and specimens from the Cumberland Mts. of Tennessee are well known.

As to the identity of Spiranthes tuberosa Raf. and the whitelipped S. Beckii Lindl. there is certainly no doubt. Here is the original diagnosis:
10. Sp. tuberosa, Raf. rad. tuberosa monorchis, caule filif. aphyllo, vaginis setaceis, spic. gracilis vix spiralis secunda, bract. brevis acutis, fl. parvis, labellum cuneato acuto.-Disc. by M. Durand in New Jersey, pedal.

This, with "rad. tuberosa monorchis", etc., is surely the characteristic species with a single tuberoid, described by Gray as $S$. simplex: "Root a solitary oblong or spindle-shaped tuber; no leaves at flowering time; scape $5^{\prime}-9^{\prime}$ high, bearing a small narrow (rarely 1 -sided) spike of very short flowers (perianth $1^{\prime \prime}-11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ long) . . . -E. Mass. (Nantucket, Dr. Robbins), New Jersey (C. F. Austin, \&c.) and Delaware, Wm. M. Canby".

Habenaria maritima Raf., Herb. Raf. 74 (1833) antedates by nearly 60 years the Californian H. maritima Greene, Pittonia, ii. 298 (1892), basis of Piperia maritima (Greene) Rydb. in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxviii. 641 (1901).

With only limited understanding of the Californian endemics I refrain from renaming the latter. By Ames it is treated as $H$. elegans (Lindl.) Bolander, var. maritima (Greene) Ames, Orchidaceae, iv. 113 (1910). It is noteworthy, however, that both Jepson and Abrams, with intimate field-acquaintance of both, maintain them as distinct.

Rafinesque's Habenaria maritima was obviously one of the numerous fluctuations of H. clavellata (Michx.) Spreng. (1826), which was based on Orchis clavellata Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 155, (1803) from Carolina and which, in spite of the slightly 3-lobed tip of the lip was described by Michaux "cornu longitudine ovarii, clavato; labello ovali, integro". Rafinesque's description was quite as definite:

4 Habenaria maritima, Raf. Caule angulato, folia unica longa cuneata lanc. ceteris subulatis, Spica brevis paucif. 5-8 fl. bract. lanc. ovar. eq. calcar recurvo clavato labello oblongo truncato.-On the Sea Islands of New Jersey in swamps, semipedal, flowers small greenish white.

Those who consider Habenaria maritima Greene a good species need a name for it.

Calipogon parviflorum Raf. Atl. Journ. i. 148 (1832).
Several years earlier than Calopogon parviflorus Lindl. Gen. Sp. Orch. Pl. 424 (1840), that species considered identical with Ophrys barbata Walt. Fl. Carol. 221 (1788), the basis of Calopogon barbatus (Walt.) Ames, Orchidaceae, ii. 227 (1908). Rafinesque's plant was evidently of this species, as indicated by his "stem one leaved 3-5 flore", for C. barbatus has 1-7 flowers, its var. multiflorus (Lindl.) Correll in Bot. Mus. Lfts. vii. 71 (1940), based on C. multiflorus Lindl. l. c. 425 (1840), having more. The only other species to consider for Rafinesque's species of "Fl. and Louis", with "3-5 . . . flowers spicate, minute, bracts subulate, labellum undulate", is C. pallidus Chapm. (1860). Originally described "Scape 10 -20-flowered", that species, at the northern limit of its range, in southeastern Virginia and North Carolina, may, in the smaller specimens, have as few as 3-6 flowers. Until authentic material of Rafinesque's species is found, it is wisest to let C. pallidus stand; his specific epithet, however, clearly antedates that of Lindley.

Goodyera oblongifolia Raf. Herb. Raf. 76 (1833). Spiranthes decipiens Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 203, t. 204 (1839). G. Menziesii Lindl. Gen. Sp. Orch. Pl. 492 (1840). Orchioides decipiens and O. Menziesii Ktze. Rev. Gen. ii. 675 (1891). Peramium Menziesii (Lindl.) Morong in Mem. Torr. Bot. Cl. v. 124 (1894). Peramium decipiens (Hook.) Piper in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xi. 208 (1906). G. decipiens (Hook.) F. T. Hubbard in Standardized Pl. Names, 328 (1923).

Rafinesque's description of Goodyera oblongifolia from the mountains of Oregon seems unequivocal:

10 Goodyera seu Tussaca oblongifolia, Raf. Fol. radic petiol oblongis ovatis acutis 5 nervis non reticulatis, subtus glaucis, caule gracile vaginato, spica laxiflora, fl. remotis hirsutis, bract. lanc. acut. ovar. eq. ovarium tereto.-Oregon mts. subpedal, fl. white small.

Hooker said "Scape 8 inches to a foot high"; Lindley's description of Goodyera Menziesii, "Hab. in Americae septentrionalis orâ occidentali, Menzies, Douglas", began:

[^69]There is little difference (except in finish) between this account of the habit of G. Menziesii and Rafinesque's definition of the earlier G. oblongifolia.

Corallorhiza montana Raf., Herb. Raf. 75 (1833), should be added to the synonymy of $C$. odontorhiza (Willd.) Nutt. (1818), which was Cymbidium odontorhizon Willd. (1805). Rafinesque's species from the "Wasioto mts, and hills, autumnal" had the "labello involuto truncato . . . , capsulis pendulis globosis, flowers small yellowish, with red spots on the lip". The small flowers, pendulous, globose capsule and autumnal flowering seem to settle the identity.

Dentaria grandiflora Raf. Herb. Raf. 47 (1833). D. macrocarpa Nutt. ex Torr. \& Gray, Fl. i. 88 (1838). Cardamine pulcherrima Greene in Erythea, i. 148 (1893). D. macrocarpa, var. pulcherrima (Greene) Robinson in Gray, Syn. Fl. i¹. 154 (1895). D. tenella Pursh, var. pulcherrima (Greene) Detling in Am. Journ. Bot. xxiii. 273 (1936).

Rafinesque's description was clearly of the largest-flowered extreme which Greene later described as Cardamine pulcherrima. Rafinesque's account of his plant from Oregon follows:
2. Dentaria grandiflora, Raf, Caule flexuoso apice diphyllo, fol. oppos. petiolis alatis, trifoliatis, foliolis sessilib. ovato-lanceol, ineq. serratis, racemo brevis umbellato grandifloro.-Pedal. fl. incarnate one inch long. petals entire equal to stam.

Sanguisorba stipulata Raf., Herb. Raf. 47 (1833). S. canadensis L. $\beta$. latifolia Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 198 (1834). S. sitchensis C. A. Meyer, Fl. Ochot. 34 (1856). Poterium sitchense (C. A. Meyer) S. Watson, Bibl. Index, i. 303 (1878). S. latifolia (Hook.) Coville in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. iii. 339 (1896).

Unfortunately the long-familiar name Sanguisorba sitchensis for the northwestern species must lapse. Rafinesque's description of his plant from Oregon was clear:
3. Sanguisorba stipulata, Raf. Caule apice panicul. angul. nudo, fol. amplis, foliolis stipulatis petiolatis alternis, cordatis ovatis grandident. spicis parvis obl. bract. ovatis acum.-Foliolis twice as large as in $S$. officinalis, 3 inches long.

Compare the description by Abrams of Sanguisorba sitchensis:
" . . . stipules rounded, coarsely toothed; leaflets oblong-ovate, $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, rounded at the apex, cordate at the base, coarsely serrate, petiolulate", etc.

Explanation of Plates 993 and 994
Plate 993, Spiranthes lacera (Raf.) Raf.: fig. 1, two plants, $\times 1$, from Randolph, Coös County, New Hampshire, Pease, no. 31,500; FIg. 2, spike, $\times 1$, from the type-region, Northwest Bay, Lake George, Warren County, New York, House, no. 30,076 ; FIG. 3, profile of portion of spike, $\times 6$, from no. 31,500 ; FIG. 4, face-view, $\times 6$, of portion of spike, from no. 31,500; FIG. 5, lip, $\times 10$, from no. 31,500 ; FIG. 6 , venation of basal leaf, $\times 10$, by transmitted light, from Willoughby, Vermont, September 4, 1896, G. G. Kennedy.

Plate 994, S. gracilis (Bigelow) Beck: fig. 1, spike, $\times 1$, from Wellesley, Massachusetts, August 17, 1945, F. W. Hunnewell; Fig. 2, portion of spike, $\times 6$, from same collection; fig. 3 , face-view of portion of spike, $\times 6$, from Winchester, Massachusetts, August 16, 1945, Ernest Rouleau; FIG. 4, lip, $\times 10$, from last specimen; Fig. 5 , venation of dried-out old basal leaf, $\times 10$, by transmitted light, from East Hartford, Connecticut, Weatherby, no. 1434 .

## II. Difficulties in North American Salix

 (Plates 995-1006)
## 1. Muhlenberg's Nordamerikanischen Weiden ante-

 dated by Michaux.-The first decade of the 19th century and the two decades immediately preceding it were of the greatest significance in making known the more generalized flora of eastern North America. Not appreciating the ultimate significance of the exact date of issue (like too many editors of so-called learned societies today), editors brought out scattered or independent papers under a blanketing title-page with one arbitrary date for the whole series. Something has been done to clarify the dates of actual publication of numerous debatable works and much more remains to be done, especially since the over-nice susceptibilities of librarians and book-binders have long led to the discarding of or the shifting of the positions of the original covers (and, of course, the trimming off of all carefully made marginal memoranda). In the intricate genus Salix one paper has been outstanding as containing the original descriptions and drawings of leaves of the commoner species of the eastern United States. This is the brief article entitled Uber die Nordamerikanischen Weiden von Hrn. Pred. Mühlenberg mit Anmerkungen des Hrn. Prof. Willdenow, which was article no. XIV (pp. 233-242, tab. VI) in Der Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin Neue Schriften, iv, with the general title-page dated 1803.Since Michaux, in his Flora Boreali-Americana, ii. 225, 226 (1803), also published as new five species of Salix from Canada and the eastern United States the exact dates of issue of the two
nearly contemporaneous treatments have to be settled. To be sure, it has long, following Willdenow who had an editorial finger in the Muhlenberg paper, been assumed to need no investigation. In fact, so dominating was the influence of Willdenow and his remarkable and compendious Species Plantarum that the dictum emanating from those sources has rarely been challenged. Thus, the Muhlenberg treatment of American willows was reprinted by Konig and Sims in their Annals of Botany, ii. 62-69, pl. 5 $(1805)^{1}$ with the title: On North American Willows, by the Rev. Mr. Muhlenberg, with Notes of Professor Willdenow. Furthermore, although Sims had been regularly citing Michaux, Flor. Bor.-Am. in his articles in Curtis's Botanical Magazine, beginning on December 1, 1803, so that it appears that he knew that remarkable work, he and Konig in 1805 contrived to overlook the five species of Salix published by Michaux, for, as an explanation of their reprinting of the Muhlenberg paper they wrote: "of all the species of these regions, we know but one through Mr. von Wangenheim [S. conifera, an abnormal plant] and another through Mr. Aiton [S. tristis]." Nevertheless, the five species of Michaux can hardly be waved aside; surely not if the sketchy accounts by Marshall are satisfactory for the establishment of three of our species!

My attention was drawn to this technical matter through noting, while studying Schneider's various papers on American willows, that in Journ. Arn. Arb. ii. 189 (1921) Schneider, without a word of explanation, reduced outright to S. cordata Muhlenberg (our plates 995 and 996) the utterly different S. cordata Michx. (our plates 997-1000); and, furthermore, that in vol. i. 158 (1920) he had reduced to S. adenophylla Hook. (our plate 997) the amazingly different S. syrticola Fernald (our plates 1001 and 1002). I was naturally surprised at what has been called "this Schneid. treatment" of these species, for I had studied Michaux's willows as well as Hooker's type of S. adenophylla (plate 997) and I knew that S. cordata Michx. has quite different aments from those of $S$. cordata Muhl.; in fact, that it is the best kind of S. adenophylla! And I also knew the several fundamental characters which distinguish $S$. syrticola from the others. It is,

[^70]consequently, evident that the application of Schneider's apology should be extended quite to the Atlantic coast, for he gave it too occidental a bearing when he wrote, "As to the [§] Cordatae, I am not yet well enough acquainted with some of the western forms of this group to be able to draw a sharp line between them and the [§] Adenophyllae" (Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 148 (1920)).

Returning to the question of dates, Dr. Schubert, in Rhodora, xliv. 149 (1942), has clearly shown that Michaux's Flora BorealiAmericana was on sale in March, 1803. It was very soon being cited: for instance, Sims in Curtis's Botanical Magazine, xix, under plates 703, etc.; plate 703, of Iris virginica (with definite citation of "Michaux Flor. Bor.-Amer. 1. 22"), being engraved in time for publication on December 1, 1803. Nearer home, in Paris, in the 780 -page (therefore not written in a day) vol. vi. (1804) of Lamarck's Encyclopédie Méthodique, Poiret added at the end of his treatment of Salix the note (p. 661): "Michaux, dans sa Flore de l'Amérique septentrionale, cite les espèces suivantes", followed by transcripts from Michaux and more detailed descriptions by Poiret. The actual placing on sale of Michaux's Flora in March, 1803, can hardly be questioned.

As to the Muhlenberg paper on Nordamerikanischen Weiden I have again asked the aid of Dr. Schubert. The following items are most important. The Vorrede of vol. iv. of Der Gesellschaft Naturforschender Freunde zu Berlin Neue Schriften, with the title-page dated 1803, certainly was prepared before the volume was actually published. This Foreword bears the definite date "Berlin, den 3ten Mai 1803", two months after Michaux's Flora was on sale. This concerns vol. iv. of the Neue Schriften.

In the Göttingische gelehrte Anzeigen, Bd. iii for 1803, p. 1493, issued "den 17. Sept. 1803", vol. iii of the Neue Schriften was reviewed; but it was not until Bd. i for 1804 of Gött. Anz. p. 255 ("16. Febr. 1804") that the first notice of Neue Schriften, iv appeared, while Muhlenberg's paper on Nordamerikanischen Weiden and succeeding papers in vol. iv were not reviewed until the issue of May 12, 1804. Another line of evidence is found in the dates of sending or receiving the manuscripts of articles published in vol. iv of Neue Schriften. The manuscript of article no. VI, by Domeier, was sent from "London, in December 1802" (p. 110); article no. XVIII, by Karsten, was dated "AM

15ten Marz 1803" (p. 328); article XXIII was submitted by Trommsdorf from "Erfurt, im Febuar 1803" (p. 391); while article XXIV, by Bode, was submitted from "Berlin, den 26 sten April 1803" (p. 394). These dates are consistent with the interpretation derived from the first notice of vol. iv in the Göttingische gelehrte Anzeigen, "16. Febr. 1804". It is clear, then, that Michaux's Flora Boreali-Americana was on sale in March, 1803, but that Muhlenberg's paper on American Willows could not have been issued prior to the date of the foreword, May 3, 1803, and was probably not available until late in 1803 or early 1804.

Fortunately, in 1903 I made a detailed study of Michaux's Herbarium in Paris and made notes on or photographs of all types which were within my limited understanding. These have subsequently been supplemented by some hundreds of sharply clear photographs taken under the supervision of Metman by the photographer for the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Cintract, so that a fair proportion of Michaux's species are understood. Unhappily, however, in case of Salix only my memoranda and some very vague photographs of 1903 are available. These notes, nevertheless, were explicit, except for the one southern species which I then did not know.
(To be continued)
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## TECHNICAL STUDIES ON NORTH AMERICAN PLANTS

M. L. Fernald<br>(Continued from page 16)

1. Salix eriocephala Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 225 (1803) is represented by a good branch (except for broken leaf-tips) of the foliage "oblongo-ovalibus, basi subretusis, serrulatis", which my note of 1903 described "foliage of oblong-leaved cordata", and a flowering branch which clearly gave the name to the species, " S . diandra: ramulis minutim tomentosis: . . . amentis ovalibus, confertim villosissimis", "HAB. in regione Illinoensi", my note on it being "flowering branch near discolor". The type is material of the tomentulose-branched S. missouriensis Bebb in Garden and Forest, viii. 379 (1895). It has been erroneously placed with S. discolor as S. discolor Muhl., var. eriocephala (Michx.) Anderss. in DC. Prodr. xvi². 225 (1868), the very large precocious aments and long (up to 1 cm .) capsules having deceived those who did not consider its other characters, into thinking it $S$. discolor. Michaux's "foliis oblongo-ovalibus, basi subretusis" is not good for S. discolor which becomes relatively local in southern Illinois and adjacent eastern Missouri. Michaux collected his S. eriocephala "in regione Illinoensi". That meant southernmost Illinois, for Michaux went down the Ohio, camped at the mouth of the Wabash and then proceeded to the Mississippi near the mouth of the Ohio. Here S. missouriensis abounds ("Plants of the Lower Wabash Valley", Robt. Ridgeway, no. 1580), Ball explicitly referring to it "in Illinois along the Ohio River near its
junction with the Mississippi" (Ball in Deam, Shrubs Ind. 52), just the region where Michaux got his $S$. eriocephala. The very weak photograph which I got of the foliage of Michaux's type might almost as well have been taken from Ridgway, no. 1580 from the Lower Wabash, from Glatfelter's material from Crève Coeur, St. Louis Co., Missouri, or from Ball \& Over, nos. 2233, 2235 and 2246 from South Dakota-these all characteristic broad-leaved representatives of $S$. missouriensis.
2. S. cordata Michx. 1. c. (1803). This, although omitted from Index Kewensis, has nothing to do with the later published and generally more southern S. cordata Muhl. It was from Lake St. John, the entire treatment being
cordata. S. ramulis foliisque villosis: foliis cordato-ovalibus, acuminatis, argute serrulatis; stipulis foliaceis, maximis.
Hab. in Canada, ad lacum S. Joannis.
The shrub was very familiar to me when I studied Michaux's type, for only three years earlier I had been collecting it along the Aroostook River in northern Maine, hence my memorandum: "The most extreme broad-leaved pubescent form of the Aroostook R., once taken by me for S. adenophylla [with absolute correctness as it proves]." See later discussion.
3. S. incana Michx. l. c. (1803), not Schrank (1789). My memorandum accords with the long-held identification: "The true candida with flocculent pubescence. The spec. labelled 'Lac Mistassins et Riv. des Goelands'."
4. S. Longirostris Michx. 1. c. 226 (1803). My note says merely "One of the tristis forms". It is generally treated as $S$. tristis Ait. (1789).
5. S. caroliniana Michx. 1. c. (1803). Although S. caroliniana is commonly placed in the unquestioned synonymy of $S$. nigra Marsh., with which, in 1903, I was very familiar, I did not recognize the Michaux material of his S. caroliniana. Michaux identified it with the " $S$. pentandra?" of Walt. Fl. Carol. 243 (1788), which had "foliis glabris serratis nitidis lanceolatis" and which, if it at all resembled the Eurasian S. pentandra L., could not have looked very much like S. nigra Marsh., with, to quote Deam's Flora of Indiana, "leaves linear-lanceolate". Michaux's S. caroliniana was described as follows:

> Caroliniana. S. foliis lanceolatis, subtiliter arguteque serrulatis, subsessilibus: staminibus 4-6: amenti foeminei squamis oblongis, minutissime partimque lanuginosis; ovariis oblongis, glabris. S. pentandra? Walt. OBS. Affinis S. triandrae. HAB. in Carolina et Georgia.

In studying the American willows Schneider had before him the vast accumulations in all the larger American herbaria and it is significant that, with all these collections before him, he was unable to find any typical S. nigra from much of North and South Carolina and Georgia: "A very well-known eastern species the range of which seems to extend along the Atlantic coast from southern New Brunswick to northern North Carolina, and westward through northwestern South Carolina and northern Georgia (from where I have not yet seen typical material) to central and eastern Alabama . . . , southern Arkansas", etc. (Schneid. in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 6 (1919)). In S. nigra, according to Sargent, Silva, ix. 104, "The stamens vary from three to five in number"; similarly, Andersson, the most accurate student ever to work on Salix, said in DC. Prodr. xvi'. 200 (1868) "masc. 3-5-andris". Michaux's "4-6" slightly exceeds this and his "amenti foeminei squamis . . . partimque lanuginosis" is not too well described by Sargent's "scales . . . coated on the inner surface with pale hairs" nor by Andersson's "squamis in amentis . . . foemineis . . . glabriusculis vel basi et margine villosis".

In view of the great rarity in or absence from much of the area of "Carolina et Georgia" of Salix nigra and, likewise in view of Michaux's "OBS. Affinis S. triandrae", it would seem the obvious procedure to look for some common species of Carolina and Georgia which looks like the Eurasian S. triandra L. and which has 4 to 6 stamens, and the scales of the pistillate aments woolly at base. This is obviously S. longipes Shuttlew. ex Anders. (1868) and especially S. Wardi Bebb (1895), which Schneider treats as S. longipes, var. Wardi (Bebb.) Schneid. Typical S. longipes was recorded by Schneider in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 25 et seq., "from Cuba to northern Florida . . . and from . . . adjacent southeastern Georgia . . . , South Carolina eastern North Carolina . . . and in the southeastern corner of Virginia", the barely or hardly separable var. Wardi extending
to the District of Columbia "where it apparently reaches the most northern point of its distribution". One can hardly look at characteristic specimens of S. triandra without seeing marked resemblance in outline and breadth of leaves to those of $S$. longipes (including S. Wardi), but he would scarcely think of the more linear- and narrower-leaved S. nigra. Although Schneider in various papers talked around the subject, I fail to find him getting down to a concrete statement of the characters of $S$. longipes (and Wardi). Sargent's full description in his Silva, l. c. 107 , of $S$. Wardi emphasizes "leaves lanceolate to ovatelanceolate . . . or . . . linear-lanceolate . . . stamens . . . three to six", while S. longipes (as S. occidentalis Koch (1828), not Walt. (1788)) was defined with "leaves . . . lanceolate, . . . scales . . . oblong-obovate . . . and villous on the back . . . stamens five or six". Similarly, Ball, in his detailed description of $S$. longipes (including Wardi) in Deam's Shrubs of Indiana, 44, says "leaf-blades narrowly lanceolate to lanceolate, . . . scale oblanceolate or obovate, villous, . . . stamens 5-8". Assembling these modern statements and comparing with Michaux's we get for $S$. longipes (including $S$. occidentalis sensu Sargent and $S$. Wardi): leaves lanceolate (narrowly or broadly); scales of ament oblong-obovate or oblanceolate, villous on the back; stamens $3-8$. Michaux said: leaves lanceolate; scales minutely and partly woolly; stamens 4-6; furthermore his $S$. caroliniana came from Carolina and Georgia, where $S$. longipes abounds and where $S$. nigra is rare or local.

Two more points. Quite unfamiliar with Salix longipes (Wardi) in 1903, I entered only a query against Michaux's $S$. caroliniana. This was "Form of S. cordata?". That was only an off-hand suggestion, but Bebb, who set up the species, $S$. Wardi, in Gard. and For. viii. 363 (1895), had originally published it as S. nigra, var. Wardi in Ward, Guide to Flora of Washington, 114 (1881). He then (1881) spoke of a form of the latter which "might be easily mistaken (in the absence of aments) for an extravagant growth of $S$. cordata". Again, in 1895, he wrote: "The statement made when this Willow was first described that in some of its forms the leaves alone, with their ample stipules, might easily be mistaken for $S$. cordata, finds striking exemplification in Professor Short's specimen in the Gray Herbarium, which
two no less competent salicologists than Mr. Carey ${ }^{1}$ and Professor Andersson have mistaken for 'S. cordata angustata'. Indeed it is apparent from the description that this identical specimen served as the type of S. cordata angustata, $1^{\circ}$ forma discolor, Andersson (DC., Prod. xvi². 252)." When the foliage of Michaux's type of $S$. caroliniana reminded my then quite inexperienced eye of that of $S$. cordata (surely not of S. nigra) I was in distinguished company, for it is an honor to approach the class with the discerning John Carey, the highest of honors to get near the limited group of most cautious salicologists with Nils Johan Andersson!

Schneider states with seeming finality regarding Salix caroliniana that "unfortunately no type specimen seems to exist in Michaux's herbarium at Paris"-Journ. Arn. Arb. iii. 64 (1921). But, from what I have already noted, it is evident that Schneider did not at all understand the types at Paris of Michaux's S. eriocephala and S. cordata and probably never studied them. These types and that of S. caroliniana were all there in 1903, when I studied and photographed some of them; of course, since the invasion of Paris by Hitler's ravaging hordes they may now be missing; but shortly before the "blitzkrieg" in which Paris was invaded at the opening of the recent war the TYPE was there, for Cintract took the photograph of it which is before me. This photograph shows the relatively broad young leaves paler beneath than above and the toothing of Salix longipes, not of $S$. nigra. I am satisfied that S. caroliniana Michaux. (1803) is $S$. longipes Shuttlew. (1858), i. e. S. Wardi (Bebb) Bebb (1895).
S. cordata Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 225 (early 1803); Poiret in Lam. Encycl. Méth. vi. 661 (1804); not Muhl. in Ges. Naturf. Freunde Neue Schr. iv. 236, t. 6, fig. 3 (late 1803 or early 1804). S. adenophylla Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 146 (1839); Schneid. in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 158 (1920) in part (excl. S. syrticola); St. John, Vict. Mem. Mus. Mem. 125: 79 (1922); Raup in Sargentia, iv.

[^71]111 (1943).-Southeastern Labrador Peninsula to James Bay, Ontario, south to Newfoundland, Nova Scotia, northern Maine, eastern Cape Cod, northern New York, Simcoe and Bruce Cos., Ontario, and northern Michigan. Plates 997-1000.

Salix cordata Michx. has been wrongly guessed, ever since Willdenow, to be identical with the later S. cordata Muhl. (see pp. 14 and 28 and plates 995 and 996). In his Species Plantarum, iv ${ }^{2} .666$ (1806), Willdenow took up the later S. cordata Muhl., expanding Muhlenberg's original description to read "ramis glabris viridibus. Folia tripollicaria oblongo-lanceolata acuminata basi cordata, margine argute serrata, serraturis cartilagineis, utrinque glabra", etc.; and at the same time he maintained $S$. rigida Muhl. as a distinct species, although others have not been able to do so. S. rigida was thought to be distinguished by "ramis viridibus superne purpurascentibus, junioribus pubescentibus. Folia tripollicaria rigida oblongo-lanceolata acuminata basi subcordata, margine argute serrata, serratura infime elongata apice glandulosa" etc., not very convincing differences, especially when Muhlenberg's original figures (our plate 995, fig. 1 and 996, fig. 1) are compared. However, in S. rigida, with glabrous oblong-lanceolate subcordate leaves, Willdenow doubtfully included " S . (cordata) ramulis foliisque villosis, foliis cordatoovalibus acuminatis argute serrulatis, stipulis foliaceis maximis. Mich. amer. 225?'". There he had a really different species, which has positively cordate and narrowly oval or ovate leaves densely villous when young, and often to maturity, and coming originally from Lake St. John, which is more than 600 miles north of Muhlenberg's region (Lancaster County, Pennsylvania) and with a Hudsonian or Hudsonio-Canadian (instead of AlleghenianCarolinian) flora. As stated on a previous page (28) the type of $S$. cordata Michx. from Lake St. John is surely of the northern species with densely pubescent branchlets and young foliage, which is common from southeastern Labrador Peninsula to James Bay, a species (plates $997-1000$ ) of which many sheets from Lake St. John are before me. In its smallest-leaved developments it is quite identical with the type of S. adenophylla Hook. (plate 997, figs. 1 and 2) from "Labrador. Dr. Morrison", the latter region being presumably the Côte Nord of the eastern part of Saguenay County, Quebec, which in Hooker's (and Morrison's) time was included in "Labrador". At least the type of S. adeno-
phylla could perfectly well have come from the Natashquan River, the old "American Harbour," for Natashquan material (plate 997, figs. 3 and 4) is very like it. The photograph of Hooker's type of S. adenophylla was sent to the late Professor Sargent and is preserved, along with some leaves and portions of an ament at the Arnold Arboretum. In its details it is quite like specimens from Newfoundland, the Côte Nord, Lake St. John, Aroostook River, Maine, James Bay and elsewhere in the range of Michaux's species; and the photograph and fragments exactly agree with Hooker's detailed account of his S. adenophylla:
8. S. adenophylla; ramis brevibus subrobustis lanatis, foliis ovatis basi cordatis acutis subcoriaceis fere omnino sessilibus reticulatim venosis argute serratis serraturis elongatis glanduliferis lana sericea dense obsitis demum aetate nudiusculis, stipulis ovato-cordatis grosse glandulososerratis, amentis foemineis elongatis pedunculatis, capsulis ovatis acuminatis glaberrimis, stylo elongato, stigmatis lobis fissis.

нав. Labrador. Dr. Morrison.-I know no species like this, well marked as it is by the copious long narrow serratures to the leaves tipped with a gland, so that the leaf looks as if it were fringed with pedicellated glands. These leaves are an inch or more long, clothed, even when fully grown, with long silky tomentum on both sides, but which is deciduous on the oldest leaves . .

Although the teeth on young and just expanding leaves of Salix cordata Michx. (and S. adenophylla) may be prolonged and gland-tipped, they are often lower, blunter and less evidently ending in glands, in such specimens the toothing approaching that of S. rigida Muhl. (S. cordata Muhl.). Furthermore, the narrow-est-leaved S. cordata resembles the broadest-leaved S. rigida but the blades are more generally cordate in the former than in the latter. Measurements of all mature foliage in the Gray Herbarium gives the following results, to which I add the far more significant characters of the aments.
S. cordata: leaves broadly oblong-lanceolate to ovate, mostly strongly cordate, the mature ones $3-13$ (av. 6.5) cm . long and 2-5.5 (av. 3.5) cm . broad; staminate aments terminating leafy axillary branchlets, the leaves well developed at anthesis, the bracts with whitish beard; pistillate aments dense, in anthesis with appressed-ascending ovaries, in fruit with the capsules crowded on very short pedicels shorter than to barely exceeding the bracts.
S. Rigida: leaves oblong-lanceolate, subcordate, rounded or tapering or attenuate at base, the mature blades one eighth to one third as broad as long, $4.5-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $0.9-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad; staminate aments subtended by short bracts barely expanded at anthesis; pistillate aments in anthesis with widely (often horizontally) divergent ovaries, in fruit with the widely divergent capsules on pedicels as long as to much longer than their subtending bracts.

Typical Salix cordata Michx. has heavily villous branchlets and young leaves, the pubescence inclined to persist on the mature foliage. In the eastern part of its range it is represented in many areas (along certain rivers, etc.) by a glabrous or glabrescent extreme, which has the aments, stamens, short pedicels and cordate leaf of the typical extreme of the species, but with the teeth less often prolonged and gland-tipped than in that shrub. With leaf-outline and aments of S. cordata and occurring only in Newfoundland, eastern Canada and northern Maine, mostly north of S. rigida, it seems to be an extreme of the northern species. This is
S. cordata Michx., var. abrasa, var. nov., ramulis petiolisque glabris vel glabratis; foliis costa excepta glabris vel glabratis.Newfoundland and Gaspé Peninsula, Quebec, to Nova Scotia and northern Maine. The following are characteristic. Newfoundland: Birchy Pond Stream, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3149; Harry's River (or Brook), Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 3150; Force-le-Plain, Harry's Brook, R. B. Kennedy, nos. 305 and 387; Riverview Camp, Grand Codroy River, Pease \& Edgerton, no. 27,120. Quebec: R. Ste. Anne des Monts, Gaspé Co., July 14-17, 1906, Fernald \& Collins, nos. 484 (type in Herb. Gray.), 485 and 486; R. Petite Cascapedia, Bonaventure Co., Victorin, Rolland \& Jacques, no. 33,845; Bonaventure R., Bonaventure Co., Aug. 4-8, 1904, Collins, Fernald \& Pease (Pease, nos. 5831 and 5897); junction of Restigouche and Matapedia Rivers between Quebec and New Brunswick, Rousseau \& Boivin, nos. 32,037 and 32,082; Grande-Décharge, Lac St.-Jean, Victorin, Rolland \& Meilleur, no. 45,872. New Brunswick: Tom's Island, Restigouche R., July 30, 1896, G. U. Hay; lower Tobique River, Oct., 1945, G. D. Chamberlain. Nova Scotia: Salt Springs, Pictou Co., Perry, Wetmore, Hicks \& Prince, no. 10,134; Truro, Colchester Co., J. G. Jack, no. 3633; Wellington, Shubenacadie Grand Lake, Halifax Co., Fernald, Bartram \& Long, no. 23,739 (transitional); Landsdown, Digby Co., J. G. Jack, no. 3704. Maine: Fort Kent, Aroostook Co., Fernald, nos. 2473-2475; Pease, no. 2578.

Schneider, who considered Salix cordata Muhl. and S. cordata Michx. identical and who put them both into § Cordatae, treated $S$. adenophylla Hook. (which is really very small-leaved $S$. cordata Michx.) as the type of a separate § Adenophyllae. He was unable to distinguish from the latter northern species the very different shrub of sands about Lake Michigan, S. syrticola Fernald in Rhodora, ix. 225 (1907), (our plates 1001 and 1002),

Schneider saying "Unfortunately Fernald did not see Hooker's type of which I have before me an excellent photograph and some fragments from the Kew Herbarium . . . When he proposed his new species he did not know of the specimens collected by Macoun, Ross and Spreadborough in the James Bay region. They connect the original habitat of (probably southern) Labrador and that of S. syrticola. The only difference between the forms of James Bay and those of the Great Lakes, so far as I can judge, is in the length of the styles, which measure about 1.5 mm . in typical $S$. adenophylla, while they rarely are longer than 1 mm . in S. syrticola"-Schneid. in Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 158, 159 (1920).

Now it so happens that, in 1903 (again in 1930) the author of Salix syrticola had closely studied Hooker's type of S. adenophylla and he has many times studied colonies of S. adenophylla in Newfoundland, Quebec and northern Maine. The type, from the northeastern and rather inhospitable limit of the specific range, was unusually small-leaved and heavily pubescent, but the characters of this species (as the earlier $S$. cordata Michx.) are shown in plates $997-1000$. Whether $S$. syrticola is fully distinct from $S$. cordata it is too soon to assert with finality. In general the two are very different in many characters, but in northern Michigan and about the Lake Huron shores of Ontario some shrubs indicate possible transitions which are no more tangible than the "mongrels" into which other species of Salix regularly "spawn" (to quote Bailey's picturesque phrase) when they meet and cross. Until very recently we did not know good staminate aments and the plans to secure them last June from the type-region of the northern shrub, Lake St. John, or from northern Maine were thwarted by the erratic weather, when abnormally late frosts after an abnormally early opening of spring blighted the flowers or fruits as well as vegetative tips of many species occurring from eastern Canada to the Southeastern States. Most fortunately, however, in late June and early July, Fathers Dutilly and Lepage secured beautiful freshly flowering staminate, as well as pistillate, material near James Bay. This differs at once from $S$. syrticola in many characters. As to the staminate aments, they are borne at the tips of well developed leafy branchlets, the bracteal leaves very much more developed than are those of S. syrticola during anthesis, and the beard of the bracts is much whiter than the fuscous beard in S. syrticola.

These characters of the staminate aments, accompanied by parallel ones in the pistillate, and by different outline, toothing and reticulation of leaves, different toothing of stipules, etc., lead to the following statement of contrasts.
S. cordata: Leaves broadly lance-oblong to oblong-ovate, long-acuminate, gradually tapering from below or near the middle, the mature ones $3-13 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; each margin with 25-90 (av. 55) forward-arching at first often glandtipped but soon glandless mostly simple teeth; the mature petiole (2-) 5-35 (av. 13) mm . long; the mature lower surface with secondary veins slender and relatively low: mature and larger stipules with $0-22$ (av. 14) gland-tipped or mostly glandless teeth on the longer margin; staminate aments on leafy branchlets, the leaves well-grown at anthesis; the blackish to brown bracts with white beard: pistillate aments in maturity $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; bracts narrowly obovate, fuscous or brown, their bright white beard only slightly longer; ovaries in anthesis appressed-ascending, in fruit more spreading, on pedicels shorter than bracts. Plates 997-1000.
S. syrticola: Leaves oblong-ovate, acute or abruptly short-acuminate from well above the middle, the mature ones $3.5-9.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; each margin with 81-137 (av. 105) horizontally or subhorizontally divergent and permanently gland-tipped prolonged often compound teeth; the mature petiole 2-10 ( -15 ) (av. 6.3) mm . long, thicker than in the preceding; mature lower surface with secondary veins coarse and rather prominent: mature and larger stipules with 24-40 (av. 32) mostly gland-tipped and straight teeth on the longer margin: staminate aments in full anthesis subtended by leaves only about one-fourth grown; the oblong pale brown bracts with ashy-white to fuscous beard: pistillate aments in maturity $6-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; flowers soon divergent, the mature capsules on pedicels nearly as long as to longer than blade of the oblong pale brown bract, the beard of the latter very long, ashy; style 0.7-1.5 mm. long. Plates 1001 and 1002.

With such an abundance of distinctive characters, I find myself incapable of following Schneider in treating $S$. cordata ( $S$. adenophylla) and S. syrticola as absolutely the same. Schneider states that the "styles . . . measure about 1.5 mm . in typical $S$. adenophylla [i. e. cordata], while they rarely are longer than 1 mm . in S. syrticola" (Schneider, Journ. Arn. Arb. i. 159). Rather naturally, however, my measurements of the style of S. syrticola accurately coincide with those of Ball in Deam's Shrubs of Indiana, $0.7-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. I am not, as already emphasized, convinced that $S$. cordata (S. adenophylla) and S. syrticola are conspecific, although, as also noted above, at the northern border of the range of the latter some transitional specimens may possibly occur. So they do at the border-lines or coincident ranges of many willows. That is one of the reasons the willows are difficult; they will cross.

Incidentally, Salix syrticola has its greatest development on the dunes of Lake Michigan, especially toward the southern end of the Lake, a region famous for the isolation there of prevailingly
southern, rather than northern species. Although the dunes support Pinus Banksiana and a few other common Hudsonian and Canadian species, they are famous largely on account of the remarkable assemblage of far-isolated southern or southeastern species. These include Aristida tuberculosa, "Massachusetts to Georgia and Mississippi; around the southern end of Lake Michigan and in . . . Wisconsin, Indiana, Illinois", etc. (Hitchcock); Panicum auburne, "Massachusetts to northern Florida and Louisiana; Arkansas; Indiana, near Lake Michigan" (Hitchcock); Eleocharis geniculata (E. caribaea), Florida to Texas and southern California, north near coast to North Carolina, sands of Great Lakes, southern Ontario to Michigan and northwestern Indiana; E. melanocarpa, localized in northern Florida and Georgia, southeastern Virginia, southern New Jersey to southeastern Massachusetts, eastern Texas, and dunes of Lake Michigan; Psilocarya nitens, occurring from eastern Texas to Florida, thence north to southeastern North Carolina, otherwise highly localized in Sussex County, Virginia, Cape May region, New Jersey, Suffolk County, Long Island, Plymouth County, Massachusetts, and dunes of Lake Michigan; and so on to Stachys hyssopifolia, a very characteristic species occurring from southern New England and southeastern New York to South Carolina and isolated in southern Michigan and northwestern Indiana; or Lycopus amplectens Raf. (L. sessilifolius Gray), one of the most definite of species, occurring from Mississippi to Florida, thence to North Carolina, from southern New Jersey to southeastern Massachusetts, and among the dunes of Lake Michigan. In view of this striking southern, rather than prevailingly Hudsonian, relationship of the flora of the dunes of Lake Michigan, it is wise to hesitate before too positively asserting that $S$. syrticola of the dunes of Lake Michigan is, in spite of its many distinctive characters, identical with the shrub which prevails in the Hudsonio-Canadian area from Hudson Bay to southern Labrador and Newfoundland. Primarily upon S. syrticola which he misidentified with S. adenophylla, Schneider set up his § Adenophyllae, although, as quoted by me on p. 15, he admitted that he was perplexed "to draw a sharp line between [§ Cordatae] . . . and the [§] Adenophyllae". If S. cordata Michx. and S. cordata Muhl. are, as Schneider incorrectly says, identical, though clearly of the same section, and if, as it
seems to me, S. adenophylla is the same as $S$. cordata Michx. (not Muhl.), then § Adenophyllae Schneid. has a very slim basis for separation from $\delta$ Cordatae.

The automatic abandonment of the later name, Salix cordata Muhl., not Michx., necessitates the following transfers:
S. rigida Muhl., forma mollis (Palmer \& Steyerm.), comb. nov. S. cordata Muhl., forma mollis Palmer \& Steyerm. in Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. xxv. 770 (1938).
S. rigida Muhl., var. angustata (Pursh), comb. nov. S. angustata Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. ii. 613 (1814). S. cordata Muhl., ß. angustata (Pursh) Anderss. in DC. Prodr. xvi². 252 (1868).

Typical Salix rigida Muhl. has the leaves broadly rounded to subcordate or slightly cordate at base, one sixth to one third as broad as long, the mature blades $1.5-4.5$ (av. 2.75) cm. broad. In var. angustata the blades taper to base or are very gradually rounded, one eighth to one fourth as broad as long, the mature ones 0.9-2.2 (av. 1.5) cm. broad.

## 2. Additional Names and Transfers in Salix-

$\times$ S. Jesupi. S. pameachiana sensu Anders. in K. Svensk. Vet.-Akad. Handl. vi. 48 (1867), not Barratt (1840). S. alba, var. pameachiana Anders. in DC. Prodr. xvi. 212 (1868), not $S$. pameachiana Barratt, basonym. S. alba $\times$ lucida Bebb in Gard. and For. viii. 423, 424, fig. 57 (1895).

Named for its discoverer, Henry Griswold Jesup (18261903). Salix pameachiana Barratt, Salices Amer. (1840) "growing about the Pameacha stream in this town [Middletown, Connecticut]" and, therefore, not a personal name as assumed by Andersson and by Schneider, who regularly used a capital initial, was said by Barratt to be "the intermediate of S . vitellina and the former [S. decipiens or fragilis]". Carey in Gray, Man. 428 (1848) treated it as $S$. alba, var. vitellina $\times$ S. fragilis. It has nothing to do with the very striking $\times S$. Jesupi.
S. interior Rowlee, var. exterior, var. nov., foliis plus minusve persistenter sericeis breviter subacutis oblongo-lanceolatis dentibus suppressis, lamina $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa ad 1.5 cm . lata.-Beaches of Aroostook River, Maine: Caribou, July 18, 1902, Williams, Collins \& Fernald (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. N. E. Bot. Cl.) ; Fort Fairfield, June 28, 1931, Fernald \& Weatherby, no. 2432. Pennsylvania: banks of Susquehanna River, above McCall's Ferry, York Co., Sept. 13, 1864, T. C. Porter (Herb. Phil. Acad.; fragments and tracing in Gray Herb.).

In its very short and broad leaves with suppressed teeth quite distinct from narrower-leaved typical Salix interior, in which the usually divergent teeth are prominent. S. interior, named for its extensive inland development, or its forma Wheeleri (Rowlee) Rouleau, extends from Alaska to Oklahoma and Arkansas, and eastward in the North to the St. Lawrence River in Quebec and the Connecticut in western New England, with a slight but isolated occurrence along the St. John in New Brunswick and the lower Restigouche in Quebec. Var. exterior is close to the easternmost edge of the specific range. Although the name S. longifolia Muhl. (1803) is still much used, it was clearly antedated by the wholly different S. longifolia Lam. (1778).
S. reticulata L., var. semicalva, var. nov. (tab. 1003, fig. $2-4$ et tab. 1004, fig. 2-4), squamis masculis flavescentibus dorso glabris vel glabratis; antheris flavescentibus; squamis foemineis flavescentibus vel fulvis; capsulis sparse breviterque pilosis.-Limestone barrens and gravels, northern and northwestern Newfoundland: Quirpon Island, Wiegand, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, no. 27,939; Cook Point, Pistolet Bay, Fernald \& Gilbert, no. 27,936; Anse aux Sauvages, Pistolet Bay, Fernald, Wiegand \& Long, no. 27,940; Sandy (or Poverty) Cove, Straits of Belle Isle, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,586; between Nameless Cove and Mistaken Cove, Straits of Belle Isle, Wiegand, Pease, Long \& Hotchkiss, no. 27,935; Flower Cove, July 17, 1920, Mary E. Priest; Capstan Point, Flower Cove, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,585; south of Flower Cove, Wiegand, Pease, Long \& Hotchkiss, no. 27,934; Brig Bay, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,587; St. John Island, Fernald, Wiegand, Long, Gilbert \& Hotchkiss, nos. 27,937 and 27,938; Ingornachoix Bay, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 301; Gargamelle Cove, Ingornachoix Bay, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, nos. 1578 (TYPe in Herb. Gray., July 20, 1929)1580; Pointe Riche, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1581.

The two collections (Woodworth, no. 143, and Abbe \& Odell, no. 210), from the Torngat region of northern Labrador, have the pale bracts and the minutely puberulent ovaries of var. semicalva. I have seen no staminate material from that area.

Typical arctic-alpine Salix reticulata (plate 1003, fig. 1 and 1004, FIG. 1) has the bracts of the pistillate aments dark (deep purplish to blackish), those of the staminate aments heavily villous at base on the back (as well as on the inner surface), the anthers dark (Schneider in Journ. Arn. Arb. iii. 92 (1921), in his key saying "Antherae violaceae" for S. reticulata as opposed to
"Antherae flavae" for S. vestita), the ovaries and capsules heavily white-tomentulose. The arctic shrub (especially in Eurasia) may often have silky pubescence on the young leaves, this persisting near the base of the leaf on the back; and in European (typical) specimens the peduncle is usually villous. The Newfoundland representative of the species is glabrous from the first or with the young peduncles only sparsely pilose. Its aments have yellowish-brown to fulvous bracts, these in the staminate aments only weakly pilose or promptly glabrescent, the anthers pale or yellow, while the pubescence of the capsules is so short and fine that the purplish color of the capsule is scarcely obscured.
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In fact, Salix § Reticulatae has strongly diverged in Newfoundland and the adjacent Labrador Peninsula from orthodox characters of the section, Schneider in Bot. Gaz. lxvii. 44 (1919) finding "the characters of the Reticulatae ...further changed by the inclusion of S. leiolepis [endemic in western Newfoundland] with glabrous ovaries". S. leiolepis (plate 1005), with habit of very coarse-stemmed $S$. reticulata but with strictly glabrous bracts and ovaries, is endemic, so far as known, on Table Mt., Port-au-Port Bay, 150 miles south of the southern known limit of S. reticulata var. semicalva. In the same general area with the embarrassing $S$. leiolepis the coarse and usually upright $S$. vestita is highly complex. Furthermore, this very definite coarse species of the Reticulatae, with very short petioles, the coriaceous leaf-blades usually heavily clothed beneath with dense and lustrous silky white hairs, and with staminate aments 1-1.5 cm . long, has diverged on Eskimo Island, west of the Straits of Belle Isle, and locally on walls of the Shickshock Mts. of the Gaspé Peninsula, as S. vestita, var. psilophylla Fernald \& St. John in Vict. Mem. Mus. Mem. 126: 44 (1922), with the membranaceous leaves glabrescent beneath, the staminate aments 1.7-2.5 cm . long. Again, along the Straits of Belle Isle the Reticulatae have thrown off another endemic, S. jejuna Fernald in Rhodora,
xxviii. 177 (1926), this tiny shrub (plate 1006) differing from S. reticulata in its very short (instead of long) petioles and short(instead of long-) peduncled fruiting aments, and in the very long ascending villi of the papillate capsule, and from the Newfoundland $S$. reticulata, var. semicalva still further in its fuscous or dark purple very pubescent bracts. Furthermore, this unique little species of northernmost Newfoundland may flower twice in the same summer. In June or early July the fruits are ripe but one of the original collections, of July 16, had already fruited, while the new, vigorous shoots were already producing new flowering aments, without waiting for the next summer. Since neither of the endemic Newfoundland species of § Reticulato have been illustrated, I am showing them in plates 1005 and 1006.
S. vestita Pursh, forma mensalis, f. nov., trunco prostrato; foliis $1-1.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; amentis fructiferis $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis.Newfoundland: mossy knolls on the limestone tableland, alt. 200-300 m., Table Mountain, Port-au-Port Bay, June 16 and 17, 1914, Fernald \& St. John, no. 10,824, specimens distributed under an identical but unpublished varietal name.

Forming dense prostrate mats 1.5 dm . broad, with tiny leaves only $1-1.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, and fruiting aments $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; in strong contrast with the erect or ascending typical Salix vestita (up to 1 m . high) which has leaves $1.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the fruiting aments $0.6-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.

The section Reticulatae Fries is unique among the diandrous Salices. In the tremendously extensive series of sections of diandrous willows with persistent bracts the aments or their supporting branchlets are axillary, terminal or subterminal, and the flowers are subtended by 1 or 2 slender to stout simple glands or nectaries. In the round-, roundish- or obovate- and reticulateleaved § Reticulatae the peduncled aments are falsely terminal, borne just below the tip of the branchlet and on the side of the stem opposite the terminal leaf; and the glands of both staminate and pistillate flowers often form a false disk (as in Populus) with the margin lobed. Thus making a transition in its gland and in several other characters to Populus, the section was set up by A. Kerner in 1860 as a genus, Chamitea. Of this differentiation the late Professor C. E. Moss wrote in his Cambridge British Flora, ii. 25 (1914):


#### Abstract

"S. reticulata possesses so many remarkable characters, showing it to be, in spite of the great difference in habit, intermediate in several respects between Populus and species of Salix in general, that there is little wonder that Kerner ... suggested it should be placed in a new genus. However, the remarkable characters possessed by S. reticulata are so distributed among the other more primitive species of Salix that its generic separation from them cannot be maintained; and indeed Kerner himself at a later date accepted this view. The characters by which $S$. reticulata recalls Populus are the suckering habit, the long petioles, the broad laminae, and the perianthoid nature of the nectary. In its androecium, however, it has become a thorough Salix, more so even than $S$. pentandra, which has rather broad laminae, a double nectary, and, as a rule, 5 stamens at least. It seems to us that $S$. pentandra and $S$. reticulata diverged long ago from a primitive Salicalian stock, that each has retained a few of the Populus-like characters which this ancestral hypothetical group possessed, and that each of these species or their ancient allies have given rise to the other species of Salix, some of which . . . exhibit interesting features of convergent development."


The very primitive § Reticulatae consists of only a few localized species: (1) S. reticulata of arctic-alpine range on calcareous soils, extending south to the higher mountains of Eurasia and in America in very local areas to northwestern Newfoundland (as var. semicalva), shores of Hudson Bay and southern Alaska and the Aleutian Islands; (2) S. vestita Pursh, with localized varieties, of the Labrador Peninsula, Newfoundland, Anticosti and Gaspé, west side of Hudson Bay, Cordilleran region of southern Alberta and British Columbia to northern Montana and eastern Oregon; (3) S. leiolepis and (4) S. jejuna, Newfoundland endemics; (5) S. nivalis Hook. of alpine regions of the Rocky Mts.-evidently a primitive section, consisting only of a few disjoined relicts.

The pentandrous willows, on the other hand, such as those of §§ Nigrae, Pentandrae and Bonplandianae, are relatively southern (some even tropical) and their species, S. nigra Marsh., Humboldtiana Willd., lucida Muhl., Bonplandiana Kunth, etc., have broadly continuous ranges. Although presumably, as Moss pointed out, of as great antiquity as § Reticulatae, both series showing primitive characters, the pentandrous and chiefly more austral willows show no more evidence of relict-endemism than do the relatively modern diandrous species, such as $S$. rigida Muhl., S. humilis Marsh., S. discolor Muhl. or S. Bebbiana Sargent. §Reticulatae, however, has remained somewhat static and relict-endemism is one of its striking peculiarities. In view
of its concentration in northern and western Newfoundland and its association there with hundreds of other relict-species of both plants and animals, those who believe the present distribution of nonaggressive plants and animals of as great or greater significance as the remote occurrence of chance fossils, find themselves unable to subscribe to the insistence of certain geologists and others, that life on Newfoundland and in adjacent areas was wholly obliterated by Wisconsin ice. It would be most difficult to demonstrate that in that area the relatively modern sections of diandrous Salix have in a few thousand years given rise to localized shrubs with more primitive floral characters.

Salix, § Uva-ursi, sect. nov., a § Herbaceae Borrer differt trunco valde ligneo vix subterraneo, ramulis valde foliosis; foliis firmis nec rotundatis nee valde reticulatis subtus albidis; amentis multifloris; bracteis valde sericeis; stamine plerumque 1. Type S. Uva-ursi Pursh.

It is most difficult to see any close relationship of the eastern boreal American Salix Uva-ursi and the circumpolar S. herbacea L. The latter has its trunks and main branches subterranean, stoloniferous and rooting at the nodes, only the short ascending filiform branchlets above ground, these bearing 2-4 reticulate rounded slender-petioled leaves which are green on both sides, and subterminal $2-8$-flowered tiny aments, with nearly glabrous bracts, and the staminate flowers with 2 stamens. S. Uva-ursi is a strongly ligneous prostrate shrub, forming extensive superficial and very leafy carpets; the firm leaves not rounded, whitened beneath and not conspicuously reticulate, also shortpetioled; the many-flowered aments with long-silky bracts; the stamen solitary (rarely 2).
S. arctica Pallas, var. antiplasta (Schneider), comb. nov. S. anglorum Cham., var. antiplasta Schneider in Bot. Gaz. Ixvi. 134 (1918).

Salix, § Argyrocarpae, sect. nov. Frutex $0.2-1.7 \mathrm{~m}$. altus; foliis subtus micaceo-sericeis; stipulis minutis fugaceis; amentis fructiferis laxis; capsulis micaceo-sericeis; pedicellis elongatis glandulas duas $3-4$-plo superantibus; staminibus 2. Type $S$. argyrocarpa Anders.

The boreal and alpine Salix argyrocarpa of northeastern America, like its associate, S. Uva-ursi, stands so far apart from other willows that it deserves a place in the system of Sections.

Andersson, Bebb and Schneider have tried to place it in some section and the latter close student of the genus finally left it unanchored.
S. glaucophylloides Fernald, forma lasioclada, f. nov., ramulis persistenter griseo-velutinis. Type from Robinson's Brook, southwestern Newfoundland, August 10, 1930, Rachel B. Kennedy, no. 470, in Herb. Gray; flowering material from the same shrub, coll. June 7, 1930, no. 254.

Differing from the glabrous- and lustrous-branched typical $S$. glaucophylloides in its densely gray-velvety branchlets. Found through much of the range of typical S. glaucophylloides.
S. glaucophylloides Fernald, var. albovestita (C. R. Ball), comb. nov. S. glaucophylla Bebb, var. albovestita C. R. Ball in Journ. Wash. Acad. Sci. xxix. 492 (1939).

Unfortunately the name Salix glaucophylla Bebb (1881) is antedated by the same name for quite different species by Besser (1822) and by Andersson (1851). Typical S. glaucophylloides, occurring on gravelly shores in calcareous areas from Newfoundland to northern Ontario, south to the Gaspé Peninsula, northern New Brunswick and northern Maine, is a coarse shrub or small tree up to 5 m . high, its oblong to lanceolate or ovate leaves glaucous beneath and lustrous above, these about half-grown at anthesis, the aments subtended by $3-5$ leaves. Its pistillate aments are dense, in maturity $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the capsules on pedicels only $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Var. glaucophylla (Bebb) Schneider, localized about the Great Lakes, is a low shrub (1-2.5 m. high), its aments expanding before the leaves are well grown, the pistillate aments lax and subremotely flowered, becoming 6-10 cm . long, with fruiting pedicels $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Var. albovestita is similar to the latter and found on dunes of the Great Lakes from New York and southern Ontario to Michigan. Its branchlets are densely pubescent and the young (sometimes the old) leaves are clothed with dense white pubescence.
S. humilis Marsh., var. hyporhysa, var. nov. Frutex 1-3 m. altus; ramulis fertilibus $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. crassis; foliis glabratis vel subtus sparse puberulis, subtus valde rugoso-reticulatis, lamina matura $0.7-2(-3) \mathrm{cm}$. lata; amentis masculis $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $1-2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. crassis; amentis fructiferis $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis. $-S$. humilis, var. rigidiuscula sensu Rob. \& Fern. in Gray, Man. ed. 7: 362 (1908) not the basic S. humilis, var. longifolia, f. rigidiuscula Anders. (1897).-The commoner variety southward, from Florida
to eastern Texas, north on or near the coastal plain to eastern Connecticut, Long Island, New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania, and inland to West Virginia, Ohio, southern Michigan, southern Wisconsin, Iowa and Oklahoma. Type from New Jersey: open thickets bordering brackish marshes, Manahawkin, Ocean Co., July 23, 1923, Bayard Long, no. 28,011 (in Herb. Gray.).
S. humilis, var. microphylla (Anders.), comb. nov. S. tristis Ait. Hort. Kew. iii. 393 (1789), S. tristis microphylla Anders. in Öfv. Svensk. Vet.-Akad. Frösh. xv. 126 (1858). S. humilis, var. tristis (Ait.) Griggs in Proc. Ohio Acad. Sci. iv. 301, t. x (1905).
S. humilis, var. microphylla, forma tortifolia, f. nov., foliis valde spiraliter tortis.-Massachusetts: Plymouth, Wm. Oakes, with manuscript label bearing an unpublished varietal name (type in Herb. Gray.); dry hill, Plymouth, Sept. 22, 1853, Wm. Boott; another sheet, with copied (not original) label, "Ipswich, Masaschusetts ex herb. William Oakes", with typical flat-leaved and twisted-leaved branches mixed.

Oakes's material was evidently collected prior to 1848. The type-sheet has above his label the stamped memorandum "Manual, 1847 ". Gray's Manual 425 (1848) has under S. tristis the note "A variety occurs with very small and rigid contorted leaves."
S. humilis, var. microphylla, forma curtifolia (Fernald), comb. nov. S. tristis, forma curtifolia Fernald in Rhodora, xxxvi. 195 (1934).
S. humilis, var. microphylla, forma festiva (Fernald), comb. nov. S. tristis, forma festiva Fernald in Rhodora, l. c. (1934).
S. Gracilis Anders., var. textoris, var. nov., capsulis ad 9 mm . longis; foliis maturis glabratis $4-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis ad 2 cm . latis evidenter serrato-dentatis, dentibus apice glanduliferis. (S. petiolaris sensu Pursh and later American authors, not J. E. Smith) -Southern Quebec to Manitoba, south to New Brunswick, New England, northern New Jersey, northeastern and central Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, northern Iowa and Nebraska. Type: Massachusetts: border of boggy meadow near Concord River, Bedford, May 11 and June 30, 1930, Fernald, Weatherby \& Anderson in Pl. Exsicc. Gray. no. 447 (in Herb. Gray.; isotypes in many herbaria).

Typical Salix gracilis is smaller and generally more northern, occurring from Quebec to Alberta, south to northern Massachusetts, western Connecticut (local), northern New York, northern Michigan, northern Wisconsin and Minnesota. It is S. petiolaris, vars. rosmarinoides (Anders.) Schneid., and angustifolia

Anders., characterized by capsules only $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and leaves entire or only obscurely denticulate, the mature ones $2.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $3-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad.

Var. textoris (of the basket-maker) is so named because of the memoranda by Joseph Barratt (Salic. Amer.) and others, Barratt (1840) stating that "Mr. Hopkins, an experienced basket-maker
assures me that the green osier ...furnishes the best twigs of any Willow he knows . . . The twigs are hard, tough, and elastic, and twist well for handles . . . It furnishes long, smooth twigs with small buds; the twigs are less tapering than is usual, which enhances their value to the basket-maker."

It seems extraordinary that the identity of our low shrub, Salix gracilis, should, for more than a century and a quarter, have been confused by all students of Salix with the British tree, S. petiolaris J. E. Smith, Trans. Linn. Soc. vi. 122 (1802), Engl. Bot. xvi. t. 1147 (1803) and Fl. Brit. iii. 1048 (1804), etc. Our S. gracilis is a slender shrub with erect green to olive-brown tenuous and flexible branches $1-3 \mathrm{~m}$. high; aments with narrow (linear-lanceolate to narrowly oblanceolate or spatulate) yellowish or pale brown bracts; leaves linear or narrowly lanceolate, entire to short-serrate-dentate, and $2.5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. ( $1-4$ inches) long by $3-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. ( $1 / 8-3 / 4 \mathrm{inch}$ ) wide, with stipules usually quite wanting, very rarely present on the sprouts but then minute and caducous. S. petiolaris, at first known from meagre material sent by Dickson from Scotland, was soon better known and the treatment by Forbes, Salict. Woburn. 45, t. 23 (1829), gave a good account of it: "A bushy tree, with slender, spreading [not strongly ascending] purplish, or dark-brown [not green or olivaceous] branches. Leaves about 4 inches long, and nearly 1 broad [in S. gracilis $1-4$ inches long, $1 / 8-3 / 4$ inch broad]. Stipules lanceolate, serrated [in S. gracilis wanting] . . Scales rounded, notched [in S. gracilis elongate, entire, and yellowish; Smith's original diagnosis said "black, hairy, obovate, often notched"]. .. Stalk of the germen as long as the adjoining scale", though in the plate shown as shorter [in S. gracilis much longer]. Forbes's beautiful plate would scarcely be taken as made from our slender and upright shrub; and European specimens, distributed as $S$. petiolaris, show a dense, curling, soft pubescence on the leaves and young branchlets, whereas the pubescence of young leaves
(rarely if ever occurring on the branchlets) of S. gracilis is minute, silky and closely appressed. Although Schneider talked all around the subject in Journ. Arn. Arb. ii. 16-24 (1920), there is no indication in his discussion that he actually compared our species with true S. petiolaris. The latter name seems to have been wholly misapplied to our material.

## Explanation of Plates 995-1006

Plates 995 and 996, Salix rigida Muhl. (S. cordata Muhl.). Plate 995: FIG. 1, leaf of TYPE of S. rigida, $\times 1$, after Muhlenberg; FIG. 2, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Hinsdale, New Hampshire, May 15, 1919, C. F. Batchelder; FIG. 3, portion of young pistillate ament, showing characteristically divergent flowers, $\times 5$, from Lebanon, New Hampshire, May 4, 1884, G. G. Kennedy; Fig. 4, fruiting ament, to show small basal bracts, $\times 4 / 5$, from Buckland, Massachusetts, May 21, 1906, F. F. Forbes; Fig. 5, portion of latter ament, to show elongate pedicels, $\times 5$. Plate 996: fig. 1, leaf of $S$. cordata Muhl., $\times 1$, after Muhlenberg; fig. 2, staminate flowering branch, $\times 1$, from Shelburne, New Hampshire, May 21, 1920, Walter Deane; fig. 3, pistillate flowering branch, $\times 1$, from Lebanon, New Hampshire, May 4, 1889, Kennedy; fig. 4, portion of half-mature pistillate ament, showing long pedicels, $\times 5$, from Hinsdale, New Hampshire, May 15, 1919, C. F. Batchelder.

Plates 997-1000, S. cordata Michaux (S. adenophylla Hook.). Plate 997: fig. 1, type of $S$. adenophylla, $\times$ ca. $1 / 2$; FIG. 2, margin of leaf of TYPE of $S$. adenophylla, showing porrect gland-tipped teeth, $\times 10$; FIG. 3 , tip of leafy branch, $\times 4 / 5$, from Natashquan River, Saguenay County ("Labrador"), Quebec, July, Aug., 1912, C. W. Townsend; FIG. 4, fruiting ament, with foliaceous bracts, $\times 45$, from Tounsend specimen. Plate 998, figs. 1, 2, 3 and 6, from specimens from Michaux's type-region, Lake St. John, Quebec: Fig. 1, leafy tip, $\times 4 / 5$, from Vauvert, Lake St. John, Victorin, no. 16,362, as S. adenophylla; FIG. 2, tip of leafy shoot, $\times 4 / 5$, from Roberval, Lake St. John, July 23, 1895, as S. adenophylla, J. G. Jack; Fig. 3, stipules and leaf-bases, $\times 5$, from no. 16,362 ; fig. 4 , stipule and leaf-base, $\times 5$, from Rivière Petite Cascapedia, Gaspé Pen., Quebec, Victorin, Rolland \&e Jacques, no. 33,846; Fig. 5, leaf-margin, $\times 10$, from Fort Fairfield, Maine, Sept. 19, 1900, Fernald, as S. adenophylla; FIG. 6, leaf-margin, $\times 10$, from no. 16, 362; FIG. 7, staminate ament, $\times 4 / 5$, from Moosonee, mouth of Moose River, James Bay, Ontario, Dutilly \& Lepage, no. 14,002. Plate 999: fig. 1, staminate flowering branch, $X$ 4/5, from Moosonee, mouth of Moose River, James Bay, Ontario, Dutilly \& Lepage, no. 14,002; FIG. 2, pistillate flowering tip, $\times 4 / 5$, from Rupert House, Ungava, Dutilly \& Lepage, no. 14,032; FIG. 3, fruiting ament, $\times 4 / 5$, from no. 14,032 ; FIG. 4, portion of unexpanded staminate ament, showing blackish bracts, $\times 10$, from no. 14,$002 ;$ FIG. 5 , portion of expanded staminate ament, $\times 10$, from no. 14,002. Plate 1000: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Wellington, Ontario, June 3, 1902, James Fowler, as S. adenophylla; FIG. 2, lower surface of mature glabrate leaf, showing delicate venation, $\times 10$, from Michaux's type-region, Ile-aux-Couleuvres, Lake St. John, Quebec, Victorin, no. 16,371; FIG. 3, portion of immature pistillate ament, to show short pedicels, $\times 10$, from Rupert House, Dutilly \& Lepage, no. 14,032.

Plates 1001 and 1002, S. syrticola Fernald. Plate 1001, both figs., from type, Lake Michigan, near Chicago, Bebb, Herb. Sal. no. 2, as S. adenophylla: fig. 1, pistillate, and fig. 2, staminate branch, $X 4 / 5$. Plate 1002: FIG. 1, portion of stipule, petiole and leaf-base, $\times 5$, from Saugutuk, Michigan, August 15, 1896, C.F. Wheeler; fig. 2, petiole and leaf-base, $\times 5$, from Dune Park, Indiana, Umbach, no. 95; FIG. 3, portion of stipule, $X 5$, from no. 95; FIG. 4, lower surface of mature leaf, showing venation, $\times 10$, from New

Buffalo, Michigan, Lansing, no. 3265; FIG. 5, portion of flowering pistillate ament, $\times 5$, from type; fig. 6, portion of staminate ament, $\times 5$, from type.

Plates 1003 and 1004, S. reticulata L. and var. semicalva Fernald. Plate 1003: fig. 1, portion of staminate ament, showing villous-based and blackish bracts, $\times 10$, of typical S. reticulata from Dovre, Norway, $W$. Boeik (?). Figs. 2-4, var. semicalva: fig. 2, portion of type, $\times 4 / 5$; fig. 3, staminate ament and lower surface of leaf, $\times 3$, from type; fig. 4 , portion of staminate ament, showing pale and glabrous bracts, $\times 10$, from type. Plate 1004, fig. 1, portion, $\times 10$, of fruiting ament of the typical S. reticulata from Torne Lappmark, July 19, 1927, Samuelsson \& Zander. Figs. 2-4, var. semicalva, all from Gargamelle Cove, Ingornachoix Bay, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1580: fig. 2, fruiting plant, $\times 4 / 5$; Fig. 3, fruiting ament, $\times 5$; FIG. 4 , portion of latter, to show pale glabrous bracts and sparsely pubescent capsules, $\times 10$.

Plate 1005, S. leiolepis Fernald, all figs., from type: fig. 1, portion of plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, branchlet and portions of leaves, $\times 5$; FIG. 3 , portion of ament, to show glabrous bracts and capsules, $\times 10$.

Plate 1006, S. jejuna Fernald: fig. 1, two portions of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2, a denser plant, $\times 1$, from Four-Mile Cove, Straits of Belle Isle, Newfoundland, Fernald, Wiegand \& Long, no. 27,949; fig. 3, branch, $\times$ 1, showing 2nd flowering in midsummer, from east of Big Brook, Straits of Belle Isle, Newfoundland, July 16, 1925, Fernald, Wiegand \& Hotchkiss, no. 27,986; FIG. 4, expanding bud and stipule, $\times 10$, from no. 27,986 ; FIG. 5 , fruiting ament and leaf, $\times 5$, from no. 27,949 ; FIG. 6 , portion of same ament, showing villous bracts and capsules, $\times 10$.

## III. Nomenclatural Transfers in Polygonum

Polygonum amphibium L., var. stipulaceum Coleman, forma hirtuosum (Farwell), comb. nov. P. amphibium, var. marginatum, forma hirtuosum Farwell in Papers Mich. Acad. Sci. i. 93 (1923).
P. amphibium, var. stipulaceum, forma simile, f. nov., terrestre vel subterrestre, ramis adscendentibus glabris vel minute pubescentibus; ochreis cylindricis; foliis lanceolatis, breviter petiolatis. Type from moist open meadow, Lisbon, New York, June 25, 1916, O. P. Phelps, no. 1551 (in Herb. Gray).
P. amphibium, var. stipulaceum, forma fluitans (Eaton), stat. nov. P. amphibium, var. $\alpha$. natans Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 240 (1803), not Moench, Enum. Pl. Hass. 189 (1777). $P$. natans (Michx.) Eaton, Man. ed. 3: 400 (1822). P. amphibium, var. aquaticum Torr. Fl. No. Mid. U. S. i. 404 (1824), not Leysser, Fl. Hals. ed. alt. 95 (1783). P. fluitans Eaton, Man. ed. 6: 274 (1833). Persicaria fluitans (Eaton) Greene, Lfts. i. 26 (1904).

In Rhodora, xxvii. 125-130, 146-152 and 156-166 (1925) Stanford discussed very clearly the heteromorphic series which at various times and by various authors has been treated as Polygonum amphibium L., his conclusions including, among other points, the segregation of the aquatic plant with thick and relatively short spikes and glabrous peduncles as a strictly North

American species, $P$. natans (Michx.) Eaton, with terrestrial phases. His main arguments for separating these from the Eurasian P. amphibium were the facts that in America the terrestrial form often has the summit of the ochrea flaring into a horizontally divergent foliaceous and bristly-ciliate flange, which does not occur in the Old World; that the terrestrial forms of the American plant have a less harsh leaf-margin; that the floating leaves of the American plant are more elliptic or ellipticoval than in that of the Old World; that in true P. amphibium "the lateral veins of mature leaves [are] nearly straight and meeting the mid-vein nearly at right angles", whereas in the American $P$. natans they are more curved and meet "the midvein at an angle of about $60^{\circ \prime \prime}$ (Stanford, pp. 157, 158); and the ochreolae of $P$. natans are narrower and more tapering than in true $P$. amphibium. Other differences, measurements of achenes, calyx, etc., break down in the two series and the angle by which the veins join the midrib proves to vary too much in both series. So far as I can make out the really significant differences are the more lance-oblong or narrowly trowel-shaped leaf in P. amphibium, the harsher and shorter pubescence of the terrestrial foliage, the broader ochreolae and the more slender and often more elongated spike of the Eurasian series, with a tendency to longer peduncles. These are all relative characters, whereas the flowers and achenes are so similar that I am forced back to the longestablished uniting of the two series as a single circumboreal species, $P$. amphibium L.

The North American variety cannot take the first varietal name given it, P. amphibium, var. a. natans Michx. (1803), nomenclatural basis of $P$. natans (Michx.) Eaton (1822), for there was already a var. natans Moench (1777) for the floatingleaved European plant. The next varietal name for our plant, $P$. amphibium, var. aquaticum Torr. (1824) likewise duplicated an identical name given the aquatic European plant in 1783. Singularly enough, the first available varietal name for the American series seems to be one applied to the most distinctively American phase of the species, var. stipulaceum Coleman, Cat. Fl. Pl. S. Pen. Mich. 32 (1874). Since Coleman's work is a relatively scarce one I here give his account: Under P. amphibium he had the conventional var. aquaticum, ascribed to

Linnaeus, with $P$. fluitans Eaton as a synonym, and var. terrestre Willd. Then came

> Var. stipulaceum, with leaves and flowers like $P$. amphibium; the leaves, possibly a little narrower, and with salver form stipules, like $P$. orientale, found growing in sandy soil near Gd. Rapids.

That was a clear description of the distinctively North American terrestrial plant which had been described as $P$. Hartwrightii Gray in Proc. Am. Acad. viii. 294 (1870), the plant subsequently called P. amphibium, var. Hartwrightii (Gray) Bissell in Rhodora, iv. 104 (1902) and P. natans (Michx.) Eaton, forma Hartwrightii (Gray) Stanford in Rhodora, xxvii. 160 (1925). As the first valid varietal name, var. stipulaceum has to be taken up to include the many vegetative American forms. This might seem to those who think of the American plant as the aquatic phase with oblong long-petioled floating leaves, which, becoming stranded, will change rapidly to the terrestrial phases, like putting the cart before the horse. The floating transmutation, however, is the exceptional one. Over much of the area, where lakes and ponds are scattered, the terrestrial var. stipulaceum occupies thousands and thousands of square miles of swamp, meadow and swale, where it makes vast carpets and never gets the opportunity to stretch into permanently standing water. To those who know such extensive areas var. stipulaceum seems the normal development of the species.

As to var. stipulaceum, forma simile, that plant of swale, meadow and shore, although simulating the Old World P.amphibium, forma terrestre (Leers) Blake in Rhodora, xv. 164 (1913) and Moss, Camb. Brit. Fl. ii. 115 (1914), which was based nomenclaturally on P. amphibium, var. terrestre Leers (1775), is really a parallel form of var. stipulaceum without the foliaceous flanges.

It is in some ways fortunate that the name $P$. fluitans Eaton is available as a nomenclatural basis for the formal name of the common lacustrine extreme of the species in America, the $P$. natans (Michx.) Eaton, forma genuinum Stanford in Rhodora, xxvii. 158 (1925), for, since the varietal names given this extreme by earlier authors were later homonyms, we should be faced by a large handful of Greene's so-called species and might have to transfer to the formal category for the common aquatic plant,
growing from Labrador to Alaska and south into the Northeastern, Central and Western States, one of several inappropriate binomials, such as Persicaria purpurata Greene, P. mesochora Greene or $P$. oregana Greene.

Amos Eaton started off his Polygonum fluitans (1833) in a somewhat contradictory manner. In 1822 he had published $P$. "natans (floating knotweed)" from "Whiting's pond, 5 miles south of New Lebanon springs", saying definitely "It is the P. amphibium. Var. natans of Mx". In 1833, however, he changed his mind, renaming the plant of Whiting's Pond P. "fluitans, Ea. . . swimming knotweed" and saying "Finding this to be a new one, not var. natans of Mx. I give it a new name." There is nothing about the lacustrine plant of western Massachusetts and adjacent eastern New York (TYPE-region) to separate it from Michaux's P. amphibium, var. natans from Lake St. John, Quebec, as shown by the photograph of it before me. And even Greene, who saw many species where others see only one, admitted the probable identity, saying in his typically sophisticated and plausible style, under his Persicaria fluitans (Polygonum fluitans Eaton):
"Amos Eaton as early as 1840 [i. e. 1833] gave the name $P$. fluitans to what, from the description as well as the locality, we must conclude to have been that here described anew. I do not know where that St. John's Lake is which Michaux cites as the habitat of his var. natans; but I suspect it to be some northern lake now known by another name, and lying within the habitat of $P$. fluitans, in which case that may be an older, though a merely varietal designation which would in my view be of no consequence."

Since Michaux's Polygonum amphibium, var. natans was the nomenclatural basis of $P$. natans (Michaux) Eaton (1822), the plant later (1833) becoming P. fluitans Eaton, Greene's dismissal of the name natans as "merely a varietal designation" showed woeful lack of knowledge of the literature for a self-styled historian. So did his ignorance of "Where that St. John's Lake is which Michaux cites", for the big Lake St. John, lying at the head of the Saguenay, in the Districts of Lake St. John and Chicoutimi, was on the old route of early explorers from the lower St. Lawrence to Hudson Bay, was much mentioned by

Michaux in his journals of exploration and was repeatedly cited as the type-locality of plants described by him, and it is shown (and named), almost north from the city of Quebec, as a roundish blue spot on all maps of Canada, or even of North America, which I find in recent atlases. Nevertheless some botanists express admiration of Greene and his methods.
P. arifolium L., var. pubescens (Keller), comb. nov. $P$. sagittatum, var. pubescens Keller in Bull. Soc. Roy. Bot. Belg. $\mathrm{xxx}^{2} .45$ (1891). P. arifolium, var. lentiforme Fernald \& Griscom in Rhodora, xxxvii. 167 (1935).

It is too bad to be forced to abandon a diagnostically descriptive name, var. lentiforme (from the lenticular achene), for a nondistinctive one, since both typical southeastern Polygonum arifolium and the northern var. pubescens (or lentiforme) are pubescent, the former much more so than the latter.

When Dr. Robert Keller described the northern variety as a pubescent variety of $P$. sagittatum, in a paper entitled Remarques sur quelques espèces du genre Polygonum de l'Herbier de Jardin Botanique de l'État à Bruxelles, he unwittingly indicated the weakness of that famous herbarium in representative North American material and, incidentally, unfamiliarity with the standard floras and manuals of eastern North America, in which the Linnean $P$. arifolium (1753) was described with the pubescent, large, long-acuminate leaves and the hispid-glandular axis of the inflorescence which set off Keller's $P$. sagittatum, var. pubescens (a single specimen from Troy, New York) as "une variété bien caractérisée" of his true $P$. sagittatum, defined "foliis sagittatolanceolatis nudis vel margine setulis ciliolatis subtus nervo inermi vel plus minusve aculeolato"; var. pubescens "foliis sagittatis late ellipticis, longe acuminatis, . . . subtus in nervo medio nervisque secundariis aculeolatis, foliis infra densius pubescentibus pilis stellatis supra parce pubescentibus pilis simplicibus adpressis pilisque stellatis".

As early as 1788 Walter described $P$. arifolium "foliis hastatis pilosis magnis"; Elliott (1817) knew its stem "towards the summit with capitate hair and a stellated pubescence. Leaves on long petioles, hastate, with the auricles acute, pubescent"; Torrey (1824) knew its "Leaves on long aculeate petioles . . . acuminate, with short scattered hairs on the upper surface,
minutely papillose beneath" and to these characters he added in Fl. N. Y. (1843) "peduncles glandularly hispid". Even if Keller did not know these and the more detailed American treatments of succeeding years he might have found Meisner in his Monograph (1826) defining P. arifolium "foliis hastatis acuminatis, utrinque adpresse pilosis", these characters repeated in Meisner's treatment in DeCandolle's Prodromus (1856), with the other distinctive character of Keller's $P$. sagittatum, var. pubescens, "pedunculis subglanduloso-hispidulis". Had Keller looked further he would doubtless have found that the flowers of the latter have 6 stamens and bifid style (the achene, consequently, biconvex), whereas his true $P$. sagittatum would have shown him 8 stamens and a trifid style (consequently a trigonous achene).

Since the type and only specimen cited by Keller came from Troy, New York, it is obviously of the less pubescent northern plant which Griscom and I described as $P$. arifolium, var. lentiforme, we not imagining anyone in these days referring members of that long and generally understood species to the very different $P$. sagittatum. Even the most inexperienced of our "lumpers" would hardly argue for the specific uniting of $P$. sagittatum and $P$. arifolium, provided, of course, that he knew the plants and their morphological characters.
P. cilinode Michx., forma erectum (Peck), stat. nov. Var. erectum Peck, N. Y. State Mus. Rep. xlvi. 129-repr. 49 (1893). Var. breve Peck, Bull. N. Y. State Mus. vi. 120 (1899).

The low and upright plants ending in panicles and without twining tips, comparable with bush-beans, as contrasted with twiners.

## IV. Novelties in our Flora

## (Plates 1007-1020)

Carex crinita Lam., var. brevicrinis, var. nov., a var. typica recedit spicis foemineis $4-10$ (plerumque 6-7) cm . longis densifloris omnino foemineis vel ad apicem masculis; squamis imis perigyneis aequantibus ad duplo longioribus, squamis superioribus perigyneis vix aequantibus ad paulum longioribus; perigyneis valde inflatis $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis.-North Carolina to eastern Texas, north to southern New England, Kentucky and Missouri. The following are characteristic. Massachusetts: edge of cedar swamp, southwest of Beachwood,

Cohasset, July 22, 1938, Griscom \& Svenson (as C. Mitchelliana); maple swamp, Spring Hill, Harwich, July 30, 1919, Fernald \& Long, no. 18,127 (as C. Mitchelliana). Rhode Island: Providence, May, 1845, Thurber. Connecticut: Bridgeport, June 8, 1910, H. S. Clark; New Fairfield, July 19 and 20, 1912, Blewitt. District of Columbia: May 30, 1899, Steele; May 22, 1890 , Steele (as var. gynandra). Virginia: sphagnum swamp, 11/2 miles northwest of Williamsburg, June 12, 1921, Grimes, no. 3693; Powhatan Swamp, $1 / 2$ mile southwest of Five Forks, James City Co., June 20, 1922, L.F. \& F. R. Randolph; sphagnous boggy margin of spring-fed pond, Century House, northeast of Burgess, Dinwiddie Co., July 23, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 8614; wooded alluvial bottomland of Rowanta Creek, near Rowanta, Dinwiddie Co., June 8, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 8413 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); inundated swamp along Quarrel Creek, "Chamblis bigwoods", Seward Forest, near Triplett, Brunswick Co., May 10, 1945, Fernald, no. 14,795. North Carolina: swamp, edge of Newbridge Creek, 2 miles from Currituck Sound, Currituck Co., July 1, 1922, Randolph \& Randolph; marsh, Lake Raleigh, Wake Co., May 11, 1937, Godfrey; low field, 7 miles northwest of Chapel Hill, June 28, 1927, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 414. Kentucky: without stated locality, Short (as C. Pseudo-Cyperus, this corrected by Dewey). Tennessee: low, wet grounds, Wolf Creek, August 15, 1900, Ruth, no. 497. Missouri: rare in low ground, Courtney, May 25, 1902, Bush, no. 1714 . Louisiana: western section, Hale. Texas: without stated locality, Wright.

Carex crinita, var. brevicrinis has puzzled its collectors. Some of the northern collections were placed with the then recently redefined C. Mitchelliana M. A. Curtis ${ }^{1}$, because they would not go satisfactorily into typical C. crinita, in which the pistillate spikes only rarely have staminate tips, the long awns of the lower scales are two to three or four times as long as and the upper awns definitely longer than the relatively small perigynia (2-3-rarely 3.5 mm . long and $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad). Var. brevicrinis most often has the pistillate spikes with a staminate tip (as frequently in var. gynandra (Schwein.) Schwein. \& Torr.), its lower scales are rarely twice as long and the upper ones shorter than to barely longer than the large and strongly inflated, mostly obovate perigynia, these $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad.

Although superficially suggesting var. gynandra, the usually more southern or coastwise var. brevicrinis differs at once in its

[^72]smooth lower leaf-sheaths (those of var. gynandra being harsh), in its relatively longer lower foliaceous bract, in its relatively shorter scales and in its more strongly inflated and crumpled perigynia. In the relatively northern and upland var. gynandra the lowest foliaceous bract ranges from 1.2-4 (av. 2.5) dm. long, this averaging twice the length of the axis of the inflorescence. In var. brevicrinis, on the other hand, the lowest bract is from (2.5-) 3-4 (av. 3.6) dm. long, this averaging three and a third times the length of the axis of the inflorescence.

Although Carex crinita, var. brevicrinis has been mistaken for C. Mitchelliana, it is really very different. C. Mitchelliana has the leaves and lower foliaceous bract only $2.5-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad (in var. brevicrinis thinner and darker, $6-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad) the lower bract $0.8-3$ (av. 1.8) dm. long; the tight or scarcely inflated and definitely nerved granular-papillate ovate to ovate-lanceolate perigynia $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and only $1.4-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad.

Xyris (§ Brevifoliae) Bayardi, sp. nov. (tab. 1007). Planta annua vel biennis; foliis pallide viridibus lanceolato- vel lineariensiformibus $1.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis ad 4 mm . latis, plus minusve curvatis, membranaceis, margine albido minute denticulato, apice subacuto breviter curvato; scapis filiformibus 1-3 dm. altis glabris; vaginis basilaribus scapi perbrevibus brunneis; spicis paucifloris ellipsoideo-ovoideis acutis vel subacutis $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis $3-4.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. crassis; bracteis intermediis elliptico-ovalibus brunneis margine albido-hyalinis, area dorsali viridi distincta; sepalis lateralibus liberis curvatis oblique lineari-lanceolatis $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, ala carinali angustissima in parte tertia superiore minute denticulatis; seminibus ellipsoideofusiformibus $0.45-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis $0.2-0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, pallide stramineis apicibus brunneis.-Sussex County, Virginia: wet sandy and peaty shore of Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, September 11, 1945, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,922 (type in Herb. Gray; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).

Xyris Bayardi (for one of its discoverers, Bayard Long) is the northernmost member of Xyris § Brevifoliae, only three other members of this chiefly tropical section, mostly annuals and biennials, being known in the United States. From all three $X$. Bayardi is abundantly distinct. The basic $X$. brevifolia Michaux, Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 23 (1803), shown in our PL. 1008, figs. 1-4, described from the low country of Georgia, is rare except in Florida. Its firm leaves (figs. 1 and 2) are very narrowly linear
( $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide), arching to long attenuate tips, firm and glabrousmargined; whereas the very thin and membranaceous leaves of $X$. Bayardi are broader (up to 4 mm . broad), with short and barely acutish tips, the white margin minutely denticulate. The sheaths at the base of the scape in X. Bayardi are so short as scarcely to be seen, while in $X$. brevifolia (fig. 1) they are prolonged and commonly much overtopping the basal foliage. The fruiting spike of $X$. Bayardi is ellipsoid and acute or acutish and only $3-4.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick; whereas $X$. brevifolia (fig. $3, \times 1$, from the Michaux type) has the mature spikes oblate or depressedglobose and broad-tipped, finally $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick. The very narrow ( $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) lateral sepals of $X$. Bayardi are minutely denticulate only toward the summit of the keel; the broader ( 0.6 mm .) sepals of $X$. brevifolia, to quote Malme in the North American Flora, have the "keel ciliate-scabrid from near the base to the apex". At its type-station (the only one yet known) $X$. Bayardi was mature, but with lingering marcescent corollas, on September 11th. Whether it begins flowering before summer we do not yet know. It is significant, however, that most of the material of $X$. brevifolia, whether in flower or in fruit, from Florida was collected in March, April and May, only exceptionally up to midsummer.

A second annual species, occurring from Florida to Mississippi, is Xyris flabelliformis Chapman, Fl. So. U. S. 499 (1860), our PL. 1008, FIGS. 5-7. X. Bayardi has flabelliform clusters of basal leaves as in Chapman's species, but they are thinner, paler, broader and blunter than in $X$. flabelliformis, and with minutely denticulate, instead of entire margins. Furthermore, the basal sheaths of $X$. flabelliformis run up the scape (fig. 5), while in $X$. Bayardi they are almost hidden. The spike of $X$. Bayardi might be taken for that of $X$. flabelliformis (FIG. 7) but its bracts are broader, more appressed and more rounded at tip. X. Bayardi can hardly be forced into $X$. flabelliformis.

The only other species of § Brevifoliae described from north of the Tropics is $X$. Drummondii Malme from Alabama, described as a perennial with smooth leaves, sheaths extending up the scape, and lateral sepals 0.8 (in X. Bayardi $0.3-0.5$ ) mm. broad and ciliate-scabrous on the keel. I have seen no material but it can hardly be made to include X. Bayardi.

It was only by the rarest of good luck that Xyris Bayardi was discovered. Mr. Long's and my discovery that in Sussex and Southampton Counties, Virginia, there are at least two large ponds (Airfield in Sussex, Whitefield in Southampton) which we had not previously known and which, during a dry season, have broad sandy and peaty beaches, was recounted in Rhodora, xlv. 372 and 373 (1943). We discovered these ponds with their broad beaches (then "perhaps 50 feet wide up to the bushes") in early July, 1942. Then gasoline-rationing cut us off from working them. Two futile attempts (after heavy rains) were made by me to explore them later (see Rhodora, l. c. 381 and xlvii. 103-105), so that the end of gasoline-rationing was the signal for Long and me to hurry to our headquarters at Waverly and to try, in September last, for the pond-shores. Again alas! An abnormally rainy summer had completely overflowed Whitefield Pond, Brittle's showed no beach, but on September 11th and 12th a very narrow rim, still wet from drowning, was visible at Airfield, this very soon obliterated by more rain. Luckily we got there in time for preliminary exploration of the little emersed belt of shore. Here had been the only known station for Rhynchospora filifolia between southeastern North Carolina and Cape May, New Jersey; that and other species collected in early summer indicated an unusual pond-shore for eastern Virginia. The southern shore of Airfield is the best we saw, the northern being more uniformly pretty swaley, with Panicum verrucosum, Cephalanthus occidentalis, Xyris caroliniana, X. ambigua Beyrich (in all sizes) and other aggressive plants crowding out all others. Immediately we got Sabatia difformis (L.) Druce, the first from between North Carolina and eastern Maryland. Then Eriocaulon decangulare, very local in Virginia. Psilocarya nitens, previously unknown between southeastern North Carolina and Cape May was locally abundant; and we were (and still are) utterly baffled by the members of the alliance of Hypericum canadense. I had thought that I had this series under control, but its behavior on the peaty shore of Airfield Pond is seriously disturbing the latest treatments. I may stave off the evil day until another season of observation. And here, nestled among the coarser vegetation, were the whitish-green fan-like tufts of a tiny annual Xyris: the newly described $X$. Bayardi. We saw it in only one small area, a few rods wide, but
further exploration another season, when the water is much lower, will, we hope, reveal it in quantity. Airfield Pond still needs a season of intensive botanizing!

Pedicularis canadensis L., var. Dobbsii, var. nov. (tab. 1010 et 1009, fig. 2 et 3), vix cespitosa, caudicibus lateralibus horizontaliter divergentibus elongatis plus minusve repentibus.New York to Minnesota, south to northern Florida, Alabama, Louisiana and eastern Texas. The following are characteristic. New York: along Babcock Trail near Jim Pond, Black Rock Forest, Orange Co., Raup, no. 7215; Taughannock Ravine and vicinity, Ulysses, F. P. Metcalf, no. 7148. New Jersey: Columbus, Burlington Co., May 11, 1921, H. B. Meredith. West Virginia: along East Fork of Greenbrier River, Pocahontas Co., Greenman, no. 252. North Carolina: Highlands, May 27, 1901, E. E. Magee. Florida: without statement of locality, Chapman. Ontario: Tobermory, Bruce Co., Krotkov, no. 7771. Michigan: Carp Creek, Cheboygan Co., Ehlers, no. 392. Indiana: Jackson Tp., Wells Co., May 11, 1908, Deam. Tennessee: oak barrens, 7 miles east of Crossville, Cumberland Co., May 13, 1933, C. A. \& Una F. Weatherby, no. 6254 (type, in Herb. Gray); Jackson, Bain, no. 442. Alabama: about 3 miles north-northeast of Marion, Perry Co., Harper, no. 3702. Wisconsin: Preble, Brown Co., May 19, 1887, J. H. Schuette. Illinois: Mt. Morris, May 20, 1909, Sherff; about $1 / 2$ mile east of western boundary of Henry County and $1 / 4$ mile south of U.S. Route 6 , July 1, 1945, Raymond J. Dobbs. Minnesota: Clearwater Co., M. L. Grant, no. 2702; Spring Grove, Rosendahl, no. 276. Iowa: Homestead, Iowa Co., May 11, 1925, Shimek. Arkansas: Little Rock, Demaree, no. 18,795. Louisiana: Perkins, Calcasieu Parish, Pennell, no. 10,212. Kansas: Leavenworth Co., Hitchcock, no. 788. Oкlahoma: Arkansas National Forest, Sebastian Co., E. J. Palmer, no. 39,309. Texas: Camp Fannin, 8 miles northeast of Tyler, Smith Co., H. E. Moore, Jr., no. 673.

Var. Dobbsii, which was called to my attention by Mr. Raymond Joseph Dobbs of Geneseo, Illinois, keen student of the flora of Henry County, is a striking departure in habit from the densely cespitose typical Pedicularis canadensis (plate 1009, FIG. 1). At the northeastern corner of the range of $P$. canadensis, whence, undoubtedly, came Kalm's material which was described by Linnaeus, the densely crowded crowns are erect or strongly ascending (plate 1009, fig. 1) and the large series before me from southern Quebec and New England shows no appreciable tendency to the lax to stoloniferous habit of var. Dobbsii. The densely cespitose extreme also extends westward and southward
essentially to the limits of the specific range, except for the more western var. fluviatilis (Heller) Macbride, and it is general in the large New England series before me; it is evidently the only variety occurring in this area. Whether var. Dobbsii has a different habitat and whether it more generally has fusiform roots (as in the type) are matters for close field-observation. Such fusiform roots are apparently rare in typical P.canadensisperhaps only seemingly so through careless collecting.

Utricularia juncea Vahl, forma virgatula (Barnhart), stat. nov. U. virgatula Barnhart in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxxiv. 580 (1908). Stomoisia virgatula (Barnhart) Barnhart in Britton \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2, iii. 232, fig. 3875 (1913).

Ever since I first began collecting with him in Virginia Mr. Bayard Long has insisted that Utricularia juncea and U. virgatula are phases of one species, comparable with $U$. subulata $L$. and its forma cleistogama (Gray) Fernald in Rhodora, xxiii. 291 (1922). Although we usually find $U$. virgatula growing with $U$. juncea I have been reluctant to merge them; but on the shore of Airfield Millpond in Sussex County, Virginia, we found in September last such perfect intergradation in all the large areas of the plants between large-flowered $U$. juncea and small-flowered $U$. virgatula, that I give up. Only by arbitrary sorting and very arbitrary exclusion of many intermediates can two piles of similar specimens be made. By this doubtful process some of our earlier collections have been forced apart. It is significant, therefore, that many numbers in the Gray Herbarium show that their collectors did not mechanically sort them into two or three piles: J. A. Allen from Atsion, New Jersey, Aug. 16, 1879, with smallest and transitional corollas; Commons, Laurel, Delaware, Aug. 19, 1880, both large and smallest corollas; $R . R$. Tatnall, no. 2759 from Kent Co., Delaware, large and intermediate corollas, the latter U. juncea, forma minima Blake in Contrib. Gray Herb. no. lii. 89 (1917); Heller, no. 1222 from Princess Anne Co., Virginia, both typical $U$. juncea and forma virgatula; and so on to Mississippi. The experience at Airfield Millpond finally convinced me.

Chrysopsis mariana (L.) Ell., forma efulgens, f. nov. ligulis nullis.-Sussex County, Virginia: dry pine woods, State Game Sanctuary, northwest of Newville, Sept. 13, 1945, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,996 (type in Herb. Gray., isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.) ; dry pineland 3-4 miles west of Waverly, Sept. 16, 1945, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,997.

## CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERBARIUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY-NO. CLX

## TECHNICAL STUDIES ON NORTH AMERICAN PLANTS

M. L. Fernald<br>(Continued from page 60)

$\times$ Solidago (§ Euthamia) hirtipes, hybr. nov. (? S. graminifolia (L.) Salisb., var. Nuttallii (Greene) Fernald $\times$ S. microcephala (Greene) Bush). Тав. 1011. Planta robusta ad 1.5 m . alta; foliis lineari-lanceolatis divergentibus 3-5-nerviis utrinque scabro-puberulis, in axillis plus minusve fasciculatis; foliis primariis $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis; corymbo ad 4.5 dm . lato ramis valde adscendentibus, ramulis ultimis densissime griseo-hirtellis; capitulis glomerulatis vel solitariis pedicellatisque; involucro glutinoso pallido cylindrico (sicco cylindrico-turbinato) $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo; phyllariis pallide stramineis apice adpresso viride.-Sussex Co., Virginia: roadside thicket about $11 / 2$ miles north of Waverly, Sept. 13, 1945, Fernald \& Long, no. 15,015 (type in Herb. Gray; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).
$\times$ Solidago hirtipes is a very puzzling plant, which may prove to be a fully established species. In its very broad and flattopped corymb (with inclination to form "stories" at different heights) and in its very slender heads it at once suggests the common southern S. microcephala (plate 1012, figs. 4-6); but that characteristic species has the very narrow and often longitudinally folded primary leaves only $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad, 1-nerved (only rarely with any trace of lateral nerves), and subtending abundant suppressed axillary branches. Its sparsely hirtellous pedicels are mostly 1 -headed; while its very narrow leaves are as gray-puberulent as in $\times S$. hirtipes. In its great stature, broad
$3-5$-nerved leaves and strong tendency to glomerulate heads the new plant is as near S. graminifolia, var. Nuttallii. In that plant (plate 1012, figs. 1-3), however, the suppressed axillary branches are few or wanting, the leaves less puberulent, the pubescence of the lower side usually confined to the ribs, the corymb with convex-topped secondary corymbs, the branchlets and pedicels as hirtellous as in $\times S$. hirtipes (or even more so) but ending in densely crowded glomerules of broader and more subcampanulate heads.

I am looking upon $\times S$. hirtipes as probably derived from $S$. microcephala and S. graminifolia, var. Nuttallii, though further experience may show it to be wholly separable from them. The type-colony is likely to spread; as it is, we took only selected small plants of it.

Xanthium Chasei, sp. nov. (tab. 1013, fig. 1, et 1014). Planta a $X$. strumario differt petiolis scabro-hispidis foliorum laminis crassis supra scabris; fructi corpore glabro vel minute puncticulato lucido olivaceo ellipsuideo-ovoideo vel subgloboso 1.3-1.6 cm . longo 6-9 mm . crasso, rostris basin versus crassis glandulosopuberulisque porrectis vel suberectis remotis rectis $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis vel ad apicem exigue excurvatis vel incurvatis, exteriore facie 100-200 aculeis approximatis armato, aculeis anguste subulatis rectis vel superne curvatis glabriusculis vel basin versus glandulosis 2-3.5 mm. longis.-Illinois: bottomlands of Illinois River near Peoria, Oct. 1, 1919, V'irginius II. Chase, no. 3398, as X. globosum Shull (Herb. Chase., Herb. (iray.); Sept. 12, 1920, Chase, no. 3474, as X. globosum (Herb. Chase., Herb. Gray.); Sept. 15, 1945, Chase, no. 8205 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Chase.).

Although originally identified as Xanthium globosum, a natural identification since there was then no good material readily available of Shull's species, X. Chasei, named for its discoverer, Virginius Heber Chase, differs at once from that species (our PL. 1015, figs. 1 and 2) in several characters which appear in all the specimens before me. In $X$. globosum the leaves are thinnish to membranaceous and the ripe bur is light brown, with the body only $0.9-1.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $4.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, while the $50-80$ prickles visible on one face are remote and $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. This species is widespread from Illinois and Kentucky to Kansas and is represented in Mr. Chase's series by fine specimens from the bottomlands near East Peoria, his nos. 8206 and 8207. $X$.

Chasei differs in its thick and more scabrous foliage and especially in its burs. These are olivaceous or greenish brown, the body $1.3-1.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, with $100-200$ prickles visible on one face, these rather crowded and only $2-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. So far as the abundant material shows, the fruit of $X$. Chasei scarcely overlaps in any point the distinctive characters of $X$. globosum.

In its very short prickles Xanthium Chasei might suggest the European X. strumarium L. (our pl. 1013, figs. 2 and 3), a species with us only casually adventive ${ }^{1}$ but apparently not naturalized near the port of Boston; but the two differ in many points and the natural habitat of $X$. Chasei is not ballast and rubbish about eastern seaports. In $X$. strumarium the petioles are minutely soft-pilose and the leaf-blades submembranaceous and barely scabridulous above; whereas the petioles of X. Chasei (on bottomlands where many plants tend toward glabrescence and thin leaves) are harshly scabrous, as is the upper surface of the thick leaf-blade. In $X$. strumarium the mature burs are closely and finely pilose, with the body only $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, the beaks only $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, while the $15-50$ slender prickles visible on each face have broad interspaces separating their bases. In $X$. Chasei, on the other hand, the mature burs are $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, glabrous (except for glandular punctation), the beaks $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the 100-200 bulbous-based prickles visible on one face crowded.

There is no chance that Xanthium Chasei has anything to do with the large-fruited series with strongly villous burs: $X$. italicum Moretti with fulvous burs villous-hirsute, the body $1.3-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, the subulate basally long-hirsute beaks $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the divergently long-villous prickles mostly 4-7 mm . long (see pl. 1015, figs. 3 and 4); $X$. oviforme Wallr. with

[^73]the body of the fulvous bur $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $1.2-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. thick, the stoutish beaks $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, and the densely crowded prickles $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; and other species. Nor can it be forced into the very definite $X$. inflexum Mackenzie \& Bush (our pl. 1015, figs. 5 and 6), which occurs on bottomlands and prairie of Illinois, Missouri, Kansas and Arkansas, for X. inflexum has the glabrous to hirtellous body of the bur $1.3-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and 6-8 mm. thick, the strongly inflexed to overlapping or crossing beaks $5-7$ mm . long, and the prickles $4.5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

The glabrous or glabrescent burs of Xanthium Chasei might, to some minds, mean that it is $X$. chinense Mill. or $X$. curvescens Millspaugh \& Sherff. The widely dispersed $X$. chinense (our PL. 1015, FIGs. 7 and 8), however, has the fewer reddish-tinged prickles very remote at base and $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the more inflexed or hamate beaks up to 7 mm . long. As to $X$. curvescens (our PL. 1016, figs. 1-4), described from the shores of Lake Champlain, that doubtful species, illustrated with the original description in Field, Mus. Pub., Bot. Ser. iv., no. 2, pl. xi, the burs shown in pl. viii, figs. 28-29 (1919), has the body of the fruit only 3.5-5 mm . thick, with only $30-50$ stout and upwardly curving prickles visible on each face. It thus has characters strongly suggestive of the Old World $X$. orientale L. (PL. 1017). In fact, very typical $X$. orientale (our pl. 1017, figs. $5-8$ ) is an abundant weed about Montreal, thence up the Richelieu Valley to the shores of Lake Champlain (perhaps better stated in reverse order), and the most slender Old World fruits (our fig. 3) closely match those of $X$. curvescens (pl. 1016, figs. 1-4) and even of $X$. leptocarpum Millspaugh \& Sherff. (our pl. 1016, figs. 5 and 6). In fact, when they published $X$. curvescens and $X$. leptocarpum the authors of those names saw their resemblance to $X$. orientale, saying

Because of its strongly bent prickles and beaks, we were disposed at first to regard this species as a form of the European X. orientale L. But in the many fruiting specimens of X. orientale examined from Europe, we have found the fruiting involucres to be not only considerably larger, but brownish rather than reddish, also much more pubescent and the prickles nearly always more numerous. In its narrow, reddish, remotely aculeate fruits, this species suggests the next, $\mathbf{X}$. leptocarpum, the type of which was collected likewise in western Vermont, about three years earlier. Indeed, as a species, it seems to lie just half-way between X. orientale and X. leptocarpum, and for a time we suspected it of being a hybrid between these two species. But the apparent absence of true X. orientale from all of North America would seem to make an assumption to this
effect purely gratuitous. Nor do we feel inclined to regard our plant as an anomalous race or variety of $\mathbf{X}$. leptocarpum, since the arcuate character of its prickles is a character that holds with a high degree of uniformity throughout the specimens of the corresponding X . orientale of Europe.

Had they realized the establishment of $X$. orientale from the shores of Lake Champlain to the streets, waste lots and wharves of Montreal, their first impression might have prevailed. At any rate, $X$. Chasei has nothing to do with $X$. orientale, and the doubtfully separable $X$. curvescens and $X$. leptocarpum.

If only its short beaks and prickles were taken into account and all other characters ignored or overlooked (a tendency too apparent in some recent so-called studies of Xanthium), Xanthium Chasei might superficially be placed with X. echinatum Murr. and $X$. varians Greene, for in all three of these species the beaks are unusually short and stout and the prickles short. There, however, the resemblance ends. Both $X$. echinatum (our pl. 1018) and $X$. varians (pl. 1019) have the olive-shaped drab to pale brown mature bur densely long-hirsute, the stout beaks 3-6 mm . long and with very stout hispid bases $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, the remote prickles mostly hamate, hirsute-villous below the middle and $3.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. By recent authors the inland $X$. varians has not been distinguished from the coastal $X$. echinatum; but the two are well distinguished both by characters of leaf and bur and by their strikingly different habitats, $X$. echinatum confined to sea-beaches, dune-hollows and borders of saline marshes along the coast from southern Maine to Virginia; X. varians occurring inland, on shores and damp prairie, from western Quebec to northern Alberta, south to northern New York (St. Lawrence drainage), Iowa, South Dakota, Saskatchewan and Oregon. In the halophytic $X$. echinatum the young stem is whitened above with harsh and short hispidity, the later full-grown leaves are broadly cordate or subcordate-ovate, unlobed or very shallowly lobed, with undulations mostly longer than deep (figs. 1 and 2), and the beaks of the fruit (Figs. 3-6) tend soon to inflex and finally to become approximate or with their tips crossing, like the bill of a crossbill. The inland $X$. varians (pl. 1019), on the other hand, has the greener stem with sparse pubescence, the larger leaves more often rhombic or cuneate-based, their margins subacutely dentate with teeth nearly or quite as high as broad,
and the erect or nearly erect beaks straight or nearly so (figs. 2 and 3 ). Only by the most superficial treatment could $X$. Chasei be crowded into either of these apparently different species or geographic varieties for its bur is essentially glabrous and lustrous, olivaceous, and with crowded nearly glabrous prickles only $2-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. ${ }^{1}$

I realize that in his somewhat novel (and we hope, immature) concept Cronquist, following the cue of Wiegand, who saw more hybrids than pure strains in several variable groups, has intimated in Rhodora, xlvii. 403 (1945) that most if not all our species of Xanthium are variations of one species, the European $X$. strumarium, for he finds that "The determination of species of Xanthium has become a formidable task, undertaken by many botanists only when it becomes unavoidable, and then with serious misgivings", exactly the situation with Carex, Potamogeton, Festuca and many other groups with inconspicuous flowers, which are regularly dodged by those who want to work only on pretty flowers. Nevertheless, there are scores of very real species in these genera and they have been clearly recognized by some of the most thorough and wise students of plants, for we bow with profound respect to such sound students of Carex, for example, as Willdenow, Schkuhr, Schweinitz, Torrey, Dewey, Francis Boott, William Boott, Tuckerman and many others, although the casual botanizer lets the genus alone. Similarly, that the study of Xanthium bristles with difficulties none will gainsay; but, paradoxically, the harder the points in this genus the easier

[^74]the identifications, for, whereas young and relatively tender flowering plants and even those with well-grown but immature fruit are most difficult to distinguish (being annuals of very similar habit and foliage), the fully mature and very hard burs alone are sufficient for identification. The somewhat discouraged verdict of Wiegand, which Cronquist accepts in lieu of working out the characters, is not final: "It is probably wise to treat all North American Xanthiums as one species except $X$. spinosum L. and possibly X.strumarium L. and X.echinatum Murr. X.strumarium, however, is scarcely distinct, and with more study may also be included. X. echinatum may be a real species, as it has a distinct coastal range and seems to behave as though genetically distinct". Cronquist is "in complete agreement with Wiegand's observations, except that $X$. strumarium sens. strict., seems no more than varietally distinct from our plants, and that I am quite unable to see any sort of taxonomic unit in X. echinatum."

Inability to see what others clearly see is not a sin; neither does it necessarily clarify a question. To those who have some understanding of the characters in the genus, Wiegand's recognition of $X$. echinatum will appeal. Several close students have devoted themselves to the difficult and often thankless task of working out the characters of Xanthium: (1) Wallroth, Monographischer Versuch über die Gewächs-Gattung Xanthium, Wallr. Beitr. i. 219-244 (1844), Wallroth recognizing 21 species, 7 of them North American; ${ }^{1}$ (2) Millspaugh \& Sherff, Revision of the North American Species of Xanthium, Field Mus. Pub., Bot. Ser. iv. no. 2 (1918), with very fine illustrations of the burs, this very detailed study also recognizing 21 species but all of them found in North America, the authors having gone to great pains to identify the types of earlier-described species; (3) Widder, Die Arten der Gattung Xanthium in Fedde, Repert. Beih. xx. (1923), a very conservative and careful study with clear illustrations of the fruits, the original text completed before the publication of the monograph of Millspaugh \& Sherff, but that study summarized in the Nachtrag. Widder maintained most of the species

[^75]recognized by Wallroth and by Millspaugh \& Sherff. If, as we have recently been told, all of them, except $X$. spinosum, are modifications of a single species, which in its typical form has scarcely gained a foothold (and that near a large Atlantic port) in America, it is amazing that not one of the really careful students of the genus should have suspected the species to be of such instability.

Besides the three great monographs of Xanthium, there have been many significant but briefer studies, these noted by Millspaugh \& Sherff and by Widder. From our viewpoint as significant as any is that of the physiologist, Professor Charles A. Shull, Physiological Isolation of Types in the Genus Xanthium, in Bot. Gaz. lix. ${ }^{1}$ 474-483, with 7 blocks of figs. (1915). Desiring material for physiological study, Shull went to an old field near Lawrence, Kansas, where plants of Xanthium with 3 quite different types of bur abounded. "It was thought that the various forms were possibly the result of promiscuous crossing of varieties or elementary species, and that a year or two of guarded pollination would be necessary to purify the strains." Shull took essentially uniform burs from a single plant each of the three extremes, what he eventually described as a true species, his $X$. globosum, the wide-ranging $X$. pensylvanicum and $X$. canadense (now known by the earlier name $X$. chinense). Successive generations from these fruits in carefully checked plots proceeded to come true and not to show any Mendelian segregation. "This result was wholly unexpected, as it was believed that hybridization could hardly have been avoided in nature". Shull recognized not only the differences in burs but those of cotyledons even, as well as of pigmentation of the stems and prickles and shape of the seeds and color of their coats; and, having started out a skeptic, with the popular preconception that the differences of burs and other characters he saw were the result of "promiscuous crossing", he frankly concluded his experimental study by defining a new species, and on his last page he unblushingly predicted that "There are probably a number of new species of Xanthium still undescribed in America". $X$. Chasei is one of them.

[^76]On the Illinois bottomlands near Peoria Xanthium Chasei has remained constant, just as $X$. echinatum does along the coastal sands or as does the distinctive X. speciosum Kearney, brought unintentionally in western fleeces to New England woolen-mills, whence it has washed down-river and made constant and consistent colonies far from their native haunts.

Cronquist's last paragraph on Xanthium reads:
Although both species [all-inclusive $X$. strumarium, involving all American members of DeCandolle's § Euxanthium, and X. spinosum, the single species recognized by him in \& Acanthoxanthium DC.] of Xanthium have now become cosmopolitan weeds, and $X$. strumarium was well established in Europe four hundred years ago . . . , it seems probable that they originated in the new world. Except for a few species of Ambrosia, the subtribe Ambrosinae is otherwise exclusively American.

That statement implies that the several endemics in Xanthium § Euxanthium which are known only in the Old World, such unique plants as $X$. inaequilaterum of DeCandolle (who was no "splitter"), found from Java and Borneo to Japan and the coast of southeastern China, or as $X$. indicum Konig ( $X$. Roxburghii Wallr.) of tropical and subtropical India, Sumatra and Java,the implication is that such endemic species of the Old World originated in America, but entirely quit the New World in favor of the Old. This interpretation is again illustrated by $X$. spinosum, often assumed to be indigenous (as perhaps it is) in South America, but certainly not in eastern North America, where it is a weed of relatively recent introduction. Linnaeus described it "Habitat in Lusitania" and Widder, whose citations of South American and North American specimens occupy less than 1 page, gives up 6 pages to citations of European and African specimens. Whether this semicosmopolitan weed is native in South America I cannot say, but the learned Charles Pickering wrote in his Chronological History of Plants, 976 (1879): "Transported to North America as late perhaps as 1814 (as may be inferred from the silence of Walter, Michaux, and Pursh), was found by Nuttall in 1818 near dwellings from Savannah to Washington, and not foreseeing that it would become troublesome, was introduced by him as he informed me into the environs of Philadelphia; . . . In the Southern Hemisphere, by European colonists also, was probably carried across the Andes into Chili (Beechey voy. 57, and A. Dec.)", Hooker \& Arnott,
in their Botany of Captain Beechy's Voyage, recording it from Valparaiso, surely not an undisturbed locality. Finally, in regard to the nativity of $X$. spinosum, the article, Xanthium spinosum in Neolithic deposits in Bulgaria, by W. B. Turrill in Kew Bull. for 1923: 190 (1923) is significant:

Xanthium spinosum is one of the commonest ruderal plants of Central Europe and the Mediterranean region. It has generally been considered by recent writers to be a native of South America. The strong arguments in favour of this view will be found summarized by Thellung in his Flore adventice de Montpellier

Another opinion, which was current among earlier botanists, that Xanthium spinosum was native in South Russia, was rejected by Ascherson . . . . It may also be noted that according to L. Simonkai and Karl Flatt . . . both A. Florentin and also C. Spegazzini have collected fossil fruits ('false-fruits') of X. spinosum from the Pliocene beds in the Tertiary formation of the Pampas.
"During a visit to Bulgaria last summer Dr. N. Stoianoff of Sofia University gave me some semi-fossilized fruits which careful comparison at Kew has shown to be those of Xanthium spinosum. These were obtained during excavations in prehistoric deposits . . . east of Sofia, . . . The deposits are of Neolithic age
"Whatever may be the real history of Xanthium spinosum in the Old World this discovery would seem to indicate that the species existed in South Europe long before the dates (1700-1750) accepted by Thellung for its first introduction and establishment.

Surely Neolithic Bulgarians did not come to America to get it. Xanthium, like Ambrosia, obviously has species native to the Old World as well as to the New. Wallroth thought that he saw characters to separate American material from typical European X. spinosum. And, although Cronquist would reduce all Xanthium to the two species ( $i$. e. the two sections of DeCandolle and others), the European X. strumarium L. and X. spinosum L., it is most difficult to believe that the very distinct and characteristic $X$. ambrosioides Hooker \& Arnott, the Argentinian procumbent plant with bipinnate blunt-lobed leaves, a species which has to be taken into account by those who watch the waste lots of New England, can possibly be forced into $X$. spinosum. Hooker \& Arnott were ultraconservative, yet they saw what is a really good species in X. ambrosioides; so did Widder; so do others.

Helianthus atrorubens L., var. alsodes, var. nov. (tab. 1020), foliis imis longe petiolatis anguste ovatis vel late lanceolatis acutis vel subacutis plerumque serratis vel serrato-dentatis; foliis supernis anguste ovatis vel lanceolatis.-Dry open woods, thickets and clearings, Virginia, North Carolina and upland of southeastern Kentucky and eastern Tennessee. Virginia: dry
land about 3 miles north of Williamsburg, James City Co., R.W Menzel, no. 289; dry open thickets, Virginia Beach, Princess Anne Co., Sept. 10, 1935, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 5127 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); rich woods and bushy clearing north of Double Bridge, southwest of Jarratt, Sussex Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 11,474; Bedford Co., Oct. 15, 1871, "leafy-stemmed form", A. H. Curtiss; "Mts., Carol. \& Virginia", A sa Gray. North Carolina: sand-ridge at Atlantic, Cartaret Co., Godfrey, no. 6447; pineland near Goldsboro, Wayne Co., Godfrey, no. 6576; sand-ridge near Roseboro, Sampson Co., Godfrey, no. 5699; sand-ridge at Carolina Beach, New Hanover Co., Godfrey, no. 6368; pine woodland, Raleigh, Godfrey, no. 6601; thicket, Raleigh, Godfrey, no. 6892; dry thicket, north of Spruce Pine, Mitchell Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 3378; dry open woods, Biltmore, Buncombe Co., Bilt. Herb., no. 499a; rich wooded mountain side near Asheville, A. H. Curtiss, no. 6526; open woods, Henderson Co., Aug. 24, 1881, J. D. Smith (as Rudbeckia fulgida); open woodlands, Highlands, Bilt. Herb., no. $499^{\circ}$. Kentucky: roadsides and fields east of Cumberland Falls, Whitley Co., McFarland, no. 59. Tennessee: gravelly oak-pine woods, Jamestown, Fentress Co., Svenson, no. 4100.

Helianthus atrorubens consists of the two rather marked varieties: var. normalis Kuntze, Rev. Gen. i. 343 (1891), and the narrower-leaved plant here described as var. alsodes. The species started with the detailed description and life-size plate of Corona solis minor, disco atro-rubente of Dillenius, Hort. Elth. 111, t. xciv (1732) and the Helianthus foliis ovatis crenatis of Gronovius, both cited without further description by Linnaeus, who obviously took his epithet from Dillenius (whose plant was from Carolina) but gave the "Habitat in Virginia". There was no specimen of the species in the Linnean Herbarium at the time of writing Species Plantarum; and the Gronovian description of the Clayton specimen, with "folia asperata paucis auritis, ex adverso binis auriculatis", suggests the Dillenian plate, in which there are tiny leaves ("auricles") at the bases of some large primary leaves. Such tiny undeveloped axillary branchlets occasionally occur in the plant with ovate or oval bluntish leaves (var. normalis) but I have not seen them in var. alsodes. The Dillenian plate (which may stand as TyPE of the species) is of the characteristic plant found from Florida to Louisiana, north to Virginia and Tennessee, with the basal leaves ovate or oval and crenate to dentate, these and the lower cauline with blades one half to four
fifths as broad as long and blunt or merely subacute, their bases abruptly contracted to the winged petiole, the sessile or subsessile median cauline leaves ovate or oval. In this plant the middle phyllaries are broadly rounded at summit or abruptly shortacuminate. ${ }^{1}$ Var. alsodes, although found in the northeastern third of the specific range, has the lower long-petioled leaves usually more gradually tapering from petiole to blade, and the most often serrate or serrate-dentate blade is acute or acutish, two to five times as long as broad; the lowest sessile cauline blades lanceolate or narrowly ovate. In var. alsodes the middle phyllaries (fig. 3) are usually less broadly rounded at summit.

It is barely possible that Helianthus atrorubens, var. alsodes is the plant described as $H$. sparsifolius Ell. Sk. ii. 415 (1823) from the "western districts of Georgia", as contrasted with his $H$. atrorubens apparently common in his own region of southeastern South Carolina. Elliott's "leaves ovate, acute, coarsely toothed" is good, but "abruptly contracted into a petiole" not so good; furthermore, since he defined $H$. atrorubens as having "leaves spathulate", it would be unwise, his specimens of $H$. sparsifolius not being preserved at Charleston, to take up the name for var. alsodes. From the citation by E. E. Watson in Papers Mich. Acad. ix. 343 (1920), in the synonymy of $H$. atrorubens, of $H$. gracilis Bertoloni one might infer that Bertoloni had our plant. It is noteworthy, however, that Watson omitted the citation of Bertoloni's plate, which he evidently had not seen. H. gracilis Bertoloni, Misc. Bot. vii. 41, t. vi, fig. 1 (1868), shown life-size, is a monocephalous plant with lower entire leaves barely petioled, extending gradually as linear-lanceolate blades to the single peduncular summit of the stem, the phyllaries linear or lanceolate

[^77]("squamis linearibus", also "lanceolatis"). It surely has nothing to do with $H$. atrorubens. In fact Bertoloni knew that, specially saying "Ab Heliantho atrorubente L. diversus". His plate suggests $H$. heterophyllus Nutt.

Another and really quite different species, Helianthus silphioides, is included by Watson under his aggregate $H$. atrorubens, a somewhat unexpected attitude, in view of the many minor variants in other series recognized by him as "new species", minor variants which forced the obliging but always cautious Dr. C. C. Deam to write in his Flora of Indiana, "I at first attempted to construct a key to our species using Watson's determinations", and to state in conclusion: "I have excluded 15 species that have been reported for the state". Surely H. silphioides Nutt. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. vii. 366 (1841), found from "the plains of Arkansa" and southeastern Missouri up the Mississippi drainage to southwestern Indiana, thence south to Alabama and Louisiana, later described from along the Mississippi in Kentucky as $H$. kentuckiensis McFarland \& Anderson in Am. Mid. Nat. ix. 139, t. 10, (1924),-surely this very distinct plant cannot be satisfactorily referred to the more eastern $H$. atrorubens, as is done by Watson. In fact, Nuttall specially noted after the detailed description of $H$. silphioides, "Allied to H. atrorubens but" etc., just as Bertoloni had done after his description of the equally distinct H. gracilis. It almost appears as if both mentions of H. atrorubens had been mistaken as identifications with it.

To be sure, Dr. W. A. Anderson, coauthor of H. kentuckiensis, subsequently pointed out ${ }^{1}$ that $H$. kentuckiensis is certainly identical with $H$. silphioides Nutt., as well as with the type of $H$. atrorubens, var. pubescens Kuntze, l. c. At that time Anderson considered the tall and very leafy plant, with slenderly shortpetioled cuneate or truncate- to cordate-based leaves running high and nearly uniformly up the stem, the pubescence of stem and roundish leaves short and close, as a Mississippi Valley extreme of $H$. atrorubens of "the Atlantic coastal plain, and . . . the mountains of North Carolina, Tennessee and Georgia", the latter with the stem hispid to villous below (our fig. 2), the leaves "ovate to oblong-lanceolate, . . . tapering or abruptly contracted into a winged petiole", the "lower surfaces of the leaves

[^78]smoothish, with long hairs on veins and petiole". Anderson further noted that "Before publishing Helianthus kentuckiensis as a new species, Dr. McFarland and the writer sent a specimen to Mr. E. E. Watson who was then preparing a monograph of the genus. It was at his suggestion that the plant was described as a new species. Later, when his monograph appeared, Watson disposed of $H$. kentuckiensis as 'not a Helianthus'."

The photograph of the type of Helianthus silphioides, taken by Dr. S. F. Blake at the British Museum, and the original plate of $H$. kentuckiensis might have come from the same material, and, after studying the type of Otto Kuntze's $H$. atrorubens, var. pubescens (the "varietal name . . . not a happy one") from Cairo, Illinois, Anderson found it quite like the others. Dr. Anderson sent a root from the type-colony of $H$. kentuckiensis to the Harvard Botanic Garden, the crown then carrying a number of stems. That original plant, increasing by many deep-seated subterranean stoloniform roots and, as Mr. Francis Lazenby, the Superintendent, informs me, not here coming from the regularly scattered achenes, is now a clone or colonial plant of about 50 tall uniformly leafy fertile stems. Such a root-system, with the many elongate and stoloniform deep roots ending in vegetative buds and completely covering an herbarium-sheet is wonderfully displayed by a plant, mounted on three sheets, sent to the Gray Herbarium by Mr. Ralph M. Kriebel from a colony covering about 100 yards in Lawrence County, Indiana (Kriebel, no. 3965 ), tentatively placed with $H$. scaberrimus. Although the Kriebel plant has the leaves less rounded at base than in most typical $H$. silphioides, it is well matched by the foliage of the upper half of the stem of Demaree, no. 16,543 from Arkansas, as well as that of Buckley's material from Alabama. Its involucres, flowers and achenes are those of $H$. silphioides. How unlike the habit of $H$. atrorubens! The latter, with which I have become very familiar in the field, has a simple, short, horizontal or ascending premorse rhizome which rarely attains a length of 4 cm . It does not form clones, but the plants regularly have a single flowering stem, arising from the axil just beyond the remnants of the stem of the preceding year. Most of its leaves are borne from near the base of the tall stem, these on very long upwardly winged petioles. Far separated from these subbasal leaves there
is usually a pair of smaller but petioled leaves, borne one sixth to one fourth the way up the stem; then far above them a few much smaller and eventually merely bracteiform leaves up the almost scapose upper two thirds of the stem. With such differences of subterranean habit, pubescence, shape and distribution of leaves and geographic isolation, $H$. silphioides and $H$. atrorubens seem to me as distinct as any two species of Helianthus.

Helianthus laetiflorus Pers., var. rigidus (Cass.), stat. nov. Harpalium rigidum Cass. Dict. Sci. Nat. xx. 200 (1814). Helianthus scaberrimus Ell. Sk. ii. 423 (1823). Helianthus rigidus (Cass.) Desf. Cat. Hort. Par. ed. 3: 184 (1829).
H. laetiflorus, var. subrhomboideus (Rydb.), comb. nov. H. subrhomboideus Rydb. in Mem. N. Y. Bot. Gard. i. 419 (1900). H. scaberrimus, var. subrhomboideus (Rydb.) Farwell in Am. Midl. Nat. viii. 278 (1923).

When Deam defined Helianthus rigidus, forma flavus he "started something". Up to that time the very obvious division of Helianthus into a series with lobes of the disk-corollas purple, as opposed to those with corolla-lobes yellow, had been almost unchallenged, although it was well known that the commonly cultivated $H$. annuus may have "sports" with yellow, instead of the more frequent dark purple disk. As early as 1789 Lamarck, in his Dict. iii. 86, got confused and described as the quite different $H$. atrorubens L., a species then cultivated in the Jardin du Roi in Paris, a very scabrous plant with spatulate-oval harshly scabrous acuminate and triple-nerved leaves which tapered to short petioles; heads very showy, resembling those of Rudbeckia "mais qui sont plus grandes \& ont plus d'éclat", these on long scabrous peduncles; the phyllaries erect and lanceolate; the disk "d'un jaune fonce". So obviously not the Linnean $H$. atrorubens, the plant of Lamarck was redefined by Persoon as H. laetiflorus Pers. Synop. ii. 476 (1807). H. laetiflorus, passed on to other large European gardens, was several times well illustrated and is well known as a native or as a garden-escape through much of eastern North America. When he discussed it in the Synoptical Flora Asa Gray, still clinging to the primary divisions, "Disk . . . dark purple or brownish" as opposed to "Disk yellow", placed $H$. laetiforus immediately after $H$. rigidus but as the first species with "Disk yellow", and defined it "Re-
sembling tall forms of the preceding, similarly scabrous or hispid", etc., the plant recognized from "Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin". When Deam said, under "Excluded Species", of H. laetiflorus "I am excluding the species from our flora and referring specimens so named to the yellow flowered form of Helianthus rigidus (Cass.) Desf.", he was right as to the identity but, unfortunately, he overlooked the fact that the specific epithet laetiflorus started in 1807 , while $H$. rigidus was based on a name which was first published in 1814.

I am treating Helianthus rigidus as a variety rather than a color-form. In general it has thicker leaves than typical $H$. laetiflorus and its natural range is more western. Similarly, although Deam and, before him, E. E. Watson, got nothing out of H. subrhomboideus, which admittedly passes into western phases of $H$. laetiflorus, var. rigidus, it stands apart on several characters.

Var. rigidus has a very scabrous stem $0.7-2.5 \mathrm{dm}$. high; var. subrhomboideus a slender and less scabrous (especially above) stem $0.15-1.2 \mathrm{~m}$. high. Var. rigidus has $7-15$ leafy nodes, the lance-oblong to lance-ovate leaves acuminate to long tips, the longer blades up to 3 dm . long; but var. subrhomboideus has only 6-9 nodes, the leaves subrhombic and barely acute to bluntish, the larger ones only $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{dm}$. long. In var. rigidus the phyllaries are lanceolate to narrowly ovate; in var. subrhomboideus more oblong or oblong-oval. Although westward it merges into typical var. rigidus, it has come east to Quebec and New England, chiefly via transcontinental railways, from plains of the West. Here it is very pure and shows little or none of the transition to var. rigidus which occurs farther west.

## Explanations of Plates 1007-1020

Plate 1007, Xyris Bayardi Fernald, all figs., from type: fig. 1, four plants, $\times 1$; fig. 2, two spikes, $\times 5$; fig. 3 , tip of basal leaf, $\times 10$; Figs. 4 and 5 , lateral sepals, $\times 10$; fig. 6 , seeds, $\times 10$.

Plate 1008, X. brevifolia Michaux and X. flabelliformis Chapman. Figs. 1-4, X. brevifolia: fig. 1, bases of plants, $\times 1$, from the general typeregion, between Guyton and Ogeechee Rivers, Effingham Co., Georgia, Harper, no. 920; Fig. 2, basal leaf, $\times 10$, from no. 920 ; Fig. 3, group of spikes, $\times 1$, from the TYPE of Michaux, after photo. by Cintract; FIG. 4, spike, $\times 5$, from no. 920. Figs. 5-7, X. flabelliformis, all figs. from isotype: fig. 5, base of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 6 , tip of basal leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 7 , spike, $\times 5$.

Plate 1009, fig. 1, Pedicularis canadensis L.: characteristic plant, $\times 2 / 3$, from Brooklin, Maine, A. F. Hill, no. 1419. Figs. 2 and 3, var. Dobbsir Fernald: fig. 2, characteristic base of plant, $\times 3 / 4$, from Spring Grove, Minnesota, Rosendahl, no. 276; fig. 3, flowering raceme, $\times 1$, from no. 276 .

Plate 1010, P. canadensis, var. Dobbsit, both from type: fig. 1, base of plant, $\times 2 / 3$; FIG. 2, fruiting raceme, $\times 1$.

Plate 1011, $\times$ Solidago hirtipes Fernald, all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of plant, $\times 1 / 3$; fig. 2, pedicelled heads, $\times 5$; Fig. 3, pedicels, $\times 10$; FIG. 4, upper, and fig. 5 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$.

Plate 1012, S. graminifolia (L.) Salisb., var. Nuttallii (Greene) Fernald and S. microcephala (Greene) Bush. Figs. 1-3, S. graminifolia, var. Nuttallii, all from near Alexandria, Virginia, Blake, no. 8697: fig. 1, glomerules, $\times 5$; FIG. 2, branchlet and pedicel, $\times 10$; FIG. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$. Figs. 4, 5 and 6, S. microcephala, all from the type-region, Sumter Co., Georgia, Harper, no. 636: FIG. 4, pedicelled heads, $\times 5$; FIG. 5 , branchlet and pedicels, $\times 10$; Fig. 6 , upper surface of leaf, $\times 10$.
Plate 1013, Xanthium Chasei Fernald and X. strumarium L. Fig. 1, X. Chasei, type, $\times 3 / 7$. Figs. 2 and 3, X. strumarium: fig. 2, bur, $\times 2$, from Bavaria, Killermann, in Herb. Exsic. Bavar., no. 1226; Fig. 3, same bur, $\times 5$.
Plate 1014, X. Chasei Fernald: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times$ 1; fig. 2, bur, $\times 2$, from type; fig. 3, portion of bur, to show beaks and bulbous-based prickles, $\times 5$, from type; fig. 4, bur, $\times 2$, from $V$. H. Chase, no. 3474; fig. 5 , summit of bur, $\times 5$, from no. 3474 .
Plate 1015, burs, $\times 2$, and beaks, $\times 5$, of X. globosum Shull, X. fralicum Moretti, X. inflexum Mackenzie \& Bush and X. chinense Miller. Fias. 1 and 2, X. GLobostum, from plant raised by Sherff from seed of TyPe. Figs. 3'and 4, X. italicum, from Venetia, Béguinot in Fl. Italica Exsicc., no. 2774. Figs. 5 and 6, X. inflexum, from Courtney, Missouri, Bush, no. 1806. Figs. 7 and 8, X. chinense, from Ogdensburg, New York, Phelps, no. 1215.

Plate 1016, burs of X. curvescens Millspaugh \& Sherff and X. leptocarpum Millspaugh \& Sherff, smaller figs. $\times 2$, larger, $\times 5$. Figs. 1-4, X. curvescens: figs. 1 and 2, from type; figs. 3 and 4, from Burlington, Vermont, Sept. 8, 1918, N. F. Flynn (identification by Sherff). Fias. 5 and 6, X. leptocarpum, from type.

Plate 1017, burs of X. orientale L., figs. $1,3,5$ and $7, \times 2$, others $\times 5$; FIGs. 1 and 2, from Austria, Fritsch in Fl. Exsic. Austro-Hung., no. 3068; FIGs. 3 and 4 ( 2 burs), from France (as X. mactocarpum DC.), ex Herb. CossonGermain; figs. 5 and 6, from Richelieu River, St. Hilaire, Quebec, Pease, no. 12,955; Figs. 7 and 8, from LaTortue, Quebec, Victorin, no. 21,254.

Plate 1018, X. echinatum Murray: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$, from Revere, Massachusetts, Sept. 17, 1882, Herbert A. Young; Fig. 2, margin of large leaf, $\times 1$, from Marshfield, Massachusetts, August 28, 1898, C. $H$. Morss; FIG. 3, bur, with arching beaks, $\times 2$, from Tisbury, Massachusetts, F. C. Seymour, no. 2029; FIG. 4 , summit of bur, $\times 5$, from no. 2029; FIG. 5, bur, with tightly crossing beaks, $\times 2$, from Newcastle, New Hampshire, Sept. 19, 1901, E.F.Williams; FIG. 6 , summit, $\times 5$, of same bur as in fig. 5 .
Plate 1019, X. varians Greene: fig. 1, portion of isotype, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , bur, $\times 2$, from The Dalles, Oregon, Suksdorf, no. 193; fig. 3, summit of bur, $\times 5$, from no. 193.
Plate 1020, Helianthus atrobubens L., var. alsodes Fernald, all figs. from TYPE: FIG. 1 , plant, $\times 3 / 8$; FIG. 2, pubescence of base and petioles, $\times 2$; FIG. 3 , involucre, $\times 2$.


## PLATES



## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Spiranthes lacera: fig. 1. two plants, $\times 1$, from type-region of $S$. gracilis. var. secunda FIG. 2, two spikes, $\times 1$, from type-region of species: FIG. 3. profile, $\times 6$, of portion of spike FIG. 4, face-view, $\times 6$. of same flowers; FIG. 5 , lip, $\times 10$; FIG. 6 . venation of basal leaf, $\times 10$. by transmitted light.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Spiranthes gracilis: fig. 1, spike, $\times 1$, from type-region; fig. 2, profile, $\times 6$. of flowers. and FIG. 3, face-view, $\times 6$, of flowers; fig. 4 , lip, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , venation of basal leaf, $\times 10$. by transmitted light.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Salix rigida: fig. 1, leaf of type, $\times 1$, after Muhlenberg; fici. 2, fruiting braneh, $\times 1$; Fic. 3 , portion of young pistillate ament, $\times \overline{5}$; fig. 4 , fruiting ament, $\times 4_{5}:$ fig. 5 , portion of fruiting ament, $\times 5$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Salix rigida (S. cordata Muhl.): fig. 1, leaf of type of $S$. cordata Muhl., $\times 1$, after $1 /$ uhlenberg; pigs. 2 and 3 , staminate and pistillate flowering branches, $\times 1$; FIG. 4, portion of fruiting ament, $\times 5$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Salix (oridata Michaux ( $S$. adenophylla Hook.): fig. 1, type of $S$. adenophylla, $\times$ ca. 12; FIG. 2, margin of leaf of type of S. culenophylla, $\times 10$; FIGS. 3 and 4, leafy tip and fruiting ament. $\times{ }^{4_{5}}$, from probable type-region of $s$. adenophylla.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Salix cordata Michaux. figs. 1, 2, 3 and 6 from Michaux's type-region: figs. 1 and 2, leafy tips, $\times 4 / 5 ;$ figs. 3 and 4 , stipules and leaf-bases, $\times 5$; figs. 5 and 6, leafmargins, $\times 10$; FIG. 7 , staminate ament, $\times 45$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Salix cordata Michaux: fig. 1, staminate flowering branch, $\times 4_{5}$; fig. 2 , pistillate flowering tip, $\times{ }_{4}{ }_{5}$; FIG. 3, fruiting ament, $\times{ }_{4}$ : FIG. 4 , portion of young, and rig. 5 , portion of flowering staminate ament, showing blackish bracts, $\times 10$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Salix cordata Michaux: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$; fig. 2, lower surface of mature leaf, showing delicate venation, $\times 10$; FIG. 3, portion of fruiting ament, showing short pedicels, $\times 10$.


## 1'hoto. B. G. Schubert

Salix sybticola: figi. 1, pistillate, and fig. 2. staminate branch, $\times \frac{4}{5}$, from type.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Salix syrticola: fig. 1, portion of stipule, petiole and leaf-base, $\times 5$; fig. 2, petiole and leaf-base, $\times 5$; fig. 3, portion of stipule, $\times 5$; fig. 4 , venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 10$; fig. 5 , portion of flowering pistillate ament, $\times 5$; fig. 6 , portion of staminate ament, showing pale bracts, $X 5$, from type.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Salix reticulata: fig. 1 , portion of staminate ament, $\times 10$.
S. reticulata, var. semicalva: fig. 2 , portion of type, $X{ }_{5}$ : fig. 3 , staminate ament and lower surface of leaf, $\times 3$, from type; rig. 4 , portion of staminate ament. $\times 10$, from TYPE.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
SAlid Reticulata: fifi. 1 , portion of fruiting ament. $\times 10$.
S. RETICULATA, Var. SEMICALVA: FIG. 2 , fruiting plant, $\times 4$; FIG. 3 , fruiting ament, $\times 5$; FIG. 4 , portion of fruiting ament, $\times 10$.


## Photo. B. G. Schubert

Salix leiolepis, all figs. from type: fig. 1. portion of shrub, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , branchlet and portions of leaves, $\times 5$; fig. 3, portion of fruiting ament, showing glabrous bracts and capsules, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Salix jejuva: fig. 1 , two portions of type, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , a small dense shrub, $\times 1$; fig. 3 , branch, $\times 1$, showing 2nd flowering in midsummer; FIG. 4, expanding bud and stipule (left), $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , fruiting ament and leaf, $\times 5$; FIG, 6, portion of fruiting ament, showing villous bracts and capsules, $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Xyris Bayardi, all figs. from type: fig. 1, four plants, $X 1$; fici, 2, two spikes, $\times 5$; fig. 3, leaf-tip, $\times 10$; figs. 4 and $\tilde{5}$, lateral sepals, $\times 10$; FIc. 6 , four seeds. $\times 10$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Xyris brevifolia: fig. 1, bases of plants, $\times 1$, from type-region; fig. 2, basal leaf, $\times 10$ : fig. 3, group of spikes, $\times 1$, from Michaux's type, after photo. by Cintract; FIG. 4, spike, $\times \overline{0}$.
X. flabelliformis, all figs. from inotype: fifi. 5 , base of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 6 , tip of leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 7 , spike, $\times 5$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Pedicularis canadensis: fig. 1, plant, $\times 2 / 3$.
P. chindensis, var. Dobbail: figi, 2, characteristic base, $X$ " ; fig. 3, flowering raceme, $\times 1$.


Photo. B. G. Schubert
Pedictlaris canadensis, var. Dobbsif, both figs. from type: fig. 1, base of plant, showing fusiform roots (center), $\times \frac{2}{3} ;$ fig. 2 , fruiting raceme, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert

Solidago hirtipes, all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of plant, $\times 1 / 2$; Fig. 2, pedicelled heads, $\times 5$; Fig. 3, pedicels, $\times 10 ;$ sig. 4 , upper, and fig. $\overline{3}$, lower surface of leaf,


Photo B. G. Schubert
Solidago graminifolia, var. Nuttallit: fig. 1 , glomerules, $\times 5$; fig. 2 , branchlet and pedicel, $\times 10$; fig. 3, lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$.
S. microcephala from type-region: fig. 4 , pedicelled heads, $\times 5$; fig. 5 , branchlet and pedicels, $\times 10$; Fig. 6, upper surface of leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthium Chasei: fig. 1, type, $\times \frac{3 / 2}{}$.
X. strumarium: fig. 2, bur, $\times 2 ;$ fig. 3 , bur, $\times 5$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthicm Chasei, figs. 1,2 and 3 from type: fig. 1 , portion of plant, $\times 1$; fig. 2, bur, $\times 2$; fig. 3, portion of bur to show beaks and bulbous-based prickles, $\times 5$; FIG. 4, bur, $\times 2$, and FIG. 5 , its summit, $\times 5$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthium, burs, $\times 2$, beaks, $\times 5$; figs. 1 and $2, \mathbf{X}$. globostim, from plant raised from seed of type; figs. 3 and $4, \mathrm{X}$. italitum ;igis. $\overline{\mathrm{b}}$ and $6, \mathrm{X}$. inflexum; figs. 7 and $8, \mathrm{X}$. chinense.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthium, entire burs $\times 2$, enlargements $\times 5$ : figs. $1-4$, X. curvescens, figs. 1 and 2 from type; figs. $\overline{5}$ and 6 from type of X. leptocarpum.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthium orientale: figs. $1,3,5$ and 7 burs, $\times 2$; figis. $2,4,6$ and 8 heaks, $\times 5$; figs. 1-4 from European plants, figs. $5-8$ from plants adventive in America.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthicm echinatum: fig. 1, fruiting branch, $\times 1$; fig. 2, margin of large leaf, $\times 1$; figs, 3 and 5 , burs, $\times 2$; figs. 4 and 6 , beaks, $\times 5$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Xanthium varians: fig. 1 , portion of isotype, $\times 1$; fig. 2 。bur, $\times 2$; fig. 3 , summit of bur to show beaks, $\times 5$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Helianthe's atroribens, var. Aliones, all figs. from type: fili. 1, plant, $\times{ }^{3}{ }_{x}$ : firs. 2, pubescence of base and petioles, $\times 2$; fis, 3 , involucre, $\times 2$.

## I N D E X

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Agrimonia, 71; gryposepala, 71; microcarpa, 71; pubescens, 71 ; rostellata, 71
Ambrosia, 73, 74
Aristida tuberculosa, 37
Calipogon parviflorum, 11
Calopogon barbatus, 11, var. multiflorus, 11; multiflorus, 11; pallidus, 11 ; parviflorus, 11
Cardamine pulcherrima, 12
Carex, 70; crinita, 55, var. brevicrinis, $54-56$, var. gynandra, 55, 56; Mitchelliana, 55, 56; PseudoCyperus, 55
Cephalanthus occidentalis, 58
Chamitea, 42
Chrysopsis mariana, f. efulgens, 60
Compositae, subtribe Ambrosinae, 73
Corallorhiza montana, 12; odontorhiza, 12
Corona solis minor, etc., 75
Cymbidium odontorhizon, 12
Dentaria grandiflora, 12; maerocarpa, 12, var. pulcherrima, 12; tenella, var. pulcherrima, 12
Difficulties in North American Salix, 13-16, 27-40, 41-49, pls. 995-1006

Eleocharis caribaea, 37; geniculata, 37; melanocarpa, 37
Eriocaulon decangulare, 58
Festuca, 70
Floating knotweed, 52
Flora, Novelties in Our, 54-60; 6581, pls. 1007-1020

Goodyera decipiens, 11; Menziesii, 11, 12; oblongifolia, 11, 12
(iyrostachys Beckii, 6; (irayi, 6; parviflora, 5 ; simplex, 6

Habenatia elavellata, 10; elegans, var. maritima, 10; maritima, 10, 11
Harpalium rigidum, 79
Helianthus ammus, 79 ; atrorubens, $75-79$, var. alsodes, 74 76, 81, pl. 1020, var. normalis, 75,76 , var. pubescens, 77, 78; foliis ovatis, 75 ; gracilis, 76,75 ; heterophyllus, 7 ; kentuckiensis, 77, 78; laetiflorus,

79, 80 , var. rigidus, 79, 80 , var. subrhomboideus, 79; rigidus, 79 , 80, f. flavus, 79 ; scaberrimus, 78 , 79 , var. subrhomboideus, 79, 80; silphioides, $77-79$; sparsifolius, 76 ; subrhomboideus, 79, 80
"Herbarium Rafinesquianum", Some Species in Rafinesque's, 5-13, pls. 993, 994
Hypericum canadense, 58
Ibidium Beckii, 6; ovale, 5; parviflorum, 6
Iris virginica, 15
Knotweed, floating, 52; swimming, 52
Lycopus amplectens, 37 ; sessilifolius, 37
Neottia gracilis, 6,7 , var. $\beta$. secunda, 5, 7, 9; lacera, 5-7; plantaginea, 6; Slender, 6
Nomenclatural Transfers in Polygonum, 49-54
North American Salix, Difficulties in, 13-16, 27-40, 41-49, pls. 995-1006
Novelties in Our Flora, 54 60, 65-81, pls. 1007-1020

Ophrys barbata, 11
Orchidaceae, 9
Orchids, 7
Orchioides decipiens, 11; Menziesii, 11
Orchis clavellata, 10
Panicum auburne, 37 ; verrucosum, 58
Pedicularis canadensis, 59, 60, 80, pl. 1009, var. Dobbsii, $59,60,80$, pls. 1009, 1010, var. fluviatilis, 60
Peramium decipiens, 11; Menziesii, 11
Persicaria fluitans, 49, 52; mesochora, 52; oregana, 52; purpurata, 52
Pinus Banksiana, 37
Piperia maritima, 10
Polygonum, Nomenclatural Transfers in, 49-54; amphibium, 49-51, var. aquaticum, 49, 50, var. Hartwrightii, 51 , amphibium, var. marginatum, f. hirtuosum, 49, var. natans, 50, 52, var. $\alpha$. natans, 49, 50 , var. stipulaceum, 50,51, f. fluitans, 49, f. hirtuosum, 49, f.
simile, 49, 51; amphibium, f. terrestre, 51, var. terrestre, 51; arifolium, 53, 54, var. lentiforme, 53, 54, var. pubescens, 53; cilinode, var. breve, 54 , cilinode, f. erectum, 54, var. erectum, 54; fluitans, 49 52; Hartwrightii, 51; natans, 49, 50,52 , f. genuinum, 51, f. Hartwrightii, 51; orientale, 51; sagittatum, 53, 54, var. pubescens, 53, 54
Populus, 42, 43
Potamogeton, 70
Poterium sitchense, 12
Psilocarya nitens, 37, 58
Rafinesque's "Herbarium Rafinesquianum", Some Species in, 5-13, pls. 993, 994
Rhynchospora filifolia, 58
Rudbeckia, 79; fulgida, 75
Sabatia difformis, 58
Salices, 42
Salix, Difficulties in North American, $13-16,27-40,41-49$, pls. 995-1006; \& Adenophyllae, 15, 34, 37, 38; § Argyrocarpae, 44; § Bonplandianae, 43; § Cordatae, 15, 34, 37, 38; 8 Herbaceae, 44; § Nigrae, 43; § Pentandrae, 43; Reticulatae, 4143; § Uva-ursi, 44; adenophylla, $14,28,31$ 38, 48; alba, var pameachiana, 38, var. vitellina $\times \mathrm{S}$. fragilis, 38 ; alba $\times$ lucida, 38 ; anglorum, var. antiplasta, 44; angustata, 38 ; arctica, var. antiplasta, 44; argyrocarpa, 44; Bebbiana, 43; Bonplandiana, 43; candida, 28; caroliniana, 28-31; conifera, 14; cordata, 14, 27, 28, 30-38, 48, pls. 997-1000, var. abrasa, 34, $\beta$. angustata, 38; cordata angustata, 31, $1^{\circ}$ forma discolor, 31 ; cordata, f. mollis, 38; decipiens, 38 ; discolor, 27, 43, var. eriocephala, 27 ; eriocephala, 27, 28, 31; fragilis, 38; glaucophylla, 45, var. albovestita, 45 ; glaucophylloides, 45 , var. albovestita, 45, var. glaucophylla, 45; glaucophylloides, f. lasioclada, 45 ; gracilis, 46-48, var. textoris, 46, 47; herbacea, 44; Humboldtiana, 43; humilis, 43, var. hyporhysa, 45, var. longifolia, f. rigidiuscula, 45, var. microphylla, 46, f. curtifolia, 46, f. festiva, 46, f. tortifolia, 46, var. rigidiuscula, 45, var. tristis, 46; incana, 28; interior, 39,
var. exterior, 38, 39; interior, f. Wheeleri, 39; jejuna, 41, 43, 49, pl. 1006; $\times$ Jesupi, 38; leiolepis, 41, 43, 49, pl. 1005; longifolia, 39; longipes, 29-31, var. Wardi, 29; longirostris, 28; lucida, 43; missouriensis, 27, 28; nigra, 28-31, 43, var. Wardi, 30 ; nivalis, 43 ; occidentalis, 30 ; pameachiana, 38; pentandra, 28, 29, 43; petiolaris, 46-48, var. angustifolia, 46, var. rosmarinoides, 46; Pitcheriana, 31; reticulata, $39,41-43,49$, pls. 1003, 1004 , var. semicalva, 39, 41-43, 49, pls. 1003, 1004 ; rigida, 32-34, $38,43,48$, pls. 995,996 , var. angustata, 38 ; rigida, f. mollis, 38; syrticola, 14, 31, 34-37, 48, pls. 1001, 1002; triandra, 29, 30; tristis, $14,28,46$, f . curtifolia, 46 , f. festiva, 46; tristis microphylla, 46; Tva-ursi, 44; vestita, 40-43, f. mensalis, 42, var. psilophylla, 41; vitellina, 38; Wardi, 29-31
Sanguisorba canadensis, $\beta$. latifolia, 12; latifolia, 12; officinalis, 12 ; sitchensis, 12; stipulata, 12
Slender Neottia, 6
Solidago § Euthamia, 65; graminifolia, var. Nuttallii, 66, 81, pl. 1012, $\times$ S. microcephala, 65 ; $\times$ hirtipes, $65,66,81, \mathrm{pl} .1011$; microcephala, $65,66,81$, pl. 1012
some Species in Rafinesque's "Herbarium Rafinesquianum", 5-13, pls. 993, 994
Spiranthes, 6; Beckii, 6, 9, 10; cernua, var. parviflora, 5; decipiens, 11; gracilis, 6-9, 13, pl. 994; Grayi, 6; lacera, $5-9,13$, pl. 993; montana, 5, 9; ovalis, 5, 9; parviflora, 5; plantaginea, 6; simplex, 6, 10 ; Smallii, 6; tuberosa, 6, 10
Stachys hyssopifolia, 37
Stomoisia virgatula, 60
Swimming knotweed, 52
Triorchis Beckii, 6; Grayi, 6; ovalis, 6 Tussaca oblongifolia, 11

Utricularia juncea, 60, f. minima, 60 , f. virgatula, 60; subulata, 60, f. cleistogama, 60 ; virgatula, 60

Willows, 14, 29, 42, 47
Xanthium \& Acanthoxanthium, 73; § Euxanthium, 73; ambrosioides, 74; canadense, 72 ; Chasei, 66-70, 72,

73, 81, pls. 1013, 1014; chinense, $67,68,70,72,81$, pl. 1015; curvescens, 68, $69,81, \mathrm{pl} .1016$; echinatum, $67,69,71,73,81$, pl. 1018; globosum, 66, 67, 72, 81, pl. 1015 ; inaequilaterum, 73 ; indicum, 73; inflexum, 68, 81, pl. 1015; italicum, 67, 70, 81, pl. 1015; leptocarpum, 68, 69, 81, pl. 1016; macrocarpum, 81 ; orientale, 68-70, 81, pl. 1017; oviforme, 67; pensyl-
vanicum, 70, 72; Roxburghii, 73; speciosum, 70, 73; spinosum, 7174; strumarium, 66, 67, 70, 71, 73, 74, 81, pl. 1013; varians, 69, 81, pl. 1019; Wootoni, 70
Xyris, 58; § Brevifoliae, 56, 57; amhigua, 58; Bayardi, 56-58, 80, pl. 1007; brevifolia, 56, 57, 80, pl. 1008; caroliniana, 58; Drummondii, 57 ; flabelliformis, 57, 80, pl. 1008
-
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## 1.-STUDIES IN THE FLORA OF BOLIVIA,-I.

Iridaceae, Part 1.

By Robert C. Foster

During the past two years, preliminary work on a proposed Flora of Bolivia has been carried on, this work consisting chiefly of a search through botanical literature for species described from Bolivian types, or other species reported to occur in Bolivia. It became clear, early in the making of this catalogue, that material was accumulating, and would continue to accumulate in increasing amounts, which could not properly be included in the Flora, as that work is now planned. To deal with this material, the present series of studies is initiated. It will contain descriptions of new species, nomenclatural notes, and preliminary treatments of special groups, treatments with more detailed descriptions, fuller synonymy, and more ample comment than will be possible in the final work.

In view of my special interest in Iridaceae, it is hardly surprising that this first paper should be a treatment of the Bolivian members of that family. For the most part, it is based on the collections of the Gray Herbarium, although some material has been seen from the United States National Herbarium (US), the New York Botanical Garden (NY), the Chicago Natural History Museum (F), and the Missouri Botanical Garden (MBG). I am indebted to the administrative officers of these herbaria for their kindness in lending this material to me.

## Key to the Genera

a. Rootstock neither a bulb nor a corm.
b. Perianth-tube absent, the tepals basally connate.
c. Tepals dimorphic, the outer series sepaloid, shorter than the inner.
.1. Libertia
c. Tepals subequal or the outer series longer than the inner, not sepaloid.
d. Filaments more or less free; flowers short-pedicellate; capsules not exserted on long pedicels; rhizome coarse.
2. Orthrosanthus
d. Filaments connate or partly free; flowers long-pedicellate; capsules usually well-exserted; rhizome short or nearly absent.
3. Sisyrinchium
b. Perianth-tube present, long.
4. Phaiophleps
a. Rootstock a bulb or corm.
e. Stigmatic areas apical, or laterally descending from the apex, but not transverse; style-crests absent.
f. Perianth-tube absent, but the tepals basally connate.
g. Style-arms entire.
h. Style-arms narrowly linear-subulate or -canaliculate.
i. Leaves very narrow, not plicate; spathes 1 flowered; bulb-tunics castaneous or blackishbrown, thin.
5. Calydorea
i. Leaves broad, plicate; spathes several-flowered; bulb-tunics purple or purple-brown, thick. ....6. Eleutherine
h. Style-arms not narrowly linear-subulate.
j. Inner tepals connivent; style cylindric, style-arms elliptic, much longer than broad.
7. Cipura
j. Inner tepals not connivent; style more or less
trumpet-shaped, style-arms shorter than the style,
cuneate-reniform, not longer than broad.....8. Sphenostigma
g. Style-arms bifid.
k. Flower blue or blue-purple, inner tepals much shorter than the outer; style-arms briefly bifid.
9. Alophia
k. Flower yellow, tepals subequal; style-arms deeply bifid.
10. Tigridia
f. Perianth-tube present.................................. . . 11. Cardenanthus
e. Stigmas transverse; style-crests present.

1. Inner tepals much reduced; anthers free from style-arms;
style-arms bifid below the stigmas. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12 .
Mastigostyla
2. Inner tepals less reduced; anthers apically adherent to the style-arms; style-arms not bifid below the stigmas.
3. Cypella

## 1. LIBERTIA Spreng.

Libertia boliviana, spec. nov. Rhizoma gracile, breve, basibus foliorum vetustorum vix vestita. Folia basalia numerosa, flabellata, linearia, longe attenuata, rigida, glabra, nervo primario marginibusque incrassatis, marginibus scabridulis, ad 15 cm . longa et 4 mm . lata; folia caulina pauca (1-3), reducta. Caulis ramosus, teres, glaber, ad 20 cm . altus. Spathae terminales, ad 1.5 cm . longae, inaequales, interior brevior, $1-3-\mathrm{fl}$.; pedicelli filiformes, ad anthesin bene exserti, ad 1.5 cm . longi. Perianthii tubus obsoletus; tepala exteriora sepaloidea, oblonga, apice obtusa, subcucullata, penicillata, 3 mm . longa, 1.25 mm . lata, viridescentia; tepala interiora alba (?), ovato-obovata, obtusa, 4.5 mm . longa, 3 mm . lata, lamina in parte superiore crenu-lato-undulata. Stamina cum styli ramis alterna; filamenta libera, 2 mm . longa; antherae ca. 1 mm . longae, ovoideae vel ovato-ovoideae. Stylus 1 mm . longus; styli ramí 2 mm . longi, subulati. Capsula immatura globosa, trisulcata, glabra; semina non visa. LA PAZ: Nor Yungas: Unduavi, 3200 m . alt., November, 1910, Buchtien, no. 701 (TYPe, G), distributed as Sisyrinchium sp.

Until 1939, the genus Libertia was thought to occur in Australia, New Zealand, New Guinea, and on the west coast of South

America, the several species on that continent being confined to Chile. In that year, L. colombiana R. C. Foster was described from two collections made by Dr. F. W. Pennell, in Colombia. The description of the present species again increases the range of the genus in South America, for it is, so far as known to me, the first record of its occurrence in Bolivia.
$L$. boliviana superficially resembles $L$. tricocca Phil. and $L$. colombiana, but differs in its smaller, fewer flowers, and in having the stamen-filaments free to the base, instead of being united for much of their length.

## 2. ORTHROSANTHUS Sweet

Orthrosanthus nigrorhynchus Rusby. Coarse perennial tufted herb, with a short coarse rhizome. Basal leaves several to many, firm-textured, finely ribbed, linear, acute, to 45 cm . long, 5 mm . wide, the ribs puberulent and the thickened margins densely scabridulous-puberulent; cauline leaves several, the lowermost resembling the basal leaves, the uppermost much reduced. Stem terete, firm, puberulent, simple, or rarely branched in the inflorescence, to $5-6 \mathrm{dm}$. tall. Inflorescence racemose-paniculate, each pedunculate flower-cluster subtended by a spathiform bract (or reduced cauline leaf), these mostly exceeding the flowers. Spathes subequal, or the outer shorter, to 2 cm . long, finely ribbed, somewhat brown-membranous at the apex, 1-flowered (rarely 2 -flowered ?). Ovary oblong-elliptic, glabrous, to 1 cm . long, sessile, or nearly so. Flowers white, about 3 cm . in diameter, rotate, the tepals slightly connate at the base, but a true perianth-tube absent; tepals subequal, about 1.5 cm . long, 6 mm . wide, obovate, rounded at the apex and abruptly short-mucronate. Stamens alternate with the style-arms; filaments united at the base, but mostly free, ca. 3 mm . long; anthers linear, 5 mm . long. Style short, about as long as the united portion of the filaments; style-arms entire, conduplicate, becoming wider in the upper portion, much shorter than the anthers, about 3 mm . long. Capsule oblong-ellipsoid, to 2 cm . long, sessile in the spathes or nearly so, not strongly exserted from the spathes, angled; seeds numerous.-Mem. Torr. Bot. Club, vi (1). 126 (1896). Orthrosanthus tunariensis O. Ktze. Rev. Gen. Pl. iii (2). 309 (1898). O. Ocisapunga Ruiz ex Diels in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. (rev. ed) xva. 478 (1930), but not Sisyrinchium Occissapungum Ruiz ex Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 379 (186162). O. chimboracensis sensu Rusby, non (HBK.) Baker, in Bull. Torr. Bot. Club, xxix. 224 (1901). This species is apparently confined to Bolivia and Peru. LA PAZ: Larecaja: near

Sorata, between El Choro and Pampa de Chiliata, in grassy places, 3200-3400 m. alt., May, Mandon, no. 1209 (G); Sorata, ca. 3300 m. alt., February, 1886, Rusby, no. 700 (G). COCHABAMBA: near Cochabamba, 1891, Bang, no. 1074 (isotype, G); Chapare: Cumbre de Colomi, 3800 m . alt., March, 1941, Cárdenas, no. 2217 (US).

Although Kuntze's material of $O$. tunariensis has not been seen, it seems quite safe to place that name in synonymy, for he described it briefly (l. c.) as follows: "Ab simili O. chimborazensi differt floribus albis foliis angustioribus. Bolivia: im Tunarigebirge 3600 m hoch stellenweise häufig."

I am unable to differentiate the plant of Bolivia and Peru, briefly described by Diels, l. c., as O. Ocisapunga Ruiz, and the Bolivian $O$. nigrorhynchus. It is worth noting that the binomial given by Diels and the binomial Sisyrinchium Occissapungum Ruiz ex Klatt apply to different plants. Although Klatt cited a Ruiz collection from Peru, he also cited two Mexican collections. From his description, it is clear that he was redescribing O. chimboracensis (HBK.) Baker, which differs from O. nigrorhynchus in its blue flowers, anthers longer than the filaments, the spathes 2 - 3 -flowered, and the whole plant generally taller and stouter. No Bolivian material of $O$. chimboracensis has yet been seen by me, although it may occur in that country. Baker, Handbk, Irid. 119 (1892), attributed it to Bolivia, but this was probably on the basis of Mandon, no. 1209, which he mentioned as a "high alpine variety."

## 3. SISYRINCHIUM L.

A tentative treatment of the Bolivian species of this genus was prepared, but it is so unsatisfactory in a number of respects that it seems undesirable to present it here. A number of the approximately sixteen species can satisfactorily be treated with the material now in hand, but the uncertainty as to what binomials should be applied to a few species, and the extremely poor and scanty material of one or two others, make it necessary to postpone the treatment of this genus until more material can be studied. It will be presented as Part 2 of the present study.

## 4. PHAIOPHLEPS Raf.

Phaiophleps acaulis (Klatt) R. C. Foster. Densely caespitose, nearly acaulescent, rhizomatous perennials, the rhizome short, with long, coarsely fibrous roots. Leaves several, crowded, clothed at the base by the fibrous remains of old leaves, linear, acute, glabrous, to 6 cm . long, 1 mm . wide. Stem almost absent, the spathe-clusters appearing sessile in the leaf-bases, one to several spathe-clusters in a clump. Spathes subequal, rigid, to 2 cm . long, 1 -flowered, the pedicels short. Perianth-tube narrow, enlarging near the apex, to 1.5 cm . long; tepals ellipticoblong, to $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, 2 mm . wide. Stamens alternate with the style-arms; filaments united for 7 mm ., then free for 4 mm .; anthers oblong, versatile, 2 mm . long. Style as long as the column of filaments; style-arms linear-subulate, entire, ca. 3 mm . long. Capsule oblong-ellipsoid, about 5 mm . long; seeds numerous, very small, angular.-Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxvii. 43 (1939). Sisyrinchium acaule Klatt in Linnaea, xxxiv. 736 (186566). Solenomelus acaulis (Klatt) Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 121 (1877). Symphyostemon acaule (Klatt) Benth. ex Baker, Handbk. Irid. 138 (1892). Apparently endemic in Bolivia. LA PAZ: Larecaja: near Combaya and Mt. Chimburú, in grassy places, $4000-4200 \mathrm{~m}$. alt., October, 1858-January, 1859, Mandon, no. 1212 (isotype, G).

This curious and insignificant plant is not likely to be mistaken for any other, except possibly for a very dwarf Sisyrinchium, from which it is immediately distinguished by its perianth-tube, or for a Cardenanthus, from which genus it can readily be separated by its rhizome and entire style-arms.

## 5. CALYDOREA Herb.

Calydorea approximata R. C. Foster. Corm ovoid, to 1.5 cm . high, ca. 1 cm . in diameter, the membranous brown tunics somewhat prolonged upwards in a short collar around the base of the stem. Basal leaves 2-4, linear, acute, glabrous, 3.5-14 cm . long, $0.4-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide (usually less than 1 mm .) ; cauline leaf immediately subtending the spathes, the shortly spathiform base clasping the bases of the true spathes, $4-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the erect or slightly divergent linear-attenuate blade $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide. Stem simple, filiform, glabrous, $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inflorescence a pair of 1 -flowered spathes. Spathes quite unequal, the outer shorter (to 8 mm . less), the inner spathes $1.4-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, both herbaceous, acute; pedicels filiform, shorter than the spathes at anthesis. Ovary $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, oblong, glabrous. Tepals somewhat unequal, the outer series to 1.5 cm . long, 4 mm . wide, the
inner series to 1 cm . long, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, both series somewhat obovate, obtuse, probably blue, lighter in color toward the base (at least in sicco). Stamens alternate with the style-arms; filaments 2.5 mm . long; anthers $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, becoming spirally twisted with age. Style 2.5 mm . long; style-arms $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, entire. Capsule and seeds not seen.-Contrib. Gray Herb. clv. 46 (1945). TARIJA: Toldos, near Bermejo, 1850 m . alt., Dec. 5, 1903, Fiebrig, no. 2344 (тype, G).

Two other species, C. azurea Klatt and C. campestris Klatt, have been recorded by Herzog, in Mededeel. Rijks Herbar. Leiden, xl. 39 (1921), as occurring in the Department of Santa Cruz, near Samaipata and Santa Cruz de la Sierra, respectively. No Bolivian material has been seen by me as yet.

## 6. ELEUTHERINE Herb.

Eleutherine bulbosa (Mill.) Urban. Plants to 0.5 m . tall, the bulbs rather large, with thick, firm, red- or purple-brown tunics. Basal leaves few, linear, plicate, glabrous, to 45 cm . long, 6 mm . wide, apically long-attenuate. Stem simple, terete, glabrous, the terminal inflorescence appearing lateral in the axil of the apical cauline leaf, which exceeds it; inflorescence of several more or less pedunculate flower-clusters. Spathes several- to many-flowered, to 2 cm . long, herbaceous, the inner spathes more or less hyaline; pedicels not exserted at anthesis. Flowers white (or bluish-white), to 3.5 cm . in diameter, fugacious; perianthtube absent, the tepals slightly connate at the base, spreading; tepals subequal, about 1.5 cm . long, obovate, obtuse. Stamens alternate with the style-arms; filaments free, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; anthers linear, 3-4 mm. long. Style about 2 mm . long; stylearms entire, linear-subulate, about $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, shorter than or equal to the anther-apex. Capsule subglobose to oblong, exserted from the spathes, $6-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; seeds numerous, irregularly angled, dark brown. - In Fedde, Repert. Spec. Nov. xv. 305 (1918). Sisyrinchium bulbosum Mill. Dict. (ed. 8) no. 3 (1768). Ixia americana Aubl. Pl. Guian. i. 33 (1775). Moraea plicata Swartz, Fl. Ind. Occ. i. 82 (1797). Marica plicata (Sw.) Ker in Bot. Mag. xviii. t. 655 (1803). Eleutherine plicata (Sw.) Herb. in Bot. Reg. xxix. sub t. 57 (1843). Cipura plicata (Sw.) Griseb. Fl. Brit. W. Ind. 589 (1864). Galatea plicata (Sw.) [incorrectly ascribed to Salisb. by] Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 101 (1877), in synon. Galatea vespertina Salisb. in Trans. Hort. Soc. Lond. i. 310 (1812), nomen illegitimum. Widespread, from Mexico through the West Indies to Venezuela, the Guianas, Brazil, Argentina, and Bolivia. SANTA CRUZ: Sara: pampas,
monte y barbechos, Buenavista, 450 m. alt., Oct. 6, 1924, Steinbach, no. 6569 (G). Reported by Fries, in Arkiv f. Bot. viii (no. 8). 27 (1909), from TARIJA: O'Connor: Narvaez [as Narvais], in campo graminoso, Fries, no. 1293.

## 7. CIPURA Aubl.

Cipura paludosa Aubl. Small bulbous plants, the bulbs small, ovoid, 1.5 cm . high, the tunics thin, dark brown, membranous. Basal leaves $1-3$, to 22 cm . long, 4 mm . wide, linear, glabrous, plicate. Stem simple, terete, glabrous, $5-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. tall, with an apical cauline leaf immediately subtending the inflorescence and much exceeding it, to 19 cm . long, 5 mm . wide; inflorescence terminal but appearing pseudo-lateral. Spathes unequal, the outer to 2 cm . long, the inner to 3.5 cm . long, 2-3-flowered, the pedicels not exserted at anthesis. Flowers pale blue or white, fugacious, the tepals basally connate, but a true perianthtube absent; tepals markedly unequal, the outer tepals spreading, 2.3 cm . long, 1 cm . wide, obovate-cuneate, obtuse; inner tepals much shorter and narrower, connivent, with the apex slightly recurved outward. Stamens alternate with the style-arms; filaments free, to 2.5 mm . long; anthers linear, to $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Style slender, ca. 2.5 mm . long; style-arms entire, narrowly elliptic, somewhat petaloid, $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Capsule more or less oblong, 1.5 cm . long, exserted; seeds numerous, brown, irregularly angled.-Pl. Guian. i. 38, t. 13 (1775). Marica paludosa (Aubl.) Ker in Bot. Mag. xviii. t. 646 (1803). Cipura major Rusby in Bull. N. Y. Bot. Gard. vi. 493 (1910). A widespread and very variable species, ranging from Mexico and the West Indies to Venezuela, Colombia, the Guianas, Brazil, Uruguay, Paraguay, Peru, and Bolivia. LA PAZ: CAUPolicán: Ixiamas, ca. 235 m . alt., Dec. 20, 1921, O. E. White (Mulford Exped.), no. 2307 (G), distributed as Cipura major Rusby; Tumupasa, 600 m . alt., Dec. 16, 1901, R. S. Williams, no. 546 (type of C. major, NY). SANTA CRUZ: Sara: Rio Yapacani (near Buenavista), 400 m . alt., June, 1892, O. Kuntze (fide Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. iii (2). 308); campos humidos, Buenavista, 500 m . alt., Mar. 25, 1921, Steinbach, no. 5452 (G), 450 m . alt., Mar. 8, 1925, Steinbach, no. 6944 (G).

At present, I am unable to find any clear lines of segregation in this highly variable species, and consequently C. major Rusby has been placed in synonymy.

## 8. SPHENOSTIGMA Baker

Bulbous, perennial, caulescent herbs. Basal leaves few, linear or lance-linear, plicate; cauline leaves few, similar to the basal
leaves, or the upper ones reduced. Stem simple or branched. Spathes herbaceous, terminal, 1-several-flowered, the filiform pedicels equalling or a little shorter than the spathes. Ovary oblong-clavate, glabrous. The two series of tepals subequal or very dissimilar in size and shape, spreading, connate at the base, but a true perianth-tube absent. Stamens alternate with the style-arms, the filaments free or united, the anthers linear, with a narrow connective. Style becoming trifid, the arms entire, the stigmatic areas apical. Capsule oblong; seeds numerous, irregularly angled, dark brown.

## Key

a. Filaments to 6 mm . long, united; inner tepals nearly as long as the outer, broadly cuneate-spatulate, briefly mucronate; style-arms with linear-falcate spreading lateral appendages.

1. S. boliviense
a. Filaments 3 mm . long, free; inner tepals less than half as long as the outer, narrowly cuneate, long-apiculate; style-arms not laterally appendaged.
b. Upper cauline leaves not reduced, not bulbilliferous in the axils. .
2. S. Mandoni
b. Upper cauline leaves reduced, bulbilliferous in the axils. . 2a. S. Mandoni var. bulbilliferum
3. Sphenostigma boliviense Baker. Bulb ovoid, 2 cm . high, 1.5 cm . wide. Basal leaves $1-2$, to 30 cm . long, 8 mm . wide, linear, attenuate, acute, glabrous, plicate; cauline leaves $1-2$, to 25 cm . Iong, exceeding the inflorescence, to 1.7 cm . wide, plicate, glabrous. Stem simple or 1-branched, terete, glabrous, 12-30 cm . high. Spathes subequal, or the outer slightly shorter, 2-2.5 cm . long, acute, subventricose, 3-4-flowered, the pedicels slightly shorter than the spathes at anthesis, later elongating slightly. Ovary oblong-clavate, glabrous, to $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Flowers bright blue (fide Baker), lighter in the center; outer tepals to 1.5 cm . long, nearly 1 cm . wide, ovate-obovate, obtuse, the inner tepals nearly as long, to $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, cuneate-spatulate, obtuse or slightly retuse, briefly mucronate. Filaments united, to 6 mm . long; anthers to 2 mm . long. Style as long as the column; style-arms and stigmas flattened-reniform, with linear-falcate lateral appendages, about 2.5 mm . wide, broader than long, not equalling the anther-apex. Capsule oblong-clavate, to 1.5 cm . long; mature seeds not seen.-Handbk. Irid. 107 (1892). Apparently endemic in Bolivia. LA PAZ: Larecaja: near Sorata, between Munaypata and Lucmapampa, Jan.-Mar. 1858, Mandon, no. 1225 (TYPE, not seen; isotypes, G, NY).
4. S. Mandoni (Rusby), comb. nov. Bulbs ovoid, to 3 cm . high. Basal leaves few, exceeding the stem, to 1 cm . wide, linear, acute, plicate, scabridulous on the nerves and margins; cauline leaves 1-2, not reduced, the upper one inserted $10-15 \mathrm{~cm}$.
below the inflorescence. Stem to 1 m . high, terete, glabrous, simple or branched in the axils of the cauline leaves. Spathes to 4 cm . long, subequal, $4-5$-flowered, the pedicels as long as the spathes at anthesis. Flowers blue, to 6 cm . in diameter, the tepals very unequal; outer tepals to 3 cm . long, ca. 1.8 cm . wide, broadly cuneate-spatulate, more or less obtuse at the apex; inner tepals to 8 mm . long, ca. 2 mm . wide, narrowly cuneate, long-apiculate, the apiculus 2 mm . long. Filaments free, 3 mm . long; anthers 3 mm . long. Style about 6 mm . long; style-arms and stigmas exceeding the anthers, not appendaged laterally, cuneate-reniform, about 2 mm . wide. Capsule oblong, to 3.5 cm . long; seeds 2 mm . long.-Cypella Mandoni Rusby in Mem. Torr. Bot. Club, vi (1). 125 (1896). COCHABAMBA: near Cochabamba, 1891, Bang, no. 1077 in part (TYpe, NY; isotypes, US no. 933787 in part, US no. 207100 in part, G in part, MBG in part); Bang, no. 1157 (NY, US, MBG), in fruit.

Dissection of the type of Cypella Mandoni showed that there had been a misapprehension as to the genus in which this very distinct species belongs. With apical stigmatic areas, and the stamens alternate with the cuneate-reniform style-arms, it clearly belongs in Sphenostigma, and the transfer is made here. Study of other sheets of the type-number disclosed that there is a mixture present. Some of the specimens agree well with the typesheet (which does not contain a mixture), but others show plants of a slighter aspect, with the upper cauline leaves reduced and bulbilliferous. These plants are here distinguished as the following variety.

2a. S. Mandoni var. bulbilliferum, var. nov. Folium basale unicum, $20-35 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, $1-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. latum, lineare, nervis marginibusque sparse scabridulis; folia caulina $2-4$, infimum productum, ad 22 cm . longum et $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latum, superiora reducta, spathiformia, axillibus bulbilliferis. Caulis simplex vel ramosus, teres, glaber, ad 35 cm . longus. Spathae ad 3 cm . longae, exterior quam interiorem brevior, acutae, 1-3-fl.; pedicelli spathas aequantes. Ovarium ca. 4 mm . longum. Flores caerulei; tepala exteriora ad 2.5 cm . longa, 1.8 cm . lata, cuneato-spathulata, obtusa, tepala interiora ad 8 mm . longa, ca. 1.8 mm . lata, anguste cuneata, longe apiculata, apiculus ca. 2 mm . longus. Filamenta libera, 3 mm . longa; antherae lineares, 3 mm . longae. Stylus ca. 6 mm . longus; styli rami antheras excedentes, cuneato-reniformes, exappendiculati, ca. 2 mm . lati. Capsula seminaque non visa. LA PAZ: Larecaja: near Sorata, Cerro del Iminapi, 2650 m. alt., Feb. 1858, Mandon, no. 1226 in part (type, G;
isotypes, NY, US). COCHABAMBA: near Cochabamba, 1891, Bang, no. 1077 in part (G in part, US no. 207100 in part, US no. 933787 in part, MBG in part).

The type-number, Mandon, no. 1226, is apparently a mixture, for this number is the type-number of Tigridia bracteolata (Klatt) Macbr. Klatt's original description stressed the facts that the filaments were united in a column and that the style-arms were bifid, neither point being true of any material on the three sheets of this number seen by me. These are clearly and unmistakably Sphenostigma. Unfortunately, none of the material seen is in really good condition. It is possible that better material will show that the plant deserves specific rank, but, for the time being, it seems preferable to leave it in its present status.

## 9. ALOPHIA Herb.

Alophia tigridioides Hicken. Bulb ovoid, to 3 cm . high. Basal leaves several, to 30 cm . long (usually shorter), 3-6 mm. wide, linear, attenuate, acute, plicate, glabrous; cauline leaves $1-2$, usually reduced, spathiform. Stem sometimes simple, usually branched, $7-25 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the inflorescences terminal. Spathes unequal, the outer shorter, to 5 cm . long, 2-flowered, the pedicels as long as the inner spathe at anthesis. Ovary oblong-ellipsoid, glabrous, ca. 8 mm . long. Flower blue or bluish-purple; outer tepals spreading, to 3 cm . long and 1 cm . wide, obovate, rounded at the apex and briefly mucronate; inner tepals narrowly ovatelanceolate, acute, to 1 cm . long and 3 mm . wide, probably more erect than the outer tepals. Stamens opposite the style-arms; filaments united, the column 5 mm . long; anthers $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, linear, coiling spirally downward with age. Style as long as the column; style-arms to 4 mm . long, bifid for 2 mm ., the halves divergent. Capsule oblong-ellipsoid, to 1.8 cm . long; seeds numerous, brown, ca. 1 mm . in diameter--Darwiniana, i. 116 (1924). Frequent in northwestern and central Argentina, and now recorded from southern Bolivia. TARIJA: Avilés: Camacho, 2500 m. alt., Dec. 15, 1903, Fiebrig, no. 2579 (G); this was distributed as Calydorea speciosa Herb.

Current studies on the genus Tigridia and its allies indicate that there may be a reduction in the number of species recognized in Alophia, but for the time being, at least, the binomial A. tigridioides is retained.

## 10. TIGRIDIA JUSS.

Tigridia bracteolata (Klatt) Macbride in Candollea, v. 348 (1934). Beatonia bracteolata Klatt in Linnaea, xxxiv. 733 (186566). Nemastylis bracteolata (Klatt) Baker, Handbk. Irid. 114 (1892). This is the only Bolivian member of the genus known to me at present, but, unfortunately, no material of it has been available. As was pointed out in an earlier part of this paper, the type-number, Mandon, no. 1226, is a mixture, the three examples of it which have been seen by me belonging in the genus Sphenostigma. Macbride, 1. c., saw yet another example at Geneva and, on the basis of this specimen and the description, made the transfer to Tigridia. The type-number was collected near Sorata, Cerro del Iminapi, at 2650-2800 m. alt., in February and March. For the benefit of collectors in that area who might chance to find it, a description, based on Klatt's original description, follows:

Bulb oblong-globose. Basal leaf ensiform, plicate, long-acuminate, $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, shorter than the nine-inch stem; cauline leaves several, reduced. Stem terete, glabrous, simple or branched. Spathes unequal, about 2.5 cm . long, 2-3-flowered. Flowers yellow, the tepals subequal, ovate, acuminate. Filaments united in a column. Style as long as the column; style-arms bifid, the lobes subulate.

The mention of reduced cauline leaves suggests that this detail may have been drawn from the Sphenostigma-element in the type-number.

## 11. CARDENANTHUS R. C. Foster

Plants small, bulbous, subacaulescent. Leaves few, narrowly linear. Inflorescence subsessile, the spathes terminal, flowers shortly pedicellate. Flowers basally infundibuliform, a true perianth-tube present, the tepals markedly unequal in size and shape, the outer series oblanceolate-spatulate, the inner series much reduced, narrowly linear-subulate or linear-oblanceolate. Stamens opposite the style-arms; filaments united in a column, inserted at the apex af the perianth-tube; anthers sessile or subsessile on the column. Style filiform, three-branched above, the branches bifid, canaliculate, apically stigmatose. Capsule ob-long-ellipsoid; seeds small, numerous.

## Kex

a. Inner tepals glandular at base of claw; perianth-tube 4 mm .
long.
b. Inner tepals oblanceolate, 1 mm . wide; style-arms 2 mm .

b. Inner tepals linear, less than 0.5 mm . wide; style-arms 3.5 mm . long, bifid for ca. $2 \mathrm{~mm} . . . .{ }^{2} . . .{ }^{2}$.........2. C. boliviensis
a. Inner tepals not glandular; perianth-tube not over 2 mm . long.
3. C. tunariensis

1. Cardenanthus orurensis, spec. nov. Planta subacaulescens. Bulbus ovoideus, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. altus, $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. diam. Folia basalia 1-2, valde reducta, $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa; folia caulina 2 , spathas subtendentia, inferius $13-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. latum, lineare, acutum, glabrum, nervatum, superius $3.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, 1 mm . latum. Spatha exterior ad 2 cm . longa, herbacea vel submembranacea, acuta, spatha interior ad 2.4 cm . longa, apice truncata, 1- (raro 2-) fl.; flores pedicellati, pedicelli ad 6 mm . longi. Ovarium oblongum, glabrum, 5-7 mm. longum. Flores purpurei vel caeruleo-purpurei; perianthii-tubus 4 mm . longus; tepala exteriora ad 1.2 cm . longa, 6 mm . lata, breve unguiculata, obovata, apice subobtusa; tepala interiora ad $4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, 1 mm . lata, anguste elliptica vel oblanceolata, acuta, basi glandulosotumescentia. Staminum columna 5 mm . longa; antherae lineares, 3 mm . longae. Stylus columnam longitudine aequans; styli rami 2 mm . longi, bifidi 1 mm . Capsula seminaque non visa. ORURO: Cercado: Hacienda Huancaroma, near Eucaliptus, 3800 m . alt., Feb. 19-27, 1934, Hammarlund, no. 94 (type, NY).

At first, the seven plants on the type-sheet appeared to be $C$. boliviensis, but dissection gave evidence of differences. Not only are the spathes almost always 1 -flowered, instead of $2-3-$ flowered, but the inner tepals are broader and elliptic or oblanceolate, rather than narrowly linear, while the anthers and stylearms are shorter. It is possible that a fruiting specimen, from which the details of capsule and seeds were taken for the generic characterization, belongs here rather than with C. boliviensis: POTOSÍ: Uyuni, 3700 m . alt., Mar. 25, 1921, Asplund, no. 6362 (US).
2. C. boliviensis R. C. Foster. Plant acaulescent (with a 2-4 cm. subterranean stem), the bulb ovoid, 2 cm . high, 1 cm . wide. Basal leaves none; cauline leaves 2, subtending the spathes, the lower to 9 cm . long, 1 mm . wide, the upper to 4 cm . long, 1 mm . wide, linear, acute, glabrous. Spathes herbaceous, the outer to $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, acute, the inner $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, ob-
tuse, retuse, 2 - 3 -flowered, the flowers nearly sessile in the spathes. Ovary ca. 3 mm . long, ellipsoid, glabrous. Flowers blue; peri-anth-tube to 4 mm . long; outer tepals to 1.4 cm . long, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, ovate, acuminate to the rather blunt apex; inner tepals to 5 mm . long, linear, the base glandular-tumescent, the enlarged portion 0.75 mm . long, the blade about 0.33 mm . wide, not acuminate. Staminal column 5 mm . long; anthers 5 mm . long. Style about 8-9 mm. long; style-arms 3.5 mm . long, bifid over half their length, the stigmatic area ciliate. Capsule and seeds unknown.-Contrib. Gray Herb. clv. 4 (1945). POTOSÍ: Potosí, 4000 m . alt., January, 1932, Cárdenas, no. 124 (Type, G).
3. C. tunariensis R. C. Foster. Plant nearly acaulescent, the bulb ovoid, to 2 cm . high, ca. 1 cm . wide. Basal leaf $7-12$ cm . long, 1 mm . wide; cauline leaf subtending the spathes, $4-8$ cm . long, 1 mm . wide, glabrous, acute. Spathes herbaceous, the outer to 3 cm . long, acute, acuminate, the inner $1.7-2.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, subobtuse, 2 -flowered, the pedicels to 1.3 cm . long. Ovary ellipsoid, glabrous, 4 mm . long. Flower blue or purple; perianthtube to 2 mm . long; outer tepals to 1 cm . long, 4 mm . wide, oblanceolate; inner tepals to 3.5 mm . long, 1 mm . wide at the base, narrowly lance-deltoid, acute, acuminate, eglandular. Staminal column 5 mm . long; anthers $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, nearly sessile on the column, the apex tufted-apiculate. Style as long as the column; style-arms 1.5 mm . long, bifid almost to the base. -Contrib. Gray Herb. clv. 5 (1945). Nemastylis nana sensu Rusby (non S. Watson) in Mem. Torr. Bot. Club, vi (1). 125 (1896), as to Bang, no. 1042, but not Mandon, no. 1224. COCHABAMBA: near snow-line, Mt. Tunari, 1891, Bang, no. 1094 (type, G; US, MBG).

## 12. MASTIGOSTYLA Johnston

Bulbous, caulescent or nearly acaulescent perennial herbs. Basal leaves few (1-2), linear, plicate, glabrous; cauline leaves 1-2. Stem simple or branched. Spathes herbaceous, rather firm in texture, usually subequal, or the outer slightly shorter, $1-4$-flowered, the slender pedicels usually not exserted at anthesis. Flowers infundibuliform at the base, with a brief ( 2 mm .), rudimentary perianth-tube, the two series of tepals markedly dissimilar in size and shape, the outer series the larger. Stamenfilaments united in a column, the anthers nearly or quite sessile on the column, the stamens opposite the style-arms. Style as long as the column; style-arms bifid below the transverse stigmas, the crests more or less petaloid. Mature capsule and seeds not known for the Bolivian species.

## Key

Outer tepals not over 2 cm . long and 5 mm . wide; staminal column 6 mm . long.
.1. M. brevicaulis
Outer tepals 3 cm . long, about 1.5 cm . wide; staminal column
$8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the filaments free for $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. at the top..2. M. Cardenasii

1. Mastigostyla brevicaulis (Baker), comb. nov. Bulb ovoid, to 2.5 cm . high, ca. 1 cm . in diameter, the tunics brown, membranous. Basal leaves $1-2,20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, linear, plicate, acute, glabrous; cauline leaves $1-2,8-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the uppermost usually subtending the spathes. Stem simple (or 1-branched, fide Baker), terete, glabrous. Spathes herbaceous, subequal, to 3 cm . long, the outer acute, 1-3-flowered, the pedicels usually not exserted at anthesis. Ovary glabrous, ellipsoid-turbinate, to 5 mm . long. Flower blue, the outer tepals long-unguiculate, oblanceolate, acutish, to 1.8 cm . long and ca. 5 mm . wide; inner tepals linear or lance-linear, acute, apparently glandular-tumescent at the base, 7 mm . long, $0.75-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide. Staminal column 6 mm . long; anthers 4 mm . long, deeply and narrowly retuse at the apex. Style 7 mm . long; style-arms and -crests 3 mm . long, bifid for 2 mm ., the crests short, petaloid, not flagellar. Immature capsule turbinate, about 8 mm . long; seeds not seen.-Nemastylis brevicaulis Baker, Handbk. Irid. 113 (1892). LA PAZ: Larecaja: near Sorata, Ullontiji, 2700 m . alt., Jan.-Apr. 1859, Mandon, no. 1224 in part (isotype, NY); Murillo: near La Paz, 3800 m. alt., Jan. 14, 1907, Buchtien, no. 819 (US).

The type-number of Nemastylis brevicaulis Baker, Mandon, no. 1224, appears to have been a mixture, for the sheet of this number in the Gray Herbarium is a Cypella. In his original description, Baker stated that the filament-column was shorter than the anthers, but this is not true of the specimens cited here. The Gray Herbarium sheet of the Mandon collection has filaments shorter than the anthers, but the filaments are free. Possibly the type-sheet itself is a mixture, but since, with this one exception, the points of the description might all have been drawn from the Mastigostyla element, I am limiting Baker's name to this, rather than to the Cypella element. Additional justification for this action is found in the fact that Baker considered the species to be a Nemastylis, and it is rather improbable that he could have done so were the type-sheet actually a Cypella.
2. M. Cardenasii R. C. Foster. Bulb ovoid, 2-2.5 cm. high, 1.5 cm . wide, the tunics dark brown, membranous, prolonged upward in a collar around the base of the stem. Basal leaves 1-2,
to 15 cm . long, 1.5 mm . wide; cauline leaf 1 or none, subtending a branch, the base spathiformly clasping the stem, similar to the basal leaves, equalling or exceeding the inflorescence. Stem simple or branched near the base, $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, terete, glabrous. Spathes herbaceous, firm, to 4.5 cm . long, the outer with the margins basally united for $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$., acuminate, acute, the inner spathes equal to or longer than the outer, with broad hyaline margins, acute, 2-4-flowered, the filiform pedicels shorter than the spathes. Ovary ellipsoid-obovoid, glabrous, about 8 mm . long. Flowers dark blue, with darker spots (fide Cárdenas), the outer tepals long-unguiculate, obovate-spatulate, obtuse, to 3 cm . long and 1.5 cm . wide; inner tepals 5 mm . long, 1 mm . wide, narrowly elliptic-lanceolate, acute. Staminal column to 1.2 cm . long, the filaments then free for $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.; anthers linear, 6 mm . long. Style about as long as the column; style-arms and -crests 1 cm . long, bifid for 5 mm ., the stigmatic projections large, about 1.5 mm . long, the crests petaloid, about 2 mm . long. Immature capsule obovoid-ellipsoid, to 1 cm . long; seeds not seen.Contrib. Gray Herb. clv. 23 (1945). COCHABAMBA: Huay. rapata, near Anzaldo, 3900 m . alt., April, 1944, Cárdenas, no. 2491 (US).

The collector has noted on the label that the plants were from $10-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, growing in an open sandy loam.

## 13. CYPELLA Herb.

Bulbous, perennial, caulescent herbs, the bulbs ovoid to subglobose. Basal leaves linear to lance-linear or lanceolate, plicate; cauline leaves similar to the basal leaves or reduced. Stem simple or branched, the inflorescence terminal. Spathes herbaceous, the filiform pedicels equalling the spathes at anthesis. Flowers fugacious, more or less open-crateriform, the two series of tepals dissimilar in size and shape. Stamens opposite the style-arms, the filaments free or nearly so, the anthers apically adherent to the style-arms below the stigmas. Style becoming trifid, or the arms nearly obsolete, the transverse stigmas at the base of two more or less petaloid style-crests. Capsule oblong or subglobose, slightly exserted; seeds numerous, somewhat flattened, brown.

## Key

[^79]1. Cypella linearis (HBK.) Baker. Bulb small, ovoid to subglobose, to 1.5 cm . high. Basal leaves several (2-6), to 20 cm . long, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, linear, acute; cauline leaf at or above the middle of the stem. Stem $5-25 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, terete, glabrous, simple or 1-4-branched in the axil of the cauline leaf, the branches more or less fasciculate. Spathes to 3 cm . long, the outer shorter than the inner, $1-2$-flowered. Outer tepals narrowly obovate to oblanceolate, to 1.8 cm . long, ca. $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, obtuse at the apex, the blade with a central yellow mark (fide Steinbach); inner tepals shorter, long-clawed, the blade suborbicular. Filaments free, 3 mm . long; anthers $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Style filiform, 2 mm . long; style-arms ca. 5 mm . long, style-crests narrowly lancelinear to linear-filiform. Capsule subglobose, 5 mm . long, exserted slightly from the spathes; mature seeds not seen.Handbk. Irid. 65 (1892). Moraea linearis HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Spec. i. 321 (1816). Marica linearis (HBK.) Ker, Irid. Gen. 24 (1827). Alophia linearis (HBK.) Klatt in Linnaea, xxxi. 558 (1861-62). Larentia linearis (HBK.) Klatt, Ergänz. 28 (1882). Sphenostigma lineare (HBK.) Benth. \& Hook. f. Gen. Pl. iii. 695 (1883). Widespread in South America, from Colombia, Venezuela and the Guianas through Brazil and Bolivia. SANTA CRUZ: Sara: near Buenavista, March and September, 450-500 m. alt., Steinbach, no. 5451 (G), no. 6466 (G), no. 7018 (G).
2. C. peruviana Baker. Bulb ovoid, to 4 cm . high. Leaves $2-3$, basal or superposed, to 6 dm . long and 1.8 cm . wide, lanceolate to lance-linear, glabrous, plicate, acute; cauline leaves $1-2$, the upper reduced. Stem simple or branched, $10-65 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, terete, glabrous. Spathes to 4 cm . long, subequal or the outer a little shorter, subventricose, 2 - 5 -flowered. Flowers yellow, dotted or lined with red-brown or red-purple, the outer tepals spreading, to 3 cm . long, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, broadly obovate-cuneate; inner tepals shorter, panduriform, the claw long, the cuneate to suborbicular blade reflexed outward, with a large patch of yellow, purple, and white hairs between the blade and the claw. Filaments free, 5 mm . long; anthers linear, 7 mm . long. Style ca. 1 cm . long, the style-arms nearly or quite obsolete; style-crests petaloid, about 4 mm . long. Capsule oblong, about 2 cm . long; mature seeds not seen.-Bot. Mag. cii. t. 6213 (1876); Handbk. Irid. 65 (1892). Hesperoxiphion peruvianum (Baker) Baker in Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. xvi. 127 (1877). Bolivia and Peru. LA PAZ: Larecaja: near Sorata, between Munaypata and rio Challasuyo, 2650 m. alt., March-May, Mandon, no. 1223 (G); Ullontiji, Cerro del Iminapi, $2650-2750 \mathrm{~m}$. alt., Jan.-Apr., Mandon, no. 1224 in part (G); Sorata, May, 1892, Bang, no. 1318 (G, NY, US, MBG).

There is some question in my mind as to whether the Bolivian material is truly conspecific with the Peruvian material. The specimens seen, however, are not well enough preserved to answer this question.

## 2.-THE HEDYSARUM OF SESSÉ AND MOCIÑO

By Bernice G. Schubert

## (Plates I \& II)

In 1941 I listed ${ }^{1}$ a few identifications of the material described as various species of Hedysarum by Sessé and Mociño in their Plantae Novae Hispaniae (1893) and in the Flora Mexicana (1895); the identifications were all of species treated in detail by me earlier in that paper. In the Begonia material of the same collection, which I studied with Dr. Lyman B. Smith, ${ }^{2}$ the specimens were all members of the genus Begonia; in the Hedysarum collection, however, at least four genera besides Desmodium are included and there is no true Hedysarum in the modern sense. Of the nineteen species listed by Sessé and Mociño, material for eight is unknown; these are: $H$. biarticulatum, ${ }^{3} H$. diphyllum, H. grandiflorum, H. linifolium, H. nicaraguense, H. procumbens, H. reniforme and H. scandens; six other species represent ten species of Desmodium and five species represent four other genera. In the Flora Mexicana, two species are called H. volubile; these belong to two different genera.

In the Plantae Novae Hispaniae (ed. 1), twelve species were treated. The second edition was the same, with only changes in spelling and pagination. In the Flora Mexicana, nine different species were considered, and two, $H$. scandens and $H$. prismaticum were treated again.

It is clear from the names published by Sessé \& Mociño and from those on the original labels that they were familiar with the current literature of the period, because the epithets used by them were often similar to or synonyms of the names of other authors;

[^80]however, essentially no effort was made to cite the original authorities or their works.

In the following list, including species of both works, the first volume in which each was treated will be indicated as well as the botanical equivalent.

Hedysarum acayucense (Fl. Mex. 171) = Aeschynomene cf. americana L.

Hedysarum arborescens (Fl. Mex. 170) = Ae. amorphoides (Wats.) Rose.

Hedysarum biarticulatum (Fl. Mex. 171) = Desmodium infractum DC.

Hedysarum diphyllum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 122; ed. 2:114) = ? (material unknown).

Hedysarum frutescens (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 123; ed. 2:114) = Desmodium adscendens (Sw.) DC., D. Conzattii Greenm., D. canum (Gmel.) Schinz \& Thellung, D. Grahami Gray., D. Ghiesbreghtii Hemsl.

Hedysarum grandiflorum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 123; ed. 2:115) = ? (material unknown).

Hedysarum linifolium (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 122; ed. 2: 114) = ? (material unknown).

Hedysarum longifolium (Fl. Mex. 171) = Desmodium Hartwegianum Hemsl., var. typicum.

Hedysarum marilandicum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 122; ed. 2: 114) = D. orbiculare Schlecht.

Hedysarum mexicanum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 123; ed. 2: 115) = D. angustifolium (HBK.) DC., var. typicum.

Hedysarum nicaraguense (Fl. Mex. 171) = ? (material unknown).

Hedysarum prismaticum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 124; ed. 2: 115; Fl. Mex. 171) = Pachecoa prismatica (Sessé \& Moc̣ino) Standley \& Schubert.

Hedysarum procumbens (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 123; ed. 2: 115) = ? (material unknown).

Hedysarum quinqueangulatum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 123; ed. 2, 115)
$=$ Desmodium Painteri (Rose \& Standl.) Standley, D. Pringlei Watson.

Hedysarum reniforme (Fl. Mex. 171) = ? (material unknown).
Hedysarum repens (Fl. Mex. 172) = Desmodium triflorum (L.) DC.

Hedysarum retroflexum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 122; ed. 2:114) = Desmodium sp. (material incomplete).

Hedysarum scandens (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 124; ed. 2: 115; Fl. Mex. 171) = ? (material unknown).

Hedysarum viridiflorum (Pl. Nov. Hisp. 123;ed. 2:115) = Desmodium Hartwegianum Hemsl., var. amans (Wats.) Schubert.

Hedysarum volubile (I) (Fl. Mex. 170) = Galactia cf. striata complex.

Hedysarum volubile (II) (Fl. Mex. 170) = Rhynchosia cf. reticulata (Sw.) DC.

Identifications of the specimens, cited by number, and brief notes follow:

Desmodium cf. adhaesivum Schlecht. in Linnaea, xii. 314 (1838). Numbers 1953 and 1975 probably belong here but the material is over-ripe and incomplete.
D. adscendens (Sw.) DC., Prod. ii. 332 (1825). Number 2000 , in very small part (Mad), and 2001 (Mad) belong here.
D. angustifolium (HBK.) DC., var. typicum Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. exxix. 27, pl. 2, fig. C1-8 (1940). Number 2002 (CM) belongs here. This is the Hedysarum mexicanum of Pl. Nov. Hisp. p. 123. The specimen is not in fruit but there is no doubt of its identity.
D. batocaulon Gray in Smithson. Contrib. to Knowledge, iii. art. v. 53 (1852) (Pl. Wright. i. 53). Number 1961 (CM; Mad, part of 2 sheets). This material was thought by the collectors to be previously undescribed, and annotated with a name they did not publish.
D. canum (Gmel.) Schinz \& Thellung in Mem. Soc. Neuchat. Sci. Nat. v. 371 (1913). Numbers 1987 and 1999 (Mad) are referred to this species. The original label on 1987 bears a name not published by the authors, and that on 1999 the number "1412" and the name Hedysarum frutescens.
D. caripense (HBK.) G. Don, Gen. Syst. ii. 292 (1832). D. orizabanum Hemsl. Diagn. Pl. Nov. pt. 3. 45 (1880). Number 1988 is a small portion of stem with two leaves and the fruiting inflorescence. The original label bears a name not published for the species.
D. Conzattii Greenman in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. ii. 331 (1912). Numbers 1997 (Mad, in part) and 1998 labeled Hedysarum
frutescens are fruiting specimens of D. Conzattii. The sheet of 1997 is mixed, part of the material being D. Grahami Gray which is taken up next.
D. Grahami Gray in Smithson. Contrib. to Knowledge, iii. art. v. 48 (1853) (Pl. Wright. ii. 48). Numbers 1961 (Mad, 2 mixed, 1 unmixed), 1968 (Mad, in small part), 1970 (Mad), 1997 (Mad, in part), 2000 (Mad, in small part), 2001 (CM, Mad) and 3699 (Mad) all are referred here. Sheet no. 2000 (Mad) had three species represented on it and its original label has the name Hedysarum frutescens. Number 3699 is labeled "Phaseolus?".
D. Ghiesbreghtii Hemsl. in Biol. Cent.-Am. Bot. i. 279 (1880). See Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxxv. 113 (1941). Number 2000 (Mad), already noted under D. adscendens and D. Grahami, must be listed here too. This number was also cited in my earlier paper on the collection, under D. Ghiesbreghtii.
D. Hartwegianum Hemsl., var. amans (Wats.) Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxxv. 93, pl. 2, figs. 19-27 (1941); see also op. cit. 112, 113. Numbers 1950, 1951, 1952 and 2007, all discussed in my earlier paper, belong here.
D. Hartwegianum Hemsl., var. typicum Schubert, op. cit. 91 and 113, pl. 2, figs. 10-18. Number 1967 was discussed in the earlier paper; number 1991, not noted then, also bears the name Hedysarum longifolium on the original label and should be placed here.
D. infractum DC., Prod. ii. 330 (1825). See Blake in Bot. Gaz. lxxviii. 280 (1924). Number 2005, though not in mature fruit, is clearly representative of this species. Hedysarum biarticulatum Sessé \& Mociño is listed by DeCandolle as a synonym of D. infractum, and is one of the two species of Desmodium represented by illustrations in Mociño \& Sessé, Calques de Dessins, t. $270^{1}$.
D. molliculum (HBK.) DC., Prod. ii. 331 (1825). Numbers 1958 and 1968 (CM; Mad, in large part) belong here. The name on the original label of no. 1958 was not published later by the authors.

[^81]D. neo-mexicanum Gray in Smithson. Contrib. to Knowledge, iii. art. v. 53 (1852) (Pl. Wright. i. 53). Numbers 1983, 1986 and 2008 belong here. Each of the original labels has a different name, none of which was ever used in a publication by Sessé \& Mociño. There is no question about the identity of the plants, however.
D. nicaraguense Oerst. ex Benth. \& Oerst. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddel. for 1853. 16 (1854). This material, numbered 1965 and labeled simply "Hedysarum?", is immature but characteristic. There is nothing to indicate that this is what $H$. nicaraguense Sessé \& Mociño was based on.
D. orbiculare Schlecht. in Linnaea, xii. 311 (1838). Number 1995, representative of this species, is the material treated in Pl . Nov. Hisp. 122 as $H$. marilandicum, a Linnean species.
D. Painteri (Rose \& Standl.) Standley in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. iv. 214 (1929). Numbers 2004 and 2006 (Mad, in small part) belong here. The material is in young flower, but characteristic. These specimens form part of the basis of the description of Hedysarum quinqueangulatum ( Pl . Nov. Hisp. 123), those representing D. Pringlei form the rest. The description is clearly based on both elements and if the name were used for either it would be a source of great confusion. D. Pringlei, moreover, was published one year earlier than H. quinqueangulatum. I am therefore, considering $H$. quinqueangulatum a nomen confusum.
D. plicatum Schlecht. \& Cham. in Linnaea, v. 585 (1830). Number 1955 represents this species.
D. polystachyum Schlecht. in Linnaea, xii. 321 (1838). D. plectocarpum Hemsl. Number 1956 in flower and fruit is characteristic material of this species. The original label bears the specific epithet of the preceding species in this list.
D. Pringlei Watson in Proc. Am. Acad. xxiii. 271 (1888). Number 2006, with the exception of a very small part (Mad), is this species (CM, 2 sheets; Mad, 4 sheets) of which there is fine flowering material and some very young fruit. This material together with that cited under D. Painteri formed the basis of Hedysarum quinqueangulatum as stated above.
D. PSilophyllum Schlecht. in Linnaea, xii. 310 (1838). D. Wrightii Gray in Bost. Journ. Nat. Hist. vi. 177 (1850). Num-
bers 1981 and 1982 are good fruiting specimens of D. psilophyllum. Both numbers have annotations on the original labels assigning them with doubt to Old World species. Number 1981 also has the number " 1418 " on its original label.
D. Purpusir Brandegee in Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. vi. 53 (1914) D. lunatum Brandegee (1908) non Huber (1906). Number 1979, fruiting material annotated with an unpublished name, represents this species of the section Nephromeria.
D. triflorum (L.) DC., Prod. ii. 334 (1825). Number 1960 (CM) annotated as Hedysarum repens is representative of this species.

The remainder of the list includes the specimens which represent other genera than Desmodium.

Aeschynomene cf. americana L. Sp. Pl. 713 (1753). This material, number 1990 (Mad), was the basis of Hedysarum acayucense (Fl. Mex. 171). The specimen is fragmentary and the fruit poorly developed. The original label bears the number " 1402 " and the suggestion "An Aeschinomene".

Ae. amorphoides (Wats.) Rose in Proc. Am. Acad. xxix. 315 (1894). Number 2009, the basis for Hedysarum arborescens (Fl. Mex. 170), also bears on the original label another name, not published by the authors.

Clitoria mexicana Link, Enum. Hort. Berol. ii. 234 (1822). This species is represented by number 3629 (Mad), which has on its original label a name in the genus Lotus.

Galactia prob. G. striata (Jacq.) Urb. Symb. Ant. ii. 320 (1900), vel aff. Number 1962 (Mad), a flowering specimen labeled with a name not published by Sessé \& Mociño, is certainly close to $G$. striata.

Number 1963, the original label also numbered "1422," is the first of the two species described as Hedysarum volubile in Fl. Mex. 170. The material is in a late flowering stage and although its affinity is clear its exact specific determination is doubtful.
G. ef. multiflora Robinson in Proc. Am. Acad. xxix. 315 (1894). Number 1977 is another collection annotated with a name which the authors did not use later. The material is flowering, but in all probability referable here.

Pachecoa prismatica (Sessé \& Mociño) Standley \& Schubert, comb. nov. Hedysarum prismaticum Sessé \& Mociño, Pl. Nov.


Pachecoa prismatica: fig. 1, flowering branch and original label, $\times 2 / 5$, from Type; figs. 2 and 4, a cotype of P. guatemalensis, fig. 2, portions of plant, $\times 2 / 5$, fig. 4 , flower, $\times 4$; figs. 3 and 5, type of $P$. guatemalensis, FIg. 3, flower, $\times 4$, Fig. 5 , fruit, $\times 4$.


Pachecoa prismatica: type of $P$. guatemalensis.

Hisp. 124 (1889); ed. 2: 115 (1893); Fl. Mex. 171 (1895). Pachecoa guatemalensis Standley \& Steyermark in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xxiii. 12, 13 (1943). Number 1985 (Mad), labeled Hedysarum prismaticum and clearly described by Sessé \& Mociño, is a fragmentary specimen with three flowers and a detached piece of fruit. After working on this specimen at intervals over a long period of time I was forced to the conclusion that it probably represented an undescribed genus. As a final precaution I consulted Dr. Paul C. Standley of the Chicago Museum, who wrote in reply that the "plant is a new genus-but not too new"! Pachecoa guatemalensis was based on two Guatemalan collections from the Department of Jutiapa (vicinity of Jutiapa, Standley, no. 75307 (Type, CM), Standley, no. 75032 ) and a collection of Liebmann from an uncertain locality in Mexico. Sessé \& Mociño et al., no. 1985 was said by the authors to have come from Coahuayana (in Michoacan); the specimen differs somewhat from the other Mexican and the Guatemalan collections in (1) its leaflets which are more nearly obovate, only slightly setose, and very short mucronate, (2) its calyx which does not have setose-ciliate teeth, and (3) its anthers which are about as broad as long. The immature and fragmentary condition of the specimen, however, does not justify maintaining it as distinct from $P$. guatemalensis, and since it was described first, its specific epithet must be taken up for P. guatemalensis Standl. \& Steyerm., as suggested by Dr. Standley. (Pls. I \& II).

Rhynchosia longeracemosa Mart. \& Gal. in Bull. Acad. Brux. x ${ }^{2} .198$ (1843). Numbers 1959 and 1972 (also numbered " 1403 "), annotated with two names not published by the collectors, seem clearly to be referable to this species. Number 1959 has some good fruit and number 1972 some very young fruit.
R. ef. reticulata (Sw.) DC., Prod. ii. 385 (1825). Number 1976, annotated with a name not later used by the authors and also with the epithet "volubilis" represents the second Hedysarum volubile of Fl. Mex. (ed. 2: 170). The material bears some young fruit.

Sesbania sericea (Willd.) DC., Prod. ii. 266 (1825). Number 1989 is a fragmentary representative of this species.

## Explanation of Plates I and II

Plate I. Pachecoa prismatica (Sessé \& Mociño) Standley \& Schubert: FIG. 1 and small label to right, TYPE and original label, $\times 2 / 5$, of Hedysarum prismaticum Sessé \& Mociño (Mad); rig. 2 and two small figures to left, portions of Liebmann collection, $\times 2 / 5$, (COTYPE of P.guatemalensis, CM); FIG. 3, young flower, $\times 4$, from Standley, no. 75,307 (TYPe of $P$. guatemalensis, CM); FIG. 4, mature flower, $\times 4$, from Liebmann; FIG. 5 , somewhat immature fruit, $\times 4$, from Standley, no. 75,307 .

Plate II. Type of Pachecoa guatemalensis Standley \& Steyermark, $\times 2$, Standley, no. 75,307 (CM).

# 3.-STUDIES IN THE BEGONIACEAE,-II. 

By

Lyman B. Smith \& Bernice G. Schubert ${ }^{1}$

(Plate III)
In studying the Guatemalan species of Begonia, the four treated and illustrated here seemed especially worthy of note. Three of the species are described as new, the fourth, described almost a century ago from Mexico, has been but little collected and only recently found in Guatemala. The large collections made in Guatemala in recent years by Drs. Standley and Steyermark of the Chicago Museum have produced some extremely interesting additions to the previously known species of Begonia and it is hoped that continued collecting will help to clarify further the complexities of the genus.

Begonia cebadillensis Houghton ex Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea, caulescens, erecta, stipulis deciduis, lanceolatis, acuminatis, ciliato-laceratis, 2 mm . longis, petiolis gracilibus, ad 7 cm . longis, foliorum laminis transversis, subellipticis, acuminatis, undulato-sublobatis, basi late leviterque cordatis, ad 4 cm . longis et 9 latis, dentatis, utrinque sparse puberulis, pedunculis axillaribus, quam foliis multo brevioribus, inflorescentiis uni- vel paucifloris, bracteis deciduis, stipulis similibus, pedicellis 6-23 mm . longis, sparse glandulosis, tepalis masculinis 4, integris, roseis (! Calderón), exterioribus ample reniformibus, ad 10 mm . longis, stamina subduplo superantibus, interioribus anguste ellipticis, stamina subaequantibus, staminibus multis in columna brevi insertis, antheris obovoideis, quam filamentis multo brevioribus, tepalis femineis ignotis, stylis persistentibus, articulatis, apice breviter bilobatis, placentis bilamellatis utrinque ovuliferis,
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BEGONIA
capsula oblongo-ellipsoidea, inaequaliter 3 -alata, ad 2 cm . longa, alis triangularibus.-Tab. III.-B. cebadillensis Houghton in Standley \& Calderón, Lista Prelim. Pl. Salvador, 156 (1925), nomen.

Salvador: San Vicente: La Cebadilla, Canton of San Francisco, 1922, Calderón 1816 (G, тYPE).
guatemala: santa Rosa: hills east of Cuilapa, along the stream supplying the city water, alt. $900-950 \mathrm{~m}$., November 24, 1940, Standley, 78204 (CM, G, cotypes).

Its articulated styles distinguish $B$. cebadillensis from species approaching it in other characters; it is difficult to determine the exact relationship without pistillate flowers but its affinity seems to be with B. gracilis and its relatives. The lack of axillary bulblets and the entire staminate tepals together with the unique styles combine to produce a distinctive character for this species.

Begonia (§ Magnusia) confusa Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea; rhizomate gracili, prostrato, rubro, glabro, internodiis $1-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; stipulis deciduis, oblongo-lanceolatis, integris, 23 mm . longis, pilosis; petiolis erectis, $16-23 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, sparse pilosis; foliis rectis, asymmetricis, late ovatis, acuminatis, 1-3lobatis, basi cordatis, ad 18 cm . longis, integris vel sparse denticulatis, utrinque sparse puberulis, subtus ad nervos densiore; pedunculis juvenilibus quam foliis multo brevioribus; cymis paucifloris, regularibus, juvenilibus densis; bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, integris, membranaceis, infimis 12 mm . longis; pedicellis ca. 10 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4 , integris, exterioribus late ovatis, obtusis, rubro-puberulentis, 12 mm . longis, interioribus ellipticis, multo minoribus; staminibus multis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, obtuso; floribus femineis verisimiliter ebracteolatis, perjuvenilibus solum cognitis; tepalis femineis 3, exterioribus late ovatis, carnosis, rubro-puberulentis, interiori minori,. elliptico, glabro; stylis 3, bifidis; ovario inaequaliter trialato, pubescenti, alis ascendenti-bus.-Tab. III.
gutatemala: Huehuetenango: creeping on rocks, Cerro Chiblac, between Finca San Rafael and Ixcan, Sierra de los Cuchumatanes, alt. 12002000 m., July 22, 1942, Steyermark, 49185 (CM, TYPe; G, isotype).

Lacking mature fruit it is difficult to judge the affinities of Begonia confusa. Its habit is much like that of $B$. ludicra, but the leaves are nearly or quite straight and the tepals are covered with dark red trichomes.

Begonia (§ Begoniastrum) sciadiophora Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea; rhizomate gracili, ramoso, dense rufohirsuto, internodiis distinctis, ad 3 cm . longis; stipulis lanceolatis, acuminatis et apice seta longa molli auctis, ad 12 mm . longis, membranaceis, ferrugineis, subglabris; petiolis gracillimis, erectis, $4-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, dense rufo-hirsutis; foliis peltatis, 7 -nerviis, late ovatis, abrupte acuminatis, basi late rotundatis, $5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, integris, nullo modo lobatis, supra glabris, subtus praecipue ad nervos hirsutis; pedunculis folia subaequantibus, sparse hirsutis; inflorescentia laxe cymosa, pauciflora, sparse hirsuta; bracteis plus minusve persistentibus, lanceolatis, integris, membranaceis, brunneis, infimis 10 mm . longis, pedicellis 6-8 mm. longis; tepalis masculinis 4 , integris, obtusis, exterioribus anguste ellipticis, 5.5 mm . longis, uno rubro, altero albo, interioribus 3 mm . longis, albis; staminibus paucis, antheris oblongis, filamenta subaequantibus; flos femineo unico perjuvenile solum cognito; tepalis femineis 5 , inaequalibus, ellipticis, obtusis, integris; ovario 3 -loculato, placentis bilamellatis; capsula unica solum cognita, subellipsoidea, trialata, ala maxima ovata, obtusa, 14 mm . longa, 9 mm . lata, alteris anguste lunatis.-Tab. III.

GUATEMALA: Alta Verapaz: common in dense wet limestone forest near Chirriacté on the Petén highway, alt. ca. 900 m ., April 9, 1941, Standley 91967 (CM, TYPE; phot. G); 91953 (CM, COTYPE).

Except for a somewhat denser indument Begonia sciadiophora is almost identical in habit with $B$. Calderonii Standl., yet its five pistillate and four staminate tepals place it in a different section, B. Calderonii (B. falcata Smith \& Schubert) having only two staminate and two pistillate tepals.

Begonia acutiloba Liebm. emend. Smith \& Schubert. Pedicellis $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, rufo-pubescentibus; tepalis femineis 3 (perjuvenilibus solum cognitis), integris, exterioribus ovatis, interiori minori; stylis 3, bifidis; capsula deflexa ellipsoidea, inaequaliter trialata, ala maxima subbasali, oblonga, obtusa.-TAB. III.Liebm. Vid. Medd. Kjoebenhavn, for 1852: 14 (1853).

MEXICO: Oaxaca: growing in the damp mountain-forest near S. Jago Amatlan, July, Liebmann (TYPE-collection Berlin; phot. G (CM 20869)).

GUATEMALA: Huehuetenango: forested slopes, Cerro Chiblac, between Ixcan and Finca San Rafael, Sierra de los Cuchumatanes, alt. $1800-2000 \mathrm{~m}$., Steyermark, 49379 (CM; phot. G).

Since Begonia acutiloba was first described from staminate material we have here described the only pistillate collection we have seen (Steyermark) in an effort to elucidate the species.

Begonia acutiloba seems to be closely related to B. ludicra and $B$. Popenoei; it differs from both in its extremely early deciduous stipules; from B. ludicra it differs at first glance in its very broad leaves with numerous sharp lobes. It also differs in having the tepals of its flowers pubescent on the outer surface, at least when young, as well as the ovary, whereas the comparable structures in $B$. ludicra seem to be glabrous from the first. From $B$. Popenoei it varies further in having its leaves always deeply lobed.

## 4.-STUDIES IN THE BROMELIACEAE-XIV

By Liman B. Smith
(Plate IV)
Brocchinia Tatei, spec. nov., sine dubia grandis; laminis foliorum ligulatis, rotundatis apiculatisque, ultra 10 cm . latis, planis, integris, haud reticulatis; inflorescentia minimo ample 3-pinnata, minute denseque ferrugineo-stellata, axibus rectis; bracteis florigeris ex ovato longe acuminatis, ovaria subaequantibus, 3-4 mm . longis, tenuibus; pedicellis brevibus sed distinctis; sepalis petalisque subaequalibus, ellipticis, 3.5 mm . longis; sepalis sparse lepidotis; petalis nullo modo unguiculatis, albis (! Tate); staminibus liberis, quam petalis paulo brevioribus; ovario tereti, omnino infero. Tab. IV, fig. 1.


#### Abstract

Venezuela: Bolívar: burned-over areas at Rondon Camp (erroneously labeled as in British Guiana, cf. W. H. Phelps in Bol. Soc. Venez. Cienc. Nat. v. 57, fig. 2 (1938)), Mount Roraima, alt. 2070 m., Dec. 4, 1927, G. H. H. Tate 514 (NY, TYPE; phot. G). Presumably the same, although it is an ampler specimen, showing a more richly branched inflorescence: Cerro Guaiquinima, Alto Rio Paragua, alt. 500 m ., Aug. 27, 1943, F. Cardona 888 (US; phot. G).

Apparently this is the common large Brocchinia noted by im Thurn ${ }^{1}$ on the first complete ascent of Mount Roraima in 1884, where he said it grew only above 5500 feet. He and subsequent explorers took it for granted that it was a somewhat reduced form of B. micrantha of the Kaieteur Savanna, and thus one of the most characteristic species of Roraima was neglected in favor of rarer items until Dr. Tate finally collected it in 1927.

Judging from the necessarily fragmentary herbarium material, I placed it with $B$. reducta because of the form of the petals and


[^83]the completely inferior ovary. Dr. Tate, from his experience with both species in the field, knew that it could not be B. reducta on account of its entirely different habit. As the appended key shows, B. Tatei is phylogenetically allied with $B$. reducta by its more technical characters, but has the habit of $B$. micrantha and B. paniculata.

I take pleasure in dedicating this dominant species of Mount Roraima to Dr. G. H. H. Tate of the American Museum of Natural History, not only because he is responsible for bringing it to light, but, in the larger sense, for all he has done in exploring the mountain system of which Roraima is but a small part.

The following key summarizes the relationships of the species of Brocchinia so far known:

1. Ovary ouly one-third inferior; leaves and scape-bracts serrate.

Cerro de Circasia, Vaupés, Colombia.

1. B. serrata.
2. Ovary wholly or almost wholly inferior; leaves and scapebracts entire.
3. Leaf-blades narrowly triangular, acuminate.
4. Capsule sharply trigonous, its slender pedicel usually exceeding the floral bract; branches of the inflorescence elongate, lax; flowers erect. Esmeralda, Venezuela.2. B. prismatica.
5. Capsule subterete, subsessile; branches of the inflorescence short and dense; flowers divergent. Auyantepui, Venezuela.
6. B. acuminata.
7. Leaf-blades ligulate, rounded and apiculate.

8. Scape much stouter; leaves many, spreading.

Roraima, Venezuela..........................6. B. hechtioides.
4. Petals about as broad as long, suborbicular, distinctly unguiculate; filaments of the second series connate with the petals; ovary in small part superior.
7. Inflorescence (including the ovaries) glabrous. Kaieteur, British Guiana.............................. B. micrantha.

Brocchinia and the allied genus, Navia, are characteristic of the geologically ancient sandstone formations which form a very discontinuous are of flat-topped mountains around the northern
rim of the Amazon Basin, from southeastern Colombia to central British Guiana and, in the case of Navia, to Surinam, where Maguire ${ }^{1}$ has noted its occurrence on Tafelberg. Dr. Leon Croizat has kindly brought to my attention a third example, Cephalocarpus ${ }^{2}$ of the Cyperaceae. Dr. H. A. Gleason has suggested a number of families and genera on which I hope to report when I can check them in detail.

Since its exploration by Tate ${ }^{3}$ in 1928-9, the geologic and floristic relationship of Duida to Roraima has been recognized, and subsequent collecting in intervening territory at Auyantepui ${ }^{4}$ and Cerro Guaiquinima has added further confirmation. On the other hand, the relationship of the Duida-Roraima segment of the are with southeastern Colombia appears to have been overlooked because of the wide gap made by the basin of the Orinoco, although Chapman ${ }^{5}$ has noted the strong Andean element in the birds of Roraima and Duida. In this regard, Schultes ${ }^{6}$ account of the geology and flora of these flat-topped mountains in southeastern Colombia makes interesting and, I believe, significant comparison with the accounts of Duida, Roraima and Tafelberg.

The areas involved have been explored so little that it is hardly safe to draw conclusions, but certain facts seem worth noting for further investigation. First, Brocchinia, Navia and Cephalocarpus are found on an arc from southeastern Colombia to the Guianas (fig. 1), but not elsewhere. The least involved reasoning would be to assume that they migrated from one end of the are to the other. Second, the closest relationships of Brocchinia and Navia are with Andean genera, and the most primitive species of Brocchinia, judging from the position of the ovary, is in Colombia. This situation would argue that movement on the arc was from the Andean region eastward. Finally, most of the species are narrow endemics, indicating that the movement was relatively ancient.
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Fig. 1. COLOMBIA: Station 1: Cerro de Cupaty, Amazonas; 2: Araracoara, Amazonas; 3: Mt. Chiribiquete, Cerro del Castillo and Mt. Campana, Vaupés; 4: Cerro de Circasia, Vaupés; 5: Rio Guaviare, Vaupés; VENEZUELA: 6: Mt. Duida, Amazonas; 7: Guaiquinima, Bolivar; 8: Auyantepui, Bolívar; 9: Ptari-tepui (J. A. Steyermark exped. ined.), Bolívar; 10: Mt. Roraima, Bolivar, and BRITISH GUIANA: Marima; 11: Macreba Falls, Kurutung River; 12: Kaieteur; SURINAM: 13: Tafelberg.

Brocchinia: stations 2, 4, 6-10, 12. Navia: 1, 3, 5, 6, 10-13. Cephalocarpus: $1,6,8,10$.

Hechtia melanocarpa, spec. nov., planta feminea solum cognita, florifera 2.2 m . alta; foliis multis rosulatis, 1.1 m . longis, vaginis suborbicularibus, ca. 8 cm . longis, albido-furfuraceis, laminis lineari-triangularibus, longe acuminatis, pungentibus, basi 5 cm . latis, viridibus, supra glabris, subtus lineatim adpresse pallidolepidotis, spinis hamatis rubris $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis laxe armatis; scapo in rosula centrali (! Foster), basi ca. 2 cm . diametro, dissite albido-flocculoso, mox glabro, scapi bracteis infimis subfoliaceis cum laminis fulgide rubris, supremis anguste ovatis, integris, internodia paulo superantibus sed scapum haud occultantibus; inflorescentia laxe bipinnatim paniculata, ad 7 dm . longa, mox glabra; bracteis primariis anguste ovatis, acuminatis, quam ramis axillaribus multo brevioribus; ramis lateralibus divergentibus, ad 9 cm . longis, subdense florigeris; rhachi crassa; bracteis florigeris ovatis, acutis, 5 mm . longis, pedicellos paulo superantibus, denticulatis, apice hyalinis; floribus divergentibus; pedicellis robustis; sepalis ovatis, obtusis, 4 mm . longis; petalis anguste triangularibus, 7 mm . longis; filamentis adsunt; stylo subnullo; capsula anguste ovoidea, acuta, 14 mm . longa, acute triangulata, atra, glabra. Tab. IV, fig. 8, 9.

Mexico: Guerrero: Canyon de los Zapolotes, June 1935, cultivated and flowered in 1945, M. B. Foster 1258 (G, TYPE).

Apparently, Hechtia melanocarpa is most nearly related to $H$. subalata, but it differs in its large non-repand leaves, divergent branches, subterete rhachis and large almost black capsules.


Fig. 1, Brocchinia Tatei L. B. Sm.; 2-3, Pitcairnia juncoides L. B. Sm.; 4, P. leprosa L. B. Sm.; 5, P. militaris L.. B. Sm.; 6, P. sordida L. B. Sm.; 7, P. tillanidioides L. B. Sm.; 8-9, Hechtia melanocarpa L. B. Sm.

Pitcairnia juncoides, spec. nov., ad 45 cm . alta; caule verisimiliter subterraneo, brevi; foliis homomorphis, fasciculatis, ad 34 cm . longis, integerrimis, vaginis ovatis, parvis, glabris, laminis linearibus, involuto-subulatis, basi ca. 7 mm . latis, subpungentibus, supra vel intus dense adpresseque albo-lepidotis, subtus perobscure punctulato-lepidotis; scapo erecto, gracili, glabro; inflorescentia simplicissima, laxe pauciflora, glabra; bracteis florigeris ovatis, haud 4 mm . longis; floribus subpatentibus, rubris; pedicellis gracilibus, 2 cm . longis; sepalis elliptico-oblongis, obtusis, 14 mm . longis, ecarinatis, nervatis; petalis nudis, linearibus, obtusis, 32 mm . longis, stamina superantibus; ovario 3/5 supero; ovulis alatis. Tab. IV, fig. 2, 3.
Venezuela: Amazonas: San Antonio, Upper Orinoco, Feb. 1931, E. G. Holt \& E. R. Blake 696 (US, CoTYPE); clearings in the savannas, San Antonio, alt. 121 m., April 27, 1942, L. Williams 15048 (US, type; phot. G).

Without mature fruit, it is difficult to guess the exact relationship of Pitcairnia juncoides. If the fruit is indehiscent, the species should be placed near $P$. aphelandrifora and P. punicea, but if it is dehiscent it keys down to the vicinity of $P$. straminea in the Pflanzenreich, where it seems out of place. In any event. $P$. juncoides is easily distinguishable by its peculiar habit alone,

Pitcairnia leprosa, spec. nov., verisimiliter acaulis sed basi ignota, florifera ultra 55 cm . alta; foliis disjunctis (? vel scapi vaginis infimis) solum cognitis, linearibus, acuminatis, basi paulo angustatis, ad 46 cm . longis, 9 mm . latis, integris, supra glabris, subtus dense floccosis; scapo recto, 6 mm . diametro, albidofloccoso; scapi bracteis erectis, ovatis, inermibus, inferioribus dense imbricatis, laminis magnis, foliaceis auctis, superioribus parvis, internodiis paulo superantibus et scapum haud obtegentibus; inflorescentia simplicissima, laxa, 15 cm . longa, lepidibus stellatis albidis vel sordidis dense vestita; bracteis florigeris erectis, e late ovato acutis, pedicellos subaequantibus; floribus secunde patentibus; pedicellis gracilibus, ad 2 cm . longis; sepalis ellipticis, acutis, 3 cm . longis, haud carinatis, valde nervatis; petalis ultra 9 cm . longis, verisimiliter albis, late acutis, basi nudis, apice sparsissime floceosis; staminibus inclusis; ovario $3 / 5$ supero; ovulis caudatis. Tab. IV, fig. 4.

MEXICO: Guerrero: cliff, Vallecitos, Montes de Oca District, July 2, 1937, Hinton et al. 10552 (G, TYPE; NY, US).

Like Pitcairnia Hintoniana, P. leprosa has large petals that are floccose-lepidote at apex, but, unlike that species, it has a densely lepidote inflorescence and unarmed scape-bracts.

Pitcairnia militaris, spec. nov., acaulis, florifera fere 3 dm . alta; foliis multis, bulbose rosulatis; vaginis suborbicularibus, 2-3 cm . longis, atro-castaneis, glabris lucidisque; laminis dimorphis, alteris persistentibus, ad spinas brunneas aculeatas reductis, alteris linea recta transverse deciduis, sub lineam serratis, supra lineam ignotis; scapo erecto, 3 mm . diametro, dense albidolanato; scapi bracteis erectis, dense imbricatis, lanceolatis vel ovatis, albido-lanatis, inferioribus laminis longis spiniformibus aculeatis auctis; inflorescentia simplicissima, subdense racemosa, 9 cm . longa, albido-lanata, haud secunde florigera; bracteis florigeris suberectis, lanceolatis, acuminatis, pedicellos bene superantibus; floribus suberectis; pedicellis ad 10 mm . longis; sepalis anguste oblongis, ad 20 mm . longis, alato-cristatis, apice late acutis vel rotundatis; petalis linearibus, 5 cm . longis, rubris, nudis; ovario $2 / 3$ supero; ovulis caudatis. Tab. IV, fig. 5.

MEXICO: Guerrero: cliff, I. R. F. del Oro, Trincheras-Vinatita, Mina District, northwest of Lat. $18^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$., Long. $101^{\circ} \mathrm{W} .$, May 2, 1937 , Hinton et al. 10127 (G, TYPE).

In my key in the North American Flora, Pitcairnia militaris would come next to $P$. Karwinskyana from which it differs in its broad-pointed sepals, lanate inflorescence and spiny-laminate scape-bracts.

Pitcairnia sordida, spec. nov., acaulis, florifera 35-38 cm. alta, stolonibus procreans; foliis multis, bulbose rosulatis; vaginis latis, ca. 25 mm . longis, atro-castaneis, glabris; laminis dimorphis, alteris persistentibus, ad spinas brunneas aculeatas reductis, alteris linea recta transverse deciduis, sub lineam serratis, supra lineam ignotis; scapo erecto, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro, sordide flocculoso; scapi bracteis erectis, dense imbricatis, lanceolatis, longe subulato-acuminatis, sordide flocculosis, inferioribus ad apicem versus laxe spinoso-serrulatis, superioribus omnino inermibus; inflorescentia simplicissima, dense racemosa, $14-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. longa, haud secunde florigera, petalis exceptis sordide flocculosa; bracteis florigeris suberectis vel divergentibus, lanceolatis, acuminatis, inferioribus sepala superantibus; pedicellis gracilibus, ad 7 mm . longis; sepalis lanceolatis, acuminatis, 24 mm . longis, ecarinatis; petalis linearibus, 5 cm . longis, rubris, nudis; ovario 2/3 supero; ovulis caudatis. Tab. IV, fig. 6.

Mexico: Goerrero: on boulder in oak and pine forest, Toro Muerto, Mina District, alt. $2250 \mathrm{~m} .$, May 5, 1939, Hinton et al. 14248 (G, TYPE),

From the nearly related Pitcairnia monticola, P. sordida differs in its green bracts and dense persistently sordid-flocculose inflorescence.

Pitcairnia tillandsioides, spec. nov., acaulis, florifera $12-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. alta; foliis paucis, subbulbose rosulatis, verisimiliter homomorphis; vaginis late ovatis, ca. 15 mm . longis, atrocastaneis, glabris; laminis linea recta transverse deciduis, subtus lineam inconspicue spinoso-serratis, supra lineam lineari-lanceolatis, acuminatis, basi paulo angustatis, ad 13 cm . longis, 8 mm . latis, inermibus, planis, viridibus, parcissime floccosis vel glabris; scapo erecto, gracillimo, glabro; scapi bracteis erectis, lanceolatis, acuminatis, quam internodiis brevioribus vel longioribus sed rhachin haud obtegentibus; inflorescentia simplicissima, laxe pauciflora, glabra vel subglabra; bracteis florigeris eis scapi similibus, pedicellos superantibus; pedicellis gracilibus, floribus suberectis, eis Tillandsiae simulantibus; sepalis lanceolatis, acuminatis, $16-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, ad 8 mm . latis, parcissime floccosis, posticis subalatis; petalis regulariter dispositis, nullo modo zygomorphis, ad 4 cm . longis, rubris, nudis, laminis ellipticis, late acutis, apice reflexis; staminibus inclusis; ovario $2 / 3$ supero; ovulis caudatis. Tab. IV, fig. 7.

MEXICO: Guerrero: cliff in oak forest, Los Barrales-Yesceros, Mina District, alt. 1775 m., July 5, 1939, Hinton et al. 14898 (G, TyPE).

Although Pitcarnia tillandsioides keys to the vicinity of $P$. Karwinskyana and $P$. ringens, its perfectly regular petals, like those of a Tillandsia, would indicate that it was not very closely related to them.

## Explanation of Plate IV

Fig. 1. Brocchinia Tatei L. B. Smith (Tate 514), floral bract and flower $\times 2$.
2. Pitcairnia juncoides L. B. Smith (L. Williams 15048 ), habit $\times 1 / 2$.
3. Same, sepal $\times 1$.
4. Pitcairnia leprosa L. B. Smith (Hinton et al. 10552), floral bract and flower $\times 1$.
5. Piteairnia militaris L. B. Smith (Hinton et al. 10127), floral bract and flower $\times 1$.
6. Pitcairnia sordida L. B. Smith (Hinton et al. 14248 ), floral bract and flower $\times 1$.
7. Pitcairnia tillandsioides L. B. Smith (Hinton et al. 14898), habit $\times 1$.
8. Hechtia melanocarpa L. B. Smith (Foster 1258), habit $\times 1 / 20$.
9. Same, floral bract and mature pistillate flower $\times 1$.

## I N D EX

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Aeschynomene americana, 20, 24; amorphoides, 20, 24
Alophia, 4, 12; linearis, 18; tigridioides, 12

Beatonia bracteolata, 13
Begonia, 19, 26; § Begoniastrum, 28; § Magnusia, 27; acutiloba, 28, 29, pl. III; Calderonii, 28; cebadillensis, 26, 27, pl. III; confusa, 27, pl. III; falcata, 28; gracilis, 27; ludicra, 27, 29; Popenoei, 29; sciadiophora, 28, pl. III
Brocchinia, 29-32; acuminata, 30; hechtioides, 30; micrantha, 29, 30; paniculata, 30 ; prismatica, 30 ; reducta, 29, 30; serrata, 30; Tatei, $29,30,35, \mathrm{pl}$. IV

Calydorea, 4; azurea, 8; campestris, 8; speciosa, 12
Cardenanthus, 4, 7, 13; boliviensis, 14; orurensis, 14 ; tunariensis, 14 , 15
Cephalocarpus, 31, 32
Cipura, 4, 9; major, 9; paludosa, 9; plicata, 8
Clitoria mexicana, 24
Cypella, 4, 16, 17 ; linearis, 17, 18; Mandoni, 11; peruviana, 17, 18
Cyperaceae, 31
Desmodium, 19, 21, 22, 24, sect. Nephromeria, 24; adhaesivum, 21; adscendens, 20-22; angustifolium, var. typicum, 20-22; batocaulon, 21 ; canum, 20, 21 ; caripense, 21 ; Conzattii, 20-22; Ghiesbreghtii, 20, 22; Grahami, 20, 22; Hartwegianum, var. amans, 21,22 , var. typicum, 20; infractum, 19, 20, 22; lunatum, 24; molliculum, 22; neomexicanum, 23; nicaraguense, 23; orbiculare, 20,23 ; orizabanum, 21; Painteri, 20, 23; plectocarpum, 23; plicatum, 23; polystachyum, 23; Pringlei, 20, 23; psilophyllum, 23, 24; Purpusii, 24; stipulaceum, 22; tortuosum, 22; triflorum, 20, 24; Wrightii, 23

Eleutherine, 4, 8; bulbosa, 8; plicata, 8

Galactia multiflora, 24; striata, 21, 24
Galatea plicata, 8; vespertina, 8
Hechtia melanocarpa, 32, 35, pl. IV; subalata, 32
Hedysarum, 19; acayucense, 20, 24; arborescens, 20, 24; biarticulatum, $19,20,22$; diphyllum, 19, 20; frutescens, 20-22; grandiflorum, 19, 20; linifolium, 19, 20; longifolium, 20,22 ; marilandicum, 20, 23; mexicanum, 20, 21; nicaraguense, $19,20,23$; prismaticum, 19,20 , 24-26; procumbens, 19, 20; quinqueangulatum, 20, 23; reniforme, 19,20 ; repens, 20,24 ; retroflexum, 21; scandens, 19 , 21; viridiflorum, 21; volubile, $19,21,24,25$
Hesperoxiphion peruvianum, 18
Iridaceae, 3
Ixia americana, 8
Larentia linearis, 18
Libertia, 3, 4; boliviana, 4, 5; colombiana, 5; tricocca, 5
Lotus, 24
Marica linearis, 18; paludosa, 9; plicata, 8
Mastigostyla, 4, 15, 16; brevicaulis, 16; Cardenasii, 16
Meibomia purpurea, 22
Moraea linearis, 18; plicata, 8
Navia, 30-32
Nemastylis, 16; bracteolata, 13; brevicaulis, 16; nana, 15

Orthrosanthus, 3, 5; chimboracensis, 5, 6; nigrorhynchus, 5, 6; Ocisapunga, 5, 6; tunariensis, 5, 6

Pachecoa guatemalensis, 25, 26; prismatica, 20, 24, 26, pls. I, II
Phaseolus, 22
Phaiophleps, 3, 7; acaulis, 7
Pitcairnia aphelandriflora, 33; Hintoniana, 33; juncoides, 33, 35, pl. IV; Karwinskyana, 34, 35; leprosa, 33, 35, pl. IV; militaris, 34, 35, pl. IV; monticola, 34; punicea, 33 ; ringens, 35 ; sordida,

34, 35, pl. IV ; straminea, 33; tillandsioides, 35, pl. IV

Rhynchosia longeracemosa, 25; reticulata, 21, 25

Sesbania sericea, 25
Sisyrinchium, 3, 4, 6, 7; acaule, 7; bulbosum, 8 ; Occissspungum, 5, 6

Solenomelus acaulis, 7
Sphenostigma, 4, 9, 11-13; boliviense, 10; lineare, 18; Mandoni, 10, var. bulbilliferum, 10, 11
Symphyostemon acaule, 7
Tigridia, 4, 12, 13; bracteolata, 12, 13
Tillandsia, 34
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(Plates 1031-1050) ${ }^{1}$
During a somewhat searching study of different groups in the flora of eastern North America it is found imperative, if we are properly to identify our plants, to study the original descriptions and their citations and, when possible, to see the types or good photographs of the types. Otherwise, as in too many cases, we make wrong guesses and encumber taxonomy with erroneous and quite misleading names. In some of the present series of studies attempts have been made to place the names of the plants studied on a surer basis than heretofore.

The Identity of Quercus laurifolia (plates 1031-1036). Quercus laurifolia Michx. Hist. Chênes Am. Sept. tt. xvii. and xviii (1801), has so long been misinterpreted, at least since Elliott in 1824, that it becomes necessary to study in some detail the original material of Michaux and the two species which have figured in the misinterpretation of his clearly defined and beautifully illustrated species.

[^86]The two principal elements involved in the problem are (1) Q. hemisphaerica Bartram as validated by Willd. Sp. Pl. iv ${ }^{1}$. 443 (1805), the southern tree (our plates 1035 and 1036) with full hemispherical or subspherical head; the coriaceous, dense and opaque, evergreen or only tardily deciduous (at least overwintering) leaves, with foliage of the fruiting branches oblonglanceolate or -oblanceolate to narrowly elliptic or oblong, quite glabrous on both lustrous surfaces, cuneate at base, either acute or obtuse, $3-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, very short-petioled; leaves of vigorous vegetative sprouts often sinuate-dentate or -serrate; cup shallowly saucer-shaped, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad and 3-5 mm . high, with strongly flattened-rounded base; the nut $0.8-1.5$ cm . long; the tree occurring on the outer coastal plain from Florida to eastern Texas, north to southeastern Virginia; and (2) the tree with longer, broader and thinner leaves membranaceous and showing, by transmitted light, a clear and intricate reticulation, the glabrate lower surface often with tufts of pubescence in the axils of the lateral veins; the cup deeply saucer-shaped, shallowly cup-shaped or turbinate, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad and 7-10 mm . high; this being the species described in detail by Sargent as his $Q$. rhombica Sargent in Bot. Gaz. lxv. 430 (May 15, 1918), our plates 1031 to 1033, with "Leaves rhombic, rarely oblongobovate to lanceolate, acute or rounded . . . at apex, cuneate at base, . . . at maturity thin, . . . 9-12 cm . long and 3.5-5 cm . wide, with . . . slender primary forked veins; . . . falling gradually in early winter; . . . cup saucer-shaped to cup-shaped . . . From Q. laurifolia it differs in the shape of its thinner leaves . . . and in its larger fruit with much deeper cups". As a variety Sargent described material from a single tree, his var. obovatifolia (our plate 1034) which differed "in the obovate leaves at the ends of the branches, rounded or slightly 3 -lobed or undulate at the broad apex".

On a succeeding page (432) Sargent discussed what he took to be true Quercus laurifolia Michx., with "leaves, which are thicker than those of $Q$. Phellos L., are dark green, very lustrous, and glabrous . . . usually not more than $7-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. in length;" and (p. 433) saying "The laurel oak is not evergreen. Late in the winter the leaves begin gradually to turn yellow and then brown, and when the buds begin to swell at the appearance of spring
drop almost simultaneously". Later, in his Man. Trees N. Am. ed. 2: 261 (1922) the "much deeper cup" "saucer-shaped or cupshaped" of Q. rhombica became merely "a saucer-shaped cup", although the artist saw it and represented it (our plate 1031, fig. 3) as definitely turbinate and half as high as broad. In this edition of Sargent's Manual the earlier and inclusive description of $Q$. laurifolia was not sufficiently altered to bring out all the contrasts between the two species as conceived by Sargent, for the "thicker" leaves were here described as "at maturity thin", although the cup of the species with narrow coriaceous and overwintering leaves was correctly illustrated and described as "a thin saucer-shaped cup".

In his original discussion of Quercus laurifolia in his sense (i. e. Q. hemisphaerica), with leaves "usually not more than 7-8 cm . long" and, as stated in his Manual, "3/4" [i.e. 1.8 cm .] wide", Sargent commented on the plates which Michaux published with the original description of $Q$. laurifolia: "On the branches figured by Michaux the leaves are generally elliptic but sometimes slightly broadest above the middle, acuminate at the ends [in Michaux's var. hybrida (our plate 1031, fig. 4) "obovate and rounded at apex"], and 6-12.5 cm. in length". Furthermore, Michaux's plate (our plate 1031, figs. 1 and 2) showed, in case of typical $Q$. laurifolia, a fruiting branch with leaves mostly 2-4 cm . broad, and a photograph in the Gray Herbarium of his type of the typical form shows very thin and translucent foliage (plate 1033, fig. 1), the intricate reticulate venation too strongly suggesting that shown by transmitted light in leaves of the type of Q. rhombica (plate 1033, fig. 3). Instead of being unusual the leaves shown by Michaux of his original $Q$. laurifolia and its var. hybrida seem to me inseparable from those of thoroughly characteristic $Q$. rhombica, originally described with leaves " $9-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $3.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, with stout conspicuously yellow midribs and slender primary forked veins"; and the type of the acuteleaved form (var. acuta Willd.) preserved at Paris could easily have come from material of the tree illustrated by Michaux. Still further, Michaux described the "cupule un peu turbinée" and illustrated it (our plate 1031, fig. 2) as pretty definitely so, just as in his Manual (our fig. 3) Sargent had so illustrated the cup of $Q$. rhombica.

It is most difficult for me to believe that, when Michaux described and illustrated as his new Quercus laurifolia, with evidently thinnish leaves (the type showing intricate venation even in the photograph) on a fruiting branch, the blades up to 12.5 cm . long and $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, many of them in outline (as well as size) quite like those of $Q$. rhombica, the cup definitely turbinate and shown as half as high as broad, the tree with these characters having the thin leaves often with tufts of hair persisting beneath in the axils of the veins,-it is most difficult to follow Sargent in believing that Michaux was wholly mistaken and really intended to describe a tree with much shorter and narrower, dense, coriaceous, overwintering, glabrous leaves and "thin saucer-shaped" cups. I see no way but to treat Q. rhombica as typical Q. laurifolia.

One week before the publication of Quercus rhombica, Ashe picked up the Q. laurifolia $\beta$. obtusa of Willdenow, Sp. iv ${ }^{1} .428$ (1805), a substitute preferred by Willdenow for $Q$. laurifolia hybrida Michx., and made the specific combination Q. obtusa (Willd.) Ashe in Torreya, xviii. 72 (May 8, 1918), thus antedating Q. rhombica of May 15, 1918. Ashe, like Sargent and others, took as $Q$. laurifolia the species which Willdenow had properly defined in 1805 as $Q$. hemisphaerica, for Ashe said of his Q. obtusa: "This tree is undoubtedly closely related to $Q$. laurifolia as generally understood, but it can be readily separated from it by the leaves of vigorous shoots, which in $Q$. laurifolia are irregularly toothed, while in $Q$. obtusa the margins are entire. The cup also has a very pointed base, while the base of the cup in $Q$. laurifolia is flat." Had Ashe looked at Michaux's plate of the original Q. laurifolia (our plate 1031, figs. 1 and 2) he would have seen quite entire leaves and a cup with "a very pointed base", not at all "flat". He could also have seen that Michaux's diagnosis clearly said "Cupula subturbinata".

Somewhat later, Ashe, realizing that Quercus rhombica of May 15 was $Q$. obtusa of May 8, published a note in Rhodora, xxiv. 78 (1922), making the reduction, still apparently not knowing that $Q$. obtusa and $Q$. rhombica were phases of true $Q$. laurifolia. He then picked up Sargent's very unsatisfactory $Q$. rhombica, var. obovatifolia and made the combination $Q$. obtusa obovatifolia (Sarg.) Ashe, this variety, according to him, differing
"only in its spatulate leaves with rounded apices." Sargent had said "obovate leaves at the ends of the branches, rounded or slightly 3 -lobed or undulate at the broad apex", his type (our plate 1034) and only cited material being from "A single tree" from Beaumont, Texas, E. J. Palmer, no. 12747; Sargent accidentally omitting the last figure and adding: "The terminal leaves of this variety, ...show a relationship with $Q$. nigra L.". They certainly do.

The more significant bibliography of $Q$. laurifolia is as follows:
Q. laurifolia Michx. Hist. Chênes Am. Sept. t. xvii (1801) and Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 197 (1803). Q. laurifolia hybrida Michx. Hist. Chênes Am. Sept. t. xviii (1801). Q. laurifolia $\alpha$. acuta and ß. obtusa Willd. Sp. Pl. iv ${ }^{1} .428$ (1805). Q. laurina Raf. Alsog. Am. 27 (1838), not Humb. \& Bonpl. (1809). Q. laurifolia $\beta$. obtusa Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. ii. 627 (1814). Q. Phellos, var. laurifolia (Michx.) Chapm. Fl. So. U. S. 420 (1860). Q. aquatica ß. laurifolia (Michx.) A. DC. in DC. Prodr. xvi². 68 (1864), in part. Q. hybrida (Michx.) Ashe in Proc. Soc. Am. For. xl. 88 (1916), not (Chapm.) Small (1903). Q. obtusa (Willd.) Ashe in Torreya, xviii. 72 (May 8, 1918). Q. rhombica Sargent in Bot. Gaz. Ixv. 430 (May 15, 1918).-Florida to eastern Texas, north on coastal plain to Cape May, New Jersey.

My reason for including as separate names in the above synonymy both Quercus laurifolia $\beta$. obtusa Willd. (1805) and $Q$. laurifolia $\beta$. obtusa Pursh (1814) is, that Pursh did not cite Willdenow as the author of his variety. From the start this name has been the source of bibliographic or nomenclatural errors which make one blush for the performances of taxonomists of renown. In his Report on Forests of North America, 152 (1884) Sargent gave in his synonymy of $Q$. laurifolia " $Q$. obtusa Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. ii. 627". This unintentional new binomial, which Pursh had not made, promptly appeared, correctly in this instance, in Index Kewensis under Quercus as "obtusa, Sargent . . . in syn".; and "Quercus obtusa Pursh" appears, as if made by Pursh, in Sudworth's Nomenclature of the Arborescent Flora of the United States (1897), in Trelease's writings and elsewhere, where some sort of verification might have been expected. Since the original $Q$. laurifolia var. hybrida Michx. (1801) was the sole basis of $Q$. laurifolia $\beta$. obtusa Willdenow (1805), the intricate and not very intelligent confusion of the two names must, unhappily, be investigated.

In Small, Fl. Se. U.S. 350 (1903), something quite different and based on Quercus aquatica Walt., var. hybrida Chapman, Fl. So. U. S. 421 (1860), this having nothing to do with Q. laurifolia, var. hybrida of Michaux, appeared as Q. hybrida (Chapm.) Small, although Small, with his customary "lack of time", left others to hunt up the place of publication of the basonym. Now $Q$. aquatica of Chapman's time was what has proved to be Q. nigra L. (1753) and his var. hybrida was evidently a form of it, with "leaves oblong or wedge-oblong, entire, emarginate, or 3-lobed at the summit", etc., surely having nothing to do with Q.laurifolia hybrida Michx. (our plate 1031, fig. 4). Nevertheless, having subsequently decided that his $Q$. hybrida (Chapm.) Small was not worth maintaining, Small dropped it; but, under the already much discussed and nonexistent Q. "obtusa (Willd.) Pursh", he gave a single synonym, "Q. hybrida (Michx.) Small", Man. Se. U. S. 428 (1933), thus making still another useless binomial, this one invalid because published in synonymy! But when he published his $Q$. hybrida (Chapm.) Small in 1903, the author was not retarded because of the identical binomial (Q. hybrida) already published four times before, by Brotero in 1804, by Bechstein in 1843, by Hampton in 1886 and by Houba in 1887. As described by Chapman the basic name seems to have belonged to a tree very unlike the latter; but, as illustrated by Britton \& Shafer, N. Am. Trees, fig. 254 (1908), its leaves and their venation are those of $Q$. laurifolia, the cup that of $Q$. hemisphaerica. Probably Q. hybrida (Chapm.) Small was an appropriate name.

In his monumental Histoire des Chênes de l'Amérique Septentrionale (1801) Michaux showed as Quercus aquatica the species now known to be $Q$. nigra L. in plates xix-xxi. Plate xx showed several different leaves, not all of them belonging to $Q$. nigra (aquatica) as now understood. Fig. 2 (our plate 1035, fig. 1), especially, was a vigorous young shoot of an unnamed variety with the narrow leaves cut as in those of sprouts of $Q$. hemisphaerica. In a special paragraph at the end of his discussion of Q. aquatica Michaux commented on such foliage, saying:

On trouve sur les dunes sablonneuses en Géorgie et en Floride, une variété de cet arbre à feuilles étroites, dentées irrégulièrement, et qui conserve ses feuilles pendant tout l'hiver. C'est probablement cette variété que Bartram a nommée Quercus dentata (natrow leaved winter
green oak), et dans un autre endroit, Quercus dentata s. hemisphoerica. On doit la rapporter à notre Chêne aquatique. loyez Bartram Travels, p. 14, 28 et 320.
Referring to Bartram, p. 14, one finds an account of "Our turkey of America" and nothing about any oak; but on p. 24 (probably intended by Michaux) there is a list of the trees and shrubs "growing on the banks of this sequestered river" which includes the undefined name $Q$. dentata. Page 28 yields, as growing near Savannah, "5. Q. dentata", which in a footnote is explained as " 5 . Narrow-leaved Wintergreen Oak"; and on p. 320 a long list includes "Quer. dentata, s. hemispherica". The last entry, Quercus dentata or hemispherica, makes the names alternative and therefore illegitimate, even if they had been accompanied by diagnoses, which they lacked. Index Kewensis solved the identity of these two alternative names by stating that one " = aquatica", the other " = nigra". This is one way out of the problem. The usually very cautious Stephen Elliott included in his Sk. Bot. S. C. and Ga. ii. 596 (1824), under Q. laurifolia the tree which Willdenow had properly validated as Q. hemisphaerica, with "Leaves nearly perennial, sessile, oblonglanceolate, nearly acute, tapering at base, entire, glabrous on both surfaces"; and he cited in the synonymy Q. hemispherica Bartram, and in addition to Michaux's two plates of true $Q$. laurifolia "perhaps also t. 20. f. 2". His more detailed account of the tree with "a large handsome hemispherical head" with leaves "very glabrous on both surfaces . . . , those of the young plant toothed and irregularly sinuate. . . Cup shallow, nearly sessile", was of $Q$. hemisphaerica, rather than of Michaux's $Q$. laurifolia. It is probable that Elliott's misidentification in 1824 set the standard by which later authors have misidentified $Q$. laurifolia of Michaux. Elliott's further remarks are illuminating:

The figure in Mich. Querc. t. 20. f. 2. exactly resembles the young plants of this species. And as this oak, though growing in dry soils, is more known by the name of "Water Oak," than by any other appellation, it is not impossible that Michaux may have been misled by its popular denomination to insert a figure of it among the real Water Oaks.

I have always considered this as the real Q. Hemisphaerica of Bartram. It certainly is the species to which his [i. e. Willdenow's] description most appropriately applies.

Grows in rich sandy soils along the margin of swamps, appearing to take the place of the live oak as you leave the margin of the ocean, but growing also with the live oak on the sea-islands.

I realize that by Index Kewensis and by Sargent, Silva, viii. 165 (1895) $Q$. hemisphaerica is reduced, without a word of explanation, to Q. nigra L. To be sure, Trelease in his American Oaks, Mem. Nat. Acad. Sci. xx. 160 (1924), speaking of Willdenow's herbarium, said "forms occur as representatives of $Q$. hemisphaerica as he meant to use that name", but that these are the heterophyllous sprouts of several species. Willdenow may have had mixed material (and probably did, just as did Linnaeus for many species) but his diagnosis, " Q . foliis perennantibus oblongolanceolatis indivisis trilobis sinuatisque lobis mucronatis utrinque glabris. W.", and his basing of the species on Michaux's interpretation above quoted and Michaux's t. 20, fig. 2, leave no doubt as to what he primarily meant. Trelease's suggestion that Q. hemisphaerica might have been sprouts of $Q$. nigra or of $Q$. Phellos does not dispose of Willdenow's definite "foliis perennantibus" nor of the Michaux figure, for both Q. nigra and Q. Phellos have promptly deciduous leaves.

Sargent and, after him, Trelease, also cite in the synonymy of Quercus nigra "Quercus hemisphaerica, var. nana, Nuttall, Gen. ii. 214 (1818)", although Nuttall had no such variety under $Q$. hemisphaerica. Instead, this is what he said: "11. hemispherica, Bartram. Willd. also Q. aquatica, Willd. ß. nana, Q. nana, Willd.". Willdenow having published as a species $Q$. nana, Nuttall's $Q$. aquatica, var. nana was a new combination (but not under $Q$. hemisphaerica). If we do not take up Q. hemisphaerica Bartram ex Willd. for the evergreen or partly evergreen species of the outer Coastal Plain of the South, we must coin a new name. Whoever does that will have some difficulty in demonstrating that André Michaux in 1801, Willdenow in 1805 and Elliott in 1824 were all wrong. There has been trouble enough; no good can come from making more. One whole precious week has been lost in working out these unedifying details. That is enough.

Professor W. C. Coker in Journ. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. xxxii. plates 2-4 (1916) shows some beautiful illustrations of Quercus hemisphaerica (as the traditional "laurifolia"). The name hemisphaerica, when applied to these photographs, seems not to need the restrictive first two syllables. In the trade this tree has become known as "Darlington Oak", because of its early introduction as an evergreen ornamental to the streets of

Darlington, South Carolina. Professor Coker's account of the persistence of the foliage of "one of the handsomest and most ornamental oaks to be found in any country" should be repeated:

[^87]Back to Carex rostrata.- In Rhodora, xliv. 324-331, plates 715 and 716 (1942) I felt it necessary, in following strict priority, to abandon the long-familiar name Carex rostrata Stokes (1787) for the earlier C. inflata Hudson (1762 in part, emend. 1778). This most unfortunate change of name for one of the most common and generally known Carices of cooltemperate regions around the northern hemisphere was induced by the decision of the late A. B. Rendle and James Britten in 1907, that the change was unavoidable. The decision of these usually quite accurate gentlemen, neither of whom understood Carex, was further argued and accepted by those most careful students of nomenclature, Schinz \& Thellung (1908) and Mansfeld (1938), as well as the less cautious Druce and many others in Europe. There seemed no escape from C. inflata.

Now, however, the most accurate of recent British students of Carex, Mr. E. Nelmes of Kew, has given a very detailed analysis of Hudson's C. inflata in Journ. Bot. lxxx. 109-112 (1942), Hudson's original C. inflata of 1762 having been a sad mixture, clarified by his redefinition of it as a single specific element in 1778. Approaching the problem with full understanding of British Carices, Nelmes considers every possibility and, most happily, concludes: "From the available evidence it seems to me that Carex inflata Huds., Fl. Angl., ed. 1, cannot be identified with any one species: it may be $C$. vesicaria L., or, less likely, $C$. rostrata Stokes, or, least likely, C. laevigata Sm.; but it is most likely to represent a mixture. Carex inflata Huds., Fl. Angl., ed.

2, is almost certainly C. laevigata Sm.". Everyone who knows Carex will give a sigh of relief. The four varieties recognized by me and illustrated in 1942 should now be called

Carex rostrata Stokes in Withering, Brit. Pl. ed. 2, ii. 1059 (1787). C. ampullacea Gooden. in Trans. Linn. Soc. ii. 207 (1794). C. inflata sensu Rendle \& Britten in Journ. Bot. xlv. 444 (1907), not Hudson, Fl. Angl. 354 (1762), emend. ed. 2: 412 (1778).

Var. anticostensis (Fernald), comb. nov. C. inflata, var. anticostensis Fernald in Rhodora, xliv. 329, pl. 715, figs. 5 and 6 (1942).

Var. utriculata (Boott) Bailey in Proc. Am. Acad. xxii. 67 (1886). C. utriculata Boott in Hook. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 221 (1839). C. utriculata, var. minor Boott, l. c. (1839); and many other synonyms cited by me in Rhodora, xlvi. 330 (1942), these including C. inflata, var. utriculata (Boott) Druce in Bot. Soc. \& Exchange Club Brit. Isl. Rep. ix. 141 (1930).

Var. ambigens Fernald in Rhodora, iii. 51 (1901). C. inflata, var. ambigens (Fernald) Fernald l. c. xliv. 330, pl. 715, figs. 7 and 8 (1942).

Lemna valdiviana, not L. cyclostasa.-Although in his Revision of the North American Lemnaceae, printed in advance, Nov. 1, 1897, from the Ninth Ann. Rep. Mo. Bot. Gard. (1898), Dr. C'. H. Thompson took up the name Lemna cyclostasa for one of the most distinct members of the genus, the authors of Gray, Man. ed. 7 (1908), rejected that name in favor of the validly published L. valdiviana Philippi (1864). Had Thompson refrained from precipitate haste to get out his Revision "in Advance" and verified his bibliography it would have been well; for, even now, some authors of volumes on aquatics, in spite of the correction made in ed. 7 of the Manual, still use the name L. cyclostasa. Thompson's bibliography was as follows:

Lemna cyclostasa (Ell.) Chev. Fl. Par. 2: 256. 1827. Schleid. Linnaea. 13: 390. 1839. Lemna minor var.? Cyclostasa Elliott, Bot. S. Carol. and Ga. 2: 518. 1824. L. Valdiviana Ph. Linnaea. 33: 239. 1864. L. Torreyi Aust. in Gray, Man. Bot. 479. 1867. [5th ed.]. L. abbreviata Hglm. Engler's bot. Jahrb. 21³: 298. Jan. 1895.
Had Thompson been less content with the lax and unverified type of bibliography which characterizes so much of the work of his sponsor, Trelease, he would have searched in vain in Chevalier's Flore générale des Environs de Paris for any mention what-
ever of L. cyclostasa, a species unknown in Europe. Chevalier had the regular European L. trisulca, polyrrhiza, arhiza, minor and gibba but not $L$. cyclostasa of tropical and warm-temperate America. Had he looked at Schleiden's Monograph of Lemnaceae in Linnaea, xiii. 390 (1839) he would have found under $L$. minor the synonym "L. cyclostasa Elliot". Elliott had no such species but he did describe L. minor, var. ? cyclostasa; Schleiden incorrectly attributed the binomial to him but, since the binomial was published in synonymy, it is invalid. Presumably Thompson copied his references directly from Index Kewensis, which gives "cyclostasa, Ell. ex Chev. Fl. Par. ii. 256; Schleid, in Linnaea, viii. (1839) $390=$ minor". But everyone with much experience knows that the earlier volumes of Index Kewensis must be taken as very untrustworthy guides. If anyone can find L. cyclostasa in Chevalier, he will make a clarifying discovery; but the reduction by Index Kewensis of the species to $L$. minor shows equal lack of understanding. As to Thompson's reference to $L$. abbreviata Hegelm., consultation of his Systematische Übersicht der Lemnaceen in Engler's Jahrb. (1895) immediately shows that Hegelmaier was not treating it as a species. Unfortunately, Hegelmaier adopted a misleading method of designating his varieties, by enclosing the specific name and "var." in parentheses, just as in this country there are always some nonconformists, who refuse to follow conventional practices. Hegelmaier gave numbers to all recognized species, " 8 . Lemna angolensis Welw.", "9. Lemna valdiviana Philippi", "10. Lemna minima Phil.", but under, 9. L. valdiviana, he had, without numbers "Lemna (valdiviana var.) abbreviata" and "Lemna (valdiviana var.?) platyclados". L. valdiviana, var. abbreviata was not intended as a species.

It has seemed important to dissect this case, in view of the faith trustingly reposed in "the books" by those who follow the uncritical American principle of accepting anything they see in print-a method not creditable when extended, as it so regularly is, into scientific bibliography. Lemna valdiviana Philippi has right-of-way until it is demonstrated that it is antedated by a validly published binomial.

Stenanthium in the Eastern United States (Plates 1037-1041).-

Stenanthium gramineum (Ker) Morong, var. robustum (S. Watson), stat. nov. S. robustum S. Watson in Proc. Am. Acad. xiv. 278 (1879). Plates 1039 and 1040.
S. Gramineum, var. micranthum, var. nov. (tab. 1041), caule $0.25-1 \mathrm{~m}$. alto, basin versus $1.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro; foliis subrigidis corrugatis $0.4-1 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; perianthiis $3-4.5(-5) \mathrm{mm}$. longis.-Upland woods, western Virginia and eastern Tennessee to northwestern Florida and Alabama. Type from open woods, Caesar's Head, South Carolina, July 28, 1881, John Donnell Smith (Herb. Gray.).

The so-called specific distinctions between Stenanthium gramineum and $S$. robustum have proved to be very evasive, Britton in Britton \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2: i. 489 (1913) giving seemingly good differences, his key reading:

> "Leaves $2 "-3^{\prime \prime}$ wide; capsule reflexed. ................... 1. S. gramineum. Leaves $3 "-10^{\prime \prime}$ wide; capsule erect.................... 2. S. robustum."

In the illustrations, however, these characters are not very definitely shown, the figures showing flowering pedicels spreading in both and no contrast in flowers or fruit, while the comment under S. robustum implies lack of full conviction: "Apparently distinct from the preceding species, though closely related". Small, Man. 277 (1933), gives a key which looks good:

[^88]In both cases the ranges are given as very extensive, S. robustum said by Small to grow in "Acid swamps, various provinces, S[outhern] S[tates] to Ark., Ohio, and Pa.".

When, however, the material of the Stenanthium of the eastern United States is even casually studied the keys are found not to unlock the door. The type of S.gramineum is Helonias graminea Ker in Curtis's Bot. Mag. xxxix. t. 1599 (Nov. 1, 1813), Ker there describing the "flowers small, . . . white, suffused with purple on the outside", and very many specimens, otherwise quite like Ker's plate, have the perianth green or bronze to purplish, as well as whitish. Furthermore, Watson's original description of S. robustum clearly said "perianth-segments 3 or 4 lines long, white or green". As to the "erect" capsules of the
latter, as opposed to "capsule deflexed" in the former, it is not reassuring to one's confidence in the character, to examine a series of $S$. robustum and then one of the usually smaller-flowered S. gramineum. Watson seems to have picked out to constitute his $S$. robustum stout and broad-leaved specimens with flowers the largest in the series, these largest plants having "been originally included in the last", the "last" being the more slender and narrower-leaved plants with perianth " 2 or 3 lines long" and called by Watson "S. angustifolium, Gray", based on Veratrum angustifolium Pursh. Pursh had the plant which, shortly before, had been described by Ker as Helonias graminea, Pursh not realizing that this plant was later to be distinguished by Small on account of its "Perianth white", for Pursh brazenly wrote "Flowers greenish yellow". Pursh's species came from "high mountains of Virginia and Carolina" and a specimen (with an unpublished name) collected by him and bearing his label "Veratrum [unpublished trivial name somewhat equivalent to angustifolium], P. Salt pond mountain", is in his herbarium at the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. This, with perianths obviously herbaceous (not white and petaloid), and about 6 mm . long, which may pass as presumably the type, has the capsules nearly erect, not at all "deflexed". Similarly, plants which have the medium-sized perianth and firm narrow leaves of true S. gramineum may have the capsules as erect as in theoretically ideal S. robustum (plate 1039, fig. 3). Such specimens of S. gramineum are shown in plate 1037, fig. 3, from Pisgah Forest, North Carolina, House, no. 4040. Others, with the pedicels no more erect than in some Pennsylvanian specimens of S. robustum (plate 1040, fig. 3, from New Texas, Lancaster County). Such fruiting racemes are shown in plate 1038, this specimen from Springfield, Ohio.

Most unfortunately Watson designated no type for his Stenanthium robustum and, still more unfortunately, he left others to find out just what he intended by his species of "Pennsylvania to Ohio, Tennessee and South Carolina." A single sheet only bears his inscription "S. robustum, n. sp." in a delicate pencilnotation easily overlooked. This, plate 1039, figs. 1 and 2, was from Sligo Furnace, Clarion Co., Pennsylvania, Aug. 1859, J. R. Lowrie (distributed by Porter) and must stand as the
type. As will be seen from the photograph, the capsules on the lateral racemes are on almost as divergent pedicels as those of S. gramineum (plate 1038, fig. 3). I get little satisfaction out of "Perianth green: capsule erect" as opposed to "Perianth white: capsule deflexed".

Taking the one sheet which Watson marked S. robustum as the type, the stout and very leafy stem and the broad leaves, accompanied by perianths 8 or 9 mm . long, set the standard; and it at once becomes apparent that such plants, with bases of stem up to 1.5 cm . thick (as opposed to a maximum of 1 cm .), with larger leaves $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad (as opposed to $4-15 \mathrm{~mm}$.) have the very smooth and translucent leaves thin or membranaceous and with the very many (up to 61) nerves immersed in the tissue (plate 1039, figs. 2 and 4, plate 1040, fig. 2). In the usually more southern S. gramineum and var. micranthum, on the other hand, the firm or coriaceous and mostly narrow leaves have prominent elevated ribs, these giving the upper leaf-surface a closely furrowed or corrugated appearance when dry (plate 1037, fig. 2, plate 1038, figs. 2 and 6, and plate 1041, fig. 2)¹. Occasionally plants with all other characters of typical S. gramineum (plate 1038, fig. 3) will diverge in foliage, showing thin but narrow leaves (FIG. 4) too strongly approaching those of S. robustum. The failure of this last character, so hopefully worked out, leaves nothing absolute to separate the larger-flowered S. gramineum and the smaller-flowered S. robustum as species.

Turning in the other direction, we find along the mountains from western Virginia and eastern Tennessee southward a series of slender-stemmed and narrow-leaved plants with flowers strikingly smaller than in typical S. gramineum. The perianths are either herbaceous or petaloid in texture, sometimes greenish or purplish, sometimes white, and the sepals and petals vary from lance-attenuate to linear-oblong and bluntish. The inflorescence is strongly suggestive of smaller panicles of typical $S$. gramineum. This plant evidently passes through its largerflowered individuals into the smaller-flowered extreme of $S$. gramineum. I am calling it var. micranthum (plate 1041).

[^89]Thus, from the coarse and relatively northern S. gramineum, var. robustum through the commoner and much wider-ranging true S. gramineum, thence on to the tiniest of the series, the southern var. micranthum, we have a confluent series, the two most extreme variations with distinctive areas of development. I see no way but to treat them as three geographic varieties. The material in the Gray Herbarium alone suggested three probable species. The large representation in the United States National Herbarium, the herbarium of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, and that of the New York Botanical Garden, for the use of which I am greatly indebted to Drs. Maxon, Pennell and Seaver, respectively, have destroyed all my illusions! As the best I can now do I propose the following definition of the three varieties.
a. Stem (dry) 4-10 mm. thick at lowest exposed internode; leaves rather crowded below, rapidly diminishing below panicle, firm to coriaceous, mostly opaque, the larger ones $4-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, their prominently raised ribs producing a corrugated surface; panicle lax, the branches distant, the flowers mostly subremote along the often flexuous branches; perianth $3-8(-10) \mathrm{mm}$. long; capsules ovoid-urceolate, $6-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, on spreading to reflexed pedicels; seeds $5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Stem 0.5-1.9 cm. high, $4-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick at base; perianth $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. ........................................... Stem $0.25-1 \mathrm{~m}$. high, $1.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick at base; perianth

a. Stem (dry) $7-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick at lowest exposed internode, up to 1.8 m . high; leaves crowded and numerous nearly up to panicle, thin and membranaceous, translucent, the larger ones $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, their ribs mostly immersed in the tissue; panicle usually dense, with flowers crowded along the stiffly ascending branches; perianth $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; capsules oblong-subcylindric, $9-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, crowded and ascending to horizontally spreading; seeds $5-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

Var. robustum.

S. gramineum (Ker) Morong, var. typicum. S. gramineum (Ker) Morong in Mem. Torr. Bot. Cl. v. 110 (1894). Helonias graminea Ker (Gawler) in Curtis's Bot. Mag. xxxix. t. 1599 (Nov. 1, 1813). Veratrum angustifolium Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. i. 242 (1814). Xerophyllum gramineum (Ker) Nutt. Gen. i. 236 (1818). Veratrum (subgen. Stenanthium) angustifolium (Pursh) Gray in Ann. Lyc. N. Y. iv. 120 (1837). S. angustifolium (Pursh) Kunth, Enum. iv. 190 (1843). S. angustifolium, ${ }^{*} S$. gramineum (Ker) Kunth, 1. c. (1843).-Rich woods, thickets and borders of bottoms, northwestern Pennsylvania to Illinois and Missouri, south to southeastern Virginia, upland to northwestern

Florida and Alabama, and to Louisiana and eastern Texas. Plates 1037 and 1038.

Var. micranthum Fernald, supra.-Upland woods, western Virginia and eastern Tennessee to northwestern Florida and Alabama. 'Plate 1041.

Var. robustum (S. Watson) Fernald, supra. S. robustum S. Watson in Proc. Am. Acad. iv. 278 (1879).-Southeastern Pennsylvania, Maryland and District of Columbia to Indiana. Plates 1039 and 1040.

The Identity of Sisyrinchium angustifolium (Plates 1042-1044).-In 1865, in the 4th edition of his Manual, Asa Gray recognized one species of Sisyrinchium in eastern North America, the all-inclusive S. Bermudiana L., with two varieties: var. anceps (S. anceps Cav.) with "broadly winged scape" and var. mucronatum (S. mucronatum Michx.) "with slender and narrowly winged scape". By the 6th edition of the Manual Watson (and Coulter), conscious that the continental North American plants are not the restricted S. Bermudiana of Bermuda, recognized two species: S. angustifolium Mill., with "Scape . . . simple, the spathe solitary and terminal", and S. anceps Cav. with "Scape . . . usually branching and bearing 2 or more peduncled spathes". Then came Bicknell's amazing revelation that in temperate North America there are very many morphologically and geographically segregated species, although Bicknell went much farther in his splitting than others have been able to follow. Nevertheless, in Atlantic North America Bicknell clearly differentiated at least a score of species which all careful students must recognize. So absorbed, however, was Bicknell in his newly discovered small world that he did not carefully trace to their sources some of the earlier-named plants.

One of his earliest papers, his Studies in Sisyrinchium-III: S. angustifolium and some related Species new and old, in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxvi. 335-349 (1899), started with the basic assumption that the identity of the first segregate from the Linnean all-inclusive S. Bermudiana was settled. His S. "angustifolium Mill.", with three synonyms (besides S. Bermudiana L. in part), S. gramineum Lam. (1783), S. anceps Cav. (1788) and S. montanum Greene (1899), was described as "stiff and erect ... Leaves . . . 1.5-2.5 mm. wide ( $1-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.) . . . : stems simple and leafless, or occasionally bearing a single leaf subtending 1 or 2
branches ..., , $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. or even 3 mm . wide, wing-margined . . . : spathes erect, green or sometimes purplish-tinged; outer bract $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, surpassing the inner one $1.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$., rarely less than twice its length, . . . clasping for $2-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. at base . . . ; interior scales silvery white, narrow, usually about half the length of the inner bract: flowers 1-8, violet-blue; perianth $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; . . . pedicels erect or nearly so, $17-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, shorter or slightly longer than the inner bract: capsules $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, . . . pale, but often clouded with brownish-purple".
Then Bicknell continued: "This species is far more widely distributed than any other one of its genus, ranging from Newfoundland and New Jersey to Saskatchewan and Montana and southward along the eastern mountains to Virginia [only Mountain Lake, 4000 ft . alt. cited] and in the west to southern Colorado". In his introductory paragraph Bicknell had said: "The common Blue-eyed Grass of the eastern states, Sisyrinchium angustifolium Miller, may be taken as representative of a section of the genus Sisyrinchium, embracing those species having simple leafless stems with terminal spathes . . . the simplestemmed species are, as a group, of more northern and alpine distribution than those which develop pedunculate spathes from one or more leaf-bearing nodes, while, on the other hand, the species having a definitely compound system of branching are all distinctive southern".

Thus was set up for all of us, who have found it easier to follow the course of least resistance, a standard misconception of what Miller was supposed to have meant by Sisyrinchium angustifolium, what Lamarck had as S. gramineum and Cavanilles as $S$. anceps, although Miller and Cavanilles had described or shown the spathes as peduncled and all had cited or illustrated plants with peduncles, and with pedicels far from "erect or nearly so, . . . shorter or slightly longer than the inner bract" of Bicknell's S. "angustifolium".

Starting with Sisyrinchium angustifolium Miller, Gard. Dict. ed. 8 , sp. no. 2 (1768), we get a brief account of a plant cultivated in England, with peduncles and small pale blue flowers:
2. Sisyrinchium (Angustifolia) foliis lineari-gladiolatis pedunculis longioribus [than in the preceding S. Bermudiana]. Sisyrinchium with linear sword-shaped leaves, and longer foot-stalks to the flower [longer than in true S. Bermudiana]. Bermudiana graminea, flore minore caeruleo.

Hort. Elth. 49. Grass-leaved Bermudiana with a smaller blue flower.
The second sort grows naturally in Virginia; this hath a perennial fibrous root, from which arise many very narrow spear-shaped leaves about three inches long, and scarce an eighth part of an inch broad, of a light green colour, and entire. The stalks rise about three inches high; they are very slender, compressed and bordered like those of the first [S. Bermudiana], and have short, narrow, sword-shaped leaves, whose base embrace them; they are terminated by two small pale blue flowers, inclosed in a two-leaved sheath, standing upon longer foot-stalks than those of the other.

The identification by Miller of his Sisyrinchium angustifolium with long peduncles and small pale blue flowers on "longer" foot-stalks, with the plant described and illustrated by Dillenius as cultivated in the English gardens in 1732, has real significance, although Bicknell did not note it, just as he apparently did not even read Miller's description in Gard. Dict. ed. 8 (1768), the first place of publication of the binomial $S$. angustifolium. Instead, Bicknell started S. angustifolium from the polynomial ed. 7 (1759), where neither the name nor the adjective angustifolium is found. Here is what Miller gave in 1759:
2. Sisybinchium foliis lineari-gladiolatis, pedunculis longioribus. Sisyrinchium with linear Sword-shaped Leaves, and longer Foot Stalks to the Flower. This is the Bermudiana graminea, flore minore caeruleo. Hort. Elth. 49. Grass-leaved Bermudiana with a smaller blue Flower.

This nonbinomial account, which alone was cited by Bicknell, was followed by the same statement as in ed. 8 , that the plant "grows naturally in Virginia", etc.

Now, if we turn to the basic treatment by Dillenius, in his Hortus Elthamensis, 49, t. XLI, fig. 49 (1732) we find his Bermudiana graminea, flore minore caeruleo described, not merely as "three inches high", as evidently misquoted by Miller, but up to a foot high: "Planta est palmaris, dodrantalis \& pedalis"; the leaves full green ("folia . . . saturanter viridia"); the stem 2forked ("Caulis . . . in summitate bifariam dividatur"). The plate shows as fig. 48 a plant of true Sisyrinchium Bermudiana, with erect and but slightly exserted pedicels, as described by Bicknell for S. angustifolium sensu Bickn., not Mill.; and as fig. 49 (our plate 1042, fig. 1) Bermudiana graminea, flore minore caeruleo, two plants, the taller 1.6 dm . high and with forked scape, the other with simple scape. Both show narrow sepals and petals
$5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and, most important of all, slender fruiting pedicels reflexed or recurving from the spathes, quite as in S. graminoides Bicknell, Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiii. 133, pl. 263 (1896), for Bicknell's plate (our plate 1043, figs. 1 and 2) shows bifurcate and simple stems from the same base, quite as did that of Dillenius, and 2-4 arched to recurving elongate pedicels, while his description of his S. graminoides contains the following items: plant 6 inches to 2 feet high (up to a foot acc. to Dillenius), green or somewhat glaucous (full green acc. to Dillenius); leaves and stem "mostly $11 / 2$ " 2 " wide" (Dillenius showed them approximately the same, $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$.$) ; "Stem dividing . . . into two . . .$ branches. Not infrequently simple and leafless scapes rise among the normally branched ones, simulating the stem of $S$. angustifolium" (Dillenius said "Caulis . . . in summitate bifariam dividatur" but, like Bicknell, showed also a simple stem); "Capsules . . . disposed to be spreading or even recurved on slender pedicels" (Dillenius showed them definitely so), not erect or nearly so as shown in S. angustifolium sensu Bicknell (our plate 1043, fig. 3), not Miller.

It is fortunate that Dillenius gave a good illustration of Sisyrinchium graminoides Bicknell (as Bermudiana, flore minore caeruleo), for in his discussion Dillenius refers to it, as a "figura ...vitiosa exhibita", the Sisyrinchium caeruleum parvum gladiato caule Virginianum of Plukenet, Phyt. t. lxi. fig. 1 (our plate 1042, fig. 3), for the latter figure, although "vitiosa" for the Dillenian species, was a good one of the common Virginian $S$. mucronatum Michx.!, the type of which I studied in 1903 and which is definitely the plant we now know under that name.

Next among the older species included by Bicknell in his usually simple- and winged-stemmed and relatively northern Sisyrinchium "angustifolium", with erect or nearly erect short pedicels is S. gramineum Lam. Encycl. i. 408 (1783). Lamarck gave a clear description of a Virginian plant which can hardly be waved aside, as one might be tempted to do, and he also gave as synonyms the conventional $S$. Bermudiana var. a L., which included at least the Dillenian plant, already discussed, and the Plukenet illustration (our plate 1042, fig. 3) of a Virginian plant, which is evidently $S$. mucronatum Michx. (1803). That Lamarck in 1783 had before him a really new species is possible,
from his description. That it was the plant of Plukenet's t. 61, fig. 1 is seemingly apparent and that it was the characteristic plant, common at least in eastern Virginia, which in 1803 Michaux described from Pennsylvania as S. mucronatum seems possible from Lamarck's very clear description:

1. Bermudienne graminée, Sisyrinchium gramineum. Sisyrinchium. caule simplici alato, spathis inaequalissimis flores superantibus. N. Sisyrinchium angustifolium. Mill. Dict. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 2. Sisyrinchium caeruleum parvum, gladiato caule, Virginianum. Pluk. Alm. 348. t. 61. f. I. Bermudiana graminea, flore minore caeruleo. Dill. Elth. 49. t. 41. f. 49. Sisyrinchium Bermudiana. Lin. var. a.

Les feuilles de cette plante sont très-étroites, linéaires, graminées, lisses ou sans nervures bien remarquables, \& s'engainent à leur base par le côté, comme celles des Iris: les tiges sont presque filiformes, simples pour l'ordinaire, comprimées, bordées dans leur longueur de deux petites ailes ou membranes courantes, \& hautes de six ou sept pouces. Chaque tige est terminée par deux écailles spathacées, fort inégales, l'extérieure étant une fois plus longue que l'autre, \& dépassant toujours les fleurs, qui sont petites, bleuâtres, \& communément au nombre de deux. Cette plante croit naturellement dans la Virginie; on la cultive au Jardin du Roi. 24. (v, v.)

In Virginia the only species of Sisyrinchium known with consistently unforking stems are S. "angustifolium" sensu Bicknell, already somewhat discussed, and in Virginia definitely montane; S. mucronatum Michx., frequent; and the southern and capillaryleaved $S$. capillare Bicknell, a very rare and very distinct species with paired sessile spathes, of flat pineland or bog of the Coastal Plain, only twice found in the southeasternmost counties. That S. gramineum of Lamarck could have been S. mucronatum is evident from the slender ("almost filiform") stems bordered by 2 little wings; whereas, if it were S. "angustifolium" sensu Bicknell, which is less likely to have reached the Jardin du Roi from Virginia, we should expect less emphasis on the slenderness. Whether Lamarck had either of these becomes, perhaps fortunately, unimportant, since he cited as an unquestioned synonym S. angustifolium Mill. Dict. no. 2. By the International Rules Lamarck's $S$. gramineum is, therefore, illegitimate because (Art. $60^{1}$ ) "there was a valid name for the group to which it was applied, with its particular circumscription, position and rank". Thus the identity of the plant actually described by Lamarck becomes an academic question only. S. mucronatum Michx., published without entangling bibliography, stands.

Returning to Bicknell's enumeration of supposed synonyms of his S. "angustifolium" we next come to S. anceps Cav. Diss. vi. 345 , t. cxc, fig. 2 (1788), and we almost repeat the story. This plant (our plate 1042, fig. 2), "Habitat in Virginia", described "Caule simplici ancipite saepius aphyllo", was shown as either simple or with a fork at the axil of the cauline leaf, just as in the Dillenian plate of S. angustifolium and in Bicknell's plate (our plate 1043, figs 1 and 2) of his S. graminoides, while the longexserted pedicels are too suggestive of Bicknell's illustration of his S. graminoides. Here, then, is the same old plant of European gardens, S. angustifolium Mill. (not sensu Bicknell) and, to cap the climax, Cavanilles calmly gave as the same as his reputedly new $S$. anceps the following synonyms: S. Bermudiana var. $\alpha$ L.; S. gramineum Lam.; S. angustifolium Mill., the pre-Linnean $S$. caeruleum parvum, gladiato caule, virginianum of Plukenet, Alm. 348, t. 61, fig. 1, and the much discussed Bermudiana graminea, flore minore coeruleo of Dillenius. Then, for full measure, Cavanilles added a new synonym: "S. Minus. R. H. P. [Roy. Hort. Paris, i. e. Jardin du Roi, whence Lamarck got his material of S. gramineum]". Thus, $S$. anceps started off with all the previously published names for the North American plants encumbering it; and by the same Rule which invalidates the name $S$. gramineum Lam. the name $S$. anceps is also illegitimate.

The last name cited by Bicknell as synonymous with his -Sisyrinchium "angustifolium" is S. montanum Greene, Pittonia, iv. 33 (1899), described from the mountains of Colorado. Here, at last, is a resting-place for the commonest and most widespread species of the genus in Canada and the Northern States, but, even so, the common plant of the East and Northeast cannot really rest. Most of the Northeastern plants have the leaves and stem full green, the green or purple-suffused capsules becoming dull brown or greenish-purple, when ripe changing to almost blackish (plate 1044, fig. 3). Greene's S. montanum, an isotype shown in our plate 1044, figs. 1 and 2, is pale or whitishgreen, with the capsules whitish-brown or pale-stramineous. In the East it occurs along the limy valleys of the Gaspé Peninsula and Anticosti; it is also in western New York, along the Ottawa River, on the limestone of Bruce Peninsula and in northern Michigan and Minnesota. So far as I can find there is
no published name for the common deeper green and darkerfruited and not prevailingly calcicolous eastern plant.

To summarize, the species of Sisyrinchium here specially discussed are

Sisyrinchium angustifolium Mill. Dict. ed. 8, 2 (1768), based largely, if not wholly on Bermudiana graminea, flore minore caeruleo Dill. Hort. Elth. 49, t. XLI, fig. 49 (1732). S. Bermudiana L. Sp. Pl. 954 (1753) at least as to citation of Dillenius. S. foliis lineari-gladiolatis, pedunculis longioribus Mill. Gard. Dict. ed. 7, no. 2 (1759). S. gramineum Lam. Encycl. i. 408 (1783) in part. S. anceps Cav. Diss. vi. 345, t. cxc, fig. 2 (1788). Ferraria pulchella Salisb. Prodr. 42 (1796), in part, as based on $S$. anceps Cav. (illegitimate substitute). S. graminoides Bicknell in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiii. 133, plate 263 (1896). Our plates 1042, figs. 1 and 2, and 1043, figs. 1 and 2.
S. montanum Greene, Pittonia, iv. 33 (1899). Plate 1044, figs. 1 and 2. S. angustifolium sensu Bicknell in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxvi. 336 (1899), in part only. S. septentrionale Bicknell in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxvi. 452 (1899), the slenderest extreme.Plant pale green, not much if at all darkened in drying; capsules whitish-brown or pale-stramineous, not becoming blackish in age.-Calcareous and other mountains and river-gravels and openings, western Newfoundland to Mackenzie and northern British Columbia, south to Anticosti Island and Gaspé Peninsula, Quebec, southern Ontario, western New York, Michigan, northern Indiana, northern Illinois, Iowa, Nebraska, Colorado, Utah and southern British Columbia. All material I have seen from Michigan and Manitoba northwestward and westward belongs here. Characteristic eastern specimens (selected from a larger series) are: Newfoundland: dry limy barrens, upper slopes and tablelands, alt. 200-300 m., Table Mountain, Port-au-Port Bay, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 4252, Fernald \& St. John, no. 10,814 (both as $S$. septentrionale). Quebec: Anticosti I., graviers calcaires, many colls., from Victorin \& Rolland-Germain (some of them with Louis-Marie) : no. 20,333 (Rivière Vaureal), 20,334 (R. au Saumon), 20,335 (R. à la Patate), 24,233 (R. Jupiter), 24,234 and 27,108 (Pointe Sud-Ouest), 27,109 (R. Chicotte), 27,110 (R. Dauphine), 27,111 (R. Natiskotek), 27,112 (R. McKane), 27,113 (R. du Brick) ; alluvium, banks of Grand River, Gaspé Co., June 30-July 3, 1904, Fernald; alluvial thicket between the Forks and Brulé Brook, Little Cascapedia River, Bonaventure Co., July 29 and 30, 1904, Collins, Fernald \& Pease (Pease, no. 4971); alluvium of Little Cascapedia R., Collins \& Fernald, no. 56; Rivière Petite Cascapedia, Victorin, Rolland \& Jacques, no. 33,781 ; alluvium, Grand Cascapedia River, July 12-15, 1905,

Williams, Collins \& Fernald; alluvium of Bonaventure River, Bonaventure Co., Aug. 5, 6 and 8, 1904, Collins, Fernald \& Pease (Pease, no. 5780); gravelly beach, Carleton Point, Carleton, July 21, 1904, Collins \& Fernald; dry calcareous sand back of beach, Tracadigash Point, Carleton, Fernald \& Weatherby, no. 2426; sur le barachois, Carleton, Victorin, Rolland \& Jacques, no. 33,576; Chicoutimi, Saguenay River, Aug. 3, 1892, Kennedy. New York: low field $21 / 2$ miles northwest of Waterloo, Seneca Co., Wiegand, Eames \& Randolph, no. 11,801; low meadow, Victor, Ontario Co., House, no. 17,489. Ontario: east of Davis Swamp, Ottawa, J. Macoun, no. 86,675; Casselman, J. Macoun, no. 4387, crevices and talus of hornblendic cliffs and ledges, Cloche Peninsula, Manitoulin Distr., Fernald \& Pease, no. 3244; dry limestone flats, Cloche Peninsula, Fassett, no. 14,570; Hay Bay, Tobemory, Bruce Peninsula, Krotkov, no. 7281; open glade, Jack Fish, Thunder Bay Distr., Pease \& Bean, no. 23,547; Sleeping Giant, Thunder Bay Distr., Taylor, Losee \& Bannan, no. 915.
S. montanum, var. crebrum, var. nov. (tab. 1044, fig. 3) foliis saturante viridibus; capsulis viridibus vel purpurascentibus deinde plus minusve atrobrunneis.-Foliage and stem deep green, drying dark or blackish; capsules green or purple-tinged, in age fuscous-brown or blackish.-Southwestern Greenland; Newfoundland to Ontario, south to Nova Scotia, New England and Pennsylvania, and mts. to western Virginia (according to Bicknell). Type from Clark's Point, Southwest Harbor, Maine, August 17, 1890, Edward L. Rand (in Herb. Gray.).

In addition to the type the following, from twenty times as many sheets, are cited as characteristic. Greenland: Nünatàrssüak, $65^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 49^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$., August, 1932, J. Iversen. Newfoundland: by rill on steep siliceous slope of Joan Plains Hill, Bay Bulls, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,528; dry soil in fields, near Topsail, Conception Bay, Howe \& Lang, no. 1219; Glenwood, Fernald \& Wiegand, nos. 5196 and 5198; dry burned crests north and east of Tilt Cove, $F$ \& $\&$., no. 5199; Grand Falls, $F$. \& W., no. 5195; Port Saunders, F. \& W., no. 3094; Cow Head, $\dot{F}$. \& ${ }^{2}$., no. 3091; river-gravel, mouth of McKenzie River, Bonne Bay, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 1531; Lark Harbor, F.L. \& F ., no. 188. Quebec: Natashquan, St. John, no. 90,327, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 28,543; Cap de l'Est, Anticosti, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 27,105; graviers de platières, Rivière la Loutre, Victorin \& Rolland, no. 24,235; La Madeleine, Gaspé Co., Rousseau, no. 31,099; Mont-Saint-Pierre, Gaspé Co., Victorin, Rolland \& Jacques, no. 33,229; peaty headland, Cap au Renard, Gaspé Co., Fernald \& Pease, no. 24,968; Isle-aux-Courdes, Victorin, no. 4192; Lac St.-Jean, Victorin, no. 15,957; Ile-auxBasques, Co. de Temiscouata, Victorin, Rolland \& Jacques, no.

33,042; sable, nord de Mont-Laurier, Senneterre, Victorin, Rolland \& Dominique, no. 204. Magdalen Islands: dry sandy field, Wolf Island (Pointe du Loup), Fernald, Bartram \& Long, no. 7214. Prince Edward Island: dry clearings, Alberton, Fernald \& St. John, no. 7213. New Brunswick: dry gravelpavement, Belledune Point, Fernald \& Pease, no. 24,969; sandy shore, Bathurst, S. F. Blake, no. 5387; damp place in field, Deep Cove, Grand Manan, C. A. \& Una F. Weatherby, no. 5530. Nova Scotia: Barrasois River, Cape Breton, G. E. Nichols, no. 611 ; gravelly beach, Guysborough, Rousseau, no. 35,352 ; gravelly beach of Third Lake, Windsor Junction, Fernald \& Long, no. 20,806; seepy banks and moist fields, Yarmouth, Bissell, Pease, Long \& Linder, no. 20,793. Maine: dry fields, Blaine, Fernald, no. 2493; Peaked Mt., Clifton, Aug. 22, 1897, Fernald; roadside, Newry, Pease, no. 28,376; dry pasture, Roque Bluffs, Aug. 1, 1911, C. H. Knowlton; boggy meadow, Harriman Point, Brooklin, A. F. Hill, no. 1347; old orchard, Lincolnville, G. B. Rossbach, no. 148; Blackstrap Hill, Falmouth, Bissell \& Chamberlain, no. 373; York Harbor, Aug. 25, 1896, Bicknell. New Hampshire: dry pasture, Martín Location, Coös Co., Pease, no. 14,251; Durham, Hodgdon, no. 4000; Hookset, June 7, 1921, C. F. Batchelder. Vermont: field, Concord, Essex Co., Pease, no. 28,576; Wells River, Pease, no. 29,462; sand plain, Swanton, S. F. Blake, no. 3153; Manchester, M. A. Day, no. 171. Massachusetts: Essex, June 13, 1896, E.F. Williams; Groton, Harris \& Smith, no. 2678; Boxborough, Hubbard \& Torrey, no. T521; wet field, Stoughton, June 2, 1909, Kennedy; Plymouth, Fernald \& Hunnewell, no. 15,083; dry sandy oak barrens, Harwich, Fernald, no. 16,601; South Ashburnham, F. F. Forbes, no. 1085; dry gravelly bank, Ashfield, June 19, 1921, Churchill et al.; dry open soil, Middlefield, Fernald \& Long, no. 9262. Rhode Island: Cumberland, May 30, 1911, R. A. Ware; Middletown, May 30, 1908, E. F. Williams; Block Island, June 16, 1917, R. P. Marshall. Connecticut: dry field, Franklin, May 31, 1906, Woodward; moist field, South Britain, Blewitt, no. 624; wet meadow, Waterbury, Blewitt, no. 567; dry hill, Southington, L. Andrews, no. 489; Oxford, June 8, 1902, Harger; meadows, Stratford, June 5, 1898, Eames. New York: moist open field, Madrid, Phelps, no. 340; Canton, Phelps, no. 108; Fort Ann, July 15, 1917, Burnham; Oneida Castle, Maxon, no. 152; Caroline, Eames \& MacDaniels, no. 3801; Dryden, F. P. Metcalf, no. 2025. Pennsylvania: wooded roadside, Muncy Valley, Sullivan Co., Fosberg, no. 15,036; grass-flat in open woods, Leroy, Bradford Co., June 21, 1941, W. F. Westerfeld. Ontario: dry clearing, Temagami Forest, $\dot{W} . \dot{R}$. Watson, no. 6701; open field, Central Island, Toronto, June 18, 1936, R. J. Eaton; crevices of sandstone, Corbeil Point, Algoma Distr., T. M. C. Taylor et al., no. 705.

Some Orchids of the Manual Range (Plates 1045-1048).-
Habenaria clavellata (Michx.) Spreng., var. ophioglos-
soides, var. nov. (тab. 1046), a var. typica recedit folio infimo sessile, vix basi prolongato, ovale vel oblongo vel late oblanceolato $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. lato, $3-17 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo, longitudine bis vel etiam sexies majore quam latitudine.-Newfoundland and Côte Nord, Que., to western Ontario, south to Nova Scotia, New England, New Jersey, Michigan and northern Wisconsin. Type from Nova Scotia: boggy clearing near Porcupine Lake, Arcadia, Yarmouth Co., Sept. 2, 1920, Fernald \& Long, no. 20,839 (in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).

Typical Habenaria clavellata was based on Orchis clavellata Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 155 (1803) from Carolina. As shown by the Michaux type (our plate 1045, fig. 1), which, unfortunately, has the tip of the backward-folded leaf covered by the label, he had the characteristic Carolinian and broadly southern plant with the relatively narrow leaf tapering to a subpetiolar base, a full-length leaf from North Carolina shown as fig. 3. Typical $H$. clavellata has the single large leaf narrowly oblong, oblanceolate or spatulate, gradually tapering to a rather definite petiolar base, the leaf varying from 5.5 to 23 cm . long and $0.7-2.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad (one twelfth to one fifth as broad as long). All material in the Gray Herbarium from Florida westward to Louisiana and northward to Virginia and Tennessee is typical $H$. clavellata, while this extreme of the species pushes locally northward into the southern area of var. ophioglossoides.

The latter, var. ophioglossoides, has oval, oblong or broadly oblanceolate leaves rounded to tapering to an essentially sessile (not subpetiolar) base, the blade $3-17 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad (one sixth to one half as broad as long). It is, as a series,

## CORRECTION

> Contribution from Gray Herbarium, no. CLXII.

Through an error in reprinting, 10 lines at the bottom of p. 161 were omitted. The portion of the paragraph on p .161 is here reprinted and may be attached to the page.
more northern than typical $H$. clavellata, its dominance in the North indicated in the following check of specimens in the Gray Herbarium and that of the New England Botanical Club: from Newfoundland, typical $H$. clavellata 0, var. ophioglossoides, 21; Quebec, typical clav. 0, var. oph. 8; Prince Edward Island. typical clav. 0, var. oph. 4; New Bruxswick, typical clav. 0, transition 1, var. oph. 7, Nova Scotia, typical clav. 0, transition 1, var. oph. 23; Maine, typical clav. 0, transition 6, var. oph. 75 : New Hampshire, typical clav, and transition 8, var. oph. 42 : Vermont, typical clav. and transition 1, var. oph. 12; Massa-

Chusetts, typical clav. and transition 41, var. oph. 17; Rhode Island, typical clav. 7, var. oph. 1; Connecticut, typical clav. 6, var. oph. 0; New York, typical clav. 8, var. oph. 5; Ontario, typical clav. 0, var. oph. 6; Michigan, typical clav. 0, var. oph. 6 . Too little material is at hand from New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Ohio to Minnesota and Iowa to indicate the relative frequency of the two in those states; but the strong development southward of typical H. clavellata and northeastward of var. ophioglossoides is clear.

From the citation in Ames, Enum. Orchids U. S. and Canada, 44 (1924) one would infer that, in publishing the basic Orchis clavellata, Michaux assigned it earlier synonyms which might render his later name (of 1803) untenable. The first citation in the Enumeration, under synonymy of $H$. clavellata reads: "Orchis clavellata Michaux, Flora Boreali-americana, vol. 2, p. 155 (1803), exclude synonymy in part." Reference to Michaux's treatment shows, however, merely the description of the new species, with " $H_{A B}$. in Carolina." As originally published Orchis clavellata had no synonymy, so that the validity of the name is unblemished.

Orchis tridentata Muhl. ex Willd. Sp. Pl. iv. 41 (1805) from Pennsylvania, with "Folia caulina tria, infimum lanceolatoensiforme dodrantale" must be the typical relatively southern Habenaria clavellata, for the very narrow leaf "nine inches long" can apply only to that, and the material from Lancaster County before me is of typical $H$. clavellata.

> (To be continued)


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ Michaux's Flora Boreali-Americana was based on his own collections made during many years of pioneer botanical exploration in eastern North America, just as the Flora Virginica of Gronovius was based on collections made in Virginia by John Clayton, the latter book prepared with the collaboration of the young Linnaeus who, later. hased many binomials on the Clayton plants described by Gronovius. This is common knowledge, clearly stated in the two pioneer books. It is, therefore, a bit startling to read in the North American Flora, xxviiiBs, in the treatment of the Umbelliferae, items like the following: Ptilimnium capillaceum (Michx.) Raf., resting on Ammi capillaceum Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am., with "Type locality: 'In campestribus Carolinae', collector unknown"; or Thaspium trifoliatum (L.) Gray, resting on Thaspia trifoliata L., from Clayton, with Linnaeus citing "Gron. virg. 31", sald to have its type from ". "Virginia". collector unknown". Surely, the editors could have found out. Such entries are similar to the enumerations of states from which species are known, with the state from which the type came omitted.
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## CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERBARIUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY-NO. CLXII.

## IDENTIFICATIONS AND REIDENTIFICATIONS OF NORTH AMERICAN PLANTS

M. L. Fernald

(Continued from page 162)
Habenaria psycodes (L.) Spreng., forma varians (Bryan), stat. nov. Var. varians Bryan in Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. iv. 37, pl. 5, fig. B (1917).
H. fimbriata (Ait.) R. Br., forma mentotonsa, f. nov., labelli lobo terminali cuneato integro vel apice breviter eroso-dentato; petalis integris.-Maine: meadow, Hamilton Cove, Lubec, Washington County, August 2, 1909, Fernald, nos. 1662d (Type in Herb. Gray.), 1662e and 1662g.

Quite like typical Habenaria fimbriata but with entire petals and narrowly cuneate entire or obscurely short-dentate or erose terminal division of the lip. Entire petals are frequent in both H. fimbriata and the smaller-flowered $H$. psycodes, and the lip of H. psycodes, forma ecalcarata (Bryan) Dole is entire. At Hamilton Cove H. fimbriata, forma mentotonsa (with shaved chin) is relatively common, mixed with typical $H$. fimbriata, but I cannot follow Correll who, in Bot. Mus. Lfls. Harv. Univ. vii. 65 (1938), calls this plant the characteristic slender-racemed one with greenish-white or rose-tinted flowers, the hybrid of $H$. lacera (Michx.) Lodd. and H. psycodes, the always scanty and relatively insignificant plant known as $\times H$. Andrewsii Marcus White ex Niles, Bog-Trotting for Orchids, 258 with plate (1904).

Correll's vast aggregation of relatively typical Habenaria psycodes, H. fimbriata and H. lacera, var. terrae-novae Fern. in Rhodora, xxviii. 21 (1926) under the unsatisfactorily blanketing name $\times H$. Andrewsii can appeal to no field-botanist who for decades has known the various elements involved. Much Newfoundland $H$. lacera, var. terrae-novae is included under his remodeled $\times H$. Andrewsii, although no true $H$. lacera is found in Newfoundland, where its smaller-flowered var. terrae-novae occurs by thousands on boggy barrens, tundra and treeless alpine areas, almost always apart from $H$. psycodes of richer, often alluvial, thickets and meadows. On Sable Island, 100 miles out-to-sea off

Canso, Nova Scotia, the only Fringed-orchid is $H$. lacera, var. terrae-novae.

Very similarly, although Habenaria fimbriata, forma mentotonsa occurs in eastern Washington County, Maine, it is significant that in the many pigeonholes of Fringed-orchids in the Herbarium of the New England Botanical Club I can find neither $H$. lacera nor $H$. psycodes (parents of true $\times H$. Andrewsii) from that county. Both seem to stop their eastern extension in coastwise Maine in Hancock County, 70-90 miles to the southwest of Cutler.

Similarly, nine tenths of the specimens in the Gray Herbarium and that of the New England Botanical Club which have been annotated (some of them cited) as $\times$ Habenaria Andrewsii are characteristic H. fimbriata (including the type of $H$. fimbriata, forma albiflora Rand \& Redfield) or H. psycodes. $\times$ H. Andrewsii, as well as Fleur-de-lis, Blackberry blossoms, Yellow Clintonia, Indian Pipes, "white, innocent twigs of apple" and other non-orchidaceous plants, was illustrated in Bog-Trotting for Orchids. The life-size photograph shows racemes $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. thick; and the description calls for "Labellum about $1 / 3-1 / 2$ inch [ $8-12.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.] broad". In his very detailed account of H. psycodes $\times$ lacera, Andrews, in Rhodora, iii. 246 (1901), said: "Lower leaves as in H. lacera . . . , width to 3 cm . . . . Average width of lip about 12 mm . . . . cleft as in H. lacera . . . Glands of pollen-masses . . . elliptical or slightly kidney-shaped", and, on p. 247, "All in all the characteristics of the hybrid seem to show a stronger influence of $H$. lacera". The distinctive characters of $H$. lacera and of H. fimbriata, besides color and dissection of lip, include the following. H. lacera: largest lower leaves $1-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad; raceme $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. thick; perianth $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; lip 1-1.5 cm. long and broad, its terminal division cuneate into a very slender claw; glands of anther oblong-linear. H. fimbriata: largest lower leaves $2.5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad; raceme $5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter; perianth 9-12 mm. long; lip 1.5-2 cm. long, 1.8-3 cm. broad, its dilated terminal division short-stalked or subsessile; glands suborbicular. In all except the narrow and fringeless terminal division of its lip $H$. fimbriata, forma mentotonsa is very characteristic $H$. fimbriata, growing, as said, far from H. lacera or H. psycodes. In view of these many considerations it is toler-
ably certain that the great group of amateur and professional botanists who have assembled the large representation of $I I$. lacera, psycodes and fimbriata in the herbarium of the New England Botanical Club and in the Gray Herbarium, for the most part with correct identifications, have not all been wrong.

Cleistes divaricata (L.) Ames, var. bifaria, var. nov. (tab. 1048), var. typica recedit planta plerumque $1.5-5 \mathrm{dm}$. alta pedunculo $0.3-1.6 \mathrm{dm}$. longo; sepalis longioribus $3-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; petalis $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis.-Upland woods, mountain-crests and slopes, Cumberland Plateau and Mountains of Kentucky and Tennessee and Blue Ridge of western North and South Carolina, coming out to peats and pine barrens of the Coastal Plain from eastern North Carolina to Florida, thence to Louisiana. Map 2. ${ }^{1}$ Type from summit of Table-rock Mountain, Burke Co., North Carolina, July 2, 1891, Small \& Heller, no. 285 (Gray Herb., isotypes in several other herbaria). ${ }^{2}$

Arethusa divaricata L. Sp. Pl. 951 (1753), typonym of Cleistes divaricata (L.) Ames, Orchidaceae, vii. 21, pl. 108 (1922), was based on Serapias radicibus palmato-fibrosis, caule unifloro of Gronovius from Virginia (photograph before me) and upon Catesby's plate 58 of his Helleborine Lilii folio caulem ambiente, etc., represented as having an extraordinarily large flower (with sepals $6.4-7.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, petals $6-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and lip 7 cm . long). The Clayton material, shrunken by drying, is more modest, its dried and distorted sepals up to 4.2 cm . long, petals to 3.6 cm . and lip slightly over 4 cm . long. The Clayton material represents a small-flowered extreme of the plant which locally follows the Coastal Plain from southern New Jersey to northern Florida (map 1). The Catesby drawing is presumably exaggerated in size. I have had through the courtesy of the Curators the advantage of studying, besides that in the Gray Herbarium and the Ames Herbarium, all the material at the United States National Herbarium, the New York Botanical Garden, the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia and the Brooklyn Botanic Garden. These collections show that there are two
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Map 1, Range of typical Cleistes divaricata; map 2, of var. bifaria.
rather strongly defined varieties passing as Cleistes divaricata. The plant which is confined to the Coastal Plain, northward to southern New Jersey but in the South not found west of northern Florida, seems to be true C. divaricata. This plant (our plate 1047) in its best development is usually 4 or 5 dm . high, the whole series ranging from 2.2-7.2 (av. 4.5) dm. high, while the peduncle (between the base of the median leaf and the floral bract is $0.9-2$ (av. 1.5) dm. long. The median leaf ranges from $6.5-15$ (av. 10) cm . long; the lateral sepals $4-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; petals 3.5-5 (by Catesby shown up to 7 ) cm . long and $8-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. broad; the ovary and stipe during anthesis 2.5-4.5 (av. 3.25) cm. long.

Throughout much of the Southeast, from Florida to eastern North Carolina, west to Louisiana, chiefly on the Coastal Plain, and inland on the Blue Ridge (up to open summits) to North Carolina and on the Cumberland Plateau and Mountains of Tennessee and Kentucky, the plant is generally smaller in most parts, var. bifaria (from its two areas of development). In var. bifaria (plate 1048) the stem is rarely 6.5 dm . high, usually ranging from $1.5-5 \mathrm{dm}$., with the peduncle $0.3-1.6$ (av. 1) dm . long and the median leaf 3.5-13 (av. 7.6) cm . long. Its flower is conspicuously smaller, though sometimes approaching that of var. typica, with longer sepals $3-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, petals only $2-3$
cm . long and $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, and ovary and stipe during anthesis 1.2-3.5 (av. 2.6) cm. long.

Occurring on the ancient Cumberland Mountains and Plateau and along the ancient Blue Ridge, var. bifaria seems to be the biological type of the species, which, on withdrawal of the Cretaceous and then the Tertiary seas from the country to the east and south, largely moved out to the Coastal Plain. There, in new environment, it has given rise to the larger-flowered extreme (nomenclatural type of the species) which has followed locally northward to southern New Jersey.

As indicating the confusion heretofore of typical Cleistes divaricata of the Atlantic Coastal Plain and var. bifaria of the southern Atlantic and the Gulf Coastal Plain, as well as the mountains, there is a sheet in the Britton Herbarium, originally in the herbarium of the late Professor Lewis R. Gibbes of the College of Charleston, South Carolina, labeled in the hand of Dr. John K. Small as from "Flat Rock, S. C.". This original label, which, like all original labels, would never be altered or written upon by those who fully respect original documents, was unfortunately altered by a later botanist, who knew the Carolina Mountains, to "N. C." instead of the original S. C., and the original label further desecrated by the misinformative addition "Henderson Co., N. C.". The specimen is of typical Atlantic Coastal Plain C. divaricata, which is apparently unknown in Henderson County or elsewhere on the Blue Ridge or on the Cumberland Plateau or Mountains. In view of the fact that Gibbes lived at Charleston and that there is another sheet of material with his original handwriting on the labels (one "Summerville, 20 May, 1859", the other "Flat Rock, 12 June, 1858. L. R. G.") it would seem that the specimen with unjustifiably altered label came from Flat Rock on Flat Rock Creek, which drains into Wateree River, a tributary of Santee River, in Kershaw County, on the Coastal Plain of South Carolina, north of Camden and northwest of Sumter and Charleston.

Calopogon pulchellus (Salisb.) R. Br., var. latifolius (St. John), stat. nov. Forma latifolius St. John in Proc. Bost. Soc. Nat. Hist. xxxvi. 69, pl. 1, fig. 4 (1921). Limodorum tuberosum, f. latifolium (St. John) House in Bull. N. Y. State Mus. no. 243244: 51 (1923), as to name only. Cathea pulchella, f. latifolia (St. John) House, l. e. no. 254: 244 (1924), as to name only.

The original material is much more than a broad-leaved extreme of Calopogon pulchellus, a species which, even in the same area, may have the leaf varying from narrowly linear and only $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide up to lanceolate or lance-oblong and up to 2 cm . wide, while very extreme and gigantic plants (up to 9.75 dm . high), may have the leaf up to $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad. In this typical $C$. pulchellus, either very narrow- or very broad-leaved, the leaf is usually solitary and much shorter than the elongate scape. The type of var. latifolius has the leaves often paired and broadly lance-oblong to narrowly oblong-ovate, only twice to six times as long as broad and greatly overtopping the very short scape, while its heavily dark-coated tuber is much larger ( 2 cm . thick) than in any typical C. pulchellus I have ever seen. The type is past flowering, but other material, also from Sable Island, is flowering. This is narrower-leaved and has either paired or single leaves, although its scape is much shorter than to barely overtopping the leaf or leaves; furthermore, some material from the Magdalen Islands is stongly transitional to var. latifolius. This plant of Sable Island and, less typically, of the Magdalen Islands is not the Newfoundland Limodorum tuberosum, var. nanum Nieuwland in Am. Midl. Nat. iii. 130 (1913). The latter is merely typical Calopogon pulchellus at its bleak northern limit, $0.7-2 \mathrm{dm}$. high, with scape much overtopping the leaf, the raceme reduced to 1-4 flowers, merely the smallest extreme of the species, just as plants of southeastern Virginia 6-9.75 dm. (pretty close to 1 m .) high, with the leaf $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad and the $10-20$ flowers $4-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, are the largest. The paired and short leaves and the large tuber of the type of var. latifolius give the plant (past flowering), as shown in St. John's figure, the aspect of Liparis!

Spiranthes tuberosa Raf., var. Grayi (Ames), comb. nov. S. Grayi Ames in Rhodora, vi. 44 (1904). S. simplex Gray, Man. ed. 5: 506 (1867), not Griseb. Fl. Brit. W. Ind. 641 (1864).

As noted by me in Rhodora, xlviii. 6 and 10 (1946), the name Spiranthes tuberosa Raf., Herb. Raf. 45 (1833) antedates by seven years the name S. Beckii Lindley (1840), the latter name currently used for the very slender and tiny-flowered plant which Ames correctly, except for the overlooked S. tuberosa, named $S$. Grayi in 1904. It is fortunate, at least, to be able to dismiss the
name S. Beckii, for Lindley made a sad mess of his original publication of it in his Genera and Species of Orchidaceous Plants, 472 (Sept. 1840). There, in the fashion of many British botanists of his day (and too often of the present day), he chose the British use of the name S. gracilis, rather than the earliest use of it. Consequently, he took up S. gracilis, as of Hook. (we now would say sensu Hook.), Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 202, t. 203 (1839), with the synonymy copied directly from Hooker. Hooker mis-cited the combination as starting in Bigelow, Fl. Bost. ed. 2: 322 (182t), Bigelow having called it Neottia gracilis. Hooker cited his $S$. gracilis (Bigel.) Hook. as having the "HAB. Canada; and Lake Huron ( $D r$. Todd) to Fort Franklin, on the Mackenzie River. Dr. Richardson. Drummond" and his plate beautifully showed the Canadian S. lacera (Raf.) Raf., 1. c. 44 (1833), discussed and illustrated by me in Rhodora, l. c. 5-9, pl. 993 (1946). Lindley, maintaining S. gracilis sensu Hooker (1839), assumed that Drummond, who actually explored northward to northern Canada, had collected it much farther south, consequently he interpreted the Drummond citation given by Hooker as meaning "Louisiana", then for good measure he added "etiam in Bahamis"! s. gracilis (Bigelow) Beck, Bot. 333 (1833) and S. gracilis (Bigelow) [sensu] Hooker (1839), although two different species so far as the plants are concerned, both go back nomenclaturally to the same type.

Having thus temporarily saved the name Spiranthes gracilis sensu Hooker (1839), Lindley's next problem was to dispose of the earlier S. gracilis (Bigelow) Beck (1833). That was quickly accomplished by renaming the latter S. Beckii Lindl. 1. c. (1840), with the additional synonyms Neottia tortilis [sensu] Elliott (1822) [not Swartz (1800)], and Limodorum praecox Walt. (1788) basis of S. praecox (Walt.) S. Watson (1890). Nomenclaturally alone the name $S$. Beckii Lindl. is doubly illegitimate. If it was, as he said, the same as the earlier S. gracilis (Bigelow) Beck he should have used the latter name for it; if, however, it was also the same as Limodorum praecox Walt. (1788) Lindley should have retained this specific name. Taxonomically, furthermore, $S$. Beckii Lindl. was as hopeless a muddle as could be imagined, for it was concocted from elements of several different species. Limodorum praecox Walt., originally described with fibrous roots
and ensiform leaves ("radicibus fibrosis, foliis ensiformibus") etc., is a plant with long and mostly linear firm leaves extending up the stem, the relatively coarse spike with heavily pubescent rachis, bracts and ovaries, the perianth $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, etc., the perianth of $S$. tuberosa being only $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Nevertheless, Lindley described his S. Beckii as "perfectly glabrous. The flowers are very minute . . . S. glaberrima, foliis omnibus radicalibus anguste ovalibus" etc. If, furthermore, it were $N$. tortilis sensu Elliott, it would be very difficult to reconcile Lindley's description with Elliott's "foliis radicalibus linearibus . . . Stem pubescent towards the summit. Leaves . . . of the root linear lanceolate, nine to ten inches long . . . Bracteal leaves pubescent" etc. In view of the vertical, finger-like, usually solitary tuber of $S$. tuberosa (" $S$. Beckii" of most recent authors) it is illuminating that Lindley knew nothing of this character nor did those authors with whose descriptions he associated his name. Furthermore, since his S. Beckii was "perfectly glabrous" as is S. tuberosa, it is significant that Lindley said in his Latin diagnosis "ovario puberulo", a character belonging to S. praecox. The "lip [with] . . . a remarkably lax cellular texture" applies to S. tuberosa, but the description and cited synonyms otherwise are so confused that it is certainly fortunate that the name given by Lindley is illegitimate. ${ }^{1}$

Spiranthes tuberosa consists of two strongly marked geo-

[^91]graphic variations. Essentially all the material in the Gray Herbarium and that of the New England Botanical Club from New England, forty-five collections, has a relatively close spike with closely spiralling and often crowded and overlapping flowers, as in the type of S. simplex Gray, not Grisebach. This plant varies from $0.7-3 \mathrm{dm}$. (farther south to 4.5 dm .) in height, and its vertical tuber is thick and finger-like, usually solitary. This, as said, is the plant described by Gray as S. simplex and correctly renamed by Ames S. Grayi. All- the material in the Gray Herbarium from the southernmost states, from Florida to eastern Texas, north to South Carolina, has the spike strongly secund, without or with few spiral twists in the rachis and the relatively few flowers distant and not overlapping. From North Carolina to New Jersey both variations, with some transitions, occur, the plant often reaching a height of 5.25 dm ., while its roots are usually more slender and not infrequently 2 or even 3 . This is true S. tuberosa Raf. which was described with "spic. gracilis vix spiralis secunda . . . pedal."

Dr. Schubert has made dissections of flowers from several specimens of each extreme and, while each series shows some variation in the degree of toothing and shape of the lip, there appears to be nothing constant except the relatively dense and strongly spiralling spike and usually thicker tuber to separate var. Grayi from the usually more southern typical $S$. tuberosa.

Gray's Spiranthes simplex, type of S. Grayi and of S. tuberosa, var. Grayi, had "scape . . . bearing a small narrow (rarely 1 -sided) spike of very short flowers (perianth $1^{\prime \prime}-1 \frac{1}{2} 2^{\prime \prime}$ long)". It came from "E. Mass. (Nantucket, Dr. Robbins), New Jersey (C.F. Austin, \&c.), and Delaware, Wm. M. Canby." Gray's original sheet contains the Nantucket material from Robbins, which is the dense-spiked S. tuberosa, var. Grayi; a series of six quite similar plants collected by himself ("\&c.") in the pine barrens of New Jersey (the Austin material evidently not retained by him), and three characteristic plants (one of them misplaced by the mounter) with the "rarely 1 -sided" spike from Canby, but marked as from "Salisbury, Maryland" (not "Delaware"), this Canby material being of typical S. tuberosa.

Corallorhiza, not Corallorrhiza.-From the first edition of Gray's Manual (1848) through the 6th edition (1890) the saprophytic woodland Coral-roots were rightly called Corallorhiza, although the genus was ascribed to Haller, whose definition of it was prior to 1753, in his Enum. Meth. Stirp. Helvet. i. 278 (1742), Haller, who went back to Ruppius, then spelling the generic name Corallorhiza. In the 7th edition of Gray's Manual (the Orchidaceae revised by Professor Oakes Ames) Haller was bracketed as the author prior to 1753 , the post-Linnean author given as Robert Brown; and the spelling was changed to Corallorrhiza. Although Robert Brown was there and in the later compendium of Ames, his Enum. Orch. U. S. and Can. 21 (1924), made the first post-Linnean author of the genus, Brown himself had cited the genus as starting after 1753 in Haller's Hist. Stirp. Helvet. ii. 159 (1768). That was correct, so far as it went, and Haller in 1768 had adopted the better Greek spelling, Corallor-. rhiza. Brown gave the common circumboreal species the specific name C. innata R. Br. in Ait. Hort. Kew. ed. 2, v. 209 (1813).

In Gray's Manual, ed. 7, and in his Enumeration of 1924 Ames took up for the original species of the genus, the latter said by him to date from 1813, a binomial dating from 53 years prior to Brown's publication, a case of putting prophecy before history which has puzzled many students, for the genus Corallorhiza and its species $C$. trifida were both clearly and very adequately published in Chatelain's Specimen inaugurale de Corallorhiza in 1760 , the genus clearly diagnosed on p .6 , the species on p. 8 . Here, so far as I can find, is the initial date (after 1753) for both Corallorhiza and its original species, C. trifida, which was based on Ophrys Corallorhiza L. (1753). We thus get rid of the situation wherein a binomial seems to have been published 53 years earlier than the genus under which it was placed; but, at the same time, we can return to the long-familiar spelling of the generic name, since, by the International Rules of Nomenclature, the original spelling (in this case of Haller in 1742 as well as of Chatelain in 1760) must stand ${ }^{1}$. The correction of the first post-

[^92]Linnean author of the genus (but incorrectly as Corallorrhiza) was made in Britton \& Brown, Ill. Fl. ed. 2, i. 574 (1913) but, singularly enough, in a work seeming to be authoritative, Schlechter's Monographie der Gattungen und Arten in Keller \& Schlechter, Monographie und Iconographie der Orchideen Europas und des Mittelmeergebietes, Fedde, Rep. Spec. Nov. Sonderbeiheft A, Lief. $9-10,302,303$ (1928), the anomaly again appears: the genus Corallorhiza here started from Robert Brown in 1813, but its single European species given as "1. C. trifida Chatel., Spec. inaug. Corall. (1760), p. 8"!

In current works on the flora of the northeastern United States the lip of Corallorhiza trifida is described as "white, not spotted" (Gray's Man. ed. 7), "lip unspotted" (Wiegand \& Eames, Fl. Cayuga Lake Basin), "lip usually pure white" (A. M. Fuller, Studies on the Fl. Wisc. Part I: The Orchids), etc.; though rarely in America it is described, as by Morris \& Eames (Our Wild Orchids), as "almost as often spotted as unspotted". Their discussion, however, shows that no distinction was being made between plants of North America and those of northern Eurasia and that they included Canada to the Arctic. In view of the usual lack of red or purple mottling of the lip in the United States and southernmost Canada it is worth noting that Chatelain, in his original account of European C. trifida, said "labellum
album, punctis coccineis notatum", while Schlechter, l. c., describing the European plant, says "die Petalen zuweilen rotpunktiert, Lippe weiss rotpunktiert".

In 1916, Cockerell in Torreya, xvi. 231, getting in Colorado the common plant of the United States, with "lip whitish", described it as Corallorhiza Corallorhiza coloradensis $n$. subsp., he then separating it because the true European plant, as shown by the enlarged figures of flowers published by H. Müller, has the throat "dotted with dark pigment". Almost a century earlier, however, Thomas Nuttall clearly understood the situation when he monographed our species in his Remarks on the Species of Corallorhiza, indigenous in the United States in Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. iii. 135-139, with plate (1823). Nuttall there defined his new C. "verna . . . petalis omnibus lineari-lanceolatis patentibus, labello oblongo immaculato basi bidentato apice recurvo ovato calcare obsoleto innata . . . whole plant except the lip, of a
yellowish-green colour . . . Lip nearly white, without spots", etc. This species, C. innata in the sense of Muhlenberg, Amos Eaton and Nuttall's Genera, was based primarily on material from New England and in his "Observation" Nuttall wrote: "Mr. Eaton justly remarks the discrepancy of this plant with the species which I had erroneously considered the Corallorhiza innata of Europe"; but, pursued by the fatality which so often confuses those who attempt clarification, Nuttall proceeded in his discussion to ascribe to his new eastern American C. verna (which he had just correctly defined as "whole plant except the lip, of a yellowish-green colour . . . three outer petals lanceolatelinear spreading; the two inner . . . [of] nearly the same figure and colour. Lip nearly white, without spots, . . . the point ovate") the distinctive characters of European C. innata! These were given (with obvious lapse or omission of a phrase) in his observation where he said of his new species: "It differs also from the European . . . principally in the oblong ovate form and whiteness of the inner lateral petals [characters of the European], also by the lip which is obtuse and spotted [the spots belonging to the European], and in the connivence of the two upper and outer petals with the inner [as shown in detailed figures of the European]".

Only by those who see no difference between the Eurasian and the more boreal North American plant, with connivent sepals forming a hood, blunt oblong white petals and round-tipped spotted lip, and the temperate American plant with lanceolate sepals, linear-lanceolate yellow-green petals, lip abruptly tipped and unspotted, Nuttall's confusion of the two in his "ObservaTION" will be applauded. By those who have carefully compared the two series it will be recognized that in the main the temperate North American plant with "Lip white, unspotted", is well separated from the Eurasian and Hudsonian North American C. trifida.

In 1926, reporting on explorations in northern Newfoundland of a party of New England and more southern botanists who were all familiar with the narrow-petalled plant with unspotted lip, I recorded from near the Straits of Belle Isle (a Hudsonian to Subarctic area) a plant which differed from what we had been considering to be true Corallorhiza trifida. "The plant which was
troubling us had more purple; . . . the sepals purple or brown; the lip larger and with long rows of purple dots below the marginal notches", etc.-Fernald in Rhodora, xxviii. 93 (1926). This plant was then identified with the original description and beautiful colored plate of the northern European C. ericetorum Drejer, a plant which is now generally considered an unimportant (small) phase of true C. trifida. Now, with the generous aid of Dr. Schubert in making dissections, it appears that the more northern plants in North America are true C. trifida, occurring from southern Greenland to Alaska (thence to northern Eurasia), south in tundra, peat, moss and peaty thickets or woods to northern Newfoundland, the Côte Nord of Quebec, shores of Hudson Bay, northern Ontario, and along the mountains to Wyoming and Oregon.

Meeting the southern limit of true Corallorhiza trifida in North America and sometimes overlapping it is the northernmost series of C.verna Nutt. Perfectly definite southward, the latter seems northward to show transitions, whether through crossing or otherwise, and its treatment as a relatively southern variety of the more boreal and typical C. trifida seems justified. It thus becomes

Corallorhiza trifida Chatelain, var. verna (Nutt.), comb. nov. C. verna Nutt. in Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. iii. 134 (1823). C. odontorhiza (Willd.) Nutt., ß. verna (Nutt.) Wood, Class-Bk. ed. 2: 531 (1847). C. innata R. Br., var. virescens Farr in Contrib. Bot. Lab. Univ. Pa. ii. 425 (1904). C. Corallorhiza, ssp. coloradensis Cockerell in Torreya, xvi. 231 (1916). C. trifida, var. virescens (Farr) Farwell in Papers Mich. Acad. Sci. xvi. pt. 1:9 (1941).-Details of flower well shown by A. M. Fuller, Bull. Pub. Mus. Milwaukee, xiv. no. 1, pl. 51 (as C. trifida) (1933).Dry to moist woods, sometimes in bogs, Newfoundland to British Columbia, south to Nova Scotia, New England, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, mountains to Georgia and Tennessee, Ohio, northern Indiana, Wisconsin, Missouri, South Dakota, Colorado and Oregon.

Details of flowers of typical Corallorhiza trifida are shown in many European works. A few specially accurate illustrations are the following: Reichenb. f., Ic. Fl. Germ. xiii-xiv. pl. 490 (1851) ; H. Müller, Alpenbl. 77, fig. 21 (1881); Knuth, Handb. Blütenbiol. ii. pt. 2: 456 (1899); Correvon, Album Orchid. pl. 9 (1899) ; Lindman, Svensk. Fanerogamfl. fig. 125, no. 6 (1918).

The largest-flowered species of Corallorhiza in temperate North America, C. striata Lindl., has a remarkably disrupted range: the Gaspé Peninsula; southwestern Quebec to western Ontario, south to northwestern New York and southern Ontario, Michigan, northern Wisconsin and northeastern Minnesota; southern Alberta and southern British Columbia, with tongues down the mountains to northwestern Wyoming, eastern Idaho and California; in the East preferring calcareous woodlands and growing chiefly at the bases of Thuja occidentalis. Throughout this broad range the plant (scape, sheaths and perianths) is of a warm madder-purple, with the sepals and 2 upper petals conspicuously 3 (or 2 )-striate with deep purple. At the easternmost limit of the range the stem, sheaths and perianth are yellow- or orangebrown, comparable with color-forms in C. maculata Raf. ${ }^{1}$ and $C$. odontorhiza (Willd.) Nutt. This plant may be called
C. striata Lindl., forma fulva, forma nov., scapo vaginis perianthiisque fulvis. Type: arbor-vitae woods, cold walls of Percé Mt., Percé, Gaspé Co., Quebec, July 25, 1905, Williams, Collins \& Fernald (Herb. Gray.).

[^93](To be continued)
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# CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE GRAY HERBARIUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY-NO. CLXII. 

## IDENTIFICATIONS AND REIDENTIFICATIONS OF NORTH AMERICAN PLANTS

M. L. Fernald

(Continued from page 197)
Corydalis Halei (Small), Fernald \& Schubert, comb. nov. Capnoides Halei Small in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxv. 137 (1898). Corydalis aurea, var. australis Chapm. Fl. So. U. S. ed. 2: 604 (1883). Corydalis micrantha, var. diffusa Fedde, Repert. Nov. Spec. x. 380 (1912).

Corydalis Halei seems to be a very distinct species of the southeastern Atlantic Coastal Plain and the Gulf Coastal Plain, extending inland, in sandy soil, to southeastern Missouri. Chapman well described it as C. aurea Willd., var. australis but the crested keel of the outer petals, as well as its tendency to produce cleistogamous inflorescences, place the species nearer C. micrantha (Engelm.) Gray. In fact, Dr. Gray united it with C. micrantha in the Synoptical Flora, i1. 98 (1895). C. micrantha, however, is an inland plant, found from Illinois to Nebraska, south to Tennessee, Arkansas, Oklahoma and Texas, with abundant and well developed foliage on the loosely ascending branches, the leafy summits mostly overtopping the longer terminal racemes. The latter are rather closely 3 -12-flowered, and when fully expanded (in fruit) only $1.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, with the lower and longer internodes $2-10(-12) \mathrm{mm}$. long; its erect or strongly ascending fruiting pedicels are $0.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the thick-cylindric capsule scarcely torulose and $6-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. C. Halei, on the other hand, is stiffly ascending, with leaves rapidly reduced upward, so that its terminal racemes overtop the foliage. The racemes quickly elongate to $0.5-2 \mathrm{dm}$., the $4-20$ flowers and capsules all becoming remote, with the lower internodes of the rachis 1.5-2.5 cm . long. Its capsules, on pedicels $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, are slender, torulose and $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, often outwardly arching. An isotype of C. micrantha, var. pachysiliquosa Fedde, 1. c., is a close match for an isotype of typical C. micrantha.

Arabis perstellata E. L. Braun, var. Shortii, nom. nov. Sisymbrium dentatum Torr. in Short, 3rd Suppl. Cat. Pl. Kentucky, 338 (1833), not Allioni (1785). A. dentata (Torr.) Torr. \& Gray, Fl. N. Am. i. 80 (1838), not de Clairville (1811)¹. Shortia dentata Raf. Aut. Bot. 17 (1840), at least as to "Sisymbr. do Tor. et Arabis!" Iodanthus dentatus (Torr.) Greene, Pittonia, iii. 254 (1897).
A. perstellata, var. phalacrocarpa (M. Hopkins), comb. nov. A. dentata, var. phalacrocarpa M. Hopkins in Rhodora, xxxix. 169 (1937).

Several acute botanists have called my attention to the fact that the name Arabis dentata (Torr.) Torr. \& Gray (1838) is a later homonym, invalidated by de Clairville's $A$. dentata of 1811. I, naturally, passed the matter on to Dr. Milton Hopkins, before he became discouraged with the financial outlook for the university botanist and took to another and, we hope, more remunerative profession. Dr. Hopkins agreed with me that the very distinct and localized A. perstellata E. L. Braun in Rhodora, xlii. 47 (1940), while differing from typical and wide-ranging $A$. dentata, must be considered an extreme variety of the same series, many of the characters showing transitions in a large series of specimens. While it is anomalous that the plant of broad range should be treated as a geographic variety of a very local one, there seems to be no other specific name available. The usual source of many names which might be picked up, Rafinesque, seems to have been satisfied to retain the trivial name for his Shortia dentata. It would now be helpful if he had employed another.

Asclepias viridiflora Raf., var. linearis (Gray), comb. nov. Acerates viridiflora (Raf.) Eaton, var. linearis Gray, Syn. Fl. ii ${ }^{1}$. 99 (1878).

[^94]Since the characters supposed to separate Acerates from Asclepias so definitely break down the preceding combination is needed. Whereas typical Asclepias viridiflora and its var. lanceolata (Ives) Torr. extend eastward to the Atlantic States, var. linearis is an inland extreme, from western Ontario and Manitoba south to Louisiana, Oklahoma and New Mexico.

Triodanis versus Specularia (Plates 1049 and 1050).-Under the title The Genus Triodanis Rafinesque, and its Relationships to Specularia and Campanula Dr. Rogers McVaugh ${ }^{1}$ has discussed in detail what he considers the strongest characters separating Specularia Heist. and Triodanis Raf. from Heterocodon Nutt. and Campanula L. Since the three species already recognized in the "Manual range" as belonging in Specularia (and some new ones which occur in Missouri) are all treated as belonging to Triodanis, I have found it necessary to study with some care the characters relied upon as separating the American and Eurasian Triodanis from the chiefly Eurasian and North African Specularia. McVaugh's statement of the strongest characters (as contrasted with those of the other two genera, which need not here be considered) are as follows:

Specularia Heist. ex Fabr. Plants annual, branching above the middle; the flowers nearly sessile, clustered near the tips of the branches or corymbosely aggregated at the summit of the plant; flowers all open, or some imperfectly developed but open and not vestigial; corollas divided well below the middle, more or less rotate [this in contrast with Heterocodon]; filaments gradually widened to base, glabrous; capsules much elongate, linear, contracted at apex beneath the calyx-lobes, dehiscent at apex. Two species, western and southern Europe.

Triodanis Raf. Plants annual, the branches, if any, from base or middle of the plant; flowers axillary, sessile or essentially so, the inflorescence spiciform; flowers from the lower nodes normally cleistogamous, with the corolla and androecium vestigial; some of the upper flowers or at least the terminal one usually open (all corollas sometimes open in $T$. coloradoensis), with expanded corollas divided below the middle [this, likewise, in contrast with the American Heterocodon]; filaments abruptly dilated and ciliate at base; capsule ovoid or clavate to linear or subulate, usually not abruptly contracted at apex, opening at the apex or (in T. perfoliata) at the middle or a little above it. Eight species, one chiefly Mediterranean, the others American.
If these are, indeed, distinct genera, separated on constant morphological characters, in the sense of genera of Eichler,

[^95]DeC'andolle, Bentham \& Hooker and Engler \& Prantl, we should hardly expect so many qualifying expressions or exceptions in the statement of differential generic characters, especially when, in the detailed accounts of species so many additional exceptions to the generic definition are stated. Taking up the reputed generic differences in order, we, without monographic knowledge of details, note the following items.

1. "Plants annual, branching above the middle" in Specularia, as opposed to "branches, if any, from base or middle of the plant" in the reputed genus Triodanis. If Rafinesque had made such a distinction we should not be surprised; but, noting in passing, that small plants of European, southwestern Asiatic and North African" (not merely of "western and southern Europe") Specularia Speculum-Veneris (L.) Tanfani ${ }^{2}$ (S. speculum A. DC.), the type of the genus Specularia, may be quite simple, we come to the very evident fact that of 59 individuals in the Gray Herbarium, these mostly validated by McVaugh, 29 branch from the very base (plate 1049, fig. 1) or just above it. Similarly, the second species allowed by McVaugh to stay in Specularia, which he distinguished generically by "branching above the middle", the southern European (also North African-"a Barbariâ (Desf.)", A. DC. 1. c. 349) S. hybrida (L.) A. DC., shows in the Gray Herbarium 40 individuals with strictly or primarily basal branching (plate 1049, fig. 3) and 25 quite simple, but only 3 branching definitely near (not "above") the middle. When, furthermore, we note that in the first species taken up by Mc Vaugh (1. c. 25) as generically distinct from Old World Specularia (because, as to branching at least, Specularia has the "branching above the middle", whereas Triodanis has "the branches, if any, from base or middle" (not "above the middle")-when we note McVaugh's detailed description of his Triodanis coloradoensis (Buckl.) McVaugh (our plate 1050, fig. 1) as "with branches from the nodes just above the middle of the plant (usually

[^96]not with basal branches)", it almost seems as if we were reading the contradictory writings of the author of Triodanis. The branching, as most taxonomists would suspect in annual weedy plants, is not a constant or even nearly constant generic character.
2. Flowers "nearly sessile, clustered near the tips of the branches or corymbosely aggregated" in Specularia; "axillary, sessile or essentially so, the inflorescence spiciform" in Triodanis. The first point, whether the flowers are sessile or nearly so is not a generic difference and was put in, apparently, to contrast with the peduncles of Heterocodon; but when one views the inflorescence of the basic Specularia Speculum-Veneris (our plate 1049, fig. 2) and one of a species of the supposedly different genus Triodanis, T. coloradoensis (plate 1050, Fig. 2), both figures from specimens validated by McVaugh, and when he notes that Boissier, one of the truly great taxonomists, who clearly understood the Old World species, described S. Speculum 万. racemosa with "Inflorescentia . . . ut in Sp. falcatâ racemosa" (Boiss. l. c. 959), he stops to take a long breath. If Specularia falcata (Ten.) A. DC. is not a Specularia because its flowers are not "corymbosely arranged" as they are said to be in real specularia, what about S. Speculum, var. racemosa which has the flowers racemose? And what about Triodanis coloradoensis, in which the flowers may be "corymbosely aggregated"? As a strong Generic difference this does not seem quite clear.
3. In Specularia "flowers all open, or some imperfectly developed but open and not vestigial"; in Triodanis "flowers from the lower nodes normally cleistogamous, with the corolla and androecium vestigial". This distinctive character of Triodanis, however, is at once weakened farther on in the same paragraph by the statement that "all corollas [are] sometimes open in $T$. coloradoensis," this fact again stated in the full description of the quite unconventional Texan T. coloradoensis: "flowers . . . all . . . prevailingly fertile and open". Cleistogamy is a common trait of some species or sections of genera or in some strains within species. In Utricularia it sometimes occurs in some plants and with every transition from truly cleistogamous flowers through intermediates to those with large and showy expanding corollas. In one large and perplexing American subgenus of

Panicum the later and reduced panicles of apparently cleistogamous spikelets are diagnostic, yet no sound student of the grasses has suggested setting up Panicum, subg. Dicanthelium as a true genus. In Viola certain sections exhibit abundant cleistogamy, certain others not; yet, even to Rafinesque, Greene, Rydberg and Small they were all Viola. Late in the season Danthonia produces within and at the bases of the old sheaths specifically distinctive cleistogamous flowers, but if one species somewhere should not do so, we should hardly treat it, on that character alone, as another genus. Innumerable showy-flowered herbs, annual, biennial or perennial, will, under certain conditions, produce insignificant cleistogamous flowers. Yet, so far as I have seen, most of these cleistogamous individuals (except in case of Utricularia) have not been sorted out as separate genera. If Triodanis coloradoensis would obligingly stop its misbehavior, the case for a genus separate from Specularia would be less weak; and the case would gain a little strength if the Old World $S$. hybrida (left by McVaugh in Specularia) would stop producing "flowers . . . considerably reduced in size and apparently a step in the direction of truly cleistogamous flowers" (McVaugh, p. 19). A little more and the step may prove fatal.
4. The characters, corolla divided "well below the middle" and "below the middle", were obviously put in as a contrast with the really different corolla of Heterocodon. This section needs no further discussion.
5. In Specularia "filaments gradually widened to base, glabrous"; in Triodanis "filaments abruptly dilated and ciliate at base". However, in three of the eight species of Triodanis McVaugh allows that the filaments may gradually widen to base: $T$. biflora (R. \& S.) Greene conceded to have "Filaments . . . , the proximal half gradually or abruptly expanded; and $T$. texana McVaugh and T. Holzingeri McVaugh with filaments similarly described. That leaves (unchecked by me) filaments "glabrous" as opposed to "ciliate at base". In Campanula the filaments are either abruptly dilated or gradually dilated at the ciliate or glabrous base.
6. In Specularia "capsule much elongate, linear, contracted at apex beneath the calyx-lobes, dehiscent at apex"; in Triodanis "ovoid or clavate to linear or subulate, usually not contracted at
apex, opening at the apex or (in T. perfoliata) at the middle or a little above it". The apical opening is at once dismissed as occurring in both Specularia and Triodanis, though another character was overlooked in the latter, for in his key to the species of Triodanis emphasis is placed on "Capsule opening from base toward apex" in T. falcata (Ten.) McVaugh. Furthermore, since Alphonse DeCandolle in his classic Monographie des Campanulées (1830) described (p.348) the capsule of Specularia Speculum-Veneris as either "cylindrica, medio inflata, basi et apice angustata" or "non propriè cylindricâ, sed subfusiformi, utrinquè angustatâ", while Boissier (1. c. 959) defined S. Speculum, ß. libanensis A. DC. with "capsulae abbreviatae interdum oblongae", the definition of the genus Specularia as having the capsule "linear" (a measurement of flat surfaces, not solids) is not convincing, especially since Triodanis is allowed "linear or subulate" capsules. Nor is the tip of the capsule a strong generic character: "contracted at apex" in Specularia, "usually not contracted at apex" in Triodanis. For, as already quoted from Alphonse DeCandolle, the type-species of Specularia may have "capsulâ . . . utrinquè angustatâ", although his S. hybrida (which McVaugh leaves in Specularia) has "Capsula prismatica, basi apiceque abruptè constricta". Similar contrasts occur in American species of so-called Triodanis: for instance McVaugh's description of T. coloradoensis (embarrassing species, always getting in the way!) contains "Capsule . . . oblonglinear or clavate [as also that of $T$. falcata (Ten.) McVaugh] usually abruptly narrowed distally"; and they are "truncate" in T. Holzingeri McVaugh.

From this recapitulation of the stated characters supposed to separate a genus, Triodanis Raf., from Specularia it must be apparent that the so-called generic characters set up in support of such a segregation fail at altogether too many points. I find myself following Endlicher, Alphonse DeCandolle, Boissier, Bentham \& Hooker and Schönland (in Engler \& Prantl) in keeping Triodanis in Specularia. I cannot follow Rafinesque, Greene and McVaugh in considering it a clearly distinct genus. As my friend Pease remarks, "Too much present-day writing is quantitative rather than qualitative."

Although as a genus Triodanis seems to me very weak, the
species defined under it seem to me very strong. It becomes necessary, therefore, to transfer three of them to Specularia. I dislike so to do, for it would have been much more satisfactory if the author who clearly worked them out had himself placed them in Specularia.

Specularia lamprosperma (McVaugh), comb. nov. Triodanis lamprosperma McVaugh in Wrightia, i. 42 (1945).
S. texana (McVaugh), comb. nov. Triodanis texana Mc Vaugh, l. c. 43 (1945).
S. Holzingeri (McVaugh), comb. nov. Triodanis Holzingeri McVaugh, l. c. 45 (1945).

## Explanation of Plates 1031-1050

Plate 1031, Quercus latrifolia Michx.: figs. 1 and 2, foliage and fruit, $\times 1$, from Michaux's original plate; FIG. 3, fruit of Q. rhombica Sargent, from Sarg. Man. ed. 2, fig. 239; fig. 4, leaf, $\times 1$, from Michaux's original plate of Q. laurifolia, vat. hybrida, basonym of $Q$. obtusa (Willd.) Ashe.

Plate 1032, Quercus laurifolia Michx.: figs. 1 and 2, fruiting branch and terminal leaves from Windman's Mill, south of Sunbeam, Southampton Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,323; FIG. 3, leaves of fruiting branch, originally and correctly identified as Q. laurifolia, later cited as a paratype of Q. rhombica Sargent, and later identified as Q. obtusa (Willd.) Ashe, from Monroe, Ouachita Parish, Louisiana, E. J. Palmer, no. 8934.

Plate 1033, Quercus laurifolia Michx.: Fig. 1, venation of lower leafsurface, $\times 3$, of Michaux's type, from photograph by Cintract; fig. 2, foliage of type of $Q$. rhombica Sargent; FIG. 3, venation, $\times 3$ (by transmitted light) of lower leaf-surface of type of $Q$. rhombica.

Plate 1034, Quercus laurifolia Michx.?: portions of type, $\times 1$, of $Q$. rhombica, var. obovatifolia Sargent or Q. obtusa (Willd.) Ashe, var. obovatifolia (Sarg.) Ashe.

Plate 1035, Quercus hemisphaerica Bartram ex Willd., both figs. $\times 1$ : fig. 1, Q. aquatica, var. from Michx. Hist. Chênes Am. Sept. t. 20, fig. 2, cited by Willdenow; FIG. 2, toothed leaves of young tree, from Gainesville, Florida, Harbison, no. 35 in Herb. Arn. Arb. as Q. laurifolia.

Plate 1036, Quercus hemisphaerica Bartram ex Willd., both figs. $\times 1$ : FIG. 1, fruiting branch, from Mayfield, Georgia, 1916, in Herb. Arn. Arb. as Q. laurifolia; FIG. 2, cups and acorn from vicinity of Eustis, Lake County, Florida, Nash, no. 1663, as Q. Phellos, in Herb. Arn. Arb. as Q. laurifolia.

Plate 1037, Stenanthium Gramineum (Ker) Morong: fig. 1, portion of flowering plant, $\times 1$, from Hendersonville, Henderson County, North Carolina, Biltmore Merb., no. $5616^{\circ}$; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from no. $5616^{\circ}$; FIG. 3, portion of fruiting plant, $\times 1$, from "Pink Beds", 3500 feet alt., Pisgah Forest, North Carolina, House, no. 4040.

Plate 1038, Stenanthium gramineum (Ker) Morong: fig. 1, portion of inflorescence from near Merrifield, Virginia, Allard, no. 3234; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from no 3234 ; FIG. 3, portion of fruiting inflorescence, $\stackrel{\times}{ } \times$, from Pisgah Mountain, Buncombe County, North Carolina, Biltmore Herb., no. $3501^{\text {b }}$; FIG. 4 , portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from no. $3501^{\text {b }}$; FIG. 5 , portion of terminal fruiting raceme, $\times 1$, from Springfield, Ohio, no. 11839 , collector not stated; FIG. 6, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from same plant as fig. 5.

Plate 1039, Stenanthium gramineum, var. robustum (S. Watson) Fernald: FIG. 1, portion of TYPE of $S$. robustum S . Watson, $\times 1$, from Sligo Furnace,

Clarion County, Pennsylvania, August, 1859, J. R. Lowrie; fig. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from TYPE; FIG. 3, portion of terminal fruiting raceme, $\times 1$, from Pleasant Grove, Pennsylvania, August 22, 1889, Small; fig. 4, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from Pleasant Grove.

Plate 1040, Stenanthium gramineum, var. robustum (S. Watson) Fernald: FIG. 1, portion of inflorescence, $\times 1$, from Beaver, Pennsylvania, J. F. Mansfield; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from the Mansfield specimen; fig. 3, summit of inflorescence, $\times 1$, from New Texas, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, Aug. 12, 1863, Porter: FIG. 4, terminal flowering raceme, $X 1$, from Armstrong County, Pennsylvania, 1868, S. W. Knipe.

Plate 1041, Stenanthium gramineum, var. micranthum Fernald: fig. 1, inflorescence, $\times 1$, of type, from Caesar's Head, South Carolina, July 28, 1881, John Donnell Smith; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from type; fig. 3, portion of fruiting plant, $\times 1$, from "Mts. Carol.", 1845, Asa Gray.

Plate 1042, Sisyrinchium angustifolium Mill. and S. mucronatum Michx. Figs. 1 and 2, S. angustifolium: fig. 1, Bermudiana graminea, fore minore caeruleo Dillenius, Hort. Elth. t. XLI, fig. 49, $\times 1$, basis of $S$. angustifolium; Fig. 2, S. anceps Cavanilles, $\times$ 1. Fig. 3, S. mucronatum Michx.: figure of Sisyrinchium caeruleum parvum, gladiato caule, Virginianum of Plukenet, Alm. 348, t. 41, fig. I, $\times 1$, cited by Lamarck under his S. gramineum.

Plate 1043 , Sisyrinchium angustifolium Mill. and S. montanum Greene, var. crebrum Fernald. Figs. 1 and 2, S. Angustifolium: portions of Bicknell's illustration of S. graminoides Bicknell, slightly reduced from Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiii, plate 263 (1896). Fig. 3, S. montanum, var. crebrum: reduced from Bicknell's plate of S. angustifolium sensu Bicknell, not Miller, in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiii. pl. 265 (1896).

Plate 1044 , Sisyrinchium montanum Greene and var. crebrum Fernald, both $\times 1$, Figs. 1 and 2: portions of isotype of S. montanum, from Mancos, Colorado, Baker, Earle \& Trary, no. 113. Fig. 3, var. crebrum: portions of type.

Plate 1045, Habenaria clavellata (Michx.) Spreng.: figs. 1 and 2, TYPE of Orchis clavellata, $\times 1$, after Cintract (note leaf-partly covered by label-with tip folded back); FIG. 3, leaf, $\times 1$, from Blowing Rock, North Carolina, B. L. Robinson, no. 143; FIG. 4, leaf, $\times 1$, from Dedham, Massachusetts, July, 1875, E. H. Hitchings; Fig. 5 , leaf, $\times 1$, from Southington, Connecticut, L. Andrews, no. 325; FIG. 6, leaf, $\times 1$, from Southampton, Long Island, St. John, no. 2655.

Plate 1046, H. clavellata, var. ophioglossoides Fernald: figs. 1 and 2, portions of type, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, leaf, $\times 1$, from Tignish, Prince Edward Island, Fernald, Long \&'St. John, no. 7228; Fig. 4, leaf, $\times 1$, from Glenwood, Newfoundland, Fernald \& Wiegand, no. 5208; FIG. 5, leaf, $\times 1$, from Coffin Island, Magdalen Islands, Fernald, Long de St. John, no. 7229; FIG. 6, leaf, $\times$ 1, from Trepassey, Newfoundland, Fernald, Long \& Dunbar, no. 26,534.

Plate 1047, Cleistes divaricata (L.) Ames, all figs. $X$ 1: figs. 1 and 2, median leaf and flower from southeast of Petersburg, Virginia (general typeregion), Fernald, Long \& Smart, no. 5742; fig. 3, flower from Bennett, Cape May Co., New Jersey, June 20, 1909, Witmer Stone, "in presence of S.S. Van Pelt, B. Long, O. H. Brown \& Dr. J. W. Eckfeldt"'.

Plate 1048, Cleistes divaricata, var. bifaria Fernald, all figs. $\times 1$ : fig. 1, upper half of plant, from the type-series, summit of Table-rock Mountain, Burke Co., North Carolina, Small \& Heller, no. 285; Fig. 2, flower from Jacksonville, Onslow Co., North Carolina, Moldenke, no. 1246; fig. 3, flower from back of Gatlinburg, Sevier Co., Tennessee, A. N. Leeds, no. 1139; fig. 4, flower from Apalachicola, Florida, B. F. Saurman; Fig. 5, flower from Spring Hill, Alabama, E. W. Graves, no. 853; Fig. 6, flower from near Jacksonville, Florida, A. H. Curtiss, no. 4729.

Plate 1049. Figs. 1 and 2, Specularia Speculum-Veneris (L.) Tanfani: fig. 1, lower half of plant, $\times 1$, with characteristic basal branching (not merely
"branching above the middle"), from France, June, 1890, S. E. Lassinome (identification validated by $M c V a u g h$ ); Fig. 2. flowering summit, $\times 1$, of same specimen. Fig. 3, Specularia hybrida (L.) A. DC.: portion of plant, $\times 1$, to show characteristic basal branching (not merely "branching above the middle"), from Etruria, Fiori in Fiori \& Béguinot, Fl. Ital. Exsicc., no. $1962^{\text {bis }}$ (identification validated by McVaugh ).

Plate 1050. Specularia coloradoensis Buckl. = Triodanis coloradoensis (Buckl.) McVaugh, from specimens validated by McVaugh: Fig. 1, median third of plant, $\times 1$, showing branching "above the middle" (not "branches, if any, from base or middle") and fruits "corymbiform aggregated" (not with "inflorescence spiciform") from New Mexico, Chas. Wright, no. 1432; FIg. 2, flowering inflorescence, $\times 1$ (cf. Plate 1049, FIG. 2) from plant raised by Asa Gray from Wright's seed.

## INDEX

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Acerates, 209; viridiflora, var. linearis, 208, 209
Ammi capillaceum, 162
Arabis dentata, 208, var. phalacrocarpa, 208; perstellata, 208, var. phalacrocarpa, 208, var. Shortii, 208
Arethusa divaricata, 186
Asclepias, 209; viridiflora, 209, var. lanceolata, 209, var. linearis, 208

Back to Carex rostrata, 145
Bermudiana graminea, flore, etc., 153-158, 215; Grass-leaved, 154
Blue-eyed Grass, 153
Calopogon pulchellus, 189, f. latifolius, 188, var. latifolius, 188, 189
Campanula, 209, 212
Capnoides Halei, 207
Carex, 145, 146; ampullacea, 146; inflata, 145,146 , var. ambigens, 146, var. anticostensis, 146 , var. utriculata, 146; laevigata, 145, 146 ; rostrata, 145, 146, Back to, 145, var. ambigens, 146 , var. anticostensis, 146, var. utriculata, 146 ; utriculata, 146, var. minor, 146; vesicaria, 145
Carices, 145
Cathea pulchella, f. latifolia, 188
Cleistes divaricata, 186-188, 215, pl. 1047, var. bifaria, 186-188, 215 , pl. 1048, var. typica, 187
Coral-roots, 193
Corallorhiza, 193, 194, 197; Corallorhiza, subsp. coloradensis, 194,196 ; ericetorum, 196; innata, 193, 195, var. virescens, 196; maculata, 197, var. punicea, 197; odontorhiza, 197, B. verna, 196; striata, 197, f. fulva, 197; trifida, 193-196, var. verna, 196, var. virescens, 196; verna, 194-196
Corallorrhiza, 193, 194
Corydalis aurea, var. australis, 207; Halei, 207; micrantha, 207, var. diffusa, 207, var. pachysiliquosa, 207

Danthonia, 212
Darlington Oak, 144

Eastern United States, Stenanthium in the, 148-152, pls. 1037-1041

Ferraria pulchella, 158
Fringed-orchid, 185
Grass, Blue-eyed, 153
Grass-leaved Bermudiana, 154
Habenaria $\times$ Andrewsii, 184, 185; clavellata, $161,162,215$, pl. 1045, var. ophioglossoides, 161, 162, 215, pl. 1046; fimbriata, 184-186, f. albiflora, 185, f. mentotonsa, 184, 185; lacera, 184-186, var. terrae-novae, 184, 185; psycodes, 184-186, f. ecalcarata, 184, f. varians, 184, var. varians, 184; psycodes $\times$ lacera, 185
Helleborine Lilii folio caulem, etc., 186
Helonias graminea, 148, 149, 151
Heterocodon, 209, 211, 212
Identity of Quercus laurifolia, The, 137-145, pls. 1031-1036; of Sisyrinchium angustifolium, The, 152 160, pls. 1042-1044
Iodanthus dentatus, 208
Laurel Oak, 138, 145
Lemna abbreviata, 146, 147; angolensis, 147; arhiza, 147; cyclostasa, 146, 147; gibba, 147; minima, 147; minor, 147, var. ? Cyclostasa, 146, 147; polyrrhiza, 147; Torreyi, 146; trisulca, 147; valdiviana, 146, 147 , not L. cyclostasa, 146, var. abbreviata, 147, var. ? platyclados, 147
Limodorum praecox, 190, 191; tuberosum, f. latifolium, 188, var. nanum, 189
Liparis, 189
Manual Range, Some Orchids of the, 161-162, 184-197, pls. 1045-1048

Narrow-leaved wintergreen oak, 143
Neottia gracilis, 190, 191; tortilis, 190, 191

Oak, Darlington, 144; laurel, 138,

145; narrow-leaved wintergreen, 143; water, 143
Ophrys aestivalis, 191; Corallorhiza, 193
Orchidaceae, 193
Orchids of the Manual Range, Some, 161-162, 184-197, pls. 1045-1048
Orchis clavellata, 161, 162, 215; tridentata, 162

Panicum, 212; subg. Dicanthelium, 212
Ptilimnium capillaceum, 162
Quercus aquatica, 142 , var. 214 , var. hybrida, $142, \beta$. laurifolia, 141, $\beta$. nana, 144, var. nana, 144; dentata, 142, 143; hemisphaerica, 138-140, 142-144, 214, pls. 1035, 1036, var. nana, 144; hybrida, 141, 142; laurifolia, 137, 139-144, 214, pls. 10311034, The Identity of, $137-145$, pls. 1031-1036, $\alpha$. acuta, 141, laurifolia hybrida, 140-142, var. hybrida, 139, 141, 142, 214, pl. 1031, $\beta$. obtusa, 140, 141; laurina, 141; nana, 144; nigra, 141-144; obtusa, $140-142,214$, var. obovatifolia, 140, 214; Phellos, 138, 144, 214, var. laurifolia, 141; rhombica, 138-141, 214, pls. 1031-1033, var. acuta, 139, var. obovatifolia, 138 , 140, 214, pl. 1034

Serapias radicibus palmato-fibrosis, etc., 186
Shortia dentata, 208
Sisymbrium dentatum, 208
Sisyrinchium, 152, 153, 156; anceps, $152,153,157,158,215$; angustifolium, $152-158,215$, pls. 1042, 1043, The Identity of, $152-160$, pls. 1042-1044; Bermudiana, 152-154, 156,158 , var. $\alpha$., 155,156 , var. anceps, 152 , var. mucronatum, 152 ; caeruleum parvum, etc., 155-157, 215 ; capillare, 156 ; foliis linearigladiolatis, etc., 154, 158; gramineum, 152, 153, 155, 156, 158, 215; graminoides, 155, 157, 158, 215; minus, 157; montanum, 152, 157, 158, 215, pl. 1044, var. crebrum, 159, $215, \mathrm{pls} .1043$,

1044; mucronatum, 152, 155, 156, 215, pl. 1042; septentrionale, 158
Some Orchids of the Manual Range, 161-162, 184-197, pls. 1045-1048
Specularia, 209-214; coloradoensis, 216, pl. 1050; falcata, 211 ; Holzingeri, 214; hybrida, 210, 212, 213, 216, pl. 1049; lamprosperma, 214; speculum, 210; Speculum, $\beta$. libanensis, 213, $\delta$. racemosa, 211, var. racemosa, 211; SpeculumVeneris, 210, 211, 213, 215, pl. 1049; texana, 214
Spiranthes aestivalis, 191; Beckii, 189-191; cernua, 191; gracilis, 190, 191; Grayi, 189, 192; lacera, 190, 191; praecox, 190, 191; simplex, 189, 192; tuberosa, 189, 191, 192, var. Grayi, 189, 192; vernalis, 191
Stenanthium, 148, in the Eastern United States, 148-152, pls. 10371041; angustifolium, 149, 151, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~S}$. gramineum, 151; gramineum, $148-$ 151, 214, pls. 1037, 1038, var. micranthum, $148,150-152,215$, pl. 1041, var. robustum, 148, $151,152,214,215$, pls. 1039, 1040 , var. typicum, 151 , pls. 1037 , 1038; robustum, 148-150, 152, 214

Thaspia trifoliata, 162
Thaspium trifoliatum, 162
Thuja occidentalis, 197
Triodanis, 209-213, versus Specularia, 209-214, pls. 1049, 1050; biflora, 212; coloradoensis, $209-$ 213, 216, pl. 1050; falcata, 213; Holzingeri, 212-214; lamprosperma, 214; perfoliata, 209, 213; texana, 212, 214

Umbelliferae, 162
United States, Stenanthium in the Eastern, 148-152, pls. 1037-1041
Utricularia, 211, 212
Veratrum angustifolium, 149, 151
Viola, 212
Water Oak, 143
Xerophyllum gramineum, 151


Photo B. G. Schubert
Querets latrifolin Michx.: figs. 1 and 2, foliage and fruit. $\times 1$, after Michaux; FIG. 3, fruit of (Q. hombich sargent, after šargent; FIG. 4, leaf. $\times 1$, of $Q$. laurifolia, var. hybride Miche. or var. obtusa Willd., after Michaur.


I'hoto B. G. Schubert
(Qubres latrifolia Michx.: ficis. 1 and 2 , fruiting branch and terminal leaves, $\times 1$, from Virginia; fig. 3, leaves, $\times 1$, of paratype of $Q$. thombica sargent.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Quercus laurifolia Michx.: fig. 1 , venation of lower leaf-surface, $\times 3$, from photograph of the TyPe by Cintract; FIG. 2, foliage, $\times 1$, of type of $Q$. rhombica Sargent; fig. 3 , venation of lower surface of leaf of the latter, $\times 3$.


## Photo B. G. Schubert

Quercus laurifolia: portions. $\times 1$, of type of $Q$. rhombica, var. obovatijolia Sargent.


Photo B. G. Schubert
(2UERCTS HFMISPHAERICA Bartram ex Willd., both figs. $\times$ 1: FIG. 1, the original figure of Michaux, cited by Willdenow; FIG. 2, leaves of young tree of $Q$. laurifolin sensu most authors, not Michanx, from Florida


Photo B. G. Schubert
Querces hemisphaterica, both figs. $\times 1$ : fig. 1, fruiting branch from Georgial of $Q$. laurifolia sensu most authors, mot Michx.; firi. 2, cups and acorn from Florida.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Stenanthiem gramineum, var. typicum: fig. 1, portion of flowering plant, $\times \mathbf{1}$; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$; FIG. 3, portion of fruiting plant, $\times 1$.


## Photo B. G. Schubert

Stenanthium Gramineum, var. typicum: fig. 1 , portion of inflorescence, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , portion of leaf, $\times 2$; FIG. 3, portion of fruiting panicle, $\times 1$; fig. 4 , portion of leaf,
$\times 2$; fig. 5 , terminal fruiting raceme, $\times 1 ;$ fig. 6 , portion of leaf, $\times 2$.


## Photo B. G. Schubert

Stenanthium gramineum, var. robustum: fig. 1 , portion, $\times 1$, of type of $S$. tobustum s. Watson; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$, from TYPE; FIG. 3, portion of terminal fruiting raceme, $\times 1$; FIG. 4 , portion of leaf, $\times 2$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Stenanthium gramineum, var. robustum: fig. 1 , portion of inflorescence, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$; FIG. 3, summit of inflorescence, $\times 1$; fIG. 4, terminal flowering raceme, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Stenanthium graminetm, var. micranthum: fig. 1 , inflorescence, $\times 1$, of type; Fif. 2, portion of leaf, $\times 2$; Fig. 3 , portion of fruiting plant, $\times 1$.


Sisyrinchium angustifolium Mill.: fig. 1, type, i. e. Bermudiana gramineo, flore minore caeruleo Dillenius, t. xli. fig. 49, $\times 1$, after Dillenius; FIG. 2, S. anceps Cav., $\times 1$, after Cavanilles.
S. muchonatum Michx.: fig. 3, Sisyrinchium caeruleum parvum, gladiato caule, Virginianum, after P'lukenet, cited by Lamarck under S. gramineum Lam., in part, not Mill.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Sisyrinchium angustifolium Mill.: figs. 1 and 2, portions of Bicknell's illustrations of S. graminoides Bicknell.
S. montanum, var. Crebrum: fig. 3. reduced from Bicknell's illustration of S. angustifolium sensu Bicknell, not Mill.


Photo B. G. Schubert


## Photo B. G. Schubert

Habenaria clavellata: figs, 1 and 2, type of Orchis clavellata Michx., $\times 1$, after Cintiact; figs. 3-6, leaves $\times 1$, from various localities.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Habenaria clavellata, var. ophioglossoides: figs. 1 and 2, portions of type, $\times 1$; figs. 3-6, leaves, $\times 1$, from various localities.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Clehtes divaricata, all figs. $\times 1:$ figs. 1 and 2, median leaf and flower from eastern Virginia (type-region); FIG. 3, flower from southern New dersey.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Cleistes divaricata, var. bifaria, all figs. $\times 1$ : fig. 1 , upper half of plant from type-series; figs. 2-6, flowers from various localities.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Specularia speculum-Veneris, both figs. $\times$ 1: fig. 1, lower half of plant, showing basal branching, and fig. 2, inflorescence, both from France.
S. hybrida: fig. 3, base, to show basal branching, from Italy.


Photo B. G. Schubert

Spectlaria coloradoevsis ( $=$ Triodanis coloraloensis) both figs. $\times 1$ : fig. 1 , median third of plant, showing branching "above the middle" and fruits "corymbiform aggregated"; FIG. 2, flowering infforescence.
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## Part I. Three short Trips to Southeastern Virginia

As soon as gasoline became available in 1945 Mr. Bayard Long and I returned to our center at Waverly, Virginia, anxious to do some autumnal exploring of the ponds of Sussex and Southampton Counties which we had seen together only in the early summer of 1943 and then at the last moments of a trip which had to be our last one for more than two years. Many species, accredited to southeastern Virginia for a century to a century and a half but without known vouchers, were on our minds; and we were determined, now that we had found some ponds with broad sandy or peaty beaches and extensive swales (only a few miles from the Southampton headquarters of Frederick Pursh, at Dr. Edwin (rray's near Sebrell), to gather them where presumably Pursh had found them, for some, though not all, of the unverified records started with Pursh in 1814, a few going farther back and a few starting with Torrey \& Gray or with early editions of Gray's Manual. We had for years hunted in vain in moist pinebarrens or damp peaty or sandy pockets for Bartonia verna ${ }^{1}$, which Pursh had recorded from "mossy swamps: Virginia to Georgia";

[^97]and records of other plants of sands or sandy barrens, like Syngonanthus flavidulus and Kalmia hirsuta, still haunted us. These, however, were spring- or early summer-flowering species. We had now set our hearts on at last retrieving from the peaty pondmargins such obvious desiderata as Mayaca Aubleti and Balduina uniflora, the latter described by Nuttall in 1818 from "open grassy swamps from the maritime parts of Virginia to Florida". The Balduina, of course, might be back in the savanna-like swales which at least one member of the party had hopefully visualized as there, if anywhere, associated with other Virginian "spooks" like Dichromena latifolia, Juncus polycephalus, Oxypolis filiformis and Baccharis glomeruliflora. And we hoped at last to find Pursh's own station (supported by a specimen at the Philadelphia Academy) for Litsea, to say nothing of the always evasive but magnificent evergreen tree, Cordonia. These and other reputed Virginians were on our minds, as they had been for several years, and, now that we actually knew of appropriate pondmargins near where Pursh had resided, we were buoyant with hope.

But, alas, excessive rains of late summer had flooded the ponds; the shores of most of them were completely drowned. Only a very narrow and soaking-wet margin of a few feet (instead of $50-100$ or more) showed, where the water had receded at Airfield Pond. Following the northeastern margin, which we had slightly explored in early July of 1943, we found nothing new. The bushy swale at the head of the first cove was a typical Cepha-lanthus-swamp, with herbaceous vegetation of the commonest and most aggressive species, such as Rhynchospora glomerata or Xyris caroliniana and X. ambigua Beyrich. If any rarity ever occurred there it would have been crowded out by Cephalanthus and its jostling associates. So, going to the southern shore of the pond, we climbed over the inevitable fenced-in hog-wallow, to follow the little belt of exposed shore. Immediately the excitement began. Standing up out of the continuous carpet of Drosera capillaris Poir. we promptly saw the beautifully distinct but relatively common Centiana Catesbaei Walt. (see plates 1078 and 1079). Growing with it was the white (when dry saffron)-flowered Sabatia difformis (L.) Druce, a characteristic species new to Virginia. In 1943, on the northeastern shore of

Airfield we had found only a single small colony of Rhynchospora filifolia Torr. at the first station between southeastern North Carolina and those famous havens of isolated southern plants, Sussex C'ounty, Delaware, and Cape May, New Jersey. Here, on the southern shore, it was dominant. Associated with it was Eriocaulon decangulare, a very local species in Virginia but here abundant; and Hypericum, § Brathys threw us into despair. Almost every where was typical $H$. canadense of all sizes up to 6 dm . high, while more or less alternating and broader-leaved plants with few or aborted fruits, the plants reaching a height of 7.5 dm ., abounded. Although broader-leaved, this could not be the northern $H$. majus. It goes into the heteromorphic series called II. dissimulatum Bicknell (surely well named), that half-sterile bunch which northward seems like polymorphous progeny of $H$. canadense crossed with either $H$. boreale or $H$. mutilum. Here $H$. boreale was out of the question and, although $I$. mutilum is in the neighborhood, we did not specially note it at Airfield Pond. The plant there which most interested us, however, was a tiny little leaning or half-reclining Hypericum with short-petioled obovate to oblanceolate leaves, a slender plant which an hour or so earlier we had been puzzling over in a pocket of deep S゙phagnum a little farther north. This weak and slender plant, strongly suggesting attenuated (Galium trifidum or (r. tinctorium, occupied a few mossy carpets quite by itself. It is later described, and illustrated in plate 1076.

Near the mossy and peaty pockets of the new Hypericum was Scleria Muhlenbergii Steud. ${ }^{1}$, a nice species but a bit disappointing, for we hoped, in this habitat, so like many pond-shores of the Carolinas, southern New Jersey, Long Island and southeastern Massachusetts, to get its close relative, S. reticularis, which occurs from Florida to southeastern South Carolina, thence seeming to "jump" to Delaware but occurring rather generally from there to eastern Massachusetts. We hunted for other species which occur in Georgia or South or North Carolina but are unknown between there and Delaware or southern New Jersey, but mostly in vain. In a few mossy pockets, however, one of them suddenly appeared, Psilocarya nitens, a very neat species, heretofore unknown from between the famous Wilmington region of south-

[^98]eastern North Carolina and Cape May, New Jersey but local about ponds of Long Island, with an isolated northeastern outlier on a single pond in Plymouth, Massachusetts, and, like several other Coastal Plain species, an isolated colony in northwestern Indiana. That was the sort of plant we were looking for but we were unprepared for the next discovery. Very near the Psilocarya, in semi-open peaty and sandy spots, there was a tiny Xyris, making little whitish-green tufts only 2 or 3 cm . across. Its filiform scapes suggested $X$. Curtissii Malme, but instead of being a cespitose blue-green perennial with many scapes, as is the latter species, the little plant of Airfield Pond was an annual, with mostly solitary scapes and different sepals and seeds. It proves to be the most northern member of the chiefly tropical Xyris, § Brevifoliae. I have already described and illustrated X. Bayardi in Rhodora, xlviii. 56, plate 1007 (1946). The insignificant belt of sand and peat exposed at the margin of Airfield Pond was really very significant and had well justified our faith in the locality, but Mayaca, Balduina, Dichromena latifolia, Oxypolis filiformis and the other reputed Virginians still remained on the doubtful list. For a moment I thought I saw Mayaca but only for a moment. The wet peat was carpeted right down to the water with very loosely leafy and prolonged, flexuous, creeping and writhing stems of the most diffuse extreme of Lycopodium inundatum, var. Bigelovii Tuckerm. ${ }^{1}$ These sterile and lax strands up to 4 dm . long and with leaves up to 1 cm . long so closely resemble the long creeping stems of Mayaca fluviatilis Aublet, which comes north into southeastern North Carolina, that, at a distance of a few feet, herbarium sheets of the two are indistinguishable. As I suggest in Part II, it is a temptation to imagine that Pursh's record of Mayaca from Virginia could easily have been based on such loose-growing sterile plants of the Lycopodium.

More rain quickly drowned the temporary narrow beach of Airfield, and every pond we visited was quite without exposed shore. So we soon started out on days of mere exploration, making our way to several ponds, that we might sometime, when the water is low, know which to revisit. There are a full one hundred of them on the Coastal Plain of southeastern Virginia

[^99]and it was important to learn how to reach them. One which lies to the north of Airfield Pond, but which is at the head of a branch flowing into Brittle's, seemed worth finding. When we showed the contour-sheet to some colored boys they said: "Oh, that's Jenkins Pond." Driving along the road we were puzzled as to which farm-road to take; so, seeing a farmer at work, we asked how to reach Jenkins Pond, receiving the prompt reply: "Never heard of it. There's a pond back of my barn which might interest you. Just drive up to the house and leave your car. My name is Jenkins." We have called this promising pond "Jenkins Pond." We remembered a tempting piece of Sphagnum-carpeted woods not far from Brittle's Pond where, in July of 1943, we had seen interesting plants. At this season the most interesting discovery was the Galium-like Hypericum already discussed; and, since such spring-fed sphagnous woods often contain the primitive and rare Carex Collinsii Nutt., we watched for it, although June would have been the proper season. There it was, mixed with the long misinterpreted Agrostis altissima (Walt.) Tuckerm., which I shall discuss in Part II. At the margin of these woods we established a new eastern limit for Lobelia georgiana McVaugh (L. glandulifera (Gray) Small). Nearby, the drier woods yielded a very handsome and quite distinctive Desmodium, one of Dr. Schubert's species, the name not yet published by her. A mile or so north of Waverly, along a wood-road near a now drained pond, we were delighted to find a good colony of the recently described Eupatorium cordigerum Fern. in Rhodora, xlvii. 192, plate 908 (1945); and near it was a great clump of a puzzling Solidago. This has been described and illustrated as $\times S$. hirtipes Fern. in Rhodora, xlviii. 65, plate 1011 (1946).

The ponds being over-full and their shores inaccessible, the obvious thing to do was to explore the fresh reed-marshes along the tidal rivers. Fortunately our friend Calvin Horne had his outboard-motor still mounted on a trailer after a vacation on the lower James; so with him or his substitute we returned to the usually productive reed-marshes of the lower Northwest River in southern Norfolk County and similar marshes of Blackwater Creek in southern Princess Anne County. These very extensive reed-marshes, with coarse grasses, sedges, Typha, and tall Com-
positae high above one's head, these interspersed with the incomparably tough and stabbing "Blaspheme-vine", Smilax laurifolia, or with tall masses of Sawgrass, Cladium jamaicense, with its hard and elongate leaves fringed by coarse saw-teeth, are not the places for picnics or for the mere seeker for pretty flowers. Finding a possible landing-spot, one wallows and tumbles into the back of the marsh through almost impassible barriers and when nearly exhausted makes his way back to the boat. Three or four such landings in a day were all we two oldish but somewhat experienced botanists could stand. In view of the facts that almost every landing (perhaps a fourth of a mile apart) often yields something else and that about a quarter of Princess Anne County consists of such marshes (with Norfolk County a good second) the future work for a new generation is impressive. At one point or another these fresh marshes or their borders and pools are occupied by such local species, then known from only one station in Virginia, as Phalaris caroliniana Walt., Scirpus etuberculatus, Eleocharis radicans (Poir.) Kunth, Lilaeopsis carolinensis C. \& R., Pluchea purpurascens (Sw.) DC., Aster Elliottii T. \& G., etc., etc.; while only 2 or 3 stations are known for many others: Juncus megacephalus, Dichromena colorata, Ludwigia alata, Verbena scabra Vahl, etc., etc. So we attempted to do our bit, but these two areas, not far from the bridges, had been well searched before, Northwest an old collecting-ground of Heller, Kearney and ourselves, and Blackwater Bridge much visited also. Ludwigia alata was added to the specialities of Northwest and the almost smooth estuarine Polygonum sagittatum var. gracilentum Fern., mingling with true plump-fruited $P$. arifolium, which seems to specialize on estuaries, was extended south from the marshes of the Chickahominy. Aster Elliottii was found to be rather general on the marshes; and at the type-locality, Northwest, of Lobelia elongata Small we found some overgrown plants with branching inflorescences. But we soon became absorbed with Spartina cynosuroides, for it suddenly struck us that the loosely racemose inflorescences with definitely peduncled spikes, which abounded in these marshes, belonged to a very different plant from the one on real salt-marsh, in which the inflorescence is denser and with many more closely crowded and appressed spikes. We resolved to get real rhizomes and stolons,
for most herbaria lack them. If others have attempted that feat they will know that it may take an hour of hard pickaxing to extract complete rhizomes and stolons from the dense and slimy substratum. After one was secured for me there must be one for Long; then a few duplicates! This plant of fresh to merely brackish tidal marshes was again dug on similar reed-marshes of Gray's Creek, well up the James above salt water. This subject will be further analyzed in Part II.

In June of 1946 Long, unfortunately, was unable to join Dr. H. Emery Moore, Jr., and me for a trip, June 3-15, but perhaps he was really fortunate in avoiding possible infection. When we left Cambridge Moore was feeling "miserable" and, as we proceeded, it was evident that he was urging himself to drive; eventually weakened and nearly prostrated by intestinal 'flu. Nevertheless, he hung on gamely until the doctor interfered, ordered him to bed and prescribed a starration-diet. Naturally the first duty was to get at the ponds. It had rained heavily through May and we were told that the pond-shores were flooded. Consequently, when we first spied Airfield, I thought we had taken the wrong road. There wasn't any pond there, merely a great shallow and peaty basin more than a mile long, with scattered small pools. But it was Airfield, after the breaking of the dam in winter. The bottom, as far out as we cared to walk, was a solid turf of the usually perennial Eleocharis obtusa, var. ellipsoidalis Fern. in fruit, a perennial which had sprung up and was heavily fruiting in a few months! The usual array of Rhynchospora, Carex, Panicum and other shore-plants luxuriated, but the upper margin of the pond showed nothing new. The dam of Whitefield Pond, southwest of Corinth Church, had, unhappily for us, stayed damnably intact and the heavy rains had been thoroughly impounded, so that botanizing became deep wading (up to our waists). Immediately we were challenged by the great variation in the fruit of the Water-Ash, Fraxinus caroliniana. Some shrubs had the fruit purplish, others pale or yellowish, some had the young shoots and the lower leaf-surfaces glabrous, others softly velvety or pilose; but those variations were merely formal. More significant was the shape of the fruit, rhombic, ellipsoid or oblanceolate, broadly rounded at summit or attenuate, flat, spoon-shaped or 3 -angled. From that first day
throughout the trip this baffling series held our attention. Whether it will be sufficiently studied for further consideration in this paper is doubtful, since it is necessary to get further data on some old types within the genus. Very soon we got into a great clump of high-doming blackberry, gigantic shrubs with only a few prickles but with intricately forking and flexible branchlets 2 m . long and arching over to the ground. This was a stranger which will be described and illustrated (plates 1067 1069) in Part II; but it merely started us on the inevitable series of novel Rubi. At one point Itea virginica did not look natural. In fact, I thought we had extended northward the range of $F$ othergilla parvifolia Kearney, the little compact inflorescences were so strikingly suggestive of Fothergilla. The clump is, however, the type of Itea virginica, forma abbreviata Fern. in Rhodora, xliv. 22 (1947). Only at one point, close to the dam, was there evident a bit of exposed beach; but that was definitely worth while, for there, tangled with the now very familiar but locally endemic Eryngium prostratum, var. disjunctum Fern. in Rhodora, xlvii. 163, plates 897 and 898 (1945), was a neat little colony of Ludwigia brevipes (Long) Eames, a species we had known only from damp sands nearer the outer coast, the most inland station known having been at the Cat Ponds in eastern Isle of Wight County. These few specialities, added to Polygonum hydropiperoides, var. euronotorum Fern. in Rhodora, 1. c. 137, plate 884 (1945), all on a few rods of shore, certainly mean that some dry summer Whitefield Pond is going to give us some more surprises.

Having an errand which required driving to Petersburg, we started northwestward from Waverly, but when we reached the very wet (then almost pond-like) depression in the pinelands only 3 or 4 miles from Waverly we stopped to get any lingering flowers of Iris prismatica which abounds there. While we were gathering these last flowering specimens I was impressed by the trailing dewherry which occupied the wet hollow. This was not the right habitat for ordinary xerophytic and glabrous-leaved Rubus flagellaris, and examination showed that this swamp-plant had the leaves soft-pubescent beneath. It was, obviously, not that - species. Subsequently, in September, Long and I got supplementary material and then found it occupying a similar wet and swaley habitat several miles away. Differing from $R$. flagellaris
in several additional characters, this trailer of wet pineland will be described in Part II and shown in plates 1064-1066. In this boggy hollow, the home of Aletris aurea, Tofieldia racemosa, Zigadenus glaberrimus and Carex Barrattii Schwein. \& Torr., the low and narrow-leaved Viburnum nudum, var. angustifolium Torr. \& Gray was abundant in its almost extreme development, flowering but only 3 or 4 feet high, although later on we found it fully mature and only $11 / 2$ feet high.

At New. Bohemia we varied the route by leaving the 4 -lane turnpike and taking a cross-road toward the Jerusalem Plank Road, in order to pass through unspoiled woodland and clearings; but we got only a short distance when we halted for an abundant goldenrod, in full bloom in the first week of June (obviously having begun flowering late in May). Immediately thinking of Solidago verna M. A. Curtis, which flowers in southeastern North Carolina from earliest May to early June, we set to work on the large colony. It obviously was not $S$. verna but was so like the midsummer and autumnal $S$. juncea of northern, inland, and (southward) upland range as to baffle us. It proves to be a vernal-flowering Coastal Plain extreme of $S$. juncea, differing from it in smaller involucres with vividly green (instead of yellowish) phyllaries and shorter ligules, disk-corollas, pappus and achenes. This fine new goldenrod, to be described in Part II, ended the morning's collecting. Later in the day, trailing old specialties, we brought up at the little sphagnous bog near Dahlia, the home of so many rarities. For purely sentimental reasons I make it a point to look in there occasionally, although we now find nothing new. In fact, the encroachment by the farmer, whose plowed acreage, ditching and hogs continue to invade the bog, is bound to destroy some of the specialities. Not so one of them, which a few years ago was represented by only a few small plants. Repeated and chronically southern burning off of the bog has stimulated Zigadenus densus (Desr.) Fern. at its only known Virginia station, so that the greatly increased colony now bears inflorescences four times the recorded size, some of them branching and paniculate, instead of simply racemose!

While stationed at Norfolk during the war, Mr. Leslie Hubricht of Detroit had written me of some of his botanical finds and on returning to Detroit he sent me specifications of the three
most natural and undisturbed spots he had found in his occasional botanizing trips. These were all near Suffolk. One of them had been familiar to Long and me, the type-region of Malaxis Bayardi Fern. in Rhodora, xxxviii. 402, fig. 1 and pl. 446, figs. 1 and 2 (1936) ; the others were unknown to us. So one day Moore and I started out to look into them. The first, a tiny bit of sphagnous bog west of Kilby, along the Norfolk and Western Railway, was quickly found, recognized from the path on the railroad-embankment by the upstanding yellowish trumpets of Sarracenia flava, always a good indicator. In early June such bogs are only beginning to show their real treasures but even then the richness of the spot in localized plants was apparent. Scleria minor (Britt.) Stone, a denizen of sphagnous bogs, was there, again a good indicator, and the thick corm-like bases and foliage nearby indicated Aster gracilis. Here, too, was Scutellaria integrifolia, var. hispida Benth., at its third known station so far north. Best of all, at the western border of the bog we walked into the second Virginian station for the very rare southern Sisyrinchium capillare Bicknell, already in mature and opening fruit. These were only mild suggestions of what the September visit would yield.

The borders of the Great Dismal Swamp, traversed by trunkroads, always yield surprises. So, passing Suffolk, en route to the tidal marshes of Northwest River, we had passed Magnolia when, curious about the very large Anthoxanthum odoratum (up to 1 m . high and with interrupted inflorescences nearly 1.5 dm . long), which deliciously scented the roadside atmosphere, I got out to investigate. The grass was interesting enough, forma giganteum P. Junge, which I had never seen. But, before returning to the car, I became puzzled by the water-lily which filled the seemingly shallow but, when entered, pretty deep peat-bottomed pools. The flowers were all wrong; standing erect some inches above the floating leaves, both sepals and petals narrowly lance-attenuate, the sepals reflexed and pointing down to the water. This was quite new to our experience, so Moore, although feeling pretty groggy, wanted to take a kodachrome-picture of it with the old man as a scale. In labeling this photograph he displayed his emotions at the time, for he called it "Water-nymphs in the G. D. Swamp" (plate 1061). The plant is so distinct that it will be described and illustrated (plates 1061-1063) in Part II.

Not far from Magnolia there was a trailing Rubus which I had never met, merely $R$. celer Bailey, its range extended southward from Arlington and Fairfax Counties. But the next two Rubi represented not merely range-extensions. In the rery wet and perpetually inundated margin of the Great Dismal Swamp, near Wallaceton, there was a strange relative of $R$. cuneifolius, which is xerophytic. This shrub, in the wettest of habitats, looked unfamiliar. It proves to be a novelty, described and figured (plates 1070 and 1071) in Part II, its nearest ally apparently being $R$. Humei Bailey of Florida, said by its author to be "the only paludose species" of the section. Desiring to get really good rhizomes of Dryopteris celsa, which had been much on my mind since I suddenly found myself condemned to "do" the ferns for the Manual, we proceeded to the cypress-swamp along Northwest River northeast of Wallaceton to get them. There, in the shade of Taxodium, another and very "different" Rubus blocked our path. So definitely not of the ordinary run and occupying so distinctive a habitat, it had to be collected. In September, when Clement was with Long and me, we found it characteristic of other cypress-swamps up to 50 miles away. It has a real range and definite habitat; so I am forced to describe and illustrate it (plates 1072-1074) in Part II. The reedmarshes at Northwest and at Blackwater failed to yield any real novelties, though at Northwest the marsh had Carex Mitchelliana M. A. Curtis of fresh habitats mingling its roots with those of the usually extreme halophyte, Scirpus Olneyi! Southeast of Blackwater, at Pellitory Point along Back Bay, almost in North Carolina, Carex hormathodes abounded, its previous southern limit in the tidal marshes of the James. It may now be looked for on Currituck Sound in North Carolina.

In September of 1946 (5th to 18th) my student, Ian D. Clement, drove Long and me to Virginia, our new headquarters being in a very comfortable cabin at the Virginia Diner in Wakefield. Airfield Pond had pretty well filled after the repairing of its dam and we got nothing not seen the year before, although several species, Sabatia difformis and some others, were more abundant, while the draining of the pond seemed to have put a temporary check upon others. Whitefield Pond was still overflowing but we got better material of some of the June specialities
at the only bit of beach exposed, and began the collecting of Eleocharis vivipara Link, a southern species heretofore known in the state only from near Cape Henry but from our first day to the last now repeatedly appearing about the ponds from Southampton to Princess Anne.

One of the localities indicated to us by Mr. Hubricht as almost unique in southeastern Virginia, in being rich woods with the deep carpet of leafmold undisturbed (instead of scraped down to the underlying clay or sand for use on the truck-farms) was near a most unpromising tangle of railroads, sidings, abandoned roads and dumps just to the east of Suffolk. We looked askance at the abandoned dirt-road he indicated on his map but, after trying others, came back to it and in one minute were at the margin of a fine piece of oak-hickory woods, bordered on one side by a dump of broken glass and rubbish, on another by an old field, on a third by a railroad. Starting in at the upper edge of the woods we promptly came to a small branch bordered by the rare Chelone obliqua; then the largest colony we had ever imagined, mostly in fruit, of Hexalectris, which always means good soil; then Sanicula Smallii Bicknell at a new eastern limit. With them were other good things but we were most interested in a strange Desmodium, one we did not remember having seen and which Dr. Schubert (at the moment of this writing studying and photographing at Geneva the types of the Desmodia described in De Candolle's Prodromus) assures us is undescribed. Trying to get rooting specimens, we followed the horizontal roots by cutting away hickory- and oak-roots and eventually landed complete roots. The fruiting stem arose from a sweet-potato-like enlargement which terminated a long filiform root, fringed with tuberclebearing rootlets, and itself terminated by a slender "sweetpotato". We had started something! Coming up from the woods to a fallen $\log$ we quickly disposed of lunch and then rested for an hour or two by digging out root-systems of Desmodium viridiflorum, paniculatum and nudiflorum, each very distinctive. The die was cast; there was no turning back. Every species of Desmodium we came to after that must be dug. D. lineatum of dry pineland had a stout tap-root descending vertically through a mesh of oak- and pine-roots, so that it was necessary to cut out a broad pit a foot and a half deep and even then to miss the tip.

Then a second one must be dug, that both Long and I might each have one. D. pauciflorum of rich woodland loam has filiform, horizontal roots ending in tubers resembling small peanuts. In fact, if one really wants to distinguish the species of Desmodium he should know the roots! One plant can be dug, on the average, in half-an-hour to an hour. I am not making a key to the species wholly dependent on the roots. There are many which we do not yet know. It is going to be interesting, for instance, to see what sphagnicolous D. tenuifolium T. \& G. has for roots. Dr. Schubert, furthermore, is in the midst of a monograph of the genus in North America. She has been working with bracts, calyx, articles (segments of loment), leaves and such superficial characters. We are merely trying to do our little part by helping her get at the root of her problem!

Clement, absorbed in the intricacies of Sida, was anxious to see the type-colony of S. inflexa Fern. in Rhodora, xlii. 463, pl. 638 and 639 , figs. 1-3 (1940). In his studies he has been able to show me that I had mixed two species and that S. inflexa is indeed an endemic species of southeastern Virginia only. The type-region is the woods along Three Creek, perhaps 2 miles above Carey Bridge over the Nottoway. The banks and very rich woods along the western side of the Nottoway there have been very productive. There has been the only actually known station for the rare and evasive true Sphenopholis pallens, discussed in Rhodora, 1. c. 356 and 357 and again in vol. xliii. 494 and 534 (1941); and growing near it was the relatively rare Aconitum uncinatum, var. acutidens Fern. in Rhodora, xliv. 398, pl. 720, figs. 2-4 (1942). Nearby grow Tetragonotheca helianthoides and true southern Polygala polygama at one of the two known stations in Virginia, as well as numerous other rarities. As soon as we turned into the wood-road above Carey Bridge we were disheartened. The country thereabouts was being improved! Everywhere the woods were torn up and heavy bulldozers and other instruments of so-called improvement had dug away or heaped in great mounds the whole substratum nearly up to the crossing of Three Creek. The only station for Sphenopholis pallens was covered by many feet of earth and the preparation for a new approach to a presumably new bridge had obliterated the Aconitum and many more mere plants. Who cares? Fearing that Tetragonotheca,
the Sida and their local associates were also gone, we were relieved to find that these, at least, were still spared, but we do not know what may have been happening since. Sida inflexa was in fine form, much better than we had heretofore known it. We were thankful for that little bit.

At last (on the 8th) we got to the little bog about half-a-mile west of Kilby. Immediately the shouting began. While I was collecting one speciality or showing it to Clement, Long was calling to me to come and see what he had found. In fact, this small pocket was a regular rhynchosporicetum, with half the species of Virginia jostling one another, all the common species and some less common, like $R$. debilis Gale in Rhodora, xlvi. 194, pl. 826, figs. 5A and 5B (1944), R. rariflora (Michx.) Ell., and R. chalarocephala Fern. \& Gale in Rhodora, xlii. 426, figs. 1 and 2 (1940); and here I began to be worried by $R$. perplexa Britton, for the spikelets were so much more crowded and so much larger than in the Florida type. From now on we collected it and in Part II, I shall discuss and illustrate it (plate 1060). The great show, however, was not from Rhynchospora. All over the bog the roseate inflorescences were abundantly supplied by the stiff spikes of Liatris spicata, var. resinosa (Nutt.) Gaiser in Rhodora, xlviii. 216 (1946), described by Nuttall from "the Pine forests of North and South Carolina", its viscid, dark purple involucres strongly contrasting with the rose-pink flowers; and the broad corymbs of Carphephorus tomentosus (Michx.) Torr., var. Walteri (Ell.) Fern. in Rhodora, xlii. 481 (1940), which had been known in Virginia only in dry pine-barrens farther inland. Earlier in the morning the rose-color would have been increased by the petals of Rhexia mariana, var. purpurea Michx. (R. Nashii Small) and R. ciliosa, both of which drop their petals too promptly for any but very early risers. Yellow was supplied by the panicles of the southern (Texas to Georgia, north to southeastern Virginia) Solidago nemoralis, var. Haleana Fern., 1. c. xxxviii. 227, pl. 431, figs. 1 and 2 (1936) and by a perfectly glabrous extreme, such as we had previously found on the bog near Tom Hunter's at the southwest corner of the county, of the usually densely pilose- or villous-stemmed S. fistulosa (S. pilosa Walt., S. villosa Ell.), an extreme to be more formally defined in Part II. More brilliantly yellowing the upper border of the bog were the earliest expanding
heads of Coreopsis oniscicarpa Fern. in Rhodora xl. 472, pl. 533 and 534 (1938). Mingled with these but making less display were such nice plants of bogs or wet pine-barrens of the South as Ctenium aromaticum, true Xyris flexuosa (arenicola Small), Aletris aurea, Paspalum praecox Walt., var. Curtisianum (Steud.) Vasey, Hypoxis micrantha Pollard, Desmodium tenuifolium, Hy pericum setosum L., Ludwigia hirtella and pilosa Walt., and Gentiana Porphyrio (in bud). Such a congregation of the elect certainly indicated what must have been the boggy spots when Banister or Clayton first knew southeastern Virginia, these tiny remnants of unaltered country now excessively rare. But that was not all; the good things enumerated are merely the background of the picture. Crawling on hands and knees we began to unravel the tangle; a strange little grass, suggesting Paspalum setaceum but with essentially glabrous foliage, to be described and illustrated in Part II (plate 1057); an overripe but strange Ludwigia which could only be the southern L. virgata Michx., the first known in Virginia; a Crotalaria quite new to us and growing in Sphagnum, not in dry sand, a novelty to be described later and illustrated in plate 1075; and almost mixed with it an utterly strange Panicum with sheaths and leaves gummy with black wart-like atoms, an apparently new species (plate 1059). When we quit near twilight we were not at all certain that we had crawled over and thoroughly investigated every square yard of the bog, but we were temporarily satisfied and, after reporting general results to our friendly hosts at Wakefield, were regularly asked at the end of each day if we had "hit the jack-pot" again.

We certainly did not again approach that day's record but another day, when we had gone hopefully to points farther north, to be somewhat disappointed, we returned to the area. On the northern side of the railroad there was a smaller piece of the bog which we had barely touched, and, reasoning that other such spots might be farther west along the unspoiled right-of-way, we tried out our theory. Less than a mile farther west there was, indeed, a small duplicate of the first bog, with many of the same specialties and with Lachnocaulon anceps so atypical in aspect as to raise our hopes. Best of all, here was a dwarf Scutellaria which proves to be $S$. integrifolia, var. multiglandulosa Kearney ( $S$. multiglandulosa Epling), the first from north of Georgia. In the
smaller portion of the first bog some of the now familiar species had greatly developed their inflorescences in only four days and there Lyonia ligustrina was reduced to its lowest stature, fruiting and evidently fully developed though only $1.5-2 \mathrm{dm}$. high (see plate 1077). Other surprises of this and near-by areas may be held over for Part II for they would seem trivial after a boggy area which had yielded 6 plants new to science, with 2 others new to Virginia and 17 others which had been known from only 1-3 stations.

Reasoning that the various shallow and fresh ponds back of or among the dunes along the coast would have available shores at low tide and remembering many specialties of such habitats found during our earliest Virginian work, when we had a center at Virginia Beach, we decided to try them. Proceeding to Little Creek in northwestern Princess Anne, we found much of the area under military or naval restrictions; so, since all ponds were, for the moment, alike to us, we bypassed, without seeing it, Bradford Lake, a full mile long, and headed for Lake Joyce, even longer and situated south and east of Chesapeake Beach. But, driving out from the turnpike, we promptly came to a tiny nameless pond less than a quarter of a mile long and went to work. It was well on in the afternoon when we stopped to eat lunch and approaching twilight when we quit. Big Lake Joyce and Bradford Lake and smaller Chub Lake with its half-mile of bordering marshy flat, all easily accessible from Chesapeake Beach, are still unknown to us. The little pond was bordered by a turf of Eleocharis, Juncus, Cladium mariscoides and other such plants. Eleocharis olivacea and the now very familiar $E$. vivipara alternated or commingled, and growing by itself there was another, the tropical American E. flavescens (Poir.) Urban, heretofore known in the Manual-range only from Virginia Beach and at Cape May, New Jersey. We trampled on the continuous carpets of the usually very local Ludwigia brevipes as if it were a mere carpet-weed; but, kneeling down to collect some good strands of it, we stopped abruptly. The Hypericum was surely the northern $H$. boreale, heretofore unknown so far south except in the mountains. And the Galium, closely resembling G. tinctorium (Claytoni) puzzled us on account of its large fruit and very long pedicels, merely G. tinctorium, var. floridanum Wiegand, its range extended north from Florida and here mingling with Hypericum
boreale at its southern limit. That was pretty good, but we must look over the dune-hollows. Of course the regular plants were there: Triplasis purpurea more than a meter high; Oenothera humifusa, Galium hispidulum, Lechea maritima, var. virginica Hodgdon in Rhodora, xl. 109, pl. 490, fig. 9 (1938), Diodia teres, var. hystricina Fern. \& Griscom in Rhodora, xxxix. 307, pl. 469, fig. 4 (1937). Here also was Cassia nictitans, var. hebecarpa Fern. 1. c. xxxviii. 423, pl. 448, figs. 1-3 (1936), heretofore known in Virginia only from the sands of Northampton County. But we were especially pleased with the Eupatorium which abounded in the hollows. Off-hand one might pass it for $E$. leucolepis but its very thick and thumb-like tuberous roots are all wrong for the latter species. It proves to be the southeastern $E$. recurvans Small, which we had previously seen only about the Great Dismal Swamp. Starting toward Wakefield at the end of a full day, we were driving as rapidly as allowed on the broad turnpike when Long, famous for such last-moment stunts, shouted "Stop". There, in a cultivated field, was a dominating and very tall weed strange to us. It proves to be the oriental Echinochloa stagnina (Retz.) Beauv., apparently not previously known as naturalized in America.

Just one more locality may here be noted. Early in the summer of 1937 Long and I had found very interesting and localized plants on the sandy and peaty beach of Darden's (or Predler's) Pond, north of Courtland, but we had never been there since. We wanted to see what the autumn would show. The shore which we had formerly explored proved to have been thoroughly punched by hoofs, so, climbing across the dam, which we were sure the hogs could not do, we came to an unspoiled sandbordered beach full of local plants. Until mid-afternoon, when empty stomachs urged us back to the car, we were studying and collecting the usual intricate series in Eleocharis and other technical groups, but we did not have to puzzle over the very definite E. tricostata at the third Virginian station, nor Scutellaria integrifolia, var. multiglandulosa, which we had been getting on the bog near Kilby. Juncus canadensis, var. euroauster Fern. in RhoDORA, xlvii. 127 , pl. 881, figs. 1-3 (1945) was in fine fruit, and we again wondered at the relative bigness and crowding of the spikelets of the Virginian representative of Rhynchospora perplexa. When we came to a diving-board, ranks of seats in the
woods, summer-cottages and evident meeting-places we turned back but another day returned to follow the beach toward the north. The little settlement proved to be a gathering-place for Boy Scouts of the state, presented and maintained by the liberal former Governor Darden. Stating our errand to the warden, we proceeded to follow up other nice plants, only three of which will here be noted. Among the ordinary broad-leaved Cephalanthus occidentalis of boggy thickets there were clumps with very narrow "willowy" leaves, this extreme form to be accounted for in Part II. We had been having a repetition of Moore's and my experience with Fraxinus caroliniana, which here showed uncanny variation in its fruit, but the tree which really delighted us was a large evergreen or semi-evergreen oak with great rounded and dense crown and drooping fruiting branchlets, which was scattered back of the beach through the woods. This was clearly the Darlington Oak, Quercus hemisphaerica Bartr. ex Willd., discussed and illustrated by me in Rhodora, xlviii. 137 et seq., pl. 1035 and 1036 (1946). Our previous collections had been from small trees in the gum-swamp along West Neck Creek west of Pungo in Princess Anne. Here at Darden Pond the trees are very handsome and fully grown. Returning to the car, we stopped to investigate a strange-looking Physalis in the sandy woods. It was not merely strange-looking; it was really so strange that it will be discussed and illustrated (plates 1080 and 1081, figs. 4 and 5) in Part II.

Thus we have again demonstrated that, in spite of almost complete clearing, settling and cultivating of many areas of southeastern Virginia, there is plenty yet to do for the botanist who knows what to avoid as ordinary, what to collect as unusual. Almost every wholly natural and unmolested spot has its isolated specialties. The great problem is to locate these spots, often so small in area as to be insignificant on a map or an aerial photograph. Only by learning the more conspicuous "indicators" and promptly following up their indications (before the plow or bulldozer gets there) can we find the last remnants of what originally must have been the most varied and numerically the largest indigenous flora in the Manual-area. Many species, found by early botanists, are apparently gone but many others, not seen by them, still linger. These we want to know about before Man has destroyed them.

## Part II. Range-extensions, Range-abbreviations, Technical Notes and Descriptions

In Part II, as in previous papers of this Virginia series, I have assembled in taxonomic sequence and often with fuller discussion the principal records of range-extensions and descriptions of novelties which are noted in the diffuse narrative, as well as others not there noted. Several revisions of groups, growing partly out of the Virginia work, are noted, and, in order to assemble the Virginian specialties, several species and varieties already published elsewhere are here drawn in and in many cases indicated as additions to the flora of the state, the original collections justifying their being noted here. Several others, the status of which in Virginia has long been questionable, are also discussed, an attempt having been made to trace the sources of such records. Most of the plates, some of them of highly inartistic subjects, were prepared with her accustomed skill by Dr. Bernice G. Schubert before her departure to Europe to study and photograph hundreds of types. Most unfortunately I must humbly apologize to her for failing completely to remove some films of the almost (but not quite) transparent adhesive over numbers or other points in a few plates, these having been left with me to clean finally before they went to the engraver. Five plates, more recently made, are the work of my student, Ian D. Clement, our companion on the last September trip. The cost of the engravers' blocks has been met through an appropriation for personal research from the Department of Biology of Harvard University. For meeting the expense of their reproduction I am again indebted to my always alert companion on many botanical explorations for more than thirty years, Mr. Bayard Long. Plants thought to have been previously (except in the cases above noted) unrecorded from Virginia are indicated by an asterisk (*).
*Dryopteris Thelypteris (L.) Gray, var. pubescens (Lawson) A. R. Prince, forma suaveolens (Clute) A. R. Prince. Princess Anve County: fresh tidal reed-marsh along Blackwater (reek ("River" of contour sheet), below Blackwater, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,870.

Very tall (up to 1.05 m .) and, in September at least, scenting the marsh with a strong coumarin-odor, suggestive of "Hay-
scented Fern". The odor not evident in the specimens after the lapse of a year.
D. Goldiana (Hook.) Gray. Surry County: lower slopes of rich calcareous wooded gullies along James River, Claremont Wharf, Fernald \& Long, no. 8006, Smith \& Hodgdon in Pl. Exsicc. Gray., no. 1003; rich wooded gullies along James River, below Sunken Meadow Beach, $F$. \& L., no. 8007; very abundant in rich deciduous woods $11 / 2$ miles east of Blizzard's Corner, F. \& L., no. 9235.

Through my failure to understand the rather definite characters which separate Dryopteris celsa (W. Palmer) Small, of inundated acid swamps, cypress-swamps, cypress-knees and -logs and wet woods of the southeastern Coastal Plain, from the really different D. Goldiana of rich (mostly calcareous) woods, chiefly northward and inland, the numbers above cited were erroneously distributed or reported as D. celsa. Briefly stated, the distinctions which I propose to publish in the Manual (if the rights to copyright are not endangered by someone else copying this item and himself copyrighting it first-such things happen) between D. celsa, D. cristata (L.) Gray, var. Clintoniana (D. C. Eaton) Underw., D. Filix-mas (L.) Schott (which comes down nearly to meet D. Goldiana in the North) and D. Goldiana, follow.

a. Lowest pinnae of fertile frond with (15-) $20-31$ pairs of definite pinnules; blades ovate to ovate-oblong, one half to five sixths as broad as long; basal scales of stipe firm, castaneous to blackish, lustrous; plant of rich temperate woodland.
D. Goldiana.

Dryopteris Goldiana in the calcareous ravines of the lower

James thus takes its place with other upland and inland calcicolous species of the same region.
*Lycopodium complanatum L., var. flabelliforme Fernald, forma brachypodum, f. nov., a forma typica recedit pedunculo $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo.-Sussex County, Virginia: forming a broad carpet on the sandy wooded terrace of Nottoway River, 3 miles north-northwest of Bethel Church, September 9, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,171.

Forma brachypodum, which forms a large mat where we found it, was only sparsely fruiting. It strongly contrasts with the usual and typical var. flabelliforme in having the common peduncle so short as to appear, without close examination, to be wanting, the peduncle in var. flabelliforme usually being very evident, $3-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.

Potamogeton diversifolius Raf. When I treated this species in Mem. Am. Acad. xvii, pt. 1, 105-108 (1932) I had seen it from only one station in southeastern Virginia, that in Princess Anne County. It proves to be generally distributed from Northampton and Princess Anne Counties inland to Henrico, Dinwiddie and Greensville Counties.

Najas in the Virginian Estuaries.-In Rhodora, xliii. 527 (1941) I wrote, in discussing some of the estuarine plants of southeastern Virginia: "As if it were not enough, for an estuary already 'worked out', Najas at low tide began to upset our calculations. The material secured belongs to three species. Before they can be satisfactorily settled additional collections, especially at a later season, must be secured." More material has been collected but I find myself still puzzled as to exact identities. I have tentatively placed them in the three generally recognized eastern species, but close study by one more familiar with the technical characters is likely to lead to readjustment.
*?Najas flexilis (Willd.) Rostk. Plants temporarily so identified are from two river-systems. King William County: fresh tidal margin of Mattaponi River, northwest of King William Courthouse, Fernald \&\& Long, no. 12,520. New Kent County: fresh tidal marsh by Chickahominy River at "Shady Rest", southeast of Windsor Shades (Boulevard Post Office), Fernald \& Long, no. 12,523. Charles City County: fresh tidal margin of Chickahominy River, near Cypress Bank Landing, F. \& L L., no. 13,214.

On a sheet of no. $12,520 \mathrm{Dr}$. R. T. Clausen has noted departures from typical Najas flexilis in length of style, slenderness of fruits, etc. To these should be added fleshier and firmer leaves. I leave the problem to Clausen, who has done clarifying work on the genus.
N. Guadalupensis (Spreng.) Morong. To the published records add the following. King William County: fresh tidal shore of Mattaponi River at Horse Landing, near King William Courthouse, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,510; similar habitat northwest of King William Courthouse, no. 12,522. Princess Anne County: shallow pools in brackish to fresh marsh along Back Bay east of Creeds, Fernald \& Long, no. 10,871; shallow pool in brackish to fresh marsh along Back Bay, at eastern margin of Long Island, $F . \& L$., no. 10,478; fresh to brackish water over sandy bottom, Back Bay, Long Island (extreme depth of water about 4 feet), $F$. \& L., no. 10,872.
?N. gracillima (A. Br.) Morong. King William County: fresh tidal margin of Mattaponi River, northwest of King William Courthouse, Fernald \& Long, no. 12,521. New Kent County: fresh tidal marsh by Chickahominy River, Walker, F. \& L., no. 13,511; fresh tidal marsh by Lacy Creek, west of Walker, F. \& L., no. 13,510. Charles City County: fresh tidal margin of Chickahominy River near Cypress Bank Landing, F. \& L., no. 13,213, and at Graves Landing, north of Holderoft, no. 13,512.

The material from tidal flats in eastern Virginia and from along the Delaware seems to differ from true $N$. gracillima of fresh sandy or peaty pools in different texture and, perhaps, shoulders of the stipular sheaths. Again I commend the problem to Dr. Clausen.
*Sagittaria planipes, sp. nov. (tab. 1056, fig. 1-6), a $S$. latifolia differt bracteis planis nec cucullatis vel navicularibus; pedicellis compressis planis; antheris ovatis $1-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis.Norfolk County, Virginia: deep peat and mud, southeastern shore of Lake Drummond, Great Dismal Swamp, west of Wallaceton, September 15, 1941, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,517 (type in Herb. Gray.).

Sagittaria planipes superficially suggests S. latifolia Willd., var. obtusa (Muhl.) Wiegand, having very similar leaves and achenes. Its flowering tufts, however, terminate very long and deeply buried slender caudices without evident bulbous enlargement. The bracts subtending the pedicels are flat (fig. 2), instead of cucullate or boat-shaped (FIG. 7); the pedicels strongly
flattened (figs. 3 and 4), instead of terete, very strongly ascending, the lower ones in maturity $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the pedicels of $S$. latifolia and var. obtusa usually more spreading or spreadingascending, terete, and the lower ones rarely so prolonged. The anthers of S. planipes (Fig. 5) are ovate or ovate-quadrate and only $1-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the nearly linear anthers (fig. 8) of S. latifolia, var. obtusa being $1.5-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Differing in its bracts, pedicels and anthers, S. planipes is apparently a distinct species, although further material may prove it to be a strong variety of S. latifolia.

The collection consists of one fairly respectable specimen (FIG. 1) and a second badly eaten individual, not the kind of representation of a plant the collectors aim to secure. The material was got under rather strenuous conditions which I described in Rhodora, xliv. 365 (1942):

Lake Drummond was so phenomenally low that it had been difficult to navigate, on account of drowned cypress-knees, and it was, consequently, necessary to anchor hundreds of yards from the thicket and to wade, often slipping on submerged logs, to shore. At one point on the southeastern side, where we saw a vivid green carpet of low vegetation, Long and I struggled ashore, guiding ourselves by means of oars as sounding-rods and sinking each step well above our knees into the plastic clay of the bottom. Even after we got to the green carpet the clay, above low-water level, was so pasty and deep that we wallowed and tumbled with great ease but kept enough poise and breath to collect only with extreme difficulty. Pulling and grabbing as best we could we brought to the boat a miscellany of specimens and, after it was too late, we discovered that the Sagittaria of this deep mud had peculiar bracts and strongly compressed pedicels; furthermore it evidently has prolonged subterranean rhizomes. The material is rather inadequate and we needed more conclusive specimens. Unfortunately, however, when, in October, we tried to get to Lake Drummond for it, the Feeder was closed to navigation on account of repairs going on. The Sagittaria is one of many problems left for the future.
The war-years making Lake Drummond inaccessible to us, I now venture to describe the strange Sagittaria, hoping another season to secure fuller and better material.

## The Identity of the Linnaean Alisma cordifolia.-

Echinodorls cordifolits (L.) Griseb. in Goett. Abh. vii. 257 -repr. 109 (1857), at least as to basonym, Alisma cordifolia L. Sp. Pl. 343 (1753). Sagittaria radicans Nutt. in Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. v. 159 (1837). E. radicans (Nutt.) Engelm. in Gray, Man. 460 (1848) and ed. 2: 438 (1856).

It is astounding that the species, Echinodorus cordifolius, based directly on Alsima cordifolia L. Sp. Pl. (1753) "Habitat in Virginia" and with Morison's very characteristic figure cited by Linnaeus "Sagittaria virginiana, obtusiore lato folio, floribus albis. Moris. hist. 3. p. 618. s. 15. t. 4. f. 6"', should have been generally treated as a South American species unlike that of Virginia, or, more recently, as being the much smaller E. rostratus (Nutt.) Engelm., the latter based on the small and erect Alisma rostrata Nutt., 1. c. of the Mississippi drainage, thence westward and southwestward. The plant of southeastern Virginia, along the James, Blackwater and Nottoway Rivers, is exactly E. radicans, that species extending up the Coastal Plain from Florida, across eastern South and North Carolina. Morison's figure of his Sagittaria virginiana is quite characteristic and the type in the Linnaean Herbarium shows portions of two inflorescences, one just out of anthesis, the other in fruit, either of which could have come from the bottomlands of the James or the Nottoway. The microfilm of the type and the enlargement of it in the file at the Arnold Arboretum is unquestionable. It is no longer right to follow those authors who insist that the plant of Virginia really came from South America or is E. rostratus of the Mississippi drainage and westward. When Nuttall described the latter, as Alisma rostrata, he said "Nearly allied, apparently, to A. cordifolia of South America". "How do they get that way?" The original account by Linnaeus was, seemingly, of no importance. ${ }^{1}$
*Dactylis glomerata L., var. detonsa Fries. Norfolk County: very abundant on roadsides and in damp old clearings and thickets, north of Wallaceton, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,030.
*D. glomerata L., var. multiflora G. Beck. Greensville County: soft shoulder of road north of Skipper's, Fernald, no. 14,773.
Triplasis purpurea (Walt.) Chapm. In Gray's Manual, ed. 7, Hitchock states the height or length of the culms as 3-8 dm.,

[^100]in his Manual of Grasses as " 30 to 75 cm. ." On the coast of New England the culms may stop at a height of 1 dm .; among the dunes of Chesapeake Beach, Princess Anne County, Virginia (Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,174), they reach a height of 1.2 m . See p. 101.

Agrostis scabra Willd. Isle of Wight County : large stools in a moist sandy and peaty clearing south of Lee's Mill, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,032.

Our first evidence of the relatively northern Agrostis scabra in a region where the much smaller and earlier $A$. hyemalis (Walt.) BSP. abounds. See Fernald in Rhodora, xxxv. 207, 208, pl. 246 (1933).

## The Identity of Cornucopiae altissima. -

Agrostis altissima (Walt.) Tuckerm. in Am. Journ. Sci. xlv. 44 (1843), excl. var. Cornucopiae altissima Walt. Fl. Carol. 74 (1788). Trichodium elatum Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. i. 61 (1814). A. elata (Pursh) Trin. Mém. Acad. St. Pétersb. ser. VI. Sci. Nat. iv. 317-repr. 71 (1841). Trichodium altissimum (Walt.) Michx. ex Wood, Class-bk. ed. 2: 599 (1847). A. perennans elata (Pursh) Hitchc. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Pl. Indus. Bull. no. 68: 50, pl. xxxiii. (1905). A. hyemalis, var. elata (Pursh) Fernald in RhodoRA, xxiii. 229 (1921). A. perennans, var. elata (Pursh) Hitche. Man. Grasses U. S. 340, 784 (1935).

Since Pursh's original account of his Trichodium elatum cited as pure synonyms the earlier Cornucopiae altissima Walt. (Pursh liking another name which had the same meaning) and Agrostis dispar Michx., the name Trichodium elatum was illegitimate on two counts, for Pursh failed to take up either of the earlier names of plants which he considered identical with his. Hitchcock, in U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Pl. Indus. Bull. no. 68: 50 (1905), quoting Walter's brief diagnosis, "culmo erecto, duro; panicula coaretata; flor. magnis [as compared with those of $C$. perennans immediately preceding]", wrote as follows:
"This description is scarcely sufficient to identify the species, which is not represented in Walter's herbarium. The plant which I have referred to $A$. elata is quite rare and there are few specimens from the Carolinas, the region covered by Walter's Flora, and it certainly is not common. There does not seem sufficient evidence for taking up Walter's name, nor is it likely to be any better known in the future. The name is inserted here [among synonyms] because later authors have assumed that this was Trichodium elatum Pursh. It is more likely to have been Agrostis alba L."

Of that more below. Of Trichodium elatum Hitchcock wrote: "The type specimen, or at least one collected by Pursh, is in the herbarium at Kew"; while regarding Agrostis elata (Pursh) Trin., which Trinius had based nomenclaturally directly on "Trichodium elatum Pursh Fl. Amer. I, p. 61", Hitchcock (by some thought to understand the principles of plant-nomenclature) said "The type specimen is in the Trinius herbarium at St. Petersburg. There are two plants mounted on the sheet, one from pine barrens of New Jersey, collected by Doctor Torrey and sent by Greville, 1835. The other, also from New Jersey ('Nov. Caesar.'), sent by Doctor Gray, 1835. The second would be the type as it is the one first mentioned by Trinius . . . Both specimens agree with Pursh's type. It may be best to consider this primarily a change of name [it certainly was a transfer from one generic name to another!], in which case the type is Pursh's plant." Obviously, it would seem, when Trinius cited the Pursh name as the nomenclatural basis of Agrostis elata he did not change the type!

Now as to Agrostis altissima (Walt.) Tuckerm. Tuckerman based his specific epithet on that of Walter, although he, like Pursh and others, added to the synonymy Agrostis dispar Michx., which Hitchoock has said was merely A. alba L. Furthermore, Tuckerman, citing Carolina and New Jersey specimens, gave a clear description of the Coastal Plain species with "culmis erectis duris rigidis crassiusculis, foliis . . . scaberrimis . . . , panicula coarctata ramis verticillatis erectis rigidiusculis scabris summitatibus dense floridis, glumis magnis", etc., this description ampler but containing the points given by Walter, "culmo erecto, duro; panicula coarctata; flor. magnis", a diagnosis of which Tuckerman wrote: "The description of Walter can hardly be improved as respects the prominent features of this very distinct species", although Hitchcock, never having handled fresh material (as shown by his citation of specimens) found it "scarcely sufficient to identify the species". Having many times collected and studied in the field both of Walter's species, Cornucopiae perennans and C. altissima, I concur in Tuckerman's characterization of the brief but clear diagnosis.

It should be noted that, on his page 74, Walter had two new species: first Cornucopiae perennans, "culmis subdecumbentibus;
foliis latioribus; panicula longa diffusa, ramis trichotomis verticillatis". He added further notes, of which certain ones are significant, for instance his "Gramen undique laeve, . . . in hyeme vigens, radicibus geniculisque se cito propagans". Then followed C. altissima, with erect, hard culms, crowded panicle but large spikelets. Now, when one compares the two species, Agrostis perennans and A. altissima (A. elata), as they abound in southeastern Virginia (to say nothing of the Carolinas, except that there is an old sheet of Ravenel's from "damp pineland", Santee Canal, September, in the Gray Herbarium-this from close to Walter's home, Walter's classical volume with the preface sinned from "Ripas Fluvii Santee, 30 Dec. 1787")—when the two are compared it is notable that the latter, a plant of bogs, wet pinelands and clearings or margins of pools, is upright, with hard and stiff culms, usually without any new green basal offshoots in late autumn, the cauline leaves $5-10$, with overlapping scabrous sheaths and stiff, erect narrowly linear to involute harsh blades $0.6-2 \mathrm{dm}$. long, their ligules $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; the narrowly ovoid to lanceolate panicle with few ascending and strongly scabrous branches forking above the middle into appressed, short floriferous branchlets; spikelets purplish or bronze, $2.5-3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, with slightly unequal lance-attenuate glumes, the lemma $2.3-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

On the other hand, Agrostis perennans of woods, thickets and clearings (not of bogs and wet pineland) has softer culms and, as Walter clearly stated, sends out in autumn green, overwintering basal leafy tufts. Its 3-7 loosely ascending to divergent cauline leares are flexible, flat and only slightly scabrous or smooth ("Gramen undique laeve"-W Walter), their ligules $2.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; the loosely ascending to wide-spreading branches of the panicle smooth or barely scabrous; the usually green spikelets 2 (rarely) 3 mm . long, the lemma $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. These differences in the spikelets were clearly seen by "The artist", unnamed by the author of the text but from the signature " $A . C$. ." on the plates fortunately apparent, in Hitchc. 1. c. plates xxxi-xxxiii, but their significance seems not to have been appreciated. The plates are, indeed, very fine and fully justify Hitchcock's characterization (p. 14): "The artist has faithfully reproduced all the technical details of the spikelets". Since she clearly showed the
spikelets of $A$. perennans, var. elata as 3.8 mm . long, it is too bad that this accurate measurement was not recorded in the text (or in the later Manual of Grasses), where the maximum length for the inclusive species is given as 3 mm .

Further indicating Hitchcock's failure to understand Agrostis altissima ( $A$. elata) are the facts that, in the synonymy of his misunderstood $A$. perennans, var. elata, Hitchcock (Man. of Grasses U. S. 784, 785 (1935)) places A. perennans forma chaetophora Fernald in Rhodora, xxxv. 317 (1933), the type from Huntingdon (not "Huntington"-Hitchc.) County, Pennsylvania, 150 miles inland from the Pine Barrens of New Jersey where $A$. perennans, var. elata (i. e. A. altissima) really occurs, Huntingdon County being among the Allegheny Mountains and the type being perfectly ordinary $A$. perennans but with awned lemmas; and A. perennans, var. aestivalis, forma atherophora Fernald, l. c., the type from Co. Terrebonne, Quebec (not simply "Terrebonne, Quebec"-Hitchc., the locality in Co. Terrebonne being Lac Tremblant), 325 miles north of the northern limit of Hitchcock's $A$. perennans, var. elata on the Coastal Plain of southeastern Massachusetts, forma atherophora being characteristic A. perennans var. aestivalis with 4 remote smoothish and flat cauline leaves, very diffuse panicle and spikelets 2 mm . long but with the lemma awned. Its collector had originally called it A. canina, a natural error since he used Gray's Manual, in which Hitchcock's key read as follows:

[^101]Nevertheless, in his Manual, Hitchcock did not place either $A$. canina or A. borealis in the synonymy of his vaguely understood A. perennans, although forms of them both (usually with awns) are well known with awnless lemmas. The same inconstant "Lemma awned" as opposed to "Lemma awnless" is there repeated by the author of a detailed monograph of the genus in North America! ${ }^{1}$

The upshot of this discussion is, that, since the secondarily

[^102]basic name Trichodium elatum Pursh was illegitimate, it might be necessary further to confuse matters by giving it a new and legitimate name. Since, however, the original basic Cornucopiae altissima, briefly defined by Walter to contrast with his preceding C. hyemalis and C. perennans, was assigned characters, "culmo erecto, duro; panicula coarctata; flor magnis", in contrast with those of the other two, Walter's characterization was, as Tuckerman found it, almost vividly accurate. I see no reason, from rather close familiarity with such a Coastal Plain species, why we should not maintain for it the name Agrostis altissima (Walt.) Tuckerm. If it be true that no specimen (type) of Walter's own collection now exists, then, since Pursh merely renamed and redescribed it under a substitute name, we may accept as the lectotype the Pursh specimen preserved at Kew.

The two Varieties of Spartina cynosuroides.-In coastwise Virginia as well as northward and southward Spartina cynosuroides (L.) Roth occurs as two usually well defined ecological varieties. One, typical S. cynosuroides, is primarily a plant of fresh to but slightly brackish tidal estuaries, the other, which was described by Michaux as Trachynotia polystachya, is confined to saline shores and salt marshes. The two are distinguished as follows:
S. cynosuroides (L.) Roth, Catal. Bot. iii. 10 (1806). Dactylis cynosuroides L. Sp. Pl. 71 (1753), clearly described "Spicae sex s. plurimae, secundae, divergentes", the type (photograph before me ) from Gronovius (Clayton, no. 577). The plant, with 6 or more divergent spikes is, in light of more adequate material, characterized by its inflorescence of $6-50$ spikes in an open raceme, these subdistant or distant, often definitely peduncled. It follows mostly fresh tidal shores northward to Connecticut. In southeastern Virginia it extends up-river far above the areas of salt marsh, up the James at least to Gray's Creek in Surry County. See p. 90.

Var. polystachya (Michx.) Beal, Grasses N. Am. ii. 398 (1896). Trachynotia polystachya Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 64 (1803), described with "spicis numerosis inordinatis, passim subaggregatis . . . in inundatis maritimis, a Nova Anglia ad Floridam". This Beal took to be the strictly maritime plant which is characterizeu jy the dense or soon dense inflorescence with the $30-100$
or more appressed-ascending or erectish spikes only shortpeduncled to subsessile. It extends northward in saline marshes to Cape Cod. We have not seen it on the estuaries of Virginia. Mr. Long informs me that in New Jersey, Delaware and eastern Pennsylvania the two varieties are similarly selective of habitat.

Spartina patens (Ait.) Muhl., var. monogyna (M. A. Curtis), comb. nov. Limnetis juncea, var. monogyna M. A. Curtis in Bost. Journ. Nat. Hist. i. 136 (1835). Trachynotia juncea Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 64 (1803). Limnetis juncea (Michx.) L. C. Richard in Pers. Synop. i. 72 (1805). S. juncea (Michx.) Willd. Enum. 81 (1809). S. patens, var. juncea (Michx.) Hitchc. in Rhodora, viii. 210 (1906).

Spartina patens, based on Dactylis patens Ait. (1789), has two wide-ranging varieties, each extending many handreds of miles beyond the limits of the other; but from southern New Hampshire to Virginia with ranges, but very rarely habitats, overlapping. The northern variety, true $S$. patens, follows saline or brackish marshes and inundated shores from Newfoundland around the Gulf of St. Lawrence and up the River St. Lawrence to the limit of saline marshes, thence southward around the coast to marshes of Lynnhaven Bay in northern Princess Anne County, Virginia, with inland areas in western New York and southeastern Michigan; its northern limit more than 600 miles beyond the northern limit of the next. Var. monogyna (S. patens, var. juncea) is on saline shores or, more often, on coastal sands from southern New Hampshire to Florida, thence to Texas, its southwestern limit, following the coast, more than 2000 miles beyond the southern limit of typical S. patens. The two are not only geographically largely separated; morphologically they are strikingly different. Their distinctive characters are noted below:

> Typical S. patens: rhizomes $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick; culms $1-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick at base, 1.5-8 dm. high or long; new green cauline leaves of the season usually $4(2-5)$, the blade of the 2 nd from the summit averaging $1(0.5-2) \mathrm{dm}$. long; spikes 1-4, mostly purple; spikelets $9-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, loosely imbricated, straightish, ascending, with suberect free tips; 2nd glume acuminate.

> Var. monogyna (var. juncea (Michx.) Hitchc.): mostly coarser; rhizomes $2-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick; culms $1-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick at base, $0.2-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$. high; new green cauline leaves averaging $6(5-9)$, the 2nd from summit averaging $2(1-5)$ dm. long; spikes $2-9$, purplish to stramineous; spikelets $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, tightly imbricated and strongly arching, with appressed tips; 2nd glume often merely acute or even blunt.

Although in his Manual of Grasses of the United States Hitchcock merged the two rather striking and geographically only slightly overlapping varieties (as he did numerous others
which are well defined), in his treatment in Gray, Manual, ed. 7, in deference to some who clearly saw the distinctions, he had kept them apart. ${ }^{1}$
M. A. Curtis, evidently taking Limnetis juncea to be true $S$. patens, described his new variety as follows:
"Limnètis júncea, var. monógyna. Stem about 3 feet high; Leaves 8-12 inches long; Spikes 3-6, about their length distant from each other; Style 2-cleft like L. cynosuróides. In every other particular agreeing exactly with L. júncea. Grows abundantly on the sandy beach at the mouth of Cape Fear river. L. júncea has not been found there."
The isotype of Curtis's variety in the Gray Herbarium, the label written by Curtis, is quite typical Trachynotia juncea Michx., concerning the identity of which I noted, when studying Michaux's type in 1903, "The sand-dune plant of Martha's Vineyard". Curtis wrote beneath his new name "S. juncea, Ell.!", thus indicating, as suggested above, that he thought that true (not Elliott's) Spartina juncea was the smaller S. patens which "has not been found there [at mouth of Cape Fear River]". The Curtis type or isotype is closely matched by such characteristic specimens as the following: sea-beaches, Cape May, New Jersey, August, 1872, Canby; Fernald \& Criscom, no. 2711, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, nos. 4793 and 5214, and Fernald \& Long, no. 11,235, all from sandy coast or dunes of Virginia; Ruth, no. 537 from Fort Marion, North Carolina; Biltmore Herb., no. $3516^{\text {a }}$ from sands of Smith's Island, North Carolina; Codfrey, no. 4651 from Carolina Beach, North Carolina; (iodfrey \& Tryon, no. 324 from dunehollow, Pawley's Island, South Carolina; Harper, no. 1545 from drifting sands of Cumberland Island, Georgia.

## (To be continued)

[^103]
# ADDITIONS TO AND SUBTRACTIONS FROM THE FLORA OF VIRGINIA 

M. L. Fernald<br>(Continued from page 115)

Ctenium aromaticum (Walt.) Wood. To the very local stations in Virginia add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,177.

Ordinarily Ctenium aromaticum fully justifies its name, the bruised bases emitting a delightful pungent fragrance suggestive of lemon. The clumps at the new station could not be induced to give off more than an uninteresting grassy odor. See p. 99.
*Anthoxanthum odoratum L., forma giganteum P. Junge. Abundant on roadsides of Nansemond and southwestern Norfolk Counties. Nansemond County: east of Magnolia, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,033 .

The largest extreme of the species: the culms $0.6-1 \mathrm{~m}$. high; panicle $7-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, often interrupted; spikelets $1-1.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. See p. 99 .

Paspalum Praecox Walt., var. Curtisianum (Steud.) Vasey ( $P$. lentiferum Lam.). To the two local stations, one in eastern Sussex, one in southern Greensville, add a more extensive one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, nos. 15,192 and 15,193 . See p. 99 .
*Paspalum setaceum Michx., var. calvescens, var. nov. (тAB. 1057), foliis angusto linearibus $1.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis erectis valde elongatis glabris vel sparsissime strigosis. - Nansemond County, Virginia: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, September 8 and 12, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,191 (туpe in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).

A second and more extensive colony was noted in another bog (Magnolia swamp) nearly a mile farther west. In the confusion caused by the rapid discovery of several notable plants the present writer laid down and forgot the series collected from this bog. Var. calvescens is habitally quite like the stricter states of Paspalum setaceum (details in Plate 1058, figs. 1-3) but, whereas the leaves of true $P$. setaceum are densely appressed- or strigose-villous, those of var. calvescens are essentially glabrous,
with only few remote and short trichomes. The slight difference in the shape and breadth of the spikelets is well within the range of variation in the species. In its essentially glabrous foliage var. calvescens might be mistaken for $P$. setaceum, var. longepedunculatum (Le Conte) Wood ( $P$. longepedunculatum Le Conte), but that extreme variety has shorter and broader leaves confined chiefly to the base of the plant and inclined to be loosely divergent (plate 1058, fig. 4). Typical P. setaceum prefers dry siliceous habitats; var. calvescens grows in wet Sphagnum or peat. See p. 99.
*Panicum (sub-§ Lanuginosa) glutinoscabrum, sp. nov. (TAB. 1059), planta cespitosa $7-9 \mathrm{dm}$. alta; culmis firmis erectis basin versus $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro, internodiis 5 elongatis scabropuberulentibus, pilis minutis cinereis cum verrucis viscidis vel glutinosis adspersis; nodis villoso-barbatis; foliis caulinis primariis lanceolatis ad 7 cm . longis $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis attenuatis utrinque breviter pilosis, pilis cum verrucis viscidis adspersis; vaginis papillato-verrucosis, glutinosis, breviter pilosis; ligulis $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis aciculiformibus; paniculis primariis valde exsertis ovoideis 6-9 cm. longis $6-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. diametro, rhachi minutissime puberulo, ramis adscendentibus; statu autumnali suberecto breviter ramoso, ramis adscendentibus $1-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, valde foliosis paniculis terminalibus ad 2 cm . longis; spiculis ellipsoideis subacutis $1.7-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis $0.7-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. crassis breviter hispidulis; gluma inferiore deltoideo-ovata subacuta $0.6-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, superiore lemmateque sterili aequilongis, fructum lucidum subae-quantibus.-Nansemond County, Virginia: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, September 8 and 12, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,186 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).

Panicum glutinoscabrum is a perplexing plant to orient. In the general characters of inflorescence and relatively few primary nodes and autumnal axillary branches it seems to belong to sub§ Lanuginosa, but its very glutinous or viscid quality is apparently unique. The blackish wart-like secreting glands which abound, especially on the sheaths and leaf-blades, are a striking character, the sheaths and internodes of the culm being scabridulous. See p. 99 .
*Echinochloa stagnina (Retz.) Beauv. Princess Anne County: erect weed, very abundant in a cultivated field west of Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,182.

Echinochloa stagnina is a characteristic oriental species (southern Asia, Malayan Islands and Africa) with very tall, erect stems, erect leaves, hairy ligule and relatively slender stiffish panicles, not generally (if at all) recognized as naturalized in North America. At the station in Princess Anne County the very tall (about 1.5 m .) plants were superabundant as a weed and greatly overtopped the intended crop. Like so many invaders from Asia it will doubtless rapidly spread.

The binomials, Echinochloa crusgalli (L.) Beauv., E. echinata (Willd.) Beauv., E. stagnina (Retz.) Beauv. and four others, are regularly ascribed by Index Kewensis to Beauv. Agrost. 53 (1812) but it is quite certain that Palisot de Beauvois did not there make the combinations; he did not even give the authors or the bibliographic citations for the reputed basonyms. On p. 53 he defined the new genus Echinochloa and listed as Panicum its "Spec. Panicum crusgalli, cruscorvi, echinatum, lanceolatum, setigerum, setosum, stagm $[n]$ inum, etc.". The combinations were not there made but in the Index, p. 161, they are all listed under Echinochloa, although two of them are entered with doubt: $E$. "setigera?" and E. "stagnina?". Obviously page 161 should be added to the usual reference, and we should strengthen the bibliographic reference for $E$. crusgalli by adding t. xi. fig. ii, for the detailed figures are definitely cited in Explic. Planches et Figs., 8, as of "Echinochloa crus-gall"" (the hyphen here inserted, though not used by Linnaeus). If the wavering inclusion of Panicum stagninum Retz. be considered as not validating the combination E. stagnina, then the specialists on the Gramineae and upon bibliography have a little problem in deciding who first validated the combination. I leave it to them! See p. 101.
*E. pungens (Poir.) Rydb., var. ludoviciana (Wieg.) Fern. \& Grisc. Nansemond County: wet peaty and sandy shore of Exchange Pond, southwest of Everett's Bridge, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,181.

A striking dense-panicled extreme with awnless spikelets, heretofore known in the Mississippi and Gulf drainage, northeastward to western Pennsylvania. In its occurrence on the Coastal Plain joining a large series of similarly disjunct plants.

Andropogon scoparies Michx., var. littoralis (Nash) Hitche. To the previously recorded stations add another in

Princess Anne County: border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,198.
A. Elliottii Chapm., var. gracilior Hack. To the relatively few recorded stations add another in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog (Magnolia swamp) by Norfolk and Western Railway, $1-11 / 2$ miles west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,195.

Cyperus rivularis Kunth, forma elutus (Clarke) Kükenth. Add a station in Nansemond County: fresh tidal shore of Western Branch, below Everett's Bridge, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,201.

Eleocharis flavescens (Poir.) Urban. Princess Anne County: tiny tussocks abundant at border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,207. Identification confirmed by Dr. Svenson, who, in Rноdora, xli. 47 (1939), had cited two early collections from Virginia Beach. See p. 100.
E. vivipara Link. To the single station (Lake Joyce) recorded, under the synonym E. prolifera Torr., in Rhodora, xxxviii. 359 (1936), add the following. Princess Anne County: forming continuous turf at border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,212. Southampton County: wet peaty margin of Whitefield's Millpond, southwest of Corinth, $F_{.} L$. \& C., no. 15,210; upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, F.L.\& C., nos. 15,211 and 15,213 .

Plants (such as no. 15,211 ) with umbels of 2 -several peduncled spikelets are singularly suggestive of Fimbristylis and Bulbostylis. See pp. 96 and 100.
E. tricostata Torr. To the two highly localized stations already known in eastern Virginia add one in Southampton County: upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, F. L. \& C., no. 15,214 , See p. 101.

Is Dichromena latifolia in Virginia?-So far as I can determine Dichromena latifolia Baldwin was first recorded as perhaps occurring in Virginia in Gray, Man. ed. 5: 567 (1867) but as from "S. Virginia? and southward". In the 6 th and 7th editions the mark of interrogation was dropped, although I am unable to find any evidence in the material which Gray had before him of its coming north of eastern North Carolina. Any evidence of it in the state will be welcomed. See pp. 86 and 88 .

Psilocarya scirpoides Torr., var. Grimesii Fernald \& Griscom. To the few known stations, in Princess Anne, Norfolk
and Nansemond, add one farther inland, in Southampton County: upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,206.
*P. nitens (Vahl) Wood. Sussex County: sandy and peaty shore of Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, Fernald \& Long, nos. 14,898 and 14,899 . The first from between southeastern North Carolina and Cape May, New Jersey, already recorded in Rhodora, xlviii. 58 (1946). See p. 87.

Rhynchospora chalarocephala Fernald \& Gale. To the two recorded stations in the state (one in Isle of Wight, one in Norfolk) add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,227. See p. 98.
R. debilis Gale. To the several stations (in Princess Anne, Isle of Wight, Sussex, Southampton, Dinwiddie, Prince George and Chesterfield) cited in the original publication add two in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,226; similar habitat, $1-11 / 2$ miles west of Kilby, no. 15,218 . See p. 98 .
R. rariflora (Michx.) Ell. To the scattered stations from Princess Anne to Amelia, Dinwiddie and Greensville add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,225 . See p. 98 .
R. perplexa Britton, var. virginiana, var. nov. (tab. 1060, FIG. 5-8), planta a var. typica differt culmis foliisque plerumque crassioribus; spiculis $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis sessilibus vel subsessilibus congestis; tuberculo depresso late rotundato.-Southeastern Virginia. Dinwiddie County: wet argillaceous depressions south of Petersburg, July 14, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 8603; flat pineland, Collier's Yard, 3-4 miles southwest of Petersburg, August 17, 1939, Fernald \& Long, no. 10,981; same station, August 17, 1939, Smith \& Hodgdon in Pl. Exsicc. Gray., no. 924; depression in argillaceous woods west of Winfield's Mill, October 13, 1941, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,903. Sussex County: wet peaty depression in pineland 3 to 4 miles northwest of Waverly, June 12, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 8115; upper border of sandy beach, Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, July 6, 1942, Fernald \& Long, nos. 14,297 and 14,298 . Southampton County: upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, September 15 and 16, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,231 (rype in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). Greensville County: pond-hole in pine and oak woods near Three Creek, north of Emporia, September 19, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 9282 . Surry County: exsiccated argillaceous pond-hole in
woods, about 1 mile south of Mercy Seat Church, August 23, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 8989.

Typical Rhynchospora perplexa (our plate 1060, figs. 1-4) has, as Dr. Gale described and illustrated it, in Rhodora, xlvi. 270, plate 832 , fig. 3B (1944), the "tubercle broadly deltoid", the straight sides sloping to a definite terminal angle. This is the characteristic tubercle of the type-series from Chapman (our FIG. 2) and in essentially all material from south of Virginia, only a very exceptional individual (as in the central one of fig. 3 and the right-hand ones of Fig. 4) showing a slightly round-topped tubercle. In all the Virginian material the tubercle is more depressed and broadly rounded above and the sessile or subsessile spikelets are $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the often less crowded or definitely pedicelled spikelets of the more southern typical $R$. perplexa being only $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. In general, furthermore, the Virginian series shows rather stouter culms and broader channeled leaves, although this tendency is not specially significant. The depressed and round-topped skullcap-like tubercle and the larger and more nearly sessile spikelets sufficiently mark the Virginian series as a relatively northern variety, which is apparently isolated by 150 miles from the northern limit of typical $R$. perplexa. With the material in most of the larger herbaria before her and the rich collections from North Carolina in the herbarium of the University of North Carolina and the very full North Carolina series assembled by Godfrey, Dr. Gale could cite only one collection from the state, that from Columbus County ${ }^{1}$ in the southeastern corner of the state, fully 150 miles south of the Virginian area. See pp. 98 and 101.

Cladium mariscoides (Muhl.) Torr. To the previously known eastern Virginian stations (along North Landing and Northwest Rivers) add another in Princess Anne County: border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,233. See p. 100.

Scleria minor (Britton) Stone. To the few recorded stations, from farther inland, add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog south of Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,050. See p. 94.
*Carex mesochorea Mackenz. Surry County: rich low woods west of Claremont, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,056.

[^104]Not recorded by Mackenzie, in N. Am. Fl., from Virginia. Possibly already known in the state.
C. decomposita Muhl. To the scattered stations, all in cypressswamps, already recorded in James City, Isle of Wight and Southampton add one in Sussex County: on stumps of Taxodium, Niblett's Millpond, southwest of Newville, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,912 .
C. hormathodes Fernald. To the few known Virginian stations, along the lower James River, add one not far from the North Carolina boundary in Princess Anne County: borders of shallow pools in brackish to fresh marsh along Back Bay, Pellitory Point, east of Munden, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,053. Less than 5 miles from North Carolina. See p. 95.
C. reniformis (Bailey) Small. To the single known Virginian station in western Southampton add another in eastern Southampton County: dry sand of open alluvial flat by Blackwater River, southeast of Unity, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,055.
*C. crinita Lam., var. brevicrinis Fernald in Rhodora, xlviii. 54 (1946). Type from near Rowanta, Dinwiddie County. Many stations in James City, Sussex, Dinwiddie and Brunswick Counties.
C. Mitchelliana M. A. Curtis. To the few recorded stations add one in Norfolk County: mixed with Scirpus Olneyi in fresh tidal reed-marsh along Northwest River below Northwest, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,058. See p. 95.
C. debilis Michx., var. Rudgei Bailey. To the few recorded stations add one in Surry County: low rich woods west of Claremont, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,061.
*Lemna valdiviana Philippi, var. abbreviata Hegelm. Norfolk County: in a spring at sandy and peaty margin of Lake Drummond, near Jericho Ditch, Great Dismal Swamp, west of Wallaceton, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,575.

A striking and very extreme variety of the common $L$. valdiviana, its transclucent and veinless fronds broadly elliptic or oval, instead of much narrower. The first in the Gray Herbarium from north of Florida. Hegelmaier described it from tropical South America and Mexico, extending north to Florida and California.

Eriocaulon decangulare L. To the two recorded stations (in Norfolk and Prince George) add a very extensive one in Sussex County: wet sandy and peaty shore of Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, Fernald \& Long, nos. 14,923 and 14,924. See p. 87.
E. Parkeri Robinson. Add a station in Nansemond County: fresh tidal shore of Western Branch, below Everett's Bridge, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,238.

Lachnocaulon anceps (Walt.) Morong. Local range extended eastward in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog (Magnolia swamp) by Norfolk and Western Railway, 1-11/2 miles west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,239. See p. 99.

Exit Syngonanthus flavidulus.-Syngonanthus flavidulus (Michx.) Ruhland stands in our manuals as a Virginian. Nevertheless, the failure during several seasons to find it in the proper habitat, white sands of pine-barren in spring or early summer, has naturally raised doubt as to the record. The species started as Eriocaulon flavidulum Michaux, Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 166 (1803) "Hab. in Carolina." The type-sheet, of which a photograph is before me, bears no record of locality and "Carolina" may have been assumed by L. C. Richard after Michaux's death. At least, Elliott, Sketch Bot. S. C. and Ga. ii. 567 (1824), could do no more than say "In Carolina. Mich. Pursh. I have not met with this species in the low country of Carolina". No Virginian material of it exists in the principal herbaria of the country and the only Carolina specimen in the Gray Herbarium is one without original label, said to have come from Charleston, South Carolina. Certainly Godfrey, in his extensive collecting for the Gray Herbarium in eastern North Carolina, and Godfrey and Tryon, vigorously collecting in eastern South Carolina, did not secure it. In eastern Georgia and in Florida it becomes common in dry to wet sands.

There is, however, little doubt that more than a century ago M. A. Curtis got it in southeastern North Carolina. His Enumeration of Plants growing spontaneously around Wilmington, North Carolina, had it entered with doubt, but his "Remark" seems conclusive: "(39) Eriocáulon flavidulum? Stem pubescent, 5 grooved; Leaves short, 1-2 inches long; Scales of the involucrum oblong oval, obtuse, lucid. This appears to be Michaux's plant, but I am not certain that it is Elliott's."

Seeking the source of the more northern records, one automatically turns to Pursh, the author of many errors. There is the clue. Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. i. 92 (1814), obviously rewrote the original Michaux description of Eriocaulon flavidulum, changing

Michaux's "culmis . . . 5-striatis" to "scapis . . . subseptemstriatis" and describing the narrowly oblong involucral bracts of Michaux's plant as "squamis involucri suborbiculatis". These alterations at once indicate that Pursh did not have the Michaux species at all; and Pursh's statement of habitat and range clearly show that his 7 -striate scape and suborbicular bracts were those of Eriocaulon Parkeri Robinson. Here were Pursh's words: "On the banks of rivers, below high-water mark: Pensylvania to Carolina. ©. July.v.v. From one to two inches high." E. Parkeri, found on tidal mud of the Delaware River (well known to Pursh), is in such habitats southward nearly to the North Carolina line but, doubtless, the "Carolina" of Pursh's range was merely borrowed from Michaux. Furthermore, Syngonanthus flavidulus, flowering in April and May ("Spr."-Small) is very strikingly perennial, with hard or subligneous base; Eriocaulon Parkeri is a soft-based plant, strongly simulating an annual, and on the lower Delaware it begins flowering in July. Pursh's " $\odot$. July" was obviously based upon it. When Elliott stated that Eriocaulon flavidulum had not been met by him, he added the misleading "Grows in inundated soils. Pursh." Others continued the confusion, though gradually reducing the northern limit to "Va." or "Va.?"; but Ruhland, in publishing the combination in Engler, Pflanzenr. iv ${ }^{30}, 256$ (1903) wisely omitted the Pursh reference and restricted Syngonanthus flavidulus to "Carolina, Georgia, Florida", although he could have added Alabama. We may safely drop it from the Virginian list. See p. 86.
*Xyris Bayardi Fernald in Rhodora, xlviii. 56, plate 1007 (1946). Sussex County: wet sandy and peaty shore of Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,922.

A remarkable little annual, the most northern member of the chiefly tropical Xyris § Brevifoliae. A small area covered by it in 1945, but the breaking of the dam had drained the pond in early 1946 and no evidence of the tiny Xyris and of several other species seen in 1945 could be found in 1946, while plants which were scarce in 1945 had increased to relative abundance in 1946. Another and more favorable year will doubtless bring it back, since the seeds must have been well dispersed. See p. 88.
X. flexuosa Muhl. (X. arenicola Small). Local range extended farther east in Nansemond County: large stools in
sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,237. See p. 99.

Is Mayaca in the "Manual Range"?-Michaux, Fl. Bor.Am. i. 26 (1803), described Mayaca Aubleti "a Carolina ad Floridam." A few years later Pursh, without mentioning Michaux, cited in his Fl. Am. Sept. i. 32 (1814) Syena fluviatilis (Aublet) Willd., based on Mayaca fluviatilis Aubl., as growing "In small rivulets of Virginia and Carolina." Later works have credited M. Aubleti to both Virginia and Ohio. Both species extend northward into the Coastal Plain of North Carolina: M. Aubleti at least to Cumberland County; M. fluviatilis to Scotland County. Either of them might be expected, along with other plants of similar range, in southeastern Virginia; but intensive watching of all rills and small branches as well as inundated pond-margins has thus far failed to reveal them. In view of Pursh's well known lack of precision the question naturally arises, whether he may not have seen one of the serpentine and flaccid inundated states of Lycopodicum inundatum L., var. Bigelovii Tuckerm. ${ }^{1}$ such as abound on inundated shores near where Pursh is known to have resided in Southampton County, Virginia, and which so strongly simulate Mayaca fluviatilis as to raise the hopes of searchers for the latter. I am aware that in his Aquatic Plants of the United States, 191, map 202 (1944), Muenscher indicates the occurrence of M. Aubleti in Virginia and Ohio (on the bases of traditional records) and also in Pennsylvania. Inquiry brings from Dr. Muenscher the statement that he has seen no material from north of the Carolinas. It now becomes important to know if there are actual specimens of either species of Mayaca from north of North Carolina. See pp. 86 and 88.
*Juncus scirpoides Lam., var. meridionalis Buchenau. Though known for several years, apparently not definitely recorded as Virginian. Northampton County: moist dunehollows, Savage Neck, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, nos. 5263 and 5264. James City County: wet bottomland about 5 miles west of Toano, Menzel, no. 80. Princess Anne County: wet peaty depressions in sandy pineland, the Desert, Cape Henry, Fernald \& Long, no. 3842. Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,240. Amelia County: argillaceous bog about 1 mile north of Winterham, Fernald \& Long, no. 9018.

Juncus polycephalus not known from Virginia.-Apparently the record of $J$. polycephalus Michx. from Virginia rests

[^105]only upon a suggestion made by Engelmann in Gray, Man., ed. 5: 543 (1867). There, Engelmann, considering J. polycephalus and some others varieties of an all-inclusive $J$. scirpoides Lam., said under J. scirpoides var. polycephalus (Michx.) Engelm., 1. c. "From North Carolina southward; and may be looked for in Southern Virginia". In the 6th edition of the Manual (by Sereno Watson, whose field-experience had been west of the Rocky Mountains, and by Coulter, who had early done some exploring in the Rocky Mountains) the tentative phrase was omitted and the range given as "S. Va. to Fla., west to Mo. and Tex."; and the extension northward to southern Virginia was trustingly retained in the 7 th edition. In 1895, however, Coville, in his detailed study of the section, Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxii. 302-305, showed that the $J$. polycephalus of most authors was at least two species: $J$. polycephalus (true), extending north only into North Carolina; J. validus Coville, the plant of Arkansas (and Missouri). Since, however, true $J$. polycephalus comes north to Tyrrell County on the southern side of Albemarle Sound in northeastern North Carolina (10 miles north of Fairfield, Godfrey, no. 4337), only 45 miles south of the tidal marshes and savannas of Northwest and North Landing Rivers and of Back Bay (northern extensions of Albemarle Sound), Engelmann's surmise may yet be justified. "Here's hoping". ${ }^{1}$

Juncus polycephalus was reported from various stations on the coast of Worcester Co., Maryland by Rev. Paul J. Redmond in his Flora of Worcester County Maryland, Contrib. Biol. Lab. Cath. Univ. Am. no. 11:74 (1932). Dr. Hugh O'Neill writes me, however, that the material deposited at the Catholic University was destroyed by fire and there is serious doubt of the identification. He personally knows the region and has never seen $J$. polycephalus there.
J. canadensis J. Gay, forma conglobates Fernald. To the single Virginian station cited (in Brunswick County) add one in

[^106]Princess Anne County: border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,242.
J. canadensis, var. euroauster Fernald. To the stations (in Princess Anne, Norfolk, Sussex, Henrico and Greensville) originally cited add one in Southampton County: upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,241. See p. 101.

Zigadenus densus (Desr.) Fernald in Rhodora, xlii. 245 (1940).

As noted on p. 93 the very few and small plants of a few years ago, at the only known Virginia station, the little sphagnous bog northwest of Dahlia in Greensville County, have been stimulated by burning-off of the bog. The plants in June, 1946, numbered 25. Although the herbarium-specimens from farther south justify Small's measurement of the "raceme cylindric, $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, about $1 / 2$ as thick" (as Tracyanthus angustifolius (Michx.) Small), the larger stimulated plants had the simple or basally branching racemes up to 4 dm . long and 5 cm . thick, the plants reaching a height of 1.65 m ., instead of stopping at Small's maximum of 1 m . ( 10 dm .).
*Stenanthium gramineum (Ker) Morong, var. micranthum Fernald in Rhodora, xlviii. 148, plate 1041 (1946). Occurring from western Virginia along the mountains to northwestern Florida and Alabama. I have seen Virginia material from Augusta County: at 540 m . alt., ridge of Little North Mountain, vicinity of Stribling Springs, Steele, no. 49.

Allium vineale L. occurs as three often well defined forms. Typical $A$. vineale has the umbel of numerous bulbs mixed with flowers; forma capsuliferum (Koch) Asch. \& Graebn. is nearly or quite without the bulbs but has very many fertile flowers; forma compactum (Thuill.) Asch. \& Graebn. has the umbel wholly of bulbs. All three occur in eastern Virginia (unfortunately). Besides typical $A$. vineale I have before me

[^107]Lilium Michauxit Poir. To the few and scattered stations on the Coastal Plain of Virginia add the following. Prince George County: several large plants in argillaceous and boggy depression north of Gary Church, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,072. Dinwiddie County: small and single-fruited plants in flat pineland slightly south of Petersburg, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,245. Sussex County: a single large plant at foot of roadside fill bordering a swampy depression in sandy pinelands 3 to 4 miles northwest of Waverly, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,245perhaps travelling by car; if so, preferable to most adventives.

Hypoxis micrantha Pollard. To the very few Virginian stations add another in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,248. See p. 99.

Iris prismatica Pursh, var. austrina, var. nov., foliis surculorum $5-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis valde sulcato-costatis, valvis spathae firmis subcoriaceis brunneis vel viridescentibus, flore $8-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. lato, tubo perianthii $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, sepalis spathulato-obovatis lamina cuneata, seminibus $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis.-Acid swamps, wet barrens and shallow pools, mountains of Tennessee and North Carolina to Georgia, east to the Piedmont and Coastal Plain of southeastern Virginia and North Carolina. Virginia: wet depression in pineland 3 to 4 miles northwest of Waverly, July 26, 1936, Fernald \& Long, no. 6170, June 7, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,074 (type in Herb. Gray, isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.), September 9 and 11, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,250; wet ground along railroad, Ashland, May 26, 1932, H. B. Meredith; Richmond, May, 1838, collector not stated, May 10, 1894, J. R. Churchill; low, wet open woods and marshes, Westwood Golf Course, near Richmond, 1931, C. Thompson; exsiccated argillaceous swale, Libbie Avenue, Westhampton, June 21, 1936, Fernald, Long \& Smart, no. 5735. North Carolina: moist, acid, sandy soil, between Bunn and Wake Forest, Franklin County, May 27, 1937, Blomquist, no. 9257; wet meadows near Hendersonville, Henderson County, May 26, 1898, Biltmore Herb. no. 2596 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Georgia: Butler, Taylor County, August, 1877, H. M. Niesler. Tennessee: abundant in grassy swamp, oak barrens, alt. 1100 ft ., Tullahoma, Coffee County, August 24 , 1930, Svenson, no. 4269; flowering specimen raised from seed of latter, grown at Brooklyn Botanic Garden, June 6, 1933, M. Putz; wet barrens along road between Tullahoma and Manchester, May 18, 1937, Svenson, no. 10,259.

Typical Iris prismatica, described by Pursh from New Jersey, occurs most often on brackish to saline (sometimes fresh) marshes, sands, shores or meadows along the coast from north-
eastern Maryland and Delaware to southern Maine, with a few stations inland to 30 miles from salt water and an isolated area on salt marshes of eastern Cape Breton, 600 miles northeast of its northeastern limit in New England. The distinctive characters which separate the southeastern and inland var. austrina of fresh acid marshland are summarized below:
I. prismatica: leaves terminating new stolons $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, shallowly or obscurely corrugated; valves of spathe pale brown, wholly or partly scariomembranaceous, the outer one rarely foliaceous; flower $5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, with perianth-tube about 3 mm . long; blade of sepal ovate or obovate to roundish, $1.3-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, rather abruptly contracted to the broad claw; faces of capsule 6-14 (av. 9.6) mm. broad; seeds $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.

Var. austrina: leaves terminating new stolons $5-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, deeply cor-rugate-sulcate; valves of spathe firm or subcoriaceous, either brown, or green and foliaceous; flower $8-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, with perianth-tube $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; sepals spatulate-obovate, $1.2-1.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the blade gradually tapering into the slender-based claw; faces of capsule $10-14$ (av. 12.5) mm. broad; seeds 4-6 mm. long.

It is probable that Iris prismatica, var. austrina is the phylogenetic progenitor of the more northern and semi-halophytic $I$. prismatica; var. austrina, spreading out from the ancient Appalachian Upland to the younger Coastal Plain and there giving rise to the more northern and coastwise smaller plant which, in its extreme isolation on Cape Breton, suggests a northern migration along the now submerged continental shelf, such as we find in many plants which are isolated from the South in various parts of Nova Scotia.

Sisyrinchicm capillare Bicknell. To the single recorded Virginia station (in Sussex County) add an extensive one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog south of Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of the brickyard, Kilby, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,075.

In fully ripe (or over-ripe) fruit on June 8, the stiff and wiry, very slender scapes inclined to spiral and with a bronzy or metallic luster. See p. 94.
*('anna indica L. Princess Anne County: two slender purplish plants naturalized at border of swampy woods near Pungo, Fernald \& Long, no. 10,596.

The Identity of Isotria medeoloides.-Isotria medeoloides (Pursh) Raf. Fl. Tellur. iv. 47 (1838) rests on Arethusa medeoloides Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. ii. 591 (1814). Under Arethusa Pursh's no. 5 was A. verticillata Willd. (i. e. Muhl. ex Willd.) with the description copied largely from Willdenow's original,
"petalis tribus exterioribus longissimis linearibus" but adding "interioribus lanceolatis", the "flowers . . . of a dull purple mixed with yellow". This was a perfectly good characterization of Isotria verticillata (Muhl.) Raf., which Morris \& Eames, Our Wild Orchids, 221 (1929), describing from fresh material, assign "Sepals . . . brownish above, linear, spreading and recurved, 2 in . long . . . Petals: yellow-green, nearly 1 in . long, lanceolate", the flowers "on long pedicels ( $1 / 2^{-13 / 4} \mathrm{in}$. long)". Beautiful drawings of the flower, with its very long sepals and long peduncle, and of the long-peduncled fruit will be found in Mrs. Ames's plates 105 and 106 in Ames, Orchidaceae, vii. (1922). They are, of course, shown in very many other illustrations.

Immediately following Arethusa verticillata, Pursh described his new species, A. medeoloides:
> 6. A. foliis verticillatis oblongis acuminatis, medeoloides caule unifloro, flore subsessili, petalis tribus exterioribus linearibus, interioribus brevioribus oblongis obtusis, labello petalis consimile.
> In shady woods on the Blue-mountains. Vanvleck. 24. July. v. s. in Herb. Vanoleck. Resembles the preceding in general habit.

The subsessile (instead of long-stalked) flower is altogether too suggestive of the "peduncle much shorter than the ovary and pod" of the original description of Pogonia affinis Austin in Gray, Man. ed. 5: 507 (1868), Austin having supplied Gray with the contrasts, so that the description, immediately preceding, of Pogonia verticillata (Muhl.) Nutt. contained the supplementary note: "Stalk of pod about $11 / 2$ ' long, more than half the length of the leaves". Mrs. Ames's accurate drawings in Ames, l. c., plate 107, of Isotria affinis (Austin) Rydberg definitely show the "flore subsessili" of Pursh's account, as do the fine photographs (plates 70 and 71) of Morris \& Eames; while Pursh's "petalis . . . interioribus brevibus oblongis obtusis" are well shown in Mrs. Ames's figs. 1, 2 and 5.

Pogonia affinis was found by Austin in "Southern New York and Northern New Jersey", the specimen sent to Gray coming from Closter, New Jersey. In 1889, Cat. Pl. N. J. 233, Britton
listed it from stations in Bergen and Mercer Counties. House cites it for Rockland and Washington Counties (to which stations on Long Island could be added) in New York, and Porter listed three counties for it in eastern Pennsylvania, including Monroe, at the Delaware Water Gap, where the Delaware River cuts through the Kittatinny or Blue Mountains. Pursh's Arethusa medeoloides was from the "Blue-mountains", collected by Vanvleck. The Blue Mountains of Vanvleck's region were what are now known as the Kittatinny Mountains of southeastern mainland New York, northwestern New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania (Lippincott's Gazetteer), just the region where Pogonia affinis has been collected. I can not bring myself to discard Isotria medeoloides as a pure synonym of $I$. verticillata, as I find it treated by recent students of the orchids. Whatever his inaccuracies and irregularities certainly were, Pursh did not, like Rafinesque and some others, often describe the same species twice on the same page. I am replacing the later name, Isotria affinis (Austin) Rydberg, by the much earlier I. medeoloides (Pursh) Raf. A number of Virginia stations in James City County.

[^108]Liparis lilifolia, not L. liliffolia.- The plant which regularly passes as Liparis liliifolia and as of "(L.)" Richard ex Lindl. in Bot. Reg. xi. sub pl. 882 (1825) started in L. Sp. Pl. 946 (1753) as Ophrys lilifolia. The name was not from Lilium, as often inferred and which would be almost absurd as applied to our small scapose plant with two or three basal leaves, but apparently from Lilia, the name of a class of plants chiefly with one to few basal leaves set up by Gmelin in 1747 for such genera as Convallaria, Hemerocallis, Erythronium, etc. Had Linnaeus followed the pattern for most such names he would have used the connective ae but in this case he definitely did not do so. His Ophrys lilifolia was from "Virginiae, Canadae, Sueciae", the type being a Virginian specimen from Clayton, who discovered the species on May 26, 1741 ("Die 26. Maji Anni 1741 florentem inveni"-Clayton in Gron. Fl. Virg. pars 2: 185 (1743)), an item such as one rarely finds in this early work. Linnaeus clinched the Virginian plant as his type through his description: "Planta virginica sexies major nostrate [Sueciae], at structura eadem, notabilis flore: petalis exterioribus linearibus." Through three editions of Species Plantarum (ed. 3 in 1764) and through many editions of his Systema, Linnaeus consistently had Ophrys lilifolia, although in late editions he frequently altered the spellings used for names in his first editions. In Syst. Nat. ed. 12, ii. 592 (1767), it got printed, doubtless through a lapsus calami, as 0 . linifolia.

Index Kewensis has under Ophrys "lilifolia, Linn. Sp. Pl. 946 $=$ Liparis liliifolia", the original spelling not being maintained under Liparis. The first transfer of Ophris lilifolia to another genus seems to have been as Malaxis liliifolia Swartz in Vet. Akad. Nya Handl. Stockh. xxi. 235 (1800), this starting the spelling with the double $i i$, as if the name came from Lilium. After that authors varied, some using correctly the original form but being cited by the less careful as doing just the opposite! Thus, although Robert Brown in Ait. f. Hort. Kew. ed. 2, v. 208 (1813) had it correctly as Malaxis lilifolia, when the plant was put into Liparis as L. liliifolia Richard ex Lindl. I. c., Lindley cited as synonyms, not the Linnaean reference at all but "Ophrys liliifolia, Bot. rep." and "Malaxis liliifolia. Br. in Ait. Kew. ed. 2." Nevertheless, Andrews, Bot. Repos. i. pl. LXV (1797), had it,
with absolute correctness, as $O$. lilifolia and Robert Brown, following the original spelling, had Malaxis lilifolia. The change of spelling, started apparently by Swartz, continued by Willdenow and repeatedly carried on by Lindley and his trusting followers, has been regularly accepted by more recent students of the orchids, who have found that course much easier than the time-consuming and exacting tracing of the name to its source.

Hexalectris spicata (Walt.) Barnh. Local range extended eastward into Nansemond County: rich sandy and loamy oak and hickory woods just east of Suffolk, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,251 , the plants well fruiting. Station indicated to us by Mr. Leslie Hubricht. See p. 96.
*Carya ovalis (Wangh.) Sarg., var. hirsuta (Ashe) Sarg. Southampton County: wooded alluvial bottomland of Meherrin River, near Haley's Bridge, Fernald \& Long, no. 8232. Large trees with flakey and shallowly furrowed bark. Extension from North Carolina.
*Alnus serrulata (Ait.) Willd., forma noveboracensis (Britton) Fernald in Rhodora, xlvii. 358, plate 985 (1945). Specimens cited from Princess Anne, Southampton, and Greensville Counties.
*A. serrulata, var. subelliptica Fernald, 1. c. plate 986 (1945). Specimens cited from Nansemond and Charlotte Counties.
*A. serrulata, var. subelliptica, forma mollescens Fernald, l. c. 359 , plate 988 (1945). Specimen cited from Princess Anne County.
*A. serrulata, var. subelliptica, forma nanella Fernald, 1. c. 360 , plate 989 (1945). Specimens cited from Brunswick (type) and Prince George Counties.

Quercus alba L. occurs in three pronounced forms (by Sargent treated as varieties). Typical Q. alba has the leaves with median portion of the blade only $0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the longer of the 4-10 narrowly oblong entire to slightly lobulate or apically cut lobes $2.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $0.8-2$ (rarely -3 ) cm . broad. Forma latiloba (Sarg.) Palmer \& Steyerm. (var. latiloba Sarg.) has the blades usually cleft less than half-way to the midrib, the roundtipped broadly oblong lobes $1.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad. Forma repanda (Michx.) Trel. (var. repanda Michx.) has a shallowly sinuate margin, the rounded lobes as broad as long. They all occur in Virginia. The following specimens from the Coastal Plain or near it are characteristic.

Quercus alba L. (typical, i. e. Q. alba pinnatifida Michx. f.), the type from Virginia. Norfolk County: Norfolk, Rugel. Southampton County: south of Berlin, Fernald \& Long. no. 7409; near Nottoway River, Carey Bridge, $F$. \& L., no. 10,016. Dinwiddie County: northeast of Burgess, $F . \& L$., no. 8236.
*Q. alba, forma latiloba (Sarg.) Palm. \& Steyerm. Henrico County: Chickahominy Swamp, 6 miles north of Richmond, L. F. Randolph \& P. Merriman, no. 255.
*Q. alba, forma repanda (Michx.) Trel. Sussex County: by Nottoway River, southwest of Lamb's, Fernald \& Long, no. 12,998.

* $\times$ Q. Beadlei Trel. (Q. alba $\times$ Michauxii). Princess Anne County: large tree, south of Seatack, Fernald \& Long, no. 3902, distributed as Q. Prinus. Present identification by E. J. Palmer.
*Q. virginiana Mill., var. maritima (Chapm.) Sargent. Princess Anne County: sandy pineland, Cape Henry, Fernald \& Griscom, no. 4383; sand-dunes, Cape Henry, Fernald, Long \& Fogg, no. 4864.

Typical Quercus virginiana, either as a tall tree or a shrub, has inconspicuous veins, Var. maritima, a shrub or low tree, has the veins deeply impressed into the upper surface of the leaf and prominent and inclined to be obviously reticulated beneath.

Quercus velutina Lam., as shown by me in Journ, Arn. Arb. xxvii. 386 et seq. (1946), was based on an unusual (perhaps juvenile) extreme with obovate and only very shallowly lobulate leaves. I have not seen it from Virginia. In Virginia three strongly marked forms occur: forma macrophylla (Dippel) Trel., with the blades cut less than half-way to the midrib and with broadly oblong, toothed lobes many times broader than the slender sinuses; forma dilaniata Trel., with the blade having round-based sinuses extending half-way to three fourths to the midrib, and the oblong terminally toothed lobes often narrower than the broader sinuses; and forma pagodaeformis Trel., with the long blade with deep and very broad round-based sinuses and the long, mostly acuminate lobes subentire or only slightly toothed. Representative Virginian specimens are here noted.
*Q. velutina Lam., forma macrophylla (Dippel) Trel. Fauquier County: Bull Run Mountains, Allard, nos. 9328 and 9741. Giles County; Beanfield Mt., alt. 3350 feet, west of Mountain Lake P. O., Fogg, no. 12,894.
Q. velutina, forma dilaniata Trel. The commonest form, generally passing as true $Q$. velutina. Fauquier County: Bull Run Mountains, Allard, nos. 1685 and 2601. Shenandoah

County: Massanutten Range, Allard, no. 5578. Lee County: Cumberland Gap, July 27, 1892, Small. Henrico County: campus of University of Richmond, M. M. Ryland.
*Q. velutina, forma pagodaeformis Trel. Bedford County: October, 1871, A. H. Curtiss.

* $\times$ Q. garlandensis E. J. Palmer (Q. falcata $\times$ Q. nigra). Princess Anne County: large tree near landing, Ragged Island, Fernald \& Long, no. 12,323, erroneously distributed as $\times Q$. ludoviciana (see next entry). Identification by Mr. Palmer.
$\times$ Q. ludoviciana Sargent ( $Q$. falcata $\times$ Phellos). This hybrid, erroneously reported on the basis of the preceding no., retains its place in the Virginian flora through another collection. Princess Anne County: dry woods and thickets, Lynnhaven, Fernald \& Long, no. 3901, erroneously identified as Q. imbricaria. Identification corrected by Mr. Palmer.
$* \times$ Q. Rudkini Britton (Q. marilandica $\times$ Phellos). James City County: $11 / 2$ miles northwest of Williamsburg, Grimes, no. 3186.
Q. hemisphaerica Bartr. ex Willd. See Fernald in Rhodora, xlviii. 142-145, plates 1035 and 1036 (1946). Q. laurifolia sensu many auth., not Michx. The following specimens of this semievergreen species are before me from Virginia. Princess Anne County: swamp, West Neck Creek, west of Pungo, L. F. and Fannie R. Randolph, no. 518, as Q. Phellos; border of gum swamp west of Pungo, Fernald \& Long, no. 4861, as Q. Phellos, var. laurifolia. Southampton County: many fine, fruiting, large trees with drooping branchlets, sandy woods near Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,254. See p. 102.
*Rumex Acetosella L., var. pyrenaeus (Pourr.) TimbalLagrave. Dinwiddie County: roadsides and waste places, Petersburg, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,561.

Var. pyrenaeus is the coarsest variety of the ubiquitous weedy species, up to 7 dm . high; its basal hastate leaves with terminal lobe obovate or elliptic, usually rounded or blunt at apex and up to 3 cm . broad.
*Polygonum aviculare L., var. vegetum Ledeb. James City County: cultivated ground, Williamsburg, Grimes, no. 3916. Sussex County: clearings, borders of dry woods and roadsides east of Stony Creek, Fernald \& Long, no. 8248. Southampton County : roadsides and dry fields, Franklin, F.\& L., no. 9915.

Presumably of general occurrence as a weed.
*P. Persicaria L., var. angustifolium Beckhaus. Dinwiddie County: cinders of freight yard of Norfolk and Western Railway, Petersburg, Fernald \& Long, no. 10,630.

The narrowest-leaved extreme of the species, with lance-linear leaves only $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, strigose on both faces; bristle-like cilia of ocreae half as long as the strigose sheath.
*P. Persicaria, var. ruderale (Salisb.) Meisn. Arlington County : abundant near greenhouses, Arlington Farm, Allard, no. 3887, distrib. as $P$. mite.

A prostrate or matted extreme, with oblong- or narrowly rhombic-lanceolate blunt to acutish (scarcely acuminate) often scabrous leaves, the primary ones only $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; ochreae short-ciliate; spikes short-cylindric to subglobose, $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, short-peduncled to sessile.
P. sagittatum L., var. gracilentum Fern. Range extended south from tidal marshes of the Chickahominy to the tidal reedmarshes of southeastern Virginia (almost in North Carolina). Princess Anne County: along Blackwater Creek, below Blackwater, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,935. Norfolk County: along Northwest River below Northwest, F.\& L., no. 14,934. See p. 90.
*Nymphaea odorata Ait., var. stenopetala, var. nov. (tab. 1061-1063), a var. typica recedit pedunculo vix flexuoso flore super aquam elevato; sepalis petalisque lanceolato-acuminatis $0.8-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, sepalis reflexis.-Nansemond County, Virginia: canal at northern border of Great Dismal Swamp, east of Magnolia, June 10, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,086 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.)

Typical Nymphaea odorata (including var. minor Sims) has the peduncle, to quote Conard's account, "usually slender and weak", the flowers resting directly on the water, although Conard cites one collection from shallow water in which "the peduncles may be rigid and bear the flowers 15 cm . above the water (Sugar Hollwo Pond, Danbury, Conn.)". The sepals of typical N. odorata are described by him as "ovate to lance-ovate, rounded at summit Outside green, more or less shaded with reddish-brown or red". The outer petals are similarly "ovate to elliptic-lanceolate, apex obtuse or rounded"; and for his typical $N$. odorata he gives the sepals a breadth of $2.1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$., the petals of $1.75-2.2 \mathrm{~cm}$., although the smallest extreme (var. minor) may have sepals down to 1.04 cm . broad, this dwarf form having a weak peduncle "only half supporting the flower even when the plant has no water around it". The much larger $N$. odorata, var. gigantea Tricker, with petals "ovate or obovate, broadly rounded at apex" has the sepals $2.4-3.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the outer petals $2-2.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad.

Var. stenopetala is very striking, its leaves fioating, deep purple beneath and veined (plate 1062, fig. 1) as in typical $N$. odorata, but the flowers stand well above them, on stiff erect peduncles (plates 1061 and 1062, fig. 2). The narrow (lanceacuminate) sepals, with drab- or fuscous-green backs, are strongly reflexed in anthesis, pointing down toward the water, and the lance-acuminate narrow petals are quite unlike those of typical $N$. odorata and of var. gigantea. In mid-September, after weeks of relatively dry weather, var. stenopetala was nearly all shrivelled and not to be seen. Only a few small pools exhibited it and in these Mr. Long and I could find no fruits. The plant is presumably widely distributed in the Great Dismal Swamp, See p. 94.
*Itea virginica L., forma abbreviata Fern. in Rhodora, xlix. 22 (1947). Southampton County: peaty and sandy shore of Whitefield's Millpond, southwest of Corinth, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,094 .

In September, when Mr. Long and I visited the spot we found that the shrub, along with all its associates near the dam, had been cut off. We shall have to wait for fruiting material until another year.

[^109]
# ADDITIONS TO AND SUBTRACTIONS FROM THE FLORA OF VIRGINIA 

M. L. Fernald<br>(Continued from page 142)

Rubus celer Bailey. Range extended south from Arlington and Fairfax Counties to Nansemond County: border of ditch, northern border of Great Dismal Swamp, east of Magnolia, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,098. See p. 95.
*R. (§ Flagellares) hypolasius, sp. nov. (tab. 1064-1066), a $R$. flagellare differt primocannae aculeis rectis horizontaliter divergentibus 4-6 mm. longis; primocannae foliis subtus molliter pilosis supra sparse pilosis; floricannae foliis subtus pilosis; pedicellis pilosis, pilis patentibus, longioribus $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis.Sussex County, Virgivia: wet swampy depression in pineland 3 to 4 miles northwest of Waverly, June 7, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,102 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.), Fernald, Long \& Clement, September 9, 1946, no. 15,266 and Sept. 11, no. 15267; peaty swales and open bushy or wooded swamp $31 / 2$ to 4 miles northwest of Homeville, September 11, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,267.

Rubus flagellaris Willd. of dry open habitats has the usually hooked prickles $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the leaves glabrous or essentially so, except for occasional sparse pubescence on the midrib beneath; the pedicels glabrous, $4-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and elevating the flowers and fruits well above the bracteal leaves. R. hypolasius of swampy pineland and wet thicket has longer and straighter prickles on the primocanes; the lower surfaces of both primocane- and floricane-leaves soft to the touch with pilosity; the short pedicels pilose and not standing evidently above the leafy bracts. Found
by us in similar swampy habitats several miles apart, it evidently has a good area of development.

In its relatively short pedicels Rubus hypolasius somewhat suggests R. celer Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 281, fig. 117 (1943), but that species is more slender, with very short and hooked prickles, glabrous foliage and much narrower primocane-leaflets. I can place it with none of the species of § Flagellares described and beautifully illustrated by Bailey. See p. 92 .
*R. (§ Tholiformes) subinnoxius, sp. nov. (тab. 1067-1069), valde arcuans cannis tholos 2 m . altos formantibus, cannis vel ramis pendulis ad 2 m . longis apicibus prostratis plus minusve radicantibus; primocannis simplicibus vel deinde ramosis, arcuatis angulato-subteretibus glabris inermibus vel remote aculeatis; aculeis oblique deltoideo-subulatis unguiculatis $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis basi $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. lato; primocannae foliis imis ternatis mediis superioribusque quinatis submembranaceis supra strigoso-villosis subtus molliter piloso-tomentulosis; petiolo inarmato vel remote unguiculato-armato; foliolis ovatis vel ellipticis duplicato-serratis, foliolo terminali $8-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo $4.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. lato abrupte longeque acuminato basi cordato, petiolulo tomentoso 2.5-3 cm . longo, foliolis mediis ellipticis vel elliptico-oblongis acuminatis subsessilibus basi sensim rotundatis; floricannis intricate ramosis ramis arcuato-pendulis; floricannae foliis ternatis, foliolis anguste ellipticis vel anguste cuneato-obovatis acutis vel acuminatis dentato-serratis supra strigosis subtus piloso-tomentosis, foliolo terminali $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo; inflorescentiis perbrevibus corymbiformibus foliosis, 1-4-floris, bracteis trifoliolatis quam pedicellis longioribus; pedicellis dense pilosis plerumque inarmatis 0.5-2 cm . longis; calycis pilosis inarmatis segmentis deinde reflexis; fructibus ad 1.5 cm . diametro.-Southampton County, Virginia: thicket bordering Whitefield Millpond, southwest of Corinth, June 5, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,103 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).

In its very prolonged and eventually tip-rooting branchlets, its few-flowered corymbs and the very small leafy bracts Rubus subinnoxius at once suggests $R$. Akermani Fernald in Rhodora, xlvii. 152, plates 890 and 891 (1945). That characteristic doming shrub of Brunswick and Greensville Counties, Virginia, however, has the fertile branches and branchlets much more stiffly spreading, the very firm primocane-leaves all 3 -foliolate and with much shorter pubescence on the upper surface, the bracteal leaves very firm and stiff, with mostly obtuse leaflets. R. subinnoxius, with long and flexuous recurving primocane-branches and -branchlets,
has membranaceous leaves, those of the primocanes mostly 5 foliolate and with remarkably long appressed villi on the upper surface, those of the floricanes (especially the bracteal ones) acute to acuminate. See p. 92.
*R. (§ Cuneifolii) uliginosus, sp. nov. (tab. 1070 et 1071), a R. Humei differt primocannae aculeis $5-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; primocannae foliis foliolis mediis subsessilibus vel breviter petiolulatis. -Norfolk County, Virginia : damp old clearing, eastern side of Great Dismal Swamp, north of Wallaceton, June 10, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,101 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.).

Rubus uliginosus is the only member of § Cuneifolii known in Virginia with terminal leaflet of the primocane-leaves elliptic. The others have this leaflet broadened toward the summit (obovate) or toward the base (ovate). It is unusual in growing in decidedly swampy ground, the others rarely, if ever, getting their bases immersed. It is very close to R. Humei Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 457, fig. 208 (1943), the outline of the leaflet seeming identical; but in R. Humei the upper or intermediate paired leaflets are on long petiolules, in $R$. uliginosus nearly sessile. Furthermore, the prickles on the primocane of the latter are almost twice as long. R. Humei is "the biggest of the Cuneifolii, attaining a height of 10 feet"; $R$. uliginosus is content to stop at 2 or 3 feet. Bailey speaks of R. Humei as "the only paludose species" of the section. Certainly the commonly inundated low areas of the Great Dismal Swamp, where R. uliginosus grows, are "paludose" enough! See p. 95.
*R. suus Bailey. Range extended from the mountains of North Carolina to the Coastal Plain of Virginia. Isle of Wight County: dry sandy woods near Pope Swamp, northeast of Zuni, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,342, the specimens closely matching Bailey's description and íllustration in Gent. Herb. v. 634, fig. 281 (1945).
*R. immanis Ashe. Range extended from the mountains of North Carolina and Tennessee to the Coastal Plain of Virginia. Sussex County: disturbed soil, bottomland woods along Nottoway River west of Homeville, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,343plants high-arching, 10 ft . high, with superior fruit. A close match for the description and figure in Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 683 , fig. 307 (1945).
*R. (§ ArgUti) cupressorum, sp. nov. (tab. 1072-1074), a $R$. vixarguto differt primocannae folis foliolis abrupte longeque
acuminatis, supra strigoso-villosis subtus minute pilosis venis villoso-strigosis; floricannae foliis supra glabris subtus ad venas strigoso-villosis; pedicellis minute pilosis inarmatis.-Cypress swamps of southeastern Virginia: wooded river-swamp along Northwest River, northeast of Wallaceton, Norfolk County, June 10, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15, 100 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); cypress-swamp on Flag Run, southwest of Story, Southampton County, September 15, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,263 ; siliceous and argillaceous alluvium bordering cypress-swamp, Nottoway River above Cypress Bridge, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,268.

At the type-station, margin of cypress-swamp along Northwest River, Rubus cupressorum forms a very tangled thicket 2 m . high, the branches of the floricanes intricately forking and widely arching but with no tendency to tip-rooting. The primocanes also incline to branch. At the other two stations, cypressswamps about 50 miles farther inland, the fruiting period was long past but the primocanes were much branched. On first inspection $R$. cupressorum might be mistaken for $R$. vixargutus Bailey, Gent. Herb. v. 622, fig. 275 (1945) but the leaflets of the primocanes are abruptly long-acuminate instead of gradually subacuminate; their upper surfaces are strigose-villous instead of "glabrous on upper face". The leafy bracts of the racemes in $R$. vixargutus are described by Bailey as having "strongly obovate or oblanceolate shapes in floral leaflets some of which may be obtuse"; and he describes in Latin the "pedicelli inermes", in English "unarmed pedicels". The upper (flowering) branchlet in his illustration meets these requirements but the lower fruiting branchlet is shown with the leaflets ovate, long-acuminate and jagged-toothed, the pedicels all strongly armed with prickles! The author and the artist, whose drawings seem remarkably accurate, appear not wholly to agree. I am not so situated as to decide what are the real characters. The striking difference in the primocane-foliage and the occurrence of this shrub in cypressswamps of the Coastal Plain indicates that $R$. cupressorum is not R. vixargutus of wooded areas on Lookout Mountain, Tennessee. See p. 95.

Rubus cupressorum might by some be pushed into $R$. jugosus Bailey, l. c. 629 , fig. 278 (1945) of the mountains of southwestern Virginia, but that species has the primocane-leaflets more oblong, with nearly parallel straight margins and densely pubescent beneath and the inflorescence more cymiform.

Cassia nictitans L., var. hebecarpa Fernald. To the typestation in Northampton County add one in Princess Anne County: hollows in sand dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,271. See p. 101.
*Crotalaria Purshii DC., var. bracteolifera, var. nov. (tab. 1075, FIg. 2 et 3), caule ramosissimo; foliis linearibus vel anguste lineari-lanceolatis; pedunculis longioribus 7-14-bracteoliferis.Virginia: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Nansemond County, September 8 and 12, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,273 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). North Carolina: savanna 5 miles east of Fayetteville, Cumberland County, June 11, 1938, Godfrey, no. 4552; savanna at Chocowinty, Beaufort County, July 20, 1938, Godfrey, no. 4505. South Carolina: grass-sedge bog or savanna, 7 miles east of Andrews, Georgetown County, June 27, 1939, Godfrey \& Tryon, no. 148; mucky open thicket, 2 miles north of Lake City, Florence County, July 9, 1927, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 1500. Georgia: dry sandy pine-barrens, $1 / 2$ mile northeast of Townsend, McIntosh County, July 23, 1927, Wiegand \& Manning, no. 1504.

Typical Crotalaria Purshii, based on C. laevigata Pursh, not Lamarck, of "pine-woods of Virginia and Carolina", was described "simplex foliis lanceolato-oblongis, . . . racemis oppositifoliis subtrifloris". That was a good characterization of the typical plant of dry sandy pineland or oak-barrens in southeastern Virginia and Carolina (portions shown in our fig. 1), with mostly simple stems, the lower and median leaves lance-oblong and $6-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the upper ones narrower, the longer peduncles with 2-6 flowers or bracts borne from near or well above the middle to the tip. Var. bracteolifera, chiefly of bogs and savannas, has the branches freely once or twice forking, making somewhat intricate bushy-looking plants, the leaves linear or narrowly linear-lanceolate, the broader ones $2-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide but the great majority up to only $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Its longer peduncles bear 7-14 flowers or bracts, though the shorter ones have fewer bracts, and the bracts extend well down the axis, often nearly to its base. The branching habit, narrow leaves and numerous bracts mark an extreme of the species which is conspicuously unlike typical C. Purshii, but several collections, especially from Florida and the Carolinas, seem quite transitional. I can, therefore, not treat the plant of bog or savanna as a species. See p. 99.
C. spectabilis Roth. Southampton County: very tall and handsome along a roadside fencerow west of Franklin, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,272.

Stylosanthes riparia Kearney. On the beaten gravelly foot-path along the Norfolk and Western Railway, west of Kilby, this usually small but often depressed species, responding apparently to the constant trampling through many years, has put out new vigorous sprouts until it now forms intricate and highly floriferous carpets toward a meter across! S. biflora (L.) BSP., var. hispidissima (Michx.) Pollard \& Ball, similarly trampled on, has there made broad but less extensive mats. Small bits from such giant individuals more than fill herbarium-sheets. Such plants in full bloom are wonderfully attractive and suggest possibilities for rock-gardeners.
*Vicia sativa L., var. linearis Lange. Norfolk County: fallow field near Yadkin, Fernald, Long \& Abbe, no. 14,183.

The extreme with leaflets of all but the basal leaves linear and emarginate or apiculate. Not much collected in America.

Pueraria Thunbergiana (Sieb. \& Zucc.) Benth. A few years ago we looked upon the Kudzu-vine as a beautiful stronggrowing climber with deliciously fragrant deep purple flowers and a rarity in the wild in the latitude of Virginia. Now it is becoming one of the commonest high-climbers along roadsides and borders of woods. At the rate it is increasing and enmeshing shrubs and trees up to 40 ft . high it may soon be a competitor of Japanese Honeysuckle.

Euphorbia humistrata Engelm. To the two stations recorded in Henrico County add one in similar habitat in Nansemond County: dry sandy and gravelly railroad embankment west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,299.
*Vitis vinifera L. Henrico County: waste places and rail-road-ballast, near Chesapeake and Ohio Railroad, Richmond, Fernald \& Long, no. 12,404.

Obviously derived from seeds of "Tokay," "Malaga" or other such grapes thrown from car-windows; now forming dense thickets.
*V. araneosa Le Conte ( $V$. rufotomentosa Small). Surry County: dry woods north of Surry Courthouse, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,076 .

Quite like the type of Vitis araneosa Le Conte (1853), preserved
at the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia and studied by me in 1939 -See Fernald in Rhodora, xli. 434 (1939). Closely matched also by an isotype of $V$. rufotomentosa Small, which is quite like the Le Conte type. Although Le Conte's material was from Athens, Georgia, and Small says "Florida to Louisiana" and Bailey, Gent. Herb. iii. 292 (1934) knew it "positively only from northern and peninsular Florida", the Le Conte type from Athens, Georgia, and Small's type from Lake County, Florida, are closely matched in the Gray Herbarium by material from Augusta, Georgia, S. T. Olney and J. Metcalf, nos. 213 and 214, identified by Bailey as $V$. aestivalis; and by an old specimen from South Carolina from M. A. Curtis. Even so, Surry County, Virginia, is a good extension northward. Whether it is a true species must yet be determined.

Does Gordonia grow in Virginia?-One of the handsomest evergreen trees or shrubs of our southern Coastal Plain is the Loblolly Bay or Tan Bay, Gordonia Lasianthus (L.) Ellis. ${ }^{1}$ An evergreen with large white flowers on long peduncles, it shares the beauty and distinction of Stewartia and other members of the Theaceae; and for more than a century and a quarter it has been credited with extending northward into Virginia. Constant watching for it in recent years has failed to reveal it. Kearney, in his very adequate Report on a Botanical Survey of the Great Dismal Swamp Region (Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. v. no. 6 (1901)), covering the area from the northern side of Albemarle Sound, in northeastern North Carolina, to the southern side of Chesapeake Bay, did not record it, although he did not see its handsomer cousin, Stewartia Malachodendron, which has subsequently been found in the Great Dismal Swamp as well as farther east in his area. Of course, the failure of Kearney and of his successors in exploring the region to locate any Gordonia is negative evidence but it is significant that, so far as I can learn,

[^110]there is no material in any of our representative herbaria from a Virginian station. ${ }^{1}$

Gordonia Lasianthus was first described and illustrated by Plukenet in his Amaltheum Botanicum, 7, t. 352, fig. 3 (1697) as "Alcea Floridana quinquecapsularis, Laurinis foliis, leviter crenatis . . . Rosebay". In 1731 Catesby, Nat. Hist. Carol. Fla. i. 44, t. 44, had a beautiful plate of it under Plukenet's descriptive phrase as "Loblolly Tree", stating that "it grows in Carolina; but not in any of the more Northern Colonies." In his Travels through North \& South Carolina, Georgia, East and West Florida (1791) John Bartram went into ecstasies (pp. 161, 162) over "The tall aspiring Gordonia lasianthus . . . in all its splendour, is every way deserving of our admiration . . . it is sixty, eighty or an hundred feet high"; but that was not in Virginia! Dr. Francis Harper, in his masterly study of Bartram's Diary of a Journey through the Carolinas, Georgia and Florida from July 1, 1765 to April $10,1766,{ }^{3}$ repeatedly refers to Bartram's records of Gordonia as "Red Bay": "Alcea (or 'Alcea florideana' or 'Alcea floridana') . . . red bay or loblolly bay (Gordonia lasianthus)", Harper, p. 79; "probably red bay (Gordonia lasianthus)", p. 81; "Bay, red: in this case probably red or loblolly bay (Gordonia lasianthus)-not Persea borbonia", p. 82, etc. In other words, the name Red Bay was used interchangeably for Gordonia and for Persea.

Linnaeus, Sp. Pl. ii. 783 (1753), citing the Plukenet account and that of Catesby, already referred to (as well as a reference to Amman and his own Hort. Cliff.), placed the species in Hypericum. Then John Ellis, taking up the pre-Linnaean Gordonia, redefined it as Gordonia, but neither of them indicated it from north of Carolina.

[^111]It is also significant that Michaux, who travelled and collected in southeastern Virginia and who had an eye particularly for trees, did not see Ciordonia there, he giving in his Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 43 (1803) the " $I_{A B}$. in maritimis Carolinae et Floridae", while his son, F. A. Nichaux (Hist. Arb. Forest. Am. Sept.) could not bring it up to Virginia. The first and apparently the basic record for Virginia seems to be that of Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. ii. 451 (1814), Pursh stating its range as "In cedar-swamps, near the sea-coast: Virginia to Florida". All subsequent students of our flora have accepted Pursh's record as authentic; but where in Virginia is that tree up to 100 feet high, the "splendour" of which in "every way deserves of our admiration"? If it is there, surely someone should have seen it.

Frederick Pursh, at the very opening of the 19th century, collected in southeastern Virginia, making his headquarters, apparently, in Southampton County, somewhere near Sebrell, at least north of Jerusalem (now Courtland). In the portion of his herbarium preserved at the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia there is nothing from the Great Dismal Swamp, evidence that Pursh did not penetrate that vast and rather formidable area, the one extensive tract in which "cedar-swamps" have occurred in Virginia. There the southern Cedar, Chamaecyparis (locally known as "Juniper") once prevailed and even in his report in 1901 Kearney was able to state that it was "Abundant in parts of the Dismal Swamp . . . Locally known as 'juniper'". Today it is mostly small remnants or very young colonies. Persea, "Popularly confused with Magnolia virginiana, under the name of 'bay'" (Kearney, p. 526), is more commonly called "Red Bay" and is found pretty generally there, at the western side of Lake Drummond forming a pure forest within a short distance of a juvenile "cedar-swamp". In view of the abundance of Persea (Red Bay) in this greatest of all Virginian "cedar-swamps" and in view of the early name "Red Bay" also for Gordonia, it seems not unreasonable to surmise that Pursh's record of Gordonia from "cedar-swamps . . Virginia" may have started from reports of Red Bay in this extensive area where cedar-swamps once prevailed.

Pursh, who was a notorious dipsomaniac, made many records which cannot be substantiated, like his Dryas tenella "On the
white hills of New Hampshire", the specimen "in Herb. Banks", being really from Newfoundland. Or again, Pursh, who had been on the lower St. Lawrence, where it abounds on brackish shores, gave a good description, as Swertia pusilla, of the little annual now known as Lomatogonium rotatum (L.) Fries, said by Pursh to grow "On alpine regions of the White-hills of New Hampshire . . . June . . . In the Banksian Museum are specimens from Labrador, in every respect agreeing with the New Hampshire plant". The plant in the Banksian Herbarium is characteristic Lomatogonium rotatum (Pleurogyne rotata), which follows subsaline or brackish shores up the St. Lawrence to regions known to Pursh, and down the coast, locally, to eastern Maine. An army of keen enthusiasts has sought vainly for it in the nonsaline White Mountains in "June". On the brackish shores of the lower St. Lawrence it flowers from July to September. At the nearest known station to the White Mts., "brackish shores" at Schoodic Point, Hancock County, Maine (Stebbins, no. 451), it was beginning to flower on August 28, 1908. It is feared that in this case, as well as that of the Dryas (and some others) Pursh worked on his specimens while "under the influence". At any rate, until someone brings forward real evidence of Gordonia being in Virginia I am content to think that Pursh made another mistake.

Hypericum setosum L. To the relatively few recorded stations add another in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,308. See p. 99.
H. boreale (Britton) Bicknell. To the stations in the mountains of western Virginia add one on the coast, in Princess Anne County: wet peaty border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,304. See p. 100.
*Hypericum canadense L., var. galiiforme, var. nov. (tab. 1076), caule pergracile reclinato vel adscendento $0.8-3 \mathrm{dm}$. longo simplice vel sparse ramoso; foliis anguste obovatis vel oblanceolatis petiolatis; laminis primariis $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis; inflorescentia laxe brachiata; sepalis $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; capsula ovoidea apice rotundata $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa.-Sussex County, Virginia: sandy and peaty shore of Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, September 11 and 12, 1945, Fernald \& Long, nos. 14,962 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.) and 14,964 ; sphagnous wooded swamp southwest of

Brittle's Millpond, west of Wakefield, September 11, 1945, Fernald \& Long, no. 15,954.

Hypericum canadense, var. galiiforme, especially when reclining and weakly branching among the natural covers of sphagnous pockets, so strikingly suggests a weak Galium of the G. trifidum series as to be deceptive; in more open spots (our no. 14,964) it is as strongly ascending as typical $H$. canadense. In the latter wide-ranging and highly variable plant the median and upper cauline leaves are linear to linear-oblanceolate, sessile or nearly so; the sepals $2.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; the finally conic to lanceolate and acuminate-tapering capsules $4-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. Var. galiiforme is weak, reclining when in deep Sphagnum, its very short and broad leaves varying from narrowly obovate to oblanceolate, petioled, and only $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. The very lax and open cyme bears flowers and fruits smaller than in typical $H$. canadense: sepals only $1.5-2$ (instead of $2.5-4.5$ ) mm. long and roundishtipped capsule only $3-3.5$ (instead of $4-6.5$ ) mm . long. The most dwarf extremes of $H$. canadense (from Newfoundland and the Labrador Peninsula) have fruits and foliage nearly as short as in var. galiiforme but their leaves are those of true $H$. canadense.

At Airfield Millpond var. galiiforme forms definite carpets in the Sphagnum and wet peat quite apart from the gigantic typical $H$. canadense, also found there and from the heteromorphic and always puzzling half-sterile $H$. dissimulatum Bicknell, which there reaches a height of 7.5 dm . See p. 87 .

Lechea maritima Leggett, var. virginica Hodgdon. To the few recorded stations, in Northampton, Elizabeth City and Princess Anne Countles, add another in Princess Anne County: hollows in sand dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,301. See p. 101.

Rhexia ciliosa Michx. Range extended farther east in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,311. See p. 98.
*Ludwigia virgata Michx. Range extended northward into southeastern Virginia. Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,315.

Found on September 8th, presumably two to three months after flowering, only a single much depleted fruit being left. The foliage and basal habit are those of $L$. virgata. See p. 99.
L. alata Ell. To the recorded stations in Princess Anne add one in Norfolk County: fresh tidal reed-marsh along Northwest River below Northwest, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,965. See p. 90 .
L. pilosa Walt. To the single station each, recorded in Norfolk and Nansemond, add from Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog (Magnolia swamp) by Norfolk and Western Railway, $1-1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,316 ; wet hollow in old woodroad about 1 mile east of Buckroe (Purvis R. R. station), F. L. \& C., nos. 15,317 and 15,318 ; border of swampy pineland south of Buckroe, F. L. \& C., no. 15,319.

Most characteristic plants, like no. 15,319 , are tall (up to 1.2 m . high or long), soon freely and divergently branched, either ascending or becoming topheavy and arching to the ground and rooting at tip, the leaves of the fertile stems and branches softpilose. Late in the season the prostrate overwintering basal shoots develop, these having short and broad glabrous or nearly glabrous leaves purple beneath. At the station for nos. 15,317 and 15,318 , east of Buckroe, small and simple juvenile plants without branches and with nearly or quite glabrous broad leaves purple beneath were fruiting, precocious individuals not waiting for the next year! See p. 99.
L. brevipes (Long) Eames. To the few recorded stations (in Princess Anne, Isle of Wight and Norfolk) add two others. Princess Anne County: border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,324. Southampton County: wet peaty margin of Whitefield's Millpond, southwest of Corinth, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,119, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,323 .

At Whitefield Pond, the most inland station known, it was possible to extract continuous fruiting strands up to 7.5 dm . long. See pp. 92 and 100.

Sanicula Smallii Bicknell. Local range extending eastward into Nansemond County: rich sandy and loamy oak and hickory woods just east of Suffolk, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,327. See p. 96 .

The Virginian Record of Oxypolis filiformis.-For nearly a century Oxypolis filiformis (Walt.) Britton, either under that name or one of its synonyms, Tiedemannia teretifolia (Muhl.) DC., has been credited with growing in Virginia, and this record had apparent validation by Coulter \& Rose, in their Notes on

Umbelliferae of E. United States. III, Bot. Gaz. xii. 74 (1887) where it was stated by the monographers of the family to grow from "Virginia to Florida and Louisiana", although later, when it "came to a show-down" in their Monograph of the North American Umbelliferae, Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. vii. no. 1: 193 (1900), though still holding to "Ponds and swamps from southern Virginia to Florida and west to Louisiana", they could cite as "Specimens examined" only material from Florida and Mississippi, except for their very local var. Canbyi of Ellendale, Delaware ( $O$. Canbyi (C. \& R.) Fernald). Actually, O. filiformis is represented in the Gray Herbarium as extending westward into Texas and northward along the Coastal Plain into southeastern North Carolina. There are in this herbarium alone six numbers from North Carolina, but the northernmost material is from Onslow County (shallow water, stagnant pool, Dixon, L. F. \& Fannie R. Randolph, no. 972), fully 125 miles south of the Coastal Plain of Virginia. Mr. Long and I, anxious to collect a species seemingly well attested as growing in southern Virginia, have wallowed through innumerable inundated pond-margins for a plant which the Randolphs would probably have seen if it had been there. Finally, deciding to follow up the record, I have found that it started in Gray, Man. ed. 2: 153 (1856), without specific description, "Virginia (Harper's Ferry) and southward". Harper's Ferry, then in Virginia, is not well defined by Coulter \& Rose's "southern Virginia". Furthermore, the plant of Harper's Ferry, "collected by Doctor Aiken some seventy-five years before [before 1911], near Harper's Ferry, W. Va." (Rose in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb., xiii. 289 (1911)), extends farther down the Potomac into Maryland and Virginia and is the remarkable little aquatic described as Harperella (not named for Harper's Ferry) vivipara Rose, 1. c. 290 (1911), and subsequently transferred to Ptilimnium as $P$. viviparum (Rose) Mathias in Brittonia, ii. 244 (1936). ${ }^{1}$ Obviously, if Oxypolis filiformis is now detected about ponds or savannas of southeastern Virginia it will be new to the flora of the state. One may also, with some hope, watch

[^112]there for O. Canbyi (Coult. \& Rose) Fernald in Rhodora, xii. 139 (1939) for, although perhaps extinct at its original station in Delaware, there is beautiful material of it in the Ravenel herbarium at Converse College from Lee County, Georgia. Georgia material is also cited by Mathias \& Constance in the North American Flora.

Virginian Occurrence of Kalmia hirsuta doubted.Kalmia hirsuta Walt., so distinct that Small considered it a monotypic genus, was described from South Carolina. Michaux knew it only from Georgia and even Pursh was content with "South Carolina and Georgia". M. A. Curtis did not know it from North Carolina; at least he did not mention it in his various enumerations of plants of that state. In the Gray Herbarium, there is no material of it from north of the Coastal Plain of South Carolina. Just how it wandered into Gray, Man. ed. 2: 256 (1856) I can not determine, but it is there entered: "Sandy pine-barren swamps, E. Virginia and southward". Virginia has subsequently stood in practically all manuals as having $K$. hirsuta. Until real evidence of it in the state is forthcoming it should be dropped. See p. 86.
*Lyonia ligustrina (L.) DC., forma nanella, f. nov. (tab. 1077). Frutex $1.5-2 \mathrm{dm}$. altus, foliis maturis $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $0.5-1.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; capsulis $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. diametro.-Nansemond County, Virginia: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, September 8 and 12, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,331 .

Forma nanella is the tiniest extreme of Lyonia ligustrina. Whether it is a dwarf form of typical L. ligustrina or of var. salicifolia (Wats.) DC. is at present questionable. One individual has the leafy inflorescence of the latter. The others have leafless inflorescences, but the plants are so mature that the leafy bracts may have disappeared. Further material, secured earlier in the summer, will settle that point. See p. 100 .

Symplocos tinctoria (L.) L'Hér., var. pygmaea Fernald. Add another station in Isle of Wight County: sandy pinebarrens south of Zuni, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,137.

Fraxinus americana L. Local range extended eastward to the Blackwater River. Nansemond County: sandy woods, bank of Blackwater River, George's Bend, south of South Quay, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,338.

No. 15,338 , with the glabrous branchlets and petioles of typical Fraxinus americana, grew beside a similar tree (not fruiting) with the branchlets, petioles and leaf-rachises tomentulose. This was obviously the relatively southern var. bittmoreana. ${ }^{1}$
F. pennsylvanica Marsh., var. subintegerrima (Vahl), comb. nov. F. juglandifolia, B. subintegerrima Vahl. Enum. i. 50 (1804). F. juglandifolia Willd. Berl. Baumg. 117 (1796), not Lam. (1788). F. caroliniana Willd. l. c. 119 (1796), not Mill. (1768). F. lanceolata Borkhausen, Handb. Forst. Bot. i. 820 (1800). F. caroliniana, B. F. latifolia Willd. Sp. iv. 1103 (1806). F. pubescens, *subpubescens Pers. Synop. ii. 605 (1807). F. expansa Willd. Berl. Baumg. ed. 2: 150 (1811). F. viridis Michx. Hist. Arb. Am. iii. 115, t. 10 (1813). F. pubescens, $\delta$. subpubescens (Pers.) Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. i. 9 (1814). F. pennsylvanica, var. lanceolata (Borkh.) Sargent, Silva, vi. 50 (1894).

The International Rules of Botanical Nomenclature demand the retention of the first validly published and legitimate name in each rank. The current Fraxinus pennsylvanica, var. lanceolata fails to satisfy this requirement.
*Sabatia difformis (L.) Druce. Sussex County: sandy and peaty shore of Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,977; Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,340.

First station known between North Carolina and eastern Maryland. Only a few plants noted in 1945, when the pond was abnormally high. In 1946, the pond being very low, the Sabatia was relatively abundant. See pp. 86 and 95 .
(To be continued)

[^113]
## ADDITIONS TO AND SUBTRACTIONS FROM THE FLORA OF VIRGINIA

M. L. Fernald

(Continued from page 159)
*Gentiana Catesbaei Walt., var. nummulariaefolia, var. nov. (TAB. 1078, fig. 3 et 4), a var. typica recedit folliis ellipticoovalibus utrinque obtusis vel subrotundis $1-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $6-12$ mm . latis membranaceis; calycis lobis late oblanceolatis ad 4.5 mm . latis.-Greensville County, Virginia: sphagnous bog about 1 mile northwest of Dahlia, October 12, 1938, Fernald \& Long, no. 9618 (тype in Herb. Gray.).

Gentiana Catesbaei, var. nummulariaefolia has for several years stood apart in the herbarium from all other material of the species and it seems very definite. Typical G. Catesbaei (plates 1078, figs. 1 and 2, and 1079), frequent in southeastern Virginia, has firm narrowly lanceolate to oblong-ovate acute or subacute leaves mostly $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, though in the most extreme dwarfs down to 1.5 cm . but acutish; and its calyx-lobes are linear or narrowly lanceolate. Var. nummulariaefolia, on the other hand, has small membranaceous blunt or round-tipped elliptic-oval leaves only $1-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, and its dilated calyx-lobes are broadly oblanceolate.

As I pointed out in Rhodora, xli. 555 (1939), Walter had two species of Gentiana with campanulate-ventricose corollas. What he took for $G$. Saponaria L. was described "corollis viridescentibus, foliis ovatis trinerviis" and (as shown by Walter's
material) was the misnamed greenish-flowered $G$. villosa $L$. Since $G$. villosa, as shown by its type, is strictly glabrous, Walter, quite naturally, did not so identify his greenish-flowered plant. Walter's G. Catesbaei was defined "corollis extus caeruleis, foliis lanceolatis remotis". The material in Walter's Herbarium shows, besides a summit of G. villosa, one of true G. Saponaria L. The other two specimens (our plate 1078, figs. 1 and 2) are the new element, G. Catesbaei, the larger specimen (fig. 1) so marked by the late James Britten (apparently). Besides them (plate 1079) I am showing summits of two modern specimens from eastern Virginia. These are of the plant described from "Pinebarren swamps near the coast, Georgia and Florida", as G. Elliottii Chapm., var. parvifolia Chapm. Fl. So. U. S. 356 (1860), specimens before me, and which has been variously known as $G$. Elliottii Chapm., G. parvifolia (Chapm.) Britton and Dasystephana parvifolia (Chapm.) Small. Since some have doubted the identity of $G$. Catesbaei I am showing the type-material.

Although Walter did not cite Catesby's plate, his intent in giving the name G. Catesbaei is pretty obvious. As M. A. Curtis wrote in Bost. Journ. Nat. Hist. i. 128 (1835): "Gentiana Catesbaei. This species is readily distinguished from G. saponària, by the long linear segments of the calyx and its open corolla. It is finely delineated in Bigelow's Medical Botany. Tab. 70. of Catesby's Carolina, represents it". Both Bigelow's plate of $G$. Catesbaei, received from Charleston, South Carolina, and Catesby's are indeed very fine; and Bigelow pointed out that it is not G. Saponaria for "It differs widely, however, from that species in the size of its leaves, the length of its calyx, the open mouth of its corolla and shape of its segments." Catesby, like Bigelow, showing the open summit of the corolla, said "blue flowers; which, before they open, are in form of a Rolling-pin; but, when blown, are in shape of a Cup, with the verge divided into five sections". There should be no question about the identity of G. Catesbaei.

Bartonia verna (Michx.) Muhl. In Rhodora, xlviii. 327 (1946) I explained my reasons for believing that the basis of the record of this vernal species from Virginia was a confusion made by Pursh in 1814. If the species is later found in the state it will presumably be as a considerable northern extension of range.

Phacelia maculata Wood, Am. Bot. Fl. 255 (1873). $P$. fallax Fernald in Rhodora, xlvi. 51, t. 814 (1944).

Dr. Lincoln Constance calls my attention to Wood's species from Stone Mountain (type-region of Phacelia fallax), Wood's species very generally overlooked by American botanists and somewhat obscured by the entry in Index Kewensis "Quid?".

Scutellaria integrifolia L., var. hispida Benth. To the stations in Norfolk and Gloucester Counties cited by Epling in Univ. Calif. Publ. xx. 93 (1942) add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,149. See p. 94.
*S. integrifolia, var. multiglandulosa Kearney (S. multiglandulosa (Kearney) Small). Range extended north from Georgia. Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog (Magnolia swamp) by Norfolk and Western Railway, $1-11 / 2$ miles west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,345. Southampton County: upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,346.

Close matches for specimens identified by Epling as an "unusually stable" species, Scutellaria multiglandulosa, although it is difficult to believe that the "stability" would hold over a considerable area and that $S$. multiglandulosa is more than a somewhat minor variation of $S$. integrifolia, perhaps extending still farther north. Epling, l. c. 94 , cites 18 collections of var. multiglandulosa (his $S$. multiglandulosa). Under 4 citations he adds: "occurs here with S. integrifolia subsp. hispida" or phrases of the same import. In Nansemond County the two are in bogs toward a mile apart! See pp. 99 and 101.
*Lycopus europaeus L., var. mollis (Kern.) Briq. Numerous stations in southeastern Virginia. Norfolk County: old collection from Norfolk, coll. Rugel?; between Princess Anne and Berkely, Heller, no. 1072. Nansemond County: border of fresh to brackish marsh, near Western Branch, south of Reid's Ferry, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,438. Isle of Wight County: along path, Burwell's Bay, James River, below Rushmere (Fergusson's Wharf), F. \& L., no. 12,791. Surry County: springy swale by Cobham Bay, James River, northwest of Chippokes, $F$. \& L., no. 12,790 ; roadside by sandy thicket, Sunken Meadow Beach, F. \& L., no. 6865.

Typical Lycopus europaeus L., recorded from Virginia but not seen from there by me, has the leaves broadly lanceolate to nar-
rowly ovate, acuminate, the lower and median primary ones deeply pinnatifid or incised, with the longest teeth or lobes $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the upper surface strigose, the lower surface but slightly pubescent to glabrescent. It is occasional in waste near Boston and is represented by House, nos. 19,639 and 20,772 from Monroe County, New York. Most eastern American specimens belong to var. mollis, which has smaller oval, obtuse to subacute leaves with short and blunt teeth and villous or soft-pilose beneath.

Physalis pubescens L. Add another station in Nansemond County: wet peaty and sandy shore of Exchange Pond, southwest of Everett's Bridge, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,348.
*P. heterophylla Nees, var. clavipes, var. nov. (tab. 1080 et tab. 1081, fig. 4 et 5), rhizoma sublignea 1 cm . crassa; caule basin versus subligneo ad 1.5 cm . crasso; internodiis pilosis glandulosisque, pilis confertis 0.5 mm . longis; foliis membranaceis translucentibus, venis venulisque conspicuis, subtus villoso-strigosis, margine divergenter acute dentato. - Southampton County, Virginia: sandy woods near Darden's Pond, northeast of Courtland, September 16, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,347 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). See p. 102.

In its thick, subligneous and heavy deeply buried horizontal rhizome, its strongly subligneous subterranean (often clubshaped) vertical base of the fruiting stem, in the very thin and conspicuously veiny leaves, very evidently translucent to transmitted light, var. clavipes stands out from the other three recognized varieties of Physalis heterophylla. Typical P. heterophylla (plate 1081, figs. 1-3), has the horizontal rhizome (fig. 1) slender and cord-like and the buried vertical base of the stem relatively slender and not ligneous, the leaves less prominently toothed and, when illuminated from below (fig. 3), appearing dense and opaque. The pubescence of the internodes is dense, with abundant glands and very short intermixed pilosity. Var. ambigua (Gray) Rydb. (plate 1082, figs. 1-3) has the slender rhizome and base of stem, but its internodes are spreadingvillous with slender trichomes up to 2 or 3 mm . long and its leaves are thick as in typical $P$. heterophylla and (Fig. 3) nearly as opaque. Var. nyctaginea (Dunal) Rydb. (Figs. 4-6) has the slender rhizome and base of stem and the pubescence of internodes as in var. ambigua, but the only slightly toothed or entire leaf is thin and membranaceous and subtranslucent but without
the very obvious veins and veinlets of var. clavipes. All the four varieties occur in southeastern Virginia. To clear the record, specimens from the southeastern counties are cited below.
P. heterophylla Nees (typical). Prince George County: rich alluvial woods and thickets by James River, Upper Brandon, Fernald \& Long, no. 9425 . Sussex County: border of dry sandy woods, 4 miles south of Stony Creek, Fernald, Griscom \& Long, no. 6684, in somewhat thickened and subligneous base and in toothing of leaves transitional to var. clavipes.
P. heterophylla, var. ambigua (Gray) Rydb. Isle of Wight County: dry sandy woods about 1 mile north of Pons, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,440; sand-beach along James River, Ragged Island, northeast of Carrollton, Fernald \& Long, no. 12,795.
*P. heterophylla, var. nyctaginea (Dunal) Rydb. Prince George County: rich alluvial thicket back of sand-beach of James River, Jordan Point, Fernald \& Long, no. 9427. Surry County : rich alluvial woods and thickets back of sand-beach of James River, Eastover, F. \& L., no. 8840. Southampton County: dry sandy old clearing near Nottoway River, north of Smith's Ferry, Fernald \& Long, no. 8841. Greensville County: open thickets, clearings, and borders of woods southeast of Emporia, F. \& L., no. 9428. Several collections from western counties.

Penstemon canescens Britton. Range extended from the outer Piedmont well out into the Coastal Plain. Henrico County: rich wooded slopes by James River, west of Varina, Fernald \& Long, no. 13,133. Prince George County: dry wooded slopes of gullies near Powell's Creek, Garysville, $F . \& L$., no. 8456 . Sussex County: dry sandy hickory and oak woods, Burt, F. \& L., no. 6385 (distrib. as P. australis Small). Identifications confirmed by Dr. Pennell.

Penstemon canescens adds another to the long list of primarily montane species with extensions out to the Coastal Plain, for Pennell, Scroph. E. Temp. N. Am. 221, and map 48, p. 219 (1935) found it to be a plant of "the Appalachian Mountains, both Eastern and Western . . . ; eastward descending along rivers into the Piedmont, . . . on the James River reaching nearly to the Fall Line." At Varina it is associated with many inland or upland plants: Carex conjuncta Boott, C. tenera Dewey, C. normalis Mackenz., Xanthorhiza simplicissima Marsh., Corydalis flavula DC., Scutellaria elliptica Muhl., var. hirsuta (Short) Fern. (first station east of Blue Ridge), etc. Along Powell's

Creek it is associated with many prevailingly inland species: Carex Frankii Kunth, Stellaria pubera Michx., Ranunculus micranthus Nutt., Sedum ternatum Michx., Phaseolus polystachios (L.) BSP., Ruellia strepens L. and Chrysogonum virginianum L. The patch of hickory and oak woods near Burt is, as pointed out in Rhodora, xxxix. 342 (1937), a bit of upland forest on the Coastal Plain, with such inland species as Festuca paradoxa Desv., Hexalectris spicata (Walt.) Barnh., Clematis ochroleuca Ait., Lathyrus venosus Muhl., Scrophularia marilandica L. and Houstonia tenuifolia Nutt. Penstemon canescens, pushing out to the Coastal Plain, stays with its inland associates.

Chelone obliqua L. To the few recorded stations add another in Nansemond County: along rill in rich sandy and loamy oak and hickory woods just east of Suffolk, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,350 . See p. 96.
*Galium tinctorium L., var. floridanum Wiegand in Bull. Torr. Bot. Cl. xxiv. 397 (1897). Range extended north from Florida. Princess Anne County: border of fresh pond back of the dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,358 ; wet sandy soil, Cape Henry, L. F. \& Fannie R. Randolph, no. 332, as G. Claytoni; marshes bordering ponds, Dam Neck, Fernald \& Long, no. 4206, as G. Claytoni. Southampton County: alluvial woods, bottomland of Mill Creek, Hart's Bridge, F. \& L., no. 8481, as G. Claytoni. See p. 100.

Wiegand, in his early revision of the group, treated Galium tinctorium as a heteromorphic species, his G. tinctorium proper being the plant we now know as $G$. obtusum Bigelow; his $G$. tinctorium, var. filifolium being $G$. obtusum, var. filifolium (Wieg.) Fernald; and his G. tinctorium, var. labradoricum being the wholly different G. labradoricum (Wieg.) Wieg. Wiegand treated as G. Claytoni Michx. a very weak plant with the leaves scabrous- or bristly-margined, the sprawling stems with prostrate, matted basal offshoots and 3 (sometimes 4)-lobed corollas at most 1.5 mm . broad. This plant, as shown by me in Rhodora, xxxvii. 443-445, plate 403, figs. 1 and 2 (1935), is really the true G. tinctorium of Linnaeus, while G. tinctorium sensu Wiegand is G. obtusum Bigelow, Fl. Bost. ed. 2: 54 (1824). Although G. tinctorium sensu Wiegand was not the true G. tinctorium of Linnaeus, and although G. tinctorium, var. labradoricum Wiegand and $G$. tinctorium, var. filifolium Wiegand are not conspecific with the Linnaean G. tinctorium (G. Claytoni Michx.), it so hap-
pens that $G$. tinctorium, var. floridanum is a southeastern lågefruited extreme of the Linnaean species!

Whereas true Galium tinctorium has the mature pairs of fruits relatively small ( $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. across), var. floridanum has them larger, the pairs measuring $3.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. across. The longest peduncles of true $G$. tinctorium range from $10-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. long but the longest in var. floridanum are up to 23 mm . long, while the longest pedicels of typical $G$. tinctorium are $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, those of var. floridanum ranging up to 13 mm . long. Furthermore, var. floridanum often bears long unforking and recurving very slender peduncles suggestive of those of the more northern $G$. trifidum L. Although var. floridanum was described only from Florida, it extends along the Coastal Plain of South and North Carolina into southeastern Virginia. The record in Rhodora, xxxvii. 178 (1935) was based on the very large-fruited and smooth G. obtusum Bigel., var. filifolium (Wiegand) Fern.

Diodia teres Walt., var. hystricina Fern. \& Griscom. Add another station in Princess Anne County: hollows in sand dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, nos. 15,354 (simple, crowded, upright plants) and 15,355 (depressed, freely branching). See p. 100.
*Cephalanthus occidentalis L., forma lanceolatus, f. nov., foliis oppositis lanceolatis vel lanceolato-oblongis utrinque attenuatis submembranaceis subtus pallidis, laminis $4-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis.-Southampton County, Virginia: wet thicket bordering Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, September 16, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,357 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.). See p. 101.

Cephalanthus occidentalis in its typical form has the leaf oblong-ovate and abruptly short-acuminate. It varies from small-leaved extremes at the northern border of its range, with blades only 4 cm . long and 2.3 cm . broad, to the largest-leaved shrubs or small trees of the southeastern states, with blades up to 2.5 dm . long and 1.5 dm . broad, the leaves either opposite or in 3's. Forma lanceolatus, with narrowly lanceolate leaves attenuate to both ends grows at its type-station with the ordinary broad-leaved shrub and strongly contrasts with it.

Although several authors treat the Mexican Cephalanthus salicifolius Humb. \& Bonpl., as a narrowly lance- or linear-leaved variety of $C$. occidentalis, C. occidentalis, var. salicifolius (Humb. \& Bonpl.) Gray, Syn. Fl. i². 29 (1878), they overlook the very
narrow (essentially linear) and elongate outline, the coriaceous texture and the obscure lateral nerves of the latter (and other characters), C. occidentalis and forma lanceolatus having thinner leaves with the lateral nerves quite evident.

It is possible that forma lanceolatus is the shrub cultivated in Europe as the ill-begotten C. angustifolius Hort. ex André in Rev. Hort. 1889, 280, 281, fig. 70 (1889) and Dippel, Handb. Laubholzk. i. 164 (1889), this in both cases treated as C. occidentalis, var. angustifolius André l. c., based on the horticultural binomial C. angustifolius Hort. ex André (1889), not Lour. Fl. Cochinch. i. 67 (1790). Since, according to Haviland, Revision of the Naucleeae, in Journ. Linn. Soc. xxxiii. 39 (1897), C. angustifolius Lour. of Cochinchina has the coriaceous very short-petioled leaves oblong-linear (in C. occidentalis oblong-ovate to -lanceolate and membranaceous), the peduncles ebracteate (in C. occidentalis with small bracts), the calyx-lobes linear (in C. occidentalis ovate), etc., it is evident that the cultivated shrub in European gardens has nothing to do with C. angustifolius Lour.; neither does the narrow-leaved form of C. occidentalis. I am, therefore, not taking up the later and rather vaguely founded C. occidentalis, var. angustifolius André.

Viburnum nudum L., var. angustifolium Torr. \& Gray. New stations. Nansemond County: wet Sphagnum of pinebarrens east of Cherry Grove, south of South Quay, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,154 , flowering shrubs only 4-6 dm. high. Sussex County: swampy depression in sandy pinelands 3 to 4 miles northwest of Waverly, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,360. See p. 93.

The Geographic Varieties of Lobelia puberula.-In his Flora Boreali-Americana, ii. 152 (1803) Michaux described Lobelia puberula:
L. erecta, simplicissima, pubescens: foliis oblongo-ovalibus, obtusis, repando-serrulatis: spica non pedunculata; floribus paucis, alternis, subsessilibus: calycibus ciliatis. $H_{A B}$. in Carolina.

This was regularly taken to be the definitely pubescent plant of coastwise distribution in the Atlantic states, with the calyxtube densely whitish-hirsute to -villous. When he studied Michaux's Herbarium in Paris in 1851 Asa Gray made a memorandum indicating that the specimen is what he knew and in his

Manual (1848) had described as L. puberula: "minutely downypubescent; leaves ovate or oblong, obtuse, . . . leafy bracts ovate, acute, serrate as long as the flower, lobes of the calyx scarcely shorter than the corolla, the auricles as long as the hairy tube". Again, when I studied the Michaux Herbarium in 1903, I similarly recorded that the type of $L$. puberula was the coastwise plant we know by that name. That is as it should be, for this pubescent plant so clearly matches Michaux's description and his plant came from Carolina. Michaux generally treated the two Carolinas as one but occasionally North Carolina appeared as Carolina superior, South Carolina as Carolina inferior; but he made a sharp distinction between the Coastal Plain and outer Piedmont region and the high mountains, plants of the latter area growing "in montibus Carolinae", "a Pensylvania ad Carolinam, per montium tractus", "a Canada ad Carolinam montosam". His pubescent Lobelia puberula simply from "Carolina" was obviously from east of the mountains, whence there are in the Gray Herbarium 8 sheets ( 4 from 4 counties in eastern North Carolina, 4 from 3 counties in eastern South Carolina, as well as specimens from 10 counties on the Coastal Plain of Virginia).

In Rhodora, xxxviii. 292 (1936) McVaugh stated that "There is no material of this species in the Michaux Herbarium in Paris". In view of the fact that Asa Gray found and studied it in 1851 and that I did likewise in 1903, it was evident that Michaux's material had existed. Professor Jacques Rousseau, expecting to visit Paris in the autumn of 1946, most kindly offered to look into the matter. Forced to abandon his plan, he communicated with M. J. Léandri of the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, who writes that the type of Lobelia puberula had merely been misplaced and that "As to the calix, it is similar to the sample of 'B' which Prof. Fernald has sent."

In his study of the genus McVaugh broke Lobelia puberula into 4 "pronounced geographic forms which may or may not be worthy of varietal names" (Rhodora, xxxviii. 293 (1936)), saying (p.292) "In the absence of type material, and in view of the variability of the forms [on the next page they were "pronounced geographic forms"], it seems impossible at present to determine the exact identity of $L$. puberula of Michaux". Under other species with pronounced geographic segregation, as in $L$.
spicata, he definitely called such plants varieties. In view of his "Form a" concentrating itself on the Appalachian Upland and Cumberland Plateau, his "Form b", "on the southeastern Coastal Plain and adjacent Piedmont, New Jersey and Pennsylvania south to Georgia", this the plant described by Michaux from Carolina and checked by Asa Gray in 1851, by myself in 1903, "Form c" "Alabama to Louisiana, especially on the Coastal Plain", and "Form d", Missouri and Arkansas southward to eastern Oklahoma and Texas, and eastward to Alabama and southern Mississippi"-in view of these geographic segregations (much greater than in the admitted varieties of L. spicata), it would seem that the 4 extreme and largely isolated trends in $L$. puberula are quite as clear varieties as are those of $L$. spicata. The typical $L$. puberula being the eastern pubescent coastwise plant so adequately described by Elliott with "Tube of the calyx short, villous, the segments lanceolate, ciliate, three times as long as the tube" and by McVaugh as his form b with "a densely longhirsute calyx", etc., we may designate the other three varieties.
*Var. simulans, var. nov., a var. typica recedit caule minute puberulo, foliis patentibus, bracteis lanceolatis vel linearibus, tubo calycis glabrescenti vel sparse pubescenti lobis linearilanceolatis $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis. Form a of McVaugh in Rhodora, xxxviii. 293, fig. 12 (1936)-West Virginia to Illinois, south to Florida, Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana, largely on the upland. Type: Wytheville, Wythe County, Virginia, Sept. 16, 1878, Howard Shriver (in Herb. Gray.). The asterisk used since the variety is here named for the first time.

Var. obtusifolia (A. DC.), comb. nov. L. glandulosa, $\gamma$. obtusifolia A. DC. in DC. Prodr. vii. 378 (1839). L. puberula, $\beta$. glabella Hook. Bot. Mag. lxi. t. 3292 (1834), not Ell. Sk. i. 267 (1817). L. puberula, var. laeviuscula Mohr in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. vi. 750 (1901). Form c of McVaugh, 1. c. 296 (1936) -Alabama to Louisiana.

I get no difference between the type (in Gray Herb.) of Alphonse De Candolle's Lobelia glandulosa $\gamma$. obtusifolia and the original of L. puberula $\beta$. glabella Hook., nor from the Drummond material from Louisiana from seed of which Hooker's plant was grown. Hooker's varietal name has to be discarded under $L$. puberula on account of L. puberula, var. glabella of Elliott.

The latter, from Chatham County, Georgia, was defined as follows: "with a stem 12-18 inches high, very smooth; leaves
linear lanceolate, obscurely denticulate; margins of the calyx slightly reflexed. Seems to be an intermediate plant between this species [L. puberula] and L. glandulosa". Its exact identity may never be settled, for the specimen is apparently lost. At least, in studying all the types preserved in Elliott's herbarium at the Charleston Museum, Weatherby in Rhodora, xliv. 256 (1942) found nothing to show for it. In his treatment of $L$. puberula McVaugh (p. 292) says of Elliott's variety "probably L. elongata Small"; and again (p. 284) "was probably L. elongata Small, as was L. puberula var. glabella, Elliott", but in his discussion of $L$. elongata itself he made no mention of the supposed synonym. Whatever Elliott had, his name invalidates the use of the same appellation for another plant. His diagnosis is to me quite as close to McVaugh's description of L. glandulosa Walt. as to that of L. elongata. Incidentally Small's L. elongata was originally given a very inclusive description but the type was from Northwest in southeastern Norfolk County, Virginia, Small describing the "sepals elongated . . . , entire, as long as the corolla or shorter", while for L. glandulosa Small correctly described the "corolla . . . tube much longer than the calyx". The plant at Northwest, type-locality of L. elongata, is confined to fresh to brackish tidal reed-marshes along the river and it also abounds on the adjacent fresh to brackish tidal marshes (there as at Northwest, along with Scirpus Olneyi and other halophytes or near-halophytes) of North Landing River. Messrs. Griscom, Long or Fogg and I have collected it either at the type-locality or nearby and Mackenzie also got it. I have before me 8 beautiful sheets of it, all quite consistent (except that in one the inflorescence is paniculate-branched). The brittle stem is $0.45-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$. high and all but the most crowded and etiolated ones $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick at base. The leaves are linear- to oblong-lanceolate or oblanceolate, entire or dentate, submembranaceous, the median ones $0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide; the median bracts of the raceme are linear-lanceolate and $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; the flowering calyx is $1-1.7$ cm . long, its non-glangular segments about two thirds as long as the corolla-tube; seeds $0.8-1 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, reticulate-pitted, with cells 3-10 times as long as broad. As already noted, $L$. elongata is a plant of brackish to fresh tidal reed-marsh. Frequently and needlessly confused with $L$. elongata are plants of L. glandulo

Walt., var. with glabrous, instead of densely chaffy-hirsute, calyx-tube, the plant more slender than L. elongata, its subcoriaceous leaves linear to linear-lanceolate and mostly 2-8 (rarely -15) mm . broad, the principal bracts of the raceme $0.5-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, the calyx $6-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, its segments usually much shorter than the corolla-tube; seeds $0.5-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, its pits mostly shorter and more uniform than in L. elongata. L. glandulosa is a plant of fresh-savannas, pinelands and pine-barrens.

The variety of Lobelia glandulosa with glabrous calyx-tube was distributed by M. A. Curtis as L. glandulosa, var. glabra, he perhaps thinking it the L. glandulosa ß. glabra A. DC. l. c. 378 (1839), from South Carolina, but, unfortunately, Alphonse DeCandolle described the "Foliae ovato-acuta", which will not do for $L$. glandulosa. The variety under discussion may be called
L. Glandulosa Walt., var. laevicalyx, var. nov. a var. typica recedit tubo calycis glabro.-Florida to eastern North Carolina. Type in Herb. Gray.: swampy pineland at Middlesex, Nash County, North Carolina, October 9, 1938, Godfrey \& Kerr, no. 6661 (distrib. as L. elongata).

Returning to the varieties of Lobelia puberula, the two remaining varieties here need little further comment. McVaugh's "Form d" is, as he states, var. mineolana E. Wimmer in Fedde, Repert. Spec. Nov. xxvi. 4 (1929).

Eupatorium recurvans Small. To the stations about the Great Dismal Swamp in Norfolk Co. add one in Princess Anne County: hollows in sand dunes, Chesapeake Beach, Fernald, Long \& Clement, nos. 15,363 and 15,364 , both nos. showing the fleshy and often 2- or 3 -forked tuberous roots which characterize this southeastern species. See p. 101.

Liatris.-The monograph of Liatris by Dr. L. O. Gaiser, in Rhodora, xlviii, August to December (1946) contains records of several Virginian species and varieties. That the record may be quickly available I am noting these here.
L. spicata L. (var. typica Gaiser). Recorded only from Fairfax, Montgomery and Giles Cos. See Gaiser, l. c. 180.
L. spicata, forma montana (Gray) Gaiser, 1. c. 216. Bath Co.
*L. spicata, var. resinosa (Nutt.) Gaiser, 1. c. Virginian stations cited in Sussex and Dinwiddie Cos. To these add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by the Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,365. See p. 98.
L. graminifolia (Walt.) Willd. (var. typica Gaiser, 1. c. 248). Many stations cited, from Arlington Co. to Princess Anne Co., thence westward across the Coastal Plain.
L. Graminifolia, var. dubia (Barton) Gray. See Gasier, l. c. 250. Stations cited from Arlington Co. to the mountains and down the Coastal Plain to Princess Anne, Sussex and Greensville Cos.
L. Graminifolia, var. Smallii (Britt.) Fern. \& Grisc. See Gaiser, 1. c. 253. Cited only from the montane counties. Found at inner margin of Coastal Plain in western Sussex County: damp sandy pine and oak woods south of Stony Creek, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,455.
*L. turgida Gaiser, 1. c. 261. Many stations from the Blue Ridge westward.
${ }^{*}$ L. regiomontanis (Small) K. Schum. Cited from Wythe Co. See Gaiser, 1. c. 277.
L. scariosa (L.) Willd. (var. typica Gaiser, l. c. 294). Cited from many stations in the western counties.
L. scariosa, var. virginiana (Lunell) Gaiser, 1. c. 296. Many stations in the western counties.
L. squarrosa (L.) Michx. (var. typica Gaiser, 1. c. 394). Stations south to Greensville and Mecklenburg and westward.

Liatris elegans not Virginian.-Although the somewhat unique Liatris elegans (Walt.) Michx. had its recorded range suddenly extend northward from South Carolina in Gray Man. ed. 2:184 (1856), where it was said to grow in "Barren soil, Virginia?", the doubt was fully justified, for Dr. Gaiser, examining the material in most American herbaria could find no evidence of it from north of South Carolina-see Rhodora, xlviii. 341 (1946).

Carphephorus tomentosus (Michx.) Torr. \& Gray, var. Walteri (Ell.) Fernald. To the stations farther inland in dry pine-barren add two in boggy habitats in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,366; similar habitat $1-11 / 2$ miles west of Kilby, F. L. \& C., no. 15,367. See p. 98.

Chrysopsis nervosa (Willd.) Fern., var. stenolepis Fern. To the few recorded stations add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,368.

Our previous stations in dry pine-barren.
*C. mariana (L.) Ell., forma efulgens Fern. in Rhodora, xlviii. 60 (1946). Rayless form from 2 stations in Sussex County.
*Solidago juncea Ait., var. neobohemica, var. nov., a var. typica recedit involucro $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. alto, phyllariis dorso intense viridibus; ligulis $3.5-3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; corollis disci $3-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; pappo maturo $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo; achaeniis 1.4 mm . longis. -Prince George County, Virginia: dry woods and clearings south of New Bohemia, June 7, 1946, Fernald \& Moore, no. 15,155 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.), Sept. 9, 1946, (ripe fruit), Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,373.

Clearly an extreme of the wide-ranging northern, inland and upland Solidago juncea, var. neobohemica stands apart as a Coastal Plain variety. Smaller in most parts than the great bulk of $S$. juncea, its measurements mostly touch those of the smallerheaded and -flowered inland and northern plant, but its phyllaries are strikingly dark green along the midrib, those of true $S$. juncea paler and more stramineous. The leaves of the basal rosette are relatively narrow, as are the lower cauline leaves, but the combination of capitular characters combined with the vividly green phyllaries, are most important. Measurements of heads in flower and in fruit from well developed S. juncea and several heads in both flower and fruit of var. neobohemica give the following results, these checked for me by Dr. Robert C. Foster:

> S. JUNCEA: involucre $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. high, phyllaries pale green or stramineous on back; ligules $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; disk-corollas $3.2-3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pappus (incl. longest bristles) $2.6-3.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes $1.5-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.
> Var. NEOBOHEMICA: involucre $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. high; phyllaries vividly green along midrib; ligules $3.5-3.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; disk-corollas $3-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pappus $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; achenes 1.4 mm . long.

Solidago juncea in the Maritime Provinces, Quebec and New England has a flowering period (with youngest heads still unexpanded) from earliest July to early September; in New Jersey and Pennsylvania similarly from late June into early September (Stone, Pl. S. N. J. says "Mid-July to early September"); on the Piedmont and upland of Virginia, West Virginia and North Carolina (as shown by a meagre representation) from June 27 to August 22. Near New Bohemia, on the Coastal Plain of southeastern Virginia, var. neobohemica was well flowering on June 7. Its season of bloom must have begun in late May. See p. 93 .
S. nemoralis Ait., var. Haleana Fern. To the only recorded stations northeast of Georgia (in Northampton County) add one in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk
and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,374 . See p. 98.
*S. fistulosa Mill., forma epilis, f. nov., caule glabro; foliis glabris vel glabratis.-Nansemond County, Virginia: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, September 8 and 12, 1946, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,372 (type in Herb. Gray.; isotype in Herb. Phil. Acad.); sphagnous savanna-like swale east of Cherry Grove, south of South Quay, August 21, 1939, Fernald \& Long, no. 11,177, and October 15, 1939, no. 11,627.

Typical Solidago fistulosa (S. pilosa Walt., S. villosa Ell.) usually has the internodes (especially the median and upper ones) densely pilose to villous and the lower surfaces of the leaves somewhat so. The three numbers from bogs of Nansemond County are glabrous. See p. 98.

* $\times$ S. hirtipes Fern. in Rhodora, xlviii. 65, pl. 1011 (1946). Sussex County: roadside thicket about $11 / 2$ miles north of Waverly, Fernald \& Long, no. 15,015.

A very handsome and tall plant, presumably a hybrid of Solidago graminifolia (L.) Salisb., var. Nuttallii (Greene) Fernald and S. microcephala (Greene) Bush. A large and uniform colony. See p. 89 .

Aster spectabilis Ait., var. suffultus Fernald. An additional station in Nansemond County: sphagnous and peaty bog by Norfolk and Western Railway, about $1 / 2$ mile west of Kilby, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,375, plants smaller than those of dry habitats.

Erigeron philadelphicus L., var. scaturicola (Fernald), stat. nov., E. scaturicola Fernald in Rhodora, xliii. 654, pl. 695, figs. 1 and 2 (1941).

Further experience indicates that the local Erigeron scaturicola is better treated as a variety of the wide-ranging E. philadelphicus.

Baccharis glomeruliflora presumably not Virginian.Baccharis glomeruliflora Pers. was based by Persoon on B. sessiliflora Michx., not Vahl, Michaux having found it "in sylvis maritimis C'arolinae". Very soon thereafter Pursh cited it as growing "on the coast of Virginia and Carolina". Thereafter it appeared pretty regularly as Virginian, until in the Synoptical Flora Gray cut off Virginia from the stated range. Subsequently its occurrence in the state has been doubted, and, certainly close watching near the coast, southward to Knott's Island and nearly
to False Cape, has revealed only the ubiquitous B. halimifolia. Judging from the Gray Herbarium B. glomeruliflora, a very distinct species, is rare north of Florida. Aside from the abundant material from that state there are only two sheets from the southeastern states; one from Bluffton in the extreme southeastern corner of South Carolina (Mellichamp in 1878); the other from Wilmington (M. A. Curtis) in the southeastern corner of North Carolina. We may safely stop straining to see B. glomeruliflora in Virginia; probably Pursh did not see it.
*Helianthus angustifolius L., var. planifolius, var. nov. (тab. 1083), var. typica recedit caule simplici vel subsimplici 4.5-6 dm. alto; foliis imis mediisque oppositis vel suboppositis planis oblongis vel oblongo-lanceolatis obtusis vel subacutis $3-8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis $6-18 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, jugis remotis, foliis superioris alternis vel suboppositis.-Southeastern Virginia and apparently north to New Jersey, with typical $H$. angustifolius or by itself. Virginia: Sphagnum-Magnolia swamp 2 miles west of Williamsburg, Sept. 27, 1921, Grimes, no. 4474, sent for identification and tentatively called $H$. Schweinitzii T. \& G.; sandy pine and oak woods south of Stony Creek, Sept. 21, 1939, Fernald \& Long, nos. 11,470 and 11,471 (type in Herb. Gray.). A specimen from shrubby swamp, with $H$. angustifolius, Lakewood, New Jersey, Sept. 15, 1897, E. H. Eames, specimen sent to Gray Herbarium as not good H. angustifolius, is placed with var. planifolius, although with mostly alternate leaves.

In its blunt leaves and its very slender and low stem var. planifolius stands off pretty sharply from typical Helianthus angustifolius. The type (plate 1084, fig. 1) of the latter, from eastern Virginia (Clayton), belongs to the usual form of the species, commonly tall (up to 1.7 m . high), with often short fascicles in the leaf-axils, the abundant hard and scabrous leaves narrowly lanceolate to linear and long-attenuate, commonly with recurved margins. In its relatively short and blunt leaves H. angustifolius, var. planifolius simulates H. floridanus Gray. Many diverse plants have been sent out as H. floridanus and the type, Palmer, no. 283 (our plate 1085, figs. 1 and 2), is a mere broken-off top. So far as it shows, however, it has the phyllaries blunter than the caudate-attenuate ones of $H$. angustifolius and its leaves are usually less attenuate to quite blunt. It is matched or strongly approached by a large series of specimens, either broad- or narrow-leaved, found from Florida to Arkansas and

Texas and north to the Carolinas, in which the disk may be either yellow on expanding or brown. Whenever the roots have been well collected they show a slender rhizome, with elongate stolons: such specimens from Florida as Curtiss, no. 1437 (plate 1085, fig. 3), on a sheet bearing Gray's validation, Curtiss, no. 6727, Fredholm, nos. 6084 and 6055, Small, De Winkeler and Mosier, no. 11,096; or from Alabama as Blanton, no. 7080; from Arkansas as Demaree, no. 8794; or from Texas as Cory, no. 19,811 and Parks, no. 41,008 (this erroneously distributed as the quite different $H$. heterophyllus Nutt.). These specimens, largely identified as $H$. angustifolius, have a very different root-system from true $H$. angustifolius, as typified by the Clayton specimen. The latter species has a knotty, short crown without stolons and in autumn develops crown-buds. Its bases, rarely collected, since it is easier not to do so, are well shown in a few numbered specimens: Muenscher \& Curtis, no. 6621 from Long Island; Benner, no. 479, Fogg, no. 9920, Long, no. 19,462 from New Jersey; Allard, no. 5626 and Fernald \& Long, nos. 6904 (our plate 1084, fig. 2) and 11,469 from Virginia. Whether $H$. floridanus should be merged as a variety with $H$. angustifolius I do not know. Its root-habit and phyllaries seem to indicate a true species-as species go in Helianthus.
*H. atrorubens L., var. alsodes Fernald in Rhodora, xliii. 74 , pl. 1020 (1946). Specimens cited from James City, Princess Anne (type), Sussex and Bedford Counties.

## H. mollis Lam., var. cordatus S. Wats.

The plants of eastern Virginia previously reported as H. mollis belong to var. cordatus, with the principal leaves deeply cordate and clasping, typical (mostly inland) H. mollis having them with rounded to barely subcordate bases.
*H. divaricatus L., var. angustifolius Ktze. James City County: dry open ground about 3 miles north of Williamsburg, Menzel, no. 122.

Var. angustifolius, found chiefly on the Coastal Plain from Florida to Cape Cod, has narrowly lanceolate leaves (at 7-12 nodes below the solitary head or crowded infloresecence), these caudate-attenuate, the larger ones only $0.6-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad and 5-10 cm . long.
*H. tracheliffolius Mill. Sussex County: sandy wooded terrace of Nottoway River, 3 miles north-northwest of Bethel Church, Fernald, Long \& Clement, no. 15,381.

Balduina ${ }^{1}$ uniflora Nutt. Gen. ii. 175 (1818), a distinguished and very definite plant with upright small-leaved stems terminated by a large golden-yellow head, therefore not easily overlooked, was described by Nuttall as found "In open grassy swamps from the maritime parts of Virginia to Florida" but its occurrence in Virginia is extremely doubtful. In the Synoptical Flora Gray said under the needlessly substituted Baldwinia uniflora, "Low pine barrens, S. Carolina to Florida and Louisiana" and in 1898 Britton \& Brown, calling it by a later generic name, Actinospermum, said "Virginia (according to Torrey and Gray)" etc. Had they looked up Nuttall's original account they would have discovered that Torrey \& Gray merely accepted Nuttall's statement. In the 7th edition of Gray's Manual the doubt was indicated by "Va. (?)". In the Gray Herbarium the northernmost stations represented are in Onslow, Duplin and Sampson Counties, North Carolina, at least 110 miles south of the "maritime parts of Virginia". In North Carolina, as indicated by the labels, it occurs on savannas and peaty pinelands, flowering through August and September. Nuttall was not often guilty of inaccuracy; his statement is a challenge.

## Explanation of Plates 1056-1085

Plate 1056, Sagittaria planipes Fernald (figs. 1-6) and S. latifolia Willd., var. obtusa (Muhl.) Wiegand (figs. 7 and 8). S. Planipes, all figs. from type: fig. 1, plant, $\times 1 / 2$; FIG. 2, bract, $\times 10$; FIG. 3 , broad side, and FIG. 4, narrow side of pedicel, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , anthers, $\times 10$; FIG. 6 , achene, $\times 10$. S. latifolia, var. obtusa: fig. 7 , bract, $\times 10$, from Medford, Massachusetts, August, 1865, Wm. Boott: Fig. 8, anthers, $\times 10$, from Concord, Massachusetts, July 25, 1893, W. Deane.

Plate 1057, Paspalum setaceum Michx., var. calvescens Fernald, all figs. from TYPE: sufficiently explained in caption of plate.

Plate 1058, Paspalum setaceum Michx. and var. longepedunculatum (Le Conte) Wood. P. setaceum, all figs. from dry sandy pine-barrens south of Zuni, Isle of Wight Co., Virginia, Fernald, Griscom \& Long, no. 6465: Fig. 1, lower, and FIG. 2, upper leaf-surface, $\times 10$; FIG. 3, spikelets, $\times 10$. Var. Longepedunculatum: Fig. 4, base of plant, $\times 1$, from Eustis, Lake Co., Florida, Nash, no. 1417.

Plate 1059, Panicum glutinoscabrum Fernald, all figs. from type: sufficiently explained in caption of plate.

[^114]Plate 1060, Rhynchospora perplexa Britton, figs. $1-4$, and var. virginiana Fernald, figs. 5-8. R. perplexa: fig. 1 , terminal corymb, $\times 1.8$, from an isotype, Florida, Chapman; fig. 2, achene, $\times 10$, from same plant; fig. 3, achenes, $\times 10$, from Ponce de Leon, Florida, Curtiss, no. 6482; fig. 4, achenes, $\times 10$, from Mobile, Alabama, May, 1845, Sullivant. Var. virginiana: fig. 5, terminal corymb, $\times 1.8$, from TYPE; Fig. 6 , achenes, $\times 10$, from tYpe; fig. 7 , achenes, $\times 10$, from south of Mercy Seat Church, Surry Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 8989; Fig. 8, achenes, $\times 10$, from Airfield Millpond, southwest of Wakefield, Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 14,298.

Plates 1061-1063, Nymphaea odorata Ait., var. stenopetala Fernald, all figs. from type. Plate 1061, a colony in pool in the Great Dismal Swamp, Virginia, showing the flowers raised above the leaves, the author at the margin of the pool, $\times 1 / 15$, after small kodachrome by $H$. E. Moore, this labeled "Water-nymphs in the G. D. Swamp". Plate 1062, fig. 1, lower surface of leaf, $\times 1$; fig. 2, base of flower, showing reflexed sepals, $\times 1$. Plate 1063, Fig. 1, dorsal, and fig: 2, ventral view of flower, $\times 1$.

Plates 1064-1066, Rubus hypolasius Fernald, all figs., from the type. Sufficient explanation in the captions.

Plates 1067-1069, Rubus subinnoxius Fernald, all figs. from the type. Sufficiently explained in the captions.

Plates 1070 and 1071, Rubus uliginosus Fernald, all figs. from type. Sufficiently explained in the captions.

Plates 1072-1074, Rubes cupressorum Fernald, all figs. from type. Sufficiently explained in the captions.

Plate 1075, Crotalaria Purshii DC. (fig. 1) and var. bracteolifera Fernald (figs. 2 and 3), all figs. $\times$ 1. C. Purshii: fig. 1, two portions from summit of plant, from general type-area, border of dry sandy woods near Carson, Dinwiddie Co., Virginia, Fernald, Long \& Smart, no. 5805. Var. bracteolifera: figs. 2 and 3, portions of summit of type.

Plate 1076, Hypericum canadense L., var. galifforme Fernald, both figs. from TYPE: sufficiently explained in caption of plate.

Plate 1077, Lyonia ligustrina (L.) DC., forma nanella Fernald, figs. $\times 1$, from type. Sufficiently explained in caption.

Plate 1078, Gentiana Catesbaei Walt. (figs. 1 and 2) and var. numulariaefolia Fernald (figs. 3 and 4). G. Catesbafi, both figs. 1 and 2 from Walter's type, courtesy of Dr. J. Ramsbottom. Var. nummulariaefolia, figs. 3 and 4 , the type, $\times 1$.

Plate 1079, inflorescences of Gentiana Catesbaei Walt., both $\times 1$ : fig. 1 from wet pine woods cast of Eastville, Northampton Co., Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 5414; fig. 2 from upper border of sandy and peaty shore of Darden's Pond, north of Courtland, Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 15,343.

Plate 1080, Physalis heterophylla Nees, var. clavipes Fern., all figs. from type. Sufficiently explained in caption of plate.

Plate 1081, Physalis heterophylla Nees (figs. 1-3) and var. clavipes Fernald (figs. 4 and 5). P. heterophylla: fig. 1, base of plant and leaf at upper right, $\times 1$, from sandy soil, Alstead, New Hampshire, Fernald, no. 315 ; Fig. 2, pubescence of stem, $\times 10$, from no. 315 ; fig. 3 , leaf, $\times 1$, illuminated from below, from Foxcroft, Maine, August 31, 1897, Fernald. Var. clavipes, both figs. from TYpe: FIG. 4 , leaf, $\times 1$, illuminated from below; fig. 5 , enlarged and subligneous base of stem, $\times 1$.

Plate 1082, Physalis heterophylla, var. ambigua (Gray) Rydberg (figs. 1-3) and var. Nyctaginea (Dunal) Rydberg (figs. 4-6). Var. ambigua: FIG. 1, portion of horizontal rhizome and base of erect stem, $\times 1$, from Wallingford, Vermont, August 3, 1907, G. G. Kennedy; fig. 2, pubescence of stem, $\times 10$, from Suffield Township, Portage Co., Ohio, W'ebb, Rood et al., no. 1547; Fig. 3, leaf, $\times 1$, illuminated from below, from Norwich, Vermont, July 15, 1910, E. F. 'Williams, Var. nyctaginea: fig. 4, base of plant, $\times 1$, from sandy old clearing near Nottoway River, north of Smith's Ferry, Southampton Co.,

Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 8841; FIG. 5, pubescence of stem, $\times 10$, from dry woods near Middletown, Frederick Co., Virginia, Hunnewell, no. 14,019; FIG. 6 , leaf $\times 1$, illuminated from below, from no. 8841.

Plate 1083, Helianthus angustifolius L., var. planifolius Fernald, all figs. from TYPE. Sufficiently explained in caption of plate.

Plate 1084, Helianthus angustifolius L.: fig. 1, type, $\times$ ca. $1 / 4$, courtesy of Dr. J. Ramsbottom; fig. 2, ascending caudex and erect basal sprouts, $\times 1$, from pineland northwest of Waverly, Virginia, Fernald \& Long, no. 6904.

Plate 1085, Helianthus floridanus Gray, all figs. $\times 1$ : figs. 1 and 2, portions of TYPE; FIG. 3, characteristic stoloniferous base, from Duval County, Florida, A. H. Curtiss, no. 1437.

## INDEX

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Aconitum, 97; uncinatum, var. acutidens, 97
Actinospermum, 192
Agrostis alba, 109, 110, f. aristata, 112; altissima, 89, 109-113; borealis, 112; canina, 112; dispar, 109, 110; elata, 109, 110, 112; hyemalis, 109, var. elata, 109; perennans, 111,112 , f. chaetophora, 112, var. aestivalis, 112 , f. atherophora, 112, var. elata, 109, 112; perennans elata, 109; scabra, 109; stolonifera, var. aristata, 112
Alcea, 152; floridana, 152; Floridana quinquecapsularis, etc., 152 ; florideana, 152
Aletris aurea, 93, 99
Alisma cordifolia, 107, 108, The Identity of the Linnaean, 107; rostrata, 108
Allium vineale, 132 , f. capsuliferum, 132, f. compactum, 132
Alnus serrulata, f. noveboracensis, 138, var. subelliptica, 138 , f. mollescens, 138 , f. nanella, 138
Andropogon Elliottii, var. gracilior, 124; scoparius, var. littoralis, 123
Anthoxanthum odoratum, 94, f. giganteum, 94, 121
Arbor Indica baccifera, etc., 152
Arethusa, 134; medeoloides, 134-136; verticillata, 134,135
Aster Elliottii, 90 ; gracilis, 94 ; spectabilis, var. suffultus, 189

Baccharis glomeruliflora, 86, 189, 190, presumably not Virginian, 189; hàlimifolia, 190; sessiliflora, 189
Balduina, 86, 88; uniflora, 86, 192
Bartonia verna, 85,176
Bay, 153; Loblolly, 151, 152; Red, 152, 153; Tan, 151
Blackberry, 92
Blaspheme-vine, 90
Bulbostylis, 124
Canna indica, 134
Carex 91; Barrattii, 93; Collinsii, 89; conjuncta, 179; crinita, var. brevicrinis, 127; debilis, var. Rudgei, 127; decomposita, 127; Frankii, 180; hormathodes, 95,127 ; meso-
chorea, 126; Mitchelliana, 95, 127; normalis, 179; reniformis, 127; tenera, 179
Carphephorus tomentosus, var. Walteri, 98, 187
Carya ovalis, var. hirsuta, 138
Cassia nictitans, var. hebecarpa, 101, 149
Cedar, 153
Cephalanthus, 86; angustifolius, 182; occidentalis, $102,181,182$, f. lanceolatus, 181, 182, var. angustifolius, 182, var. salicifolius, 181; salicifolius, 181
Chamaecyparis, 153
Chelone obliqua, 96, 180
Chrysogonum virginianum, 180
Chrysopsis mariana, f. efulgens, 187;
nervosa, var. stenolepis, 187
Cladium jamaicense, 90; mariscoides, 100, 126
Clematis ochroleuca, 180
Compositae, 90
Convallaria, 137
Cordia macrophylla, 152
Coreopsis oniscicarpa, 99
Cornucopiae altissima, 109-111, 113, Identity of, 109; hyemalis, 113; perennans, $109,110,113$
Corydalis flavula, 179
Crotalaria, 99; laevigata, 149; Purshii, 149, 193, pl. 1075, var. bracteolifera, 149, 193, pl. 1075; spectabilis, 149
Ctenium aromaticum, 99, 121
Cyperus rivularis, f. elutus, 124
Dactylis cynosuroides, 113; glomerata, var. detonsa, 108, var. multiflora, 108; patens, 114
Darlington Oak, 102
Dasystephana parvifolia, 176
Desmodium, 89, 96, 97; lineatum, 96; nudiflorum, 96 ; paniculatum, 96 ; pauciflorum, 97; tenuifolium, 97, 99; viridiflorum, 96
Dewberry, 92
Dichromena colorata, 90; latifolia, 86, 88, 124, in V'irginia?, Is, 124
Diodia teres, var. hystricina, 101, 181
Does Gordonia grow in Virginia?, 151
Drosera capillaris, 86

Dryas, 154 ; tenella, 153
Dryopteris celsa, 95, 104; cristata, var. Clintoniana, 104; Filix-mas, 104; Goldiana, 104; Thelypteris, var. pubescens, f. suaveolens, 103

Echinochloa, 123; crusgalli, 123; echinata, 123; pungens, var. ludoviciana, 123; setigera, 123; stagnina, 101, 122, 123
Echinodorus cordifolius, 107, 108, f. lanceolatus, 108, var. lanceolatus, 108; radicans, 107, 108; rostratus, 108, f. lanceolatus, 108, var. lanceolatus, 108
Eleocharis, 100, 101; flavescens, 100, 124; obtusa, var. ellipsoidalis, 91 ; olivacea, 100 ; prolifera, 124 ; radicans, 90 ; tricostata, 101, 124; vivipara, 96, 100, 124
Erigeron philadelphicus, 189, var. scaturicola, 189; scaturicola, 189
Eriocaulon decangulare, 87, 127; flavidulum, 128, 129; Parkeri, 128, 129
Eryngium prostratum, var. disjunctum, 92
Erythronium, 137
Eupatorium, 101; cordigerum, 89; leucolepis, 101 ; recurvans, 101, 186
Euphorbia humistrata, 150
Exit Syngonanthus flavidulus, 128
Fern, Hay-scented, 104
Festuca paradoxa, 180
Fimbristylis, 124
Fothergilla, 92; parvifolia, 92
Fraxinus americana, 158, 159, var. biltmoreana, 159 ; biltmoreana, 159; caroliniana, $91,102,159, \beta$. F. latifolia, 159; expansa, 159 ; juglandifolia, 159 , $\beta$. subintegerrima, 159; lanceolata, 159; pennsylvanica, var. lanceolata, 159 , var. subintegerrima, 159; pubescens, *subpubescens, 159; pubescens, ठे. subpubescens, 159 ; viridis, 159

Galium, 89, 100, 155; Claytoni, 100, 180; hispidulum, 101; labradoricum, 180; obtusum, 180, var. filifolium, 180,181 ; tinctorium, 87 , $100,180,181$, var. filifolium, 180 , var. floridanum, $100,180,181$, var. labradoricum, 180; trifidum, 87, 155, 181
Gentiana, 175; Catesbaei, 86, 175, 176, 193, pls. 1078,1079 , var. nummulariaefolia, 175,193 , pl.

1078; Elliottii, 176, var. parvifolia, 176; parvifolia, 176; Porphyrio, 99; Saponaria, 175, 176; villosa, 176
Geographic Varieties of Lobelia puberula, The, 182
Glyceria fluitans, 115; septentrionalis, 115
Goldenrod, 93
Gordonia, 86, 151-154, Grow in Virfinia?, Does, 151; Lasianthus, 151, 152
Gramineae, 115, 123
Harperella vivipara, 157
Hay-scented Fern, 104
Helianthus, 191; angustifolius, 190, 191,193 , pl. 1084, var. planifolius, 190, 194, pl. 1083; atrorubens, var. alsodes, 191; divaricatus, var. angustifolius, 191; floridanus, 190, 191, 194, pl. 1085; heterophyllus, 191; mollis, 191, var. cordatus, 191; Schweinitzii, 190; tracheliifolius, 192
Hemerocallis, 137
Hexalectris, 96 ; spicata, 138, 180
Honeysuckle, Japanese, 150
Houstonia tenuifolia, 180
Hypericum, 87, 89, 100, 152, § Brathys, 87 ; boreale, 87, 100, 101, 154 ; canadense, 87,155 , var. galiiforme, 154, 155, 193, pl. 1076; dissimulatum, 87, 155; Lasianthus, 151; majus, 87; mutilum, 87; setosum, 99, 154
Hypoxis micrantha, 99, 133
Identity of Cornucopiae altissima, The, 109; of Isotria medeoloides, 134; of the Linnaean Alisma cordifolia, 107
Iris prismatica, 92, 133, 134, var. austrina, 133, 134
Is Dichromena latifolia in Virginia?, 124; Mayaca in the "Manual Range", 130
Isotria affinis, 135, 136; medeoloides, 134, 136, The Identity of, 134; verticillata, 135,136
Itea virginica, 92, f. abbreviata, 92, 142

Japanese Honeysuckle, 150
Juncus, 100; canadensis, f. conglobatus, 131, var. euroauster, 101, 132; megacephalus, 90 ; polycephalus, $86,130,131$, var. schizocephalus, 131 ; scirpoides, 131 , var.
meridionalis, 130,131 , var polycephalus, 131; validus, 131
Juniper, 153
Kalmia hirsuta, 86, 158, Virginian Occurrence of, 158
Kudzu-vine, 150
Lachnocaulon anceps, 99, 128
Lathyrus venosus, 180
Lechea maritima, var. virginica, 101, 155
Lemna valdiviana, 127, var. abbreviata, 127
Liatris, 186; elegans, 187; graminifolia, var. dubia, 187, var. Smallii, 187, var. typica, 187; regiomontanis, 187; scariosa, var. typica, 187, var. virginiana, 187; spicata, f. montana, 186, var. resinosa, 98, 186, var. typica, 186; squarrosa, var. typica, 187; turgida, 187
Lilaeopsis carolinensis, 90
Lilia, 137
Lilium, 137; Michauxii, 133
Limnetis cynosuroides, 115 ; juncea, 114,115 , var. monogyna, 114,115
Linnaean Alisma cordifolia, Identity of the, 107
Liparis, 137; lilifolia, 137, not L. liliifolia, 137; liliifolia, 137
Litsea, 86
Lobelia elongata, 90, 185, 186; georgiana, 89 ; glandulifera, 89 ; glandulosa, 185, 186, $\beta$. glabra, 186, var. glabra, 186, var. laevicalyx, 186, $\gamma$. obtusifolia, 184; puberula, 182-186, $\beta$. glabella, 184, var. glabella, 184, 185, var. laeviuscula, 184, var. mineolana, 186, var. obtusifolia, 184, var. simulans, 184, The Geographic Varieties of, 182; spicata, 184
Loblolly Bay, 151, 152; Tree, 152
Lomatogonium rotatum, 154
Ludwigia, 99 ; alata, 90,156 ; brevipes, $92,100,156$; hirtella, 99 ; pilosa, 99, 156; virgata, 99, 155
Lycopodium, 88; complanatum, var. flabelliforme, 105 , f. brachypodum, 105; inundatum, var. Bigelovii, 88, 130
Lycopus europaeus, 177 , var. mollis, 177, 178
Lyonia ligustrina, 100,158 , f. nanella, 158, 193, pl. 1077, var. salicifolia, 158

Magnolia virginiana, 153

Malaxis Bayardi, 94; lilifolia, 137, 138; liliifolia, 137
"Manual Range"", Is Mayaca in the, 130
Mayaca, 88, 130, in the "Manual Range"?, Is, 130; Aubleti, 86, 130; fluviatilis, 88, 130

Najas, 105; flexilis, 105, 106; gracillima, 106; guadalupensis, 106
Nymphaea odorata, 141, 142, var. gigantea, 141, 142, var. minor, 141, var. stenopetala, 141, 142, 193, pls. 1061-1063
Oak, Darlington, 102
Occurrence of Kalmia hirsuta, Virginian, 158
Oenothera humifusa, 101
Ophrys lilifolia, 137, 138; liliifolia, 137; linifolia, 137
Oxypolis Canbyi, 157, 158; filiformis, $86,88,156,157$, The Virginian Record of, 156, var. Canbyi, 157; ternata, 157

Panicum, 91, 99, 123, sub-8 Lanuginosa, 122; cruscorvi, 123; crusgalli, 123; echinatum, 123; glutinoscabrum, 122, 192, pl. 1059; lanceolatum, 123; setigerum, 123; setosum, 123; stagninum, 123
Paspalum lentiferum, 121; longepedunculatum, 122; praecox, var. Curtisianum, 99,121 ; setaceum, 99, 121, 122, 192, pl. 1058, var. calvescens, 121, 122, 192, pl. 1057, var. longepedunculatum, 122 , 192, pl. 1058
Penstemon australis, 179; canescens, 179, 180
Persea, 152, 153; borbonia, 152
Phacelia fallax, 177; maculata, 177
Phalaris caroliniana, 90
Phaseolus polystachios, 180
Physalis, 102; heterophylla, 178, 179, 193, pl. 1081, var. ambigua, 178 , 179,193 , pl. 1082, var. clavipes, $178,179,193$, pls. 1080, 1081, var. nyctaginea, 178, 179, 193, pl. 1082; pubescens, 178
Pleurogyne rotata, 154
Pluchea purpurascens, 90
Pogonia affinis, 135, 136; verticillata, 135
Polygala polygama, 97
Polygonum arifolium, 90 ; aviculare, var. vegetum, 140 ; hydropiperoides, var. euronotorum, 92; mite,

141; Persicaria, var. angustifolium, 140, var. ruderale, 141 ; sagittatum, var. gracilentum, 90,141
Potamogeton diversifolius, 105
Psilocarya, 88; nitens, 87, 125; scirpoides, var. Grimesii, 124
Ptilimnium, 157; viviparum, 157
Pueraria Thunbergiana, 150
Quercus alba, 138, 139; alba $\times$ Michauxii, 139; alba pinnatifida, 139; alba, f. latiloba, 138,139 , var. latiloba, 138, f. repanda, 138, 139, var. repanda, 138; $\times$ Beadlei, 139; falcata $\times$ nigra, 140; falcata $\times$ Phellos, 140; $\times$ garlandensis, 140 ; hemisphaerica, 102,140 ; imbricaria, 140; laurifolia, 140; $\times$ ludoviciana, 140; marilandica $\times$ Phellos, 140; Phellos, 140, var. laurifolia; 140; Prinus, $139 ; \times$ Rudkini, 140; velutina, 139, f. dilaniata, 139, f. macrophylla, 139, f. pagodaeformis, 139, 140; virginiana, 139, var. maritima, 139

Ranunculus micranthus, 180
Record of Oxypolis filiformis, The Virginian, 156
Red Bay, 152, 153
Rhexia ciliosa, 98,155 ; mariana, var. purpurea, 98; Nashii, 98
Rhynchospora, 91, 98 ; chalarocephala, 98,125 ; debilis, 98,125 ; filifolia, 87; glomerata, 86; perplexa, $98,101,126,193$, pl. 1060 , var. virginiana, 125, 193, pl. 1060; rariflora, 98, 125
Rosebay, 152
Rubus, 92, 95, § Arguti, 147, § Cuneifolii, 147, § Flagellares, 145, 146, § Tholiformes, 146; Akermani, 146; celer, $95,145,146$; cuneifolius, 95 ; cupressorum, 147, 148, 193, pls. 1072-1074; flagellaris, 92 , 145 ; Humei, 95, 147 ; hypolasius, 145, 146, 193, pls. 1064 -1066; immanis, 147; jugosus, 148; subinnoxius, 146, 193, pls. 1067-1069; suus, 147; uliginosus, 147, 193, pls. 1070, 1071; vixargutus, 147, 148
Ruellia strepens, 180
Rumex Acetosella, var. pyrenaeus, 140

Sabatia, 159; difformis, 86, 95, 159
Sagittaria, 107; latifolia, 106, 107, var. obtusa, 106, 107, 192, pl. 1056; planipes, 106, 107, 192, pl. 1056;
radicans, 107; virginiana, 108; virginiana, obtusiore, etc., 108
Sanicula Smallii, 96, 156
Sarracenia flava, 94
Sawgrass, 90
Scirpus etuberculatus, 90; Olneyi, 95, 127, 185
Scleria minor, 94, 126; Muhlenbergii, 87; reticularis, 87
Scrophularia marilandica, 180
Scutellaria, 99; elliptica, var. hirsuta, 179; integrifolia, 177, subsp. hispida, 177, var. hispida, 94, 177, var. multiglandulosa, 99, 101, 177; multiglandulosa, 99, 177
Sedum ternatum, 180
Sida, 97, 98; inflexa, 97, 98
Sisyrinchium capillare, 94,134
Smilax laurifolia, 90
Solidago, 89; fistulosa, 98, 189, f. epilis, 189; graminifolia, var. Nuttallii, 189; $\times$ hirtipes, 89, 189; juncea, 93, 188, var. neobohemica, 188; microcephala, 189; nemoralis, var. Haleana, 98, 188; pilosa, 98, 189; verna, 93; villosa, 98, 189
Spartina cynosuroides, 90, 113, The two Varieties of, 113, var. polystachya, 113; juncea, 114, 115; patens, 114, 115, var. juncea, 114, var. monogyna, 114
Sphagnum, 87, 89, 99, 155
Sphenopholis pallens, 97
Spiranthes Beckii, 136; gracilis, 136; lacera, 136; tuberosa, 136, var. Grayi, 136
Stellaria pubera, 180
Stenanthium gramineum, var. micranthum, 132
Stewartia, 151; Malachodendron, 151
Stylosanthes biflora, var. hispidissima, 150; riparia, 150
Swertia pusilla, 154
Syena fluviatilis, 130
Symplocos tinctoria, var. pygmaea, 158
Syngonanthus flavidulus, 86, 128, 129, Exit, 128

Tan Bay, 151
Tetragonotheca, 97; helianthoides, 97
Theaceae, 151
Tiedemannia teretifolia, 156
Tofieldia racemosa, 93
Tracyanthus angustifolius, 132
Trachynotia juncea, 114, 115; polystachya, 113
Trichodium altissimum, 109; elatum, 109, 110, 113

Triplasis purpurea, 101, 108
Typha, 89
Varieties of Lobelia puberula, The Geographic, 182; of Spartina cynosuroides, The two, 113
Verbena scabra, 90
Viburnum nudum, var. angustifolium, 93, 182
Vicia sativa, var. linearis, 150
Virginia?, Does Gordonia grow in, 151; Is Dichromena latifolia in, 124
Virginian, Baccharis glomeruliflora presumably not, 189; Occurrence of Kalmia hirsuta, 158; Record of Oxypolis filiformis, 156

Vitis aestivalis, 151; araneosa, 150; rufotomentosa, 150, 151; vinifera, 150

Water-Ash, 91
Water-lily, 94
Xanthorhiza simplicissima, 179
Xyris, 88, 129, § Brevifoliae, 88, 129; ambigua, 86; arenicola, 99, 129; Bayardi, 88, 129; caroliniana, s6; Curtissii, 88; flexuosa, 99, 129

Zigadenus densus, 93, 132; glaberrimus, 93


## Photo I. D. Clement

Sagittaria planipes, all figs. from type: fig. 1 , plant, $\times \frac{1}{2} ;$ fig. 2 , bract, $\times 10$; figs. 3 and 4, broad and narrow sides of pedicel, $\times 10$; FIG. 5 , anthers, $\times 10$; FIG. 6 , achene, $\times 10$.
S. latifolia, var. obtesa: fig. 7 , bract, $\times 10$; fig. 8 , anthers, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Paspalum setaceum, var, calyescens, all figs. from type: ficis. 1 and 2, portions of plants, $\times 1$; fig. 3, upper, and fig. 4, lower leaf-surface, $\times 10 ;$ fig. 5 , spikelets, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Paspalum setaceum: fig. 1. lower, and fig. 2, upper leaf-surface, $\times 10$; fig. 3, spikelets, $\times 10$.
P. setaceum, var. longepedunculatum: fig. 4 , base of plant, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert

Panicum gletinoscabrem, all figs. from type: fig. 1, plant, $\times 1$. $:$ fig. 2, axillary autumnal panicle, $\times 5$; fig. 3, surface of culm, $\times 10$; figs. 4 and 5 , leaf-surfaces, $\times 10$ FIG. 6 , summit of sheath and ligule, $\times 10$; fig. 7 , axis of panicle. $\times 10$; FIG. 8 , spikelets, $\times 10$


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rhynchospora perplexa: fig. 1 , terminal corymb, $\times 1.8$, from ishtype; figs. 2-4, achenes, $\times 10$.

Var. virginiana: fig. 5 , terminal corymb, $\times 1.8$, from type; figs. $6-8$, achenes, $\times 10$.



Photo B. G. Schubert
Nymphaea odorata, var. stenopetala: fig. 1, lower surface of leaf. $\times$ 1: fig. 2. base of flower, showing reflexed sepals, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Nymphaea odorata, var. stenopetala: fig. 1, dorsal and fig. 2, ventral view of flower, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubers
Rubus hypolasius, figs. from type: fig. 1 , portion of primocane, $\times 1$; fig. 2, upper, and fig. 3 , lower surface of leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubus hypolasius, both figs. from type: fig. 1, branch of floricane, $\times \frac{1}{3} ;$ fig. 2 , upper surface of leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubus hypolasius, all fige from type: fig. 1, fruiting branchlets, $\times$ 1: fig. 2, lower surface of floricane-leaf, $\times 10$; fig. 3, fruiting pedieel and calyx, $\times 5$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubes subinnoxius, all figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of primocane and a primocane-leaf, $\times 1$; FIG. 2, upper and FIG. 3, lower leaf-surface, $\times 10 ;$ FIG. 4 , unexpanded young leaves, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubey subinnoxius, both figs. from type: fig. 1 , portion of floricane, $\times 1 / 3 ;$ fig. 2 , lower surface of primocane-leaf, $\times 10$.


## Photo B. G. Schubert

Rubus subinnoxius, all figs. from type: fig. 1, small fruiting branchlet, $\times 1$ fis. 2, fruiting pedicel and fruit, $\times 5$; FIG. 3, upper surface of floricane-leaf. $\times 10$


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubeis uliginoses, both figs. from type: fig. 1, portion of primocane, $\times 1$ : fig. 2 , lower surface of primocane-leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubus cliginosus, both figs. from type: fig. 1 , fruiting cane, $\times 1 \cdot$ fig. 2 , upper surface of floricane-leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rube's cupressorum, all figs. from type: fig. 1 , portion of primocane, $\times 1 ;$ fig. 2 , upper, and fig. 3 , lower surface of primocane-leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rublis cupressorla, all figs. from type: fig. 1 , fruiting branchlets, $\times \frac{1}{3} ;$ fig. 2 , upper, and fig. 3, lower surface of floricane-leaf, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Rubus cupressorum, both figs. from type: fig. 1 , pedicel and fruiting calyx, $\times 5$; FIG. 2, fruiting branchlets, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Crotalaria purshii:fig. 1 , summit (in two parts) of plant, $\times 1$. Var. bracteolifera: figs. 2 and 3, portions from summit of type, $\times 1$.


Photo I. D. Clement
Hypericta canabense, var. (ialifforme, both figs. from type: fifo, 1 , three plants, $\times 1$; FIg. 2 , fruits, $\times 10$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Lyonia ligustrina, forma vanella, all figs. from the type: figs. 1 and 2, largest plant, $\times 1$; FIG. 3, summit of small plant, $\times 1$.


Photo B. G. Schubert
Gentiana Catesbaei: figs 1 and 2, Walter's type, after photo. from Dr. J. Ramsbottom

Var. nummulariaefolia: figs. 3 and 4 , type, $\times 1$


Photo B. G. Schubert
Gentiana Catesbafi: figs. 1 and 2 , inflorescences, $\times 1$, of modern specimens


Photo B. G. Schubert
Physhils heterophylla, var. clayipes; all figs, from type: fig. 1, portion of fruiting plant, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 2 , a large leaf, $\times 1 ;$ FIG. 3 , pubeserence of stem, $\times 10 ;$ FIG. 4 , a base, with portion of horizontal subterranean rhizome, $\times 1$


Photo B. G. Schubert
Physalis heterophylla, var. ambigita: fif. 1 , base, $\times 1$; fig. 2 , pubescence of stem, $\times 10$; FIG. 3, leaf, $\times 1$, illuminated from below
Var. nyctaginea: fig. 4 , base, $\times 1$; fig. 5 , pubescence of stem, $\times 10$; fig. 6 , leaves, $\times 1$, illuminated from below


Photo I. D. Clement


Photo. I. D. Clement
Helianthes angustifolius: fig. 1, type, $\times$ ca. 1/4; mig. 2, perennating base of plant, $\times 1$

Photo I. 1. Clement
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## ERRATA

Page 3, line 2; for fo read of.
Page 11, line 7; for F. Serres read Fl. Serres.
Page 11, line 26 ; for tepala read tepalis.
Page 12, line 29; for Sc. Nat. read Sci. Nat.
Page 14, line 9 ; for it read if.
Page 18, line 21; for unico read unica.
Page 22. line 20; for Cordilera read Cordillera.
Page 25. for line 22; substitute duis ellipticis, integris, 8 mm . longis; pedicellis ad 10 mm . longis.
Page 32, line 9; for it read is.
Page 33, line 11; for srongly read strongly.
Page 33, line 21; for anther read anthers.
Page 79, line 34 ; for $2-6 \mathrm{dm}$. read $2-3 \mathrm{dm}$.
Page 80. line 27: for $240-260 \mathrm{~m}$. read $240-600 \mathrm{~m}$.
Page 80, line 28; for 1244 read 1264.
Page 83, line 18; for $1-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. read 1.8 cm .
Page 87, line 16 ; for not are read nor are.
Page 96, line 24; remove the word type.

## INDEX

## New scientific names are printed in full-face type

Begonia, 3, 6. 7, 16, 205; © Casparya, 3, 5, 6, 16, 18, 23, 26, 33, 100, 205 S Eupetalum, 8; SHuszia, 8; \& Poecilia. 5; \& Ruizopavonia, 180; alnifolia. 180, 182, 203, t. 14; Andreana, 185; antioquensis, 30, 200. t. 6; (s Begoniastrum) Barrigae, 185, 186, 204. t. 15; Biolleyi, 12; buddleiaefolia, 100. 102, 203. t. 12; cardiocarpa. 12; caudilimba. 15; chiriquensis, 34; (§ Casparya) chlorolepis, 16, 17, 199. t. 3; ciliata. 82; coccinea. 33; (§ Casparya) colombiana, 29, 200. t. 6; columnaris, 33, var. glabra, 34; consobrina, 105, 180; (\$ Casparya) cornuta, 25, 26, 200, t. 5; (?) cryptocarpa, 90, 92, 93, 202, t. 10; (§ Ruizopavonia) Cuatrecasana, 103. 104, 105. 180. 203, t. 13; cucullata Ruiz. 34; cucullata Willd.. 99, 100, 203. t. 12; (§ Ruizopavonia) cymbalifera, 105. 106, 180, 203, t. 13, var. recta, 106; derycxiana, 15; (§ Casparya) diffusa, 32, 33. 200. t. 6; diversifolia ; nana 82; (太 Ruizopavonia) Dugandiana, 179, 180. 203, t. 14; elegans. 194, 196. 204; elliptica. 182; (? § Ruizopavcnia) extensa, 103, 203. t. 13; fagopyroides, 92, 93, 202, t. 10 B Fencleriana. 92; ferruginea. 17. 20, 199. t. 4, var. dilatata, 22; filipes. 79. 80, 83. 201. t. 8; foliosa, 190-192, 198, 204, t. 16. var. amplifolia, 192. 197, 198, t. 1\%. var. australis, 192, 194, t. 1\%, var. miniata, 192, 196, 197, t. 1\%, var. Putzeysiana, 192, 196. t. 1\%, var. rotundata, 192, 196, t. 17; fuchsioides, 197, 198. $\beta$ miniata 197; ( $\$$ Casparya) gamolepis, 23, 199. t. 5; glabra Aubl. 182, 183, 204, t. 14, var. amplifolia, 183; glabra Ruiz. 34; grewiaefolia, 29. 30. 200; guaduensis, 183. 185. 186. 204. t. 14. var. Andreana, 185; hederacea, 96; heterodonta, 80; hirtella, 82. 83, 201. t. 8. var. nana. 82; Holtonis, 186-188, 204, t. 15, var. macrophylla, 187; humilis, 5; (? Huszia) hydrophylloides, 7, 198, t. 1; hygrophila, 80. var. puberula. 80: (\$ Casparya) Killipiana, 5. 18, 20, 199, 205. t. 3: lantanaefolia. 102: lanuginosa, 12. 14; laurina, 184; Lindleyana, 11. 199. t. 2; lucida. 182; (? $\$$ Huszia) lutea, 11, 199. t. 2; macra. 10, 198. t. 1; (? ) magdalenae, 90, 93. 202. t. 10; mameiana. 80; Maurandiae. 94. 97. 202. t. 11: micrantha. 93; microcarpa. 201, var. acuta. 83, 84. t. 9, var. typica, 83, 84. t. 9. var. villosa, 84, t. 9; microphylla A. DC. 188, 190. 204. t. 15; microphylla Willd.. 191; miniata. 197; montana, 33: monticola, 34; Moritziana Kl.. 88; Moritziana Kunth \& Bouché. 182; multiflora. 204; myriantha. 94; nelumbiifolia. 15. 199. t. 2; nicaraguensis, 12;
novo-granatae, 98, 203; (? § Hydristyles) ophiogyna, 84, 86, 87, 202, t. 9; opuliflora, 204; Ottonis, 184; paludicola, 99; palustris, 89; parviflora, $93,94,202$, t. 11 ; pastoensis, $86-88,202$, t. 9 ; physalifolia, 182; Pilderia, 102; pilifera, 12; populifolia, 88; Putzeysiana, 192, 194; (§Huszia) quetamensis, 8, 198, t. 1; repens, 103; rosacea Linden. 98; rosacea Putz. 10, 198, t. 1; Rossmanniae, 5, 102, 103, 105,203, t. 12; rubricaulis, 8 ; sarchophylla, 12; scandens, 182, 183, $\beta$ amplifolia, 183; semiovata, 77, 78, 80, 201, t. 8; sericoneura, 12; serratifolia, 184, 185; (S Gobenia) spadiciflora, 97, 98, 202, t. 11; spatulata, 99 ; squarrosa, 14 ; (? §) stenocardia, 188, 204, t. 15; stigmosa, 14, 199, t. 2; subcostata, 78, 79, 201, t. 8; sulcata, 87, 88, 202, t. 9 ; tiliaefolia, $99,100,201,203$, t. 12 ; ( Casparya) toledana, 26, 28, 200, t. 5, var. erubescens, 28; Torresii, 34; tovarensis, 88, 89, 202, t. $10, \beta$ ocanensis, 89 , var. palustris, $89, \mathbf{t} .10$; trachyptera, 33 ; Trapa, 16; Trianae, 17, 199, t. 3; trispathulata, 15, 17, 199, t. 3; tropaeolifolia, $97,98,202$, t. 11; umbellata, 23, 200, t. 5; (§ Casparya) ursina, 28, 200 , t. 6 ; Urticae, 33,200, t. 7 , var. retusa, 3 \%, 38; urticaefolia, 33, 102; villosą, 82; vitifolia, 12; Walpersii, 184; (§Ruizopavonia) xylopoda, 105, 203, t. 13.
Begoniaceae, 3, 6 .
Begoniella, 3, 7, 204. 205; angustifolia, 205, 208, t. 18; Kalbreyeri, 205, 208. 209, t. 18; libera, 205, 206, 209, t. 18; Whitei, 205, 209, t. 18. Casparya coccinea, 33; columnaris, $34, \beta$ glabra, 34; trachyptera, 34; Trianaei, 17; umbellata, 24; Urticae, 34, $\beta$ hispida, 34.
Donaldia Ottonis, 184.
Gireoudia cardiocarpa, 12; fibrillosa, 12; Lindleyana, 12; nelumbiifolia, 15; pilifera, 12; sarchophylla, 12; sericoneura, 12; stigmosa, 14; vitifolia 12.
Isopteris umbellata, 24.
Isopteryx umbellata, 24.
Lepsia foliosa, 191.
Moschkowitzia fagopyroides, 92.
Pilderia urticaefolia, 102.
Rossmannia repens, 103.
Sassea columnaris. 34; glabra, 34; Hoffmanniana, 34; Urticae, 34.
Scheidweileria parviflora, 93.
Semibegoniella, 3.
Stibadotheca trachyptera, 34.
Stiradotheca trachyptera, 33.
Tittelbachia fuchsioides, 197; miniata, 197.
Wageneria deflexa. 182; glabra, 182; lucida, 182; montana, 182.
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## BOTANICA

## THE BEGONIACEAE OF COLOMBIA (*)

By Lyman B. Smith and Bernice G. Schubert<br>Gray Herbarium of Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

There has been no systematic treatment covering the Begoniaceae fo Colombia since A. De Candolle's world-monograph in the Prodromus in 1864, so it was to be expected that subsequent collections would yield some novelties. However, after studying the Begoniaceae of Argentina, Perú, Bolivia ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) and Guatemala in cietail, we were quite unprepared for the rich development of the family in Colombia, where a third of the species proved to be new and well over half of them endemic. Undoubtedly these results are attributable to the complex mountain system and we can expect many more novelties as collectors go to new valleys and river-basins. In fact an analysis of the distribution of species by the twenty-three Departments and territories of Col:mbia shows that only twelve Departments are represented by the twenty new species and four are not represented at all.

In most cases when we say Begoniaceae it is equivalent to Begonia alone, since outside of that we have only the Colombian Begoniella and Ecuadorian Semibegoniella in the New World. Begoniella consists of a mere three species and a variety and Semibegoniella is of very doubtful value, being probably nothing more than aberrant plants of Begonia section Casparya.

The characters on which sectional and specific distinctions may be based in the genus Begonia are many, their range of development

[^115]is great and the combinations in which they occur almost as numerous as the mathematical possibilities. Habital types from the very delicately herbaceous to the stout fruticose occur and are scapose or caulescent and tuberous or fibrous-rooted. The habit is, in general, uniform throughout a section but much careless misidentification has resulted from observation of habit alone without study of the more fundamental characters. The leaves are basifixed to peltate, simple (so far as we know in the Colombian species), entire to lobed and straight to oblique or transverse. Variation in texture and indument is very great and is probably due in part to ecological influences.

It is difficult to say with accuracy whether a given species is monoecious or dioecious because, although both staminate and pistillate flowers may be borne on the same plant, their flowering periods are often spaced at such intervals that the two sexes are never observed together. One plant may, however, cften bear a completely staminate and a completely pistillate inflorescence, both maturing at the same time or a plant may have a truly monoecious inflorescence. Although we do not feel that monoecism or dioecism of the inflorescence should be used alone as a diagnostic character, the form of the inflorescence (most often cymose) and the manner in which the staminate and pistillate flowers are borne (either separately or together with one pistillate flower terminal or otherwise) are specific.

It is in consideration of the flowers that most interesting examples of diversity are found. The characters are, for the mist part, constant for the species and, to a certain extent, for the sections. The tepals of both sexes vary in number, texture, indument and shape. We are following the practice, established in the Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien by Warburg and Irmscher, of designating the pe-rianth-segments as tepals (where necessary as cuter and inner tepals) and not distinguishing between calyx and corolla. Although in some species the distinction between the two series is definite, in others it appears to be a gradual regression from well developed to abcrtive members. In general, distinct series of perianth-segments are the rule in staminate flowers and the exception in the pistillate. Color has been considered diagnostic only occasionally in our treatment and then only in combination with other characters. The staminate flowers, which are always ebracteolate, have, in addition to their diagnostic tepal-characters, great distinctiveness in the stamens
and receptacle. The stamens vary in anther-length as well as in the length of production of the connective beyond the loculi. The receptacle ranges from a low torus to an elongate column and derives still greater variation from the length and position of the filaments which are sometimes short and sufficiently crowded to give a strobilate appearance to the androecium. The variation of filamentlength is gradual except in B. Killipiana where the stamens are in two distinct series.

The pistillate flowers are frequently bracteolate and the bracteoles though usually small and inconspicuous (often early deciduous) are occasionally large, persistent, fimbriate and in one species, $B$. Rossmanniae, accrescent. When persistent they are of diagnostic value and their presence or absence is a specific character. The pistillate tepals as noted previously, are more often than not of cnly one series, somewhat irregular in shape, and usually becoming progressively smaller toward the center. Their number may vary: when the usual number is two, a small third inner tepal is often found; when the number is more than five it is usually not constant. The styles have also reached a high degree of development and their character is constant for the species and in most cases for sections. Variation occurs in the degree of fusion, ramification, and in the pisition of the stigmatic papillae.

In his treatment in the Prodromus (p. 279) A. De Candolle noted that the condition of the placentae wries "... integris vel bipartitis, nunc in eodem ovario variantibus (sect. Poecilia)...". Warburg and Irmscher in the Pflanzenfamilien, however, make the first division of their keys to the American sections on the basis of whether the placentae are simple or divided, admitting only a rare exception under the section Poecilia. Schulz (in Urb. Symb. Ant. vii, p. 28) follows De Candolle, demonstrating in his key that the character of the placentae is variable and stating under Begonia humilis that "Placentae in speciminibus robustis bipartitae, in teneris $\pm$ simplices". On the basis of very numerous dissections we are unable to consider this character a constant one to distinguish sections or even constant within species; in the specific descriptions we have noted the condition as we have found it. The fruit which is always a capsule may be herned or winged. The horned type is characteristic of section Casparya; the winged type of the other sections. In the development of hcrns and wings there is usually sufficient constancy
for diagnostic purposes. The fruit together with both types of flowers are, in general essential for determining the systematic position of the species.

There are too many gaps in our knowledge to allow us to arrange the species of Begcnia by sections, so the key and sequence are frankly artificial. However, it has been possible to segregate some sections, most notably Casparya. In this key we have emphasized characters of habit and leaf, because so many specimens do not have complete flewers and fruit.

We are indebted to the following institutions for the privilege of studying their collections or duplicates in the preparation of this paper:

Chicago Natural History (Field Museum) Museum, Chicage. Illinois (CM); Comisión de Botánica de la Secretaría de Agricultura y Fomento del Departamento del Valle del Cauca (Valle); Herbario Nacional Colombiano, Bogotá (Col); Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri (Mo); New York Botanical Garden, New York, New York (NY) ; United States National Herbarium, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. (US).

Photographs of types in European herbaria taken by Mr. J. Francis Macbride under the Rockefeller Foundation Fund have proved of great value, especially in view of the subsequent destruction of many of the specimens. These were taken at the Conservatory of Botany at Geneva (Gen) including the Delessert Herbarium and at the Berlin Herbarium (Berlin). Where the identity seems reasonably certain we have recorded collections on the basis of A. De Candolle's citations (! A. DC.) in the Prodromus. Mr. E. P. Killip has most kindly placed at cur disposal his critical notes and photographs of types made during a preliminary study of the group.

BEGONIACEAE R. Br. Annual or perennial herbs, shrubs or rarely small trees. Stem elongate and succulent or woody or sometimes reduced to a tuberous rhizome, sometimes climbing. Leaves usually alternate cr rarely subverticillate, entire, serrate, lobed or digitately parted, usually asymmetric, sometimes peltate. Stipules 2 , free, persistent or deciduous. Peduncles axillary. Inflorescence most commonly cymose, sometimes 1 -flowered or racemose. Cymes regular cr strongly one-sided, unisexual or bisexual. Flowers monoecious. Tepals free or connate. Stamens indefinite, inserted on the receptacle,
filaments free or united. Styles usually 3 , free or connate, usually bifid. Ovary inferior in the American species, usually 3-celled and 3 -winged or 3 -horned. Placentae usually axile, simple or divided. Fruit usually capsular.-R. Br. in Tuckey, Congo. 464 (1818); Lindl. Syst. Nat. ed. 2, 56(1836); Klotzsch, Begoniaceen-Gattungen und Arten in Abhandlungen der Königl. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1854. 1-135, t. 1-12 (1855 ${ }^{1}$; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 266 (1864); Irmscher in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 548 (1925). C. Chevalier, Les Bégonias (1938).

Key to Genera
Tepas of both staminate and pistillate flowers free.

1. Begonia. Tepals of both staminate and pistillate flowers connate.
2. Begoniella.

## 1. BEGONIA L.

General characters of the family of which it contains more than ninety per cent of the species. Tepals free, usualy 4 staminate in two pairs and 5 pistillate. Placentae simple or divided. Fruit usually bearing 3 unequal wings.-Sp. Pl. 1056 (1753).

About 800 species. Nearly pantropical.
Note: On the advice of the editor, Dr. A. Dugand, we have placed the key to species of Begonia at the end of the treatment, so that there will be no confusion regarding the date of publication of new species in this continued article.

1. Begonia (? \& Huszia) hydrophylloides Smith \& Schubert. spec. nov., e fragmentis solum cognita sed verisimiliter acaulis, omnino glakra; folio unico cognito latissime ovato, subsymmetrico, acuminatim lobato, basi cordato, 23 cm . lato, palmatim 6 -nervato, dentato, petiolo minimum 2 dm . longo, stipulis ignotis; scapo unico cognito 57 cm . longo, 8 mm . diametro; infloreseentia cymosa, multiflora, ca. 10 cm . diametro; bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, 5 mm . longis, integris, membranaceis; pedicellis masculinis ad 17 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4 . integris, albis, exterioribus late ovatis, basi cardatis, 10 mm . longis, interioribus oblongis; staminibus in columna insertis, multis, antheris obovoideis, quam filamentis multo brevioribus; floribus femi-

[^116]neis perjuvenilibus solum ccgnitis; tepalis femineis 5, inaequalibus, ovatis, integris; stylis 3, bifidis; ovario 3 -alato, alis verisimiliter inaequalibus. Tab. 1.

CUNDINAMARCA: moist bank, Guayabetal to "Monte Redondo" (= Monterredondo) scutheast of Quetame, alt. 1300-1500 m., Sept. 6, 1917, Pennell 1803 (NY, type).

Although we can not be sure of the relationship of this species or even of its section on the basis of an unconnected leaf and inflorescence, the relatively great size of the petiole and peduncle make it appear extremely probable that this is a scapose plant with a tuberous base.
2. Begonia (\$ Huszia) quetamensis Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., acaulis, tuberosa; foliis late ovatis, acutis, basi cbliquis subtruncatisque, ad 13 cm . longis, palmate nervatis, dentatis, slipra dissite et subtus ad nervos puberulis, petiolo $22-24 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo, piloso, stipulis ignotis; scapo ad 5 dm . lcngo, 4 mm . diametro, plus minusve piloso; inflorescentia pauciflora, pseudoracemosa, puberula, bracteis persistentibus, ellipticis, 12 mm . longis, integris; floribus albis; pedicellis ad 4 cm . longis; tepalis masculinis 5 , inaequalibus, ellipticis, ad 17 mm . longis, minute. ciliato-serrulatis, intus dense minuteque papillatis; staminibus multis, antheris subglobosis, quam filamentis multo brevioribus, connectivo haud producto; floribus femineis ebracteatis; tepalis femineis 6 , inaequalibus, late ellipticis, ad 10 mm . longis; stylis multifidis, stigmatibus capitatis; ovario ellipsoideo, placentis bipartitis, undique cvuliferis; capsula alata, alis valde inaequalibus, ala maxima oblonga, adscendente, 15 mm . lata, reliquis triangularibus, parvis. Tab. 1.

CUNDINAMARCA: moist bank, "Monte Redondo" (= Monterredondo) to Quetame, alt. 1400-1500 m., Sept. 7, 1917, Pennell 1853 (NY, type).

Begonia quetamensis appears to be most closely related to $B$. rubricaulis Hook. of Argentina, which was placed in the section Huszia by A. De Candolle although it contradicted his character of a merely bifid style. It seems best for the present to keep such species in Huszia on account of their scapose habit, rather than to place them under the caulescent Eupetalum where both A. De Candolle's and Irmscher's keys would take them.

3. Begonia ( Huszia) macra A. DC. Stemless herb; base tuberous, about 25 mm . long, bearing gemmae and fibrous roots; leaves usually 2 , broadly ovate to suborbicular, $5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, acurte, deeply cordate at base, dentate, fulvous-tomentose on both sides, petiole 35-60 mm. long, tomentose, stipules ovate, acute, $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, becoming glabrous; scapes often 2, naked, slender, soon glabrous, 2-3 dm. high, much exceeding the leaves; cymes only 2 - or 3 -branched, few-flowered, bracts deciduous, elliptic, crenulate, colored, the middle ones 9 mm . long; pedicels $6-24 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, puberulent; flowers rose; staminate tepals 6, the outer broadly elliptic, 12 mm . long, the inner obovate, 10 mm . long; anthers obovoid, less than 1 mm . long, slightly shorter than the filaments; pistillate bractlets doubtful; pistillate tepals 5 , accrescent, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long at anthesis, later increasing to $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$.; capsule 3 -celled, obovoid, subacute at base, 12 mm . long, 18 mm . wide, purplish, bearing a single ovate obtuse wing.-Endem-ic.-A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 121 (1859); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 284 (1864). Tab. 1.

CUNDINAMARCA: Eastern slope, Ubalá, alt. 1700 m., 1851-57, Triana 3029 (British Museum, isotype, phot. Killip).

Doubtfully referred here: CUNDINAMARCA: Páramo de Guasca, eastern slope, Quebrada de Juiquin, Cordillera Oriental, alt. 2500 m ., Aug. 27, 1941, Cuatrecasas \& Jaramillo 11989 (US). This specimen agrees in most details with what we know of Begonia macra, but it has only four staminate tepals where $B$. macra is described as having six. However, in both of its staminate flowers, one of the inner tepals is deeply cleft while the other is not even emarginate, thus suggesting considerable irregularity in the tepal number. The styles are much branched and the placentae bifid, characters not noted in the original description of B. macra.
4. Begonia (Nuszia) rosacea Putz. Herbaceous, stemless, tuberous; leaves few, very broadly ovate, obtuse, deeply and narrowly cordate at base, $7-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, to 10 cm . wide, crenate, ciliate, green and glabrous above, paler beneath and pilose on the nerves, petiole 7-10 cm. long, red, pilose; scape $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, red, pilose; cymes 4-flowered, dichctomous, very lax, monoecious; bracts persistent, ovate, acute, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, glabrous; pedicels to 35 mm . long; staminate tepals $7-8$, broadly elliptic, $10-16 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, pinkish white, spreading, the outer ones broader and somewhat greenish; stamens
numerous on a torus, filaments free, anthers obovoid; pistillate bracts obovate, acute, nearly equaling the ovary; pistillate tepals 6-7, like the staminate; styles much branched, the stigmatic tissue spiral but not continuous, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule subglobose, pubescent (! Putzeys), unequally 3 -winged, the smaller wings marginiform, the larger ovate, subacute, slightly ascending. - Endemic. - Putz. in F. Serres, ser. 2, ii. 25, t. 1194 (1857); in Belg. Hortic. vii. 366, fig. 63 (1857) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 284 (1864). Tab. 1.

META or VICHADA: between the Río Meta and the Río Guaviare. Described and illustrated from cultivated material. It is doubtful if there is or ever was herbarium material.
5. Begonia (? Huszia) lutea Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea, acaulis, tuberosa; tubero ca. 1 cm . diametro; foliis paucis, paulo asymmetricis, rectis vel obliquis, late ovatis vel suborbicularibus, breviter acutis vel obtusis, basi cordatis, ad 12 cm . longis, 11 cm . latis, dentatis, utrinque sparse tomentosis, subtus ad nervos ferrugineis, petiolo ad 10 cm . longo sed plerumque multo breviore, tomentoso, stipulis deciduis, ignotis; scapis 1-5, gracillimis, nudis, tomentosis, ad 14 cm . longis; cymis paucifloris, diffusis, subunilateralibus, kracteis persistentibus, lacerato-palmatifidis, $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, membranaceis; floribus luteis; pedicellis gracillimis, ad 2 cm . longis, tepalis masculinis 4, exterioribus late cvatis, 6 mm . longis, interioribus anguste obovatis, brevioribus; staminibus in columna insertis, multis, antheris subglobosis, quam filamentis brevioribus, connectivo obtuse producto; floribus femineis ebracteatis tepala femineis 5, ellipticis, subaequalibus, ca. 5 mm . longis; stylis 3 . breviter connatis, bifidis, stigmatibus spiraliter cinctis; ovario 3-loculato, ellipsoideo, placentis simplicibus, angustis; capsula trialata, alis inaequalibus. ala maxima ovata, plus minusve obtusa, ad 1 cm . lata. Tab. 2.

META: moist forest-slope near Río Guatiquía, Villavicencio, Aug.Sept., 1917, Pennell 1537 (NY, type; G).

VAUPES: on mosiy rocks in forest, Rio Guayabero, alt, 240 m ., Nov. 8, 1939, Cuatrecasas 7547 (US) ; on damp mossy crags of sandstone cliffs, Cerro del Castillo, upper Apaporis Basin, Apaporis River, alt. ca. 300 m ., July 27,1943, R. E. Schultes 5658 (G).
6. Begonia (\$ Magnusia) Lindleyana Walp. Herbaceou:, fuscousvillous throughout at least when young; rhizome usually erect, up
to 3 dm . long, subligneous at base, $10-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, internodes very short; leaves palmately $7-9$-nerved, oblique, very broadly ovate, abruptly acuminate, from almost evenly rounded and entire to deeply acuminate-lobed and coarsely dentate, $8-22 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, thin, soon glabrous above, petioles erect, $3-22 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. thick, stipules persistent, imbricate, subtriangular, acuminate-setiferous, $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, entire; peduncles exceeding the leaves, up to 48 cm . long; cymes usually unisexual and somewhat irregular with one side slightly longer but diffuse and broader than high, few-to many-flowered; bracts deciduous, very broad, obtuse, the lowest $17-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, serrate, ciliate. very thin; staminate tepals 2 or 4 , the outer ones suborbicular, 6-13 mm. long. entire, white, the inner when present smaller and narrowly obovate; stamens numerous, filaments short, anthers oblong, obtuse; pistillate flowers bracteolate, tepals 2 or sometimes a smaller one inside the others, suborbicular, smaller than the staminate; ovary 3 -celled, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout, styles short-connate, the stigmatic tissue lunate-capitate at their apices; capsule suberect, ellipsoid, $8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, wings unequal, the largest ovate, obtuse or acute, subascending, 11-15 mm. wide.-Southern Mexico, Central America.-Walp. Rep. ii. 209 (1843) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 336 (1864). B. vitifolia Lindl. in Bot. Reg. xxviii. misc. 21 (1842), non Schott (1827). B. sarchophylla Liebm. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddell. 1852. 12 (1853); A. DC. op. cit. 337. B. sericoneura Liebm. op. cit. 13; A. DC. op. cit. 336. B. cardiocarpa Liebm. loc. cit.; A. DC. op. cit. 337. Gireoudia Lindleyana Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 125 (1854). G. cardiocarpa Kl. loc. cit. G. fibrillosa Kl. Begon. 86 (1855). G. pilifera Kl. loc. cit. G. vitifolia Kl. op. cit. 87. G. sarchophylla Kl. op. cit. 88. G. sericoneura Kl. op. cit. 89. Begonia lanugincsa A. DC. in Ann. Sc. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 131 (1859); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 327 (1864). B. pilifera A. DC. op. cit. 337. B. Biolleyi C. DC. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Belg. xxxv. pt. 1, 263 (1896) . B. nicaraguensis Standl. in Pub. Field Mus. Bot. iv. 237 (1929). Tab. 2.

SANTANDER: rocky bank, valley of Rio Suratá, between Bucaiamanga and El Jaboncillo, alt. 800-1500 m., Jan. 2, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 16351 (G, NY).

VALLE: Naranjo, Río Dagua, April 1, 1876, André 2499 (NY); wet cliffs, Cisneros, Dagua Valley, alt. 300-400 m., Sept. 21, 1922, Killip 11439 (G. NY) ; thickets along Río Dagua, Cisneros, alt. 300-500 m., May 5, 1939, Killip 35577 (G, US) .

'TAB. 2

NARIÑO: "Prov. de Pasto, Juanambú, alt. 1200 m., June 1853", Triana (6) (Col); Juanambú, alt. 1200 m., 1866, Triana 3032 (Gen, phot. Macbride, CM no. 24191, type of Begonia lanuginosa A. DC.).
7. Begonia ( $\$$ Magnusia) stigmosa Lindl. Herbaceous; rhizome repent, to 12 cm . long, 1 cm . in diameter, coarsely lepidote, internodes very short and covered by the stipules; leaves oblique and strongly asymmetric, very broadly ovate or suborbicular, abruptly acuminate and usually with a second cusp more nearly opposite the petiole, cordate at base, $15-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, scarcely it at all lobed, ciliate-denticulate, thin, glabrous above or with a few small white trichomes, the nerves beneath bearing trichomes like those on the petiole but smaller, petioles erect, to 38 cm . long, $4-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. in diameter, covered with spreading to reflexed narrowly triangular lacerate pink scales $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, stipules tardily deciduous, lanceolate, pilose, membranaceous, $15-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; peduncle 2-5 dm. long (! Cuatrecasas), 7 mm . in diameter, soon glabrous; cymes bisexual, nearly regular, fewto many-flowered, 7-22 cm. long, lax, glabrous; bracts quickly deciduous, oblong, acute, fimbriate; pedicels slender, $7-23 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers white or pink; staminate tepals 2, suborbicular, cordate at base, $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; stamens free, very numerous, filaments much longer than the broadly oblong anthers, connective apiculate-produced; bracteolae lacking; pistillate tepals 2 like the staminate or rarely a smaller one inside the others; ovary 3-celled, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; styles bifid, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous; capsule erect, broadly ovoid. $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, wings very unequal, the largest oblong or subdolabriform, $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the others narrory!y marginiform.-Southern Mexico, Central America.Lindl. in Bot. Reg. xxxi. misc. 32 (1845); A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1. 343 (1864). B. squarrosa sensu Seemann, Bot. Herald, 128 (1853), non Liebm., nomen. Gireoudia stigmosa Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 125 (1854). Tab. 2.

MAGDALENA: Santa Marta, alt. 1200 m., 1898-1901, H. H. Smith 1262 (NY, US, G) ; Vista de Nieve. Santa Marta Mountains, Dec. 18, 1922, Viereck 8 (US); stream above Manaure, alt. ca. 800 m.. Jan. 16. 1944, O. Haught 3953 (US).

NORTE DE SANTANDER: bank of the Rio Margua between Junín and Córdoba, region of Sarare, Cordillera Oriental, alt. 920-1240 m., Nov. 22, 1941, Cuatrecasas 13375 (G).
8. Begonia (\$ Magnusia) nelumbiifolia Schlecht. \& Cham. Herbaceous; rhizome repent, short, 15 mm . thick, setose, internodes extremely short; leaves peltate, obliquely very broadly ovate or subelliptic, $7-9$-nerved, evenly rounded except for the abruptly acuminate apex or slightly produced at the ends of the nerves, $17-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $10-28 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, remotely denticulate, soon glabrous, the margin ciliate and sometimes purple, thin, petioles $15-45 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, to 8 mm . thick, sparsely rufous-hirsute, becoming glabrous, stipules persistent, lanceolate, over 2 cm . long, entire, rather firm, pilose; peduncle usualIy exceeding the leaves, to 66 cm . long, 6 mm . thick, soon glabrous; cyme regular, much branched, diffuse, $2-5 \mathrm{dm}$. broad; bracts deciduous, ovate, obtuse; pedicels slender, $9-22 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 2, suborbicular, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, white; stamens few, anthers narrowly obovate, equaling or longer than the filaments; pistillate bracteoles lacking; pistillate tepals 2, like the staminate; ovary 3-celled, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout, styles connate at base, distinctly divided, the stigmatic surface linear, spiral, continuous; capsule erect or somewhat nutant, broadly ovoid, 6-10 mm. long, wings very unequal, the largest ovate, obtuse, to 15 mm . wide, seeds ellipsoid, blunt.-Southern Mexico to Colombia.-Schlecht. \& Cham. in Linnaea, v. 604 (1830) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 343 (1864). B. derycxiana Lem. in Hortic. Univ. v. misc. 355 (1844). Gireoudia nelumbiifolia Kl. in Monatsber. Akad. Berlin, 125 (1854). Begonia caudilimba C. DC. in Smithson. Misc. Coll. lxix. no. 12, 9 (1919). Tab. 2.

BOLIVAR: bank in forest, Boca Verde, on Río Sinú, alt. 100-400 m., Mar. 4, 1918, Pennell 4577 (NY, G).
9. Begonia (S Casparya) trispathulata (A. DC.) Warb. Fruticose. possibly scandent; branches flexuous, glabrous; leaves strongly oblique and asymmetric, ovate or elliptic, abruptly acuminate, shallowly cordate at base, $10-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, penninerved, doubly serrate, setose-ciliate, ferruginous-puberulent on the nerves beneath, petiole $6-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, glabrous, stipules deciduous, lanceolate, acumi-nate-setiferous, 18 mm . long, glabrous; peduncles axillary, fewflowered, shorter than the leaves; bracts ovate, obtuse, to 6 mm . long, 4 mm . wide, glabrous; outer staminate tepals broadly elliptic, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, glabrous, inner obovate, shorter; anthers longer than the filaments, obtuse with the connective scarcely produced; pistil-
late tepals 5, unequal; placentae bilamellate; capsule broadly turbinate, not produced at apex, dehiscing by the 3 angles, horns equal, flattened in a horizontal plane, subfoliaceous, obtuse or mucronulate, $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.-Venezuela.-Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894) ; Irmscher, loc. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584 (1925). Casparya trispathulata A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 117 (1859); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 271 (1864). Tab. 3.
"NOVA GRANATA", without further locality, Linden 1459 (Kew, type; phot. Killip).

Our illustration of this species was made from Venezuelan material. H. Pittier 10032, and it is quite likely that the type also came from Venezuela, since Linden collected near the border. However, it is to be expected in Colombia even if the type locality should prove to be erroneous.

The horizontally flattened appendages in this and the following species and B. Trapa of Venezuela set them apart not only from the remainder of the section Casparya but from all other American species of Begonia as well.
10. Begonia ( Casparya) chlorolepis Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., verisimiliter suffruticosa et metralis, ramis petiolis pedunculisque fe-rrugineo-puberulis; foliis fere rectis, valde asymmetricis, oblongoellipticis, abrupte acuminatis, basis latere prope axin rotundato, altero cuneato-decurrente, 10 cm . longis, 5 cm . latis, crenato-serrulatis, supra dissite setulosis, subtus ad nervos dense ferrugineo-setulosis, petiolo $10-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, stipulis persistentibus, subreniformibus, 15 mm . longis, firmis, viridibus, setulosis; pedunculis axillaribus, erectis, 4 cm . longis, femineis unifloris; inflorescentiis masculinis ramis 3-4 aequalibus compositis, ramis simplicibus, ad apicem versus dense florigeris, bracteis mox deciduis, ovatis vel ellipticis, obtusis, 5 mm . longis, membranaceis, glabris; tepalis masculinis 4, integris, glabris, exterioribus ellipticis, obtusis, 7 mm . longis, rubris, interioribus anguste obovatis, acutis, 5 mm . longis, albis; staminibus multis, in columna insertis, antheris anguste oblongis, quam filamentis bene longioribus, connectivo producto, acuminato, tepalis femineis 6, anguste ellipticis, obtusis, puberulis, exterioribus ex sicco rubris, subaequalibus, ad 18 mm . longis, interioribus pallidis, multo minoribus: stylis multifidis, ovario 3 -partito, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula late turbinata, medio superne non producta, puberu-
la, cornubus 3 , aequalibus, horizontaliter complanatis, ellipticis, erosis, 6-7 mm. longis. Tab. 3.

MAGDALENA: common in damp clearing near stream, Las Nubes, Santa Marta Mountains, alt. 1350 m., 1898-1901, H. H. Smith 1268 (G, type; NY)

By its capsule, $B$. chlorolepis is evidently closely related to $B$. trispathulata, but it differs in all other details such as pubescence, leaf-form, stipules, inflorescence and tepals.
11. Begonia (Sasparya) Trianae (A. DC.) Warb. Ferruginouspuberulent with at least the branches herbaceous; leaves transverse and strongly asymmetric, ovate, acute, shallowly cordate at base, $3-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, crenate-dentate, dark green above, paler beneath, petiole $12-32 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, stipules tardily deciduous, ovate, obtuse, auricled, firm, $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; peduncles axillary, $2.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; staminate cymes densely few-flowered, pistillate 1 -flowered; bracts deciduous, ovate, 8 mm . long, ciliate-serrulate, pilose; staminate tepals 4 , the outer suborbicular, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, ciliate-serrulate, pilose, the inner narrowly obovate, half as long, glabrous; anthers oblong, much longer than the filaments, the connective slightly produced, obtuse; pistillate tepals 5 , obovate, acute, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, pubescent; styles much branched, the segments twisted with stigmatic papillae along the edge; placentae bilamellate; capsule broadly turbinate, truncate at apex, its horns subequal, flattened in a vertical plane, ovate, obtuse.-Endemic.-Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894); Irmscher, loc. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584 (1925). Casparya Trianaei A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 117 (1859) ; in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 271 (1864). Tab. 3.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: Páramo de Ramírez, prov. Ocaña, alt. 3000 m., Mar. 1853, Schlim 1145 (Gen, phot. Macbride, CM no. 24209).

CUNDINAMARCA: Ubalá, alt. 1750 m., 1855, Triana 3048 (British Museum, isotype; phot. Killip); "Prov. de Bogotá, Ubalá, 1750 m ., Triana (20) (Col); Páramo de Guasca, eastern slope, Quebrada de Juiquín, Cordillera Oriental, alt. 2500 m., Aug. 27, 1941, Cuatrecasas \& Jaramillo 11990 (US).

It should be noted that the stem and leaves of Begonia Trianae are practically indistinguishable from those of $B$. ferruginea. We had no material to illustrate the pistillate tepals of B. Trianae and their description is drawn from A. De Candolle. The horns of this species
are more wing-like than elsewhere in section Casparya but their uniformity and position at the top of the capsule indicate their true nature.
12. Begonia (§ Casparya) Killipiana Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov.. herbacea vel fruticosa, caulescens, erecta, ramosa, verisimiliter metralis vel ultra; caule glabro, rubro; foliis rectis, paulo asymmetricis, lanceolatis, abrupte acuminatis, basi subrotundatis, basis latere ab axi decurrente, $8-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, crenato-serratis, supra dissite setulosis, subtus glabris vel ad nervos scaberulis; petiolo brevissimo, stipulis persistentibus, subreniformibus, $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris, firmis, fulgide rubris; pedunculis axillaribus, erectis, 15-40 mm . longis, gracilibus, glabris; inflorescentiis plurifloris, simplicibus, laxe racemosis, glabris; bracteis erectis, dense imbricatis, oblongoellipticis, obtusis, $20-45 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, fulgide scarlatinis; pedicellis ad 17 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4, liberis, integris, exterioribus ellipticis, obtusis, 6-8 mm. longis, scarlatinis vel apice aureis vel fere omnino albis, interioribus brevioribus, obcordatis, valde retusis, aureis vel albis; staminibus liberis, paucis, distincte biseriatis, aliis paulo aliis profunde inclusis, antheris quam filamentis bene brevioribus, late ellipticis, connectivo non producto, bracteolis femineis deciduis, ignotis; tepalis femineis verisimiliter 5 (e flore unico imperfecte cognitis), extremo elliptico, obtuso, 8 mm . longo, aliis gradatim brevioribus et in formam obcordatam mutatis; stylis 3, 4-partitis, segmentis ultimis linearibus, acutis; ovario 3-loculato, placentis simplicibus, anguste lanceolatis, capsula late turbinata, basi acuta, apice columna crasse cylindrica $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa aucta, aequaliter 3 -cornuta, cornubus acuminatis, leviter adscendentibus. Tab. 3.

CAUCA: western slopes, Andes of Popayán, alt. 2800-3000 m., Lehmann 8250 (G, type); shrub-zone ("paramillo"), Mount "El Derrumbo" ( $=$ El Derrumbe), Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2500-2900 m., July 1, 1922, Killip 7994 (G, NY); forest, "La Gallera", Micay Valley, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2200-2600 m., July 1, 1922, Killip 8004 (NY); Cordillera Occidental, Cerro de Munchique, western slope, bank of Río Tambito, alt. 2000-2500 m., July 16, 1939, Pérez \& Cuatrecasas 6235 (US).

It is a pleasure to name this species for Mr. Ellsworth P. Killip who has done so much in the flora of Colombia and who has been so generous in sharing his knowledge with others.


TAB. 3

The large brilliantly colored bracts of Begonia Killipiana give it a very striking appearance which should make it popular in cultivation. Its technical characters are very unusual, especially the tworanked stamens.
13. Begonia (Sasparya) ferruginea L. f. Herbaceous to suffruticose, $0.6-4 \mathrm{~m}$. high; stem soon glabrous, branches, peduncles and petioles sparsely to densely ferruginous-pubescent; leaves mostly transverse and strongly asymmetric, ovate, acute or acuminate, cordate at base, $7-13 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 5-7 cm. wide, dentate, dark green and scaberulous above, paler green or purplish beneath and ferruginouspubescent on the nerves but soon glabrous between them, petiole $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, stipules tardily deciduous, oblong, obtuse or apiculate, asymmetric, auricled, $12-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, green, firm, scaberulous; peduncles axillary, $2-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; cymes $2-4$ times dichotomous; bracts deciduous, elliptic, obtuse, ciliate at apex, the lower ones 1 cm . long; flowers red; staminate pedicels $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; staminate tepals 4, 2030 mm . long, pilosulous outside, the outer oblong, obtuse or subacute, setose-serrulate toward apex, the inner oblong to obovate, subtruncate or emarginate; stamens free, numerous, anthers oblong, much longer than the filaments, the connective produced into an elongate seta; pistillate pedicels stouter, 5 mm . long; pistillate tepals 6, like the staminate, $15-35 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles much branched with the erect linear segments bearing stigmatic tissue throughout, ovary 3-celled, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule obconic with a short column at apex, at maturity bearing 3 deflexed horns from the upper angles, tomentose.-Endemic.-L. f. Suppl. 419 (1781); J. E. Smith, Pl. Icon. Ined. ii. t. 44 (1790); Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894) ; Irmscher, loc. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584 (1925). Stiradotheca ferruginea Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 127 (1854). Stibadotheca magnifica Kl. Begon. 129, t. 12, fig. A (1855). S. ferruginea Kl. loc. cit. 130. Begonia magnifica Linden, Cat. (1855); in Belg. Hortic. vi. 5 (1856); Carr. in Rev. Hortic. xlii. 271 (1870); C. Chevalier, Begon. 307, t. 53 (1938). Casparya ferruginea A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 269 (1864). C. ferruginea B. Holtonis A. DC. loc. cit. Tab. 4.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: woods, road from Pamplona to Toledo, crossing the divide between Río La Teja (Maracaibo drainage) and Río Mesme (Orinoco drainage), alt. 2800 m ., Feb. 27, 28, 1927,


TAB. 4

Killip \& A. C. Smith 19826 (G, NY, US) ; Páramo del Hatico, en route from Toledo to Pamplona, alt. ca. 2900 m ., Mar. 12, 13, 1927, Killip' \& A. C. Smith 20618 (G, NY).

CUNDINAMARCA: prov. Bogotá, Triana 3026 (! A. DC.); near Bogotá, Goudot 872 (! A. DC.); by the falls of Tequendama, Dec. 8-11, 1852, Holton 712 (G, NY, Gen, phot. Macbride, CM no. 7319); Tequendama above Fusagasugá near Pandi, alt. $2200-2600 \mathrm{~m}$., Feb. 2, 1883, Lehmann 2581 (US); Páramos de Une, July 1916, Dawe 379 (US); forest on slope of mountain 2-4 miles south of Sibaté, alt. 2900-3000 m., Oct. 13-15, 1917, Pennell 2440 (G, NY, Mo, US) ; Bogotá, June 1919, Ariste Joseph A346 (US); rain forest below El Peñón near Sibaté alt. $2700 \mathrm{~m} .$, Aug. 15, 1920. Popenoe 1112 (US); Bogotá, 1925, A. Schultze s. n. (US) ; dense forest of La Hondonada, Salto de Tequendama, Cordillera Oriental, alt. 2250-2300 m., Oct. 2, 1938, Cuatrecasas 201 (US); Macizo de Bogotá, Quebrada del Rosal alt. 3200 m., June 29, 1939, Cuatrecasas 5709 (US); Finca Bethania, abajo de Peña Negra, Municipio de Anolaima, alt. 2700 m ., May 29, 1941, H. Garcia B. \& Jaramillo 10419 (G).

TOLIMA: Aguadita, Jan. 27, 1938, L. Javier 21 (US).
BOYACA: Cordilera of Tunja, Warsczewicz s. n. (! A. DC.) ; Yanacá, Maripi. July 21, 1936, H. Garcia B. 4852 (US); Cordillera Oriental, Quebrada de Susacón, alt. 3100-3300 m., July 17, 1940, Cuatrecasas \& H. García B. 9809 (US).

DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: "in Nova Granada", Mutis (Linnean Herbarium, type, phot. Arnold Arboretum no. 1125.1); Linden 1224 (! A. DC.); "Colombia", Triana 196 (! A. DC.); Holton 722 (NY, Kew, type of Casparya ferruginea var. Holtonis A. DC.) ; Casanare, Christianos 18 (NY).

We reproduce here the plate of J. E. Smith, which is the earliest illustration of the species. It is fairly accurate except that it shows two appendages above the anther instead of one.

This species was in cultivation at an early date and evidently was popular, but according to Chevalier it has now disappeared.

Var. dilatata Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., tepalis masculinis interioribus valde dilatatis, late ellipticis, quam exterioribus multo latioribus.

CUNDINAMARCA: Falls of Tequendama, alt. 2480 m., Feb. 3, 1876, André 1320 (NY, not so extreme as the type); wet forested bank
along road from San Miguel to Aguadita, Eastern Cordillera, alt. 2680 m., April 30, 1944, Killip 38095 (G, type; US) .
14. Begonia (\&asparya) gamolepis Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., fruticosa, erecta vel subprostrata, $3-15 \mathrm{dm}$. alta; ramis gracilibus, puberulis; foliis rectis, asymmetricis, subellipticis, breviter acuminatis, basi dimidiatis, 4-6 cm. longis, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, serratis, supra et ad nervos subtus hirsutis, petiolo $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, fusco-hirtello, stipulis deciduis, oblongis, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris; pedunculis axillaribus, gracilibus, $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; inflorescentiis bisexualibus, e floribus masculinis $3-4$ et femineo unico formatis, bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, plus minusve coalitis, 8 mm . longis, inflorescentiam juvenilem involucrantibus, integris, glabris; pedicellis masculinis ad 13 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 2, orbicularibus, basi cordatis, 8 mm . longis, integris, carnosis, glabris, viridibus vel albis (! Killip \& A. C. Smith) ; staminibus multis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis multo longioribus, connectivo producto, obtuso; floribus femineis perjuvenilibus solum cognitis; tepalis femineis 5 , inaequalibus, apice fimbriato-laceratis, extus hirsutis; placentis bilamellatis; capsula turbinata, aequaliter 3-cornuta. cornubus gracilibus, sursum curvatis, apice hirsutis, columna crasse conica, 6 mm . alta. Tab. 5 .

SANTANDER: Eastern Cordillera, dense woods along stream, vicinity of Las Vegas, alt. 2600-3000 m., Dec. 21-23, 1926, Killip \& A. C. Smith 16037 (G, NY, US) ; forest, vicinity of Charta, alt. 2000-2600 m., Feb. 1-11, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 18914 (G, NY, US) ; dense wet woods, western slope of Mount San Vicente, near Charta, alt. 2500-2700 m., Feb. 9, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 18974 (G. NY, US); dense woods, southern slope of Mount San Martín, near Charta, alt. 2300-2500 m., Killip \& A. C. Smith 19137 (NY, type; G, US)

This species is unique in Casparya in having only two staminate tepals which are quite fleshy. The name alludes to the involucre of connate bracts at the base of the inflorescence.
15. Begonia (Sascarya) umbellata HBK. Hะrbaceous or suffruticose; branches glabrous; leaves straight or oblique. strongly asymmetric, ovate, acuminate, unequally cordate at base with one lobe much larger than the other or slightly dimidiate, 5-7 cm. long, 2.5-5 cm . wide, densely setose-serrate, very sparsely setose above, hirtellous on the nerves beneath, petiole $1-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, hirtellous to glabrous,
stipules tardily deciduous, oblong-elliptic, obtuse, entire, $10-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, soon glabrous, brown, membranaceous; peduncles axillary, 4-7 cm . long, the pistillate 1 -flowered; staminate cymes flat and umbelliform, 2-20-flowered, glabrous; bracts deciduous, elliptic or obovate, 4-6 mm. long, entire, thin, brown; pedicels very slender, up to 6 cm . long; staminate tepals 4 , subequal, $12-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, red, the outer elliptic obtuse, pilose and more or less serrulate at least toward apex, the inner obovate, broader, lacerate-serrate at apex, paler; stamens free, included, up to 20, anthers oblong, obtuse, several times shorter than the filaments; pistillate bracts like the others; pistillate tepals 6 , the outer 3 slightly longer and narrower, to 28 mm . long, the inner lacerate-serrate at apex; styles much branched, the branches linear and covered with stigmatic papillae, ovary 3 -celled, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule clavate-turbinate, bearing 3 slightly ascending horns from the upper angles and a short column at apex, setose, becoming glabrous.-Endemic.-HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. vii, 187 (1825) ; Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894) ; Irmscher, loc. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584, fig. 259 (1925). Isopteris umbellata Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 127 (1854). Isopteryx umbellata Kl. Begon. 132, t. 12, fig. B (1855). Casparya umbellata A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 270 (1864). Tab. 5.

TOLIMA: Mt. Tolima near tree-line, Goudot s. n. (! A. DC.); prov. Mariquita, Triana 3033 (! A. DC.); La Ceja (Quindío), prov. Mariquita, alt. 2800 m., 1851-7, Triana s. n. (NY); Volcancito, Jan. 26, 1853, Holton 720 (G, NY) ; San Juan (?), Quindío, Mar. 1876, André 2195 (NY); wet cliffs at cascade, "La Lora" to summit, new Quindío trail, Cordillera Central, Aug. 14, 1922, Killip 9773 (G, NY, US) ; in open, along Quindío Highway, between Cajamarca and summit of Divide, alt. 3200 m ., Mar. 27, 28, 1939, Killip \& Varela 34565 (US) along divide near Quindío Highway, alt. 3300-3500 m., Mar. 27, 1939, Killip \& Varela 34621 (US).

CALDAS: "Crescit in declivitate occidentali Andium quinduensium, prope El Inciensal, alt. 240 hex.", (ca. 470 m.$)\left({ }^{*}\right)$. Sept. 1801. Humboldt \& Bonpland s.n. (type, Paris, not seen) ; Páramo del Ruiz, alt. 2500-3000 m., Sept. 1883, Lehmann 3091 (US) ; "Magana" to Quindio Pass, old Quindio trail, Cordillera Central, alt. $3200-3500 \mathrm{~m}$., Aug.
(*) The altitude of 240 hexapedes ' - 467 meters) given for El Inciensal is wrong. The proper altitude is about 2800 meters.-EDTTOR.

2, 1922, Killip \& Hazen 9165 (G, NY, US); stream-margin, edge of forest, "Pinares", above Salento, Cordillera Central alt. 2700-2900 m., Aug. 2-10, 1922, Pennell 9338, 9355 (G, NY, US) ; mossy forest on spur, Cerro Tatamá, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2800-3300 m., Sept. 8-10, 1922, Pennell 10453, 10454 (NY) ; on rock, Quindío-"La Lima" ( $=$ La Linea), alt. 3000-3200 m., Feb. 1937, E. Dryander s. n. (US).

CAUCA: upper forest zone, Páramo de Buena Vista, Huila Group, Central Cordillera, alt. 3000-3600 m., Jan. 1906, H. Pittier 1179 (US, type of B. fissisepala C. DC.).

DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: 1851-7, Triana s. n. (US); Linden 388 (! A. DC.).

The specific name refers to the form of the staminate inflorescence. However, the inflorescence is not a true umbel, but a cyme with some branches greatly abbreviated.
16. Begonia ( Casparya) cornuta Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., suffruticosa, ad 3 m . alta (! H. Garcia B. \& Jaramillo); ramis glabris; foliis transversis vel valde obliquis, anguste ovatis, acuminatis, basi cordatis, ad 9 cm . longis, 4 cm . latis, acute serratis, supra glabris, subtus ad nervos setulosis, petiolo $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo, glabro, stipulis mox deciduis, ignotis; pedunculis, robustis, $25-75 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; cymis multifloris, ultra 2 dm . diametro, glabris, bracteis mox decipaulo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis late obovatis, 6 mm . longis, tepalis masculinis 4 , integris, albis vel pallide purpurascentibus, exterioribus late ellipticis vel suborbicularibus, $9-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, interioribus anguste obovatis, 6 mm . longis; staminibus liberis, ca. $\mathbf{2 0}$. antheris oblongis, quam filamentis subduplo longioribus, connectivo paulo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis late obovatis, 6 mm . longis, integris, glabris; tepalis femineis 5 , subaequalibus, late ellipticis, 15 mm . longis, ad apicem versus ciliato-serratis; stylis 3, 6-partitis, segmentis ultimis brevibus, oblongis; ovario 3 -loculato, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula late turbinata, apice columna brevissima aucta, aequaliter 3 -cornuta, cornubus gracilibus, acuminatis, leviter adscendentibus. Tab. 5.

CUNDINAMARCA: beyond "Cibate" ( $=$ Sibaté), Jan. 3, 1854, Holton s. n. (NY); Aguadita near Barroblanco, Feb. 4, 1876, André 1425 (NY) ; forest, El Peñón, southwest of Sibaté, alt. 2800-2900 m., Oct. 13, 1917, Pennell 2414 (NY); San Miguel, extreme southern end of the savanna of Bogotá, hills, alt. 2840 m., Aug. 15, 1939, Cuatreca-
sas 6677 (US, type); Municipio de Facatativá, Alto de Peña Negra, Cordillera Oriental, western slope, alt. 2810-2820 m., May 29, 1941, H. García B. \& Jaramillo 10407 (US).

Its ample many-flowered inflorescence serves to distinguish $B$. cornuta from the remainder of Casparya. In fact, specimens without pistillate flowers are all too easily confused with other sections. It is an interesting commentary that B. cornuta was collected five times over a period of more than ninety years without having been described.
17. Begonia (\$ Casparya) toledana Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea, erecta diffusaque, 6-9 dm. alta; ramis gracilibus, junioribus plus minusve puberulis; foliis plerumque obliquis, ovatis, acuminatis, basi cordatis, $5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $2-3.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, serratis, supra et ad nervos subtus sparse puberulis, petiolo $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo, stipulis deciduis, cblongis, $8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris; pedunculis axillaribus, gracilibus, ad 36 mm . longis; inflorescentiis verisimiliter unisexualibus, paucifloris, bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, integris, basalibus ad 7 mm . longis; pedicellis $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; tepalis masculinis 4 , integris, albis, glabris, exterioribus suborbicularibus, ad 10 mm . longis, interioribus anguste ellipticis, minoribus; staminibus multis, antheris oblongis, filamentis brevissimis, connectivo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis verisimiliter nullis; tepalis femineis 5 , paulo inaequalibus, ellipticis, obtusis, integris, ad 20 mm . longis, albis glabris; styli 3, multo connatis, multifidis; ovario turbinato, aequaliter 3 -cornuto, cornubus gracilibus, sursum curvatis, acuminatis, placentis bilamellatis; capsula ignota. Tab. 5.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: Eastern Cordillera, dense woods, road from Pamplona to Toledo, crossing the divide between Rio La Teja (Maracaibo drainage) and Rio Mesme (Orinoco drainage), alt. 28003000 m., Feb. 27-28, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 19981 (G, NY, US); dense woods, Pica-Pica Valley, above Tapatá (north of Toledo), alt. 2100-2400 m., Mar. 1-5, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 20270 (G); woods along stream, Loso and vicinity (north of Toledo), alt. 2200-2400 m., Mar. 6-7, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 20359 (G, type; NY, US) ; edge of woods, western slope of Páramo del Hatico, en route from Toledo to Pamplona, alt. 2800-2900 m., Mar. 13, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 20726 (G, NY, US).


TAB. 5

At first glance, Begonia toledana closely resembles B. Urticae, but it has oblique leaves with cordate bases, whereas those of $B$. Urticae are straight and dimidiate. Also the flowers of $B$. toledana are much larger.

Var. erubescens Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., planta omnino erubescens; foliis lanceolatis, acuminatis, basi profunde cordatis.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: woods, region of Sarare, between Alto del Loro and Alto de Santa Inés, alt. 1800-2200 m., Oct. 18-21, 1941, Cuatrecasas, Schultes \& E. Smith 12492 (US); Hoya de Samaria (Municipio Toledo), alt. 2000-2100 m., Oct. 30, 1941, Cuatrecasas, Schultes \& E. Smith 12772 (US, type).
18. Begonia (Sasparya) ursina Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., € fragmentis solum cognita, verisimiliter fruticosa, utrinque dense ferrugineo-hirsuta; foliis rectis, asymmetricis, lanceolatis, acuminatis, basi dimidiatis, ad 5 cm . longis, 2 cm . latis, serratis, petiolo 3-5 mm . longo, stipulis persistentibus, oblique ovatis, acutis 6 mm . longis, serratis; pedunculis axillaribus, ad 2 cm . longis; inflorescentiis masculinis densissime paucifloris; femineis unifloris; bracteis ovatis, acutis, 10 mm . longis, integris; pedicellis brevissimis; floribus masculinis crasse ovoideis vel subglobosis, acutis, nucem simulantibus; tepalis masculinis 4 , exterioribus semiovoideis, basi excavatis, 6 mm . longis dense ferrugineo-hirsutis, interioribus ellipticis, obtusis, 2 mm . longis, glabris; staminibus toro paulo convexo insertis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis bene longioribus, connectivo paulo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis bracteas masculinas aequalibus; tepalis femineis 6, anguste ovatis, acutis, ad apicem versus ciliato-seratis, exterioribus 3, subaequalibus, $9-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, extus dense intus sparse hirsutis, ex sicco fuscis, interioribus subaequalibus, 7 mm . longis, subglabris, albidis; stylis multiramosis, ramis brevibus, tortuosis; ovario 3 -loculato, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula late turbinata, apice columna subnulla, aequaliter 3-cornuta, cornubus gracilibus, acuminatis, sursum curvatis. Tab. 6.

MAGDALENA: Cerro Pintado, Sierra Perijá, alt. 3100 m., July 3-6, 1942, M. A. Carriker, Jr., 25 (US, type).

The extremely dense indument alone is sufficient to distinguish Begonia ursina, but the technical characters also are most unusual. The hemispherical outer staminate tepals are unique to the best of
our knowledge, and the regularly biseriate character of the pistillate tepals is quite rare.
19. Begonia (S Casparya) grewiaefolia (A. DC.) Warb. Suffruticose, decumbent and rooting at the nodes; branches erect, $15-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, fuscous-tomentose, becoming glabrous toward base; leaves straight or slightly oblique, strongly asymmetric, oblong-ovate to oblong-obovate, acuminate, unequally cordate at base, $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, $7-8$ pinnate nerves on each side, doubly serrate, setoseciliate, sparsely setose above and on the nerves beneath, petioles 2-6 mm . long, stipules ovate, acute or obtuse, 6 mm . long, entire, soon glabrous; peduncles axillary, $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 1-flowered; bracts elliptic, deciduous; pedicels short; flowers rose; staminate tepals 4, subequal, obovate, 14 mm . long, entire, glabrous; anthers unequal, slender, longer than the filaments, the connective produced, acute; pistillate bracteoles like the bracts, obtuse, 6 mm . long, 4 mm . wide, glabrous; pistillate tepals $5-6$, obovate-oblong, $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, entire, glabrous; styles 6-parted, the ultimate branches inflexed, neither slender nor twisted, ovary pilose; capsule broadly turbinate, obtuse at base, 6 mm . high, bearing 3 narrowly triangular subascending horns from the angles, apical column 2 mm . high.-Ecuador, Perú (?).-Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894); Irmscher, loc. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584 (1925) . Casparya grewiaefolia A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 117 (1859) ; in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 271 (1864).

CALDAS: Manizales, alt. 2150 m., Feb. 1853, Triana 3055 (British Museum, phot. Killip) .

VALLE: prov. Buenaventura, Triana 3056 (! A. DC.).
DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: Linden 392 (! A. DC.).
Our knowledge of Begonia grewiaefolia is unsatisfactory, since the Colombian material was only tentatively associated with the Ecuadorian type by A. De Candolle and we have only photographs of poor specimens for examination. We have not illustrated this species since the photographs disclose practically nothing of its habit which would distinguish it from the following one and the technical characters indicated in the description are not observable.
20. Begonia (\$ Casparya) colombiana Smith \& Schubert. spec. nov., e fragmentis solum cognita, herbacea vel suffruticosa, verisimiliter metralis; ramis junioribus sparse puberulis; foliis rectis, asym-
metricis, ellipticis, acuminatis, basi breviter et valde inaequaliter cordatis, ad 6.5 cm . longis et 3 cm . latis, grosse duplicato-serratis, breviter setoso-ciliatis, supra dissite et subtus ad nervos strigosis, petiolis ad 9 mm . longis, puberulis; stipulis deciduis, late ovatis vel ellipticis, apice rotundatis et setoso-mucronatis, $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris, membranaceis, glabris; pedunculis axillaribus, $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, puberulis; inflorescentiis bisexualibus, dense racemosis, paucifloris; bracteis suborbicularibus, grosse serratis, membranaceis, sparse puberulis, fulgide rubris; pedicellis brevibus; tepalis masculinis 4, valde inaequalibus, integris, fulgide rubris, exterioribus late ellipticis apiculatisque, 14 mm . longis, extus puberulis, interioribus obovatis, subduplo brevioribus; staminibus paucis, liberis, profunde inclusis, antheris oblongis, cum filamentis subaequalibus, connectivo valde producto, acuto; bracteolis femineis bracteas simulantibus sed densiore puberulis, ovarium fere occultantibus; tepalis femineis 6, subaequalibus, ellipticis, obtusis, integris, $14-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, fulgide rubris, puberulis; stylis multifidis, ovario puberulo, placentis bilamellatis; capsula late turbinata, 3-cornuta, cornubus acuminatis, deciduis, columna 3 mm . longa. Tab. 6.

FUTUMAYO: eastern slope of the Cordillera, between El Silencio and La Cabaña (road from Sibundoy to Urcusique), alt. 2200-2400 m., Dec. 31, 1940, Cuatrecasas 11517 (US, type; G).

We have described Begonia colombiana as a new species with a certain hesitation because it is obviously so close to the poorly understood B. grewiaefolia, but it has very unequal staminate tepals and suborbicular bracts and bracteoles which are sufficient distinction if B. grewiaefolia has been described correctly.
21. Begonia (Casparya) antioquensis. (A. DC.) Warb. Fruticose; branches fulvous-pubescent, becoming glabrous; leaves straight or sightly oblique, strongly asymmetric, lance-elliptic, penninerved, acute or acuminate, unequal at base with the adaxial side cuneate and the other rounded and attached further down the petiole, 25-75 mm . long, $12-26 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, doubly serrate, sparsely pilose on both sides, petiole 4-6 mm. long, pilose, stipules suibersistent, ovate, acute, pilose, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; peduncles erect, to 5 cm . long, pubescent, few-flowered; bracts deciduous, ovate, obtuse, pilose, 4-6 mm . iong; flowers red or rose; staminate tepals 4, equal, 12 mm . long, entire, the outer ovate, puberulent, the inner obovate; anthers nu-


TAB. 6
merous on a long column, linear, obtuse; pistillate tepals 5 , up to 6 mm . long; capsule broadly turbinate, apical column $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, conical, the 3 acute horns subascending.-Endemic.-Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894); Irmscher, loc. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584 (1925). Casparya antioquensis A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 116 (1859) ; in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 270 (1864). Tab. 6.

ANTIOQUIA: Triana 3041 (Kew, isotype; phot. Killip); Tamesis, vicinity of Medellín, Feb. 1, 1928, R. A. Toro 982 (NY). The latter specimen it placed here with some uncertainty because of our incomplete knowledge of the type. Its long staminal column is not noted in the type-description and its capsule column is longer than indicated there, but otherwise the agreement is so close that we feel justified in calling the two the same. From necessity the illustration is taken from the Toro specimen.
22. Begonia ( Casparya) diffusa Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea vel fruticosa, diffusa, 0.3-3.5 m . alta; ramis patentibus, gracilibus, pilis rubris articulatisque plus minusve dense vestitis; foliis rectis. asymmetricis, subellipticis, late acutis, basi dimidiatis, 18-34 mm . longis, $7-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, grosse ciliato-serratis, supra dissite subtus ad nervos pilosis, petiolo $3-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, sparse vel dense piloso, stipulis subpersistentibus, oblongis, integris, apice setiferis, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; pedunculis axillaribus, gracilibus, $14-42 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; inflorescentiis masculinis cymosis, paucifloris vel submultifloris; bracteis ellipticis, parvis, fimbriatis; inflorescentia feminea uniflora; tepalis masculinis 4, albis vel rubris, plus minusve pilosis, exterioribus ovatis vel ellipticis, apiculatis, basi cordatis, 4-6 mm. longis, interioribus obovatis, brevioribus; staminibus subpaucis in columna insertis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, late obtuso; bracteolis femineis cum bracteis similibus; tepalis femineis 5 , subaequalibus, ellipticis, $9-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; stylis 3, multifidis; ovario turbinato, piloso, aequaliter 3 -cornuto, cornubus gracilibus, sursum curvatis, placentis verisimiliter simplicibus. Tab. 6.

SANTANDER: Eastern Cordillera, woods, western slope of Páramo Rico, alt. 3200 m., Jan. 15-19, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 17852 (G, NY, US' ; oak forest, vicinity of La Baja, alt. 2700-3500 m., Jan. 14-31, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 18107 (G, NY); paramillo, Páramo de las Puentes, above La Baja, alt. 3500-3700 m., Jan. 25, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 18178 (G, NY) ; dense forest, Quebrada de Pais (= Páez?),
north of La Baja, alt. ca. 3200 m., Jan. 31, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith. 18772 (NY, type; G, US).

Begonia diffusa is much like the Venezuelan B. montana except for the staminate flowers which are much smaller and more numerous and are borne in a separate inflorescence. The combination of diffuse habit and very small leaves readily distinguishes it from other Colombian species of Casparya.
23. Begonia (. Casparya) Urticae L. f. Herbaceous to suffruticose; stem branching, decumbent, rooting at the nodes, $15-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. high, rarely over 2 m . (! Dryander), the younger parts usually ferruginouspuberulent; leaves straight or nearly so, srongly asymmetric, ovate or elliptic, acute or acuminate, base acute on the adaxial side, obtuse and decurrent on the other, $3-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, penninerved, doubly serrate, ciliate, sparsely hirtellous to glabrous above, densely pubescent on the nerves to wholly glabrous beneath, petiole $2-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, stipules deciduous, ovate, obtuse, setose, $2-6$ (rarely to 12 ) mm . long; peduncles axillary, erect, $12-45 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, 1 -few-flowered; bracts deciduous, elliptic, setaceous-dentate at apex; pedicels $6-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 4 , subequal, $3-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the outer elliptic, entire, red, often pilose, the inner obovate, white; stamens on a slender colum 2 mm . high, filaments short, anther linear, the connective slightly produced; pistillate bracteolae deciduous, elliptic; pistillate tepals 5, subequal, elliptic, $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, entire, red or white, the outer often pilose; styles 3 with many short branches wholly covered by stigmatic papillae, placentae bilamellate, ovuliferous on all sides; capsule very variable, broadly turbinate, obtuse at base, with 3 ascending horns on the angles, the tips of the horns often deciduous, the apical column well developed, angled or terete, slender, conical or cylindric or subclavate, from longer than the wings to rarely shorter.-Costa Rica, Panama, Ecuador, Perú.-L. f. Suppl. 420 (1781); Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146 (1894); Irmscher in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 584 (1925) . B. urticaefolia J. E. Smith, Pl. Icon. Ined. ii. t. 45 (1790), pro errore. B. columnaris Benth. Pl. Hartweg. 131 (1844); Warb. loc. cit.; Irmscher, loc. cit. B. trachyptera Benth. op. cit. 184 (1845); Warb. loc. cit.; Irmscher, loc. cit. Stiradotheca trachyptera Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 127 (1854). Casparya coccinea Kl. loc. cit. e. p., nomen; Begon. 128 (1855). Begonia coccinea Ruiz ex Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 127 (1854) e. p.,
nomen in synon. Sassea Urticae Kl. op. cit. 128; Begon. 133 (1855) S. columnaris Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 128 (1854); Begon. 134 (1855). S. glabra Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 128 (1854); Begon. 134 (1855). Begonia glabra Ruiz ex Kl. in Monatsber. Berlin Akad. 128 (1854), nomen in synon. Stibadotheca trachyptera Kl. Begon. 131 (1855). Begonia cucullata Ruiz ex Kl. Begon. 134 (1855), nomen in synon. Casparya trachyptera A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 274 (1864). C. columnaris A. DC. loc. cit., non Kl. (1854) . C. columnaris $\beta$ glabra A. DC. loc. cit. C. Urticae A. DC. loc. cit. C. Urticae B hispida A. DC. loc. cit. Sassea Hoffmanniana Kl. ex A. DC. op. cit. 275, nomen in synon. Begonia monticola C. DC. in Bull. Herb. Boiss. ser. 2, viii. 325 (1908). B. Torresii Standl. in Journ. Wash. Acad. Sci. xvii. 313 (1927). B. chiriquensis Standl. in Woodson \& Schery in Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. xxvii. 321 (1940). B. columnaris var. glabra Smith \& Schubert in Macbride, Fl. Perú, in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. pt. 4, 187 (1941). Tab. 7.

BOLIVAR: forest, Cascada Chorrón, south of Antizales, alt. 18002500 m., Feb. 25, 1918, Pennel 4411 (NY).

ANTIOQUIA: Támesis, Feb. 1, 1928, R. A. Toro 968 (NY) ; Páramo de Sonsón, alt. 2700-2850 m., Jan. 26, 1945, Daniel 3426 (US) .

CUNDINAMARCA: mountains east of Bogotá, Holton 723 (NY); in mountains near Bogotá, Oct. 1852, Holton 727 (G, NY) ; Boquerón de Monserrate, alt. 2700 m ., Sept. 1853, Triana (10) (Col); Boquerón de Bogotá, Jan. 26, 1876, André 1303 (NY); Viotá, Feb. 1876, André K. 1070 (G); Bogotá. Jan. 1916. Daze 2 (US) ; moist cliff, Río San Francisco above Bogotá, alt. 2700-2800 m., Sept. 13, 1917, Pennell 1926 (G, Mo, NY, US) ; forest, El Peñón southwest of Sibaté, alt. 2800-2900 m., Oct. 13, 1917, Pennell 2413, 2421 (NY) ; Boquerón, Bogotá, alt. 28002900 m., Feb. 1925, A. Schultze 136 (US); no further locality, Ariste Joseph B57 (US) ; Bogotá, Ariste Joseph s. n. (US); Sopó, Ariste Joseph B143 (US); Chapinero, Bogotá, July 3, 1934, Pérez 3077 (US); western slopes of Páramo de Cruz Verde, alt. 3150 m., Oct. 1938, Cuatrecasas 360 (US); woods along stream, Los Gaques, western slope of Páramo de Guasca, alt. ca. 3250 m., Mar. 12, 1939, Killip 34163 (US) ; Macizo de Bogotá, Quebrada Chicó, alt. 2800-3000 m., June 14, 1939, Cuatrecasas 5483 (US); Macizo de Bogotá, Quebrada del Rosal, malezas, alt. 3200 m ., June 29, 1939, Cuatrecasas 5710 (US), 5710-B (Col) ; San Miguel, extreme western end of the savanna of Bogotá, hills, alt. 2840 m. , Aug. 15, 1939, Cuatrecasas 6668 (US); wet ground,
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Páramo de Guasca, alt. 3000-3500 m., Oct. 11, 1939, H. García B. 8092 (US).

TOLIMA: forest, "Rosalito" near Páramo del Ruiz, alt. 2900-3200 m., Dec. 15-17, 1917, Pennel 3126 (NY) ; forest, Murillo, alt. 2200-2600 m., Dec. 18, 1917, Pennell 3162 (NY) ; forest; "La Lora" to summit, New Quindio Trail, Cordillera Central, Aug. 14, 1922, Killip 9777 (NY, US) ; along Divide, near Quindió Highway, alt. 3300-3500 m., Mar. 17, 1939, Killip \& Varela 34623 (US) ; same, Mar. 27, 1939, Killip \& Varela 34595 (G).

CALDAS: Consota, Quindío, alt. 1300 m., Triana s.n. (NY) ; Andes of Quindio, Triana 3042 (Gen, phot. Macbride, CM no. 7314) ; Manizales, alt. 2000 m., Feb. 1853, Triana (389) (Col); along stream in forest, east of Salento, Cordillera Central, alt. 2000-2200 m., July 2531, 1922, Pennell 8930 (G, NY) ; along stream, edge of forest, "Pinares" above Salento, Cordillera Central, alt. 2700-2900 m., Aug. 2-10, 1922, Pennell 9348 (G, NY) ; forest, "Magaña", old Quindio Trail, Cordillera Central, alt. 3200-3300 m., Aug. 1-2, 1922, Killip \& Hazen 9435 (G, NY, US) ; forest, Río Santa Rita, Salento, alt. 1600-1800 m., Aug. 26, 1922, Killip \& Hazen 10132 (NY); forest, Río San Rafael, below Cerro Tatamá, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2200-2500 m., Sept. 7-11, 1922, Pennell 10327 (G, NY) ; steep open gulch in forest, Cerro Tatamá, alt. 3200-3400 m., Sept. 8-10, 1922, Pennell 10482 (G, NY); Quebrada de los Termales, alt. 2700 m., Aug. 1944, Dryander 2760 (US); valleys, Salamina, alt. 3500 m., July 1945, Tomas 2380 (US).

CHOCO: Dauró April 6, 1928, R. A. Toro 1158 (NY).
HUILA: edge of bog, "Balsillas", on Río Balsillas, alt. 2100-2200 m., Aug. 3-5, 1917, Rusby \& Pennell 832 (NY); Cordillera Oriental, on the Caquetá boundary line, Gabinete, alt. 2300-2450 m., Mar. 22, 1940, Cuatrecasas 8495 (US).

VALLE: Guabito, near Río Tuluá, Sept. 17, 1853, Holton s.n. (NY) ; Western Cordillera at Versalles, 1918-19, Dawe 838 (NY).

CAUCA: above Paletará, alt. 3000 m., Feb. 1884, Lehmann 3534 (US) ; Páramo de Buena Vista, Huila group, Central Cordillera, alt. 3000-3600 m., Jan. 1906, H. Pittier 1152 (US); Las Escaleritas, Moras Valley, Río Páez basin, Tierra Adentro, alt. 2500-3000 m., Feb. 1906, H. Pittier 1375 (US) ; forest, south side of "Llano", "Paletará", Cordillera Central, alt. 3000-3200 m., June 15-17, 1922, Pennell 6948 (G), 6949 (G, NY, US) ; moist forest, "San José", San Antonio, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2400-2700 m., June 28, 1922, Pennell \& Killip 7286 (G,

NY, US) ; hot springs on páramo, Pedro Piso, Puracé, alt. 3600 m ., Sept. 1936, Dryander 1694 (US) ; slopes in virgin forest, Puracé Pedro, alt. 3400 m. ., Sept. 1936, Dryander 1697 (US); woods between the ranges of Munchique and Altamira, Carpinterías, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2450-2500 m., July 15, 1939, Pérez \& Cuatrecasas 6184 (G); Cordillera Central, eastern slope near the boundary, valley of Río San Marcos, between Jardín and San Rafael, alt. 2700-2900 m., July 25, 1943, Cuatrecasas 14746, 14759, 14765 (G).

NARIÑO: Páramo del Tábano, crest of the Cordillera, between Pasto and El Encano, western slope, alt. 3200 m., Jan. 11, 1941, Cuatrecasas 11889 (US).

CAQUETA: Cordillera Oriental, eastern slope, valley of the Rio Hacha, woods, Ruidosa, alt. 2000 m., Mar. 26, 1940, Cuatrecasas 8717 (G) ; same, below Gabinete, alt. 2100-2250 m., Mar. 23, 1940, Cuatrecasas 8550 (US).

PUTUMAYO: Páramo del Tábano (?), May 14, 1935, Garcia 4561 (US) ; El Encano, Laguna de la Cocha, Páramo "El Tábano", alt. 3300 m., Aug. 10-11, 1939, Garcia 7837, 7837-A, Balls B-7503 (US); south side of Laguna de la Cocha, Paramo de Santa Lucía (source of the Río Alisales), alt. 2900-3100 m., Jan. 9, 1941, Cuatrecasas 11867 (US).

DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: Mutis (type, not seen); Bonpland (! A. DC.) ; Linden 389, 390 (! A. DC.) ; Holton 726 (NY) ; July 5, 1920, Dawe 838 (US) ; West Cordillera, Río Mundidó, alt. 2700 m., Aug. 1941, Dryander 2512 (US); West Cordillera, Observatorio, alt. 2600-2700 m., Aug. 1941, Dryander 2510, 2513 (US).

This species is characterized by innumerable minor forms most of which we find impossible to classify at present. There is some variation in leaf-form, much in indument and very much in the form of the capsule. Furthermore the capsule changes its shape to a very great degree as it develops, the base being late in development but persisting after the horns and column disintegrate. To complicate matters further, the type as shown in J. E. Smith's illustration, has only very young fruit, so that it is impossible to decide what is the typical form of the mature fruit.

Var. retusa Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., tepalis masculinis interioribus retusis, ad 13 mm . longis, quam eis var. typicae multo majoribus.

VALLE: Cordillera Occidental, páramos, Los Farallones, crest of the cordillera, extreme north of the Alto del Buey range, alt. 35003600 m., Oct. 11, 1944, Cuatrecasas 17942 (G, type; US) ; Los Farallones, extreme north, northwest slope, between Alto del Buey and Quebrada de los Ramos, alt. 3350-3450 m., Oct. 12, 1944, Cuatrecasas 18039 (G); woods, Los Farallones, extreme north, east slope of Alto del Buey, alt. 3300-3450 m., Oct. 13, 1944, Cuatrecasas 18095 (G).

Material of var. retusa is outstanding because it is somewhat larger in all its parts than that of the typical variety and because 1.he inner staminate tepals are always retuse.
(To be continued)

## BOTANICA

## THE BEGONIACEAE OF COLOMBIA (*)

By Lyman B. Smith and Bernice G. Schubert<br>Gray Herbarium of Harvard University, Cambridge. Massachusetts.

24. Begonia (§ Poecilia) semiovata Liebm. Herbaceous, slender, 2-6 dm. high; stem simple or slightly branched, essentially glabrous or glabrescent, prostrate to ascending, sometimes rooting at the nodes; leaves strongly asymmetric, straight (or rarely transversely ovate), acuminate, unequally cuneate to cordate at base, subpinnately nerved, occasionally shallowly lobed with ciliate-serrate margins, $4.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, essentially glabrous on both surfaces, petioles $0.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, glabrous, stipules persistent, lanceolate, up to 2 cm . long, entire, glabrous, acuminate; peduncles axillary, 2-3 cm. long, glabrous; cymes laxly few-flowered; bracts persistent, lanceolate to ovate, subentire to ciliate-serrulate, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate pedicels very slender, to 6 mm . long; staminate tepals 2 . ovate to suborbicular, 2 mm . long and broad; stamens free, few, anthers elliptic or ovate, the connective produced; pistillate bracteoles persistent, obovate to orbicular, entire to ciliolate-serrulate to almost lacerate, about 3 mm . long; pistillate pedicels to 5 mm . long; pistillate tepals 5, elliptic to ovate, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, varying in width; styles 3,2 -parted with the stigmatic tissue forming a continuous, linear, spiral band, ovary 3 -celled, placentae variable, even in the same ovary; capsule subelliptic to orbicular, glabrous, $6-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. with subequal, rounded wings, seeds stalked, oblong and obtuse, truncate at the base, $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.-Mexico and Guiana to Perú.-Liebm. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddel. 1852. 22(1853) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt.
[^117]1,382(1864). B. rosea (Kl.) A. DC. loc. cit. 299. Hoffmannella rosea Kl. in A. DC. loc. cit. nomen. B. Spruceana A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. ser. 4, xi. 142(1859); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 381(1864); Smith \& Schubert in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. pt. 4, no. 1, 199(1941). B. flexuosa A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. loc. cit.; in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 382. B. guyanensis A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. loc. cit.; in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1. 381(1864) ; Irmscher in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi; $581(1925)$. B. guyanensis, var. glaberrima C. DC. in Bot. Gaz. xx. 540(1895). Tab. 8.

SANTANDER: along creek on moist shaded bank, virgin forest, vicinity of Barranca Bermeja, Magdalena Valley, between Sogamoso and Carare Rivers, alt. 100-500 m., June 29, 1936, Haught 1889 (G. US).

CHOCO: between La Oveja and Quibdó, April 1, 2, 1931, Archer 1695 (US) ; La Concepción, 15 km . east of Quibdó, alt. ca. 75 m .. April 20-May 23, 1931, Archer 1953 (US).

VALLE: exposed cliffs, Pacific coast, Buenaventura, alt. 0-10 m.. Oct. 5-10, 1922, Killip 11689 (NY); woods, Pacific Coast, Río Yurumanguí, Veneral, alt. 5-50 m., Jan.-Feb., 1944, Cuatrecasas 15855 (G); Río Calima (Chocó region), La Trojita, alt. 5-50 m., Feb.-Mar. 1944, Cuatrecasas 16432 (G); stream-bed, Río Sabaletas, Sabaletas, km. 29 of highway from Buenaventura to Cali, alt. 25 m . June 4. 6. 1944. Killip \& Cuatrecasas 38860 (US).

NARIÑO: Prov. de Barbacoas, alt. 250 m ., May, 1853, Triana (17, (Herb. Nac. Colomb.).

Begonia semiovata can be distinguished from its relatives in Coiombia by the nearly equal wings which give the capsule an orbicular outline. From B. subcostata, B. semiovata differs notably in having its essentially glabrous leaves lobate and dimidiate and in having the anther-connective only slightly produced beyond the loculi.

For each of the names which we have placed in the synonymy of $B$ semiovata we have examined either an authentic specimen or a type-photograph. There was no way in which the capsule or leafform could be distinguished between these species. In this section the condition of the placentae as well as the number of pistillate tepals seem to be poor diagnostic characters.
25. Begonia (? § Poecilia) subcostata Rusby. Herbaceous, rhizomatous, about 4.5 dm . high; stem branched, ascending, finely ridged,
glabrous; leaves subobliquely ovate, long acuminate, rounded to truncate at base, coarsely serrate and somewhat ciliate above the base, $3-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, venation subpalmate, both surfaces with a few scattered short thick hairs, petiole glabrous, $2-4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, stipules somewhat persistent, obliquely ovate, acute, ca. 3 mm . long and 2.5 mm . broad, glabrous, their margins short-lacerate; peduncles axillary, glabrous, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; inflorescence composed of fewflowered cymes; bracts small, more or less persistent, usually ovate and with somewhat lacerate-ciliolate margins; pedicels $8-23 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 4 , the outer 2 orbicular, entire, up to 8 mm . long and broad, the inner 2 slenderiy elliptic, obtuse, ca. 7 mm . long, 2 mm . broad; stamens free, connective produced, some times equaling anther in length; pistillate bracteoles linear to oblong with a setose tip, sometimes broader above and incised, up to 1 mm . long excluding tip; pistillate tepals 5 , the outer orbicular, up to about 5 mm . long and 4 mm . wide, becoming progressively narrower and more acute, the smallest 5 mm . long and 1.5 mm . wide; styles 3 with 2 main branches and several smaller ones, each branch with the stigmatic surface at its apex, ovary 3-celled, placentae simple, ovuliferous throughout; capsule up to about 1 cm . long, largest wing to about 6 mm . wide, acute, the two small wings subequal; seeds oblong, apparently not stalked, surface alveolate, alveolae the same size throughout.-Endemic.-Rusby, Descr. S. Am. Pl. 67(1920). Tab. 8.

MAGDALENA: local and not common, on wet rock by stream, forest and clearing, Las Nubes, Santa Marta, alt. 1350 m., Dec. 15, 1898 or 1899 , H. H. Smith 1265 (NY, type; G. US).

Begonia subcostata may be distinguished at once from the species here placed near it by its almost glabrous, essentially straight and unlobed leaves, by the four tepals of its staminate fiowers and by the anther-connective which is usually produced far beyond the locules although its length is variable, even in one flower. The styles in this species are multifid, in the three species here associated with it bifid.-The seeds are sessile here, stalked in the other three species.
26. Begonia ( $\$$ Poecilia) filipes Benth. Herbaceous. $2-6 \mathrm{dm}$. high; stem simple to slightly branched, glabrous, finely ridged; leaves strongly asymmetric, semiovate to obliquely or transversely ovate, acuminate, mostly truncate at base, palmately nerved with the chief veins branching divaricately above and with the margins crenate-
dentate and ciliate, 4-10 cm. iong, 2-4 cm. wide, rather abundantly appressed-pilose above with long tapering hairs, essentially glabrous on lower surface, petiole $0.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, glabrous, stipules mostly persistent, oblong-ovate, acute to acuminate to the setulose tip, 6-12 mm . long, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, very thin, entire; peduncles axillary, up to 5 cm . long, glabrous; cymes few-flowered; bracts persistent, ovateacute with setulose tip, up to 7 mm . long and 2 mm . wide; peaicels glabrous, $4-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 2, ovate-acute up to 3.5 mm . long and 2 mm . broad; stamens few, attached to a short column, connective slightly produced; bracteoles obovate, serrate-ciliate to 3.5 mm . long, more or iess persistent; pistillate tepals 4 or occasionally 5 . ovate-acute to orbicular. ca. 2 mm . long, slightly more than 1 mm . broad; styles 3, 2-parted, with the papillate stigmatic surface forming spiral bands, ovary 3 -celled, placentae variable; capsule glabrous. $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the largest wing mostly cbtuse, produced to 1 cm . in width, the other two subequal. narrow: seeds as in $B$. semiovata, but somewhat smaller.-Panama and Costa Rica to Co-lombia.-Benth. Bot. Voy. Sulph. 101(1844). Liebm. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddel. 1852. 22 (1853) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 300 (1864); Hemsl. Biol. Centr.-Am. i. 495(1880); Standley in Contrib. U. S. Nat. Herb. xxvii. 277 (1928) ; in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xviii. pt. 2, 741 (1937). B. hygrophila C. DC. ex Th. Dur. \& Pittier in Bull. Soc. Bot. Belg. xxxv. pt. 1, 265(1896). B. hygrophila var. puberula C. DC. op. cit. 266. B mameiana D. DC. in Smithson. Misc. Coll. lxix. no. 12 4(1919). B. keterodonta Rusby, Descr. New Sp. S. Am. Pl. 66 (1926). Tab. 8.

MAGDALENA: common on rocks in damp shady places about 300 m . from the Agua Dulce Road, alt. 240-260 m., Nov. 21, 1898-1901, H. H. Smith 1244 (NY, type of B. heterodonta Rusby; G. US).

CHOCO or ANTIOQUIA: Atrato River basin, Schott 208 (CM, labelled merely "South America", but he was nowhere else in South America).

ATLANTICO: Los Pendales, hacienda "Riodulce", alt. 20-50 m., in forest, Jan. 21-26, 1946, Dugand \& Jaramillo 4147 (Herb. Nac. Colomb.).

CHOCO: edge of forest along Quebrada Jella, Bahía Solano, near Ciudad Mutis, alt. 0-75 m., Feb. 21-23, 1939, Killip \& Garcia 33602 (G).

From the two preceding species Begonia filipes may be separated by its leaves which are abundantly pubescent above. Its capsule, in which the largest wing is subdeltoid and ascending. distinguishes it

from all three of its Colombian relatives. In a co-type collection, the number of pistillate tepals varies, and in many specimens the placentae are variable in the same ovary.
27. Begonia (§ Poecilia) hirtella Link. Herbaceous, 2-9 dm. high; stem simple to branching, villous with slender. multicellular brownish hairs up to 2.5 mm . long; leaves strongly asymmetric. semiovate (when young) to transversely ovate, acute to acuminate, very shallowly cordate to almost truncate at base, $3-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 3-4.5 cm. broad, palmately nerved, shaliowly or not at all lobed, moderately appressed-piose on both surfaces, crenate-serrate and ciliate. petioles rather densely villous, $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, stipules persistent, narrowly ovate, acuminate, $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, lacerate-ciliate; peduncles axiliary, sparsely pilose, $1.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; cymes usually few-flowered; bracts persistent, linear to oblong or ovate, ciliate-lacerate, smaller than the stipules; pedicels essentially glabrous, 4-12 mm. long; staminate tepal- 2-4, the outer suborbicular, up to 4 mm . long, the inner if present, smaller, lanceolate; stamens free, $9-22$; pistillate bracteoles elliptic to subspatulate, ciliate-serrulate, $3.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$, long, not persistent in fruit; pistillate tepals 5, oblong to obovate, acute, ca. 2 mm . long; styles 3, 2-parted with the stigmatic tissue forming continuous linear spiral bands, placentae variable, seed-bearing throughout; capsule glandular-punctate, $10-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. long with subdeltoid obtusely angled unequal wings, the largest $9-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; seeds oblong, obtuse, truncate and stalked at base, ca. 0.4 mm . long, surface alveolate, the basal alveolae longer than broad, the apical subrectangular. --West Indies. Brazil, Colombia and Perú.-Link, Enum. Hort. Berol. ii. $396(1822)$; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 299(1864) ; Schulz in Urb. Symb. Ant. vii. 28(1911); Irmscher in Engler \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 586(1925); Smith \& Schubert in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. pt. 4. no. 1. 122 (1941). B. ciliata HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. vii. 178(1825). B. villosa Lindl. in Bot. Reg. xv. t. 1252(1829). B. diversifolia Grah. $\beta$ nanc Walp. in Nov. Act. Acad. Leop.-Carol. xix. suppl. 1. $408(1843)$. B. hirtella Link var. nana A. DC. in Mart. Fl. Bras. iv. pt. 1, 345(1861). Tab. 8.

CUNDINAMARCA: Caparrapí, alt. 1280 m., June 8-13, 1939, García 7727 (US).

TOLIMA: Santa Ana, near Mariquita, alt. 720 m., Humboldt \& Bonpland (! A. DC.).

PUTUMAYO: wet woods in the valley of Rio Afán, Mocoa, alt. 570-680 m., Dec. 27, 1940, Cuatrecasas 11351 (G, US).

Begonia hirtella is the only member of this small group of species which is pubescent in most of its parts. The palmate venation of the usually transversely ovate leaves also serves as a distinguishing character; the largest capsule-wing which is very broad separates it from $B$. filipes. The seeds of $B$. hirtella differ from those of the three preceding species in having the apical alveolae subrectangular and the basal longer than broad.
28. Begonia ( Begoniastrum) microcarpa A. DC., var. typica. Herbaceous, known only from upper portion of the plant, including a fragment of the stem which is somewhat villous; leaves asymmetric, obliquely to broadly elliptic to transversely ovate or suborbicular, abruptly acuminate, cordate at the base, $9-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $7.5-9.5$ cm . broad, palmately 7 -nerved, glabrous above, pubescent chiefly on the nerves beneath, margin shallowly lobed or slightly undulate, obscurely denticulate to entire, petioles $8.5-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, abundantly villous, stipules persistent, deltoid ovate, cuspidate, $1-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, entire, glabrous; peduncle axillary so far as is known, 1.4 dm . long, sparsely glandular; inflorescence laxly and somewhat irregularly cymose, ca. 8 cm . long, bracts eiliptic, 4 mm . long, 2 mm . broad, obtuse, early deciduous; pedicels slender, to 11 mm . long, glandular; staminate tepals 4, entire, broadly ovate becoming more nearly elliptic in age, subapiculate, 3 mm . long and 2.5 mm . broad, stamens inserted on a column, filaments short, anthers subglobose, the connective not at all produced; pistillate bracteoles ovate-elliptic, obtuse, entire, 3 mm . long, 2 mm . broad; pistillate tepals 5 , obovate, obtuse, the outer to 4 mm . long, 2.5 mm . broad; styles 3 , persistent, short-connate at base, bifid with spiral stigmatic bands, ovary essentially globose, placentae bilamellate, ovuliferous throughout, wings subequal, similar, broadest at the middle and angled, a little over 1 mm . broad, seeds ellipsoidal, obtuse.-Ecuador.-A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 311 (1864). Tab. 9.

ECUADOR: in Andibus, 1857-9, Spruce 5070 (Geneva, type; G. phot. (CM neg. 7344) isotype).

Var. acuta Smith \& Schubert. var. nov.. herbacea; caule ca. 3 dm. alto, decumbente, in nodis radicante, sparse longeque villoso,
demum paene glabro, foliis $6.5-8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $9-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, ut in varietare typica vel cum paucis trichomis supra, petiolis 6-12.5 cm. longis, stipulis oblongis, acućis ad apice paene setuloso. $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; tepaiis masculinis exterioribus ad 6.5 mm . longis et 2.5 mm latis; tepaii; femineis plerumque ellipticis in maturitate. al ca. 6 mm longis et 2 mm . latis; ovario glabro, alis inaequalibus, acutis, supra horizontalibus inferne rotundatis, gianduloso-punctatis maxima 6 mm . longa et 4.5 mm . lata. Tab. 9 .

HUILA: Cordillera Oriental, woods, west slope below Gabi nete in the valley of the Abra de San Andrés, alt. 1900-2100 ma.. Mar 24 1940, Cuatreccsas 8660 (US, type; phot. G).

Var. villosa Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., herbacea (! CuatrecaSas) ; caule ca. 3.5 dm . alto, simplice, non flexuoso, base ciecumbente radicante, villoso. demum glabro; foliis $10-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $6.5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, palmate 8-9-nervatis, supra glabrescentibus, subtus villosis densior in nervis, petiolis $7-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, stipulis lanceolatis vel del-toideo-lanceolatis, valde cuspidatis, ad 12 mm . longis; infiorescentiis 6 cm . longis, paucifloris, moderate villosis, bracteis ad 7 mm . lon:gis: pedicellis juvenile dense villosis in maturitate glabrescentibus ad 15 mm . longiz; tepalis masculinis exterioribus 9 mm . longis, interioribus 7 mm . longis; bracteolis femineis 4 mm . longis; tepalis femineis perjuvenilibus solum cognitis verisimiliter 5, certe 4, ovatis; ovario glanduloso-punctato. juvenili paullo villoso; alis inaequalibus. subacutis. supra descendentibus, inferne rotundatis. majoribus duabus 6 mm . latis, glanduloso-punctatis. Tab. 9.

VALLE: dense forest, El Silencio, Yanaconas, alt. 1900-2200 m. Feb. 28. 1939, Killip \&\& García 33768 (G, US).

CAQUETA: thicket, Cordillera Oriental, east slope. Sucre. banks of Rio Hacha. alt. 1000 m., Apr. 3. 6, 7. 1940, Cuatrecrsas 2170 (US type; phot. G).

Variety villosa differs from varieties typica and acuta in its villows pedicels which may also have sessile, but neve" stinitate. glands and in its glandular-punctate ovary and wings. In yariety reuta the ovary is glabrous although the wings are glanduiar-punclate and in variety typica the whole capsule is glabrous.
29. Begonia (? § Hydristyles) ophiogyna Smith \& Schubert, spec nov., e fragmentis solum cognita, verisimiliter fruticosa. glabra;

foliis obliquis ovatis, valde asymmetricis. acuminatis, basi cordatis, ad 35 mm . longis, 20 mm . latis, serratis vel crenatis, petiolis ad 35 mm . longis, stipulis subpersistentibus, ovatis, 3 mm . longis, integris; pedunculis axillaribus, $30-45 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; inflorescentia dioeca, 2-3 (vel -4?)-floris, bracteis persistentibus, parvis, ellipticis, rubris; pedicellis 15 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4, integris, exterioribus ellipticis, obtusis, 14 mm . longis, rubescentibus, interioribus obcordatis, 12 mm . longis, albis, staminibus liberis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, ovato, obtuso; bracteolis femineis bracteis similibus; tepalis femineis 5 , integris subaequalibus, 8-9 mm. longis; exterioribus ovatis, rubescentibus, interioribus obovatis, albis; stylis 3, liberis, multiramosis, ramis tortuosis, undique papilliferis; ovario 3-loculato, subturbinato, placentis bifidis, undique ovuliferis; capsulis 13 mm . longis. inaequaliter trialatis, alis subtriangularibus. Tab. 9.

MAGDALENA: Cerro Pintado, Sierra de Perijá, alt. 3100 m., July 3-6, 1942, M. A. Carriker, Jr. 47 (US, type).

Note: Carriker 21, from the same locality, appears to be a dwarfed ecological form due to exposure.

Begonia ophiogyna is distinguished by having its inner staminate tepals obcordate and its five pistillate tepals sharply differentiated into two series, the outer two being ovate and reddish, the inner three obovate and white. The wings of the capsule are so thick that a sharp differentiation between ovary and wings is not always clear.
30. Begonia (\$ Huszia) pastoensis A. DC. Herbaceous, probably not much over 3 dm . high; stem subsimple, with the petioles fulvouspubescent; leaves oblique and strongly asymmetric. broadly ovate, abruptly acuminate, cordate at base. $5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 3-6 cm. wide, palmately 7-9-nerved, undulate-denticulate, fulvous-puberulent on both sides. petiole slender, $5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. stipules persistent, broadly ovate. mucronulate, $8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, firm, glabrous; peduncles axillary and terminal, erect, to 12 cm . long, fulvous pubescent at least when young; cymes bisexual, dichotomous, few-flowered, glabrous; bracts tardily deciduous. obovate, ample, undulate, the lowest 10 mm . long, pedicels $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; flowers probably rose; staminate tepals 4 , the outer broadly elliptic, $12-16 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the inner obovate, $10-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; stamens numerous, anthers obovoid, shorter than the filaments,
connective not produced, pistillate bracteolae persistent, orbicular, $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, ample, concealing the ovary; pistillate tepals 5 , obovate, $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3,2 -branched, somewhat irregular, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous; placentae bilamellate, the laminae irregularly 1 -2-lobed, ovuliferous on all sides; capsule globose, unequally 3 -winged, the wings all marginiform.-Ecuador.-A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. IV. 11:121. 1859; in DC. Prod. XV. pt. 1, 285 (1864). Tab. 9.

NARIN̄O: near Pasto, alt. $2200 \mathrm{~m} ., 1851-57$, Triana 3031 (Kew, type, Killip neg. no. 501; Geneva isotype, CM. neg. no. 24198; NY, isotype); between Meneses and Pasto, Apr. 29, 1876, André 2880 (NY).

Begonia pastoensis may be distinguished by the fulvous pubescence of its leaves and petioles, by the obtuse inner tepals of the staminate flower and by the large, persistent bracteoles of the pistillate flower. Its pistillate tepals, unlike those of $B$. ophiogyna, are not differentiated into two series, not are the two outer ones distinctly shorter as in B. sulcata.
31. Begonia (Saueria) sulcata Scheidw. Fruticose, about 1 m . high (! Haught); stem and branches sulcate, glabrous; leaves obliquely ovate, acute, shallowly cordate at base, palmately $6-7$-nerved, $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 4-6 cm. wide, shallowly lobate, doubly crenate-serrate, ciliate, membranaceous, sparsely pilose at base on the nerves, petiole $2.5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, stipules deciduous, oblong, obtuse or mucronate, 16-18 mm. long, entire, membranaceous; peduncles axillary, at least 9 cm . long; inflorescence dichotomously much branched, flat-topped. $5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter; bracts persistent, falcate-spreading, linearlanceolate, entire, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pedicels slender, $6-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 4 . white, the outer suborbicular, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the inner obovate, $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; stamens on a low torus, anthers oblong, slightly longer than the filaments, connective slightly produced, obtuse; pistillate bracteoles oblong, obtuse or acute, 2 mm . long; pistillate tepals 5 , elliptic or obovate, $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the 2 outer distinctly shorter; styles 3 , bifid, stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous; ovary 3 -celled, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule ellipsoid, unequal 3 -winged, the largest sublunate, the others marginiform.-Endemic.-Scheidw. in Otto \& Dietr. Allg. Gartenzeit. xvi. 130 (1848) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod.. xv. pt. 1, 288(1864); Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 148(1894); Irmscher, op.
cit. ed. 2, xxi. 586(1925). Saueria sulcata Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin Akad. 122(1854) ; idem, Begon. 41, t. 2, fig. A (1855). Tab. 9.

MAGDALENA: forest above Manaure, alt. ca. 700 m .. Jan. 23 1944, O. Haught 3983 (US).

Note: The species was described from material cultivated at Brussels and said to have come from Colombia (Herb. Brussels?, Berlin).

The characteristically entire bracts, many flowered inflorescence and large leaves of B. sulcata serve to distinguish it from $B$. tovarensis, while the presence of one large and well developed capsulewing separates it from $B$. pastoensis in which the wings are all marginiform.
32. Begonia (\$ Begoniastrum) tovarensis Kl. Herbaceous, 2-12 dm. high, sparsely brown-pilose to glabrous; stem erect, red; branches short; leaves more or less asymmetric, broadly ovate or suborbicular, acute or rounded, cordate at base, $2-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, palminerved, crenate-serrate, ciliate, glabrous above, more or less brownpilose beneath especially on the nerves, petioles $5-45 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, stipules deciduous, ovate-oblong, to 10 mm . long, ciliate-serrulate; peduncles axillary, $15-55 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; cymes bisexual, few-flowered; bracts persistent, ovate, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, fimbriate; pedicels $5-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 4 , the outer orbicular, 8 mm . long, the inner smaller, narrowly obovate; stamens free, numerous, filaments short, anthers oblong, the connective produced, obtuse; pistillate bracteoles like the bracts; pistillate tepals 5 , obovate, $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3 , bifid, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous, placentae bilamellate, ovuliferous throughout; capsule $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, its wings decurrent, very unequal, the largest typically ascending and tapering, often hooked, to 23 mm . wide, seeds fusiform.-Cuba; Mexico; Central America; Colombia; Venezuela; Perú; Bolivia.-Kl. Begon. 31 (1855); A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, $303(1864)$. Hemsl. Biol. Centr.-Am. i. 500 (1880); Schulz in Urb. Symb. Ant. vii. 25(1911). Knuth in Fedde, Rep. Beihefte, xliii. 502 (1927). Standley in Field. Mus. Pub. Bot. xviii. pt. 2, 747(1937). Smith \& Schubert, op. cit. xiii. pt. 4, no. 1, 200(1941) ; in Rev. Univ. Cuzco, xxxiii. no. 87, 80, t. 13, fig. 22(1945). $\mathcal{E}$. populifolia sensu Liebm. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddel. 1852. 16(1853); Kl. Begon. 30(1855), non HBK. Begonia Moritziana Kl. 1. c. 31, non

Kunth \& Bouché, 1848. Begonia tovarensis $\beta$ ocanensis A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 303(1864). Tab. 10.

MAGDALENA: Santa Marta, 1898-1901, H. H. Smith 2078 (G, NY, US) .

SANTANDER: marshy land, Mesa de los Santos, alt. 1500 m ., Dec. 11-15, 1926, Killip \& Smith 15298 (G, NY, US) ; in very wet soil by spring, vicinity of Barranca Bermeja, Magdalena Valley, between Sogamoso and Colorado Rivers, alt. 100-500 m., Mar. 10, 1935, 0. Haught 1595 (US).

CALDAS: Cauca Valley, swale west of Armenia, alt. $1100-1400 \mathrm{~m}$., むuly 24-25, 1922, Pennell, Killip \& Hazen 8647 (NY, var.?, no fruit).

ANTIOQUIA: Prov. de Antioquia, Rionegro, Mar., 1853, Triana (19) (Herb. Nac. Colomb.).

CAUCA: Popayán, alt. 1750 m., Lehmann 8031 (CM); swale, Rio Sucio to Río Piedras, west fo Popayán, Cauca Valley, alt. 1500-1700 m., July 3, 1922, Pennell \& Killip 8218 (G, NY); Cauca Valley, moist wayside north of Popayán, alt. 1700-1750 m., July 12, 1922, Pennell \& Fillip 8268 (NY, var.?, no mature fruit).

META: wet trail in forest, Villavicencio, alt. 450 m ., Aug. 26-31, 1917, Pennell 1479 (G, NY) ; Villavicencio, June 1937, E. Pérea A. 5322 (US) ; same locality, alt. 500 m., Nov. 9, 1938, Cuatrecasas 4502 (US); sabana, Apiay, Villavicencio, alt. 500 m. , Nov. 12, 1938, Cuatrecasas 4784 (US); woods, along Río Guatiquía, near Villavicencio, alt. ca. 500 m., Mar. 18-19, 1939, Killip 34436 (G).

CAQUETA: Florencia, in woods, cerro de La Sardina, alt. ca. 500 m., Mar. 30, 1940, Cuatrecasas 8903 (G).

DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: Queremal, Jan. 4, 1935, E. Pérez A. 3093 (US).

Begonia tovarensis is wide-ranging and rather variable but it may be easily determined by its combination of fimbriate bracts, few flowered inflorescence, large unequally winged fruit and fusiform seeds.

Var. palustris (Benth.) Smith \& Schubert, comb. nov. Largest wing of capsule rounded, not ascending.-Begonia palustris Hartweg ex Benth. Pl. Hartweg. 184(1845). Tab. 10.

CUNDINAMARCA: swale in meadow, Zipaquirá, alt. 2650 m., Oct. 20-24, 1917, Pennell 2543 (G, Mo, NY, US) ; swamp, Laguna de Fúquene. alt. $2600 \mathrm{~m} .$, Mar. 1930, E. Pérez A. 65 (US).

HUILA: swale, "Balsillas" on Río Balsillas, alt. 2000-2100 m., Aug. 3-5, 1917, Rusby \& Pennel 717 (NY, US).

VALLE: Bitaco, alt. 1550 m., Aug. 15, 1939, H. Garcia B. 8035 (US).
CAUCA: "Ad fossas prope Popayán", Hartweg 1022 (Kew, type; Berlin, isotype, phot. G (CM neg. no. 20902)

The character by which this variety is distinguished is very variable on a single plant and there are intergrades with the typical variety.
33. Begonia (? magdalenae Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herba succulenta ad 1 m . alta (! Haught), glabra; caule flexuoso, internodiis ultra 8 cm . longis; foliis transversis vel valde obliquis, subellipticis, apice abrupte acuminatis, basi late cordatis, ad 17 cm . longis et 8.5 cm . latis, serratis, ad apicem versus sublobatis, petiolo $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longo, stipulis mox deciduis, ellipticis, ca. 2 cm . longis, integris; pedunculis axillaribus, $5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; cymis multifloris, $10-11$ cm . diametro, bracteis deciduis, linearibus, obtusis, 5 mm . longis; pedicellis $3-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; tepalis masculinis 2 , ovatis, 7 mm . longis, integris, albis; staminibus ca. 13, subliberis, paucis, antheris oblongis, quam filamentis multo longioribus, connectivo producto, obtuso; bracteolis femineis suborbicularibus, grosse dentatis, quam ovario subduplo minoribus; tepalis femineis 3 , exterioribus ellipticis, obtusis, interiori minore, lineari-obovato; stylis 3, bipartitis, stigmatibus spiraliter cinctis; ovario ellipsoideo, placentis bilamellatis; capsula inaequaliter 3 -alata, alis triangulari-ovatis. Tab. 10.

MAGDALENA: in a tangled mass of lycopods, mosses, ferns and orchids in dense damp forest on mountains just east of Manaure, alt. 1900 m., Apr. 15, 1944, O. Haught 4088 (G; US, type).

By its two staminate and three pistillate tepals, few anthers with obtuse connective, small bracteoles and bifid styles it is easy to differentiate between B. magdalenae and B. cryptocarpa which it resembles superficially.
34. Begonia (? ) cryptocarp Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., e fragmentis solum cognita, verisimiliter suffruticosa, glabra; caule flexuoso; foliis valde obliquis, subellipticis, abrupte acuminatis, basi profunde cordatis, $10-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $4-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, penninerviis, minute, denticulatis, rotundatis vel leviter angulatis, petiolo ad 35 mm . longo, stipulis deciduis, lanceolatis, cuspidatis, 12 mm . longis, mem-


TAB. 10
kranaceis, brunneis; pedunculo unico viso, axillari, 45 mm . longo; inflorescentia laxe cymosa, 8 cm . diametro, ca. 16-flora, bracteis deciduis; pedicellis ad 13 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4, integris, exterioribus late ovatis, acutis, basi cordatis, 9 mm . longis, interioribus oblongis, multo brevioribus; staminibus submultis, antheris linearibus, quam filamentis longioribus, connectivo producto, optuso; bracteolis femineis orbicularibus, dentatis, $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, ovarium omnino occuitantibus; tepalis femineis 2 , late ovatis, subacutis, 8 mm . longis, integris, albis; stylis 3 , multifidis, ramis spiraliter tortis, undique papilliferis, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula ellipsoidea, inaequaliter 3-alata, alis triangulo-ovatis. Tab. 10.

MAGDALENA: Santa Marta, alt. 1350 m., (fl. Aug. 22, fr. Dec. 18) 1898-1901, H. H. Smith 1266 (G, type; NY, isotype).

Begonia cryptocarpa, so named because the fruit is hidden by the dentate bracteoles, also differs strikingly from the species closest to it in having four staminate and two pistillate tepals as well as multifid styles.
35. Begonia (\$ Begoniastrum) fagopyroides Knuth \& Bouché. Herbaceous, 3-12 dm. high, glabrous; stem flexuous; leaves transversely ovate, acuminate, cordate at base, palmately 7 -8-nerved, 7-11 cm . long, 2.5-5 cm. wide, remotely and obscurely denticulate, petiole 1-4 cm. long, stipules deciduous, ovate-oblong, entire, 12-16 mm. long; peduncles axillary, $2.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; cymes unisexual, many-flowered, dichotomous, globose, to 25 cm . in diameter; bracts deciduous, very minute; pedicels $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers white; staminate tepals 2, suborbicular, 4-5 mm. long; stamens on a low torus, anthers oblong, slightly shorter than the filaments, the connective produced, obtuse; pistillate bracteoles like the bracts; pistillate tepals 5 , the 3 inner ovate. $4-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the outer much smaller; styles 3 , bifid, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous, placentae bilamellate, ovuliferous on all sides; capsules ovoid, acute, unequally 3 -winged, the largest wing sublunate and slightly broader above, to 11 mm . wide, the other 2 narrowly marginiform.-Venezuela.-Kunth \& Bouché in Ind. Pl. Hort. Berol. (1848) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 289(1864). Moschkowitzia fagopyroides Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin. Akad. 127(1854); idem, Begon. 77, t. 8, fig. A. (1855). Begonia fagopyroides $\beta$ Fendleriana A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 289(1864). Tab. 10.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: dense woods, Pica-Pica Valley, above Tapatá (north of Toledo), alt. 2100-2400 m., Mar. 1-5, 1927, Killip is Smith 20213 (G, NY, staminate flowers only).

Note: The details on plate 10 were drawn after Klotzsch (Begon. t. 8, fig. A) ; the habit from a photograph (CM neg. 20887).

Although only fragmentary Colombian material of this species has been examined by us, it seemed to agree well with the photograph of authentic material, cultivated in Berlin. The species is distinctive because of its two outer pistillate tepals which are smaller than the inner. In addition it may be separated from $B$. magdalenae by its five pistillate tepals (rather than three) and minute, promptly deciduous bracteoles. From B. cryptocarpa, B. fagopyroides also differs in the character of its bracteoles as well as in its bifid styles and staminate flowers with only two tepals.
36. Begonia (S Scheidweileria) parviflora Poepp. \& Endl. Shrub or small tree, $2-4 \mathrm{~m}$. high with simple trunk $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter at base, slightly branched near the top, branches spreading, 6 dm . long; leaves palmate, subsymmetrical, shallowly or deeply 5-7-lobed with the lobes sometimes subdivided, cordate at base, 2-6 dm. wide, finely serrate, subglabrous and bright green above, gray green beneath and ferruginous-tomentose especially on the nerves, bearing cystoliths, petioles up to 6 dm . long, ferruginous-tomentose, stipules lanceolate, cieciduous; inflorescences axillary and terminal, cymose, each composed of hundreds of flowers, 2-6 dm. broad, white (! Cuatrecasas), peduncle 3-6 dm. long, ridged and grooved, rather densely hirtellous, siout, white (! Cuatrecasas); bracts minute, deciduous; pedicels slender, lax, hirtellous, up to 2 cm . long; staminate tepals 4, elliptic or obovate, subequal, $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; stamens on a low torus, anthers elliptic; pistillate tepals 5 , elliptic, remotely serrate-setose, 4 mm . long; styles 3, 2-branched, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous, ovary densely hirtellous becoming less so in age; placentae simple; capsule $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide including the obcordate wings which are cuneate and ciliate becoming essentially glabrous at maturity, decurrent at base.-Ecuador, Perú, Bolivia.-Poepp. \& Endl. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. i. 7, t. 12(1835); A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 370(1864); Smith \& Schubert in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. pt. 4. no. 1. 195(1941); in Rev. Univ. Cuzco, xxxiii. no. 87, 83, t. 14, đig. 46-48(1945). Begonia miciantha Steud. Nomencl. ed. 2, i. 194(1840). Scheidweileria parvi-
flora Kl. Begon. 59(1855). Begonia myriantha Britton in Bull. Torrey Club xviii. 35(1891). Tab. 11.

VALLE: dense forest, Río Digua Valley, between La Elsa and Río Blanco, alt. 880 m., Apr. 2-5, 1939, Killip 34817 (G); woods, right bank near mouth of Río Digua, La Elsa, ravine La Cristalina, Cordillera Occidental. west slope, alt. 1000-1150 m. Sept. 30, 1943, Cuatrecasas 15229 (G).

CAUCA: clearing along Río San Joaquín, alt. 1100-1300 m., June 29-30, 1922, Killip 7837 (G, NY).

NARIÑO: Prov. de Túquerres, 2200 m . alt., Triana (13) (Herb. Nac. Colomb.).

BOYACA: thick forest, region of Mt. Chapón, extreme western part of Boyacá, northwest of Bogotá, alt. 1350 m., June 3, 1932, Lawrance 155 (NY).

PUTUMAYO: thickets, Cordillera Oriental, east slope, Sucre, banks of the Río Hacha, alt. 1000 m., April 7, 1940, Cuatrecasas 9207 (US) ; moist woods in the valley of Río Mulato, alt. 570-600 m., Dec. 26, 1940, Cuatrecasas 11311 (US).

NO LOCALITY: 1851-7, Triana s. $n$. (US).
With its very large lobate leaves, much branched inflorescences, tiny flowers and capsules with obcordate wings B. parviflora is easily distinguished.
37. Begonia (\$ Gobenia) Maurandiae A. DC. Branching vine; branches slender, soon glabrous; leaves symmetrical, ovate, acuminate, at base from obtuse to cordate with overlapping or short peltate lobes, $1-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 8-nerved, laxly dentate, sparsely pilose above and chiefly on the nerves beneath, becoming glabrous, petioles 6-50 mm . long, pilose, stipules persistent, ovate, entire, brown, 2-6 mm. long; cymes axillary, few-flowered, pubescent, peduncles $1-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, bracts persistent, elliptic, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pedicels very slender, 5-20 mm . long, glabrescent to abundantly spreading pilose; staminate tepals 4 , dentate, rose, the outer broadly elliptic, moderately long-pilose without, 8-15 mm. long, the inner shorter and obovate, stamens essentially sessile on a column, connective not produced; pistillate bracts suborbicular, nearly equaling the ovary, dentate; pistillate tepals $5-7$, ovate, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles $3-4$, very short, bifid-capitate, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule subglobose, its wings from subequal to very unequal in the same plant, all or the smaller
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ones marginiform or angled above, the largest in its extreme phase strongly falcate-ascending and up to 15 mm . wide.-Ecuador.-A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 4, xi. 119(1859) ; A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 279(1864) ; Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 146(1894) ; Irmscher, op. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 582(1925). Begonia hederacea A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 4, xi. 120(1859) ; in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 280(1864). Tab. 11.

ANTIOQUIA: Támesis, Feb. 1, 1928, R. A. Toro 973 (NY, sterile). CUNDINAMARCA: Prov. de Bogotá, on Monte del Colegio and Tenasucá, alt. 2300 m., June, 1853, Triana (11) (Herb. Nac. Colomb.). CALDAS: trailing on tree-trunks, Caldas, alt. $2500 \mathrm{~m} ., 1918$, Dawe 765 (NY, US) ; stream-margin, edge of forest, "Pinares", above Salento, Cordillera Central, alt. 2700-2900 m., Aug. 2-10, 1922, Pennell 9339 (G, NY, US) ; same, in ćlearing, Pennell 9356 (G, NY, US) ; mossy forest, Cerro Tatamá, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 3200-3400 m., Sept. 8-10, 1922, Pennell 10506 (NY, sterile).

CAUCA: Alto de Pesares, Popayán, alt. 2500-2800 m., Lehmann 5934 (CM) ; forest, "Canaan", Mt. Puracé, Cordillera Central, alt. 31003300 m., June 11-13, 1922, Pennell \& Killip 6685 (G, NY); Cordillera Central, east slope near the crest, valley of Río San Marcos, between Jardín and San Rafael, alt. 2700-2900 m., July 25, 1943, Cuatrecasas 14782 (G).

NARIN̄O: Altaquer, Barbacoas, alt. 1000 m., Triana 3039 (Kew, type, Killip neg. 620; NY, isotype of $B$. hederacea; CM, frag.) ; Río Cuaiquer, May, 1876, André 3315 (NY).

PUTUMAYO: El Encano, Laguna de la Cocha, Páramo "El Tákano", alt. 3300 m., Aug. 10, 11, 1939, H. García B. 7795 (US).

Specimens with peltate leaves but otherwise identical:
CALDAS: woods, La Línea, Quindío, alt. 3200 m., Feb., 1937, Dryander 2134 (US).

VALLE: forest, La Cumbre, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 1800-2100 m., May 21-25, 1922, Pennell \& Killip 5881 (G, NY).

CAUCA: ravine in forest, "San José", San Antonio, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2400-2700 m., July 1, 1922, Pennell 7612 (G, NY, US); forest, "La Gallera", Micay Valley, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 22002600 m., July 1, 1922, Killip 8009 (NY) ; Cordillera Occidental, Cerro de Munchique, west slope, at the mouth of Río Tambito, alt. 20002500 m., July 16, 1939, Pérez \& Cuatrecasas 6221 (US).

From B. tropaeolifolia, with which it is most often confused, B. Maurandiae may be distinguished by its dentate (rather than denticulate to subentire) leaves, its dentate (rather than entire) tepals and its few-flowered inflorescence. Some specimens of B. Maurandiae bear peltate leaves, but since the other characters are typical there is no question of their affinity. The capsule-wings are very variable throughout the species but there is no basis for even varietal distinction.
38. Begonia (Gobenia) tropaeolifolia A. DC. Branching vine, branches slender, cortex pale gray, friable, glabrous; leaves symmetrical, peltate, ovate to suborbicular, short-acuminate, rounded at base, $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 8-nerved, obscurely dentate, glabrous, petioles $3-7$ cm . long, stipules persistent, ovate, entire, brown, $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; cymes axillary, many-flowered, peduncles 4 cm . long, bracts persistent, elliptic, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate tepals 4 , entire, the outer suborbicular, the inner shorter and obovate; anthers globose, on a short column; pistillate flowers unknown.-Ecuador.-A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 4, xi. 120 (1859); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, $280(1864)$. Tab. 11.

CUNDINAMARCA: "In Novae Granatae, prov. Bogotá, Monte del Colegio (Triana! n. 3637)" (BM, type-collection; G, phot. (Killip neg. no. 621); near Salto de Tequendama, July, 1919, Bro. Ariste-Joseph A. 406 (US) ; forest, Salto de Tequendama, alt. 2500 m ., Oct. 1-3, 1938, Cuatrecasas 68 (US); same locality, dependent over partially shaded bank, Mar. 8, 1939, Killip 34034 (US); thickets, between El Salto and El Colegio, alt. 2100-2200 m., Mar. 3, 1940, Cuatrecasas 8203 (US).

Although often confused with Begonia Maurandiae, B. tropaeolifolia may be distinguished by its always peltate and obscurely dentate leaves, many-flowered inflorescence and entire staminate tepals. From both B. Maurandiae and B. spadiciflora, B. tropaeolifolia may be separated by its cortex which is friable and lustrous gray rather than red and by its very short staminal column. The two latter species are known only from staminate material. Pistillate flowers and mature capsules are needed to determine the true relationships of both species.
39. Begonia (§ Gobenia) spadiciflora Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., e fragmento plantae masculinae solum cognita, ramosa, verisimiliter scandens; ramis flexuosis, gracilibus, cortice persistente, ru-
bro-brunneo, dense piloso, internodiis $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; foliis peltatis, cvatis, abrupte acuminatis, basi late rotundatis, ad 9.5 cm . longis et 5 cm . latis, $7-8$-nervatis, obsolete denticulatis, utrinque sparse pilosis, petiolis 4-9 cm . longis, sparse pilosis, stipulis persistentibus, ellipticis, integris, 6 mm . longis; pedunculo unico cognito axillari, 9 cm . longo; inflorescentia multiflora, laxe cymosa, ca. 2 dm . diametro, glabra, bracteis persistentibus, ellipticis, truncatis, integris, infimis 5 mm . longis; pedicellis 3-4 mm. longis; tepalis masculinis 4, integris, exterioribus ovatis, obtusis, 8 mm . longis, interioribus obovatis, paulo brevioribus; staminibus multis in columna ad 10 mm . alta insertis, antheris ellipticis, sessilibus, connectivo haud producto. Tab. 11.

ANTIOQUIA: Salgar, July 20, 1928, R. A. Toro 1259 (NY, type).
B. spadiciflora is so named because of the spadix-like androecium, the outstanding character of the species. The reddish-brown pilose bark and long peduncle also distinguish the species clarly from B. tropaeolifolia.
40. Begonia (Sydristyles) novo-granatae A. DC. Species known only from fragments, probably herbaceous and subacaulescent; leaves peltate, ovate, obtuse, thin, 8 cm . long, 6 cm . wide, crenulate-setiferous, sparsely pubescent on both sides, petiole pilose, 5 cm . long; peduncle 25 cm . long tomentose, cyme few-flowered, bracts ellipticoblong, obtuse or subacute, persistently pilose, the lowest 8 mm . long; staminate tepals 8 mm . long, pilosulous, the outer broadly obovate, the inner narrower ( 3 in number in the only flower seen by A. De Candolle but he believed 2 the normal number), anthers obovoid, the connective not produced; pistillate tepals 5, oblong-obovate; styles much branched with the stigmatic tissue capitate at the branch-ends, placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule rounded at base, $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, pilosulous, wings unequal, the largest obtuse, subascending.-Endemic.-A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 402, 403(1864). Begonia rosacea Linden Cat. 15(1860) nomen nudum; Linden ex A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 330 (1864) non Putz. (1857).

Note: The type-material is probably in Geneva; it was cultivated by Linden and is said to have come from Colombia. We have not examined it. Material of this species, one of the few of which we have seen no representative material, is much desired.
41. Begonia (s Begoniastrum) cucullata Willd. Perennial succulent herb, caulescent, stoloniferous, glabrous, $0.1-1 \mathrm{~m}$. high; leaves slightiy asymmetric, straight, broadly ovate with the base truncate and usually inrolled, obtuse, palminerved, to 10 cm . long and 9 cm . wide, crenate-serrate, ciliate, petiole $25-50 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, stipules persistent, oblong or elliptic, obtuse, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, green; cymes axillary, few-flowered, peduncle $3-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; bracts persistent, ovate, serrulate, 5 mm . long; pedicels slender; staminate tepals 4 , the outer ones suborbicular, 8-13 mm, long, the inner smaller and narrowly obovate; stamens free, numerous, filaments short, anthers elliptic; pistillate tepals 4-5, obovate, styles 3, 2-parted, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous, placentae bilamellate, ovuliferous throughout; capsule unequally 3 -winged, the largest wing triangular, subacute, seeds acute in the typical variety.-Guiana, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, Argentina. Widely cultivated-Willd. Sp. Pl. iv. 414(1805) ; Kı. Begon. $27(1855)$; A. DC. in Mart. Fl. Bras. iv. pt. 1, 341(1861); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 292(1864); Herter, Fl. Uruguayensis, 89(1930); Smith \& Schubert in Darwiniana, v. 101, fig. 11(1941). Begonia spatulata Lodd. Bot. Cab. ii. t. 107(1817) . B. paludicola C. DC. in Bull. Soc. Bot. Genève, sér. 2, vi. 125, fig. 7(1914).--Tab. 12.

CALDAS: meadow, "La Palmita", west of Armenia, Cauca Valley, alt. 1000-1200 m., July 23, 1922, Pennell, Killip \& Hazen 8618 (G, NY); swale, west of Armenia, Cauca Valley, alt. 1100-1400 m., July 24-25, 1922, Pennell, Killip \& Hazen 8647 (Gं).

CHOCO: between Carmen de Atrato and Tutunendo (road from Eolivar (Antioquia) to Quibdó, km. 52 to 70), valley of the upper Atrato, alt. 500-600 m., July 25, 26, 1944, H. García B. 11119 (US).

VALLE: woods, km. 17 on highway beteen Cali and Buenaventura, alt. 2000 m., Oct. 1937, E. Dryander 2016 (US); open hillside, Río Digua Valley, between La Elsa and Río Blanco, alt. 900 m., Apr. 2-5, 1939, Killip 34820 (G) ; woods, mouth of Río Digua, right bank, La Elsa, ravine La Cristalina, west slope Cordillera Occidental, alt. 1000-1150 m., Sept. 30, 1943, Cuatrecasas 15221 (G).

Begonia cucullata may be readily distinguished by its succulent rabit and large persistent stipules. The species is rather variable and much cultivated. The illustration of the habit is taken from Loddiges.
42. Begonia (? ) tiliaefolia C. DC. Herbaceous, caulescent, usually prostrate at base and rooting at the nodes; leaves slightly asym-
metric, straight or nearly so, broadly ovate, abruptly acuminate, short-cordate at base, $8-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $6-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, palmi-penninerved, crenate, sparsely ferruginous-pilose on the nerves above and densely on the nerves beneath, thin, petioles $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, pilose, stipules soon déciduous, triangular-oblong, acute, 9 mm . long, glabrous; peduncles axillary, very short to absent, cymes very fewflowered; bracts and bracteoles persistent, ample and concealing most of the flowers, ca. 10 mm . long, crenulate, membranaceous; staminate tepals (only incomplete material seen), entire; stamens few, free, anthers oblong, much longer than the filaments; pistillate tepals 5 , oblong, obtuse, 9 mm . long; styles 3 , bifid, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral;; ovary broadly ovoid or subglobose, placentae bilamellate, ovuliferous on all sides; capsule-wings unequal, spreading, narrowly triangular, attached to the upper edge of the cap-sule.-Ecuador.-C. DC. in Bull. Herb. Boiss, ser. 2, viii. 324(1908).Tab. 12.

CAUCA: Cordillera Occidental, forest, "La Gallera", Micay Valley, alt. 1400-1500 m., June 29-30, 1922, Killip 7697 (G, NY, US).

Begonia tiliaefolia may be distinguished by its large persistent bracteoles and the unique position of the capsule-wings; it may also be separated from $B$. cucullata by its somewhat pubescent, abruptly acuminate leaves.

The attachment of the capsule-wings to the upper portion of the capsule suggests relationship in section Casparya; however, the wings are unequal (in section Casparya the horns are equal); also the styles in B. tiliaefolia are bifid rather than multifid as in section Casparya.

There is great need for staminate material for study. On only one specimen have we seen a staminate flower and that was in too poor condition to count tepals, although a few stamens were present.
43. Begonia (\$ Pilderia) buddleiaefolia A. DC. Herbaceous, succulent, $0.3-0.9 \mathrm{~m}$. high; stem slender, erect, branched, the apices as well as the petioles and peduncles ferruginous-tomentose; leaves nearly straight, but strongly inequilateral, narrowly lanceolate or oblanceolate, acuminate, rounded at base with one side attached much lower than the other, $9-26 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide, finely double-serrate, ciliate, thin, often somewhat bullate, hirsute above except on the veins, hirsute beneath especially on the veins, petioles $6-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. long,
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stipules lanceolate, 8 mm . long; inflorescences terminal, laxly racemose or paniculate, few-flowered, $7-12 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; bracts lanceolate to ovate, much shorter than the pedicels; staminate tepals 2 or 4, the outer broadly ovate or elliptic, $2-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, hirsute, the inner oblong, much shorter; stamens on a low torus or column, anthers elliptic, shorter than the filaments; pistillate bracteoles broadly ovate or elliptic, ciliate-serrate, nearly equaling the ovary; pistillate tepals 4-5, elliptic, 4-7 mm. long, glabrous or pubescent; styles 3, slenderly bifid, stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, placentae simple; capsule cordate at base, its wings unequal, ovate, obtuse.-Venezuela, Ecuador,Perú.-Ann. Sci. Nat. Sér. 4, xi. 141(1859); A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 380 (1864); Irmscher in Diels in Bibl. Bot. cxvi. 111 (1937) ; Smith \& Schubert in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. pt. 4, no. 1, 186(1941). Pilderia urticaefolia Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin Akad. 127 (1854); idem, Begon. 66, t. 7, fig. A (1855), non Begonia urticaefolia Smith (1790). B. urticaefolia Hort. ex Kl. loc. cit., nomen in synon.; (Kl.) Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 144 (1894); Irmscher, op. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 581(1925). B. lartanaefolia A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. Sér. 4, xi. 141(1859). B. Pilderia A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 380(1864).-Tab. 12.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: Prov. Ocaña, alt. 2100-2400 m. Schlim 578 (Gen; CM, G, phot.; type of Begonia lantanaefolia); Cordillera Oriental, region of Sarare, mouth of Río Margua between Junin and Córdoba, alt. 920-1240 m., Nov. 22, 1941, Cuatrecasas 13391 (US); woods, Cordillera Oriental, region of Sarare, between Alto del Loro and Alto de Santa Inés, alt. 1800-2200 m., Oct. 18-21, 1941, Cuatrecasas, Schultes \& E. Smith 12413 (US).

ANTIOQUIA: moist roadside, between Valdivia and Yarumal, alt. 2200 m., Feb. 1942, Metcalf \& Cuatrecasas 30127 (U Cal).

CUNDINAMARCA-META: moist bank, shade, Pipiral to Susumuco, southeast of Quetame, alt. 1100-1300 m., Sept. 5, 1917, Pennell 1721 (NY).

CAQUETA: Cordillera Oriental, east slope, Quebrada del Rio Hacha, open woods in Cajón de Pulido, alt. 1700 m., Mar. 26, 1940, Cuatrecasas 8749 (Herb. Nac. Colomb.).

The laxly racemose or paniculate inflorescence of $B$. buddleiaejolia is in sharp contrast to that of most associated species which cio not have long central axes. From B. Rossmanniae, B. buddleiaejolia may be separated by its pubescence, its serrate pistillate brac-
teoles which are not accrescent (undulate or entire and accrescent in B. Rossmanniae) and its 5 pistillate tepals ( 2 in B. Rossmanniae).
44. Begonia (Suizopavonia) Rossmanniae A. DC. Scandent shrub, essentially glabrous; leaves straight only slightly asymmetric, elliptic, acuminate, rounded at base, 6-10.5 cm. long, 1.9-4 cm. wide, penninerved, serrate, ciliate, petioles $4-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. long stipules deciduous, oblong, acuminate, $3-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; inflorescence terminal, thyrsoid, 4-12-flowered, peduncle $1.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; lower bracts large, obovate or oblanceolate, membranaceous, red, fugacious; pedicels 15 mm . long; flowers rose to brick red; staminate tepals 2 , broadly ovate, 6-8 mm . long; stamens free, anthers elliptic, obtuse, shorter than the filaments, the connective produced; pistillate bracteoles $12-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, persistent, accrescent, suborbicular, cordate at base, entire to somewhat lobed, exceeding the ovary; pistillate tepals 2. broadly ovate to suborbicular; styles 3 , 2 -parted, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous, placentae bilamellate; capsule oroicular, with 2 very small wings, the third subascending, deltoid to ovate. acute to obtuse, $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.-A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 333(1864); Warb. in Engler \& Prantl, Pflanzenfam. iii. Abt. 6a, 147, fig. 44b (1894); Irmscher, op. cit. ed. 2, xxi. 584, fig 254b (1925) ; Smith \& Schubert in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii. pt. 4, no. 1, 199(1941). Begonia repens Ruiz ex Kl., nomen in synon., non Vell. nec Lam. Rossnaannia repens Kl., Begon. 99, t. 9, fig. A (1855).-Colombia and Perú.-Tab. 12.

PUTUMAYO: forest, Umbria, $0^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, alt. 325 m ., OctNov., 1930. Klug 1674 (CM, G) ; same locality, Dec., 1930, Klug 1869 (CM, G) ; moist woods of Rio San Miguel, between the ravines of Sipenae and Churruyaco, alt. $400 \mathrm{~m} .$, Dec. 11, 1940, Cuatrecasas 10955 (US).

Begonia Rossmanniae may be distinguished from B. Cuatrecasana by its two staminate and two pistillate tepals (rather than four staminate and three pistillate) and by its inflorescence which appears to have a simple axis with only secondary lateral cymes rather than a highly ramified cymose form.
45. Begonia (? Ruizopavonia) extensa Smith \& Schubert. spec. nov., e fragmentis solum cognita, herbacea, ramosa, glabra; foliis fere rectis sed valde asymmetricis, oblongo-ellipticis, breviter acuminatis, basi leviter cordatis, $8-11 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $3.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, crenato-
serratis, petiolis $5-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, stipulis elliptico-ovatis, acutis, ca. 1 cm . longis; cymis terminalibus et axillaribus, ca. 7-16-floris; pedunculis gracilibus, $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, 5 mm . longis, integris; pedicellis gracillimis, 15 mm . vel ultra longis; tepalis masculinis 2 , anguste ovatis, 16 mm . longis, integris, carnosis, rubris; staminibus multis, toro pulvinato insertis, filamentis brevibus, antheris oblongis, connectivo ultra loculos perlonge extenso; floribus femineis capsulisque ignotis.-Tab. 13.

BOYACA: overhanging streams in thick forest, region of Mt. Chapón, extreme western part of Dept. Boyacá, northwest of Bogotá, alt. 900 m ., June 8, 1932, Lawrence 187 (Mo, type; G, NY, isotypes).

This distinctive species is known from only one staminate collection. It is unique because of the very elongate anther connective which exceeds the loculi in length. Pistillate material is much desired.
46. Begonia (§ Ruizopavonia) Cuatrecasana, spec. nov., frutex scandens; caule glabro, foliis penninervatis paulo asymmetricis, anguste obovatis vel ovato-ellipticis, apice acuminatis, basi cuneatis, undique glabris cum venis primariis subtus prominentibus et venis secundariis reticulatis et prominentibus undique, marginibus serratis in tertia parte superiore reliquis integris, $12.5-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $4.3-5.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; petiolis $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; stipulis oblongo-acuminatis vel ovato-acuminatis, $10-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, 3-6 mm. latis, glabris; pedunculo glabro, lineato, ca. 4.5 cm . longo; inflorescentia cymosa; bracteis primariis persistentibus, stipulis simillimis sed minoribus, bracteis secundariis ovatis vel suborbicularibus, acuminatis, ciliatis ad 14 mm . longis et 5 mm . latis, ad apicem decrescentibus; pedicellis masculinis glabris, $11-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, tepalis 4 , duobus exterioribus ovato-acuminatis, basi cordatis, $20-28 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, $12-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, ciuobus interioribus elliptico-obtusis, $13-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, $3.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis, staminibus 11-14, antheris ellipticis, filamentis subaequantibus, connectivo producto, obtuso, bracteolis femineis 2 , parte superiore serrulata ovario tegentibus, ca. 15 mm . longis, 11 mm . latis; tepalis 3. duobus exterioribus magnis ovatisque, acutis, 2.5 cm . longis, 1.8 cm . latis, interiori anguste ovato, obtuso, 15 mm . longo, 6 mm . lato; ovario 3-loculato, stylis 3, bifidis, ramis linearibus, stigmatibus spiraliter cinctis; capsula glabra, ala maxima deltoidea, acuta, ascendente, ca. 1.5 cm . longa, 1 cm . lata, duobus alteris minoribus.-Tab. 13.

VALLE: Cordillera Occidental, western slopes; left bank of Río Sanjuniquin, La Laguna, woods, alt. 1250-1400 m., Dec. 10-20, 1943, José Cuatrecasas 15553 (G, type).

We are happy to name this species for Dr. José Cuatrecasas of Cali, Colombia, whose collections are making such a great contribution to the flora of that country. Begonia Cuatrecasana has its ciosest alliance with $B$. consobrina and B. cymbalifera which also have four staminate tepals and three pistillate tepals. These three species, as well as B. Rossmanniae, all have conspicuous bracteoles at the base of and often covering the ovary. Our new species is distinguished from the others by the great size of the outer tepals of both the staminate and pistillate flowers, by the small number of stamens and by the much longer and more slender style-branches, as well as by the thick leaves with prominent reticulate venation, and presumably, when living, by its very striking orange-red flowers.
47. Begonia (\& Ruizopavonia) xylopoda Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., perennis, humilis sed suffruticosa, basi valde lignosa, 3-6 dm. alta; caule erecto, geniculato, pilosiusculo, nodis tumidis; foliis rectis, paulo asymmetricis, elliptico-lanceolatis, acuminatis, basi rotundatis, ad 7 cm . longis, paulo ultra 2 cm . latis, laxe serratis, supra glabris, subtus ad nervos adpresse pubescentibus, petiolis 10 mm . longis, pubescentibus, stipulis oblongis, integris, $10-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, subglabris; pedunculo axillari, gracillimo, $20-35 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo; inflorescentia laxe cymosa, pauciflora, pubescenti, bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, apice serrulatis; pedicellis $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; tepalis masculinis 2 , orbicularibus, integris, 6 mm . longis, albis; staminibus multis, antheris oblongis quam filamentis multo longioribus, connnectivo producto; bracteolis femineis 3, late ellipticis, serrulatis; tepalis femineis 4 , perjuvenilibus solum cognitis, valde inaequalibus, suborbicularibus vel reniformibus, integris; stylis 3, multiramosis; ovario late ellipsoideo, placentis bilamellatis; capsulis inaequaliter trialatis, alis ovatis, obtusis, maxima 12 mm . lata.-Tab. 13.

PUTUMAYO: eastern slope of the Cordillera, wet forest between Mocoa and Sachamates, alt. 600-700 m., Dec. 29, 1940, Cuatrecasas 11400 (US, type).

Its comparatively small, straight leaves, 3 bracteoles at the base of each ovary, 4 very unequal pistillate tepals and much branched styles distinguish Begonia xylopoda from its closest relatives in the section.
48. Begonia (§ Ruizopavonia) cymbalifera, Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea, caule glabro, lineato; foliis penninervatis, rectis, ovatis, apice acuminatis, basi obtusis vel truncatis, dimidia parte paulo vel non lobata, superficiebus glabris, venis primariis secundariisque subtus pilosis, $10-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, marginibus magis minusve serrato-denticulatis, dentibus setiferis, petiolis rugosis, glabris, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, stipulis mox deciduis, tantum cicatrices visis; gemmis axillaribus hamulis prominentibus formandis, spinis similibus; inflorescentia pedunculata; cymosa, pedunculo glabro, bracteis primariis mox deciduis, tantum cicatrices visis; floribus pedicellatis, pedicellis masculinis 6-9 mm. longis, sparsissime pilosis, tepalis 4, duobus exterioribus foris pilosis, ciliatis, subreniformibus vel suborbicularibus vel paene obovatis, in basi quidpiam cordatis, ca. 5 mm . longis, 9 mm . latis, tepalis interioribus glabris, anguste ellipticis apice obtusis et basi contractis, ca. 5 mm . longis, 2 mm . latis; staminibus numerosis, liberis, antheris ellipticis, connectivo producto; bracteolis femineis ovatis vel orbicularibus laceratisque, 2 vel 3 , plerumque cum duobus aequalibus et uno profunde bifido et pergrandi; tepalis femineis 3 , duobus exterioribus aequalibus, suborbicularibus, ciliatis et pilosis foris, ca. 5 mm . longis et 7 mm . latis, interiori glabro, elliptico vel anguste obovato, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. longo, $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. lato; ovario 3 -loculato, stylis 3 , multo ramosis; capsula glabra vel sparsissime pilosa, ca. 10 mm . alta, alis saepe ciliatis, ala maxima 10 mm . longa, subquadrata, duabus alis ceteris subaequalibus, marginiformi-bus.-Tab. 13.

PUTUMAYO: eastern slope of the Cordillera, between Sachamates and San Francisco de Sibundoy, alt. 1600-1750 m., Dec. 30, 1940, Cuatrecasas 11518 (US, type); same locality and date, Cuatrecasas 11470 (US, cotype).

This species is most closely related to Begonia consobrina from which it may be distinguished by its penninerved, scarcely if at all, basally lobate leaves (those of $B$. consobrina being pennipalmate), by its pilose, ciliate outer tepals of both the staminate and pistillate flowers and the often ciliate capsule-wings.

Var, recta Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., a var. typica differt foliis paene rectis, su’osymmetricis, stylis paulo ramosis.

CALDAS: Cordillera Occidental, Río San Rafael, below Cerro Tatamá, alt. 2200-2500 m., Sept. 7-11, 1922, Pennell 10328 (NY, type; phot. G).
(To be continued).


TAB. 13

## BOTANICA

## THE BEGONIACEAE OF COLOMBIA (*)

By Lyman B. Smith and Bernice G. Schubert<br>Gray Herbarium of Harvard University, Cambridge. Massachusetts.

49. Begonia (S Ruizopavonia) Dugandiana Smith \& Schúbert, spec. nov., herba, ad 7 dm . alta (! Killip \& Varela), caule ramoso, lineato, glabro, stipulis ellipticis, integris, acutis, plus minusve pubescentibus, ad 8 mm . longis, mox deciduis. hamulis axillaribus spinis similibus saepe prominentibus; petiolis hirsutis, ad 1.5 cm . longis; laminis oblique ellipticis, acuminatis, basi oblique truncatis vel leviter cordatis, $8-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $3.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; supra glabris, subtus hirsutis, remote serratis; pedunculis axillaribus lineatis hirsutisque, inflorescentiis cymosis, multifloris; pedicellis tenuibus, hirsutis; bracteis deciduis; pedicellis masculinis $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, tepalis 4, albis, exterioribus orbicularibus vel obovatis, ad 5.5 mm . longis, 5 mm . latis, interioribus ellipticis, ad 3.5 mm . longis, 1.5 mm . latis, staminibus ca. 20, liberis, antheris oblongis, obtusis, connectivo producto; floribus femineis bracteolatis, cum pedicellis fructiferis ad 20 mm . longis, bracteolis longe persistentibus, orbicularibus, serrulato-ciliatis, saepe retusis, ad 5.5 mm . diametro, basi cordatis; tepalis stylisque non visis; Flacentis bifidis undique ovuliferis; capsulis trilocularibus, ca. 5 mm . altis, alis inaequalibus, ala maxima adscendente dolabriforme, 10-15 mm . alta, 8-10 mm. lata, obtusa, duobus ceteris marginiformibus; seminibus obtusis, striatis. Tab. 14.

TOLIMA: along Quindio Highway, between Cajamarca and summit of Divide, alt. 2400 m ., Mar. 27, 28, 1939, Killip \& Varela 34536 (US, type; G, isotype).

[^118]B. Dugandiana belongs to that group of species in section Ruizopavonia whose members possess conspicuous and usually persistent bracteoles. Although its general aspect is that of B. alnifolia, its relationship is with the group of species having four staminate tepals (the pistillate are presumably three). From B. Cuatrecasana, B. Dugandiana differs in having more oblique leaves and smaller flowers with orbicular outer tepals; from B. cymbalifera it differs in having its capsule-wings only remotely and minutely, if at all, ciliate; from B. consobrina (of Ecuador) in its penninerved leaves and pubescent lower leaf-surfaces. From all three species B. Dugandiana is distinct in having its largest capsule-wing exceeding the body of the capsule both above and below.

It is very pleasant indeed, to name this species for Dr. Armando Dugand, Director of the Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Bogotá, Colombia, and editor of Caldasia, who has shown great interest in our studies and whose coöperation has been most helpful in their prosecution.
50. Begonia ( $\$$ Ruizopavonia) alnifolia A. DC. Scandent to erect, essentially glabrous shrub; stem usually not more than 5 mm . thick, ribbed, internodes of main axis $5.5-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; leaves unequally ellip-tic-obovate, $11-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $4-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, the obtuse subcordate base usually with broader half only slightly produced on the petiole, the broad apex abruptly narrowed into a short acumination, surfaces smooth or foveolate, margin denticulate-setiferous; petioles very short; stipules caducous, oblong, obtuse, $14-16 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; inflorescence cymose, cymes chiefly axillary, exceeded by the leaves; bracts caducous, obtuse, entire, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; staminate pedicels slender. ca. 7 mm . long, staminate tepals 2 , orbicular, 4 mm . broad; anthers oblong, exceeding the filaments in length, connective produced beyond the loculi, ovate-acute; pistillate bracteoles appressed to the ovary. ovate, dentate, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, tepals 2 , orbicular; ovary glabrous, with bifid styles, the branches divided and spiraling, 4 -winged, 1 wing larger.-Endemic.-A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 4, xi. 133 (1859); in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 331 (1864). Tab. 14.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: Ocaña, Schlim 561 (Gen, type; Kew, isotype; G, photos (of type, CM neg. no. 24177; of isotype, Killip neg. no. 502) ).


TAB. 14

Begonia alnifolia is known to us only from photographs of the type- and isotype-material, which is staminate. The description of the pistillate flowers is taken from DeCandolle's diagnosis in the Prociromus.
51. Begonia (§ Pritzelia) glabra Aubl. Succulent herb to 8 m . high (! Haught); stem scandent, rooting at the nodes, glabrous; leaves nearly symmetrical, broadly ovate, $4-15 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, short-acuminate, rounded or barely cordate at base, sparsely serrate and ciliate to entire, often undulate, glabrous, petioles $1-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, stipules persistent, ovate-oblong, mucronate, entire, $10-24 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, membranaceous, red-brown; peduncles axillary, $6-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; cymes manyflowered, diffuse; bracts persistent, minute; pedicels slender, 6-16 mm . long; staminate tepals 4 , white, the outer broadly obovate, 3-8 mm . long, the inner narrowly elliptic; stamens free, few, anthers oblong; pistillate tepals $5,4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3,2 -parted, completely covered by stigmatic papillae, placentae simple; capsule 6-9 mm. long, largest wing oblong to triangular, $10-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the other two marginiform, very narrow.-Southern Mexico and the West Indies to Guiana, Bolivia and Perú.-Aubl. Pl. Guian. ii. 916, t. 349 (1775) . B. scandens Sw. Prod. 86 (1788); Irmscher in Engl. \& Prantl. Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 582 (1925). B. elliptica HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. vii. 180, t. 641 (1825) . B. lucida Otto \& Dietr. in Allg. Gartenz. xvi. 162 (1848). B. Moritziana Kunth \& Bouché in Ind. Sem. Hort. Berol. 16 (1848). B. physalifolia Liebm. in Kjoeb. Vidensk. Meddell. 1852. 19 (1853). Wageneria deflexa Kl. Begon. 113 (1855). W. lucida Kl. op. cit. 114. W. montana Kl. op. cit. 115. W. glabra Kl. loc. cit. Tab. 14.

MAGDALENA: along stream, above Manaure, alt. ca. 700 m ., Jan. 1-23, 1944, Haught 3984 (G).

NORTE DE SANTANDER: Cordillera Oriental, región of Sarare, mouth of Río Margua between Junín and Córdoba, alt. 920-1240 m., Nov. 22, 1941, Cuatrecasas 13379 (US).

CHOCO: edge of forest along Quebrada Jella, Bahía Solano, near Ciudad Mutis, alt. 0-75 m., Feb. 21-23, 1939, Killip \& H. García B. 33595 (G).

VALLE: forest, Cisneros, alt. 300-500 m., Sept. 21, 1922, Killip 11456 (G, NY) ; woods, west slope of Cordillera Occidental, left bank
of mouth of Río Digua, Piedra de Moler, alt. 900-1180 m., Aug. 19-28, 1943, Cuatrecasas 15084 (G).

PUTUMAYO: forest, Umbría, alt. 325 m ., Oct.-Nov., 1930, Klug 1661 (G, NY, US) ; moist thicket by Río San Miguel, Quebrada de la Hormiga, alt. 290 m., Dec. 17, 1940, Cuatrecasas 11129 (US).

Var. amplifolia (A. DC.) Smith \& Schubert. Leaves up to 20 cm . long and 15 cm . wide, undulate to entire; largest wing of the ovary often ascending.-Endemic.-Smith \& Schubert in Bot. Ser. Field Mus. xiii. 191 (1941). B. scandens $\beta$ amplifolia A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 362 (1864).

MAGDALENA: occasional in damp forest, near Don Amo Viejo, Santa Marta, alt. 600 m., Jan. 14, 1899, H. H. Smith 1267 (G, Mo, NY, US)

CAUCA: forest, "La Gallera", Micay Valley, alt. 1400-1500 m., June 29-30, 1922, Killip 7722 (G, NY, US) ; same, alt. 1800-1900 m., July 1, 1922, Killip 7916 (G, NY, US).

Begonia glabra, a common species throughout its range, is easily recognizable by its almost symmetrical leaves. In works of older authors the species was most frequently called $B$. scandens.

There are many transitions between the typical variety and var. amplifolia, but the extremes are sufficiently marked to warrant maintaining the latter entity.
52. Begonia ( Begoniastrum) guaduensis HBK. Suffruticose, scandent to 2 m . high (! Cuatrecasas). glabrous; stem erect, branched; leaves straight, asymmetric, pinnate-nerved, lanceolate to ellipticlanceolate, acute or acuminate, unequal at base with the adaxial side cuneate and the other rounded and decurrent, $5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. long. 16-40 mm . wide, doubly crenate-serrate, ciliate, membranaceous, glabrous, petiole 2-8 mm. long, stipules deciduous or subpersistent, oblongovate, acuminate-setiferous, $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, scarious, glabrous; peduncles terminal and axillary, $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; cymes dichotomous, few-many-flowered, 6-15 cm. in diameter, glabrous; bracts deciduous or subpersistent, ovate, obtuse, acute or mucronate, 4-7 mm. long, scarious; pedicels $4-19 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers pinkinsh white; staminate tepals 4 , the outer elliptic-ovate, entire or dentate at apex, $8-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the inner obovate, distinctly shorter; stamens free, very numerous. anthers oblong, mostly shorter than the filaments, connective
produced, apiculate or obtuse; pistillate bracteoles deciduous, ovate to narrowly obovate, 6 mm . long, entire; pistillate tepals 5 , subequal, with the two outer slightly smaller, elliptic, acute, $6-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3 , bifid nearly to base, each main branch with $3-4$ short spiral branches; placentae bifid, ovuliferous throughout; capsule ellipsoid, the largest wing triangular, horizontal or slightly ascending, 15 mm . wide, the other two marginiform.-Panama; Venezuela.-HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. vii. 178 (1825) . Irmscher in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 582 (1925). B. Ottonis Walpers, Repert. Bot. Syst. ii. 212 (1843). B. Walpersii Heynh. Nom. ii. 63 (1846)). Donaldia Ottonis Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin Akad. 127 (1854) ; idem, Begon. 79 (1855). B. laurina Hort. ex A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 292 (1864), nomen in synon. B. serratifolia C. DC. in Smithsonian Misc. Coll. lxix. no. 12, 7 (1919). Tab. 14.

MAGDALENA: saxicolous or terrestrial in damp forest, Agua Dulce Road, Santa Marta, alt. 360 m., Jan., 1899, H. H. Smith 1263 (G, NY) ; on sandstone cliffs in forest about 7 km . east of Codazzi, alt. ca. 300 m., Oct. 21, 1943, Haught 3767 (G).

NORTE DE SANTANDER: Cordillera Oriental, region of Sarare, Quebrada de la China (tributary of Rio Cubugón), between Santa Librada and El Caraño, alt. 600-830 m., Nov. 11, 1941, Cuatrecasas 12983, 12988 (US) ; Cordillera Oriental, region of Sarare, basin of Río Margua between Campohermoso and Río Negro, alt. 1200-1500 m., Nov. 8, 1941, Cuatrecasas 12901 (US); Cordillera Oriental, region of Sarare, mouth of Río Chitagá between Chorro Colorado and Bata, alt. 1300 m., Oct. 14-17, 1941, Cuatrecasas, Schultes \& E. Smith 12231 (US).

ANTIOQUIA: near Samaná, Jan. 4, 1946, L. Uribe 1145 (Col).
CUNDINAMARCA: "prope Guaduas, inter Honda et Santa Fe de Bogotá, alt. 590 hex.", Humboldt \& Bonpland (Paris, type, not seen; CM, fragment) ; (mixed with Impatiens), thickets and coffee groves, vicinity of San Bernardo to Sasaima, alt. 1600-1800 m., June 23, 1940, Cuatrecasas 9619a (G, US); Estación San Bernardo, between Sasaima and Albán, alt. 1700-1800 m., Aug. 2-5, 1945, Dugand \& Jaramillo 3945 (US).

META: Los Llanos, Villavicencio toward El Parrao, alt. 500 m., Oct. 10, 1938, Cuatrecasas 4643 (US).

VAUPES: thicket, Río Guayabero, alt. 240 m., Nov. 8, 1939, Cuatrecasas 7545 (US).

The following appears to be a large-flowered form:

BOYACA: forest fronts, region of M. Chapón, 100 miles nw. of Bogotá, alt. 900 m., Aug. 6, 1932, Lawrance 391 (G, Mo, NY).

The material of this species from Panama was named B. serratifolia, but we have not been able to discover any significant characters by which to distinguish it from the South American collections.

Var. Andreana (Sprague) Smith \& Schubert, comb. nov. Leaves acute; stamen-connectives apiculate; largest capsule-wing obtuse and horizontal.-Endemic.-B. Andreana Sprague in Trans. Proc. Bot. Soc. Edinb. xxii. 433 (1905).

META: Villavicencio, 1898-99, Sprague 133 (US, isotype); common on road to Villavicencio, alt. $\pm 800 \mathrm{~m}$., Dec. 12, 1938, Haught 2453 (G).

Study of isotypic material of B. Andreana from the United States National Herbarium shows its very close affinity to B. guaduensis. The chief differences are noted in our diagnosis. One fragmentary specimen, Cuatrecasas 9619 a, has the leaves of var. Andreana and the fruit of the typical variety (so far as we can tell from its immature condition); Haught 2453 agrees very well with Sprague's isotype.
53. Begonia (Segoniastrum) Barrigae Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., suffruticosa, verisimiliter grandis, ramosa; ramis juvenilibus puberulis; foliis rectis, asymmetricis, lanceolatis, acuminatis, basi valde dimidiatis, $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, ad 2 cm . latis, serratis ciliatisque, supra glabris, subtus ad nervos puberulis petiolis 4-6 mm. longis, puberulis, stipulis persistentibus, ovatis, acuminatis, 8 mm . longis, integris; pedunculis verisimiliter terminalibus, 4 cm . longis, puberulis; inflorescentia corymbosa, multiflora, ca. 16 cm . diametro, glabra; bracteis persistentibus, lanceolatis, acuminatis, 3 mm . longis, serrulatis, hyalinis; pedicellis gracillimis, ad 12 mm . longis; tepalis masculinis 4 , exterioribus suborbicularibus, 5 mm . longis, apice serrulatis, interioribus ellipticis, parvis; staminibus multis, antheris suborbicularibus quam filamentis multo brevioribus, connectivo producto obtuso; bracteolis femineis parvis. bracteis similibus; tepalis femineis 5, paulo inaequalibus, ovatis, ad 7 mm . longis, serrulato-ciliatis; stylis 3 , bifidis, stigmatibus linearibus, spiraliter tortis, placentis bilamellatis, undique ovuliferis; capsula ellipsoidea, inaequaliter alata. alis triangulo-ovatis. Tab. 15.

CUNDINAMARCA: Nocaima, Hacienda Tobia, alt. 850 m., Jan. 15-20, 1942, H. Garcia-Barriga 10577 (US, type); grassy bank, "Guayabetal", southeast of Quetame, alt. 1300-1500 m., Sept. 5, 1917, Pennell 1749 (NY).

From B. guaduensis, B. Barrigae may be distinguished by its much smaller staminate flowers with suborbicular rather than ellipticovate outer tepals and suborbicular rather than oblong anthers; by its serrulate-ciliate pistillate tepals and by its much narrower cap-sule-wings. From B. Holtonis, B. Barrigae also differs in the shape of the outer staminate tepals, and in the pistillate tepals which in $B$. Holtonis are narrowly obovate and entire.
54. Begonia ( Meionanthera) Holtonis A. DC. Fruticose or herbaceous, $12-15 \mathrm{dm}$. high, glabrous; leaves straight, slightly asymmetric, penninerved, elliptic, abruptly acuminate, dimidiate at base, $4-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 2.5-4.5 cm. wide, crenate-serrate, ciliate, petiole $2-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, stipules deciduous, linear-lanceolate, acuminate; peduncles axillary, $3.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. long; cymes dichotomous, many-flowered, $7-30 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter; bracts deciduous, elliptic to narrowly ovate, obtuse to acuminate, setiferous, over 4 mm . long; pedicels filiform, the pistillate to 15 mm . long, the staminate much shorter; staminate tepals 2 or 4, the outer broadly ovate, 3-6 mm. long, white, the inner narrowly obovate, obtuse; stamens on a low torus, numerous, anthers subglobose, much shorter than the filaments, connective not produced; pistillate bracteoles deciduous, 4 mm . long; pistillate tepals 5 , narrowly obovate, 5-8 mm. long, white; styles 3, bifid, branches spiral, covered with stigmatic papillae, placentae simple to bilamellate (intermediate in the type), ovuliferous throughout; capsule ellipsoid, very unequally 3 -winged, the largest wing triangular-ovate, 1 cm . wide, the other 2 narrowly marginiform.-Endemic.-A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 4, xi. 141 (1859). Irmscher in Engl. \& Prantl. Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 582 (1925) . ? B. umbrata A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 396 (1864). Tab. 15.

BOLIVAR: forest, Cascada Chorrón, south of Antizales, alt. 15001800 m., Feb. 25, 1918, Pennell 4427 (NY).

ANTIOQUIA: San Antonio de Pereira, Dec. 1937, Daniel 1374 (CM); Monte San Félix, Sept. 1940, Daniel 2326 (US).

CUNDINAMARCA: woods, Icononzo, alt. 1400-1800 m., Dec. 1-4. 1917, Pennell 2865 (NY).

TOLIMA: Prov. de Mariquita: Azufral del Quindío, alt. 2000 m., Jan. 1853, Triana (8) (Col); forest, "La Virginia", Libano, alt. 12001500 m., Dec. 22, 1917, Pennell 3284 (G, NY, US) ; Cordillera Central: lorest, "Buenavista" to "Azufral", old Quindio trail, alt. 1800-2300 m., Aug. 3, 1922, Killip \& Hazen 9599 (G, NY) ; Río Coello, new Quindío trail, alt. 1000-1500 m., Aug. 7, 1922, Hazen 9648 (NY); Ibagué, alt. ca. 1100 m., July 7, 1939, Pérez \& Cuatrecasas 5732 (US).

CALDAS: Cordillera Central: moist soil near river, Río Quindío, above Armenia, alt. 1300-1500 m., July 25, 1922, Pennell, Killip \& Hazen 8718 (NY) ; edge of forest, Río Santa Rita, Salento, alt. 1600-1800 m., July 29, 1922, Killip \& Hazen 8972 (G, NY, US).

HUILA: forest, Cordillera Oriental, east of Neiva, alt. 1800-2300 m., Aug. 1-8, 1917, Rusby \& Pennell 576 (G, Mo, NY, US). 872 and 873 (NY).

VALLE: Cordillera Occidental: edge of forest, La Cumbre, alt. 1800-2,100 m., May 21-25, 1922, Pennel \& Killip 5883 (G. NY) ; Dagua, "Queremal", Jan. 20, 1935, E. Pérez-Arbeláez 3095 (Col, US) ; woods, Cordillera Occidental, western slope, basin or Rio Sanquininí, left bank, La Laguna, alt. 1250-1400 m., Dec. 10-20, 1943, Cuatrecasas 15694 (G).

BOYACA: in shade, side of precipice facing south, region of Mt. Chapón, extreme western Boyacá, alt. 1020 m., June 14, 1932, Lawrance 221 (G, Mo, NY).

DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: Colombia, without further locality, Holton 725 (Gen. type; G. isotype).

The small orbicular anthers, long slender filaments and elongate pistillate tepals serve to make $B$. Holtonis easily recognizable from its relatives.

Var. macrophylla Smith \& Schubert var. nov., foliis ad 11 cm . longis et 5 cm . latis; bracteis amplis, floribus juvenilibus omnino occultantibus.

CAUCA: Cordillera Occidental: woodland, Rio Ortega to "El Ramal", alt. 1900-2200 m.. July 2, 1922, Pennell \& Killip 8073 (NY, type).

The following specimen is probably best cited here too:
CAUCA: around Tacueyó, Río Palo Valley, Huila group, central Cordillera, alt. 1800 m., Jan. 1906, H. Pittier 1029 (US).

This variety may be separated from the typical by its larger leaves and broader, persistent bracts.
55. Regonia (? §) stenocardia Smith \& Schubert spec. nov.. e fragmento solum cognita, verisimiliter herbacea et perennis, glabra; ramis gracilibus, geniculatis; foliis rectis vel subrectis, valde asymmetricis, anguste ovatis, acutis, basi valde inaequaliter cordatis, ad 8.5 cm . longis et 4.3 cm . latis, sublobatis, serratis, ciliatis, petiolis ad 2 cm . longis, stipulis persistentibus, oblongis, setoso-apiculatis, integris, 11 mm . longis, tenuibus, brunneis; pedunculis axillaribus, gracillimis, $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis; inflorescentia laxe pauciflora, bracteis deciduis, ellipticis, integris. 4 mm . longis; pedicellis $8-16 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis; tepalis masculinis 4 , integris, roseis, exterioribus late ovatis, 10 mm . longis, interioribus oblongis, haud brevioribus; staminibus multis, in columnam insertis, antheris ellipsoideis, quam filamentis brevioribus; bracteolis femineis nullis; tepalis femineis 5, ellipticis, subaequalibus, integris; stylis 3 , basi connatis, apice lunulato-bilobis; ovario late ellipsoideo, placentis bilamellatis (?) ; capsula perjuvenili solum cognita, inaequaliter trialata, ala maxima subtriangulari. Tab. 15.

CHOCO: Truandó Falls, (Atrato River), Jan. 1858, Schott 1 (CM. type).

Begonia stenocardia differs from B. Holtonis, in addition to having persistent rather than deciduous bracts and stipules, by its much larger staminate tepals and anthers, its broader pistillate tepals and its styles which are bilobate only at the apex.

From B. microphylla, B. stenocardia is differentiated by its smooth branches, its short stamen-column and its barely produced anther-connective as well as by its styles.
56. Begonia (Sepsia) microphylla A. DC. Fruticose, 1-3 m. high, much branched; the ultimate branches densely papillose, densely and persistently foliate; leaves straight, slightly asymmetric, obovateoblong, broadly acute or obtuse, dimidiate at base, 8-10 (rarely to 15) mm . long, coarsely crenate-serrate, ciliate, dark green above, finely white-spotted beneath, petiole very short, stipules persistent, lanceolate, setiferous-acuminate, 4-6 mm . long, 1-nerved, membranaceous, brown; peduncles axillary, $6-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, almost capillary; staminate inflorescences 2-7-flowered, the pistillate 2 -flowered; bracts persistent, ovate, like the stipules but shorter; pedicels capillary, 4-10 mm. long; flowers white; staminate tepals 4 , the outer ovate or elliptic, obtuse, $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the inner oblong, shorter; stamens on an elongate column, anthers ellipsoid, connective well produced, acute; pistil-


TAB. 15
late bracteoles like the bracts, nearly equaling the ovary at anthesis; pistillate tepals $5,5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3 or 4 , bifid, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous; placentae simple; capsule broadly ovoid. $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long excluding the wings, unequally 3 -winged, the largest wing ovate or lunate, $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, the other 2 margini-form.-Venezuela.-A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 375 (1864), non Willd. ex Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin Akad. 123 (1854), nomen in synom., Irmscher in Engl. \& Prantl. Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 581 (1925). Lepsia microphylla Kl. Begon. 62 (1855), non L. microphylla Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin Akad. 123 (1854). L. foliosa Kl. Begon. (1855) quoad synon. p. 62 et t. 5, fig. A.-Tab. 15.

SANTANDER: edge of forest, Río Suratá valley, above Suratá, alt. 2000-2300 m., Jan. 5-6, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 16702 (G, NY, US) ; same, dense forest, Jan. 5-6, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 21159 (G, US); dense forest, vicinity of La Baja, alt. 3000 m., Jan. 14-31, 1927, Killip \& A.C. Smith 18339 (G, NY, US), 18355 (G, NY, US) ; forest, vicinity of Charta, alt. 2600 m., Feb., 1-11, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 18843 (G, NY, US).

Begonia microphylla is easily recognizable even in sterile condition by its densely papillose branches.
57. Begonia (S Lepsia) foliosa HBK. Fruticose, 1-2.5 m. high, much branched. glabrous; the ultimate branches smooth; leaves tardily deciduous. straight, slightly asymmetric, obovate-oblong, acute, dimidiate-cuneate at base, 16-35 mm. long, 6-16 mm. wide, coarsely crenate-serrate, ciliate, green above, paler beneath with fine white spots, petiole to 3 mm . long, stipules persistent, lanceolate, setiferousacuminate, 4-6 mm. long, 3-5-nerved, membranaceous, brown; peduncles axillary, $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, almost capillary; inflorescences 1-2-flowered; bracts persistent, ovate, like the stipules but shorter; pedicels subcapillary, $14-18 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers white; staminate tepals 4 , the cuter broadly ovate, acute, 7 mm . long, the inner oblong, obtuse, shorter; stamens short-connate, anthers linear, mostly longer than the filaments, connective produced, obtuse; pistillate bracteoles like the bracts, at the base of the ovary; pistillate tepals $5,4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3, bifid, the stigmatic tissue linear, spiral, continuous; placentae simple; capsule ellipsoid, $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long excluding the wings, unequally 3 -winged, all the wings triangular, acute or subacute, the largest 12-15 mm. wide.-Endemic, as to typical variety.-HBK. Nov.

Gen. \& Sp. Pl. vii. 183, t. 642 (1825) ; Irmscher in Engl. \& Prantl, Nat. Pflanzenfam. ed. 2, xxi. 581 (1925). B. microphylla Willd. ex Kl. in Monatsb. Berlin Akad. 123 (1854), nomen in synon. Lepsia foliosa Kl. Begon. 62 (1855). Tab. 16.

MAGDALENA: on a log, damp forest slope by a stream, Las Nubes, alt. 1350 m., Dec. 18, 1898, H. H. Smith 1270 (NY, var.?, only staminate flowers).

NORTE DE SANTANDER: thickets, Cordillera Oriental, east slope, Pamplona, Quebrada de Cariongo, alt. 2500 m., July 26, 1940, Cuatrecasas \& H. Garcia B. 10259 (G).

SANTANDER: wooded banks of Rio de la Baja, below La Baja, Eastern Cordillera, alt. 2200-2300 m., Jan. 26, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 18299 (G, NY, US) ; forest, vicinity of Charta, Eastern Cordillera, alt. 2000 m., Feb. 1-11, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 19017 (US).

DEPARTMENT UNKNOWN: Humboldt \& Bonpland (Paris, type; CM, frag.).

In this study we are maintaining five varieties of Begonia foliosa besides the typical. There is a certain amount of instability in the morphological characters, but the existing tendencies seem to be correlated with the geographical ranges of the entities and therefore. we consider segregation into varieties the most practical and logical way to deal with the mass of material here considered, in order to show the relationships as well as the distinctions between collections.

The typical variety is shown as illustrated in the original publication of the species. For the other varieties representative portions of characteristic material are illustrated. In the original diagnosis $B$. foliosa is described with a " 5 -phyllus" pistillate flower; in the plate, with the exception of fig. 1 which shows a flower with 5 tepals, all the other "pistillate" flowers, i. e. those surmounting the capsules, have 4 tepals and like the flower in fig. 1 have stamens but no styles! We assume that the plate was not drawn directly from nature.

## Key to the Varieties of Begonia foliosa.

1. Inflorescence 1-2-flowered: peduncles capillary. mostly less than 0.5 mm . thick; anthers linear to oblong, filaments elongate.
2. Wings of the capsule all acute or subacute; bracteoles borne at the base of the capsule
B. foliosa (typical).
3. Wings of the capsule or at least the smaller ones rounded.
4. Bracteoles borne at the base of the capsule; capsule $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long excluding the wings; leaves acute ................ Var. rotundata.
5. Bracteoles borne below the base of the capsule.

Leaves broadly acute; capsule $6-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. long excluding the wings
Var. Putzeysiana. Leaves acuminate; capsule $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$. long excluding the wings

Var. australis.

1. Inflorescence usually more than 2 -flowered; peduncles more than 0.5 mm . thick; anthers ellipsoid; filaments short.

Stipules imbricate in tufts on short shoots; leaves not more than 2 cm . wide; branches usually straight. ............................................ Var. miniata. Stipules not imbricate in tufts; leaves more than 3 cm . wide; branches geniculate

Var. amplifolia.
Var. rotundata Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., bracteolis femineis basem capsulae tegentibus; capsula alis exceptis $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longa, alis rotundatis. Tab. 17.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: thickets, Cordillera Oriental, eastern slope, Pamplona, Quebrada de Cariongo, alt. 2500 m., July 26, 1940, Cuatrecasas \& H. Garcia B. 10249 (US).

SANTANDER: open hillside, vicinity of California, Eastern Corcillera, alt. 2100 m., Jan. 11-27, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 16761 (G, type; NY, US, isotype) ; thicket along stream, vicinity of Charta, Eastern Cordillera, alt. 2000-2600 m., Feb. 1-11, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 19221 (G, NY, US).

The following specimen is doubtfully referred here:
SANTANDER: woods, vicinity of California, alt. 2000 m ., Jan. 11-27, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 17015 (G, NY, US), staminate flowers only.

The chief differences between typical Begonia foliosa and its variety rotundata are in the capsules; the former with the largest wing ascending as well as acute, the latter with the largest wing horizontal and obtuse.

Var. Putzeysiana (A. DC.) Smith \& Schubert comb. nov. Leaves broadly acute, $16-47 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, $8-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide; bracteoles attached below the base of the capsule; capsule $6-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. long without the wings, wings rounded.-Venezuela.-B. Putzeysiana A. DC. in Ann. Sci. Nat. sér. 4, xi. 139 (1859). Tab. 17.

NORTE DE SANTANDER: thickets along stream, road from Pamplona to Toledo, crossing the divide between Río La Teja (Maracaibo
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drainage) and Río Mesme (Orinoco drainage), alt. 2500-2800 m., Feb. 28, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 19817 (G, NY, US) ; woods, vicinity of Toledo, alt. 1700-1900 m., Mar. 3-11, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 20090 (G, NY, US).

We have examined the type-photograph of Begonia Putzeysiana (CM neg. 24205) and a fragment of the type (from Venezuela). The Colombian material is found only in the province adjacent to Venezuela.

Var. australis Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., foliis lanceolatis, acuminatis vel raro acutis, $20-40 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, $6-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. latis; bracteolis e basi capsulae plus minusve remotis; capsulis alis exceptis 6-8 (raro ad 10) mm . longis, alis rotundatis.-Endemic.-? B. elegans HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. vii. 182 (1825). Tab. 17.

ANTIOQUIA: Dauro, April 6, 1928, R. A. Toro 1135 (NY) ; La Sierra, 18 kilometers north of Medellín, alt. ca. 2000 m., Jan. 1931, Archer 1516 (US, type); wet places, La Ceja, Dec. 1939, Daniel 2159 (G, US).

CUNDINAMARCA: woods, Salto de Tequendama, alt. ca. 2500 m., Mar. 8, 1939, Killip 33976 (G, staminate material placed here on the basis of leaf-form); climbing herb, San Francisco, Cordillera Oriental, Finca "El Carmero", El Tablazo between Subachoque and San Francisco, alt. 1900-2100 m., Jan. 26, 1944, H. Garcia B. 11026 (US, no fruit, variety not certain); west slope: Quebrada Sosiego, below Tequendama, alt. 2300 m., July 15, 1944, Dugand 3558 (G).

TOLIMA: forest "La Virginia", Líbano, alt. 1200-1500 m., Dec. 22, 1917, Pennell 3283 (NY, US) ; forest, "Buenavista" to "Azufral", old Quindío trail, Cordillera Central, alt. 2300 m., Aug. 3, 1922, Killip \& Hazen 9601 (NY) ; dense forest, along Quindío Highway, between Cajamarca and summit of Divide, alt. 3000-3100 m., Mar. 27-28, 1939, Killip \& Varela 34650 (US).

CALDAS: forest above Salento, Cordillera Central, July 25-31, 1922, Pennell 8939 (G, NY) ; region of Quindío, between Circasia and Pereira, near Alto de "El Roble", alt. 2200 m., Aug. 16, 1941, Dugand \& Jaramillo 2987 (US) ; Los Alpes, alt. 2700 m., Aug. 1944, E. Dryander 2780 (US).

VALLE: Juntas, Río Dagua, April 1, 1876, André 2503 (NY); dense forest, San Antonio, west of Cali, near summit of Cordillera Occidental, alt. 1900-2350 m., Feb. 26-Mar. 2, 1939, Killip \& H. García B. 33938
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(G) ; road to the sea, Alto Mercedes, alt. 2200 m., Sept. 1939, Dryander 2413 (G).

CAUCA: Cuesta de Tocotá, road from Buenaventura to Cali, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 1500-1900 m., Dec., 1905, H. Pittier 723 (US); moist bank, near stream, San Antonio to Río Ortega, Cordillera Occidental, alt. 2100-2200 m., July 2, 1922, Pennell \& Killip 8035 (G, NY, US) .

This variety is somewhat difficult to define because of the degree of variation often found on a single plant. Some specimens have leaves broader at the apex (like var. Putzeysiana) and small capsules, while others have acuminate leaves and the bracteole close to the base of the capsule (as in var. rotundata).

Begonia elegans HBK. may belong here; a fragment of the type, from the Chicago Natural History Museum, consisting of twigs, stipule and acuminate leaf, agrees well enough with our variety, as does the description of the filiform peduncle, and the type-locality ("prope La Vega de San Lorenzo, inter Popayán et Almaguer, alt. 1140 hex."). Certain identification is not possible however, without fruit.

Var. miniata (Planch.) Smith \& Schubert, comb. nov. Suffruticose, erect, 6-12 dm. high, glabrous, very variable in the size of the parts; stem stout, succulent; lateral branches numerous, divergent, densely foliate, 6-12 cm. long; leaves distichous, straight, slightly asymmetric, obovate-oblong or elliptic-oblong, acute, dimidiate at base, $2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, 1-2 cm. wide, crenate-serrate, ciliate, dark green above, paler beneath, often tinged with red especially along the margins, petiole usually about 2 mm . long, rarely up to 8 mm . (! A. DC.), stipules marcescent, lanceolate, setose-cuspidate, 4-10 mm. long, 1-nerved, entire, membranaceous, brown; peduncles axillary, 2-4.5 cm. long; cymes dichotomous, 2-30-flowered, flat, generally pendent, to 10 cm . in diameter; bracts persistent, like the stipules but usually roseate; pedicels slender, $5-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers red or rose; staminate tepals 4 , the outer broadly ovate, $6-16 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, the inner narrowly obovate, shorter; stamens numerous, anthers ellipsoid, shorter than the filaments, the connective produced; pistillate bracteoles like the bracts; pistillate tepals 5, subequal, elliptic, obtuse or setosecuspidate, $6-13 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; styles 3 , bifid, placentae bifid ? (very difficult to see in dried material), ovuliferous throughout; capsule broadly ellipsoid, the largest wing ovate, obtuse, 1 cm . wide, the other 2
submarginiform, but slightly wider and angled above.-Venezuela; widely cultivated.-B. miniata Planch. in Fl. Serres, viii. 105, t. 787 (1853). Tittelbachia miniata Kl. Begon. 106 (1855). B. fuchsioides $\beta$ miniata (Planch.) A. DC. in DC. Prod. xv. pt. 1, 291 (1864). B. fuchsioides Hook. in Bot. Mag. lxxiii. t. 4281 (1847). Tittelbachia fuchsioides Kl. loc. cit. et t. 10, fig. A. Tab. 17.

MAGDALENA: on ground or logs, Las Nubes, Santa Marta, alt. 1350 m., Dec. 15, 1898, H. H. Smith 1269 (G, NY, US).

NORTE DE SANTANDER: edge of woods, between Mutiscua and Pamplona, alt. 2700 m., Feb. 23, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 19763 (G, NY, US) ; thickets along stream, road from Pamplona to Toledo, crossing the divide between Río La Teja (Maracaibo drainage) and Río Mesme (Orinoco drainage), alt. 2500-2800 m., Feb. 28, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 19819 (G, NY, US) ; edge of woods, eastern slope of Paramo del Hatico, en route from Toledo to Pamplona, alt. 1800 m ., Mar. 12, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 20552 (G, NY, US).

SANTANDER: thickets along river, vicinity of Suratá, alt. ca. 1700 m., Jan. 4-10, 1927, Killip \& A. C. Smith 16805 (G, NY, US).

CUNDINAMARCA: locality illegible, probably near Bogotá, alt. 2000 m., 1851-57, Triana s. n. (NY) ; Salto de Tequendama, Dec. 8-11, 1852, Holton 724 (NY) ; Prov. de Bogotá: Monte de Tenasucá, alt. 2000 m., Jan. 1854, Triana (2) (Col) ; El Colegio, Feb. 1916. Dawe 48 (US); Salto de Tequendama, alt. 2400 m., Sept. 15, 1917, Pennell 1964 (NY); same, alt. 2400-2500 m., Oct. 28, 1917, Pennell 2653 (G, Mo, NY, US); same, forest, alt. 2500 m. , Dec. 1-3, 1938, Cuatrecasas 102 (US); La Florida, Dec. 1932, Pérez 2301 (US); Dintel (Facatativá-La Vega), alt. 2300-2700 m., June 4, 1939, Pérez \& Cuatrecasas 5300 (US); above Tequendama Falls (on Sabana) about 15 miles from Bogotá, Feb. 27, 1945, Schiefer \& Ewan 484 (G).

In the material cited above the stigmatic tissue is linear and spiral about the style-branches, not completely covering them as described in the type.

Although B. fuchsioides Hooker was described six years before B. miniata Planchon we are using miniata to designate this variety since it was the first epithet to be used in the varietal rank and as such has priority over earlier published specific epithets (cf. Int. Rules Bot. Nomencl. art. 55, p. 16 (1935) ).

Variety miniata can be easily distinguished from var. amplifolia, the only other variety with a many-flowered inflorescence, by its non-
cordate, narrower leaves and fewer, not imbricated stipules, as well as its usually straight branches.
A. DeCandolle considered this plant not only a distinct species, B. fuchsioides, but placed it in a separate section. However, we have found his character of simple or bilamellate placentae very unreliable here and elsewhere, and Professor Roger Gauthier of the University of Montreal has kindly shown us further evidence from his morphological researches.

Var. amplifolia Smith \& Schubert, var. nov., ramis geniculatis; foliis ultra 3 cm . latis, stipulis haud imbricatis; pedunculis ultra 0.5 mm . diametro; inflorescentiis submultifloris; antheris ellipsoideis, filamentis brevibus. Tab. 17.

ANTIOQUIA: Fredonia, April 14, 1927, R. A. Toro 57 (NY, type; G, phot.).

This variety is outstanding because of its very broad leaves. The characters by which it may be distinguished from var. miniata are noted under that variety. In habit this variety approaches closely $B e-$ gonia Holtonis, but its persistent stipules and elliptic anthers establish its affinity with $B$. foliosa.

## Key to Colombian species of Begonia.

1. Plants scapose, tuberous or having a stout rhizome with suppressed internodes and the leaves and inflorescence clustered at its apex (base not known in $B$. hydrophylloides).
2. Staminate tepals 4-8, pistillate tepals $5-6$; plants tuberous so far as known; leaves usually straight and subsymmetric, broadly ovate to suborbicular, never peltate.
3. Leaves acuminate-lobed; bracts deciduous; styles bifid. 1. B. hydrophylloides.
4. Leaves not lobed.
5. Tepals rose or white $10-17 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, staminate tepals $4-8$; styles multifid (not known in B. macra).
6. Petioles much longer than the blades; tepals white, the staminate 5, pistillate 6.
7. B. quetamensis.
8. Petioles about as long as the blades.
9. Tepals rose, the staminate 6, the pistillate 5. ..... 3. B. macra.
10. Tepals white, the staminate $7-8$, the pistillate $6-7$. ...4. B. rosacea.

[^119]2. Staminate tepals 2, pistillate tepals 2 or rarely 3 ; rhizome with suppressed internodes; leaves peltate or oblique with their longest nerve at about $120^{\circ}$ from the petiole; inflorescence obviously cymose; bracts deciduous.
7. Leaves basifixed.
8. Inflorescence, petioles and principal veins beneath the leaves fuscousvillous; leaves coarsely and sparsely dentate or entire; largest capsulewing ovate.
6. B. Lindleyana.
8. Inflorescence essentially glabrous; petioles covered with coarse reflexed scales; leaves denticulate; largest capsule-wing oblong or subdolabriform.
7. B. stigmosa.
7. Leaves peltate.
8. B. nelumbiifolia.

1. Plants with elongate leafy stems with distinct internodes.
2. Ovary and capsule turbinate, not winged but equally 3-horned from the upper part of the angles; stigmatic tissue usually covering all sides of the styles; capsule dehiscent at the angles; staminate tepals usually 4.
3. Capsule truncate without any column; horn of the capsule obtuse.
4. Horns flattened in a horizontal plane.
5. Leaves oblique; stipules deciduous
6. B. trispathulata.
7. Leaves straight; stipules persistent.
8. B. chlorolepis.
9. Horn flattened in a vertical plane; stipules tardily deciduous.
10. B. Trianae.
11. Capsule with a definite (though sometimes shart) column; horns of the capsule acute or acuminate.
12. Stipules green or red, firm. more or less persistent, oblong or subreniform, $12-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. long.
13. Leaves straight, dimidiate at base; stipules red; inflorescence racemose; bracts persistent, showy, densely imbricata; anther-connective not produced.
14. B. Killipiana.
15. Leaves oblique, cordate at base; stipules green; inflorescence cymose; bracts deciduous; anther-connective subulate-produced.
16. B. ferruginea.
17. Stipules brown or hyaline, thin, usually soon deciduous. small and inconspicuous.
18. Basal bracts forming a more or less gamophyllous involucre about the inflorescence; staminate tepals 2, fleshy, entire; pistillate tepals 5 , fimbriate.
19. B. gamolepis.
20. Basal bracts not involucrate; staminate tepals 4.
21. Tepals lacerate-serrulate at apex, narrow; ultimate branches of the staminate inflorescerce aborted making the flowers appear umbellate; pistillate inflorescence 1 -flowered. ......... 15. B. umbellata.
22. Tepals entire or else very broad.
23. Leaves strongly oblique or transverse, cordate at base, never dimidiate.
24. Inflorescence many-flowered, to 2 dm . in diameter; column of the ovary or capsule very short but distinct.
25. B. cornuta.
26. Inflorescence few-flowered; column of the ovary as long as the radius, exclusive of the horns.
27. B. toledana.
28. Leaves nearly or quite straight, usually dimidiate at base.
29. Capsule-column much shorter than the capsule-radius.
30. Plant densely ferruginous-hirsute; the outer staminate tepals very thick, forming an ovoid nut-like husk around the stamens; pistillate tepals in 2 very distinct series ................... 18. B. ursina.
31. Plant sparsely and incompletely pubescent; staminate tepals thin; pistillate tepals subsimilar, not in distinct series.
32. Staminate tepals subequal; bracteoles elliptic. 19. B. grewiaefolia.
33. Staminate tepals very unequal; bracteoles suborbicular. ................... 20. B. colombiana.
34. Capsule-column about equaling the capsule-radius.
35. Staminate tepals 12 mm . long; stamens on a 6 mm . long calumn; tepals red or rose in both sexes. 21. B. antioquensis.
36. Staminate tepals not more than 8 mm . long; staminal column much shorter or lacking.
37. Staminate inflorescences usually many-flowered; outer staminate tepals apiculate; pistillate tepals showy, 9-14 mm. long: branches diffuse.
38. B. diffusa.
39. Staminate inflorescences few-flowered; staminate tepals obtuse; pistillate tepals $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; branches strict. .......... 23. B. Urticae.
40. Ovary and capsule ovoid. ellipsoid or globose (subturbinate in B. ophiogyna, but the wings very unequal), usually bearing 3 unequal wings; stigmatic tissue usually linear and spiral about the styles; capsule dehiscent beside the wings; staminate tepals 2 or 4.
41. Annuals with soft fibrous bases; leaves never peltate.
42. Wings extending the whole length of the ovary; pistillate bracteoles shorter than the ovary at anthesis.
43. Stamens free or on a short column. the connective more or less produced; placentae often simple and bilamellate in the same capsule.
44. Capsule-wings subequal, lunate; leaves mostly straight, glabrous or subglabrous.
45. B. semiovata.
46. Capsule-wings very unequal, subdeltoid.
47. Leaves straight; plant glabrous except for a few scattered hairs on the leaves; staminate tepals 4, anther-connective much produced; pistillate tepals unequal; styles more than bifid.
48. B. subcostata.
49. Leaves oblique or transverse; plant at least partially pubescent; staminate tepals usually 2 anther-connective only slightly produced; pistillate tepals subequal; styles not more than bifid.
50. Largest capsule-wing much wider than high; anthers globose; leaves narrowly elliptic-oblong, about 3 times as long as wide.
51. B. filipes.
52. Largest capsule-wing about as high as wide; anthers ellipsoid; leaves ovate, mostly about twice as long as wide.
53. B. hirtella.
54. Stamens on a definite column, the subglobose anthers emarginate; placentae bilamellate.
55. B. microcarpa.
56. Wings along enly the upper half of the ovary; pistillate bracteoles exceedir:g and concealing the ovary at anthesis. 142. B. tiliaefolia).
57. Perennials with firm bases.
58. Leaves distinctly oblique to transverse, never peltate.
59. Peduncles very short to absent; leaves only slightly oblique. .... (42. B. tiliaefolia).
60. Peduncles $1.5-14 \mathrm{~cm}$. long.
61. Leaves entire or obscurely denticulate and very braadly cor-date-ovate (their long axis less than twice their short one); stamens on a column. the subglobose anthers emarginate....
62. B. mictocarpa.
63. Leaves either distinctly serrate or else at least twice as long as wide.
64. Leaves distinctly serrate or crenate.
65. Inner staminate tepals obc $\supset$ rdate; styles multifid.
66. B. ophiogyna.
67. Inner staminate tepals obtuse or wanting.
68. Stipules broadly ovate, persistent; capsule-wings all narrow; bracts deciduous but bracteoles persistent, ample, concealing ovary. 30. B. pastoensis.
69. Stipules lance-oblong to elliptic, deciduous.
70. Bracts persistent; pistillate bractejles ovate or narrower.
71. Bracts linear-lanceolate, entire; inflorescence many-flowered, $5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$. in diameter.
72. B. sulcata.
73. Bracts ovate, fimbriate; inflorescence fewflowered. ............... 32. B. tovarensis.
74. Bracts deciduous; pistillate bracteoles suborbicular. ..................... 33. B. magdalenae.
75. Leaves partially and very obscurely denticulate.
76. Staminate tepals 4; styles multifid; capsule ellipsoid.
77. B. cryptocarpa.
78. Staminate tepals 2 ; styles bifid; capsule ovoid acute. 35. B. fagopyroides.
79. Leaves nearly or quite straight or else peltate.
80. Leaves palmate- or peltate-nerved, usually symmetric or nearly so.
81. Plants large and stout; leaves $2-6 \mathrm{dm}$. wide; inflorescence of very many minute flowers. ............. 36. B. parviflora.
82. Plants slender; leaves much less than 2 dm . wide.
83. Stamens borne on a columr; plants suffrutescent. scandent.
84. Inflorescence few-flowered, pubescent; tepals dentate; leaves cordate or short-peltate, dentate. ..............
85. B. Maurandiae.
86. Inflorescence many-flowered; tepals entire; leaves denticulate to subentire, always peltate.
87. Cortex of even the ultimate branches pale gray, glabrous, friable; staminal column very short.
88. B. tropaeolifolia.
89. Cortex dark red-brown, densely pubescent, not friable; staminal column equaling or slightly exceeding the tepals. ............. 39. B. spadiciflora.
90. Stamens free or only on a low torus.
91. Leaves peltate, 8 cm . long. 6 cm . wide, obtuse; styles multifid. 40. B. novo-granatae.
92. Leaves basifixed.
93. Leaves obtuse, truncate at base, glabrous; stipules persistent, obtuse; capsule-wings attached the whole length of the capsule. .... 41. B. cucullata.
94. Leaves abruptly acuminate, cordate at base, partially pubescent; capsule-wings attached to the upper edge of the capsule 42. B. tiliaefolia.
95. Leaves pinnate-nerved, often strongly asymmetric at base.
96. Inflorescence laxly racemose, thyrsoid or paniculate with a central axis.
97. Pistillate tepals 4-5; pistillate bracteoles serrate; plant pubescent.
98. B. buddleiaefolia.
99. Pistillate tepals 2; pistillate bracteoles obscurely undulate or entire. very ample, accrescent; plant essentially glabrous.
100. B. Rossmanniae.
101. Inflorescence cymose or very few-flowered.
102. Connective produced into a subulus longer than the anther; staminate tepals 2.
103. B. extensa.
104. Connective only slightly produced.
105. Pistillate tepals 2-4.
106. Bracts persistent, bright red, showy; outer tepals ovate, acute. 46. B. Cuatrecasana.
107. Bracts deciduous, inconspicuous
108. Cymes laxly few-flowered; leaves lance-elliptic, only about 2 cm . wide; pistillate bracteoles broadly elliptic, serrulate. 47. B. xylopoda.
109. Cymes many-flowered; leaves $3.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide.
110. Staminate tepals 4; filaments equaling or exceeding anthers; pistillate bracteoles orbicular.
111. Peduncle glabrous; capsule-wings ciliate.
112. B. cymbalifera.
113. Peduncle hirsute; capsule-wings entire.
114. B. Dugandiana.
115. Staminate tepals 2; filaments shorter than anthers; pistillate bracteoles ovate. 50. B. alnifolia.
116. Pistillate tepals 5 .
117. Leaves nearly symmetrical, broadly ovate; petioles 1-8 cm. long. ....................................... 51. B. glabra.
118. Leaves strongly asymmetric, at least at base.
119. Styles multifid; inflorescence many-flowered; stipules and bracts tardily deciduous; anthers oblong, mostly shorter than the filaments.
120. B. guaduensis.
121. Styles only bifid.
122. Tepals serrate; inflorescence many-flowered; stipules and bracts persistent; anthers globose. .... 53. B. Barrigae.
123. Tepals entire.
124. Stipules and bracts deciduous; inflorescence many-flowered.
125. B. Holtonis.
126. Stipules and bracts persistent.
127. Leaves deeply and very asymmetrically cordate at base with one lobe much enlarged. ................. 55. B. stenocardia.
128. Leaves dimidiate at base, not over 2 cm . wide.
129. Ultimate branches densely papillose...
130. B. microphylla.
131. Ultimate branches smooth. ...........
132. B. foliosa.

## EXCLUDED OR DOUBTFUL SPECIES:

Begonia opuliflora Putz. in Fl. Serres, ser. 1, x. 71, t. 995 (1854-55). "Prov. Soto, ...... Nouvelle Grenade" which is given as the typelocality is now Coclé in Panama.

Begonia elegans HBK. Nov. Gen. \& Sp. Pl. vii. 182 (1825). Described from imperfect material. Apparently equivalent to one of the varieties of $B$. foliosa HBK., but we cannot be certain without seeing the type.

Begonia multiflora Benth. Pl. Hartweg. 185 (1845). Type unavailable; identity doubtful.

## 2. Begoniella Oliver emend. Smith \& Schubert

Caulescent, herbaceous to suffruticose. Leaves straight. Tepals usually connate for most of their length resulting in a 2- or 4-lobed
perianth in both staminate and pistillate flowers, often with a similar or undulate, shorter inner perianth also. Stamens 4-6, variously inserted. Fruit a horned capsule.-In Trans. Linn. Soc. xxviii. 513 (1873); emended by Oliver in Hook. Ic. xiv. 38 (1881).

Although our knowledge of Oliver's species is based only on his descriptions and illustrations together with a single collection of $B$. Kalbreyeri and our new species is described from but one ample specimen the specific lines in the genus Begoniella seem to be very distinct even though the relationships are unusually strong. More adequate collections are greatly desired. Additional material will do much to increase our understanding of the relationships between Be gonia and Begoniella. The transition is obviously through Begonia \& Casparya and specifically through B. Killipiana which rather strikingly resembles Begoniella Whitei.

Four species.-Endemic.

## Key to Colombian species of Begoniella.

1. Leaves asymmetric, elliptic. dimidiate; ca. $2-3$ times as long as broad.
2. Inflorescence with many distichous imbricate bracts; perianth uniseriate.
3. Inflorescence with only a pair of bracts; perianth biseriate at least in the staminate flowers.

Stamens six, anthers equal to the filaments in length. connective dilated. produced as a broad appendage beyond the loculi. ....... 2. B. libera. Stamens four, sessile or essentially so, slender, connective produced as a subulus beyond the loculi.
3. B. Kalbreyeri

1. Leaves symmetric, lance-acuminate, cuneate at base, ca. 6 times as long as broad.
2. Begoniella Whitei Oliver. Erect, herbaceous to suffruticose, 2.3-4.5 dm. high, stem papillose-setigerous; leaves straight, somewhat asymmetric, elliptic- to ovate-lanceolate, rather abruptly acuminate, the broader half of the base suborbicular, the narrower tapering to the petioles, $6-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, crenate-serrate, the teeth setiferous, appressed-pilose above, pubescent on the nerves beneath; petiole less than 1 cm . long, spreading-pubescent, stipules subpersistent, ovate-acuminate, $8-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, entire; peduncle axillary, erect; inflorescence apparently racemose, many-flowered. simple or branched, shorter than to equaling the leaves; bracts erect to spreading.

Censely imbricate, ovate-oblong, $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, mucronate; pedicels delicate, spreading-pubescent, in the staminate flowers exceeding the bracts; staminate perianth 4 -lobed, pilose toward the base without, 9 mm . high, each lobe ca. 7 mm . broad; stamens 4 , distichous on a short column, anthers almost sessile, obovate, retuse; bracteoles of the pistillate flowers up to 1 cm . long, setiferous, persistent; pistillate perianth similar to staminate, styles almost fastigiate at base, much branched and the branches many times divided above; ovary 3 -locular, placentae simple, multiovulate, capsule broadly turbinate, truncate at apex, equally 3 -horned, the horns acuminate-ascending, spreading-pubescent particularly on the angles.-Endemic.-Oliver in Trans. Linn. Soc. xxviii. 513, t. 41 (1873). Tab. 18.

CHOCO: new path through forests of the Atrato valley ca. 30 miles east of Quibdo on the Atrato river, alt. $150 \mathrm{~m} ., R$. B. White (K, type, not seen).
2. Begoniella libera Smith \& Schubert, spec. nov., herbacea caule viridi, infra purpureo, tenui, erecto, lineato, hispido; foliis rectis, paulo asymmetricis, oblongo-ellipticis, abrupte acuminatis, basi subrotundatis, basis latere ab axi decurrente, $7-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. longis, $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis, denticulatis, tuberculato-pilosis in nervo centrali et intra nervis lateralibus supra, in et intra nervis infra; petiolo brevissimo vel ad 11 mm . longo, dense hispido, stipulis persistentibus, oblongo-ellipticis, apice setiferis, obtusiusculis, $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, integris, hyalinis; pedunculis axillaribus, longe patenti-pilosis, inflorescentiis cymosis, paucifloris; bracteis stipulis similibus; pedicellis $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. longis, patentipilosis; perianthio florum masculinorum de 2 seriebus, parte exteriori campanulato, 1 cm . alto, extus setifero, 4-lobato, lobis obtusis, parte interiori ca. 4 mm . alto, 4-lobato vel 2-lobato cum lobis subliberis, retusis, staminibus 6, liberis, antheris 1 mm . longis, filamentis aequalibus, connectivo producto dilatatoque obtuso; bracteolis femineis persistentibus, oblongo-ovatis, acuminatis, ciliato-setiferis, 6 mm . longis, 2 mm . latis; perianthio florum femineorum verisimiliter uniseriato, ca. 1 cm . alto, extus setifero, 4-lobato, lobis obtusis, stylis breviter et multo ramosis; ovario 3 -loculato, placentis simplicibus, capsula turbinata, basi acuta, apice columna crasse cylindrica 12 mm . longa aucta, aequaliter 3 -cornuta, cornubus acuminatis, adscendentibus. Tab. 18.


VALLE: west slope of the Cordillera Occidental, woods, right bank of Río Digua, La Elsa, alt. 1000-1200 m., Nov. 9, 1943, Cuatrecasas 15320 (G, type).
3. Begoniella Kalbreyeri Oliver. Erect, herbaceous to suffruticose, 1.5-4.5 dm. high, stem papillose-hirsute; leaves a little asymmetric, ovate-acuminate, cuneate, the narrower half shorter and not extending so far down the petiole as the broader, $5-7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. long, rather coarsely serrate, setiferous, papillate-pubescent above and below; petioles very short, stipules linear-lanceolate, a little longer; peduncles axillary, shorter than the leaves, 1-4-flowered; bracts few, ovateoblong to lanceolate, $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; pedicels delicate, spreadingpubescent, to 7 mm . long; outer perianth 4-lobed, pilose toward base without, $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$. long, lobes ca. 4 mm . broad, inner perianth shortcampanulate, membranaceous, about equaling the stamens; stamens 4, slender, slightly broadened above, essentially free but almost sessile, connective produced beyond the loculi; pistillate bracteoles similar to bracts but a little broader, setiferous, persistent; pistillate perianth similar to staminate, the inner about equaling the much divided style-branches; ovary 3 -locular, placentae simple, capsule turbinate with a short column, equally 3 -horned, the horns ascending, spreading-pubescent throughout.-Endemic.-Oliver in Hooker, Ic. xiv. 38, t. 1352 (1881). Tab. 18.

ANTIOQUIA: Kalbreyer (K, type, not seen).
CALDAS: Andes del Quindio, Sta. Rosa de Cabal, alt. 1600 m ., Feb. 1852, J. Triana (23) (Col; G, phot.).
4. Begoniella angustifolia Oliver. Herbaceous, stem erect, slender. glabrate; leaves symmetric, lance-acuminate, ca. 6-15 cm. long and $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. broad, duplicate-serrate, mostly sparsely appressed-pilose on both surfaces and on midrib above. petioles short, ca. 2-4 mm. long, stipules persistent membranaceous, lance-acuminate, about $4-10 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and exceeding the petioles; peduncles axillary, few-flowered, shorter than the leaves, bracts distichous, spreading imbricated, lanceacuminate, about equaling the stipules, pedicels $7-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. long; flowers scarlet, outer perianth-segment of staminate flowers deeply 2 lobed, lobes suborbicular, retuse, 15 mm . long and broad, inner segment ca. 4 mm . long, more or less shallowly 4 -lobed, stamens 4 , filaments a little shorter than the anthers, apparently fused in a short
column at the base, anthers obovate, emarginate; pistillate perianth single, about equaling the staminate, styles more or less connate below, unequally multifid, ovary apparently glabrous with presumably simple placentae, capsule turbinate, 3 -celled with a short column at apex, equally 3 -horned, horns ascending and lacerate.-Endemic.Oliver in Hook. Ic. xv. 68, t. 1487 (1885). Tab. 18.

CHOCO: Nóvita, R. B. White (K, type, not seen)
Begoniella angustifolia is similar to $B$. Whitei in having distichous (though less numerous and slenderer) bracts and in the number and form of its stamens (although their insertion is different). It is similar to $B$. Kalbreyeri and to our $B$. libera in having the perianth double in the staminate flowers and agrees with B. libera also in having ony a single perianth in the pistillate flowers.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ N. J. Jacquin, Miscellanea Austriaca ad Botanicam, Chemiam, et Historiam Naturalem spectantia, cum figuris partim coloratis. 2 Volumes. Ex officina Krausiana, Vindobonae.
    ${ }^{2}$ N. J. Jacquin, Collectanea ad Botanicam, Chemiam, et Historiam Naturalem spectantia cum flguris. 5 Volumes, of which the fifth is a supplement to the fourth. Ex officina Wappleriana, Vindobonae.

    In some cases in volume I references on the plates are given to Miscell. 3. In a note in volume I of the Collectanea, however, the author explains that he has changed the title of the Miscellanea after publication of the second volume and that the work is continued as the Collectanea.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Göttingische Anzeigen von gelehrten Sachen, ii. 1329 (1784).
    ${ }^{2}$ Römer \& Usteri, Mag. für Botanik, ${ }^{3}$. 42-62 (1788).
    ${ }^{3}$ Rev. Gen. Pl. 1. cxxxi (1891).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Each of the fascicles contained twenty-five plates except the last (16th) which contained seventy-four.
    ${ }^{3}$ References under this heading are to reviews in the Göttingische Anzeigen von Gelehrten Sachen. The same heading will be used for references in other tables.
    ${ }^{3}$ References under this heading are to reviews in the "Physikalisch-ökonomische Bibliothek worinn den neuesten Büchern, welche die Naturgeschichte, Naturlehre und die Land- und Stadtwirthschaft betreffen, zuverlässige und vollständige Nachrichten ertheilet werden", by Johann Beckmann, published in Göttingen.
    ${ }^{4}$ See footnote to column 5 in the table of Dates of Reviews of the Icones, p. 6.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reviews in all cases are in German and when quoted have been translated by the author.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The names used by Jacquin in the Collectanea were often changed by him on the plates (where both names are given) and in the text-list (where the earlier identification is in synonymy). Wherever such changes occurred we will give in a footnote the name first used. For example: Aeschynome bispinosa was first called by Jacquin Ae. Sesban.
    : A. mariana is the name first used for this species.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this species the name E. heterophylla was first used by Jacquin.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ This species was first called $I$. linearis by Jacquin in the Collectanea.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Limodorum tuberosum is the name used for this species in the Collectanea.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The names used first for this and the following two species were: plate 472, Oxalis hirla var.; plate 473, O. versicolor; plate 471, O. hirta.
    ${ }^{2}$ First called Geranium astragalifolium by Jacquin.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ First called Geranium ovatum by Jacquin.
    ${ }_{2}$ First called Geranium scabrum by Jacquin.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Corrected from Pothos palmata by Jacquin.
    ${ }^{2}$ Corrected from Genista humifusa.
    ${ }^{3}$ First called Leucojum strumosum.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ This material was collected by Sessé, Mociño, Castillo and Moldonado during the period 1787-1795-1804.
    ${ }^{2}$ B. G. Schubert, Hedysarum of Sessë and Mociño (in part) in Contrib Gray Herb. cxxxy. 112, 113 (1941).

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Smith \& Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxvii. 26 (1939).

[^13]:    ' According to the International Rules, Art. 70. Note 4, the genitive and adjectival forms of a personal name are different epithets. Consequently, since it is necessary to retain the original spelling, which was a genitive, and since I cannot find that that form has been transferred to Alophia, the transfer is made here:

    Alophia Drummondii (R. Grah.), comb. nov. (uprlla Drummomdii R. (irall. in Edinb. New Phil. Journ. xx. 190 (1836). Herbertia Drummondii (R. (irah.) Small in Addisonia, xx. 3 (1937). Alophia Drummondiana Herb. in Bot. Mag. Ixvi. sub t. 3779 (1840); possibly intended as a new combination, but actually an illegitimate renaming of the species. Herbertia Irummondiana Herb. in Bot. Reg. xxviii. Misc. 65 (1842); as a new species. Trifurcia caerulea Herb, in Bot. Mag. 1xvi. sub t. 3779 (1840). Herbertia caerulea (Herh).) Herh, in Bot. Mag. 1xvii. t. 3862 (1841). Iris brachustigma scheele in Linnaea, xxi. 348 (I849). Merbertia Watsoni Baker, Handbk. Irid. 71 (1892).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The cost of plates defrayed through grants from the American Philosophical Society and from the Department of Brology of Harvard University.

[^15]:    \& Virginian Botanizing under Restrictions, Contrib. Gray Herb. no. clxix. Rhodora XIV. 357-413, 445-480, 485-511 (1943)-especially pp. 374-377.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The labels for this station got printed "mostly flat pineland". If any of them chanced to be distributed without correction, the error should be noted.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ For several summers, whenever Long and I reached our old center at Petersburg, it would begin to rain. So regularly did this occur that we were always greeted: "I knew you had come; the drouth has broken".

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a scholarly discussion see Henrard, Th., A Study in the Genus Vulpia. Blumea, ii. 299-326 (1937).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ This type, from Haddonfield, New Jersey, was collected by C.F. Parker, not C. F. Austin, as erroneously stated with the original description.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mariscus pubescens was named for the very pubescent culm and leaves. So far as I can find, nothing like it is known in California. It might have come from western Mexico, the Philippine Islands, Peru or some other Pacifle area. Steudel, Syn. Pl. Cyp. 50 (1855) had a Cyperus pubescens from the Island of Bourbon.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although the title-page gives the date 1799, vol. ii. pt. 1, was apparently not issued until early in 1800 --See Schubert in Rhodora, xliv. 147-150 (1942).

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ The "Habitat in America boreall" of Willdenow is rendered by Index Kewensis as " Europ.; Ind. oce.; Austral."

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ How our M. D's. have slumped! One of them in Cambridge came to see me and asked: "Are there any plants around here which might poison a child? I was called up to see a sick child and I can't make out what is the trouble". "There's one right there", I replied, pointing to Datura Stramonium. "All right", he said, "I'll treat him for Stramonium-poisoning'". Another, also a professor in a distinguished medical school, argued at me throughout the length of a dinner, that there must be some simple formula (like the silver spoon with toadstools) by which any one can tell whether any wild plant is poisonous or edible!

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unfortunately the application of this rule to Michaux's Flora Boreali-Americana (1803) results in the following mishaps. For the first combinations I offer the superfluous apology that, my name as author of plant-names being abbreviated "Fern." I occasionally feel justiffed in touching up the nomenclature of that group!

    Pteretis pensylvanica (Willd.), comb, nov. Onoclea nodulosa Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 272 (1803), as to description and type-specimen preserved in Michx. Herb., not as to synonyms and habitat stated; Sw. Synop. Fil. 111 (1806); Schkuhr, Krypt. Gew. 1. 96, t. 104 (1809). Struthopteris pensylvanica Willd. Sp. Pl. v. 289 (1810). S. nodulosa (Michx.) Desv. Mém. Soc. Linn. Paris, vi². 287 (1827). S. germanica, var. pensylvanica (Willd.) Lowe, Ferns, Brit. and Exot. ii. 138 (1862). Matteuccia nodu-

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Fernald in Rhodora, xxxil. 83-88 (1930).

[^26]:    *Rumex Britannica L. I think I have been able to determine the Rumex to which Linnaeus gave this unfortunate name. The source of the name is to be found by following up his reference to "Mat. Med. 17," i. e. Materia Medica, paragraph 177 (not 17), where, under reference to Fl. Suec. 292, "Europae nostrae paludes," is added "Pharm. Herbae Britannicae radix." The North American plant to which he applied this name was one in his herbarium sent to him by Gronovius from Clayton's herbarium of the Flora Virginica. The fruit of it is not well developed, but the slender pedicels and the foliage show that it is the $R$. orbiculatus of the later edition of my Manual. But the specimen retained in Clayton's herbarium to represent the species, and the only Rumex in that herbarium, is quite different, has some long-awned teeth to the valves, and is, I believe, $R$. obfusifolius. The difference in the plants accounts for the remark of Linnaeus: "Plantam Gron. in Fl. Virginica habui a Cl. Authore, quae non rubra erat caule aut costis." For Clayton's character, as printed by Gronovius in the first edition of

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lowls did not take specimens.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have been told that the specific name cathartium (of turkey-buzzards) will inevitably be misspelled and interpreted as coming from catharticum, a cathartic. As a matter of fact, the very rich and juicy fruit of Rubus cathartium would make the finest of blackberry-cordial, the famous old domestic cure for diarrhoea. Turkeybuzzards, unless scrupulously cleaned and thoroughly cooked before eating, might give uncomfortable results.
    "In his rather unconventional descriptions of "rampageous" and "fearsome" briars Bailey consistently uses in his Latin dlagnoses the Latin ablative "canis," spelled like the classical nominative for a dog, instead of the more conventional cannis, from canna, a cane. Sometimes the scratchy and "fearsome" canes certainly suggest a dog.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Rhodora, xxiv. 79 (1922) Ashe spoke of A. "barbatum Mx. (A. floridanum) (Chap.) Pax" but he did not here discuss his identification.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Torsey and Gray, neither of them knowing Acer floridanum, wrote: "We suspect,

[^31]:    indeed, that the description of A. barbatum, Michx. was drawn up, at least as to the flowers and fruit, from specimens of A. saccharinum [meaning Sugar-Maple]; the only species, so far as we are aware, which has the sepals bearded inside"-T. \& G. Fl. i. 249 (1835). In the Supplement, after Gray had seen the Michaux material, he reaffirmed this judgment, saying: "A. barbalum (Michx.!) should be discarded as a species, it having been founded (as we had indeed long suspected) upon the flowers of A. saccharinum, the fruit of A. rubrum, and a leaf of something else, apparently of A. spicatum, (v. sp. in herb. Michx. propr. \& herb. Richard.)-T. \& G. 1. c. 684 (1840). Gray, apparently, was not disturbed by the very pubescent branchlets; neither was he troubled when he found that " $A$. saccharinum was wholly established by Linnaeus upon a specimen (leaves only) received from Kalm; which specimen, we find on inspection, belongs to A. dasycarpum! Still as the A. saccharinum of Wangenheim, Michaux, and all succeeding authors, is the true Sugar-Maple, a change in the application of the name would be unwarrantable."-T. \& G.1.c. That happy period is gone.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ " Walter in his Flora Caroliniana has another species
    Of this plant I
    was not able to find any information in his Herbarium."-Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. 415 (1814). If we accept the reputation given Pursh by Thomas Nuttall and other contemporaries, it is a wonder that any of Walter's plants were preserved for inspection by later students!
    ${ }^{2}$ See Fernald \& Griscom, Rhodora, xxxix. 497 (1937).

[^33]:    Plate 900, Leucothoe axillaris (Lam.) D. Don: fig. 1, type of Andromeda axillaris Lam., $\times 1 / 2$, after Cintract; FIG. 2, flowering branch of L. platyphylla Small, $\times$ 1, from Emanuel Co., Georgia, Harper, no. 2093; fig. 3, portion of inflorescence, to show blunt bracts and calyx-segments, $\times 4$, from near Augusta, Georgia, Olney \& Metcalf.

    Plate 901, L. axillaris, var. ambigens Fernald: fig. 1, portion of type, $\times 1$; Fig. 2 , portion of inflorescence of type, to show blunt bracts and calyxsegments, $\times 4$.

    Plate 902, L. Catesbaei (Walt.) Gray, as usually interpreted: fig. 1, portion of flowering branch, $\times 1$, from Biltmore, North Carolina, Bilt. Herb., no. $1280^{\text {b }}$; FIG. 2, portion of inflorescence, to show acute bracts and calyxsegments, $\times 4$, from no. $1280^{\text {b }}$.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Epling rejects the name required by the International Rules. Pycnanthemum

[^35]:    pycnunthemoides, presumably because it seems meaningless. Would he carry this philosoph!s so far as to exclude Arctustaphyles tra-ursi (Arctostaphylus being the (ireek, (ra-ursi the Latin for hearberrs), Clethra almifolia (Clethra the ancient (ireek equivaIent of the Latin. Ilmus) and all others in which the trivial repeats the meaning of the generic name?
    ${ }^{1}$ Although radio-listeners have for several years gained the impression that "The Flight of the IBumblebee" is never ending, the flights of that husy individual are actually limited in extent, rarely two miles I am told.

[^36]:    *P. umbratile, sp. nov. (тab. 906), a P. clinopodioide differt caule arcuato-pilosis nec divergente villosis; foliis ovatis obtusis viridibus integris vel subintegris basi late rotundatis subtus ad costas sparse puberulis, majoribus $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. latis; corymbis terminalibus hemisphaericis bracteis externis foliaceis ellipticis rix reductis; bracteis internis breviter hirtellis; calycibus bilabiatis dentibus apice subulatis breviter hirtellis.-Virginia: border of rich bottomland woods along Blackwater River, southeast of Ivor, Southampton County, September 16, 1937, Fernald \& Long, no. 7595 (тype in Herb. Gray.).

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following Eupatoria, although not from Virginia, may be noted here.
    E. resinosum Torr., var. kentuckiense, var, nov., E. resinoso habitu simillima; caulis internodiis supernis corymbi ramibusque pilosis, pilis elongatis curvatis.-Nelson County, Kentucky: marshy area, Bean's Lake, September 8, 1932, Sister Rose Agnes (type in Herb. Gray).

    Closely matching the endemic Eupatorium resinosum of pine-barren bogs of New Jersey and Delaware in habit, foliage, involucres, etc., but differing in the longer pubescence, typical E. resinosum being minutely puberulent. Sister Rose Agnes correctly identified the plant as $E$. resinosum but the late Dr. Robinson, evidently without a close study of the plant, relabeled it $E$. perfoliatum, var. cuneatum Engelm. The involucre and the narrow leaves without the broadly cuneate, entire base of $E$. perfoliatum. var. cuneatum are identical with those of the New Jersey plant.
    E. dubium Willd., forma elutum, f. nov., foliis ovatis triplinerviis subtus viscidogranulatis scabris; involucris floribusque albidis.-TYPE: low ground near Long Island Sound, Saybrook Junction. Connecticut, September 14, 1914 (colony of about 100 plants), R. W. Woodward in Herb. Gray.
    E. maculatum L., forma Faxoni, f. nov., foliis anguste ovatis utrinque attenuatis irregulariter grosse serratis subtus scabris; corymbo supra complanato; phyllariis floribusque albidis.-TyPE: Gate of Crawford Notch, New Hampshire, September 2. 1884, Charles E. Faxon in Herb. Gray.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am often asked about the specific name L'redalia. The species was named for the Kev. Dr. Robert Uvedale (1642-1722), an English botanist, mentioned by Plukenet and a correspondent of Magnol, Sloane, Sherard and other leading botanists of his time. The genus U'vedalia was dedicated to him by Robert Brown.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pursh, who got many clues from the more seholarly Flora Boreali-Americana of Michaux, took the hint conveyed hy Michaux's statement under the emended Scutellaria integrifolia, "s. hyssupifnlia. L. hujusce varietas est" and, in Pursh, FI. Am. sept. 413 (1814), formally marle the varietal combination S. integrifolia 3. hyssopifolia. This varietal combination is ascribed by Epling, 1. c. 90, to "Millsp., Fl. W. Va. 427, 1892"; but Millspaugh simply listed the name without even any author and with no word of diagnosis nor bibliography.

[^40]:    Photo. B. G. Schubert.

[^41]:    Pages 221 to 235, plates 912 to 944
    Pages 265 to 271, plates 961 and 962

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ The cost of preparing the plates and of the engraver's blocks largely met through grants from the American Philosophical Society and from the Department of Brology of Harvard Univeremty.
    ${ }^{2}$ The cost of printing the plates met through a gift from Mr. Bayard Long, Associate Curator of Botany of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia.

    The inflorescences, especially whitish ones mounted on white paper, are most difflcult to photograph. Their outlines, at least, are partly visible in the plates. It should be remembered that the fresh pistillate involucres are much more cylindric than the loosened ones of dried specimens, which alone are here shown.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cronquist, Rhodora, xivil. 183 (1945).

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Allard, Ball (C. R.), Bartram (E. B.), Bicknell, Bissell, Blake (S. F.), Blanchard, Brainerd, Brunel, Bush, Chamberlain (E. B.), Chase (Agnes), Collins (J. F.), Deam, Deane, Dodge (C. K.), Eames (A. J.), Eaton (A. A ), Eggleston, Farwell, Fassett, Faxon (Edwin), Forbes (F. F.), Gates (F. C.), Greene, Greenman, Grimes, Griscom, Harper, Hermann, Herriot, Hill, (A. F.). Hill (E. J.), Holm, House, Hunnewell, Kennedy (G. G.), Klugh, Knowlton (C. H.), Krotkov, Lansing (O. E.), Long, LouisMarie, McDonald (F. E.), Mackenzie, Macoun (John), Macoun (J. M.), Malte, Marie-Victorin, Maxon, Moore (J. W.), Muenscher, Palmer (E. J.), Parlin, Pease, Peck (C. H.), Rand (E. L.), Raup, Robinson, Rolland-Germain, Rousseau, Seymour (F. C.), Smith (L. B.), Standley, Stebbins, St. John, Tatnall (R. R.) Taylor (T. M. C.), Wahl, Weatherby. Webb (R.J.), Wiegand, Williams (E. F.), etc., etc.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although the title of Dr. Cronquist's paper emphasizes "the northeastern United States". his inclusion, as one of his varieties, of $A$. gaspensis indicates a broader eastern extension of his limits; for A. gaspensis is known only from the calcareous mountains. bluffs and slopes of easternmost Gaspé County (Mt. Ste.-Anne and coastal cliffs at Percé: Cap Gaspé; Le Forillon to Grande-Grève; (ap Rosier and Anticosti) and western Newfoundland. Since A. gaspensis of the extreme eastern tip of the Gaspé Peninsula is included by Cronquist it must be assumed that species found on the Peninsula farther west, A. vexillifera, Peasei, subviscosa and appendiculata, automatically come within his range, although he does not account for them in his "three fairly well-marked species" of the whole "Manual range".
    ${ }^{2}$ See the very significant article, On the "Papillose" Achenes in the Genus Antennaria by Morten P. Porsild in Rhodora, xxxiv. 213-222 (1931).

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Campbell, Douglas Houghton, Outline of Plant Geography, v (1926).
    ${ }^{2}$ Ninety per cent of so-called specimens in this group are not specimens at all; they are lazily plucked bits, their collectors taking no pains to get the subterranean parts or to show the habit or the lower leaves. That would require work and acuteness.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Johnston, I. M., The Preparation of Botanical Specimens for the Herbarium. Published by the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University. 1939

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ A discoid form of the horeal Senecio Pseudo-Arnica Less is S. Pretvo-Arnica Less. forma Rollandii (Victorin), stat. nov. S. Pseudo-Arnica, var. Rollandii Victorin, Mém. Soc. Roy. Canada, sér. 3, xix. 87, t. 4 (1925), S. Rollandii (Victorin) Victorin, Contrib. Lab. Bot. Univ. Montréal, no. 13: 26 (1929).

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ The title-page of Ehrh. Beitr. vi. says 1791 and this date is commonly accepted. Buchenau, however, in Engler, Pflanzenr. iv" 94 (1906) and elsewhere, said "ca. $1791^{\prime \prime}$, while von Hayek, Fl. Steierm. i. 106 and elsewhere (1908) gives the unquestioned date 1793. Schneider, too, in his Ill. Handb. Laubholzk., after citing Beitr. vi. consistently as published in 1791 , said in his Nachtrag, ii. 886 , "Nähreres 1793 ". If the exact dates of Ehrhart's different volumes have been worked out I shall welcome a reference to the publication.

[^50]:    Photo. B. G. Schubert.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vroom lists something like 100 species in Charlotte County not known in Grand Manan, most of them relatively southern in distribution.

[^52]:    ${ }^{2}$ Verrill, taking the temperature of the water in the Bay of Fundy, found it coldest near the islands.

[^53]:    ${ }^{3}$ This, however, seems unlikely. A collector capable of detecting the tiny and insignificant Tillaea in eastern New Brunswick would not be likely to miss the relatively huge Senecio Pseudo-Arnica with conspicuously white-woolly foliage and yellow flowers as large as a silver dollar.

    * Other more common and widely distributed northern littorals, such as Stellaria humifusa, Spergularia canadensis, Ranunculus Cymbalaria and Lathyrus japonicus. do reach the coasts both of eastern New Brunswick and the inner Bay of Fundy.
    ${ }^{5}$ Except Ceratophyllum echinatum, which is not known to us between York County, Maine, and Grand Manan.

[^54]:    - Northrop, 1861-1891, was a promising young naturalist who met an untimely and horrible death when laboratory alcohol which he was handling became ignited. His one known visit to Grand Manan was primarily for birds, but he may have collected more plants than this single record would indicate. War conditions have prevented a search for other specimens of his.

[^55]:    ${ }^{7}$ C. maculata, forma punicea (Bartlett), n. comb. C. maculata var. punicea Bartlett, Rhodora, 24: 147 (1922).

[^56]:    ${ }^{3}$ Rubus mananensis Bailey, spec. nov. sect. Hispidi. Affinis $R$. altero: differt foliis primocani multo minoribus, non imbricatis, marginibus minutius et acutius serratis, setis minus rikidis, floribus paucioribus, lobis calycis caudatis, fructu minore, drupeolis pluribus. Repens, probabiliter radicans: folia satis viridia, nitida: axis primocani 4-5 mm. crassus, dense rubro-setosus et glandulari-pilosus: folia primocanorum 3-5-foliolata, glabra supra, minute puberulentia in venis subter, margines acute duplo-serrati; foliola ovata vel elliptica, interdum subobovata, foliolum terminale $5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. longum, 4 cm . latum, abrupte contractum apice, plus vel minus subcordatum basi, foliola lateralia angustiora: petiolus setosus et glandulari-pilosus: inflorescentia corymbiformis; flores 4-6, pedicelli setosi, aliquid aciculati, glandularipilosi: fructus globulari-oblongus, circa 1 cm . longus; lobi calycis caudato-acuti.Clearing, (irand Manan, New Brunswick, July 27, 1941, Weatherby 7025, type in Herb. Bailey:

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ The cost of preparing and engraving the plates met in part through a grant from the American Philosophical Society.
    ${ }^{2}$ Contrib. (iray Herb. n. s. xxiii., Im. Journ. Sci., ser. 4. xiv. 16' 1!94, plates v and vi (1902).

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ For these more erroneous identifications one is tempted to write "nonsensu."

[^59]:    "* There has probably been some mistake in the station of this."

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ See discussion, pp. 313-317.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ The introduction of European plants for the reclamation of the sand-dunes back of Race Point Life Saving Station near Provincetown is ty pical of much of the practice in holding or reclaiming loose soils. The natural dunes of Cape Cod are very effectively and automatically reclaimed by the indigenous eastern North American Ammophila breviligulata Fernald and Pinus rigida (Pitch Pine), while the Alders of the dunes and hollows are endemic Americans. Nevertheless, the reclamation of the dunes of the old province-lands was largely attempted through the planting of imported

[^62]:    European White Alder, Scotch Pine and Scotch Broom; but the Broom is there now relatively unimportant, the Scotch Pine is secondary to the native Pitch Pine, and the European Alder has not survived. That is as it should be: the climate of the dunes of western Eurasia, with prevailingly western winds off the Atlantic, is so unlike the dry and hot summer conditions at the eastern border of North America, that western European shrubs are too much handicapped. Some years ago I received a call from an American soil-conservator who stated that he was going on a federal government mission to India, to find some Asiatic species which would control erosion in our "dust-bowl". A few days later I had a brief visit from a prominent botanist of India, who had been sent to America by his government to see if in our "dust-bowl" he could secure some plant to control wind-erosion in India. Tra-la-la!

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ As by Fernald in Rhodora, xxiii. 257 (Feb. 27, 1922).

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unfortunately, most others of Sargent's identifications of Michaux's plants need correction. For instance, "Sparganium natans", collected on the same trip. was identifled by Sargent (p. 75) as S. minimum. Michaux's collection, labeled "Hab. in Amnibus à Québec ad Lacus Mistassins", was the type of S. angustifolium Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. ii. 189 (1803), the only species of the genus in the Flora. Similarly Michaux's Journal recorded as growing with the Sparganium and near the Alnus "Alisma subulata", which Sargent identifled as "Alisma Plantago, L. var. Americanum, Gray". But Michaux knew the broad-leaved plant and in his Flora, i. 218, had it as A. Plantago. He there included A. subulata L. from Florida only, the plant now known as Sagittaria subulata (L.) Buchenau. The plant of Canada, which Michaux mistook in the field for Alisma subulata L., is the type of Sagittaria graminea Michx. FI. Bor.-Am. if. 190 (1803).
    ${ }^{2}$ Michx. 1., Hist. Arb. Forest. Am. Sept. iii. 320, fig. 1 (1813).

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Callier showed the usual Germanic lack of understanding of American geography, citing one specimen from "D akota: New Anglia leg. Blake" and Mrs. Chase's no. 2105 from Dune Park, Indiana, as from "M ichig a n: Lake Michigan, Done Park". On a preceding page the strictly northeastern A. rugosa was cited from anywhere, including California.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ The cost of preparing and engraving the plates met in part through grants from the American Philosophical Socrety and from the Department or Bionogy of Habvard University.

[^67]:    *Neottia gracilis.
    Slender Neottia.
    $N$. foliis radicalibus ovatis; scapo vaginato, floribus spiraliter secundis; labello obovato, crispo.

    Leaves radical, ovate; scape sheathing; flowers in a spiral row; lip obovate, curled.

    Root fascicled. Leaves radical, on short petioles, ovate, acute, nerved, caducous. Scape erect, slender, eight to twelve inches high with a few sheathing scales or leaf[l]ets. Flowers white in a twisted spike. Bractes closely applied to the germ, ovate, acuminate. Germs obovate. Petals linear, crystalline, parallel, the three upper ones cohering. Lip obovatespatulate, curled, its base swelling with the lateral petals connected before it. Anther parallel to the style.-In dry, hilly woods.-July.-Perennial.

    The leaves falling off frequently cause the plant to appear leafless at the time of flowering.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Spiranthes lacera (as S. gracilis) is beautifully illustrated in that remarkably accurate study of orchids, by Albert M. Fuller, with photographs by George L. Waite. Studies on the Flora of Wisconsin Part 1: The Orchids; Orchidaceae-Bull. Pub. Mus. Milwaukee, xiv. no. 1, pl. 36 (1933). This plate well displays S. lacera. Although Mr. Fuller conservatively followed long-established usage, he obviously saw two elements in his S. gracilis, saying (p. 113): "While the flowers usually occur in spirals on the raceme, plants with distinctly 1 -sided (secund) racemes appear to be plentiful in northern Wisconsin". If the Milwaukee Museum has more such careful "Studies" we shall all welcome them.

[^69]:    "G. subacaulis, foliis oblongis venosis unicoloribus petiolis longioribus, spicâ laxâ . . ., bracteis ovario aequalibus".

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ The title-page says 1806 , but the late Mr. James Britten pointed out in Journ. Bot. xl. 419 (1902) that the pages including the Muhlenberg reprint were issued "1 June, 1805."

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ In his Salices Amer. no. 22. Joseph Barratt had Salix lonoipes under a nomen nudum (in Index Kewensis and also cited by Schneider, so that I am not here publishing a useless name), with the following explanation: "22. Salix Pitcheriana* Barratt, mss. Hab. Arkansas.-Dr. Pitcher. Sea Islands of Georgia. This undescribed species is allied to $S$. nigra. I possess specimens which have been obligingly communicated by John Carey, Esq. of New York", etc. John Carey was not properly edifled. On one of his labels of Georgia material he wrote: "I always supposed this to be S. nigra of Lin [who had no such species]: (no doubt it is of Ell.) but Dr. Barratt who calls himself the great authority for our willows names it a new species". "Authorities' beware!

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Weatherby in Rhodora, xxv. 17-20 (1923).

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Gray Herbarium there are 3 sheets of Xanthium strumarium from the United States: (1) a fragment of doubtful origin in a series of plants said to have been collected by the late Stephen P. Sharples in Chester County, Pennsylvania, from 1858-1864; (2) branches from a single tall plant, found by the writer on October 20. 1912 (with fresh anthers), in rubbish back of Crescent Beach, Revere, Massachusetts: (3) portion of a single individual found on October 1, 1927, by S. F. Blake (Blake, no. 10,549 ) on a sandy beach at Hull, Massachusetts. In early November, 1945, Dr. Bernice G. Schubert and I followed the beach from south of Crescent Beach to well north of that area but no Xanthium strumarium could be found. Similarly, the sandy and gravelly beaches in Hull, like those in Revere, yielded only X. echinatum, italicum and chinense.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ It seems hardly necessary, in orienting Xanthium Chasei, to illustrate three species found in the eastern United States, with which it could not be confused. The bur of $\boldsymbol{X}$. pensyloanicum Wallr. is very similar to that of $\boldsymbol{X}$. chinense but, whereas the body of the latter is glabrous or merely glandular-punctate and lustrous, that of $X$. pensylvanicum is short-pilose with pale pubescence, and the 200 or more prickles visible on one face are mostly glandular-hispid below the middle, the bases of the prickles about as broad as the interspaces. In $\boldsymbol{X}$. chinense the interspaces are broader than the nearly or quite smooth bases of the fewer prickles ( $100-150$ visible on one face). $\boldsymbol{X}$. pensulvanicum thus stands between $\boldsymbol{X}$. chinense and $\boldsymbol{X}$. italicum. X. speciosum Kearney has a bur (including beaks and prickles) $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$. long and $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$. thick, the almost fliform-setose prickles $7-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. long and so crowded as to have their bases practically hidden, the beaks $6-11 \mathrm{~mm}$. long. $X$. Wootoni Cockerell could be confused only with $X$. orientale, but it differs in its fewer heavily glandular and straightish prickles and in having the porrect (instead of strongly incurving) beaks more distant from the upper prickles. The burs of all four of the species are beautifully illustrated by Millspaugh \& Sherff or by Widder.

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ That Wallroth was not a visionary, as one might infer from those who can see nothing in his species of Xanthium, is apparent from his great monograph of Aorimonia, published also in his Beiträge, for his four new North American species, A. gryposepala, rostellata, microcarpa and pubescens, are all maintained by those who have closely studied the genus.

[^76]:    ${ }^{2}$ Through the perverse fatality which dogs editorial movements the signature of the Gazette on which Shull's paper begins bears the note "Botanical Gazette, vol. 69]"

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ The following are characteristic specimens of var. normalis. Virginia: west of Mt. Hope Church, Southampton Co., Fernald \& Long, no. 11,472; northwest of Round Gut, southwest of Franklin. Southampton Co., F. \& L., no. 11,473. North Carolina: west of Jacksonville, Onslow Co., Godfrey, no. 6461: Winston-Salem, Forsyth Co., Godfrey, no. 6103; Biltmore, Buncombe Co., Bilt. Herb., no. 499 ; South of Tuxedo. Henderson Co., Wiegand de Manning, no. 3377; Highlands, Aug. 2, 1902. Magee; Joy, Burke Co., Hunneuell, no. 12,981. South Carolina: Eutawville, Eggleston, no. 4997; Marietta, Greenville Co., Wiegand \& Manning, no. 3376. Georgia: Middle Ga., 1846, Porter. Tennessee: Chilhowee Mt., A. H. Curtiss, no. 1439. Louisiana: without stated locality, Drummond.

    It is not improbable that var. normalis tends to grow in damper soil than var. alsodes. Of the 16 sheets before me of var. alsodes, of which habitat is given, all are from dry situations. Of the 13 of var. normalis with habitat noted 2 are from savannas, 1 from pine-barren bog.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ W. A. Anderson in Reodora, sxxiv. 1-4 (1932).

[^79]:    Flowers small, blue or blue-purple, the inner tepals not pubescent; style-arms long, slender, the crests nearly lance-linear..
    Flowers large, yellow, dotted or lined with purple, the inner tepals panduriform, with a large yellow and purple pubescent patch between the claw and the blade; style-arms obsolete, the crests large, petaloid.
    2. C. peruviana

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. G. Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cxxxv. 112, 113 (1941).
    ${ }^{2}$ L. B. Smith \& B. G. Schubert in Contaib. Gray Herb. cliv. 27-31 (1945).
    ${ }^{3}$ Presumably plate 270 of the Calques des Dessins of Mociño and Sesse is based on material of this species which DeCandolle treated as $D$. infractum (see below).

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ The other species represented by an illustration is D. stipulaceum DC., which Schindler in Fedde, Rep. Spec. Nov. Beih. Bd. xlix². 300 (1928), treats as a synonym of Meibomia purpurea ( $=$ D. tortuosum ( SW .) DC.), a disposition of the name which seems to me proper.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ See: Smith \& Schubert in Contrib. Gray Herb. cliv. 23-31 (1945).

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Trans. Linn. Soc. ser. 2, il. 256, 269 (1887),

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ Geographical Review, xxxv. 574, flg. 11 (1945).
    : C. L. Gilly in Bull. Torr. Bot. Club, Ixix. 290 (1942).
    ${ }^{3}$ H. A. Gleason et al. in Bull. Torr. Bot. Club, lviii. 277 (1931).

    - G. H. H. Tate in Bol. Soc. Venez. Cienc. Nat. v. 96 (1939).
    ${ }^{5}$ Geographical Review, xxi. 363 (1931).
    - Caldasia, iii. 124 (1944).

[^85]:    Pages 137 to 162 , plates 1031 to 1046
    16 July, 1946
    Pages 184 to 197, plates 1047 and 1048
    5 August, 1946
    Pages 207 to 216, plates 1049 and 1050
    12 September, 1946

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ The cost of preparing and engraving the plates met in part through grants from the American Philosophicar Society and from the Department of Biology of Harvard University.

[^87]:    One of the most remarkable qualities of the Laurel Oak is its habit of holding its leaves through the entire year throughout most of its range. Towards its northern limit and when planted outside of the coastal plain this habit is modified to a varying degree, depending on the climate. In the low country of South Carolina and along the gulf to New Orleans the tree is nearly or completely evergreen, but at Darlington and Hartsville, S. C., the leaves fall slowly through the winter, usually beginning at the tips of the branches and proceeding inwards, so that by February or March only the center remains decidedly green, with scattered green leaves in the peripheral parts.-Coker, 1. c. 40 .

[^88]:    "Perianth white: capsule deflexed, about 8 mm . long.....1. S. gramineum. Perianth green: capsule erect, fully 10 mm . long
    2. S. robustum."

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ These differences stand out sharply, without aid of a hand-lens, through the reading-glasses prescribed after the removal of a cataract. The late K. K. Mackenzie boasted of his "microscopic vision". It is hoped the the present writer will not see what others can not visualize!

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since the map was engraved, specimens from additional stations in the Cumberland Mountains of Tennessee have been sent me for study by Professor Jesse M. Shaver of George Peabody College for Teachers, at Nashville. They add three dots for Tennessee.

    2 One Florida specimen of 1888 , bearing the intriguing data, "wedding trip". has not been entered on the map; neither have I selected it as the type of var. bifaria (in tuo parts or on two sides).

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hooker, under his Spiranthes gracilis (1. e. S. lacera), a plant with glabrous inflorescence, and which Hooker correctly described "foliis radicalibus ovatis petiolatis". cited as synonyms the much earlier Ophrys aestivalis Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. Ii. 157 (1803) and Neottia tortilis Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. ii. 589 (1814). "(non Su.)". Lindley, under Spiranthes gracilis, cited the same synonyms. Evidently neither he nor Hooker studied very closely the Michaux description [and specimens] nor the description by Pursh; otherwise they would not have cited them under the wholly glabrous S. aracilis, with leaves all basal and ovate, for Michaux definitely described his Ophrys aestivalis: "O. scapo folioso: foliis glabris, lanceolatis, acutissimis; spica pubescente, spirali" etc. and he suspected that it might be the Limodorum praecox of Walter, O. aestivalis occurring "a Pensylvania ad Carolinam". The type of Ophrys aestivalis, a species which I do not find accounted for in recent American literature, as shown in one of Cintract's photographs before me, consists of two full plants, with linear-lanceolate leaves extending up the stem, the longer blades about 2 dm . long, the slightly spiraling to secund spike with perianths 6 mm . long. Mounted with these two plants is a broken-off spike of Spiranthes cernua, which obviously was an inadvertent addition made by the mounter. Ophrys aestivalis Michx. (1803) is Spiranthes vernalis Engelm. \& Gray (1845). Most fortunately, we do not have to displace the latter name, for there is an Old World Spiranthes aestivalis Richard (1818).

    As to Pursh's misidentification of Neottia tortilis, we need not here go into details, except to note that Pursh included under it Ophrys aestivalis Michx., gave the same range as the latter, and described the leaves as linear. Enough said!

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since the above was written the similar decision of Rendle and Britten in Journ. Bot. xlv. 442 (1907) has come to my attention: "This genus was established by J. J. Chatelain 'Specimen inaugurale de Corallorhiza' 1760. He names the species C. trifida, which must stand, as the Linnean trivial Corallorhisa (under Ophrys) is inadmissable".

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ When, in Rhodora, xxiv, 145-148 (1922), Bartlett defined (as varieties) the colorforms of Corallorhiza maculata Raf. in Am. Mo. Mag. ii, 119 (1817), he considered the yellow plants as relatively rare, while his purplish var. punicea is relatively common. He then concluded: "The deeply purple-stemmed var. punicea might with some reason be viewed as the biological type of the species, and therefore chosen, in the absence of a type specimen, as the nomenclatorial type as well". Bartlett and those who have followed him, but treating the color-forms as formae, apparently overlooked Rafinesque's statement that in the original C. maculata "the whole plant is yellowish".

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Jobeph Philippe de Clairville (1742-1830) was one of the most modest and selfeffacing taxonomists I have ever encountered. His Manuel d'Herborisation en Suisse et en Valais (1811) was "Par l'Auteur de l'Entomologie helvétique", without indication of his name; while the 26-page Preface was unsigned-merely "21. Fevrier 1811". How unlike the modern counterparts of the visionary Bombastus Paracelsus who imagine that they are helping by publishing such combinations as Phyton euphyton Bombastus Superbus, ssp. euphyton (Bombastus Superbus) Bombastus Superbus, comb. nov., var. euphyton (Bombastus Superbus) Bombastus Superbus, comb. nov..based on Phyton euphyton Bombastus Superbus in Journ. Erudit. clv. 00.000 (194?). So far as I can find no genus was ever dedicated to Bombastus Paracelsus. Clairvillea was defined by DeCandolle with the digniffed dedication: "Dixi in memoriam cl. de Clairville Galli botanici et entomologici de historia naturali Helvetica benê meriti", DC. Prodr. v. 636 (1836).

[^95]:    ' MeVaugh in Wrightia, 1. 13-53 (1945).

[^96]:    :"Syriâ . . . et Barbariâ"-A. DC. Mon. Camp. 347 (1830); "Palestinae (Boiss!) Aegypti . . (Bal!) . . . Berythum Syriae . . . Africa borealis"-Boissier, Fl. Orient. iii. 959 (1875).
    ${ }^{2}$ Although the combination Specularia Speculum-Veneris appears in Index Kewensis. Suppl. 1 (1902) as made by Caruel in Parlatore, Fl. Ital. viii. 139 (1888), a check on the cover-page of vol. viii reveals the pertinent statement: "CAMPANULACEE. JASMINACEE. OLEACEE. PER ENRICO TANFANI," this author also cited at the beginning of the Campaniflore, p. 15.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ As in preceding papers of this Virginia series, authors of names which are in Gray's Manual, ed. 7, are omitted.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Fernald in Rhodora, xlv. 296, 297 (1943).

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Rhodora, xlviii. 136 (1946).

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ With the name Echinodorus cordifolius returned to the species described by Linnaeus, the following transfer becomes necessary:
    E. rostratus (Nutt.) Engelm., forma lanceolatus (Engelm.), comb. nov. E. rostratus, var. lanceolatus Engelm, ex. Wats. \& Coult. in Gray, Man. ed. 6: 556 (1891). E. cordifolius, var. lanceolatus (Engelm.) Mackenz. \& Bush, Man. Fl. Jackson Co., Mo. 10 (1902). E. cordifolius, forma lanceolatus (Engelm.) Fernald in Rhodora, xxxviii. 73 (1936).

[^101]:    "Lemma awnless.
    4. A. perennans. Lemma awned.

    Spikelets 2 mm . long
    5. A. canina.

    Spikelets 3 mm . long. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6. A. borealis."

[^102]:    I Another awned form is
    Agrostis alba L., forma aristata (Fernald), comb. nov. A. stolonifera, forma aristata Fernald in Rhodora, xxxv. 317 (1933).

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ While preparing the treatment of Gramineae for Gray, Man. ed. 7. Professor Hitchcock received a suggestion from one of the editors of that edition, that the wideranging species of the United states differed in many characters from the more northern and Eurasian Glyceria flutans, with which it had been confused. Consequently he published as his new discovery $G$. septentrionalis Hitchcock in Rhodora, viii. 211 (1906), without noting the most important differential characters. While preparing the Manual of Grasses of the Inited states its author wrote to the botanist who had pointed out to him the distinctions of $G$. septentrionalis, asking how his own species differed from that of Linnaeus. In case of the smaller northern Spartina patens and its coarser and usually more southern variety the distinctions were apparently similarly lost.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ A glance at the map suggests caution about rushing unprepared into Columbus County for botanizing; at least, the names of two of the villages, Bughill and Redbug, were not bestowed as inducements to tourists.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Fernald in Rhodora, xlvi. 134-136 (1946).

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ Generally Juncus polycephalus has simple glohose heads of flowers. In Scotland Counts, North Carolina there occurs a plant like it in every was except that its heads are strongly lobulate, each head consisting of $2-5$ subellipsoid and elongate crowded spikes. Since the parallel variation in $J$. scirpoides Lam. (var. meridionalis Buchenau) occurs as uniform colonies, not as sporadic individuals, the similar variation in $J$. polycephalus is presumably a variety rather than a form. I am calling it

    Junces polychphales Michx., var. schizocephalus, var. nov., a var. typica recedit capitibus lobulatis lobis elongatis.-Nonth Carolina: shallow stream, 15 miles north of Laurinburg, July 14, 1938 , Godfrey, no. 5050 (type in Herh. Gray.).

[^107]:    *Allium vineale L., forma Capsuliferum (Koch) Asch. \& Graebn. Elizabeth City County: Hampton, A. B. Seymour, no. 19. James City County: Williamsburg, Grimes, no. 3787. Brunswick County: bottomland of Pope Creek, southeast of Ebony, Fernald, no. 14,591. Mecklenburg County: old field, north of Clarksville, F. R. Fosberg, no. 15,461.
    *A. vineale L., forma compactum (Thuill.) Asch. \& Graebn. Norfolk County: "Western Branch, Julio 1840", F. Rugel.

[^108]:    *Spiranthes tuberosa Raf., var. Grayi (Ames) Fernald in Rhodora, xlviii. 189 (1946). Henrico County: dry rocky woods, campus of University of Richmond, September 21, 1934, Alice Ryland.

    As pointed out by me, in Rhodora, 1. c. 6 and 10 (1946), the plant which has erroneously passed as Spiranthes Beckii Lindl. (1840) must be called S. tuberosa Raf. (1833). Somewhat later, pp. 189-192, it was shown that typical S. tuberosa, common in eastern Virginia and southward, has a 1 -sided or essentially secund spike, its flowers rather distant and not very strongly overlapping. In New England, south rarely to Virginia, var. Grayi occurs, the spike definitely spiraling, its numerous close spirals with crowded flowers.
    *S. lacera Raf. Princess Anne County: clay ditches bordering pine woods, Virginia Beach, Fernald \& Long, no. 3873; sandy pineland, Cape Henry, Fernald, Griscom \& Long, no. 4618; both distributed as $S$. gracilis.

    For detailed discussion and illustration see Fernald in RhodoRA, xlviii. 5 and 6-9, plate 993 (1946).

[^109]:    (To be continued.)

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although the binomial Gordonia Lasianthus is aceredited to Linnaeus ("Linn. Mant. ii. 570") in Index Kewensis, while the genus is properly ascribed to "Ellis, in Phil. Trans. Ix. (1770) 518, t. 11", it certainly was Ellis's binomial. After his very full account of the characters of the genus Ellis gave a very detailed plate of "Gordonia Lasianthus. Vulgo Loblolly Bay" and the explanation of his plate is of "Gordonia Lasianthus". In Mantissa Altera in 1771 Linnaeus had Gordonia without a word of description or a reference to John Ellis, the Linnaean Gordonia thus being a mere nomen. The binomial G. Lasianthus, resting on Hypericum Lasianthus L. (1753), had a proper basis under an undefined generic name, but Ellis's earlier and identical binomial has right-of-way

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ When, in Claytonia, ii, 36 and 37 (1936), I enumerated 29 species which had been standing as Virginian without wholly clear title, I had written "it would be reassuring to see authentic material of Gordonia Lasianthus from indigenous Virginian trees". Unfortunately, however, the mimeographed issue of the journal, of which I saw no proof, stated that "it was reassuring" etc. I wish that such wishful thinking were true!
    ${ }^{2}$ "Loblolly, a loutish or foolish person, nautically loblolly-boy or surgeon's assistant, is a nautical name It was early used in the West Indies as a plant name, and appears in Plukenet's Almagestum Botanicum
    in 1696 , where this phrase occurs on page 38: 'Arbor Indica baccifera Verbasci foliis lanuginosa, Loblolly Barbadensibus dicta'. Plukenet's plant is Cordia macrophylla, Mill., which thus appears to be the first tree to which the name Loblolly was applied in print".-Sargent, Silva, i. 42 (1890).
    ${ }^{3}$ Trans. Am. Phil. Soc. n. s. xxxiii. pts. i (1942) and ii (1943).

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Southern Virginia" of the treatment in the North American Fl. xxviii B, 200 (1945), one of its authors being the author of Ptilimnium viviparum of northern Virginia, should obviously be dropped. The range of Oxypolis ternata on the same page (in 1945) could have been extended into the baffling "southern Virginia" on the basis of collections reported in Rhodora, xli. 552, with map 8 on p. 471 (1939).

[^113]:    ${ }^{2}$ Fraxinus americana L., var. biltmoreana (Beadle) J. Wright in Gray Herb., July, 1941. F. biltmoreana Beadle in Bot. Gaz. xxv. 358 (1898).

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ In spite of his ultra-English spelling of Baldwin, Nuttall's dedication of the genus Balduina was a model: "Dedicated as a just tribute of respect for the talents and industry of William Baldwyn, M.D., late of Savannah in Georgia; a gentleman whose botanical zeal and knowledge has rarely been excelled in America".

[^115]:    (*) Contributions from the Gray Herbarium of Harvard University, No. CLXIV.
    (**) See: Smith \& Schubert in Darwiniana V. 78-117 (1941); in Field Mus. Pub. Bot. xiii, pt. 4. No. 1, 181-202 (1941) ; in Rev. Univ. Cuzco xxxiii, No. 87, 71-93 (1945).

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cited simply as "Kl. Begon." hereafter.

[^117]:    (*) Continued from page 38.

[^118]:    (*) Continued from page 107.

[^119]:    4. Tepals yellow, 5-6 mm. long, staminate tepals only 4; styles bifid; placentae simple.
    5. B. lutea.
