Constance S. Shell

$$
\text { ひ. G. Classics } 310 .
$$

?

## PINDAR:

THE NEMEAN AND ISTHMIAN ODES.

# Zundon: C. J. CLAY, M.A. \& SON, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE, <br> 17, Paternoster Row. 



CAMBRIDGE: DEIGHTON, BELL, AND CO.
LEIPZIG: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

## PINDAR:

## THE NEMEAN AND ISTHMIAN ODES,

WITH NOTES EXPLANATORY AND CRITICAL, INTRODUCTIONS, AND INTRODUCTORY ESSAYS,

C. A. M. FENNELL, M.A. late fellow of jesus college, ctymbidge.

kdited por the syndics of the dntwrisity press.

CAMBRIDGE:
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
1883
[All Rights reserved.]

This volume is respectfully dedicated to the memory of the warmhearted man and accomplished scholar-to whose advice and encouragement not a little of the form and quality of this edition is due

## PREFACE.

IT seems advisable to make the following additions to the remarks and acknowledgments contained in the Preface to my edition of the Olympian and Pythian Odes, 1879. Since that date there have been some important extensions of the Literature of Pindar, among which may be mentioned Pindar's Siegesliede erklärt von Friedrich Mezger, Leipzig, 1880. This volume has been found of great use. Though I have not always acquiesced in Prof. Mezger's views as to the structure of the odes, I fully admit the importance of his observation of the recurrence of the same or similar words and phrases in the same ode. He has applied to Pindar's poems the theory of Westphal (Prolegomena zu Aeschylus' Tragoedien, Leipzig 1869, pp. 81 f .), that they were framed on the lines of Terpandros' vó $\mu$ os, the most perfect specimens, as Ol . vi. Pyth. I., consisting of $\pi \rho \circ o i \not \mu \iota o \nu, a \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{a}, \kappa а \tau а т \rho о \pi a ́, ~ o ̀ ~ \mu \phi а-~$入ós, $\mu \in \tau а к а \tau а т \rho o \pi a ́, ~ \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i ́ s, ~ e ̀ \xi ́ g o ́ \delta \iota o v . ~ I ~ a g r e e ~ w i t h ~$ Prof. Seymour that "all this says little more than that each ode has an $\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta^{\prime}, \mu$ é $\sigma o \nu, ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon U \tau \eta$, a bèginning, middle and end, with the necessary transitions." It is natural that the thought which introduced the middle of the ode should recur on its dismissal, and that at the close of the poem there should be echoes of the opening.

The fullest literary criticism is to be found in $L a$ poésie de Pindare et les lois du lyrisme Grec, Alfred Croiset, Paris, 1880.

Professor R. C. Jebb's truly admirable paper on Pindar in the Journal of Hellenic Studies, is a model of what an essay on the character and style of an ancient poet ought to be. He shows clearly and briefly that "the most indispensable commentary on Pindar" is the "reconstruction of Old Greek Life."

Selected Odes of Pindar, with Notes and an Introduction, by Thomas D. Seymour, Greek Professor in Yale College, Boston, 1882, is a welcome evidence that our brethren across the Atlantic are studying Pindar to good purpose.

Students of Greek metres can consult Ueber den Bau der Pindarischen Strophen, Mor. Schmidt, Leipzig, 1882.

Reference may also be made to Bräuning, Th. F. G., de adjectivis compositis apud Pindarum, Berlin, 1881; Brayer, Berth., Analecta Pindarica. I Dissert. inaugur., Berlin, 1880 ; Lübbert, Ed., Pindar's Leben und Dichtungen. Vortrag, Bonn, 1882 ; de Pindari carmine Pyth. I. Kiel, 1880; id. Ol. x. Kiel, 1881.

I have given all the Fragments which give, or profess to give Pindar's own words, but have omitted several fragmenta incerta which only give the drift of Pindar's version of mythological points. An asterisk before the number of a fragment indicates that its classification does not rest on express testimony.

For the references to the books whence the Fragments are taken I have trusted to Böckh and Bergk; they are given because it is often needful to know the context in which a fragment stands to make it thoroughly available for purposes of argument or research.

The index-to the notes of both volumes-which is in some cases supplementary to the notes, has been for the most part prepared by Mr B. Benham, M.A., of Corpus Christi College. To him and to Mr H. J. C. Knight, of St Catharine's College, I am indebted for great assistance in revision of proof.

I desire to express my hearty thanks to Dr C. B. Scott for many corrections of and additions to my volume on the Olympian and Pythian Odes, and for advice which I have endeavoured to follow; to the Public Orator for lending me Ms. marginal notes to Cookesley's edition taken by a Clare man from the late Mr Arthur Holmes' lectures; to Professor Colvin for kindly selecting and seeing to the illustrative coins; to Mr Fanshawe and Professor Postgate for many notes; and to Dr Waldstein for very valuable information as to the pentathlon.

The comparative prominence of the critical work in this volume has to some extent crowded out etymology.

I have ascertained that the Emmanuel ms., which originally contained the Pythians and Nem. I. II. III., belongs to the Moschopulean family.

It may seem that I have not profited as much as I might by one friendly criticism, namely, the suggestion that I sometimes gave too many explanations of one passage. I admit that as a rule it is a great mistake in an editor to seem to halt between two (or more) opinions. But I have sometimes given the views of others as well as my own, so as to give teachers and mature scholars the materials on which to exercise their own judgment in case they were dissatisfied with mine. In other cases I have come to the unsatisfactory conclusion, after strenuous and prolonged efforts to arrive at some one definite solution of a problem, either that it was insoluble or that
there were not in my possession sufficient data upon which to decide between alternative proposals; and in such cases I think candour is preferable to arbitrary selection. Pindar is so exceptionally difficult an author that few who read his odes will be in danger of inferring from an editor's occasional indecision that any given set of Greek words may mean almost anything you please. No doubt critics are perfectly right to protest against any semblance of the tendency, shown in several modern commentaries, towards unjustifiable vacillation.

My views as to the chronology of several of the Nemean and Isthmian Odes, given in Olympian and Pythian Odes, pp. xxxi. xxxii. will be found to have changed during the preparation of this volume. In particular I have found that Isth. Iv. is a Nemean Ode (B.c. 479) since I wrote the Introduction to it and to Nem. v. which I should now date b.c. 483 or earlier, while Isth. v. should be placed B.C. 482 or earlier. Isth. viI. and Isth. III. are dated B.C. 478 . Again, Nem. III. should be dated shortly before b.c. 458 , and Nem. viif. before B.c. 462 or just after.

It will be many years before a second edition is required, but I should be very grateful for criticisms of both volumes, as I am already preparing for the eventual issue of a revised edition.

The references to Liddell and Scott are to the sixth edition.

The Fragments are numbered according to Bergk's 3rd Ed., the numbers of his 4th Ed. being added with B ${ }^{4}$ prefixed. Böckh's numbers are given in brackets.

## INTRODUCTION.

## THE PENTATHLON.

My explanation of Nem, viI. 72, 73 differs materially from that of Prof. Gardner and Dr Pinder (Der Fünfkampf der Hellenen, Berlin, 1867), and moreover my view of the nature of the pentathlon is, I believe, to a great extent new. It seems advisable therefore to explain and defend my position at greater length than the limits of a commentary permit.

I agree substantially with Professor Gardner as to the
 (better ${ }^{1}$ äкшv $\left.\delta i \sigma \kappa o s\right) ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu o s ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \eta$, and I had anticipated his view of the ephedros in my note on Ol. viII. 68. I also agree with Prof. Gardner and Dr Pinder that victory in only three contests was necessary to win the prize (in spite of Aristides, Panather. p. 341).

But I hold in opposition to Professor Gardner that the competitors all contended at once in leaping, discus-throwing, and spear-throwing, and also in running, save that all competitors who were beaten by one competitor (or more) in the first three contests may have at once retired as beaten. Similarly all wrestled, or at least those who had not been beaten by any one competitor in three out of the first four contests.

This retirement is a natural consequence of what I hold to be the qualification for ultimate victory, namely to defeat

[^0]EACH AND ALL OTHER COMPETITORS IN SOME (NOT NECESSARILY THE SAME) THREE CONTESTS OUT OF THE FIVE. ThuS I do not, like $\operatorname{Dr}$ Pinder, force the meaning of $\nu \kappa \kappa \hat{\alpha} v$, but only distribute its application.

It follows from my hypothesis that the first in wrestling, if there was any, won the pentathlon.

But still a winner could not, as Prof. Gardner urges, in objection to Dr Pinder's scheme, "be very inferior in the three first contests."

On my hypothesis, precisely the same man would (barring the different incidence of fatigue and the ephedros question) win as on Prof. Gardner's, which I here quote from p. 219 of his paper in the Journal of Hellenic Studies, Vol. r. pp. 210 - 223 (hereafter referred to by page numbers in brackets) :

[^1]As to Dr Pinder Prof. Gardner writes, ib. p. 217 :
"Dr Pinder's own notion is that the circle of the competitors was " narrowed after each successive competition. If after the leaping only " five competitors were allowed to remain in, and in each of the subse" quent contests the worst man were excluded, it is clear that by the " time the wrestling came on only two would be left, between whom the " final victory would lie."

Dr Pinder narrows the circle of competitors after the second contest, not after the first (Fünfkampf, pp. 77, 79) to four, three, two successively in the last three contests.

This view seems at once untenable, because
$A$ who was successively $5,4,3,2,1$ might win from $B$ who was $1,1,1,1,2$, a case which is at variance with common sense and (as Prof. Gardner shews) with all the slight testimony given by antiques and by writers. Still it is quite pnssible that a minimum of proficiency was required in the first four contests, as Dr Pinder assumes in regard to the first.

In supporting the objection to Dr Pinder's view that "if it were true, those contests which Dr Pinder asserts to be the most important," the first three, "would count for very little." Professor Gardner says (p. 217) "A man might be but third in all the three contests I have mentioned, and yet win by wrestling. In this case, why should his statue bear the halteres and his prize-vase contain no allusion to wrestling?" Yet these remarks are almost equally antagonistic to the application of Prof. Gardner's scheme to Flavius Philostratos' Argonautic pentathlon (de Gymn. § 3); for Prof. Gardner (p. 221) entertains two cases in which Pêleus wins, though third in leaping.

According to Professor Gardner's view of the comparative merits of the heroes, Pêleus was only third best in each of the first four contests. In assuming that Zêtês or Kalaïs might be left in for the last heat (on his own system) he must imply that either of them might beat Lynkeus and Telamôn in wrestling; as the sons of Boreas were last in merit in discus and spear-throwing. Now suppose the heats were as follows :

one heat to justify the haltêres on his prize. If any justification beyond artistic requirements (see Dr Waldstein's letter) be needed it is furnished by the evidence (Flav. Phil. de Gymn. 55) that unless a man leapt well he ran a great chance of knocking himself up, and also by the premier position of the leaping in the order of the contests.

On my hypothesis, according to Prof. Gardner's own view of the heroes' merit, we get the subjoined simple scheme.

|  | $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \alpha$ | «ั\% $\omega \nu$ | ס\%бкоя | סро́ 0 о | $\pi a ́ \lambda \eta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lynkeas | 4 or 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 or 4 |  |
| Telamon | 5 or 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 or 5 |  |
| Kalaïs | 1 or 2 | 5 or 4 | 4 or 5 | 2 or 1 |  |
| Zêtês | 2 or 1 | 4 or 5 | 5 or, 4 | 1 or 2 |  |
| Pêleus | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 vins |

If the larger of the alternative numbers be chosen or excluded, all five competitors remain in for the wrestling.

I need not make any assumption as to the numbers in the case of Tisamenos. Pausanias says of him, III. 11. 6, ov̉̃ $\omega$


 к.т.入. Her. ix. 33 tells us that Tıoá $\mu \in v o s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ e ̂ v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a c \sigma \mu a ~$
 the only competitors and Hierônymos was first in spear and discus-throwing Pausanias seems to say too much and too little.

Theoretically any number of competitors might stay in for the wrestling, as for example if the order of $n-1$ competitors $A_{1} A_{9} \& c$. ( $n$ being greater than 2) in the first four contests were $A_{1}, 1,1, n-1, n-1 ; A_{2}, 2,2, n-2, n-2 ; \ldots ;$ $A_{n-1}, n-1, n-1,1,1$.

But practically there would almost always be some competitors already beaten after the 3 rd and 4 th contests; and often, no doubt, the ultimate victor would be absolutely first in three out of the first four contests.

My hypothesis avoids the following difficulty entailed by assuming that each kind of contest was decided separately and also that three absolute victories were necessary to gain the prize. If two competitors were each first twice, or if 3,4 , or 5 competitors were each first once, we have on these assumptions no means of determining the final decision.

I will now indicate the difficulties which I consider fatal to Prof. Gardner's theory, but which mine avoids.

First Prof. Gardner admits (p. 221) "that at first sight" Xenophôn's language, Hellenica, vir. 4, "would seem to imply that the running contests of the pentathlon took place all at once."

Secondly, he seems to be obliged to assume that seven competitors is an extreme case, and only to be able to fit the three heats required in this case "provided, of course, that they went on at the same time as other contests." Now as to the numbers he says (p. 220): "Indeed it is doubtful if more than three usually contested in boxing and wrestling at Olympia." There happens to be a little indirect evidence on this point. Ol. viII. 38 tells us that from eleven to sixteen boys competed in wrestling at once. Of course when the term モ $\phi \in \delta \rho o s$ was used metaphorically the case which naturally presented itself was the " $\phi \in \delta \rho o s$ at the most critical stage of a contest, namely when only three were left in, and proves nothing as to the original number of competitors. We must not forget that the pentathlon "was in high favour among the Greeks" (p. 210), so that a theory as to the nature of the pentathlon ought to admit of as many competing in the boys' pentathlon (Nem. viI) as are implicitly recorded to have competed at once in the boys' wrestling. Prof. Gardner's heats would have taken as long in the case of five competitors
as in his " extreme case" of seven. Then as to the pentathlon going on during other contests Pausanias tells us, vi. 24. 1, that the pentathlon took place towards the middle of the day after the running, and before wrestling and the pankration. This passage then supports the "at first sight" interpretation of Xenophôn, Hellenica, vir. 4, as also does Nem. vir. 72-74, to which I shall return. The most conclusive ${ }^{1}$ passaye on this point is Pausanias v. 9. 3, which tells us that, in the 77th Olympiad the horse-racing and pentathlon were deferred to a second day, because they, especially the pentathlon, extended the pankration to night. This passage, together with $i b$. vi. 24. 1, proves that the pentathlon did not go on simultaneously with other contests. These citations offer an argument against the system of heats for the pentathlon as they tend to shew that contests which took place in the same place came together. First the scene was in the dromos, then in the hippodromos, then the pentathlon in leaping- and hurling-ground, dromos, and wrestling-place whence there was no further move till night.

Thirdly comes the difficulty presented by the great advantage which an ephedros would have over competitors who had wrestled. Prof. Gardner justly says (p. 214) "We cannot help wondering what sort of a throw with a spear an athlete could make after a bout or two of wrestling."

This remark suggests a fourth difficulty, namely, that when one or more couples in the first heat had wrestled the performance in the subsequent heats would have been miserable.

Fifthly, it seems strange that a popular contest should be carried on during other contests, and that its interest should be divided.

Sixthly, time being an important consideration, a system of heats presupposes expenditure of time, while the pentathloi pass more than once from leaping-place to $\delta \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{os}$, and thence to wrestling-ground.
${ }^{1}$ Pointed out by Mr Ridgeway.

My supposition that it was not necessary for the victor to be absolutely first except in wrestling is not only supported by the above-mentioned case of Pêleus, which was most probably in accordance with the usage of the historic pentathlon,




 by Plato, p. 138 d, Erastae, Пóтєроข ov̉v каì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau а и ̃ т а ~ \lambda є ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, ~}$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тòv ф८入óбофov, к.т.入. Even in Plutarch Symp. Probl. ix.
 definite classes of letters are vanquished at each contest ${ }^{1}$, so that this passage can scarcely be quoted to support heats on Prof. Gardner's plan. Prof. Gardner cites the Scholiast ad Aristidem, ov̉X öтt $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega s$ oi $\pi \epsilon ́ v \tau a \theta \lambda o t ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ v \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \sigma \iota v, ~ a ’ \rho \kappa є i ̂ ~$ $\gamma$ àp av่тots $\gamma^{\prime} \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i ́ \kappa \eta \nu(E d$. Frommel, p. 112). But



Plutarch and Aristides allude either to the most famous pentathloi of old, who would naturally occur first to the minds of late writers, if they thought of old times at all, or perhaps to the exhibitions of professional athletes of their own times ; while Plato refers to ordinary cases in the fifth and fourth centuries b.c. The authority of the Scholiast ad Aristidem is perhaps somewhat lowered by the fact that he does not repudiate the idea that the pankration might have taken the place generally assigned to the leaping (see, however, Plin. N.H. xxxiv. c. 19). But it is not my desire to damage his authority, for the three passages on the $\tau \rho \iota a \gamma \mu$ ós do not "prove beyond all cavil that for victory in the pentathlon it was

[^2]necessary to win three events" (p. 217), but simply that the winning of three events was a familiar case.

The appointment of only three Hellânodikae for the pentathlon is to my mind almost an argument against pairs being set to work simultaneously; for one official is required at the starting line to see that the leap or throw is fair, and another to determine the lengths, unless the one walks backwards and forwards, so wasting a great deal of time.

Then again an extra judge might well be wanted to see that in the first two contests, or one of them, competitors did not purposely take it easy, which would give them a considerable unfair advantage in the last three or four contests.

The placing of several competitors in three or four contests, which I have assumed, takes more judging than merely placing the first two. But after all the appointment of three Hellânodikae is fully accounted for by the pentathlon taking such a much longer time than the other contests.

It is not easy to see why the question of stopping the pentathlon owing to the disqualification of a competitor (pp. 222, 224) should be raised by Dr Pinder with respect to Nem. vir. $72-74$. The notion of disgrace does not generally attach to the verb $\mathfrak{e} \kappa \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \omega$; and in the case of a competitor who had won in the leaping alone with a strong chance of winning either the discus-hurling or the fnot-race, success in the spear-throw would "send him off" in triumph from the wrestling. Since a false throw would presumably make a competitor last in the second contest, he would therefore on Dr Pinder's theory retire beaten whether disqualified or not. It does not even follow that a false throw would disqualify in the spear-throwing alone; but even if another try were allowed a false throw would be highly detrimental to success. I have often seen the best jump or throw (of ball or hammer) disallowed at an early stage of the contest to the discomfiture of the competitor who had thus wasted his best effort.

Even if my interpretation were wrong, and the poet were
alluding to a false throw often preventing a man wrestling, it is mere assumption to talk of disqualification and stoppage of the pentathlon. For the competitor who won the discushurling would often if he had lost the spear-throwing be debarred from wrestling by his principal rival beating him (or being first) in leaping, spear-throwing, and running. Now Prof. Gardner, though he speaks of "five very various contests" (p. 217) calls discus- and spear-throwing "two very kindred contests" (p. 217) suggesting that "perhaps there was no absolutely fixed order" for these two. But Flavius Philostratus tells us that the discus-throwing was $\beta$ apvis and spearthrowing кov̂фos. It seems to me that a frequent distribution would be that suggested by the actual case of Tisamenos and Hierônymos-Tisamenos superior in leaping and running, and Hierônymos in discus-hurling, so that the spear-throwing was a crucial point in this contest. Had Tisamenos won it, the words $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu \pi a \lambda a \omega \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ would at any rate have applied to Hierônymos. I take it that the representatives of кovфót $\eta$ s and $\beta$ ápos were not seldom more evenly matched in this contest than in the four others. Hence perhaps its prominence on vases (p. 216) and Pindar's allusions Nem. viI. 72-74.

I am fortunate in being able to correct and supplement my own remarks by the subjoined letter.

## My dear Fennell,

The only information bearing on the special question you are treating of, which I am capable of giving, is based upon a study of the general history of athletic games and palaestric institutions in their relation to Greek social and political life and more especially in their relation to Greek art.

Let me point out one interesting point which has strongly impressed itself upon me. The principle of the pictorial decoration of a large number of athletic prize-vases is identical with the principle on which Pindar forms his odes. In both vase-paintings and odes we have an indication of the special victory for which they were composed, while in both cases the individual victory and game

> F. II.
are illustrated and glorified by a corresponding contest or association from the mythological world. As Pindar generally introduces some feat of prowess of a hero or demigod, so the prize-vases generally have on the one side a representation illustrating the special game from actual life, while the other side contains the supposed mythological prototype of such a contest, Peleus and Atalante, Herakles' and the Nemean Lion, Theseus and the Minotaur, \&c., \&c.

The study of the history of the Greek Palaestra shows most clearly one general principle, the recognition of which I believe to be essential to a correct understanding of the nature of this institution, as well as of importance in an attempt to determine any question concerning the special points of any individual game. This general principle concerning the origin and subsequent modification of Greek games is contained in the requirements of the social and political welfare of the ancient communities. At least as to historical times, it has become quite clear to me that the various games were consciously meant to meet certain political wants, or were modified by these wants, perhaps without the full consciousness of purpose on the part of those who did thrus modify them. Especially after the Persian war, when the public Palaestrae became fully organised, they were more consciously meant to provide for the physical education of Greek youths, the ultimate aim of which education, as is well known, was to produce good citizens who could guard the integrity of the state as strong and agile soldiers. No doubt in the subsequent stages we find that this ultimate aim is lost sight of, and that what was to be a means to a higher end becomes the end in itself, this leading to an overstraining of the importance of the athletic games and to professional athletes. Within this palaestric organisation we can distinguish various subdivisions corresponding to the various requirements of a good physical education. When once the games had become systematised, the first broad distinction is between the heavy and light games; the $\beta$ apùs and cov̂фos to which you draw at-. tention, those that tended to develop more the strength, and those that developed more the agility. Boxing and the Pankration, for instance, are heavy games; while rumning, jumping, and throwing the spear, are light. Every quality that tended to make a perfect soldier had its own game. A geod rumer, a good jumper, an agile wrestler, a boxer with powerful arms for thrusting and skill in parrying, all tended to make a good soldier. No doubt in the
schools, a man who was found deficient in any one requisite (say in fleetness) was chiefly made to practise the corresponding games. Nay, we have evidence that for weaknesses of special muscles a special course of exercise was undergone. Nothing proves this consciousness of purpose in the form that directed these organisations better than the subsequent introduction of the hoplite running, in Ol. 65, and of the mule race, when it seemed desirable to encourage the breeding of these animals.

The more the games were thus specialised and corresponded to separate requirements in man, the more did need become felt to have a game which encouraged the all-round man. Such a game is most specifically Greek. Now the aim and essence of the Pentathlon was thus to supplement the other, specialised, games, and to encourage and produce all-round strength and agility. The more we recognise this fundamental truth concerning the Pentathlon, the more shall we have to bear in mind, that the aim and intention would always be to make the victory depend as far as possible upon the best man in all the five constituent contests or at least in as many as possible.

The fact that Pentathlon prize-vases very often have only representations of three of the games, can be no guide as to the nature of the game itself, for the class of figures represented in these paintings is only influenced by artistic requirements, i.e. by the fact that certain games can more readily be represented in single figures than others. It is an easy thing for a vase-painter or sculptor to represent a youth as a jumper, a discus-thrower or a spear-thrower, for he need merely place in his hands halteres, a diskos, or a spear. It is more difficult to represent among several others a wrestler or a runner. This can only be done with clearness by representing a pair of youths wrestling, or a number running, which is often represented on Panathenaic vases destined to be prizes for one of these single games, but these are not subjects that can be easily composed into a number of figures placed together on a limited space, and each expressing part of the game illustrated by the whole group. Thus it is that of the five games of the Pentathlon, three especially serve as pictorial types, i.e. ä́к $\omega \nu, a ̈ \lambda \mu \alpha$, , $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma$ кos. But often vases evidently pentathlic have merely one scene. I have met with Pentathlon vases with merely two games of the five, diskos and spear, or spear and halteres. In some cases even the connexion between the mytho-
logical scenes on the one side and the scenes from real life on the other, to which I alluded above, has served the vase-painter in giving a full illustration of the Pentathlon, the mythological scenes illustrating those games which the athletic scenes do not represent. So a kylix in Paris is evidently pentathlic from the mythological scenes of struggle represented on the border of the outside, while in the medallion on the inside there is but one of the contests figured, namely a youth with halteres.

Finally let me point out that if in literature the Diskos is mentioned before the Akontismos, this must be from literary reasons, if there is any design in the order at all. The nature of the two games precludes the possibility of such a sequence. The Diskos as compared with the Akontismos was $\beta$ apús, while the Akontismos was light and required above all things steadiness of eye and arm. Now the effect of a great strain in hurling a heavy body at a distance is that the hand and arm tremble for some time after, and are the opposite of steady. Surely the throwing the hammer would in our day not be a good preparation for the shooting of an arrow.

Yours very truly,
CHARLES WALDSTEIN.

## ON SOME SPECIAL CASES OF THE CAUSATIVE MIDDLE.

The familiar use of the Middle in a Causative sense consists of cases in which the object of the active verb is identical
 rears a child,' èктр́́申єтal $\pi a \imath \hat{\delta} a$ ' he has a child reared.' This construction is generally recognised, though some cases of it seem to have escaped notice. For instance in Soph. Trach. 1167, $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ is not 'I wrote out for my own use,' but 'I got written out,' 'I caused to be written.' So in Pindar $\kappa \omega \mu \mu^{\prime} \sigma(\xi) \rho \mu a \iota$ is only used in the first person singular of the poet who will 'make to be sung-by-the-kômos,' Pyth. ix. 89, Isth. III. 90 , while when the Muses are expected to accompany the poet to the revel at Aetna, Nem. ix. 1, we have кшн⿰́aбomev. I think I have broken down Donaldson's explanation, that ' Pindar uses a middle form for the future of active verbs signifying to utter a sound,' in my note on Nem. ix. 43. In support of the subsidiary theory that the active future is used when the sense is deliberative or prohibitory only three passages are adduced. One is the strong negative $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta}$ avi $\delta \alpha^{-}-$
 which is an ordinary future; the third is probably an aorist subjunctive, Aesch. Persae 640, $\delta a \beta$ ßó́ $\sigma$, at any rate this Doric form can hardly be taken to express a different shade of meaning from that of the Attic middle future ${ }^{1}$. Other cases in which the causative sense seems more appropriate than the

[^3]ordinary rendering are àmò $\delta \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota$ Frag. 99. 8, àvєфávato Isth. III [Iv]. 89. If it be true that $\dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \rho \chi \in$, Nem. Iv. 46
 'I offer (cause to receive) first-fruits' with the personal object suppressed. Compare also катápXєıv, Frag. 57 в of the goddess in whose honour there was tò катápұєбөat. The ordinary causal sense is also well suited to Euripides, Hippol. 618,

 natural subject to $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi^{\prime} \sigma \theta a t$, 'to cause this to be provided.'

With respect to another class of instances, much more diffidence is natural, because the proposal to take the middle as causative involves a construction which does not appear to be generally recognised : that is to say, what would be the subject of the active is the object of the middle verb. Such is generally the construction of causal verbs in Sanskrit.

Thus I have proposed to render $\pi$ rуүиахía à $\pi \epsilon \phi$ ávaтo oíкov, Nem. vi. 26, 'boxing is wont to make (no) house to give account.'
 not admit of a very satisfactory interpretation unless it be 'swiftness of foot makes (men) contend.' The omission of the object is easily to be defended. [I have even proposed to alter

 $\mu \epsilon i \beta \epsilon a \iota ;$-we have a more obvious case of causal middle; and this brings me to the few instances I can call to mind of this construction outside Pindar. Professor Paley gives a causative sense to á $\mu$ é $\psi \epsilon \tau a t$ in Aesch. Choëph. 965 [952 P.]
 note runs '....the word has here as in Theb. 851 (ôs aièv $\delta \iota^{\text {i }}$
 middle sense "will bring a change on the house."' The three references given by Paley, Theb. 851, are foreign to my purpose. In the face of Pyth. vi. 14, and also on account of the difficulties, metrical and exegetical, which it involves, I cannot
accept Mr Verrall's proposal to change the passage in the Choëphorae (Journ. of Philol. Vol. Ix. p. 121). I approve an anonymous emendation (mentioned by Mr Verrall, small ed.) of Medea, 1266, каí $\sigma \epsilon$ (for каi) $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \eta \grave{s}$ - фóvos ả $\mu \epsilon i-$ $\beta$ єтo..', 'ill-intending murder bringeth a change over thee,' which is suggested by the metre as well as the sense of the
 $\gamma^{\prime}$ '̇ $\mu$ ov $\sigma \tau v \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o v ;-$ on $m y$ side causing hatred,' seems

 'Two-fold strife no longer lets the relations of the children (towards each other) unite in friendly mode of life.'

I would suggest that in Aesch. Sept. c. Theb. 57, 58, apí $\sigma$ tovs ävסpas.... тáyevraı is 'set the best men as captains.' Paley refers to Eur. Herakleidae 164, tá⿱宀бधєat, where he says ' not by himself but by the aid of his officers: hence the middle;' so that he seems to admit the possibility of the less frequent construction in the case of tóyevaal

Perhaps Eur. Bacch. 593, ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda a \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \tau a t$ is ' will cause the cry of victory.' Euripides furnishes a very clear case of the causal
 ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda a \phi o v$, 'caused to leave (keep away from) the chorus as a deer.'

 that many more cases could easily be found, and further that many cases have been misunderstood and altered by scribes and grammarians.

In the causal use of the middle the subject is not the agent but the authorizer of the action. In the second class of cases which I have dealt with the object is the object of the authorization, in the first class the object is the object of the authorised action.

[^4]
## METRICAL SCHEMES．

Nem．I．－ヘレーシーレニ Str．
－ヘレーートレレーレいき
レレレーレuミ
ーーレーーーレレーレいき
ーレーーーレマ


ぶいーームレーームレレーレレームいき
Ep．



Nem．II، uレレレレニv
－ヒレuーレーレーテ



Nem．III．－レレレーレーレヒレuートvネ
Str．

※゙ットレレーレートレがい


－ーレーテーレいで
－レーレヒレuーレーーレニ


METRICAL SCHEMES．
－vuーレーひいーシーレーー
Ep．





Str．
こーテイレいそ





こーレレーレーレーシ

ユuv-uv--
-v--

-     - v-ォ́レu - -
-     - vu-vuー-ーレーテレu-
- 

ニーuvーuv-ームuそ
டv--

uvヒールuーuv-
こーuv-uv-v-u〔

ヶuvームuvーuv－ホ́vー
－し い ふuー－－
－－v－－v－－v－－v -
ーuvーレ－ড
－ーレuーーレ－－い－
こーレい
いーレー－
$\stackrel{x}{\sim}-兀 \cup \cup-\cup \simeq$
－ーレ $-\cup \cup-\cup v \cong$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - v - - - ーレー - - い }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { いーレーーレレー灾いと }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ざぃーレレーボィーぃい }
\end{aligned}
$$


Str．
ーレーシーレーートレーーンレいい


ーレーモイレーーレーテーレーテ
－ーレuーvuーーレーームレ
ーレーーーレuーレuそ

－ーレーーーレーレツ
ーレーーーレレーレuームレソ

Nem．IX．－uv－uvー－ーレuーuvー－


 －ーレーーーレーーーレーシ


ーuーートレレーu そ
ーuーテーレレー い－－ー い


ーレーーセレレーレレーー ユレニ


ユuvーuvーーールーテ



Str．


ーレーーーレーーーレレ

ーuvーuvー－$-\cup v-u v ー$
ーレーームレレーレレーートレそ

ユレuーレuーーーレレーニレー
－uーーーレーーーレ
ーレーーレーームレレー い い

Str．
ーuレーレuーテーu
ユuーーーレレーレレーテ

> -uvーuレと
> こーレーートレuーレいㅡ

Str．

ーレーーーレーシーレレーレレーテ
ப い－い－こー レーこ
ユレーートレーー ーレーテ

Ep．
ノu $-\cup \cup-ー$－
ーレーーーレーテ

ーuーートレuーv－
ーレー゙ーーレーテ
Isth．I1I．－ンーテーレーマレレーートレーヲ
Str．

ー い い い い いーテレーー
」uレーレレーーーレーテ

ーレーートレーームレーテ

Ep．
トuレーu－こ
ユレーモレレそ
－ー レーニーレー


Isth．IV．டレーモーレuーレuーシ

ムuーートレレーレレーシ

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ユレレーレuミ }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ーuー-ヘレuーいいーテ } \\
& \text { ーvーーーレーーーレー } \\
& \text { ーvーーー レレーレレㄴ } \\
& \text {-レームレuソ } \\
& \text { ーレーーーレーー ーレレー }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ユレーレーレレーレレ } \\
& \text { - vレーレレーレレーー - } \\
& \text { ユレレーレレーーセレーモ } \\
& \text { Isth. V. -ーレーーーレレーレuー } \\
& \text { ユレーートレーー レu い }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ーレーニーレレーレuそ } \\
& \text { - ーレーームい }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ぶレーールーー む い - }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - ーレーートレーツ } \\
& \text { ーレーートレレーレレー } \\
& \text { ーレーートレレーレレーー } \\
& \text { ーuーートいーートレuーレuー }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ーuーーキレーートvuーuv- } \\
& \text { - - v-ームu - - v - - - v }
\end{aligned}
$$

> Str.
> Isth. VI. uvーuvーuーレーテ
> Str.
> こーレレーレーレーレーレレㄴ
> - ユレーテレレレーレーー
> - - v - - - - - シ

> Ep.
> - - vuーレー ー v - -
－$-\cup \cup-\cup-$
いい $\cup \cup-\cup ー レ ー シ ~$

－vuーー

Isth．VII．$\cup セ エ レ ー \cup \cup ー レ ー シ ~$
デーレーレーレレそ




区ニレuレーレбーレーテ


ふ́vーーボvーボvそ
レームレレーレいい


## ERRATA.

P. 5, note, v. 8, l. 55, for $\mathrm{i}_{\mu \omega \nu}$ read v̈ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$.
,, 14, note, $v .64, l .5$, for definite pron. read indef. pron.
,, 31, text, v. 84, for ä́no read árd, and alter lemma
,, 46, text, v. 91, for ă $\mathrm{a} \tau \mathrm{a}$ read *ả้ $\tau \iota^{*}$ (MSS. $\tau t s$ )
62, text, v. 30, for olx. réad пapoıर.
,, 64, text, v. 53, for кaгaßás read кaraßàs, with comma at end of verse
, 91, note, v. 38, l. 12, for 90 read 20
, 95, note, $v .7$ (end) for $\pi \rho_{0} \sigma-\mid \phi o \rho o s$, read $\pi \rho o \sigma-\mid \phi o ́ \rho o s$.
,, 121, text, v. 10, for $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ read $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\beta} \sigma a \iota$
,, 132, text, v. 32, for Побєь $\delta \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ ( $\tau$ ' 'I $\sigma \theta$. read Побєь $\delta \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ ' 'I $\sigma \theta$.
Sundry additions to and corrections of the notes of the earlier volume have been incidentally introduced in the following pages.

## ADDENDȦ.

Nem. x. 1. The following extract from Professor Jebb's paper on Pindar is apposite.
"I may give a few instances, by way of showing how Pindar and the sculptors were working in the same field. The Gigantomachia (Pindar, Nem. i. 67) adorned the pediment of the Megarian 'Treasury' at Olympia; next to Zeus, Poseidon, and Ares, the chief figure was Heracles, whom Pindar also makes prominent. The wedding of Heracles with Hebe (Pind. ib, and Isthm. iii. 78) was the subject of a relief (of Pindar's age) on the low wall round the mouth of a well ( $\pi \in \rho t \sigma \tau o ́ \mu o \nu$ ) found at Corinth. Pindar may have lived to see the eastern pediment of the temple of Zeus at Olympia, by Paeonius, though not the western, by Alcamenes ; the subject of the eastern was the chariot-race of Pelops and Oenomaus (Pind. Ol. i. 76); of the western, the war of the Centaurs with the Lapithae ( $\Lambda a \pi \epsilon \theta \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho_{\pi} \pi \lambda \omega \nu$, Pyth. ix. 14). Pindar's mention
 the Heraion at Olympia possessed a chryselephantine group of the Horae seated on thrones, by Smilis of Aegina, whose date has been referred to the earlier half of the sixth century. Hiero of Syracuse, who was engaged in war while suffering from gout and stone, is compared by Pindar

## ADDENDA.

 At that very time Syracuse contained the famous statue of the limping Philoctetes, by Pythagoras of Rhegium, of which Pliny says that those who looked at it seemed to feel the pain (xxxiv. 59). Even if we hesitate to believe that the sculptor intended an allusion to Hiero ${ }^{1}$, we may well suppose that Pindar's comparison was suggested by the work of Pythagoras. Pindar touches on a legend which represented Heracles in combat with Apollo and two other gods ( $O l$. ix. 30 f ). A similar contest between Heracles and Apollo was the subject of a group executed in Pindar's time (about 485 в.c.) by three artists of Corinth-Diyllus, Amyclaeus, and Chionis-and offered by the Phocians in the temple at Delphi (Paus. x. 13, 7). The religious reserve with which Pindar alludes
 тov̂tov, $\sigma$ тó $\mu \alpha, \hat{p} \hat{i ̂} \psi o \nu$ ) has led critics to infer that the story was one of the iepol 入óroı pertaining to mysteries ${ }^{2}$. His reticence probably reflects the tone of the Delphic priesthood in regard to the closely kindred subject which he must have seen in their temple."

[^5]Sculpture, p. 203.
${ }^{2}$ Cp. Paley on Illad v. 396.


## DESCRIPTION OF

## ILLUSTRATIVE COINS (SILVER).

From the British Museum Educational Series (Catalogue).

1. III. B. 28. Of Thebes. Obv. Boeotian shield. Rev. ©E ( $=\Theta_{\epsilon} \not \beta \beta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ). Infant Hêrakles strangling serpents. Fourth century b.c. Wt. 187 grs. Cf. Nem. I. 39-47.
2. II. C. 16. Of Akragas. Obr. Two eagles with hare. In field horned head of a young river-god. [Rev. AКРАГANTINON.] End of fifth century в.c. Wt. $267-8$ grs. Cf. Nem. III. 80, 81.
3. II. B. 24. Of Aegina ( $\chi \in \lambda$ बळ́vq). Obw. Al. Land tortoise (symbol of Astartê, Phoenician goddess of commerce). Rev. Incuse square divided into five compartments, with $\mathbf{N}, \mathrm{I}$, and dolphin in the three whole squares. Earlier than B.c. 459. Wt. 189 grs. Cf. Nem. vi. 66.
4. I. C. 25. Of Katana. [Obv. Man-headed bull (river-god); above, water-fowl; beneath, river-fish.] Rev. KATANAION (IO И-KATANA). Winged Nikê with wreath in right hand moving quickly to the left. Before 480 b.c. Wt. 266.8 grs.
5. II. C. 28. [Obr. ME $\Sigma \Sigma A N I O N$. Hare ; beneath it dolphin.] Rev. 'Anì $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {; winged Nikê about to crown charioteer. In exergue }\end{aligned}$ two dolphins. Fifth century b.c. Type adopted by Anaxilâos. Wt. 266.9 grs. Cf. Ol. v. 3.

## NEMEA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMIOS, OF SYRAKUSE

## ERRATA.

p. 6, text v. 9, for ovv read oiv

p. 27, notes col. 2 ll. 8, 9, for dрьб-тбкеєа read dрıбто-тбкеєа
p. 31, note on $v .83$, for Nem. III. 33 read Nem. x. 33
p. 55, text $v .49$, for $\tau \in \kappa т о \nu$ read 'тєктор'
p. 92, note on $v .46$ 入áßpov 1. 8, dele comma after 'neck'
p. 110, note on $v .29$ oh, dele 40,
p. 123, note on $v .28$, for 'odoribus' read 'oloribus'
p. 140, note on $v .18$ e $1 \delta$ ', for Ol. xiv. 4 read Ol. xiv. 14, Frag. 53, 1
p. 208, text 1. 5, for axeêal $\tau^{\prime}$ read dxeîcal $\tau$ '

For corrected chronology of Nem. v, Isth. III, Iv, v, viI, see p. viii.
clear that Chromios was Hiero's chief supporter. He is said to have been his charioteer. The reason for regarding him as a Gelôan immigrant to Syrakuse is because Pindar tells us (Nem. Ix. 40) that in his prime he fought with distinction in the battle on the Helôros, in which Hippokrates, tyrant of Gela, defeated the Syrakusans. As this battle is mentioned in the ode (Nem. Ix.) sung at Aetna, it is probable that the Syrakusans of rank who moved thither were new citizens of Syrakuse introduced with Gelo. In the new city they
F. II.

## NEMEA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMIOS, OF SYRAKUSE (PROCLATMED AS OF AETNA), WITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT.

## INTRODUCTION.

Chromios, son of Agêsidâmos, was, according to Dissen's conjecture, a member of the Hyllean tribe of Dorians, one of the Hêrakleids who went from Rhodes to Gela (see Pyth. I. 62). He was made by Hiero governor, énítootos (according to Schol, on Nem. IX.), of Aetna, founded B.c. 476, of which Deinomenes was titular sovereign (Pyth. 1. 58-60). Gelo had given Chromios one of his own and Hiero's sisters in marriage, and had made him, with the other brother-in-law, Aristonoös, a guardian of his son. It appears however that Polyzêlos, brother of Gelo and Hiero, married Gelo's widow, Dâmareta (Dêmaretê), thus getting control over Gelo's son and heir, so that in supporting Hiero, Chromios was not necessarily betraying his trust. He may well have despaired of his ward being able to cope with his paternal uncles, the youngest of whom, Thrasybulos, was directly responsible for his ruin. It is at any rate clear that Chromios was Hiero's chief supporter. He is said to have been his charioteer. The reason for regarding him as a Gelôan immigrant to Syrakuse is because Pindar tells us (Nem. Ix. 40) that in his prime he fought with distinction in the battle on the Helôros, in which Hippokrates, tyrant of Gela, defeated the Syrakusans. As this battle is mentioned in the ode (Nem. Ix.) sung at Aetna, it is probable that the Syrakusans of rank who moved thither were new citizens of Syrakuse introduced with Gelo. In the new city they
were out of danger of surprise by the republican faction, and were reinforced by numbers of Megarians and Peloponnesians which could scarcely have been introduced into the old city, while they were near enough to give effective aid to their friends in Syrakuse. As Akragas and Himera had just before the time of the composition of this ode, 01. 76. 4, B. c. 473 , recovered their freedom, it is probable that Pindar had in view, when mentioning foresight ( $v .28$ ), this provision for Deinomenes and precaution against the impending struggle against the tyranny. If so, he lived to see the futility of the policy he thus admired, which was doubtless partly owing to the division of the dynastic party after Hiero's death. Chromios took active part in Hiero's martial enterprises, and as ambassador to Anaxilas, tyrant of Rhêgion, between B.c. 478 and 476 (see Pyth. II. Introd.), he prevented the subjugation of the Lokri Epizephyrii. He won this Nemean victory, Ol. 76. 4, B.c. 473 , in the summer. Pindar was in Sicily when this ode was recited before the banquet given in celebration of the victory at Chromios' house in Ortygia, at which the poet was apparently himself present. The chorus performed it at the $\pi \rho_{o} \theta v \rho o v$, i.e. before the principal door of the palace. Mezger well compares Chromios with Thêrôn, and says that his praises came straight from the poet's inmost heart. It is therefore not surprising that the scene of the myth should lie in Thebes. The rhythm is Dorian.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-7. The ode goes forth from Ortygia in honour of Zeus of Aetna, on the occasion of Chromios' Nemean victory.
8,9 . The exordium makes mention of gods, as the victor's merits are derived from them.
10-12. The highest object of ambition, celebration by an Epinikian ode, has its occasion in victory.
13-18. Praise of Sicily's sacred relation to Persephonê, fertility, rich cities, glory in war, success in games even at Olympia.
18. This topic is dismissed.

19-25. For it is Chromios' hospitality which brings the poet to his halls, and to him praise is due to confound various cavillers.

25-30. Men ought to develope natural gifts of strength and foresight, with both of which Chromios is endowed.
31, 32. One ought not to hoard, but to use wealth for one's own enjoyment and the benefit of friends,
32,33 . since man's time is short and beset with trouble.
33,34 . Introductory mention of Hêrakles' paramount merits.
35-61. Myth of the infant Hêrakles and the two snakes.
61 -end. Teiresias' prophecy of Hêrakles' toilsome exploits and their final reward of peaceful bliss.

The application of the latter part of the myth to Chromios is sufficiently obvious to account for there being no formal conclusion to the ode.

The main idea of the poem is to exalt the enjoyment, both in this life and hereafter, of ease, good cheer, and fame earned by the strenuous exercise of natural powers during youth and prime. Chromios' ancestor, Hêrakles, afforded a conspicuous illustration of such a theme, and perhaps to some extent his marriage with Hêbê presented a parallel to Chromios' splendid alliance. There is no need to suppose that by reciting the infantine courage of Hêrakles the poet meant to imply that the valour of Chromios had been precocious. On the other hand, the precocity of Hêrakles is a signal instance, as Aristarchos said, of the innate courage and vigour ascribed to his descendant.

The introduction of the prophecy of Teiresias is a natural device for bringing in the career and reward of Hêrakles, so that it is needless to suppose, with Muiller (Hist. of Gk. Lit. I. p. 224, trans.), that the mention of the seer and also of foresight, v. 27, implies that Pindar had predicted Chromios' victory. V. 27 rather ascribes to Chromios the faculty which Thukydides notes as characteristic of

 tos єiкaotijs. Chromios very likely inspired the successful policy of Gelo and Hiero. Leop. Schmidt again seems to be mistaken in supposing that vv. 18-32 have reference to the poet. Modern editors have generally paid too little attention to Aristarchos' view, but with this exception I agree with Mezger. Dissen's general explanation is correct, though he refines too much, especially in regarding the infant exploit of Hêrakles as meant for a parallel to Chromios' early valour at the battle of Helôros, at the date of which
he was probably about forty years old (see on Nem. Ix. 42). There is a side allusion to Himera and Chromios' land-fights generally in $v .62$, and to the sea-fight off Cumae in the next verse. In an ode sung in Ortygia there would scarcely be any reference to the fight of Helôros, in which Syrakusans were defeated.

There is nowhere a more prominent division of the ode than at v. 19. Yet this is inside Mezger's ö $\mu$ фа ${ }^{2}$ ós, vv. 13-30 (20 is a misprint). Moreover, vv. 31, 32 take up vv. 19-24, after the partly gnômic, partly laudatory digression.

The main divisions then of the ode are $v v .1-7,8-12,13-18$, 19-33, 33-72.

There is a possible bearing of the myth which has not, I believe, been noticed, namely, that Amphitryôn was a type of hospitality, so that Chromios' palace might suggest the scene of the myth in this connection.

The ode is one of the finest examples of Pindar's art. Especially admirable is the vigorous word-painting of the myth.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$

 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a ̂ \nu ~ \Sigma ข \rho а к о \sigma \sigma a ̂ ̀ ~ \theta a ́ \lambda o s ~ ' O \rho т v \gamma i ́ a, ~$ סє́ $\mu \nu \iota o \nu ~ ' А \rho \tau є ́ \mu і \delta o s, ~$
$\Delta a ́ \lambda o v ~ \kappa а \sigma \iota \gamma \nu \eta \dot{\tau} \alpha, \sigma \in ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ á $\delta \cup \epsilon \pi \eta \grave{\varsigma}$
 where Alpheus took breath;' i.e. after his pursuit of Arethusa under the sea. This myth veils the transference by Dorian colonists of the cult of Artemis Potamia from Elis to Ortygia, cf. Pyth. II. 7. According to analogy ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu \varepsilon v \mu a$ ought to mean 'recovered breath,' but for the concrete meaning changing to that of the place of the action, cf. $\mu$ ay-
 $\tau \widehat{\omega} \nu \mu \delta \chi \theta \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu$ od́ (Ol. viI. 7), at once strikes the key-note of the general sentiment of the ode.
2. Oádos.] As Ortygia is supposed to be the original settlement, it is rather $\Sigma$ vpakoббầ pľja (cf. Pyth. iv. 15) than Өálos (ef. Ol. II.
45) in the sense of scion. Perhaps it means 'the leader,' whence the other quarters of the city branched. If it means 'a part' we must suppose that it and the other quarters spring from a common $\pi u \theta \mu \eta \eta^{\prime}$, i.e. from Sicily or the Dorian stock. Prof. Paley renders $\theta$ ádos by 'pride.'
3. $\delta \epsilon \mu \nu \iota o v$.$] Cf. Il. xxiv. 615, \varepsilon^{2} \nu$

 oavto, Plut. de fuv. et moñt. 5. 3, Kavкíctov őpos éкалеîтo тò тро́терор Bopéov коітŋ.
 favourite islands of Artemis are her nurslings metaphorically, and hence are regarded as sisters.
$\sigma \in \theta \in \mathrm{v}$.] Cf. Mady. § 60 Rem. 4.

$$
\text { à } \rho \chi^{a i} \delta_{\epsilon} \beta \epsilon \in \beta \eta \nu \tau a \iota \text { } \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \text {-partituigen. }
$$

It is really an adverb of motion from, as it is here used.
5. др $\rho$ âтau.] Cf. Ol. ㅍ․ 9, 10,
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \quad$ ous $\dot{\alpha}$ aidal, where the song starts from the scene of the victory, here quite as naturally from the place where it is first recited.
$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.] Not 'to describe ' (Cookesley) but ' to establish.'
6. aivov, к. т. ..] Cf: Frag. 206


$\chi$ ápıv.] Is this 'to please' or 'by grace of'? [Mr Fanshawe]. Mezger takes the latter interpretation and quotes Pyth. II. 70, III. 95.
7. For the appropriateness of the metaphor to the victory of. Ol. vi. $22-27$, viil. 25 , Nem. iv. 93 -end, Nem. vir. 70-72, viII. 19, Isth. I. 6. Here the poet's verses are the winged horses which will bear over the world the car, Chromios' victory. For metaphor of. Pyth. x. 65. For the conjunction


8. 'Its (the ode's) foundations have been laid in mention of deities in conjunction with the heavensent excellences of yon man.' Cf.
 Oat, Frag. 176 [206], for the metaphor, for the sentiment Nem. v. 25, هiòs dipхоне́val, II. 1-3. I take the genitive $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ as ' кarè $\sigma$ viveбuv,' d $\rho \chi$ ai $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ being regarded as equivalent to 'I have begun.' For such a licence with an accusative ef. Eur. Ion, 572, тоиิто кӓи' ѐхєь то́óos.

Mr Wratislaw asks (in a paper read before the Camb. Philolog. Soc. Nov. 27, 1878), 'would not the most natural way of understanding this passage, considering that the human victory was won shortly after the foundation of Aetna, be: "And the commencements of the Gods, i.e. the foundations of their temples at Aetna, have been laid contemporaneously with the Divine exploits of Chromius"?' I do not any more than Mr Wratislaw 'accept Dissen's equation, "initia Deorum posita sunt" ="initia a Deis posita sunt."' But it is not easy to see
 which is what Mr Wratislaw's suggestion seems to amount to. Moreover, Ol. v. 96, Zeùs is Altvaios in connection with Syrakuse quite independently of the city Aetna, so that there is nothing in the strophê to lead up to the supposed allusion. Yet again, as the chief temples would have their foundations laid at the time of the founding of the city, oiv has to cover morethan two years. The intervention of the suggested mention of Aetna's temples is isolateditselfand isolates $v v .10-12$. If ever convinced of the untenability of my construction I should read $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau^{\prime}$ éc $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ with Mingarelli. Dawes and Pauwe read $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, or render ajpxal, $\theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} y$ 'a beginning with the gods,' $\beta \ell \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau a t$ ' has been made.' For ápxal cf. Terpander
 taúrav v̈भ $\mu \nu$ dipxáv.

Yet again does á $\rho \chi a l$ $\theta \in \omega ิ \nu=$

кєívov $\sigma v \nu$ à $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i a \iota s ~ a ̀ \rho \epsilon \tau a i ̂ s . ~$

$\pi a \nu \delta o \xi i a \varsigma ~ a ̈ \kappa \rho о \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ áє $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$
Moî $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \in ́ \quad \nu v \nu$ àj入atà $\tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \nu a ́ \sigma \varphi, ~ \tau a ̀ \nu ~ ' O \lambda \nu ́ \mu \pi o v ~$ סєбто́таs


oủ ${ }^{\prime}$ oxúrat, and is $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau a c$ to be explained by $I l$. 1. 458 , aưvà $\rho$ é $\pi \in l \dot{\rho}^{\prime}$
 and was the ode sung during a domestic sacrifice, in the peristyle, the first strophê answering to or accompanying the preliminary invocation to Zeus of Aetna and Artemis? Prof. Paley says, 'Lit. "A foundation is laid of the gods," viz., of praising them.' Mr Holmes renders 'Now of heaven have been laid the foundations that sustain yon hero's godlike merits, and in success is the crown of glory, for \&e.'
9. jaumovials.] Cf. Ol. Ix. 110. These good qualities are $\phi v \hat{a}$ (ib. 100 ), and opposed to $\delta \iota \delta a \kappa \tau a i s$ á $\rho \varepsilon$ тaîs. I think dpetal would scarcely be used in the plural of one 'victory,' which is all we have here.
10. eủtuxiq.] If we regard Isth. III. 1 as a mild case of zeugma, ev.$\tau v x$ la, $\epsilon \dot{3} \tau \cup \chi \in \epsilon$, in all four instances where they occur in Pindar, mean the crowning good fortune of suc-
 v. 16. For sentiment, cf. Nem. Ix. 46.
11. äkpov.] As $\pi a \nu \delta o \xi l a s ~(p r o b . ~$ coined by Pindar, cf. $\pi a \gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma(a)$ is a superlative expression, ca. may mean 'first prize;' cf. Pyth. xi. 55, ( $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ) äk $\rho o \nu$ è $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \nu$, and Theokr. xII. 31, äкра ф'́ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a l$. The meaning of the sentence is, 'The consummation (or 'first prize') of highest re-nown'-i.e. celebration in song-
'has its oceasion in victory.' For the sentiment of. Pyth. I. fin. тò




11. है'.] 'For.' Several mss. read $^{2}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma\left(\sigma \tau \omega \nu\right.$ for $\mu \varepsilon \gamma{ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \omega \nu$.
13. $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \rho t$ é $\nu v$. .] Corrected from


 $\sigma \psi \tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma \iota \kappa \in \lambda(q$, к.т.д. In uncials $\Sigma \Gamma E I P E$ and EГEIPE are not unlike. For phrase of. Ol. xi. 94,

 vokes himself or the chorus. The word $\tau$ tva apologises for the boldness of the phrase, as a $\gamma \lambda a t a y$ has not elsewhere the meaning wanted, namely, 'fame' or 'song,' though the ode is $\alpha$ d $\lambda a t a s ~ d \rho \chi d$ in Pyth. I. 2, cf. Frag. 182 [213], xopol kal Mồбa кal 'A $\gamma \lambda a t a$.
 union with Pluto. Perhaps there is a covert allusion to the temples of Dêmêter and her daughter built by Gelo. The Schol. is needlessly exercised at the $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \delta$ os being in a possession of Persephonê's, and suggests that the two goddesses were identical, citing Kallim. Hecale





## ＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{a}$＇．



 é̀ $\lambda a \iota a ̂ \nu \chi \rho v \sigma$ éo兀s

predicate＇as bearing off the palm for fertility of soil＇（lit．＇from（all） fruitful soil＇）．

15．$\delta \rho \theta \omega$＇iocur．］This sense＇raise to renown＇（Isth．iv．48，v．65）is an extension of＇rear（as a me－ morial），＇＇rear a memorial pillar to，＇cf．Ol．III． 3 note．The grammar of the transition is well illustrated by the double accusative Aristoph． Acharn．1233，тйขє $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ ка入入iveкор
 vфai то入ite d̀ dveal，＇＇cities unsur－ passed in wealth，＇are the $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \backslash a \iota$ which perpetuated the renown of Sicily．For кopupal in this sense ＇prime，choicest specimens，＇cf．$v$ ．
 aंтò тađâv．It is equivalent to ǎwos，＇choicest bloom．＇Here and v． 31 there is perhaps hypallage， ef．O．and P．p．Xxyv．

16．$\mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau$ ท̂pa．］Cf．Pyth．xiI． 24，$\mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho^{\prime}$＇${ }^{\gamma} \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$.
$\chi$ длкеขтéos．］The epithet alludes to the fame of the Sicilian armour， ef．Pyth．II． 2.

17．$\theta a \mu \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$ kal．］＇Right often even．＇
${ }^{\prime}$ O $\left.\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota d \delta \omega v.\right]$ With special com－ plimentary allusion to the victories of Gelo and Hiero b．c． 488.
xpváoss．］For this epithet mean－ ing only＇glittering，＇cf．O1．viII．1， x．13，Pyth．x．43．Prof．Paley however，on Martial rx．xxiii．1， suggests that even in Pindar＇s times the crown was actually of gold（cf．Nem．vix．77－79），or that the leaves were gilded．

18．$\mu \chi \theta \dot{\theta} \nu \tau a$ ．］Lit．＇brought
into contact with．＇Cf．Nem．Ix．31，

 ${ }^{\ell} \mu \subset \chi \theta \in \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \eta$ ．Infra $v .56$ the use is not quite similar．Mr Fanshawe suggests that the lemme，coming so close to $\mu \nu a \sigma \tau \hat{p} \rho a_{\text {，}}$＇wooer，＇may here mean＇wedded＇；so Holmes． L．and S．wrongly render it here and in Pyth．xII．24，＇calling to mind，＇＇mindful of．＇Dissen com－ pares $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi a ́ \rho \mu \eta$ ，，but the idea is not the same．The aor．$=$ ＇call to mind，＇$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho=$＇one who keeps in mind of．＇
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$, к．. ．$^{\text {．］}}$＇I have entered upon a copious theme，having aimed at moderation with a state－ ment of simple trath．＇The Aldine and Roman editions with two Scholia make каıрд» object of $\beta a$－ $\lambda \hat{\omega}^{v}$ ．It is generally taken as the object of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \beta a \nu$ ．I think the sense inferior and the construction ques－ tionable，though it is true that $\epsilon \pi \iota$－ $\beta a i \nu \omega \nu$ takes an accusative of place． T．Mommsen（on Ol．i，89）regards $\psi$ еv́del as a dativus termini（cf． Pyth．xII．31），bat it is better to make it the instrumental dative． Mr Myers－＇Thas shoot I arrows many and without falsehood have I hit the mark＇－scarcely repre－ sents the criginal．Pindar has briefly mentioned five points on which a poet might dilate，the di－ vine patronage of Sicily，its fer－ tility，the wealth of its cities，its achievements in war and in games． He has stated truths without exag－ geration．But only to dismiss them

##    <br>  ov̉к àтєípato סó $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ о <br>  $\phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$

and turn to his special theme, the praise of Chromios, \&c.
In this difficult sentence the poet checks himself-the suggestion of the necessity for doing so being a compliment to Sicily, Syrakuse and Hiero, the fact that he does so a compliment to Chromios. Thus oú $\psi \in$ éd $\delta \iota=$ ' not with a false statement.' For dat. ef. Ol, xx. [x.]
 Isth. I. 24. What he has said is a

 idiomatio aorists indicating the immediate past; the former refers to the recitation of the previous verses, the latter to the arrival of the chorus at the place of recitation. For the sense given to каирд $\nu$ cf. Pyth. I. 81, кaı $\rho \partial \nu \varepsilon l \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi \alpha \iota o$, Ix. 78, Ol. ix. 38. Mr Postgate has kindly sent me an interpretation substantially the same as the above, and quotes Nem. viII. 37 for the emphatic application of the negative to a single word.
19. aù入eiacs.] The chorus with the poet were, it. would seem, just outside the $\pi \rho 6 \theta$ vpov (cf. Pyth. III. 78, Isth. vir. 3). Perhaps they were in the $\pi \rho^{\prime} \theta \cdot \rho \rho o \nu$, for the evirec $\chi \bar{\epsilon} s$ т $\rho \dot{\prime} \theta$ upor of Ol. vi. 1 could hardly have been ' a space before a door' or ' B porch' (L. and S., Smith's Dict. of Antiquities, Guhl and Koner); but was probably walled on three sides and with pillars in the front like the apóvaos of a
templum in antis. It is probable that in such cases the avideia ov́pa opened immediately into the peristyle without a $\theta$ vpóv, 'a narrow passage' or 'entrance chamber,' which would appear in town houses when the sides of the $\pi \rho o \rho^{\prime} \theta$ vooy were built up to form chambers. According to L. and S. the household gods were in the $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \theta \mathrm{v}$ pov, but Smith's Dict. of Ant. places them in the peristyle.
21. Evea.] 'In whose hall.' Though, as the victory was won at the summer Nemea, the feast may have been held outside.

 दौt $\eta$ §.
22. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \delta \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$.] Perhaps includes the poet, who was in Sicily this year. For Chromios' hospitality of. Nem. Ix. 2.
24. $\lambda e ́ \lambda$ до $\chi$ e, к.т.. .] It is in my opinion impossible to arrive at a definite conclusion as to the interpretation of this difficult sentence. I therefore give the views of the chief authorities before my own. (A.) 'But he hath got good men and true against cavillers (dat. incommodi) so as to bring water against smoke,' i.e. to use to drown the voice of envy; so Hermann, Don. (B.) Dissen also approves; but says,--"Credas etiam sic jungi

 est hoc, ut probi viri obtrectatoribus
 óooîs $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ '́Xovta $\mu a ́ p v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi v a ̣ ̂ . ~$
'А $\nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.

aquam obviam ferant fums, que movent." He objects however to an accusative and infinitive after $\lambda a \gamma x a y \in a y$ as unsupported. (C.)
 $\mu$. vt. ג. $\phi$. ( $\overline{\tilde{\sigma}} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ) $\kappa \alpha \pi \nu \hat{\varphi}$ ignoring the order of the words. (D.) Mommsen (after a School.) renders "Innata vero est (sortito event) its qui bono vituperare solent arg fumum [gloriae] aquâ [reprehensionis] restinguendi." (E.) An improvement in this line of interpretation seems to be ''Tis men's lot when cavilling at the good to bring water to check smoke,' ie. to increase what they wish to diminish. Only thus I think could kamvos stand for glory in such a metaphor (bon Leutsch, Meager). The two last interpretations make too abrupt a disconnection of sense, not to mention the rare construeton which is assumed. Merger cites Strabo to defend the dat. governed by $\lambda a \gamma \chi^{a} \nu \omega$. Bergk would alter $\operatorname{\epsilon } v \tau i \cdot \lambda$. to $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \iota \lambda \in \lambda \cdot \gamma x \in \nu$, only found, I believe, as an Attic law term.
(F.) I prefer the following version, suggested by the reading eq $\sigma \lambda d s$ of the best mss, and supported by Ol .
 ray boos, 'some loss hath oft befallen evil speakers'; 'It hath befallen the noble against cavillers, to bring water against smouldering fire (of envy),' taking $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi о \mu$ avos as dat. income. and фе́pety, к. т. Х. as inf, subject to $\lambda \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda_{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ e. The metaphor of water for streams of song is used, as here, in connection with strangers Nom. viI. 61, 62 (noted by



 out tor. Plutarch, Fragm. xxiII. 2,
 novel, was thinking more of other applications of the similitude than of this passage, for he goes on to


 $\sigma \omega v$. The connection of this difficult passage is not impaired by making the statement general. 'We poets are wont to help the noble by drowning the voices of cavillers with our song. Divers folk have divers arts. (This comprehends the idea that it is the poet's work to perpetuate a victory as much as it is the work of men of action to gain one.) One must walk uprightly and make the best use of natural powers. Strength, to wit, has its function in action, intellect in counsel, in the case of those who have an innate gift of foresight (which class includes the poet and also, as is at once stated, Chromios).' As to sentiment $v v .24-33$ have much in common with Isth. I. $40-$ 51.
 sentiment, cf. OI. Ix. 104-107, viiI. $12-14$, Mem. viI. 54.

बreєरovтa.] For metaphor, of. Ol .
 $\pi a \tau e i ̂ v, ~ N e m . ~ v i i f . ~ 35 . ~$


$\phi v \hat{\text { al. ] For the superiority of natu- }}$ sal over acquired attainments, of.
 крátıбтod ä̃à.
26. $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon_{t}$.] 'Exercises its funclion,' cf. Frag. 108 [96] $\pi \rho a \sigma \sigma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$

$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ย̀s ois ย̈тєтau.


## зо $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{~} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \iota \epsilon \varsigma$.

 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota \nu$,

45


'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
 $\pi \rho о ф \rho o ́ v \omega s$

$\mu_{e} \lambda{ }^{e} \omega \nu$. This does not contradict Frag. 14 [16].
27. єббо́uєvov, к.т. ג.] 'In those whose birthright it is to foresee what shall be.'
29. $\sigma \in \frac{\delta^{\circ}, \text {, к. . . . .] 'In thy charac- }}{}$ ter are faculties for using both this endowment and that.' For á $\mu \phi$ c cf. Pyth. v. 111, à $\mu \phi 2 \beta o v \lambda a i s$, in which passage Arkesilas also is praised for épra as well as $\beta$ ounai, Nem. vi. 14. For $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \imath \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ef. O1. II. 53.
31. Euripides seems to be thinking of these two lines Ion, 639, ov
 8\Bov oúd' EXelv móvous.

катакри́భаия ёхєv.] Conditum habere, of. rímas Exets, Soph. Oed. R. 577, not the periphrasis mentioned Madv. § 179.
32. 'But from what I have both to enjoy myself and to have the credit of being duly open-handed to (lit. 'thoroughly satisfying') my friends. For the expectations of men, born to sore trouble as all are, are uncertain for all alike.'
'́6 עг $\omega \nu$.$] Cf. Theognis, 1009,$
 Pyth. III. 104 for sentiment, also Simonides, $85[60]$ v. $13,{ }^{\prime} A \lambda \lambda \alpha$ बə

 and see L. and S. s. v. xaplsoucu for genitive.
 au่т $\hat{\nu} \nu$-'bestowing of them plentifully on my friends.' But cf. Eur. Suppl. 574, भ๋ สâcu oĩv $\sigma^{\prime}$ もфuбev
 beget thee to be a match for all men?'


33. толито́vшv.] Cf. Eur. Or. 975,


 т $\dot{\theta} \theta \mu \eta \tau o s$ alśv. The idea of mo入u$\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ reflects on $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi l \bar{\delta} \epsilon s$ and suggests the antiphrasis, of. supra, v. 15.
ávтé $\chi$ оиur.] 'I claim preëminence in devotion to,' cf. Thuk. I. 13, Tйs
 faring an object of rivalry,' 'vied with each other in attention to maritime pursuits.'
 sphere of,' ef. my 0 . and P. p. xxxvii ; for корvфаîs cf. súpra, v. 15.
ofpivew.] For the phrase ef. Isth.



 aı̈ $\gamma \lambda a \nu$ tais $\Delta$ oòs

$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.
$\omega$ © ov̉ $\lambda a \theta \omega े \nu \quad \chi \rho v \sigma o ́ \theta \rho o \nu o \nu$
"Нрау крокшто̀ $\sigma \pi a ́ \rho \gamma а \nu o \nu$ є́үкатє́ $\beta$.
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ ßaनı $\lambda$ ह́a

тò̀ $\mu$ èv oi $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a ̂ \nu ~ \pi v \lambda a ̂ \nu ~$
 үvá̈ous
 and $v .37$, $\omega^{\circ} \tau^{\prime}$ out.

Mommsen proposes $\lambda$ órov | $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ô̂́ ix! (cf. for gen. Myth. viI. 9, New.
 x. 56) from Beck's $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oo $\pi \omega$ s and the $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ aürout of the Schol. Vet. Hermann wis ápa or $v .37$ oof $\tau o t$, the latter approved by Don. I incline to Böckh's beginning of $v .35$, wis $\tau$ ' or es $\tau \varepsilon$, leaving the third particle doubtful, keeping wis $\tau^{\prime}, v .37$.
עัто.] Cf. O1. vi. 43, quoted in next note.
aưTika.] This must not be taken
 $\pi \rho \hat{T}$ oo, which is Dissen's explanation. The adverb indicates the normal progress of the delivery

 es $\phi$ áos aútika, which passage also

 infant Samos too was visited by two snakes, but they came to feed him.
38. éyкart\&a.] Hardly 'stepped into' with supernatural precocity, as Prof. Paley suggests; for the effect of the subsequent miracle would be impaired by such a pereliminary display of power. The use recalls the passive sense often
 der simply 'had been laid in.'
39. $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \in a$.] mss. give $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \alpha$ ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon(a)$. For the form in the text cf. Path. Iv. 5, where two fair sss. read lip $\dot{a}$. For the synizesis cf. OI. xi. 13, xpvatas. The form in $-\epsilon a$ is illustrated by the Sophoklean $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \eta$, better $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \hat{\eta}$, given by Hêsychios. In the LidoAeolic ode, OI. xiv, we find pa cl$\lambda$ eta.
40. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \theta \in \hat{\imath} \sigma a$.] Cf. Il. xxiv. 248,


 For the episode of the infant Herakles and the serpents of. Theokr. xxiv, where many details differ from those of Pindar's account: near the end of Plautus' Amphitruo is a third version.
41. Whether the doors were left open at night, or had been opened in the early morning, or were opened by the serpents-is left ancertain.
42. $\theta a \lambda a ́ \mu \theta v \mu \nu \chi$ òv ev̉púv.] 'The spacious inner chamber'; one of the chambers of the gynaekitis.
тeкvoo兀t, к.т. ..] 'Greedily yearning to make their jaws play swiftly about the babes,' i.e. in the act of licking over the victim before en-
 кápa, тєıрâтo סè $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi a \varsigma, ~$

## 



$\psi \nu \chi a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \nu \in v \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ àфáт $\omega \nu$.

 $\lambda \in ́ \chi \in \iota^{\circ}$
$50 \kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a u ̉ \tau a ́, ~ \pi o \sigma \sigma i v ~ a ̈ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \lambda o s ~ o ̉ \rho o v ́ \sigma a \iota \sigma ' ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \nu \hat{\varsigma}$, ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma{ }^{\circ} \mu \not \mu \nu \nu \in \nu$ v̋ßpı $\kappa \nu \omega \delta \dot{a} \lambda \omega \nu$. 75
gorging it. Cf. Hes. Scut. Herc. 235 and Prof. Paley's note. I do not think $\dot{e} \lambda(\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, could mean to ' enfold' with jaws. The middle a $\mu \phi \in \lambda$ - may be causal, but cf. Soph. Ajax, 369 (commented on in note on Nem. vi. 15). Here $\gamma$ váOous
 ports ar 4 оppov.
43. '́p日óv.] Proleptic, cf. Pyth. III. 53, 96, Eur. Hip. 1203, óp⿴òv de
 \% $\pi \pi \pi o$. Prof. Paley observes that this action is miraculous in a newborn infant.
44. $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha i ̄ \iota \iota$ סotoús.] Cf. Mem. viII. 43, is ס̀̀̀ סvoîv.
aùxév ${ }^{\text {v er }}$.] For gen. cf. Madv. § 57a. Rem.
46. A bold phrase both in constriction and sense. 'As he kept throttling them, the time made them breathe forth the life from their dread frames.' The causal use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \pi \nu \epsilon \omega$ is strange and the word is not the most appropriate to death by strangulation. Of course ${ }^{\text {a }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$
 been proposed. It is quite possible that there is some corruption, but it is impossible to establish a correction. For $\psi v \chi$. $\dot{\boldsymbol{a} \pi о \pi \nu . ~ o f . ~ S i-~}$ monides Frag. 52 [26].
48. $\beta e ̀ \lambda$ os.] 'A pang.' Cf. $71 . \mathrm{xx}$.
 ȯg̀v yvvaîka. There is a slight proponderance of ms. authority in favour of utes, which Par. A. has as a correction, but it is hard to see how $\beta \in$ dos could have replaced the much easier déos (which on the other hand would inevitably appear as an early marginal gloss), unless as a badly corrected transfer from the line above, $-\nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda$ - becoming - $\nu \quad \beta \in \lambda$-. (For confusion of $\mu$ and $\beta$ cf. 01. IX. 8.) That $\delta$ éos is an interpolation from the margin is decidedly the simplest hypothesis.
49. Theokritos makes Hêrakles nine months old. Plautus agrees with Pindar as to the age. On a coin of Thebes (see Plate facing Title) the child does not seem to represent a new-born babe. Professor Paley cites a fresco-painting of this subject from Herculaneum, Race. di Ercolano, Pl. 11.
50. 'Why, even she herself sprang from bed to her feet and unrobe as she was thought to repel the attack of the monsters.' Mommsen regards $\pi$ oof lv as a dativus termini. Cf. OI. xIII. 72, ava
 be instrumental datives, though

# ' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$. <br>  ai ${ }^{\text {póóos, }}$ <br>  ф́́бүavov 80 

 $\pi a ́ \nu \theta^{\prime}{ }_{\delta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}^{\circ}$


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.

## 55 є̈ $\sigma \tau a$ סè $\theta \dot{a} \mu \beta \epsilon \iota$ סvбфóp $\omega$ 

 85rendered 'to her (his) feet.' For certain dat. term. cf. Pyth. xiI. 31.
amt $\pi \lambda$ dos.] With nothing on excopt an under garment, $\chi$ ic $\omega v i \sigma$ Kos, i. q. $\mu$ ovoxitur, Philostratos, Eur.
 $\pi \lambda$ os $\lambda \iota \pi o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \Delta \omega \rho i s$ wis кópa. Greek women seem not to have had special night gear.
${ }^{\alpha} \mu u v \in \nu$.] A good case of the inperfect of intended or attempted action. Bergk recklessly alters $\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma l \nu$ to $\pi a u \sigma$.
vi $\beta \rho \iota \nu$.] Either = 'the attack,' cf. Pyth. r. 72; or else vi. $\kappa_{c}=$ 'savage
 Batch. 743.
$\kappa \nu \omega \partial \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu$.] Tick refers $\kappa \nu \omega \dot{\delta} \alpha \lambda о \nu$ and $\kappa \nu \dot{\omega} \delta \alpha a \xi$ 'pivot' to the root SKAND, whence Skit. khâd, 'bite' (he should hesitate to separate кıva-
 which he rightly gives under the root SKAD 'hide,' 'cover'), Lat. cena, Sabin. scesna-, 'supper,' Lith. kándu, 'to bite.'
51. So best mss. The Triclinan mss. and the Aldine and Rooman editions give $\sigma \dot{v} v \check{o n}^{\circ} \pi \lambda$. $\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho$.
 हैं $\rho$. नìv on $\pi \lambda . \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho$. For the lengthening of oo v before a vowel of. Pyth. III. 6, Ix. 114, xopòvèv.
on $\pi \lambda$ dots.] Don. renders 'shields' from Hes. Scut. Here. 13, фєреб-

бakéas Kadi K lows; but it is more natural to suppose that they caught up any weapons.
52. фá $\sigma$ avo v.] Omitted in the best mss. The Triclinian mss. read $\xi \ell \emptyset \circ \mathrm{e} \epsilon \tau \tau \nu \dot{d} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ against the metre.
 ten with keen throes of anguish.' The phrase $\tau v \pi \epsilon$ is was very likely chosen in reference to $\beta$ enos above.



тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, к.т. ..] Pausanias, x. 22. 5, cites this sentiment with approval. Cf. 'The heart knoweth its own bitterness, and a stranger doth not intermeddle with its joy.'
54. db pl.] Cf. O. and P. p. $^{2}$ xxxvii.
55. $\theta a ́ \mu \beta \varepsilon$, , к. r. ..] ' With mingled feelings of painful and glad wonderment.' Thus Prof. Paley rightly explains $\mu \chi \theta \theta \in(s$. Others simply render it 'affected by,' comparing Soph. Ant. 1311, סєi入ala oe $\sigma v \gamma-$
 ie $\sigma и \gamma к \epsilon к р а \mu е ́ \nu \eta \nu$.
56. екข ópuov.] Not used, it seems, in the same sense as $\begin{gathered}\text { Exvouos ' unlaw- }\end{gathered}$ pul,' 'inordinate' as correlative of Evvouos (of. the adv. Aristoph. Prut. 981, 992); but always 'extraordinary.'




${ }^{\circ} \chi^{o v}$ ，
90




каí тıva бv̀v $\pi \lambda a \gamma i ́($


58．$\pi a \lambda i \gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o \nu$ ．］Apparently a word coined by Pindar＝＇gainsaid，＇ i．e．by the fact．
oi．］Dativus commodi，not after $\dot{\rho} \eta \bar{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{(a s ~ M r}$ Myers translates）and not the article，as the digamma of the personal pronoun is needed for the scansion．

ḋөávarol．］i．e．Zeus，by transmit－ ting superhuman qualities to his son．Cf．Theokr．xxiv．83，84，$\gamma \boldsymbol{\mu}$－ ßpòs $\delta$＇á $\theta a \nu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$（＂Hpas）кєк入ท＇бєтаи，



60．reivova．］According to Pau－ sanias，Ix．11，Amphitryôn lived by the Gate of Elektra，in the neigh－ bourhood of which was the oicho－ бкотєiov of Teiresias（Paus．1x，16）．
$\Delta$ tòs vi $\psi$ lotov．］A special title of Zeus at Thebes（Paus．rx．8．3） amongst other places．

62．ктаעúv．］The participle of the gnômic aorist referring to sundry points of the time covered by the principal verb．Thus $\delta \sigma \sigma o v s ~ \kappa \tau .=$ каi то入入оѝs ктєуєโ．．Cf．Nem．vir． 3.

63．dibpooikas．］For justice and the reverse in beasts cf．Archilochos， Frag． 88 ［6］，＂$\Omega \quad Z \in \hat{v}$, пárep $Z \in \hat{v}$ ，
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$＇$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ópăs｜$\lambda \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ à каl $\theta \epsilon$－
 $\delta i k \eta \mu \in \lambda \in \iota$ ．For this phrase cf．Od． 1x． 215.

64．Tıva．］＇Many＇（ef．Pyth，II．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ，such as Busiris and Antaeos．

For the junction of the definite article with the definite pronoun cf．Soph．Oed．Col．288，ӧтау $\delta^{\prime}$ o кúptos｜тap̂̂ тıs，Oed．Rex，107， toùs av̉тoévtas $\chi \in \iota \rho l$ tıpwpeî̀ tıvás． So Böckh，Don．Bergk reading
 larly Dissen，only changing $\tau \dot{\nu}$ to тот＇，and Kayser，only changing тòv é $\chi \theta$ ．to таעє $\chi$ Өротáтч．Hermann reads v． 66 фаَaty iv（acc．）．．．$\mu \phi \rho \psi$ and above $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Є́ $\chi \theta \rho о \tau а ́ т \varphi$ ，making tuva the subject meaning Nessos． Keeping $\mu$ ópov Mommsen would change $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to $\gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon L \nu$ ，Ahrens to $\pi \alpha u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. Rauchenstein，Hermann and Bergk propose тivi．．．aтeโ久оутו тòv Єx $\theta$ ．Bergk also suggests kal тוva $\sigma \grave{v} \pi \pi a \gamma l \varphi$（adverbially）｜d̀v．
 tung＇s кai $\tau \iota \nu a$ oùv $\pi \lambda a \gamma l \varphi \mid \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$
 $\nu เ \nu \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ นó $\rho \varphi$ ．

бìy $\pi \lambda a \gamma$ ．кóp．बтeíx．］Cf．supra， v． 25.
$\phi u ̄ \sigma \in ́ ~ \nu \imath \nu \delta \omega^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \mu o ́ \rho \varphi$,


 үаїa $\pi \epsilon ф и ̆ \rho \sigma є \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к о ́ \mu а \nu$


$$
\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega}
$$

105
70 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \chi i ́ a \nu ~ к а \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \pi о \iota \nu a ̀ \nu ~ \lambda a \chi o ́ \nu \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \xi a i ́ \rho \epsilon \tau о \nu, ~$
 каì үáرоу

I IO

67. $\Phi \lambda$ é $\gamma \rho a \mathrm{~s}$.] Hero and nodoubt Chromios had defeated the Carthaginians off Phlegra near Cumae in the year before this victory at Nemea. The Phlegra where the gods fought the Giants was in Thrace. Cf. Nom. iv. 27 note.
68. $\dot{\text { 2 }}$ Goodwin, § 74. 1. p. 162.

For the acc. $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi a y$ Dissen cites Soph. Trash. 159, то入入ovis diyŵas

$\pi \in \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{c}$.] Note the paulapost. fut., 'they (the giants) will soon find their hair befouled.'
69. Xpóvov.] For the lengthening cf. $v .51$, supra.
$\epsilon \nu \quad \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$.$] No ms. gives \epsilon \nu$, but $\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega}(-\hat{\omega})$. The phrase however occurs Sem. xi. 39, Isth. v. [vi.] 22. Perhaps the Hêsychian ${ }_{\sigma} \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\psi}=$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta$ iss, should be read and $\epsilon \pi เ \sigma \chi \in \rho \omega$ divided $\grave{\pi} \pi$ - $\sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\prime}$, as Hêsychios betrays no knowledge of this adverbial use of $\sigma \chi$ poss.
70. тоида́v.] 'Recompense.' Cf. Pyth. I. 59, $\kappa \in \lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a l \pi$. $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho!\pi \pi \omega \nu$,

Pyth. II. 17, $\chi$ ápls $\phi[\lambda \omega \nu$ тоivıu os





 о\%к $\varphi$.
72. $\Delta i t$.] So mss. always, though the word is a long monosyllable.
$\lambda e ́ \chi o s$.$] mss. give \gamma \dot{d} \mu o \nu$ and $\delta \delta \mu o \nu$. The former is imported from the line above, the latter is an attempt at correction, as is also the $\nu \delta \mu 0 \nu, \nu 0 \mu \partial \nu$ of the Schol. It is hard to believe that Pindar would terminate the two last lines of an ode with - $\mu$ vv. I therefore regard the last word as entirely lost, and suggest $\lambda$ et os as giving better sense than Bergk's $\beta l o v, \theta \rho \dot{v} \nu o y$ or $\tau \in \theta \mu \dot{\rho} \nu$, Böckh's סó $\mu$ or, Eeyne's "Eos or Mommsen's עó $\mu$ by. Observe that the example of rest after labour at the end of the ode is foreshadowed by the opening phrases aq $\mu \pi \nu є \nu \mu a . .$. $\delta є \mu \nu$ av.

NEMEA II.<br>ON THE VICTORY OF TIMODEMOS OF ATHENS IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Ttmodemos, son of Timonoös, of the deme of Acharnae, but of the Timodêmidae, a clan of Salamis, where he was born or brought up ( $v v .13-15$ ), won this victory probably about 01. 75, B.c. 480-477. The ode was apparently sung at Athens (v.24). It is a processional (monostrophic) ode. The word é '乡áp\єrє in the last line is thought to indicate that it was introductory to a longer é $\gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\iota}$.

It is impossible to draw any sound inference about the place of composition. Böckh fancies that it was composed at Nemea after the battle of Plataea with Fragment 53 [45]. Perhaps the opening allusion to the Homêridae was due to Salamis being one of the aspirants to the honour of being Homer's birthplace.

The rhythm like that of Nem. Iv. is Lydian with Aeolian measures.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-5. As the Homêridae begin by invoking Zeus, so Timodêmos begins his career of victory in Zeus' grove at Nemea.
6-10. He ought still, since his Fate has led him straight along the path his fathers trod and caused him to do honour to Athens (by winning at Nemea), to win often at the Isthmus and Delphi.
10-12. When the Pleiades are seen, Oriôn is to be expected.

13-15. Salamis can rear fighting men such as the Trojan warrior Aias and the pankratiast Timodêmos.
16, 17. The Acharnians were famous of old.
17-24. Enumeration of victories of the Timodêmidae in the Pythian, Isthmian, Nemean and the (Athenian) Olympian games.
24, 25. The citizens are bidden to celebrate Timodêmos' return as victor from Nemea.



 N $\epsilon \mu \varepsilon$ aiov



1. 'Opnploal.] For this clan or school of rhapsôdists from Chios cf. L. and S., Smith's Classical Dict. under Homerus. The Schol, on this line tells us that Kynaethos of Chios introduced many verses into the Homerie poems and founded a distinguished school of rhapsôdists.
2. $\dot{\rho} a \pi \tau \hat{\omega} v$.$] 'Continuous,' hence$ 'epic.' I do not feel sure that $\dot{\rho} \not \psi^{\psi} \omega \mathrm{ol}$ did net derive their name from the tags with which they introduced and dismissed the episodes which they recited. The opening to which Pindar refers is probably preserved by Theokritos, xviI. 1. éx $\Delta t \delta s$ d $\rho \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \in \sigma \theta a$, and by Arâtos, Phaen. 1. Cf. Virg. Ecl. iII. 60, A Iove principium. It is as old as Alkman, cf. Frag. 2 [31], є́ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \gamma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$

support Philochoros' derivation from $\dot{\rho} \alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ and $\varphi \dot{\psi} \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ a Schol. quotes from Hêsiod $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \Delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \varphi \tau$
 $\pi о \mu \in \nu$, èv veapoîs vouposs páa $\psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 ồ $\tau \in ́ \kappa \epsilon \Lambda \eta \tau \dot{\omega}$.
 'With an exordium about Zeus.'
kal.] 'So.' Cf. Ol. vir. 7.
3. кaтaßo入áv.] Cf. Kallim., quoted by Schol., 'A $\rho \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta}$ s, © $\begin{gathered}\text { Eive } \\ \text {, }\end{gathered}$
 metaphor from laying a foundation cf. note on Nem. I. 8.

бєбєктац.] 'Hath won.' Cf. Ol. In. 49, vi. 27, Pyth. 1. 80, 100.
5. à $\lambda \sigma \epsilon$.] See Pausan. II. 15. 2. The grove was of cypresses.
6. $\delta \phi \in i \lambda \varepsilon \iota$.] Impersonal, but there


#  


 $\Pi \nu$ ®iouri te vıкầ


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho \cdot}^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}
$$

## ob $\epsilon \epsilon \stackrel{a ̂ \nu}{\nu} \gamma \epsilon \Pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu$

$\mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau \eta \lambda o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \quad$ ' $\Omega a \rho i ́ \omega \nu a \quad \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$.



${ }^{15}$ таукратíov $\tau \lambda a ́ \theta v \mu$ os $\grave{a} \epsilon \xi \xi \in$.
'A $\chi$ ápvą $\delta$ è талaíфатov

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime} .
$$



 $\nu і ́ \kappa а я ~ е ̇ к о ́ \mu ı \xi ̆ а \nu . ~$
7. For metaphor cf. Pyth. x. 12. Note that $\nu \nu \nu$ is acc. after $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{u} \sigma \mu \pi$ os as well as after $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$.
8. alúv.] 'Fate.' Cf. Isth. III. 18.

 тaîs. Cf. Sem. iII. 83.
9. $\delta \rho \in \in \pi \in \sigma \theta a l$.$] Cf, Ole. і. 13$.
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \omega \tau \quad \nu.\right]$ Cf. OI. r. 7, v. 1.
$\tau \epsilon$.] For $\mu \hat{e} \nu — \tau \epsilon \mathrm{cf}$. OI. iv. 15.
10. $\left.\delta^{\prime}.\right]$ 'For.' Timodêmos' antecedents make the anticipation of his future victories as reasonable as the expectation of seeing Orion when the Pleiades arein sight. Cf. Paley's note Hes. W. and D., 619. Catullus, lxvi. 94, uses the form Orion. The ' $\Omega$ probably represents $F$ or FaF, cf. "Ranis, OI, v. 11.
11. ठрє $\hat{a} \nu$.] So called because daughters of Atlas. So Simonides quoted by a Schol., Maudóos oủpeias $\dot{\dot{\varepsilon}} \lambda \kappa \kappa \circ \beta \lambda \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ$, of Maia, one of the
daughters. Cf. Frag. 52 [53].
13. kail $\mu \dot{a} v$. .] Introduces a second reason for anticipating that Timedêmos would win further victories.
14. dikovaev. ] 'Felt the might of.' $^{2}$ The School. cites $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ditovtes, $I l$. xI. 532. Cf. O1. III. 24, vпакоvє́ $\mu \in \nu$ aúraîs de入love 'to be at the mercy of ' [Prof. Colvin]. For the opposiion of Alias to Hektor cf. II. XIv. 402, xv. end, xvi. 114, 358.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \delta^{\prime}$ ', к.. . $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ] ' While thee, Timodêmos, doth power of endurance in the pankxation exalt.'
16. та入аiфатор.] So mss. Cf. Pyth. xI. 30. Böckh,-фaтot.
17. $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \phi$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda a c s$.] 'In all that concerns gains.' Cf. Net. xi.
 v. 14, viII 42, Pyth. v. 111.
18. $\pi \rho 0 \lambda$ 'troy pal.] ' Are named before all others.' Comp. Isth. 111.25 [Don.].
${ }^{20} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀{ }^{2} \mathrm{~K}_{o \rho \iota \nu \theta i \omega \nu}$ vimò $\phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}
$$






$25 \dot{a} \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta^{\circ} \epsilon \epsilon \xi \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \hat{\imath}$.
 Ix. 5.
21. I. e. at the Isthmian games.
 ib. viI. $63,{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \sigma \theta \mu \circ \nu$ ầ $\nu$ vámos; but
入отоs, means at Olympia. He is regarded as the hero Epônymos of the Peloponnese. For $\pi \tau v \chi$ ais of. the use of $\pi$ oג'́ntruxos.
22. $\epsilon^{\ell} \mu \chi \theta \epsilon \nu$.] Cf. OI. г. 22.
23. d et $\theta \mu \hat{0}$.] 'Too many to mumher' (lit. for numbering). Cf. OI. Ir.
 $\phi \in \nu \gamma \varepsilon v$, xiII. 113.
24. $\Delta i d s$ áyติv.] The Athenian Olympia, celebrated in the Spring, between the great Dionysia and the Bendideia. There was perhaps some special reason why the Timodêmidae do not appear in connectron with the Olympian games.

Note the emphatic position, and
 тós, v. 17, ev̉ávopes.
$\tau 6 \nu \ldots \kappa \omega \mu d \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ T $\mu \mu$.] 'Him do ye celebrate in epinikian song in honour of Tim.' Cf. for dative Myth. ix. 89, Isth. Nz. 20, 21.

## NEMEA III.

## ON THE VICTORY OF ARISTOKLEIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Aristokleidas, son of Aristophanes, was probably himself a member of a college of theôri or state ambassadors to Delphi (v. 70). He won this victory many years before the composition of the ode, as he seems to have been well advanced in age ( $v v .73-76$ ). The poet seems to apologise for his delay ( $v .80$ ), but not very profoundly, so that we need not suppose an interval of more than a year or two, if any, between the dates of the promise and the ode. From $v v .4,5$, it seems that the chorus was taught at Thebes. The ode was performed in the hall or temple of the college of theôri. The date is evidently prior to the Athenian conquest of Aegina Ol. 80. 3, b.c. 458. Leop. Schmidt fancifully connects the ode with Pyth. III. and assigns it to the same date. It was sung by a chorus of youths (v.5).

The Rhythm is Aeolian, or Lydian with Aeolian measures (v. 79 ).

## ANALYSIS.

$v$.
1-5. The muse is entreated to go to Aegina on the anniver. sary of a Nemean victory, where a chorus awaits her.
6-8. An ode is the highest object of a victor's ambition.
$9-14$. The muse is entreated to inspire the poet to begin the hymn with Zeus of Nemea and to praise the country of the Myrmidons.

> 14-18. Whom the victorious endurance of Aristokleidas in the pankration at Nemea does not discredit.
> 19-20. Aristophanes' son, having done justice to his fine form, has attained to the highest achievements.
> 20-26. One cannot well pass the pillars which Hêrakles set up at the limit of his Western explorations.

26,27 . The poet is digressing.
28. His theme is the race of Aeakos.
29. It is the height of justice to praise the worthy.
30. But it is not good to yearn for distinctions for which one's inborn nature has not fitted one.
31. The victor need not do so, as he inherits worth.
31. The legend of Pêleus is appropriate to him.

32-39. Exploits of Pêleus.
40-42. Innate worth is best. Acquired capacities are fruitless.
43-64. The above doctrine is illustrated by Achilles' childhood, by the aged Cheiron and by the manhood of Achilles.
65, 66. Invocation of Zeus.
67-70. This beseems Aristokleidas who has brought glory to Aegina and the college of Pythian theôri.
70-74. Trial proves a man's excellence in all stages of life.
74, 75. Four divisions of life bring four several virtues.
76. The victor partakes of all four.

76-80. Dedication of the ode.
80-81. As the eagle swoops from afar upon its prey, so the poet can seize upon the theme of a long past victory.
82. But the flight of chattering crows has a lower range.

83, 84. By favour of Kleiô the victor has won glory from Nemea, Epidauros and Megara.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . a^{\prime}$.
' $\Omega$ то́тvıa Moíбa, $\mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon р ~ a ́ \mu \epsilon т є ́ p a, ~ \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma о \mu a \iota, ~$


1. Mâtєp.] Apollo and the Muses were in a metaphysical sense parents of poets. Asklêpiades in his T $\rho a \gamma \varphi$ סov́meva is said to have made Orpheus the son of Apollo and Kalliopê.
2. $\left.\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \nu \xi \xi^{\xi} \nu \alpha \nu.\right]$ For the fame
of the Aeginêtans for fair dealing with strangers ef. Ol. viri. 21, Nem. iv. 12, ₹. 8. For the fem. form of the compound adjective of. Nem. v. 9, vavđıк入útav. Nem. viI. 83, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \underline{q}$.


iepounvia.] A holy day was so called because the period of its return was calculated by the moon. For special mention of the full moon of the Olympian festival of. On. III. 19, 20, x. 73. The Nemean Festival was probably not on the new moon, see note on Sem. Iv. 35, $\nu \in о \mu \eta \nu i ́ q$.
3. 'A $\sigma \omega \pi i \varphi$.] Two streams called Asôpos are recorded, and it is possidle that in Aegina there was a third, named after the mythical father of the eponymous nymphs Thêbê, Aegina and Nemea. We cannot however be sure that the poet wishes to represent himself as present in Aegina, as $\tau \alpha \nu \delta \delta \epsilon \nu a ̃ \sigma o \nu$ (v.68) is not conclusive on the point. Cf. OI. viII. 25, Pyth. ix. 91. It seems best, in spite of Böckh, Dissen, \&c., to explain that the chorus is awaiting the moment of inspiration at Thebes.
 elsewhere poets. Cf. Pyth. III. 113. ' Divers conditions bring divers yearnings. That of a victor in games, \&c.'
4. $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma$ os.] According to analogy and usage this word is rather equivalent to $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi}$ is than to $\pi \rho a^{\gamma} \mu a$.
5. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ápetâv $\tau \epsilon$.] A hendiadys $=$ ' of crowns for highest merit.'
őnaס̊óv.] Here a substantive as in Frag. 72 [63].
6. 'No grudging measure thereof do thou elicit from my store of skill.' It is not easy to render the play on ónaסò in ö́nagॄ in English. The verb should literally be remdered 'do thou bid attend,' as in Il. xxiv. 461, Nom. Ix. 30.
7. äp才e. $]$ Cf. Alkmán, Frag. 1,

 $\sigma \in ́ v o u s ~ d e i \delta \epsilon \nu$.
oưpavoû.] Mss. give oủpav - $\hat{\varphi}$ - $\hat{\omega}$ - wean, but all give $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \nu \epsilon \phi \in \lambda a$. According to a School. Aristarchos and Ammônios took Uranos to be given as the father of the Muse, reading either three datives or three gentfives, but it is presumable that Pindar began with Zeus and followed Hesiod. On this point Diodorus Siculus (iv. 7) gives satisfactory negative evidence. Hermann takes out $\rho a v \hat{\varphi}$ as object to к $\rho$ éoutc. Bergk alters needlessly to Oípavoî a hypothetical form for Oúpavia. It is better to take кр'धovtı as a dat. commodi than as a possessive dative with $\theta$ '́yatep (so one School.) which in such a position has the full effect of 'thou, his daughter.' Bergk objects that it cannot Sic nude dici and that $\ddot{a} \rho \chi \in \ddot{v} \mu \nu 0 \nu \Delta u$ is not appropriate to the context. Surely it is appropriate to any Nemean (or Olympian) ode, even if nothing special be said about Zeus. Moreover cf. $v v .65,66$.





##  25


＇Е $\pi . a^{\prime}$ ．


11．vev．］I．e．v̌upov．
dápols．］＇Choral Voices．＇For the form of．Pyth．1．98，кoupwilay $\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a l o \omega \nu$ óápotб．

12．коьра́бөцац．］мвs．кочшө́боцаи．
 whence Bergk reads кoip＇áeifoual； but probably the Scholiast had the false reading кot $\omega \sigma$ वárouau produced by the incorporation into the text of a correction．Pyth．iv． 115 sup－ ports our text．
t $\xi \in c$ ．］Dissen takes Zeus to be the subject，Don．ära入 $\mu a$, rendering＇It will be a pleasing toil to honour the land，where \＆c．，＇which he supports by Nem．viir．16，N $\epsilon \mu \in a \hat{\imath} o \nu$ ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu \alpha$ татро́s，but there，as here，ä $\quad$ a $\lambda \mu a$ is concrete，＇an honour，＇＇an adorn－ ment．＇Here it might be said that $\ddot{v} \mu \nu o s$ is the subject，$\chi$ wipas ára $\lambda \mu \alpha$ being in apposition，and ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \epsilon \iota=$＇will involve．＇Cf．Soph．EL．351，ov่ тâ̂ra тpòs кakoîat סè入lav éxet；Is it not simpler to read $\epsilon \xi \in a$, as the causal middle，＇thou muse shalt set us grateful toil，an honour to the land＇（ $\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho a s$ al ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \mu a$ being ac－ cusative in apposition with the notion of the clause．Cf．O1．II．4， Aesch． $\mathbf{\Delta g}$. 220．）？$^{\text {？}}$ For undetected instances of causal middle ef．note on фа́бoнal，Nem．1x．43，as to $\kappa \omega \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \rho \mu a t$ ，and perhaps $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \psi \epsilon \tau a$, Aesch．Choëph． 965 （P．）$=$＇will cause to change，Cf．infra v．27，

Nem．vi： 26.
13．The Myrmidons were sup－ posed to have migrated with Peleus from Aegina to Phthiôtis．

14．©iv $\pi$ a入alфatov àooáv．］＇The ancient fame of whose meeting（for games）．＇Don．says that dyopd means meeting－place here as in Od． viI．109，156；but in the latter
 $\hat{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{L}$ ，it is better to render＇as－ sembly，＇＇meeting．＇

15．Ted $\nu \kappa a \tau^{\circ}$ aโбav．］For the usual rendering＇by thy favour，＇ tuo beneficio，which strains the in－ terpretation both of кaтd and of aicay，O1．IX． 28 is quoted，but see my noteand that on Pyth．viII．68．I prefer＇in reference to thy standard， Kleio．＇Aıòs al $\sigma q$, ，Ol．xx． 42 ，is＇by Zeus＇assignment．＇

16．$\mu a \lambda a \chi \theta \epsilon$ is．］＇By yielding，＇ ＇by proving soft，＇the partieiple sig－ nifying，as Don．says，the cause．

17．к $\alpha \mu a \tau \omega \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega v$ ．］For sentiment ef．Nem．vili．49， 50 ，Isth．viI． $1-3$.

18．$\beta a \theta v \pi \in \delta i \varphi$ ．］So best mss． Moschopulos from one or two mss． read $\epsilon \nu \gamma \in \beta a \theta v \pi \epsilon \delta \phi$. ．The lemma， which ought to be in L．and S．，is from $\pi \epsilon \delta \delta o \nu$ not $\pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$ ．$\beta a \theta \dot{u} \pi \in \delta o s$ would be，as Prof．Paley renders， ＇deep－soiled，＇not＇with low－lying plain．＇
$\phi$ épel．］＇He won at Nemea and wears，\＆c．＇（cf．Nem．v．54），ăкоя

 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.



 каì үầ фрáסaббє. $\theta \nu \mu$ é, тìva $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda o \delta a \pi a ̀ \nu ~ 45 ~$


 'А $1 \nu$ т. $\beta^{\prime}$.

being an extension of the predicate. Cf. Isth. vi.21. It is scarcely a historic present, which is rare in Pindar, bat cf. Ol. II. 23, Pyth. Iv. 163.
19. For sentiment cf. Ol. viri. 19,
 $\tau \varepsilon \rho \in \xi=u s$, Isth. vi. 22.
21. Cf. Ol. iII. 43.
22. ${ }^{2} \rho \omega s$ 㩆s.] 'Hero and God.' Cf. Pausanias II. 10. 1.
24. viтє $\rho \dot{\chi} \chi$ os. $]$ Dor. acc. plur. Cf. infr. v. 29, 01. 1. 53. The conquest of sea-monsters by Hêrakles is probably a mythical dress given to the suppression of pirates by Hellênic mariners. Mss. give $\dot{v} \pi t \in \rho o \chi o s$, ioic $\tau^{\prime}$ efevv-. A Schol. gives a v.l. $\delta \iota \dot{a} \tau^{\prime} \quad \in \rho$. Böckh inserts $\epsilon \xi \xi$, Her$\operatorname{mann}$ av̂r'.
$\tau \in \nu a \gamma{ }^{t} \omega \nu$ pods.] "Channels of the shallow straits.' Pliny (Nat. Hist. III. 1) says of the Straits of Gibraltar, frequentes taeniae candicantis vadi carinas tentant. Curtius rejects the connection with $\tau \in \gamma \gamma \omega$, which is given by a Schol., and would look rather to stagnum.
25. $\pi \delta \mu \pi \iota \mu$ о ע עó $\sigma$ ov.] To be taken together as by Prof. Paley; 'Where he came to land at the bourne which sped him on his homeward way; i. $\theta$. the reaching of which enabled him to start back speedily. For the genitive of. Aesch. Choëph. 84, $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma=$ $\delta \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \rho \pi \bar{\eta} s \pi о \mu \pi о$.
26. रầ фpádaofe.] 'Made the land known,' i.e. explored the shores as he had the straits. Prof. Paley renders 'defined the limits of the
 $\delta \bar{\lambda} \lambda \eta \nu$.
27. тараиє [ßєаг.] See note on $v$.

29. 'The flower of justice concurs with the maxim, "praise the noble." For äwros ef. Ol. 1. 15, II. 7, Nem. n. 9 ; for the infinitive of. Pyth. I. 68, iI. 24, Nem. Ix. 6 (where there is the same sentiment).
30. For infinitive of. O1. VII. 25. The poet states in a negative form that $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \in v \grave{\eta} s \in \dot{\delta} \delta \xi_{i} i a(v .40)$ is best. He is complimenting the victor, not, as Leop. Schmidt thinks, warning him against unwise ambition.


 ôs кaì＇ $\mathrm{I} \omega \lambda \kappa o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̉ \lambda \epsilon ~ \mu o ́ v o s ~ a ̆ v є v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau ı a ̂ s, ~$

35 каì тоуті́à ఆє́т兀v катє́ $\mu а \rho \psi є \nu$ <br>

31．oikrotè $\mu a ́ \tau e v e$.$] ＇Search at$ home＇for examples of lofty aspira－ tions．

32．та入alaî̃t ờ év dं $\rho \in \tau a i ̂ s$ ．］Schol．
 of $\Pi \eta \lambda$ ès кal $\dot{v} \mu \nu e i ̂ t o . ~ D o n . ~ n e e d-~$ lessly alters to $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega a \tilde{\sigma} \omega \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ ．$\alpha$ ．with the full stop moved on to the end of the line，comparing 01．xIII．50，

 Render＇For among instances of ancient worth－King Pêleus delights in having cut a matchless spear， de．＇For $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu=$＇in the sphere of＇ cf．O．and P．p．xxxvii，Nem．I． 34. Mr Postgate takes $\epsilon \nu \pi \cdot d$ ．with $\gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$－ yate（a constraction which may be defended by 01．1．14，àj入aţ̧eca
 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ proposes $\dot{\delta} \pi \in \rho a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ for $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{d} \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu$（cf．$\pi \epsilon \rho d \pi \tau \omega \nu$, Pyth． iII．52，$\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\delta} \delta o \iota s$, Nem．xi．40）．But $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \lambda \lambda$ ov is supported by Frag． 39
 must admit some unique forms．

Dissen＇s provectior aetate for $\begin{gathered} \\ y\end{gathered}$ $\pi a \lambda$ ．áp．is undoubtedly wrong． His reference to $\delta \delta \delta о \rho к \epsilon \nu$ ，Nem．Ix． 41 （which clearly refers to the past yet is not an ordinary perfect）to explain the tense，does not apply to a present perfect like $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{a} \theta \varepsilon$ ．Pêleus is represented as still rejoicing in the renown of his spear cutting， sung by rhapsôdists，of．Il．xvi． 140－144（repeated xix．387－391）． The Schol．quotes $\tau \dot{d} \mu \mathrm{f}$ for $\pi \delta \rho \varepsilon$ in the line $\Pi \eta \lambda d \alpha \delta a \quad \mu \varepsilon \lambda i \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \pi a \tau \rho l$ $\phi \lesssim \uparrow \psi \pi \delta p e$ Xelpar．This passage

 For Pêleus ef．Nem．Iv． 54.

34．Peleus overoame the host of the mortal Akastos son of Pelias （according to a Schol．Pherekydes related that he was assisted by Iâsôn and the Dioskuroi）and also the divine Thetis．

кal．］A long syllable before＇ $\mathrm{I} \omega \lambda$ кò̀ to which Christ prefixes the di－ gamma without warrant．For hiatus of．Mommsen，Adnot．Crit． on OI．xIII．34，and O．and P．p．xii． Isth．VII． 56 we find áotoal êcimov．
$\mu o ́ v o s a ̆ ̀ e v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau i a s] ~ D i s s e n ~ c i t e s$. Il．xxir．39，Od．iv．367，xxı． 364 for the pleonasm．The second in－ stance is perhaps not to the point．

35．кaтє $\mu a \rho \psi \epsilon \nu$ ．］＇Seized and held，＇as in Ol．vi． 14.

36．${ }^{\text {e } \gamma к о \nu \eta \tau l .] ~ F r o m ~ t h e ~ m e a n i n g ~}$ of $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \circ \nu \epsilon \omega$ we gather that the adverb means＇by perseverance＇or＇by dint of activity．＇Thetis could change her shape like Prôteus．Cf． Nem．iv．62－6． 6 ．The Schol．quotes a Frag．of Soph．Troilus，ě $\gamma \eta \mu \in \nu$ ，wis

 again from the Achillis Erastae， $\boldsymbol{T}$ is
 $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon, \pi \hat{\nu} \rho$, ṽ $\delta \omega \rho$ ．
eùpva $\theta$ e ${ }^{\prime}$＇s．］＇Of widely known might．＇Cf．Nem．v．4；Ol．xir．2， where my note is perhaps wrong．

37．Note the omission of any mention of Hêrakles in connection with Telamon and Iolâos．Cf．Nem． Iv． 25.








$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime} .
$$





38. 'And one while followed he him (Iolâos) in quest of the power of the Amazons with their brazen bows.' For the hypallage see 0 . and P. p. xxxy.
39. $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \dot{\nu}^{\nu}$.] Mr Fanshawe renders 'temper,' comparing $\sigma$ тонó $\omega$ 'to temper,' 'to give edge ( $\sigma \tau$ ó $\mu a$ ) to.'
40. єv̉סogiq.] Cf. note on v. 30 supra. We use 'nobility' for the qualities which ennoble, Don. renders 'valour,' comparing Aesch.
 I take to be 'courageous resolve of soul.'
$\beta p i \theta \epsilon \iota$.$] Cf. Soph. Aiax, 130, \mu \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$

 Cf. Verg. Aen. 151, pietate grauem.
41. ס $\delta \delta \delta^{\prime} \kappa \tau^{\prime}$.] For sentiment of. Ol. Ix. 100, II. 86.
$\psi \in \phi \eta \nu$ ós.] Cf. Nem. viIr. 34, Pyth.
 $\beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon$.
$\pi \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$.$] Cf. the quotation in the$ last note.

42. кaт $\in \beta a$.] 'He entered the list.'
 катаß́yтєs. But cf. Nem. Iv. 38.

The aorist is gnômic.
ápє $\frac{1}{\nu} \nu$.] 'Kinds of distinction.' For the vague sense ef. Pyth. I. 41. Generally d $\rho \in \tau a l$ means either 'merits,' 'virtues,' or 'victories' or 'noble deeds.'
aंтє $\lambda \in \hat{i}$.$] 'Ineffectual.' L. and \mathrm{S}$. gives 'imperfect,' which is wrong.
43. Td $\mu \hat{v}$.] The answering $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ would regularly come with the general sense of $v .59-63$, but the construction alters in the course of the long interval.
44. $\chi \in \rho \sigma$ l.] For the plur. cf. 01.
 $\chi \in$ poiv, and for throwing spears with either hand cf. $1 l$. xxi. 162.
45. The boy had small weapons. $\tau \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}$.] So Moschop. for $\begin{aligned} & \text { toov } \tau^{\prime} \text {. }\end{aligned}$

 $\sigma \omega$.
46. érpaaбধv.] L. and S. wrongly compares Aesch. Prom. V. 660, $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \quad \phi i \lambda a \quad \delta a l \mu о \sigma \iota \nu$, where $\delta a i-$ $\mu_{0} \sigma \nu$ is governed by $\phi i \lambda \alpha$, and the phrase means ' What he must do or say for his conduct to be pleasing to the deities.' Render 'he was wont to deal slaughter in fight on savage lions.'
$\kappa a ́ \pi \rho o v s ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ้ \nu a t \rho \epsilon, ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau a ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ K \rho o \nu i ́ \delta a \nu ~$
Kévтavpò $\dot{a} \sigma \theta \mu a i ̀ \nu о \nu \tau а ~ к о ́ \mu ı \zeta \in \nu, ~$









47．$\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ ．］Mommsen accepts the v．l．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \iota \ldots d \sigma \theta \mu a l \nu_{0} \nu \tau!$ from two Scholl．；but Dissen points out that $\tilde{a} \sigma \theta \mu a$ generally applies to the wounded and dying（cf．Nem．x．74）， and that the position of $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota$ would be unsatisfactory．Moreover mention of Achilles＇panting seems in bad taste．
49．$\delta$＇$\ell \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau^{\prime}$ ă．$]$ Böckh altered to $\tau^{\prime}$ हाँ

52．$\lambda \in \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu \circ \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ＇Oft－told$ is this story of men of yore which I have to tell．＇For $\lambda_{\epsilon}$ ．cf．Pyth． v．101．Dissen and others take the gen．as one of origin with the par－ ticiple as in 01．viII．44，which I explain differently．

55．$\mu \pi \lambda а к о ́ \chi є เ \rho a.] ~ C f . ~ P y t h . ~ i v . ~$


$\nu o ́ \mu o v$.$] mss．also give vo \mu\langle\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，and a Schol．interprets by $\delta \alpha a \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \nu$ ， ＇apportionment．＇Render $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \mu \circ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ， ＇practice．＇

56．＇And presided at the wed－ ding of Nêreus＇bright－（？）daughter and cherished for her her match－ less offspring，developing all his character by fitting lore＇（or＇im－ proving his courage in all respects by fitting exercises＇）．Mezger ex－
plains aṽ $\xi \omega \nu$ ，＇elevating above the average．＇For Cheiron＇s part in the marriage，ef．Isth．viI．41．The mss．are somewhat in favour of à $\gamma \lambda \alpha 0_{\kappa \alpha а \rho \pi o \nu, ~ t h e ~ b e s t ~} v . l$ ．being
 to me that dy入abкартos（which Paley renders fair－wristed）$=\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma$－ тбкєєа（Theokr．xxiv．72）；but Hermann on картотрофо，Eur． Ion，475，says that кapmjs is not used of children，but of seed，as $\Delta$ loьть картоі̂s，Ion，922．＇Bright－ wristed＇could only apply to a braceleted wrist．For bracelets in connection with Thetis，cf． $1 l$ ． xviII．393－405，if Paley is right as to ob $\rho \mu$ ous meaning＇braceqlets．＇ But if we compare Ol．n．72，ä $\nu \theta \varepsilon-$


 к．т．入．，we need not demur to the epithet of the nymphs in the Homeric hymn to Dêmêtêr being applied by Pindar to Thetis in the literal sense，＇bestower（or＇pos－ sessor＇）of brilliant fruits．＇It is possible that the original was ${ }^{d} \gamma$－入аóкovpov，in reference to Achilles． In uncials $\rho$ and $\pi$ were very easily confused．

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．

## őфра $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i a \iota s ~ a ̀ \nu e ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \dot{\rho} \iota \pi a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~$

 каі Фрvүติ้

105

 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ коipavos ímíб由
 но́лои．

IIO
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.




59．Cf．Nem．vir． 29.
60．סoplkrumou．］It is hard to say whether this refers to the joî̃os dंкóvruv in actual fight or to a clashing of spears accompanying the battle ery．I deeidedly incline to the former explanation．
d $\lambda$ a $\lambda$ du．］Cf．Frag．， 192 ［224］，
 ¿גa入aros，＇the warrior host，＇Isth． vi．10， $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \xi \\ & \text { àd } \lambda a \lambda a ̂ s, ~ ' f r o m ~ b a t t l e . ' ~\end{aligned}$ Compare the use of duṽas，Nem． 1x． 35.
 $\tau \in$ see 0 ．and P．，p．xxxvii．The Phrygians and Dardani were from the north of Asia Minor，the Lycians from the south．


The Aethiopis ascribed to Ark－ tinos seem to have been popular in Aepina．Cf．Nem．viII， 30.


बфícu．］＇To their sorrow．＇Dat． incommodi to $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ кofpavos．．．$\mu$ 人 $\lambda^{\prime}$ o，or
 к．т．．．，being the direct object．

63．ऊaucvク＇s．］＇Inspired．＇Cf．Pyth． Iv．10，Pyth．Ix．38．Perhaps the kinship in prophetic faculty as well as in blood accounts for his being here called cousin of the seer Helenos rather than of any other son of Priamos．But Helenos was connected with Aegina by the ser－ vices which he rendered to the Aeakid Neoptolemos，for whom cf． Nem．vir．35－49．Tithônos was brother to Priamos．
64．äpape．］＇Depends therefrom，＇ $=\eta_{\eta} \rho \eta \eta \tau a l$ ，i．e．from the Trojan war， and Memnôn＇s slaughter especially which spread their bright fame as far as Aethiopia．Cf．Nem．vı． 47 －55，Isth，iv．39－45．
65．Zeî．］An exultant shout of invocation，the $\beta$ od of $v .67$ ．See v． 10.
$\gamma$ d $\rho$ ．］The particle introduces the reasons for the invocation．Of．ol． rv． 1.
 11．82，83，․ 112.
66．$\chi^{\text {d́pua．］＇Victory．＇Cf．} 01 .}$ xi．22，Pyth．viI．64，perhaps ol． viI． 44.



## $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \lambda a a i ̂ \sigma \iota \quad \mu є \rho i ́ \mu \nu a \iota s$

##  

＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\delta^{\prime}$.


 ß


67．Tiv．］Tmesis，$\sigma v \mu \pi \rho t \pi e$. Mezger compares Aesch．S．c．Th． 13，Suppl． 458 for the adj．$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \in \pi$ गेs with a copula．
 For the phrase of．Ol．i．22，крdizet $\tau \varepsilon \pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \mu \xi \xi \in \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta т a \nu$ ．

69．גं $\gamma$ 入aî̃ı $\mu e \rho \ell \mu \nu a \iota s .1$＇By ac－ tive yearnings for victory．＇For the order ef．O．and P．p．xxxvi．For dad．ef．Ol．xiv． 6.

70．Hu日lov $\theta \epsilon \alpha \rho \iota o v$.$] A temple or$ hall in Aegina belonging to the college of Pythian $\theta \in \omega \rho o l$ or sacred ambassadors to Delphi．To this college the victor doubtless be－ longed．There were similar colleges of perpetual $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o l$ at Mantinea， Thuk．v．47，Troezên，Paus．ir． 31. 9，Naupaktos，Thasos，and the four Pythii at Sparta．Müller， Dorier，II．18，Aeginetica，p． 134 f．
 trial is clearly shown perfection of those qualities in which one shall have proved himself pre－eminent．＇ I cannot agree with Paley in ren－ dering rè os＇result，＇though＇high－ est result＇would convey the same sense as＇perfection．＇Mezger rightly opposes this telos to dire－ $\lambda \grave{y} s ~ \nu b o s, v, 42$ ．This closing pas－ sage is very difficult to under－ stand．
72．$\tau \rho / \tau 0{ }^{2} . . \mu \mu$ épos．］Accusative
of general apposition；but here it comes under＇extent，range， sphere．＇Madv．§ 31 c．

73．Eккабто⿱亠乂．］Sc．$\mu \hat{k} \rho \frac{5}{2}$ ，＇in short，in each stage such as our mortal race hath in life．＇So the Schol．

74．ė่र̣̣̂．］Not merely＇brings，＇ but＇forms a series of．＇
 balance of evidence in favour of $\theta v a \tau d s$ against $\mu a k \rho d s$ which would hardly need the article．Render ＇The sum of mortal life brings even four virtues，for it bids us （as a fourth virtae）exercise pru－ dence with regard to the present．＇ Cf．Pyth．Iv．280－286．From this passage we get a clear definition of фроуєìv тঠे талкеโ $\mu \in \nu \nabla \nu$ ，the fourth virtue characteristio of advanced age（proved to be so by the use of the verb $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{q})$ ，and have no men－ tion of justice；while from Pyth． II．63－65，we get courage as the virtue of early manhood，and Bou入al，i．e．єúßovila，as that of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{u} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$ or $\pi \alpha \lambda a i \tau \varepsilon \rho o$ ．Cf．Frag． 182 ［213］．Bat looking back to the exploits of Achilles aet．6，it is hard to extract the first virtue characteristic of boyhood so as to identify it with temperance，indeed I think that the four virtues are two species of $\theta$ paros，and two of

#  

$\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \gamma \mu$ ย́vov $\mu$ é $\lambda \iota \lambda \in \cup \kappa \hat{\varphi}$


＇Е $\pi . \delta^{\prime}$ ．

 äypav $\pi о \sigma$ iv ${ }^{\text {．}}$
$\epsilon \dot{u} \beta$ ou入 $\langle a$, fearlessness and endurance， boldness of design and prudence． Don．however thinks＂that Pindar is speaking with reference to the Pythagorean division of virtue into four species，and that he assigns one virtue to each of the four ages of human life（on the same princi－ ple as that which Shakspere has followed in his description of the seven ages），namely，temperance is the virtue of youth（Aristot．Eth． Nic．1．3），courage of early manhood （P．II．63），justice of maturer age，
 ${ }^{\nu} \mathbf{\nu}$ ）of old age（ $P$ ．II．65）．That he is speaking of the virtues proper to each age is clear from $v .71$ ：${ }^{\circ} v$

 ＇Of these thou hast no lack．＇ Mezger reads $\AA \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ ．Aristokleidas was not necessarily approaching old age at the date of this ode any more than Dâmophilos，Pyth．iv．
$\phi$ i Ios．］Nominative for vocative． Cf．Pyth．1．92．For $\chi \alpha i \hat{\rho} \epsilon$ ef．Pyth． II．67，Isth．I． 32.

77．$\mu e ̀ \lambda \iota$ ．］Cf．Isth．Iv． $54, \epsilon_{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$


 $\chi \omega \nu$ ．The Schol，suggests that ráda represents the natural talent displayed in the ode，$\mu \dot{e} \lambda_{c}$ the skilled labour．But Pindar would hardly apply the metaphor of honey so often to his verse（e．g．Frag． 129
 $\gamma \lambda v к \tilde{T} \tau \in \rho o s \quad \delta \mu \phi a ́)$ if he thought of its being a laboured product．The main idea is a sweet thought．The ingredients may be suggested by the крат $\eta \rho$ р $\eta \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o s$ ，of the Muses， cf．Lucr．1．947，musaeo dulci melle， and of Pan，cf．Theokr．v． 58. Cf．Plato，Ion， 534 A，of poets，

 Cf．Eur．Bacchae，708，ঠбats $\delta \varepsilon$
 and honey．（Perhaps the véктар $\chi$ रutò of O1．vII．was a $\lambda \epsilon \cup к \grave{\nu} \pi \bar{\omega} \mu a$ ， but see Isth．v．2，7．）Philostratos， In Vitis Sophistt．p．511，ed．Olear． tàs $\delta^{\prime}$ évyolas lólas te kaì mapadógous $\epsilon \in \delta \delta i \delta \omega \sigma t \nu\left(\right.$ Nikétes），${ }^{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ol $\beta a \kappa \chi$ êiot Ó́poot tò $\mu$ è̀t кal toùs è éroùs tov̂ rá入aктоs．For the draught of song cf．O1．vi．91，Isth．v．2，7－9． With Yá入a 入eukò̀ of．Frag． 143 ［147］，Lucr．1．258，candens lacteus umor．

78．кєруане́vа к．т．入．］＇A frothed dew crowns the bowl．＇For the parenthesis cf．Pyth．x．45，$\mu$ о́入єv



79．$\pi \pi^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ doiol ．］Cf．Isth．xv． 24. Ev．］Cf．Ol．vit． 12.
81．For the eagle seizing the hare，ef． II．xxII．308，and coins of Elis and Agrigentum．See Plate． $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \alpha t o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$.$] ＇Though it make$ its swoop from afar．＇

סaфoıvóv．］＇Tawny．＇

 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau о \varsigma$ ё้єкєข 145
 фáos．

82．татєцvà עє́ $\mu$ оутal．］＇Have a low range of flight．＇For $\nu \in \mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ＇to have a range＇of．Simonides， Frag． 5 ［12］（Plato，Protag．p． 339
 кєєov｜$\nu \in \mu \in \tau a \iota$ ，＇even the saw of Pittakos goeth not far enough to suit me，＇Herod．ix． 6 fin．The poet means that it is easy for him to give lively interest to a distant event in a case where the ode of an inferior poet would fall flat．

83．$\gamma \in \mu^{\epsilon} \nu$. ．］I．e．$\gamma \in \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, ＂how－ ever，＇Cf．New．แI． 33.

K $\lambda \in$ coovs．］Perhaps chosen because of the victor＇s name．For omens in names cf．OI．vi．56，Aesch． P．V．85，New．II． 8.

84．$\pi$ ． 0 ．］For position of．O1． vii．12，viii． 47 ，Pyth．II． $10,11,59$ ， v．66，viII．99，Nem．Ix．22．For prep．with－$\theta \epsilon \nu$ ，cf．Hes．W．and D． 763，éк $\Delta$ oi $\theta \in \tau$ ．

ס́́́ооркє⿱⿻土㇒日乀．］Cf．OI．1．94，New．Ix． 41 ，and for the perfect cf．O1．I． 53. The phrase $\delta \in \delta о \rho \kappa$ ．фá，answers to äрарє ф́́ $\gamma$ os，supra v． 64 （Mezger）．

## NEMEA IV.

## ON THE VICTORY OF TIMASARCHOS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' WRESTLING MATCH.

## INTRODUCTION.

Timasarchos, son of Timokritos, a harper (v. 14), of the family or clan of the Theandridae, was victorious in the boys' wrestling match at Thebes in the Hêrakleia, at Athens in the Panathênaea and at Nemea. This last victory was won B.c. 461, Ol. 79. 3, or a little earlier. The ode was most likely processional, as it is monostrophic. The rhythm is Lydian with Aeolian measures. It was probally sung before a banquet as a $\pi \rho о к \omega ́ \mu \iota o \nu(v, 11)$.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-8. Feasting and song are the best recompense for severe struggles.
9-13. Dedication of the ode.
13-24. Had Timokritos been alive he would have played the lyre on the occasions of his son's victories at Nemea, Athens and Thebes.
25-30. Telamôn's exploits as Hêrakles' comrade.
30-32. Achievements entail suffering.
33-43. The poet checks himself and bids the victor strive boldly against calumnies.
44-68 Praise of Aeakids.
69-72. The poet again checks himself.
73-92. Praise of the victor and his family.
93-96. Praise of his trainer Melêsias.
 iatpós＇ai סè $\sigma o \phi a i ̀$


5 via，тó⿱二小ov єỉ入oría фóp $\mu \iota \gamma \gamma \iota$ бvváopos．
 \％̈，тє кє бі̀ Х Харітшע тט́ $\chi$ a


1．é่фpooiva．］＇Good cheer，＇cf． Myth．rv．129，Isth．III． 10.
$\kappa є к \rho ц и \notin \nu \omega \nu$ ，］＇When a painful struggle is decided，＇cf．O1．1II．21， Nom．х．23，$\kappa \rho / \sigma \omega \nu \dot{a} \in \theta \lambda \omega \nu$, OI．viI． 80 ，крiбts d $\mu \phi \phi^{\prime} \dot{d} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \lambda o t s$ ；or＇when labours have won a favourable ver－ dict＇（or＇distinction＇），cf．Isth． iv．11，Nom．viz．7．Don．explains
 тe\ec $\theta \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，＇brought to a deter－ mination．＇

2．iarpbs．］For the order cf． 0 ． and P．p．xxxvi．For the phrase of． Asch．Choëph． 685 ［P．］，vûv $\delta^{\prime}, \eta$ ทँтєр


oopai．］There seems to be a double allusion，to skill in leecheraft and skill in poetry，in this instance．

3．Div．］＇Him，＇the victor，ia－ plied in $\pi$ óv．кєкр．，cf．New．viII． 21 －23．Don．Paley．Mommsen how－ ever［comparing Pyth．iII．63，kail $\boldsymbol{\tau l}$

 ger explain $\nu \iota \nu=\epsilon \dot{\prime} \not \rho \rho \sigma$ iva，taking $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota=$＇when they set to work；＇ but I prefer＇by their touch，＇of． Pyth．Iv．271，хрŋ̀̀ $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$
 $\lambda \in i v$.

4．$\gamma \epsilon_{\text {．］}}$ The force is－that sooth－ ing as water is，its soothing pro－ perties are proportionately small． However，Plutarch，de Tranqu．6，

$\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ үvîa，катд̀ $\Pi i v \delta a \rho o v$, wis $\delta o ́ \xi a$

$\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa d \quad \tau \in \gamma \xi \in \varepsilon$ ．］The adjective is proleptic，＇bedew with soft relief，＇ ＇soften by moistening，＇＇steep limbs in softness＇（Holmes）．mss．give тeú Xml，but Plutarch＇s more uncom－ mon word and tense are more likely to be original．Ld．read $\tau \in \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ， but for the gnomic future of．OI． vII．3，where it is taken up by a gnômic aorist，OI．viI． 53, ie $\rho \pi \nu$ on $\nu$

 $\theta \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \in \mid \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha$, Il．xxiI．317，oles $\hat{o}^{\prime}$

 शoтaral ai $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ ，wis al $\chi \mu \hat{\eta} s$ ar $\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \pi^{\prime}$
 Cf．ib． 309.

5．ovváopos．］＇Wedded to’（Holmes）． Cf．Isth．vi． 19.

6．For sentiment cf．Pyth．mri．



 épyov．

7．$\sigma \grave{v} v$ тúxa．］Cf．Nom．vi． 25.
8．фрєvòs éj $\epsilon$ not $\beta a \theta_{\epsilon}$ las．］Merger compares Sem．iiI．9．Paley says the metaphor is from drawing arrows out of a quiver，but the epithet $\beta a \theta$ ias rather suggests choosing from a rich store．Don．quotes








ßoviє̂̃oul，where the meaning may be a little different．See on $\beta$ ativi－ סoछos，Pyth．1．66，01．II． $54, \beta \alpha \theta \in i \alpha \nu$

$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ．］The optative because there is a special reference to the follow－ ing portion of the ode as well as to what generally happens，and so ${ }_{\alpha}^{2} v$ with optative almost $=$ future．The case is not discussed Goodwin $\$ 8$. -64 ；it should come under § 61.3 note．

Kühner，in his general expla－ nation，says that the optative expresses conditional supposition， conjecture，assumption，undeter－ mined possibility，while the sub－ junctive expresses mere supposition， \＆c．（§ 333． 6 of Eng．Trans．1859）． Here oiv रapitwy júxq may be equi－ valent to a protasis．

9． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．］＇Wherefore，＇of．Pyth． v．37，Il．xviI．404，Soph．Phil． 142. Paley renders to $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ，＇to offer this tribute；＇Cookesley＇dedicate this prelude．＇For $\theta$ éuev cf．v． 81.
$\mu o t \epsilon \eta_{\eta}$ ．］For this phrase cf．Pyth． 1． 29 with the pronoun suppressed， Pyth．II．96，O1．1．115，Nem．vir．
 the pronoun in the accusative．
$\tau \epsilon-\kappa a i-\tau \epsilon$ ．］See O．and P．pp． xxxvii．，xxxviii．For the mention of the locality of the games，the victor＇s achievement and the god of the games together，cf．Nem．r．

 $\mu$ е́ $\lambda$ os．
$\tilde{N} \epsilon \mu$ ．тe $\pi \bar{\pi} \hat{\lambda}$ ．is a hendiadys， ＇wrestling at Nemea．＇

11．трокс́цьоу．］Editors and trans－ lators seem agreed that the＇pre－ lude＇or proëme of the processional ode sung by the komos is meant． I think that the whole ode is the $\pi \rho о к \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \circ$ ，the beginning of the revel，and that $u \mu \nu o v$ is a genitive of＇material，＇of．Pyth．2v．206，

$\delta \epsilon \xi$ aito．］Is＇me＇or＇the ode＇ the implied object？The latter most likely．This is the only other instance of the suppression of the direct object of $\delta$ екооа $t$ in Pindar besides Pyth．Iv． 70.

12．$\left.\delta i \kappa \kappa_{,} \kappa_{0} \tau_{.} \lambda_{0}\right]$＇A light that shines in view of all by reason of their justice in protecting aliens：＇ metaphor from a beacon（ $\left.\pi v \rho \sigma \delta{ }^{\prime}\right)$ ． For the justice of Aeginettans of．Ol． viII． 26.
13．「auevei．］Elsewhere in Pindar this adjective means＇quickened by inspiration，＇applied to Mêlea，Chei－ ron，Dionysos（Frag． 133 ［577］）and to $\tau o \delta \lambda \mu a$［Frag． 216 ［ 255 ］，$\tau \dot{0} \lambda \mu a \tau \epsilon$
 ＇eqá $\left.{ }^{2} \sigma e \nu\right]$ ．Are we then in this pas－ sage to take the obvious physical meaning，or to take it causatively （Pyth．Iv．81），in a metaphysical sense，＇quickening．＇＇inspiring＇？
 in＇（Holmes）．
токкіло⿱亠乂．］Cf．Ol．іII．8，фо́риг $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ тоикı入óyариv，01，1v．2，тоикıдо－ фópuryos doo $\begin{gathered}\text { às，also of the flute }\end{gathered}$ Nem．viII．15，фépav $\Lambda v \delta i \not a \nu \mu i \tau \rho a v$
 struction ef．Hes．Scut．202，i $\mu \in \rho \delta^{-}$ ev kitáplise．The Schol．rightly takes

## ${ }_{15} \theta a \mu a ́ \kappa \epsilon, \tau \hat{\omega} \delta є \epsilon \mu \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa \lambda \iota \theta \epsilon i ́ s$, 

 editors have put the comma，disre－ garding the position of $\kappa$ ．

15．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon t$ ．］For the dat．cf．
 Here perhaps $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$ is＇such，＇cf．Ol． 1v．24，Nem．IX．42，Aesch．Ag．942， $\nu i \kappa \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon$ ．Render＇devoting him－ self（Paley）to such a strain．＇Mezger， ＇an dieses Lied sich anschliessend＝ mein Lied mit der Kithara beglei－ tend．＇If the father of Timâsarchos was a＇lyric poet，＇as Don．and Dissen say，Pindar would probably not use language that would make him manifestly inferior to himself．
But Mezger more cautiously calls the father only a musician，which is all that can be strictly inferred from the passage．Even so the oauc̀ and the aorist suggest that
 present ode，especially as three vic－ tories are immediately mentioned．

16．v̌u ${ }^{2} \nu$ ．］Bergk（2nd ed．）reads vióv，which suits $\nu$ a infra $v .21$ well． Possibly，however，vi $\mu \nu o \nu$ would just stand if we take кa入入iviкov as a second accus．＇a noble victor＇（ef． O1．xi．78，Aristoph．Acharn．1232，

 If the ambiguity be objected to，the alternative is to regard $\tilde{\nu} \mu \nu o \nu$ as corrupt，derived from ${ }^{2} \mu \nu v v, v .11$. The slight deviation of Bergk＇s viò v from the ars．reading is no very spe－ cial recommendation，but it might be accepted were it not that the Schol．seems to have had a different reading．The comment is $\sigma v \nu \in \chi \bar{\omega} s$
 тробк入ivas ह́autò̀ каl тробаүаү⿳亠二口丿

 valov a่रติpos $\tau 0 \hat{1} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau o s ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os
 paring ather Scholl．on $\kappa \in \lambda a \partial \bar{\epsilon} \omega$ we are led to the inference that here
the verb was qualified in some way
 $\delta \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Some substantive denoting the victor is，as Bergk saw，almost needed to justify the change from the second person to the third $v v$ ． 21，23，in spite of Dissen＇s＇transitu maxime Pindarico．＇He defends the change by Nem．v．43，45，but that passage（ $q, v$. ．）does not really give such a transitus．If $\pi \epsilon є ц$ аитоs be read $v .18$ ，ü $\mu$ pov may stand，or $\chi$ व́ $\rho \mu$＇${ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \in \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ，also suggested by the Schol．，be proposed；but＇of one having sent＇is much harsher than ＇for having sent＇（or＇brought＇） with vì̀v．．．$\pi \hat{\epsilon} \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ or $\pi a i ̂ \delta^{\prime}$ á $\gamma \kappa \epsilon \lambda$ ． ．．．$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi$ а $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \alpha$ ．Beware of rendering $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau \alpha$＇which brings＇or＇which brought，＇＇that had brought，＇with those who take it in agreement with $\ddot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \nu$ ．Apart from grammatical considerations onehymn could hard－ ly be mentioned as accompanying two or three victories unless it were the odein progress，in which case we should expect the present or future participle．Those who like Prof． Paley do not stick at the transitus involved in $\nu \iota \nu$ had best，I venture to suggest，make the slight altera－
 shot of the discussion is that the retention of $u \mu \nu 0$ involves great difficulties，and does not suit the Schol．，that the substitution of viò does not suit the Schol．，that $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau a$ is incompatible with $\hat{v} \mu \nu o \nu$, that the $v .1$ ．$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi$ avoos is of in－ ferior ms．authority，and though supported by the Schol．yet is clearly taken wrongly，is a very obvious grammarian＇s alteration（cf． $\mu \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota$, Pyth．Ix．13，for $\mu \chi \theta \in \dot{e} \nu \tau a$ wrongly altered to agree with $\theta \in \hat{\varphi})$ ， and at best gives a very harsh con－ struction，and that we should there－ fore decide in favour of $\pi \epsilon \mu \mu$ avaa and against $\tilde{u}^{\mu} v o v$ ．

# $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$ <br>  тє́ $\mu \psi а \nu \tau а ~ к а \grave{~ \lambda ı т а р а ̂ ̀ ~}$ <br>   Kaঠ $\mu \in i ̂ o i ́ ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ є ́ к о \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ Ө \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \mu i ́ \gamma \nu v o \nu, ~$  $\xi \in \in \nu \iota \nu$ äбтv катє́ $\delta \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu$ 

17．Kגewvalov．］Cf．Nem．X． 42. The citizens of K $\lambda$ ewval near Nemea managed the Nemean games for a long time，including the dates of these two odes Nem，rv，and x．and going back at least a generation．Cf． Plutarch，Vit．Arat．c．XxviII，One Schol．on the Nemeans says that first the Kleonnaeoi and then the Korinthians presided．
öp $\mu$ ov $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \omega \bar{\nu}$ ．］The plur．of $\sigma \tau$ tqayos is used in reference to a single victory，Pyth．II．6，im．73， x．26，Isth．III．11，Nem．Ix． 53. The victors probably carried home crowns given to them in the $\phi \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda 10$－

 as well as the prize chaplet．Hence the phrase a string（festoon）of crowns＇might refer to one victory， or as here to two，and we need not charge the poet with having made ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta^{\prime} \beta$ aus dependent on $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$, a very different construction from


 may refer to the crowns of the chorus，cf．Eur．Herc．Fur．677，
 skeleton of the sentence is re入ci－

 mild case of zeugma assisted by the previous ка入入liciov．
18．$\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．］For the two ad－ jectives，one desoriptive，the other complimentary，cf．Pyth．Ix．55， 106.

For $\lambda_{\text {เтара̂̀y }}$ cf．Frag． 54 ［46］，Isth． II． 20.

20．Cf．Schol．on Ol．viz． 154 （84），

 трítous $\chi$ a入koûs．The Scholl．on Ol．ix． 148 tell us that the Herakleia （Iolaia）at Thebes were held by the common monument of Amphitryôn and Iolâos，see also Pausaniss ix．

 ＇Io入áov калоópevoy vyunáَotoy kal
 ＇Io入áov．Pindar speaks of＇Io入áov $\tau \dot{\mu} \mu \beta$ os in connection with these games，Ol．Ix．98．For the other Theban games held outside the Gate of Elektra（s．w．）cf．Isth．III． 79.

21．Mifvoov．］For this use of $\mu$ l $\nu v \mu \mu$ ef．O1．1．22．The $\phi \nu \lambda \lambda$ o－ Bonta is probably referred to．Cf． note on v．17，ö $\rho \mu$ оу $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ व́v $\omega v$ ．Böckh quotes Pausanias，vi．7．1，Clem． Alex．Paedag．in． 8.

22．Aivivas．］Thêbê and Aegina were sisters，daughters of Asópos by Metôpê．Cf．Ol．vi．84，Isth．vir． 15．The Thebans applied to Aegina for aid against Athens when told by the Delphic oracle（в．c．504）tễ ä $\gamma \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{\partial} \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$［Mezger］，Herod．v． 79， 80.
 phrase $=$＇on terms of mutual friendship．＇

23．द̧éviov．］＇Bound to welcome him，＇rather than＇strange，as Paley

# ＇Нраклє́os ò入ßíav $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \lambda a ́ v . ~$ 

 то́рӨךбє каі Мє́ротая  

and Myers render．I take the Homeric sense＇hospes＇to be older than the non－Homeric＇strange，＇ and agree to connect $\xi \in i v o s<द \bar{\epsilon}$ vios （original meaning－＇connected＇）
 from skam or skvas，whence $\xi u$ ív， oúv，Lat．cum，con－．

кaтє́סpauev．］Old mss．give кarধ－ ठракє $\nu$ which Mommsen reads，ren－ dering кa $\bar{\epsilon} \bar{\rho}$ ． $\boldsymbol{e} \lambda \theta$ ．＇venit et con－ spexit，＇adding＇$\pi \rho$＇s ex veniendo suspensum est．＇The better sense and construction decide in favour of the text．The metaphor is from navigation，＇run ashore，into port；＇ so є́драце⿱ $\frac{\text { of } \mathrm{a} \text { ship，Theognis．Dis－}}{}$ вen＇s катє $\delta \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu=\kappa a \tau \epsilon \delta \nu$ is not right．Mezger renders＇ran down through the city：＇see next note．
 thinks that the Hêrakleion outside the Gate of Elektra（Pausan．Ix． 11. 2）is meant，where the Aeginêtan probably sacrificed before the games held at the opposite side of the city．Müller＇s view however seems preferable，namely that＇the house of Amphitryôn＇is intended，the lodgings of the competitors（kaza－
 neighbourhood：comp．Böckh，Corp． Inser．Gr．I．pp． 573 ff．（Don．）．

25．Cf．Nem．iII．37，Apollodôros II．6．4，II．v． 638.

26．Mt́potas．］Note the zengma． These were the inhabitants of the Isle of Kos．Cf．Isth．v． 31.

27．Cf．O．and P．p．xxxvi．
＇A $\lambda$ кvop $\hat{\eta}$ ．］A Giant slain by Hêrakles at Phlegra，the Isthmus of Pallênê probably，of．Schol．on

Nem．I． 67 （100），Isth．v． 33 （47） （though Pindar may have placed the Gigantomachia in Campania）；but according to the Schol．a giant whose kine Hêrakles was driving from Erytheia and who was killed at the Isthmus of Korinth．There seems to be a confusion with the legend of Gêryones by the Schol． Cf．Apollodôros 1．6．1，i．7． 1. The statement that Telamôn van－ quished Alkyoneus may be in ac－ cordance with Aeginetan legend， but the language need not be pressed． What Telamôn did with Hêrakles may include what Hêrakles did himself．Still Telamôn as $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda \iota \tau \eta$ s may have given the coup de grace after Hêrakles as $\psi \mathbf{\lambda} \lambda$ òs had brought the giant down with his arrows． Cf．Isth．v． 33.

28．$\left.\gamma \in \pi \rho \rho \nu_{0}\right]$ Cf．$\pi \rho i v$ re ol．．．
 Elsewhere in Pindar apiv as a con－ junction takes the infinitive．
tetpaoplas．］The Homeric war chariots were bigae or trigae except in the case of Hektor，II．viII．185， a suspected line，the Schol．Ven． denying that Homer ever men－ tions a quadriga．Amphiarâos has te日pintrous Eur．Supplices，925．In Smith＇s Dict．of Ant．Art．Currus， the four－horse war chariots of post－ Homeric Greek literature are ig－ nored．They were perhaps borrowed from the Persians．Cf．Xenoph． Cyropaed．vi．1．27，28．Euripides gives four－horse war chariots to Hyl－ los and Eurystheus，Heracl．802， 860，to Thebans and Argives gene－ rally Suppl．667，675，and mentions





$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \epsilon^{\prime} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$




such chariots for travelling（in flight）Hel．1039，Ion， 1241.
 of the strictly adjectival use of the participle，in which case the presence or absence of the article makes very little difference when the noun is definite．Cf．Sem．vil． 65.
30．Sis tócous．］The $\dot{\eta} v i o x o s$ and тарац ${ }^{\circ}$ ait $\eta$ s of each of the twelve chariots．
àтєєрона́хаs．］＇Manifestly without experience of battle is whoso under－ standeth not the saying：for＂when achieving aught it is likely that one should suffer．＂＇For this saying cf．Asch．Choēph．305，סра́ба⿱亠乂t
 where as Don．says the application is different，ns the different tense of the participle shows．With the pres． the consequences of undertaking or beginning an action are considered， with the aorist the consequences of having done an action．Pindar has apparently adapted and extended the old formula which asserted that we must take the consequences of our conduct．Paley says＂Aristotle （Eth．Vic．v．ch．8．init．）gives this

 yєขосто．＇Don．says＇Pindar refers to the trouble and loss sustained by Hercules and his followers before they could subdue the giant，hinting also that Timasarchos had suffered a good deal before he won his wrestling match．＇So also the

Schol．who quotes from a tragedy
 $\lambda$ єта．

33．＇The due arrangement（of my ode）and the time（occupied by the procession and so allowed for the performance of the ode）pressing on prevent my telling at length the long tale．＇Cf．Isth．1．60，



$\tau \in \theta \mu \delta$ s．］＇The usual structure＇ （Merger），the prescribed limits．Cf．

 $\dot{\rho} a \iota \nu \in \mu \in \nu$ ev̉doyiaus．
35．türyc．］Cf．Pyth．Iv． 214. －I feel my heart drawn on by a charm to touch on the festival of the new moon．＇But lur may here mean＇a yearning，＇as in Asch． Persae， 968 （P．），Aristoph．Lysistr． 1110.

 äעס $\rho a$ ．The School．tells us that Lynx was daughter of Echo or Peitho，who having charmed Zeus into his passion for Io was changed into a bird．

עєо $\boldsymbol{\eta \nu i ( q . ] ~ C f . ~ N e m . ~ I I . ~ 2 , ~ e ̀ v ~}$ iєроиךขiq $\mathbf{N} є \mu \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta$ ，explained by the School．as for lepoyovu $\quad$ via because the beginning of the month is sacred to Apollo，and therefore the time of $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \kappa \nu(\kappa \omega \nu) ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \chi i a$. Hence the poet does not here refer to the day of the victory in the

## 

Nemean games, if G. F. Unger (quoted by Mezger) is right in placing the summer Nemean games on the 18th of the Attic month Hekatombaeon. He certainly does not touch on $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \pi \nu i \kappa \omega \nu$ ejvxia, and therefore there is small reason for saying that he desires to do so. A more comprehensible explanation is to be found, without even making the poet say the celebration of the victory when he means the victory. Probably the Theban Hêrakleia were celebrated at the beginning of the month, for the theme which he now dismisses is closely connected both in grammar and mythical association with the Theban victory mentioned, $v .17$. As for the tense of turye Exouai, the feeling remains though its effect has just past. The $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ then is disjunctive, introducing a sort of apology for the previous digression. Bergk conjectures veoxula (from Hesych.:
 tung, $\nu \in!̣ \mu \nu \in[q$.
36. $\epsilon \mu \pi \alpha$.] This refers back (cf. Nem. vi. 4) to v. 32, the general statement, as well as to the following clause which gives a particular application;-'notwithstanding the fact that worthy achievement involves suffering, though a deep sea (of detraction) has hold of thee by the middle, strain against the evil designs of foes. We shall surely be seen returning from the struggle in full light superior to our foes, while our adversaries, of envious mien (or 'blinded by envy') keep their ineffectual saws tossing in obscurity till they sink to the ground.'

If we understand the metaphor to be from a man up to his waist in the sea, we destroy the force of $\beta a \theta \in i a$. Pindar likens himself to a swimmer wrestling with a deep sea in foul weather. Though
he were immersed all but head and shoulders, the sea, if likened to a wrestler, would be said to hold him by the waist, that grip being apparently the strongest known to the palaestra. His adversaries' inventions are the ineffectual waves of the sea of hostile criticism which are vanquished by the wrestling swimmer, who then comes to the haven of success in the light of fame. Thus $\chi$ aرaiтeтoíбay is a metaphor from wrestling as well as


Lit., êv фáet gives a condition of the swimmer's struggle, for if the shore were enveloped in gloom a swimmer would generally be unable to land. So Ulysses (Od. v.

 ras $\lambda$ cıévas $\tau \epsilon \theta a \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \eta s$, cf. $i b$. 392. Metaph. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \phi \dot{c}^{\prime} \epsilon=$ 'the bright season of success.' The language also suits the return home of a victorious wrestler (ef. Pyth. viII. 83-87). I do not do away with
 and rкóтب, which suggests the secret whisperings of malice as much if not more than the obscurity of the whisperers. Thus instead of the mixture of metaphor with which this passage has been charged, we have one compound metaphor worked out regularly except in one minor detail. Donaldson is inaccurate in saying that Pindar compares his enemies to the waves of the sea. He should have said the $\gamma v \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a} \ell$ кeveal of his enemies are likened to waves. The consequent error of taking $\delta a t u m \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$. $\tau \in \rho o c$ in a physical sense.wonld then afford a less ' Dantesque image,' as Mr Postgate calls it, as datuy would stand for outuv èmıßoullas: but it seems right to explain the phrase, 'superior to (or 'victorions over') foes.' The word $\dot{v} \pi \in \dot{f} \rho \tau \in \rho o s$ is almost

#   

always used in the sense of 'superior,' ' better,' in Tragedy, and so too Pyth. II. 60, Isth. I. 2. It is peculiarly appropriate in reference to wrestling. The presence of the compound metaphor of wrestling with a sea is generally admitted, so that if $v v .38-41$ can be explained in harmony with this, such an explanation has strong claims to acceptance. I cannot approve Mr Postgate's suggestion that the simile is drawn from a mountainous country. 'Pindar's detractors have occupied the passes and are hurling stones upon him from the obscurity, which however fall ineffectual on the ground. Presently, like the Persians at Thermopylae, he carries the heights above them and pursues his way down the sunlit valleys on the other side.' One objection which appears fatal to this ingenious interpretation is that it makes $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \rho o t$ equivalent to an aorist participle. Again, the contrasted shade and sunshine are not essential to the idea, as they are according to my explanation. Thirdly, àvitetv' does not suggest the manœuvre of 'turning' a position. This passage contains many points which need com. ment or illustration.

For the form ${ }^{\xi} \mu \pi \alpha$ of. Soph. Ai.

 кel ('assiduous all the same, although' [Jebb]) $\tau a \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \mid \tau \eta \lambda \omega \pi \grave{s}$ oix $\chi \in \hat{\text { én }}$. This passage scarcely illustrates the position of ${ }^{\xi} \mu \pi a$, as Don. holds.

каiтєе EXel.] An unsupported construction. Pindar himself uses the usual participle or adjectival phrase with calime at least four times. Ahrens proposed ęuтa каъ

mss. give kal $\pi \in \rho$. The suggestions $\kappa \alpha \ell$, кelँтє $\rho$ are open to question, as the case seems neither imaginary nor, though actual, conceded with reluctance, or made light of. Cf. Jebb's note on $\kappa \in l$, Soph. Ai. 563. Comparing the form ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $8 \mu \omega s, \kappa \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ olктı $\rho$ -
 85, I would suggest kal rad in place of kalmep, which is very likely to have been substituted after $\epsilon \mu \pi a$. Cf. Soph. Ai. 122.
exel.] For the omission of the object, ef. Pyth. II. 17, Nem. vir. 23. Still the omission of $\sigma \varepsilon$ is curious. The metre allows us to read $\sigma^{\prime}$ after $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma o v, v .37$. A reading $\mu$ é $\sigma \sigma$ ovs would easily pass into $\mu \dot{e} \sigma \sigma o u s$ and be corrected to $\mu^{\prime} \operatorname{co}^{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Perhaps a marginal $\sigma^{\prime}$ wrongly inserted accounts for the version Exckouliaus, though this may arise 'ex dittographia.'

 859, and several times besides in Aeschylos and Euripides. Cf. Hamlet's 'sea of troubles.'
37. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o \nu$.$] For the phrase$

 тapov, Aristoph. Ach. 571, ${ }^{\text {² }} \boldsymbol{\omega}$

 rov.

סózouev.] For future as apodosis to imperative, Dissen compares the following passages: (1) without kal: 1l. xxir. 71, Өд́nte $\mu$ e
 Tuscul. rv. 24, tracta-intelliges: (2) with кal: Pyth. iv. 165, Aristoph.
 Dêmosthen. de Corona, p. 264, $\delta \epsilon$ t-


38. द̇̀ фácı.] For the metaphor,


 е้ठ̀шкє Пóт







of. Asch. Choëph. 961, «ápa тò



кaraßaivelv.] The sense may be the same as in Net. III. 42, 'to attain one's object,' cf. ib. 25.
39. $\phi \theta$ owe $\rho d$.] For $\phi \theta$. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ of. Pyth. II. 20, $\delta \rho a \kappa \in \hat{i} \sigma{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda e ̂ ́ s$.
ä入 los.] Sing. for plur. Cf. $\tau t s$, Pyth. 1. 52, also $\tau$ twa $=$ ' many a one,' Path. II. 51, Nem. I. 64.
40. $\sigma$ кór $\varphi$.] For metaphor cf. Nom. III. 41, Soph. Phil. 578, ti $\mu \mathrm{e}$

41. $\epsilon^{2 \mu i} \delta^{\circ}$, к.. ..$]$ For sentimont cf. Pyth. v. 110 ff.
áperáv.] 'Talent.'
42. то́т $\mu$ os ăvag.] Cf. Myth. III.

43. ${ }^{2} \rho \pi \omega \nu$.] Of. OI. xiII. 105,
 viI. 68, io ot خotàेs ev̉ppev mort хро́vos er $\rho \pi$ to.
$\pi \in \pi \rho$. к.т.ג.] 'Shall bring to its destined maturity.'
44. ȩ̇úpave $\mu$ er Nos.] 'Weave out the web of song.'
cal то́g' avivika.] 'And that at 'once,' 'Aye and straightway' [Holmes].
46. Olvávac.] Oenone was said
to be the old name of Aegina before Zeus took Aegina daughter of Asôpos thither, Pars. II. 29. 2.
 far away from his country,' Nomsen 'praeit (saltantibus),' Tenkros having led the way to Cyprus for the ode; Bergk (and ed.) sag-
 I think the word may here mean 'receives ḋжapxal,' ie. offerings made to the dead hero-founder of the Aeakid colony in Cyprus, cf. Eur. Phoen. 1523, $\tau\left\{\nu^{\prime} \in \pi l^{\prime} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega}\right.$ oo

 цата עекрйv; The suggested rendering involves the supposition that ȧdópxoure is a causal middle (er. Nom. Ix. 43) ; the rarity of the active form is not surprising. The fact that adp $\bar{\alpha} \mu=$ 'to begin' is generally found in Homer favours my notion. 'E $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho x$. gives good sense.
48. 'text.] 'Is tutelary deity of.'
$\pi a \tau \rho \dot{\alpha}, \nu_{0}$ ] The Salamis ' of his fathers,' opposed to the ambiguam tellure noun Salamina futuram, promised to Teucer, Hor. Od. I. 7. 29.
49. After death Achilles was

#  <br>   

said to have 'dwelt with Iphigeneia in Leukê, an island in the Euxine. Cf.. Eur. Andr. 1260, тду фф $\lambda \tau а т о ́ \nu$


 Iph. in Taur. 435, Tàv $\pi 0 \lambda v o ́ \rho \nu \iota \theta o \nu$

 то́vтov. Pausanias, iII. 19.11, places the island off the mouths of the Danube (Paley).
50. Өétıs.]. Cf. Eur. Andr. 16,




 $\nu \nu \mu \phi \varepsilon v \mu a \tau \tau \nu$. Our Schol, says that the $\theta \epsilon \tau l \delta \epsilon t o \nu$ was a lepd̀ at $\Phi \theta l a$. Strabo places it close to Pharsalos. Both may be right, as each town may have boasted one.
51. $\delta \iota a \pi \rho v \sigma$ (q.] It is clear, in spite of editors (who render 'celebrated,' late patens, $\epsilon$ ls ô ठो $\iota \in \pi \in \rho \hat{\omega}-$ $\mu \in \nu)$, that $\delta \iota a \pi \rho v \sigma\{\alpha$ simply means 'from end to end,' 'right through,' an adverbial adjective. It is ex-
 mópov. For the interpretation we must compare Eur. Andr. 1247,
 $\dot{a} \backslash \lambda o \nu \quad \delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \nu$ Mo入o $\sigma \sigma \iota a \nu$-referring to the same subject, so that Euripides would seem to be paraphrasing this passage of Pindar. Unfortunately scholars are not at one as to this use of $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a \mathrm{y}$; Hermann, followed by Paley, reads Mo八orбias às gen. after $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in a$, taking $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu=\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \delta \iota a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$; Pflugk explains the vulg. per Molossorum fines regnare, which is nearly right. The word $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a \hat{\nu}$ with a word signifying city or country as object seems to be used only with a deity
or a king as subject. I take it that in later Attic the verb got the meaning of making a grand progress through, hence $\beta a \sigma i \lambda e ́ a$ ঠıaжє$\rho \hat{a} \nu=$ ' make royal progress through,' a good phrase for expressing sovereignty over a large extent of country, and conveying Pindar's idea with tolerable fidelity. As to the etymology, I doubt whether Don. and Curtius are right in connecting it directly with j same $\rho a \omega$ $\delta \iota a \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \in ' s$ respectively, for $\delta \iota a \pi \rho d$ (Thiersch) stands nearer in both form and meaning. The suffix -tya- is found with prepositions, numerals, or pronouns in vixtios,
 probably in $\pi$ рó $\sigma \sigma \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \sigma \sigma o ́ s, ~ \mu \epsilon$ $\tau \alpha \sigma \sigma a l$, ë $\pi \iota \sigma \sigma a l$. In such forms as $\pi \rho \nu \mu \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma t o s, \delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma t o s$ the sibilant is probably original, though Curtius makes no distinction. The $v$ is Aeolic, though several instances of the change of $\mathbf{A}$ to $v$, e.g. $\xi v i v, \pi \rho v^{-}$ $\tau a \nu ı s, \nu u ́ \xi, b \nu v \xi$, can scarcely be attributed to the influence of one dialect ( $\gamma \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta}, \pi \in \rho v \sigma \iota, \nu ँ \pi \nu o s$ shew the change
 is probably for'A $\pi \in \rho / o s$, either from the prepositional adverb which ap-
 apara, Goth. afar, 'otherwise,' of. 'A $\pi / a \quad \gamma \hat{\eta}$, or if this= 'waterland,' which is better, we must divide 'H $\boldsymbol{\pi}$-єрtos, cf. al' $\gamma$-є $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ os. Certainly both Epeiros and the part of Asia best known to the Ancient Greeks are remarkably well watered by rivers.
52. The southerly spurs of the mountain range which runs from Pindus (Lat. $39^{\circ} 54$ ) to the Acroceraunian promontory may be appropriately called $\pi \rho \hat{\omega v e s . ~ T h e ~}$ general tendency of the slopes which extend therefrom is towards
 Пa入íov סè $\pi a ̀ \rho ~ \pi o \delta i ~ \lambda a \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ ' I a \omega \lambda \kappa o ̀ \nu ~$



|  <br> тє́ $\chi^{\nu a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma а ́ \mu є \nu о \varsigma . ~}$ <br> $\tau a ̂ ~ \delta a u \delta a ̀ \lambda œ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu a \chi a i ́ \rho a ~ \phi u ́ \tau \epsilon v e ́ ~ o i ~ \theta a ́ v a \tau o v ~$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |

south－west by south．By the＇Ióvcov $\pi \delta \dot{\rho} n \mathrm{P}$ Pindar means the sea between the islands and the coast of Epeiros rather than the whole sea between Italy and Greece．For the subject of．Nem．VII．51．The cattle of Epeiros are celebrated by Aristotle， Varro，Columella，Aelian，while Pliny says，In nostro orbe Epiro－ ticis（bubus）lans maxima，Nat． Hist．vili． 70.

ката́кєtขтаt．］＇Slope down．＇Cf． Hor．Od．1．17．11，Usticae cubantis， Lucr．1v．517，Theokr．xift．40，


55．тробтрат $\omega$ ．］Takes here a double accus．：＇having turned Iolkos to subjection with hostile violence．＇ Mommsen explains＂terram hostili manus advertere（admovere），＂com－ paring Ol．1．22，крd́тєє т $\rho о \sigma є ́ \mu \xi \in$ ঠ̇є $\pi$ ótav．Other scholars alter or render intransitively＇having ap－ proached．＇None of the proposed constructions have due support， therefore simplicity is the chief test．If the double accus．be ob－ jectionable the alteration $\lambda a r \rho \in i \underline{c}$ seems the best alternative．For such hiatus of．O．and P．p．xlii． The exploit is mentioned Nem． III． 34.

56．Aiubveaनt．］＇Thessalians．＇ Akastos was the last Minyan king of Iolkos．It is not unlikely that the myths invert the true sequence of events，and that the Aeakids either came themselves or were allies of folk who came from the
neighbourhood of Dôdôna through Thessaly and so to Delphi and Iolkos and Aegina．

58．xpךбduevos．］There is an old v．l．$\chi \omega \sigma$ ducvos．The Schol．explains the text $\epsilon$ is $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\varnothing} \frac{\sigma}{}$ It is usually rendered＇having ex－ perienced，＇though the examples given are not quite parallel，as the dative substantives belong to the subject，not，as here，to another person；e．g．ঠvбпрaүiais，тúx $\eta$ ，$\xi v v-$ tvxiq̧，$\xi_{v \mu ф o ́ p q . ~ P e r h a p s ~ A e s c h . ~}^{\text {Ag }}$ ．
 Хคท̂таı รัソழ̂ comes nearer．

59．óıьó $\lambda \varphi$ ．］Didymos＇correction for $\Delta a \iota \delta \dot{d} \lambda o v$ which Bergk defends on the ground that $\Delta a i \delta a \lambda o s$ is identical with Hêphaestos，com－ paring Eur．Herc．Fur．470，és

 mann，ка日iєє ঠal $\delta a \lambda o \nu ~ \& c.), ~ M i l l i n, ~$ Gall．Myth．xirr． 48 and Diodor． Sic．Iv． 14 where it is stated that Hêphaestos gave Hêrakles a club and breastplate．
$\mu a \chi a i \rho g$ ．］If we are to follow the passage quoted by the Schol．from Hêtiod，＇by his sword＇here $=$＇by hiding his sword，＇but ék गó $\chi o u$ shows that Pindar followed another ver－ sion of the Myth．The verses quoted from Hes．run $\ddot{\eta} \delta \varepsilon$ $\delta e$ oi кard






 $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ ठє̀ $\pi a \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau$ ès $\theta \rho a \sigma v \mu a \chi a ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

$\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \nu о т a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \chi a ́ \sigma a \iota \varsigma$ ỏ óóvt $\omega \nu$

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \theta^{\prime} .
$$

 105
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 II5 äтора үàp 入óyov Аіакои $\pi a i ̂ \partial \omega \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \check{a} \pi a \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu 0 \iota ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \in i ̂ \nu$.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \iota^{\prime}
$$

$\Theta \epsilon a \nu \delta \rho i ́ \delta a \iota \sigma \iota \delta{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \iota \gamma v i \omega \nu$ á $\epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$
$\kappa \alpha ́ \rho v \xi$ ย̇тоîرos є้ßav

120

 However when he got possession of the sword he may have changed his mind. Eur. Tro. 1127 says that Akastos ousted Pêleus from Phthia or Iolkos ( ${ }^{2} \kappa \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \quad \chi^{\theta o \nu}$ d́s) a passage not necessarily at variance with Pindar's account, for Akastos may have survived the conquest of Iolkos and have disturbed Pêleus in his old age. Apollodôros, nin. 13. 3.
61. Eкфєрєข.] Generally taken as active, but the imperfect tense is better with $\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \mu^{\prime} \rho \sigma \iota \mu \nu$ as subject. Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. 1424, ópâs tà
 тévéna'.
64. $\sigma$ ג́áals.] Lit. ' having caused to become relaxed,' 'having subdued.'
66. єи้кvк入ov ë $\delta \rho a \nu$.] 'seats fairly ranged in a circle.' Cf. Pyth. III. 94, каl Kро́vov таî̃as $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} a s$ tiò
(Peleus and Kadmos at their respective marriages) $\chi$ puréaus $\begin{gathered}\text { è } \\ \text { é } \delta \text { - }\end{gathered}$

68. és révos.] Best mss, read $\gamma \in \nu \in \cos$, probably from a gloss explaining that the phrase meant 'for consecutive generations.' The Schol. clearly read $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{e v} \epsilon$ s.
69. For sentiment cf. Ol. III. 44, Isth. III. 30, v. 12. The poet has reached the extreme limit of mythical digression.
71. ämopa.] For the plur, cf. Pyth. I. 34, Archil. 64 [40], oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ é $\sigma \theta \lambda \alpha ̀$
 de mortuis nil nisi bonum, Aristoph.
 $\mu \eta \bar{j}^{\prime}$ éoprááal;
75. ovvo.] 'As I engaged.' Cf. Pyth. XI. 41, $\epsilon i \quad \mu \tau \sigma \hat{\varphi}$ रe $\sigma v \in \theta \in v$
 particles cf. supra v. 9. The datives depend on $\alpha \in \theta \lambda \omega \nu$.





бтá入av $\theta_{\epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu ~ П a \rho i ́ o v ~ \lambda i ́ \theta o v ~ \lambda є u к о т є ́ р а \nu}{ }^{*}$
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \iota a^{\prime}$.








76．Teîpay Exoyves．］Not＇having contended，＇but as Dissen＇when－ ever they contend，＇＇sustain a trial．＇

77．＇w＇．］Refers to olkade．
 with，＇as furnishing many victors， or，as Müller thought，as cultivators of lyric poetry and music，or，as Dis－ sen explains，as providing choruses．
tou．］This particle leads up to the impressive asyndeton，infra $v$ ． 85 or $v .82$ ．It emphasises the whole sentence．

81．Cf．Nem．viri．47．The sub－ stitution of this phrase for $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mu \nu} \nu$ anticipates an apodosis．

82．£́єómevos．］＇While being re－ fined．＇From do $\chi \rho v \sigma o ̀ s ~ t o ~ \phi \hat{\omega} \tau a$ is a virtual parenthesis（the asyndeton being noteworthy），amplifying the general notion of $\sigma \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda a y$ IIaplov
 matically the effect of minstrelsy in general（illustrated by a simile in－ troduced parathetically，cf．O．and P．p．$\times \times x \mathrm{v}$ ．）is made a false apodosis （of．Pyth．xi．41－45），followed abruptly by the true apodosis， $\kappa \kappa i v o s ~ є \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau \omega$ ，к．т．入，added to ex－
press the promise of the celebra－ tion asked for，which is implied in the preceding general statement，
 struction straightforward save for a natural impressive asyndeton and an easy omission，the drift being as follows：＇If thou biddest me cele－ brate Kallikles in song，（know that） this is the highest possible boon；it shall be granted＇？It should be observed that this simile is drawn from molten gold．

84．Cf，for idea Ol．1． $113, \quad, \pi{ }^{\prime}$
 тоע корифойта兀 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \tau$.

86．evpé $\omega$. ．］＇Become aware that．＇ Cf．for sentiment Ol．viII． 77 ff．，siv， 19.

87．＂v＇．］＇Here on earth where，＇ or，with Dissen，＇at the Isthmus where．＇Bergk reads $\begin{gathered}\text { dyeк＇．}\end{gathered}$

88．$\theta$ á $\eta \eta \sigma_{\text {E．］}}$＇He burst into bloom．＇The etymology $\theta \eta \lambda \eta$ mis－ led L．and S．The word must not be applied literally to $\sigma \in \lambda(\nu o s$, for the Isthmian crown was of withered， ¿ $\eta \rho a^{\prime}$ ，parsley．For the phrase ef．

 90 *ó $\sigma$ òs á $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau a \ell$, $\pi a \hat{\imath}$.*


oiov aìvé $\omega \nu$ кє $\mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma i a \nu$ є้pı $\delta a$ отрє́фои,

$95 \mu а \lambda а к а ̀ ~ \mu є ̀ \nu ~ ф \rho о \nu є ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \lambda о i ̂ s, ~$

89. трота́т $\omega \rho$.] I.e. $\mu а \tau \rho о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \rho$. See the following scheme. Euphanes
 (the subject of the ode).
90. So mss, against scansion.
 Leutsch suggests that the poet is thinking of the proverb $\eta^{\lambda} \wedge \iota \xi ँ \eta \lambda \iota \kappa a$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \iota$, said to be derived from Od. xvir. 218. [Mezger.]
93. ofov, к.т. 入.] 'For instance, were he to sing Melêsias' praises he would twist about (his theme of) the struggle, locking together phrases, hard to stir from his position in recital.'
Aristarchos read otov and epioas. In this signal instance of Pindar's tendency to make his metaphors appropriate to the contest in which the person whom he is celebrating was victorious, $\sigma \tau \rho \in \phi$ ot alludes to the general turning and twisting of a wrestler's whole body, $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu$ to the interlacing of his limbs with his opponent's (see the group of Lottatori (Florence, Uffizi), of which there is a cast in the Fitzwilliam Museum), è $\lambda_{\lambda \in \epsilon}$ is a more general term for the endeavour to move or bear down the adversary by tugging at him. Cookesley wrongly makes Euphanes the subjectinstead of the object of $\varepsilon \begin{aligned} & \text { кetw. For the }\end{aligned}$
technical use of. Il. xximi. 714, тeтpl-
 $\rho \hat{\omega \nu} \mid \dot{\text { è } \lambda к о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega ̂ s, ~ H e s . ~ S c u t . ~}$
 Sóv. For the appropriate metaphor cf. O1. vini. 24, $\delta \iota a \kappa \rho \rho \nu \in \iota \nu \delta v \sigma \pi a \lambda \epsilon$ 's, Ol. vi. 22, Nem. I. 7, vir. 70-72, Isth. II. 2. For the infinitive èkecy cf. O1. vilr. 24, Ol. vil. 25, Nem. inf. 30. For the trainer Melêsias ef. O1. viII. 54 ff., Nem. vi. 66 to the end. From the trainer receiving such prominent honour as the theme of the conclusion in Nem. Iv. and vi. one may perhaps infer that he engaged the poet to celebrate a pupil on both occasions, ef. Pyth. iv. Introd.
95. Cf. Ol. II. 17, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$, but especially Pyth. viII. 82, тétpaनı
 фpope $\omega \nu$, of a wrestler.

É $\sigma$ dois.].] 'The noble,' i.e. here, victors and meritorious competitors in games.
96. тaגıукбтos.] 'Their malicious enemies.' It may be inferred from the last lines being devoted to enemies that Timâsarchos' victory was not altogether popular.

Eфє $\delta \rho \frac{0}{}$.] For the meaning of the term cf. Ol, viI. 68. It simply means the man who 'draws a by' where an odd number of competitors are matched in pairs. Here Melêsias and his resentful rivals are paired, but Euphanes is ready to take up his quarrel.

## NEMEA V.

## ON THE VICTORY OF PYTHEAS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Pytheas, son of Lampôn, was the elder of two brothers, who were both pankratiasts, the younger of whom Phylakidas won the Isthmian victories commemorated in Isth. IV. (B.c. 478), and Isth. v. (B.c. 480). The elder brother's Nemean victory was earlier. They belonged to the noble $\pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \rho a$ of the Psalychidae of Aegina (Isth. v. 63). Their father Lampôn was son of Kleonikos (Isth. v. 16), and was perhaps cousin to that ingenuous creature $\Lambda a^{\prime} \mu \pi \omega \nu$ ó $\Pi \dot{\prime} \theta \in \omega$,
 his fame by impaling Mardonios. Critics are cruel enough to make these two Lampôns probably identical, either Pytheas (Don.) or Kleonikos (Müller) being Lampôn's natural father, the other his adoptive father, or else Kleonikos being a second name given to Lampôn's father Pytheas. However we know that cousins did sometimes bear the same name, and the name of the victor Pytheas is no proof that his grandfather was Pytheas. If he were not the eldest son he would be more likely to be named after another senior member of the family than after his grandfather. So that the identity of Hêrodotos' and Pindar's Lampôn is not more than possible.

The following stemma, mostly hypothetical, shows how, according to the Attic habits of Nomenclature, the victor might get his name, without his father having been adopted.


Kleonikos Themistios Pytheas* Phylakidas*

The names marked with a star are mentioned by Pindar.
The rhythm is Dorian with exception of a few Lydian metres.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-6. The poet is not a maker of motionless statues, but his song travels by every craft to tell of Pytheas' Nemean victory won as a boy.
7-8. He did honour to the Aeakids and Aegina,
9-13. For which Pêleus, Telamôn and Phôkos prayed to Zeus Hellênios.
14-17. The poet hesitates to say why Pêleus and Telamôn left Aegina. Truth is not always to be told.
18. And silence is often the truest wisdom.

19-21. The poet is equal to uttering the high praises of the Aeakids for wealth, athletics and war.
22-39. For them the Muses sang of the temptation of Pêleus and his marriage with Thetis.
40, 42. Family destiny decides as to achievements.
43-47. The victor's maternal uncle was a victor.
48-49. Acknowledgment of the services of the Athenian trainer Menandros.
50-end. The victor's maternal grandfather was a victor at Epidauros in both boxing and the pankration.

This ode is particularly easy of general comprehension. From mention of the victor the poet passes rapidly to the myth of Pêleus, whichillustrates inter alia the saw that 'truth is not always to be told;' a maxim which applies more or less to every family and to most individuals. Still there might be a reference to the discredit attaching to the family from the notoriety of the ávocióratos $\lambda$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ os of Lampôn, son of Pytheas, or to some other specific family skeleton. The last fifteen lines are devoted to the illustration of the poet's favorite theory that excellence is hereditary, in this case through the mother chiefly. It is likely that Pytheas intended to compete at Epidauros before long, as the poet ends off with his grandfather's exploits there.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime} .
$$



 áoıóá,



## 5 viкך Nєцєíoıs таукратiov бтéфадоу,

1. From this passage Horace is said to have got his exegi monumentum acre perennius (Od. m. 30. 1).
è̀цvó́ovтa.] Cf. Isth. II. 46. Inferior mss, read ètvúбซovia. Editors needlessly insert $\mu$ ' after it. But Épyás eau properly has an initial $F$. An allusion to statuary was peculiarly appropriatein Aegina at this period, as Meager remarks, quoting Schelling. Then Onâtas was flourishing.
aủrâs.] According to Wisen=
 225, aủtiv $\dot{0} \delta \partial \nu$, Od. x. 263. Add Od. vIII. 107, xiI. 138. The sense is rather ' on the base and nowhere else,' cf. the use of apse, Ter. And. v. 6. 10, in tempore ipso me duenus, 'at the exact time.' The idiom is confined to time in Latin and, generally at least, has reference to space in (Non-Attic) Greek. Perhaps $\theta$ eos aúròs $\mid$ os, Od. rv. 181, is an instance of the use of autos $=$ idem, as Cookesley suggests; but-' that god (and none other) who'-is a more forcible rendering.
2. $\epsilon \pi l \ldots$...tv.] Just as we say on a ship but in a boat.
oлкdoos.] From $\sqrt{ }$ of $\begin{aligned} & \text { En cw; orig. }\end{aligned}$ a towed raft, afterwards, as here, a vessel of burden, a merchant ship.
áк áru.] A vessel of light draught
for carrying passengers, troops, \&c.
3. $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi^{\prime}$.] Only used of a voyage, I believe, here and II. II. 287,
 Pindar means that travellers from Aegina will mention or even recite his ode.
 tion-'in divers directions,' 'abroad.'
4. єivpuctevท่s.] Of physical strength, Mem. III. 36, says Dissen; but Telamon was potent as well as physically strong. Paley renders 'broad-shouldered.', I prefer 'farfamed for strength.'
5. pi kn.] 'Was winner of.' mss. $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta},-\hat{\eta}$. The present $\nu i \kappa \eta \mu u$ of which this form is the 3rd Sing. Imp. occurs Theokr. VII. 40. Cf. ঠр $\not \eta \mu=\dot{\delta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$, Theokr. Sapph. II, 11. The form $\boldsymbol{p l k \eta}$ occurs Theokr. vi. 45 , viкך $\mu \grave{\partial} \nu$ on $\delta^{\prime}$ ar $\lambda \lambda o s$, àvá $\sigma \sigma a \tau o \iota$ ${ }^{\text {E }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ єขоутo. These forms are omitted by Curtius in his Second Excursus on the Verba Contracta. The Greek verb (Trans.), p. 246. As we find dंбáuevos in Alkaeos the forms in $-\eta \mu$ are probably contracted from by-forms in -eva. Cf. $O$. and $P$. p. xii. 2nd par. and on örev́ $\mu \in \nu=$ (Theokr. xxIII. 34) by oi $\alpha d \omega$. The Impf. is used where we might expet the Aorist, in speaking of victories in games. Cf. infra, v. 43, Simonides, 153 [211], 154 [212],



 $\rho a \nu^{\circ}$
тáv $\pi о \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \nu ้ a \nu \delta \rho o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu a v \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$

155 [213]; but the Aorist is also used frequently. So we often have $\nu \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ for $\nu \iota \kappa \eta \quad \sigma a s$. On Thuk. v. 49,
 трйтov evika, Arnold confounds that Impf. with the 'contemporar neous' (?) Impf. è $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \tau a$ ( $\theta$ épos, E'Tos), where the action of the verb is continuous, 'drew to a close, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ and renders ' $A$. was winning his prize. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Now in chronological records the $\operatorname{Imp}$. $e v i k a$ 'was victor (for the Olympiad, Pythiad, \&c.),, is as natural as $\eta$ ip $\rho \in$ ' was archôn.' The use of the official tense may have spread, but on the other hand the non-official use, as here, may mean 'began to be victor.' For the accusative of reference $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha$ yov, of. Simonides, Frag. 147 (203), $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \rho i \pi о \delta a$, infra, v. 52.
6. $\gamma$ '́vvą.] мss. yévus, Herm. révo. 'Not yet displaying on cheeks and chin down the daughter of (life's) ripening time.' (Lit.

- fruit-season, tender mother of vine-blossom.') For the metaphorical use of $\delta \pi \omega \dot{\rho} a$, of. Isth. II. 5, Aesch. Suppl. 996, ipâs $\delta^{\prime}$ ĖTauv $\hat{\omega}$


 where we have perhaps a reminiscence of this passage. ' $0 \pi \omega$ ' $\rho a$ is strictly speaking that part of the year which falls between the rising of the dog-star and the rising of Arcturus - the hottest season of the year, while the sun is in Leo. The ancient Greeks divided the year into seven seasons-eap, $\theta$ épos,
 $\mu \omega \nu$, фита入la. 'O $\pi$ т $\dot{p}$ a sometimes means 'fruit:' thus Alcman calls honey: к $\eta \rho / \nu \eta$ о́т ${ }^{\circ} \rho \alpha$, ' waxen fruit.' Hence, metaphorically, the most blooming time of youth: I. II. 5. See Schneider, s.v. ${ }^{\prime}$ Don.

7. The following scheme shows the relationship:

8. $\phi\left[\lambda a \nu \xi \in \nu \omega \nu{ }^{2} \rho o v \rho a \nu.\right]$ Cf. Pyth. III. 5, vóov à $\nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ фỉov. Here $\phi i \lambda$. $\tilde{\alpha} \rho$. go together to make up one
idea, ' favourite-resort.'
9. Cf. Ol. vi. 9, àkivouvo $\delta^{\prime}$





$$
{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime} .
$$




 $\kappa \in \rho \delta i \omega \nu$
yavol kol入aus $\tau$ l／mat，where as here avo $\delta$ es seem to be warrior landsmen． For עavaıkגutà̀ ef．Nem．1II．2，


10．$\theta$ é $\sigma$ баעтo．］For constructión
 aitéw．［The Schol，here translates
 sense in Apoll．Rhod．I．824：




 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \nu \in \eta े \nu \mathrm{~K} \lambda \in o \delta a l o v, \kappa v \delta a \lambda l \mu \circ<0$ ． каl＇Apxi入oxos：$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{d}$ oे＇$\epsilon \ddot{u} \pi \lambda o-$
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \sigma \sigma \iota \quad \theta \in \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu о \iota \quad \gamma \lambda v к \in \rho \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ yí ívov．Don．］Curtius refers these forms to the $\sqrt{ } \theta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ，＇pray，＇whence he derives $\theta \epsilon$ os．Cf．mo入ú $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau o s$, ＇multi factus（？），＇Kallim．，dं $\pi \dot{\theta} \theta \in \sigma-$ tos，＇despised，＇Od．xvI．296．Lat． feriae，festus．This seems sound except as to the meaning of the $\sqrt{ }$ Oes．We cannot well attach the meaning＇prayer，＇＇desire，＇to Odysseus＇old dog．He was＇neg－ lected，＇＇rejected，＇rather than＇un－ prayed for，＇＇prayed against，＇＇un－ desirable．＇Feriae again is＇ordain－ ed（appointed）day，＇or＇rites．＇As far as usage goes $\theta$ écoactau $=\mathrm{im}$－ petrâsse，＇to get ordained（appoint－ ed）for one＇（ $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$ ，＇to be for getting ordained（appointed）for one＇）．Kallimachos＇$\pi 0$ र̀v́ $\theta \in \sigma \tau o s$ （Dem．48，тéкvoy ènivucov，тéкvoy

то入へ́ $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma \tau e}$ токє $\hat{v} \sigma_{\iota}$ ），looks like a coined correlative to $\dot{a} \pi \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau o s$, or as if it meant multa impetrans．For חaбctén，not＇die allbegehrte，＇but ＇ordaining（ordering）for all，＇ef． חavité $\lambda \eta s$ ，and for the meaning of． Ө＇́rts．I therefore infer that the $\sqrt{ } \theta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$, fes is an extension of the $\sqrt{ }$ dha（ $\theta \epsilon$ ）．
$\left.\pi \grave{\alpha} \rho \beta \omega \mu \rho^{\nu}.\right]$ Cf．Pyth．Iv．74，
 ठоо七o p̀ $\eta$ ө̀̀v $\mu a \tau$ épos．Cf．Madv．§ 75. L．and $S$ ．say that with such use of $\pi$ apd there is always reference to past motion，which is not true of these two instances．It denotes not only motion beside，but exten－ sion beside（Kühner）．
＇EגAavlov．］There was a temple of Zeus Hellànios in Aegina said to have been built by the Myrmidons．

11．$\pi i \tau v a \nu$.$] For Ėสitvaaav．$ From $\pi i \tau \nu \eta \mu i^{*}$ ，an assumed by－ form of $\pi \epsilon \tau d y v v u c$ ．Homer has the forms Il．ォiтуауто，Od．хі．392，


12．ácıy．vi．］Pêleus and Te－ lamôn，＇mighty prince Phôkos，＇ their half－brother whom they slew， being the son of the Nêreid Psamatheia．Endais，Aeakos＇wife， was daughter of Cheirôn，For the slaughter of Phokos，ef．Apollo－ dôros，iII．12．6，Pausan．II．29， 7.

14．दy $\delta$ ikq．］Cf．Ol．vi．12，for this adverbial phrase．
 old editions．

 роŋ̂бal.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.
 то́入є $\mu о \nu$ סєठ́óкттає, цакра́ $\mu \iota \iota$ 35
 фрò̀ on op $\mu a ́ \nu$.

бта́бомац.] Cf. O1. у. 52.
out $\tau \circ$, , к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'Verily it is not in every case (cf. OI. Ix. 100, to $\delta \dot{e ̀}$
 exact truth should unveil her face.'
For construction, cf. OI. Ix. 103,
 тєроע х $\rho \hat{\eta} \mu$ ' є́кабтоע -and my note.
18. עоŋ̂бaц.] For Inf. cf. OI. var.

 Nom. III. 30 .
19. бєঠбкүтас.] Rare form for סє́бокта.. Cf. Curtius, The Greek Verb (Trans.), p. 262, Herod. vII. 16. 20. aúró $\theta \in \nu$.] Interpolated mss.

'From this point,'-as $\beta a \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$ ( $\alpha_{\rho} \chi \eta$
 sych.). From this notice and our $\mu а к \rho \dot{\alpha} \tilde{d}_{\lambda} \mu \alpha \tau a(=\sigma к \dot{\mu} \mu \mu \alpha \tau a)$ we may infer that the trench was dug along the length of the leap for the leapers to jump into. It was said to have been originally fifty feet long, and Phayllos of Krotons was said to have jumped nearly five feet beyond it at Delphi. Eustathios cites the inscription on his statue, $\pi \epsilon \in \tau \tau^{\prime} \in \pi i$

 $\mu \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$. Cf. Schol. on Lucian $A d$ Sown. 6, тิ̂v прò aủtô̂ бкаттóvтढv $\nu^{\prime}$ тó jas каl toútovs $\pi \eta \delta \omega^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ of


Whether the $\sigma к \alpha ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ was an actual trench or only a strip of soil loosened with the spade, as in the English long jump, it is hard to say.

Flavius Philostratus speaks of the danger of hurting the limbs in the leaping match. Whether the use of $\alpha \lambda \tau \hat{\eta} p e s$ would make our kind of long jump dangerous is not proved; that they could not enable a leaper to reach 50 feet seems certain. The danger suggests a descent. I have given a great deal of attention to modern athletics, and it seems to me that we need the assumption of a fall of 30 ft . to bring the 50 and 55 ft . leaps within the bounds of credibility ! It is obvious that the distance of the leap was measured along a given direction; but that there was a maximum limit of length is incredible. See my note on Pyth. 1. 44, which applies as well to the leap as to the discus or javelin throwing. As for Hor. Od. 1. 8. 12, saepe disco,|saepe trans finem nobilis expedito, the exercises of the campus are referred to, not regular games; again, the passing of the finis is a credit, not a disqualification. Phayllos and Chiônis are said to have leaped beyond the $\sigma \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a \tau a$ (which Eustathius calls collectively $\tau \alpha$ $\epsilon^{2} \sigma \kappa a \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$, misunderstood by Phiclip to mean marks of the several leaps, by Dissen to mean a transverse trench bounding the end of the leaping-ground). Their achievement does not appear to have been a disadvantage. Any official mark of distance would be for a warning to spectators and a guide to competitors, not a check


 $\pi \epsilon \delta a ̂ \sigma a \iota$


#### Abstract

 


on their performances，or else merely the boundary of the space which under ordinary circumstances was sufficient for the particular exercise．The Schol．on this pas－ sage of Pindar says $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon \tau a \phi o p a ̀ ~}$


 not correct to make a distinction between this $\beta$ ót $\rho o s$ and $\sigma \kappa$ ќ́ $\mu \mu$ ． The Schol．seems wrong in saying סєєкvús．
é $\lambda a \phi \rho o ́ v$.$] Metri causa．mss．é èa－$ ф $\rho$ áv．

о́риа́y．］＇A spring．＇
21．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \tau^{\prime}$＇．］＇Shoot．＇The context shows that the poet is thinking of a spring．The swift straight flight of the eagle may well be described as if it were the result of one impulse，like the flight of a stone or a javelin．Note that our fly，Ger．fliegen，and our spring are expansions with g for earlier k or gh（ef．$\sigma \pi \xi \rho \chi \omega$ ）of the $\sqrt{\text { spAr，}}$ spal，Curtius，Grundz．No． 389.

22．$\delta \epsilon$ ．］Introduces the subjeets just announced，beginning with ó入 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ os．

каl кelvoss．］So Böckh．мяs．каं－ кelvocs áelōec II．，of，O1．xI．41，Pyth．
 Ol．vi．102．In Ol，ז1． 99 каl кєî̀os ought to be read from the old mss． The only case in Pindar where the form execy－occurs without crasis of kal or elision of $\epsilon$ before it is in a corrupt fragment，No． 114 ［102］， from Clemens Alex．＇To them too，＇ as well as to Kadmos；cf．Pyth．ur． 89，90．Mr Sand̀ys on Eur．Bacch． 877－881 quotes Theognis，v．75， Moî́at kal Xápıtes кoûpat $\Delta$ tós，al


 Plato，Lysis，p． 216 c，кıขסัvev่ec
 $\phi$（גov єโva．．This saying might well be introduced into the account of Pêleas＇honourable repulse of Hip－ polyté．

24．Cf．Pyth．I． 1.
25．Liòs àpx．］Cf．Nem．I． 3.
27．छ̌vava．］For छuváova；of． Pyth．iII．48．＇Having beguiled by cunningly devised tales her husband，the king of the Mag－ nêtes，to be her accomplice，＇not＇his friend．＇Cf．Aesch．P．V．559，ęovous
 коцуффєєктоу．For $\sigma к о \pi \grave{\nu}$ of．Ol．1． 54，vi．59，Pyth．III． 27.

 'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
 $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega}$
 aiteєvol 入óyoc

 oủpavoû

 ӓкоьтьь,
 $\theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \nu i \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ ' I \sigma \theta \mu o ̀ \nu \quad \Delta \omega \rho i a \nu \nu^{\prime}$
 ठє́коутаи,
29. 'For she concocted a lying fiction.'
30. a ipa.] 'Forsooth,' 'as she said.'
31. єivyâs.] 'Union,' of. OI. Ix. 44, Isth. viI. 30.
32. тарфанtva.] 'Trying to beguile him.' Cf. OI. viI. 60, тapфá$\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ © $\rho к о \nu$, 'to utter an oath guilefully.'
$\delta^{\prime}$ a $a \rho^{\prime}$ '.] Rauchenstein. mss. $\delta \varepsilon_{\text {. }}$.
airectool.] 'Bold,' 'wanton;' uttared under influence of stupendous (almús, q. v.) passion.

The combination of blameworthiness and loftiness occurs in Asch. P.V. 18, Tท̂s b $\rho \theta o \beta o v i \lambda o v$
 epithets are nearly correlatives. Lat. praeceps.

34. in $\rho \sigma t \nu \epsilon \phi_{\text {ins. }}$ ] Epithet of Zeus the thunderer, of. OI. iv. 1.
35. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$.] Cf. Thuk. viII. 86,
 § 143. Render, 'to the effect that.'
36. $\left.\pi \rho \alpha \xi_{\epsilon} \epsilon v_{.}\right]$'That he (Peleus) would be requited with.' Cf. Myth. II. 40. Of course $\pi$ elfaus refers back to Zeus. Cf. Isth. VII. 27 for the myth.
37. $\gamma a \mu \beta \rho \rho_{v}$.] As husband of Amphitritê Poseidôn was connected by marriage with the Nereids.

Alyâ $\theta \in v$.$] Probably the Achaean$ Algae, of. Il. viII. 203.
 throngs.' Cf. Mem. IV. 1.

Dissent thinks Poseidon and the Isthmos are mentioned because Phylakidas was preparing to compete at the Isthmian games. For $\mu \nu \nu . . \theta \in \partial_{\nu} \nu$ cf. $O d$. VI. $48, \eta ้ \mu \nu$ ' $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \rho \in \nu$ Navбıка́à єửтєтлоу.


$\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu . ~ \tau u ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ A i ̂ \gamma i v a ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂, ~ E u ̀ \theta u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma, ~$

'Аขт. $\gamma^{\prime}$.
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 'А $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ '

 є̀ $\sigma \lambda o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu a ́ \rho \nu a \tau a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma . ~$
 ar $\mu o \iota \beta a ̀ \nu$
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 ${ }^{〔} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu^{*}$
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39. Especially in the pankraion.
 1. 40, Pyth. v. 16. 'The destiny that attends a man's race.'
41. Cookesley points out theexcepion to Monk's rule that $\theta$ eos is not fem. with a proper name added, and compares Soph. Ant. 800, $\theta$ eos

42. Cf. Isth. II. 26.
43. mss. read गै. $\mu$. к. ग. teds $\mu \dot{\alpha}-$
 rimy, as thou followest eagerly thy mother's brother, he, thy bloodrelation, sheds glory on thee.' Böckh read-, Mu 1 E $a$, in other respects following mss. Cf. Nom. vi. 15.
44. ápapev.] Of. Nom. III. 64. Note the periphrasis for the Aeginêtan month Delphinios, April
or May, when the Aeginêtan Delphinia or Hydrophoria and perhays the Pythia at Megara were celebrated.
48. Tiv rúxq.] Cf. Nom. Iv. 7. Menandros' aid was somehow secured by public effort.
50. Themistios was Earthymenes' father, the victor's maternal grandfather, according to the best explanations.
$\mu \eta \kappa$. $\dot{\rho}\{\gamma \in \mathrm{c}$.$] 'Wax warm' in his$ praise. Dissen cites frigeo Civ. Ad fam. xi. 13, Vert. iv. 25.
oi dou.] For this imper., of. O. and P. p. xl.; for the phrase cf. Eur.

51. 'Set thy sails full.' For the metaphor cf. Myth. 1. 91, $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$
 Dissen cites Plato, Protag. p. 338a.
 Saúpw $\delta \iota \pi \lambda$ óà

 Xápıб⿱宀⿻三丨．

53．ג́petáv．］For the acc．cf． supra，v．5．For the meaning ＇victory，＇＇glory，＇of．Isth． 1.41.

For the connection of the Graces with victory cf．Pyth．vi．2，New． Ix．54，x． 1.

54．тро日úp．Aiak．］Themistios had been victor at the Aeakeia，and his statue in the pronaos of the Aeakeion still bore crowns of grass and flowers．Note the present tense，$\phi \epsilon \rho \in \tau \nu$ ，but the aorist，$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \in \hat{i}$ ．

## NEMEA VI.

## ON THE VICTORY OF ALKTMIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' WRESTLING MATCH.

## INTRODUCTION.

Alkimidas, son of Theôn, one of the clan of the Bassidae (v. 32), was trained by Melêsias of Athens, and therefore probably won before Ol. 80.3 , B.c. 458 , about the same period as the victory celebrated in Ol. virr., gained by another pupil of Melêsias. The poet appears to have been engaged by the clan or Melêsias rather than by the victor himself. According to K. A. Müller the Bassidae were Hêrakleids. That the poet composed the ode at Aegina has been
 IX. 91, Ol. vIII. 25.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-7. Men and gods are of common origin but have diverse powers, yet men, for all their ignorance of the future, are a little like immortals.
8-11. The victor's family illustrates this. For its powers are shown in alternate generations.
11-25. Celebration of the success of the victor and his ancestors.
$25-27$. No other family has won more boxing matches.
27-29. The poet's high praises are true and proper.
29, 30. He invokes the Muse to glorify the victor.
30,31 . Bards and chroniclers revive the memory of great deeds.
32-46. Such as those of the Bassidae which the poet enumerates.
47-56. Praise of older Aeakidae, especially of Achilles.

57-59. But the present achievement is ever most interesting.
59-63. The poet willingly undertakes the double duty of proclaiming the twenty-fifth victory of the clan.
63-65. The lot disappointed them of two Olympian victories.
66 -end. Melêsias as a trainer is as pre-eminent as a dolphin is for swiftness among creatures of the deep.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}
$$

##  $\mu а т \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \mu ф о ́ т є \rho о \iota: ~ \delta \iota є i ́ \rho \gamma є \iota ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ к є к р \iota \mu є ́ \nu а ~ а$

1. Commonly read after the mss.
 commentators render in effect, with Cookesley, 'The race of man is one, the race of gods is another, though both are created of one another. But a totally different power distinguishes (the two races), since the one is worthless, but the firm heaven eternally remains an imperishable mansion (for the other). Yet we resemble them to a certain degree.' The choice between this mode of interpretation and that of the Schol. and Heyne is very perplexing: but a better connection seems to be given by the alternative, 'The race of men (and) of gods is one and the same, for we have our life from one and the same Mother (Гaia). But difference of faculties distinguishes us, inasmuch as the one de.'

The construction involved seems admissible even withont the insertion of kal. The presumed $\frac{\varepsilon y}{} y-z=$ 'one'- 'another' seems to me to demand illustration. If, on the other hand, there is a metrical division after $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} v$, the likelihood of which can be seen at a glance, the order is equivalent to $\varepsilon \nu$, $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \nu \\ a\end{array}\right)$. e. $\gamma$. Cf. O. and P. p. xxxvi. As the Greek for 'one' occurs thrice in the space of so few words, each and all of the three would seem to be intended to emphasize the idea of unity. The asyndeton is not in-
appropriate in a solemn conjunction of opposed ideas. Cf. Pyth. III. 30,
 Épyots oüтe $\beta$ ßou入aîs.
$\pi \nu$ е́ouev.] Cf. Soph. Tr. 1160, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~(? ~ \beta \rho о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \partial े s$
 following stemma exhibits the common descent of Gods and men from Gaea.

2. $\delta \iota \epsilon$ fpyet.] Cf. Nem. vir. 6, elpyєı

$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$.$] \quad 'Wholly,' cf. Madv.$ $\S 86 a$; or 'in every case,' of. Nem. v. 16.

кєкрцие́va.] Cf. Hes. Scut. Herc.
 distinguished from Hêrakles. Schol. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \eta \tau o s \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta}{ }^{\kappa} \kappa \chi \chi \omega \rho / \sigma \mu \hat{e} \nu \eta$, the latter is clearly right. For the construction of the participle and substantive cf. Ol. Ix. 103, Isth. vir. 12, бєіна тароьхо́мєขоу, Nem. хх. 6.
 ěסos

## 


 то́тноя

3．©ंs．］The Schol．explains by тocoùrov $\omega$ ©̈ $\sigma \tau$ ，a Hêrodotean use found in Xenophôn and Attic poets with antecedent expressed（Madv． $\$ 166 c$ ，Rem．2）．This then is open to question．In the cases where as＝＇for＇or＇since＇it introduces a cause，not，as here，an illustration which comes nearer to effect than to cause．The closest parallel I know of is Eur．Hipp．651，vûv $\delta$＇ ai $\mu \dot{e ̀ v}$ t̀vòv $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota \nu$ al какаi какà

 Патро̀s，む̀ какò̀ ка́ра，｜入екктрыv ditiктuv j̀ $\lambda \theta e s$ ès ouvàlayás．I prefer to take the ©ंs as exclamatory with a full stop before it，though I have not altered the usual text， ＇How manifest it is that．．．＇
$\chi$ ádкeos．］Cf．Isth．vi．44，Pyth． x．27，Il．จ．504，xvi．425．So Milton，Par．L．vir．199，＇chariots winged｜From th＇armoury of God， where stand of old｜Myriads be－ tween two brazen mountainslodged Against a solemn day．＇
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi$ ．al．$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \bar{\delta}.\right]$ Cf．Hes．Theog．126，




4．$\pi \rho о \sigma ф \hat{\epsilon} \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．］L．and S．make this transitive．Editors regard it as intransitive＇we resemble．＇Cf． Frag． 19 ［173］，aŋpòs สeтрalov
 The tragic fragment quoted by the Schol．on Nem．III．127，кal mauòl



$\eta$ 入urias，does not seem to the point． If $\nu \dot{\text { óov，}}$ ，$\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$ or any part or aspect of self be expressed the middle is not required to further indicate self． Still Dissen＇s observation remains true that compounds of $\phi$ é $\rho \omega$ are not seldom used intransitively，e．g．
 bear the same sense in active and middle（while $\delta \iota \alpha \phi$ е́ $\rho \epsilon \nu=$＇to be different，＇，$\pi \rho о \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$, ，íтє $\rho \phi \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \iota \nu=$ ＇to excel＇）．
$\left.\epsilon^{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha \nu.\right]$ Refers back，though fol－ lowed by каimєp．Cf．Nem．iv． 36. The poet seems to regard a know－ ledge of the future as the most distinctive characteristic of divinity． For man＇s lack thereof ef．Ol．xir． 7－9，Isth．viI． 14.

5．$\eta^{\prime} . . . \eta^{\eta}$ ro．．］Rare or unique order：
 The rot shows that the godlike physique is more common than the godlike mind．Cf．Thuk．vi．34，4， 40， 1.

фv́ouv．］＇Physique．＇Cf．Isth．
 e $\lambda a x \in v$ ．Pindar in these places includes beauty and strength as well as＇stature＇for which Soph． Oed．R． 740 is quoted．Note that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \nu$ is emphatic．Only the finest specimens of humanity，which show likeness to divinity，are $\theta$ eocio $\dot{\eta}$ s， $\theta$ өоєікє

6．eффанeplà．］For form ef．Nem． III．2．For adjective used ad－ verbially of．Ol．xim． 17.
$\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ vúктas．］＇Night by night．＇ Critics have altered to katd $\nu$ 。 （Pauwe），vuxiap $\tau / s$（Hartung），$\mu \varepsilon$－


'Аขт. $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.


 є́ $\delta o \sigma a \nu$,
 то८
$\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ a \varsigma ~ \epsilon ُ \xi ~ \epsilon ่ \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$
$\tau i v$ ' Ěpaүє (Rauchenstein); but $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$ gives enough support.
7. olav $\tau \iota \nu^{\prime}$.] So Böckh for Mss. äy $\tau \in \nu$ ’ and $\alpha \prime \nu \tau เ \nu \nu^{\prime}$.

E'ypaষє.] Cookesley renders 'marks out,' the $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{d} \mu \alpha$ being the रра $\mu \mu$, the line marking the end of the course. Cf. Pyth. Ix. 118. Dissen translates jussit proprie, legem scripsit. For $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \theta \mu a \nu$ of. Eur. Ion, 1514, $\pi a \rho$ ' olav ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda 0 \mu \in \nu$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{d} \mu \eta \nu$ ßiov. Both these constructions, in my opinion, need $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \mu \mu \iota$ and also $\delta \rho a \mu \in \hat{\nu}$ по́т , for which, however, see Pyth. Ix. 123, סiко⿱ | $\phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\because \pi \iota$. Mezger quotes Pyth, vi. 45 ; wrongly, I think, both there and here, explaining $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \mu \alpha \nu$ as 'die Messschnur, die Schmitze, welche durch dèn Röthel mit dem sie gefärbt ist dieLinie bezeichnet, nach welcher man sich zu richten hat.' But it is precisely the lack of guiding lines which the poet asserts.

Adhering to the mss. we may render 'to run to what goal Destiny (as áy ${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu 0 \theta \epsilon \in \tau \eta$ s) enters our names.' Note that E'rpaqey is a gnômic aorist (so too ṫoorav, v. 10, tuap $\psi a \nu$, $v .11)$ and should not be translated ' has marked out, has prescribed, vorgezeichnet hat.' For the inf. סраرеє̂̀ cf. Goodwin, § 97 ; Madv. § 148.
8. Kal עט̂ע.] So best mss., but so too Pyth. Ix. 71. 'So in the case before
us Alkimidas gives proof to be seen that the genius of his race is like that of corn-bearing tilth.'

тò $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in$ s $_{\text {. ] }}$. Dissen's interpretation must be right, as the alternation in successive generations extends over the victor's family and is peculiar to it. For the phrase cf. Pyth. x. 12, where the sense is limited to the manifestation in one individual of hereditary qualities. In fact $\tau \delta \sigma v \gamma \bar{d} \boldsymbol{v}$ es in its widest sense is whatever is derived from
 จ. 40. The Schol. interprets $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ т \grave{~ \theta e ̂ ̃ o \nu ~ \eta ̀ \mu \omega ̂ ̀ ~ \sigma u \gamma \gamma e ́ v e c a \nu ; ~ H e r-~}$ mann, rois ovyreveis, which is included in my interpretation. I think the word 'genius' msy include the idea of $\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \mu \mathrm{c}$.
9. For general sentiment of. Nem. XI. 40.
10. $\left.\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \delta i \omega \nu_{0}\right]$ The Triclinian mss. omit $\epsilon \kappa$, but रो $\pi \widehat{\eta \epsilon \tau} \alpha \nu o ̀ \nu$ is supported by Hes. W. and D. 607 (605).
11. ayaravoducvau.] 'After lying fallow again (avite) attain strength.' This use of $\mu a ́ \rho \pi \tau \omega$ comes nearest to the Skt. $\sqrt{ }$ mric, touch, with which, pace Ascoli, I connect it (so also Curtius in his 2nd ed.).
12. 'éparêv.]' Delightful,' because he had been victorious. Cf, Ol. VI. 12 (Dissen).

#  те́фаутає <br>  

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.

 кeîvos үàp 'Oдv $\quad 30$
 каì $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma ~ ' I \sigma \theta \mu о \imath ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi а \nu \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$,

ěтavaє 入á ${ }^{\circ} a \nu$
इんклєíoa, ôs vité $\rho \tau a \tau o \varsigma$

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.


13. $\Delta \cos ^{\prime} \theta \in \mathrm{p}$ aifav.] Cf. Ol. xx .42 , $\Delta$ tòs aī $\sigma$; Pyth. xi. $50, \theta \in \dot{\theta} \theta \in \nu ~ \kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$, and for the exact sense of al $\sigma$, Nem. iII. 15, infra, v. 49.
14. ă $\mu \mu$ ороs.] Not altered from
 $\alpha^{2}$ - $-\mu$ opos, the original sense of $\mu$ ópos being preserved in the compound.
d $\mu \phi$ l.] Cf. Pyth. v. 111 ; Nem. I. 29 ; Isth. Iv. 55.

 which I explain, lit. 'Will you not move off this pasturage as to your (with) returning foot?' The .tóda would not be added to the middle but for the a $\%$ oppov, which however Prof. Jebb takes as an adverb. Rather compare Aesch. Ag. 666

 his tongue-.'
16. ঠцас $\mu$ ov.] Hermann, д $\mu a \iota \chi$ $\mu$ lov, ' of like mettle.'
 not ﹎ㅡㅇ. Böckh ė̉alas, Kayser
 sen $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \quad \delta \rho \alpha_{\pi} \pi \epsilon$. For the fact ef. Pausan.vi. 18. 5. Praxidamas won, $\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}, 01.59$. It seems to me evident that \& verb is missing.
21. 'He put an end to the oblivion of Sôkleidas,' by causing him to be proclaimed as a victor's father. Or was he grandfather, vंтє $\rho \tau$. being 'best'?
24. è $\overline{\pi \epsilon}$.] Refers back to $\lambda$ da $\theta a v$. Most editors except Bergk and Mommsen read $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ ol. But one Schol. makes of the pronoun, referring it to Agêsimachos, three of whose younger sons were victors. Cf. Nem. т. 58. Another Schol. refers it to Sôkleidas, with less probability.
äкроv àpetâs.] Cf. Pyth. xi. 55, Theokr. I. 20, Isth. III. 50, $\tau$ ènos äxpov, Simonides, zs axpov divopelas.
25. E'Yev́́auvo.] Cf. Pyth. x. 7, रevéral $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mathfrak{d} \xi \theta \lambda \omega \nu$, Isth. iv. 20.
túxq.] Of. Nem. iv. 7, v. 48,




 ä ${ }^{\prime}$ ，ov̉ $\rho o \nu$

＇$А \nu \tau$ ．$\beta$＇．





 аĭца $\pi a ́ т \rho a s$ Хрибалака́тоv тотє̀ Ka入入ías áóc̀v

тót $\mu$ ov бoфlas äpı $\quad$ тov，which should perhaps be rendered，＇to be wealthy with the kindly aid of fate is far better than cleverness ；＇in my first volume I followed Dissen．For oорias äptoтoy，of．Theognis，173，

 кal ク̆ส́ádov，also 01．viII．67，Pyth． viir．53．In Pindar túxa means （1）whatever man encounters or attains by the overruling guidance and influence of higher powers，（2） such guidance and influence，when the power is mentioned．The only point of contact between this $\tau$ úxa and our chance is its d̀фáveta to mortals．

26．ȧสєфávaro．］Gnômic aorist and causal middle（see on Nem．Ix． 43）；＇is wont to cause to give account as steward of more crowns in its penetralia than all Hellas（besides can number in one family）．＇The voice and tense of àmєфávão are generally ignored，and $\mu v \chi \hat{\varphi}$ taken with＂E入入．aं $\pi$ ．after 1l．VI．152，

$i \pi \pi о \beta$ óroto；but the phrase is un－ satisfactory．The use of oikoy for ＇family＇is like our use of＇house．＇

27．For metaphor cf．O1．x．112， іг． 89 ，Nem．I．18，Ix． 55.

29．$\left.\hat{\omega}^{T} \cdot\right]$ Cf．Pyth．x． 54.
lefs．］Cf．Soph．Aiax，154，т ${ }^{2}$ ，
 дцд́́pто．
toûtov．］Sc．otrov．
oûpov．］Cf．Ol．1x．47，Pyth．iv． 3.
30．єűклєía．］For acc．sing．eb－ $\kappa \lambda \in \epsilon a$ ，regularly contracted into $\epsilon \dot{v} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{a}$ or shortened into evंк $\lambda$ éa．

31．入ơtot．］So mss．Böckh $\lambda 6$ yoo．Cf．Pyth．1．93，94，infra，v． 47.

33．aporaus．］Cf．Pyth．vz．1－3， Nem．x． 26.

35．ára日ध $\frac{1}{\text { ．］From ära（v）and }}$ $\theta \in 6$－s．Its meaning as shown by its usage should prevent connection with araAbs．

36．aifua．］In apposition with Ka入入las．So Hor．Od．ㅍ．26．6，non ego pauperum sanguis parentum．

37．diơóv．］＇Having found fa－ vour with．＇Artemis and Apollo were with Lêto patrons of the





Botáva тé vlv
то日' á $\lambda$ éovtos



$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

 $\nu a ̂ \sigma o \nu ~ \epsilon v ̉ \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~ \tau a ́ v \delta є ~ к о \sigma \mu \epsilon i ̀ \nu ं ~ \epsilon ̇ т \epsilon \epsilon i ~ \sigma \phi ı \nu ~ A i a \kappa i ́ \delta a \iota ~$
 $\lambda a s$,

80





Pythian games. For ${ }^{\text {E }} \rho \nu \epsilon \sigma_{\iota}$ Cookesley compares Soph. Oed. Col. 1108, $\tilde{\omega} \phi\left(\lambda \tau a \tau^{\prime} \notin p \nu \eta\right.$, and the use of $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda o s$, bjos.
39. 'Was lauded with loud chorus of songs,' i.e. in the $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{os}$. . In Pyth. v. $42 \phi \lambda \in$ ' $\omega$ is used thus, but transitively; intransitively but literally, O1. II. 72.
40. The 'impregnable causeway through the sea' is the Isthmos of Korinth.

43,44 . 'The lion's herb' is the parsley of Nemea.

45, 46. For the two adjectives $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa$., ${ }^{\text {ajy. of. }} \mathrm{O}$. and P. p. xxxvi.
 Schmid.
47. Cf. Isth. II. 33, mII. 19. The notion of bringing classifies the inf. коб $\mu$ eì under Madv. § 148.
48. $\tau$ áv $\delta \epsilon$.] For the demonstrative cf. Pyth. Ix. 91, $\pi \sigma^{2} \lambda \nu \tau$ táv $\delta \epsilon$.
49. If al $\sigma a y=$ ' $\operatorname{lot}$,' $\sigma \phi เ \nu=\tau o i ̂ s$ $\nu \eta \sigma$ เढ́тals. If al̃ay='course, occupation, prescribed path, career,' $\sigma \phi \iota \nu=\lambda \sigma \gamma 6 \iota \sigma \tau \nu$.
The central idea of aloa seems to be either 'prescription' or 'will' (Fick) or 'selection' (Curtius), whence the notion of 'line of life" or 'line of conduct' is easily derived. This sense suits supra, v. 13, Frag. 108 [96], and also the notion 'right direction' contained in the phrases кат' al $\sigma a \nu, \pi a \rho^{\prime}$ ai $\sigma \alpha \nu$.
 (Trans.) p. 26.






# 'А $1 \nu \tau . \gamma$. 

фаєขvâs | viòv єv̉т' є̀vápı̧̧єv 'Aóos ảк $\mu \hat{a}$

 $\mu \in \lambda$ е́т av.

$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi a \nu \tau i ̀ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ סoveîy



100
,$~ E \pi \cdot \gamma$
$\gamma$

'Адкıціঠ', \% тоє є่та́ркєбєข


$65 \kappa \lambda a ̂ \rho o s ~ \pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \tau \eta े \varsigma ~ a ̆ \nu \theta \epsilon$ ' 'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta o s$.

Meager $\beta$. $\delta^{\prime}$ € $\mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \in \sigma \ell \nu \in i ̂ \kappa o s . ~ A s ~$ the School. gives $\epsilon \pi \varepsilon \delta \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon$, I avoid it and choose $\phi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$, which is sufficiently near the sense of the Schol. and would be in danger after - $\phi$.
54. For theme of. New. 1II. 60, Isth. Iv. 41, vil. 54.
56. $\dot{a} \mu a \xi \iota \tau b \nu$.] Elsewhere $\dot{\delta} \delta{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ is not expressed, but the adj. is used as a substantive.
57. Td $\rho$ mood vats.] 'By the sheet of a vessel,' ie. close to the $\pi \rho \omega \rho \varepsilon$ uss. Others understand 'the keel' or 'the steering paddle.'
58. 入é $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \tau} \mathrm{c} a \mathrm{a}$.] "It is a proverb that.' For $\mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \nu \mu$. cf. Theogn. 173 quoted supra, v. 25.
59. The 'double burden' is the praise of the clan and the praise of the victor.
 $\kappa \in \sigma \epsilon \mid \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{q} \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu \in \underline{q}$.

That twenty-five victories were counted to the clan, not to Alkimi-
das, is proved by the succeeding $\delta$ vo, of which only one refers to Alkimidas. єті́рк. intrans.
65. adv $\nu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$.] 'Crowns ${ }_{2}$ ' of. O1. II.

 It seems hardly probable that the 'random lot' can refer to the pairing of the competitors; for to be drawn with antagonists who were too strong for them was to be defated on their merits, and the poet was hardly likely to recall such unpleasant reminiscences. But if one of their antagonists drew one or two byes, they might well be too much exhausted to throw an acknowledge inferior, who was comparatively fresh. It is therefore manifestly quite possible that the honours of the wrestling match, especially of that for boys, did not always rest with the technical victor. Perhaps however the number of

competitors sent from Aegina was limited by lot. Of course it is possible that A may be able to throw B by a particular trick by which B is baffled, and that similarly $\mathbf{B}$ can throw C and C can throw $\mathbf{A}$; so that if $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ drew together $\mathbf{A}$ would throw D and B and win, whereas if A draws with $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ wins ; but still, if A be defeated, it is a poor consolation to hint that he might have won had he been differently paired. But one Schol, seems to have had ävӨous «ротєтウ̀s клàpos, and explains that premature growth of hair excluded them from the boys' wrestling match!
66. For the simile of. Pyth. II. 51, Frag. 1 [4], 6. 'I will say of Melêsias as a trainer eliciting skill and strength that he is equal to a dolphin as to speed through the brine:' i.e. as the dolphin is unsur-
passed in speed, so is he unsurpassed in his profession. For àvlo$\chi^{\circ}$ ef. Simonides, Frag. 149 [206],

 ท้̀


For t'vov elvot $\mu$ L Bergk proposes $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha j o \not \mu$ or $l \sigma d j$ jou giving the exact metre of the two corresponding lines, because a Schol. says $\alpha \nu \tau l$ toû

 The spaced words, however do not seem to be commented upon, but only transcribed. Pindar uses the Epic $\bar{\tau} \sigma o$ in loojal $\mu \omega \nu$, Nem. Tv. 84, la $\delta \delta \epsilon v \delta$ pos, Frag. 142 [146], but always ( 12 times) ioo- when not part of a compound. In this epode $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi-v .66$ corresponds to two short syllables. For mention of the aleipta. at the end of the ode cf. Nem. rv.

## NEMEA VII.

## ON THE FICTORY OF SOGENES OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' PENTATHLON.

## INTRODUCTION.

Sôgenes, son of Theâriôn, of the family of the Euxenidae, of Aegina, won the victory commemorated in this ode in Ol. 79. 4, в.с. 461, according to Hermann's alteration of the impossible date Nem. เ8' in the Schol. to Nem. $\boldsymbol{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$, the 54th Nemead. The Schol. goes on to state that in the previous Nemead the pentathlon was introduced at Nemea. I do not think it right to alter this date as it is possible that to it the foregoing date was erroneously assimilated. Theâriôn, the victor's father, has been supposed to have been a priest of Hêrakles ( $v v .90-94$ ), but had this been the case he would scarcely have been called merely yeitcu. As I have written a separate essay on the pentathlon I need only enumerate such results as bear on the interpretation of this ode. The competitors all contested at the same time and were placed in each kind of trial, only being paired for the wrestling, which came last; the order being-1. leaping, 2. discus-hurling, 3. spear-throwing, 4. munning. The victor only had to beat his rivals in three contests out of the five. Generally the winner in the discus-throwing would not win in the running. The wrestling took place in the heat of the afternoon ( $v v .72,73$ ). In the 2 nd, 3 rd and 4 th contests there was a line which must not be overstepped before throwing or starting ( $v, 71$ ). I think that Sôgenes had over-stepped this line and so lost the spear-throwing after winning in the leaping and discus-throwing. An allusion to this misadventure comes in well with one of the main ideas of the
ode, that the noble can afford to have their failures and errors mentioned as a relief to the monotony of praises. In the myth he takes occasion to give a complimentary turn to his version of the death of Neoptolemos, given according to the Schol. (v. 94 [65]) in a Dithyramb sung at Delphi, whereby the poet had given offence to Aeginêtans. He does not retract or apologise at all (unless Aristodêmos is right in saying that Pindar had seemed to represent Neoptolemos as having gone to Delphi eimi ieporvגia, Schol. v. 150 [103], in which case there is an explanation of his language); but rather defends his treatment of the hero, and illustrates it by a similar treatment of Sôgenes. This vindication of his supposed disparagement of the Aeakid whose tomb was at Delphi would be very appropriate to this ode if Theâriôn had to do with the Pythian theôri of Nem. III. 69, 70. That he occupied some prominent position is made probable by the mention of the blame which he had incurred ${ }^{1}$ (vv.61,62). The Schol. tells us that Aristarchos' pupil Aristodêmos explained the invocation of Eileithyia as referring to Sôgenes being the child of Thearriôn's old age, which view is said to be confirmed by an epigram by Simonides. The name Sôgenes suggests that the hope of offspring was small until he was born ${ }^{2}$. Hermann's supposition ${ }^{3}$ that Theâriôn had himself contended in games and failed, and that Sôgenes was the first victor in the family, is plausible, but he is not justified in the idea that he had been defeated in the Pythian games by an Achaean (v.64) ${ }^{4}$. Pindar appeals to the Thesprôtian descendauts of the Achaean Myrmidons from the censure of his Aeginêtan critics, which he notices in this ode as in Ol. viII. 55, Nem. Iv. 39. From vv. 61-68 it seems very probable that Pindar was himself present in Aegina at the recitation of the ode, which was sung before Theâriôn's house, perhaps before a shrine dedicated by him in gratitude for Sôgenes' birth to Eileithyia. From the words

${ }^{1}$ So Dissen. To this he refers the mention of Aias, vv. 24-i7.
${ }^{2} \mathrm{Mr}$ Holmes suggests that 'one of Theâriôn's family, perhaps a brother of Sôgenes, was afflicted with feeble health or some special physical infirmity, and thus appeared in mournful contrast to the blooming boyhood of the victor.' This idea he supports by $v v .95-101$. See

The Nemean Odes of Pindar with especial reference to Nem. vir. A thesis by the Rev. Arthur Holmes, M.A. Rivingtons, 1867.
${ }^{3}$ De Sogenis Aeginetae victoria quinquertio dissertatio. Leipsig, 1822.
${ }^{4}$ Leop. Schmidt agrees that he had been defeated at the Pythian games.
the prayer to Hêrakles (a god of hot springs) I gather that Theâriôn was an invalid not unlikely to die by an inglorious death from disease and already dead to an active life. If such infirmity had been induced by a wound or injury for which he had to thank his own fault or folly (or if detractors represented this as being the case), Theâriôn himself would see his own lot illustrated by the untimely deaths brought on themselves by Neoptolemos and Aias. This view gives point to $v v .30-34$ where it is said that honour does not depend on the manner of a man's death but 'comes to those whose renown God rears up as a choice plant.' Some hypothesis is needed, in addition to the acceptance of the Scholiast's explanation of the parts of the ode which refer to Neoptolemos, to furnish a clue to the connection between the different sections of this poem, which is undoubtedly distinguished for intricacy. Simplicity and comprehensiveness are the chief claims of any such hypothesis. Whether that now advanced possesses these qualifications in an equal or a greater degree than others must be decided by criticism. Certainly the conflicting views of my predecessors are none of them sufficiently satisfactory to preclude fresh suggestions.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-8. Invocation of Eileithyia, to whom men owe life and glorious youth. Yet fate appoints divers careers for men, but she (Eileithyia) has given Sôgenes glory as a pentathlete.
9, 10. (No wonder.) For he dwells in the city of the Aeakids who are ready to foster athletic prowess.
11-16. Victory gives a pleasing theme to poets without whom achievements are covered in oblivion.
17, 18. Wise mariners wait for a good wind, and do not suffer loss through impatience for gain.
19,20. Rich and poor must equally die (and be forgotten unless the rich be immortalised by song).
20-23. Homer by his art gave Odysseus higher fame than he deserved.
23, 24. Most men are blind of heart.

24-30. Had men known the truth, mighty Aias would not have slain himself.
30, 31. Death is the common lot.
31-34. But honour accrues to those whose fame God cherishes after death.
34, 35. Who visit Delphi (as perhaps Sôgenes intended).
35-48. There lies Neoptolemos, who, after noble exploits, was slain there, that an Aeakid might preside over Pythian rites.
48, 49. Three words suffice; that witness presides over games with perfect honesty.
50-52. Aegina furnishes examples of glory.
52,53 . But satiety is to be avoided (too much praise is distasteful).
54-58. Our lives naturally differ, no one attains prosperity in every respect.
58-60. Theâriôn enjoys a reasonable amount-a reputation for bravery and unimpaired intelligence (so that he can do the poet justice).
61-69. The poet's defence against the charge of having calumniated Neoptolemos.
70-79. Praise of Sôgenes with apology for digression and allusion to overstepping the line from which the competitors throw the spear.
80-84. Adoration of Zeus.
84-86. Who became the father of Aeakos that he might rule over Aegina and be a comrade to Hêrakles.
87-89. Now a good neighbour is a supreme blessing.
89-94. Such is Hêrakles to Sôgenes.
94 -101. Prayer to Hêrakles to obtain for Sôgenes and Theâriôn health, strength, prosperity and illustrious descendants.
102-104. The poet resumes his protest that he has not spoken disrespectfully of Neoptolemos. (The connection with what precedes is obscure, but nevertheless sufficient. The ýfas äptov is victory in the Pythian games, cf. $v v$. 34, 35.)
104, 105. - To repeat the same thing three or four times argues lack of resources and is like one who babbles $\Delta i o ̀ s$ Kópıı $\begin{aligned} & \text { os }\end{aligned}$ to children.

This last sentiment cannot refer to his previous allusion to Neoptolemos in this ode, which would not justify the phraseology т $\boldsymbol{i}$ is $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ k \iota \tau$ '; but means that it is better to say something fresh about his death than to repeat stale praises about his life.

Mr Holmes in his Thesis gives the following account of the contents of this elaborate poem.
"The threads we have traced are seven. The clue of the first was family history, that of the second Aegina, that of the third Neoptolemos, that of the fourth the poet's self-vindication, that of the fifth the apology to Sogenes, that of the sixth and shortest Zeus, that of the seventh Heracles.
"My next duty is to shew on what principle these are woven together. The best of these odes may usually be regarded as made up of mighty strands which are themselves composed of minor threads. The larger strands as a rule are three in number, which I will name concisely thus, with reference to their material, (1) Domestic, (2) Mythological, (3) Philosophical. The 7th Nemean ode contains a fourth strand which I will call (4) Polemical.
"I. The Domestic : minor threads in this ode; the victor's name, family, and city: details respecting his family (allusions to his intended competition in the Pythian games [C. A. M. F.]).
"II. The Mythological : minor threads in this ode: prayer to Zeus, prayer to Hêrakles, history of Neoptolemos, allusion to the Nymph Aegina distinct from the island (and to the connection between the house of Aeakos and Hêrakles [C. A. M. F.]).
"III. The Philosophical : minor threads: (1) the poet alone can immortalise the hero: (2) human fortunes have countless varieties by the stern dispensation of fates, but death is the universal leveller : [(3) the record of athletic victories is more trustworthy than epic histories of heroes, $v v .23,49,62:(4)$ it is implied that the noble can bear to have their failures and demerits mentioned (C.A. M. F.) :] ( 5 [3, Holmes]) friendship in close vicinity is among the choicest of human blessings.
"IV. The Polemical: self-defence of the poet, who desires to clear himself of the charge of having spoken calumny."

The recurrence of ideas in this ode is remarkable, e.g., $v v .6,54$; 19,30 f.; $11-16,77-79$; 52 f., 104 f., and the return to Neoptolemos at the end of the ode.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho . a^{\prime} .}
$$

＇E入єíQuıa，тá $\boldsymbol{\rho} \epsilon \delta \rho \in$ Mot $\rho a ̂ \nu ~ \beta a \theta \nu \phi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu$,


oủ фáos，ova $\mu$ énaıvà סракévтes єủфрóvà





＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$a^{\prime}$ ．

 ar $\mu \phi \dot{\nexists} \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.

1．＇Eגel泣c．］Also Einel日vice and＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \dot{\omega}=$＇The Deliverer，＇ clearly akin to ètev́ epos，of which the etymology is uncertain．Cf．per－ hap $\epsilon \mu \theta_{o s,}$＇a free labourer．＇

Moıpâv．］For their attendance at





2．Cf．Hes．Theog．922，$\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$
 vial étiktev．

3．סpakevtes．］Cf．Pyth．II． 20. This is the participle of the gnomic aorist，cf．Nom．I． 62.

4．dynabyvov．］Is this upi－ the causative $=$＇bestowing vic－ torious limbs＇（cf．OI．xiv． 3 note）？

5．d̀vaтvєо $\mu \in \nu$ ．］Rendered＇live，＇ or＇aspire，＇but is it a metaphor from running and other exercises， ＇$g$ gather breath for equal efforts，＇ ef ． Nom．viII． 19 ？For＇live＇Cooks－ ley quotes Soph．dian，415，d $\mu \pi \nu o d s$ ex uvea，＇while alive．＇
6．elpyet．］School．סьaкш入úєe， ＇restrain，＇＇check．＇＇For we be－ neath the yoke of Destiny by divers
checks are severally held．＇Cf．Nem． vi．2．For suytvo＇of．Soph．Phil．



7．кai．］＇Even so，＇in spite of lets and hindrances．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{a}, \kappa \rho \iota \theta \epsilon l s$.$] ＇Adjudged to$ victory，＇ie．by the judges at Nemea． Meager explains＇chosen by destiny to be a victor．＇Thus deperậ is a dative of end or direction（termini）． Or should we interpret＇chosen by destiny because of his merit（to be glorious theme of song）he is the glorious theme of song＇－a dative of cause？Dissent，virtute distinctus as a dative of＇side，aspect，regard， or property，＇Madv．§ 40．He com－ pares Soph．Phil．1425，d $\rho \in \tau \bar{j}$ te
 compares the use of крı $\tau$ os，Pyth． iv．50，Isth．vii．65．The School．
 Cf．Nom．iv．2，note on кєкрцие́̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \mathrm{p}$ ．

10．$\mu \dot{d} \lambda \alpha$, к．. ．.$]$＇And right glad are they to foster a spirit con－ versant in contests．＇For $\dot{\mu} \mu \phi \dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota}$ cf．Pyth．ix．70，iII．51，108，where the object is a person，while infra，




v. 91, here and Isth. III. 77 the object is an attribute of the subject.

The Schol. is wrong in suggesting that the reason for their zeal is because Pelleus had invented the pentathlon, as dycula refers to all kinds of contests. For the dative with $\sigma$ v́u $\pi \in \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$ Dissen quotes Od.
 $\pi v \kappa \iota \nu o i \sigma t$, and explains the dative as giving the force of ' making trial of one's self in an occupation,' not merely, 'trial of the occupation,' cf. Lat. jure peritus. This explanation does not apply to $I l$. xv. 282, $̇ \pi \iota-$
 cient quotation. The passage is

 pot 'A Xatêv|viк some supply $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \in \sigma \theta a i$ or $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, while others compare Lat. sciens fidibus (see Paley's note). But év otadin, áyop $\hat{\eta}$ which follow show that we should render 'far the noblest of the Aet., in skill in the spear-throwing, in bravery, in the press of war, while in assembly few of the Achaeans would surpass him, \&e.'; so that áxovtı qualifies " $\chi$ ' 'a $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o s$ as much if not more than ériơव́aevos. The preposition in $\sigma^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ seems to me to account for the dative $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu i q$, the sense being 'essaying trial in connection with contests.'
11. Tíxy.] For $e i$ with subj. cf. my note on Pyth. viII. 13.

For $r v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega=\epsilon \dot{̉} \tau v \chi \in \omega$ of. Ol. II.


 та́ $\varnothing \chi \epsilon \mu \epsilon$, infra, v. б5. Pindar
 contests four times out of nine in-
stances (eight participles), "̈pyua always so, épyov often so.
$\mu \in \lambda$ \фpov' altiav.] 'A delightsome motive,' causing them to flow freely. For poaî $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ cf. infra, v. 62, and Isth.

12. ${ }^{2} \nu \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon$.] For the gnômic aorist in hypothetical constructions ef. Goodwin \& 51, Remark. The metaphor seems to be from throwing some herb or other object of worth into as scanty spring with an incantation to procure an abundant flow of water. The idea is recalled infra, $v v, 61,62$.
$\dot{d} \lambda \kappa a l_{\text {. }}$ Distributive-' feats of endurance.' Pindar uses $d \lambda \times \alpha$ in reference to the pentathlon, pankration, wrestling and boxing.
13. Note the involved order, $\dot{v}^{\mu \nu} \omega \nu$ and éxovet being transposed. Dissen quotes Eur. Frag. inc. II., $\boldsymbol{\eta}$
 for the phrase.
14. Eбoxtrpov.] Observe that even the victor himself cannot appreciate his own exploit without the poet's aid. The spread of his fame reacts on his own mind and poetic treatment reveals to him an elevated and idealised representation of his achievements and position. Cookesley aptly quotes Hamlet, Act iii. 2, - Anything so overdone is from the purpose of playing, whose end both at the first and now, was, and is, to hold, as 't were, the mirror up to nature; to show virtue her own feature; \&c.' Elsewhere Pindar speaks of the immortality conferred by verse; here he speaks of immediate distinction.
ivl $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \rho \delta \pi \%$.] 'On one condition only,' lit. 'in connection with one way.'


'Е $\pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.
бофоì סè $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda a \nu \tau a$ трıтаîov à $\nu \in \mu \circ \nu \quad 25$
15. Cf. Ol. xiv. 20 for texatc 'by favour of.' Mnêmosyne was a Titanid, daughter of Uranos and Gaea, mother of the Muses by Zeus. Hêsiod, Theog. 915 , calls her daughters xpuøáuликєs, cf. Pyth. iII. 89 .
16. єv̈pŋral.] Mss. єűpptal tis the pronoun being clearly an incorporated gloss intended to show that the verb was the subj. mid. not the perf. pass, For $\tau$ ts understood ef.

 $\pi o ́ v \omega \nu$, Ol. VI. 4.
$\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s$.$] 'Through glorifying$ strains of verse.' For causative use of adjective ef. Ol. 1. 26, vi. 76, xi. 4, Pyth. 1v. 81, 216, Ix. 11, Nem. viII. 40.
17. 'Wise pilots know that a wind is due in three days, nor are, they injured through greed of gain,' or-' misled under the influence of gain': for і̀лд кє́робєь cf. Hes. Theog.


Don. seems right in objecting to Dissen's v́m $6 \beta \lambda a \beta \epsilon \nu$ as not occurring elsewhere, and, as he did not see 'what would be the mearing of such a compound here, and still less how any emphasis would fall on the preposition so as to justify a tmesis,' he alters the Triclinian $\dot{v} \pi \dot{o}-\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda o v$ to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \bar{d}-\beta$ álov. But the Vatican $\beta \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is supported by the Medicean $\lambda \dot{\beta} \beta$ ev and gives good sense, and moreover, though it has a more general sense than the $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \eta$ $\mu \omega \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ of the Schol. and is therefore not synonymous therewith, yet might well be interpreted by the more narrow and technical term. Don.'s last two quotations prove this, and on the other hand prove
no more than that smula and not $\beta \lambda a^{\beta} \beta \eta$ is the exact prose correlative
 are properly opposed to one another: Plato, Hipparch. p. 226, в: кépôos
 Plato, Legg. viIf. p. 835, is: $\mu$ t $\gamma \alpha$
 and see Aristot. Ethic. Nicom. v.
 $\kappa \epsilon ́ \rho \delta o s$. Isocr. Nicocl. p. 37, в : тঠ
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda \omega \sigma a l$ § $\eta u l a y$. That $\beta \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \beta \eta$ was not a synonym for snula in this antithesis appears from Xenoph.


 comp. Cyrop. III. 1 § 30 : фú入a ${ }^{\text {Gat }} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$

廿at.'

That s $n \mu i a$ is not the only correlative to $\kappa \underline{\epsilon} \rho \delta o s$ is proved by Hes. W. and D. 352, какдे кє́ $\rho \delta \epsilon a$. $\sigma^{\prime}$ äт $\eta \sigma \iota$. To support his ingenious conjecture dimò- $\beta \dot{d} \boldsymbol{d}_{\text {o }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ Don. does not cite any instance of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \beta a^{i} \lambda \lambda \omega=j a c-$ turam facio used absolutely, nor do I see why 'the tmesis obviates any objection' on this score. From
 parenthesis.

The meaning of this passage is variously explained. Dissen takes it to signify that it is wise to pay for a poet and chorus at once, but the кépóe applies more to the skippers who might, if greedy of gain, stay in harbour shipping more cargo till the fine weather was over, than to the vietor and his father. The simile seems merely to indicate the danger of trusting to the future instead of realising such advantages as the present



$\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ ' O \delta v \sigma \sigma$ éos $\grave{\eta} \pi a ́ \theta a \nu ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ d v \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta ' ~$ "O $\mu \eta \rho o v^{\prime}$ 30

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \beta^{\prime}
$$


 $\tau v \phi \lambda o ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ er $\chi \in \iota$


affords. The imminence of death ( $v v .19,20$ ) is an instance of an a゙ขє $\mu$ os. I think that the poet alludes not merely to promptitude in securing commemoration of the victory, but to Sôgenes having secured fame already in his boyhood, and so having made the best preparation for death.

Had Theâriôn suffered from the premature loss of an elder son or elder sons? So far as the $\kappa \epsilon \in \delta o s$ applies to Theâriôn it includes the cost of training and competing and also the anxiety of a fond parent for his son's safety.
19. $\theta a \nu$ átov $\pi$ te pas | ar $\mu a$.$] mss.$
 metre. Böckh $\theta \alpha ́ v a \tau o \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho a \mid \theta a \mu \dot{\alpha}$ ( $=a ̈ \mu a)$. Wieseler, Schneidewin and T. Mommsen give the text. 'Wend their way together (cf. Il. vII. 335) to the bourn of death.'
20. $\begin{gathered}\text { ( } \pi \text { то } \\ \text { at.] }] ~ C f . ~ F r a g . ~ \\ 39\end{gathered}$ [33],
 -I believe that the renown of Odysseus came to transcend the reality,' $\hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu$ ( $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ) being equivalent to $\hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{a} \hat{\epsilon} \pi a \theta \epsilon \nu$. Old mss. read $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu$, new $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$.
21. "O 0 пр $\rho \nu$. .] Probably the Lesser Iliad or the Aethiopis is meant. Cf. on New. viii. 23-32.
22. oi.] Cf. O1. Ix. 15, $\theta \epsilon \mu$ s
 Eivoula, also note on O1. I. 14, Myth. iv. 48, ainá oi (?), Nom. x. 29. тotavầ $\mu a \chi \alpha \nu \bar{q}$.] 'Power of making winged.' Cf. note on Pyth. 1. 41 and Pyth. viii. 34, xpéos, ('debt of
 Pyth. Ix. 92, $\sigma \iota \gamma a \lambda d \nu$ db $\mu a \chi \alpha \nu l a \nu$, 'Lack of poetic power that bringeth silence.' For sentiment cf. Ole 1. 28, 29, Thus. 1. 21. 1.
23. $\sigma \in \mu \nu o ́ v ~ \tau \iota$.$] 'An air of salem-$ nite' which induces belief. For Er $\pi \in \sigma \pi \iota$ Dissen quotes Aristoph. Nub.
 ยтє

бoфía.] 'Poetic skill.' Cf. Myth. I. 42.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \gamma o: \sigma \alpha$.] For suppress sion of object of. Pyth. II. 17, 'Beguiles us by the seduction of epic narratives.'
25. \&.] Refers to $\tau \delta \nu \quad \alpha v \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ on $\mu \iota \lambda o \nu$. For ${ }^{\eta} \nu$ with accusative pronoun cf. cl in with ace. pron. OI. I. 115, Pyth. 11. 96, Isth. 1.64. Cookesley takes $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=a \dot{u} \tau \dot{d} \dot{\nu}$, incorrectly citing
 should be interpreted 'by praising Opus herself and her son."
 champion.' For gen. of $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ cf. Madv. § 61, Rem. 1, Il. I. 65, el' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \rho^{\prime}$ or ${ }^{\circ}$




＇A $\nu \tau . \beta$ ．

 ठѐ үі̀ретац，






27．ö крд́тเбтоу ．．．коріба८．］＇Who was the noblest．．．whom the waft－ ings of．．．Zephyros conveyed in swift ships to recover．．．．＇For the inf．of． Madv．§ 148．For the subject of．




30．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}-\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．］＇But（the blind－ ness of men does not make much difference）for．．．．＇For коцi弓ш re－ lating to Helenê cf．Ol．xuI． 59.

31．к仑ิ $\mu$＇Atठ a．］For metaphor cf． passages quoted on Nem．Iv． 36.
dंठóкทтоע．］＇Ingloriously even on a glorious hero．＇Cf．Ol．II．29，èv кal $\theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma a ̣ . ~ T o ~ b e ~ s u r e ~ O l . ~ v i I . ~ 26, ~$ $\nu \hat{v}$ év кal re入evtâ shows that кal＝ ＇and＇can intervene between a pre－ position and its noun．Pyth．II．10， 11，$\delta i \phi \rho o \nu$ हैv（ $=$＇̇s as here）$\theta$＇áp $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ， illustrates the position which is assumed for the preposition by Dissen and others who render＇on the inglorious and the glorious．＇ Prof．Paley renders＇unexpected，as well as on him（one？）who is looking for it＇（so too Mezger）．It is ques－ tionable whether бокє́ $\omega v$ ，used $\mathrm{ab}-$ solutely，would bear this sense； and the rendering certainly is in－ appropriate to a suicide．My version suits the cases both of Aias and

Neoptolemos．Note the change of tense；$\epsilon_{\rho} \rho \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ covers all time，$\pi \in \sigma \epsilon$ refers to many points of time．
$\tau t \mu \alpha$ ．］Dissen points out that this＇honour＇is higher than mere入óos，＇fame，＇which latter only was enjoyed by Odysseus，while Aias and Neoptolemos gained the former also．

32．$\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \delta \nu$.$] Extension of the$ predicate．＇Rears to dainty（or ＇luxuriant＇）growth．＇Pindar twice uses кûठoos $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho b \nu$ ．For the metaphor cf．Nem．viII．40，Ix． 48.

33．$\beta$ oa $\theta b \omega \nu, ~ \tau o l ~ \pi a \rho d ~ \mu.] ~ M s s . ~$ $\beta$ ．，（or full stop）tol $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \mu$ ．Her－ mann，Dissen and others read Boatbov，tol mapd $\mu$ ．＇Namely of champions who would come \＆c．＇ The Schol，on $v .68$（46）tell us that the god used to invite certain heroes to そévia at Delphi ；perhaps $\beta$ oa日óoc was a frequent title of such guests． Dissen＇s reading gives us＇to suc－ cour them when dead．＇

34．$\mu$ ó $\lambda \frac{1}{}$ ．］mss．$\mu_{0} \lambda \epsilon$ ，the sin－ gular being ascribed to Didymos by the Schol．Vet．Mezger reads $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ for tol v． 33 （comparing Pyth．v．21）
 סots．It is needless to alter further than to replace the recorded v．l． $\mu$ о́ ${ }^{\prime}$ о ．

#  $\tau \epsilon$ ． 55 <br> ＇Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ． 
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 нахаípa．

37．Böckh＇s text．Mss．transpose qкоуто and $\pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \theta$ еєขтєs．

इkúpov．］The home of Neopto－ lemos＇mother Dêidamia，daughter of Lykomêdes．
＇Eфи́ $\left.\alpha \nu_{.}\right]$In Thesprôtia，after－ wards called Kixupos（Strabo vir． p．324）．

38．Cf．Nem．Iv． 51.
39．фépev．］For the verb＝habuit Dissen compares Nem．iII．18，Isth． vi，21．The tense takes us up to the abolition of kingly rule in Epeiros．

40．ol．］＇This dignity in his honour．＇The order prevents us taking of with yevos，as（？）in Pyth． iv．48，al $\mu$ á oi．Cf．Ol．Ix．15，supra， v． 21.

торos $\theta$ cóv．］To Delphi，to the Pythian Apollo．

41．кréáv＇．］＇Precious objects．＇ Cf．O1．VI．4，（Фıdлav）корифd̀ $\nu$ ктed́vav．All mss．except the two －Vatican give ктєar＇àd $\gamma \omega \nu$ ，a false correction of ктєаע $\dot{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \omega \nu$ ．For $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о-$ $\theta \iota \nu i \omega \nu$ cf．Ol．II．4．The sense is here almost proleptic，prime spoils set apart for offerings being indi－ cated by the term for＇offerings of prime spoils．＇

42．＇Where he met with a brawl about flesh in return（for his offerings）and a man（Maxaipeùs） smote him with a knife．＇The posi－ tion of $\nu$ tv should have been quoted by Dissen for his insertion of $\sigma \epsilon$ between тотi and ォávтa 入ó $\gamma \sigma$ ，

Pyth．II．66，rather than the Homeric
 $\phi \dot{\omega} \nu \in \in$, II．III．389，cf．Madv．$\$ 80$ ． Such an order as we have here is rare except with $\pi \in \rho l$ ，and even in this case the preposition is generally at the end of the clause or else close to the verb．For the preposition $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho$ Dissen quotes Eur．Phoen．1326，

 The slaughter suggests one of the various uses of the Delphic knife， of．Aristot．Pol．1．2，which was very likely a broad two－edged knife， with a point and a hook at the end． I cannot accept Dissen＇s explana－ tion of duvเтvхєิข＝forte incidere like the Homeric dyTidбet（de in． dustria adire）то入＇́ $\mu \mathrm{o}$ ，\＆e．The Schol．says that Neoptolemos was variously said to have gone to Delphi to consult the oracle about Hermionê＇s barrenness，or to sack the temple，or to demand satisfac－ tion from the god for Achilles＇death （so Euripides），that he was slain by the Delphians or by Machaereus． There is another version that he was slain by the machinations of Orestes，Eur．Orest．1654－6，Andr． 1085，who persuaded the Delphians that he intended sacrilege：Pindar＇s account of the visit is not incon． sistent with Euripides＇，but their accounts of the reason for the attack upon him differ substan－ tially．
$\beta a ́ p v \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ סè $\pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \sigma a ̀ ~ \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̀ ~ \xi ॄ \nu a \gamma є ́ т a \ell . ~$
 талаוта́тф



 ov̉ $\psi \in \hat{v} \delta \iota \varsigma$ ò $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v s$ ép $\gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ èmıбтaтє̂̀．


43．The mss．reading $\beta$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha}$万t $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o l$ is an interesting case of dittography wrongly corrected by leaving out the $\dot{\partial \in}$ in the proper place．

44．According to Pausanias（ I ． 14）the Pythia herself ordered his slaughter．

45．＇Funeral sacrifices were offered up to him（Neoptolemos）at Delphi every year（Pausan．x．24．5），and he was commemorated first．of all the heroes，whose names were re－ cited at the Delphic $\xi \in \in \in{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime}$（Don．） The Schol．，quoting Asklêpiades＇ Tragoedumena，tells us that he was at first buried under the threshold， but that Menelaos had the body removed to the $\tau \notin \mu \in \operatorname{los}$ on the right of the entrance to the temple （Pausan．x．24．5）．He was honoured
 Aeniânes with a $\theta$ ewpla every fourth year．

46．$\pi$ oumaîs．］Processions and offerings in connection with the above－mentioned $\xi$ ¢eva．

48．＇With a view to（upholding） fair－named justice，${ }^{\prime}=$ the shrine＇s good name for justice．The victim of a dispute about a sacrifice was an appropriate guardian of fair－ dealing with respect to the sacred rites at Delphi．Mommsen，after the Schol．（citing Aristarchos）， places the foll stop after пo入voúrots，
 recalls Aesch．Choëph．948，ėтクтú－

 Böck（Not．Crit．p．540）says－
 Notat Eustathius（ad Il．$\mu$ ．p．852，


 simply meant to express，what is the fact，that Pindar uses the word evicuvuos（＝glorious），the correla－ tive of $\delta v \sigma \dot{\omega} v{ }^{2} \mu$ os which when quali－ fying $\mu 0 i p a$ has another correlative， $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ．
tpla．］Three words suffice to in－ dicate the special import of Neop－ tolemos＇cult at Delphi to Nogenes． ＇The witness who presides at the games is perfectly fair．＇The witness is Neoptolemos（according to Rau－ chenstein，Apollo，to Mommsen， Pindar）．Cf．vv．23， 63 for the superior truth of records of athletic prowess compared with epic fame． Mezger puts a colon after $\mu$ d́prus，a comma only after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon \hat{i}$ ，a full stop after $\boldsymbol{e} \kappa \gamma \delta \boldsymbol{y} \omega \dot{y}$ ，but I think Ekjovav is wanted in the next sen－ tence．

50．＇Aegina，with respect to the descendants of thyself and Zeus I am bold to affirm this，that by their brilliant distinctions there is a high road（for poets）of noble

# фаєvvaîs ảpeтaîs ódòv кupiav $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ <br>  ко́pov $\delta$ ٌ eै $\chi є \iota$ 

$\kappa а i ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \iota ~ к а і ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu а \nu \theta e ́ ' ~ ' А ф \rho о \delta i ́ \sigma \iota a . ~$




themes derived from their home.' For the genitive $\dot{e} \kappa \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$ of. Madv. § 53, Rem. Aegina and Zeus were parents of Aeakos. Cf. Nem. viII. 6.

The meaning of cuplav is the key to the interpretation of this very difficult sentence. It is variously given as 'own peculiar,' 'legitimate,' Germ. 'echt,' 'rightful,' all which renderings appear strained. I prefer to explain 'of regulation width,' i.e. a regular temple-road with the Delphic gauge of 5 ft .4 in ., ef. Curt. Hist. of Greece, Ward's Transl. Vol. II. p. 36; in short $\dot{\text { d }} \mathbf{\delta}$. $\kappa v \rho .=\dot{\delta} \delta \partial \partial \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \xi \iota \tau b v$, Nem. vi. 56.

For the metaphor ef. also Ol. I.


 $\lambda_{12}$ iv, Nem. vi. 47-49, Aesch. Ag. 1154, áó | ev öpous è $\chi$ eis $\theta \in \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma$ las |
| :---: | ธ̇ои какоррйиоуаs, where Paley (1123) quotes $\lambda$ oyluy óoóv, Ar. Equit. 1015, $\theta \in \sigma \phi$ átuv óṑv, Eur. Phoen. 911. Aristophanes, Pax, 733, ทั义

 to have had this passage of Pindar in mind. For olk. ef. Ol. III. 44.

52, à $\lambda \lambda$ d̀ ráp.] ‘But enough! for.'
53. $\tau \grave{a}$ тє $\tau \pi \nu a \nu \theta \epsilon \in a$.] I still
 would not be good Greek unless áv $\theta \epsilon \alpha$ ' $A \phi p o o ̂ \sigma \tau a$ meant 'Aphroditeblossoms,' i.e. roses, as I suggested on Pyth. v. 21 ; but I have since
found an easier solution by reading $\tau \epsilon \rho т \nu а \nu \theta \in \mathfrak{a}$. The Vatican мs8, support this by giving repmvävee' and $\tau \in \rho \pi \nu^{\prime}$ av $\nu \epsilon^{\prime}$, thus not accenting $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu$ - The proposed compound would not sound harsh to ears that could stand $\alpha \mu \pi \nu i v \theta \eta$. For the metaphor cf. Pyth. IX. 110. It may be doubted whether roses per ${ }^{8 e}$ would induce appreciable satiety. The verse sums up the indulgence of the appetites, $\mu \in \lambda \iota$ representing generally the gratification of taste. The Schol, quotes $I l$. xIII. 636,



54. For sentiment of. vv. 5, 6. The natural constitution, фvá, is regarded as the means by which variation is produced, fate as the cause; hence the aorist $\lambda$ dáxoytes.
55. $\tau$ d.]. For the neuter pronoun referring to $\beta$ เotà cf. the relative oโa, Ol. 1. 16.

тvðєiv.] Cf. supra, v. 11.
 winning,' ef. Nem. HII 16.
57. Tèos.] 'Consummation.'
${ }^{\sharp} \mu \pi \epsilon \bar{\delta} \overline{0}$.] Extension of the predicate. For sentiment of. Pyth. III. 105, VII. 20.
58. кацро́v.] 'Measure,' 'proportion.' Cf. Pyth. I. 57, 山̈v éparaı





 ov๋тos.

$$
\text { 'Е } \pi \cdot \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \delta^{\prime} .
$$


 тє бано́таия
59. т $\quad$ रो $\mu$ av.] 'A character for courage,' of. Eur. Ion 600, Iph. in T. 676, Thuk, 1. 33, фépová

60. $\sigma \dot{v} v \in \sigma \nu$.$] Cf. Ol. II, 85, \phi \omega-$
 sayings, which are here also in part at least referred to. This word introduces the poet's self-vindication.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau e c$.] 'Doth not remove by $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$;' L. and S. render 'ruin utterly,' but to tell a man he is not an utter imbecile would be taken by many people for a serious reflection on their mental powers.
61. नкотєขby .] Causative, cf. Pyth. iv. 81, 01. 1. 26, vi. 76, xi. 4, Nem. viI. 40. The syllable before ox ought to be short, so Böckh proposed кот $\epsilon \nu \grave{\nu}=$ кот $\eta \in ө \tau \alpha$, Rauchenstein крvфаiov, Bergk кє入aıvбv, Har-
 ser cites Hes. W. and D. 589, $\pi \epsilon-$ т $\rho a i \eta$ тe $\sigma \kappa \iota \eta$, but the colon seems to make Pindar's licence worse. The text is supported by Nem. Iv. 40 and $v v .12,13$, supra.
62. Cf. Nem. 1. 24, 25, 入èло
 $\phi e ́ \rho \varepsilon \iota \nu$ | durlov. Here however as smoke is not mentioned, and as poal is not the most appropriate word for water employed to quench fire (and as it occurs v. 12 with
axdrov v. 13) the poet probably had in view the refreshing, revivifying influence of water.
63. е̇тท̆тvиор.] Cf. vv. 23, 49. $\pi$ тотфорог.] Cf. Nem. ini. 31. $\mu \tau \sigma 6$ s.] Cf. supra, v. 16.
 likely that hypothetical proximity would be placed in such a prominent position in verse and sentence. I take it that a Molossian (Achaean) was present with the poet in Aegina when this ode was recited, and render-' Though he be near, an Achaean, a dweller above the Ionian sea, will not blame me.'
65. vंส $\left.\epsilon \rho_{0}\right]$ Cf.Pyth. 1.18. Cookes. ley rightly objects to Dissen's ad mare and explains $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho=$ 'above, adding less correctly ' or beyond' in
 тoû́ous $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ' $1 \lambda \lambda \nu \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} E \theta \nu \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi p \partial s \tau \hat{\varphi}$


 $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o v ̀ \kappa \delta \lambda \pi o v$ (it was at least a mile off) Kixupos, $\dot{\eta} \quad \pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$


 so far above the sea as Kichyros), Don. thinks Pindar's phrase 'peculiarly applicable to Cichyrus,' but it was in Thesprotia and reference
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to Nem. rv. $51-53$ compared with the above-quoted passage of Strabo viI. p. 326, enables us to apply it to Dodona with which we know Pindar had friendly intercourse. Cf. Frag. 35 [29].
кal $\pi \rho o \xi \in \nu(q$.] So Mss. Edd. omit either кal or ж $\rho 0$-; but, comparing
 бофla $\delta$ è $\kappa \lambda . \quad$ v. 23, di $\delta \delta \kappa \eta \tau o v, v$.

 v. 76, exect тe-ois v. 84, I think the syllables answering to olkeढิv were equivalent to four short times, and that we therefore need not alter the ms. reading. For adjectival use of part. cf. Nem. Iv. 29.

The poet's position as $\pi \rho^{\prime} \xi \underline{\xi} \boldsymbol{L}_{0}$ (of Dôdôna) would prevent him from disparaging Neoptolemos, while the fact that he was still $\pi \rho \sigma \xi \in \nu$ os showed that the Aeakids of Epeiros had not taken offence at the objectionable Paean.
ja a $\dot{\text { öcuss. }}$. I think Aeginêtans are meant, others think Thebans.
66. $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \delta \nu$.] For idiom of. Pyth. 11. 20, Nem. 17. 39, and for sentiment of. Nem. x. 40. Our 'serenity' comes very close to the meaning of $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \sigma \nu$.
óx $\dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda \omega^{\omega} \nu$.] 'Unconscious of arrogance.'
67. Épúvals.] Metaphor from clearing a road by dragging aside obstacles. For the exact meaning of Biala cf. Nem. viit. 34.
$\epsilon \bar{\phi} \phi \rho \omega \nu_{\text {. ] }}$ ' May the remainder of my days steal on amid kindliness.'
68. $\pi$ отl... e $^{2}$ тоь.] Cf. Nem, rv. 43, and for the compound Pyth. 1. 57,

$\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$. $]$ 'If any one understand my meaning.' There is a reference to $\sigma$ úveฮvข, v. 60.
 Goodwin, § 37. 2, O1. 1, 109, Isth. v. 59.

Mr Holmes (Thesis, p. 17) resolved $\mu a \theta \omega \dot{v}$ into $\epsilon l \mu \dot{d} \theta o l$ and combined $\alpha \nu$ with the optative. (For àv in protasis of. Goodwin \& 50 , note $2(a)$ ); but this is nothing but taking $\alpha \nu$ with the participle, as to the incorrectness of which process of. Goodwin § 42, note 1. Hermann would read $\delta^{\prime} \hat{\alpha} \nu \hat{\omega} \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \hat{i}$.
69. el.] Not hypothetical, but $=\pi \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \rho \mathrm{po} \mathrm{\nu}$ after $\epsilon \rho \in \hat{\epsilon}$. The passage concerns the poet so intimately that I cannot think ep $\rho \chi$ oual refers merely to the chorus. For $\pi d \rho$ $\mu \hat{k}$ रos, 'untunefully,' ef. Ol. IX. 38,
 $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi о к \rho \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \bullet$ ' ef. also $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$.

廿árcov.] So Vatican mss. supported by Hésychios. The poet recalls $v .69$.
70. Evijevidă.] Vocative.

- dтоирv́由.] Lat. deiero, 'I make oath as defendant,' 'I deny on oath.'

71. Têpua троßás.] I explained this phrase on Pyth. 1. 44 without knowing that Mr Holmes (Feb. 23, 1867) had anticipated me, as also had Dr Pinder (Der Fünfkampf der Hellenen, Berlin, 1867), either following Mr Holmes or independently, It would seem that Pindar alludes to Sôgenes himself actually having discharged his spear in the pentathlon with his foot advanced be-

Ouà̀ $\gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma a \nu$, ôs $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 є̇ $\mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v$. $\epsilon i$ आóvos $\bar{\eta} \nu, \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \delta \in ́ \rho \chi є \tau a l . ~$


IIO



yond the line which marked the beginning of the throw, and so having failed to gain the third victory was obliged to go on to the wrestling. Pindar often likens his verse to arrows and spears, ef. Ol. I. 112, and esp. Pyth. I. 44, ävopa $\delta^{\prime}$
 $\mu a!\mid \mu \eta \chi^{\chi а \lambda к о \pi \alpha ́ \rho q о \nu ~ a ̆ к о \nu \theta^{\prime}} \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon i \tau^{\prime}$


$\delta \rho \sigma a t$.$] Refers to the past, cf. Ol.$ II. 92, 93 (Don.).
72. ős, к.т.A.] 'Which (if thrown successfully) is wont to dismiss the sturdy neek (hendiadys) from the wrestling unbathed in sweat before the limbs encounter the blazing sun.' Don. says 'As most of the public games of Greece were celebrated in the hottest season of the year, and as the pentathlum in particular was contested in the full blaze of the noonday sun (Pausan. vi. 24. § 1), when the heat was so oppressive that even the spectators could not endure it (see Aristot. Problem. 38, Elian. V. H. xıv. c. 18); we may fully understand this allusion to the wrestling mateh, coupled with the el $\pi$ óvos $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ which follows.' The aorist $\epsilon \xi \xi \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu$ is gnômic.

The Schol. explains apoßds as $=$ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \pi \varepsilon \rho \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$, wrongly.
74. el สóvos $\mathfrak{\eta} v$.$] This proves$ that Sogenes had wrestled, and
probably also been beaten in the foot race.
$\pi \lambda$ ह́op. $^{\prime}$ ] 'More abundantly.'
75. $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { a } \mu \text {.] 'Let me alone,' i.e. }\end{gathered}$ 'Fear not.'
76. àpékpayov.] Idiomatic aorist referring to the immediate past.
 The meaning of $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu \dot{\alpha} \in \rho \theta \varepsilon i s$ simply 'carried too far' with the usual metaphor of the flights of poetry. Cf. supra, v. 22, Nem. v. 21, Aristoph. Pax, 831.
 debt of praise.' Cf. Pyth. xi. 41. For infinitive of. Madv. § 149.
77. àvaßaideo.] 'Strike up.' Cf. Pyth. I. 4. The poet makes as it were a fresh beginning. This one word is addressed to the musicians. Hermann renders impone tibi, Dissen expecta, morare.
78. 帾 $\tau \epsilon$.] 'And therewithal.' On this passage the Schol. quotes Frag.

 This early allusion to elaborate goldsmith's work in which gold, ivory and white coral were blended is of great interest. 'For the Muse combines gold and therewithal white ivory and the lily blossom, having culled it from the dews of the sea.' Pape takes $\lambda$ eifoov here for an adjective $=\lambda \epsilon \iota \rho{ }_{2}$ s (Hesych.



8o $\Delta$ iòs Sè $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu а \mu$ évos ả $\mu \phi \grave{\imath}$ N $\epsilon \mu$ éa <br><br><br> фитєर̂бal,

$$
\Sigma_{\tau, \rho . \epsilon^{\prime} .}
$$
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 $\epsilon i$ ì̀ $\gamma \in \dot{v} \in \tau a \iota$




80. a $\mu \phi$ l.] 'With regard to.' Cf. Ol. Ix. 13, Pyth. II. 62.
81. סovec.] Dissen observes that the metaphor is from spear-throwing, comparing Pyth. I. 44. äкоута $\pi \alpha-$ $\lambda a \mu \hat{a}$ סové $\omega y$ : but cf. Pyth. x. 39,
 Boal кavaxal $\tau$ ' aủ入ิ̂̀ òovévzat.

82. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \cup x \hat{a}$.] Contrast this language with reference to an Aeolian ode sung to the lyre with that of Nem. III. (v.67) which was sung to flutes.
83. да́тєбор.] So mss. Mezger restores the mistake $\gamma$ d $\pi \in \delta 0 \nu$, which does not sean. Perhaps here and $v$. 34 ठд $\pi \epsilon \delta o \nu=$ 'terrace.'
86. тротреєิขa.] Connected with $\pi \rho a u ̈ s$, , inos ( $^{(?), ~ S k t . ~} \sqrt{ }$ pri, 'enjoy,' Zd. $\sqrt{ }$ fri, 'love,' Goth. frijon, 'to love,' frijond's, 'friend.' For $\pi \rho 0$ -

 with $\pi \rho \eta \nu \dagger$ ¢s, $\pi \rho \eta \dot{\nu}$, pronus, is invalidated by the absence of any
evidence of such metaphorical usage in Greek.
reverea.] Delibat. Cf. Isth. I. 21. There is an old variant סevécal.
87. reiтov', к.т.入.] Cf. Hes. $W$. and D. 344, пท̂щa како̀s रहitcov,

 Alkman, Frag. 50 [60], $\mu$ éya reltout $\gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$.
88. xáppa.] 'Delight,' 'blessing,' as in O1. II. 19.
89. àvéxot.] Cf. Soph. Aiax, 212 and Prof. Jebb's note. 'Should be constant to neighbourly relations.' mas, read ay exot. The text is due to Thiersch. Cookesley has an inapposite note on the omission of av with the optative. Holmes puts a full stop after àvéxoc, taking it with $\varepsilon l$ 'for the simple expression of a wish,' like the Euripidean $\epsilon^{\ell}$ ноє févotro. I cannot think that a wish could be expressed here just before the wish v. 98.
90. èv $\tau / \nu$.$] 'Under thy protec.$
 Өvдòv $\pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є́üктท́भova そaӨ́́à àyváv. 135
' $A \nu \tau . \epsilon^{\prime}$.

 ю $\mu$ а́кар,
 ко́рау тє $\boldsymbol{\lambda \lambda а и к \omega ́ т ь \delta a \cdot ~ \delta u ́ v a \sigma a \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \beta \rho о т о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda к a ̀ \nu ~}$ à $\mu а \chi а \nu \iota a ̂ \nu ~ \delta v \sigma \beta a ́ т \omega \nu ~ \theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ \mu є \nu . ~$
tion,' 'in dependence on thee.' Cf.
 $\sigma \dot{\zeta} \zeta_{0} \mu \mathrm{au}$, and Prof. Jebb's note.
$e^{e} \theta$ é $\lambda o l$.] Equal to $\mu_{\hat{e}}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o t$; or should we render-'would bewilling to cherish an obedient mind towards his father and so to go on dwelling happily,' \&c.? I.e. the neighbourhood of Hêrakles' temple is enough to keep Sôgenes contentedly at home tending his father in his old age, rather than ranging in quest of adventures like Hêrakles who subdued the Giants. For the advice to the youthful victor to honour his father of. Pyth. vi. 1927.

93, 94. 'For that he hath his house between thy precincts as a four-horse chariot is between its yoke horses, (having one) on either hand as he goes.' It is a mistake to suppose that four-horse chariots had two poles or two yokes, as art proves the reverse ; but Euripides'
 sira was used catachrestically for horses. The genitive d $\rho \mu$ áruv gives us the word in the simile corresponding to $\delta$ o $\mu o y$, and the phrase lúv shows that either the road to the house was between temples, or else the street in which the house stood had temples on the opposite side. Either the preposition $\varepsilon^{2} y$ is used loosely or else the poet was thinking of the pole as part of the
chariot. The annexed hypothetical diagram fulfils the conditions of

the simile sufficiently. Or, does ev here $=$ 'hard by,' the house being divided from the $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ by a narrow street, the $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \eta$ extending farther than the house on either side, even as four horses occupy a space wider than the car? Mr Postgate explains the simile differently. Note that exec...lidv is a variation of the common con-
 $\chi \epsilon \rho \rho$ s lóvть.
97. From this passage and from







 $\rho \iota \nu \theta$ os．
v． 60 it would seem that Thearion laboured under some bodily silment or infirmity．

98．oфибı．］Sôgenes and Theâ－ rion．

99．סaan入éкots．］＇Carry on to the end．＇

101．＇The present victory and a nobler one（at Delphi or Olympia） afterwards．＇

The notion of Delphi in ápetov brings the poet back to Neopto－ lemos．

103．Èरxúaul．］＇That I have maltreated；＇like beasts worrying a corpse．Cf．7．xvir．394， 558.

104．Taủrd́，к．т．入．］＇To work over the same ground three or four times argueth lack of inventive power， like $\Delta$ tòs Kópıv $\theta$ os foolishly repeated to children．＇This was probably the burden of a popular nursery ditty．Cf．Aristoph．Ranae，439， Eccl．828．Muiller，Dor．1．p． 88 Transl．2nd ed．p． 96 and von Leutsch，Paroem．Gr．II．p．368， give the historical account of the origin recorded by the Schol．， namely that ambassadors from
the Bakchiadae sent to invite the Megarians to resume their allegiance，at last said סukaicus
 $\delta \not \kappa \eta \nu \pi a \rho{ }^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．Whereupon they were pelted，and in an ensuing fight the Megarians urged each
 The proverb is said to refer $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \pi\end{aligned}$

 which is not supported by Pindar． Some editors seem to take $\mu a \psi v \lambda d$－ кas as nom，sing．$=$＇$a$ silly babbler，＇ but the construction with äre after a $\mu \pi о \lambda \epsilon i v$ would be the accusative， and the only possible construction for the nom．sing．is to make $\mu a \psi v \lambda$ dakas agree with K $\delta \rho \iota \nu \theta_{0}$ s， which I believe to be right．The Schol．Vet．explains 山̈̈ $\quad \pi \epsilon p$ $\pi a \rho \grave{a}$ $\nu \eta \pi$ loos roîs $\tau$ tekvocs as though the reading had been $\mu a \psi \nu \lambda$ dakats，or else the interpretation last given was intended．The phrase in this case is regarded by the poet as the agent in the vain repetition of itself；for such a form as $\mu a \psi$ ． could hardly be passive in meaning．

## NEMEA VIII.

## ON THE VICTORY OF DEINIS OF AEGINA IN THE SHORT FOOT-RACE.

## INTRODUCTION.

Deinis, the son of Megas, of the family of the Chariadae ( $v .46$ ), of Aegina, had, like his father, been twice victor in the stadium at Nemea. From the allusion to Sparta in $v v .9-12$, I think that this second victory was won during the troubles of Sparta with the Messênians and Helots which began B. c. 464, and before the war between Athens and Aegina, b.c. 458 . From v. 20 I infer that this ode was composed just before the Seventh Nemean, and hence I regard it as probable that this victory falls in Ol. 79, either B.c. 463 or 461. The victor's father was dead $(v .44)$ at this time. From the opening lines addressed to the goddess of youthful bloom and young desire, though to be sure they lead up naturally to the birth of Aeakos, and from the prominence given to unfair preference and misrepresentation, it may be gathered with some slight probability that Deinis had recently been an unsuccessful suitor, and that his rival's friends had brought unfair influence to bear in the matter. However Prof. Jebb's remarks in his introduction to his edition of Aiax, p. viii., are very much to the point. 'For a special reason not difficult to conjecture, Ajax was rather a favourite with Pindar. Not a few of the great men whose praises Pindar sang must have had skeletons in their closets. The chariot-race, the foot-race, the boxing and wrestling matches might have gone well, on the whole, for them and for their forefathers. But every family which had furnished a long series of competitors at the great festivals would be likely to have its grievances; its tradition of the ancestor who was beaten by a doubtful neck; its opinion about that recent award in which the

## PINDARI CARMINA.

judges had shown such scandalous partiality for their fellow-townsman. In such cases it would be consoling to remember that a hero second only to Achilles had been defrauded by a corrupt tribunal of the prize which was his due. The complimentary poet might flatter his patron's self-complacency by comparing him to great and successful heroes; but he might also chance to soothe feelings of a less agreeable kind by the mention of Ajax, so unsuccessful and yet so great.' The ode was sung on the occasion of the dedication of Deinis' crown at the temple of Aeakos ( $v .13$ ).-The harmony is Lydian ( $v .15$ ), the measures chiefly Dorian. The apparent cretic after the first double trochee of the last strophic line is equivalent, most probably, to an epitrite, the last long syllable being long by nature or by a nasal, and being produced a double time. This syllable in no case ends a word in this ode. There is more break than usual between the metrical divisions of this ode.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-3. The goddess of young desire is sometimes kind, sometimes cruel.
4,5. One must be content to be moderate and attain one's nobler desires.
6-8. The marriage and offspring of Zeus and Aegina was blest.
8-12. Aeakos was much courted by heroes.
13-16. Dedication of ode and crown to Aeakos.
17, 18. Prosperity grauted by the gods is comparatively lasting, such, for instance, as that of Kinyras of Cyprus.
19. I pause like a runner preparing to start.

20,21. For anything new provokes envious criticism.
22-32. For envy attacks the noble as in the case of Aias and the arms of Achilles.
32-34. Detraction existed of old.
35-39. Far be this from the poet, who hopes to win fame and popularity by straightforward plain speaking.
40-44. Excellence and the joy of victory are enhanced by song.
44-50. The poet cannot restore Megas to life, but he can rear a monument to father and son and assuage pain.
50,51 . The antidote of song is as old as the poison of detraction.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$



 $\delta^{7}$ ย̇є́́paıs．



＇Аขт．$a^{\prime}$ ．

 $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$

 Хєєिì кaì ßou入aîs ar $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o s . ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \lambda \iota \tau a ́-~$ עєvò iठeîv．
ảßoa兀ì $\gamma$ à $\rho \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ ar $\omega \tau о \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a \iota \epsilon \tau a o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

1．＂$\Omega$ pa．］Goddess of puberty．Cf． Asch．Suppl． 973 （P），quoted Nom． v．6．For the double genitive cf．OI．
 Пé̀оотеs．Pyth．II．39，критral
 $\phi \stackrel{\lambda}{ }$ otár $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．
2．Cf．Soph．Ant．795，vikầ $\delta^{\prime}$
 עúयфаs．
3．dıáyкas Xepol．］Cf．Pyth．Iv． 234，dуáyкas ty тe兀w，Pyth．xi．34， дópous áßpótaтos．
eur épats．］Euphemistic for ảyolats． Cf．Pyth．III．34，Eur．Here．F．1238， also the similar use of adios．The poet means violent or thwarted passion．

There is a zeugma in the con－ striction of $\beta$ adTdjeas，which first means to carry in fondling fashion and then to enfold in a tight grip． We can render by＇bear along＇in both cases，but I do not think ayplaus $\chi \in \rho \sigma l$ ßactájees would stand alone．

4．àyãaтá．］For plur．of．Myth． x．34，Mem．rv． 71.

кuupoi．］＇Without having trans－ gressed the bounds of moderation．＇

5．Eтıкратєî．］＇To get secure possession of his nobler objects of desire．＇
6．oloc．］I．e．ape loves．

7．viols．］Aeakos．
Oivávas．］Old name of the island before the nymph Aegina gave her name to it．

8．тo入入á．］Cf．Dem．v．31，and the Homeric $\pi o \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda(\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha u$ ．Dis－ sen interprets mo八גákis，but Don． rightly observes that＇the secondary idea of frequency＇is contained in入ıтávevov．
lסeiv．］＇That they might behold him．＇Do not take $\nu$ vo as primarily the object of $l \delta \epsilon i \hat{i}$ ．
9．$\dot{\alpha}$ Boar．］Generally rendered ＇unbidden，＇＇unsummoned，＇but ＇without fighting，＇$=\dot{\alpha} \mu a \chi \eta \tau l$ ，seems to be more in accordance with analogy and with the meanings of $\beta$ ㄲ．
äwtoc．］＇The flower．＇Cf．OI．in． 7.


$$
' E \pi \cdot a^{\prime} .
$$

 oil $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ’ àvà $\Sigma \pi a ́ \rho \tau a \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi \eta i ̄ a ́ \delta a \iota . ~$



 ar $\mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ．
 $\mu о \nu$ о́тєроя＊
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.
 К $\dot{\pi} \pi \rho \varphi$ ． 30


12．According to the myths the Pelopids of Sparta（Menelâos）were not contemporary with Aeakos，and it is therefore possible that Pindar refers to an unknown myth，but perhaps he was tempted into an anachronism by a wish to allude to recent overtures by Sparta for help against the Meṣsênians，bic． 364－362．

13－15．The crown won by Deinis was being dedicated with the poet＇s crown of song at the temple of Aeakos．

15．кауах $\left.\eta \delta_{0}^{2}.\right]$ Cf．Pyth．x．39， кavaxal av̉入ิ̂v，Soph．Trach．641， aủ入òs ov̉к àvapolay láx $\omega \nu$ каעахà $\nu$ ย̇ $\pi \alpha ́ v e เ \sigma เ \nu . ~ H e ̂ s i o d ~ u s e s ~ t h i s ~ a d v e r b . ~$ For the metaphor of．Frag． 160
 $\kappa \ell \lambda o \nu$ äv óqua，quoted by the School． on Sem．viI． 78 （115）．The $\mu i \tau \rho a$ here and in O1．Ix． 84 means the whole crown，but was properly the twisted woollen fillet（ $\epsilon v \mu \mu \lambda \lambda o v$ $\mu i \tau \rho a \nu$ ，Isth．Iv．62）by which the leaves or sprays of the wreath were kept together．

16．$\delta เ \sigma \sigma \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．］Refersboth to Deinis and his father，as is proved by $v v$.
 ＇the feet illustrious on two occa－ sions of two（Chariadae）．＇

Ne $\mu \in \alpha i \hat{o} \nu$ ar $\gamma \alpha \lambda \mu a$ ．］＇A celebration of Nemean victories in two foot－ races．＇

17．बنेv $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ ．］＇By the aid of a god，＇i．e．of Aeakos or of Zeus for Aeakos＇sake．For фutevels，of． Pyth．Iv．69，фúтєv日єv $\tau \iota \mu a\}$ ．The
 the sentiment of Myth．III．105，106，

 VII．20．For the idea of．Frag． 111
 öㅅos．Render тappoy．＇maketh longer stays，＇after Sir J．Suckling， ＇Love with me hath made no stays， ［Mr Fanshawe］．

18．$\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．］＂ $0 \lambda \beta$ os is here per－ sonified，though not so in the pre－ ceding line．For Kinyras cf．Pyth． II．15－17．

19．Iбтapaь．］＇I stay on tip－toe；＇ i．e．poising myself and taking
 ваба́vఱ
 роїбı $\nu^{\circ} 35$
 ' $1 \nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.
 бals. 40

breath before the start, in the attitude of the cast of 'A girl starting for a foot race' in the Fitzwilliam Museum. The phrase кой $\phi \alpha \beta_{\imath} \beta \hat{\omega} v \tau \alpha$, 'with light tread,' 'tripping lightly,' Ol. xiv. 15, is not quite the same. The body of the ode begins here, the first eighteen verses being dedicatory to Aeakos.
20. 'Many tales have several versions; but when one has discovered new points it is utterly hazardous to submit them to the touchstone for assay; for discussions are toothsome to the envious; and envy ever fastens on to the noble, but contends not against the mean. It did rend even the son of Telamon by forcing him on to his sword.'

It would appear that Pindar invented himself (or gave currency to an Aeginetan version of) the detail of the myth of the крiots ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ which attributed the defeat of Aias to unfair means, which version is adopted by Sophokles, Aiax, 1135, where Teukros says to Menelâos клєतागेs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ aủrô $\psi \eta \phi$ отоòs evpet njs. $^{\text {. }}$ In the earlier ode, Isth. III., in which the fate of Aias is mentioned, Odysseus' $\tau \in \chi$ va defeats the better man, but no underhanded proceedings in connection with the decision are suggested, In Nem, vir. the unfair
character of the voting is insinuated, and I am therefore disposed to date Nem. vili. before Nem. vir. See on v. 12.
21. तoyou.] 'Discussion.' Dissen, Deliciae vero sunt verba quae dicant invitis quaerentibus quod reprehendant; Don., Cookesley, Paley, 'praise.' Markland goes too far in regarding $\lambda$ dorot here and in Eur. Suppl. 565 as $=\psi$ boot. It is rather 'criticism'; the neutral term getting a colour from the preceding clanse.
For the extraction of $\phi \theta \delta$ yos from $\phi \theta$ оує $о$ oĩ $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ which however is a simple case of a plural subject got out of a singular noun of multitude. Not very unlike is Nem. 1v. 3, สо́yшу кеккр-
 sentìment cf. Soph. Aiax, 157, $\pi$ pòs
 viI. 19, xi. 29, infra, v. 34.
23. à $\mu$ ккv入íous.] Cf. Hom. Il.
 'transfixed by the bronze,' Soph. Aiax, 828, ( $\mu \epsilon$ ) $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ т $\hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon \pi \epsilon l$
 $\rho \iota \pi \tau v \chi$ मेs. The slang 'to get outside a glass of beer,' \&c. is a similar idiomatic inversion.
24. Cf. Il. xitr. 824, ATav dं $\mu$ ap-


катє $\left.\chi \epsilon \epsilon_{\text {. }}\right]$ 'Encompasses,' 'overwhelms.' Cf. Ol. vir. 10, Pyth. 1. 96, Soph. Aiax, 415.
 татає.
 $\sigma a \nu^{*} \quad 45$

'Ет. $\beta^{\prime}$.





 öv $\epsilon$ i $\delta$ os.
à тò $\mu$ ย̀v $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \beta \iota a ̂ \tau a \ell, ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ ф a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \kappa v ̂ \delta o s ~$ àvтєiveє $\sigma a \theta \rho o ́ \nu$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}$.
 $\theta$ outs
25. àvтєтaтa.] 'The greatest prize has been held out to,' confared upon, not 'held up,' 'proposed.' For perfect of. O1. 1. 53, Nem. III. 84.
27. фо́עч.] 'Invited the grip of violent death.' Cf. Hes. W. and D., 413, ärnбl $\pi a \lambda a l \epsilon \iota$.
28. in $\mu$ ans.] 'Yet verily.'
ar עó $\mu$ otá $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$.] Though Odysseus was perhaps equal to Alias, or almost equal, according to the terms of the contest for the arms, in battle 'at least they made far different wounds gape (or 'gush [with blood]') on the warm flesh of foemen (dat. incommodi) when hard pressed, \&c.' That is to say in battle Alias was very superior to Odysseus.
29. $\pi є \lambda є \mu$ só $\mu \in \nu о$.] So Schol. Vet. MSs. $\pi 0 \lambda \in \mu \iota \zeta$.
30. Mèv-Te.] Cf. O1. IV. 15. The poet refers to exploits not related
in our Iliad, but probably from the ballads which formed the Aethiopis of Arktinos. From the frequent mention of Memnon this group of legends seems to have been a favourite either with Pindar or with the Aeginettans. Of course the fight with Hektôr, Il. xiv. 402, may have been in the poet's mind among the ar $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu$.
32. É $\chi \theta \rho \alpha$, к.т. $\mathbf{\lambda}_{\text {.] }}$ 'Fell detracion then (as may be inferred from the above-mentioned instance) existed even of old.'
33. бо入офрабท's, к.т.入.] 'Deviser of guile, mischief-making calumny.'
34. Cf. Apollod. (Brunck, Gnome.) IV. 12, $\pi \rho$ ods $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тò $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu ~ o ́ ~ \phi \theta o ́ \nu о s ~$ $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a l \mid \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}$ ékelvous ours adv $\dot{v} \psi \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta \tau \dot{\prime} \chi \eta$; and for $\beta \iota a ̂ \tau a \iota, O d$.
 $\tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \grave{̀} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ (Dissen). For dंфávt ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ cf. Pyth. 1. 84, xi, 30. Render

 $\delta$ ' є́терои
 $\psi a \iota \mu$,
 'A $\nu \tau$. $\gamma^{\prime}$.
 ä ${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$,

' which doth violence to the illustrious, but sets up a rotten notoriety of the obsoure.' Lit. à $\nu \tau \in \mid \nu \in \iota$ $\sigma a \theta \rho o ́ v=$ rears on a rotten foundation.
36. छфатто $\mu \mu \mathrm{av}$.] For the dat. of something realised ef. Pyth. viII.

 for the genitive of something not actually realised ef. Nem. Ix. 47,
 दो' ${ }^{2} \psi$ cal, where the meaning is the same in other respects as in Ol. I. 86, L. and S. notwithstanding, while in Pyth. viII. 60 the verb means precisely the same as Ol. I. 86, i.e. 'make use of.' In Nem. Ix. 47 the meaning is 'attain,' here it is 'keep to.' Cf. zoxov, 'I got,' ${ }^{\ell} \chi \chi$, 'I keep.' The word illustrates the relation of $\chi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \mu u$, use, to the $\checkmark$ of $\chi \in \rho \rho$ and $\chi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \omega, \chi \rho a v v^{\omega}, \chi \rho a l \nu \omega$, graze. For metaphor of. 01. 1. 115, Nem. 1. 25, 1x. 47.
 reputation-not that disgraceful one.' The $\tau \grave{o}$ refers back to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àфáyzш $\sigma a \theta \rho \partial ̀ \nu$ кv̂̃os.
37. eixourac.] For suppression of ol $\mu$ èv of. II. xxir. 157, Tŷ $\dot{\beta} a$
 סıढ̈кшv, Eur. Iph. Taur. 1350, коут-

 cf. Frag. [206] 242.
38. Ė $\gamma \dot{\omega}$, к. т. . .] 'But for me, may I even till death prove a favourite with my fellow-citizens for praising the praiseworthy and scattering censure on wrongdoers.' The aorist participle $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\omega} \nu=$ ' as an established favourite'; or is it the participle of the gnômic aorist? cf. Nem. I. 62, viI. 3. With many misgivings I have not adopted Shilleto's explanation given on Thuk. 1. 90 § 3, ঠра́баעтє́s $\tau \iota$ каl кะขovveṽau, 'do something if they must risk their lives'-in effect, ' may I please ..., if I die for it.' He compares Aesch. Choëph. 438,
 El. 1079. Here, however, the idea of death is not involved in that of pleasing.
40. $\chi \lambda \omega \rho a i ̂ s.] ~ C f . ~ O . ~ a n d ~ P . ~ p . ~$ xxxv, Frac. 99 [87], note.
 text is Böckh's.
41. 'When exalted to the elastic air of heaven among men who love song and justice. Many are the uses of friends. Most important is help in regard to toilsome achievements. While the delight (of success and rest) seeks to get, evidence set before men's eyes.' I.e. in the first flush of triumph men long for perpetual commemoration of their exploits. Though substantial help is most important
 ar $\mu \dot{\imath}$ тóvous


'Е $\pi$. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.
 $\sigma \epsilon \hat{v}$ ठè тáтрa Xapıádaıs $\tau \epsilon \lambda a ́ \beta \rho o v$



 ur pros 85
 К $a \delta \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu$ er $\rho \iota \nu$.
as leading to success, yet success cannot be enjoyed unless one gets lasting credit for merit by confirmlion or proof of song. Dissen cites

 бокıоу $\mu$ ебá入aıs dipetaîs, Ovid, Ex Port. 1. 5, 32, sumque fides hujus maxima vocis ego.
45. Cf. Pyth. II. 61, Хaúvg $\pi \rho a-$ $\pi i \grave{\iota} \iota \pi a \lambda a \iota \mu \nu \nu \in i ̂ \kappa \in \nu \in a ́$,
46. Xaptáóaus $\tau$ e.] Dissent thinks that the фparpla of the Chariadae included the $\pi$ air $\rho a$ of Dennis; but perhaps $\tau e$ is explanatory as in Asch. Ag. 10, 210, 1503, Eur. 107.

$\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \rho o v$.$] Cookesley would read$ $\tau^{\prime}$ ' $\bar{\lambda} \alpha a \phi \rho o ̀ \nu=$ 'it is easy,' comparing Nom. vii. 77. Schneider proposed $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \dot{\nu}$. I think the text should be kept. Here as elsewhere Pindar may have recorded a rare meaning akin to which is that of to pos, 'reek,' being secondary. Cf. the use of | obs, Frag. 139, $\pi$ itvadites |
| :---: |


47. (I can) uprear a lofty stele of song on behalf of the twice illustrious feet of two men. Cf. supra, v. 16.
49. $\epsilon v$ te qu.] 'On' the occasion of an exploit.'
50. kail т ts.] For the position of 7 ts of. Pyth. I. 52. The poet is meant ; his ode is a proper expression of triumph, while at the same time it is a spell to soothe physical pain. For sentiment cf. Nom. Iv. 4.
$\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \mathrm{V}$.] Gnômic aorist.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon}, \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$.$] 'Nevertheless,' in.$ this is no new thing, for though detraction existed in olden time $(v .32)$ there also existed the antidote of laudatory poetry even before the foundation of the Nemean games by Adrastos when his host set out against Thebes. There is a double opposition: the antiquity of his art opposed to present effort of the poet, and the beneficent art itself opposed to coëval detraction.


## NEMEA IX.

## ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMOS OF AETNA WITH the four-horse chariot in the pythia at sikyon.

## INTRODUCTION.

For Chromios see Introduction to Nem. I. In this victory Chromios won a crown (vv. 52, 53) and silver bowls ( $v .51$ ). The ode was composed some time after the victory $(v .52)$ soon after the founding of Aetna, i.e. B.c. 474 or 472 (v. 2). As Pindar seems to have been present the latter date is preferable.

The rhythm is Dorian and the ode is processional, accompanied both by lyre and flute (v. 8).

## ANALYSIS.

## $2 v$.

1-5. Invocation to the muses to inspire the chorus to celebrate Chromios' victory in games sacred to Lêtô and her children.
6, 7. Let not a deed of prowess sink into oblivion.
8,9. Strike up with lyre and flute in honour of the games instituted by Adrastos.
9-27. Myth of the Seven against Thebes concluding with the flight and engulfing of Amphiarâos.
28-32. Prayer that Zeus may grant lasting peace, civil order and glory in games to the Aetnaeans.
20.

32－34．They are fond of horses and，strange to say，are above considerations of gain or economy in their ambition．
34－39．Chromis is a mighty warrior such as few besides．
39－43．As Hektôr by Skamandros，so Chromios by Helôros got glory，and elsewhere too by land and sea．
44．He has earned a peaceful old age．
45－47．He has been blessed with riches and honour．
48，49．The banquet loves peace；fresh victory is enhanced by song，which is inspired by the bowl．
$50-53$ ．Let the prize bowls be filled with wine．
53 －end．Zeus is invoked to note the excellence of the poet＇s min－ strelsy．

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$

K $\omega \mu a ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu \pi a \rho$＇＇A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu о \varsigma ~ \Sigma \iota \kappa v \omega ́ v o \theta \epsilon, ~ М о і ̈ \sigma a \iota, ~$
 $\nu \in \nu i ́ \kappa a \nu \tau a \iota$ Өípaı，
 $\pi \rho а ́ \sigma \sigma є т а \iota . ~$
 סıסט́poıs $\pi a i \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ av̉סà̀ $\mu a \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota$

1． $\mathrm{K} \omega \mu{ }^{\prime} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．］For the future tense referring to the time of reci－ tation of．Pyth．Ix．89，xI． 10. Böckh and others make this and богоиеン conjunctives，Don．on v． 43 deliberative futures，a needless dis－ tinction．

2．à $\nu a \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon$ हैu．］＇Flung back．＇
$\xi \in l \nu \omega \nu$.$] For the gen．ef．Soph．$ Aiax，1353，крaтeîs тot т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ фì $\omega \nu$ ขıк巛́uєขоs，Eur．Med．315，$\sigma \iota \gamma \eta \sigma o ́-$
 § 64.
For the hospitality of Aetna of． Pyth．I．38；of Chromios cf．Nem． r． $19-25$ ．The Schol．on Aristoph．
 toxєi $\dot{\gamma} \dot{\eta}$ Oípa，has come down to us with the strange misquotation
of this passage－$\ell \nu \theta$ apa $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \mu\}-$ valy $\xi \in ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十$

3．$\pi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha u$.$] Cf．Ol．ㅍ． 7$ for the phrase，in which the ode is regarded as a debt．The majority of Mss，and editors read тра́ббетє，but it is not easy to see who is meant，as the Muses do not claim songs but in－ spire，and so forth．For the ad－ dition of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \omega \nu$ cf．infra，$v .7$ ，and Nem．vir．16．The $\alpha \lambda \lambda$＇favours the reading $\pi$ ра́aテєтcu．The chorus must discharge their obligation of minstrelsy before partaking of Chromios＇hospitality．
4．кралท่бьттоу．］＇Team－van－ quishing，according to analogy probably，but cf．Pyth．Ix． 86.
$\mu a \tau \epsilon \rho$ ，к．$\left.\tau . \lambda_{\text {．}}\right]$ In honour of


 каúzaıs ảoıठà тро́бфороs.
 av̇тà̀ on $\rho \sigma о \mu \in \nu$


10 $\mu \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ є ̇ \pi a \sigma \kappa \eta ं \sigma \omega ~ \kappa \lambda \nu \tau a i ̂ s ~ \eta ̋ \rho \omega a ~ \tau \iota \mu a i ̂ s, ~$
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.

 фаıעє кvסaivшע тó入ıv.

Lêto and Apollo and Artemis. Cf. Pyth. Iv. 3.
 signal for a strain.' The seeming interpretation of av́oday by the Schol., to Oavmájecoua, may have been due to a false reading az av or to a true $\pi \alpha i \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma^{\circ}$ alyaotau. Anyhow the meaning of $\mu$ aves is singuar.
6. For sentiment cf. Myth. Ix. 93-96, Frag. 98 [86].

тeтєлєन $\mu \ell \nu 0 \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Hide not$ by silence in abasement the achievemont of a deed of prowess.' For the infinitive clause of. Pyth. II. 24. For the participle cf. OI. ix. 103, Isth. viI. 12, Mem. vi. 2.
$\left.{ }^{\ell} \sigma \lambda^{2}{ }^{2} v.\right]$ Used in this sense Dem. v. 47, and almost ='victories,' 'prizes,' Pyth. viIi. 73. Cf. the poet's use of apery, Nom. v. 53.
7. $̇ \pi \epsilon \in \omega$.$] Prof. Paley rightly$ takes this gen. after do od $\dot{d}$; otherwise we have a vapid truism.

кaúzaus трó́бфо pos.] 'Is well adapted for loud acclaim.' Cf. OI. rx. 38, and for sentiment OI. xI. 91-96. mss. give kaúxas, which Mommsen reads. The genitive

кav́xas might be like áváyкas, Nom.
 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi о \rho o s$ without a dative is awkward. Kayser, Rauchenstein and yon Leutsch alter $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\epsilon} \omega v$, which it is true may have come from $v .3$ and is not wanted. I would suggest
 фopos, 'But I will utter loud praises meet for divine minstrelsy.'
8. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$.] Resumptive, going back to $v v .4,5$. Cf. OI. Iv. 6.

Bpoulav.] 'Pealing' (Myers). Cf.
 $\kappa a l$ doted. The root $\beta \rho \epsilon \mu$ properly denotes deep sound or great body of sound.
$\epsilon^{e} \pi$ ' av̉rd̀ $\mathbf{i}$. d. корифd́v.] 'For the very prime of contests with horses.' The phrase refers to four-horse chariot races generally.
9. 'A $\sigma \omega \pi$ ova.] For this stream, which flowed on the east of Sikyon, cf. Sem. iII. 4.
12. äpuaбt.] Böckh and Dissen take this dative as dependent on $\dot{a} u l \lambda \lambda a u s, ~ c o m p a r i n g ~ O l, ~ v . ~ 6 . ~ C f . ~$ also Pyth. vi. 17.

र入aфupoîs.] 'Carved.' Böckh.
äффашe кvóalywv.] Both these

#  $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ 



 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta$.


words are elsewhere (Pyth. Ix. 73, O1. XI. 66) used of victors; but
 who conferred distinction upon Sikyôn, the city whither he fled from Argos when expelled by Am. phiaraos' faction, by instituting sacred games there. Pindar ignores the fact that Kleisthenes had suppressed Adrastos' games and substituted the Pythia in which Chrominos gained his victory.
13. $\phi \in \hat{y} \gamma \epsilon_{\text {.] }}$ 'Had fled.' Lit. 'was in flight from.'
14. Ta 入aov̂ тaîocs.] Adrastos and his brothers Parthenopaeos, Pronax, Mêkisteus and Aristomachos; these were descendants of Bias, Amphiarâos of Melampus, Kapaneus of Proetos.
$\lambda u ́ g.] ~ C f . ~ H e ̂ s y c h . ~ \lambda v ́ a * ~ \sigma \tau d \sigma t s . ~$ Meaning at first, no doubt, not faction generally, but a struggle for deliverance from oligarchy or monarchy.
15. крé $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$.$] 'Of superior in-$ telligence.' Cf. Zen. Mem. r. ch. ii. §8 16 and 47, and perhaps Myth. v.
 $\beta \in \tau \alpha u$. A Schol. interprets креi $\sigma$ $\sigma \omega \nu$ фavels кal $\sigma v \nu \in \tau \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о s$.

каттаи́єו.] For кататаv́єє. For the assimilation cf. OI. viI. 38.
diкav.] 'Quarrel' generally. The whole line is a gnome, condemning protracted litigation and here applied metaphorically. I have fol-
lowed most editors; as it seems to me that this line must refer to what follows on account of the asyndeton (ox $\tau^{\prime}$ ) in the next line and the fact that $\delta$ doves refers to the same subject as $\beta$ s af $\theta \in \nu \tau \in$ s. There is however a difficulty about $\tau d \nu \pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta e v$, which one Schol., Thiersch and Meager avoid by explaining 'For a stronger man putteth an end to the former right (of sovereignty) ; in. might goes before right. But it does not seem to be the poet's cue to suggest that Amphiarâos was a better man than Adrastos; while $\tau d \nu \pi \rho b \sigma \theta \epsilon$ quite applies to the quarrel in question, which was of long standing at the time of the reconciliation, and of which the acutest part was at its beginning. The shade of meaning given to $\delta i k \eta$ again is at least unusual: and lastly -why should Pindar say 'might is right' just before telling of a compromise by which the former right was recovered?
16. Mss. give $\mathfrak{a} \nu \delta \rho o \delta d \mu a \nu \tau^{3}$ and avjpo $\mu$ é day $\tau^{\prime}$. Pindar uses the epithet in reference to her bringing about Amphiarâos' death.
is otc.] Cf. O1. vi. 2.
17. Oiк入єtơq.] Amphiarâos.

ớvтes E $\sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$. .] 'It was after they had given...that they were.'

ồे ró $\theta \in \nu$.] The mss. have here a lacuna. Böckh got the text from
 aīđầ
 є่ $\lambda \in \lambda i \xi a \iota s$ оїко $\theta \epsilon \nu \quad \mu a \rho \gamma о v \mu$ évovs


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime} .
$$




 עóv


 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho .}$ г．＇

 фєи́子оутє каі̀ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．
the evacevery $\delta \bar{\eta}$ of the Schol．；lite－ rally＇In consequence of just this．＇

18．Cf．Aesch．Ag．104－119 （P．），esp．104，öd̀ov кра́тos al̃oov， Aesch．Eum．740，тapópyıas ódoús． Note that alocây opvix $\chi \boldsymbol{v}$ is a genitive of quality after óoóv．

21．Cf．Archil．Frag． 98 ［65］，


22．oúv．］For position ef．Pyth． II．59，Nem．x． 38.
23．tрє $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \nu 0$ ．］Böckh and others read épvơáuevol，＇having stayed delightsome return．＇Cf． Od．xxIII． 244 ；but the phrase is not quite parallel，＇H $\hat{\omega}$ ค $\dot{v} \sigma \alpha \tau$＇．So too in Isth．VII． 53 the object of ṕvoyto，＇were wont to check，＇is a person．The text is unsatisfactory． mss．give épvóáuevot and épeciनá $\mu \in \nu o u$ ． Mommsen reads the latter．Hartung alters to ḋточр́д́цєуou（cf．Hes．Scut． Herc．173），Benedict to $\dot{\lambda} \lambda є \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o t . ~$

 as nearer to the ms．reading，if є́pєเбव́uєvo（＇having set fast on Ismênos＇banks delightsome re－ turn＇）is to be distarbed．It is



23．入euкау $\theta є \alpha$ бஸ́mat＇．］＇As pale corpses．＇Old mss．give $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma_{\iota}(\nu)$ Eтlavap；some editors read $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \sigma t$ тlavay，taking $\lambda \epsilon v \kappa a v \theta \epsilon a$＇white－ wreathing＇with катvóv．As white myrtle was sacred to the dead（Isth． III．88）入єuкavөє́a may mean＇decked with myrtle．＇The text is Böckh＇s．

24．סalбayzo．］Carries on the metaphor of Exiavay．
＇A $\mu \phi$ cúpn．］The nom．and acc．cf this name in Pindar end in－$\rho$ nos， －prov．This dative is from a con－ tracted form in－$\rho \eta$ s which changes its declension by analogy．
 $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$
 ผंs $\pi o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \sigma \tau a, \mu o i ̂ \rho a \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ є v ้ \nu o \mu o \nu ~$


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \zeta^{\prime} .
$$


 er $\chi$ одтеs кре́ $\sigma \sigma$ оуаs
 $\kappa \lambda \in ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a \ell$,



$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \eta^{\prime}$.
85
28. 'If it be possible, son of Kronos, I am for putting off as long as possible the talked-of gallant struggle for life and death with the host of Carthaginian spearmen.' For diva ßá $\lambda \lambda o \mu a \iota ~ c f . ~ O 1 . ~ I . ~ 80, ~ a ́ v a-~$


31. dyरataucuv.] 'Celebrations of victories.' Cf. O1. xiI. 15 note, Myth. vi. 46, Mem. i. 13.
da $\sigma v$ vónots.] $^{2}$ Lit. 'In the city' (cf.áy óvo $^{2}$ os,' rural') ie. 'enjoyed by the citizens,' generally rendered'public.' Dissent renders $\mathfrak{a \gamma \lambda}$ d. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$. 'decors ludicra (01. Ix. 99) que ad urbem pertineant.' I prefer the more usual sense of ar data, as it involves a commoner meaning of dicruvo pare Isth. v. 69, OI. viI. 21, xi. 11. It is not necessary to assume that the victor gave a public feast, but
the festivities in commemoration of a victory would be general.
$\epsilon \pi \tau \mu \hat{\xi} \alpha u$.$] Cf. OI. I. 22, 91$.
32. ктєáv $\omega \nu, \kappa_{\text {. }}$ т. $\lambda$.] 'With souls too lofty to grudge their hoards.' Cf. Myth. viI. 92, Ex $\omega$ kp $\epsilon \sigma \sigma o \nu a$ $\pi \lambda$ ои́тov $\mu$ é $\rho \mu \nu \alpha \nu$.
33. al $\delta \dot{\omega}$ s, к. т. ג.] 'Due love of honour (cf. O1, vII. 44) which bringeth renown is stealthily sapped by greed.' mss. give v̇móкрvфа, but the Schol, suggests by $\dot{v} \pi о \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota$ that there is a tmesis of the preposition.
35. 'You might have formed an idea of the danger of keen fight;' because Chromios was ever in the thickest of the fray. For $\kappa \in \nu-2 \nu$ cf. Goodwin $\S 42,3$ with notes 1,3 .
36. elva $\theta \in$ es. ] Al odes, who is personified by Hêsiod, W. and D., 199.
37. Perhaps the similarity of

## 

 $\sigma \tau i ́ \chi a s$ $\kappa \lambda \in ́ o s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \Sigma_{\kappa \alpha \mu a ́ v \delta \rho o v ~ \chi \epsilon u ́ \mu a \sigma ı \nu}$
 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \theta^{\prime}$.

 $\delta^{\circ}$ ä $\lambda \lambda a \iota s$ á $\mu \in ́ \rho a \iota s$
phrase to $\mu a \chi a \tau d \dot{v} \theta v \mu o ́ v, ~ v v .26$, 27 ，is intended to mark the con－ trast between Amphiarâos＇lot and Chromios＇．

38．$\pi \alpha \rho \pi o \delta i o v.] ~ ' I m m i n e n t . ' ~ C f . ~$ Pyth．iाі． 60.
$\nu \in \phi \in \in \lambda a \nu$.$] ＇Storm－cloud．＇For$ the metaphor ef．Isth．VI．27，Iv． 49，50，iII．35，Verg．Aen．Ix．667， pugna aspera surgit：｜quantus ab occasu ueniens，pluuialibus Haedis，
uerberat imber humum；quam multa grandine nimbi｜in uada praecipitant，quam Iupiter horridus austris｜torquet aquosam hiemem ； et coelo caua nubila rumpit．

39．к入éos dyө̂̀бaц．］For the phrase of．Pyth．I． 66.

40．See Introduction．
41．＇Apelas．］Sc．$\pi \eta \gamma$ ทेs or $\kappa \rho \eta^{\prime}$－访；cf．K $\rho \sigma \boldsymbol{\nu} \iota o \nu(\lambda 6 \phi 0 \nu)$ ，O1．I． 111. The Schol．gives a variant $\notin \nu \theta \alpha$ ＇Peias．For the compendious con－ struction for＇where is the ford which men name from Arês＇ spring，＇cf．$\Pi$ ． $\mathbf{x I} .757$ ，кal＇A入єt－
 Trach．638，Ëv日＇＇E入入d̀wy áyopal

 oiv к．т．${ }^{\text {．，}}$ Eur．Ion，11－13，Isth． v．47．Mr Postgate takes＇Apelas as acc．plur．，supplying diктds from above，as he does not see why a ford should be named from a spring．The alteration èvoa＇Pelas
（ P éas）has been suggested by Beck， Hartung and Bergk，＇Pelas mópov meaning＇Ioviov aópov（Nem．rv．53）． Cf．Aesch．P．V． 837 （856，P．），च̂ $\mathfrak{z}$ as

 ＇Ióvıos кєк入 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \in т a \iota$ ．It is，however， open to question whether mópov would be used of the sea when a river had just been mentioned，and whether it would apply to the open sea off the Helôros．Western Krête lay at the entrance to the Ionian sea from the Archipelago， and so the Krêtan cult of Rhea probably gave rise to the old name recorded by Aeschylos．
 forth and still shines．＇This per－ fect is like $\lambda \in \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \chi \in \nu, O 1$. I． 53.

42．тоиิто．］＇Such．＇Cf．O1．Iv． 24.
$\epsilon \in \nu \dot{d} \lambda \iota \kappa l q \pi \rho \omega \dot{\pi} q$ ．］＇In his earliest prime；＇i．e．when first he became distinguished．Böckh and others render wrongly＇in his first youth．＇ The battle of Helôros（Herod．vir． 154）was fought at least before в．c． 491，when Hippokratês the victor died，but at the date of this ode Chromios was probably more than forty years of age，for from $v .44$ we might gather that his old age was not very distant，as indeed from the general tone of both the odes to Chromios．

 боцає．

43．Cf．Ol．xII．6，$\pi b\left\langle\lambda \lambda^{\lambda}\right.$ ăv ò aű кátc．Render，＇And his honours won at other times，many mid the dry land＇s dust，others again on the neighbouring sea，will I proclaim．＇The idea to be sup－ plied with the neut．plur．pron． must surely be suggested by $\kappa \lambda$ teos
 ros（ $v v .41,42$ ）．Dissen under－ stands $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a$ or $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \eta \hat{\eta} \nu a t$ and compares Aristoph．Ran．281，is

 tive verb is obviously suppressed，or at least a participle．But I venture to say фd́бодau can take an accusa－ tive like кeîva кeîvos àv eltoo tepa，


 $\tau а \tau а ф \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta a u$, Nem．Iv． 91.
kovic．］．］L．and S．gives this as an adj．under кbutos，a subs．under $\chi$ ¢ $\rho \sigma$ os．I prefer the latter view．
reltovt $\pi b \nu \tau \varphi$ ．］The sea off Cu － mae．For the battle cf．Pyth．r． 71－75．

фdíopal．］Pindar also uses the middle forms фáro，фá $\theta a t$ ，which may in all five instances be well rendered＇in the second and more－ definite sense of $\phi \eta \mu$ ，to affirm， declare，dc．＇（Don．）．He uses фа－ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$ ，Isth．$v$ ．49，of the utterance of a wish．This фd́ooual then has a different shade of meaning from фá $\omega$ ，and has no proper connec－ tion with the following theory which Don．propounds in this place．＇Pindar uses a middle form for the future of active verbs signi－ fying＂to utter a sound ；＂as aujod боцає èvopkıò $\lambda$ ל́yov，＂I will so－ lemnly swear，＂Ol．II．92：кє $\lambda a \delta \eta$－ $\sigma \delta \mu \in \theta a \quad \beta p o \nu \tau a \dot{\nu}$ ，＂we will sing of the thunder，＂Ol．xı． 79 ：к кид́лонац， ＂I will raise the comus－song，＂P．

1x．89：and here фа́roual，＂I will affirm．＂In all these cases of fu－ ture assertions he uses the middle form of this tense，for the reason which I have given in the passages above referred to－namely，because when we speak of something which will make an impression upon our senses or feelings，or，in general，befall us，as future，we consider ourselves as merely the object of these outward impressions or accidents；but when we speak of their present effect we consider ourselves as an agent or inchoative in respect to them．If，however， we use the future in a deliberate or prohibitory sense，the idea of agency is not lost；and thus we find that Pindar not merely writes
 92），but also $\mu \grave{\eta}$ aú $\delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime} \mu \mu \nu$ ，＂let us not speak＂（O1．1．7）；and not only $\kappa \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \mu a \ell$ ，＂I will raise the comus－ song（P．1x．89），but also кєна́⿱丷天－ $\mu \in v$ ，＂let us sing the comus－song＂ （supra，v．1）．Similarly，although Bonंซopat is the regular Attic future of Boá $\omega$ ，we have in Aeschyl．Pers． 640 ：$\pi \alpha \nu \tau a ́ \lambda a v " a ̆ \chi \eta ~ \delta \iota a \beta o \alpha ́ \sigma \omega$ ；＂am I to go on próclaiming my woes？＂ Now aúdócoual，Ol．1r．92，is dis－ tinctly reflexive，as the utterance of an oath binds the utterer．$\kappa \in \lambda \alpha-$ $\delta \eta \sigma о \mu \epsilon \theta a$ is neutralized by келa－ ס $\eta \sigma \omega$, Ol．x．［xx．］14．Don．should refer кшна́бодац to his кшна́јодаи， Isth．III．90．This mid．is used can－ satively，＇I cause to be celebrated in（or＇$b y$＇）a kômos，＇only used in the first person sing．in reference to the poet．Cf．also Nem．III．12， 27，vi，26．Perhaps кє入а $\delta$ ．，O1．xı． 79，is causative．Thus there is no instance in Pindar to which Dr Donaldson＇s ingenious expla－ nation of middle futures to active verbs will fairly apply．
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\Sigma \tau \rho, \iota^{\prime} .
$$
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 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime}$.

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \Psi a \nu$ Өє $\mu \iota \pi \lambda$ е́ктоьs ä $\mu a$

44．ex $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota$ ．］＇Is the natural outcome of toil．＇

vé́ratı．］The classical youth in－ cludes our middle age．Pindar speaks，Pyth．II．63，of Hero＇s zebras in connection with the battle of Himera（bic．480）and yet of his Fou $1 a i$ т $р є \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho a \ell$ ，though the date of the ode is в．c． 477.
ad $\mu \notin p a$ ．］For alẁv fem．cf．Pyth． Iv． 186.
 Nem．xI．15，Isth．I．68，vi． 27.

46．For sentiment of．Pyth．I． 99，New．I．32，Isth．I． 50.

47．For metaphor cf．O1．1．115， New．I．25，viII．36，Isth．iv． 23. For eft cf．Ear．Med． 1077.

48．a $\mathfrak{j} \xi \in \tau a t$ ．］Cf．Pyth．x． 10. Render，＇a victor＇s honour（the status of a viкnфб́pos）putteth forth fresh blossoms by aid of soothing minstrelsy．＇For metaphor cf．Nem． viII． 40.

50．е̇ $\gamma \kappa \iota \rho \nu a ́ t \omega ~ \mu ı \nu.] ~ F o r ~ c o n s t r . ~$
cf．є่ $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ є̂̂̀ крฑrท̂pa，Soph．Frag．149， ＇To mix into the cup＇＝to pour in and mix．Cf．Isth．Iv． 25.
$\left.\pi \rho о ф \dot{\tau} \alpha \nu_{\text {．}}\right]$ The bowl is the in－ terpreter of the к仑̂رos because it adds vigour to the performers and stimulates the faculties of the au－ dience，raising both nearer to the level of the poet＇s inspired genius． It would appear that another ode was to be sung at or after the feast． The Schol．indicates a variant $\pi \rho \circ \eta-$ $\gamma \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，which does not scan．

51．Cf．Nem．x．43．Silver cups were also prizes at Marathôn，cf． O1．Ix．90．Probably the wreath was universally given as a symbol of victory in games．

52．d $\mu \pi \epsilon \in \lambda \frac{1}{} \pi \alpha \hat{0}{ }^{\prime}$＇］＇The mas－ terful child of the vine，＇Conversely （Aesch．Persae，616），àкท́paтóv тє $\mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{s}$ ab plus ä́ro｜тотóv，та入а兀âs
 the quotation from New．v．6，$\mu \alpha-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ olvdy0as $\delta \pi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$ is misplaced and put under $v$ ．48．It appears




that Chromios did not himself attend these games.
$\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau o \iota s$.] I prefer the interpretation of one Schol. עорíuss каl
 with due ceremonial' to 'fairlytwined, ' 'twined in justice to him,' i.e. 'fairly won.' For crowns won by horses cf. Ol. II. 50, vi. 26, Pyth. iII. 73, 74. But the plural is used for the victor's orown for a single victory, e.g. Isth. III. 11.
53. iepäs.] The Schol. refers this epithet to the partition of the victims between gods and men at Mêkônê close to Sikyôn. Cf. Hes. Theog. 535, but the fact of Pythian games being held there is perhaps sufficient ground for the attribute.
54. ev̌xouau.] 'I pray.' Paley 'I flatter myself.'
dретáv.] 'Glory (in games);' ef. Isth. x . 41, iv. 17.
oiv Xapitecow.] For the assooiation of the Graces with Epinikian poetry and with Pythia cf. Pyth.
vi. 2, Nem. x. 1. For Isth. III. 17.
 more than many (bards) I may make victory of great account by my verses.' Notice the aorist $\kappa \in \lambda a \delta \bar{\eta}-$ oat referring the poet's celebration of the particular achievement, the present $\tau \mu \mu \lambda \phi \in i \nu$ referring to his general habit. For inf. cf. Goodw. $\S 23,2$ note 2. A Schol. gives an
 Christ gives as his own emendation. For $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ef. Isth. II. 36.
55. dxortijwv.] For the hurling of the javelin, one of the contests of the quinquertium, of. 01 . zuI. 93, Pyth. 1. 44. For the metaphor ef. Ol. x. 112.

бкотой.] Mss. give бкотой, but cf. Pyth. xr, 41 (where I find Christ had anticipated my suggestion of




## [ NEMEA X.]

## ON TWO VICTORIES OF THEIAEOS OF ARGOS IN THE Wrestling match (in the hekatombaea at argos).

## INTRODUCTION.

This fine ode is proved by $v v .22,23$ and the thrice-repeated mention of Hêra to be composed for an anniversary of the Hekatombaea at Argos, in which Theiaeos son of Ulias of Argos had won the wrestling match twice. He had also won thrice at Nemea, thrice at the Isthmos, once at Pythô, but not yet at Olympia. Dissen argues from Amphitryôn being called an Argive that the date falls after the destruction of Mykênae by the Argives, Kleônaeans and Tegeaeans b.c. 468; he also fixes the later limit, B.c. 458 , by the consideration that Argos joined in an invasion of Boeôtia in that year, after which Pindar would hardly compose an ode for an Argive.

It is probable from $v v .29-36$ that an Olympian contest was at hand, that is that the date was either b.c. 464 or 460 , as Mykênae was probably not taken till late in the year and the poet would hardly be likely to transfer the myths of Mykênae to Argos immediately after the destruction of the former. For such transference in the Tragedians cf. Aesch. Ag. 24, Porson on Eur. Heracl. 188 (Elmsley and Barnes). The confusion was made easy by the larger meaning of $\operatorname{Argos}=$ Argolis (see on $v .42$ ).

As one of the victor's ancestors claimed intimate connexion, as their host, with the Dioskuroi (vv. 49, 50), and as these deities were patrons of athletic games, and as the poet has given the most beautiful episode of their legend, we need not suppose that the myth
has reference to the victor any more than is the case with the allusion at the eud of Pyth. xı. Perhaps from the relation of the favour with which Zeus entertained Polydeukês' entreaty, Theiaeos might deduce encouragement as to the result of his own prayer v. 30; but I think Mezger refines a little too much in suggesting that the implication is that the Dioskuroi will intercede without stint for the mortal Theiaeos, even as Polydeukês gave up half his life as a god in intercession for his mortal brother Kastôr. The poet may possibly imply that as a friend of the Dioskuroi he has a second claim on the favour of Zeus, who is introduced in three important passages, vv. 11 ff ., 29 ff ., 75 -end. The myth may incidentally contain a veiled allusion to the struggle between Sparta and the Helôts in Messênia which began b.c. 664 and lasted beyond B.c. 460. Leopold Schmidt considers that the myth inculcates the trustworthiness and good faith of the breed of gods ( $v v .54 ; 78,79$ ); but the trustiness of the latter passage is that of a mortal comrade, and there is little analogy between Polydeukês' self-sacrifice for his brother and his good faith as a divine patron.
 rather to the general sense than the particular application of $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu \gamma^{\prime} \nu o s$, and is in fact almost, a false echo, or else that the recurrence of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0^{-}$is a mere coincidence. It should be observed that Polydeukês distinctly avows a selfish grief at the loss of his brother, which is most pathetic and appropriate in a presentment of deep affection, but which would tend to mar an illustration of disinterested good faith. Mezger points out that $v v .37 \mathrm{f}$. form the middle point of the ode, referring the victories of the family to the Graces, who are invoked v. 1, and the Tyndaridae, who form the subject of the close of the ode.

Polydeukês is vividly presented as the ideal exemplar of brotherly love, and it is hard to believe that the poet wished a beautiful picture to be blurred by any occult references to Theiaeos. Dissen sees that the exaltation of Polydeukês' brotherly love is the point of the myth, but gratuitously proceeds to infer that Theiaeos' unselfish brotherly love is indirectly celebrated. The poet implies, $v .54$, that he is just ; but beyond that no indication of his character can be traced.

The rhythm is Dôrian with a few Lydian measures.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-3. Invocation of the Graces to laud Hêra's Argos.
4-18. Mention of legendary worthies of Argos (see note on v. 12).

19, 20. The poet must refrain from reciting the blessings of Argos.
21-23. Still he calls on himself to turn his mind to wrestlings under the influence of the festival of the Hêraea (Hekatombaea).
24-28. Enumeration of victories of Theiaeos.
29, 30. Invocation of 'Zeus to grant Theiaeos' prayer.
31-36. What it is, is well known. His Panathênaic victory is an omen that it will be answered.
37,38 . His successes are due to hereditary worth and to the favour of the Graces and the Dioskuroi.
39-48. Mention of victories of Theiaeos' maternal ancestors.
49-54. No wonder, since Pamphaês (a remote ancestor) entertained the Dioskuroi, the faithful patrons of games.
55 -end. Myth of the death of Kastôr and self-sacrifice of Polydeukês.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime}
$$

 Xápıтєs,

1. à $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \alpha 0 \theta \rho \rho^{2} \nu \omega y$.] So old MSS. Trielinian dy $\gamma \lambda 00$ ớk $\omega v$. For the meaning of the epithet cf. Ol. II. 22, Pyth. III. 94, Nem. iv. 65, from which last line we may gather that at Aegina the Nereids were represented enthroned. In Eur. Iph. in Aul. 239, golden statues of the Nêreids stand on the sterns of the ships of Achilles. So also no doubt at Thebes and Argos were the local heroines thus sculptured in some public building. The Fates, Seasons, Nêreids and Danaids would
scarcely suggest this attribute without the intervention of plastic representation demanded by solemn cults. With the great deities the case is different. See Addenda.

Xáptes.] For the Graces as pstronesses of epinikian minstrelsy cf. Ol. xiv. 12-14, Pyth. vi. 2, 1x. 89, Nem. Ix. 54. The Seasons and the Graces had been sculptured by Polykleitos on the crown of his colossal statue of Hêra in the Hêraeon at Argos. This fact may have influenced the poet in

##  àетаîs <br>  <br>  <br>  тала́ $\mu a \iota{ }^{\circ}$ <br>  катаб $\chi$ ої $\sigma a$ छًं申оя．

his invocation，but it is rash to be positive on the point．

2．ঠஸ̄ $\mu$ ．］＇Home；＇of．Soph．Oe．R． 28，29，$\pi \dot{\chi} \lambda \iota \nu . . . \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ K $\alpha \hat{\partial \mu} \mu \hat{\imath} о \nu$. The Argives constitute a family of which Hêra is mistress and foundress．
$\phi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ．］For metaphor，ef． Pyth．V．42，XI．45，Isth．vi． 23.
ápetaîs．］Dat．of manner．＇Dis－ tinctions，＇cf．O1．xill．15，Nem． VII， 51.

4．$\left.\mu \alpha к \rho \alpha_{2}\right]$ One Ms．，Medic．B．， gives какра́，an interesting error； this vox nihili is corrected in the lemma of the same ms．to каөapá．
 expressed with $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \delta \nu$.
d $\mu \phi l$ ．］＇The tale of Perseus with respect to the Gorgon Medusa．＇

There is no need to render rà חépocos Persei res gestae，and to strain the force of the preposition to certamen circa Med．with Dissen．

The myth of Perseus＇birth makes it probable that the name is from the $\sqrt{ }$ Pars，Skt．$\sqrt{ }$ prish，＇sprinkle，＇ while Danaê，Danaos axe connect－ ed with Danube，Don，＇river＇or ＇water，＇not with $\delta \bar{\alpha} \nu o ́ s$, ＇burnt＇ fr ． סaFavos or $\delta a F v o s$.
 т．${ }^{9} \mathrm{E}$ ．т．Mommsen，тd катє́ктьөєv， Böckh rd кar $\Psi \kappa \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ with the sub－ ject Argos understood as in vv．10， 13．The latter alteration is the best，but I do not like to reject the ms．passive form absolutely，

$=2+1+1$ ．The plural a $\sigma$ Tク is dis－ tributive，hence the passive verb would be plural．

талд́маиs．］Merely＇agency．＇
6．＇గтєр $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \rho a$.$] Mommsen$ with the Vatican old ms．omits the $\nu$ ．Cf．Hor．Od．III．11，33， Aesch．P．V．865，Ovid．Her．xIv， for the story．
oủסॄ̇．．．жа $\rho \in \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \theta \eta$ ．］An em－ phatic meiusis；＇trod the path of honour．＇For $\pi a \rho \in \pi \lambda$ ．of．Ol．V11． 31，ai סè $\phi \rho \in \nu \omega ̂ \nu \tau a \rho a \chi a l \mid \pi a \rho \epsilon \in \pi \lambda a \gamma-$ そav кal－oоóv，Pyth．п．35，evival ठè тара́тротоь és какóтат＇à $\theta \rho o ́ a \nu$｜ €ßa入ov тотl кацрд̀ lóvт＇（see Ad－ denda to Vol．I．），Nem．I．25， $\begin{gathered}\text { v }\end{gathered}$

$\mu о$ nó $^{\psi}$ aфov．］So mss．After the Schol，Vet．Mommsen－фos．The mss．reading should be preferred as the less easy．For the trans－ ference of the attribute of the per－ son to the instrument of．the Homeric $\nu \eta \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \iota \quad \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \hat{\varphi} .}$ ．Here the adjective should be taken adver－ bially．Cf．O1．v．8，ঠaцнóvıov тó $\delta a$, where again the attribution of the quality of the whole to the part is on a similar principle to the trans－ ference to the instrument．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha$ ．］The aorist would be appropriate to her sudden re－ solve not to draw her sword，if we rendered＇because she retained，＇ but it is simpler to render＇when she retained．＇ $\theta \in o ́ v{ }^{-}$


$\lambda \in \sigma \iota \nu$

15

10 каі̀ $\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi \grave{~ \kappa а \lambda \lambda \iota к o ́ \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ p \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ย ́ є \iota ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota . ~}$

$\lambda$ óyov．
20


＇Е $\pi . a^{\prime}$ ．


7．$\triangle$ เо $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon a$ ．］A Schol，tells us that Diomêdês was endowed with the immortality forfeited by Tydeus when he ate some of Melanippos＇ head．Diomêdês，the reputed founder of Argyripa or Arpi in Apulia was deified as a hero of Hellênic colonization of Southern and Eastern Italy．

8．èv．］＇Near，＇of．Ol．vI．16， where the fate of Oeklês＂son Am－ phiarâos is being celebrated．As Amphiarâos was running away when engalphed，the addition of
 cession to Argive feeling．L．and S．should not say＇raía intбєкто aúróv，the grave，＇for the earth res－ cued him from death．

9．то入є́ $\mu$ оьo עéфos．］Cf．Il．xvin， 243，Є̀ $\pi \in l \pi, ~ \nu . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho l ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a ~ к а \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \tau є, ~ \mid ~$ ＂Eiкшן．Vergil＇s nubes belli，Aen． x． 809 ，is differently applied，to a shower of missiles．

For more general application of the metaphor of．Isth．III．35．Lu－ cratius＇Scipiadas belli fulmen（III． 1034）is quoted．

10．むрıनтєvé．］Argos is the im－ plied subject．The wrong punc－ tuation before $\pi d \lambda a s$ is due to Leporinus．

11．For Alkmênê and Amphi－ tryôn being reckoned as Argives， see Introd．

тov̀тov．］wss．тóv，Bergk and Mezger étóv，comparing Schol．on Il．1．133．Text from Schol．Vet．

12．тaтpl．］Talaos．
$\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \hat{y}$ картóv．］＇Experience，＇ of．Pyth．II．73，Aesch．Sept．c． Theb，ฮั93，$\beta a \theta \in i ̂ a \nu$ ä入ока סıà фрє－ $\nu$ d̀s картои́иєขos．Frag． 193 ［227］．

The order in which the worthies of Argos are mentioned is not so confused as appears at first sight． First come two sons of Zeus，the younger first；then an example of feminine courage and rectitude； thirdly，two immortal heroes，the younger first；fourthly，four wo－ men；fifthly，two wise and just heroes，the younger first；and lastly，Amphitryôn and Heraklês， who through Hêbê is connected with Hêra of Argos，whose cella contained a silver altar on which their marriage was represented．

13．$\theta$ ¢́ ＇$_{\text {c．．．］}}$ Argos is again the implied subject most probably， though Zeas might be．Note alx－ $\mu \dot{a} \nu$＇$A \mu \phi,=a i \chi \mu \eta \tau \dot{d} \nu \quad$＇$A \mu \phi \iota \tau \rho v i \omega \nu a$ ．
os $\delta^{\prime}$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．］Partly owing to the corrupt condition of $v .15$ this pas－

 $\dot{a} \theta a \nu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \nu ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \lambda a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$,
 TOD

30
 $\lambda і \overline{\sigma \tau a} \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime} .
$$


ё $\chi \in \iota$ т́́ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ оs
35
 à $\nu \tau \iota a ́ \sigma a \iota^{\circ}$
sage has caused much difficulty. Commentators have explained $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ as Zeus and кelvov as Amphitryon or vice versd. The objections to $\delta^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ ' being Zeus are obvious. Again Amphitryon was Zeus' descendant, therefore he could only enter his generation by birth, not, as Mezger supposes, by virtue of Zeus' connection with Alkmêné. It seems more appropriate to refer кelvov to the first word of the ode, $\Delta a v a ́ o v . ~$ The Schol, àvalpoûvtos av̉rov̂ T $\eta \lambda \epsilon$ Bóas suggested to Mommsen évá-
 alteration being pretty easy, first to évápovet by assimilation to the case of an interpolated ol and then from -ONTI to -ENTI, -EN TI. The rhythm however rather points to ' $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { a } \beta \text { ' (see the corresponding }\end{aligned}$
 tiv $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ ol (ef. Nem. viI. 78). I see that Goram has already proposed
 iv. 9, Pyth. iv. 105, Nem. viII. 10. Böckh read ťvapev кal of with crasis of the diphthongs. Hermann gave Èvapev, of.

The expedition against the Taphioi or Têleboae was an integral
part of Amphitryon's bliss, as it was the condition of his union with Alkmene, so that a parathetic structure of the clauses after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{el}$ ( v .14 ) is not inappropriate. Kayser's alteration of ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \beta \varphi$ to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \beta$ os (supported by O1. II. 22, Pyth. III. 105, v. 51) is needless.
17. $\sigma \pi$. 'H $\rho$.] Cf. Isth. vz. 7, 'Hpaклеiots $\gamma$ ovaîs.
 $\mu \eta \lambda i a$ or Suyia was the Goddess of Marriage, Iuno Pronuba. The
 Od. xx. 74; of. Soph. Ant. 1241. On the sceptre of her statue in the Hêraeon was a cuckoo. Near this statue stood a chryselephantine statue of Hêbê (Paus. 1r. 17).
Balvot ${ }^{\prime}$ E $\left.\sigma \tau l.\right]$ 'Walks for ever ${ }^{\text { }}$ (Holmes). The participle is perhaps adjectival in such constructions, cf. Madv. § 180 d . Most edd. read ếcit.
19. Cf. Isth. vi. 44, $\beta$ paxis $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \iota \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. The idea is elaborated by Vergil, Aen. vi. 625.
20. $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ кal.] 'And besides.' For кópos, ef. Pyth. i. 82, viI. 32, 01. II. 95.
àvтiá $\sigma \iota_{⿺}$.] Cf. infra, v. 72.


 $\kappa р і ̈ \iota \nu$.
 рш $\lambda \frac{1}{\theta} \theta a \nu$ пóv $\omega \nu$. 45 ＇ $1 \nu \tau$ ．$\beta$＇．
 $\tau \varepsilon \mu_{0} \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$





21．This bold metaphor is，I suppose，the original of Gray＇s ＇Awake，Aeolian lyre，awake．＇Cf．， however，the Psalmist＇s＂awake， lute and harp．＂Suscito musam， crepitum are different and much less artificial．The poet addresses himself．

22．$\chi$ á $\lambda \kappa$ ко．］Cf．O1．vII．83，
 brazen shield given as a prize at the Hêraea or Hekatombaea（see next line，$\beta$ ovevalav）．

23．крlouv．］Cf．O1．1II．21， Pyth．Iv．253．The line recalls Ol．
 танépots d́ $\mu i \lambda \lambda a v s$.

24．ย＇$\sigma \chi \in \nu$.$] ＇Gat，＇cf．O1．II． 9$.
єvंфóp $\omega \nu$ ．］＇Bravely－borne．＇The Schol．interprets＇profitable，＇which seems to be a comparatively late sense，and would here be proleptic．

入áӨà．］Cf．O1．II．18，（тท́натоs）


25．Éкрáтทбє．］For this sort of zeugma where the verb is taken in another of its own meanings with a second object，cf．O1．1．88，EौєV ठ＇Oivouáov ßià map日évov tє $\sigma$ úvevvov，

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau^{\prime} \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ，Aesch．P．V．665，

 е̇к $\Delta$ ios $\mu$ олєîv кераиуо́v．For éкра́т． бтéфavov cf．Nem．จ．5，infra，v． 47.
＂E入入ava oт $\alpha a \tau o ́ v.] ~ C f . ~ P y t h . ~ X I . ~$ 50，＇E入入avióa oтратcáv，xII，6，＇E入－ $\lambda \alpha ́ \delta \alpha a ~ \nu ı к \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu т а, ~ P y t h . ~ x i I . ~ 6 . ~$

тúxq．］Equals єv่тuxia，of．Nem． viI．11，Pyth．III．104，O1．II． 51.
a．pórau．］For metaphor of．Pyth． vr．2．For omission of object of． L．and S．$\delta i \delta \omega \mu, 4$.

26．For omission of to＇v before $N \epsilon \mu$ ．cf．Madv．§ 16 b．

27．тí入auซı．］Cf．Ol．1x，86，è Kopin0ov $\pi u ́ \lambda a u s$.

入aхढ́v．］Supply $\sigma \tau \in ́ \phi a \nu 0 \nu$ from above．For $\mu \dot{\lambda} \nu . . \delta \delta \notin$ cf．Isth．III． 8.

28．$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ ．$\delta a \pi$ ．］The plain of Nemea．Locative，cf．infr．v． 35.
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu{ }^{3}$ A．$\nu \delta \mu \varphi$ ．］＇According to Adrastos＇institution＇（cf．Nem．viII． $50,51)$ ．For the preposition of． Pyth．Iv． 59 ；Dem．p． 496 fin．The alteration to $\nu>\mu \hat{\varphi}$ is worse than needless．For the use of $\nu 6 \mu \psi$ Cookesley compares the use of $\tau \in \theta \mu b s_{,}$infra，v．33，Ol．vI．69，хІІ． 40.
 ठè $\tau$ élos
 тараєтєîта» Хáрıข. 55
'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.



29. Theiaeos aspires to win at Olympia, cf. infra, $v .33$.
oi.] For this dative of. Ol. Ix. 15, Nem. viI. 22, 40, Pyth. iv. 48.
$\pi \hat{a} \nu, \kappa_{.} \tau_{.} \lambda_{\text {. }}$ ' All issue of deed is in thy hands.' i.e. т $\begin{gathered}\nu \\ \pi \\ \pi\end{gathered} \sigma^{-}$

 17. There 'the effect,' here 'the completion' is meant by $\tau \in$ גos. For sentiment, ef. Ol. xIII. $104-$ 106. For $\epsilon v$ tiv, cf. Soph. Phil.
 little different is Nem. vir. 90.
30. oús', K. T. A.] 'But adding a spirit of daring to a resolution that shrinks from no toil he makes an indirect request for favour.' He hints at a wish which he is too modest to express openly, or rather he mentions incidentally in his prayer the petition which he really has most at heart, but is too diffident to lay stress upon in words. Mezger renders $\pi \alpha \rho a u \tau \in i \sigma \theta a u$, ' eine neben hinausgehende Bitte thun,' comparing the use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ in $\pi a \rho-$
 d́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota$, Pyth. xı. 25, Nem. vir. 27. Other commentators have rendered the verb 'obtain,' 'supplicate for,' 'decline' (L. and S.). In support of 'request indirectly,' 'request by the way,' not given in L. and S., ef. the use of maperfvad Soph. Oed. Col. 24 (Campbell), and of rapa$\phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ and $\pi \alpha \rho a \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \omega$, and perhaps Aristoph. Equit. 37.
31. The older mss. give кal $8 \sigma \tau /$ s,
the rest $\mathcal{\chi}$ '凶s $\tau t \leqslant$. Dissen follows Hermann's more than needless alteration $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ d $\Theta$ eıal甲 тє кal öctıs. Kayser with'almost equal temerity
 The poet says that he need not tell more precisely to Zeus or any athlete who aspires to Olympian victory what Theiaeos prayed for.
32. É $\sigma \chi$. de $\in \theta$. кор.] The various contests at Olympia, each of which is a supreme contest. The superlative is reinforced by кopuфaîs, the genitive not being partitive but 'of definition.' Pindar twice uses Ëruaros in a good sense, Isth. imf. 29 , with a reference to sailing to the pillars of Herakles, and O1. I.
 корифойтає $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda e v ̂ \sigma$, a a metaphor from a mountain height as here. The Schol. quotes Sophokles Frag.

vĩarov.] This sentence explains the last somewhat vague phrase. Note the order, and render 'Hêraklês' ordinance which Pisz received is highest.' For sentiment, cf. Ol. 1. 7. For $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \text {. cf. } v .24 \text {. For }\end{gathered}$ $\tau \in \theta \mu$. cf. v. 28, Nem. xi. 27.
33. à $\delta \epsilon i \hat{a}$ ' $\gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ v.] 'Yet right sweetly.' Though the not having won an Olympian vietory was bitter, yet the Panathênaic victory was especially sweet as being an omen of an Olympian victory. This $\mu \grave{c} \nu=\mu \dot{d} \nu$, of. Pyth. Iv. 50.
 Cf. Pyth i. 4, Nem. vir. 77. [Don.]


 тантоикідоьs.

34. $\tau \in$ غєтaûs.] The Panathênaea, at which the prize was oil, from the Moplat or sacred olives, contained in a vase burnt earth, see the next verse. Mr Jackson has suggested to me that Mopia means 'belonging to a tribe, division.' The winners on the Panathênaic vases are represented with crowns of olive. Athênaeos, v. 11, tells us that Panathênaic victors were crowned, and Suidas, s.v. Пava日invata, and Pliny, N. H. xv. 5 (4), specify the olive crown. Hence Pindar has drawn an augury of success in winning the olive crown at Olympia.
o $\mu \phi$ ai.] Connected by Curtius with öтa, $\epsilon$ โाँov, \&c., but (as there are few certain instances of aspiretimon of a tenuis after a nasal) better by Fisk with $\sqrt{ }$ ambo, Lithuanian $a m b-i t i$, ' to scold,' $a m b-r-i t i$, 'yelp.' Cf. Frag. 129 [266].
35. rale.] Dative for locative, $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \rho \kappa$. being in apposition.
$\delta \epsilon$.] 'For.'
36. $\pi а \mu \pi о \kappa \kappa$ \os.] 'Richly painted.' For Panathênaic Amphorae (our Schol. speaks of viopplau) cf. Brit. Mus., First Vase Room, tablecase A, 24 (The Burgon Vase, 5 th cent. b.c., 2). Second Vase Room, Table-cases E. G. Though the six amphorae there displayed belong to the fourth century, the archaism traditionally kept up imitates the
ceramic style of Pindar's time, the designs being chiefly in black and white with incised lines. On such vases "Athêné stands between two columns which are usually surmounted by cocks." They are inscribed TON AOENEOEN AOAON or T $\Omega$ N A $\theta H N H \theta E N$ A $A \Omega \Omega$, the former of course in Pindar's time. Cf. P. O. Brönsted, On Panathenaic Vases. Mon. dell' Inst. di Corrisp. Arch., £. Tav. 47, Annal, 1877, pp. 294 ff., 1878, pp. 276 ff. O. John, Kurze Beschreib. d. Vasensamml. in der Pinakoth. au München, no. 445 (and eleven others there enumerated).
37. द̈пєтal.] Here governs an accusative as in late Poets. To suppose the ellipse of a preposition $\epsilon \pi i$ or $\epsilon l s$ is merely shifting the difficulty. Cookesley's ellipse of " dada, throughout the whole line of your maternal ancestry" is not admissible, especially with $\theta$ ада́кıs, 'repeatedly.' He seems right in objecting to Kühner's explanation that $\neq \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ implies or expresses motion to a place. In this case it may imply, extension beside, if it be not the ordinary accusative of the direct object as with sequor.
38. evár $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \iota \mu$ do.] 'Honour from successful contests.' For the compounded adjective instead of its substantive with an epithet in the genitive Matthias compares Pyth.


 $\tau \rho o ́ \phi o \nu$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ к ı \varsigma^{*}$
v．28，ápíđápuatov $\begin{array}{r}\text { t́ } \rho a s, ~ P y t h, ~ v z . ~\end{array}$ 5，Eur．Hippol．67， 1092.
oúv．］For the position of the preposition，of．Pyth．II．59，Nem． 1x．14，22，infra，$v v .53,84$ ．It is omitted in the Mss．before the fol－ lowing，rup－．The position of $\theta a-$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \kappa$ cs seems to shew that it and the prepositional phrase are to be taken more closely with evár．$\tau$ ！$\mu$ than with the verb．Don．Dissen and Böckh take $\theta$ да́áкıs as $=a ̈ \mu a$ ，but it is better to render＇oftentimes＇as in Isth．I．28．For the Charites，of． supra，v．1．The mention of the Tyndaridae leads up to the coming myth．

39．Not＇I should not think fit to veil，＇but＇I should think my－ self justified in not veiling，\＆c．，＇ i．e．＇in feeling and shewing pride．＇
Cf．Nem．vir． 66 for the form of expression．
 and Antiâs were two of the mater－ nal relatives of Theiaeos．

41．ö ouss．］Exclamatory，though the idea of ov $\delta v v a r d \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma-$ $\chi \in \omega$ may have originally governed it in the poet＇s mind．The text which is Böckh＇s（except the stop after $\theta d \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ）is unsatisfactory，as the list of victories is much too small for Argos，of which Proetos was perhaps joint king before his expulsion by his twin brother Akri－ sios．In Frag． 269 ［141］the Schol． on Il．xiv． 319 states that Pindar said that Proetos slew Danaê．Per－ haps he regained the kingdom when Akrisios fled from Perseus who subsequently to avenge Danae de－
throned and slew Proetos．This form of the legend is not incom． patible with Apollodoros＇（II．2．6） tradition that Proetos gave Biâs and Melampus each a third of his kingdom，but differs from Ovid （Met．v．239），who makes Perseus kill Proetos in Argos in revenge for the expulsion of Akrisios．Talaos， son of Biâs，was king of Argos， which seems to tell against the Ovidian version．The mss．read
 Проіто七о $\theta \dot{a} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon(\nu)$ ，к．т．入．I pro－ pose ö́als Прoltov $\theta$ évay immoтрó－ $\phi o \nu$ ăcov $\theta a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ ，as $\in$ for $a c$ is a common error（of．infra，v．72） and חPOITOT日GCAN easily passes into－TOIO OCAN and then the last four letters are cut out as a partial repetition of OCAIC． The omission would lead to re－ arrangement to suit the metre．

42．Kopiveov $\tau^{\prime} \dot{e}^{\prime} \mu \mu_{\text {．］}}$ ］At the Isthmian games．The phrase is precisely equivalent to év $\beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\sigma} \sigma a u \sigma t \nu$ ${ }^{\prime}$ I $\sigma \theta \mu$ ov，Isth．rix．11．Not＂in the recess in which Corinth stands，＂ ＂Corinth which lies in the recess of the Isthmus；＂for Korinth is not in a recess，but in＇a corner＇
 Korinth might be said to stand on the gulf（ $\mu \nu \chi{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ）of Korinth，but the Isthmian games were held on the opposite side of the Isthmos．

This clause begins an answer to the half－question of the preceding clause．
$\left.K \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu . \pi \rho, \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho_{\rho}\right]$＂$\Delta t$ the hand of Kleanaeans．＇Cf．Nem．rv． 17.
＇А $\nu$ т．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．
 $\beta a \nu$,

80
 45 ả入入à $\chi^{\text {a入cò̀ } \mu \nu \rho i ́ o \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta u v a т o ̀ v ~}$


 $\tau \in \nu$ עкâбą $\sigma$ Ө́́vé．

90
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.






43．Zıкucavóधє．］From the Pythia founded by Adrastos at Sikyôn，cf． Nem．Ix．Introd．
appvp．］Cf．Isth．II．8，＇with gleam of silver shining on them，＇ perhaps．For $\sigma \dot{y}$ cf．L．and S ． s．v．，I．7，infra，v． 48.
$\alpha \pi \epsilon \beta a v$ ．］So mss．Aldine and other edd．éné $\beta a \nu$. Schol．Vet．in－ terprets dəєХ $\omega p \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，and a gloss （Triclin．）$\dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$.

44．Cf．Ol．xi．97， 98 for the prize of a large woollen cloak， chlamys，at the（Apolline）Theoxenia or the Hermaea，or the Diaea cele－ brated at Pellênê．

45．$\left.\chi^{a \lambda \kappa}, \mu v \rho.\right]$＇The vast num－ ber of prizes of bronze it is im－ possible to ascertain．＇This in－ cluded no doubt bronze shields won at Argos and $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ év＇Apкaסia E $\rho \gamma$ a of Ol．vTr． 83.

46．$\mu а к \rho . ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda.] ~ F o r ~ t h i s ~ d e-~$ scriptive genitive of．Madv．§ 53 b ．； the act of counting is measured or valued in terms of the time re－ quired．
 both by $\theta$ च̄кe and by ขьк $\hat{\alpha} \sigma a \ell$ ，cf． Nem．v．5，supra，v． 26.

The games at Kleitôr were Koreia in honour of Persephonê and Dê－ mêtêr，and at Tegeâ Aleaia in honour of Athênê Aleâ．

ข̇ $\psi i \beta a r o 九.] ~ ' U p l a n d . ' ~$
48．$\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon_{\text {．］}}$＇Set by the race－ course of Zeus as prize for men to win，\＆c．＇L．and S ．wrongly class it with Frag． 154 ［164］，＇made to win．＇Join $\pi d \rho \rho \Delta d s \quad \delta \rho b \mu \varphi$ ．For the Lykaeon cf．Ol．xill．108，Paus． viII．38，5．The prize at the Lykaea was a bronze tripod．The singular verb is an instance of the so－called schema Alcmanicum．

49．חацфа́ๆ．］Probably a ma－ ternal ancestor of Theiaeos．

51．$\quad \mu \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．］Taken twice（Mez－ ger），＇that it is innate in them to be，\＆c．Cf．Nem．XI． 33.

52．тapiac．］＇Kings，＇ef．Pyth． v． 58.

ả $\gamma \omega$ v．$\mu$ oíp．］Cf．Ol．vı． 79.
53．бย́v．］Cf．supra，v． 38.
 $\theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$ †évos．
 $\phi i \lambda \omega$
 Өєрá mas，


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \varphi$.
 ұалкє́as 入óүХаз àкнâ．

＇А $\nu \tau$ ．$\delta^{\prime}$.<br> $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$

54．$\pi เ \sigma \tau \delta v$.$] Of．infra，v． 78$.
55．They both together live in Olympos every other day and lie together in the tomb on the alter－ nate days，of．Od．xI．303．For the temple of the Dioskuroi at There－ pnae cf．Pans．III． 20.

56．quá入oss．］The Schol．ex－ plains by $\mathbf{v} \pi \quad$ beta，＇an underground vault，＇but this seems tautological． Therapnae lay in the valley of coil $\lambda \eta$ лакєбаіныข．

58．川．］For suppression of $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ cf．Madv．§ 93 c；Il．I．117，$\beta$ oú入o $\mu^{\prime}$


59．Note the position of Hov－ סєúкทs．

60．$\dot{a} \mu \phi l$ oval $\pi \pi_{\omega s} \chi o \lambda \omega \theta e l$ s．］Cf． Hes．Scut．Herc．12，$\chi \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho l$ novel．The further recital of the cause of quarrel is dismissed by $\pi \omega s$ ，＇as some say．＇The School． mentions another account of the feud，namely that the Dioskuroi had carried off the brides of the

Apharêtidae，Phoebes and Elaeira， daughters of Leukippos．Apollo－ dor os，III．2．3，tells us that Idâs and Lynkeus，sons of Aphareus， whose tomb was at Sparta（Pays． iiI．11．8，13．1），dwelt in Arênê in Messenia．They had been cattle－ lifting with the Dioskuroi and cheated the latter of their share of booty．The Dioskuroi in re－ venge made a raid and drove off into Lakônia all the cattle they found in the possession of the sons of Aphareus，for whom they lay in wait，expecting to be followed home by their foes．They were espied by the miraculous eyesight of Lynkeus，and Idâs was thus en－ able to kill Kaştor．

61．$\pi \epsilon \delta a v \gamma \dot{\alpha} 5 \omega \nu$ ．］＇Sending pence－ rating glances after them．＇But old Mss．give $\pi \delta^{\delta} \delta^{\prime}, \pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ，and so zug－ gest a doubt as to the original
 its foot clearly＇（of．Pyth．xI．36），

татоу
o้ $\mu \mu a$ ．入aı$\downarrow \eta \rho o i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̆ \phi a \rho ~$

 rà $\rho$

＇Е $\pi . \delta^{\prime}$ ．


gives a sense nearer to the ordinary usage of aủjásw．The quotation from Stasinos＇（？）Kyprias is as follows：

## aitqa ò $\Lambda$ vyкev่s

 $\pi \epsilon \pi 0 九 \theta \omega$＇s．
 д $\pi a \sigma a \nu$


 ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi \omega$＊кol $\lambda \eta s^{*}$
 По入иঠеย́кєа．

Hence one is prompted to suggest $\pi \in \rho a v y a ́ s \omega v$ ．L．and S．miss the meaning of aúráveas，Hes．W．\＆D． 476，where it is not＇see distinctly，＇ but＇look longingly，keenly，＇the middle denoting the mental emo－ tion accompanying the keen glance． Lynkeus，whose name is connected with $\lambda e \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，was said to be able to see through all material barriers to sight，stone，earth，sea，\＆c．Cf． Apoll．Rhod．1． 153.
62．ท̀mévous．］So Böckh；but some of the old Grammarians cor－ rected $\eta_{\mu} \mu \nu \nu_{0}$（old m8s．）to $\eta{ }^{\mu} \mu \in \nu \quad \nu$. They seem to have thought that Pindar meant to imply that Poly－
deukês was not with Kastor when he was espied and attacked，but was conceived to be hiding somewhere near，probably west of Kastor，as the Apharêtidae did not flee back into Messênia．But they may have attacked from the East or have had to double back in the flight． The Apharêtidae were a spear＇s throw offi when Polydeukês left the tree．Aristarchos cited the Kypria， according to the Schol．，surely to prove that Lynkeus was not in the tree（ $\eta \mu \in \nu o s)$ ：Didymos cited the words to defend the plural $\hat{\eta} \mu$ évos， －ws．There is no ground for im－ pugning with Bergk the accuracy of the Schol．as to the readings of the Grammarians．

64．${ }^{〔} \mu \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．］mss．${ }^{〔} \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \tau^{\prime}$ ， －avt＇．The insertion and omission of $\nu$ are common errors．Cf．Od． III． 261.

65．kal．］＇And accordingly；＇ef．
 Єокку．

66．Ańóas taîs．］Polydenkês． They stood face to face hard by the tomb of Aphareus．

67．ár．＇Aṫoa．］A sepulchral column，stêle，consecrated to＂Acōns． For the genitive Dissen quotes Eur． Electr．143，$\mu$ ểos＇At8a，Suppl．783， థ̧ठov $\mu \circ \lambda \pi a l$ ，cf．Isth．iII． 81.


 pavvóv.
 ${ }_{\delta} \mu \iota \lambda \in i ̂ \nu \quad \kappa \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma o ́ \nu \omega \nu$.

135
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \epsilon^{\prime}$.
 סapióas,
 е゙кıхєข.

140


 äva .

145
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \grave{~} \beta \rho о т \omega ̂ \nu$
71. The tmesis $\epsilon \pi i-\pi \lambda a \hat{\xi} \epsilon$ has caused corruption. mss. give $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$
 yóv. Edd. with the School. Vet. alter " $\delta \delta a$ to "I $\delta q$. Matthize's ciatron of $\pi$ rice $\xi$ gl ${ }^{2}$ os only half-sup-


 for at and vice versa are common errors.
épŋ̂mot.] With none to aid or avenge them.
$\left.\chi^{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha ́, \kappa . т . \lambda.\right]$ Cf. supra, v. 20; ' $a$ strife with higher beings is dangerous for men to encounter.' Eris is half personified. The order is involved. For inf. cf. OI. vii. 19, viI. 25, Isth. 11. 37.
74. au $\sigma \theta \mu a \tau \iota$. ] 'Drawing his breath convulsively in suffocation,' i.e. with the death rattle (suckle) in his throat. Cf. New. III. 47;
$\hat{a} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha$ is 'laboured breathing.' The
 which will not scan; 'ruffled as to breaths' is a strong but intelligible metaphor, which is helped by the accompanying shuddering of the whole frame often observed in deaths from mortal wounds. Mommsen's révoas for rods is not happy.
75. Terry.] Cf. Soph. Trash.
 äxuav. For $\delta \dot{\eta}$ м ss. give $\delta \epsilon$.
orovaxaîs.] Dative of accompanying action, of. Madv. \& 42, Il. xxiv. 696, Od. xxiv. 416.
78. Apparently adapted by Euripides, Hel. 274, каl $\phi \ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \tau \eta \tau \omega-$ $\mu \hat{\iota} \eta \eta$ ठoú̀ $\eta$ ка日'́ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$, though loss of friends to a Greek woman was a very different case to that of a man. The faithlessness of mortals is contrasted with the trustworthiness of deities; cf. supra, v. 54, Introd.
 ท้ $\lambda \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}$ oi,
 то́⿱宀я

150
$\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a$ Ovaròv $\mu a \tau \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \in a ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma a \iota s$

 Өо́ $\mu \in \nu=\nu$ 155
 $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \in \gamma \chi \in \hat{\imath} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \epsilon \ell$,
'Е $\pi . \epsilon^{\prime}$.
 $\mu a ́ p \nu a \sigma a l, \pi a ́ \nu t \omega \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \nu o \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ a ̉ \pi r o \delta a ́ \sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ i ̛ \sigma o \nu, ~$



79. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ v e c v$.] "So as to share.' For inf. cf. Madv. § 149.
$\dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon_{\text {. }}$ ] So mss. Elsewhere $\epsilon v \nu$.
80. 'E $\sigma \sigma l$ uoc viós.] And therefore immortal.
$\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma t s$.] Tyndareus,
82. I incline to read ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \omega$, as ท̈pes seems too prominent.
84. Between Oö $\lambda \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu$ тоу and $\sigma v ́ v \tau^{\prime}$ mss. give é $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda$ ects or $\theta e$ גets only, with defect in both sense and rhythm, Pindar elsewhere has $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$, which will not scan here, in verbal forms, except 01. viri. 85 , though he uses the participle of $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ (Pyth. II. 69 , x. 5, Isth. v. 43, and O1. II. 97, where my note is incorrect on this point. The mss. give $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$ for ekciv at the end of Pyth. r. 69.) The
 oikê̂v бv̀v $̇ \mu o l$, к.т. $\lambda$. and renders voeis, v. 86, by $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon$, whence Kayser gets voeis oiкciv̀ émol (for the position of preposition cf. supra, $v, 38$ ). The
letters - ONNOEIC OIK INEMOI would be peculiarly liable to corruption as $€, O, C$ were often confused, and also IC and K, and IN
 would be a gloss on voeis. Momm-
 $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ vaietv é $\mu \mathrm{ol}$. The word $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda e t s$ is so utterly inappropriate that Mommsen's suggestion may be at once rejected. Against the considerations in favour of the text, for which cf. supra $v .58$, the only point to be urged is the tameness of the assumed repetition of voeis. For the position of $\sigma$ in, cf. Pyth. H. 59, Nem. Ix. 14.
86. loov.] Note the emphatic position. For loov='an equal share,' ef. Soph. Oed. Rex, 579, Eur, Ion, 818, Iph. in T. 1009. L. and S. only give $\tau \boldsymbol{d}$ trov.
87. $\pi v$ 'ots.] Observe the phrase: he is to live in the tomb.
 корі́тра Ка́бтороэ.
89. ovं, к.т. 入.] Polydeukês ' offered not a double plan to his judgment.' That is, he decided without the least hesitation.
90. Note the change of subject back to Zeus: unless with Mezger
we regard Polydeukes as the author of the release.
$\chi a \lambda к о \mu i \tau \rho a$.] The $\mu i \tau \rho a$ of a wasrior was a broad woollen girdle faced with plates of bronze, worn below the sîpua.

## [NEMEA XI.]

## FOR THE eloutipıa OFFERED BY ARISTAGORAS OF TENEDOS, PRYTANIS.

## INTRODUCTION.

This ode (enkômion) was performed before the altar and shrine of Hestia Prytanitis in the Prytaneion of Tenedos upon the occasion of the installation as $\pi \rho v v^{\prime} \tau \nu \iota s$, or president of the $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$, of Aristagoras, a wrestler and pankratiast of great strength and beauty, son of Arkesilas ( $v .11$ ). It has nothing to do with the Nemean games.

The date is probably later than B.c. 470 ; but there is no clue except the style. The subject of the poem belonged to the clan of the Peisandridae who claimed kinship with both Amyklae and Thebes ( $v .34-37$ ). Short though the poem is there is much of lasting interest in it. The doctrine of the mean is propounded with reference to excess or defect in honorable ambition, and mention is made of the uncertainty of the future and the inevitable approach of death (vv. 13-16), natural topics in connection with the installation of a constitutional dignitary. The theory that hereditary excellence displays itself in alternate generations is introduced in a way that reflects rather severely on Aristagoras' father, who supplies an instance of $\dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \lambda \mu \dot{\mu} a_{\text {. }}$.

## ANALYSIS.

[^6]17-21. He deserves praise for his athletic victories in local games.
22-29. The timidity of his parents debarred him from chance of distinction in the great games.
29-32. Over-diffidence and over-confidence are alike detrimental.
33-37. The noble ancestry of Aristagoras.
37-43. Hereditary excellences develope themselves in alternate generations only.
43-46. In spite of the future being beyond our foresight we embark on ambitious schemes.
47, 48. Insane yearnings for things unattainable hinder our setting due limits to our desires.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} a^{\prime}
$$

 Z $\eta \nu o ̀ s ~ i ́ \psi i ́ \sigma \tau o v ~ к а \sigma \iota \gamma \nu \eta ं т а ~ к а i ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \mu о \theta \rho o ́ v o v ~ " H \rho a s, ~$



'A $\nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.

 goddess of the family altar and hearth generally, Hestia was naturally the deity worshipped at the common altar and hearth of the state under the title Прuraviris. It would appear from Thuk. II. 15 that a Прúravis, whether $\beta$ aoìeús, túpayvos, or one of a numerous body of officials, held the title as president of the $\beta$ ov $\lambda 力$. For $\lambda \in \lambda$ oryas cf. 01. viI. 55 ff., Ix. 15, Aesch. P. V. 228,




3. өá̀auov. 1 A sanctuary or shrine within the $\pi \rho \cup \tau a v \in \hat{0} 0 \nu$.
4. Éralpous.] Members of the Bount.

бкג்ттч.] This allusion shews that there was a statue of Hestia in the $\pi \rho v{ }^{2} a \nu \epsilon \hat{c} \nu \nu$ at Tenedos, as there also was at Athens (Pausan.r.18.3). The sceptre is an usual attribute of Hestia in art (Dissen quoting Welcker).
5. $\delta \rho \theta$ ap.] Extension of predicate; 'in welfare.'
6. т $\rho \dot{\omega}$ 'av.] 'The eldest;' of. Hes. Theog. 453, Pєía $\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi о \delta \mu \eta \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a$
 $\Delta \eta \dot{\mu \eta \tau \rho a, ~ к а l ~ " Н \rho \eta \nu ~ \chi р и б о т є ठ ̀ \iota \lambda о \nu, ~}$
 Z $\hat{\eta} \nu \dot{a}$ á $\tau$, whereon Prof. Paley shews that Homer makes Hêrá the eldest




'Е $\pi . a^{\prime}$.




child of Kronos, and Zeus the eldest son.
7. $\left.\sigma \phi_{\text {. }}\right]$ 'At their bidding.'
$\beta \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ еттаt.] 'Peals.' Cf. Nem.ix. 8, Bроиіау фбрмєүа.
8. Guests of the state were entertained at the $\pi \rho u$ raveion and suppliants to the state sought sanctuary at its altar. For Themis being associated with Zeus Xenios cf. the similarly worded passage, Ol. vir. 21-23, tıva $\sum$ й́тetpa $\Delta$ id̀s $\xi \in \nu$ lov
 $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{r}$, where Aegina's just dealing with strangers is intended. The connexion of the goddess of right and order with hospitality is illustrated by the phrases oaurds ettons,

9. iv.] 'With;' ef. infra, v. 17. For the perpetual public hospitality of Tenedos etc. edd. quote the $\tau \rho \alpha^{-}$ тejaı द्धиıкal of the Kretan $\sigma$ vaбitıa, Athên. iv. 22 (p. 143 c).
d $\left.\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{2}\right]$ Cf. Ol. II. 12, iv. 6.
$\sigma$ oiv.] The repetition of oiv in the next line has troubled critics greatly; so that $\sigma$ ovo oósg has been altered to viv and $\sigma o l$ dógal, ouy$\delta o ́ \xi a \nu, \sigma \delta \nu$ and $\nu \iota \nu \delta u ́ g a$ (with $\pi \varepsilon$ pâनuc). L. and S. give Böckh's $\pi є \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a / s(\epsilon \nu)$. Others alter $\pi \epsilon \rho{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha$ $\sigma \nu \nu$ into $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \sigma \alpha l ~ \sigma i v \nu$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ \sigma a l ~ \nu c p$. Mezger rightly defends mss. and Scholia. For - $\sigma$ al $\sigma$ ìv cf. Isth. III. 17.
10. ג́ $\tau \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \varphi$.] For the metaphor ef. Nem. I. 48. 'Without annoy.' He might have discharged the du-
ties of his office 'with credit' amid continual dangers and anxieties.
11. ädofa.] Emphatic, of. Pyth. II. 29. The meaning is almost 'So far as I may congratulate a manI deem his father Arkesilas blessed, and I land his (Aristagoras') admirable form and innate hardihood.' I agree with Mezger in making ä $\quad \delta \rho a$ refer to Aristagoras, but I take ävópa as an accusativus pendens; though $\mu$ aкар $\ddagger j \omega$ takes a double accusative. Aristoph.Vespae,

 The sense might be the same. 'On the man do I congratulate his father, aye on his (the man's) form.' I cannot see the point of congratulating the son upon his father, who kept him from winning the Olympian and Pythian games (v. 22). Again if ${ }^{\circ} y \delta \rho a$ is Arkesilas, his too
 the passage is unsatisfactory. I think тékos 'Аркєб $\ \lambda a$ may have got corrupted through the incorporation of a marginal note. Dissen is probably right in recognising the zeugma, the sense of alvś $\omega$ being
 ס̀́ $\mu a s$ к.т. $\lambda$. Note that $\mu \dot{\text { èv }}$ is answered by $\overline{\delta \epsilon}$, v. 13; 'though I praise, let him remember.' Otherwise Mezger and Dissen citing Ol. v. 10.
14. $\dot{e} \pi \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \mathrm{v}$.$] \quad Frequentative$ aorist. The future $т а р а \mu е \tilde{\sigma} є \tau a ؛$

## 

20 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \pi \alpha a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \epsilon \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~$

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$






'А $\nu \tau$. $\beta$ '.


refers to moments of future selfsatisfaction on the part of Axistagoras, as well as to the future generally.
15. 'Let him remember that the frame which he bedecks is mortal and that at the last of all he shall don a vesture of earth.' Cf. "muddy vesture of decay." For construction cf. OI. vi. 8, Isth. 1. 68.
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda l \omega r$.] Cf. Eur. Ale. 663,
 каl т $\rho \circ$ Я方боутаи עєкро́v. The verb $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ is the regular word for arraying a body for the funeral.
16. тeגєuráp.] Accusative in apposition with the sentence; cf. OI. iI. 4, viI. 16, Isth. in. 7, Aesch. Ag. 225. Here the use is similar to that of the "adverbial" $\dot{d} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
$\gamma \hat{\nu} \nu$ en $\pi \iota \epsilon \sigma \sigma$.] For the phrase Don. quotes Asch. Adam. 869, $\epsilon \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}^{\nu}$ $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \omega$ sis, wis $\epsilon \pi \pi \lambda^{\eta} \theta \nu o \nu \quad \lambda o ́ \gamma o L, \mid \tau \rho L-$


 өav̀̈̀ $\mu о \rho \phi \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota ;$ Nom. viII. 38. Hemsterhais (Ad Hesych. I. p. 1352) besides the references in L. and S. gives Simonides, Spud Athene. III. p. 125 d, Frag. 168 [227], aủrd̀ $\rho$



moves. This warning to avoid excessive pride is peculiarly appropriate in an official ode composed for a civic magistrate.
17. 入óyots.] 'Friendly (fair) discessions.' Cf. Net. viii. 21. For $\epsilon^{2} v$ of. Path. v. 97, supra v. 9.
18. For sentiment cf. OI. vi. 6, 7. $\mu \in \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$.] Merger supposes that there is a change of subject. Herman alters to $\mu \in \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \in \nu$ : Mommsen to $\mu \varepsilon \mu i \chi \theta^{\prime}$ \&r. With the text do ı $\delta a i$ is is scanned as a dissyllable. I think the infinitive goes with $\mu \mathrm{e} \lambda \iota \gamma \delta \mathrm{ob}-$ поб (Madv. § 150), and that val couples év $\lambda$ bros and $\delta \alpha u \delta u \lambda \theta$ évta.
20. єúávvuov.] Perhaps an extension of the predicate. As Nike is often represented in Art holding out a wreath there is probably a half personification of the Victories here. Note that ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \in \rho \iota \kappa т$. should not be taken with pîkat but with the verb, meaning 'on the authority of, at the instance of the neighbouring peoples.' The $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}=$ 'for.'
21. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a v \chi \epsilon i ̂$.$] mss. \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a v \chi \in$ it.
 great diffidence.' Literally 'Too shrinking apprehensions.'. We can speak of 'confident hopes' but hardly of 'diffident or timid hopes.' For $\epsilon$ er $\lambda i$ is of. New. 1. 32.
23. E $\sigma \chi 0 \nu$.] For the inf. without




＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ．








$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime} .
$$


 35 Aio入é $\omega \nu$ v $\tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu ~ \chi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \in ́ a ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho ’ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ 45$

$\mu \eta$ of．Madv．§ 210 Rem．1，Soph．



24．$\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ ．］＇For else．＇

 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ．These are accasatives of ＇extent，range，sphere，＇Madv． 31 c，
 instead of qualifying the action or state predicated，they qualify（make conditional）the predication，like an
 with or without ws．Cf．Madv． § 151,168 b．
mapd．］Here and in the next line to be taken after $\delta \eta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega v$ ， while $\mu 0 \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu=$＇had he gone（thi－ ther）．＇For the victor＇s return ef． Nem．II．24，01．viII．67－71，Pyth． viII． $81-87$ ．

26．Medicean mss，Evó（＇́）ofavt＇ by dittography．
27．Cf．Nem．x．33，01．xı．57， 58 ， Ol．xili． 40.

28．тop申vpéoss．］Cf．Hor．Od．iv． 1．10，purpureis odoribus．Like $\chi$ ри́reos，O1．viII．1，Nem．1．17，and
elsewhere， $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \phi$ ．$=$＇gleaming，＇＇glis－ tening，＇＇rich－coloured．＇

30．dra0 $\hat{\nu}$ ．］＇Blessings of vic－ tory＇（cf．Ol．vir．13）are of course included under the general term．
$\epsilon \xi-\epsilon \beta a \lambda o \nu$.$] Tmesis．Frequenta－$ tive aorist；so $\pi a \rho \epsilon \epsilon \phi a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ，and $\bar{\delta} \delta \omega \kappa$ ． $v .39$ ．Render＇cast down from．＇
$\kappa а т а \mu є \mu \phi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau$＇．］＇Disparaging．＇
31．olke $\omega^{2} v$ ．］＇Proper，＇＇within his reach．＇

33．$\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \bar{v}$.$] ＇Infer，＇＇ga－$ ther．＇
$\tau \epsilon$ ．］Taken up by кal $v .36$ ，from
 enthesis．For ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu$ suppressed with $\pi \dot{d} \lambda a l a \dot{a} \pi \dot{d} \Sigma \pi \dot{\partial} \rho \tau a s$ of．Nem．x． 51．The Achaean Peisandros was said to have been driven from Sparta on the Migration of the Dôrians into Peloponnêsos and to have joined Aeolian emigrants from Boestia in that country，whence they sailed to Tenedos．
 （one $\chi$ а入лє $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon$ ，$\omega \nu$ being ex－ pressed by a superscribed ${ }^{\text {＾）}}$ ．

36．In Thebes．

## 

'A $\nu \tau$.


 äpoupaı,






 the blood of a daughter of Me. lanippos.'
38. For sentiment cf. Nem. vi. 8-11.
a $\mu \phi \in \rho \rho v \tau \alpha u$.] For the voice of. Pyth. vii. fin., фépe $\sigma \theta a u$ probably transitive. Here the compound means 'return,' 'yield;' not 'raise up,' 'bring with them.'
40. ойк $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda \in \epsilon$.$] 'Are not wont.'$ L. and S. only give prose examples of this sense. Don. would render $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \lambda \omega$ as = ívivauat here and Mem. viI. 90 .
$\pi \epsilon \rho \circ ́ \delta o t s$.$] For \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \delta o t s$, cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho-$ ám $\pi \omega \nu$, Pyth. III. 52.
41. тлоite loop.] Dat. of manser; 'in equal abundance.'
42. $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{E}$ (Bout.] For the gerundive use, 'in alternation' $=\dot{d} \lambda$ $\lambda a \sigma \sigma o{ }_{\mu} \mu \nu \alpha$ ), of the active participle
 Madv. 180 b. Rem. 2, Soph. Oed. Col. 1219; д̀тау ais és $\pi \lambda$ éov $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ |
 $\pi \epsilon \pi$ ovóós. Compare our English confusion of abstract nouns in -ing with the participle (which originally in Saxon ended in -nd). Pindar's suppression of the article is noteworthy. Perhaps the usual expla-
nation given above is wrong and ETc is to be supplied in thought from èté $\omega \nu$.

кal...ovัт $\omega$.] 'Even so.'
43. to $\bar{\delta}$ ' eck tAcos.] 'As for what comes from Zeus.' Cf. New. I. 17,

 mint cf. OI. xiI. 7, 8, Soph. Oed.
 $\sigma a \phi \eta$ 万. Isth. viI. 14, 15, Eur. Here. F. 62.
44. er $\mu$ aivoцev.] 'We embark upon.' Metaphor from navigation followed up in v. 46.
45. $\tau \epsilon_{\text {. }}$ ] For the coupling of a participial clause to one containing a finite verb of. Soph. Oed. Rex, 740,

 the participle preceding O1. I. 13,14, $\delta \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu . . . \mid \dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda a t \bar{\zeta} \epsilon \tau a \ell \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, Isth. 1. 14, Asch. Again. 97, тоúv $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \lambda \dot{́} \xi \underline{\xi} \sigma^{\prime}$

 however, as in Choéph. 547 (P.), te seems = 'accordingly' and is hardly copulative. In the present case I think the construction is kaed Guv$\epsilon \sigma \omega$, as though $\mu$ evanavoplats contrained $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda d \nu 0 \rho \in s$ ठ $\delta \nu \tau \epsilon$ s.

סéס́єтal.] 'Constrained.' Perhaps



a metaphor from a slave chain－ ed to the oar．Cf．Pyth，iv． 71，ais סè кlvסuvos кратероis ảdá－ наутоs $\delta \bar{\eta} \sigma \in y ~ a ̈ \lambda o t s ; ~ P y t h . ~ I I I . ~ 54, ~$
 which of．Bakchyl．Frag． 4 （2），wis
 хќр os $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ßıâtal）．

ảvaioê．］＇Improbus，＇＇anconscion－ able，＇＇unreasonable．＇

4f．троца日cias．］Meager and Post－ gate rightly join the genitive with pool．Men strive or drift in a vari－ able，uncertain course，but fore－ knowledge，if they only had it， would bear them along steadily like a current．No doubt the mari－ ness of Tenedos were familiar with and often grateful to the strong Hellespontine current．For the metaphor cf．O1．II．33，pool $\delta^{\prime}$ d̉入óт＇
 ${ }_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \rho a s \notin \beta a \nu$ ．For the general senti－ mont of．Solon，Frag． 13 ［4］，65，




47．For a more general state－ mont of the doctrine of a дérpoy

 Also Hes．W．and D．692，Pyth．II． 34，Isth．v．［vi．］， 71.

48．For general sentiment of． Nem．III．30．For $\mu$ apia of．Theogn． 1231，$\sigma \chi \in ́ \tau \lambda \imath^{\prime}$＂Epos，paplą $\sigma^{*}$ Ėть－ $\theta \eta \nu \eta$＇баขто $\lambda a \beta o v i \sigma a t$ ．So that Plato＇s classification of＂E pes under $\mu$ via， Phuedr．pp．244，245，was perhaps suggested by poetic diction．
$\left.\delta^{\prime} \cdot\right]$ Equivalent to ả入入á．Cf． Soph．Ai． 12.

ठछॄútєpal． 1 Don．refers to Matth． Gr．Gr．§45̈7，thus making it doubt－ fuel whether he would render the comparative by＇too＇or＇some－ what，＇＇rather，＇or as merely equiva－ lent to a positive．It clearly means ＇too acute＇in the medical sense of ＇acute．＇Cf．OI．vim． 85.

## ISTHMIA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF HERODOTOS OF THEBES IN THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT RACE.

## INTRODUCTION.

Herodotos, son of Asôpodôros of Thebes, was one of several Theban victors at some Isthmian festival of uncertain date. Some consider that Asôpodôros had been exiled from Thebes (vv. 36-38); but this supposition is not consistent with the most natural interpretation of the passage $v \% 34-46$, and seems in particular to involve making his father's exile too prominent a topic introducing the most striking part of the ode. If Hêrodotos himself had been exiled at the time of the Persian war as a young man of about twenty he would not be too old to act as his own charioteer ( $v .15$ ) in B.C. 458 , Ol. 80.3 to which date Dissen refers the composition of the ode. He thinks that the alliance between Thebes and Sparta before the war in which the battles of Tanagra and Oenophyta were fought is figured in the association of Kastôr and Iolâos vv. 16, 17 (but cf. Pyth. Ix. 59 ff. composed B. c. 478); that war is suggested by the allusion to Gêryôn's Apareià kúves ( $v .12$ ) (but Prof. Seymour justly remarks, agreeing with Don.-"This was the most distant point reached by Heracles, hence this clause means 'whose mighty deeds reached even to the ends of the world '") ; and by ${ }^{\hat{}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ v. 50 (but see my note) so that the premises can hardly be said to be strong enough to carry Dissen's conclusion. Leopold Schmidt on altogether insufficient grounds places the date between the third Isthmian and the fifth Nemean, that is, in the first period of Pindar's poetic activity. Pindar may merely intend to apologise for the slightness of the composition and the thinness of the senti-
ments when he mentions his engagement for the men of Keos. It is to be safely inferred from $v v .39,40$ that Hêrodotos was an aristocrat. (Mezger thinks the father was obliged to retire to Orchomenos through loss of property by actual shipwrecks, reading épєtró$\mu \in \nu 0 \nu v .16$, a view which I cannot at all admit.) The main thread of the Ode is the enforcement and illustration of the glory conferred on the $\pi a \tau \rho i s$ by a successful pursuit of ápetà and the consequent reward of praise and remembrance due from fellow-citizens (cp. vv. $12 ; 17 ; 30,31 ; 35 ; 40 ; 66,67 ; 1-6 ; 43-46 ; 50,51 ; 67,68)$. This train of thought is peculiarly appropriate if Hêrodotos was reestablished at Thebes in consequence of this Isthmian victory, which may be inferred from $v v .39,40$.

## ANALYSIS.

## $v v$.

1-10 Invocation of Thêbâ, with an apology for laying aside a poem for the men of Keos to compose an Athenian ode.
10-12 Since six prizes have fallen to Thebes.
12-13 The birthplace of Hêrakles.
14-16 In honour of Hêrodotos victory in the four-horse chariot race the poet is ready to compose a Kastoreion or ode of Iolâos.
17-31 The athletic prowess of Kastôr and Iolâos.
32-40 Allusion to the victor's family and to his exile and return to good fortune.
40-52 General sentiments in praise of prowess and enterprise glancing at Hêrodotos.
53-59 Enumeration of some of his victories.
60-63 The scope of the ode prevents him proclaiming all.
63 Often what is not mentioned gives the greater satisfaction.
64-67 A hope that encouraged by poetic praises Hêrodotos may win at the Pythian and Olympian games.
67, 68 If any one hoards and finds fault with those who are lavish in pursuit of honour, he does not consider that he will die 'unhonoured and unsung.'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime} . \\
& \text { Мâтєр є̇цд́, то̀ тєóv, } \chi \rho v ́ \sigma a \sigma \pi \iota ~ \Theta \eta ́ \beta a, \\
& \text { три̂үна каì à } \sigma \chi о \lambda i a s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ́ \rho т є \rho о \nu ~ \\
& \text { } \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota \text {. } \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \circ \iota \text { крауаà } \nu \in \mu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \\
& \Delta a ̂ \lambda o s, \text { èv }{ }_{a}^{a ̉} \text { кé } \chi \nu \mu a l \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

ऍєúğळ тé入os，
$\chi$ р́váa $\pi \iota$ ．］This epithet refers to a statue of Thebâ，perhaps that which is mentioned in Frag． 177

 nence given here to the shield com－ pare the shield on coins of Thebes． The hypothesis that the epithet has reference to a state of war must therefore be established independ－ ently，which I do not think pos－ sible（see Introd．）．For Thêbâ， daughter of Asôpos and Metôpá， cf．O1．vi．84， 85.

2．$\pi \rho \bar{\rho} \gamma \mu a$.$] ＇Thy interests，＇$ the requirements arising from the recent Isthmian victories of her children．
d$\left.\sigma \chi_{0} \lambda i a s.\right]$＇A pressing engage－ ment．＇Plato，Phaedr． 227 в，refers to this passage，oũk àv otet $\mu \in$ катd



 dexo入las viтépтepov $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a z$ катd $\tau \delta \nu$
 סəท่วทธเข．

4．$\Delta \hat{a} \lambda$ as．］Dêlos，as the birth－ place of Apollo，was interested in the paean which was being com－ posed for the Isle of Keos．The Schol．rashly states that it was to be sung at Dêlos．

кєє $\chi$ vuur．］＇On which I have been pouring forth my soul．＇Cf．Cic． Att．1．18．2，in qua．．．omnes profudi
uires animi atque ingenii mei．Dis－
 $\pi \rho o ́ s \tau$ ）is generally used in a bad sense．

5．The claims of parents，i．e． in this case of tarpls，are para－ mount．A respectful apology is needful to excuse his taking up a human theme，when engaged on a paean．The poet does not ask leave to postpone the paeân，but prays to be excused for diverting his attention for a time from it． Possibly the real motive for the apology is vanity．To be chosen by the countrymen of Bakchylidês was a high compliment．See，how－ ever，Introd．

6． $\bar{\omega}$＇$\pi$ oh $\lambda \omega \nu$ dás．］＇Beloved of Apollo．＇The tutelary deity of DA． los is entreated to respect the poet＇s devotion to Thêba even as she ap－ preciates the devotion of Apollo to herself．For the personification of． Pyth．vi．6，xir．2，Ol．vir．13，14， Nem．1．4，Frag． 64 ［58］．Others explain Apollinea urbs，quoting Ovid，Met．хाI． 631.
$\chi$ apir $\omega \nu$ ．］＇I shall combine the performance of both obligations， （＇favours＇is hardly respectful enough）；ef．Pyth．III．72．The phrase jeêçat $\mu \in \in \lambda$ रos，Nem．1．7，is a different application of the meta－ phor．Dissen explains，wrongly in my opinion，utriusque hymni（Isth． III．8）cum diis pertexam finem．

каì тòv ảкєєрєкóнау Фоîßov Хорєv́ळע




 'Адкцŋ́va тє́єєє
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.

 خ́́pas,
7. dкєєр.] "Milton's 'unshorn Apollo.'" Prof. Seymour.

रopev́up.] 'Composing a choral ode for'-is what is meant, but he represents himself as the Xop $\quad$ yós, корифаîos. Cf. L. and S. s. v. रopeive 11. 2, where Eur. H. F. 871 is placed wrongly instead of under ' III . Causal.'
The Schol. says that Pindar was asked by the men of Keos to compose a paeân to be sung at Dêlos, inferring the place of recitation
 $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ Еүpaфє K eloss, but from v. 8 it is clear that the ode was to be recited in Keos, perhaps in the principal temple of Apollo at Kartheis, in the xopmrễop of which Athênaeos tells us ( p .456 F ) that Simonides, who was perhaps dead at the date of this ode, was for
 Schol. however on v. 9 says каl

 and Mezger argue from éxlviкoy that the ode for Keos was not a paeân, but epinikian. But all this $\epsilon^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} l v$ coov can effect is to nullify the testimony of the Schol. Pindar's language decides in favour of the paeân.
9. む̇入єєрке́a.] Cf. Pyth. 1. 18; here 'barring sea from sea.' The epithet seems to be in contrast to
 ' $\sigma \theta \mu 00$, cf. infra, vv. 16, 32, 01. viI. 74.

Hêrodotos was clearly one of several (from four to six) Thebans who had won prizes in the recent Isthmian games.
11. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi}$.] L. and S. rashly say ' since Homer $\sigma \tau$. always means the soldiery, the people, exclusive of the chiefs.' Now, Pyth. II. 87, ס $\lambda$ dßpos $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau d s$ means 'the democracy,' in Pyth. 1. 86, II. 55, Hiero, in Nem. 1. 61 Amphitryôn is excluded. But no such exclusion is intended here, nor in Ol. Ix. 95, Ol, x. 17, Nem. x. 25.
13. Kíves.] It must remain a question whether Orthros is made plural in consideration of his two heads or whether Pindar is following an unknown version of the myth.
14. $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$.] The poet checks himself in the praise of Hêrakles. Prof. Seymour points out that here, and Nem. I. 33, Isth. v. 19, éyஸ̀ introduces the transition to a myth. Cf. also O1. IX. 21, xiII. 49, Pyth. I. 42, Ix. 103.



 25 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.

 20 каi $\lambda \in \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ фıá $\lambda a \iota \sigma \iota$ тє $\chi \rho \cup \sigma o v$, үєレó $\mu \epsilon \nu=\iota ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$

For $\tau \epsilon \tilde{\chi} \chi \omega \nu . . . \epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau \varepsilon$ cf．Ol．I．
 III．12，Aesch．Agam．97，$\lambda \in \xi a \sigma a . .$. $\pi \alpha i \omega \nu$ re $\gamma \in \nu 0 \hat{v}$ ，and with the verb before the participle Nem．xi，44， 45. For $\mu \dot{̀} \nu-\tau e$ ef．Nem، II．9，01．iv． 15. Prof．Seymour takes $\tau o \dot{o} \mu \nu=$＇part－ ly．＇For äppatı dat．after répas cf． O．and P．p．xxxvii．Dissen and others，regardless of the order， compare double datives such as those at 01．II． 14 f．，Pyth．vII． 2 （on which I wrongly gave this verse as a parallel case in 0 ．and P. ）， infra，vv．61， 62.
$\tau \in \dot{\prime} \chi \omega \bar{\omega} \ldots \boldsymbol{\gamma} \neq a s=$＇making（－the－ ode－on）－the victory；the theme is put in the place of the composi－ tion，as occasionally with moutw． This reasonable asssumption saves the passage from the charge of cor－
 67，＇working，＇＇causing（honour）．＇ Or is it＇making for Hêrodotos the gift due to the chariot＇？

15．$\nu \omega \mu a ́ \sigma a v \tau^{\prime}$ ．］Acc．agreeing with $\mu \nu$ ．＇For that he managed the reins with no alien hands．＇Note that $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho$ ．of $\chi \in \rho \sigma l=$ ouk $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda$ otp． $\chi \in \rho \sigma$ l．

16．＇Io入áoi．］Mss．－áov，but of． 01．xiII，35，Nem．rx． อ̄．
évapubjal．］Cf．Isth．VI．20，Nem． III．11，12，for the kind of phrase．

The strain of Iolâos was probably a variety（perhaps Theban）of the ¿ँттоs עbuos，the Kaatópetov being another variety of the same，cf．

Pyth，Ir．69．As Iolâos was cha－ rioteer to Hêrakles（Sohol．）$\downarrow$ perhaps an＇Io入．$\nu \mu \nu$ ．was sung in honour of an actual charioteer，as $v \delta 15$ sug－ gests．

Iolaos and Kastor are mentioned together in a Theban ode，Pyth．xı． 59．Were Hêrakles and Iolâos Theban Dioskuroi？
 best that were born．＇For the pre－ dicative adjective containing the most emphatic idea cf．Isth．11．12， Nem，x． 32.
 7，xuII．51，Nem．1．34，III．32，infra vv．34， 57 s Mezger，quoting Friese， Pind．p．28，joins er with the dat． to $\theta l$ yov here ；to ènucúpoaus（so Dis－ sen），OL．v．7；to $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi$ орор，Nem．
 In the last case I give the same construction but suggest another as preferable；in Ol．VI．，Nèm．viII． I altogether disagree．For the prizes mentioned Dissen compares Il．xxin． 264 fi．Elsewhere Pindar has a dat．after $\theta_{6} \gamma-$ c cf．the similar phrase dapetaîs olyowa，Pyth．viII． 22．Notwithstanding the accent of the participle $\theta$ ifoy is a frequenta－ tive aorist．

20．रpurov．］For gen．of mate－ rial cf．Pyth．Iv．71， 206.

21．үevóuevol．］So two（the old Vatican and Munich）mss．The rest бєvómevol．Cf．Nem．vi．25，Isth． iv． 20.
$\nu \iota \kappa a \phi o ́ \rho \omega \nu^{\circ} \lambda a ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ ठє̀ $\sigma a \phi \grave{\zeta} \stackrel{a}{\rho} \rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad 30$


' $A \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.


 ёрүиатє кєîto тé̀os.

 Eűрผ́тa $\pi$ тé $\lambda a s$,
'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
 Tvyסapídas ס' èv 'A $\chi$ aloîs viqíte e̋́os.
22. עuкафоршр.] 'Brought by victory.' Cf. Ol. xiII. 15, note.
$\lambda$ dıтеє.] Cf. Pyth. xi. 45, Ol. 1. 23.
23. iт $\pi$ ltacs.] Cf. Eur. Electra, 442, $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau a l ~ \mu \dot{\omega} \chi \theta o c$. For $\gamma v \mu \nu 0 \hat{i} \sigma \iota$, which is in contrast to $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta$., of. Thuk. 1. 21, Pyth. xı. 49; for the causative use cf. Nem. vi. 61.
24. Mezger takes iev also in this line as well as in the next without inserting with Dissen after otd $\tau \epsilon$ -
 ing ota ='How' exclamatory (ef. O1. 18. 89, 93, Isth. v. 62); but I prefer 'and as to the casts they made'; kal coupling év olorots to dxoyrl\}ovets. For the dat. alxuais 'with javelins,' ef. Nem. 1. 18, O1. xI. [x.] 72; $a l_{\chi \mu}{ }^{\prime}$ is probably derived from the root $l_{\mathrm{k}}$ (Schleicher).
25. Ėv סifoous.] 'In hurlings of the discus.' Dissen quotes Xenoph. Memorab. iII. 9. 2, ${ }^{2} v \pi \epsilon$ גraus кal
 cf. also Pyth. xı. 46, è $\dot{\text { áp }} \mu \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\iota}$ ка入$\lambda$ iрiко, ' in chariot-races.' uss. give ómóre without $\hat{\ell} v$.
26. $\pi \in v \tau a \in \theta \lambda \iota o v$.$] For form of.$

Pyth. virr. 66. The first invention of the pentathlon was said to have occurred during the Argonantic expedition. Cf. Schol. Apollôn. Rhod. iv. 1091. But Prof. Seymour quotes $O d$. viII. 123 ff ., where the contests in the special exercises of the pentathlon are still separate.
27. кєìтo.] Pass. of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$, of. O1. 1. 85, Nem. x. 48.
rê.os.] 'Prize,' Cf. Ol. xı. [x.] 67, Pyth. Ix. 118.
28. $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$.$] Sc. \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega v$, from $\lambda d \mu \pi \epsilon \in$ to кeîro $\tau \in$ रोos being a parenthesis.
 position of prep. ef. Pyth. 11. 11, Nem. ix. 14.
30. '1 $\phi$. Taîs.] Iolâos.
$\left.\Sigma_{\pi a \rho \tau} \hat{\omega} v.\right]$ The 'sown' men who sprang from the dragon's teeth.
31. Tvidaplōas.] Kastor, son of Zeus, putative son of Tyndareus.
$\dot{\nu} \psi i \pi \epsilon \delta o v$.$] Lit. 'lofty-sited'-$ 'highland.' Cf. Nem. x. 47.
olke $\omega \nu$.] A spondee. For adjectival use of participle cf. Nem. Iv. 29, viI. 65, Isth. HI. 5, 37.


 'A $\sigma \omega \pi$ oo $\omega$ 'nov $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ a i ̂ \sigma a \nu ~$
 «̈ ขıv є́ $\epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \nu a v a \gamma i a \iota s$



 $\theta$ өıà ф́́peı.
32. xalpete.] Addressed to the heroes, the topic of whose praise is thus dismissed.

Dat. commodi, 'in honour of.'
33. At Onchêstos on the shore of Lake Kôpâis was a temple of Poseidôn. Cf. Isth. III. 37.
$\pi \varepsilon p \iota \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega v$.] Metaphor from the toilette, cf. Nem. xi. 15.
34. rapúvouar.] A true case of a middle fut. of a verb of sound. Note $\gamma \eta \rho$ vt $\omega$.
a yak $\lambda \in e^{\alpha}$.] Part of predicate, 'as right glorious.'
atcav.] 'Career,' cf. Nom. vi. 49.
35. 'O $\rho \chi о \mu \in р о \hat{o}$.] Gen. after
 five.
36. vic.] Asôpodôros (Merger). Dissen is clearly wrong in referring it to the father.
 needlessly. Don. renders 'driven ashore.' But as 'driving people ashore alive' is not a specific or an usual function of shipwreck, it would hardly be attributed thereto in a metaphor. Render-' hard pressed.' He was shipwrecked in the 'fathomless brine,' i. e. factiontorn Thebes, and swam to shore, ie. fled to Orchomenos. The plural vavariaus expresses more than iso-
podôros' own misfortune, including those of his friends. Meager takes vavariaus literally.
39. $\left.\epsilon \pi \epsilon \beta a \sigma \epsilon_{\text {. }}\right]$ Supply $\nu \omega \nu$ from v. 36. Cf. Il. viIi. 285, for metathor from horses or chariots, ròv
 The aorist is apparently idiomatic, referring to the time of the lately won victory, on the strength of which Hêrodotos was probably restored to Thebes.
 40.
40. $\delta \pi$ nov ${ }^{\prime} \sigma$ uss.] A variation on $\pi \mathrm{d} \theta \epsilon \mathrm{l} \mu \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{os}$, Aesch. Agam. 177,
 रiүvєтац.
'He who hath endured, brings accordingly fore-knowledge to his mind,' i. e. adds fore-knowledge to his other mental powers; cal is rightly placed to emphasize the connection between $\pi \dot{o} v o s$ and $\pi \rho 0$ $\mu \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$.

Perhaps the poet means that Hêrodotos has learnt wisdom by experience and is therefore not likely to incur unpopularity again.
$\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$.] The past experience is a store whence at any time he can draw the basis of fore-knowledge; thus the present tense is appro-


 60 $\mu \eta े \phi \theta o \nu \epsilon \rho a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$

priate. Here $\pi \rho о \mu$ á $\theta \epsilon$ ca means 'the faculty of fore-knowledge' so that, as in Nem. III. 18, the present $\phi$ ¢́ $\rho \in \iota$ contains a reference to the past, ' hath won for and adds to.' Dissen's $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon$, ê $\chi \in t$ is only partly right, while in Nem. III. 30 фÉpet does not =texelv but 'to bear,' 'endure.' The active $\phi \ell \rho \omega$ is used indifferently with $\phi \in \rho \rho \mu a u$, but here, $\nu 6 \psi$ being reflexive, the active is natural. Cf. Nem. vi. 15.
41. ката́кetтal.] Xenoph. De Ven.
 effundere solet, seems the nearest parallel, dapectî being a dat. termini. 'If ho ( $\delta$ тогijaus) be expended, every impulse of him, on distinction.' Hermann's àpetd (of. Isth. rv. 17) cannot stand with $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \nu$ ojpdy. Hartung's кaтaө̂̂ $\tau$ ts removes none of the real difficulty. Dissen explains the verb as nearly equivalent to tyкeıтal, ėтiкelтat, incumbit. Kayser proposed d̀ $\rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha} . .$.
 opyáy is an acc. of reference, not= $\pi d \nu \tau a \tau \rho \delta \pi о \nu$ (Schol.). For sentiment cf. Isth. Iv. 22. There is no need to supply tis (cf. Ol. vi. 4, Nem. viI. 16). Prof. Seymour's rendering of d $\rho \in T \hat{c}$, ' excellence and the praise for excellence,' only errs in being too precise. The word cannot convey at one time with equal vividness the subjective and objective phases of an idea or a fact and its consequence. He quotes Theognis 29, 30, where def$\tau \boldsymbol{d} s$ is 'credit for virtues' rather than 'rewards for virtue;' Soph. Elect. 626, $\theta$ páoovs | roof' oúк dं $\lambda$ ú
$\xi$ ecs, where the causal genitive gives 'the punishment of,' so that the citation is irrelevant; Ol. viI. 89, Eur. Med. 297, where the notion of reputation for bravery, idleness is predominant; and Od . xiv. 417,
 (our) toil,' which is only remotely relevant.
42. à $\mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{\text {.] Cf. Ol. 1. 104, }}$ vr. 17.

סandávacs.] Dat. of reference, or sphere of action (state).
43. áydvopa к6 $\kappa \pi \pi \frac{\nu}{\text {.] }}$ ' Praise for thorough-manliness.' Cf. Isth. Iv. 24.
44. Dissen explains rightly; those who differ from him have got wild. The key to the passage is to recognise Pindar's (and others') irregularity in giving a general apodosis to a particular protasis (ef. Pyth. xi. 41-44, Nem. 1v. 79-84) or vice versa (Pyth. xı. 54, 55, Eur. Orest. 566-570). Here indeed the protasis is not exactly particular but only less general than the apodosis. The construction is $\chi \rho \eta$ ŋ̀
 remote object after $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \nu) \nu \nu \nu$ (dं $\rho \epsilon-$ $\tau \alpha \dot{\nu}$, direet object after є $\dot{v} \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$,

$\mu \eta \dot{\eta}^{\phi} \theta$ ov $\in \rho a i \sigma_{L}$.] The negative is to be taken with the adjective as in Nem. viII. 37.


 $\mu$ и́vo
áv $\delta \rho l$ $\sigma o \phi \hat{\varphi}$.$] 'For a poet,' as$ usual in Pindar.
 ò $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ кало́v．
 ๆ入ขкús， 65
 $\tau \rho \in ́ \phi \in \varepsilon$.


 $\xi \in \in \nu \omega \nu$ $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \varsigma$ ä $\omega \tau 0 \nu$. 75 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$. ă $\mu \mu \iota \delta^{\prime}$ є้оוкє K рóvov $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma i \chi$ Oov’ viò̀

46．тavtoס̀atêv．］This form is apparently on analogy from $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda o \delta=$ $\pi о \delta-\eta \mu \epsilon \delta-a \pi \delta s$ where the $\delta$ recalls the＇basic＇ $\boldsymbol{d}$ of Skt．pronominal compounds．For the－ $\boldsymbol{r}$ os query cf ． Lat．－quus in antiquus，propinquus， \＆c．？For dayi cf．Isth．Iv． 25.
einoyr＇．］Accusative．For the change of case cf．Isth． $\mathrm{v} .21, \mathrm{~L}$ ． and S．s．v． $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \tau \iota$ ，Matth．Gr．Gr． $\S 536$ obs．；and without an infini－
 －$\kappa \lambda$ óouray，on which Jebb quotes Aesch．Pers．913，$\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \tau a l ~ \epsilon ं \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma v i \omega \nu$
 dè．．．ndoxoval．We have another slight variation in Aesch．Agam． $1588[\mathrm{P}]$ ойтн ка入ò̀ $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каl тò кат－



 different from the two cases in the Isthmians，as the infinitive is that of result and comes between the substantive and the participle．See also note on Isth．II．11，$\delta \in \xi \alpha-$ $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu \psi$ ．
àa日bv．］Exactly our＇good word．＇

Gvvóv．］Cf．Pyth．Ix． 93.
$\delta \rho \theta \omega \bar{\omega} a t$.$] Cf．Pyth．iv．60， 01$. III． 3.

47．$\mu \mathrm{\sigma} \theta$ ds．］Observe the posi－ tion．＇Remuneration，differing for different employments．＇

49．$\delta \epsilon$ ．］＇For．＇
The poet seems not to think of hunting as a regular means of livelihood．
alay $\hat{\text { ．}}$ ］＇Teasing，＇＇galling．＇This epithet suggests a persistent annoy－ ance．Cf．Pyth．I．83，Isth．III．2， epith．of кbopos．
тетатац．］＇Is intent upon keep－ ing off．＇

50．Prowess in games and war are appropriately coupled as the chief tiplai dंpetal，of．O1．VI． 9 ff． For sentiment and ăpyrat kûous of． Nem．Ix．46．Here substantial rép－ סos is implied by calling kv̂ōos，\＆c． ＇the highest gain．＇
os dip
51．äwtov．］Cf．Ol．II．7，Pyth． x．53，Isth．vi． 18.
52．Poseidôn of Onchêstos the neighbour of the Thebans．Cf． Isth．mi． 37.
 $\dot{a} \rho \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ iт $\pi \pi о \delta \rho o ́ \mu \iota o \nu \kappa є \lambda a \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma a l$ ，
55 каì $\sigma \in ́ \theta \in \nu$, ＇А $\mu \phi \iota \tau \rho v ́ \omega \nu$ ，
$\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ v$ ， ò̀ Mıvía $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \chi \grave{\nu} \nu \quad 80$


＇А $\nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$ ．




 $\mu \epsilon і \zeta \omega$ фє́ $\rho \epsilon$ ．

53．＇Our neighbour，in return for his beneficence，＇i，e．in grant－ ing the victory．

54．＇To celebrate．，as lord of the horse－race with chariots．＇

55．ral．］Couples the games of Isthmos to Boeotian games which are coupled by $\tau \epsilon$ to each other，and again by кal to the games of Eleusis and Euboea．Cf．O．and P．pp． xxxvii－viii．Hêrakles and Iolâos were patrons of the great Theban games，the former the putative son， the latter the grandson of Amphi－ tryôn（ $\sigma \in \theta \varepsilon \nu \pi$ тaî $\delta a s$ ）．

56．Does this mean the famous Treasury of Minyas at Orchomenos （ef．Nem．vi．27）or i．q．$\mu \nu \chi o$ ôs， Nem．x．42？Certainly Orchomenos was in neither a corner nor a recess． Funeral games in honour of Min－ yas were held near his tomb．Paus． ix．38． 3.

57．¿̀v $\gamma \nu a \mu \pi \tau$ ois $\delta \rho b \mu$ ots．］To be taken with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon$ ereiv，＇in the sphere of，＇＇$d$ propos of bent race－courses；＇ cf．supra $v$ ．18．The epithet has espe－ cial reference to the frequent turns in the chariot race，of．Ol．vi． 75. Similarly Eur．Iph．in Taur．81，

סоónous te $\pi$ о入入ois $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \kappa а \mu \pi i-$ $\mu$ ous．

58．$\left.\delta^{\prime}.\right]$＇Also．＇Not quite the usual $\delta \bar{d}$ after a vocative．
The poet adds（ $\sigma \mu \mu \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda$. ．）the shrine by which，at Phylake on the Pegassean golf，the sepulchral games in honour of the hero Pró－ tesilâs were held．

60．$\ddagger \xi \in \epsilon \in \pi \in i ̂ v.] ~ C f . ~ N e m . ~ r v . ~ 33 . ~$
 cf．Nem．xi． 23.
à $\gamma \omega ́ v i o s.] ~ C f . ~ O l . ~ V I . ~ 79 . ~$
62． in $^{2}$ ． 1 s．］Additional dative of closer specification，of．O1．II．14，


 tòv voîv roîs davanalozots，Od．xir．

d́фацреīral．］In this sense，＇pre－ vents，＇takes $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in Trag．For sen－ timent，of．Pyth．1v．247，$\tilde{\omega}^{\rho} \alpha{ }^{2}{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ бvpda $\tau \epsilon$ ，where I should now com－ pare Eur．Supp．666， 1014.

63．गु $\mu \mathrm{d} \nu-\kappa \alpha \mathrm{L}$.$] ＇Verily oft－$ times that which is wrapped in silence actually brings more satis－ faction．＇

то入入áxs．］According to the pre－
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \delta^{\prime}$.



 крифаîov,
 фрáそєтац סógas ă $\nu \in v \theta \in \nu$.
vailing theory not a case of loss of final $\sigma$ (s), but a form without the casual s of $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda$ áкıs.

тो $\sigma \in \sigma \omega \pi a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$.] For form of. Ol. xiII. 91. The poet means that often it is politic to say least about the very success which is most pleasing and satisfactory.

If Thebes and Athens were at variance, a victory at Athens would be a case in point, and would bring satisfaction as an earnest of Olympian victory. Cf. Nem. x. 35. Some edd. alter to $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma a \mu \epsilon \in \nu v$.
64. $\epsilon l \eta \mu \nu \nu$.] Mgs. $\mu \nu \nu$. For phrase of. Ol. I. 115, Pyth. II. 96, Nem. vir. 25, Aristoph. Acharn. 1079, Od. II. 310, XvI. 243 ; and with pronoun suppressed Pyth. r.29. For sentiment cf. Pyth. v. 114, viII, 25, Nem. vII. 22, Theognis, 237, бoi
 $\alpha \pi \in\{\rho о \nu a$ тóvтоу | т $\omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ каl $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$
 of the Muses are songs; there is no need to suppose that Pindar regarded the Pierian goddesses as winged. Isth. III. 27, $\mu$ aprúpea $\delta$ ó $\xi$ as are borne on the air, äpras.
65. ET८ кal.] 'Besides also.'
$\Pi \nu \theta \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \nu$.] uss. $\amalg \nu \theta \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu$.

ধ̇६aupérocs.] Cf. Nem. x. 32 ; it only qualifies ' $O \lambda \nu \mu \pi$. Ép $\nu$.
66. фра́झa.] Perhaps 'fill to the full,' cf. farcio.
67. тєúxovт'.] Cf. supra, v. 14, $\tau \epsilon u ́ \chi \omega \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho a s$.
$\nu \in ́ \mu \in \iota$.] Schol. áтотащєєvoduєvos, 'lay up,' an uncommon sense of $\nu e ́ \mu \omega$. Perhaps 'lords it over' is what was meant.

крифаîov.] For sentiment ef. Nem. I. 31.
68. 'But inveighs against and jeers at others (who, like Hêrodotos, do not do so), he considereth not that he will render up his soul to Hades without honour.' Cf. Pyth. xi. 57, Nem. viri. 36, Theog-





te入écev.] Perhaps future, in spite of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ Nem. Iv. 43, and Prof. Seymour. For the debt of nature Cookesley quotes Hor. A. P. 62, Debemur morti nos nostraque. For the participle of. Ol. V1. 8, lo $\sigma \omega .$. $\epsilon_{\chi} \chi \omega \nu$, Nem. XI. 15.

## ISTHMIA II.

## ON THE VICTORIES OF XENOKRATES OF AKRAGAS WITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT.

## INTRODUCTION.

The position of the Isthmian victory, wv. $13-16$, before the Pythian victory justifies the classification of this ode among the Isthmia. But we cannot determine whether the celebration of Xenokrates' three victories by his son Thrasybulos had any special connection with an Isthmian festival, as Pindar had already composed an ode, Pyth. vi., in honour of the Pythian victory, and may merely for this reason have given prominence to the Isthmian. For the victor's family and the chronology of. Ol. II. Introd. Don. gives both в.c. 478 and в.c. 476 as the date of this Isthmian victory, whereas Ol. 75. 4, в.c. 477, is probably right. This victory is mentioned in Ol. Ir. 50, which was composed b.c. 476. This Isthmian ode was probably composed after Thêrôn's death in B.c. 473, certainly after Xenokrates' death. Donaldson and Cookesley both say that Thêrôn is spoken of as dead, which is hardly accurate. However vv. 43, 44 make it likely that he was dead and the democracy either established or expected. The rhythm is Dorian.

## ANALYSIS.

v.

1-5. Poets of old freely sang of their favourites.
6-8. For the Muse was not yet an artizan, nor were songs for sale.
9-11. But now we must regard the saying of the Argive'Money makes the man.'
12-22. Verbum sap. Famous are the victories of Xenokrates who won the chariot-race at Isthmos, Pythô, and at Athens, thanks to Nikomachos,

23-28. Whom the Elean truce-bearers knew and welcomed to Olympia,
28, 29. Where the immortals gave honour to Aenêsidâmos' sons.
30-32. Accordingly their homes are familiar with songs of triumph.
33, 34. It is easy to utter praises of men of high renown.
35-42. Praise of Xenokrates' popular disposition, his horsebreeding, and his hospitality.
43-48. Nikâsippos is enjoined to tell Thrasybulos not to be deterred by the envy of the commonalty from rehearsing his father's distinction and the odes he (Nikâsippos) has charge of, for they were not composed to lie idle.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau} \rho_{.} a^{\prime}
$$

Oí $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota, \omega \in \Theta \rho a \sigma \dot{\beta} \beta o v \lambda \epsilon, \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, ồ $\chi \rho v \sigma a \mu \pi v ่ \kappa \omega \nu$
 то́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$,

5 \%̋ $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ є่ $\omega \nu$ ка入òs єī $\chi \in \nu$ 'Афроסíтаs
$5 \epsilon \cup$ Ө $\rho o ́ \nu o v ~ \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu ~ a ́ \delta i ́ \sigma \tau a \nu ~ o ̉ \pi \omega ́ \rho a \nu . ~$


1. ol.] Mss. $8 \sigma 0$. хрибаити́кшә.] Cf. Pyth. III. 89.
2. $\delta\left\langle\phi \rho o \nu\right.$ Moı $\hat{a}^{\nu}$.] Cf. Ol. Ix. 81, Pyth. x. 65, Isth. vir. 62, and for the identification of the Muses' car with a victor's chariot, cf. Ol . vi. 23.

бขขауто́мєуо.] Of. O1. I. 96. Lit. 'coming into contact with,' i.e. 'taking up.'
3. $\dot{\rho} / \mu \phi \alpha_{\text {. }}$ ' Freely.' Metaphor from the regular unrestrained motion of a body flying through the air. L. and S. mislead as to the derivation by adding teppıцаи
 while $\dot{\rho} \not \mu \phi$ - is a nasalised and aspi-
rated form of $\dot{\rho} \pi$. For the aspiration cf. кри́фа.
$\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon$ lous.] 'Addressed to youths.' ĖTb̧ॄvov.] Cf. Ol. 1. 112, Nem. III. 65, vi. 27, Ix. 55.
4. उotis.] The antecedent is contained in $\pi$ au $\delta$ elous.
5. $\mu \nu \dot{d} \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu$.$] Of. Pyth. xII.$
 àศต́v $\omega \nu$.
$\delta \pi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$.$] Cf. Nem.v. 6. Alkae-$

6. à Moíra.] Not Terpsichorê, muse of lyric poetry, Mezger, but Erato, von Leutsch.
éprát ss.] 'A hireling.' The Schol. says that Simonides was the first
 à $\rho \gamma \nu \rho \omega \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a ~ \mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa o ́ \phi \omega \nu o \iota ~ a ̀ o \iota \delta a i . ~$

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$.

poet to take pay, quoting Kalli-


8. àpyvpuөєî̃ac.] 'With silvered brow,' i.e. with meretricious adornment such as a slave-dealer would dress out females with for sale. Dissen's explanation is rendered by Don. 'With hire in their looks.' For the participle ef. Nem. x. 43.
9. ${ }^{\prime} \phi(\eta \tau \iota$.] Doric for É $\phi$ ingl. Terpsichore is the subject.
$\tau \dot{\text { ápyetov.] Aristodêmos. Mezger }}$ thinks he was an Argive who migrated to Sparta, but the Schol. says that he was a Spartan, quoting Andrôn of Ephesos as enumerating Aristodêmos of Sparta among the seven wise men, and



 rimos. This I read thus from eiтeiv (which was probably elin as also "Rs was "חs and фaбw фal-$\sigma(\nu)-\chi \rho \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$, $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{2} \nu \dot{\rho} \rho, \pi \epsilon \nu \iota-$

 may restore oúdé $\pi 0 r^{\prime}$ at the beginning of $v .4$ from Suidas, who s.v. хрїиата quotes apparently another form of the proverb $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \tau^{\prime}$ d ${ }^{\prime} \eta \rho$,
 Frag. 50, alters the order, to accommodate the metre to that of the Stasiotica, Frag. 15 [1], thus " $\Omega$ s



 explains 'Aprelov as being used in the Epic sense = ' Peloponnesian.'

 d $\lambda a \theta$ elas ${ }^{\circ} \delta^{\circ}$ Psalm exix. v. 30. Hermann filled up a lacuna presented by the mss. with jō̂̀̂v, Bergk by érâs adjective $=$ 'real' from a Schol. on Il. x. 133,



Baivor.] 'Because it goeth.'
11. ös.] Demonstrative, as in Attic os $\bar{\delta}$ ध $\neq \eta$. For sentiment Cookesley quotes Horace, ‘ Nil satis est, inquit, quia tanti quantum habeas sis.

Өáua.] Bōckh; мss. $\theta^{\prime}$ ä $\mu \alpha$. Cf. Ol. I. 17 note.
入eı $\phi$ Efs.] Cf. Soph. Antig. 548,

 bum sapienti sat. 'I need not say more explicitly that my engagements for pay have prevented my sending you this ode before.' The poet does not mean that Thrasybulos would not pay him, but that if he had been composing for love, Thrasybulos would have come high on Pindar's list'; whereas under existing conditions his commission has had to await its turn for execution.
$\left.\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \hat{\omega}^{v}.\right]$ These particles have almost the force of $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda d{ }^{2}$ ráp: but whereas $d \lambda \lambda d$ is, as usual, adversa-

## 



##  $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \nu \quad a ̀ \delta \delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \nu \omega \nu$,

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$


 à $\lambda \lambda a ⿱ ̂ a ̀$.
tive, oiv is half continuative, half dismissive. 'Well then as you are a man of understanding (I declare without more ado that) right famous is the Isthmian victory-in-the-chariot-race that I sing.' He implies that though his praise is bought, it is genuine beyond dispute (cf. O. and P. p. xxxvii.). Cf. infra, vv. 33, 34. For the dative ! $\pi \pi$ тoוन ef. Pyth vi. 17, Isth. iII. 16. ov́k $a^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega \tau^{\prime}$.] So Mommsen after the oldest Vatican ms. Vulg. d$\gamma \nu \omega \tau^{\prime}$. For the predicative adjective of. Isth. 1. 17. Note the recurrence of จи̉к $\mathfrak{a} \gamma \nu \omega ิ \tau-v .30$.
15. кóma.] The dative after $\sigma \tau \epsilon-$ фáv $\omega \mu$. The verb ávadeī $\theta a \iota$ takes the accusative. Cf. Nem. xi. 28. Bergk's alteration of aủrệ to av̉ov is due to the Schol. roîs oûv rà

 Cf. a Schol. prefatory to the Nem-


 бềıva (Heyne inserted $\xi \eta \rho a \grave{)}$. But cf. Nem. Iv. 88, where I have followed the prevalent idea. A prefatory Schol. on the Isthmians says

 otéqavos. Another Schol. tells us that the crown was of parsley, because it was sacred to the infernal deities (of. Ol. xill. 33 note), and that when the games, which were originally funeral games in honour
of Melikertês (of. Frag. 1), were restored in honour of Poseidôn by Theseus, the pine was substituted for parsley. Cf. Pausan. viII. 48. 2. However Pindar seems to know nothing of the dry parsley or the pine. Cookesley remarks, "It is singular that Pindar should call it 'Dorian ' parsley; for the Isthmian games appear to have been a $\pi$ avin$\gamma v p t s$ of the Ionians of Peloponnesus and Attica; and they were dedicated to Neptune, an Ionian god." But Nem. iv. 88 shews that Dorian meant Korinthian. That the Dôrians appropriated preDôrian traditions we have seen on Ol. vII. 75. It is possible that $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda(\kappa \eta$, salix, are connected with $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \nu o \nu$, but neither salix nor $\sigma t-$ $\lambda_{\iota \nu} \nu_{\nu}$ can be connrected with $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda / \sigma \sigma \omega$ $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$. L. and S. are in error.
16. $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$.] For the imperf. where one might expect an aorist, ef. Thuk. I. 26, Shilleto, Soph. El. 680. For the infinitive of. Madv. 148 b.
17. фáos.] Cf. Ol. ri. 10, vi. 16, for similar use of $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ s, \delta{ }^{\delta} \mu \mu a$ cf. Pyth. v. 52.
18. iv Kplack.] Near Krisâ, of. Pyth. v. 35 and my note on Pyth. vi. 9 , and for $\epsilon y=$ 'near,' $O$. and P. p. xxxvii. Nem. x. 8.


 Ol. xiv. 4.
drdatav.] Cf. Ol. Ix. 106, xiii.
$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \theta \iota ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a i ̂ s ~ ' E \rho \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \delta a ̂ \nu ~ \chi a \rho i \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̀ p a \rho \omega ̀ s ~$


＇ $1 \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.

 víoa


14，Pyth．x．28，for the meaning ＇victory，＇＇glory of victory．＇Mss． separate á $\gamma$ ．from kal tó ${ }_{l}$ by a full stop，and give кal тó $\theta \iota$ к $\lambda$ cıvaîs ＇Ep．K．T．$\lambda$ ．Some Edd．read d ${ }^{\prime} \lambda$ ． кal тó $\theta \iota^{\prime}$ к入еtvaîs ö＇＇Ep．к．т．$\lambda$ ． Mommsen alters the full stop to a colon，wrongly，I think，as Thrasy－ bulos was charioteer at the Pythian games，cf．Pyth．vi．

19．kal ró $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ．］＇And so elsewhere ．．．to wit，in glistening Athens．＇ The demonstrative adverb，as it were，introduces a fresh charioteer． The victory at Athens was probably in the Panathênaea．
xapiтєб⿱⺌兀．］Not＇victories＇as

 but＇favours，＇i．e．＇prizes，＇or else ＇songs of victory．＇It is not easy to determine whether $\kappa \lambda$ ecvais is ＇renowned＇or＇making renowned，＇ but as $\lambda t \pi a \rho a l$ and $\kappa \lambda \epsilon t v a l$ are both applied to Athens in Frag． 54 ［46］， the former is preferable．
ajapwís．］＇Having attained；＇lit． ＇joined to ；＇of．01．I．22，Nem．IIr． 68，Iv．21，Isth．VII．19，infra $v$. 29．The subject changes from Apollo to Xenokratês．

20．oủk द̇ $\mu \epsilon \in \mu \phi \theta \eta$ ．］Meiosis，＇he has good cause to thank．＇

21．$\rho v \sigma i \delta \iota \phi \rho o v$.$] \quad ＇Chariot－pre－$ serving．＇For the dangers of the chariot race，of．Pyth．v．30－32．

22．Tàv．．．veî $\mu$＇$\dot{\text { àáárats．］M8s．}}$ $\nu \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ rdoals．＂To give the hand to the reins＇＝manibus omnes effun－
dere habenas，Verg．Aen．v．818， while $\nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \tau \nu$ implies that the loose－ ness of rein was allowed with judg－ ment，the team，even at full speed， being＇well in hand．＇

кard кatpóv．］＂At the right mo－ ment，＇＇the critical moment．＇

23．8утє．］＇He whom，＇i．e．Ni－ komachos，whom a Schol．states to have been an Athenian，the cha－ rioteer of Thêron and Xenokrates； the latter statement being however clearly based on a misconception of the passage．He seems＇to have


кdрикєs $\dot{\rho}$ àv．］Cf．O1．Iv．1，тєal

入отárшv $\mu \not a \rho \tau v \rho{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ ．Here the plural $\dot{\omega} \rho \hat{a} y ~ m a y ~ b e ~ d i s t r i b u t i v e, ~$ ＇the heralds of successive seasons （of the Olympian festival）．＇
$\left.\sigma \pi \sigma^{\prime} \delta o \phi o ́ \rho o c.\right]$ Proclaimers of the solemn truce throughout Greece． Officials not unlike the Roman fetiales．Cf．Pausanias，v．15． 6.

24．$\pi a \theta$ óvтes к．t．${ }^{2}$ ．］＇Having， I ween，considerable（？）experience of his discharge of the functions of a friendly host．＇The use of Epyov implies that he was their $\pi \rho \frac{\prime}{}{ }^{\xi} \in \nu 0$ ． The conjunction of $\tau \iota \pi o v$, Ol．1．28，

 токкl入oเs $\epsilon \xi a \pi a \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \iota \quad \mu \hat{v} \theta 0 \iota$－and
 $\lambda \omega \nu$, makes it very doubtful whether or no $\tau \iota$ goes with epyov．The par－ ticles convey a modest expression

## 


 $\Delta$ iòs






$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot \gamma^{\prime} .
$$


of uncertainty or vagueness, as though the proposition were tentative or too wide to be completely grasped; but the appeal to the sympathy of the audience makes them virtually give emphasis, as in this passage ; so with rov alone, Pyth. x. 11. The old Vatican ms. gives $\pi 0 \hat{1} \tau$, the other good mss. тоטि (опе тои́) тоя.
25. ¿ंōvสvó $\varphi$.] Cf. O1. XIII. 22,

26. $\chi \rho v \sigma$ éas.] Cf. Nem. v. 7, O1. xIII. 8, Isth. VII. 5.
èv roúvacıv.] Cf. Pyth. I. 74 for construction, and for idea Nem. V . 42.
28. ${ }^{2} \lambda$ cos.] Probably not from a root $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ - of. alo, of which ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \delta$ - is a secondary form; but from $\sqrt{ }$ sar, 'guard, 'keep,' whence salus,salvus, sollus, ő $\lambda o s$, and also saltem, saltus 'a whole tract of land,' and perhaps solium, 'reserved seat,' $\Sigma \in \lambda \lambda o l$, 'con-
 sera is rather to be referred than to sěro, sertum. For - $\sigma$ os of. ä $\psi o s$, $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma o s$ (from $\pi$ ét-бos, unless Curtius' theory as to $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma o v$ being from ETKer-бov, and also his view that $t$ does not pass into sigma before
any other vowels except $t, v$ be wrong. He has omitted to discuss the form $\pi$ évos, which omission is a serious flaw in his argument). Here $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \sigma$ os includes the * $A \lambda \tau i s$, which was a portion of the té $\mu \in \nu 0$ s planted with trees, but, as Dissen on OI. III. 17 points out, diloos does not necessarily imply trees, but means 'precinct.'
29. raîdes.] A purposely vague statement, as only Thêrôn won at Olympia.

Ev...Ëulx $\theta \in \nu$.] Tmesis. For the phrase of. supra, v. 19, ápapós.
30. кal ү'áp.] Mezger points out that these particles refer to $\dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu a \dot{a}-$ tots.
 the sense is passive. Here it is active as in Pyth. Ix. 58 ( $x$ oovos aโбav)...oű $\tau^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ $\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.
33. 'For there is no hill to climb, nor does the path even tend to slope upwards.' For metaph. cf. Nem. VI. 47, Isth. III. 19.
34. És avopŵv.] Sc. $\delta$ ómovs. Dissen quotes $0 d$. Iv. 581 , $\downarrow \psi \delta^{\prime}$ els Alyúmtoso, $\Delta u \pi \epsilon \tau \in ́=s$ тотацоі̆о $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \in d{ }^{\prime}$.
el...ä้yor.] Cf. Pyth. viII. 13.

## 





#### Abstract

' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$. $\gamma^{\prime}$.   


35. Dissen thinks that the hurling of the discus only is meant, 'Quare dккогтіббаи $\mu$ im' proprie dictum;' Donaldson on the contrary says that 'do $\sigma \kappa \eta$ jous is used in the primitive sense of סíros from סiкeîv.' The latter view is manifestly the best ; $\delta \sigma \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma a u s=$ pituas, Pyth. 1. 45, where, and Nem. vir. 71, the same metaphor is found, cf. also Ol. 1. 112, supra, v.3. The poet means ' may my praises be adequate to Xenokrates' superiority.'


36. inté $\rho$.] Cf. Nem. Ix. 54.
$\gamma \lambda v \kappa \varepsilon i a v$.$] Cf. Pyth. vi. 52, \gamma \lambda v$ -

 voy-of Thrasybulos himself. Dissen quotes Solon, Frag. 13 [4],


 of. Madv. § $150 a$, Ol. vir. 26.
37. aldoios.] 'Loved and revered.' According to Mezger it is the correlative of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a i \delta \dot{\eta} s, \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$. For such correlation cf. Johann. Damasc. quoted by Bergk at the


 סaei $\eta$. Solon however gives us the passive sense of alōoios in opposing it to $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta$ s. Now to his associates a bully is $\delta e c y o ́ s$, is hated and dreaded, while a truly gracious, courteous character inspires affec-
tion and respect. We must render $\dot{\text { on }} \mu \lambda \lambda \in \mathrm{i} \mathrm{v}$, ' in their converse with him.' Cf. Pyth. vi. 53, where the same kind of infinitive is rendered differently but similarly explained.
38. inत्रotpoфlas] The plural is probably distributive, ' divers kinds of horse-breeding.'
$\tau \epsilon$.] For $\tau e$ after $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ of. 01 . rv. 15, Nem. I. 9, viII. 30. The formula couples two ideas without adversative force, but draws special attention to the first; it may be rendered, 'Indeed...and besides.'
voul' $\quad \boldsymbol{\omega}$.] ' Practising.' Cf. Aesch.


ev.] 'According to.' Cf. Pyth. ¥. 62 , iv. 59, Nem. x. 28 , Dem. § 496 end.

Паре入入а́vшу vó $\mu$.] Cf. Eur.
 $\sigma \omega \dot{s} \omega \nu$, Isth. III. 47. In the manner of all Greeks who assemble for the great games:
39. ōaîras.] Mss. and Edd. princ. סtaitas. For the idea of. O1. III. Introd.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \in \pi т и к т о$.$] 'Used to cherish ;'$ lit. 'had folded to his bosom.'
oúde mote.] 'Nor did the wafting wind which blew around his hospitable table ever induce him to furl his sail.' Cf. on Pyth. r. 91, where this explanation was, I believe, first given, my note being in print when Mr Wratislaw commented on the passage before the Cambridge Philological Society; similarly Mezger.


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 $\epsilon \lambda \pi \delta \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ ，
$\mu \eta ं \tau ’$ à $\rho \epsilon \tau a ́ \nu$ тотє $\sigma \iota \gamma a ́ \tau \omega$ тaтрผ́av，





41．Cf．Eur．Androm．650，xiv
 pods｜vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \Phi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ ．．The Phâsis， the Nile，and the Pillars of Hera－ kles were the extreme limits of Hellenic（ordinary）navigation．The last had been used metaphorically in praise of Thêrôn，OI．III．44，and could hardly be used again for Xenokrates．Note the chiasmus．
$\theta \in \rho \in[a<s$,$] Sc．©゙paus．$
43．öт८，к．т．入．］＇Because envious expectations beset men＇s minds．＇ Cf．O1．VII．24，25，$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi\rangle \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{w}^{-}$
 кре́цаутаu．Dissen says the meta－ phot is from nets．The poet means that the democratic party were anxious for the Emmenidae to fall into oblivion．See Introduction．

44．$\sigma \iota \gamma a ́ \tau \omega$.$] The address to$ Nikasippos begins at $v .43$ ，so that Thrasybulos is the subject．

45．$\mu \eta \delta \in$ ．］Cf，oviтє．．．ov่ $\delta \in$, Myth． VIII．75，＇neither．．．nor indeed．＇
v゙upovs．］This ode and probably the skolion，of which Athênaeos has preserved a fragment，Frag． 101 ［89］．

46．Cf．New．v． 1.
47．N $\iota \alpha \dot{\sigma} \iota \iota \pi \pi^{\prime}$ ．］The transmitter of the odes to Sicily；cf．O1．vi． $85,86, \mathrm{O}$ ．and P ．pp．XXVIII，XXIX．
á $\pi$ óveє $\mu \mathrm{v}$ ．］＇Impart．＇The School． wrongly interprets by ává $\gamma \nu \omega \theta$ ， quoting the＇Axalav oú $\lambda \lambda$ oyos of



48．$\dot{\theta} \theta a \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$ ．］Doric for $\dot{\eta} \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \hat{o} \nu$. See L．and S．

## ISTHMIA III. [III. IV.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF MELISSOS OF THEBES IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

In the MSs. and in editions earlier than Böckh's the third Isthmian ode consisted of only one strophic system, ending at v. 19 (30), the rest being the fourth Isthmian. The identity of subject and rhythm, the connexion of thought in the two portions, the obvious incompleteness of the first portion and the abrupt and unique character of the supposed beginning of the old fourth Isthmian amply justify Hermann in proposing, and Böckh in adopting, the union of the five systems into one ode; but I think that originally there were six systems, of which the second has been lost (see note on v.19). Melissos, one of the noble and wealthy Kleônymidae of Thebes, probably gained this victory in the spring of B.c. 478 , in the year after the Battle of Plataea ( $v v .34-36$ ). The mention of Hêrakles' conquest of Antaeos and his clearance of the sea possibly glances at the Hellênic victory over the $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o$. The ode was probably recited at a meeting of the clan in a temple or before an altar. The rhythm is Dorian.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-3. One who enjoys good fortune in a moderate spirit is praiseworthy.
4-6. Zeus, the source of good capacities, makes the prosperity of the devout more lasting.
7, 8. The man of prowess must receive a meed of praise and song.
9-12. Melissos has gained two prizes, this at Isthmos and one at Nemea.
12-17. His merits are hereditary, as his noble and wealthy ancestors competed eagerly in chariut-races.
18. But only gods are exempt from vicissitudes.

19-23. By favour of the god Melissos' victory gives the poet ample opportunity for praise of his prosperous family.
23, 24. But the breeze of mortal destiny varies and shifts.
25-33. Praises of the prosperous Kleônymidae :
34, 35. Yet in one day four fell in battle.
36,37 . But now the winter of their sorrow gives way to the spring of success.
37-42. Poseidon, their neighbour, and the patron of the Isthmian games, has roused from slumber their ancient fame.
43-47. Their former achievements.
48. For they were averse to the obscurity of the unenterprising.
49-53. But in contests the issue is doubtful. Craft gets the better of sterling worth.
53, 54. Such was the case with Aias whom the Greeks drove to suicide.
55-57. But Homer made him famous everywhere for ever.
58-60. For good poetry is immortal, and universal as light.
61-63. May the Muses grant me to kindle such a beacon-flame for Melissos:
63-69. Who is brave and cumning, though of insignificant physique ;
70-73. As was Hêrakles compared with Antaeos;
73-78. Hêrakles, who after a glorious career dwells with the gods in bliss.
79-86. In his honour the Thebans celebrate yearly funeral sacrifices and games to his eight sons.
87-end. At which games Melissos, thanks to his trainer Orseas, won three victories.

Mezger sums up the fundamental ideas of the poem as follows. "Melissos and his clan should be highly praised because they are fortunate both in wealth and in victory, and yet keep their pride within bounds. For though they like all mortals are not exempt from vicissitude and have to endure much sorrow, yet still in the victory of Melissos and the consequent reawakening of the fame and the poetic praises of the clan a fresh spring has brought back what the winter had taken." He tells us that Perthes rightly says that the
myth of Aias refers to the unsuccessful efforts of the Kleônymidae to win victories, while Melissos in his success resemblas Hêrakles.

No doubt the uncertainty of human affairs is one of the main strands in the thread of song, but another conspicuous strand is the power of song to reward merit ( $v n .7,8 ; 19-21 ; 27-29 ; 39,40$; $44,45,55-63 ; 90)$. One difficulty with respect to the interpretation of the ode is that $v v .37-45$ quite ignore the victory of Melissos in the chariot race at Nemea and (which is less important) the three victories mentioned at the end of the ode.

This difficulty is solved by the assumption that this Isthmian victory was the first success which had been specially celebrated by a proet. If he won at the Nemea just before the battle of Plataea the disturbed state of affairs at Thebes would quite account for there not haring been an ode.

Another difficulty is the suggested disparagement of $\tau \in \chi \nu a(v .53)$, though the victor is represented $v v .65,66$ to have won by rí $\chi$ va. But in the latter passage the word used is not $\tau \in \notin \nu a$ but $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s$, and so in $v .53$ we must take тє́ $\chi^{\nu a}$ to be coloured by $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ v \omega \nu$ and to be used in a bad sense as in Pyth. II. 32. Thus the poet makes a general insinuation that the clan had been deprivel of their full share of honours in the great games by dishonest or dishonourable means.

It is however possible that the success of Melissos was unpopular, and that though crowned he was not honoured (vv. 3, 55, 77). So that as far as honour went he himself was like Aias. V. 66 is decidedly apologetic. The word $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu a$ would cover nice objections lodged against his manner of conducting the struggle.

A third strand is the ascription of worth, fame and happiness to the gods (vv. $4-6 ; 19,23 ; 33 ; 37-41 ; 61 ; 76-78)$.

We may accept the poet's own criticism of this ode. He callsit,


## $\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}$.



1. Giv.] The construction with this preposition is half-way between that of Pyth. I. 38, ov̀v (merely 'in connection with') $\epsilon \dot{v}$ $\phi \dot{v} v o t s ~ \theta a \lambda$ ials bovpaotáv, and of Nem. x. 48, 'by means of.' Of
course the preposition is to be taken with єưruरमेбats. For eurv$\chi^{\epsilon} \omega$, in connection with games, of. Nem. 1.10.

єidobzots.] 'Glorious' rather than 'glorifying,' cf. Pyth. vi. 16, tho

#  äछıos єن̉入oriaıs d̀ $\sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \in \mu i ̂ \chi \theta a \iota$. <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  

 an adjective qualifying a sphere of action the causative phase of meaning is too clearly implied to need special attention.
2. $\left.\sigma \theta \in v \in \iota_{0}\right]$ Cf. O1, IX. 51 , v̌ $\delta \alpha-$ Tos $\sigma \theta \in \mathcal{L}$ os, of a flood, Frag. 84 [74],
 list of overwhelming calamities; so that in neither passage is the idea of 'might' absent, and therefore Dissen's 'copia' is inadequate. Here again the rendering 'abundance' is unsatisfactory in view of Pyth. v. 1, 'O плои̂тos єvipuafevís, when combined with d $\rho \in \tau \hat{q}$ cua $\quad$ a $\rho \hat{q}$, cf. also Isth. iv. 2, 3, Frag. 207
 $\pi \lambda$ ои́т $\frac{1}{}$ alone would involve the idea of copia, so that its expression would be otiose. I conclude then that $\sigma \theta \in \dot{v}$ os $\pi$ गoúrov means 'potent wealth,' of. O1, vi. 22, $\sigma \theta \in$ vos $\dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{m}$ $\nu \omega \nu$, 'strong mules.'

катеєєє.] 'Keeps down,' of. So10n, Frag. 4 [13]. 7, $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \mu \delta^{-}$






 катє $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.

фрaбlv.] Locative, of. Nem. x. 28.
alav $\hat{\eta}$.] This epithet is applied, Pyth. 1. 83, to кбpos, the 'surfeit' of hearing excessive praise of another. In both places it means 'disgusting,' 'sickening.' For the present use of кopos cf. Nem. 1. 65,

 $\dot{\psi} \pi \in \rho \rho \pi \lambda$ о, O1. xill. 10, note. The victor's кб́pos is parent of vँ $\beta \rho \iota s$, which is parent of other people's $\kappa b \rho o s$ at the victor and his praises.
3. єv̉doriaus.] Cf. Nem. XI. 17,

$\mu \epsilon \mu i \chi \theta a c$.] Of. O1. 1. 22, Nem. III. 68, 1v. 21, Isth. vi. 19. For the perfect cf. O1. I. $53, \mathrm{Nem} . \mathrm{III}$. 84, ix. 41, Dem. p. 564 fin., т $\boldsymbol{\eta l}_{\ell-}$

 $\dot{v} \mu \mathrm{Eis}$.
 merits,' such as success in games, proper use of wealth and modesty in prosperity.
5. $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \sigma \in \theta \in v$.] Note the emphatic position.
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega v$.] For the comparative cf. Nem. viII, 17. Not even piety and modesty can prevent great prosperity from being unstable; of. even Pyth. vir. 20.
$\delta \pi \iota \xi_{0 \mu e ́ v} \omega \nu$.] 'When folk revere thee;' ef. Pyth. viir. 43, 1. 26, infra, v. 49 , note.
$\pi \lambda a \gamma$ lacs.] 'Froward.' Cf. Nem. r. 64, $\pi \lambda$ аүіч ко́рч.
6. oix j $\mu \mu \hat{\omega} s \pi d$ duva.] 'Scarcely any.' An exaggeration veiled by meiosis. For $\dot{\delta} \mu \hat{s} \pi \pi^{2} \nu \tau a$, see L. and S. ${ }^{j} \mu \omega \bar{s}$.
$\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.] Cf. Pyth. vil. 21.










 ठè коі̀خa 入éontos

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{a}$＇．





 D． 324.
7．ä $\pi$ ouva．］Acc．of＇general agreement，＇cf．Isth．vir． 4 and 01. viI．16，where I explained äтоьขa as a quasi－cognate acc．like（ $\kappa \in \lambda \alpha-$
 59，which I then regarded as a substitution for $\dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \nu$ ，but I now think it simpler to regard this жot． $\tau \in \theta \rho$ ．also as an acc．of＇general agreement．＇

 $\kappa а \lambda \lambda i \sigma \tau a u s$ do $0 \delta \alpha i ̂$ s，cf．also Isth．viI． 59， 60.
8．$\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\delta \epsilon}$ ．］For Dr Kennedy＇s ＇peculiar idiom of Sophocles in sometimes repeating the same word with each $(\mu \hat{\prime} \nu, \delta \hat{\delta})$ ，＇cf．Nem． xi．3，4，6，7，x．27，28，vi．10，11， x．62，63，Ol．xiri．14，16，Pyth．1x． 123，5，Isth．iv．30，v． 71.
$\chi$ apiz $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ．］＇Songs，＇Of．Isth． viI．16，Pyth．Iv．275．For the epithet drav．of．Nem．Ix．49， $\mu a \lambda \theta a x \underline{\imath}$ oiv diotợ．L．and S．are rash to give Curtius＇hesitating connection with $\quad$ dávual，$\gamma$ divos without a query．The sense points

there is plenty of analogy for the change of $\kappa$ to $\gamma$ ．
$\beta a \sigma \tau$ dбat．］＇To exalt．＇Cf． 01. xir．19，$\sigma \tau \epsilon ф а \nu \omega \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs ．．．$\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{\alpha}$ Nuнфằ 入out $\rho$ d $\beta$ actáSés．For pro－ bable etymology see Lewis and Short，s．v．gero．

9．кal ס亢ố́u $\omega v$ ．］＇Even twain．＇
10．$\tau \rho \epsilon \psi \mathrm{ac}$ ．］For infin．of．Ol． I． 9, кє $\lambda a \delta \in \tilde{i}$, infra，$v .61$.

11．及áббаuбtv．］Cf．Nem．II． $21, \mathrm{x} .42$.
$\delta \in \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \psi$ ．］The subject to $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \neq a$ is $\mu$ oipa，as the dative agreeing with Me $\lambda / \sigma \sigma \omega$ shows on comparison with iконе́vous，Ol．1． 10 ，for which ef． Isth．I．46，v．21．For the mean－ ing＇win＇cf．Nem．II． 4.
oreqávous．］For the one victory in the pankration．For the plural ef．Pyth．x． 26.
＇$\tau d \delta$ ह仑．］Cf．O1．rx． 95 ．For the change of construction，here in－ volving a change of subject，cf．Ol．


12．$\quad v^{v}$ ．］Note the position．
Ө $\dot{\eta} \beta$ av．$]$ The Eponymous heroine stands for the city；of．Ol．vI． 85.

13．крatewp．］Cf．Ol．Ix．112， Nem． v .5 for the tense．

ס＇．］＇For．＇There is a sort of hypallage in this sentence，＇the prowess of his worthy kinsfolk．＇

## 

סó gay тaдaıà̀ atp $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ．
каі̀ $\mu а т \rho о ́ \theta \epsilon ~ \Lambda а \beta \delta а \kappa i ́ \delta a \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \sigma ن ́ v \nu о \mu o \iota ~ \pi \lambda о и ́ т о v ~$

 ăтрштоí үє $\mu \grave{a} \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.


16．For the dative cf．Isth．II． 13，Pyth．vi． 17.

17．$\sigma \dot{v} \nu \nu$ odor．］Mommsen on O1． Ix． 16 points out the rarity of two consecutive syllables in different words beginning with a single $\sigma$ ， so he reads $\xi$ 系vopor．Instances occur Pyth．Iv．217，Nem．Ix．54， xi．10，a corrected instance Myth． 1． 37.
 lung $\pi \lambda о u ́ \tau \Psi ฺ ~ \delta \iota \in ́ \sigma \chi o v ~ к a l . . . . ~ D i s-~$ sen and others take $\pi \lambda$ ourov with
 léval，Soph．Oed．Rex， 773 and similar phrases，but L．and S．（after Thiersch and Cookesley），s．v．oúv－ vouot，rightly take the genitive with the adjective．The verb $=$＇they walked consistently，＇＇held on their way，＇（òє́ $\rho \chi$ оутaц $\beta$ เóтоv тє́入 os，v．23）； cf．Dem．I．65．Thus $\pi$ bots is a dative of manner（not as Dissen， commodi，＇they were wealthy ［enough］for，＇nor as Merger，of accompanying circumstances）．

18．alúv．］＇Fate．＇Cf．New．I1． 8，and perhaps Isth．viI． 14.
$\kappa v \lambda \iota \nu \overline{0}$ ．］Locative，＇as days roll on．＇Cf．Isth．viI．14，$\delta 6 \lambda \iota o s$ aide ．．．er $\lambda(\sigma \sigma \omega \nu \nu$ ßiov $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho o v$, Verg．Aen． Ix．7，volvenda dies，den．1． 269, Philistiôn（Meineke，Com．Ed，mi， p．1039），$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \gamma d े \rho ~ ठ \psi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ к \alpha \kappa \omega े \nu ~$
 х $\rho$ о́оs кv入ірঠєтаи，11．11．295，$\pi є \rho \iota-$ тролє́ $\omega \nu$ évlavtós．
$\epsilon \in \xi \alpha \lambda \lambda a \xi \varepsilon \nu$.$] ＇Is wont to produce$ divers thorough（ $\epsilon \xi-$ ）changes at divers times．＇
$\left.d^{\prime \prime} \tau \rho \omega \tau 0 \iota.\right]$ Cf．New．XI． 10.
$\left.\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon} \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu.\right]$＇Howbeit．＇Time and change and fate affect the gods； but，come what may，they are un－ hurt．
$\pi a i 0$ os $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ ．］The Schol rightly interprets＇the gods themselves，＇ cf．infra v．54，$\pi a l \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$＇E $\lambda \lambda{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$ ． For sentiment of．Pyth．1．21，$\mu$ ो $\phi \theta$ оעєраîs éx $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \mid \mu \in \tau a \tau \rho о \pi i a u s ~ \in \pi t-$


19．This verse used to begin Isth．IV．，which however is mani－ festly the same ode as the fore－ going eighteen lines．But from the break in the continuity of thought and the similarity of the ideas of the six verses $19-24$ to those immediately preceding I in． fer that eighteen verses are lost， and that the gap caused the divi－ sion into two odes．The general sense of the lost passage may well have been，＇Mortal man cannot hope for the abiding welfare of gods．They are enough bleat by precarious prosperity，which indeed may be made more lasting by vir－ tues such as those of the house whose praise I have to sing＇－in short，a variation on and develop－ mint of the theme of $v v, 3-6$ ．
$\kappa \in \lambda \varepsilon v \theta$ os．］For metaphor cf．Dem． vI．47，Isth．v． 22. à入入oîos oủpos

＇ $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.





20．evj $\mu a \chi a v i a v.] ~ C f . ~ P y t h . ~ I z . ~$
 ＇Thou didst open up abundance of devices for pursuing in song（the theme of）the merits of thy kins－ folk and thine own．＇
21．iцнете́pas．］＇Of you and yours．＇
$\delta \measuredangle \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon เ ข$.$] Cf．Xenophôn，Mem．$



22．Death alone has put a period to the prosperity of the Kleôny－ mids．
$\theta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda o v i \epsilon s$.$] Note the repetition$ from $v .6$ ，dंel reproducing $\pi$ mávia $^{2}$

 cludes the present generation．

23．$\beta$ เbтov．］Two inferior mss． and Ed．Rom．ヶo $\beta l i o v$ ，good mss． Blov．Cf．Isth．vir． 15.
ténos．］Render，＇span of life given to mortals，＇or less literally， ＇span of，mortal life．＇For ote $\rho \mathrm{p}$ ． $\tau \in \bar{\lambda}$ ．cf．Aesch．P．V．285，$\tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \kappa$ ， $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{-}-$
 vos．
 104，O1．VII． 95 supra，v． 18.

24．ĖTat $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ．］Not＇making a dash，＇L．and S．on $\begin{aligned} & \text { tratkas，} I l \text { ．ir．} \\ & \text { ．}\end{aligned}$ 146 ；but＇rushing，sweeping over．＇ For ènaively of the wind of．Eur．

Heracl．431，хєрбóӨev nvoaî̃ıv ク̉ไá－

25．tol $\mu$ èv $\left.{ }^{\omega} \nu.\right]$＇These indeed．＇ The case of the Kleônymids is an illustration of the vicissitude which is the portion of all men．The particle $\mathscr{\omega}^{v}$（oviv）gives emphasis to the persons mentioned（Paley，Gr． Particles，p．59）or to the state－ ment，while $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is taken up by $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́, ~ v .34$ ，of．Ol．ix．5，Nem．11． 20，Isth．iv．46，viI．56．For this use of $\mu$ èv oûy cf．Lysias，pro Callia， Ėvómu


тє $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ．］＇As dignitaries．＇
$\lambda$＇́royтat．］Cf．Nem．II． 18.
26．They displayed both princely and civic virtues．
$\kappa \in \lambda a \delta$ ．üßptos．］Not＇noisy insult，＇ L．and S．，but＇loud－voiced，hec－ toring insolence．＇Dissen compares O1．xiII．10，v̈ß $\rho \iota \nu \quad \theta \rho a \sigma v ́ \mu \nu \theta_{0} v$ ，which is rather unbridled as to the matter of speech than＇blustering，high－ toned．＇

27．ö $\sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau$ ．äทта兀．］For the metaphor cf．Isth．I． 64 ；for the witness of song of．Ol．Iv． 3 ；for $\epsilon \pi^{\prime} \dot{d} \nu \theta \rho$ ．of．Ol．mi． 10.

28．$\tau \varepsilon_{\text {．］}}$ N Note the Greek idiom of using a copulative particle where we use a disjunctive，＇or．＇Cf． Isth．v． 15.



'Е $\pi$. $\beta^{\prime}$.


$\chi а \lambda \kappa \epsilon ́ \varphi$ т' "A $\rho \in \iota$ ä $\delta o \nu$.

25
35 траХєîa $\nu \iota \phi a ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о \iota o ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ́ \mu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu ~$ ма́каьрау є́бтіад.


29. àm $\overline{\text { éćrov.] For derivation cf. }}$ Pyth. III. 106, where for Hermann's
 modus (quoting Solon. 8, тiктєt tot
 and three instances of $\dot{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\text { a }}$, one of ${ }^{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} \lambda a$ in Pindar.
 attained with regard to every kind of perfection' (or 'of dignity'). An exaggeration no doubt, cf. Pyth. x. 28. For $\tau$ '̇ं cos cf. Nem. III. 70. Dissen renders "quoquoversum ad finem usque," comparing "катд т́́vтa (gänzlich)," so that Mezger's "к. $\pi . \tau$. = кarà $\pi$ ávra (gänzlich)Dissen," is misleading. The exaggeration is tempered by the use without a case expressed after it of ė $\pi \iota \not \subset a v ́ \omega$, which Pyth. rv. 92 with a genitive means 'aspire to,' 'seek.'
àvopéaus ס', к. . T.. .] Cf. Ol. III. 43, $^{2}$



 Isth. II. 41. Here $\delta^{\prime}=$ 'for,' and àvopéass is dative of manner. For the dat. orá入aıoı of. Pyth. Ix. 120.
31. Hartung, ©̊̀ $\mu \dot{\kappa} \kappa \epsilon \tau \iota \mu а к \rho о ́ т є \rho ’$ $\hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu \sigma \pi$. ${ }^{\alpha} \rho$. Christ (Mezger), тâv

infinitive of. Pyth. II. 24, Nem. Ix. 6. Emendation is needless, as кal $=$ 'verily.'
$\mu a к \rho о т$ हिav.] For the adjective with adverbial force of. Ol. xiri. 17.
33. äov.] Has the initial digamma ; 'found favour with.'
34. ád $\lambda d \ldots \alpha^{2} p_{0}$ ] Indicate an ellipse, 'But they have not escaped vicissitude, for, \&c.'

Probably the great day of Pla taea, в.c. 479, is meant.
35. $\nu \iota \phi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \circ$.] For the kind of metaphor cf. Isth. Iv. 49, 50, vI. 27, Nem. rx. 37, 38. Contrast Sophokles' more vague and commonplace $\delta o \rho d s$ èv $\chi \in \epsilon \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$.
36. $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \kappa โ \lambda \omega \nu \mu \eta \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$.] Generally taken with póooos, or, at any rate, as a genitive of time with $\dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \chi \chi^{\theta} \dot{\omega} \nu$ äv $\nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, an order being assumed, for which, as has been remarked, "it would be hard to find a parallel." Now I take the words almost as they run, 'but now once more after (their) wintry gloom of changeful months (they blossom [ $\alpha$ vi recalls the idea of $\theta$ á $\lambda$ дov $\tau \epsilon s, v .22]$ ), as the earth blossoms (every April) with red roses, by the counsels of the deities.' The winter of sorrow (cf. Isth. vi. 39) probably lasted
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \phi u \rho a \nu ~ \pi о \nu \tau \iota a ́ \delta a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ K o \rho i v \theta o v ~ \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$,
 40 éк $\lambda \epsilon \chi$ モ́ $\omega \nu$ à àá $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ фá $\mu a \nu$ тa入aıà
from Metageitniôn，01．75．2，to Munychiôn，01．75．3，i．e．from August to the next April．These months are decidedly more change－ ful than those of late spring，sum－ mer and early antumn．Chrysip－ pos agrees with me in making


 phrase＇many coloured months＇ too artificial for Greek，at least of such an early period？See Orelli on Auctumnus ．．．varius，Hor，Od． II．5．11．Very likely the coming фогиккоьбル determined the choice of $\pi$ ouki $\omega \nu$ ，but still there is the contrast between metaphysical changefulness and chequer and the general，comparatively lasting glow of the natural Spring．Prof．Paley thinks that by póoors＂the scarlet anemone is meant，which in Spring is said to fill the woods both in Asia Minor and the Peloponnesus． They are alluded to Pyth．Iv．64， in a simile not unlike that of this passage．＂I may here note some other instances of deranged order， namely Ol．rv．1，viII．5，Pyth．Iv． $24,106,214$ ．Of these，two are to be explained by my suggestion that the beginnings or ends of consecative verses were regarded as contiguous in position，so that to the five instances I give may be added O1．nv．1，teal ràp ஹ̣paı
 бо́цєขаі－$\mu^{\prime}$ ётєцчау，Pyth．1v．
 кр $\ddagger \mu \nu$ д́vт $\omega \boldsymbol{y}$ ，as well as Nem．int． 68 ，iv．1，Isth．III．70，iv．19，43， v．39，vi．46，viI．28．In Pyth．iv． 214 the last word Où入u $\mu \pi \delta^{\prime} \theta \in \nu$ goes
with the end of the next line but one，the intervening line being short and with all its words in
 $\kappa u ́ \kappa \lambda \varphi$ ，so that the order falls in with the general principle of my suggestion．As to 01 ．viII． $\mathbf{~}, ~ \mu a \imath o-~$
 I demur to the rendering＂－the desire to achieve great glory，＂ and propose＇yearning in heart after great prowess to achieve it．＇ There remains Pyth．iv．106，$\alpha \rho$－
 sage $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{a}^{2}$ is separated by three lines from dopalav．But $\beta$ act $\lambda e v-$ oнévà．．．тd̀ keep up the connec－ tion．I ought to have recorded Chaeris＇reading，given in the Schol．，àpxà̀ à $\gamma \kappa о \mu$ ís $\omega \nu$ ．Otherwise the Schol．makes ápxalay a noun， comparing Od．xIX．73，àvaүкаiך रdj é $\pi$ el ${ }^{2}$ El．See Eustath．475．1， on $1 l$ ．rv． 297 ff ．，$\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$





37．סaıцóv $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ßou入aîs．］Mezger compares $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ Exatı in the same place in the preceding strophe and oivv $\theta \in \hat{\varphi}$（ $v .23$ ）similarly placed to $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \in \theta \in \nu(v .5)$ ．
ó кıขᅱтท̀ $\gamma$ रâs．］A paraphrase of

＇ $0 \gamma \kappa \eta \sigma \tau \partial \nu \nu$ oiк＇́ $\omega \nu$ ．］Cf．Isth．I． 33. For olicéair of．Nem．vir．65，but here the god＇s neighbourhood to Thebes and patronage of the Isth－ mos may give the cause of the Theban＇s Isthmian victory．

38．रéqupav．］Cf．Nem．v．40，


#  $\chi \rho \omega ิ \tau a ~ \lambda a ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \ell$, 


＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$\gamma^{\prime}$.


 ov่סè $\pi a \nu a \gamma v \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ \xi v v a ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \chi o v ~$
 $\chi a i ̂ \rho o \nu$ ì $\pi \pi \omega \nu$ ．



41．$\in \nu \cup ี \pi \nu \varphi$ ．］Compare the Bibli－ cal＇fell on sleep．＇For the meta－ phor cf Isth．VI，16，à $\lambda \lambda \dot{d}$ т $\alpha \lambda$ aud $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho-\varepsilon v ̃ \delta \epsilon \iota \chi$ đápls，and for $\epsilon \in \nu$ cf．Pyth．
 кiay．
$\pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \varepsilon$ ．］＇Had fallen．＇
àveरєє $\rho \circ \mu \in ́ v a$ ．］＇Now in her a－ wakening her form shows fair and bright．＇Cf．Isaiah 1x．3，＇the brightness of thy rising．＇

42．Cookesley compares Milton， Lycidas，v．168．＇A $\omega \sigma$－is one syl－ lable．

43．ä $\tau \epsilon$ ral．］＇She（Fame）who indeed．＇For кท̉v（Bergk after Medicean mss．）Mommsen，after Vatican B，кєiv，Böckh кå $\nu$ ．
＇A $\theta a \nu a ̂ \nu.] ~ M s s, ~ w r o n g l y ~ ' A \theta \eta v a ̂ \nu . ~$ Mommsen reads＇$A \theta \alpha \nu$－except in the epic form of the goddess＇name ＇A ${ }^{\prime} \eta$ vala，O1．vir．36，Nem．x． 84.
$\nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \nu$.$] For present cf．on Nem．$ จ．5．The subject is $\alpha \rho \mu a$ ．

44．Cf．Nem．Ix．The subject of $\omega \pi \pi a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and of $\pi \in \sigma \epsilon \nu$ above is $\phi \delta \mu a$ ．The family fame is first personified in a highly realistic manner and then confused with Fame in the abstract．

45．тoLáōє．］Refers to $\tau \delta \nu \delta є v .39$.
 of those days．＇
$\left.\phi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda^{2}.\right]$ Metaphor from the $\phi v \lambda$－ $\lambda_{0} \beta_{0} \lambda a$ ，of．Pyth．Ix．124，Pyth． viII．57，Nem．Iv．17．For the idea of weaving crowns of song cf．Ol． VI． 86 ．

46．тavar．छvv．］The four Great Games．

47．凹avє入入а́vยбб८．］Cf．Isth．II． 38.

48．＇The silence of oblivion is （the portion）of those who make no essay．＇Cf．Isth．vir． 70.
ayvatot．］So Mommsen，for once omitting to notice the v．l．alvworou （Bergk）．Two good mss．give M．＇s reading äjvwtov，O1．vI．67．Ac－ cording to Cobet，Novae Lectiones， p． 191 （ed．Leyden，1858），＂A－ $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o s$ bene Graece significat eum qui intelligi non potest．＂For sen－ timent cf．Isth．VII． 70.

49．＇But there is uncertainty about fortune even when men are contending．＇One cannot say that Hermann＇s＂eorum qui certant＂is wrong，for an ancient Greek would grasp the sense without feeling any ambiguity in the grammar，but as we have to analyse，it is perhaps best


$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \kappa \rho \in ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu ’$ à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \chi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \omega \nu$
 фoivıov，тà̀ óquía



$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime} .
$$



to choose the true participisl use， unless better sense is given by the adjectival nse．Cf．supra，v． 5. For certain participial use ef．Pyth．


50．тènosăkpov．］Cf．Nem．vi． 24．The verse means＇until one has actually secured the prize．＇Herethe first place，highest achievement，is meant，but in Pyth．ix． 118 the phrase means＇first prize．＇

51．Tஸ̂y TE кal rต̂v．］Partitive genitive，＇bad as well as good，＇of． Pyth．viI． 22 ；of mere variety， 01. II．53，Nem．L 30，Demosth．p． 560

 ognis， 890 ，то入 $\mu a ̂ y ~ T d ́ ~ т є ~ к а l ~ \tau a ̀ ~ ф e ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$
53．Tt＇Xva．］Here＇guile，＇＇sharp practices，＇see Introd．

катаиd $\rho \psi a, \sigma a$ ．］＇Is wont to get a good（кata）hold of and throw．＇ Metaphor from wrestling．
to $\sigma$ e．］＇Surely ye know of the valiant heart（ $\dot{a} \lambda \kappa \alpha \nu$ ）of slaughterous Aias，for his having transfixed which on his own sword he lays the blame on，de．＇For $\mu о \mu \phi \dot{\nu}$ Ėx $\omega \nu$ cf．Aesch．P．V．445，$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \not \psi_{i \nu}$ ỡ－


 ford the subject ground for com－ plaint＇is not an analogous phrase， while in the passages cited by Bergk，Eur．Heraclid．974，$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \nu$


Isaeos，Or．xr．39，the phrase is used in the passive sense absolutely：so that there seems to be no support for the passive sense of $\mu$ о $\mu$ वे ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Xet if a dative follow；we should expeet vimdे $\pi a \alpha \delta \omega \nu$（Cobet，Novae Lect．p．500），though Bergk＇s＇$\chi$＇ È $\nu \pi a l \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega$ gives a possible con－ struction．The imperfect however seems unsuitable，and an alteration， in the face of a possible inter－ pretation of the ms，reading，is objectionable．With the hero＇s death as the result of his loss of the highest honours the poet is here concerned，but not at all with contemporary judgments on the suicide．My explanation gives point to the $\Phi$ and to the tense of $\begin{gathered}\text { Eqe．}\end{gathered}$
 when，according to the Schol．，the Aethiopis represents him as having slain himself．

54．$\pi \in \rho$［．］Cf．Nem．viil． 23. $\pi \alpha(\bar{\delta}$ ．＇E入入．］Cf．supra，v． 18.
55．oo＇．］＇Right through the world．＇As certain fanciful critics seem to object to this use of＇right，＇ I may as well quote＇right against Jericho＇for their benefit．

For special mention of Aiss in the Iliad ef．Nem．II．14，and add of course Il．viI．161－313，where，in the absence of Achilles，the nine champions draw lots for the single combat with Hektôr，and $\hat{\epsilon \kappa \kappa} \delta^{\prime}$




 то́лтоу $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta$ акєє


 $\stackrel{v}{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu$

avitot, | Alaytos-and Tl. II. 768, 9 quoted on Nem. viI. 27. But still it is probable that the poet had especially in mind his authorities for the later part of the story of Aias. Cf. notes on Nem. viI. 21, viII. 30.
56. oj $\rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a c s$.$] 'Exalted and,'$ cf. Nem. I. 15.

кaтd páßoov.] Mezger, after the
 course of his epic poems. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Aesch. Pers. 430, $\sigma \tau \iota \chi \eta \gamma \circ \rho o i \eta \nu$ (L. and S. $\sigma \tau o \iota\left(\chi_{-}\right.$). Dissen ' auctoritate,' citing passages referred to by $L$, and S., 8. v. pd $\beta \delta \delta \nu$, 1. 5 , who render 'according to the measure,' and Hes. Theog. 30, кal $\mu$ о九 бкทิสтрои
 See alбaкov, бкฑิпт $\rho 0 \nu$. This laurel wand seems to have marked the singer as the suppliant and minister of Apollo and the Muses. To Pindar it suggested a metaphor for the continuous strip of song constituted by an epic poem. For a different
 סos (of. Nem. II. 2) see 17. xif. 296,

 кúклov. This passage suggests that an early kind of stitching among the fathers of the Hellènes was joining skins together with thin skewers and wands for rugs and tents, so that the pápoos was then both needle and thread. I am not forgetting that weaving and spin-
ning were known in the primitive seats of the Aryan race. Prof. Jebb, however, Journ. of Hellen. Stud. June 1882, p. 15, renders. "'by the wand of his lays divine'-where $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \dot{\beta} \beta \bar{\beta} o \nu=\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \delta o \sigma \iota \nu$, the branch being the symbol of tradition."
57. 入olmots dं $\theta \dot{v} \rho \in \iota$.$] 'For after-$ coming bards to celebrate.' For infinitive cf. Madv. § 148 b. For

58. dḯ́vaтov.] Cf. Frag. 98 [86],
 haps best rendered 'it lasts on everliving, never voiceless,' or 'it lives on in vocal immortality.'
L. and S. and a Schol. render E $\rho \pi \epsilon t$ 'spreads,' butin Pindar poetry generally travels like light or on wings, and my rendering is supported by 01. xIII. 105, el $\overline{\delta \epsilon} \bar{\delta} \delta a l \mu \omega \nu$
 of 'spreading' is given in the next line.
59. el-ciँ $\eta$.] Cf. Pyth. viI. 13 , note.
kal тá $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ] 'And so.'
60. For metaphor of. O1. XIII. 36, Pyth. vili, 96. Mezger notes

61. ä $\psi$ al. ] For inf. of. O1. 1. 9, supra, v. 10, v. 11, ঠє $\xi_{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi$, note.
For the metaphor cf. Ol. Ix. 21,

 ซaútav.






80

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \delta^{\prime}$.



кeîvov．］＇Such as Homer kin－ dled for Aias．＇Cf．Ol．vi．7，кeívos äyท́ ．Nem．Ix， 42.

62．$\sigma \tau \in \phi \dot{v} \nu \omega \mu \dot{\mu}$.$] Cf．supra，v．45，$ фvil入＇dor $\delta$ às．The beacon－fire does not shrink into a wreath，thanks to $e^{2} \pi a\{t o v$ ．For the metaphor ef． Frag． 160 ［170］，v́фаivш＇A 1 vequovi－


64．Oทр $\omega$ ．.$]$ So mss．，thus giving no verb．Böckh and Dissen read $\theta \eta p q u$ after a Schol．，which however has oixcios $\omega^{\prime} y$ for eixwes or whatever was read in its place．Bergk and Mommsen take $\theta \eta \rho \hat{y} y$ as the noun in apposition with $\lambda \epsilon \delta \partial \tau \epsilon \sigma$ ，the former quoting Eur．Herc．Fur．
 кápq｜入є́ovtos，and Epimenidês ap． Aelian，Hist．Nat．xII．7，$\theta$ ท̂pa $\lambda \epsilon \delta$ vта．

But it seems as though a gloss on ${ }^{\text {e }} \rho \iota \beta \rho$ ．$\theta \eta \rho$ ．had taken the place of the verb，which may have been $\pi \varepsilon$－ фavtal（Kayser）．To say that a
 ăццороs $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \not \subset$ кvvaүє́тas（Nem． vi．14）is very different from saying $\theta \eta \rho \bar{q} \tau \delta \lambda \mu a v$ or $\theta v \mu \delta \nu$ however super－ lative；since the very highest daring，courage，spirit axe actually possessed by many．

Mezger after a Schol．wrongly takes $\theta \eta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ as gen．after $\lambda \epsilon$ év $\omega \nu=$ èv $\theta \eta \rho \sigma$ iv．Nearly a dozen emenda－ tions have been proposed．
 accusative of．Pyth．v．104，日ápros

$\epsilon \pi \lambda \in \tau 0$ ．
aleтov̂ $\dot{\rho}{ }^{\prime} \mu \beta$ оע．］＇The circling eagle．＇
đ̀ขaтเтขацéva．］＇By sprawling on his back．＇This trick of the fox was not，as Dissen suggests，sham－ ming to be dead，but fighting on its back as a Schol．says－intia тоîs тобiv ảцúveтal тà $\sigma v \lambda \lambda a \beta$ о $\mu$ év $\eta$ $\tau$ ส̀ ò̀ auv́ббovaa－and again that Melissos was кu入ı $\sigma \tau \leqslant$ ós．What Eusebius（quoted by Dissen from Olearius on Philostratos，p．818）
 perhaps the $\dot{v} \pi \tau \iota a \sigma \mu$ oेs a variety of the ávaк入ıvoтád ${ }^{2}$ ，see Dict．of Antiq．8．v．pancratium．
 means，＇cf．тâv，สávтa тоtềv．For sentiment of．Pyth．II． 84.
époоvт＇$\dot{\mu} \mu a \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha u$ ．］Böckh reads Époovta $\mu a v \rho$ ．But in all three in－ stances mss．give áuavp－．Hesiod gives $\mu a v \rho$ ．W．and $D$ ．peia $\delta € \in \nu$
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tau$ a $\mu$ aupos is not－shining，a privative $V_{\mu} \mu$ ，shine，and suffix Fo，is less likely than a derivation from the $\sqrt{\mathrm{mu}}$ ，shut，whence $\mu v(\omega$ ，Lt．mū－tus， $\mu \hat{\omega} \rho o s$（Ved．mora），with prosthetic $\alpha$－ and suffix po－．The primary mean－ ing is＇blind．＇

67．фv́бu．］＇Physique，＇of．Nem． vI．ถ．Oriôn was handsome as well as gigantic．

68．òvotós．］For ふขобтós，cf． өаицатós，O1．1．28，aं $\pi \in\{\rho a \tau 0 s, 01$ ．V1．
$\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \kappa \mu a ̨ ~ \beta a \rho u ́ s . ~$ 7о каітоь то́т' 'Avтаíou Só́коия,
 $\pi \tau \tau \rho, \pi \rho o \sigma \pi a \lambda a i \sigma \omega \nu \eta ̉ \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ ả $\nu \grave{\eta} \rho$ 90


$\Sigma \tau \rho, \epsilon^{\prime}$.

##  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \varsigma$ <br> 95


54. The $\sqrt{ }$ seems to be Nad, of which NID $\sqrt{ }$ of övetos is a phase. Cf. Bvipque for $\sqrt{ }$ nand, enjoy oneself (Fick). 'Insignificant.'
69. For inf. cf. Pyth. vr. 53, Isth. II. 37, Ol, VII. 26. Take $\sigma v \mu$ $\pi$ eबeiv literally, 'to fall with,' for a 'struggle on the ground in the pankration.

акк $\mu \hat{a}$.] Dissen renders 'robore.' I think it means 'at the crisis of the struggle.' Schol, кarà tov̀s ày $\hat{\omega}-$ vas.

Christ defends the mss. aixuç by Aesch. Ag. 483, Choeph. 630, but here and Prom. Vinct. 405, al $\chi \mu \hat{q},=$ 'temper,' has an adjective with it. Mss, also give al $\chi \mu \hat{q}$ for $\dot{\text { oun }} \mu \hat{q}$ wrongly Nem. VI. 54, x. 60.
70. Though insignificant to look at, yet he may be compared to glorious Hêrakles. The kal zol seems to answer an imaginary disparager of the victor's personal appearance.
Mss. give Kal rol (тot) тot'. Of course $\pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' is for $\pi$ orl. Note that $\pi \dot{o}^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ 'Аעт. סó $\mu$. go with the end of the next verse.
71. Bpaxús.] 'Short,' relatively to Antaeos and Oriôn and such giants, and to his own breadth and strength.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \pi a \lambda a i \sigma \omega \nu$.$] The object aủtê$ is supplied from 'Aytaiov.
72. A८ßúav.] For acc. after $\dot{\eta} \lambda-$
$\theta \in \nu$ of. Pyth. 1v. 52, 118, 134. Antaeos was the mythical king of Irasa near Lake Tritonis, who used to wrestle with and kill strangers. In Eusebius the story of his gaining strength from contact with his mother earth is interpreted of his skill in the above-mentioned mode of struggling on the ground.
kpavious.] The frieze was anciently adorned with skulls of animals, whence arose the sculptures on the metopes. See Eur. Bacch.


 $\lambda$ є́итos, Verg.Aen. x. 406 - . Dissen tells us that Scholia on Pindar 01. xi. 19, I. 114 say that Kyknos and Oenomâos each meant to use the skulls of their human vietims to build a temple to Arês their father. Note the omission of the pronoun and the rather rare construction of a participle after a verb of hin. dering. L. and S. render é $\rho \in$ ' ouva, 'wreathing with garlands,' but it means 'decorating the roof of.' Hermann suggested Mortioàvós $\sigma^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \in \pi$ тovta, the syllables corresponding to $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \varepsilon \phi$ - else where, being each one long syllable. Perhaps $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \in \notin \epsilon \subset \nu \nu \nu \nu$ should be read. (For omission of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ef. Eur. Or. 263,

74. $\pi$ òıâs.]I think 'wan,' rather

75 vavtı入iaıбi $\tau \epsilon \pi$ тор $\theta \mu \grave{\nu}$ á $\mu \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota s$.

 "Hßav т' ò $\pi v i ́ \epsilon \iota$, 100

'A $\nu \tau$. $\epsilon^{\prime}$.


 тoùs Meqápa тéкe oi Kpeovtis vioús-
 $\pi а \nu \nu v \chi i \zeta \epsilon \iota$,
than 'white with foam.' Cf. Ol. I. 71.
etevap.] 'The hollow bed,' here of course especially the shores and shoals. Cf. Nem. iII. 24.
75. pavti\lalat.] Dat. commodi, abstract for concrete, $\operatorname{vavi} \backslash \lambda$.
$\pi \circ \rho \theta \mu_{0}^{\prime} \nu$.] Not 'the sea,' L. and S. after Dissen; but 'the passage thereof.'
$\dot{a} \mu \in p$ bocuss.] Dissen quotes a frag. ment of Sophokles from the Schol. before the Isthmia, os mapaxtiav |
 and Eur. Herc. Fur. 20, 847.
77. тeтโцatal.] An echo of $\tau \epsilon \tau L$ $\mu a к e v$, supra, v. 55. For the theme cf. the end of Nem. I.
79. עัт $\epsilon \rho \theta \in v$.] The funeral sacrifices to the sons of Herrakles (by Megara daughter of Kreôn of Thebes), whom the hero slew in a heaven-sent frenzy, were celebrated on rising ground outside the gates of Elektra on the road to Plataea.
80. véóдата.] Moramsen always prints $\delta \mu \eta \pi$ - which he defends unsuccessfully on Ol. III. 7 against a great preponderance of ms, authority. The last part of the compound is almost quiescent, ormeans
' made,' 'caused,' as in $\theta$ éójuaros O1. iII. 7, Frag. 159 [169]. So a Schol. $\bar{\eta}$ кагd $\pi \alpha р а \gamma \omega \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu$ elpךкe $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ N $\epsilon \mu \varepsilon a$ (read $\nu \notin a$ corrupted through duplication of $\nu \epsilon$ ) $\nu \in\left(\begin{array}{c}\delta \\ \mu a \tau \alpha . ~ T h e ~\end{array}\right.$ altars were probably permanent, not like the ōaîta provided fresh every year.
â̈go ouev $\left.{ }^{7} \mu \pi v \rho z.\right]$ 'We sacrifice victims.' Cf. Eur. Hippol. 537, ßoúTà фóvod ák $\xi \in \nu$.
81. $\chi^{\text {aлкоара̀ } .] ~ I s ~ t h i s ~ P i n-~}$ daric form distinet from $\chi a \lambda \kappa \eta \rho \eta s$;
 II. 10) =' fighting in (or ' with') bronze,' cf. Lat. 'vir'? Gen. abs. 'since the eight warrior sons (viou's taken with the relative clause) suffered death;' but perhaps gen. after ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi v \rho a$, of. ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu$ ' 'Atóa, Nem. x. 67.
82. Téкe ol.] mgs. ol Téкe.
83. A Schol. says Etós wods
 avarohds tois $\theta$ eois. The Schol. on Apoll. Rhod. I. 587, says the same of ol катосхо́ $\mu$ еvo and 'Oupavioas. Dissen.
то̂̃ov.] 'In their honour.'
av่रäv.] Gen. after $\delta v \theta \mu a i ̂ \sigma \tau v$. Several times aủral stands for


＇Ет．є＇．



 $\mu \nu ́ \rho \tau o \iota s ~ \% \delta \delta$ ảvท̀ $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o ́ a \nu$
 тท̂роs оіакогтро́фоv

120


＇light．＇Aesch．seems to have adopted the phrase，Ag．1123，$\beta$ iov súvzos aủjaís．

84．入aктi乡oเбa．］Has the meta－ phor a reference to the kicking up of the pankratiast when struggling on his back？

85．סєútєpov auap．］For this somewhat unusual accusative of． Isth．v．46，Aesch．Eum．108， $\boldsymbol{\text { Evov}}$
 722, Madv．§ 30 note．The notion of＇on＇or＇at＇is joined to that of ＇during．＇
$\tau \epsilon \rho \mu a$ ．］＂The end consisting of annual games．＇The＂periphrastic＂ ＂pleonastic＂use of $\tau \epsilon \in \rho \mu a$ and $\tau \in \lambda o s$ is an $\epsilon$ t $\delta \omega \lambda \overline{0}$ ．The idea of＇end，＇ ＇limit，＇＇consummation，＇is indi－ cated in all the alleged cases．

87．Myrtle was sacred（not ex－ clusively）to the dead．Cf．Eur． El．323，512，Alc．172，Isth．VII． 67.

88．ả $\nu \eta \rho$ ．］I．e．є́ $\xi \eta \nu \delta \rho \omega \mu \in \mathcal{\nu}$ os．
89．ふ̀єфа́vато．＇Caused a re－ turn to be made of，＇of．Nem． vI． 26.

кal $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu$ ．］mss．do not give кal but $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu$（Tทेv）rpitav．Böckh
mal $\delta \omega \nu \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho i \tau a y$ ．The construction maid $\omega \nu \nu i \kappa \alpha \nu$ is exactly paralleled by кर̂ঠิos $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$, O1．IX． 88.

90．$\pi \epsilon \pi เ \theta \omega \dot{\nu}$.$] Hartung \pi \epsilon \pi t \theta$ ćs． Hermann－$\pi l \sigma v \nu 0 s . \pi o \lambda u ́ \beta o v \lambda$＇＇O $\rho$－ $\sigma \epsilon ́ a ~ \sigma \grave{\nu} \sigma 0 l$ ס́ध $\nu \omega$. Cf．Pyth．III． 28 ，note．The meaning＇obeying，＇ ＇guided by，＇is clearly needed．There is not sufficient evidence to pro－ nounce upon the isolated intransi－ tive use of the form．

סé．］＇Accordingly，＇of．Isth．VI． 23．Orseas was his trainer．Train－ ers are celebrated at the end also of Nem．Iv．，vi．
$\kappa \omega \mu a ́ \xi о \mu a s$.$] Causative middle；＇I$ will cause the kômos to celebrate．＇ Cf．Nem．Ix．43．Don．with one good мs．reads к кшнáso $\mu \alpha$. For the future ef．Pyth．XI．10，Nem．xi． 1.
$\epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi \omega \nu$.$] So Schol．Vet．The$ best Ms．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \nu$ and the other old mss．Є̇สเбToxásuv．For meta－ phor ef．Pyth．v．94，viII．57，Isth． v．21，O1．xI．［x］． 99.
$\chi$ ápıv．］＇Song．＇Of．O1．XI．［x．］

 ข．8，Frag． 53 ［45］， 2.

## ISTHMIA IV. [V.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF PHYLAKIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Phylakidas of Aegina, youngest (Isth. v. 6) son of Lampôn, was brother to Pytheas, for whom Nem. v. was composed. Phylakidas had won an Isthmian victory, celebrated in Isth. v., before the occasion of this ode (Isth. v. 2-7) which was soon after the battle of Salamis, vv. 48-50, i.e. in the next Isthmian games, B.c. 478 , Ol. 75. 3. The ode was performed in Aegina, according to Dissen 'undoubtedly' at Lampôn's house; but, as Theia was clearly worshipped in Aegina as a patroness of games, the ode may have been sung at a family gathering before a shrine of that goddess.

The rhythm is Dorian. The third syllable of the fourth line of the epode perhaps had the value of two long syllables.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-10. Invocation of Theia, bestower of wealth and victory in speed and strength.
11. For prowess gains distinction by aid of deities.

12, 13. Well-being and good fame are the two things needful to give happiness to the wealthy.
14, 15. Be content with participation in these blessings.
16. Mortal aims become mortal men.

17-19. Mention of victories of Phylakidas and Pytheas
19-22. The occasion, having brought him (in spirit) to Aegina, demands celebration of the Aeakidae.
22-25. For since the island is devoted to noble deeds the meed of laudatory song must not be grudged.

26-28. Her warriors have been sung of for countless ages.
28-35. Different states revere different heroes-Aegina reveres Aeakos and his seed,
35-38. Who twice took Troy.
38-42. Who performed the mightiest deeds (in the second war)?
43, 44. Achilles of Aegina.
44, 45. The island has long been a conspicuous example of lofty virtues.
46-50. Much might be said on this theme. For instance, Aeginetan sailors won the battle of Salamis.
51-53. But enough, Zeus send vicissitudes (i.e. reverses as a punishment for boasting).
53,54 . Athletic victories too (as well as warlike achievements) love to be celebrated in song.
54-58. Praise of the family of Kleonikos for perseverance in the labours and expenses demanded by athletics.
59-61. Credit is given to Pytheas for his brother's style of fighting in the Pankration.
62, 63. The poet bids himself take a wreath and send the ode therewith to Phylakidas.

##  

1. $\theta$ ela.] Mommsen, with the Schol., would derive this name from $\theta \in \omega$ with reference to the movement of the stars or from $\theta$ edopa which Mezger prefers. The word may be connected with $\tau l \theta \eta \mu$ or
 else may mean 'mother.' Cf. her sister 'T $\eta$ OÚs (Curt. No. 307). She was a Titanid (Hes. Theog. 126136), mother of Hêlios, Selêne and Eôs (ib. 371-4) by Hyperiôn. Welcker, quoted by Dissen Böckh's Pind. II. 2, p. 511, identifies her with a Lemnian goddess Chrysê depicted on a vase found in Magna Graecia, while Böckh, with more certainty, points out that she is the Euryphaëssa of the Homeric hymn to Hêlios. Pindar's $\tau$ tual of Theia
are given by Hêsiod to Hekatê, Theog. 409-443. Note that here Theia is connected with xpuoòs and diкa, while we have रpugéa Nika, Isth. II. 26.

For тoえvढ́vpue cf. Aesch. P.V.
 $\mu i \alpha$ : so $\pi$ одvє́vvие of Dionysos, Soph. Ant. 1115 ; and of Aphroditê, Soph. Frag. 856, ทैँot Kúmpts oủ
 $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ è $\pi \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o s$.
2. $\sigma \in$ ย̇кать.] So Bergk. mss.
 ignore the $\gamma$ '. For the digamma of Fєкать ef. Ol. xiv. 18. Cf. $\Delta$ dìs $^{\text {a }}$ ย̌к. infra v. 29.
sul.] Mezger, "as well as other desirable goods." Dissen would, with a sort of apology, couple this
$\chi \rho \nu \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu^{*}$




'Avт. $a^{\prime}$.







kal with $\tau^{\prime}, v .7$. Both seem mistaken. Pindar is explaining why men actually go so far as to esteem gold as more potent than all besides.
$\mu \in \gamma a \sigma \theta e v \hat{\eta}$.$] Cf. Isth. II. 2. The$ order shews that the adjective is an extension of the predicate'men even (kal) esteem gold as potent....' Dissen however renders in honore habent, quoting Heindorf on Plato, Gorg. p. 466 D , oú $\overline{\text { oे }}$

$\nu \delta \mu \sigma \sigma \nu$.$] Gnomic aorist.$





 'Aфpooity $\chi$ र^кós. But Frag. 207 [243] we find $\Delta t{ }^{2}$ s $\pi$ aîs $\delta$ xpvás.
4. кal $\gamma$ áp.] Elliptical like $\alpha \lambda \lambda d$ qáp. 'Aye and I can say more for....' The poet goes on to ascribe the speed of the swift and the strength of the strong to Theia.
éplósucvac.] The competition of ships in sueed was for commercial objects, like the present competi-
tion of tea ships. Vergil's shiprace, Aen. v., is an anachronism.
5. $\dot{v} \phi$ '.] So Bergk for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, from the Schol. The old Medicean ms. omits the preposition. Dissen thinks that the poet alludes to mathical war-chariots, and quotes Isth. v. 19, хpuøápuaro Alaкiòau. Mezger thinks the waggons foll of produce and merchandise are meant, which is very improbable.
6. Ttuáv.] 'Through thy power,' 'prerogative,' rather than tuo beneficio (Dissen), which is 'through the exercise of thy prerogative a different form of expression though the thought is the same. Cf. Pyth. Iv. 51, $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \tau \mu \hat{q} \theta \in \omega \hat{\omega}$, 'by-the-aid-of divine power,' ib. 260, ब̇̀v $\theta \epsilon \omega ̂ y$. $\tau \mu \alpha i$ is (distributive), lit. 'by-the-aid-of the several powers of divers deities.'
8. $\left.E_{\pi} \rho a \xi \in v.\right]$ Active for middle, ef. Pyth. II. 40, O. and P. p. xxxix.
11. кр lveта. $^{\text {] Cf. Nem. Iv. 1, }}$ vII. 7; 'beoomes distinguished.'
12. ä $\lambda \pi \nu / \sigma \tau 0 \nu$.] Old Mss. àve入miбтov. Hartung proposes тоцuai$\nu \in \iota$ Biov ä $\lambda$ yıcтov, as one Schol. has


$$
11-2
$$




${ }^{2} \nu a \tau a ̀ ~ \theta \nu a \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho ย ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon . ~$





this olкт $\rho \delta \nu$ is clearly a rendering of $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.

The plural mochaivovet is to be expected because the two ideas are represented individually, cf. Kühner who quotes Xen. Anab. 1. 4. 4,

ev̉av $\theta \in i$. .] Causative. Here jwas $a^{2} \omega \tau 0 \nu$ is the choicest of the ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \theta \eta$ produced by ó $\lambda \beta$ os.
13. єv̂ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega \nu$.$] Cf, Pyth. I.$



 104, Nem. x. 32. This 'enjoyment' includes of course good health, of which Theognis says $\lambda \hat{\psi} \sigma \tau o \nu$ ô' viyเalvecv, and which Metrodoros made the summum bonum. Cf. Ol. จ. 25, vं $\gamma \mathfrak{i} \in \nu \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ el $\tau \iota$ ổ ôß



入ó ${ }^{2}$. $\sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. d.к.] See L. and S. áкои́ $\omega$, III. 2.
14. For sentiment cf. O1. v. 23, quoted above, and Pyth. III 61, $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\phi[\lambda a \psi v \chi \dot{\alpha}, \beta i o v \dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\alpha} v a \tau o v \mid \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \varepsilon$.
15. el...є́фікотто.] For constr. cf. Pyth. VIII. 13.
16. For sentiment of. Soph. Frag. 528, Eur. Bacch. 395, Pyth. III. 59, 60. Frag. 39 [33].
17. Oá $\lambda \lambda \frac{t \sigma}{}$.]. Cf. Pyth. Ix. 8 note. Here 'luxuriant' because watered by the dews of song. For
metaphor cf. Nem. viII. 40, Isth. v. 63, 64.
áperá.] Cf. Nem. IX. 54, Join with $\pi а \gamma к р a \tau l o v$.
18. кєîtą.] Cf. O1. XII. 36, aไy $\lambda a \pi 0 \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ d̀váкєเтal.

N $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \in$.] Dative for locative, of. Nem. x. 35. Note that סıтлóa does not affect this clause, except so far as one victory a-piece is a two-fold victory. The Nemean victory of Phylakidas is celebrated, Isth. V. [VI.] 3, see the Introd. to that ode.
19. Sc. $\tau i v \tau \epsilon$ before Пv0́́q т $\tau$. Dissen quotes Aesch. Suppl. 480 ( 474 P .) for the brachyology, $\sigma \triangleq$
 $\kappa \lambda a ́ \delta o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \ldots \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \ldots \theta \in \in$, where to supply aúcds áy $\epsilon$ before $\kappa \lambda$ áóous is easier than Paley's explanation.
19. таүкратlov.] Genitive of origin, cause, of. VI. 22, viкау та

20. จük äтєр.] 'Full of the praises of the Aeakidae.'
revécal.] 'Is now sipping the sweets of.' Cf. Nem. v. 25, Isth. I. 21 .
21. $\sigma \grave{v}$ Xáptoıv.] Cf. Pyth. VI. 2, ix. 3, Nem. iv. 7, x. 1. Paley's ' with my poems' is not so good.
$\left.{ }^{\xi} \mu_{0} \lambda_{0} \nu.\right]$ Idiomatic aorist of the immediate past. Whether Pindar was present at the recitation in person is uncertain as $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ does not imply the poet's presence, of.


 $25 \kappa \iota \rho \nu \alpha ́ \mu e \nu$ à à $і$ то́v $\omega \nu$.



$\mu v \rho i o v ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v * ~ \mu e \lambda e ́ t a v ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma o ф \iota \sigma \tau a i ̂ s ~$


Pyth．Ix．91，01．v．20，virr．25．Cf． also 01．VII．13，Frag． 53 ［45］， 11. The last verse of this ode makes it probable that he was not present．
vioís．］Dat．commodi．
22．€ข̈voนov．］Because Doric （Mezger），though Eunomia is also connected by Pindar with Lokrian Opus，O1．1x．17．For the virtues of Aegina cf．Ol．viII．21－30，Frag． 1 ［4］．

тєтраттаи．］Cf．Thuk．II．40，§ 2，
 shawe）．The subject is ぞणєє $\pi$ ó久ls． The voice is middle rather than passive．L，and S．，8．v．т $\rho \in \epsilon_{\pi}$ I． 2，3，make т $\quad a \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ passive．In several cases the true passive forms
 ＇was guided，turned，obliged to turn，＇rather than＇betook oneself．＇ See Shilleto＇s note on Thuk．1．76， § 2，dंтет ádeто．For the sense to $^{\text {a }}$ ＇roam＇L．and S．compare Il．xix． 212，where the corpse of Patroklos кeîтаь àvà $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o \nu ~ т е т \rho a \mu \mu e ́ v o s ~(!!), ~$ which illustrates Pindar＇s preposi－ tion âv for ảvá．

 $\theta a \rho \underset{้}{\mid} \mid \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ öкхоу，O1．v1．73，фa－ $\nu \in \rho a ̀ \nu$ oióv．For metaphor of，Nem． Iצ． 47.

24．For sentiment of．Isth．I． 41－45．The poet addresses him－ self．

кó $\mu$ тор.$]$ Cf．Nem．ViII．49，Isth． 1． 43 ．

25．кцруá $\mu \in \nu$ ．］For metaphor ef． Isth．v．3，Nem．III． 78.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \pi$ สóv $\omega \nu$.$] Cf．Isth．I．46，III．7，$ Nem．v．48，viI． 16.

26．＇For indeed in the age of heroes her brave warriors were wont to win fame．＇

27．$\quad e_{v .]}$ Cf．Nem．III．79， 01.
 ย̀т $\tau \sigma \iota \nu$ aủ入 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ．

тацфஸ́vois．］Cf．last note and Pyth．xir． 19.
ó $\mu \boldsymbol{o} \lambda$ аis．］＇Blended notes．＇
28．$\mu v \rho$ iov хро́vov．］Cf．Soph． Oed．Col．617，uvpias ò $\mu v p l o s \mid \chi p o ́-$

$\mu e \lambda . \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \phi$.$] ＇Now．．．a theme to$ poets．＇The poet，when supporting the general statement of $v v .26$－ 28 xpóvov，begins by mentioning the heroes of other States，Virtu－ ally $v v, 30-34 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇constitute a comparison．Cf．Pyth．I． 42 for бофиттаis．$\sigma \in \beta$ ц̧ó $\mu \in \nu$ or seems to agree with mo入є $\mu \sigma \tau a l$ ，the inser－ tion of $\gamma$ foas éxel making an ana－ coluthon．

29．$\Delta$ iòs ビr．］Of．supra，v． 2.

## 

Oiveíoal кратєроl',



'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
ar $\lambda \lambda ’$ 'av Oivóva $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} т о \rho \in s$ ỏpyaì
35 Aiaко仑̂ $\pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon *$ тоì кaì бv̀v $\mu a ́ \chi a \iota \varsigma ~$

${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \rho а к \lambda \hat{\eta}$ i $\pi \rho о ́ т є \rho о \nu, ~$






30. Ez $\mu \in \nu$.] For $\mu \in ̀ v$ - $\delta e \hat{e s}$ with a repeated word cf. Isth. III. 7, 8.
31. Oivetoau.] Meleagros and his brothers.
32. in $\pi \frac{\sigma}{}$ oas.] The two old mss. immoclas.
33. Káбтороs al रuá.] Of. Nom. x. 13, Pyth. xi. 61, Káarooos $\beta$ lav, Isth. VII. 54, Méruvovos $\beta$ lav.
34. d $\lambda \lambda$ '.] 'Yea, but' with more reason. This ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda$ ' is not correlafive with $\mu e v, v .30$, but extends the $\delta$ ez clauses.

Oivávą.] Ancient name of Aegina, cf. Isth. VIII. 23.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma$. ठpyal.] "The active greatheartedness,' Lit. ' the great-hearted impulses.' Sc. $\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho a s$ EXovą from the last verse.
35. to l.] Demonstrative.

бúv.] Of. Isth. III. 1.
37. Cf. Nom. Iv. 25, 11. จ. 638, ' $\Delta \lambda \lambda$ ' otóv тtvá фабt $\beta$ i $\eta \nu$ ' $\mathrm{H} p a-$




 $\delta$ ' juts. Laomedon withheld the horses he had promised Herakles in return for his saving Hesione from the sea-monster of the Trad.
38. $\pi \epsilon \delta \delta o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$.] Not $\epsilon \xi$ ad $\rho \chi \eta$ § but penitus. 'Go on from this point categorically." The adverb would not suit the literal meaning of ci $\lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu$. Merger is bold to render 'over the ground,' comparing reditto Siesta. The old Medicean Ms. gives $\pi a \iota \delta 6 \theta e v$. M. Schmidt proposes $\sigma \pi เ \delta \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Prof. Seymour ingeniously explains 'rise, 0 muse, from the ground to a more lofty height.'
39. Kи́куоу.] Of the Triad, not the Kyknos slain by Hêrakles. For the rhetorical interrogation, of. Myth. Iv. 70.
43. 'They (i.e. Achilles) whose mouth proclaims as their home the

סıaтрєтє́a עâбov тєтєíXıбтa८ סє̀ $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota$
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu$ èv $\dot{a} \rho \tau \iota \epsilon \pi \eta े ร$

 Aäav ios oj $\theta \omega \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a$ vav́тaıs


 Zev̀s tá te ка̀̀ тà $\nu \in ́ \mu \epsilon$,

illustrious isle of Aegina.' For dative cf. Nem. x. 29. It is to be taken both with $\sigma$ тó $\mu a$ and $\pi$ adc $\rho a \nu$. For the plural referring to one person cf. New. 1. 58, Frag. 53 [45], $10,11$.
 hath a tower been built up with sublime merits for men to climb, (Böckh); cf. Frag. 197 [232], $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ b-

 di $\partial \mathrm{\rho} \rho \mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{v}$-and O1. vIII. 27, where
 Nom. Ix. 47. The virtues of theworthees of Aegina are both a conspicuous glory to the isle and an example by following which her sons may reach the height of renown.
46. $\mu \epsilon \nu_{\nu}$ ] For $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \mu \hat{\omega} s^{\prime}$, v. 51, cf. Isth. III. 25, O. and P. p. xxxviii.
47. rogéénat'.] A similar metaphor follows the mention of Achilles' exploits, OI. II. 83, cf. OI. 1. 112.

кelvar.] Aeginetans-a generalized reference to $v v .43,44$.
48. $k \in \lambda a \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.] For this infinifive and ávaßaivelv cf. Madv. §̧ 148 b. Rem. 3. uss, кє入aṑ̄ $\alpha a$, Bergs кєлари́баи.
$\pi$ dis Alayzos.] Cf. Sem. iv. 48. $\delta \rho \theta \omega \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma a$.$] Perhaps a nautical$ metaphor 'righted by themariners.' Merger compares $v .44$.
49. Cf. Il. v. 91, Hes. W. and D.
 38 for the kind of metaphor, and
 aiцдатоs. For order cf. Isth. v. 18.
51. кará ßpe $\chi$ є.] 'Drown,' rather than 'moisten,' 'steep.' Cf. Frag. 225 [269], $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{q} \beta \rho \in \chi \in \in \sigma \omega$.
52. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \kappa$ kail $\tau \dot{\alpha}$.] Cf. Isth. m . 51, Pyth. viI. 22. Mss. $\tau \dot{d} \delta \epsilon$ (and тádє) kail тá.
53. Cf. Frag. 118 [105], $\theta$ eds $\dot{\text { o }}$ тd̀ $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau a ~ \tau e v ́ \chi \omega v . ~$
 sphere of lovely honey (song, cf.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \rho \epsilon \chi \omega \nu$, and кір $\rho a \mu \epsilon \nu$ supra, $v$. 25) honours also such as this (ie. victories in games) love a joyous song of victory.' For Atv cf. O. and P. p. xxxvii., Nom. I. 34. Edd. generally join $\epsilon \nu \quad \epsilon \rho$. $\mu \epsilon \lambda$. with
 quotes v. 27, OI. v. 19, Nam. xi. 17, OI. I. 15, the last reference being apparently a slip). Nom. xi. 17, iv Xóyots alyeicoat ='to be praised in discussions.' In the

#  $\mu a \rho \nu \dot{a} \sigma \theta \omega$ тıs є้ $\rho \delta \omega \nu$ 






other two cases, as in 01، vin. 12, Nem. III. 79 (which last is the closest parallel to the alleged construction), this use of ev oceurs in connection with musical instruments.

Dissen says " $\chi a ́ p \mu a \varepsilon$ èv $\mu$ édıt $\iota$ h. e. $\mu$ едıč̇ยv, cf. alia ap. Schaefer ad Longum, p. 404."
54. totaide tıual.]. Two Schol، bear witness to a reading roç̣̂ठ $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\text { a }}$, which Bergk adopts.

55. ג $\mu \phi^{\prime}$.] Of. Nem. Ii. 17, v. 14.

K入єovikov.] Cf, Nem. v. Introd.
56. $̇ \kappa \mu a \theta \omega{ }^{\circ} \nu$.] We should say 'Let anyone learn well before he strives.' Cf. Thak. 1. 20 § 2 rúpav-

 $\pi \delta \nu \theta \epsilon i v$. For tis Prof. Seymour wrongly compares Isth. viI.[viII.] 1.

тetú $\phi \lambda \omega \tau a \varepsilon$.] 'Hath sunk into obscurity,' ef. Simonides Frag. 4,5,


57. à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.] 'Its men,' including Lampôn with his sons; cf. Isth. v. 73, 74.
58. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi l \delta \omega \nu$.] Genitive of cause, origin, after $\delta a \pi a ́ v a l$, as Aristarchos (so Schol.) explained it.
 Schol. says that Aristarchos (read-
 The reading $\delta \pi / v$ gives a much better sense. The frequentative
aorist is appropriate to the recurring irritation of expenses. Render 'check by frequent chafing their regard' (for games or for deities as shewn most conspicuously in devotion to games). The nom, to éкvıo' is the substantive clause $\delta \pi \delta \sigma . \delta a \pi$. e $\lambda \pi$.
59. Evy rvioóáucus.] Generally taken, after Hermann, with $\chi \in \rho \sigma t$, giving the most flagrant violation of usual order to be found in Pindar. The two old mss. give Фuגaxi$\delta a(a v)$. The Schol, took vutodáuats for $\dot{d} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a i ̂ s$ (so too Mommsen), a notion which may have led to the corruption of $\pi \lambda a \gamma a i$ is to $\pi \lambda a \gamma a \hat{\nu}$ (mss.). The alteration $\pi \lambda$ arais is Hartung's. Render, 'I declare in praise of Pytheas too (as well as of Phylakidas) that Phylakidas kept on a straight course amid crushing blows, an antagonist skilled in fight by-reason-of-his-intelligence.' For $\chi \in \rho \sigma=$ ='in boxing and wrestling,' ef. Pyth. x. 23, supra, v. 9. I take this difficult and much-disputed passage to mean simply that Phylakidas never got ' wild' in his fighting, but in spite of ' punishment' persevered in his clever tactics-thanks in part at least to his elder brother Pytheas, who either trained him or practised with him. It is strange that L. and S. say that the sense of $\delta \in \xi$ cos is the same Nem. III. 8 as here. There it is ' fittest,' or 'happiest,' ('best-omened');

## 




here 'dexterous.' Those who follow Hermann put a comma after
 together, but Mommsen (after the School.) puts commas before and after $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \delta \epsilon \xi \xi^{\prime} \circ$, rendering " manibus habilem mente hand indoctiorem." Most add. read v. 59 f .
 ev̇bua op $\hat{\sigma}$ at " Phylacidae plagarum cursum recta praeivisse" (Dissen).
 $\delta a \mu a ̂ \nu \Phi v \lambda a x<\delta q \pi \lambda a \gamma a ̂ \nu \delta \rho b \mu o \nu$ vi $\theta v-$ $\pi$ тр $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$. So Christ, except tô̂ for Ep. These readings are open to the grave objection that of ought to refer to divilmaiop and to Phylakidas.
Nothing but apparent necessity could reconcile Dissen and others to referring the two last verses of
the ode to Pytheas. The old mss. seem to shew that the scribes of Triclinius' mss. found both proper names in the accusative and altered the second to the dative, perhaps partly because my alteration of the first makes a hiatus (but of an adrisible kind, see O. and P.p. xiii.), and partly because an accusative after alp $\epsilon$ e seems so natural. Of course $\Pi v \theta \notin q$ is a dat. commodi.
62. The poet bids himself (cf. supra, v. 24) take a crown (in spirit) for Phylakidas and send therewith a fresh ode.
$\mu i \tau p a v$.$] Cf. Nom. viir. 15, 01$. Ix. 84. The epithet means ' of fine wool.'
63. $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho b \in \nu \tau a$.] Cf. Pyth. viII. 34, Isth. I. 64, mi. 27.

## ISTHMIA V. [VI.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF PHYLAKIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

This Ode is in honour of the same person as the preceding ode. It was probably composed soon after the Isthmian games immediately preceding the battle of Salamis, Ol. 74. 4, B.c. 480, certainly not later than this date. It is clear that it was composed pretty soon after Nem. v. Prof. Jebb, Journ. of Hellen. Stud. June, 1882, p. 35, says: "In the fifth Isthmian ode, Pindar gives a most brilliant treatment to the initial episode of the very theme which occupied the east pediment of the temple at Aegina-Heracles coming to seek the aid of Telamon against Troy, when Telamon gave his guest 'a wine-cup rough with gold,' and Heracles prophesied the birth and prowess of Ajax. Here then is a case in which we can conceive that the poet's immediate theme may have occurred to his mind as he gazed on the sculptor's work in the splendid entablature of the temple; and we recall Pindar's own comparison of an opening song to the front of a stately building," O1. vr. 3, 4. The ode was in all probability sung at a banquet in Lampôn's house. The rhythm is Dorian.

## ANALYSIS.

v.

1-9. Comparison of this ode and Nem. v. (composed for Phylakidas' elder brother) to the second and first libations at a banquet, and expression of hope that the third libation may be poured out to Olympian Zeus in honour of a victory gained by one of Lampôn's family at Olympia.
10-13. For when a man (as is the case with Lampôn) grudges no pains or expense in earning distinctions and the deity
gives him renown, he has reached the utmost limits of prosperity.
14-16. Lampôn prays that he may feel the satisfaction brought by such fill of success before he is visited by death or old age.
16-18. May Klôthô attend to his entreaties.
19-21. The poet is bound to celebrate the Aeakids when visiting Aegina.
22, 23. Broad roads carry their fame all over the world.
24-35. All have heard of Pêleus, Aias, and Telamôn, and of the exploits of the last as the companion of Hêrakles on his expedition against Troy and the Meropes of Kôs and Alkyoneus.
35-56. Hêrakles, when he went to summon Telamôn to this expedition, found him feasting; and, being invited to pour out the first libation, prayed for strength and courage for Telamôn's son. He interprets the good omen sent in answer, the appearance of an eagle, and proposes the name Aias accordingly.
56-58. Pindar can now say no more about the Aeakid heroes, as he is engaged to sing of the victorious brothers and their uncle.
58, 59. The ode shall proceed with extreme brevity, in Argive fashion.
60-66. Praise of the three victors just mentioned.
66-73. Praise of Lampôn for hospitality, moderation, prudence of speech, and patient encouragement of athletes.
74, 75. The poet offers the family a draught from the fountain of Dirkê which was raised by Mnêmosynê hard by one of the gates of Thebes.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$

##  

1. Өád入ovzos.] Cf. Hom. ôaîra $\theta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a \nu, \epsilon \backslash \lambda a \pi l^{\prime} \eta \tau \epsilon \theta a \lambda v i \eta$.
wंs $\begin{gathered}\text { re } \epsilon] ~ C f . ~ O l . ~ v i . ~ \\ 2 .\end{gathered}$
2. $\delta e u ̛ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$.] For the three customary libations cf. Aesch. $\mathbf{A g} .237$
[P. note], and the following Schol.




 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} о \nu, ฝ^{\mathrm{Z}} \in \hat{v}$,
テìv ä $\omega \tau o \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{a} \nu \omega \nu$,


 Iо
 $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \phi \theta_{0}^{\gamma} \gamma o \iota s$ àoı $\delta a i ̂ s$.
'А $\nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.
10 єỉ $\gamma a ́ \rho ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ \delta a \pi a ́ v a ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \chi a \rho є i s ~$









 Hence Aeschylos calls Z $\epsilon \dot{\text { e }}$ " $\sigma \omega \tau \eta{ }^{2} \rho$ тpíos" Suppl. 26, Eum. 729, 730 [P.]. Pindar's first bowl of song was Nem. v. For the metaphor of. Isth. iv. 25.

Motrat $\omega$.] mss. $\mu 0 \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$.
3. $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \omega \nu o s$.$] \quad Cf. Nem. v. In-$ trod.
$\mu \dot{v}$.$] Taken up by avirts v .5$, cf. O. and P. p. xxxviii.
4. $\tau l y$.$] mss. give text. Many$ edd. $\tau$ iv $\gamma$. The particle certainly emphasises the pronoun, for, having begun by winning in games sacred to Zeus, they may hope for the third vietory under the auspices of Zeus of Olympia. But for the sense $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ is not really wanted, and though riv is short, Pyth. I. 29, Nem. x. 30, the form tely shews that it may be long. For this dat.,
 Iv. 23, O1. XIII. 29.

аштоу атєффขшv.] Cf. O1. v. 1, Ix. 19. Here the expression is not
quite staperlative, ' a choice crown.'
7. ell.] For the accus. wopoalvovias cf. Ol. I. 115, Pyth. 11. 96, Nem. viI. 25, Isth. 1. 64, Od. II, 310; xvI. 243, Aristoph. Ach. 1079: with dat. Theognis 1153: for suppression of pronoun cf. Pyth. 1. 29, II. 83.

трітоv.] Sc. крати̂ра.
8. торбаlpovtas.] Sc. ìmâs, i.e. the poet alone or with the chorus included.
'O^v $\mu \pi / \varphi$.$] Not immediately ' of$ Olympos' but of Olympia. Of course Olympia was named from Zeus of Olympos.
$\left.\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \mid \sigma \pi \epsilon \ell \delta \varepsilon \epsilon \nu_{0}\right]$ A metrical tmesis. He 'pours over Aegina' the wine of song (cf. infra, v. 21) as he pours (in fancy) the material wine on her soil. For the compound and construction cf. Eur. Or. 1239, סакри́oss катабтє́vō $\sigma$ ', 'I make a libation over thee (the dead Agamemnon) with tears.' Secondarily the meaning 'to honour with offerings of tears ' (L. and S.) is right, but катаблévóc $\Delta l a$ would not be likely to occur.
9. $\mu \in \lambda \iota \phi \theta 6 \gamma \gamma o s s$.$] Appropriate,$ as wine was sweetened with honey. For metaphor of. Nem. III. 77.
10. $\overline{a \pi} \alpha \mathrm{~d}$ g.] Cf. Isth. Iv. 57, I. 42.

 ク้ठ $\eta \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ö $\lambda \beta o v$
$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ äरкчрад $\theta є о ́ \tau \iota \mu о s$ є̇ต́v． тоíaıбı» ỏpyaîs єข้ $\chi є \tau a \iota$


 тaîs

25
àvópòs фíخov Moípas є́ $\phi є \tau \mu a i ̂ s$.
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{a}$＇．




11．$\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．］＇Achieves，＇cf． Isth．IV．8，Pyth．II．40，O．and P． p．xxxix．
décás．］＇Distinctions，＇cf．Nem． จ．53，Isth．I．41．Perhaps $\theta \epsilon \circ \delta \mu a ́-$ rous suggested the metaphor of Isth．Iv． 45.

12．oiv $\tau \epsilon$. ．］And if at the same time，＇cf．Eur．Herc．Fur． 785.
ol．］Dat．commodi．
фитєv́єı．］Cf．Pyth．Iv．69，日єó－
 vIII． 16.

Ėбхariaîs．］So the best ms．and Schol．Böckh ধ́axarıás．Cf．Ol． III．43，Pyth．x．28，Nem．iII．21， 22，Isth．III． 30.

13．$\beta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．］For $\beta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
14．＇Such feelings（i．e．of satis－ faction）in supreme success doth Lampôn pray that he may attain ere he be visited by death or（Isth． III．28）hosr old age．＇Dissen gives for $\delta \rho \gamma a l$ the forced rendering ＂quae quis appetit．＂For the par－ ticiple cf．Nem．viII．38，Isth．VI．40， Thuk．I． 20 § 2．We should make it the principal verb．For $\delta \in \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$




17．ย $\sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a L$ ］Mss．$\sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ． Edd．after Pauwe the late Epic $\% \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，but the aorist is better． Perhaps we should read＇$\pi \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ， cf．Pyth．Tv． 133.

клขтаîs．］＇Loud，＇ef．O1．Xiv．19， $\kappa \lambda v \tau \dot{a} \nu$ ả $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda l a \nu$ ，and Isth．vi． 19.

18．むu $\nu \delta \rho o ́ s.] ~ L a m p o ̂ n . ~$
Molpas．］For position cf．$\Sigma a \lambda a$－ $\mu_{\text {s }}$ ，Isth．1v． 49.

єфєт $\mu \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}$ ．］Here＇entreaties，＇＇ur－ gent prayers，＇cf．Il．1．495，$\Theta \in$＇тıs
 The word usually means the＇be－ hests＇of a superior．

19．v̌ $\mu \mu \epsilon$ ．］Acc．after $\dot{\beta} \alpha \nu \varepsilon \notin \epsilon \nu$.
20．$\tau \in \theta \mu \mathrm{ov}$.$] ＇A most clear pre－$ scription，＇＇most clearly prescribed．＇ Cf．O1．viI．88，xiII．28，Nem．Iv． 33，x． 33.

21．тávס＇．］For this pronoun not implying the poet＇s presence cf．Pyth．Ix．91，O1．viII． 25 ；but here the whole tone of the ode suggests that the poet was pre－ sent．
$\epsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ โरovta．］For the change of

#  кé $\lambda \in v \theta o \iota$, 


 $\lambda_{\text {cs, }} 35$
25 ätıs ov̉ П $\eta \lambda$ éos àtét к $\lambda$ éos ひ̈ $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$,
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$

$\kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi а т \rho o ́ s \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi а \lambda \kappa о \chi a ́ \rho \mu a \nu ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~$



40
Маонєסоעтєıầ ímèp ả $\mu \pi \lambda a \kappa \iota a ̂ \nu$


case from the dat. $\mu \mathrm{ot}$ cf. OI. I. 10, Isth. I. 46.
 vv. 8, 9, Isth. III. 90, OI. xI. [x] 97,

 Nom. i. 13.
22. $\tau \in ́ \tau \mu \eta \nu \theta^{\prime}$.] Much of the ancient Greek road-making consisted in cutting rock. For metaphor of. OI. vi. 73, Isth. III. 19, Isth. II. 33, Nom. VII. 50, 51, and especially Nom. vi. 47.

е̇като́цл. еौv $\sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}$.$] A hundred$ feet broad continuously.
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\ell} \nu \quad \sigma \chi \in \varphi$. $]$ Cf. Nom. 1. 69.
23. Cf. Isth. II, 41. This is a stronger expression, meaning beyon the furthest regions known (by name) to the Greek, south and north. The slaughter of Memnôn by Pêleides spread the fame of Peleus to the south, perhaps there was a legend that Telamon was with Hêrakles on one or both of his journeys to the Hyperborean (cf. OI. <compat>I. 13-34). But the ex-
pression does not require this particular interpretation, cf. Isth. III. 55.
24. $\pi a \lambda\langle\gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o s$.$] Schol, { }^{\lambda} \lambda-$ $\lambda$ ккотоs.
25. ate.] Mss. ã̃el. Schol. Vet. катакойєь.
रацß $\rho 0$ vv.] Cf. Nom. v. 37.
26. au $\tau<s]$ Sc. out aztec.
27. $\tau \delta \nu$. .] I. е. T T $\lambda \alpha \mu \omega ิ \nu a$.

халкоха́puау.] As Telamon was on入lins this epithet may be in apposition with $\tau \delta \nu$, not in agreement with $\pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$.
28. Tpetav.] mss. тpolay. The phrase $\eta_{p} \omega \sigma_{t} \mu \dot{\sigma} \chi \theta_{0}$ (in apposition with Tpwtav) refers to both Trojan wars.
$\left.\mu \delta^{\prime} \chi \theta_{0} v.\right]$ Cf. Isth. vil. 11.
29. 3 ss. give - flay, -kiav. Kayser gives the text after the School.
30. For the late position of the subject of. infra, vv. 35, 40, OI. xi. [x] 31, 34, O. and P. p. xxxvi.
31. Пер $\begin{aligned} \text { Pula.] Sc. } \hat{\eta} \nu . ~ C f . ~\end{aligned}$

 573, ai $\lambda \dot{\omega} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho a \nu$.

 $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu$ ßapuфӨórरoıo ขєupâs 50
'A $\nu \tau$. $\beta$ '.


 $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ă $\rho \xi a \iota$
 ä $\nu \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ av̀т $\hat{\varphi}$ ф́́ $р \tau а т о \varsigma ~$




$\kappa \in[\nu \varphi$.$] Te \quad \alpha \mu \omega \hat{\omega \prime \nu}$. For theme cf. Nem. iv. 25-30.

Meрбт $\omega \%$.] Men of Kôs. As Herakles was worshipped at Kos as Alexis (Mezger), the Meropes whom he conquered may have been Egyptian or Karian or Phoenician oppressors of Greek inhabitants.
32. ßovßótav.1 So called because he had 'lifted' the cattle of Hêlios from Erythia. For the epithets with and without the article of. 0 . and P. p. xxxvi. For the simile of. Il.
 $\kappa$ кús (of Hektôr).
33. $\Phi \lambda \in ́ \gamma \rho a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$.$] In Thrace, cf.$ Nem. I. 67.
$\sigma \phi \in \tau$ épas.] I.q. éás, see L. and S.
35. ka入é $\omega v$.] Is this future?
36. és $\pi \lambda 60 \nu$.$] To the above-$ mentioned voyage. The old Vatican Ms. reads és $\pi \lambda 60 \nu$ кท́ $\rho v \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ $\delta a \omega \nu \nu \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$, leaving a lacuna of a spondee's length before the last word; Triclinian mss. Ess
 Mommsen from Schol. e. ส. гoûrov


the Schol. I get é. $\pi$. тои̂тov кúpŋбev סauvunévov. The toûtov is natural as the account goes back to the beginning of the story of the Trojan expedition.
37. ápsau.] Mezger compares for the construction with dat. Nem. II. 25 , where $\dot{a} \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\phi \omega \nu \hat{a}$ is most likely, as I took it, dative of manner. The Schol. says that this scene is ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma d \lambda \omega \nu$ 'Hot $\omega \nu$ (see L. and S. ทoios, II.).

39, 40. ф'́ $\rho \tau a \tau о s-T \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \omega ́ v] ~ F o r$. order of. $O$. and P. p. xxxvi.

тєфрикvîay.] 'Embossed,' 'rough,' cf. Verg. Aen. XII. 87, auro squalentem alboque orichalco...loricam, ib. Ix. 263, aspera signis pocula. According to a Schol. Aristarchos said the metaphor was from a boar,

41. ov่pavஸ̂.] Dat. termini. Cf. O. and P. p. Xxxvii.
42. Totoûtov.] Mss., old totoûtóv тı, new тoloûtó̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ '. Even without a following $F-0 y$ can be long, of. Pyth. Iz. 114, Nem. 1. 51, 69, vi. 60.
43. $\theta \in \in \lambda \omega v$.$] Cf. Ol. r. 97, Pyth.$

## 


 тòv $\mu$ ย̀̀ ar $\rho \rho \eta \kappa \tau о \nu$ фváv, డ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тóסє $\delta \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda a \nu a ̂ \tau a \iota$
 $\mu e^{\prime} a^{\circ}$
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 ха́рьร,
II. 69 (mss. $\theta \in \lambda \omega \nu$, some add. ย̇к $\omega \nu$ ), x. 5, Nem. 84 note.
44. บ̇xó.] For the unusual use of the preposition $=$ ' by means of,' cf. OI. จ. 6, vi $\pi \grave{o}$ ßovөvoiars (er $\gamma \in \in \rho a-$
 $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota$, New. vil. 84.

 ảעठิpl тóvঠ̇є кєîvov ar $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \mu$. т. Tricli-
 $\mu . \tau$. Hermann and Böckh follow these last mss. except in reading $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \varepsilon$ for $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$, interpreting ' $a$ son to make my friend perfectly happy.' For $\xi$. a. Rauchenstein would read

 I propose the text or кєivov ที $\mu a \rho$ $\mu o i p l \delta i o v$, comparing Pyth. Tv. 255, $\kappa a l$ év du $\lambda \lambda o \delta a \pi \alpha i s \mid ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu$ ' ápoúpaus

 also $\mu\langle\rho \sigma \tau \mu$ al os, of Epaphos' birth, Asch. Suppl. 46. I think that imp molotov is the accusefive, like סौétepov ai pap, Isth. III. 85, and that $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma a t$ is to be refurred to Zed's $\tau \in \lambda \in L o s$, who was usually invoked before the first libation, a similar use being found
in Eur. Bacchae, 100, Єैтєкєע ס'̀ àviка Moîpaı тé $\lambda \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ таvро́кєршу $\theta \epsilon \delta \dot{\nu}$.
47. то̀ $\left.\nu \mu^{\hat{\varepsilon}} \nu_{0}\right]$ 'To make him.' Zeugma with $\tau \in \lambda$ é $\sigma a$. The particle $\mu e ̀ \nu$ is to be taken with $\phi v a v^{\prime}$, carelative with $\theta v \mu \mathrm{ds} \delta 仑 \hat{,}, v .49$, cf. Nem. rx. 39.
ar $\rho \rho \eta \kappa т о \nu$.$] \quad 'Stout,' 'stalwart,'$ not 'invulnerable.' Pindar seems to have told elsewhere of Ais hoving been wrapped up in Hêrakles' lion's skin and thereby rendered invulnerable, of. Schol. Arg. ad Soph. Aiac.

фváv.] For the meaning 'plysique' cf. Isth. VI. 22, cf. also фú$\sigma \iota \nu$, Nem. VI. 5, Isth. III. 67.
$\mathscr{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$.] For the compendious construction cf. Nem. Ix. 41.
48. $\pi a ́ \mu \pi \rho \omega \tau o \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$.] Accusative of general agreement. Cf. 01. II. 4.
 his spirit correspond.' Cf. OI. II. 22. Don. Others, 'let the spirit (of a lion) accompany (the lion's strength).'

фане́vч.] Cf. New. Ix. 43.
50. Observe the expressive sounds of this line.

Eкvı乡єข.] 'Thrilled him.'




55 ต̂s ả $\rho a$ єiтஸ̀v aùтíкa


 тov
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 oüà $\mu$ оîpà $\stackrel{\nu}{\nu}^{\mu \nu} \omega \nu$ ．
 ӓрбоутє кал入ібта $\delta \rho о ́ \sigma \varphi$ ，

 e้p víô̄亢 $\tau \in \phi \rho a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ ．$\pi a \rho a \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$,
＇А立．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．

53．And Zeus calls（idiomatic aorist）him，by a name commemo－ rative of the appearance of the bird，mighty Aias．＇Apollodoros gives the same derivation．In Soph． Ai．430－432 we have，as Prof． Jebb rightly says，a pun，not an etymology．

56．дакро́v．］Cf．Nem．x．4，19．
dipetás．］Instances of the worth of the folk of Aegina．This verse refers back to v． 22 ．

58．For these names cf．Nem． マ．Introd．

тòv＇Apүeluy тро́тoy．］Cf．Aesch． Supp．196， 269 ［P．］，цакрáv re $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$
 Frag．411，$\mu \hat{\nu} \theta_{o s} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$＇$A \rho \gamma 0 \lambda \iota \sigma \tau l \sigma v \nu-$
F. II.
$\tau \in \mu \nu \epsilon \omega{ }^{\beta} \beta$ paxús．Dorians of Argolis had colonised Aegina．

59．$\kappa$＇．］For $\kappa \in(\underset{a}{v})$ with the future ef．Nem．vir． 68.

61．Tàs $\delta^{\prime}$ ．］Cf．Ol．xit．6，тó̀ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$


62．Cf．Isth．III．39－42．
olav．］Exclamatory，of．Ol．Ix． 89， 93 ．

64．For metaphor ef．Nem．viI， 40.

65．ò $\rho \theta$ ẃ $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s.] ~ C f . ~ P y t h . ~ I v . ~$ 60，Isth．I． 46.
67．Hes．W．and D．409，ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho$

 rov öфє $\lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ．Pindar of course means athletic exercises by $\begin{aligned} & \text { tpyots．}\end{aligned}$

12
$\xi v \nu o ̀ v ~ a ̆ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu o \nu ~ £ ́ \varphi ̣ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma a ́ \gamma \omega \nu . ~$


 $\dot{a} \in \theta \lambda \eta \tau a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \quad{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu$
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 Kád $\mu_{o v} \pi \tau^{\prime} \lambda a \iota \varsigma$.
69. Evvóv.] Cf. Ol. vir. 21, xi. [x.] 11.
70. eviepreciacs.] Dat. of cảuse. Cf. O1. vi. 90 .
71. For the repetition of a word with $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu-\delta \bar{k}$ cf. Isth. III. 8. For sentiment cf. Hes. W. and D. 692,
 $\sigma \omega$ äpı $\sigma \tau o s$.

72. ov่к | $\xi$ |
| :--- | ф $\rho \in \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$.] 'Does not go beyond the bounds of wisdom.' Schol. ov̉ $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \omega ิ s ~ \phi \theta$ éryєтац. Mezger, 'dnes not say one thing and mean another.'

фаins, к.т.入.] mss. фalns кє viv
 Hermann, Böckh, ф. к. v. ávopáotv di $\theta$. Mommsen, $\phi$. к. M $\in \imath a \nu \delta \rho o \nu$ è $\nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \in \theta \lambda$., after the Triclinian gloss,
 which is a wrong interpretation drawn from Nem. viri. 48. Bergk gives the text. So the Schol. $\epsilon$ limot $\delta^{\prime}$ äv $\tau$ cs avitò rò̀ $\Lambda a ́ \mu \pi \pi \omega a$, eival
 к.т.ג. The Schol., however, needlessly regards Lampôn as a trainer.
73. Naklav.] The Schol. says that the best whetstones were those of Naxos in Krete.
$\chi$ алкодá $\mu a \nu \tau^{\prime}$.] For this termination in the feminine gender of.
 тотацla 'Aкрáyavтı Pyth. vi. 6.
74. $\pi / \sigma \omega$.] I will offer them as my $\xi_{\text {elpo }}$ a draughto For the future xeferring to the time of recitation of. Ol. xI. [x.] 79, 84, Pyth. 1x. 89. The causal forms wiow, ѐ $\bar{\ell} \pi \iota \sigma \epsilon$ (Frag. 88 [77]) are referred to the late $\pi \iota \pi / \sigma \kappa \omega$ by lexicographers. For the double accusative cf. $\pi$ ort $\}$ ' $\omega$.
$\sigma \phi \epsilon_{\text {. }}$ The Psalychidae. For Pindar's house near the fountain of Dirkê of. O. and P. pp. xv, xvi.
75. रpuronte $\pi$ रov.] Our phrase 'golden memories' recommends this epithet to us, but very likely it recalled some celebrated picture or piece of sculpture in Pindar's time.
ev่rextéow.] 'Of the well-built walls.'

## ISTHMIA VI. [VII.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF STREPSIADAS OF THEBES IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Strepsiadas, a Theban, nephew of Strepsiadâs son of Diodotos, probably gained the victory celebrated in this ode at the Isthmian festival of O1. 81. 2, April, B.c. 456, soon after the disastrous defeat of the Thebans by the Athenians at Oenophyta, which threw the government of Thebes into the hands of the democratic party. In this battle Strepsiadâs the elder, maternal uncle of the victor, had fallen ( $v v .24-36$ ).

The rhythm is Lydian with Aeolian measures.

## ANALYSIS.

v.

1-15. Thêbâ is asked in which of the ancient glories of Thebes she feels most delight.
16-21. But as men forget what is not immortalized in verse, the poet bids the chorus celebrate in song Strepsiadâs.
21-23. For he has won the prize in the pankration at Isthmos, and is richly endowed by nature and made illustrious by minstrelsy,
24-36. And has given delight to his namesake and maternal uncle, who had recently died fighting like a hero for his country.
37-39. The poet was bitterly grieved at the defeat and the deaths of his countrymen, but now Poseidôn offers him calm after the storm.

39-42. A prayer that divine envy may not disturb his tranquil enjoyment of whatever pleasure presents itself as he awaits age and death.
42, 43. For all must die alike, but are unequal in fortune.
43-47. If a mortal be ambitious, he is too puny to mount to Olympos.
47, 48. Sweets unjustly enjoyed are in the issue most bitter.
49-51. Invocation to Apollo to grant Strepsiadâs victory at the Pythian games.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime}$.
 $\kappa a \lambda \omega ิ \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ $\theta \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu$
 $\Delta a \mu a ́ т \epsilon \rho o s$ ávíк' єv̉puरaíтav
 $\delta \epsilon \xi a \mu \in ́ v a$ тòv ф'́ $\rho \tau a \tau о \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,
'Аעт. $a^{\prime}$.
 $\sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ a ̈ \lambda o \chi o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \eta \hat{\lambda \theta \epsilon \nu}$ 'Hраклєioıs yovaîs;
 glories;' the phrase is used in a rather different sense, Pyth. v. 108.
3. ท̉ $\hat{\rho}$.] Cf. Pyth. 1x. 37, xi. 38.
$\chi$ алкокро́тоv.] An epithet of Rhea transferred to Dêmêtêr,' 'worshipped with clash of bronze,' i.e. of cymbals or $\dot{\eta} \chi \in i \hat{a}$.
$\pi$ ápeб $\rho o \nu$.] The connection between Dionysos and Dêmêtêr, wine and corn, is natural: Ter. Eun. 4. 5. 6, sine Cerere et Libero friget Venus. They are represented together on several antique gems. Mariette, Traité des pierres gravées, II. p. 1, Pl. xxxif.
5. $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega}$ vlфovтa.] 'Snowing gold at midnight.' For the dative ef. Isth. rv. 50, Nikophôn (Athênaeos, vi. p. 269 ェ), $\nu(\phi \in \tau \omega \omega \neq \nu \quad d \lambda$ -

$\delta$ ' $\begin{aligned} & \text { rvel. For the adverbial use of }\end{aligned}$ adjective of. Ol. xiv. 11, xIII. 17. L. and S., regardless of order, join $\mu \varepsilon \sigma . \delta \epsilon \xi a \mu \in \nu a$, but as the legends of Zeus and showers of gold at Argos and Rhodes (Ol. viI. 34, Philostr.
 $\sigma \partial s ~ \epsilon \xi$ oúpavoû $\dot{\epsilon} \in \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ кai ঠıam入 $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \iota$

 likely rested at least partly on a shower of meteors, $\mu$ erovórcton is quite appropriately attached to $\nu$ фоута. It seems as if one of these stories attached to $\pi 0 \lambda$ úxpurot, ${ }^{2}$ $\gamma_{\lambda \lambda a l} \theta_{\bar{\eta} \beta a t}$.
7. yovaîs.] Cf. Nem. x.17. Dative of purpose; Schol. Vet. $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{i}$ тais'H. $\gamma$. Cf. Isth. vir. 27. Dissen compares however Nem. x. 69, '̇фор$\mu a \theta \varepsilon$ is äкодть $\theta 0 \hat{\varphi}$.

$\hat{\eta}$ ö ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau^{\prime}$ à $\mu \phi$ ' 'Ió入aov immó $\mu \eta \tau \iota \nu$;


'Е $\pi . \boldsymbol{a}$.




20

ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} \pi a \lambda a \iota a ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \beta^{\prime}$.

25

8. Edd., after Heyne, needlessly read пuкvaîs Teıperiao, but by taking $l$ as $y$ (the accent going back in pronunciation to the preceding syllable) we can keep to the mss. In this line and the next $\eta$ ทi $\delta \tau^{\prime}$ scans as one long syllable; Mommsen

 cerning,' after є $0 \phi \rho a v a s \quad \theta v \mu \delta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \partial \nu$ mentally supplied from above, of. O. and P. p. xxxvii. The construction of aju $l$ with two different cases but the same sense in consecutive lines is remarkable.
10. $\Sigma \pi a \rho \tau \omega \hat{v}$.$] The warriors who$ sprung from the sown teeth of the dragon slain by Kadmos. The five survivors of their internecine fight (Ov. Met. III. 126) helped Kadmos to found Thebes and founded five Theban families. The gen. is causal, cf. Madv. § $61 b$, Rem. 1.
à $\lambda a \lambda a ̂ s$.] Of. Nem. III. 60, where in the note read a comma after Pyth. 1. 72.
12. For the theme of. Pyth. v.
$64-76$, Pyth. 1. 65. For the order
 Isth. Im. 36, Pyth. Iv. 214-216.

12, 13. $\left.\delta \rho \theta \hat{\varphi} . . . \epsilon \pi t \quad \sigma \phi v \rho \psi_{\hat{2}}.\right] \quad$ Cf. Hor. Epp. II. 1. 176, securus cadat an recto stet fabula talo, where Orelli quotes Pers. 5. 104, recto vivere talo, Eur. Helen. 1449, $\delta \rho \theta \hat{\psi}$ $\beta$ ท̂vą moòl. Cf. also Ol. xiII. 72,

 opOòv àvéo Tท.
15. наутєv́ $\mu a \sigma \iota$.] Causal dative.
16. à $\lambda \lambda$ à... $\gamma$ up.] 'But, since....'
 the case, celebrate, \&c.' The $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ dismisses the topic of the ancient glories of Thebes somewhat sadly, still they are not dead but only asleep, of. Isth. III. 41.
17. duvápoves.] A hit at the Lacedaemonians for not helping Thebes before Oenophyta,
18. नoфlas.] 'Poetry.'
đutov.] Cf. Isth. I. 51.
19. кגutaîs.] 'Sounding'? Cf. Ol. xiv. 19, Isth. v. 17.
$20 \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \zeta^{\prime}$ '̈ $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ бv̀v $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega$

 $\epsilon \iota \varsigma$, ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau$ ' ả $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \nu$ ov̉к al̃ $\sigma \chi \iota \nu$ фvâs.
'Аขт. $\beta^{\prime}$.




 aíцатоs трò фìas тáтраs à $\mu v ́ \nu є \tau a \imath$,
'Ет. $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}$.

 $30 \zeta \omega^{\omega} \omega \nu \tau^{\prime}$ äтò каі̀ Өavผ́v.
pooior $\iota v]$ Dat. of means with $\xi \xi\{$ $\kappa \eta \tau a \ell$, of remote object with suytv.
juyev.] Cf. Isth. III. 3. The metaphor is here of a tree planted by the water side, only slightly different from that of Nem. viII. 40. Edd. placed a full stop after this word.
 mos.'
21. $\Sigma_{\tau \rho \epsilon \psi \text { iáóq.] Dat. commodi, }}$ cf. Nem. II. 24.
$\phi \epsilon \rho \varepsilon$.] 'He is winner of,' of. Nem. III. 18.
22. עiкav тayкрatlov.] Cf. Isth.

$\left.\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon, \kappa . \tau_{.} \lambda_{\text {. }}\right]$ Cf. Nem. III. 19, O1. viri, 19, ix. 94 for sentiment; also Isth. v. 47-49.
 be as fair a possession as fair physique' (Isth. v. 47). For äyєl cf. Soph. Ant. 34, тò $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\text {ä }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon \nu} \mid$ oủx ùs $\pi a \rho$ ' oưdév. Dissen renders àzel, 'habet'...veluti merces, opes, Od. т. 184.
alo $\chi$ cop.] Predicative, as is usual with this construction. For such
an accusative which Cookesley says does not occur ef. Madv. $\boldsymbol{\&}_{1} 1 b$,

 1). Dissen does not take the neuter adjective as predicative, though Matthiae, to whom he refers, gives no parallel case. Mommsen reads aicरic.
23. $\phi \lambda$ '̧́erau.] Cf. O1. 1x. 22, Isth. III. 61.

8'.] 'Accordingly,' cf. Isth. III. 90. loßootpúxotrı.] So Sohmid. mss. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ іотлокацобь against scansion,
 v. 30.
24. Kowvor.] Cf. Pyth. v. 96, v_. 15. 'Of interest to him.'
$\theta$ ádos.] 'Wreath,' but used with reference to $v v .18,19$.
25. An inversion of the use of $\mu i \sigma \gamma \omega$ found Ol. I. 22.
26. divilkeival.] 'Is the meed' in return for their life.
27. For metaphor of. Isth. Iv. 49, Simonidês, Frag. 89, 106.
28. dutv.] Thiersch, avza $\phi \hat{\ell} \rho \omega \nu$.
30. júwn.] Participle.







'А $\nu$ т. $\gamma^{\prime}$.


àmò...Өaváv.] Tmesis.
31. Strepsiadas, the uncle of the victor.
32. aivés.]. 'Aemulatus,' Dissen. Meleagros was brother to Hêrakles' wife Deianeira, and is thus conneeted with Theban legends. Hektor was eaid to be buried in Thebes by the fountain Oedipodia, Paus. Ix. 18. Aristot. (Pseudepigraph. Bergk 46 [41]), "Ектори Tóvóe $\mu \notin \gamma a \nu$
 रalŋs, $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \tau \gamma เ \gamma \nu 0 \mu$ évots. These two heroes fell fighting for their country like Strepsiadâs, the victor's uncle. The allusion to Amphiaraos is less special, but not open to reasonable objection. Bergk's violent alteration to d $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ 'A $\mu \phi<\dot{d} \rho \epsilon t o v$ involving alterations of the two corresponding verses is quite unwarrantable. If Strepsiadas fell near Amphiarâos' shrine, that would quite account for the mention of the hero.
34. diecklay.] 'His manhood's prime in its full blossom.' Cf. Simonidês, Frag. 114 [61], á $\phi$ ' ${ }^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{e}$ -

 meric, ef. Il. xiv. 57, xiII. 271.
$\epsilon \lambda \pi i \sigma c{ }^{2}$.] For the sense of. Nem. 1. 32.
38. For metaphor of. Isth. in. 35.
40. द̇фá $\mu \varepsilon \rho о \nu$.] Not 'short-lived,' L. and S., but in diem. Cf. Eur. Cycl. 336, фаүєîv тoủ申' $\dot{\eta} \mu$ épav.
$\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \nu$.] For the (to us) inversion of participle and verb cf. Isth. 1v. 56, v. 15. For sentiment ef.


 $\mu \hat{\nu}$ ou. Cookesley quotes, Ille potens sui $\mid$ laetusque deget cui licet in diem | dixisse uixi. Hor. Od. III. 29, 41.
41. The poet himself was about sixty-six at the assumed date of this ode, but the prayer is of general application. Here Éxa入os seems to mean "in unambitious ease," i.e. holding aloof from party strife. He seems to warn his oligarchical hearers not to aim at supremacy in the state, but to rest content in the assurance that democratic




${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.

è $\lambda \theta \in i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu a ́ \gamma v \rho \iota \nu$ Bє $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \rho \circ$ фóvtav
Zqpós. тò $\delta$ è тàp סíkav



 punished in good time.
44. тaлтalyet.] Cf. Ol. 1. 114, Isth. VII. 13.
$\left.\beta \rho a u^{\prime} s.\right]$ 'Too pany to,' cf. Nem. x. 19 for construction, and for sentiment Pyth. x. 27, o $\chi^{\text {á }}$ ккєos ovjpa-


ถั $\tau \circ\llcorner$.] мss. ö $\tau$ ( so Böckh, "quan-



47. Zךŋós.] For order ef. 0 .
and P. p. xxxvi, Isth. rv. 19, 20, 43,44, v. 28,30 , ib. 39, 40, VII. 28 , 29, ib. 49, 50.
49. Xpưvéeq.] Lit. "with luxuriant golden hair." The Pythian games fell about four months after the first Isthmian games in an Olympiad.
51. Evaveta.] C1. supra,v. 34.
kal.] 'Even.'
$\Pi \nu \theta o ́ o i:] ~ S o ~ C h o e r o b o s k o s ~(B e k-~$ ker, Anec., Tom. ur. p. 1202). Perhaps aloóí should be read Il. x. 238.

## ISTHMIA VII. [VIII.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF KLEANDROS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Kleandros, son of Telesarchos of Aegina, had been victorious as a pankratiast at Nemea and at the Isthmos. There is much difficulty in determining the date. Mezger would place it between the battles of Salamis and Plataea, but the ode is clearly Isthmian, and as Salamis was fought after the Isthmian games of B.c. 480, Ol. 74. 4, I do not see that this is possible. Most authorities give the Nemean games next after the battle of Plataea, which would be in the year b.c. 477 according to Unger, according to Böckh in the supposed 'Winter Nemea,' six months after the hattle and siege of Thebes. The first Isthmia of Ol. 75 fell in April B.c. 478 (not long after the date of the supposed winter Nemea), when Melissos of Thebes was victor in the pankration. I infer that the ode was composed for the Isthmian festival of B.c. 478, Kleandros' victory having been gained at one of the three consecutive Isthmian festivals immediately preceding the Battle of Salamis (April, B.c. 484, 482, 480), Phylakidas being the successful pankratiast on the other two of these three occasions (cf. Isth. v. Introd.). As this ode was a commission for the celebration at a fixed date of a victory gained two or more years before, it was probably composed before Isth. II, i.e. before April, B.c. 478 , as might be gathered from the less cheerful tone of Isth. viI. compared with Isth. III.

The vocabulary, which presents an unusual proportion of exclusively epic words, and the somewhat tame effect produced by frequent demonstrative pronouns at the beginnings of clauses bear evidence to the painful effort made by the poet in rousing himself
from his troubles to compose a triumphal strain. The ode was recited in or before the $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \theta v \rho o v ~ o f ~ T e l e s a r c h o s ' ~ h o u s e . ~ T h e ~ r h y t h m ~$ is Aeolo-Lydian.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-13. The poet rouses himself and the chorus from grief, of which the worst is over, to requite Kleandros for his victory with an ode of triumph.
13,14 . It is always best to attend to the immediate future.
14, 15. Fate is treacherous and makes the current of life turn and shift.
15, 16. But if liberty remain even such troubles as those of Thebes admit of healing.
It is a manly duty to cherish bright hopes, and it is a duty for a Theban born and bred to offer a choice song to Aegina.
17-23. Because she and Thêbâ are sisters, keloved of Zeus, who made the latter queen of Thebes, while the former bore to him Aeakos,
23, 24. He settled disputes even for immortals.
24,25 . His descendants are distinguished for bravery and wisdom.
26-47. [Myth] Consequently when Zeus and Poseidôn were rivals with respect to Thetis, who was destined to bear a son mightier than his sire, Themis persuaded them to agree to her marriage with Peleus.
47, 48. Of Achilles' youthful prowess accordingly poets have sung.
49-58. The exploits and glorious death of Achilles are mentioned.
59, 60. By mourning for Achilles the immortals showed their approval of celebrating worthy men in song after their death.
61. This is right now also,

61-63. And the car of the Muse hastens on to raise a memorial of song in honour of Nikokles.
63, 64. Honour him for his Isthmian victory in the boxing match;
64, 65. Since he had already defeated his neighbours.


#### Abstract

65. His cousin Kleandros does him credit.

65-67. Let his compeers weave wreaths of myrtle in honour of Kleandros;


67, 68. Since he has won at Megusa and Epidauros.
69, 70. He has made it easy for a worthy man to praise him, by winning distinctions in his youth.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}
$$



тат òs á $\gamma \lambda a o ̀ \nu ~ T \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \rho \chi o v ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o \nu ~ i \omega ̀ \nu ~ a ́ v \epsilon-~$ $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \in ́ \tau \omega ~}$


1. $\tau$ cs.] The indefinite pronoun with the active is often found in Greek where we should use a passive, while in other cases it occasionally refers to a definite person or persons, sometimes with deliberate vagueness, sometimes with solemn mysteriousness, sometimes with sinister or pathetic effect. Cf. Nem. viIf. 50 , where it means the poet, while here it means the chorus, $\dot{\omega} \nu \notin o, v$. 2, also being addressed to the chorus. Matthiae, §8 487, 511, quotes Soph. Ai. 245,

 ib. 1138, тоût' els àviay toû̃os tepxeral rivi (thee). Aristoph. Ran. 552, 554. Cookesley's 'every one' (Dissen omnes) is not wrong, as an explanation, if we limit it to ' of you, the chorus,' as infra v. 65, d $\lambda(\kappa \omega y)$ tıs $=$ ' every one of his equals in age;' in Il. xvir. 227, it means 'every one of you my allies.' Professor Seymour, for "' some one,' ' many a one,' " compares II. II. 382, where however $\mu \notin \nu \tau 1 s \ldots . . \partial \epsilon \in$ seem to mean 'some of you, others of you' (Dissen refers to this place to support ' Pron. Tis usitatum in hortationibus ubi omnes intelliguntur '). Cookesley (after Dissen) cites for 'every one' Herod. vir.

109, where Matthiae's alternative 'let the houses be rebnilt' is better, for Themistokles cannot have meant literally 'every one' to build and sow. He also cites Il. xxi. 126, where ' many a one,' not 'every one,' is meant. In rendering into English, our own indefinite pronouns should generally be used in such cases, as our idiom somewhat resembles the Greek.
dं _ckiq $\tau e$.] Generally taken as a hendiadys (cf. Hor. Od. III. 4. 43. Mezger's three quotations from Pindar, inf. vv. 46, 55, Nem. vir. 46, are quite irrelevant) ; but from v. 67, I infer that the poet bids the chorus raise the komos-song for Kleandros and his youthful companions in the kômos (ef. Pyth. II. 74).

入úтроу каца́тнv.] Cf. Pyth. v.

 ov $\mu \phi$ орâs olkт $\rho a ̂ s ~ \gamma \lambda u \kappa v i$, Isth. Iv. 25, $\dot{\alpha} \tau \pi \pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$.
3. $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o v.] ~ C f . ~ N e m . ~$ I. 19.
4. äтouva.] Accasative of general agreement, of. Isth. II. 7, infra v. 63.

N $\epsilon \mu$ feq.] Dative for locative, of. Nem. x. 35, Isth. wv. 18.





 ढ̀ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ тòv vi net $\kappa є \phi a \lambda a ̂ s$
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \beta^{\prime}$.
 $\mu o i ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \mu a ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi а \rho о \iota \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~$
 games，＇cf．Isth．iv．19，vi． 22.
$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ．］Cf．infra v． 65 ；＇where－ fore．＇
axvímevos．］Grieving over the troubles of Thebes（see Introd．）and in particular for the death of Niko－ kles，cf．infra vv．61－63．
aiteonal．］For the pass．of per－ sons cf．Asch．Choeph． 471 and Paley＇s note．This use of the simple verb is almost confined to the participles．

גpuóéa．］Cf．Isth．II． 26.
Moíवà ка入．］Cf．Net．III． 1.
$\mu є$ д́̀ $^{\lambda} \omega v$ ．］Cf．картєра́v，v． 13. They are still in grief and anxiety which can only be thrown off by an effort，but the worst is over．

6．Et v．］Cf．Path．r． 74.
бтєфа́vov．］＇Festive garlands，＇ i．e．festivity and song，of．infra $v$ ． 67，Eur．Here．Fur．676，$\mu \eta$ خ $\zeta$ भ́ $\eta \nu$
 є $\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ ．
 т $\rho \eta к$. ＇$F$ rom bootless，idle，sorrow．＇ Cf．Il．xxxv．522，ar $\lambda \gamma \in a \quad \delta^{\prime} \quad \epsilon \mu \pi \eta s$

 крvepoîo Yóo兀o，also ib．v． 550.

8．$\delta а \mu \omega \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．］＇We will de－ light the city folk with．＇Cf．

Aristoph．Pax，797，ascribed by a Schol，to Stêsichoros＇Oresteia，

 the words $\tau \delta \nu$ бофд $\nu \pi<\iota \eta \tau \eta \nu$ being of course Aristophanes＇．This Schorl．
 diסópeva．The Grammarians seem to ascribe the sense on $\eta \mu$ окоте iv， nailer to Plato．Cf．Dobson on Plato，Tim．p． 161 （iI．i．217）．Per－ heaps $\delta \eta \mu \omega \mu a$ is rather a＇popular song，＇＇popular phrase，＇than＇a jest＇or＇popular pastime．＇

каl $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тóvov．］＇Though after a painful effort．＇

10．Tóv．］Mss．$\tau \epsilon$ ，Böckh $\gamma \epsilon$ ， Mommsen wal，Bergk acre．I pro－ pose $\tau \delta \nu$ which is corrupted infra v． 65.

For theme of．O1．1．54－58， Bergs，Anacreontea， 22 ［20］，i）
 iv $\quad$ ox $\chi$ aus．

тара́．．．éтр．］Tmesis．
ar $\mu \mu$ ．$]$ Dat．commodi．
11．＇Eג入́dò̀ $\mu o ́ \chi \theta o v$.$] Cf．Isth．v．$ 28.

 нерıидầ．





 è $\lambda \in \cup \theta \epsilon \rho i a_{a}$







$\tau \omega \nu \pi a \rho o r \chi o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ with $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s$ for sup. pressed subject. Mommsen đáp $\mu a$ $\mu e ̀ v ~ \pi a \rho o ı \chi o \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ suggested by the Schol. दॄย тóv re фóßov kal tì̀ $\mu$ е́pu $\rho \nu a \downarrow$ ai $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ Tท̂s wlkทs єv่фpơival Eivuav. For the construction of the text, 'the passing by of the terror,' cf . Ol. Ix. 103 note, Pyth. xı. 22, 23, Thuk.
 al 'Evpéa dóol ктіక'ó vi. 2 , ix. 6.
13. The arss. give no infinitive verb. The Scholl. give oкотeiv kal
 dyté $\chi \in \sigma \theta a$. Thiersch, Böckh give бтотеîv: Bergk now reads ópây before $\dot{\alpha} \in l$. For the infinitive of.
 Pyth. III. 60, x. 61, ти̂v $\delta^{\prime}$ éкабтоs


 for sentiment 01. xII. 7.
 every case,' cf. Nem. v. 16. Bergk

alúv.] Cf. Isth. III. 18. For sentiment cf. Nem. xi. 43.
$\left.\epsilon \pi^{\prime} \ldots \kappa \rho^{\prime} \mu a \tau a.\right]$ Tmesis. Cf. Simonidês Frag. 39 [54], à $\nu \rho$ рஸ́t $\omega$


 Oávaтos. Archiloch. Frag. 53 [45],
 $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma o v$ к $\rho \epsilon \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega$.
15. è $\lambda / \sigma \sigma \omega v$.] Cf. Isth. III. 18.

Blov mbpov.] For metaphor cf. Ol. iI. 33. Some mss. give $\beta$ ќtov, ef. Isth. III. 23.

बivy $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ' è̀ $\lambda \in \theta \theta \epsilon \rho[$. .] 'So freedom but remain.'

кal $\tau$ á.] 'Even such a fate as
 бато каl тá (Prof. Seymour). $\chi \rho \eta$.] Cf. Isth. III. 7, 8.
16. $\chi$ वpitur.] Cf. Isth. III. 8, Frag. 53. 2, 'songs.'
$\pi \rho о \nu \in \mu \in \omega$.$] 'To give lavishly.'$

- For that from her sire were born maidens twain, youngest of Asôpos' daughters.' For the daughters of Asopos cf. Ol. vi. 84.

19. ठ.] Mase. demonstrative, cf. vv. 23, 49. Paley however takes it to be for $\delta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2}$.

Táv.] Thêbâ.
20. фе $\lambda \alpha \rho \mu$ ] Cf. Frag. 83 [73]. 5. àєнóva.] Only here used in the feminine gender. ' $A s$ tutelary deity.'




 ò кai
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таиิта каі̀ $\mu а \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ є ̇ \mu є ́ \mu \nu а \nu \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \gamma о р а i ́, ~$
 ба́ $\mu$ ，

60


 $\pi i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$,



21．$\sigma$ t．］Aegina．
Olvorlav．］A variation of Olvóvn， Nem．viII．7，the old name of Aegina．Cf．Ov．Met．vir．472，latere inde sinistro｜Oenopiam Minos pe－ tit Aeacidel̈a regna；｜Oenopiam ue－ teres appellauere；sed ipse｜Aeacus Aeginam genitricis nomine dixit．
 Kayser $\epsilon$ e．коt $\mu a \sigma \epsilon$ ，Hermann है̀єєкє коцй̣ $\tau \epsilon$ ．The dative after коциâто is supplied from $\sigma$ ．See L．and S．

25．ذ́piotevov．］Cf．Il．xı．746，

$\chi$ ádкєоу．］Defines while $\sigma \tau \sigma \nu \delta \varepsilon \nu \tau a$ is descriptive，ef．aroyóes $\sigma$ loapos Soph．Tr．887；$\pi \lambda a \gamma \dot{a}$, Aesch．Pers． 1053.
é $\gamma$ ยेovтo．］＇Proved themselves，＇ cf．Nem．nII．71，Pyth．II． 72.

27．そpıбаs．］Mss．and Momm－
 Побє $\delta$ ôà as nominative．
rápч．］＇With a view to wedlock．＇ Dative of purpose，of．Isth．vi． 7.

28．$\theta \in \hat{\lambda} \omega \nu$ ．］So mss．Böckh єú－ $\epsilon t \bar{\delta} \epsilon^{\prime} \in \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ ，but cf．Ol．II．97，Isth． v． 43.

29．éd．］Taken with d $\lambda$ oxov єv่ย $\delta \dot{\delta} a$ ．For order cf，O．and P．p． xxxvi．

EXev．］mss．$\epsilon \chi \chi \in \nu$ ，è $\lambda \in \nu$ ．For sup－ pression of object ef．Pyth．II． 17.

30．eย̉váv．］Cf．O1．vir．6．The word is probably allied to our vont， Ger．Gewohnheit，wohnen．

31．Eт $\pi$ áкоvба⿱亠乂．］Böckh after Medicean mss．ทีкоvбav．Schol．т $\uparrow \nu$ $\mu е \mu о ь р а \mu є \nu \omega \nu$ кати́коубау．Bergk
 $\nu \in \pi \in \nu \quad \delta e ̀$－．Text，Tricl．mss．
$\epsilon \ell \pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ．］So mss．Böckh $\epsilon \tau \pi \epsilon \nu$ ．
 $\tau \in \kappa \in i ̂ \nu$

70
тоутíà $\theta$ є́ov，ôs кєраvขov $\tau \epsilon \kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma o \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \beta e ́ \lambda o s ~$
 $\mu$ évà

таи́бaтє＊$\beta \rho \circ \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ סè $\lambda \epsilon \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ тvХоîбa

80

 85 òта́ббаи үє́pas Аіакíoa，





33．Mss．give text，the last sylla－ ble of rbyov being long（cf．Pyth． Ix．114，Nem．I．51，69，vi．60）． Edd，have altered variously．If I altered I should read maîo a for yó－ vov，as the supposed hiatus before （F）ávarra might cause alteration．
eiveкєข．］Equivalent to ó刀oúveка like oiveck＝＇that．＇Don．would read oúұєкєv．Prôteus repeats this prophecy to Thetis，Ov．Met．xi． 221．Ammônios，s．v．oüvєка，says that Kallimachos wrongly used


34．тоvтiav $\theta \in \delta \nu$ ．］Thetis．
35．$\Delta t{ }^{2} \gamma \epsilon$ ．］MSs．omit $\gamma \varepsilon$ ．$\Delta t$ is one long syllable．Edd．Z $\eta \nu$ l．
$\left.\mu \sigma \sigma \mu_{\epsilon} \nu a \nu.\right]$＇If united．＇The particle àv（ $\kappa \varepsilon$ ），added by Bergk，is not wanted in the apodosis，as the consequence is certain．For the theme of．Apoll．Rhod．Iv． 797. Aesch．Prom．Vinct．786， 7 （Paley＇s notes），941．Bergk reads $\Delta l \delta \alpha \mu \alpha-$ јouévav．By zeugma $\mu \iota \sigma \gamma \frac{\mu}{\text { jéval }}$ is taken as civvajo $\mu$ évà with $\Delta$ iòs $\pi a \rho$＇
aं $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \epsilon 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ．
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{e} \nu$.$] ＂This prospect，＇or$ ＇this rivalry．＇Note the transition to oratio recta．

37．Note the chiasmus．

 counsel．＇
 $\sigma a c$ ．There is here almost a case of hypallage；of．$O$ ．and $P$ ．p． xxxv，Nem．III．38，Pyth．Iv．255，


40．фáris．］Böckh gives the text．Mss．$\phi a \sigma i \nu\left(\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}\right)$＇Iaw入кoû． Bergk $\phi \rho a \sigma$ iv and трáфev．

For Pêleus ef．Nem．III．33，iv． 50－68．

42．á $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$［au．］Abstract for con－ crete．
av่тik＇．］＇At once，＇єúdùs＇goes with $\epsilon$ s，＇straight to．＇

43．vєєќ́ $\omega \nu$ тย́тa入a．］＇Let not ．．．put into our hands votes about quarrels．＇In Athens sometimes， and at Syrakuse，the letters indicat－

 aus



 $\sigma 0 \phi \omega ิ \nu$ 105

ô каì Mv́бıov ar $\mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ रóєv


#  ＇E入évà т’ ènú rato，Tpwìas <br>  $\beta$ ро́тоу <br>  

ing ballot－votes were scratched on olive－leaves．See L．and S．s．vv． $\pi \epsilon \tau а \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \rho_{s}$, е̇кфи入лофоре́ш．

44．$\delta \iota \chi 0 \mu \eta \nu i \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ．］Cf．Eur． Iph．in Au．716，717，tiv $\delta^{\prime} \in \nu$
 er $\theta \eta$ кúк入os．For the plur．Dissen compares עúктes Pyth．Iv． 256. Perhaps the plural covers the six－ teenth day of the month，which is av $\nu \rho \rho o \gamma o ́ v o s ~ a ́ \gamma a \theta \eta \dot{\eta}, ~ H e s . ~ W . ~ a n d ~ D . ~$ 783.

45．$\lambda$ voc．］For the active，which generally refers to the bridegroom， cf．Eur．Alc． 177.
ė̃i．］Tmesis，द̀miveváv．
карто́s．］Cf．Asch．Sept．c． The． 614 ［P．］，el картоेs є̈ттal $\theta \epsilon$－

 Böckh．Cf．Soph．Oed．Col． 1752.

47．kali ráuov．］Explains the cognate acc．द̌úv＇．

кai．］＇And accordingly＇（Merger）． Berk reads duyakтas．alìéà $\tau^{\prime}$ ．
 neuter plural．Old mss．$\downarrow \epsilon^{\prime}$ ad $\bar{\ell} \delta$ ． Triclin．${ }^{2}$ éav É．Text Schmidt．

бoфஸ̂v．］＇Poets．＇For the theme cf．Sem．＜compat＞III．43－58．

49．on．］Cf．supravv．19，50．Cf． Isth．rv． 41 for the subject．

51．The metaphor is perhaps suggested by the famous bridges of the Persians．It occurs again in Polybius 1．10， $\begin{gathered}\text { eẫa } \\ \text { Ka px } \\ \eta\end{gathered}$
 тô̂ óáßãıv．

53．Twas．］Cf．Lat．nervi，Plato，
 ह̀к $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$.
p̀́ovтo．］＇Hindered，＇of．v．1， Nom．Ix． 23.

54．кopúqбovia．］In the active this verb seems to mean＇to be at the head of，＇to make a head（crest） of，＇see references given by L，and S．
 cf．Isth．Iv．40，Nom．iII．63，01．II．
 Фєрбєфóvas
$\mu a \nu v ่ \omega v ~ ' А \chi ı \lambda \epsilon u ́ s, ~ o v ̉ \rho o s ~ A i a \kappa \iota \delta a ̂ v, ~$

 125 ả入入á oi тapá $\tau \epsilon \pi v \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \phi o \nu ~ \theta ' ~ ' E \lambda ı \kappa \omega ́ v ı a \iota ~ \pi a \rho \theta e ́ v o \iota ~$ $\sigma \tau a ́ v, ~ \in ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \theta \rho \eta ̂ \nu o ́ v ~ т є ~ \pi o \lambda u ́ \phi a \mu o \nu ~ e ́ \chi \chi a \nu . ~$ Є้ $\delta o \xi{ }^{\xi}$ ảpa кaì à $\theta a v a ́ t o \iota s, ~$ 130


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \zeta^{\prime} .
$$


Могбаîò äриа Nıкокле́os
 $\mu \iota o \nu$ ầ vátos

135

 $\nu \in ́ \omega \nu$.

83．For the formula of．O1．I．88， Pyth．xi．61，Isth．Iv． 33.

55．oippos．］See L．and S．8．v．（B）． бфетépay $\tau \epsilon$ ．］Not a case of hen－ diadys（Prof．Seymour），but＝＇and his stock，＇the Achaean Aeakids． For the metaphor of．Ol．I． 46. For the idea cf．Isth．Iv． 43.

56．$\left.\mu \grave{v} \boldsymbol{y}_{\ldots} . \dot{d} \lambda \lambda a_{\text {．}}\right] \mathrm{Cf}$ ．O．and P． p．xxxvii，Isth．mi．25，34，iv．46， 51．The hiatus in this line is of an unusual character，cf．O．and P． p．xlii．

57．Of．Od．xxiv．58－64．
oi．］Rather dat．commodi than possessive dative（ 0 ．and P．p． zxxvii，Nem．x．29，Isth．1v．43）．

58．$\ell \pi l . . . \ell \chi \in a \nu$ ．］Tmesis．
60．$\delta \iota \delta \bar{\mu} \mu \nu$.$] Cf．Pyth．iv．67，$ for sentiment ef．Isth．III． 7.

61．ф＇́pet $\lambda$ byov．］＇Is reason－ able．＇But Pyth．viri．38，तóyov фépets，＇thou earnest the praise．＇

62．Cf．Isth．II．2，O1．vi．22－27．
 agreement，of．Pyth．1．58，кє $\lambda \alpha \partial \hat{\eta}-$ $\sigma a l \pi o u \nu \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \theta \rho l \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ，also Isth．III． 7. ке入абїбац．］For inf．of．Madv． § $148 a$ Rem．

үєраіретє́ $\mu \nu \nu$ ．］Old мss．$\gamma$ єраи－ рєтаl $\mu \nu$ ，new रєраîpaь $\tau \in \in \mu \nu$ ．
àv vámos．］Hermann from old
 Cf．Isth．III． 11 for the idea．

64．$\Delta \omega \rho . \sigma \epsilon$ ．］Cf．Isth．II． 15.
64．тероктlovas．］Cf．Nem．xi． 19.

65．кal кê̂pos．］Mss．кảкễvos． So in Ol．II．99，perhaps read with Mommsen and Bergk ral keîvos （old Mss．кả кễoos，kẹ่кềvos）for ékeivos new mgs．，Edd．
Tòv $\mu$ èv．．． $\boldsymbol{\text { evećá．］}}$ ］ld mss．against
 timent of．Pyth．viI．36，Isth．III． 14.

крєтov．］＇Distinguished．＇Cf． Pyth．rv．50，Nem．vu． 7.
 $\pi а т \rho a \delta \in \lambda \phi \in о \hat{v}$ á $\lambda i ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ т $\hat{\omega}$ т ть $\mathfrak{a} \beta \rho o ̀ \nu$ 145 ảнфі таүкратíov K $\lambda \epsilon a ́ \nu \delta \rho \omega ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon к є ́ \tau \omega ~$ $\mu \nu \rho \sigma i v a s ~ \sigma \tau є ́ \phi a \nu o \nu . ~ є ่ \pi \epsilon i ́ ~ \nu เ \nu ~ ' А \lambda \kappa a \theta o ́ o v ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \grave{\nu}$ тúXa
 тòv aiveîv ảraӨஸ̨ тарє́ $\chi \in \iota^{\circ}$

66. K入єávóp५.] Dat. Commodi 'in honour of.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda\left(\kappa \omega \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \iota \varsigma_{0}\right] \quad$ Cf. supra, v. 1 and for $T \hat{\psi} v .5$.
67. $\mu \nu \rho \sigma$ ivas.] Cf. Isth. HI, 87. The revellers in the kômos were to wear wreaths of myrtle.
'A入кaObov.] The games at Megara held in celebration of the death of Alkathoos son of Pelops.

Gìv Túxa.] 'With prosperous issue.' Cf. Nem. viI. 11, x. 25.
68. Mss. év 'E. Tє veútas $\pi \rho$ ly є́єєкто. Hermann. èv'E. tє v. ס́є́кєто $\pi \rho i v$. The text is Bergk's and also mine.
69. тapéXel.] Cf. Eur. El. 1080,
 бot [Mezger]. Cf. also Herod. $x$. 9, III. 142. 'It is easy,' 'opportunity presents itself.' Note that it requires $\dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \dot{d}$ to appreciate and duly celebrate ápetá.
70. 'For he did not make his youth a thrall to obscurity for lack of essaying noble deeds.' Strictly
 no experience (through keeping close) in a nook (hole)-of noble deeds.' For the general meaning cf. Isth. III. 48. The order is strained.

## I.

## I $\Sigma \Theta$ MIONIKAI.

$$
\text { 1. [4.] }{ }^{*}
$$

K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ A i a \kappa o ̂ ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s, ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a \grave{~ \nu a v \sigma ı \kappa \lambda \nu t o ̀ s ~}$



 ${ }^{a} \rho \in \tau \dot{d} \nu$
 Moı $\frac{a ̂ p ~ a ̀ \gamma \omega \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~}{\tau}$ ' áć $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$.

$$
1 \mathrm{~A} .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 2 .
$$


 $\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$.

1. Given in the Medicean family of mss., apparently the exordium of Isth. VIII [Ex].
2. 2. alf q.]. Cf. Nem. vi. 49.
1. 3, 4. Cf. Pyth. 1. 61-65.
2. 5. Cf. Ol. vili. 20-30, Pyth. viII. 21-27.
1. 6. $\delta \bar{\delta} \lambda \phi \hat{\nu} v e s$.] For their speed cf. Pyth. IT. 50, 51, Nem. vi. 66, Frag. 219 [258].

танlat.] Cf. Nem. vi. 27.
1.7. d $\epsilon \in \lambda \omega v$.$] 'Prizes.'$

I A. Schol. Lucian. Dial. Mort.
iII. Edited from Vatican ms. (Pal. 73) by E. Rhode, Philologus, xxxv. 199. The Schol. ascribes the above fragment to one of Pindar's Isthmian (ms. ICOMIONIK』N) odes in honour of the Rhodian bozer Kasmylos (cf. Simonides, Epig.



1 A. 1. $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$.$] Cf. Solôn,$ 24 [5], 4.
14. 2. Cf. Frag. 31 [26].

$$
\text { 2. }[1 .]=B^{4} 5 \text {. }
$$





$$
\text { 3. }[2 .]=B^{4} 7 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 4. }[3 .]=B^{4} 8 \text {. }
$$


 трґа кра̂та ぞтоь кра́ата.

$$
\text { 5. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 9 .
$$

Serv. Virg. Georg. I. 31, "Generum vero pro marito positum multi accipiunt,...nam et Pindarus è̀v roîs 'Io $\theta$ míos raußpòs àvri тồ rveфíov dixit."

## II.

## TMNOI.

## YMNOS A @HBAIOIE.

$$
\text { 6. 7. }[5.6]=\mathrm{B}^{4} \underline{29.30 .}
$$

## 

2. The Isthmian games were originally founded as the funeral games of Melikertes. This fragment is preserved as $\tau \boldsymbol{d} \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} v$ ' $\mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu \mathrm{o}$ plкaus Htvod́apov by Apollônios Dyskolos, de Synt. II. 21, p. 156, where he explains that $\Psi$ is not $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Sigma \iota \sigma \mathcal{v}^{-}$ фov, for Pindar calls Melikertes 'A 1 auavтıáoup (Bergk 4, Frag. 6), but $\tau \hat{\psi}$ aủrท̂s, i.e. 'Ivoûs. So the

Introductory Schol. to the Isthmians says xopev́oural tolvuy morè



3. Apollôn. Dyskol. de Pron. p. 368 A , as an instance of $\nu \iota \nu$ plural. мs. $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \kappa \cup \lambda(\sigma \theta \eta$.
6. Lucian. Demosth. Encom. ©. 19; also (vv. 1-5 ท̂ ₹áv.) Plutarch.
$\hat{\eta}$ Kád $\mu о \nu$, $\hat{\eta} \sigma \pi a \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ iéò̀ $\gamma \in ́ v o s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$,
$\hat{\eta}$ тà̀ кvауа́ $\mu \pi т к а ~ \Theta \grave{\beta} \beta a \nu$,
ท̀ тò $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о \lambda \mu о \nu ~ \sigma \theta \in ́ v o s ~ ‘ Н р а к \lambda є ́ o s, ~$
5 ท̂ $\tau$ à̀ $\Delta \iota \omega \nu v ́ \sigma o v \pi о \lambda v \gamma a \theta \in ́ a ~ \tau \iota \mu a ́ \nu$,


 Моîpaı тотì кл (нака $\sigma є \mu \nu a ̀ \nu ~$


 " $\Omega \rho a s$.
*. 8. $[7]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 31$.



 $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o v a \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \mu o v \sigma \iota к \hat{\eta} . ~ O f . ~ C h o r i c . ~ G a z ~ p . ~ 305 ~ e d . ~$
de Glor. Athen. c. 14, where is the story of Korinna having criticised Pindar's sparing use of myths, wherenpon he composed this hymn




 тò $\mu$ е

The Schol. on Nem. x. 1 tells us by implication that it was composed for the Thebans, and the Schol. Lucian. l.c. that this was the beginning of Pindar's Hymns (as colleoted and published).
6. 1. Me入lav.] Cf. Pyth. xi. 4. For Mental, a kind of nymphs, of. Hes. Theog. 187. For the style, of.
the opening of Isth. vir.
7. Clem. Alexandr. Str. vi. 731. Böckh saw the identity of rhythm with Frag. 6, and made slight emendations accordingly.
7. 2. Хрvб. ८тт.] Cf. 01. 1. 41, viI. 51, of Poseidôn's horses.

Moìpaı.] Hêsiod, Theog. 991 ff . makes the Moirae daughters of Zeus and Themis.
7. 3. кллцака.] Cf. OI. II. 70, where Kpovou тúpouv seems to answer to $\kappa \lambda ., \Delta i o ̀ s ~ o ́ \delta o ̀ \nu ~ t o ~ \lambda u \pi a \rho a ̀ v ~$ $\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ ' $\delta \delta \delta \nu$, the 'millky way,' cf. Ov. Met. 1. 168-170.
7. 5. $\ell_{\mu \mu \epsilon \nu .] ~ F o r ~ i n f . ~ c f . ~ I s t h . ~}^{\text {. }}$ viI. 63, Frag. 53, 10.
7. 6. d $\lambda \alpha \theta$. " $\Omega \rho$.] Cf. Ol. xif. 6, O1. xI. 53.



$$
\text { 9. }[8 .]=B^{4} 32 .
$$

* Tov̂ $\theta$ єov̂


10. $[133]=.B^{6} 33$.
 $\rho \omega \nu$.

$$
{ }^{*} 11 \mathrm{~A} .[9 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 34 .
$$



$$
\text { * 11в. [10.] = B } 35 .
$$



## Eİ AMM $\Omega$ NA.

12. $[11]=.B^{4} 36$.


## EI乏 IIEPさEФONHN.

13. $[12]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 37$.

14. Altered by Böckh from Aristides, 11. 383, d $\lambda \lambda$ ' סัт $\kappa$ кdy тoîs
 $\chi$ хо́vч $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a \iota \nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тоі̂́s


 ঠєикขvuévov. Platarch. de Pyth. Oracl. c. 6. Cf. Pyth. III. 90.
15. Plutarch. Quaest. Platon. VIII. 4.
"Avakтa $\tau$ b̀v.] Mss. $\downarrow \nu \alpha, \tau \omega \hat{v}$. Text Hermann.

11 A. Hephaestiôn, 91. An example of the Pindaricus versus
not especially ascribed to Pindar,
11 B .16 . An example of the Iambelegus, given just after a verse of Pindar.
12. Schol. Pyth. Ix. 89. Cf. Pyth. 1v. 16. Pausanias, Ix. 16, tells us that Pindar dedicated a statue by Kalamis for a temple of this god at Thebes, and that a hymn to Ammôn sent by the poet to his Libyan temple was there preserved in Pausanias' time on a three-sided stêle. Cf. Frag. 36.
13. Vit. Pind. Cod. Vrat. A, (0. and P. p. xii. lines 8, 9), where

## EIS TYXHN.

* 14. [16.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 38$.
 ov̉ $\sigma$ Ốvos.

$$
\text { 15. 16. 17. }[14.15 .13 .]=B^{4} 39.40 .41 .
$$








 фás $\tau \iota i \sigma \chi$ v́ctv.

$$
\text { 18. }[171 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 42 \text {. }
$$



 $\lambda a \hat{\omega}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * 19. }[173 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 43 \text {. } \\
& \text { ' } \Omega \text { тéкขоу, }
\end{aligned}
$$

тovtiov On ò̀s $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a l o v ~ \chi \rho \omega \tau i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \nu o ́ o \nu ~$


ä̀ $\lambda о \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda \lambda o i ̂ a ~ ф \rho o ́ v \epsilon \epsilon . ~$
the hymn is said to be to Dêmêtêr. Pausanias, Ix. 23. 2, says that Pindar calls "Acō" xpuoŋ́rios in a hymn to Persephonê.
14. Aristid. II. 334. Cf. Isth. III. 49—53.

14-17. Cf, O1. xiI. 2.
18. Stobaeos, Flor. crx. 1. For sentiment cf. P. III. 83.
19. Athênaeos, xiI. 513 c.
19. 2. $\pi$ тovilov өŋpós.] I.e. Mov-


$$
\text { 20. }[23 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 44 .
$$

Lactant. ad Stat. Theb. iI. 85, "Ogygii Thebani ab Ogyge rege aut amne. Sic Pindarus in Somniis (Cod. Gud. Frising. Cassell. Somnis, Boeckh Hymnis) ?"

$$
\text { 21. 22. }[20.21 .]=B^{4} 45.46 \text {. }
$$





$$
\text { 23. }[18 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 47 \text {. }
$$




$$
24 .[17 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 48 .
$$






 П $\eta$ גeús.

Amphilochos, of. Athênaeos, vir. p.



20. The quotation is quite unintelligible. Perhaps, as Böckh
and others suggest, it begins with 'Syvious $\delta$ ' $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{j} \rho \in \nu$ and ends with 's ainú.
The letters in five versions run thus:

Text opite TwCDeeyPeNoto Cod. Gud. opite I $\omega \mathrm{C} \Delta \operatorname{EEyPaN} \omega$ Cod. Frising. opite. $\iota \omega \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{E} e y$ PE-NONONNH-THF®. Cod. Cassell, opite I $\omega \mathrm{C} a$ EETPENy NNNtH甲 $a$ NE CCIII Cod. Mon. opire I $\omega \mathrm{CD}$ eeypenoro $\mathrm{nn} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{tH} e \Phi \hat{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} e^{\circ}$ - CCLNHy
[The ranging is mine to exhibit the correspondences and differences as clearly as possible. All the versions have a space after the 5 th letter. The other ms. spaces are indicated by-.]

$$
\text { 25. }[19 .]=\mathrm{B}^{6} 49 .
$$

Schol. Pind. Pyth. iv. 388, $\tau a v i \tau \eta \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ (Фрísov $\mu \eta \tau \rho v i a ̀ v) ~$



$$
\text { 26. }[22 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 50 .
$$

Quintil. viII. 6. 71, "Exquisitam vero figuram huius rei (hyperboles crescentis) deprehendisse apud principem Lyricorum Pindarum videor in libro, quem inscripsit ${ }^{\text {}} \mathrm{Y} \mu \nu$ vovs. Is namque Herculis impetum adversus Meropas, qui in insula Co dicuntur habitasse, non igni nee ventis nec mari, sed fulmini dicit similem fuisse, ut illa minora, hoc par esset."

$$
\text { 27. 28. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 51 .
$$

Strabo viI. T. iI. p. 91 ed. Kramer, ov̉к ỏкvov̂व兀 $\delta \in ́ \in \tau v \in s$






Schol. Aristoph. Plut. 9, кaì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ लèv $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ t o v ̂ ~ П v \theta i ́ o v ~ \tau \rho i ́ t o o \delta o s ~}$
 $\delta_{\iota є} \lambda \eta \pi \tau a$.

## III.

## ПAIANE E .

## Eİ AHOANQNA IYOION.

29. $[24]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 52$.
'А $\mu \phi \iota \pi o ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \mu a \rho \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \mu о \iota \rho \iota a ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{l} \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o-~$ $\lambda \omega \lambda$ évaı.
30. Schol. Nem. vir. 94. From a paeân composed for Delphi. The words refer to Neoptolemos.
31. [25.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 53$.
 äєıסov K $\mathrm{K} \eta \eta \delta_{\text {óves. }}$

$$
\text { * 31. }[26 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 3 .
$$






 $\psi a \nu \tau \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ B o t \omega т \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ \pi v \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a u, ~ \tau \ell ~$




 $\tau \in \lambda є \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha_{\llcorner }$

$$
{ }^{*} 32 .[27 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 54 .
$$








30. Pausan. x. 5. 12. The $\kappa \eta \lambda \eta-$ §oves (Athên. Vir. 290 E , Pausan. $\left.\kappa \eta \lambda \eta \eta_{\mu} \nu_{\epsilon s}\right)$ were like the Seirens. From Galen. T. xvir. s, p. 519,
 ข่тє $\rho$ ¢́ou. Golden figures representing these females were suspended under the roof of the third temple at Delphi [Don.].
31. Bergk now considers that this passage refers to the Isthmian to which the Frag. 1 a belonged.
32. The golden eagles and omphalos are represented on a statêr of Kyzikos, Brit. Mus. Educ. Series of coins, Period 11. no. 12. Cf. Pyth. Iv. 4.

## ＊33．$[28]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 55$.




$$
34 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 56 .
$$








 ovyरopev́covта．

## EI乏 $\triangle I A \quad \Delta \Omega \Delta \Omega N A I O N$ ．

$$
\text { * 35. [29.] = B }{ }^{4} 57 .
$$

$\Delta \omega \delta \omega \nu a \hat{e} \epsilon \mu є \gamma a ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ ，à $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu a$ тáтє.

> 35. A.


 Cf．Plut．Praec．Reip．Ger．c． 13 ，ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тoдı七七кòs àpıбтoтé $\chi$ vas $\tau \iota \varsigma$




 Symp．Quaest．I．2． 5 et Clem．Alex．Str．v．710，Euseb．Praep．
 каì єv่vouias should be added to Frag． 35.

35 a．Bergk＇s note on Frag． 35.

$$
36 .[30 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 58 .
$$



 $\Delta \omega \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \nu$, $\omega$ s каì $\Pi i ́ v \delta a \rho o s ~ \Pi a \iota a ̂ \sigma \iota v . ~$

$$
\text { * 37. 38. [31. 32.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 59.60 .
$$

Strabo, vil. 328, $\pi$ óтєроv $\delta є ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v ~ ' E \lambda \lambda o v ́ s, ~ w i s ~ \amalg i ́ v \delta a \rho o s, ~$



 1057. 57.-Strabo, VII. 328, каì оі траүикоí тє каi Мívסароs


$$
\text { 39. }[33 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 61 .
$$






$$
\text { 40. }[34 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 62
$$

Schol. Apoll. Rhod. 1. 1086, єỉ $\lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \delta_{\text {è } \tau \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ́ \lambda \kappa v o ́ v \omega \nu}$



$$
\text { 41. }[35 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 63
$$


 каì Пívסароs èv Паıâбıv.

[^7]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { FRAGMENTS. } \\
\text { 42. }{ }^{*} \text { 43. }[36.37 .]=\text { B }^{4} 64.65 .
\end{gathered}
$$
\]


 Aelian. Var. Hist. xil. 36, 'Алкцàv ס́́кк (Niobae liberos),
 xx. 7, "Nam Homerus pueros puellasque eius (Niobae) bis senos dicit fuisse, Euripides bis septenos, Sappho bis novenos, Bacchylides et Pindarus bis denos."
44. 45. 46. 47. 48. $[38,40.41 .42 .39]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 66-70$.


 'I $\tau \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} v o \nu ~ i є \rho o ́ v ~(e m e n d a t i o n ~ f o r ~ ' I ~ I ~ \mu \mu \eta \nu o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ т o v) ~ к . т . \lambda .-I I . ~$








 $\lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$.

## IV.

## $\triangle I \Theta \Upsilon P A M B O I$.

$$
\text { 49. }[43 .]=B^{4} 71
$$





44-48. From Didymos' commentary on Pindar's Paeâns.

# 50. [44.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 72$. <br>  <br> ' $\Omega a \rho i \omega v$. 

$$
\text { 51. [52.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 73 .
$$



 44). Hygin. Poet. Astron. II. 34, " Aristomachus autem dicit quendam Hyriea fuisse Thebis, Pindarus autem in insula Chio. Hunc autem cum Iovem et Mercurium hospitio recepisset, petisse ab his, ut sibi aliquid liberorum nasceretur: itaque, quo facilius petitum impetraret, bovem immolasse et his pro epulis apposuisse: quod cum fecisset, poposcisse Iovem et Mercurium quod corium de bove fuisset detractum, et quod fecerant urinae in corium infudisse, et id sub terra poni iussisse : ex quo postea natum puerum, quem Hyrieus e facto Uriona nomine appellaret: sed vetustate et consuetudine factum est, ut Orion vocaretur. Hic dicitur Thebis Chium venisse, et Oenopionis filiam Meropen per vinum cupiditate incensus compressisse etc."

$$
\text { 52. }[53 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 74 \text {. }
$$




$$
\text { 53. [45.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 75 .
$$

## 

50. Etym. Magn. p. 460, 35, Cramer, An. Par. iv. 194, 7, An. Ox. III, 89, 29. 'Once when drunken, assaulted another's wife.' See L. and S. $\theta \omega \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$, II. The allusion is perhaps to Orion and Plêione.
 Nem. II. 16.
51. Schol. Nem. Ir. 16. Lucian. pro Imag. c. 18. Etym. Magn. p. 675, 33.
$\tau \rho \in \chi \in \tau \omega$. .] Bergk. Böckh, $\tau \rho \in \in \chi \omega \nu$.
52. Dionysios Halikarn. de Comp. Verb. c. 22, given an instance $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ av̇atทpâs dopuovias. The piece was composed for the Athenians.
53.1. "I $\delta \epsilon \tau$ '.] Böckh with one


 ย̉v тaîs iepaîs 'A | ávaıs |
| :---: |

 ioठєтầ $\lambda a ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \omega \nu$



 vंтáт $\omega \nu \mu$ ย̀̀ $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$



мя. ס̀єîr'. Perhaps "Ite $\delta$ '. For $i \delta e i v=$ 'regard with favour,' of. Ol. xiv. 15. For lôeiv èv (ts) cf. Frag. 100. 9.

Ev.] Cf. Pyth. II. 11. For the invocation of. Aristoph, Nub. 563.
2. $\epsilon \pi i$.] Tmesis. Edd. $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$.
$\kappa \lambda y \tau \dot{a} \nu \quad \chi$ d $\rho \stackrel{\nu}{2}$.] 'A renowned (or 'loud') song.' Cf. O1. xiv. 19, Isth. VL. 19, vir. 16.
3. $\delta \mu \phi a \lambda 6 \nu$.] Dissen takes this to be the sltar of the Twelve gods in the forum at Athens.
 cf. Nem. vi. 30.
ayopdp.] The old forum below the Pnyz, Akropolis and Areopagos.
6. $\lambda \alpha_{\chi} \in \tau \epsilon$.] Bergk $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
7. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ėap. $\lambda o 九 \beta$.] Böckh $\tau \hat{a} \nu \tau^{\prime}$
 $\delta \rho \sigma \pi \omega \nu^{*} \mid \dot{\alpha} \mu о \iota \beta \dot{\iota} \nu \Delta \iota$. ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \iota \iota$. асс. in apposition with the sentence).
$\Delta ، 6 \theta \in \nu$.] 'From heaven.'

 occasion was with the dithyramb of which the next fragment is the opening.
 бокбнау. So кıббофброя 01. ㅍ. 27, Hom. Hymn. xxv. 1. Eur. Phoen.
651. Simonides, Frag. 148 [205],




 е $\theta \in \iota \rho a \nu$.
10. tóv.] 'Whom.' Some place a full stop after $v .9$ and a comma after ка入 $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu$.
$\mu$ ёр...тє.] Cf. Ol. iv. 15.
тaré $\rho \omega \nu_{\text {.] }}$ ] Zeus, while guvackêv Kajuelầ means Semele. For the plural ef. Isth. v. 43, roî̃u referring only to Achilles, Nem. I. 58.
$\mu \in \lambda \pi \in \mu \in \nu$.] For inf. cf. Isth. vin. 63, Frag. [6], 5.
11. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{o} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{ov}$.] The poet identifies himself with his ode, of. Ol. vII. 13. Some mss. $\Sigma \varepsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu$.

 (i.e. the custodian of the sacred palm tree at Nemea, branches from which the victors bore in their hands). Bergk, èvaphe' avé $\mu \omega \nu \mu a \nu-$


 for Ms. ЄM€). Heyne and his followers suppose Pindar to have been at Nemea in the Spring. But if







$$
\text { 54. }[46 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 76 .
$$


 $\lambda l \in \theta \rho o \nu$.

$$
\text { * } 55 .[196 .]=\text { B }^{4} 77 .
$$

 $\kappa \rho \eta \pi \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime}$ e $\lambda \in v \theta \in \rho i a s$.

* 56. $[225]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 78$.



this were so, there is no reason why he should mention it, as the games were in the summer. Of course $\mu$ ávtı ${ }^{2}$ is most naturally the poet.

13. фо七ขкоє́ávov.] Koch from mss. фolvikos éavîv. Other Edd. before Bergk фolvıkos êpvos.
oix $\theta$ évcos.] Cf. Luer. 1. 10.
14. Ėпaituotv.] Cf. Nem.II. 14 for themeaning 'feel,' 'feel the influence of;' and for the number ef. Pyth. I. 13. Bergk ė̃áyต

15. $\chi$ Oóy'.] Var. lect. $\chi$ ¢́ prov.
16. $\mu$ lyvural.] The póōa softens the Schema Pindaricum (ef. Pyth. x.

71, Ol. $x .[x]] .6)$.
17. áxeìral $\tau^{\prime}$.] Hermann's correction of ol $\chi \nu$ ยit $\varepsilon, \dot{v} \mu \nu \varepsilon$ êтe, from Lesbonax, $\pi \in \rho l$ б $\sigma \eta \mu \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega v$, p. 184 Valcknaer. Bergk, $\alpha \chi \in i$ T $\tau^{\prime}$ after one $\mathbf{M s}$.
54. Schol. Aristoph. Acharn. 673, Nub. 299, Equ. 1329. Cf. Isokr. de Antidosi, 166. Cf. also O. and P. pp. xi., zii.
54. Scholl. Aristoph. Acharn. 673, Nub. 299. Schol. Aristid. III. 341.
54. 1. ' $\Omega$ tal.] Böckh, al Te.
looréqayol.] I.e. at the Vernal Dionysia, cf. last Frag. v. 6. Cf. Aristoph. Acharn. 636 ff.
55. 56. Plut. de Gl. Athen. c. 7.

## 57А. 57 в. $[47.48]=$. B $^{4} 79$ А, В.



.бoì $\mu$ ย̀v катápхєєข,
$\mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a, \pi a ́ \rho a ~ \rho ீ o ́ \mu \beta o \iota ~ \kappa v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$.
 Өaĩaı тєúкаıs.

$$
57 \mathrm{C} .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 80 .
$$

$K v \beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \quad \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.

$$
\text { 58. }[49 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 81 \text {. }
$$





57 A. Strabo X. 469 (719), Athênaeos, xi. 467 b, 488 d, Dionysos Hal. de Comp. Verb, c. 14. Böckh by emendation and combination gets the text. Dionysos $l . c$.

 к.T.ג. Such an ode was ascribed to Lagos of Hermine, under whom Pindar studied. The Greeks confused the Phoenician sibilants. The sign of schin $M$ is used for sigma in early Aeolo-Dorian alphabets, while the name $\sigma$ dr may be borrowed from the Phoenician equivalent for either schin or sain (the 7th letter, zeta). The sigma of the ordinary Greek alphabet takes the place of chin, while the Greek Xi has the place of samech, but its name sounds as if it might be borrowed from chin. Zeta again has the place of sain but the name of trade. These facts suggest that the ordinary Doric sibilant differed in pronunciation from the Attic and that $\xi<8 y$ (Doric future) may have been pronounced more like our sh or

German sch than like ks.
In this fragment Pindar seems to claim the invention of improvements in the dithyramb. Is $\delta \theta \theta$ vo $\rho a \mu \beta$ os a dialectic form for $\delta \iota \phi \theta \in \rho-$ a $\mu \beta o s$ 'skin-chant,' the part - $\mu \mu$ Bobeing akin to $\delta \mu \phi \dot{\eta}$ ? For Pindar's punning derivation see Frag. 62.

57 b. Strabo, l. c. Frag. 57 a, whence it appears that this fragmont is from the same dithyramb as the last.

57 в. 1. катápxetv.] For the active see my note on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha{ }^{\rho} \rho \chi \in$, Sem. rv. 46.

57 в. 3. кє $\chi \lambda \bar{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \omega \nu$.] mss. ках$\lambda \alpha \dot{\partial} \omega \nu$. Text Hermann.
 29 (Gompertz). Bergk's restoration from a very corrupt passage. Perhays it is from the same ode as the two last fragments.
58. Aristid. II. 70.
58. 1. $\pi a \rho \alpha \alpha^{\mu \nu}$.] So Bergk from two mss. and a Schol. Böckh $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \tilde{a}_{\mu \mu \nu}$, other mss. $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$, 'between ourselves.'
 5 каі̀ како̀̀ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu є \nu а$ ．

$$
\text { 59. [5ั0.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 82 .
$$

Tà̀ $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ A i ̌ g u \pi \tau o \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \chi i к \rho \eta \mu \nu o \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 60. [51.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 83 .
$$



$$
\text { 61. }[54 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 84 .
$$


 Phot．373， 11.

$$
\text { * } 62 .[55 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 85 .
$$

Et．M．274，50，$\Delta_{\imath}$ Өípaцßos．．．Пívסapos $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ф $\eta \sigma i ̀ ~ \lambda v \theta i p a \mu-$

 $\pi \lambda є о$ аба $\mu$ о́v．

$$
\text { * 63. }[56 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 86 .
$$


 $\pi а \rho a \grave{~ \Pi ı v \delta a ́ \rho \varphi . ~}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { V. } \\
\text { חPOミO IA. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Eİ $\triangle$ HAON．

64．65．［58．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 87.88$.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho .
$$



58．4．Cf．Ol，1． 83.
58．5．каi какб⿱亠乂．］＇And so be a coward．＇

5J．Schol．Pyth．II．Inscr．
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi<\kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu \circ \nu$.$] V．l． \alpha_{\gamma \in \iota} \kappa \nu \eta \not \mu \omega \nu$.

For text cf．Frag． 184.
60．Schol．Ol．VI．1522．Cf．Ol． vr． 90 ．

64．Philo－Judaens，de Corrupt． Mundi，II．p． 511 （Mangey）．

 Bротоі̀
 фаутоу кvavéas $\chi$ Өovòs ä $\sigma \tau \rho o \nu$.
 $\dot{a} \nu \in \in \mu \omega \nu$






## AIFINHTAI乏 EIS AФAIAN.

$$
\text { 66. [59.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 89 .
$$


 àєîбaı;

## EIS $\triangle$ Endors.

* 67. $[60]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 90$.

 Dêlos was shaken by an earthquake, so unless Isth. I. and this 'Prosodiac Paean' were composed before that date, the epithet means 'unmoved from its place,' as is most likely.

64. 5. Dêlos was called Asteria and Anaphê.
1. Strabo, x. p. 742 B (485). It is clear from the metre this fragment is from the same poem as the last. The two first verses of 65 answer to the third and fourth
of 64 .
2. 2. Koooyep ${ }^{\prime}$.] Lêto, cf. Hes. Theog. 404-406.

Ooaîs.] m8s. Ov́ots, Bergk. Ovotr'.
65.3. Ėสє $\beta$ alvev.] Porson Ė $\pi \in \beta \alpha$ $\nu 1 \nu$.
65. 5. $\left.2 v . . . \sigma \chi^{t} \theta_{0 \nu}.\right]$ Tmesis.
66. Schol. Aristoph. Equites, 1263, ef. Pausan. in. 30. 30.
66. 2. Eौス́тeє $\rho a \nu$.$] Artemis$ Aphaea, a goddess worshipped in Aegina. Cf. iñorba, Ol. III. 26.
67. Aristid. II. 510 (379).
$\chi \rho v \sigma \in ́ a ~ к \lambda \nu \tau o ́ \mu a \nu \tau \iota ~ \Pi \nu \theta_{o ̂}$ ，
$\lambda i \sigma \sigma o \mu a \iota ~ X a p i \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{\nu}{ }^{\prime} A \phi p o \delta i ́ \tau a$



$$
\text { 68. }[61 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 91
$$

Porphyr．de Abst．iII．251，Mívoapos $\delta$ è èv $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \delta i o u t s$

 ling roîs ả̉órous）̧̛̣os．

$$
\text { * 69. 70. }[93 .]=\text { B }^{4} 92.93 .
$$

Kєive $\mu$ èv Aïтva $\delta є \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho ф i ́ a \lambda o s ~$ а̀ $\mu$ фікєєтаи．

ả入入’ oios äтлатоу кєра́̈̆ఢєs $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$



$$
\text { 71. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 94 .
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { VI. } \\
\text { MAP@ENIA. }
\end{gathered}
$$

MANI 72－77．

$$
\text { 72. }[63 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 95 .
$$



67．4．$\chi \circ \rho \hat{\varphi}$.$] So Bergk，vulg；$ $\chi$ wóp perhaps＇the dancing－place＇ at Delphi．For the connection of Aphrodite and the Graces with Delphi cf．Pyth．vi． 2.

69，70．Strabo，xiII． 626 （ 930 A ）． Cf．Julian，Ep，xxiv． 395.

70．2．éкатоутакápavov．］So

Hermann after Pyth．1． 16 （ef．Schol． Hes．Theog．311），mss．Tu申w̄⿱㇒日，$\pi \epsilon \nu$－ т $\boldsymbol{\kappa ঠ \nu т а к є ́ \phi а л о \nu . ~}$

71．Cramer，An．Par．iif．292， 26.

72．Eustath．Prooem．27．Schol． Pyth．in． 139.

Matpòs $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ o ̉ \pi a \delta e ́, ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ \nu ~ X a \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \eta \eta \mu a ~$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ́ \nu$.

$$
\text { * 73. }[66 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 96 .
$$




$$
{ }^{*} 74 .[65 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 98 .
$$





$$
\text { * 75. [64.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 97 .
$$

тó $\sigma a v \tau o v ̂ \mu$ é $\lambda o s ~ \gamma \lambda a ́ \zeta є \iota \varsigma . ~$

$$
\text { 76. [67.] }=\text { B }^{4} 99 .
$$

Aristid. 1. 49, $\Delta \iota \delta o ́ a \sigma \iota ~ \delta ̌ ~ a v ̉ \tau \widehat{̣ ̂} \kappa \alpha i ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \Pi a ̂ v a ~ \chi o p \epsilon v \tau \eta ̀ v ~ \tau \epsilon-~$
 ієреі̄s кате́ца $\theta$ ov.

$$
{ }^{*} 77 .[68 .]=B^{4} 100 .
$$

Serv. Virg. Georg. i. 16, "Pana Pindarus ex Apolline et Penelopa in Lyco (Lycaeo) monte editum scribit, qui a Lycaone rege Arcadiae locus (Lyeaeus) mons dictus est: alii ex Mer-
73. Aristot. Rhet. II. 24.
73. 1. таขтодато́v.] Mr Verrall, Journal of Philology, vol. 1x. p. 150 , suggests that $\Pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ is a Boeotian equivalent of $\kappa v ́ \omega v$, and that here and in Soph. Frag. 604 we have тavtóסanos $=$ " all-devouring or allcatching, from the stem $\delta \alpha \pi$ - of $\delta \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega$, or labialised from $\delta a \kappa$ in $\delta a ́ \kappa \nu \omega$ if indeed these stems are distinct." But in neither passage is Mr Verrall's assumed meaning nearly so appropriate as that which

жаутоסaтds obviouslybears Aristoph. Ran. 289, 'taking all kinds of forms;' but for this passage 'universal' is still better. Pindar may however apply Empusa-like attributes to the god who was often the author of terror. The name кú $\not \omega \nu$ is not inappropriate to the god of flocks who was also a hunter, when he is spoken of as an attendant.
75. Schol. Theokr. 1. 2, inter-


curio et Penelope natum, comitem feras solitum e cubilibus excitare, et ideo capripedem figuratum esse etc." But Schol.

 б́éws.

## А ПO $\Lambda \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{NI}$.

* 78. 79. [70.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4}$ 101. 102.

 ข̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho$ єै $\epsilon \tau a$,

 $\sigma \chi \in \theta \epsilon \ldots$


$$
\text { 80. }[62 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 103 .
$$






$$
\text { 81. }[69 .]=B^{4} 104 .
$$

Schol. Theokr. iI. 10, Mívঠapós $\phi \eta \sigma \iota v$ ẻv roîs ке $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ évots



78, 79. Strabo, ix. 412, 413.
78. 3. $\delta \nu \nu a ́ \sigma \sigma a \tau o.] ~ Q y . ~ d \dot{\alpha} \lambda a ́ \sigma-$ бєт०?
вал入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu$ о. .] Cf. Pyth. v. 83, vii. 3.
78. 3. HTwtov.] Bergk, vulg.
$\Pi \tau \omega \dot{\sigma}$. The ode seems to have celebrated the foundation of an oracle and shrine near Akraephia between Mt Ptôon and the Lake Kôpais.

## VII．

## ヘ ПOРХНМАТА．

## IEP $\Omega$ NI $\Sigma \Upsilon P A K O \Sigma I \Omega \iota 82.83$.

82．$[71.72]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 105$ ．
 оцю́vvиє та́тєр，ктібтор Аїтvas•
 ôs á $\mu a \xi \circ \phi$ о́р $т о \nu$ oikov ov̉ тє́ттатаі．


$$
\text { 83. }[73 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 106
$$


 ミки́рıaı $\delta^{\prime}$ є’s a้ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \xi \iota \nu$ ү $\lambda$ á

 a’я入аока́ртои


## ©HBAIOİ Eİ HAION EKAEI世ANTA．

$$
84 .[74 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 107
$$

 ö $\mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$,

82．Schol．Nem．vir．1．Schol． I＇yth．In．127．Schol．Aristoph． Aves，925．Cf．Plato，Phaedr． 236 d，Meno 76 d．

82．3．It is not certain that this line followed the last immediately．
$\Sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \omega v$.$] Hiero＇s charioteer$ when he won the victory celebrated by Pyth．II．，to whom he had given the mules．Here Pindar hints that a chariot also would be acceptable．

Note the absence of any formal in－ dication of the simile．

83．Athênaeos，I． 28 A，clearly from the same poem as Frag． 82.

83．1．Cf．Soph．Ai． 8.
83．5．ӧ $\pi \lambda a$ ．］＇Shields，＇of．Ol． VII． 83.

83．6．Cf．01．tv． 10.
84．Dionys．Hal．de adm．vi dic．Demosth．c．7．Cf．Boetticher， Annal．Antiqu．185̄3，p．184．The



5 áт $\rho a \pi \grave{o ̀ \nu}$ é $\sigma \sigma v \mu$ éva

à入入á $\sigma \epsilon$ трòs $\Delta i o ̀ s, ~ i \pi т т о \sigma o ́ a ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ s, ~ i к \epsilon \tau \epsilon v ́ \omega, ~$
 та́укоьдод те́pas．










various proposed emendations of the text are given in Bergk，ed． 4. Ideler supposes that the eclipse in question occurred at 2 p．m．April 30，в．о．463．With this fragment compare Archilochos，Frag． 74.

84．1．$\dot{\epsilon} \mu$ á，к．т．入．］мss．$\epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} s, \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$



тодибкот＇．］Cf．Il．іІІ． 277.
84．2．ä $\sigma \tau \rho o \nu$.$] Vocative in ap－$ position with áktls．Cf．Philostratos Ep． 53 （72 p．949），O1．1． 6.

84．3．$\pi \tau а \nu o ́ \nu.] ~ Q y . ~ \pi \rho a \pi i \delta \omega \nu ? ~$
84．4．नoфias．］Especially augury and fore－knowledge．

84．6．é $\lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu$.$] ＇To bring on some－$ what more strange－and－dreadful．＇ Cf．Pyth．Iv． 155.

84．7．imтooóa $\theta$ éós．］Blass，mss．
 introus te $\theta$ ods $i \kappa$ ．

84．8．трátoıs．］Vulg．тра́тоıo． ＇Turn the universal portent to un－ troubled prosperity for Thebes．＇

84．10．$\sigma \theta \in \nu$ vos．］Of．Isth．III．2．
84．14，15．So Hermann．The asterisks mark the lacuna which he filled up．For the sentiment of．

 $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \pi{ }^{\alpha} \theta \omega \omega$ ；Cic．ad Fam．vi．2． 2. Plin．Epp．vi．20．17，possem gloriari non gemitum mihi，non uocem parum fortem excidisse，nisi me cum omni－ bus，omnia meсит perire misero magno tamen mortalitatis solacio credidissem．

## 85. $[75]=.B^{4} 108$.

Өєov̂ סè $\delta \in i \xi a \nu \tau o s ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a ̀ \nu . ~$
 тєлєчтаí те кал入íovєя.

$$
\text { 86. }[228 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 109 .
$$

 є́ $\rho \in \nu \nu a \sigma a ́ t \omega ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda a ́ \nu o \rho o s ~ ‘ A \sigma v \chi i ́ a s ~ t o ̀ ~ \phi a ı \delta \rho o ̀ v ~ \phi a ́ o s, ~$ $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ àmò $\pi \rho a \pi i ́ \delta o s$ èmiкотоข àve入ต́v,


$$
\text { 87. }[76 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4}=110 .
$$

 $\tau а \rho \beta є i ̂ ~ \pi \rho q \sigma \iota o ́ \nu \tau a ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ к а \rho \delta i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ิ \varsigma$.

$$
\text { 88. }[77 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 111 .
$$

 $\nu \omega \mu \omega$




$$
\text { 89. }[78 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 112 \text {. }
$$


85. Epist. Solkrat. 1. Aristides II. 571.
85. 2. Ev.] For És, of. Pyth. II. 11.
86. Stobaeos, Florileg. Lviti. 9. Cf. Polybios Iv. 31, where we are told that in this poem Pindar advised his countrymen not to resist the Persians.
86. 2. 'A ${ }^{\text {I }}$ uxlas.] Cf. Pyth. vir. 1.
86. 3. From this line it might be inferred that Pindar was advising the patriotic party to prefer sub-
mission to the Persians to intestine strife.
87. Stob. Flor. L. 3. Eustath. p. 841, 32.

$\pi \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$.$] \quad . \quad$ l. $\quad$ é $\mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$.
88. Erôtian. p. 74 (Franz).
88. 3. alứv.] Erôt. loc. cit. © $\nu \omega \tau$ taîos $\mu v \in \lambda$ os. Perhaps this fragment is on the same subjeet as Frag. 145.
89. Athênaeos, xiv. 631 c.

$$
90 .[79 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 113 .
$$

Schol. Theokr. vir. 103, 'O ${ }^{\circ}$ ó̀as $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ©єтta入ías ôpos, wis "Eфopos



$$
\text { 91. }[80 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 114 .
$$



 Пıvסápov кт $\lambda$.

$$
\text { 92. [81.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 115 .
$$




$$
\text { * 93. 94. }[82]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 116.117 .
$$

'O Moıбаүє́таs $\mu \in \kappa а \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \chi о р є \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota . ~$


## VIII.

## EГK $\Omega$ MIA.

©HP $\Omega$ NI AKPATANTIN $\Omega \iota$. 95. 96.
95. [83.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 118$.


* 96. [84.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 119$.


93, 94. Hêphaest. p. 78 (46). Examples of Pindaric hendecasyllables.
90. Schol. O1. II. 16. mss.
read тaióєvбı».
96. Schol. O1. II. 16. From the same enkómion as Frag. 95.
96.1. ̇v.] V.l.à.




## A $\triangle E \equiv A N \Delta P \Omega \iota$ AMYNTA 97． 98.

97．［85．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 120$.
＇О $\lambda \beta i{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ о́ $\mu \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \quad \Delta a \rho \delta a \nu \iota \delta a ̂ \nu$, $\pi a i ̂ ~ \theta \rho a \sigma v ́ \mu \eta \delta \in s ~ ' A \mu i ́ \nu \tau a$.
＊98．［86．］＝ $\mathrm{B}^{4} 121$.




## IX．

ミKOAIA．
EENOథ $\Omega$ NTI KOPINOIR． 99．［87．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 122$.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, a^{\prime}$.
Поди́ $\xi \in \nu a \iota ~ \nu \epsilon a ́ v \iota \delta \epsilon \varsigma, ~ a ̉ \mu ф i ́ т о \lambda о \iota ~$

96．2．Cf．Pyth．xir． 2.
96．3．For the theme cf．Ol．III． 39， 40.
96．4．$\nu$ étos．］Apparently sug－ gested by the shower of gold at Rhodes，cf．Isth．vi． 5 note．

97．Schol．Nem．vir．1，Diôn Chrysost．Orat．II．p． 25 （Vol．1．28， ed．Emper）．

98．Dion．Hal．de Vi Dic．De－ mosth．c．26．From the same en－ kômion as Frag． 97.

98．1．For sentiment of．Nem． III． 29.

98．2．тотı廿av́eє．］Cf．Pyth．Ix． 120，Isth．iII． 29.

98．3．Cf．Nem．rv．6，Isth．III． 58.
dè geva $\theta$ tv．］So Barnes．Mss． $\delta$＇$\pi \pi \iota \tau a \theta \in \downarrow$ ．Sylburg，Böckh，$̇ \pi \iota-$
$\lambda a \sigma \theta \in \nu$.
99．Athênaeos，xiti． 573 c．Part of a skolion performed at the temple of＇Aфрoöit $\eta$ Oipavia when Xenophôn of Korinth offered a sacrifice before competing for the Olympian games，and according to Korinthian custom engaged a num． ber of éraîpac，lepóסou入ot to attend the ceremony．Such lepóoou入oc are still found in connection with tem－ ples in India．The skolia of Pin－ dar seem to have differed from ordinary drinking songs in being choric，or at least accompanied by a choric dance，executed in this case by 100 éraîpal．
 feminine of．Nem．III． 2.
$\Pi \epsilon i \theta \circ \hat{\varsigma}$ év $\dot{a} \phi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{~K} o \rho i \nu \theta \omega$,

 5 עó $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ т тотт $\dot{\nu} \nu$ 'Aфроסíтау,





Io $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \grave{a ̀} \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega, ~ \tau i ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi о \nu \tau \iota ~ ' I ~ \sigma \theta \mu o v ̂ ~$
 छvváopov $\xi^{2} v a i ̂ s ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i v . ~$
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.
$\delta_{\iota} \delta a ́ \xi a \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \chi \rho v \sigma o ̀ v ~ \kappa a \theta a \rho a ̣ ̂ ~ \beta a \sigma a ́ \nu \varphi . ~$

 $\lambda$ áaıs

99. 5. vó $\eta \mu$.] 'Soaring in soul.' Cf. Soph. Aiax, 693.

тorráv.] The poet seems to adopt the dialect of Korinth. Cf. OI. XIII. 3 note fin.
99.6. ávevo' d $\pi$.] So Bergk or
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi$. The goddess is wont to make no excuse for allowing you \&c.; i.e. she deems it proper.
99. 8. 屯̈раs карто́v.] Cf. Myth.
Ix. 37, Nem. viII. 1.
 middle.
99.9. The constraint is the enthusiasm excited by the goddess.

ס'.] 'For.'
99. 13. $\delta \iota \delta \propto \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$.] Frequentsfive aorist. 'We prove.?
99.15. غ́като́яүиьov.] Here үvîov probably means the whole body, as in Sem. vil. 73, Ix. 24.

## $@ E O \Xi E N \Omega \iota$ TENE $\Delta I \Omega \iota$

* 100. $[88]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 123$.
 àлıкía.
 ठракєі̀ร


' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$.
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi \dot{\rho} \rho o v$
 [ $\psi \nu \chi \rho a ̀ \nu]$ форєîtaı $\pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu$ óoò̀ $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \cup v \omega \nu$.

'Е $\pi$.
 є́s $\left.\begin{array}{r}\eta \\ \beta\end{array}\right) \nu^{\circ}$

каì Xápıs viò̀ ’Aүךбı入áov.

100. Athên. xirr. p. 601 c. For Theoxenos cf. O. and P. p. xv.
101. 102. Cf. Frag. 104.
1. 2. $\mu а р \mu а р и \varsigma о i \sigma a s.] ~ E d d . ~$ $\mu а р \mu а р \iota \zeta ., ~ b u t ~ o n e ~ M s . ~ g i v e s ~ t e x t, ~$ for which of. $\mu а \mu \alpha р v \gamma \dot{\eta}$.
1. 4. $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda a \iota \nu \alpha \nu$.$] Cf. Aristoph.$ Ranae, 470.
100.5. $\psi v \chi \rho \hat{q}$.$] Oxymoron and$ causative use ; 'chilling.'
100.6. Buaics.] 'Unnaturally,' cf. Plato, Tim. p. 64 D, Aristot. Eth. Nicom. I. 5. 8.
yuvaкei $\psi$.] Either alter to $\gamma$ vvauкeioy $\theta$ pdoos or take the text as a

Pindaric dative with $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \dot{c} \omega \nu$, 'in attendance on bold-faced women.'
100. 7. \&vर $\left.\rho d{ }^{2}.\right]$ Probably corrupted by the proximity of $\psi v \chi \rho \hat{q}$, v. 5.
 Ěкать. Bergk tâs סéarı. 'By the influence of Aphroditê.'
 (è $\lambda \epsilon к \rho a \dot{\nu}$ ). But ipâv $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{a} \nu$ (щss. $\left.\mu^{e} \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu\right)$ is out of order. Qy. Éprov $\mu \in \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma a ̂ \nu$ ?
100. 9. és.] Cf. Frag. 53. 1.
100. 10, 11. Qy. Iet $\theta$ w... Xápı̀ viós?

## ©PAミYBOYA $\curvearrowleft$ AKPATANTIN $\Omega$

101. [89. 94.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 124$.

 то́таוбl» тє $\gamma \lambda v \kappa є \rho o ̀ \nu ~$
 кย́ขт $\rho \circ \nu^{\circ}$
 5 каíтєр $\pi \epsilon \delta$ व̆ äфӨovov ßора́v.

IEP $2 N I$ EYPAKOZI $\Omega .102 .103$.

$$
\text { 102. [91.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 125 .
$$





* 103. [92.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 126$.



101. Athênaeos, xI. p. 480 c , xiv. p. 641 в. Böckh thinks that this skolion was sung at a feast in celebration of a Panathênaic victory, perhaps that mentioned Isth. II. 19.
102. 2. $\epsilon \nu \xi v \nu \hat{\varphi}$.$] 'At once.'$
1. 3. 'A $\theta$ avalacol.] The best kind of kylix was manufactured in Attica. F. Blass, Mus. Rhen. xix. 306, makes do $\delta \delta \hat{a} v, ~ \epsilon\rceil \eta, \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\varphi}$ the ends of the lines of a three-lined strophe, and joins on to this fragment Frag. 203.
1. Athen. xiv. 635 D .
2. 3. tóv.] The $\beta$ áp $\beta$ itos or $\mu$ áradis.
 sound.' Terpander, oppressed by the shrillness of the $\pi \eta \kappa \pi l s$, conceived the idea of the deep-toned $\beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta$ ıtos. For this sense of $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda$ òs ef. í $\psi o ́ \phi \omega \nu$ os.
1. Athên. xir. 512 d. From a poem in praise of Hiero of Syrakuse. Böckh refers it to the skolion, whence comes Frag. 102.

## 104. $[236]=$. B $^{4} 127$.




$$
\text { 105. }[90 .]=\text { B }^{4} 128 .
$$


 ко́ттаßор.

## X.

## ©PHNOI.

$$
{ }^{*} \text { 106. 107. [95.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 129,130 .
$$

 кс́т $\omega$,
фоוvtкорóסo七s $\delta^{\prime}$ év̀̀ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \omega ́ v \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o a ́ \sigma \tau \iota o \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$

$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ i ̀ \pi \pi \pi o u s ~ \gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma i o \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon, ~ \tau o i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o i ̂ s$,



 є̀ $\pi i \quad \beta \omega \mu \circ$ îs.
104. Athen. xiII, 601 c . Cf. comparing Polyb, xxix. 1.

Frag. 100. 1.
Ë $\rho \omega \tau$ L.] Bergk conjectured E $\rho \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$, and of at the end of the verse, referring it to Frag. 100.
104. 2. 'Do not pursue amours when older than the (natural) tale (of years).' For construction of. Isth. m. 31.
$\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi v$.$] See L. and S. s.v. II. 3$.
105. Ath. x. 427 D.
 $\rho \varphi$, Böskh $\chi \epsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \varphi$. Text, Bergk

106, 107, 108. Plutarch. Cons. ad Apoll. c. 35, de Occulto Viv. c. 7 ( $\sigma \kappa v \theta l-$ for $\sigma \kappa \iota е \rho-$ ).
106. 1. Contrast Ol. II. 61, where the vernal equinox is perpetual. Perhaps the poet could have reconciled the two statements.
106. 3. okcapóv.] Hermann. Böckh бкcapậ.
106. 7. $\mu$ रүvivt $\omega v$.] 'Since they are ever mingling.' Cf. Pyth. vin. 43, 85.

 weary
108. $[96]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} \underline{131 .}$


 $\mu$ óvov
 $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ढ̀v то入入oîs òvєlpous


$$
\text { * 109. [97.] = B } 132 .
$$


yaía $\pi \omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu \tau a \iota}$ є̀v ä̀ $\lambda \gamma \in \sigma \iota$ фovioıs



107. Metre of $v v, 6,7$ of $\mathbf{1 0 6 .}$
$\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho o l$.$] 'Sluggish.' Cf. Hor.$ Od. ப. 14, 17, visendus after flumine languid $\backslash$ Cocytus.
108. From another thrênos, Plat. Wit. Rom. c. 28.
108. 1. $\lambda$ volitovov.] After this word Bückh inserts $\mu$ eravi $\sigma \sigma$ oval.
108. 4. $\pi \rho a \sigma \sigma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu.] ~ C f . ~ N e m . ~$ 1. 26. For sentiment of. Aesch.
 $\lambda a \mu \pi$ púveтal, Cis. Div. I. 30. Pin- $^{\text {I }}$ dar attached great importance to dreams (Pausan. Ix. 23). These views as to the future state are probably due to Hêraklitos or Bythagoras.
108. 5. Reveal the (correct) judge. mont which will be held in the future state as to things pleasant and painful.
109. Theodorêtos, Gr. Affect.

Curation, viII. p. 599 c ; Clemens Alex. Strom. Ix. p. 640, 22. Dissen suspects the genuineness of this fragment. Prof. Seymour however shows that the sentiments, if not found elsewhere in Pindar, are classical, by quoting Plato, Phaed.


 $\kappa \nu \lambda \iota \nu \delta o v \mu e ́ \nu \eta \ldots(\tau \omega \nu \nu, \phi a v \lambda \omega \nu \psi \chi \chi a i)$

 трофฑ̂s какท̂̀s oṽaŋs, and the epigram on those who fell at Potidaea (Corp. Instr. Att. 442), al $\theta \dot{\eta} \rho \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \psi \psi \chi$ ass
 Epicharmos (Plat. Consol. ad Apollo. 15), $\gamma \hat{a} \mu \hat{e} \nu \in l s \gamma \hat{a} \nu, \pi \nu \in \hat{\nu} \mu a \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} \nu \omega$.
109. 2. rale.] Locative, cf. Sem.
x. 35.
109.5. $\mu$ d́кара.] This use of

$$
\text { * 110. }[98 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 133 .
$$


 ảv $\delta \iota \delta o \hat{\imath} \psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ d ı \nu$,
 тє $\mu$ е́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ отто
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu$ ка入єย̂ขтal．

$$
\text { 111. }[99 .]=\mathrm{B}^{t} 134 .
$$

Eủסaıんóvผע
ठратє́тas oủ火 є̌ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\lambda \beta$ os．

$$
\text { 112. }[100 .]=B^{6} 135 .
$$

 סá $\eta$ ．

$$
\text { * 113. }[101 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 136 .
$$





$$
\text { 114. [102.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 137 .
$$

 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a ́ v$,

the singular $=\theta \epsilon \delta \nu$ ，is overlooked by L．and S ．

110．Plato，Meno，p． 81 в．
110．1．ot $\sigma$. ．］Cf．Ol．xir．29， Pyth．1v．21， 37.

тоıvàv．］Cf．Il．Ix． 633.
$\pi \in \nu \theta \in o s$.$] Euphemistic for \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho$ ． rlas．
110．2．ėvár $\varphi$ ．］The reference has been thought to be to the exile of a homicide．

111．Stobseos，Floril．cmi． 6 （Serm．ccalix．p．821，Weckel）．

б̈ $\lambda$ ßог．］Vulg．ö̀ $\lambda$ ног．Cf．Nem． viII． 17.

112．Scholl．O1，I．127，Il．х． 252.
$\pi \in \delta \dot{a} \theta \eta$ ．］Frag．116． 8.
114．Clemens Alex．Strom．III． 518．On an Athenian who had been initiated at Eleusis．Bergk suggests Hippokratês．
 Böckh，éкeiva ко九入à｜єíctv．

Biov．］Lobeck $\beta \iota 6 \tau o v$, ef．Isth． ㅍi． 23.

## 115. $[103]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 138$.




$$
116 .=B^{4} 139 .
$$




...... . $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ àтоф $\theta_{\iota} \mu \in ́ \nu \nu \nu \nu$.


.... $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau о \nu \lambda a ́ \beta \in \nu$,

 viò̀ Oiá

## XI.

## $\mathrm{E} \exists \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{H} \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{N}$ EI $\Delta \Omega \mathrm{N}$.

$$
\text { 117. }[104 .]=\mathrm{B}^{8} 140 \text {. }
$$


115. Cf. Nem. V1. 5.
116. Schol. Eurip. Rhes. 892. The general sense is that paeans pertain to Apollo and Artemis, some other form of song to some other or others, but thrênoi to three muses; Urania, mother of Linos; Terpsichore, mother of Hymenaeos; and Kalliope, mother of Ialemos by Apollo, and of Orpheus by Oeagros.
116. 3. Tò $\delta 仑$.] 'And again.'
tpeis.] rss. only give $\tau{ }^{\circ}$. Some equivalent of Moîoac viف̂ follows.
116. 6. öv èv $\gamma$ ápiot $\sigma$.] 388. е́ $\rho \gamma a ́ \mu о \iota \sigma$.
116. 7. Mss. $\sigma \bar{u} \mu$ т $\quad \hat{\omega} \tau \lambda d \beta \epsilon v$. $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi$., к, $\tau . \lambda$. Pindar alludes either to the death of Hymenaeos when singing a nuptial song (Serv. Verg. Aen. IV. 127) or in ipsis nuptiis in lecto geniali.
116. 8. $\omega \mu \rho \beta b \lambda \varphi$.] Hermann. Ms. $\dot{\text { on ... }}$.

116. 9. The words in brackets are Bergk's from Schol. Il. xv. 256.

117, 118 (to Bporoîs). Euseb. Praep. Ev. xiI. 688 c.(13). [Clem. Alex. Strom. จ. 726, rt $\theta$ ebs ; ố ть тò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$.]
118. $[105]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 141$.
 футєv́єו.
119. $[106]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 142$.
 ขикто̀s áцíàтоу ő $\rho \sigma а \iota ~ ф а ́ о s, ~$ $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \iota \nu \epsilon \phi \in ́ i ̈ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa о ́ т є \iota ~ \kappa а \lambda u ́ \psi а \iota ~ \kappa a \theta a \rho o ̀ \nu ~$ á $\mu$ épas б́́ $\lambda a s$.

$$
\text { 120. }[107 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 143
$$


 $\pi о \rho \theta \mu o ̀ \nu$ тєєфєvүо́тєऽ 'АХє́родтоя.

$$
\text { 121. }[108 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 144
$$

'E入aनiß
122. $[109]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 145$.
$\Theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \tau є ~ \pi \lambda є ́ o \nu ~ \tau ь ~ \lambda a \chi \propto ́ \nu . ~$
123. $[112]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 146$.

Пûp тvéoขтоя ä тє кєраขขov̂ ä $\gamma \chi \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \delta \in \xi \iota a ̀ \nu$ катà $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a$ татрòs i彡єą.

$$
\text { 124. }[114 .]=B^{4} 147
$$

'E $\nu \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \delta^{\prime}$ ' $้ \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau$ ' 'A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.
118. Also Didymos Alex. de Trin. III. 1, p. 320.

фитev́el.] Didymos, l.c. фoctevée.
119. Clem. Alex. Strom. v. 708,

Euseb. Praep. Ev. xiII. 674 в, Theodorêt. Gr. Affect. Curatio, vi. 89, 27.
120. Plutarch, de Superst. c. 6,
adv. Stoicos, c. 31.
121. Schol. Aristoph. Equit.
624. Cf. Ol. iv. 1 and if. 13.
122. Aristid. r. 11 (8).
123. Schol. Il. xxiv. 100 (ăтєן for ${ }^{2} \tau \epsilon$ ), Plutarch, Symp. Quaest. 1. 2. 4.
124. Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 383.
125. $[115]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 148$.

126. $[116]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 149$.

127. $[118]=.B^{4} 150$.

Mavtev́єo Moîбa, трофатєúбн ס' є่ $\gamma \omega$.
128. $[119]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 151$.

Moîć advé $\eta \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon$.

$$
\text { 129. }[266 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 152 .
$$



130. $[125]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 153$.
 áqvòv фéryos ỏrtćpas.

$$
\text { 131. }[126 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 154 .
$$






$$
\text { 132. }[127 .]=\mathrm{B}^{6} 155 .
$$



125. Athen. I. 22 в.
126. Plut.de EI ap. Delph. c. 21.
127. Eustath, Il. Ix. 44.
128. Eustath. $I l$, 1 x .40.
129. Cram. An. Ox. I. 285, 19.
130. Plutarch. de Is. et Osir.
c. 35.
vouóv.] 'Grove,' cf. Frag. 131.
131. Plutarch. de Exil. c. 9. 131. 1. $\left.\phi \iota \lambda \in \epsilon \iota \nu_{.}\right]$'Be contented with.'
131. 2. тepiठaîoy.] 'Around Mt Ida.' Crete was celebrated for Cy-prus-groves, cf. Plato, Legg. p. 625. Perhaps from a skolion. 132. Athên. ₹. 191 F.

## FRAGMENTS.

 тоขิт' aĭт $\eta \mu$ í $\sigma \epsilon$.

$$
\text { 133. }[57 .]=B^{4} 156 .
$$

 òv Maдєáyovos ê $\theta \rho \in \psi \in \mathrm{Naí} \mathrm{\delta os}$ ảkoítas $\Sigma_{\epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \nu o ́ s .}$

$$
\text { 134. }[128 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 157 .
$$

 $\kappa о \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$.

$$
\text { 135. }[129 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 158 .
$$



$$
\text { 136. }[132 .]=B^{4} 159 .
$$



$$
137 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 160 .
$$



$$
\text { 138. }[134 .]=B^{4} 161 .
$$

........ Oi ${ }^{i}{ }^{\mu}{ }^{1} \nu$
катшка́ра $\delta є \sigma \mu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ סє́ $\delta є \nu \tau а \iota . . .$.

$$
139 .=B^{4} 162 .
$$

Mítvavtes Ooà v


$$
\text { 140. }[137 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 163 .
$$


133. Pausan. III. 25, 2.
134. Schol. Aristoph. Nub. 223. Seilênos is addressing the Phrygian youth Olympos.
135. Schol. Pyth. Iv. 104.
136. Dionys. Hal. de Orator.

Ant. c. 2.
137. Stobaeos, Floril. Cxxvr. 2.
138. Schol. Aristoph. Pac. 153.
139. Cramer, An. Ox. 1. 201, 14.
140. Apollon. Dysk. de Synt. II. 179 (Bekker).

## PINDARI CARMINA.

141. $[142]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 164$.

Фı入ó $\mu a \chi$ оу ү́́vos є̇к Пєрбє́os.
142. $[146]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 165$.


$$
\text { 143. }[147 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 166
$$

 oi้vov,




$$
\text { 144. }[148 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 167
$$

. 'O $\delta$ є̀ $\chi \lambda \omega \rho a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda a ́ т а \iota \sigma \iota ~ т v \pi \epsilon i s ~$


$$
145 .[150 .]=B^{4} 168
$$

$\Delta \iota a ̀$ ßoŵ̀ $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho a \kappa ı a ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau є ́ \psi a \nu ~ \pi v \rho i ~ \delta ' ~$

 каєрฏ̂ хро́ขоя.

$$
\text { 146. }[151 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 169
$$



141. Athên. iv. 154 F.
142. Plutarch. Amator. ©. 15, and de Defect. Oracl. c. 11. The subject is Dryads.
143. Athên. xı. 476 в.
143.1. $\left.\rho / \pi \alpha{ }^{2} \nu_{1}\right]$ Cf. Pyth. I. 10, my note.
144. Sohol. Apoll. Rhod. 1. 57.
144. 2. Text Böckh. m8s. oľ̌eтal
 of the Lapithae whose son Koronos entertained Hêrakles when that
hero devoured a whole ox, bones and all. To this feat of gluttony the next fragment refers:
145. Athênaeos, x. 411 B. See above, and Frag. B ${ }^{4}$ 111. Cf. Philostr. Imagg. II. 24. Mr Verrall proposes to alter $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ òs èv кuup̂̂
 "the foul mass in the skull." Journal of Philology, Vol. ix. p. 122.
146. Plato, Gorgias, p. 484 в,

v́тєрта́та Хєєрі тєкцаі́ронає




$$
\text { 147. }[154 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 170 .
$$

Пávta Ө́ยєı ย̇ィатóv．

$$
\text { 148. }[157 .]=B^{4} 171 .
$$




$$
\text { 149. }[158 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 172 .
$$

 $\mu v \rho i ́ o s$ ；

 єüסo $\xi_{0}$ v $\pi \lambda$ óov


$$
\text { 150. }[160 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 173 .
$$

ミúpıov єủpuaíxpav סıeîтov $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ v$.
151．152．153．［159．161．162．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 174-176$.
Pausan．vir．2， 7 ；1．2，1；Plut．vit．Thes．c． 28.

Aristides，II．68，Schol．Nem．1x． 35. Cf．Herod．III．38．Some edd．pre－ fix каты̀ фúбu from Gorgias p． 488 в， Legg．Iv． 714 D，ib．III．p． 690 в．

146．3．Cf．Pyth．II． 17.
146．8．むעаитฑ̇таs．］Böckh for àvalpeîtal．

147．Strabo，III． 155.
148．Schol．Il．x．252．On the slaughter by Hêrakles of Nêleus and his sons．Cf．Frag．B4 13 อ．

149．Schol．Eurip．Andr． 796. Text Bëckh．

150．Strabo，XII． 544.
151－153．Pindar is reported to say that the Amazons founded the temple of Artemis of Ephesos on their expedition against Athens； that Peirithoos and Thêseus car－ ried off Antioper and that she had a son Dêmophôn by Thêseus．
154. 155. 156. 157. 158. [164—168.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 177-179$.
 ảvסooфӨópov, oủסè $\sigma \iota \gamma \underset{c}{a}$ катєррún.



$\delta^{3}$ ov̉סèv $\pi \rho o \sigma a \iota \tau \in ́ \omega \nu$


$$
\text { 159. }[169 .]=B^{4} 178 \text {. }
$$

Nó $\mu \omega \nu$ ảкov́ovtєs $\theta \epsilon$ éd $\mu a t o \nu$ кє́ $\lambda a \delta o \nu$.

$$
\text { 160. }[170 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 179 .
$$


ä $\nu \delta \eta \mu a$.

$$
\text { 161. }[172 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 180 .
$$


 крат८бтєv́шע 入óyos.

$$
\text { * 162. [174.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 181 .
$$



$$
\text { 163. }[175 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 182 .
$$

 oủk єíovîa.

154-158. Priscian. de Metr. Comic. p. 248 (Lindemann), quoting Hêliodôros. Specimens of Pindar's treatment of Iambic metre.
159. Ib. p. 251. Cf. perhaps Pyth. iII. 90, Nem. v. 23.
160. Schol. Nem. viI. 116. Cf.

Nem. viII. 15, Isth. III. 61.
161. Clem. Alex. Strom. I. 345. Cf. Nem. v. 17, 18.
161. áxpềov] mss. à $\rho \chi a$ âov.
162. Schol. Nem. viI. 89.
163. Aristid. H. 547.

## 164. [177.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 183$.

 $i \pi \pi т o \delta a ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \Delta a \nu a \omega ̂ \nu ~ \beta e ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi o \rho o v . ~$
165. [179.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 184$.


$$
\text { 166. }[184 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 185 .
$$



$$
\text { 167. }[185 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 186 .
$$

 ยै $\sigma \delta \epsilon \xi a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o v ̂ \chi o \nu$.

$$
\text { 168. }[186 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 187 .
$$



$$
\text { 169. }[190 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 188 .
$$

 $\phi \omega v i ́ v$ àv $\delta \rho o ́ q$.

$$
\text { 170. [197.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 189 .
$$


171. [198.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 190$.


$$
\text { 172. [199.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 4 .
$$


164. Strabo, rx. 131.
165. Choeroboskos, I. 106 (Bekker, dnecd. mi. 1183).
166. Etym. Gud. 321, 54 totı סé

 Text Böckh.
167. Apoll. de Synt. II. p. 138 (Bekker).
168. Plut. Quaest. Symp. II. 10, 1.
169. Strabo, xiv. 642.
170. Schol. Aristoph. Vesp. 306.

 Aesch. Pers. 741 [P.].
171. Schol. Pyth. viII. 53.
172. Schol. Isth. Iv. Inser.
173. $[201]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 191$.

174. $[204]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 192$.
$\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{~} \theta \epsilon \mu i \sigma \tau \omega \nu\left[{ }^{2} \mu \nu \omega \nu\right]$ áv $\mu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$
'А $л о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i \delta a \iota$.
175. $[205]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 193$.
......Пєутаєтŋріेऽ є̇орта̀
 бтарүávoıs.

$$
\text { 176. }[206 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 194 .
$$



ко́т $\mu о \nu$ av̉סáєvтa $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.
 $\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi a \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
$5 \kappa a i ̀ \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu \rho \rho \omega \not \pi \omega \nu$ à $\gamma v \iota a ́ s$.

$$
\text { 177. }[207 .]=\mathrm{B}^{d} 195 .
$$

Eíápнатє, хрvбохітшข,...iєрผ́татоу... ä $^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} a \lambda \mu a$, $\Theta \dot{\eta} \beta a \ldots$
178. [209.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 196$.
... $\Lambda \iota \pi а р а ̂ ̀ \nu ~ т є ~ \Theta \eta \beta a ̂ ̀ ~ \mu є ́ \gamma а \nu ~ \sigma к o ́ т є \lambda о \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 179. }[210 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 197 .
$$

## ' $\Omega$ тадаíтшроє Ө $\hat{\eta} \beta a \iota . .$.

173. Schol. Pyth. Ir. 127.
174. Schol. Pyth. iv. 4. Heyne $\theta \epsilon \mu l \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, мss. $\theta \epsilon \mu \mu \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
175. Cf. O. and P. p. xii. 11. 15, 16.
176. Aristid. II. 509.
177. 178. k $\rho \eta \pi /$ l.] Cf. Pyth.vir. 3. 176. 2. Cf. Ol. т. 16.
1. Schol. Pyth. Iv. 25, Pyth.
II. Inscr. Cf. Isth. I. 1.
2. Schol. Pyth. n. Inscr.
3. Cf. O. and P. p. viii. 1. 4.

$$
{ }^{*} 180 .=\text { B }^{\mathrm{t}} 198 .
$$

Oиैтои $\mu$ е $\xi \in$ ย́vo
 $\Theta \hat{\eta} \beta a \iota$ ．

181．$[211]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 198$.
$\mathrm{M} \in \lambda \iota \gamma a \theta$ ès $\dot{a} \mu \beta \rho o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ v̈ $\delta \omega \rho$ Tı入ф由́厅 $\sigma a s$ àmò ка入入ıкрávov．

$$
\text { 182. }[213 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 199 .
$$

 aix $\mu a$ i，
каì $\chi$ ороі̀ каі̀ Moîбa каì＇A $\gamma \lambda$ аaía．
183．［214．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 200$.


$$
\text { 184. }[215 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 201 .
$$


 \％̈८ трáyoı $\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi ̌ i ~ \mu i ́ \sigma \gamma o v \tau a \iota . . . ~$

185．［216．］＝ $\mathrm{B}^{4} 202$.

186．［217．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 203$.


 $\kappa \in \phi а \lambda a ́ s$.

180．Chrysippos，$\pi \in \rho \mathfrak{l}$ àmoфatı－ $\kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$, c． 2.

181．Strabo，Ix．411，Athên．II． p． 41 E ．

182．Plut．Vit．Lycurg．c．21， about Sparta．

183．Schol，Ol．xI． 17.
184．Strabo，xVII． 802.
185．Schol．Pyth．Iv． 206.
186．Zenobios，v．59，on the


## PINDARI CARMINA.

$$
\text { 187. }[218 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 204 .
$$



$$
\text { 188. }[221 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 205 .
$$

 є $\mu a ̀ \nu$


$$
189 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 11 .
$$



$$
\text { 190. }[222 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 206 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 191. }[223 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 207 .
$$

Tapтápov $\pi u \theta \mu \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \iota \epsilon ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \quad \sigma^{\prime}$ àфavoûs $\sigma \phi u \rho \eta \lambda a ́ \tau o \iota s$ ..ảעव́үкаıs.

$$
\text { 192. }[224 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 208 .
$$

Maviaıs $\tau^{\prime}$ ả̉a入aîs $\tau^{\prime}$ ỏpıvó $\mu \in \nu o \iota$

193. [227.] $=$ B $^{\text {e } 209 . ~}$
'Атє入ŋ̂ бофіая картò̀ $\delta \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.

$$
\text { 194. }[229 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 20 .
$$





187. Schol. Pyth. Ir. Inser.
188. Stobaeos, Florileg. xi. 3.
189. Eustath. Prooem. 21.
190. Plat. Vit. Niciae, c. 1.
191. Plut. Consol.ad Apoll.c. 6.
192. Plut. Quaest. Symp. vir. 5, de Defect. Orac. c. 14.
193. Stobaeos, Flor. lxxx. 4.


$$
\text { 195. }[230 .]=211 .
$$

Kaкó申роvá $\tau^{\top}$ ả $\mu \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \pi \rho a \pi i \delta \omega \nu$ $\kappa а \rho \pi о ́ v$.

$$
\text { 196. }[231 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 212 .
$$

Plut. de Cap. ex Host. Util. c. 10, Kaì $\pi a ̂ \sigma a$ фv́rts ảvөpóitov
 Étaîpov (Xylander, éraípav B, vulg. étaípuv) ảvjpêv w̌s


$$
\text { 197. }[232 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 213 .
$$


$\hat{\eta}$ бкодєаі̂ऽ äтáтаия ảvaßaivєı

Síxa ноь עóos àтре́кєєà єiтєî̀.

$$
\text { 198. }[233 .]=B^{4} 214 .
$$


 $\kappa v \beta є \rho v a ̂$.

$$
\text { 199. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 10 .
$$



$$
\text { 200. }[152 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 215 .
$$

 є̈кабтоя.

$$
\text { 201. }[235 .]=\text { B }^{4} 216 .
$$


195. Plut. de Sera Num. Vind. c. 19 .
197. Plato, de Republ. Ir. p. 365 b, Maxim. Tyr. xviII. Cf. Cic. ad Attic. XIII. 38.
198. Plato, de Republ. I. 331 a. Compare Stob. Flor. cxi. p. 12,

 199. Eustath. Prooem. 21. 200. Cramer, An. Par. III. 154, 13. 201. Plut. Consol. ad Apoll. c. 28, Schol. Eur. Hipp. 263.

## PINDARI CARMINA.

$$
\text { 202. }[237]=B^{4} 217 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 203. }[239 .]=B^{4} 218 .
$$





......áégovtal фре́vas à $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda i ́ v o \iota s ~ t o ́ \xi o ı s ~ \delta a \mu e ́ v \tau \epsilon s . ~$

$$
\text { 204. }[240 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 219 .
$$

Oî ס’ aैфvєє тєтоiӨaбıข.

$$
\text { 205. [241.] = Be } 220 .
$$


 то́vтov $\tau \in \dot{\rho} \iota \pi a i l ~ \phi ́ ́ \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 206. }[242 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} \underline{221 .}
$$


 нoıs $\beta$ ıoтá
 $\sigma \omega \hat{s} \delta_{\iota a \sigma \tau \epsilon}$ ỉ $\beta \nu$.

$$
\text { 207. [243.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 222 .
$$

... $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \pi a i ̂ s ~ o ́ ~ \chi p v \sigma o ́ s . ~$
202. Clem. Alex. Paedag. III. 295.
203. Athênaeos, xi. 782 D.
204. Etym. Mag. 178.10.
205. Plut. Qu. Symp. vII. 5, 3.
206. Sextus Empir. Hypoth. Pyrrh. I. 20 (Bekker). Cf. Hor. Od. I. 1.
207. Schol. Pyth. I7. 408. Pro-

кє̂̂vov ov̉ $\sigma \eta े \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кìs $\delta a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon$,


Isth. III. 2.
208. $[244]=.B^{4} 223$.

Kaì ф'́povtaí $\pi \omega \varsigma$ vimò $\delta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu ~ \tau u ́ \chi a \nu ~$ aì $\chi \mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \iota$, каì $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ є̀vті трауратіає......

$$
\text { 209. }[246 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 224 .
$$

 ข่тот $\rho$ ย́ $\sigma \sigma a l . . . .$.

$$
\text { 210. }[247 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 225 .
$$




$$
\text { 211. }[248 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 226 .
$$



$$
\text { 212. }[250 .]=\text { B }^{4} 227 .
$$





$$
\text { 213. }[252 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 228 .
$$

....Tı $\theta \epsilon \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ à $\gamma \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$ $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ a ̉ \rho \epsilon \tau a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \varsigma ~ a i ̉ \pi u ̀ \nu ~ e ै ß a \lambda \epsilon ~ \sigma к o ́ т о \nu . ~$
klos, on Hes. Opp. et D. 428. Contrast Isth. Iv. 2, 3.
207. 2. Cf. "neither moth nor rust doth corrapt."
208. Theodôros Metoch. 562.
209. Schol. II. xvi. 98.
210. Schol. Ol. II. 40.
211. Aristid. II. 547.
212. Clem. Alex. Strom. Iv. 586.
213. Plut. An seni sit ger. resp. c. 1.
213. 2. трбфабเs.] Cf. Pyth. V. 25 ff.
almúv.] Cf. Ol. xı. 42, also Soph. Oed. Col. 877, áто́торор єls ảváүкаע. Eur. Alc. 118, $\mu$ о́роя а́тбтоцоя.

## PINDARI CARMINA.

$$
\text { 214. }[253 .]=B^{4} 229 .
$$




$$
215 .[254 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 230 .
$$

'Е $\pi \grave{\imath} \lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau \hat{̣}} \delta_{\epsilon \nu \delta \rho \epsilon ́ \varphi ~ \beta a i v \epsilon \iota \nu . ~}^{\text {. }}$

$$
\text { 216. }[255 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 231 .
$$

 ধ̇ $\sigma a ́ \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

$$
\text { 217. }[256]=\text { B }^{4} 232 .
$$

$\Sigma \chi \eta \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \iota$ тò $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ \nu$ oủ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$, oủ $\sigma \iota \delta a ́ \rho \epsilon о \nu$ тєîðos.

$$
\text { 218. }[257]=B^{4} 233 .
$$



$$
\text { 219. [25̌8.] = B } 234 .
$$


 $\delta \in \lambda \phi i s$
 € $\xi \in v \rho \in i ̂ \nu . .$.

$$
220 .[259 .]=B^{4} 235 .
$$

 тòv $\mu$ è̀ ảкv́भovos év $\pi$ đóvтov $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$

214. Schol. O1. xiII. 92. Cf. Pyth. viII. 85-87.
215. Liban. Epist. cxurv.
216. Schol. Nem. viI. 87.
217. Plut. Vit. Marcell. c. 29.
218. Clem. Alex. Paedag. p. 307.
219. Plut. de Virt. Mor. c. 12, de Tranqu. Anim. c. 14.
220. Plut. Quaest. Symp. vir. 5, 2, de Sollert. Anim. c. 36.
220.1. і̇то́крьть.] Adv. 'like.' V.l. а̇то́кр.

$$
\text { 221. }[260 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 236 .
$$



$$
\text { 222. }[261 .]=B^{4} 237 \text {. }
$$

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ס̀̀ кєîuaı $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \iota a ̂ \nu$ à $\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu$ گav $\begin{gathered}\text { òs } \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu . ~\end{gathered}$

$$
\text { 223. }[262 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 238 .
$$

 $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тє．．．．．．

$$
\text { 224. }[265 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 239 .
$$



$$
\text { 225. }[269 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 240 .
$$



$$
\text { 226. }[280 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 241 .
$$

Потiко入入ov äтє $\xi v \dot{\prime} \lambda o \nu ~ \pi а \rho a ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda \omega . ~$

$$
227 .=B^{4} 242 .
$$

＇A $\mu$ è̀ mó入ıs Aiaкıסầ．

$$
228 .=B^{4} 243 .
$$

．．．．．．Фà̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ ё $\mu \mu є \nu а \iota$


$$
229 .=B^{4} 245 .
$$

Про́фаб८я $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho \circ \hat{v}$ үірєтає $\nu \epsilon і \kappa є о \varsigma$.

221．Schol．Od．x． 240.
222．Arist．II． 509 （378）．
223．Schol．Pyth．II． 31.
224．Herodian．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu$ ．60，
13．Schema Pindaricum．
225．Schol．O1．xi．58．Cf．Isth．

Iv［v］． 51.
226．Athênaeos，vi． 248 c．
227．Schol．Aristoph．Pax， 251.
228．Herodian．$\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu$ ．59， 29.

229．Cramer，$A n .0 x .1 .9$ 9̌， 5. F．II．

## PINDARI CARMINA.

$$
\text { * 230. }[286 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 246 \text {. }
$$

$\mathrm{M} \in \lambda \iota \rho \rho o ́ \theta \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ єँтєтая $\pi \lambda$ о́каноь.

$$
\text { 231. }[123 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 247 \text {. }
$$


 бароя, кai àvєтрáф $\eta$.

$$
\text { 232. }[124 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 248 \text {. }
$$

Plut. de Adul. et Amic. c. 27, єv̉día $\gamma$ àp ėmáyt vé申os ó


 סapov.

$$
\text { 258. }[288]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 274
$$

Quintil. x. 1, 109, Non enim pluvias, ut ait Pindarus, aquas colligit, sed vivo gurgite exundat (Cicero).

$$
\text { 264. }[249 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 279 .
$$

Liban. Or. ı. 432 ed. Reiske, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{̣} \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \epsilon v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$




$$
265 \mathrm{~A} .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 280 .
$$




 Baivet.

$$
265 \text { в. }=\text { B }^{4} 281 .
$$

Philo, de Providentia, II. p. 120 (Auger.), Pro honore itaque, ut dixit olim Pindarus, silentium laetabundus suscipiam.
230. Lesbonax, de Fig. $184 \quad 265$ s. Cf. Ol. v. 24, Isth. 17.14. (Valcknaer).

## 266 see $\mathrm{B}^{4}$ p. 477.





$$
\text { 273. }[121 .]=B^{4} 288 .
$$

Liban. Epist. xxxiv., ó $\mu$ èv חîvoapós $\pi ⿰ v o ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota ~ \mu \eta ́ \lambda \omega v ~ \tau \epsilon ~$


274. $[234]=.B^{4} 289$.
 रopót $\omega v$ ėvvítvia.

## EPIGRAMMA.


 Proklos, Hes. p. 7 (Gaisford).

## LIST OF WORDS AND PHRASES ATTRIBUTED TO PINDAR IN FRAGMENTS NOT GIVEN．


＇A入épas ö jov－Titvov．
＇A入єváסєs－Өє́ $\sigma \sigma a \lambda o \iota$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota-\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ v, \pi \epsilon \rho a \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.

á $\alpha \dot{\chi} \chi \nu \eta \mathrm{s}$, o－＂－＂spider．＂
d，$\rho \gamma v p \in a t-$ epithet of Muses．
а́ $\rho \mu a \sigma$ lסоитоь．
Taōetpioat（－iтau）$\pi$ ú入at－Pillars of Hêrakles．
غ̇катоутópүvьos．
є́ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\alpha} \iota \delta \omega$ ．
e $\lambda a \sigma l \chi \theta \omega y$－epithet of Пove $\delta \hat{\omega} v$.

є $\xi \in \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \omega ́ s$.
غ́pıбф́́ $\rho a \gamma o s$.
eúpúsuyos－epithet of Zeús．

K $\lambda$ còs－K K etoûs．

кратŋбィßiav．

$\mu a ́ \rho \eta-\chi \in l \rho$ ．
$\mu є \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau а$ ．
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \in ́ \phi a \nu o s-e p i t h e t ~ o f ~ ¿ \gamma \omega \nu$.



таı $\delta о ф$ á $о \boldsymbol{\nu}$ i $\chi$ Өìv－кท̂тоs．
тєขт $\eta к о \nu \tau а \epsilon^{\prime}(\eta \dot{\eta}) \rho \in \tau \mu$－opithet of the
ships of the Achaeans．
тро́ßата－іттоь．
$\dot{\rho} \in \rho i \phi \theta a l$ है $\pi$ os．

тоита́кє．
$\tau \rho เ \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\chi} \omega$－epithet of Sicily．
$\dot{v} \psi \iota \kappa$ épas－epithet of $\pi \in \tau \rho a$ ．
xintoétas（－teis）－epithet of the Hyperboreans．

## GREEK INDEX．

a $\beta$ oorl，meaning，N．viii． 9
$\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \dot{\text { à }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$, F． 1 A． 1
d $\gamma \dot{d} \theta \in \mathrm{cos}$, etymol．N．vi． 35
$\dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} v$, fem．I．vii． 20
aj $\gamma$ ata，ajhaòs，of victories in games， 0 ．ix． 99 ，xiii．14，xiv． 6 ； P．vi． 46, x． 28 ；N．i．13，iii．69， ix． 31 ，xi． 20 ；I．ii． 18
divata，＇fame＇or＇song，＇N．i． 13
дулабккартоs，N．iii． 56
d $\bar{\delta} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$, peculiar use of aor．part． 0.
vii．17；N．viii． 38 （？gnômic）
deAcos＝ $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\lambda}$ cos，O．i． 5
$\dot{\alpha} \in \lambda \lambda о \pi \delta \delta \omega \nu \imath_{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$, N．i． 6
devós，fastigium，O．xiii． 21
$\alpha<$ for $\epsilon$ in мss．P．iv．233，єólet，v．e
alavis，P．i．83；I．i．49，iii． 2
aìoôos，meaning，I．ii． 37
aiò̀s，O．vii．44；N．ix． 33 （al $\delta \hat{\omega}$ for alel， 0 ，xiii．115）
al0 $\mathrm{m} \rho$ ，fem．O．i． 6
alӨテ́नo $\omega$ ，intrans． 0 ．vii． 95 ；P．i． 87，iv． 83 ；O．xi． 73
alow，0．i． 1
almis，＇sheer＇（metaph．），0．xi．42； F．213．2．（Cf．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta т о \mu о \mathrm{~s}$ ，Soph． Oed．R． 877 ；Eur．Alc．118）
aĩa，＇assignment，＇＇direction，＇ ＇standard，＇＇career，＇0．ix．42； N．iii．16，vi．13， 49 ；I．i．34；F． 1， 108
al $\chi \mu \dot{d}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha}$ in mss．N．v．54，x． 60；I．iii． 69
al $\chi \mu \dot{\eta}$ ，etymol．I．i． 24
alcur，＇fate，＇N．ii．8；I．iii．18，vii． 14
－fem．P．iv．186；N．ix． 44
áкщı̀，N．iii．39；I iii． 69
 ＇be at the merey of＇（Prof． Colvin），O．iii． 24
áкр $6 \theta$ tva， 0. ii． 4
ǎкpov，＇first prize＇（？），P．xi．55；
N．i．11，vi． 24
äkpos，of time，P．xi． 10
$\dot{\alpha} к \rho \omega т \dot{\eta} \rho เ \frac{1}{}, 0$ ．хіii． 21
à $\lambda a \theta \in \epsilon$ es öòòs，I．ii． 10
d $\lambda \lambda d$ ，resumptive， 0 ．iv．6，vi．22， viii． 9 ；N．ix．8，xi． 9
d̉ $\lambda \lambda \dot{a}-\gamma \dot{a} \rho$, O．vi． 53 ；I．iii． 34 ，vi． 16
ä $\lambda \sigma$ os，etymol．I．ii． 28
à аицй́кєтоs，etymol．P．i． 14
$\dot{\alpha} \mu a \xi \iota \tau o ̀ v, a d j$. N．vi． 56
á $\mu$ avpòs，etymol．I iii． 66
$\alpha \mu \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a$, N．i． 1
${ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ ，with acc． 0. xi． 77 ；P．ii． 15 ， viii． 69 ；N．i． 54 ；I．vi． 9
－with dat．O．ix．13；P．ii．62， v． 111 ；N．i．29，ii． 17 （cf．Eur．
 vii．80；I．iv． 55
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$, O．i． 8
ад $\mu \dot{\phi} \tau \epsilon \rho a$, ＇good and bad，＇P．i． 88 － cf．Thuk．II．11， 10 （Postgate）
$\partial v_{3}$ omitted significantly，O．ii． 16 （？），iii． 45 ，ix． 80 ，x． 21 ；P．iv． 118, x．21；I．vii． 35
－om．with ös and subj．I．i． 50
－repeated，N．ix． 35
－with future，N．vii． 68 ；I．v． 59
－with fat．inf．O．i． 109
${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}$ ，in composition with noun， 0 ． vii． 61
àvaßá入入о $\mu \iota$, ＇begin，＇P．i． 4 ；N．vii． 77，x． 33
－＇put off，＇O．i．80；N．ix． 28
àvex $\omega$ ，N．vii． 89
dंעض̀p，＇land－warrior，＇O．vi． 10
d̀vтéरouau，meaning of，N．i． 33
àvztтuxiv，N．vii． 42
dyzi申toryos，F．102． 3
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$ ，N．iv． 46
ämas，＇in every case，＇O．ix．100；

$$
\text { N. จ. } 16
$$

àтelpatos for－actos，O．vi． 54

ม่то $\boldsymbol{\nu} v \dot{\omega} \omega$ ，deiero，N．vii． 70
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ ，＇make to breathe forth，＇
N．i． 47

ג́ $\rho \epsilon$ т̀े，＇distinction＇（？），O．vii． 89 ；
N．iii．42，x． 2
ápグ $\gamma \omega$ ，etymol．P．ii． 63

ápt $\theta \mu$ òs，＇counting，＇N．ii． 23
－＇right number＇（of years），F． 104． 2
© $\rho \rho \eta \kappa$ кор，＇stalwart，＇I．v． 47
ápхal $\beta \notin \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha$, with gen．катд बن́veสLข（？），N．i． 8
－as－avios，fem．I．v． 73
ă $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \nu$, ＇＇sun，＇O．i． 6
á $\tau \epsilon \lambda$ ク̀s，＇ineffectual，＇N．iii． 42
av̉ára，etymol．P．ii． 28
aúyḑ́ouat，meaning，N．x． 61
aṽ̀telal $\begin{aligned} & \text { vípal，N．i．} 19\end{aligned}$
－avp－in à aavpá $\omega$ ，\＆c．P．iii． 36
av̉ròs，＇exact，＇of space and time， N． $\mathrm{\nabla} .1$
av̉ไt $\omega$ ，etymol．O．iii． 1
＇Афродita，etymol．P．ix． 9
aicoos，metaphor to the superlative， 0．ii．7，iii．4，v．1，ir．19；P．iv． 131；N．ii．9，iii．29，viii． 9 ；I．i． 51，v．4，vi． 18
$\beta$ and $\mu$ confused in mss．O．i．58， ix．8；P．iv． 84 （Eur．Bacch．25， 678）
$\beta a \theta \dot{\prime} s \omega v o v, 0$ ．iii． 35
Bäis，O．vii．53；P．i． 66
－0．xii．12，xiii． 62
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon a=\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a$, N．i． 39
$\beta$ è $\lambda$ os，＇pang，＇N．i． 48
Buaiws，＇unnaturally，＇F． 100.6
Bios，$\beta$ locos，aliv，＇life after death，＇ 0．i． 59
Bios，$\beta$ loros，confused in mss．I．iii． 23，vii． 15
$\beta$ 人áлтєєข，etymol．P．ix． 94
Botatlay ĩv，O．vi． 90 ；F． 60
$\gamma$ dropped after $\iota, 0$ ．iii． 4
$\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \stackrel{\omega}{v}$, I．ii． 12
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ，after voc．O．iv． 1
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{iv}$.
$\gamma^{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$, O．хiii． 104 ；P．i．17， 50 ；
N．viii．50；I，iii． 18 ．
$\gamma^{\ell} \mu \dot{e}, 0$ ，xii． 5 ；P．iv． 50 ；N．iii． 83，x． 33
 65
$\gamma^{t}$ pas，with v．l．$\mu$ t́pos，0．vii． 68
riүvoual，in aor．＇prove oneself to be，＇ 0 ．xiii． 26 ；P．ii．72；N．iii．
71 ；I．vii． 25
$\gamma \lambda$－，vowel short before， 0 ．xiii． 100
～ 1 djéce，F． 75
$\gamma \lambda \epsilon \notin a \rho o \nu$, etymol．P．i． 8
रликєры́тєроs，fem．F． 129
र $\rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega$ ，＇enter name，＇N．vi． 7
रuiov，＇body，＇N．vii．73，ix． 24 ；F． 99． 15
$\Delta a \nu a ́ \eta$, etymol．N．x． 4
$\delta E \in$＇accordingly，＇I．iii． 90 ，vi． 23
－after vocative，O．vi． 103
$\underline{-~}^{-\alpha \lambda \lambda d, ~ N . ~ x i . ~} 48$
－＇also，＇I．i． 58
－＇for，＇N．i．11，ii．10，x． 35 ；I．i． 49，iii．13，29；F．99． 9
$\delta \in \delta о \rho к є$, O．i． 94 （ $v$ ．perfect）
ठéкомиц，with dat．，O．xiii． 29 ；P．iv． 23 ，xii． 5 ；I．v． 4
$\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi i s$, P．ii． 51 ；N．vi． 66 ；F．1．6， 219
ठev̂po，O．viii． 51
$\delta \grave{\eta}=\tilde{\eta} \delta \eta$, N．viii． 51
ócà，in composition，N．v． 3
סıaтрúvios，etymol．N．iv． 51
$\delta \iota \delta a \kappa т a l$ á $\rho \in \tau a l$, P＇s depreciation of，
O．ii． 86 ，ix． 100, xi． 20 ；N．iii． 41
$\delta(\delta o \iota$ ，Doric imper．O．vi．104，vii． 89
$\delta_{i} \theta^{\prime} \rho a \mu \beta$ os，etymol．F． 57 A．
ठiкк，＇quarrel，＇N．ix． 15
бikๆ，etymol．P．i． 50
$\Delta \ell_{k} \eta$ ，dist．from $\Theta$ tuts，O．viii． 21
$\Delta$ tòs dóos，＇milky way，＇0．ii．70；
F． 7.3
$\Delta$ còs K Kópıı $^{2}$ os，N．vii． 105
$\delta \delta \mu o v, ~ \delta o ́ \mu o v s$, understood with prep． and gen．，I．ii． 34
брakels，P．ii．20；N．vii． 3
$\checkmark$ V $\rho \in \pi-$ ，P．i． 49
$\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$ ，act．for mid．O．i． 13
$\epsilon$ for $\alpha$ in меs． $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{x} .72, v . \alpha \iota$

${ }_{\text {Ė }} \mathbf{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$, in transition, N. i. 33; I. i. 14, v. 16


- 'am woat,' N. xi. 40
- $\mu e \lambda \lambda \omega(?)$, N. vii. 90
$\boldsymbol{\epsilon l}$, concessive, 0 . viii. 54
- with subjunctive, P. iv. 266, 274 ; N. vii. 11, 16, ix. 46 ; I. iii. 59, iv. 13
ei $\eta$, with acc. O. i. 115; P. ii. 96; N. vii. 25 ( $\bar{\eta} v$ ) ; I. i. 64, v. 7
- without pron. P.i. 29, ii. 83 ; I. v. 7; F. 104. 1
- with dat. N. iv. 9
elvac, ellipse of, with eṽxouat, 0 . vii. 23
eiveкev, that, I. vii. 33
$\epsilon_{k}$, introd. author of an action, P. iv. 72; ' by one of,' P. vi. 33

چ̌кать, etymol. O. xiv. 18

है $\lambda \hat{\alpha}, \mathrm{N}$. iii. 74
еौגффо̀s, fem. N. v. 20 ; v. $\boldsymbol{\gamma \lambda 七 к є \rho \omega ं - ~}$ tepos
'E $\lambda \epsilon$ eivita, etymol. N. vii. 1
${ }^{2} \lambda \in \lambda i \xi^{\prime} \omega$, etymol. P. i. 4
$\lambda_{\lambda \in \nu}$, diff. senses, O. i. 88 (cf. $k \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \epsilon(\omega)$
èixls, 'expectation' merely, N. i. 32 , xi. 22
( $\lambda$ ттодаи, 'believe,' N. vii. 20 ; F. 39.1
${ }^{7} \mu \beta$ оодov, 'headland,' O. vii. 19
$\boldsymbol{z}_{\mu \pi a}, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{iv} .36$
Ev, 'according to,' P. i. 62, iv. 59; N. x. 28; I. ii. 38

- after verb, signifying 'be delighted' (?), O. i. 15 (cf. रaip ty $\tau(\nu)$

$\epsilon_{\nu}=\dot{\varepsilon} s$, P. ii. 11, 86, v. 36 ; F. 53. 1, 85. 2, 96
- 'in dependence on,' N. vii. 90, x. 30
- 'near,' O. vi. 16; P. iv. 16, 20, viii. 39,47 ; N. x. 8; I. ii. 18
-'with,' N. xi. 9,17 , and so with musical instruments, O. iv. 19, vii. 12; N. iii. 79
- with substantive $=$ adverb, 0 . ii. 16,63 , vi. 12 , vii. 69 , xiv. 15
- with titles of contests, P. xi. 46; I. i. 25
$z^{2} y=t s$, 'à propos of,' 'in the sphere of,' O. vi. 7, xiii. 40, 51; N. i. 34, iii. 32 ; I. i. 18, 34, 57, iv. 53


${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\psi}$, N. i. 69, xi. 39 ; I. v. 22
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} v \nu 0 \sigma$ ióas, etymol. P. iv. 33
є $\xi а \rho \kappa є \hat{\nu}, ~ ' s u f f i c e, ' ~ N . ~ i . ~ 32 ~$
ย゙૬oxa, 0. i. 1
$\epsilon$ '̇ato $\sigma \omega$, meaning, I. iii. 24

$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \pi \hat{a} \lambda \tau o$, N. vi. 52
$\epsilon \pi \in$ l, 'for else,' O. ix. 29
$\epsilon \pi l$, of reciprocity, 0 . iii. 40 ; P. ii. 24
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \beta \delta{ }^{2} a$, etymol. P. iv. 140

ยสтофхоцаи, O. iii. 40; P. ii. 24
ళпоная, with acc. N. x. 37
'ंส $\delta \boldsymbol{\psi}$ aro, 'lived to see,' or 'was rewarded by the sight of,' F. 65.6
$\epsilon \pi \omega \omega v u^{\mu}$ cos, with gen. O. xi. 78; P. i. 30
${ }^{\text {E }}$ pavos, 0. i. 38 ; P. v. 72
' $\rho$ 'po, 'crowns,' O. xiii. 38
Épyov, 'contest,' O. ix. 85 ; I. iii. 86

${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \rho \chi$ о $\mu a \ell$, with accus. P. iv. 52, 134; I. iii. 72

द's тò̀ $\pi$ à̀, O. ii. 85, Add. to vol. 1. (Pindar uses the Hom. äт $\check{\prime}$, P. ii. 49)

ย̇ $\sigma \pi \in \theta \theta a u, 0$. viii. 11, ix. 83 ; I. v. 17
Éoxaros, ' in good sense,' O. i. 113; N. x. 32 ; I. iii. 29

Ěгepos, euphemistic, P. iii. 34 ; N. viii. 3

Éт $\dot{\text { йvupos, etymol. O. ii. } 55}$
द́ruмоs, etymol. 0. ii. 55
̇̇тин́татоs, double superlative, 0. ii. 55

eivò, 'union,' 0 . vii. 6, ix. 44; N.
v. 31 ; I. vii. 30

- etymol. I. vii. 30
è̀ $\rho \in \hat{i}$, act. for med. 0. vii. 89 ; $P$. ii. 64

ev̉púxopos, O. vii. 18
єข่̈є, etymol. O. iii. 28
cítuxet $\omega$ - $\alpha$, meaning in P., N. i. 10

N. iv. 1; I. iii. 10
eढ̈хоцаи，etymol．0．iii． 1
＇фф́тто $\mu \mathrm{c}$ ，use of，with gen．and dat． N．viii． 36
 65
${ }^{\ell}$ en $\omega$ ，with aor．part．N．i． 31
${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \bar{\omega} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$, N．iv． 36
já $^{\lambda} \eta$ ，etymol．O．xii． 12
јаuevクेs，N．iii．63，iv． 13
Zeùs ǐ $\psi$ וбтos，N．i． 60

$\hat{\eta}$ ，after verb of will，choice，N．x． 58
$\dot{\eta} \lambda(\beta a t o s$, etymol．0．vi． 64
$-\eta \mu$ ，forms in N．v．5， 11
$\hat{\eta} \nu$ ，with acc．pron．N．vii． 25 （see cly）
ท̈тєєрos，etymol．N．iv． 51
$\theta a \lambda \epsilon \omega$, N．iv． 88
$\theta \alpha ́ \lambda o s$, N．i． 2
өа⿲д̀̀，O．i． 17
$\theta a \mu \grave{̀} \delta$ रे каl，N．i． 17
－$\epsilon$ la，etymol．I．iv． 1
$\theta \in \lambda \omega, v$ ． $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \epsilon\end{aligned} \lambda \omega$
$\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, ＇establish，＇N．i． 5
$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu s)(\Delta k \eta \eta, 0$. viii． 21
$\theta \epsilon \mu \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \partial$, O．i． 12
$-\theta \in \nu$ ，in app．to genitive， 0 ．ii．46， vii． 24
$\theta \in$ ès，＇destiny，＇O．i． 106
$\theta_{\epsilon} \dot{\text { ds }}$（with proper name），fem．N．v． 41

$\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，etymol．N．v． 10
$\theta<\gamma d y \omega$ ，with dat．P．iv．296，viii． 24 ；N．iv． 35
t dropped in Aeolic，P．iii． 52
if for $\bar{\iota}, \mathrm{P}$ ．ii．9， 92
ioeiv，＇look with favour on＇（cf． 0. vii．11），xiv． 14 （ef．P．iii．85）；I． ii．18；F． 53.1
lōềv és（èv），F． $53.1,100.9$
iepódov 0 о，F． 99
i入д́бкоцаи，0．vii． 9
－to－for -0 －，O．vii． 85 ；P．viii． 66 ； I．i． 26
tov，O．vi． 55

ใสтot，＇chariot，＇O．i．41，viii． 51
－is，acc．plur．P．iii， 112
to $\theta$, ，loz $\omega$ ，with part．O．vi．8；N．ix． 45, xi． 15 ；I．i．68，vi． 27
${ }^{\prime}$ I $\sigma \theta \mu$ òs，fem．O．vii． 81 ；cf．P．i． 15
trov，＇an equal share，＇N．x． 86
toos，quantity of，N．vi． 68
${ }_{i} \sigma \chi \in \rho \varphi \hat{\varphi}, \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{i}, 69$ ，note
Luv६，Iynx，N．iv． 35
кäapds，causative，O．i． 26
кal，＇actually，＇I．i． 63
－＇and accordingly，＇N．iv．32，x． 65
—＇and so，＇F． 58.5
－＇even so，＇O．vi． 17 ；N．vii． 7
－＇so，＇O．vii．7，xi．91，xiii．90；
N．ii． 3
－between prep．and case， 0 ．ii．
28，vi． 25 ，vii． 26 ；N．vii． 31
－ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\rho} \rho$, I．iv． 4
－．．．кal，rare，O．xiii． 90
－long before vowel，N．ii． 1
кal－тє，O．iii．8，xii．18，xiii． 107 ；
P．i．42，iv．149，v．66，x．5，xi． 62 ；
N．iii．61，iv．75；I．i．20， 55
（In O．iii． 8 кal couples the two
instruments and the $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ couple instrumental music and vocal music）
кalтє ，with indic．（？），N．iv． 36
кацрдs， 0 ．хіii． 47
кalpòs，＇moderation，＇O．ix． 38 ；P． i．81，ix． 78 ；N．i． 18
$\kappa \hat{a} \pi o s$, conn．with campus， 0 ．iii． 24
Kafrópetov，P．ii． 69 ；I．i．16，note
кãà，＇according to measure of，＇ 0 ． ix．28；N．iii． 16 （Eur．Herc．F． 655）
$\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ atбav，P．iv．107，x． 26 ；N．iii． 16
катаßаl甲ш，N．iii．25，42，iv． 38
катфкєцутаи，N．iv． 52
$\kappa а т а \mu \dot{́ \rho \pi \tau \omega, ~ ' s e i z e ~ a n d ~ h o l d, ' ~} O$ ．vi． 14；N．iii．35；I．iii． 53
кататєбб由，O．i． 55
кaтd́pXew，F． 57 B
катєхш，O．vii． 10 ；P．i． 96 ；N．viii．
24 ；I．iii． 2
ках入d́s $\omega, 0$ ．vii． 2
$\kappa \epsilon$, кev，$v . a ̀ \nu$
－in protasis，P．iv． 264
кєîvos，＇such，＇O．vi．7；I．iii． 61 ；
v．ov่тos

K $\eta \lambda \eta \delta$ öves， $\mathbf{F} .30$
$\kappa \lambda$ éos，in bad（or colourless）sense， N．viii． 36 （cf．\＄b乡av Thuk．iii． 11，§ 10，Postgate）
$\sqrt{ } \kappa \lambda \in \pi$ ，etymol．O．vi． 36
к入veòs， O ．xiv． 19 ；P．x． 6 ；N．vii．
16；I．v．17，vi．19；F．53． 2
$\kappa \nu \omega ́ \delta a \lambda o \nu$ ，etymol．N．i． 50
кowòs，etymol．N．iv． 23
ко入入á́ш，O．v． 13
xópos，O．i． 56 ，xiii． 10 ，note；N．i． 65 ；I．iii． 2
корифд，O．ii．14，vii．4；N．i．15，34， x． 32 ；I．v． 11 （cf．O．i．113）
xpart́ $\omega$ ，different senses，N．x． 25
кр $\neq \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, ＇more intelligent，＇ $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{ix}$ ． 15
$x \rho l \nu \omega, 0$ ．ii． 30
xvpia dò̀s，N．vii． 51
$\kappa u ́ \omega \nu=\Pi \tilde{\omega}$, F．73． 1
入áßpov，＇tall，＇great，N．viii． 46
$\lambda a \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \omega, 0.1 .53$
入áos，etymol．O．ix． 46
$\lambda \in \in \lambda o \gamma \chi \in$ ，N．i． 24
入eukos，＇mad，＇P．iv． 109
$\lambda_{\iota \pi a \rho a l}{ }^{*}$ A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota$, N．iv． 18 ；I．ii． 20 ； F． 54
$\lambda \iota \pi а \rho o s, ~ P . ~ i i . ~ 3 ~$
$\mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{\Lambda}$ ，confused with $\mathrm{M}, v . \mathrm{M}$
$\lambda$ д́́七ot，P．i．93， 94 ；N．vi． 31
$\lambda o ́ \gamma o 九, ~ ' d i s o u s s i o n, ' ~ N . ~ v i i i . ~ 21 ~$
$\lambda u ́ a, N . i x .14$
M，confused with $\Lambda \Lambda, 0$. i． 104 ， xiii． 114
ма́кар，＇deity，＇F．109． 5
Maytítov，N．i．1，note
$\mu a ́ \rho \pi \tau \omega$ ，etymol．N．vi． 11
$\mu \alpha ́ \chi a$ ，used of games（？），O．viii． 58
$\mu \mathrm{e}$ j$\omega v$, O．vii． 53
Me入la，P．xi． 4 ；F．6． 1
$\mu \hat{e} \nu, v, \gamma \in \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
－without $\delta \in$ e，N．ix． 11
$-\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}, 0.1 x .5 ; N$. ii． 20 ；I．vii． 56
－à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{o} \mu \omega \hat{s}$, I．iv． 46
－aข๋тเร，I．จ． 3
$\mu \bar{c} \nu$－$\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ ，with repeated word， 0 ．xiii． 14 ；P．ix． 123 ；N．i．62，vi． 10 ， ix． $8, \mathrm{x}, 27$ ，xi． 3,6 ；I．iii． 8 ，iv． 30，จ． 71
$\mu \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ кal， 0 ．iii．21，xiii． 52
－тє，O．iv．15，v．10，vi．4，89， vii． $12,73,88$ ；P．ii． 31 ，vi． 40 ， xi． 2 ；$N$ ．ii． 9 ，viii． 30 ；I．i． 14 ， ii．38；F．53． 10
－$\tilde{\omega}^{*}$, P．iii． 83
$\mu$ е́т pov，O．xiii． 20 ；N．xi． 47
$\mu \eta$ ，final without ó $\phi \rho t$, ， $\mathbf{s}$ s，O．ix． 60 ；
Psiv．155，v．110，viii． 32
$\mu \dot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon$, with ind．O．i． 7
－omitted，I．i． 60
－omitted after ex $\chi \omega$, N．xi．23；
I．iii． 72
 75），I．ii． 45
$\mu \ell \nu v \mu, \quad \mu\{\sigma \gamma \omega$ ，Pindaric nse，O．i． 22,$90 ;$ N．i．18，ii．22，iv．21，ix． 31 ；I．ii． 29 ，iii．3，vi． 25
$\mu i \tau \rho a$, O．ix． $84 ;$ N．viii． 15 ；I．iv． 62
－of a warrior，N．x． 90
$\mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho$, N．i． 16
Moipal，attend at births，O．i．26， vi． 41 ；N．vii． 1
Mopla，N．x． 34
$\nu \in \mu о \mu$ ，＇have a range，＇N．iii． 82
$\nu \epsilon \mu \omega$ ，meaning，I．ii． 22
$\nu \in \frac{1}{}$ ，＇of the young，＇ 0. ii． 43
$\nu \in o \sigma l \gamma a \lambda o \nu, 0$. iii． 4
vєótas，meaning，N．ix． 44
ขó $\neq s$, ＇practice（surgical），＇N．iii． 55
$\xi$ ，of Doric future of verbs in $\zeta \omega, \mathbf{F}$ ． 57 A
$\xi \in v i o s, \xi \in i v o s, ~ e t y m o l . ~ N . ~ i v . ~ 23 ~$
छuvòs，etymol．N．iv． 23
ó，masc．demonst．P．v． 59 ；I．vii． $19,23,49$
ó $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ ，suppressed，P．iii． 91 ；N．viii．
37 （of．I．iv．19），ix． 65
ठapos，etymol．P．i． 98
－ $\mathbf{N}$ ．iii． 11
ó $\delta$ òs kupla，v．кup．óō．
${ }_{0} \theta \epsilon \mathrm{~V}$, with verb not sign．motion， O．i． 8
ol，possessive dat．O．ix． 15 ；P．iv． 48
olko日ev，O．iii． 44
otos，exclam．O．ix．89；I．v． 62
ó̉nds，etymol．N．v． 2

Delphi，P．ii．4；F． 32
$\delta \mu \phi \eta$ ，etymol．N．x． 34
$-o \nu$ ，lengthened before vowel，P．iii．
6，ix．114；N．i．51，69，vi． 60
$-0 v$ ，long before F，I．v． 42
ỏvoròs（for d̀oarós），etymol．I．iii． 68
отабо̀s，subs．N．iii． 8 ；F． 72
ото́тє，O．ii． 32
зт ́́pa，N．v． 6
ó $\rho \theta$ ów，O．iii． 3 ；N．i． 15 ；I．i．46， iii．56，iv．48，v． 65

0．xiii． 72
－os，acc．plur．O．i．53，ii．71 ；N．iii． 24， 29
－fem．N．v． 20 ；F． 129
ö $\sigma$ os，exclamatory，O．ix． 93 ；N．z． 41
öatcs，with plur．antec．0．iii． 11
ov゙，O．vii． 48
oủหย̇тเ．．．ย้тน，N．ix． 47
oữ... ov̉dè，P．viii． 85 （cf．I．ii．45）
oũtos，＇such，＇O．iv．24，viii． 57 ；N． ix． 42
ó $\phi \epsilon \ell \lambda \epsilon$, impersonal，N．ii． 6
ठфөa入 $\mu$ oेs，＇glory，＇O．ii．10；P．v． 17
$\pi a \gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma l a, 0$. ii．87，addenda to vol． 1
$\pi d \theta a$, N．vii． 21
таvтoòamòs，etymol．I．i．46；F． 73.1
$\pi a \rho \alpha$ ，＇owing to，＇O．ii． 65
－of extension beside with acc．
P．iv． 74 ；N．v． 10 ；I．vii． 57
－in compounds，＇indirectly，＇＇by the way，＇N．x． 30
тараитє́opaц，N．х． 30
тара́ф ${ }^{2} \mu$ ，＇beguile，＇ 0. vii． 66 ；N． v． 32
тарє́ $\chi \epsilon$ ，impersonal，I．vii． 69
тapөधycos，not＇virginal＇but＇un－ wedded，＇0．vi．31；P．iii． 34
$\pi \alpha \rho \phi a \sigma t s$, ＇detraction，＇N．viii． 32
tás，in every case，I．vii． 14 （cf． äтas）
$\Pi \alpha \sigma \iota \theta \in \eta$ ，meaning，N．v． 10
$\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha}=\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$, P．v． 44 ，viii． 74 ；F． 101.5

สєठ̊ó $\theta \in \nu$ ，＇penitus，＇I．iv． 38
тєขтaย́ө入ıò，P．viii． 66 ；I．i． 26
$\pi \in \pi \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, I．iii． 90
$\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega$, P．iii． 52
$\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{p}$ ，＇rbove all of，＇O．vi． 50
－with dat．O．xiii． 45 ；P．v． 54
$\pi \in ́ \rho o \delta o s$, N．xi． 40
$\Pi e ́ \rho \sigma \epsilon u s$, etymol．N．x． 4
$\pi \iota \tau \nu \epsilon \omega$, O．ii． 23

Camb．Philol．Trans．Vol．I．p．
301 （Mr Ridgeway）
тourỉos，＇ze．applied to music， 0. iii．8；N．iv． 14
тolvà，molvucos，in good sense，P．i． 59，ii．17；N．i． 70
mo入入d，N．v．31，viii． 8
тovt＇$\omega$ ，transitive，P．iv． 151

тoûs $\nu$ ad̀s， N, vi． 57
тра̂үos，N．iii． 6
$\pi \rho a ̄ \xi \iota s$, ＇amours，＇F．104． 2
$\pi \rho a \pi \ell \delta \epsilon s$, etymol．O．x． 10
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，act．for mid．I．iv．8，v． 11
－＇deal，＇＇wreak，＇N．iii． 46
－＇exercise one＇s functions，＇$N$ ． i． 26 ；F． 108
$\pi \rho l \nu$ ，＇olim，＇O．ix． 57
$\pi \rho \ell \nu \gamma \epsilon$, O．xiii． 65 ；N．iv． 28
тро́धupov，N．i． 19
$\pi р о к с \dot{\mu} о \nu$, N．iv． 11
троле́гоцац，N．ii． 18
$\pi \rho о \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, I．vii． 17
$\pi \rho о \pi i \nu \omega, 0 . v i i .4$
$\pi \rho о \pi \rho \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} u$, etymol．N．vii． 86
$\pi \rho \dot{s}$ ，＇about the time of，＇O．i． 67 ；
P．ix． 25
Про́фабкs，P．v． 25 ；F． 213.2
трútavis，N．xi． 1
тrvxal，＇hollows，＇N．ii． 21
$\pi \omega s$ ，use of，N．x． 60
$\dot{\rho} \alpha \beta \delta o s$, I．iii． 56
раччбо́s，etymol．N．ii． 2
$\dot{\rho}\langle\mu \phi a$, etymol．I．ii． 3
$\dot{\rho} \iota \pi \dot{\eta}$, P．i． 10
$\sigma a l \nu \omega, 0$. iv． 4
бáuєpop，etymol．P．iv． 1
$\sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu$, F． 57 A
$\sigma_{\epsilon \in \theta \in \nu,}$ adv．of motion from，N．i． 4
$\sigma \in$ èvov，etymol．I．ii． 15
$\Sigma_{\Sigma \lambda \lambda o l}$ ，etymol．I．ii． 28
$\sigma \theta \in \in \nu o s$, not＝copia，O．ix． 51 ；I．iii． 2；F．84． 10
бкд́ццата，N．₹．20，note

бкото̀s，＇warder，＇O．i．54，vi． 59 ； P．iii． 27 ；N． $\mathrm{\nabla} .27$
бофla，＇augury，＇F． 84.4
бoфia，$\sigma 0 \phi$ เбтท่s，$\sigma 0 \phi o ̀ s, ~ ' p o e t r y, ' ~$ ＇poetic，＇O．i．9，iii．44，ix．28， x． 10 ，xiv． 6 ；P．i．12，42，iv． 248 ， 295 ，ix．77，x． 22 ；N．iv．2，vii． 23 ；I．i． 45 ，iv． 28 ，vii． 47 ；F．i． 6
боф८тTท＇s，poet，I．iv． 28
ooфòs，＇noble，＇P．ii．88，v． 11
$\sigma \pi \in i ̂ \rho \epsilon$ ，for Mss． $\begin{aligned} & \text {＇} \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon, \text { N．i．} 13\end{aligned}$
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \rho \mu \alpha$, N．i． 40
$-\sigma \sigma$－of aor．and fut．of＇pure＇ verbs，O．xi． 10 ；P．iii． 27
бта́ $\theta \mu a$, P．i． 62 ，ii． 90 ；N．vi． 7 ； F． 1.5
$\sigma r \in i \chi \omega$ ，used of voyage，N．v． 3
बтє́фа⿱亠乂，＇wall，＇O．＇viii． 32
－iv，I．iii．1，iv．35，จ． 12
би́vঠєкоя，О．ix．78；P．i． 2
$\sigma \phi$ ét $\epsilon \rho$ os $=$ éòs，I．V． 33
$\sigma \chi^{\epsilon i ̂ v}$ ，＇get，＇ 0. ii． 9 ；P．i．65，iii． 24 ；N．x． 24
$\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$, see $e^{2} \nu \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu{ }^{2}$ ，＇shape，＇O．ix． 35
$\Sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho_{\rho}$ O．v． 17 （cf．I．v．2）
rd，neut．plur．rel．to masc．or fem． antecedent（cf．O．i．16），N．vii． 55
rá jé，＇and again，＇ 0 ．ix． 95 ，xiii． 55 ；P．viii． 28 ；N．ix． 42 （？）；I． iii． 11
Td（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$ ）каl $\tau \dot{\alpha}, \mathrm{O}$ ．ii． 53 （cf．vii． 55）；P．v．51，vii． 22 ；I．iii．51， iv． 52
$\tau a^{\prime} \mu \epsilon v$, P．iv．154，xi． 46
Tápтароs，fem．P．i． 15
$\tau \varepsilon$ ，explanatory，N．viii． 46
－＇or，＇I．iii． 28
 －บั้тє）
тe．．．kal，O．xiv． 4
тễo $=$ Skt．dêh1，P．iii， 38
ré̉os，not periphrastic，I．iii． 85 note
－äкроу，P．ix． 118 ；I．iii． 50
－＇prize，＇O．xi． 67 ；I．i． 27
$\tau \epsilon \rho \mu a$ ，＇the line from which a throw was made，＇N．vii． 71
－not periphrastic，I．iii． 85
$\tau \epsilon р \pi \nu a \nu \theta \eta$ ोे，N．vii． 53
тeтраорla，N．iv． 28

т $\mu \AA$ ふ̀，＇power，＇＇prerogative，＇P．iv． 51， 260 （ $\sigma \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\theta \in \hat { \omega } \nu \nu \text { rtuais）；I．iv．} 6}$ rly，etymol．O．vi． 12
rls，$\tau l$ ra $\rho$, O．xiii． 20
tis，for plur．P．i． 52 ；I．vii． 1 note －omitted，O．vi． 4 ；N．vii．16， ix． 46 ；I．i． 41
－solemn，O．ii． 59
－with active（instead of passive
construction），I．vii． 1
тдे，＇wherefore，＇P．v． 37 ；N．iv． 9
т $\delta \delta$ è，＇and again，＇F．116． 3
тре́тоцац，I．iv． 22
Tuф $\omega$ s，etymol．P．i． 16
тúxa，＇help，＇N．v． 48 ；0．viii． 67
－＇help，＇＇guidance，＇N．iv．7， vi． 25

N．vii．11，x． 25 ；I．vii． 67
Túxa，O．xii． 2
$\tau \hat{\psi}$ ，wherefore，P．v． 21 ；I．vii．5， 65
$v$ for A，N．iv． 51
ن́y $\rho \Delta \downarrow$ ，＇elastic，＇P．i． 9 ；N．viii． 41 v $\delta \omega \rho$ ，O．i．1，iii． 42
$v^{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon$ ，with dual meaning， 0 ．viii． 15
űy Botutlav，O．vi． 90 ；F． 60
v̇ாèp，＇above，＇P．i．18；N．vii． 65
－after case，N．vii． 42
$\dot{v \pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho a \lambda \lambda$ os，N．iii． 33
ขлтєрфlaлos，etymol．P．ii． 42
úmò，in compos．P．viii．11，ix． 9
－＇from under，＇O．v．14，vi． 43 ；
P．ix． 61 ；N．i． 35
－＇by means of，＇O．v． 6 ；P．v． 94 ；I．v． 44
－＇to the sound of，＇O．iv．2，vii． 13
－with dat．＇under the influence of，＇N．vii． 17
ข่жбкрьбш，＇like，＇adv．F． 220.1
i $\psi \eta \lambda$ ós，＇shrill，＇F． 102.3
$\phi$ ，Aeol．for $\theta$ ，P．iii． 4 （dele＂Eng． ＇deer＇＂）
$\phi \in \rho \omega$ ，comp．of，used intransitively， N．vi． 4
фuá，＇physique，＇N．i． 25 ；I．v．47， vi． 22
фúós，＇physique，＇N．vi．ǒ ；I．iii． 67
$\chi^{\text {a }}$ кoápŋs，etymol．I．iii． 81

Xápev, 'by grace of,' P. ii. 70, iii. 95 ; N. i. 6
$\chi$ д́pıs, O. i. 30

- 'favour,' I. i. 6
- 'song,' O. xi. 78; P. iv. 275 ;
I. iii. 8, 90 , vii. 16 ; F. 53.2
$\chi$ ápıtes, 'givers of victory,' ${ }^{0}$ ii. 50 х др $\rho \mu$, 'delight, blessing,' O. ii. 19 ; N. vii. 88
- 'victory,' O. vii. 44, xi. 22 ;
P. viii. 64; N. iii. 66
- 'flight,' etymol. P. ii. 2 (Post.
gate refers it to $\sqrt{\text { GHAR, 'prick,' }}$
'tear')
$\chi$ д́́p $\mu \alpha$ д., 'victories,' O. ix. 86


хра́o $\mu a$, etymol. N. viii. 36
$\chi_{\chi \rho o ́ v o s, ' ~ ' l i f e t i m e, ' ~ O . ~ i . ~ 115 ; ~ P . ~ i . ~}^{\text {i. }}$ 46
хри́धeos, 'glittering,' N. i. 17
$\psi$ प̂фos, O. vii. 86 ; P. iv. 265
$\dot{\omega}$ for $F$, N. ii. $10 ; 0 . \nabla .11$
$\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}=\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (?), N. vi. 3
ผंs öre, O . vi. $2 ; \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{ix}$.$16 ; I. v. 1$ シ̈̃Te, with inf. N. . . 35


## ENGLISH INDEX.

A changed to $v, \mathcal{N} . \operatorname{iv} .51$ absolute, v. genitive accusative after $\ell \pi$ тоиаи, N. x. 37

- and infinitive expressing entreaty, command, P.ii. 24 ; N. ix. 6(?) (or clause in apposition), P. i.
67 is not a clause in point
- double, O. vii. 15 (2nd of gen. agreement), 0. xi. 78; N. iv. 55
— of 'extent,' N. iii. 72
- of general agreement with or in apposition to idea of predicate, 0. ii. 4, vii. 15, x. 13 ; N. iii. 72, xi. 16 ; I. iii. 7, vii. 4, 63
- of gen. agreement, qualifying predication, N. xi. 24
- of participle, change to from other oblique cases, $\mathbf{0}$. i. 8-10; I. i. 46, v. 21
- of reference with $\nu \tau \kappa \alpha \omega \quad ~ \imath \eta \mu$, , N . v. 5,53
- of reference after pronominal adjective, F. 1. 5
- of time, peculiar, I. iii. 85, v. 46
— plur. in -is, P. iii. 112
- plur. in -os, Doric, O. i. 53, ii. 71 ; N. iii. 24, 29
- with $\pi$ a $\rho a$, , of extension beside, P. iv. 74 ; N. v. 10 ; I. vii. 57
action, synonymous with place of action, N. i. 1 note
active participle used as gerundive, N. xi. 42
active for middle, O.i. 13; P. i. 49, ii. 40,64 ; I. iv. 8 , vii. 45
adjectival use of participle, $v$. participle
adjective, accusative, used adverbi-
ally, 0 . vi. 8 , xiii. 17, xiv. 11 ;
N. X. 6; I. iii. 31; F. 104
- adverbial force of, 0. ii. 38
adjective, causative use of, O. i. 26, vi. 76, xi. 4 ; P. iv. 81, 216, ix. 11; N. iv. 13, vii. 16, 61, viii. 40; I. iv. 12, F. 100.5
- compound, for genitive (with adj.), P. v. 28; N. x. 38
- predicative, I. i. 17, ii. 12
adverbial force of adjective, $v$. adjective
Aeginetan Statuary, N. v. 1
Aeolic, 1 aor. - тоб $\sigma \alpha$, P. iii. 27, iv. $25, \mathrm{x} .33$
Aethiopis of Arktinos, N. iii. .61, vii. 21, viii. 30; I. iii. 55

Aias and Hektor, N. ii. 14; I. iii. 55 Alcmanicum, schema, v. schema Alc. Altar of Zeus at Olympia, O. vi. 70 anakoluthon, O. ii. 56, viii. 54
aorist, gnômic or frequentative, $\mathbf{0}$. ii. 99, ix. 83; P. iv. 279, viii. 15 ; N. iii. 42, v. 10, vi. 26, vii. 12, 72, xi. 14; I. iv. 2; F. 99.13

- gnômic in hypothetical construction, N. vii. 12
- gnômic, participle of, N. i. 62, vii. 3, ix. 54
- infinitive, ref. to fat. O. iii. 1 ; P. i. 35, 44
- reduplicated form of, 0 . viii. 11; I. v. 53
- optative with $\dot{\omega}^{s}$ after $\chi \rho \tilde{\beta} \sigma \epsilon \nu$, P. iv. 7
- ref. to immediate past, 'idiomatic,' 0 . vii. 13 , xiv. 16 ; P. xi. 13 ; N. i. 18, 19, vii. 76; I. i. 39, iv. 21, v. 53 ; F. 53.11

Aphaea, $v$. Artemis
Aphrodite Urania, F. 99
Artemis, worship of, P. ii. 7
Artemis Aphaea, F. 66. 2
article, def. with indef. pron. N. i. 64
article omitted, N. . . 26
assimilation, O. viii. 38; N. ix. 15
asyndeton, O. vi. 101; N. iv. 82, vi. 1, vii. 19

Athêne Chalinitis, 0. xiii. 69
Boeotian shield, I. i. 1
bronze prizes, O. vii. 83; N. x. 45
causal, cansative middie, v. introduction, p. xxi

- causative use of adjective, $v$. adjective
change of case of participle to accusative, $v$. accusative
change of subject, 0. iii. $22 ; \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{x}$. 13, 90
chiasmus, I. ii. 41
colour, words of, in generul sense of brightness, 0 . viii. 1, x. 13, xiii. 8 ; P. iii. 73, x. 40 ; N. i. 17 , v. 7, xi. 28; I. ii. 26, vii. 5 ; F. 99, 3
comparatio compendiaria, 0. i. 7
compendious construction, N. ix. 41; I. v. 47
confusion of thing and personification, O. and P. p. xxxv; N. viii. 18
copulative for disjunctive, I. iii. 28, v. 15
crowns at Isthmos, I. ii. 15
- for horses (mules), O. ii. 50, vi. 26

Daedalos i.q. Hephaestos (?), N. iv. 59
dative after subst. O. viii. 56, 83 ; P. vi. 17; N. ix. 12; I. ii. 13, iii. 16
dativus commodi, O. i. 23 , vii. 76 ; P. ix. 89 ; N. i. 24, 46, 58, ii. 24, iii. 62 , vii. 40 , xi. 7 ; I. i. 32 , iii. 75 , iv. 21 , v. 12 , vi. 21 , vii. 10 , 57, 66

- ethicus, P. ix. 65
dative for locative, O. V. 5; N. x. 35; F. 109. 2
- instrumental, ở $\psi \in \dot{́} \delta ิ \varepsilon \iota$, N. i. 18
- of accompanying action, N. x. 75
- of cause, O. vi. 90 , ix. 83 ; $\mathbf{P}$. v. 58 , viii. 44 ; I. v. 70 , vi. 15
dative of closer specification, 0 . ii. 14, viii. 83 ; P. i. 7; I. i. 62
- of purpose, I. vii. 27
- of reference, I. i. 42
- possessive, O. ix. 15; P. iv. 124,
ix. 82 ; N. vii. 22, x. 29
- of manner, O. i. 112, iv. 24;
I. iii. 29
dativus termini, O. i. 92, iii. 31, vi. 58 ; P. iv. 296, viii. 22, ix. 120, x. 28, xii. 31 (?) ; N. i. 50 , iv. 15,35, vii. 7 ; I. v. 41
deliberative future (supposed), N . ix. 1

Dêlos, names of, F. 64. 4
Delphic knife, N. vii. 42
dependent clause, subject of, object of principal clause, $0 . \times$ xi. 1
dialectic form, occasional strong Doric, P. iv. 115; F. 99. 5, v. accusative
Dioskuroi, O. iii. 36; N. x. 53
Doric pres. inf. P. iv, 115
Doric accusative plur. v. accusative double genitive, $v$. genitive
dreams, F. 108. 4
elision before digamma, P. vii. 5
ellipse of eival, O. vii. 23 (of. Aesch. Suppl. 19 P.)

- of object, P. ii. 17, iv. 70
- of oũ̃є, P. vi. 48, x. 29
- of personal pron. P. i. 29
enthroned statues, N. x. 1, Addenda
epithet, double, N. iv. 18
exclamation, O. ix. 89 (otos), 93 (öवซos), xiii. 107 ; N. x. 41 ; I. i. 24 (?), v. 62
explanatory infinitive clause, N . ii. 9, 10
extension of predicate, 0 . ii. 35, vi. 80,105 , vii. 15, ix. 19,44, x. 7 , xiii. 1,27 ; P. i. 51 , iv. 23 , vi. 37 , vii. 12 ; N. i. 14 , iii. 18 , vii. 32, 57, xi. 5 ; I. i. 34 ; F. 100. 2, 110.1

Fates attendant on births, O. i. 26
feminine in -as -avtos, P. vi. $6 ; \mathrm{N}$. ix. 16 ; I. v. 73

- in -os, N. v. 20, F. 129
feminine of adj. in -os -ov, N. iii. 2, v. 9, vii. 83
'fly,' etymol. N. v. 21
future act. with middle form, N . ix. 43
- apodosis to imperative, N. iv. 37
- gnômic, 0. vii. 3, viii. 53, ix. 106 ; P. xii. 29 ; (cf. Il. xxii. 317 ; Theokr. i. 3)
- middle in passive sense, 0. viii. 45
- middle of vb. of sound, I. i. 34
- referring to time of recitation, P. ix. 89, xi. 10; N. ix. 1
gender, peculiar, O. i. 6; P. i. 15; N. v. 20,41 , ix. 44 ; I. vii. 20 , . 73; F. 129
general apodosis to particular protasis, P. xi. 41, 44; N. iv. 79, 84 ; I. i. 41, 45
genitive, absolute of participle without noun, 0 . ix. 35 ; P. iv. 25, viii. 43 ; I. iii. 5,49 ; F. 106. 7
 iii. 25
- after pass. of $\nu \iota \kappa \dot{c} \omega, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ix. 2
- descriptive, P. iii. 60, N. x. 46
- double, O. i. 94 ; P. ii. 56, ix. 39
- in apposition to forms in - $\theta e v$, O. ii. 46, vii. 24
- of origin 'in the contest of,' O. ix. 88 ; I. iii. 89, iv. 58 , vi. 10
- of material, P. iv. 206 ; I. i. 20
- of motion from, P. ix. 11
- of motive or reason, 0. iii. 28
- (of noun) for adjective, 0 . ii. 72 ; P. iv. 234 , vii. 15 , xi. 34 ; N. viii. 3 ; I. iv. 19, vi. 22, vii. 5
- of theme of speech, N. vii. 50
- of time, O. ii. 93
- partitive, O. viii. 13, xi. 2; I. iii. 51
- 'sacred to-,' N. x. 67
- with d $\rho \chi$ ai $\beta \notin \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau a l$ (? кãd б̛́veสvy), N. i. 8
- with substantive of componnd adj. O. viii. 33, ix. 63, xi. 25, 78; P. i. 30
— with vimò, 'under,' O. xi. 30
- with đo八óo $\mu a \ell$, N. vii. 25
gilding in architecture, 0. vi. 1
gnomic future, $v$. future
gnomic aorist, $v$. aorist
goldsmith's work, N. vii. 78
Graces connected with victory, P. vi. 2 ; N. v. 53 , ix. 54, x. 38
hendecasyllables, Pindaric, F. 93, 94
hendiadys, 0 . ii. 13 (?), ix. 6; N. i. 7 , iii. 8 , iv. 9
hiatus, 0 . vii. 74 ; N. iii. 34 ; I. i. $9,16,32$, vii. 56
historic present, O. ii. 23 ; P. iv. 163, v. 80 (cf. N. iii. 18)
Homer, Pindar's mythology different from, O. i. 43, 57
hypallage, 0 . viii. 42,68 , xi. 6 ; P.iv. 144, 256, v. 82, ix. 8; N. i. 15,34 , iii. 38 ; I. vii. 38
iambelegus, F. 11 B.
idiomatic aorist, $v$. aorist
imperfect, O. viii. 47, 49
- for aorist, of $\nu i k \dot{\alpha} \omega, \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \omega, 0$. ix. 112; N. v. 5 ; I. iii. 13
- graphic, 0. xi. 67
- of intended action, N. i. 50
infinitive after $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu, N . \times 26 ;$ P. iv. 115
- after pregnant sense of declaring (?), 0 . vi. 56, ix. 64
- after verb of motion, N. vi. 47; I. ii. 16, vii. 63 ; F. 7. 5, 53. 10
- extra structuram (?), O. i. 42
- for imperative, P. i. 68; N. iii. 29, ix. 6
- Madv. § 148 ; N. vi. 7; I. iii. 56
- of possible result, I. iv. 45, 48
- of reference after adj. O . vii. 25, viii. 19, 24, xiii. 13, 48; P. vi. 53 ; N. iii. 30 , vii. 27,76 , x. $19,20,72, x i .18,33$; I. ii. 37 , vi. 44
- of result, O. i. 9 (?), 42, iii. 34, ix. 80 (?); P. iv. 146 (?), 185, 187, x. 17, 48 ; N. iii. 31, 32 , vi. 7, x. 79; I. iii. 10, 61
- redundant, expletory, 0 . ix. 74 ; P. ix. 65 ; N. vi. 8
inversion of participle and verb, $r$. participle

iota suppressed, O. xiii. 91 ; N. xi. 40 ; I. i, 63

Kynaethos, N. ii. 1
late position of subject, $v$. subject locative, N. X. 28 ; I. iii. 2, v. dative
meiosis, N. x. 6 ; I. ii. 20
metaphor, mixed, 0 . vi. 82,83 ; P. x. 53 ; N. iii. 79, vi. 29

- and simile to express the superlative, v. met. from eagle and ${ }^{\text {an }} \omega \tau 0 s, \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi i s$ (similes), кopuфd; from limits of travel, N. iii. 21; P. x. 28
- Pillars of Herakles, O. iii. 44 ; I. iii. 30-Hyperboreans, P. x. 30 -Nile and Phâsis, I. ii. 42 ; from water, gold, the sun (similes), 0. i. 1-7; from horse and ship to speed (simile), O. ix. 23, 24 ; from sand to great number (simile), O. ii. 98, xiii. 46


## METAPHORS AND SIMILES

(The list does not profess to be exhaustive.)

## 1. Common life.

Metaphor
from cooking, O. i. 55,83 ; P. iv. 186

- keys to control, P. viii. 3, 4, ix. 39
- drawing from a store, N. iv. 8
- leading home, P. v. 3 (cf. I. iii. 6)
- exile to deprivation, 0. i. 58
- late-born heir to poetic fame (simile), 0. xi. 86
- avoakening fame, \&c. P. ix. 104
- avakening the lyre, N. x. 21
- sleep to obscurity, I. iii. 41, vi. 16
- decoration, $\delta a \iota \delta{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \omega$
- toilette to arrangement of song, I. i. 33


## Metaphor

from toilette to bearing trouble, P. iii. 83

- clothing to burial, N. xi. 16
- warm bath to song (simile), N. iv. 4, 5
- spring, raya, to poem, P. iv. 299
- spring water to song, I. v. 74
- nectar to song, $0 . v i i .7$
- wine cup to song, O. vi. 91; N. iii. 78 , ix. 50 ; I. iv. 25, v. 3 ; 0. vii. $1-8$ (simile)
- honey to song, I. iv. 53
- honey and milk to poetry, N. iii. 77
- melting honeycomb to the poet melted by passion (simile), F. 100. 8
- sprinkling, 0. xi. 94, 97 (honey); N. i. 13; I. iii. 90, v. 21
- pelting and sprinkling, $\mathbf{P}$. viii. 57
 45
- watering a garden, O. v. 23
- (tending) flocks to speech, gifts, O. x. 9; N. viii. 6
- a tree by water, I. vi. 18
- culling flowers, O. i. 13
- garden to poetry, O. ix. 27
- ploughed fields to song, P. vi. 2; N. vi. 33, x. 26
- ploughing threeor four times to vain repetition, N. vii. 104
- dew, to praise, glory, I. v. 64; N. viii. 40 (simile)
- fallow in alternate years to obscurity in alternate generations (simile), N. vi. 9
- fruit to youth, P. ix. 110
- tree to prosperity, P. viii. 92, 94
- lopping tree to oppressing good citizens, P. iv. 263
- luxuriant growth to fame, N. vii. 32 ; to victory, N. ix. 48
- blossom to youth, P. iv. 158


## Metaphor

from bloom to puberty, first manhood, 0. i. 67

- fruit to early manhood, 0. vi. 57, 58
- spring time to prosperity (simile), P.iv. 64 (simile), I. iii. 36
- ${ }^{\mathbf{~} \pi} \pi \omega \rho а$, N. v. 8; I. ii. 5
- blossoming, N.ix. 48
- root to mother city, P. iv. 15, ix. 8
of scion, branch ( $\theta$ álos), 0 . vi. 68
- seed, root, stock to family, O. ii. 46
- $\pi \nu \epsilon \in$, P. x. 44; N. iii. 41


## Commercial.

0 . iii. 7, vi. 12, x. 8, xiii. 36; P. ii. 67; N. vii. 76, ix. 3

## Productive Arts

from building to accumulating merit, I. iv. 44
of building 'the lofty rhyme,' 0 . iii. 7; N. i. 8, iii. 4; F. 176
from bulwark to protector, 0 . ii. 6

- laying foundations to beginning, O. i. 8 (?) ; P. vii. 3, 4; F. 55
- setting up stêlê, see oj $\rho$ óo $\omega$
- stelê to ode, N. iv. 81, viii. 47
- steps to opening of life, $\mathbf{P}$. v. 7
- treasure house to lasting store of poetic praise, $\mathbf{P}$. vi. 5- 18
- façade to opening of ode (simile), 0. vi. 1-4
- path, road, route to conduct, O. i. 115, vii. 31, 90 ; P. ii. 35, x. 12 ; N. i. 25 , ii. 7, vi. 15, ix. 41, x. 6 ; I. ii. 10, iv. 22

Metaphor
from road to course of song, 0 . i. 110 , ix. 47 ; $P$. iv. 247; N. vii. 51

-     - to theme of song, N . vi. 47
-     - I. v. 22
- forging to speech, P. i. 86, 7; F. 100, 4
- whetstone to poetic inspiration, 0. vi. 82: to patron of athletes, or to trainer, I. v. 73


## Learned Arts.

from opening of poem with name of Zeus to first victory at Zeus' Nemea (simile), N . II. 1-3

- physician, P.iv. 270; N. iv. 2
- drugs to remedy, O. ix. 97
- registers to mind,0.xi. 1-3
- statue)(poem (rejection of simile), N. v. 1


## Manly Exercises.

from chariot to song, O. vi. 2224; N. i. 7

- chariot (victor's) to song, O. ix. 81; P. x. 65 ; I. ii. 2, vii. 62
- horse riding to mounting on fame, fortune, \&c., I. i. 39
- driving to training, N. vi. 69
- chariot (of muses) to song, I. vii. 62
- yoking, N. i. 7; I. j. 6
- chariot between horses to house between temples (simile), N. vii. 93
- leaping to intellectual activity, N. v. 20
- starting in foot race, N. viii. 19
- race-course to life, N. vi. 7
- missile to pang, pain, N. i. 48

Metaphor
from missiles to song, speech, 0 . i. 112 , ii. 83,89 , ix. 5,11 , xiii. 93 ; P. i. 12, 44, vi. 37 ; N. i. 18 , iii. 65 , vi. 27 , vii. 81 ; I. ii. 3, iv. 47 ; N. vi. 29 (simile)

- wrestling, O. viii. 25; P. ii. 61,82, iv. 273 ; N.iv. $93-$ 96, viii. 27; I. iii. 53
- wrestling to swimming and thence to striving against envious foes, N. iv. 36
- हैфєठ́роя, N. iii. 96.
- chase to ambition, 0 . ii. 54
- weaving, twining song, 0. vi. 86; P. iv. 275 ; N. iv. 44; F. 160
- wreath to song, N. vii. 78 ; I. iii. 62

Light, Flame, \&c.
from ' light' 'eye' to protector, favourite champion, 0 . ii. 10 , vi. 16 ; I. ㅍ.. 17

- a beacon to protection, \&c., N. iv. 12
- eye to protector, P. v. 52
- gloom to envy, N. iii. 41, iv. 40
- light fame to fame, praise, \&e., O. i. 23, 94, ix. 22, xiii. 36 ; P. v. 42 ; N. iii. 64 , vi. 39, x. 2; I. iii. 61, vi. 23
- light to prosperity, P. viii. 96 ; N. iv. 38
- light, star to wealth (simile), O. ii. 55
- 'waving, glancing,' to a shout along a line, 0 . xi. 73

Animate Nature.
from eagle to poet, O . ii. 88 ; N. iii. 80, v. 21

-     - to superlatively brave man, P. v. 104, 105
- crows to bad poets, envious rivals, N. iii. $82 ; 0$. ii. 87 (simile)

Metaphor
of winged song, P. viii. 34; N. vii. 22 ; I. i. 66 , iii. 27 , iv. 63
from winged to inspired, P. v. 107
of flights of poetry, N. vii. 75
from flight to renown, N. vi. 50

- bee to prophetess, P. iv. 60
- cock to competitor in local games (simile), O. xil. 14
- cow to woman, P. iv. 142
- fox and lion to unchangeable nature (simile), $0 . \mathrm{x}$. 20
-     - to cunning and valour (simile), I. iii. 64, 65
- oalyw to shewing pleasure, O.iv. 4
- lion's skin to stalwart nature (simile), I. v. 47


## Water.

## Sea-faring.

from bilgewater to disgrace, $P$. viii. 11, 12

- embarking to beginning an ode, P. ii. 62
- embarking to undertaking, O. xiii. 49 ; N. xi. 44
- pilot to guide, ruler, P. i. 86, iv. 274, v. 114, x. 72
- pilots to prudent men, N . vii. 17 (simile)
- slave chained to oar, N. xi. 45
- voyage to course of song, time of thought, N. iii. 27; 0. vi. 103
- unfurling sails to lavish hospitality, P. i. 91; N. v. 51; I. ii. 39
- varying currents, 0 . ii. 33 ; N. xi. 46; I. vii. 15
- tossing waves to illusions, O. xii. 6
- waves to events, N. vi. 57
- wave to approach of death, N. vii. 31
nautical j$\rho \theta$ óc (?), I, iv. 48


Metaphor
from shipwreck, I. i. 36

- navigation to superlative excellence, $O$. iii. $43 ; \mathrm{N}$. iii. 21 ; I. $\mathbf{~} .12$

Swimming, Drowning.
from swimming to passing through life ${ }^{*}$, O. xiii. 114

- coming to shore to succeeding, N . iv. 38
- swimming to striving against a sea of enemies, N. iv. 37
- water to silence, I. iv. 51 ; F. 225
- cork that floats above a net to the poet (simile), P. ii. 79

Streans, Floods.
from streams to poetry, N. vii. 12

- flood to song, 0 . xi. 10
- rolling pebbles to censure, O. xi. 9
- torrent to calamity, 0 . xi. 37
- water quenching fire to song quelling envy, N. i. 24


## Weather.

from calm to peaceful life, P. V. 10

- cloud to forgetfulness, 0. vii. 45
- breeze to song, N. vi. 29
- breezes to turns of fortune, O. vii. 95 ; P. iv. 292 ; I. iii. 23
- blighting wind to misfortune, P. v. 112
- storm to mental disturbance, P. ix. 32

[^8]Metaphor
from storm-cloud to war, warrior, N. ix. 38, x. 9 ; I. iii. 35, iv. 49, vi. 27

- air to inspiration, O. vi. 83

Sundry.
from ' battle-cry,' to battle, host, P. i. 72; N. iii. 60, ix. 35; I. vi. 10; F. 192

- bridle to anchor, P. iv. 25
of devouring fire, N. ix. 23
from fire and whip to passion, P. iv. 219
- kicking to smoke rising, I. iii. 84
- mirror to poetic commemoration, N. vii. 14
- music, O. ix. 39
- nails to compulsion, P. iv. 71
- Oriôn following Peiads to certain sequence (simile), N. ii. 10
- repetition of $\Delta \Delta_{o s}$ Kó $\rho^{\prime} \nu \theta o s$ to repetition in poetry (simile), N. vii. 105
- sandal to state, 0 . vi. 8 (cf. Herod. vi. 2, Jebb)
- smoke to envy, detraction, \&c., N. i. 24
- $\sigma \kappa v \tau \dot{d} \lambda \eta$ to messenger, 0. vi. 91
- 'spell' to bridle, O. xiii. 85
- stain to disgrace, O. iv. 17, viii. 68 (? Jebb)
- stone to spiteful speech, $\mathbf{0}$. viii. 55
- voting pebble to evidence, 0. vii. 87 ; to stêlê, P. iv. 265
- a wheel (of fortune), O، ii. 21
middle in passive sense, $\sigma \chi \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a u$, P. i. 10
milky way, $\Delta$ tòs ósòv, O. ii. 70; F. 73
moral qualities ascribed to beasts, N. i. 63

Muses' song, N. v. 22
music, Greek, O. vii. 12
myrtle, sacred to the dead, I. iii. 87, vii. 67

Negative, applied emphatically to one word, N. i. 18, viii. 37
neuter acc. adj. after verb of 'looking,' 'seeing,' P. ii. 20; N. iv. 39, vii. 66

-     - (predicative) with fem. subs. I. vi. 22
- plur. pronoun referring to adjectives, P. i. 40
-     - noun with plur. verb, 0. viii. 12, xi: 85; P. i. 13, iv. 121 ; I. iv. 12, vii. 47; F. 53. 14
nominative for vocative, P. i. 92; N. iii. 76
object suppressed, 0. ii. 10; P. ii. 17. iv. 70; N. iv. 11, 36, vii. 23
offering without fire, 0 . vii. 48 (ef. Aesch. $\mathbf{A g} .70$; Genesis iv. 3)
olive crowns at the Panathênaea, N. x. 34

Olympia personified, O. viii. 1
optative with $\epsilon l$ in protasis, P. viii. 13

-     - $\kappa \epsilon$ in relative clause with pres. indic. in apodosis, N. iv. 8
- without $\dot{\alpha} \nu v$ v. âp omitted
order, connection of consecutive beginnings or ends of two verses, O. vii. 13,15 , viii. 77 , ix. 13 , xi. 28, xiii. 1; P. ix. 23 , xi. 32 ; N. iii. 68 , iv. 1, vi. 37 ; I. iii. 70, iv. $9,19,43$, v. 28,39 , vi. 46 , vii. 28 , 49
- connection of beginning or ends of two lines though a line intervene (v. I. iii. 36), I. vi. 12; P.iv. 214
- enclitic between $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ and participle, 0 . viii. 33
-     - preposition and case, N. vii. 42, viii. 18, (?) P. ii. 66
- irregular, 0. i. 17 (?), vii. 53 ;
P. iv. 106 ( $v$. I. iii. 36) ; N. i. 24, x. 72 ; I. vii. 70; F. 100. 8, 9
- ou at end of clause emphatic, O. vii. 48
- preposition between two conpled cases, 0. vii. 12, viii. 47, ix.

17; P. ii. 11, 59, $\vee .67$, viii. 99 ; N. vii. 31, ix. 14, 22, x. 38, 58 ; I. i. 29
order, proper name between article and participle, O. xiii. 63

- proper name, peculiar position of, I, iv. 49, v. 18

Panathênaic vases, N. x. 36
participle, active, used as gerundive, N. xi. 42

- adjectival use of, N. iv. 29, vii. 65, x. 18; I. i. 31, iii. 5, 37
- as in Thuk. i. 20, § 2 (Shilleto) N. viii. 38; I. v. 14, vi. 40
- conpled with finite verb, O. i. 13,14 ; N. xi. 45 ; I. i. 14
- case changed to accusative $v$. accusative
- for $\epsilon l$ with optat. P. x. 62
- genitive absolute without noun v. genitive abs.
- of gnomic aorist $v$. aorist
- of gnomic aorist, סpakévtes, N. vii. 3
- sign. cause, N. iii. 16
- with change of case, 0. i. 10
- with ou фрdjeral, I. i. 68 (cf. $\left.\ell \sigma \theta_{\iota}\right)$
particular apodosis to general protasis, P. xi. 54, 55
pentathlon, Introductory essey. N. vii. Introd. ('spear - throwing' should be placed before discushurling)
perfect denoting permanent effect or continuance of past action, 0 . i. 53,94 ; N. iii. 84 , viii. 25 , ix. 41; I. iii. 3
personal constr. with part. for impers. with ace. and inf. O. ix. 103; N. vi. 2, ix. 6; I. vii. 12
personal pron. omitted $v$. $\epsilon$ l $\eta$
Phlegra, N. iv. 27 ; I. v. 33
Pindar's (apparent) M $\operatorname{cdism}$, F. 86
Pindaric hendecasyllables, F. 93, 94
Pindaricus versus, F. 11 a
place of action synonymous with action, N. i. 1 noté
pleonasm, N. iii. 34
plural adj. ' it is,' P. i. 34; N. iv. 71, viii. 4
plural, in allusion to one person, 0 . ix. 56 ; N. i. 58 ; I. iv. 43 ; F. 63. 10
- verb with neuter plural $v$. neuter
possessive dative $v$. dative
predicate, eztension of, $v$. extension, prolepsis
predicative adjective, emphatic, N . x. 32 ; I. i. 17, ii. 12
preposition and case after nouns, O. i. 94, viii. 9 ; P. vi. 18
present historic $v$. historic
- prophetic, O. viii. 42; P.iv. $49^{\circ}$
- subjunctive after örav of past
time in orat. obliqua, N. i. 68
prolepsis, 0 . i. 68, ii. 22, iii. 16, vi. 63 , xii. 2; P. i. 51, 65, ii. 26, iv. 194; N. i. 43; I. vi. 29
Pythagoras' doctrine of mean, P. ii. 34

Relative, neater plural, with definite antecedent, 0.i. 16 (cf. x. 8); P. ii. 75, iii. 18, vi. 21; N. ix. 9 ; F. 176

Sacrificers, position of, O. iii. 19
schema Alcmanicum, 0. v. 15; P. x. 10; N. x. 48

- Pindaricum, O. x. 6; P. x. 71, F. 53. 15-18, 224
seasons, N. v. 6
showers of gold, F. 96. 4
sibilants, consecutive avoided, 0. xii. 10; I. iii. 17, note
- in Greek, F. 57s
simile $v$. metaphor
sing. for plur. ãdios, N. iv. $39 v$. tis
- spring' derived, N. v. 21
subject, late position of, O. xi. 30, 34, xiii. 17 ; P. ii. 41, xii. 17; I. จ. $30,35,40$, vii. 16
subject of dependant clause made object of princ. clause, O. xiv. 20 substantives compounded of preposition and subst. 0 . vii. 61
suppression of eivat, O . vii. $23 ; \mathrm{N}$. v. 9,10
-     - $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o p$, with verb of wishing, choice, N. x. 58
-     - ó (oit) pèv v. ó $\mu$ èv
-     - $\quad$ - $\boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \boldsymbol{r}$ in explaining d $\mu$ фоі̂, I. iv. 19
-     - pron. with ely v. eln synizesis, 0.i. 5

Three libations, I. v. 2
tmesis, O. i. 17(?), 90, vii. 43, 44, viii. 32 , xiii. 59,60 ; P. iv. 34, xi. 14 ; N. iii. 24,67 , vii. 68 , ix. 8,33 ; x. 71, xi. 30 ; I. ii. 29, vi. 30, vii. 14, 58 ; F. 65. 5, 99.8
transition indicated by é $\gamma \omega$ v. $\begin{gathered}\text { e } \gamma \omega\end{gathered}$
transposition in mss, N. iii. 17 (Triclin. бтока́ $\boldsymbol{\psi} \mu$ натшб.) ; I. iii. 82 Triopean deities (Herod. I. 144), Apollo, Poseidôn, Hâdês, Dêmêter, Korê, Nymphs, O. i. Introd.

Virtues, division of, O. i. 89; N. iii. 72
vowel, variation of radical, P.i. 45
Wrestling terms, N. iv. 93
Zeta, F. 57 A, note
zeugma, 0 . i. 88,(?), ix. 6, xiii. 22; P. i. 40 (? Jebb), viii, 20 ; N. viii. 3, x. 25; I. v. 47

## INDEX OF QUOTATIONS FROM AND REFERENCES TO CLASSICAL GREEK AUTHORS FOUND IN THIS VOLUME.

Aeschylos Ag. 10, 210, 1503; N. viii. 46

- 97 ; N. xi. 45 ; I. i. 14
- 104-119; N. ix. 18
- 177; I. i. 40
- 225 ; N. iii. 12, xi. 16
- 237; I. v. 2
- 483 ; I. iii. 69
- 666 (P.) ; N. vi. 15
- 869 ; N. xi. 16
- 926 (P.) ; N. iv. 58
- 942 ; N. iv. 15
- 1123 ; I. iii. 83
- 1154; N. vii. 50
- 1588 (P.) ; I. i. 46

Choeph. 84; N. iii. 25

- 305; N. iv. 30
- 438; N. viii. 38
- 471 (P.); I. vii. 5
- 547; N. xi. 45
- 630 ; I. iii. 69
- 685 (P.) ; N. iv. 2
- 948; N. vii. 48
- 961 ; N. iv. 38
- 965; N. iii. 12
- 989; I. ii. 38

Eum. 104; F. 109

- 107; N. viii. 46
- 108; I. iii. 85
- 684; I. vii. 45
- 729; I. v. 2
-740; N. ix. 18
Pers. 28; N. iii. 40
- 430; I. iii. 56
- 616; N. ix. 52
- 640; N.ix. 43
- 913; I. i. 46

Aeschylos Pers. 968; N. iv. 36

- 1053; I. vii. 25
P.V. 18; N. v. 32
- 85; N. iii. 83
- 210; I. iv. 1
- 228; N. xi. 1
- 285; I. iii. 23
- 405; I. iii. 69
- 445; I. iii. 53
- 559; N. v. 27
- 660; N. iii. 46
- 665; N. x. 25
- 786, 7 (P.), 941; I. vii. 35
- 837; N. ix. 41
- 865; N. x. 5

Sept. 13; N. iii. 67

- 593 ; N. x. 11
- 614 (P.) ; I. vii. 45

Suppl. 26

- 46 ; I. $\mathrm{\nabla} .46$
- 196, 269 (P) ; I. $\mathbf{v}$. 58
- 458; N. iii. 67
- 973 (P.) ; N. viii. 1
- 996; N. v. 8

Alkaeos Frag. 50; I. ii. 9

- 61; I. ii. 5

Alkmân Frag. 1; N. iii. 10
Frag. 2 [31]; N. ii. 2
Frag. 50 [60]; N. vii. 87
Anacreontea (Bergk) 22 [20]; I. vii. 10
Apollonios Rhodios i. 153; N. x. 61 iv. 797; I. vii. 35
Aratos Phaen. 1; N. ii. 2 Archilochos Frag. 53 [45]; I. vii. 14

Archilochus Frag. 64 [40]; N.iv. 71

- 88 [6] ; N. i. 63
- 98 [65]; N. ix. 21

Aristophanes Ach. 571 ; N. iv. 37

- 673; F. 54.1
- 1079; N. iv. 71; I. i. 64 , v. 7
- 1233; N. i. 16, 11

Eccl. 828; N. vii. 104
Eq. 37; N. x. 30

- 503 ; I. i. 62
- 1015; N. vii. 50

Nub. 1025; N. vii. 23

- 1047; N. iv. 37

Lys. 1110 ; N. iv. 35
Pac. 232; N. xi. 24

- 733; N. vii. 50
- 797; I. vii. 8
- 831; N, vii. 76

Plut. 981, 992 ; N. i. 56
Ran. 281 ; N. ix. 43

- 289; F. 73. 1
- 439; N. vii. 104
- 551, 554 ; I. vii.

Vesp. 588; N. xi. 11
Aristoteles Nic. Eth. i. 3; N. iii. 75
— v. 8; N.iv. 30
Pol. I. 2; N. vii. 42
 42

Demosthenês, p. 496 ; N. x. 28 - 560; I. iii. 51 - $\quad 564$ fin.; I. iii. 3.

Euripides Alc. 118; F. 213. 2

- 177; I. iii. 87, vii. 45
- 663 ; N. xi. 15

Andr. 16, 1260 ; N. iv. 49

- 650; I. ii. 41
- 1085; N. vii. 42
- 1247; N. iv. 51

Bacch. 100 ; I. v. 46

- 708; N. iii. 77
- 722; I. iii. 85
- 743; N. i. 50
- 877-881; N. v. 22
- 893; I. i. 45
- 1206 ; I. iii. 72 Cyel. 335 ; I. v. 40

Euripidês El. 143; N. z. 67

- 323, 512; I. iii. 87
- 442; I. i. 23
- 939; I. iii. 6
- 1080 ; I. vii. 69

Hec. 933 ; N. i. 50
Hel. 255' ; N. vii. 6

- 274; N. x. 78
- 1039; N. iv. 28
- 1449; I. vi. 12, 13

Heracl. 802, 860; N. iv. 28
-974 ; I. iii. 53
Herc. F. 20, 847 ; I. iii. 75

- 62 ; N. xi. 43
- 179; I. i. 62
- 470; N. iv. 59
- 676; I. vii. 6
- 677; N. iv. 17
- 785; I. v. 72
- 871; I. i. 1
- 1238; N. viii. 3

Hipp. 67, 1092; N. x. 38

- 537 ; I. iii. 80
- 651; N. vi. 3
- 1203; N. i. 43

Ion. $11-13$; N. ix. 41

- 475, 922 ; N. iii. 56
- 572; N. i. 8
- 600; N. vii. 59
- 639 ; N. i. 31
- 666; N. x. 25
- 818; N. x. 86
- 1241; N. iv. 28
- 1514; N. vi. 7

Iph. in A. 239 ; N. x. 1

- 716, 717 ; I. vii. 44

Iph. in T. 81 ; I. i. 57

- 435; N. iv. 49
- 676; N. vii. 59
- ${ }^{-1009 ; ~ N . ~ х . ~} 86$
- 1161; N. v. 50
- 1350; N. viii. 37

Med. 297; I. i. 41

- 315; N. ix. 2
- 810; I. i. 46
- 1077; N. ix. 47
- 1133; N. i. 40

Orest. 263 ; I. iii. 72

- 265; N. iv. 37
- 566-570; I. i. 44
- 975; N. i. 33
- $1654-6$; N. vii. 42

Phoen. 571, 573; I. v. 31

Euripidês Phoen. 773; I. iii. 53

- 894; F. 84. 14, 15
- 1326; N. vii. 42
- 1523; N. iv. 46

Suppl. 526 ; I. ii. 38

- 565 ; N. viii. 21
- 566, 1014; I. i. 62
- 574; N. i. 32
- 667, 675, 925; N. iv. 28
-783; N. x. 67
Troad. 1148; N. xi. 16

Hêrodotos, i. 9, iii. 142; I. vii. 69 v. 33 ; N. i. 40 vii. 16 ; N. v. 19

Hésiodos Sc. Her. 12 ; N. x. 60

- 13; N. i. 51
-65 ; N. vi. 2
- 173; N. ix. 23
- 202; N. iv. 14
- 235; N. i. 42
- 302; N. iv. 93

Theogon. 126-136, 409443; I. iv. 1
-453 ; N. xi. 6

- 535 ; N. ix. 53
- 862-866; N. vii. 17
- 915 ; N. vii. 15
- 922; N. vii. 2
W. and D. 199 ; N. ix. 36
- 324; I iii. 6
- 344; N. vii. 87
- 352; N. vii. 17
- 404-406; F. 65. 2
- 409; I. v. 67
- 413; N. viii. 27
- 619; N. ii. 10
- 692; I. v. 71
- 476; N. x. 61
- 488, 626; I. iv. 49
- 589; N. vii. 61
- 607; N. vi. 10
- 619; N. ii. 10
- 692; I v. 71
- 763; N. iii. 84
-783; I. vii. 44
Iliad, i. 65 ; N. vii. 26
- i. 117; N. x. 58
- i. 458; N. i. 8
- i. 495; I.v. 18

Iliad, ii. 287; N. v. 3

- ii. 382; I. vii. 1
- ii. 768; N. vii. 27
- iii. 389 ; N. vii. 42
- v. 91; I. iv. 49
- v. 504; N. vi. 3
- v. 638; N. iv. 25; L. iv. 37
- vi. 152; N. vi. 26
- vii. 335; N. vii. 19
- viii. 86; N. viii. 23
- viii. 185; N. iv. 28
- viii. 203; N. จ. 37
- viii. 285; I. 1. 39
- ix. 633; F. 110
- x. 238; I. vi. 51
- xi. 269; N. i. 48
- xi. 532; N. ii. 14
- xi. 746; I. vii. 25
- xi. 757; N. ix. 41
- xii. 225; N. v. 1
- xii. 296; I. iii. 56
- xiii. 271; I. vi. 36
- xiii. 636; N. vii. 53
- ziii. 824; N. viii. 24
- xiv. 57 ; I. vi. 36
- xiv. 402; N. ii. 14, viii. 30
- xv. 282; N. vii. 10
- xv. end; N. ii. 14
- xvi. 114, 358; N. ii. 14
- zvi. 140-144; N. iii. 32
- xvii. 227; I. vii. 1
- xvii. 243; N. x. 9
- xvii. 394, 558; N. vii. 103
- xvii. 404; N. iv. 9
- xvii. 425; N. vi. 3
- xviii. 115; I. v. 14
- xviii. 393-405; N. iii. 56
- xix. 125; N. i. 63
- xix. 299; N. i. 71
- zix. 387-391; N. iii. 32
- xxi. 126; I. vii. 1
- xxi. 162; N. iii. 44
- xxii. 157; N. viii. 37
- xxii. 308; N. iii. 81
- xxii. 309, 317; N. iv. 4
- xxiii. 264 fif.; I. i. 18
- xxiii. 714; N. iv. 93
- Exiv. 248; N. i. 40
- xxiv. 461 ; N. iii. 9
- xxiv. 522, 550; I. vii. 7
- Exiv. 615; N. í. 3
- xxiv. 696; N. x. 75

Isaeos Or. xi. 39 ; I. iii. 53

Kallimachos In Dian. 128; I. vi. 12, 13

Odyssey, ii. 310 ; I. i. 64, จ. 7 - iii. 23 ; N. vii. 10 - iv. 3; N.i. 71

- iv. 581; I. ii. 34
- v. $439 ;$ N. iv. 36
- vi. 48 ; N. v. 38
- vii. 107; N. v. 1
- ix. 215; N. i. 63
- x. 247; N. i. 53
- $\quad$. $263 ;$ N. v. 1
- xi. 303; N. x. 55
- xi. 392; N. จ. 11
- xii. 266 ; I. i. 62
- xiv. 417; I. i. 41
- xvi. 138; N. v. 1
- xvi. 243; I. i. 64, v. 7
- xvii. 218; N. iv. 91
- $\quad$ xx. 74; N. x. 18
- Exiii. 244; N. ix. 23
- xxiv. 58-64; I. vii. 57
- xxiv. 416; N. x. 75

Pausanias, i. 14; N. vii. 44 - i. 18. $3 ;$ N. xi. 4 - ii. $10.1 ;$ N. iii. 22

- ii. 15.2; N. ii. 5
- ii. 17 ; N. x. 18
- ii. 29.2; N.iv. 46
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- iii. 19. 11; N. iv. 49
- iii. 20 ; N.x. 55
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— vii. 40 ; N. จ. 5
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- vi. $34.4 ; 40.1$; N. vi. 5
— viii. 86. 8; N. v. 35
Xenophôn Cyrop. vi. 1. 27; N. iv. 28
De Ven. x. 8 ; I. i. 41 Memor. i. 2. 16, 47 ; N. ix. 15
- ii. 1.34 ; I. iii. 21
- ii. 3. 1; I. vi. 22
- iii. 9.2 ; I.i. 25


## By the same Editor.

## PINDAR: THE OLYMPIAN AND PYTHIAN ODES.

With Notes Explanatory and Critical, Introductions and Introductory Essays. Crown 8vo. 9s.
"Mr Fennell deserves the thanks of all classical students for his careful and scholarly edition of the Olympian and Pythian odes. He brings to his task the necessary enthusiasm for his author, great industry, a sound judgment, and, in particular, copious and minute learning in comparative philology. To his qualifications in this last respect every page bears witness."-Athencum,
"Considered simply as a contribution to the study and criticism of Pindar, Mr Fennell's edition is a work of great merit...Altogether this edition is a welcome and wholesome sign of the vitality and development of Cambridge scholarship, and we are glad to see that it is to be continued."-Saturday Review.
"Fennell (Pindar : ...... Cambridge 1879-werthvoll)."-Prof. Fr. Mezger, Pindars Siegeslieder, p. 19.
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## THE HOLY SCRIPTURES, \&c.

## THE CAMBRIDGE PARAGRAPH BIBLE

of the Authorized English Version, with the Text Revised by a Collation of its Early and other Principal Editions, the Use of the Italic Type made uniform, the Marginal References remodelled, and a Critical Introduction prefixed, by the Rev. F. H. Scrivener, M.A., LL.D., Editor of the Greek Testament, Codex Augiensis, \&c., and one of the Revisers of the Authorized Version. Crown 4to. gilt. 215.

## From the Times.

${ }^{6}$ Students of the Bible should be particularly grateful to (che Cambridge University Press) for having produced, with the able assistance of Dr Scrivener, a complete critical edition of the Authorized Version of the English Bible, an edition such as, to use the words of the Editor, 'would have been executed long ago had this version been nothing more than the greatest and best known of English classics.' Falling at a time when the formal revision of this version has been undertaken by a distinguished company of scholars and divines, the publication of this edition must be considered most opportune."

From the Athenaum.
"A part from its religious importance, the English Bible has the glory, which but few sister versions indeed can claim, of being the chief classic of the language, of having, in conjunction with Shakspeare, and in an immeasurable degree more than he, fixed the language beyond any possibility of important change. Thus the recent contributions to the literature of the subject, by such workers as Mr Francis Fry and Canon Westcott, appeal to a wide range of sympathies; and to these may now be added Dr Scrivener, well known for his labours in the cause of the Greek Testament criticisin, who has brought out, for the

Syndics of the Cambridge University Press, an edition of the English Bible, according to the text of 16 Ir , revised by a comparison with later issues on principles stated by him in his Introduction. Here he enters at length into the history of the chief editions of the version, and of such features as the marginal notes, the use of italic type, and the changes of orthography, as well as into the most interesting question as to the original texts from which our translation is produced."

> From the Methodist Recorder.
> "This noble quarto of over 1300 pages is in every respect worthy of editor and publishers alike. The name of the Cambridge University Press is- guarantee enough for its perfection in outward form, the name of the editor is equal guarantee for the worth and accuracy of its contents. Without question, it is the best Paragraph Bible ever published, and its reduced price of a guinea brings it within reach of a large number of students."

## From the London Quarterly Review.

"The work is worthy in every respect of the editor's fame, and of the Cambridge University Press. The noble English Version, to which our country and religion owe so much, was probably mever presented before in so perfect a form."

## THE CAMBRIDGE PARAGRAPH BIBLE.

Student's Edition, on good writing paper, with one column of print and wide margin to each page for MS. notes. This edition will be found of great use to those who are engaged in the task of Biblical criticism. Two Vols. Crown 4to. gilt. 31s. 6 d .
THE LECTIONARY BIBLE, WITH APOCRYPHA, divided into Sections adapted to the Calendar and Tables of Lessons of 187 I . Crown 8vo. 3s. 6 d.

## THE BOOK OF ECCLESIASTES,

With Notes and Introduction. By the Very Rev. E. H. Plumptre, D.D., Dean of Wells. Large Paper Edition. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
"No one can say that the Old Testament is a dull or worn-out subject after reading this singularly attractive and also instructive commentary. Its wealth of literary and historical illustration surpasses anything to
which we can point in English exegesis of the Old Testament; indeed, even Delitzsch, whose pride it is to leave no source of illustration unexplored, is far inferior on this head to Dr Plumptre."-Academy, Sept. 10, 188ı.

## BREVIARIUM

## AD USUM INSIGNIS ECCLESIAE SARUM.

Juxta Editionem maximam pro Claudio Chevallon et Francisco Regnault a.d. mdxxxi. in Alma Parisiorum Academia impressam : labore ac studio Francisci Procter, A.M., et Christophori Wordsworth, A.M.

Fasciculus I. In quo continentur Kalendarium, et Ordo Temporalis sive Proprium de Tempore totius anni, una cum ordinali suo quod usitato vocabulo dicitur Pica sive Directorium Sacerdotum. Demy 8vo. iss.
"The value of this reprint is considerable to liturgical students, who will now be able to consult in their own libraries a work absolutely indispensable to a right understanding of the history of the Prayer-Book, but which till now usually necessitated a visit to some public library, since the rarity of the volume
made its cost prohibitory to all but a few. ... Messrs Procter and Wordsworth have discharged their editorial task with much care and judgment, though the conditions under which they have been working are such as to hide that fact from all but experts." Literary Churchmar.

Fasciculus II. In quo continentur Psalterium, cum ordinario Officii totius hebdomadae juxta Horas Canonicas, et proprio Completorii, Litania, Commune Sanctorum, Ordinarium Missae cum Canone et Xili Missis, \&c. \&c. Demy 8vo. I2s.
"Not only experts in liturgiology, but all this volume, we can only speak in terms of the persons interested in the history of the Anglican Book of Common Prayer, will be grateful to the Syndicate of the Cambridge University Press for forwarding the puilication of the volume which bears the above title, and which has recently appeared under their auspices."-Notes and Queries.
"We have here the first instalment of the celebrated Sarum Breviary, of which no entire edition has hitherto been printed since the year $1557 \ldots$. Of the valuable explanatory notes, as well as the learned introduction to very highest commendation." - The Examiner.
"Cambridge has worthily taken the lead with the Breviary, which is of especial value for that part of the reform of the Prayer-Book which will fit it for the wants of our time.... For all persons of religious tastes the Breviary, with its mixture of Psaln and Anthem and Prayer and Hymn, all hanging one on the other, and connected into a harmonious whole, must be deeply interesting." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ Church Quarterly Review.

## Fasciculus III. Nearly ready.

GREEK AND ENGLISH TESTAMENT,
in parallel Columns on the same page. Edited by J. Scholefield, M.A. late Regius Professor of Greek in the University. Small Octavo. New Edition, with the Marginal References as arranged and revised by Dr Scrivener. Cloth, red edges. 7s. 6d.

> GREEK AND ENGLISH TESTAMENT,

THE STUDENT'S EDITION of the above, on large writing paper. 4 to. 12 s . GREEK TESTAMENT,
ex editione Stephani tertia, 1550 . Small 8vo. 3s. 6 d.

## THE NEW TESTAMENT IN GREEK

according to the text followed in the Authorised Version, with the Variations adopted in the Revised Version. Edited by F. H. A. Scrivener, M.A., D.C.L., LL.D. Crown 8vo. 6s. Morocco boards or limp. 12 s .

## THE PARALLEL NEW TESTAMENT GREEK AND ENGLISH.

being the Authorised Version set forth in 16II Arranged in Parallel Columns with the Revised Version of 1881, and with the original Greek, as edited by F. H. A. Scrivener, M.A., D.C.L., LL.D. Prebendary of Exeter and Vicar of Hendon. The Revised Version is the Joint Property of the Universities of Cambridge and Oxford. Crown 8 vo . 12s. 6 d .

London: Cambridge Warehouse, I7 Paternoster Row.

## THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MATTHEW

 in Anglo-Saxon and Northumbrian Versions, synoptically arranged: with Collations of the best Manuscripts. By J. M. Kemble, M.A. and Archdeacon Hardwick. Demy 4to. ios.
## THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MARK

in Anglo-Saxon and Northumbrian Versions synoptically arranged: with Collations exhibiting all the Readings of all the MSS. Edited by the Rev. Professor Skeat, M.A. late Fellow of Christ's College, and author of a Meso-Gothic Dictionary. Demy 4to. ios.

## THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST LUKE,

 uniform with the preceding, by the same Editor. Demy 4to. Ios.THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST JOHN, uniform with the preceding, by the same Editor. Demy 4to. Ios.

> "The Gospel according to St Fohn, in J. M. Kemble, some forty years ago. Of Anglo-Saxon and Northumbrian Versions: Edited for the Syndics of the University Press, by the Rev. Walter W. Skeat, M.A., Elrington and Bosworth Professor of AngloSaxon in the University of Cambridge, completes an undertaking designed and commenced by that distinguished scholar, the particular volume now before us, we can only say it is worthy of its two predecessors. We repeat that the service rendered to the study of Anglo-Saxon by this Synopttic collection cannot easily be overstated." Con. temparary Review.

## THE POINTED PRAYER BOOK,

being the Book of Common Prayer with the Psalter or Psalms of David, pointed as they are to be sung or said in Churches. Royal 24 mo . Cloth. Is. 6 d.

The same in square 32 mo . cloth. $6 d$.
"The 'Pointed Prayer Book' deserves and still more for the terseness and clearmention for the new and ingenious system on which the pointing has been marked,
ness of the directions given for using it." Times.

## THE CAMBRIDGE PSALTER,

for the use of Choirs and Organists. Specially adapted for Congregations in which the "Cambridge Pointed Prayer Book" is used. Demy 8vo. cloth extra, $3 s .6 d$. Cloth limp, cut flush. $2 s .6 d$.

> THE PARAGRAPH PSALTER,
arranged for the use of Choirs by Brooke Foss Westcott, D.D., Canon of Peterborough, and Regius Professor of Divinity in the University of Cambridge. Fcap. 4to. 5s.

## The same in royal 32 mo . Cloth 1 s . Leather 1 s .6 d .


#### Abstract

" The Paragraph Psalter exhibits all the care, thought, and learning that those acquainted with the works of the Regius Professor of Divinity at Cambridge would expect to find, and there is not a clergyman or organist in England who should be without this Psalter as a work of reference."Morning Post.

THE MISSING FRAGMENT OF THE LATIN TRANSLATION of the FOURTH BOOK of EZRA, discovered, and edited with an Introduction and Notes, and a facsimile of the MS., by Robert L. Bensly, M.A., Sub-Librarian of the University Library, and Reader in Hebrew, Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge. Demy 4to. Ios.

^[ "Edited with true scholarly complete-ness."-Westminster Reviezu. "It has been said of this book that it has added a new chapter to the Bible, and, startling as the statement may at first sight appear, it is no exaggeration of the actual fact, ] if by the Bible we understand that of the larger size which contains the Apocrypha, and if the Second Book of Esdras can be fairly called a part of the Apocrypha."Saturday Review.


# THEOLOGY-(ANCIENT). <br> THE GREEK LITURGIES. Chiefly from original Authorities. By C. A. Swainson, D.D., Master of Christ's College. <br> [In the Press. 

## THE PALESTINIAN MISHNA,

By W. H. Lowe, M.A. Lecturer in Hebrew at Christ's College, Cambridge. Royal 8vo. 215 .

> SAYINGS OF THE JEWISH FATHERS, comprising Pirqe Aboth and Pereq R. Meir in Hebrew and English, with Critical and Illustrative Notes. By Charles Taylor, D.D. Master of St John's College, Cambridge, and Honorary Fellow of King's College, London. Demy 8vo. Ios.
"The 'Masseketh Aboth' stands at the in an ordinary critical edition. . . The Talhead of Hebrew non-canonical writings. It is of ancient date, claiming to contain the dicta of teachers who flourished from B.C. 200 to the same year of our era. The precise time of its conupilation in its present form is, of course, in doubt. Mr Taylor's explanatory and illustrative commentary is very full and satisfactory."-Spectator.
"If we mistake not, this is the first precise translation into the English language accompanied by scholarly notes, of any portion of the Talmud. In other words, it is the first instance of that most valuable and neglected portion of Jewish literature being treated in the same wav as a Greek classic
in an ordinary critical edition. . The Talneglected, we foresee will be the most important aids of the future for the proper understanding of the Bible. .. The Sayiugs of the Fewish Fathers may claim to be scholarly , and, moreover, of a scholarship unusually thorough and finished."-Dublin University Magazine.
"A careful and thorough edition which does credit to English scholarship, of a short treatise from the Mishna, containing a series of sentences or maxims ascribed mostly to Jewish teachers immediately preceding, or immediately following the Christian era. . ." -Contemporary Review.

## THEODORE OF MOPSUESTIA'S COMMENTARY ON THE MINOR EPISTLES OF S. PAUL.

The Latin Version with the Greek Fragments, edited from the MSS. with Notes and an Introduction, by H. B. Swete, D.D., Rector of Ashdon, Essex, and late Fellow of Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge. In Two Volumes. Vol. I., containing the Introduction, with Facsimiles of the MSS., and the Commentary upon GalatiansColossians. Demy 8vo. I2s.
"In dem oben verzeichneten Buche liegt uns die erste Hälfte einer vollstăndigen, ebenso sorgfältig gearbeiteten wie schön ausgestatteten Ausgabe des Commentars mit ausführlichen Prolegomena und reichhaltigen kritischen und erlăuternden Anmerkungen vor."-Literarisches Centralblatt.
"It is the result of thorough, careful, and patient investigation of all the points bearing on the subject, and the results are presented with admirable good sense and modesty."Guardian.
"Auf Grund dieser Quellen ist der Text bei Swete mit musterhafter Akribie hergestellt. Aber auch sonst hat der Herausgeber mit unermüdlichem Fleisse und eingehendster Sachkenntniss sein Werk mit allen denjenigen Zugaben ausgerüstet. welche bei einer solchen Text-Ausgabe nur irgend erwartet werden kōnnen. . . . Von den drei Haupt-
handschriften . . . sind vortrefliche photographische Facsimile's beigegeben, wie überhaupt das ganze Werk von der University Press zu Cambridge mit bekannter Eleganz ausgestattet ist."-Theologische Literatur. zeitung.
"It is a hopeful sign, amid forebodings which arise about the theological learning of the Universities, that we have before us the first instalment of a thoroughly scientific and painstaking work, commenced at Cam-; bridge and completed at a country rectory." - Church Qwarterly Review (Jan. 1881).
"Hernn Swete's Leistung ist eine so tūchtige dass wir das Werk in keinen besseren Händen wissen möchten, und mit den sichersten Erwartungen auf das Gelingen der Fortsetzung entgegen sehen."-Göttingische gelehrte Anzeigen (Sept. 188r).

## Volume II., containing the Commentary on I ThessaloniansPhilemon, Appendices and Indices. $12 s$.

[^10]
## SANCTI IRENAI EPISCOPI LUGDUNENSIS

 libros quinque adversus Hæreses, versione Latina cum Codicibus Claromontano ac Arundeliano denuo collata, premissa de placitis Gnosticorum prolusione, fragmenta necnon Græce, Syriace, Armeniace, commentatione perpetua et indicibus variis edidit W. Wigan Harvey, S.T.B. Collegii Regalis olim Socius. 2 Vols. Demy 8vo. iss.
## M. MINUCII FELICIS OCTAVIUS.

The text newly revised from the original MS., with an English Commentary, Analysis, Introduction, and Copious Indices. Edited by H. A. Holden, LL.D. late Head Master of Ipswich School, formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

## THEOPHILI EPISCOPI ANTIOCHENSIS LIBRI TRES AD AUTOLYCUM

edidit, Prolegomenis Versione Notulis Indicibus instruxit Gulielmus Gilson Humphry, S.T.B. Collegii Sanctiss. Trin. apud Cantabrigienses quondam Socius. Post 8vo. 5 s.

> THEOPHYLACTI IN EVANGELIUM S. MATTHAI COMMENTARIUS,
edited by W. G. Humphry, B.D. Prebendary of St Paul's, late Fellow of Trinity College. Demy Svo. 7s. 6 d .

> TERTULLIANUS DE CORONA MILITIS, DE SPECTACULIS, DE IDOLOLATRIA, with Analysis and English Notes, by George Currey, D.D. Preacher at the Charter House, late Fellow and Tutor of St John's College. Crown 8vo. 5 s.

## THEOLOGY-(ENGLISH). WORKS OF ISAAC BARROW,

 compared with the Original MSS., enlarged with Materials hitherto unpublished. A new Edition, by A. Napier, M.A. of Trinity College, Vicar of Holkham, Norfolk. 9 Vols. Demy 8vo. £3. 3 s.
## TREATISE OF THE POPE'S SUPREMACY,

 and a Discourse concerning the Unity of the Church, by ISAAC Barrow. Demy 8vo. 7s. $6 d$.PEARSON'S EXPOSITION OF THE CREED, edited by Temple Chevallier, B.D. late Fellow and Tutor of St Catharine's College, Cambridge. New Edition. Revised by R. Sinker, B.D., Librarian of Trinity College. Demy 8vo. I2s.


#### Abstract

"A new edition of Bishop Pearson's famous work On the Creed has just been issued by the Cambridge University Press. It is the well-known edition of Temple Chevallier, thoroughly overhauled by the Rev. R. Sinker, of Trinity College. The whole text and notes have been most carefully examined and corrected, and special pains have been taken to verify the almost innumerable references. These have been more clearly and accurately given in very many places, and the citations themselves have been adapted to the best and newest texts of the several authorstexts which have undergone vast improve. ments within the last two centuries. The Indices have also been revised and enlarged. ......Altogether this appears to be the most complete and convenient edition as yet published of a work which has long been recognised in all quarters as a standard one."Guardian.


## AN ANALYSIS OF THE EXPOSITION OF THE CREED

written by the Right Rev. John Pearson, D.D. late Lord Bishop of Chester, by W. H. Mill, D.D. late Regius Professor of Hebrew in the University of Cambridge. Demy 8vo. $5 s$.

WHEATLY ON THE COMMON PRAYER,
edited by G. E. Corrie, D.D. Master of Jesus College, Examining Chaplain to the late Lord Bishop of Ely. Demy 8vo. 7s. $6 d$. CÆSAR MORGAN'S INVESTIGATION OF THE TRINITY OF PLATO,
and of Philo Judæus, and of the effects which an attachment to their writings had upon the principles and reasonings of the Fathers of the Christian Church. Revised by H. A. Holden, LL.D. late Head Master of Ipswich School, formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Crown 8vo. $4 s$.

## TWO FORMS OF PRAYER OF THE TIME OF

 QUEEN ELIZABETH. Now First Reprinted. Demy 8vo. 6d."From 'Collections and Notes' 1867 1876, by W. Carew Hazlitt (p. 340), we learn that-A very remarkable volume, in the original vellum cover, and containing 25 Forms of Prayer of the reign of Elizabeth, each with the autograph of Humphrey Dyson, has lately fallen into the hands of my friend Mr H. Pyne. It is mentioned specially in the Preface to the Parker Society's volume
of Occasional Forms of Prayer, but it had been lost sight of for 200 years.' By the kindness of the present possessor of this valuable volume, containing in all 25 distinct publications, I am enabled to reprint in the following pages the two Forms of Prayer supposed to have been lost."-Extract from the Preface.

## SELECT DISCOURSES,

by John Smith, late Fellow of Queens' College, Cambridge. Edited by H. G. Williams, B.D. late Professor of Arabic. Royal 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
"The 'Select Discourses' of John Smith, collected and published from his papers after his death, are, in my opinion, much the most considerable work left to us by this Cambridge School [the Cambridge Platonists]. They have a right to a place in English literary history."-Mr Matthew Arnold, in the Contemporary Review.
"Or all the products of the Cambridge School, the 'Select Discourses' are perhaps the highest, as they are the most accessible and the most widely appreciated...and indeed no spiritually thoughtful mind can read them unmoved. They carry us so directly into an atmosphere of divine philosophy, luminous
with the richest lights of meditative genius... He was one of those rare thinkers in whom largeness of view, and depth, and wealth of poetic and speculative insight, only served to evoke more fully the religious spirit, and while he drew the mould of his thought from Plotinus, he vivified the substance of it from St Paul."-Principal Tulloch, Rational Theology in England in the ryth Century.
"We may instance Mr Henry Grifin Williams's revised edition of Mr John Smith's 'Select Discourses,' which have won Mr Matthew Arnold's admiration, as an example of worthy work for an University Press to undertake."-Times.

## THE HOMILIES,

with Various Readings, and the Quotations from the Fathers given at length in the Original Languages. Edited by G. E. Corrie, D.D. Master of Jesus College. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
DE OBLIGATIONE CONSCIENTIÆ PRÆLECTIONES decem Oxonii in Schola Theologica habitæ a Roberto Sanderson, SS. Theologiæ ibidem Professore Regio. With English Notes, including an abridged Translation, by W. Whewell, D.D. late Master of Trinity College. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6 d .

ARCHBISHOP USHER'S ANSWER TO A JESUIT, with other Tracts on Popery. Edited by J. Scholefield, M.A. late Regius Professor of Greek in the University. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6 d . WILSON'S ILLUSTRATION OF THE METHOD of explaining the New Testament, by the early opinions of Jews and Christians concerning Christ. Edited by T. Turion, D.D. late Lord Bishop of Ely. Demy 8vo. 5s.

## LECTURES ON DIVINITY

delivered in the University of Cambridge, by John Hey, D.D. Third Edition, revised by T. Turton, D.D. late Lord Bishop of Ely. 2 vols. Demy 8vo. 15 s.

## ARABIC, SANSKRIT AND SYRIAC.

POEMS OF BEHÁ ED DÍN ZOHEIR OF EGYPT. With a Metrical Translation, Notes and Introduction, by E. H. Palmer, M.A., Barrister-at-Law of the Middle Temple, late Lord Almoner's Professor of Arabic, formerly Fellow of St John's College in the University of Cambridge. 3 vols. Crown 4 to.

Vol. I. The Arabic Text. ios. 6 d.; Cloth extra. $15 s$. Vol. II. English Translation. ios. 6d.; Cloth extra. 155.
"We have no hesitation in saying that in "This sumptuous edition of the poems of both Prof. Palmer has made an addition to Oriental literature for which scholars should be grateful; and that, while his knowledge of Arabic is a sufficient guarantee for his mastery of the original, his English compositions are distinguished by versatility, command of language, rhythmical cadence, and, as we have remarked, by not unskilful imitations of the styles of several of our own favourite poets, living and dead."-Saturday Review.
THE CHRONICLE OF JOSHUA THE STYLITE, composed in Syriac A.D. 507 with an English translation and notes, by W. Wright, LL.D., Professor of Arabic. Demy 8vo. ios. 6 d .
"Die lehrreiche kleine Chronik Josuas hat Unterricht; es erscheint auch gerade zur nach Assemani und Martin in Wright einen dritten Bearbeiter gefunden, der sich um die Emendation des Textes wie um die Erklärung der Realien wesentlich verdient gemacht hat... Ws. Josua-Ausgabe ist eine sehr dankenswerte Gabe und besonders empfehlenswert als ein Lehrmittel für den syrischen
rechten Zeit, da die zweite Ausgabe von Roedigers syrischer Chrestomathie im Buchhandel vollstā̉ndig vergriffen und diejenige von Kirsch-Bernstein nur noch in wenigen Exemplaren vorhanden ist."-Deutsche Litteraturseitung.

## NALOPAKHYANAM, OR, THE TALE OF NALA;

 containing the Sanskrit Text in Roman Characters, followed by a Vocabulary in which each word is placed under its root, with references to derived words in Cognate Languages, and a sketch of Sanskrit Grammar. By the late Rev. Thomas Jarrett, M.A. Trinity College, Regius Professor of Hebrew, late Professor of Arabic, and formerly Fellow of St Catharine's College, Cambridge. Demy 8vo. ios.NOTES ON THE TALE OF NALA,
for the use of Classical Students, by J. Peile, M.A. Fellow and Tutor of Christ's College. Demy 8vo. $12 s$.

## CATALOGUE OF THE BUDDHIST SANSKRIT

 MANUSCRIPTS in the University Library, Cambridge. Edited by C. Bendall, B.A., Fellow of Gonville and Caius College.GREEK AND LATIN CLASSICS, \&c. (See also pp. 24-27.)
A SELECTION OF GREEK INSCRIPTIONS,
With Introductions and Annotations by E. S. Roberts, M.A. Fellow and Tutor of Caius College.
[Preparing.

## THE AGAMEMNON OF AESCHYLUS.

With a Translation in English Rhythm, and Notes Critical and Explanatory. New Edition Revised. By Benjamin Hall Kennedy, D.D., Regius Professor of Greek.
"One of the best editions of the masterpiece of Greek tragedy."-A thencum.
"It is needless to multiply proofs of the Crown 8vo. $6 s$.
value of this volume alike to the poetical translator, the critical scholar, and the ethical student"-Sat. Rev.

## THE CEDIPUS TYRANNUS OF SOPHOCLES with a Translation and Notes by the same Editor. Crown 8vo. 6s. <br> "Dr Kennedy's edition of the Eedipus no more valuable contribution to the study Tymannus is a worthy companion to his Agamemnon, and we may say at once that of Sophocles has appeared of late years." Saturday Review.

## THE THE ETETUS OF PLATO with a Translation

 and Notes by the same Editor. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
## PLATO'S PHÆDO,

literally translated, by the late E. M. Cope, Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Demy 8vo. 5s.

## ARISTOTLE.-ПEPI $\triangle$ IKAIOETNHE.

THE FIFTH BOOK OF THE NICOMACHEAN ETHICS OF aristotle. Edited by Henry Jackson, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Demy 8vo. 6 s.
"It is not too much to say that some of the points he discusses have never had so much light thrown upon them before. . . .

Scholars will hope that this is not the only portion of the Aristotelian writings which he is likely to edit."-Athenceum.

## ARISTOTLE-ПEPI $\Psi \Upsilon X H \Sigma$.

ARISTOTLE'S PSYCHOLOGY, in Greek and English, with Introduction and Notes, by Edwin Wallace, M.A., Fellow and Tutor of Worcester College, Oxford. Demy 8vo. I8s.
> "In an elaborate introduction Mr Wallace collects and correlates all the passages from the various works of Aristotle bearing en these points, and this he does with a width of learning that marks him out as one $O_{n}$ our foremost Aristotlic scholars, and with a critical acumen that is far from common." Glasgow Herald.
> "As a clear exposition of the opinions of Aristotle on psychology, Mr Wallace's work is of distinct value-the introduction is excellently wrought out, the translation is good, the notes are thoughtful, scholarly, and full. We therefore can welcome a volume like this, which is useful both to those who study it as scholars, and to those who read it as students of philosophy."-Scotsman.
> "He possesses a hermeneutical talent of the very highest order. . . . Everywhere we meet with evidences of a long and careful study of the works of Aristotle, and a patient endeavour to arrive at his real meaning."Mind.
> "The notes are exactly what such notes ought to be,-helps to the student, not mere displays of learning. By far the more valuable parts of the notes are neither critical nor literary, but philosophical and expository of the thought, and of the connection of thought, in the treatise itself. In this relation the notes are invaluable. Of the translation, it may be said that an English reader may fairly master by means of it this great treatise of Aristotle. ${ }^{5}$-Spectator.

## ARISTOTLE.

THE RHETORIC. With a Commentary by the late E. M. COPE, Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, revised and edited by J. E. Sandys, M.A., Fellow and Tutor of St John's College, Cambridge, and Public Orator. With a biographical Memoir by H. A. J. Munro, M.A. Three Volumes, Demy 8vo. £i. irs, 6d.
"This work is in many ways creditable to the University of Cambridge. If an English student wishes to have a full conception of what is contained in the Rhetoric of Aristotle, to Mr Cope's edition he must go."-
"Mr Sandys has performed his arduous duties with marked ability and admirable tact. . . . . . . . In every part of his work -revising, supplementing, and completinghe has done exceedingly well."-Examiner. Academy.

## PRIVATE ORATIONS OF DEMOSTHENES,

with Introductions and English Notes, by F. A. Paley, M.A. Editor of Aeschylus, etc. and J. E. Sandys, M.A. Fellow and Tutor of St John's College, and Public Orator in the University of Cambridge.

Part I. Contra Phormionem, Lacritum, Pantaenetum, Boeotum de Nomine, Boeotum de Dote,.Dionysodorum. Crown 8vo. 6s.
"Mr Paley's scholarship is sound and accurate, his experience of editing wide, and if he is content to devote his learning and abilities to the production of such manuals as these, they will be received with gratitude throughout the higher schools of the country. Mr Sandys is deeply read in the German

Part II. Pro Phormione, Contra Stephanum I. II.; Nicostratum, Cononem, Calliclem. 7s. $6 d$.
"To give even a brief sketch of these speeches [Pro Phormione and Contra Stephanwm] would be incompatible with our limits; though we can hardly conceive a task more useful to the classical or professional scholar than to make one for himself. It is a great boon to those who set themselves to unravel the thread of arguments pro and con to have the aid of Mr Sandys's
excellent running commentary .... and no one can say that he is ever deficient in the needful help which enables us to form a sound estimate of the rights of the case."-Sat. Rev.
16. ..... the edition reflects credir on Cambridge scholarship, and ought to be extensively used."-A thencum.
literature which bears upon his author, and the elucidation of matters of daily life, in the delineation of which Demosthenes is so rich, obtains full justice at his hands. . . . . We hope this edition may lead the way to a more general study of these speeches in schools than has hitherto been possible."-Academy.

DEMOSTHENES AGAINST ANDROTION AND AGAINST TIMOCRATES, with Introductions and English Commentary, by William Wayte, M.A., late Professor of Greek, University College, London, Formerly Fellow of King's College, Cambridge, and Assistant Master at Eton. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
"The editor has devoted special attention to the principles and practice of Attic law, of which these speeches afford many rich illustrations. In the netes, which include serviceable abstracts of the speeches, his object, he tells us, has been "to afford
full help without unduly encouraging 'the less industrious sort ;" and they certainly afford as much help, and of the right kind, as any reasonable student is likely to de-sire."-The Scotsman.

## PINDAR.

OLYMPIAN AND PYTHIAN ODES. With Notes Explanatory and Critical, Introductions and Introductory Essays. Edited by C. A. M. Fennell, M.A., late Fellow of Jesus College. Crown 8vo. 9s.
" Mr Fennell deserves the thanks of all classical students for his careful and scholarly edition of the Olympian and Pythian odes. He brings to his task the necessary enthusiasm for his author, great industry, a sound judgment, and, in particular, copious and minute learning in comparative philology. To his qualifications in this last respect every To his quaifications in this last res
page bears witness."-A thencum.

THE NEMEAN AND ISTHMIAN ODES. By the same Editor. Crown 8vo. 9s.
"Considered simply as a contribution to
the study and criticism of Pindar, Mr Fen-
nell's edition is a work of great merit..Alto-
gether, this edition is a welcome and whole-
some sign of the vitality and development of
Cambridge scholarship, and we are glad to
see that it is to be continued."-Saturday
Review.
MIAN ODES. By the same

## THE BACCHAE OF EURIPIDES.

with Introduction, Critical Notes, and Archæological Illustrations, by J. E. Sandys, M.A., Fellow and Tutor of St John's College, Cambridge, and Public Orator. Crown 8vo. ios. 6d.
"Of the present edition of the Bacche by Mr Sandys we may safely say that never before has a Greek play, in England at least, had fuller justice done to its criticism, interpretation, and archaological illustration, whether for the young student or the more advanced scholar. The Cambridge Public Orator may be said to have taken the lead in issuing a complete edition of a Greek play, which is destined perhaps to gain redoubled favour now that the study of ancient monuments has been applied to its illustration." -Saturvay Review.
"The volume is interspersed with wellexecuted woodcuts, and its general attractiveness of form reflects great credit on the University Press. In the notes Mr Sandys has more than sustained his well-earned reputation as a careful and learned editor, and shows considerable advance in freedom and lightness of style. .... Under such circumstances it is superfluous to say that for the purposes of teachers and advanced students this handsome edition tar surpasses all
its predecessors. The volume will add to the aiready wide popularity of a unique drama, and must be reckoned among the most important classical publications of the year."Athenawm.
"It has not, like so many such books, been hastily produced to meet the momentary need of some particular examination ; but it has employed for some years the labour and thought of a highly finished scholar, whose aim seems to have been that his book should go forth totus teres atque rotundus, armed at ail points with all that may throw light upon its subject. The result is a work which will not only assist the schoolboy or undergraduate in his tasks, but will adorn the library of the scholar." . "The description of the woodcuts abounds in interesting and suggestive information upon various points of ancient art, and is a further instance of the very thorough as well as scholarlike manner in which Mr Sandys deals with his subject at every point." - The Guardian.

THE TYPES OF GREEK COINS. By Percy Gardner, M.A., F.S.A., Disney Professor of Archæology. With 16 Autotype plates, containing photographs of Coins of all parts of the Greek World. Impl. 4to. Cloth extra, £I. $11 s$ s. $6 \mathrm{~d} . ;$ Morocco backs, £2. $2 s$. ESSAYS ON THE ART OF PHEIDIAS.
By C. Waldstein, M.A., Phil. D., Reader in Classical Archæology in the University of Cambridge. Royal 8vo. With Illustrations.
M. TULLI CICERONIS DE FINIBUS BONORUM ET MALORUM LIBRI QUINQUE. The text revised and explained; With a Translation by James S. Reid, M.L., Fellow and Assistant Tutor of Gonville and Caius College.
[In the Press. M. T. CICERONIS DE OFFICIIS LIBRI TRES, with Marginal Analysis, an English Commentary, and copious Indices, by H. A. Holden, LL.D., late Head Master of Ipswich School, late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, Classical Examiner to the University of London. Fourth Edition. Revised and considerably enlarged. Crown 8vo. gs.
" Dr Holden truly states that 'Text, Analysis, and Commentary in this edition have been again subjected to a thorough revision.' It is now certainly the best edition extant. . . . The Introduction (after Heine) and notes leave nothing to be desired in point. of fulness, accuracy, and neatness ; the typographical execution will satisfy the most fas-
tidious eye."-Notes and Queries.
M. TVLLI CICERONIS PRO C RABIRIO [PERDVELLIONIS REO] ORATIO AD QVIRITES With Notes Introduction and Appendices by W E Hertland MA, Fellow and Lecturer of St John's College, Cambridge. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6 d .

London: Cambridge IVarehouse, 17 Paternoster Row.

[^11]
## M. TULLII CICERONIS DE NATURA DEORUM

 Libri Tres, with Introduction and Commentary by JOSEPH B. MAYOR, M.A., Professor of Moral Philosophy at King's College, London, formerly Fellow and Tutor of St John's College, Cambridge, together with a new collation of several of the English MSS. by J. H.SWAINSON, M.A., formerly Fellow of Trinity Coll., Cambridge. Vol. I. Demy 8vo.1os. $6 d$.
"Such editions as that of which Prof. Mayor has given us the first instalment will doubtless do much to remedy this undeserved neglect. It is one on which great pains and much learning have evidently been expended,
[Vol. II. In the Press. and is in every way admirably suited to meet the needs of the student. .... The notes of the editor are all that could be expected from his well-known learning and scholar-ship."-Academy.

## P. VERGILI MARONIS OPERA

cum Prolegomenis et Commentario Critico pro Syndicis Preli Academici edidit Benjamin Hall Kennedy, S.T.P., Graecae Linguae Professor Regius. Extra Fcap. 8vo. 5s.

## MATHEMATICS, PHYSICAL SCIENCE, \&c. MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL PAPERS:

 By Sir W. Thomson, LL.D., D.C.L., F.R.S., Professor of Natural Philosophy, in the University of Glasgow. Collected from different Scientific Periodicals from May 184I, to the present time. Vol. I. Demy 8vo. 18s."Wherever exact science has found a follower Sir William Thomson's name is known as a leader and a master. For a space of 40 years each of his successive contributions to knowledge in the, domain of experimental and mathematical physics has been recognized as marking a stage in the progress of the subject. But, unhappily for the mere learner, he is no writer of text-books. His eager fertility overflows into the nearest available journal ... The papers in this volume deal largely with the subject of the dynamics of heat. They begin with two or three articles which were in part written at the age of 17, before the anthor had commenced residence as an undergraduate in Cambridge . . . No student of mechanical engineering, who aims at the higher levels of his profession, can afford to be ignorant of the principles and methods set forth in these great memoirs . . . The article on the absolute measurement of electric and galvanic quantities (1851) has borne rich and abundant fruit. Twenty years after its date the International Conference of Electricians at Paris, assisted by the author himself, ela-
borated and promulgated a series of rules and units which are but the detailed outcome of the principles laid down in these papers." The Times.
"We are convinced that nothing has had a greater effect on the progress of the theories of electricity and magnetism during the last ten years than the publication of Si W. Thomson's reprint of papers on electrostatics and magnetism, and we believe that the present volume is destined in no less degree to further the advancement of phsical science. We owe the modern dynamical theory of heat almost wholly to Joule and Thomson, and Clausius and Rankine, and we have here collected together the whole of Thomson's investigations on this subject, together with the papers published jointly by himself and Joule. We would fain linger over this fascinating subject, but space does not permit; and we can only ask those who really wish to study thermo-dynamics to know something of the great theory of the dissipation of energy originated by the author to give his days and nights to the volume before us."-Glasgow Herald.

## MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL PAPERS,

 By George Gabriel Stores, M.A., D.C.L., LL.D., F.R.S., Fellow of Pembroke College, and Lucasian Professor of Mathematics in the University of Cambridge. Reprinted from the Original Journals and Transactions, with Additional Notes by the Author. Vol. I. Demy 8 vo . 15 s ."The volume of Professor Stokes's papers contains much more than his hydrodynamical papers. The undulatory theory of light is treated, and the difficulties connected with its application to certann phenomena, such as aberration, are carefully examined and resolved. Such difficulties are commonly passed over with scant notice in the text-books... Those to whom difficulties like these are real stumbling-blocks will still turn for enlightenment to Professor Stokes's old, but still fresh
and still necessary, dissertations. There nothing is slurred over, nothing extenuated. We learn exactly the weaknesses of the theory, and the direction in which the completer theory of the future must be sought for. The same spirit pervades the papers on pure mathematics which are included in the volume. They have a severe accuracy of style which well befits the subtle nature of the subjects, and inspires the completest confidence in their author." -The Times.

THE SCIENTIFIC PAPERS OF THE LATE PROF. J. CLERK MAXWELL. Edited by W. D. Niven, M.A. In 2 vols. Royal 4to.
[In the Press.

## A TREATISE ON NATURAL PHILOSOPHY.

By Sir W. Thomson, LL.D., D.C.L., F.R.S., Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of Glasgow, and P. G. Tait, M.A., Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh. Vol. I. Part I. Demy 8vo. 16s.


Part II. Demy 8vo. 18 s.

## ELEMENTS OF NATURAL PHILOSOPHY.

By Professors Sir W. Thomson and P. G. Tait. Part I. Demy 8vo. Second Edition. 9s.
A TREATISE ON THE THEORY OF DETERMINANTS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN ANALYSIS AND GEOMETRY, by Robert Forsyth Scott, M.A., of St John's College, Cambridge. Demy 8vo. I2s.
"This able and comprehensive treatise will be welcomed by the student as bringing within his reach the results of many impor-
tant researches on this subject which have hitherto been for the most part inaccessible to him."-A thenewm.

## HYDRODYNAMICS,

A Treatise on the Mathematical Theory of the Motion of Fluids, by Horace Lamb, M.A., formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge; Professor of Mathematics in the University of Adelaide. Demy 8vo. $12 s$.

## THE ANALYTICAL THEORY OF HEAT,

By Joseph Fourier. Translated, with Notes, by A. Freeman, M.A., Fellow of St John's College, Cambridge. Demy 8vo. 16s.
"It is time that Fourier's masterpiece, The Analytical Theory of Heat, translated by Mr Alex. Freeman, should be introduced to those English students of Mathematics who do not follow with freedom a treatise in any language but their own. It is a model of mathematical reasoning applied to physical phenomena, and is remarkable for the ingenuity of the analytical process em-
ployed by the author."-Contemporary Review, October, 1878.
"There cannot be two opinions as to the value and importance of the Theorie de la Chaleur...It is still the text-book of Heat Conduction, and there seems little present prospect of its being superseded, though it is already more than half a century old." Nature.

## THE ELECTRICAL RESEARCHES OF THE HONOURABLE HENRY CAVENDISH, F.R.S.

Written between 1771 and 1781, Edited from the original manuscripts in the possession of the Duke of Devonshire, K. G., by J. Clerk Maxwell, F.R.S. Demy 8vo. 18 s .

> "Every department of editorial duty appears to. have been most conscientiusly performed; $\begin{aligned} & \text { sandisfaction to it must have been. Maxwell to see this } \\ & \text { goodly volume completed before his life's } \\ & \text { work was done."-Atheneumb. }\end{aligned}$ AN ELEMENTARY TREAATISE ON QUATERNIONS, By P. G. TAIT, M.A., Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. I4s. THE MATHEMATICAL WORKS OF ISAAC BARROW, D.D. Edited by W. Whewell, D.D. Demy 8vo. 7s. 6d.

London: Cambridye Warehouse, 17 Paternoster Rowe.

## NOTES ON QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS.

Concise and Explanatory. By H. J. H. Fenton, M.A., F.I.C., F.C.S., Demonstrator of Chemistry in the University of Cambridge. Late Scholar of Christ's College. Crown 4to. 7s. 6 d .
A TREATISE ON THE PHYSIOLOGY OF PLANTS, by S. H. Vines, M.A., Fellow of Christ's College. [In the Press. THE FOSSILS AND PALÆONTOLOGICAL AFFINITIES OF THE NEOCOMIAN DEPOSITS OF UPWARE AND BRICKHILL with Plates, being the Sedgwick Prize Essay for the Year 1879. By Walter Keeping, M.A., F.G.S. Demy 8vo. ros. $6 d$.

> COUNTERPOINT.

A Practical Course of Study, by Professor G. A. Macfarren, M.A., Mus. Doc. Fourth Edition, revised. Demy 4to. 7s. 6d.

> ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS
made at the Observatory of Cambridge by the Rev. James Challis, M.A., F.R.S., F.R.A.S., Plumian Professor of Astronomy and Experimental Philosophy in the University of Cambridge, and Fellow of Trinity College. For various Years, from 1846 to 1860.

> ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS
from 1861 to 1865 . Vol. XXI. Royal 4to. 15 s.
A CATALOGUE OF THE COLLECTION OF BIRDS formed by the late H. E. Strickland, now in the possession of the University of Cambridge. By Osbert Salvin, M.A., F.R.S., \&c. Strickland Curator in the University of Cambridge. Demy 8vo. £I. Is.
"The discrmminating notes which Mr Salvin has here and there introduced make the book indispensable to every worker on what the Americans call "the higher plane" of the science of birds."-Acrdemy.
"The work is arranged with great clearness, and contains a full list of the books and ILLUSTRATIONS OF COMPARATIVE ANATOMY, VERTEBRATE AND INVERTEBRATE, for the Use of Students in the Museum of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy. Second Edition. Demy 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.

## A SYNOPSIS OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE BRITISH PALÆOZOIC ROCKS,

by the Rev. Adam Sedgwick, M.A., F.R.S., and Frederick $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{Cov}$, F.G.S. One vol., Royal 4to. Plates, $£ \mathrm{I}$. 1 s.

A CATALOGUE OF THE COLLECTION OF CAMBRIAN AND SILURIAN FOSSILS
contained in the Geological Museum of the University of Cambridge, by J. W. Salter, F.G.S. With a Portrait of Professor Sedgwick. Royal 4to. 7s. 6 d .
CATALOGUE OF OSTEOLOGICAL SPECIMENS contained in the Anatomical Museum of the University of Cambridge. Demy 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.

## LAW.

## AN ANALYSIS OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY.

 By E. C. Clark, LL.D., Regius Professor of Civil Law in the University of Cambridge, also of Lincoln's Inn, Barrister at Law. Crown 8vo. 7s. $6 d$."Prof. Clark's little book is the substance of lectures delivered by him upon those portions of Austin's work on jurisprudence which deal with the "operation of
sanctions" . . Students of jurisprudence will find much to mterest and instruct them in the work of Prof. Clark."-Athencum.

## PRACTICAL JURISPRUDENCE

A COMMENT ON AUSTIN. By E. C. Clark, LL.D. Regius Professor of Civil Law.
[In the Press. A SELECTION OF THE STATE TRIALS. By J. W. Willis-Bund, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law, Professor of Constitutional Law and History, University College, London. Vol. I. Trials for Treason (1327-1660). Crown 8vo. 18s.
"Mr Willis-Bund has edited 'A Selection of Cases from the State Trials' which is likely to form a very valuable addition to the standard literature. . . There can be no doubt, therefore, of the interest that can be found in the State trials. But they are large and unwieldy, and it is impossible for the general reader to come across them. Mr Willis-Bund has therefore done good service in making a selection that is in the first volume reduced to a commodious form." -The Examiner.
"This work is a very useful contribution to that important branch of the constitutional history of England which is concerned with the growth and development of the law of treason, as it may be gathered from trials before the ordinary courts, The author has very wisely distinguished these cases from
those of impeachment for treason before Parliament, which he proposes to treat in a future volume under the general head 'Proceedings in Parliament.'" - The Academy.
"This is a work of such obvious utility that the only wonder is that no one should have undertaken it before.... In many respects therefore, although the trials are more or less abridged, this is for the ordinary student's purpose not only a more handy, but a more useful work than Howell's."Saturday Review.
"Of the importance of this subject, or of the want of a book of this kind, referring not vaguely but precisely to the grounds of constitutional doctrines, both of past and present times, no reader of history can feel any doubt."-Daily News.

## Vol. II. In two parts. Price 145 s each.

" But, although the book is most interest-
ing to the historian of constitutional law, it
is also not without considerable value to
those who seek information with regard to
procedure and the growth of the law of evi-
dence. We should add that Mr Willis-Bund
has given short prefaces and appendices to
the trials, so as to forma a connected narrative
of the events in history to which they relate.
We can thoroughly recommend the book."

- Law Thimes.
"To a large class of readers Mr Willis-
Bund's complation will thus be of great
assistance, for he presents in a convenient
form a judicious selection of the principal statutes and the leading cases bearing on the crime of treason.... For all classes of readers these volumes possess an indirect interest, arising from the nature of the cases themselves, from the men who were actors in them, and from the numerous points of social life which are incidentally illustrated in the course of the trials. On these features we have not dwelt, but have preferred to show that the book is a valuable contribution to the study of the subject with which it professes to deal, namely, the history of the law of trea-son."-A thenceum.

> Vol. III. In the Press.
> THE FRAGMENTS OF THE PERPETUAL EDICT OF SALVIUS JULIANUS, collected, arranged, and annotated by Bryan Walker, M.A. LL.D., Law Lecturer of St John's College, and late Fellow of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge. Crown 8vo. $6 s$.
"In the present book we have the fruits of the same kind of thorough and wellordered study which was brought to bear upon the notes to the Commentaries and the Institutes : . . Hitherto the Edict has been almost inaccessible to the ordinary

English student, and such a student will be interested as well as perhaps surprised to find how abundantly the extant fragments illustrate and clear up points which have attracted his attention in the Commentaries, or the Institutes, or the Digest." ${ }^{\text {- Law Times. }}$

London: Cambridge Warehouse, 17 Paternoster Row.

## THE COMMENTARIES OF GAIUS AND RULES OF ULPIAN. (New Edition, revised and enlarged.)

With a Translation and Notes, by J. T. Abdy, LL.D., Judge of County Courts, late Regius Professor of Laws in the University of Cambridge, and Bryan Walker, M.A., LL.D., Law Lecturer of St John's College, Cambridge, formerly Law Student of Trinity Hall and Chancellor's Medallist for Legal Studies. Crown 8vo. I6s.
"As scholars and as editors Messrs Abdy and Walker have done their work well. . . . . . For one thing the editors deserve special commendation. They have presented Gaius to the reader with few notes and those
> explanation. Thus the Roman jurist is allowed to speak for himself, and the reader feels that he is really studying Roman law in the original, and not a fanciful representation of it."-A thenceum. merely by way of reference or necessary

## THE INSTITUTES OF JUSTINIAN,

translated with Notes by J. T. Abdy, LL.D., Judge of County Courts, late Regius Professor of Laws in the University of Cambridge, and formerly Fellow of Trinity Hall ; and Bryan Walker, M.A., LL.D., Law Lecturer of St John's College, Cambridge ; late Fellow and Lecturer of Corpus Christi College ; and formerly Law Student of Trinity Hall, Crown 8vo. 16s.
"We welcome here a valuable contribution to the study of jurisprudence. The text of the Institutes is occasionally perplexing, even to practised scholars, whose knowledge of classical models does not always avail them in dealing with the technicalities of legal phraseology. Nor can the ordinary dictionaries be expected to furnish all the help that is wanted. This translation will then be of great use. To the ordinary student, whose


#### Abstract

attention is distracted from the subject-matter by the difficulty of struggling through the language in which it is contained, it will be almost indispensable."-Spectator. "The notes are learned and carefully compiled, and this edition will be found useful to students."-Lave Times. "Dr Abdy and Dr Walker have produced a book which is both elegant and useful."Athenaum.


## SELECTED TITLES FROM THE DIGEST,

annotated by B. Walker, M.A., LL.D. Part I. Mandati vel Contra. Digest XviI. I. Crown 8vo. 5 s.
"This small volume is published as an experiment. The author proposes to publish an annotated edition and translation of several books of the Digest if this one is received with favour. We are pleased to be able to
say that Mr Walker deserves credit for the way in which he has performed the task undertaken. The translation, as might be expected, is schoiarly. "-Lase Times.

Part II. De Adquirendo rerum dominio and De Adquirenda vel amittenda possessione. Digest Xli. I and II. Crown $8 v o .6 s$.
Part III. De Condictionious. Digest XII. I and $4-7$ and Digest XiII. 1-3. Crown 8vo. 6 s.

## DIGEST. Book VII. Title I. De Usufructu.

With Introduction and full Explanatory Notes, intended as an Introduction to the study of the Digest. By Henry John Roby, M.A., Formerly Fellow of St John's College.
[Preparing.

## GROTIUS DE JURE BELLI ET PACIS,

with the Notes of Barbeyrac and others; accompanied by an abridged Translation of the Text, by W. Whewell, D.D. late Master of Trinity College. 3 Vols. Demy 8vo. 12s. The translation separate, 6 s.

## HISTORY.

## THE GROWTH OF ENGLISH INDUSTRY AND COMMERCE,

by W. Cunningham, M.A., late Deputy to the Knightbridge Professor in the University of Cambridge. With Maps and Charts. Crown 8vo. I2s.
" He is, however, undoubtedly sound in the main, and his work deserves recognition as the result of immense industry and research in a field in which the labourers have hitherto been comparatively few."-Scotsman.
"Mr Cunningham is not likely to disappoint any readers except such as begin by mistaking the character of his book. He does not promise, and does not give, an
account of the dimensions to which English industry and commerce have grown. It is with the process of growth that he is concerned; and this process he traces with the philosophical insight which distinguishes between what is important and what is trivial. He thus follows with care, skill, and deliberation a single thread through the maze of general English history."-Guardian.

## LIFE AND TIMES OF STEIN, OR GERMANY AND PRUSSIA IN THE NAPOLEONIC AGE,

 by J. R. Seeley, M.A., Regius Professor of Modern History in the University of Cambridge, with Portraits and Maps. 3 Vols. Demy 8vo. $48 s$."If we could conceive anything similar to a protective system in the intellectual department, we might perhaps look forward to a time when our historians would raise the cry of protection for native industry. Of the unquestionably greatest German men of modern history-I speak of Frederick the Great, Goethe and Stein - the first two found long since in Carlyle and Lewes biographers who have undoubtedly driven their German competitors out of the field. And now in the year just past Professor Seeley of Cambridge has presented us with a biography of Stein which, though it modestly declines competition with German works and disowns the presumption of teaching us Germans our own history, yet casts into the shade by its brilliant superiority all that we have ourselves hitherto written about Stein."-Deutsche Rundschau.
"Dr Busch's volume has made people think and talk even more than usual of Prince Bismarck, and Professor Seeley's very learned work on Stein will turn attention to an earlier and an almost equally eminent German statesman. . . . . . . It is soothing to the national self-respect to find a few Englishmen, such as the late Mr Lewes and Professor Seeley, doing for German as well as English readers what many German scholars have done for us."-7imes.


#### Abstract

"In a notice of this kind scant justice can be done to a work like the one before us; no short résumé can give even the most meagre notion of the contents of these volumes, which contain no page that is superfluous, and none that is uninteresting. . . . . To understand the Germany of to-day one must study the Germany of many yesterdays, and now that study has been made easy by this work, to which no one can hesitate to assign a very high place among those recent histories which have aimed at original research."-Athencwm. "The book before us fills an important gap in English-nay, European-historical literature, and bridges over the history of Prussia from the time of Frederick the Great to the days of Kaiser Wilhelm. It thus gives the reader standing ground whence he may regard contemporary events in Germany in their proper historic light. . . . . We congratulate Cambridge and her Professor of History on the appearance of such a noteworthy production. And we may add that it is something upon which we may congratulate England that on the especial field of the Germans, history, on the history of their own country, by the use of their own literary weapons, an Englishman has produced a history of Germany in the Napoleonic age far superior to any that exists in German,"Examiner.


# THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES TO THE ROYAL INJUNCTIONS OF 1535, 

by James Bass Mullinger, M.A.
"We trust Mr Mullinger will yet continue his history and bring it down to our own day "-Academy.
"He has brought together a mass of instructive details respecting the rise and progress, not only of his own University, but of all the principal Universities of the Middle Ages. ..... We hope some day that he may continue his labours, and give us a history of

Demy 8vo. (734 pp.), $12 s$. the University during the troublous times of the Reformation and the Civil War."-Atheneum.
"Mr Mullinger's work is one of great learning and research, which can hardly fail to become a standard book of reference on the subject. ... We can most strongly recommend this book to our readers."-Spectator.

> VoL. II. In the Press.

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLES OF GREEK HISTORY. Accompanied by a short narrative of events, with references to the sources of information and extracts from the ancient authorities, by Carl Peter. Translated from the German by G. Chawner, M.A., Fellow and Lecturer of King's College, Cambridge. Demy 4to, ios.
"As a handy book of reference for genuine students, or even for learned men who
some particular point as quickly as possible, the Tables are useful."-Academy. want to lay their hands on an authority for

## HISTORY OF THE COLLEGE OF ST JOHN <br> THE EVANGELIST,

by Thomas Baker, B.D., Ejected Fellow. Edited by John E. B. Mayor, M.A., Fellow of St John's. Two Vols. Demy 8vo. 24 s.
"To antiquaries the book will be a source of almost inexhaustible amusement, by historians it will be found a work of considerable service on questions respecting our social progress $n$ past times; and the care and thoroughness with which Mr Mayor has discharged his editorial functions are creditable to his learning and industry."-A thenaum.
"The work displays very wide reading, and it will be of great use to members of the college and of the university, and, perhaps, of still greater use to students of English history, ecclesiastical, political, social, literary and academical, who have hitherto had to be content with 'Dyer." -Academy.

## HISTORY OF NEPĀL,

translated by Munshi Shew Shunker Singh and Pandit Shrī Gunānand; edited with an Introductory Sketch of the Country and People by Dr D. Wright, late Residency Surgeon at Kāthmānḍū, and with facsimiles of native drawings, and portraits of Sir JUNG Bahādur, the King of Nepāl, \&c. Super-royal 8vo. 21s.
"The Cambridge University Press have done well in publishing this work. Such translations are valuable not only to the historian but also to the ethnologist;......Dr Wright's Introduction is based on personal inquiry and observation, is written intelligently and candidly, and adds much to the value of the volume. The coloured litho-
graphic plates are interesting."-Nature.
"The history has appeared at a very op. portune moment...The volume... is beautifully printed, and supplied with portraits of Sir fung Bahadoor and others, and with excellent coloured sketches illustrating Nepaulese architecture and religion."-Examiner.

## SCHOLAE ACADEMICAE:

Some Account of the Studies at the English Universities in the Eighteenth Century. By Christopher Wordsworth, M.A., Fellow of Peterhouse; Author of "Social Life at the English Universities in the Eighteenth Century." Demy 8vo. I5s.
"The general object of Mr Wordsworth's book is sufficiently apparent from its title. He has collected a great quantity of minute and curious information about the working of Cambridge institutions in the last century, with an occasional comparison of the corresponding state of things at Oxford....To a great extent it is purely a book of reference, and as such it will be of permanent value for the historical knowledge of English education and learning."-Saturday Review.
"Only those who have engaged in like la. bours will be able fully to appreciate the sustained industry and conscientious accuracy discernible in every page. ... Of the whole volume it may be said that it is a genuine service rendered to the study of University history, and that the habits of thought of any writer educated at either seat of learning in the last century will, in many cases, be far better understood after a consideration of the materials here collected. "-Academy.

## THE ARCHITECTURAL HISTORY OF THE

 UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGES OF CAMBRIDGE,> By the late Professor Willis, M.A. With numerous Maps, Plans, and Illustrations. Continued to the present time, and edited by John Willis Clark, M.A., formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge.
> [In the Press.

## MISCELLANEOUS.

## A CATALOGUE OF ANCIENT MARBLES IN

 great britain, by Prof. Adolf Michaelis. Translated by C. A. M. Fennell, M.A., late Fellow of Jesus College. Royal 8 vo . £2. $2 s$."The object of the present work of Michaelis is to describe and make known the vast treasures of ancient sculpture now accumulated in the galleries of Great Britain, the extent and value of which are scarcely appreciated, and chiefly so because there has hitherto been little accessible information about them. To the loving labours of a learned German the owners of art treasures in England are for the second time indebted for a full description of their rich possessions. Waagen gave to the private collections of pictures the advantage of his inspection and cultivated acquaintance with art, and now

Michaelis performs the same office for the still less known private hoards of antique sculptures for which our country is so remarkable. The book is beautifully executed, and with its few handsome plates, and excellent indexes, does much credit to the Cambridge Press. It has not been printed in German, but appears for the first time in the English translation. All lovers of true art and of good work should be grateful to the Syndics of the University Press for the liberal facilities afforded by them towards the production of this important volume by Professor Michaelis." Saturday Review.

## THE WOODCUTTERS OF THE NETHERLANDS

 during the last quarter of the Fifteenth Century. In two parts. I. History of the Woodcutters. II. Catalogue of their Woodcuts. by William Martin Conway. [In the Press.
## A GRAMMAR OF THE IRISH LANGUAGE.

 By Prof. Windisch. Translated by Dr Norman Moore. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6 d.
## LECTURES ON TEACHING,

Delivered in the University of Cambridge in the Lent Term, 1880. By J. G. Fitch, M.A., Her Majesty's Inspector of Schools. Crown 8vo. New Edition. $5 s$.
"The lectures will be found most interesting, and deserve to be carefully studied, not only by persons directly concerned with instruction, but by parents who wish to be abie to exercise an intelligent judgment in the choice of schools and teachers for their children. For ourselves, we could almost wish to be of school age again, to learn history and geography from some one who could teach them after the pattern set by Mr Fitch to his audience. ..... But perhaps Mr Fitch's observations on the general conditions of school-work are even more important than what he says on this or that branch of study."-Saturday Review.
"It comprises fifteen lectures, dealing with such subjects as organisation, discipline, examining, language, fact knowledge, science, and methods of instruction; and though the lectures make no pretention to systematic or exhaustive treatment, they yet leave very little of the ground uncovered; and they combine in an admirable way the exposition of sound principles with practical suggestions and illustrations which are evidently derived from wide and varied experience, both in teaching and in examining. While Mr Fitch addresses himself specially to secondary school-masters, he does not by any means disregard or ignore the needs of the primary school."-Scotsman.
"As principal of a training college and as a Government inspector of schools, Mr Fitch
has got at his fingers' ends the working of primary education, while as assistant commissioner to the late Endowed Schools Commission he has seen something of the machinery of our higher schools. . Mr Fitch's book covers so wide a field and touches on so many burning questions that we must be content to recommend it as the best existing vade succums for the teacher. . . . He is always sensible, always judicious, never wanting in tact. . . Mr Fitch is a scholar ; he pretends to no knowledge that he does not possess; he brings to his work the ripe experience of a well-stored mind, and he possesses in a remarkable degree the art of exposition." - Pall Mall Gazette.
" In no other work in the English language, so far as we know, are the principles and methods which most conduce to successful teaching laid down and illustrated with such precision and fulness of detail as they are here." -Leeds Mercury.
"Therefore, without reviewing the book for the second time, we are glad to avail ourselves of the opportunity of calling attention to the re-issue of the volume in the five-shilling form, bringing it within the reach of the rank and file of the profession. We cannot let the occasion pass without making special reference to the excellent section on 'punishments' in the lecture on 'Discipline.'"]-School Board Chronicle.
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CATALOGUE OF THE HEBREW MANUSCRIPTS preserved in the University Library, Cambridge. By Dr S. M. Schiller-Szinessy. Volume I. containing Section I. The Holy Scriptures; Section II. Commentaries on the Bible. Demy 8vo. gs.
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INDEX TO THE CATALOGUE. Demy 8vo. ios.
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## CATALOGUS BIBLIOTHECÆ BURCKHARDTIANE. Demy 4to. $5 s$.

London: Cambridge Warehouse ${ }_{17}$ Faternoster Row.

# Tbe Cambrioge wible for Brbools ano Colleges. 

General Editor: J. J. S. Perowne, D.D., Dean of Peterborough.

The want of an Annotated Edition of the Bible, in handy portions, suitable for School use, has long been felt.

In order to provide Text-books for School and Examination purposes, the Cambridge University Press has arranged to publish the several books of the Bible in separate portions at a moderate price, with introductions and explanatory notes.

The Very Reverend J. J. S. Perowne, D.D., Dean of Peterborough, has undertaken the general editorial supervision of the work, assisted by a staff of eminent coadjutors. Some of the books have been already edited or undertaken by the following gentlemen :

Rev. A. Carr, M.A., Assistant Master at Wellington College. Rev. T. K. Cheyne, M.A., Fellow of Balliol College, Oxford. Rev. S. Cox, Nottingham.
Rev. A. B. Davidson, D.D., Professor of Hebrew, Edinburgh.
Rev. F. W. Farrar, D.D., Canon of Westminster.
Rev. A. E. Humphreys, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge.
Rev. A. F. KirkPatrick, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Regius Professor of Hebrew.
Rev. J. J. Lias, M.A., late Professor at St David's College, Lampeter.
Rev. J. R. Lumby, D.D., Norrisian Professor of Divinity.
Rev. G. F. Maclear, D.D., Warden of St Augustine's Coll., Canterbury.
Rev. H. C. G. Moule, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Principal of Ridley Hall, Cambridge.
Rev. W. F. Moulton, D.D., Head Master of the Leys School, Cambridge. Rev. E. H. Perowne, D.D., Master of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, Examining Chaplain to the Bishop of St Asaph.
The Ven. T. T. Perowne, M.A., Archdeacon of Norwich.
Rev. A. Plummer, M.A., D.D., Master of University College, Durham. The Very Rev. E. H. Plumptre, D. D., Dean of Wells.
Rev. W. Sanday, M.A., Principal of Bishop Hatfield Hall, Durham. Rev. W. Simcox, M.A., Rector of Weyhill, Hants.
Rev. W. Robertson Smith, M.A., Edinburgh.
Rev. A. W. Streane, M.A., Fellow of Corpus Christi Coll., Cambridge.
The Ven. H. W. Watkins, M.A., Archdeacon of Northumberland.
Rev. G. H. Whitaker, M. A., Fellow of St Fohn's College, Cambridge.
Rev. C. Wordsworth, M.A., Rector of Glaston, Rutland.

London: Cambridge Warehouse, 17 Paternoster Row.

## THE CAMBRIDGE BIBLE FOR SCHOOLS \& COLLEGES.

 Continued.
## Now Ready. Cloth, Extra Fcap. 8vo.

THE BOOK OF JOSHUA. By the Rev. G. F. Maclear, D.D. With 2 Maps. 2s. 6 d .
THE BOOK OF JUDGES. By the Rev. J. J. Lias, M.A. With Map. ${ }^{\text {3s. } 6 d .}$
THE FIRST BOOK OF SAMUEL. By the Rev. Professor Kirikpatrick, M.A. With Map. $3^{s, 6 d}$.
THE SECOND BOOK OF SAMUEL. By the Rev. Professor Kirkpatrick, M.A. With 2 Maps. 3 s. 6 d.
THE BOOK OF ECCLESIASTES. By the Very Rev. E. H. Plumptre, D.D., Dean of Wells. 5 s.

THE BOOK OF JEREMIAH. By the Rev. A. W. Streane, M.A. With Map. 4s. 6 d .
THE BOOKS OF OBADIAH AND JONAH. By Archdeacon Perowne. 2s. 6 d.
THE BOOK OF JONAH. By Archdn. Perowne. is. $6 d$.
THE BOOK OF MICAH. By the Rev. T. K. Cheyne, M.A. 1 s. $6 d$.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MATTHEW. By the Rev. A. Carr, M.A. With 2 Maps. $2 s .6 d$.
THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MARK. By the Rev. G.F. Maclear, D.D. With 2 Maps. 2s. 6 d.
THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST LUKE. By the Rev. F. W. Farrar, D.D. With 4 Maps. 4 s. $6 d$.
THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST JOHN. By the Rev. A. Plummer, M.A., D.D. With Four Maps. 4s. $6 d$.
THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES. By the Rev. Professor Lumbr, D.D. Part I. Chaps. I-XIV. With 2 Maps. 2s. $6 d . \quad$ Part II. Chaps. XV. to end. $2 s .6 d$.
Parts I. and II., complete. With 4 Maps. 4s. 6 d .
THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS. By the Rev. H. C. G. Moule, M.A. ${ }^{3 s .} 6 d$.

THE FIRST EPISTLE TO THE CORINTHIANS. By the Kev. J. J. Lias, M.A. With a Map and Plan. $2 s$.
THE SECOND EPISTLE TO THE CORINTHIANS. By the Rev. J. J. Lias, M.A. 2s.
THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. By the Rev. F. W. Farrar, D.D. $3^{s .6} 6$ d.

THE GENERAL EPISTLE OF ST JAMES. By the Very Rev. E. H. Plumptre, D.D., Dean of Wells. is. $6 d$.
THE EPISTLES OF ST PETER AND ST JUDE. By the same Editor. 2s. $6 d$.

## THE CAMBRIDGE BIBLE FOR SCHOOLS \& COLLEGES. Continued.

## Preparing.

THE BOOKS OF HAGGAI AND ZECHARIAH. By Archdeacon Perowne.

THE EPISTLES OF ST JOHN. By the Rev. A. Plummer, M.A., D.D.

## THE CAMBRIDGE GREEK TESTAMENT, FOR SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES,

with a Revised Text, based on the most recent critical authorities, and
English Notes, prepared under the direction of the General Editor,

The Very Reverend J. J. S. PEROWne, D.D., dean of peterborough.

## Now Ready.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MATTHEW. By the Rev. A. Carr, M.A. With 4 Maps. $45.6 d$.

[^12]THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST JOHN. By the Rev. A. Plummer, M.A., D.D. With 4 Maps. 6s.
"A valuable addition has also been made to 'The Cambridge, Greek Testament for Schools,' Dr Plummer's notes on 'the Gospel according to St John' are scholarly, concise, and instructive, and embody the results of much thought and wide reading."-Expositor.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST MARK. By the Rev. G. F. Maclear, D.D. With 3 Maps. 4s. 6d.

THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO ST LUKE. By the Rev. F. W. Farrar, D.D. [Preparing.

The books will be published separately, as in the "Cambridge Bible for Schools."

## THE PITT PRESS SERIES.

## I. GREEK.

## THE ANABASIS OF XENOPHON, Book IV. With

 a Map and English Notes by Alfred Pretor, M.A., Fellow of St Catharine's College, Cambridge ; Editor of Persius and Cicero ad Atticum Book I. ${ }^{2 s}$."In Mr Pretor's edition of the Anabasis the text of Kühner has been followed in the mann, while the exhaustive and admirable notes of the great German editor have been largely utilised. These notes deal with the minutest as well as the most important difficulties in construction, and all questions of history, antiquity, and geography are brietly but very effectually elucidated." The Examiner.
"We welcome this addition to the other books of the Anabasis so ably edited by Mr Pretor. Although originally intended for the use of candidates at the university local examinations, yet this edition will be found adapted not only to meet the wants of the junior student, but even advanced scholars will find much in this work that will repay its perusal." -The Schoolmaster.
"Mr Pretor's 'Anabasis of Xenophon, Book IV.' displays a union of accurate Cambridge scholarship, with experience of what is required by learners gained in examining middle-class schools. The text is large and clearly printed, and the notes explain all difficulties. . . Mr Pretor's notes seem to be all that could be wished as regards grammar, geography, and other matters."-The Academy.
BOOKS I. III. \& V. By the same Editor. 2s. each. BOOKS II. VI. and VII. By the same Editor. $2 s .6 d$. each.
"Another Greek text, designed it would seem for students preparing for the local examinations, is 'Xenophon's Anabasis,' Book II., with English Notes, by Alfred Pretor, M.A. The editor has exercised his usual discrimination in utilising the text and notes of Kuhner, with the occasional assistance of the best hints of Schneider, Vollbrecht and Macmichael on critical matters, and of Mr R. W. Taylor on points of history and geography. . When Mr Pretor commits himself to Commentator's work, he is eminently helpful. . Had we to introduce a young Greek scholar to Xenophon, we should esteem ourselves fortunate in having Pretor's text-book as our chart and guide."-Contemporary Review.

## THE ANABASIS OF XENOPHON, by A. Pretor, M.A., Text and Notes, complete in two Volumes. 7s. $6 d$.

AGESILAUS OF XENOPHON. The Text revised with Critical and Explanatory Notes, Introduction, Analysis, and Indices. By H. Hailstone, M. A., late Scholar of Peterhouse, Cambridge, Editor of Xenophon's Hellenics, etc. $2 s .6 d$.
ARISTOPHANES-RANAE. With English Notes and Introduction by W. C. Green, M.A., Assistant Master at Rugby School. 3s. 6 d .
ARISTOPHANES-AVES. By the same Editor. New Edition. 3s. 6d.
"The notes to both plays are excellent. Much has been done in these two volumes to render the study of Aristophanes a real treat to a hoy instead of a drudgery, by helping him to understand the fun and to express it in his mother tongue."-The Examiner.
ARISTOPHANES-PLUTUS. By the same Editor. 3 s. $6 d$. EURIPIDES. HERCULES FURENS. With Introductions, Notes and Analysis. By J. T. Hutchinson, M. A., Christ's College, and A. Gray, M.A., Fellow of Jesus College. $2 s$.
"Messrs Hutchinson and Gray have produced a careful and useful edition."-Saturday Review.
THE HERACLEIDE OF EURIPIDES, with Introduction and Critical Notes by E. A. Beck, M.A., Fellow of Trinity Hall. $3^{s .} 6 d_{\psi}$

# LUCIANI SOMNIUM CHARON PISCATOR ET DE luctu, with English Notes by W. E. Heitland, M.A., Fellow of St Tohn's College, Cambridge. New Edition, with Appendix. 3 s .6 d . OUTLINES OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF ARISTOTLE. Edited by E. Wallace, M.A. (See p. 3o.) 

## II. LATIN.

M. T. CICERONIS DE AMICITIA. Edited by J. S. Reid, M.L., Fellow and Assistant Tutor of Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge. $3^{5}$.
"Mr Reid has decidedly attained his aim, namely, 'a thorough examination of the Latinity of the dialogue.' ..... The revision of the text is most valuable, and comprehends sundry acute corrections. . . . This volume, like Mr Reid's other editions, is a solid gain to the scholarship of the country."-A thenceum.
"A more distinct gain to scholarship is Mr Reid's able and thorough edition of the De Amicitia of Cicero, a work of which, whether we regard the exhaustive introduction or the instructive and most suggestive commentary, it would be difficult to speak too highly. . . . When we come to the commentary, we are only amazed by its fulness in proportion to its bulk. Nothing is overlooked which can tend to enlarge the learner's general knowledge of Ciceronian Latin or to elucidate the text."-Saturday Review.
M. T. CICERONIS CATO MAJOR DE SENECTUTE. Edited by J. S. Reid, M.L. 3s. $6 d$.
"The notes are excellent and scholarlike, adapted for the upper forms of public schools, and likely to be useful even to more advanced students."-Guardian. M. T. CICERONIS ORATIO PRO ARCHIA POETA. Edited by J. S. Reid, M.L. is. $6 d$.
"It is an admirable specimen of careful editing. An Introduction tells us everything we could wish to know about Archias, about Cicero's connexion with him, about the merits of the trial, and the genuineness of the speech. The text is well and carefully printed. The notes are clear and scholar-like. . . . No boy can master this little volume without feeling that he has advanced a long step in scholarship."-The Academy.
M. T. CICERONIS PRO L. CORNELIO BALBO ORATIO. Edited by J. S. Reid, M.L. Fellow of Caius College, Camb. is. $6 d$.
"We are bound to recognize the pains devoted in the anmotation of these two orations to the minute and thorough study of their Latinity, both in the ordinary notes and in the textual appendices."-Saturday Revieze.
M. T. CICERONIS PRO P. CORNELIO SULLA ORATIO. Edited by J. S. ReId, M.L. $3^{s .} 6 d$.
M. T. CICERONIS PRO CN. PLANCIO ORATIO. Edited by H. A. Holder, Ll.D., late Head Master of Ipswich School. 4s. $6 d$.
"As a book for students this edition can have few rivals. It is enriched by an excellent introduction and a chronological table of the principal events of the life of Cicero; while in its appendix, and in the notes on the text which are added, there is much of the greatest value. The volume is neatly got up, and is in every way commendable." The Scotsman.
"Dr Holden's own edition is.all that could be expected from his elegant and practised scholarship. . . . Dr Holden has evidently made up lis mind as to the character of the commentary most likely to be generally useful; and he has carried out his views with admirable thoroughness."-A cademy.
"Dr Holden has given us here an excellent edition. The commentary is even unusually full and complete; and after going through it carefully, we find little or nothing to criticize. There is an excellent introduction, lucidly explaining the circumstances under which the speech was delivered, a table of events in the life of Cicero and a useful index." Spectator, Oct. 29, 1881.
M. T. CICERONIS IN Q. CAECILIUM DIVINATIO ET IN C. VERREM ACTIO PRIMA. With Introduction and Notes by W. E. Heitland, M.A., and Herbert Cowie, M.A., Fellows of St John's College, Cambridge. $3^{\text {s. }}$
M. T. CICERONIS ORATIO PRO L. MURENA, with English Introduction and Notes. By W. E. Heitland. M.A., Fellow and Classical Lecturer of St John's College, Cambridge. Second Edition, carefully revised. $3^{\text {s. }}$
"Those students are to be deemed fortunate who have to read Cicero's lively and brilliant oration for L. Murena with Mr Heitland's handy edition, which may be pronounced 'four-square' in point of equipment, and which has, not without good reason, attained the honours of a second edition."-Saturday Reviez.
M. T. CICERONIS IN GAIUM VERREM ACTIO Prima. With Introduction and Notes. By H. Cowie, M.A., Fellow of St John's College, Cambridge. $1 s .6 d$.
M. T. CICERONIS ORATIO PRO T. A. MILONE, with a Translation of Asconius' Introduction, Marginal Analysis and English Notes. Edited by the Rev. John Smyth Purton, B.D., late President and Tutor of St Catharine's College. 2s. 6 d .
"The editorial work is excellenty done."-The Academy.
M. T. CICERONIS SOMNIUM SCIPIONIS. With Introduction and Notes. By W. D. Pearman, M.A. [In the Press.

## P. OVIDII NASONIS FASTORUM Liber VI. With a Plan of Rome and Notes by A. Sidewick, M.A. Tutor of Corpus Christi College, Oxford. 1s. 6 d . <br> "Mr Sidgwick's editing of the Sixth Book of Ovid's Fasti furnishes a careful and serviceable volume for average students. It eschews 'construes' which supersede the use of the dictionary, but gives full explanation of grammatical usages and historical and mythical allusions, besides illustrating peculiarities of style, true and false derivations, and the more remarkable variations of the text." ${ }^{\text {- }}$ Saturday Review. <br> "It is eminently good and useful. . . . The Introduction is singularly clear on the astronomy of Ovid, which is properly shown to be ignorant and confused; there is an excellent little map of Rome, giving just the places mentioned in the text and no more ; the notes are evidently written by a practical schoolmaster."-The Academy.

GAI IULI CAESARIS DE BELLO GALLICO COMMENT. I. II. With English Notes and Map by A. G. Peskett, M.A., Fellow of Magdalene College, Cambridge, Editor of Caesar De Bello Gallico, VII. $2 s .6 d$.

## GAI IULI CAESARIS DE BELLO GALLICO COM-

 MENT. III. With Map and Notes by A. G. Peskett, M.A., Fellow of Magdalene College, Cambridge. 1s. $6 \%$."In an unusually succinct introduction he gives all the preliminary and collateral information that is likely to be useful to a young student; and, wherever we have examined his notes, we have found them eminently practical and satisfying. . . The book may well be recommended for careful study in school or college."-Saturday Review.
"The notes are scholarly, short, and a real help to the most elementary beginners in Latin prose."-The Examiner.
BOOKS IV. and V. and Book VII. by the same Editor. 2s. each.
BOOK VI. by the same Editor. Is. $6 d$.
BOOK VIII. by the same Editor. [In the Press.

## P. VERGILI MARONIS AENEIDOS Liber II. Edited with Notes by A. Sidgwick, M.A. Tutor of Corpus Christi College, Oxford. Is. 6 d .

BOOKS I., IV., V., VI., VII., VIII., X., XI., XII. by the same Editor. 1s. 6d. each.
" Mr Arthur Sidgwick's 'Vergil, Aeneid, Book XII.' is worthy of his reputation, and is distinguished by the same acuteness and accuracy of knowledge, appreciation of a boy's difficulties and ingenuity and resource in meeting them, which we have on other occasions had reason to praise in these pages."-The Academy.
"As masterly in its clearly divided preface and appendices as in the sound and independent character of its annotations. . . There is a great deal more in the notes than mere compilation and suggestion.... No difficulty is left unnoticed or unhandled."-Saturday Reviezv.
"This edition is admirably adapted for the use of junior students, who will find in it the result of much reading in a condensed form, and clearly expressed."-Cambridge Independent Press.
BOOKS VII. VIII. in one volume. $3 s$.
BOOKS X., XI., XII. in one volume. $3 s .6 d$.
QUINTUS CURTIUS. A Portion of the History. (Alexander in India.) By W. E. Heitland, M. A., Fellow and Lecturer of St John's College, Cambridge, and T. E. Raven, B.A., Assistant Master in Sherborne School. $3^{s, 6 d}$.
"Equally commendable as a genuine addition to the existing stock of school-books is Alexander in India, a compilation from the eighth and ninth books of $Q$. Curtius, edited for the Pitt Press by Messrs Heitland and Raven. . . . The work of Curtius has merits of its own, which, in former generations, made it a favourite with English scholars, and which still make it a popular text-book in Continental schools. . .... The reputation of Mr Heitland is a sufficient guarantee for the scholarship of the notes, which are ample without being excessive, and the book is well furnished with all that is needful in the nature of maps, indexes, and appendices." - Academy.

## M. ANNAEI LUCANI PHARSALIAE LIBER

 PRIMUS, edited with English Introduction and Notes by W. E. Heitland, M.A. and C. E. Haskins, M.A., Fellows and Lecturers of St John's College, Cambridge. Is. $6 d$."A careful and scholarlike production."-Times.
"In nice parallels, of Lucan from Latin poets and from Shakspeare, Mr. Haskins and Mr Heitland deserve praise." -Saturday Review.
BEDA'S ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY, BOOKS III., IV., the Text from the very ancient MS. in the Cambridge University Library, collated with six other MSS. Edited, with a life from the German of Ebert, and with Notes, \&c. by J. E. B. Mayor, M.A., Professor of Latin, and J. R. Lumby, D.D., Norrisian Professor of Divinity. Revised edition. 75.6 d .
"To young students of English History the illustrative notes will be of great service, while the study of the texts will be a good introduction to Medieval Latin."- The Nonconformist.
"In Bede's works Englishmen can go back to origines of their history, unequalled for form and matter by any modern European nation. Prof. Mayor has done good service in rendering a part of Bede's greatest work accessible to those who can read Latin with ease. He has adorned this edition of the third and fourth books of the "Ecclesiastical History" with that amazing erudition for which he is unrivalled among Englishmen and rarely equalled by Germans. And however interesting and valuable the text may be, we can certainly apply to his notes the expression, La sauce vaut meeux que le poisson. They are literally crammed with interesting information about early English life. For though ecclesiastical in name, Bede's history treats of all parts of the national life, since the Church had points of contact with all."-Examiner.

## Books I. and II. In the Press.

## III. FRENCH.


#### Abstract

LA GUERRE. By Mm. Erckmann-Chatrian. With Map, Introduction and Commentary by the Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A., St John's College, Cambridge, and Bachelier-ès-Lettres of the University of France; Assistant Master at Sherborne School. $3^{5}$.


LA Picciola. By X. B. Saintine. The Text, with Introduction, Notes and Map, by the same Editor, 2s. The Notes separate, is.
LAZARE HOCHE-PAR ÉMILE DE BONNECHOSE. With Three Maps, Introduction and Commentary, by C. Colbeck, M.A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge; Assistant Master at Harrow School. $2 s$.
HISTOIRE DU SIECLE DE LOUIS XIV PAR VOLTAIRE. Part I. Chaps. I.-XIII. Edited with Notes Philological and Historical, Biographical and Geographical Indices, etc. by Gustave Masson, B. A. Univ. Gallic., Officier d'Académie, Assistant Master of Harrow School, and G. W. Prothero, M.A., Fellow and Tutor of King's College, Cambridge. 2s. 6 d .
"Messrs Masson and Prothero have, to judge from the first part of their work, performed with much discretion and care the task of editing Volta re's Siècle de Louis XIV for the 'Pitt Press Series.' Besides the usual kind of notes, the editors have in this case, influenced by Voltaire's 'summary way of treating much of the history,' given a good deal of historical information, in which they have, we think, done well. At the begining of the book will be found excellent and succinct accounts of the constitution of the French army and Parliament at the period treated of,"-Saturday Review.
Part II. Chaps. XIV.-XXIV. With Three Maps of the Period. By the same Editors. 2s. 6 d .
Part III. Chap. XXV. to the end. By the same Editors. 2s. 6 d .
LE VERRE D'EAU. A Comedy, by Scribe. With a Biographical Memoir, and Grammatical, Literary and Historical Notes. By C. Colbeck, M.A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge; Assistant Master at Harrow School. 2s.
"It may be national prejudice, but we consider this edition far superior to any of the series which hitherto have been edited exclusively by foreigners. Mr Colbeck seems better to understand the wants and difficulties of an English boy. The etymological notes especially are admirable. . . . The historical notes and introduction are a piece of thorough honest work."-Fournal of Education.
M. DARU, par M. C. A. Sainte-Beuve, (Causeries du Lundi, Vol. IX.). With Biographical Sketch of the Author, and Notes Philological and Historical. By Gustave Masson. $2 s$.
LA SUITE DU MENTEUR. A Comedy in Five Acts, by P. Corneille. Edited with Fontenelle's Memoir of the Author, Voltaire's Critical Remarks, and Notes Philological and Historical. By Gustave Masson. 2 s.
LA JEUNE SIBÉRIENNE. LE LÉPREUX DE LA Cite d'aoste. Tales by Count Xavier de Maistre. With Biographical Notice, Critical Appreciations, and Notes. By Gustave Masson. 2 s.

London: Cambridge Warehouse, 17 Paternoster Row.

## LE DIRECTOIRE. (Considérations sur la Révolution

Française. Troisième et quatrième parties.) Par Madame la Baronne de Staél-Holstein. With a Critical Notice of the Author, a Chronological Table, and Notes Historical and Philological, by G. Masson, B.A., and G. W. Prothero, M.A. Revised and enlarged Edition. $2 s$.
" Prussia under Frederick the Great, and France under the Directory, bring us face to face respectively with periods of history which it is right should be known thoroughly, and which are well treated in the Pitt Press volumes. The latter in particular, an extract from the world-known work of Madame de Staell on the French Revolution, is beyond all praise for the excellence both of its style and of its matter."-Times.
DIX ANNÉES D'ÉXIL. Livre II. Chapitres i-8. Par Madame la baronne De Staël-Holstein. With a Biographical Sketch of the Author, a Selection of Poetical Fragments by Madame de Staèl's Contemporaries, and Notes Historical and Philological. By Gustave Masson. 25 .
"The choice made by M. Masson of the second book of the Memoirs of Madame de Stael appears specially felicitous. . . This is likely to be one of the most favoured of $\mathbf{M}$. Masson's editions, and deservedly ss."-Academy.
FRÉdÉGONDE ET BRUNEHAUT. A Tragedy in Five Acts, by N. Lemercier. Edited with Notes, Genealogical and Chronological Tabies, a Critical Introduction and a Biographical Notice. By Gustave Masson. $2 s$.

## LE VIEUX CÉLIbATAIRE. A Comedy, by Collin

 D'Harlevilue. With a Biographical Memoir, and Grammatical, Literary and Historical Notes. By the same Editor. 2s."M. Masson is doing good work in introducing learners to some of the less-known French play-writers. The arguments are admirably clear, and the notes are not too abundant."Academy.
LA métromanie, A Comedy, by Piron, with a Biographical Memoir, and Grammatical, Literary and Historical Notes. By the same Editor. 25.
LASCARIS, oU LES GRECS DU XV. SIÈCLE, Nouvelle Historique, par A. F. Villemain, with a Biographical Sketch of the Author, a Selection of Poems on Greece, and Notes Historical and Philological. By the same Editor. $2 s$.
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ERNST, HERZOG VON SCHWABEN. UHLAND. With Introduction and Notes. By H. J. Wolstenholme, B.A. (Lond.), Lecturer in German at Newnham College, Cambridge. 3s. 6d.
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GOETHE'S HERMANN AND DOROTHEA. With an Introduction and Notes. By the same Editor. 3 s .
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MILTON'S TRACTATE ON EDUCATION. A facsimile reprint from the Edition of 1673 . Edited, with Introduction and Notes, by Oscar Browning, M.A., Fellow and Lecturer of King's College, Cambridge, and formerly Assistant Master at Eton College. 25 .

## LOCKE ON EDUCATION. With Introduction and Notes

by the Rev. R. H. Quick, M. A. bs. 6d.
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"To Dr Lumby we must give praise unqualified and unstinted. He has done his work admirably. . . .. Every student of history, every politician, every social reformer, every one interested in literary curiosities, every lover of English should buy and carefully read Dr Lumby's edition of the 'Utopia.' We are afraid to say more lest we should be thought extravagant, and our recommendation accordingly lose part of its force."-The Teacher.
"It was originally written in Latin and does not find a place on ordinary bookshelves. A very great boon has therefore been conferred on the general English reader by the managers of the Pitt Press Series, in the issue of a convenient little volume of More's Utopia not in the original Latin, but in the quaint English Translation thereof made by. Raphe Robynson, which adds a linguistic interest to the intrinsic merit of the work. . All this has been edited in a most complete and scholarly fashion by Dr J. R. Lumby, the Norrisian Professor of Divinity, whose name alone is a sufficient warrant for its accuracy. It is a real addition to the modern stock of classical English literature."-Gwardian.

## MORE'S HISTORY OF KING RICHARD III. Edited

 with Notes, Glossary and Index of Names. By J. Rawson Lumby, D.D. Norrisian Professor of Divinity, Cambridge; to which is added the conclusion of the History of King Richard III. as given in the continuation of Hardyng's Chronicle, London, 1543 . 3 s. 6 d.
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[Other Volumes are in preparation.]
London: Cambridge Warehouse, 17 Paternoster Row.
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## Pindarus

The Nemean and Isthmian odes.N5


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Dr Waldstein's letter at the end of this essay.

[^1]:    " It is far more probable that the Greeks adopted the simple ex"pedient of considering the pentathlon as a single and indivisible " contest, and drawing the competitors in pairs to contend in it. The "successful athletes of the pairs, that is, those who had won any " three events out of the five would then again be drawn against each " other, and so on until only two were left, between whom the final " heat took place. In wrestling, boxing, and the pankration we have "reason to hold that this took place, and it seems all but certain that " it must have taken place also in the pentathlon.
    "In this case there must have frequently been an ephedros among the "pentathli,"

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ In using this passage to support his own theory Dr Pinder seems to press the simile too much.

[^3]:    1 I am not here concerned with Attic middle futures of verbs signifying the exercise of the senses.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are indications of an intransitive use of $\boldsymbol{d} \mu \epsilon i \beta \epsilon v$, though $\dot{d} \mu \varepsilon \epsilon_{-}$ $\beta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ may be causal and yet identioal with the intransitive middle.

[^5]:    1 See Watkiss Lloyd, History of Sicily, p. 315 ; and A. S. Murray, History of Greels

[^6]:    $v$.
    1-10. Invocation of Hestiâ Prytanitis.
    11, 12. Praise of Aristagoras.
    13-16. He is reminded that excellence gives no exemption from death.

[^7]:    39. Stobse. Ecl. Phys. Ir. 1, 8. Bacch. 1002. 39. 1. $\epsilon \lambda \pi \epsilon a \iota$.] Cf. Nem. viI. 20.
    
[^8]:    * Dr Scott's emendation, which I accept, does away with this figure. He accepts ápa
     exvevogal, and in the next line aiei dídots for aiồ ס $\delta$ ८ov̀s (MSS.).

[^10]:    "Eine Ausgabe... für welche alle zugänglichen Hulfsmittel in musterhafter Weise benutzt wurden . . . eine reife Frucht sieben-
    "Mit deiselben Sorgfalt bearbeitet die wir bei dem ersten Theile geruihmt haben"Literarisches Centralblatt (July 29, 1882). jährigen Fleisses."-Theologische Literaturseitung (Sept. 23, 1882).

[^11]:    "Dr Holden has issued an edition of what is perhaps the easiest and most popular of Cicero's philosophical works, the de Officis, which, especially in the form which it has now assumed after two most thorough revisions, leaves little or nothing to be desired in the fullness and accuracy of its treatment alike of the matter and the language."-Academy.

[^12]:    "With the 'Notes,' in the volume before us, we are much pleased; so far as we have searched, they are scholarly and sound. The quotations from the Classics are apt; and the references to modern Greek form a pleasing feature." - The Churchman.
    "Copious illustrations, gathered from a great variety of sources, make his notes a very valuable aid to the student. They are indeed remarkably interesting, while all explanations on meanings, applications, and the like are distinguished by their lucidity and good sense. ${ }^{*}$-Pall Mall Gazette.

