



Division P. S. M.

Section 2. S. M.

No.

Clarendon Press Series

NOTES ON GENESIS

SPURRELL

London

HENRY FROWDE



New York

MACMILLAN AND CO.

Clarendon Press Series

NOTES

ON

THE HEBREW TEXT

OF THE

BOOK OF GENESIS

WITH TWO APPENDICES

BY

G. J. SPURRELL, M. A.

LATE SCHOLAR OF BALLIOL COLLEGE, OXFORD

Oxford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

M DCCC LXXXVII

[*All rights reserved*]

PREFACE.

THE present volume of notes was undertaken at the suggestion of Prof. Driver, and is mainly intended for students beginning the Hebrew language. The notes are taken chiefly from the best German commentaries, and do not aim at originality. The Versions have also been used, and references are given to various grammars, the writer's object being to adapt the book to the wants of students using different grammars. The Commentaries used are those by Tuch¹, Delitzsch², and Dillmann³, to whom the writer is chiefly indebted; reference is also made to Mr. Wright's⁴ Notes on Genesis, and (occasionally) to the commentary by the late Dr. Kalisch⁵. The Versions are quoted partly from the London Polyglot, and partly from separate editions.

¹ The 2nd edition, by Arnold and Merx, Halle, 1871.

² The 4th edition, Leipzig, 1872.

³ The references to Dillmann are to the 4th edition of his *Genesis*, in the *Kurzgefasstes Exeg. Handbuch zum alten Test.*, Leipzig, 1882. A 5th edition appeared in 1886, but as some of the sheets had already been printed off, the references to the 4th edition have been kept, and any changes in the 5th edition noted on the margin.

⁴ *The Book of Genesis in Hebrew*, by C. H. H. Wright, London, 1859.

⁵ *Historical and Critical Commentary on the Old Test., Genesis*, London, 1858.

For the LXX, Lagarde's text has been used¹, reference being occasionally made to the text contained in the London Polyglot, and to Tischendorf's edition. The Targum of Onqelos, or Babylonian Targum, has been taken from the edition by Dr. Berliner², the text in the London Polyglot being compared, while the Targum of Pseudo-Jonathan and the Jerusalem Targum are quoted from the London Polyglot³. For the Peshiṭtā (Syriac) version the Polyglot and the edition by Lee⁴, which is based on the text in the London Polyglot, have been used, while the Vulgate has been taken from a Paris edition⁵ and the Polyglot. The other Greek versions (Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion) are usually cited second-hand, reference being also made to Field's edition of Origen's Hexapla⁶. The Arabic version of Saadiah has been quoted from the Polyglot: the Hebrew-Samaritan text and the Samaritan version are also cited from the same source⁷. The Grammars to which reference has been made are those of Gesenius, Davidson, Stade,

¹ *Genesis Graece*, edidit P. A. de Lagarde, Leipzig, 1868.

² In two parts, Berlin, 1884. The first part containing the text after the 'editio Sabioneta' of 1557, and the second part, the notes, introduction, and indices.

³ The Targum of Pseudo-Jonathan and the Jerusalem Targum (which only exists in a fragmentary form) are really two recensions of one and the same Targum, the Jerusalem Targum; see Bleek's *Einleitung*, ed. Wellhausen, p. 606 f.; Eng. trans., ed. Venables, vol. ii. p. 439 f.

⁴ London, 1823.

⁵ Published by Garnier Brothers, without date.

⁶ Oxford, 1875.

⁷ The reader should consult the various 'Introductions' to the Old Testament on these versions (especially that of Wellhausen-Bleek, 1878 or 1886), or read the articles in Smith's *Dictionary of the Bible*.

Ewald, Olshausen, Böttcher (occasionally), Müller (for the Syntax only), and the treatise on the Tenses by Prof. Driver¹.

The text of Genesis that has been followed in compiling the notes is that of Baer (with a preface by Delitzsch), and the same text has been used in quoting passages from other books, the edition of Theile² being only cited in those portions of the Old Testament that have not yet been edited by Baer³.

Two appendices have been added to the book: one on the structure of Genesis, as it was deemed necessary that the student should have some information about the modern views as to the criticism of the Pentateuch; and the other on the names of God, which could not be adequately discussed within the limits of a note.

The writer has to acknowledge the great obligations he is under to Prof. Driver for the valuable help he has rendered

¹ Gesenius' *Grammar*, translated by Davies, and edited by Mitchell, London, 1880 (since reprinted). Davidson's *Grammar*, Edinburgh, 8th edition, 1887. Stade, *Lehrbuch der hebräischen Grammatik*, Leipzig, 1879. Ewald, *Lehrbuch der hebräischen Sprache*, 8te Ausgabe, Göttingen, 1870: the Syntax (the third part of the *Lehrbuch*) has been translated by Kennedy, Edinburgh, 1879. Olshausen, *Lehrbuch der hebräisch. Sprache*, Braunschweig, 1861. Böttcher, *Lehrbuch der hebräisch. Sprache*, Leipzig, 1868. Aug. Müller, *Outlines of Hebrew Syntax*, translated by Robertson (being a translation of the third part of Müller's *Hebräische Schulgrammatik*, Halle, 1878), 1st edition, 1882; 2nd edition, 1887. Driver, *Hebrew Tenses*, 2nd edition, Oxford, 1881.

² 3rd edition, Leipzig, 1867.

³ The following portions of the Bible have been published by Baer: *Genesis*, Leipzig, 1869; *Isaiah*, ib., 1872; *Job*, ib., 1875; *Minor Prophets*, ib., 1878; *The Psalms*, ib., 1880; *Proverbs*, ib., 1880; *Daniel*, *Ezra*, *Nehemiah*, ib., 1882; *Ezekiel*, ib., 1884; and *Canticles*, *Ruth*, *Lamentations*, *Ecclesiastes*, *Esther*, ib., 1886.

him in preparing these notes, and for kindly revising the proof-sheets. His thanks are also due to the Delegates of the Clarendon Press for their assistance in publishing the book, and to Mr. Pembrey, their Oriental reader, for the care which he has taken in passing the sheets through the press.

G. J. SPURRELL.

OXFORD, July, 1887.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
NOTES ON GENESIS	I
APPENDIX I	363
APPENDIX II	371
CORRIGENDA	379

ABBREVIATIONS USED¹.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>A. V. = The Authorized Version of 1611.</p> <p>A. V. R. = The Authorized Version Revised, 1885.</p> <p>Aq. = Aquila's Greek Version.</p> <p>Aram. = Aramaic.</p> <p>B. and D. = Baer and Delitzsch.</p> <p>B. Jubil. = <i>The Book of Jubilees</i> (Ethiopic), ed. Dillmann, Kiel, 1859.</p> <p>Baumg. = Baumgarten.</p> <p>Ber. Rabb. = Bereshith Rabba.</p> <p>Berl. Ak. M. B. = <i>Monatsberichte der König. Preuss. Akad. der Wissenschaften zu Berlin</i>.</p> <p>Bernst. = Bernstein.</p> <p>Bib. Sam. = <i>Bibliotheca Samaritana</i>, ed. Heidenheim, Heft i, Leipzig, 1884.</p> <p>Boch. = Bochart.</p> <p>Boh. = von Bohlen.</p> <p>Bött. = Böttcher.</p> <p>Böttcher, <i>Neue Aehr.</i> = <i>Neue Hebräische Aehrenlese</i> (in 3 vols.), by Böttcher, Leipzig, 1849-65.</p> <p>Burck. = Burckhardt.</p> <p>Chald. = Chaldee².</p> <p>Dav. = Davidson.</p> <p>Del. = Delitzsch.</p> <p>Del., <i>Par.</i> = <i>Wo lag das Paradies?</i> by Friedrich Delitzsch, Leipzig, 1881.</p> | <p>Di. = Dillmann.</p> <p>Ecclus. = Ecclesiasticus.</p> <p>Eich. = Eichhorn.</p> <p><i>Einkl.</i> = <i>Einleitung</i>.</p> <p>Ewald, <i>J. B.</i> = <i>Jahrbücher der biblischen Wissenschaft</i>, Göttingen, 1848 and following years.</p> <p>Ewald, <i>Komp. der Gen.</i> = <i>Die Composition der Genesis</i>, by G. H. A. Ewald, Brunswick, 1823.</p> <p>Frankel, <i>Vorstudien</i> = <i>Vorstudien zu der Septuaginta</i>, Leipzig, 1841.</p> <p>Frankel, <i>Einfluss</i> or <i>Einfl.</i> = <i>Über den Einfluss der palästin. Exegese auf die Alexand. Hermeneutik</i>, by Z. Frankel, Leipzig, 1851.</p> <p>Ges. = Gesenius' <i>Hebrew Grammar</i> (vide Preface).</p> <p>Ges., <i>Th.</i> or <i>Thes.</i> = Gesenius' <i>Thesaurus</i>, Leipzig, 1829-58.</p> <p><i>Gesch.</i> = <i>Geschichte</i>.</p> <p>Gr. Ven. = <i>Versio Veneta</i> (see Bleek's <i>Introduction</i> (Eng. trans.), vol. ii. p. 430).</p> <p><i>H. W. B.</i> = Gesenius' <i>Handwörterbuch über das alte Test.</i>, ed. Mühlau and Volck, 9th ed., Leipzig, 1883; 10th ed., ib., 1886³.</p> <p>Hier. or Hieron. = Hieronymus (Jerome).</p> <p>Hieron., <i>Quaest.</i> = Hieronymus'</p> |
|--|--|

¹ Most of the commoner abbreviations, with which the student will be familiar, are not given here.

² It should be pointed out that the languages usually called Chaldee, Syriac, and Samaritan are really three dialects of the Aramaic, and should be embraced under the term Aramaic.

³ The 10th edition of the *H. W. B.* is in the main a reprint of the 9th, but is augmented by the addition of much illustrative matter derived from Inscriptions, and contributed by Prof. D. H. Müller.

- Quaestiones Hebraicae in libro Genesisos*, at the end of Lagarde's *Genesis Graece*, Leipzig, 1868.
- Jos. = Josephus.
- Kal. = Kalisch.
- Ke. = Keil.
- Kn. = Knobel.
- Lag. = Lagarde.
- Lenor. = Lenormant.
- Levy, *Chald. W. B.* = Levy, *Chaldäisches Wörterbuch* (2 vols. in one, Leipzig, 1881).
- Luth. = Luther.
- Luzz. = Luzzatto.
- M. R. = *Outlines of Hebrew Syntax*, by Aug. Müller, translated by Robertson (vide Preface).
- M. and V. = Mühlau and Volck.
- Mid. Bem. = Midrash Bemidbar, i. e. Midrash on the book of Numbers.
- Nöld. = Nöldeke.
- Nöld., *Unters. or Untersuch.* = *Untersuchungen zur Kritik des alten Test.*, by Th. Nöldeke, Kiel, 1869.
- Oehl. = Oehler.
- Ols. = Olshausen.
- Onom. or Onomas. = Onomasticon.
- Onq. = Onqelos.
- Pesh. = Peshittā Version, also quoted as Syriac.
- Proleg. or Prol. Crit.* = *Prolegomena Critica in Vet. Test. Hebr.*, by H. L. Strack, Leipzig, 1873.
- Rawl. = Rawlinson.
- Riehm, *H. W. B.* = Riehm's *Handwörterbuch des Biblischen Alterthums*, Bielefeld and Leipzig, 1875 and following years.
- Rob., *Pal.* = Robinson's *Palestine* (1st ed.), London, 1841.
- Roed. = Roediger.
- s. p. = small print.
- Saad. = Saadiah's Arabic Version.
- Sam. = The Samaritan Version, and the Hebrew Text in Samaritan characters when both agree; the former is also cited as Sam. Ver., and the latter as Heb.-Sam.
- Sch. or Schr. = Schrader.
- Schrader, *K. G. F.* = *Keilinschriften und Geschichtsforschung*.
- Schrader, *K. A. T.²* = *Die Keilinschriften und das alte Testament*, 2nd ed., Giessen, 1883. (The first volume of an English translation, with the pages of the original on the margin, has been published by the Rev. O. Whitehouse, London, 1885: Williams and Norgate. The references in the notes are to the German edition.)
- Symm. = Symmachus' Greek Version.
- Targ. = Targum.
- Targ. Jer. = Jerusalem Targum.
- Targ. Ps.-Jon. or Jon. = The Targum of Pseudo-Jonathan.
- Targg. = Targums.
- Th. or Theod. = Theodotion's Greek Version.
- Tisch. = Tischendorf.
- Vss. = Versions.
- Vulg. = Vulgate.
- Wel. or Well. = Wellhausen.
- Winer, *R. W. B.* = *Biblich. Real-Wörterbuch*, Leipzig, 1847-48, 3rd ed.
- Z. D. M. G. = *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*.
- "וּנְזַר וְנִזְרָה" i. e. *et caetera*.

NOTES ON GENESIS.

I.

I. בראשית. 'In the beginning,' as ἐν ἀρχῆ, in John 1, 1; not ἐν τῇ ἀρχῆ. בראשית is without the article, like בְּאַחֲרָיִם, Prov. 29, 11; בְּיָד, Is. 28, 2; קָרִי, Lev. 26, 27.

The Vss. and most commentators render, 'In the beginning God created,' etc.: the same rendering is perhaps indicated by the accents, בראשית being marked off by *Tifcha* from what follows¹. If this rendering be adopted, בראשית must not be taken relatively, i. e. 'first of all,' in opposition to a second or third, which might follow; for this is against the sense, as heaven and earth include all; and we should rather expect בְּרֵאשִׁיטָה; but it must be taken absolutely, 'at first' ('*uranfänglich*'): hence the choice of the expression בְּרֵאשִׁיטָה, which does not occur elsewhere.

רֵאשִׁיטָה = the beginning of a series, always relative to a genitive either expressed or (as here, Is. 46, 10. Deut. 33, 21) understood. As רֵאשִׁיטָה everywhere else (except in these two passages) is followed by a genitive, Ewald, Bunsen, and others follow Rashi and Ibn Ezra, and render, 'At first, when God created, etc. . . . (ver. 3) then God said, Let there be light.' A similar construction to Ex. 6, 28 בְּיוֹם דְּבָרַיְהוָה, where

¹ In 3, 1^b and 5^b. Deut. 28, 47^b (כִּי יִבְרָא אֱלֹהִים). 61^a (בְּסֵפֶר הַתּוֹרָה). Ex. 9, 24^b (מֵאֲזוּ הָיְתָה לְגֹיִם), we find *Tifcha*, the word so accented being closely connected in sense with the next following word. From these and similar passages it seems that the argument from the accents ought not to be pressed in this verse.

יום in the construct state is followed by a sentence as its genitive; so in Gen. 39, 20^b. Num. 3, 1. Deut. 4, 15. Hos. 1, 2. Ps. 90, 15^b, etc.; see Ewald, § 332 d. ויאמר, in ver. 3, would then be the imperfect with waw conv. in answer to בראשית; cf. 19, 15 (כמו precedes). 27, 34 ויצעק . . . וישמע עשו; Is. 6, 1 וראה . . . ופלאה; and see Ewald, § 344 a; Driver, § 127 β. (Boettcher (*Neue Aehr.* 1. 2-9) and others prefer to read ברא as in 5, 1, which would be the more common construction; but this is not necessary.) According to this interpretation verse 2 becomes a parenthesis, which is unnatural, as a long and heavy sentence at the beginning of the book would hardly be expected; cf. also Ryssel, *De Elohistae Pentateuchi sermone* (Lipsiae, 1878), p. 76. On the reading of the LXX, cf. Geiger, *Urschrift*, etc., pp. 344, 439, 444, who, following the tradition that this was one of the thirteen places that were altered for Ptolemy, considers that Rashi's construction was the traditional one, that of the LXX being an innovation.

ברא 'created,' the common word in P (see Appendix I) in this connection, is restricted to the divine workmanship, and always implies the production of something new (in matter or form, as ver. 21), being used literally and metaphorically (e.g. Ps. 51, 12). It is never followed by an accusative of the material used, and thus implies the unconditioned operation (absolute causality) of the agent. Its original meaning is generally given as 'to cut' (cf. the Pi'el in Josh. 17, 15. 18, and Ges. in *Thes.* sub voce), then 'to shape,' 'form,' and so 'create,' but it does not in itself express the idea of creation out of nothing; cf. the Arabic خلق, prop. 'to smooth,' 'polish,' then 'to create,' the word used by Saadiah here. In the Pi'el it is used of man, 'to cut with effort:' contrast the intensive stem with Qal, the simple stem, used of

the free-creating of God without any effort; cf. Ew., § 126 a. The Samaritan renders ברא by אֱלֹהֵי, which Del. explains as equivalent to *ἐθεμελίωσε*; see Heidenheim, *Bib. Sam.*, Heft i. p. 70, who mentions other explanations that have been suggested.

אֱלֹהִים, plural of אֱלֹהֵי. The derivation of אֱלֹהֵי is disputed; see Appendix II. אֱלֹהִים *pluralis excellentiae*, with a singular verb; see Ges., § 108. 2 b; M. R., § 64. So we find בְּעֵלִים and אֲדָנִים, used in a similar way, of human superiors; and Is. (19, 4) says אֲדָנִים קִשָּׁה, singular and plural as here. אֱלֹהִים is only joined with a plural verb in special cases; cf. the note on 20, 13, and Ewald, § 318 a.

את השמים. אֵת or אַתְּ, the sign of the acc. when defined (Ges., § 117. 2; M. R., § 32). It corresponds to the Phoenician אֵית, which was probably the original form of את (a noun substantive from אֹוה; cf. Schröder, *Phoen. Gram.*, § 123); cf. the Arabic اِئْت, Chaldee יֵת, Syriac ܐܝܬ (found twelve times in the Syriac O. T.). It is usually explained as meaning 'essence,' or 'existence,' but according to usage has so little emphasis, that it is merely inserted to mark the definite object; cf. further, Ges., *Thes.*, p. 169 a, where its etymology is discussed.

שמים, found only in the plural (unless we regard the form as a dual) in Hebrew.

2. תהו ובהו. תהו = 'wasteness,' or 'bareness.' Sometimes the word is used metaphorically, e. g. of idols, as vain, unrewarding, 1 Sam. 12, 21. Is. 44, 9. In Hebrew the root תהה is not found.

בהו = 'emptiness,' 'desolation.' This word is always found in immediate or parallel connection with תהו; it only occurs twice again, viz. Jer. 4, 23. Is. 34, 11 (possibly borrowed from

this passage). A root **בהה** is not found in Hebrew. In Arabic we find **بَهِيَ** = 'vacua et inanis fuit' domus.

תָּהוּ=תָּהוּ and **בָּהוּ=בָּהוּ**, like **קָרַשׁ** from **קָרַשׁ**, are both segholates, from verbs **ל'ה**, properly **ל'ו**. On the segholates (so called from the helping vowel seghol, which replaces the shewa under the second consonant), see Ges., § 93; on **תהו** and **בהו** in particular, § 85, V; Stade, § 198 a; also Dav., §§ 29 and 45.

The **ו** before **בהו** has a pretonic qameç, joining together the two nouns, which are closely connected, so 2, 9. 8, 22 **יום ולילה**; see Ges., § 104. 2, N. B. e; Dav., § 15 d; cf. especially Rem. with Ges., § 104. 2, N. B. e.

רוח אלהים. 'The Spirit of God,' the life-giving and life-preserving power (Ps. 104, 29), not a 'wind sent by God,' as apparently Onqelos, **וְרוּחָא כִּין קָרַם**, and others (e. g. Ephrem and Saadiah), for **מרחפת** does not suit this rendering, and the dividing of the waters in ver. 7, which separated the earth from the water, forbids us to think of a wind sent by God to dry up the earth.

מרחפת. The usual fem. form with the participle, cf. Ges., § 94. 2. Observe that this fem. form is accented, like the segholates, on the penult. The word occurs again in Deut. 32, 11, of an eagle brooding over its young. The original meaning of the root is 'to be loose' or 'slack,' and so 'to hover with loose wing,' the figure here being that of a bird hovering over its young. The root is more widely used in Syriac, the Pa'el **ܡܪܚܦܬܐ** being equivalent to the Heb. root in Deut. l. c., which the Pesh. renders as here with **ܡܪܚܦܬܐ**; cf. Bernstein, *Syr. Chrest.*, p. 173. 4, and *Lex.*, p. 480, the Syriac word having also the notion of fructifying and fertilizing. The Talmud, *Tract. Chag.*, c. 2, fol. 15, refers thus to this

passage, בִּיּוֹנָה מְרַחֶפֶת עַל בְּנֵיהָ וְאִינָה נּוֹגַעַת, 'as a dove hovering over its young without touching them;' cf. also Matt. 3, 16, and the paraphrase of Milton, *Par. Lost*, Bk. 7, 235:—

*'His brooding wings the Spirit of God outspread,
And vital virtue infused, and vital warmth
Throughout the fluid mass.'*

3. יִדְּרִי, imperf. apoc. from יְהִיָּה, for יְהִי weakened from יְהִי (like פְּרִי from פָּרִי, weakened from פָּרִי, Ges., § 24, 1 b; so דְּמָכָם thinned from דְּמָכָם, see Ges., § 27. 3. Rem. 3), cf. Ges., § 75. Rem. 3 e and § 93. Rem. 6; Dav., § 45. On the thinning of י into י, see Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. § 90. Rem. ad fin.

וַיִּהְיֶה. The grave Metheg before the half-open syllable (see Dav., foot-note, p. 16) only with ויהי and ויהי 'when they stand before Metheg [Maqqeph] or with the accent Pashta,' Ges., § 16. 2; Stade, § 54 c.

4. וַיִּרְאֵה. Imperf. with waw conv. On the apocopated form וַיִּרְאֵה out of וַיִּרְאֵה, see Ges., § 75. Rem. 3 c; Dav., § 45. The so-called waw conversive or consecutive is confined to the language of the ancient Hebrews and their neighbours the Moabites, whose language, as we now know, was so closely allied to their own. Besides the O. T. it occurs on the Siloam inscription, first deciphered by Prof. Sayce, and is frequent on the inscription of Mesha, commonly called the Moabite stone: it is also found in later Hebrew writings composed in imitation of Biblical Hebrew. If we remember that the tenses in Hebrew do not indicate the *date*, but the *state* of an action, i. e. whether it be *complete* or *incomplete*, the explanation of this peculiar Hebrew construction will not be far to seek. The imperf. denotes an action as entering on completion. When we have a series of events, each single event need not necessarily be regarded as completed

and independent, but each may be regarded as related to the preceding one, one event stepping into its place after the other, the date at which each successive event comes in being determined by the ו, which connects the new event with a point previously marked in the narrative. Thus here ברא, ver. 1, is the starting-point in the narrative, to which ויהי first and then ויאמר are related: and the narrative develops itself, each fresh event stepping into the place prepared for it by its predecessor. This construction begins to fall into disuse in later Hebrew. It should be remembered that an imperf. with waw conv. never refers to the future unless its preceding perfect to which it is related is the so-called prophetic perfect, which describes future events which are certain to take place as already accomplished, and so regarded as past, e.g. Is. 9, 5. See further, Prof. Driver, *Heb. Tenses*, c. vi, 2nd ed.; also Ges., §§ 49, 129; M. R., § 16 f.; Dav., § 23. Ewald aptly terms this construction the *relatively-progressive imperfect*.

וירא . . . כי טוב. Hebrew says, 'And He saw the light, that it was good.' English more tersely, 'He saw that the light was good;' so 6, 2. 12, 14. 49, 15. See Ewald, § 336 a, 2.

בין . . . בין, in ver. 6 ל . . . בין: the former scheme (בין . . . בין) is by far the most common, the latter (ל . . . בין) only occurs twice again in the Pentateuch, viz. Lev. 27, 33. 20, 25, being rare, and generally confined to late writers; cf. however 2 Sam. 19, 36 בין טוב לרע.

5. קָרָא לַיְלָה, the tone is here thrown back to avoid the concurrence of two tone syllables; so 3, 19 חָאבַל לָהֶם, 4, 17 בָּנָה עִיר, and often; see Ges., § 29. 3 b, and the note on 4, 17.

יום אחד. 'One day,' so A. V. R., not as the A. V., 'the first day.' אחד has not, strictly speaking, a corresponding ordinal, though it is possible to use ראשון as such. Here אחד may stand, as at the head of a series the ordinal is not needed; see Ewald, § 269 a. So 2, 11. 4, 19. 2 Sam. 4, 2.

6. ויהי for ויהי, by Ges., § 24. 1 a: on the pointing of waw, cf. § 104. 2 a; Dav., § 15 d. Rem.

ויהי מבדיל. When any special stress is laid upon the continuance of the action, the participle with היה is used by the best writers, but is more frequently affected by later writers (e. g. 2 Kings 17 it occurs nine times), and is exceedingly common in the Mishna. So in 4, 17 ויהי בנה 37, 2 היה רעה; cf. Ges., § 134. 2 c; M. R., § 14. 2 a; Driver, § 135. 5. Ryssel, *De Elohistae Pentateuchi sermone*, p. 58. For the Mishna usage, see *Lehrb. der Neuhebräisch. Sprache*, § 96 b, by Strack and Siegfried.

בין מים למים. ל with pretonic qameç; so לַשְׁבֶּת, לָחַת, לָבוֹא, etc.; cf. Ges., § 102. 2 c; Dav., § 14. 1 d.

רקיע from רקע, Qal = 'to strike,' 'stamp,' 'make firm,' Piel, 'to spread out by striking:' according to LXX, Aq., Symm., Theod. στερέωμα, Vulg. 'firmamentum.'

7. ויעש. The form is (1) יַעֲשֶׂה, then (2) by apocopation יַעֲשֵׁ like a segholate, e. g. נַעַר, then (3) with a helping vowel (here pathach on account of the guttural ע) יַעֲשֵׂ (like נַעַר), the ע preserving the original pathach with the prefix י, as in the Arabic; cf. يَفْعُلُ (the regular form = the Heb. יַקְטִיל, see Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. p. 62; cf. further, Ges., § 75. Rem. 3 d; Dav., § 45; Driver, § 46, foot-note 2.

7^b. At the end of ver. 6 the LXX read και ἐγένετο οὕτως instead of at the end of ver. 7, which suits ver. 6^b better than ver. 7^b; as elsewhere, e. g. vers. 9. 11. 15. 24. 30,

ויהי כן immediately follows what God says. Possibly it has been misplaced in the Mass. text, and the LXX preserve it in the original position.

8^a. שמים. After this word the LXX have in their text *καὶ εἶδεν ὁ Θεὸς ὅτι καλόν*, which is wanting in the Mass. text, but would be expected here (as in vers. 3. 10) at the end of the second day's work. In the account of the third day's work it occurs twice, in LXX and Mass. text, ver. 10 and ver. 12. Possibly the addition in the LXX text is, as Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 60, points out, due to a reviser who wished to make 8^a parallel with 3. 10. 12. The Mass. text may have again omitted the formula of divine approval here, as the complete division of the waters was not made until the third day.

9. וּתְרַאֲהָ, jussive, although the shortened form is not used; cf. 41, 34. Ruth 1, 8 Ktb. Job 3, 9. In the regular verb, with the exception of the Hif'il stem, the ordinary imperfect must serve as jussive, there being only one form for both tenses; see Ges., § 48, especially 2 and 4; Dav., § 23. On the syntax of the jussive, see Driver, c. iv, and M. R., § 8.

11. דִּשְׂא = 'grass' or 'grasslike plants,' the first verdure that covered the earth young and fresh, appearing after rain, 2 Sam. 23, 4. Job 38, 27, or after the old grass had gone, Prov. 27, 25.

עֵשֶׂב. A wider term including herbs useful for men, Ps. 104, 14. Gen. 3, 18.

תְּרַשָּׂא, a Hif'il denominative from רָשָׂא = 'to make or produce' רִשָּׂא; so מְטַר 'rain,' הַמְטִיר 'to make rain,' שָׂרֵשׁ 'a root,' הַשְּׂרִישׁ 'to send out roots.' Hif'il the causative stem, expressing with denominatives the idea of producing or

putting forth that of which the original noun is the name, Ges., § 53. 2. Rem. 2. So מוֹרֵיעַ זֶרַע.

The construction of a verb with a cognate accusative is common in Hebrew, so in 27, 34 וַיִּצְעַק צַעֲקָה, Zech. 1, 14 קָנָאָה . . . קָנָאָחִי, and often; see Ges., § 138. Rem. 1; M. R., § 36; the same construction occurs again in ver. 20.

Render, 'Let the earth bring forth young grass, herbs yielding seed,' with the accents, עֵשֶׂב being in apposition to רִשָּׁא; see Ges., § 113; M. R., § 71. 2; not as the LXX, βοτάνην χότρον (also Aq. and Th.), Vulg. 'herbam virentem,' connecting רִשָּׁא in the cstr. state with עֵשֶׂב. But רִשָּׁא is never used thus in the O. T., it may be preceded by יֶרֶק 'greenness,' 'viror,' 2 Kings 19, 26. Ps. 37, 2. Is. 37, 27, but cannot be followed by עֵשֶׂב as genitive.

עֵץ פְּרִי 'fruit trees,' עֵץ being used collectively, lit. 'trees of fruit.' The use of words in the singular to denote collective ideas is especially frequent in Hebrew; cf. אָדָם, coll. 'mankind' (without a plural), אֹיִב = 'enemies,' נֶפֶשׁ 'living beings.' Often the fem. ending is employed to express a collective idea, so עֲנָנָה 'clouds,' אֲרָחָה 'caravan,' גּוֹלָה 'captives.' The same principle is implied in the use of כָּל before a following genitive singular, e. g. כָּל־עֵץ, in Eng. 'every tree,' lit. 'the whole of trees;' see Ges., § 108. 1 c; M. R., § 61.

עֵץ פְּרִי. On the cstr. state with a following gen., where in English we find an adj., cf. Ges., § 106. 1; M. R., § 79. 3.

לְמִינֹו 'after its kind.' Emphasis is laid on the fact that each was adapted for continuance; the עֵשֶׂב flowered and so produced its seed; the עֵץ bore fruit containing seed or stones necessary for reproduction. מִין is a common word in P (see Appendix I).

בו אֲשֶׁר זָרְעוּ בו 'in which is its seed,' i. e. for propagation (Di.).

12. ורתוצא. Hif'il, imperf. apoc. with waw conv. from יוצא, a verb פ'י, properly פ'ו. The form is יוציא=יוציא, cf. יקטיל.

לְמִינֵהוּ for לְמִינֵי. The suffix הוּ— for י—except in words that are derived from verbs ל'ה, such as שָׁדָה, מַעֲשָׂה, מְקַנָּה, etc.—outside this word (where it is found fourteen times), only occurs in אֹרְהוּ for אֹרוֹ, Job 25, 3; פִּילְגִישָׁהוּ for פִּילְגִישׁוֹ, Judg. 19, 24; מוֹטָהוּ for מוֹטוֹ, Nah. 1, 13; see Ges., § 91. 1, note b; Ewald, § 247 d; Stade, § 345 c, who remarks that the è of these forms is to be explained as an extension of a short e,—which has arisen out of short a (cf. § 84. 4),—which is still preserved before the suffix of the second pers. masc. sing. in pause, e. g. נִפְשָׁהוּ, שָׁמָדָה.

14. יהי מארות. On the construction here, see Ges., § 147 a, d; M. R., § 133.

מאור. Nouns formed by prefixing מ denote often instruments or places, e. g. מִפְתָּח 'a key,' מוֹלָג 'a fork,' כֹּרְעָה 'a pasture,' מְאָרֵב 'a lurking-place'; see further, Ges., § 84. 14; Ewald, § 160 b; and Stade, § 268 ff. Render, 'luminaries.'

והיו. The perf. with waw conv. in continuation of the jussive יהי, so in 28, 3 והיית . . . יברך אתך, 31, 44 נברתה והיית . . . ברית, Ex. 5, 7; cf. Ges., § 126. 6; M. R., § 24. 1 a; Driver, § 113. 2 a.

”לאותות וגו” may be rendered in three different ways: I. As a *év δὲ δὸς*, 'for signs of seasons, and for days and for years;' see Ges., § 155. 1 a, and cf. 3, 16. II. 'For signs and for set times, and for days and for years.' III. 'For signs, as well for times, as also for days and years.' Against III. Del. remarks that the correlatives 'as well,' 'as also' are not sufficiently clearly expressed by ו . . . ו, as, for example, in Ps. 76, 7; nor is this rendering suitable to the simplicity

of the narrative. On I. it may be remarked that though the hendiadys may be possible in 3, 16, it is by no means necessary there, and Job 10, 17. 2 Chron. 16, 14 (cited by Ges. l. c.) are not parallel. II. is the simplest and best rendering, and is adopted by the Vss., Del., Di., Kn., and others.

אותות. 'The luminaries were to be אותות, i. e. signs, partly in an ordinary way as marks of the different regions of heaven, of the weather, and partly in an extraordinary way, e. g. through eclipses of the sun and moon, the appearances of comets, etc., which were regarded by the ancients as foreshadowing extraordinary events (Joel 3, 3 f. Jer. 10, 2. Matt. 24, 29).' Knobel in Di.

מועדים. מועד from יעד (ועד), 'to fix,' denotes any 'stated place,' as in the phrase אהל מועד 'tent of meeting,' or as here, 'any fixed, stated time;' cf. 17, 21. The מועדים here mean set times or seasons, in particular, stated annual feasts, also periods in animal (cf. Jer. 8, 7, of the stork) and vegetable life, and the seasons suitable to the various occupations and employments of man.

ולימים ושנים. On the pointing ו, cf. Ges., § 104. 2 c; Dav., § 15. 1 c. 'For days and for years,' i. e. for distinguishing and counting the days, some being short, others long, according to the season of the year: the years also being long and short, according as they are reckoned by the sun or moon. The מאורות had a threefold aim: (1) to divide the day and night; (2) to fix the calendar; (3) to give light on the earth.

16. The lights more exactly defined שני. On the various ways in which the numerals may be connected with substantives, see Ges., § 120. 1; M. R., § 96. 1 b. On the article with גדולים, see Ges., § 111. 2; M. R., § 85.

את המאור הגדול. On this method of expressing the comparative, cf. Ges., § 119. 1; M. R., § 86.

וְאֵת הַמְּאֹרֹת הַכּוֹכְבִּים. 'And the lesser light with the stars to govern the night.' וְאֵת הַכּוֹכְבִּים is closely attached to את המאור הקטן; see 2, 9. 12, 17. 34, 29.

18. וְהַבְּרִיל, the ל is pointed with — by Ges., § 10. 2. Rem.; see also Stade, § 105.

20. נֶפֶשׁ חַיָּה 'living beings,' an explanatory apposition to שָׂרָץ; so the Syriac: not as the LXX, Vulg., etc., as a genitive after שָׂרָץ; see on ver. 11 דְּשֵׁא עֵשֶׂב. שָׂרָץ and שָׂרָץ are frequently found in P.

יעֹבֵף. Pilel from עָוַף, a denom. from עוֹף; on this form of the intensive stem, cf. Ges., §§ 72. 7 and 55. 2; Dav., § 40. 6. Pilel, because a great number of birds is meant; at the same time expressing the idea of 'up and down,' 'to and fro;' cf. Di. in loc.

עַל פְּנֵי רְקִיעַ 'in front of,' on the side turned towards the earth, i. e. in the air, for which Hebrew has no special expression (Del., Di.).

21. תַּנִּינִים. LXX, ἀράκη; Syr. ܛܢܝܢܐ; Vulg. 'cete.' From תָּנַן 'to stretch out,' and used Ex. 7, 9. Deut. 32, 33, for a serpent, but more frequently for the crocodile, see Is. 27, 1. 51, 9; and also for other marine animals, Job 7, 12. Ps. 148, 7. Render, 'sea monsters.'

כָּל נֶפֶשׁ הַחַיָּה. כל is an adj., not a substantive (its use as a substantive is only poetical, being then equivalent to the prose חַיִּים). נֶפֶשׁ is practically limited and determined by כל, hence the adj. has the article, though it is absent with the noun: so again in 9, 10. This usage is rare, but is met with occasionally at all periods of the language; see Ges., § 111. 2 a; M. R., § 85. Rem. c; Ewald, § 293 a; and

Journal of Phil., xi. 229 (where nearly all the examples are collected).

אשר אשר שרצו המים 'wherewith the waters swarm.' is the acc. after שרץ. Verbs of *abounding in*, and *wanting*, govern the acc.; see Ges., § 138. 3; M. R., § 35; and 9, 2.

לְמִינֵיהֶם *scriptio defectiva*, for לְמִינֵיהֶם; cf. 4, 4 חֲלִבָּהּוּ.

עוף כנף 'birds (coll.) of wing.' On the construction of a subs., where in English an adj. is used, see Ges., § 106. 1; M. R., § 79.

לְמִינֵהוּ. See on ver. 12.

22. ויברך. On the position of the tone, cf. the note on ver. 7.

24. וחיתו. חיתו with the old, so-called case ending ו. Probably the ending ו was that of the nominative; compare the Arabic nominative ending *u*, but in Hebrew its distinctive use as a mark of the nom. was lost. These terminations ו or ו, also the ending י, have no meaning in Hebrew, and are retained as mere binding vowels in particular phrases (י especially in participles before a preposition) as archaisms, or in imitation of archaisms; see Ges., § 90. 3 b; Dav., § 17; Stade, § 344; and cf. בָּנוּ בְּעַר in Num. 24, 3. 15, and מְעִינֵי מַיִם in Ps. 114, 8.

חֵית הָאָרֶץ is one of the characteristic expressions of P.

26. נעשה 'let us make.' The voluntative is hardly ever found with ה cohortative in verbs ל"ה and ל"א; cf. Ges., §§ 75. 6 and 128; M. R., § 8; and Driver, c. iv, esp. § 47.

We have the plural again in 11, 7 and Is. 6, 8, and it has been explained in various ways.

I. The Fathers here see a reference to the Trinity, and many moderns have followed them; but as Del. (*Comm.*, 4th ed., p. 101) and Oehl. (*Theol. of O. T.*, § 36) remark, the

mysterium Trinitatis is not sufficiently manifest in the O. T. to warrant this interpretation. II. Tuch and others account for the plural on the ground that in a case of reflection or self-consultation, the subject stands as the object, in anti-thesis to itself, the speaker conceiving himself as addressing himself; cf. Del. on Is. 6, 8; Tuch, *Comm.*, 2nd ed., p. 23. But as Del. and Di. point out, there is no proof of the existence of such a plural. III. Kn. and others explain the plural from the custom which monarchs have of using the first person plural in decrees, etc.; but though this occurs continually in the Qoran, and is found in the Bible. Ezr. 4, 18. 1 Macc. 10, 19. 11, 31. 15, 9 (of Persian and Greek rulers), it was never used in this way by the Hebrews. IV. Di., *Comm.*, p. 29, proposes a new explanation; his words are, 'We should rather remember that the Hebrew who speaks of God as אלהים in the plural, regarded Him as the living, personal conception of a fullness of power and might; God could thus, differently from men, speak of Himself in the plural.' A plausible explanation, but perhaps hardly so natural as the one Del. adopts. V. Del. and others seem to be right when they refer the plural, as in Is. 6, 8, to the angels. God announces to them His resolve to create man, without however allowing them to participate in His creation: cf. Del. here, and for the idea 1 Kings 22, 19-22. Dan. 4, 14. 7, 10. Job 1. Luke 2, 9. This is an old interpretation, and is the one adopted by Philo (*διαλέγεται ὁ τῶν ὄλων πατήρ ταῖς ἑαυτοῦ δυνάμεσιν*, quoted by Del., p. 101), Targ. Jon., which has "וַאֲמַר יְיָ לְמַלְאכָיו דְּמִשְׁפִּישֵׁן קוֹמוֹי וְגו' (‘*Y. spake to His angels who minister before Him,*’ etc.), Rashi, Ibn Ezra. Is. 40, 13. 14 and 44, 24 are cited against this view, but are not conclusive: for as Del. on this passage remarks, ‘A co-ordinate sharing in the act of *creation* He does not grant them, any more than

in Is. 6, 8 in the act of *sending*: but He invites their participation or interest in what He is doing, as the creating of a being, who although of the earth, yet stands in a close relation to them and to Him, is the point now in question.'

אדם '*mankind*,' collective, as the pl. ירדו shows.

אדם, so called, according to one view, as belonging to the earth (אֶרֶץ), '*the earth-born*,' γηγενής, γήινος. Another derivation is from אָדָם '*to be red*,' cf. Joseph., *Ant.*, i. 1. 2 ('Αδαμος σημαίνει πυρρὸς ἐπειδήπερ ἀπὸ τῆς πυρρῆς γῆς φυραθείσης ἐγγεγόνει); so many moderns, e.g. Ges., Tuch. Another derivation is from the meaning of the root preserved in Arabic, '*to attach oneself to*,' so אדם = '*animal sociabile*.' All these are uncertain. 'It is obvious that the derivation from אָדָם cannot be philologically defended, but any certain etymology for אדם has not as yet been found, any more than one for the Latin *homo*,' cf. Di., p. 52; Del., p. 117.

"בצלמנו וגו'. צלם = '*figure*,' '*image*,' εἰκών. דמות is more abstract = '*likeness*,' ὁμοίωσις. 'The Greek and Latin Fathers make a distinction between צלם and דמות, referring צלם to the physical or inborn, דמות to the ethical or receptive side of the Divine image (Ebenbild),' Di. But the absence of ו between the two words (only the LXX have καί), and a comparison of ver. 27, where only the one, with 5, 1, where only the other occurs, do not favour this view. The two words are almost synonymous, the second being added to emphasize the first (Di.).

דגת, collective, '*fishes*' = דגי הים, 9, 2. Ps. 8, 9.

27. ברא אתו אדם '*made He him*,' i. e. mankind. אדם conceived as collective, mankind in general being spoken of.

אתם זכר ונקבה ברא אתם '*male and female made He them*,' the two sexes are mentioned, hence the plural אֲתֶם.

28. פָּרוּ וּרְבוּ. פָּרָה joined with רָבָה is characteristic of P. הרְמִשָּׁת. The art. supplies the place of the relative in English; see Ges., § 109. R.; M. R., § 92. Rem. a; Ewald, § 335 a.

29. נָתַתִּי for נָתַתִּי; see Ges., § 66. 2. Rem. 3. 'I give,' the prophetic perf., 'the event being regarded as so certain, it is already conceived of as actually come to pass;' cf. Ges., § 126. 4; M. R. 3. 1 a; more fully, Driver, §§ 13, 14. See 9, 13. 15, 18. 17, 20. 23, 11. 13. 41, 41.

בו אֲשֶׁר, lit. 'which is in it,' i.e. 'wherein,' see Ges., § 123. 1.

30. "את כל ירק וגו'". The verb נתתי here seems to have dropped out. To make the acc. dependent on the נתתי in ver. 29 is difficult, as לכם יהיה intervenes. The Vulg. paraphrases 'ut habeant ad vescendum.' The Arab. adds جَعَلْتُهُ 'I have appointed it' or 'set it.'

כל ירק עשב = 'all verdure of herbs;' cf. Ex. 10, 15 ולא נותר כל ירק בעין.

עשב = 'herb,' in its widest sense, the green of it being emphasized as that which animals commonly live on.

31. יום הששי. Common words like יום are sometimes treated as definite in themselves, and may then dispense with the article; cf. 2, 3 יום השביעי (also Ex. 20, 10). 1 Kings 7, 12 חצר הגדולה, also ver. 8 חצר האחרת, Ez. 40, 28. 31. 2 Chron. 23, 20 שער העליון, Neh. 3, 6 שער הישנה, also *Journ. Phil.*, xi. 229 f. On the relation in which the Cosmogony of Genesis stands to modern science, comp. Prof. Driver in the *Expositor*, Jan. 1886, pp. 23-45.

2.

1. השמים והארץ, applied zeugmatically to צבאם. The phrase 'host of heaven' is common in the O. T., e.g. 1 Kings

22, 19 (= 'the angel hosts'). Josh. 5, 14 f. (= 'army or host of God'). Ps. 103, 21 (of the elements). The phrase 'host of the earth,' here due to the צבא השמים, is not common elsewhere, we find instead מלא הארץ, Is. 34, 1.

2. ויכל. 'And God ended,' not as a pluperfect as some render. It is very doubtful whether an imperf. with waw conv. can stand for a pluperfect, if no perfect in a pluperfect sense precedes, and is scarcely consistent with the meaning of the idiom; cf. on 1, 4. When a writer wishes to mark that a pluperfect sense is necessary, he usually separates the ו from the verb, which then naturally passes over into the perfect (ואלהים כלה). For a full discussion of the question and an examination of the instances in which waw conv. with the imperfect has been supposed to be equivalent to a pluperfect, see Driver, § 76. Obs.

כלה = here 'to bring to an end,' 'to leave off;' cf. Ex. 34, 33. 1 Sam. 10, 13. Ez. 43, 23 (where כלה occurs with מן). This 'leaving off or resting' fills up the seventh day, just as the work of creation the six preceding days. 'God did not create anything on the seventh day,' Kn. The Sam., LXX, Syr., Ber. Rab. read ששי for שביעי; an intentional alteration to avoid the idea that God created anything on the seventh day.

מלאכתו from מלאכה, which has arisen out of מלאכה, the weak letter א surrendering its vowel to the preceding vowelless consonant, and the pathach under the prefix מ disappearing and its place being taken by shewa vocal; cf. Stade, § 110 c and § 112 b; Ges., § 23. 2 and § 95. iii. In the form with the suffix ו, the pathach under the ל is due to the syllable being short and unaccented.

3. ויברך. If the penult. is an open syllable waw conv. frequently draws back the tone on to it, leaving the last

syllable a short unaccented syllable; see Dav., § 23. 3 b; Driver, § 69; Ges., § 49. 2 b. Cf. I, II. 22, and often.

את יום השביעי. Cf. on I, 31.

אשר ברא אלהים לעשות. Two renderings are possible, (I) 'which God created in respect of making,' *quae creaverat Deus faciendo*. The inf. cstr. being used to define a preceding verb, as in Judg. 9, 56 להרג . . . אשר עשה; 2 Kings 19, 11 להחרים . . . עשו; Ps. 103, 20 לשמע עשי דבריו; cf. Ges., § 45. 2; Ewald, § 280 d; M. R., § 113 ad fin. But as ברא in this construction would be followed by אשר (= מלאכה), which is against the usage of the language, and for which עשה מלאכה would stand, Di. (II) prefers rendering with Ewald, § 285 a, 'in making which he created,' i. e. 'which he made creating,' אשר being acc. after לעשות, and the latter word being defined by ברא; cf. הנדיל לעשות, הפליא להעזר, הגדיל לעשות, הרבה להתפלל. The LXX have ὁ θεὸς ποιῆσαι, a paraphrase. The Syriac ܐܫܪ ܕܥܫܐ ܕܥܫܐ, as the Hebrew, so Onq. ܕܒܪܐ ܕܡܥܒܕ. Vulg. 'quod creavit Deus ut faceret.'

4. אלה תולדות. 'These are the generations of the heavens and the earth when they were created.' תולדות,—which only occurs in the pl. cstr. state,—when it stands before a proper name signifies 'generations,' not as a *nom. act.*, but in the sense 'those who are brought forth,' so = family, 'the details about those who spring from any one;' hence in the title of a book or chapter, 'the history of the families springing from any one.' LXX, γενέσεις; in this passage βιβλος γενέσεως. Here תולדות = the 'creatures,' i. e. 'the things brought into existence when heaven and earth were created.' Elsewhere תולדות always refers to what follows, e. g. 5, 1. 6, 9. 10, 1, but in this chap. no history of the heavens and the earth follows, so Schrader and others suppose that this half verse properly

ought to precede 1, 1, its present position being perhaps due to the compiler of the book, who inserted it here in order to form a transition to 2, 4 b, ff. The אלה (as it stands now) points backwards, and may be rendered, 'Such then are the generations:.' so Job 18, 21. Ps. 73, 12. Gen. 10, 21. 31, 32. Cf. further, Del., *Comm.*, p. 111; Di., p. 37; Tuch, p. 49. The heading תולדות אלה is peculiar to P: so 5, 1. 6, 9. 10, 1, etc.

יהוה אלהים, the combined name 'Yahweh Elohim,' is only found once again in the Pent. (viz. Ex. 9, 30) outside Genesis, but occurs in Joshua. On the Tetragrammaton, יהוה, cf. Appendix II. P uses אלהים till Ex. 6, 3.

ארץ ושמים. Only once again, in Ps. 148, 13.

בהבראם. Inf. Nif. with ב prefixed, and the suff. of the third pers. pl. masc., from ברא. The ה is written smaller than the other letters, and is marked by the Massoretes "הַ זְעִירָא i. e. *He small*. Tuch remarks on this: 'The ה minusc. in בהבראם (cf. 5, 2) has a critical significance, and points to a variant reading, Qal (viz. בְּבִרְאָם), not Hof., as Rosenmüller thinks. Similarly Lev. 1, 1.' Cf. Di., p. 38, who apparently endorses this view. Other instances of letters written smaller or larger than the other letters will be found in Strack, *Prolegomena critica*, p. 92, e.g. *litterae majusculae* in Lev. 11, 42, נָחַן, with waw larger than the other three letters; in Num. 14, 17, י in יִגְדֹּל; in Deut. 34, 12, ל in לְיִשְׂרָאֵל; and *litterae minusculae* in Deut. 32, 18, י in תִּשִׁי; Esth. 9, 9, שׁ in פִּרְכֵי־שִׁתָּא: see also Bleek (*Introduction*, § 357 f.) [Eng. transl.], or Keil (*Introduction*, § 205) [Eng. transl.]. A list of the '*litterae majusculae et minusculae*' will be found in *Ochla we Ochla* (ed. Frensdorff), Nos. 82–84; Buxtorf, *Tiberias* (1665 ed.). They are not expressly mentioned in the Talmud, and probably in

the course of time became more numerous. Buxtorf, l. c., enumerates thirty-one instances of the *lit. majusc.*, and thirty-two of the *lit. minusc.* The Jews give fanciful explanations. The two following—viz. on this passage, and 23, 2—are cited from the *Tiberias*, p. 147 ff. “ה in voce בהבראם “*quando creata fuerant illa,*” nempe, coelum et terra, Gen. 2, 4. Ad indicandum, fore ut omnia creata minuantur et intereant: et ut littera ה constat ex lineis dissolutis et ab invicem separatis, sic creata cuncta dissolventur, sicut scriptum est: “*Coeli velut fumus evanescent, et terra ut pannus veterascet, et habitatores ejus similiter morientur,*” Is. 51, 6. Hebraei litterarum mysteria sectantes, notant innui transpositionem hujus litterae, ut ex בהבראם fiat באברהם “*propter Abraham,*” i. e. propter fideles creatum esse mundum: illi enim soli Deum propter admiranda creationis opera laudant.’ And on the small כ in 23, 2 (p. 152): ‘ad indicandum, planctum et luctum propter mortuos, viris minuendum esse, ne modum excedat, quod et Abrahamum fecisse, externo litterae signo indicatum fuit.’ See another Jewish explanation of Gen. 2, 4, from the Talmud, *Tract. Menachoth*, fol. 29, col. 2, in Hershon, *The Pentateuch according to the Talmud*, p. 92 (Eng. transl.).

Render, ‘*When they were created,*’ lit. ‘*in their being created;*’ a common use of the inf. cstr., like the Greek construction ἐν τῷ, with the inf.; see Ges., § 132. 1, 2, 3; M. R., § 111 b.

4^b. The narrative begins here. ‘*In the day of God’s making,*’ i. e. ‘*when God made,*’ etc. On the construction, see Ges., § 133. 2, 3; M. R., § 111 a, § 118.

ביום, = lit. ‘*in the day of,*’ is freely used for ‘*at the time of;*’ so 3, 5 ביום אכלכם; Is. 11, 16 ביום עלותו; Jer. 11, 7 ביום העלתי. ברא = עשות in P.

The apodosis to 4^b, ביום עשות, may be either ver. 7 or ver. 5.

If we take ver. 7 as the apodosis, then vers. 5, 6 will be a parenthesis descriptive of the earth's condition before God created mankind, and we should have to render it as follows:—
'When Yahweh Elohim made earth and heaven (now no shrub of the field was yet on the earth, and no herb of the field had yet sprung up; for Yahweh Elohim had not sent rain upon the earth, and there was no man to till the ground; and a mist used to go up and water all the surface of the ground), then Yahweh Elohim formed,' etc. So Di.

If we make ver. 5 the apodosis, then the rendering would be, *'When Yahweh Elohim made earth and heaven, then there was no shrub of the field,'* etc. So Tuch.

Against the first rendering it may be urged that the construction is too involved, and seems to identify a *period* (ver. 6), with a *point* (ver. 7) of time. To make **וכל שיה** the apodosis to 4^b is against the division of the verses and the syntax (Del.); cf., however, on the latter point, Driver, §§ 123 and 124, who cites Ex. 25, 9. Josh. 3, 3, and other instances of the imperf. separated from ו, after a time determination, and treats this passage similarly. The argument, too, from the division of the verses is hardly conclusive. Del. takes apparently 4^b and 4^a, after the analogy of 5, 1, as belonging together, and regards vers. 5 and 6 as independent sentences introductory to ver. 7, which beginning with **ויצר** (*'so he formed'*) expresses the main point, viz. the creation of man.

5. On the imperf. after **טרם**, cf. Ges., § 127. 4 a; M. R., § 6. 1; Ewald, § 337 c; Driver, § 27 b. Ewald, l. c., remarks that **טרם** for the most part stands in circumstantial clauses, preceded by the subject.

כל indefinite, and with the negative = *'nonc,'* Germ. *kein*: cf. Ges., § 152. 1; M. R., § 142; Ewald, § 323 b. On **אין**, see Ges., § 152. 1; Ewald, § 321 a; M. R., § 140.

On the position of אֵין in the sentence, cf. Num. 20, 5 וּמִים אֵין, M. R., § 79. 6 b. Rem. a.

6. יַעֲלֶה. The imperf. used in a frequentative sense, and followed by a perfect with waw conv. והִשָּׁקָה. The companion construction to the imperf. with waw conv. is that of the perfect with waw conv. According to Ewald, § 234 a, b. this construction was originally due to the opposite construction of the perfect, followed by an imperfect with waw conv.; just as the two tenses are in many aspects opposite one to the other, so the peculiar idiomatic use of the one, generated a corresponding idiomatic use of the other as its counterpart. Ols., cited by Professor Driver, *Tenses*, p. 141, remarks that this use of the perfect rests originally on a 'play of the imagination,' in virtue of which an action when brought into relation with a preceding occurrence as its *consequence*, from the character of inevitability it then assumes, is contemplated as actually completed. In this construction 'the nascent action (i. e. the action of the imperf.) is conceived of as advancing to completion (the action of the perfect with waw conv.), as no longer remaining in suspension, but as being (so to say) precipitated.' Driver, *Tenses*, p. 141. Compare c. viii, where a full discussion of this idiom will be found, and the rules concerning the shifting of the tone one place forward with the waw conv. are noted. When the waw and the verb are separated, the imperf. reappears. Cf. also Ewald, § 136 b and § 342 b, 1; M. R., §§ 23 and 25; Ges., § 126. 6 d. Other instances of the imperf. as a frequentative, followed by a perf. with waw conv., are 6, 4. 29, 2. 3 והִשָּׁקוּ . . . וּגִלְלוּ . . . וּנְאֻסְפוּ . . . יִשְׁקוּ; והִשִּׁיבוּ; 1 Kings 14, 28 והִשִּׁיבוּם . . . יִשְׁאוּם; 2 Kings 3, 25 וּשְׁלִיכוּ . . . וּמִלְאוּהָ, etc.

אֵר only occurs in this passage and Job 36, 27. The LXX render here by πηγή, and in Job, l. c., by νεφέλη, which is also Onqelos' rendering here (אֵרֵנָה). Syr. and Vulg. have respectively مَدَدُهُ and 'fons.' Saadiah agrees with the ordinary rendering 'mist,' 'vapour,' بُخَارٌ. The word אֵר appears to be confined to Hebrew. Ges. in the *Thesaurus*, p. 35 (as Professor Driver has pointed out to me), is in error when he says that the word אֵר is used in the Targ., Job 3, 5. Prov. 23, 33. He has accidentally written 'Targum' for 'the Commentary of Rabbi Levi ben Gerson' (of Provence, died 1370), cited by Buxtorf, *Lexicon. Chald. Talm. et Rabb.*, p. 69. The mistake is repeated in the 9th ed. of the *H. W. B.* of Gesenius, p. 10 b.

7. וַיִּצֵר. On the form of this פ"י verb, see Ges., § 70. 1; Dav., § 39. 2.

הָאָדָם. On the derivation of אָדָם, compare the note on 1, 26. The author connects אָדָם with אָדָמָה, as though he would imply that man bore in his name a mark of his earthly origin. On the article with אָדָם, cf. Ges., § 109. 2; Ewald, 277 c; M. R., § 66. Rem. a.

עֵפֶר is a second accusative, specifying or defining the material used in the operation; see Ewald, § 284 a. 1; M. R., § 45. 5; Driver, § 195. 1 (Tertiary predicate). Cf. Ex. 20, 25.

חַיִּים. The masc. plural used to form an abstract noun. 'The plural may serve to collect together the scattered items into a higher idea, so as to form the signification of an abstract,' Ewald, § 179, who gives as other instances נַדְדִים 'flittings,' Job 7, 4; עוֹעִים 'perverseness;' סְנוּרִים 'blindness;' cf. also Stade, § 324 b, who remarks 'that חַיִּים is the only word of this sort in general use, the other instances that occur being archaisms, and belonging to the conventional

language of the Law, or of Poets or Prophets.' See also Ges., § 108. 2 a.

וַיְהִי לִנְפֶשׁ In the sense of 'become,' *היה* ל, cf. 17, 4. 18, 18, etc., is more frequent than *היה*, followed by the simple subst., as in 4, 20. 21. 19, 26.

נְפֶשׁ in Heb. = the breath of life that is in every individual being. Man derives this breath of life from God immediately (Job 27, 3. Is. 42, 5), animals from the earth (1, 20. 24), and so only mediately from God, yet participating in God's spirit (Job 34, 14 f. Ps. 104, 30). In this direct inspiration lies man's pre-eminence over the animal world, stress being laid on the manner in which man 'became a living soul.' He comes into existence as a personal being in a personal relation with God. Cf. Oehl., § 70. Onq. renders *חיה* נפש by ריח מְמַלְלָה 'a talking spirit.'

8. גן בעדן. 'A garden in Eden.' עֵדֵן as an appellative means 'loveliness,' 'delight,' but is here clearly the name of the place where the garden was situated. The LXX render here *παράδεισον ἐν* Ἐδέμ; ver. 15 (incorrectly) *παράδεισῳ τῆς τρυφῆς*, so 3, 24, and Vulg. 'Paradisum voluptatis.' Syr. has *قَدْ مَعَهَا دَحْبِي*, Saadiah *جَنَانًا فِي عَدْنٍ*. Schr., *K.A.T.*, 2nd ed., p. 26 f., says 'Eden,' Heb. עֵדֵן, has originally nothing to do with עֵדֵן, pl. עֵדֵנִים 'loveliness,' but is a word that came over to the Hebrews from the Babylonians, meaning properly 'field,' 'plain,' in Assyrian *i-di-nu*. עֵדֵן, pointed with *עֵדֵן*, to distinguish it perhaps from עֵדֵן with *עֵדֵן*, is a pr. n., the name of a district in Mesopotamia, or Assyria, which, according to 2 Kings 19, 12. Is. 37, 12, came under the rule of Assyria. עֵדֵן has not yet been identified; cf. further, Di., p. 51; Del., p. 120.

מִקְדָּם is local, not temporal (for *ויטע* is against this), = 'eastwards,' 'on the east of' (a further definition of the position

of Eden; cf. 3, 24. 11, 2. 13, 11), i. e. from the standpoint of the narrator in Palestine.

9. **וּיִצְמַח**. The shortened form of the Hif'. imperf.; see Ges., § 65. 1 c. Rem.; Dav., § 37. 1, 2.

וְעֵץ הַדַּעַת טוֹב וְרָע = 'and the tree of knowledge of (lit. of the knowing) good and evil;' i. e. the tree, the partaking of the fruit of which would cause persons to know good and evil.

טוֹב וְרָע cannot be genitive after **דַּעַת**, as a word defined by being in the construct state does not take the article, but must be regarded as the accusative; cf. Jer. 22, 16 **הֲלֹא הִיא אֲתִי הַדַּעַת אֲתִי** 'was not that the knowing me?' see Ewald, § 236 a; M. R., § 110. Rem.; Ges., § 133. 1. The article prefixed to an inf. cstr. is very rare.

טוֹב וְרָע. On the pointing of ו with — , see on 1, 2.

10. 'And a river was going out of Eden, to water the garden; and from thence it separated itself, and became four branches.'

יוֹצֵא. The part. denoting continuous, unintermittent action; see Driver, § 21; M. R., § 14. 2 a.

יִפְרָד. On the imperf. as a freq. in past time, see Driver, § 30 a; M. R., § 6. 2 a.

וְהָיָה; cf. on **וְהִשְׁקָה**, ver. 6.

11. **פִּישוֹן** =, according to Gesenius, 'streaming,' or 'stream,' from a root **פּוּשׁ** 'to burst forth.' It is not found again in the Canonical books of the Old Testament, but is mentioned in Ecclesiasticus 24, 25, together with the Tigris. The Arabic of Saadiah has **النَّيْلُ** 'the Nile.' The other versions follow the Heb. text. Its position is more closely defined by the mention of the land (**חִוִּלָּה**) round which it flows. Joseph. (*Ant.*, i. 1. 3), the Fathers (Euseb., Aug., Hier.),

and others identify it with the Ganges; Deland and others consider it is the Phasis; Del. and the moderns, the Indus. החוילה occurs only here with the art.; in 10, 7, 29, it is mentioned partly among the Cushites, and partly among the sons of Joqtan, together with Ophir. It also occurs in the phrase (25, 18) מחוילה עד שור; cf. 1 Sam. 15, 7.

Havila in 25, 18 and 1 Sam. 15, 7 seems to have been the eastern frontier of the Ishmaelites and Amalekites on the Persian gulf. The moderns identify the Havila of this verse with India; according to their view פישון is the Indus. That one of the rivers here mentioned was an Indian one, was the view prevalent among the ancients; and the identification of החילה with India, and פישון with the Indus, is strengthened by the fact that the products of the land of חוילה, viz. ברלח, זהב, ששהם, are mentioned by ancient writers as being found in India; the gold of the Indus district being celebrated among classical writers, as that of Ophir was among biblical: cf. Her., iii. 106; Diod. Sic., ii. 36; Curt., viii. 9. 18. Cf. 1 Kings 10, 11. Ps. 45, 10. Job 22, 24: see further, Del., p. 123; Di., p. 59¹. החילה has the article by Ewald, § 277 c; cf. ver. 7. It seems to indicate, as Di. remarks, that the Hebrews had not then forgotten the original meaning of the word, 'the sand land' *par excellence*. The החילה mentioned in 10, 7 (cf. 1 Chron. 1, 9) among the sons of Cush seems to denote a place distinct from those intended here, and in 25, 18. 1 Sam. 15, 7, which is perhaps to be identified with the modern Zeila on the Abyssinian coast, south of Bab-el-Mandeb. Cf. the note on 10, 7.

הוא הסבב = 'that is the one encompassing the whole land of Havila.' The article with the predicate by Ges., § 110. 4.

¹ The name may possibly = 'Sandland,' or 'Land of golden sand,' connected with חול 'sand.'

note; Driver, § 135. 7. סָבַב without the article would = 'is encompassing.' The word סָבַב does not of necessity imply a complete surrounding; cf. Num. 21, 4. Judg. 11, 18. Ps. 26, 6.

On the relative construction אֲשֶׁר שָׁם, cf. Ges., § 123. 1; M. R., § 156 d.

12. וְזָהָב. The ו is pointed ו by Ges., § 104. 2 c. On the ֿ under the ז, to emphasize the sibilant, see Ges., § 10. 2. Rem. b; Stade, § 105. Cf. 3, 17 (תִּאֲבָלָנָה). 25, 22. 27, 26. 29, 3. 8 (גִּלְגָּלִי). ו is marked with metheg, as in Judg. 5, 12, וַיִּשְׁבְּהָ : see Ges., § 16. 2, 1 a; Stade, § 52 d.

הוּא in the Pent., with the exception of eleven places, is of common gender. The punctuators, however, by pointing it הוּא, indicate that they meant it to be read as הוּיָא, the usual form of the fem.: cf. Ewald, § 184 c; Ges., § 32. iii. 6; Stade, § 171 c. 2. This has usually been explained as an archaism, but Nöld., *Z. D. M. G.*, xx. (1866), p. 458, has pointed out that this cannot be philologically sustained, if we compare the other Semitic languages, all of which exhibit a fem. הוּיָא. He, shewing that the double form must have existed *before* the different branches of the Semitic race had parted from their common home, rejects the supposition that the fem. הוּיָא was at an early date lost, and again introduced into the language at a later period from the Aramaic, but admits that he has no plausible solution of the anomaly to offer. Only he is convinced 'that it cannot be explained as an archaism (dass es mit dem Archaismus nichts ist); at the most it might be an artificial archaism.' Stade, § 171 c. 2, regards it as 'a mistake of tradition,' and adds, 'probably the use of הוּא for both genders arose from a MS., which both for הוּא and הוּיָא wrote defectively הוּא, as it is found on the Moabite stone and

Phoenician inscriptions. This הָא was thoughtlessly always miswritten הוּא.' Del., in the *'Zeitschrift für Kirchliche Wissenschaft und Kirchliches Leben,'* i. p. 393 ff., has accepted Nöldeke's statement that it cannot be an archaism, and accounts for the fem. as follows: 'Though through all Hebrew, even in the post-biblical literature (cf. p. 395 of his article), the distinction of gender was not sharply defined; yet at the time of the revision of the text, the use of הוּא for the fem. was regarded as a mistake (for outside the Pentateuch it is unheard of, and not found in the Hebrew-Samaritan Pentateuch). In the recension of the text however it was presupposed that in the language at the time of Moses, although it possessed for the fem. the form הִיא, the use of הוּא as of double gender prevailed, and the distinction of gender was at the lowest stage of its development.'

Stade's view, which is adopted by Kautzsch (cf. *Ges., Gram.,* l. c., foot-note), that הִיא and הוּא were both originally written הָא, and that the last redactors of the text have almost everywhere written this הוּא without regard to gender, is borne out by the הָא on the Moabite stone, line 6, וַיֹּאמֶר גַּם הָא, וַיֹּאמֶר גַּם הָא, and line 27, בֵּית פְּמוֹת פִּי = בַּת בַּמַּת כִּי הָרַס הָא, וַיֹּאמֶר גַּם הָא (cf. Mic. 5, 1, בֵּית לֶחֶם, masculine); and the Phoenician inscription of Ešmunazar, king of Sidon, line 22, הַמַּמְלָכָה הִיא = הָא in Heb.; cf. Schröd., *Phoen. Gram.,* pp. 144, 225. This is probably the best explanation of the anomaly¹. The art. is pointed according to *Ges.,* § 35. 2 A; *Dav.,* § 11 b. הוּא is here defined by the art. by *Ges.,* § 111. 2; *M. R.,* § 85.

¹ It is not certain that הוּא = הִיא is confined to the Pentateuch. It seems to be found in the *Codex Petropolitanus* (916 A.D.), edited by Dr. Strack, 1876. Delitzsch denies this (see p. 394 of his article), but admits that the distinction between ו and ׳ is very slight.

הברלח. ‘*Bdellium*,’ a transparent kind of gum, with a pleasant smell, and of wax-like appearance; found, according to Pliny, in India, Arabia, Media, and Babylonia: so Jos., Aq., Symm., Theod., and Vulg. In Greek the by-forms βδέλλα, μάδελλον occur. The LXX have ἀνθραξ here, but in Num. 11, 7 (the only other passage where the word occurs) κρύσταλλος, regarding ברלח as a stone, but this would have required קָּ before it. The Syriac has ܕܥܫܬܐܘܠܐ (reading ܐ for ܐ), which apparently can be used of pearls or crystals. Saad. and others render *pearls* (so also Ges. in *Th.*), which meaning would be suitable here—between זהב and שהם—but hardly in Num. 11, 7 (Del.), and according to Tuch was first derived from this passage in order that some object of equal value with זהב and שהם might be mentioned; but cf. 1 Kings 10, 2. 10. The etymology is doubtful.

השהם. The art. as in הזהב, according to Ges., § 109. 3. Rem. 1 b; M. R., § 68. The LXX here give ὁ λίθος ὁ πρᾶσιμος, perhaps meaning the beryl, Vulg. ‘*lapis onychinus*,’ Syr. ܕܥܫܬܐܘܠܐ, Onq. ܕܥܫܬܐܘܠܐ. Elsewhere variously rendered, onyx, sardonyx, sardius, which all belong to the same species (chalcidony), or beryl (more correctly chrysopras): cf. *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., Di. in loco. The etymology is doubtful.

13. גִּיחֹן. A similar formation to פִּישֹׁן. From גִּיחַ ‘*to burst forth*.’ This river flowed round the land of כּוּשׁ, and is quite distinct from the גִּיחֹן mentioned in 2 Chron. 32, 30. 1 Kings 1, 33. 38. 45. 2 Chron. 33, 14. The LXX have here Γεῶν, Vulg. ‘*Gchon*,’ so the other Vss. The LXX in Jer. 2, 18 translate the Heb. גִּיחֹר, Nile, by Γεῶν; cf. Ecclesiasticus 24, 27. Josephus and the Fathers also consider the Nile the river here meant, so many moderns. כּוּשׁ is Ethiopia. Thus if גִּיחֹן is the Nile, we have a river, taking its source in Asia, flowing round the African כּוּשׁ! Others consider כּוּשׁ as representing

only the Asiatic Cushites, and identify גיחון with either the Ganges or Oxus. Reland identifies it with the Araxes. Del. and Di. decide for the Nile, explaining the anomaly above noted, as having arisen through the ignorance of the ancients of geography; see their commentaries in loco.

14. חֲדָקַל, = 'the Tigris,' occurs again Dan. 10, 4. The Heb. name agrees with the Sumerian *Idigna*, and the Bab.-Assyr. *Idiglat* (Schr., *K. A. T.*, 2nd ed., 32 f.; Del., *Par.*, 170). In Aramaic the name is דִּינְלָת (so Onq. here); the Pesh. has ܕܝܢܠܐ, Arab. دجلة. 'The Aryan name (Old Persian *Tigra*, Pahlawi Դգրտ, Greek *Tίγρης*, *Tίγρις*), according to the express tradition of the ancients (Strabo, xi. 14, 8; Pliny, vi. 31; Curt., iv. 9), designates the river as "the arrow-swift," Old Baktrian *tighra* = "pointed," *tighri* = "arrow." Dillmann.

קִדְמַת. Render, 'in front of Assyria,' i. e. from the standpoint of the narrator; so LXX *κατέναντι*, Pesh. ܕܥܡܘܩܕܝܢ. Others (the Targg., Aq., Tuch) render 'east of,' thus including Mesopotamia in the term אֲשׁוּר; but then the narrator could not have spoken of the Tigris as being east of Assyria, for he must have known that Assyria extended far east of the Tigris. Mesopotamia, too, is called אֲרַם נְהָרִים in 24, 10; for this meaning of קִדְמַת, cf. 4, 16.

פֶּרַת. 'The Euphrates,' not further defined, as being familiar to every Hebrew reader. It is often mentioned in O. T. as 'the great river,' or 'the river' *κατ' ἑξοχῆν*. Together with the Heb.-Aramaic name, we have now the Old Persian 'Ufratu,' and the Babylonian-Assyrian 'Burattuw,' 'Purātu.' A Semitic etymology is still unknown; see Di. in loc., and M. and V. in *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., p. 692 b.

15. וַיִּנְחָהּ. The Hif. imperf. with waw conv. from

נוח: see Ges., § 72. Rem. 9; Dav., § 40, esp. Rem. c. N. B. הניח = 'to cause to rest,' הניח 'to place,' 'set,' 'lay down.'

לעבדה ולשמרה. The inf. cstr. with suffixes follows the analogy of the segholate nouns: see Ges., § 61. 1; Dav., § 31. 4.

16. ויצו על 'laid a command on him.' More usually צוה, = 'to command,' is followed by an acc., or the prep. ל, or אל (cf. 28, 6), the words of the command being introduced by לאמר.

אכל תאכל. 'Thou mayest indeed eat.' The inf. abs. being prefixed to the verb. 'The inf. abs. expresses the idea of the verb simply, without conditions of person, mood, etc.; hence, when it precedes the finite verb, there is first the idea bare, and then the idea modified; and the effect of the whole is to express with some variety of emphasis the *fact* (not the *quality*) of the action as now predicated in the finite verb.' Dav., § 27, rule at end. See also Ewald, § 312 a; Ges., § 131. 3 a.

On the potential use of the imperf., see Driver, § 38 a; Ges., § 127. 3 d; M. R., § 7. 2 b.

אכל is one of the five verbs that form their imperf. with holem in the first syllable: see Ges., § 68. 1; Dav., § 35.

17. 'But from the tree of knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it.' On the preposition with the suffix, repeating the substantive (a use allied to that of the *casus pendens*), see Driver, § 197. 6. Obs. 1; Ewald, § 309 a, ad fin.

אכלך is an instance of an inf. cstr. with the suffix departing from the analogy of segholate nouns: cf. ver. 15, and see Ges., § 61. 1. Rem. 2.

18. **לא טוב היות**. The inf. cstr. as the subject to a sentence: cf. Ges., § 132. 1 a; M. R., §§ 112 b and 115.

ואעשה לו. The ל of לו has a *dag. forte conjunctivum* or *euphonicum*: see Ges., § 20. 2; Dav., § 7. 4, foot-note; cf. ver. 28. The LXX and Vulg. here have read the plural, to bring the text into conformity with 1, 26.

עזר כנגדו, lit. 'a help as before him,' i. e. 'a help corresponding to him,' 'meet for him,' A.V. LXX have here *κατ' αὐτόν*, in ver. 20 *ὁμοιος αὐτῷ*; so the Syr. and Vulg. In Rabbinic, **כנגד** = 'corresponding to:' see Ges., *Thes.*, p. 847. **עזר** is used concretely, as in Ps. 70, 6: cf. Nah. 3, 9.

19. **ויצר** is written defectively for **וייצר**, which occurs in ver. 7. The verb must not be rendered as a pluperfect. It appears that the narrator conceived the formation of animals as posterior to that of man. For the question of the use of the imperf. with waw conv. as a pluperfect, cf. the note on ver. 2.

כל חית השדה, P **כל חית הארץ** (1, 25. 30; cf. 2, 10).

מה. On the punctuation of **מה**, see Ges., § 37. 1; Dav., § 13. 'The punctuation is quite like that of the article.'

מה יקרא 'what he would call them;' cf. Driver, § 39 b.

וכל אשר יקרא. The imperf. according to Driver, § 38 a, 'all whatever he called them.'

נפש היה appears to be added very abnormally, in explanation of **לו**. Possibly these words are a gloss, as they read very harshly. Such a redundancy as we find in the text here is common in Aramaic, which would say **לָהּ לְנַפְשָׁא חֵיתָא**; and there are genuine examples of it in the O. T., e.g. Ex. 2, 6. 1 Sam. 21, 14 (see Ges., § 121. 6. Rem. 3; M. R., § 72. Rem. a), but none so harsh as this (note especially the masc. לו). In late Hebrew this redundancy might be an Aramaism,

but that can hardly be the case in this passage. נפש היה = 'living creatures,' נפש being collective. היה is a fem. adj. (היה = 'life' is only poetical; see on 1, 21). As the text stands we have לו masc. followed by נפש היה fem., which is difficult. Del. supposes that נפש היה (cf. נפש in 46, 27. Num. 31, 28) was construed *ad sensum* as a masc.; but these passages are scarcely parallel.

20. וְלֵאדָם, so pointed by Ols. The word is not used as a proper name until 4, 25. In these three chapters (1-3) it is, with the exception of this verse and 3, 17. 21, always pointed with the article. Cf., however, M. R., § 66. Rem. a.

לֹא מָצָא. I. Impersonally, 'One did not find for mankind.' II. 'For himself (לנפשו = לאדם) he (man) did not find.' III. 'For mankind (God) did not find.' III. is not probable, as we have האדם already as subj. at the beginning of the verse. If I. be adopted, מָצָא would be impers. by Ges., § 137. 2; M. R., § 123. 2, and לאדם could stand without the art., as in 1, 26. Tuch adopts II. Del. and Di. propose a rendering that differs slightly from any of these: 'He (man) did not find for man,' i. e. 'for a human being, like himself,' etc.; almost the same as II, though they do not take לאדם as directly equivalent to לנפשו.

21. תַּחַתְנָה 'in its place;' the suffix is a verbal one, cf. Ges., § 103. 1. Rem. 3; Stade, § 347 c. 3: with the nominal suffix it would be תַּחַתְנָה.

23. 'This now is . . . this shall be called woman.' The connection of אִשָּׁה with אִישׁ is preserved by the Vulg., which renders them by 'virago' and 'vir,' respectively, probably following Symm., who has ἀνδρίς and ἀνήρ; so Luther, *Männin*.

לְקָחָהּ. The form is made more distinct by the fuller shewa; see Ges., § 10. 2, and § 52. 1, s. p. The dag. in the ק

has fallen away in accordance with the rule, that any doubled letter pointed with shewa, if it be not one of the aspirates (בגורכפת), may drop its doubling; see Dav., § 7. 4, foot-note a; Ges., § 20. 3 b, where the letters that commonly admit of this loss of the dag. are mentioned. Hence the Raphe.

24. 'Therefore doth a man leave his father and his mother and cleave unto his wife, and they become one flesh.' The imperf. as freq. followed by the perf. with waw conv., in present time, as before (ver. 6) in past time; so Ps. 17, 14. 49, 11. 73, 10-11; Driver, § 113. 4 a. These words are the narrator's comment, as in 26, 33. 32, 33, as they would be unnatural if assigned to the man, who had no knowledge of a father or mother.

The LXX, Pesh., Vulg., and Sam. insert שְׁנֵיהֶם 'and they two become;' and the text is quoted thus in the N. T., Matt. 19, 5. Mark 10, 7; cf. 1 Cor. 6, 16. Eph. 5, 31. It may have fallen out of the Heb. text through the שְׁנֵיהֶם of ver. 25.

25. עֲרוֹמִים marked by the Massorettes, מ' ברגש 'mem with dagesh.' On the apparent anomaly of a long vowel in a toneless syllable, see Dav., p. 8; Stade, § 327 a. This word occurs again, with the same points, in Job 22, 6.

יתבשו. Hithpoel of בוש; see Ges., § 72. 7; Dav., § 26. 3 c. Render, 'were not ashamed,' i. e. 'not in the habit of being ashamed.' The imperf. according to Driver, § 30 a; Ewald, § 136 c.

3.

1. והנחש היה ערום מכל חית. 'Now the serpent was more cunning than all the beasts of the field,' etc. On the use of מן in expressing the comparative, see Ges., § 119. 1; M. R., § 49. 2.

כִּי אֵף, lit. = 'and that . . . !' is placed first in the sentence to denote astonishment, which may be expressed by a note of interrogation. Render, 'And (is it really the case) that?' cf. Ewald, § 354 c; M. R., § 143 b. There is no necessity to suppose that the ה interrog. has fallen out.

לֹא . . . כֹּל = 'not any;' see Ges., § 152. 1 ad fin.; M. R., 142; cf. 2, 5.

3. תְּמוֹתוֹן. This form of the plural of the imperfect in ון, which always in Hebrew has the tone, is the common ending in the pl. imperf. 3rd pers. masc. and 2nd masc. in classical Arabic (the abbreviated form being reserved for the subj. and jussive moods), and in Aramaic, but is not found in Ethiopic in the written language. It is tolerably common in Hebrew, and is probably not to be regarded as a mark of antiquity, but as a weightier form, being especially frequent in the elevated prose style and in poetry. 'I. It is found in various cases of pause, as here, and Ex. 1, 22 תַּחֲיוּן. II. As an emphatic form, e. g. in Deut. 1, 29 לֹא הֶעֱרַצוּן; Josh. 4, 6 כִּי יִשְׁאַלּוּן; especially after particles or nouns, which expect a verb after them, e. g. בָּל אִשֶּׁר, בָּה, בָּל אִשֶּׁר, פֶּן, לְמַעַן, לֹא, לְמָה, הַדְּבַר אִשֶּׁר. III. As a rhythmical form to ensure a fuller sound for the word, Hab. 3, 7 יִרְגֹּזוּן; Ps. 4, 3 תִּאֲהַבּוּן. IV. As an audible connecting link, similar to the -εν, -σιν before α, ά in Greek: so 32, 20 תִּדְּבַרּוּן before אֵל עֲשׂוּ. Judg. 6, 31 תּוֹשִׁיעוּן before אֲתוּ: most commonly before א, but also before ע, ה, ו, and מ. Many common verbs, as אָמַר, יָרַד, etc., have preserved the ון; while others, as יָשַׁב, נָתַן, etc., do not receive it any longer. It is found in all classes of verbs, with the single exception of verbs ע"ע, and is very frequent in verbs ל"ה and ע"ו. Qal, as a light form, has it more frequently than the heavier reflexive forms. It occurs more commonly in the 3rd pers. than the 2nd pers. pl..

as this form is far more frequent, but is by no means uncommon in the 2nd pers. pl. in certain verbs, e. g. **דבר**, **שמע**, **עשה**, **אמר**, **עשה**, **שמע**, **דבר**, **שמע**. It is found in the oldest prose, e. g. in Gen. (twelve times), Ex. (twenty-eight times), Num. (seven times), Josh. (nine times), Judg. (eight times), 1 Sam. (eight times); being especially frequent in Exodus, which contains many old pieces; also in old poetry, e. g. Ex. 15. 2 Sam. 22 (once), and some Psalms and old prophets, e. g. Is., Mic., Joel, Hos., Amos. In Job, Deut., Is. 24-27, 40 ff., Ps. 58, 89, 104, etc., it is more artificial (a revived archaism). In the gnomic poetry (Prov.) it is rare, and does not occur in the erotic and purely elegiac (Song of Songs, Lam.). Leviticus has it very rarely, Ezekiel never. More modern prose writings (Ruth, Kings) have it only in colloquial passages. The books of Chronicles have preserved it in some passages from more ancient sources, while it rejects it in others. In Ezra, Neh., Esther, Dan. (Heb.), Eccles. there are no examples of **ן**. See further, Böttcher, *Lehrbuch*, ii. § 930 (from whom the above paragraph slightly abbreviated is borrowed), where a full list of the passages, where the ending **ן** occurs, is given; and cf. Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. p. 63; Ges., § 72. 7. Rem. 4 and § 47. 3. Rem. 4; Dav., § 21. Rem. a. It may be observed that some of Böttcher's distinctions seem doubtful and arbitrary.

4. **לֹא מוֹת תָּמוּתוֹן**. The negative should stand between the inf. and the verb; see Ges., § 131. 3. Rem. 1; Ewald, § 312 b. 1; who cite Amos 9, 8. Ps. 49, 8 as parallel to this passage. Its unusual position here is probably due to a desire to keep the formula **מוֹת תָּמוּת** from 2, 17 unchanged. Render, 'Ye shall by no means die.'

5. **יָדַע**. The participle as a true present, so 19, 13 **כִּי מִשְׁחִיתִים אֲנִי**; 18, 17 **הַמְכַסֶּה אֲנִי** (the subject does not

precede, as a slight emphasis is laid on the verb; cf. Driver, § 135. 4), Driver, § 135. 2 and Obs.; cf. Ges., § 134. 2 a.

ביום אכלכם . . . ונפקחו. 'In the day ye eat . . . your eyes shall be opened.' The perf. with waw conv. after a time determination; see Driver, § 123 β; M. R., § 132 b; cf. § 26. Waw in this usage is to be noticed. It assumes a stronger demonstrative force than it has in the ordinary cases of the perf. with waw conv. (cf. Driver, § 119), when used to introduce the predicate or the apodosis; so in Ex. 16, 6 ערב וידעתם 'at evening, (then) ye shall know.' 1 Kings 13, 31 במותי וקברתם אתי 'when I die, (then) ye shall bury me.' Ez. 24, 24 b בנאה וידעתם 'when it comes, (then) ye shall know.'

כאלהים 'as gods.' Targ. Onq. פְּרַבְרִינִין 'as princes,' perhaps intentionally to avoid an anthropomorphic idea. Targ. Jon. קַמְלֵאֲבִין רַבְרִינִין דְּחַבְמִין 'as mighty princes who know,' connecting יודעי with אלהים, which is grammatically possible. The Samaritan has צַצְצִין צַצְצִין 'like angels.'

6. להשכיל. The LXX, Syr., Vulg., and some moderns render, 'to look at,' or 'regard,' a meaning which השכיל never has. Render, 'to become wise,' lit. 'to gain insight,' Del. 'um einsichtig zu werden.' Rashi's note here is כמו שאמר לה יודעי טוב ורע 'compare his saying to her, "knowing good and evil."'

וַיֵּאבְלוּ. Pausal form of יֵאבְלוּ; cf. Ges., § 29. 4 c, note, with § 68. 1. The LXX and Sam. read וַיֵּאבְלוּ (plural), the waw might have arisen out of the following waw in ותפקחנה. The plural is not necessary.

7. כי עירומם הם. The pronoun stands here by Ges., § 121. 1; M. R., § 125; cf. ver. 11.

עלה תאנה, lit. 'leaf of a fig,' i. e. 'fig-leaf,' here collective, 'fig-leaves.'

ויעשו להם 'and they made themselves.' The personal pronoun is used for the reflexive, as often with this verb; cf. Ges., § 124. 1 b; M. R., § 89 a.

8. קול, not 'the voice,' but 'the sound,' as in 2 Sam. 5, 24. 1 Kings 19, 12.

לעת ערב היום. 'About the cool of the day,' so 8, 11 למועד הזה 'about this date;' also Is. 7, 15 לדעתו 'about (the time of) his knowing;' cf. Ges., § 154. 3 e; M. R., § 51. 2. In the East, towards evening a cool breeze springs up (cf. Song of Songs 2, 17. 4, 6) and the Oriental goes out; so 24, 63 לפנות הערב. The LXX render well τὸ δειλῶν. In 18, 1 the noontide is called חם היום 'the heat of the day' (LXX, excellently, μεσημβρίας); Abraham being described as sitting in the door of his tent.

9. אִיָּבָה. The suffix (as it is pointed) is a verbal one; cf. Ges., § 100. 5; M. R., § 39; אִיָּבָה standing for אִיָּנָבָה; cf. Prov. 2, 11 תִּנְצַרְבָּהּ, and with the nun, Jer. 22, 24 אִתְקַיְּבָה; see Ges., § 58. 4; Dav., § 31. 5. Stade, § 355 b. 3, remarks that 'It is due to false analogy if the Pausal suffix יָבָה is transferred from the verb to a noun,' and cites with this passage, Prov. 25, 16 רִיבָה, and other instances. It is possible, however, that the vowel points in these cases are not to be trusted as they stand in our texts.

The ה at the end of איבה is merely a *scriptio plena* (found both in obj. and subj. suffixes),—as Prov. 2, 11 תנצרכה; cf. ver. 12 נתחה. Ex. 15, 11 כמכה (twice). 1 Sam. 1, 26 עמכה,—and in no way affects the sense.

10. אֲנִכִּי. The Mass. note here is מלעל, i. e. the word is, contrary to rule, accented on the penult.; cf. Ges., § 29. 4 c;

Dav., § 10. 5 b. As a rule the vowel in pause is lengthened, this cannot take place here as the vowel is already long. The accents :—, —, and (sometimes) — usually effect this lengthening, when it is possible, in pause. Here the minor distinctive accent — (*Tifcha*) exercises a pausal influence, there being a sufficient break in the sense for the voice naturally to rest; cf. Driver, § 103, and 15, 14 יַעֲבֹרֶי (the tone drawn back and the vowel lengthened), which the Massorettes have not noticed. אַתָּה and עַתָּה, like אַנְכִי, transfer the accent to the penult. in pause.

11. כִּי עִירַם אַתָּה is really the object to מִי הַגִּיד, see M. R., § 161 b, where it is designated 'an object sentence;' cf. 1, 4.

לְבַלְתִּי וְגוֹ. בַלְתִּי is used regularly to negative the inf. cstr. after ל; cf. Ges., § 152. 1; M. R., § 140. Rem. a.

הַמֶּן. On the pointing of ה interrog., see Ges., § 100. 4, s. p.; Dav., § 49. 2. Here ה introduces a simple interrogative sentence (cf. Ges., § 153. 2; M. R., § 143), the answer being uncertain (affirmative or negative). הֲלֹא = Latin *nonne*, the answer expected being in the affirmative.

12. הָאִשָּׁה . . . עִמָּדִי, a *casus pendens*. 'The woman which etc. . . she gave me.' הוּא is resumptive and is inserted for emphasis; see Driver, § 123. Obs.; cf. 15, 4 כִּי אִם אֲשֶׁר יֵצֵא . . . הוּא; 24, 7 הוּא יִשְׁלַח . . . הוּא הַזֶּה. The *casus pendens* is often used to relieve a long and unwieldy sentence.

וְאֶבֶל. The pausal form of the 1st person. In ver. 6 we have וְתִאֲבָל and וְיִאֲבָל as the pausal forms of the 3rd pers. fem. sing. and 3rd pers. masc. sing. respectively; see Ges., § 68. 1.

13. מַה זֹאת עָשִׂית. cf. 12, 18. M. R., § 93. Rem. c, renders, 'What, this, hast thou done? = what hast thou done

there?' taking זאת in opposition to מה. The A. V. and Syr. render it as a relative sentence, 'what is this thou hast done?' Del. adopts the former rendering, remarking that the corresponding question in Arabic, whether the demonstrative belongs to the interrogative, or whether it should be rendered as our Eng. Ver. does, was a subject of dispute among the Arabic grammarians. He points out that the Massoretic punctuation favours the first rendering. LXX render slightly differently, τί τοῦτο ἐποίησας; so Vulg. *quare hoc fecisti?* On the dag. in the ז of זאת, see on 2, 18.

14. "אָרור אַתָּה מִכָּל וָגוֹ". LXX, ἐπικατάρατος σὺ ἀπὸ πάντων κ.τ.λ. Vulg. *maledictus es inter omnia*, etc. Render as the Vulg. 'Cursed art thou among all beasts,' i. e. 'marked out by a curse from,' etc. The other renderings, 'cursed by all beasts,' i. e. 'these shall hate and abhor the serpent,' or 'more cursed than,' as apparently A. V., are untenable: for, as Knobel points out, the curse comes from God, not from the beasts, who had no reason to curse, and is aimed at the serpent only; not at the other beasts, as there is no ground assigned for cursing these. For this use of כִּין, cf. Ex. 19, 5. Deut. 14, 2. Judg. 5, 24.

כָּל יְמֵי הַיּוֹם, acc. of time; cf. Ges., § 118. 2; M. R., § 42.

15. "הוּא יִשׁוּפֵךְ רֹאשׁ וָגוֹ". הוּא and עֵקֶב are accusatives of limitation; cf. Ges., § 139. 2, s. p.; M. R., § 44 and Rem. a; Ewald, § 281 c; so 37, 21 לֹא נִכְנָו נֶפֶשׁ; Deut. 33, 11 מִחֵץ מִתְנַיִם; Jer. 2, 16 יִרְעוּן קִדְקֵד. Hebrew in this respect is analogous to Greek; cf. τυφλὸς τὰ τ' ὄτα τὸν τε νοῦν τὰ τ' ὄμματ' εἶ, 'blind both in ears and mind and eyes art thou;' βλέπω κολοῖον τῶματ' ἐκκεκομμένον, 'I see a jackdaw pecked out as to his eyes.' שׁוֹף occurs again Job 9, 17. Ps. 139, 11. The only meaning which can be philologically defended is 'crush.'

This meaning suits Job 9, 17, but not Ps. 139, 11. The alternative rendering is, 'lie in wait for,' a kindred form with שָׁחַ 'to pant after;' it suits Ps. 139, 11 (cf. Del. in loc.) better than 'crush,' but a word = 'cover' is required: hence some read there שָׁחַ, so Ew., Ges. in *Th.* שָׁחַ = 'to crush' is justified by the Aramaic usage of שָׁחַ and שָׁחַ or שָׁחַ, e.g. in Onq., Deut. 9, 21 וְשָׁחַתְתָּהּ 'and I crushed it,' i.e. the calf; Targ. on Job 14, 19 וְשָׁחַתְתָּהּ מֵיֵא 'the water crushes the stones.' And in Syriac, Ex. 32, 20 (Pesh.) ܫܚܫܘܢܐ ܕܡܫܚܘܢܐ (= Heb. וְיִטְחֶנּוּ) 'and crushed (better scraped) it with a file.' [It should be remarked that in Syriac the roots שָׁחַ and שָׁחַ are confounded one with the other, as Bernstein points out l. c.] Cf. Levy, *Chald. Wörterb.*; Bernst., *Lex. Syr.* sub voc. Di. admits that the meaning 'crush' suits the first part of the clause, i.e. the man's crushing the serpent's head, but denies its application to the serpent, and adopts the rendering 'lie in wait for,' which he attempts to justify by appealing to שָׁחַ; but this meaning is not so certain as the meaning 'crush,' and the double acc. after the rendering 'lie in wait for' is difficult. שָׁחַ is applied to the serpent in the second half of the verse by a kind of zeugma, the same verb being used to express the mutual nature of the enmity (Kal.); compare Del., and Tuch, 2nd ed., who compares 'feriri a serpente,' Pliny, xxix. 4. 22. The Vss. render variously. In the LXX the reading varies, both τηρήσει . . . τηρήσεις and τειρήσει . . . τειρήσεις occurring. The Vulg. has 'ipsa conteret caput tuum, et tu insidiaberis calcaneo ejus;' but שָׁחַ cannot have a different meaning in each half of the sentence. Syriac has ܫܚܫܘܢܐ ܕܡܫܚܘܢܐ ܫܚܫܘܢܐ ܕܡܫܚܘܢܐ, using different words in the two parts of the clause, but giving שָׁחַ a similar sense in each half. Onq. paraphrases הוּא יְהִי דְכִיבֵר [לְךָ] מִה דְעַבְדֵתָ לִיּוֹה מִלְקַדְמִין וְאַתָּה תְהִי נֹטֵר לִיּוֹה דְסוֹפָא:

'he will remember against thee what thou hast done to him from the beginning, and thou wilt guard against him to the end.' Targg. Jon. and Jer. paraphrase widely, but seem to have rendered שוף 'crush.'

16. הרבה ארבה 'with a multiplying, I will multiply,' i. e. 'I will greatly multiply;' cf. the rule on 2, 16. רבה has two forms for the inf. abs. Hif'il: (1) הַרְבֵּה (which would be the regular form) used as an adverb; (2) הַרְבֶּה, see Ges., § 75. iv. Rem. 15: only here and 16, 10. 22, 17.

עצבונך והרונך. Not a hendiadys, 'the pain of thy conception,' Ges., § 155. 1 a, but 'thy pain and (especially) thy conception:' waw attaching the *particular* הרונך to the *general* עצבונך; cf. Ps. 18, 1. Is. 2, 1. הרון is an abnormal formation, which occurs nowhere else in the O. T. The abs. state is הַרְיוֹן (Hos. 9, 11. Ruth 4, 13), cstr. הַרְיוֹן; with suffix הַרְיוֹנֶךָ and shortened הַרְיוֹנֶךָ; see Stade, § 297.

תשוקתך. The LXX here, and 4, 17, render with ἀποστροφή, possibly reading תשובתך; cf. their rendering in 1 Sam. 7, 17. Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 10, suggests that the LXX rendering is a free euphemistic translation of the Heb. word. The word תשוקה is only found once again outside the book of Genesis, viz. in the Song of Songs 7, 11 תשוקתו ועלי תשוקתו; LXX, ἐγὼ τῷ ἀδελφιδῶ μου, καὶ ἐπ' ἐμὲ ἢ ἐπιστροφή αὐτοῦ.

17. וְלֵאָדָם. On the pointing וְלֵאָדָם, adopted by some, see the note on 2, 20. The punctuators, excepting here, ver. 21 and 2, 20, always point אדם with the article up to 4, 25, where it is first used as a proper name.

בעבורך. The LXX (ἐν τοῖς ἔργοις) and Vulg. ('in opere tuo') seem to have read עבורך, which they apparently took as עֲבוֹדָתְךָ. Tuch considers the variant as perhaps due to the parallel passage 4, 12.

18. קוץ ודרדר. Cf. Hos. 10, 8. Only in Isaiah do we find the phrase *שְׁמִיר וְשִׁית*, e.g. Is. 5, 6. *דרדר* occurs but once again in Hos. 1. c.

וּאֵנְלָהּ. Notice the place of the tone, which has been thrown forward one place by waw conv. with the perfect; see for details, Driver, § 110: cf. also Ges., § 49. 3; Dav., § 23. 3.

19. תֵּאֲנַל לָחֶם. The tone is drawn back on to the penult., to avoid the concurrence of two tone syllables; see Ges., § 29. 3 b.

עַד שׁוֹבֵךְ אֶל הָאָדָמָה. On the construction, see Ges., §§ 132. 1, 133; M. R., § 111 b; and above 2, 4. Render, 'until thou return;' שׁוֹבֵךְ (as Arabic shews; see Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. p. 311) is to be regarded as the genitive after עַד, taken as a subst.

כִּי מִמֶּנָּה. Some render, 'from which thou wast taken,' lit. 'which from it thou wast taken;' כִּי being regarded as equivalent to the relative אֲשֶׁר; so in 4, 25; cf. Ges., § 123. 1; M. R., § 156: so all the Vss. here and in 4, 25, except the Sam., which has אֶזְצָ here and אֶצְצָ in 4, 25. But as the passages cited in defence of this are not conclusive, it is better to render 'for' here and in 4, 25. 'Until thou returnest unto the ground; for thou wast taken from it' (pause, this half of the verse being marked off from the second half by *Athnach* [—], the second strongest prose accent): 'for dust thou art,' etc.

20. חוּה = 'Life' or 'Living,' not 'Life-giver.' It is equivalent to חַיָּה, the form used here being antiquated in Heb., but preserved in Phoenician (Di.). LXX here ζωή, in the other passage where it occurs (4, 1) Εῦα. ζωή is probably intentionally used by the LXX, being occasioned by the explanatory addition "כִּי הוּא הִיחָה וְנֹו".

22. 'And Yahweh Elohim said, Behold the man hath become

as one of us, so as to know . . . and now that he may not stretch forth his hand and take,' etc.

כִּאֲחָד בִּימֵנו. On the construct state before the preposition, see Ges., § 116. 1; M. R., § 73. Rem. a. It is especially frequent with אַחַד and מֵן, Lev. 13, 2. Num. 16, 15. 1 Sam. 9, 3. 1 Kings 19, 2, etc.

לְשֹׂאֵל לָכֶם וְגו' = 'so as to know:' cf. 1 Sam. 12, 17 מֶלֶךְ; Prov. 26, 2 כְּצִפּוֹר לְנוֹר כְּדָרוֹר לְעוֹף. On this usage of the inf. with ל, see Driver, § 205; Ewald, § 280 d.

פֶּן is used here independently, as in Ex. 13, 17; cf. Ewald, § 337 b; M. R., § 164 b; 'without indicating that the sentence which it introduces is dependent on another.' The formula, 'For he said . . . lest,' occurs frequently, and always implies that some precaution is taken by the speaker to prevent what he fears happening; e. g. Ps. 38, 17 (compare Del., *Die Psalmen*, p. 323, 4th ed.). Gen. 38, 11. 42, 4. Ex. 13, 17, etc.

וּלְקַח. The perfect with waw conv. after the imperfect with פֶּן; so 19, 19 וּמָתִי . . . פֶּן תִּרְבְּקֵנִי; Ex. 1, 10 וְהָיָה פֶּן יִרְבֶּה וְהָיָה. Three times (Ps. 2, 12. Jer. 51, 46. Prov. 31, 5) we find the imperfect repeated after פֶּן, instead of a perf. with waw conv.; see Driver, § 115 end, and § 116.

וְחָי. Perf. with waw conv. pointed with pretonic qameç; so 19, 19 וְחָיִי (notice the tone; cf. the note there); 44, 22 וְחָיִי: see on 1, 2.

חַי is perf. from חָיָה: see Ges., § 67; Dav., § 42.

24. אֵת הַכְּרוּבִים 'the cherubim.' These appear in the Old Testament always in connection with God's manifesting himself to the world. In the tabernacle they hovered over the ark (Ex. 25, 18 ff.). In Solomon's temple they are represented as stationed on the floor of the Holy of Holies, spreading out their wings from one side to the other (1 Kings 6, 23.

1 Chron. 28, 18). In Ez. 1 and 10 they form God's living chariot, in which he appears to the prophet; and in Ps. 18, 11. 2 Sam. 22, 11 God is represented as riding on a cherub to judgment: cf. Ps. 80, 2. 1 Sam. 4, 4. 2 Sam. 6, 2, where God is described as "יֹשֵׁב הַכֹּ"ץ". From 1 Kings, l. c., we find that the cherub had an upright form, partly human, with one face (Ex. 25, 20), two wings (1 Kings 6, 24), and possibly hands. In Ez. 1 and 10 a somewhat fuller and different description of the cherubim is given: 'with the similitude of a man, four wings' (Ez. 1, 11. 23), two of which served to cover their bodies, and with two of which they flew; and under their wings human hands (Ez. 1, 8. 10, 7. 8, 21), with four faces (Ez. 1, 10. 10, 14), one human, one that of a lion, one that of an ox, and one that of an eagle, and the soles of their feet like those of a calf (Ez. 1, 7). Lastly (Ez. 1, 18. 10, 12; cf. Rev. 4, 6), their whole body was studded with eyes. It is uncertain whence the Hebrews derived their idea of the cherubim; possibly the winged forms on the Assyrio-Babylonian and Egyptian monuments exercised some influence on their conception of the cherub, but it is doubtful whether they borrowed the idea from either the Egyptians or Assyrians (cf. the authorities cited below).

The etymology of the word is uncertain. (i) Some connect it with the Aramaic כַּרְבִּי, כַּרְבִּי *aravit*; so כַּרְוִיב = *arator*, *bos*: cf. Ez. 10, 14 with 1, 10. (ii) Another view is that כַּרְוִיב is transposed for כַּרְוִיב = '*chariot*,' i. e. '*the divine chariot*:' cf. 1 Chron. 28, 18, where the כַּרְוִיבִים are explained by תַּבְנִית הַמְרַכְבָּה; so Rödiger in *Ges., Thes.*; M. and V., *H. W. B.*, 9th ed. (iii) Hyde (quoted by *Ges., Thes.*, p. 710) considers that כַּרְוִיב = קַרְוִיב, i. e. '*he who is near God*,' '*his servant*.' (iv) Maurer on Is. 6, 2 explains כַּרְוִיב as from כַּרְב = כַּרְם, Arab. كَرَمٌ '*nobilis*

fuit.' (v) Another view is that כרוב is to be connected with the Arab. كرب 'adstringere,' so כרוב 'a strong being' (Rosenmüller); cf. viii. (vi) Ges. in the *Thes.* proposes a derivation from כרב=חרם, Arab. حرم 'prohibuit a communi usu.' כרוב='custos,' 'satelles,' i.e. Dei, 'qui profanos arcet.' All these are most precarious and improbable. (vii) Vatke, see Ges., *Thes.*, 711, assigned a Persian origin to the word, regarding it as the same as the Greek γρούψ, γρουπός, 'quod a Pers. گرفتن (greifen)prehendere, tenere, derivabat Chr. Th. Tychsen (Heeren's *Ideen*, i. p. 386), vel idem esse volunt atque γρουπός naso adunco nostrove praeditus.' (viii) Del., *Par.*, 154, connects it with an Assyrian root 'karábu' (from which an adj. 'karábu' is derived)='to be great, powerful;' cf. Schr., *K. A. T.*², p. 39. The word reads like a foreign one, but it seems that nothing can be affirmed as to its meaning with certainty. See further, Di. in *Schenkel's Bibel Lex.*, 1. 509 ff.; Keil., *Bib. Arch.*, 2nd ed., i. 92 ff.; Winer, *R. W. B.*; Riehm, *H. W. B.*, art. *Cherubim*; Del. *Comm.* and Di. *Comm.* on this passage; also Cheyne, art. *Cherub*, in *Ency. Brit.*

וַאֲתֵּלֶה הַחֶרֶב 'and the blade of the waving sword.'

הַחֶרֶב. The article is pointed according to Ges., § 35. 2 A; Dav., § 11 b; and is placed before the genitive, and *not* before the cstr. state, by Ges., §§ 110. 2, 111. 1; M.R., § 76. II. a.

הַמִּתְהַפֶּכֶת, lit.= 'the one turning itself about:' cf. on 2, 11; also M. R., § 92. Rem. a. The form is a participle fem. sing. Hithpa'el of הִפַּךְ, being formed as a segholate noun, and so accented on the penult.: see Ges., § 95. Rem. 2. 3. 4; Dav., p. 196, esp. 2.

אֶת דֶּרֶךְ עֵץ הַחַיִּים 'the way to the tree of life:' so 16, 7 דֶּרֶךְ שׁוּר 'the way to Shur;' 38, 14 דֶּרֶךְ תִּמְנָתָה 'the way to Timnah;' 48, 7 בְּדֶרֶךְ אֶפְרַת 'on the way to Ephrath.' Hebrew

uses the cstr. state (implying belonging) to denote ideas which are made clearer in English by the use of a preposition.

4.

1. ידע 'to get to know,' 'make the acquaintance of,' so euphemistically = 'concubuit cum ea;' used again in this sense vers. 17. 25. 24, 16, and often. This meaning has passed over into Hellenistic Greek; cf. *γινώσκειν*, often used by the LXX for the Hebrew ידע, e.g. here. Cf. also in the New Testament, Luke 1, 34 ἐπεὶ ἄνδρα οὐ γινώσκαω. The Pesh. has *סבם*, which is again used in the same sense in their version of Matt. 1, 25 οὐκ ἐγίνωσκεν αὐτήν = *سلا سبم*.

קין 'Kain,' elsewhere a nom. app. = 'spear,' 2 Sam. 21, 16, or a nom. prop. of a people, Num. 24, 22. Judg. 4, 11. The text here seems to connect קין with the root קנה 'to gain,' 'acquire;' but this explanation must not be regarded as an etymology. The name was given, not because it was derived from קנה, but as recalling to mind this word: compare such proper names as נוח, נשמואל, משה (not derived from, but recalling to mind משה). Gesenius derives קין here from קין = 'to forge,' Arab. قَان, قَيْن, 'a smith,' Syr. *قَان*; and supposes that קין in this passage means 'spear,' as in 2 Sam. 21, 16.

את יהוה. LXX, διὰ τοῦ Θεοῦ. Syr. *لِخُد* 'for the Lord.' Onq. מן קדם יי 'from before Yahweh.' Vulg. 'per Deum.' The Targ. of Ps.-Jon. has קניתי לַאֲנְבִיָא ית־מַלְאַכְא דַּי 'I have gotten as man the angel of the Lord,' possibly meaning the Messiah. The את has been variously explained. I. Di. and others render 'with Yahweh,' i. e. through his assistance, with his help; so LXX, though it is uncertain whether διὰ is a free rendering, or whether they had קניתי for את in their text, and similarly the Vulg. and Onqelos. Elsewhere, to be sure,

we find עַם used in this sense, and not אִתּ; cf., for example, 1 Sam. 14, 45 כִּי עַם אֱלֹהִים עָשָׂה; still אִתּ may be regarded as synonymous with עַם, as may be inferred from its alternative usage with עַם in the phrase 'to be with one,' i. e. help him; cf. 26, 3 עִמְךָ with 21, 10 אִתּ הַנָּעֵר. 28, 15. 31, 3 עִמְּךָ, but 26, 24. 39, 2 אִתּ. II. *a.* Others (Luther, etc.) render, 'I have gained a man, the Lord;' אִתּ יְהוָה being a second acc. of nearer definition, so 6, 10 שָׂם אִתּ שֵׁם; 26, 34; Judg. 3, 15; Eve supposing she had given birth to the Messiah; see Ps.-Jon., above. *b.* Or as Umbreit, 'I possess as a man, Yahweh,' אִישׁ acc. of the predicate. But against *a* it may be urged that there is nothing in the text to justify the idea that Eve thought she had given birth to the Messiah (cf. also 3, 15); and against *b* that it gives no explanation of the name of the child.

2. ותספ ללדת 'and she bare again;' cf. ver. 12. The finite verb in Hebrew corresponds to the adverb in our idiom. We find other verbs used in Hebrew to express adverbs, e. g. מהר 'to hasten;' cf. Ges., § 142. 1. 2; M. R., § 114 a.

ויהי הבל רעה צאן וקין. ויהי is placed before the verb to which it belongs in order to slightly emphasize the contrast between the occupations of Kain and Abel. M. R., § 131. 1 b. Rem. c, compares μὲν . . . δέ in Greek. הבל has been explained as meaning 'a breath,' 'nothing,' possibly with reference to his short life; but it is doubtful if the name can be brought into connection with this meaning. In Assyrian 'Habal' is a common word for son.

רעה is a participle in the cstr. state, 'a shepherd of;' רעה would be the abs. state, and צאן would then be in the acc. case. Both constructions are possible, cf. 22, 12 יָרָא אֱלֹהִים with Ex. 9, 20 הִיָּדָבַר יְהוָה; see other examples in Ges., § 135. 1; M. R., § 121.

3. **מפרי**. The prep. **מן** must be taken here in a partitive sense, 'some of,' cf. 8, 20 **מבלהבהמה** ויקח; 27, 28 **אלהים** ויתן לך; Ex. 12, 7. Ges., § 154. 3 c; M. R., § 94 a.

מנחה. 1. 'a gift,' 2. 'an offering (to God),' but not to be taken in this passage in its more restricted sense 'the meal offering,' as opposed to **זבח**, 'the meat offering.' The LXX render it here by *θυσία*.

4. 'And Abel too brought.' **גם** with the pronoun repeated is emphatic; cf. ver. 26 **הוא גם** ולשת.

ומחלבהו, *scriptio defectiva*, for **ומחלביהו**. The sing. would be **חלבהו**. Other instances of *scriptio defectiva* are, 1, 21 **למינהם**. Job 42, 10 **רעהו** for **רעהיו**. Ex. 33, 13 **דרקך** for **דרקיך**; see Ges., § 91. 2. Rem. 1. 'The singular would be permissible here (Lev. 8, 16. 25), but would not express the plurality of animals so distinctly' (Di.). The plural here, as in Lev. 6, 5, = 'fat pieces.' In the Levitical service the offering of the first-born of the flock and their fat portions is enjoined; cf. Num. 18, 17.

וישע. Impf. Qal from **ישע**, apocopated from **ישעה**, **ישע** = **ישע**; cf. Ges., § 75. Rem. 1. 3 a, b, c; so **יחר** from **יחרה** in ver. 5. The verb **שעה** is rare in prose.

5. **ויחר לקין**. So 18, 30. 32. 31, 36, and often. I. Either **חא** may be understood, 'it (anger) was hot for Kain,' or II. **חרה** may be taken impersonally, 'it was hot to Kain.' On this impersonal use of the 3rd perf. sing., cf. Ges., § 137. 2; M. R., § 124.

7. Render, 'Is there not, if thou doest well, lifting up? and if thou doest not well, at the door sin croucheth; and towards thee is its desire, but thou oughtest to rule over it?' **שאת** (for **שאתה** inf. cstr. of **נשא**, cf. Ges., § 76. 2 a) must be explained from the phrase **נשא פנים** = 'to lift up (one's own) face,' the

opposite of נפלו פנים in verse 6; so Tuch, Ke., Del., and Di.: compare also the usage of language in Job 10, 15. 11, 15. 22, 26. Lifting up of the face = 'cheerfulness, joy'; falling of the face, 'sadness or moroseness.' The Vss. render variously. LXX has οὐκ ἐὰν ὀρθῶς προσενέγκῃς, ὀρθῶς δὲ μὴ διέλῃς, ἡμαρτες ἡσύχασον, possibly connecting שׂאת with מִשְׂאֵת 43, 34, and taking פתח in the sense of dividing, and perhaps reading קַטְאֵת רַבִּין; cf. their rendering of רבין in Job 11, 19. Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 67, considers that this translation refers to some proverb current at the time the translation was made. Pesh. has, *Behold if thou doest well thou receivest; and if thou doest not well, at the door sin croucheth,* taking שׂאת in the sense of receiving; so Vulg. '*Nonne si bene egeris recipies, sin autem male, statim in foribus peccatum aderit,*' but this is not in keeping with the context. Onq. has, *הלא אם-תוטיב עובדך ישׁתביק, לך ואם לא תוטיב עובדך ליום דינא הטאה נטיר עתיד לאיתפרעא לך מוֹנֵף אם-לא-תתיב ואם-תתיב ישׁתביק לך* '*If thou doest thy work well thou will be pardoned; but if thou doest not thy work well, for the day of judgment thy sin is laid up, ready to take vengeance upon thee, if thou dost not repent; but if thou repentest thou shalt be forgiven,*' paraphrasing, but taking שׂאת in the sense 'forgive:' this rendering of Onqelos' is also out of harmony with the context.

הטאת רבין. '*Sin is at the door* (cf. Prov. 9, 14) *a lurker.*' Sin is compared to a ravenous beast lying in wait for its prey; perhaps a lion is here intended (cf. the Arabic name for the lion *ألرابط* 'the liar in wait'); cf. 1 Pet. 5, 8. Sin being personified is viewed as masc., so we have רבין, and the masc. suffixes in השוקתו and בו; cf. Ges., § 147. Rem. 2; M. R., § 135. 4 a; Ewald, § 318 a. See also Kalisch, *Heb. Gram.*, I. § 77. 13.

8. "וַיֹּאמֶר קִין וְגו'". LXX, Itala, Pesh., Vulg., Sam., Targ. Jer. have given in their translations נִלְכַּח הַשָּׂדֶה, which does not stand in the Mass. text. Frankel, *Einfl.*, p. 55, objects to נִלְכַּח on the ground that a Hebrew would say נִצָּח, not נִלְכָּה, and regards the addition in the LXX as a gloss; but though this is the more usual phrase, yet we have 27, 5 וַיִּלַּךְ עִשׂוֹ הַשָּׂדֶה; Ruth 2, 2 אֵלֶכָּה נָא הַשָּׂדֶה. Some MSS. note a lacuna here [פסוקא]; two expressly note no lacuna (בלא פסוקא, Wright); and according to Del. it is doubtful whether the פסוקא is found in the best authorities. Some (Bött., Kn.), unwilling to accept a lacuna, read וַיִּשְׁמַר, cf. 2 Sam. 11, 16 'he laid in wait for.' Tuch, comparing Ex. 19, 25, where he takes וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים (as אָמַר is always followed by what is said) in the sense 'Moses spake to the people what God had said to him,' ver. 27 (cf. Ewald, § 303 b, 2), renders, 'And Kain said it,' viz. what God had said to him. This is, according to Di., 'something psychologically quite improbable.'

"וְגו' בַּהֲיוֹתָם וְגו'". lit. 'In their being in the field,' i. e. 'when they were in the field.' LXX, ἐν τῷ εἶναι αὐτοὺς κ.τ.λ., cf. 2, 4 בַּהֲבֵרָאם and the note there.

10. מָה עֲשִׂיתָ. מָה pointed with ־ before the guttural with ־, according to Ges., § 37. 1; Dav., § 13, 'מה assumes a pointing quite like the article.'

קוֹל דָּמִי. I. 'Hark, thy brother's blood crying!' קוֹל is used as an interjection, as in Jer. 10, 22. Is. 13, 4; cf. Ges., § 148. 1: זַעֲקִים being in apposition to דָּמִים, Ewald, § 317 c. II. M. R., § 135. 3 c, takes it apparently as an instance of the predicate agreeing with the genitive instead of the cstr. state, as is always the case, for example, with בָּל; M. R. renders, 'The voice of thy brother's blood-drops cry.' The Sam. reads זַעֲקָ. דָּמִים = blood violently shed.

II. ארור אתה מן האדמה. Cf. 3, 14. I. 'Cursed art thou away from the ground,' or II. 'Cursed art thou from,' etc., i.e. the curse shall strike thee from the ground, cf. ver. 12. I. is adopted by Tuch and Del., II. by Ibn Ezra, Keil, Kn. The rendering 'Cursed art thou by' is untenable, as curses are represented in the Old Testament as coming from God or man, never from the ground. The rendering 'More cursed art thou than'—though 3, 17. 8, 21 may be cited in its favour—does not suit the context here; cf. ver. 14, which favours I, more stress being laid in the narrative on Kain's banishment than on the unfruitfulness of the soil, or on the difference in the curses laid on Kain and the ground.

לכן הרחיבה שאל נפשה את פיה. Cf. Is. 5, 14 ופערה פיה לבלי חק.

12. לא תסוף תת. The jussive with לא is rare, cf. 24, 8. Joel 2, 2. Ez. 48, 14. Ewald, § 320 a. 1; Driver, § 50. Obs.

כחה. Cf. Job 31, 39 אם כחה אכלתי.

נע ונד. The LXX paraphrased to reproduce the paronomasia, στένων και τρέμων; Hier., 'vagus et profugus.'

13. גדול עוני מנשא, lit. 'Greater is my punishment than bearing,' i.e. 'my punishment is too great to bear.' מן before the inf. cstr., as in Ps. 40, 6 עצמו מספר. 1 Kings 8, 64 קטון מהכיל.

עון = 'sin,' including its consequence, punishment, which is represented as a burden heavy to bear; cf. Is. 24, 20. Ps. 38, 5.

נשוא, inf. cstr. with the נ retained, Ges., § 76. 2 a; cf. Num. 20, 21 נתן and Gen. 38, 9 נתן, by the side of the more usual form נתת. The Vss. mostly render, 'My transgression is greater than forgiving,' i.e. 'too great to be forgiven,' which is grammatically possible, but not so suitable here, as in ver. 14, Kain speaks of his punishment, not with a view to its removal

through the forgiveness of his offence, but with a desire that it should be mitigated.

14. כֹּל מֵצְאֵי = 'every one that findeth me,' lit. 'my finder.' The participle may either govern its case like the verb, or may stand as a substantive in the cstr. state followed (as here) by a suffix or a genitive; cf. Ges., § 135. 1; M. R., § 121; cf. also § 80. 2 a and Gen. 32, 12 יָרֵא אֹתוֹ, 'timens eum,' 23, 10. 18 באי שער עירו; Ex. 1, 4 יִצְאֵי יֶרֶךְ יַעֲקֹב. Comp. note on 4, 2.

15. כֹּל הַרְגַּ קַיִן, *casus absolutus*, 'Every one that slayeth Kain, he (Kain) shall be avenged' (cf. ver. 24); or 'vengeance shall be taken,' so Kn.; and this is perhaps preferable, the change of subject involved in the first way being a little harsh, though perhaps supported by ver. 24. כֹּל הַרְגַּ קַיִן = 'every one, or any one, that killeth,' is virtually a hypothetical sentence, 'if any one kills Kain;' cf. Ges., § 145. 2. Rem.; Ewald, § 357 c (Prov. 23, 24. 1 Sam. 2, 13, cited by Gesenius, are somewhat different, the apodosis being introduced by ו. Job 41, 18 is a better parallel).

שִׁבְעָתַיִם = 'sevenfold,' so אַרְבַּעָתַיִם, 2 Sam. 12, 6, 'fourfold;' see Ges., § 97. Rem. 1. It may be interpreted, with Tuch, as meaning, Kain's murder shall be avenged with a vengeance seven times greater than the vengeance taken on Abel's; sevenfold meaning, as in Prov. 24, 16, 'manifold,' 'many times.'

יִקָּם does not mean 'shall be punished, shall suffer punishment,' so perhaps LXX, ἐπτά ἐκδικούμενα παραλύσει, but 'shall be avenged;' cf. Ex. 21, 21.

וַיִּשֶׂם יְהוָה לְקַיִן אוֹת. The אוֹת was given to Kain for his protection, and not as a token of the truth of what God had said, for Kain did not express any doubt as to the truth of what he had been told, and stress is rather laid in the

narrative on Kain's immunity from death in the event of any one attacking him.

וישם לקין. 'And gave him a sign.' לקין = 'for Kain's protection,' rather than 'on Kain,' which would require על or ב, cf. Ex. 10, 2. Is. 66, 19. What this אות was, cannot be determined; some have conjectured that Kain had a mark set on his forehead, perhaps a horn; others (Haitsma quoted by Di., p. 95) an inscription set somewhere on his person, commencing with כל, and ending with יקם; but there is nothing in the narrative to throw any light on the nature of the אות given to Kain. The LXX have *ἔθετο Κύριος ὁ Θεὸς σημεῖον τῷ Κάϊν*; Pesh. *וַיִּשָׂא לְקַיִן חֶמְלָה*; Onq. *וַיִּשָׂא לְקַיִן חֶמְלָה וְנָתַתָּהּ*.

לבבלתי הכות אתו, not לבבלתי הכותו, because that might mean 'that he might not smite;' cf. Ges., § 121. 4. Rem. The usual order is here departed from, and the object coming after the infinitive precedes the subject; cf. Ges., § 133. 3; Ewald, § 307 b: see also Is. 20, 1 בשלה אתו סרגון. Prov. 25, 8 בהכלים אתך רעך כל. לבבלתי . . . כל = 'that no one,' just as לא כל = 'no one;' cf. 3, 1 לא תאכל מכל עץ 'thou shalt eat of no tree.'

16. נוד must be the name of a place, as we may infer from וישב, and its position after ארץ and before קדמת; not as the Vulg. '*profugus in terra*,' connecting נוד with נר 'to wander:' so also Onq., who renders *נָדַל וַיִּמְטְלֵט*. The position of נוד is as uncertain as that of the garden of Eden. The narrative gives us no real ground for assuming that it was eastwards of Eden, for this can neither be inferred from קדמת (LXX, *κατέναντι*; cf. 2, 14) nor from 3, 24 (Di.). נוד = 'banishment.'

17. חנוך = 'dedication,' from חנך 'to dedicate,' prob. a denom. from the root of חך 'a gum' (for חֲנִיךָ), prop. 'to rub

the gums;' so in Arabic; it being customary to rub the gums of new-born children with date syrup, which was regarded as an act of dedication or initiation into life; cf. Del., p. 171. Prov. 22, 6.

ויהי בנה עיר 'and he was building a city,' i.e. at the time when חנוך was born, the city was not completed, otherwise the narrator had written בָּנָה (perf.) or וַיִּבֶן (impf. with waw consec.). Other instances where the subst. verb היה is added to the participle to mark more prominently the duration of the action (i. e. that it is incomplete) are to be found in 37, 2 רעה היה 'was shepherding;'; 39, 22 הוא היה עשה; Driver, § 135. 5; M. R., § 14. 2 a; Ges., § 134. 2 c. Ryssel, however, *De Elohistae Pentateuchi sermone*, p. 59, takes this passage differently, his words are 'Prorsus aliter res se habet Gen. 4, 17, ubi participium loco nominis ponitur ["*Städtebauer*"].' This, however, seems harsh and unnatural. For conjectures as to the city חנוך, see Di., p. 96, who says, 'We are not in a position to identify it geographically.'

בָּנָה עיר. The retrogression of the tone in בנה is due to the following tone-syllable in עיר. Two tone-syllables usually do not come together, either the first word is accented on the penult., or deprived of all accent by being connected with the second by Maqqef; cf. Ges., § 29. 3 b; Driver, § 100: so 1, 5 קרא לילה. 3, 19 האכל לחם. 21, 5 בהולד לו. 39, 14 לזחק בנו.

18. ויולד . . . עירד. The passive verb is followed by the acc. case, as in 17, 5. 21, 5. 27, 42. 40, 20, and often; cf. Ges., § 143. 1 a; Ewald, § 295 b; M. R., § 47.

The meanings of some of the *nomina propria* which follow are very obscure.

עירד may mean 'he who flees,' or 'the one who flees,' from ערד, Arab. عرد 'to flee.' LXX give it by Γαιδάδ, which is inter-

esting as throwing light on their pronunciation of the Heb. ע; cf. עמורה, Γόμορρα; עזה, Γάζα; עתליה, Γοθολία; רעואל, Ραγουήλ; עתניאל, Γοθονήλ; רעמה, Ρεγμά; see Frankel, *Vorstudien*, p. 112.

מחויאל, of which מחיאל is another form, perhaps means 'blotted out by God,' or 'stricken of God,' = מחוי אל. LXX, Μαλελεήλ. מתושאל may mean 'man that is of God,' being composed of מתוי, i. e. מת with the old case ending ו, which is found again in מתושלח and פנואל; cf. Ges., § 90. 3 b; Stade, § 344 a: of ש, possibly the abbreviated form of the relative pronoun אשר: and of אל 'God.' מת is preserved in Ethiopic, where it often has the meaning 'husband,' as Is. 54, 1. Luke 2, 36. Gen. 2, 23, in the Ethiopic version; see Di., *Ethiop. Lex.*, p. 183. The abbreviated form ש is not found in the Pentateuch, unless we adopt the view of some interpreters who consider בשגם in 6, 3 to consist of ב, ש, and גם; and שלה in 49, 10 to be equivalent to שְׁלָה, i. e. אֲשֶׁר לוֹ. It is found in Judg. 5, 7. 6, 17, where it may be due to a north Palestinian dialect, and in the Song of Songs; also in later writings, e. g. Eccles., Lam., late Pss.; while in Phoenician (see Schröder, *Phön. Gram.*, pp. 162-166, and the inscriptions cited by him, note 2, p. 162) ש is the common form, אשר, the full form, being never used. This explanation of the name מתושאל is corroborated by the Assyrian; compare Hommel, *Z. D. M. G.*, xxxii. 714, and Lenormant, *Les Origines de l'Histoire* (1880), i. p. 263. A less probable explanation is 'Man of Entreaty' ('Bittmann'), from שאל and מת. The LXX have Μαθουάλα.

ועירר ילד. ועירר ילד is generally used of the mother, and the Hif. הוליד of the father; cf. 10, 8 ff. 22, 23.

לָמֹךְ cannot be explained from the Hebrew. In Arabic يَلْمَكُ = 'a strong young man,' possibly למך is to be connected with this.

19. Lamech was the first to introduce polygamy, in opposition to the divine injunction in 2, 24.

The names of the wives are given here because it is necessary for the understanding of the song.

עָרָה = 'adornment;' צִלָּה 'shade:' but these two meanings are not quite certain.

שֵׁתִי constr. of שְׁתִּים. According to Ges., § 97. 1. Rem. 1, the dag. lene after a vocal shewa is due to the fact that the full form of word was אֲשֵׁתִים. According to Stade, p. 216, 'שְׁתִּים' is formed after the analogy of שְׁנַיִם from שְׁתִּים.'

20. The names in this verse are very obscure; cf. Di. for explanations that have been attempted.

יֹושֵׁב אֹהֶל וּמִקְנֵה. Jabal was the father of those who dwelt (the sing. taken collectively) in tents, and had cattle, i. e. the first to introduce nomad life. יֹושֵׁב is connected by zeugma with מִקְנֵה; cf. Hos. 2, 20. Is. 42, 5. Josh. 4, 10. יֹושֵׁב with the acc. or gen. of the place that is dwelt in, so Ps. 22, 4 יֹושֵׁב תְּהַלּוֹת יִשְׂרָאֵל; cf. Is. 33, 14, where יְגוּר is construed with an acc. of the place dwelt in.

מִקְנֵה 'possession,' then 'possession of cattle,' a wider idea than צֶאֱן; it comprehends also (e. g. 26, 14. 47, 17) larger cattle, sometimes camels and asses; cf. Ex. 9, 3. Job 1, 3.

21. "אָבִי כָל תְּפֵשׁ כְּנֹר וְגוֹ." 'The father of all those who handle harp and pipe.' LXX somewhat freely, ὁ καταδείξας ψαλτήριον καὶ κιθάραν. כְּנֹר, according to Ewald (*Lehrbuch*, § 79 d, § 118 a), who seeks to connect it with κιθάρα, is abbreviated from כְּנֹתָר or כְּנֹתָר. According to *H. W. B.* 9th ed., it is from כְּנָר; cf. כְּנֹרֶת, Arab. كِنَارَة, Aramaic כְּנָרָא, כְּנֹרָא, כְּנָר; from כְּנָר an assumed onomatopoeic root. Josephus, *Arch.*, vii. 12. 3, describes it as being ten-stringed, and says that it was touched with the plectrum, but cf. 1 Sam.

16, 23. 18, 10. 19, 9. where David is said to touch it with his hand.

עוגב occurs only four times in the Old Testament; here, Job 21, 12. 30, 31 (see Baer in loco, p. 50). Ps. 150, 4; and is taken by the LXX (*κithάραν*) and Pesh. (ܥܘܓܒܐ) as a string-instrument; it is better to take it with Targ. Jer., LXX in Ps. 150, and Rabb. as = 'pipe,' perhaps 'a shepherd's pipe.' In the Hebrew translation of the Aramaic parts of Daniel it is used in 3, 5. 10, 15 for סומפונייה.

22. לְטַשׁ כָּל הַרֵשׁ. 'A sharpener of every kind of instrument of brass and iron.' The A. V. takes לְטַשׁ in a metaphorical sense 'a sharpener,' i. e. 'instructor of every worker in brass,' etc.; A. V. R. 'forger;' Marg. 'an instructor.' הַרֵשׁ = 'an instrument' does not occur again in the O. T.; the passage (1 Kings 7, 14) cited in *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., being an instance of its ordinary meaning, 'workman.'

The rendering above given is that of Tuch, Del., and most moderns. Dillmann, however, in his note on the passage remarks: 'This explanation, which since Tuch is the one usually adopted, is hardly the meaning of the Massorettes, who—judging from the accent on לְטַשׁ and the pronunciation חַרֵּשׁ (where one would rather expect חָרֵשׁ)—perhaps supplied (cf. Targ.?) חַרְשֵׁי from ver. 21, 'a hammerer, (father) of every brass and iron smith.' The falling out of אָבִי must have been very old, as the Vss. do not give it. The LXX have σφυροκόπος χαλκῆς χαλκοῦ καὶ σιδήρου, taking חַרֵּשׁ as a masculine, so Vulg. 'malleator et faber in cuncta opera aeris et ferri,' supporting to some extent Dillmann's view. Onq. paraphrases רַבְּהֵן דְּכָל־יַדְעֵי עֵיבִידַת נְחָשָׁא וּבְרֹזָא חַרֵּשׁ, but apparently did not view חַרֵּשׁ as = 'an instrument.' Ps.-Jon. has much the same as Onq., רַב לְכָל־אוּכְנֵן דְּיַדְעַ בְּעֵבִידַת, 'the master of every workman

who understands the working in' etc.; also taking *חרש* as a participle. If *חרש* be taken as a subst. it is a participle neuter; cf. *יֹתֵר* 'that which remains over,' 'that which is gained,' so 'an advantage, benefit, gain,' *נבל*; in Isa. 28, 4 *צִיַצִּית נבל נעמה* = 'die Liebliche, the amiable, lovely one.'

23, 24. Lamech's Song. It consists of three verses, each containing two lines. It may be rendered thus :

23 (a). 'Ada and Zillah hear my voice ;'

(β). 'Ye wives of Lamech, give ear unto my speech :'

(a). 'Surely a man have I slain for wounding me,'

(β). 'And a young man for bruising me :'

24 (a). 'If Kain shall be avenged sevenfold,'

(β). 'Then Lamech seven and seventyfold.'

With ver. 23, cf. Isa. 28, 23. 32, 9.

23. *שָׁמְעָנָה* for *שָׁמְעֵנָה*; cf. *קָרָאָן*, Ex. 2, 20, and Ges., § 46. Rem. 3; Stade, p. 334, § 612 a.

כי not 'for,' nor = the *ὅτι recitativum*, of the N. T., introducing the words of the speaker (as e. g. 21, 30); but = 'certainly, surely;' cf. Ex. 4, 25.

לפצעי . . . להברתי. The suffixes are *objective*; cf. Ges., § 114. 2; M. R., § 78. Cf. LXX, *εἰς τραῦμα ἐμοὶ . . . εἰς μῶλωπα ἐμοί*. So Vulg.

ל = 'on account of;' cf. M. R., § 51. 4; Ges., § 154. 3 e; see Num. 16, 34 *לקולם*.

The perfects may best be taken, with the Vss., as real perfects, and not as perfects of certainty. Lamech has killed men and will not, should necessity occasion it, hesitate to kill others. Jewish fancy narrates that Lamech killed Kain (*אֵיִשׁ*) and Tubal Kain (*יָלָד*). But only one act is intended, the repetition being due to the parallelism common in Hebrew poetry.

The song is probably a triumphal song on the invention of war weapons. Lamech boasts that if Kain would be avenged sevenfold, surely he, with his instruments, would be able to take a far greater vengeance (seventy-sevenfold). For a mere wound inflicted on him, he has punished the inflicter with death; and in the possession of his weapons he feels himself superior to his ancestors, and able to dispense with divine protection. The poetical words **האזין** and **אמרה** and the parallelism which is observed throughout the three verses are noticeable.

25. **שַׁת** = *Satz, Setzling*, and then *Ersatz*, 'substitute.'

כִּי שַׁת-לִּי. Qameç remains, notwithstanding the Maqqef, and is on this account marked with Metheg; see Ges., § 16. 2 b; cf. § 9, p. 44. 1 d. **כִּי הָרְגוּ קַיִן**; cf. on 3, 19.

26. **וּלְשַׁת גַּם הוּא**. The pronoun is repeated separately, to emphasize the noun; cf. Ges., § 121. 3; Ewald, § 311 a; M. R., § 72. 1. Rem. a; so 10, 21 **הוּא גַּם הוּא** ילר.

אָנֹשׁ = 'man,' from **אָנַשׁ** 'to be weak;' or from **אָנַשׁ** = the Arabic **أَنْسَأ** 'to attach oneself to,' so *animal sociabile*.

אֵז הוֹחַל. The indeterminate 3rd pers. sing.; see Ges., § 137. 3 d; M. R., § 124: cf. Lam. 5, 5 **לֹא הוֹנַח לָנוּ**. The LXX have **οὗτος ἠλπίσεν**, perhaps reading **הוֹחַל** and **זָה**; cf. Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 41, on their reading. Onq. has **בְּיָמֵינוּ דִּי בְּיוֹמוֹתֵינוּ חָלוּ בְּנֵי אָנָשָׁא מִלְּעֲלָאָה בְּשֵׁמָא דְּיְיָ** 'thus in his days the children of men ceased praying in the name of the Lord;' so Ps.-Jon., taking **הוֹחַל** as = 'profanari,' and paraphrasing to avoid the idea of profaning Y.'s name, so that the commencement of idolatry is here mentioned. This, however, is not probable. Aq. has correctly **τότε ἠρχθη**, also Symmachus **ἀρχῆ ἐγένετο**. Di. remarks on this verse: 'It is a question whether the reading **הוֹחַל** (= **זָה**) 1, i. e. "This one

began," which is expressed by the Vulg. ("*iste coepit*"), and B. Jubil., and probably by the LXX, was not the original one, and **או הוּחַל** (so, read as a passive, already in Aquila and Symmachus, but with the meaning ἀρχή) stood in connection with the view taken by the Targum.'

לְקַרְא בְּשֵׁם. Not merely 'to call with *Yahweh's* name,' 'to mention *Him*;' but 'to worship *Him*.'

5.

A short notice of the generations from Adam to Noah, connecting the history of the creation, the first chief event, with that of the flood, the second important event in the narrative. The number of generations from Adam to Noah is ten. In the accounts of the first nine generations, the name of the first-born is always given, the age of the father at the time of his birth, the number of years which the father lived after the birth of his first-born, and the total length of his life. In the case of each, mention is made that he begat sons and daughters (**וַיֹּולֵד בָּנִים וּבָנוֹת**). In the notice of Noah however, no mention is made of the number of years he lived after the birth of his three sons, nor of the total number of his years when he died, this being narrated, chaps. 7, 11. 9, 28. On the deviations in the chronology followed by the Hebrew text, the LXX, and the Samaritan. cf. Di., p. 105, and the authorities cited by him, p. 107; Del., *Comm.*, p. 182, and more especially for the LXX chronology; Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 70. The following table, taken from Di., p. 105, gives the variations in the chronology of the Hebrew, LXX, and Samaritan texts.

In each of the three tables marked Heb. Text, Sam. Ver., Septuagint, the first column gives the years each patriarch lived until he begat children; the second, the number of years

in each life after the birth of the first child; and the third, the total number of years each individual lived.

	HEB. TEXT.			SAM. VER.			SEPTUAGINT.		
Adam	130	800	930	130	800	930	230	700	930
Seth	105	807	912	105	807	912	205	707	912
Enos	90	815	905	90	815	905	190	715	905
Kenan	70	840	910	70	840	910	170	740	910
Mahalalel... ..	65	830	895	65	830	895	165	730	895
Jared	162	800	962	62	785	847	162	800	962
Henoch	65	300	365	65	300	365	165	200	365
Methusalah ...	187	782	969	67	653	720	167	802	969
Lamech	182	595	777	53	600	653	188	565	753
Noah	500	500	500
Up to the flood .	100	...	(950)	100	...	(950)	100	...	(950)

1. **כפר תולדות** only here: elsewhere in P **תולדות** alone; cf. 2, 4, 6, 9. Num. 3, 1.

3. **ויהי אדם שלשים**. **מאה שנה** is equally common with **מאת שנה**; cf. Ges., § 120. 1, note; M. R., § 98. The acc. is acc. of time, in answer to the question 'how long?' cf. M. R., § 42 a; Ges., § 118. 2 b.

ויולד, viz. a son or child. Olshausen proposes to insert **בן** here, but unnecessarily, the object being contained in the verb, as in 6, 4 **וילדו להם**; 16, 1 **לא ילדה לו**.

5. **כל ימי אדם וגו'**. The predicate always, in the case of **כל**, agrees with the genitive, and not with the noun in the construct state: cf. Ges., § 148. 1, note; M. R., § 135. 3 a.

תשע מאת שנה ושלשים שנה. The noun **שנה** repeated with the ten; cf. Ges., § 120. 3; M. R., § 97. Rem. c. **תי** is perf. from **חיי**, as in 3, 22.

6. **חמש שנים ומאת שנה**. The noun repeated with

the lesser number (from 3-9 inclusive) in the pl., and with the greater in the singular; Ges., § 120. 3; M. R., § 97. Rem. c.

22. וַיִּתְהַלֵּךְ חֲנוּךְ אֵת; so Noah, 6, 9, walked with God; cf. a similar use of the Qal in Mic. 6, 8. Mal. 2, 6. הַתְּהַלֵּךְ אֵת is used of confidential intercourse with God, a closer relationship to God than is implied in 'walking before God' (17, 1), or, 'walking after God' (Deut. 13. 5); cf. 1 Sam. 25, 15 of the intercourse between David's followers and Nabal's servants. The LXX have here *Εὐηρέστῆσε δὲ Ἐνὼχ τῷ Θεῷ*, perhaps to avoid an anthropomorphic idea; cf. Ecclus. 44, 16. 49, 14, and Heb. 11, 5 *πίστει Ἐνὼχ*. Onq. paraphrases וְהִלִּיךְ חֲנוּךְ בְּדַרְחֵי יְהוָה 'Enoch walked in the fear of Yahweh.' The Pesh. renders as the LXX, *لَاذَعًا سَعَى لِّلْهِ* 'Enoch pleased God.'

24. וְאֵינְנוּ כִּי לָקַח אֹתוֹ. 'And he was not, for Elohim took him;' cf. the usage of אֵין in Is. 17, 14. Ps. 103, 16. 1 Kings 20, 40, of sudden disappearance. On its use in the narrative style, cf. Ewald, § 321 a; M. R., § 128, 2 a. כִּי לָקַח אֹתוֹ, that is, without dying, otherwise we should expect וַיָּמָת: cf. 2 Kings 2 (Elijah's removal from earth to heaven, without tasting death). The reason for כִּי לָקַח אֹתוֹ is to be found in the first half of the verse, viz. his piety; cf. Heb. 11, 5, and Onq.; not, as some suppose, the danger of his relapsing into sin: so Ber. Rabb. c. 24. Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 43, cites this passage as one of the places where the LXX translators had the Haggada in view. LXX have *καὶ οὐχ ἐβρίσκειτο, ὅτι μετέθηκεν αὐτὸν ὁ Θεός*. So Vulg. Onq. וְלִיתְהִי אֲרִי (לֹא) אֲמִית יְיָ (cf. Frankel, p. 44, note d, who omits לֹא, so Berliner in his edition of Onqelos [ed. 1884, Berlin], p. 5; cf. part 2, p. 3) = 'And he was not, for Yahweh did (not) slay him.' The Pesh. follows the Heb. text. In Ecclus. 44, 16 Enoch is called

παράδειγμα μετανοίας ταῖς γενεαῖς, and in the book of Enoch (translated by Dillmann) and the N. T. book of Jude, 14 et seq., he is described as a seer and prophet, who announced the coming of God, to punish the world for its sin.

29. ויקרא את שמו נח. ויחמנו = 'rest,' the explanation given in the text, זה יחמנו, is not strictly an etymology at all, as נח cannot be connected with נחם, which is an entirely different stem; but the similarity in sound led the narrator to connect in thought נח with נחם, just as משה is a reminiscence of משה 'to draw out,' yet cannot be etymologically connected with that word. The LXX render יחמנו as though they read it יניחני (not יניחני, which would rather mean 'to set, place'). Rashi perceiving the etymological difficulty, fancifully explains יחמנו as though it were = יניח ממנו 'make to rest from us.' His words are ינה ממנו את עצבון ידינו עד שלא בא נוח לא היה להם כלי מחרישה והוא הבין להם והיתה הארץ מוציאה קוצים ודרדרים כשורעים חטים מקללתו של אדם הראשון ובימי נוח נחה וזהו יחמנו *He will make the toil of our hands cease from us: before Noah came they had no instruments to plough with, but he made them some, and the earth used to bring forth thorns and thistles when they sowed wheat, on account of the curse of the first man, but in the days of Noah (the earth) had rest, and this is the meaning of יחמנו.*

“ממעשנו ומעצבון וגו' . *From our work and labour (arising) from the ground;*’ better than מן-האדמה ‘because of the ground,’ as A.V.; for the curse comes to man from the ground, which brought forth קוץ ודרדר when it was tilled (3, 18).

6.

1. לרב is inf. cstr. of רבב ‘to be many,’ ‘gross sein;’ רבה = ‘to become many,’ ‘gross werden.’ The apodosis of the sentence begins with 2^b, at ויקחו.

2. טבות is used in a physical sense here = 'comely;' cf. Ex. 2, 2.

מכל אשר בחרו. מן is used to particularise the idea as in 7, 22. 9, 10; cf. Ewald, § 278 c.

בני האלהים. This phrase, elsewhere in the O. T., always means 'the angels,' with reference to their nature as beings of a higher, diviner type (being called מלאכים, with regard to their office as messengers executing the divine commands); so Job 1, 6. 2, 1. 38, 7. Dan. 3, 25 ('a son of the gods'), but never יהוה בני. The same meaning is usually assigned to it here by ancient interpreters, e.g. Philo, *Book of Enoch*, etc.; cf. Jude 6. 2 Peter 2, 4; the moderns also mostly explain it in the same way; so Del., Di., Tuch, Knobel, Schrader, etc. As, however, the idea of a carnal connection between the angels and daughters of men was very repugnant to a refined mode of thought, and especially objectionable to the Christian mind (cf. Matt. 22, 30), many attempts were made to explain these words in a way that would not cause offence. Thus, Targg., Onq. and Ps.-Jon. both render בְּנֵי רִבְרִבָּיָא 'sons of nobles,' from the use of אלהים in Ex. 21, 6; 22, 7 (which, however, are very different passages from this). Rashi has בני השרים 'the sons of princes and judges;' others explain בני האלהים similarly as the sons of those of higher rank, opposed to בנות האדם the daughters of those of lower rank. In favour of this interpretation, Pss. 82, 6. 49, 3 are quoted. But in the first of these passages the expression is not the same, and the application evidently different; in the second, the opposite to אדם is איש, not אלהים; further האדם, in vers. 1 and 4 (= 'the human race'), is against this view. Another explanation is that adopted by the Fathers, e.g. Ephrem Syrus, Theodoret (cf. Del., p. 191), who interpret the

sons of God in a spiritual sense as the pious ones, those who lead the lives of angels; viewing these as the descendants of Seth, and regarding the בנות האדם as the daughters of the wicked, the offspring of the line of Kain. But there is nothing in either chap. 4 or 5 to bear out this view, and the expression 'sons of God' as a name for pious men is not usual in the O. T.; and it is scarcely conceivable that האדם in ver. 2^b is to be taken in a different sense from the האדם in ver. 1^a, which would be required if this view were adopted. The Vss. render variously. The reading of the LXX is uncertain, υἱὸς τοῦ Θεοῦ is found, and also ἄγγελοι τοῦ Θεοῦ; cf. Lagarde, *Genesis Graece*, p. 20. The Pesh. has here כְּבָנֵי מַלְאָכִים merely transliterating the Heb. words; so in Job 1, 6. 2, 1; Aquila, υἱὸς τῶν Θεῶν, on which Hieron., *Quaest.* ed. Lagarde, p. 11, says, '*Deos intelligens sanctos sive angelos;*' Symm., οἱ υἱοὶ τῶν δυναστευόντων (agreeing with the old Jewish view); Itala (from LXX), '*angeli Dei;*' Vulgate, '*fili Dei.*'

3. לֹא יָדוֹן רוּחִי. לֹא יָדוֹן רוּחִי is rather the breath of life which Yahweh Elohim (2, 7) breathed into man's nostrils when he created him ('the principle of physical and spiritual life,' Di.), than the Holy Spirit (as the Targg. of Ps.-Jon., Jer.; Symm., etc.) working in man, and judging him; for the determination on Yahweh's part to deprive man of His spirit, as the latter half of the verse shows, really means depriving him of life.

יָדוֹן is not jussive, but (as in יָבוֹא from בּוֹא not בִּיֵא) has the intransitive punctuation of the imperf.; cf. Ew., § 138 b; Stade, § 490 c. The Vss. (LXX, Pesh., Onq., Vulg.) either read יָדוֹר, or according to others יִלֹּן, or guessed at the meaning of the word, rendering it '*abide*' or '*remain.*' It is now generally rendered either '*be abased,*' so Dillmann, from the Arabic; or '*rule,*' Del.; יָדוֹן being = יָדִין, whose primary

meaning is 'rule' and then 'judge;' cf. Nif' נָדוֹן (cf. אָדוֹן , from which is derived אָדוֹן 'ruler,' 'lord'). The latter meaning is perhaps better supported than the former, as the meaning 'be abased' ascribes to the word a signification which it has ceased to have in Hebrew. The Targg. (Ps.-Jon., Jer.) and others take it as synonymous with דָּן , and render 'judge,' but this does not suit the context so well as 'be abased,' or 'rule.' The A. V. renders 'my spirit shall not strive,' so Joseph Kimchi and Rashi, regarding דוֹן as equivalent to דָּן , and giving it the meaning of the Nif'al נָדוֹן (cf. נִשְׁפָּט), a meaning which in Nif'al depends on the reciprocal signification of the conjugation, and so cannot be assigned to Qal.

$\text{בְּשָׂגָם הוּא בָשָׂר}$. The best attested reading is that adopted by Baer and Del. in their edit. of *Genesis*, Leipz., 1869, בְּשָׂגָם with pathach. The reading in the ordinary editions is בְּשָׂנָם with qameç. The meaning of these words is disputed. There are two general explanations. That adopted by Delitzsch, 'For that he too is flesh,' or 'For that he indeed is flesh,' בְּשָׂנָם being treated as compounded of שָׁן the prep., שֵׁי ¹ a fragment of אֲשֶׁר (cf. on 4, 18), and the particle נָם also; cf. בְּאֲשֶׁר , 39, 9. But against this it may be urged that (a) the abbreviation of the relative אֲשֶׁר never

¹ It should be mentioned that Fritz Hommel and others consider that the fragment ש has nothing to do with the rel. אֲשֶׁר , but that שֵׁ , שָׁ , originally שֵׁ , is only another form of the Aramaic relative conjunction שֵׁ , שָׁ . See *Z. D. M. G.* xxxii. 711 ff., and a note in the *American Journal, Hebraica*, April, 1885, p. 249, where a third view of the relation between ש and אֲשֶׁר is mentioned, which makes ש the original relative, and derives אֲשֶׁר from it by prefixing an independent pronominal stem א , and affixing lā (which appears also in the Arabic relative الَّذِي اللّٰذِي , ل being then hardened to ر ; cf. Sperling, *Die nota relationis im Hebräischen*, Jena, 1876.

occurs in the Pentateuch, though defended by some by an appeal to the pr. n. מתושאל, 4, 18; מישאל, Ex. 6, 22. Lev. 10, 4; which are not, however, of any weight for prose usage, and both of which may be explained otherwise: and that (b) גם is here superfluous. The second explanation is that adopted by Di., who reads בְּשִׁנָּם, and takes it as inf. cstr. from שָׁנָה = שָׁנָה, with the affix of the third pers. m. pl. (cf. Ges., § 67, note 3; Ewald, § 238 b), and renders, 'On account of their error or transgression he (mankind) is flesh.' Against this it may be urged (a) that הוּא is masc. sing., while שָׁנָם has the third pl. m. affix; cf., however, Ewald, § 319 a, where other instances of a similar *Enallage numeri* are to be found: (b) that שָׁנָה is scarcely the word that would be expected in this connection, and it is here hardly general enough: (c) that the reading with qameç is not so well attested as that with pathach; cf. Del., p. 195. The text is probably corrupt: but the emendations that have been proposed are not satisfactory: e. g. באשר גם, or לבש גם, בנפשם. The Vss. give—LXX, διὰ τὸ εἶναι αὐτοὺς σάρκας; Pesh. ܘܡܝܢ ܘܡܝܢ ܘܡܝܢ; Onq. ܘܡܝܢ ܘܡܝܢ ܘܡܝܢ ܘܡܝܢ; Vulg. 'quia caro est,' all expressing the sense 'For that.'

"והיו ימיו מאה וגר. 'So his days shall be,' or 'so let his days be,' etc., i. e. he shall have a respite of a hundred and twenty years. This seems better than the other explanation, that human life should be limited to a hundred and twenty years; for many post-diluvian Patriarchs reached a far higher age, e. g. Abraham, 25, 7; and it cannot be regarded as a general statement to which there might be exceptions, as the exceptions are too numerous (all the post-diluvian Fathers, from Shem to Terach, reach a higher age than the limit here assigned; cf. 11, 10 et seq.).

4. הנפילים. According to the ancients (LXX, Pesh.,

Onq., Sam., Saad.), a name for giants; cf. Num. 13, 33 וַיִּשֶׁם רֵאשֵׁי הַנְּפִלִים בְּנֵי עֲנַק. No clear etymology can be found in Hebrew; perhaps the word was derived from a Canaanitish dialect. It has been connected with the root נפל 'to fall:' thus many of the Fathers consider these נפילים to have been fallen angels; but there is nothing in the narrative to justify this, and the narrator appears to distinguish the נפילים from בני האלהים. Others render 'Robbers, Tyrants,' lit. those who fall upon others; so Aq. οἱ ἐπιπίπτουτες; Symm. οἱ βίαιοι; but נפל only means 'to fall upon,' 'attack' in certain connections; cf. Josh. 11, 7. Job 1, 15. Gen. 43, 18. Others (Tuch, Knobel) connect the word with a root נפל, supposed to possess the sense of פלא, and consider it to allude to their extraordinary size, but this is precarious. Other conjectures will be found in Lenormant, *Les Origines de l'histoire*, etc. (1880), I. chap. vii.

הַהֵם is always pointed with הָ, although הֶ would be expected here; cf. ver. 19 הָהֵם; and Ges., § 35. 2 A. The article stands with הֵם, because the noun which it qualifies is defined; cf. Ges., § 122. 1; Dav., § 13. Rule 1.

וַיֵּלְדוּ . . . וַיִּבְאוּ. Render, 'When the sons of God went in . . . and they bare.' וַיֵּלְדוּ, connecting the new sentence with a preceding particle of time (אחרי כן), may be rendered 'when;' cf. 45, 6. 1 Sam. 20, 31. 2 Sam. 19, 25 after יום; Deut. 4, 10. Ps. 95, 9^a and ^b. The imperf. as a frequentative past, followed by the perf. with waw consec.; cf. Driver, § 113. 4 a: cf. 2, 6. 29, 2. Ex. 33, 7-11. The subject to וַיֵּלְדוּ is בני האדם: cf. for the change of subject, 9, 27. 15, 13.

הַמָּה refers to the נפילים in the first half of the verse, not to an object to וַיֵּלְדוּ, which has been left out, as this would be very forced.

אֲנָשֵׁי הַשֵּׁם is co-ordinate with אֲשֶׁר מְעוֹלָם. ‘*The men of repute;*’ cf. Num. 16, 2 אֲנָשֵׁי שֵׁם. A word in the construct state cannot take the article, so it is defined by the article being attached to the following genitive, Ges., § 111. 1; M. R., § 76. N. B. Whether such a combination as אֲנָשֵׁי הַשֵּׁם means I. ‘*The men of repute,*’ or II. ‘*Men of the repute,*’ or III. ‘*The men of the repute,*’ can only be decided by the context. Hebrew has only one way of defining the first, or the second, or both parts of a construct state, and following genitive combination.

5. רַבָּה is accented *milra’*, and so is an adj., and not the perfect fem., from רַבַּב, which would be *mil’el*.

כָּל יֵצֵר מֵהַשְּׁבוֹת לִבּוֹ. ‘*Every form of the thoughts of his heart,*’ יֵצֵר, I. ‘*form, shape,*’ physically; II. tropically applied to what is fashioned in the mind, imagination; cf. 8, 21. Is. 26, 3. The LXX paraphrase πᾶς τις διανοεῖται ἐν τῇ καρδίᾳ, on which cf. Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 10.

רַק רַע ‘*only evil,*’ i. e. ‘*utterly, hopelessly, nothing but evil:*’ cf. a similar use of רַק in Deut. 28, 33 רַק עֲשׂוֹק וּרְצוּץ; Is. 28, 19 וְהָיָה רַק זֹעָה.

6. וַיִּתְעַצַּב אֱלֹהֵי לִבּוֹ. ‘*And was pained in his heart.*’ LXX, καὶ διενοήθη. Onq. וַיִּתְבַּר תִּקְפֵּהוֹן בְּרַעֲיָתִיהָ. ‘*And spake by his Word, to break their strength according to his will.*’ Ps.-Jon. וַיִּדְרֹן עֲלֵיהֶן בְּמִמְרָה. ‘*And disputed with his Word concerning them;*’ so Sam. and Targ. Jer. All intentional, in order to avoid an anthropomorphic idea.

7. בַּהֲמָה = usually ‘*tame,*’ ‘*domestic animals;*’ here used of ‘*tame and wild animals,*’ as in ver. 20; 7, 23. 8, 17.

9. אֱלֹהֵי תוֹלְדוֹת. Cf. on 2, 4.

נָח אִישׁ צְדִיק הַמַּיִם. Render, ‘*Noah was an upright*

man, perfect among his contemporaries;' according to the accents and the order of the words.

10. **שְׁלֹשָׁה בָּנִים**. Masc. nouns take the numeral in the fem. form, and vice versa; see Ges., § 97; Dav., § 48. The number 2 *agrees* in gender with the word which it enumerates, and is an exception to this rule. The numerals from 2-10 are substantive, **אֶחָד**, fem. **אֶחָת**, *one* is an adj.

11. **וּתְמֵלֵא הָאָרֶץ חֶמֶס**. Verbs of *abounding* and *wanting* take in Hebrew the accusative, Ges., § 138. 3 b; M. R., § 35; cf. ver. 13 **מֵלֵא הָאָרֶץ חֶמֶס**.

✓ 13. **קֵץ כָּל בָּשָׂר בָּא לִפְנֵי**. 'The end (i.e. the destruction) of all flesh (man and beast) has come before me.' Not 'The end of all flesh has come to my knowledge,' which would rather be **בָּא אֵלַי** (cf. 18, 21. Ex. 3, 9), but 'has come before my mind, is determined on by me' (cf. Job 10, 13. 23, 14).

כָּל-בָּשָׂר is characteristic of P.

מִפְּנֵיהֶם 'from before them,' i.e. 'because of them, through their influence;' cf. Ex. 8, 20 **תִּשְׁחַת הָאָרֶץ מִפְּנֵי הָעֶרֶב**. The pl. suffix is used because **בָּשָׂר** must be taken collectively.

אֶת הָאָרֶץ 'with the earth.' So LXX, Onq., Vulg. Pesh. has **עַל הָאָרֶץ** 'on the earth;' Sam. **עַל הָאָרֶץ** 'from the earth,' perhaps reading (wrongly) **מֵאֵת** by repeating the final **ם** of **מִשְׁחִיתֶם**.

14. **תָּבַת עֵצִי גִפְר**. **תָּבַת תְּבָה** only occurs in Gen., chaps. 6-9, and Ex. 2, 3. 5; it is most probably an Egyptian word; see Gesenius, *Th.* sub voce, and M. V., *H. W. B.*, p. 875. The Semitic etymologies given by Del., *Comm.*, p. 206 (from **תִּיב**, a secondary formation of **תִּיב** 'to be hollow'), and Dietrich, *Abhandl. zur Semit. Wortforschung*, p. 33 (who regards the word as Semitic, and as standing 'in lebendigem zusammen-

hange' (in actual connection) with אָנָה 'a reed;' comparing the derivation of תְּבֵה from אָנָה with those of תְּבֵל, אֲנָה, from אָנָה; תּוֹם, from תָּאם; in all of which the א is suppressed), are untenable. The LXX here have κισθρόν; in Exodus θίσιν; the Vulg. has 'arcani' here, and in Exodus 'fiscellam;' Targg. תִּיבוֹתָא, Syr. مَلْحَمَةُ, which is the Greek κισθρός.

עֵץ גִּבֹר only occurs here. עֵצִים = 'wood' when cut down, 'logs,' as opposed to עֵץ, 'trees' growing; so חֲטָה, and חֲטָיִם, sing. 'wheat' growing, and pl. 'wheat' when cut down, 'grain;' כֶּסֶף 'silver' in general, כְּסָפִים 'pieces of silver,' Ges., § 108. 4. Rem. 1; Stade, § 311 c.

גִּבֹר, connected with גַּפְרִית sulphur and כֶּפֶר pitch, is a resinous coniferous tree (Nadelbaum), perhaps the old name for the cypress, which was used by the Phoenicians for ship-building, and is elsewhere called בְּרוֹשׁ. גִּבֹר only occurs here. The LXX, Itala, and Vulg. did not understand the meaning of the word, and resorted to conjecture. The LXX have ξύλων τετραγώνων; the Itala, 'ligna quadrata;' the Vulg. 'ligna laevigata.' Onq. and Ps.-Jon. render 'cedar trees;' the Syriac has ܩܝܢܝܘܢ, which Walton renders 'de ligno viminis;' but this is doubtful. Possibly it should be rendered 'juniper wood;' see Löw, *Aram. Pflanzennamen*, s. v.

קָנִים. 'In cells shalt thou make the ark;' קָנִים being acc. of manner, after a verb of making; cf. Ex. 38, 3 כָּלֵי כָּל עֵשֶׂת נַחֲשָׁת 'all its vessels he made brass,' i. e. so that they consisted of brass; Deut. 27, 6 אֲבָנִים שְׁלֵמוֹת תִּבְנֶה אֶת מוֹזְבַּח יְהוָה; cf. Ewald, § 284. 1 b; Ges., § 139. 2 end; M. R., § 45. 5 b.

עֲשֵׂה . . . וְכִפְרָת. The perf. with waw conv. in continuation of an imperative; cf. Driver, § 112 (1); M. R., § 24. 1 a; cf. 8, 17. Lev. 24, 14 וּמָכּוֹ . . . הוֹצֵא אֶת הַמִּקְלָל. 2 Sam.

11, 15 וּשְׁבַתָּם . . . אֹרִיָּה הֵבּוּ אֶת אֹרִיָּה וּכְפָרָתָהּ has the tone thrown forward on to the last syllable, after the waw conv.; cf. Driver, §§ 106 and 110; Dav., § 23. 3 b; M. R., § 23. כּפּר is a denominative from כּפּר. See Ges., § 52. 2; Dav., § 26. 3. Rem. a.

בְּכֹפֶר. The article is used here with a material which was well known; cf. Ges., § 109. Rem. 1 b; M. R., § 68.

15. וְזֶה אֲשֶׁר תַּעֲשֶׂה, lit. 'this is what thou shalt make it;' i. e. 'this is how thou shalt make it.'

16. צֹהַר, prop. = 'light,' and then 'an inlet for light,' so 'window.' So all Vss. except the LXX, and most moderns. צֹהַר is regarded as a feminine, so חֲלֹן, Ez. 41, 16. 26; cf. Ges., § 107. 4 a or c; Ewald, § 174 d (γ), who classes צֹהַר, as fem., among the nouns denoting places in which man is wont to move, or things which man uses, comparing הַצֵּר 'a court,' Ez. 10, 4. 5; מַהֲנֶה 'camp,' in Gen. 32, 9; רְחוֹב 'a street,' Dan. 9, 25. It is not necessary to render צֹהַר collectively 'windows;' cf. 8, 6; צֹהַר as opposed to חֲלֹן is merely an opening for light, חֲלֹן being a lattice-work window, which could be opened or shut at will.

וְאֵל אִמָּה תְּכַלְנָה מִלְּמַעְלָה, either I. 'Up to a cubit (not further) shalt thou complete it from above:' so Kn., Del.; or II. 'Up to a cubit above (upwards) shalt thou complete it,' Di.; both being grammatically possible, as מִלְּמַעְלָה may either mean 'above,' or 'from above.' According to I. the window in the side of the ark would have the space of a cubit between it and the roof. Dillmann considers the opening to have been a cubit high, and to have run round the four sides of the ark, being interrupted merely by the beams supporting the roof; so that there was really a continuous row of צֹהַר; and claims כֹּלָה as suiting this meaning. Delitzsch's view is

open to the objection that it leaves the size of the **צהר** undefined, and one window in one side would scarcely suffice for the lighting of the whole ark. Dillmann's view is possibly less objectionable, but it is questionable whether the Hebrew text can bear the meaning he puts upon it. The text seems merely to say that a window or opening was to be made in the ark, its place being left undefined, and this opening was to be continued until it reached a distance of a cubit from the roof (**מלמעלה** *from above*), or to the height of a cubit (**מלמעלה** *above, upwards*). The opening may have been in the roof, for the absence of a notice about the covering of such a light-hole may be explained on the ground that the narrative says very little about the construction of the window. Tuch's explanation that the window was intended for Noah's cell, while the animals were in darkness, cannot be derived from the text as it stands, nor his view that the window was a cubit square.

17. **ואני הנני מביא**. The participle as future (*futurum instans*), which it represents as already 'beginning;' frequently with **הנה** preceding it; cf. Ges., § 134. 2 b. cf. Rem. 1; M. R., § 14. Rem. a; Driver, § 135. 3.

את המבול מים. Not 'the flood of waters,' but in app. 'the flood [even] waters.' So Ps. 60, 5 **וין תרעלה**, lit. 'wine, reeling.'

מים. On the subst. in apposition, cf. Ges., § 118. 3; M. R., § 76. Rem. b; Ewald, § 287 h; Driver, § 188. The emendation **מים** is unnecessary and unsuitable.

גוע in the Pent. and Josh. is peculiar to P.

18. **והקימתי ברית** and **נתן ברית**, 9, 12 are marks of P.

19. **החזי**; cf. on ver. 4.

זכר ונקבה, characteristic of P.

20. לְמִינֵהוּ. See on I, 20. רָמִישׁ and רָמִישׁ belong to the language of P.

21. וְאֶחָדָה . . . קָהַלְךָ ; cf. on ver. 14. אֶחָדָה is another characteristic of P.

22. כֵּן עָשָׂה is rare outside P.

7.

1. וְכָל בֵּיתָךְ. Cf. the fuller description of Noah's family in P, 6, 18. 7, 7. 13. 8, 16. 18.

2. שִׁבְעָה שִׁבְעָה. 'Seven by seven,' i.e. 'by sevens;' see Ges., §§ 108. 4, 120. 5; M. R., § 72. 2; Ewald, § 313 a; cf. Zech. 4, 2. Num. 3, 47. 17, 17 מִטָּה מִטָּה 'rod, rod,' 'a rod each;' 2 Kings 17, 29 גּוֹי גּוֹי 'nation, nation,' i.e. 'every single nation:' cf. also Mark 6, 39 σὺν σὺν πέντε πέντε; 40 πρᾶσι καὶ πρᾶσι. The repetition of a noun indicates that the action expressed in the sentence is performed on different individuals of the class denoted by the noun; thus the repetition serves to express the *distributive* relation. Some think that seven individuals of each kind were to be selected, the seventh possibly being intended for sacrifice (Del.). But the addition of אִישׁ וְאִשְׁתּוֹ seems to indicate that 'seven pairs' were intended. In the case of the unclean animals we have שְׁנַיִם once, i.e. 'one pair,' and we may reasonably presume that had the narrator intended seven individual animals here, we should have had שִׁבְעָה once. This also suits ver. 9 better (the animals went in שְׁנַיִם שְׁנַיִם by twos). שִׁבְעָה שִׁבְעָה in the next verse is to be taken in the same way.

4. כִּי לְיָמִים עוֹד שִׁבְעָה. 'For after yet seven days.'

For this use of the preposition ל, cf. 2 Sam. 13, 23 ויהי למחר יהיה האות הזה 8, 19; Ex. 8, 19; M. R., § 51. 2.

ארבעים יום. Certain nouns are used after the numerals in the singular; cf. Ges., § 120. 2. Rem.; M. R., § 97.

ומחיתי. P uses שחת or השחית; cf. 6, 13. 17.

כל היקום. כל היקום, which always has the י without a dagesh, is a subst. formed from the analogy of the imperfect; cf. מנסא, in Syriac, by transposition, from מנסא. Render, 'Every existing thing.'

6. בן שש מאות שנה. The adj. is expressed by joining to a subst., denoting a reference or relationship, a genitive expressing the attribute or thing; cf. Ges., § 106. 2 a; M. R., § 79. 6 d.

והמבול היה מים. 'When the flood was, waters,' etc. מים is an explanatory apposition to המבול; cf. Ewald, § 287 h; Driver, § 188; M. R., § 76. Rem. b. היה = 'accidit,' 'came;' LXX, ἐγένετο. The second half of the verse is a circ. clause; see Ewald, § 341 d; Driver, § 169: cf. 19, 4. 24, 45. The A. V. R. keeps the old rendering, 'the flood of waters,' which is a paraphrase adopted for the sake of English idiom.

7. ויבא נח ובניו. 'And Noah came in and his sons,' etc. When the predicate precedes a compound subj., it frequently stands in the sing.; Ges., § 148. 2; M. R., § 138.

11. בשנת שש מאות ... להי. lit. 'in the year of six hundred years to the life of N.,' i.e. 'in the six hundredth year of N.'s life.' The cardinals, for numbers beyond ten, are used for the ordinals; cf. Ges., § 120. 4; M. R., § 100 a; Ewald, § 287 k.

להי נח. The genitive is often expressed thus by ל, when a writer wishes to avoid a string of construct states, or—

as here—when any word intervenes between the construct state and the genitive. Cf. Ges., § 115. 2; M. R., § 83.

לוארבות = lit. 'the latticed windows,' from ארב 'to intertwine.' The LXX have αἱ καταρράκται, Vulg. 'cataractae,' Aq. and Symm. αἱ θυρίδες: cf. מרומ ארבת ממרום, Is. 24, 18; also Job 38, 16. Prov. 8, 28 on the whole verse.

13. בעצם היום הזה. 'On this very day;' see Ges., § 124. 2. Rem. 3; M. R., § 90; Ewald, § 286 f; and cf. 17, 23; Ex. 24, 10 כעצם השמים 'as the very heavens;' Josh. 10, 27 ער עצם היום הזה 'until this very day.'

בא. It is not necessary to take this in a pluperfect sense, the rendering 'came' is quite suitable.

שלשת. Here the numeral very exceptionally agrees in gender with its substantive; cf. Ges., § 97. 1, note, p. 250; Ewald, § 267 c: other instances are Ez. 7, 2 Ktb.; Zech. 3, 9. 4, 2. Job 1, 4.

14. כל צפור כל כנף. The same phrase occurs in Ez. 17, 23; cf. also 39, 4. Ps. 148, 10. Lit. 'every bird of every wing,' i.e. 'all sorts of birds,' 'every species of birds.' צפור is properly 'a small bird,' so called from its twittering or chirping.

16. הבאים = 'those that came,' lit. 'the ones coming:;' see Ges., § 109, note; M. R., § 92. Rem. a. The article is equivalent to the rel. pronoun: cf. Neh. 4, 12 הבונים 'those that built;' Ex. 1, 1 הבאים מצרימה 'those who came to Egypt.'

19. מאד מאד (cf. 17, 2. 6. 20) is repeated to imply intensity; cf. Ges., § 151. 2; M. R., § 72. 1. מאד מאד is peculiar to P; so 17 l. c., Num. 14, 7.

20. חמש עשרה אמה. חמש is acc. of measure, answering to the question 'how far?' Ges., § 118. 1; M. R., § 41 c.

21. **בַּעוֹף וּבַבְּהֵמָה**. **ב** is used to specify the whole according to its contents; cf. M. R., § 52. 1; cf. 8, 17. 9, 2. 23, 18. This construction of **ב** is a mark of P.

22. **הַרְבָּה**, with firm ־ under **ה**, the noun being of the form **יִבְשָׁה**.

23. **וַיִּכַח**. The better-attested reading has no dag. in the **מ**; so the form would be apoc. impf. Qal from **מָחָה**, and would mean 'He (God), or it (the flood) blotted out,' the first rendering being the best. The reading with dag. in the **מ** would be imperf. apoc. Nif'., for which we should rather expect **וַיִּמָּח** with ־ , but cf. Ewald, § 224 c and Ps. 109, 13. 14: the acc. could stand after a passive, as in 4, 18; but a passive would hardly be expected with **וַיִּמָּח** following in the same verse. The accent on the penult. points to the imperf. Qal: in the imperf. Nif'. it could not be drawn back, as the penult. would be a closed syllable.

וַאֲשֶׁר אָתָּה. **אֲשֶׁר** = 'i *qui*,' containing in itself the demonstrative pronoun, Ges., § 123. 2; cf. M. R., § 158. 2.

8.

3. **וַיִּשׁוּבוּ הַמַּיִם . . . הַלֹּךְ וְשׁוֹב**. The inf. abs. **הַלֹּךְ** is added to **שׁוֹב** to emphasize the continuance of the abating of the waters, just as in vers. 5. 7; 12, 9: cf. Ges., § 131. 3, note 3; Ewald, § 280 b; M. R., § 37 b.

4. **וַתִּנַּח** is impf. Qal with waw conv. from **נָח**, like **וַיָּסַר** from **סָר**, **וַיִּזַּר** from **זָר**, **וַיִּנַּע** from **נָע**: cf. Ges., § 72. Rem. 4; Stade, § 484 d.

עַל הַרֵי אַרְרָט. **אַרְרָט** is in the O. T., 2 Kings 19, 37 = Is. 37, 38 and Jer. 51, 27 (together with **מְנֵי** and **אַשְׁכְּנֵנוּ**), the

name of a land. In the passage in Isaiah, the LXX translate אֲרָרַט by Ἀρμενία, and acc. to Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 52 ff., Armenia is called in Assyrian *U-ra-ar-ti*. Hieron., on Is. 37, 38, describes Ararat more closely as the fruitful plain lying at the foot of mount Taurus, through which the Araxes flows; and Moses of Chorene calls this part of Armenia *Ajrarat*. Kiepert (*Berl. Ak. M. B.*, 1869, 228 A; *Geogr.* 75) connects the Ἀλαρόδιοι of Herodotus, iii. 94, vii. 79, with this name (Di.). The hills of Ararat mentioned in this verse are usually identified with the highest of the mountains in the land of Ararat, which rises on the right bank of the Araxes to a considerable height, and is always covered with snow. This mountain is named Masis, and is situated twelve hours south-west of the town of Eriwan: see further, Di., p. 138 and the works cited there.

5. הָיָה הַלֹּךְ וְחָסַר. The more usual cstr. with הָיָה to emphasize the continuance of the action would be the participle: cf. on 1, 6; see Ewald, § 280 b.

בְּאַחַד לַחֹדֶשׁ. באחד is omitted by Ges., § 120. 4. Rem. 2; M. R., § 100 a. Rem. a.

7. הָעֵרֵב. The article is generic. The individual as representative of its species is distinguished from the animals belonging to other species; cf. Ges., § 109. 3. Rem. 1 c; Ewald. § 277 a; M. R., § 68; 1 Sam. 17, 34 וּבֹא הָאֵרֵי; 1 Kings 20, 36 וְהַבֵּן הָאֵרֵי. Others explain the article on the ground that Noah had only one raven with him in the ark, which is somewhat difficult to prove, or had merely a male raven; but עֵרֵב is used of both the male and female bird, and does not admit of a distinction of gender: cf. יִנָּה used of both sexes; so דְּבוּרָה 'bee'; cf. Ewald, § 175 b; Ges., § 107. 1 c, d.

יְבִשָׁת is inf. cstr. with the fem. ending, like יִבְלָת, Num.

14, 16. This ending is usual only with verbs פ'ץ and פ'ץ; cf. Ges., § 83. 1; Stade, §§ 199 c. 2, 208 c, 619 g; Ewald, § 239. 2 (who classes these instances as abstract formations with an inf. force).

8. **הקלו**. On the pointing of ה interrog., see Ges., § 100. 4; Dav., p. 122. The indirect question is here identical in form with the direct; cf. Ges., § 153. 2 s. p.; M. R., § 146; Ewald, § 324 c (a).

9. **מנוח** 'a resting-place.' Cf. the note on 1, 14.

10. **ויחל** is, according to form, Qal or Hif'. imperf. (of חיל or חיל); according to usage, Hif'. Only one other instance is cited in Ges., *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., viz. Judg. 3, 25. Di. wishes to emend after Ols. to וייחל; cf. ver. 12, as the meaning 'wait' elsewhere is expressed by the Pi'el or Hif'il of יחל, or (ver. 12) by the Nif'.; but he apparently overlooks Judg. 3, 25.

וייוסף שלח. In the combination of a verb and inf. construct, two constructions are possible: (a) The verb governs the inf. cstr. as an acc., as here and ver. 12; (β) ל the prep. is prefixed to the inf. cstr., as in 11, 8 ויחדלו לבנות; cf. M. R., §§ 112 a, 113; Ges., § 142. 1, 2.

11. **עלה זית טרף** 'a fresh olive leaf.' טרף, prop. = 'a plucked (leaf),' from טרף 'carpere;' cf. طَرَفَ 'to be fresh, new,' prop. 'to be freshly plucked.'

'That the olive tree is found in Armenia, Strabo shows, xi. 14. 4: and that it also thrives under water is attested by Theophr., *Hist. pl.* iv. 8; Plin., *N. H.*, xiii. 50.' Tuch in Di.

12. **וייחל** is impf. Nif'. from יחל. In Ez. 19, 5 (the only other example of a Nif'al form of this verb) we have נִיחַלָה Ges., § 69. Rem. 5, explains it as an instance of the Nif'al of a verb פ'ץ, written with י instead of ו; cf. יִיָּרָה, Ex. 19, 13.

1 Sam. 13, 8 ויחל Ktb.; so Ewald, § 140 b. Stade possibly is right in emending to ויחל; see §§ 115 note, and 504 a.

13. בראשון. See on ver. 5 (באחד); cf. Ges., § 120. 4 and the note on 7, 11.

16. Render, 'Go thou forth from the ark with thy wife,' etc. Notice the difference between the English and Hebrew idiom. English says, 'Go forth with,' Heb. 'Go forth, thou and thy wife.'

17. בעוף. Cf. the note on 7, 21.

ושרצו . . . הרצא. Cf. the note on 6, 14. The Ktb. הרצא is the regular imper. Hif'. from רצא, הרצא arising out of הרצא; see Ges., § 24. 2 b; Dav., § 9. Rem. b. One fails to see why the Massorettes should prefer the irregular Kri הרצא to the regular Ktb. Other instances of the Hif'il of verbs פ"י retaining their י as a consonant when we should expect י or ו, are Hos. 7, 12 אִיִּסְרָם; Prov. 4, 25 וַיִּשְׁרֶוּ; 1 Chr. 12, 2 מַיִמִּינִים; cf. Ges., § 70, 2. Stade, § 120, considers all the instances cited (except 1 Chr. 12, 2) suspicious. Cf. Ps. 5, 9 where, as here, for the Ktb. הוֹשֵׁר the Kri הַיִּשְׁרֶה is substituted.

21. וירח יי" את ריח הניחה. וירח is impf. Hif'. from ריח, shortened from יִרִיחַ, after the waw conv.; cf. Ges., § 72. Rem. 7; Stade, § 499 f.

ריח הניחה. 'The odour of satisfaction.' נִיחַח is a similar formation to נִיצוֹץ, the only other instance of this formation of nouns; Stade, § 233. Ewald, § 156 b, forms ניחה from the verbal stem נוֹחַח, and cites as a third instance of the same formation כִּידוֹר, Job 15, 24, which Stade has apparently overlooked (see § 216, however). The ריח ניחה is the pleasant odour which rose up from the sacrifice. In the technical language of the sacrifice (Opfersprache) it is the common expression used for the favourable acceptance of an offering,

or rather of the sentiments and wishes to which the sacrifice gives expression (Di.).

אל לבו = 'to himself,' thus a paraphrase for the reflexive pronoun: for other methods of supplying the reflexive pr. in Heb., see Ges., § 124. 1; M. R., § 89 b. The LXX paraphrase here with *διανοηθείς*, Symm. has *εἶπε Κύριος πρὸς ἑαυτόν*, Onq. has *וַיֹּאמֶר יְיָ בְּמִמְרֵיהֶּ*, 'Y. spake by his Word,' so Ps.-Jon. The Pesh. follows the Heb. text with *כְּלִבּוֹ*. The same idiom recurs 24, 45. 27, 41.

22. The composite subject when *followed* by its predicate, takes the latter in the plural; when the predicate precedes, it may stand in the sing.; cf. the note on 7, 7.

זרע וקציר are 'the seed time and harvest,' dividing the year into two halves, which are described as 'seed time and harvest,' also 'cold and heat,' which roughly correspond to the זרע and קציר respectively. 'The summer and winter' again correspond to the חם and קר. The season or half-year, which is called זרע, חרף, and קר, began possibly with the fifteenth of Tisri, and ended on the fifteenth of Nisan; while the other half of the year, called קציר, קיין, and חם, extended from the fifteenth of Nisan to the fifteenth of Tisri. The Jewish expositors, following Rashi, consider the seasons mentioned here as six, each of two months' duration. The punctuation is noticeable: זרע וחרף, קר וחם, קיין וחרף, חם וקציר, in pairs, the second member of each pair being connected by ו with pretonic qameç.

9.

2. ומוראכם וחתכם. Render, 'And the fear of you, and the dread of you.' The suffixes are objective. The genitive in Heb. may be either subjective or objective, the latter embracing

many different shades of meaning, often being represented in English by a preposition, e. g. 3, 24 ררך עין החיים see the note there, and cf. Ges., § 121. 5; M. R., § 78; Ewald, § 286 b; 16, 5. 27, 13. 50, 4. Previous to the flood, the beasts lived at peace with man, and without fear, now they must fear and dread him (Di). "בכל אשר וגו' = 'with all where-with the ground swarms, and with all the fishes of the sea, into your hand they are given.' The ב of 'concomitance,' as in Ex. 10, 9. 15, 19. 1 Kings 10, 2. Jer. 11, 19, and often.

ובכל אשר תרמוש (acc., see on 6, 11), as in Lev. 20, 25 האדמה.

בִּידְכֶם. out of יְדְכֶם (cf. אֶחָיו for אֶחָיו, from אֶחָים), Stade, § 81 b; Ges., § 27. Rem. 2 a. 'Into your power they are given,' a power even over their lives; cf. Lev. 26, 25. Deut. 1, 27, etc. [misquoted 1, 57, Kn. in Di.].

3. אֲשֶׁר הוּא חַי, lit. 'which, it is alive.' הוא does not take the place of the copula 'is,' but resumes the relative אֲשֶׁר. Compare ver. 18 חַם הוּא אֲבִי 2, 14. 19. Cf. Driver, § 199 end, with Ges., § 121. 2; M. R., § 156 a, where אֲשֶׁר is described as the link connecting the two sentences, 'every creeping thing' and 'it is alive;' so Num. 9, 13. 14, 8. 27. 35, 31. 1 Sam. 10, 19.

לְאֶכְלָה. אֶכְלָה always occurs in this particular phrase, and always with another dative (except Jer. 12, 9). אֶכְלָה (as distinguished from אֶכְלָה, מֵאֶכְלָה, and אֶכְלָה) = לְאֶכְלָה generalized: a thing that is given on a particular occasion לְאֶכְלָה, is given for a continuance לְאֶכְלָה; see Prof. Driver, *Journ. of Phil.*, No. 22, p. 217.

4. בַּנֶּפֶשׁ דְּמוֹ. ב = 'with,' as in 32, 11 בְּמִקְלִי 'with my staff;' Ps. 42, 11 בְּרִצְחָה בְּעֲצְמוֹתַי 'with crushing in my bones.' דְּמוֹ is an explanatory app. to נֶפֶשׁוֹ, defining it more closely,

M. R., § 71. 2 a. The LXX with their *πλήν κρέας ἐν αἵματι ψυχῆς* seem to have transposed the words, and read **בְּדָם נִפְשׁוֹת**. Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 53 note, explains it by the Halacha, which refers the command forbidding the ‘*Blutgenuss*’ chiefly to the blood that flows out and causes death (*Kerithoth*, 20 b **דָּם שֶׁהַנֶּפֶשׁ יוֹצֵאָה בּוֹ** ‘*the blood wherein the life goes out*’). The blood is not actually the life itself, but through the blood the life becomes apparent to the senses, and is conceived as existing; cf. Lev. 17, 11. 14. Deut. 12, 23.

5. **אֵת דְּמַמְנֵךְ לִנְפְשֵׁיכֶם**. ‘*But the blood of your lives* (i. e. *belonging to*) *will I require; from the hand of every living creature will I require it: and from the hand of man, from the hand of each one’s brother will I require the life of man.*’ Tuch and others render, ‘*your blood for your lives,*’ i. e. ‘*for their protection;*’ **לִנְפְשֵׁיכֶם** being *dat. commodi*; so Deut. 4, 15 **וְנִשְׁמַרְתֶּם מְאֹד לִנְפְשֵׁיכֶם**, and Josh. 23, 11; see Ewald, § 217 d, a; but this is not suitable to the context: in 4 the **דָּם** and **נִפְשׁוֹת** are practically identical. Better **לִנְפְשֵׁיכֶם** = ‘*belonging to your souls;*’ so the LXX, τὸ ὑμέτερον αἷμα τῶν ψυχῶν ὑμῶν, Vulg. ‘*sanguinem enim animarum vestrarum,*’ Pesh. **دَمُكُمْ فِي نَفْسِكُمْ**; cf. Ges., § 115. 2. Other renderings, which are not so good, are Del.’s, ‘*according to your souls,*’ as ver. 10, defining distributively the whole to which the part belongs; or ‘*whoever’s soul it is, to whom it belongs;*’ or ‘*your blood as your souls,*’ i. e. ‘*so far as your souls are contained in it,*’ according to vers. 4. 5^b, **ל** as in Job 39, 16 (*as though not her own*); Ewald, § 217 d. **דְּמַמְנֵךְ** is thinned from **דְּמַמְנֵךְ**, Ges., § 93. 2. Rem. 3.

מִיַּד כָּל חַיָּה. ‘*From the hand of every beast.*’ According to Ex. 21, 28 f., the ox that gored any one, so that he or she died, was to be stoned to death (**סָקוּל יִסְקַל**).

מִיַּד אִישׁ אֶחָיו = 'from the hand of each one's brother.' Inverted for the more usual **אִישׁ מִיַּד אֶחָיו**, as Zech. 7, 10 **וְרַעַת אִישׁ אֶחָיו**; cf. **ܩܘܠܢ** in Aramaic, and **ἀλλήλων** in Greek, and contrast Zech. 11, 7 where the usual order is observed (**אִישׁ בִּיד רַעְהוּ**); cf. Ewald, § 301 b; Ges., § 124. 2. Rem. 1. 4; M. R., § 94. Rem. a; 15, 10. 42, 25. 35. Pesh., Vulg., Sam. apparently corrected the text with **אִישׁ וְאֶחָיו**. **מִיַּד אִישׁ אֶחָיו** is co-ordinate with **אֶדָם**.

6. **בְּאֶדָם**. The LXX ἀπὸ τοῦ αἵματος αὐτοῦ, either reading **בְּאֶדָם** or confusing in sound **בְּאֶדָם** and **בְּדָם**; compare their rendering of **לִבָּן** as though it were = **לָא בָן**, viz. 4, 15. 30, 15.

בְּאֶדָם. The **ב** must be regarded as **ב** of instrument; cf. Hos. 1, 7. 1 Sam. 28, 6. Ps. 18, 3, but this is not usual; passives in Heb. are generally construed with **מִן** of the agent, as in ver. 11 **מִמִּי . . . יִכְרַת**, or **ל**, as in 14, 19 **עֲלִיּוֹן לָא**; cf. Ges., § 143. 2; M. R., § 49. 4 and § 51. 3. Rem. a.

צֶלֶם אֱלֹהִים is an expression characteristic of P.

9. **וְאֲנִי הִנְנִי מִקִּים**. When the pronoun precedes **הִנֵּה**, **אֲנִי** is the form used; when it follows, **אֲנֹכִי** is preferred; see *Journ. Phil.*, No. 22, p. 226.

הַקִּים בְּרִית. **בְּרִית**, when used with **הַקִּים**, always has a suffix; the phrase **הַקִּים בְּרִית** denotes the perpetuation of a covenant already, at least in idea, existing, rather than the formation of one altogether new, which is expressed by **כָּרַת בְּרִית**; see *Journ. Phil.*, l.c.

אֶתְכֶם וְאֶת זֶרְעְכֶם 'with you and with your seed,' a phrase characteristic of P.

10. **אֵת כָּל נֶפֶשׁ הַחַיָּה**. The adj. alone defined, the noun being regarded as sufficiently definite through the preceding **כָּל**; cf. on 1, 21.

מכל יוצא 'all whatever;' מן denoting the *genus ex quo*, the general to which the particular partitively belongs, as in 6, 2. 7, 22; Ewald, § 278 c. ל, as in 23, 10 באי לכל = 'with respect to,' etc.; cf. Lev. 16, 21. 11, 42; Ewald, § 310 a (ל with a generalizing and particularizing force).

13. נתתי. 'I set,' as in 1, 29 נתתי; cf. Driver, § 14 a; Ewald, § 135 c.

14. בענני ענן. Inf. Pi'el with the prep. ב, and suffix of the 1st pers. sing. נ is pointed with — , as the doubling of the letter has fallen away; cf. Ges., § 10. 2. Rem.; the more regular form of the inf. Pi'el would be בְּעִנְנִי .

עִנָּן is a denom. of ענן. Render, 'when I cloud my clouds;' the apodosis begins with ver. 15, ונראתה being a continuation of the inf.; see Ges., § 132. 3. Rem. 2; Driver, § 118.

18. היצאים. Here the participle must be rendered as past, 'those who went out,' equivalent to אשר יצאו, but neater; cf. Ges., § 134. 2 c; Driver, § 135. 1; so 13, 7 אז ישב; 19, 1 ישב 'sat,' or 'was sitting;' 37, 7. 41, 1-3. 42, 23.

19. ומאלה נפצה כל הארץ. 'And out of these was the whole earth overspread.' נפצה is a lightened form of the 3rd pers. fem. perf., Nif^c. sing. of פוצץ = פוץ; cf. 1 Sam. 13, 11. Is. 33, 3 (נפצו); Ewald, § 193 c (who compares נִסְבָּה from סבב; נִקְטָה from קטט; נִבְקָה from בקק); Ges., § 67, note 11.

20. ויהל נח . . . ויטע. Render, 'And Noah the husbandman began and planted.' So most moderns; cf. Ges., § 142. 4 with 3 a. Some, however (Tuch, Kn.), appealing to Ewald, § 298 b (cf. M. R., § 43 a) and 1 Sam. 3, 2 החלו כהות—which is scarcely parallel—render, 'And Noah began to be a husbandman, and planted;' but this would require איש אדמה instead of איש האדמה; cf. 25, 27 איש שדה (for M. R.'s explanation, § 76 b. Rem. a, is hardly satisfactory), and what is noticeable

in the narrative is, not that Noah began to be a husbandman, but that he began the cultivation of the vine.

21. וישת. The imperf. apoc. Qal of שתה without a helping vowel; see Ges., § 75. Rem. 3 c and § 28. 4; Stade, §§ 70 a. 2. 2, 101 c, 489 b.

אהלה is the older form for אהלו. The ה is preserved in Arabic, and on the Moabite stone, e.g. l. 5 וּבִאֲרַעָה = בה ובארעה; l. 7 וּבִבְיִתָּהּ = בו ובביתו; other examples in lines 9. 10. 19. 25. אהלה always has a Kri, אהלו; cf. Ges., § 91. 1. Rem. 2. ה־ for ו־ occurs, however, elsewhere in the O. T., and is by no means confined to the oldest books.

22. חם may be called the father of Canaan here with reference to ver. 25.

ויגר, sc. 'it;' see Ges., § 121. 6. Rem. 2; cf. 38, 17.

23. השמלה. 'The upper garment,' also used (e.g. by the poor) as a covering by night; cf. Ex. 22, 26. Deut. 24, 13.

24. מיינו 'from his wine,' i.e. his intoxication which the wine had caused, as in 1 Sam. 1, 14. 25, 37.

בנו הקטן, i.e. 'his younger son,' not 'his youngest,' as Japhet was the youngest of the three; cf. 5, 32. 10, 1. 2. 6. 21. הקטן grammatically can be either comparative or superlative; cf. 1, 16 and the note there.

25. עבד עבדים = 'servant of servants,' i.e. 'meanest servant;' cf. שיר השירים 'song of songs,' 'choicest song;' see Ges., § 119. 2. Rem.; M. R., § 81 a. Canaan is made Shem and Japhet's servant. As Noah's son Ham sinned against him, so shall he (Ham) be punished through his own son Canaan, by the curse laid upon him by Noah. The settlements of Canaan on the islands and coasts of Asia Minor were at an early date overcome by the Japhetic races (Di.).

26. למו is poetical for להם, as often; cf. Stade, § 345 c,

note 1; Ges., § 103.2, foot-note 2. Shem is not blessed directly, but the God of Shem (Deut. 33, 20), i. e. Shem is blessed through his God, the highest possible form of blessing. If God is to be blessed for His goodness, which is implied in blessing Him, how great must be the happiness of those who are under His protection and enjoy His favour.

27. *‘May God spread out Japhet far, and may he dwell in the tents of Shem.’* Onq. and others (Baumg., etc.) take God as the subject to יִשְׁבֵן; but the change of subject is awkward, and we should in this case rather expect וְהוּא יִשְׁבֵן. Some again (Ges., Schr.) take שֵׁם as meaning ‘repute;’ cf. 6, 4 אֲנִשִּׁי שֵׁם; but this is not suitable to the context, and Japhet could not at that date have had any opportunity of acquiring fame. Dwelling in the tents of Shem does not mean conquest, but points to the friendly relations that should exist between the Semitic and Japhetic races; the latter participating in the honour paid the former, and sharing the religious privileges enjoyed by them.

10.

In chapter 5 we had a list of the descendants of Adam, containing ten generations, and ending with Noah. In chapter 10 we have a continuation of the list found in chapter 5, viz. a genealogical table of the sons of Noah, and the various peoples that sprang from them. In the first verse we find the sons of Noah given in the same order as in 5, 32; but in verse 2—in accordance with the custom observed in the book of Genesis, to first notice the side branches of the family tree, in order to prepare the way for mentioning the chief line—we have the order, Japhet, Ham, Shem; Ham standing next to Shem, being, through Canaan, Mizraim, and Cush, more closely allied to him than Japhet was. It should

be observed in these תולדות that the list of nations is by no means complete. We find no mention made of nations of a more modern origin, such as Moabites, Edomites, Ismaelites, Keturaeans, nor of some nations, such as the Rephaim and Amalekites, who were of very ancient descent; also we find no allusion to the Chinese and the other Mongolian races of Eastern Asia, to the Indians or Eranians, probably because they were entirely unknown in Palestine at the time of the narrator. 'In general the notice embraces the peoples who were grouped round the basin of the Mediterranean and its vicinity, the peoples of the so-called Caucasian race' (Di.). The nations mentioned in this table are regarded as the individuals of a large family, as sons, grandsons, and great-grandsons, of a common father, e.g. just as Shem, Ham, and Japhet are Noah's sons, so the Chittim and Dodanim in ver. 4 are the grandsons of Japhet; cf. ver. 6, Mizraim as the son of Ham; ver. 13, Ludim as the son of Mizraim; and (ver. 16) the similar use of the patronymics (the Amorite and Jebusite being spoken of as the children of Canaan; compare vers. 17, 18).

The table falls into three chief divisions, viz. I. 2-5. The Descendants of Japhet, the Northern Races. II. 6-20. The Descendants of Ham, the Southern Races. III. 21-31. The Descendants of Shem, the Central Races. The list is repeated with some variations in 1 Chr. 1, 4-23. For a list of works bearing on this chapter, see Dillmann's *Commentary*. p. 161. In the following notes—which are not intended to form a complete commentary on the chapter—Dillmann has been chiefly followed, and for fuller information his notes and the works there cited should be consulted.

1. תולדות. Cf. the note on 2, 4.

ויפת. ו with pretonic qameç, see on 1, 2.

2-5. THE DESCENDANTS OF JAPHET.

2. גִּמְרִי. LXX, Γαμέρ, mentioned again in Ez. 38, 6 (LXX, Γομέρ), as an ally of Gog of Magog. 'Usually, since the time of Calmet, supposed to be the *Cimmerii* (Κιμμέριοι, Hom. *Od.*, xi. 14), who inhabited the Tauric Chersonese, were driven out in the seventh century by the Scythians, and overran the Lydian kingdom in Asia Minor; finally they disappeared, but traces of their existence are still extant in names of places.' Others (Kiepert, Lagarde) consider that גִּמְרִי is Cappadocia, called by the Armenians *Gamir* (Pl. Josephus, *Ant.*, i. 6. 1, considers that גִּמְרִי = the Γαλάται, who were formerly called Γομαραῖς, in Northern Phrygia. Bochart also decides for Phrygia. Saadiah explains גִּמְרִי by الترك 'the *Turks*.' The name is found in the Assyrian inscriptions, 'Gimirrai = those who belong to the people (Ass. land) of Gimir;' see Schr., *K.A.T.*², p. 80.

בִּגְוֹנִי. 'The second son of Japhet must be sought for between Gomer and Media. In Ez. 38, 2. 6. 15. 39, 2 Magog appears as a remote and warlike people in the far North, having Tubal and Meschek under them, and to whom Gomer and the House of Togarma have attached themselves.' Josephus l. c. and Hieron., *Quaest.* ed. Lagarde, p. 14, explain בִּגְוֹנִי as 'the *Scythians*,' the people of lake Maeotis and the Caucasus, and this view is the one commonly adopted since the time of Bochart.

מְדֵי. 'The *Medes*,' elsewhere mentioned in the O. T., viz. 2 Kings 17, 6. 18, 11. Jer. 25, 25. 51, 11. 28. Is. 13, 17 f. 21, 2. The name is found on the Assyrian inscriptions, 'Madai' ('*Ma-da-ai*'); see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 80.

יֹוֹנִי. 'The *Ionians*' (Ἴάονες, Ἰάφορες), in the whole of the

East, up to India, the name for the Greeks; also found on the Assyrian inscriptions of Sargon II and Sanherib 'Javnai' ('Ja-av-naai'), Schr., *K. A. T.*², p. 81. They are frequently mentioned in the O. T., e. g. Joel 4, 6. Ez. 27, 13. Is. 66, 19. Dan. 8, 21. 10, 20. 11, 2.

תובל ומשך. 'Always (except Is. 66, 19, Mass. text, and Ps. 120, 5) joined together. In Ez. 32, 26 they are mentioned as having suffered severe reverses, in Ez. 27, 13 (together with Javan, possibly from Gen. 10, 2) as connected by trade with the Tyrians, whom they supplied with slaves and vessels of brass. In Ez. 38, 2 f. 39, 1 they are spoken of as forming the flower of the army of the Scythian king Gog, in Is. 66, 19, LXX, as distant peoples. They are usually identified with the *Tibareni* and *Moschi*, who inhabited the hill country on the south-east of the Black Sea, the *Moschi* between the sources of the Phasis and Cyrus, the *Tibareni* east of the Thermodon, in Pontus. In the Assyrian inscriptions (see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 82 ff.) their territory extended further south, the "*Tabali*" ("*Tibareni*") up to Cilicia and the "*Muski*" ("*Moschi*") north-eastwards of the *Tabali*. Josephus, *Ant. l. c.*, explains תובל as the *Iberians* in the Caucasus land, and משך as *Mázaka* in Cappadocia (being deceived by the similarity in sound).'

תירס is mentioned nowhere else, but must, according to its position, be looked for either east of משך, or in the west, and more towards the south than משך. Since Josephus תירס has been usually identified with the *Thracians*, but Di. questions the suitability of this identification, as תירס = the *Thracians* would be already included in the Gomer group. Other conjectures are *τύρης*, *τύρας*, i. e. the Dniester with the people dwelling on it, the *Τυρίται* (Her. iv. 51); the *Τυρσηνοί* (Tuch, Nöld., Di.), who belonged to the *Pelasgi*, and who made

themselves by their acts of piracy a terror to the islands and coasts of the Aegean Sea between Greece and Asia Minor (Her. i. 57. 94; Thuc. iv. 109); see Tuch, p. 171.

3. The sons of Gomer.

אִשְׁכְּנֹז, 'the first son of Gomer, is mentioned in Jer. 51, 27 together with Ararat and Minni, i.e. with North-eastern and South-eastern Armenia.' Josephus explains by 'Phrygives, who are otherwise unknown. The Ber. Rabba gives Asia; and the Jews of the Middle Ages, Germany. 'As the ending *az* in (phryg.) Armenian forms patronymics, and as *Askanians*, according to several authorities, settled in Mysia, Bithynia, and Phrygia, whose name at a later date still attached to a lake, river, and village [*Il.* ii. 862 ff., xiii. 793, etc.], this old Askanian people must be understood,' Di. In Jeremiah the Western Armenians are intended, i.e. the *Askanians*, who had emigrated from Phrygia into Western Armenia, and Tuch, Ges., and Schr. consider this to be the case in the present passage.

רִיפַת, in 1 Chr. i, 6 רִיפַת. Josephus explains by *Ripheans*, i.e. *Paphlagonians*, which Bochart seeks to support by comparing *Rhebas*, a river of Bithynia, which falls into the Black Sea near Paphlagonia; so Lag., Di. Kn., however, prefers the view that רִיפַת = the fabulous ὄρη Πιπᾶια, which were regarded by the ancients as the boundary of the northern side of the earth. Del. regards the name 'as not yet deciphered with certainty.' Saadiah and the modern Jews apply רִיפַת fancifully to France. The LXX have Πιφᾶθ here and in 1 Chr. i.c.

תַּגְּרִמָּה, mentioned in Ez. 38, 6 together with Gomer, in the army of Gog; and in Ez. 27, 14 after Javan, Tubal, and Meshek, as supplying horses and mules for the Tyrian traders: both times called in Ez. בֵּית תַּגְּרִמָּה. Josephus

understood תנרמה to mean the *Phrygians*. But as the *Phrygians* are already included in אשכנז, the view that "ת = the *Armenians*, is to be preferred; 'according to the oldest sense of the word, Western Armenia,' Di. With this identification, Phrygia, Paphlagonia, and Western Armenia naturally follow one another in the direction from west to east. The LXX have the name slightly altered, *Θοργαμά*; Codex A, *Θεργαμά*; cf. Lagarde, *Gen. Graece*, p. 34.

4. The sons of Javan.

אלישה, mentioned in Ez. 27, 7, 'the coastlands of Elishah,' whence purple was obtained. Josephus thinks that אלישה means the *Aeolians*. The Targ. of Jonathan here takes it to = *Hellas*. Others, *Elis* (Boch.). But Di. objects, firstly, that שיה—for the Greek nom. ending *ς*—is inconceivable, and secondly, that Greece and the Greeks are already included in Javan. He suggests *Italy* and *Sicily*, citing the Targ. to Ez. l.c. מְרִינַת אֵיטָלְיָה. If we take Javan as the *Ionian* Greeks (esp. in Asia Minor), Elishah might well denote some branch of *Dorian* or *Achacan* Greeks. Upon this view, however, what the name corresponds to, remains to be explained.

תַּרְשִׁישׁ is frequently mentioned in the O. T. Josephus thinks *Tarsus* in Cilicia is intended, but the more generally accepted view is that *Tartessus* in Spain is meant, which was celebrated in the east for its abundance of silver, and carried on an extensive trade with Tyre (cf. Herod. i. 163, iv. 152; Is. 23). 'Not the town as a Phoenician colony (Stade), but the land and people is intended,' Di. *Tartessus* embraced the coastland from Gibraltar to the mouth of the Bactis or Guadalquivir. The Tarshish navy, mentioned in the book of Kings (1 Kings 10, 22, 49), was not a navy that was intended to traffic with Tarshish, but is a term for large

vessels, just as we speak of East or West-Indiamen ; cf. Ges., *Thes.*, p. 1315.

כתים. *Cyprus* and its inhabitants, where was an old town *Kírrion*, the modern Larnaka (Schrader), which Josephus mentions in his explanation of the name. The Assyrian name of the island was 'Jatnána' or 'Atnána ;' see Schr., *K. A. T.*², p. 85 f. The name seems at a later date to have included other islands and coastlands ; cf. Jer. 2, 10. Ez. 27, 6 **איי כתיים**. Dan. 11, 30.

דרנים. So the Targ., Pesh., and Vulg. ; but the LXX and Sam. give **רדנים**, as 1 Chr. 1, 7. The reading **רדנים** is generally accepted as the correct one, as **דרנים** cannot be suitably explained. Conjectural explanations that have been offered are *Dodona*, the seat of the famous oracle in Epirus, which would be unsuitable here ; or **רדנים** = the *Δάρδανοι* ; Targ. Jon. **רִדְנִיָּא**, Jer. Targ. **רִדְנִיָּא**, i. e. the *Trojans*. With the other reading **רדנים** Bochart explains the word as meaning the *Rhone*, and the people dwelling near it : more probable, however, is the explanation by which **רדנים** = *Rhodes*, or in a more general sense the *Rhodian Islands*, i. e. the islands of the Aegean Sea. In Ez. 27, 15 the LXX give for **דרנים**, 'Ρόδιοι.

5. 'In ver. 20 and ver. 31 we find at the conclusion of the list **אלה בני שם** and **אלה בני חם** respectively ; and we should expect here **אלה בני יפת**. As **מאלה** cannot refer to **בני יפת** in ver. 2, but to **בני יון** ver. 4 (since Magog, Media, etc. cannot be spoken of as populating the sea coasts), and as, moreover, **בארצתם** does not agree with **איי הגוים**, these three words (**אלה בני יפת**) must be inserted before **בארצתם**, without its being, on account of this, necessary to strike out . . . **מאלה** as a gloss,' Di. This emendation, proposed by Ilgen, is adopted also by Ewald, and apparently accepted by Del.

Render, 'From these have the sea-lands of the peoples separated themselves. (These are the sons of Japhet) in their lands, each according to his language, according to their families, by their peoples.' אִיִּים denotes regularly 'the islands and coastlands' of the Mediterranean.

On בּ = 'by, according to,' see on 7, 21; and cf. ver. 20 and ver. 31 of this chapter.

6-20. THE DESCENDANTS OF HAM.

6. כּוּשׁ, 'called by the ancient Egyptians "Kaš, Kiš, Keš, Keši," and used as the name of a people of a reddish-brown colour, between Egypt and Abyssinia, viz. in the East between the Nile and the sea.' In the O. T. Cush seems to have had a wider and narrower signification. In 2, 13 and here it has a wider meaning, and is used to denote the southern limit of the known world, including the inhabitants of the coastland of Southern Arabia. From Isaiah's time and onwards it was used with a more limited signification, as the special name of the state situated at the foot of mount *Barkal*, viz. *Ethiopia*. כּוּשׁ is found on the Assyrian inscriptions ('*Kusi*') as the name of Ethiopia; see Schr., *K.A.T.*², p. 86. 'It is uncertain whether the "*Kašši*" of the inscriptions, the *Κοσσαῖοι* in Susiana, and to the north of Susiana, the *Κισσιων χῶρη*, represent the same name of a people as *Kuš, Keš*, and thus bear testimony to an extension and emigration on the part of the Cushites,' Di.

מצרים. *Egypt*. Assy. '*Mušur, Mušru, Mišir*,' Schr., *K.A.T.*², p. 89. The dual form of the word in Hebrew probably is used with reference to the two parts of Egypt, upper and lower, which are always mentioned on the oldest Egyptian monuments when the whole of Egypt is spoken of.

It is, however, used in Hebrew where Lower Egypt is meant, Upper Egypt being expressly excluded, e. g. Is. 11, 11. Jer. 44, 1. 15. Various etymologies have been suggested for the name. Bochart thinks that מצר = 'walling in,' and Egypt would then = the land *that is shut off or walled in*; but this opinion of Bochart's rests on the use of the name in Is. 37, 35. 19, 6. Another derivation (Ges. in the *Thes.*, p. 815) is from מצר which occurs in Aram., Assyri., and Arab. = 'a limit, province,' so מצרים = 'the two lands.'

פוט. This name occurs frequently in the O. T. In Nah. 3, 9 פוט is mentioned with Cush, Mizraim, and Lubim; in Jer. 46, 9 in the Egyptian army, together with Cush and Ludim; cf. Ez. 30, 5. In Ez. 27, 10 the soldiers of פוט are found among the Tyrian soldiers, together with those of Persia and Lud; and again in Ez. 38, 5 פוט occurs with Persia and Cush in Gog's army. In the LXX, Is. 66, 19 (Mass. text פיל), it is spoken of as a distant nation of the west. The LXX in Jer. and Ez. translate it by *Λιβυες*, so Josephus: and this is the view generally adopted. Knobel (*Völkertafel*, p. 296) points out that the Coptic name for Libya was *Phaiat*. Ptol. iv. 1. 3, Pliny v. 1 mention a river *φθούθ* or *Fut* in Libya.

בנען (from *בנע* 'to be low, depressed') = 'the low land,' as opposed to the high lands of Aramea. This, however, Di. disputes, and regards *בנען* as the original name of the low land by the sea and the Jordan, which was afterwards extended to all the country west of the Jordan. Canaan embraced all this district, exclusive of Philistia, but inclusive of Phoenicia. It is remarkable that *בנען*—though the language of the land was Semitic—should be found among the sons of Ham. Perhaps this was due to a sense of their

different origin; it being more or less certain that they were emigrants from the south, from the neighbourhood of the Persian Gulf (see Di., p. 170, and the authorities he cites in favour of the view of the southern origin of the Canaanites, viz. Her. i. 1, vii. 89; Justin. xviii. 3; Strabo i. 2. 35, xvi. 3. 4; Dion. per. 906; compare *The Book of Jubilees*, c. 10; see also Schröder, *Phoen. Gram.*, p. 4). The Assyrians do not seem to have been acquainted with the name Canaan, their name for the land being usually 'mat Aḥarri,' 'the Westland;' see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 90 ff.

7. The sons of Cush.

סבא is mentioned in Ps. 72, 10 together with שֵׁבַח, as a distant land in the south. In Is. 43, 3. 45, 14 it is spoken of together with Egypt and Cush; and in 45, 14 the סבאים are described as being very tall. Since Josephus (*Ant.*, ii. 10. 2), סבא has usually been identified with *Meroë*; and possibly this identification is supported by a comparison of Is. 45, 14 with 18, 2. 7 (Her. iii. 20. 114). Di., however, prefers to identify סבא with a branch of the Cushites, dwelling on the Arabian sea, on the east of Napata; and he supposes that remains of this proper name are to be found in *Asta-soba* and *Soba*, the capital of the Christian kingdom of *Senaar* in the Middle Ages. His reason for objecting to the identification given by Josephus is that the kingdom of Cush, which reached to the southern island of Meroë, was neither known to the Egyptians, nor called in the O. T. סבא.

הוילה. Cf. on 2, 11. Di. supposes that a trace of this people is to be found in the name *Κόλπος Αβαλίτης* or 'Αβαλίτης, and the people 'Αβαλίται, on the African coast near the Straits of Bab-el-Mandeb. This would suit the order of the enumeration. In ver. 29 הוילה is mentioned among the sons of

Joqtan on the Persian Gulf; and we must either suppose that there was more than one חוילה, or that a great Cushite people were scattered over the east and south coast of Arabia, who also had penetrated to the west coast of north-eastern Africa, and there left traces of their name. Possibly, as Tuch suggests, the difference is due to two different accounts.

וסבתה. Josephus, *Ant.*, i. 6. 2, explains by Ἀσταβαιοι, i. e. the inhabitants of *Astaboras*, now *Atbara*, in Abyssinia, which Gesenius in the *Theo.* approves. More general is the view held by Tuch and Del., and accepted by Di., that סבתה is to be connected with the old Arabian town Σαββαθα or *Sabota*, the capital of the *Chatramotitae*, which had sixty temples, and was a great emporium of the frankincense trade.

רעמה, LXX, Πεγμα, cf. on 4, 18, is mentioned in Ez. 27, 22 in connection with שבא, as a trading people, who supplied the Tyrians with spices, precious stones, and gold. Tuch and others identify רעמה with Πηγμα or Πέγμα, mentioned by Ptolemy and Steph. Byz., a town with a harbour on the Arabian side of the Persian Gulf. Di. prefers to identify it with the רעמה of the Sabean inscriptions, in the neighbourhood of מען *Me'in*, north of *Marib*.

וסבתנא is unknown. Those who consider that רעמה is situated on the Persian Gulf compare Σαμνδάκη, a seaport town and river in Carmania; so Bochart. The Targ. of Jon. here, and the Targ. to Chron., give זינגיס, i. e. *Zingis*, on the east coast of Africa.

The sons of Ra'ima.

שבא. 'The Sabeans,' often mentioned in the O. T. as a distant land and people, whose great wealth in gold, precious stones, frankincense, and cassia, was brought, partly by them-

selves, and partly by others, to the north. They dwelt in southern Arabia, the capital of their empire being *Mariaba* or *Saba*.

דדן, mentioned in 25, 3 among the descendants of Keturah. Possibly there were two different accounts of their origin, both of which have been employed by the narrator. In Ez. 38, 13 דדן is mentioned together with שבנא, as a most important trading nation, and in Ez. 27, 20 as supplying Tyre with costly carpets. In Is. 21, 13 the caravans of Dedan are mentioned, and in Jer. 25, 23. 49, 8 it is spoken of together with the Edomites and other desert tribes of Arabia. In Ez. 25, 13 דדן is the tribe on the frontier of Edom. 'Since Bochart, the Cushite דדן has usually been placed on the Persian Gulf, and a trace of the name is supposed to have been found in *Daden* (دادن, Syr. دَدَن; see Ges., *Thes.*), one of the Bahrein Islands, and the Keturaean Dedanites are distinguished from those of Cush; traces of the former being perhaps extant in the ruins of *Daidán*, west of Têmâ, south-east of Aila, in northern Hîgâz,' Di. דדן occurs in Halevy's *Sab. Inscriptions*, and Di. remarks that the original Dedan must be sought for further south than on the Persian Gulf. After the exile the Dedanites disappeared, their place being taken by the Gerrheans (on the west of the Persian Gulf). On this word and שבנא in the Assyrian inscriptions, see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², pp. 92, 145 ff.

8. ילד. P would use הוליד; so in 6, 10.

נמרד. LXX, Νεβρωδ. Found once again in Micah 5, 5. Its derivation is uncertain; some derive it from מרד 'to revolt'; so נמרד 'rebellor,' *H. W. B.*, 9th ed. The name has not yet been discovered in the Assyrian inscriptions. The Assyriologists identify the Nimrod of the Bible with the Babylonian hero *Ištubar*; see Schrader, *K. A. T.*²,

p. 92; and Haupt quoted by Schrader, l. c., believes that the name can mean, as an old Babylonian gentilic, 'he who is of Marad,' Marad (also Amarad) being a town of central Babylonia.

גבור = 'a mighty man,' cf. Ps. 52, 3; a powerful ruler, who, by his courage, activity, and the terror he inspired, reduced all around him, either voluntarily or involuntarily, to submission, 'Del.;' cf. ver. 10.

9. Nimrod was also distinguished as a mighty huntsman. גבור ציד = 'a hero in (lit. of) the chase.'

לפני יהוה (cf. Jon. 3, 3 לאלהים; τῷ Θεῷ, Acts 7, 20). The expression is doubtless taken from the mouth of the people, and denotes that he was exceptionally mighty, a person whom God himself must regard as *sui generis*. Onq. has גבור תפיקה = 'a mighty hero;' LXX, γίγας κτυπηὸς ἐναντίου Κυρίου τοῦ Θεοῦ.

על כן יאמר. This formula is also used elsewhere in citing what was well known as a proverb, e. g. Num. 21, 14; cf. 1 Sam. 10, 12 with 19, 24, also Gen. 22, 14.

10. בבל. Cf. 11, 9.

ארך. LXX, ὀρέχ. The Targg. of Jon. and Jer., also Ephrem Syrus and Jerome, take this to be *Edessa* (in Syriac ܐܕܝܫܐ), but incorrectly, as Edessa is a Mesopotamian, not a Babylonian town. Bochart and others identify it with *Arecca*, on the lower Tigris, on the frontier of Susiania. More probably it is Ὀρχοή, mentioned by Ptol. v. 20. 7; the modern *Warka*, on the left bank of the lower Euphrates, south-east of Babylon. Its name on the inscriptions is 'Arku' or 'Urku,' interpreted by Oppert as meaning 'Moon-town;' see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 94 f.

אנר. LXX, Ἀρχάδ. The site of אנר is unknown. Knobel

explains it by Ἀκκίτη, a district north of Babylon. Akkad occurs frequently in the inscriptions as the name of a Babylonian people and land, but its position cannot be determined; all that can be said is that it must be sought for in northern Babylonia; see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 95. The Targg. of Jon. and Jer. explain it as *Nisibis*, and this explanation is adopted for the Pesh. אֲכַד here, by Ephrem Syrus and Bar Hebraeus.

כַּלְנֵה. LXX, Χαλάννη. In Amos 6, 2 it has the form כַּלְנֵה, and in Is. 10, 9 כַּלְנֵה. It has not yet been found in the Assyrian inscriptions. The Targ. Ps.-Jon. and Targ. Jer., also Ephrem, Jerome, etc., identify it with *Ctesiphon Seleucia*, on the Tigris. G. Rawlinson (*Anc. Mon.*, i. p. 20), cited by Di., thinks it is *Nippur* (Niffer), following the Talmud.

בְּאֶרֶץ שִׁנְעַר. *Shin'ar* is Babylonia proper, exclusive of Mesopotamia, the Bab. *Irâq* of the Arabs. *Shin'ar* is now commonly understood to be a dialectic variation of the Bab. Assyrian '*Sumer*;' '*Sumer*' being the name of the southern portion of Babylonia, the northern part being *Akkad*. The Hebrews would then have applied the original name of south Babylonia to all Babylonia; see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 118. Onq. has בְּאֶרֶץ דְּבָבֶל '*in the land of Babel*.' The word occurs besides in Josh. 7, 21. Is. 11, 11. Dan. 1, 2. Zech. 5, 11.

11. Render, '*From that land he went forth to Asshur*,' etc. This translation is not only demanded by ver. 22, where Asshur is enumerated among the sons of Shem, but by ver. 10, אֲשׁוּר here, being opposed to Shinar in that verse. The versions, however, except Targ. Jon., take אֲשׁוּר as subject, as though it were the name of a person, which is never the case in the O. T. אֲשׁוּר is here taken in its geographical sense, and denotes the district on the east bank of the Tigris, as the site of the cities assigned to it shews; cf. 2, 14.

אֲשׁוּר is the acc. of motion towards, without the ending ה־ָ; cf. 35, 1. 3. 43, 15. Ex. 4, 19, and constantly; see Ges., § 118. 1; M. R., § 41 a.

נִינּוּה. LXX, *Ninevi*, Assyrian 'Ninua,' also 'Nina,' on the east bank of the Tigris, now Kujundschik.

רַחֲבַת עִיר = lit. 'streets of a city,' or 'wide places of a city;' unless it be preferred to regard the two words as in apposition. The LXX have τῆν 'Ροωβῶθ πόλιν. Probably רַחֲבַת עִיר is distinct from רַחֲבוֹת הַנָּהָר in 36, 37, though it has been conjectured that the two are identical, and that it is *Rahaba* on the Euphrates; see Tuch, p. 189. Di. supposes that, according to its name, it formed the suburb to some larger city whose position is unknown; so Del. in his *Paradies*, p. 261, comparing 'Ri(ré)-bit ir Ni-na-a' in the inscription of Asarhaddon (i. 53), etc.; see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 101, who agrees with the view held by Di.

כַּלְח was formerly identified with Καλαχηνή of Strabo, חַלְח, whither (according to 2 Kings 17, 6. 18, 11) a portion of the ten tribes was carried away captive by Shalmaneser. More probably it is the same as *Kalhu* of the inscriptions, built about 1300 by Shalmaneser I, and refounded by Asurnâsirhabal (883-859), and raised to the position of a royal residence (see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 98). *Kalhu* occupied the site of the present village and hill of *Nimrud*, in the most southern angle of the triangle formed by the Tigris and Zâb; see Di., p. 176. It is distinct from חַלְח mentioned above.

12. רֶסֶן, LXX, Δασή, cf. Lag., *Gen. Graece*, p. 36, is only mentioned here, and is not found on the inscriptions; but as it lies between Nineveh and Kelach, it is to be sought for between *Kujundschik* and *Nimrud*. Prof. Sayce identifies it

with *Rēš-ēni*, i. e. ראש עין; see *Academy*, May 1, 1880, and Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 100.

הוא העיר הגדולה cannot refer to רסן alone, as nothing further is known of the large city of Resen; and from its position between Nineveh and Kelach, it must be regarded as insignificant. We must therefore refer it either to the four cities, or to Nineveh, together with the other three; Nineveh being regarded as forming with the other three a great city; cf. Jon. 1, 2. 3, 2. 4, 11.

13. The sons of Mizraim.

לודים, 1 Chron. 1, 11 לודיים Ktb. We find the לודים (in Jer. 46, 10. Ez. 27, 10. 30, 5) mentioned as bow men in the army of the Egyptians, or Tyrians; in Jer. and Ez. 30, 5, with Cush and Phut; in Ez. 27, 10, with Persia and Phut; and in Is. 66, 19, among the most distant people. In Is. 1. c. and the two passages in Ez., the sing. form לוד is used. They are identified by Hitzig with the *Libyans*; by Movers (*Phoen.*, ii. 1. 377 ff.) with the Berber tribe of *Lewáta* dwelling on the Syrtes; by Knobel with the Egyptised portion of the Semitic לוד (cf. ver. 22), who had settled in north-eastern Egypt. All these identifications are precarious. A people of western lower Egypt, or on its borders, seems to be required to explain לודים. לוד in ver. 22 is different from the לודים here mentioned; cf. further, Di. and Tuch on this verse.

ענמים. LXX, Ἐνεμετιέιμ, uncertain. Pesh. has نَحْتَم, which Tuch emends to نَحْتَم. Kn. and Bunsen connect it with 'emhil,' i. e. 'north,' and explain it as North Egypt. Ebers explains ענמים as = 'an-aamu,' the wandering herdsmen who had settled on the Bucolic or Phatmetic arm of the Nile, where there was pasture for their cattle.

להבים. LXX, Λαβιέιμ—probably identical with the לובים in Nah. 3, 9. 2 Chron. 12, 3. 16, 8. Dan. 11, 43—are the

Libyans, old Egyptian 'Tahennu' ('Thihenu'), also 'Lebu' or 'Rebu.' Wright compares for the interchange of ה and ו, לודים, Sam. להדים; בוש, Syr. ܠܘܕܝܢ; רון, Syr. ܪܘܢ. 'The name here is to be understood of the Libyans on the borders of Egypt,' Di.

נפתחים. LXX (Tisch. and Lag.) omit, but Walton's text has *Νεφθαλείμ*. Pesh. ܢܦܬܚܝܡ for נ. Bochart identifies נפתחים with *Νέφθυς*, in Plut. *de Isid.*, p. 96, the most northern portion of Egypt on the sea-shore. More probable is the identification proposed by Ebers, 'na-ptah,' = οἱ τοῦ Φθᾶ, i. e. *those belonging to Ptah or Hephaestus*, the Memphitic Egyptians.

14. פתרים, derived from פתרים, which (see Is. 11, 11. Jer. 44, 1. Ez. 29, 14. 30, 14) signifies Upper Egypt (Thebais).

כסלחים. LXX, *Χασμωνεῖμ*; cf. Lag., *Gen. Graece*, p. 36. Since Bochart's time, usually identified with the *Colchians* on the Black Sea, because these (according to Herodotus, Strabo, and others) were descendants of the Egyptians. Knobel thinks that the dry and salty strip of land stretching from the eastern mouth of the Nile along the sea up to the southern frontier of Palestine, with lake Sirbonis and mount Casius, is intended. But this identification is doubtful; see Di. here.

אשר יצאו משם פלשתים. If כסלחים are the *Colchians*, this notice is senseless, and then we must assume that here and in 1 Chron. 1, 12 the words are out of place, and should come after כפתרים. Del. keeps the present order of the text, and distinguishes between Philistines who came from the כסלחים, and Philistines who came from כפתר; but in Deut. 2, 23. Amos 9, 7. Jer. 47, 4, the Philistines are spoken of as coming from כפתור, and no mention is made of any such

double origin. Di. prefers to assume that the immigration of the Philistines was not made directly from Crete, but came by the way of the Egyptian sea coast; see on **בַּסְלָחִים**. The versions follow the order of the Mass. text. **פְּלִשְׁתִּים** = probably 'emigrants' or 'strangers,' from **פָּלַט**, Eth. *falasa*, 'to wander.' **פְּלִשְׁת**, *Philistia*, is called *Παλαιστίνη* by Josephus, and this name afterwards was used as the name of the whole land of Canaan. In Assyrian it is 'Palaštav' or 'Pilišta;' see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 102 f.

כַּפְתָּרִים. Not the *Cappadocians*, as LXX in Deut. 2, 23. Amos 9, 7; Vulg. (everywhere else, but here 'Caphthorim'), Targg. Onq., Ps.-Jon., and Jer., and Pesh.; but more probably *Crete*; not only because such an important island would scarcely be omitted in this table of nations, but also because *Kaftor* in Jer. 47, 4 is expressly mentioned as an **אִי**, and the Philistines in 1 Sam. 30, 14. Zeph. 2, 5. Ez. 25, 16, etc. are called **כַּרְתִּים**: cf. Tac., *Hist.* v. 2, who indirectly testifies to the origin of the Philistines from Crete; see Del., p. 248 f.

15. The descendants of Canaan.

צִידוֹן, the first-born, prop. 'the fisher-town' (from **צָיַד**), the oldest settlement of the Canaanites, and the only one of all the Phoenician towns known to Homer. In Josh. 11, 8. 19, 28 it is called **צִידוֹן רְבָה**. Even when Tyre had gained a reputation, the Phoenicians were still called Sidonians; Josh. 13, 6. 1 Kings 11, 5. 16, 31. The absence of any mention of Tyre in the table is noticeable.

חֵת. 'The form **חֵת** (without **י**, although **חַתִּים** elsewhere is common) points to the name of a people of wide range,' Di. **חֵת** = the 'Cheta' of the Egyptian monuments and 'Hatti' of the Assyrian inscriptions, the chief people in Syria

between the middle Euphrates and Orontes, who were gradually forced back by the Arameans or absorbed by them. Their chief city was Kadesh on the Orontes. In 1 Kings 10, 29. 2 Kings 7, 6 Hittite kings are mentioned in Syria; see further, Di.; Sayce in the *Trans. of the Soc. of Bib. Arch.*, vii. 2. 248 ff.; Cheyne, *Enc. Brit.* s.v.; Wright, *Empire of the Hittites*.

16. **הַיְבוּסִי**. 'The Jebusites,' who dwelt in and around Jebus, afterwards called Jerusalem.

הַאֲמֹרִי. 'The Amorites,' who dwelt in the hill country of Ephraim and Judah, and spread out far into the south; the most powerful and warlike of all the Canaanitish tribes. The Canaanites in general are sometimes called Amorites. Deut. 3, 9 is cited by Di. as 'eine Probe ihrer Sprache,' 'a sample of their language.' The name may possibly = 'those who dwell on high ground,' from אָמַר = אֲמִיר, Is. 17, 9, 'top,' 'height.'

גִּרְגָּשִׁי. 'The Girgashites,' their position is uncertain, possibly they must be sought for in the west Jordan land; cf. Josh. 24, 11. They are mentioned again in 15, 21.

17. **הַחִוִּי**. 'The Hivites.' חוי possibly = 'those who live in town-communities' (*Stadtgemeinden*), חִוִּת, Di.; cf. 34, 2. Josh. 9. Later they are found in the Lebanon and Hermon district, perhaps driven thither by the Israelites; Josh. 11, 3. Judg. 3, 3. 2 Sam. 24, 7.

The **פְּרִזִּי**, i.e. 'Perizzites,' 'those who dwell in open villages,' פְּרוּז, who are mentioned in 13, 7. 15, 20, are not found in this table; see Di., p. 180.

הָעֲרֻקִי are the Phoenicians of Ἄρακη (Talm. אַרְקִים דְּלַבְנוֹן, Del.), at the foot of mount Lebanon, about five hours north of Tripolis, the birth-place of the emperor Alexander Severus.

**Ἀραη* has been rediscovered in the modern *Tell Arqa* and village *Arqa*. LXX, *Ἀρουκαῖος*. In Assyrian '*Ar-kā*;' see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 104.

הסיני. '*The Phoenicians of Sin*.' Hieron., *Quaest.* ed. Lag., p. 17, mentions a town *Sin*, not far from *Arqa*. Breydenbach (see Di., p. 181) in 1483 found a village *Syn*, half a mile from the river *Arqa*.

18. **הארודי**. LXX, *Ἀράδιοι*. '*The Aradians*,' mentioned in Ez. 27, 8. 11 as the sailors and warriors of the Tyrians, are the inhabitants of *Ἀραδος*, a Phoenician city built on a rocky island north of Tripolis, according to Strabo, by exiles from Sidon. Arvad is frequently found on the Assyrian inscriptions '*Ar-va-da*;' see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 104 f.

הצמרי. '*The Phoenicians of Simyra*,' south of Aradus, north of Tripolis, mentioned by Strabo. In Assyrian '*Ši-mir-ra*;' see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 105.

החמתי. '*The inhabitants of Hamath*,' later name '*Epiphania*,' on the Orontes, often mentioned in the O.T., and Assyrian inscriptions up to Sargon's time, '*mat Hamatti*;' see Schrader, *K.A.T.*², p. 105 f.

נפצו is Nif. of **פוצו**; there is no necessity to take it with Ewald from **פוצו**.

הכנעני, used here and ver. 19 in its narrower sense, excluding the Phoenicians and Syrians.

20. **באכה** *scriptio plena*, for **בִּאֲכָה**; **באכה** here, ver. 30, 13, 10. 25, 18, is to be taken as an adv. acc. for the fuller **באך** ער, 19, 22, lit. '*as thou comest*;' cf. on 13, 10.

גררה. '*Gerar*,' in Philistia, it was more towards the south than Gaza. On the ה of motion towards, see Ges., § 90. 2; Dav., § 17. 3.

עזה. '*Gaza*,' the southernmost frontier stronghold in

Philistia. In Assyrian (*ir*) *Ha-zi-ti*; Schr., *K.A.T.*², p. 107. On the $\text{ע} = g$, see 4, 18.

סדמה ועמרה ואדמה וצבים, the four cities of the plain, mentioned with Bela in 14, 2. They probably occupied the ground now covered by the southern portion of the Dead Sea; see Di., p. 222.

לשע. Hieron. and Targ. Jer. identify it with *Callirrhoe*, on the east side of the Dead Sea, in the *Wady Zerqa Ma'in*, celebrated afterwards for its hot springs. But Di. objects to this identification, as *Callirrhoe* lies too far north; and points out, that according to the analogy of the preceding verse, a town on this side of the Dead Sea or of the Ghor is required.

21-31. THE DESCENDANTS OF SHEM.

21. ולשם . . . גם הוא; see the note on 4, 26.

עבר; see the note on 14, 13.

אחי יפת הגדול. Render, '*The elder brother of Japhet.*' The rendering '*Brother of Japhet, the elder,*' adopted by the LXX, Symm., Massorettes, Rashi, and others, is refuted by the fact that the limitation of גדול to the age would only then be sufficiently indicated if the text ran בן נח הגדול (9, 24. 27, 1. 15. 42). הגדול without בן or אח cannot = '*natu major.*' On הגדול, as comparative (or superlative), see on 9, 24. Shem was the eldest son, Ham the second (cf. vers. 1. 6. 21), and Japhet the youngest; cf. 9, 24.

22. עילם = '*Elam*' and '*the Elamites,*' the land and people on the east of the lower Tigris, south of Assyria and Media, north of the Persian Gulf; nearly corresponding to the more modern *Susiana* and *Elymais*. 'עילם' neither here nor elsewhere in the O. T. included Persia or all the land up

to India,' Di. In Assyrian '*Ilam*' or '*Ilamti*;' see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 111 f.

אשור. '*Assyria and the Assyrians*,' 'for the most part on the eastern side of the central Tigris, between Armenia, Susiana, and Media; its extent cannot be accurately defined; so called after its old capital and deity *Ašur*;' see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 112 f., also p. 35. The Assyrians (as their inscriptions testify) spoke a Semitic language.

ארפכשד, since Bochart's time usually explained by *Ἀρπαχάδης* (Ptol. vi. 1. 2), the hill country of the upper Zab (east of Carduchia or Gordyene). Neuville cited by Di., p. 183, understands by **ארפכשד** '*the Accadians*;' and Del. *the Babylonians* (*Par.* 255 f.): both very doubtful. Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 112 f., rejects the identification with *Ἀρπαχάδης*, Assyrian '*Arbaḥa*,' and thinks that the land of the Chaldees (in the O. T. *Kasdim*), i. e. Babylonia, is most probably intended. Di., p. 184, thinks that the view that **כשד** = **כְּשָׁדִים**, and that **ארף** is a word = '*boundary, province*' (Arab.) is more suitable. 'That the Hebrews were acquainted with Chaldeans outside Babylonia is certain from 22, 22. Job 1, 17' (Di.).

לוד. '*The Lydians*' and '*Lydia*;' probably the Lydians of Asia Minor; but Di. rejects this limitation, and remarks that 'a more eastern position must be accepted; or the territory between Lydia and the eastern Semitic land (Caria, Lycia, Pamphylia, and Cilicia)—in so far as it is not included in **ארם**—must be regarded as embraced in the term **לוד**, as the coast lands of Asia Minor within the Taurus naturally belong to Shem.'

ארם. 'Rather the name of a people than a land, and with a wider meaning than Syria, so that when it is more accurately spoken of, some addition is made to the name, as

אֲרָם דְּמִשְׁק, אֲרָם נְהָרִים. אֲרָם = the peoples of Syria and Mesopotamia, up to the upper plains of the Tigris and the valley-land within the Taurus, which was at a later date considered as belonging to Armenia. The expl. of the name as '*Highland*' is very doubtful. In Assyrian '*Aramu*,' '*Arumu*,' and '*Arimu*;' see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 115 f.

23. The sons of Aram¹.

עוֹז is mentioned in 22, 21 as the first son of Nahor; in 36, 28 as a son of Dishan (דִּישָׁן); in Job 1, 1 as a people north-east of Edom. In Jer. 25, 20 kings of the land of Uz are spoken of, and in Lam. 4, 21 Edomites are mentioned as dwelling in the land of Uz. According to Josephus, *Ant.*, i. 6. 4, Uz founded Trachonitis and Damascus. 'All this points to a people who were widely scattered in southern Syria and the Wilderness, viz. in the neighbourhood of Hauran and Damascus,' Di.

חֹל is uncertain. Josephus, *Ant.*, i. 6. 4, gives חֹל as the founder of Armenia. Bochart refers it to Χολοβοτήμη in Armenia. It is usually identified (see Di., p. 185) with Hule (الحولة); a name that still attaches itself to lake Merom in Galilee and the marshy land around it, but also to a district between Emesa and Tripolis. A district '*Huli(j)a*' near mount Masius is mentioned in the Assyrian inscriptions; see Del., *Par.*, p. 259.

גַּתֵּר is unknown. Josephus l. c. mentions גַּתֵּר as the founder of the Bactrians. Jerome supposes גַּתֵּר to be *the Carians*. Clericus takes it to be '*Karthara*' on the Tigris; see Tuch, p. 204.

מִשָּׁה. Heb.-Sam. מִשָּׂא; LXX, Μοσόχ, 1 Chron. 1, 17 מִשְׁשָׁךְ; cf. Ps. 120, 5. Josephus l. c. explains by Μησαναῖοι, at the mouths

¹ These words are wanting in 1 Chron. 1, 17.

of the Euphrates and Tigris (Syr. **ܚܘܡܝܢ**). More probable is Bochart's identification with Mons Masius, north of Nisibis.

24. The descendants of Arpachshad.

שְׁלַח and **עֶבֶר** the son of **שְׁלַח**, also found in 11, 12, 14.

25. **יִלְד**. On the passive, see 4, 18.

פִּלְג, the same individual is mentioned in 11, 18.

נִפְלְגָה הָאָרֶץ. 'The earth was divided,' i.e. the population of the earth; possibly to be referred to 11, 1-9; cf. Ps. 55, 10; or it may refer to some partition of the soil amongst distinct nations, in which case we should expect to find **חֶלֶק פִּלְג** may have been used here on account of the proper name **פִּלְג**.

יֻקְטַן. 'Jogtan.' 'Jogtan, from the notices in the Bible, was regarded by the Arab genealogists under the name "Qahṭān," as the ancestor of the genuine Arabs in Arabia proper, from whom the old prehistoric inhabitants, as *Ad*, *Thamud*, *Gadis*, etc. on the one hand, and the Ishmaelites of the north (Gen. 25, 12 ff.) on the other, were distinguished,' Di. The name 'Qahṭān' is still preserved as the name of a district in northern Yemen, and as the name of a tribe.

26. **אֶלְמוֹדָד**. 'With the Arabic article *al*, the oldest testimony to which is this word,' Di.; cf. Josh. 15, 30 **אֶלְמוֹדָד**. According to *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., p. 40, **אֶלְנוֹבִישׁ**, **אֶלְמֹגִים**, **אֶלְקֹם** are other instances of the Arabic article in Hebrew words¹. The identification of this name is uncertain. Bochart connects it with the *'Αλλουμαιῶται* of Ptolemy in the midst of Yemen. Tuch corrects it into

¹ D. H. Müller, cited *H. W. B.*, p. 975, denies that **אֶל** in **אֶלְמוֹדָד** is the Arabic article, as the southern Arabians did not know of this article; he also thinks that **'א** has nothing to do with *Murād*, but is either = **אֶל + מוֹדָד** 'God (is) a loving one;' or = **אֶל + וֹדָד** 'It loves.' Cf. also Di., *Comm.*, 5th ed., p. 196, who has somewhat modified his former view.

אלמורד, i.e. مُرَاد, grandson of Sabas, who, with his tribe, inhabited the hill country of Yemen, near زبيد. Welsted (see Di., p. 186) mentions a town *Mádudi* in Ḥaḍramaut.

שֶׁלֶף is uncertain. 'Bochart compares the *Σαλαπηνοί* of Ptolemy, vi. 7. 23; Knobel, a district *Salfie* (سلفية in Niebuhr, *Arab.*, p. 247), south-westward of *San'á*; Osiander, *Sulaf* or *Salif*, the name of a tribe in Yemen,' Di., p. 186.

הצרמות, rediscovered on the Sabean inscriptions as *הצרמות*, the *Ἰδραμίται* or *Χατραμωτίται* of Ptolemy, the inhabitants of Ḥaḍramaut (حَضْرَمَوْت), the name of a district east of Yemen on the sea coast. The name is preserved at the present day.

ירח is uncertain. 'As the word means "moon" in Heb., Sab., and Geez, Bochart conjectured the *بنی هلال* "sons of the new moon" or *Alilaei* in northern Yemen; Michaelis, the *moon-coast* and *moon-mountain*, *جبل غب القمر* or *جبل* in eastern Ḥaḍramaut,' Di.

27. הדורם, Heb.-Sam. אדורם, is unknown. The *Ἰδραμίται* of Ptolemy, or the *Atramitae* of Pliny, have been suggested, but they belong to *הצרמות*.

אוזל, Heb.-Sam. אזל, LXX, Αἰζήλ (Lagarde, Αἰβήλ, so Tisch.'s text), according to Arab tradition, was the old name of the capital of Yemen, called, since the Ethiopic occupation in the fifth century A. D., *San'á* (صنعاء).

דקלה is unknown. Perhaps = 'a palm-bearing district' (Arab. دَقْل 'a palm tree'). Bochart identified it with the *Minaci* of Pliny and Strabo.

28. עובל, 1 Chron. 1, 22 עיבל, so Heb.-Sam., Vulg. 'Ebal,' LXX, Γεβάλ and Εὐάλ, is unknown.

אבימאל is unknown.

שבא, see ver. 7. 'The Sabaeans,' here as the descendants

of Joqtan, among the Arabs; in 25, 3, among the descendants of Abraham, as the son of יקשן. 'In this verse the people and land of this name in south-western Arabia are intended, with the capital *Mariaba* or *Saba*,' Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 118.

29. אופר is mentioned, from Solomon's time onwards, as the land whence the fleet of Hiram and Solomon, after a three years' voyage, brought gold, precious stones, sandalwood, silver, ivory, apes, and peacocks (1 Kings 9, 28. 10, 11. 22. 2 Chron. 8, 18. 9, 10), and whose gold became proverbial as fine gold (Ps. 45, 10. Job 22, 24. 28, 16. Is. 13, 12. 1 Chron. 29, 4). Its position has been disputed, but as it is mentioned among the sons of Joqtan it must be sought for in Arabia. Thus the identifications with *Supara* on the coast of Malabar, *Sofála* on the east coast of Africa, opposite Madagascar, and *Abhira* on the coast east of the Indus Delta, are untenable. On the Arabian coast, however, no suitable place with which Ophir can be identified is at present known; see Di., p. 187.

הוילה. Cf. ver. 7. 'It seems probable from 25, 18. 1 Sam. 15, 7, cf. Gen. 2, 11, that there was a הוילה in north-west Arabia, on the Persian Gulf. The *Xavλοταῖοι* of Strabo would suit this, and a *Huwaila* in Bahrein on the coast is mentioned by Niebuhr, *Arab.*, p. 342,' Di.

יובב is unknown. Bochart compares the *Ἰωβαρίται* of Ptol. vi. 7. 24 (which he emends to *Ἰωβαβίται*) on the coast of the Indian Ocean.

30. משה is uncertain. Bochart, *Μοῖζα*, a seaport town within the Bab-el-Mandeb; Knobel, *Bischa*, in northern Yemen; Tuch and others, *Mesene*, a district at the head of the Persian Gulf.

ספרה הר הקדם. Render, '*Towards Sephar, towards the mountain of the east.*' הר הקדם cannot be predicate of the sentence on account of its position, nor in apposition to ספרה, because nothing is known of a mountain bearing this name. ספר 'is usually taken for Σάπφαρα, *Saphar*, capital of the king of the Sabaites and Homerites, in the south-western corner of Arabia, which is well known to Arabic writers as an old and important town (ظفار); to be distinguished from *Saphar* (ظفار), a town of the same name,—also of great age and importance,—situated in eastern Ḥaḍramaut on the sea coast,' Di.

הר הקדם is the Arabian hill country (*Negd*), which extends from the foregoing limit far towards the east. According to Knobel, the mountain range between Ḥaḍramaut and Mahra.

11.

1. ויהי. The imperf. with waw conv. commencing the narrative, the chapter being loosely connected with the preceding one; cf. Ges., § 129. 1.

"כל הארץ שפה וגו'. '*The whole earth was one tongue.*' The predicate in Hebrew, as in Arabic, is often a substantive, where in our idiom an adj. is used, or some such phrase as '*consists of,*' '*contains.*' This construction is commonly used in designating the material out of which an object is made, and in specifying weights or measures, etc.; but an extension of this usage is also often found in Hebrew, 'when terms other than material attributes are treated similarly;' Driver, § 189; Ewald, § 296 b; Ges., § 106. 1. Rem. 2; cf. 2 Sam. 17, 3 כל העם יהיה שלום; Job 3, 4 היום ההוא יהי חשך; Is. 19, 11, and often.

שפה = lit. '*lip,*' then '*language,*' so in Is. 19, 18 שפת כנען;

33, 19 עמקי שפה; cf. Ez. 3, 5. P uses לשון in this sense, 10, 5. 20. 31; cf. Deut. 28, 49.

דברים אחדים = lit. 'single words,' i. e. 'the same' or 'similar words.' The use of אחדים in the phrase ימים אחדים 27, 44. 29, 20. Dan. 11, 20, meaning 'single,' i. e. 'a few days,' is different from its use here.

2. נסע does not only mean 'to break up the camp,' but 'to strike the tents and move onward on the journey.'

מקדם. Not 'from the east,' but as 13, 11 'eastwards' (cf. M. R., § 49. Rem. d. 12, 8), i. e. 'from the standpoint of the author, who was in Palestine, and to whom the Mesopotamians were בני קדם' (29, 1), Di.

בקעה, prop. 'a split' or 'cleft,' but according to the usage of the language, 'a plain lying in a broad valley,' 'a valley plain;' cf. the Syriac פִּמְכָא 'campus patens.' The distinction between geographical synonyms should be noted; see Stanley, *Sinai and Palestine*, App., § 5, where the בקעות mentioned in the Old Testament are enumerated.

בארץ שנער. Cf. on 10, 10.

3. איש אל רעהו. 'One to the other.' On this mode of expressing the reciprocal relation, see Ges., § 124. 2. Rem. 4; M. R., § 72. 3. Rem. a; cf. § 94 c. Rem. a.

הבה is properly imper. with ה cohort. (Ges., § 48. 5; Stade, § 592 c) from the root יהב 'to give,' which, though common in Aramaic and Arabic (وهب), is confined in Heb. to the imper. sing. and plural. הבה sing. sometimes has the force of an interjection, so here, 'up,' 'come on;' A. V. 'go to,' so vers. 4. 7. Ex. 1, 10. For the form, cf. Ges., § 69. 2. Rem. 2. Other verbal forms used as interjections are ראה 'see!' לבה 'come!' cf. Stade, § 380.

נלבנה. 'Let us bake;' the imperf. with ה cohort., to

express the intention with greater energy; cf. Ges., §§ 48. 3, 128. 1; Dav., § 23. 1, 2; M. R., § 9; Driver, § 49 β. The verb לבן is a denominative from לְבִנָּה.

לְבָנִים 'bricks,' perhaps so called as being baked white by the heat of the sun. The word occurs in Assyrian under the form *libittu*, cstr. state *libnat*, Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 121.

לְשַׂרְפָּה. Dat. of the product; cf. 2, 22. Amos 5, 8, lit. 'into what is burnt,' i. e. 'bricks.' Render, 'And let us burn them into bricks.' The bricks here mentioned were different from those made of a mixture of straw and clay, Ex. 1, 14. 5, 7.

4. וּרְאִישׁוֹ בַשְּׁמַיִם. Render, 'With its top in the heavens.' The clause is a simple circumstantial one; cf. Driver, § 159; Ewald, § 341 a; so Is. 6, 6 וּבִידוֹ רִצְפָה; Zech. 2, 5 וּבִידוֹ חֶבֶל מִרְדֵּה. In Deut. 1, 28 we have the word עֲרִים qualified by בְּצוּרוֹת בַּשְּׁמַיִם 'fortified in the heavens,' i. e. 'with high and lofty fortifications;' cf. Dan. 4, 8. 17.

נַעֲשֶׂה לָנוּ שֵׁם, lit. 'let us make us a name,' i. e. 'let us gain an honourable name;' so Is. 63, 12. Jer. 32, 20. פֶּן נִפְוִין refers to both halves of the first part of the verse. They had a double object in view, to found a city, and gain for themselves an honourable name; the city being a common place of assembly for all, and so a means of keeping them together and preventing their being scattered over the earth. Others connect פֶּן נִפְוִין closely with שֵׁם, and take that word in the sense of 'monument' = Arab. سِمَةٌ, as in 2 Sam. 8, 13. This however is doubtful, and here unsuitable.

פֶּן נִפְוִין. LXX, πρὸ τοῦ διασπαρῆναι ἡμᾶς, so Vulg. 'antequam dividamur,' apparently taking פֶּן as though it were לִפְנֵי. Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 47, sees here a reference to the Haggada, which narrates that the descendants of Noah frequently dis-

regarded the warning given them to send out colonies; cf. Josephus, *Ant.*, i. c. 4, §§ 1-3.

6. 'Behold one people (are they), and one language have they all.' The A.V. 'The people is one,' is scarcely correct, as that would be rather אחר העם. The A.V. R. renders, 'Behold they are one people,' etc.

החלם is inf. cstr. Hif'il of חלל, with the ה pointed with pathach instead of a composite sheva, on account of the following guttural ח; cf. Stade, § 80. 2 b; Ges., § 67. Rem. 6; Ewald, § 199 a. So Esth. 6, 13 החלוֹת; Is. 9, 13 החתת.

"וזה החלם וגו' = lit. 'and this is their beginning to do,' i. e. 'merely the commencement of their plan.'

יבצר = lit. 'will be cut off,' i. e. 'they will not be debarred from it;' so once besides, Job 42, 2 מומה מוכך מוצמה לא יבצר מוכך מוצמה.

יזמר is a lightened form of יזמו from זמם; so נבִּלָה, ver. 7, for נבִּלָה; cf. 9, 19, and Ges., § 67. Rem. 11; Stade, § 521 a, β, who explains the form in question as formed after the analogy of the third pers. pl. perf., instead of יזמו or יזמו; cf. Ewald, § 193 c.

7. נבלה. See note on ver. 6; and on the first pers. pl. see on 1, 26. The word was probably chosen with reference to the name בבל.

אשר, expressing the result rather than the aim, = 'so that,' not 'that;' cf. Ex. 20, 26. Deut. 4, 10. 40; contrast Gen. 3, 22, where we have פן introducing the negative final clause; see M. R., § 164 b; Ewald, § 337 b. 2.

איש שפת רעהו. Cf. on ver. 3. ישמע = not merely 'to hear,' but 'to understand,' as in Is. 33, 19. Deut. 28, 49.

8. ויחדלו לבנת. After verbs of 'ceasing,' 'hastening,'

etc., two constructions are usually possible; either the inf. cstr. with ל as here, or the inf. cstr. alone; cf. Ges., § 142. 2; Ewald, § 285. 1. With מן, חרל may be used, see Ex. 23, 5. 1 Kings 15, 21.

9. על כן קרא. 'Therefore they called its name Babel,' i. e. 'they, people called.' On the impersonal use of the third pers. perf. masc. sing. (= lit. 'one called'), cf. Ges., § 137. 3 a; M. R., § 123. 2.

בבל, according to the etymology given in the text, is from בבל. בבל must then be regarded as contracted from בבלל; cf. קלקלון from קלקלון; עולול for עולול; see Ewald, § 158 c; Stade, § 124 a; cf. also the Syriac دحلا 'confusion of speech,' Arab. بَلْبَل. This is the Hebrew explanation of the name. For the Babylonian it had another meaning, which is probably the correct one. Some (Eich., Winer) derive it from Báb Bel, باب بل, 'gate,' i. e. 'court of Bel;' following the ancients, see Steph. of Byzant.; compare the Aramaic and Talmudic כבא, כבא = 'gate,' also the names of the Talmud Tracts כבא קמא 'the front gate;' כבא בתרא 'the back gate;' כבא מציעא 'the middle gate;' others, from בל = כבל, so Tuch, comparing for the contraction בעשתרה, Josh. 21, 27 = בית עשתרה 'Temple of Ashtoreth,' and the Phoen. עתר = בעתר 'Temple of Ather;' Inscr. Melitensis, 5, l. 4; Schröd., Phoen. Gramm., p. 235; cf. p. 108; and the Syriac כבא = כבא; see further, Tuch, p. 221. The name as given on the Assyrian inscriptions is Báb-Il = 'Gate of God,' which is certainly the most probable meaning; cf. Schr., K. A. T.², p. 127 ff.; Del., Par., p. 212 ff.; so most moderns.

In the following verses, 10-32, we have a genealogical table carrying on the history of the patriarchs from Shem to Abram—the founder of the house of Israel—and his

two brothers, Naḥor and Haran. This table is in many respects very similar to the one found in chap. 5. In both ten generations are given, Abram closing the list here, and Noah in chap. 5. In both lists the ages of the persons mentioned are considerably higher than those usually reached. Here, as well as chap. 5, we find the length of each person's life reckoned, both from his own birth to the birth of his first son, and from that event to his death. The LXX and the Samaritan deviate in their methods of reckoning the years here, as well as in the earlier chapter, as may be seen from the following table taken from Dillmann, p. 196.

	HEBREW TEXT.			LXX TEXT.			SAMARITAN TEXT.		
	The years before the birth of the first son.	The remaining years of the life.	Total years of life.	The years before the birth of the first son.	The remaining years of the life.	Total years of life.	The years before the birth of the first son.	The remaining years of the life.	Total years of life.
Shem ...	100	500	600	100	500	600	100	500	600
Arpachshad	35	403	438	135	(430) 400	(565) 535	135	303	438
Kainan	130	330	460
Shelach ...	30	403	4 33	130	330	460	130	303	433
Eber ...	34	430	464	134	(370) 270	(504) 404	134	270	404
Peleg ...	30	209	239	130	209	339	130	109	239
Reu ...	32	207	239	132	207	339	132	107	239
Serug ...	30	200	230	130	200	330	130	100	230
Naḥor ...	29	119	148	(79) 179	(129) 125	(208) 304	79	69	148
Terach ...	70	(135)	(205)	70	(135)	(205)	70	(75)	(145)

In both chapters the Hebrew text has most probably preserved the more correct lists, though the Samaritan is perhaps the most consistent of the three tables. The Samaritan list never allows the son to be older than the father; so the numbers, e. g. in the cases of Terach and Eber, have to be lowered in order to carry out this rule. With the single exception of Terach, the Sam. text increases the number of years before the birth of the first son, and in all cases, except that of Shem, decreases the number of years which each person lived after the birth of the first son. The Sam. text in the third column agrees with the Hebrew, with the exception of the cases of Eber and Terach. The LXX text, having a large number of variants, is more or less uncertain. Like the Sam. text, seventy years seem to have been the limit before which no children were begotten, and with the exception of Shem,—where all three texts are the same, and Naḥor, where one hundred and fifty years are added, with a variant, seventy-nine,—the LXX add one hundred years to the number each person lived before the birth of the first son. In the second column the readings are uncertain, but sometimes the numbers are lower than the corresponding numbers in the Heb. text. In the third column, the LXX have always higher numbers than the Hebrew, except in the cases of Eber (reading doubtful, variant 504) and Terach; the LXX in the latter case agreeing with the Heb. text. The years of Kainan's life are only given in the LXX text.

The object of this table, as of that in chap. 5, was probably twofold, to give some account of the period from the flood to Abram's birth, a period treated as uneventful, and to draw attention to the gradual decline in the number of years reached by each patriarch.

10. ויולד is the imperf. Hif'. with waw conv. from ילד.

28. על פני תרה. 'Coram eo,' i. e. so that he witnessed it, 'during his life-time;' compare Num. 3, 4. Deut. 21, 16 (Dillmann).

אור כשדים occurs again ver. 31. 15, 7. Neh. 9, 7, but not elsewhere. The LXX have χάρα τῶν Χαλδαίων (Acts 7, 4 ἐκ γῆς Χαλδαίων), χάρα possibly having arisen from a reading הור (but the article is against this), unless we suppose that the reading χάρα has arisen out of χωρ, and was then supplied with the article, and so ἐν τῇ χάρα. Kn. takes אור as = הור 'mountain,' but this is very doubtful. Oppert in the *Hist. des Emp. de Chaldée*, etc., explains אור כשדים as meaning 'Land (Ur) of the two (Kas) waters (Dim),' i. e. Mesopotamia, the name being old Turanian. Since J. D. Michaelis, אור has usually been identified with the castle of Ur, lying within the Persian frontier, and six days' journey north of Hatra, mentioned by Amm. Marc. xxv. 8. But the Ur mentioned by Marcellinus was first founded by the Persians or Parthians (Del.), and being in an unfruitful and barren district would hardly be a suitable place for Abram, the shepherd-prince. Besides, כשדים points rather to the land about the lower Euphrates than to Mesopotamia, and most of the ancients (e. g. Eupolemus in Euseb., *praep. evang.*, Del., p. 275) presuppose that Ur was in Babylonia (Chaldea or Shinar). Another identification is that proposed by Sir Henry and Prof. George Rawlinson, Ur being אֶרֶךְ (which occurs only in 10, 10), i. e. the present Warka, on the left bank of the lower Euphrates; the name being explained by them as meaning 'the moon city,' after the Arab. قمر. This view has been adopted by Loftus, *Trav.*, p. 126. The view most current among modern expositors is that אור is El-Mugheir, a little

south of Warka, on the right bank of the Euphrates, where ruins are still to be found. The name אור is found on the inscriptions in the form *Uru* (i. e. 'town,' viz. 'moon-town'), one of the oldest of the Babylonian royal towns in Sumer; see Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 129 ff.; Del., *Par.*, pp. 200, 226. כַּשְׁדִּים would then be an addition due to the Jews, and not part of the native name (Di).

The identification with Mugheir, though adopted by many moderns, is perhaps not to be regarded as quite certain. Di. contends in favour of a site in north Babylonia, whence he supposes the Chaldeans of south Babylonia to have emigrated. The Casdim were the inhabitants of south Babylonia and Babylon; they are not mentioned in the Bible or on the monuments before the time of Isaiah (see 23, 13). The name in a wider sense might possibly have included Mesopotamia. The origin of the Casdim is obscure, but they seem to have been a tribe which from small beginnings gradually acquired supremacy over south Babylonia and the capital; cf. Sayce, *Ency. Brit.*, art. *Babylonia*. The Talmud, *Baba Bathra*, 91, places Ur Casdim in the neighbourhood of Babylon. Ur Casdim has also been identified,—but without any great probability,—with Edessa (in Syr. ܘܪܫܝܡܝܐ), by Hitzig. The Syrian Christians boast of Edessa as being the Ur Casdim of Abraham; see further, Di., p. 200. The old interpretation current among the Jews (also found in the Qoran, Sur. 21) takes אור as meaning fire, and narrates that Abraham confessed the true God, and denied the gods of Nimrod, so he was cast into the fire, but saved in a miraculous manner by God. Hier. probably had this in view when he translated Neh. 9, 7, '*eduxisti eum de igne Chaldaeorum*;' see Del., p. 275 and his note 74.

30. יָרָךְ, only here and as Ktib in 2 Sam. 6, 23 for יָרֵךְ,

the original ו of the root, which still exists in Arabic وَلَدَ, وَلَدٌ, and reappears in the Hif. and Nif. of the verb in Hebrew, is here preserved.

31. וַיֵּצְאוּ אִתָּם. 'They went out with them,' i. e. perhaps 'They (the other members of the family) went out with them (Terach, etc.)'. אִתָּם cannot be rendered 'with one another,' as this is against grammar, the suffix never being reciprocal. To make Terach and Abraham the subject to וַיֵּצְאוּ, and understand Lot and Sarai, under אִתָּם (Rashi, Del.), is very harsh, and there is nothing in the Heb. text to support it. Ewald (J. B., x. 28) supposes that some words designating the other members of the family have fallen out. The LXX read the text וַיֵּצְאוּ אִתָּם, ἐξήγαγον αὐτούς, i. e. Terach, perhaps to avoid the difficulty; so Sam., Vulg., Luth. The Syr. reads אִתָּם וַיֵּצְאוּ, Terach being again the subject, ܕܘܟܘܢܟܘܢܐ (so Ilgen, Vater, Ols.).

חָרָן, Assyrr. *Harran*, Syr. ܚܪܢ , Arab. حَرَّان , Gk. *Karrai*, Lat. *Carrae*, was situated in north-west Mesopotamia, south-east of Edessa, about twenty-six Roman miles distant from it.

12.

1. וַיֹּאמֶר. A. V. wrongly, 'Now the Lord had said,' more correctly A. V. R., 'Now the Lord said,' the passage being like Judg. 17, 1. 1 Sam. 9, 1; a new narrative is commenced, amplifying the preceding one which is regarded as a whole, the association of the two being in *thought*, not in *time*. Whether the imperf. with waw conv. can denote a pluperfect is very doubtful; the question is fully discussed, Driver, § 76. Obs., where it is pointed out that there is not sufficient evidence to justify the adoption of a pluperfect rendering in the place of the simple past.

לך לך 'get thee,' the dat. adds an element of feeling to the bald לך, implying a reference to, or a regard for, the person addressed. The dative is often found similarly after verbs of motion, e. g. Deut. 1, 7. 40. 5, 27, etc.; see Ges., § 154. 3 c; Ewald, § 315 a.

מֵאֲרָצָה, probably Haran; cf. ver. 4 with 24, 4. 7. 38. In Acts 7, 2 מֵאֲרָצָה is taken as Ur-Casdim; so Hupfeld.

אֲרָאֶנְךָ for אֲרָאֶנִּי. Impf. Hif. of רָאָה with נ demons.; the verb רָאָה and other verbs ל"ה frequently use the strengthened form of the suffix; cf. Stade, §§ 576 c, 127 b; Ges., § 58. 4; Dav., § 31. 5.

2. וְאֶעֱשֶׂךָ is imperf. with weak waw. The imperf. in this case is jussive, so וְאֶעֱשֶׂךָ = lit. 'and let me make,' but as this is dependent on the command, 'get thee out,' in ver. 1, it comes to mean, 'that I may make.' Thus the imperf. with weak waw is often used to express the *purpose* or *design* of a preceding act, which it does more neatly than when לְמַעַן or בְּעִבּוֹר followed by the imperf. is used; cf. Driver, § 60; Ges., § 128. 1 c; M. R., § 10; Ewald, § 347 a. The other two verbs וְאֶבְרַכְךָ and וְאֶגְדֹּלְהָ (note the voluntative form used here; in the case of the two first verbs it could not be employed) fall under the same rule.

וְהָיָה, pointed according to Ges., § 63. Rem. 5; Stade, § 592 d. Here the imperative with waw is used where a voluntative with weak waw would be expected, to express the intention or purpose with greater energy; cf. 20, 7 וְהָיָה; 2 Sam. 21, 3 וּבְרַכּוּ; Driver, § 65; Ges., § 130. 2; M. R., § 10; Ewald, § 347 a.

בְּרַכָּה. 'And be a blessing,' LXX, καὶ ἔσῃ εὐλογημένος (cf. Ps. 21, 7. Is. 19, 24), God will bless him, and men will bless him, in that they will use his name as a formula of blessing, cf. 3, Zech. 8, 13; he himself too will be a source of blessing to others; cf. ver. 3 a.

3. ומקללך. LXX, Pesh., Vulg., Sam., read the pl. ומקללֶיךָ. The Mass. reading is the better one, 'God does not expect that *many* will so far forget themselves as to curse him' (Di.).

ונברכו. LXX, ἐνευλογηθήσονται ἐν σοί; Sir. 44, 21. Acts 3, 25. Gal. 3, 8; so Onq. and Vulg., rendering as a passive, 'shall be blessed:' it is interpreted in the N. T. as meaning that in Christ all the nations should be blessed. The Nif. would then be passive, as in 18, 18. 28, 14. But in 22, 18. 26, 4, we find the reflex. Hithp'. והתברכו, which can scarcely be taken as passive, but must = 'all peoples shall bless themselves with thy seed,' i. e. wish that they may be as blessed as Israel; cf. 48, 20. Jer. 29, 22. Is. 65, 16; the Nif'al is also taken as a reflexive here by Del., Di., and most moderns, after Rashi. Di. remarks that it would not be unreasonable to expect the Pu'al in these passages if the passive sense were intended. Tuch slightly alters the meaning, and renders both Hithp'. and Nif'. 'to call oneself happy,' i. e. 'to regard oneself as blessed,' which is perhaps not impossible, but at least for the Hithp'. improbable.

5. רכוש = 'moveable property.' LXX, τὰ ὑπάρχοντα.

הנפש אשר עשו. 'The souls which they had gotten in H.' הנפש is used collectively. The meaning of these words is not the persons whom they had begotten (Luth.), but the slaves they had acquired during their sojourn in Harran. עשו occurs again in this sense in 31, 1. Deut. 8, 18. נפש as in נפשות ביתו, 36, 6; נפש אדם, Ez. 27, 13, etc.; cf. a similar use of ψυχή, 1 Macc. 10, 33. Rev. 18, 13. רכוש and רכוש are characteristic of P, נפש in this sense is also common in P, so 17, 14. 36, 6. 46, 15. 18. 22. 25, and often. Onq. renders, וְאֵף נַפְשֵׁיָהּא דִּשְׁעִבִירוּ לְאוֹרֵיהָא בְּחָרָן. 'And also the souls which

they had subjected to the law in *Harran*,’ possibly, as Tuch suggests, to avoid the suspicion that strangers accompanied Abram to Canaan; cf. also Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 48, who traces in the rendering of the LXX the influence of the Midrash.

6. מְקוֹם שֵׁכֶם. ‘*To the district of Shechem.*’ מקום as in Ex. 3, 8 מְקוֹם הַבְּנֵעַי. Shechem is the modern Nablous (נַבְלֻס), one of the best known towns of Mid-Canaan, in the hill country of Ephraim, situated between mount Ephraim and mount Gerizim. Its Roman name was Flavia Neapolis.

עַד אֵלֹן מוֹרָה. ‘*To the terebinth of Moreh.*’ Di. ‘*The terebinth of the teacher.*’ אֵלֹן מוֹרָה is probably to be explained, according to Deut. 11, 30 אֵלֹנֵי מוֹרָה, as a terebinth grove, where in ancient times the priests who were seers or prophets had their dwelling, and gave instruction and information to those who resorted to them. The fact that Jacob (35, 4) buried the idols and amulets at Shechem, and that Joshua—after the address to the tribes at Shechem, previous to his death, wherein the covenant between them and God was renewed—raised a stone there as a testimony (Josh. 24, 26), is not without significance, as pointing to the religious character belonging to the locality. Perhaps, as Di. suggests, this grove at Shechem is the same as the terebinth of the Wizards, Judg. 9, 37.

אֵלֹן, to which אֵילָה and אֵיל belong, was probably ‘*the terebinth*,’ while אֵלָן, and prob. also אֵלָה (Josh. 24, 26), was ‘*the oak*.’ The terebinth, being less common than the oak, was more suitable for marking out any spot (Di.). The LXX translate אֵלָן, and (sometimes) אֵלָה, by $\delta\rho\upsilon\varsigma$, and the Massoretic pointing varies, e. g. cf. Josh. 19, 33 and Judg. 4, 11. In Aramaic ܐܠܢܐ means a tree in general (cf. $\delta\rho\upsilon\varsigma$ and *tree*),

and it is possible that איל and אלון might be used of other great trees (Ges., *Th.*, 51 a). The Targg. of Onq. and Ps.-Jon. render אלון by מִיִּשְׁרֵי 'plain,' which the Vulg. '*convallis illustris*' and A. V. follow (A. V. R. has 'oak,' marg. *turbinth*). From this, perhaps, we may infer that they were acquainted with the idolatrous sense of אלון, for they often render בעל in the same way. Syr. has ܐܠܘܢܐ ܕܡܡܪܐ 'at the oak of Mamre,' so also Saadiah.

מורה. LXX render by ἰψηλός, Vulg. '*illustris*,' prob. taking מורה as though it were מורה (a confusion between the sound of the two words).

הכנעני אז בארץ. הן points to a time when the Canaanites should not be in the land as rulers of the same, this notice was perhaps inserted with reference to the promise made in ver. 7.

הכנעני has the article, 'a generic word being used collectively to denote all the individuals belonging to it,' Ges., § 109. 1; Ewald, § 277 c.

8. ויש אהלה. ויש אהלה, ה for ו, the older and original form of the suffix, is found sporadically throughout the O. T. The ו arose by contraction from *ahu*, *au*, but the ה was retained in writing, and is constantly found on the Moabite stone; cf. Stade, § 345 b; Ges., § 91. 1. Rem. 2; and the note on 9, 21.

מים 'on the west,' the Mediterranean sea forming the western boundary of Palestine. This use of ים (cf. נב in ver. 9) as marking a point of the compass is purely Palestinian.

בית אל . . . מקדם is a simple circ. clause, without any connecting particle; cf. 1 Sam. 26, 13. Gen. 32, 12; Driver, § 161.

העי. 'Ai,' lit. 'the stone heap,' *par excellence*; cf. for the

article thus used with a pr. name, Ges., § 109. 3; M. R., § 66. Rem. a.

9. הלוך ונסוע, cf. on 8, 3.

הנגבה. Cf. ver. 8. 'Towards the south.' LXX, ἐν τῇ ἐρήμῳ; Aq. better, νότονδε; Symm. εἰς νότον. נַגְבַּ = 'dryness, dry land,' with the art., is the name of the southern portion of the territory of the Hebrews. Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 5, alters the LXX reading into εἰς τὴν ἔρημον, as in 13, 1, and cites this passage as an instance of good rendering on the part of the LXX translators, the desert forming the boundary between Egypt and Canaan.

10. וירד. ירד is the usual word in the O. T. for a journey from the high land of Canaan into the valley of the Nile, e. g. Is. 31, 1; עלה for the journey from Egypt to Palestine; cf. 13, 1. 44, 23. 24. 46, 4.

11. הקריב לבוא, lit. 'drew near to come,' i. e. 'came near;' cf. Ges., § 142. 2; cf. on 11, 8.

יפת מראה. The adj. in the cstr. state is defined by a following genitive; cf. נקי כפים 'with clean hands,' lit. 'clean of hands;' אנמי נפש 'sorrowful in spirit;' ערל שפתים 'uncircumcised of lips,' Ges., § 112. 2; M. R., § 80. 2 b; Ewald, § 288 c. 3. Render, 'That thou art fair to look at.'

12. ואתך יחזי. אתך, by being placed first, varies the two clauses, and is more emphatic.

13. אמרי נא אהתי את. קי is omitted in the *oratio indirecta*, as in 41, 15. Is. 48, 8. Hos. 7, 2; Ges., § 155. 4 c; Ewald, § 338 a; M. R., § 162.

למען ייטב . . . וחיתה. The perfect with waw conv., after an imperf. with למען; cf. 18, 19 ושמרו . . . למען אשר יצוה . . . וכשלו 13, 28; cf. Driver, § 115 (p. 160); M. R., § 24. 2 a.

15. **ויהללו** is pointed with a comp. shewa, the dagesh in the first ל being omitted, by Ges., § 10. 2. Rem.; Stade, § 136. 2 (who cites ל as one of the consonants that frequently give up their doubling when pointed with shewa). The shewa is here composite instead of simple, by Stade, § 105, i. e. hâteph-pathach is used instead of a simple shewa after a vowel with Metheg, when two similar sounds follow one another, so **צַנְרִים** Ps. 8, 3, **גִּזְוִיָּה** Is. 53, 7, **הַרְרִי** Ps. 87, 1.

פרעה is acc. of place, in answer to the question ‘*whither?*’ see Ges., § 118. 1; M. R., § 41 a; Ewald, § 281 d.

פרעה, formerly explained (e. g. Ges. in *Thes.*, p. 1129) from Josephus, *Ant.*, viii. 6. 2, as a Hebraised form of the Coptic word **π-οϣρο** *pi-ouro* (*phouro*), ‘*the king*’ (Del.), is now generally explained (by Lauth, Brugsch, etc.) from a notice in Horapollon, i. 62 (**οἰκος μέγας**), as *per-aa* or *pher-ao* = ‘*the great house*.’ It remained the usual title of the Egyptian kings up to the time of the Persian conquest. Ebers, *Egypt. und die B. M.*, p. 263, compares the modern title of the Turkish government, ‘*The Sublime Porte*.’ The title **פרעה** is often found on the oldest monuments, Ebers, p. 264. Ebers (p. 262), after remarking that the courtiers of the Egyptian king appeared to have shewn great zeal in procuring beautiful women for the harem of their master, narrates, from the *Papyrus d’Orbigny*, that a lock of hair belonging to a beautiful woman was found in Pharaoh’s linen, and shewn to his lettered men, who brought it to their master, saying, ‘*This is a lock of hair of a daughter (of the god) Ra-Harmachu. The sap of that god is in it.*’ Pharaoh gave himself no rest until he had discovered this beauty, who at once received the name of ‘*Favourite*.’

16. The presents Abram received from Pharaoh are else-

where mentioned as forming the riches of a nomad prince ; cf. 24, 35. 32, 15. Job 1, 3. 42, 12.

ויהי לו, lit. 'and there was to him,' i. e. *he had*; for the singular, cf. Ges., § 147.

17. וינגע . . . פרעה נגעיים. וינגע, Qal = 'to touch,' Pī'el, intens. 'to touch heavily,' 'smile.' A verb in Hebrew is frequently followed by a noun, derived from it, in the acc. ; cf. Ges., § 138. Rem. 1; M. R., § 36. נגע and נגע are often used in this connection, e. g. 2 Kings 15, 5. 1 Sam. 6, 9. Job 19, 21 (both with יד). Ex. 11, 1.

18. לבמה. On the pointing here and ver. 19, cf. Ges., § 102. 2 d; Stade, § 372 b.

19. Render, 'Why didst thou say, *She is my sister, so that I took her to be my wife* (i. e. *and so lead me to take her*)?' The second idea being really a consequence of the first, the waw conv. may be rendered, 'so that or and so;' see Driver, § 74 a, and cf. 20, 12. 23, 20.

20. ויצו עליו 'commanded concerning him;' cf. Num. 8, 22. 2 Sam. 14, 8.

וישלו 'and they brought him on his way;' cf. the N. T. προπέμπειν, Acts 15, 3. 21, 5.

13.

2. במקנה בכסף ובוהב. The article is generic, being used with different materials which are generally known ; cf. Ges., § 109. 3 b; M. R., § 68. Di. suggests that the Masoretes possibly had the particular wealth acquired by Abram in Egypt in their mind, and so inserted the article.

3. למסעיו 'by his stations' (stationenweise), implying that he proceeded gradually, adapting his speed to the requirements of the flocks and herds he had with him ; cf.

Ex. 17, 1, where LXX render *κατὰ παρεμβολὰς αὐτῶν*, Ex. 40. 36. Num. 33, 2. The מסעים are the *مراحل* 'day-journeys,' by which they still reckon at the present time in the east (Tuch). The LXX (*καὶ ἐπορεύθη ὕθεν ἤλθεν*) and Vulg. (*ri-versus est per iter, quo venerat*) take the מסעים as the places Abram had halted at on his journey down to Egypt, but this is not so suitable. ל with the pl. is used distributively here, as in Ps. 73, 14 לבקרים 'morning-wise;' Job 7, 18; see Ewald, § 217 d, a; M. R., § 51. 5, who explains the usage somewhat differently from Ewald.

ועד ביתאל. When the two prepositions 'from . . . to' are both expressed, a ו 'and' is generally inserted before the second. 'From the south to Bethel,' lit. 'from the south and (then further) to Bethel;' cf. M. R., § 49. 1 b.

5. אֱהָלִים for אֹהֳלִים, explained by Ges., § 23. 3, 2; § 93. 1. Rem. 3, as a Syriasm. Stade, § 109, cf. § 327 b. 3, explains the lengthening of the *hâṭêph* qameç into *holem* before the guttural ה as due to the influence of the counter-tone (Gegenton): other instances are פִּעְלוֹ instead of פִּעְלוֹ; אֱהָלִי. The change is less frequent with *hâṭêph* qameç than qameç *hâṭûph*.

6. ולא נשא אתם. Cf. 36, 7 לשאת אתם. נשא, the verb comes first, and is put in the nearer gender, the masc., though the subj. הארץ is fem.; cf. Ges., § 147 a; M. R., § 133; Ewald, § 339 c. 1.

לשבת. ישב in this connection is characteristic of P, so ver. 12. 36, 7. 37, 1, also נשא.

7. יִשָּׁב. Cf. 9, 18. The plural is more usual when the predicate follows a compound subject; cf. on 8, 22 and Prov. 27, 9. 2 Sam. 20, 10. Neh. 6, 12; Ewald, § 339 c. 2; Ges., § 148. 2; M. R., § 138. The second noun holds a more

subordinate position than the first, the waw being almost 'with' (waw of association), 'the Canaanite with the P.' On פרוי, cf. 10, 17.

8. אנשים אהים, in apposition; cf. 9, 5, and the note there. Num. 32, 14. 2 Sam. 4, 2. אהים = 'relatives,' not to be taken strictly in the sense 'brothers;' cf. 29, 12. 14, 16.

9. 'Is not all the land before thee? pray separate thyself from me, if towards the left, then I will go to the right, and if towards the right, then I will go to the left.' The hyp. sentence is similar in form to ואם מעט ואוסיפה לך, 2 Sam. 12, 8. The simple waw introducing the apod. is very rare; cf. Driver, § 136 β*; M. R., § 165. השמאל and הימין are acc. of place; cf. on 12, 15; תפּרד being understood with each.

הימין and השמאל are denominatives from ימין and שמאל respectively; on the quad. form of the latter, see Ges., § 56; Stade, § 627. Onq. renders שמאל by לְיַפְינָא 'to the north,' and ימין by לְרֹזְמָא 'to the south.' In Arabic أَشَّامٌ, IV conj., = 'to go to Syria' (الْأَشَّامُ), and أَيْمَنٌ, IV conj., = 'to go to Yemen' (الْأَيْمَنُ), lit. 'to go to the left and right,' respectively; see other similar instances in Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. p. 36.

מעלי 'from my presence,' 25, 6. Ex. 10, 28.

10. כּכּר הירדן recurs 1 Kings 7, 46. Cf. in the N. T. Matt. 3, 5. Luke 3, 3 ἡ περιχώρος τοῦ Ἰορδάνου; more frequently we find merely הכּכּר, 19, 17. 25. 28. Deut. 34, 3. 2 Sam. 18, 23. The district (prop. circle) of the Jordan was the land on both sides of the Jordan, from lake Tiberias to the Dead Sea, called by Josephus τὸ μέγα πεδῖον, *Bell. Jud.*, iv. 8. 2. The valley of Siddim, 14, 3, also belonged to the כּכּר.

מישקה = 'well watered,' lit. 'a well-watered place,' it occurs again Ez. 45, 15 מישקה ישראל; cf. Is. 58, 11 רוח.

כגן יהוה, probably referring to the garden of Eden, 2, 8. LXX, *ὡς ὁ παράδεισος τοῦ Θεοῦ*; Pesh. *ܟܝܢܗܘܐ ܕܥܕܢܐ*. Del. and Schumann, however, regard יהוה as used in a superlative sense, and render, 'as a beautiful garden;' cf. 10, 9 and the note there, 1, 2. This rendering, however, is not so natural as the other. In Is. 51, 3 we have גן יהוה, and in Ez. 36, 35 גן עדן, used in comparisons.

גן עדן מצרים is added to tone down the previous גן עדן, the comparison with the garden of Eden being a somewhat too lofty conception.

באכה. 'On the way to,' lit. 'as thou comest;' for the second pers. sing. used impersonally, cf. Ges., § 137. 3 c; M. R., § 123. 4. The second pers. thus used occurs chiefly in this phrase. The form of the suff. כה is merely an orthographic variation for the more usual כ, e. g. 19, 22.

צער. LXX, *Zógora*, also called *צִלְע*, 14, 2. A small town, generally regarded as situated on the south-east end of the Dead Sea. Tristram, however (*Land of Moab*), and Grove (*Bible Dict.*, Smith) adduce reasons for thinking it was on the north of the Dead Sea; cp. Cheyne on Is. 15, 5. Wetzstein in Del., *Comm.*, p. 564, adopts the first view, and places Zoar in the *Gór es Şáfa*, on the south-east of the Dead Sea. Pesh. reads *ܘܥܘܪܐ ܕܥܘܪܐ*, which Ebers, p. 272, accepts as the real reading. With this reading, which however is not necessary. *צער באכה* would refer to *מצרים* alone, and not to the whole sentence.

12. *ויאהל*. This verb is a denom. from *אהל* 'a tent,' = 'to tent,' i. e. 'to wander about nomad fashion,' hence, perhaps, the pl. *בערי*. Render, 'Moved with his tents towards Sodom.'

13. *הַטְּאִים* 'sinners,' i. e. 'habitual sinners,' different from *הַטְּאִים* 'people sinning,' not necessarily as a habit; cf. Ges., § 84. 6; also Ryssel, *De Eloh. Pent. sermone*, p. 40.

ליהודה 'towards,' i. e. 'against Yahweh.' Cf. 20, 6. 39, 9. 14^b. Cf. 28, 14 וצפנה ונגבה.

15. אתננה is impf. Qal of נתן, with the suffix strengthened by נ demonstrativum; see note on 12, 1. ארצה, notice the *casus pendens*, here marked as the acc. by את כל הארץ, 'For all the land . . . I will give it;' cf. Driver, § 197. 6 and 21, 13.

16. אשר אם יוכל, either I. 'so that, if any one can number,' etc.; cf. 11, 7. 22, 14. 24, 3, so Syr. ܐܝܢܐ, Del.; or II. Tuch, 'quem [pulverem] si, quis,' אשר referring to עפר in the first half of the verse, and עפר being repeated in the second half, where we would rather expect אתו. Tuch compares 50, 13 (= 49, 30), (where, however, את rather means 'with'), and Ewald, § 331 c. 3, cites Jer. 31, 32. Num. 26, 64, which are apparently quite regular. The LXX have simply *εἰ δύναται τις*, not translating אשר. Perhaps, however, it is simplest to regard אשר as in Deut. 3, 24. 1 Kings 3, 12. 13, as a link which cannot be literally translated.

14.

1. בימי אמרפל וגו'. The four kings' names are all genitives after the construct state בימי. Hebrew prefers, as a rule, to repeat the construct state before each genitive; cf. Ges., § 114. 1; M. R., § 75 c; Ryssel (*De Eloh. Pent. sermone*, p. 61). The four kings, the subject to עשו in ver. 2, are not given again, as they can easily be inferred from ver. 1; cf. Ewald, § 303 b. 1; 9, 6 כי בצלם אלהים עשה 'For in God's image, He (God),' etc., Esth. 2, 21. The renderings of the LXX, *ἐν τῇ βασιλείᾳ τῇ Ἀμαρφαὶ βασιλέως Σεναάρ*, and Vulg. 'factum est in illo tempore ut,' are probably merely intended to explain the meaning of the verse, and do not of necessity presuppose any variant. Clericus' emendation,

inserting אברם before אמרפל, adopted by Ewald in his *Komp. der Gen.*, p. 221, is not necessary.

The meanings of the names in this verse are obscure. אמרפל, cf. Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 135, has not yet been discovered on the inscriptions. Bohlen explains it from the Sansk. *amarapāla* (*guardian of the immortal*), which is doubtful (Di. hat hier keine Stelle). אריוך is perhaps the Akkadian *Ēri-aku*, 'Servant of the moon-god' (*aku*). Cf. Del., *Par.*, p. 224. Formerly it was explained from the Sansk. *āryaka*, *venerabilis*, so Ges., Boh.; cf. Judith 1, 6 Εἰριώχ ὁ βασιλεὺς Ἑλυμαίων; Dan. 2, 14 אריוך רב טבֿתֿיָא 'A. chief of the executioners.' אלסר is identified with תלשֿר, Is. 37, 12, by Targ. Ps.-Jon.; with Pontus, by Symm. and Vulg.; with Artemita, in south Assyria, by Kn.; with Kal'ah Sirgat, by Sayce. More recently (e. g. by Rawl., Del., Sch.) with the old Babylonian town Larsam, south-east of Uruk. All very doubtful. כדרלענור, LXX Χοδολλογομὸρ (notice the $\gamma = \epsilon$, and cf. on 4, 18). On the Assyri. inscriptions several kings of Elam have names compounded with *Kudur*. In the inscriptions the name of a deity *Lagamar* has been found. The name would perhaps = *Crown of Lagamar*; cf. Schr., *K. A. T.*², p. 136 ff. תרעל, LXX Θαργάλ, uncertain. Lenor. makes it = Akk. *tar-gal*, 'great Son.' גוים, not a nom. appel. as A. V., 'King of nations,' so Onq., for this rendering is too indefinite, and gives no suitable sense without some further name to define it; but a proper name, compared variously with the 'circuit ("Galil") of the nations' (Is. 8, 23), Pamphylia (Symm.), and איי הגוים 10, 5 (Ges., Nöld.). Others, as Lenor., connect it with the *Guti*, *Kuti* that are frequently found in the inscriptions; cf. Lenor., *La lang. prim.*, p. 361; Di., p. 222. A. V. R. has 'Goiim,' marg. 'nations.'

2. The proper names in this verse are even more uncertain than those in ver. 1, the readings being possibly corrupt;

cf. the LXX text with the Hebrew. These five towns were, with the exception of Zoar, according to the narrative in chap. 19, destroyed.

3. **חברו אל**. A pregnant construction; cf. ver. 15. Render, '*Came allied to the valley of Siddim*;' cf. Josh. 10, 6 **כי נקבצו אלינו** '*for they have gathered together [and come] unto us.*' Other instances of preg. cstr. are to be found in Ewald, § 282 c; Ges., § 141.

עמק השדים. '*Valley of Siddim*,' i. e. '*Valley of the level fields*,' so Onq. **חַקְלֵיָא**; Aq., Symm., Theod. *κοιλὰς τῶν ἀλσῶν*, so Vulg. The Pesh. takes **השדים**, as = '*the inhabitants of Sodom*,' and renders **بَادِيَةٌ صَفْلَاءٌ وَهِيَ سَدٌّ**. Others connect it with the Arabic **سَدٌّ** '*stony ground*.' LXX have here *φάραγξ ἡ ἀλυκή*, and in ver. 9 *κοιλὰς ἡ ἀλυκή*. On **עמק**, see Stanley, *Sinai and Palestine*, App., § 1.

ים המלח. **ים** with qameç is the construct state. *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., has '**ים** *m. cstr.* **ים**, more rarely **ים**,' which requires qualification. **ים**, with pathach and maqqeph, occurs as cstr. state twenty-three times, and always of the Red Sea (**ים־סוף**). **ים** with qameç occurs as construct state twenty-four times, seventeen times *without* maqqeph, and seven times with maqqeph, but never of the Red Sea.

4. **שתים עשרה שנה**, acc. of time, in answer to the question '*how long?*'

ושלש עשרה, acc. of time, in answer to the question '*when?*' cf. Ges., § 118. 2 a and b; *M. R.*, § 42 a and b; Ewald, § 300 a. When a *particular* point of time is mentioned, the preps. **ב**, **ל**, or **כ** are used; so Ols. and Nöld. prefer the reading of the Sam. here, viz. **ובשלש**—cf. *M. R.*, § 42 b—as being more correct; see the next verse.

5. **הרפאים**. '*The Refa'im*,' or '*sons of the Rafa*,' i. e.

'Giants,' so LXX and Syr. here. Partly the name of the original inhabitants of Canaan, in the western and eastern Jordan-land, whose territory was promised Abram's descendants, 15, 20; partly a special name of the giants in Bashan, as here and Deut. 3, 11. Josh. 13, 12. The last traces of them in the O. T. are in 2 Sam. 21, 15 ff. ילדי הרפה, where they are spoken of among the Philistines at the time of David.

עשתרת קרני, also simply עשתרת, Deut. 1, 4, and בית עשתרת=בעשתרה, Josh. 21, 27, was one of the principal towns of Bashan, identified with the present Tel 'Aṣṭerâ, two and a half hours from Nawâ, nearly between Nawâ and M'zârîb; it is situated on a hill in a rich meadow-land, well watered, and many ruins are still to be found (Ritter in Di., p. 223). Wetzstein, however, prefers to identify it with Bosra, one hour and three quarters from Edrei, where ruins have been found. The name means '*The two-horned Ashtoreth,*' who, as the goddess of the moon, was represented with two horns. The name עשתרת occurs frequently in Phoenician inscriptions, e. g. Eṣmunazar inscription, line 17, בת לבעל (cf. Schröder, *Phoen. Gramm.*, p. 225) '*a house for the Baal of Sidon, and a house for Astarte.*' The town was probably so called as being devoted to the worship of Ashtoreth.

ואת הזוזים בהם, possibly identical, as Ges. supposed, with the זומים, Deut. 2, 20, the name given by the Ammonites to the רפאים who formerly dwelt in their land. LXX have here, ἑθνὴ ἰσχυρὰ ἅμα αὐτοῖς, reading בָּהֶם and (?) עֲזוּזִים: so Syr. Onq. has תְּקִיפֵיָא, and gives for בָּהֶם, דְּבַהֲמָתָא '*who were in Hamta(?)*.' It is quite uncertain where הם was. Tuch conjectures that Ham was perhaps the old name of the capital Rabbath Ammon.

האימים, perhaps '*the terrible ones.*' The giant abori-

gines of the land of Moab; cf. Deut. 2, 10. 11, where they are expressly mentioned as the original inhabitants of Moab.

בְּשׂוּהַ קִרְיָתַיִם = 'in the plain (of) Kiryathaim.' שׂוּהַ is found only once again, in ver. 17, both vowels being unchangeable. In Num. 32, 37. Josh. 13, 19 the town Kiryathaim is mentioned as belonging to the Reubenites; in Jer. 48, 23. Ezek. 25, 9 to the Moabites; it was situated, according to the Onomas., four hours south-west of Medeba. The ruins are called at the present day Karêyât (south-west of Makaur (Machaerus) and south of mount Attârûs). קִרְיָתַיִם = 'double town.'

6. וְאֵת הַחֲרִי. The original inhabitants of Edom, Deut. 2, 12. 22.

בְּהַרָּם 'on their mountain,' for בְּהָרָם. LXX, ἐν τοῖς ὄρεσι; so Sam. reading בהררי, cstr. pl. On the pointing, compare on 12, 15 (and add to the instances there, צִלְלֵי and פִּלְלֵי); הַרְרִי and the other forms of הַר, which resolve the doubled letter, and write it instead twice, are found in poetry and higher prose, as Deut. 8, 9: other instances of a doubled letter being written twice, instead of having a dagesh, are חֲנִנְכֶם, inf. cstr. of חָנַן, Is. 30, 18; מִדְּרוּ for מָרוּ, from מָרַד, Ez. 43, 10; עֲמִיָּם = עֲמִיָּם, Neh. 9, 22. 24 [cf. the regular emph. pl. in Chaldee עֲמִיָּא]; and in poetry, Judg. 5, 14.

אֵיל בָּאֵר = 'to the terebinth of P.:' cf. on 12, 6. אֵיל בָּאֵר is possibly identical with the well-known port Elath, on the Elanitic gulf, variously called אֵילַת, אֵילָה, or אֵילוֹת, in the O. T., which were perhaps abbreviated names of more modern origin, for the full name אֵיל בָּאֵר.

7. עֵין מִשְׁפֵּט. 'Well of judgment,' i.e. a place where decisions were given to disputants, perhaps the seat of a temple or oracle; cf. the other name קִדְשׁ. The position of Qadesh, so often mentioned in the Pentateuch, is still un-

certain. Three identifications are given by Di., p. 225: I. that it is to be sought for in 'Ain el Weibeh, near the Araba, 30° 42' lat. (Robinson). II. Identified by Prof. E. H. Palmer and others with *Ain Qudeis*, south of Elusa, four and a half hours east-south-east of the Well of Hagar. See also Trumbull (*Kadesh Barnea*, 1884). III. Identical with *Qádús*, about eleven kilometers north of mount Mâdara, in the neighbourhood of the Wady-el-Yemen, one day's journey from Hebron (Wetzstein, in Del., *Gen.*⁴, p. 574).

הַצֵּצַן תָּמַר. Cf. 2 Chron. 20, 2, where it is explained by גַּדִּי עֵין 'En-gedi,' on the west side of the Dead Sea, noted for its palm trees. Knobel prefers to identify it with עֵיר הַתְּמָרִים, Judg. 1, 16, or תְּמָרִים, Ez. 47, 19. 48, 28 (as Engedi lay too far north), on the south-east border of the Holy Land, the modern Kurnub (Di.). The name perhaps means 'Palm rows,' or 'cutting of Palms,' but this is not certain.

10. בִּאֲרֹת בִּאֲרֹת הַחֹמֶר, lit. 'pits, pits of asphalt,' i. e. 'full of asphalt pits.' On the repetition of the noun to express plurality, cf. Ges., § 108. 4; Ewald, § 313 a; M. R., § 72. 2. בִּאֲרֹת בִּאֲרֹת הַחֹמֶר. The first two nouns are both construct states to the genitive חֹמֶר; the first of the two being an instance of the so-called *suspended construct state*, cf. Ps. 78, 9 נוֹשְׁקֵי רוֹמְיָם קִשְׁתָּהּ, the second noun explaining the first, which is in the construct state, its proper genitive being קִשְׁתָּהּ; so נְהַרֵי נַחְלֵי דְבִשׁ, Job 20, 17, נְהַרֵי נַחְלֵי explaining נְהַרֵי, the נְהַרֵי being really cstr. state before the genitive דְבִשׁ; cf. Ewald, § 289 c; M. R., § 73, note a. 4; Ges., § 116. 5.

וְעַמְקֵי הַשְּׂדִים בִּאֲרֹת וְגו' Note the form of the predicate in Hebrew. In English we say, 'The valley of Siddim was full of slime pits,' i. e. we have to use some term such as, *consist of*, *contains*, or the like, to express the relation between the subject and the predicate. In Hebrew the pre-

dicare is expressed by the simple noun. ‘*The valley of Siddim was slime pits.*’ Cf. Ex. 9, 31 השערה אביב והפשתה; גבעול; Ps. 23, 5 כוסי רויה; see Driver, § 188. 2; Ges., § 106. 1. Rem. 2; Ewald, § 296 b.

המר is ‘*asphalt*’ or ‘*bitumen*,’ found in the neighbourhood of the Dead Sea and of Babylon. The Babylonians used it as mortar; cf. 11, 3.

מלך סדם ועמרה. LXX, βασιλεὺς Σοδόμων καὶ βασιλεὺς Γομόρρας, so Syriac and Sam., reading מלך סדם ומלך עמרה, which would be the more correct expression; cf. on ver. 1. The second מלך might have slipped out by homoioteleuton.

ויפלו שמה. Rather the followers of the kings, for the king of Sodom (ver. 17) at least escaped.

הָרָה. הַר, with the acc. ending ה, implying motion towards, ‘*mountainwards.*’ The form is pointed with ־ instead of — , because the short a (pathach) before a guttural with long a (qameç) is changed into é (seghol); cf. הַחָבִים for הַחָבִים, Ewald, §§ 70 a, 71. הָרָה, however, seems to be the only instance of this with ר. Delitzsch compares סָלָה for סָלָה, the doubling being resolved, and the — changed into ־ ; cf. his *Commentary on the Psalms*, 4th ed., p. 83.

11. רכש. LXX, εἶς ἵππον, reading the word as though it were רָכַשׁ.

13. ויבא הפליט. ‘*And the fugitive came,*’ i.e. ‘the fugitive or escaped one, who in such cases is wont to come,’ see esp. Ez. 24, 26. 33, 21. 22. Or it may be taken as a collective. On the article with פליט, cf. Ewald, § 277 a; Ges., § 109. Rem. 1 c; M. R., § 68; cf. המניד, 2 Sam. 15, 13.

העברי. ‘*The Hebrew.*’ עברי means ‘one who has come (from the other side of) a river.’ This name was given to the Israelites by the Canaanites; the name being Hebrew,

the people who gave it them must have spoken the same language as they did. It is only used in O. T. to or by foreigners, or when the Hebrews are mentioned in opposition to other nations. The name Israelite was, on the other hand, a patronymic, and the national name used by the people themselves. The river from beyond which the Hebrews came is, according to some, the Euphrates; so most commentators. Reuss and Stade prefer the Jordan, on the ground that the Hebrews on their return from Egypt spent some time in the land east of Jordan, leaving the Canaanites in possession of that on the west, which, however, does not seem very conclusive against the general view. LXX render it here τῶ περάτῃ, Vulg. '*Transeuphratensis*.' Another explanation is that עברי is a patronymic from עבר, mentioned as an ancestor of Abram, 10, 24. 11, 14. 15. Num. 24, 24.

באלני ממרא. Cf. on 12, 6. The terebinth grove being named after the Amorite Mamre, who possibly owned or planted it.

והם בעלי ברית אברם. '*They being confederates of Abram's*,' notice the circ. clause. The text literally translated is, '*And they (were) owners of a covenant with A.*;' בעל being used to form an adjective here, as in 37, 19 בעל החלמות = '*dreamer*;' 49, 23 בעלי חצים = '*bow-men* (lit. *arrow-men*);' בעל שער = '*hairy*,' 2 Kings 1, 8; בעל כנף = '*winged*,' Prov. 1, 17, etc. Cf. Ges., § 106. 2 a; M. R., § 79. 6 d. We have a similar expression in Neh. 6, 18, viz. בְּעֵלֵי יְבִיעָה. The phrase בעל ברית occurs nowhere else. In Judg. 8, 33. 9, 4 it is a proper name.

14. וירק is the imperf. apoc. Hif'. of רוק = '*to empty out*,' e. g. arrows from a quiver, or a sword from the sheath. Ex. 15, 9. Lev. 26, 33. Ps. 35, 3; but only in this passage and Ps. 18,

43 with a personal object. Render, 'Let loose.' LXX have ἡριθμησε, 'mustered,' reading וירק as though it were וירק, which the Heb.-Sam. has, and which seems to have been the reading of the Sam. text, which has מניצח 'recensuit,' and the Vulg. 'numeravit.'

הניכיו ילירי ביתו. הניכיו = 'his tried ones;' cf. the Arab. حَنِيكٌ = 'experienced.' LXX, τοὺς ἰδίοις, who were ילירי ביתו 'home-born slaves,' as opposed to מקנת כסף, 17, 12. 23, who were purchased slaves (or יר, Ex. 23, 12); cf. the similar phrases, בן-בית, 15, 3; בן-אמה, Ex. 23, 12.

חניך only occurs here.

דן, i. e. Laish, on the north-east frontier of Canaan, which in the time of the Judges received the name of Dan, Josh. 19, 47. Judg. 18, 29.

15. ויחלק עליהם, lit. 'he divided himself against them,' i. e. 'he divided his forces and came against them;' cf. Job 1, 17. 1 Sam. 11, 11, for a similar manœuvre. For the *cstr.* *prægnans* cf. on ver. 3.

חובה is on the left, i. e. north of Damascus, identified by Wetzstein with Hōba, twenty hours north of Damascus, in the neighbourhood of Hims and Tadmor; cf. Del., *Gen.*⁴, p. 561.

17. "עמק שוה הוא עמק וגו'" — mentioned again 2 Sam. 18, 18, as the place where Absalom set up his monument—is hardly identical with שוה קרייתים ver. 5, as it is now mentioned as though it were not previously known, and its position not far from Salem is against this identification, cf. ver. 18. It is usually—following Josephus, notice *Ant.*, vii. 10. 3, that Absalom's pillar was two stadia distant from Jerusalem—supposed to be in the neighbourhood of Jerusalem.

18. שלם. Generally taken as Jerusalem, so Del., Kn.,

Targg., Hieron. (*Quaest.*), Joseph., etc. Others, Roed. in *Ges.*, *Thes.*, and Tuch, identify it with the *Σαλείμ* of John 3, 23, cf. Judith 4, 4, which, according to Eusebius and Jerome, was eight Roman miles south of Scythopolis. In Ps. 76, 3 Salem is certainly Jerusalem. The objections to its being Jerusalem are: I. That this city lay too far south. II. That its old name was Jebus; cf. Judg. 19, 10. III. That Ps. 76, 3 is late, and the *שֶׁלֶם* there is a late poetical abbreviation of *ירושלם*. But as Del., p. 306, shews, Jerusalem would not necessarily be too far out of the way—whether Abram returned down the Jordan valley to Sodom, or took his way home through Samaria to Hebron—for the king of Sodom to come and meet him from the south-east, and Melchisedek out of Jerusalem. Further, the facts (I) that in Josh. 10, 1 there is a king of Jerusalem bearing the name *אֲדָנִי צִדְקָה*, which is very similar to *מַלְכֵי צִדְקָה*, and (II) that the comparison of David, Ps. 110, 4, with Melchisedek would be far more suitable if he were king of Jerusalem, favour the identification with Jerusalem. The other two objections are not conclusive: it is quite uncertain that *שֶׁלֶם* is a poetical abbreviation of *ירושלם*, and that the old name of Jerusalem was Jebus is not of necessity fatal, as the name *שֶׁלֶם* might have been intentionally chosen with some hidden significance, just like *מִזְרִיָּה* 22, 2.

כֹּהֵן לֵאלֹהֵי עֲלִיּוֹן = not 'the priest,' as A.V., but 'a priest of God most high,' so A.V.R.; see *Ges.*, § 115. 2 a. *כֹּהֵן* "אל ע" (which, as a proper name (cf. *אֵל שָׁדַי*), has no article) might mean 'the priest,' or 'a priest;' but to avoid this ambiguity of meaning, the construction with the prep. *לֵ* instead of the construct state, is chosen; cf. M.R., § 76 b: *Ewald*, § 292 a. 2. *עֲלִיּוֹן* in the O.T. when joined with *יְהוָה* or *אֵל*, never has the article.

19. לאל עליון . . . ברוך 'blessed by God,' ל after the passive denotes the agent; cf. 25, 21. Ex. 12, 16. Ges., § 143. 2; Ewald, § 295 c; M. R., § 51. 3. Rem. a.

קָנָה combines the double idea of *creating* and *possessing*. קנה is cstr. state, followed by two genitives; cf. M. R., § 75 c. Rem. a. Possibly the two words, heaven and earth, were conceived of as really forming one idea = 'the world,' and so construed as though one word stood; cf. on ver. 1.

The phrase קנה שמים וארץ is only found in this chapter.

19^b to 20^a are poetical in form. Notice קָנָה for בָּרָא or עָשָׂה, צָרִיד for אִיבִיד, and מִיָּנָה, which occurs twice again, Hos. 11, 8. Prov. 4, 9; all poetical words, though צרים is also found in prose writings; also the poetical sounding אל עליון.

22. הרמתני ידי. 'I lift up my hand,' i. e. 'I have, just at the moment of speaking, lifted up;' the perfect is used for the immediate past; cf. Driver, § 10; M. R., § 2. 1; the meaning being, I swear by Yahweh, etc.; cf. Ex. 6, 8. Num. 14, 30. Deut. 32, 40 כי אשׁא אל שמים ידי (of God, always יד נשא, Del.); Dan. 12, 7 וירם ימינו ושׁמאלו אל השמים; see also Ex. 17, 16.

23. אֵם. The negative particle אֵם is often used in the oath-formulae. The oath-formula would run in full somewhat as follows: 'I swear, if I do so and so, may God,' etc.; then the second portion being omitted, the first part came to have a negative force, so אֵם = I will not, and לֹא אֵם = I will (Num. 14, 28). Render, 'I lift up my hand . . . that I will not take from a thread even to a shoe latchet, of all which is thine,' i. e. 'I will not even take the most trifling thing for myself.' On this use of אֵם, see Ges., § 155. 2 f. N. B.; Ewald, § 356 a; M. R., § 168 β.

24. Render, 'Nought for me, only that which the young men have eaten, and the portion of the men who went

with me: 'Aner, 'Eschol, and Mamre, let them take their portion.' Note the *casus pendens* ענר אשכל וממרא: cf. 3, 12.

15.

1. שִׁכְרָךְ הַרְבֵּה מְאֹד = 'Thy reward shall be very great.' "אנכי שִׁכְרָךְ וְגו'" can scarcely be taken as a second predicate to אנכי, as this would rather require ו, and God cannot be regarded as Himself the reward.

הַרְבֵּה, inf. abs. Hif. of רבה, see on 3, 16. This inf. (properly a subst.), which is generally used as an adverb, is here regarded as an adj., and used as a predicate; cf. מְעַט, used quite similarly in 47, 9 מְעַט וְרַעִים הָיוּ יָמַי שְׁנַיִם חַיִּי 9; and תְּמוּל in Job 8, 9 כִּי תְמוּל אֲנַחְנוּ; Ewald, § 296 d; Ges., § 131. 2. The Sam. has a correction אֲרִבָּה, which is easier.

2. וְאֲנֹכִי הוֹלֵךְ עֵרִירִי. Circ. clause. Render, 'Seeing that I am going to die childless.' הֵלֵךְ 'e vita decedere;' cf. 25, 32. Ps. 39, 14. 2 Chron. 21, 20.

עֵרִירִי, lit. = 'bare, naked,' but restricted by usage to one who has no children; cf. Jer. 22, 30. Lev. 20, 20. 21 (all).

וּבֶן מִשְׁק בֵּיתִי = 'and the son of the possession of my household,' = my heir. מִשְׁק from מִשַּׁק, = מִשַּׁךְ = 'to draw, to hold, grasp' (the form being perhaps chosen on account of its similarity in sound to דְּמִשְׁק) = 'possession;' cf. מִמִּשְׁק, Zeph. 2, 9.

מִשְׁק בֶּן יוֹרֵשׁ in ver. 3, the construction being the same as in בְּרִית בַּעַל 14, 13, which compare. Theod., Vulg. render בֶּן מִשְׁק 'son of the manager,' i. e. 'of the steward,' מִשְׁק being from מִשַּׁק, with the meaning, 'to go about busily,' cf. מִמֶּר from מָרַר; which is possible, but forced and unsuitable. The other VSS. vary. The LXX have ὁ δὲ υἱὸς Μασὲκ τῆς οἰκογενεῦσός μου (their rendering of בֶּן בֵּיתִי in the next verse is ὁ δὲ οἰκογενεὺς μου), τῆς οἰκογενεῦσός μου being either a mistake

for *οίκιας*, or *υἶος* is a gloss, and the word should be *οἰκογενής*; see Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 17. Onq. has *וְבַר פְּרָנְסָא הָרִין דְּבַבְיָתִי* 'this nourisher who is in my house, he is the Damascene, Eliezer.' The Syriac has *ܕܘܠܝܥܝܙܪ ܕܡܫܟܐ ܕܡܫܟܐ ܕܡܫܟܐ* 'Eliezer the Damascene, the child of my house, he will be my heir.' Aq. has *ὁ υἶος τοῦ ποτιζοντος οἰκιάν μου*, connecting *מִשְׁקָה* with *מִשְׁקָה* 'a cup-bearer.'

הוּא דְּמִשְׁקַּא אֱלִיעֶזֶר cannot be rendered with the Syriac *ܕܘܠܝܥܝܙܪ ܕܡܫܟܐ ܕܡܫܟܐ ܕܡܫܟܐ* 'Eliezer the Damascene' (so A. V., but A. V. R. 'Dammesek Eliezer'), for this would either be *אֱלִיעֶזֶר* *הַדְּמִשְׁקִי*, or *אֱלִיעֶזֶר* *אִישׁ דְּמִשְׁקָה*, or *אֱלִיעֶזֶר* *בֶּן דְּמִשְׁקָה*. Hos. 12, 8, which Gesenius cites in favour of this rendering, is not conclusive, the more correct rendering there being 'Canaan! in his hand are deceitful balances;' see Dr. Cheyne's *Hosea*, Cambridge, 1884, p. 115. Besides, *בֶּן בֵּיתִי* in the next verse is not compatible with this explanation, see on 14, 14. Ewald, § 286 c, renders *דְּמִשְׁקַא אֱלִיעֶזֶר* 'Damascus of Eliezer,' i. e. the city of Damascus, regarded as a community with which Eliezer was associated; cf. *גִּבְעַת שְׂאוּל*. A view which is possible, but somewhat forced; Eliezer himself might be called *בֶּן מִשְׁקָה*, but hardly Damascus. The LXX and Vulg. translate the two words as one proper name, 'Dammesek Eliezer,' which is contrary to usage, men never having double names. Del. considers *אֱלִיעֶזֶר* as in apposition to *דְּמִשְׁקָה*, but one would hardly explain the name of a town by that of a person. Hitzig and Tuch reject *הוּא דְּמִשְׁקָה* as a gloss; but this weakens the sentence, and, as Di. remarks, leaves the choice of the rare word *מִשְׁקָה* unexplained. Di., adopting Ewald's construction, explains as follows: 'These words could be well explained if Eliezer not only had a prominent position in Abram's household, but also was closely connected with Damascus;

then we might expect, failing other heirs, that Abram's property would in time fall to him, and return with him to Damascus when he went back thither. We certainly do not read of any such relationship between Damascus and Eliezer, but then this is the only passage where Eliezer is mentioned, and the Damascenes still in Greek times boasted of their connection with Abram' (cf. Del., p. 311).

3. **הֵן לִי לֹא נִתְּתָה**. Observe the emphatic position of לִי.

4. **הוּא** is inserted for emphasis, as in 3, 12 **לִי הוּא**, which compare.

6. **וְהֵאֱמַן בִּיהוּה**. The perf. with waw conv. would here be quite out of place. It could hardly be frequentative, as believing in a person cannot be conceived of as a frequentative act. Like the other instances in 21, 25. 28, 6. 38, 5—cf. Driver, § 133. (2)—this is probably not a perfect with waw conv., but a case of the perfect with simple waw, where an imperf. with waw conversive would be expected.

"**וַיַּחְשְׁבֶה לּוֹ וְגו'**. Verbs of *considering* are either construed as here, with two accusatives, or with one acc. and the prep. ל; see M. R., § 45. 5 with § 51. 1 end; cf. 38, 15. 50, 20. In Ps. 106, 31 we have **וַיַּחְשְׁבֶה לּוֹ לְעִדְקָה**; and the LXX of this passage, *καὶ ἐλογίσθη αὐτῷ εἰς δικαιοσύνην* (as though they read ל here), is quoted three times in the N. T., Rom. 4, 3. Gal. 3, 6. James 2, 23.

8. **בְּמָה**. The pathach is not the article, but the preps. **ב, ב, ל** before many short pronouns are pointed with long *a* (cf. Ewald, § 243 b; Ges., § 102. 2 d), but with **מה** the union is still closer, the vowel being doubled and the long *a* shortened into short *a*; see also Stade, § 134 f.; cf. **בְּמָה**.

9. **מִשְׁלֹשׁ**, not 'threefold,' i. e. 'three of each kind,' as Onq. and Rashi, but 'three years old.' This is the only passage

where it occurs in this sense, but doubtless the LXX are right in reading it in 1 Sam. 1, 24 (בפר משלש for בפרים שלשה).

10. אִישׁ בַּתְּרוּ לְקִרְאָתָא רַעְהוּ = 'each piece over against the other;' cf. on 9, 5 אִישׁ אַחִיו, and the use of אִשָּׁה of inanimate things in Ex. 26, 3. 5. Ez. 1, 9. 3, 13.

וְאֵת הַצִּפּוֹר לֹא בָתַר. 'But the birds he did not divide.' צִפּוֹר is collective, as in Ps. 8, 9.

בָּתַר, a rare word; cf. Jer. 34, 18 f., possibly an allusion to this passage.

11. הָעֵיט. The generic use of the article, as in 14, 13, which compare.

הַפְּגָרִים 'the carcasses,' always used of dead bodies in Hebrew. In Syriac ܦܓܪܐ is used of a body, whether living or dead; cf. Bernstein, *Lex. Syr.*, p. 390 b. So פַּגַּר in Chaldee; cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, p. 254 b sub voce.

וַיִּשַׁב אֹתָם. Hif'. of נָשַׁב. 'And he scared them away,' lit. 'blew them away.' The LXX read the consonants as וַיִּשָּׁב אֹתָם σπνεκάθισεν αὐτοῖς.

12. וַיְהִי הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ לְבוֹא. Render, 'And it came to pass, when the sun was about to set.' The וַיְהִי does not here, combined with לְבוֹא, form the predicate to הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ, but stands alone. הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ לְבוֹא being a complete sentence in itself; לְבוֹא, the inf. cstr. with ל, being used as a periphrastic future; cf. Hos. 9, 13 וְאֶפְרַיִם לְהוֹצִיא לְהוֹרֵג 'and Ephraim is for bringing forth,' etc., Is. 10, 32 בְּנוֹב לְעַמּוֹד 'in Nob is he for tarrying;' Josh. 2, 5 וַיְהִי הַשַּׁעַר לְסַגֵּר 'and it came to pass, the gate being about to be shut;' cf. Driver, § 204 [cf. also § 165], where numerous instances are cited, and Ewald, § 217 d. b. Ges., § 132. note 1, and M. R., § 113, combine the וַיְהִי with the inf. cstr., which, here at any rate, is quite unnecessary.

תרדמה 'a deep sleep.' LXX here, and 2, 21, ἔκστασις, 'a trance.'

והנה אימה חשכה גדלה. Render, 'And a very terrible darkness,' lit. 'a terror, great darkness.' הגלה חשכה being an explanatory apposition to אימה.

נפלת. The participle is more graphic than the perfect נפלה would be.

13. בארץ לא להם. 'In a land not theirs;' cf. Hab. 1, 6 על ריב לא לו; Prov. 26, 17 לרשת משכנות לא לו. The relative, which here would stand in the nominative, being omitted, the antecedent being indefinite; M. R., § 159 a; Ges., § 123. 3 a; Ewald, § 332 a. 1; see also Wright, Arab. Gram., ii. p. 343, the construction in Arabic being the same as in Hebrew.

ועבדום. 'And they (the Hebrews) shall serve them (the strangers = the Egyptians).' LXX, καὶ δουλώσουσιν αὐτούς, cited Acts 7, 7, 'and they shall enslave them,' which would require ועבדו במ; cf. Ex. 1, 14. Jer. 22, 13. עבד with the acc. is δουλεύω; in Hif., or Qal with ב, δουλώω.

14. 'The nation which they shall serve am I judging,' Driver, § 135. 3. The participle as *futurum instans*; cf. on 6, 17.

16. ודור רביעי. 'In the fourth generation.' LXX freely, τετάρτη δὲ γενεᾶ. The construction strictly is (Ewald, § 279 d), 'And as a fourth generation, they shall return;' as in Deut. 4, 27. Zech. 2, 8. Jer. 31, 8; acc. of the complement.

17. 'And it came to pass, the sun having gone down.' השמש באה, being a circ. clause, by Driver, § 165, ויהי does not belong to באה, which is accented on the penult., and is thus *perfect* (see Driver, foot-note, p. 21), and so incapable of being combined with ויהי as predicate. Ryssel, *De Eloh. Pent. sermone*, p. 59, is surely in error when he speaks of באה as

participle ('ubi in participio באה nihil nisi notio diuturnitatis inest'). The ordinary editions and that of Baer have the accent on the penult.

ועלטה היה. The subject in the feminine is followed by the predicate in the masculine. Perhaps, as Müller suggests (M. R., § 39. Rem. a), עלטה was regarded as acc. after היה, 'and there became darkness (i. e. it turned to a darkness);' see also Ges., § 147. Rem. 2.

18. ברית . . . כרת, lit. 'to cut a covenant' = ὄρκια τέμνειν, *foedera icere*; on the difference between ברית כרת and הקים ברית, see on 9, 9.

נתתי 'I give,' lit. 'I have given;' the act is regarded as so certain of its fulfilment that it is looked upon as already accomplished; hence the use of the perfect in promises, contracts, etc.; see M. R., § 3. 1 a; Ges., § 126. 4; Dav., § 46. 2. 3; Driver, § 14; cf. 1, 29. 9, 2. 3.

מנהר מצרים. The southern boundary of the promised land is elsewhere (Num. 34, 5. Josh. 15, 4. Is. 27, 12) the נחל מצרים, the modern Wady el-'Arish, and this has led Knobel to identify the נהר מצרים of this verse with the נחל מצרים. But even if נהר can be used of smaller rivers and canals (2 Kings 5, 12. Job 14, 11. 28, 11. Ez. 1, 3. 3, 15), it seems more natural to identify the נהר מצרים here with the Nile or eastern arm of the Nile. In the time of David and Solomon (1 Kings 5, 1. 8, 65) the kingdom under their rule reached from the Euphrates to the Egyptian frontier.

ער . . . נהר פרת. Cf. Ex. 23, 31. Deut. 1, 7. Josh. 1, 4. Is. 27, 12. Notice the difference of idiom. In English we say 'the river Euphrates,' while in Hebrew we find 'the river of Euphrates;' cf. M. R., § 79. 1; Ewald, § 287 e. b, who compares the German 'Rheinfluss.'

16.

1. הַגֵּר, probably a Semitic name = 'flight' (Arabic هَجْر 'to flee,' هِجْرَةٌ 'flight'), and scarcely, as she was an Egyptian, her real name. Perhaps, as Del. suggests, she was given to Sarai by Pharaoh, cf. 12, 16; and according to this the Midrash explains the name fancifully, as = הָא אִנְרָא 'behold, a reward.' The Arab nomad tribe הַגְרִים, Ps. 83, 7, derive their name from הַגֵּר.

2. מִלְדַּת, lit. 'away from bearing,' i.e. 'so that I cannot bring forth;' cf. 18, 25 מַעֲשֵׂת; 23, 6 מִקְבֵּר כֹּתֵךְ; 27, 1 מִרְאֵחַ, etc.

אֲבִנָּה as in 30, 3; cf. Ruth 4, 11. Ex. 1, 21. Deut. 25, 9, etc.

3. עֶשֶׂר שָׁנִים לְשֶׁבֶת. ל in the place of the genitive, as in 7, 11 (and regularly in dates, Ex. 16, 1. 19, 1, etc.) שֵׁשׁ נָח מֵאוֹת שָׁנָה לַחַי נֹחַ

4. וְתִקַּל, cf. 1 Sam. 1, 6 f., is the imperf. Qal (intrans.) of a verb ע'ע' with —; cf. יָמַר, יָרַךְ, etc.; Ges., § 67. Rem. 3; Stade, § 510 g. The two forms of the imperf. are, I. יִסַּב, with the ב doubled when it ceases to be final. II. יִסַּב trans. (intrans. יִסַּב), with the ס doubled. In תִּקַּל the doubling has been given up, and compensation made by lengthening the — into —, as is usual with gutturals; cf. יָהָה, תִּרְעֶה, יָהָה.

5. חַמְסִי. 'The wrong done to me.' Obj. genitive; cf. on 9, 2. LXX, ἀδικούμαι ἐκ σοῦ; Vulg. 'inique agis contra me.'

וּבִינֶיךָ. The point over the second yod (Mass. note, בתרא על י' נקוד point on the last yod) probably marks it as superfluous, because the form elsewhere is בִּינֶיךָ, in pause בִּינֶיךָ; cf. 17, 2. 7. The other passages where points are found over words in Genesis are, 18, 9. 19, 33. 33, 4. 37, 12.

7. וַיִּמְצְאוּהָ (cf. 1 Chron. 20, 2) is the companion form of וַיִּמְצְאוּ, which, however, does not occur in this verb; cf. וַיִּצְרֶה, 37, 33; וַיִּתְּנָהּ, 2 Chron. 20, 7; the imperf. taking the affix of the third pers. fem. sing. either in the form וְיִצְרֶה or וְיִצְרֶהּ.

עַל עֵינַי הַמַּיִם, probably the well-known fountain on the way to שׁוּר; hence the article.

בְּדֶרֶךְ שׁוּר. ‘On the way to Shur;’ cf. 3, 24 עֵץ הַחַיִּים.

שׁוּר must have been somewhere on the frontier between Palestine and Egypt. Josephus, *Ant.*, vi. 7, 3, erroneously supposed that שׁוּר was Pelusium, which is סִיִן. Saadiah holds that שׁוּר was Gifâr, جفار. ‘The Arab. geographers understand by the wilderness of Gifâr (as distinct from the wilderness of the children of Israel, or Paran), the desert strip of land—which required five or six days’ journey to traverse—bounded on the east by the desert of Paran, between Rafia in Philistia, up to lake Tennis (Menzaleh), and from thence to Qulzum or Suez; in a word, the western declivity of the desert of Paran towards Egypt’ (Dillmann). The name probably means ‘wall.’

8. אֵי מִזֶּה בָּאת, more frequently the imperfect was used in questions after לָמָּה, מֵאֵין, etc., as being less outspoken and more courteous than the perfect. The perfect would= ‘Whence hast thou come?’ the imperf. ‘Whence art thou coming?’ or ‘Whence mayest thou be coming?’ Cf. Driver, § 39 γ; 42. 7.

אֵי מִזֶּה. Cf. Ges., § 150. Rem. 5; Ewald, § 326 a. מֵאֵין=simply ‘whence,’ with a verb or substantive, see Gen. 42, 7. Num. 11, 13. אֵי מִזֶּה is used similarly, but admits of being joined with a substantive, as 2 Sam. 15, 2 אֵי מִזֶּה אַתָּה; Jon. 1, 8 וְאֵי מִזֶּה עִם אַתָּה; but this is not frequent.

זה אי = 'where,' but is used rather of things (e. g. with בית, דרך, מקום) than persons; for which איפה is the common word, as in 37, 16.

11. הרה is a fem. adj.; cf. 2 Sam. 11, 5 הרה אנכי; the masc. would be הרה, like יפה, פלה (fem. pl. פלות, Deut. 28, 32).

יִלְדָּתָּהּ. The participle fem. We have here the ground form of יִלְדָּתָּהּ, which has remained unchanged, and not passed over into the segholate form יִלְדָּתָּהּ. This ground form reappears before the suffixes, e. g. יִלְדָּתִי, יִלְדָּתֶךָ, etc.; cf. Dav., § 29, esp. p. 73. 2; Ges., § 94. 2. Ewald, § 188 b, supposes that as this form is only found when the second pers. is spoken about, the word was so pointed on account of its similarity with the second pers. fem. sing. It occurs again Judg. 13, 5, 7, but in Is. 7, 14, with the third pers., the pointing is יִלְדָּתָּהּ.

וקראת. Here the mother names the child, as in 4, 1. 25. 19, 37 f., etc.; in P the father, so 5, 3. 16, 15. 17, 19, etc. וקראת is pointed in Baer and Del. edition וקראת, in the common editions וקראת. The second pers. sing. fem. is, in verbs ל"א, usually pointed *without* the shewa; cf. Ewald, § 195 b, who mentions the two ways of pointing, and cites היית as well.

12. פרא אדם. 'A wild ass of a man,' i. e. a man like the wild ass, who lives in the desert, wanders about at will, and cannot be tamed; cf. Job 39, 5. פרא is the onager, Arab. فَرَّاسٌ, *asinus ferus*; Assy. *purivu*. The construction is the same as in Prov. 21, 20 בסיל אדם; Is. 29, 19 אביני אדם; and probably Is. 9, 5 פלא יועין, 'the subordinated noun describing merely the relation of the individual [part] to the whole [genus]; the figurative to the actual,' Ewald, § 287 g; cf. M. R., § 79. 2. Rem. a; Ges., § 113.

ראי in *a* as a substantive, and paraphrasing *b*. Onq. has a paraphrase, אַתָּה הֵיאָ אֱלֹהִים הַיּוֹי כּוֹלֵא אֶרְצִי אֲמַרְתָּ אִפֵּי אֲנִי שְׁרִיתִי אַתָּה הֵיאָ אֱלֹהִים הַיּוֹי כּוֹלֵא אֶרְצִי אֲמַרְתָּ אִפֵּי אֲנִי שְׁרִיתִי, 'thou art God, seeing everything; for she said, Here indeed I begin seeing (=living, so some moderns, Tuch, etc., a sense ראה does not bear), after He revealed Himself to me.' The Vulg., with 'Profecto hic vidi posteriora videntis me,' takes אחרי, like אַחֲרַי in Ex. 33, 23; cf. 2 Sam. 2, 23 באחרי החנית.

14. 'Therefore they called the well, well of the Living one, who sees me' (lit. *my seer*, see above). קרא is third pers. used impersonally (cf. 11, 9) = 'Man nannte den Brunnen.' The rend. 'Well of the living-one-of seeing,' רָאִי as pausal form of רָאִי (see above), i. e. 'where one sees God and remains alive,' requires a reading רָאִי לְחַיִּי, which is unnecessary, and presupposes a compound (Wortcomposition), which is impossible in Hebrew (Di.). Wellhausen, *Hist. of Israel*, Eng. transl., p. 326, proposes to emend the text thus, הַנֵּם אֱלֹהִים רֵאִיתִי וְאִי אַחֲרַי רֵאִי 'have I seen [God and remained alive] after [my] vision?' cf. for the popular belief that one who sees God died, 19, 17. Ex. 3, 6. 19, 21. Mich. emends בְּאֵר לְחַיִּי רָאִי 'well of the jawbone (i. e. rock? Judg. 15, 19) of vision.' With the naming of the well, cf. 22, 14. 28, 19. 32, 31.

The position of the Hagar-well is uncertain, see some identifications that have been proposed in Del., *Gen.*⁴, p. 321 f., who decides for its position on the road from Beer-sheba, along the 'Gebel-es-Sûr, which stretches from north to south.

בְּרָד, position unknown.

17.

1. אֱלֹהֵי שָׂדֵי. אֱלֹהֵי. The oldest and most general name of God, and restricted as a rule to Yahweh, but occasionally

used of other gods. The word is most common in poetry, elsewhere always with some qualifying word, such as עֲלִיּוֹן, נֹר, or as here שְׂדֵי: it only takes the suffix of the first person אֲלִי. On אֵל, see Appendix II.

שְׂדֵי, according to P the name of God revealed to the Patriarchs (see Di., *Exodus*, p. 54), 28, 3. 35, 11 (cf. 43, 14). 48, 3 (cf. 49, 25). Ex. 6, 3 (in all these passages, except 49, 25, with אֵל). In poetry and the poetical style (Ruth 1, 21) we find שְׂדֵי alone, it is very often found in Job. Explained by the Rabbins as = גִּי (·גִּי) · שֵׁ 'he who is sufficient,' 'the all-sufficient,' but such compounded names are not found in Hebrew; so Aq., Symm., and Theod. Roediger in Ges., *Theol.*, supposes that שְׂדֵי, which never has the article, is a plural form with the suffix of the first pers., like אֲדוֹנֵי, Ges., § 121. 6. Rem. 4; but אֲדוֹנֵי is the only clear instance of this. Del. supposes the ending י_ is an adjectival ending, as in יְכִי (*Zakhaïos*), הַגִּי, יִשְׂרָאֵל; but this would presuppose a noun שֵׁד 'power,' which does not exist: and the adjectival suffix י_ is only found in a few proper names, and may admit of another explanation. Gesenius took it to be a *pluralis majest.*, but it is doubtful whether a plural ending י_ exists. Most moderns take it as an intensive adjective formed from שֵׁדָה = שְׂדֵי, with the pathach preserved, as in שֵׁדָה = שְׂדֵי, and the proper name שֵׁדָה, Ewald, § 155 c. The form is thus similar to the nominal formation קָטַל; cf. הָרַי, חָלַט, with — instead of —; possibly, as Wright suggests, pointed thus by the Massoretes in accordance with the Rabbinical etymology of the word. The LXX render it always in Genesis by a pronoun, here ὁ Θεός σου; cf. 49, 25 and Ex. 6, 3 (αὐτῶν), but elsewhere (often in Job) they have sometimes παντοκράτωρ, sometimes ἰκανός. The Vulg. has 'omnipotens' here.

לפני = 'before me,' i. e. under my eyes, in consciousness of my presence, 24, 40. Is. 38, 3; different from התהלך את, 5, 22. 6, 9.

2. במאד מאד. Cf. on 7, 19.

4. 'As for me, behold my covenant is with thee, and thou shalt become a father of a multitude of nations.' אֲנִי is prefixed, as in 6, 17. 9, 9, for emphasis; it is opposed to וְאַתָּה, in ver. 9.

והיית לאב. והיית, perf. with waw conv., though no imperfect precedes; compare the companion construction of waw conv. with the imperf. when no perfect precedes. So 26, 22 ופרינו; Ex. 6, 6 והוצאתי; Driver, § 119 a.

אב, cstr. state for אָבִי, is chosen on account of the name Abraham. This form is also found in proper names, e. g. אבשלום, אבנר, but not so frequently as the longer form אבי.

המון גרים. המון is used here instead of the more usual אברהם, 28, 3. 35, 11, on account of the etymology of אברהם suggested by the writer in ver. 5.

5. ולא יקרא . . . את שמך. The acc. after the passive verb as in 4, 18, which compare.

אֲבָרָם = 'exalted father;' not identical with אבירם, where the י is the suffix of the first person, the name meaning, 'my father is high.' Di. offers an alternative explanation, 'Father of Raham or Ram,' i. e. 'the Height.' The etymology of the second name אברהם given in the text is really no etymology, but merely a play on the words; cf. the etymologies given for קין, נוח; the name being changed into Abraham, because thus pronounced, an assonance was produced between the המון of אברהם and אברהם. אברהם does not = *Father of a multitude*. The etymology is quite unknown. Di. suggests that it may

be a different—perhaps older—perhaps more Aramaic pronunciation of אברם, since with רום, ראם and רהם could be interchanged. A word רהם, = 'multitude,' does not exist; the connection with the Arabic word رَهْمٌ, mentioned by the Arabic lexicographers, being very precarious.

נתתוך . . . אב המון. נתן, with two accusatives, in the sense 'to make any one anything,' Ges., § 139. 2; M. R., § 45, 5; so 1 Kings 14, 7. 16, 2. Jer. 1, 5. The other construction with ל in place of the second acc. is equally common; cf. ver. 6. 48, 4. Is. 49, 6, etc.

לדרתם = 'throughout their generations' (successively); the plural suffix is used, זרע being taken collectively. למשפחותם would be 'throughout their families' (contemporaneously). Formulae of this kind are common in P; so 8, 19. 10, 5. 20. 31. 32. 13, 3.

להיות לך . . . אחרוך, i. e. Abraham's descendants will stand in a close relationship to God as His servants, and be under His protection. He will protect and specially favour them, they will serve and worship Him as their God, Ex. 6, 7. Deut. 26, 17.

8. מגורים, אהזה, and ארץ כנען are all marks of P. Also the phrase, 'Thou and thy seed after thee,' vers. 7-10.

10. המול לכם כל זכר. המול is inf. abs. Nif. of מלל or מול; cf. Ges., § 67. Rem. 5. The infinitive abs. being emphatically prefixed to indicate a command; cf. Ewald, § 328 c; M. R., § 106. 1 c; cf. Ex. 20, 8. Render, 'Every male to be circumcised,' i. e. 'let every male be circumcised.' Ges., § 131. 4 b. 7, prefers taking the inf. abs. as an imperative.

11. ונמלאתם is Nif. of מלל for נמלותם; a root נמל does not exist; cf. תמני, Num. 17, 28, for תמוני; הטלת, where one

would expect הַטִּילוֹת, Ewald, § 234 e; Ges., § 67. Rem. 11; cf. on 11, 6. The perf. with waw consec. is in continuation of the imperative, which is implied in the last verse in the inf. abs. הַפּוֹל, Driver, § 112 (cf., however, § 113. 1, where it is explained on the analogy of Is. 5, 5).

בֶּשֶׁר is acc. of respect, as in 3, 15, which compare. See also 1 Kings 15, 23. חָלָה אֶת רַגְלָיו.

12. יְפוֹל is imperf. Nif. of מָלַל (for יָמַל, the regular form, Job 14, 2); cf. תִּדְבְּרֵי, Jer. 48, 2, as though they were from verbs עָוָה; cf. Ges., § 67. Rem. 5; Stade, § 504 e, who apparently regards יְפוֹל as from a verb מוֹל.

וּבֶן שְׁמֹנֶת יָמִים. 'Every male, when eight days old, shall be circumcised for you throughout your generations.' בֶּן שְׁמֹנֶת יָמִים is a secondary predicate; cf. Is. 65, 20 כִּי הִנְעַר בֶּן מֵאָה שָׁנָה יָמוֹת; Job 15, 7 הֲרֵאִישׁוֹן אָדָם תּוֹלֵד 7; cf. Driver, § 161. 3.

בֶּן נָכַר, a mark of P; so ver. 27. Ex. 12, 43. Lev. 22, 25. מִקְנָה and כָּל זָכָר are also characteristic of P.

13. The repetition after ver. 12 is in the legal style of this writer (P); cf. 26 f.

14. 'The uncircumcised male who shall not be circumcised as to the flesh of his foreskin—that soul shall be cut off from his people, my covenant he has violated.' The subject is placed first for emphasis, as a *casus pendens*, and taken up by הַנֶּפֶשׁ הַהוּא, instead of by a pronoun; cf. M. R., § 132 a; Driver, § 197. Obs. 2; Ex. 12, 15 and 17, 12.

עֲמִים = 'fellow-tribesmen.' A peculiar use, found chiefly in one or two stereotyped phrases.

וּנְכַרְתָּהּ. Being cut off from one's fellow-tribesmen is probably to be explained of sudden removal by God, rather than death inflicted by man; cf. Di., p. 245 et sq.; Del.,

p. 326. Tuch explains it as=מות יומת, but if this were here intended, it would probably have been added; cf. Ex. 31, 14.

ונכרתה is perf. with waw consec., after a *casus pendens*; cf. Ex. 12, 15 ונכרתה . . . וכל אנל; Deut. 17, 12 והאיש אשר יעשה; cf. Driver, § 123 a. ונכרתה הנפש והוא ההיא is a phrase characteristic of P; so Ex. 12, 15. 19. Lev. 7, 20 ff. Num. 9, 13.

הַפֶּר. Pausal form for הִפֵּר, so הִתוּ, Is. 18, 5; Ewald, § 93 a. 2; Stade, § 393 b. β; Ges., § 29. 4, c. note.

15. שָׂרִי. The name שָׂרָה, = 'princess,' being the feminine form of שָׂר. The meaning of שָׂרִי is not so clear; the LXX have Σάρα; so שַׁוָּא סִינִי. Possibly the name שָׂרִי was an older form of the name שָׂרָה (with טִ fem.=ה־), (Di.). The ending י is hardly an adjectival ending; cf. on שָׂרִי, ver. 1. Another explanation is that שָׂרִי is from שָׂרָה, 32, 29; and so = 'the contentious, disputing one;' cf. שָׂרָה=שָׂרִי, which is quite possible, but cannot be regarded as certain. Other explanations are that שָׂרִי = 'the merry one,' שָׂרָה 'one that makes merry, delights (erfreuende),' from שָׂר, which Di. says violates both the laws of sound and form; or from the Arabic سَرَوٌ سَرِي 'generosus fuit,' so 'the liberal, generous one.'

17. הַלְבֵן. ה interrog. pointed with dag., acc. to Ges., § 100. 4; Dav., § 49. 2.

וּאִם שָׂרָה הַבֵּת. The repetition of the interrog. ה of the first member, after the וּאִם of the second member of a double interrogative clause, is uncommon [this seems to be the only instance]; cf. M. R., § 145; Ewald, § 324 c.

18. לֹא יִשְׁמַעְאֵל יַחִיָּה = 'if Ishmael may live before thee,' and as no apodosis follows, 'would that Ishmael might live;' cf. Driver, § 142; M. R., § 147; Ewald, § 329 b; Ges., § 136. 2.

לו is also followed (exceptionally) by the imperative, 23, 13, or jussive, 30, 34.

19. ילדת . . . וקראת. The participle used as future, followed by the perf. with waw consecutive; so 6, 17. 48, 4; Driver, § 113. 1. The accent on וקראת is not thrown forward on to the last syllable by the waw conv., in accordance with the rule, that in the perfect Qal of verbs ל"א and ל"ה the waw conv. does not cause the accent to move forward, Driver, § 110. 4; cf. והיית, ver. 4.

20. ולישמעאל. 'And with regard to I.;' cf. 19, 21 אשר חלם 'also with regard to this matter;' 42, 9 הזוה להם 'which he dreamt about them;' cf. M. R., § 51. 5. Rem. b; Ges., § 154. 3 e.

ברכתי . . . והפריתי, the perfect with waw conv., after a prophetic perfect; so Deut. 15, 6 והעבטת . . . ברכך; Num. 24, 17 וקם . . . כוכב . . . דרך, Is. 2, 11. 43, 14; cf. Driver, § 113. 1 ad fin.; M. R., § 24. 2 b. Rem. b; Ewald, § 342 b. 2.

נשיאם. נשיא is almost confined to P in the Pent. and Josh.

ונתתיו לגוי גדול; cf. 48, 4 לקהל עמים, both in P.

23. וימל is imperf. Qal of מלל or מול, the form with waw conv. and retrogression of the tone being the same in both verbs.

בעצם היום הזה. Cf. on 7, 13.

24. בהמלו is either reflexive, 'in his circumcising himself,' i. e. 'when he circumcised himself,' or better passive (see ver. 25, where Ishmael could hardly circumcise himself), 'in his being circumcised,' i. e. 'when he was circumcised.'

26. נמול 'is the Nif'. of מול, formed from the form מלל,' Ewald, § 140 a; see also Ges., § 72. Rem. 9; Stade, § 397 b, γ;

cf. נָחַת from חָתַת, נָחַר from חָרַר. Stade and Ges. both regard it, however, as the Nif'al proper of מוּל, comparing גָּעוּר from עוּר.

18.

1. "והוא ישב פתח וגו'". Circ. clause, 'While he was sitting at the door of the tent.' LXX excellently, καθήμενος αὐτοῦ. "פתח וגו'" is acc. of place, in answer to the question 'where?' Ges., § 118. 1 b; M. R., § 41 b.

כַּחַם הַיּוֹם, LXX μεσημβρίας; cf. 1 Sam. 11, 9 השמש; Neh. 7, 3 עַד חַם הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ; see also on 3, 8 לְרוּחַ הַיּוֹם = 'at even.' חַם הַיּוֹם = 'the heat of the day,' i. e. noon.

2. יִשְׁתַּחֲוֶה, in pause יִשְׁתַּחֲוֶה, is the apocopated imperf. of הִשְׁתַּחֲוֶה, a rare Hithpalel form, from שָׁחַ 'to bow,' formed by a repetition of the third radical; cf. מִטַּחֲוִים in 21, 16. יִשְׁתַּחֲוֶה is for יִשְׁתַּחֲוֶה, analogous to the segholate form שָׁחוּ for שָׁחוּ; cf. Ges., § 75. Rem. 18; Stade, § 502 a.

הָאָרֶץ = 'to the ground,' lit. 'earthwards,' הָ (as the position of the tone shews) being the הָ of motion.

3. אֲדֹנָי is marked by the Massorettes קֹדֶשׁ 'holy,' i. e. that God is here intended; cf. the Mass. note on 19, 2, and Ges., § 121. 6. Rem. 4; Stade, § 359 e. The Sam. read the word אֲדֹנָי 'my lords,' as is clear from the use of the plural suffixes in בעיניכם for בעיניך, and עבדכם for עבדך, and the plural תעברו for תעבר. Dathe and Tiele correct the text into אֲדֹנָי; so Di., who points out that in this verse Abraham addresses one of the three men whom he, possibly, recognised as the leader of the party (contrast ver. 4, where all are addressed). Di. further considers that Abraham, in the course of the conversation, first discovers the divine character of his guests (13), for if he had perceived it at once, the honour he paid them would really be no honour, and the offering of food

and drink without meaning; further, it would have been no trial of Abraham's faith, had he known that it was Yahweh who conversed with him. Tuch, Knobel, and Del. follow the Massoretic punctuation.

אִם נָא מִצְאָתִי. אִם נָא is added to shew the precativ nature of the entire sentence; cf. 30, 27. 33, 10. So Ges. in *Th.*, p. 834 b, 'si—quod opto magis quam sumere audeo—gratiam inveni.'

4. 'Let there be taken a little water, and wash your feet, and rest yourself under the tree.' The feet were washed before every meal; cf. 19, 2. 24, 32, Luke 7, 44.

תַּחַת הָעֵץ 'under the tree.' It is not necessary to take העץ collectively, as three people could very well sit down under one tree.

5. וְאֶקְחָהּ. The doubling may fall away from a letter pointed with sheva, provided it be not one of the aspirates ב, ג, ד, כ, פ, ת, in which case the doubling is usually (but not always) retained; cf. Ges., § 20. 3 b; Dav., § 7. 4, foot-note. Accurate texts mark the omission by placing Raphe (־) over the letter whose doubling is given up; cf. Stade, § 41. Render, 'And let me take a morsel of bread.' בַּת לֶחֶם 'a morsel of bread;' cf. Judg. 19, 5; a modest way of describing the rich meal he will set before them (Di.).

כִּי עַל כֵּן = 'quandoquidem,' Ewald, § 353 a; 'for as much as,' the reason being adduced the second time by the demonstrative 'therefore' after the relative [conjunction]; cf. Ges., § 155. 2 d.

6. הָאֵהֳלָה is accented on the penult., as the locative ה does not take the accent, and אֵהֳלָה is a seg. noun: cf. Ges., § 90. 2 a; Dav., § 17, 3. In B. and D. there is a misprint here (see *Jesaias*, p. v, note): read הָאֵהֳלָה. So נִנְבָה, חֲרִשָּׁה (13, 14).

”ביהרי שלש וגו’. ‘Bring quickly three measures of meal;’ מהרי, lit. ‘hasten.’ מהר with the acc. is rare, so 1 Kings 22, 9. Is. 5, 19.

קמה is the acc., ‘three measures in meal,’ or ‘as to meal,’ the acc. perhaps being an acc. of respect; cf. Ges., § 118. 3. M. R., § 71. 4, regards קמה as in apposition, so apparently Ewald, § 287 i; cf. Ex. 9, 8 מלא חפניכם פיה; Ruth 2, 17 איפה שעורים.

סלת is in apposition to קמה, defining it more ‘closely,’ ‘meal, fine flour.’ Three seahs of meal made an ephah, something over an English bushel. The large quantity was probably intended as a mark of distinction; cf. 43, 34. 1 Sam. 9, 22 f.

עגות. ג without dagesh; cf. B. and D., *Gen.*, p. 77. The cakes were small round cakes, baked in the hot ashes, so called from their round form. Greek ἐγκρυσφίαι, which word the LXX use here.

9. אֱלִיּוֹ, the points above the word probably point to a various reading לו; cf. on 16, 5.

10. כעת היה = ‘next spring;’ explained, ver. 14, by למועד; in 2 Kings 4, 16. 17 we have the fuller phrase למועד היה. כעת היה. LXX have κατὰ τὸν καιρὸν τοῦτον (from ver. 14) εἰς ὥρας, i. e. ‘about this time next year.’ The phrase literally translated = ‘about the time when it revives,’ i. e. ‘when this time lives again;’ cf. Ges. in *Th.*, p. 470. היה does not qualify עת, which has the article, but is predicate; cf. Ex. 9, 18 כֶּעֶת מָחָר ‘about the time when it is to-morrow.’ In 17, 21 we have the time stated more clearly, למועד הזה בשנה האחרת, cf. 1 Sam. 1, 20 לתקופות הימים.

והוא אחריו. ‘It (the door) being behind him (the speaker);’ so the Massoretic text. The LXX, ὄπισθα ἕπισθεν αὐτοῦ, took הֵיא here as הוּא, cf. on 2, 12, and referred it to Sarah.

11. באים בימים = 'well on in days.' So 24, 1; Josh. 13, 1, etc.; cf. *προβεβηκότες ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις* in Luke 1, 7.

חדל, as ver. 11 is a circumstantial sentence, explanatory of what takes place in ver. 12, חדל must be translated 'there had ceased.'

12. 'And Sarah laughed within herself, saying, After I have grown old, shall I have pleasure, my lord being old?' LXX, ἐγέλασε δὲ Σάρρα ἐν ἑαυτῇ, λέγουσα, Οὐπω μὲν μοι γέγονεν ἕως τοῦ νῦν. ὁ δὲ κύριός μου πρεσβύτερος; leaving אחרי untranslated, and apparently taking בְּלִתִּי = בְּלִתִּי, and עֲדָנָה = עֲדָנָה = עֲדָהֶנָּה.

Contrast the explanation of P in 17, 17.

14. היפלא מיהוה דבר. 'Is anything too hard (lit. wonderful, extraordinary) for Yahweh?' cf. Jer. 32, 17 לא יפלא ממך כל דבר, and ver. 27 היפלא ממך כל דבר; Deut. 17, 8 כי יפלא ממך דבר.

מִיְהוָה. י pointed with shewa: so B. and D. Ordinary texts have מִיְהוָה, without shewa. The shewa is inserted according to the Massoretic note, quoted by Del. in his *Commentary*, p. 551 מוֹשֶׁה מַפִּיק וּכְלָב מַכְנִים, i.e. 'Moses leads (Israel) out, and Caleb leads them in,' which is the Massoretic way of saying that the letters ה, ש, מ make the א of אדני—the vowels of which are always in the text placed under יהוה—heard; while after the letters ב, ל, כ, the א is not sounded as a consonant, e.g. בְּיָהוָה = בְּאֲדוֹנָי for בְּאֲדוֹנָי; the latter part of the rule holds good for ו. So וְאֲדוֹנָי = וְאֲדוֹנָי for וְאֲדוֹנָי; cf. Ges., § 23. 2; Stade, § 112 b. note (who gives instances, e.g. Mic. 4, 13. Neh. 8, 10. Gen. 40, 1 (cf. B. and D.'s editions here), where אֲדֹנָי does not become אֲדֹנָי).

15. לא כי צחקת. 'nay, for (i.e. but) thou didst laugh,' לא כי, as in 19, 2. 42, 12.

16. ואברהם הלך עמם לשלחם 'while Abraham

went with them to bring them on their way,' circ. clause, as in vers. 12 and 18; cf. Driver, § 159; M. R., § 152.

לְשַׁלְּחָם. Cf. on 12, 20.

17-19. 'And Yahweh said (i.e. to Himself), Shall I hide from Abraham what I am going to do, (18) seeing that Abraham will surely become a great and powerful nation, and all the nations of the earth will bless themselves in him? (19) For I have chosen him, to the end that he may charge his sons, and his house after him, and that they may observe Yahweh's way, by doing righteousness and right; so that Yahweh may bring upon Abraham that which He hath promised concerning him.'

17. הַמְנַסָּה, the participle preceding the subject, as in Num. 11, 29. Ez. 9, 8; see Driver, § 135. 4.

18. הָיוּ יְהוּה. הָיוּ is for הָיָה, the Hōlem quiescing in a Waw, instead of a He; cf. 26, 28 וָאֵן; Is. 22, 13 שָׁתוּ; see Ges., § 75. Rem. 2; Stade, § 623 a.

וַנְּבָרְכֵנו. Cf. on 12, 3.

19. יָדַעְתִּיו. Cf. Amos 3, 2. Hos. 13, 5, יָדַע here, and in the two passages cited, = 'to know a person thoroughly,' and so, after becoming well acquainted with him, 'to choose or select him,' almost = בָּחַר; cf. a similar use of προγινώσκειν, Rom. 8, 29.

לְמַעַן אֲשֶׁר, stronger than כִּי, = 'eo consilio ut,' 'with the intention of,' 'to the end that,' A.V. R., always introduces the intention; so Lev. 17, 5. Deut. 3, 4. Jer. 42, 6; Ewald, § 337 b; Ges., § 155. 2 c. A.V. is incorrect, לְמַעַן always = ut.

לְמַעַן אֲשֶׁר יִצְוָה . . . וְשָׁמְרוּ. Cf. on 12, 13.

The LXX have ἡδὲν γὰρ ὅτι συντάξει, misunderstanding the text; so Syriac and Vulg.

20. 'And Yahweh said, The cry concerning Sodom and Gomorrha, it is indeed great; and their sin, it is indeed very heavy.'

זעקה is *gen. object.*, as in 9, 2. 16, 5, which compare.

זעקה and הטאתם are *casus abs.* רבה has the accent on the penult., and so is third pers. perf. from רבב. Wellhausen renders, 'It is a report about Sodom and Gomorrha, that their sin is great, that it is very heavy;' ו before הטאתם being struck out; which Di. rejects on the grounds that זעקה does not mean 'a report,' and that God would not listen to a report.

כי = 'indeed' or 'it is the case that,' as in Is. 7, 9. Ps. 118, 10; cf. Ewald, § 330 b; unless it is assumed, with Lagarde and Olshausen, that שמעה has fallen out at the beginning of the sentence. The LXX omit כי.

21. 'I will indeed go down, that I may see whether they have altogether done according to the cry concerning them, that has come up to me.'

הבאה is pointed by the Massoretes as perfect, with the article; cf. Ewald, § 331 b; Ges., § 109. Rem. As this usage is rare outside the later books of the Bible, Ewald, l. c., and Di. reject it here, and point as a participle. M. R., § 92. Rem. a, points out (citing cases, e.g. 1 Kings 11, 9 and Gen. 12, 7) that the Massora itself varies in this point. The participle here is more natural, and only involves a change in the position of the accent, from the penult. to the last syllable; so 46, 27.

עשו כלה, separated by the accents, so to be taken alone, בשלחו כלה גרש יגרש אתכם 11, 1 Ex. כלה = 'omnino,' as in Ex. 11, 1. In other passages עשה כלה means 'to utterly destroy;' cf. Nah. 1, 8. Zeph. 1, 18.

22. **וַאֲבֵרָהֶם עֹדְנֵי עֵמֶד לְפָנַי יְהוָה.** According to a tradition found as early as the *Mechilta* (on Ex. 15, 7) and often repeated, this verse originally ran **וְיִהְיֶה עֹדְנֵי עֵמֶד לְפָנַי אֲבֵרָהֶם**, but was altered as too anthropomorphic; **עֵמֶד לְפָנַי** having the notion of serving. But 19, 27 is against this, and all the versions follow the text as we now have it, and read **לְפָנַי יְהוָה**. This and similar corrections, called *Tiqqune Sopherim*, are not to be regarded as real various readings, but merely as changes proposed by the Massoretes, to avoid expressing anything in the text that was repugnant to them; cf. Strack, *Proleg. Crit.*, p. 87. Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 331, considers that **יְהוָה עֹדְנֵי עֵמֶד לְפָנַי אֲבֵרָהֶם** is the real reading, citing the Talmud and Midrash in support of his view. There are eighteen such passages in the O. T., but only this one in Genesis. Cf. further, Strack, l.c., who cites authorities; also Bleek's *Introduction*, 4th ed. [Wellhausen], p. 624. The eighteen instances are given in Levy's *Chald. W. B. über die Targ.*, ii. p. 553 b; the larger Massora, on Num. 1, 1; and in the *Dikduke Ha-t'amim*, edit. Baer and Strack, Leipzig, 1879, § 57.

24. **וְלֹא תִשָּׂא לְמִקּוֹם לְנִשְׂאָ לְ** **נִשְׂאָ**, sc. **עוֹן** or **פְּשָׁע** = 'to take away the sin for any one,' so 'to forgive.'

25. 'Far be it from Thee to do according to this thing, to slay the righteous with the wicked, and that the righteous should be as the wicked, far be it from Thee; shall the Judge of all the earth not execute judgment?'

וְהָיָה . . . לְהִמָּיֵת, the cstr. inf. breaking off into a perfect with waw conv.: the perfect is used here, as a possible case is stated, and not a fact; in which case we should find the imperf. with waw conv., as in 39, 18 **וְאִקְרָא . . . כְּהַרְיָמִי**; cf. Driver, § 118 (see the preceding section); Ges., § 132.

Rem. 2 : so 27, 45 ושכח . . . ער שוב, Ex. 1, 16 . . . בילדכן כטוב . . . ואמרת 28 Sam. 13, 2 וראיתן.

הללה = '*profanum* (lit. *in profanum*), *nefas tibi sit*.' Del. compares the Targ.-Talmud חלין הוא לך '*it is unholy for thee*.' The ה is not the feminine ending, as the word is accented on the penult.; cf. 44, 7 חלילה לעבדיך.

מעשת, מן as in 16, 2, which compare.

27. עפר ואפר. Notice the alliteration, and cf. תהו ובהו, 1, 2. נע ונד, 4, 14. הוד והדר, Ps. 21, 6.

28. יחסרון, with the fuller ending הן-, preserved in Aramaic, and in classical Arabic (as the ordinary form); but only occasionally found in Hebrew; cf. Ges., § 47. 3. Rem. 4; Stade, § 521 a, a. See on 3, 3.

חסר being one of the verbs of *abounding* and *wanting*, takes the acc.; cf. Ges., § 138. 3 b; Ewald, § 283 b.

30. "אל נא יחר לאדני וגו'." 'O let not my Lord be angry, and let me speak.' יחר is apoc. from יחרה; the jussive is here used in asking permission; cf. M. R., § 8. 2; Ges., § 127. 3 b; Driver, § 49 β; so the cohortative ואדברה: cf. 33, 14. 50, 5.

19.

1. שני המלאכים = not as A. V. '*two angels*,' but '*two of the angels*,' i.e. two of the three mentioned in 18, 2. On the construction, cf. Ges., § 120. 1 a; M. R., § 96 b.

ולוש ישב. Circ. clause, as in 18, 1; cf. also on 9, 18. Render, '*While Lot was sitting in the gate*.' The city gate in the east was usually a vaulted entrance, with large recesses on either side; here business matters were settled, and the affairs of the town and all public matters discussed and arranged; cf. 23, 10. 13. 34, 20. Deut. 21, 19.

2. הִזְנָה זָנָה, with short *e* (Seghol) (only here; cf. Ewald, § 91 d) and *dagesh forte conj.*, is unique.

אֲדָנִי is marked by the Massorettes בפתח וחול"נ" (i. e. 'pointed with pathach and profane,' i. e. 'not used of God').

סורו נא וגו'. It was regarded as a neglect of the duties of hospitality to allow strangers to spend the night in the street; cf. Judg. 19, 15, and contrast with this inhospitality, 24, 25. Ex. 2, 20. Judg. 13, 15. 'The modern Arabs consider it a privilege to lodge strangers who may come to them, and often disputes arise as to who shall have this honour.' Kn. cited by Di.

והשכמתם. והשכמתם prop. = 'to shoulder or place on the shoulders,' i. e. to put one's baggage on the beasts of burden, which was done early in the morning, so 'to rise early, to resume the journey.' The verb is a denom. from שכם 'a shoulder,' or rather 'the portion of the back between the shoulders,' where any burden would be carried.

לָא, with emphatic or euphonic dagesh; an unusual use of dagesh, generally considered to be for the purpose of securing a clear and distinct pronunciation of the consonant: cf. Stade, § 40 b, c; Ges., § 20. 2 a. Rem. 2; so קומו צאו, ver. 14; ויאמרו לָא, 1 Sam. 8, 19; cf. Ex. 12, 31. Deut. 2, 24. It is only found in accurate editions and MSS. See also Del., *Commentary on Ps.* 94, 12, 4th ed.

3. מִשְׁתָּה, prop. 'a drinking feast,' then generally 'a meal or banquet;' cf. 21, 8. 26, 30.

מִצוֹת = 'sweet or unsoured,' i. e. 'unleavened cakes' (from מצין 'to lap, suck'), and so more quickly prepared.

4. טרם וגו'; cf. on 2, 5. Render, 'They had not yet gone to sleep, when the men of the city, the men of Sodom, surrounded the house, both young and old, all the people in a body.'

נִסְבַּר is third perf. pl. Nif'. of סָבַב = נִסְבְּבִי. Nif'al being originally Naf'al; the pathach being thinned down into hireq; cf. Dav., § 25. Rem. a; Ges., § 51. 1; and compare the Arabic vii form اِنْقَاتَلَ (in-qatala) and such Heb. forms as נִעְשָׂה, נוֹשֵׁב = נוֹשֵׁב (Dav., § 9. 1. Rem. b; Ges., § 24. 2 b); Wright, *Gram. Arab.*, i. p. 42. נִסְבַּב becomes נִסְבַּב, and the pathach under the nun, standing in an open syllable before the tone, becomes tone-long qameç: cf. Stade, § 86. 3; Dav., § 6. 2 b; see also Ges., § 27. 2 a.

מִקְצֵה = lit. 'from the end,' i.e. including the whole, so in Jer. 51, 31. Cf. Judg. 18, 2. 1 Kings 12, 31 (not 'of the lowest,' but 'of the whole body of the people').

5. הַלַּיְלָה = 'to-night,' 'this night,' the article, as in הַיּוֹם, הַשָּׁנָה, has a demonstrative force: Ges., § 109. Rem.; Ewald, § 277 a. 3. So in 30, 15.

6. דֶּלֶת . . . פֶּתַח. דֶּלֶת = 'the door of the house.' פֶּתַח = 'the entrance (gate).'

7. אַחֵי = 'my friends;' cf. 29, 4. Judg. 19, 23.

8. אֵל for אֱלֹהִים is found eight times in the Pentateuch and once besides (1 Chron. 20, 8), and always (except Chron. l. c.) with the article; see Ges., § 34; Dav., § 13. Rem. a; Stade, § 171 b. It is commonly explained as an archaism, but this is very doubtful.


9. גִּשְׁ-הָלֵאָה. So in correct editions; the ordinary editions have הִלָּאָה, with metheg, which is wrongly placed, as the tone is on the penult. LXX, 'Ἀπόστα ἐκεῖ. Vulg. 'recede illuc;' cf. Is. 49, 20 גִּשְׁ-הָלֵאָה 'stand away.' Render, 'Stand back.'

וַיֵּאמְרוּ הָאֶחָד . . . שְׂפוֹט. 'This one came in to sojourn and goes on playing the judge;' cf. 31, 15 וַיֵּאבֵל גַּם אֶבֶל אֶת כַּסְפּוֹ

'and goes on to eat up our silver;' Job 10, 8 והבלעני 'and yet thou goest on to swallow me up;' cf. Driver, § 79, 'The action or its results continuing into the writer's present;' also Ewald, §§ 231 b, 342 a. 1 a.

שָׁפוּט. When the inf. abs. follows the finite verb, it generally denotes a continued or lasting action; cf. Ges., § 131. 3 b; Ewald, § 280 b.


הָאָהָר. The הָ is the article, *not* the ה interrogative.

11. בסנורים 'with blindness;' not absolute blindness, but temporary loss of sight; the word only occurs once again, 2 Kings 6, 18. Elsewhere we find עִוְרוֹן, Zech. 12, 4. Deut. 28, 28. סָנַר [Safel of נֹר (נֹרָה)] = 'to make blind,' which occurs in Aramaic; cited by Levy, *Chald. W. B. sub voce*, as occurring in Num. 16, 14 Targ. Ps.-Jon. (חסנור). The article is according to Ges., § 109. 3. Rem. 1 c. LXX, ἀποασία; Onq. שְׁבִרְרִיָּא 'fatuitas;' Syr.  'illusiones.'

מִקְטָן וְעַד גְּדוֹל. Cf. 1 Sam. 5, 9. 30, 2; lit. = 'from a little one even unto a great one,' i.e. 'all,' every one being regarded as either small or great, so the two extremes would embrace all persons. Cf. further, Dietrich, *Abhand. zur hebr. Gram.*, p. 206, who gives a list of other expressions for 'all,' 'nobody;' cf. Ex. 11, 5.

מִן . . . וְעַד, as in 14, 23, and often.

12. מִי לְךָ פֶּה = 'Who hast thou still here?' i.e. 'hast thou any more belonging to thee in Sodom besides those in thy house?'

חָתָן, perhaps collective = 'sons-in-law;' but the singular without the suffix is strange, as one would expect חָתָנִיךָ, which the Syr. has, . Di. conjectures that וּבָנִים was

inserted between יך and חתנ, as no mention is made elsewhere of sons which Lot had before the destruction.

13. **כִּי מִשְׁחַתִּים אֲנַחְנוּ**. The participle is used of future time, with the subject following, as in 3, 5, which compare.

זַעֲקֵתֶם = 'the cry concerning them;' cf. on 18, 20.

אֵת פְּנֵי יְהוָה as in ver. 27. 33, 18. Ex. 34, 23. 1 Sam. 1, 22. Ps. 16, 10.

14. **לִקְחֵי** 'who were to take,' 'the takers of his daughters;' so Ewald, § 335 b, better than (LXX, Targ. Ps.-Jon., Kimchi, Del.) 'who had taken,' which would be more naturally expressed by **אָשֶׁר** and the perf.; and Lot would scarcely leave his married daughters in Sodom without calling them away.

קוֹמוּ צֵאוּ. **צ** with emphatic dag. (see on ver. 2), to ensure the clear pronunciation of the **צ** between the two u-sounds.

הִיָּה כֵּן . . . = 'to appear as,' for which there is no proper word in Hebrew; cf. 27, 12. 40, 10.

15. Render, 'And when the morning dawned, the angels urged Lot, saying, Take thy wife and thy two daughters that are with thee, lest thou be swept away in the punishment of the city.'

וּכְמוֹ הַשְּׁהַר עָלָה. **כְּמוֹ** = **כְּאִשֶׁר** is rare and poetical, Is. 26, 18. Ps. 58, 8; cf. M. R., § 60; Ewald, § 337 c.

וַיֵּאָצֵר is imperf. Hif'. of **אָצַר**. The waw conv. is used after a time determination: so 22, 4 **בַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי וַיֵּשֶׂא אַבְרָהָם**, 1 Sam. 21, 6 **בַּצֹּאתִי וַיְהִי**, Josh. 22, 7 **וַיְבָרְכֶם . . .** **כִּי שִׁלַּחְתֶּם**; cf. Driver, § 127 b.

הַנִּמְצָאֹת, lit. 'who are found,' i.e. who are with thee in thy house; cf. 1 Sam. 13, 15. 21, 4. The participle may often be rendered by the present, as in 4, 10. 16, 8. 37, 16, etc. **הַנִּמְצָאֹת** probably refers to **אֵת אִשְׁתְּךָ** as well as to **וְאֵת שְׁתֵּי בְנוֹתֶיךָ**.

פֶּן תִּסָּפֵה. כָּפָה = 'to be snatched off, carried away;' so 1 Sam. 12, 25. Num. 16, 26.

עוֹן = 'punishment;' cf. 4, 13.

16. וַיִּתְמַהֲמַהּ, imperf. Hithpalpal of מָהָה; cf. יתמרמר from מָרַר, Dan. 8, 7; Stade, § 503.

יְהוָה בַּחֲמִלַת יְהוָה = 'through Yahweh's sparing him,' i. e. 'because Yahweh spared him,' the subject of the inf. construct following in the genitive; cf. M. R., § 117; Ges., § 133. 2: also Ps. 133, 1 שִׁבְתָּ אַחִים, Is. 47, 9 בַּעֲצַמַת חֲבֵרֶיךָ. The inf. cstr. חֲמִלַת has the fem. cstr. ending; here intentionally, as יְהוָה בַּחֲמִלָה could not be taken as construct state with a following genitive. The inf. with fem. ending ה is common, especially in particular words, viz. אֶחָבָה, יִרְאַה, שָׁנְאַה, occasionally we find קָרַבָה, דָּאָבָה, דָּבָקָה, חָזַקָה; cf. Stade, p. 339; Ges., § 45. 1. Rem. b.

17. אֵל תִּבִּיט. The jussive form תִּבִּיט would rather be expected after אֵל, but cf. Ps. 121, 3 אֵל-יְנוּם, 1 Sam. 25, 25 אֵל יִשָּׂים; cf. Driver, § 47; Ges., § 127. 3 c.

18. אֲדָנִי, noted by the Massoretes קִדְשׁ; אֱלֹהִים does not of necessity imply that Lot did not recognise that Yahweh was speaking with him, and that אֲדָנִי = 'my lords,' pausal form of אֲדָנִי; as in ver. 19 we find singular suffixes. The Syr. and Saadiah regard אֲדָנִי as חוּל, but the LXX, Onq., Vulg., and Sam. follow the Massoretes; so Del.

19. פֶּן-יִתְדַבְּקֵנִי. Imperf. with the so-called union vowel pathach instead of tserē; cf. 29, 32 יִתְדַבְּבֵנִי; see Ges., § 60. Rem. 2; Stade, § 636 b, who cites 1 Kings 2, 24 קִרִּי וַיִּתְּיִבֵנִי, Is. 56, 3 יִבְדִּילֵנִי, Job 9, 18 יִשְׁבִּיעֵנִי.

רָמַי. ו with pretonic qameç, the tone is not thrown forward, because the word is in pause; see Driver, § 110, 2. מִתִּי = מִתִּי. The perf. with waw conv., as in 3, 22, which compare.

22. **צוּעַר**, probably one hour south-east of the Dead Sea, in that portion of the Araba which is now called Ghor es Şâfia. In 14, 2. 8 its older name is given, **בִּלְע**; cf. Wetz. in *Del. Gen.*, p. 564, and Di., p. 256, who remarks that the name was still in existence at the time of the Crusades (Segor; cf. LXX, *Σηγώρ*); the Arab geographers call it Soghar or Zoghar, and the Dead Sea, the Sea of Zoghar. Grove, however, in Smith's *Dict. of the Bible*, art. *Zoar*, brings forward evidence in favour of a site for Zoar on the north of the Dead Sea.

23. **צַעֲרָה** . . . **הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ**. 'The sun had risen over the earth when Lot came to Zoar;' cf. 44, 3. 4 . . . **הֵם יֵצְאוּ הָעִיר** **הָאֵמֶר**, Judg. 3, 24 **וַיֹּסֵף אִמֶּר**, Judg. 18, 3. Time or place determinations are generally subordinated to the main clause in a sentence; here and in the other instances cited, the time determination is co-ordinate, and placed first for emphasis; cf. Driver, § 169.

24. **מֵאֵת יְהוָה מִן הַשָּׁמַיִם**, the fire and brimstone are described as proceeding both *from Yahweh* and *out of heaven*, **מֵאֵת יְהוָה** and **מִן הַשָּׁמַיִם**; cf. 2 Kings 1, 12. Job 1, 16. Di. comparing Mic. 5, 6 supposes that **מֵאֵת יְהוָה**, like the Greek *ἐκ Διός*, was an archaic expression, similar in meaning to **מִן הַשָּׁמַיִם**, by which it is explained; cf. Ewald, *Hist. of Israel (Eng. Trans.)*, ii. p. 157.

מֵאֵת = *παρά* with the genitive. **מִן** = *ἐκ*.

25. **וַיִּהְיֶה כֵּן**. **וַיִּהְיֶה כֵּן** is a technical word, always used of the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrhah (to which there is at least an allusion even in Is. 1, 7), just as **מִבּוּל** is always used of the great Deluge.

¹ Baer and Delitzsch's reading **וַיִּצְרָה** should be corrected into **וַיִּצְרָה**: see *Jesaias*, p. v, note.

26. מאהריו 'from behind him,' i.e. Lot; she was following Lot, and out of curiosity turned her face away from him.

28. עלה is pluperfect, 'The smoke had begun to ascend before Abraham looked.'

כקוטר הנבשן. Cf. Ex. 19, 18: 'Like the smoke of a smelting furnace.' כבשן = 'a smelting oven.' הנור 'a baking oven.'

29. בהפך את הערים. The inf. cstr. always governs its *object* in the accusative; cf. M. R., § 116; Ges., § 133. 1.

30. במערה. 'In the cave;' either the generic article, as in 14, 13. 15, 11, or possibly a particular cave was meant, which the narrator could speak of as 'the cave;' cf. 16, 7.

33. תִּשְׁקִינָה. *Scriptio defectiva* for תִּשְׁקִינָה; cf. Ges., § 47. Rem. 3. This defective form is found occasionally, but by no means uniformly, in the Pent. It occurs also elsewhere, e.g. in Ezekiel תִּהְיֶינָה four times, with the full form also four times.

בלילה הוא. בלילה without the article—which would be expected, as לילה is defined—as being in itself definite; cf. 30, 16. 32, 23. 1 Sam. 19, 10 (all): see Ges., § 111. 2 b; M. R., § 85. Rem. c. This is a very rare variation for the more usual בלילה ההוא.

וּבְקִוְמָה with a point on the ו; cf. ver. 35 בְּקִוְמָה. Possibly the point refers to a various reading בְּקִוְמָה, as in ver. 35. Hieron., *Quaest.*, ed. Lag., p. 30 (Appendix to the *Genesis Graece*), says: 'Denique Hebraei quod sequitur *et nesciuit cum dormisset cum ea et cum surrexisset ab eo* adpungunt desuper quasi incredibile et quod rerum natura non capiat coire quempiam nescientem;' cf. Strack, p. 88.

34. מִמְחַרְת. The ending ת, in this word is quite unique, and apparently without analogy; cf. Stade, § 308 d; Ges., § 80. Rem. 2 b, classes it among nouns with the bare fem.

ending ת, e. g. Canaanitish names of towns, cf. בעלת, אפרת, המת, and other names such as תִּמְנַת, prob. abbreviated for תִּמְנַתָּה, also נַחֲלַת, prob. for נַחֲלַתִּי; cf. Stade, l. c. Olshausen, *Grammar*, § 38 c, explains the form by contraction out of מִנְאֲחֶרָה. Another explanation (cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, i. p. 330) is that it is contracted out of יום אחר; cf. the Aramaic word יום חֲרָא = יומִחֲרָא.

36. מאביהן. מן is used intentionally instead of ל (38, 18 b), on account of the etymology in ver. 37; cf. vers. 32, 34.

37. מואב. LXX add the explanation, λέγουσα, Ἐκ τοῦ πατρός μου, i. e. מִאֲב 'from the father' (like קין, נוח, not a strict etymology): another explanation is that the word is compounded of מִי for מִי 'water,' = מוי in Aramaic (cf. Is. 25, 10, and the prop. name מה דבא, Moab. Stone, l. 8 = Biblical מִי דבא, see Schlottmann, *Siegessäule Mesa's*, Halle, 1870, p. 41; and מופעת, Ktb., Jer. 48, 21; Kri, מִיפֶעַת (cf. 1 Chron. 6, 64), a town of the Levites, in the territory of Reuben, which afterwards belonged to Moab), and אב, the meaning being then 'semen patris.'

38. בן-עמי = 'son of my people,' after which the LXX insert, λέγουσα, Υἱὸς γένους μου. עִמּוֹן = 'belonging to the people' (abs. then concrete) bears the same relation to עַם as אֲנִיּוֹן to אָגַם (Del.).

20.

1. ארצה הנגב. 'To the land of the south.' ה locative and the construct state; cf. Ges., § 90. 2 a; Stade, § 342 d: so Ex. 4, 20 ארצה מצרים; Gen. 43, 17 ביתה יוסף; Deut. 4, 41 מזרח שמש; other instances in Genesis are (?) 24, 67. 28, 2. 46, 1.

גרר, probably three hours south-east of Gaza, where

Rowlands found ruins bearing the name Chirbet-el-Gerâr ; on a broad and deep torrent, Ġurf-el-Ġerar, flowing from the south-east ; cf. Del., p. 344 ; Di., p. 262.

2. אֵל-שָׂרָה = 'concerning Sarah:' so ver. 13 לִי אֵמְרֵי ; 32, 30 לְשָׂמִי ; cf. Ob. 1, 1. Ps. 3, 3 ; see Ewald, § 217 c ; Ges., § 154. 3 e.

3. וְהוּא בַעֲלַת בַּעַל 'she being married;' so Deut. 22, 22 אִשָּׁה בַעֲלַת בַּעַל ; cf. Is. 62, 5.

4. וְהָיָה גַם צְדִיק (emphasizing the following צְדִיק) = ὁμῶς ; cf. Ewald, § 354 a ; Ges., § 155. 2 a : so ver. 5 וְהָיָה גַם הוּא, ver. 6 וְאֶחָשֶׁךְ גַּם אֲנִי.

6. מַחֲטָוֹ for מַחֲטָא, written according to the sound. Cf. 2 Kings 13, 6 הַחֲטָיִ ; Jer. 32, 35 הַחֲטָיִ. The Kri gives the ordinary form מַחֲטָא. Cf. Stade, § 143 e. 2, who regards it as a mistake, like רָאוּ for רָאָה, יִצְתִי for יִצְתָּי, both written according to their pronunciation. Ges., § 75. 21 c, takes הַטּוּ as an instance of a verb ל"א following the form of a verb ה"ה, which is hardly correct, as then the form would be הַטּוּחַ.

לֹא נִתְּנָה לְנָגַע = 'I did not allow thee' etc. 'To let,' or 'allow,' is always expressed thus in Heb.; so 31, 7 וְלֹא נָתַן 7, אֱלֹהִים לְהַרְעֵךְ ; Judg. 1, 34 כִּי לֹא נָתַן לְרֹדֵת ; see Ges., § 142. 2. foot-note 1.

7. נְבִיא, as under God's protection ; cf. Ps. 105, 15. נְבִיא possibly comes from a root נבא = 'to express,' 'announce' (so quite commonly in Assyrian). The original meaning of נבא is active, not passive, 'the announcer, speaker,' i. e. of God, or of divine mysteries: the form being an intensive form of the part. act.; cf. the Arabic نَبِيٌّ or نَبِيٌّ, a noun of the form نَعِيْلٌ, with an active meaning like the Heb. חָסִיל. Cf. Wright, Arab. Gram., i. p. 151, and Fleischer in Del., Gen.⁴, p. 551. Bleek (Einleitung⁴, p. 306) thinks that נבא may be connected

with נבע = 'ebullire,' and so 'to pour forth words,' 'to speak,' נביא = 'speaker.' This however is doubtful, as נבא does not actually occur with the meaning 'gush up.' See a good note on נביא in Robertson Smith (*Prophets*, p. 389 f.).

וחיה. Cf. on 12, 2.

והאם אינך משיב. 'And if thou art not going to restore;' the affirmative form would be אם ישך משיב; cf. 43, 5 ואם אינך משלח, neg.; and ver. 4 אם ישך משלח, affirmative. אין and יש are often used thus in hypothetical sentences.

9. מעשים אשר לא יעשו = 'deeds which ought not to be done;' cf. 4, 2 ואתה תמשל בו 'thou shouldst rule over him;' 34, 7 וכן לא יעשה 'so it should not be done;' Ex. 10, 26 מה נעבד 'how we ought to serve;' see Driver, § 39 a; M.R., 7. 2 b.

10. מה ראית = 'what hadst thou in view?' so ראה in Ps. 66, 18 און אם ראיתי.

11. כי אמרתי, supply עשיתי from עשית in ver. 10 '(I did it) because I thought;' cf. 27, 20. 31, 31. Ex. 1, 19.

רק. Knobel and Del. render (I) 'surely;' cf. Num. 20, 19 רק אין דבר; Ps. 32, 6 רק לשטף מים רבים. (II) Di. prefers to translate 'only,' 'at least,' not considering the two passages above cited decisive.

אין יראת . . . והרגוני. 'There is no fear of God in this place, and they will kill me;' cf. 2 Sam. 14, 7 וכבו 'and they will quench;' Gen. 34, 30 ואני מהי מספר ונאספו עלי.

12. 'And she is also really my sister, the daughter of my father, only not the daughter of my mother, so she became my wife;' cf. on 12, 19. Such marriages, though prevalent among other nations, e. g. in Canaan, Assyria, Persia, Egypt, Arabia, were forbidden in the Levitical law, Lev. 18, 9. 11. 20, 17. Deut. 27, 22. From this passage it would seem that they were customary also among the Hebrews in pre-Mosaic times.

13. **התעו . . . אלהים**, marked by the Massorettes קדש, to shew that the true God is meant, although the verb is plural; possibly the plural here is used because Abraham was conversing with a heathen. Cf. 35, 7, where probably the angels are included under **אלהים**; see Ewald, § 318 a; Ges., § 146. 2. note. The Heb.-Samaritan text here, and 35, 7, read the singular. The later books of the Bible also avoid the plural; cf. Neh. 9, 18 with Ex. 32, 4.

16. **אלף כסף** = 'a thousand shekels of silver.' שקל omitted (cf. 8, 5) by Ges., § 120. 4, 2.

The thousand shekels of silver could hardly be the value of the presents given to Abraham, ver. 14, for such a valuation of these gifts is here quite out of place; besides the present here mentioned is given to Abraham for Sarah, and on account of the insult she had suffered; whilst the one in ver. 14 was for Abraham himself.

הוא refers to the gift, not to Abraham; as in the latter case, no reason would be assigned for giving the thousand shekels.

לכל אשר אתך. The simplest way of taking these words seems to be Dillmann's. 'It is for thee, a covering of the eyes for all those who are with thee.' **לכל**, introducing those whose eyes are to be covered, and **לך** being *dat. comm.* Del. renders, 'See, this may be unto thee a covering of the eyes (i. e. a propitiation which makes thee blind to what has happened, and this as though it had not happened; cf. 32, 21) to all who are in thy neighbourhood (a propitiation, in regard to their mistress who had been insulted).' The propitiation being made first to Sarah, and then to those who were with her. But this would rather require **ולכלל**, which LXX read *καὶ πάσαις*. Knobel and Tuch adopt another rendering,

'With regard to all that which has befallen thee;' which is forced and unnatural.

וְאֵת כָּל is separated from אֵתְךָ by the accents, and connected with וּנְכַחְתָּ. Render, 'And among all (or "in the judgment of all," cf. Is. 59, 12) so art thou justified.' וְאֵת כָּל is taken by Tuch in close connection with אֵתְךָ 'for all which has happened (with) unto thee and (with) unto all.' But וְאֵת כָּל can hardly mean this, and nothing had happened אֵת כָּל 'with all.'

וּנְכַחְתָּ probably ought to be pointed וּנְכַחְתָּ, which is the usual form of the second pers. fem. perf. in a ל guttural verb, as the ו is difficult before anything but a second perf. To take the form נְכַחְתָּ as second perf., comparing לְקַחְתָּ, 30, 15, is unsafe, as לְקַחְתָּ there is probably infinitive. Del. takes נְכַחְתָּ as participle fem. standing for וּנְכַחְתָּ אֵת, and renders, 'and with all justified,' viz. 'thou standest justified.' The Mass. points seem to intend וּנְכַחְתָּ as perf. third pers. fem., which is pointed without shewa under the ת, to distinguish it from the second pers. fem. perf., which has shewa. The rendering would then be, 'And with regard to all—so it is settled,' but then the feminine would not be necessary.

הוֹכִיחַ = 'to procure right for any one,' so 'to justify, set right;' cf. Is. 11, 3. 4. נְכַחְתָּ may here be either pass. of הוֹכִיחַ, with an acc. of the thing, Job 13, 15. 19, 5 = 'to represent as right;' or passive of הוֹכִיחַ לְ, Job 16, 21 'to procure right for.' Ges. renders וּנְכַחְתָּ 'and she stood reproved,' which is possible, but unsuitable, as Abimelech is not reproaching Sarah. It is possible that the sentence is corrupt.

כִּסּוּת עֵינַיִם; cf. כִּפַּר פָּנִים in 32, 21. Job 9, 24 נִפְטִיחַ and כִּסּוּת, Ps. 85, 3, of covering sin; כִּפַּר, Jer. 18, 23, of atoning, lit. covering, guilt; cf. also 1 Sam. 12, 3 וְאֵעֲלִים בּוֹ עֵינַי (if the Mass. text is correct here, but see LXX, and

'life;' נעורים 'youth' (all nouns denoting space of time); cf. נשמים, צוארים, nouns denoting extension of space; see Ges., § 108. 2 a; Ewald, § 179 a; cf. § 178 a, b; Stade, § 324 b.

3. הַנּוֹלֵד לּוֹ. Participle Nif. of יָלַד, with the qameç shortened into pathach, on account of the following maqqef. Others take it as perf. Nif. with the article הַנּוֹלֵד = נוֹלֵד; cf. on 18, 21.

יצחק. Other nouns (mostly proper names) formed after the analogy of the imperfect Qal are יַעֲקֹב, יִדְלָף, 22, 22, יִבְשָׁם, יִבְשָׁם, יִבְשָׁם, יִבְשָׁם, according to the pointing usually adopted by modern scholars (see App. II). יְשִׁימָה and יְשִׁימָה (in בית הישימות) are abstract nouns of this form; cf. also יוֹנָה = 'a dove,' יַחְמוּר 'a stag;' see Stade, § 259 a.

5. בְּהַנּוֹלֵד לּוֹ. On the construction, cf. on 4, 18. בְּהַנּוֹלֵד is accented on the penult. to avoid the concurrence of two tone-syllables, this shortens the tseré in the last syllable into seghol; see Ges., § 29. 3 b; cf. on 4, 17. Two tone-syllables may however come together, if the first word is separated from the second, by a distinctive accent.

6. 'And Sarah said, Laughter hath God prepared for me, every one who hears will laugh at me.'

לִי יִצְחָק. יצחק with ל = 'to laugh at' (as is clearly shown by Job 5, 22. 39, 7. 18. 22. Ps. 59, 9), here rather in astonishment than in derision. A.V. 'will laugh with me;' so VSS., but incorrectly.

יִצְחָק, with shewa resolved into hāteph pathach; so even where no guttural follows, as Jer. 22, 15 הַתְּמַלֵּךְ; Gen. 2, 12. 23.

7. "מי מלל וגו'." Render, 'Who could have said to Abraham?' 'The perfect is used in questions to express astonish-

ment at what appears to the speaker in the highest degree improbable,' Driver, § 19; cf. 1 Sam. 26, 9 וּנְקָה . . . מִי שֶׁלַח; Num. 23, 10 מִי מִנֵּה עֵפֶר; Gen. 18, 12 הִיְתָה לִי עֵרְנָה; see also Ges., § 126. 5 a; M. R., § 3. 2. note a. LXX have ἀναγγελεῖ, 'who shall say.' Tuch renders, 'who says,' which would rather be יֵיבֵר or יִמְלֵל, admitting, however, that the perfect in interrogative sentences usually refers to a past act.

מִלַּל is only found in Hebrew three times again, viz. in Ps. 106, 2. Job 8, 2 and 33, 3. It is a common word in Aramaic for the Heb. דִּבֵּר.

הַיְנִיקָה בָּנִים. 'Sarah will suckle children:' is prophetic perfect, Driver, § 14; Ges., § 126. 4; M. R., § 3. 1 b; cf. Num. 24, 17 דֶּרֶךְ כּוֹכֵב; Is. 5, 13 לִבְנֵי גֵלָה עֲמוֹי; Jer. 2, 26 בֶּן הוֹבִישׁ בֵּית יִשְׂרָאֵל; and often.

בָּנִים is generic plural, as in Ex. 21, 22 . . . כִּי יִנְצוּ אֲנָשִׁים; Is. 37, 3 כִּי בָאוּ בָנִים וְגו'.

8. וַיִּגְמַל, pausal form, Ges., § 51. Rem. 2; Stade, § 504 b, who gives other instances, viz. וַיִּתְחַנֵּן, וַיִּנְפֹּשׂ, וַיִּתְחַמֵּד, וַיִּתְחַנֵּן.

9. מִצְחָק. So Baer and Delitzsch, who compare Ex. 32, 6 לְצַחֵק, Deut. 32, 11 יִרְחֹף, where the ordinary editions point (as they do here) with tsere; see Stade, § 88. 3 a. מצחק (LXX παίζοντα, with the gloss μετὰ Ἰσαὰκ τοῦ υἱοῦ αὐτῆς; so Vulg. 'ludentem cum Isaac filio suo') = 'playing, sporting;' cf. Ex. 32, 6. Judg. 16, 25: צחק in the Piel being always used in a good sense. A.V. here and 39, 14 render צחק by 'to mock;' so Kimchi and some moderns, e.g. Baumgarten, Keil. Cf. Gal. 4, 29, where the apostle speaks of Isaac and Ishmael, ὁ κατὰ σάρκα γεννηθεὶς ἐδίωκε τὸν κατὰ πνεῦμα.

11. עַל אֹדֶת = lit. 'on account of the circumstances,' then simply, 'on account of;' 'a rare and antiquated form of expression' (Di.).

12. **וַיִּקְרָא לְךָ**; cf. 48, 16 **וַיִּקְרָא בָהֶם שְׁמִי**. Render, 'In (or through) Isaac will a seed be called for thee,' i. e. 'in the line of Isaac will those descendants from thee come, who shall bear thy name, and as such be heirs of the divine promise, viz. the Israelites, who were the offspring of Abraham, chosen by God,' Kn. in Dillmann; cf. Rom. 9, 7. Heb. 11, 18; see also 17, 21.

13. Construction as in 47, 21. 13, 15; cf. note on 13, 15, also Ges., § 145. 2.

14. **וַהֲחַמַּת מַיִם**. **חַמַּת**, cstr. state of **חָמַת**, a word which only occurs in this chapter, perhaps so pointed (Tuch) to distinguish it from **חָמַת** 'anger.'

שֵׁם is perfect, 'he placed it,' i. e. the skin of water. The clause is a circumstantial clause, appended without any connecting particle; cf. 44, 12 **הַחֹל**; 48, 14 **שָׁבַל**; Judg. 6, 19 **שֵׁם**; Driver, § 163; Ewald, § 346 a; M. R., § 153.

וְאֵת הַיֶּלֶד is acc. after **וַיִּתֵּן**, not **שֵׁם**, which at any rate would not suit the present narrative. LXX seem to have read **עַל שִׁכְמָהּ אֵת הַיֶּלֶד** **וַיִּשֵׂם**, *καὶ ἐπέθηκεν ἐπὶ τὸν ὤμουν αὐτῆς τὸ παιδίον*, but badly, as Ishmael, cf. 17, 25, would be about fourteen years old. Vulg. better, 'tollens panem et utrem aquae, imposuit scapulae ejus, tradiditque puerum.'

וַיִּתְּעַ is imperf. Qal apoc. from **תָּעַה**. **יִתְּעַ** = **יִתְּעַ**, then with a helping vowel **יִתְּעַ**, and lengthening hireq into tsere, **יִתְּעַ**; cf. Ges., § 75. Rem. 3; Driver, p. 60. foot-note 2 (where the analogy between the apocopated forms of verbs **ל'ה** and the segholate nouns is noticed); Stade, § 545 d; cf. § 489 b.

בְּמִדְבַּר בְּאֵר שֶׁבַע, i. e. the southern frontier of Canaan.

16. **לָהּ** is ethic dative; common with verbs of motion, esp. in the imperative; cf. **לֵךְ לְךָ**, 12, 1. 22, 2; **לָבוּ לָכֶם**, Josh. 22, 4; see M. R., § 51. 3. Rem. a. 3; Ewald, § 315 a.

“הרחק וגו’ = ‘*about a bow-shot off*,’ lit. ‘*distant like the shooters with the bow.*’ הרחק is inf. abs. = ‘*making far*;’ cf. Ex. 33, 7. Josh. 3, 16; see Ewald, § 280 a; used here as an adverb (Ges., § 100. 2 d) = ‘*at a distance.*’

מטהוי is participle plural, cstr. state, Pilel from טהה; cf. Ges., § 75. Rem. 18; Stade, § 155 b; also § 279, the word only occurs here.

אראה במות. ראה with ב, as in 44, 34; see Ges., § 154. 3 a. ad fin.

17. באשר הוא = במקום אשר, 2 Sam. 15, 21. Jer. 22, 12, and often.

18. החזיקי את ירך בו, lit. = ‘*make fast thy hand on him*,’ i.e. ‘*take hold of him*,’ which is more commonly expressed without יר, החזיק ב.

19. באר מים ‘*a spring of water.*’ באר = ‘*a spring*,’ בור ‘*a cistern for rain-water.*’

וַתִּשֶׁק is apoc. imperf. Hif’. of נִשְׁקָה, without a helping vowel; cf. on ver. 15: so ותבך, ver. 16, apoc. imperf. Qal of בכה.

20. ויהי רבה קשת. Three renderings are given: (I) ‘*And he became, as he grew up, an archer*;’ cf. Job 39, 4 יחלמו בניהם ירבו בבר; Zech. 10, 8 ורבו כמו רבו (N.B. רבה = ‘*to become great*,’ ‘*gross werden*;’ רבב = ‘*to be great*,’ ‘*gross sein*’); so Hieron., which is not necessarily excluded by ויגדל. (II) Del. renders, ‘*And he became a shooter, (viz.) a bow-man*,’ קשת being a closer definition of רבה, and רבה = רבב (cf. 49, 23) and רמה (cf. Jer. 4, 29. Ps. 78, 9); cf. 13, 8. 1 Kings 1, 1; see Ges., § 113. (III) ‘*And he was growing up an archer*,’ i.e. became every day a more skilful bow-man; cf. on 4, 17, which perhaps is not quite so natural as I or II. LXX render ἐγένετο δὲ τοξότης, but whether they read the text

קִשָּׁת or רִבֵּה or קִשָּׁת, passing over רִבֵּה, is quite uncertain. The Vulg. takes רִבֵּה = 'juvenis,' 'factusque est juvenis sagittarius.' Onq. has וְהָיָה רִבֵּיָא קִשָּׁתָא, which probably ought to be rendered, 'And the youth became an archer' (רִבֵּיָא being Onqelos' translation of הנער or הילר in vers. 8, 14, 15, 16, 17); cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, ii. pp. 395, 400. Di. adopts the reading of Kn. קִשָּׁת רִבֵּה 'a shooter of the bow,' 'a bow-man;' cf. the rendering of the LXX: but there seems to be no real reason for altering the text. A passage somewhat similar to this is 1 Kings 5, 29 נִשְׂא סַבָּל. The Itureans and Kedarenes, both descendants of Ishmael, cf. 25, 13. 15, were celebrated as bow-men; cf. Is. 21, 17.

21. במדבר פארן. The desert-plateau lying between the Sinaitic peninsula, Idumea, and Canaan, bounded on the south by Gebel-el-Fêh, west and north-west by Shur, north by the wilderness of Sin, on the east by the Arábah and the Elanitic Gulf.

22-34.

22. LXX have here and ver. 32, και 'Οχοζάθ ὁ νυμφαγωγὸς αὐτοῦ, probably a gloss which has crept in from 26, 26.

23. 'And now swear unto me by God here, that thou wilt not lie unto me or my offspring or offshoot.'

הנה is not 'these things,' but 'here;' cf. 15, 16: properly 'hither' (German, *hier, hierher*).

ולניני ולנכדי, only Job 18, 19. Is. 14, 22: notice the alliteration, and cf. on 18, 27. The two words always stand together = 'proles et soboles.'

25. והוכח. Di. explains this on the ground that this conversation took place before the actual swearing, but one does not quite see why the writer should have used a perfect

with waw (apparently weak waw, as waw consecutive seems quite out of place here) to express this, and not the perfect separated from the waw by some intervening word; Driver, § 76. Obs. The perfect here seems to be the same as והאמין in 15, 6; cf. the note there.

באר המים, on the article, cf. on 16, 7.

26. גם קב לא תקבנו גם ברך לא תברכנו וגם . . . לא . . . וגם . . . לא = 'neither . . . nor;'
cf. Num. 23, 25

29. לְבַדְּהָנָה (for the form לְבַדְּהָנָה) = לְבַדְּהָנָה; cf. the rare forms בְּלִנְיָה, 42, 36; Prov. 31, 29, and בְּלִנְיָה, 1 Kings 7, 37; הנה, which as a separate pronoun is pointed הִנֵּה, being affixed; cf. Ges., § 91. 1. Rem. 2; Stade, § 352 b.

הנה, not 'here,' but as in 25, 16. Zech. 1, 9. 4, 5; cf. Driver, § 201. 3; Ges., § 121. 2, where the pronoun is described as a sort of substitute for the copula.

30. כי את שבע, כי, like the *ὄτι recitantis* in Greek, introduces the words of the speaker.

תהיה לי לעדה. תהיה does not refer to כבשות, but to the whole transaction, 'it shall be for a witness;'
cf. Job 4, 5. Mic. 1, 9.

The number seven had for the ancients a special significance as the sacred number; cf. Ex. 37, 23. Lev. 4, 6; so solemn oaths were attested, either by the presence of seven witnesses (Her. iii. 8) or by the slaughter of seven animals, as here; cf. the word נִשְׁבַּע 'to swear,' probably a denom. from שבע 'seven' = 'to use or call seven' (*sich besiebenen*), so the name באר שבע may mean 'well of seven,' or 'well of an oath,' שבע = יהושבע; cf. the proper names אֵלִישַׁבַע, אֵלִישַׁבַע.

31. באר שבע is the modern Bir-es-Seba' (بئر السبع), twelve hours distant from Hebron. Ruins are still to be seen

there, in the neighbourhood of which are two cisterns of excellent water.

33. **אִשָּׁל** = 'tamarisk,' Arab. **أشج**. The renderings of the VSS., LXX *ἄρουρα*, Aq. *δενδρόν*, Sym. *φύτεία*, Onq. **אִשָּׁל**, were perhaps intentionally adopted for the same reason as **מִיִּשְׁרָאֵל** in 12, 6 for **אֵלֹהִים**; see the note there, ad fin.

22.

2. **אֵת יְהִירֶךְ** 'thine only one.' LXX *τὸν ἀγαπητόν*; cf. Prov. 4, 3, LXX. According to Frankel, *Einfluss*, p. 7, the rendering of the LXX was intentional, as Abraham had another son Ishmael. Isaac is called a **בֶּן יְהִירֶךְ**, as the son of Abraham by his own wife Sarah, not as the only remaining son after Ishmael was sent away; all through the narrative Isaac and Ishmael are regarded by the writer as standing in a different relation to Abraham; cf. chap. 21 with chap. 16.

אֶרֶץ הַמְּרִיָּה. 'To the district of Moriah;' cf. Num. 32, 1. Josh. 8, 1. 10, 41, where **אֶרֶץ** occurs again in the sense of 'district.' **מְרִיָּה** with the article (cf. **הַיַּרְדֵּן** 'Ai,' **הַיַּרְדֵּן** 'Jordan,' **הַלְבָּנוֹן** 'Lebanon') is the name of the hill on which in later times the temple stood, 2 Chron. 3, 1. Jos., *Ant.*, i. 13. 1 f. This is the view usually adopted by modern expositors, as Del., Di., but is not without difficulties; Moriah was the later name for the Temple hill; the common name in use at an earlier period being Sion, and the whole district around the hill being called 'the district of Moriah,' would presuppose that it was a well-known name. Tuch prefers the view that **מְרִיָּה** here = the **מִוְרָה** in 12, 6, near Shechem, called Judg. 7, 1 **גִּבְעַת הַמִּוְרָה**, on the ground of the LXX reading *εἰς τὴν γῆν τὴν ὑψηλὴν*, and in 12, 6 *τὴν δρῦν τὴν ὑψηλὴν*. But this Moreh was a place of no significance in the history

of Israel, and too far from Beersheba to be reached in three days. Further, no great stress can be laid on the reading of the LXX either here or in 12, 6, their translation being probably a mere guess, as it can hardly be a rendering of the Hebrew text. The VSS. render variously, and throw no light on the question. Vulg. has '*terram visionis.*' Onq. לְאַרְעַ פּוֹלְחָנָא 'land of worship.' Syr. אַרְיִן הָאֲמּוֹרִי = אֲרָיִן אֲמּוֹרִי = אֲרָיִן אֲמּוֹרִי, cf. their rendering of 2 Chron. 3, 1, '*land of the Amorites;*' also Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 278.

הַמְרִיָּה. The derivation is unknown, but seems to have been connected by a *play* with רָאָה; cf. vers. 8 and 14. For derivations of the word that have been suggested, cf. Ges., *Thes.*, p. 819, also a note by Prof. Cheyne in the American Journal, *Hebraica*, April, 1885, p. 252. It cannot mean '*shown of Jah,*' which would be מְרִיָּה (cf. מְעִשִׂיָּה).

4. בְּיוֹם הַשְּׁלִישִׁי is connected by the LXX with וַיֵּלֶךְ in ver. 3, but incorrectly.

וַיֵּשֶׁא is the imperf. with waw consec. after a time determination; cf. on 19, 15.

5. נִלְכָּה. Cohortative, expressing the intention more strongly than the simple imperf.; cf. Driver, § 49 a.

כֹּה has here a local force, as Gen. 31, 37 (rare).

7. הִנְנִי, also pointed הִנְנִי, and in pause הִנְנִי; cf. Dav., § 49; Ges., § 100. 5; Stade, § 380. The suffix is a verbal suffix here with the nun demonstrative; cf. Stade, § 359 b. 4.

8. אֱלֹהִים יִרְאֶה לוֹ = '*God will provide him*' etc.; cf. 41, 33 וַעֲתָה יִרְאֶה פְרַעָה; 1 Sam. 16, 1 כִּי רָאִיתִי בְּבָנָיו לִי, 17 רָאוּ נָא לִי אִישׁ.

12. '*And He said, Stretch not forth thine hand to the boy, and do not do anything to him; for now I know that thou art*

a *fearer of God* (cf. note on 4, 14); *for thou hast not withheld thy son, thine only one, from me.*'

ולא חשנת is almost = בי לא חשנת, which would be more emphatic: ! here expresses a consequence; see M.R., § 148 c; cf. its use in the waw conv. in 20, 12, 'and so she became my wife;' 23, 20; Driver, § 74.

מאומה from מאום 'a spot,' 'a dot,' then 'anything;' cf. the French *point*.

13. אַחַר. Sam., LXX, Targums, Pesh., forty-two Codices (Tuch and Wright) read אַחַד, i.e. 'a single ram,' rams in ordinary cases going about in flocks (Tuch), which is preferred by some, e.g. Ewald, but which is not so probable, for אַחַד looks like an emendation of אַחַר, and אַחַר explains how it was that Abraham did not see the ram before. Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 244, reads אַחֵר, regarding Isaac as the one lamb (das Opferlamm), and the ram caught in the thicket as 'the other:' and thinks, that as this view was objectionable, the reading was corrected into אַחַד, which was again changed into אַחַר. This however is improbable. אַחַר is not *temporal*, but *local* = 'behind;' cf. Ps. 68, 26; so תַּחַת, as an adv., 49, 25, and a prep.: עַל, as an adv., 2 Sam. 23, 1, and a prep.

נֶאֱחָז. Perf., so Baer and Del., 'it was caught;' another reading is נֶאֱחָזוּ, participle, 'caught;' so Theile.

בַּבְּסִבֵּי. So Baer and Del.; cf. תְּהַב, 2, 12. Ordinary editions point בַּבְּסִבֵּי. Render, 'In a thicket.'

14. יְהוָה יֵרָאֵה = 'Yahweh sees,' i.e. 'provides;' cf. ver. 8; so LXX, Κύριος εἶδεν.

"אֲשֶׁר וְגו'. 'So that (cf. 13, 16) it is said (i.e. "people are in the habit of saying"), In the mountain of the Lord provision shall be made.'

יִרְאֶה, = 'provision shall be made,' suits the context best; although the Nif'al has not elsewhere this meaning. Some render, 'On the mountain of Yahweh He (Yahweh) appears;' but this is very awkward, and the point to be explained is not so much Yahweh's appearance (there was no real vision, only a voice from heaven) as the providing of a substitute, ver. 8. Di. renders according to Ewald (§ 332 d), 'On the mountain where Yahweh is seen,' lit. 'On the mountain of Yahweh's appearing;' cf. Hos. 1, 2. Ps. 4, 8, which however gives no suitable sense; as one cannot regard it as a proverb to say, 'On the mountain where Yahweh appeared,' we should rather expect הַר יִרְאֶה 'the mountain where Yahweh appeared:' in either case the sentence is very incomplete. The sense 'provision shall be made' seems least objectionable; as the Qal clearly means 'to provide,' the Nif'al may be regarded as its passive, though no other instance of this use can be cited. The LXX, ἐν τῷ ὄρει Κύριος ὤφθη, would require יִרְאֶה יְהוָה בְּהָרֵי יִרְאֶה. The text would be easier of explanation if יִרְאֶה at the end of the verse were pointed יִרְאֶה; so Vulgate.

16. כִּי introduces the contents of the oath; cf. 2 Sam. 3, 35. Jer. 22, 24.

17. וִירַשׁ. The imperf. with simple waw used as a jussive, 'And may thy seed possess the gate of thy enemies;' cf. 27, 29. 9, 27. 17, 2; Driver, § 134: the ordinary construction would be the perfect with waw consec. וִירַשׁ; cf. ver. 18, here possibly the imperf. with simple waw was chosen intentionally. וִירַשׁ would = 'and thy seed shall,' in continuation of אַרְבֵּה.

20-24. A short notice of the families of Abraham's relatives in Mesopotamia, Nahor and Bethuel. It is probably inserted here, as Ribqah, Isaac's wife, was the daughter of Bethuel,

Nahor's son, Ribqah being specially noticed in ver. 23. The families here mentioned can only be partially identified.

21. עוֹיָץ. Cf. 10, 23, probably to be taken in a more limited sense here (Di.).

בוּ is mentioned in Jer. 25, 23, together with Dedán and Têma, and so must be sought for in the neighbourhood of Edom. Elihu, Job's fourth adversary, was a Buzite, Job 32, 2. Del., *Par.*, p. 307, compares the land *Bázu* mentioned in Asarhaddon's inscriptions.

קמואל אבי ארם. קמואל is otherwise unknown. In 10, 22 ארם is the son of Shem. Perhaps ארם here, as Di. suggests, was the name of a single people, ארם in 10, 22 being the name of a nation in a wider sense.

22. כשֵׁר. It is uncertain whether כשֵׁר is to be considered as the ancestor of the whole family of the כשֵׁרִים, or of one tribe of the same, perhaps those who robbed Job of his camels, Job 1, 17 (Kn.).

חוז is very uncertain; the Arab geographers (cf. Di., p. 278) mention a حَزْ in Mesopotamia, between Nisibis and Râs 'Ain. An Assyrian *Chazu* is found on the inscriptions (cf. Del., *Par.*, p. 306 f.), but its position is uncertain.

פּלדֶשׁ is unknown; ירלף is also unknown; בתואל is unknown as the name of a place; in 25, 20. 28, 5 it is the proper name of a person.

24. רפילגשוּ, *casus pendens*, the narrative being resumed by waw conv., 'And his concubine, whose name was R'uma, she bare;' cf. 30, 30. Is. 44, 12. Jer. 6, 19. Job 36, 7; Ewald, § 334 b; Driver, § 127 a; M. R., § 132 c.

פּילגשוּ. פּילגֶשׁ, also פּילגֶשׁ, perhaps from פָּלַג 'to divide;' the concubine dividing the married pair; for the ש added, cf.

the word חֶרְמִישׁ from חָרַם: the word has passed over from the Semitic into Greek and Latin, πᾶλλαξ, *pellex*.

מַעֲכָה, גֶּחֶז, and תַּחֲשׁ are all equally unknown; מַעֲכָה a town and district at the foot of mount Hermon, not far from Geshur; cf. Deut. 3, 14. Josh. 12, 5. 2 Sam. 10, 6.

23.

1. שְׁנֵי חַיֵּי פ'. The phrase "שְׁנֵי חַיֵּי פ'" is only found (in the Pent.) in P; so 25, 7. 47, 9. 28.

2. קִרְיַת אַרְבַּע. 'Arba city,' so called perhaps from Arba, one of the giants who formed the original inhabitants of the land; cf. Josh. 14, 15. 15, 13. 21, 11. Others (Ewald, etc.) explain it as = 'Four town,' which is improbable, and contrary to Josh. 14, 15. 15, 13. In ver. 19 Mamre is identified with Hebron, and in 35, 27 the town is thrice named, Mamre, Kiryath Arba, and Hebron; so that Mamre was either another name of Hebron, or must have formed a portion of it, or have belonged to it. The LXX have an addition in their text, ἡ ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ κοιλώματι, perhaps a marginal gloss, occasioned by 37, 14 מַעֲמֵק הַבְּרוֹן. The Sam. also insert אֶל עַמֻּק between אַרְבַּע and הוּא.

לְבַכְתָּהּ, with זַעִירָא, 'small Caph.:' there seems to be no reason for כ being written smaller than the other letters here, see another instance 2, 4, and the note there; cf. Strack, *Proleg.*, p. 92, who does not, however, mention this passage or 2, 4.

3. בִּירוֹ 'his dead.:' of common gender here, as in Lev. 21, 11. Num. 6, 6; contrast Zech. 11, 9 הַמֵּתָה 'the dying one.' The distinction of gender in the case of a dead person being less regarded than in that of a living person (Del.); cf. Ges., § 107. 1. note; M. R., § 62.

אל בני הת. אל בני הת is only found in P: in 14, 13 they are called Amorites, and in Judg. 1, 10 Canaanites.

4. Family graves were not uncommon among the people of high rank; cf. Judg. 8, 32. 2 Sam. 2, 32. 1 Kings 13, 30, and Is. 22, 16, where Shebna the scribe hews out of the rock a sepulchre for himself.

תושב is characteristic of P.

6. As לאמר אליהם is a very unusual phrase (found once, Lev. 11, 1), Hitzig's conjecture לו שִׁמְעֵנו—which is adopted by most commentators, and brings the text here in accordance with ver. 13—seems preferable. So in ver. 15 we must read לו אֲדוֹנָי. לו then, here and ver. 13, will be followed by the imperative (cf. 17, 18 with the imperf.; 30, 34 with the jussive); cf. Ges., § 136. 2, 'Pray hear us.' 'In accordance with the politeness which both parties endeavour to shew (Di.)' LXX and Sam. understand לו as=לֵא; then the text ought to be inverted אֲדוֹנָי שִׁמְעוּ, as in ver. 11.

נְשִׂיא אֱלֹהִים = 'a prince of God,' i. e. belonging to God, under God's protection, and blessed by Him, or 'a mighty prince;' cf. Ps. 36, 7. 80, 11.

במבחר קברינו, lit. = 'in the choice of our sepulchres,' i. e. 'in our choicest sepulchre,' cf. Is. 22, עמיקך. The usual order of the words is here abandoned, the noun expressing the quality preceding, instead of following, the noun which it qualifies; cf. Ges., § 106. 1. Rem. 1.

יִכְלֶה = יִכְלֵה, a verb ל' following the conjugation of a verb ל'ה; cf. Ges., § 75. Rem. 21 c; Stade, § 143 e, note 1 a.

מקבר מתך; cf. on 16, 2 מלדת.

8. אם יש את נפשכם, lit. = 'if it is with your soul,' i. e. 'if it be your intention;' cf. 2 Kings 10, 15. Job 10, 13. 23, 14.

9. **המכפלה**. LXX, τὸ σπήλαιον τὸ διπλοῦν, Vulg. '*speluncam duplicem*,' i. e. a cave with two entrances or two compartments, from the root כפל; but—as may be seen from vers. 17. 19 and 49, 30—**המכפלה** is a proper name.

מלא בכסף = '*for full money*,' i. e. for its full value in money; cf. 1 Chron. 21, 22 **מלא בכסף הגרן** . . . **לא כי קנה אקנה בכסף מלא** 24.

10. "**לכל באי שער וגו'**. Cf. on 9, 10 and Ewald, § 310 a. "**באי ויוצאים** is the shorter form for **באי ויוצאים**. Render, '*With regard to all those entering the gate of his city*,' i. e. '*his fellow-citizens*.'

11. **לא אדני שמעני**. '*Nay, my lord, hear me*.' Hitzig and Maurer read **לא** as **לוא** = **לו**; cf. vers. 13. 15, and see 1 Sam. 14, 30. 2 Sam. 18, 12, which is unnecessary, as **לא** suits the context better, Ephron refusing at first to receive anything for the field till Abraham presses it upon him. The same politeness and apparent unwillingness to sell anything, but rather to give it, still prevails in the east; cf. Del., *Com.*, 4th ed., p. 553.

נתתי is perfect of certitude, often used in contracts or promises; cf. Ruth 4, 3 **מכרה נעמי** '*No'omi is selling*,' 1 Kings 3, 13 **כי נתתי במדבר** **גם אשר לא שאלת נתתי לך**; Is. 43, 20 **מיים**; cf. Driver, § 13; M. R., § 3. 1 a; Dav., § 46. 2. 3.

13. '*And he spake unto Ephron in the ears of the people of the land, saying, If only thou—pray hear me—I give the money for the field, take it from me, that I may bury my dead there*.' The optative sentence beginning with **אם** is broken off, and continued with **לו** and the imperative. Olshausen supposes that some words have dropped out of the text after **אתה**. Hitzig ingeniously renders **אם אֶתֶּה**, as perfect Qal of **אות** '*if thou art willing*,' which is quite suitable; but the Qal of

אוח occurs nowhere else, the verb being only found in the Nif'al; cf. 34, 15. LXX have ἐπειδὴ πρὸς ἐμοῦ εἶ, i. e. probably לִי for לוֹ; comp. 29, 34. 31, 5 (Driver).

15. Cf. on ver. 6. The LXX and Sam. have also read לֹא here.

16. עבר לסחר. 'Current with the merchants;' the art. is according to Ges., § 109. 1; M. R., § 68; cf. the shorter phrase in 2 Kings 12, 5 כסף עבר 'current money,' i. e. such as the merchants would accept. 'People had at that time no coins stamped by the State, but only bits of metal—which came into use through the requirements of trade—of a fixed weight, and possibly with the weight marked on them; these pieces were weighed to avoid any fraud,' Knobel, cited by Di., p. 281.

17. ויקם. 'So the field was ensured to Abraham;' cf. Driver, § 74 a; M. R., § 18. Rem. a. קום in this sense occurs again in Lev. 25, 30. 27, 14. 17. 19. This use of קום is peculiar to P.

לפני ממרא = 'before,' i. e. 'east of;' so על פני in ver. 19; cf. 16, 12.

18. בכל באי וגו'. ב corresponds to ל in ver. 10; it is distributive here, as in 7, 21, which compare.

19. שדה המנפלה. שדה מנפלה is only found in P; so again 25, 9. 49, 30. 50, 13.

ממרא הוא חברון. Observe that P never mentions the אלוני ממרא (13, 18. 14, 13. 18, 1), but calls the place ממרא; so 25, 9. 35, 27. 49, 30. 50, 13.

24.

2. זקן ביתו = 'the old one of his house,' i. e. 'the oldest;' so 42, 13 הקטן 'the young one,' i. e. 'the youngest one;' 2 Chron. 21, 17 קטן בניו 'his youngest son;' cf. M. R.,

§ 81 b; Ges., § 119. 2. Probably Eliezer is the servant here intended. The Targ. Ps.-Jon. mentions him here expressly by name. Each large household had a servant of this sort; cf. Joseph in 39, 4. 22, also 43, 16. 44, 1 אִשְׁרָוּ עַל בֵּיתוֹ. At a later period the office was one of the important posts at court; cf. 1 Kings 4, 6. Is. 22, 15.

נָא יָדְךָ תַּחַת יָרְכִי = 'place thy hand under my thigh,' i. e. swear to me; cf. 47, 29, which is the only other passage where this mode of swearing is mentioned. Some (Tuch, Del.) see a reference to circumcision in these words. Others (Di.) explain—from 46, 25. Ex. 1, 5. Judg. 8, 30—the words symbolically, as invoking his descendants to maintain the oath and avenge any infraction of it; cf. Di., p. 284, who cites an instance of a similar form of oath among the Bedouins in Egypt; also the following extract from the *Journals of Expeditions in North-west and West Australia*, by George Grey, vol. ii, p. 342, London, 1841: 'Genesis, chap. 24, ver. 9,' after quoting the verse from the A. V. the writer continues, 'this is exactly the form that is observed in south-western Australia, when the natives swear amity to one another, or pledge themselves to aid one another in avenging a death. One native remains seated on the ground with his heels tucked under him in the eastern manner; the one who is about to narrate a death to him approaches slowly and with averted face, and seats himself cross-legged upon the thighs of the other; they are thus placed thigh to thigh, and squeezing their bodies together they place breast to breast—both then avert their faces, their eyes frequently fill with tears—no single word is spoken, and the one who is seated uppermost *places his hands under the thighs of his friend*; having remained thus seated for a minute or two, he rises up and withdraws to a little distance without speaking, but an

inviolable pledge to avenge the death has by this ceremony passed between the two¹. Ibn Ezra in his commentary on the passage has the following: ישׁים נא ירך תחת ירכי. ישׁ אמר רמז לכיילה ואילו היה בן היה נשבע בברית המילה לא בשם והקרוב אלי שיהיה משפט בימים ההם לשום אדם ידו תחת ירך מי שהוא ברשותו והטעם אם אתה ברשותי ישׁים נא ירך תחת ירכי והאדון יושב והירך על היד כטעם הנה ידי תחת רשותך לעשות רצונך. *Some say this refers to circumcision; but if this were so, he would have sworn by the covenant of circumcision, and not by Jehovah. What appears most probable to me, is that it was a custom in those days for a man to place his hand under the thigh of him in whose service he was: the meaning would then be, "if thou art in my service, place thy hand, I pray, under my thigh;" the master would thus be sitting with his thigh on the (servant's) hand; the meaning being, "behold, my hand is under thy authority to do thy will;" and this custom still exists in India.*

4. כִּי = 'but,' after the negative; cf. Is. 48, 2; see Ewald, § 354 a (who compares the German *sondern* (not *aber*) after *nicht*), Ges., § 155. 1 e, ad fin. Fifteen MSS. and the Heb.-Sam. Codex read כִּי־אִם.

לִבְנֵי לִיצְחָק. When the pr. name follows, the preposition must be repeated; when it precedes, it only stands with the pr. name; cf. 22, 20 לְנַחֲרוֹר אַחִיךָ; see M. R., § 71. 1. Rem. a.

5. הֶהָיִשׁב ה. pointed with seghol before the guttural with qameç, Ges., § 100. 4; Dav., § 49. 2 d.

7. 'The God of the heavens who took me . . . may He (emphatic) send His angel before thee, and mayest thou,' etc.

¹ For this reference I am indebted to Prof. Driver, who kindly sent me a note he had received on this verse from Dr. Tylor, the Reader in Anthropology at Oxford.

יִשְׁלַח . . . וּלְקַחַתָּ. The perfect with waw conv. after the imperfect as a jussive; cf. 1, 14. 28, 3. 43, 14. 47, 29, and often; see Driver, § 113. 2 a, cf. § 111; M. R., § 24 b.

8. וְאִם לֹא תֵאבְדָה . . . וְנִקִּיתָ. 'But if she does not consent, then thou art free.' נִקִּיתָ for נִקִּיתָ, Ges., § 75. Rem. 7: the tone does not advance with ו conv. as the verb is a ל'ה verb; cf. Stade, § 470 b. note.

כִּי־שִׁבַעְתִּי זֹאת. זֹאת without the article as regularly after a word with a pronom. affix; see Ewald, § 293 a; Ges., § 111. 2 b.

לֹא תֵשֵׁב. Cf. the note on 4, 12.

9. אֲדַנִּי is *pluralis excellentiae*, referring to Abraham; see Ewald, § 178 b; Ges., § 108. 2 b; cf. Stade, § 324 a; so 40, 1 למֶלֶךְ, of Pharaoh; 42, 30 הָאָרִיז, of Joseph.

10. כֹּל טוֹב אֲדַנִּי. LXX, ἀπὸ πάντων τῶν ἀγαθῶν; so 45, 18 טוֹב אֲרִיז מִצֵּרִים; 2 Kings 8, 9 וְכֹל טוֹב דְּמִשְׁקָא.

אֲרָם נְהָרִים. 'Aram of the two rivers,' i.e. Mesopotamia, Deut. 23, 5. Judg. 3, 8. The two rivers are usually identified with the Euphrates and Tigris. Halévy, cited by Di., p. 285, takes them to be the Euphrates and Chrysorrhoeas. Di. himself thinks that the Euphrates and Chaboras (חָבּוֹר) are the two rivers intended.

11. אֵל בְּאֵר הַמַּיִם, i.e. the fountain that is usually to be found near a town; cf. Ex. 2, 15; see Ewald, § 277 a.

12. הִקְרָה נָא. 'Pray cause it to meet me;' cf. 9, 22 for the omission of the acc., and the note there; see also 27, 20 כִּי הִקְרָה יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיךָ לְפָנַי.

14. 'May it be that the damsel to whom I shall say, Pray

let down thy pitcher that I may drink, and she answer, Drink, and I will also water thy camels; (may it be that) her thou hast adjudged to thy servant Isaac, and thereby I shall know that thou hast shewn my master kindness.'

וְהָיָה is the perf. with waw conv., where no imperf. precedes, used as a precativè or mild imperative; cf. 47, 23 וּזְרַעְתֶּם אֶת הָאָדָמָה; Deut. 7, 9 וְיָדַעַתָּה; Driver, § 119 d.

וְאִשְׁרָא . . . וְאִשְׁרָא is perf. with waw conv. after an imperf. with אִשְׁרָא; cf. ver. 43, where the relative is avoided; so Lev. 21, 10 וְמָלְא . . . אִשְׁרָא יִזְנֶק; Is. 56, 4 אִשְׁרָא יִשְׁמְרוּ וּבָחָרוּ; Judg. 1, 12. 1 Sam. 17, 26; see Driver, § 115.

אֲתָהּ . . . אֲשָׁקָה is a *casus pendens*, resumed in אֲתָהּ, which stands before its verb for emphasis; cf. 28, 13. 26, 15; Driver, § 197. 1. The text is to be pointed הַנִּיעָר, this word being of common gender in the Pentateuch, also in Ruth 2, 21; cf. Ges., § 107. 1. note; Ewald, § 175 b; Stade, § 309 d, who regards נָעַר as 'a remnant of an older period of the language, when the feminine ending did not exist.' The Kri directs the ordinary form to be read.

בָּהּ is not 'through her,' Ribqah, but 'thereby;' cf. 15, 8.

15. 'And it came to pass before he had done speaking, that, behold, R. was coming out,' etc. טָרַם כָּלָה, the perf. after טָרַם is very rare (Driver, p. 38. foot-note), contrast ver. 45 טָרַם אִכְלָה. The perfect after טָרַם is found again, 1 Sam. 3, 7 "טָרַם יָדַע אֶת יְיָ" (if the punctuation is right), but immediately afterwards וְטָרַם יָגִילָה; cf. בָּטָרַם, Ps. 90, 2 בָּטָרַם יִלְדוּ; Prov. 8, 25 בָּטָרַם הָרִים הַטְּבָעוּ; see Ewald, § 337. 3 c.

וּכְרָה עַל שִׁכְמָהּ. 'With her pitcher on her shoulder,' circ. clause.

16. טַבַּת מְרֵאָה. Cf. 12, 11 יָפַת מְרֵאָה, and the note there.

בְּתוּלָה = 'a virgin,' from בָּתַל 'secludere,' the maiden who

lives in seclusion in her parents' home. עלמה from עלם, Arab. *عَلِمَ* 'to be strong,' 'fully ripe,' = the maiden who had reached a marriageable age, *puella nubilis*. In עלמה stress is laid on the fact that the maiden is of a marriageable age, in בתולה that she is a virgin; so here we have the addition ואיש לא ידעה.

19. עד אם כלו לשתת. 'Until they shall have finished drinking,' כלו being a future perfect; so עד אם דברתי, ver. 33. Is. 30, 17 נותרתם כתרן עד אם; and with the fuller phrase, עד אשר אם, Gen. 28, 15 עשיתי עד אשר אם; Num. 32, 17 עד אשר אם הביאנם; see Driver, § 17; M. R., § 3. 2; Ges., § 126. 5 c.

21. והאיש משתאה לה מחריש. 'And the man was watching her in silence.'

משתאה is the construct state before the preposition לה; cf. הוסי בו, Ps. 2, 12; יושבי בארץ, Is. 9, 1; משחרי לטרף, Job 24, 5; see Ewald, § 289 b; Ges., § 116. 1.

מחריש defines משתאה more clearly; cf. Num. 16, 27 בהנות ידיהם ורגליהם מקצצים היו מלקטים 7, Judg. 1, יצאו ננבים Jer. 41, 6 ובכה . . . הלך . . . ויצא; cf. Ewald, § 341 b. 3.

22. על נזם is 'a nose ring;' cf. ver. 47, where על אפה is added; here the Sam. have וישם על אפה after משקלו, which Di. considers the original reading.

בקע is 'a half-shekel,' it occurs once again, Ex. 38, 26.

עשרה שקל must be understood here, as in 20, 16.

23. בית אביך is acc. of place, as in 12, 15, which compare.

27. אנכי בדרך נחני וגו'. 'As for me, in the way hath Y. guided me.' אנכי, *casus pendens*; cf. 17, 4; see Driver, § 197. 4; M. R., § 129.

בדרך, i. e. without any mistakes, straight to the house of Bethuel; cf. ver. 48 בדרך אמת.

28. לבית אמה, i. e. to the female members of Bethuel's family. Ribqah, as a בתולה, would live apart from the men, among the females of the family.

29^b. וירץ לבן . . . אל העין. Di. regards this half-verse as out of place here [er greift in unerträglicher (durch ver. 10 nicht zu rechtfertigender) Weise dem ver. 30 vor], having been placed here, instead of after ver. 30^a (before ויבא), by a copyist's mistake. Knobel regards it as a duplette (i. e. the same thing narrated twice over); or in ver. 30, ויבא may be explained by Driver, § 76 γ, as giving a more detailed account of Laban's running.

30. כראת את הנזם. On the inf. cstr. without a subject, cf. M. R., §§ 111 b, 117; Ewald, § 304 a; 25, 26 בלדת אתם; 1 Sam. 18, 19 בעת תת את מרב; 2 Sam. 17, 19 באמר אלי כל היום 4 כנפל בהם בתחלה; Ps. 42, 4 כראת: 'when he saw.'

הנה עמד. הנה, placed before the participle, as in 38, 24 וגם הנה הרה; Is. 29, 8 והנה אכל, to arrest the attention and give more liveliness to the narrative; cf. Ewald, § 306 d; Driver, § 135. 3. Obs. 1.

31. 'And he said, Come in, blessed of the Lord, why dost thou stand without, seeing I have prepared my house, and a place for the camels?' cf. ver. 56; Josh. 17, 14 ואני עם רב 'seeing I am a great people'; Judg. 3, 26 והוא עבר 'he having passed'; see Driver, § 160; M. R., § 152.

32. Laban is probably the subject to ויפתח and ויתן, as one can hardly suppose that Abraham's servant would be so inhospitably treated that he had to unsaddle his own camels. It would be easier if the text ran ויבא (instead of

(וַיִּבֶן), which Dathe and Olsh. prefer, but this again would require *הָאִישׁ אֶת הָאִישׁ* instead of *הָאִישׁ*.

33. The Ktb. is וַיִּטֵּם *'he (Laban) set,'* imperf. Qal of שָׁם = שָׁם; cf. 50, 26 וַיִּטֵּם without Kri. The Kri here reads וַיִּטֵּם *'and there was placed,'* impf. Hof'al of שָׁם, with pathach not qameç; see Baer and Del., *Genesis*, p. 77; Stade, § 500 γ, reads here וַיִּטֵּם, the ordinary imperf. Qal of שָׁם. Ewald, § 131 d, considers that the û of the passive here, 50, 26 and Ex. 30, 32 (סוֹךְ from יִסַּךְ), has been sharpened into î.

38. אִם לֹא, prop. = *'if not,'* after a negative *'but,'* cf. (possibly) Ez. 3, 6 אִם לֹא אֱלֹהִים שְׁלַחְתִּיךָ; cf. Ges., § 155. 2 f.

אִם לֹא . . . תֵּלֵךְ . . . וּלְקַחְתָּ, so Ez. 20, 33 f. אִם לֹא . . . אֱמַלְךָ . . . וְהוֹצֵאתִי . . . וּקְבַצְתִּי

42-43. אִם יִשָּׁךְ . . . מִצְלִיחַ . . . וְהָיָה; cf. Lev. 3, 7 אִם יִשָּׁךְ מוֹשִׁיעַ; Judg. 6, 36 f. . . . כִּשְׁבַב הוּא מְקַרֵּב . . . וְהִקְרִיבְתִּי; hypothetical sentences with a participle, with or without *יִשׁ* or *אִין* in the protasis, and the perfect with waw conv. in the apodosis; see Driver, § 137 a; Ewald, § 355 b. 1; M. R., § 166. 2; cf. ver. 49, where an imperative takes the place of the perfect with waw conv. in the apodosis.

46. וַיִּאֲשֶׁרְתָּ. The short form of the first pers. sing. imperf. in ל'ֶה verbs is not quite so frequent as the long. Böttcher, cited by Prof. Driver (*Tenses*, p. 89. note), mentions forty-nine instances of the short form, and fifty-three of the long. In the other persons, on the contrary, the full form is very exceptional.

48. וְאִשְׁתְּחֹוּהָ here, and וַיִּצְּוֶהָ, Deut. 1, 16. 18, are the only instances of the first pers. with הָ־ in the Pentateuch; cf. Driver, p. 89. foot-note.

בְּדֶרֶךְ אֱמֶת *'in the right way,'* cf. ver. 27.

49. *'And now, if ye are going to deal kindly and straight-*

forwardly with my master, tell me; and if not, tell me; that I may turn to the right hand, or the left;' cf. ver. 42 f. and the authorities there cited.

55. ימים או עשור, lit.= 'days or ten,' i.e. 'a week or ten days;' cf. 4, 3 ויהי מקץ ימים; LXX, ἡμέρας ὡσεὶ δέκα. The Syr. has ܡܫܚܬܡܢܐ 'a month in days,' Sam. ימים או חדש; possibly, as Di. suggests, חדש has fallen out before ימים; cf. 29, 14. עשור = decas, 'a space of ten days.'

56. ויהוה הצליח דרכי. 'Seeing Yahweh hath prospered my way;' cf. ver. 31.

57. ונשאלה את פיה. 'And let us ask her, herself;' lit. 'ask her mouth,' i.e. let her speak for herself; cf. Josh. 9, 14 ואת פי יהוה לא שאלו; Is. 30, 2 ופי לא שאלו.

62. 'Now Isaac had come;' בא is pluperf., accounting for Isaac's presence when Ribqah arrived; cf. Driver, § 76. Obs.

בא מבוא בא usually would mean, 'had come from coming to the well,' etc., i.e. had returned from a journey thither. But לָבָא is the more suitable word for a journey, and what one would rather expect to find noticed is, where he had come to, or where he was. Del. takes מבוא as a correlative of לָבָא, 35, 16, or לָבָא, Num. 13, 21 and often, and says 'בָּא מִבְּאֵר בא says more than מִבְּאֵר (Lagarde's emendation for מִבְּאֵר בָּא), that he had come to the well, but was not settled there, and now had come back from his journey thither,' which is much the same as the view first mentioned. Houbigant reads מִעֵם for מבוא, Lagarde מִבְּאֵר; but one does not see why the place where he came from should be noted. Ewald, § 136 h, renders, 'er war eben gekommen nach' (he had just come to), but how this can be got out of the Heb. text is not quite clear. Di. offers two

solutions of the question; either (I) to strike out מבווא, or (II) to read במדבר from the Samaritan and LXX, במדבר; the meaning being in the second case, 'Isaac had come to the wilderness of Beer-lahayroi, for he lived in the south' (circ. clause, as in 19, 1).

63. לְשׁוּחָ variously rendered: I. 'To meditate,' either over his approaching marriage (Del.), or on matters connected with his flocks (Tuch); so LXX, ἀδολεσχησαι, Vulg. 'ad meditandum in agro,' לְשׁוּחָ = לְשׁוּחָ in Ps. 119, 148; cf. 77, 4. 7. II. The Targg. Sam. etc. render, 'to pray;' cf. Ps. 102, 1 שׁוּחָ, with תפלה in the parallel member of the verse. III. Aq. ὁμιλῆσαι and Sym. λαλῆσαι take לְשׁוּחָ as 'to talk.' IV. Knobel and Ewald render, 'to wail or lament,' comparing שׁוּחָ in Ps. 55, 3. 18. Job 7, 11, etc. (see ver. 67). V. Bött., *Neue Heb. Aehr.*, renders, 'to fetch brushwood:' the verb being a denom. from שׁוּחָ, but this denom. cannot be proved to exist from other passages; cf. Ibn Ezra, ללכת בין השיחים 'to walk between the shrubs.' VI. Ges. reads לְשׁוּחָ; cf. the Syr., which has لَمْسَ لَحْمٌ 'to walk,' and ver. 65 ההלך בשורה. The renderings I and IV seem better than the others, perhaps IV (cf. ver. 67) fitting into the context a little better than I.

לפנות ערב, i. e. when the Oriental used to go out; cf. 3, 8 לרוח היום.

64. ויתפל מעל הגמל; so 2 Kings 5, 21 ויתפל מעל המרכבה. In Judg. 1, 14 (= Josh. 15, 18) we find צנח 'to spring quickly from the camel.' LXX here κατεπίδησεν.

65. מִי הָאִישׁ הַלּוֹה. 'Who is yonder man?' cf. Ges., § 34. Rem. 2; Dav., § 13; Stade, § 172 b. הלוה = the Arabic الَّذِي = who, which; it occurs again, 37, 19.

67. הַאֵהֳלָה שָׂרָה. האהלה שרה. אהל with the article and ה of motion. The presence of the article before the noun, which

should be in the construct state, is explained by Ewald, § 290 d, Ges., § 110. 2 b, as a loose co-ordination of the two words, instead of the second being subordinate to the first; cf. Josh. 7, 21. Di. regards שרה אמו as inexplicable, and considers that they are a gloss to bring about a closer connection with chap. 23.

25.

1. אשה, not in the sense Sarah was, but a concubine; cf. ver. 6, where she is called a פלגש, and 1 Chron. 1, 32.

קטורה, pr. name = 'incense.'

2. Many of the following tribes cannot be identified with certainty, as they have either disappeared at an early date, or become merged into other tribes. The genealogy occurs again in 1 Chron. 1, 32 ff. in an abbreviated form.

Keturah bare Abraham six sons (five if we regard מדין and מדין as one and the same).

זמר, perhaps from זמר, a species of 'antelope.' Knobel compares זמר with Ζαβράμ, the royal town of the Κιναιδοκολπίται, on the west of Mecca, on the Red Sea, mentioned in Ptol. vi. 7, 5, but whether they are identical is uncertain. Grotius and Del. consider the Zamareni of Pliny vi. 32 as more probable.

יקשן is identified by Tuch with יקטן (10, 26); by Ewald with פישן, Hab. 3, 7; by Knobel with the Κασσανίται of Ptol. vi. 7, 6, south of the Kinaedokolpites, on the Red Sea, but these are the Gassanides (cf. Del. here and Di.).

מדין and מדין, the best known of the sons of Keturah. מדינים and מדינים occur again in 37, 28. 36 as names of the same people, so that probably מדין and מדין are but different forms of the same name. The Midianites are often

mentioned in the O. T.; in 37, 28. 36 they are spoken of as carrying on trade with Egypt. In Ex. 2 and 18 we find them dwelling in the Sinaitic peninsula, and in Num. 22, 4. 7. 25, 6. 17 f. 31, 1 ff. they are mentioned among Israel's enemies in the land east of the Jordan. In the time of the Judges (cf. Judg. 6 ff.) hordes of Midianites overran Palestine. They are also mentioned in Is. 60, 6 as a trading people. Their territory on the east of the Elanitic Gulf stretched from the neighbourhood of Sinai northwards to the territory of the Moabites; see further, Di., p. 291 f.

יִשְׁבֵּק is unknown.

שׁוּר is mentioned in Job 2, 11 as a tribe in the neighbourhood of the land of עוּז, but otherwise unknown. Del., *Par.*, p. 297 f., compares the Assyrian *Suchu*, on the right bank of the Euphrates, between the mouth of the Belih and Chabor; Di., the Σάυη of Ptol. v. 19; cf. Di., l. c. Others (*H. W. B.*, 9th ed.) connect it with the Arab tribe سياحة, east of Aila.

3. On שְׁבַא and דֶּדָן, see 10, 7. Probably the northern branches of these two great Arab tribes are here meant, the genealogy in these verses being more limited in range than that in chap. 10 (Di.). Of the sons of Dedan nothing further is known; see conjectures in Di., p. 292; Del., p. 372.

4. עֵיפָה occurs again in Is. 60, 6, mentioned with Midian as rich in camels, and as bringing gold and incense from Sheba. Del., *Par.*, p. 304, compares the *Hajáphá* of the inscriptions. The other names do not occur elsewhere; see Di. l. c. for conjectures about them.

8. וּשְׁבַע יָמִים, LXX, as in 35, 29.

וַיֵּאסֶף אֶל עַמּוֹ. 'And was gathered to his people;' cf.

the synonymous expressions, בוא אל אבותיך, 15, 15; נאסף אל אבותיו, Judg. 2, 10; and ישכב עם אבותיך, Deut. 31, 16. The phrase נאסף אל עמיו is peculiar to P; so in 35, 29. 49, 33, etc.

10. השדה is in apposition to עפרן in ver. 9.

ישמה = not 'thither,' but, in a weaker sense, 'there;' so Jer. 18, 2 דברי את דברי וישמה אשמיעך; 2 Kings 23, 8 אשר קטרו וישמה הכהנים; see Ges., § 90. 2 b.

13. בשמתם לתולדתם. 'With their names, according to their genealogies.' The two words are to be taken closely together.

נבית the best known and most important of the descendants of Ishmael, 'the Nabatheans.' The Nabatheans dwelt in Arabia Petrea. In Is. 60, 7 they are mentioned with Kedar; the two names also being found together on the Assyrian inscriptions of Assurbanipal (Schr., *K. A. T.*², p. 147). Probably they are identical with the Nabataei and Cedrei, mentioned together by Pliny, v. 12. The only other notices about נבית in the O. T. are that Esau (28, 9. 36, 3) married Mahalath (called, 36, 3, Basemath), the sister of Nebayoth, and Is. l. c., that they were rich in cattle; see further, Di., p. 294.

קדר. 'The Kedarenes.' A nomad tribe in the Syro-Arabian desert; they are frequently mentioned in the O. T. in the time of the kings. In Is. 21, 16 f. Jer. 49, 28 they are mentioned as skilled bow-men; Song of Songs 1, 5, as dwelling in black tents, but Is. 42, 11. Jer. 49, 31, in open villages. In Is. 60, 7. Jer. 49, 32 they are spoken of as rich in camels and flocks; and in Ez. 27, 21 as trading with Tyre. The Rabbis use the name קדר for Arabia in general, קדר לשון קדר being the Arabic language.

מבשם and אדבאל are unknown names.

14. **מִשְׁמַע** is unknown.

דוּמָה is probably different from the Duma of Is. 21, 11 and Josh. 15, 52. Wetzstein identifies **דוּמָה** here with the Duma in East Haurân. Di. and Del. consider it to be the *Δούμαθα* of Steph. Byz., *Domata* of Pliny, vi. 32, the modern *دومة الجندل* = 'the rocky Duma,' in the lowest-lying district of the Syrian Nufûd land; the so-called Gôf (Del.), on the borders of Syria and Arabia.

מִשָּׁא, usually connected with the *Μασαοί* of Ptol. v. 19. 2, north-east of Duma. In Asurbanipal's inscriptions, *Mas'u* is found together with *Nabaitai* and *Kidri* (Schr., *K. G. F.*, p. 102; *K. A. T.*², p. 148 f.).

15. **חֲדָר** is unknown. Baer and Del. read **חֲדָר**, Theile **חֲדָר**, with the marg. note, **בכפרים אחרים חדר**, i. e. 'in other copies **חדר**;' so 1 Chron. 1, 30, Sam., Joseph. The Massora mentions the reading here as being **חֲדָר**, not **חֲדָר**; cf. Baer and Del., *Gen.*, p. 77 f.

תִּימָא is identified by Wetzstein with Taimâ, three-quarters of an hour from Duma, in the Haurân; by Knobel with *Θαμοί*, Ptol. vi. 7. 17, on the Persian Gulf, or the Banu Taim (*بنو تيم*) also on the Persian Gulf; by Di. and Del. with **תִּימָא**, a tribe mentioned in Jer. 25, 23. Job 6, 19, as traders (cf. Is. 21, 14) = **تَيْمَاءَ**, on the border of the Neğd and the Syrian wilderness; also found on the inscriptions, together with the *Mas'ai* (Schr., *K. G. F.*, p. 262 f.).

יִטּוּר and **נְפִישׁ** are mentioned (1 Chron. 5, 18 ff.) as neighbours of the tribes east of the Jordan, who made war against them and partially subdued them; **נְפִישׁ** is otherwise unknown. **יִטּוּר**, 'the Itureans,' dwelt in the hill country of Lebanon and Haurân, according to Strabo; cf. Luke 3, 1.

קַרְמָה, not mentioned elsewhere.

16. **בְּחִצְרֵיהֶם וּבִטְוֵירָתָם.** ‘*In their villages, and in their encampments,*’ i. e. who dwelt partly in unwall’d villages (Lev. 25, 31. Is. 42, 11) and partly in moveable camps (Num. 31, 10. Ez. 25, 4). **טִוְרָה** is from **טוּר**, and means ‘*a camp,*’ the tents being pitched in the form of a circle; cf. the modern **دَوَّار** (Burckh., *Bed.* 26, cited by Di., p. 297). LXX, *ἐν ταῖς σκαηαῖς αὐτῶν, καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἐπαύλεσι αὐτῶν.*

לְאִמָּתָם. ‘*According to their tribes.*’ **אָמָה** occurs again in Num. 25, 15, and—as here—is used of an Arab tribe: the word is more an Arabic than a Hebrew word, and its use here and Num. 25, 15 is perhaps, as Di. suggests, intentional. Ryssel, *De Eloh. Pent. sermone*, p. 71, says of **אָמָה**, ‘*quae vox ad sermonem populi Midianitici spectat (eodem sensu dictum atque apud Scotos clan, apud Arabes gum = قَوْمٌ).*’

18. **חַוִּילָה.** See 10, 29. It is not the Indian *Havila*, but the land of the *Χαυλοταῖοι* (cf. **حَوْلَة** in Niebuhr, *Beschreibung von Arabien*, p. 342: Del.) of Strabo, xvi. 4. 2, between the Nabatheans and the Agroceans. Thus the Ishmaelites spread themselves over the country between the Persian Gulf to the wilderness of Shur, on the confines of Egypt.

שׁוּר . . . עַל פְּנֵי מִצְרַיִם. See on 16, 7.

בְּאַכַּה אַשׁוּרָה. ‘*In the direction of Ashur.*’ **בְּאַכַּה**, cf. on 13, 10. **אַשׁוּרָה** is explained by Del. as meaning ‘*up to the lands under the Assyrian rule (bis nach den Ländern assyrischer Herrschaft).*’ Nöldeke (*Unters.*, p. 26) considers that **אַשׁוּרָה** is the name of an Egyptian place, which has been corrupted in the Heb. text. According to Hupfeld it has arisen out of **בְּאַכַּה שׁוּרָה** (1 Sam. 15, 7) by corruption. Wel. and Del. (*Par.*, p. 131) think that it is an instance of ditto-graphy for **שׁוּר**.

פני על = 'east of;' cf. 16, 12.

נפל = 'settled;' in 16, 12 שכן is used of Ishmael; cf. Judg. 7, 12 וכל בני קדם נפלים בעמק.

19-34.

20. פדן ארם = שדה ארם in Hos. 12, 13, '*Mesopotamia*.' 'פדן in Aramaic = "a yoke," and in Arabic (where it is a Nabathean foreign word, *Gawaliqi*, 112. 2) = "ploughing oxen," and then their "plough," so a fixed measure of land, like *jugum*, *jugerum* (Lane, p. 2353), and is regarded by Lagarde (*Proph. Chald.*, p. xliii) as Persian. But II. Raw., 62. 33, *padanu* (which as *padānu* means elsewhere, according to Schrader, *K. A. T.*², p. 612, "way, path") is equivalent to *ginū* (*garden*) and *iklu* (*field*), (compare Del., *Par.*, p. 135), and so it might have meant "field" or "plain" in Assyrian (cf. פֶּגֶט "depression, plain," Ges., *Thes.*, p. 1092),' Di. It is most probable that שדה ארם in Hos. l. c. is the Hebrew translation of the word. In 24, 10 we have ארם נהרים for פדן ארם (P). The LXX and Vulg. render it *Mesopotamia Syriae* or *Mesopotamia*; cf. the *campus Mesopotamiae* in Curt. iii. 2. 3; v. 1. 15. From this it by no means follows that the two ideas are completely identical, still less that Paddan Aram was the district round Ḥarran. 'Still it is worth noticing that the name פדן (cf. 48, 7) attaches to a place *Faddān*, and a *Tell Faddān*, in the neighbourhood of Ḥarran, which Jâqût still knows of (Chwolsohn, *Ssab.*, i. 304; *Marás.*, ii. 337). That the neighbourhood of Edessa and Ḥarran is a plain surrounded by mountains is evident from Edrisi p. Jaub. ii. 153; Wilh. of Tyrus, 10. 29. Buck, *Mesopotamia*, 111,' (Kn. in Di.) פדן ארם is only found in P as the name of Mesopotamia; so 28, 2. 6f. 31, 18. 33, 18. 35, 9. 26. 46, 15.

21. ויעתר לו יהוה, lit. 'suffered himself to be prayed to,' i. e. 'hearkened to him.' The Nif'al *tolerativum*; cf. Is. 53, 7 והוא נענה; and Cheyne's crit. note, ad loc.

22. ויתרצצו is imperf. Hithpo'. of רציץ; see Ges., § 55. 1; Stade, § 532 a. γ; cf. יתגדרו from גדר, יתהללו from הלל.

"אם כן למה זה וגו' = 'if thus, why am I?' i. e. 'if it be thus, why do I live?' cf. למה לי היים in 27, 46; so the Syriac. The LXX, εἰ οὕτω [μοι μέλλει γίνεσθαι], ἵνα τί μοι τοῦτο; and so Vulg.; hence it has been rendered, 'if it be so, why am I thus?' i. e. pregnant; but וְיִּהְיֶה cannot be predicate, as the LXX have taken it; זה merely strengthens the למה, as in 18, 13.

23. (a) 'Two nations are in thy womb,

(β) And two peoples shall separate themselves from thy lap:

(a) And one people shall overpower the other,

(β) And the elder shall serve the younger.'

The answer given to Ribqah's prayer is poetical in form. רב and צעיר in prose would require the article; cf. Ewald, § 294 a.

לאם מלאם. On מן used in comparison, cf. Ges., § 119. 1; M. R., § 49. 2.

24. וימלאו ימיה. 'And her days were full;' so 29, 21. 50, 3.

תִּאֲמִים is contracted from תִּאֲמִים.

25. אדמוני, probably referring to the colour of his skin, rather than the hair; cf. David in 1 Sam. 16, 12. 17, 42. 19, 13.

עשו. 'Esau' = 'hairy one.'

26. יעקב the author takes from עֶקֶב, a denom. of עֶקֶב 'heel,' = 'heel-holder;' cf. Hos. 12, 4. Reuss, *Gesch. des A. T.*, p. 52, explains Jacob as = 'successor.' In 27, 36 another explanation is given; see the note there.

בלרת אתם; cf. on 24, 30.

27. ידע ציר. 'ידע is acc. after ציר, not gen., for then ידע would be necessary,' Wright: the form ידע, however, does not occur in the O. T. Cf. 2 Chron. 2, 11 ידע שבל ובינה; Ps. 44, 22 ידע העלומות לב, which are parallel to this passage. The pl. cstr. is used in 1 Kings 9, 27. Amos 5, 16.

איש שדה = 'a field man,' one who spends his time in the fields hunting; but איש האדמה, 9, 20, = 'an agriculturist.'

איש תם. 'A quiet, domestic man.' 'An upright man' does not suit the context here, and hardly fits in with the later accounts of Jacob's dealings with his brother. Elsewhere תם always = 'upright,' except Ex. 26, 24. תם is here the German 'fromm,' which also means *ruhig* (quiet), thus 'ein frommes Pferd,' a quiet horse.

אהלים for אהלים; see Ges., § 23. 3. Rem. 2; Stade, § 109.

28. כי ציר בפיו. 'For venison was in his mouth,' i. e. was according to his taste; cf. 27, 5. 7.

30. מן האדם האדם הזה. 'From the red (stuff), this red stuff;' the words הזה האדם being epexegetical; cf. M. R., § 72. 3.

31. מכרה כיום. 'Sell now first of all' etc.; see M. R., § 56. 2. Rem. a, who points out the different shades of meaning in פיום and פהיום.

32. הנה אנכי הולך למות. 'Behold, I am going to die,' i. e. Esau's life was a dangerous one, and he might meet his death at any moment. Tuch prefers the rendering, 'I am at the point of death,' i. e. from hunger, which is not so natural (so A. V. and A. V. R.), and would be better expressed by הנני מה.

26.

3. **את כל הארצת האל**, i.e. Canaan and the adjoining districts, **ארצת** being used of the different portions of what was afterwards the land of Israel, as in 1 Chron. 13, 2. 2 Chron. 11, 23. **האל**, cf. note on 19, 8.

7. **ל'אשתו** = 'concerning his wife;' cf. 32, 30 **למה זה** **שאל לשמי** 'why dost thou then ask about my name?' 43, 7 **שאל האיש לנו ולמולדתנו** 'the man asked indeed about us and about our birth-place.'

8. **ביצחק את רבקה**. 'Sporting with R.' **צחק את** = **צחק עם**, of mutual playing or caressing, and so distinct from **צחק ב**, where the action is not mutual; see 39, 14 (Luzz. cited by Del.).

10. **כמעט שכב אחד העם וגו'**. 'One of the people might have lain with her, and so thou hadst brought' etc., lit. 'almost had one of the people;' cf. Ps. 119, 87 **כמעט כלוני בארץ**; Prov. 5, 14 **כמעט הייתי והבאת** is the perf. with waw conv., after **כמעט**; cf. Driver, § 115, p. 160: the tone being thrown forward on to the last syllable. Del., p. 385, explains the position of the tone on **והבאת** as due to the **ע** following, which would otherwise be scarcely audible, comparing Is. 11, 2 **וְנִחָה** (where, however, the tone on the last syllable may be due to the waw conv.; cf. Driver, § 110. 5). See also ver. 22 **רבו עליה**, and cf. Ewald, §§ 63 c, 193 b.

12. **מאה שערים**. 'A hundred measures,' 'a hundred-fold.' **שער** in Biblical Hebrew does not occur again in this sense. In Aramaic and the language of the Mishna, **שָׁעַר**, Pa'el of **שָׁעַר** = 'to reckon, estimate' (cf. Targ. Onq. here,

עַל חֵד קִמָּה בְּדִשְׁעָרוֹהִי = 'the hundredfold of that which they had estimated it (the field);' cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, ii. p. 504), and שְׂעָרָה subst. = 'interest, price;' see Levy, l. c., and cf. the Arabic سَعْرٌ 'pretium annonae.' LXX and Syr. incorrectly read שְׂעָרִים 'barley.' 'A hundred measures' would imply that the harvest was very abundant. The neighbourhood of Gerar was very fruitful, and at the present day the Arabs have grain magazines at Nuttâr Abu Sumâr, a little north-west of Elusa; Rob., *Pal.*, i. p. 562.

13. הַלֹּךְ וְגַדְל. Cf. the note on 8, 3. גַּדְל, the participle, here takes the place of the more common inf. abs.; so Judg. 4, 24 וַיִּלֶךְ וַיִּקְשָׁה; 2 Sam. 16, 5. 18, 25 וַיִּלֶךְ וַיִּקְשָׁה; cf. Ewald, § 280 b; M. R., § 108. וַיִּגְדַּל, however, may be perfect, cf. Josh. 6, 13. Is. 31, 5; see Ges., § 131. 3. Rem. 3.

14. עַבְדָּה occurs once again in Job 1, 3; cf. the N. T. *θεραπεία*, Matt. 24, 45, and see Ges., *Thes.*, sub voce.

15. סַתְמוֹם . . . וַיִּמְלֵאוּם. Notice the masc. suffixes referring to feminine nouns; so ver. 18. 31, 9. 32, 16. 33, 13. 41, 23; see Ewald, § 249 b; Ges., § 121. 6. Rem. 1.

וַיִּמְלֵאוּם with double acc., according to Ges., § 139. 2; M. R., § 45. 2.

18. בִּימֵי אַבְרָהָם. LXX, *οἱ παῖδες*; so Sam. and Vulg., reading עַבְדֵי, possibly from עַבְדֵי יִצְחָק in ver. 19.

וַיִּסְתַּכּוּם is imperf. with waw conv. in continuation of חִפְרוּ.

19. מֵיִם חַיִּים. 'Living, i. e. flowing water,' as contrasted with still water; so Lev. 14, 5. Jer. 2, 13.

20. עִשְׂקָה = 'strife;' the word only occurs here.

21. שְׂטֵנָה = 'hostility.'

22. רחבות = 'wide spaces.' Probably the modern Ruḥaibe, about three hours south-east of Elusa, eight hours south of Beersheba, where remains of fountains are still to be found: cf. Robins, i. p. 289 ff.

כי, not = *ὄτι recitativum*, but as in 29, 32. 33. Ex. 3, 12, affirmative, 'surely,' 'indeed.'

וּפְרִינוּ is perf. with waw conv. without a preceding imperf.; cf. 17, 4; Driver, § 119 a; M. R., § 24. 2 b.

26. ואחות מרעהו. ואחות is a pr. n. with the fem. ending ת=, like נְלִיָּת, בְּשֵׁמַת, ver. 34; מִחֶלֶת, 28, 9: so LXX, Syr., Vulg., Saad. Onq., who renders רַחֲמוּהִי, Berl. (some texts מִרְחֲמוּהִי), seems to have taken אחות as fem. cons. state of אחוה, in the sense of 'a troop,' 'crowd,' a meaning אחוה never has: and מרעהו as compounded of מן and רע (if we follow the reading מִרְחֲמוּהִי, and not Berliner's רַחֲמוּהִי). מרעהו, only here in the Pentateuch, = 'friend,' 'confidant,' one who advised him, and rendered him other services; cf. 1 Kings 4, 5. 1 Chron. 27, 33. מִרְעֵהוּ has a firm unchangeable tseré in the first syllable. The LXX translate מרעהו by *νυμφαγωγός*; supposing that to be the capacity in which he acted as his 'friend;' cf. Judg. 14, 20, LXX. Translate, 'With Ahuzzath, his counsellor.'

27. "וּאתם וגו'". Render, 'Seeing that (or since) ye hated me, and sent me away from you.'

28. רָאוּ, inf. abs. Qal of ראה for רָאָה; so יִשְׁתּוּ, Is. 22, 13; and see Ges., § 75. Rem. 2.

לה = here a compact ratified by a solemn oath; so Deut. 29, 11. Ez. 16, 59.

29. תעשה is pointed with tseré, instead of seghol, under

the ה; so in three other places, Josh. 7, 9. 2 Sam. 13, 12. Jer. 40, 16 (Kri). In the last two instances and here, 'in order to avoid, by emphasizing the final sound of the first word, any confusion in sound with the initial sound of the next' (Del.). Cf. also Ges., § 75. Rem. 17; Stade, § 143 e. Rem. 3, who gives other instances, e. g. Josh. 9, 24 וַיִּעָשֶׂה; Lev. 5, 9 וַיִּצְחֶה; Nah. 1, 3 וַיִּנְקֶה (but not Baer and Del. in their edition, who point וַיִּנְקֶה with seghol).

רק טוב. 'Only good,' 'nothing but good;' cf. 6, 5 רק רע; Deut. 28, 33 רק עשוק ורצון.

31. איש לאחיו. Cf. the note on 13, 11.

33. שבעה = 'oath.' The author takes it as equivalent to שָׁבַע. The word is a ἄπαξ λεγόμεν. In 21, 31 another account of the origin of the name Beersheba is given.

34. Cf. 36, 2 foll.

35. וַתִּהְיוּ scriptio defectiva, for וַתִּהְיוּנָה; cf. 19, 33 וַתִּשְׁקֹוּ; 27, 1 וַתִּבְהֹוּ.

מרת רוח, cf. Prov. 14, 10 מרת נפשו = 'bitterness of spirit.' LXX, ἡσαν ἐρίζουσαι, but incorrectly; so Onq. וַתִּבְהֹוּ וַתִּשְׁקֹוּ = 'they were disobedient and provoking to anger' etc.; taking it from מרה = 'to rebel.'

27.

1. מראת = lit. 'away from seeing,' i. e. 'so that he could not see;' cf. 23, 6 and the note on 16, 2.

3. תליך. תָּלַי, a ἄπαξ λεγόμεν., is from תָּלָה 'to hang;' just as בָּלַי is from פָּלָה, דָּלַי from דָּלָה. The LXX, Vulg., Targ. Ps.-Jon., Ibn Ezra, etc. render 'quiver.' Onq., Pesh., Rashi, 'sword.' The former rendering is preferable, being more in

accordance with the context (bow and quiver are more naturally mentioned together than bow and sword); cf. Is. 7, 24. 2 Kings 13, 15: and the root תלה, 'to hang,' suits the rendering 'quiver' better than 'sword;' as a sword would be girded on, while a quiver was hung on the shoulders. תליך = the later word אישפה, which occurs first in Is. 22, 6.

צידה. The Ktb. is צִידָה, feminine of צִיד; being what is called by the Arab grammarians a *nomen unitatis*, meaning 'a single head of game;' while ציד would be 'game' in general; cf. Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. § 246; see also Ges., § 107. 3 e; Ewald, § 176 a. The Kri is צִיד (ה יתיר ה is *redundant*), which was probably chosen by the Massorettes, as צידה usually means 'provisions for a journey,' e.g. 42, 25. 45, 21; or they might have pointed it צִיד here, as this word stands again so pointed in vers. 5, 7, 33. צידה, 'a single head of game,' is quite suitable here—as Isaac would not require more—and is in no wise against vers. 5, 7, 33.

4. והביאה לי ואכלה. 'And bring it to me, and let me eat,' i.e. 'and bring it to me that I may eat;' see Driver, § 60; Dav., § 23, p. 61; Ges., § 128. 1 c.

5. להביא. LXX read לאביו, τῷ πατρὶ αὐτοῦ; but להביא is justified by vers. 4 and 7.

6. אל יעקב בנה. 'To Jacob her son,' i.e. her favourite child, Esau being the father's favourite; cf. 25, 28. The LXX, however, have τὸν υἱὸν αὐτῆς τὸν ἐλάσσω, reading בנה הקטן, cf. vers. 15, 42, perhaps on account of Esau's being called בנו הגדול in ver. 1; cf. ver. 42.

8. לאשר אני מצוה אתך = 'in regard to that which I am charging thee;' so 17, 20 ולישמעאל 'and with regard to Ishmael;' cf. M. R., § 51. 5. Rem. b. אשר includes the demonstrative pronoun; cf. Ges., § 123. 2.

9. גְּרִי. So לְהִי: the pretonic ־ in the construct state is unusual; cf. Stade, § 332 d. 2; Ewald, § 212 b.

וַאֲעֲשֶׂה אֹתָם מִטַּעֲמִים. 'That I may make them [into] dainty dishes.' עֲשֶׂה with a double acc., according to Ges., § 139. 2; M. R., § 45. 5.

12. 'Perchance my father will feel me, and I shall be as one that mocks in his sight,' etc.; cf. Driver, § 115.

מִתַּעֲתַע is part. Pilpel, from תַּעַע; cf. Ges., § 55. 4; Stade, § 281. תַּעַע, like the Arab. تَعْتَع, means 'to stammer,' 'stutter,' and then 'to mock,' cf. לוֹיֵן and לַעַג.

13. קַלְלֶתְךָ. 'Thy curse,' i. e. the curse that shall come upon thee; cf. the note on 9, 2.

15. הַהֲמָרָה = lit. 'costlinesses,' 'costly things,' 'desiderabilia,' so בְּגָדֵי must be understood before it. Esau's best clothes are intended, which he wore on any festive occasions; cf. Judg. 14, 12 ff.

20. מַה זֶה מָהֵרָה לְמַצָּא. 'How then hast thou found it so quickly?' lit. 'how then hast thou made haste to find it?' cf. Ges., § 142. 2; M. R., § 113. מָהֵרָה corresponds to the adverb in English.

24. וַיֹּאמֶר is really in point of time before וַיְבָרְכֵהוּ in ver. 23. In ver. 23 the transaction is briefly described by the single word וַיְבָרְכֵהוּ, the particulars of the blessing being added by ו conv.; cf. Driver, § 75 β; so in 37, 6. 42, 21 ff. 45, 21-24. 48, 17.

אַתָּה זֶה בְּנִי עֲשׂוֹ. 'Thou art then my son Esau,' = 'art thou then my son Esau?' An interrogative sentence without the interrogative particle ה; cf. 2 Kings 20, 9. Job 38, 18; Ewald, § 324 a; Ges., § 153. 1. הִנֵּה is added to give emphasis to the question.

26. וַיִּשְׁקָה. Cf. on 2, 12.

27^b-29 are the words of the blessing. The blessing is poetical in form: observe the parallelism in the verses, and the poetical words and forms, e. g. הָיָה for הָיָה, תְּשַׁמְנֵי הָאָרֶץ, הַיְהִי for הָיָה, לְאֲמִים, נָבִיר.

27^b. 'Behold, the smell of my son is as the smell of a field which Yahweh has blessed:

28. (a) And may God give thee of the dew of the heavens, and of the fatnesses of the earth,

(β) And abundance of corn and wine.

29. (a) May nations serve thee, and peoples bow down to thee; Be a prince over thy brethren, and may thy mother's sons bow down to thee:

(β) Cursed be those that curse thee, And blessed be those that bless thee.'

28. מִשְׁמְנֵי, the ש is undageshed (cf. מִשְׁעֵתִים, Jon. 4, 11; מִגְּבֹרָתָם, Ez. 32, 30), as it is pointed with shewa. The word is compounded of מִן partitive, and שְׁמֵנִי, corresponding to מִטֵּל just before. שְׁמֵנִי is plural cstr. from שָׁמֵן, like קִטְנִים from קָטַן, גְּמָלִים from גָּמַל, not from שָׁפָן. The A. V. takes it as pl. of מִשְׁכָּן, but the sense and the parallelism are against this. On מִן part., see on 4, 3, and cf. 28, 11. 30, 14. Cf. the rendering of the A. V. R. in these verses. On the great fertility of the land of Canaan, cf. Ex. 3, 8. The dew is here mentioned instead of the rain; as in summer, in Palestine, there is very little rain, and the dew takes its place; cf. 49, 25. Deut. 33, 13. Hos. 14, 6. Zech. 8, 12.

יָרֵשׁ from יָרַשׁ 'to take possession;' so called as taking possession of the head or mind; cf. Hos. 4, 11.

29. וַיִּשְׁתַּחֲוֶי וַיִּשְׁתַּחֲוֶי. The Kri is preferable, as the plural precedes (וַיִּעֲבֹדוּ). The Ktb. is possibly—as in 43, 28—an incorrect way of writing the word. The sing. might perhaps stand by Ewald, § 316 a; Ges., § 147 a.

הָיָה for הִיָּה. The verb הָיָה for הִיָּה is North Palestinian and late; cf. the Aramaic הָיָה and ܗܝܘܐ. The imper. occurs again in Is. 16, 4 and Job 37, 6 (with א for ה).

לְאֶחָיִךְ . . . בְּנֵי אִמְךָ; cf. Ps. 50, 20, where they are again rhythmically interchanged.

אֲרוּר . . . בְּרוּךְ. The singular for plural; cf. Ex. 31, 14 מחלליה מות יומת; Lev. 19, 8 וְאִכְלִיו עֹנֵנו יִשָּׂא; Num. 24, 9 מְבַרְכִין בְּרוּךְ וְאֲרִיךְ אֲרוּר; see Ewald, § 319 a; Ges., § 146. 4; M. R., § 135. 4 b.

אֲךָ יֵצֵא יֵצֵא יַעֲקֹב. *'Jacob having only just gone out,'* circ. clause; cf. Josh. 4, 18 נִתְּקוּ כַפּוֹת רַגְלֵי הַכֹּהֲנִים *'the soles of the feet of the priest having been withdrawn,'* 2 Kings 12, 7 לֹא חִזְקוּ הַכֹּהֲנִים אֶת בְּרֵק הַבַּיִת *'the priests not having repaired the breach in the house,'* see Driver, § 165; Ewald, § 341 c.

וְיֹאכַל. 31. is imperf. with weak waw in a jussive sense; so וְיִשְׁתַּחֲוּ, ver. 29; see Driver, § 134.

וְיִצְעַק צַעֲקָה וְגו' . . . וְיִהְיֶה. 33. so ver. 34 *'and he shall cry out and so on,'* the verb being followed by a substantive derived from it in the acc.; cf. Matt. 2, 10, and see Ges., § 138. 1. Rem. 1.

מִי אֵפוֹא הוּא הַצֹּדֵף = *'who then is he, the one that hunted?'* cf. Ps. 24, 10 מִי הוּא זֶה מֶלֶךְ הַכְבוֹד *'who then is this one—the king of glory?'* Zech. 1, 9 מַה הֵמָּה אֱלֹהִים *'what are they—these?'* מִי אֵפוֹא הוּא anticipating the subject; see Driver, § 201. 2; Ewald, § 325 a.

הַצֹּדֵף צִיד וְיֹבֵא. *'Who hunted game and brought it;'* cf. 35, 3 וְיִהְיֶה . . . וְהֵעֵנָה אִתִּי; 49, 17 הַנֶּשֶׁךְ . . . וְיִפֹּל. The participle breaks off into the imperf. with waw consec.; a fact being stated, not a possibility, in which case we should find the perf. with waw consec.; cf. Driver, § 117; M. R., § 15; Ges., § 134. 2. Rem. 2.

34. **כשמע . . . ויצעק** is either to be explained as 19, 15 by Driver, § 127 b, the imperf. with waw conv. after a time-determination, or the word **וַיְהִי** must be supplied with the LXX, Sam.; so Tuch, Di. **וַיְהִי** might easily have fallen out after **יִהְיֶה** at the end of ver. 33. Hitzig emends as follows (his emendation being accepted by Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 377), **וַאֲבִרְכֶהוּ גַם בְּרוּךְ : וַיְהִי**.

בִּרְכַנִּי גַם אֲנִי. Cf. 4, 26 **הוּא גַם הוּא**; Num. 14, 32 **וּפְגִרִיכֶם אַתֶּם**; see Ges., § 121. 3; Ewald, § 311 a; M. R., § 72. 1 and Rem. a.

36. 'Is it that they have called his name Jacob? for he hath supplanted me now twice,' etc.; cf. 29, 15 **הֲכִי אַחִי אַתָּה**. LXX, *δικαίως ἐκλήθη*; Vulg. 'juste vocatum est nomen ejus;' cf. M. R., § 143. Rem. b; Ewald, § 324 b, who remarks that **הֲכִי** 'is used when the reason is unknown' = the Lat. *numquid*, Ger. *etwa*. In 25, 26 another explanation of the name is given.

זֶה פְעָמַיִם; cf. Ges., § 122. 2. note; M. R., § 91.

37. **סַמְכַתִּיר**, with a double acc.; see Ps. 51, 14 **וַיִּרַח**; **נִדְיָבָה תַסְמַכְנִי**; so **סַעַד לְבַךְ פֶּת לֶחֶם**, Judg. 19, 5; cf. Ewald, § 283 b (2).

לְכָה, *scriptio plena* for **לָךְ**, only occurs here in the Pentateuch; cf. **אִיכָה** in 3, 9 for **אִיךְ**.

38. **הַבְּרָכָה**, see on 34, 31.

39. **מִשְׁמָנִי**, not **מ** partitive as the A.V. margin, nor cstr. plural of **מִשְׁמָן** as A.V. and M. R., § 136. Rem. a; cf. ver. 28, because **מִטַּל** in the second half of the verse is against this, but privative (so most modern scholars). 'Away from the fatnesses;' cf. vers. 37, 40. Render,

'Far from the fatnesses of the earth be thy dwelling-place,
And far from the dew of heaven from above.'

Other instances of **מִן** privative are Num. 15, 24 **מֵעֵינֵי הָעֵדָה**

'out of sight of the congregation ;' Prov. 20, 3 מריב 'away from strife ;' Job 11, 15 מזוהם 'without blemish.'

The sterility of Edom is here contrasted with the fertility of Palestine ; so ver. 40,

'And by thy sword shalt thou live, and thy brother shalt thou serve ;

And it will be, when thou rebellest, that thou wilt break his yoke from off thy neck.'

40. על הרבך, i. e. the sword is conceived of as the means of procuring the necessities of life, or as the basis on which Esau's life will rest ; cf. על הלחם לבדו in Deut. 8, 3 ; Is. 38, 16 עליהם יהיו.

תריד. The root רוּד occurs four times in the Old Test., twice in Qal, Jer. 2, 31. Hos. 12, 1, and twice in Hif', here and Ps. 55, 3. רוּד is the Arab. رَوَى, conj. I. 'to go to and fro ;' II. 'to desire, long for ;' III. 'to strive after, wish.' In Hebrew the root means 'to wander about unrestrained,' a meaning which suits Hos. and Jer., loc. cit. ; Judah being described (Hos. 12, 1 ער רר) as still wandering about with regard to God, i. e. independently, of his own free will, withdrawing himself from God ; so Jer. 2, 31 רדנו 'we have wandered about,' i. e. abandoned God. In Ps. 55, 3 אריר בשיחי (where the Hif'il is used) the meaning is slightly different, 'I wander to and fro in my meditation,' 'I am tossed about by anxiety and care.' Del. and Kn. render here, 'when thou roamest about,' but this is unsuitable, as a yoke would not be broken by roaming about, nor could a person under a yoke be well conceived of as roaming about at will. כאשר in this case would be like Num. 27, 14. Tuch renders, 'when thou rebellest' (cf. Jer. and Hos., l. c.), to which Di. objects that every one who is under a yoke rebels, but does not get free ; but this is not

conclusive against Tuch's rendering. Di. prefers the rendering, 'when thou strivest;' cf. **אָן** IV, the meaning being, 'when thou, though in bondage, strivest to become free, thou shalt break off the yoke from thy neck, and attain thy desire.' The A.V. renders, 'when thou shalt have dominion' (so Kimchi; cf. Ges., *Thes.*, p. 1269 a), as though **תְּרִיד** were from **רָדָה**, but this is tautological. Other renderings are, 'when thou shalt wish;' 'when thou shalt bewail,' both extremely doubtful. The Versions seem to have misunderstood the word. Onq. renders it by **בְּדַר יְעִיבְרוּן בְּנוֹתָי וְגו'** 'when his sons transgress' etc., probably a paraphrase. Syr. has **וְאִם תִּתְּנֶה** 'and if thou repentest;' but how they get this out of **תְּרִיד** is not clear. LXX have **ἡνίκα ἐὰν καθέλῃς**, probably connecting it with **יָרַד**, Hif'. **הוֹרִיד**. The Vulg. has a free paraphrase, '*tempusque veniet cum excutias, et solvas jugum ejus*,' etc. The Heb.-Sam. has **תְּאָדָר**, Nif'. of **אָדָר** ('when thou becomest great'), for **תְּרִיד**, so the Book of Jubilees (Di.). The best rendering seems to be either Tuch's or Dillmann's. The A.V.R. renders, 'break loose.' For the fulfilment of the blessing, cf. 2 Kings 8, 20 ff. 16, 6.

41. **יִקְרְבוּ יְמֵי אָבִי אֲבִי**. Render, '*The days of mourning for my father*,' etc., i. e. Isaac would soon die; cf. ver. 4 and ver. 7, and then Esau contemplated taking vengeance on Jacob; **אָבִי** being obj. genit. Others, e. g. Luther, Kalisch, render as genit. of the subject, '*days of grief for my father*,' i. e. Isaac would grieve when he heard of Jacob's death. But the genitive after **אָבִי** is always obj. genit.

42. '*And they told (lit. it was told) Ribqah the words of Esau;*' on the construction, cf. the note on 4, 18.

מִתְנַחֵם. '*Will revenge himself upon thee*,' lit. '*procure for himself satisfaction, or ease (viz. by taking revenge)*;' cf. the Nif'. **אֲנַחֵם** in Is. 1, 24.

44. ימים אחרים. 'A few days,' lit. 'some days;' cf. 29, 20 כימים אחרים; Dan. 11, 20 ובימים אחרים. 'Ribqah mentions a short time in order to persuade Jacob more easily,' Di.

45. עד שוב . . . ושכח. 'Until thy brother's anger turn . . . and he forget;' cf. 18, 25 והיה . . . להמת, and the note there.

גם שניכם; cf. Prov. 17, 15. They would both perish, as the murderer would (9, 6) be put to death.

28.

2. פרנה ארם. The construct state with ה local; cf. on 20, 1. The syllable ה־ is pointed with orthophonic Ga'ya (cf. Ges., § 16, 3), so that its sound may be kept distinct from that of the following א in ארם; cf. 44, 2 וְנִבְיַע הַפְּקָה; 11, 25 הַיִּשְׁעֵי־עֵשָׂרָה (Baer and Del. ed.); see also Stade, § 56. פִּדְנָה = פִּדְנָה; cf. סָלָה = סָלָה; and see Del. on Ps. 3, *Comment.*, 4th ed., p. 83.

3. וְהִיִּית . . . יִבְרַךְ אֶתְךָ. The perf. with waw consecutive, after the imperf. as a jussive; cf. on 1, 14.

5. Cf. Hos. 12, 13 וַיִּבְרַח יַעֲקֹב שָׂדֵה אֲרָם.

6. וְשָׁלַח. We should expect here וַיִּשְׁלַח; no adequate reason can be given for the use of the perfect with waw here, where the imperf. with waw cons. would be expected: possibly the present reading has arisen through י having dropped out between ו and ש. See Driver, § 133. Di. explains it on account of its being dependent on כִּי, but this would require the waw conv. with the imperf. when another perfect had preceded, as already וַיִּשְׁמַע in ver. 7.

ויצו . . . בברכו. The imperf. with waw conv. continuing an inf. cstr., a fact being stated; cf. Driver, § 118 ad fin. So 39, 18 ואקרא . . . כהרימי; see also Ges., § 132. 3. Rem. 2.

9. מחלת. In 36, 3 בְּשֵׁמֶת (cf. the note there) is the name of the daughter of Ishmael whom Esau married.

על נשיו = 'in addition to his wives,' i. e. the wives mentioned 26, 34; so 31, 50 נשים על בנותי.

Verse 9 forms the apodosis to ver. 6; וישמע, ver. 7, being dependent on וירא, ver. 6, and וירא, ver. 8, resuming the וירא of ver. 6.

11. ויפגע במקום. ויפגע במקום with the article = 'the place,' i. e. the place that was suitable for passing the night.

מאבני המקום. 'Some of the stones;' מִן partitive as in 4, 3.

מראשתיו = 'at his head.' מְרִאֲשֹׁתָיו for מְרִאֲשֹׁתָיו; cf. מְתַלְעוֹתָיו for מְתַלְעוֹתָיו. The plural is the plural used to mark extension of space (as here) or time; see Ges., § 108. 2 a; Stade, § 313 b. The feminine plural being used, according to Stade, § 322 c ('single things in which a definite quality appears'), מראשות = 'that which is at the head,' just as מרגלות = 'that which is at the feet;' cf. מטעמות and מעדנות 'dainties;' נפלאות 'wondrous deeds.'

12. סלם from סלל, with the ending ם־, as in אילם from אול; cf. Stade, § 293; Ges., § 87. 1 d. This ending is more frequent in proper names, e. g. מְרִים, מְרִים, מְרִים, מְרִים, מְרִים, מְרִים. סלם is a ἀπαξ λεγόμεν.

וראשו . . . השמימה. 'With its top reaching heavenwards;' cf. 11, 4, and see Driver, § 159.

13. נצב עליו. 'Standing on it' (the ladder). LXX, ἐπ' αὐτῆς; so Vulg., Syriac, Del. Tuch and Di. render, 'standing

by *him*' (Jacob), which perhaps is better (cf. 18, 2), as one does not see why it should be said that Yahweh stood on the ladder, while the thought, 'Yahweh stood by Jacob,' is more natural; and if עליו referred to שלם, we should expect לו, or ליעקב, after ויאמר.

14. וְקָדְמָה . . . וְנִגְבָּה; cf. on 1, 2.

15. "עַד אֲשֶׁר אֶם וְגו'." *'Until that I shall have done,'* lit. *'until that when;'* cf. on 24, 19 and Num. 32, 17 עַד אֲשֶׁר אֶם; הַבִּיאָנָם; Is. 6, 11 עַד אֲשֶׁר אֶם שְׂאוּ עֵרִים

16. וְאֲנִי לֹא יוֹדַעְתִּי = *'without my knowing it,'* circ. cl.; cf. Driver, § 160; see on 24, 31.

17. מַה נֹרָא. *'How dreadful!'* cf. Ps. 8, 2 מַה אֲדִיר *'how glorious!'* Num. 24, 5 מַה טָבוּ אֹהֲלֶיךָ *'how goodly are thy tents,'* etc.; see M. R., § 93. Rem. c.

20-22. The apodosis commences with וְהָיָה יְהוָה לִי at the end of ver. 21. Render, *'If God be with me, and keep me on this journey which I am going, and give me bread to eat, and raiment to wear, and I return safe and sound to my father's house, then shall Yahweh be my God, and this stone,'* etc.; so LXX, Pesh., Vulg., Di., Del.; and this division is more natural than that proposed by Tuch, who commences the apodosis with ver. 22. Cf. Driver, § 115, on the perfect with waw conv. after an imperf. with אִם.

29.

1. The LXX add after קָרַם בְּנֵי קָרַם, πρὸς Λάβαν τὸν υἱὸν Βαθουήλ τοῦ Σύρου, ἀδελφὸν δὲ Ῥεβέκκας, μητρὸς Ἰακώβ και Ἡσαῦ, probably a gloss to harmonise this passage with 28, 5; the expression קָרַם בְּנֵי קָרַם for Mesopotamia—which is only found here—being in itself more or less indefinite.

2. 'And he looked up, and behold a well in the field, and behold there, three flocks of sheep were lying by it; for out of that well they used to water the flocks,' etc. 3. 'And all the flocks used to be gathered thither, and they used to roll away the stone from off the mouth of the well, and water the sheep, and bring back the stone upon the mouth of the well to its place.'

Observe the tenses, which are instructive. The participle רבצים, 'were lying,' describing the condition at the particular occasion, the frequentative imperfect ישקו, and this followed by four perfects with waw conversive, ונאספו, וגללו, והשקו, והשיבו, describing what used habitually to be done; cf. Driver, §§ 31; 113. 4 β; M. R., § 25; Ges., §§ 127. 4 b; 126. 6 d.

2. והאבן גדולה. 'And the stone on the mouth of the well was great,' lit. 'and the stone was great on the mouth of the well;' גדולה without the article, and therefore predicate; so in ver. 7 הן עוד היום גדול; cf. Ges., § 110. 3 (misprinted 4 in the 1880 ed.); Dav., § 11. Rule 2; M. R., § 125.

והאבן גדולה על פי הבאר, i. e. 'the stone on the mouth of the well,' etc., which in the more common construction would be וגדולה האבן אשר על פי הבאר; cf. Mic. 6, 12 ולשונם רמיה בפיהם.

With these two verses cf. 24, 11 ff. Ex. 2, 16 ff. (where, however, the tenses are different, a single occasion only being described).

4. אחי = 'my friends!' cf. 19, 7.

6. באה = 'is coming,' participle not perfect; in ver. 9 באה is accented on the penult., and is therefore the perfect.

7. לא עת האסף המקנה. 'It is not time for the cattle to be gathered together,' lit. 'it is not the time of the being gathered together of the cattle,' i. e. for the cattle to be collected and put up for the night. On the construction of the inf.

cstr. with a subj. following and a construct state preceding, cf. Ges., §§ 132. 1 b; 133. 2; M. R., §§ 111, 118.

8. כל העדרים. The LXX have πάντας τοὺς ποιμένας, reading כָּל־הַרְעִים, an easier reading than that of the text; so the Sam. here and ver. 3.

עד אשר יאספו . . . וגללו . . . והשקינו. The impf. continued by the pft. with waw conv., as in Ex. 23, 30 עד אשר יאמר יאשמו ובקשו פני 15; Hos. 5, 15; and often; cf. Driver, p. 161.

9. עורנו מדבר . . . ורחל באה. Cf. on 38, 25.

אשר לאביה. אשר ל to express the genitive, as in 40, 5; למלך מצרים; 47, 4 לעבדיך; see Ges., § 115. 1; M. R., § 83.

11. וישק יעקב. וישק (ver. 13) Pi'el = 'to kiss fondly,' or 'cover with kisses,' as distinguished from the Qal יִשַׁק (here) 'to kiss;' cf. φιλέω and καταφιλέω in Greek.

13. את שמע יעקב. LXX, τὸ ὄνομα Ἰακώβ; so LXX in Num. 14, 15. 1 Kings 10, 1, possibly confusing שמע with שם, which was very similar in sound.

14. חדש ימים. 'A month, days,' i. e. a whole month; cf. 41, 1 שנתים ימים 'two years;' Num. 11, 20 שנתים ימים being loosely subordinated to חדש; see Driver, § 192. 1; Ewald, § 287 h; Ges., § 118. 3; M. R., § 71. 4.

15. "הכי אחי וגו'. Cf. 27, 36. 'Art thou, as a brother, to serve me for nothing?' lit. 'is it the case that thou art my brother, and shouldst serve me for nothing?' cf. the Vulg. 'num quia frater meus es, gratis servies mihi?' On ועברתני, perf. with waw conv. after וְ, without an imperf. preceding, cf. Driver, § 123 γ.

17. ועיני לאה רכות. The predicate in the plural with the subject in the dual, as the dual in Hebrew only occurs in

a few nouns, never in the verb or adj. (contrast the Arabic); see M. R., § 134; Ges., § 146. 5.

רכות. 'Weak,' lit. 'tender,' neither bright nor clear. So LXX and Syr. But Onq. and Saadiah take רכות as meaning 'beautiful,' as though Leah had fine eyes, but otherwise was not so handsome as Rachel. Good eyes were considered by the Orientals one of the essentials of beauty; cf. Song of Songs 4, 1. 1 Sam. 16, 12.

18. שבע שנים. Jacob wished to purchase his wife by seven years' service without hire, the seven years' service taking the place of the ordinary price (מהר) paid the wife's relatives before marriage; cf. 24, 53. 34, 12. Hos. 3, 2. 1 Sam. 18, 23 ff.

19. "טוב תתי וגו". 'It is better for me to give her to thee, than for me to give her to another man;' cf. Ex. 14, 12 לנו כי טוב טוב לשבת על פנת גג 21. 9 עבד את מצרים ממתנו במדבר מאשת מדונים; so ver. 19.

לאיש אחר, i. e. a stranger; cf. Jer. 6, 12. 8, 10. At the present day in Arabia the cousin is preferred as a husband to a stranger; cf. Lane, *Manners and Customs*, vol. i, p. 167.

21. הבה את אשתי. הבה is accented on the last syllable, on account of the light consonant א in את, that both ה and א may have their full sound.

22. משתה, i. e. the wedding banquet; cf. Judg. 14, 12. Tobit 11, 19.

23. The bride was brought to her husband veiled (cf. 24, 65), and so the deception practised by Laban could easily be accomplished.

26. "לא יעשה וגו" = 'it is not customary in our land,' lit. 'it is not wont thus to be done;' cf. 34, 7 יעשה 2 Sam. 13, 12 כי לא יעשה בן בישראל.

27. **שבע זאת**. The wedding festivities usually lasted a week; cf. Judg. and Tobit, l. c.

ונתנה, i. e. Laban and his relatives; cf. 24, 50. The LXX and Sam. read **ואתן**.

30. **גם אל רחל**. **גם** = 'also;' the second **גם** in **אח** **רחל** may either emphasize Rachel only (see Ges., § 155. 2 a), or may be taken with **מן** = 'etiam,' 'still more than,' which is perhaps a little forced. Di. condemns both ways as against the usage of the language, and following the LXX and Vulg., rejects the second **גם**. Knobel takes the second **גם** with **ויאהב**, i. e. did not only go in to her, but also loved her: but this would require **ויאהב גם אהב**; cf. 31, 15. 46, 4.

ויאהב . . . מלאה. On the comparative, cf. M. R., § 49. 2; Ges., § 119. 1.

31. **שנואה**, not absolutely 'hated,' but relatively 'less loved;' cf. Deut. 21, 15. Matt. 6, 24.

32. **אמרה כי**. **כי** as in 26, 22; cf. the note there; so ver. 33.

ראה . . . בעניי. **ראה . . . ב** = 'to look upon with compassion;' so 1 Sam. 1, 11 **אמתך בעני תראה** **בעני אמתי**; Ps. 106, 44 **בצר להם וירא בצר להם**.

יאהבני; cf. 19, 19 and the note there.

33. **שמעון** = 'hearing.'

34. **ילווה . . . אלי**. 'Will become attached to me;' cf. Num. 18, 2. 4. **לו** as though = 'attachment' or 'dependent.'

קרא. As the mother in the case of the other three sons, Simeon, Reuben, and Judah, gives them their names, so probably the reading of the LXX, *ἐκάλεσε* (not *ἐκλήθη*), Syr. **קראה** = **קראה**, is correct. **קרא** would = 'one called him,' 'people called him.'

35. יהודה = ‘praise,’ ‘a subject of praise.’ A Hof'al derivative; cf. Ps. 28, 7. 45, 18. Neh. 11, 17, where the ה of the Hif'. of ידה (ודה) is irregularly retained.

30.

1. מתה; cf. on 29, 6.

2. התחת אלהים אנכי. ‘Am I in God's stead?’ i.e. am I all powerful, so that I might give you children? so again 50, 19 (אני); cf. 2 Kings 5, 7 ולהיות ומהמית אני להחיות.

3. על ברכי; so 50, 23 על ברכי יוסף; cf. Job 3, 12. Rachel follows Sarah's example (16, 2), and gives her maid Bilhah to Jacob, so that she might rear up her (Bilhah's) child as her own, and in some measure escape the reproach of childlessness.

6. דַּבַּרְנִי with the tone-syllable doubled; so תִּבְעַתֶּנִּי, Job 7, 14; cf. Stade, § 71. 3.

דן = ‘judge.’ God heard Rachel's prayer, and decided (דין) according to her wish.

8. נפתולי אלהים = lit. ‘struggles of God,’ i.e. struggles or wrestlings for God's favour; cf. ver. 6. 29, 31. 30, 2. The A.V. renders, ‘with great wrestlings,’ i.e. for the husband's love: but the sisters were never rivals for the husband's love (cf. 29, 33 and ver. 15 of this chapter), as Rachel was always the favourite wife of Jacob. נפתולי is a ἀπαξ λεγόμεν. and the only noun of this form; see Stade, § 251. נפתלי = ‘one obtained by struggling’ (?). Di. *Kampfmann* (‘man of combat’ or ‘struggling’).

11. בָּגֶד = the Kri באַ גַּד ‘good fortune comes;’ so Onq. and the Syriac (ܒܳܓܳܕܳܘܳܢܳܐ) ‘my fortune cometh’; but this reading of the Kri is unnecessary. The Ktb. בגד, pointed בָּגֶד (LXX, ἐν τύχη; Vulg. ‘feliciter’)—the pausal form of גַּד—yields a good sense, ‘I am in luck;’ cf. באַשְׂרֵי, ver. 13. גַּד

(cf. Is. 65, 11, where it is the Babylonian god of good fortune, identified with Bel, and later with the planet Jupiter) was the name of an old Phoenician and Canaanitish god. Traces of the name are still preserved in the proper name בעל גר, Josh. 11, 17, and the Phoenician proper names גרעת, גרנעם; see Euting, *Sechs Phönizische Inschriften aus Idalion*, p. 14 (1875). The Ktb. might be read בגר, and explained by Ges., § 102. 2 c, the ב being pointed with pretonic qameç; the meaning being, as above, 'I am in luck.' But this is improbable.

The A.V. (but not the A.V.R., see Prof. Driver's paper on the Revised Version in *The Expositor*, July, 1885) and Gr. Ven. (ἡκεῖ στρατεύμα) give גר the meaning of גרור; cf. 49, 19. But גר never means 'a troop,' and 49, 19 is not decisive on the meaning here.

13. באשרי = 'In my prosperity!' i.e. I am in luck; cf. ver. 11.

כי אשרוני. 'For the daughters are sure to call me lucky;' cf. Is. 11, 9 כי מלאה הארץ דעה; Jer. 25, 14 כי עבדו במ. The perfect of certitude or prophetic perfect; cf. Driver, § 14 β; M. R., § 3. 1; Ges., § 126. 4; Dav., § 46. 2. 3.

אִשֶּׁר = 'the lucky one;' cf. אִשְׁרָה? 'the goddess of good fortune.'

14. דודאים is pl. of דודי; cf. ללאות, from an obsolete singular לילי, — of the singular being softened into א in the plural; so הָלֵי, pl. הַלָּאִים; צָבִי, pl. צְבָאוֹת and צְבָאִים; פְּתִי, pl. פְּתָאִים¹; cf. Stade, §§ 122. 301 a. דודאים = 'love apples,' i.e. the fruit of the *Mandragora vernalis*, or mandrake, of a yellow colour, and similar in shape to an apple; found in Palestine, especially in Galilee. There seem to have been two kinds of דודאים, the *Mandragora vernalis* and *autumnalis* (Song of Songs 7, 14), unless we suppose with Tuch that in

¹ But cf. Baer and Del., *Liber Psalmorum*, Lipsiae, 1880, p. 115.

this passage the fruit is intended (at the time of the wheat harvest, i. e. May to June), while in Song of Songs the blossom is meant (cf. the LXX rendering in Song of Songs, οἱ μανδραγόροι, with their translation here, μῆλα μανδραγορῶν). On the supposed efficacy of the רוראים as love potions, see Tuch, p. 385 f., and the authorities cited by him.

15. 'Is thy taking away my husband a little thing, and (art thou) for taking away the love apples of my son too?' cf. Esth. 7, 8 הגם לכבוש את המלכה ; 2 Chron. 19, 2 הִלְרִשַׁע לְעוֹזַר . ולקחה is not perf. as Tuch, but inf. cstr. used as a periphrastic future ; see Driver, § 204 ; also Ges., § 132. 3. Rem. 1. Di. remarks that 'the inf. ולקחה ("and to take" = "and thou wilt take"?) expresses the intention more forcibly than the more natural construction with the perfect וְלָקַחְתָּ ; see 20, 16.'

16. שִׁכַר שְׁכַרְתִּיךְ, i. e. by giving Rachel some of the love apples.

בלילה הוא ; cf. 19, 33 and the note there.

18. יִשְׁשַׁכֵּר. The reading given in Baer and Del.'s edition is pointed יִשְׁשַׁכֵּר, with the Kri *perpetuum* יִשְׁכֵּר, i. e. wherever יִשְׁשַׁכֵּר occurs in the O. T. it is always pointed יִשְׁכֵּר, as though there were no second ש : this is the reading of Ben Asher (the Tiberian or Occidental punctuation). Ben Naftali reads יִשְׁשַׁכֵּר (the Babylonian or Oriental punctuation) ; cf. Baer and Del., *Gen.*, p. 84. On the readings of Ben Asher and Ben Naftali, see Bleek-Wellhausen, *Einl.*, pp. 563, 614 f. ; Bleek, *Introduction*, Eng. trans., ii. p. 463 ; Strack, *Proleg.*, p. 36 f., *De codicibus Orient. et Occident.* Ben Asher's reading יִשְׁכֵּר is perhaps a derivative from the Nif'al of שִׁכַר = 'got for hire' (Wright) ; so apparently the LXX, Ἰσάχαρ ; Vulg. *Issachar* ; Syr. ܝܫܫܚܪܐ ; Saad. ܝܫܫܚܪܐ ; Josephus, ἐκ μίσθου γεινόμενος. The reading of Ben Naftali, יִשְׁשַׁכֵּר, is the same as that of Ben Asher, but

written differently. Some think that Ben Naftali read יִשְׁשֹׁבֶר ('*affert proemium*') = יִשְׂאָ שְׁבֶר; see Baer and Del., loc. cit., '*At certe de Ben Naf. falluntur.*' Mose ben Mocha read יִשְׁשֹׁבֶר '*est proemium,*' after Jer. 31, 16. 2 Chron. 15, 7.

20. יִזְבֵּלְנִי and זְבֵלְנִי are both ἀπαξ λεγόμεν.

יִזְבֵּלְנִי (of the same form as יִשְׁוֹרֵן) = '*habitation.*' In this verse two explanations of the name are given, (a) זְבֵלְנִי . . . זְבֵל '*presented me with a goodly present,*' and (b) יִזְבֵּלְנִי¹ (זְבֵל with the acc. like שְׁבֵן and גֹּר) '*will dwell with me,*' probably being derived from different documents; so ver. 24.

21. דִּינָה = '*vindicatio;*' the daughter's name is here given, as necessary to explain chap. 34. Jacob's daughters are elsewhere presupposed (46, 7. 37, 35), but not mentioned by name.

24. יוֹסֵף explained from ver. 23 אֶסֶף אֱלֹהִים אֶת חַרְפְּתִי יוֹסֵף, as though it were יֹאסֵף = '*taker away,*' i. e. of my reproach of childlessness. In 24^b the name is explained differently, יוֹסֵף י"י לִי בֶן אַחֵר '*may Yahweh add to me another son,*' so = '*multiplier;*' see on ver. 20, and cf. 35, 18.

27. "אִם נָא מִצְאָתִי וְגו'". '*If now I have found favour in thine eyes,—I have observed the omens, and Yahweh has blessed me for thy sake.*' The apodosis to "אִם נָא מִצְאָתִי וְגו'" is suppressed; the apodosis would perhaps run אִל נָא תַעֲבֵר מֵעָלַי, as in 18, 3. The words cannot be translated '*Would that I had found favour in thy eyes*' (Ges. in *Thes.*), as this would require the imperf., not the perfect; cf. Ps. 81, 9. 139, 19. נִחְשָׁתִי; see on 44, 15. The LXX have οἰωνοσάμην ἄν, making נִחְשָׁתִי into the apodosis to אִם נָא מִצְאָתִי. The A.V. renders, '*I have learned by experience,*' following the Vulgate '*experimento didici.*'

¹ In Assyrian the root *zabal* = '*to bear,*' '*lift up;*' it is possible, therefore, that יִזְבֵּלְנִי should be rendered, '*will lift me up,*' i. e. '*honour me;*' see Cheyne's crit. note on Is. 63, 15; Del., *Heb. Lang.*, p. 38 f.; and his *Prolegomena* (Leipzig, 1886), p. 62.

28. **עלי** = lit. 'upon me.' **על** because it will be as a burden to him; cf. 34, 12.

29. 'Thou knowest how I have served thee, and what thy cattle has become with me.' **ואת אשר היה** and **את אשר עבדתך** "are both accusatives after **ידעת**. **אתה** is emphatic, 'thou with whom I have been in service shouldst know.' **אתי** 'with me,' i. e. under my care.

30. **ויפרץ**. Waw conv., as in Ex. 9, 21, which compare.

לרגלי, lit. 'at my steps,' i. e. wherever I went; cf. Is. 41, 2 **צדק יקראהו לרגלו** 'whom righteousness meeteth wherever he goeth;' Job 18, 11 **והפיצהו לרגליו**.

גם אנכי. Emphatic, 'I too.' You have been prosperous, when shall I begin prospering?

31. **אשובה ארעה**. 'I will again feed;' so 26, 18 **וישב יצחק** 'and Isaac dug again.' Two verbs to express one idea, where in English an adverb is used; so Ps. 7, 13 **ילכוש . . . ישוב** 'will again sharpen;' see Ges., § 142. 3 b; M. R., § 30 a.

32-43. These twelve verses are very obscure, possibly corrupt. In ver. 31, Jacob, in answer to Laban's request to tell him what reward he desires, replies that Laban is to give him nothing if he will accede to a proposal he has to make. In ver. 32, Jacob proposes to go through Laban's flock, and separate the particoloured and black sheep, and all the particoloured goats. The normal colour of the goats is black, or at least dark-brown; that of the sheep, on the contrary, white; see Song of Songs 1, 2. 6, 6. Dan. 7, 9; cf. Song of Songs 1, 5. The greater number of the sheep and goats would naturally be of normal colour, white and black respectively. Jacob proposes that the abnormal cattle

shall be his hire. Laban, vers. 34-36, consents to Jacob's proposal, and separates the normal and abnormal coloured sheep and goats, and sends the latter off, under the charge of his sons, three days' journey distant from the remainder of his flock of normal coloured animals, left in Jacob's charge. Jacob, in order that the animals left with him may bring forth a greater number of abnormal coloured offspring than they would usually produce, has recourse to the stratagem of the peeled rods in the drinking-troughs (37-39). Ver. 40 seems to contain a second contrivance on the part of Jacob to increase his flock, but the text is very obscure and almost certainly corrupt (see the note there). Vers. 41, 42 either contain a third stratagem, or refer to the previous two (the frequentative tenses perhaps supporting the latter view), 41, 42 being a more detailed account of the contrivance practised in vers. 38, 39.

32. **היום** seems to imply that the cattle separated *that day*, if of abnormal colour, were to belong to Jacob; but against this is firstly ver. 31, where Jacob declines any hire, and secondly vers. 35, 36^b, where Laban, not Jacob, separates and drives off the abnormal coloured cattle, which seem, according to ver. 32, to belong to Jacob, but here are apparently regarded as Laban's. To avoid this difficulty, some, e. g. Tuch, suppose that Jacob's hire is to be the abnormal coloured cattle that would be born, cf. ver. 37 ff.; but nothing is said of this in ver. 32, and it is questionable whether **והיה שכרי** would fit in with this view. Di. proposes to alter the accentuation of ver. 32, and point the first **טלוא** with *Athnach*; then the meaning would be '*every black sheep among the sheep, and spotted and patched among the goats, shall be my hire*,' i. e. you are to give me nothing now, but the abnormal coloured cattle born after the division, in ver. 32, has taken place will be

mine; cf. מחר, ver. 33. This seems the simplest solution of the difficulties.

הסר is inf. abs. Others prefer taking הסר as imperative, addressed to לבן, which suits ver. 35, but not אעבר נקר. אעבר נקר 'spotted and patched.' טלוא is not found again outside this chapter, except in Ez. 16, 16, pl. fem. טלאות והיה שכרי 'and (these) shall be my hire,' i.e. the sheep and goats of abnormal colour that shall be born after the division mentioned in this verse has been carried out; see above. שיה is used here of both sheep and goats, being further defined by עזים and כשבים. Ver. 35 is a more minute description of this verse. The LXX have παρελθέτω, reading the easier reading -עבר בל; Vulg. 'gyra omnes greges tuos' (עבר בכל), both regarding הסר as imper.

כשבים. A form peculiar to the Pent., for which we find elsewhere כבשים.

33. וענתה בי צדקתי. Mühlau and Volck (Ges., *H.W.B.*, 9th ed.) render here and 1 Sam. 12, 3, 'bear witness for me;' but as ענה... ב always elsewhere means 'to bear witness against,' and as this meaning is not unsuitable in 1 Sam. 12, 3, it is preferable to follow Del. and render 'my righteousness shall testify against me,' i.e. I shall be self-condemned (Wright).

מחר = 'hereafter;' cf. Ex. 13, 14. Deut. 6, 20. Josh. 4, 6.

כי תבא... לפניך. 'When thou comest about my hire, before thee,' i.e. when thou comest to inspect the cattle (my hire) which will be before thee; or לפניך may be connected with וענתה בי צדקתי, in the sense 'my righteousness will testify against me... before thee;' but the position of לפניך, at some distance from וענתה בי צדקתי, is against this.

והורם = וואיננו חום, as the black sheep, being Jacob's hire, could not be regarded as stolen.

35. יִסַּר, imperf. Hif., not Qal, although the apocopated imperf. third pers. masc. sing. Qal and Hif. are the same, the context alone deciding the conjugation intended. Laban is here the subject, as is clear from בניו at the end of the verse, and בינו ובין יעקב in the next verse. The cattle left with Jacob were of normal colour, white sheep and dark-coloured goats.

36. בינו 'between him.' LXX and Sam. ביניהם (ביניהם) 'between them,' i. e. his sons.

37. מקל doubtless collective, hence the fem. (as בהן, cf. Jer. 4, 29^b, shews); elsewhere it is masculine.

לבנה = 'Styrax' (*Styrax officinalis*). Arabic لُبْنَى. The noun לבנה is of the same form as פִּנְשֵׁתָהּ, אֲנֶשֶׁה, אֶפֶּה = '—' (cf. the Arabic name ending in عَى = '—, and see Stade, § 301 b), from לבן, so called on account of the milk-like gum that flows from it when its bark is cut. Others, following the Vulg. here and the LXX in Hos. 4, 13, render 'poplar;' so A.V. here.

לה = 'almond.' Arabic لَوْز, Aram. חֶלְוִל. Del. remarks that לה is the more Aramaic-Arabic word for שִׁקָּר.

ערמון = 'plane tree' (*Platanus orientalis*), from ערם 'to strip,' so called because the bark peels off from year to year, and the tree becomes as it were naked.

מחשף = חשף, inf. abs. 'exposing the white;' one of the very few instances in Hebrew of an abstract form with the force of an infinitive; so מִקְרָא, מִפֶּסַע (as inf. cstr.) from גָּפַע, מצוה (Neh. 12, 45, with acc.); cf. Ewald, § 239 a. In Aramaic the inf. of the first conjugation (=Qal) is formed by prefixing מ.

38. ררהמים, rare and Aramaising, here explained by שִׁקְתוֹת מִים.

שִׁקְתוֹת is pl. of שִׁקַּת, like אֲמִרוֹת, Ps. 12, 7, from אָמַר; cf. Stade, § 187 b; Ewald, § 212 b, who cites סִבְבֵי from סָבַף.

לִנְכַח 'over against.'

ויהמנה from חמם, instead of וַיִּחַמְנָה, as 1 Sam. 6, 12 וַיִּשְׁרְנָה; Dan. 8, 22 יַעֲמִדְנָה (all). In Arabic the third fem. pl. form is يَفْتُلْنَ, and in Aramaic יִקְטִלָן; see Ges., § 47. 3. Rem. 3; Stade, § 534. 1. *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., gives the root as יחם, not חמם, יחמנה = ייחמנה; cf. יִשָּׁב from יָשַׁב. If it is from חָמַם it follows the analogy of יָמַר, יָרַף.

39. וַיִּחַמְיוּ, plural masc., because the male animals are included. יחמו is either imperf. from חָמַם = יַחֲמֵי, or imperf. Qal of יָחַם, for יִחַמְיוּ or יִחַמְיוּ; cf. Judg. 5, 28 אֶחָרִי for אֶחָרִי; Ps. 51, 7 יִחַמְתֵּנִי for יִחַמְתֵּנִי; see Ges., §§ 64. 3. Rem. 3; 67. 5. Rem.; cf. Stade, § 523 d, who regards יִחַמְיוּ as lightened from יִחַמְיוּ, for יַחֲמֵי, after the analogy of ל'ה.

אל המקלות. Cf. 24, 11 אל באר המים 'at the well of water.'

עקדים = 'striped.'

40. והנשבים are the particoloured animals, goats and sheep; these Jacob separated from the normally coloured animals in Laban's flock. He then turns Laban's normal coloured animals in the direction of the כְּשֻׁבִים, so that they might have these before their eyes. But these abnormal coloured animals belong to Jacob, according to his agreement with Laban, and so cannot be spoken of as עֵקֶד וּבַל חוּם עֵקֶד לָבָן. If the text were emended as follows, the difficulty would disappear, וַיִּתֵּן פָּנֵי צֵאֵן לָבָן אֶל עֵקֶד וּבַל חוּם בְּצֵאֵנוּ, i. e. 'he set the face of Laban's flock towards what was striped and (towards) everything dark in his own flock.' לָבָן has fallen out

of its place after צאן, which then received the article, and the waw of בצאנו disappeared before the waw of וַיֵּשֶׁת. Knobel emends by reading כל עקר, with Onq., Ps.-Jon., and takes פני for לפני 'before,' as Ex. 23, 15. Ps. 42, 3; but then Jacob's dark and particoloured cattle are described as Laban's. Wright adopts Knobel's emendation, but avoids the above-mentioned difficulty by deleting לבן and reading בעאן לבן. לבן might certainly have crept in, from the צאן לבן in the next line. Del. retains the text, and supposes that after the first separation, ver. 32, the normal and abnormal coloured cattle were left together. But the abnormal cattle could hardly be called Laban's even in that case, and it seems scarcely possible that Laban, who apparently was anxious to prevent any duplicity on Jacob's part (cf. ver. 35, where *he*, not Jacob, separates the normal and abnormal coloured cattle), would passively submit to this second stratagem by leaving his own cattle (i. e. those that were sent away under his sons' care, cf. ver. 32) under Jacob's charge.

41, 42. The old translators explain these verses by the fact that the strong cattle bring forth their young in winter, and the weak cattle theirs in the spring: thus המקטרות would be the winter cattle, and העטפים the spring cattle.

41. והיה . . . ושם, perfs. with waw conv. in a frequentative sense; see Driver, § 120. לַיְחֲמָנָה is inf. Piel of יחם, with the third pl. fem. suffix נָה־ for ׀־; cf. 41, 21 קִרְבָּנָה, and see Ges., § 91. 1. Rem. 2; Stade, § 352 b. 2, who remarks that the dagesh should be struck out.

43. צאן רבות, the collective being construed with a plural adjective; cf. 1 Sam. 13, 15 את העם הנמצאים עמו, 1 Sam. 17, 28 מעט הצאן ההנה; cf. Ges., § 112. 1. Rem. 3; M. R., § 85. Rem. b.

31.

1. הַנְּכַד הַזֶּה = 'this wealth;' cf. Is. 10, 3. Ps. 49, 17.

4. הַשָּׂרָה is acc. of place; see M. R., § 41 a; Ges., § 118. 1.

5. אִינְנֹר, referring to פָּנָי; cf. Lam. 4, 16, where פָּנָי is followed by a singular verb.

6. וְאַתְּנָהּ for אֲתָנָהּ, also pointed אֲתָנָהּ (cf. the Arabic أَتَّانَ), is only found again in Ez. 13, 11. 20. 34, 17; see Ges., § 32. Rem. 5; Stade, § 178 c.

7. הִתְלֵהּ for הִתַּל, with retrogression of the tone by Ges., § 29. 3 b; Stade, § 88. 2 b. הִתַּל is Hif. of הִלַּל; cf. the Lexic. and Ewald, § 127 d. The ה of the Hif. is retained, as though it were a radical letter, in the forms יִהְיֶהֱלֵי (notice the dag. in ל), Job. 13, 9; יִהְיֶהֱלֵי, Jer. 9, 4; וַיִּהְיֶהֱלֵי, 1 Kings 18, 27; see Stade, § 145 e, and Wright, *Gram. Arab.*, i. p. 37.

הַתֵּל . . . וְהַחֲלֵף. I. Either like Num. 11, 8 וְלָקְטוּ . . . שִׁטּוֹ, 'the fact being stated summarily by the perfect, and this tense being followed by the perfect with waw conv.;' see Driver, § 114 a. II. Or like Num. 21, 15 וְנִשְׁעַן . . . נִטָּה: הַחֲלֵף not being *subordinate* to הַתֵּל (the imperf. with waw conv. would be required then) but *co-ordinate*; see Driver, § 132.

עֶשְׂרֵת מוֹנִים. 'Ten times;' LXX, δέκα ἀμῶν, possibly corrupted out of a reading μῶν. The translators, not understanding מוֹנִים, wrote the Hebrew word in Greek, and this passed over into ἀμῶν; cf. Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 18, and ver. 41. The word מוֹנִים is peculiar to this chapter, elsewhere פְּעָמִים is used, e.g. Num. 14, 22. Aq. has δέκα ἀριθμούς, Symm. δεκάκις ἀριθμῶν.

8. The account of the agreement made between Jacob

and Laban in this chapter differs from that in chap. 30, and appears to be derived from a different source.

נקדים יהיה. יהיה, the singular is perhaps due to the following שכרך; see Ges., § 147 d.

‘If he were to say thus, *The spotted shall be thy hire; then all the flock used to bring forth spotted: and if he were to say thus, The striped shall be thy hire; then all the flock used to bring forth striped.*’ Cf. Num. 9, 19–21. Ex. 40, 37 ואם לא יסעו יעלה הענן ולא יסעו (the apod. being in the imperf., as the waw is separated from the verb by לא); see Driver, § 136 δ. Obs., cf. § 123 β.

9. אביכם for אביכן; cf. on 26, 18.

10. ברדים = טלוא, in 30, 32; it is found twice again in Zech. 6, 3. 6 (of horses), and = ‘speckled.’ ברדים probably = ‘covered as it were with hailstones’ (ברך), so ‘white spots on a dark ground’ (Tuch).

13. האל ביתאל. ‘I am the God of Bethel.’ ביתאל being loosely connected with האל, instead of being subordinated in the genitive; cf. 2 Kings 23, 17 הכוזבה ביתאל (but cf. Driver, § 191. Obs.). Or האל may be regarded as construct state with the article, see Ewald, 290 d (3), who cites other instances, e. g. Jer. 48, 32, etc.; see also Is. 36, 8. 16; and cf. M. R., § 76 b; Ges., § 110. 2 b.

14. ותען . . . ותאמרנה. On the first verb with a compound subject in the singular and the second in the plural, see Ges., § 148. 2; cf. also M. R., § 138.

15. ויאכל גם אכול. ‘And goes on to eat up;’ cf. on 19, 9. גם, emphasizing the verb as in 46, 4. Num. 16, 13; cf. the note on 29, 30.

16. כי. ‘So that;’ so Del. and Kn., comparing Job 10, 6.

Deut. 14, 24. Di. prefers the rendering 'rather,' or 'nay, rather;' cf. Ps. 37, 20. 49, 11.

19. הלך לגו. In 38, 13 we find לגו, the shorter form; cf. לסנב, Num. 21, 4, and the short form סב, Deut. 2, 3; see Stade, § 619 e; Ges., § 67. Rem. 10. הלך is pluperfect, 'had gone.'

התרפים = 'The Teraphim,' Laban's household gods. LXX here τὰ εἰδωλα, but the word is variously rendered by them in the other passages where it occurs. The Teraphim were of human form (1 Sam. 19, 13), and were worshipped as gods (ver. 30. Judg. 18, 24). Their worship was not recognised as legitimate (see 2 Kings 23, 24; cf. Gen. 35, 4 and Hos. 3, 4), yet they were at all times regarded as household oracles (Judg. 18, 5. Zech. 10, 2. Ez. 21, 26), and (possibly) as bringing prosperity; therefore Rachel takes them with her, to avoid bringing misfortune or ill luck on her household; cf. Judg. 18, 17, where the Danites take Micha's household gods. The pl. form may here only denote a single image, as in 1 Sam. 19, 13 (see Ges., § 108. 2 b); cf. ארונים and בעלים, both used as intensive plurals; the pl. suf. in ver. 34, and אלהי in ver. 30, not being decisive in favour of taking תרפים as a real plural; cf. Ewald, § 318 a. No certain etymology has yet been found. The one most commonly given is from the Arabic تَرَفَّ 'commode vivere,' which would agree with the idea that the תרפים were the gods who were supposed to bring good fortune to those who worshipped them; but it is not certain that تَرَفَّ does not rather mean 'to be soft;' cf. Tuch, p. 395; Del., p. 555, who also suggests a comparison with the Sanskrit tarp, 'to be full.' The תרפים stood in no connection with the שרפים.

20. לב . . . את . . . ויגנב = 'deceived;' cf. 2 Sam. 15, 6

כַּב וַיִּגְנֵב אֶבְשָׁלוֹם אֶת לֶכֶת, but in the sense 'to win over secretly'; cf. κλέπτειν νόον and κλέπτειν τινά (Del.).

עַל בְּלִי is only found here. בְּלִי = לֹא with the finite verb, occurs in Job 41, 18. Hos. 8, 7. 9, 16 (Ktb.). Is. 14, 6; see Ewald, § 322 a. Render, 'In that he did not tell.' On עַל, cf. Ges., § 104. 1 c.

21. הַנְּהַר. 'The river' *par excellence*, i. e. the Euphrates; see Ges., § 109. 2. So often, e. g. Is. 27, 12. Ps. 72, 8.

23. אֶת אַחֵיו. 'His friends and fellow-tribesmen'; cf. Lev. 10, 4. 2 Sam. 19, 13.

25. בְּהַר הַגְּלָעָד . . . בְּהַר. From a comparison of vers. 21 and 23 with this verse, Jacob and Laban apparently encamped in the same place (so Vulg.); yet the narrative evidently implies that Laban encamped in one place and Jacob in another. Possibly in vers. 21, 23, and here, הַר גְּלָעָד may mean the hill country of Gilead in general, "הַר גִּ" (like "אַרְיֵן גִּ") in the O. T. being the name of the mountain range and country of Gilead, south of Jarmuk, up to the plain of Heshbon (Deut. 3, 12 f. Josh. 17, 1. 5. 2 Kings 10, 33, and often). In ver. 25, Jacob encamped בְּהַר, which seems to point to some special hill, possibly the hill called at the present time *Gebel Gil'ad*, on the south bank of the *Wady Jabbok* (cf. ver. 54). Jacob's camping ground would then be described as בְּהַר (a special hill, with which the reader would be familiar), while Laban's is described as in the neighbourhood (cf. ver. 25, וַיִּשָּׁן), the actual spot not being indicated.

26. עֲשִׂית וְחַגְגַּב. The imperf. with waw conv. used to define עֲשֵׂה; so in 1 Sam. 8, 8. 1 Kings 2, 15; see Driver, § 76 a.

27. למה נחבאת לברח. 'Why didst thou fly in secret?' see 27, 20; and cf. Ges., § 142. 4. Rem. 1.

וואַזלחך. 'And so I could have sent thee away;' see Driver, § 74 a. On the — in וואַזלחך, see Ges., § 65. 2. note; also Stade, § 633 a.

28. הסכלת עשו = 'thou hast acted foolishly.' עשו for עשית; cf. ראה, 48, 11; עשה, 50, 20; עשהו, Ex. 18, 18; and see Ges., § 75. Rem. 2; Stade, § 619 k. In הסכלת עשו the construction is the same as in 8, 10 ויסקף שלח.

29. יש לאל ידי. Cf. Mic. 2, 1. Prov. 3, 27; the neg. is אין לאל ירך, Deut. 28, 32. Neh. 5, 5. Hitzig explains the phrase as meaning 'My hand is for God,' which would be suitable if the meaning intended were, 'I am capable or able to do everything,' but scarcely suitable when the meaning is, as here, 'I have the power.' Schumann, quoted by Wright, p. 87, renders, 'My hand belongs to strength,' i. e. is strong, on which Maurer remarks that in prose this would require יש אל לירי. Del., Tuch, Ges., Di. render, 'It is in the power of my hand;' see Ges., § 152. 1.

אביכם. The plural suffix refers to Jacob and those who were with him.

30. 'And now (when) thou art going right away, for thou longest sore for thy father's house, why hast thou stolen my gods?' הלך and נכסף are inf. abs., prefixed to the finite verb for emphasis; see Ges., § 131. 3 a; Dav., § 27. Rule; M. R., § 37 a. נכסף, on the form, cf. Ges., § 51. Rem. 1.

31. כי יראתי. Cf. the note on 20, 11.

32. עם אשר 'with whomsoever,' for עמו . . . אשר; the phrase is unusual, yet imitated here by the Syriac, ܥܡܐܝܢܐ ;

see Ewald, § 333 a; Ges., § 123. 2. foot-note; M. R., § 158. Rem. a. In 44, 9. 10 we have the regular construction אִשָּׁר . . . אִתּוֹ.

33. הָאֵמָהּ is pl. of אֵמָה, with the insertion of a ה; see Stade, § 188; Ges., § 96; and cf. the Arabic أَمَّةٌ, pl. أَمَّاتٌ, with و instead of the Heb. ה. The Aram. ܐܡܘܬܐ, pl. ܐܡܘܬܐ, and אִמָּתַי, pl. אִמָּתַי, have ה as in Hebrew.

34. כַּר. LXX, εἰς τὰ σάγματα = 'saddle.' כַּר, so called from its round basket-shaped form (root כָּרַר), was protected by a cover or tent, in which the women sat, something like a modern palanquin; see Di., p. 334.

35. לָקוּם מִפְּנֵיךָ. Cf. Lev. 19, 32. Rachel's plan was ingenious, as any attempt to examine the camel's saddle would involve contact with an unclean thing.

36. דָּלַקְתָּ אַחֲרַי. דָּלַק אַחֲרַי = 'to burn after one,' i. e. to hotly pursue one; so 1 Sam. 17, 53 מִדָּלַק אַחֲרַי בְּלִשְׁתִּים.

39. טִרְפָּה לֹא הִבֵּאתִי. Cf. Ex. 22, 12.

אֶחְטִינָהּ, for אֶחְטִינָהּ, as though from a verb חָטָה; see Ges., § 74. Rem. 4; cf. § 75. Rem. 21 c; Stade, § 111. חָטָה here is synonymous with נָשַׁלְתִּים, Ex. 22, 12.

יִום with the old binding vowel יֹ-; cf. on 1, 24. It always has the tone with the exception of two places, Lam. 1, 1 and Hos. 10, 11, in the former of which the accent is on the penult., on account of a word of one syllable following; cf. Ges., § 90. 3 a; Stade, § 343 d. The two imperfs. אֶחְטִינָהּ, אֶחְטִינָהּ are frequentative.

40. Cf. Jer. 36, 30. In the East the cold at night is quite as intense as the heat by day.

41. עֲשָׂרַת כִּינִים. Cf. ver. 7. Ten here, and ver. 7, is a round number = 'often.'

42. פחד. So ver. 53; cf. מורא, Is. 8, 12. פחד is abstract for concrete; cf. $\sigma\acute{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\varsigma = \sigma\acute{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\sigma\mu\alpha$. The Pesh. uses ܦܚܕ here and Is. 8, 12 in a similar way. In the Jer.-Targ. on Deut. 32, 15, and the Targ. on Hos. 8, 6, and often elsewhere, we find ܕܗܘܐ used, as פחד here, and מורא in Is., l. c.; compare also a similar use of יראה in the Talmud, *Sanh.*, 64 a "he takes his god (i. e. idol) out of his bosom." Render, 'If the God of my father . . . had been with me . . . for then;' an aposiopesis: or כי עתה may be regarded as an apodosis and rendered, 'indeed then thou hadst,' etc.; cf. 43, 10 $\text{כי לולא התמהמהנו כי עתה שבנו}$; Num. 22, 29 לו יש כי עתה ; 2 Sam. 2, 27 (כי אז); and see Driver, § 141. Cf. Ewald, § 358 a.

43. מה אעשה לאלה . 'What am I going to do to these?' i. e. how am I going to harm them? For ל עשה in a bad sense, see 22, 12. 27, 45. Ex. 14, 11.

44. נכרתה . . . והיה . Cf. on 1, 14. The subj. to היה cannot be ברית, as this is fem., and the action itself (the making a covenant) cannot be regarded as a witness, and so cannot be subject; Di. therefore deletes the ל before עד, which then becomes the subject, = 'and let there be a witness;' otherwise we must suppose with Olshausen that something has fallen out of the text.

45. וירימה מצבה , lit. 'and he set it up (so that it became) a pillar;' cf. 1 Kings 18, 32 $\text{בנה את האבנים מזבח}$; Gen. 28, 18 וישם אתה מצבה ; see Ewald, § 284 a. 1; Ges., § 139. 2; M. R., § 45. 5.

47. יגר שהדותא = Heb. גלעד, the first occurrence of Aramaic words in the O. T. ישהדותא , cf. Job 16, 19 וישהדי = 'my witness,' after the form of the Aramaic participle. Del. remarks on this: 'We have here a historical proof which

cannot be objected to, that the language which was spoken in the ancestral home of the Patriarchs was different from that spoken in Canaan, i. e. Abraham spoke Aramaic, but when he came to Canaan adopted the language of that country, viz. Hebrew. The naming of the place with an Aramaic and Hebrew name was perhaps occasioned by its position on the frontier, between Aramaic and Hebrew-speaking people; see Di., p. 336.

49. As the text stands, ver. 49 must be closely connected with 48, '*and Mizpah (he called the place) because he said,*' etc.; so Kn., Del.; but *והמצפה* is strange, as nothing has been said about a *מצפה* 'a look-out,' 'watch-tower.' Ewald emends, *והמצבה המצפה* '*and the pillar (he called) Hammizpah*' (*Komp. der Gen.*, p. 64), which is supported by Saadiah. The Vss. vary, and do not give any clue to solve the difficulty. Di. suggests that ver. 49 was first added by the redactor of the book, as at his time a Mizpah in Gilead was better known than a Maşşeba, but expresses some doubt as to whether all ver. 49 was added by the later editor, or only a portion. Del. remarks that 'The addition, vers. 49-50, says nothing more than that there was a tradition which referred back the name of Mizpah of Gilead to the scene between Laban and Jacob.'

50. *אם* in an oath, as in 14, 23, which compare.

52. *אם* with a following *ואם* = *sive ... sive*; so Del., who compares Ex. 19, 13 *אם בהמה אם איש לא יהיה לא יחיה* '*whether beast or man, he shall not live.*' Di. prefers to take them as the *אם* in ver. 50, and renders, '*surely not I, I will not pass;*' the *אם* and then *לא* expressing a strong negative; but this seems unnecessary.

53. *ישפטו*. Perhaps the plural is used as the gods of

Nahor are mentioned, the narrator supposing that Nahor worshipped idols, as Laban did (cf. the תרפים); cf. Josh. 24, 2. LXX, Pesh., Sam., Vulg. give the sing. יִשְׁפֹּט.

54. Cf. 26, 30. 2 Sam. 3, 20.

32.

1. אַתְּהֶם is rare (cf. Ex. 18, 20. Num. 21, 3, and אֹתָהֶם, Ez. 23, 45). The usual form is אַתֶּם. In the fem., on the contrary, the usual form is אַתְּהֶן (אַתְּן only in Ez. 16, 54).

3. מַחֲנִים = 'two camps.' LXX have παραμβολαί, as though "מ" were a plural from מַחֲנֵי. The dual, however, suits vers. 8-11 better than a plural. The two camps were his own, and the angel host he had just met. מַחֲנִים, belonging to the tribe of Gad, was situated north of the Jabbok, and was one of the most important towns in Gilead. Some identify it with the ruins, still extant, called مَحْنَة *Mahneh*, but Di. considers مَحْنَة too far east and north for the מַחֲנִים of this verse.

5. תֹּאמְרוּן. Cf. Ges., § 47. Rem. 4; Stade, § 520 a; see on 3, 4.

וַיֹּאחֲזֶר is imperf. Qal, by syncope, for וַיֹּאחֲזֶר; so אָחַז for אָחַזְתִּי, Prov. 8, 17: see Ges., § 68. 1. note; Stade, § 112 c.

6. וַאֲשַׁלְּחָה. Cf. on 41, 11.

7. וְגַם הַלֵּךְ לִקְרֹאתֶךָ. The participle without any subject expressed; so אַף חָבַב, Deut. 33, 3; אִם מִשְׁלָחִים, 1 Sam. 6, 3; cf. Gen. 24, 30 (with הִנֵּה); 37, 15 (also with הִנֵּה): see Driver, § 135. 6. 2; Ewald, § 303 b.

8. וַיִּצֶר is imperf. Qal from צָרַר, Ewald, § 232 c; Stade, § 510 g. The ֶ in the last syllable is due to the tone being drawn back to the penult., as in Job 20, 22 לִי יִצֶר.

9. אֵל הַמַּחֲנֵה הָאֶחָת. מַחֲנֵה, usually masc., is here

fem., as in Ps. 27, 3; as the masc. immediately follows, the fem. is strange; the Heb.-Sam. reads הָאָתָּר.

אִם יבוא . . . והכהו. Cf. 18, 26. 24, 8, and ver. 18 of this chapter, where כִּי takes the place of אִם; see Driver, § 136 a, and cf. § 115; M. R., § 24. 2 a.

פְּלִיטָה is abstract, 'escape,' and then concrete, 'escaped ones.'

11. קִטְנֹתִי מִכָּל, render as a present, קָטַן being a *stative* verb, 'I am unworthy,' lit. 'too small;'; see Driver, § 11; M. R., § 2. 1; Ges., § 126. 3.

מִכָּל. On the (comparative) מִן here = the positive with 'too,' see M. R., § 49. 2. Rem. a; cf. 18, 14 הִיפְלֵא מִיְהוּה דָּבָר; 4, 13 גָּדוֹל עֹנִי מִנְשׂוּא.

כִּן בְּמִקְלִי. כִּן is pointed with dag. lene, although the previous word ends in a vowel, and has a conjunctive accent, because the aspirate in the connected sounds בְּמִ is hardened (i. e. removed), just as in בָּב, בָּכ, בָּפ, בָּב, בָּפ; see Ges., § 21. 1. Rem. 2, and Del., p. 416.

הֵייתִי לְשְׁנֵי מַחֲנֹת. 'I have become (and still am) two camps;'; see Driver, § 8.

12. יִרָא . . . אֶתּוֹ. Cf. on 22, 12 and 4, 14.

אִם עַל בָּנִים. The phrase occurs again in Hos. 10, 14 (cf. Deut. 22, 6), and is a proverbial expression. עַל depicts the mother hovering over her children, and vainly trying to defend them: or עַל may be taken as in Job 38, 32. Ex. 35, 22 הָאֲנָשִׁים עַל הַנְּשִׂים 'the men together with the women.' The first explanation is perhaps preferable.

14. מִן הָבָא בְיָדוֹ = 'of what he had,' lit. 'of that which had come into his hand;'; cf. 35, 4 אִשְׁרֵי בִידָם. A.V. 'of that which came to his hand;,' better rendered in the A.V.R. 'of that which he had with him.'

16. בניהם. The masc. suf. for the fem., as in 31, 9; cf. 26, 18 and the note there.

פרים = 'young bullocks,' standing in the same relation to פרות as עירים 'foals' (here 'asses' foals') to the אתונות.

17. עדר עדר לבדו, lit. 'flock, flock alone,' i. e. 'by herds or flocks,' so that each flock had one servant; cf. Ges., § 108. 4; M. R., § 72. 2.

18. יפגישך. So pointed in Baer and Del.'s edition, following Ben Asher's reading. Ben Naftali reads, however, יפגישך. Ben Asher's reading was pronounced *yif-ghā-shācha*, Ben Naftali's *yif-gosh-cha*; cf. Baer and Del., *Gen.*, p. 85. The imperf. יפגיש would be a by-form of יפגיש; cf. 1 Sam. 25, 20. On the Ḥatef-pathach under ש, cf. on 2, 12 וְהָבָה.

20. תדברון. Cf. on ver. 5 תאמרן.

במצאתם, for בְּמִצְאֵיכֶם; see Ewald, § 63. 1, and cf. הֵעֵלָה, for הֵעֵלָה. במצאתם = 'when you find,' lit. 'in your finding.'

23. בלילה הוא. Cf. 19, 33 and the note there.

יבק is the present Wady Zerqâ, which divides the districts of Aglûn and Belqâ, and falls into the Jordan about midway between the Dead Sea and lake Tiberias. The modern name Zerka, = 'blue flood,' is derived from the clear blue colour of the water. The name יבק is probably to be derived from בקק 'to pour out;' here it is brought into connection with the root אבק, as though יבק = יִאֲבֵק = 'striver,' 'wrestler;' cf. Ges., *Thes.*, p. 233 a.

25. ויאבק = 'he wrestled,' is found only here and ver. 26. אבק is connected with חבק, or perhaps is only a dialectic variation of the same; the word is perhaps chosen on account of the pr. n. יבק. In Hos. 12, 11 ויאבק is explained by שָׁרָה. Tuch and *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., propose a derivation from

אֲבָק ‘dust,’ i. e. ‘to scatter oneself with dust;’ as *κορίεσθαι*, from *κόμης*, the powder with which wrestlers were sprinkled after being oiled.

26. וּתְקַע, imperf. Qal from יָקַע.

29. יִשְׂרָאֵל = ‘God’s striver,’ ‘he who strives with God,’ in this passage and Hos. 12, 4 (hence the choice of the rare verb שָׂרָה in both places), as though יִשְׂרָאֵל = אֲשֶׁר יִשְׂרָה עִם אֱלֹהִים (Wright). The name perhaps really means—as distinct from the meaning given in the text—‘God strives,’ יִשְׂרָה אֱלֹהִים; cf. יִשְׂרָעֵאל. Ges. in *Thes.*, p. 1338 b, Tuch, Reuss, and others explain it as meaning ‘Soldier of God,’ i. e. he who fights for and with God’s help. In 35, 10 we have another account of the alteration of Jacob’s name.

וְהוֹבִיל, (I) an imperf. Hof. of יָבַל, used as the imperf. of יָבַל (Qal); so Ewald, § 127 b: (II) Stade, § 486, and Ges., § 69. 2. Rem. 3, regard it as an imperf. Qal יִבֵּל = יִבְּל = יִבֵּל = יִבֵּל; cf. the Arabic imperf. *يَوَجِّل* from *وَجَّل*, and Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. pp. 89–90.

30. Cf. Judg. 13, 17.

לְשָׁמִי. ‘About my name;’ cf. 20, 2 and the note there.

31. וּתְנַצֵּל נַפְשִׁי. Cf. Ex. 33, 20; also Judg. 13, 22. Deut. 4, 33; and the note on 16, 14. וְ = and yet.

פְּנֵי אֱלֹהִים, or פְּנֵי אֱלֹהִים in ver. 32, = ‘face of God;’ cf. on 4, 18 מתוֹשָׁאֵל. In פְּנֵי אֱלֹהִים the *י* is the old binding vowel; see on גִּבְתִּי, 31, 39. The position of פְּנֵי אֱלֹהִים cannot be ascertained.

33. “את גִּיד הַנְּשֵׂה וְגֹר” = ‘the hip-sinew, which is on the hollow of the thigh.’ גִּיד הַנְּשֵׂה = the Arabic *كَسَا*, the nerve or tendon which goes through the thigh and leg to the ancle, the *nervus ischiadicus*; see Ges., *Thes.*, p. 921 a. The law forbidding the children of Israel to eat the גִּיד הַנְּשֵׂה is not

mentioned in the O. T. It is to be found in the Talmud, *Tract. Chullin*, chap. 7.

33.

3. ויהוא is emphatic, *he*, Jacob, as opposed to the persons mentioned in ver. 2; see Driver, § 160. Obs.

4. וישקוהו. Mas. note, וישקוהו כלו נקוד = 'with points on every letter.' The Mid. Bem., cited by Strack, *Prolog. Crit.*, p. 89, has וישקוהו נקוד עליו על שלא נשקו מכל לבו וישקוהו, i. e. 'ישקוהו with points over it, because he did not kiss him with all his heart;' cf. the Ber. Rab. in Strack, l. c., where Rabbi Yanai, answering Rabbi Simeon ben Eleazar, explains the points on וישקוהו thus: 'שלא בא לנשקו אלא לנשבו because he did not come to kiss him (Jacob), but to bite him,' and goes on to say that Jacob's neck was turned into marble; an account hardly in keeping with what we are told of Esau, who is never depicted in the O. T. as an inhuman person. The points probably here, as in the other cases where they occur, mark the word as suspicious; cf. Ewald, § 19 d. The translation of וישקוהו is wanting in several MSS. of the LXX; cf. Lagarde, *Gen. Graece*, p. 134. The Targ. Ps.-Jon. explains that Jacob wept because his neck was painful, and Esau because the effort gave him the toothache!!

5. מי אלה לך. 'Who are these to thee?' לך is an ethic. dat.; cf. Ewald, § 315 a; M. R., § 51. 3; and see Ex. 12, 26. Josh. 4, 6. 2 Sam. 16, 2.

חנן with double acc.; see Ges., § 139. 2; M. R., § 45. 3.

6, 7. ותגשן, agreeing with the subj. immediately following; so ותגש, ver. 7. On the gender and construction of the verbs in these verses, see M. R., § 138. Rem.; Ges., § 148. 2; Ewald, § 340 c.

8. מי לך כל המהנה. ‘*What to thee is all this camp?*’ i. e. the cattle (32, 14–22) which Esau had already met; cf. אשר פנשתי. מי by attraction = מה, so Judg. 13, 17 מה שמך; cf. 32, 28 מה שמך. Ewald, § 325 a, and Di. prefer the rendering, ‘*Who to thee is the camp?*’ i. e. ‘*what dost thou wish to do with them?*’ ‘because he brings the people into the foreground.’

10. ולקחת = ‘*pray take;*’ cf. 40, 14 ועשית ‘*pray shew mercy;*’ Judg. 6, 17 ועשית לי אות ‘*pray give me a sign;*’ see Driver, § 119 δ; Ges., § 126. 6. Rem. 1.

נראת פני אלהים. ‘*As one sees the face of God,*’ i. e. Jacob sees that Esau’s face wears a friendly (lit. divine) aspect. ‘It is a divine friendliness with which he came to meet him,’ Di. ראת, the subject to the infinitive, is here indefinite, as Ex. 30, 12.

11. הִבָּאת is third pers. fem. sing. Hof. from בוא, with the old feminine ending ת (instead of ה), which is preserved as the usual ending of the third fem. perf. in Arabic, Aramaic, and Ethiopic, and appears in Hebrew before the suffixes, and sporadically elsewhere; cf. Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. p. 60; Ges., § 74. Rem. 1; Stade, § 407 b. Other instances of the fem. ending are קָרַאת, נִפְלֵאת, שָׁבַת, אָזַלְת (the only case with the strong verb). LXX have here *ὡς ἡνεκά σοι*, = הִבָּאת, possibly not understanding the anomalous form.

ברכתי = ‘*present;*’ so 1 Sam. 25, 27 ברכה = ‘*a present,*’ i. e. as a proof of favour, and often accompanied with a blessing. Knobel compares the presents paid the clergy in the middle ages, called *Benedictiones*.

וכי יש לי כל. ‘*And because I have everything.*’ וְכִי as in Judg. 6, 30. 1 Sam. 19, 4. Is. 65, 16; see Ewald, § 353 a.

Esau has רב 'an abundance;' Jacob, being under especial divine protection, can say he has כל 'everything.'

13. עלות עלי = 'lactants,' i. e. 'with young;' so Is. 40, 11. עלי = 'upon me;' cf. 48, 7. 1 Sam. 21, 16; i. e. the cattle who were with young were a burden and responsibility to Jacob. The A.V. 'with me' does not sufficiently express the על.

ומתו . . . ודפקום, lit. = 'and they over-drive them . . . and they die,' i. e. 'if they over-drive them . . . they will die,' the death of the cattle being conditional on their being over-driven; cf. 42, 38 והורדתם . . . וקראהו אסון = 'and if trouble befall him . . . ye will bring down;' 44, 22 ועזב את אביו ומת; cf. 29. See, on two perfs. with waw conv. forming a conditional sentence, Driver, § 149; also Ges., § 155. 4 a; Ewald, § 357 a; M. R., § 28.

ודפקום, the masc. suffix for the fem.; cf. on 26, 15; and on the third pers. pl. used impersonally, see Ges., § 137. 3; M. R., § 123. 1.

14. לרגל המלאכה = 'according to the pace of the cattle.' מלאכה = 'property' (cf. מְקַנָּה), here, from the context, including cattle; cf. Ex. 22, 7 with vers. 9 and 10; 1 Sam. 15, 9.

17. בית = perhaps, as Del. suggests, 'a house,' i. e. not a tent, אהל 27, 15, but 'a building;' here opposed to סכת 'booths,' 'tents.' Hence the name of the place, סכת.

סכות was probably on the eastern side of Jordan, in a valley, a little further west than Peniel; cf. Judg. 8, 5. 8. Ps. 60, 8. Its exact position is uncertain. At the present day a Sâkût (سَاكُوت) exists, south of Bethshan, on the western side of Jordan, which is apparently distinct from the סכת here mentioned; see Di., p. 348; Del., p. 421.

18. **שלם = בשלום**, 28, 21, 'safe and sound,' after his late meeting with Esau, and the danger there might possibly have been in encountering him. The LXX, Syr., Hier. take **שלם** as a proper name. The Heb.-Sam. reads **שלום** here; cf. 43, 27.

שכם, afterwards one of the cities of refuge (Josh. 20, 7), in the hill country of Ephraim, called in the time of the Romans *Flavia Neapolis*, and at the present day by the Arabs *Nablous* (نابلس).

פני את = 'before;' cf. on 19, 13.

19. **מיוד בני חמור אבי שכם**. 'From the sons of Hamor, the father of S.,' i. e. the father of Shechem (34, 2), after whom the city was called Shechem; cf. 4, 17. The LXX omit **בני**, 'in order to agree with 34, 1 ff.,' Di.

קשיטה. LXX, Onq., Hier. render, 'lambs;'; cf. Ber. Rabba, c. 79; Targg. Ps.-Jon. and Jer. 'pearls.' Rabbi Akiba, in the Talmud, *Tract. Rosh ha-shana*, 26 b, relates that in Africa he heard a coin (קעה) called קשיטה. Probably the word = 'that which is weighed,' from קשט = Arab. قَسَطَ = 'to divide,' 'fix;'; cf. قَسَطٌ 'a weight,' 'pair of scales,' then 'a fixed weight,' equally used with the shekel by the patriarchs. From a comparison with 23, 15. 16 some have supposed the "ק" to be equivalent to four shekels, but this is quite uncertain. קשיטה occurs twice again, Josh. 24, 32. Job 42, 11, but neither passage throws any additional light on the word.

34.

1. **בת לאה אשר ילדה ליעקב** (cf. 16, 15 f. 25, 12), an instance of P's circumstantial style.

2. **וישכב אתה**. וישכב with the acc., as in Lev. 15, 18.

24. Num. 5, 13. 19. 2 Sam. 13, 14. Deut. 28, 30. Kri; cf. שגל, which is construed with an acc. and always has a Kri שכב. There is no need to emend the pointing to אָהָה (cf. 26, 10), as some desire.

3. הַנִּיעֵךְ. Cf. the note on 24, 14.

וידבר על לב הנער = 'and he spake kindly to the damsel'; cf. 50, 21 וידבר על לבם; Is. 40, 2. Hos. 2, 16.

5. 'Now Jacob had heard that he (Shechem) had defiled Dinah his daughter, while his sons were with his cattle in the field, and Jacob was silent until they came.' והחרש probably, as in 37, 3 ויעשה לו כחנת, frequentative; cf. Driver, p. 190. foot-note 1.

7. ויחר להם. Cf. on 4, 5.

כי נבלה עשה בישראל = 'for he had wrought folly in Israel.' עשה נבלה is the constant expression for any carnal offence; cf. Deut. 22, 21. Judg. 20, 6. 10.

לְשֹׁבֵב. See Ges., § 45 a; Stade, § 619 a. Notice that with the prefix ל (but not כ and ב) the inf. cstr., if the second radical is one of the letters ת, פ, כ, ד, ג, ב, takes usually a *dag. lene*, e. g. לְשֹׁבֵב with ל = (by Ges., § 28. 1; Dav., § 6. 2 d) לְשֹׁבֵב, and with the *dag. lene* לְשֹׁבֵב; cf. Dav., p. 16. foot-note (where a list of the so-called half-open syllables is given which is useful for reference), and Ges., § 45. 2. note.

וכן לא יעשה. Cf. on 20, 9. 29, 26; and see Driver, § 39 a.

8. ישכם בני חשקה נפשו. 'Shechem my son, his soul cleaves' etc. ישכם בני, a *casus pendens*; cf. Deut. 32, 4 הצור שרש ישי... אליו גוים ידרשו; see Driver, § 197. 2; Ges., § 145. 2; M. R., § 132.

בבתכם. The pl. suffix includes the brothers with the father; cf. 17 בתנו; 24, 59 f.

9. התהתנו אתנו. So Deut. 7, 3 = 'intermarry.' אֲתָנוּ (cf. ver. 2) might possibly be pointed אֲתָנוּ; cf. the construction התחתן ב; but the acc. is found again in 1 Kings 3, 1; cf. Ewald, § 124 b.

10. וכחררה. סחר is construed with an acc. like a verb = going; cf. 42, 34 ואת הארץ תסחרו = 'traffic in the land,' i. e. go to and fro in the land for the purpose of trading.

האחוז בה = 'settle down therein,' lit. 'hold yourselves fast therein.' The word is peculiar to P; so again 47, 27.

12. מדהר ומתן. מדהר = the price paid the parents for their daughter; cf. Ex. 22, 15. 1 Sam. 18, 25: מתן = the gifts given to the bride; cf. 24, 53, where a like distinction is made.

13. וידברו אשר טמא. LXX, καὶ ἐλάλησαν αὐτοῖς, ὅτι ἐμίαναν, which would mean, 'and spoke, because they had defiled,' or, (?) 'and said, that they had defiled,' which would be better expressed by ויאמרו כי טמא. The first meaning being very lame and the second doubtful, it has been conjectured that דבר here must = the Arabic دَبَّرَ, and mean, 'to act craftily behind one's back,' 'lay snares for;' cf. 2 Chron. 22, 10 (but see Bertheau); so Ges. in *Thes.*, p. 315 a, Kn., Del. This, however, is not certain (see Di., p. 353); so perhaps it is simpler to read במרמה וידברו instead of וידברו במרמה; so Pesh. اِحْتَمَلُوا لَكُمْ حَبْلًا. Kuenen emends, אחותם for אחוזתם, but then ויאמרו איש אל אחיו would have to mean ויאמרו איש אל אחיו.

15. בנאות = 'on this condition,' ב being the ב of price; cf. ver. 22. 1 Sam. 11, 2.

נאות, imperf. Nif'. of אות; it occurs again in vers. 22, 23, and 2 Kings 12, 9, but nowhere else. In Rabb. the part. Nif'. נאות is found, = 'suitable.' Hitzig and Stade, § 585 a, prefer

to take it as imperf. Qal, like יבושׁ; cf. on 23, 13, where Hitzig reads אַתָּה אִם as perf. Qal from אִוַח.

להמל. Cf. 17, 10 and the note there. המול לכם כל זכר is a phrase characteristic of P; so 17, 10. Ex. 12, 48.

16. ונתנו. 'Then we will give.' The perf. with waw conv.; the apodosis to אִם תְּהוּוּ כִמְנוּ in ver. 15; cf. vers. 17, 18, 26, and often.

19. אָחַר = אַחַר, cf. מֵאַחַר, the vowel being lengthened by way of compensation for the non-doubling of ח; see Stade, § 386 d. 2; Ges., § 64. 3.

20. אֵל שַׁעַר עִירָם. Cf. 23, 4. 10. Is. 29, 21. Am. 5, 10. שַׁעַר הָעִיר was the oriental Forum (Del.).

21. 'These men, they are peaceably disposed towards us.' *Casus pendens*; cf. on ver. 8; see Driver, § 198. Cf. also 41, 25. 45, 20. 47, 6. 48, 5 (לִי הֵם).

שְׁלָמִים 'peaceable.' Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 76, renders 'Salemmites,' also taking שְׁלָם, 33, 18, as the name of a city belonging to Shechem, which Di. describes as 'sonderbar' (strange).

רחבת ידים. 'Wide on both sides,' so Judg. 18, 10. Is. 22, 18; also Ps. 104, 25 (הֵים . . . רַחֵב יָדַיִם).

וישבו. LXX, Sam., Pesh., Vulg. omit the ו and connect אֲתָנוּ with יִשְׁבוּ.

24. כָּל יֵצְאֵי שַׁעַר. Cf. 23, 10. 18, and the note on ver. 10. On the verbs יֵצֵא and בָּא with the accusative, and so capable of the genitive construction with the participle, see Ges., § 135. 1. note.

25. בְּהִיוֹתָם כְּאֲבִיָּם, i. e. when they were attacked with the fever that appears on the third day after circumcision in the case of adults. The third day was the most critical time for the circumcised person; cf. Tuch, p. 409.

שמעון ולוי, i. e. Simeon and Levi with their fellow-tribesmen.

איש חרבו. 'Each one his sword;' see Ges., § 124. Rem. 1; M. R., § 72. 3. Rem. a; and cf. 42, 25 איש אל שקו.

בטח, elsewhere usually לְבַטַח, = 'in security,' a circumstantial accusative, as in Ez. 30, 9 להחריד את כוש בטח; cf. Ewald, § 287 c.

26. לפי חרב, not 'with the edge,' but 'according to the mouth of' etc., i. e. according to its ability to devour; cf. 2 Sam. 2, 26. 11, 25 כי כזה וכזה האכל החרב. Usually לפי חרב is connected with הִקָּה 'to smile,' but it is also found with other verbs, though only here with הרג (Di.).

27. בני יעקב. LXX, Syr., Saadiah, Sam., and two MSS. (Wright) read ובני, which is not so abrupt; possibly waw originally stood before בני, and dropped out on account of the final ו of ויצאו, ver. 26; or the Vss. might have added it, to remove the abrupt commencement of the verse. The בני are probably only Simeon and Levi, not the other sons of Jacob; cf. ver. 30, where only Simeon and Levi are blamed.

30. להבאישני, lit. 'by making me stink,' i. e. bringing me into evil repute; so Ex. 5, 21. 1 Sam. 13, 4. 2 Sam. 10, 6. Cf. the English phrase, 'To be in bad odour with any one.'

כתי מספר, lit. 'men of number,' i. e. so few that they might easily be counted; cf. Ges., § 106. 1; M. R., § 79. 4. Rem. a: so Deut. 4, 27. Ps. 105, 12; cf. Is. 10, 19. For יָתִי, see on 4, 18. It is only found in the plural; see Ewald, § 178 d; Stade, § 183.

ורפוקם . . . ומתו 33, 13. ונאספו . . . והכוני.

31. הִכְזוּנָה, so Baer and Del., with כ aspirated; cf. 27, 38. Job 15, 8. 22, 13; and the metheg (Ga'ya) before the

pathach, to distinguish the ה interrog. from the ה of the article (Del.). The ordinary text has † *majusculum*.

יעשה. Cf. Lev. 16, 15 ועשה את דמו.

35.

1. עלה ביתאל. Bethel was situated on a hill; cf. ver. 8 מתחת לביתאל; 1 Sam. 10, 3.

2. את אלהי הנכר, especially the Teraphim (31, 19) which Rachel had taken with her. אלהי נכר = 'strange gods,' lit. 'gods of strangeness;' cf. Josh. 24, 23. Judg. 10, 16. So בן נכר 'son of strangeness' = 'stranger' (17, 10. Ex. 12, 43).

הַמְּהַרְוֹ for הַתְּמַהְרֵי, by Ges., § 54. 2 b; Dav., § 26. 3.

3. הענה אתי . . . ויהי. The participle continued by an imperf. with waw conv., a fact being stated; so 49, 17. Num. 22, 11 היצא ממצרים ויכס את עין 11; cf. on 27, 33.

4. הנוזמים, i. e. the earrings that were worn as talismans and amulets, and so belonging to the heathen practices, which Jacob required them to give up.

תחת האלה. 'Under the terebinth,' i. e. the well-known terebinth, which would be familiar to the reader; hence the article; cf. 12, 6. Possibly, as Tuch suggests, the tree mentioned here is the same as the one in Judg. 9, 6, where Abimelech was made king.

The LXX have here the addition, καὶ ἀπόλεσεν αὐτὰ ἕως τῆς σήμερον ἡμέρας; which Frankel, *Einf.*, p. 56, explains as a marginal gloss, added by a pious reader who objected to ויטמן.

5. חתת אלהים, not 'a mighty terror,' but 'a terror of God,' i. e. one caused or sent by Him; cf. פחד אלהים, 2 Chron.

20, 29; פחד יהוה, 2 Chron. 14, 13. הַתַּת is a ἀπαξ λεγόμεν. The genitive is an objective genitive; cf. on 9, 2.

7. נגלו אליו האלהים plural, perhaps because האלהים here includes the angels; cf. 28, 12; see also 20, 13. Josh. 24, 19. Onq. here has מַלְאֲכֵיָא דְיִי 'angels of Y.:' but Berliner in his edition gives אֵי תִּנְגַּלִּי לֵיהּ יִי, i. e. 'Y. appeared to him;' cf. M. R., § 135. 2. Rem. a; Ges., § 146. 2. foot-note 2.

8. אֵלוֹן בְּכוֹת, probably identical with the palm tree of Deborah, Judg. 4, 5, and perhaps with the Terebinth of Tabor, mentioned in 1 Sam. 10, 3.

10. Cf. 32, 29.

11. מִחֲלָצִיד. In 46, 26 we have יֵצְאֵי יִרְכּוּ; מִתַּנִּים is never used in this connexion.

14. מִצְבָּה . . . מִצְבַּת אֲבָן. מִצְבָּה is epexegetical; cf. 15, 18. 25, 30; and see M. R., § 72. 3.

וַיִּסַּךְ עֲלֵיהּ נֶסֶךְ. Cf. 28, 18 וַיִּצַק שֶׁמֶן נֶסֶךְ. נֶסֶךְ was probably a libation of wine (Targ. Ps.-Jon., wine and water). Some (Kn., Wel.) take וַיִּצַק . . . שֶׁמֶן as epexegetical to וַיִּסַּךְ.

15. אֲשֶׁר דָּבַר אֵתוֹ שֵׁם. Contrast ver. 13 and ver. 14, where שֵׁם is omitted, as no confusion can arise in the sentence through its absence; see M. R., § 157 c; Ewald, § 331 c. 3.

16. כְּבֵרֶת הָאָרֶץ occurs again 48, 7 and 2 Kings 5, 19; but neither of these passages throws any light on the word כְּבֵרֶת; however, from 2 Kings, l. c., it could not have been a very great distance. LXX have here Χαβραθαί, but in 48, 7 τὸν ἰππόδρομον Χαβραθαί (a double translation), i. e. either a stadium, or like the Arabic شوط فرس (i. e. as far as a horse can run), a measure common among the Arabs; see *H. W. B.*, 9th ed., p. 368. Syr. ܦܪܫܐܘܬܐ, a 'parasang,' =

eighteen thousand paces or three German miles; cf. Bernst., *Syr. Lex.*, p. 408 b. Onq. has כְּרוֹב אֶרְעָא = 'about an acre,' etc.; cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, i. 384 a. Del. and Tuch take it as = 'about an hour's journey.' Cf. Ges., *Thes.*, p. 658 b.

17. כִּי גַם זֶה לֶךְ בֶּן. Cf. 30, 24, where Rachel wishes that she may have another son.

18. בֶּן אֹוֹנִי = 'son of my sorrow;' inasmuch as giving birth to him cost her her life. His father, however, instead of this ill-omened name, called him בְּנִימִן 'son of the right hand,' i. e. son of good luck, the right side being considered by the ancients as the lucky side; cf. Ges. in *Thes.*, p. 599, and δέξιος and ἀπίστερος in Greek. בֶּן is pointed with hireq like the pr. n. יְקִה בֶּן in Prov. 30, 1; בֶּן נֹן, Num. 11, 28, etc.; cf. בֶּן לִילָה, Jon. 4, 10. Del. gives two other explanations of the name 'son of good fortune.' I. He might have been so named because he was born when Jacob was free, his other children having been born when he was in Laban's service. II. Because he completed the lucky number (twelve) of his sons. In Ps. 89, 13 יְמִין = 'the south,' so Rashi explains the name as meaning the 'south son,' as opposed to the others, who were 'north sons,' being born in Aramea; but Canaan is nowhere called 'the south land.'

19. אֶפְרַתָּה הוּא בֵּית לֶחֶם. So 48, 7, i. e. Bethlehem, two hours south of Jerusalem; cf. Mic. 5, 1 אֶפְרַתָּה; 1 Chron. 4, 4. Matt. 2, 16-18. Di., Thenius, and others, from 1 Sam. 10, 2 ff. (cf. Jer. 31, 15), consider that Rachel's grave must be sought for much further north, in the territory of Benjamin, or on the boundary between Benjamin and Ephraim, on the way between Ramah of Samuel and Gibeah of Saul, not far from Bethel. Di. points out that this would be more natural, as Rachel was the mother of Joseph and

Benjamin; הוא בית לחם here and 48, 7, therefore, is considered by them as a gloss, which was caused by the fact that Ephrath Bethlehem was better known than Ephrath near Bethel.

21. מהלאה למגדל עדר. *'On the other side of Migdal 'Eder;'* so Amos 5, 27 מהלאה לדמשק *'on the other side of Damascus,'* beyond Damascus.

מגדל עדר = *'Herd's tower;'* cf. 2 Kings 17, 9. 18, 8. 2 Chron. 26, 10. It is placed by Di., who holds that there were two Ephraths, near Hebron. Knobel places it at Jerusalem (cf. Mic. 4, 8); so the LXX, who place ver. 21 after מביתאל in ver. 16. Del. considers that it was near Bethlehem.

22. בשפן with כ with dag., an exception to the rule given in the note on 34, 7.

”וישכב וגו’. Cf. 2 Sam. 16, 22. 1 Kings 2, 22.

The Massoretes here have a note, פִּסְקָא בְּאֶמְצַע פְּסִיק, i. e. *'a gap in the middle of the verse.'* There are three of these פִּסְקָאוֹת in the Pent., and twenty-eight in the books from Joshua to Ezekiel. They are not mentioned in the Talmud or Midrash (Del.). Verse 22 down to ישראל has a double accentuation, according as it is read as a complete verse or as a half-verse. Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 373, points out that in the public reading of the text the two verses 22 and 23 were read as one, so that the passage might be passed over in reading as quickly as possible, and the attention of the audience diverted from the evil deed of Reuben. The correct accentuation makes ver. 22 end at ישראל, and ver. 23 begin at ויהיו, one section ending at ver. 22, and a fresh one beginning with ver. 23. The first way of accenting the verses here (viz. making 22 end at ישראל, and 23 begin with ויהיו)

is called טעם תחתון ('*lower accentuation*'); the second way (viz. making the two verses one), טעם עליון ('*upper accentuation*'). Cf. the double set of accents in the Decalogue in Exodus and Deut., and cf. Num. 25, 19 and Deut. 2, 8, where there is a gap in the middle of the verse. The LXX have the addition, καὶ πονηρὸν ἐφάνη ἐναντίον αὐτοῦ = וירע בעיניו, possibly added to avoid the abrupt ending, which is regarded by Di. as intentional, to draw attention to 49, 3 f.

26. יִלְדָּ. Sam. and Heb. MSS. (Di.) יִלְרִי, as in 36, 5.

אִשָּׁר is acc. case by Ges., § 143. 1 b; M. R., § 47. 2; cf. 4, 18.

36.

The Toledoth Esau follow in this chapter, preceding those of Jacob, just as Ishmael's preceded Isaac's; the object of the chapter, and its position before the account of Jacob's family, being to dispose of Esau, and leave the course of the narrative entirely free for Jacob's history. The Edomites, Esau's descendants, first appear again in Num. 20, 14 ff. Such a detailed account of the history of Edom can be explained sufficiently from the fact that Edom always passed as Israel's brother (cf. Num., l. c.), and occupied an important position in the history of Israel. A partial list of the descendants of Esau is given in 1 Chron. 1, 35-54.

2. In 26, 34 Esau married Yehudith the daughter of Beeri the Hittite, and Basemath the daughter of Elon the Hittite. In 28, 9 he takes, in addition to his other wives (עַל נִשְׂוִי)—i. e. those of 26, 34—Maḥalath, Ishmael's daughter. Thus, according to 26, 34. 28, 9, Esau's three wives were, 1. Yehudith, Beeri the Hittite's daughter; 2. Basemath, Elon the Hittite's daughter; and 3. Maḥalath, Ishmael's daughter.

Here Esau's wives are given, 1. Adah the daughter of Elon the Hittite; 2. Oholibamah the daughter of Anah, the daughter of Tsibeon the Hivite; 3. Basemath the daughter of Ishmael, the sister of Nebayoth. There are three serious discrepancies in the two accounts. 1. The Basemath of 26, 34 is here called Adah; 2. the Oholibamah the daughter of Anah, the daughter of Tsibeon the Hivite (cf. below) = (apparently) Yehudith the daughter of Beerī the Hittite of 26, 34; and 3. Ishmael's daughter Maḥalath, 28, 9, is here called Basemath. With regard to 2. **בַּת צְבַעִין הַחִוִּי** we must read (i) for **חִוִּי**, **הַרְי**, as ver. 25 (cf. ver. 20) shews; and (ii) either render **בַּת** 'granddaughter,' cf. ver. 39 (?) and 29, 5 (where **בֵּן** must = 'grandson'); or emend and read **בֵּן**, so Sam., LXX, Pesh.; cf. ver. 24. note, where Anah is Tsibeon's son, and ver. 25, where Oholibamah is Anah's daughter. Various hypotheses have been adopted to reconcile the different accounts of Esau's wives. Some have held that Esau had five wives; others (Hengst., Ros.) that the wives had two names, or had their names changed. Kn. and Ewald suppose that the names have been corrupted by copyists. If this is the case, the corruption must have been, as Di. points out, very great. Others (Del., Tuch, Nöld.) explain the difference in the two accounts as arising from two different traditions. This seems the most natural solution, this chapter (36) embodying one account, and 26, 34 f. 28, 9 another; see further, Del. and Di.

6. Esau takes his wives and children, and all his property, and leaves Canaan for the land of Seir, out of the way of his brother Jacob.

אֵל אֶרֶץ as it stands yields no suitable sense. The Targums and Vulg. read **אֵל אֶרֶץ אַחֵרָה**. Ges. renders the

text, 'to a land east of (lit. before) Jacob;' but מפני can hardly mean this. LXX and Sam. read מארין כנען, possibly a correction. The Syriac has **לְאַרְבַּח וְשֹׁדֵי**, cf. vers. 8, 9, which is probably the correct reading of the text; so Di., Tuch.

7. **מִשְׁבֵּת**. Cf. 4, 13 **מִנְשׂוּא**.

8. **שְׁעִיר**, embracing the hill country of Edom east of the Arabah, reached from the Dead Sea to the Elanitic Gulf. The northern half is at the present day called Gebâl, and the southern es-Şerâh.

11. The Canaanitish line.

תִּימָן is the name of a district of Edom (Jer. 49, 20. Amos 1, 12. Hab. 3, 3) celebrated for its wise men (Jer. 49, 7. Bar. 3, 22 f.); the home of Eliphaz, Job 2, 11.

אֹמֶר, **צִפּוֹ**, and **גַּעְתָּם** are quite unknown.

קִנּוּ. Cf. 15, 19, where the Kenizites are mentioned among other tribes dwelling in the south of Canaan.

12. **עַמְלֵק**, 'not identical with the Amalekites of 14, 7, who dwelt in the south of Canaan; but probably only a portion of those, who attached themselves to the families of Eliphaz, or were subject to them' (Di.); cf. ver. 22.

13. The Ishmaelitish line.

The names are not further known.

14. The Horite line.

Nothing further is known about the names here given.

15-19. The tribal princes of Edom.

15. **אֶלְיָה**, a denom. from **אֶלֶף** 'a thousand' = *chiliarch* or *phylarch*, mostly used of the tribal princes of Edom, more rarely of those of Judah, Zech. 9, 7. 12, 5. 6; see Prof. Driver, *The Expositor*, July, 1885.

16. **אֶלְיָה קָרַח**, mentioned in ver. 18 as the son of Esau by Oholibamah, is wanting in the Sam. Codex and Vs.,

and one Heb. MS. (Wright), and is rejected as spurious by Tuch, Knobel, Del. Di. considers that it either crept in here by the oversight of a copyist from ver. 18, or is a gloss assigning Korah, according to another theory, to the family of Eliphaz.

20-30. The tribes of the Horites.

The inhabitants of the land, as opposed to the descendants of Esau, who took possession of it (Deut. 2, 12).

20. הַחֲרִי from חֹר 'a hole,' so 'a dweller in a hole or cave.' 'The land of Edom abounds in holes or caves' (Di.). The identification of the names in the following verses is uncertain; cf. Di., p. 366 f.

24. וְאִיהָ. Most commentators read אִיהָ with 1 Chron. 1, 40, LXX, Syr., and Vulg.; the text as it stands yields no suitable sense.

הַיַּמִּים. Targ. Jer., Saad., Kimchi, Luther, 'mules;' so the A.V.; more probably 'hot water springs' (A.V. R. 'the hot springs'), which Del. identifies with the hot springs of Kalirrhöë, beneath Zerka Maein, on the east side of the Dead Sea, about two hours distant from it; cf. Hieron., *Quaest.* ed. Lag., p. 56. Onq. and Ps.-Jon. seem either to have read הַיַּמִּים, 'the giant race' mentioned in Deut. 2, 10, or to have taken הַיַּמִּים as meaning this. Pesh. gives מַיִם, and one Heb. MS. (Wright).

26. דִּישָׁן. LXX, Pesh., Vulg., 1 Chron. 1, 41, and most commentators read דִּישָׁן.

30. לְאֶלְפִיהֶם. 'According to their tribal princes.' LXX, ἐν ταῖς ἡγεμονίαις, reading לְאֶלְפִיהֶם, possibly the correct reading, as this is the only place in this chapter where אֱלֹהֵי is written without the ו. It is worthy of notice that many of the names in this list, vers. 20-30, are names of animals, e. g.

שׁוּבַל = in Arabic 'young lion'; עֵנָה 'wild ass'; רִישׁוֹן, a name of an animal in Deut. 14, 5; צִבְעוֹן = in Arabic 'hyena,' etc. Di. remarks, 'that it is a natural thing for peoples amongst whom the arts and trades were not developed to be fond of choosing their family names from the names of animals.' Cf. Rob. Smith, *Journ. Phil.*, ix. 75 ff.

31-39. The names of the kings who ruled in the land of Edom. The names in this list are also doubtful; cf. Di., p. 368 ff.

33. מִבְּצָרָה, now a little village in Gebâl, El-Butseirah, four miles south of the south end of the Dead Sea.

37. מִרְחֹבוֹת הַנְּהַר. Several places in the O. T. are called Rehoboth. The one mentioned here has been identified with رَحْبَة, Raḥba, on the Euphrates (הַנְּהַר elsewhere being the river *par excellence*, i. e. the Euphrates), south of Circesium. But this identification is not certain.

40-43. A brief review of the tribal princes of Esau. The list contains partly names of individuals, e. g. קִנּוּ, אַהֲלִיבְמָה, and partly names of districts, e. g. פִּינֵן, אֵלֶּה. Most of the names of places in this list are uncertain.

אֵלֶּה, perhaps the seaport אֵילָת; cf. on 14, 6.

פִּינֵן, also called in Num. 33, 42 פּוֹנֵן, in Idumea, between Petra and Zoar, well known through its mines.

37.

2. 'Joseph, being seventeen years old, was tending the flock with his brethren, while yet a lad, with the sons of Bilhah, and with the sons of Zilpah, his father's wives, and Joseph brought an evil report about them to their father.'

הִיָּה רָעָה. Cf. the note on 4, 17.

וְהוּא נֶעֶר. LXX, ὡς νέος. A.V. renders incorrectly, 'and the lad was with the sons of Bilhah,' for the clause is a circumstantial one; cf. Driver, § 160; M. R., § 152. Pesh. and Onq. seem to have misunderstood the words; the Syr. has $\text{וְהוּא נֶעֶר עִם בְּנֵי חַמְצָה}$ 'and he was growing up with;' and Onq. $\text{וְהוּא רָבִי עִם בְּנֵי}$ 'and he was growing up with the sons of' etc. אֵת בְּנֵי has been rendered variously. Knobel gives the following meaning to the words. Joseph was feeding the flock with his brethren, as servant to the sons of Bilhah, etc.; i. e. he was handed over to their charge to learn, or to help them in their business; comparing, for this use of נֶעֶר, Judg. 7, 11. 9, 54. 19, 13, but this is giving the words of the text a very forced meaning. Del. has also a far-fetched translation, 'While yet a young man in comparison with the sons' etc. The rendering of the LXX, 'being yet a lad, with the sons'— אֵת in the same sense as the אֵת in $\text{אֵת אַחֲיוֹ בְּצֵאֵן}$, just before—is unobjectionable. וְהוּא נֶעֶר is perhaps a duplette of $\text{בֶּן שֶׁבַע עֶשְׂרֵה שָׁנָה}$ (Di.).

דְּבַתָּם רָעָה . 'An evil report about them;' רָעָה is intentionally indefinite, דְּבַתָּם הָרָעָה would mean, 'their evil report;' see Ges., § 111. 2 b. Possibly the words should be rendered, 'the report of them (as) an evil one,' a sort of tertiary predicate; cf. Num. 14, 37 $\text{דְּבַת הָאָרֶץ רָעָה}$; 1 Sam. 2, 23 $\text{אֵת דְּבָרֵיכֶם רָעִים}$.

3. $\text{כִּי בֶן זִקְנִים הוּא לֹו}$. Cf. 21, 2. 44, 20.

וַעֲשֵׂה לֹו . Either a case of the perf. with simple waw; or, possibly, with waw conv. in a freq. sense (29, 3); cf. 1 Sam. 2, 19.

כְּתַנַּת פָּסִים only in this chapter and 2 Sam. 13, 18 (of Tamar's garment as the clothing of a king's daughter). פָּס = 'an end,' 'extremity,' used of the hands and feet; so

כתנת פסים = 'a coat of extremities,' i. e. one reaching to the wrists and ankles; and, as is apparent from 2 Sam. l. c., worn by the upper classes. The ordinary כתנת only reached to the knees, and had no arm-holes. Cf. פס in Aramaic (e. g. Dan. 5, 5. 24), of the extremities of the hand and foot, and ܦܫܡܐ used in a similar way. This meaning is supported by the Pesh. here, and the Vulg., LXX, and Aquila in 2 Sam. l. c. The LXX and Vulg. here, and the Pesh. in 2 Sam., and A.V. here and 2 Sam., 'a coat of (many) colours,' margin ('pieces'), but this meaning of פס = 'a piece' or 'patch,' and so "פ"כ" 'a variegated garment,' is very doubtful. The A.V.R. retains the rendering, 'a coat of many colours,' but gives in the margin, 'a long garment with sleeves.'

4. דברו לשלם. 'To speak peaceably to him,' or 'to address him in greeting,' i. e. to greet him and answer his inquiries after their health (Del.). There is only one other instance of דבר with the acc., viz. Num. 26, 3.

5. ויוספו עוד שנה. Cf. on 8, 10.

7. 'And behold we were binding sheaves (partic.) in the midst of the field, and behold my sheaf rose, and also stood up; and behold your sheaves were moving round (imperf.), and bowed down (imperf. with waw conv., denoting a single action) to my sheaf.' מאלמים 'were binding,' participle, as in 9, 18; cf. the note there. תסבינה = 'began to move round.' 'Joseph represents the sheaves as being in motion' (Driver, § 27 γ).

ותשתחוין, ך, scriptio defectiva for תה, 'and they did bow down,' i. e. once, and not more; the imperf. with waw conv. describing a fact that happened once only in the past; contrast תסבינה, where the action has begun, and is still going on to completion.

10. הבווא נבוא. See Ges., § 131. 3 a; M. R., § 37 a.

11. וּאֲבִיו שָׁמַר אֶת הַדָּבָר. Cf. Luke 2, 19. 51.

12. אֶת צֹאן. אֵת with two dots over it, probably because it was regarded as a doubtful reading. The Bereshith Rabba, cited by Strack, p. 89, explains the points as follows, וְנִקְוֹד, עַל אֵת לֹמַר שֶׁלֹּא הִלְכוּ אֵלָּא לָרְעוּת אֵת עֲצֻמָּן אֵת, meaning that they only went to feed themselves (not the flocks)! So the Midr. Bem., cited by Strack at the same place.

14. שְׁלוֹם = 'well-being,' applied to the flocks and to Joseph's brethren.

15. וְהִנֵּה הוּא תְּעָה = הִנֵּנוּ תְּעָה for וְהִנֵּה תְּעָה; cf. on 32, 7.

17. שָׁמַעְתִּי אֶת אֲבִיךָ מְדַבֵּר. Cf. 27, 6 מדבר; here the object is omitted; cf. M. R., § 46. 2; see also Ewald, § 284 b.

דְּתִינָה 'towards Dothan.' Dothan or Dothain, = 'two fountains (?)', or perhaps 'cisterns,' was about five hours north of Samaria. In Judith 3, 9 f. 4, 6. 7, 3. 8, 3, it is called Δωταια or Δωθαιμ, and is described as on the south side of the plain of Jezreel, between Scythopolis and Gabae, near the ancient Ginnaea (Ġenin). Through the plain of Tell Dothan, south of Ġenin, the road from Bethshan and Jezreel to Ramleh and Egypt passes (Di.); cf. ver. 25 and Ebers, *Egypten und die B. Mose's*, p. 288. The form דִּיתָן is the Aramaic dual, of which דִּיתָן is a contraction; cf. קִרְתָּן and קִרְתִּים, עֵינִים and עֵינִים, and see Ges., § 88. 1. Rem. 1, and the forms found on the Moabite stone, e. g. קִרְתָּן = קִרְתִּים, line 10; מֵאֲתָן = מֵאֲתִים ('two hundred'), line 20; דְּבִלְתָּן = דְּבִלְתִּים, line 30; חֹרְנָן = חֹרְנִים, line 31.

18. וַיִּתְנַכְּלוּ יִקְרַב. Cf. the note on 2, 5; also Driver, § 127 β.

וַיִּתְנַכְּלוּ אֹתוֹ. 'They plotted against him.' Hithpa'el with the acc. as in 1 Chron. 29, 17 אֵלֶּה; see Ewald,

§ 124 b; Ges., § 54. 3 c; cf. Ps. 105, 25, where **הַחֵנֶכֶל** takes the prep. **ב**, not the acc. as here.

19. **בַּעַל הַחַלְמוֹת**. Cf. on 14, 13. **הַלֹּהֵ** as in 24, 65; cf. the note there.

21. **לֹא נִכְנֹו נַפְשׁוֹ** = 'let us not smite him as to life,' i. e. mortally; so Deut. 22, 26 **וּרְצַחוּ נַפְשׁוֹ**; see Ges., § 139. note, and cf. the note on 3, 15.

24. **הַבְּרֵה**. Cf. Jer. 38, 6 (where Jeremiah is cast into a pit with no water in it, but mud); Lam. 3, 53.

25. **לֹא אָכְלוּ לֶחֶם** = 'to take their meal,' lit. 'to eat bread,' the meal being so called from the chief article of food; cf. 31, 54. 43, 25. Matt. 15, 2.

אֲרָחוֹת יִשְׁמְעָאֵלִים. So Is. 21, 13 **אֲרָחוֹת דְּדָנִים**; Job 6, 19 **אֲרָחוֹת תְּמָא**. **אֲרָחַת** is the part. Qal fem. of **אָרַח**, used in a collective sense. **אֲרָחַת** = 'a single traveller,' **אֲרָחָה** 'a company of travellers,' 'a caravan.' Other feminines used collectively are **אֲחֻוּהָ** 'brotherhood,' from **אָח** 'brother,' **עֲנָנָה** 'a mass of clouds,' from **עָנָן** 'a cloud,' **גּוֹלָה** 'a band of exiles;' see Ges., § 107. 3 d; Stade, § 312 b.

נִכְאָת = 'tragacanth gum:' **צִרִי** = 'balsam' (**צִרִי** for **צִרִי**, like **רִאִי** for **רִאִי**, Job 37, 18; **דְּמִי** for **דְּמִי**, Is. 38, 10): **לָט** = 'ladanum;' three articles which even at the present day form the chief trade of the Arab-Egyptian caravans. Ebers, *Egypten* etc., p. 292, has found **נִכְאָת** and **צִרִי** on the Egyptian inscriptions, but not **לָט**; he also (p. 293) mentions that gum, balsam, and incense are the chief imports from the East to Egypt at the present day, and—with the exception of a short distance by rail—are brought by the same method, i. e. caravans, as in the days of the patriarchs. The caravan road from Damascus to Egypt is the same now as in the time of Joseph.

26. **מָה בְּצֵעַ** = 'what gain, that we kill?' on the construction, cf. M. R., § 93. Rem. b; see also Ewald, § 326 a.

28. **מְדִינִים**. Cf. on 25, 2. The name of Ishmaelites was probably of more modern origin than that of Midianites, and applied generally to all Arabs (Di.).

בְּעֶשְׂרִים נֶסֶף. **נֶסֶף** being omitted by Ges., § 120. 4. Rem. 2. The LXX have *εἴκοσι χρυσῶν*, so in 45, 22. The price of a slave between five and twenty years was twenty shekels; see Lev. 27, 5. On slavery in Egypt, see Ebers' note, *Egypten und die Bücher Mose's*, p. 293 ff., where he shews that the narrative in this chapter is quite in accordance with what used to take place at the time of the Pharaoh, whose favourite Joseph became.

33. **טָרַף טָרַף**. Cf. Ges., § 131. 3. Rem. 2, and see Job 6, 2 **יִשְׁקֹל יִשְׁקֹל**, the inf. abs. Qal and imperf. Nif., Gen. 46, 4 **אֶעֱלֶה גַם עִלָּה**, inf. Qal and imperf. Hif.

35. **כִּי**, either (1) introducing the words of the speaker, or (2) the Latin *imo*, 'no.'

שְׂאֵלָה = 'to Sheol,' 'the underworld;' always without the article. The word probably = 'the hollow place,' from **שָׂאֵל** = **שָׂעַל**. Another derivation, now almost obsolete, is from **שָׂאֵל** 'to ask,' with reference to the inexorable demand made by death on all mortals, and then transferred to the place of death, to which all mortals must come, 'the house of meeting for all living,' Job 30, 23; cf. Is. 5, 14. Hab. 2, 5.

36. **מְדִינִים הַמְדִּינִים** either abbreviated or corrupted from **מְדִינִים**, which is the reading of all the versions here.

לְפֹטִיפָר. **פֹּטִיפָר** is the same as **פָּרַע**, 41, 45. 46, 20, the former name being abbreviated from the latter. LXX have both *Πετεφρῆς* and *Πεντεφρῆς*, see Lagarde, *Gen. Graece*, 1 ref., p. 20. The name is the Egyptian *Peti-pa(pha)-ra*,

meaning, 'one devoted to Ra,' the sun god, see Ebers, p. 296. *Ra* is the Egyptian sun god, the chief place of his worship being Heliopolis. Brugsch, *Gesch.*, p. 248, explains the name as = *puti-par*, 'Gift of him that has appeared.'

סרים, either to be taken in its literal sense, cf. Ebers, p. 299, or merely equivalent to 'officer,' 'official;' see further, Ebers, pp. 297, 300, who renders סרים 'courtier;' remarking that סרים, among the Orientals, had much the same meaning as '*Schranz*' in German ('parasite,' 'courtier').

שׂר הטבחים = 'captain of the executioners.' The captain of the executioners was also chief of the body-guard and superintendent of the state prison (40, 3 f.), see Ebers, p. 301, who describes Potiphar's office as that of chief minister of police. A similar office existed among the Babylonians, 2 Kings 25, 8 ff. Jer. 39, 9. 52, 12. Dan. 2, 15. See further, Ebers, p. 300 ff. The LXX have ἀρχιμάγειρος, which rendering is perhaps due to 39, 6.

38.

1. ויט 'turned aside,' Del. renders, 'removed his dwelling,' sc. אהלו; but ויט without אהלו is not found in this sense, and further, no pr. name of a place follows ער.

עדלמי. Adullam was in the plain (שפלה) of Judah, presumably north-west of Hebron (Di.), identical, perhaps, with the modern Deir Dubbân, north of Eleutheropolis.

2. איש כנעני. Onq. renders יְבֵר תְּנָרָא (cf. Prov. 31, 24, Is. 23, 8) 'a merchant,' possibly finding Judah's marriage with a daughter of Canaan objectionable. Berliner, however, in his edition has יְבֵר בְּנֵעֲנִי, but mentions the other reading in his notes, p. 14 of part ii. Cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, ii. p. 528.

3. ויקרא. Sam., Targ. Ps.-Jon., Heb. Codd. (Di.) read וחקרא, see 29, 34 and vers. 3, 4.

5. והיה, see Driver, § 133. LXX has $\alpha\upsilon\tau\eta\ \delta\grave{\epsilon}\ \eta\gamma$, which points to a reading והיא (hardly והיתה, Di.), which gives a suitable sense; cf. 1 Sam. 23, 15. 24. 2 Chron. 10, 2; see also Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 462. As the text stands it must be rendered, 'he (Judah) was,' but the perf. with waw is very harsh, and a reference to Judah is not what we should expect.

בכוזב = the אכוזב of Mic. 1, 14. Josh. 15, 44; it was also in the low country (שפלה) of Judah.

8. ויבם = to perform the duty of marrying the deceased brother's widow when he left no son; see Deut. 25, 5. The brother-in-law in this case was called יבם.

9. והיה . . . ושחת. The perfect with waw conv. in a frequentative sense, see Driver, § 121; also Ewald, §§ 342 b, 345 b. Other examples are Num. 21, 9 . . . ויהי אם נשך הנחש . . . וחי והביט . . . וחי . . . ועלה 6, 3 . . . ויהי אם זרע . . . ויהי . . . ירר with a simple impf. following. Other instances of אם in a temporal sense with the perf. are Ps. 41, 7. Amos 7, 2. Is. 4, 4; compare Ewald, § 355 b.

ושחת ארצה; a pregnant construction, see Ges., § 141. ורע must be understood after ושחת.

נתן. Cf. the note on נשא, 4, 13.

11. בית אביך is acc. of place, see Ges., § 118. 1.

12. ויעל על גזוי וגו'. lit. 'he went up about the shearers of his flock,' i. e. to see after them; cf. the use of על in 30, 33 על שכרי.

רעהו. 'His companion.' LXX and Vulg. read the consonants as רעהו 'his shepherd;' so Luther.

המנתה is probably the Timnah near Gibeā, in the hill country of Judah (Josh. 15, 57), and not identical with the Timnah belonging to the Danites (Josh. 19, 43), on account of עלה; Di. after Kn. Tuch (cf. Merx's note however) and Del. consider that there was only one Timnah. The name is found on the inscriptions in the form *Tamnaa*, Schrader, *K. A. T.*², 170. Rob., *Pales.*, ii. 343, mentions three Timnahs, and considers the one occurring here to be in the hill country of Judah.

14. ותסר בגדי אלמנותה. Cf. Judith 10, 3.

ותנס, i. e. the face; cf. Deut. 22, 12. Jon. 3, 6, where the object of כסה is omitted.

ותתעלה. 'And veiled herself;' cf. Prov. 7, 10. LXX, Pesh., Onq. render, 'adorned herself.'

בפתח עינים = 'at the gate of Ainaim.' עינים being the same as עינים (cf. on 37, 17 דתינה), Josh. 15, 34 (with the article), and עינים in ver. 21. So most modern commentators; cf. ver. 21. The Vss. took the word as a *nom. appell.*, contrary to ver. 21, excepting the LXX who give *Ainiv*. The Pesh. has **فُصَمَا لَسَوَسْمَا** 'dividing of roads,' Onq. פְּרִשׁוֹת, עינים, lit. 'division of eyes,' i. e. cross-way; cf. Levy, *Chald. W. B.*, ii. p. 212 and p. 304. Targ. Ps.-Jon. פְּרִשְׁתֵּי אֲרָחִין, דְּכָל-עֵינַיִן מִסְתַּבְּלִין, i. e. 'the cross-ways whither every one's eyes look!' Vulg. 'in bivio itineris.' Saad. مَنظَرَةٌ 'a watch tower.' The A. V. has, 'in an open place,' margin, 'The door of eyes.' A. V. R. 'in the gate of Enaim.'

והוא לא נתנה לו לאשה = 'without her being given him to wife;' circ. cl.

15. ויחשבה לזונה. Cf. 1 Sam. 1, 13 לשכורה.

כי נסתה פניה. LXX and Vulg. add ולא ידעה 'and

he did not know her,' to explain "כי כ"פ" which gives the reason why Judah did not recognise her, and not why he took her for a harlot.

18. **החתם**. 'The signet ring,' which was worn round the neck on a chain (פתיל). As these were always worn by their owners, they would be easily identified again by them; cf. Song of Songs 8, 6. On חותם, cf. a note in Del., *Gen.*⁴, p. 557, where he regards the word as the only possible trace of the use of writing in Genesis (die einzige mögliche Spur des Schriftgebrauches in der Genesis).

ומטף. 'And thy staff.' **מטה** was a carved or ornamented staff, and so different from **מקל**, a stick in its natural condition. Among the Babylonians every man carried a stick with an ornamented top, and wore a signet ring; see Herod. i. 195. The Versions render פתיל differently. LXX have ὀμφισκον, 'a necklet.' Hieron. 'armillam.' Onq. 'שׁוֹשֵׁפֶף' 'thy cloak.' Syr. 'مُتَفَف' 'thy cloak.'

21. **הקדשה הוא**. Cf. the note on 19, 33. **קדשה** = 'a religious prostitute,' lit. 'one dedicated' (**קדש** 'to set apart,' 'dedicate') to the goddess Ashtoreth (עשתרת). Cf. Deut. 23, 18 for the law forbidding this dedication to Ashtoreth in Israel.

24. **ויהי כמשלש חדשים**. 'And it happened after about three months;' the double preposition is exceedingly rare, but cf. 1 Sam. 10, 27 LXX כמחדש; 1 Sam. 14, 14 כבחצי; Lev. 26, 37 כמפני חרב, also כבראשנה. **חדש** is here fem., but nowhere else; the Heb.-Sam. reads the more correct שלשת.

ותשרף. This punishment in the Levitical law was applicable only to the daughters of a priest; see Lev. 21, 9. In other cases the offender was stoned, Lev. 20, 10. Deut. 22, 23 ff. John 8, 5.

25. 'She was being brought out, and she sent to her father-in-law, saying, *By a man to whom these belong am I with child;*' a more expressive way of saying, 'As she was being brought forth, she sent' etc.; so Judg. 18, 3 והמה . . . בית; the הכירו; 1 Sam. 20, 36 והוא ירה; also Gen. 29, 9. 44, 3. 4; see Driver, § 169; Ewald, § 341 c.

מוצאת is fem. partic. Hof. of יצא for מוצאת; cf. לאמר for לאמר; לאלהים for לאלהים; see Stade, § 112 c; Ges., § 23. 2 b.

28. ויתן יד. 'And one stretched out a hand,' i. e. a hand appeared; cf. יתן in Job 37, 10 קרח אל יתן קרח; Prov. 13, 10 מזה יתן מזה; so Del. Di. prefers to render, 'then he (sc. הנה) stretched out' etc., i. e. there was stretched out; see Ges., § 137. 3. Rem. 1; M. R., § 123. 3; and cf. Cheyne's crit. note on Is. 14, 30.

שני, i. e. 'a thread coloured with crimson.' שני is the crimson colour derived from the cochineal; called in Heb. either שני, or more fully תולעת שני.

29. ויהי כמושיב, hardly, 'and he was as one drawing back his hand,' i. e. made an attempt to draw back (Del.); but rather equivalent to משיב, or משיבו, 'and it came to pass when he drew back;' so Di., who compares 40, 10. Jer. 2, 17; Ewald, § 337 c; but see Driver, § 135. 6. Obs. 2, who suggests the emendation משיב as more in accordance with Biblical analogy.

מה פרצת עליך פרץ = 'Why hast thou made a rent for thyself?' (20, 3) (עליו 'for thyself,' 'on thy account'); so LXX, Aquila, Luther, Di., Del. Others (Ges., Kn.) render, 'Why hast thou made a breach?' 'Upon thee a breach!' cf. 16, 5 חמסי עליך: i. e. either (Ges.) 'thou must bear the guilt of this breach;' or Knobel, 'may a breach come upon thee;' but this is not so natural, and would rather require הפרץ.

39.

1. הישמעאלים. 'Ishmaelites,' i. e. Arabs; cf. 37, 28, the name being given to Arabs in general.

2. איש מצליח. 'A prosperous man;' מצליח in the next verse is slightly different = 'to cause to prosper.'

3. וכל אשר הוא עשה. 'All that he was doing,' almost equivalent to וכל אשר הוא יעשה; so ver. 6 אבל, ver. 22 עשים; 1 Kings 3, 2 מובחים; see Driver, § 135. 2. Obs., 'the participle denoting not a *continuous state*, but a fact liable to recur.'

The position Joseph held in Potiphar's household was that of steward, somewhat similar to the post Eliezer occupied in Abraham's family (15, 2 f.); cf. Ebers, p. 303, who speaks of the position of steward as 'a dignity which we meet with at the earliest times in every great Egyptian household.'

4. וכל אשר יש לו for וכל יש לו; cf. ver. 5. Ex. 9, 4. 18, 20. On the omission of the relative, see Ges., § 123. 3 a; M. R., § 160; Ewald, § 333 b, who remarks that 'the omission of the relative in *prose* is almost entirely confined to the books of Chronicles.'

5. מאז הפקיד. מאז with the perfect, as in Ex. 5, 23 מאז באתי אל פרעה; 9, 24 מאז היתה לגוי. In Ex. 4, 10 the inf. follows מאז.

6 ff. On the history of Joseph and Potiphar's wife, cf. the Egyptian tale, contained in the Papyrus d'Orbiney, translated by Ebers, p. 311 ff.

וּלֹא יָדַע אֵתוֹ. וּלֹא referring to Joseph; cf. ver. 8 אֵתוֹ; 'and he did not know anything with him (Joseph)' = he did not trouble himself about anything that was with him, i. e. he left everything to Joseph's care, except his food, which

could not be entrusted to him on account of the strictness of the Egyptian laws as to cleanness and uncleanness; see 43, 32, 46, 34; so Kn., Ebers. Del., however, explains differently. Potiphar left everything he could to Joseph's care, except eating his food, which naturally could not be done by deputy. אִתּוֹ may also be taken reflexively, and referred to Potiphar = '*with himself*,' i.e. in his own mind; cf. Ges., § 124. 1; M. R., § 89 a. The A. V. offers a third rendering, also regarding אִתּוֹ as reflexive, '*And he knew not aught he had.*'

8. מַה בְּבֵית. '*What is in the house;*' or מַה = '*anything,*' as in Prov. 9, 13. Job 13, 13. The Heb.-Sam. has מֵאוֹמָה.

9. אֵינְנו גְּדוֹל בְּבֵית הַזֶּה מִמֶּנִּי = '*he is not greater in this house than I am,*' i.e. I hold the same position in this house that he does. These words are taken differently by Maurer, '*There is nothing in this house too great for me;*' and by the A. V., '*There is none greater in this house than I.*' But אֵינְנו cannot be translated indefinitely, as it would be in these two renderings.

10. יוֹם יוֹם = '*day by day,*' '*daily;*' so Ex. 16, 5. Prov. 8, 30; see Ges., § 108. 4; Ewald, § 313 a; M. R., § 72. 2.

11. וַיְהִי כַהֵיּוֹם הַזֶּה. '*And it came to pass, just about that time;*' cf. Deut. 6, 24 לַחֵיטּוֹת כַּהֵיּוֹם הַזֶּה; Neh. 5, 11 הִשִּׁיבוּ נָא לָהֶם כַּהֵיּוֹם. In 50, 20 we find the more usual form כִּיּוֹם הַזֶּה.

12. בְּבַגְדָּו. In the singular we should—from the analogy of other words of the same kind, e. g. מִלְּבָי from מִלְּבָה, בְּרִבְבֵי from מִלְּבָה—expect בְּבַגְדָּו; cf. יִקְבֹּד from יִקָּב, in Deut. 15, 14; בְּגִדֵי, Ez. 9, 3; עֲמֹדֵי, Dan. 8, 17; and see Ges., § 93. Rem. 1; Stade, § 345 b. In the plural the aspirate has no dagesh.

14. הֵבִיא לָנוּ אִישׁ עִבְרִי = '*he (Potiphar) hath brought us a Hebrew man;*' or impersonally, '*one has brought,*' i.e.

'there has been brought.' אִישׁ עִבְרִי, i. e. one whom the Egyptians would regard with little favour; cf. 43, 32. 46, 34. On עִבְרִי, cf. on 14, 13; the name has been supposed to be found in the Egyptian inscriptions, in the form 'apuriu;' see Ebers, p. 316, but the *p* for *b* is a difficulty, and this opinion is now generally given up.

לְצַחֵק בְּנֵי. Retrogression of the tone, by Ges., § 29. 3 b; cf. on 4, 17. See also Ges., § 64. Rem. 2; Stade, § 88. 2 b; so in ver. 17. לְצַחֵק בְּנֵי, as in Prov. 1, 26 = 'to wanton with us,' different from צַחֵק אֵת, 26, 8. The LXX have ἐμπαίξουσ; cf. Ewald, § 217. f. 2 δ.

בְּנֵי. Potiphar's wife wishes to imply that the other females of the household had been similarly treated by Joseph.

15. אֲצִלִּי. Sam. has בִּידִי, but Del. points out that with this reading she would betray herself.

18. כְּהַרְיָמִי . . . וְאֶקְרָא. So Lev. 16, 1 וַיִּמְתּוּ . . . בְּקִרְבָּתָם; Josh. 8, 24 וַיִּפְּלוּ . . . כְּכַלּוֹת; 1 Kings 18, 18 וַתֵּלֶךְ . . . בְּעִזְבוֹכָם; see Driver, § 118; Ges., § 132. 3. Rem. 2, and cf. the note on 18, 25. Render, 'When I lifted up my voice and cried.'

20. אֲדַנִּי יוֹסֵף. So 42, 30. 33. *Pluralis excellentiae*, see Ges., § 108. 2 b; M. R., § 135. 2.

בֵּית הַסְּהָר = perhaps 'the house of surrounding,' or 'shutting in,' i. e. a prison surrounded by a wall, if סְהָר be related to סָחַר, סָגַר; cf. the Syriac ܡܫܘܬܐ. Ebers, p. 317 f., compares the fortress at Memphis called 'White wall' (a name found on many inscriptions), with which he identifies the בֵּית הַסְּהָר of this chapter, and 40, 3. 5. The LXX have ὀχύρωμα. The name for prison better known to the Hebrews was either בֵּית הַבּוֹר, Ex. 12, 29; בֵּית כְּלָא, Is. 42, 7; or מַסְגֵּר, Is. 42, 7.

מִקּוֹם אֲשֶׁר. The sentence commencing with אֲשֶׁר is a

genitive after the construct state מִקוֹם; see Ges., § 116. 2; M. R., § 82 c; so 40, 3 מִקוֹם אֲשֶׁר יוֹסֵף אֲסוּר 3; 2 Sam. 15, 21 בְּמִקוֹם אֲשֶׁר יִהְיֶה שָׁם.

אֲסוּרִי, Kri אֲסִירִי, the Ktb. being the part. pass. Qal, the Kri the adj. of the form קָטִיל; cf. Ges., § 84. 5.

אֲסוּרִים. שָׁם is omitted after אֲסוּרִים, as in 35, 13; contrast 40, 3, and see Ewald, § 331 c. 3; cf. ver. 22, where the ordinary editions have Ktb. הָאֲסוּרִים, with the Kri הָאֲסִירִים, as here, while Baer and Del. have הָאֲסִירִים in their text.

21. וּרְיָתָן חָנוּ, lit. 'gave his (Joseph's) favour in the eyes of,' i.e. and gave him favour in the eyes of, etc.; cf. Ex. 3, 21. 11, 3. 12, 36 (all).

שַׂר בֵּית הַסֵּהר. Cf. 40, 3, where the captain of the prison is Potiphar. Del. and Ebers, however, regard the person mentioned in this verse as the special governor of the prison for state prisoners. Potiphar was, as police minister, in control over all the prisons; but not an actual prison governor. Otherwise we must suppose that these conflicting statements emanate from different sources.

22. הִיא עָשָׂה. Cf. the note on 4, 17.

23. Render, 'There was no governor of the prison overlooking (lit. seeing) anything that he did (lit. anything in his hand), in that Yahweh was with him, and that which he was doing Yahweh made to prosper.'

40.

1. חֲטָאוּ . . . וַיִּהְיֶה בִּימֵי . . . עָשׂוּ. So 14, 1. 2 וַיִּהְיֶה בִּימֵי . . . עָשׂוּ; Ex. 12, 41 b הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה יֵצֵאוּ בְּעֵצָם הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה וַיִּהְיֶה בְּעֵצָם הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה וַיִּהְיֶה בְּעֵצָם הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה וַיִּהְיֶה בְּעֵצָם הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה; where instead of the *perfect* in the second half of the clause, the *imperfect* with *וַיִּהְיֶה* might stand, and most frequently does stand; see Driver, § 78.

מִשְׁקָה מֶלֶךְ מִצְרִים וְהָאֵפָה = 'the cupbearer and baker of the king of Egypt.' Notice the difference in the idiom in English and Hebrew. English says, 'the cupbearer and baker of the king;' Hebrew, 'the cupbearer of the king and the baker,' or more commonly with the third pers. suffix, 'his baker;' see Ewald, § 339 b; M. R., § 75. 2. Rem. a; Ges., § 114, footnote. The אֵפָה has been discovered on the Egyptian inscriptions, but not the מִשְׁקָה; see Ebers, p. 321.

2. סְרִיסִיּוֹ with firm qameç, as in פְּרִיָּה, though the more usual form with simple shewa under the ס is also found. The form with qameç is explained by Stade, § 209, either from a by-form kattil, i. e. for סְרִים, and as ר cannot be doubled, סְרִים, so פְּרִיָּה; or through the influence of the counter-tone on the first syllable; for this he compares נְשִׁלִּישִׁים for נְשִׁלִּישִׁים, from נְשִׁלִּישׁ. Cf. also פְּרִיצִי and פְּרִיצִי, and Ges. in the *Thes.* s. v.

3. מִקּוֹם אֲשֶׁר. Cf. the note on 39, 20.

4. וַיְהִי יָמִים בְּמִשְׁמַר, lit. 'and they were days' etc., i. e. some time; cf. 4, 3 מִקֵּץ יָמִים = 'after some time;' so we find יָמִים used indefinitely in 1 Sam. 29, 3. Dan. 8, 27. Neh. 1, 4.

5. אִישׁ כַּפְתָּרוֹן הִלְמוֹ. 'Each according to the interpretation of his dream,' i. e. each one dreamt a dream that had its special reference to himself, and its own interpretation.

הַמִּשְׁקָה וְהָאֵפָה אֲשֶׁר לְמֶלֶךְ מִצְרִים. Cf. the note on 29, 9. This construction is closer to the English idiom than the one noticed in ver. 1, and must be used if the two words that should be in the construct state are to stand together; the construction "מִשְׁקָה וְהָאֵפָה מֶלֶךְ מ" hardly occurs (two construct states and one genitive), so we must, if we wish to keep אֵפָה and מִשְׁקָה together, use אֲשֶׁר לְ or לְ, as

in 7, 11; otherwise the alternative construction followed in ver. 1 must be adopted.

6. זעפֿים = 'of a sad countenance,' 'cast down;,' so Dan. 1, 10; cf. פנים נועמים in Prov. 25, 23. Symm. has *σκυθρωποι* here. On the importance attached to dreams among the Egyptians, see Ebers, p. 321.

7. מדוע פניך רעים. Cf. Neh. 2, 2 מדוע פניך רעים = 'why dost thou look so troubled?'

8. ופתר אין אתו = 'and there is no one to interpret it;' cf. 41, 8 ואין פותר אותם; on אין, see Ges., § 152. 1; M. R., § 128. 2 b.

9. בחלומי והנה וגו'. 'In my dream, behold a vine was before me;' the apodosis without a verb being introduced by waw; so ver. 16 (cf. 41, 17, where waw is omitted); 2 Sam. 15, 34. Prov. 10, 25 a; see Driver, § 125. Obs.; M. R., § 132 b. הנה is inserted to attract the attention of the reader to the nature of the dream; contrast 41, 22 וארא בחלמי, where no especial stress is laid.

10. והוא כפרחת עלתה וגו'. I. The A.V., Knobel, Tuch, Driver render, 'And it was as though it budded, and its blossoms shot forth;' cf. the analogous use of כ היה in 19, 14, in the sense 'to appear,' 'to seem.' II. Di. and apparently Del. prefer taking כ in a temporal sense, and render, 'And it, as it budded, its blossoms shot forth,' comparing 38, 29 כמשיב; cf. the note there, and Driver, § 135. 6. Obs., who points out that this usage of the participle, though common in the Mishna (see Geiger, *Lehr- und Lesebuch zur Sp. der Mishna*, p. 52), is without analogy in Biblical Hebrew.

נצה 'its blossom.' זין occurs nowhere else in the Bible in the sense 'blossom,' but is common in the Mishna; the feminine נצה being used in Biblical Hebrew (as a *nomen*

unitatis according to Ges., § 107. 3 e). The masc. here may be explained as a collective, and so construed with the fem. עלתה (Wright). Others take נצה as abbreviated from נצתה; see Ewald, § 257 d; Stade, § 347 c. 2 (and Del. on Ps. 27, 5), who gives as other examples, גלה, צידה, פנה, מדה. נצה may be taken, by Ewald, § 281 d, as accus. to עלתה = 'and it (the vine) went up into blossoms;' cf. עלה in Is. 5, 6. 34, 13. Prov. 24, 31; so הבשילו in the second half of this verse. Possibly the text ought to be pointed נצה, the fem. ending ה־ being altered into ה־ (the suffix), to conform with אשכלתיה in the second half of the verse. Prov. 7, 8 (פנה); Job 11, 9 (מדה); Zech. 4, 2 (גלה), cited by Stade above, are similar to נצה in this verse, and may possibly be mistakes for the fem. forms.

אשכלתיה = 'its clusters.' ענב = 'the ripe grape.' On the use of wine in Egypt, see Ebers, p. 322 f.

12. שלשת השרגים, *casus pependens*. 'The three branches, they are three days;' cf. ver. 18.

13. ישא . . . את ראשך. 'Shall lift up thy head,' i.e. shall take thee from prison; cf. 2 Kings 25, 27.

כמשפט הראשון, lit. 'according to the former custom,' i.e. as thou wert accustomed to do.

14. כי אם זכרתני. Di., following Ewald, § 356 b, cf. § 342 b. 2, renders, 'only that thou rememberest me, with thee (i.e. thyself), when it is well with thee, and wilt shew me kindness,' comparing 2 Sam. 5, 6. 2 Kings 23, 9, which are different from this passage, as the כי אם in both is dependent on a previous verb. Wellhausen emends to אך; cf. Driver, § 119 d. foot-note 2; and 23, 13; which would remove any difficulty, ועשית . . . זכרתני being a conditional sentence, exactly like 43, 9. 47, 6; see Driver, § 138. 1 a; M. R., § 26.

אתך. Cf. Job 12, 3. 14, 5.

15. כי שמו אתי בבור. 'That they should have put me in prison;' cf. Ruth 1, 12 כי אמרתי 'that I should have said;' 1 Sam. 17, 26 b כי הרף 'that he should have reproached;' see Driver, § 39. 8. Obs.

16. סלי חרי. 'Baskets of white bread.' חר from חור 'to be white,' properly an adj. = 'what is white,' and then applied to what is baked; so the Arab. حواري (Del.). Vulg. 'canistra farinae,' LXX κανα χορδριτων; cf. ver. 17 מאכל פרעה. The baker carried his wares on his head, an exceptional mode of carrying things among the Egyptians; see Ebers, p. 331 f.

17. מכל מאכל פרעה. 'Of all kinds of food for Pharaoh,' cf. Dan. 1, 5 פת בנ המלך; Gen. 49, 20 מעדני מלך. מכל, מן partitive; cf. on 4, 3.

19. ישא . . . מעליך. 'Shall lift up thy head from off thee,' i. e. shall behead thee. There is a play on the words here, the phrase being the same as in ver. 13. Wright compares John 12, 32. The punishment of the chief baker was one of the heaviest that could befall an Egyptian. The exposure of the body was intended to make the sentence more severe, and was especially repugnant to the superstitious Egyptians, who regarded the life after death as dependent on the body remaining un mutilated; see Ebers, p. 334.

20. יום הולדת את פרעה. The construction is the same as in 4, 18; see the note there. הולדת is inf. Hof. of ילד, for the ordinary form הולדת; cf. Ez. 16, 4 הולדת, and מוסר, Is. 28, 16 (see Dav., § 3. 2; Stade, § 29). the ל being doubled to compensate for the shortened vowel.

21. על משקהו = 'to his butlership' or 'cupbearership.'

41.

1. ימים. Cf. on 29, 14.

היאר. Always with י without the dagesh. היאר 'the river' *par excellence*, the Nile, is the Hebrew form of the Egyptian *aur-âa*, i. e. 'the great stream,' Ebers, p. 338, which became in the mouth of the people *iâr-â*, *iaro*.

On the participle in this and the two following verses, cf. on 9, 18.

2. באחרו. אחרו, LXX ἄχρει, 'Nile-grass,' is the Hebrew form of the Egyptian *αχου* or *αχουου*, 'reeds' or 'grass' growing in marshy ground; see Ebers, p. 338; also notice Hieron. ad Jes. 19, 7, who describes it as 'omne quod in palude virens nascitur.'

2-4. The number of the cows, seven (cf. the same number in the next dream), was a sacred number among the Egyptians, as among other Oriental nations (Ebers, p. 337); cf. 21, 28. 30. On the first dream Di. remarks, 'The Nile floods are what the fruitfulness of Egypt chiefly depends on. The ox was the symbol of the Nile (Diod. i. 51), and especially sacred to Osiris, the discoverer of agriculture (Diod. i. 21). The cow was, in the Egyptian hieroglyphic writing, the sign for the earth (Macrob., *Sat.*, i. 19), agriculture, and food (Clem. Alex., *Strom.*, v. p. 567). At the same time Isis was the goddess of the earth, that nourishes everything (Macrob., *Sat.*, i. 20), and is rendered fruitful by the Nile (Plut., *de Is.*, 38); the cow was especially sacred to her (Her. ii. 41; Aelian., *h. an.*, x. 27). She was also goddess of the moon (Diod. i. 11), and her picture serves in the hieroglyphics as a sign for the year (Horapollo, i. 3); therefore the seven fat cows mean seven fruitful, and the seven

lean cows seven unfruitful years; the seven lean cows coming closely upon the seven fat ones, points to the close succession of the unfruitful years to the fruitful years.'

6. שְׂרוּפַת קָרִים. *'Blasted by the east wind.'* The narrator here mentions the wind that was most destructive in Palestine; cf. Hos. 13, 15. Jon. 4, 8. Ez. 17, 10. The winds in Egypt that were most hurtful came usually from the N.W. or S.E.; see Ebers, p. 340. Del. understands קָרִים here as the destructive Chamsin, which blew, in the spring months, from the S.E. quarter; see Ebers, l. c.

7. Knobel remarks on the dreams, 'The order in which they come is well chosen. First the Nile, which causes the fruitfulness, then the cows representing fruitfulness, and lastly the ears, as an evidence of fruitfulness.'

8. חֲרַטְמֵי מִצְרַיִם. LXX ἐξηγητὰς. *'The lettered men of Egypt.'* The חֲרַטְמִים are the Egyptian ἱερογραμματεῖς, represented on the inscriptions with writing materials in their hands, and a pen on their temples (Ebers, p. 345). They belonged to the Egyptian priesthood, and employed themselves in the study of the hieroglyphic writing and astronomy, and were also noted as seers or foretellers of future events; see Ebers, p. 344 f.; Di., p. 392. They also had a great reputation as magicians, as is clear from Ex. 7, 11. 22. 8, 3, etc. The word חֲרַטְמֵי is taken by Harkavy (*Journ. Asiatique*, 1870, p. 168 f.) as equivalent to the Egyptian *'Cher-tum, 'Revealer of secrets.'* It may, however, be equally well derived from חָרַט *'to grave,'* or חָרַט *'a stylus,'* with the formative ending וּם, like פְּרִוּם from פֶּר, עִירוּם, פְּרִיוּם; see Ewald, § 163 g; Stade, § 295. Tuch gives another derivation (*Comm.*, p. 443 f.), according to which חֲרַטְמֵי is a quadriliteral form from חָרַט *'to write with a stylus,'* and חָרַם *'to be sacred;'* cf.

רגל from חגל, and רגל; this, however, is doubtful; see Stade, §§ 149, 150, on the formation of quadrilaterals.

הַחֲכָמִים = 'the wise men,' identified by Ebers, p. 345, with the *rexchat-u* of the inscriptions, 'those who know things' (die wissenden der Dinge), probably a wide term, embracing all the higher classes of priests, especially the *ἄροσκόποι* of Clement, who were astrologers, calendar makers, and interpreters of signs or omens.

הַלְלוּ . . . אוֹתָם. The plural suffix, may be used with reference to the double nature of the dream, or the reading may be a *scriptio defectiva*, הַלְלוּ for הִלְלוּ, which the Sam. gives; so Syr. and Saadiah.

9. אֲנִי מִזְכִּיר, not 'I remember,' A.V.—for הַזְכִּיר means 'to cause another to remember'—but 'I make mention of.'

10. אֲתִי. LXX and Sam. read, more correctly, אֲתָם.

11. וַנְּחַלְמָה. The first person imperf. with waw conv. and the ה cohortative is rare; there are two other instances in Gen., viz. 32, 6 וַאֲשַׁלְּחָה, and 43, 21 וַנִּפְתַּחַה; see other instances in Driver, § 69. Obs.; Ewald, § 232 g.

12. אִישׁ כַּחֲלָמוֹ. See on 9, 5.

14. וַיְרִיצֵהוּ. 'And they brought him quickly,' lit. 'made him run;' cf. 1 Sam. 17, 17 וַהֲרִץ הַמַּחֲנֶה לְאַחִיד; 2 Chron. 35, 13 וַיְרִיצוּ לְכָל בְּנֵי הָעָם.

וַיִּגְלַח וַיַּחֲלֵף שְׂמֹלְתוֹ. No one was allowed to appear before the king of Egypt unless he was quite clean; see Ebers, p. 350. Del. and Tuch explain the verse from the Egyptian custom of regarding a prisoner as a mourner. He would then wear his beard and hair; see Ebers, p. 350. footnote, against this view.

15. וַאֲנִי שָׁמַעְתִּי. 'And I have heard about thee, saying

thou canst understand a dream,' or '*thou hearest a dream,*' i. e. hast only to hear it, and can interpret it.

על דבריך ועל חכמתך 6, as in 1 Kings 10, 6 על־יך.

On the construction—*oratio indirecta* without כִּי to introduce it—cf. 12, 13. 23. 21, 4; Ges., § 155. 4 c; Ewald, § 338 a; see also M. R., § 162.

16. בלעדי. 'Not I,' as in 14, 24. The LXX have ἀνευ τοῦ Θεοῦ οὐκ ἀποκριθήσεται, which means really the same as the Mass. text, and does not of necessity suppose a reading בְּלֵעָדֵי אֱלֹהִים, and the addition of לֹא. The Sam. Ver. has a similar rendering to the LXX.

יַעֲנֵה אֶת־שְׁלוֹם, lit. '*answer the welfare,*' i. e. give such an answer as will be most conducive to Pharaoh's welfare.

19. רַקוֹת, so vers. 20, 27, possibly a mistake for דַּקוֹת, which occurs in ver. 3.

לֹא רָאִיתִי כִּהְנֵה וְגו' = '*such as I have not seen in all the land of Egypt for badness,*' lit. '*I have not seen like these*' etc.; see ver. 38, and cf. M. R., § 56.

21. קַרְבָּנָה. Cf. the fuller forms in 21, 29 לְבַרְנָה; 31, 6 אַתְנָה; the ־ , defective for ־ , has arisen out of the diphthong ־ ; see Stade, § 352 b; cf. § 99. 2; Ges., § 91. 1. Rem. 2.

וּמְרִיאָהּ. The form is singular, not plural, the ־ being the ending ־ , מְרִיאָהּ = מְרִאִי; see Stade, § 353 a. 1 β; cf. § 99. 2; Ges., § 93. 3. Rem. 3.

23. אַחֲרֵיהֶם. Masc. suffix for fem.; cf. on 26, 15; in ver. 27 we find the fem. suffix used.

25. חֵלוֹם פְּרַעַה אַחַד הוּא. Cf. on 34, 21.

26. שִׁבַּע פֶּרֶת הַטֶּבֶת. See the note on 1, 21 כָּל נֶפֶשׁ. החיה. "שִׁבַּע פֶּרֶת וְגו'" is a *casus pendens*, as in ver. 25 (see 40, 12).

32. 'And with respect to the repetition of the dream unto Pharaoh twice, (it is) because the matter is resolved on by God, and God hastens to do it.' ועל השנות ; cf. Ruth 4, 7 על הנאלה ועל התמורה 'with respect to ransoming, and with respect to exchanging.' השנות is the Nif. inf. cstr. of שנה. The Nif'al of this verb is not found elsewhere.

33. יִרְאֵה. So the ordinary editions; but Baer and Del. in the text have יִרְאֵה, and in the notes to their edition, p. 78, they refer to Ibn Ezra in favour of the reading with יִרְאֵה. On יִרְאֵה for יִרְאֵה, cf. Zech. 9, 5 תִּרְאֵה (Baer and Del. תִּרְאֵה in text and notes, p. 83), and see Ewald, § 63 d; cf. Stade, § 489 b. 1. On יִרְאֵה, also an abnormal form, see Stade, l. c., and Ges., § 75. note 3 b. The jussive is used in making a suggestion, see Driver, § 50 b (cf. Ex. 8, 25. 1 Kings 1, 2), and M. R., § 8. 2.

34. יַעֲשֶׂה פִּרְעָה וַיִּפְקֹד = 'let P. set up and appoint' etc.; cf. the use of עֲשֶׂה in 1 Sam. 8, 16. 1 Kings 12, 31. Ges. in *Thes.*, p. 1077, renders, 'faciat (hoc) P. (sequatur consilium meum) et praeficiat;' cf. Ges., § 121. 6. Rem. 2.

הַמֵּשֶׁה, a ἀπαξ λεγόμεν. = 'let him exact the fifth part;' cf. עֲשֶׂה = 'to take the tenth part of anything.'

35. תַּחַת יַד פִּרְעָה = 'under Pharaoh's control.' יד used as in 2 Kings 13, 5. Is. 3, 6.

39. אַחֲרֵי הוֹדִיעַ אֱלֹהִים אוֹתָךְ אֶת כָּל וְגו'. On the construction, see Ges., § 133. 3; M. R., § 116.

40. עַל פִּיךָ יִשָּׁק כָּל עַמִּי. I. Gesenius and Knobel render, 'And all my people shall kiss thy mouth;' cf. 1 Sam. 10, 1. 1 Kings 19, 18. Hos. 13, 2. But the kiss of homage was not given on the mouth; and that Joseph had to receive the kiss from all the people would be a very unnatural thought; further, עַל נִשָּׁק is not used in the sense 'to kiss,'

for which we find the acc. or ל. II. The LXX, Sam. Ver., Vulg., and most moderns, e. g. Del., Tuch, Di., prefer taking על פיך, as in 45, 21. Ex. 17, 1. Num. 3, 16, etc. = 'according to thy mouth,' i. e. 'command' etc., and render נִשָּׂק 'dispose themselves,' taking it intransitively. Cf. the Arabic نَسَق 'ordinare et disponere rem.'

רק הכסא אגדל מוכן. 'Only with respect to the throne will I be greater than thou.' הכסא, accus. of respect; see Ges., § 118. 3; M. R., § 44; Ewald, § 281 c.

41. נתתי. See on 1, 29.

42. טבעתו. Cf. Esther 3, 10. 8, 2, where the Persian monarch gives his signet first to Haman, and then to Mordecai.

שש = 'byssus,' 'fine white cotton;' here בגדי שש = 'clothing made of byssus;' cf. Del., *Comm.*, p. 557. The priests' clothing was of byssus; cf. Her. ii. 37. For שש, at a later period of the language, בוש was used, e. g. in the books of Chronicles and Esther.

43. מרכבת המשנה = 'a carriage of the second rank;' cf. כהן המשנה 'a priest of the second rank.'

לו אשר. Cf. on 40, 5.

אִבְרָךְ. Most probably the Hebrew form of an Egyptian word. De Rossi explains it as = *ape-rek*, 'bow the head.' Harkavy (in the *Berlin Aegyptological Journal*, 1869, p. 132) as the Egyptian *ap-rex-u*, 'head of the wise.' Benfey (*Verhältniss der Ägypt. Sprache*, p. 302 f.) takes it as equivalent to *a*, the sign of the imper., *bor* = 'projicere,' and *k* the sign of the second person; so 'cast thyself down.' Jablonski (*Opusc.*, i. p. 6) explains it as meaning *ouberek*, 'bow towards' (Joseph); and Cook (*Speaker's Comm.*, p. 482) renders it 'welcome,' or 'rejoice,' addressed to Joseph. The Versions

give various renderings. The LXX have καὶ ἐκήρυξεν ἔμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ κήρυξ, apparently taking אַבְרָם as 'a herald;' so Sam. Ver., which has אַבְרָם. The Targums of Onq. and Jer. give אַבְרָם לְמַלְאָכָא, as though אַבְרָם were compounded of אב 'father,' and אָרַם = the Latin *rex*! (cf. 45, 8). The Syr. paraphrases 'אָרַם סַמְכֵינְהָ חַלָּא כְּדֵינִי אֲרַם וְחֵרְוִם' 'Father and ruler over all the land of Egypt;' also the Vulgate, which has 'Ut omnes coram eo genu flecterent.' A possible explanation from the Hebrew is to take אַבְרָם as inf. abs. Af'el for Hif'il, instead of the imperative; cf. ver. 51 נִשְׁנִי, Pa'el for Pi'el, and accordingly Jose b. Dormaskith, quoted by Del., *Comm.*, 4th ed., p. 470, explains it by לְבָרְכִים; cf. the Vulg. rendering, and Aquila's rendering cited by Hieron. (*Quaest.*, ed. Lag., p. 60), 'et clamavit in conspectu ejus ad genculationem.' Hieron. himself follows the Targ. Ps.-Jon., and renders, 'tender father;' cf. אָרַם, 18, 7.

וְנִתַּן. The inf. abs. continuing the narrative instead of וְנִתַּן; cf. the inf. abs. again in Ex. 8, 11 וְהִכָּבֵד אֶת לְבָבוֹ; Judg. 7, 19 וְנִפְוִן הַכְּרִים; and see Ges., § 131. 4; M. R., § 106. 2; Ewald, § 351 c. Probably the inf. abs. is used instead of the ordinary construction of the imperf. with waw conv., to shew that the appointment of Joseph over the land of Egypt was contemporaneous with the announcement of the herald, and the setting him in the second chariot; not subsequent (as it would be with waw conv. and the impf.); we might therefore render וְנִתַּן 'thus setting him.' To connect וְנִתַּן with אַבְרָם is against the accents, besides giving an improbable sense, as the people would not have the appointment of vizier in their hands; cf. the next verse.

45. צִפְנַת פִּעְנַח. LXX, Ψονθομφανήχ—probably = *p-sotom-ph-ench*—comes nearer the original name than the Hebrew

form of the word preserved in the Mass. text. The name is explained by Hieron. as '*Salvator mundi*,' i. e. *p*, the article, masculine, *sot* or *sote*=*salus*, *ph m* the sign of the gen., and *eneh*=*aetas* (Di.). Ges. in the *Thes.*, p. 1181, considers the *sont* of the LXX for *sot* difficult, and renders it *p-sont-m-ph-eneh* = '*the preserver or supporter of the age*.' Di. renders slightly differently, '*the support*,' or concrete, '*the supporter of life*;' so Bunsen and Lepsius, taking פִּעְנָה as equal to the Egypto-Kopt. *ph-aneh*, '*life*.' The word צִפְנָת is apparently, in the Hebrew form, transposed for פִּצְנָת; see Ewald, § 78 b. Brugsch, *Gesch.*, p. 248, explains the word as equivalent to *za-p-u-nt-p-àa-ānkh*, i. e. '*guardian (Landpfleger) of the district of place of life*.' Cook, *Speaker's Comm.*, p. 481, renders it '*food of the living*.' The Jewish interpreters, Onq., Pesh., Saad., make it mean '*revealer of secrets*,' taking פִּעַן as equivalent to *φαίνω*!

אֲסַנַּת = '*she who belongs to Neith*' (Pallas). LXX, Ἀσενέθ. Brugsch, *Ges.*, p. 248, makes it = *Snat* or *Sant*, the name of a woman.

אֵן. LXX, Ἡλιούπολις, situated on the north-east of Memphis, on the eastern bank of the Nile. In Coptic the name of אֵן is *Un* or *On*, meaning '*light*' or '*sun(?)*;' cf. the Hebrew בֵּית שֶׁמֶשׁ '*house of the sun*.' Hieroglyphically it was *Anu* or *An*, more closely *Anu-mhit* (Brugsch and Ebers, cited by Di., p. 395). Heliopolis was the chief seat of the worship of the sun-god *Ra*; cf. its name in Is. 19, 18 עִיר הַחֶרֶם ('*city of destruction*'), a play on the words for הַחֶרֶם; cf. Jer. 43, 13, where it is called '*בֵּית שֶׁמֶשׁ in the land of Egypt*.'

48. שֶׁבַע שָׁנִים, as the text stands, quite indefinite, seems hardly correct. Del. reads שֶׁבַע הַשָּׁנִים. Ols. proposes to read

(cf. ver. 53) for שְׁנֵי הַשָּׁבָע, שְׁנַיִם. The LXX and Sam. read הַשָּׁבָעִים אֲשֶׁר הָיָה הַשָּׁבָע, שְׁנַיִם—הוּוּ.

51. מְנַשֶּׁה כִּי נִשְׁכַּח = '*Manasseh, for he hath made me forget.*' The form מְנַשֶּׁה, for מְנַשֵּׁה, is used on account of its similarity in sound with the name מְנַשֶּׁה; cf. Ges., § 52. note 1; Stade, § 387 a. In Arabic and Aramaic the *a* sound is regular, e. g. Heb. קָטַל, Arab. قَتَلَ, Aramaic مَطَّل, and קָטַל; and that *a* was once the original sound in Hebrew is proved from the imperf. and partic. of the Piel; cf. Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. pp. 32, 33. The Piel with a double acc. may possibly, as Tuch and Di. suggest, have been chosen instead of the commoner Hif'il on account of the name מְנַשֶּׁה.

52. אֶפְרַיִם, meaning perhaps '*double fruitfulness;*' cf. Hos. 13, 15. Other dual names are דְּבָלָיִם, Hos. 1, 3; דְּבָלָתַיִם (in בית דבלתים, Jer. 48, 22; called on the Moabite stone, l. 30, בית דבלתן; cf. Gen. 37, 17 בְּרֵתָן); also קְרִיתִים and חֹרְנִים (*ibid.*, lines 10, 31 קריתן and חורנן); see Schlottmann's monograph, p. 48, and the proper names of places, as עֵינַיִם, מְנַחֲנַיִם, etc.

53. הִיָּה is neuter, '*which there was*' (Germ. *die es gab*); contrast ver. 48; or הִיָּה may be referred to השָׁבָע.

56. אֶת כָּל אֲשֶׁר בָּהֶם. LXX, πάντας τοὺς σιτοβολῶνας, Syr. ܐܬܝܢܐܘܪܝܐ ܕܒܗܘܢ, Vulg. '*universa horrea,*' Onq. ܐܬܝܢܐܘܪܝܐ ܕܒܗܘܢ = '*all the storches wherein was grain,*' which point to a reading אֶת כָּל אֲשֶׁר בָּהֶם. The true reading here seems to have been lost.

וַיִּשְׁבֶּר is probably to be emended to וַיִּשְׁבֵּר, cf. 42, 6, as שָׁבַר, Qal, always means, when a denom. from שָׁבַר, '*to buy,*' not '*to sell.*'

57. וכל הארץ באו. The plural verb as הארץ = 'the inhabitants of the land;' see Ges., § 146. 1; M. R., § 135. 2; so 1 Sam. 14, 25. 2 Sam. 15, 23.

42.

1. יִשְׁבֵּר. In all the passages where שִׁבְר occurs it means 'grain,' as an article of merchandise, hence its frequent use from this chapter onwards. It is usually derived from שָׁבַר 'to break,' from the corn being crushed in the mill; see the Lexica.

תִּתְרֹאוּ. 'Look at one another,' i. e. look helplessly, one to the other, expecting aid and advice. It is not found elsewhere in this sense.

4. פֶּן יִקְרָאוּ אֶסוֹן. 'Lest harm befall him.' קרא is here equivalent to קרה, as in ver. 38. 49, 1. Ex. 1, 10.

אֶסוֹן is only found again in ver. 38. 44, 29. Ex. 21, 22. 23.

6. ויוסף הוא השליט. ויוסף is a *casus pendens*; so 9, 18 הוא אבי; וחם הוא אבי; 15, 2 דמשק; see Driver, § 199.

השליט = המשל in 45, 8. שליט is a word common in Aramaic, and occasionally found in late Hebrew, e. g. Eccl. 8, 8. 7, 19 (pl.). 10, 5; and in the fem. sing. שִׁלְטַת, Ez. 16, 30 (all). Di. suggests that it is a technical word here, that has come over with tradition, as it agrees remarkably with *Salatis*, or *Silitis*, the name of the first ruler of the Hyksos in Egypt, Jos., *Contra Ap.*, i. 14; so Tuch and Del. in their commentaries; cf. the Assyrian *šalat*, 'viceroy.'

7. וידבר אתם קשות. קשות, the fem. pl., is here used as neuter; so קשה, fem. sing. in Ps. 60, 5 הראית עמך קשה,

and 1 Kings 12, 13 ויען המלך את העם קיטה; other instances of the pl. fem. as neuter are Ps. 12, 4 גדולות; Ps. 16, 11 נעימות; Zech. 4, 10 קטנות; see Ewald, § 172 b; M. R., § 63; Ges., § 80. 1.

8. ודה is emphatic, *they*, as opposed to Joseph; see on 33, 3.

9. להם. 'About them;' see on 17, 20.

את ערות הארץ. 'The bareness of the land;' cf. a similar use of the Arabic عَوْرَة, *Qor.* 33, 13. Knobel further compares γυμνοῦσθαι (Homer, *Iliad*, 12. 339) and *nudari* (Caesar, *Gallic War*, vii. 70), and points out that the Hyksos were in constant dread of attacks from the Assyrians, who were at that time very powerful, and therefore fortified the eastern portion of the land of Egypt (*Jos., Contra Ap.*, i. 14).

10. ועבדיך. ו is here used after the negative, after which כִּי usually stands; so 17, 5 והיה, for the more usual כִּי יהיה; see Ewald, § 354 a; Ges., § 155. 1 b; cf. Deut. 11, 10 f.; 2 Sam. 23, 7.

11. נחננו for the longer form אנחנו is only found here, Ex. 16, 7. 8. Num. 32, 32. Lam. 3, 42; see Ges., § 32. Rem. 2; Stade, § 179 b; Dav., § 12. Rem. a. נַחֲנִי is the pausal form.

כנים in the sense of 'upright,' 'honest' (masc.), is only found in this chapter; כן occurs, Num. 27, 7. Is. 16, 6. Prov. 11, 19, and elsewhere, in the *neuter* sense of 'right.'

היו is here a stative verb = 'thy servants have not been, nor are they now, spies;' so Is. 15, 6; see Driver, § 11.

מרגלים. 'Spies.' Del. remarks that the term מרגלים ('those who go about with the object of spying') was a more insulting term than תרים ('those who go about with the object of exploring').

12. **כי ערות הארץ באתם לראת**. The obj. is intentionally emphasized by being placed first.

13. **אנחנו** seems superfluous; possibly, as Olshausen suggests, it is a gloss from ver. 32, and should be rejected. Del. renders, against the accents, '*Twelve are thy servants, brothers are we, the sons of*' etc.

הקטן = '*the youngest*;' see on 9, 24, and cf. M. R., § 86; Dav., § 47. 2; Ges., § 119. 2.

איננו, as in 5, 24.

14. **הוא** is here neuter, as in 20, 16. Job 13, 16.

15. **חי פרעה**. The Mass. pointed **חַי** with a created object, but **חַי** with God; so Lev. 25, 36 **וַחַי אֶחָיִךְ עִמָּךְ**. Cf. 1 Sam. 17, 55 (Saul). 2 Sam. 11, 11 (David). Di. remarks 'that this oath is very suitable here, as the Egyptians honoured their kings, *ὡς πρὸς ἀλήθειαν ὄντας θεούς* (Diod. i. 90).'

אם. On this use of **אם**, cf. on 14, 23.

Render, '*As sure as P. lives! ye shall not go hence, except your youngest brother come hither.*'

16. **כי** (introducing the oath) = '*surely*;' see Ewald, § 330 b, and cf. 1 Sam. 14, 44. 1 Sam. 20, 3. 2 Kings 3, 14.

17. **וּיִאָסֶף**. Cf. Josh. 2, 18. Is. 24, 22.

18. **זאת עשו וחיו**, lit. '*Do this and live*,' i. e. 'if ye do this ye shall live;' see Ges., § 130. 2; M. R., § 10; Driver, § 152 i, and cf. Amos 5, 4 **דַּרְשׁוּנֵי וַחַי**; Prov. 3, 3 f. . . . **כַּתְבָּם וּמְצָא**.

19. **אחיכם אחר**. Cf. ver. 33 **אחיכם האחר**. On the absence of the art. here, see Ges., § 111. 2 b; M. R., § 76. Rem. c; Ewald, § 290 f.; so in 43, 14 **אחיכם אחר**.

ישבר רעבון בתיכם. Cf. Is. 30, 23 **זרעך**.

23. **שמע**. Cf. on 9, 18.

כִּי הַמְלִיץ, i. e. the interpreter that was usually present in such cases; hence the article.

בִּינְתָם. Cf. בִּינוּתֵינוּ in 26, 28.

25. כֶּסֶפֶיהֶם here, and ver. 35, the plural is used, because the silver of more than one is intended, Ewald, § 176 c; Ges., § 108. 4. Rem. 1, explains the plural differently. The dag. in the פ is unusual, though it is found in the sing. and dual, the aspiration is generally preserved in the pl.; so רִשְׁפֵי, טְרָפֵי, צְמִדֵי, etc.; see Ges., § 93. Rem. 1; Stade, § 71. 2.

אִישׁ אֶל שְׂקוֹ, so ver. 35. Cf. the note on 9, 5.

וַיַּעַשׂ. The sing. is harsh; after וַיִּמְלֵאוּ a plural would be natural. The Syr. and Vulg. read the pl., while the Sam. and Onq. have the sing.; the LXX have ἐγενήθη αὐτοῖς οὕτως. If וַיַּעַשׂ (sing.) is read it must be rendered impersonally, 'one did,' i. e. 'it was done;' the implied subj. being הַעֲשֵׂה.

27. הָאֶחָד, i. e. the one who, as it were, made a beginning, and opened his bag (the others naturally opening theirs afterwards), so = 'the first;' cf. 2, 11. 4, 19.

אֲמַתְחָתוֹ, 'distinguished from שֶׁק as being more specially the sack which the ass carried,' Tuch. The word is only found in Gen., chaps. 42-44 (in J, see App. I).

28. וַיִּחַרְרוּ וְגו'. A pregnant construction; see Ges., § 141; Ewald, § 282 c; cf. 43, 33 "וַיִּתְמָהוּ הָאֲנָשִׁים וְגו'".

30. אֲדָנֵי הָאָרֶץ. See on 39, 20.

כְּמִרְגְּלֵים is Ben Asher's reading. Ben Naftali reads כְּמִרְגְּלֵים (with the article), see Baer and Del., Gen., p. 86 [where, in note 3, Judg. 21, 29 should be Judg. 21, 19].

35. וַיְהִי הֵם מְרִיקִים. 'And it came to pass, as they were emptying their sacks, that they found' etc. A circumstantial clause; so 2 Kings 2, 11 והנה . . . ויהי המה הלכים, see Driver, § 165; M. R., § 154.

36. כלנה. See on 21, 29. כלנה occurs again, Prov. 31, 29.

37. תמית = 'thou mayest kill.'

38. וקראהו . . . והורדתם. Cf. the note on 33, 13.

43.

3. העד העד 'protested strongly;' the inf. abs., by Ges., § 131. 3 a; M. R., § 37 a.

בלתי ליהוה לבדו (Del.), Cf. Ex. 22, 19 בלתי אהינם, see Ewald, § 322 a; cf. also M. R., § 153.

4. ואם אינך 5. ואם ישך משלה. Cf. the neg. in ver. 5 ואם אינך, and the note on 24, 42; here an imperf. (voluntative) alone follows the participle with יש, in 24, 42 a perf. with waw conv.

6. למה, here pointed with two qameçs and no dag., and the tone on the last syllable, as the next word begins with a guttural; see Ges., § 102. 2 d.

7. 'The man asked particularly about us, and our kindred, etc., so we told him according to these words; how were we to know that he would say?' etc. על פי, as in Ex. 34, 27. Lev. 27, 8, and often.

הידוע נדע. On the inf. abs. see above on ver. 1, and for this (potential) use of the imperf., cf. Driver, § 39 β; Ewald, § 136 d; M. R., § 7. 2. Rem. c; Ges., § 127. 3 d; so ver. 25 כי שם יאכלו; 2 Sam. 3, 33 הכמות נבל ימות אבנר, etc.

9. ואם לא הביאתיו . . . וחטאתי. Cf. 47, 6 ואם עברת . . . והית 2 Sam. 15, 33 וידעת . . . ושמתם; Driver, § 138 i. (a); M. R., § 3. 1 c. וחטאתי לך. Cf. 1 Kings 1, 21 אני ובני שלמה חטאים.

10. 'For had we not tarried, surely now we had returned' etc. **בִּי עַתָּה**, as in 31, 42; cf. the note on that passage.

11. **מִזְמֵרֵי הָאָרֶץ** is usually rendered, 'from the song of the land,' i. e. of the products of the land of Canaan that are celebrated and praised in song; cf. Jer. 51, 41. But Kn. and Del. point out that such a highly poetical expression would be very strange in this passage, and further that **זָמַר** and its derivatives are only used of songs in divine service. Del. derives **זְמֵרָה** here from **זָמַר** (cf. **מִזְמֵרָה**) in the sense 'to cut off,' so **זְמֵרָה** would mean 'produce' or 'portion.' But, as Di. remarks, 'זָמַר is only used of cutting off what is useless, or in the way.' Norris, *Assyrian Dict.*, ii. 354, gives an Assyrian word *zumri* = 'fruit, produce,' Di. Di. renders 'fruits.' LXX, *καρποί*.

לֶט, **זֵרִי**, **נִכְאָת**, see on 37, 25.

דְּבַשׁ, here probably not the honey of bees, but a syrup prepared by boiling from the juice of the grape, Arab. *dibs*, which is at the present day brought to Egypt from the neighbourhood of Hebron.

בִּטְנִים. '*Pistacia nuts*' (see Ges. in the *Thes.*, s. v.), the fruit of the *Pistacia vera*.

וּשְׁקָדִים. '*And almonds*,' the fruit of the *Amygdalus communis*. Almonds are found in Egypt, but only very rarely.

12. **וּכְסָף מִשְׁנָה**. **מִשְׁנָה** is here an adverbial acc.; cf. **לֶחֶם מִשְׁנָה**, Ex. 16, 22. In verse 15, **כֶּסֶף מִשְׁנָה**, **כֶּסֶף** is the acc., '*double in silver*;' cf. Deut. 15, 18 **כִּי מִשְׁנָה שֹׁכֵר שֹׁכֵר**; Jer. 17, 18 **וּמִשְׁנָה שֹׁכֵר**. See Ges., § 118. 3; Ewald, § 286 d.

14. **אֶת אַחֲיֵיכֶם אַחֵר**. See the note on 42, 19. LXX and Heb.-Sam. read **הָאֶחָד** here.

וְאֲנִי כִּאֲשֶׁר שָׁכַלְתִּי שָׁכַלְתִּי. '*And I, if I am bereaved*,

I am bereaved; cf. Esther 4, 16 וּכְאֲשֶׁר אִבְרַתִּי אִבְרַתִּי 16; 2 Kings 7, 4 וְאִם יִמִּיתֵנוּ וּמִתְּנוּ. In וְשָׁבַלְתִּי notice the — in pause for — , and cf. 49, 3 עָזָה for עָזָה; 49, 27 יִטְרַף for יִטְרַף; see Ewald, § 93. 3; Stade, § 459 c. 1 (who accounts for the use of the pausal form with — here, 'der Euphonie wegen,' for euphony).

16. טָבַח is imperative for the usual form טָבַח, but only in this passage, possibly, as Böttcher suggests, on account of the following טָבַח, to produce a change in the sound of the final syllable of the first word (טָבַח).

18. הַשֵּׁב, 'because how it came there was unknown to them and inconceivable,' Del.

להתגלגל, lit. 'to roll oneself upon any one;' cf. Job 30, 14 תַּחַת שָׂאָה הַתְּגַלְגֵּל. להתגלגל is inf. cstr. Hithpo'al from גלל.

20. בִּי is a precative particle, always followed by אֲרוּנִי, = 'pray!' בִּי has probably arisen out of בְּעִי, as בֵּל out of בְּעֵל; cf. in Aramaic the precative particles בְּבַעֵי and כְּבַבְּהֵ. See Prof. William Wright's *Book of Jonah in Four Semitic Versions*, p. 11.

23. שְׁלוֹם לָכֵן. Cf. Judg. 6, 23. 1 Sam. 20, 21. 'שְׁלוֹם לָכֵן in the O. T. is always a formula of encouragement or congratulation, never of greeting,' Del.

25. כִּי שָׂם יֹאכְלוּ לֶחֶם. 'That they were to eat bread there.' Imperf., as in ver. 7.

26. וַיִּבְיֵאוּ. א with mappiq, perhaps to mark that it is a consonant; cf. Lev. 23, 17 תִּבְיֵאוּ; Job 33, 21 רֵאוּ ('cum א dagessato teste Masora, vide Michlol, 63 b;') note in Baer and Del.'s ed. of *Job*, p. 52); Ezra 8, 18 וַיִּבְיֵאוּ ('א dagessatum auctore Masora;') note in Baer and Del.'s ed. of *Daniel, Ezra, and Neh.*, p. 108). Di. points out that we now know

(Ginsburg, *Verhandl. des 5 intern. Orient. Congr.*, ii. 1. 136 ff.) that the four examples of a mappiq in א *mobile* are only remains of a much wider system of pointing the א *mobile* with mappiq, which was once more consistently carried out in MSS. See further, Ewald, § 21 e; Ges., § 14; Stade, § 42 b; Strack, *Proleg. Critica*, p. 19.

27. השלום אבינכּ. שלום is here used as an adj.; cf. 1 Sam. 25, 6. 2 Sam. 20, 9.

28. ויקדר, impf. Qal of קדר; see Ges., § 67. N.B.; Dav., § 42. 6. foot-note 2. קדר and השתחוה occur together again in 24, 26. 48.

29. יהינה. So again Is. 30, 19 for יהינה; cf. Ges., § 67. Rem. 2; Ewald, § 251. 2 d.

30. כי נכמרו רחמיו. Cf. 1 Kings 3, 26. Hos. 11, 8 (with נחמים for רחמים).

32. כי לא יוכלון. Cf. Num. 9, 6. Deut. 22, 19. 12, 17, of legal and moral incapability. Kn. remarks on this verse: 'The predilection of the Egyptians for their own people and land, and their exclusiveness towards strangers (Diod. i. 67; Strabo, xvii. 1. 6), is well known. The priests neither ate nor drank anything that came from a foreign land (Porph. iv. 7); the Egyptian would use no eating utensils belonging to a Greek (Her. ii. 41). In a similar way they conducted themselves towards the Hebrews, especially as they were a nomad people, "tenders of flocks and herds" (see 46, 34; and cf. also 39, 6).'

33. ויתמהר. Cf. on 42, 28.

34. הישא is impersonal, the implied subject being הישא; cf. 42, 25; Deut. 22, 8 כי יפל הנפל; 2 Sam. 17, 9 ושמע השמע. The LXX and Syr. have the plural here.

חמש ידות. Knobel calls attention to the frequency of

the number five in matters relating to Egypt, e. g. 41, 34. 45, 22. 47, 2. 24. Is. 19, 18. For י in the sense of 'portion,' cf. 47, 24. 2 Sam. 19, 44. 2 Kings 11, 7.

וישכרו 'to be understood according to Hagg. 1, 6,' Del.

44.

1. כסף איש. See Ges., § 124. Rem. 1; M. R., § 94 b.

3. "הבקר אור והאנשים וגו'." *'The morning dawned, and the men were sent away.'* The construction is the same as in 38, 25 שלחה והיא מוצאת והיא, see the note there; so in the next verse, הם יצאו . . . ויוסף אמר. Cf. also M. R., § 154.

אור, intrans. perf. like בוש, טוב (all); see Ges., § 72. Rem. 1; Stade, § 385 f.

4. לא הרחיקו. *'Without having gone far;'* so Ex. 34, 28 לחם לא אכל ומים לא שתה *'without eating bread, or drinking water;'* Lev. 13, 23 לא פתחה *'without having spread.'* The perfect is here equivalent to our past part. act.; see Driver, § 162.

5. The LXX (cf. the Syr. and Vulg.) insert at the end of ver. 4, *ἵνα τί ἐκλέψατέ μου τὸ κούνη τὸ ἀργυροῦν*; perhaps an explanatory gloss.

'Is not this that wherein my lord is wont to drink, and he (emphatic) would surely practise divination therewith' etc.? On ב, cf. Ges., § 154. 3 a; and M. R., § 52. 1. Rem. a, who compares *πίνειν ἐν χρυσῷ, bibere in ossibus, and boire dans un verre*, with the plural used here. ב שתה occurs again in Amos 6, 6 השתים במזיקי יין. Tuch takes the sentence slightly differently, supplying בידכם after הלא. But this seems unnecessary.

נחש ינחש בו. Cf. 30, 27. This species of divination

with cups, called *κυλικομαντεία* or *ὑδρομαντεία*, was much practised in Egypt; cf. Jamblich., *Myst.*, 3. 14, and Varro in Augustine's *Civ. dei*, 7. 35, cited by Di., p. 407. Di., l. c., says: 'Water was poured into a glass or some other vessel, or pieces of gold, silver, or precious stones were thrown into the water, and the figures or rings that appeared were supposed to give information about the future, or what was obscure to the inquirer.' The LXX have here *αὐτὸς δὲ οἰωνισμῶ οἰωνίζεται ἐν αὐτῷ*. So the Syr. and Vulg. Onq. has *מִבְּדִיק בִּיה* 'and he makes discoveries through it,' sc. the cup. Saadiah, quoted by Wright (*Genesis*, p. 109), has *وَهُوَ إِنَّمَا أَمْتَحَنَكُمْ بِه* 'and he only proved you by it.' 'Wishing to screen Joseph from such practices.'

נְהַשׁ = properly 'to whisper,' viz. magic formulae or oracles.

7. *לָמָה יִדְבֵר*. 'After *לָמָה* the imperfect, as more courteous and adapted to a tone of entreaty, is often preferred to the perfect,' Driver, § 39 γ; so Ex. 2, 13 *לָמָה תִּבְהַ*; 1 Sam. 21, 15 *לָמָה תִּבְיָאוּ אִתּוֹ*.

חֲלִילָה . . . *מַעֲשׂוֹת*. Cf. on 18, 25.

9. *אֲשֶׁר יִמְצָא . . . וּמַת*. The perf. with waw conv. to introduce the apodosis; contrast ver. 10, where the simple imperf. follows; cf. ver. 17 (where 'the subject is reinforced by the personal pronoun' *הוּא*); Judg. 8, 7. 9; and see Driver, § 123 γ. Obs.; M. R., § 26.

12. *בְּגֵדוֹל הַחַל וּבִקְטָן כָּלָה*, circ. clause; see on 21, 14. LXX, *ἀρχάμενος*; so 48, 14 *שָׁבַל אֶת יָדָיו*. See also M. R., § 153. Render, '*Beginning with the eldest, and finishing with the youngest.*'

הַגְּבִיעַ is a cup shaped like the bell or calix of a flower; cf. Ex. 25, 31, where the word is used of the cup of a flower used in the workmanship of the golden candlestick.

15. "הלוא ידעתם וגו'." *Did ye not know that a man like me would be certain to practise divination,* and so at once discover the thief? איש אשר כמני, i. e. one of the wise men of Egypt; cf. Is. 19, 11; Kn.

16. וזמה = ובמה, as in Ps. 116, 12.

18. כמוך כפרעה, lit. 'like thee, like Pharaoh,' i. e. 'for thou art as P.:' cf. 18, 25. Is. 24, 2. Hos. 4, 9. Ps. 139, 12; and see M. R., § 56. 1. Rem. a; Ges., § 154. 3 f.

21. ואשימה עיני עליו, i. e. take him under my protection; cf. Jer. 39, 12. 40, 4. Ps. 33, 18. 34, 16. LXX, καὶ ἐπιμελοῦμαι αὐτοῦ.

22. ועזב . . . ומת. See the note on 33, 13. וַיָּמָת; cf. the note on 3, 22.

29. וקרהו . . . והורדתם. Cf. on ver. 22.

31. והיה introduces the apodosis to ועתה כנאי in ver. 30; and כראותו is apodosis to ומת.

33. ישב . . . יעל. The jussive is here used in making a request, as in 9, 27. 31, 49, and often; see Driver, § 50 γ; M. R., § 8; Ges., § 127. 3 b.

45.

1. לכל הנצבים עליו. 'Before all those that stood by him,' lit. 'with regard to all those' etc. ל as in 17, 20 לישמעאל; cf. the note on that passage.

בהתודע. 'When he made himself known.' התודע, cf. Num. 12, 6 (all), is the inf. Hithp' of ידע, a verb פ"י, really פ"ו; in the Nif., Hif., and Hof. the waw reappears, הוֹדַע = הוֹדַע; הוֹדַע = הוֹדַע; הוֹדַע = הוֹדַע; but in Hithpa'el the י usually remains, as יצב, התיצב, יעץ, התיעץ, יען, התיען (den.

from יָדָד), התחש (den. from יָחַש), etc. With התודע, cf. התודה.

4. אֲשֶׁר כִּנְרַתֶּם אֹתִי. See Ges., § 123. 1; M. R., § 156. Rem. c.

5. כִּי לִמְחִיָּה. 'For for the preservation of life,' i. e. for the preservation of your life and that of other people; cf. Ezra 9, 8. 9.

6. זֶה שְׁנָתַיִם. On this use of זֶה, cf. 27, 36. 31, 38. 43, 10, and see Ges., § 122. 2. Rem. Render, 'Now two years has the famine' etc.

7. וַיִּשְׁלַחֲנִי is connected only in *thought*, and not *chronologically*, with ver. 6; so ותלד, 36, 14. 46, 18. 25. See Driver, § 76 a.

לְשׂוּם לְכֶם שְׂאֵרִית. 'To give you a remnant' etc., i. e. that your descendants may live and your family not be destroyed from off the earth; cf. 2 Sam. 14, 7. Jer. 44, 7. To take שְׂאֵרִית as the residue of the corn which the earth has brought forth is unsuitable, as שְׂאֵרִית is never used of things.

וְלִהְיוֹת לְכֶם לְפִלִיטָה גְדֹלָה. Del. renders 'to prolong for you life (להחיות = לחת מחיה, Ezra 9, 8 f.), to a great (numerous) deliverance,' i. e. that you may be preserved, and become a numerous body of people, the second ל being the dat. of the product. החיה everywhere else is construed with the acc., but, as Del. on Is. 53, 11 shews, verbs in Hif'. are sometimes construed with a dative. Others (Schumann, Wright) take "לפ" ג" as in apposition to לְכֶם, and render, 'to keep you alive, a great body of fugitives.' LXX and Heb.-Sam. strike out the ל before לְפִלִיטָה.

8. לְאֵב לְפָרְעָה. Cf. 1 Macc. 11, 32. A title bestowed on the first minister in the kingdom; see Ges., *Thes.*, p. 7. Di., referring to Brugsch, *Gesch.*, 248, 252, 592, says *ab en*

pirāo was, in documents of the nineteenth dynasty, the official title of the first (domestic) minister, and that ‘*adon* of the whole land’ occurs in a similar sense in a document of the eighteenth dynasty.

10. בארץ גשן, called in P ארץ רעמסס 47, 11 (cf. Ex. 12, 37. Num. 33, 5); the LXX also, in 46, 28, render גשן by εἰς γῆν Παμεισοῦ. גשן must, probably, be sought for on the eastern side of the Nile. From Ex. 2, 3 f. Num. 11, 5 the Israelites seem to have dwelt near the Nile, and there is no reason to suppose that they ever crossed that river, as neither when they enter, nor when they leave Egypt is any mention made of their crossing the Nile. The LXX render גשן here, and 46, 34 Γεσέμ Ἀραβίας, hence we may infer that גשן must have been a portion of lower Egypt, on the right bank of the Nile. This portion of Egypt was regarded by the ancients as Arabia, so that to them Heliopolis and Heropolis, for example, were situated in Arabia (Her. ii. 15; Strabo, xvii. 1. 21. 30), or ἐν μεθωρίοις Ἀραβίας (Ptol. iv. 5. 54); cf. also Ps. 78, 12. 43 (‘his [Moses’] wonders in the field of Zoan’ [Tanis]). Di., p. 411, after citing authorities, says, ‘Goshen is the district on the east side of the Pelusian, or rather Tanitic arm of the Nile, north-east of Cairo.’ This part of Egypt was considered one of the best portions of the country (47, 6. 11), and was a land well adapted for shepherds (46, 34); see further, Di., p. 411; Del., p. 493. The name גשן was probably Semitic, as it is also found in Josh. 10, 41. 15, 51 as the name of a district and town in southern Canaan.

11. וכלכלתי. The Pilpel of כול; see Ges., § 55. 4; Dav., § 26. 3. Rem. c. The pass. וְכִלְכַּלְתִּי occurs in 1 Kings 20, 27.

פֶּן תֹּרֵשׁ. 'Lest thou be brought to poverty,' Nif. of יִרֵשׁ = רוֹשֵׁ; so most of the Vss. Another rendering, which is less natural, is 'lest thou be taken possession of,' from יִרֵשׁ *possidēre*, i. e. through poverty became the property of some one else; cf. 47, 19 f.

12. כִּי פִי הַמְדַבֵּר, lit. 'that my mouth is the one speaking' etc., i. e. 'that it is I myself that speaketh.'

17. טַעֲנֹ 'load,' a ἄπαξ λεγόμεν.; cf. 44, 13, where עָמַם occurs.

18. "טוֹב וְגֹר'. 'The best of the land of Egypt,' i. e. its best products; cf. vers. 20. 23, also 24, 10. 2 Kings 8, 9, etc.; so LXX, Vulg., Tuch, Del., Di., Rashi, and others take טוֹב as = 'the best portion,' i. e. Goshen; but this is מֵיטֵב, 47, 6. 11.

19. "וְאַתָּה צוֹיִתָּה וְגֹר' must mean, 'And thou (Joseph) art charged, do ye (the brethren) this,' which is very harsh. Possibly the text is corrupt. The Syr. inserts after צוֹיִתָּה, אֶמְרֵ אֶל-אַחֶיךָ; while the LXX, σὺ δὲ ἐντειλαί, and the Vulg., 'praecipe etiam' etc., read the text צוֹיִתָּה אֶתֶם.

20. Compare the note in 34, 21 for the *casus pendens*, "הוּא טוֹב וְגֹר', taken up by the pronoun הוּא.

22. חַלְפוֹת שְׂמַלְתָּ, i. e. 'changes of raiment,' costly robes, which would be worn on special occasions, cf. 27, 15; see Judg. 14, 12 f. 19. 2 Kings 5, 5. 22 f. The brothers received a complete outfit, while Benjamin has five times as much, and three hundred shekels besides.

23. כּוֹזֵאת 'as follows.' Usually pointed כּוֹזֵאת, and only here with no pretonic ׀.

בִּזְוֹן occurs only once again in the O.T., 2 Chron. 11, 23. The word is frequent in Aramaic.

24. אַל תִּרְגְּזוּ, scarcely 'do not fear,' for such a warning would be superfluous in the case of persons who had already

made the journey more than once, but rather ‘do not quarrel,’ i. e. do not dispute about your conduct to me; cf. 42, 22, also Prov. 29, 9. Is. 28, 21.

26. וכי = ‘and that,’ introducing the *oratio obliqua*.

ויפג לבו. ‘And his heart grew cold.’

27. וירא . . . ותחי רוח יעקב, lit. ‘and he saw . . . and the spirit of J. revived;’ almost = ‘when he saw . . .’ etc. (46, 29); cf. Driver, p. 216. ותחי רוח; cf. Ps. 22, 27 ויחי לבבכם לעד; 69, 33 ויחי לבבכם לעד.

28. רב. ‘It is enough;’ so 2 Sam. 24, 16. Num. 16, 3. 7.

46.

3. מרדה. לָרָה for רָדָה, like יָעָה for יָעַת, Ex. 2, 4; לָרָה for לָרָה, Is. 37, 3; see Ges., § 69. Rem. 1; Stade, § 619 h.

4. ואנכי אעלך גם עלה. On the inf. Qal and imperf. Hif., see the note on 37, 33. The emphatic inf. abs. usually precedes the finite verb; see Ges., § 131. 3. Rem. 1; M. R., § 37 a; Ewald, § 312 b, who remarks that Qal after Hif. is very rare; cf. Is. 31, 5. The inf. abs. is here further emphasized by גם, as in 31, 15 ויאבל גם אכול.

6. ומקניהם, sing. not plural; see the note on 41, 21.

8–27. A list of the family of Jacob who went down into Egypt with him. The names in this list are found again, with several variations, in Num. 26. 1 Chron. 2–8 (cf. also Ex. 6, 14–16), the variations being most numerous in the case of the sons of Benjamin.

Jacob’s sons are classified according to his wives, the list falling under four heads: Leah, Zilpah, Rachel, Bilhah. Under

the first head, Leah, come Reuben, with four sons; Simeon, with six; Levi, with three; Judah, with five; Perez and Zerah being regarded as his sons, though they really were his grandsons; Perez has two sons, and as Er and Onan died in Canaan, Judah's sons and grandsons amount to five; Issachar has four sons; Zebulun, three; Leah's daughter Dinah is also mentioned: thus Leah's children and grandchildren amount to 26; and these 26 + Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Judah, Issachar, and Zebulun = 32, and with Jacob himself, 33. Under the second head, Zilpah, come Gad, with seven sons; Asher, with four sons, a daughter (Serah), and two grandsons (7): thus 7 + 7 + 2 (Gad and Asher) = 16. Under the third head, Rachel, come Joseph and Benjamin; Joseph has two sons, Ephraim and Manasseh; and Benjamin, ten: thus 2 + 2 + 10 = 14. Under the fourth head, Bilhah, come Dan, with one son; and Naphtali, with four sons: in all, 1 + 4 + 2 (Dan and Naphtali) = 7. Thus all the family of Jacob, including himself, was (33 + 16 + 14 + 7) 70. The LXX here (ver. 27), cf. Acts 7, 14, make the total number 75, counting (ver. 20) three grandchildren and two great-grandchildren among Joseph's descendants; from 50, 23. Num. 26, 28 ff. 1 Chron. 7, 14 f. The number 70 is mentioned again in Ex. 1, 5. Deut. 10, 22 (LXX in Ex. 75, but in Deut. 70). On the variations in the lists given in this chapter, Num., l. c., and 1 Chron., l. c., cf. the larger commentaries, i. e. Del., p. 487; Di., p. 417 f.; also on the difficulty that arises in the case of Perez, who, being born after the sale of Joseph into Egypt, and before Jacob came to Egypt, had, according to our list, two sons. Thus, as the time between Joseph's sale into Egypt and the coming of Jacob is only twenty-two years, the birth of Perez and his sons must have occurred within twenty-two years, which, of course,

is not impossible, but not very probable. Another difficulty is also discussed by Di. and Del., viz. that Benjamin, the youth (43, 8. 44, 20, etc.), is represented here as the father of ten sons.

15. ואת דינה. If the את is not corrupt, we must render, 'and also Dinah' (governed by ילדה).

20. ויולד ליוסף refers to the object that is implied in בנים, viz. בנים.

27. הבאה. See the note on 18, 21.

28. להורות. 'That he (Joseph) might give him instructions,' or 'direct him,' i. e. that Joseph might instruct Judah, and give Jacob, with his flocks and herds, every facility to enter the land; so Ges., Kn. Del. makes Judah the subj. to להורת, i. e. Judah went before Jacob to shew him the way, which he (Jacob) could find as easily as Judah. The Sam. Ver., LXX, Pesh. apparently read להורת, as inf. Nif. = קהראות (which is found in the Heb.-Sam.), or had this word in their text, which reading is accepted by Di., who considers it confirmed by וירא אליו in ver. 29, and renders, 'That he (Joseph) should appear before him (i. e. come to meet him) to Goshen.'

לפניו, i. e. 'before his (Jacob's) arrival.'

29. ויעל, i. e. from the Nile land to Goshen, which lay on higher ground, Di.

עוד = 'again and again;' cf. Ruth 1, 14.

30. הפעם, as in 2, 23. 18, 32. 29, 34.

31. אעלה, possibly used with reference to the ideal, or real high position of Pharaoh's royal residence, Di.; cf. Ges., *Thes.*, 1022.

33. מעשיכם, singular; cf. on 41, 21.

34. כל רעה. Cf. on 4, 2. The Sam. has the pl. רעי.

47.

2. וּמִקְצֵה אֶהְיֶה. 'Out of the whole number of his brethren;' so 1 Kings 12, 31 מִקְצֵה הָעָם (not as A.V., 'of the lowest of the people'); Ez. 33, 2 אִישׁ אֶחָד מִקְצֵיהֶם; 19, 4 is different, cf. the note on that passage.

3. רָעָה צֶאֱן. On the predicate in the sing., see Ges., § 147 c; M. R., § 133. Di., however, considers that רָעָה is miswritten for רָעִי, comparing 46, 32; Ewald, § 16 b. The Sam. and several codices (Wright) read the plural.

5 and 6. In the LXX text 6 b is continued with ἦλθον δὲ εἰς Αἴγυπτον πρὸς Ἰωσήφ Ἰακώβ καὶ οἱ υἱοὶ αὐτοῦ καὶ ἤκουσε Φαραὼ βασιλεὺς Αἰγύπτου, καὶ εἶπε Φαραὼ πρὸς Ἰωσήφ λέγων, then 5 b and 6 a follow.

6. אֶרֶץ מִצְרַיִם לִפְנֵיךְ הוּא. *Casus pendens*; see on 34, 21.

וְאִם יָדַעְתָּ בְּסֵם אַנְשֵׁי הָאֵל. 'And if thou knowest that there are capable men among them,' lit. 'and if thou knowest, and there are' etc. On this union of the subordinate clause by waw, see Driver, p. 235, and cf. Job 23, 3 ('knew so that I might find him').

אֶנְשֵׁי הָאֵל. 'Able or worthy men;' cf. Ex. 18, 21. 25, and 1 Kings 1, 52 (בֵּן הָאֵל).

וְשָׂמַתָּ, the perf. with waw conv. used in making a suggestion; see on 24, 14.

יִשְׂרָאֵל מִקְנֵהוּ. Cf. 1 Sam. 21, 8, where Doeg the Edomite is called לְשָׂאוֹל אִשֶׁר הָרַעִים אֶת־יִשְׂרָאֵל.

7. וַיַּעֲמֵדָהּ. Cf. הָעֵמִיד in P with הִצִּיג in ver. 2.

וַיִּבְרַךְ, as in 2 Kings 4, 29, used of greeting any one; cf. 2 Sam. 16, 16.

11. רעמסס. Cf. on 45, 10. רעמסס is here the name of the district, so called from the town of the same name mentioned in Ex. 1, 11. 'The designation "land of Ramses" is only found in this passage,' Kn.

12. ויכלכל . . . את אביו . . . לחם. On כלכל with a double acc., see Ewald, § 283 b.

לפי הטף, lit. 'according to the little children,' i. e. 'according to their number and wants,' 'little children being mentioned because they would require much food, and also because people would be less willing to see them in want,' Del. לפי as in Lev. 25, 16. 27, 16.

13. ותלה, ἀπαξ λεγόμεν. Imperf. apoc. Qal of להה for לאה; on the form of the imperf. apoc., see Ges., § 75. Rem. 3 b.

14. הנמצא. Cf. הנמצאת, 19, 15.

15. אפס occurs only in this and the next verse in the Pent.; it is also found in Ps. 77, 9. Is. 16, 4. 29, 20 (all).

17. וינהלם. 'And he sustained them.' נהל is only used in this passage in the sense, 'sustain,' 'nourish.' Elsewhere it means 'to lead' or 'guide;' so Is. 40, 11. Ps. 23, 2.

18. "לא נכחד מאדני וגו'". 'We will not hide it from my lord, that if the money is spent, and the cattle we own be my lord's, there is nothing left' etc.; כי אם being taken separately, according to the accentuation. Del. prefers to render them together, 'but,' comparing 2 Sam. 15, 21. 1 Kings 20, 6. 2 Kings 5, 20 (where כי אם is preceded by a protestation), which are not quite parallel to this passage. Others (Kn., Ges.) render כי אם 'but, since,' or 'but, because,' which renderings assign to אם a meaning it can hardly bear. Di., following Kn., renders the words from כי אם down to אדני slightly differently, 'that if our money, and the cattle we own, are

entirely at an end, (and come) to my lord,' comparing for the pregnant construction 14, 15. 42, 28. 43, 33, a rendering that seems somewhat harsh and unnatural. אדני is used here, as in Num. 32, 25. 27. 36, 2, where more than one person is speaking. Del. compares the French '*Monsieur.*'

גוינתו = '*our bodies,*' i. e. '*ourselves,*' גויה being used of living beings, as in Dan. 10, 6. Ez. 1, 11. 23. Neh. 9, 37; elsewhere it is only used of a corpse.

19. Notice that נמות is zeugmatically connected with אדמתנו; cf. 4, 20 ומקנה ישב אהל.

גם אנחנו גם אדמתנו גם . . . גם = '*both . . . and,*' as in ver. 3, 43, 8. 44, 16. 46, 34.

תשם, impf. Qal (intrans.) from שָׁמַם; cf. Ges., § 67. Rem. 3; Stade, § 509. 2; see on 16, 4 (ותקל). With this use of שָׁמַם, cf. Ez. 12, 19 למען תשם ארצה; 19, 7 ותשם ארץ ומלאה.

21. " *ואת העם העביר אתו וגו'* usually rendered, '*and the people, he removed them into the towns;*' but such a removal of all the people into the towns would be scarcely possible, and it is very doubtful whether העביר can mean this. It is better, if the text is left unchanged, to render, '*and the people he caused to pass over to the towns*' (עם ואת being a *casus pendens*; cf. 13, 15. 21, 13; Driver, § 197. 6; M. R. § 132 a). The meaning being, the people were brought to the towns so that they might be fed from the stores of grain that were there; cf. 41, 48. Tuch interprets the Mass. text as meaning, '*he moved the people from one city into another, throughout the whole land;*' possibly to remove them from the districts in which the land they formerly owned lay. But this would require מעיר לעיר; cf. 2 Chron. 30, 10. The LXX, καὶ τὸν λαὸν κατεδουλώσατο αὐτῶ εἰς παῖδας, so the Sam. 𐤀𐤌𐤁𐤄𐤆𐤁𐤃 . 𐤀𐤌𐤁𐤄 . 𐤆𐤁𐤃𐤆 . 𐤀𐤌𐤁𐤄 . 𐤀𐤌𐤁𐤄, and Vulg.

'*Subjecitque eam (omnem terram) Pharaoni, et cunctos populos ejus,*' which point to a reading ואת העם העביר אתו לעבדים (cf. Jer. 17, 4) = 'the people he made serve him (the king) as slaves.' Di. adopts this reading, following Knobel. Onq. has וַיִּתְּ עַמָּא אַעְפֵּר יְתִיָּה מִקָּרִי לְקָרִי, and the Pesh. **وَأَخْرَجَهُمْ** **مِنْ مَدِينَةٍ إِلَى مَدِينَةٍ**, both = 'and the people, he removed them from town to town,' a meaning which (see above) the Heb. text cannot bear. Di. remarks, in favour of the rendering of the text adopted by him, that the purchase of the people, corresponding to the purchase of the land, is demanded by the emphatic position of ואת העם; cf. 19 and 23.

22. כִּי חָק. חק as in Prov. 30, 8. 31, 15; Ez. 16, 27.

23. הָא = הנה occurs only once again in Heb., Ez. 16, 43. It corresponds with the Arab. مَا, Syr. ܐܘܢ.

וּזְרַעְתֶּם. Cf. on 24, 14.

24. וְאַרְבַּע הַיִּדֹת יִהְיֶה לָכֶם. 'And four portions ye shall have.' וְאַרְבַּע הַיִּדֹת must be regarded as object after יִהְיֶה לָכֶם, which is nearly equivalent to 'ye have.' Cf. Ex. 12, 49 חֲקָה אַחַת יִהְיֶה לָכֶם; see Ewald, § 295 d; Ges., § 147. Rem. 2. Di. accounts for the sing. here on the ground that the numeral is regarded in much the same way as כָּל.

הַיִּדֹת. See 43, 34.

26. לְפָרְעָה לְחֻמֵּשׁ. 'For P. with regard to the fifth part.' It would be less harsh if the text were read לְפָרְעָה חֻמֵּשׁ, with the Syriac, as an explanation of אַחַת. The LXX have τῷ Φαραῶ ἀποπεμπτοῦν, as though the text were לְפָרְעָה לְחֻמֵּשׁ.

27. וַיֵּאחָזוּ. See on 34, 10.

29. שִׁיב נָא יָדְךָ תַּחַת יְרֵכִי. See the note of 24, 2.

31. וַיִּשְׁתַּחֲוּ יִשְׂרָאֵל עַל רֹאשׁ הַמַּטֵּה. 'And Israel

bowed down towards the head of the bed; so Di., Del. The aged patriarch sat upright while speaking with Joseph, and as he was too weak to rise, turned and inclined himself towards the upper end of the bed, and offered up thanks to God that his request was granted; cf. the Vulg., '*adoravit Israel Deum, conversus ad lectuli caput,*' and 1 Kings 1, 47. Tuch renders, '*leant back upon the head of the bed.*' The LXX, Syr., and Itala read הַפְּטִיָּה as הַפְּטִיָּה, the LXX being quoted thus in Heb. 11, 21 (*ἐπὶ τὸ ἄκρον τῆς ῥάβδου αὐτοῦ*), Jacob being represented as bowing over the top of his staff, or, as others suppose, over the staff of Joseph (which he carried as a token of his authority) as a mark of homage to him; cf. 37, 7. But this reading is not so natural as הַפְּטִיָּה, and a suffix would be required (פְּטִיָּהוּ), which the Vss. express.

48

1. וַיֹּאמֶר לְיוֹסֵף. '*And one told Joseph*' (sc. הָאֹמֵר). The third pers. sing. being here used like the impersonal, '*man sagte,*' '*on dit,*' Ewald, § 294 b; Ges., § 137. 3; M. R., § 123. 2. In 22, 20 we find וַיִּגַּד used; but here the active is employed by the narrator, as וַיֹּאמֶר is not used in this sense; so in ver. 2 וַיִּגַּד, and again וַיֹּאמֶר.

4. הִנְנִי מִפְּרֵךְ וְהָרַבִּיתֶךָ. The perf. with waw conv. after a word pointing to the future, as in 7, 4 וּמַחֲיִיתִי . . . מִמַּטֵּיר; Is. 7, 14 וְקִרְאֵת . . . הָעֵלְמָה הַזֶּה; see Driver, § 113. 1; M. R., § 24. 2 a; Ges., § 126. 6 a.

מִפְּרֵךְ. On the part. as *futurum instans*, see on 6, 17.

5. וְעַתָּה שְׁנֵי בָנִים . . . לִּי הֵם. On the *casus pendens*, see on 34, 21.

6. ומולדתך. 'And thy offspring;' מולדת, as in Lev. 18, 9. 11.

"על שם אחיהם וגו'. 'According to the name of their brethren shall they be called in their inheritance,' i. e. their descendants shall dwell among the posterity of Ephraim and Manasseh, and be reckoned as belonging to them, and not as separate tribes.

7. מפדן. Everywhere else P calls Mesopotamia פדן ארם; cf. on 25, 20. Possibly the omission of ארם is due to a copyist's mistake. The Sam. has פדן ארם.

מתה עלי. 'Died, to my sorrow.' For this use of עלי, cf. Eccl. 2, 17 כי רע עלי המעשה. See also 33, 13 and the note on that passage.

כברת ארץ. Cf. the note on 35, 16.

9. בזה 'here;' so 38, 21.

קחם נא. Ewald, § 253 a, and Stade, § 631 e, compare קחם here with בָּצָעַם (Amos 9, 1), the suffix being attached to the word ending in a guttural, the tone being placed on the penult. קחם, however, here has no accent at all, as it is connected with נא by Maqqef, and so deprived of its accent; and the ׀ of ׀־ם is consequently shortened into ׀־: and in Amos l. c. the tone on בָּצָעַם is drawn back on to the penult. to avoid two tone-syllables coming together, the next word being בְּרֵאשִׁית.

ואברכם. For the pausal seghol, cf. 21, 9 קִינְחָק and the note there; also the frequent לְעוֹלָם וָעֶד. In Num. 6, 27 we find אֲבָרְכֶם in pause, also in ordinary editions in this passage.

11. רֵאָה for רְאוּת, like עֲשׂוּ for עֲשׂוֹת in 31, 28 (see the note on that passage), and עֲשֵׂה for עֲשׂוֹת, 50, 20.

פְּלִלְתִּי. According to Ben Asher in the *Dikduke Hate'a-*

mim, ed. Baer and Strack, Leipzig, 1879, § 49, the — in the first person perf. Pī'el is always preserved in pause, except in this word; הִלְבַּתִּי, Ps. 38, 7; יִחַלְתִּי, Ps. 119, 43, etc.; יִטְרַתִּי, Ps. 119, 128.

12. לְאִפְיוֹ, as in Num. 22, 31. In 19, 1. 42, 6 we find אִפְיוֹ alone used after וישתחוּ and וישתחוּ respectively.

14. שָׁכַל אֶת יָדָיו. 'Crossing his hands;' the construction is the same as in 44, 12; cf. the note on that passage. This rendering is the same as that of the LXX, Syr., Vulg., and most moderns, and is suitable to the context; cf. ver. 13. Cf. the Arab. شَكَلَ 'plexuit,' 'ligavit.' Onq. and Saadiah render, 'he made his hands wise,' i. e. 'he placed them so intentionally,' which assigns a doubtful meaning to שָׁכַל (= הִשְׁפִּיל); moreover with this rendering בִּידָיו would be more natural, as Di. points out. With this verse cf. Matt. 19, 13 f. Mark 10, 16, where Christ in blessing lays His hands on those whom He blessed.

15. מְעוּרֵי עַד הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה. This phrase is only found once again in the O. T., viz. Num. 22, 30 מְעוּרֵךְ עַד הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה.

16. וַיִּקְרָא בָהֶם שְׁמִי. Cf. 21, 12 and the note there. 'In them let my name be named,' i. e. 'be made famous through their offspring.' Del. renders, 'On them let my name be called.' בָּהֶם = עֲלֵיהֶם, i. e. 'let them be regarded as my children, and sharers of the promises made to me and mine.'

יִדְגֹּר. יִדְגֹּר is only found in this passage in the O. T.

17. יָשִׁית. Notice the tense, 'was placing;' Jacob had not *actually* placed his hands on the heads of Ephraim and Manasseh, but was in the act of placing them; cf. Driver, § 39 β. The imperfects with waw conv. give details of Jacob's blessing which have been omitted, though the actual blessing

is given in the preceding verses; cf. 27, 24. 37, 6. 42, 21 ff. 45, 21-24; Driver, § 75 β.

19. מלא הגוים (cf. Is. 31, 4) = המון גוים in 17, 5.

22. וּאֲנִי נָתַתִּי לְךָ שֹׁכֵם אֶחָד עַל אַחִיךָ. 'And I give thee one mountain slope above thy brethren.' שֹׁכֵם = 'shoulder,' then applied to the slope of a mountain, like כְּתָף, Num. 34, 11. Josh. 15, 18. Is. 11, 14; see Ges., *Thes.*, 1407. אֶחָד is *status absolutus* with the vocalisation of the *status constructus*, the shorter pronunciation being sometimes chosen in the flow of speech; see Ewald, § 267 b; Ges., § 116. 6; and cf. Zech. 11, 7 וְלֶאֱחָד קָרָאתִי . . . וְלֶאֱחָד קָרָאתִי; Is. 27, 12 לְאֶחָד אֶחָד. שֹׁכֵם is taken by Onq. and Pesh. in the sense 'portion,' a translation that is too indefinite. לְקַחְתִּי and נָתַתִּי are perhaps best taken with Tuch and Del. as prophetic perfects (see, however, Di., p. 431). The meaning of the promise seems to be that the descendants of Joseph should have a mountain tract, in addition to their other territory. Possibly the word שֹׁכֵם is chosen with reference to the well-known place of that name in the territory of Ephraim; cf. the LXX rendering, *Σίκιμα ἐξάιπερον*, and John 4, 5. Tuch and others consider that שֹׁכֵם אֶחָד means that two portions of territory should be assigned to Ephraim and Manasseh (cf. ver. 5), as contrasted with the one portion that the other tribes were to receive. But שֹׁכֵם אֶחָד can hardly mean 'one portion,' unless the rendering of Onq. and the Pesh. be adopted, which, as was remarked above, does not adequately represent the Hebrew words. A portion of land would embrace more than one 'mountain slope.'

בְּחַרְבֵי וּבְקִשְׁתֵּי are curiously rendered in some texts, cf. Onq. בְּצִלְתִּי וּבְנִבְעֵיתִי 'with my prayer and entreaty' (Berliner's text follows the Mass. text, see the notes in his edition,

part ii, p. 17). Another curious paraphrase is proposed by Hieron. (*Quaest.*, ed. Lagarde, p. 66), 'dabo tibi Sicimam, quam emi in fortitudine mea, hoc est in pecunia quam multo labore et sudore quaesivi.' In his translation, however, he follows the Heb. text.

49.

In this chapter is contained the so-called 'Blessing of Jacob,' a name which owes its origin to ver. 28, which however probably belongs, not to the 'Blessing,' but the following narrative, and was derived from a different document. This designation cannot be regarded as a suitable one, as in point of fact only two of the tribes are really blessed, viz. Judah and Joseph, the utterances of the patriarch in the case of Reuben, Simeon, and Levi being full of reproach, and a future predicted for them the reverse of prosperous. It would be better designated by the title Del. gives it, 'The prophetic sayings of Jacob concerning the Twelve.' The six sons of Leah are first mentioned, then Bilhah's eldest son, Zilpah's two sons (the eldest first), Bilhah's second son, and Rachel's two sons, Joseph the eldest first. The order in which they occur is partly that in which they were born, and partly that in which the territories represented by them geographically stand, starting from the south of Canaan and going northwards (Ewald, *Hist.*³, ii. p. 435; Eng. trans., ii. p. 308). Thus the four elder sons come first, Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Judah; but then the order of birth is abandoned, and Leah's other two sons, Zebulon (Jacob's tenth son) and Issachar (Jacob's ninth son), are inserted, Zebulon being placed before Issachar, as the future that Jacob predicts for him is more prosperous and honourable than that of Issachar (Di.). Cf. Deut. 33, 18.

where Zebulon and Issachar come together, but Zebulon first, as here. The four last sons are cited according to their geographical position; Benjamin, Joseph, Naphtali, Asher (from south to north), Joseph and Benjamin also being in the proper order of their birth. Dan is probably placed after Issachar, as being the first son of Jacob by his wives' hand-maidens (in order of birth he follows Judah, but as the order of birth is abandoned to enumerate Leah's six sons, Dan, the fifth, is mentioned first, after the six sons of Leah). Gad would then be placed after Dan, and before Naphtali, who was born before him, so as not to disturb the geographical arrangement—Benjamin, Joseph, Naphtali, Asher—and possibly to keep Zilpah's two sons together. In Deut. 33, the 'Blessing of Moses,'—which has many points of contact with this chapter, both in the figures it employs and the language used,—the order is varied; viz. Reuben, Judah, Levi (whose blessing contrasts strangely with Jacob's words in ver. 5), Benjamin, Joseph (Ephraim and Manasseh are mentioned by name), Zebulon, Issachar, Gad, Dan, Naphtali, Asher, while Simeon in the text as we now have it is not mentioned at all.

The language of this chapter should be noticed. In its elevated tone, in vigour and force, and in the numerous figurative expressions employed, it surpasses the other poetical passages in Genesis (9, 25 ff. 14, 19 ff. 24, 66. 25, 23. 27, 27 ff. 39 f.). Many of the expressions employed are rare, and unusual in the later stages of the language, e. g. **פְּחִי** (*ἄπαξ λεγ.*) and **הוֹתִיר**, ver. 4; **מַכְרָה**, ver. 5 (a *ἄπαξ λεγ.* of uncertain meaning); **מִחֻקֵּךְ**, ver. 10 (occurring again (in the poetical fragment) Num. 21, 18. Deut. 33, 21. Judg. 5, 14. Ps. 60, 9); **סוֹחַ**, ver. 11 (*ἄπαξ λεγ.*); **חִלְלִילִי**, ver. 12 (*ἄπαξ λεγ.*); **מִשְׁפָּתִים**, ver. 14 (only found once again, Judg. 5, 16); **שְׂפִיפִן**, ver. 17 (*ἄπαξ λεγ.*); **שְׁלוּחַ**, ver. 21 (only used thus in this passage);

פרח, ver. 22 (observe the archaic fem. ending), only in this passage for פָּרַח; רבו, ver. 23 (רבב is perhaps found again in Ps. 18, 15 ברקים רב, see the note on this verse); ותשב . . . קשתו, ver. 24, etc.; also the archaic ending י (the old binding vowel) in בני אתנו, אסרי לגפן; the suffix ה for ו, in עירה and סיתה, and possibly in שילה (cf. the note on this word); the poetical עֵלִי for על; כבוד, poetical for נפש, with which it is here parallel, ver. 6; אמרי, ver. 21, poetical for דברי; the poetical זרעי ידיו, ver. 24, etc. Probably this chapter is the oldest portion of the book of Genesis, being incorporated into one of the original documents, out of which the present book grew, from a still older source. On the special literature of this chapter, see Tuch, p. 479 f., and Di., p. 435 f.

1. יקרא אתכם. קרא = קרה, as in 42, 4; cf. the note on that passage.

באחרית הימים. 'In days to come,' lit. 'in the end of days.' אחרית is used here as in Num. 24, 14. Deut. 4, 30. Jer. 23, 20, etc., denoting the end of the period which the prophet sees, or which he has in view. The LXX have ἐπ' ἐσχάτων τῶν ἡμερῶν; cf. Heb. 1, 1 and 1 Pet. 1, 20 (ἐπ' ἐσχάτων τῶν χρόνων); Syr. ܩܝܡܝܢ ܕܝܘܡܝܢ; Onq. ܩܝܡܝܢ ܕܝܘܡܝܢ; Vulg. 'in diebus novissimis.' The formula is also common in prophecy in a somewhat different sense, e. g. Hos. 3, 5. Mic. 4, 1. Ez. 38, 16.

2. 'Gather yourselves and hear, sons of Jacob;

And hearken unto Israel your father.

3. Reuben—my firstborn art thou, my strength and the firstfruits of my vigour.

Excelling in dignity and excelling in might.

Boiling over like water, excel not thou;

For thou didst go up to thy father's bed:

There thou didst pollute it; he went up to my couch!

Reuben, Jacob's firstborn, excels his brethren in dignity and power, but loses his privileges through his sin. In the post-Mosaic time the tribe of Reuben sinks into obscurity. With the exception of one successful campaign against the Hagarenes (1 Chron. 5, 8-10), nothing more is known of the doings of this tribe.

בכרי אתה might be rendered, 'my firstborn, thou,' regarding אתה as a vocative; the rendering given above is, however, better.

כחי = 'my manly strength.' און, אוני, as in Deut. 21, 17. Ps. 78, 51. 105, 36, of genital power. LXX, σὺ ἰσχύς μου καὶ ἀρχὴ τέκνων μου; Vulg. 'et principium doloris mei' (as though און were און), following (as often) Aq. κεφάλαιον λύπης μου, and Symm. ἀρχὴ ὀδύνης μου.

יתר שאת ויתר עו, lit. 'excellence of dignity and excellence of power,' יתר both times being abstract for concrete. שאת as in Ps. 62, 5. Job 13, 11. 31, 23. Hab. 1, 7. עו not an adj. but pausal form of עו, see on 43, 14; so יטרף in ver. 27 in pause for יטרף. The LXX render σκληρὸς φέρεσθαι, καὶ σκληρὸς αὐθάδης, while Onq. renders as follows: לך הנה חיי הנה חיי לך הנה חיי 'for thee it was provided to receive three portions, the right of firstborn, priesthood, and the kingdom,' in accordance with the Jewish tradition, which assigned these three privileges to Reuben as the firstborn.

4. פחו כמים, lit. 'a bubbling over like water.' The root פחו in Arabic (فحز I, V) = 'to boast;' in Aramaic the subs. ܦܚܘܐ occurs in the Pesh. Vers., 2 Cor. 12, 21. Eph. 4, 19 = ἀσέλγεια. The root properly = 'to exceed bounds, be inordinate;' LXX well, ἐξέβρισας. Only the comparison gives the idea of boiling or bubbling. פחו, like יתר in the preceding

verse, is abstract for concrete; cf. Ewald, § 296 b; Driver, § 189. Obs. The words may be taken as vocative, or (with Del.) as a descriptive apposition to the subject **ראובן**. The Heb.-Sam. has **פְּהִיזְתָּ**, and the other Vss. render as though **פְּהִיזְתָּ** stood instead of **פְּהִיזְתָּ**; but it is not necessary to suppose that the text they translated from actually had the second pers. of the verb, their renderings are probably chosen to express **פְּהִיזְתָּ** with greater clearness. **פְּהִיזְתָּ**, part. of **פְּהִיזְתָּ**, occurs twice in the O. T., Judg. 9, 4. Zeph. 3, 4; in the sense of 'wanton' in Judg. l. c., and 'boasting' in Zeph. l. c., of false prophets.

אל תותר, i. e. with reference to the **יתר** mentioned in ver. 3. Render, 'Do not thou excel' (the jussive, with a negative, expressing a desire or wish, Driver, § 50 γ), i. e. 'mayest thou lose the privileges that belong to thee as firstborn,' viz. those mentioned in ver. 3. LXX, *μη ἐκξέσῃς* (cf. Lagarde's *Genesis Graece*, p. 202, notes), which Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 373, regards, not as indicating a different reading, but as a paraphrase on the part of the LXX, who refer **תותר** back to **פְּהִיזְתָּ**, the paraphrase being due to a desire to mitigate the effects of Reuben's sin. The Syriac has **לֹא לִפְסֹח**, reading the text as **תִּתְיָתֵר**.

כי עלית משכבי אביך. **עלה** is here construed with the acc., as in Num. 13, 17 **ועליתם את ההר**. Di. explains the plural as meaning a double bed; Del. explains it by Ges., § 108. 2 (nouns denoting extension of *space* or *time*, used in the plural). With the plural here, **יְצִיעֵי אָבִיו** of 1 Chron. 5, 1 may be compared, Reuben also being referred to.

יְצִיעֵי עֲלֶה. These words are addressed, in astonishment at Reuben's sin, by Jacob to his other sons; therefore the third pers.; cf. Is. 42, 20. 51, 18. 52, 14. The LXX, Pesh.,

Onq. render as though the text had עֲלִיתָ, possibly an attempt to amend the Heb. text, which is not necessary, while the Vulg. leaves עלה untranslated, and makes יצועי the obj. of חללה. Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 374, supposes that these words were not the real text, but that יצועי בְּלָהָה was written originally, which afterwards was changed into יצועי עלה, as being too clear. He objects to our present text because everywhere else יצוע is used in the plural, and only in this passage in the singular. Di. describes his emendation, which is very needless, as 'the purest prose.' Ewald, *History*³, i. p. 535, Eng. trans., i. p. 373, foot-note, renders, 'my couch of highness,' 'my lofty couch,' pointing עֲלָה as עֲלָה = 'a step,' a rendering that can scarcely be justified. In 1 Chron. i. c. the right of firstborn, which Reuben lost, is given to Joseph, while Judah received his (Reuben's) privilege of royalty. In Deut. 33, 6 Reuben's blessing is as follows: יחי ראובן ואל ימות ויהי מתיו מספר 'Let R. live and not die, yet let his men be few.'

5-7. 'Simeon and Levi are brethren;

Weapons of violence are their shepherds' staves.

Into their council, let not my soul come;

With their assembly, let not my honour be united;

For in their anger they slew men,

And in their wantonness houghed oxen.

Cursed be their anger, for it was fierce;

And their wrath, for it was cruel:

I will divide them in Jacob,

And scatter them in Israel.'

5. שִׁמְעוֹן וְלֵוִי, either predicate or in apposition to אחים. Simeon and Levi are brothers, not only as sons of the same parents, but as being alike in their dispositions.

מִכְרַתֵּיהֶם. The meaning of this word, which only

occurs in this passage, is very uncertain. (1) It is commonly rendered 'sword,' a meaning which was first hinted at by the Jews, who compared מכרה fancifully with the Greek μάχαυρα; see Bereshith Rabba, c. 99 אמר רבי יוחנן לשון יוני מברותיהם. הוא מכירין פי" קורין לחרבות מכירין ויש אומרים מברותיהן : *Rabbi Johanan says the word מכרות is a Greek word, as they (the Greeks) call swords מכירין (μάχαυρα). Others think that מכרות = מכורות, comparing Ez. 16, 3.* Del. also assigns the meaning 'sword' to מכרה, deriving it from פור, or rather פָּרַר = פור (after the analogy of מִאֲרָה, מִגֵּרָה, מִקֶּרָה), which has the meaning 'to dig' or 'pierce.' Hieron. and Rashi also render 'sword;,' see Ges., *Thes.*, p. 672. (2) Tuch assigns to the word the meaning 'plot' or 'contrivance,' lit. 'windings,' from כר = 'to wind,' but, as Del. points out, כר does not mean 'to wind,' but 'to be round;,' while L. de Dieu and Maurer also render 'plots,' but get this meaning from מכר = mākārā in Ethiopic and مَكَّر in Arabic, 'to plan,' 'contrive.' We must then, however, point the form מְכַרְתֵּיהֶם, not מְכַרְתֵּיהֶם; see Ewald, § 260 a. (3) Kn., Boettcher, § 791 (though he adheres to the Mass. pointing), and others render, 'marriage contracts,' as though מכר = the Syriac مَحَّ 'desponsavit;,' מכר, however, means 'to sell,' and if מכר can = مَحَّ (which in Heb. would usually be rendered by מהר), as مَحَّ is always used of 'the wooer' or 'suitor' (Del.), (see, however, Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, col. 2107), the reference to Dinah's brethren would be hardly suitable—though the next verse certainly refers to the incident narrated in chap. 34—and 'marriage contracts' could scarcely be called כלים. Knobel alters the reading into מְכַרְתֵּיהֶם. (4) Di. derives the word from כר 'to be round,' and says it means a 'round curved instrument,' perhaps a 'curved knife' or 'sickle.'

Ewald, *Hist.*³, ii. p. 493, Eng. trans., ii. p. 349, and Wellhausen, *History of Israel*, Eng. trans., p. 144, render (also from כָּרָר), 'shepherds' staves,' or as we should say in English, 'shepherds' crooks,' which perhaps is the most suitable rendering. The LXX have συνετέλεσαν ἀδικίαν ἐξ αἰρέσεως αὐτῶν, as though the text were פָּלוּ חַמְסִים מִכְרוֹתֵיהֶם 'they ended the violence of their nature;' so Geiger translates, *Urschrift*, p. 374 f., regarding this translation of the LXX as intended to tone down the violence of Simeon and Levi's conduct. The Syriac has ܩܕܡܐ ܕܝܢܘܨܐܘܪܐ ܕܡܢ ܗܘܢܐ ܕܡܢ ܗܘܢܐ 'instruments of violence from their nature;' possibly they connected מִכְרָה with מִבוֹרָה 'birth,' 'descent.' Onq. renders ܢܒܪܝܢ ܢܝܦܪܝܢ ܒְּאַרְע ܗܘܗܘܒܘܬܗܘܢ ܥܦܪܘ ܨܒܝܪܐ 'mighty men, in the land they dwell in they did a mighty deed,' as though מִכְרֵיהֶם = מְגִוְיָהֶם; so Kimchi and the A.V., who supply 'in,' which is wanting in the Heb. text. Onq.'s rendering seems an endeavour to transform Simeon and Levi's cruel deed into a noble one. The Vulg. gives 'vasa iniquitatis bellantia.'

6. The first portion of this verse is rendered as follows in the A.V. and A.V.R.: 'O my soul, come not thou into their secret (A.V. R. "council," marg. "secret"); unto their assembly, mine honour (A.V. R. "my glory"), be not thou united,' taking תָּבֵן and תָּהָר as second pers. sing. masc. (though נַפְשִׁי is more commonly fem.), and נַפְשִׁי and כְּבוֹדִי as vocatives. The rendering given above is that adopted by Di. and Del.

תָּהָר is imperf. Qal of יָהָר. The Heb.-Sam. reads אל יחר.

כְּבוֹדִי, 'my honour' or 'glory,' is rhythmically interchanged with נַפְשִׁי here. In Ps. 7, 6 כְּבוֹדִי is parallel to נַפְשִׁי, and in Ps. 16, 9. 108, 2 to לְבִי; cf. also Ps. 30, 13. 57, 9, where it is used in the sense of נַפְשִׁי. כְּבוֹד is here fem. by Ewald,

§ 174 b (names of invisible active powers are fem.; so נפש is usually fem., and כבדי being parallel to it, is also regarded as fem.). The LXX render אל תחד כבדי with $\mu\eta\ \epsilon\rho\acute{\iota}\sigma\alpha\iota\ \tau\acute{\alpha}\ \eta\eta\tau\alpha\acute{\iota}\ \mu\omicron\nu\varsigma$, as though the text were אֱלֹהִים כְּבֹדִי; see Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 319, who regards the rendering of the LXX as intentional, to avoid the possibility of confounding the human כבד (Doxa) with the divine, the word כבד, when equivalent to נפש, having 'both the idea of divine majesty and the idea of the higher human nature.'

איש may be either collective—cf. the rendering given above—or the sing. may be used poetically for the plural.

וּבְרֵצָנָם. 'In their wanton wrath.' רצון, here parallel to אף, means 'unrestrained passion;' cf. Esther 9, 5 ויעשו במשנאיהם כרצונם.

עָקְרוּ שׁוֹר. 'They houghed oxen,' i. e. severed the sinews of the thigh and so rendered the animals useless; so LXX, $\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu\rho\kappa\acute{o}\pi\eta\sigma\alpha\nu\ \tau\alpha\upsilon\rho\omicron\nu\varsigma$; cf. Josh. 11, 6. 9. 2 Sam. 8, 4. Onq., Pesh., Aq., Symm., Hieron., Vulg., and A.V. (but not A.V. R.) take שׁוֹר as שׁוֹר, and render, 'a wall' (this reading, according to Wright, being found in three MSS.), pointing עָקְרוּ, עָקְרוּ (cf. Zeph. 2, 4), and taking עָקְרוּ in the sense, 'they destroyed,' a meaning of the root which is common in Aramaic. Kn. points out that in 34, 28 f. Jacob's sons carried off the cattle as spoil, and Di., p. 439, suggests that the rendering 'wall' may have been adopted to avoid a discrepancy in the narrative here and in chap. 34. Schumann and others consider that שׁוֹר refers to שׁוֹר, the son of חמור, comparing Ps. 68, 31. Deut. 33, 17, also Ps. 22, 13. Is. 14, 9, but this reference to שׁוֹר is very doubtful, and seems hardly justified by the passages cited in its defence.

7. עָו is the pausal form of עָו; so הָי pausal form of הָי, 25, 7, and יָק pausal form of יָק, Ex. 32, 20.

כִּי עָו . . . כִּי קִשְׁתָּה. Cf. a similar change in Song of Songs 8, 6 כִּשְׂאוֹל קִנְיָה . . . כִּי עוֹה כְּמוֹת אַהֲבָה קִשָּׁה כְּשִׂאוֹל קִנְיָה.

The Heb.-Sam. text has אָרִיר for אָרִיר, and וְהִבְרַתָּם for וְעִבְרַתָּם, probably an intentional change, so that Jacob should not be represented as cursing them. The Sam. Version renders in the same way as the Heb.-Sam. text; cf. Targ. Ps.-Jon.

In Deut. 33, 8 f. Levi's blessing is entirely different in its tone from the severe language used by Jacob in this chapter; while Simeon is not mentioned in Deut. 33, at least in our present text.

The Simeonites received as their portion several cities in the נֶגֶב, i. e. the southern portion of Palestine, in the midst of the territory of Judah (cf. Josh. 15, 26-32. 42 with Josh. 19, 1-9. 1 Chron. 4, 28-32); while Levi, according to Num. 35. Josh. 21, receives no special portion of territory, but has forty-eight cities assigned to him to dwell in by the other tribes.

8-12. *'Judah, thou, may thy brethren praise thee :
 May thy hand be on the neck of thy foes ;
 May thy father's sons bow down to thee.
 A lion's whelp is Judah ;
 From the prey, my son, art thou gone up :
 He couched, he lay down like a lion,
 And like a lioness ; who can rouse him ?
 The marshal's staff shall not depart from Judah,
 Nor the leader's staff from between his feet,
 Until he come to Shiloh ;
 And may the obedience of the peoples be his.
 Binding to the vine his foal,*

*And to the Sorek vine his ass's colt :
He washes in wine his garments ;
And in the blood of grapes his raiment :
Dark are his eyes with wine,
And white his teeth with milk.'*

8. The *name* here suggests the form of the blessing ; cf. 29, 35, as though it were, '*Praise . . . thy brethren shall praise thee.*'

אתה prefixed as a nom. abs., like אנכי in 24, 27 ; cf. the note on that passage, also Ewald, § 309 b ; Ges., § 145. 2.

וּאֶחָז בַּעֲרַפֵּי וַיִּפְצַצְנִי Cf. Job 16, 12 יֵדָךְ בַּעֲרַף וְגו'.

בְּנֵי אֲבִיךָ. Not אחיך or בני אמן, but בני אביך ; for all Jacob's sons—not only those Leah bore him—shall praise Judah.

9. גֹּר אַרְיָה יְהוּדָה. The comparison with a lion is not uncommon ; see Deut. 33, 20 (where Gad is compared with a lioness), and 22 (where Dan is spoken of as a lion's whelp) ; cf. also Num. 23, 24. 24, 9 (which bears a striking resemblance to this passage, כִּרְעֵךְ שֶׁכֶּבֶךְ כִּאֲרִי וְכִלְבִּיָּא מִי יִקְיֶמְנוּ Mic. 5, 7.

מִטַּרְף בְּנֵי עֲלִית. '*From the prey, my son, art thou gone up,*' i. e. Judah is like a lion reascending to the mountain (cf. Song of Songs 4, 8) after having devoured his prey. LXX render עלית with ἀνάβησις, and מטַרף with ἐκ βλαστοῦ, taking it as in Ez. 17, 9 כָּל־טַרְפֵּי צִמְחָהָ 'all its fresh springing leaves.' עלה Hif. is found in Ez. 19, 3, meaning '*to bring up* (of a lion) ;' but as עלה is generally only used of vegetation in the sense to '*grow up,*' the rendering, '*From the prey, my son, art thou gone up,*' is preferable. If Judah were compared to a lion growing up, the addition of כִּאֲרִי וְכִלְבִּיָּא would be hardly necessary.

כלביא. The lioness, defending her young, is fiercer than the lion (Herod. iii. 108).

10. לא יסור . . . עמים. The rendering given above is that adopted by Di. and Del.; but as will be shewn below it cannot be regarded as satisfactory. First of all let us examine the rendering of the A.V. and A.V.R., 'until Shiloh come.' ¹שִׁלָּה is here taken as a personal name, possibly meaning 'peaceful,' or 'peace-bringer.' But, as is generally admitted (see Professor Driver, in the *Cambridge Journal of Philology*, xiv. 2, and in *The Expositor*, July, 1885), there are serious philological difficulties in the way of this view. As pointed in our present texts, the ending ה must either stand for the suffix of the third pers. masc. sing., or mark the word as a pr. n.; cf. יתרו, ערו, דודו, יתרו, etc. From these examples the word might, as far as its form goes, be a personal pr. n. If it be a pr. n., it must obviously, in a passage like the present, have some special significance. שִׁלָּה apparently must be connected with שָׁלָה, which denotes 'to be at ease,' or 'quiet.' The only exact parallel is גִּלְהָ, the name of a place. But neither גִּלְהָ nor שִׁלָּה can be derived from גִּלְהָ and שָׁלָה respectively, after the analogy of בִּישׁוּר, קִישׁוּר; for—as Tuch argues, and Del. allows—they would, if derived from ל'ה verbs, following analogy, be שִׁלְוִי and גִּלְוִי. But the Gentile names גִּלְוִי and שִׁלְוִי (2 Sam. 15, 12. 1 Kings 11, 29) shew that שִׁלְוִי and גִּלְוִי are really apocopated from שִׁלְוִון and גִּלְוִון, and have to be regarded as coming from the roots *שׁוּל or שִׁיל, and

¹ The word שִׁלָּה is pointed שִׁילָה, שָׁלָה, and שִׁלָּו. The first punctuation with the *scriptio plena*, being of a later date than שִׁלָּה, שִׁלְוִי, is only found a few times. It is worthy of notice that the *scriptio plena* is not found on the Moabite stone, nor do the Versions have it in שִׁלָּה.

*גול or גיל. Further, if שילה could possibly be derived from שלה, 'שלה' is not a full and significant word like שלם (Zech. 9, 10); at the most it denotes *mere* rest (Ps. 122, 6. 7), and is often associated with the idea of careless worldly ease (e. g. Job 12, 6. Ez. 16, 49). So the rendering, '*peaceful one*,' or '*peace-bringer*,' can hardly be got out of the root שלה. Further, there is no allusion in any other part of the O. T. to *Shiloh* as a personal name. Del. and Di. adopt the rendering given in the translation of vers. 8-12, above, arguing that the philological difficulty just mentioned, the absence of any allusion in subsequent parts of the O. T. to *Shiloh* as a personal name, and the fact that שילה everywhere else in the O. T. is the name of a place, favour the rendering, '*until he come to Shiloh*;' cf. 1 Sam. 4, 12 ויבא שלה '*he came to Shiloh*.' They then, following the course of history, suppose that the prophecy was fulfilled in Josh. 18, 1, where the settlement of the land is described, pointing out that at an early date pre-eminence was assigned to Judah,—e. g. Num. 10, 14, the tribe marched first in the wilderness; Judg. 1, 2, advanced first to battle (cf. Judg. 20, 18); Josh. 15, was the first to receive its share when the land was divided,—and urge that the arrival of the Israelites at *Shiloh* was really a turning-point in their history,—the period of wandering was ended, the period of rest began,—a turning-point of sufficient importance to be noticed in the blessing; cf. Josh. 21, 42. 22, 4. The position Judah had gained was in subsequent years confirmed; the 'obedience of the peoples' was realised in the victories of David (2 Sam. 8), while it also included the ideal relation of Israel to the heathen, which is more distinctly spoken of by the prophets. The Messianic idea is thus not excluded in this view, though it cannot be attached to the word *Shiloh*. This view is also adopted by Herder (*Vom*

Geist der Hebr. Poesie, ii. 6); Ewald, *Jahrbücher*, ii. 51; *Hist.*, ii. 283 f. (Eng. trans.), and others. It is objected to by Schultz (*Alttest. Theologie*, 1878, pp. 668-672), Cheyne (*Isaiah*, vol. ii [eds. 1, 2], Essay iv), and by Professor Driver, who points out that Judah is represented as possessing not only supremacy, but royalty; for שֹׁבֵט standing in ver. 10 alone, without any qualification, suggests rather a *sceptre* than a 'commander's staff' (in Judg. 5, 14 שֹׁבֵט סֵפֶר may = 'a commander's staff'; cf. הַסֵּפֶר in 2 Kings 25, 19, but here שֹׁבֵט has no such qualification). The מַחֲקֵק מִבֵּיִן רְגֵלָיו represents rather a king sitting on his throne than a commander on active service, and the view that Judah will have not only supremacy, but royalty, is confirmed by a comparison of 8 b with 37, 7. Judah, too, enjoyed no royal power till long after Josh. 18, the passages in Num. and Josh. attributing only supremacy, not royalty, to him; and if שֹׁבֵט can bear the meaning assigned to it by Di. and Del., the context contains indications that the picture is one of royalty, and not mere supremacy; see further, Driver, l. c.

As Professor Driver has shewn in his two articles already referred to, the word שִׁלֹה is first connected with the Messiah in a passage in the Talmud, *Sanh.* 98 b, where the pupils of Rabbi Shila compliment their master by connecting his name with a title of the Messiah, calling him 'Shiloh,' on the ground of the present passage. The versions, as will be seen, have not interpreted it in this way, and it is doubtful whether the rendering, 'until Shiloh come,' appears at all before the sixteenth century. The LXX render the verse, *Ὀὐκ ἐκλείψει ἄρχων ἐξ Ἰούδα, καὶ ἡγούμενος ἐκ τῶν μηρῶν αὐτοῦ, ἕως ἂν ἔλθῃ τὰ ἀποκείμενα αὐτῷ· καὶ αὐτὸς προσδοκία ἔθνων.* Variants are *ἄποκειται*; so Ignatius, Irenaeus, Tertullian, Leo, Ambrosius, and Theodoret; see Lagarde, *Gen. Græce*, p. 203: ὁ

ἀπόκειται αὐτῷ and ὁ ἀπόκειται, see *Journ. Phil.*, l. c., p. 4. The last two variants are unimportant. τὰ ἀποκείμενα αὐτῷ is a paraphrastic rendering, which takes שְׁלָה as = שְׁלָה, i. e. לוֹ אֲשֶׁר לוֹ (see 2 Kings 6, 11. Song of Songs 1, 7; and cf. the note on 6, 3). ἐὰν ἔλθῃ ὃ ἀπόκειται, this rendering is not a faithful reproduction of the Heb., as it supplies the subject ('until he comes, whose [it is]'), which is wanting in the Hebrew. ἐκ τῶν μηρῶν αὐτοῦ = מבין רגליו; cf. Deut. 28, 57 מבין רגליה : LXX διὰ τῶν μηρῶν αὐτῆς. προσδοκία for יקחה seems to connect it with קָהָה, תִּקְוָה. Pesh. has **لَا تَحْبُ مَحْبِلًا مَعَهُ يَوْمَئِذٍ أَوْ مَحْبِلًا مَعَهُ حِينَئِذٍ** 'The sceptre (שֵׁבֶט) shall not depart from Judah, nor an interpreter from between his feet, until he come whose it is, and him the nations expect.' **مَحْبِلًا** = 'an interpreter,' 'announcer.' The Pesh. in Deut. 33, 21. Judg. 5, 14. Is. 33, 22 uses the same word again for מַחֲקֵה. Possibly this is a free translation on the part of the Syriac Vers.; in the two passages in the Psalms (60, 9. 108, 9) where מַחֲקֵה occurs, the Pesh. gives **مَلِكِي** 'my king.' The מַחֲקֵה in both the Psalms is Judah. **מִי וְיָמֵה**, the Syriac renders שְׁלָה, like the LXX, = שְׁלָה. In the present text the Pesh. has nothing to explain the fem. **מִי**. Possibly the original form of the text has been preserved by Aphraates (330–350 A. D.), who gives **مَلِكِي**, = 'kingdom,' after **מִי**. This version also connects יקחה with קָהָה, תִּקְוָה in its rendering **بَهْفَقْ**. Onq. has **לֹא יַעֲדִי עֲבִיד לִי שׁוֹלְטֵן מַדְבִּית יְהוּדָה וְסַפְרָא מַבְנֵי בְנוֹהֵי עַד עַלְמָא עַד דְּיִיתִי מְשִׁיחָא** 'A ruler (lit. one exercising authority) shall not depart from those of the house of Judah, nor a scribe from among his sons' sons for ever, until Messiah comes, whose is the kingdom, and him the peoples shall obey.' Onq. takes שֵׁבֶט as 'ruler,' and מַחֲקֵה as 'scribe,' מבין רגליו is interpreted similarly to the LXX, 'from his

descendants,' 'for ever,' and 'Messiah' are insertions, and שלה is taken as שְׁלָה, following the construction of $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$ ἀπόκειται, 'kingdom' being inserted after it. For traces of a various reading in Onq., see Berliner, *Targum Onk.*, ii. p. 18. The Targ. Jerus. is substantially the same as Onqelos; but the Targ. Ps.-Jon. takes שלה as מְלִכָּא מְשִׁיחָא זְעִיר בְּנוּהֵי = 'King Messiah, his youngest son,' שְׁלִיחָה, שִׁלְיָה, being connected with שִׁלְיָה, Deut. 28, 57, where Onq. has זְעִיר בְּנֵהָא 'her youngest son,' and Rashi בְּנִים הַקְטָנִים. This interpretation afterwards found considerable favour, and is perhaps embodied in the Massoretic punctuation שְׁלִיָּה (= 'his son'). The Old Latin has 'donec veniant quae reposita sunt ei,' with the variants 'donec veniat cui repositum est' (or 'cui reposita sunt'); cf. the LXX translations. The Vulgate has 'donec veniat qui mittendus est,' reading שלה as though it were שְׁלִיָּה. The Sam. Vers. has רגליו רגליו for רגליו 'his ranks.' The Heb.-Sam. has מבין רגליו 'from between his banners,' רגל for רגל. It retains the word שִׁלְיָה, and renders מחקק 'leader' with the LXX (ἡγούμενος) and Vulg. ('dux').

Thus it will be seen that most of the versions took שלה as שְׁלָה, which would be a poetical equivalent of אֲשֶׁר לוֹ (see above, on the LXX translation); the sentence being then rendered, (1) 'until there come that which (or he that) is his,' or (2) 'until there come he to whom (or he whose) is.' In the second case the sentence is without a subject, and requires some word, e. g. הוא or יהיה, referring back to שְׁבַט, or some expression denoting 'dominion;' cf. the renderings of Onq. and (possibly) the Pesh. The suffix הֵ for וֹ does not occur with ל elsewhere; but בַּהּ is only found once (Jer. 17, 24), and we have סוּתָהּ and עִירָהּ in ver. 11. Possibly Ez. 21, 32 עַד בָּא אֲשֶׁר לוֹ הַמִּשְׁפָּט may be a reference to this passage; if so, it favours the punctuation adopted by most of the Vss. As

may be seen from the extracts given by Professor Driver, the rendering of the Targ. Ps.-Jon. (*his son*) is adopted by Yepheth Ben Ali (c. 950-990), Abulwalid (11th cent.), David Kimchi (d. 1235), etc. If שׂוֹל means 'son' in this verse, it is the only passage in the O. T. where the word occurs. The verse was interpreted in ancient times, by both Christian and Jewish writers, as Messianic; but this Messianic idea was derived, not from the word שׂוֹל, but from the context of the verse, especially from the promise of supremacy and success which is held out to Judah.

Other renderings of the passage that have been proposed are: (1) 'So long as one comes (=people come) to Shiloh,' i. e. as long as the worship at Shiloh is continued shall Judah retain his supremacy, i. e. for ever; so Tuch and others, comparing the use of עַד שֶׁ in Song of Songs 1, 12 = 'as long as.' (2) Reading לָהּ (וּ)שׂוֹל (ם) or עַד כִּי יבֹא (וּ)שׂוֹל (ת). This is the reading suggested by Prof. Cheyne (*Isaiah*, ii. Essay iv), who thinks that the LXX rendering presupposes a fuller text than שׂוֹל. The rendering with this reading would be, 'for whom it (the dominion) is appointed.' Cf. Judg. 5, 14 מְנִי מַלְאָק בְּעַמְלֵק אֶפְרַיִם שְׂרֵשֶׁם בְּעַמְלֵק 'out of Ephraim [came down] they whose root is in A.' (3) 'Till he come to that which is his,' or 'his own;' cf. Deut. 33, 7, the rendering adopted by C. von Orelli, *O. T. Prophecy*, § 15; see further, Di., Del., and Tuch in their commentaries, Professor Driver, l. c., and the various authorities cited by them¹.

שָׂוֹל. In the rendering adopted by Del., Di., and others, שָׂוֹל means 'the leader's' or 'commander's staff.' In Judg. 5,

¹ See also the two articles by the Dean of Peterborough in *The Churchman*, Oct. and Dec., 1886, who, after pointing out the difficulties of the rendering 'till Shiloh come,' adopts the rendering of Kurtz and Oehler, *Theology of the O. T.*, § 229, 'until he come to rest or tranquillity.'

14 it certainly has this meaning, but in that passage it is qualified by ספר. Di. remarks that the term שבט is not exclusively applied to a king, and points out that it is used here, as מחקק in Ps. 60, 9. Num. 21, 18, of the leader's or chief's staff. מחקק, Di. and Del. 'leader's staff;' cf. מחקק in Num. 21, 18. Ps. 60, 9 (Del.). If the view, defended by Professor Driver, be adopted, as שבט must then mean 'sceptre,' מחקק must in the parallel clause = 'ruler's staff.' The Syriac has **ܡܚܩܩ**, which perhaps favours the rendering 'law-giver,' and which could be applied to a 'leader' or 'ruler;' LXX ἡγούμενος; Onq., Targ. Ps.-Jon. (of actual 'scribes' [teachers of law]), Jer. Targ. 'scribe;' Vulg. 'dux;' Sam. Ver. **שׁבֹטֵי** = 'leader;' all (excepting perhaps the Targums) renderings that could be used of a commander or a king. The meaning of מחקק must be similar to that assigned to שבט, whether שבט be rendered 'sceptre' or 'leader's staff,' as the two portions of the verse are parallel.

מבין רגליו. 'From between his feet,' the picture representing the leader with his staff of office between his feet (Di., who compares the figures on the old Persian and Assyrian monuments), or the king on his throne, with the sceptre between his feet. The meaning, 'from among his descendants,' is favoured by the LXX, Onq., Targg. Ps.-Jon., Jer., Vulg. ('de femore ejus'), but depends on a comparison with Deut. 28, 57, and is unsuitable here. Tuch renders **רַגְלָיו** as the plural of רגלי a 'foot soldier;' cf. the Heb.-Sam. text, and the Sam. Vers. referred to above, a meaning which would suit the word if the picture is that of a military commander. Di. condemns this rendering as devoid of taste and ungrammatical, as **רַגְלָיו** cannot stand for **רַגְלָיוּ**; cf. Böttcher, *Heb. Gram.*, § 827. Di. also rejects the Heb.-Sam. rendering, 'banners,' as incompatible with the מחקק.

עד כי יבא. Cf. 26, 13 עד כי גדל; 41, 49 עד כי חדל; 2 Sam. 23, 10 עד כי יגעה ידו; and עד אשר in 27, 44.

ולו יקחת עמים. וְלוֹ יִקְחֶת with the *dag. forte dirimens*; see Ges., § 20. 2 b; Dav., § 7. 4. note; Stade, § 138 a; so עָנְבֵי, Deut. 32, 32; קִשְׁתָּהָיו, Is. 5, 28; תִּלְקִי-נַחַל, Is. 57, 6.

יקחת. The meaning 'obedience,' which is also adopted by Onq. (see above), agrees with Prov. 30, 17 (where it also has *dag. dirimens*), the only other passage where the word occurs, and is corroborated by the Arabic وَبَعَّ 'to obey.' The A.V. renders, 'gathering of the people' (but A.V.R. 'obedience'), following Aq. (σύσθημα), Tauchuma (9th cent.), Rashi (אֲסִיפַת הָעַמִּים). The Sam. Vers., Heb.-Sam., and Saadiah render יקחת similarly, possibly connecting the word with מִקְוֶה, נִקְוֶה.

11. אסרי לגפן עירה. אסרי, the construct state with the binding vowel אֲ, so אֲסִי; cf. 31, 39 and the note on that passage. On the cstr. state before a prep., see Ges., § 116. 1; M. R., § 73. Rem. a; so (with the archaic connecting vowel אֲ) Is. 22, 16 הִקְקִי בַפֶּלַע; Obad. 3 שִׁבְנֵי בַחֲגִי-סֶלַע; Mic. 7, 14 הִיִּשְׁבֵי בַשָּׁמַיִם; Ps. 123, 1 שִׁבְנֵי לְבָבִי.

עירה. On the archaic orthography ה (for ו), see the note on 9, 21. עִירָה is for עִירָה (the abs. state is עִיר); cf. בֵּיתוֹ, בֵּיתָהּ, לֵיל, and לַיִל; so נְשִׂית in Is. 10, 17 makes נְשִׂיתוֹ, not נְשִׂיתוֹ; see Stade, § 100; Ewald, § 255 b, who also cites דִּישוֹ, Deut. 25, 4, as though from דִּישׁ; but דִּישוֹ may be inf. cstr. of דִּישׁ. Onq. and the Sam. Vers. and Heb.-Sam. take עירו as = 'his city!'

שרקה. 'The *sorek vine*,' so called from the red colour (سُقْرَة) of the grapes. Both the grapes and the wine were of a specially choice kind. In the territory of Judah the vine flourished; cf. Joel 1, 7 ff. 4, 18. 2 Chron. 26, 10, and Num. 13, 23 f., where the vineyards near Hebron, and Song of Songs 1, 14, where those of En-gedi, are mentioned.

כבס. Cf. the use of רחין in Job 29, 6. Di. considers this a continuation of the part. אסרי; cf. Ges., § 134. 2. Rem. 2; Driver, § 117. In this construction the second verb is usually connected with the part. by waw conv., or simple waw and the perfect, the perfect being separated from the waw by some word or words; cf. ver. 17 ויפל . . . הנשך. It seems more natural to disconnect כבס and אסרי, following the accents, and to render as above.

סוטה. This word only occurs in this passage; on the suffix ה, see on עירה. The Heb.-Sam. reads כסותו, which is possibly the correct reading. But as there seems to be no authority for the elision of the כ (תו for תו is not parallel), it will be better to derive the word from a root סוה 'to envelop,' 'wrap up,' סוה being contracted for סוה; cf. the noun מסוה, which comes from the same verb סוה. סוה may = the Arab. وَوَى (the ס in Heb. corresponding exceptionally to an Arabic ; = *abdidit celavit*, conj. VII, *abdidit se*).

12. **חכלילי עינים.** The י is not the binding vowel, as in אסרי, בני, but חכלילי is an adj. from חכל (see Ewald, § 164 a), with a repetition of the last two letters of the root (see Stade, § 149). (Del. compares שַׁעֲרֵרִי, but this word does not actually occur, though the fem. form שַׁעֲרֵרִיָּה, Hos. 6, 10, is found in the Ktb., and might presuppose a masc. שַׁעֲרֵרִי.) The adjectival ending י- is common, e. g. פְּלִילִי, נְכָרִי, פְּרִזִי, רְגִלִי. The root חכל (which, however, is not found) corresponds (apparently) with חַכַּל 'to be obscure and doubtful.' Del. on Ps. 10, 8 compares also the Arab. حَلَّكَ 'to be jet black.'

חכלילי עינים. Cf. Prov. 23, 29 למי חכלילות עינים. The construction is the same as in יפת תאר, 29, 17; see on 12, 11.

וּלְבָן-שָׁנִים. לְבָן cstr. state of לָבַן, from an abs. state לָבַן; so חֲלָב cstr. state of חָלַב, from an abs. state חָלַב, which

with Maqqef would be הָלָב; so אֲבָל, Ps. 35, 14, from אָבַל; see Stade, § 202 a; Ges., § 93. 2. Rem. 1. With this verse, cf. Joel 4, 18. Amos 9, 13. The pasture lands of Judah were celebrated; see 1 Sam. 25, 2. Amos 1, 1. 2 Chron. 26, 10.

13. *'Zebulon—on the shore of the sea shall he dwell:
And he himself shall be on a shore of ships,
With his border by Sidon.'*

There is possibly an allusion here to the meaning of the name Zebulon ('dweller') given in 30, 20.

לְחֹף יָמִים יִשְׁכֵּן. In Judg. 5, 17 Asher is spoken of thus, יֵשֵׁב לְחֹף יָמִים, and in Deut. 33, 19 Zebulon and Issachar '*suck the abundance of the seas*' (שָׁפַע יָמִים יִינְקוּ). Zebulon's territory did not in reality lie on the seashore, so perhaps we ought to render עַל צִ' with Del. '*towards Sidon,*' i. e. his border lay in the direction of Sidon, but was not actually on the seashore, only towards the coast district. The Sam. Ver., Heb.-Sam., LXX, Syr., Vulg., and apparently Onq. (מְטִי עַד) read עַד = '*up to S.,*' which would express the meaning '*in the direction of*' more clearly than עַל. According to Jos. (*Ant.*, v. 1. 22; *Bel. Jud.*, iii. 3. 1) Zebulon inhabited the district from the lake of Gennesareth to Mount Carmel on the Mediterranean, and in support of this Tuch compares Josh. 19, 11 with Matt. 4, 13.

וְהוּא לְחֹף אָנִית. On the rendering '*he himself,*' see Ewald, § 314 b (וְהוּא added in a new proposition, with special force, as the subject). Cf. 2 Sam. 17, 10, where further emphasis is produced by the addition of גַּם.

- 14, 15. *'Issachar is a strong ass,
Lying down between the sheep-folds:
And he saw a resting-place, that it was good,*

*And the land, that it was pleasant;
So he bowed his back to bear,
And became a servant in bondage.'*

14. חמר גרם, lit. 'an ass of bone,' i. e. a strongly built, powerful ass; so Aq. ὄνος ὀστώδης, Vulg. 'asinus fortis.' The Sam. Vers. has אַרְצֵי אֲרָצִים, reading גְּרָמִים ('sojourners'), which Geiger defends as the correct reading (*Urschrift*, p. 360), Issachar being 'an ass of strangers,' i. e. bearing the burdens of strangers, and subject to them. But Del. points out that this rendering destroys the force of the figurative expression חמר, and some other word, such as זרים or נכרים, would be expected rather than גְּרָמִים. The Heb.-Sam. has גרם, which Tuch punctuates גְּרָמִים = the Arab. جَرِيمٌ 'bony.' The LXX have τὸ καλὸν ἐπεθύμησεν, which presupposes some such reading as חמר גְּרָמִים (Geiger); see Ps. 119, 20, LXX.

המשפתיים. This word is only found once again, Judg. 5, 16, though we find שְׂפָתַיִם in Ps. 68, 14 = 'sheep-folds.' משפתיים is probably from שפתי = 'to fix.' Ewald, § 180 a, explains the word as = 'double pen,' with reference to the cattle being usually separated into two portions in the pen; while Stade, § 340 b, classes the word with those that denote 'instruments or other things consisting of two parts belonging to one another, or standing in pairs, one opposite to the other; so חמתים;' see also Ges., *Thes.*, 1471 f. The word in this verse, and Judg. 5, 16, is used as a proverbial expression for the easy life of the agriculturist. Onq. renders בֵּין תְּחִימָיִם 'between the boundaries,' so Vulg. 'inter terminos,' while the LXX have ἀνὰ μέσον τῶν κλήρων (but in Judg. ἀνὰ μέσον τῆς διγομίας), and the Syr. دَمَا مَجَلَا 'between the paths.'

15. מנחה, either 'rest' or 'a resting-place,' as the word occurs with both meanings.

טוב must be taken as a neuter subs.= 'a good thing.' The Heb.-Sam. has טובה (fem. adj.), which perhaps suits the parallelism better (נְעֻמָּה).

ויהי למס עבד, lit. 'and was (reduced) to the forced service of a labourer.' The phrase היה למס עבד recurs Josh. 16, 10; cf. 1 Kings 9, 21, and היה למס (without עבד) is found in Judg. 1, 30. 33. Is. 31, 8, both expressions always meaning the compulsory service rendered by slaves, prisoners, or conquered nations. Del. points out that Issachar is not a פָּרָא, i. e. 'a wild ass' wandering about at will, but a חֲמוֹר, i. e. 'a beast of burden,' and sees in the last clause of the verse an allusion to the meaning of the name יששכר (= ישא שכר or יש שכר; cf. 30, 16. 18). Issachar, though strong and active, prefers a life of ease and indolence, sinking even into the condition of bond-slave. Cf. Judg. 5, 16, where Reuben is reproached in similar language for his inactivity and aversion from active exertion. The LXX render the words ויהי למס עבד καὶ ἐγενήθη ἀνήρ γεωργός, an attempt to do away with the reproach contained in the verse, and Onq. completely changes the meaning of the last clause of the verse in his paraphrase, 'He will subdue the provinces of the peoples, destroy their inhabitants, and those who are left among them shall be servants unto him, and bringers of tribute;' cf. Geiger, l. c., p. 360.

16, 17. 'Dan shall judge his people,
As one of the tribes of Israel.
Let Dan be a serpent in the way,
A horned adder in the path:
That biteth the horse's heels,
So that his rider falleth backwards.'

16. Dan, though a tribe by no means powerful, and possessing only a small territory, will maintain the cause of

Israel, in its conflicts with the heathen nations, as valiantly as the other tribes; cf. Judg. 13-16.

דן ידין. Notice the play upon the name דן in the choice of the verb ידין.

ידין = 'will judge,' i. e. plead the cause of, render help to; דן always has this meaning, cf. 30, 6. Deut. 32, 36. Jer. 22, 16, and not the meaning 'rule' or 'govern.'

עמו = the people of Israel, as in Deut. 33, 7. Others (Vatablus, Rosenmüller, etc.) understand עמו as the people belonging to the tribe of Dan, and explain the verse as meaning the small tribe of Dan will have its own administration and its own jurisdiction; or Dan, though a small tribe, will maintain its own independence (Tuch, Wellh.). But both these views take ידין = 'will rule' or 'govern,' a meaning which, as above remarked, דן does not have.

17. עלי דרך, so עלי ארץ, עלי עלי being the poetical form for על; cf. אלי, poetical for אל (but only in the book of Job); ערי, poetical for ער.

שפיפן, a ἀπαξ λεγόμεν; perhaps the ending -ון is diminutive in this word (see Stade, § 296 c), the word being probably from the root שפף = 'to crawl.' שפיפן is the horned adder, a small and very dangerous species of snake, of a bluish yellow or sand colour. Hieronymus renders it by 'regulus' in his *Quaest.*, ed. Lagarde, p. 69; the Syriac ܫܦܝܦܢ = 'a basilisk,' the Vulg. 'cerastes,' Onq. פִּיתָא = 'an adder.' The LXX, not understanding the word שפיפן, render it, in harmony with the context, ἐγκαθήμενος. In Arabic سَفَّ = 'a serpent with black and white spots.' In Deut. 33, 22 Dan is compared with 'a lion's whelp that springeth out of Bashan.'

עקבי, dag. dirimens, see on יקרת, ver. 10.

ל . . . הנשך. Cf. the note on 27, 33.

The meaning of the verse is, Dan, like the serpent lurking in the path, attacks his foes, not in open fight, but with stratagem; cf. Judg. 18, 27, and the history of Samson.

18. *'For thy help I wait, O Yahweh.'*

This verse breaks the connection of the poem, hence it is regarded by some (Maurer, Olshausen, etc.) as an interpolation; but if this is the case it must have been added at a later date by the redactor of the book, as a protest against Dan's idolatrous devices. It is found in all the Vss. Tuch explains it as 'an exclamation from the patriarch Jacob, who is exhausted and nerving himself for another effort before his death.' Kn., whom Di. follows, says, 'The patriarch here speaks in prayer, in the name of his descendants, who must, in the wars with the nations, e.g. the Philistines, put their trust in Yahweh, and look for His assistance.' So the Targg. Ps.-Jon. and Jer., Wright, Del., and Driver.

19. *'Gad—a troop shall press upon him,
Yet he shall press upon their heel.'*

Gad, though exposed to the attacks of his foes (cf. Josh. 13, 25. Judg. 11, 15), and probably often engaged in border warfare with marauding bands (cf. 2 Kings 5, 2. 6, 23), successfully defends himself and puts his enemies to flight (cf. Judg. 10 f. 12. 1 Chron. 5, 18 ff. 12, 8 f.); cf. Deut. 33, 20. 21. The name גר is here connected by the writer with גור 'to assail,' and גדור = 'a marauding band' (see 2 Kings, l. c.), in the sense 'assailer' or 'attacker.' In 30, 11 another explanation of the name is suggested.

גר גדור יגורנו. Cf. Hab. 3, 16 לעלות לעם יגורנו.

עקב, the rendering given above, follows the reading עֶקֶב, which is adopted by Bleek, Knobel, Ols., Wright, and others, the ם of מאשר being taken away and appended to עקב. The LXX, Syriac, Vulg., and Saad. in a measure support this

reading, as they do not translate the commencement of the next verse מאִשֶׁר, but אִשֶׁר. עֶקֶב by itself, as Di. remarks, is sufficient, but, as Del. points out, with the rendering '*their heel*' (A.V. R. margin), 19^b and 20^a alike gain in clearness. All the other 'blessings,' except Joseph's in ver. 22, begin with the name of the person blessed, without any preceding word.

The rendering '*heel*' is more forcible than '*rearguard*.' Gad is depicted as pressing hotly on his foes, almost on the heels of the retreating enemy. עֶקֶב cannot = אַחֲרָיִם '*backwards*' (Vulg. '*retrosum*'), nor '*at the last*,' A.V. The A.V. R. renders correctly, '*upon their heel*.'

20. 'Asher—fat is his bread,
And he shall yield kingly dainties.'

This rendering follows the reading אִשֶׁר, the pr. name being a *casus penderis*, like גַּר in ver. 19; cf. Deut. 32, 4. Ps. 111, 4, etc.

If the reading מֵאִשֶׁר be adopted (so the Sam. Ver.), the rendering will be either, '*From Asher (comes) fat—his bread*,' so Tuch; cf. שֶׁמֶנִּים, Is. 25, 6; or, '*For Asher—his bread is too fat*,' Ewald. With the first rendering, מֵאִשֶׁר = מֵאִשֶׁרִּין א', which is perhaps not quite suitable to the context, and שֶׁמֶנֶה fem. is not found as a noun; with the second, לֶחֶמוֹ must be taken as feminine; see Bött., § 657, who distinguishes between לֶחֶם = '*bread*,' masc., and לֶחֶם = '*abundance of bread*' (Brotfülle), fem. The Sam. Vers., according to one reading, has שֶׁמֶן masc.

מֵעֲדָנֵי מֶלֶךְ. '*Dainties fit for kings*.' Cf. לֶחֶם אֲבִירִים, Ps. 78, 25. It is not necessary to think of a king of Israel in the term מֶלֶךְ.

The fertility and productiveness of Asher are again alluded

to in Deut. 33, 24 f. Di. suggests that as the Phoenicians procured all sorts of country produce from the Hebrews (Ez. 27, 17. Acts 12, 20; Jos., *Antiq.*, xiv. 10. 6), Asher, from his geographical position, would participate largely in this traffic.

21. 'Naphtali is a hind at large,
He that utters goodly words.'

אֵילָה שְׁלַחָה = 'a hind let loose,' so A.V. and A.V. R.; Aq. ἔλαφος ἀπεσταλμένος, Vulg. 'cervus emissus.' The Syriac paraphrases, but with the same meaning, ܐܝܠܗܐ ܫܠܚܐ 'a swift messenger;' cf. Job 39, 5 "מִי שֶׁלַח פָּרָא חַפְּשֵׁי וְגו'" Del., Tuch, and others take שְׁלַחָה in the sense 'stretched out,' so 'graceful,' but there seems to be no authority for this rendering in the case of living beings. Knobel, comparing Is. 16, 2 (קֶזַח מִשְׁלַחָה), renders, 'a scared hind,' but this thought is very unsuitable, and quite out of harmony with the context. The allusion in this part of the verse is probably to the swiftness of the heroes and men of the tribe of Naphtali (cf. for the expression, Ps. 18, 34. Hab. 3, 19. Is. 35, 6; also 2 Sam. 2, 18. Song of Songs 2, 9). The Syriac seems to interpret the first half of the verse as meaning that Naphtali is specially adapted for the duties of a messenger, while Christian writers see an allusion in שְׁלַחָה to the apostles (Syriac ܡܚܝܣܐ)!

הַנְּתַן אִמְרֵי שֶׁר. The allusion here is to the poets of the tribe, Barak, however, being the only one of whom we hear anything (Judg. 5, 1). הַנְּתַן naturally refers to נַפְתָּלִי, and not to אֵילָה, which is fem.

The reading of the LXX, Νεφθαλί στέλεχος ἀνειμένον ἐπιδοῦς ἐν τῷ γεννήματι κάλλος, seems to rest on some such reading as נַפְתָּלִי אֵילָה שְׁלַחָה הַנְּתַן אִמְרֵי-שֶׁר, i. e. אֵילָה =

'*terebinth*,' for אֲזֵילָה, and אֲזַמִּירִי (cf. Is. 17, 6. 9) = '*topmost branches*,' for the poetical אֲזַמִּירִי. Di., Ewald, Ols., and others follow this reading, comparing for the epithet וְשִׁלַּחָה, Jer. 17, 8. Ez. 17, 6. Ps. 80, 12, and the noun שִׁלַּח '*shoot*,' '*blossom*,' Song of Songs 4, 13, and for the figure, ver. 22; the אֲזַמִּירִי are then the leaders produced by the tribe of Naphtali; cf. Judg. 4, 6. 5, 18. 6, 35. 7, 23. If this reading be adopted, the rendering would be '*Naphtali is a slender terebinth, that puts forth beautiful branches*.' Onq. has נִפְתָּלִי בְּאֶרֶץ טָבָא יִתְרַמִּי עֲדָבִיָּה וְאֶהְסֶנְתִּיָּה תְּהִי כְּעַבְדָּא פִּירִין יְהוֹן כּוּזֹן וּמְבָרְכֹן עֲלֵיהוֹן '*Naphtali, in a goodly land shall his lot be cast, and his possession shall be yielding fruits, they shall praise and bless over them*,' which apparently supports this reading.

22-26. '*A son of a fruit tree is Joseph,
 A son of a fruit tree by a fountain;
 His branches run over the wall.
 The archers harassed him,
 And shot at him, and lay in wait for him;
 But his bow remained firm,
 And the hands of his arms were strong:
 From the hands of the mighty One of Jacob,
 From thence, (from) the shepherd, the stone of Israel:
 From the God of thy fathers—so may he keep thee,
 And with the Almighty—so may he bless thee,
 With blessings of heaven above,
 Blessings of the deep, that lieth beneath,
 Blessings of the breasts and womb.
 The blessings of thy father have prevailed over the
 blessings of the ancient mountains,
 The desire of the eternal hills:
 May they be upon the head of Joseph,
 On the crown of the prince among his brethren.*'

22. בן פרת יוסף. 'Son of a fruit tree is J.,' i. e. 'a young fruit tree;' cf. בן, Ps. 80, 16. בֵּן—though elsewhere pointed בֶּן or בִּן, with Maqqef—must be taken as construct state, cf. נֶשֶׁם, cstr. state, 12, 8, and נֶשֶׁם, 16, 15, or we must read בֵּן with Di. The Massoretes may, as Del. suggests, have taken בֵּן as sing. abs. fem., pl. בנות, with the meaning 'branch,' and פרת as an adj. qualifying it = 'a fruitful branch.'

פרת with the archaic ending ת (see Ges., § 80. Rem. 2 b; Ewald, § 173 d), = the later פִּרְתָה, means 'a fruit tree;' cf. פִּרְתָה, Is. 17, 6 (of the olive tree); probably a vine, cf. גפן פריה in Is. 32, 12. Ez. 19, 10. Ps. 128, 3; so Onq., Tuch, Ewald, Di. The בנות are the branches of the vine that grow over the wall. Possibly there is an allusion here to the name צִפְרִים (perhaps 'double fruitfulness'), 41, 52.

עלי עין. The moisture would promote the growth of the vine; cf. Ps. 1, 3. Jer. 17, 8.

בנות צעדה. On the construction of the plural, where inanimate objects are spoken of, with the sing. fem. expressing (as in Arabic) the *collective*, see Ges., § 146. 3; Ewald, § 317 a; cf. Joel 1, 20 תערוג שרה בהמות שרה; Zech. 6, 14 והעמרת תהיה לחלם, and the construction, common in Greek, of the neuter pl. with a sing. verb, as τὰ θηρία ἀναβλέπει. Ewald reads the text here בנות צעדה 'daughters of ascent,' but this alteration is unnecessary. Wright takes the verse quite differently. פרת = 'a heifer,' 'a hind,' as antelopes are called by the Arabs بَقَرُ الْوَحْشِ 'wild oxen;' the בנות are then the hinds that accompany the stag, and שור = 'an ambushade' (see Ges. in *Thes.* s. v.) made by the huntsmen near the pool where the deer come to drink. But even if פרה can = 'hind,' and שור 'ambuscade' (Ps. 92, 12 is not

conclusive, as שׂוּר = rather 'lier in wait' than 'an ambuscade,' and שׂוּר in every other passage means 'wall'), as Naphtali has already been compared to a hind, ver. 21, we should hardly expect the same comparison in this verse in the case of Joseph. The Vss. appear to have entirely misunderstood the verse.

23. וימררהו = 'they embittered or irritated him.'

רָבּוּ with pretonic qameç, as in תהו ובהו, 1, 2; see the note there. רָבּוּ is from רבב, with the intransitive punctuation (see Stade, § 385 b. 2; Ges., § 67. Rem. 1), meaning 'to shoot;' so Ps. 18, 15 וברקים רב ויהמם; related to רבה and רמה; cf. רָבּוּ, Job 16, 13. Jer. 50, 29. The LXX, Sam., Onq., and Vulg. seem to have read ויריבו, but בעלי חיים does not suit this.

בעלי חיים. Cf. בעל החלמות, 37, 19; בעלי בריה, 14, 13, and the note on that passage. Compare with this verse the narrative in Judg. 6 ff. 1 Chron. 5, 18 f., of the hostility shewn to Ephraim and Manasseh by the neighbouring Arab tribes; and Josh. 17, 16 f., where the children of Joseph are commanded to drive out the Canaanites from the territory Joshua assigns them.

24. ותשב באיתן קשתו. 'Yet his bow remained in firmness.' On the adversative force of the waw conv., see Driver, § 74 β; Ewald, § 231 b; so in 19, 9. 32, 31.

באיתן. Del. explains איתן as a subst. = בְּמִקוֹם אֵיתָן; Ewald, § 299 b (cf. § 172 b), considers that the adj. here must be taken as neuter, 'in or with firmness,' and the ב conceived as forming the predicate, comparing Ex. 32, 22 ברע הוא. The form איתן, cf. אכזר, אכזב, in Hebrew corresponds to the Arabic formation for adjectives أَفْعَلٌ, with

the signification of our comparative and superlative, and so called 'the noun of pre-eminence' or 'relative.' In Hebrew the forms have lost their original significance and are used as simple adjectives; see Wright, *Arab. Gram.*, i. p. 159; Ewald, § 162 b.

The LXX have *καὶ συνετρίβη μετὰ κράτους τὰ τόξα αὐτῶν*, reading *וַיִּחַזְקֵם*, and the Syriac *ܘܦܢܝܗܘܢ ܕܩܘܪܒܘܗܘܢ ܕܥܘܠܘܗܘܢ* 'his bow turned in strength,' reading *וַיִּחַזְקֵם*; so apparently Onqelos.

וַיִּפְּוּ. *פּוּ* means 'to be nimble,' 'pliant;' cf. the Arabic *فَرَّ* 'to be nimble.' The root only occurs once again in the Pi'el in 2 Sam. 6, 16 *מפוז ומברכר* 'skipping and dancing' (cf. Ges. in *Theo.* s. v.). The LXX have *καὶ ἐξελύθη*, Syr. *ܘܢܦܨܘܢܐ*, Vulg. 'dissoluta sunt [vincula],' reading perhaps *וַיִּפְּוּ*, from *פּוּ*.

מִיַּד אַבִּיר יַעֲקֹב, i. e. Joseph's strength comes from the hands of the mighty One of Jacob, which support him. *אַבִּיר יַעֲקֹב*, cf. Is. 1, 24 (*אַבִּיר יִשְׂרָאֵל*). 49, 26. Ps. 132, 2. 5, where the phrase is borrowed from this passage.

"*מִשָּׁם רַעָה וְגו'*. In the rendering given above, which seems relatively the best in this difficult clause, *רַעָה* is taken as explaining *מִשָּׁם*, which probably means 'from heaven,' cf. Eccl. 3, 17 (?), and " *אַבְּן יִשׁ*" is a second name for God, in apposition to *רַעָה*. For the term *רַעָה* applied to God, cf. 48, 15. Ps. 23, 1. 80, 2. *אַבְּן* must be taken as equivalent to the common title of God *צוּר* (Ps. 18, 32. 1 Sam. 2, 2. Deut. 32, 4. Is. 30, 29); *אַבְּן*, however, never has this meaning anywhere else. Another rendering which is possible is that adopted by Tuch (cf. Ewald, § 332 d), 'whence is the Shepherd the Stone of Israel:' *מִשָּׁם* = 'inde ubi;' cf. *מֵאֵן* = 'ex quo tempore,' Ps. 76, 8; cf. the Vulgate, 'inde pastor egressus est

lapis' etc. Ewald renders similarly, but reads רעה אֶבֶן יִשְׂרָאֵל ('*Shepherd of the Stone of Israel*'), the allusion being to 28, 18 f. 22, a reading Di. approves of, as רעה without the art. or אֶבֶן יִשְׂרָאֵל following is awkward, and God is never elsewhere called אֶבֶן. Rosenmüller renders, '*From that time he (Joseph) was the shepherd and stone of Israel;*' cf. מִשָּׁם in Hos. 2, 17 (Heb.); A.V. R. renders, '*From thence is the Shepherd, the Stone*' etc., which may be explained as meaning, '*From thence,*' i. e. from God, Joseph became a guardian and defence of his people, viz. in Egypt. The Pesh. and Onq. (apparently) read מִשָּׁם, instead of מִשָּׁם; cf. Ps. 20, 2, but this, though removing the awkward expression מִשָּׁם, does not stand very appropriately in parallelism with מִיָּדִי. In all probability the text, as it stands at present, is corrupt.

25. מֵאֵל אֲבִיךָ. The מֵן continues the thought contained in ver. 24, connecting ver. 25 with the preceding verse; but in this verse two blessings are inserted. 'The same God, who has hitherto helped him, will also give him the following blessings,' Di. מֵאֵל אֲבִיךָ, cf. 31, 5. 42. 48, 15. Ex. 15, 2. 18, 4.

וַיַּעֲזֶרְךָ = וַיַּעֲזֶרְךָ, the suffix being strengthened by the demonstrative nun. Render, '*So may He help thee;*' cf. Ex. 12, 3. 15, 2. Ps. 59, 13. The weak waw with the imperf. (voluntative) takes the place of the perf. with waw conv., after words standing alone, in language of an excited and impassioned character; see Ewald, § 347 a; Driver, § 125; so וַיְבַרְכֶךָ in the next clause.

וְאֵת שְׂרֵי. '*And with the Almighty,*' i. e. with the help of the Almighty. The Syr., Sam. Ver., and Heb.-Sam., and a few MSS. read וְאֵל, a reading which is perhaps supported

by the LXX¹, Vulg., Saadiah. Bleek, Hitzig, Tuch, Ewald, and Di. adopt this reading, as being more suitable, the shorter title **שְׂרֵי** being first used without **אֵל** at a later period of the language (it is very common in the book of Job). If this reading be preferred, the force of **מִן** in **אֲבִיךָ מֵאֵל** extends to **שְׂרֵי**; so Judg. 5, 9. Is. 15, 8. Hab. 3, 15; see Ewald, § 351 a.

"**בִּרְכַת שָׁמַיִם וְגו'**. **בִּרְכַת** is the acc. after **וַיְבָרַךְ** = 'may he bless the blessings of,' i. e. with the blessings of.

מֵעַל. Cf. 27, 39 **וּמֵטֵל הַשָּׁמַיִם מֵעַל**. The **בִּרְכַת שָׁמַיִם** are the dew, rain, sunshine; cf. 27, 28. 39. The **בִּרְכַת תְּהוֹם** are the springs, rivers, brooks, which are regarded as springing from the subterranean **תְּהוֹם**. The **בִּרְכַת שָׂדֵיִם וְרִחִים** are every kind of animal fruitfulness [contrast Hos. 9, 14 (Tuch)]. Compare Joseph's blessing in Deut. 33, 13 ff. with this verse, where the similarity in thought and language is most striking.

26. The translation given above follows the reading **הַיְרִי עַד**, or perhaps better **הַיְרִי עַד הַיְרִי**, as **הוֹר** only occurs as a proper name, and gives to **תְּאוֹוָה** its ordinary meaning 'desire.' This rendering suits the parallelism (**נִבְעַת עוֹלָם**), and is supported by Deut. 33, 15 (**הַיְרִי־קָדָם**). Hab. 3, 6 (**הַיְרִי־עַד**), and the rendering of the LXX, **ὁρέων μονίμων**, and is adopted by Ges., Ewald, Tuch, Wright, Di., and A.V. R. (margin). The Masoretic text is supported by the Syr., Onq., Vulg., Saadiah, the Jewish commentators, A.V., and A.V. R. (text). The rendering then must be, 'The blessings of thy father have prevailed over the blessings of my parents, up to the boundary

¹ The LXX render **ὁ Θεὸς ὁ ἐμός**, which corresponds with their rendering of **אֵל שְׂרֵי** in 17, 1 **ὁ Θεὸς σου** (see the note on that passage). 28, 3 (**μου**). 35, 11 (**σου**). 43, 14 (**μου**). 48, 3 (**μου**). Ex. 6, 3 (**Θεὸς ἂν αὐτῶν**).

of the eternal hills.' הוֹרֵי must be separated from עַר, following the accents, and taken in the sense 'parents,' while תְּאֵרָה must be translated 'boundary,' from תָּאָרָה = 'to mark,' 'limit;' cf. Num. 34, 7 f.; also תָּוָה in 1 Sam. 21, 14. Ez. 9, 4; see Ewald, § 186 b. The word הוֹרֵי, however, = 'my parents,' seems very doubtful. Neither the plural הוֹרִים, nor dual הוֹרִים occur with this meaning, and though the fem. הוֹרָה = 'mother' is found in Song of Songs 3, 4. Hos. 2, 7, the original meaning of the root, i.e. 'to conceive,' is still present in this word in both of the passages where it occurs. The reading of the Sam. Vers. is uncertain, but the Sam. Codex (Heb.-Sam.) has הָרֵי עַר. The later Samaritans, however, pronounced the words הָרֵי עַר 'my mountain, up to' etc., and understood it of Mount Gerizim, which was situated in the territory of the tribe of Joseph; see Tuch, p. 501. The LXX and Sam. Vers. follow a reading בִּרְכַת אֲבִיךָ וְאִמְךָ, while the Jer. Targ. exhibits traces of both the renderings discussed above, viz. 'my parents,' and 'everlasting mountains;' see Geiger, *Urschrift*, p. 250.

לְרֹאשׁ יוֹסֵף וְלִקְדָּקֵד נֹזֵר אַחִיו. Cf. Deut. 33, 16 where these words recur. נֹזֵר אַחִיו = 'the prince of his brethren.' The Vulg. and Saadiah take נֹזֵר in the sense 'Nazirite,' a meaning which is unsuitable here. It is best to take נֹזֵר = 'the separated one;' cf. Onq.'s rendering of the passage, נִבְרָא פְּרִישָׁא דְאַחוּהִי 'the man (who is) separated among his brethren,' in the sense of 'prince' or 'leader;' so Saadiah and most moderns (cf. Lam. 4, 7), though with no allusion to a kingdom in the tribe of Joseph. The meaning cannot, however, be regarded as certain.

Del. remarks that לְרֹאשׁ is chosen intentionally, as בְּרֹאשׁ is the usual expression for a curse coming upon any one, while

לראש is used for a blessing; cf. Deut. 33, 16. Prov. 10, 6. 11, 26.

Joseph receives not only the blessings of the eternal hills, i. e. the rich and fruitful hill country of Ephraim and Manasseh (cf. Jer. 50, 19. Deut. 32, 14), but the blessings that surpass these, viz. the promises made by God to his forefathers.

27. *'Benjamin is a ravening wolf:*

In the morning he devoureth the prey,

And at even divideth the spoil.'

That Benjamin was a most warlike tribe is shewn by the share it took in the struggle for freedom under Deborah (Judg. 5, 14), and by the war it carried on with the other tribes (Judg. 19 ff.) after the outrage committed at Gibeah. Ehud, Saul, and Jonathan were also Benjamites.

זאב יטרף, lit. *'a wolf that ravens,'* the relative pronoun being omitted. Cf. Is. 51, 12 אנוש ימות = *'mortal man;'* Hos. 4, 14 עם לא יבין *'a people without understanding;'* and see Ges., § 123. 3 a; M. R., § 159 a; Ewald, § 332 a; Driver, § 34.

יטרף, pausal for יטרף; see on ver. 3, עץ. Kn. remarks on the comparison of Benjamin with a wolf, *'The figure of the wolf occurs elsewhere in the O.T., only in a bad sense (Zeph. 3, 3. Hab. 1, 8. Jer. 5, 6. Ez. 22, 27); hence in this passage it does not signify a full measure of praise, though it recognises Benjamin's warlike capabilities.'* Di. supplements this remark by pointing out, *'that the lion has already been used in ver. 9, and that only a comparison with some small beast of prey would be fitting in the case of Benjamin, the smallest of the tribes. The wolf was used in comparisons by non-Semitic peoples of antiquity in a good sense.'*

עד יאכל טרף. Cf. Num. 23, 24 עד יאכל טרף.

28. **אִישׁ אֲשֶׁר כִּבְרַכְתּוּ בְרַךְ אֹתָם.** ‘*Each one with that which was according to his blessing he blessed them,*’ בְּרַךְ being construed with a double acc., as in Deut. 12, 7. 15, 14; cf. ver. 25. The LXX, Syr., and Sam. omit **אֲשֶׁר**. As the text is very awkward, Del. emends to “**אִישׁ אִישׁ נִבְרַךְ**”; cf. 2 Sam. 23, 21, where the Kri directs that **אִישׁ** is to be read instead of **אֲשֶׁר**, and Num. 21, 30, where the Kri marks the **ר** of **אֲשֶׁר** with a point, as suspicious. With this emendation, the verse may be compared with Ex. 36, 4 **אִישׁ אִישׁ מִמְּלֵאכְתּוֹ**; Lev. 15, 2 **אִישׁ אִישׁ כִּי יִהְיֶה**; Lev. 24, 15 **אִישׁ אִישׁ כִּי יִקְלַל**, and often. Perhaps, however, it is better simply to omit **אֲשֶׁר**.

30. **אֲשֶׁר קָנָה . . . אֶת הַשְּׂדֵה.** Cf. 50, 13, and see the note on 13, 16.

32. “**מִקְנֵה וְגו’**.” It is perhaps best to regard this verse as in apposition to ver. 29. Tuch prefers to regard it as a parenthesis, referring to ver. 30; cf. Ps. 72, 14.

50.

2. **לְחַנֵּט אֶת אָבִיו.** ‘*To embalm his father.*’ Embalming the dead was an Egyptian custom, which was due to the popular belief in a permanent union of the body and the soul. The art was practised by a special class named *ταπιχευται*; see Herod. ii. 86 ff.; Diod. i. 91; Ebers in Riehm’s *H. W. B.*, 352 f. The *ταπιχευται* are here called **הַרְפָּאִים**. Joseph probably had his own special body of physicians.

3. **הַחֲנֻטִים.** The plural is used according to Ges., § 108. 2 a; Ewald, § 179 a (‘to embrace the scattered units into a higher idea, thus to form the meaning of an abstract’).

שִׁבְעִים יוֹם. For a king the Egyptians used to mourn seventy-two days (Diod. i. 72). Jacob’s death was mourned for by the Egyptians out of respect to Joseph. On the

mourning customs of the Egyptians, see Herod. ii. 85; Diod. i. 91; Wilkinson, *Manners and Customs* (ed.², 1878, iii. c. 16).

4. **בכיתו**. Object. gen.; see on 9, 2. **בכית** is formed like **בכית**, by adding the ending **ת** to the third radical **י**; see Ewald, § 186 b; Stade, § 192 b.

5. **כריתו לי**. LXX *ᾠρυξᾶ*, so the Vulg. and most moderns; cf. 26, 25. 2 Chron. 16, 14, a rendering which suits **בקברי** better than that adopted by Onq. and the Syr., '*I bought*,' with which Deut. 2, 6 **תכרו מאתם וגם מים** may be compared.

10. **עד גרן האטר**. '*To the threshing-floor of thorns*;' probably not '*the threshing-floor of Atad*.' The locality is not further known.

בעבר הירדן, i. e. on the eastern side of Jordan, the narrator being in Palestine.

שבעת ימים. Cf. 1 Sam. 31, 13. Judith 16, 29. Ecclus. 22, 12.

11. **אבל מצרים**. **אבל** may be taken either as a verb, '*Egypt mourns*,' or as a noun, '*the meadow of Egypt*;' cf. the proper names, **אבל בית מעכה**, **אבל מים**, **אבל השטים**, **אבל מחולה**, **אבל מצרים**; but this rendering '*meadow of Egypt*' being hardly suited to the context, the narrator explains from '*mourning*.' The LXX have here *Πένθος Αιγύπτου*, and the Vulg. '*Planctus Egypti*,' and it is not improbable that the author pronounced **אבל**, **אבל**; while the punctuators took it as **אבל**, either '*meadow*,' or as a verb 3rd pers. perf. sing. The position of **אבל מצרים** is not known. It has been identified by some (Knobel, Ritter, etc.) with **בית הגלה**, on the southern boundary of Benjamin, the modern *Ain Haḡla*, a little north of the Dead Sea, following Hieron., who in the Onom. identifies *Area Atad* with **בית הגלה**. But this identification is precarious, as Hieron.'s account is not trustworthy; and further,

בית חגלה is on the western, and not the eastern side of Jordan; see further, Di., p. 455; Del., p. 524.

13. אַתְּ הַשָּׂדֶה. 'With the field;' cf. 49, 30.

15. לֹא יִשְׁמְנוּ יוֹסֵף. 'If Joseph were to hate us!' cf. Ez. 14, 15. Ex. 4, 1 וְהֵן לֹא יֵאֱמִינוּ לִי 'and if they will not believe me!' LXX here, μήποτε μνησικακήσῃ ἡμῖν Ἰωσήφ. The imperf. in the protasis, where no apodosis follows, denoting either a *wish* or (as here) a *fear*; see Driver, § 142; Ewald, § 358 a, who compares a similar aposiopesis in Ps. 27, 13; see also M.R., § 165.

16. וַיִּצְווּ. 'And they sent a message.' LXX καὶ παραγενόμενοι, Pesh. ܘܝܨܘܘ, possibly a free translation, the translators not understanding וַיִּצְווּ.

17. אֲנִי is only found once again in the Pent., viz. Ex. 32, 31 אֲנִי. Ewald, § 262 a, remarks that the Massora regards אֲנִי always as consisting of two words (אֲ-הִנֵּנִי); hence the double accents here and in Ex. 1. c. The tone is on the penult.

שֵׁן נָא פִשַׁע . . . שֵׁן נָא לְפִשַׁע. = 'to forgive' (cf. 18, 24) is here construed, for the sake of variety, with the acc. and dat.; see Ewald, § 282 d.

19. כִּי הִתַּחַת אֱלֹהִים אֲנִי. 'For am I in God's place?' so in 30, 2; see the note there. Del. proposes a slightly different meaning here as an alternative, 'Am I authorised to interfere in what God does, am I not obliged to submit myself to it?' Aq. ὅτι μὴ Θεὸς ἐγώ; Symm. μὴ γὰρ ἀντὶ Θεοῦ ἐγώ εἰμι; Onq. renders אֲנִי יִרְחֹלָא דִּי אֲנִי 'for a fearer of I. am I,' possibly reading חֲתַת אֱלֹהִים (from חֲתַתָּהּ), or more probably rendering freely; so Saadiah, أَحَافٌ أَللَّهِ 'I fear God.'

20. עֲשֵׂה. See on 48, 11.

21. וַיְדַבֵּר עַל לִבּוֹ. See on 34, 3.

23. ויִּירָא יוֹסֵף לְאֶפְרַיִם. The ל as in 44, 20 ויִּירָא הוּא לְבָרֵי לְאֶמְנוּ.

בְּנֵי שְׁלִישִׁים = 'sons of the third degree,' i. e. not great-grandchildren, but great-great-grandchildren, שְׁלִישִׁים (cf. Ex. 20, 5. 34, 7. Num. 14, 18. Deut. 5, 9) being the children of the third generation, the first ancestor not being counted. Elsewhere they are called רִבְעִים; so Di. and Ewald (*Antiq.*³, p. 225, Eng. trans., p. 169). LXX, Vulg., Pesh., Targ., Tuch, and Del. understand 'great-grandchildren;' but then either בְּנֵים must be read (so Sam.), or the cstr. בְּנֵי be taken according to Ewald, § 287 e (the cstr. state used where there is really only an appositional relation between the two words, and = 'consisting of').

עַל בְּרַכְיֵי יוֹסֵף. Cf. 30, 3.

26. וַיִּישָׂם. See on 24, 33. Render, 'they brought,' 3rd pers. sing. imper.

בְּאֲרוֹן = here 'in the coffin.' The article, by Ewald, § 277 a; Ges., § 109. 3 c. 'The Egyptians used to place the embalmed body in a wooden coffin, and carefully preserve it in the vault (Her. ii. 86),' Kn. in Di., p. 457. With these verses, cf. Ex. 13, 19. Josh. 24, 32.

APPENDIX I.

THE book of Genesis, like the other books of the Hexateuch (for it is now generally admitted that the book of Joshua must be attached to the Pentateuch, and the whole regarded as one work), was not the production of one author. A definite plan may be traced in the book, but the structure of the work forbids us to consider it as the work of one writer. This is clear, not only from the (apparently needless) repetitions that occur (e.g. 21, 1 a and 1 b; 4, 25 f., and 5, 1-6; 47, 29 ff., and 49, 29 ff.), but also from the different accounts of one and the same event which we meet with, not merely such as may be explained on the supposition that the author is really describing *different* events, or reproducing *different* traditions (e.g. the narratives contained in 12, 10 ff.; 20, 1 ff.; and 26, 7 ff.; in 16, 1 ff., and 21, 12 ff.; the double covenant with Abram, chaps. 15 and 17; the double blessing of Jacob by Isaac, 27, 1 ff., and 28, 1 ff.; the double promise of a son to Sarah, 17, 17; and 18, 10 ff.; the three explanations of the name Isaac, 17, 17; 18, 12; 21, 6; the two explanations of the names, Edom in 25, 25. 30; of Issachar, Zebulun, and Joseph, in 30, 16-18. 20. 23 f.; of Maḥanaim, in 32, 3. 8), but such as mutually exclude one another, because the event narrated can only have happened once (e.g. the two accounts of the creation, in chaps. 1 and 2; the number of the animals that went into the ark at the time the flood was on the earth, in chap. 6 f.; the dispersion of the nations, in chaps. 10 and 11, 1 ff., cf. 10, 25; the varying explanations of the names Beersheba, in 21, 31; 26, 33; Israel, in 32, 29; 35, 10; Bethel, 28, 18 f.; 35, 14 f.; the different accounts of the relations between Jacob and the Shechemites, in chaps. 34 and 48, 22; and the variations in the narrative in 37, 19-36.—the sale of Joseph by his brethren). Many other notices in Genesis also militate against the unity of authorship (e.g. that Abraham begat many sons after the death of Sarah, 25, 1 ff. against 18, 11 f. 17, 17; that Esau had already settled in Seir when Jacob returned from Mesopotamia, 32, 4 ff. against 36, 6; that all Jacob's sons were born in Paddan Aram, 35, 26 against ver. 16 ff.; the different names of Esau's wives, 26, 34. 28, 9 against 36, 2 f., etc.; the differences in chronology, e.g. in the age of Sarah, in 17, 17, cf. 12, 4, and in 12, 11. 20, 2 ff.; as to Isaac's approaching death, in 27, 1 f. 7. 10. 41 and in 35, 28 and 26, 34; in the account of Rachel's death in 35, 19, while in 37, 10 she is represented as still

living, etc.); even narratives are found in which some parts do not agree with the remainder of the narrative (e. g. 31, 48-50 and the rest of the chapter, and 24, 62-67 and the beginning of the chapter).

These discrepancies and difficulties in the book of Genesis, and similar ones in the other books of the Pentateuch, had been perceived as far back as the eleventh century¹, but it was not until the middle of the eighteenth century that a serious attempt was made to examine systematically the structure of the Pentateuch. This was first undertaken by Astruc², a Paris physician, who, following the opinion already expressed by Vitringa, that Moses had made use of older sources in composing the Pentateuch, arrived at the conclusion that the book of Genesis was composed from these older sources, which Moses had embodied in the work without any essential alterations; and that two main documents were clearly discernible, which are distinguished by the peculiar use of the names of God, Elohim occurring exclusively in the one, and Jehovah in the other; and several (nine) minor documents which were less frequently employed, and which are recognisable by certain individual peculiarities. Astruc's work may be regarded as the beginning of the criticism of the Pentateuch. His views were adopted, or arrived at by independent investigation, by several scholars subsequently, and many additional points were discovered. The gradual growth of the criticism of the Pentateuch cannot be fully entered into here, but some of the more important discoveries may be noticed, and the reader referred to the Introductions to the Old Testament etc.³ for further details. Eichhorn not only arrived independently at the same conclusion as Astruc with regard to the two documents, but made the further observation that the usage of language in these two documents differed greatly. De Wette was the first to draw attention to the fact that the book of Deuteronomy was essentially different from the preceding books, and really formed a separate document, a view that is now generally accepted; while to Bleek belongs the merit of having discovered that the book of Joshua reveals traces of the same hands as the Pentateuch, and must be taken as forming the real conclusion of the work. Further advances were made by Ewald and Hupfeld; Ewald drawing attention to the fact that

¹ See Zoëckler, *Handbuch der Theolog. Wissenschaften*, i. p. 133 f.; Bleek's *Einleitung* (ed. Wellhausen), p. 16, Eng. trans. (ed. Venables), p. 193.

² In his *Conjectures sur les mémoires originaux dont il paroît que Moïse s'est servi pour composer le livre de la Genèse*, Brussels, 1753.

³ See Bleek, *Einleitung* (ed. Well.), p. 57 f., and Eng. trans., p. 257 f.; also the Introductions in Kuenen's *Hexateuch*, and Wellhausen's *History of Israel*, Eng. trans.

the two main documents do not only extend to Exodus 6, 2 (as had been previously supposed), but are clearly discernible in the remaining books of the Pentateuch, and also in Joshua; while Hupfeld demonstrated that the Elohim document was not the work of one and the same writer, but was really two documents¹. Hupfeld considered that Genesis was founded on three continuous historical writings, which were quite independent of one another, two Elohistic and one Jehovistic², and this view, with certain modifications, may be regarded as the one generally accepted at the present time. As the results of the investigations of these and other scholars, the following points were regarded as fixed, that, firstly, the Hexateuch was mainly composed of four documents, the so-called 'Grundschrift' or 'main stock'³, called by Dillmann A, but usually cited as P (the Priests' Code); the second Elohist, Dillmann's B, usually quoted as E; the Jehovist, Dillmann's C, usually referred to as J; and the Deuteronomist or D; secondly, that several portions of the Pentateuch are really much older than the documents themselves in which they have been preserved (e. g. the Decalogue, Ex. 20, 22-23, 19, the Song in Ex. 15, and other passages containing laws and poetical fragments); thirdly, that the Elohistic documents were older than the Jehovistic document; and, fourthly, that these three documents were worked up into a whole before the Deuteronomist. Opinions differed as to the plan adopted in working up these documents into one work, but most scholars considered that P, E, and J were united by a redactor, and that D was added subsequently; some scholars even being of the opinion that D himself was the redactor. This view of the origin of the Pentateuch was, however, combated by Graf⁴, who, following the opinion that had already been put forth by Reuss, George, and Vatke⁵, independently of each other, propounded the view that the so-called 'Grundschrift' was not the oldest of the three documents, but the youngest. This was not, however, the original form of Graf's hypothesis. He first divided the 'Grundschrift' into two parts, and then endeavoured to shew that the priestly or ritual laws usually regarded as belonging to the 'Grundschrift' were post-deuteronomic, while the remainder of the 'Grundschrift' was prae-deuteronomic, and

¹ This had already been pointed out by Ilgen (died 1834). Hupfeld's views will be found in full in his *Quellen der Genesis und die Art ihrer Zusammensetzung*, Berlin, 1853.

² See below, however, p. 366.

³ This is the term used by Wellhausen's translator for the German word.

⁴ In *Die geschichtlichen Bücher des Alten Test.* (1866).

⁵ See Wellhausen, *Hist. of Israel*, Eng. trans., p. 5, and Kuenen, *Hexateuch*, Introduction, p. xxxiv; Zöckler, l. c., p. 136.

antecedent to the Jehovist. When, however, Riehm¹ and Nöldeke² had shewn that this division of the 'Grundschrift' was, on philological grounds, impossible, Graf modified his view, and assigned the whole of the 'Grundschrift' to the post-exilic period³. This view is also held by Kuenen⁴, and has been brought into greater prominence by Wellhausen⁵, and since adopted by various scholars. The reasons alleged by Graf and his followers in support of this view are that the history contained in the books of Judges, Samuel, and to some extent in the books of Kings, is in contradiction to the laws usually regarded as Mosaic, and that these laws themselves were quite unknown at the period to which they are supposed to belong; further, that the prophets of the eighth and ninth centuries are unacquainted with the Mosaic code. Those who maintain Graf's view regard the Jehovistic laws (Ex. chaps. 20-23. 13, 1-16. 34, 10-27) and the Jehovistic narratives as prae-deuteronomic, and consider that Ezekiel is older than the redaction of the Ritual code and the laws contained in P. Thus the question of the age of P is the chief point that is at present undetermined, though the real date of the other documents cannot be regarded as definitely fixed. Other questions that are still matters of controversy are whether the Jehovist (J) utilised the Elohist (E) in composing his own work, whether the redactor who combined P and J had E before him, and also whether P is a composite production, the result of a long period of priestly activity, or the work of a single author. These points cannot be discussed here, but must be studied in full in the works of Nöldeke, Delitzsch, Schultz, Wellhausen, Kuenen, and others⁶. The existence of various documents may be considered to have been proved, also that the number of these documents in Genesis is three, viz. P, J, and E; that J and E are not only closely akin to one another in matter and in the way things are viewed by their authors, but also have come down to us so closely interwoven one with the other, as to form almost one document; and, lastly, that these three documents were combined into one whole by a redactor or redactors.

These three documents, P, J, and E, are distinguished one from the

¹ *Studien und Krit.*, 1868, pp. 350-379.

² *Untersuchungen zur Kritik des A. Test.*, Kiel, 1869.

³ In Merx, *Archiv für Wissensch. Erforschung des A. T.*, i. 466-477.

⁴ In his *Onderzoek*, 1st ed., chap. I, § 18, and *Hexateuch*.

⁵ In his *History of Israel*, and *Composition des Hexateuchs*, printed at first in the *Jahrbücher für Deutsche Theologie*, xxi, 1876, pp. 392-450, 531-602; xxii, 1877, pp. 407-479, and since issued separately as part ii of his *Skizzen und Vorarbeiten*, 1885; see also his article 'Pentateuch' in the *Encycl. Britannica*, 9th ed.

⁶ The reader will find the opinions of these and other scholars briefly sketched and discussed in Zoekler, l. c., p. 139 fol.

other, not only by a difference, more or less distinctly marked, in their contents, but also by a peculiar usage of language. P, which has been largely employed in the composition of Genesis, can be more clearly separated from J and E, than these from one another, the points of demarcation between them being less clearly defined than in the case of P. P chiefly contains legislation, setting before us the various precepts and ordinances that were to be observed by Israel, and explaining their origin. The history contained in it is merely the framework in which to arrange the legislative matter. The thread of the narrative is very thin, and often only serves to carry on the chronology. Important events, however, are treated more in detail (e.g. the story of the creation, the deluge, the covenants with Noah and Abraham, the journey of the patriarchs into Egypt), especially such events as are narrated to explain the origin of various laws (e.g. 17, 23), in which case the narrative is generally full and detailed. Other events of less importance are only briefly described, partly in the form of genealogies (e.g. chap. 5. 11, 10 ff. 35, 22 ff.), and partly in the form of short summaries (e.g. chap. 10. 25, 12 ff. chap. 36). In its method of representation P is detailed and circumstantial, everywhere aiming at strict accuracy, especially in all legal points, and exhibiting a marked fondness for recurrent formulae. Its language is formal and precise, technical words and phrases and certain turns of expression not found elsewhere frequently recur. The manner in which the author handles his materials gives evidence of research and reflexion, and a capacity for justly weighing and estimating the sources of information at his disposal (e.g. chaps. 1. 5. 10 f. 36. 46), while in describing the events of the past, and in the accounts of foreign peoples, remarkable accuracy is displayed (e.g. 25, 16. 36, 15). Some of the peculiarities of the language of P have been pointed out in the notes. The portions of Genesis that are usually assigned to this document are the following: **1**, 1-2, 4 a. **5**, 1-28. 30-32. **6**, 9-22. **7**, 6. 11. 13-16 a. 18-21. **8**, 1-2 a. 3-5. 13 a. 14-19. **9**, 1-17. 28-29. **10**, 1-7. 20. 22-23. 31-32. **11**, 10-27. 31-32. **12**, 4 b-5. **13**, 6. 11 b-12 a. (chap. 14?). **16**, 1 a. 3. 15-16. **17**. **19**, 29. **21**, 1 b-5. **23**. **25**, 7-11 a. 12-17. 19-20. 26 b. **26**, 34-35. **27**, 46-28, 9. **29**, 24. 29. **31**, 18 b. **33**, 18. **34**, 1-2. 4-10. 13-18. 20-25 (partly). 27-29. **35**, 5. 9-15. 23-29. **36** (in the main). **37**, 1-2 a. **41**, 46. **46**, 6-27. **47**, 5-6 a. 7-11 (as in LXX [= 5 b from ἡλθον δὲ to 11]). 27 c-28. **49**, 28 b-33. **50**, 12-13.

The remaining documents differ widely from P.

In Genesis the legislative element is almost entirely absent, the object of the narrators being to present in a brief and attractive form the chief historical events of the past, with a view to instruction and

edification. One of these documents, E, is called by Dillmann the Traditional History of Israel. It probably is based on older written sources, but in the main draws its information from tradition, and preserves unchanged in its narratives both the colouring and tone of tradition as current among the people. To this document we are indebted for many important details which are not given in P or J (e.g. Eliezer, Deborah, Rachel's nurse, and Potiphar are known to us only from E), and for many peculiar notices and brief statements, which, bearing the impress of the highest antiquity (e.g. 21, 27 ff. 15, 2. 20, 16. 48, 22), are unfortunately only preserved in a fragmentary form. In E many traditions attaching themselves to certain localities (e.g. 31, 51 ff. 33, 19. 35, 8. 20) are to be found, and the origin of several of the sacred places in central and eastern Palestine is frequently referred to (21, 31. 28, 17 f. 32, 3. 31. 33, 20. 35, 4. 7. 46, 1 f.). It contains a full account of the honour gained by Joseph, and brings into prominence the consideration in which Reuben was formerly held (37, 21 f. 29 f. 42, 22. 37). E contains no account of the flood, but makes frequent mention of the many sanctuaries of the Israelites (28, 22. 33, 20), though it condemns the Teraphim-cultus and other idolatrous practices (35, 2 ff.). Angels and visions in dreams are frequently spoken of, Abraham bears the title of Prophet (20, 7), and attention is directed to the gradual accomplishment of God's promises as revealed to Abraham and his descendants. It has been already remarked that E has been incorporated with J into one work, and it frequently happens that the parts of the two documents can be severed one from the other with not more than approximate certainty.

The third document, J (for a long time called the supplemental document, as though it were composed to supplement P; a view that is now generally abandoned), may be designated, as distinguished from P, the Prophetic Narrative. In the account of the family of Noah, the deluge, and in the table of nations, it is in substance closely akin to P, also in the portion of Genesis containing the history of Abraham it has several narratives in common with P (e.g. the separation of Lot and Abraham; the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrha; the story of Dinah; also cf. 47, 1-11. 29 ff. and 49, 29 ff.), but elsewhere in the history of the patriarchs, and in that of Joseph and Jacob, it is more closely connected with E, so much so, that from chap. 27 onwards, most of the narratives in J have their complete parallels in E, the passages in E being rich in material details, while J is distinguished by a fondness for picturesque description, by breadth and variety of ideas, and by the polish and artistic finish of its narratives. Many passages of J, which we possess in their full form, are masterpieces of narrative art, with

which only a few out of E can be compared (e.g. chap. 22). Of all three documents J betrays the profoundest appreciation of the existence, origin, and growth of sin in man, and of God's counteracting influence, of the plan of man's salvation (3, 15 f. 5, 29, 8, 21 f. 9, 26 f. 12, 2 f. 18, 19), of the call of the divinely chosen instruments, and their training in faith, obedience, and a virtuous life, and of the divine purpose of making Israel a source of blessing to the nations. In the usage of language, as well as in its style, J is more closely allied to E than to P, and although subtle differences between J and E are discernible, the criteria for definitely distinguishing one from the other are often not clearly marked.

How these documents were worked up into one whole cannot be determined without fixing the date of P. If P is the oldest portion of the work, then the view that this is the framework, into which the other documents were fitted, is tenable. If P, on the other hand, is the latest of the three sources, then it is probably best to suppose that J and E were first united into one whole, and that D was added to this, the last redactor of the Hexateuch combining P with the whole thus formed J, E, D.

The following remarks may perhaps give a general idea of how Genesis arose out of the three documents P, J, E. It has been already remarked that a definite plan can be traced throughout the whole book. To put it as briefly as possible, the object of the book is to give an account of the history of Israel from the earliest times until the death of Joseph, to shew how God created the world and mankind, preserved Noah from the deluge and made a covenant with him, chose Abram the descendant of Noah through Shem, and made a covenant with him, promising to him and his descendants the land of Canaan, and taking him under his especial protection, and imposing upon him the observance of several precepts. The history is carried on in the person of Isaac, to whom the promises made to Abraham are renewed; some account is given of Ishmael, who then disappears from the narrative, which employs itself with the fortunes of Jacob and Esau, the latter being dismissed after a short account of the relations between him and Jacob, and the course of the narrative confined to Jacob. We are next told of the birth of Jacob's sons and the sale of Joseph into Egypt, Joseph now becoming the prominent figure in the narrative. After some account of the journeys of Joseph's brethren into Egypt, and their meeting with Joseph who was regarded as dead, the history tells us of Jacob's descent into Egypt, and finally relates Joseph's death, after he had removed his father's remains to Canaan and buried them in the Cave of Machpelah.

In compiling this history from the materials at his disposal the compiler chose from his sources what was most suited to the plan

of his work. Sometimes he merely makes small extracts from one document (e. g. 4, 17-24. 6, 1-4. 30, 32-42, merely small portions of fuller accounts), or notices individual points (e. g. 11, 29, Jiska mentioned; 20, 12, the relationship between Abram and Sarai, cf. 28, 22 (see 35, 7); 48, 22). At other times the portions taken from the documents are quoted in full, and for the most part are verbally transferred from the original (e. g. the narratives in P up to 11, 26), and sometimes again, whole passages from one document are omitted, possibly because they were at variance with the accounts given by the others (see in P the brief accounts in 11, 27-32; the omission of the introduction to the history of Abram, previous to chap. 11; of the divine manifestation to Isaac; of the sojourn of Jacob in Paddan Aram; of all the history of Joseph prior to Jacob's arrival in Egypt). When combining his sources the compiler, as far as possible, or as far as he deemed necessary, appears to have taken the narrative verbally from each and inserted both in his work (cf. chap. 2 f. side by side with chap. 1, chap. 27 side by side with 26, 34 f. and 28, 1-9; 48, 3-7 side by side with 48, 9-22). Elsewhere, as for example, where the event need only be quoted from one document (e. g. the birth or death of any person), he selects his account from one source, even though the same event be recorded in more than one document. In other cases the compiler found two accounts in the documents before him, agreeing in the main but differing in details, he would then weave one account into the other, omitting from each what could not be reconciled, and choosing from both what best suited the plan of his work (cf. chaps. 7 f. 10. 16. 25. 27-37. 39-50).

To the redactor also probably may be attributed the accommodation necessary to preserve consistency in the use of the names Abram and Sarai, in all passages previous to chap. 17, of the double name Yahweh Elohim in chaps. 2-3; also the change of Elohim into Yahweh in 17, 1. 21, 1. It is also probable that slight changes were made by him at the juncture between different narratives (e. g. 11, 1-9. 12, 10-20. 25, 5 f. 11 b. 25, 21 ff. 35, 16-20. 47, 12 ff.). In other passages the sources are loosely combined (e. g. 7, 7-9. 22. 15, 7 f. 31, 45 ff. chap. 36. 46, 8-27), the compiler now and then making additions of his own to bring the documents into harmony (e. g. 21, 32. 34. 27, 46. 35, 5. 46, 12-20). Sometimes possibly use was also made of materials taken from other sources than P, J, and E (e. g. perhaps in chap. 14)¹.

¹ For full details of the various works bearing on the criticism of the Pentateuch, see Dillmann's *Genesis*, 5th ed., p. xix ff., or Zoeckler, l. c., p. 145 ff., from whom most of the above particulars are derived.

APPENDIX II.

אל and אלהים, יהוה.

THE first two names of God, אל and אלהים, as may be seen from the Concordance, are of frequent occurrence in the Old Testament. The plural of אל and the sing. of אלהים, on the contrary, are rare; the plural forms of אל occurring about five times, and the sing. of אלהים about 57 times. אל (sing.), on the other hand, occurs (including proper names of people and of places compounded with אל) over 300 times, and אלהים over 2500 times¹. It will be found, on a closer examination of the various passages, that אל, though of common occurrence, is essentially a poetical word, being very common in the poetical part of Job (about a quarter of the passages where אל is found are in Job). It is also found in the Psalms (but not so frequently as אלהים) and in other poetical passages, and is used by the prophets from Hosea to Deutero-Isaiah and his contemporaries. אל is found in the Pentateuch in certain special phrases, such as אל-ישׁב, אל-קנע, but otherwise the less poetical parts of the Pentateuch and Prophets avoid it. אל apparently formed no part of the ordinary spoken language, as it is never used in Judges, Samuel, or Kings, and even in Chronicles only occurs in poetical passages. In proper names of persons and of places it is found from the earliest times. Thus from the O. T. it may be inferred that אל was a very old name of God, which, however, at a tolerably early date ceased to be used, and was only preserved in poetry, elevated prose, and in a few special phrases. אלהים was the common name of God, the word being used for the sing. and plural. The singular אלה is most common in the book of Job, and it is found elsewhere in only a few poetical passages. In pure prose it occurs only in two very late passages (2 Chron. 32, 15 and Dan. 11, 37-39); and even in the prose parts of Job is replaced by אלהים. אלה may thus be regarded as an artificial sing. of אלהים². So in Hebrew the ordinary

¹ Cf. Nestle, *Theologische Studien aus Württemberg*, 1882, p. 243 f.

² Nöldeke, *Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akad.*, 1882, p. 1177; cf. Nestle, l. c., p. 249.

word for God was אֱלֹהִים, without a real singular, אֱל and אֱלֹהִים being nearly entirely confined to poetry.

In the other Semitic dialects אֱל is common, being found in Assyrian, Phoenician, and Himyaritic, but whether it is found in Northern Arabic and Aramaic is a disputed point¹. אֱלֹהִים is found, on the contrary, only in Aramaic and Arabic, the word both in Aramaic and Arabic being probably indigenous and not borrowed from the Hebrew². In Sabean אֱל and אֱלֹהִים occur, both words being used in much the same way as in Hebrew³.

Various explanations of these names אֱל and אֱלֹהִים have been offered by different scholars, but no certain derivation for either appears yet to have been obtained.

Fleischer⁴, whom Delitzsch and others⁵ follow, takes אֱלֹהִים as the plural of אֱלֹהִי (a noun of the form אֱלֹהִי = اَللّٰه), deriving אֱלֹהִים from an unused root אֱלֹהִי = the Arabic اَللّٰه (اَللّٰه), which has the notion of 'wandering about,' 'going hither and thither' in perplexity or fear, and followed by اَلِي 'to betake oneself' to a person, by reason of fright or fear, seeking protection⁶. אֱלֹהִים would thus, it is argued, = 'fear,' and then 'the object of fear' (cf. σέβασμα in Greek, and the Heb. מוֹרָא, פֶּחַח, see Gen. 31, 42. 53), and so 'God.' This derivation would appear, however, to be questionable. For in the verb the idea of 'fear' is altogether subordinate, and though in a particular case it may express the idea of seeking protection *with* a person, in fear (of course) of *other* things, it is difficult to understand how a substantive derived from it could be used to denote God as the *direct* object of fear. It might, conceivably, denote Him as a *refuge*, but hardly as *fear*, or the object of fear. אֱל is regarded by these scholars as belonging to a root אֱלֹהִי, with the primary meaning 'strength'⁷.

¹ Lagarde, *Orientalia*, ii. p. 3 f. (cf. Nestle, l. c., p. 251), denies the existence of אֱל as a real Aramaic and Arabic word: Nöldeke disputes this, and appears to have shewn that Lagarde is in error. See *Monatsberichte der Berliner Akad.*, 1880, p. 768 f., and *Sitzungsberichte* of the same *Akad.*, 1882, p. 1182.

² See Nöldeke, *Sitzungsberichte*, 1882, p. 1189; but cf. Nestle, l. c., p. 252.

³ See *Über אֱל und אֱלֹהִים im Sabäischen*, by Prof. D. H. Müller, Leyden, 1884.

⁴ Del., *Comm.*¹, p. 57.

⁵ Oehler, Schultz, Mühlau, Volck.

⁶ See Lane, *Arabic Lex.*, p. 82.

⁷ Cf. Ges., *Thes.*, pp. 42, 48.

Ewald¹ connects אֱלֹהִים and אֱלֹהִים, regarding אֱל as abbreviated from אֱלֹהִים, and holding אֱלֹה 'to be strong' to be the root of both.

Lagarde² has proposed an entirely different derivation for אֱל (the origin of אֱלֹהִים he does not discuss). He regards אֱלֹה (אלה) as the root of אֱל, and compares the form אֱל with אֱלֹ (from אֱלֹה, Is. 50, 6, אֱלֹהִים = אֱלֹהִים) (from אֱלֹה), and אֱלֹהִים (but estr. state אֱלֹהִים). אֱלֹה (אלה) he conjectures had the meaning 'to stretch out to,' and God he considers called אֱל, as 'one whom men strive after.' The vowel in אֱל Lagarde regards as originally short, evading the analogy of words like אֱלֹה, אֱלֹהִים, אֱלֹהִים, by the remark that such a word as אֱל, 'God,' can hardly be, what its vowel — would indicate that it is, a neuter passive participle (see more fully *Mitteilungen* (1884), p. 103 f.).

Nöldeke³ holds that אֱל is a noun with a long vowel like אֱלֹה, אֱלֹהִים, אֱלֹהִים, etc., almost all of which belong to verbs אֱלֹה and אֱלֹה, and refers it to a root אֱל = to be in front, so אֱל = the leader, Lord. He expresses no decided opinion as to the connection between אֱל and אֱלֹהִים, but thinks a connection may be possible⁴.

Dillmann⁵ regards אֱל and אֱלֹהִים as inseparable, considering the latter to imply an extended form of the former, like אֱלֹהִים from אֱלֹה, and אֱלֹהִים from אֱלֹה, in Aramaic, and اَلْمَلِك from اَلْمَلِك, in Arabic: אֱל (with an original short i), however, being from אֱלֹה, and having the meaning 'might.'

Nestle⁶, lastly, has proposed another explanation of the relationship between אֱל and אֱלֹהִים. He infers from the usage of language that אֱלֹהִים is the real plural of אֱל, and that אֱלֹהִים is a secondary derivation from אֱלֹהִים. אֱלֹהִים he thinks has arisen out of אֱל, just as אֱלֹהִים out of אֱלֹה.

The above is a brief account of the various views that are held as to the origin of אֱל and אֱלֹהִים, but none appears to be entirely free from objection.

That אֱלֹהִים comes from a root אֱלֹה, as Fleischer and Delitzsch maintain, is, as has been already shewn, doubtful. In favour of Ewald's

¹ *Jahrbuch*, x. 11, and *Lehrbuch*, § 178 b.

² *Orientalia*, ii. p. 3 ff.

³ *Monatsberichte der Königl. Preuss. Akad.*, 1880, pp. 760-776.

⁴ Nöldeke's view, as far as the derivation of אֱל from אֱלֹה is concerned, is the same as Gesenius' referred to in note 7, p. 372, differing only as to the meaning borne by אֱלֹה.

⁵ *Commentar über die Genesis*, I, I.

⁶ In his article in the *Theologische Studien aus Württemberg*, 1882, Heft iv.

view may be urged the fact that it connects both לָא and אֱלֹהִים, by deriving them from a root הֶלָא, and the existence of proper names compounded with לָא exhibiting traces of י, e. g. אֱלֹהֵי הוּא, אֱלֹהֵי מִלְכָא, and others¹, though it is only fair to admit that the evidence from proper names ought not to be pressed, as the י may be the suffix of the first person and not the third radical. But it does not account for the presence of the ה in אֱלֹהִים, and if לָא is abridged from אֱלֹהִים, it is not clear why לָא is found chiefly in poetical passages where we should naturally expect antique forms.

Lagarde, in so far as he derives לָא from a root הֶלָא, agrees with Ewald, though he assigns to this root a different meaning, viz. 'to stretch out to.' Apart from the fact that the meaning thus assigned to הֶלָא is conjectural, he can hardly be said to have proved against Nöldeke that the e of לָא is short, and that it does not belong to a root ו'ע. The evidence Nöldeke adduces from the occurrence of Semitic proper names in Greek inscriptions, in favour of a long e in לָא², does not seem to have been met by Lagarde, and in failing to observe the Aramaic use of לָא³, he has exposed himself to Nöldeke's objection, supported by the Syriac, that formations like כְּנָ, חְנָ, etc. point to ו'ע or ו'ע stems⁴. Nöldeke's own view of לָא (which is in the main the same as Gesenius held⁵) does not appear adequately to account for the shortening of the e in לָא in the proper names אֱלֹהֵי הוּא, etc.⁶, nor for the י in the latter name, which would seem to imply a root ה'ל⁷. It also does not take into account the Assyrian *ilu*, which has always a short *i*, and which never appears as *ēlu* or *īlu*⁸.

Nestle's view has been examined by Nöldeke⁹, who points out that the usage of language is against it, that the explanation of אֱלֹהִים as an extended form of לָא is precarious, for only one clear case of this occurs in Hebrew (viz. אֱלֹהֵי הוּא from אֱלֹהֵי), and the cases that are found in the

¹ This also applies to Dillmann's view.

² See *Monatsberichte der Königl. Preuss. Akad.*, 1880, p. 760 f.

³ See *Monatsberichte*, etc., p. 772.

⁴ See *Monatsberichte*, etc., p. 773.

⁵ Cf. note 4 on p. 373.

⁶ Nöldeke accounts for this on the ground that an unusual shortening of vowels is often found in proper names.

⁷ Though, as has been just said, this might be the pronom. affix.

⁸ Del., *Par.*, pp. 163-165. Brown in *The Presbyterian Review* (New York), 1882, p. 407.

⁹ In the *Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Akad. der Wissenschaften*, 1882, pp. 1175-1192.

other Semitic dialects always have, in the expanded form, the plural feminine ending, whether the word itself be masc. or fem.¹ Nöldeke also remarks that long o for long a is difficult (the long a in יהוה goes back to short a²), and that if the e of אֵל is long, the \ddot{a} in אֵלִים is difficult to explain.

The following points seem to require a satisfactory explanation before the derivation of אֵל and אֵלִים can be definitely fixed. (i) Are the two words really connected one with the other, and derived from the same root? (ii) Does אֵל really come from אֵל, or from a root אלה (i. e. אלי)? (iii) How is the ה of אֵלִים to be accounted for? (iv) Can the evidence which Nöldeke brings forward to prove that the \ddot{a} in אֵל is long be accepted as conclusive in the face of the fact that the vowel in the corresponding word in Assyrian (*ilu*) is short? (v) If the \ddot{a} is really long, is Nöldeke's explanation of the shortening of \ddot{a} in אֵלִים, אֵלִיהוּ, and other similar proper names adequate³?

The above is a brief sketch of the views held by scholars as to the derivation of אֵל and אֵלִים. Both אֵל and אֵלִים (אֵלֵהּ) are old words in Semitic, and, *prima facie*, would appear to be distinct: their original derivation, however, is at present obscure.

יְהוָה

It is well known that the vowels with which the Tetragrammaton is punctuated in the ordinary editions of the Massoretic text do not really belong to it, but have been supplied from the word אֵלִים, with the composite shewa changed into a simple shewa, unless this word precedes יהוה, when the points of אֵלִים are used, e. g. Is. 28, 16. 30, 15. 49, 22. Ez. 2, 4. 7, 2. Amos 5, 3, etc. This is clear from the following considerations: (1) With the prefixes ב, ל, מ, ו we find בְּיְהוָה (e. g. Ps. 11, 1. 32, 10. 11. 64, 11); לְיְהוָה (e. g. Ps. 7, 1. 16, 2. 24, 1); מְיְהוָה (e. g. Ps. 33, 8. 37, 39. Is. 40, 27); וְיְהוָה (Gen. 13, 14. 1 Sam. 12, 12. Is. 53, 10), i. e. בְּאֵלִים, לְאֵלִים, מְאֵלִים, and וְאֵלִים (cf. Ges., § 23. 2). (2) If the word that follows יהוה begins with one of the letters ב, ג, ד, כ, ט, ה, the dagesh lene is inserted, e. g. Gen. 13, 10. Ex. 15, 6. Num. 11, 25.

¹ Cf. *Sitzungsberichte*, p. 1180 f. The masc. forms that occur in Syriac are, as Nöldeke points out, late. Nöldeke's remarks on this point also apply to Dillmann's explanation.

² Nöldeke, *Sitzungsberichte*, p. 1181.

³ See note 6, p. 374.

Judg. 21, 15. 1 Sam. 28, 19. 2 Sam. 23, 2. (3) Ewald in his *Lehrbuch*, § 228 b, draws attention to the fact that in Num. 10, 35, cf. ver. 36, קִמַּחָה is accented on the last syllable, though the ה is ה cohortative, because the next following word יהוה begins with a guttural, e. g. אַיִן=יְהוָה, א. קִמַּחָה; 6, 5 שׁוֹבְנֵי; 7, 7. 10, 12, etc. (4) The abbreviations יְהוּ, יָהּ, יְהִי cannot come from יהוה. The objection to using the real punctuation of יהוה arises from an old misconception of the two passages, Ex. 20, 7 (לֹא תִשָּׂא אֶת שֵׁם יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיךָ לְשׁוֹן) and Lev. 24, 16 (וְיִקְרָב שֵׁם), which were interpreted as meaning that the divine name was to be treated as a *nomen ineffabile*. This interpretation of these two verses is mentioned by Philo, *De vita Mosis*, iii. pp. 519, 529; Josephus, *Archaeol.*, ii. 12, § 4; Talmud, *Sanhedrin*, chap. 2, fol. 90; Maimonides, *Yadh Chasaka*, chap. 14, § 10; Theodoret, *Quaest.* 13 in Exod.; Eusebius, *Praef. Evang.*, ii. p. 305; the passages (excepting that from Eusebius) being quoted by Gesenius, *Thes.*, p. 575 f. The LXX render the Tetragrammaton always by ὁ Κύριος (their ordinary translation of אֲדֹנָי), and the Samaritans used שימא ('name') for יהוה, when they had to pronounce the word.

There is every reason to assume that the punctuation adopted by modern scholars for יהוה is correct, viz. יְהוָה, the form being an imperfect Qal (according to another view Hif'il) of הוה, which is an archaic and North Palestinian form of the verb היה (cf. the note on 27, 29); compare the other proper names formed after the analogy of the imperf. of the verb, e. g. יִצְחָק, יִצְחָק, יִצְחָק, etc. That this assumption is correct is proved by the fact that the abbreviations יְהוּ (out of יְהוּ), יְהִי and יו (out of יְהוּ=יְהוּ), and יָהּ (יְהוּ=יְהוּ) can easily be derived from יהוה, and by the statement of Theodoret that the pronunciation of the Samaritans was IABE, while Epiphanius, *Adv. Haer.* 20 (40) cites IABE as one of the names of God, explaining it (from Ex. 3, 14) as $\delta\varsigma \eta\acute{\iota}\nu \kappa\alpha\iota \xi\sigma\tau\iota \kappa\alpha\iota \acute{\alpha}\lambda\iota \acute{\omega}\nu$, see Ges., l. c. If this punctuation be conceded it will next be necessary to explain the meaning of the name. The class of words to which יהוה belongs is not very wide in Heb., and is practically limited to a few proper names (see Stade, *Lehrbuch*, § 259). The form יְהוָה, as far as the punctuation is concerned, may be the imperf. Qal or Hif'il of הוה; and the meaning we must assign to the word will obviously depend on which of these two conjugations we consider the form to come from. If it be imperf. Qal, it may mean, 'he that is;' if it be imperf. Hif'il, 'he that causes to be.' If the former view be adopted, the word being taken as imperf. Qal, we must, in interpreting the meaning of the name, be guided by the passage in Exodus, viz. 3, 14;

for though the name יהוה may have been known to the Hebrews prior to the time of Moses—cf. the name of Moses' mother, Ex. 6, יוֹכְבֵד, and the formula 'God of thy father,' Ex. 3, 6¹—it was through him that it received its first explanation. The name has been considered by various modern scholars², reviving the view held by Le Clerc, and thrown out as a suggestion by Gesenius, as a Hif'il derivative, although the interpretations differ; e. g. Kuenen interprets the name as 'the giver of existence;' Schrader and Schultz as 'the giver of life and deliverance;' Lagarde and Nestle, who follow Le Clerc, as 'he who brings to pass,' i. e. 'the performer of his promises;' Land, as 'life-giver,' so Ges. in *Thes.* The objection to the derivation of the word from the Hif'il stem is that though היה is used of the fulfilment of a promise or prediction (e. g. in 1 Kings 13, 32), it requires the object of the promise to be at least indicated in the context, and further, that scarcely any Semitic language uses the causative form of היה³. If this derivation be regarded as too uncertain, the alternative one, in which the word יהוה is treated as a neuter (Qal), must be adopted.

In the passage in Exodus (3, 14) God, in His answer to Moses, says אֲנִי הוּא אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי, then calls Himself אֲנִי הוּא, and finally יהוה. It is clear from this that הוּא (see above) is presupposed to be equivalent to היה, and that אֲנִי הוּא, the shorter expression, must be explained by אֲנִי הוּא אֲנִי. Then אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי must not be taken as a refusal to answer Moses' question 'I am just who I am,' i. e. it is a matter of indifference to you who I am, and you should not seek to know (Le Clerc, Lagarde); as the following אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי cannot bear this sense, and אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי more naturally gives an explanation of the name. An explanation of the name is certainly found in the rendering adopted by Wellhausen, following Ibn Ezra, 'I am, since I am,' אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי being regarded as the name, and אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי as its explanation; but אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר for כִּי in this context is hardly probable, and Moses did not ask 'What is thy name?' but 'What shall I tell them?' Therefore אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי must be taken as a simple sentence, which has been variously rendered. The LXX and Knobel translate, 'I am he who exists,' i. e. 'he who is;' but it is doubtful whether אֲנִי אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי can = ὁ ὢν. Rashi renders, 'I will be with them what I will be with them in the subjection of their future captivities;' while Ewald explains, 'I will be it,' viz. the performer of his promises; both sup-

¹ See Nestle, *Eigennamen*, p. 80 ff.

² Comp. Prof. Driver, in *Studia Biblica*, i. Oxford, 1885.

³ Comp. Prof. Driver, l. c., p. 14, foot-note.

porting their renderings by ver. 12 אֲנִי הוּא יְהוָה . Robertson Smith renders similarly, '*I will be what I will be,*' i. e. your God and Helper (cf. Driver, l. c., p. 16). The objection to this view is that what Jehovah will prove Himself to be is not expressed, but must be understood (see Di. on Ex. 3, 14). But it may be (as Del.¹ and Oehler² suggest) that הוּא is to be understood in a pregnant sense, '*give evidence of being.*' The most probable view is that the passage means, '*I am that I am,*' not that which fate or caprice may determine, but what my own character determines. הוּא has the idea not of fixity, but of change; not a capricious change, but a conscious one. The verb means properly not '*to be,*' but '*to come into being*' (cf. Del., *Comm.*, pp. 26, 60); so יהוה is a living active God, a God of the past, but also of the future, who cannot be named or defined, but whose divine nature is ever expressing itself, and manifesting itself under fresh aspects; a God who enters into personal relations with His worshippers, who is consistent with Himself, true to His promises, and unchangeable in His purposes (comp. Del., l. c.; Oehler, l. c.; Driver, l. c., p. 17; Di. on Ex. 3, 14)³.

¹ *Comm.*, pp. 26, 60.

² *Theology of the Old Testament*, § 39.

³ On the various views held by scholars concerning the origin of the Tetragrammaton, the reader may be referred for further particulars to the paper by Prof. Driver, and to König's *Hauptprobleme der altisrael. Religionsgeschichte*, 1884, pp. 29-33 (translated in *Hebraica*, April, 1885, pp. 255-257).

CORRIGENDA.

Page	line	from	to	for	prefix	read	prefix
7	7	from bottom,			prefix ַ		prefix ַ
11	4	„ „	„	defined	שני On	„	defined. שני ; on
25	4	„ „	„	التَّيْلُ		„	التَّيْلُ
27	11	from top,		וְשִׁבָּה		„	וְשִׁבָּה
34	4	from bottom,		היה		„	היה ונו”
36	16	from top,		it rejects it		„	they reject it
41	4	from bottom,		נִסְסוּ		„	נִסְסוּ
41	last line on page,			דָּעַבְרָה		„	דָּעַבְרָה
50	16	from bottom,		דינא		„	דינא
50	5	„ „	„	الرابض		„	الرابض
55	11	from top,		עשה		„	עשה
68	13	from bottom,		דְּאִינִין		„	דְּאִינִין
70	7	„ „	„	בְּמִטְרָה		„	בְּמִטְרָה
72	5	from top,		‘arcant’		„	‘arcam’
87	7	„ „	„	בה ובארצה		„	בארצה
87	8	„ „	„	בו ובארצו		„	בארצו
87	8	„ „	„	ובביתה		„	ובבתה ¹
94	10	„ „	„	Targ.		„	Targg.
94	11	from bottom,		דְּדָנִים		„	דְּנִי דָּן
125	5	from top,		Sir.		„	Ecclus.
125	last line on page,			דְּשִׁעְבִּירוּ		„	דְּשִׁעְבִּירוּ
142	line 7 from top,			‘experienced.’ LXX		„	‘experienced.’ LXX
143	3	„ „	„	Jerome		„	Hieron.
155	13	from bottom,		sees		„	saw
166	15	from top,		הָיָה		„	הָיָה
175	2	„ „	„	Araba		„	Arabah
178	3	from bottom,		فَعِيلٌ		„	فَعِيلٌ

¹ These passages are corrected from Smend and Socin's edition of the Moabite Stone (Freiburg I. B., 1886), p. 12.

Page 181, line 9 from top,	<i>for</i>	וְנִכְחַת	<i>read</i>	וְנִכְחַתָּהּ
„ 194, „ 12 from bottom,	„	הַבְּרוּן	„	חַבְּרוּן
„ 204, „ 1 from top,	„	וַיָּבֵא	„	וַיָּבֵא
„ 205, „ 2 „ „ „	„	<i>or the left</i>	„	<i>or to the left</i>
„ 218, „ 6 „ „ „	„	וַיַּעֲשֶׂה	„	וַיַּעֲשֶׂה
„ 272, „ 5 from top, after	<i>with</i>	insert etc.		
„ 288, „ 2 from bottom,	<i>for</i>	וַעֲשִׂיה	<i>read</i>	וַעֲשִׂית
„ 305, „ 14 from top,	„	שֶׂאֵה	„	שֶׂאֵה
„ 329, „ 4 from bottom,	„	שִׁמְעוֹן	„	שִׁמְעוֹן
„ 339, „ 6 from top,	„	וַעֲוִיר	„	וַעֲוִיר
„ 341, „ 3 from bottom,	„	רַגְלָיו	„	רַגְלָיו
„ 342, „ 11 from top,	„	Tauchuma	„	Tanchuma
„ 346, „ 15 „ „ „	„	of bond-slave	„	of a bond-slave

א

THE END.



BS1235.2 .S77

Notes on the Hebrew text of the book of

Princeton Theological Seminary-Speer Library



1 1012 00043 5398